```
From 7637864120259329835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <39358E4E.1E33445C@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629643939
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39349478.67FB28CE@IEEE.org> <20000531122453.10818.00005959@nso-
fi.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 31 May 2000 22:19:32 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Steve Robeson wrote:
> >> HOW much was that IQ?
> >
> >Higher than 75% of the members of MENSA.
>
> What *is* the average IQ of a MENSA member?

Actually, IQ is not generally measured using a number any more
because there is more than one standard. The entry level IQ
for MENSA members is upper 2% of the general population. So
the IQ of the *average* MENSA member is something like the upper
1.5% of the general population. It would be whatever is the
average of the upper 2% of the bell-shaped curve.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From 2694255315135677229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words": Hey Larry
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <#7b3q#0y$GA.320@cpmsnbbsa07>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629644334
References: <3933B6E9.45CE2DF4@IEEE.org> <20000530110650.10818.00005558@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3934219B.EAEE22ED@ahole.com> <ep4n#Sqy$GA.311@cpmsnbbsa07>
<393524C6.EFD32AB@ahole.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3612.1700

General Mark Morgan wrote
>Hey Aaron, if you can read the subject line said Hey Larry, NOT hey
>Aaron. Please keep your comments to yourself...

Hey General, this is an *open newsgroup*. Please keep your comments to
yourself if you don't want a group response...

From -8674724171062923061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <39358FF2.CE19E3A6@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629645636
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39349A51.B3EBE962@IEEE.org> <20000531021633.07074.00001004@ng-cc1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 31 May 2000 22:26:05 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
> The question was (and still is) WHAT reference did you use...?!?!

I am most familiar with motorcycle gangs, the overwhelming majority
of which are white. And, for the record, what I said is that I wouldn't
be surprised if the majority of gangs are white. I didn't ever claim
to have a reference.

> A published FBI statistic? Something from the Attorney General's office?
> Any recognized organized crime task force? ANYTHING that DOESN'T come from The
> Star or The Inquirer?

Obviously since you seem to know the answer you must have the
FBI statistics so why not just publish them. If you don't have
them, what does that say about your references?
--

73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 63764771527649419
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18a4a2a8a01ab729
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jerry Hooten <jhooten@freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: How Long for Post April 15th License Processing?
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <39358B54.4B20D647@freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629646237
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: jhooten@freewwweb.com@*@ppp-15.tnt-2.aus.smartworld.net
References: <muiM4.435$X55.51285@news.flash.net> <8e4cu6$r0f$1@top.mitre.org>
<8fuq5f$piu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <392337f9.45266633@news.corecomm.net>
<8fvpgf$2l$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net> <392D3C7E.CB2CB96D@shecora.com>
<DDlX4.523$4X5.2837@newsfeed.slurp.net> <392f41e4@flexnet239.uunt.net>
<2b0Y4.4508$4X5.11305@newsfeed.slurp.net> <8gv9al$lmb@nnrp4.farm.idt.net>
<3933B782.D2C00DC7@freewwweb.com> <G4_Y4.554$iS1.2648@newsfeed.slurp.net>
To: Bill <edgar.nospam@penn.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bill I checked the FCC database last night and my upgrade has been
posted, I didn't take the FCC near as long as it took the arrl.

Jerry

From 1123711792143478564
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ddaccb6319840ba
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Subject: Re: FCC TO DO AWAY WITH LICENSE REQUIREMENTS?
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <8h45lo$u0i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629648161
References: <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.77
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed May 31 23:02:23 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)

In article <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>, mailfrmpa@aol.com (MailfrmPA) wrote:

> Word from insiders at FCC is that all technical AND cw requirements for VHF and
> UHF licenses are soon to be eliminated!
>
> In their place will be Permission Slips, filled in and signed by Parent or
> Legal Guardian, or other responsible Adult! Those without a Permission Slip
> will not be allowed to go on the bands.
>
> Will HF be next??
>
> K�MB

Hmmm...There might be some truth in this rumor. Maybe it is but wishful thinking on the part of the ARRL....especially those who can only think of the number of Hams of any abilities that can be said to make the hobby more popular.

BUT PLEASE THINK ABOUT: -
So far there is no more 20 wpm cw requirement for Extra, no more 13 wpm requirement for General, and no more Novice, Tech Plus, or Advanced Class.
When will the next shoes drop???
If trends continue there may well be no requirements for Tech license privilages...and next no requirements for any ham privilages...and IMHO this can only be expected to lead to no Ham Radio at all for anybody.

WE MUST REVERSE THE TREND. We must remember that there is a BASIS AND PURPOSE FOR AMATEUR RADIO.

Hams need MORE technical license classes,
73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Ignore Licensing pessimisim?)

MEANS and ENDS
The gatekeeper in the capital city of Sung
became such an expert mourner after his father's
death, and so emaciated himself with fasts and
austerities, that he was promoted to high rank
in order that he might serve as a model of
ritual observance.
As a result of this, his imitators so deprived
themselves that half of them died. The others
were not promoted.
--Chuang Tzu--

Just the opposite of Tzu's means and ends...
The FCC has been the gatekeeper of Ham Radio...
but it is slowly opening that gate to all.
Who has promoted this dumbed down trend???
As a result of this trend half of the Real Hams

```
will be silent keys in a few years.  The other
hams may be mainly imitator repeater clunkers.
They can not be Real Hams if they lose innovative
motivation, pioneering spirit, sporting curiosity,
and don't have any technical intrests in
common.  Sadly there will be no higher Ham classes
to be promoted to.  Sadly there will be no Ham Radio.
   --w2ilp--


Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.




From 8265860185382177028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <393590B2.262596F3@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629648634
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <3934953B.C232011A@IEEE.org> <20000531015818.07074.00001002@ng-
cc1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 31 May 2000 22:28:21 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 05/30/2000 11:29 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >Steve it's neither/nor or either/or. Isn't/nor is a double negative.<
>
>      Thanks for the English class, Cecil, but it still doesn't negate the fact
> that neither you OR Walter match the criteria you claim.

A B.S/M.E. degree, MENSA membership, and 45 years of experience
are not enough?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 2923269669880565166
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
From: Lenny-t <lenny-t@worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <393592EF.2EE4@worldnet.att.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629650093
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 959812662 12.78.173.207 (Wed, 31 May 2000 22:37:42 GMT)
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: lenny-t@worldnet.att.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 22:37:42 GMT
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Uh oh. He's ba-a-a-a-a-a-a-c-k.

-Lenny (Dwidler Extraordinaire)

Sycho_Graphics wrote:

> In short, WHO CARES. Let the ignorant, self-centered, egotistical basterds
> all go away so I don't have to hear about how great HAM was in 1960 one more
> damn time. I think they are a joke, cops think they are a joke, most local
> authorities (In real cities not shit towns) think they are a joke, anyone
> under the age of 30 thinks they are a joke, they are the only ones who seem
> to take themselves (way too) seriously. BYE, bye and good riddance.
>
> "me" <@.> wrote in message
> news:1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net...
> Funny. In 2 or so years there will be 200 hams.
> Those 200 hams will still think there are alot of hams.
> 1 HAM is one ego and a waste of time too many (CW). :)

--

```
"A greyhound needs no fanfare." - Jean Boone 1917-1998




From -4705762717420858311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <20000531193506.10995.00000465@ng-fl1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629667508
References: <3935894A.142FC874@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 05/31/2000 4:51 PM Central Daylight Time

>Such a statement probably falls under the simple assault laws in Texas and
self-defense is probably the result. To "end up" is pretty serious and final
stuff.<

     Which only leads me to believe the stereotyped "country hick" is not such
a stereotype afterall.

     Another graped-up post, me thinks.

Steve






From -5961072819177611147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ddaccb6319840ba
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: ki7rw@arrl.net (Lee Roberts)
Subject: Re: Behind the scenes in the Walker Household.
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <3935a2f2.2192382@news.scottsbluff.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629667605
References: <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>
<8A182877A56F3F91.5CCF877FE67E319A.43819CF4ACD478CB@lp.airnews.net>
<sj89525i5pj103@corp.supernews.com> <8h2bv1$deh$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
X-Trace: news2.randori.com 959816468 208.33.80.55 (Wed, 31 May 2000 16:41:08 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 16:41:08 PDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

I love it!! :-D

On Wed, 31 May 2000 06:44:45 GMT, integrity@arrl.sucks.org (Wouff Hong) wrote:

>
>Kim's Husband: Dinner was great tonight hon, was that a new recipe?
>
>TIT: No, I just kept your TV-Dinner in the microwave a bit longer
>this time.
>

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGPfreeware 6.5.3 for non-commercial use <http://www.pgp.com>
Comment: Don't expect someone else to protect your privacy.

iQA/AwUBOTWjN4woPKBUx8xQEQLU8gCg1ZK62EondNpWKd+VxUoFrc0h3RcAoJxA
OkEUcprBAoPqtoJ+Wjk9Yjnu
=W3g9
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

From -8538952690802232018
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <20000531193740.10995.00000468@ng-fl1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629669593
References: <39358E4E.1E33445C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 05/31/2000 5:12 PM Central Daylight Time

>It would be whatever is the average of the upper 2% of the bell-shaped curve.<

Damn...NOTHING is sacred...now even MENSA membership is subject to "dumbing-down"...!!!

Membership on a curve...?!?! Wooohooo!!

Steve

The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE to fight in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

From 7834644179574309468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <20000531195239.10995.00000477@ng-fl1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629670420
References: <39358FF2.CE19E3A6@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 05/31/2000 5:19 PM Central Daylight Time

>Obviously since you seem to know the answer you must have the FBI statistics so why not just publish them. If you don't have them, what does that say about your references?<

I have several sources, Cecil, most of which are EMS related articles on providing care in urban enviroments, especially where gang activity is rampant. The footnotes quote several FBI, DoJ, ATF and LAPD studies.

Guess which three socioeconomic subgroups you are most likely to be victimized in by a gang...?!?!

Even taking thier word on the matter with a huge grain of salt, I trust thier opinions on the matter way before I do yours.

>If you don't have them, what does that say about your references?<

Journal of Emergency Medical Services of Solana Beach, CA

Emergency Medical Services magazine of Van Nuys, CA.

Journal Of the American Medical Association.

Journal of the American Association of Osteopathic Surgeons. (THE organization that instituted EMS in the United States...they ARE the autorities on trauma in the United States)

Fish or cut bait Cecil...just ADMIT you made your numbers up since it is so overwelmingly apparent that you CANNOT substantiate your assertions.

You're busted, Cecil...more "King of the Mountain" assertions caught in the light of day by truth and facts.

I am sure Mark and Lennie are proud to have you in thier company.

Steve

From -7527773983567618217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <20000531195602.10995.00000479@ng-fl1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629673425
References: <393590B2.262596F3@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 05/31/2000 5:22 PM Central Daylight Time

>A B.S/M.E. degree, MENSA membership, and 45 years of experience are not enough?<

Not when you can't validate your assertions with SOME documentable study on matters, Cecil.

Having been in engineering and with the credentials you claim, YOU of all people should know that an assertion made without some reference of fact is nothing more than a prideful boast.

Nothing more.

The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE to fight in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

From 2471396095235185386

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ddaccb6319840ba
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Subject: Re: FCC TO DO AWAY WITH LICENSE REQUIREMENTS?
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <kriZ4.29$d5.36667@news.ntplx.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629684280
References: <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>
<8h45lo$u0i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 959820624 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 31 May 2000 20:50:24 EDT)
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:50:24 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:

>> Word from insiders at FCC is that all technical AND cw requirements
>> for VHF and UHF licenses are soon to be eliminated!

> Hmmm...There might be some truth in this rumor.

I think not. Surely you cannot believe it.

> Maybe it is but wishful thinking on the part of the ARRL....

I can say with certainty that the ARRL does not support such a proposal.

> especially those who can only think of the number of Hams of
> any abilities that can be said to make the hobby more popular.

Can you say with any certainty just who this "those" is? A name or two
might be more convincing than a vague and unprovable accusation.

> So far there is no more 20 wpm cw requirement for Extra, no more 13 wpm
> requirement for General, and no more Novice, Tech Plus, or Advanced
> Class.

> When will the next shoes drop???

Okay, I give up. What it the date and nature of the next change you expect
to see in ham radio. On what do you base your estimate of the date and the
specifics of the change?

> If trends continue there may well be no requirements for Tech license
> privilages...and next no requirements for any ham privilages...and IMHO
> this can only be expected to lead to no Ham Radio at all for anybody.

Eliminating a requirement that no longer serves the same purpose it served
when it was introduced in the early part of the last century is not a

"trend." On second thought, maybe it is -- one that hopefully could indicate that amateur radio might once again get in touch with modern radio technology. Is this what you are suggesting should be happening?

> As a result of this trend half of the Real Hams
> will be silent keys in a few years.

Do you really think that the changes in the Morse code testing will increase the death rate of Amateurs?

> They can not be Real Hams if they lose innovative
> motivation, pioneering spirit, sporting curiosity,
> and don't have any technical intrests in
> common.

And there are some who offer that eliminating a Morse code test will indeed result in some hams focusing their attention to "pioneering spirit." Most of the pioneering I can envision does not include OOK CW.

> Sadly there will be no higher Ham classes to be promoted to.

I guess that hams will have to promote themselves with accomplishments, much like Larry Roll's learning to fly model R/C airplanes.

> Sadly there will be no Ham Radio.

Another unprovable assertation. However, we can put a small wager on its being around at the end of 2009; would that be a reasonable time frame over which to speculate?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8569230475882246041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend: Attn Steve
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <8h4c8i$sb3$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629686300
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <8gc52g019i7@enews1.newsguy.com>
<delW4.21042$S31.454241@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<8h1rav$9vn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <MPG.139e41439ec0a07d98993a@news.interactive.net>
<39352123.3663DE16@ahole.com>

Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 00:54:42 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

To the "gentleman" who just disparaged Pres. Clinton, I have news for you. His influenece in the recent normalization of trade with China bill which should be passed by the Senate despite a moron like Jesse Helms will prove to be one of the most important pieces of INTELLIGENT legislation to ever come down from Capitol Hill. Why?

BECAUSE IT'S ALL ABOUT OIL! That's right, OIL. Forget the rest of the stuff you've heard about what China will give us and vice versa---that country has GREATER oil reserves than all the OPECKERS combined!

The standard networks don't talk about it and neither do the WWCR and Genesis Network crowd but I got wind of it from my cousin Sidney who's a spy and that's the REAL REASON why we have cozied up to Red China and if Taiwan doesn't like it, that's too bad because that place is just an island which is a nothing.

As a way of saying thanks to Clinton, the Chinese Prime Minister "Red Fat Hung" will allow us to go in there and DRILL and buy at prices much much lower than that of OPEC's. It will have a fantastic effect on our economy which President Al Gore will exploit to the fullest.

And youse guys are so concerned with ham radio and the ARRL? As far as I'm concerned, the ARRL SOLD US OUT-it's as plain and simple as that and I ended my membership until the dues drop to $14.95/year. My suggestion is THINK CHINA! Visit Chinese restaurants more often. Overdose on MSG. Bring in more shirts. Throw out your silverware and buy chopsticks.

The sleeping giant in the far east will awaken with our help and emerge as the major global power in the 21st century and if you think she's going to nuke us, you're nuts. Once she sees all those Yankee greenbacks pouring into her economy and all the coolies going from pulling rickshaws to driving BMWs, her oil will drive that OPEC scam out of business. In fact the Israelis will sense a good deal and offer to buy their crude for $3 a barrel.

Oy vey what a day it'll be when China influences America so much that our school kids will learn to read "My Dog Spot" from top to bottom instead of from left to right.

There's a Chinaman in Clifton who went to jail for constantly peking into windows.

AF2Y, Doc

In article <39352123.3663DE16@ahole.com>, General Mark Morgan
<one.big.asshole@ahole.com> wrote:

> And what did your president, Bill Clinton ' do? Did he not send
> troops to do battle for 'oil'?
>
> "Steve - KF2TI/AE (Landing, NJ)" wrote:
>>
>> In article <8h1rav$9vn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, p1415926535@yahoo.com says...
>> > In article <delW4.21042$S31.454241@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
>> >   "zincplaterubberant" <kru7dso@cir43a.org> wrote:
>> > [...]
>> > > While you are correct on your above observation, the greater
>> > > reason is our years and years of hanging onto an outdated
>> > > mode called CW. Today the American Retentive Radio Luddites
>> > > are running scared. Their membership is truly dying off
>> > > to the tune of an average of 55 US hams per month. Don't believe
>> > > this? Go to the library or your stacks of QST and check the
>> > > numbers in the SK listings for the past 8-12 months.
>> > >
>> >
>> > Only 55 US hams die per month? No, I don't believe that.
>> >
>> > Considering that the current US ham population is several
>> > hundred thousand (what is it now, about 600,000?), if the
>> > statistics for hams is similar to the general population there
>> > should be several times that many dying per month.
>> >
>> > Or did you just mean ARRL members? That number still sounds
>> > too low.
>> >
>> > OTOH, if hams only die at the rate of 55/month we could promote
>> > amateur radio just on its health benefits. :-)

>>
>> And they just reported that WWII aged veterans were dying at a rate of
>> 1000 per week and the national cemeteries are filling up. Guess the Army
>> and the ARS are both bad bets. Wonder how scared the VFW is (using the
>> same logic as the original poster) about their decreasing numbers.
>>
>> Maybe if we had a few more wars, they could promote and increase
>> membership???
>>
>> Who knows, if G. W is elected, he could send a few 100k to the middle
>> east just like his old man, so that the Tx Oil Barons can keep their
>> restrictive club memberships current.
>>
>> DX= FCC rules DO NOT prohibit the inclusion of any
>> type of reimbursement for postage, nor does it make
>> illegal any voluntary donation that the sender wishes
>> to include
>>
>> Repeaters= The FCC recognizes the right of individuals
>> and organizations to maintain close repeaters and
>> DOES NOT make it a crime to allow cited examples from
>> collecting monetary funds to maintain these operations

From 6128542891690950924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <FwiZ4.30$d5.37035@news.ntplx.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629686690
References: <8gc52g019i7@enews1.newsguy.com>
<8gcie8$hqq$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <eFS8SWHx$GA.270@cpmsnbbsa06>
<392e71af.5275360@news.interactive.net> <392F3966.63A8@worldnet.att.net>
<8goifi0uf8@enews4.newsguy.com> <392FE38B.A430DEA8@IEEE.org>
<39304A0A.EEB5121F@preferred.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 959820965 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 31 May 2000 20:56:05 EDT)
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:56:05 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeff Pierce <piercej@preferred.com> wrote:

> <ARRL> now caters to the equipment manufacturers for advertising dollars.

According to the ARRL's annual reports, the advertising revenues are a distant third to dues and publications sales. In the June issue of QST, less than half of the ad space was for actual manufacturers.

Can you offer some examples of actions that ARRL has taken that you feel have been to the benefit of equipment manufacturers without being a benefit to Amateur Radio.

> My view is that it is a now a publishing house that also lobby's for it's
> publishing's area of interest on the side.

Can you explain where you believe this "lobbying" takes place? I didn't see anything in any FCC filing that lobbied for ARRL publications.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -397179272533952867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <MAiZ4.32$d5.37174@news.ntplx.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629687530
References: <8gc52g019i7@enews1.newsguy.com> <392FE38B.A430DEA8@IEEE.org>
<39304A0A.EEB5121F@preferred.com> <8gpivm0rs9@enews1.newsguy.com>
<8gqb2e$iav$1@news.hawaii.edu> <8gs1q413087@enews4.newsguy.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 959821228 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 31 May 2000 21:00:28 EDT)
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 21:00:28 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Maybe at one time, but the last time I was at AES in Cleveland, about 80%
of
> the books were ARRL publications. Looks like a monopoly to me.

Last time I went past Rosewood's table at a convention, about 40% of the books looked like ARRL books. Maybe AES stocks the ones that sell the best.
:-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 833274653782231234
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <lziZ4.31$d5.37082@news.ntplx.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629687887
References: <8gc52g019i7@enews1.newsguy.com>
<8gcie8$hqq$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <eFS8SWHx$GA.270@cpmsnbbsa06>
<392e71af.5275360@news.interactive.net> <392F3966.63A8@worldnet.att.net>
<8goifi0uf8@enews4.newsguy.com> <392FE38B.A430DEA8@IEEE.org>
<39304A0A.EEB5121F@preferred.com> <8gpivm0rs9@enews1.newsguy.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 959821137 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 31 May 2000 20:58:57 EDT)
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 20:58:57 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote:

> I'd personally take it a step further, to say that the ARRL has a monopoly
on
> the amateur radio publishing market. However, I doubt that the government
will
> go after them the way they have with Microsoft.

Please take a look at the results of a search of the ARRL TIS database at:

http://www.arrl.org/cgi-bin/tisfind?case=on&patt=PUBLICATION%3eBOOK

then explain how a monopoly can have so much competition. And ARRL is even promoting those companies by including them in its database.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2031650501925547910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ccbff352593fa73d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Subject: Re: stamp design
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <wQiZ4.33$d5.37336@news.ntplx.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629694357
References: <ojAX4.115$vP1.5380@ord-read.news.verio.net>
<3eCX4.73$c5.71325@news.ntplx.net> <392F47DB.33C9@worldnet.att.net>
<V1dZ4.25$d5.33217@news.ntplx.net> <3935B1A3.18FC@worldnet.att.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 959822236 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 31 May 2000 21:17:16 EDT)
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 31 May 2000 21:17:16 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> > > Lastly, encourage your BoD to send out questionaires canvasing their
> > > constituents on various upcoming issues.

> > My director has done so on a number of occasions.

> > Polling is NOT the best way to get member input, IMHO; issues are best
> > solved by those who have more vested interest than checking off a box on
a
> > question they may or may not fully understand or may or may not be very
> > interested in.

> First, I think that a Director that cannot formulate an important
> issue or problem with ham radio, showing various facits of it, so that
> his constituents can understand it, is not much of a leader.

Actually, Clay, if you think about it a bit, there are a LOT of "burning issues" in ham radio. These are generally well documented in the ARRL Letter, the Web Extra and QST. I think that expecting a Director to reiterate all that info in a periodic newsletter is not a realistic expectation.

But let's put your assertation to the test; ARRL has documented the issues with wireless modem jacks very well, on its web pages, in the ARRL Letter, in the Web Extra and in QST. I know you CAN understand the issues, but do you? I can hardly think of something explained better, but I bet that only a relatively small percentage of hams have followed it from start to finish. Who could better set ARRL policy on that matter, those that have taken it upon themselves to be fully informed, or those who have not read the info as published? Would this matter really be best resolved by a poll of members, of resolved by HQ staff who have devoted hundreds of hours to studying the issue and working with the manufacturers, the FCC and the ARRL Board?

> Second, I think that there are too few directors. Our division covers
> two call areas. While I was a member of ARRL, I don't recall getting
> any info from a director except when time for election or reelection.
> What are the rules for increasing the number of directors?

The number of Directors is set in the ARRL bylaws. These can be modified by the ARRL Board of Directors. Each Director represents some 10k+- members, although Division sizes do vary. Most of the Directors also appoint Assistant Directors, although these are probably more accurately described as "Assistants to the Director." Only the Directors vote on ARRL policy. If you feel that the number of Directors should be changed, discuss this with your Director. Do consider, though, that there are direct costs to each Directorship, with travel expenses to and fro Board meetings and travel within a Division. This probably doesn't affect you much, because you have stated that you are no longer an ARRL member, but those who are footing the bills may hold opinions contrary to yours about how many Directors the membership should fund. BTW, speaking of funds, I will make sure that I haven't caused any misunderstanding; ARRL Directors and most officers receive no salary compensation -- only reimbursement for authorized expenses.

Some of the gap is made up by the ARRL Section Managers, who are elected people managing the ARRL Field Organization in their sections.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 3189792620526019811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ddaccb6319840ba
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Behind the scenes in the Walker Household.
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID:
<EC99CE247A96B9FF.60BB619FCA0CA36A.B11CAAD7FA659D7F@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629707555
References: <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>
<8A182877A56F3F91.5CCF877FE67E319A.43819CF4ACD478CB@lp.airnews.net>
<sj89525i5pj103@corp.supernews.com> <8h2bv1$deh$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
<3935a2f2.2192382@news.scottsbluff.net>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8h4h94$qiu@library2.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Wed May 31 21:20:20 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library2.airnews.net
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Me too!!

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim
NCI 3343

"Lee Roberts" <ki7rw@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3935a2f2.2192382@news.scottsbluff.net...
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> I love it!! :-D
>
> On Wed, 31 May 2000 06:44:45 GMT, integrity@arrl.sucks.org (Wouff
> Hong) wrote:
>
> >
> >Kim's Husband: Dinner was great tonight hon, was that a new recipe?
> >
> >TIT: No, I just kept your TV-Dinner in the microwave a bit longer
> >this time.
> >
>
>
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
> Version: PGPfreeware 6.5.3 for non-commercial use <http://www.pgp.com>
> Comment: Don't expect someone else to protect your privacy.
>
> iQA/AwUBOTWjN4woPKBUx8xQEQLU8gCg1ZK62EondNpWKd+VxUoFrc0h3RcAoJxA
> OkEUcprBAoPqtoJ+Wjk9Yjnu
> =W3g9
> -----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
>

From 2256068048587206189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID:
<82743FAA4A4B61B4.EF15427283FDF0EC.ADD484E121A17043@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629707561

```
References: <20000531004706.29970.00000747@ng-fu1.aol.com>
<20000531112900.10818.00005936@nso-fi.aol.com>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8h4h95$qiu@library2.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Wed May 31 21:20:22 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library2.airnews.net
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ummmm, Larry.  My husband *is* my soulmate... : )

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim
NCI 3343

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20000531112900.10818.00005936@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <20000531004706.29970.00000747@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
> kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) writes:
>
> >
> >I think it's a great callsign Kim if it doesn't bother you, hell why not
have
> >a
> >fun callsign? I may have gotten in a little late on this but,  I think
this
> >is
> >the first i've "ever" heard of that the word "tit" is offending to any
man. ?
> >
> >   ROFLMAO .
> >
>
> Kim:
>
> There he is, your soulmate!  Please be gentle when you break the
> news to your husband!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>




From 6062698906659164620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID:
<3DDCB1136890269A.3BB05C20C3021871.040E39D84B909E2E@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629716964
References: <F6897F61574458A6.732F4750847CB822.72E9618F80865852@lp.airnews.net>
<20000531220326.22842.00000062@nso-mf.aol.com>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8h4j9b$sm9@library2.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Wed May 31 21:54:36 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library2.airnews.net
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000531220326.22842.00000062@nso-mf.aol.com...
>
> It didn't go over my head at all. I just don't accept it as the only reason,
> because your other statements contradict that. Ayn Rand says that true
> contradictions do not exist in reality. When an apparent contradiction is
> found, one should check one's premises, because at least one of them is wrong.
> That's what I'm doing.
>

I don't think there's a contradiction. There's a difference of opinion. If I say a tree *is* making noise in the woods, even if nothing is around to hear it; you might say it does not. That is not a contradiction. It is a difference of opinion.

>
> All I'm doing is asking simple questions and commenting on the answers, in an
> effort to discover people's opinions on the subject, and their reasoning. If
> their reasoning appears to be faulty, I point that out.
>

I am near certain you aren't interested in other peoples' opinions. I am absolutely certain I am not interested in yours.

Kim W5TIT

From -8602445347388669l6
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <3935D3B4.81960751@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629722563
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39358E4E.1E33445C@IEEE.org> <20000531193740.10995.00000468@ng-fl1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 03:15:12 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
>   Membership on a curve...?!?! Wooohooo!!

It's pretty hard for a non-member of MENSA to understand, Steve.
The area under the upper 2% of the bell-shaped curve does not
average out to 1%.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 1112399962596281849
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <3935D49D.A8CCA4FC@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629725222
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39358FF2.CE19E3A6@IEEE.org> <20000531195239.10995.00000477@ng-fl1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 03:19:07 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
> You're busted, Cecil...more "King of the Mountain" assertions caught in
> the light of day by truth and facts.

All I did was state my opinion based on my experiences, Steve. I ride a motorcycle so I am exposed primarily to motorcycle gangs. What percentage of motorcycle gangs are white? I said I wouldn't be surprised if the majority of gangs were white. I still would not be surprised if the majority of gangs were white.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 187784830030710832
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/05/31
Message-ID: <3935D635.D07C7F1B@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629725799
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <393590B2.262596F3@IEEE.org> <20000531195602.10995.00000479@ng-fl1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 03:25:53 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
> Not when you can't validate your assertions with SOME documentable study
> on matters, Cecil.

I didn't make any assertions, Steve. I said I wouldn't be surprised if the majority of gangs are white. I still wouldn't be surprised if the majority of gangs are white. That's NOT an assertion that the majority of gangs are white. Why do you make false statements?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3740941771063521449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18a4a2a8a01ab729
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: How Long for Post April 15th License Processing?
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000531200224.10995.00000482@ng-fl1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629673200
References: <39358B54.4B20D647@freewwweb.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: How Long for Post April 15th License Processing?
>From: Jerry Hooten jhooten@freewwweb.com
>Date: 05/31/2000 4:59 PM Central Daylight Time

>Bill I checked the FCC database last night and my upgrade has been posted, I didn't take the FCC near as long as it took the arrl.<

How soon they forget.

There were occassions where FCC processing took as much as 2 months. Of course that's when the FCC did the testing, correlated the paperwork, forwarded it to Gettysburg, and THEN Gettysburg took thier time with it.

In as much as the FCC put the onus of responsibility on the VEC's to reorganize all the tests, make new ones, conduct the tests and then forward the paperwork to Gettysburg, I don't think four to six weeks to implement a new programis such a big problem.

The only people who were really affected were NEW licensee's. Upgraders had immediate access.

73

Steve

The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE to fight in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

From -1931980579395035930
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ccbff352593fa73d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: stamp design
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3935B1A3.18FC@worldnet.att.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629684250
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <ojAX4.115$vP1.5380@ord-read.news.verio.net> <3eCX4.73$c5.71325@news.ntplx.net> <392F47DB.33C9@worldnet.att.net> <V1dZ4.25$d5.33217@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 959820161 12.77.85.149 (Thu, 01 Jun 2000 00:42:41 GMT)

```
Organization: home
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: wyn@worldnet.att.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 00:42:41 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
>
> Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> > Lastly, encourage your BoD to send out questionaires canvasing their
> > constituents on various upcoming issues.
>
> My director has done so on a number of occasions.
>
> Polling is NOT the best way to get member input, IMHO; issues are best
> solved by those who have more vested interest than checking off a box on a
> question they may or may not fully understand or may or may not be very
> interested in.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Hi Ed,
  First, I think that a Director that cannot formulate an important
issue or problem with ham radio, showing various facits of it, so that
his constituents can understand it, is not much of a leader.

  Second, I think that there are too few directors.  Our division covers
two call areas.  While I was a member of ARRL, I don't recall getting
any info from a director except when time for election or reelection.
What are the rules for increasing the number of directors?

73,
Clay N4AOX



From -8162449238930173315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <8h4d1h$2cl$1@news.hawaii.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629694435
References: <8gc52g019i7@enews1.newsguy.com>
<delW4.21042$S31.454241@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<8h1rav$9vn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <MPG.139e41439ec0a07d98993a@news.interactive.net>
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
```

X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 959821681 2453 128.171.44.55 (1 Jun 2000 01:08:01 GMT)
Organization: University of Hawaii
NNTP-Posting-Date: 1 Jun 2000 01:08:01 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve - KF2TI/AE (Landing, NJ) <kf2ti@interactive.net> wrote:
>And they just reported that WWII aged veterans were dying at a rate of
>1000 per week and the national cemeteries are filling up.

Steve, that figure is 1000 per DAY!!

Jeff

From 1660221088447458031
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000531220326.22842.00000062@nso-mf.aol.com>
X-Deja-AN: 629704470
References: <F6897F61574458A6.732F4750847CB822.72E9618F80865852@lp.airnews.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <F6897F61574458A6.732F4750847CB822.72E9618F80865852@lp.airnews.net>,
"Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20000531060839.15983.00001691@nso-fk.aol.com...
>> In article
><AF7C9C99A3833910.243B486801B9C24F.EEE87F0AB70F2641@lp.airnews.net>,
>> "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:
>>
>> >> Your call is obviously of the latter category. You've told us why you
>> >chose it.
>> >> You knew that it's common ham practice to separate the suffix in
>vanity
>> >> callsigns. You've also said you wouldn't utter the word formed from the
>> >suffix
>> >> on the air.
>> >
>> >You assume an awful lot.
>>
>> I don't assume a thing in the above. You've told us everything contained
>in
>> those three sentences of mine right here on rrap in the past week or so.
>
>Where have I said anything about using a suffix as a name?

When you said you knew a fellow nicknamed "len" who'd done just that.

Nowhere in those three quoted sentences do I say you knew about using a suffix
as a name. Rather, the custom has been to separate the suffix as a WORD, or
abbreviation. That you knew this is obvious because otherwise you wouldn't have
chosen the suffix that you did.
>
>> >I don't know anyone, anyone at all, that uses
>> >their suffix as a name?! Do you?
>>
>> Sure. It's an old custom. Just because you don't know of something doesn't
>mean
>> it doesn't exist.
>
>I believe your statement, *was* Jim, that I *KNEW* that was a "common ham
>practice."

To look at the suffix as a separate word surely is.

> Don't pull your crap.
>
Why the profanity?
>>
>> Then why choose the call you did?
>
>Well, since it's your statement that I've explained all that, and since you
>still don't get it; my repeating it another time for your benefit will
>probably go over your head again.

It didn't go over my head at all. I just don't accept it as the only reason,
because your other statements contradict that. Ayn Rand says that true
contradictions do not exist in reality. When an apparent contradiction is
found, one should check one's premises, because at least one of them is wrong.
That's what I'm doing.
>
>> Sure you did. You've said so right here in rrap. Now you're backpedaling.
>
>Doesn't that make a great buzz word?

Just the simple truth.

> If you believe that, I have no ounce
>of indifference to your belief.

I have no idea what "I have no ounce of indifference" means.
>
> >> Why not? Do you think it's OK to have the word in your callsign, but
>not
>> >have a
>> >> discussion about same?
>> >
>> >Obviously, yes.

>>
>> Seems hypocritical to me. Double standard. All talk and no action.
>
>Once again, *your* opinion, Jim. Not mine.

Of course. I'm just commenting on an apparent contradiction. Is that not allowed on rrap?

> Why do you insist on asking a
>question, then stating an objection (that was obviously going to be issued)
>to the answer? That is so weird.

No, it's a time-honored method of inquiry. It's called the Socratic method. Questions are asked, and comments made on the responses.

All I'm doing is asking simple questions and commenting on the answers, in an effort to discover people's opinions on the subject, and their reasoning. If their reasoning appears to be faulty, I point that out.

> Could you not state what you believe
>without comment from me?

Were I to state what I think, I'm pretty sure you'd have plenty of comment.

> Weird.....

Not at all. The method dates back thousands of years.
>
>> >And, Jim, you don't have to if you don't want to.
>>
>> I simply know better. I was a kid once.
>
>Oh, and I was not.

Did I say that? Nope.

> Now, you're the one with the only, the most, the largest
>experience over every other past kid.

Did I say that? Nope.

You presented a scenario where you claim to know what a kid would understand and not understand. Sounds like you were presenting yourself as the definitive authority on the subject. My experience is simply different than yours. Why are you so threatened by that?

> Okie dokie....<G>
>
Then you agree.

>> > *If* there is a kid
>> >sitting there who picks up on what the hell we are talking about...it
>isn't

>> >because *I* taught them anything.
>>
>> So that lets you off the hook? Nope. You ARE teaching them something, by
>having
>> such a conversation in their presence.
>>
>> I knew all of the "dirty" words, and what they meant, by the time I was
>ten or
>> so. Also knew that they were NOT to be used in most situations - by the
>example
>> set by the adults around me.
>
><SHRUG> Your experience, not mine.

That's all I'm saying.

> K?

I have no idea what the single letter "K" is supposed to mean in this context.
>
>> > And, if they pick up on it, understand
>> >it, and see that we can have fun with it, I personally believe this is a
>> >healthier kid.
>>
>> I don't.
>
><SHRUG> Your opinion, not mine. K?

I have no idea what the single letter "K" is supposed to mean in this context.
>> >
>> >And I maintain that those peoples' problems are not my concern. I cannot
>> >convince a naysayer of anything more than they wish to believe in.
>>
>> In other words, you want to do what you want to do and to (expletive
>deleted)
>> with everybody else. That sort of attitude is a prime reason for the slow
>> erosion of social values, and the rise of things like CC&Rs.
>
>In some cases, yeah, Jim.

Fine - we agree on something.

> I don't just "want" to do what I want to do. I *DO* it.

That's even worse. Let me rephrase:

In other words, you DO what you want to do and to (expletive deleted) with
everybody else. That sort of attitude is a prime reason for the slow erosion of
social values, and the rise of things like CC&Rs.
>
>And, if you wish me to be the erosion of social values,

Whoa there. I did NOT say YOU. I said "that attitude".

> and the
>rise of things like CC&Rs (Bwahhahhaaa), you just carry your happy little
>butt off to lala land and be happy... Because I know different.

You "know different"? How? Show us some proof.

One of the reasons for the rise of things like CC&Rs, and their widespread acceptance is the fact that many people simply don't trust their neighbors to be good neighbors, and behave in a community-spirited way. So all sorts of details are codified into deed restrictions and covenants that are practically impossible to change, so people will be contractually bound to behave in certain ways. like keeping their grass cut, their houses painted and in good repair, etc. Ask Aaron Jones - he's seen it first hand.

The erosion of social values comes directly from people's obsession with their "rights" but not the associated responsibilities. They want freedom to do what they want, but don't want to consider the effects of their actions on others. The person who says "if my behavior bothers you, that's YOUR problem" is a good example of this.

>(To use
>someone else's science, *ahem*)

Give us some proof, please.
>>
>> >This topic is not all about just you, Jim.
>>
>> It seems you want it to be all about YOU, Kim.
>
>Ah, so you agree.

You prove my point for me. Thank YOU.

>Thank you. You asked (questions) for dialogue (requiring
>answers), I responded.

Yup.

>You didn't like the answers.

I see apparent contradictions in the answers, and point them out. Also point out the effects of those answers.

> Can't say I wasn't truthful. Can't say

Have I EVER posted that someone was lying? Nope. You can check it in deja.
>
>> >There's been several people who are actually participating and many,
>> >many more who simply read.
>>
>> So? You can make your statements, but I cannot clarify them?
>

>Wait, now *YOU'RE* going to clarify my statements?

Yup. By pointing out contradictions, as noted above.

> You so funny.
>

Thank you.

>> >Your opinion.
>>
>> No, simple fact.
>
>Lookit you.You can have your opinions...I *know* different.

Then give us some proof. I have.

>(That's
>feeling pretty good right about now...thanks for that line, Jim.)

You have to back it up, though.
>> >
>> >It means that my callsign, nor my willingness to have it, has anything to
>do
>> >with me being sexually suggestive, lude, obscene, etc.
>>
>> Methinks you doth protest too much.
>
>Yuh, and you are the veritable dreamer, eh?

Nope. Just noting that you deny things nobody here has accused you of.
>>
>> > You are a sick puppy.
>>
>> Why am I "sick" because I ask for clarification of a subject YOU brought
>up?
>
>OK, here's the clarification thing again. Jim, you don't need clarification
>of a thing from me.

I don't need anything from you, Kim.

> You already have your opinions, and I could write 'til
>my fingers bled at the tips without changing your mind,

Why do you want to change my mind? You haven't even asked what I think - you
just assume you know.

> allowing for any
>kind of understanding, etc.

I understand a lot. I think that's what bothers you the most.

```
>You play innocent by saying you need clarification.

I don't need anyhting from you, Kim. The question I asked was:

"Why am I "sick" because I ask for clarification of a subject YOU brought up?"

>Like I said, you so funny.

A slick way to avoid answering a simple question.
>
>> >> Would you rather go back 40-50 years to when people "knew their place"
>and
>> >> didn't get "uppity"?
>> >
>> >Excuse me?
>>
>> In other posts, you've expressed regret that manners are not what they
>used to
>> be. Your attitude towards my innocent questions indicates you consider me
>> "uppity".
>
>There ya go!  That's what the "excuse me" was for.  We don't need to go back
>40-50 years ago....LOL
>> >
>> >Then quit asking questions.....
>>
>> So you would silence my free speech, but not your own. Double standard.
>>
>You so funny.

No, just stating the facts.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY



From -8269708010908362774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3935D9E0.7499E107@worldnet.att.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629726212
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39358FF2.CE19E3A6@IEEE.org> <20000531195239.10995.00000477@ng-
fl1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 959830486 12.75.43.220 (Thu, 01 Jun
2000 03:34:46 GMT)
```

```
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 03:34:46 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy



Steve Robeson wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 05/31/2000 5:19 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >Obviously since you seem to know the answer you must have the  FBI statistics
> so why not just publish them. If you don't have them, what does that say about
> your references?<
>
>      I have several sources, Cecil, most of which are EMS related articles on
> providing care in urban enviroments, especially where gang activity is rampant.
>  The footnotes quote several FBI, DoJ, ATF and LAPD studies.
>
>      Guess which three socioeconomic subgroups you are most likely to be
> victimized in by a gang...?!?!
>
>      Even taking thier word on the matter with a huge grain of salt, I trust
> thier opinions on the matter way before I do yours.
>
> >If you don't have them, what does that say about your references?<
>
>      Journal of Emergency Medical Services of Solana Beach, CA
>
>      Emergency Medical Services magazine of Van Nuys, CA.
>
>      Journal Of the American Medical Association.
>
>      Journal of the American Association of Osteopathic Surgeons.  (THE
> organization that instituted EMS in the United States...they ARE the autorities
> on trauma in the United States)
>
>      Fish or cut bait Cecil...just ADMIT you made your numbers up since it is
> so overwelmingly apparent that you CANNOT substantiate your assertions.
>
>      You're busted, Cecil...more "King of the Mountain" assertions caught in
> the light of day by truth and facts.
>
>      I am sure Mark and Lennie are proud to have you in thier company.
>
> Steve

   There is no faster way to guarantee the limitless life of a
thread on Usenet, than to say say "F*ck You" in Netspeak: Cite Your
Source.
```

At that instant the argument goes from topic to arguing the validity of the sources. So, experienced Netters, don't.

Personally, I invite individuals requiring statistics to look them up themselves, and verify at the same time the validity of the source.

But the real argument to be made in most cases is not in the debate of the minutiae of statistics, but in bigger pictures. Stepping back a level from the quantitative to the qualitative, produces a greater clarity of direction,and result. Often it the very numbers in an argument are the tool of obfuscation of either or both sides. Of course while the numbers never lie, the interpretations laid upon them do. Avoidance of the minutiae permits avoidance of misrepresentation of the numbers.

Recall that Clinton's strategy has always been to debate the tiniest of details in language, while avoiding discussion of the real issue of his truthfulness.

So it is with this discussion: Now involved in statistics of gang predation, instead of the issue of quality of life and liberty as the thread began.

It's an interesting thing to watch.

Just a thought.

From 7578554625117863859
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601004325.19467.00000429@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629744316
References: <393590B2.262596F3@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <393590B2.262596F3@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>A B.S/M.E. degree, MENSA membership, and 45 years of experience
>are not enough?
>

Cecil:

If you're so smart, why aren't you a Post hole Digger?

73 de Larry, K3LT
AA Liberal Arts
AAS Computer Science
AAS Transportation Management
BS Human Resources Management, Summa Cum Laude
Member, Delta Epsilon Rho and Sigma Beta Delta Honor Societies
IQ: 156
MENSA: Wouldn't touch it with a 3-meter pole!

From 6292890808244383216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601004326.19467.00000430@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629744321
References: <3935894A.142FC874@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <3935894A.142FC874@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Steve Robeson wrote:
>> You are, to say the VERY least, stretching for it on this one, Cecil.
>> Don't embarass yourself any further, it's really humiliating, you know.
>
>Steve, I realize that different parts of the country have different
>language characteristics. But if you walk up to the average adult
>male Texan and tell him that if he does 'X' he will "end up very
>deeply regretting it" you had better duck. Such a statement probably
>falls under the simple assault laws in Texas and self-defense is
>probably the result. To "end up" is pretty serious and final stuff.
>

Cecil:

Any Texan who utilized physical "self-defense" for something I said
to him verbally, would, indeed, "end up" very deeply regretting it!
And that's a copper-bottom promise, son!

BTW -- Whenever I've been in Texas, all the people I met were
polite, friendly, and considerate -- nothing like you or Kim!

73 de Larry, K3LT
Delaware: They don't call it "The First State" for nothing!

From -3269724601344805805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601004326.19467.00000431@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629744324
References: <39358A57.DF6C68C3@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <39358A57.DF6C68C3@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> ROFLMAO!!! Thanks, Cecil, I haven't had that good a laugh
>> in several days, not even from Kim!
>
>You may be able to laugh up there in your little postage stamp
>sized yankee state but I would advise against coming to Texas and
>saying to a Texan that he is going to "end up very deeply regretting"
>something. That is definitely considered a threat down here. Criminals
>in Texas have been convicted of simple assault for less.
>

Cecil:

Izzat so? Well, if you come to Dover, just remember not to walk
down S. New St. or Capitol Park alone after sundown -- or you're
going to end up deeply regretting it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4012994560007429103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601004326.19467.00000432@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629744325
References: <HUcZ4.23$d5.33043@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <HUcZ4.23$d5.33043@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>> Why the hell would I need some steenking gyro or an even more
>> steenking whiz-bang computer radio just to fly an R/C helicopter?
>> I'm a natural-born R/C pilot -- literally just picked up a transmitter
>> and started flying -- well!
>
>What's this? You didn't prove your skill at flying by taking a test first?
>Does that mean that your flying accomplishments are not valid? :-)
>

Ed:

Flying radio controlled model airplanes does not require a license. The transmitters used to operate under Part 95 and required a CB license, but that requirement has been dropped; we now have about 50 channels in the 72 - 75 MHz region. Don't know all the technical details because I've been away from it for years. And whether or not my flying accomplishments are "valid" is something I could care less about. If some sort of licensing and testing for a license were necessary, I would just do whatever was necessary to pass the tests, then keyboard-slam all the lazy whiners who didn't want to learn something useful to pass the tests! Any other questions?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8030646488447571141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601004327.19467.00000433@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629744331
References: <20000531162040.00132.00000867@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <20000531162040.00132.00000867@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) writes:

>
>You'll be OK Larry, one of these days. Just keep taking the Prozak as
>prescribed.
>

Prozak? What's that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8304943305771775984
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601013412.10650.00000661@ng-fm1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629755426
References: <3935D9E0.7499E107@worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>From: Peter Maus PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 05/31/2000 10:34 PM Central Daylight Time

>Personally, I invite individuals requiring statistics to look them up
themselves, and verify at the same time the validity of the source<

I would have loved to, Peter, except Cecil refused (is still refusing) to cite his source to BE validated.

> So it is with this discussion: Now involved in statistics of gang predation,
instead of the issue of quality of life and liberty as the thread began.<

Quality of life...in gang infested communities? Here is where you should back up and consider the QUANTITY of life.

>There is no faster way to guarantee the limitless life of a thread on Usenet,
than to say say "F*ck You" in Netspeak: Cite Your Source.<

Thank you for your insight.

Are you kin to Miss Manners or Len Anderson?

Steve

From 8343853627597509529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601012511.10650.00000658@ng-fm1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629755772
References: <3935D3B4.81960751@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 05/31/2000 10:08 PM Central Daylight Time

>It's pretty hard for a non-member of MENSA to understand, Steve. The area under the upper 2% of the bell-shaped curve does not average out to 1%.<

You may find this hard to believe, Cecil. but it does not require a MENSA-leval IQ nor a degree in statistics to understand the workings of a bell curve.

And the percentage under that 2% doesn't impress me when the other 98% of that curve pulls that 2% closer to the middle.

Not very impressive.

From 2002578200840864701
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601020020.10650.00000669@ng-fm1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629760553
References: <3935D635.D07C7F1B@IEEE.org>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 05/31/2000 10:19 PM Central Daylight Time

>I didn't make any assertions, Steve<

Yes you did.

>I said I wouldn't be surprised if the majority of gangs are white.<

That's NOT what you said, Cecil.

>I still wouldn't be surprised if the majority of gangs are white.<

That's because the scope of your experiences and knowledge are limited.

> That's NOT an assertion that the majority of gangs are white.<

Your original post was.

>Why do you make false statements?<

I haven't made one in this thread, Cecil. I HAVE called into question YOUR assertion and asked you to validate it with some sort of facts.

Why do you engineer questions so as to be insulting and misleading when you know such is not the case?

We used to call that a "cheap shot". VERY much below the character of a person alleged to be a MENSA member or a fellow of the IEEE, isn't it?

You have tried to redirect from that comment since I called you on it rather than just say "it was my opinion, not a fact". That would have been the end of it.

Instead, you embarked on what some (one) would call an "obfuscation" of the matter.

You have further tried to impress us with your education and alleged membership in MENSA as if either had anything to do with the facts or the topic at hand. Neither do.

As a matter of fact, I would expect that a person with those (alleged) credentials would know how to do a bit of research and communicate the results of same. You can't even state an opinion effectively.

"There are as many white gangs as there are black" (your initial comment) is a statement, NOT an opinion.

"In my experience..." limits the statement to just that...YOUR experience. It is fact, but limiting in nature to the limits of your experience.

Please try some accuracy, OK?

Steve

```
From -4928140757554373206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601055031.15983.00001820@nso-fk.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629810492
References: <3DDCB1136890269A.3BB05C20C3021871.040E39D84B909E2E@lp.airnews.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

In article <3DDCB1136890269A.3BB05C20C3021871.040E39D84B909E2E@lp.airnews.net>,
"Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20000531220326.22842.00000062@nso-mf.aol.com...
>>
>> It didn't go over my head at all. I just don't accept it as the only
>reason,
>> because your other statements contradict that. Ayn Rand says that true
>> contradictions do not exist in reality. When an apparent contradiction is
>> found, one should check one's premises, because at least one of them is
>wrong.
>> That's what I'm doing.
>
>I don't think there's a contradiction.

Of course you don't. That's why you don't like it when I point them out.

> There's a difference of opinion.

Lots of those. However everything is not just an opinion.

> If
>I say a tree *is* making noise in the woods, even if nothing is around to
>hear it; you might say it does not.

True.

>That is not a contradiction. It is a
>difference of opinion.

No, it's a contradiction. The thing to do is to check the premises - such as
"what is 'noise'?"
>>
>> All I'm doing is asking simple questions and commenting on the answers, in
>an
>> effort to discover people's opinions on the subject, and their reasoning.
>If
>> their reasoning appears to be faulty, I point that out.
>>
>
>I am near certain you aren't interested in other peoples' opinions.

You are completely mistaken about that. I'm greatly interested in other
people's opinions. That does not mean I always agree with them or adopt them as
my own. I only do that when another's opinion is based on sound reasoning.

I'm even more interested in relevant facts, such as those posted by
knowledgeable folks like Phil Kane.

>I am
>absolutely certain I am not interested in yours.

That's quite obvious. Your motives in posting here are quite different from
mine. Just as your motives in callsign choice are different than mine.

It's interesting to note that you want to express an opinion about practically
everything said here, and to examine the opinions, motives and actions of
others but do NOT want your opinions, motives and actions to be examined by
others. Classic double standard.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1657562808458782229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID:
<F1DF3FF9309FE410.71DFD7EA8A0EAB1F.58A3C05E508C4C7B@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629838504
References: <3935894A.142FC874@IEEE.org> <20000601004326.19467.00000430@nso-md.aol.com>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8h5hmo$igt@library1.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Thu Jun  1 06:33:44 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: 204.181.96.50
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Yep, strangers, who know nothing of each other, probably would be civil and friendly to each other. It's once people learn a little of each other that personal likes and dislikes yield to those gracious types of feelings. Your "welcome" would be short-lived with most people, Larry, if you expressed yourself in the same manner as you do here in the Newsgroup. Simple fact.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim
NCI 3343

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20000601004326.19467.00000430@nso-md.aol.com...
>
> BTW -- Whenever I've been in Texas, all the people I met were
> polite, friendly, and considerate -- nothing like you or Kim!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> Delaware: They don't call it "The First State" for nothing!
>

```
From -5891699977942502312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID:
<77A3DB1A95D1375B.B98CCD3CDCBAE988.EA8EFD0934B0030A@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629841496
References: <3DDCB1136890269A.3BB05C20C3021871.040E39D84B909E2E@lp.airnews.net>
<20000601055031.15983.00001820@nso-fk.aol.com>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8h5ii9$k4f@library1.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Thu Jun  1 06:48:25 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: 204.181.96.50
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
```

Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000601055031.15983.00001820@nso-fk.aol.com...
> In article
<3DDCB1136890269A.3BB05C20C3021871.040E39D84B909E2E@lp.airnews.net>,
> "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20000531220326.22842.00000062@nso-mf.aol.com...
> >
> >I don't think there's a contradiction.
>
> Of course you don't. That's why you don't like it when I point them out.
>

I haven't seen a contradiction yet. I find your observation that you think
I don't "like" something you've posted, quite interesting. The only
"feeling" I have about your posts is that our communication is nothing short
of a viciuos circle. You may have time for that, but I do not.

>
> >That is not a contradiction. It is a
> >difference of opinion.
>
> No, it's a contradiction. The thing to do is to check the premises - such
as
> "what is 'noise'?"
> >>

The first part of the scenario on that is the science of it. All else is
opinion, philosophy, etc.

> >> All I'm doing is asking simple questions and commenting on the answers,
in an
> >> effort to discover people's opinions on the subject, and their
reasoning. If
> >> their reasoning appears to be faulty, I point that out.
> >>

And, that, dear Jim, is your opinion. But, you accept it as fact for
yourself.

> >
> >I am near certain you aren't interested in other peoples' opinions.
>
> You are completely mistaken about that. I'm greatly interested in other
> people's opinions. That does not mean I always agree with them or adopt
them as
> my own. I only do that when another's opinion is based on sound
reasoning.
>

Being interested in another person's opinions has nothing to do with whether you agree with them or "adopt" them as your own. It has to do with finding an interest in the aspect of another person's view, *without* regard to those things!! I didn't realize that we were trying to win friends and influence people. If I'd known such a thing, I'd have not let you see my *real* thoughts.

> I'm even more interested in relevant facts, such as those posted by
> knowledgeable folks like Phil Kane.
>

Then, initiate dialogue with Phil and quit wasting your time asking me your irrelevant questions.

> >I am
> >absolutely certain I am not interested in yours.
>
> That's quite obvious. Your motives in posting here are quite different
from
> mine. Just as your motives in callsign choice are different than mine.
>

There ya go....let's get right back full circle, eh? You don't like my callsign, and that has been the total motivation for your posts and dialogue here with me. You aren't interested in my opinion, you could care less. You *are* interested in maintaining a dialogue that publicizes your displeasure with my callsign. Thank you for proving my point.

> It's interesting to note that you want to express an opinion about
practically
> everything said here, and to examine the opinions, motives and actions of
> others but do NOT want your opinions, motives and actions to be examined
by
> others. Classic double standard.
>

I have no problem at all with debating opinions. I'd say the double standard is in your stating that you wish to debate, but more wish to condemn through your debate. See? It's all in perspective and opinion... :) PS--I wouldn't spend so much time being mad at someone for their choice of callsign, either. But, that's *my* perspective and *my* opinion.

Kim W5TIT

From 6188406737684503121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID:
<662B78851400338C.48C716D20F29B985.0939630BD8A0914C@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629845668
References: <39358FF2.CE19E3A6@IEEE.org> <20000531195239.10995.00000477@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <3935D9E0.7499E107@worldnet.att.net>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8h5jf8$38p@library1.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Thu Jun  1 07:03:53 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: 204.181.96.50
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

You made way, way too much sense...  ; )

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim
NCI 3343

"Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3935D9E0.7499E107@worldnet.att.net...
>
> Avoidance of the minutiae permits
> avoidance of misrepresentation of the numbers.
>
>    So it is with this discussion: Now involved in statistics of gang
> predation, instead of the issue of quality of life and liberty as
> the thread began.
>
>    It's an interesting thing to watch.
>
>    Just a thought.





From -3989212855224403902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <8h5n4e$sko$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629854435
```

```
References: <8gmr7b$qpp$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20000527065120.23178.00000946@nso-
fz.aol.com> <8h0vvn$kpi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <I%cZ4.24$d5.33086@news.ntplx.net>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.172.160.228
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jun 01 13:06:28 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; Windows 95)

In article <I%cZ4.24$d5.33086@news.ntplx.net>,
  "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> <horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > > I would not be surprised to see the total number of US hams reach
> > 700,000
> > > before February of 2001.
>
> > Now THAT sounds like a good bet. <snip> My guess is that the ARS
won't
> have
> > more than 680,000 members in 2/2001.
>
> How about a lobster dinner, payable the next time one of us in the
other's
> area.

Hey! That's MY bet!

"Everybody wants to get into the act" - Jimmy Durante

>I offer that by 2/28/2001 there will be more than 680,000 licensed
> Amateur Radio operators.

In the USA, as recorded by AH0A and/or the ARRL license totals. I
offered Stew something similar, but he wouldn't bite.

You get near EPA, Ed, lemme know, we'll do the lobster dinner thing
without a bet.
>
> > If there ISN'T a technical breakthru or effective license
restructuring
> > within the next 2 years, I expect the numbers to start steadily
> > decreasing beginning late this year.
>
> Add to it the shrimp cocktail, that the number of licensed US
amateurs on
> 2/28/2001 will be higher than it is on 12/31/2000.

I'm getting hungry.

Don't you mean 12/31/1999?
>
> > One thing that I know would spur interest, at least on the UHF
```

bands,
> > would be a decent low-cost, hi-speed(>56Kbps) digital radio. If we
see
> > such an animal in the near future, numbers will start to increase,
> > instead of decrease.

Maybe. What would folks DO with such a rig that they cannot do now with
9600 baud packet?
> >
73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From 5776023790234602161
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <rMsZ4.35$d5.40504@news.ntplx.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629855338
References: <HUcZ4.23$d5.33043@news.ntplx.net> <20000601004326.19467.00000432@nso-md.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 959862935 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 01 Jun 2000 08:35:35 EDT)
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 08:35:35 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> >> Why the hell would I need some steenking gyro or an even more
> >> steenking whiz-bang computer radio just to fly an R/C helicopter?
> >> I'm a natural-born R/C pilot -- literally just picked up a transmitter
> >> and started flying -- well!

> >What's this? You didn't prove your skill at flying by taking a test
first?
> >Does that mean that your flying accomplishments are not valid? :-)

> Flying radio controlled model airplanes does not require a license.
> The transmitters used to operate under Part 95 and required a CB
> license, but that requirement has been dropped; we now have

> about 50 channels in the 72 - 75 MHz region. Don't know all the
> technical details because I've been away from it for years. And
> whether or not my flying accomplishments are "valid" is something
> I could care less about. If some sort of licensing and testing for
> a license were necessary, I would just do whatever was necessary
> to pass the tests, then keyboard-slam all the lazy whiners who
> didn't want to learn something useful to pass the tests! Any
> other questions?

Yeah, but the answer would be as convoluted as this one was, so I am sure it would serve no purpose. I think that your answer showed your colors just fine, Larry; I am quite content to let it stand on its own.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1354643057302204903
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <39365B0F.5276D071@worldnet.att.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629857817
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <3935D9E0.7499E107@worldnet.att.net>
<20000601013412.10650.00000661@ng-fm1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 959863556 12.75.65.229 (Thu, 01 Jun
2000 12:45:56 GMT)
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 12:45:56 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Steve Robeson wrote:
>

> >From: Peter Maus PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net
> >Date: 05/31/2000 10:34 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >Personally, I invite individuals requiring statistics to look them up
> themselves, and verify at the same time the validity of the source<

>
>   I would have loved to, Peter, except Cecil refused (is still refusing) to
> cite his source to BE validated.

He is the source. He expressed an opinion. There is no supporting
source to a personal opinion. Further, he explained why he expressed
the opinion that he did.
>
> > So it is with this discussion: Now involved in statistics of gang predation,
> instead of the issue of quality of life and liberty as the thread began.<
>
>   Quality of life...in gang infested communities? Here is where you should
> back up and consider the QUANTITY of life.
>
And so the argument shifts from the picture to the frame. Derailed
again.

> >There is no faster way to guarantee the limitless life of a thread on Usenet,
> than to say say "F*ck You" in Netspeak: Cite Your Source.<
>
>   Thank you for your insight.
>
>   Are you kin to Miss Manners or Len Anderson?

Cute, but not relevant...unless you're sewing the lace on my
apron.

From -806481735378164227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: General Mark Morgan <one.big.asshole@ahole.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words" or why does Cecil sprew bull shit...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <393679BE.C351A732@ahole.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629860421
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39340B49.6FD43BC6@IEEE.org> <20000530221749.19467.00000063@nso-md.aol.com> <393492CC.392101E1@IEEE.org> <393529B0.9CBACB90@ahole.com> <39358C4A.E9475535@IEEE.org>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Trace: pm01news.wcom.com 959864166 166.35.226.102 (Thu, 01 Jun 2000 12:56:06 GMT)
MIME-Version: 1.0
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 12:56:06 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey Cecil, you mean you live in Texas and have never been in a
tornado? Been here 10 years and went through two.....

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> General Mark Morgan wrote:
> > Pure bull shit.  Seems everything has happened to Cecil.  Is there
> > anything that hasn't?
>
> When one lives on the edge for 45 years, a lot of things happen.
> But everything has not happened. I have never been in a plane
> crash. Amazingly enough, I have never been in a tornado. I've
> been shot at but never hit. Lots of things haven't happened
> to me.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -3372915907838179735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: General Mark Morgan <one.big.asshole@ahole.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words": Hey Larry
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <39367A07.9CA667D7@ahole.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629860742
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <3933B6E9.45CE2DF4@IEEE.org> <20000530110650.10818.00005558@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3934219B.EAEE22ED@ahole.com> <ep4n#Sqy$GA.311@cpmsnbbsa07>
<393524C6.EFD32AB@ahole.com> <#7b3q#0y$GA.320@cpmsnbbsa07>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Trace: pm01news.wcom.com 959864239 166.35.226.102 (Thu, 01 Jun 2000 12:57:19
GMT)
MIME-Version: 1.0
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 12:57:19 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Byte me......

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> General Mark Morgan wrote
> >Hey Aaron, if you can read the subject line said Hey Larry, NOT hey
> >Aaron.  Please keep your comments to yourself...
>
> Hey General, this is an *open newsgroup*. Please keep your comments to
> yourself if you don't want a group response...



From 9041856127795869437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
From: Jim West <Jim@jameswest.com>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629875920
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Trace: pm01news.wcom.com 959867356 166.37.58.45 (Thu, 01 Jun 2000 13:49:16 GMT)
Organization: Random Mixed Sequential
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: Jim@jameswest.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 13:49:16 GMT
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
scanner
```

On Wed, 31 May 2000 11:23:28 -0400, "Sycho_Graphics"
<Sycho_Graphics@email.msn.com> wrote:

>In short, WHO CARES. Let the ignorant, self-centered, egotistical basterds
>all go away so I don't have to hear about how great HAM was in 1960 one more
>damn time. I think they are a joke, cops think they are a joke, most local
>authorities (In real cities not shit towns) think they are a joke, anyone
>under the age of 30 thinks they are a joke, they are the only ones who seem
>to take themselves (way too) seriously. BYE, bye and good riddance.

Couldn't pass the morse code test eh?

>
>
>"me" <@.> wrote in message
>news:1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net...
>Funny. In 2 or so years there will be 200 hams.
>Those 200 hams will still think there are alot of hams.
>1 HAM is one ego and a waste of time too many (CW). :)
>
>

```
From -6625419211924653315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <39366D0D.9139B536@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629881469
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39358A57.DF6C68C3@IEEE.org> <20000601004326.19467.00000431@nso-
md.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 14:09:28 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Izzat so?  Well, if you come to Dover, just remember not to walk
> down S. New St. or Capitol Park alone after sundown -- or you're
> going to end up deeply regretting it!

Larry, are you going to shoot me on sight?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From 7675807348144622639
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words" or why does Cecil sprew bull shit...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <39366D97.83884C59@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629883076
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39340B49.6FD43BC6@IEEE.org> <20000530221749.19467.00000063@nso-
md.aol.com> <393492CC.392101E1@IEEE.org> <393529B0.9CBACB90@ahole.com>
<39358C4A.E9475535@IEEE.org> <393679BE.C351A732@ahole.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 14:11:45 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

General Mark Morgan wrote:
```

> Hey Cecil, you mean you live in Texas and have never been in a
> tornado? Been here 10 years and went through two.....

That's right. I have never even seen a tornado except
on television. I feel left out. My daughter has lived
in Texas for only a year and has seen one.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5192455898054878721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <39366CA7.F2CBEF7C@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629885108
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <3935894A.142FC874@IEEE.org> <20000601004326.19467.00000430@nso-md.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 14:07:47 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> BTW -- Whenever I've been in Texas, all the people I met were
> polite, friendly, and considerate --

I'm really surprised any Texan would be friendly to
you after you told him that he "would end up
deeply regretting" any deviation from your personal
Victorian principles.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5056833241767029872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <393671F6.424610CD@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629887906
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
References: <3935D9E0.7499E107@worldnet.att.net>
<20000601013412.10650.00000661@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<39365B0F.5276D071@worldnet.att.net>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 14:30:26 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Peter Maus wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson wrote:
> > I would have loved to, Peter, except Cecil refused (is still refusing) to
> > cite his source to BE validated.
>
> He is the source. He expressed an opinion. There is no supporting
> source to a personal opinion. Further, he explained why he expressed
> the opinion that he did.

Stating that I wouldn't be surprised if the majority of gangs
were white is the *absolute truth*. I definitely would NOT be
surprised if the majority of gangs were white. It is up to
Steve to prove that I would be surprised. So Steve, how are
you going to prove that I would be surprised?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From 6755476340100308641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <39366F08.74526C00@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629889851
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <3935D3B4.81960751@IEEE.org> <20000601012511.10650.00000658@ng-
fm1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 14:17:57 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >It's pretty hard for a non-member of MENSA to understand, Steve. The area
> >under the upper 2% of the bell-shaped curve does not average out to 1%.
```

```
>
> You may find this hard to believe, Cecil. but it does not require a
> MENSA-leval IQ nor a degree in statistics to understand the workings
> of a bell curve.

Do I need to put a smiley face on it for the humor impaired?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 8178426039972674282
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <39367131.5E009050@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629892937
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <3935D9E0.7499E107@worldnet.att.net>
<20000601013412.10650.00000661@ng-fm1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 14:26:12 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
> I would have loved to, Peter, except Cecil refused (is still refusing) to
> cite his source to BE validated.

Site the source of my statement that I wouldn't be surprised
if the majority of gangs were white? Why, my brain, of course,
which needs no validation. I thought that should be obvious.
I exist, therefore I think. Incidentally, I know of lots of
motorcycle gangs composed of law-abiding citizens. Gangs don't
necessarily have to be engaged in illegal acts. Motorcycle
magazines have advertisments eliciting members for gangs.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 81137420051047402
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/01
```

```
Message-ID: <8h5qt002987@enews4.newsguy.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629894048
References: <393590B2.262596F3@IEEE.org> <20000601004325.19467.00000429@nso-
md.aol.com>
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <20000601004325.19467.00000429@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...
>
>In article <393590B2.262596F3@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>
>>
>>A B.S/M.E. degree, MENSA membership, and 45 years of experience
>>are not enough?
>>
>
>Cecil:
>
>If you're so smart, why aren't you a Post hole Digger?

They've got machines to do that now.

Tom - N8ECW





From 8785832533254965747
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <8h5r5v12987@enews4.newsguy.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629894055
References: <3DDCB1136890269A.3BB05C20C3021871.040E39D84B909E2E@lp.airnews.net>
<20000601055031.15983.00001820@nso-fk.aol.com>
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <20000601055031.15983.00001820@nso-fk.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com says...

<snippage deleted>

>> If
>>I say a tree *is* making noise in the woods, even if nothing is around to
```

>>hear it; you might say it does not.
>
>True.
>
>>That is not a contradiction. It is a
>>difference of opinion.
>
>No, it's a contradiction. The thing to do is to check the premises - such as
>"what is 'noise'?"
>>>
>>> All I'm doing is asking simple questions and commenting on the answers, in
>>an
>>> effort to discover people's opinions on the subject, and their reasoning.
>>If
>>> their reasoning appears to be faulty, I point that out.
>>>
>>
>>I am near certain you aren't interested in other peoples' opinions.

<more snippage deleted>

This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
argument.

Tom - N8ECW

From 1239488544254649623
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <393677AB.C3C9E318@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629897633
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <3935D635.D07C7F1B@IEEE.org> <20000601020020.10650.00000669@ng-fm1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 14:54:48 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >I said I wouldn't be surprised if the majority of gangs are white.<

>
> That's NOT what you said, Cecil.

Yes it is, Steve.

> > That's NOT an assertion that the majority of gangs are white.<
>
> Your original post was.

I've had a computer crash and can't locate the post, Steve, so it is up to you to prove that you are not lying. I would not state that white gangs are in the majority because I do not possess that knowledge.

> >Why do you make false statements?<
>
> I haven't made one in this thread, Cecil. I HAVE called into question
> YOUR assertion and asked you to validate it with some sort of facts.

I don't recall making that assertion, Steve, and I can't find it in the past postings. I seriously doubt that it exists.

> Why do you engineer questions so as to be insulting and misleading when
> you know such is not the case?

I don't know that at all, Steve. All I have is your word and no proof. That's not enough.

> We used to call that a "cheap shot". VERY much below the character of a
> person alleged to be a MENSA member or a fellow of the IEEE, isn't it?

From my perspective, you are falsely accusing of something that I didn't say. That's what I call a cheap shot.

> "There are as many white gangs as there are black" (your initial comment)
> is a statement, NOT an opinion.

Please produce the posting, Steve. I don't remember saying that but if I did, it was certainly only an opinion. You are simply lying when you say I made that as a statement of fact. I have previously stated that unless I state something is a fact, then it is just my personal opinion.

And it is still my opinion that there are as many white gangs as there are black gangs. There are many, many law-abiding gangs that don't appear on the lists you have presented. I, myself, am a member of a white motorcycle gang which would not appear on your lists. Since whites outnumber blacks by such a large percentage, it is only logical that there would be more white gangs than black gangs. Why would a law-abiding white gang appear on any of the lists you have presented?

> Please try some accuracy, OK?

Please stop lying about what I said.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -8775443601939008810
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936785B.DDBEA25D@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629900364
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <393590B2.262596F3@IEEE.org> <20000601004325.19467.00000429@nso-md.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 14:57:41 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Cecil: If you're so smart, why aren't you a Post hole Digger?

My goal was to retire with a million bucks.
I didn't need a PhD for that.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 923764379528247513
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601110420.04654.00001368@ng-ff1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629904412
References: <39365B0F.5276D071@worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>From: Peter Maus PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 06/01/2000 7:45 AM Central Daylight Time

>He is the source. He expressed an opinion.<

No, he made a statement. "There are more white gangs than black"

> There is no supporting source to a personal opinion.<

Quite true, if what is stated is expressed AS an opinion.

>Further, he explained why he expressed the opinion that he did.<

Several days AFTER the fact and being repeatedly asked to qualify his assertion.

> And so the argument shifts from the picture to the frame. Derailed again.<

Yes, by Cecil. But that seems to be neither here NOR there.

>Cute, but not relevant...unless you're sewing the lace on my apron<

Maybe not relevant, but close to home, judging by your response.

Steve

The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE to fight in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

From 6406814177271758657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601111151.04654.00001369@ng-ff1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629905779
References: <393671F6.424610CD@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 06/01/2000 9:23 AM Central Daylight Time

>Stating that I wouldn't be surprised if the majority of gangs were white is

the *absolute truth*<

In this context there would have been no question as to the "source" of your "information" or that this was an opinion, not a fact.

>I definitely would NOT be surprised if the majority of gangs were white.<

I am sure you wouldn't.

>It is up to Steve to prove that I would be surprised. So Steve, how are you going to prove that I would be surprised?<

In this context, were are expressing opinions, not attempting to determine the source of your information to support your assertions.

I am sure you would not be surprised, but the facts available would disprove it.

It's not for me to prove one way or the other, Cecil. The question was what is the source of your assertion that there are more white gangs than black.

You have since backpeddled, tried to make everyone believe that you said something else and are now stating that it was only an opinion.

You now further dilute the issue by trying to place some responsibility upon me to prove wether or not you'd be surprised about something.

Not very Mensan, is it?

Steve

The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE to fight in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

From 6808327852939629037
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601112035.19467.00000561@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629907123
References: <F1DF3FF9309FE410.71DFD7EA8A0EAB1F.58A3C05E508C4C7B@lp.airnews.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <F1DF3FF9309FE410.71DFD7EA8A0EAB1F.58A3C05E508C4C7B@lp.airnews.net>,
"Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:

>
>Yep, strangers, who know nothing of each other, probably would be civil and
>friendly to each other. It's once people learn a little of each other that
>personal likes and dislikes yield to those gracious types of feelings. Your
>"welcome" would be short-lived with most people, Larry, if you expressed
>yourself in the same manner as you do here in the Newsgroup. Simple fact.

Kim:

Well, whenever I'd visited Texas, I did not run into anyone like you
or Cecil; therefore, there was no reason to react to them the same way
I react to the two of you in this newsgroup!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7278622354729892679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601112035.19467.00000562@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629907124
References: <39366CA7.F2CBEF7C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <39366CA7.F2CBEF7C@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> BTW -- Whenever I've been in Texas, all the people I met were
>> polite, friendly, and considerate --
>
>I'm really surprised any Texan would be friendly to
>you after you told him that he "would end up
>deeply regretting" any deviation from your personal
>Victorian principles.

Cecil:

Well, I guess I've never been in Kim's neck o' the woods, and the
issue never came up! And another thing I did notice about the Texans

I'd met is that they were very decent, modest, and moral people --
who would most likely be just as offended by Kim's call sign as
I was! In fact, I believe that they would find Kim's call sign to be
demeaning, uncouth, and very un-Texan-like! Yes, I found Texas
to be a very fine place, indeed -- but you and Kim would seem to
have a lot to answer for to the fine, decent, moral, upstanding
citizens of The Lone Star State!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3522697682530088339
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601112036.19467.00000563@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629907131
References: <39366D0D.9139B536@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <39366D0D.9139B536@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Izzat so? Well, if you come to Dover, just remember not to walk
>> down S. New St. or Capitol Park alone after sundown -- or you're
>> going to end up deeply regretting it!
>
>Larry, are you going to shoot me on sight?
>

Cecil:

Uh, no -- **I** wouldn't be caught dead on S. New St. or Capitol Park!
And why would I shoot you? You're my favorite varmint!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1830585884906289905
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)

```
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <8h5reg22987@enews4.newsguy.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629907326
References: <20000531162040.00132.00000867@ng-fu1.aol.com>
<20000601004327.19467.00000433@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <20000601004327.19467.00000433@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...
>
>In article <20000531162040.00132.00000867@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
>kk7ho@aol.communicate (KK7HO) writes:
>
>>
>>You'll be OK Larry, one of these days. Just keep taking the Prozak as
>>prescribed.
>>
>
>Prozak?  What's that?

Either you've been living in a cave for the past ten years, or you've taken so
much Prozak that you've forgotten what it is.

Tom - N8ECW





From -1899584285916653864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <39367DA9.4DD70F38@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629907610
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39365B0F.5276D071@worldnet.att.net>
<20000601110420.04654.00001368@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 15:20:21 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
> No, he made a statement.  "There are more white gangs than black"
```

Please reproduce the quote, Steve. My computer is sick and I cannot find it. I suspect I said, "There are probably more white gangs than black". And you have produced no valid evidence to prove I'm wrong. Please show me your list of law-abiding gangs. It still stands to reason that since there are so many more whites than blacks, then there would be more white gangs than black gangs. My motorcycle gang is 100% white.

> > There is no supporting source to a personal opinion.<
>
> Quite true, if what is stated is expressed AS an opinion.

I have previously stated, Steve, that all my postings are simply opinions unless otherwise noted. An opinion is my official default position, you know that, and you are just being a jerk.

> >Further, he explained why he expressed the opinion that he did.<
>
> Several days AFTER the fact and being repeatedly asked to qualify his
> assertion.

Well, excuuuuse me, Your Royal Highnee. Guess when the Great One speaks, all us commoners are supposed to step and fetch'it.

--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -7354971438647259399
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ddaccb6319840ba
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Subject: Re: Behind the scenes in the Walker Household.
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <sjd1bms25ri36@corp.supernews.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629917993
References: <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>
<8A182877A56F3F91.5CCF877FE67E319A.43819CF4ACD478CB@lp.airnews.net>
<sj89525i5pj103@corp.supernews.com> <8h2bv1$deh$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Organization: MCN
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com

I love it! TIT gets slammed!

Woulff hong scores Big Time!

```
integrity@arrl.sucks.org (Wouff Hong) wrote in
<8h2bv1$deh$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>:
>
>Kim's Husband: Dinner was great tonight hon, was that a new recipe?
>
>TIT: No, I just kept your TV-Dinner in the microwave a bit longer this
>time.
>
>Kim's Husband: Oh, Thanks, you must have found the directions on the
>package.
>
>TIT: When you're finished eating could you fix my 11 meter antenna? its
>acting up again. I'm getting high SWR with the Linear in-line.
>
>Kim's Husband: Sure thing babe, maybe afterwards we could cuddle a
>little.
>
>TIT: Wish I could, but I got to get back to my LID friends on
>rec.radio.amateur.policy, we're trashing people who want to save ham
>radio and they need my help.
>
>Kim's Husband: Sounds complicated.
>
>TIT: It is, but we have the help of my old high school sweetheart,
>Cecil, and the backing of the ARRL  in the person of Ed Hare. I think
>we're making progress.  There are quite a few others helping too.
>
>Kim's Husband: The toilet paper was all gone in the bathroom, so I had
>to use a paper that came from the FCC.
>
>TIT: That's o.k., Ham Licenses are easy to get now. I hope you used the
>backside. I had to use the frontside earlier.
>
>Kim's Husband: Yes, I saw where you left your mark. It's a bit lumpy
>now, I hope you can get it back in the frame.
>
>TIT: I'll  scrape it with a butter knife after I make a few posts.
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

```
From 513960250161322420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
```

Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601120647.19467.00000566@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629924676
References: <8h5reg22987@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <8h5reg22987@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant) writes:

>>>You'll be OK Larry, one of these days. Just keep taking the Prozak as
>>>prescribed.
>>>
>>
>>Prozak? What's that?
>
>Either you've been living in a cave for the past ten years, or you've taken
>so
>much Prozak that you've forgotten what it is.

Hmmm. Well, I did a Lycos search on the string "Prosak," and I
found things like "Prosak dunnage bags," and some doctors by
that name, and a few other references. However, in this context,
I believe you're referring to "Prosak" as some sort of medication...
and I'm not getting anything like that.

Now, there is an anti-depressant called "Prosac," but obviously,
you wern't talking about that! Maybe if you took your Ritilin, your
spelling would improve!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7185296913906282930
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words": Hey Larry
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <u17wbV#y$GA.325@cpmsnbbsa07>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629929559
References: <3933B6E9.45CE2DF4@IEEE.org> <20000530110650.10818.00005558@nso-fi.aol.com> <3934219B.EAEE22ED@ahole.com> <ep4n#Sqy$GA.311@cpmsnbbsa07> <393524C6.EFD32AB@ahole.com> <#7b3q#0y$GA.320@cpmsnbbsa07> <39367A07.9CA667D7@ahole.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3612.1700

General Mark Morgan wrote

```
>Byte me......


Your motherboard has a hard drive...







From 5224381778788387284
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words": Hey Larry
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <39369037.B40A017E@worldnet.att.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629932072
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <3933B6E9.45CE2DF4@IEEE.org> <20000530110650.10818.00005558@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3934219B.EAEE22ED@ahole.com> <ep4n#Sqy$GA.311@cpmsnbbsa07>
<393524C6.EFD32AB@ahole.com> <#7b3q#0y$GA.320@cpmsnbbsa07>
<39367A07.9CA667D7@ahole.com> <u17wbV#y$GA.325@cpmsnbbsa07>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 959877165 12.75.43.173 (Thu, 01 Jun
2000 16:32:45 GMT)
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 16:32:45 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

> General Mark Morgan wrote
> >Byte me......
>
>
> General Mark Morgan <one.big.asshole@ahole.com>

  Any other questions?




From 4552099159775984333
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ccbff352593fa73d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Subject: Re: stamp design
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <sjd3k6jo5ri177@corp.supernews.com>#1/1
```

X-Deja-AN: 629932245
References: <ojAX4.115$vP1.5380@ord-read.news.verio.net>
<3eCX4.73$c5.71325@news.ntplx.net> <392F47DB.33C9@worldnet.att.net>
<V1dZ4.25$d5.33217@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: MCN
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com

Ed, how much is the BoD paying you per Post for spreading bullshit? They
might quit paying you.

Ed Hare, W1RFI the ARRL BoD propaganda machine, goofed and told a dirty
BoD secret in response to n4aox:

>Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>> Lastly, encourage your BoD to send out questionaires canvasing their
>> constituents on various upcoming issues.

They don't listen to what the membership wants Clay. The BoD has already
ignored & screwed the membership over a year and a half ago in a big way.
I guess what the ham community wanted wasn't in the vested interest of the
corprate bank account.

>My director has done so on a number of occasions.
>
>Polling is NOT the best way to get member input, IMHO; issues are best
>solved by those who have more vested interest than checking off a box
>on a question they may or may not fully understand or may or may not be
>very interested in.

See Ed, what you wrote in the above paragraph proves my point. Why poll
the membership when it might go against the vested interest of the BoD.
Your screwed up BoD assholes have ignored the membership so many times I
don't know why you continue to hold elections!

From -7076891514904060008
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <39369358.CF013BA3@uci.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629936101
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <8gkunb$elh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20000526151853.18766.00001256@nso-fo.aol.com> <t2CX4.71$c5.71223@news.ntplx.net> <392F1329.4BB57438@uci.edu>
<A110E4B29426AE93.00E10CA505CDF550.1A64B79B648E2CCE@lp.airnews.net>
X-Accept-Language: en

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry W4CSC wrote:

> On Fri, 26 May 2000 17:13:29 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
> >Or.....well, it is growing, but the new folks will lose interest in ham
> >radio because of the code test. :-)

> What "code test"? I didn't see no "code test".....(c;

Yes, Larry, there is a code test. The FCC refers to it as Element 1.
Stick around, you have much to learn. :-)

73, Jim AC6XG

From 5183344831568971690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Callsigns - was Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936926D.967A8193@uci.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629936241
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <1C56F855FF7D0E46.ECDABF0B87863CF8.CAE888DAA1D9C8AD@lp.airnews.net>
<20000526151854.18766.00001257@nso-fo.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10005262030500.372-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<o7CX4.72$c5.71352@news.ntplx.net> <392F1220.D4CA0353@uci.edu>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10005271902580.1049-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

"D. Stussy" wrote:

> On Fri, 26 May 2000, Jim Kelley wrote:
> > N2EY was correct. The FCC has skipped those calls. Try UALR.

> Unsubstantiated claim.
> Real proof would be to obtain the FCC's "lockout file."

It's called circumstantial evidence. The fact that the calls weren't
issued indicates that they have been "locked-out". Would you care to
prove otherwise?

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From -6261090681315067833
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <sjd59j1q5ri119@corp.supernews.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629938678
References: <HUcZ4.23$d5.33043@news.ntplx.net> <20000601004326.19467.00000432@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: MCN
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
```

Be gentle with Ed, Larry. He's struggling with trying to balance what he
knows is right on the inside with what the ARRL and the Whiner crowd want
on the outside.

Don't give in to the Dark-side "Luke". Oops, to late, Gotta keep the BoD
happy to get a paycheck.

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in
<20000601004326.19467.00000432@nso-md.aol.com>:

>In article <HUcZ4.23$d5.33043@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
><w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:
>>What's this? You didn't prove your skill at flying by taking a test
>>first? Does that mean that your flying accomplishments are not valid?
>>:-)
>>
>
>Ed:
>
>Flying radio controlled model airplanes does not require a license.
>The transmitters used to operate under Part 95 and required a CB
>license, but that requirement has been dropped; we now have
>about 50 channels in the 72 - 75 MHz region. Don't know all the
>technical details because I've been away from it for years. And
>whether or not my flying accomplishments are "valid" is something

>I could care less about. If some sort of licensing and testing for
>a license were necessary, I would just do whatever was necessary
>to pass the tests, then keyboard-slam all the lazy whiners who
>didn't want to learn something useful to pass the tests! Any
>other questions?
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

From -6521691054850513178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ddaccb6319840ba
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Subject: Re: FCC TO DO AWAY WITH LICENSE REQUIREMENTS?
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <sjd5vp3n5ri146@corp.supernews.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629945117
References: <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Organization: MCN
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com

This wouldn't be very fair. Most post April 15th license candidates don't know who their daddy is. Of course, the League could step in and forge permission slips, and then give a membership discount to boot!

mailfrmpa@aol.com (MailfrmPA) wrote in
<20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>:
>In their place will be Permission Slips, filled in and signed by Parent
>or Legal Guardian, or other responsible Adult! Those without a
>Permission Slip will not be allowed to go on the bands.
>
>Will HF be next??
>
>K�MB
>

From 5363646121153373873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Lee Roberts Loves Kim's Callsign: ATTN: Lee
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <39369995.53AE5734@uci.edu>#1/1

X-Deja-AN: 629947467
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <7C891F102978D3E9.B7E425D9D16C3B5E.87BBFFDAC610CCBF@lp.airnews.net> <3929d3f2.1932568@news.scottsbluff.net> <392A975B.DD572FD4@ahole.com> <392A8A98.C4C8E1AE@sccoast.net> <uhUcRONx$GA.263@cpmsnbbsa06> <392AC3C5.1A15CCFD@IEEE.org> <ebRmXfRx$GA.318@cpmsnbbsa08> <392B4CDC.C18F1C3B@IEEE.org> <ulaCOGUx$GA.273@cpmsnbbsa09> <392BD286.F40C87A8@IEEE.org> <u8EdCnbx$GA.353@cpmsnbbsa08> <392C3851.2CCC313F@IEEE.org> <OJaArucx$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa09> <392C54BD.38DA8D43@IEEE.org> <eOcdsbdx$GA.261@cpmsnbbsa06> <392D1EE1.4C5C@worldlynx.net> <392E83F7.7F2B0452@IEEE.org> <392E3D6F.D66750ED@cats-net.com> <392EDB5D.FBA6FD24@IEEE.org> <uxgz3k2x$GA.326@cpmsnbbsa07> <392F1C5C.7ECC9A3A@IEEE.org> <#WkREr4x$GA.322@cpmsnbbsa07> <392F4EFD.5F2F9850@IEEE.org>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> I understand that words, by themselves, have little effect except
> on someone's feelings. If someone says something hurtful to you in
> a language you don't understand, does it hurt? It is your understanding
> of what was said that causes the hurt, i.e. you are cooperating in
> generating your own pain. I did that when I was very young but no more.

Do you allow them to cause you to ocassionally become somewhat irate and slightly irrational? :-)

73, Jim AC6XG

From -5705176077614647035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: billsohl@interactive.net (Bill Sohl)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <39369e31.2136511@news.interactive.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629956355
References: <3935D3B4.81960751@IEEE.org> <20000601012511.10650.00000658@ng-fm1.aol.com>
X-Trace: reader1.interactive.net 959880547 216.107.140.146 (Thu, 01 Jun 2000 13:29:07 EDT)
Reply-To: billsohl@interactive.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 13:29:07 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 01 Jun 2000 05:25:11 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>Date: 05/31/2000 10:08 PM Central Daylight Time
>
>>It's pretty hard for a non-member of MENSA to understand, Steve. The area
>under the upper 2% of the bell-shaped curve does not average out to 1%.<
>
>   You may find this hard to believe, Cecil. but it does not require a
>MENSA-leval IQ nor a degree in statistics to understand the workings of a bell
>curve.
>
>   And the percentage under that 2% doesn't impress me when the other 98% of
>that curve pulls that 2% closer to the middle.
>
>   Not very impressive.

And just what does any of that have to do with
CC&Rs as the subject of this thread?

r.r.a.p. sure has gone rather banal these days.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2883495897444679162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601133434.09673.00000396@ng-mf1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629956390
References: <39366F08.74526C00@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 06/01/2000 9:11 AM Central Daylight Time

>Do I need to put a smiley face on it for the humor impaired?<

     What would it matter? We can't take you seriously anyway, Cecil....isn't
that what you said?

The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE to fight in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

From 98848332833673084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601133836.09673.00000397@ng-mf1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629959540
References: <393677AB.C3C9E318@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 06/01/2000 9:48 AM Central Daylight Time

>I've had a computer crash and can't locate the post, Steve, so it is up to you to prove that you are not lying.<

Well, Cecil, I am not the one making assertions they won't back up.

As for your computer crash, Deja is not archived on your system.

I didn't make any assertions requiring any validation.
>I would not state that white gangs are in the majority because I do not possess that knowledge.<

You didn't state there was more white gangs than black...you said there were as many. And finally you admit that you do not have any facts.

Thank you...that's all it took.

The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE to fight in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

```
From -6981419985683902684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936A2EB.72367B1C@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629961771
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39366D0D.9139B536@IEEE.org> <20000601112036.19467.00000563@nso-
md.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 17:59:18 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> And why would I shoot you?

Because you have threatened me with "ending up very much regretting" being me. The only way I would regret being me is if you shot me because I am being me.

--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 8930053756460620818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936A337.5FACAC@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629962613
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <20000531162040.00132.00000867@ng-fu1.aol.com>
<20000601004327.19467.00000433@nso-md.aol.com> <8h5reg22987@enews4.newsguy.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 18:00:39 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

"T.L. Bryant" wrote:
> Either you've been living in a cave for the past ten years, or you've taken so

```
> much Prozak that you've forgotten what it is.

Tom, he just didn't know you were talking about
Russian Prozac. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 8394153802342298143
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936A25F.DBFBB9BF@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629964453
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39366CA7.F2CBEF7C@IEEE.org> <20000601112035.19467.00000562@nso-md.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 17:57:01 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Well, I guess I've never been in Kim's neck o' the woods, and the
> issue never came up!  And another thing I did notice about the Texans
> I'd met is that they were very decent, modest, and moral people --

Who, unlike you, do not try to force their morality on
their neighbors. Most people in my neighborhood believe
it is wrong to consume alcohol but they do not try to
keep me from consuming alcohol.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 4824486561217014870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936A3A7.A8D1E4EA@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629964925
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39366F08.74526C00@IEEE.org> <20000601133434.09673.00000396@ng-
```

mf1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 18:02:35 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
> What would it matter? We can't take you seriously anyway, Cecil....isn't
> that what you said?

Of course. That's why I don't use smiley faces.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1729998648260958309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601134757.09673.00000398@ng-mf1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629964997
References: <39367DA9.4DD70F38@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 06/01/2000 10:13 AM Central Daylight Time

>Please reproduce the quote, Steve. My computer is sick and I cannot find it.<

It's already archived, Cecil.

>I suspect I said, "There are probably more white gangs than black".<

Grape fog clouding your memory, Cecil?

>And you have produced no valid evidence to prove I'm wrong.<

I have provided the sources of professional writings on the matter that document the problem. You hem and haw.

>Please show me your list of law-abiding gangs.<

I never said there were any, Cecil. Where did you come up with THIS one at?

>It still stands to reason that since there are so many more whites than blacks, then there would be more white gangs than black gangs.<

Oh..."stand to reason"...?

>My motorcycle gang is 100% white.<

Congratulations.

>An opinion is my official default position, you know that, and you are just being a jerk.<

Ooooh....getting a might testy, aren't we, Cecil?

You make a statement.

You are asked to provide the basis upon which you make that statement.

You then begin English grammar lessons and avoiding any direct answer.

You CLAIM to be a member of MENSA and have an IQ of 160, yet you seem to have some very significant comprehnsion problems, complicated by you inability to respond to simple questions with simple answers.

>Well, excuuuuse me, Your Royal Highnee. Guess when the Great One speaks, all us commoners are supposed to step and fetch'it.<

Childish, Cecil...VERRRRRRY childish.

The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE to fight in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

From -1890886122149691043
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936A489.551E70F2@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629968033
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <393671F6.424610CD@IEEE.org> <20000601111151.04654.00001369@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 18:06:15 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
> I am sure you would not be surprised, but the facts available would
> disprove it.

Oh, then you must have a list of all the law-abiding gangs.
Would you please publish that reference?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 2919728130579575889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936A79B.ECE2E3B2@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629968301
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <393677AB.C3C9E318@IEEE.org> <20000601133836.09673.00000397@ng-mf1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 18:18:28 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
> Well, Cecil, I am not the one making assertions they won't back up.

Of course you are, Steve. You are making assertions about
something I don't think I said. What if I said you told
me to "Eat $hit!". Would that make the assertion true?

>   As for your computer crash, Deja is not archived on your system.

What was the date and title of the thread?

>   I didn't make any assertions requiring any validation.

So Your Royal Highnee can just assert that someone is
wrong without any proof whatsoever. I think I understand.

> You didn't state there was more white gangs than black...you said there
> were as many. And finally you admit that you do not have any facts.

```
Ah, but I have logic on my side. There are approximately ten
times as many white people as black people in the US. You have
admitted that you have no valid references for the number of
law-abiding gangs. Until you present some proof otherwise, I
will continue believing in probability.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 8933525735982487454
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <8h66qc0d87@enews3.newsguy.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629971533
References: <8h5reg22987@enews4.newsguy.com> <20000601120647.19467.00000566@nso-
md.aol.com>
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <20000601120647.19467.00000566@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...
>
>In article <8h5reg22987@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L.
Bryant)
>writes:
>
>>>>You'll be OK Larry, one of these days. Just keep taking the Prozak as
>>>>prescribed.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Prozak?  What's that?
>>
>>Either you've been living in a cave for the past ten years, or you've taken
>>so
>>much Prozak that you've forgotten what it is.
>
>Hmmm.  Well, I did a Lycos search on the string "Prosak," and I
>found things like "Prosak dunnage bags," and some doctors by
>that name, and a few other references.  However, in this context,
>I believe you're referring to "Prosak" as some sort of medication...
>and I'm not getting anything like that.
>
>Now, there is an anti-depressant called "Prosac," but obviously,
>you wern't talking about that!  Maybe if you took your Ritilin, your
>spelling would improve!
>
```

>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

From 7306506111578232479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936A5F6.EB5D38A6@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629971595
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39367DA9.4DD70F38@IEEE.org> <20000601134757.09673.00000398@ng-mf1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 18:12:45 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
> >Please show me your list of law-abiding gangs.<
>
> I never said there were any, Cecil. Where did you come up with THIS one
> at?

Well, if you don't know how many law-abiding gangs there
are, you cannot possibly know whether there are more
black gangs than white gangs.

>   You make a statement.
>   You are asked to provide the basis upon which you make that statement.

Sorry that I upset Your Royal Highnee by not reacting
fast enough for you. I have been dead in the water and
missed half the postings because the installation program
for my new modem wiped out most of my drivers.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 4407872787216370599
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Lee Roberts Loves Kim's Callsign: ATTN: Lee

```
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936A669.46641CD1@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629973006
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <7C891F102978D3E9.B7E425D9D16C3B5E.87BBFFDAC610CCBF@lp.airnews.net>
<3929d3f2.1932568@news.scottsbluff.net> <392A975B.DD572FD4@ahole.com>
<392A8A98.C4C8E1AE@sccoast.net> <uhUcRONx$GA.263@cpmsnbbsa06>
<392AC3C5.1A15CCFD@IEEE.org> <ebRmXfRx$GA.318@cpmsnbbsa08>
<392B4CDC.C18F1C3B@IEEE.org> <ulaCOGUx$GA.273@cpmsnbbsa09>
<392BD286.F40C87A8@IEEE.org> <u8EdCnbx$GA.353@cpmsnbbsa08>
<392C3851.2CCC313F@IEEE.org> <OJaArucx$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa09>
<392C54BD.38DA8D43@IEEE.org> <eOcdsbdx$GA.261@cpmsnbbsa06>
<392D1EE1.4C5C@worldlynx.net> <392E83F7.7F2B0452@IEEE.org>
<392E3D6F.D66750ED@cats-net.com> <392EDB5D.FBA6FD24@IEEE.org>
<uxgz3k2x$GA.326@cpmsnbbsa07> <392F1C5C.7ECC9A3A@IEEE.org>
<#WkREr4x$GA.322@cpmsnbbsa07> <392F4EFD.5F2F9850@IEEE.org>
<39369995.53AE5734@uci.edu>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2000 18:14:39 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Do you allow them to cause you to ocassionally become somewhat irate and
> slightly irrational? :-)

I didn't say I was perfect, Jim. But everytime it happens,
I know I've lost my humanity and given in to my reptilian
brain.

--

73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -1384295588621265170
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Phil Kane" <nospam@nospam.spam>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fvhn9g3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629975108
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <8gsuh6$1f5r$1@heap.juniper.net> <20000529104042.05836.00005273@nso-
ch.aol.com> <cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fvc1ib2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3936AE6B.66F03321@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: nuq-read.news.verio.net 959894958 206.58.44.32 (Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:29:18
GMT)
```

```
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <nospam@nospam.spam>
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:29:18 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 01 Jun 2000 11:41:47 -0700, Jim Kelley wrote:

>
>
>Phil Kane wrote:
>
>>    The only one that can add a C, C or R to property is a seller,
>>    and they must be recorded the same as a deed.
>
>Can a seller similarly subtract provisions from a CC&R?

  In a Planned Unit Deveopment (the California term) all affected
  property owners in the PUD have to agree.

  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From 4454040948447222314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Lee Roberts Loves Kim's Callsign: ATTN: Lee
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936AC18.48AD154A@uci.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629977825
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <7C891F102978D3E9.B7E425D9D16C3B5E.87BBFFDAC610CCBF@lp.airnews.net>
<3929d3f2.1932568@news.scottsbluff.net> <392A975B.DD572FD4@ahole.com>
<392A8A98.C4C8E1AE@sccoast.net> <uhUcRONx$GA.263@cpmsnbbsa06>
<392AC3C5.1A15CCFD@IEEE.org> <ebRmXfRx$GA.318@cpmsnbbsa08>
<392B4CDC.C18F1C3B@IEEE.org> <ulaCOGUx$GA.273@cpmsnbbsa09>
<392BD286.F40C87A8@IEEE.org> <u8EdCnbx$GA.353@cpmsnbbsa08>
<392C3851.2CCC313F@IEEE.org> <OJaArucx$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa09>
<392C54BD.38DA8D43@IEEE.org> <eOcdsbdx$GA.261@cpmsnbbsa06>
<392D1EE1.4C5C@worldlynx.net> <392E83F7.7F2B0452@IEEE.org>
<392E3D6F.D66750ED@cats-net.com> <392EDB5D.FBA6FD24@IEEE.org>
<uxgz3k2x$GA.326@cpmsnbbsa07> <392F1C5C.7ECC9A3A@IEEE.org>
<#WkREr4x$GA.322@cpmsnbbsa07> <392F4EFD.5F2F9850@IEEE.org>
<39369995.53AE5734@uci.edu> <3936A669.46641CD1@IEEE.org>
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Do you allow them to cause you to ocassionally become somewhat irate and
> > slightly irrational? :-)

> I didn't say I was perfect, Jim. But everytime it happens,
> I know I've lost my humanity and given in to my reptilian
> brain.

Ahhh, don't blame it on defenseless creatures. Humans are much better known for their tendancy to become irate and irrational in reaction to words than are reptiles.

Did you see the Star Trek Next Generation episode where Jordie turned into a reptile-like creature? Quite thought provoking.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -8296206043373297107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Carl Zager <kb9rvb@my-deja.com>
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <8h6mri$hst$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629980783
References: <393276DC.88B9BAB5@pop3.freewwweb.com>
<20000529204229.10697.00000765@nso-fc.aol.com>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 206.106.97.253
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jun 01 22:07:52 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkb9rvb
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (WinNT; I ;Nav)

In this whole discussion of what offends and who has the responsibility to decide, I've started thinking about all the possible combinations of prefixes, numerals, and suffixes that might form suggestive phrases, might be "phonetic-ed" into suggestive phrases, ..., and so on.

1. I always get a twinge when I hear/read someone saying that such-and-such is obviously offensive, obviously pornographic, obviously not appropriate for children.

2. I'm reminded of the wonderful George Carlin "Seven Words" routine. Would I want my granddaughter to hear that performance? No. But there are many other words that are regularly overheard on the streets, in elevators, and even (heaven forbid) in the parking lots of churches that are just as offensive and just as awkward to explain when she asks about them. She will hear will hear each of those words, and many of the others that I find upsetting, in the course of her life. She will have the loving instructional support of her parents, both sets of grand parents, her great grand parents, and even a great-great grandmother to help her understand not only the context and the inappropriateness of the language, but also be helped to examine some of the issues that come out of hearing those words.

3. Some of the most vocal folks when it comes to controling what others say or do are the same folks who resent anyone trying to control what they say or do. Don't tell me whether I can or can't have guns. Don't tell me that I have to wear a motorcycle helmet. But let me tell you what you can say, let me tell you what you can do behind closed doors in the privacy of your own home.

Life's too short.

--
Carl Zager
KB9RVB
kb9rvb@my-deja.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From -6768872196700010830
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Phil Kane" <nospam@nospam.spam>
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fvhjn21.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629981975
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <8h5reg22987@enews4.newsguy.com> <20000601120647.19467.00000566@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: nuq-read.news.verio.net 959894054 206.58.44.109 (Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:14:14 GMT)
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <nospam@nospam.spam>
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:14:14 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 01 Jun 2000 16:06:47 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>Now, there is an anti-depressant called "Prosac," but obviously,
>you wern't talking about that! Maybe if you took your Ritilin, your
>spelling would improve!
>
   Maybe if you took your RITALIN yours would, too.

   Gotcha.

  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -3266007605620302337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936AE6B.66F03321@uci.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629982668
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <8gsuh6$1f5r$1@heap.juniper.net> <20000529104042.05836.00005273@nso-ch.aol.com> <cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fvc1ib2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kane wrote:

>   The only one that can add a C, C or R to property is a seller,
>   and they must be recorded the same as a deed.

Can a seller similarly subtract provisions from a CC&R?

Thanks and 73,

Jim AC6XG

```
From -5614202800466195910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,12101800f36726e7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Phil Kane" <nospam@nospam.spam>
Subject: Cable Modems
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fvhnm64.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629989290
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: nuq-read.news.verio.net 959895303 206.58.44.32 (Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:35:03
GMT)
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <nospam@nospam.spam>
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:35:03 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

On 31 May 2000 03:17:25 GMT, T.L. Bryant wrote:

>Winblows...err, Windows '98 machine

My condolences.....

>that I have to use because the cable company refuses to support Linux,

Does not the cableco deliver a TCP/IP connection on a RJ-45 out of their bridge and cannot you reach their IP address from your TCP/IP applications such as a browser running under linux??

What is there to "support"?

Alternatibely, will the linux Win Emulator programs ("wine" or equivalent) not run any Win application that the cableco insist on?

I run into this "we don't support your system" quite often because I run IBM's OS/2 Warp as my OS of choice.

Lastly, LinkSys makes a series of inexpensive 4-port-LAN routers which effectiovely isolate the TCP/IP internet connection from whatever operating system you are running. I use the model that interfaces with a dial-up modem and our son uses the model that interfaces with a TCP/IP RJ45 connection such as Cable Modem or DSL. Your computer communicates with the router. the router setup is through a browser of your choice, and the router communicates with the outside world. Some of them even include a real firewall. Check out <www.linksys.com>.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -663134342413335331
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Carl Zager <kb9rvb@my-deja.com>
Subject: Re: Lee Roberts Loves Kim's Callsign: ATTN: Lee
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <8h6nul$imc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 629994823
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 206.106.97.253
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jun 01 22:26:39 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkb9rvb
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (WinNT; I ;Nav)

STOP IT!
All of you!
We have things to do and people to see.
If you can't all behave, I'm sending each of you to your rooms.
Now, stop it, this instant.
That's IT!
To your rooms. Now!

--
Carl Zager
KB9RVB
kb9rvb@my-deja.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From 2159175632445551590
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601155628.06296.00001298@ng-cd1.aol.com>#1/1

X-Deja-AN: 629995587
References: <3936A79B.ECE2E3B2@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 06/01/2000 1:12 PM Central Daylight Time

>You are making assertions about something I don't think I said.<

You don't THINK you said?

Pretty well shoots the 160 IQ and Mensa thing all to hell, doesn't it...?!?!?

Can't remember something you said/did only a couple days ago, Cecil?

Have you considered AA...?!?!

From -4800084237074729637
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936AD73.C91D2F96@uci.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630005297
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <uqeIOh1w$GA.296@cpmsnbbsa09> <392D1321.DD7F8EB7@NOSPAMwcom.com>
<Oj0AMtmx$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa09>
<cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fv4fai2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<ntsqiscgj1ermvdbr6l1mul1qg7br0gf5p@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fv64bv2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<utBB5k2x$GA.326@cpmsnbbsa07>
<cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fv72yb0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<u7$pq#5x$GA.242@cpmsnbbsa06>
<cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fv8uf04.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Fri, 26 May 2000 21:51:37 -0700, Aaron Jones wrote:

> >Someone had the guts to mess with a lawyer?? Hard to fathom...

>   All guts, no brains......

Isn't there usually a lawyer on both sides of a dispute?

73, Jim AC6XG

From 3229326844613344414
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Phil Kane" <nospam@nospam.spam>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fvhn5e2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630005389
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <uqeIOh1w$GA.296@cpmsnbbsa09> <392D1321.DD7F8EB7@NOSPAMwcom.com>
<Oj0AMtmx$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa09>
<cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fv4fai2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<ntsqiscgj1ermvdbr6l1mul1qg7br0gf5p@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fv64bv2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<utBB5k2x$GA.326@cpmsnbbsa07>
<cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fv72yb0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<u7$pq#5x$GA.242@cpmsnbbsa06>
<cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fv8uf04.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3936AD73.C91D2F96@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: nuq-read.news.verio.net 959894958 206.58.44.32 (Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:29:18 GMT)
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <nospam@nospam.spam>
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 21:29:18 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 01 Jun 2000 11:37:39 -0700, Jim Kelley wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>> On Fri, 26 May 2000 21:51:37 -0700, Aaron Jones wrote:
>> >Someone had the guts to mess with a lawyer?? Hard to fathom...
>
>>   All guts, no brains......
>
>Isn't there usually a lawyer on both sides of a dispute?

In my experiences both as a lawyer representing individual
owners, and as a member and sometime director of a HOA, the

HOAs try it themselves first, and then when their lawyer has to
get into the situation, the matter which could have been solved
easily is alreadfy screwed up far beyond recognition.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 6833568969261586202
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ddaccb6319840ba
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Subject: Re: FCC TO DO AWAY WITH LICENSE REQUIREMENTS?
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <8h6p7n$jfn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Deja-AN: 630005958
References: <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>
<8h45lo$u0i$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <kriZ4.29$d5.36667@news.ntplx.net>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.52
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jun 01 22:48:25 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)

In article <kriZ4.29$d5.36667@news.ntplx.net>,
"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >> Word from insiders at FCC is that all technical AND cw requirements
> >> for VHF and UHF licenses are soon to be eliminated!
>
> > Hmmm...There might be some truth in this rumor.
>
> I think not. Surely you cannot believe it.

I spoke with tounge in cheek. Surely I don't want to believe it...BUT
if the present trends continue...Who knows???
>
> > Maybe it is but wishful thinking on the part of the ARRL....
>
> I can say with certainty that the ARRL does not support such a
proposal.

Some Hams couldn't believe that the ARRL would support the proposals
that were offered to the NPRM. BUT they did. Now that is history.
What is next? What will the ARRL do in the future when they find that

there are fewer new licensed hams than they had hoped for? I dunno. How do you know? How can you speak with any certainty about the future?
>
> > especially those who can only think of the number of Hams of
> > any abilities that can be said to make the hobby more popular.
>
> Can you say with any certainty just who this "those" is? A name or two
> might be more convincing than a vague and unprovable accusation.

I'll name all of the present ARRL stafff who have stated that Ham Radio is not a technical hobby. This includes the ARRL president and some of the VPs. Et tu Ed?

> > So far there is no more 20 wpm cw requirement for Extra, no more 13 wpm
> > requirement for General, and no more Novice, Tech Plus, or Advanced
> > Class.
>
> > When will the next shoes drop???
>
> Okay, I give up. What it the date and nature of the next change you expect
> to see in ham radio. On what do you base your estimate of the date and the
> specifics of the change?

Heck I can't predict exact dates. What do you think I am...Nostrodamus??? I can only guess where the present trends may lead if there isn't some serious back-lash to restore Hamdom to its defined Basis and Purpose. I can't talk with any certainty. I can only say that the hobby is showing symptoms of being sick...I can't be certain if it will get sicker or will recover and get back to being its old self again.
>
> > If trends continue there may well be no requirements for Tech license
> > privilages...and next no requirements for any ham privilages...and IMHO
> > this can only be expected to lead to no Ham Radio at all for anybody.
>
> Eliminating a requirement that no longer serves the same purpose it served
> when it was introduced in the early part of the last century is not a
> "trend." On second thought, maybe it is -- one that hopefully could
> indicate that amateur radio might once again get in touch with modern radio
> technology. Is this what you are suggesting should be happening?

You got it! FERGET MORSE. Just think about MODERN TECHNOLOGIES.

> > As a result of this trend half of the Real Hams
> > will be silent keys in a few years.
>
> Do you really think that the changes in the Morse code testing will
increase
> the death rate of Amateurs?

No but the old incentives that encouraged techie types to be Hams are all but gone because the leadership does not belive that Ham Radio should be promoted as PRIMARILY A TECHNICAL HOBBY.   Hams themselves do not believe that many of them can learn to build their own equipment and experiment or learn enough technology to keep up with the changing state of the art. This and my entire post has absolutely nothing to do with any Morse code requirements or a complete lack of them.

> > They can not be Real Hams if they lose innovative
> > motivation, pioneering spirit, sporting curiosity,
> > and don't have any technical intrests in
> > common.
>
> And there are some who offer that eliminating a Morse code test will
indeed
> result in some hams focusing their attention to "pioneering spirit."
Most
> of the pioneering I can envision does not include OOK CW.

Whats MORSE got to do with it?   Ham Radio can be a Technical Hobby with or without Morse. We are talking about technical dumbing down and technical obsolesence NOT MORSE CODE.   Since the development of radiotelephone technology there have always been Hams who are not especially interested in operating CW. At one time such Hams were challenged by the Class A license exam...which became the Advanced class. It was meant to increase the Ham's knowledge of radiotelephony at the time when it was still a modern development.
Today we need more license classes to encourage those who are inclined to pursue and learn about the many new communication techniques that can be utilized by the progressive Hams of the future.

A few questions on the Extra class exam are not going to do it.

> > Sadly there will be no higher Ham classes to be promoted to.
>
> I guess that hams will have to promote themselves with
accomplishments, much
> like Larry Roll's learning to fly model R/C airplanes.

Yep...Dumbo the elephant could fly too (according to Disney), as long as he carried Jimminy Cricket and a magic feather. I would rather think that any self made flying model builder would have to learn some real aeronautical engineering techniolgy principals. I suspect Larry did if he designs his own R/C planes and doesn't buy them already finished from Japan. IMHO R/C Model Airplanes as a hobby IS a TECHNICAL HOBBY if the R/C hobbiest builds his or her own planes...If not, it is just some level of playing with expensive toys, which any kid can do if his daddy gives him the money required.

Yep individuals can be self-taught. Individuals can be hobby communicators. Individuals can be experinmenters. Groups of Individuals can "form a cadre of technical experts", who are at home with the modern state of the art. The question is - Do those individuals now see any reason to want to join the ranks of "dumbed down" hams, whose leaders opine that Ham radio is not to be a Technical Hobby?
Do those individuals believe that they can best spend their time using media which requires no Ham license and pioneering in the technology of those new media?

> > Sadly there will be no Ham Radio.
>
> Another unprovable assertation. However, we can put a small wager on its
> being around at the end of 2009; would that be a reasonable time frame over
> which to speculate?

Nope - It can linger on for another 50 years, if the FCC permits it to. It may simply slowly fade away, like MacArthur's prioverbial "Old Soldiers". During the fade out...The remaining few Hams may be thought of as Old Codgers who are not willing to face any new technologies unless it is spun off from the commercial rejects of Chinese mass production. This I admit is a worse case scenario...but if present attitudes prevail this is all that we can reasonably expect.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Please don't let Ham Radio become Citizen's Multi-Band (CMB)!
73,
Hams need MORE license classes to make Ham Radio a modern TECHNICAL HOBBY,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure License Potential)

The Ham of the future will not need the Morse code
but if he thinks that he will not need to learn
communication technology he will no longer be a Ham.
He will become a CMBer.
--w2ilp--

The individual Ham is defined as a Licensed Amateur
Radio Operator...BUT...Hams, as a group, must generally
conform to the same BASIS and PURPOSE that has been
consistently listed in Part 97. If they can not..then
Ham Radio must be redefined as CMB.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From 5306950482098222566
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ddaccb6319840ba
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Subject: Re: FCC TO DO AWAY WITH LICENSE REQUIREMENTS?
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <8h6q65$k4o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630006029
References: <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>
<sjd5vp3n5ri146@corp.supernews.com>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.52
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jun 01 23:04:41 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)

In article <sjd5vp3n5ri146@corp.supernews.com>,
vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
> This wouldn't be very fair. Most post April 15th license candidates don't
> know who their daddy is. Of course, the League could step in and forge
> permission slips, and then give a membership discount to boot!
>
> mailfrmpa@aol.com (MailfrmPA) wrote in
> <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>:
> >In their place will be Permission Slips, filled in and signed by Parent
> >or Legal Guardian, or other responsible Adult! Those without a
> >Permission Slip will not be allowed to go on the bands.
> >
> >Will HF be next??
> >
> >K�MB
> >

This reminds me of a story that I often tell. I once asked my college Communications class Professsor, "Why do I have to learn Fourier Transforms? I think that I could design an entire communications system without them." His answer was not very technical. He said "If your daddy was rich, you wouldn't have to learn anything."

W2ILP (Illegal Licensing Permission?)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From -697875862505635229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <8h68o50er0@enews3.newsguy.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630011786
References: <8h5reg22987@enews4.newsguy.com> <20000601120647.19467.00000566@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <20000601120647.19467.00000566@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...

>>>Prozak? What's that?
>>
>>Either you've been living in a cave for the past ten years, or you've taken
>>so
>>much Prozak that you've forgotten what it is.
>
>Hmmm. Well, I did a Lycos search on the string "Prosak," and I
>found things like "Prosak dunnage bags," and some doctors by
>that name, and a few other references. However, in this context,
>I believe you're referring to "Prosak" as some sort of medication...
>and I'm not getting anything like that.
>
>Now, there is an anti-depressant called "Prosac," but obviously,
>you wern't talking about that! Maybe if you took your Ritilin, your
>spelling would improve!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Like you, I used to berate people who made spelling errors, especially WA8ULX, who types as if he is wearing boxing gloves.

However, I came to realize that I make my share of spelling mistakes and typos as well. I proofread, but I'm only human, so on occasion there may be a typo or error that slips by. In this case, I relied on someone else's spelling in the hope that they where correct. Sometimes I'm wrong. Like I said, I'm only human.

I also realized that it's a very weak-minded individual who feels they have to resort to pointing out spelling errors. I don't do that anymore, even with someone who can't even type an intelligent sentence past a second grade level.

Tom - N8ECW

```
From 3338584665879721693
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: General Mark Morgan <one.big.asshole@ahole.com>
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936D738.A06371D0@ahole.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630016257
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <393590B2.262596F3@IEEE.org> <20000601004325.19467.00000429@nso-
md.aol.com> <3936785B.DDBEA25D@IEEE.org>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Trace: pm01news.wcom.com 959888097 166.35.226.102 (Thu, 01 Jun 2000 19:34:57
GMT)
MIME-Version: 1.0
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Jun 2000 19:34:57 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Is that all Cecil, 1 million bucks. I'm at 1.5 mil and by the time
I retire will have at least 3.75 mil. Not bad for a guy with only a
HS education.

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Cecil: If you're so smart, why aren't you a Post hole Digger?
>
> My goal was to retire with a million bucks.
> I didn't need a PhD for that.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 1882799485196045658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d13be32a3675d85f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: HF Sounds Great
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936BB35.22149CA3@uci.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630020362
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

References: <8gh89b$vm6$1@news.netmar.com> <3932c173.120715447@nntp.one-eleven.net> <8gve77$gca$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

K0HB wrote:
> > >Please describe precisely, in the English language, the "downside"
> > >which results from that usage. If you cannot describe it, then it
> > >does not exist.

> > I have done so often. but to name a few points.

> Sorry, Major, you haven't described anything, or stated any
> facts. You've just expressed your opinion.

Here on the newsgroup, I described a couple "downsides" that I have encountered while operating CW. One related to trying to copy a bad fist (I heard a guy yesterday, sending CQ FISB :-), and the other involved two CW stations sending simultaneously on exactly the same frequency. Ed mentioned that he would be able to copy both, simulataneously if they were separated by 25 HZ or so. I though that was amazing. Maybe you have me in your killfile and you didn't see that exchange.

73, Jim AC6XG

73, Jim AC6XG

From -4249024668343883680
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f09390c90377e874
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Subject: Re: U.S. Stamp Design
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <8h6t9b$m1f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630025441
References: <gWUT4.8966$ZE4.170387@ord-read.news.verio.net>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.52
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jun 01 23:57:34 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)

In article <gWUT4.8966$ZE4.170387@ord-read.news.verio.net>,
"Marc A. Schneider, Psy.D." <drmarc@wwa.com> wrote:
> To all Hams ! ! ! !
>
> I have written to the U.S. Postal Service Stamp Design Committee to
request
> a U.S. Stamp be designed to commemorate Amateur Radio for the year
2003
> (100th anniversary). I also contacted the head of Public Relations
for ARRL
> (Jen. Hagy). I then wrote on 4-25-00 to the ARRL President Jim Haynie
> (e-mail: w5jbp@arrl.org). It took additional days for that message
to be
> received as the ARRL domain name had been corrupted that day. I have
yet to
> hear from the President of the ARRL on this matter. The organization
does
> have other things to do.
>
> I am writing here to request that other ARRL members write to create
enough
> grass roots presence on the issue for ARRL to be sure to address it
in a
> timely fashion. The Stamp Committee needs three years to get a stamp
out to
> the public. I believe that it is a good idea to have the ARRL as
part of
> the request to the Stamp Committee and I believe that requests from
various
> parts of the nation will also help. Since I have not gotten a reply
from
> Jim Haynie so far I am requesting all who read this to contact Jim
and to
> also write to the Stamp Committee as well as ask others on the air -
around
> the nation - to also do the same.
>
> The Stamp Committee address: - snail mail is:
>
> Citizens' Stamp Advisory Committee
> U.S. Postal Service
> 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW
> Room 4474EB
> Washington, DC 20260-2437
>
> I will not be monitoring this newsgroup so any questions should go to
me via
> e-mail.
>
> 73 to all,
>
> Marc A. Schneider

> drmarc@wwa.com
> call sign: W4NVY
>

Dear Dr. Marc...

Let me remind you that a newsgroup is supposed to be a two [or more]-way discussion group and not a broadcasting outlet. If you want to broadcast then I suggest that you might look into setting up a web-site...BUT if you want to discuss Ham Policy then be ready to monitor for those who might want to reply to you - with the entire group following the thread, if it is of interest to them. Besides if you monitor the thread you might see that you have sent the same message a number of times and might learn more about NGs. Sometimes I hit the "Send (no preview)" button twice by mistake myself...BUT even I don't send the same message as often as you did. I have been criticised for echoing here and I can understand that this practice is frowned on by experienced NGers. NGs are sort of like the Ham Bands. You can't broadcast or talk to yourself without listening on them....At any rate it is not considered polite to do so on either media. There is no official law against it in a NG but there is on the Ham bands for obvious reasons.

Just a humble suggestion that could lead to your using better psychological NG judgement. At any rate I don't think that you would want to give the impression that you would only monitor a NG that had only PhD posters in it...or would you?

I'll send this message to you via e-mail. I won't send it by snail mail because I don't want to buy any new postage stamps unless they commemorate Ham Radio.

I will be monitoring this NG.
73 you all,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Itterated Letter Postage?)
BSEE   MSEd   (Not a PhD)

POST NO BILLS.
--?(Sign on a brick wall)--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From 610579650116312352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601155317.06296.00001297@ng-cd1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630030366
References: <39369e31.2136511@news.interactive.net>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>From: billsohl@interactive.net (Bill Sohl)
>Date: 06/01/2000 12:34 PM Central Daylight Time

>r.r.a.p. sure has gone rather banal these days.<

THESE days...?!?!

The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE to fight
in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

From -2259117420684714688
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3936BF9E.914DD01C@uci.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630036076
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <FXsY4.8905$VO2.210310@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20000529104041.05836.00005272@nso-ch.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> BTW -- how come none of my nieces and nephews
> use that kind of language? I'll tell you why -- their parents, uncles,
> and aunts won't put up with it, and constantly send them the
> clear message that such language is totally unacceptable!

Hey Larry, if you think that just because they don't use it in front of
you means that they haven't heard it, or used it among their friends,
you are seriously deluding yourself. What they have learned is that
using bad language in front of adults is unacceptable.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -666870591959105276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <20000601160306.06296.00001299@ng-cd1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630040239
References: <3936A5F6.EB5D38A6@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 06/01/2000 1:05 PM Central Daylight Time

>Well, if you don't know how many law-abiding gangs there are, you cannot possibly know whether there are more black gangs than white gangs.<

Do you really believe this, Cecil? Of course you can identify a reasonably confident number of one demographics against another.

And "law abiding gangs"...?!?!

Forget it, Cecil.

I thought you were on of the FEW posters of some character here...Guess you're a "character" alright.

Sorry to waste your time. Back to your bottle.

From 8270612023297348372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f09390c90377e874
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: U.S. Stamp Design
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3937026F.D4478DB2@uci.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630061107
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <gWUT4.8966$ZE4.170387@ord-read.news.verio.net>
<8h6t9b$m1f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:

> Dear Dr. Marc...
>
> Let me remind you that a newsgroup is supposed to be a two [or more]-way discussion group and not a broadcasting outlet. If you want to broadcast then I suggest that you might look into setting up a web-site...BUT if you want to discuss Ham Policy then be ready to monitor for those who might want to reply to you - with the entire group following the thread, if it is of interest to them.

Hey Bob,

Speak for yourself. Okay? I don't think there's pennance involved for posting to r.r.a.p. He posted something interesting to read. Somewhat of a novelty here, actually. And he asked for help for what I think is a good sounding cause. It wasn't an anonymous post, which is certainly a plus. It's just that the guy has better things to do than participate in an argument clinic (TM). Good for him!

73, Jim AC6XG

Besides if you

> monitor the thread you might see that you have sent the same message a number of times and might learn more about NGs. Sometimes I hit the "Send (no preview)" button twice by mistake myself...BUT even I don't send the same message as often as you did. I have been criticised for echoing here and I can understand that this practice is frowned on by experienced NGers. NGs are sort of like the Ham Bands. You can't broadcast or talk to yourself without listening on them....At any rate it is not considered polite to do so on either media. There is no official law against it in a NG but there is on the Ham bands for obvious reasons.
> Just a humble suggestion that could lead to your using better psychological NG judgement. At any rate I don't think that you would want to give the impression that you would only monitor a NG that had only PhD posters in it...or would you?
> I'll send this message to you via e-mail. I won't send it by snail mail because I don't want to buy any new postage stamps unless they commemorate Ham Radio.

>
> I will be monitoring this NG.
> 73 you all,
> Bob Wex w2ilp (Itterated Letter Postage?)
> BSEE   MSEd   (Not a PhD)
> --
> POST NO BILLS.
> --?(Sign on a brick wall)--
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

From -4134665618979199484
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Callsigns - was Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID: <3937098D.72D99D50@uci.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630065440
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <1C56F855FF7D0E46.ECDABF0B87863CF8.CAE888DAA1D9C8AD@lp.airnews.net>
<20000526151854.18766.00001257@nso-fo.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10005262030500.372-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<o7CX4.72$c5.71352@news.ntplx.net> <392F1220.D4CA0353@uci.edu>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10005271902580.1049-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3936926D.967A8193@uci.edu> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10006020026090.138-100000@mbx.bde-arc.ampr.org>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

"D. Stussy" wrote:

> I just may do that. I'll look for all suffixes, excluding vanity calls, issued
> in the past 5 years. If I can find at least one non-vanity call of each suffix
> and post that call here, will that satisfy you?

Sure, I guess so. As long as you provide an explanation, other than
lockout, for why all the others weren't issued.

> The fact that a call isn't issued is not evidence of a lock-out on that call -
> it could just as easily be abandoned within a month of issuance.....

It's a little soon for KF6TIT to have already disappeared from the
database - even if it had been "abandoned". Usually the database
indicates that a new call was issued in the event someone applied for a
change - a least for a few months. But QRZ CD's will tell the story.

Records don't disappear from them just because KF6TIT applies for a new call.

By the way, how does one go about abandoning his callsign?

73, Jim AC6XG

From -1633706782556479821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words" or why does Cecil sprew bull shit...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID:
<E08D7D2743C2A9D6.007922667877FBA9.B1065ACED98BAD6D@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630092788
References: <39340B49.6FD43BC6@IEEE.org> <20000530221749.19467.00000063@nso-md.aol.com> <393492CC.392101E1@IEEE.org> <393529B0.9CBACB90@ahole.com>
<39358C4A.E9475535@IEEE.org> <393679BE.C351A732@ahole.com>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8h797h$p0u@library2.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Thu Jun 1 22:21:21 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library2.airnews.net
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

I've been in Texas for 21 years. Only once I've seen a teeny rope tornado over in Ft. Worth. And when the season is hot around here (about five counties), I participate in storm spotting, then chasing into other counties. I have, though, seen the transformers blowing at night.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim
NCI 3343

"General Mark Morgan" <one.big.asshole@ahole.com> wrote in message
news:393679BE.C351A732@ahole.com...
> Hey Cecil, you mean you live in Texas and have never been in a
> tornado? Been here 10 years and went through two.....
>
>

From 2443342175510839380
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID:
<A15268F8ED6F96D0.FC72C5FB30485132.71D33EA1DC812640@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630092790
References: <3DDCB1136890269A.3BB05C20C3021871.040E39D84B909E2E@lp.airnews.net>
<20000601055031.15983.00001820@nso-fk.aol.com> <8h5r5v12987@enews4.newsguy.com>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8h797i$p0u@library2.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Thu Jun 1 22:21:22 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library2.airnews.net
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

GREAT point...exactly!!!!

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim
NCI 3343

"T.L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:8h5r5v12987@enews4.newsguy.com...
> In article <20000601055031.15983.00001820@nso-fk.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
says...
>
> <snippage deleted>
>
> >> If
> >>I say a tree *is* making noise in the woods, even if nothing is around
to
> >>hear it; you might say it does not.
> >
> >True.
> >
> >>That is not a contradiction. It is a
> >>difference of opinion.
> >
> >No, it's a contradiction. The thing to do is to check the premises - such
as
> >"what is 'noise'?"
> >>>
> >>> All I'm doing is asking simple questions and commenting on the
answers, in

```
> >>an
> >>> effort to discover people's opinions on the subject, and their
reasoning.
> >>If
> >>> their reasoning appears to be faulty, I point that out.
> >>>
> >>
> >>I am near certain you aren't interested in other peoples' opinions.
>
> <more snippage deleted>
>
> This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
> argument.
>
> Tom - N8ECW
>
>
```

```
From 5052297457755353686
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ddaccb6319840ba
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Behind the scenes in the Walker Household.
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID:
<99CC5A5856E251CA.B93AEB0FFC515B8C.CAAD8EF13DF034B1@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630092885
References: <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>
<8A182877A56F3F91.5CCF877FE67E319A.43819CF4ACD478CB@lp.airnews.net>
<sj89525i5pj103@corp.supernews.com> <8h2bv1$deh$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
<sjd1bms25ri36@corp.supernews.com>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8h797j$p0u@library2.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Thu Jun  1 22:21:24 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library2.airnews.net
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Slammed? Well, I guess you could say that. I think it's great! I laughed hysterically! I took it as just a really cute joke...and still do. But, no matter how you look at it, it's pretty darned funny!

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

NCI 3343

```
"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:sjd1bms25ri36@corp.supernews.com...
> I love it! TIT gets slammed!
>
> Woulff hong scores Big Time!
>
>
> integrity@arrl.sucks.org (Wouff Hong) wrote in
> <8h2bv1$deh$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>:
> >
> >Kim's Husband: Dinner was great tonight hon, was that a new recipe?
> >
> >TIT: No, I just kept your TV-Dinner in the microwave a bit longer this
> >time.
> >
> >Kim's Husband: Oh, Thanks, you must have found the directions on the
> >package.
> >
> >TIT: When you're finished eating could you fix my 11 meter antenna? its
> >acting up again. I'm getting high SWR with the Linear in-line.
> >
> >Kim's Husband: Sure thing babe, maybe afterwards we could cuddle a
> >little.
> >
> >TIT: Wish I could, but I got to get back to my LID friends on
> >rec.radio.amateur.policy, we're trashing people who want to save ham
> >radio and they need my help.
> >
> >Kim's Husband: Sounds complicated.
> >
> >TIT: It is, but we have the help of my old high school sweetheart,
> >Cecil, and the backing of the ARRL  in the person of Ed Hare. I think
> >we're making progress.  There are quite a few others helping too.
> >
> >Kim's Husband: The toilet paper was all gone in the bathroom, so I had
> >to use a paper that came from the FCC.
> >
> >TIT: That's o.k., Ham Licenses are easy to get now. I hope you used the
> >backside. I had to use the frontside earlier.
> >
> >Kim's Husband: Yes, I saw where you left your mark. It's a bit lumpy
> >now, I hope you can get it back in the frame.
> >
> >TIT: I'll  scrape it with a butter knife after I make a few posts.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
```

From -3379322441906129851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID:
<39ADE3D726B96FC9.7814E372778AC3BD.A8034E8E4ED7F379@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630092887
References: <393671F6.424610CD@IEEE.org> <20000601111151.04654.00001369@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8h797l$p0u@library2.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Thu Jun 1 22:21:25 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library2.airnews.net
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

C'mon, Steve. Fer cryin' out loud. Everyone here is stating opinions.
Does each line of a post need to begin with, "In my opinion" for your
benefit? Good grief!!!!!!!!!!

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim
NCI 3343

"Steve Robeson" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000601111151.04654.00001369@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 06/01/2000 9:23 AM Central Daylight Time
>
> >Stating that I wouldn't be surprised if the majority of gangs were white
is
> the *absolute truth*<
>
>   In this context there would have been no question as to the "source"
of
> your "information" or that this was an opinion, not a fact.
>

```
From -1682794780563644957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID:
<4BE66C46794F1A33.AFC3F4E3B621D287.D1EB2E091B2A8FDD@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630092910
References: <8gsuh6$1f5r$1@heap.juniper.net> <20000529104042.05836.00005273@nso-
ch.aol.com> <cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fvc1ib2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3936AE6B.66F03321@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fvhn9g3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8h797m$p0u@library2.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Thu Jun  1 22:21:26 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library2.airnews.net
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

*Ahem*  the PUD??  : ))))

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim
NCI 3343

"Phil Kane" <nospam@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fvhn9g3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Thu, 01 Jun 2000 11:41:47 -0700, Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> >>    The only one that can add a C, C or R to property is a seller,
> >>    and they must be recorded the same as a deed.
> >
> >Can a seller similarly subtract provisions from a CC&R?
>
>   In a Planned Unit Deveopment (the California term) all affected
>   property owners in the PUD have to agree.
>
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>
```

```
>
>
>




From -4570384476694667025
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/01
Message-ID:
<9213281C59297423.2607837260983BA3.7FA4C4F8AB31985D@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630093789
References: <HUcZ4.23$d5.33043@news.ntplx.net> <20000601004326.19467.00000432@nso-
md.aol.com> <sjd59j1q5ri119@corp.supernews.com>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8h79dl$nfh@library2.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Thu Jun  1 22:24:37 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library2.airnews.net
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hey, Vince, have another shot, there fella......

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim
NCI 3343

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:sjd59j1q5ri119@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Be gentle with Ed, Larry. He's struggling with trying to balance what he
> knows is right on the inside with what the ARRL and the Whiner crowd want
> on the outside.
>
> Don't give in to the Dark-side "Luke". Oops, to late, Gotta keep the BoD
> happy to get a paycheck.
>
>
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in
> <20000601004326.19467.00000432@nso-md.aol.com>:
>
> >In article <HUcZ4.23$d5.33043@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
> ><w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:
```

```
> >>What's this?  You didn't prove your skill at flying by taking a test
> >>first? Does that mean that your flying accomplishments are not valid?
> >>:-)
> >>
> >
> >Ed:
> >
> >Flying radio controlled model airplanes does not require a license.
> >The transmitters used to operate under Part 95 and required a CB
> >license, but that requirement has been dropped; we now have
> >about 50 channels in the 72 - 75 MHz region.  Don't know all the
> >technical details because I've been away from it for years.  And
> >whether or not my flying accomplishments are "valid" is something
> >I could care less about.  If some sort of licensing and testing for
> >a license were necessary, I would just do whatever was necessary
> >to pass the tests, then keyboard-slam all the lazy whiners who
> >didn't want to learn something useful to pass the tests!  Any
> >other questions?
> >
> >73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
>




From 3108298350688096485
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ccbff352593fa73d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Subject: Re: stamp design
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h6v24$n4l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630043685
References: <ojAX4.115$vP1.5380@ord-read.news.verio.net>
<3eCX4.73$c5.71325@news.ntplx.net> <392F47DB.33C9@worldnet.att.net>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.52
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jun 02 00:27:56 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)

In article <392F47DB.33C9@worldnet.att.net>,
  wyn@worldnet.att.net wrote:
> Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
> >
>
> > I encourage hams to make their views known on all areas of Amateur
Radio to
> > their ARRL Division Director.  This especially includes views of
```

support.
> > Dissenting views are often the League's most valuable input, but
sometimes
> > those fielding those views can really appreciate some positive
feedback,
> > too. :-)
> >
> > See http://www.arrl.org/divisions for info on how to contact ARRL
Division
> > Directors.
> >
>
> If there are any ARRL members left on here, let me suggest a better
> way. Take advantage of this electronic communication age, and contact
> your ARRL Division Director and ask him to give you his views on any
> aspect of amateur radio that you are interested in. As the poster was
> so careful to point out, when it came to the restructuring vote, the
> ARRL BoD voted *their* views, not necessarily *your* views. IMHO, if
> they had voted your predominate view it would have been Status Quo.
By
> your ARRL Division Director response to your query, you can determine
if
> yours 1) has a clue, 2) needs educating, 3) agrees with you - give
> him/her an attaboy/attagirl and a vote next election, or 4) disagrees
> with you - begin procedings to get him/her out of office. Lastly,
> encourage your BoD to send out questionaires canvasing their
> constituents on various upcoming issues.
>
> 73,
> Clay N4AOX

An excellent post Clay! The only trouble is that it has been my
experience that questionaires that get sent to ARRL members are very
poorly worded and are designed to get only the results that the
Directors want.
Often there is no way to logically select a logical opinion by checking
any box and no room to write comments that can't get counted and won't
get read anyway.

BTW The ARRL isn't always wrong. They often do listen to members. Your
comments will be taken seriously if you contact ARRL officers at
various levels. My Division Director had proposed changing the name of
the ARRL. When I wrote saying that IMHO the name should remain the
same, and presenting lots of good reasons, he just continued to
disagree. I then wrote to many other ARRL officers and the name
changing proposal got defeated at an ARRL committee meeting (at least
for the time being). I dunno for sure if it was may opinion that was
considered but in all fairness they might have been thinking about some
of my comments.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Ignore Logical Proposals???)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From -1661079937772292397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18a4a2a8a01ab729
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Subject: Re: How Long for Post April 15th License Processing?
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10006020022170.138-100000@mbx.bde-arc.ampr.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630060390
References: <39358B54.4B20D647@freewwweb.com> <20000531200224.10995.00000482@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 959905455 12.64.128.205 (Fri, 02 Jun 2000 00:24:15 GMT)
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 00:24:15 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, 7 weeks, less two days, and I'm still waiting - yet someone else at the same test session had his upgrade granted by the FCC on May 3rd. The VE team didn't report any problems, and the VEC hasn't responded to either my phone or e-mail messages from last week - to see if my paperwork was lost. Oh, well.

[PS: I used a GLAARG group.]

From 1230856960639525439
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Subject: Re: Callsigns - was Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10006020026090.138-100000@mbx.bde-arc.ampr.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630062871
References: <1C56F855FF7D0E46.ECDABF0B87863CF8.CAE888DAA1D9C8AD@lp.airnews.net>
<20000526151854.18766.00001257@nso-fo.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10005262030500.372-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<o7CX4.72$c5.71352@news.ntplx.net> <392F1220.D4CA0353@uci.edu>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10005271902580.1049-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3936926D.967A8193@uci.edu>

Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 959906096 12.64.128.205 (Fri, 02 Jun 2000 00:34:56 GMT)
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 00:34:56 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 1 Jun 2000, Jim Kelley wrote:
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > On Fri, 26 May 2000, Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > N2EY was correct. The FCC has skipped those calls. Try UALR.
>
> > Unsubstantiated claim.
> > Real proof would be to obtain the FCC's "lockout file."
>
> It's called circumstantial evidence. The fact that the calls weren't
> issued indicates that they have been "locked-out". Would you care to
> prove otherwise?

I just may do that. I'll look for all suffixes, excluding vanity calls, issued in the past 5 years. If I can find at least one non-vanity call of each suffix and post that call here, will that satisfy you?

I do note that 2x3's with an "X" following the digit are not amateur calls (they are assigned to the experimental licenses), and calls with Q-Codes as the suffix (QRA-QUZ), plus "SOS" are skipped, but the original question is seeking "offensive" suffixes (such as "FUX", etc.); not those which are documented to be skipped.

The fact that a call isn't issued is not evidence of a lock-out on that call - it could just as easily be abandoned within a month of issuance.....

From 2400196990791621294
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000601213407.19467.00000643@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630071550
References: <3936A2EB.72367B1C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <3936A2EB.72367B1C@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> And why would I shoot you?
>
>Because you have threatened me with "ending up very
>much regretting" being me. The only way I would
>regret being me is if you shot me because I am
>being me.
>

Cecil:

Relax -- you have nothing to worry about! I wouldn't shoot
anyone, unless they were breaking into my apartment at
3 AM!

73 de Larry, K3LT

Senator Ted Kennedy has killed more people with his automobile
than I have with my guns.

***

From 1670940880956789181
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000601213408.19467.00000644@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630071555
References: <2jBZ4.37$d5.46951@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <2jBZ4.37$d5.46951@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>
>Sorry, Vince; it may offer you some comfort to think that, but I post only
>what I believe.   If I didn't believe what I said, I could just as easily
>not post, and it would not affect my professional standing at ARRL one whit;
>I am not paid to post on rrap, I am paid to run the Lab, to work on RFI and
>to coordinate the Lab's technical input to ARRL publications, our
>spectrum-protection efforts and the like.

>

Ed:

OK, let's leave you out of this for a bit. If you know one person who works at ARRL HQ, who is a licensed amateur, and is in complete disagreement with the concept of restructuring, who is it? If you can't or won't answer for privacy reasons, ask that person if he/she would kindly post to this newsgroup voluntarily. I'll bet you a lobster dinner you can't find anyone that is willing to do that. I firmly believe that anyone who works for ARRL HQ is required to tow a strictly Pro-Restructuring line -- and cannot, for fear of jeopardizing their employment, speak out against it in any way. And I hereby state to all in this newsgroup that it is highly unlikely that we'll ever see that happen!

We all live in a democracy, but very few of us work in one. It is perfectly normal, natural, and even legal for corporations or organizations to require their employees and/or volunteer staff to never speak out with an opinion which is contrary to the goals of that organization. I have absolutely no doubt that ARRL HQ exacts this kind of speech control, if not thought control, over it's employees and volunteer staff. If anyone at ARRL HQ is in absolute total, unqualified disagreement with Restructuring, I'm sure a trap door has been installed under his chair -- ready to send him into a pit of hungry alligators the minute he opens his mouth!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 4028977386607301009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick)
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <39372ecd.162180430@netnews.worldnet.att.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630100849
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <392F9743.44D2B728@cats-net.com>
<EA0975A28A4A83CC.A385509EF2EF945D.786E577DF98124D7@lp.airnews.net>
<#BsLPqAy$GA.266@cpmsnbbsa06> <39301B15.8C5816C7@IEEE.org>
<#l6yzJHy$GA.333@cpmsnbbsa09> <39316BBF.1B475CBA@IEEE.org>
<##0FIOQy$GA.327@cpmsnbbsa07> <3931D331.B68FFB1D@home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 959918087 12.79.65.130 (Fri, 02 Jun
2000 03:54:47 GMT)
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 03:54:47 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 29 May 2000 02:19:33 GMT, Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
wrote:

>Yes, it is embarrassing to the hobby. Even more so if she gets call
>plates on her car. I just wonder what would possess a woman to think so
>little of herself that she has the need to do this for the attention
>value.
>Gary
>AB5RM
>
>
  Oh so what about Kim's call sign, are all of you that thin skinned
and upset over here call letters? It does not bother me one bit! In
fact if I heared her on the air I would definitely try to contact her.
For some one with a call sign like that I know that person would be
full of life. The rest of you people have to lighten up a little and
learn to enjoy life.

  73 W5TIT de Rick KC2ESD




From -3788842623268101911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ccbff352593fa73d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: billsohl@interactive.net (Bill Sohl)
Subject: Re: stamp design
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <3937320f.2164787@news.interactive.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630105021
References: <ojAX4.115$vP1.5380@ord-read.news.verio.net>
<3eCX4.73$c5.71325@news.ntplx.net> <392F47DB.33C9@worldnet.att.net>
<V1dZ4.25$d5.33217@news.ntplx.net> <sjd3k6jo5ri177@corp.supernews.com>
X-Trace: reader1.interactive.net 959918505 216.107.140.126 (Fri, 02 Jun 2000
00:01:45 EDT)
Reply-To: billsohl@interactive.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 00:01:45 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 01 Jun 2000 16:25:42 GMT, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,
KB7ADL) wrote:

>Ed, how much is the BoD paying you per Post for spreading bullshit? They
>might quit paying you.
>
>Ed Hare, W1RFI the ARRL BoD propaganda machine, goofed and told a dirty
>BoD secret in response to n4aox:
>
```

>>Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>>
>>> Lastly, encourage your BoD to send out questionaires canvasing their
>>> constituents on various upcoming issues.
>
>They don't listen to what the membership wants Clay. The BoD has already
>ignored & screwed the membership over a year and a half ago in a big way.
>I guess what the ham community wanted wasn't in the vested interest of the
>corprate bank account.

And you know this because?
The details of how the BoD screwed the membership
is exactly what and how?

>>My director has done so on a number of occasions.
>>
>>Polling is NOT the best way to get member input, IMHO; issues are best
>>solved by those who have more vested interest than checking off a box
>>on a question they may or may not fully understand or may or may not be
>>very interested in.
>
>See Ed, what you wrote in the above paragraph proves my point. Why poll
>the membership when it might go against the vested interest of the BoD.
>Your screwed up BoD assholes have ignored the membership so many times I
>don't know why you continue to hold elections!

Maybe they just ignored you Vince...or are you
claiming you have, you know and you can state
with absolute certianty just what "the membership"
really wanted?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
ARRL LGL

From 7821319070197998348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W4CSC@lostonthe.net (Larry W4CSC)
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID:
<2AEDB30C51A3DD4D.FAA305D44A6D65E6.76085FD49403BE03@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630109078
References: <8gkunb$elh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20000526151853.18766.00001256@nso-fo.aol.com> <t2CX4.71$c5.71223@news.ntplx.net> <392F1329.4BB57438@uci.edu>
<A110E4B29426AE93.00E10CA505CDF550.1A64B79B648E2CCE@lp.airnews.net>
<39369358.CF013BA3@uci.edu>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <393737ca.93523952@library.airnews.net>

```
NNTP-Posting-Time: Thu Jun  1 23:33:49 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library2.airnews.net
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 01 Jun 2000 09:46:16 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>
>
>
>> What "code test"?  I didn't see no "code test".....(c;
>
>Yes, Larry, there is a code test.  The FCC refers to it as Element 1.
>Stick around, you have much to learn.  :-)
>
>73, Jim AC6XG

Which code??  ASCII?  Pocsag?  Forward Error Correction?  Baudot?
PSK31?  Gtor?  Pactor?  spread spectrum?  TCP/IP?  Rose?  NetNode?
APRS?  UDP?  I wanna study for the TCP/UDP tests.....Oh, I'm already
ON TCP now!

Larry...all in good fun....beep....beep beep....Dahhhh...Beep Beep
Beep.  What a waste of bandwidth, switching the transmitter on and
off.
```

```
From 5377611409613159867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9ccd61d3943cf1c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ccd61d3943cf1c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Subject: List of the rec.radio newsgroups
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h7fh1$ik2$1@news.hawaii.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630113444
Expires: 01 July 2000
Approved: news-answers-request@mit.edu,rec.radio.info-moderator@primenet.com
X-Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.answers,news.answers,
Posting-Frequency: Posted on the 1st of each month
Version: 1.1 created 98/03/05 03:50:23
Followup-To: poster
X-Last-Updated: 2000/06/01
Summary: This article provides a list of the rec.radio newsgroups; it is posted
alternately with the longer "Guide to the Rec.radio NGs"
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
```

X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 959922529 19074 128.171.44.55 (2 Jun 2000 05:08:49 GMT)
Organization: University of Hawaii
Keywords: radio amateur ham cb scanner shortwave netiquette
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Jun 2000 05:08:49 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Archive-name: radio/personal-brief-introduction

In an effort help ensure a poster's article reaches the appropriate audience, below is a list of the rec.radio newsgroups. In most cases, a group's name will explain its purpose. Cross-posting should rarely be necessary.

rec.radio.broadcasting (devoted to the broadcast industry; moderated)
rec.radio.cb
rec.radio.info (periodic informational bulletins; moderated)
rec.radio.scanner
rec.radio.shortwave
rec.radio.swap (for buying, selling, and trading; not a discussion group)

rec.radio.amateur.antenna
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors (tube-type communications equipment)
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc (packet, pactor and other digital modes)
rec.radio.amateur.dx (long-distance amateur communications)
rec.radio.amateur.equipment (questions, comments regarding commercially-built amateur gear; not for buying/selling)
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew (devoted to home-building ham gear)
rec.radio.amateur.policy (issues include code, restructuring, local restrictions in conflict with FCC R&R)
rec.radio.amateur.space (satellites, meteor scatter, EME)
rec.radio.amateur.misc (any amateur topic not covered in the above groups)

(At least two other English language radio hierarchies exist, prefixed with "uk" (United Kingdom), and "aus" (Australia), in place of "rec.")

Again, cross-posting should rarely be necessary. Many times, a long-running thread results from a single cross-posted article, and its content drifts further and further away from being "on-topic" in the various NGs which receive each and every followup.

For more detailed information, see "Guide to the Rec.radio Newsgroups" posted on the 15th of each month.

Jeffrey Herman, KH6O

From -8910799647238398385
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9

X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
From: "Sycho_Graphics" <Sycho_Graphics@email.msn.com>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630115279
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "Sycho_Graphics" <Sycho_Graphics@email.msn.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600

Didn't try and I don't want to better places to spend my time and money. Who needs a damn test to operate a CONSUMER oriented electrical communication device in the 21st Century? I use a cellphone, GPS receiver, cordless phones, computers, TV, VCR (I can actually set it too :)), High-end Home Stereo remote, FRS devices, CB radio, Palm Pilot , RC cars and boats and even a microwave oven and I don't need a damn license for those. What makes HAM gear soooo complicated I need to pass a test and be TAXED on it by the FCC?

By the way Jim who the hell are you gonna MORSE with? Aliens, Russian SPY ships, the Space Shuttle, clandestine operatives so deep under cover that they can't afford voice transmission equipment (poor bastards). So, who the HELL do you Morse too in the 21st century??? MORSE is DEAD. dot dot dot dash dash dash dot dot blah blah blah HA HA HA :) :) :) You guys kill me.

Man you guys crack me up get with the times folks your HAM hobby is as OBSOLETE as my VIC-20 and C-64 are to 1Ghz CPU's. Let the FCC use the space for something more useful, at least more interesting. Hell I'd buy a GMRS unit once the FCC re-allocates frequencies, manufactures mass produce (a la FRS), drop the price and licensing bullshit. I can see a uses for a 5-10 mile communication device on UHF, FRS is neat but limited. Technology WILL not stand still for long, I would love said GMRS with built in GPS, terrain mapping database, hell throw a cellphone , scanner, personal organizer and MP3 in there too, sell for $300.00 - 500.00 sweet. The future looks good to me at least.

"Jim West" <Jim@jameswest.com> wrote in message
news:kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com...

> On Wed, 31 May 2000 11:23:28 -0400, "Sycho_Graphics"

```
> <Sycho_Graphics@email.msn.com> wrote:
>
> >In short, WHO CARES.  Let the ignorant, self-centered, egotistical
basterds
> >all go away so I don't have to hear about how great HAM was in 1960 one
more
> >damn time.  I think they are a joke, cops think they are a joke, most
local
> >authorities (In real cities not shit towns) think they are a joke, anyone
> >under the age of 30 thinks they are a joke, they are the only ones who
seem
> >to take themselves (way too) seriously.  BYE, bye and good riddance.
>
>   Couldn't pass the morse code test eh?
>
> >
> >
> >"me" <@.> wrote in message
> >news:1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net...
> >Funny. In 2 or so years there will be 200 hams.
> >Those 200 hams will still think there are alot of hams.
> >1 HAM is one ego and a waste of time too many (CW). :)
> >
> >
>
```

```
From -8346075709131726110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <39376377.EC147D78@sccoast.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630137811
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07>
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 959931259 1323 206.74.233.247 (2 Jun 2000 07:34:19 GMT)
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Jun 2000 07:34:19 GMT
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Sycho_Graphics wrote:
>
> <snip> Who needs a damn test to operate
> a CONSUMER oriented electrical
> communication device in the 21st
> Century? <snip>

Well, if you spent a little time learning about ham radio, you would be able to answer your own question. For one thing, unlike the other electronic devices you described, ham radio gives you the capability to broadcast on multiple radio bands, using considerable output power, and using several different operating modes. A computer, home stereo, tv, cell phone, CB radio, or other similar devices, do not have any of those capabilities.

> Man you guys crack me up get with
> the times folks your HAM hobby is as
> OBSOLETE as my VIC-20 and C-64 are
> to 1Ghz CPU's. <snip>

There is a greater demand for radio, and ham radio, today then there has ever been. The list of devices using radio, and agencies using radio, has grown considerably, and will continue to grow in the future. Therefore, the need for people with at least basic radio skills is also growing.

> <snip> Hell I'd buy a GMRS unit once the
> FCC re-allocates frequencies, manufactures
> mass produce (a la FRS), drop the price
> and licensing bullshit. I can see a uses
> for a 5-10 mile communication device on
> UHF, FRS is neat but limited. <snip>

Don't hold you breath.

> <snip> I would love said GMRS with built
> in GPS, terrain mapping database, hell
> throw a cellphone , scanner, personal
> organizer and MP3 in there too, sell
> for $300.00 - 500.00 sweet. <snip>

Like I said, don't hold your breath.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 7715546396782845831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000602043317.18766.00002106@nso-fo.aol.com>
X-Deja-AN: 630150853
References: <77A3DB1A95D1375B.B98CCD3CDCBAE988.EA8EFD0934B0030A@lp.airnews.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <77A3DB1A95D1375B.B98CCD3CDCBAE988.EA8EFD0934B0030A@lp.airnews.net>,
"Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:

>> >I don't think there's a contradiction.
>>
>> Of course you don't. That's why you don't like it when I point them out.
>
>I haven't seen a contradiction yet.

Of course not. If you did see them, I wouldn't have to point them out.

> I find your observation that you think
>I don't "like" something you've posted, quite interesting.

Fascinating.

> The only
>"feeling" I have about your posts is that our communication is nothing short
>of a viciuos circle.

Why do you feel that way? Because I won't agree with you?

>You may have time for that, but I do not.

Yet you have time for literally thousands of posts here.
>>
>> >That is not a contradiction. It is a
>> >difference of opinion.
>>
>> No, it's a contradiction. The thing to do is to check the premises - such
>as
>> "what is 'noise'?"
>
>The first part of the scenario on that is the science of it. All else is
>opinion, philosophy, etc.

Definitions, too. The point is that it's not just an opinion question.

In fact, the "tree falls in a forest" thing has no opinion component at all if
it's done logically.
>
>> >> All I'm doing is asking simple questions and commenting on the answers,
>in an
>> >> effort to discover people's opinions on the subject, and their
>reasoning. If
>> >> their reasoning appears to be faulty, I point that out.
>> >>
>And, that, dear Jim, is your opinion.

Not just that. Logic and reasoning exist independently of opinion. A statement
may be true or false. An opinion may be valid or invalid.

For example, a person may claim that Blatz beer is the best in the world.
That's an opinion, of course. But unless that person has actually tried every
beer in the world, it's an invalid opinion. Or perhaps you'd prefer the phrase
"unsupported by facts or experience". In either case, someone can logically
argue that Blatz is NOT the best beer in the world, and the claimant doesn't
have a logical leg to stand on.

But if a person claims that Blatz beer is the best that person has ever tried,
that opinion is valid. Or perhaps you'd prefer the phrase "supported by facts
or experience". Either way, there isn't much to argue against.

>But, you accept it as fact for yourself.

No, I present it as fact. Period.
>> >
>> >I am near certain you aren't interested in other peoples' opinions.
>>
>> You are completely mistaken about that. I'm greatly interested in other
>> people's opinions. That does not mean I always agree with them or adopt
>them as
>> my own. I only do that when another's opinion is based on sound
>reasoning.
>
>Being interested in another person's opinions has nothing to do with whether

>you agree with them or "adopt" them as your own. It has to do with finding
>an interest in the aspect of another person's view, *without* regard to
>those things!!

Agreed. I have an interest in the aspect of your view. That's why all the
questions.

> I didn't realize that we were trying to win friends and
>influence people. If I'd known such a thing, I'd have not let you see my
>*real* thoughts.

So you think that winning friends and influencing people requires deception?
Sounds like it.
>
>> I'm even more interested in relevant facts, such as those posted by
>> knowledgeable folks like Phil Kane.
>
>Then, initiate dialogue with Phil

I have. He's a tremendous resource. Too bad you don't accept his word on some
things - things that he knows about first hand.

> and quit wasting your time asking me your
>irrelevant questions.

All of my questions are quite relevant.
>
>> >I am
>> >absolutely certain I am not interested in yours.
>>
>> That's quite obvious. Your motives in posting here are quite different
>from
>> mine. Just as your motives in callsign choice are different than mine.
>
>There ya go....let's get right back full circle, eh?

> You don't like my callsign,

I don't think callsigns of that particular type help the ARS. I think the ARS
would be better off if all hams voluntarily avoided them.

>and that has been the total motivation for your posts and dialogue
>here with me.

Nope. You're totally mistaken about that.

>You aren't interested in my opinion, you could care less.

Not true at all. I'm very interested in your opinion. See below.

>You *are* interested in maintaining a dialogue that publicizes your
>displeasure with my callsign.

Have I said, before this post, whether I "like" or "dislike" your call? Nope.

> Thank you for proving my point.

Thank you for proving mine.

You see, when you first showed up on this NG, I thought that the callsign had to be fake - a joke. Lots of anonymous posters here, as you can see. So I checked out qrz.com, and found out it was for real! Did some paging through the callbooks, and found that there had been a W6 version since at least 1979. So the FCC would issue such a call - but apparently only on request, because there exist no others.

Now the whole vanity call thing goes back decades. I've had this call since 1977, but I got it at random, because in that timeframe the FCC didn't let you pick and choose. Since then, the vanity call program has been greatly expanded, and lots of folks have gotten all sorts of calls based on their initials, names, etc. Usually they are strictly G-rated.

But your suffix doesn't fit the G rating. You admit that when you say you won't say the word on the air.

So I began to wonder what sort of thought process would lead someone to request such a call. You say it was a dare, because a friend didn't believe the FCC would issue such a call. Logically, all that was needed to win that dare was to look in any callbook or database since 1979 and see the W6 version. If even one such call exists, then obviously the FCC would issue such calls, somehow.

All of the dialogue has been to try to figure out what sort of though process would lead someone to request and hold such a callsign, since it is obviously offensive to a good percentage of the amateur radio community. Your responses have convinced me that you think that since YOU don't find your callsign offensive, there's no reason for you not to have it. Regardless of anyone else's opinion, how it looks to nonhams, etc. In other words, if it makes Kim happy, it's gotta be good.

>
>> It's interesting to note that you want to express an opinion about
>practically
>> everything said here, and to examine the opinions, motives and actions of
>> others but do NOT want your opinions, motives and actions to be examined
>by
>> others. Classic double standard.
>
>I have no problem at all with debating opinions.
> I'd say the double
>standard is in your stating that you wish to debate, but more wish to
>condemn through your debate.

What have I "condemned"? Nothing.

> See? It's all in perspective and opinion...

No, it isn't. The idea that all opinions, value systems and viewpoints are equally valid is one of the great mistakes of our time.

>:) PS--I wouldn't spend so much time being mad at someone for their choice
>of callsign, either.

Nor would I. I'm not angry at all. You appear to be, though.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -8363694957335421756
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000602043318.18766.00002107@nso-fo.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630150860
References: <8h5r5v12987@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <8h5r5v12987@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
writes:

>In article <20000601055031.15983.00001820@nso-fk.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>says...
>
><snippage deleted>
>
>>> If
>>>I say a tree *is* making noise in the woods, even if nothing is around to
>>>hear it; you might say it does not.
>>
>>True.
>>
>>>That is not a contradiction. It is a
>>>difference of opinion.
>>
>>No, it's a contradiction. The thing to do is to check the premises - such as
>>"what is 'noise'?"
>>>>
>>>> All I'm doing is asking simple questions and commenting on the answers,
>in
>>>an
>>>> effort to discover people's opinions on the subject, and their reasoning.
>>>If
>>>> their reasoning appears to be faulty, I point that out.
>>>>

>>>
>>>I am near certain you aren't interested in other peoples' opinions.
>
><more snippage deleted>
>
>This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
>argument.
>
No it doesn't.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1616211001101597272
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000602043319.18766.00002108@nso-fo.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630150867
References: <yoBZ4.38$d5.47788@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <yoBZ4.38$d5.47788@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

><n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>> How about a lobster dinner, payable the next time one of us in the
>>> other's area.
>
>> Hey! That's MY bet!
>
>Yeah, but it's MY trademark! :-)

Ayeh.
>
>> "Everybody wants to get into the act" - Jimmy Durante
>
>Agreed. :-)

"I got a million of 'em"
>
>> You get near EPA, Ed, lemme know, we'll do the lobster dinner thing
>> without a bet.
>
>Well, I was just in Allentown --- paying up on a lobster-dinner bet.

Only about 40 miles from here. btw, this new QTH needs a name. Old place (in Upper Darby, former home of B&W) was called RadioTelegraph Hill. This place (Radnor Township, within earshot of the Devon Horse Show) is still nameless after 7 months. Perhaps South Selden Hill? Or maybe Southgate Manor?

>WA6VSE said it was the best-tasting lobster he has had in a while. :-)

Then he's never been to Maine, where the good ones are. Like Buffalo (actually, Tonawanda) is the place for wings. Amd beef on a weck.
>
>> > Add to it the shrimp cocktail, that the number of licensed US
>> amateurs on
>> > 2/28/2001 will be higher than it is on 12/31/2000.
>
>> Don't you mean 12/31/1999?
>
>Yes, I did, but I will take it either way. :-)

I wish I could get a piece of that action. Heck, the backlog of the past six weeks alone will bust 680K. And the trickledown effect hasn't really kicked in yet.
>
>> > > One thing that I know would spur interest, at least on the UHF
>> bands,
>> > > would be a decent low-cost, hi-speed(>56Kbps) digital radio. If we
>> see
>> > > such an animal in the near future, numbers will start to increase,
>> > > instead of decrease.
>
>> Maybe. What would folks DO with such a rig that they cannot do now with
>> 9600 baud packet?
>
>The funny part is that I agree with Stew about much of the technical things
>he posts, although I don't think that such "high-speed" digital radio is the
>only thing that will attract youth to ham radio.

Agreed.

>Tekk has made a 38.8kbs
>digital radio for years; I don't think jumping to 56 kb would make a
>tremendous difference.

The end user rig is only one of many problems to be solved in order to have what he proposes.

>But agreeing in principle doesn't mean that I think
>we need to predict the end-of-amateur-radio-as we know it; I think the point
>that Amateur Radio needs to encompass more technically than it does right
>now stands well on its own.

Agreed! The question is - how to get there? The QST/QEX split of years ago puts most of the serious tech stuff in QEX. That's not a bad thing - one of the chronic complaints about QST before the QEX days was "it's too technical".

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1319880681033892400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000602043319.18766.00002109@nso-fo.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630150870
References: <03d094ee.d37c7a9f@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <03d094ee.d37c7a9f@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>, horseshoe7 <horseshoe7NOhoSPAM@yahoo.com.invalid> writes:

>In article <8h5n4e$sko$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, n2ey@aol.com wrote:
>
>>> > One thing that I know would spur interest, at least on the
>UHF
>>bands,
>>> > would be a decent low-cost, hi-speed(>56Kbps) digital radio.
> If we
>>see
>>> > such an animal in the near future, numbers will start to
>increase,
>>> > instead of decrease.
>>
>>Maybe. What would folks DO with such a rig that they cannot do
>now with
>>9600 baud packet?
>
>Access newsgroups like this one - at a decent clip.

OK - but all that does is duplicate what they probably have already. And such a dataradio is only a small part of what's needed - there has to be some sort of gateway to Usenet in order to have a working system.

>At 9600bps,
>it is too slow. Dialup Internet didn't really start to boom
>until folks were able to get to 28.8Kbps. And nowadays
>56Kbps(with typical connections at about 48Kbps) is considered
>the minimally-acceptable speed.

Faster is better, sure. But millions of folks are still happily running 28.8 or even 14.4, because of phoneline limitations. For plain text, the difference is not THAT great. Where things bog down is with graphics and scripts and stuff like that, all being downloaded at once.

>
>At 115-500Kbps, we would actually leap-frog the dialup folks and
>be competitive with the DSL/cable modem crowd.

For a while. Fiber optics - that's the future. Soon the term "cable" will be as much a misnomer as "cut a tape". Many cable TV operations are already partly fiber.

>Note that I'm
>talking competitive in the sense of access speed only. Our
>data content would be different - I think that it would actually
>be superior to the untamed Internet, in many ways(no
>advertising(except ham gear!), no profanity,
>technically-oriented).

All of which is prohibited by law, of course. But there's the hitch: What you will get is not internet access, nor the web, nor even usenet or plain old email. Right there, a lot of the audience disappears. Worse, though, is the need for hams to build and maintain the backbone network. That's the "who'll bell the cat" problem. With no commercial content, there's no revenue generation to fund anything.

Fact is, a highspeed national digital network using UHF ham radio has been technically possible for at least 15-18 years. The problem is cost. How many nodes would such a network need, and who is going to build, maintain and coordinate them?

The answer, I think, is some sort of universal digital protocol and network that can do voice, data, text, image, whatever, so that the same network can handle amateur email, realtime voice and text, newsgroups like this one, and lots more. But that's a BIG order. Takes a lot more than a cheap dataradio to do that one.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -3672360676020788447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com
Subject: Re: Callsigns - was Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h863g$flr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630176107
References: <1C56F855FF7D0E46.ECDABF0B87863CF8.CAE888DAA1D9C8AD@lp.airnews.net>
<20000526151854.18766.00001257@nso-fo.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10005262030500.372-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<o7CX4.72$c5.71352@news.ntplx.net> <392F1220.D4CA0353@uci.edu>

<Pine.LNX.4.10.10005271902580.1049-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3936926D.967A8193@uci.edu> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10006020026090.138-100000@mbx.bde-arc.ampr.org>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.164.197.33
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jun 02 11:34:09 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; Windows 95)

In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10006020026090.138-100000@mbx.bde-arc.ampr.org>,
  kd6lvw@att.net wrote:> > > On Fri, 26 May 2000, Jim Kelley wrote:
> On Thu, 1 Jun 2000, Jim Kelley wrote:
> > "D. Stussy" wrote:

> > > > N2EY was correct. The FCC has skipped those calls. Try UALR.
> >
> > > Unsubstantiated claim.
> > > Real proof would be to obtain the FCC's "lockout file."
> >
> > It's called circumstantial evidence. The fact that the calls weren't
> > issued indicates that they have been "locked-out". Would you care to
> > prove otherwise?
>
> I just may do that. I'll look for all suffixes, excluding vanity calls, issued
> in the past 5 years. If I can find at least one non-vanity call of each suffix
> and post that call here, will that satisfy you?

Sounds reasonable - but how can you tell whether a call was a vanity
issue or a routine, next-in-alphabetical order issue?
>
> I do note that 2x3's with an "X" following the digit are not amateur calls
> (they are assigned to the experimental licenses), and calls with Q-Codes as the
> suffix (QRA-QUZ), plus "SOS" are skipped, but the original question is seeking
> "offensive" suffixes (such as "FUX", etc.); not those which are documented to
> be skipped.

Agreed.
>
> The fact that a call isn't issued is not evidence of a lock-out on that call -
> it could just as easily be abandoned within a month of issuance.....
>
Possibly. But there's a third possibility: The FCC may have set up the

system so that certain calls and suffixes will not be routinely issued because the vast majority of recipients may not like them (e.g., AH0LE, WH0RE). However, they will be issued if specifically requested as vanity calls. The suffix debated here at interminable length is obviously not routinely issued, because only the W6, W5 and K5 versions have been know to exist in the past 21 years - and the owner of the K5 version traded it for another K5 call. The W5 version is a recent vanity issue, but the W6 version is listed as far back as 1979 - and it may go back even farther. (1979 was the oldest callbook I had, and I just sold it).

Oddly enough, the suffix "LID" has been issued routinely in the past. Also, I have heard that the FCC will assign a new call without charge if a licensee thinks their sequentially issued callsign to be objectionable (for a reasonable cause).

73 de Jim, N2EY (sequentially issued in 1977)
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From -6169949896397583835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h87st$gpo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630181568
References: <8gc52g019i7@enews1.newsguy.com>
<delW4.21042$S31.454241@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<8h1rav$9vn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.164.197.33
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jun 02 12:04:51 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; Windows 95)

In article <8h1rav$9vn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
p1415926535@yahoo.com wrote:
> In article <delW4.21042$S31.454241@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> "zincplaterubberant" <kru7dso@cir43a.org> wrote:
> [...]

> > While you are correct on your above observation, the greater
> > reason is our years and years of hanging onto an outdated
> > mode called CW. Today the American Retentive Radio Luddites
> > are running scared. Their membership is truly dying off
> > to the tune of an average of 55 US hams per month. Don't believe
> > this? Go to the library or your stacks of QST and check the
> > numbers in the SK listings for the past 8-12 months.
> >
>
> Only 55 US hams die per month? No, I don't believe that.

Me neither.
>
> Considering that the current US ham population is several
> hundred thousand (what is it now, about 600,000?), if the
> statistics for hams is similar to the general population there
> should be several times that many dying per month.
>

678,000, give or take a few.

Let's do some really crude estimates. Let's say the "average" ham
"career" lasts 50 years, and that almost all hams keep their licenses
till they pass away. (Note the word "average"). Then we can expect 2%
of the ARS to expire per year, or 0.167% per month. 0.167% of 678,000
is about 1132 per month.

> Or did you just mean ARRL members? That number still sounds
> too low.

Correct! Assuming 1 in 4 US hams is an ARRL member, we get 283 per
month.
>
> OTOH, if hams only die at the rate of 55/month we could promote
> amateur radio just on its health benefits. :-)
>

Yup!

One thing I've not seen yet is a breakdown of ARS licensees by age, and
comparisons with the US population as a whole. We see single number
"average age" stats, but they are meaningless without more detail. And
a correction factor must be included to allow for the fact that there
are few hams under the age of about 10.

73 de Jim, N2EY (age 46, licensed since age 13, very much alive and
kicking)

FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From 6721353512250340274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h8c8k$jqj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Deja-AN: 630199706
References: <8gc52g019i7@enews1.newsguy.com>
<8gclob$u6d$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.127
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jun 02 13:19:30 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)

In article <8gclob$u6d$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>,
  "Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> took another step toward USENET
history
> by writing in part:
>
> > The question asked time and again is, "Why are there no *young*
newcomers
> to
> > ham radio?" The answer is quite simple: elitism.

Elitism may be only a part of it. The largest so called generation "gap" occurred during the 60s when the youth of the USA took on a new culture that was opposed to the Viet Nam war and opposed to a lot of the conservative thinking of the older generation who fought in WW2. The result was the development of rebellous anti-government youth who sometimes went as far as becoming part of the druggie scene. Their rationalization for their anti-social behavior was based at least in part by their discovery of government hypocracy such as the Watergate affair. Hams have (inspite of some bigoted wizards) always represented a conservative group which is patriotic and loyal to our government. This has led to the continuation of what started as a "generation gap". IMHO that gap is getting bigger as time goes on. The gap is recognizable in the types of music and sadistic entertainmemt that polarizes the young vs the old culture.   Unfortunately Ham Radio is seen, even by many Hams, as a part of the OLD CULTURE. This in itself makes it very hard to sell to the younger generation. on the other hand the freedom of speech that we see on the internet and even in this NG is not typical of trasditional Ham Radio content. Most Hams do not

want to discuss sex, religion or politics over the air. (These
subjects are taboo since Ham Radio content must be G rated)...BUT some
will in NGs. This leaves Ham Radio a dry and sterile field as far as
most youths are concerned. In short it offers no exciting surprises as
far as its content goes.
> >
> > The elitist mentality fosters itself when a group of people think
that
> they're
> > doing something "better" than someone else. It's not limited to one
> individual
> > group. It's a disease that infects Christian fundamentalists, as
well as
> > teenagers who think they're "alternative". (You know the type. They
walk
> into a
> > CD store, sporting spiked, purple hair and facial piercings, and
complain
> > because the store doesn't have the entire discography of some
obscure band
> from
> > San Francisco who call themselves Blood Monster Mushrooms.)

I agree.

> D00d, BMM sucked out loud after their first album, making your
argument
> impotent.
>
> > This elitism makes the group irrational. The dangers of the elitist
> mindset are
> > very real. First, it more than likely creates hypocrites out of the
people
> > involved.

IMHO Hams who are technically innovative do have some qualities to
feel "elite" about...just as hacker gurus may. If Hams can feel that
Ham Radio has some bragging value then there can be no motive for most
people to become Hams.
> "I don't practice what I preach because I'm not the kind of person I'm
> preaching to." - J. R. "Bob" Dobbs

A very good quote. It applies to me as well.

> > Second, it annihilates the appeal of the group's philosophy, as no
> > one likes to be talked down to. Third, it turns the group into
general
> > assholes, making them snobby, confrontatinal, and unlikeable.

Yep...You can't talk down to a non-captive audience for long. Captive
audiences include only those in required school classes, court rooms,
military posts and unfortunate enough to be inside of a moving vehicle
where a loquatious speaker is propounding. Peopl;e and especially

youths don't want to be talked down to...even by their own parents.
BUT
IMHO you don't have to talk down or be talked down to to be an ass-hole.
Ass-holeism comes with a much larger field of territory.

> Not true!
>
> > I've seen this attitude time and again in ham radio. It's seen in
club
> > meetings, at hamfests, on the air, and most prevalently on the
internet.
>
> SHUT UP NAZI! Oops, sorry, reflex action.

Again we see the poster that seeks to analyze society being labelled a
Nazi. In my cast I would probably get labled a Leftist for agreeing
about the same social conditions.
> > My
> > idea of ham radio would be a wide range of individuals discussing
many
> > different topics, and engaging in a meaningful and intelligent
exchange of
> > information. (That certainly doesn't happen on the typical DX
contact)
I would suggest that you take an IQ test and join Mensa. Then you
could talk to intelligent people via Mensan NGs. Unfortunately you
might find that these is little difference in the responses that you
will get from the Mensans and the folks in this ham policy NG. A lot
of smart people don't know how to communicate productively. Humans
tend to do things and say things to satisfy their own egos when they
are doing things that don't involve a monetary profit motive.. This is
basic human nature.
> However,
> > that's asking for a Utopia that just isn't going to happen. Some
will even
> say
> > that this is against the fundamental purpose of the service as
outlined in
> Part
> > 97.1. These people can't even see past a rulebook to see that
amateur
Yep...This is the traditional conservative nature of the hobby and has
not changed with the changing American social culture.
> radio has
> > become stagnant.
>
> 97.1 does not prohibit these discussions. But talk about something
the
> least bit controversial, and the jammers will pile on. It's not just
ham
> radio.
Now you can understand my point of view. I believe that Ham Radio
should be primarily a TECHNICAL HOBBY. It has always been accepted

that Hams can discuss radio technology, their equipment, antennas, etc. If we go to far in thinking that Hams like CBers and NG posters can be permitted to discuss anything that they feel like we are changing a basic Ham tradition that has set Hams apart from other communications users in the past and IMHO must continue to do so...Not just so Hams can feel elite but so they can learn technology and pioneer in modern innovation which can approach the state of the art.

>Intolerance of other views is on the upswing everywhere. I dare say
> that if cancelling others' usenet posts was easier, most messages
would
> never appear. It's an attitude of "you're not just wrong, but evil."
After a
> while, fatigue sets in and people don't care. Hayul, the 3945 bunch
gets
> jammed when they mostly talk about RADIO!

It is too bad that bigots and extremists often can not respect their opponents...BUT that is the very nature of their definition.

> > But, no. The majority of hams today are old and/or obese, white,
males who
> have

I'm old and white but not quite obese.

> > nothing better to do than talk about the "good 'ol days", their
health
> > problems, and the weather.
> >
Yeah about the RADIO technology in the good ol' days, how weather effects RADIO propagation and how RADIO radiation might effect heaslth.
>
> Old. Obese. White. Male. Hey, where's the love?
>
Love is a many splendive thing...made firm by Viagra.

> > Based on these facts, you can see that the new license structure
isn't
> going to
> > save ham radio. A lower code test requirement isn't going to save
ham
> radio.
> > Only a change of attitude will save ham radio.

AGREED!!!

> Donating an FT-1000 to Unclaimed Mysteries is good first step, IMHO.
>
> > Until a redefining of the basis
> > and purpose

There can never be a basis and purpose to redefine our basis and
purpose. If you analyze which paragraphs need to be changed you can
only see an elimination of those very paragraphs with nothing real to
replace them...and thus an end to what are defined as the very basic
values of Ham Radio.

> happens, and until the poisonous elitism is purged, the appeal
> of
> > the internet for the free and open exchange of ideas will continue
to
> grow, and
> > interest in ham radio will continue to dwindle until it is like the
dead
> > languages of Europe: Still there for the curious, but of no common
use.

Very good analogy.

> There is no need for a 100% overlap between the net and amateur
radio. I'm
> frustrated with the stagnation too. But sometimes you just gotta make
your
> own fun. Heard 14.070 lately?

Maybe we need more Ham humorists who know some clean jokes that won't
offend anybody. Nah...They wouldn't be very funny.

> --
> It came from C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
> http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/
> Public school all the way, bay-bee. So much for "socialization."
>
The public needs public schools...AND
Hams need more license classes,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Inspire Learning Public)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From 6922501577096298964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <3937AA4D.ABA23123@arrl.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630200746

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <8gc52g019i7@enews1.newsguy.com>
<delW4.21042$S31.454241@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<8h1rav$9vn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <8h87st$gpo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Complaints-To: abuse@snet.net
X-Trace: typhoon.snet.net 959949392 64.252.2.15 (Fri, 02 Jun 2000 08:36:32 EDT)
Organization: SNET Internet
MIME-Version: 1.0
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 08:36:32 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

n2ey@aol.com wrote:
>
> One thing I've not seen yet is a breakdown of ARS licensees by age, and
> comparisons with the US population as a whole.

You can do that using the December 1996 data, but the FCC hasn't been
collecting or publishing birth dates since that time so a breakdown by
age of the current ham population isn't readily available.

Jon, KE3Z

From -1249493762095231957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f09390c90377e874
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Subject: Re: U.S. Stamp Design
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h8dtf$l56$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630210959
References: <gWUT4.8966$ZE4.170387@ord-read.news.verio.net>
<8h6t9b$m1f$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3937026F.D4478DB2@uci.edu>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.127
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jun 02 13:47:33 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)

In article <3937026F.D4478DB2@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>
>
> Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> > Dear Dr. Marc...
>
> >   Let me remind you that a newsgroup is supposed to be a two [or
more]-

> > way discussion group and not a broadcasting outlet. If you want to
> > broadcast then I suggest that you might look into setting up a web-
> > site...BUT if you want to discuss Ham Policy then be ready to
monitor
> > for those who might want to reply to you - with the entire group
> > following the thread, if it is of interest to them.
>
> Hey Bob,
>
> Speak for yourself. Okay? I don't think there's pennance involved
for
> posting to r.r.a.p. He posted something interesting to read.
Somewhat
> of a novelty here, actually. And he asked for help for what I think
is
> a good sounding cause. It wasn't an anonymous post, which is
certainly
> a plus. It's just that the guy has better things to do than
participate
> in an argument clinic (TM). Good for him!
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG
>
> Besides if you
> > monitor the thread you might see that you have sent the same
message a
> > number of times and might learn more about NGs. Sometimes I hit
> > the "Send (no preview)" button twice by mistake myself...BUT even I
> > don't send the same message as often as you did. I have been
> > criticised for echoing here and I can understand that this practice
is
> > frowned on by experienced NGers. NGs are sort of like the Ham Bands.
> > You can't broadcast or talk to yourself without listening on
them....At
> > any rate it is not considered polite to do so on either media.
There
> > is no official law against it in a NG but there is on the Ham bands
for
> > obvious reasons.
> >   Just a humble suggestion that could lead to your using better
> > psychological NG judgement. At any rate I don't think that you
would
> > want to give the impression that you would only monitor a NG that
had
> > only PhD posters in it...or would you?
> >   I'll send this message to you via e-mail. I won't send it by
snail
> > mail because I don't want to buy any new postage stamps unless they
> > commemorate Ham Radio.
> >
> > I will be monitoring this NG.
> > 73 you all,
> > Bob Wex w2ilp (Itterated Letter Postage?)

```
> > BSEE    MSEd    (Not a PhD)
> > ------------------------------------------------
> > POST NO BILLS.
> > --?(Sign on a brick wall)--
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.

I must appoligize to all for my above post.  Jim is correct to call me
a hyp[ocrite.  I got ticked off when I read Dr. Marc's statement that
he would not monitor this NG.  I saw this as a "talk down" to the
posters of this group. I wondered if Dr. Marc only intended to monitor
groups of certified PhDs.  Apparently he did monitor this NG, as he did
reply to others who commented in this thread.  I also though that he
seemed to be an elitist who flashed his PhPsyc at us even in the
subject box, when IMHO his call-sign alone would do as far as this NG
is primarily concerned.  I responded with my BSEE and MSEd, with a
competive defensive motive...but it was hypocritical to do so...and I
am sure that others might see me as a phony elitist.  I would prefer
that they think of me as just another ham...(even tho being a Ham these
days might be like being an honorary idiot).
    I won't send Dr. Marc an e-mail message because I suspect that he
IS monitoring this group in spite of his original disclaimer.
73,
Call sign: W2ILP  (I Lack PhD)
------------------------------------------------
Psychologist I:  Hello.
Psychologist II:  I wonder what he meant by that.
  --?--


Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.




From 7247155322319865389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000602092243.14161.00001471@ng-cl1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630211886
References: <39ADE3D726B96FC9.7814E372778AC3BD.A8034E8E4ED7F379@lp.airnews.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>From: "Kim W5TIT" kw5tit@hotmail.com
>Date: 06/01/2000 10:08 PM Central Daylight Time
```

>C'mon, Steve. Fer cryin' out loud. Everyone here is stating opinions. Does each line of a post need to begin with, "In my opinion" for your benefit? Good grief!!!!!!!!!!<

Nothing has to be done "for (my) benefit", Kim, but when someone asks you for the source of your information on something you have stated, then you should either be able to say (or words to this effect) "It was just my opinion..." or "I got my information from..."

Cecil, like one or two others here, has gone to great lengths to impress us with, among other things, his IQ, professional associations and MENSA membership.

Anyone who TRULY has all three of those in his/her CV OUGHT to know how to formulate a sentence in such a way as to indicate that the information is based on a known fact or published data, or just an opinion with no verifiable facts.

Instead, Cecil went on the Internet version of a Texas Two-step around answering my inquiry as to his source of "facts". He finally admitted that this was just his opinion, based on nothing but a very limited scope of personal experience...ie his "all white motorcycle gang".

I don't buy into this concept that "just because" this is USENET, any abortion of the English language should be tolerated. We all were (supposed) to hae received a minimal education, and part of that education was to ensure that we can intercommunicate effectively.

Futhermore, I don't buy YOUR assertion that everyone here is just "stating opinions". The manner in which any given sentence is formulated determine wether the sentence is an opinion or stated as a given fact. Cecil would have us beleive that HIS opinions ARE fact.

Cecil likes to make assertions that he would like for us to accept as fact, yet is unwilling to substantiate those assertions. THAT'S propaganda!

We were all (supposedly) educated to a minimal level of comprehension of the English language. Those basic skills are essential so that we can all speak from a common frame of reference.

Ever read George Orwell's "1984", Kim ? If not, you ought to some time. It will give you a whole new outlook on the Internet in general and USENET in particular.

Perhaps THEN you might understand my penchant for SOME standards of grammar and structure.

Steve

From -8487405523354851726
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: General Mark Morgan <one.big.asshole@ahole.com>
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <3937D1DE.4ADD2046@ahole.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630213358
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <393590B2.262596F3@IEEE.org> <20000601004325.19467.00000429@nso-md.aol.com> <3936785B.DDBEA25D@IEEE.org> <3936D738.A06371D0@ahole.com> <3936E02A.80E6F313@IEEE.org>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Trace: pm01news.wcom.com 959952261 166.35.226.102 (Fri, 02 Jun 2000 13:24:21 GMT)
MIME-Version: 1.0
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 13:24:21 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

With the way the market is now it is a good time to invest into communications companies... Good luck and hope you are enjoying retirement.

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> General Mark Morgan wrote:
> > Is that all Cecil, 1 million bucks. I'm at 1.5 mil and by the time
> > I retire will have at least 3.75 mil. Not bad for a guy with only a
> > HS education.
>
> I've been considering playing the index options market.
> Seems there is money to be made on the present roller
> coaster swings.
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -3245036051485013602
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000602092557.14161.00001472@ng-cl1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630214624
References: <39376377.EC147D78@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 06/02/2000 2:34 AM Central Daylight Time

>Well, if you spent a little time learning about ham radio, you would be able to answer your own question.<

I think this person knows Amateur Radio very well, Dwight. S/he is just an antagonist. A well known one, at that.

Steve

From 2583699047715132394
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h8g3t$muh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630224199
References: <39307fc3.34483875@news.scottsbluff.net>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.127
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jun 02 14:25:20 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)

In article <39307fc3.34483875@news.scottsbluff.net>,
ki7rw@arrl.net (Lee Roberts) wrote:
> -----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
> Hash: SHA1
>
> http://www.usatoday.com/news/acovfri.htm
>
> Boy, this article sure hits the nail on the head. I've been wondering
> about this for years! It can be applied to any situation, including
> Amateur radio.

I certainly respect all that the US and allied fighting forces did to preserve our nation during WW2. There is nobody with any manners who does not feel the same way....
BUT
The educated youth of today might not believe all that is said of the gallent WW2 heros. After all kids are now being taught: -
1) That Columbus didn't discover America.
2) That Washington didn't cross the Delaware.

3) That Lincoln didn't intend to free the slaves.
4) That Oswald didn't act alone.
5) That Sarnoff didn't work the Titanic.

People today are skeptical about anything...ever Ham Radio. People do need to see the bloody bandages of WW2, if only in museums, to prove that wars are hell.

It is very bad manners to show disrespect for the heros of the past...but unfortunately when the accurate facts get reported, people now tend to get skeptical about everything...even our President's fidelity....which IMHO shows that people have no respect. Yes... People with bad manners show no respect and people who show no respect have bad manners.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (I Like Patriots)
Did you ever doubt?

Signature not encoded. I am not elitist enough to think that anyone would care to imitate me.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From 3582132655673386569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000602095829.14063.00001385@ng-cl1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630224425
References: <8h8c8k$jqj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
>From: Bob Wex w2ilp w2ilp@juno.com
>Date: 06/02/2000 8:19 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <8h8c8k$jqj

>> T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> took another step toward USENET history by writing in part:
>> > The question asked time and again is, "Why are there no *young* newcomers to ham radio?" The answer is quite simple: elitism.<<

From this, Bob delved into a lengthy dissertation on the generation gap.

Neither one of you are correct.

The lack of "youth" in Amateur Radio is a simple matter of lack of application and a proliferation of other distractions.

We have to "accentuate the positive" and make it more appealing. The days of being able to simply infect a youngster with our ability to communicate globally with a crystal set and spark gap have passed.

Kids today need challenges, and those challenges must have some sort of practical application.

Thre's no "elitism" or "generation gap" to it. It's ALWAYS been that way. We just seem to want to forget that every so often and we wind up reinventing the wheel.

So much wasted time when there's no time to waste at all.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -5982111393279449473
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ddaccb6319840ba
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org (James Rosenthal)
Subject: Re: I feel sorry for TIT's husband.
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h8e41$ob8@nntp.seflin.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630228256
References: <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>
<8A182877A56F3F91.5CCF877FE67E319A.43819CF4ACD478CB@lp.airnews.net>
<sj89525i5pj103@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: SEFLIN Free-Net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL (vlfiscus@mcn.net) wrote:
[snip]

: You restructured non-technical sort who don't want to put forth any effort
: to move further in ham radio should go back to CB because as hams you're
: breaking the rules. Part 97 say's hams should continue in the advancement
: of radio theory and knowledge. kim, your brain is vegitating.

Vince,

This message is to let you know that some nut is sending messages to the

r.r.a.p. newsgroup and signing your name to them.
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

From 5281666222996668907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000602122713.19467.00000836@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630276311
References: <20000602043318.18766.00002107@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <20000602043318.18766.00002107@nso-fo.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
>>argument.
>>
>No it doesn't.
>

Yes, it does!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1035146229129895083
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000602122713.19467.00000837@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630276312
References: <39379172.362658@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <39379172.362658@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Now Jim, that is going too far. Anything can remind
>anybody of anything. Please don't say, "No it can't."
>

Cecil:

No, it can't! Seeing an airplane fly over me doesn't remind me of
a rowboat in the middle of the desert!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5106330323631237971
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000602122714.19467.00000838@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630276324
References: <3936A25F.DBFBB9BF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <3936A25F.DBFBB9BF@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Well, I guess I've never been in Kim's neck o' the woods, and the
>> issue never came up! And another thing I did notice about the Texans
>> I'd met is that they were very decent, modest, and moral people --
>
>Who, unlike you, do not try to force their morality on
>their neighbors. Most people in my neighborhood believe
>it is wrong to consume alcohol but they do not try to
>keep me from consuming alcohol.
>

Cecil:

I thought you lived in a "dry" county where it was actually *illegal* to
drink alcohol! If your neighbors know about your stash of spoiled
grape juice, how did they find out? I would expect a MENSA member
could keep a secret a bit better than that!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2455536599050283920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h8ptv$utr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Deja-AN: 630281538
References: <8gc52g019i7@enews1.newsguy.com>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.164.164.247
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jun 02 17:12:47 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; Windows 95)

In article <8gc52g019i7@enews1.newsguy.com>,
  tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant) wrote:
> The question asked time and again is, "Why are there no *young*
newcomers to
> ham radio?"

But there ARE young newcomers to ham radio. A few weeks ago, a bunch of
local hams had dinner together to plan for FD, and among us was an 11
year old Tech. I'm also well acquainted with a local Extra who is 14,
and has several years' experience. And they are just the ones I know
well.

> The answer is quite simple: elitism.

The question is flawed.
>
> The elitist mentality fosters itself when a group of people think
that they're
> doing something "better" than someone else. It's not limited to one
individual
> group. It's a disease that infects Christian fundamentalists, as well
as
> teenagers who think they're "alternative". (You know the type. They
walk into a
> CD store, sporting spiked, purple hair and facial piercings, and
complain
> because the store doesn't have the entire discography of some obscure
band from
> San Francisco who call themselves Blood Monster Mushrooms.)

Sure. WHat does that have to do with ham radio?

>
> This elitism makes the group irrational. The dangers of the elitist
mindset are
> very real. First, it more than likely creates hypocrites out of the
people
> involved. Second, it annihilates the appeal of the group's
philosophy, as no
> one likes to be talked down to. Third, it turns the group into
general
> assholes, making them snobby, confrontatinal, and unlikeable.

Maybe.
>
> I've seen this attitude time and again in ham radio. It's seen in
club
> meetings, at hamfests, on the air, and most prevalently on the
internet.

Give us some examples, please.

> My
> idea of ham radio would be a wide range of individuals discussing
many
> different topics, and engaging in a meaningful and intelligent
exchange of
> information.

Sounds good to me. Sounds like many of the CW ragchews I have on 40
meters.

>(That certainly doesn't happen on the typical DX contact) However,
> that's asking for a Utopia that just isn't going to happen.

Why can't it happen?

> Some will even say
> that this is against the fundamental purpose of the service as
outlined in Part
> 97.1.

What rules would it violate? Obviously some things are off limits, but
not all.

> These people can't even see past a rulebook to see that amateur radio
has
> become stagnant.

Not to me!

> But, no. The majority of hams today are old and/or obese, white, males

Let's hold it right there a moment. First off, you're making a broad
generalization without any data being presented.

"old" - well, I'm 46. To a teenager, I'm old. To an octagenarian, I'm young. How old are YOU? And why does age matter so much? How old does a person have to be in order to be "old"?

"obese" - Most American adults are overweight, according to various studies. Obese is defined as being more than a certain percentage overweight (I can't recall the exact number offhand). Why should a person's value be tied to their body mass index, as you seem to be doing?

btw, I go 215 right now, at 6' 3". That's too heavy - I'm working towards 180.

> who have
> nothing better to do than talk about the "good 'ol days", their
health
> problems, and the weather.

Not the hams I know. All sorts of great stuff on the local repeaters and the CW bands.
>
> Based on these facts, you can see that the new license structure
isn't going to
> save ham radio.

Except that your "facts" turn out to be false, or irrelevant.

> A lower code test requirement isn't going to save ham radio.

So we should have left it alone? I don't think so! At least 75% of commenters to the NPRM favored the 5 wpm General license.

> Only a change of attitude will save ham radio.

"Save" it from what?

>Until a redefining of the basis
> and purpose happens,

How's that going to happen?

> and until the poisonous elitism is purged, the appeal of
> the internet for the free and open exchange of ideas will continue to
grow, and
> interest in ham radio will continue to dwindle until it is like the
dead
> languages of Europe: Still there for the curious, but of no common
use.

Sorry, Tom, but the facts speak otherwise.

For one thing, if you look at the history of ham radio (at least in the

USA), you'll see that it has grown rapidly in some eras and slowly or not at all in others. Rapid growth before and immediately after WW1 - slow growth in the 1920s - a veritable explosion in the early '30s (almost triple the number of hams in less than 5 years) - slow growth through the rest of the '30s, then a decline during WW2.

Fast growth from the ned of WW2 to 1963, then no growth for almost a decade. Steady growth from the early '70s to the late '80s, then very slow growth since then.

US license figures: (approximate)
19K hams in 1929
46K hams in 1935
60K hams in 1946
100K hams in 1951
250K hams in 1963
350K hams in 1979
550K hams in 1990
678K hams in 2000

What downward trend?

73 de Jim, N2EY
-
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From -5914072783722581094
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: jui@rwi.com
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <3937E4EE.AB9CDC4E@rwi.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630282209
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>

<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Trace: 2 Jun 2000 09:45:30 PST, 198.145.224.222
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Obviously a dummy that couldn't learn morse code.
Go buy a study tape and study harder. LOL
Long live morse code and ham radio.

Sycho_Graphics wrote:

> Didn't try and I don't want to better places to spend my time and money.
> Who needs a damn test to operate a CONSUMER oriented electrical
> communication device in the 21st Century? I use a cellphone, GPS receiver,
> cordless phones, computers, TV, VCR (I can actually set it too :)),
> High-end Home Stereo remote, FRS devices, CB radio, Palm Pilot , RC cars and
> boats and even a microwave oven and I don't need a damn license for those.
> What makes HAM gear soooo complicated I need to pass a test and be TAXED on
> it by the FCC?
>
> By the way Jim who the hell are you gonna MORSE with? Aliens, Russian SPY
> ships, the Space Shuttle, clandestine operatives so deep under cover that
> they can't afford voice transmission equipment (poor bastards). So, who the
> HELL do you Morse too in the 21st century??? MORSE is DEAD. dot dot dot
> dash dash dash dot dot blah blah blah HA HA HA :) :) :) You guys kill me.
>
> Man you guys crack me up get with the times folks your HAM hobby is as
> OBSOLETE as my VIC-20 and C-64 are to 1Ghz CPU's. Let the FCC use the space
> for something more useful, at least more interesting. Hell I'd buy a GMRS
> unit once the FCC re-allocates frequencies, manufactures mass produce (a la
> FRS), drop the price and licensing bullshit. I can see a uses for a 5-10
> mile communication device on UHF, FRS is neat but limited. Technology WILL
> not stand still for long, I would love said GMRS with built in GPS, terrain
> mapping database, hell throw a cellphone , scanner, personal organizer and
> MP3 in there too, sell for $300.00 - 500.00 sweet. The future looks good to
> me at least.
>
> "Jim West" <Jim@jameswest.com> wrote in message
> news:kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 31 May 2000 11:23:28 -0400, "Sycho_Graphics"
> > <Sycho_Graphics@email.msn.com> wrote:
> >
> > >In short, WHO CARES. Let the ignorant, self-centered, egotistical
> basterds
> > >all go away so I don't have to hear about how great HAM was in 1960 one
> more

```
> > >damn time.  I think they are a joke, cops think they are a joke, most
> local
> > >authorities (In real cities not shit towns) think they are a joke, anyone
> > >under the age of 30 thinks they are a joke, they are the only ones who
> seem
> > >to take themselves (way too) seriously.  BYE, bye and good riddance.
> >
> >   Couldn't pass the morse code test eh?
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >"me" <@.> wrote in message
> > >news:1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net...
> > >Funny. In 2 or so years there will be 200 hams.
> > >Those 200 hams will still think there are alot of hams.
> > >1 HAM is one ego and a waste of time too many (CW). :)
> > >
> > >
> >
```

```
From 6565169762245708589
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Walter Gesundheit <w2dne@my-deja.com>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h8qg7$vda$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630285656
References: <39ADE3D726B96FC9.7814E372778AC3BD.A8034E8E4ED7F379@lp.airnews.net>
<20000602092243.14161.00001471@ng-cl1.aol.com>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 www.proxymate.com:3128 (Squid/2.3.STABLE2), 1.0 x70.deja.com:80
(Squid/1.1.22) for client unknown, 207.140.138.195
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jun 02 17:22:34 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2dne
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0)

In article <20000602092243.14161.00001471@ng-cl1.aol.com>,
  k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson) wrote:

>      Perhaps THEN you might understand my penchant
> for SOME standards of grammar and structure.

SteveyBOY, you Dump Huck, this is the amateur radio section of
UseNet.
```

Take your "penchant" and go rant on alt.old.maid.grammar.teachers.

We want to talk about radios, not argue narrow issues of semantics
with you and Len and Cec and Mark. What a bunch of lamers!

And that's the way it is!

Walter

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From 8638681269955880927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h8rsn$gg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630291706
References: <8gkunb$elh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <PjCX4.74$c5.71300@news.ntplx.net>
<8gn0tm$utr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <KTeZ4.27$d5.34578@news.ntplx.net>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.202.253
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jun 02 17:46:05 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (Win16; U)

In article <KTeZ4.27$d5.34578@news.ntplx.net>,
  "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> <horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > > If you are offering the CSCE form as "proof" that the FCC is
seeking
> > to grow
> > > by regulating the Amateur Radio Service, you missed the mark. The
> > CSCE is
> > > used by the VEs and VE teams, not by the FCC.
>
> > The information contained on the CSCE isn't mandated by the FCC?
>
> I believe that it is, but the information in no way that I can see
directly

```
> impacts the amount of work the FCC has to do wrt CSCEs, so the premise
of my
> post still stands (IMHO) -- the CSCE is not proof that the FCC is
seeking to
> grow by regulating the Amateur Radio Service.
>
> I think the black helicopter may have been a piece of dust in your
eye. :-)

I've never been one to go in too much for govt. conspiracy theories;
although they do exist - Gulf of Tonkin, Watergate, Contragate...

But, in my experience, government(and the government tax burden) always
attempts to grow and rarely shrinks.  Generally, less
government(especially less centralized government) is better government.

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Stewart - N0MHS


Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.




From -2283919067488959870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,12101800f36726e7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n3jja@spammers.are.wanks (Jim Nuytens)
Subject: Re: Cable Modems
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h8qr9$ehd$0@216.155.32.14>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630298077
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
References: <cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fvhnm64.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3937913e.1668357@news.interactive.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 2 Jun 2000 10:54:04, billsohl@interactive.net (Bill Sohl)
wrote:

> On Thu, 01 Jun 2000 14:34:54 -0700 (PDT), "Phil Kane"
> <nospam@nospam.spam> wrote:
>
> >On 31 May 2000 03:17:25 GMT, T.L. Bryant wrote:
> >
> >>Winblows...err, Windows '98 machine
> >
```

> > My condolences.....
> >
> >>that I have to use because the cable company refuses to support Linux,
> >
> > Does not the cableco deliver a TCP/IP connection on a RJ-45 out
> > of their bridge and cannot you reach their IP address from
> > your TCP/IP applications such as a browser running under linux??
> >
> > What is there to "support"?
> >
> > Alternatibely, will the linux Win Emulator programs ("wine" or
> > equivalent) not run any Win application that the cableco insist on?
> >
> > I run into this "we don't support your system" quite often
> > because I run IBM's OS/2 Warp as my OS of choice.
> >
> > Lastly, LinkSys makes a series of inexpensive 4-port-LAN
> > routers which effectiovely isolate the TCP/IP internet
> > connection from whatever operating system you are running. I
> > use the model that interfaces with a dial-up modem and our son
> > uses the model that interfaces with a TCP/IP RJ45 connection such
> > as Cable Modem or DSL. Your computer communicates with the
> > router. the router setup is through a browser of your choice,
> > and the router communicates with the outside world. Some of
> > them even include a real firewall. Check out <www.linksys.com>.
> > 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
> Phil is correct. The details are in the interface itself,
> not the fact that you use Windows or not. Does the
> cable modem company say you can't connect using a
> Mac? I'll bet they support that (or claim to anyway).
>
> Your connection to the cable modem is independent
> of the OS you are running.
> Ask the cable company for a spec on the interface
> connection itself.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

The only rub here is if the provider is using PPPoE. It that case, you'll need to find out what the status is of the PPPoE stuff for Linux.

Of course, if you need more than one computer to share the connection (and, in my case support 3 different OSes at home), then I point you to the LinkSys Cable Modem / DSL router. It's wonderful peice of hardware.

```
From 2374950681300894142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com>
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <epWVemLz$GA.194@cpmsnbbsa06>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630298777
References: <yoBZ4.38$d5.47788@news.ntplx.net> <20000602043319.18766.00002108@nso-
fo.aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3612.1700
```

N2EY wrote
> Heck, the backlog of the past six
>weeks alone will bust 680K.

Isn't the backlog mostly old hams upgrading?
That won't increase the population much...

```
From -5095615750748027405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com>
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <O359emLz$GA.194@cpmsnbbsa06>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630298779
References: <03d094ee.d37c7a9f@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>
<20000602043319.18766.00002109@nso-fo.aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3612.1700
```

N2EY wrote
>- there has to be some sort of
>gateway to Usenet in order to have a working system.

Would you really want Usenet on the ham bands?
Would the FCC even allow it?

From 3946756654142671082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com>
Subject: Re: Callsigns - was Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <ONcjfmLz$GA.194@cpmsnbbsa06>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630298780
References: <1C56F855FF7D0E46.ECDABF0B87863CF8.CAE888DAA1D9C8AD@lp.airnews.net>
<20000526151854.18766.00001257@nso-fo.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10005262030500.372-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<o7CX4.72$c5.71352@news.ntplx.net> <392F1220.D4CA0353@uci.edu>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10005271902580.1049-100000@dns.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3936926D.967A8193@uci.edu> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10006020026090.138-100000@mbx.bde-arc.ampr.org> <8h863g$flr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3612.1700

n2ey@aol.com wrote
but the W6 version is listed as far back as 1979 - and it
>may go back even farther. (1979 was the oldest callbook I had, and I
>just sold it).

It is not listed in the 1973 callbook...

From -2962268413892730622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h8uhs$2h3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630308614
References: <03d094ee.d37c7a9f@usw-ex0106-046.remarq.com>
<20000602043319.18766.00002109@nso-fo.aol.com>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.202.253
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jun 02 18:31:24 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (Win16; U)

In article <20000602043319.18766.00002109@nso-fo.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

> >Note that I'm
> >talking competitive in the sense of access speed only. Our
> >data content would be different - I think that it would actually
> >be superior to the untamed Internet, in many ways(no
> >advertising(except ham gear!), no profanity,
> >technically-oriented).
>
> All of which is prohibited by law, of course. But there's the hitch: What you
> will get is not internet access, nor the web, nor even usenet or plain old
> email. Right there, a lot of the audience disappears. Worse, though, is the
> need for hams to build and maintain the backbone network. That's the "who'll
> bell the cat" problem. With no commercial content, there's no revenue
> generation to fund anything.

In recent years, the American culture(and media) has continally gotten
more filthy, pornographic, and violent. I'm betting(hoping) that our
culture will begin to get tired of that trend, and begin to reverse it.
A non-commercial, G-rated, technically-oriented network may be just
what many are looking for. We are uniquely poised to provide this
service.

> Fact is, a highspeed national digital network using UHF ham radio has been
> technically possible for at least 15-18 years. The problem is cost. How many
> nodes would such a network need, and who is going to build, maintain and
> coordinate them?

We need to use the existing Internet as the backbone, and then just use
data radios at the ISP(gateway) and user sites. The gateways could just
be PCs hooked up to a DSP or cable modem connection at people's houses!
The gateways would just need to be set up to allow access to
"ARS-friendly" IP addresses.

> The answer, I think, is some sort of universal digital protocol and network
> that can do voice, data, text, image, whatever, so that the same network can
> handle amateur email, realtime voice and text, newsgroups like this one, and
> lots more. But that's a BIG order. Takes a lot more than a cheap dataradio to
> do that one.

Forget ATM, and all that stuff. Let's stick to a working, proven
protocol in TCP/IP, and NOT try to shoot for live video. Live voice

nets might be a real cool and very applicable, and very "do-able" application.   Everything is in place - except the cheap, TCP/IP-capable hi-speed(56Kbps or better) data radios.

You talked about folks getting by with their old 28.8Kbps links. Well that may be true, for those that are willing to live with text-only non-web and image access. But, even then, they have dedicated channels. Remember, we have to share our channels. We really need to get above 56Kbps to make this thing feasable.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Stewart - N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From -8174466371219723965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ccbff352593fa73d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Subject: Re: stamp design
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <sjg40c1b5ri60@corp.supernews.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630343263
References: <ojAX4.115$vP1.5380@ord-read.news.verio.net>
<3eCX4.73$c5.71325@news.ntplx.net> <392F47DB.33C9@worldnet.att.net>
<V1dZ4.25$d5.33217@news.ntplx.net> <sjd3k6jo5ri177@corp.supernews.com>
<XtBZ4.39$d5.48045@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: MCN
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com

w1rfi@arrl.net (Ed Hare, W1RFI) wrote in
<XtBZ4.39$d5.48045@news.ntplx.net>:
>
>The results of elections have not, and can not, be ignored. The
>process is open, both candidates are generally here for the opening of
>the ballots and, if you look at the number of unseated Directors over
>the years, I think it pretty obvious that the members get the Director
>they vote for.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

I'm not saying that these aren't valid elections, I'm saying that those elected ignored the wishes of the membership. Now why would an elected person what to ignore membership? Because doing what's best for the amateur radio might mean depleting the corprate bank account!

>> >Polling is NOT the best way to get member input, IMHO; issues are
>> >best solved by those who have more vested interest than checking off
>> >a box on a question they may or may not fully understand or may or
>> >may not be very interested in.

Anyone with a license has a vested interest in amateur radio and are the only ones with a vested interest. Without hams, there would be no amateur radio, but your statement above shows that you and the BoD don't believe hams have an interest. I was proud to be an ARRL member, back when you guys cared. The ARRL used to support everything I thought was important. What Changed? not me.
>
>
>None of those whom made the decisions about the ARRL restructuring
>position have any vested financial interst in the outcome...

Now that's BULL. Publish their names.

From 7367099828536368090
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <hnUZ4.396$Cs.3011@news2.atl>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630345953
References: <392F9743.44D2B728@cats-net.com>
<EA0975A28A4A83CC.A385509EF2EF945D.786E577DF98124D7@lp.airnews.net>
<#BsLPqAy$GA.266@cpmsnbbsa06> <39301B15.8C5816C7@IEEE.org>
<#l6yzJHy$GA.333@cpmsnbbsa09> <39316BBF.1B475CBA@IEEE.org>
<##0FIOQy$GA.327@cpmsnbbsa07> <3931D331.B68FFB1D@home.com>
<39372ecd.162180430@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
X-Trace: news2.atl 959976013 216.76.74.215 (Fri, 02 Jun 2000 16:00:13 EDT)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 16:00:13 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

I completely disagree with you, Rick. Instead of us lightening up, IMHO, you need to become more responsible (see, opinion can work both ways) Example: It's okay for you to find Kim's callsign non-suggestive, but if "we" voice our opinion, we are thinned skinned and need to enjoy life more. Why do you make such general statements? You don't know us, our lifestyles, or how much we enjoy life. For all you know, I could be throwing one huge party at my house as I write this. (hey, turn that music down, I'm posting to the NG) : -))

Since I can't predict where Kim will be operating, I can't avoid those
frequencies like I do with 3950 and 14313. So, I think I'll just stay in
the CW portion of the bands and tell my 6 year old grandson that her call is
W5X (the weather lady) should she ever ID in CW.

Arnie -
KT4ST

Rick wrote ...

>   Oh so what about Kim's call sign, are all of you that thin skinned
> and upset over here call letters? It does not bother me one bit! In
> fact if I heared her on the air I would definitely try to contact her.
> For some one with a call sign like that I know that person would be
> full of life. The rest of you people have to lighten up a little and
> learn to enjoy life.
>

Rick KC2ESD

From -2137866375750933485
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000602155553.24212.00000369@ng-ff1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630346846
References: <8h8qg7$vda$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
>From: Walter Gesundheit w2dne@my-deja.com
>Date: 06/02/2000 12:22 PM Central Daylight Time

To the "esteemed" rollcall of others such as Lennie and Mark, add the anonymous
cowardice herself.

The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE to fight
in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

From 2333924921239407432
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <393819D7.C8D6E96F@uci.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630359665
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <8h5r5v12987@enews4.newsguy.com> <20000602043318.18766.00002107@nso-fo.aol.com> <39379172.362658@IEEE.org>
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> N2EY wrote:
>
> > tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant) writes:
> > >This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
> > >argument.
> >
> > No it doesn't.
>
> Now Jim, that is going too far. Anything can remind
> anybody of anything. Please don't say, "No it can't."

"He's not going to argue with you until he's been paid."

You mean to tell us that with all the Monty Python references that have
appeared here over the years, you still haven't sought out the Monty
Python argument clinic sketch? It's a parody of this newsgroup I tell
you! :-) The argument clinic is right down the hall from the "being hit
on the head lessons". I think there's a parallel in there somewhere.

To N2EY - Is it on "Matching Tie and Handkerchief" ?

73, Jim AC6XG

From 3586363546488780469
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9ccd61d3943cf1c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ccd61d3943cf1c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Subject: Re: List of the rec.radio newsgroups
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h98s7$k43$1@news.hawaii.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630377228
References: <8h7fh1$ik2$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3937568b.172355405@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 959981255 20611 128.171.44.55 (2 Jun 2000 21:27:35 GMT)
Organization: University of Hawaii
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Jun 2000 21:27:35 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Rick <Rickhit@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> You forgot one. alt.radio.family (Family Radio Service)

Rick, nothing was forgotten; the alt.radio hierarchy contains at least thirty subgroups, but they're not part of the rec.radio hierarchy. Alt(ernative) NGs can be created on a whim by anyone (and because of that, many ISPs won't carry them), while the rec(reational) NGs are created only after a rather long formal process (Proposal, Call For Discussion, Call For Votes, vote tallying by an independent vote counter, approval by the newsgroup creation committee who then will finally send out a control message asking ISPs worldwide to add the newly approved NG to their news collections). The recreational groups are created only after going through close scrutiny at many levels, including the Usenet readers (who approve or disapprove of the newly proposed group with their votes).

Jeff

From -5755011183138005075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <8h993r$kib$1@news.hawaii.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630380734
References: <8gc52g019i7@enews1.newsguy.com> <8h8ptv$utr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 959981499 21067 128.171.44.55 (2 Jun 2000 21:31:39 GMT)
Organization: University of Hawaii
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Jun 2000 21:31:39 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant) wrote:
> The question asked time and again is, "Why are there no *young*

>newcomers to ham radio?"

Can they handle it? There's so much to learn:

In our one-hundred year history, only a small percentage of each generation has shown an interest in the self-learning of electronic theory, soldering, reading and understanding schematics, collecting components, assembling radios, national and international radio laws, ionospheric propagation and antenna theory, assembling antennas, and communicating world-wide by RF emissions. It's very specialized; it was never an Everyman's hobby. That we have 670,000 licensees attempting to abide by our fundamental purpose (Title 47 CFR 97.1) is in itself amazing.

Jeff

From -7896515619613021139
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ccd61d3943cf1c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9ccd61d3943cf1c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
From: "Brian Hill" <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Subject: Re: List of the rec.radio newsgroups
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <yrWZ4.955$v_.24779@nntp2.onemain.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630396882
References: <8h7fh1$ik2$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3937568b.172355405@netnews.worldnet.att.net> <8h98s7$k43$1@news.hawaii.edu>
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 959984478 216.119.32.25 (Fri, 02 Jun 2000 18:21:18 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 18:21:18 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

And rec.antiques.radio+phono

From -6139503439670524960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6d57b28a8f77db49
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a27b930917225e8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
From: "lak" <lak@radio.land.com>
Subject: Re: DAYTON (it stunk-on-ice)
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <e69usCOz$GA.324@cpmsnbbsa06>#1/1

```
X-Deja-AN: 630398216
References: <MPG.1398e94ebe3108ef989936@news.interactive.net>
<2dTX4.1649$TZ2.84747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<T6VX4.71094$55.562568@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
<MPG.139add47632efec5989937@news.interactive.net>
<QeLY4.75843$55.608261@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

I have been going off and on since 1968 when I was in Jr High.

Observations:

The flea Market was only about 80% filled by Friday afternoon.
Sunday at opening time more vendors were leaving the Flea Market than people coming in.
Inside on both days no one knocked me over due to crowded conditions.
Hamvention Offical had to make a Sunday Annoucement at 0900 reminding inside vendor they were contracted to stay until close.
Heard a lot more complaining about every aspect from more people both days.
Especially, the bus situation.

Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
news:QeLY4.75843$55.608261@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Steve - I'll see your 11 & raise you by 2, this was my 13th year at
> Dayton (every year since 1987). My motel was FULL & ran out of
> roll-a-way beds & had to issue at least one air mattress.
>
> At the awards drawing, the MC announced the attendance was at roughly
> 28,000. Since then the ARRL (Dayton was the ARRL National Convention
> this year) announced the attendance at being slightly over 30,000.
>
> BTW - At Dayton, often times venders start to leave on Saturday afternoon,
> as has happened for many years.
>
>
> "Steve - KF2TI/AE (Landing, NJ)" <kf2ti@interactive.net> wrote in message
> > Not even close to 30k. I've been to Dayton (this is my 11th)when you
> > couldn't even walk inside (on a nice day). The parking lots outside the
> > arena weren't even close to having the number of cars from last year
> > alone. Inside vendors like R&L and HRO said the same thing. My hotel,
> > normally filled had 50 rooms available for walk in check-in.
> >
> > There were more people when the event was held in April. The change to
> > May did wonders for the weather (at least it doesn't SNOW anymore), but
> > there are so many time conflicts for everyone in May.

```
> >
> > If 30k people were there, then how does one explain why so many outside
> > vendors left Saturday?  I'd say there were probably more than the
> > unofficial estimate but way under 30k.  The best people to talk to was
> > the food vendors.  According to 3 of them, they had WAYYYY too much food
> > for the number of people, even factoring in the fact that the pricing
was
> > outrageous.
>
>
>
>





From 8602826577468972556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <20000602194648.19467.00000952@nso-md.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630421642
References: <hnUZ4.396$Cs.3011@news2.atl>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <hnUZ4.396$Cs.3011@news2.atl>, "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> writes:

>
>Since I can't predict where Kim will be operating, I can't avoid those
>frequencies like I do with 3950 and 14313.  So, I think I'll just stay in
>the CW portion of the bands and tell my 6 year old grandson that her call is
>W5X (the weather lady) should she ever ID in CW.
>
>

Arnie:

You'll also have to tell your grandson that Kim's fist really sucks,
but don't use that particular word!

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 5303709270243725570
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
From: jui@rwi.com
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <393850FF.B3D8D91E@rwi.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630432858
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07> <3937E4EE.AB9CDC4E@rwi.com>
<e0cgjsoivg72emthho57srkmp2r7ude326@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Trace: 2 Jun 2000 17:26:27 PST, 198.145.224.70
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
scanner

That didn't even make sense brainfart.



Bud the Real wrote:

> jui@rwi.com hollered from the outhouse...
>
> >Obviously a dummy that couldn't learn morse code.
>
> While you're just a dummy who couldn't buy a brain?
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.






From -1078280166504554634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <rDYZ4.1267$j6.6693@news4.atl>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630436109
References: <hnUZ4.396$Cs.3011@news2.atl> <20000602194648.19467.00000952@nso-md.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
X-Trace: news4.atl 959993431 216.76.74.249 (Fri, 02 Jun 2000 20:50:31 EDT)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 20:50:31 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Better to let him think that the callsign is W5X than explaining the alternative, eh?

Larry wrote ...
>
> You'll also have to tell your grandson that Kim's fist really sucks,
> but don't use that particular word!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

From 4054716165070680104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
From: technocrat <jbohanejrNOjbSPAM@juno.com.invalid>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <16be26ff.c6e668e0@usw-ex0103-018.remarq.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630445630
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07>
Bytes: 976

X-Originating-Host: 209.138.23.40
X-Complaints-To: wrenabuse@remarq.com
X-Trace: WReNphoon4 959995052 10.0.2.18 (Fri, 02 Jun 2000 18:17:32 PDT)
Organization: http://www.remarq.com: The World's Usenet/Discussions Start Here
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 18:17:32 PDT
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner
X-Wren-Trace: eBUwGBkARw1GXwMSGFQaGQ0UJRoFH1pNExYXVk5RVRtLQA1aSQZOT0k=

Hey Sycho...

When all of the support infrastructure that makes your electronic goodies fail, will you be able to communicate with anyone about anything?

We will!!

I can make a small spark-gap transmitter (better yet, three or four spark gap transmitters) from a busted up transistor radio. If I happen to have a few hundred feet of wire, I can generate electricity with my bare feet.

Can you? Can you tear apart an apparently dead battery of cells to determine which cell(s) is bad, remove it, and get useable current from the rest?

Can you generate a distress signal using only your wits and and a pile of electronic "junk"?

You don't understand the art of amateur radio.

See you on the island! Going rate: Two quarts of water (desalinated only) for 2 minutes on the transmitter.

JB

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

From 4421246061414023145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,12101800f36726e7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Subject: Re: Cable Modems
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <39386E9A.F677FB2A@arrl.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630460520
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

References: <cuvyxnarirevbznvypbz.fvhnm64.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3937913e.1668357@news.interactive.net> <8h8qr9$ehd$0@216.155.32.14>
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Complaints-To: abuse@snet.net
X-Trace: typhoon.snet.net 959999653 64.252.0.126 (Fri, 02 Jun 2000 22:34:13 EDT)
Organization: SNET Internet
MIME-Version: 1.0
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 22:34:13 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Nuytens wrote:
>
> The only rub here is if the provider is using PPPoE. It that case,
> you'll need to find out what the status is of the PPPoE stuff for
> Linux.

Fully suported. Easier, in fact, than the Windows PPPoE stuff I've seen.

> Of course, if you need more than one computer to share the connection
> (and, in my case support 3 different OSes at home), then I point you
> to the LinkSys Cable Modem / DSL router. It's wonderful peice of
> hardware.

I just leave my Linux box running -- no extra equipment needed.

Jon, KE3Z

--
Jon Bloom, KE3Z
jbloom@arrl.org
Electronic Publications Manager (Software, CD-ROMs and Web site)

From 803969227374873271
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <39387F07.1E19B94B@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630478963
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust44.tnt8.stl1.da.uu.net
References: <yoBZ4.38$d5.47788@news.ntplx.net> <20000602043319.18766.00002108@nso-fo.aol.com> <epWVemLz$GA.194@cpmsnbbsa06>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron Jones wrote:

> N2EY wrote
> > Heck, the backlog of the past six
> >weeks alone will bust 680K.
>
> Isn't the backlog mostly old hams upgrading?
> That won't increase the population much...

It will increase the likelihood that they renew their licenses, and that will be an increase. Now if we can convince them that gout and gall bladder surgery QSOs are not a recruiting tool...

```
From -1817802225760970988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <39388063.840B200B@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630479733
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust44.tnt8.stl1.da.uu.net
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07> <3937E4EE.AB9CDC4E@rwi.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

jui@rwi.com wrote:

> Obviously a dummy that couldn't learn morse code.

Obviously authored by a dummy who could.

***Removed SPAM from the space and scanner groups.

```
From 2762037163298397035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <393881D1.40FA7208@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630481844
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust44.tnt8.stl1.da.uu.net
References: <39307fc3.34483875@news.scottsbluff.net> <8h8g3t$muh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:

> It is very bad manners to show disrespect for the heros of the
> past...but unfortunately when the accurate facts get reported, people
> now tend to get skeptical about everything...even our President's
> fidelity....which IMHO shows that people have no respect. Yes... People
> with bad manners show no respect and people who show no respect have
> bad manners.
>
> 73,
> Bob Wex w2ilp (I Like Patriots)
> Did you ever doubt?

Bob, bull.  Our president doesn't respect himself, his family, his office,
or the people of America.  The rest of us are simply waiting for a change -
any change.
73,
Brian




From -5984175875972423905
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <3938836C.11A12668@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630481846
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust44.tnt8.stl1.da.uu.net
References: <8h5r5v12987@enews4.newsguy.com> <20000602043318.18766.00002107@nso-
fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
```

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY wrote:

>
> >This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
> >argument.
> >
> No it doesn't.

Does it remind you of the skit where the nuns wanted to be spanked?  ;^)




From -8320665654375704994
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <3938830F.72E98D73@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630481862
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust44.tnt8.stl1.da.uu.net
References: <8h5r5v12987@enews4.newsguy.com> <20000602043318.18766.00002107@nso-
fo.aol.com> <39379172.362658@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

W6RCecilA wrote:

> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant) writes:
> > >This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
> > >argument.
> > >
> > No it doesn't.
>
> Now Jim, that is going too far. Anything can remind
> anybody of anything. Please don't say, "No it can't."
> --
> 73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Cecil, just the other day a plane flew over head and it reminded me of a row boat
in the desert.  73, Brian
```

```
From -3384991704916389393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <393885B8.1405990C@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630483629
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust44.tnt8.stl1.da.uu.net
References: <2jBZ4.37$d5.46951@news.ntplx.net> <20000601213408.19467.00000644@nso-
md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <2jBZ4.37$d5.46951@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
> <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:
>
> >
> >Sorry, Vince; it may offer you some comfort to think that, but I post only
> >what I believe.   If I didn't believe what I said, I could just as easily
> >not post, and it would not affect my professional standing at ARRL one whit;
> >I am not paid to post on rrap, I am paid to run the Lab, to work on RFI and
> >to coordinate the Lab's technical input to ARRL publications, our
> >spectrum-protection efforts and the like.
> >
>
> Ed:
>
> OK, let's leave you out of this for a bit.  If you know one person who
> works at ARRL HQ, who is a licensed amateur, and is in complete
> disagreement with the concept of restructuring, who is it?  If you can't
> or won't answer for privacy reasons, ask that person if he/she would
> kindly post to this newsgroup voluntarily.  I'll bet you a lobster dinner...

Geez Larry, you live in a crappy apartment in a crappy neighborhood and you spend
all of your time betting lobster dinners.  Have you ever considered improving
your situation?  Upgrading your LIFE?  Hey - now that's a real incentive!



From -1181428656787746213
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <39388605.A04C1B21@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630483648
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust44.tnt8.stl1.da.uu.net
References: <3936A2EB.72367B1C@IEEE.org> <20000601213407.19467.00000643@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Senator Ted Kennedy has killed more people with his automobile
> than I have with my guns.

Even MD finally gave up on that bumper sticker slogan.

From 3772292439816818551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/02
Message-ID: <393887C1.A7103061@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630485768
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust44.tnt8.stl1.da.uu.net
References: <8h5reg22987@enews4.newsguy.com> <20000601120647.19467.00000566@nso-md.aol.com> <8h68o50er0@enews3.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

T.L. Bryant wrote:

> Like you, I used to berate people who made spelling errors, especially WA8ULX,
> who types as if he is wearing boxing gloves.

If that's all it was... Unfortunately, it was his -ideas- that were the easy target.

From 6232928179715354306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000602203213.06864.00002134@nso-fp.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630432609
References: <20000602122713.19467.00000836@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <20000602122713.19467.00000836@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20000602043318.18766.00002107@nso-fo.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
>>>argument.
>>>
>>No it doesn't.
>>
>
>Yes, it does!

It doesn't.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1613664143267121671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: Callsigns - was Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000602203216.06864.00002135@nso-fp.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630432633
References: <ONcjfmLz$GA.194@cpmsnbbsa06>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <ONcjfmLz$GA.194@cpmsnbbsa06>, "Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com>
writes:

>n2ey@aol.com wrote
>but the W6 version is listed as far back as 1979 - and it
>>may go back even farther. (1979 was the oldest callbook I had, and I
>>just sold it).
>
>It is not listed in the 1973 callbook...
>
Thanks for the info, Jones!

That means that sometime between 1972 and 1978 (the old Callbooks were printed
very early in the year) the FCC issued that call. And the holder has kept it
through address changes and for over 21 years.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 3635032418090809497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000602211053.11244.00002013@nso-fa.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630441838
References: <393819D7.C8D6E96F@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <393819D7.C8D6E96F@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>
>> N2EY wrote:
>
>> > tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant) writes:
>> > >This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have
>an
>> > >argument.
>
>> > No it doesn't.
>
>> Now Jim, that is going too far. Anything can remind
>> anybody of anything. Please don't say, "No it can't."
>
>"He's not going to argue with you until he's been paid."

>
>You mean to tell us that with all the Monty Python references that have
>appeared here over the years, you still haven't sought out the Monty
>Python argument clinic sketch?

No he hasn't.

> It's a parody of this newsgroup I tell
>you! :-)

No it isn't. The newsgroup is a parody of the sketch. Not a particularly good one, either.

>The argument clinic is right down the hall from the "being hit
>on the head lessons".

No it isn't. It's right UP the hall.

> I think there's a parallel in there somewhere.

You decide. The sketch is available at

http://www.montypython.net

It's available in both script and .wav format. I'd post it here, but I'm not sure about copyright rules concerning such things. Best bet is to hear the .wav format, because the expressions and tempo come through better. "Hit On The Head Lessons" is actually another sketch that immediately follows "Argument Clinic".

It originally appeared on the TV show, about 1970, then in the movie "And Now For Something Completely Different".
>
>To N2EY - Is it on "Matching Tie and Handkerchief" ?

That's the record album that contains a single disc that has three sides. (That's not a joke - it really does have three sides on a single disc). I'm not sure if it's the one, but the .wav format has the sketch anyway.

Gotta go. Porgy Tirebiter just showed up.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4146205679868442660
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000602211054.11244.00002014@nso-fa.aol.com>#1/1

```
X-Deja-AN: 630441845
References: <39379172.362658@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <39379172.362658@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant) writes:
>> >This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
>> >argument.
>> >
>> No it doesn't.
>
>Now Jim, that is going too far.

No it isn't.

>Anything can remind
>anybody of anything.

Sure it can.

>Please don't say, "No it can't."

I'm not allowed to argue with you unless you've paid.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>--





From -5225600287807219746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ccbff352593fa73d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: stamp design
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <39386D7A.5017@worldnet.att.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630461307
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <ojAX4.115$vP1.5380@ord-read.news.verio.net>
<3eCX4.73$c5.71325@news.ntplx.net> <392F47DB.33C9@worldnet.att.net>
<V1dZ4.25$d5.33217@news.ntplx.net> <3935B1A3.18FC@worldnet.att.net>
<wQiZ4.33$d5.37336@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
```

X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 959999315 12.77.85.24 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 02:28:35 GMT)
Organization: home
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: wyn@worldnet.att.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 02:28:35 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
>
>
> Who could better set ARRL policy on that matter, those that have taken it upon themselves to be fully informed, or those who have not read the info as published? Would this matter really be best resolved by a poll of members, of resolved by HQ staff who have devoted hundreds of hours to studying the issue and working with the manufacturers, the FCC and the ARRL Board?
>

The scene you describe above seems similar to what one sees on C-SPAN when some Senate or House committee is shown in session. The congressmen, like poor actors, try to impress the TV audience that they are fully knowledgable of the issue at hand, while their minions scurry back and forth behind them shoving notes under their noses and whispering into their ears, manipulating, coaching, and directing the whole proceedings.

"Shhhh, Senator, read question no. 2, then skip to 10, then yield equal time to Senator Fogbound. He will read comment 6 into the record". So, just like the Senate chambers, the HQ staff manipulate the BoD's, replacing the informed membership and their rightful influence, because "they" have assumed the role of the better informed.

Is this what you meant? Otherwise, one might question just what you do mean by "...resolved by HQ Staff...working with the manufacturers, the FCC, and the ARRL Board?"?

IMHO, the HQ staff should be relegated to the executive activities, not the policy making activities. That should be reserved for the national membership and the BoD. The title of the organization is the *American* Radio Relay League, not the *Newington* Radio Relay League.

73,
Clay N4AOX

From 3243936441486900125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03

Message-ID: <20000603003840.15975.00006492@nso-cg.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630487178
References: <20000602203213.06864.00002134@nso-fp.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <20000602203213.06864.00002134@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>>>This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
>
>>>>argument.
>>>>
>>>No it doesn't.
>>>
>>
>>Yes, it does!
>
>It doesn't.
>

It most assuredly does!

From 5223473688134208417
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603005232.15975.00006493@nso-cg.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630490165
References: <393885B8.1405990C@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <393885B8.1405990C@pop3.freewwweb.com>, p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com> writes:

>
>Geez Larry, you live in a crappy apartment in a crappy neighborhood and you
>spend
>all of your time betting lobster dinners. Have you ever considered improving
>your situation? Upgrading your LIFE? Hey - now that's a real incentive!

Huh? I live in what is probably one of the highest-rent apartment complexes in the City of Dover, the neighborhood is very nice, and my "situation" is just fine, thank you very much! About the only way I could improve my "situation" would be to buy a house around here, but the real estate

market is a buyer's paradise and a seller's hell, and I just don't know for sure how long I'll be living here. I am anticipating a radical career move which could very well take me away from Delaware, if not out of the country, in a year or so -- so I have no need to go thru the hassle of buying a house, moving into it, and selling it for a loss! New homes here are overpriced and under-value for money spent, mainly because Delaware is being paved over at a record pace by a high-volume, cookie-cutter cheeze-box developer. Fly over northern New Castle County and all you'll see is vinyl siding as far as the eye can see!
Nope -- my present situation suits me just fine, thank you very much -- and I enjoy the challenge of operating QRP/CW from my apartment!
And it's unlikely that the house I eventually purchase, if I ever purchase another one, won't be chosen for it's suitability to ham radio operation!
That used to always be a priority for me, but it isn't anymore! Now I'm more concerned with potential for increased resale value. I'll leave the unsightly towers and beams to the terminal microphone morons!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7255176133709035753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603005232.15975.00006494@nso-cg.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630490166
References: <39388605.A04C1B21@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <39388605.A04C1B21@pop3.freewwweb.com>, p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>> Senator Ted Kennedy has killed more people with his automobile
>> than I have with my guns.
>
>Even MD finally gave up on that bumper sticker slogan.

What can I say? It's a classic!

73 de Larry, K3LT

*** I'll keep my laws off of your body if you keep your laws off my GUNS!

From -7274940735134771310
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6d57b28a8f77db49
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a27b930917225e8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
From: "Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org>
Subject: Re: DAYTON (it stunk-on-ice)
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <3T0_4.85627$55.699389@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630496750
References: <MPG.1398e94ebe3108ef989936@news.interactive.net>
<2dTX4.1649$TZ2.84747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<T6VX4.71094$55.562568@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
<MPG.139add47632efec5989937@news.interactive.net>
<QeLY4.75843$55.608261@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com> <e69usCOz$GA.324@cpmsnbbsa06>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 960010815 24.0.49.240 (Fri, 02 Jun 2000 22:40:15 PDT)
Organization: report problems to "abuse@parg.org"
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
Reply-To: "Innocent John!!!" <jmackey@parg.NOSPAMorg>
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Jun 2000 22:40:15 PDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"lak" <lak@radio.land.com> wrote in message
> Sunday at opening time more vendors were leaving the Flea Market than
people
> coming in.

That's normal, a lot of venders start to vacate on sunday morning or
saturday evening
because they have sold out or made so much money that they can afford to
leave early.

> Inside on both days no one knocked me over due to crowded conditions.

That only happens on years it rains.

> Hamvention Offical had to make a Sunday Annoucement at 0900 reminding
inside
> vendor they were contracted to stay until close.
> Heard a lot more complaining about every aspect from more people both
days.

> Especially, the bus situation.

Those two things happen every year.

From 607601789089162411
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5c6249acf1b9e6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Appassionata von Klimax" <Pas_von_Kli@hotmail.com>
Subject: call signs???
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <DQ0_4.685$EU3.3379@newsfeed.slurp.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630500691
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 960010659 205.138.126.214 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 00:37:39 CDT)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 00:37:39 CDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

I am taking my Extra on Saturday and want to have AA5VK to go with my name.
How do I apply for it?

Apassionata von Klimax

From -2979868488693878100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603043324.18766.00002239@nso-fo.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630527171
References: <8h8uhs$2h3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <8h8uhs$2h3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, horseshoestew@my-deja.com writes:

>> >Note that I'm
>> >talking competitive in the sense of access speed only. Our
>> >data content would be different - I think that it would actually

>> >be superior to the untamed Internet, in many ways(no
>> >advertising(except ham gear!), no profanity,
>> >technically-oriented).
>>
>> All of which is prohibited by law, of course. But there's the hitch:
>What you
>> will get is not internet access, nor the web, nor even usenet or plain
>old
>> email. Right there, a lot of the audience disappears. Worse, though,
>is the
>> need for hams to build and maintain the backbone network. That's the
>"who'll
>> bell the cat" problem. With no commercial content, there's no revenue
>> generation to fund anything.
>
>In recent years, the American culture(and media) has continally gotten
>more filthy, pornographic, and violent.

So what's the downside? ;-)

Seriously, though, I agree in part. Media standards have certainly deteriorated in the past several decades. We went from too restricted (Dick Van Dyke and Mary Tyler Moore in single beds) to...well, you know what the modern media is like.

> I'm betting(hoping) that our
>culture will begin to get tired of that trend, and begin to reverse it.

I hope so too. Finally, something we agree on!

> A non-commercial, G-rated, technically-oriented network may be just
>what many are looking for. We are uniquely poised to provide this
>service.

That's definitely a selling point of the ARS. But there are some hams who are against the G rating. (See the discussion about certain callsigns)
>
>> Fact is, a highspeed national digital network using UHF ham radio has
>been
>> technically possible for at least 15-18 years. The problem is cost.
>How many
>> nodes would such a network need, and who is going to build, maintain
>and
>> coordinate them?
>
>We need to use the existing Internet as the backbone, and then just use
>data radios at the ISP(gateway) and user sites.

Then what you have is amateur radio as an ISP and content filter system.

>The gateways could just
>be PCs hooked up to a DSP or cable modem connection at people's houses!
> The gateways would just need to be set up to allow access to

>"ARS-friendly" IP addresses.

The content would still need to be filtered, though. And somebody has to build
maintain and fund the gateways. To serve a reasonable area, a LOT of gateways
would be needed.
>
>> The answer, I think, is some sort of universal digital protocol and
>network
>> that can do voice, data, text, image, whatever, so that the same
>network can
>> handle amateur email, realtime voice and text, newsgroups like this
>one, and
>> lots more. But that's a BIG order. Takes a lot more than a cheap
>dataradio to
>> do that one.
>
>Forget ATM, and all that stuff. Let's stick to a working, proven
>protocol in TCP/IP, and NOT try to shoot for live video.

Whoops! Didin't mean to imply live video.

>Live voice
>nets might be a real cool and very applicable, and very "do-able"
>application.

That's why I think they are worth going away from TCP/IP.

>   Everything is in place - except the cheap, TCP/IP-capable
>hi-speed(56Kbps or better) data radios.
>
AND the gateways. Don't forget them!

>You talked about folks getting by with their old 28.8Kbps links. Well
>that may be true, for those that are willing to live with
>text-only non-web and image access.

Not relly. Lots of folks with 56 k modems connect much slower.

> But, even then, they have dedicated
>channels. Remember, we have to share our channels.

OK, then what we need is 28.8 or better speed while sharing.

The big issue, though, is the rest of the setup (gateways, etc.) and how the
filters will work.
>
> We really need to
>get above 56Kbps to make this thing feasable.

And to be a big enough leap beyond 9600.

But the big question - who will DO all olf this - remains to be answered.

```
73 de Jim, N2EY

>
>
>





From 6672860830864366982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603043325.18766.00002240@nso-fo.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630527174
References: <O359emLz$GA.194@cpmsnbbsa06>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <O359emLz$GA.194@cpmsnbbsa06>, "Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote
>>- there has to be some sort of
>>gateway to Usenet in order to have a working system.
>
>Would you really want Usenet on the ham bands?

(expletive deleted) NO!!

>Would the FCC even allow it?

I don't think so.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>





From -8859465829944523842
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acf9b0192c9c7a31
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: Real angle of FCC/ARRL regarding restructuring
Date: 2000/06/03
```

Message-ID: <20000603043325.18766.00002241@nso-fo.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630527176
References: <epWVemLz$GA.194@cpmsnbbsa06>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <epWVemLz$GA.194@cpmsnbbsa06>, "Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote
>> Heck, the backlog of the past six
>>weeks alone will bust 680K.
>
>Isn't the backlog mostly old hams upgrading?.

Yep, that's true

>That won't increase the population much...

But it will delay the processing of new licenses, since they all go through the VE system. The delay for a brand-new ham is probably much greater now than before April 15.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 8396249103619974240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <8ha60j02bmj@enews2.newsguy.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630530164
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07> <16be26ff.c6e668e0@usw-ex0103-018.remarq.com>
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

In article <16be26ff.c6e668e0@usw-ex0103-018.remarq.com>,
jbohanejrNOjbSPAM@juno.com.invalid says...
>
>Hey Sycho...
>
>When all of the support infrastructure that makes your
>electronic goodies fail, will you be able to communicate with
>anyone about anything?
>
>We will!!

So will CBers. Besides, the people who have the money invested in the
communications infrastructure won't let things stay down for any extended
period of time.

>
>I can make a small spark-gap transmitter (better yet, three or
>four spark gap transmitters) from a busted up transistor radio.
>If I happen to have a few hundred feet of wire, I can generate
>electricity with my bare feet.

To bad that spark gap is illegal.

Tom - N8ECW

From -8711761625190536879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <8ha64i12bmj@enews2.newsguy.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630530186
References: <39379172.362658@IEEE.org> <20000602122713.19467.00000837@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <20000602122713.19467.00000837@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...
>

>In article <39379172.362658@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>
>>
>>Now Jim, that is going too far. Anything can remind
>>anybody of anything. Please don't say, "No it can't."
>>
>
>Cecil:
>
>No, it can't! Seeing an airplane fly over me doesn't remind me of
>a rowboat in the middle of the desert!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

You've obviously never heard of Harry Lorraine, Kevin Trudeau, or Tony Buzan.

Tom - N8ECW

From 137310748803142657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603071641.18425.00001961@nso-bd.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630555143
References: <3938836C.11A12668@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <3938836C.11A12668@pop3.freewwweb.com>, p57
<p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>>
>> >This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
>> >argument.
>> >
>> No it doesn't.
>
>Does it remind you of the skit where the nuns wanted to be spanked? ;^)
>
No. Because no such skit exists.

You may be thinking of the scene in "Monty Python And The Holy Grail" in which

Lancelot is lured to the Castle Anthrax because Zoot (one of the beautiful inhabitants) left the castle's grail-shaped beacon turned on. The punishment for doing so is a spanking.

The entire scripts of all of the movies, skits and songs are at the various sites, too.

73 de Jim, N2EY (pronounced "Ni!")

From -7935188809704300861
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a27b930917225e8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6d57b28a8f77db49
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "lak" <lak@radio.land.com>
Subject: Re: DAYTON (it stunk-on-ice)
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <Oqy6kIVz$GA.328@cpmsnbbsa09>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630561881
References: <MPG.1398e94ebe3108ef989936@news.interactive.net>
<2dTX4.1649$TZ2.84747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<T6VX4.71094$55.562568@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
<MPG.139add47632efec5989937@news.interactive.net>
<QeLY4.75843$55.608261@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com> <e69usCOz$GA.324@cpmsnbbsa06>
<3T0_4.85627$55.699389@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well excccccuse me for being soooo dumb!

Just after several decades of observations and having been a flea market vendor, this year it seemed to be large percentage than usual. I also noted several large flea market vendor whom I have dealt with over the years did not attend for the first time in 10 years.

But I forgot this is the internet where personal opinions must tempered by considering everyone's point of view and framed up to politically corrected to accommodate everyone else on the net.

Next year I will collect evidence in the form of tallies, statements from vendor / patrons, etc. to present a more concise and formal report. I will form a fact finding committee to explore this situation, and issue position paper to congress after 2001 Hamvention, after all a national Icon might be in jeopardy! Application for the committe will soon be on-line at www.who_could_careless.org/applicationsforcommittee/hamvention/form.html

```
Innocent John!!! <jmackey@NOSPAMparg.org> wrote in message
news:3T0_4.85627$55.699389@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>
> "lak" <lak@radio.land.com> wrote in message
> > Sunday at opening time more vendors were leaving the Flea Market than
> people
> > coming in.
>
> That's normal, a lot of venders start to vacate on sunday morning or
> saturday evening
> because they have sold out or made so much money that they can afford to
> leave early.
>
>
> > Inside on both days no one knocked me over due to crowded conditions.
>
> That only happens on years it rains.
>
>
> > Hamvention Offical had to make a Sunday Annoucement at 0900 reminding
> inside
> > vendor they were contracted to stay until close.
> > Heard a lot more complaining about every aspect from more people both
> days.
> > Especially, the bus situation.
>
> Those two things happen every year.
>
>
```

```
From -3954178959312116796
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <3938F43C.CD9A8164@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630564998
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust96.tnt20.chi5.da.uu.net
References: <393885B8.1405990C@pop3.freewwweb.com>
<20000603005232.15975.00006493@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <393885B8.1405990C@pop3.freewwweb.com>, p57
> <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com> writes:
>
> >
> >Geez Larry, you live in a crappy apartment in a crappy neighborhood and you
> >spend
> >all of your time betting lobster dinners. Have you ever considered improving
> >your situation? Upgrading your LIFE? Hey - now that's a real incentive!
>
> Huh? I live in what is probably one of the highest-rent apartment complexes
> in the City of Dover, the neighborhood is very nice, and my "situation"
> is just fine, thank you very much!

Yet you complain that the gym is populated with "OZ" types and on and on and on.
Which is it?

> About the only way I could improve
> my "situation" would be to buy a house around here, but the real estate
> market is a buyer's paradise and a seller's hell, and I just don't know for
> sure how long I'll be living here. I am anticipating a radical career move
> which could very well take me away from Delaware, if not out of the
> country,

Oh boy, another ex-patriot "Real DXer" offering advice from afar. Maybe some
Hawaii ham will rent you space in his PO Box. Let us know how the restructuring
affects your ability to get a no-exam callsign in whatever foreign country you
decide to move to.

From 8533835001505228088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5c6249acf1b9e6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: call signs???
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <3938F3D7.FACBA5FB@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630565000
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust96.tnt20.chi5.da.uu.net
References: <DQ0_4.685$EU3.3379@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Appassionata von Klimax wrote:

> I am taking my Extra on Saturday and want to have AA5VK to go with my name.
> How do I apply for it?
>
> Apassionata von Klimax

How would we know? Go ask on the rec.radio.amateur.vanitycall newsgroup. Jeff can direct you.

From 5163162614978358364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
From: "David J. Windisch" <davidw@copper.net>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <TP6_4.798$EU3.4554@newsfeed.slurp.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630569405
References: <8gc52g019i7@enews1.newsguy.com> <delW4.21042$S31.454241@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <8h1rav$9vn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <MPG.139e41439ec0a07d98993a@news.interactive.net> <8h4d1h$2cl$1@news.hawaii.edu>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 960035187 216.28.167.20 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 07:26:27 CDT)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 07:26:27 CDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jeff: pls provide the cite.
K3BHJ
****
"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:8h4d1h$2cl$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Steve - KF2TI/AE (Landing, NJ) <kf2ti@interactive.net> wrote:
> >And they just reported that WWII aged veterans were dying at a rate of
> >1000 per week and the national cemeteries are filling up.
>
> Steve, that figure is 1000 per DAY!!
>
> Jeff

From 7079282528566881755
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6d57b28a8f77db49
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: DAYTON (it stunk-on-ice)
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603094527.08102.00001262@ng-fc1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630586268
References: <e69usCOz$GA.324@cpmsnbbsa06>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

>Subject: Re: DAYTON (it stunk-on-ice)
>From: "lak" lak@radio.land.com
>Date: 06/02/2000 5:21 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id:

>The flea Market was only about 80% filled by Friday afternoon<

I was there at noon Friday and the palce was full of sellers as far as the eye could see. Just how much can you do in one afternoon there anyway?

Most of the flea marketers are people with "real jobs", and taking the extra time to go to Dayton means time off from work.

>Sunday at opening time more vendors were leaving the Flea Market than people coming in.<

Did you have to drive through the construction work thatwas in all directions into and out of Dayton? I'd leave early too if I had to drive a truckload of stuff through all of that AND contend with traffic AND construction detours AND had "hours" to drive to get home....

>Inside on both days no one knocked me over due to crowded conditions<

Gee...the HamVention folks should be chastized and forced to re-route all foot traffic into very narrow, hard to navigate lanes. Shame on them for trying to make it more convienient and enjoyable to cruise the aisles.

>Heard a lot more complaining about every aspect from more people both days.<

I was there two of the three days. All I heard was how hard it was to try and see everything in ONLY three days.

>Especially, the bus situation.<

We parked at the Salem Mall both days and never waited more than 10-15 minutes for a shuttle bus.

Don't know which "Dayton" you went to, but it doesn't sound like The One in Dayton, Ohio.

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From -1032570924346730991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6d57b28a8f77db49
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Subject: Re: DAYTON (it stunk-on-ice)
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603095555.08102.00001264@ng-fc1.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630587933
References: <Oqy6kIVz$GA.328@cpmsnbbsa09>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

>Subject: Re: DAYTON (it stunk-on-ice)
>From: "lak" lak@radio.land.com
>Date: 06/03/2000 6:53 AM Central Daylight Time

>Well excccccuse me for being soooo dumb!<

If you are not ready to take the heat for any opinions, comments or statements you make here, I'd recommend you don't COME here.

It's dog-eat-dog here. Trust me.

>Just after several decades of observations and having been a flea market vendor, this year it seemed to be large percentage than usual.<

As you can see, opinions vary.

>I also noted several large flea market vendor whom I have dealt with over the years did not attend for the first time in 10 years.<

There were also new vendors there.....So what?

>But I forgot this is the internet where personal opinions must tempered by considering everyone's point of view and framed up to politically corrected to accommodate everyone else on the net.<

"Politically correct"...?!?! You made observations and commented on them. Responses were made to that that simply said that some of the things you commented on are routine circumstances.

What's not "PC" about that...?!?!

>Next year I will collect evidence in the form of tallies, statements from
vendor / patrons, etc. to present a more concise and formal report.<

We'll be waiting with abated breath.

>Application for the committe will soon be on-line at
>www.who_could_careless.org/applicationsforcommittee/hamvention/form.html<

And you'd be right...WHO COULD CARE LESS...?!?!? Answer: ME!

I had a blast, but then I was there to have a good time...not critique the HamVention Committee.

I succeeded, therefore THEY succeeded.

By the way...How many hamfests of this proportion have YOU organized...?!?!?

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 37143178888480526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603095003.15680.00002063@nso-bj.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630588586
References: <hnUZ4.396$Cs.3011@news2.atl>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <hnUZ4.396$Cs.3011@news2.atl>, "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> writes:

>I completely disagree with you, Rick. Instead of us lightening up, IMHO,
>you need to become more responsible (see, opinion can work both ways)
>Example: It's okay for you to find Kim's callsign non-suggestive, but if
>"we" voice our opinion, we are thinned skinned and need to enjoy life more.

I agree with Arnie 100%. Here's what Rick previously posted:

>> Oh so what about Kim's call sign, are all of you that thin skinned
>> and upset over here call letters? It does not bother me one bit!

What Rick is really saying is that if it doesn't bother him, it shouldn't
bother anybody.

>> In
>> fact if I heared her on the air I would definitely try to contact her.
>> For some one with a call sign like that I know that person would be
>> full of life.

That's actually an expression of prejudice, in the sense that Rick is
pre-judging the callsign holder without any real information.

>> The rest of you people have to lighten up a little and
>> learn to enjoy life.
>>
Another expression of prejudice, because he assumes we don't, just because we
have a different opinion.

>Why do you make such general statements? You don't know us, our lifestyles,
>or how much we enjoy life.

Exactly.

> For all you know, I could be throwing one huge
>party at my house as I write this. (hey, turn that music down, I'm posting
>to the NG) : -))

You folks have no idea how idea how difficult it is for me to post here while
getting a full-body hot-oil rub from my supermodel wife and her identical twin
sister (a little bit lower, dear....oooohhh that's the spot). Nor the expense
I've gone to for the special waterproof keyboard and monitor by the hot tub.
>
>Since I can't predict where Kim will be operating, I can't avoid those
>frequencies like I do with 3950 and 14313.

This is one of the reasons ham radio needs different standards than
broadcsting. TV and radio shows are rated by content and shown on a posted
schedule. Objectionable stuff can be avoided by reading the schedule. Since
amateur operation is unscheduled, we must assume that anyone can listen/watch
anyhting at any time.

> So, I think I'll just stay in
>the CW portion of the bands and tell my 6 year old grandson that her call is
>W5X (the weather lady) should she ever ID in CW.

Another advantage of the mode!

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Arnie -
>KT4ST
>
>
>Rick wrote ...

```
>
>>   Oh so what about Kim's call sign, are all of you that thin skinned
>> and upset over here call letters? It does not bother me one bit! In
>> fact if I heared her on the air I would definitely try to contact her.
>> For some one with a call sign like that I know that person would be
>> full of life. The rest of you people have to lighten up a little and
>> learn to enjoy life.
>>
>Rick KC2ESD
>




From -5540399571202789910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5c6249acf1b9e6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: call signs???
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603095925.05836.00007032@nso-ch.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630588934
References: <DQ0_4.685$EU3.3379@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <DQ0_4.685$EU3.3379@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "Appassionata von Klimax"
<Pas_von_Kli@hotmail.com> writes:

>
>I am taking my Extra on Saturday and want to have AA5VK to go with my name.
>How do I apply for it?
>

The VE team, if they have any kind of clue whatsoever, should have
the Vanity Callsign paperwork available; or you can go to www.fcc.gov
and follow the links to the ULS, and apply there.  AA5VK is currently
not issued, however it may not be immediately available if someone
else dropped it for a Vanity call and two years had not passed yet.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -2446102362687594936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fa0641f639950d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty Words"
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <39391352.CAFD2E4@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630594730
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <3936A25F.DBFBB9BF@IEEE.org> <20000602122714.19467.00000838@nso-
md.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 3 Jun 2000 14:23:29 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I thought you lived in a "dry" county where it was actually *illegal* to
> drink alcohol!

It's illegal to *sell* alcohol in a dry county, Larry.
It is not illegal to consume it on private property
unless the owner of the property objects.

> If your neighbors know about your stash of spoiled
> grape juice, how did they find out?  I would expect a MENSA member
> could keep a secret a bit better than that!

I drink beer while I'm mowing my lawn. I live my life
in the open, suffer the consequences, and therefore
don't have to worry about keeping secrets or needing
to post anonymously.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From 6746426685670977986
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <39391459.BA48BDFB@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630596274
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39379172.362658@IEEE.org> <20000602122713.19467.00000837@nso-
md.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 3 Jun 2000 14:28:18 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Seeing an airplane fly over me doesn't remind me of
> a rowboat in the middle of the desert!

But the next time I see an airplane fly over me, I
will remember your posting, and it *will* remind me
of a rowboat in the middle of the desert.

The point is: You and Jim have absolutely no idea
about or control over what something reminds *ME* of.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5615952207560132974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <3939159B.3064AD99@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630596485
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <20000602122713.19467.00000836@nso-md.aol.com>
<20000602203213.06864.00002134@nso-fp.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 3 Jun 2000 14:33:39 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20000602122713.19467.00000836@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>
> >In article <20000602043318.18766.00002107@nso-fo.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> >(N2EY)
> >writes:
> >
> >>>This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
> >>>argument.
> >>>
> >>No it doesn't.
> >>
> >
> >Yes, it does!
>

> It doesn't.

But you agreed in another posting that anything could remind
anyone of anything. How do you explain the contradiction?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
A fool finds purpose where there is only process.

From 5917216433494408065
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <393915E4.4BFF09FB@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630597473
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <8h5r5v12987@enews4.newsguy.com> <20000602043318.18766.00002107@nso-fo.aol.com> <3938836C.11A12668@pop3.freewwweb.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 3 Jun 2000 14:34:53 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

p57 wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > >This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have an
> > >argument.
> > >
> > No it doesn't.
>
> Does it remind you of the skit where the nuns wanted to be spanked? ;^)

It does now.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -7057558931673256875
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/03

```
Message-ID: <39391748.BD0487AF@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630597754
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <392F9743.44D2B728@cats-net.com>
<EA0975A28A4A83CC.A385509EF2EF945D.786E577DF98124D7@lp.airnews.net>
<#BsLPqAy$GA.266@cpmsnbbsa06> <39301B15.8C5816C7@IEEE.org>
<#l6yzJHy$GA.333@cpmsnbbsa09> <39316BBF.1B475CBA@IEEE.org>
<##0FIOQy$GA.327@cpmsnbbsa07> <3931D331.B68FFB1D@home.com>
<39372ecd.162180430@netnews.worldnet.att.net> <hnUZ4.396$Cs.3011@news2.atl>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 3 Jun 2000 14:40:22 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Arnie Macy wrote:
> Since I can't predict where Kim will be operating, I can't avoid those
> frequencies like I do with 3950 and 14313.  So, I think I'll just stay in
> the CW portion of the bands and tell my 6 year old grandson that her call is
> W5X (the weather lady) should she ever ID in CW.

Speaking of which, do you also object to the callsign W5XXX?
That's a triple-X rated callsign if I ever say one.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -5731098669222530261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <3939169D.96D46135@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630598928
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <3938836C.11A12668@pop3.freewwweb.com>
<20000603071641.18425.00001961@nso-bd.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 3 Jun 2000 14:37:35 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY wrote:
>
> <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com> writes:
> >Does it remind you of the skit where the nuns wanted to be spanked?  ;^)
> >
> No. Because no such skit exists.

Ahhhh, but the idea that such a skit exists
certainly does exist and now it does remind
me of it.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 6132961577199788107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603104441.10388.00001804@nso-bk.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630599639
References: <20000603003840.15975.00006492@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <20000603003840.15975.00006492@nso-cg.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20000602203213.06864.00002134@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>>>This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have
>an
>>
>>>>>argument.
>>>>>
>>>>No it doesn't.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Yes, it does!
>>
>>It doesn't.
>>
>
>It most assuredly does!
>
Is this the 5 minute argument, or the full half hour?

73 de Jim, N2EY
```

```
From -1537967792257804632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d65593988a35dec0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CC&Rs - visited once again...
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <39391B16.D6659817@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630602114
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39ADE3D726B96FC9.7814E372778AC3BD.A8034E8E4ED7F379@lp.airnews.net>
<20000602092243.14161.00001471@ng-cl1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 3 Jun 2000 14:56:38 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
> Instead, Cecil went on the Internet version of a Texas Two-step around
> answering my inquiry as to his source of "facts".

Nope I didn't, Steve. I just couldn't believe anyone could
be so stupid as to deny or ignore the existance of thousands
of all-white KKK gangs. I know of no other organization that
has thousands of chapters of gangs as does the all-white KKK.
Add in the motorcycle gangs, the skin-head gangs, the
Arian Nations gangs, and the militant militia gangs and
I wouldn't be surprised if those outnumber the black gangs.

> Cecil would have us beleive that HIS opinions ARE fact.

That's a bold-faced lie, Steve, and you know it. I have gone
out of my way, more than once, to declare that all my postings
are only my opinions unless stated otherwise and that a lot of
my postings are tongue-in-cheek. What is it about those statements
that you don't understand?

You were a nice guy for awhile, Steve. Then you reverted to
being an AHole. What happened?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
A fool discovers purpose where there is only process.
```

```
From 2692655303779143550
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dca0b9f58c76c44,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Subject: ARRL finds honest work
```

Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <rY8_4.868$EU3.5630@newsfeed.slurp.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630602737
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 960043927 205.138.126.187 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 09:52:07 CDT)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 09:52:07 CDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

This week's Communications World from VOA includes a segment on ARRL taking up the task of field-strength measurement of broadcast TV to determine who is eligible for distant-signal TV reception on satellite services.

It was not entirely clear just how far this idea has gone but one FCC official is quoted as saying that ARRL is the ideal candidate because of total lack of commercial interest.

http://www.wrn.org hit Listen Now and Communications World.

Dick McCollum N0BK

From -6438807118045454014
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: z005381b@bc.seflin.org (James Rosenthal)
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <8hb67k$fpp@nntp.seflin.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630605106
References: <hnUZ4.396$Cs.3011@news2.atl> <20000602194648.19467.00000952@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: SEFLIN Free-Net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam) wrote:
: In article <hnUZ4.396$Cs.3011@news2.atl>, "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> writes:

: >Since I can't predict where Kim will be operating, I can't avoid those
: >frequencies like I do with 3950 and 14313. So, I think I'll just stay in
: >the CW portion of the bands and tell my 6 year old grandson that her call is
: >W5X (the weather lady) should she ever ID in CW.

: You'll also have to tell your grandson that Kim's fist really sucks,
: but don't use that particular word!
: 73 de Larry, K3LT

```
You must know some strange ladies!  ==80
--
Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ




From -7345600242747265365
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5c6249acf1b9e6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: call signs???
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603110802.23178.00001897@nso-fz.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630605306
References: <DQ0_4.685$EU3.3379@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <DQ0_4.685$EU3.3379@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "Appassionata von Klimax"
<Pas_von_Kli@hotmail.com> writes:

>I am taking my Extra on Saturday and want to have AA5VK to go with my name.
>How do I apply for it?
>
>Apassionata von Klimax
>
Form 695, downloadable from

http://www.fcc.gov

And yes, I get the joke. More Kickapoo Joy Juice, anyone?

73 de Jim "love those Shmoos" N2EY




From -7172080110819298102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603110803.23178.00001898@nso-fz.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630605312
References: <39391459.BA48BDFB@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

In article <39391459.BA48BDFB@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA

(aka Brave Sir Robin)

<Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT

(aka "The Black Knight")

wrote:
>> Seeing an airplane fly over me doesn't remind me of
>> a rowboat in the middle of the desert!
>
>But the next time I see an airplane fly over me, I
>will remember your posting, and it *will* remind me
>of a rowboat in the middle of the desert.
>
>The point is: You and Jim

(aka "Dennis")

>have absolutely no idea
>about or control over what something reminds *ME* of.
>--
Sure we do.

Larry mentioned a connection between seeing an airplane fly overhead and a rowboat in the desert. You read that post. Now, when an airplane flies over YOU, you've said it will remind you of a rowboat in the desert. Which would not have happened if Larry hadn't posted what he did.

So Larry has exerted some influence over what something will remind you of. Not total control, of course, but but some control.

There! I've run rings around you logically!

73 de Jim "pining for the fjords" N2EY

From -2804400897911853530
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603110803.23178.00001899@nso-fz.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630605313
References: <3939159B.3064AD99@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <3939159B.3064AD99@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <20000602122713.19467.00000836@nso-md.aol.com>,
>yodoc@aol.comnospam
>> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>>
>> >In article <20000602043318.18766.00002107@nso-fo.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>> >(N2EY)
>> >writes:
>> >
>> >>>This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have
>an
>> >>>argument.
>> >>>
>> >>No it doesn't.
>> >>
>> >
>> >Yes, it does!
>>
>> It doesn't.
>
>But you agreed in another posting that anything could remind
>anyone of anything.

I most certainly did not!

> How do you explain the contradiction?

If I'm going to argue with someone, I must take up a contrary position.

73 de Jim, N2EY (a fan of Australian table wines)

From 5819180532556233902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603110804.23178.00001900@nso-fz.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630605318
References: <3939169D.96D46135@IEEE.org>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <3939169D.96D46135@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com> writes:
>> >Does it remind you of the skit where the nuns wanted to be spanked? ;^)
>> >
>> No. Because no such skit exists.
>
>Ahhhh, but the idea that such a skit exists
>certainly does exist and now it does remind
>me of it.
>--
No it doesn't.

73 de Jim "Bruce" N2EY

From -5996402484137958203
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <39391DBD.6D80072A@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630605438
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <8h8c8k$jqj$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20000602095829.14063.00001385@ng-cl1.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 3 Jun 2000 15:08:22 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steve Robeson wrote:
> The lack of "youth" in Amateur Radio is a simple matter of lack of
> application and a proliferation of other distractions.

Oh? What's your reference for that fact?

> The
> days of being able to simply infect a youngster with our ability to communicate
> globally with a crystal set and spark gap have passed.

Oh, What's your reference for that fact?

> Kids today need challenges, and those challenges must have some sort of
> practical application.

Oh, What's your reference for that fact?

> Thre's no "elitism" or "generation gap" to it. It's ALWAYS been that way.

Oh? What's your reference for that fact?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
A fool discovers purpose where there is only process.

From -4211756835875859591
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <39391F70.374C6742@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630607339
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07> <16be26ff.c6e668e0@usw-ex0103-018.remarq.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 3 Jun 2000 15:14:51 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

technocrat wrote:
> When all of the support infrastructure that makes your
> electronic goodies fail, will you be able to communicate with
> anyone about anything? We will!!

Please estimate the odds of such a thing happening.
Do you also live in a cave to avoid meteors?

> I can make a small spark-gap transmitter (better yet, three or
> four spark gap transmitters) from a busted up transistor radio.

Oh dear, we have a ham proposing breaking the law.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7501416930870220071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Clint \"Rattlehead\"" <rattlehead@computron.net.x>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <393923FB.74A1@computron.net.x>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630610335
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39379172.362658@IEEE.org> <20000602122713.19467.00000837@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Trace: 3 Jun 2000 10:24:31 -0500, dialin184.computron.net
MIME-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: rattlehead@computron.net.x
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Well, the point was, anything can remind anybody of anything... you may
not be reminded of it, but somebody else may.

From 6688198161501282725
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <Er9_4.892$EU3.5576@newsfeed.slurp.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630611452
References: <3939159B.3064AD99@IEEE.org> <20000603110803.23178.00001899@nso-fz.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 960045924 205.138.126.187 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 10:25:24 CDT)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 10:25:24 CDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000603110803.23178.00001899@nso-fz.aol.com...

(Scissors used with great abandon)

73 de Jim, N2EY (a fan of Australian table wines)

Me Too. But out here in the hinterlands all we get is five-liter boxes the Aussies take to the beach to get totalled on. I don't have Cecil's capacity so making the tour and finding the best one is a real challenge. Some of the world's best wine and they use it like Thunderbird. Oh well.

Dick BK

From -4670458588558612056
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <ev9_4.2852$O35.12199@news3.atl>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630611520
References: <392F9743.44D2B728@cats-net.com>
<EA0975A28A4A83CC.A385509EF2EF945D.786E577DF98124D7@lp.airnews.net>
<#BsLPqAy$GA.266@cpmsnbbsa06> <39301B15.8C5816C7@IEEE.org>
<#l6yzJHy$GA.333@cpmsnbbsa09> <39316BBF.1B475CBA@IEEE.org>
<##0FIOQy$GA.327@cpmsnbbsa07> <3931D331.B68FFB1D@home.com>
<39372ecd.162180430@netnews.worldnet.att.net> <hnUZ4.396$Cs.3011@news2.atl>
<39391748.BD0487AF@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
X-Trace: news3.atl 960046154 216.76.74.224 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 11:29:14 EDT)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 11:29:14 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, not really. But I have a *real* problem with a call that ends in RCA
... : -)

Arnie -
KT4ST

From -3945738094354810772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <Kt9_4.894$EU3.4322@newsfeed.slurp.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630612107
References: <39379172.362658@IEEE.org> <20000602122713.19467.00000837@nso-md.aol.com> <393923FB.74A1@computron.net.x>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 960046058 205.138.126.187 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 10:27:38 CDT)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 10:27:38 CDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Clint "Rattlehead"" <rattlehead@computron.net.x> wrote in message
news:393923FB.74A1@computron.net.x...
> Well, the point was, anything can remind anybody of anything... you may
> not be reminded of it, but somebody else may.

And don't none of you nimrods get reminded of the shrink and his dirty Rorschach tests or I will be reminded of a public horsewhipping.

BK

From -8185841584830021858
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <393925B8.97303EDD@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630613522
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <39391459.BA48BDFB@IEEE.org> <20000603110803.23178.00001898@nso-fz.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 3 Jun 2000 15:41:59 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY wrote:
> There! I've run rings around you logically!

That's great, Jim. If you don't mind, I'll get
my exercise some other way.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -2039083302302047437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <39392661.513CA92B@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630616075
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <3939159B.3064AD99@IEEE.org> <20000603110803.23178.00001899@nso-fz.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 3 Jun 2000 15:44:47 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >But you agreed in another posting that anything could remind
> >anyone of anything.
>
> I most certainly did not!

Would you accept proof?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -501556716906054123
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <39392736.5A2D7340@IEEE.org>#1/1

X-Deja-AN: 630618173
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <392F9743.44D2B728@cats-net.com>
<EA0975A28A4A83CC.A385509EF2EF945D.786E577DF98124D7@lp.airnews.net>
<#BsLPqAy$GA.266@cpmsnbbsa06> <39301B15.8C5816C7@IEEE.org>
<#l6yzJHy$GA.333@cpmsnbbsa09> <39316BBF.1B475CBA@IEEE.org>
<##0FIOQy$GA.327@cpmsnbbsa07> <3931D331.B68FFB1D@home.com>
<39372ecd.162180430@netnews.worldnet.att.net> <hnUZ4.396$Cs.3011@news2.atl>
<39391748.BD0487AF@IEEE.org> <ev9_4.2852$O35.12199@news3.atl>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 3 Jun 2000 15:48:20 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Arnie Macy wrote:
> No, not really. But I have a *real* problem with a call that ends in RCA
> ...   : -)

I can believe that. There's no end to the dirty words
that can be used for 'RCA' phoentics.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5071919238270319205
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603120419.05836.00007094@nso-ch.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630619537
References: <39391748.BD0487AF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <39391748.BD0487AF@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Arnie Macy wrote:
>> Since I can't predict where Kim will be operating, I can't avoid those
>> frequencies like I do with 3950 and 14313. So, I think I'll just stay in
>> the CW portion of the bands and tell my 6 year old grandson that her call
>is
>> W5X (the weather lady) should she ever ID in CW.
>
>Speaking of which, do you also object to the callsign W5XXX?

>That's a triple-X rated callsign if I ever say one.
>

Cecil:

W5XXX isn't triple-X rated, it's just triple X! BTW -- if anyone had
such a call sign, and I heard him use the phonetics "Dubbaya Fahaive
Triple Exx," I'd assume his callsign was "W5TX." Of course, it all
depends on how much reverb his echo mic picks up off the back
wall of his trailer!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -4810065937799581353
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <20000603121003.05836.00007095@nso-ch.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630621323
References: <20000603104441.10388.00001804@nso-bk.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

In article <20000603104441.10388.00001804@nso-bk.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

```
>
>>In article <20000602203213.06864.00002134@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>
>>>>>>This reminds me of that Monty Python skit of the guy who wanted to have
>>an
>>>
>>>>>>argument.
>>>>>>
>>>>>No it doesn't.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Yes, it does!
>>>
>>>It doesn't.
>>>
>>
>>It most assuredly does!
>>
```

>Is this the 5 minute argument, or the full half hour?
>

How much does the full half hour cost?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4610636224031306274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID:
<D619719871880E17.8F62DB77F77F5B70.A9E3BBB46CEEC091@lp.airnews.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630659861
References: <39391459.BA48BDFB@IEEE.org> <20000603110803.23178.00001898@nso-fz.aol.com>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <8hbifr$sv7@library1.airnews.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
NNTP-Posting-Time: Sat Jun 3 13:23:56 2000
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: 204.181.96.50
Reply-To: "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Oh good grief.... Rings, in this case, imply "twisted."

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim
NCI 3343

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000603110803.23178.00001898@nso-fz.aol.com...
>
> There! I've run rings around you logically!
>
> 73 de Jim "pining for the fjords" N2EY
>

From -2331662227270954244
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <8hbh750jsc@enews3.newsguy.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630661967
References: <3939169D.96D46135@IEEE.org> <20000603110804.23178.00001900@nso-
fz.aol.com>
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <20000603110804.23178.00001900@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com says...
>
>In article <3939169D.96D46135@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>> <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com> writes:
>>> >Does it remind you of the skit where the nuns wanted to be spanked?  ;^)
>>> >
>>> No. Because no such skit exists.
>>
>>Ahhhh, but the idea that such a skit exists
>>certainly does exist and now it does remind
>>me of it.
>>--
>No it doesn't.

Yes, it does.

Wait! This isn't an argument! It's contradiction!

I've had enough!

Tom - N8ECW




From 8301456935965312044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a27b930917225e8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6d57b28a8f77db49
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
Subject: Re: DAYTON (it stunk-on-ice)
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <8hbhnc1jsc@enews3.newsguy.com>#1/1
```

```
X-Deja-AN: 630662008
References: <MPG.1398e94ebe3108ef989936@news.interactive.net>
<2dTX4.1649$TZ2.84747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<T6VX4.71094$55.562568@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
<MPG.139add47632efec5989937@news.interactive.net>
<QeLY4.75843$55.608261@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com> <e69usCOz$GA.324@cpmsnbbsa06>
<3T0_4.85627$55.699389@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com> <Oqy6kIVz$GA.328@cpmsnbbsa09>
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <Oqy6kIVz$GA.328@cpmsnbbsa09>, lak@radio.land.com says...
>
>Well exccccuse me for being soooo dumb!
>
>Just after several decades of observations and having been a flea market
>vendor, this year it seemed to be large percentage than usual. I also noted
>several large flea market vendor whom I have dealt with over the years did
>not attend for the first time in 10 years.
>
>But I forgot this is the internet where personal opinions must tempered by
>considering everyone's point of view and framed up to politically corrected
>to accommodate everyone else on the  net.
>
>Next year I will collect evidence in the form of tallies, statements from
>vendor / patrons, etc. to present a more concise and formal report. I will
>form a fact finding committee to explore this situation, and issue position
>paper to congress after 2001 Hamvention, after all a national Icon might be
>in jeopardy! Application for the committe will soon be on-line at
>www.who_could_careless.org/applicationsforcommittee/hamvention/form.html


Why bother? Just think of the net as a big baseball game, and you're the
umpire. As you know, umpires simply call them as they see them, and there's
plenty of Earl Weavers and Billy Martins to argue your call.

Tom - N8ECW





From 2792799596799394195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a27b930917225e8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6d57b28a8f77db49
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: DAYTON (it stunk-on-ice)
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <39394F22.832FF835@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630665395
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust40.tnt29.chi5.da.uu.net
References: <MPG.1398e94ebe3108ef989936@news.interactive.net>
<2dTX4.1649$TZ2.84747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<T6VX4.71094$55.562568@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
<MPG.139add47632efec5989937@news.interactive.net>
<QeLY4.75843$55.608261@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com> <e69usCOz$GA.324@cpmsnbbsa06>
<3T0_4.85627$55.699389@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com> <Oqy6kIVz$GA.328@cpmsnbbsa09>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

lak wrote:

> But I forgot this is the internet where personal opinions must tempered by
> considering everyone's point of view and framed up to politically corrected
> to accommodate everyone else on the net.
>
> Next year I will collect evidence in the form of tallies, statements from
> vendor / patrons, etc. to present a more concise and formal report. I will
> form a fact finding committee to explore this situation, and issue position
> paper to congress after 2001 Hamvention, after all a national Icon might be
> in jeopardy! Application for the committe will soon be on-line at
> www.who_could_careless.org/applicationsforcommittee/hamvention/form.html

LAK, welcome to rrap. It looks like you're heading in the right direction.

Please be sure that your founding members are elected, even though there are no member to elect them. I know it sounds a little crazy, but that is how things are done here.

You also need to have a constitution and by-laws. Post your membership roster, including names, soc. security numbers, and bank routing numbers so that we may verify actual membership data.

Also, be sure to indicate each member's operating preference, so that if they should claim to be a DXer we may tear them down and stomp on their heads and kick them in the ribs and other vital places. No claim of being a DXer is too small to be overlooked.

Furthermore, we will need a break out of age groups within your committee so as to see if hams really are mostly octagenerians. Be sure to publish something so that we can say you're only interested in the book store aspect of your organization. Lack of a financial report will immediately be met by claims of you running off with the money.

Finally, it is vitally important to determine if your committee members use CW "rarely or never," or "always."

Ooops, almost forgot - two on the group will need sexual preference information. I know, I know, but they really seem to thrive on it.

From 1761611712245797522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <8hbmoe$tna$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Deja-AN: 630669649
References: <39307fc3.34483875@news.scottsbluff.net> <8h8g3t$muh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<393881D1.40FA7208@pop3.freewwweb.com>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.182
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jun 03 19:36:46 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)

In article <393881D1.40FA7208@pop3.freewwweb.com>,
  p57burke@freewwweb.com wrote:
> Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
>
> > It is very bad manners to show disrespect for the heros of the
> > past...but unfortunately when the accurate facts get reported,
people
> > now tend to get skeptical about everything...even our President's
> > fidelity....which IMHO shows that people have no respect. Yes...
People
> > with bad manners show no respect and people who show no respect have
> > bad manners.
> >
> > 73,
> > Bob Wex w2ilp (I Like Patriots)
> > Did you ever doubt?
>
> Bob, bull. Our president doesn't respect himself, his family, his
office,
> or the people of America. The rest of us are simply waiting for a
change -
> any change.
> 73,
> Brian

Not a CHANGE for the worse I hope. I don't think that any heavy political debate belongs here...BUT I do think that the hypocritical right wingers who don't know the difference between sexual intercourse and blow jobs are not fooling the majority of the American public.

Our president has committed the federal government to funding programs that will train teachers to be computer literate. This is needed to give all children the basic training required for them to be capabile of using a mouse and keyboard. Now if our president had asked for funds to get all teachers trained to earn Ham licenses and trained to be able to teach Morse code would that make him more interested in the education of the American people??? Nope...BECAUSE as you said most of us have been waiting for the social changes that will be the result of the technological changes that are now obvious to most people who have technical backgrounds. Web hope that good (not bad) results are to be expected when the public adopts the new media in their every day life....When almost everyone has access to the INFORMATION HIGHWAY.

Yep...I have to limit my off-topic politics but there are lots of things that need to be technically considered. When we talk about how modern technology can be applied to Ham Radio, that is one relevant topic BUT a greater factor is how Ham Radio can survive. - We must talk about how Ham Radio can COMPETE with modern commercially available popular technology. How can we compete with wireless cell phones??? How can we show that Ham Radio has advantages over Internet surfing and NG chatting???

The Novice Class and the Technician class ham license was originated in 1951. The reason that they were established was to combat a relatively new passtime that was taking over the spare time of Americans (particulary American youth). That was TV viewing. TV was invented before WW2, but it wasn't until around 1950 that the average middle class family owned a B&W TV set. By the end of the 50s there were almost as many TV sets in American households as telephones. Today we have a greater competitor: - The home PC, which is becomming more common each year, as PCs become cheaper and easier to operate and more technically capable. So what do we do??? We simplify and restructure Ham licensing. We forget about making an entry level class that will attract youth and test them on what they probably have learned or will be learning in order to operate their PCs. We reduce the total number of exam questions and the number of Ham classes to devaluate the whole educational purpose of the Ham license structure. We make computer gurus seem even more expert than Extra class Hams because what they learn makes them more valuable than Hams to academic, military and commercial real world organizations. In my not so humble opinion, we have simplified the baby out with the bath water. We have down played the common basic electronic communication technological knowledge that applies to both Ham Radio, computer technology and telecommunication.

In 1951 we created two new classes of license. In 1999 we killed three classes of licenses. Does that show that we are recognizing our competition as well as we did in 1951 - today??? No, it shows that Ham leaders want to down play the technical educational aspects of Ham Radio in order to upgrade the old Ham appliance operators who are not interested in learning any new technology and not ready to accept the challenges the are obviously an outcome of TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE.

The ARRL sent questionaires to Hams, asking their opinions but it

would have been better to ask educators, scientists and even the
general public. The non-Hams wouldn't just be interested in up-grading
themselves. They would be more interested in promoting Ham Radio as
the TECHNICAL HOBBY that it is. Ham Radio is an American legacy that
deserves to be preserved. It can only be preserved if it accepts the
changes that must be made as a result of MODERN TECHNOLOGY.

Hams need MORE license classes,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Increase License Potential)

A little girl told me that she liked RADIO
more than television because the pictures
were so much more beautiful.
--Joseph Chilton Pierce (Evolution's End)--

Imagine that!
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From -6106188817690312188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbe1243965901a8f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <8hbs26$1a4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630693249
References: <8h8c8k$jqj$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20000602095829.14063.00001385@ng-
cl1.aol.com>
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.182
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jun 03 21:07:25 2000 GMT
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)

In article <20000602095829.14063.00001385@ng-cl1.aol.com>,
  k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson) wrote:
> >Subject: Re: Ham radio's downward trend
> >From: Bob Wex w2ilp w2ilp@juno.com
> >Date: 06/02/2000 8:19 AM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <8h8c8k$jqj
>
> >> T.L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> took another step toward USENET
history
> by writing in part:
> >> > The question asked time and again is, "Why are there no *young*

newcomers
> to ham radio?" The answer is quite simple: elitism.<<
>
>   From this, Bob delved into a lengthy dissertation on the
generation gap.
>
>   Neither one of you are correct.

In my opinion all of us are correct. Peoples' motivation is a
complex subject. Motivation in any individual or for any group can be
promoted in various ways and there are many reasons why anyone might
not be enthuastic about any hobby or sport. Especially when such a
hobby or sport has grown out of style.
>
>   The lack of "youth" in Amateur Radio is a simple matter of lack
of
> application and a proliferation of other distractions.

Yes in 1951 television viewing was recognized as a DISTRACTION - so
the answer was to create two new classes of license (Novice and
Technician).
Today the use of home PCs and surfing the Information Highway is
recognized as a distraction. So what did they do? They eliminated
three classes of license (Novice, Tech Plus and Advanced)! Simplifying
the license structure may be what old Ham appliance operators want (so
they can easily upgrade) BUT is it what is needed to motivate the youth
of America by showing that they can learn valuable technology that can
apply both to Radio and to digital communication? How can we get
teachers to promote Ham radio to the kids if they are busy teaching
them how to use PCs? The latter is now going to be funded by the
Federal Government because politicians of both major parties are now
committed to promoting the Information Highway. Computers and
everything involved with them is big business. If you break up
Microsoft into Microsoft and Macrohard the whole stock market will be
disrupted! By comparison Kenwood, Yaesu and ICOM are small peanuts.

>   We have to "accentuate the positive" and make it more
appealing. The
> days of being able to simply infect a youngster with our ability to
communicate
> globally with a crystal set and spark gap have passed.

We can accentuate the positive BUT today PCs are seen as MORE
POSITIVE than Ham transceivers and more worthy of being accentuated.

>   Kids today need challenges, and those challenges must have some
sort of
> practical application.

Yep and learning to be "at home" with computers is becoming a very
popular challenging application that has lots of educational value as
oppossed to Ham Radio, whose leaders say it is not a Technical Hobby.

> Thre's no "elitism" or "generation gap" to it. It's ALWAYS been that way.
> We just seem to want to forget that every so often and we wind up reinventing
> the wheel.

We may have to reinvent the wheel for the people who want to use square wheels because round wheel technology knowledge is not required on the Ham exams.

> So much wasted time when there's no time to waste at all.

Yep...Thats the truth. It was always true that young men had to learn how to make a living and still have time to date the girls. This left only a little time for most to engage in any hobbies that couldn't satisfy either their quest for economic security or their sexual needs. Nothing has changed today except that Ham leaders now say that Ham Radio is not to be a Technical Hobby and a famous "Dilbert" cartoon insinuates that Ham Radio is not a very sexy hobby either. (sic...It only gives goose bumps). How can we expect to attract any normal guys these days???

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

73,
Hams need MORE license classes,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Incentive License Proponent)

If you want your children to be brilliant,
tell them fairy tales. If you want them
to be very brilliant tell them more
fairy tales.
--Attributed to Albert Einstein--

Hmmm...Is that how we get conditioned to
learn? Maybe so...Because people always
seem to vote for the politicians who can
tell the best fairy tales. People think
that I am too technical. I admit that I
can't make up any new fairy tales that
haven't been read to me by my mother.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

From -4799368226413852697
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5c6249acf1b9e6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Subject: Re: call signs???
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <PWd_4.1101$EU3.6322@newsfeed.slurp.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630694056
References: <DQ0_4.685$EU3.3379@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<20000603110802.23178.00001897@nso-fz.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 960064303 205.138.126.166 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 15:31:43 CDT)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 15:31:43 CDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20000603110802.23178.00001897@nso-fz.aol.com...
> In article <DQ0_4.685$EU3.3379@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "Appassionata von Klimax"
> <Pas_von_Kli@hotmail.com> writes:
>
> >I am taking my Extra on Saturday and want to have AA5VK to go with my name.
> >How do I apply for it?
> >
> >Apassionata von Klimax
> >
> Form 695, downloadable from
>
> http://www.fcc.gov
>
> And yes, I get the joke. More Kickapoo Joy Juice, anyone?
>
> 73 de Jim "love those Shmoos" N2EY

If it's a joke it is a blessed old one. I believe Appassionata von Climax (I remember it with the C but I wasn't all that old at the time) was Capp's spoof on Marlene Dietrich. Probably from Destry Rides Again and Ask What the Boys in the Back Room Will Have. Same thing Madelene Kahn did in Blazing Saddles -- I'm Tired. I was always amazed Al Capp got that one thru the Blue Nose Curtain that prevailed at the time.

I came in about the time of General Bullmoose ('Engine Charlie' Wilson, ex-pres of General Motors), Failwell Fatchance (Adlai Stevenson) and right at the end Joanie Phoney (Joan Baez). Capp's pissing contest with the guy that did Dick Tracy gave us Fearless Fosdick.

Won't fight you for the schmoos, Jim, take a Dogpatch Ham any day of the week :=)

From -8083503348346067815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ddaccb6319840ba
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vincent Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Subject: Re: Behind the scenes in the Walker Household.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <sjiteu6s5ri8@corp.supernews.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630705353
References: <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>
<8A182877A56F3F91.5CCF877FE67E319A.43819CF4ACD478CB@lp.airnews.net>
<sj89525i5pj103@corp.supernews.com> <8h2bv1$deh$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
<sjd1bms25ri36@corp.supernews.com>
<99CC5A5856E251CA.B93AEB0FFC515B8C.CAAD8EF13DF034B1@lp.airnews.net>
Organization: Stupidity & Lazyness justify Restructuring.
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com

Was it a Joke? Hong's been quite accurate lately.

"Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> wrote:
>Slammed? Well, I guess you could say that. I think it's great! I laughed
>hysterically! I took it as just a really cute joke...and still do. But, no
>matter how you look at it, it's pretty darned funny!
>

From 7953512924001095579
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ddaccb6319840ba
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vincent Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Subject: Re: I feel sorry for TIT's husband.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <sjitsdak5ri108@corp.supernews.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630707267
References: <20000529125623.22354.00000413@ng-cv1.aol.com>
<8A182877A56F3F91.5CCF877FE67E319A.43819CF4ACD478CB@lp.airnews.net>
<sj89525i5pj103@corp.supernews.com> <8h8e41$ob8@nntp.seflin.org>
Organization: Stupidity & Lazyness justify Restructuring.
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com

Thanks Jim, I'll let Vince know when he get's back in the states.

In article <8h8e41$ob8@nntp.seflin.org>, z005381b@bc.seflin.org (James Rosenthal) wrote:
>
>Vince,
>
>This message is to let you know that some nut is sending messages to the
>r.r.a.p. newsgroup and signing your name to them.

From -8225197888263627289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <39397822.7258676D@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630713057
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust110.tnt7.chi1.da.uu.net
References: <3939169D.96D46135@IEEE.org> <20000603110804.23178.00001900@nso-fz.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY wrote:

> In article <3939169D.96D46135@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com> writes:
> >> >Does it remind you of the skit where the nuns wanted to be spanked? ;^)
> >> >
> >> No. Because no such skit exists.
> >
> >Ahhhh, but the idea that such a skit exists
> >certainly does exist and now it does remind
> >me of it.
> >--
> No it doesn't.
>
> 73 de Jim "Bruce" N2EY

It exists in the mind of the guy in a rowboat in the desert.

From -6126338647115333586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <39397C28.B7E1AF7@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630714752
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust110.tnt7.chi1.da.uu.net
References: <39391748.BD0487AF@IEEE.org> <20000603120419.05836.00007094@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Cecil:
>
> W5XXX isn't triple-X rated, it's just triple X! BTW -- if anyone had
> such a call sign, and I heard him use the phonetics "Dubbaya Fahaive
> Triple Exx," I'd assume his callsign was "W5TX." Of course, it all
> depends on how much reverb his echo mic picks up off the back
> wall of his trailer!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

And I suppose you copy "double yew fahve" as the Philippines (DU5)? You should
be well on your way to DXCC.

From 589099555258049357
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ccd61d3943cf1c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9ccd61d3943cf1c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Subject: Re: List of the rec.radio newsgroups
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <8hc1os$m4j$1@news.hawaii.edu>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630728255
References: <8h7fh1$ik2$1@news.hawaii.edu>

<3937568b.172355405@netnews.worldnet.att.net> <8h98s7$k43$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<yrWZ4.955$v_.24779@nntp2.onemain.com>
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 960072284 22675 128.171.44.55 (3 Jun 2000 22:44:44 GMT)
Organization: University of Hawaii
NNTP-Posting-Date: 3 Jun 2000 22:44:44 GMT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net> wrote:
>And rec.antiques.radio+phono

That falls under the rec.antiques hierarchy, not the rec.radio
hierarchy.

Jeff

From -2585354228612489926
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
From: technocrat <jbohanejrNOjbSPAM@juno.com.invalid>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <1629914f.e1bb0f7b@usw-ex0103-086.remarq.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630734196
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07> <16be26ff.c6e668e0@usw-ex0103-018.remarq.com>
<8ha60j02bmj@enews2.newsguy.com>
Bytes: 257
X-Originating-Host: 209.138.21.152
X-Complaints-To: wrenabuse@remarq.com
X-Trace: WReNphoon3 960074256 10.0.2.86 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 16:17:36 PDT)
Organization: http://www.remarq.com: The World's Usenet/Discussions Start Here
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 16:17:36 PDT
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner
X-Wren-Trace: eDwZMTApbiRvdio7MX0zMCQ9DDMsNnNkOj8+f2d4fDJiaSRzYC1nY2Vv

Hi Tom...

Not talking about legality (spark gap)!!

Talking about functionality in a pinch!

jb

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

```
From 3766438831820610603
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: technocrat <jbohanejrNOjbSPAM@juno.com.invalid>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <0b2b8924.e228e8b2@usw-ex0103-086.remarq.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630734666
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07> <16be26ff.c6e668e0@usw-ex0103-018.remarq.com>
<39391F70.374C6742@IEEE.org>
Bytes: 234
X-Originating-Host: 209.138.21.152
X-Complaints-To: wrenabuse@remarq.com
X-Trace: WReNphoon3 960074374 10.0.2.86 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 16:19:34 PDT)
Organization: http://www.remarq.com: The World's Usenet/Discussions Start Here
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 16:19:34 PDT
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
scanner
X-Wren-Trace: eLKXv76n4Krh+KS1v/O9vqqzgr2iuP3qtLGw8en28rzs56r97qPp7evh
```

Cecil,

Again, see response to T.L Bryant. Legality was not the issue!

jb

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

From -2267940165506088859
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5c6249acf1b9e6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Appassionata von Klimax" <Pas_von_Kli@hotmail.com>
Subject: Re: call signs???
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <6kh_4.1235$EU3.7269@newsfeed.slurp.net>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630756456
References: <DQ0_4.685$EU3.3379@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<20000603095925.05836.00007032@nso-ch.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 960078210 208.154.158.198 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 19:23:30 CDT)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 19:23:30 CDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20000603095925.05836.00007032@nso-ch.aol.com...
> In article <DQ0_4.685$EU3.3379@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "Appassionata von Klimax"
> <Pas_von_Kli@hotmail.com> writes:
>
> >
> >I am taking my Extra on Saturday and want to have AA5VK to go with my name.
> >How do I apply for it?
> >
>
> The VE team, if they have any kind of clue whatsoever, should have
> the Vanity Callsign paperwork available; or you can go to www.fcc.gov
> and follow the links to the ULS, and apply there. AA5VK is currently
> not issued, however it may not be immediately available if someone
> else dropped it for a Vanity call and two years had not passed yet.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Thank you, Larry. You were right about the VE's.

Jim and Richard see below.

I passed today. Coming off the street, I have to wait for the license before getting the call. No hurry, this is mostly for co-workers anyway.

1. This is my real name and my mother's too. It happened many years ago when the judge at the divorce hearing suddenly said something like Of course you will want to change your name. The man's name would still cause you to throw up. It does come from the Dogpatch cartoon.

2. No I am not a stripper. I am a civil engineer. I do use another name.

3. Yes I have thought of changing it. Not while Mom is still alive.

Thanks again. I expected something more childish.

Pas

From -4557854636337592139
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <3939A715.FC480F94@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630761991
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07> <16be26ff.c6e668e0@usw-ex0103-018.remarq.com>
<39391F70.374C6742@IEEE.org> <0b2b8924.e228e8b2@usw-ex0103-086.remarq.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 4 Jun 2000 00:53:54 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

```
technocrat wrote:
> Again, see response to T.L Bryant.  Legality was not the issue!

I'm an Electronics Engineer and I don't know how to
make a spark-gap transmitter out of a transistor radio.
Care to describe how to do it?
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca




From 7690066188930310183
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5c6249acf1b9e6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: call signs???
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <3939A7AA.CDAD021D@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630762570
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <DQ0_4.685$EU3.3379@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<20000603095925.05836.00007032@nso-ch.aol.com>
<6kh_4.1235$EU3.7269@newsfeed.slurp.net>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 4 Jun 2000 00:56:23 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Appassionata von Klimax wrote:
> I passed today.  Coming off the street, I have to wait for the license
> before getting the call.

But since you announced the call, ten other hams have decided
they like it and have already applied for it. :-)
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca




From -8497826587337685202
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@cyberhighway.net>
```

Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <q9cjjso7gduku1am8jov92ssnfke3tpsmj@4ax.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630770556
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07> <16be26ff.c6e668e0@usw-ex0103-018.remarq.com>
<39391F70.374C6742@IEEE.org> <0b2b8924.e228e8b2@usw-ex0103-086.remarq.com>
<3939A715.FC480F94@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Complaints-To: news@cyberhighway.net
X-Trace: news.cyberhighway.net 960082308 21964 209.161.10.204 (4 Jun 2000 01:31:48 GMT)
Organization: What, ME organized?   Riiiiight!
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: glenq@cyberhighway.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 4 Jun 2000 01:31:48 GMT
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>technocrat wrote:
>> Again, see response to T.L Bryant. Legality was not the issue!
>
>I'm an Electronics Engineer and I don't know how to
>make a spark-gap transmitter out of a transistor radio.
>Care to describe how to do it?

Connect two clip leads to the batteries. Connect one clip lead to a
rusty file. Drag the other clip lead over the file in Morse Code
sequence. <grin>

I've heard C.W. transmitters that sounded like they were built that way.
--
glenq@cyberhighway.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

From -7398698492837620232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,15a40cb3ba5cf1dc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: Jerry Hooten <jhooten@freewwweb.com>
Subject: Wasted Bandwidth/ Kim's Call
Date: 2000/06/03

Message-ID: <3939C22C.F323BE37@freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630784759
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: jhooten@freewwweb.com@*@ppp-69.tnt-2.aus.smartworld.net
X-Accept-Language: en
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Just a thought, Would as bandwidth have been wasted on the group if Kim would have chosen K5BRA instead?

Jerry
KG5RZ

From 4857683048669751569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7db5fd00d816170
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: p57 <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com>
Subject: Re: an article about manners in USA Today
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <3939C23F.13E8DA01@pop3.freewwweb.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630784973
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Sender: p57burke@freewwweb.com@*@1cust192.tnt8.stl1.da.uu.net
References: <39307fc3.34483875@news.scottsbluff.net> <8h8g3t$muh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<393881D1.40FA7208@pop3.freewwweb.com> <8hbmoe$tna$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: Smart
Mime-Version: 1.0
Reply-To: p57burke@freewwweb.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:

> In article <393881D1.40FA7208@pop3.freewwweb.com>,
>   p57burke@freewwweb.com wrote:
> > Bob, bull. Our president doesn't respect himself, his family, his
> office,
> > or the people of America. The rest of us are simply waiting for a
> change -
> > any change.
> > 73,
> > Brian
>
>   Not a CHANGE for the worse I hope.

Yes, even Algore would be a semi-welcome change, unless dead Buddhist nuns are found in a DC park and its ruled a suicide.

> I don't think that any heavy political debate belongs here...BUT I do think that the hypocritical right wingers who don't know the difference between sexual intercourse and blow jobs are not fooling the majority of the American public.

He wasn't asked if he had "sexual intercourse," Bob. He was asked if he has sexual relations of any kind, Bob. Its called oral SEX, Bob. Since it occurred between TWO people, Bob, it was a relation. Burnadette Peters sang a song about making love alone, Bob. Too bad the Prez didn't listen, Bob. A box of Kleenex would have gone over much better than a semen stained dress, Bob. Do you disagree with that, Bob? Larry Roll may be remided of a guy rowing a boat in the desert whenever an airplane flies overhead, but whenever I am offered a cigar I will be reminded of the sickness in the White House, Bob. Or is that hypocritical, too, Bob? He knew they had him and all he had to do was tell the truth, Bob. The truth, Bob. He could have fooled the people with the truth, Bob. It would have been so out of character that no one would have believed him, Bob.

snip

> We make computer gurus seem even more expert than Extra class Hams because what they learn makes them more valuable than Hams to academic, military and commercial real world organizations. In my not so humble opinion, we have simplified the baby out with the bath water. We have down played the common basic electronic communication technological knowledge that applies to both Ham Radio, computer technology and telecommunication.

On .antenna, a one by two ham claimed to have read "several" articles on the "half-square" antenna, which gave dimensions for 80 and 40M. He asked if anyone knew what the dimensions were for 20M. I sure hope he was a 'puter genius, because he sure didn't know the 1st thing about being an Extra. Then a two by two ham asked for instructions for assembling a rg-213 cable with pl-259's. It must be either the 1st of April or its Trick-or-Treat time.

> In 1951 we created two new classes of license. In 1999 we killed three classes of licenses. Does that show that we are recognizing our competition as well as we did in 1951 - today??? No, it shows that Ham leaders want to down play the technical educational aspects of Ham Radio in order to upgrade the old Ham appliance operators who are not interested in learning any new technology and not ready to accept the challenges the are obviously an outcome of TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE.

WHAT? The question pools have gotten ever more technical. The question pool for Extra is a combined Advanced and Extra question pool. Nothing was dumbed down. Dropping fast code is NOT downplaying the technical aspects of the service.

> The ARRL sent questionaires to Hams, asking their opinions but it would have been better to ask educators, scientists and even the general public.

The FCC asked the American Public for their opinions. I've quit caring what the ARRL does. The last I heard they want to give out CODE certificates to replace those "technical" FCC code exams.

> The non-Hams wouldn't just be interested in up-grading
> themselves. They would be more interested in promoting Ham Radio as
> the TECHNICAL HOBBY that it is. Ham Radio is an American legacy that
> deserves to be preserved. It can only be preserved if it accepts the
> changes that must be made as a result of MODERN TECHNOLOGY.

Helloooo! That's the message that NCI has given out, and all you eyes rolled back, CW Forever types rejected. Now you've reinvented the NCI message and adopted it as your own. Good for you.

From -3497981158237710463
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
From: technocrat <jbohanejrNOjbSPAM@juno.com.invalid>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <014dc2c8.6460a698@usw-ex0106-045.remarq.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630791030
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07> <16be26ff.c6e668e0@usw-ex0103-018.remarq.com>
<39391F70.374C6742@IEEE.org> <0b2b8924.e228e8b2@usw-ex0103-086.remarq.com>
<3939A715.FC480F94@IEEE.org>
Bytes: 1962
X-Originating-Host: 209.138.16.133
X-Complaints-To: wrenabuse@remarq.com
X-Trace: WReNphoon4 960088943 10.0.2.45 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 20:22:23 PDT)
Organization: http://www.remarq.com: The World's Usenet/Discussions Start Here
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 20:22:23 PDT
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner
X-Wren-Trace: eEVgSElQF10WD1NCSARKSV1EdUpVTwodQ0ZHBh4BBUsbEF0KGlMeGhoX

Cecil....

I'm betting you are a good guy, but I'm also betting that you are baiting me.

If you are an EE, and you don't know how to build a spark-gap transmitter, then I'm sure that I'm not the one you need to be talking to.

See my response to Glen for starters.

Also, remember that in my first post in this topic, and in those posts following the first, I am communicating with someone who relies on the understanding and promise of performance from others rather than on his own technical 'understanding of the concepts' himself.

Again, this is not a question of legality or of polite, logical discuurse. To me, it is a matter of practicality....there are those who "CAN" when it comes to radio wave communication, and those who "CAN'T".

Those who "CAN" are those who understand the art of amateur radio (or, those who understand the technical essence of radio telecommunications in general, for those who like to split hairs), and those who "CAN'T", meaning those who rely on others to provide them communication services, and who have NO idea of how to arrange the same service for themselves should their enablers fail at the task of serving them.

In a nutshell, I am considering those who "talk out of their butts" against those who have the technical saavy to be "CAN DO" operators.

Discussions along any lines other than these are based on a failure to understand my point of departure, and a failure to understand the difference between a technically saavy ham and the qualifications of the intended recipient of my first post.

Basically, discussions along any other lines than these betrays that the dissenting poster didn't understand the difference between the original poster's comments and my response to those comments.

Regards!

JB

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

From -7070380710460793017
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <y_j_4.3869$j6.22214@news4.atl>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630791570
References: <392F9743.44D2B728@cats-net.com>
<EA0975A28A4A83CC.A385509EF2EF945D.786E577DF98124D7@lp.airnews.net>
<#BsLPqAy$GA.266@cpmsnbbsa06> <39301B15.8C5816C7@IEEE.org>
<#l6yzJHy$GA.333@cpmsnbbsa09> <39316BBF.1B475CBA@IEEE.org>
<##0FIOQy$GA.327@cpmsnbbsa07> <3931D331.B68FFB1D@home.com>
<39372ecd.162180430@netnews.worldnet.att.net> <hnUZ4.396$Cs.3011@news2.atl>
<39391748.BD0487AF@IEEE.org> <ev9_4.2852$O35.12199@news3.atl>
<39392736.5A2D7340@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
X-Trace: news4.atl 960089118 216.76.74.237 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 23:25:18 EDT)
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 23:25:18 EDT
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Don't get my vivid imagination started, Cecil   : -)

Arnie -
KT4ST

From 7267201704709437214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
From: technocrat <jbohanejrNOjbSPAM@juno.com.invalid>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <0eaa095c.69be16e7@usw-ex0106-045.remarq.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630794500
References: <38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>

<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07> <16be26ff.c6e668e0@usw-ex0103-018.remarq.com>
<39391F70.374C6742@IEEE.org> <0b2b8924.e228e8b2@usw-ex0103-086.remarq.com>
<3939A715.FC480F94@IEEE.org> <q9cjjso7gduku1am8jov92ssnfke3tpsmj@4ax.com>
Bytes: 492
X-Originating-Host: 209.138.16.133
X-Complaints-To: wrenabuse@remarq.com
X-Trace: WReNphoon4 960090177 10.0.2.45 (Sat, 03 Jun 2000 20:42:57 PDT)
Organization: http://www.remarq.com: The World's Usenet/Discussions Start Here
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Jun 2000 20:42:57 PDT
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner
X-Wren-Trace: eBw5ERAJTgRPVgobEV0TEAQdLBMMFlNEGh8eX0dYXBJCSQRTQwpHQ0NO

This may be a "duplicate post" of sorts in response to Glen, but
I posted one and did not see it appear. Sorry to have taken
uptoo much space if it (eventually) did appear.

In basics, Glen seems to have the point, or at least he has
responded accordingly, and seems to understand what I am trying
to say.

Best Regards!!

JB

* Sent from RemarQ http://www.remarq.com The Internet's Discussion Network *
The fastest and easiest way to search and participate in Usenet - Free!

From -5146207002126599943
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <3939DA52.356C68B7@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630803917
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <393925B8.97303EDD@IEEE.org> <20000603222236.18766.00002369@nso-fo.aol.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 4 Jun 2000 04:31:33 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY wrote:
> I think you may find the response to my quote quite amusing.

Doubt it. Not my kind of humor.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3476414842981002441
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <3939DB2B.45B09D8A@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630804477
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07> <16be26ff.c6e668e0@usw-ex0103-018.remarq.com>
<39391F70.374C6742@IEEE.org> <0b2b8924.e228e8b2@usw-ex0103-086.remarq.com>
<3939A715.FC480F94@IEEE.org> <q9cjjso7gduku1am8jov92ssnfke3tpsmj@4ax.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 4 Jun 2000 04:35:10 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

Glen Quarnstrom wrote:
> Connect two clip leads to the batteries. Connect one clip lead to a
> rusty file. Drag the other clip lead over the file in Morse Code
> sequence. <grin>

Well, I know how to do that Glen, but that has nothing
to do with making a spark-gap transmitter out of a
transistor radio.
--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 4253891607210635280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,a51627ac6ef317e9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Ham Population Dwidling to Nothing
Date: 2000/06/03
Message-ID: <3939DBFD.13E11F91@IEEE.org>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630804930
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: <sah7nsmf2pd20@corp.supernews.com>
<AA2DEF0E8E8C97CC.1D639ED0065244E4.31764D7D659D0B32@lp.airnews.net>
<38DE9094.B07E4861@dataworks.net> <3913B13E.73DCAAA2@coldmail.com>
<8f1scu$3e3$1@server.cntfl.com> <39148F53.46BEEC42@ix.netcom.com>
<1BC5AB4B06B6F8D8.AAC0E5EAFC5FA110.82C6E091ECEFDFD2@lp.airnews.net>
<O0S4WSxy$GA.310@cpmsnbbsa07> <kspcjsktuf10186v06tks2d6tjhlf0ikk7@4ax.com>
<#yD9qIFz$GA.321@cpmsnbbsa07> <16be26ff.c6e668e0@usw-ex0103-018.remarq.com>
<39391F70.374C6742@IEEE.org> <0b2b8924.e228e8b2@usw-ex0103-086.remarq.com>
<3939A715.FC480F94@IEEE.org> <014dc2c8.6460a698@usw-ex0106-045.remarq.com>
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Server-Date: 4 Jun 2000 04:38:41 GMT
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
scanner
```

technocrat wrote:

> If you are an EE, and you don't know how to build a spark-gap
> transmitter, then I'm sure that I'm not the one you need to be
> talking to.

Oh, I know exactly how to build a spark-gap transmitter
just not out of a transistor radio as you said you do.
Exactly what parts in the transistor radio do you use
for the spark-gap transmitter?

--
73, Cecil, W6RCA   http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -8320966893791281471
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/04
Message-ID: <20000603222236.18766.00002369@nso-fo.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630779943
References: <393925B8.97303EDD@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <393925B8.97303EDD@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> There! I've run rings around you logically!
>
>That's great, Jim. If you don't mind, I'll get
>my exercise some other way.

That's not the correct response, Cecil.

http://www.montypython.net

Look up "Penguin on the Television Set".

I think you may find the response to my quote quite amusing.

(One would think a mensameister with a high IQ would have figured out the references by now).

73 de Jim "Mrs. Premise" N2EY

From -8817167802637517048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f0bfb172bd9bf41f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Subject: Re: "Dirty" Words.
Date: 2000/06/04
Message-ID: <20000603222236.18766.00002370@nso-fo.aol.com>#1/1
X-Deja-AN: 630779944
References: <8hbh750jsc@enews3.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-Admin: news@aol.com

In article <8hbh750jsc@enews3.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant) writes:

>In article <20000603110804.23178.00001900@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>says...

>>
>>In article <3939169D.96D46135@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>>writes:
>>
>>>N2EY wrote:
>>>>
>>>> <p57burke@pop3.freewwweb.com> writes:
>>>> >Does it remind you of the skit where the nuns wanted to be spanked? ;^)
>>>> >
>>>> No. Because no such skit exists.
>>>
>>>Ahhhh, but the idea that such a skit exists
>>>certainly does exist and now it does remind
>>>me of it.
>>>--
>>No it doesn't.
>
>Yes, it does.
>
>Wait! This isn't an argument!

Yes it is. An argument is a connected series of statements to establish a
proposition. It's not just saying 'No it isn't' ".

> It's contradiction!

No it isn't.
>
>I've had enough!

No you haven't!
>
73 de Jim "BURMA!" N2EY

From 8550123058509701994
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-12 22:25:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 75
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 06:19:29 GMT
References: <D2076.774$e5.1917786@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010113011929.10105.00000261@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12416

In article <D2O76.774$e5.1917786@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>> But I know of no algorithm to date that can beat the liveware at
>>> decoding very badly sent code.
>
>> ? Does this mean if you don't personally know any such
>> algorithm that it doesn't exist?
>
>I chose my words precisely, Len.

I choose my words to convey meanings, Ed, but your sentence doesn't answer my question.

>> Or does it mean that the "livewire" at CW is so pumped up they are
>> prescient on guessing the "correct meaning" of garbled characters?
>
>C-N Y__ GU_S_ TH_ ME__NIN_ O_ T__S?

That might be "CCNY GUS THE MINNESOTAN" for all I know. Could be a student or instructor from the upper midwest? :-)

Ed, as I said before, I broke into long-distance HF communications with a rather severe requirement to be accurate. If a military radio circuit was doubtful of some message data, the circuit handlers would ask for a re-send. With TTY tape, that wasn't difficult at 60 WPM...the original and a re-send could be done faster than two skilled morse ops doing 20 WPM high on caffeine.

When nuclear warfare is threatening during a Cold War, do you think that the US military is going to entrust vital communications to manual morse code?!? Why would anyone bother with trying to guess message content under such conditions?!?

Ok, so I'm using my early adult experiences. Military communications isn't amateur radio communications, but is amateur communications requiring guessing of morse characters?

Is guessing necessary in all those ham traffic networks using only morse? Several long-timers have made much of those nets and their vital information on health and welfare. Seems to me that message content should have accuracy in content. Maybe I'm wrong but I thought that someone's health and welfare depends on accuracy, not guesses.

>> >Perhaps a few decades from now, the advances in AI will allow a general
>> >system to do as good a job as a human, or even better.
>
>> I think there is too much artificial intelligence around now,
>> especially about the set-in-concrete, armor protected,
>> traditionalist 1930s standards and practices of US amateur
>> radio...but that's only an opinion of mine...and thousands
>> upon thousands of others.
>
>Thousands of thousands? Seems a bit high to me. :-)

There's no polls available to verify all those who've expressed an interest in amateur radio but were turned away by the code test requirement. That might explain the quarter million no-code-test Technicians that joined the US amateur ranks in the last decade...even though so many long-time amateurs openly hate and despise Techs.

"Thousands upon thousands" is a carefully chosen term I wrote, not "thousands of thousands." My careful choice can be interpreted as either several thousand, a whole million, or some number in between. Your choice. Can you prove me wrong on something that hasn't been quantified?

didit

From -7342654201508862680
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-12 22:26:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 107
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 06:19:30 GMT
References: <T_N76.773$e5.1917813@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010113011930.10105.00000262@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12417

In article <T_N76.773$e5.1917813@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

>
>> Why should this other Ed "share it with the world?" For
>> laughter and derision from those who "laughed their butts
>> off" such as another in here?
>
>Are you speaking for Ed, or did he tell you that he doesn't want to do so
>because he believes a couple of people miht laugh at him?

I'm speaking for him. Haven't had much contact with him for about three years other than Christmas cards (he went up to the Bay area to play in Silicon Gulch).

>> Someone who eight years ago experimented with a project
>> for self-satisfaction has some kind of "need" to "share it
>> with the world?" Someone who has been written up in
>> ACM journals already?
>
>I don't think that most of those who share their experiences with others do
>so out of need, Len.

Of course they do, Ed the First. The "Publish or Perish" syndrome is as alive and well today as well as a decade ago.

Do you REALLY think that a full explanation of the program along with flow charts would be "acceptible" to a membership that prizes manual code skill over nearly anything else in amateur radio?!? I don't think so based on input from others concerning their expertise and "necessity" of manual code skill...'others' include those not in this newsgroup.

>> Of course you did. Uh huh. Guessing at what garbled characters
>> "really meant." Hmmm...that sounds suspiciously like the Carroll
>> Syndrome at work. :-)
>
>It worked for me, Len. If you choose not to believe it, I see no harm.

I choose to not believe it. Of course, my break-in to real-world communications was rather severe in accuracy on message content (the USSR had the Bomb and was waving that around).

Fortunately the US military was using teleprinters back then, not morse operators at each end.

>> Whoa. How did all this "guesstimation" become part of the Lore
>> of CW? [see "Accuracy Transcends Speed" or something like
>> that from another organization]
>
>I don't think the guesstimate is related to CW skills in any way at all,
>Len. Just happens that the thread is about CW copying by software.

Oh? Accuracy isn't required with manual morse code?

Are skilled human operators so good they can "fill in blanks"
due to garble and sloppy sending? I guess so.

>> Maybe I underestimated the Lore of CW. Maybe it is a large
>> detective game, of trying to find clues and other little details to
>> lead to some kind of conclusion? I find it fascinating to watch
>> all the rationalizations being written to shore up leaking ships.
>
>In what way do you think my statement that AI will someday advance to being
>able to perform a skill that right now can only be performed by a human to
>be CW lore or shorign up a ship?

I didn't send my message in private, Ed the First. This is in public
and all those other CW expert superoperators expound on the
Lore of CW, etc.

>> All I did was report on something I saw and heard working some
>> while ago. I make no claims to its efficacy other than it worked
>> at "human rates" and at rates faster than most humans can
>> tolerate. It had its drawbacks and was not perfected. So what?
>
>I think it was neat, Len -- neat enough that I was hoping he would share it
>so we could all learn a bit more about how to solve such problems.

Again I ask why? All those extrasuperior morsemen out there all
say that the only "problem" is practice, practice, practice so that
all newcomers can approach (but never equal) their superior skill.
That makes newcomers "acceptible to the amateur community"
or something like that.

I have no quarrel with you, Ed. I've never had any quarrel with
several other Ed persons I've met...including Ed Dodds, my
boss at Ramo Wooldridge in 1960 (forgot his W6 call). I have
a quarrel (arrows included) with all those old-timers who think
that the epitome of all amateur radio is to emulate the super
morsemen of the 1930s in the year 2001.

>> Yours in science,
>
>Somehow, this doesn't quite feel like the science I remember.

It doesn't feel like science to me either. Scientists tend to be
more flexible on accepting new ideas than long-time radio
amateurs who don't want anything new to disturb their tales
of derring-do and daring, of being able to leap tall pile-ups,
and destroying infidels and heretics with mighty FISTS of CW.

:-)

didit

From 6866893704652767528

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-12 22:26:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 13 Jan 2001 06:23:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <93os9p$t0i$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com> <93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12418

>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> pontificated sanctimoniously:
>
> > FISTS says: ACCURACY TRANSCENDS SPEED, COURTESY AT ALL TIMES
> >
> > You disagree?

Of course I agree.
But as usual, the exception to the rule always seems to show up. This time it's you. It really is too bad that you didn't grow up and mature into a real man before you showed up on RRAP. You might have showed some potential as true
Real Ham �. Instead, looks like you're determined to spend the rest of your ham radio involvement as a malcontent, protesting your own inadequacies by pointing the finger anywhere else. You said that you might just learn the code once no one was "forcing" you to do it. And now this nonsense. So much for your "plans".

Accuracy is more important than speed most CW operations, it's true.
Courtesy at all times is definately the preferred rule, but on rare occasions a belligerant, pugnacions induhvidual will appear and insist on blaming all his personal shortcomings and immaturities on those who he envies, becoming critical and abusive, generating a regretable exception to the most desirable rule.

And in your case, of course you have no idea what a CW test actually amounts to. When you take (took) a test at the FCC office they require you to copy a message to paper, any way you can without aid, and when they required the applicant to send code, they had you send a few words from a printed sheet. If the examiner could copy what you sent you passed.

Your assinine assertion that some vaporous "specification" must be adhered to is one of the most brainless ideas you have had to date, and that includes a considerable number of brainless ideas.

The idea that FCC, while downgrading all code speeds should then invoke some closely toleranced "specification" shows just how far you are from reality.

But that's nothing new for you, is it?

```
From -6951652170387133608
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-12 22:36:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 13 Jan 2001 06:27:07 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <93osfr$t4n$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <93le97$13a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112075156.07248.00001194@ng-
da1.aol.com> <93nvr8$76l$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <c4O76.775$e5.1918140@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12419
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

>
> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > Do you intend to engage in poor amateur practice?
>
> I would not do so on purpose, Brian. It might be interesting to see how the
> equipment decodes the J38, and we could even try a bit of banana boat to
> test the limits, but I always try to send the best I can, by hand or with

> the keyer.
>

Ed, do him a favor and clue him in on how ridiculous he really is. He
won't listen to just anyone, he's too busy keeping the chips balanced on
both shoulders.

From 8643361905794206341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-12 23:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <fs006t83vqdnu8jgk2nl43sh3abh48efjm@4ax.com>
References: <uthv5tcempp87fl03i047deih720clb3ej@4ax.com>
<20010113011928.10105.00000260@nso-bh.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 37
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 07:33:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.248
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979371199 209.86.210.248 (Fri, 12 Jan 2001 23:33:19 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 23:33:19 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12420

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>If you are trolling and trying to pick a fight, you came to the
>wrong person.

You gonna beat me up like you do Steve...   :-)

>I gave no "specifications,"

You used the word "simple". *You* said that a "simple decoder" could
be done in less than 64MB. I didn't even disagree with you. I just
pointed out that a simple decoder could be done in a lot less memory,
namely 4KB. Did I damage your pride that much for you to get so nasty
and generate another technically impressive 61 lines... 8-0

>I gave no "specifications," ...
>I saw the compiler stats of memory...

>I try for "tight" code using minimum memory....
>I've kept all the development versions...
>I stated some OBJECTIVES of Ed the...
>I'm not saying it cannot be done...
>I'm just giving an off-hand opinion...
>I've seen the source code printouts...
>I've seen two other commercial decoder boxes...
>I've seen and heard the results...
> I'm not interested in such anymore...
>I did was report on something...
>
>If you want an intro to Mitzi at the Comedy Store I
>can't help you there...make your own way in stand-up.

A little comedy? OK. I always got a chuckle out of your we-we jokes about hams always using the word "we". Well I've noticed that your posts seem to have a awful lot of the pronoun "I" in them. You know, I did this and I did that. So anyway, if we hams are we-we's then you Len are an I-dull person. Get it? Idle, I-dull person? Does it fit or does it fit. Aren't you chuckling? Hey don't get mad, you egged me on...   :-)

From -807412219828447135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-12 23:36:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 07:33:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.248
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979371200 209.86.210.248 (Fri, 12 Jan 2001 23:33:20 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 12 Jan 2001 23:33:20 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12421

"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>Ok, you got me.. I am actually 61 years 9 months and some days.

That explains the age error...

>As to the calls, check my web site at W4JLE.com and you will
>se the progression of calls W4JLE W4JLE/pj V3CB V31GR
>KB4NGB KJ4JE W4JLE (Vanity call original call holder)

That explains the gap in the FCC database...

>As to code speed Let's set a sked and you tell me.

OK, I believe you can do 35wpm...

>> >To all of you I simply say I am proud of my achievement,
>> >I really feel it makes me better than you!
>>
>> Unfortunately I think this statement is accurate. Sad...

However you failed to comment on my last comment (above), any
comments? Any retractions? Or do you really feel that you are superior
to many, perhaps the majority of hams...

From -6550977298956037438
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 04:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-east.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Dave@spammenot.com (Dave)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??
Message-ID: <3a6041af.3207830@news.accessnv.com>
References: <L4S_5.7935$KY1.21527@news1.rivrw1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 06:55:27 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 11:55:39 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:644 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10178 rec.radio.amateur.policy:12422

Don't know about fun and fast but one tip I can give you is to learn to copy by hand writting rather than printing. Before I became a ham I heard one ham tell another he wished he had learned by using hand writing as he found printing took too long especially at faster speeds. I used that tip and never regretted it.

On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 22:33:15 GMT, "@!@" <ezypezy@ureach.com> wrote:

>have a computer handy as all can see.
>
>I have Morse Code made easy by ken Bradford but dont really know how much
>time to spend on it. It gets quite boring after a while.
>
>Maybe theres a way i can make it fun . and learn fast.

From 8441130646293797026
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 04:32:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 07:31:10 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.39
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979389068 279189 207.144.227.39 (13 Jan 2001 12:31:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:31:08 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12423 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10179

Since we haven't had much discussion about the election recently, I decided it was probably time to revisit the topic. After all, anything has to be better than the endless no-code debate. Besides, this has it all - the election, Katherine Harris, Mary Berry, Nazi Germany, racism, civil rights, Democrats, Republicans, Bush, the new Congress, and so on. I'm sure there is something in here to interest almost anyone.

Anyway, I watched C-SPAN today as Mary Frances Berry, Christopher Edley, and several other members of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, trashed Florida's Secretary of State Katherine Harris during a hearing about "Allegations of Florida Voting Irregularities."

Following almost an hour of often rude questions from the various members, including a request that Ms. Harris read quotes about Nazi Germany from a book, Chairman Berry called Ms. Harris's testimony "laughable."

During Ms. Harris's testimony, Berry seemed particularly bothered whenever Ms. Harris referred questions to Clay Roberts, director of the Secretary of State's elections division, instead of answering the question herself. Ms. Harris repeatedly explained, whenever challenged on this, that it was far better that Mr. Roberts answer the question himself to insure that information given was accurate and complete.

Since Mr. Roberts was sitting right next the Ms. Harris, this logic seemed perfectly reasonable, but that did not satisfy Berry, who made several sarcastic comments, both during and after the testimony, about it.

Following the hearing, Berry said that another hearing will take place soon and that she intends to call Ms. Harris to testify again. Berry also said that she intends to subpoena Governor Jeb Bush once again (he appeared Thursday), concluding that, "I have the power to subpoena anybody and I'm going to use that power."

In spite of several days of testimony, and weeks of gathering information, the commission has yet to find any evidence of illegal activity on the part of Florida voting officials, or a single instance of intentional civil rights violation.

The Commission has been widely criticized in recent years. The appointment of chairman Mary Frances Berry caused a fire storm when it was discovered that she had once claimed that, "civil rights laws were not passed to protect the rights of white men and do not apply to them." [Civil Rights Under Reagan, San Francisco, ICS Press, 1991, p. 141.]

The commission has also been widely criticized for its political makeup. The law says that no more than four members shall be of the same political party. And, while the commission currently consists of four Democrats, three independents, and one Republican, critics charge that the three independents, which includes Berry, have a long record of supporting Democrat party issues and candidates, and are therefore nothing more than Democrats who call themselves independents.

I certainly hope President Bush, and the new Congress, uses better judgement when selecting members for future commissions. They can start by selecting members who are more racially and politically representative of all Americans, and by avoiding extremists like Mary

Frances Berry.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8126454843597316502
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 04:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A604D6A.D6BB32BD@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
References: <3A5DB623.D989E80@dvol.com> <20010112012351.24296.00000616@nso-fp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 61
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 07:43:22 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.58
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979389795 206.20.144.58 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 06:43:15 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 06:43:15 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12424

Len Over 21 wrote:

> >Bingo: Absolutely. Up near the top of my ham want list is a single decent
> >tabletop xcvr which covers 6/2/222/440 all modes, cw, ssb, nbfm, wideband RX,
> >RS-232 interface, etc. Having a 10m capability for satellite ops would really
> >cork it. Try to find anything even CLOSE out there. Yet the market is flooded
> >with corresponding HF xcvrs. Dismal. Never mind radios for the centimeter
> >bands. 222 is definitely a threatened species.
>
> Some items out of the new HRO catalog just received -
>
> Icom IC-706MKIIG, 160m to 6m, 2m, 70cm. all modes.

>

Interesting class of radios to which can be added the new FT-1000 and FT-817 which is a whole new breed of cat. The problem with all of them is that they suffer performance deficiencies because the design intent was to cram as many features as possible into as small a package as possible and compromises had to be made. Not

shabby radios at all and they put signals on the air from places where bigger radios are simply impractical. But they just don't cut the mustard for serious vhf/uhf weak signal work.
>

> Kenwood TS-2000, HF, 50, 144, 440, 1200 MHz bands,
> all modes

>
> Kenwood TM-642AD, 144 and 220 MHz dual bander
> Yaesu FT-847 HF, 6m, 2m, 70cm, all modes.

>
They'll all hit various vhf/uhf bands and various modes and the TS-2000 and FT-847 desktoppers probably have some decent vhf/uhf performance capabilities. The problem with those is that a serious vhf/uhf operator has to lay down well over $2k for a duz-all-bands (except 222 . .) radio including 9 HF bands + wideband SWL receive in which he may well have no interest. I can't believe that there isn't a market for a >30Mhz all-mode 100w Tech radio which has the features and performance of the "HF/vhf hybrids" and should cost a lot less money. I already have all the HF gear I need. I'd jump all over a radio like that if it was in the $1k range. Ten-Tec had one in the works but the designer quit so they dropped the project. (?!)
>

> Yes, I agree that the 220 MHz band transceivers are scarce.
> Saw only single-band and dual-band models from four
> manufacturers covering that band.

>
The auction is coming . .
>

> I haven't seen any regulation yet on banning homebrew

>
Nobody has the time to scratch-build and chasing parts is expensive and time consuming, there's just no ROI on the dollars or the hours unless it's for experimentation. Kit building seems to be on the upswing, however.
>
w3rv

From -7803255885878362870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 04:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:43:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <93piie$d17$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93le97$13a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112075156.07248.00001194@ng-
da1.aol.com> <93nvr8$76l$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <c4O76.775$e5.1918140@news.ntplx.net>
<93osfr$t4n$0@208.207.71.142>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.46
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 12:43:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.46
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12425

In article <93osfr$t4n$0@208.207.71.142>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> >
> > Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Do you intend to engage in poor amateur practice?
> >
> > I would not do so on purpose, Brian.  It might be interesting to see
how the
> > equipment decodes the J38, and we could even try a bit of banana
boat to
> > test the limits, but I always try to send the best I can, by hand or
with
> > the keyer.
> >
>
>  Ed, do him a favor and clue him in on how ridiculous he really is. He
> won't listen to just anyone, he's too busy keeping the chips balanced
on
> both shoulders.

I certainly won't take any advice from you.  You advovate, if not engage
in poor amateur practice.  I would have expected better from an old
Extra and VE.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 5904634679030883576
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 04:56:04 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 12:54:47 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <93pj6n$5k4$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979390487 5764 149.174.88.240 (13 Jan 2001 12:54:47 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 12:54:47 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12426

>If it was legal (and there's no case law to sustain any
>position that it was truly illegal) then the consequences
>you complain about are just whining. The FCC could have
>changed the tests every day if they wanted.

Your troll will do you little good, Bill, so stop praying for that break that is never coming to you. You asked the questions, I answered them in full. Very explicitly. So shifting the focal point back on Bash as a person, versus what the overall effects were, is a bit amateurish. If the powers that be want to change the face of the exam structure, they will. Over an 18-year period, I've told them, and you, just how to do it. If they haven't got the stomach for it, it's ham radio's, and ARRL's, funeral. It's that simple. If they want to restore integrity, they will.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -5299621883878379540
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 04:58:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 12:56:07 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <93pj97$5k4$2@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>

References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979390567 5764 149.174.88.240 (13 Jan 2001 12:56:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 12:56:07 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12427

>Just how many different ways can the questions be
>configured before the test preparers begin to recycle
>previously used questions?

The ways are virtually infinite.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -8450692074905682190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 05:01:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:47:32 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <93pip3$d3e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <r3rj5tgp6q798gv6b9i1lu7v2qe4kov2qe@4ax.com>
<93dkjf$i8k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A5C0FDE.7761D3F3@dvol.com>
<93j2mn$v95$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93j9nr$prr$1@208.207.71.163>
<93n6ln$ehr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93op5f$l7r$0@208.207.71.142>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.46
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 12:47:32 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.46
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12428

In article <93op5f$l7r$0@208.207.71.142>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:

> > I understand this:
> >
> > E1A16 @E1A14 (D) [97.211b]
> >

> > When may a station use special codes intended to obscure the meaning
> > of messages?
> >
> > A. Never under any circumstances
> > B. Only when a Special Temporary Authority has been obtained from
the
> >   FCC
> > C. Only when a Pre-1999 Extra class operator is controlling the
station
> > D. When sending telecommand messages to a station in space
operation
>
>   Typically, you have no idea what you're talking about.

I have an idea what you are talking about, and it sucks.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7198100155603513959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 05:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:00:41 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <93pjhp$5k4$3@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979390841 5764 149.174.88.240 (13
Jan 2001 13:00:41 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:00:41 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12429

>That's a mouth full of unspecified jargon. You offer
>not one practical example of what/how you'd accomplish
>your goal...and you again avoid answering the
>fundamental question:

That's because you took a knee jerk approach to reading
and didn't read on. I've also outlined the details for
18 years, in published form. ARRL has some of those
papers. By the way, when I'm assigned national overseer

of the exam process by ARRL, and am given a lawyer who
knows his/her way around the courtroom, I'll show you how
it's done.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 6743308291138688117
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 05:02:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: "D. S. Platt" <jeepp@erols.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 07:58:46 -0500
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVYglWabmVDTAclOa0PycnyFeIpz+beU1iOZuk4UtjUPN+1bOmTwS01e
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:00:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15431
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:7974 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10182
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12430

jamesrhampton@my-deja.com wrote:

> Neat. Someone actually thought of not charging. Of course, my first
> licenses cost nothing - it was just the 60 mile (one way) drive to
> Buffalo that cost a bit of time and money (gas, thruway tolls, parking,
> etc.). The cost is actually minimal anywhere. I think I paid $5.90
> when I decided to get back into amateur radio and tested out in 1993
> (after letting my tickets expire over 10 years earlier). Whats the
> cost today?
>

```
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim (AA2QA)
>
> In article <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   BamBam <kl0an@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > Now why would I go and PAY for a license when I got my license, AND
> > upgrades for free.. Oh, sorry, I did have to earn them with a little
> > studying and such.. My club offers testing free.. No money exchanges
> > hands.. And I can now talk world-wide on the Extra bands..
> >
> > Just quit tryin to cheat the system, sit down with the manuals and get
> > studying.. And if you want to take a test for free.. First Saturday of
> > the month, Geo-Physics Institute, UAF, Fairbanks, Alaska.
> >
> > 73
> > Paul Jordan
> > kl0an
> >
> > In article <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org>,
> >   Buyone@arrl.crap (peddler) wrote:
> > > Thanks to the organization called the ARRL, you don't have to earn a
> > license
> > > anymore. You can go to your local VE group and buy one for $10.
> > > Get one today and join in the Fun!
> > >
> > > AOHF ~ assholes on H.F.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > --
> > Don't Sweat the Petty Things.
> > Don't Pet the Sweaty Things.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

The Laurel (MD) VEC (MD) has had a fee!




From -2805751531746610890
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 05:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
```

From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:04:53 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <93pjpl$5k4$4@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979391093 5764 149.174.88.240 (13 Jan 2001 13:04:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:04:53 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12431

>Just how many ways can you ask what the unit
>of electrical resistance is?

First, that's not a technical question, which is the
subject under discussion. It's asking for a definition.
Second, I'd wager you never actually saw that question
asked on any actual exam you ever took. I never saw that
question on even my Novice exam. If it's being asked now,
it's time for hams taking those exams to start complaining
about dumbing down.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -7861194804127237802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 05:11:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!news.minn.net!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:10:20 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <93pk3s$5k4$5@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979391420 5764 149.174.88.240 (13 Jan 2001 13:10:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:10:20 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12432

>We are dealing with amateur licenses...NOT the testing
>for satisfactory completeion of a three credit college
>course.

You're not reading Bill. I'm talking about an example
for college test guides.

You're also not reading ahead before responding. So you're
responding in knee jerk fashion. I end up by saying that
license manuals for Amateurs, _at a lower level_, should
achieve the same goal as a college test guide.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -2348703784915630460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 05:12:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:56:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <93pj9u$df7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <ekpr5t0jd9cpf8u02rerj1ualb9f1e54vh@4ax.com>
<20010112072952.07248.00001184@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n7cs$f84$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93opn2$mrn$0@208.207.71.142>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.46
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 12:56:30 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.46
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12433

In article <93opn2$mrn$0@208.207.71.142>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian Burke (N0MiND) wrote:
>
> > You cannot stop a madman.
>
> If nothing more you surely have proved that. Anyone who
> self-flaggelates as you have over the past 15 years in a vain attempt
to

> conform the rest of the world to your own personal specifications by
> denyingyourself as you have is either an isolated monk on a remote
> mountaintop or a total nut. We all know the correct answer to that
> question with no aid whatever from the Question Pool's exact answers.

Dick, you'll always find opposition from me when you advocate poor
amateur practice. All you have to do is reverse yourself.

I don't know what it is about these OF's that think just because they
have more time in the chow hall, they own the ARS. It doesn't work that
way. When you're wrong, you're wrong.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1163483561006077112
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 05:16:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:15:43 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <93pkdv$5k4$6@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979391743 5764 149.174.88.240 (13 Jan 2001 13:15:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:15:43 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12434

>Your level of technical proficiency to answer those type
>questions goes well beyond that of any entry level amateur
>exam...including General. You want a graduation certificate,
>not a license exam.

Given what I've said about college exam guides, and Amateur
guides _at a lower level_, you're incorrect. Go back as far as
you wish in Dejanews for what I've said, which is learn the
BASICS before trying to master DSP, etc.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 5209955785472590769
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 05:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:33:17 GMT
References: <3a6041af.3207830@news.accessnv.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??
Message-ID: <20010113083317.05639.00000132@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12435

>From: Dave@spammenot.com (Dave)

>Before I became a ham I heard one ham tell another he wished he
>had learned by using hand writing as he found printing took too long
>especially at faster speeds. I used that tip and never regretted it

Might explain why I keep losing code-copying contests. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2482841597923927191
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 05:40:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:37:28 GMT
References: <20010113002000.29597.00001424@nso-mi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010113083728.05639.00000133@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12436

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Ed, you've convinced me! You and your lab crew get to keep your

>jobs!

Thanks, Larry; I will let Mark Wilson know that you have changed your mind.
The paperwork wasn't turned in until I had one last chance to save our hides.
:-)

>I guess the DXCC Desk will have to be given over to an
>all-volunteer staff!

With the DXCC fees, DXCC is at least partially self funded. I don't know how close it is. A number of hams are members of the ARRL JUST for DXCC.

However, if you feel that the money spent on DXCC is better spent and that the loss of members would be offset by the savings, that sounds like good information for you to discuss with your ARRL Division Director. He may also be able to answer any questions about the funding and other financial issues. The Board meeting is coming up, so now is an ideal time to send him an email or pick up the telephone.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 6884525048226999148
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 05:50:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!
news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:48:03 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <93pmaj$qrf$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979393683 27503 149.174.88.247 (13
Jan 2001 13:48:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:48:03 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12437
```

>The FCC could have changed the tests every day if
>they wanted.

The FCC hasn't wanted any part of ham radio since at least the early 80s, remember? Remember when Vic Clark's statement was brought in re: the issue has to do with code AND theory, not Morse per se?

Remember when you said "that's all bull"? FCC's
recent R&O made it quite clear Clark's statement was
right on target. We now have no justification for our
frequencies as a technical hobby. And that's just the
way the "powers that be" want it.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 6407771949444731730
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 05:56:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 13:53:43 GMT
References: <20010113011929.10105.00000261@nso-bh.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010113085343.05639.00000136@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12438

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>>> ? Does this mean if you don't personally know any such
>>> algorithm that it doesn't exist?

> choose my words to convey meanings, Ed, but your sentence
>doesn't answer my question.

You are right, Len; I had misread your question. I believe that things exist
that I don't know about. This could be one of them. I didn't realize that you
thought that some people were unaware that things could exist without their
knowledge. While I would agree that some people might think that, but I
believe it is a very small percentage.

What is your estimate of the percentage of population that believes that things
they don't know about cannot exist? Did you ask the question because you feel
that I am in that percentage?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4736648450051165912

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:00:12 GMT
References: <20010113011929.10105.00000261@nso-bh.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010113090012.05639.00000137@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12439

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>When nuclear warfare is threatening during a Cold War, do you
>think that the US military is going to entrust vital communications
>to manual morse code?!?

I certainly hope not.

>Why would anyone bother with
>trying to guess message content under such conditions?!?

Do you believe a QSO on the bottom end of 40 meters is of the same scope as nuclear war threatening? Under the QSO conditions, I "guessed" because I didn't know what the other guy's problem was and didn't want to get into a discussion about why his sending is so bad. I never imagined that the QSO would be related to nuclear war on a newsgroup. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8173622852503674420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:08:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 63
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:06:32 GMT
References: <20010113011929.10105.00000261@nso-bh.aol.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010113090632.05639.00000139@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12440

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>Is guessing necessary in all those ham traffic networks
>using only morse?

I would say, Len, that few of the QSOs I have require guessing. Certainly the percentage due to faulty encoding is very small.

>Several long-timers have made much
>of those nets and their vital information on health and
>welfare. Seems to me that message content should have
>accuracy in content. Maybe I'm wrong but I thought that
>someone's health and welfare depends on accuracy, not
>guesses.

You are correct; in any formal traffic handling, accuracy is part of the system specification. Guessing is not allowed. Casual QSOs? Probably the same rule as vocal conversations. If you miss a word that you don't believe to be critical to the flow of thoughts and believe you know what it is from context, do you always ask for a reiepeat? How about at the party where the noise is loud? If the guy who is slurring his words comes up to you and you can't understand part of what he is saying, do you ask for a lot of repeats or nod a lot? :-)

I can assure you, Len, if I am ever handling military traffic because of a nuclear war, I will ask for fills if I miss a word.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>>> >Perhaps a few decades from now, the advances in AI will allow a general
>>> >system to do as good a job as a human, or even better.
>>
>>> I think there is too much artificial intelligence around now,
>>> especially about the set-in-concrete, armor protected,
>>> traditionalist 1930s standards and practices of US amateur
>>> radio...but that's only an opinion of mine...and thousands
>>> upon thousands of others.
>>
>>Thousands of thousands? Seems a bit high to me. :-)
>
>There's no polls available to verify all those who've expressed
>an interest in amateur radio but were turned away by the
>code test requirement. That might explain the quarter million
>no-code-test Technicians that joined the US amateur ranks
>in the last decade...even though so many long-time amateurs
>openly hate and despise Techs.

```
>
>"Thousands upon thousands" is a carefully chosen term I
>wrote, not "thousands of thousands."  My careful choice can
>be interpreted as either several thousand, a whole million, or
>some number in between.  Your choice.  Can you prove me
>wrong on something that hasn't been quantified?
>
>didit
>
>
>
>
>
```

```
From -7804445933583907888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:14:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Home-Plug HF device interference concerns
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 14:11:19 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3a60609b.1091289@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010109075537.29930.00000754@ng-cb1.aol.com>
<20010113002000.29597.00001424@nso-mi.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.72.4e
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:10:53 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12441
```

```
>In article <20010109075537.29930.00000754@ng-cb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) writes:
>>... last month I met with representatives from HomePlug, an industry
>>consortium that is developing the spec for home-networking devices that will
>>put HF signals onto residential electrical wiring.  ARRL has helped persuade
>>them that their spec needs to include notches in the HF bands and they
>>brought some test equipment that let us test the levels they are notching
>>to at W1INF, W1AW and KB1BE.
>>The results were mixed, and this month, I will be doing some
>>additional testing using a noise generator/amplifier I built in the Lab.  The
>>results will be used by the manufacturers to set the standard at a level
>>expected not to cause widespread interference to ham radio.
```

Ed,

You said: "The results will be used by the manufacturers to set the standard at a level expected not to cause widespread interference to ham radio."

Does that imply that they aren't concerned about seletive or locally limited interference to ham radio?

Just what does "widespread" mean in their efforts to limit interference to my operations and those of other hams?

What about local interference by one of these devices to my operation if a neighbor installs one?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -6912505744272179651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:14:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.frii.net!easynews!cyclone.swbell.net!
typhoon.snet.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A60627B.4A5B5E86@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Organization: American Radio Relay League
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
References: <T_N76.773$e5.1917813@news.ntplx.net>
<20010113011930.10105.00000262@nso-bh.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 09:13:15 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.252.0.148
X-Complaints-To: abuse@snet.net
X-Trace: typhoon.snet.net 979395199 64.252.0.148 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 09:13:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 09:13:19 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12442
```

Len Over 21 wrote:

>
> Do you REALLY think that a full explanation of the program

> along with flow charts would be "acceptible" to a member-
> ship that prizes manual code skill over nearly anything else
> in amateur radio?!?

You're referring to FISTS?

> I don't think so based on input from
> others concerning their expertise and "necessity" of manual
> code skill...'others' include those not in this newsgroup.

ARRL members liked:
A PIC16F84-Based CW Decoder, Morgantini, Francesco, IK3OIL, QST, Aug
1999, pp 37-40.

Maybe you need a new set of "others" from which to solicit input. The
one you've got now seems to be misleading you.

Jon

From 609252561125979355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:18:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 14:15:41 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3a606235.1500804@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com> <93pj97$5k4$2@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.72.4e
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:15:19 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12444

On 13 Jan 2001 12:56:07 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>Just how many different ways can the questions be
>>configured before the test preparers begin to recycle
>>previously used questions?
>
>The ways are virtually infinite.

While that may be a very specific mathematical answer, the
reality is that there is a practical point at which questions, while

technically different are still essentially the same.

I can ask:

What is the unit of electrical resistance?
or I can ask?
The unit of electrical resistance is?

Different questions but would anyone argue they
are essentially identical as to the memorization of
unit of resistance = ohm

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5976900593318358634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:24:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 14:21:16 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3a606342.1770364@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com> <93pjhp$5k4$3@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.72.4e
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:20:50 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12445

On 13 Jan 2001 13:00:41 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>That's a mouth full of unspecified jargon. You offer
>>not one practical example of what/how you'd accomplish
>>your goal...and you again avoid answering the
>>fundamental question:
>
>That's because you took a knee jerk approach to reading
>and didn't read on. I've also outlined the details for
>18 years, in published form. ARRL has some of those
>papers.

So you say. If it isn't something that has been published
by the ARRL or posted here, just how does anyone have
a clue as to what your "details" really are?

>By the way, when I'm assigned national overseer
>of the exam process by ARRL, and am given a lawyer who
>knows his/her way around the courtroom, I'll show you how
>it's done.

Are you now saying that the ARRL has some legal hold
over study guides? Here again, you make this
non-specific statement to having an ARRL attorney work
with you as if that would create some special/new legal
aspects to testing that aren't now there. Without the
details your statement is meaningless as to the liklihood
of accomplishing your goal.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6325701022610776535
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 14:24:51 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3a6064b1.2137748@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com> <93pkdv$5k4$6@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.72.4e
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:24:30 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12446

On 13 Jan 2001 13:15:43 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>Your level of technical proficiency to answer those type
>>questions goes well beyond that of any entry level amateur
>>exam...including General. You want a graduation certificate,
>>not a license exam.
>

>Given what I've said about college exam guides, and Amateur
>guides _at a lower level_, you're incorrect. Go back as far as
>you wish in Dejanews for what I've said, which is learn the
>BASICS before trying to master DSP, etc.

Actually, I'd go so far to say that one actually
doesn't need to understand ohm's law at all to
master DSP. Thousands of people do systems engineering
work without ever getting into the BASICs you talk
about.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -358550652128693882
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 14:27:51 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3a60654f.2295133@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com> <93pmaj$qrf$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.72.4e
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:27:30 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12447

On 13 Jan 2001 13:48:03 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>The FCC could have changed the tests every day if
>>they wanted.
>
>The FCC hasn't wanted any part of ham radio since at
>least the early 80s, remember?

The point was made in reference to when the FCC was
still giving tests and the FCC (as well as some hams)
were upset because Bash was publishing the questions
from PAST exams.

>Remember when
>Vic Clark's statement was brought in re: the issue has
>to do with code AND theory, not Morse per se?
>Remember when you said "that's all bull"? FCC's
>recent R&O made it quite clear Clark's statement was
>right on target. We now have no justification for our
>frequencies as a technical hobby. And that's just the
>way the "powers that be" want it.

That's a conclusion on your opinion only.
You are entitled to that opinion, as I am mine which
is to disagree with it.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5078114220164498662
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:33:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:32:56 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <93pouo$i51$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3a606235.1500804@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979396376 18593 149.174.88.240 (13 Jan 2001 14:32:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:32:56 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12448

>While that may be a very specific mathematical answer, the
>reality is that there is a practical point at which questions,
>while technically different are still essentially the same.

Referring to your question about "resistance" specifically, the
reality is (1) asking about the unit of resistance is not a
technical question, just a definition and (2) a bona fide
technical exam won't be asking such a rudimentary question, even
at Novice level, on what the unit of resistance is.

And on a general level for actual technical questions, the
practical number of ways a question can be asked, a very high
number, will far outstrip the person's ability to "look it up."

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

```
From -6304708472737818543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:40:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 14:30:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <93pop7$gvq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.81
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 14:30:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.81
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12449
```

In article <pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com>,
Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> >> >To all of you I simply say I am proud of my achievement,
> >> >I really feel it makes me better than you!
> >>
> >> Unfortunately I think this statement is accurate. Sad...
>
> However you failed to comment on my last comment (above), any
> comments? Any retractions? Or do you really feel that you are superior
> to many, perhaps the majority of hams...

Perhaps so much so that he thinks it is perfectly OK to disregard good amateur practice. We'll let him address that.

It's the same kind of attitude that Dick and others of his kind appear to have when they advocate some imaginary rights in amateur radio that the FCC doesn't grant. I used to let it go by, but no longer.

Even Riley has commented that the worst offenders often hold advanced licenses and are long time hams. If only the Catholic Church hadn't taught me to read, I too, could ignore Part 97.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6065518528249613470
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:42:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!206.141.192.28!cyclone0.chicago.il.ameritech.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:40:09 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <93ppc9$i51$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3a606342.1770364@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979396809 18593 149.174.88.240 (13 Jan 2001 14:40:09 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:40:09 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12450

>So you say. If it isn't something that has been published
>by the ARRL or posted here, just how does anyone have
>a clue as to what your "details" really are?

Keep praying for that break, Bill. The details have been put
forth many times over 18 years, a sampling of which I just
repeated to you yesterday/today.

VB>By the way, when I'm assigned national overseer
VB>of the exam process by ARRL, and am given a lawyer who
VB>knows his/her way around the courtroom, I'll show you how
VB>it's done.

>Are you now saying that the ARRL has some legal hold
>over study guides? Here again, you make this
>non-specific statement to having an ARRL attorney work
>with you as if that would create some special/new legal
>aspects to testing that aren't now there. Without the
>details your statement is meaningless as to the liklihood
>of accomplishing your goal.

Keep praying for that break, Bill.

Regards,

Vince WB2EZG

```
From -1156317286349568326
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 14:40:54 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 76
Message-ID: <3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com>
<02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com>
<3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.72.4e
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:40:42 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12451
```

On 13 Jan 2001 05:05:17 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

```
>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On Thu, 11 Jan 2001 04:05:00 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:
>> >> Dick Bash just pissed off the feds and
>> >>others because no one thought to do what he did before.
>> >>The feds were pissed because their "storehouse"
>> >>of tests had to be constantly changed to counter
>> >>Bash's efforts and the non-feds were just pissed
>> >>because they hadn't done it first.
>> >
>> >A little rewrite of history? I remember all the gripes. Most thought
>> >it was cheating which it was. Try that scheme at any university and
>> >you would be kicked out quick...
>>
>> Pick-up many college study guides and you'll often find
>> copies of past tests.

> You really are a case study Willie. You can't discern the difference
>between "past tests" and those currently in use? No wonder you're so far
>out in left field
```

Bash ONLY published test questions from PAST tests.
If the FCC did NOT change the tests then whose fault is that?
If a college class uses the same test questions year
after year, is that the fault of the study guide publisher?
In another post, Vince claims there's an infinite variety
for test questions. Assuming that is soo, then the FCC
could have given differnet tests every time rather than
repeating them as they apparently were doing.

> It makes NO difference if you or
>> anyone else thought it was cheating, the bottom line
>> would still be was it legal?
>
> And it was NOT legal.

It has NEVER ben tested in a court. The fact that a law may
have existed which appeared to make something illegal does
not offer the opportunity to state catagorically that the
illegal act would have been validated as illegal if a court
case was brought. Many laws get tossed by courts when
someone is finally prosecuted for the first time under the law
in question. No such case ever was brought by the FCC
against Bash or anyone else...therefore, no absolute conclusion
can be made as to the true legality/illegality of what Bash
did. We have and will only have opinions of what might have been.

>The fact that FCC wound up not prosecuting him
>for his violations in no way indicate that his actions which were very
>much in violation of law were legal.

Again, your opinion ONLY. The question is? Would the FCC
have prevailed in a case against Bash? That we will never
know the answer to.

> It is revealing that you would suggest otherwise.

It is interesting that you buy in so willingly to every
government law just because it has been passed or
promulgated by an administrative agency. Here in NJ
a few years back the state dept of Health passed
a regulation forbidding restaurants to serve eggs
over easy. The public and the restaurantors
told the dept of health exactly what they could
do with that law by totally ignoring it. Likewise,
the entire driving public routinely ignored the national
55 limit wor over a decade.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -250653908525342561

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:47:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:46:59 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <93ppp3$i51$3@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3a6064b1.2137748@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979397219 18593 149.174.88.240 (13 Jan 2001 14:46:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:46:59 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12452

>Actually, I'd go so far to say that one actually
>doesn't need to understand ohm's law at all to
>master DSP. Thousands of people do systems engineering
>work without ever getting into the BASICs you talk
>about.

You're shifting focal points again. Ham radio is still defined as
a "fundamentally technical" hobby. And the most rudimentary
part of that is knowing basic electronics. In one form or
another, you're always railing against learning the basics.
That's why I say you're basically a no-theory advocate.
That part of your postings _always_ comes thru loud and clear.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -5254143996079285376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:48:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 08:38:04 -0600

Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.f6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:47:28 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12453
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10190

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   In spite of several days of testimony, and weeks of gathering
> information, the commission has yet to find any evidence of illegal
> activity on the part of Florida voting officials, or a single instance
> of intentional civil rights violation.

I thought it interesting that they didn't produce a single witness
who was denied his/her right to vote. All the witnesses voted! The
worst complaint was that there was a delay or something made someone
nervous. Jesse Jackson was conspicuous by his absence.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7911459109859762815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:49:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 08:39:10 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A60688E.F89B8B80@IEEE.org>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net>
<hco06tob8mif5v1je57f1q3tdtg3urlnrj@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.f6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:48:33 GMT

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12454
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10191

helmsman wrote:
> Gore lost fair and square and the Democratic party is a bunch of
> loosers.

Make that sore losers looking for revenge.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 6023697296330715758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:52:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:51:49 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <93pq25$i51$4@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3a60654f.2295133@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979397509 18593 149.174.88.240 (13
Jan 2001 14:51:49 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 14:51:49 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12455

VB>Remember when
VB>Vic Clark's statement was brought in re: the issue has
VB>to do with code AND theory, not Morse per se?
VB>Remember when you said "that's all bull"? FCC's
VB>recent R&O made it quite clear Clark's statement was
VB>right on target. We now have no justification for our
VB>frequencies as a technical hobby. And that's just the
VB>way the "powers that be" want it.

>That's a conclusion on your opinion only.
>You are entitled to that opinion, as I am mine which
>is to disagree with it.

Bill, with respect to your "that's all bull" remark, you couldn't
be more solidly nailed to the wall.
```

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 7183986201863427532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 06:56:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: n4jzh@knology.net (Donnie)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Message-ID: <3a606b12.635707@news.knology.net>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 10:06:14 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 14:51:26 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12456 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10192

On Sat, 13 Jan 2001 08:38:04 -0600, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>   In spite of several days of testimony, and weeks of gathering
>> information, the commission has yet to find any evidence of illegal
>> activity on the part of Florida voting officials, or a single instance
>> of intentional civil rights violation.
>
>I thought it interesting that they didn't produce a single witness
>who was denied his/her right to vote. All the witnesses voted! The
>worst complaint was that there was a delay or something made someone
>nervous. Jesse Jackson was conspicuous by his absence.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

C the reason Jesse Jackson wasn't there is because folks sent him
some money for his cause, now that he has some spending money
he will be quite for a while, last seen at a KFC near you.

From 3585187615664422589

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 07:02:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 14:50:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <93ppur$hqq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A5F6ED0.D9D20F83@IEEE.org> <93nu0b$7o4$1@iac5.navix.net>
<aTN76.772$e5.1916897@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.81
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 14:50:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.81
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12457

In article <aTN76.772$e5.1916897@news.ntplx.net>,
  "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

> Yes, you will probably be on the air pretty quickly with that set up.
 Is
> your QTH far enough from a major city that you will survive the
initial
> blast that generates the EMP?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, I went to see where his QTH was in relation to 1st strike targets.
Go follow the link from his personal data on QRZ.com.  Whatever his
capability after a nuclear exchange will be wasted on 3950.  I should
have caught on sooner.  Sorry.

73, Brian


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -8581222193056067OO
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 07:03:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 08:52:29 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A606BAD.77C74E59@IEEE.org>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
<3a606b12.635707@news.knology.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.f6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 15:01:52 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12458
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10193

Donnie wrote:
> ... last seen at a KFC near you.

Hey, what's wrong with a KFC? Wish there was one in my
home town.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -9041975538911408OO
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 07:22:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 10:20:25 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <3A607231.3DB1E99D@sccoast.net>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
```

Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.199
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979399215 295913 207.144.227.199 (13 Jan 2001 15:20:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 15:20:15 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12459 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10198

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > In spite of several days of testimony,
> > and weeks of gathering information, the
> > commission has yet to find any evidence
> > of illegal activity on the part of Florida
> > voting officials, or a single instance
> > of intentional civil rights violation.
>
> I thought it interesting that they didn't
> produce a single witness who was denied
> his/her right to vote. All the witnesses
> voted!

They keep talking about witnesses and evidence, and everyone keep asking for witnesses and evidence. But, so far, I have not seen a thing other than talk.

> The worst complaint was that there was a
> delay or something made someone nervous.

Good point. Shortly after the election, there were complaints about police at some of the voting booths (it made some minority voters nervious). Of course, in some of the voting districts in the Miami area, I would nervious if there were not any police around. However, I don't hear much about that complaint anymore. Perhaps someone else pointed out what I just said, or I simply missed it. Did you hear it during the commission's hearing?

> Jesse Jackson was conspicuous by his absence.

Ah, Jesse. He does seem to be keeping a lower profile lately (perhaps the Republican demonstrators in Florida were more effective than they thought).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8272127036751104574
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,37de0fc91c2c030c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 08:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 16:18:56 GMT
References: <sRM76.182$_B2.69443@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Proof of License
Message-ID: <20010113111856.02315.00001726@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12461

In article <sRM76.182$_B2.69443@typhoon.kc.rr.com>, wd9get@amsat.org (Keith E. Brandt, M.D.) writes:

>My XYL received her technician license back in the good-old-days of the 5 WPM
>
>code test and the General written. We've been searching, but so far have not
>been able to find her old license to show the VEC so she can upgrade to
>General under the new system. I have heard that there is a webpage either at
>the FCC or ARRL that you can get proof of licensure prior to the cutoff date.
>
>
>Anyone know where I can find this?
>
>Tnx & 73,
>Keith

Keith:

Wouldn't it be easier for her to simply re-take the 5 WPM code
test? If not, why not?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -3453453566337811376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 08:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 16:18:57 GMT
References: <3A5DE319.CD86ADAB@toast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010113111857.02315.00001728@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12460

In article <3A5DE319.CD86ADAB@toast.net>, John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
writes:

>> I just went from a 9-year old Ford Taurus with a 6-cylinder engine
>> and an automatic transmission to a new Toyota Corolla with a
>> 4-cylinder transmission and a stick shift.  I now believe I have a
>> better car than I had before.  How's that for my idea of a
>> "progressive attitude?"
>
>What kind of mileage do you get out of that 4-cylinder transmission? :-)
>
>john

John:

As you were.  I *obviously* meant "four-cylinder engine and a
stick shift.  Answer: 27-32 MPG City, 41-44 MPG highway.  Thanks
for asking!

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 1137689420789710044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 08:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
```

Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 16:18:59 GMT
References: <O9n66.630$e5.1544641@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010113111859.02315.00001730@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12463

In article <O9n66.630$e5.1544641@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>> I dunno, but that's the mantra used by the ARRL and the ham radio
>> equipment manufacturers and publishers for years -- as a justification
>> for dumbing-down licensing requirements.
>
>Do you believe that if Amateur Radio were "smartened up" to the point where
>one could study a 16-page study guide to get a General, that ARRL would stop
>saying that it is important that hams understand modern radio technology?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

I don't know. I can't personally relate to reading a 16-page study manual to get a General, or any other, amateur license. I became a ham after years as an electronics hobbyist, and passed my written tests largely on the basis of knowledge I had acquired over that time -- through self-study from a variety of sources, and hands-on experience in project building. I didn't get my ham ticket for the singular purpose of being able to empower myself by projecting my voice over long distances at the press of a button. Moreover, having had that capability for my entire career ham career, I've always sought, and utilized, more challenging modes. From a technical standpoint, I don't even approach the knowledge and experience that professionals such as yourself possess; but as an AMATEUR radio operator; I consider myself to be well-qualified to hold the highest, most distinctive class of license. I've had nothing handed over to me on a silver platter; in fact, I've had to get over years of whining for just that to happen before I realized that becoming a ham meant enough to me to learn the practical communications skill which is Morse/CW.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5087499515495049577

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 08:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 16:18:57 GMT
References: <20010111070021.03672.00001152@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010113111857.02315.00001727@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12462

In article <20010111070021.03672.00001152@ng-fc1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>>> A 5 WPM maximum code test is no code test at all, and there is
>>>> no point in keeping it if it will never be increased, which it never
>will.
>
>>>Translation: If I can't have it my way, I don't want it at all. :-)
>
>>Your translator isn't working very well.
>
>Then are you saying that if you can't have it your way, you still want to see
>Morse code testing continue in its present form?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

I'd have to admit that a 5 WPM code test is better than none at all, but considering that this low maximum level doesn't do much to support continued advancement in this practical communications skill, and isn't likely to do so, I have to be realistic and admit that there is little point in keeping this requirement. For all practical purposes, 5 WPM is, in fact, "no-code" ham radio -- for that's what it gives us as an end result. The former, 3-tiered 5, 13, and 20 WPM code testing regime produced the kind of learning challenge which actually brought new CW operators on-the-air, using the Morse/CW mode. All 5 WPM will do in the future is put an HF microphone into someone's hand or on their desk -- and force us CW operators to hand over large chunks of spectrum presently used by that mode to support growth in the usage of HF phone. Having it "my way" is irrelevant. Human nature being what it is, most

people will take the path of least resistance in order to achieve
the greatest amount of instant gratification.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4917847002913692591
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 08:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 16:18:58 GMT
References: <3A5E03D9.ECCF32DF@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010113111858.02315.00001729@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12464

In article <3A5E03D9.ECCF32DF@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>> Jim:
>
>> So do I; but few (if any!) of those QSO's were held at a speed as low
>> as 5 WPM! How many people these days who have taken only the
>> 5 WPM code test actually get on the air with CW and achieve
>> proficiency at 20 WPM or higher? Personally, I don't know a single
>> one, although I'm sure one will occasionally surface.
>
>Well now you do. Didn't you pass a 5 WPM test before you worked all
>those stations? I think it shows that it's the operator who determines
>what will happen after he passes the test - not the test itself.
>Learning the code is all that's required in order to get on the air and
>get up to speed. Elements 1B and 1C didn't make me become more
>proficient at code. Operating did. Element 1A made me learn the code.
>Operating gave me the proficiency to pass the others. I didn't need
>those tests in order to become a CW operator. I had already become one
>(after a fashion) by the time I took them. I'm just lucky, I guess,
>that I didn't run into guys like you on the air while I was learning.
>
>>> I think you understate the utility of the mode, Larry.
>
>> Hah! That'll be the day!
>

>But that's what you're doing by making your claim about the 5 WPM test.
>You might consider tempering your comments about it.
>
>73, Jim AC6XG

Jim:

I learned Morse code at speeds greater than 5 WPM because
it was the current requirement to obtain an Extra-class license!
If the requirement hadn't existed, neither would my achievement --
and I would not have benefited from the enjoyment of reasonable
proficiency in this practical communications skill. It's as simple
as that.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5980987078867244335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 08:22:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 16:18:59 GMT
References: <3A59DEDD.EC79A07E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010113111859.02315.00001731@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12465

In article <3A59DEDD.EC79A07E@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Is it possible for a woman to rape a man or a boy? If so, how could
>> pre-registering the penis of the victim prevent the crime?
>
>Is she using a penis in the commission of a crime?

Cecil:

Re-read the question, and try again.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1755557677576765217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 08:22:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 16:19:00 GMT
References: <sIM66.653$e5.1665591@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010113111900.02315.00001732@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12466

In article <sIM66.653$e5.1665591@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>
>I think, however, that for the most part, those who want to be hams are
>hams. In the mid 60s, I think there were just over 100,000 hams. Today,
>there are over 6 times that number. I agree that growth is not one of our
>major problems.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

If growth isn't one of "our major problems," what do you think they
are? And how will a zero-WPM, one-class license fix them?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4923316414473966144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 08:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-

```
out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-
sjo2.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: n4jzh@knology.net (Donnie)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Message-ID: <3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 29
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 11:45:29 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp  http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 16:30:42 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12467
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10208

On Sat, 13 Jan 2001 08:38:04 -0600, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>    In spite of several days of testimony, and weeks of gathering
>> information, the commission has yet to find any evidence of illegal
>> activity on the part of Florida voting officials, or a single instance
>> of intentional civil rights violation.
>
>I thought it interesting that they didn't produce a single witness
>who was denied his/her right to vote. All the witnesses voted! The
>worst complaint was that there was a delay or something made someone
>nervous. Jesse Jackson was conspicuous by his absence.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

 Cecil,
  Do you think the N.A.A.C.P. Should Boycott KFC?
   they are really raising hell here in S.C. about the flag issue.
 they even went after a Columbia,S.C. business man because he flies
 the confedrate flag at his restaurants.
 Anyway next time you look at a KFC commercial look very closely and
think! I remember KFC commercials with the colonel standing with a
family having a picnic behind him talking about good food and family
tradition, today you see a commercial with the colonel ''Moon
Walking'', High Fiving, Shucking and Jiving and Rapping.
 Wheres Jesse and the N.A.A.C.P. on this slap in the face?
  just a thought.
   Donnie


From -9144144995684317309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 09:25:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <od316tgnetnbhg83msq4bgrkq29ot3tavg@4ax.com>
References: <3A5DB623.D989E80@dvol.com> <20010112012351.24296.00000616@nso-fp.aol.com> <3A604D6A.D6BB32BD@dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 17:24:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.167
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979406682 209.86.211.167 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 09:24:42 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 09:24:42 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12468

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>Nobody has the time to scratch-build and chasing parts
>is expensive and time consuming,

Translation:
Hams can't really homebrew state of the art gear anymore...

From -3733146487659491598
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 09:25:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <h7316tcuqnklfilutpfjg998vmc324ek7u@4ax.com>
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com>
<02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com>

```
<3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142>
<3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 17:24:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.167
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979406683 209.86.211.167 (Sat, 13 Jan
2001 09:24:43 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 09:24:43 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12469
```

billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:

>If a college class uses the same test questions year
>after year, is that the fault of the study guide publisher?

If a college (or the Bash era FCC) does not combat cheating by changing the tests frequently, does that make the person who uses the illicit material any less of a moral cheater?? Is it OK to steal physical property just because someone is careless with it?

>It has NEVER ben tested in a court.

How about in the court of morality?? Did you use those fraternity cheat cheats to get through college, even though there might be no *legal* law broken??

>It is interesting that you [Vince] buy in so willingly to every
>government law just because it has been passed

If (for arguments sake) there wasn't a law (during the Bash era) forbidding the publishing of confidential federal test material, then don't you think that to have one would have been reasonable? The reason being to protect the sanctity of the testing process from both the commercial cheaters and from the buying (soon to be ham) cheaters??

```
From -2635576970288308832
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 09:26:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <L4S_5.7935$KY1.21527@news1.rivrw1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
<3a6041af.3207830@news.accessnv.com>
Subject: Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??
Lines: 41
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <H8086.280$V6.175928@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:30:37 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.40.146.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 979406503 216.40.146.187 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:21:43
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:21:43 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:648 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10214
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12470

"Dave" <Dave@spammenot.com> wrote in message
news:3a6041af.3207830@news.accessnv.com...
> Don't know about fun and fast but one tip I can give you is to learn
> to copy by hand writting rather than printing. Before I became a ham
> I heard one ham tell another he wished he had learned by using hand
> writing as he found printing took too long especially at faster
> speeds

The first message is good advice for those just starting to learn morse...
if you can learn it AND do all your copy by writing in script V.S. printing,
you'll find that copy at higher speeds (as you reach those speeds) will be
easier.

> On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 22:33:15 GMT, "@!@" <ezypezy@ureach.com> wrote:
> >have a computer handy as all can see.
> >I have Morse Code made easy by ken Bradford but dont really know how much
> >time to spend on it. It gets quite boring after a while.
> >Maybe theres a way i can make it fun . and learn fast.

In answer to the second message....
Time-wise, if you spend 20 minutes, 2 - 3 times daily, EVERYDAY (note the
emphasis!) you should be able to pass a 5wpm code exam in a lil' over 2
weeks, maybe 3. (Seems to be about the norm for what I've seen as a VE).
I've heard the excuses before about 'not having the time'... but I doubt
there's many folks who can't find 20 minutes, twice a day, to devote to code
for a few weeks (breaks @ work, get to work a few minutes early, park, and
practice in the car, get up a lil' earlier & practice before leaving for
work/school, skip a TV program, etc, etc....) If you WANT HF privileges,
you'll FIND the time, if not, you won't.

As for "fun".... I and many others I've helped reach 5wpm have all used the

Gordon West 5wpm learning tapes. He's kinda' corny on the tapes, but some
of the corny jokes and puns help the lessons stick in your head longer.

```
--
         __/__ __ /__
       __ /__  __/__
_____/_____/___ /~
    \ Cap'n Crunch /
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
```

```
From -3165147666297664130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa656,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 09:27:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??
Message-ID: <lm316tk5o2i7k6p1ml8kjoosqqhcja20pt@4ax.com>
References: <L4S_5.7935$KY1.21527@news1.rivrw1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
<3a6041af.3207830@news.accessnv.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 17:24:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.167
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979406681 209.86.211.167 (Sat, 13 Jan
2001 09:24:41 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 09:24:41 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:649 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10215
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12471
```

Dave@spammenot.com (Dave) wrote:
>Don't know about fun and fast but one tip I can give you is to learn
>to copy by hand writting rather than printing.

Another point is to write (or print) small. It takes less time to
write small letters and by writing 2 lines in the paper space allotted
for one line, I've found that I can increase my hand copy speed

substantially...

```
From 4046827225921444569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 09:50:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!novia!not-for-mail
From: pschleck@novia.net (Paul W. Schleck)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 11:48:14 -0600
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3a609384$0$82370$45beb828@newscene.com>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com> <93pjhp$5k4$3@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.40.24.1
X-Trace: 979407748 newscene.com 216.40.24.1 216.40.24.1
Filter-NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.40.24.1
Filter-X-Trace: 979407748 newscene.com 82370 216.40.24.1
X-Newsreader: NN version 6.5.5 (NOV)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12472
```

In <93pjhp$5k4$3@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> writes:

>>That's a mouth full of unspecified jargon. You offer
>>not one practical example of what/how you'd accomplish
>>your goal...and you again avoid answering the
>>fundamental question:

>That's because you took a knee jerk approach to reading
>and didn't read on. I've also outlined the details for
>18 years, in published form. ARRL has some of those
>papers. By the way, when I'm assigned national overseer
>of the exam process by ARRL, and am given a lawyer who
>knows his/her way around the courtroom, I'll show you how
>it's done.

>Regards,
>Vince, WB2EZG

Wow, now that's dictatorial solipsism of the first rank. Certainly as good as the most rabid caller into any right-wing talk radio program. In other words, when Vince is appointed dictator, THEN things will be run right. Sorry, didn't work for Genghis Kahn, didn't work for Mussolini, won't work for Vince.

I get the impression, from the personality he has displayed on this newsgroup, that Vince would quickly tire of any collaborative effort.

Especially one where compromise, and tailoring to a realistic world with realistic constraints, are involved. The ARRL members in the Hudson Division probably suspected this when they voted against him (by a 2/3 or greater margin) for their Director four times in a row. This may also be why we will never see Vince sitting on the NCVEC board.

Vince might benefit from that old Dale Carnegie book, "How to Win Friends and Influence People."

--
Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/ ICQ# 44218003
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

From -1355178821709195037
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.sttls1.wa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
From: "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <L4S_5.7935$KY1.21527@news1.rivrw1.nsw.optushome.com.au> <3a6041af.3207830@news.accessnv.com> <H8086.280$V6.175928@nntp1.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??
Lines: 56
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <rE086.260540$U46.8293907@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 17:55:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.176.5.208
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 979408535 24.176.5.208 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 09:55:35 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 09:55:35 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:650 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10220 rec.radio.amateur.policy:12473

And everyplace you go spell what you see in Morse code. Really.

```
Glenn  de KB7PKC



"Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message
news:H8086.280$V6.175928@nntp1.onemain.com...
> "Dave" <Dave@spammenot.com> wrote in message
> news:3a6041af.3207830@news.accessnv.com...
> > Don't know about fun and fast but one tip I can give you is to learn
> > to copy by hand writting rather than printing. Before I became a ham
> > I heard one ham tell another he wished he had learned by using hand
> > writing as he found printing took too long especially at faster
> > speeds
>
> The first message is good advice for those just starting to learn morse...
> if you can learn it AND do all your copy by writing in script V.S.
printing,
> you'll find that copy at higher speeds (as you reach those speeds) will be
> easier.
>
> > On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 22:33:15 GMT, "@!@" <ezypezy@ureach.com> wrote:
> > >have a computer handy as all can see.
> > >I have Morse Code made easy by ken Bradford but dont really know how
much
> > >time to spend on it.  It gets quite boring after a while.
> > >Maybe theres a way i can make it fun . and learn fast.
>
> In answer to the second message....
> Time-wise, if you spend 20 minutes, 2 - 3 times daily, EVERYDAY (note the
> emphasis!) you should be able to pass a 5wpm code exam in a lil' over 2
> weeks, maybe 3. (Seems to be about the norm for what I've seen as a VE).
> I've heard the excuses before about 'not having the time'... but I doubt
> there's many folks who can't find 20 minutes, twice a day, to devote to
code
> for a few weeks (breaks @ work, get to work a few minutes early, park, and
> practice in the car, get up a lil' earlier & practice before leaving for
> work/school, skip a TV program, etc, etc....)  If you WANT HF privileges,
> you'll FIND the time, if not, you won't.
>
> As for "fun".... I and many others I've helped reach 5wpm have all used
the
> Gordon West 5wpm learning tapes.  He's kinda' corny on the tapes, but some
> of the corny jokes and puns help the lessons stick in your head longer.
> --
>          __/__ __ /__
>        __ /__  __/__
> _____/_____/___ /~
>     \ Cap'n Crunch /
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
>
>
```

```
From 4946378460339342001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:16:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-
sjo2.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: n4jzh@knology.net (Donnie)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??
Message-ID: <3a60990c.12407104@news.knology.net>
References: <L4S_5.7935$KY1.21527@news1.rivrw1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
<3a6041af.3207830@news.accessnv.com> <lm316tk5o2i7k6p1ml8kjoosqqhcja20pt@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 13:22:55 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp  http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 18:08:09 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:651 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10221
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12474
```

On Sat, 13 Jan 2001 17:24:41 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
wrote:

```
>Dave@spammenot.com (Dave) wrote:
>>Don't know about fun and fast but one tip I can give you is to learn
>>to copy by hand writting rather than printing.
>
>Another point is to write (or print) small. It takes less time to
>write small letters and by writing 2 lines in the paper space allotted
>for one line, I've found that I can increase my hand copy speed
>substantially...
 Code Quick is by far the best I've seen to learn the code
  and it will keep your attention span, gordon west is equal to a
bottle of sleeping pills. GUD LUCK! ...-.-
```

```
From -5227330381675599114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:26:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
206.13.28.33!news.pacbell.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "TheRock" <therock@nospam.irc-chat.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Lines: 68
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <o0186.22$5a6.24426@news.pacbell.net>
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 10:21:07 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.200.72.132
X-Complaints-To: abuse@pacbell.net
X-Trace: news.pacbell.net 979410068 63.200.72.132 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 10:21:08 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 10:21:08 PST
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15453
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:7989 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10222
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12475


"D. S. Platt" <jeepp@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3A605106.59349F9@erols.com...
> jamesrhampton@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > Neat.  Someone actually thought of not charging.  Of course, my first
> > licenses cost nothing - it was just the 60 mile (one way) drive to
> > Buffalo that cost a bit of time and money (gas, thruway tolls, parking,
> > etc.).  The cost is actually minimal anywhere.  I think I paid $5.90
> > when I decided to get back into amateur radio and tested out in 1993
> > (after letting my tickets expire over 10 years earlier).  Whats the
> > cost today?
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim (AA2QA)
> >
> > In article <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   BamBam <kl0an@my-deja.com> wrote:
```

```
> > > Now why would I go and PAY for a license when I got my license, AND
> > > upgrades for free.. Oh, sorry, I did have to earn them with a little
> > > studying and such.. My club offers testing free.. No money exchanges
> > > hands.. And I can now talk world-wide on the Extra bands..
> > >
> > > Just quit tryin to cheat the system, sit down with the manuals and get
> > > studying.. And if you want to take a test for free.. First Saturday of
> > > the month, Geo-Physics Institute, UAF, Fairbanks, Alaska.
> > >
> > > 73
> > > Paul Jordan
> > > kl0an
> > >
> > > In article <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org>,
> > >   Buyone@arrl.crap (peddler) wrote:
> > > > Thanks to the organization called the ARRL, you don't have to earn a
> > > license
> > > > anymore. You can go to your local VE group and buy one for $10.
> > > > Get one today and join in the Fun!
> > > >
> > > > AOHF ~ assholes on H.F.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Don't Sweat the Petty Things.
> > > Don't Pet the Sweaty Things.
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> > >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
> The Laurel (MD) VEC (MD) has had a fee!
>
>
>

The charge today can be up to $10 per fcc regulations.
The limit is $10 though.  It was $6 when I got mine 7 years ago roughly,
course that VEC didn't charge the full rate, I think the max they could
charge was $7 or something.

TheRock
KD6NIG
irc.acestar.org



From -8424629193909139219
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:29:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:24:58 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <93q6hq$jgq$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3a609384$0$82370$45beb828@newscene.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979410298 19994 149.174.88.251 (13
Jan 2001 18:24:58 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:24:58 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12476

>I get the impression, from the personality he has displayed on
>this newsgroup, that Vince would quickly tire of any
>collaborative effort. Especially one where compromise, and
>tailoring to a realistic world with realistic constraints, are
>involved.  The ARRL members in the Hudson Division probably
>suspected this when they voted against him (by a 2/3 or greater
>margin) for their Director four times in a row.  This may
>also be why we will never see Vince sitting on the NCVEC board.

>Vince might benefit from that old Dale Carnegie book, "How to
>Win Friends and Influence People."

Glad to see I woke you up finally, Paul. But you neglected to
mention the fact that the fellow who won ran along a no-theory
approach as well, which is one of the reasons we are where we
are. To paraphrase him "you know, there's no reason for Amateur
Radio to be a technical hobby today, simply because there's no
jobs for engineers. You know, my father was a plumber, and
advised me to do the same. Looking back on it, you know, he was
right." Go ask him, he's one guy who is still alive.

Winning friends and influencing people isn't my bag, Paul. The
goal is trying to save the Service, not deep-six it.

Regards, Vince WB2EZG


From -8048197384428030607
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:33:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:18:57 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A609C11.399D1FC9@IEEE.org>
References: <20010111070021.03672.00001152@ng-fc1.aol.com>
<20010113111857.02315.00001727@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:28:22 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12477

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Human nature being what it is, most
> people will take the path of least resistance in order to achieve
> the greatest amount of instant gratification.

Are you implying that CW is not gratifying?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -8156570414480021852
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:21:06 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A609C92.532E75C8@IEEE.org>
References: <3A59DEDD.EC79A07E@IEEE.org> <20010113111859.02315.00001731@nso-
fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:30:29 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12478

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> Is it possible for a woman to rape a man or a boy?  If so, how could
> >> pre-registering the penis of the victim prevent the crime?
> >
> >Is she using a penis in the commission of a crime?
>
> Re-read the question, and try again.

I'm trying to ascertain the depth of the crime.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -8837036832211389936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:38:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A609F92.AC8894ED@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
References: <3A5DB623.D989E80@dvol.com> <20010112012351.24296.00000616@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3A604D6A.D6BB32BD@dvol.com>
<od316tgnetnbhg83msq4bgrkq29ot3tavg@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 27
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 13:33:54 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.40
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979410829 206.20.144.40 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:33:49
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:33:49 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12479
```

Aaron Jones wrote:

> Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
> >Nobody has the time to scratch-build and chasing parts
> >is expensive and time consuming,
>
> Translation:
> Hams can't really homebrew state of the art gear anymore.

>
I don't think that's 100% true, but close. The state of the art today is set by far-end professionals in the biz who have access to pricey CAE software, custom chips, board fab facilities, deep pockets, long list of assets which even most technically astute hams don't have today let alone the rest of us who homebrewed back when. It's just evolutionary reality which started in spark days when somebody decided to make radio parts for money and sell 'em to hams, 1910 or so.
>
For my own part I hombrew all my antennas including beams and I'm mulling building a single 3-500Z amp. Not much else left worth hombrewing.
>
w3rv

From 1318519532832192150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:39:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:24:39 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A609D67.79EBE3C@IEEE.org>
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com>
<02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com>
<3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142>
<3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com>
<h7316tcuqnklfilutpfjg998vmc324ek7u@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:34:02 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12480

Aaron Jones wrote:
> If a college (or the Bash era FCC) does not combat cheating by
> changing the tests frequently, does that make the person who uses the
> illicit material any less of a moral cheater?? Is it OK to steal
> physical property just because someone is careless with it?

It is OK to do what an ordinary prudent man would do.

> How about in the court of morality?? Did you use those fraternity
> cheat cheats to get through college, even though there might be no
> *legal* law broken??

It is OK to do what an ordinary prudent man would do.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8798353876488212420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:42:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:29:23 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A609E83.893BFFF@IEEE.org>
References: <3A5E03D9.ECCF32DF@uci.edu> <20010113111858.02315.00001729@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:36:44 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12481

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I learned Morse code at speeds greater than 5 WPM because
> it was the current requirement to obtain an Extra-class license!
> If the requirement hadn't existed, neither would my achievement --

> and I would not have benefited from the enjoyment of reasonable
> proficiency in this practical communications skill. It's as simple
> as that.

Are you implying that you must be forced to do something before
you get anything done? That sounds like sheer laziness to me.
I learned Morse code before I ever heard of amateur radio simply
because it was fun.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7736845350956273425
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,37de0fc91c2c030c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:46:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Proof of License
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:34:34 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A609FBA.5F4C5A05@IEEE.org>
References: <sRM76.182$_B2.69443@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
<20010113111856.02315.00001726@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:41:53 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12482

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Wouldn't it be easier for her to simply re-take the 5 WPM code
> test? If not, why not?

Different strokes for different folks, Larry. After Tiny Tim tried
making a baby, he said he hoped he wouldn't ever have to go through
that again. :-) Some people feel that way about Morse code.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -5496346692318604630
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550

X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:49:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:37:23 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A60A063.DBA5F4D0@IEEE.org>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
<3A607231.3DB1E99D@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:44:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12483
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10225

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Did you hear it during the commission's hearing?

Actually, I was on the road at the time and heard it second-hand
from either Rush Linbaugh or Neal Boortz, I can't remember which.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -4813377226351503046
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:51:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 13:45:24 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A5F72FA.5356A96@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.171.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979411591 10490 166.102.250.171 (13 Jan 2001 18:46:31 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:46:31 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12484

You would loose that bet as well Cecil, I still am better than you because I do CW and you need to admit that you are incapable of learning a simplistic digital transmission scheme. What did you do 50 years BDSP (before DSP).

A man needs to know his limitations, and the sooner all the CW challenged people admit it the better.

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A5F72FA.5356A96@IEEE.org...
> W4JLE wrote:
> > As a ham for almost 45 years, and 62 years on the planet ...
> > I really feel it (CW) makes me better than you!
>
> Tough Titty, Fred. I'm the same age as you, have been a ham five years longer than you, and I say you are not a better ham than I am simply because you can copy CW faster than I can. I'll bet I can run circles around you in the programming of DSP systems and/or designing digital logic systems. That obviously makes me better than you. (How do you like your very own words thrown back at you?)
>
> Each of us has something to contribute to this great hobby. Feeling superior because you can do fast code is a ridiculous way to live your life, IMHO, because as CW becomes more obsolete, you lose your self-proclaimed superiority. In my mouth with no hands, I can tie a cherry stem in a knot while copying PACTOR II. Can you do that? If not, you are certainly an extremely inferior ham.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -4481063038694536820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:53:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!telocity-west!TELOCITY!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 13:47:57 -0500

Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 138
Message-ID: <93q7v3$abv$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010112174503.14777.00000438@nso-bd.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.171.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979411747 10623 166.102.250.171 (13 Jan 2001 18:49:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:49:07 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12485

Nice post Len, misguided as it may be. I did enjoy your views.

73 Fred W4JLE

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010112174503.14777.00000438@nso-bd.aol.com...
> In article <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:
>
> >What in the world is wrong with people today? Has the left in there
efforts
> >to make everyone feel equal demonized achievement?
>
> Oh, my, a general purpose Troll posting involving Patriotism and
> the American Way of Life for white Protestants.
>
> >As a ham for almost 45 years, and 62 years on the planet I have seen
changes
> >occur not only on the ham bands but in life as well.
>
> No Kidding?!? I have seen six more years than you have...
> but not spent dreaming in front of ham radios.
>
> >As a young lad I
> >remember the Joy of the end of WW2 and the pride of America in it's
> >industrial might.
>
> Yes, so did I, but I was a bit older than 7 years in 1945.
>
> >I watched as the flower children of the sixties took drugs
> >as their religion and promiscuity as their values.
>
> ...and?
>
> >I watched as the roll of
> >motherhood was relegated to a shameful position as any real woman would
be a
> >corporate mogul.
>

> Sounds like they should be "barefoot and in the kitchen?!?"
>
> >I watched as religion was removed from schools and those
> >that believed in it's tenets were made the butt of humor.
>
> Gosh, a new observance we don't share. As a Lutheran in
> a Lutheran-ethnic public school system I never saw any
> protestantism rampant (or even flourishing) in my northern
> Illinois school system. Of course I was 7 years older so it
> must have been later when religion was installed and then
> removed, yes?
>
> >I watched as life
> >became meaningless if it inconvenienced the new hedonistic society.
>
> Fred, would you believe I actually went to dances as a young
> man, even went to a motion picture show?!?
>
> >And once
> >again I watch as a these same people attack the Morse mode of
communication.
> >Why should I be surprised?
>
> Oh, wow, a Morseodist True Believer!
>
> Fred, while you were 14 and probably being properly instructed
> on God's Chosen Path of Righteousness, I was operating and
> maintaining trans-Pacific HF communications as a member of
> the US Army. Not a single one of those radio circuits used
> OOK CW. That was 48 years ago, Fred.
>
> Are you saying that the US Army is/was "godless" because
> they didn't use morse in trans-Pacific HF radio? We had
> chaplains on duty but I can't remember anyone going for
> religious counsel on radio matters.
>
> >"How dare some of you hams have an ability I don't have and make it a
> >requirement for what I want NOW!"
>
> How "dare" we?!?   :-)
>
> How dare you maintain that a hobby activity requiring federal
> regulation be forever cast in concrete and protected by ultra-
> traditionalist, quasi-religious traditions of the 1930s?!?
>
> >The same demonizing is in progress, we
> >have heard the terms "hazing ritual", "elitists old farts" and other such
> >endearing terms hurled from the "it's my right" crowd.
>
> Ah, another of the CW Power religious fanatics who would
> toss out the First Amendment to all who did not want to
> acquire CW skills!
>

```
> Fred, the regulating agency for US amateur radio has said,
> in 1990 and 1999, that a morse code test does not meet
> their requirements for amateur radio licensing.   Are you
> saying that the FCC is a bunch of godless heathen who do
> not Believe In The One True Way?!?
>
> Fred, you come across as the ideal "old fart" in attitude.
> I can recognize old farts, being their chronological
> contemporary.   :-)
>
> >To all of you I
> >simply say I am proud of my achievement, I really feel it makes me better
> >than you!
>
> Yes, Your Grace.  Shall we kiss your ring now?  Will you wave
> to the masses from the balcony?
>
> >As politically incorrect as that is, tough noogies, work me on 75
> >at 35 WPM and then argue against CW.
>
> ...and that "really shows up" those arguing against CW TESTING,
> doesn't it?  Wow...you gonna "beat up" somebody with your
> CW?  :-)
>
> >At least I will respect your opinion even if different than mine.
>
> Of course you will, your posting was evidence of your "respect."
>
> >Those that can't meet the above, quit your crying!
>
> Fred, as a citizen and a veteran and a husband and a retired
> engineer with a near-lifelong career in radio and electronics,
> I am hard-pressed not to cuss you out...I'm chuckling too
> much...  :-)
>
> >You are no position to be running your mouths about Morse
> >communications.
>
> Way wrongo, Lone Stranger.  YOU are in NO position nor
> have you any authoritiy (mortal or divine) to dictate one damn
> thing one way or another about what others can say about
> morse communications.
>
> didit
>
```

```
From 6886841594353544727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!
uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 13:52:11 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <93q86v$aid$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com> <93pop7$gvq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.171.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979411999 10829 166.102.250.171 (13 Jan 2001 18:53:19 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:53:19 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12486

Brian, I will answer your post later. I am in the process of practicing how to send poorly formed characters to screw over the inferior technicians that have to use computers to copy code.

73 Fred W4JLE

"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:93pop7$gvq$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com>,
>   Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
> > "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> > >> >To all of you I simply say I am proud of my achievement,
> > >> >I really feel it makes me better than you!
> > >>
> > >> Unfortunately I think this statement is accurate. Sad...
> >
> > However you failed to comment on my last comment (above), any
> > comments? Any retractions? Or do you really feel that you are superior
> > to many, perhaps the majority of hams...
>
> Perhaps so much so that he thinks it is perfectly OK to disregard good
> amateur practice. We'll let him address that.
>
> It's the same kind of attitude that Dick and others of his kind appear
> to have when they advocate some imaginary rights in amateur radio that
> the FCC doesn't grant. I used to let it go by, but no longer.
>
> Even Riley has commented that the worst offenders often hold advanced
> licenses and are long time hams. If only the Catholic Church hadn't

```
> taught me to read, I too, could ignore Part 97.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From 2250349155428240034
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:56:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 13:50:10 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <93q835$ago$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.171.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979411877 10776 166.102.250.171 (13 Jan 2001 18:51:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:51:17 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12487

Absolutely I am superior to any ham that cannot send/receive a piece of
traffic in Morse, and thank you for asking.

73 Fred W4JLE

"Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com...
> "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> >Ok, you got me.. I am actually 61 years 9 months and some days.
>
> That explains the age error...
>
> >As to the calls, check my web site at W4JLE.com and you will
> >se the progression of calls W4JLE W4JLE/pj V3CB V31GR
> >KB4NGB KJ4JE W4JLE ( Vanity call original call holder)
>
```

> That explains the gap in the FCC database...
>
> >As to code speed Let's set a sked and you tell me.
>
> OK, I believe you can do 35wpm...
>
> >> >To all of you I simply say I am proud of my achievement,
> >> >I really feel it makes me better than you!
> >>
> >> Unfortunately I think this statement is accurate. Sad...
>
> However you failed to comment on my last comment (above), any
> comments? Any retractions? Or do you really feel that you are superior
> to many, perhaps the majority of hams...

From 5567687156505159393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 10:59:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.interpacket.net!
uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 13:54:56 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <93q8c4$ala$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <ekpr5t0jd9cpf8u02rerj1ualb9f1e54vh@4ax.com>
<20010112072952.07248.00001184@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n7cs$f84$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<A7H76.745$e5.1890848@news.ntplx.net> <93non7$4p3$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A5F6FCD.991091C4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.171.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979412164 10922 166.102.250.171 (13 Jan 2001 18:56:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:56:04 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12488

I suspect that Ed is the one that sends the nightly W1AW code practice, and
he uses a handkey.

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A5F6FCD.991091C4@IEEE.org...

> W4JLE wrote:
> > Only a non cw operator would believe this type on nonsense Ed.
>
> But Ed is a CW operator extraordinaire. I observed his expertise
> at the Ft. Tuthill hamfest.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 705857632900728013
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 11:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 12:50:15 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3A60A367.C0BB01A1@IEEE.org>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
<3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 18:59:07 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12489
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10227

Donnie wrote:
> Do you think the N.A.A.C.P. Should Boycott KFC?
> they are really raising hell here in S.C. about the flag issue.

Texas is way out on the fringes of the Old South and I see black
guys on Harleys wearing Confederate battle flags on their heads
and jackets. In Texas, the Confederate battle flag is not a symbol
of slavery but is instead a symbol of rebellion, akin to the
"Don't Tread On Me" flag of yesteryear. Here in Texas, it says,
"I'm still a rebel".

> Anyway next time you look at a KFC commercial look very closely and

> think! I remember KFC commercials with the colonel standing with a
> family having a picnic behind him talking about good food and family
> tradition, today you see a commercial with the colonel ''Moon
> Walking'', High Fiving, Shucking and Jiving and Rapping.
> Wheres Jesse and the N.A.A.C.P. on this slap in the face?
> just a thought.

It's not much different from a Dr. Pepper or Coke commercial.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -5235748684458376692
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 11:11:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 13:11:08 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A60A84C.2AF19CE3@oio.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org> <3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 6
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12490 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10228

Donnie,
    Please excuse Jesse. He has been staying in OKC
lately, courtesy of OKC-PD.
              'Doc

From -2175342875792698116
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 11:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!

newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 13:00:01 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A60A5B1.4871F3E9@IEEE.org>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A5F72FA.5356A96@IEEE.org>
<93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 19:09:29 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12491

W4JLE wrote:
> You would loose that bet as well Cecil, I still am better than you because I
> do CW ...

No offense, Fred, but if you are not joking, you need some
professional help.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -7468612952805061727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 11:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 13:09:35 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A60A7EF.58BEB324@IEEE.org>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com> <93q835$ago$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.b1
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 19:16:58 GMT

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12492

W4JLE wrote:
> Absolutely I am superior to any ham that cannot send/receive a piece of
> traffic in Morse, and thank you for asking.

Your superiority and a buck fifty will get you a cup of coffee.
Are you so bad at everything else in life that you must rely on
Morse code trafficking ability for your pseudo self esteem?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 1556075443332106082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 13:14:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 21:12:09 GMT
References: <20010113090632.05639.00000139@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010113161209.22631.00000505@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12493

In article <20010113090632.05639.00000139@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>Is guessing necessary in all those ham traffic networks
>>using only morse?
>
>I would say, Len, that few of the QSOs I have require guessing. Certainly
>the percentage due to faulty encoding is very small.

That's a good thing.

>as vocal conversations. If you miss a word that you don't believe to be
>critical to the flow of thoughts and believe you know what it is from
>context,
>do you always ask for a reiepeat? How about at the party where the noise is

>loud? If the guy who is slurring his words comes up to you and you can't
>understand part of what he is saying, do you ask for a lot of repeats or nod
>a lot? :-)

At parties, those who slur words have been into the sauce just a tad too much. I back off to avoid breathing in the fumes.

Besides, the fumes tend to shrivel canapes...

>I can assure you, Len, if I am ever handling military traffic because of a
>nuclear war, I will ask for fills if I miss a word.

It's good to know the world is safe for democracy, Ed, three cheers for your spirit!   :-)

didit

```
From -7918809819330108782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 13:15:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 84
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 21:12:07 GMT
References: <fs006t83vqdnu8jgk2nl43sh3abh48efjm@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010113161207.22631.00000503@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12494
```

In article <fs006t83vqdnu8jgk2nl43sh3abh48efjm@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>If you are trolling and trying to pick a fight, you came to the
>>wrong person.
>
>You gonna beat me up like you do Steve...   :-)

Steve who?   :-)

>>I gave no "specifications,"
>

>*You* used the word "simple". *You* said that a "simple decoder" could
>be done in less than 64MB. I didn't even disagree with you. I just
>pointed out that a simple decoder could be done in a lot less memory,
>namely 4KB. Did I damage your pride that much for you to get so nasty
>and generate another technically impressive 61 lines... 8-0

I gave no "specifications," just generalities of a project personally witnessed...followed by some offhand guesses of a similar project.

If you've ever done any real programming, you know that few of them are "simple." I do not count programming exercises such as those displaying "hello world" on the screen as real programs. You made some claims without mentioning any personal experience in programming or which publications had listed goals of morse decoding other than some vague generalities that "early magazines used to print [source] code." Oh, wow, and you criticize others about "specifications?"

Let's talk about "specifications." Let's assume an ad from the mythical Kilowatter Antenna Company. Which of the two phrases below would you say are specifications?

Forward gain is 12 dbi min., F:B ratio is 16 db minimum.

"The Kilowatter Swatter-20 gave me DXCC in a month."
[testimonial of Floyd Sphergometer, W2##]

The phrase at the top is the obvious specification. The one at the bottom is just a claim...something that could be done with an ordinary piece of wire when ionospheric conditions are just right. Forward gain of the "Swatter-20" will hold regardless of the ionosphere and remains constant. The bottom phrase is emotional loading to convince the reader they should buy Kilowatter's Swatter-20 but doesn't say anything specific about performance.

Given the price of Kilowatter's Swatter-20 at $895.95 (list, shipping extra) would you consider buying it solely on the claim of being able to DXCC in a month? Or would you compare its specifications and price to other antennas first?

When you mentioned a morse decoder using an 8080 CPU with 16K of memory you didn't really say anything about its performance. You really only said one existed based on remembering one seen as an article in a magazine. My personal observation of a single decoder project stated more conditions along with the Goals of the project: Rate adaptation, timing adaptation to a certain tolerance for dot/dash/spacing, achieving the lowest possible signal-to-noise ratio. I had only touched on "specifications" remembering that the lowest rate was about 3 WPM morse equivalent and a tested maximum

of 90 WPM morse equivalent. Who said more about a
thing? Me who stated some numbers based on
performance of a working program or you who mentioned
seeing some magazine article?

>A little comedy? OK. I always got a chuckle out of your we-we jokes
>about hams always using the word "we".

I don't say that about "all" amateurs. I only say that about those
who presume to represent all US radio amateurs as having the
same goals-likes-dislikes-fetishes as they.

That is extended to include certain anonymous posters who have
never given their license call.

didit

From -3590178862420936194
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 13:15:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 94
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 21:12:10 GMT
References: <20010113085343.05639.00000136@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010113161210.22631.00000506@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12495

In article <20010113085343.05639.00000136@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>>> ? Does this mean if you don't personally know any such
>>>> algorithm that it doesn't exist?
>
>> choose my words to convey meanings, Ed, but your sentence
>>doesn't answer my question.
>
>You are right, Len; I had misread your question. I believe that things exist

>that I don't know about. This could be one of them. I didn't realize that
>you
>thought that some people were unaware that things could exist without their
>knowledge. While I would agree that some people might think that, but I
>believe it is a very small percentage.

Now, now, you are getting a tad testy there, Ed.

There's a common expression in American English, "I've never heard of such a thing!" It is usually spoken with some accusation and punctuated with a verbal exclamation point. Very common expression, not limited to one geographic region.

Do I know the entire scope of activity in radio? No.
Do you know the entire scope of activity in amateur radio? No.

Nobody can know everything happening everywhere.

QST and QEX combined cannot print everything that is done in US amateur radio. The entire published works of the ARRL cannot print everything done by everyone in US amateur radio, let alone done by all hobbyists in radio and electronics.

I described, in general terms, a programming experiment that was done by a non-amateur person with a slight assist from me, another non-amateur person for the purposes of trying to make an adaptive morse code reader. That was not for any sort of purposeful use in amateur radio activity but rather as an intellectual exercise. Intellectual since morse code is not symmetric as are other codings used in electronic communications. After over a century of existance, morse code is fairly stable in structure and any variations are due to human operators both in rate and dot-dash timing relation.
To anyone involved in any sort of communications by electronics, morse codings are unique. Morse codings are also involved with over a century of mystique and claims which generally have the human operator triumphing over mere "machinery" and claiming superiority. On that basis the exercise-experiment set out to prove that a computer could emulate an experienced morse operator over a wide range of signal environments.

The exercise-experiment was witnessed by two experienced morse operators, one that I saw personally, one that I did not see. A simulation of 90 WPM equivalent morse rate was done where the computer program was able to decode clearly and accurately but neither experienced operator could "read" at that rate except in a few bursts.

As far as I know, none of the participants or their friends and families have ever communicated this experiment in public.
Does this mean that the experiment did not exist? No. All that means is that this particular project was never

publicized. There is no more "philosophy" discourse on that than for any other project or experiment still being worked on but not yet published. There is no more "philosophy" or existentialism on its "existance" just because so few knew of it. Granted, there have been similar projects undertaken, including one attempt to real-time decode morse on a programmable pocket calculator. Do I know of all of them? No. Do you know all of them? Probably not.

>What is your estimate of the percentage of population that believes that
>things they don't know about cannot exist?

I haven't the foggiest idea. All I can point to is a very common American expression which started out this reply.

>Did you ask the question because you feel
>that I am in that percentage?

No. My public message postings are assumed to be read or skimmed by all who have access. My remarks are to them as well as individuals. In general I've found that strict traditionalists in US amateur radio believe that everything done in US amateur radio is all contained in the publications of the ARRL...but that is my personal observation.

didit

From 3441235855090808700
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 13:15:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 61
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 21:12:08 GMT
References: <3A60627B.4A5B5E86@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010113161208.22631.00000504@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12497

In article <3A60627B.4A5B5E86@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> Do you REALLY think that a full explanation of the program
>> along with flow charts would be "acceptible" to a member-
>> ship that prizes manual code skill over nearly anything else
>> in amateur radio?!?
>
>You're referring to FISTS?

Hello Jon, welcome back? :-)

I used the word 'membership' in my sentence. I have no doubt
that FISTS members are also members of the ARRL. However,
I was not referring to just FISTS members but rather the general
ARRL membership...and the general editorial policies of QST in
regard to selection of material to publish.

>> I don't think so based on input from
>> others concerning their expertise and "necessity" of manual
>> code skill...'others' include those not in this newsgroup.
>
>ARRL members liked:
>A PIC16F84-Based CW Decoder, Morgantini, Francesco, IK3OIL, QST, Aug
>1999, pp 37-40.

All the members liked that? Some members liked that? A few
members liked that? With 170 thousand or so members in the
ARRL, was there also a Poll done to ascertain who liked what?
How does the ARRL decide on what is liked or disliked?

I'm sure Morgantini's article has some merit, is interesting, well-
motivated, and was certainly based on a lot of thought and work
on development of the PIC coding. All such programs and
innovations represent a lot of personal effort.

>Maybe you need a new set of "others" from which to solicit input. The
>one you've got now seems to be misleading you.

Jon, from what you've written, I daresy you are rather
uncomfortable with my opinion. :-)

As a non-subscriber to QST, I cannot hope to compete with an
ARRL staffer who has ready access to the full line of ARRL
publications. I am not critiquing individual QST articles but
rather expressing an opinion on the attitude in general of the
ARRL relative to morse code...over the last few decades...and
the attitude of the general membership of the ARRL relative to
morse code proficiency being the paramount achievement in
US amateur radio.

I readily admit to not knowing the entire scope of amateur
radio activity as practiced by over a half million licensed US
radio amateurs. To be fair, you should admit the very same

thing. Being an ARRL staff member does not give you some
magic powers to know the entire scope of amateur radio
activity...or radio activity that is possibly related to amateur
activity.

didit

```
From -348507222953129742
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 13:15:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 97
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jan 2001 21:12:06 GMT
References: <3A604D6A.D6BB32BD@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010113161206.22631.00000502@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12496
```

In article <3A604D6A.D6BB32BD@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>> Icom IC-706MKIIG, 160m to 6m, 2m, 70cm. all modes.
>
>Interesting class of radios to which can be added the new FT-1000 and FT-817
>which
>is a whole new breed of cat. The problem with all of them is that they suffer
>performance deficiencies because the design intent was to cram as many
>features as
>possible into as small a package as possible and compromises had to be made.
>Not
>shabby radios at all and they put signals on the air from places where bigger
>radios are simply impractical. But they just don't cut the mustard for
>serious vhf/uhf weak signal work.

"Mustard" needs some definition. :-)

According to the www.icomamerica.com specs, the receiver
sensitivity is down there near internal noise limit.

>> Kenwood TS-2000, HF, 50, 144, 440, 1200 MHz bands,
>> all modes
>
>> Kenwood TM-642AD, 144 and 220 MHz dual bander

>> Yaesu FT-847 HF, 6m, 2m, 70cm, all modes.
>
>They'll all hit various vhf/uhf bands and various modes and the TS-2000 and
>FT-847
>desktoppers probably have some decent vhf/uhf performance capabilities. The
>problem with those is that a serious vhf/uhf operator has to lay down well
>over $2k for a duz-all-bands (except 222 . .) radio including 9 HF bands +
>wideband
>SWL receive in which he may well have no interest. I can't believe that there
>isn't a market for a >30Mhz all-mode 100w Tech radio which has the features
>and performance of the "HF/vhf hybrids" and should cost a lot less money. I
>already have all the HF gear I need. I'd jump all over a radio like that if it
was in
>the $1k range. Ten-Tec had one in the works but the designer quit so they
dropped
>the project. (?!)

Prices keep going up on everything. More features, greater band capability equates to more money. If you think that is bad, look at the ones which have an integral spectrum analyzer for receiving... :-)

>> Yes, I agree that the 220 MHz band transceivers are scarce.
>> Saw only single-band and dual-band models from four
>> manufacturers covering that band.
>
>The auction is coming . .

Off-hand, based on FCC notices and the general electronics trades' info, the more important bands for commercial applications have moved up beyond 900 MHz. The drivers for moving well up into the microwave region are various wireless applications and the ability of semiconductors to work well into tens of GHz. I'd say the 220 band spectrum is going to stay as it is for a while.

>> I haven't seen any regulation yet on banning homebrew
>
>Nobody has the time to scratch-build and chasing parts is expensive and time
>consuming, there's just no ROI on the dollars or the hours unless it's for
>experimentation. Kit building seems to be on the upswing, however.

The "ROI" thing is individual and subjective. The technology is known, but the sources of information on it are scattered.

Two examples are the Stoner-Goral-Company's SGC-200 and Elecraft K2 transceivers. Full circuit details/schematics are available over the Internet. One can find nearly all of those circuits' design info in ARRL publications, even the crystal filters which are hard-wired in from supplied individual crystals. Microprocessor "control glue" programming is NOT available, though, and both depend largely on those micros for functional control and display.

In the world above 30 MHz, the design details are truly scattered over a number of texts and Application Notes from a number of manufacturers (Motorola, ADI, National has most Appnotes on-line at their websites). Test equipment for the VHF and above range is very expensive, even if bought from third-hand dealers (presuming those dealers check calibration). One can't make a SOTA radio project without calibrated test equipment...probably why so many project article authors are working as pros in electronics companies where they can accurately measure radio performance.

didit

PS: Keep a 3/8" open-end, closed-end wrench handy. It is just right for SMA connectors common at commercial VHF and higher RF stuff. I think it replaced the pocket protector as an RF-nerd sign back in the mid- to late-70s. :-)

From 1956517096248726490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 13:53:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!typhoon.sonic.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 16:49:53 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <93qik6$ldi$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net> <pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com> <93q835$ago$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A60A7EF.58BEB324@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.171.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979422662 21938 166.102.250.171 (13 Jan 2001 21:51:02 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 21:51:02 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12498

Pseudo? You may consider it pseudo, but if you can't utilize Morse for sending/receiving traffic your opinion doesn't count...

Real hams send dit's and dah's, the rest try to find something else to
establish their pseudo self esteem.

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A60A7EF.58BEB324@IEEE.org...
> W4JLE wrote:
> > Absolutely I am superior to any ham that cannot send/receive a piece of
> > traffic in Morse, and thank you for asking.
>
> Your superiority and a buck fifty will get you a cup of coffee.
> Are you so bad at everything else in life that you must rely on
> Morse code trafficking ability for your pseudo self esteem?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6648833921340365162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 13:55:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <L4S_5.7935$KY1.21527@news1.rivrw1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
<3a6041af.3207830@news.accessnv.com> <H8086.280$V6.175928@nntp1.onemain.com>
<rE086.260540$U46.8293907@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Subject: Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <W3486.315$H3.802414@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 16:58:37 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.40.145.126
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 979422582 216.40.145.126 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 16:49:42
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 16:49:42 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:653 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10249
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12499

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message.....
> And everyplace you go spell what you see in Morse code. Really.

Good idea..... used to do that with every sign I'd see, license plates, and even sometimes with the newspaper... any practice is good practice.

```
--
         __/__ __ /__
       __ /__  __/__
_____/_____/___ /~
    \ Cap'n Crunch /
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
```

From 2174175292719856318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,37de0fc91c2c030c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 13:58:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g746ws1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <sRM76.182$_B2.69443@typhoon.kc.rr.com> <93ob5u$gpd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Proof of License
Lines: 14
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 21:56:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979422981 206.58.44.23 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 21:56:21 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 21:56:21 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12500

On Sat, 13 Jan 2001 01:31:42 GMT, Brian wrote:

>I would write to Pennsylvania Avenue
>for a confirmation letter that she is coded.

What is on "Pennsylvania Avenue"? Certainly not the FCC.

--

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -2044591763103152962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 14:00:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 21:56:37 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3a60ce05.4605168@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com> <93pj6n$5k4$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.77.91
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 21:55:35 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12501
```

On 13 Jan 2001 12:54:47 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

\>>If it was legal (and there's no case law to sustain any
\>>position that it was truly illegal) then the consequences
\>>you complain about are just whining. The FCC could have
\>>changed the tests every day if they wanted.
>
\>Your troll will do you little good, Bill, so stop praying
\>for that break that is never coming to you.

Vince,
I need no break at all. Why the devil would I need a
break anyway?

\>You asked the
\>questions, I answered them in full. Very explicitly.

If anyone out there thinks Vince answered ANY question
in full I'd like to hear from you.

\>So

>shifting the focal point back on Bash as a person, versus
>what the overall effects were, is a bit amateurish.

Always the class act Vince.

>If
>the powers that be want to change the face of the exam
>structure, they will. Over an 18-year period, I've told
>them, and you, just how to do it.

Gee Vince, thankfully I haven't had the knowledge of your
existence for probably half that time, so you've told me
your line for far less than 18 years.

> If they haven't got
>the stomach for it,

I haven't a clue what you think I might not have
the stomach for.

>it's ham radio's, and ARRL's, funeral.
>It's that simple. If they want to restore integrity, they
>will.

Oh doom and gloom, oh doom and gloom.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -474368390901383932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 14:05:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.sttls1.wa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: David Michael Kelly <avid@nospam@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??
Message-ID: <7mj16tsh7l151jpsrk7b077k13vap3h8ke@4ax.com>
References: <L4S_5.7935$KY1.21527@news1.rivrw1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
<901084AE7darrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 22:02:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.6.222.172
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 979423348 24.6.222.172 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 14:02:28 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 14:02:28 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:655 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10255 rec.radio.amateur.policy:12502

On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 19:06:05 -0000, darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON) wrote:

>
>http://www.vmeng.com/minow/MorsePractice/MorsePractice.html
>

This web page with the same applet works better on my machine.

http://homepage.mac.com/k6mam/MorsePractice/MorsePractice.html

Better yet follow the directions and download the .jar file to your machine and pick up a copy of the SUN java runtime environment and use it locally. It allows you to save your place and not have to highlight all the characters every time you start. Using this applet I was able to pass my element 1 after two weeks. This is a very fine tool and has everything you need including instructions on the Koch method. The price is right as well! Thanks to Martin Minow for the development.

--
david
avid@nospam@home.com <---remove nospam before emailing.

From 4902340767835075232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 14:05:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 22:02:28 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3a60cf86.4990830@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a6064b1.2137748@news.mindspring.com> <93ppp3$i51$3@sshuraab-

```
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.77.91
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 22:01:30 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12503
```

On 13 Jan 2001 14:46:59 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>Actually, I'd go so far to say that one actually
>>doesn't need to understand ohm's law at all to
>>master DSP. Thousands of people do systems engineering
>>work without ever getting into the BASICs you talk
>>about.
>
>You're shifting focal points again. Ham radio is still defined as
>a "fundamentally technical" hobby. And the most rudimentary
>part of that is knowing basic electronics. In one form or
>another, you're always railing against learning the basics.
>That's why I say you're basically a no-theory advocate.
>That part of your postings _always_ comes thru loud and clear.

Bull! I have never advocated no theory and my
record is clear on that. Your personal opinion of individual
comments is exactly that...nothing but your
personal opinion. My comment on DSP was simply
stating the fact that there's no need to know Ohm's
law to understand DSP...you translate that to state
that indicates I don't support basic knowledge is pure
bunk.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 3328620738841116506
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 14:09:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 22:06:23 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <3a60d097.5263493@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-
```

i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com>
<02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com>
<3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142>
<3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com>
<h7316tcuqnklfilutpfjg998vmc324ek7u@4ax.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.77.91
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 22:05:29 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12504

On Sat, 13 Jan 2001 17:24:43 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
wrote:

>billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:
>>If a college class uses the same test questions year
>>after year, is that the fault of the study guide publisher?
>
>If a college (or the Bash era FCC) does not combat cheating by
>changing the tests frequently, does that make the person who uses the
>illicit material any less of a moral cheater?? Is it OK to steal
>physical property just because someone is careless with it?

Please elaborate on what theft has occured?

>>It has NEVER ben tested in a court.
>
>How about in the court of morality?? Did you use those fraternity
>cheat cheats to get through college, even though there might be no
>*legal* law broken??

I wasn't in any fraternity.

>>It is interesting that you [Vince] buy in so willingly to every
>>government law just because it has been passed
>
>If (for arguments sake) there wasn't a law (during the Bash era)
>forbidding the publishing of confidential federal test material, then
>don't you think that to have one would have been reasonable?

The question is WHY is the material confidential?
Clearly the congress thinks there's no reason to have
confidentiality of such material...and I agree.

>The
>reason being to protect the sanctity of the testing process from both
>the commercial cheaters and from the buying (soon to be ham)
>cheaters??

So how come colleges seem to do just fine without such
laws? If colleges get by OK, then the Feds don't
deserve any special protection by laws.

```
Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 6952757949368697871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 14:12:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!nntp-out.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 22:07:28 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3a60d179.5489999@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A5DB623.D989E80@dvol.com> <20010112012351.24296.00000616@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3A604D6A.D6BB32BD@dvol.com>
<od316tgnetnbhg83msq4bgrkq29ot3tavg@4ax.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.77.91
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 22:06:34 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12505

On Sat, 13 Jan 2001 17:24:42 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
wrote:

>Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>>Nobody has the time to scratch-build and chasing parts
>>is expensive and time consuming,
>
>Translation:
>Hams can't really homebrew state of the art gear anymore...

Actually, that's pretty much a true statement.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From -597366676761486888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 14:14:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
```

newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 22:10:15 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3a60d1d4.5580338@news.mindspring.com>
References: <sIM66.653$e5.1665591@news.ntplx.net>
<20010113111900.02315.00001732@nso-fi.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.77.91
X-Server-Date: 13 Jan 2001 22:09:23 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12506

On 13 Jan 2001 16:19:00 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <sIM66.653$e5.1665591@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
><w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:
>
>>I think, however, that for the most part, those who want to be hams are
>>hams. In the mid 60s, I think there were just over 100,000 hams. Today,
>>there are over 6 times that number. I agree that growth is not one of our
>>major problems.
>>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>Ed:
>If growth isn't one of "our major problems," what do you think they
>are? And how will a zero-WPM, one-class license fix them?

Who is advocating a ONE license "fix?"

As to the zero-WPM...that has nothing to do with
fixing any problem other than the recognition of the
fact that there is no longer any valid reason to
test for code knowledge. It's that simple.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -4255302893789873299
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,37de0fc91c2c030c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 15:02:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Proof of License
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 22:49:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <93qm1o$77o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <sRM76.182$_B2.69443@typhoon.kc.rr.com> <93ob5u$gpd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g746ws1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.80
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 22:49:30 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.80
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12507

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g746ws1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
  "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> On Sat, 13 Jan 2001 01:31:42 GMT, Brian wrote:
>
> >I would write to Pennsylvania Avenue
> >for a confirmation letter that she is coded.
>
>   What is on "Pennsylvania Avenue"? Certainly not the FCC.

Legacy building time is running short. Better hurry.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1802545683800687040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 15:10:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.textport.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 22:58:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <93qmi7$7kb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A5F72FA.5356A96@IEEE.org>
<93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A60A5B1.4871F3E9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.80
To: Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 22:58:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)

X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.80
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12508

In article <3A60A5B1.4871F3E9@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> W4JLE wrote:
> > You would loose that bet as well Cecil, I still am better than you
because I
> > do CW ...
>
> No offense, Fred, but if you are not joking, you need some
> professional help.

Cecil, hint, hint. This guy is from 3950. Go to QRZ and follow his
link.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3189726083086720037
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 15:22:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:06:40 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <93qn1t$7r2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com> <93pop7$gvq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93q86v$aid$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.80
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 23:06:40 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.80
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12509

In article <93q86v$aid$1@iac5.navix.net>,
  "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> Brian, I will answer your post later. I am in the process of
practicing how
> to send poorly formed characters to screw over the inferior

technicians that
> have to use computers to copy code.
>
> 73 Fred W4JLE

Hey, slick, do you send 35wpm on 3950? Rhetorical question. Don't
answer. Go back to 75M fone. Riley will cite you sooner or later.

Sayonara baby.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6292356887857227290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 15:22:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!diablo.netcom.net.uk!netcom.net.uk!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:11:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <93qnau$85m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com> <93q835$ago$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A60A7EF.58BEB324@IEEE.org> <93qik6$ldi$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.80
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 23:11:30 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.80
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12510

In article <93qik6$ldi$1@iac5.navix.net>,
  "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> Pseudo? You may consider it pseudo, but if you can't utilize Morse for
> sending/receiving traffic your opinion doesn't count...
>
> Real hams send dit's and dah's, the rest try to find something else to
> establish their pseudo self esteem.

Hey slick, I'll listen for your dits and dahs on 3950.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -2875888171617848449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 15:31:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:16:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 74
Message-ID: <93qnk9$892$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A60627B.4A5B5E86@arrl.org> <20010113161208.22631.00000504@nso-
fz.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.197.71
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 23:16:29 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 152.163.197.71
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12511
```

In article <20010113161208.22631.00000504@nso-fz.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> All the members liked that? Some members liked that? A few
> members liked that? With 170 thousand or so members in the
> ARRL, was there also a Poll done to ascertain who liked what?
> How does the ARRL decide on what is liked or disliked?

Perhaps a "Letter to the Editor"...??? Gallup and other polls manage to determine to within a certain percentage what others are thinking by sampling only a meager number.

> Jon, from what you've written, I daresy you are rather
> uncomfortable with my opinion.

Nothing in Jon's letter revealed any "discomfort"...Disdain, perhaps...but NOT discomfort.

> As a non-subscriber to QST, I cannot hope to compete with an
> ARRL staffer who has ready access to the full line of ARRL
> publications. I am not critiquing individual QST articles but
> rather expressing an opinion on the attitude in general of the
> ARRL relative to morse code...over the last few decades...and
> the attitude of the general membership of the ARRL relative to

> morse code proficiency being the paramount achievement in
> US amateur radio.

You admittedly don't subscribe. Unless you are using this as a smoke screen for reading other's copies (mooching) or paying full price at the stand, HOW can you even BEGIN to form an INFORMED opinion on what ARRL members think when you don't have the journal in front of you?

Is this the type of investigative research that ENGINEERS are known for, Len?

> I readily admit to not knowing the entire scope of amateur
> radio activity as practiced by over a half million licensed US
> radio amateurs.

Then the MAJORITY of your posts and rants in this NG are, esentially, baseless. Without the scientific employment of true polling techniques, your "opinions" are at best, nothing but emotional sludge. A hatred built upon your perceptions against Amateurs based upon your military and dubious professional experiences, but in no way based upon any practical experience as a Ham.

> To be fair, you should admit the very same
> thing. Being an ARRL staff member does not give you some
> magic powers to know the entire scope of amateur radio
> activity...or radio activity that is possibly related to amateur
> activity.

No magic powers, to be sure, but he, UNLIKE YOU, is involved in the day-to-day activities of Amatuer Radio. I would take any one sentence of Jon's assertions over any 10 pages of quotes with footnotes from you simply because I know he's knee deep in Amatuer Radio.

He knows. You don't.

Perhap if YOU were, your posts might start to reflect some reality. But I doubt it.

Steve

--
"If you're not living life on the edge, you're taking up too much space..."

http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html
http://www.qrz.com/k4yz/

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7027625654402172946

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 15:38:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 18:36:23 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <93qort$qr5$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A5F72FA.5356A96@IEEE.org>
<93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A60A5B1.4871F3E9@IEEE.org>
<93qmi7$7kb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.171.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979429053 27493 166.102.250.171 (13 Jan 2001 23:37:33 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jan 2001 23:37:33 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12512

Not much CW on 3950 Brian. I guess if the local newspaper reports a killing, you assume they are murderers?

While I may or may not support any activity on any freq, the fact I have a link to a site does not imply, nor should you infer my personal position on that link.

The only other person who tried to make these type of connections lives in Mass and is a certifiable nut case, should I infer that you are as well?

I am sorry that your little butt is chapped because you don't know, nor can you learn CW, but that's the way life goes!

"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:93qmi7$7kb$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A60A5B1.4871F3E9@IEEE.org>,
>   Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > W4JLE wrote:
> > > You would loose that bet as well Cecil, I still am better than you
> because I
> > > do CW ...
> >
> > No offense, Fred, but if you are not joking, you need some
> > professional help.
>

```
> Cecil, hint, hint.  This guy is from 3950.  Go to QRZ and follow his
> link.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From -5189690469681619l6
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 15:41:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:31:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 72
Message-ID: <93qohc$92a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-
da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com>
<93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93os9p$t0i$0@208.207.71.142>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.80
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 23:31:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.80
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12513

In article <93os9p$t0i$0@208.207.71.142>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> >   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> pontificated sanctimoniously:
> >
> > > FISTS says:  ACCURACY TRANSCENDS SPEED, COURTESY AT ALL TIMES
> > >
> > > You disagree?
>
>  Of course I agree.
>  But as usual, the exception to the rule always seems to show up. This
> time it's you. It really is too bad that you didn't grow up and mature
> into a real man before you showed up on RRAP. You might have showed
> some potential as true
> Real Ham �. Instead, looks like you're determined to spend the rest of
> your ham radio involvement as a malcontent, protesting your own
```

> inadequacies by pointing the finger anywhere else. You said that you
> might just learn the code once no one was "forcing" you to do it. And
> now this nonsense. So much for your "plans".
>
> Accuracy is more important than speed most CW operations, it's true.

How dare you agree with me. Take it back. Take it back!

> Courtesy at all times is definately the preferred rule, but on rare
> occasions a belligerant, pugnacions induhvidual will appear and insist
> on blaming all his personal shortcomings and immaturities on those who
> he envies, becoming critical and abusive, generating a regretable
> exception to the most desirable rule.

I expect that you've run into may people like yourself. Birds of a feather...

> And in your case, of course you have no idea what a CW test actually
> amounts to. When you take (took) a test at the FCC office they require
> you to copy a message to paper, any way you can without aid, and when
> they required the applicant to send code, they had you send a few words
> from a printed sheet. If the examiner could copy what you sent you
> passed.

Unfortuantely I passed via the 100% copy route.

> Your assinine assertion that some vaporous "specification" must be
> adhered to is one of the most brainless ideas you have had to date,

Vaporous? Morse Code is vaporous? OK, but do you mind if I quote you?

> and that includes a considerable number of brainless ideas.

So you really don't KNOW CODE?

> The idea that FCC, while downgrading all code speeds should then invoke
> some closely toleranced "specification" shows just how far you are from
> reality.

Wake up Rip Van Winkle. The specification of Morse Code hasn't changed much since 1834. You've had 166 years to get it right.

> But that's nothing new for you, is it?

Dick, go join your bud, JLE (Jelly) on 3950 and show us the man you've become. Oh yeh, be sure to use code and send accurately, unless you're the drunk one who utters the vulgarities.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6471617027267007700
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 15:41:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:32:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 68
Message-ID: <93qoid$92g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <D2O76.774$e5.1917786@news.ntplx.net>
<20010113011929.10105.00000261@nso-bh.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.197.71
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 23:32:29 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 152.163.197.71
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12514

In article <20010113011929.10105.00000261@nso-bh.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> I choose my words to convey meanings, Ed, but your sentence
> doesn't answer my question.

How could you tell, Len? You still can't answer direct questions put to you with unambivilent parameters.

> Ok, so I'm using my early adult experiences. Military
> communications isn't amateur radio communications, but is
> amateur communications requiring guessing of morse
> characters?

Perhaps if you were ACTIVE on Amateur Radio traffic nets you'd KNOW that "by guess and by golly" are NOT standards for "word counts" or missed words. REGARDLESS of the mode.

> Is guessing necessary in all those ham traffic networks
> using only morse? Several long-timers have made much
> of those nets and their vital information on health and
> welfare. Seems to me that message content should have
> accuracy in content. Maybe I'm wrong but I thought that
> someone's health and welfare depends on accuracy, not
> guesses.

I have missed far fewer "charachters" on Morse nets. You don't have accents, speech imediments or other anomolies of the human factor.

You can equally discount those anomolies in digital traffic, but not everyone carries a laptop and TNC with them. Simplicity.

> There's no polls available to verify all those who've expressed
> an interest in amateur radio but were turned away by the
> code test requirement. That might explain the quarter million
> no-code-test Technicians that joined the US amateur ranks
> in the last decade...even though so many long-time amateurs
> openly hate and despise Techs.

The quarter million NCT's to whom you refer have not stopped at NCT, either, Len. Some have, but most have at least taken the 5wpm to be a "Tech Plus", if not General.

The license demographics are fluid and dynamic. Nailing them down in ANY kind of a poll would require a very wide spectrum polling.

> "Thousands upon thousands" is a carefully chosen term I
> wrote, not "thousands of thousands." My careful choice can
> be interpreted as either several thousand, a whole million, or
> some number in between. Your choice. Can you prove me
> wrong on something that hasn't been quantified?

And proof that YOUR forte is wordsmithing, NOT Amateur Radio.

73

Steve

--
"If you're not living life on the edge, you're taking up too much
space..."

http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html
http://www.qrz.com/k4yz/

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 6683303269248798921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 15:49:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news1.tor.metronet.ca!news1.mtl.metronet.ca!
sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 13 Jan 2001 23:46:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <93qpd0$38v$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <93le97$13a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112075156.07248.00001194@ng-da1.aol.com> <93nvr8$76l$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <c4O76.775$e5.1918140@news.ntplx.net> <93osfr$t4n$0@208.207.71.142> <93piie$d17$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12515

Brian wrote:
>
> In article <93osfr$t4n$0@208.207.71.142>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> > >
> > > Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > Do you intend to engage in poor amateur practice?
> > >
> > > I would not do so on purpose, Brian. It might be interesting to see
> how the
> > > equipment decodes the J38, and we could even try a bit of banana
> boat to
> > > test the limits, but I always try to send the best I can, by hand or
> with
> > > the keyer.
> > >
> >
> > Ed, do him a favor and clue him in on how ridiculous he really is. He
> > won't listen to just anyone, he's too busy keeping the chips balanced
> on
> > both shoulders.
>
> I certainly won't take any advice from you. You advovate, if not engage
> in poor amateur practice. I would have expected better from an old
> Extra and VE.
>

 You sometimes seem to have a wild imagination, but all to frequently
you prove yourself to be simply delusional instead.

```
From 8992456760763305311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 15:50:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newspeer.monmouth.com!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:38:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <93qost$9dr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010112174503.14777.00000438@nso-
bd.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.197.71
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 23:38:06 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 152.163.197.71
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12516

In article <20010112174503.14777.00000438@nso-bd.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Oh, my, a general purpose Troll posting involving Patriotism and
> the American Way of Life for white Protestants.

     Oh my, general purpose creep who disdains anything Patriotic
or "The American Way of Life", and continuing to make irrelevant and
racist commnets where none was offered.

     Andersonian to the hilt.
--
"If you're not living life on the edge, you're taking up too much
space..."

http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html
http://www.qrz.com/k4yz/


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 7507115683813843116
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 15:52:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 13 Jan 2001 23:47:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <93qpf0$38v$1@208.207.71.147>
References: <r3rj5tgp6q798gv6b9i1lu7v2qe4kov2qe@4ax.com>
<93dkjf$i8k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A5C0FDE.7761D3F3@dvol.com>
<93j2mn$v95$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93j9nr$prr$1@208.207.71.163>
<93n6ln$ehr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93op5f$l7r$0@208.207.71.142>
<93pip3$d3e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12517



Brian wrote:
>
> In article <93op5f$l7r$0@208.207.71.142>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Brian wrote:
>
> > > I understand this:
> > >
> > > E1A16 @E1A14 (D) [97.211b]
> > >
> > > When may a station use special codes intended to obscure the meaning
> > > of messages?
> > >
> > > A.  Never under any circumstances
> > > B.  Only when a Special Temporary Authority has been obtained from
> the
> > >     FCC
> > > C.  Only when a Pre-1999 Extra class operator is controlling the
> station
> > > D.  When sending telecommand messages to a station in space
> operation
> >
> >   Typically, you have no idea what you're talking about.
>
> I have an idea what you are talking about, and it sucks.
```

With all the personal experience you have you'd know about "sucks".

From 3392897914675337940
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 15:52:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 13 Jan 2001 23:50:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <93qpjd$38v$2@208.207.71.147>
References: <20010110213427.09590.00000380@nso-bk.aol.com> <20010111074718.03672.00001163@ng-fc1.aol.com> <93kidl$ls1$0@pita.alt.net> <93klth$re6$1@208.207.71.157> <3A5DDE6B.A86B9285@IEEE.org> <93kusa$3di$0@208.207.71.157> <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <93ln6m$81v$3@208.206.142.98> <3A5E6157.4792E740@IEEE.org> <IYG76.743$e5.1890881@news.ntplx.net> <3A5F4D0D.86EF4BF0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12518

Cecil wrote:
>
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> >
> > Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > Never in all my years on the air have I heard - .-. ..- - ....
> > > > Never shall I.
> >
> > > So you don't believe in truth. Why am I not surprised?
> >
> > Do you really think that Dick meant that, or are you just "debating?"
>
> I'm just treating Dick exactly the way he treats me. He interprets
> my postings in the worst possible light. I thought it was funny that
> he said: "Never in all my years on the air have I heard 'truth'". :-)
> If CW operators never send - .-. ..- - .... then they obviously

> are not interested in 'truth'. Get it? :-)
>
> > I think he meant he has never heard visual dots and dashes. I think that he
> > would agree that he has heard
> > dah--di-dah-dit--di-di-dah--dah--di-di-di-dit where "dit" and "dah" are
> > generally taken by hams to be the sounds of the tones and spacing of Morse
> > code.
>
> I inferred it to mean that he had never heard the English word 'truth'
> sent using CW on the air. It never occurred to me that he might be
> talking about visual dots and dashes.

That a real problem with you, you're separated from reality far too much.

```
From -9080962263394593337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:02:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!oleane.net!oleane!
newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:52:24 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <93qpnn$9um$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net>
<hco06tob8mif5v1je57f1q3tdtg3urlnrj@4ax.com> <3A60688E.F89B8B80@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.80
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 23:52:24 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.80
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12519
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10273
```

In article <3A60688E.F89B8B80@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> helmsman wrote:
> > Gore lost fair and square and the Democratic party is a bunch of
> > loosers.
>
> Make that sore losers looking for revenge.

Haven't they hurt enough people already? They've made generations of

Americans dependant upon them, they wave the racism flag while making every American declare their color on government forms, they embrace socialism and want to abandon the greatest form of government that has ever graced the earth.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6040757960568547701
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:03:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 13 Jan 2001 23:59:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <93qq45$38v$3@208.207.71.147>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12520

SHHHHHH! Fred, Brian is too immature and unlearned to know the difference. Makes his goat real easy to lead around by the nose.

W4JLE wrote:
>
> Maybe I couldn't tell him about the Birthday party, but I bet I can exchange
> a signal report and get a QSL card. Try that on phone if neither of you
> truly does not understand a single word of the others language.
>
> "Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org...
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > I think of the word "the" when I hear the French word "le."
> >
> > But that wasn't the question. The question was: What do you think of when
> > you hear - .-. ..- - ....   I chose that particular word for a
> > particular reason.

```
> >
> > > This may set Dick off againk, but although Morse code is an encoding
> method, it
> > > can be language like in a number of ways.
> >
> > How would you use Morse code to tell a Japanese ham, who knows Morse code
> > but doesn't speak any English, how much fun you had at your birthday
> party?
> > --
> > http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -855556375550849292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:04:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!grolier!btnet-peer0!btnet-peer!btnet!news-
hub.cableinet.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!sea.uu.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 13 Jan 2001 23:59:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <93qq5j$38v$4@208.207.71.147>
References: <3A591143.99396417@IEEE.org> <20010107201402.19277.00000531@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A591532.BA749AAE@IEEE.org> <3A595B37.2109F0B2@dvol.com>
<3A59D7AC.38E05154@IEEE.org> <3A59E887.60039C33@dvol.com>
<3A59F0F9.B219370F@IEEE.org> <3A5A70EB.87499A1C@dvol.com>
<3A5A755C.23BEFEC3@IEEE.org> <3A5B5A74.804F08B6@uci.edu>
<3A5B639B.2C96C203@IEEE.org> <3A5B98FA.1AED92FF@uci.edu>
<3A5BC7CF.2E5547A8@IEEE.org> <3A5CB89C.A0B78F80@uci.edu>
<3A5D3D1E.42796C2D@IEEE.org> <3A5E06C5.3FCF568A@uci.edu>
<3A5E0F36.5AFA33A9@IEEE.org> <93ln42$81v$2@208.206.142.98>
<3A5E6100.6B697ACB@IEEE.org> <3A5F64B0.D1798F66@uci.edu>
<3A5F6CBB.F284EB6E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12521



Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >  I'll be patient with you, Cecil. Morse code is a language only to
> > > > operators who learn and practice it to fluency, and to no others. THEY
> > > > must translate it.
> >
> > > Dick, so far you have refused to swear on a Bible that you don't translate
> > > -  .-.  ..-  -  ....  into English.
> >
> > Translate?  You mean like translating from another language into
> > English? :-)
>
> Ok, used the wrong word. Should have said "decode" instead of "translate".


 And Cecil says his slips are never Fruedian.


From -9202449222822520249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:06:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
newsfeed.avtel.net!news.callamer.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 14 Jan 2001 00:01:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <93qq8e$38v$5@208.207.71.147>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A5F6ED0.D9D20F83@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
X-Received-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 16:04:01 PST (newsfeed.avtel.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12522



Cecil wrote:
>
> W4JLE wrote:
> > Maybe I couldn't tell him about the Birthday party, but I bet I can exchange
```

> > a signal report and get a QSL card. Try that on phone if neither of you
> > truly does not understand a single word of the others language.
>
> Works just fine on PACTOR with no hint of Morse code. So you must
> believe that PACTOR is a language?

Can you do that on PACTOR with a single active device?

From 2831483213996372736
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1db2adc32a604291,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 82
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com (MailfrmPA)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 00:09:13 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Lets Sing For President Un-Elected Bush!
Message-ID: <20010113190913.15644.00001463@ng-df1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12523

> > The Kennebunkport Hillbilly
>
> > > > (sung to the tune of The Beverly Hillbillies Theme Song)
>
> > > >
>
> > > > Come and listen to my story 'bout a boy name Bush.
>
> > > > His IQ was zero and his head was up his tush.
>
> > > > He drank like a fish while he drove all about.
>
> > > > But that didn't matter 'cuz his daddy bailed him out.
>
> > > > DUI, that is. Criminal record. Cover-up.
>
> > > >
>
> > > > Well, the first thing you know little Georgie goes to Yale.
>
> > > > He can't spell his name but they never let him fail.
>
> > > > He spends all his time hangin' out with student folk.
>
> > > > And that's when he learns how to snort a line of coke.

>
> > > > Blow, that is. White gold. Nose candy.
>
> > > >
>
> > > > The next thing you know there's a war in Vietnam.
>
> > > > Kin folks say, "George, stay at home with Mom."
>
> > > > Let the common people get maimed and scarred.
>
> > > > We'll buy you a spot in the Texas Air Guard.
>
> > > > Cushy, that is. Country clubs. Nose candy.
>
> > > >
>
> > > > Twenty years later George gets a little bored.
>
> > > > He trades in the booze, says that Jesus is his Lord.
>
> > > > He said, "Now the White House is the place I wanna be."
>
> > > > So he called his daddy's friends and they called the GOP.
>
> > > > Gun owners, that is. Falwell. Jesse Helms.
>
> > > >
>
> > > > Come November 7, the election ran late.
>
> > > > Kin folks said "Jeb, give the boy your state!"
>
> > > > "Don't let those colored folks get into the polls."
>
> > > > So they put up barricades so they couldn't punch their holes.
>
> > > > Chads, that is. Duval County. Miami-Dade.
>
> > > >
>
> > > > Before the votes were counted five Supremes stepped in.
>
> > > > Told all the voters "Hey, we want George to win."
>
> > > > "Stop counting votes!" was their solemn invocation.
>
> > > > And that's how George finally got his coronation.
>
> > > > Rigged, that is. Illegitimate. No moral authority.
>
> > > >
>

```
> > > > Y'all come vote now. Ya hear?
>
>




From 2076357332362694121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:12:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.media.kyoto-u.ac.jp!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!sea-feed.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!news.u.washington.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 14 Jan 2001 00:08:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <93qql7$7mk$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A5F72FA.5356A96@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12524



Cecil wrote:
>
> W4JLE wrote:
> > As a ham for almost 45 years, and 62 years on the planet ...
> > I really feel it (CW) makes me better than you!
>
> Tough Titty, Fred. I'm the same age as you, have been a ham five years
> longer than you, and I say you are not a better ham than I am simply
> because you can copy CW faster than I can. I'll bet I can run circles
> around you in the programming of DSP systems and/or designing digital
> logic systems. That obviously makes me better than you. (How do you like
> your very own words thrown back at you?)
>
> Each of us has something to contribute to this great hobby. Feeling
> superior because you can do fast code is a ridiculous way to live your
> life, IMHO, because as CW becomes more obsolete, you lose your self-
> proclaimed superiority. In my mouth with no hands, I can tie a cherry
> stem in a knot while copying PACTOR II. Can you do that? If not, you
> are certainly an extremely inferior ham.
```

It requires one active debvice to tie the knot and then how many tens of thousands or millions to do the PACRTOR? Meanwhile with a handful of active devices Fred works the world on CW, using his personally learned and developed skill. All the programming you can do is for naught without the complicated hardware to implement it, assuming the software doesn't lock up the machinery instead of working as intended-far too often the case.

You'll never win this argument. There is no mode that can favorably compare with CW on its own turf. The only way you can kill it is to do your best to downplay its advantages so that newcomers won't want to learn it.

```
From 5454256264166359888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:12:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!newspeer.monmouth.com!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:59:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <93qq4s$aae$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.80
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 13 23:59:26 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.80
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12525
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10274
```

In article <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>,
Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > In spite of several days of testimony, and weeks of gathering
> > information, the commission has yet to find any evidence of illegal
> > activity on the part of Florida voting officials, or a single
> instance
> > of intentional civil rights violation.
>
> I thought it interesting that they didn't produce a single witness
> who was denied his/her right to vote. All the witnesses voted! The
> worst complaint was that there was a delay or something made someone

> nervous. Jesse Jackson was conspicuous by his absence.

I "heard" from a bed-wetting liberal that police "roadblocks" (probably traffic control near the polling locations) were scaring away minority voters. No reason to be scared unless they didn't have a green card or had a warrant out for them.

Besides, most cops I see are minorities. I just don't get it.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 5693695568402062349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:16:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 14 Jan 2001 00:11:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <3A5DAE9B.3FB38A75@dvol.com> <20010112012413.24296.00000617@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3A5F755C.988210A1@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12526
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Len Way Over 21 wrote:
> > It would seem the anti-SS proponents fear the unfamiliar
> > and the non-traditional...probably because most aren't sure what
> > Spread-Spectrum is about, how it works, and so forth.
>
> Sure does seem like a lot of fun, though. 8-|
>
> > I don't understand this demonstrated-implicit fear.
>
> Could be fear of all the fun we've been missing out on. 8-|

>
> Think of all the people we could be talking to that we
> can't talk to now! 8-|
>
> Sorry, Len. You do make good points about SS. If only it
> weren't so darn eye-glazingly boring. For amateur radio
> at present, it's a solution to a non-existant problem.
> That may change, however.

Can't you just imagine? Instead of tuning the band for the 'weak ones'
we can tune among the spreading sequences to see who's on the air today.
Whoopie!

```
From 1945716922007729116
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:18:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.sttls1.wa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
Reply-To: "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
From: "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <L4S_5.7935$KY1.21527@news1.rivrw1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
<3a6041af.3207830@news.accessnv.com> <H8086.280$V6.175928@nntp1.onemain.com>
<rE086.260540$U46.8293907@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
<W3486.315$H3.802414@nntp2.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Nd686.260945$U46.8354995@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 00:16:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.176.5.208
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 979431405 24.176.5.208 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001
16:16:45 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 16:16:45 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:656 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10276
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12527
```

"Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message

news:W3486.315$H3.802414@nntp2.onemain.com...
>
>
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message.....
> > And everyplace you go spell what you see in Morse code. Really.
>
> Good idea..... used to do that with every sign I'd see, license plates,
and
> even sometimes with the newspaper... any practice is good practice.

Not as boring and you find you are spending a whole lot of time practicing
without really trying.

Just don't pay attention to that dit dah crap if its still around. Such
nonsense. They sound the same ones just longer than the other.

```
From 3367633237279595843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:26:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!216.218.236.179.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 18:15:33 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A60EFA5.39B745E7@IEEE.org>
References: <3A5DAE9B.3FB38A75@dvol.com> <20010112012413.24296.00000617@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3A5F755C.988210A1@uci.edu> <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.b8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 00:24:15 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12528
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Can't you just imagine? Instead of tuning the band for the 'weak ones'
> we can tune among the spreading sequences to see who's on the air today.
> Whoopie!

Exactly what is the conceptual difference between looking at a
digital frequency meter and looking at a digital spreading sequence
meter? Is 12345 a frequency or a spreading sequence?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3298232256213271304
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:31:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 00:21:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <93qrd8$b9o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <a3kl5tgns6dev6f2pfu39rceac63019r98@4ax.com>
<93f9uu$sdf$0@208.207.71.150> <93hfaa$fb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93i8tc$57t$0@208.207.71.171> <93iogo$m2t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93j6mr$jfg$0@208.207.71.163> <93lg7a$2vv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93lou2$c9a$1@208.206.142.98> <93mvvh$8ju$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<6WG76.740$e5.1890767@news.ntplx.net> <93opeq$l7r$1@208.207.71.142>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.80
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 00:21:01 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.80
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12529

In article <93opeq$l7r$1@208.207.71.142>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Yet certain ARRL officials advocate that anyone who wants should be
> free to attempt to operate CW anytime and anywhere on the bands they
> wish. So can we then presume that ARRL advocates ignoring certain
rules
> that advance a PC agenda WRT Morse code?

Hey, they couldn't do any worse than you. Swing by 3950 and give your
buds a hi-5 at 65wpm.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8072105130435867116

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:31:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.textport.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newspeer.monmouth.com!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 00:16:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <93qr49$av4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <D2076.774$e5.1917786@news.ntplx.net>
<20010113011929.10105.00000261@nso-bh.aol.com> <93qoid$92g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.80
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 00:16:13 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.80
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12530

In article <93qoid$92g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

> I have missed far fewer characters on Morse nets. You don't
> have accents, speech imediments or other anomolies of the human

factor.

What? No added swing? No Banana Boat Swing? No Lake Erie Swing?
No Eastern Superior Swing? No extra dits at 65wpm?

Just sterile W1AW-like code?

I'm suprised you didn't miss a few karakters from sheer boredom.

You guys butter your bread on both sides, don't you? Not a consistent
one in the whole lot.

Go swing by 3950 and give your good buddies a hi-5.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8584603624489473997
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:32:04 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.u.washington.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 14 Jan 2001 00:23:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <93qrh7$9n1$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <93nucu$5ls$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12531

Brian wrote:
>
don't for one second think you can convince me to accept poor
> amateur practice. Isn't going to happen. My mind is made up.

Yep. Your mind was made up the day you tried to operate the Novice band and no one answered your CQ. That was the end of the beginning for you, and you moaned and whined nonstop, to he exclusion of all other constructive activity, for the next dozen years, until Uncle Sam finally decided that your poor pitiful plight needed relief, in the face of the facts.
So now you claim some sort of expertise on 'poor amateur practice'. Of course you can't recognize it when you see it, since you see it in the mirror each and every morning and haven't yet recognized it for what it is.

From -571919313137536288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 00:24:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <93qriu$bb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-

```
da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com>
<93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93ng5c$o15$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93ni8e$q5h$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nii2$qbg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93nvai$6ko$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93o34i$a04$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93o7uu$e14$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.180.3.143
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 00:24:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.180.3.143
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12532

In article <93o7uu$e14$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Hans, just in case you've forgotten, each dit is one element, each dah
> is three elements, intra-character spacing is one element, inter-
> character spacing is three elements and inter-word spacing is seven
> elements.

I've heard there are a few unimaginative sheep who blindly adhere to
that protocol.  Most good CW ops prefer to add some personalization,
however.  Come back and play when you'd like to be a real CW operator,
as opposed to an automaton.

Good luck on this one now!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"1943 Poster Child for The National Precocious Little Bastard Campaign"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 1894544415007399775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:41:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 18:28:29 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A60F2AD.92954C83@IEEE.org>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A5F6ED0.D9D20F83@IEEE.org> <93qq8e$38v$5@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.b8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 00:37:08 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12533

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Works just fine on PACTOR with no hint of Morse code. So you must
> > believe that PACTOR is a language?
>
>  Can you do that on PACTOR with a single active device?

OK Mr. Genius, please tell us about your one transistor CW transceiver.
Must be nice not to have to have a store bought transceiver.

The point is: If I can use all the Morse short forms in PACTOR, then
if Morse is a language, PACTOR is also a language. Do you really
think PACTOR is a language?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -1338616693610051340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:46:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 18:34:39 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A60F41F.5FDC356E@IEEE.org>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A5F72FA.5356A96@IEEE.org>
<93qql7$7mk$0@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.b8
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 00:42:04 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12534

Dick Carroll wrote:
> It requires one active debvice to tie the knot ...

A transistor can tie a knot in a cherry stem?????

> You'll never win this argument.

I've already won the argument, Dick. I can communicate just as well with
a non-English speaking ham using PACTOR as with Morse code. I can do
it without any Morse code whatsoever. So are you going to tell me that
PACTOR is a language?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -7394178921164586786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
sea.uu.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 14 Jan 2001 00:41:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <93qsiu$c98$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93mu0f$2nl$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5f87ea.2723167@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12535
```

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 12 Jan 2001 12:40:47 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
> <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:
>
> >>Pick-up many college study guides and you'll often find
> >>copies of past tests.
> >
> >Past tests. Not future ones.
> >Vince, WB2EZG
>
> Glad you made that statement Vince. The ONLY
> thing Bash did was publish test questions from PAST
> tests. If that is so then why all the concern?

That wasn't even a credible try at obfuscation. Bash was publishing the questions and answers to **CURRENT** FCC exams. Who would have wanted them if not?

You sure are some logician, Slick.

```
From -4006203270507448659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 14 Jan 2001 00:49:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <93qt1v$c98$1@208.207.71.147>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12536
```

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> >> >To all of you I simply say I am proud of my achievement,
> >> >I really feel it makes me better than you!
> >>
> >> Unfortunately I think this statement is accurate. Sad...
>
> However you failed to comment on my last comment (above), any
> comments? Any retractions? Or do you really feel that you are superior
> to many, perhaps the majority of hams...

When it comes time to do CW, and nothing else, what are you? Inferior
to someone who was too lazy to learn it? Equal? PC?

```
From -513990498098795466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 16:56:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.uswest.net!uunet!chi.uu.net!
sea.uu.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 14 Jan 2001 00:54:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <93qtbr$e3e$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com> <93q835$ago$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A60A7EF.58BEB324@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12537
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> W4JLE wrote:
> > Absolutely I am superior to any ham that cannot send/receive a piece of
> > traffic in Morse, and thank you for asking.
>
> Your superiority and a buck fifty will get you a cup of coffee.

His superiority will get traffic passed on radiotelegraphy. You know it. Brainless Burke knows it. NCI in its entirety knows it. ARRL knows it. FCC knows it, and even acknowledged it- "We believe that knowedge and skill in Morse code ....etc."

So go right and deny it till you're too stiff to work the keyboard, it changes nothing whatever of the facts.

From 424614955506452925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 17:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 14 Jan 2001 01:00:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <93qtnb$f9m$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<93l6k8$phq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93locn$c9a$0@208.206.142.98>
<3A5F61BA.A7541C91@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12538

You seem to be saying that no individual college exam is that important in itself, but the entire course, as evidenced by the degree, is.

Doesn't compute.

Either a test is valid and shows to some degree of confidence that the examinee has absorbed a minimum level of information, else he doesn't pass the test. Any test that doesn't........ well, fails the test.

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Carl, you are obviously involved in education (from your email
> > address). So tell us: Is a test valid in a setting where no mandatory
> > study or other training is required when all the possible *exact* test

> > questions along with all their exact answers are given in advance? When
> > the examinee is given all the time he wants to work with them any way he
> > pleases?
>
> I think you've put your finger on one of the biggest differences between
> a FCC license and a college diploma.
>
> > I'd like to hear from a educational professional on this subject. I
> > completed almost all of a degree in secondary ed. (I wasn't able to take
> > three months off work to do student teaching and didn't need the degree
> > then in my job so put it on hold and then worked on to retirement
> > without finishing it). So I have had some study, now a little old, in
> > the subject. I can't recall ever even hearing of such a scheme being
> > described as credible. I know that no school I ever attended would have
> > considered it to be valid.
>
> I think you'd agree that getting the college degree is considerably more
> difficult than getting a ham radio license. I'd estimate that studying
> for an Extra class license would be comparable to 4 or 5 upper division
> units at a university - with considerably less writing. The college
> degree demonstrates the completion of a broad course of study. An FCC
> license allows someone to operate a two-way radio station - which in the
> grander scheme of things, is generally not a very demanding kind of
> activity. The Extra class license is almost comparable to the
> commercial radio license, and the responsibilities less demanding than
> those in commercial broadcast. Things seem scaled pretty well to me,
> Dick.
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

From -3292405485462359674
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 17:05:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 14 Jan 2001 01:02:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <93qtqd$f9m$1@208.207.71.147>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<20010112073117.07248.00001185@ng-da1.aol.com> <3A5F4A89.D603EB4A@IEEE.org>
<93o0uf$81k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12539

Brian wrote:
>
> In article <3A5F4A89.D603EB4A@IEEE.org>,
>   Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > My life expectancy is about 30 years. How about we give it at least
> that long
> > > before we pronounce the mode dead. I will do my part. :-)
> >
> > If CW will give me 30 more years, I'll be glad to talk to you. :-)
>
> Deal. Lets set up a sked in 2030.

No use. You'll still be bitching and complaining that someone wants you
to either learn the code or shut up abojut it.

From -2426497577770179612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 17:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 18:53:42 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A60F896.B3DBDB41@IEEE.org>
References: <3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93mu0f$2nl$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5f87ea.2723167@news.mindspring.com> <93qsiu$c98$0@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.b8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 01:01:02 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12540

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Bash was publishing
> the questions and answers to **CURRENT** FCC exams.

Maybe so, maybe not. It would depend on how often the FCC changed
the exams and/or how many different exams they had, wouldn't it?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -3350550623331710361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 17:10:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 20:07:02 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <93qu5t$vp$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com> <93qt1v$c98$1@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.171.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979434493 1017 166.102.250.171 (14 Jan 2001 01:08:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Jan 2001 01:08:13 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12541
```

Isn't it amazing how the politically correct view pride in anything as "sick".

If they can not achieve, the rest of society must dumb down so they won't feel inferior.

And woe be it unto the person who dares to excel at anything, they have to be racists living in the past. I had to work racist in there somewhere, they always do.

Poor Aaron, can't copy CW and he needs to have someone tell him it is because he is a better person than those prideful old hams that can.

Aaron meet Brian, you can commiserate with each other, only on phone of course!

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message

```
news:93qt1v$c98$1@208.207.71.147...
>
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > >> >To all of you I simply say I am proud of my achievement,
> > >> >I really feel it makes me better than you!
> > >>
> > >> Unfortunately I think this statement is accurate. Sad...
> >
> > However you failed to comment on my last comment (above), any
> > comments? Any retractions? Or do you really feel that you are superior
> > to many, perhaps the majority of hams...
>
>  When it comes time to do CW, and nothing else, what are you? Inferior
> to someone who was too lazy to learn it? Equal? PC?




From 8120237811284802980
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 17:10:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 18:58:43 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A60F9C3.3138B29C@IEEE.org>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com> <93q835$ago$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A60A7EF.58BEB324@IEEE.org> <93qtbr$e3e$0@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.b8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 01:06:05 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12542

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Your superiority and a buck fifty will get you a cup of coffee.
>
```

```
>  His superiority will get traffic passed on radiotelegraphy.

Whoopdeedoo Dick, I'll bet the Internet is shaking in its boots.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -2693757185618936188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 17:12:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 19:00:52 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A60FA44.3EB5BFD2@IEEE.org>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com> <93qt1v$c98$1@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.b8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 01:08:12 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12543

Dick Carroll wrote:
> When it comes time to do CW, and nothing else, what are you?

Mostly bored. Exactly how many RSTs do I need to be satisfied?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 3711160197751123101
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 17:14:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <j0v16tgfh2uuot0h75d8t2ep164768t5rs@4ax.com>
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com>
<02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com>
<3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142>
<3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com>
<h7316tcuqnklfilutpfjg998vmc324ek7u@4ax.com>
<3a60d097.5263493@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 01:12:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.68
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979434756 209.86.211.68 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 17:12:36 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 17:12:36 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12544

billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:
>Please elaborate on what theft has occured?

You seemed to be blaming the FCC for being careless about not changing the tests to thwart Bash. My analogy was that it is like blaming the theft victim for being careless with her property, or blaming the professor who is not careful with his tests...

>I wasn't in any fraternity.

Nice sidestepping. Was dance your major?   :-)

>The question is WHY is the material confidential?
>Clearly the congress thinks there's no reason to have
>confidentiality of such material...and I agree.

More sidestepping. The question was the morality of the Bash situation. Try not to give in so much to the politician in you... :-)

>So how come colleges seem to do just fine
> without such laws?

They have rules. Do you really think trying Bash's technique at a college would fly?? You would be out on your *** quick...

From 6801980425468653989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 17:14:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <a3v16tooqliuu4i3uujf38f2o03k9kopl3@4ax.com>
References: <20010109041546.07593.00000481@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010109075813.29930.00000755@ng-cb1.aol.com> <93fjvb$t7j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<QEM66.652$e5.1665203@news.ntplx.net> <93g9lq$bfj$1@iac5.navix.net>
<iEO66.672$e5.1676490@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 01:12:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.68
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979434754 209.86.211.68 (Sat, 13 Jan
2001 17:12:34 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 17:12:34 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12547

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>how many hams feel they have as much time
>available as they did 10 or 20 years ago?

That's because there was no rrap 20 years ago...   :-)


From 6965628980630154634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 17:14:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <c8t16tk9rd0j831ld8ceanaqcgcqip2s5m@4ax.com>
References: <3A5DB623.D989E80@dvol.com> <20010112012351.24296.00000616@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3A604D6A.D6BB32BD@dvol.com>
<od316tgnetnbhg83msq4bgrkq29ot3tavg@4ax.com> <3A609F92.AC8894ED@dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 01:12:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.68
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979434754 209.86.211.68 (Sat, 13 Jan
2001 17:12:34 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 17:12:34 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12546

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

>>Aaron Jones wrote:
>> Hams can't really homebrew state of the art gear anymore.

>I don't think that's 100% true, but close. The state of the art today is
>set by far-end professionals in the biz...

Agreed. I have never thought that it was the *amateurs* fault. The
same thing has happened in other hobbies. Cars and computers are two
examples that come to mind...





From -1075230406373265797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 17:14:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <s3v16tspubvemm3psms8bb3t9tm1hfm2si@4ax.com>
References: <fs006t83vqdnu8jgk2nl43sh3abh48efjm@4ax.com>
<20010113161207.22631.00000503@nso-fz.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 01:12:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.68
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979434753 209.86.211.68 (Sat, 13 Jan
2001 17:12:33 PST)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 17:12:33 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12545

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>When you mentioned a morse decoder using an 8080
>CPU with 16K of memory

Wrong Len.
That was *4KB* of memory, not 16KB..

>you didn't really say anything about its performance.

Wrong again Len.
I said it did a "great job"...

>You really only said one existed based on remembering
>one seen as an article in a magazine.

Wrong again Len.
I said my old computer had used such a program.

Here is my original quote:
"...My old Interact computer using an 8080 at 1 MHz
with only *4KB* of memory did a great job of Morse and
RTTY decoding..."

Your memory seems somewhat faulty Len,
maybe you need to upgrade... :-)

From -7090407881210660586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 17:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!sea.uu.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 14 Jan 2001 01:27:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <93qv9l$kdr$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <t5uubu9qjmi412@corp.supernews.com> <93o649$chk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12548

Brian wrote:

> Leland, I have a suspicion that Fred learned code in the military

Typically you construct your entire body of "evidence" around a
personal suspicion. You will NEVER learn, and not just the code.

From -3850911769312347042
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 17:38:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp-out.monmouth.com!
newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 14 Jan 2001 01:33:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <93qvm7$llk$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <a3kl5tgns6dev6f2pfu39rceac63019r98@4ax.com>
<93f9uu$sdf$0@208.207.71.150> <93hfaa$fb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93i8tc$57t$0@208.207.71.171> <93iogo$m2t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93j6mr$jfg$0@208.207.71.163> <93lg7a$2vv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93lou2$c9a$1@208.206.142.98> <93mvvh$8ju$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<6WG76.740$e5.1890767@news.ntplx.net> <93o0en$7p2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<AsN76.766$e5.1915216@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12549

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > Ed, I know that you know what I thought you said, but I don't know that
> > you know what I understood.

>
> Whew! I don't think I will ever follow that one. Let me just answer your
> question.
>
> > Are you saying that sending which was so bad as to constitute "poor
> > amateur practice" ocurred outside the Novice subbands?
>
> Yes, indeed. The ones that stick in my mind were, I believe, on 40 CW. I
> don't know whether they were in the General or Extra sub-bands (nor do I
> think it really matters). Nor do I really hold any ill will against the
> sender; that code could have been poorly sent for any of a number of
> reasons.

It happens. Some are the victim of strokes, and may have no facility of speech remaining. A coiuple years ago I heard a very hesitant extremely poorly sent CQ and answered it. The copy was horrendous, barely possible at all. The station was an Extra class, had a very old callsign, over 30 years old, and was not a vanity callsign. We completed the contact with difficulty. I'd wager that fellow had some sort of extreme physical impairment. That may well have been the *only* means he had of communicating at all. It happens. I've read of quadruplegics who use a "puffer" switch to key their rigs by blowing air through a straw.
 But BrianBurkeTurkey would have Riley cite those guys for "poor amateur practice". Shows where he's coming from. As far as I'm cncerned he can go back.

```
From 8146334658997585321
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 01:56:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <93r10u$f93$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A5F72FA.5356A96@IEEE.org>
<93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A60A5B1.4871F3E9@IEEE.org>
<93qmi7$7kb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93qort$qr5$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.199
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 01:56:48 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.199
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12550
```

In article <93qort$qr5$1@iac5.navix.net>,

"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> Not much CW on 3950 Brian. I guess if the local newspaper reports a
killing,
> you assume they are murderers?
>
> While I may or may not support any activity on any freq, the fact I
have a
> link to a site does not imply, nor should you infer my personal
position on
> that link.

Oh, innocent bystander? Rogue OO?

> The only other person who tried to make these type of connections
lives in
> Mass and is a certifiable nut case, should I infer that you are as
well?

Infer what you like.

> I am sorry that your little butt is chapped because you don't know,
nor can
> you learn CW, but that's the way life goes!

Fred, real hams don't have little butts. You otta know that by now.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8006803747345056699
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:12:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 14 Jan 2001 02:08:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <93r1mo$r3q$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <ekpr5t0jd9cpf8u02rerj1ualb9f1e54vh@4ax.com>
<20010112072952.07248.00001184@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n7cs$f84$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93opn2$mrn$0@208.207.71.142> <93pj9u$df7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12551

 Your problem, in part, is one of perception, and it shows evidence of
being a problem of lifelong standing. At this late juncture it seems
unlikely to be cured in time to do you much good. Sad.

Brian wrote:
>
> In article <93opn2$mrn$0@208.207.71.142>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Brian Burke  (N0MiND) wrote:
> >
> > > You cannot stop a madman.
> >
> >  If nothing more you surely have proved that. Anyone who
> > self-flaggelates as you have over the past 15 years in a vain attempt
> to
> > conform the rest of the world to your own personal specifications by
> > denyingyourself as you have is either an isolated monk on a remote
> > mountaintop or a total nut.  We all know the correct answer to that
> > question with no aid  whatever from the Question Pool's exact answers.
>
> Dick, you'll always find opposition from me when you advocate poor
> amateur practice.  All you have to do is reverse yourself.
>
> I don't know what it is about these OF's that think just because they
> have more time in the chow hall, they own the ARS.  It doesn't work that
> way.  When you're wrong, you're wrong.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/


From 6834931682728671562
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:02:32 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <93r1bm$fkb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <93nucu$5ls$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93qrh7$9n1$0@208.207.71.147>
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.199
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 02:02:32 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.199
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12552

In article <93qrh7$9n1$0@208.207.71.147>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> don't for one second think you can convince me to accept poor
> > amateur practice.  Isn't going to happen.  My mind is made up.
>
>  Yep. Your mind was made up the day you tried to operate the Novice
band
> and no one answered your CQ. That was the end of the beginning for
you,
> and you moaned and whined nonstop, to he exclusion of all other
> constructive activity, for the next dozen years, until Uncle Sam
finally
> decided that your poor pitiful plight needed relief, in the face of
the
> facts.
>  So now you claim some sort of expertise on 'poor amateur practice'.
Of
> course you can't recognize it when you see it, since you see it in the
> mirror each and every morning and haven't yet recognized it for what
it
> is.

Dick, your powers of reverse psychology are underwhelming.  Now that
Kennard has resigned, who are you going to blame for demeaning your
station in life?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 53356801775166328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,37de0fc91c2c030c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:16:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
```

Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Proof of License
In-Reply-To: <sRM76.182$_B2.69443@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10101140214280.20021-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <sRM76.182$_B2.69443@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 10
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:14:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.5
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 979438466 12.80.44.5 (Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:14:26 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:14:26 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12553

On Fri, 12 Jan 2001, Keith E. Brandt, M.D. wrote:

> My XYL received her technician license back in the good-old-days of the 5 WPM
> code test and the General written. We've been searching, but so far have not
> been able to find her old license to show the VEC so she can upgrade to
> General under the new system. I have heard that there is a webpage either at
> the FCC or ARRL that you can get proof of licensure prior to the cutoff date.

Try QRZ.com's 1993 callbook page. Otherwise, write the FCC to get the letter that confirms issuance before the magic 1987 date.

From -8227283957132612021
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:22:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!mtu.ru!Radio-MSU.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:11:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <93r1sb$g1t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net> <93qq45$38v$3@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.199
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 02:11:26 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.199
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12554

In article <93qq45$38v$3@208.207.71.147>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> SHHHHHH! Fred, Brian is too immature and unlearned to know the difference. Makes his goat real easy to lead around by the nose.

It's not my birthday and leave my goat alone. You make her nervous.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -1709200771423413103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:24:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: 14 Jan 2001 02:19:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <93r2bl$qj$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
<3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12555
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10284
```

Donnie wrote:
>
> Wheres Jesse and the N.A.A.C.P. on this slap in the face?
>   just a thought.

I was talking yesterday to a fella who has spent some time in Africa, and he was telling me about conditions there. He said "If I was a black person I'd thank my lucky stars that my ancestors were slow enough to get caught and sent over here". Instead they got Big Jesse and his stuff.

From 1893130033409745190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!
worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
In-Reply-To: <o0186.22$5a6.24426@news.pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10101140218450.20021-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<o0186.22$5a6.24426@news.pacbell.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 16
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:22:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.5
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 979438972 12.80.44.5 (Sun, 14 Jan 2001
02:22:52 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:22:52 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10285
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12556

On Sat, 13 Jan 2001, TheRock wrote:
> The charge today can be up to $10 per fcc regulations.
> The limit is $10 though. It was $6 when I got mine 7 years ago roughly,
> course that VEC didn't charge the full rate, I think the max they could
> charge was $7 or something.

Wrong. Although the ARRL and other VEC's may charge $10, there is NO REGULATORY LIMIT, having been removed by a 1996 law change that was previously overlooked.

There is still a general requirement that organizations not PROFIT from amateur radio operations, so any fee charged must be relatively close to or equal cost. The ARRL computed this as $10 to cover its costs, which includes $4 that the VE team may retain for their share of the expenses. The part where fees may be charged for testing costs (or code practice) are still in the regulations.

```
From -3606599573805310062
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,37de0fc91c2c030c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!
135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Proof of License
In-Reply-To: <cuvyxnansvbet.g746ws1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10101140224200.20021-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <sRM76.182$_B2.69443@typhoon.kc.rr.com> <93ob5u$gpd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g746ws1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 14
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:25:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.5
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 979439127 12.80.44.5 (Sun, 14 Jan 2001
02:25:27 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:25:27 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12557

On Sat, 13 Jan 2001, Phil Kane wrote:
> On Sat, 13 Jan 2001 01:31:42 GMT, Brian wrote:
> >I would write to Pennsylvania Avenue
> >for a confirmation letter that she is coded.
>
>   What is on "Pennsylvania Avenue"?  Certainly not the FCC.

The alternative way of getting a license, similar to the "Betty Ford" rule for
CB, but no marriage or continued commitment required.  Better hurry - only 6
days left for "special handling."

However, what's interesting is that neither Paula Jones or Monica Lewinsky have
become licensed (to my knowledge) in the amateur radio service.
```

```
From -2245970684339313873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:31:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

```
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:22:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <93r2gi$gfh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-
da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com>
<93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93ng5c$o15$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93ni8e$q5h$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nii2$qbg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93nvai$6ko$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93o34i$a04$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93o7uu$e14$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93qriu$bb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.199
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 02:22:14 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.199
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12558

In article <93qriu$bb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <93o7uu$e14$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > Hans, just in case you've forgotten, each dit is one element, each
dah
> > is three elements, intra-character spacing is one element, inter-
> > character spacing is three elements and inter-word spacing is seven
> > elements.
>
> I've heard there are a few unimaginative sheep who blindly adhere to
> that protocol.  Most good CW ops prefer to add some personalization,
> however.  Come back and play when you'd like to be a real CW operator,
> as opposed to an automaton.

You and dick have a fascination with sheep and goats.  Been hanging on
3950 a little too long?

"That" protocol?  Is there another?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 874232562538728689
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:40:01 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 14 Jan 2001 02:35:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <93r39d$qm$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com>
<02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com>
<3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142>
<3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com>
<h7316tcuqnklfilutpfjg998vmc324ek7u@4ax.com> <3A609D67.79EBE3C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12559



Cecil wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> > If a college (or the Bash era FCC) does not combat cheating by
> > changing the tests frequently, does that make the person who uses the
> > illicit material any less of a moral cheater?? Is it OK to steal
> > physical property just because someone is careless with it?
>
> It is OK to do what an ordinary prudent man would do.
>
> > How about in the court of morality?? Did you use those fraternity
> > cheat cheats to get through college, even though there might be no
> > *legal* law broken??
>
> It is OK to do what an ordinary prudent man would do.


 Talk about subjective. Who says who is ordinary and prudent? Only in
court.


From -843120520029826757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:45:12 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <ct326tk8ufmk2co82127m4b2g0tk8mu00v@4ax.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com> <93qt1v$c98$1@208.207.71.147>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 30
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:44:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 158.252.128.188
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979440255 158.252.128.188 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 18:44:15 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 18:44:15 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12560

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> When it comes time to do CW, and nothing else, what are you?

I'm a CW ragchewer. My average QSO lasts for about 30 minutes to an hour. These days I generally do 1 to 2 QSOs a day. I don't work DX. I hate contests because they ruin my ragchewing :-). My top head copy speed is around 40wpm, however most of my QSO's are in the 25wpm range. I use a keyer with standard weighting. I don't own a straight key or a bug. I enjoy CW mobile. I keep a CW homebrew computer log. It's over 3000 QSOs now. I dabble in CW computer programming. I don't belong to any CW clubs. I have a number of regular CW friends, some of who are way over the 150 QSO mark in the log, but I keep no skeds, handle no traffic, and visit no nets. I simply enjoy using the mode...

>Inferior to someone who was too lazy to learn it [code]?

You're talking about me. If it wasn't required for my General, I certainly would never have learned the code. And even after getting my General, I didn't start regularly using CW for another 30 years. Yes Dick, I used a mike almost exclusively for 30 years. I'm that guy with the mike in his face that's been described here so often. If there had been no code requirement in 1957 (my Novice) or 1959 (my General) I can safely say I would have never learned the code. I was (am still) too lazy...

On reflection though, I think I must apologize to W4JLE. My attack on him was somewhat sanctimonious. While I strongly disagree that one ham

is "better" than another ham simply because of his CW ability, I could
have better worded my thoughts...

From -8100910321318666724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,37de0fc91c2c030c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:46:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Proof of License
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 21:44:04 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <93r3rs$5bt$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <sRM76.182$_B2.69443@typhoon.kc.rr.com> <93ob5u$gpd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g746ws1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10101140224200.20021-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.171.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979440316 5501 166.102.250.171 (14 Jan 2001 02:45:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Jan 2001 02:45:16 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12561

But they both became Cbers which in this case stands for Clinton Blowers.

>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> >wrote in message > However, what's
interesting is that neither Paula Jones or Monica Lewinsky have
> become licensed (to my knowledge) in the amateur radio service.
>

From 5102226853209795836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 18:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 14 Jan 2001 02:51:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <93r48b$6o8$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <3A5E03D9.ECCF32DF@uci.edu> <20010113111858.02315.00001729@nso-fi.aol.com> <3A609E83.893BFFF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12562

Cecil wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > I learned Morse code at speeds greater than 5 WPM because
> > it was the current requirement to obtain an Extra-class license!
> > If the requirement hadn't existed, neither would my achievement --
> > and I would not have benefited from the enjoyment of reasonable
> > proficiency in this practical communications skill. It's as simple
> > as that.
>
> Are you implying that you must be forced to do something before
> you get anything done? That sounds like sheer laziness to me.
> I learned Morse code before I ever heard of amateur radio simply
> because it was fun.

BS. You learned the letters, you never have learned it to fluency. Hence your 5wpm Extra.

Excepting the segment of hams who learned CW in the military, then later became hams (many did it in the reverse mode, went into service as hams then became military CW ops), almost ALL hams who learned CW to proficiency at 13 o 20wpm did it not because they found it attractive, actually the reverse was most always true. They tolerated that unpleasant task solely because they wanted the HF ham license.In the process of learning a high percentage found what Morse code communications really is about, and went on to like the mode and become effective trained operators.

The exceptions are the few dingalings like Burke who just sulled up and stonewalled themselves, preferring to resist by forfeiting a HF license in favor of "I want". Self-flaggelation at its finest. Of course there were few of them.

The Proof? Easy. The resounding "wet noodle" flop that has been Restructuring.

From 2012475690813116457
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 19:08:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!xmission!news.aros.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 14 Jan 2001 03:03:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <93r4ub$6o8$1@208.207.71.147>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A5F72FA.5356A96@IEEE.org> <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A60A5B1.4871F3E9@IEEE.org> <93qmi7$7kb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93qort$qr5$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12563

W4JLE wrote:
>
> Not much CW on 3950 Brian.
> I am sorry that your little butt is chapped because you don't know, nor can
> you learn CW, but that's the way life goes!

His butt is far worse than just chapped, its's totally hide-less. He's been this way so long he knows no other way to act, and is showing that he has no aptitude to learn. I see no hope for him.

From -8667066962309736840
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 19:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 14 Jan 2001 03:07:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 9
Message-ID: <93r563$9ql$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com>
<93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93os9p$t0i$0@208.207.71.142> <93qohc$92a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12564

Brian wrote:
> go join your bud, JLE (Jelly) on 3950 and show us the man you've
> become. Oh yeh, be sure to use code and send accurately, unless you're
> the drunk one who utters the vulgarities.
>

So what's on 3950??? and WHY do you know so much about it???

From 7644065375485496382
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 19:16:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!sea-feed.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!news.u.washington.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 14 Jan 2001 03:14:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <93r5ie$9ql$2@208.207.71.147>
References: <a3kl5tgns6dev6f2pfu39rceac63019r98@4ax.com>
<93f9uu$sdf$0@208.207.71.150> <93hfaa$fb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93i8tc$57t$0@208.207.71.171> <93iogo$m2t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93j6mr$jfg$0@208.207.71.163> <93lg7a$2vv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93lou2$c9a$1@208.206.142.98> <93mvvh$8ju$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<6WG76.740$e5.1890767@news.ntplx.net> <93opeq$l7r$1@208.207.71.142>
<93qrd8$b9o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12565



Brian wrote:
>
> In article <93opeq$l7r$1@208.207.71.142>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >  Yet certain ARRL officials advocate that anyone who wants should be
> > free to attempt to operate CW anytime and anywhere on the bands they
> > wish. So can we then presume that ARRL advocates ignoring certain
> rules
> > that advance a PC agenda WRT Morse code?
>
> Hey, they couldn't do any worse than you.  Swing by 3950 and give your
> buds a hi-5 at 65wpm.


  Why are you so reluctant to tell us what is on 3950? You're the only
one here that seems to know.


From -501157030114388377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 19:16:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!
howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 14 Jan 2001 03:12:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <93r5f3$9ql$1@208.207.71.147>
References: <3A5DAE9B.3FB38A75@dvol.com> <20010112012413.24296.00000617@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3A5F755C.988210A1@uci.edu> <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A60EFA5.39B745E7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12566



Cecil wrote:
>
```

```
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Can't you just imagine? Instead of tuning the band for the 'weak ones'
> > we can tune among the spreading sequences to see who's on the air today.
> > Whoopie!
>
> Exactly what is the conceptual difference between looking at a
> digital frequency meter and looking at a digital spreading sequence
> meter? Is 12345 a frequency or a spreading sequence?
>

 Your use of the word "conceptual" is revealing of your plans to screw
the ARS with that nonsense. 'nother Freudian job...


From -7311141275993052113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 19:18:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 14 Jan 2001 03:15:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <93r5kq$9ql$3@208.207.71.147>
References: <D2076.774$e5.1917786@news.ntplx.net>
<20010113011929.10105.00000261@nso-bh.aol.com> <93qoid$92g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93qr49$av4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12567



Brian wrote:
>
> Go swing by 3950 and give your good buddies a hi-5.


 Why are you so reluctant to tell us what is on 3950? You seem to be the
only one here that knows.


From -8510587756057978251
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 19:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 14 Jan 2001 03:19:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <93r5s5$bqk$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A5F6ED0.D9D20F83@IEEE.org> <93qq8e$38v$5@208.207.71.147> <3A60F2AD.92954C83@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12568

Cecil wrote:

> If I can use all the Morse short forms in PACTOR, then
> if Morse is a language, PACTOR is also a language. Do you really
> think PACTOR is a language?

PACTOR has nothing whatever to do with Morse that is practdiced at the
level of fluency.

From -45464653693829962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 19:36:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!sea-feed.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!news.u.washington.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 14 Jan 2001 03:34:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <93r6nl$bqk$1@208.207.71.174>

References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com>
<93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93ng5c$o15$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93ni8e$q5h$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nii2$qbg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93nvai$6ko$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93o34i$a04$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93o7uu$e14$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93qriu$bb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93r2gi$gfh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12569

Brian wrote:
>
>
> "That" protocol? Is there another?

Why are you so reluctant to tell us what is on 3950? You're the only one here who seems to know anything about it.

From -5869024556007986896
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 20:04:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 04:00:40 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3a6123d8.2252073@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93mu0f$2nl$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5f87ea.2723167@news.mindspring.com>
<93qsiu$c98$0@208.207.71.147>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.6a
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 03:59:08 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12570

On 14 Jan 2001 00:41:02 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On 12 Jan 2001 12:40:47 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
>> <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:
>>
>> >>Pick-up many college study guides and you'll often find
>> >>copies of past tests.
>> >
>> >Past tests. Not future ones.
>> >Vince, WB2EZG
>>
>> Glad you made that statement Vince. The ONLY
>> thing Bash did was publish test questions from PAST
>> tests. If that is so then why all the concern?
>
> That wasn't even a credible try at obfuscation. Bash was publishing
>the questions and answers to **CURRENT** FCC exams. Who would have
>wanted them if not?
>
> You sure are some logician, Slick.

Why shouln't the FCC have made up new tests to avoid
the "problem" of people making past questions known?
Vince said there's an infinite variety of questions.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 1116023605949506049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 20:08:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 04:05:19 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3a612487.2426713@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com>
<02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com>
<3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142>
<3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com>
<h7316tcuqnklfilutpfjg998vmc324ek7u@4ax.com>

<3a60d097.5263493@news.mindspring.com>
<j0v16tgfh2uuot0h75d8t2ep164768t5rs@4ax.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.6a
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 04:03:52 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12571

On Sun, 14 Jan 2001 01:12:36 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
wrote:

>billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:
>>Please elaborate on what theft has occured?
>
>You seemed to be blaming the FCC for being careless about not changing
>the tests to thwart Bash.

I'm not blaming anyone for anything. IF the issue
is the constant re-use of the same tests, then that
is the FCC's problem...NOT Bash's.

>My analogy was that it is like blaming the
>theft victim for being careless with her property, or blaming the
>professor who is not careful with his tests...

Removal of phisical property by theft is NOT
a good anaology. On the other hand, the
college professor that reuses last years tests
has no one but himself to blame if students have
copies or share the questions from prior tests.

>>I wasn't in any fraternity.
>
>Nice sidestepping. Was dance your major?   :-)

>>The question is WHY is the material confidential?
>>Clearly the congress thinks there's no reason to have
>>confidentiality of such material...and I agree.
>
>More sidestepping. The question was the morality of the Bash
>situation. Try not to give in so much to the politician in you... :-)

Try to realize that you don't get to legislate morality.

>>So how come colleges seem to do just fine
>> without such laws?
>
>They have rules. Do you really think trying Bash's technique at a
>college would fly?? You would be out on your *** quick...

It goes on all the time at colleges.

Cheers,

Bill K2UNK

From 1977144169564965050
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 20:32:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:30:26 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3A612B3C.16571943@sccoast.net>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org> <3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-137.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979446609 329322 206.74.49.137 (14 Jan 2001 04:30:09 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 04:30:09 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12572 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10300

Donnie wrote:

> [snip] They are really raising hell here in S.C.
> about the flag issue. They even went after a
> Columbia, S.C. business man because he flies the
> confedrate flag at his restaurants. [snip]

Lets report the facts fully and accurately. The complaint against this restaurant owner was not just that he flew a confedrate flag in front of his restaurants, but also that he also used displays of the civil war as part of the restaurant's decor (this is SC that we're talking about). Furthermore, the NAACP complained that his bookstore (a rack of books in one corner of the restaurant) contained "racist" books that glorified the civil war and slavery.

Less troubling then the boycott of the restaurants, was the boycott by local grocery stores of the popular barbeque sauce produced by the owner of the restaurants. Winn-Dixie, Krogers, Piggly Wiggly, Harris-Teeter, Bi-Lo, and Food Lion, all joined in the boycott by dropping the barbeque sauce. No local grocery store joined in the boycott, and two of the six stores above have restocked the barbeque sauce following complaints by customers.

Now, whatever your feelings are about the civil war, slavery, or the confedrate flag, it is clear that this boycott by the NAACP is nothing more than an attempt to censor the views of this businessman. And censorship, no matter who is doing it, is not that this country is supposed to be about.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8427075128857832374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 20:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:39:38 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A612D61.EB02F426@sccoast.net>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
<3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net> <3A60A367.C0BB01A1@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-137.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979447157 320021 206.74.49.137 (14 Jan 2001 04:39:17
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 04:39:17 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12573
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10301

Cecil wrote:

>
> [snip] In Texas, the Confederate battle flag is
> not a symbol of slavery but is instead a symbol
> of rebellion, akin to the "Don't Tread On Me"
> flag of yesteryear. [snip]

The confederate battle flag is not a "symbol of slavery" to anyone displaying that flag. I have not heard a single person, who displays the flag, advocating a return to slavery. Instead, the battle flag is a symbol of State's rights to most southerners (resistance to big government), and a flip of the middle finger at those who criticize the confederate states (especially those who insist the civil war was about slavery).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -4428604658608954765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 20:46:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idc.ad.jp!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:46:23 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3A612EF5.BD54BEB1@sccoast.net>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org> <3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net> <93r2bl$qj$0@208.207.71.147>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-137.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979447563 305452 206.74.49.137 (14 Jan 2001 04:46:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 04:46:03 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12574 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10302

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> I was talking yesterday to a fella who has
> spent some time in Africa, and he was telling
> me about conditions there. He said "If I was
> a black person I'd thank my lucky stars that
> my ancestors were slow enough to get caught
> and sent over here". Instead they got Big
> Jesse and his stuff.

I saw an article, with similar sentiments, a couple of years ago, written by the black editor of the military's Stars & Stripes newspaper following his return from an extended tour in Africa. In the article, he said that his trip to Africa changed his entire views about race relations in the United States (he then went on to criticize those blacks in American who fail to appreciate the opportunities they have).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 4902113347184656839
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 21:18:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!cyclone.swbell.net!nnrp3.sbc.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "BD" <bodu@pobox.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <L4S_5.7935$KY1.21527@news1.rivrw1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
<3a6041af.3207830@news.accessnv.com> <lm316tk5o2i7k6p1ml8kjoosqqhcja20pt@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse quickly??
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <ADa86.383$s11.191548@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:16:47 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.217.147.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@swbell.net
X-Trace: nnrp3.sbc.net 979449440 64.217.147.38 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:17:20 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:17:20 CST
Organization: SBC Internet Services
```

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:659 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10308
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12575

Another is short-hand.. ;)
I learned "note-hand" (an abbreviated version taught for easier note-taking
in class) which makes it much easier to keep up. I admit I still have a hard
time deciphering what it was that I wrote down, but.. getting it wrote
down's easier.. ;)

"Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>
> Another point is to write (or print) small. It takes less time to
> write small letters and by writing 2 lines in the paper space allotted
> for one line, I've found that I can increase my hand copy speed
> substantially...
>
```

```
From 9022655445130017179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 21:26:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <krd26tk4js8roap0o5944tgfa4c1m2518f@4ax.com>
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com>
<02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com>
<3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142>
<3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com>
<h7316tcuqnklfilutpfjg998vmc324ek7u@4ax.com>
<3a60d097.5263493@news.mindspring.com>
<j0v16tgfh2uuot0h75d8t2ep164768t5rs@4ax.com>
<3a612487.2426713@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 05:21:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979449697 209.86.205.41 (Sat, 13 Jan
2001 21:21:37 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 21:21:37 PST
```

Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12576

billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:
>IF the issue is the constant re-use of the same tests,
>then that is the FCC's problem...NOT Bash's.

Whatever facilitates cheating on ham tests is the hobby's problem...

> On the other hand, the
>college professor that reuses last years tests
>has no one but himself to blame if students have
>copies or share the questions from prior tests.

Yep. Whatever happened to individual honesty and honor? If a student
is cheating his way through college it's the professors fault...

>It [cheating] goes on all the time at colleges.

And that makes it right??

From 8233365034439812441
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b02c7de78bebd894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 21:54:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.103.147.20!news.voicenet.com!
news3.voicenet.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: melachri@voicenet.com (Stephen J. Melachrinos)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Old Calls
Message-ID: <3a613976.3848468@netnews.voicenet.com>
References: <3a5683e1.180274192@netnews.voicenet.com>
<20010111012523.09897.00000901@ng-fr1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g709u84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.1/32.230
Lines: 79
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 05:52:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.103.71.32
X-Complaints-To: abuse@voicenet.com
X-Trace: news3.voicenet.com 979451525 207.103.71.32 (Sun, 14 Jan 2001 00:52:05
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 00:52:05 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12577

Phil -

Thanks for the detailed listing. This is precisely the program I was
referring to, but I was off by 6 months. (I thought it started in Jan
77.) I've added some comments to explain the dates.

On Thu, 11 Jan 2001 19:14:34 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>On 11 Jan 2001 06:25:23 GMT, Qrm2000 wrote:
>
>>If any of the FCC guys are reading this, perhaps they can check with some
>>Department old timers or Commission history books and give us the exact
>>sequence. (J. at Columbia--can you help?)
>
> There are no more "old timers" (of my vintage, at least) left,
> nor are there any history books other than a file of Commission
> orders maintained by a third-party legal publisher (Pike and
> Fischer).
>
> With that said, I researched the case against Richard Zeigler,
> the seller-of-call-signs, and came up with this time line:
>
>   1.   Effective in 1968 with "incentive licensing", any Extra
>   class licensee who had been a licensed Amateur for 25 years or
>   more could apply for a non-specific ("sequential") "1x2" call
>   sign.
>
>   2.   Effective July 1, 1976, any Extra class licensee who had
>   been a licensed Amateur for 25 years or more could select one
>   specific "1x2" call sign.

This added the ability to pick a specific call, but did not change
eligibility.

>   3.   Effective October 1, 1976, anyone who had held an Amateur
>   Extra class license since prior to November 22, 1967, could
>   select one specific "1x2" call sign.

This date (Nov 67) was to preference hams who held the Extra prior to
incentive licensing.

>   4.   Effective January 1, 1977, anyone who had held an Amateur
>   Extra class license since prior to July 2, 1974, could select
>   one specific "1x2" call sign.

This was the original date of the NPRM that created this process.

>   5.   Effective April 1, 1977, anyone who held an Amateur Extra
>   class license since prior to July 1, 1976, could select one
>   specific "1x2" call sign.

This was the effective date of the R&O for this program.

>   6.   Effective July 1, 1977, any Amateur Extra class licensee
>   could select one specific "1x2" call sign.
>
>   7.   Effective March 30, 1978 this was all replaced by the
>   strict "sequential" system until the advent of "vanity" call

```
>   sign selection in June 1997.
>
>  This, I hope, settles the question.
>
>--
>  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>  FCC SF District Director (retired)
>

One minor correction of what I think is a typo, though, Phil. The
present vanity call sign system started earlier than June 1997. For
example, check W3OR. He was granted a HV (vanity) license on 4 June
96. The FCC Report and Order was adopted on Dec 23, 1994 and released
on Feb 2, 1995. You can find the complete text at:

www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireless/Orders/1994/fcc94343.txt

Steve
W3HF




From 1343360302588680014
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 21:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 128
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 05:52:48 GMT
References: <3A609F92.AC8894ED@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114005248.03673.00000676@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12580

In article <3A609F92.AC8894ED@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>Aaron Jones wrote:
>
>> Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>> >Nobody has the time to scratch-build and chasing parts
>> >is expensive and time consuming,
>>
>> Translation:
>> Hams can't really homebrew state of the art gear anymore.
>
>I don't think that's 100% true, but close. The state of the art today is
```

>set by far-end professionals in the biz who have access to pricey CAE
>software, custom chips, board fab facilities, deep pockets, long list of
>assets which even most technically astute hams don't have today let
>alone the rest of us who homebrewed back when.

Some comments which might aid homebrewers -

Pricey CAE (Computer-Aided Engineering): The ARRL Radio Designer program set is, what, $150? Allows a circuit breadboard analysis without buying any parts. Anyone can download Demo versions from at least three different software houses who build on the free SPICE program core. Circuit analysis using the computer as a breadboard is much faster than building it from real parts, no one gets solder burns, don't have to buy the components first. Modeled correctly, a SPICE analysis will work identical to the real thing.

The same for PCB layout programs, at least two Demo versions having limited size and number of components. Lacking that, a pad of vellum paper and some colored pencils work out very nicely...but does take a lot longer to lay out PCB traces manually.

Custom chips: Not needed for nearly all amateur applications.

Board fab facilities: For one-of-a-kind boards, one can get various kits for making PCBs in most electronic stores. You can choose from room-light exposure to sunlight exposure from 1:1 scale layouts. Etch them over the bathroom sink. Clean the foil before and after etching with ordinary kitchen cleanser. For small jobs I do 1:1 layouts, drill the PCB first, use the drill holes as a guide to paint on resist made from thinned-out lacquer, then etch with ferric chloride. No problem but it won't make 0.025" spacing lands and trace spacing, though..

Deep pockets: That's up to the individual on how much they want to spend. There are pro PCB houses who will do one-of-a-kind prototype boards, even do layouts first, but at a price. A few will also do assembly using controlled-heat ovens, you supply the parts. Ads in the electronic trades.

SMT: While not stated, this is a big problem for folks who can't see fine detail or who don't have steady hands. I would suggest scrounging around for discarded consumer electronic boards to practice unsoldering and soldering with suggested soldering tools. Craft stores sell nice, inexpensive headband magnifiers for looking real close (cross-stitchers like that type). If the circuit allows component value measurement, measure before and after to see if too much heat was applied for too long.

>It's just evolutionary
>reality which started in spark days when somebody decided to make radio
>parts for money and sell 'em to hams, 1910 or so.

There are many places that will sell small quantities of electronic parts to anyone. Several hams have lists/links to such places. Allied Electronics has their entire current catalog on their website. Almost the same for Digi-Key. All Electronics, two stores in L.A., have a lot of manufacturer's surplus of many kinds. Fair Radio Sales is still in business and advertising on the web, still carrying military surplus (but of limited types).

Things that won't be found or are very scarce: Variable caps other than trimmers or AM/FM radio two-gangers. Tube sockets and shields (lots of locations carrying replacement tubes of many kinds). Some ICs that were once common 20 years ago.

A treasure for those working in RF from mid-HF on into the microwave region: Mini-Circuits passive and active components. Anyone serious about VHF+ homebrew ought to have their catalog (order it via website)...contains good info on component specs, how measured, etc. Harvey Kaylie's company sells onsies to thousand-plus lots, no problem. Good rep. in the industry on quality.

>For my own part I hombrew all my antennas including beams and I'm
>mulling building a single 3-500Z amp. Not much else left worth
>hombrewing.

Anyone wanting to homebrew solid-state PAs can contact at least one website that sells parts or kits of parts for Helge Granberg's series of Motorola Application Notes (their PDFs have much larger file sizes and poorer repro than Motorola's website, go direct to Motorola for the AppNotes). The ARRL TIS has at least one article repro on PAs based on the Granberg AppNotes.

Some of the older-package DIP ICs are still available, such as a Motorola phase-locked loop IC with everything available on-chip in stock at JDR Microdevices. There's also some prescaler ICs in DIPs that will work to 1.2 GHz for counting down to a PLL input. VCOs (Voltage Controlled Oscillators) in DIP or discrete thin-film are now the general choice for variable frequency control...the old variable-capacitor tuning method has gone by the wayside.

Rolling-your-own from scratch takes patience, research, study, and a scientific calculator to crunch the numbers. Design data/formulas are all available...but it isn't all available at a single place. Once done, though, one can truly brag about it without exaggeration...it is a unique thing that no one else has done!

Building one's own from a construction article is an
exercise in faith. :-) Faith that the author was correct,
faith that the publication didn't make a typo somewhere.
While typos are generally caught by someone, these
"short circuit" corrections seldom appear sooner than
three months after an article went to press.

didit

From 4662521115646772903
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 21:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 05:52:40 GMT
References: <a3v16tooqliuu4i3uujf38f2o03k9kopl3@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114005240.03673.00000674@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12582

In article <a3v16tooqliuu4i3uujf38f2o03k9kopl3@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>>how many hams feel they have as much time
>>available as they did 10 or 20 years ago?
>
>That's because there was no rrap 20 years ago...   :-)

The Internet celebrated its 10th Anniversary a few
weeks ago. That news was in some of the larger
newspapers.

didit

From -6377970799376455404
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 21:54:04 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 05:52:39 GMT
References: <93q7v3$abv$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010114005239.03673.00000673@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12579

In article <93q7v3$abv$1@iac5.navix.net>, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:

>Nice post Len, misguided as it may be. I did enjoy your views.

I doubt that sincerity based on the expressions of outright
sanctimonious self-righteousness presented before the
as-an-expert-CWer-you-are-superior-to-all nonsense.

If you Really believe in what you say then you should
petition to FCC to change US amateur radio to only one
mode: OOK CW. Make every amateur license state
"Amateur Radiotelegraph Operator" and be done with it.
Allow NO freedom. One mode, one service, one Reich...

Fred, you are living in the past and with such a strict
code of morality that it's a wonder you survived this
long with Godless Sinners all around you. [I'm not
talking about CW, just the intolerant bigotted red-neckism that ran rampant throughout your epistle]

Now cross your legs...we have only one nail left.

didit

From -5798364597308082088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 21:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!207.172.3.44.MISMATCH!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 66
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 05:52:43 GMT
References: <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114005243.03673.00000675@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12578

In article <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>
>> Len Way Over 21 wrote:
>> > It would seem the anti-SS proponents fear the unfamiliar
>> > and the non-traditional...probably because most aren't sure what
>> > Spread-Spectrum is about, how it works, and so forth.
>>
>> Sure does seem like a lot of fun, though. 8-|
>>
>> > I don't understand this demonstrated-implicit fear.
>>
>> Could be fear of all the fun we've been missing out on. 8-|
>>
>> Think of all the people we could be talking to that we
>> can't talk to now! 8-|
>>
>> Sorry, Len. You do make good points about SS. If only it
>> weren't so darn eye-glazingly boring. For amateur radio
>> at present, it's a solution to a non-existant problem.
>> That may change, however.

Jim: The "boring" part is due to spread-spectrum not being intuitive...and few have explained either direct-sequence or frequency-hopping in simpler terms or in terms that long-timers can accept. Long-timers referring to being used to conventional narrowband frequency tuning as practiced in amateur radio today.

One amateur band somewhere in the spectrum would be ideal for DSSS or FHSS trials. I would think it woud be preferrable in an urban area having many potential users, such as VHF or UHF with a repeater. There is one problem at present, a very big one, and that is standardization of the SS characteristics. At present the characteristics are individual to a manufacturer and hardly any are alike enough to be interoperable. In order to use it for a trial, every participant MUST be using the SAME characteristic.

Spread-spectrum in either of the two main modes is not that new to the radio industry. The standard small-unit

ground-air-vehicle manpack size radio for the US
military is now entering its 14th year of operational use.
SINCGARs family is FHSS, primarily for extreme
difficulty of unfriendlies getting a sufficient "fix" on the
signal (hops at 10 mS rates, very hard for an intercept).
DSSS is now installed in numbers of hundreds of
thousands, primarily in WLANs and CDMA cell phones.
DSSS with WLANs is so locally secure and free from
interference that it operates just like hard-wired
connections.

> Can't you just imagine? Instead of tuning the band for the 'weak ones'
>we can tune among the spreading sequences to see who's on the air today.
>Whoopie!

Dick: I'm glad you exhibit some joy at new things. For
a while most readers thought you wanted to fix US amateur
radio in the armor-plate-protected, concrete-block
traditionalism of the Olde Days, never ever to change (as
long as you were alive).

didit

From -2895985164756424524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 21:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 05:52:48 GMT
References: <s3v16tspubvemm3psms8bb3t9tm1hfm2si@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010114005248.03673.00000677@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12581

In article <s3v16tspubvemm3psms8bb3t9tm1hfm2si@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>When you mentioned a morse decoder using an 8080
>>CPU with 16K of memory
>
>Wrong Len.
>That was *4KB* of memory, not 16KB..

Mea culpa, AJ.   :-)

>>you didn't really say anything about its performance.
>
>Wrong again Len.
>I said it did a "great job"...

Define "great job."

I have a feeling that if it didn't emit smoke when turned on
you would have called it a "great job."   :-)

>>You really only said one existed based on remembering
>>one seen as an article in a magazine.
>
>Wrong again Len.
>I said my old computer had used such a program.

...and how did that old computer get input?

>Here is my original quote:
>"...My old Interact computer using an 8080 at 1 MHz
>with only *4KB* of memory did a great job of Morse and
> RTTY decoding..."
>
>*Your* memory seems somewhat faulty Len,
>maybe you need to upgrade... :-)

No, AJ. I need some credulity. Yours isn't credible.

Maybe I do need to upgrade...to some who have actually
worked such a problem rather than using someone
else's program. What do you say, Anon Jones?

didit

```
From -6779905687500361382
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,37de0fc91c2c030c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 22:06:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
news.voicenet.com!news3.voicenet.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: melachri@voicenet.com (Stephen J. Melachrinos)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Proof of License
Message-ID: <3a613f0d.5279205@netnews.voicenet.com>
References: <sRM76.182$_B2.69443@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.1/32.230
Lines: 29
```

Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 06:04:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.103.71.32
X-Complaints-To: abuse@voicenet.com
X-Trace: news3.voicenet.com 979452242 207.103.71.32 (Sun, 14 Jan 2001 01:04:02 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 01:04:02 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12583

On Fri, 12 Jan 2001 23:57:12 GMT, wd9get@amsat.org (Keith E. Brandt, M.D.) wrote:

>My XYL received her technician license back in the good-old-days of the 5 WPM
>code test and the General written. We've been searching, but so far have not
>been able to find her old license to show the VEC so she can upgrade to
>General under the new system. I have heard that there is a webpage either at
>the FCC or ARRL that you can get proof of licensure prior to the cutoff date.
>
>Anyone know where I can find this?
>
>Tnx & 73,
>Keith
>wd9get

Many VEs will accept a photocopy or a scan of a callbook page showing the class of license. Anything prior to Mar 87 qualifies as a Tech Plus with code and full general written.

Unfortunately, my 1983 book shows her as a Novice (under her maiden name?), and my 1988 book is too late for proof. Perhaps someone else has a book in between that can document her status as Tech.

And if that person is interested in selling that book, I would be interested in buying it! :-)

Steve
W3HF

From -7868849272526209298
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 22:16:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 00:04:50 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A614182.66DC0486@IEEE.org>

```
References: <3A5DAE9B.3FB38A75@dvol.com> <20010112012413.24296.00000617@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3A5F755C.988210A1@uci.edu> <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A60EFA5.39B745E7@IEEE.org> <93r5f3$9ql$1@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 06:13:30 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12584

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Your use of the word "conceptual" is revealing of your plans to screw
> the ARS with that nonsense.

It is readily apparent that you are unfamiliar with concepts, Dick, but
trust me, some of the rest of us live by them.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -528820453440021447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 22:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 00:10:32 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A6142D8.D85BAD38@IEEE.org>
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com>
<02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com>
<3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142>
<3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com>
<h7316tcuqnklfilutpfjg998vmc324ek7u@4ax.com>
<3a60d097.5263493@news.mindspring.com>
<j0v16tgfh2uuot0h75d8t2ep164768t5rs@4ax.com>
<3a612487.2426713@news.mindspring.com>
<krd26tk4js8roap0o5944tgfa4c1m2518f@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 06:17:54 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12585

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Whatever happened to individual honesty and honor? If a student
> is cheating his way through college it's the professors fault...

It is partially the professor's fault if he knows about it and
allows it to continue.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 2816235013422146900
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 22:33:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 00:22:55 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A6145BF.B4D64635@IEEE.org>
References: <3A5E03D9.ECCF32DF@uci.edu> <20010113111858.02315.00001729@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3A609E83.893BFFF@IEEE.org> <93r48b$6o8$0@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 06:32:21 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12586

Dick Carroll wrote:
> BS. You learned the letters, you never have learned it to fluency.

Still grasping at straws to try to maintain your shaky self esteem,
I see. I have been fluent in CW since you were ten years old.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -8590705397745629985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 22:34:01 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <5sh26t4ctvrl3kiuqiqbidocp2ajqlqvsp@4ax.com>
References: <s3v16tspubvemm3psms8bb3t9tm1hfm2si@4ax.com>
<20010114005248.03673.00000677@nso-ck.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 06:30:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979453848 209.86.205.41 (Sat, 13 Jan
2001 22:30:48 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 22:30:48 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12587
```

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>Define "great job."

It could copy 90% of what I could copy... :-)

>and how did that old computer get input?

Through a joystick port. The Keying output to the transmitter was from a relay that I wired across the tape deck motor line.

>No, AJ. I need some credulity. Yours isn't credible.

What more do you want to know?

>Maybe I do need to upgrade...to some who have actually
>worked such a problem rather than using someone
>else's program. What do you say, Anon Jones?

I say I don't understand your last paragraph...

```
From 1245629416727009702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 22:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
```

From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 00:27:42 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A6146DE.38061116@IEEE.org>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A5F6ED0.D9D20F83@IEEE.org> <93qq8e$38v$5@208.207.71.147> <3A60F2AD.92954C83@IEEE.org> <93r5s5$bqk$0@208.207.71.174>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 06:35:05 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12588

Dick Carroll wrote:
> PACTOR has nothing whatever to do with Morse that is practdiced at the
> level of fluency.

Sure it does, Dick. *Every* short form or Q-signal used for CW
can be used with PACTOR or any other digital mode. The only
difference with Morse code is that the modem function is
performed by human wetware.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -5124879908667436255
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 23:03:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!uunet!sea.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:01:00 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <93ritm$esh$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93q7v3$abv$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114005239.03673.00000673@nso-ck.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.210.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979455734 15249 166.102.250.210 (14 Jan 2001 07:02:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Jan 2001 07:02:14 GMT

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12589

I occasionally wonder why you can only deal in extremes. You connect those that like CW, or at least me with the Nazi's? "One mode, one service, one Reich..." That nonsense may work with your liberal friends that are oh so afraid of being politically correct. It is however wasted on me, as are the redneck comments, and the one nail left.

It is you Len that feels you have the total insight on how the world should work, perhaps I will feel the same way in the next few years as senility engulfs me as well.

Again I enjoyed your post if not your point of view.

73 Fred W4JLE

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010114005239.03673.00000673@nso-ck.aol.com...
> In article <93q7v3$abv$1@iac5.navix.net>, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:
>
> >Nice post Len, misguided as it may be. I did enjoy your views.
>
> I doubt that sincerity based on the expressions of outright
> sanctimonious self-righteousness presented before the
> as-an-expert-CWer-you-are-superior-to-all nonsense.
>
> If you Really believe in what you say then you should
> petition to FCC to change US amateur radio to only one
> mode: OOK CW. Make every amateur license state
> "Amateur Radiotelegraph Operator" and be done with it.
> Allow NO freedom. One mode, one service, one Reich...
>
> Fred, you are living in the past and with such a strict
> code of morality that it's a wonder you survived this
> long with Godless Sinners all around you. [I'm not
> talking about CW, just the intolerant bigotted red-
> neckism that ran rampant throughout your epistle]
>
> Now cross your legs...we have only one nail left.
>
> didit

From -5539464073421843227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,37de0fc91c2c030c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 23:09:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Proof of License
Message-ID: <e0k26t0muh4q63d4kfrgbvpbchctqalve3@4ax.com>
References: <sRM76.182$_B2.69443@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
<3a613f0d.5279205@netnews.voicenet.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 07:08:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979456101 209.86.205.41 (Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:08:21 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 13 Jan 2001 23:08:21 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12590

melachri@voicenet.com (Stephen J. Melachrinos) wrote:
>Many VEs will accept a photocopy or a scan of a callbook page showing
>the class of license. Anything prior to Mar 87 qualifies as a Tech
>Plus with code and full general written.
>
>Unfortunately, my 1983 book shows her as a Novice (under her maiden
>name?), and my 1988 book is too late for proof. Perhaps someone else
>has a book in between that can document her status as Tech.

I missed the XYL's name and call if it was given in an earlier post,
but there is a Melinda KA9MHO listed at the same address and likely is
who you are referring to. If so she was first licensed on Dec 25, 1981
and is listed as a Technician in my 1984 callbook...

>And if that person is interested in selling that book, I would be
>interested in buying it! :-)

Sorry...   :-)

From -5671378510459796550
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 23:13:37 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 02:11:15 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <93rjgu$f8i$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A5F6ED0.D9D20F83@IEEE.org> <93qq8e$38v$5@208.207.71.147>
<3A60F2AD.92954C83@IEEE.org> <93r5s5$bqk$0@208.207.71.174>
<3A6146DE.38061116@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.210.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979456350 15634 166.102.250.210 (14 Jan 2001 07:12:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Jan 2001 07:12:30 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12591
```

You gave me an idea Cecil, I have taken a voice recognition program that I had done a long time ago. It is the type of program where each word is displayed and then the human inputs their voice response. Once the word is recognized you can speak faster or slower and it still recognizes the word and prints it to the screen. In any event instead of a voice response, I keyed an oscillator and sent the word in Morse. Once I had set up a few words I then sent faster and slower, used various spacing, and changed the notes pitch. Amazingly it works better than a Morse decoder program I downloaded for a comparison. Using Morse as a language rather than a digital mode seems to work well.

Thank you sending me off in a neat direction!

73 Fred W4JLE

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A6146DE.38061116@IEEE.org...

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > PACTOR has nothing whatever to do with Morse that is practdiced at the
> > level of fluency.
>
> Sure it does, Dick. *Every* short form or Q-signal used for CW
> can be used with PACTOR or any other digital mode. The only
> difference with Morse code is that the modem function is
> performed by human wetware.
> --

```
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From 323789896865826493
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-13 23:54:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 14 Jan 2001 07:51:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <93rlqu$nga$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <3A5E03D9.ECCF32DF@uci.edu> <20010113111858.02315.00001729@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3A609E83.893BFFF@IEEE.org> <93r48b$6o8$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A6145BF.B4D64635@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12592
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > BS. You learned the letters, you never have learned it to fluency.
>
> Still grasping at straws to try to maintain your shaky self esteem,
> I see. I have been fluent in CW since you were ten years old.

If you had ever been fluent in Morse you could have passed the 20wpm test.

```
From 7422826646481056340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 05:21:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
```

```
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 13:14:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <93s8nn$al5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <D2076.774$e5.1917786@news.ntplx.net>
<20010113011929.10105.00000261@nso-bh.aol.com> <93qoid$92g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93qr49$av4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93r5kq$9ql$3@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.82
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 13:14:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.82
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12593

In article <93r5kq$9ql$3@208.207.71.147>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Go swing by 3950 and give your good buddies a hi-5.
>
>  Why are you so reluctant to tell us what is on 3950? You seem to be
the
> only one here that knows.

Because I don't actually know whats there.  You see, I am deaf, and I
must stick to keyboard modes.  Just follow the link from the JLE bio on
QRZ.com and read all about it.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -5780937785661841844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 05:22:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!newspeer1.nac.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 13:12:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <93s8jv$adm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

```
References: <D2O76.774$e5.1917786@news.ntplx.net>
<20010113011929.10105.00000261@nso-bh.aol.com> <93qoid$92g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93qr49$av4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93r5kq$9ql$3@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.82
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 13:12:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.82
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12594

In article <93r5kq$9ql$3@208.207.71.147>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Go swing by 3950 and give your good buddies a hi-5.
>
>  Why are you so reluctant to tell us what is on 3950? You seem to be
the
> only one here that knows.
>


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 2118154713938981389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 05:31:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!news.minn.net!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 13:21:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <93s94v$apl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <a3kl5tgns6dev6f2pfu39rceac63019r98@4ax.com>
<93f9uu$sdf$0@208.207.71.150> <93hfaa$fb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93i8tc$57t$0@208.207.71.171> <93iogo$m2t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93j6mr$jfg$0@208.207.71.163> <93lg7a$2vv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93lou2$c9a$1@208.206.142.98> <93mvvh$8ju$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<6WG76.740$e5.1890767@news.ntplx.net> <93o0en$7p2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<AsN76.766$e5.1915216@news.ntplx.net> <93qvm7$llk$0@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.82
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 13:21:37 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
```

X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.82
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12595

In article <93qvm7$llk$0@208.207.71.147>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

I'd wager that fellow had some sort of
> extreme physical impairment. That may well have been the *only* means
he
> had of communicating at all. It happens. I've read of quadruplegics
who
> use a "puffer" switch to key their rigs by blowing air through a
straw.
> But BrianBurkeTurkey would have Riley cite those guys for "poor
amateur
> practice". Shows where he's coming from. As far as I'm cncerned he can
> go back.

Dick, you are the admitted CBer.

I said that people who have the skill to send correctly should do so.
What you said above makes you a liar, but then, we know where you're
coming from.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1687550985463219060
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 05:40:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 13:28:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <93s9hm$b3v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-
da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com>
<93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93os9p$t0i$0@208.207.71.142> <93qohc$92a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93r563$9ql$0@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.82
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 13:28:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)

X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.82
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12596

In article <93r563$9ql$0@208.207.71.147>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> So what's on 3950??? and WHY do you know so much about it???

Look up JLE on QRZ.com   Follow the links. Your bio is probably in
there somewhere.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -452528660490110859
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 05:50:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 07:38:58 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org>
References: <3A60F7DB.5445B65@IEEE.org> <20010114083349.22631.00000528@nso-
fz.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.aa
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 13:47:36 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
CC: n2ey@aol.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12597

Followup to rec.radio.amateur.policy

N2EY wrote:
> Your answer does not consitute any kind of proof, just an
> assumption on your part.

It's getting light outside. I have no proof but I assume that
that light is coming from the sun. If it quacks like a Duck ...

> And a built in electronic keyer is not a "key".

A built in electronic keyer is Morse code equipment used by
code-tested hams.

> I have always criticized jammers and other violators, no matter
> what tests they passed.

Proof, please. I just backtracked all your threaded postings.
I couldn't find where you even once condemned code-tested jammers.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -9120666453178827879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 05:50:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!ord-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: thegeek@wwa.com (Jay Woods)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Message-ID: <thegeek-1401010759380001@192.168.1.1>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org> <3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net> <93r2bl$qj$0@208.207.71.147> <3A612EF5.BD54BEB1@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: MT-NewsWatcher 2.2.2
Lines: 42
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 13:49:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.133.102.28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: ord-read.news.verio.net 979480157 206.133.102.28 (Sun, 14 Jan 2001 13:49:17 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 13:49:17 GMT
Organization: Verio
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12598 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10338

In article <3A612EF5.BD54BEB1@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > I was talking yesterday to a fella who has
> > spent some time in Africa, and he was telling
> > me about conditions there. He said "If I was
> > a black person I'd thank my lucky stars that
> > my ancestors were slow enough to get caught
> > and sent over here". Instead they got Big

> > Jesse and his stuff.
>
>
>   I saw an article, with similar sentiments, a couple of years ago,
> written by the black editor of the military's Stars & Stripes newspaper
> following his return from an extended tour in Africa. In the article,
> he said that his trip to Africa changed his entire views about race
> relations in the United States (he then went on to criticize those
> blacks in American who fail to appreciate the opportunities they have).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

You guys seem to be having problems stirring up emotions in the ng's
lately! I expect soon you'll be responding to your own posts.

Oh yeah, don't believe everything RUSH and Ollie tell you, they seem to
have trouble speaking if it's not reciting the republican line (not like
the left is any better). The republican party has more mantras than Jesse
and Jonny Cochran combined!

Anyway, now we see the true colors of the parties. Eight years ago the
Democrats (what a joke) were crying the Republicans were sabotaging the
presidency before it even started. The Republicans spent 8 years and
MILLIONS of our tax dollars trying to nail Willy saying all along it was
to protect the morals of our country (didn't work). Now the tide has
turned and it's starting all over again, only the names have changed.

Have fun!
Jay

From 1178865043876739233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 06:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 07:50:08 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A61AE90.84B55815@IEEE.org>
References: <3A5E03D9.ECCF32DF@uci.edu> <20010113111858.02315.00001729@nso-fi.aol.com> <3A609E83.893BFFF@IEEE.org> <93r48b$6o8$0@208.207.71.147> <3A6145BF.B4D64635@IEEE.org> <93rlqu$nga$0@208.207.71.177>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.aa

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 13:58:47 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12599

Dick Carroll wrote:
> If you had ever been fluent in Morse you could have passed the 20wpm
> test.

I could have easily passed the 20wpm test in 1952. But there was no
need. I had *ALL* amateur operating privileges at the time. Unlike
you, the purpose of my amateur radio license is for the privileges
granted, not for the purpose of beating my chest like a gorilla
who learns to count to five.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5515469941487157898
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 06:11:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 08:00:38 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3A61B106.84EE5C0E@IEEE.org>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A5F6ED0.D9D20F83@IEEE.org> <93qq8e$38v$5@208.207.71.147>
<3A60F2AD.92954C83@IEEE.org> <93r5s5$bqk$0@208.207.71.174>
<3A6146DE.38061116@IEEE.org> <93rjgu$f8i$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.aa
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 14:07:58 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12600

W4JLE wrote:
>

> You gave me an idea Cecil, I have taken a voice recognition program that I
> had done a long time ago. It is the type of program where each word is
> displayed and then the human inputs their voice response. Once the word is
> recognized you can speak faster or slower and it still recognizes the word
> and prints it to the screen. In any event instead of a voice response, I
> keyed an oscillator and sent the word in Morse. Once I had set up a few
> words I then sent faster and slower, used various spacing, and changed the
> notes pitch. Amazingly it works better than a Morse decoder program I
> downloaded for a comparison. Using Morse as a language rather than a digital
> mode seems to work well.

Fred, Fred, Fred, you could do the same thing with bird calls. There is much more to human language than your above experiment. Human language involves the conceptual contextual *understanding* of meanings of language constructs which is completely missing from your above experiment. As yet, computers are incapable of *implementing* human language, just as are dogs.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 2564844408658309704
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 06:18:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org> <3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net> <93r2bl$qj$0@208.207.71.147> <3A612EF5.BD54BEB1@sccoast.net> <thegeek-1401010759380001@192.168.1.1>
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <ivi86.890$Bv.1339266@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 08:14:16 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.179
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 979481678 206.29.242.179 (Sun, 14 Jan 2001 09:14:38 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 09:14:38 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12601

"Jay Woods" <thegeek@wwa.com> wrote in message
news:thegeek-1401010759380001@192.168.1.1...
> Oh yeah, don't believe everything RUSH and Ollie tell you, they seem to
> have trouble speaking if it's not reciting the republican line (not like

> the left is any better). The republican party has more mantras than
Jesse
> and Jonny Cochran combined!

Ain't that the godawful truth! The GOPpers have a naming department that rivals the Pentagon. The estate tax becomes the Death Tax. The completely ridiculous approach that considers a couple something other than two equal singles (and does not apply in Community Property states) becomes the Marriage Penalty. We end farm subsidies (and re-instate them every year since) and call it the Freedom to Farm bill. You can't introduce legislation without giving it a catchy and probably erroneous title. Anyone else selling committe chairs to the highest fund raisers might be called corrupt in other circles.

Fun and games in the old ballyard.

>
> Have fun!
> Jay

Dick N�BK aging boy wonder

From -3572189815333773985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 06:43:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 14:29:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <93sd4a$dgm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93q7v3$abv$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114005239.03673.00000673@nso-ck.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.171
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 14:29:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.171
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12602

In article <20010114005239.03673.00000673@nso-ck.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Make every amateur license state
> "Amateur Radiotelegraph Operator" and be done with it.
> Allow NO freedom. One mode, one service, one Reich...

Considering your OWN "one license/all priviledges" posture, I am sure this would fit your agenda nicely, Morse Code or not.....

> Now cross your legs...we have only one nail left.

This was really, REALLY sick. The lowest of the low.

--
"If you're not living life on the edge, you're taking up too much
space..."

http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html
http://www.qrz.com/k4yz/

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 329055960398609340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 06:55:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 14:54:44 GMT
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12603
```

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> Your answer does not consitute any kind of proof, just an
>> assumption on your part.

>It's getting light outside. I have no proof but I assume that
>that light is coming from the sun.

Do you believe that all assmuptions are equally valid?

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5338655528241189194
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 06:59:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 14:58:22 GMT
References: <od316tgnetnbhg83msq4bgrkq29ot3tavg@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114095822.01150.00001446@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12604

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>>Nobody has the time to scratch-build and chasing parts
>>is expensive and time consuming,

>Translation:
>Hams can't really homebrew state of the art gear anymore...

The "translation" is more apt to be that Brian is too busy to build things and that he feels that finding parts is not cost effective.

Did you really think he was telling us that he thought that hams have lost hte ability to homebrew equipment?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1709006800127742321
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 07:54:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 14 Jan 2001 15:54:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 71
Message-ID: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <20010112071345.17176.00000217@nso-ba.aol.com>
<15464-3A5F1491-10@storefull-113.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15523
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12605

Richard Harrison wrote:

> Mandatory testing for an optional mode is illogical.
>

So which modes in hama radio are NOT optional?

> Mandatory Morse tests repel far more amateur radio license applicants
> than they attract. A large group of licensees is more likely to get what
> it wants from the government than a small group.

Read it a little closer, Rich. Jim is advocating Morse code testing for any ham who wishes to use CW on the CW segments of ham radio bands. The rest of it is already moot - unless you actually do advocate doing away with CW completely.

>
> The dirty little secret is that pro-code amateurs fight for a code test
> to ensure amateurs are mostly pro-coders.
>

No, that's the dirty little lie promulgated by no-coders. What coded hams who understand the role of CW in ham radio want is for enough hams to be able to use the mode that it is available as needed, and isn't lost to us completely, as many code haters advocate. If you aren't one you could easily be misidentified by your writings.

You need to underestand (evidently you do not) that ham radio is quite different from any other radio service. Our mission is almost infinitely multifaceted, as compared to the narrowly focused objectives of commercial and governmental entities. Just because they have abandoned radiotelegraphy in favor of more cost efficient means, and do not hold any radiotelegraphy assets in reserve doesn't mean that such a plan is appropriate for the amateur radio service, where a large portion of participants use it extensively, and some use no other mode, nor wish to. To ignore their interests just because other later modes are in existance is about as logical as ignoring your left hand just because you are right handed and really don't need that unused left. Hey, just cut it off, the right hand is all I need.

> Some commercial users have valid claims to places in the radio spectrum.
> They have paid the people to use those places. The places occupied by
> amateurs are still owned by the people, in the sense that public lands
> are owned by the people.

So try claiming your personal portion of Yellowstone or Yosemite and see where it gets you.

> People-owned properties should be as accessible as possible to the
> people who own them so that there is the most benefit to the most
> owners. Code testing works against this.

So does all regulation and licensure. So it shall always be, and as people numbers increase so will regulation and restriction of use of limited available assets. Check news reports of newly enacted restrictions on use of National Parks, such as, again, Yosemite and Yellowstone. The several times I've visited Yosemite anyone could drive a private vehicle throughout the entire park. Nowdays you park your car just inside the gate and take a shuttle.

Dick

From 7984511739053153548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 08:05:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
novia!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 14 Jan 2001 16:05:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 43

Message-ID: <93sio5$7vi$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <93jfoj$brm$0@208.207.71.156>
<8014-3A5D559F-87@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93kjmn$qib$1@iac5.navix.net> <93lv8n$15r$0@208.207.71.154>
<3A5F2773.46C35E82@wgcr.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15525
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12606

Lamar your point is well taken, but out of date. Mandatory code testing is as good as gone, and most likely will be gone once the international treaty is changed, probably no sooner than 2003 at the earliest.

What is being debated now is mandatory code testing for users of the mode. If you don't plan to ever use it, and don't change your mind, then you've nothing to fear from it. If you want to work radiotelegraphy, you would be required to learn it and pass a test before being allowed to do so. To attempt to work code without any training and practical experience is akin to attempting to fly an airplane without any prior flight training. It will not work, it can't be done. To attempt to transmit Morse code on ham radio while totally untrained is a violation of FCC rules requiring the exercise of good amateur practice.

Dick

Lamar Owen wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Yes, that is an eminently noteworthy feature of this 'discussion'. The
> > Code Opposers, to a man, insist on honoring only their own choice of
> > definitions of the word 'Language', while every dictionary I have
> > consulted has numerous differing definitions, a number of which clearly
> > qualify Morse code as in fact being a language.
> > That pretty well sums it up.
>
> Just what does Morse being a language have to do with the Code Tests?
> Not the USE of code, but the TESTING of code proficiency?
>
> I am opposed to the code tests. But I am not opposed to the continued
> use of CW by those who enjoy it on bandwidth set aside for that
> purpose. So, if opposing the tests fits your definition of 'Code
> Opposer', then I am prima facie proof of your incorrect assertion that
> the Code Opposers, to a man, use narrow definitions of 'language' -- as
> I do not disagree with Morse being a 'language' in many of the ways
> American Sign is a 'language'. To be technical and nitpicking.
>
> Eliminating the test does not equal eliminating the mode.

```
> --
> Lamar Owen
> WGCR Internet Radio
> 1 Peter 4:11


From 3021714855035640584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 08:11:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 10:01:58 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A61CD76.13BC80D8@IEEE.org>
References: <93jfoj$brm$0@208.207.71.156>
<8014-3A5D559F-87@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93kjmn$qib$1@iac5.navix.net> <93lv8n$15r$0@208.207.71.154>
<3A5F2773.46C35E82@wgcr.org> <93sio5$7vi$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.38
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 16:10:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15526
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12607

Dick Carroll wrote:
> To attempt to work code without any training and practical
> experience is akin to attempting to fly an airplane without any prior
> flight training.

Please explain how working CW is a life and death proposition akin
to flying an airplane.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -1904977566219991450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 08:36:24 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <2uj36tshnhts9he797v9q8n4nb78at7eas@4ax.com>
References: <od316tgnetnbhg83msq4bgrkq29ot3tavg@4ax.com>
<20010114095822.01150.00001446@ng-cc1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 16:36:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.110
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979490182 209.86.211.110 (Sun, 14 Jan
2001 08:36:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 08:36:22 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12608

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>>>Nobody has the time to scratch-build and chasing parts
>>>is expensive and time consuming,

>>Translation:
>>Hams can't really homebrew state of the art gear anymore...

>The "translation" is more apt to be that Brian is too busy to build things and
>that he feels that finding parts is not cost effective.

Since Brian used the word "nobody" he appeared to be talking about
more hams than just himself. And because Brian has since replied: "I
don't think that's 100% true, but close", he didn't find as much fault
with my translation as you seem to. But I'll bet you're just yanking
my chain again, aren't you Ed...   :-)



From 1112690012332780145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 09:50:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!216.218.236.179.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!
128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
```

From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 17:44:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <93soif$m4u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <4ohs5tsrufdm0aqc6jttv4rntoc3arq9os@4ax.com>
<20010112073412.07248.00001188@ng-da1.aol.com> <93mv6l$7so$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<%aO76.776$e5.1918420@news.ntplx.net> <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.35
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 17:44:48 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.35
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12609

This is all stuff and nonsense!

I remember when you had a check-box on the 610
in case you were a convicted FELON but during
the Carter administration they struck that down
because the leftists in the government feel the
need to protect the Constitutional rights of
FELONS over the rest of us.

Courts here decided that Convicted FELONS can't
be compelled to register their guns as it would
be a violation of their Fifth Amendment rights!

I think KB1EVA was a plant to make us all look
bad! The FCC under Clinton gave him the callsign!

KB1 Extra Violent Activities!

Jim, ac6tk
PS On my third callsign and they still can't
get it right!   (Texas Kilowatt in California?)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7896714174033820709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f85429f2242adade
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 09:59:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

```
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 17:59:39 GMT
References: <93f7j8$5kh$0@pita.alt.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The Pope now rules the U.S.
Message-ID: <20010114125939.00714.00001782@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12610

In article <93f7j8$5kh$0@pita.alt.net>, bhamack@my-deja.com (Bill Hammack)
writes:

>
>How is it that after seeing the CNN report that a large majority of
>people in congress is Roman Catholic, no one has worried that we have
>150 congress people who place the Pope above their own President.
>
>Is the Pope the de facto ruler of America?

If so, I'm glad His Holiness was there on the job during the Clintoon
Administration!  When President Elect Bush takes office later this
month, His Holiness can take a break from his responsibilities as
the de factor ruler of the U.S.  I wish to thank him for his service to
our country.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 4010631441958862382
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 09:59:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 17:59:38 GMT
References: <3A55190B.C60FA4AB@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Message-ID: <20010114125938.00714.00001781@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12611

In article <3A55190B.C60FA4AB@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
```

>
>You still haven't given me an example of one in which it would be
>appropriate to arm ourselves against our own government. I can't think
>of one, and I certainly can't think of one that we could win.

An example of a citizen militia rising up against tyranny would be a coordinated, mass demonstration by armed citizens on the steps of the Capital, demanding redress of specific grievances. Naturally, the D.C. Police and the military would be called out, but it would be up to them to fire the first shots on citizens who were simply taking advantage of one of their Constitutional rights. When the "lawful authorities" did fire on such citizens, then they would then be responsible for the beginning of Civil War II. At that point, the police and military, composed of the sons, fathers, daughters, and mothers of the revolutionaries, would then be required to fight against potentially tens of millions of armed citizens who wish to live in freedom from government oppression and tyranny. Those would be interesting times, indeed!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -7259772895802636251
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 10:30:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news.cc.utah.edu!newsfeed.cs.utexas.edu!
galaxy.us.dell.com!news-feeds!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 18:16:28 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <93sqdo$nip$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
<3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net> <93r2bl$qj$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A612EF5.BD54BEB1@sccoast.net> <thegeek-1401010759380001@192.168.1.1>
<ivi86.890$Bv.1339266@nntp3.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.1
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 18:16:28 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.1
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12612
```

> Ain't that the godawful truth!

Actually no. But what can you expect from a
belief system that routinely lies and disregards
legal contracts between the people and
the government in order to exert their
totalitarian authority.

The commission is an illegal proceeding,
only justified by it's propaganda value.

Considering the routine use of lies, hate,
fear, intimidation and propaganda, I think
forcefeeding NAZI propaganda into the
proceedings sums up the gist of this
exercise in retaliation.

I find that the Democrats often telegraph
their thoughts and intentions by first
accusing others of what they are planning.

In the town where I grew up (and defected
from) they have taken up "Touch Screen"
ballots that don't even offer any physical
evidence that you even voted. Recounts
would be impossible, because the only
way the vote could change is if there
was a loss of data.

Where the HELL are we going.

Jim, ac6tk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3964113161094262953
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 10:36:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
<3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net> <93r2bl$qj$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A612EF5.BD54BEB1@sccoast.net> <thegeek-1401010759380001@192.168.1.1>
<ivi86.890$Bv.1339266@nntp3.onemain.com> <93sqdo$nip$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights

```
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <Ugm86.947$Bv.1504185@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 12:34:44 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.3.72.177
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 979497140 207.3.72.177 (Sun, 14 Jan 2001 13:32:20 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 13:32:20 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12613


<xjim@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:93sqdo$nip$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
>
> > Ain't that the godawful truth!
>
> Actually no.  But what can you expect from a
the

> Jim, ac6tk
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

Jim, just for shits and giggles, try reading the thread before posting




From 8947048905062499645
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 10:59:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 13:57:36 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <93ssth$2pl$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A5F6ED0.D9D20F83@IEEE.org> <93qq8e$38v$5@208.207.71.147>
<3A60F2AD.92954C83@IEEE.org> <93r5s5$bqk$0@208.207.71.174>
<3A6146DE.38061116@IEEE.org> <93rjgu$f8i$1@iac5.navix.net>
```

```
<3A61B106.84EE5C0E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp44.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979498737 2869 166.102.123.45 (14 Jan 2001 18:58:57 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Jan 2001 18:58:57 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12614
```

Cecil, Cecil, Cecil... You missed the whole point, I don't care one whit about the silly definition. I was relaying to you a technical report based on the serendipitous discovery spawned from the argument of language or code.

The point being that the voice recognition software works better than a standard CW decoder. The fuzzy algorithm needed for speech will satisfy copying poorly sent code better than a digital approach.

Thanks to your sending me in this direction, technicians the world over are now freed from the oppression of those nasty CW types that intentionally malformed characters to frustrate the code challenged. The world is once again safe and we can all sleep well!

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A61B106.84EE5C0E@IEEE.org...

```
> W4JLE wrote:
> >
> > You gave me an idea Cecil, I have taken a voice recognition program that
I
> > had done a long time ago. It is the type of program where each word is
> > displayed and then the human inputs their voice response. Once the word
is
> > recognized you can speak faster or slower and it still recognizes the
word
> > and prints it to the screen. In any event instead of a voice response, I
> > keyed an oscillator and sent the word in Morse. Once I had set up a few
> > words I then sent faster and slower, used various spacing, and changed
the
> > notes pitch. Amazingly it works better than a Morse decoder program I
> > downloaded for a comparison. Using Morse as a language rather than a
digital
> > mode seems to work well.
>
> Fred, Fred, Fred, you could do the same thing with bird calls. There
> is much more to human language than your above experiment. Human language
> involves the conceptual contextual *understanding* of meanings of language
> constructs which is completely missing from your above experiment. As yet,
> computers are incapable of *implementing* human language, just as are
dogs.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

From -4520596332982933908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 11:06:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 14:03:38 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <93st8r$36g$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A5F72FA.5356A96@IEEE.org>
<93qql7$7mk$0@208.207.71.147> <3A60F41F.5FDC356E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp44.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979499099 3280 166.102.123.45 (14 Jan 2001 19:04:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Jan 2001 19:04:59 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12615

Total nonsense Cecil! Pactor requires the use of a computer or at least a dumb terminal and a decoding device. What ever display is used has a code page appropriate to the country or the language.

Let's go back to your mythical Jap, his terminal displays Kata Kana, now how in the heck are you going to communicate with him if neither reads the others character set.

CW Now and forever for international communications.

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in >
> I've already won the argument, Dick. I can communicate just as well with
> a non-English speaking ham using PACTOR as with Morse code. I can do
> it without any Morse code whatsoever. So are you going to tell me that
> PACTOR is a language?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7574069330302230306

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 11:41:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 19:34:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <93suvv$qsc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A5F6ED0.D9D20F83@IEEE.org> <93qq8e$38v$5@208.207.71.147>
<3A60F2AD.92954C83@IEEE.org> <93r5s5$bqk$0@208.207.71.174>
<3A6146DE.38061116@IEEE.org> <93rjgu$f8i$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A61B106.84EE5C0E@IEEE.org> <93ssth$2pl$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.153
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 19:34:22 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.153
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12616

In article <93ssth$2pl$1@iac5.navix.net>,
"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> Cecil, Cecil, Cecil... You missed the whole point, I don't care one
whit
> about the silly definition. I was relaying to you a technical report
based
> on the serendipitous discovery spawned from the argument of language
or
> code.
>
> The point being that the voice recognition software works better than
a
> standard CW decoder. The fuzzy algorithm needed for speech will
satisfy
> copying poorly sent code better than a digital approach.
>
> Thanks to your sending me in this direction, technicians the world
over are
> now freed from the oppression of those nasty CW types that
intentionally
> malformed characters to frustrate the code challenged. The world is
once
> again safe and we can all sleep well!

Fred, you're a true humanitarian. Perhaps you'll win the Hiram Peace
Prize for freeing unworthy Tech slime from the jack boot of opression.

Dick will be pissed, as usual.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7415989465279343002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 11:51:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 19:39:28 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <93sv9g$r74$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <4ohs5tsrufdm0aqc6jttv4rntoc3arq9os@4ax.com>
<20010112073412.07248.00001188@ng-da1.aol.com> <93mv6l$7so$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<%aO76.776$e5.1918420@news.ntplx.net> <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93soif$m4u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.153
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 19:39:28 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.153
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12617

In article <93soif$m4u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
xjim@my-deja.com wrote:
> This is all stuff and nonsense!
>
> I remember when you had a check-box on the 610
> in case you were a convicted FELON but during
> the Carter administration they struck that down
> because the leftists in the government feel the
> need to protect the Constitutional rights of
> FELONS over the rest of us.
>
> Courts here decided that Convicted FELONS can't
> be compelled to register their guns as it would
> be a violation of their Fifth Amendment rights!
>
> I think KB1EVA was a plant to make us all look
> bad! The FCC under Clinton gave him the callsign!
>
> KB1 Extra Violent Activities!

```
>
> Jim, ac6tk
> PS On my third callsign and they still can't
> get it right!   (Texas Kilowatt in California?)

OK, who are you and what are you talking about?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -5005027037625079065
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 11:52:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 19:44:49 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <93svjg$rb3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A55190B.C60FA4AB@uci.edu> <20010114125938.00714.00001781@nso-
md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.153
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 19:44:49 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.153
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12618

In article <20010114125938.00714.00001781@nso-md.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <3A55190B.C60FA4AB@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:
>
> >
> >You still haven't given me an example of one in which it would be
> >appropriate to arm ourselves against our own government.  I can't
think
> >of one, and I certainly can't think of one that we could win.
>
> An example of a citizen militia rising up against tyranny would be a
> coordinated, mass demonstration by armed citizens on the steps of
> the Capital, demanding redress of specific grievances.  Naturally,
> the D.C. Police and the military would be called out, but it would
> be up to them to fire the first shots on citizens who were simply
> taking advantage of one of their Constitutional rights.  When the
> "lawful authorities" did fire on such citizens, then they would then
> be responsible for the beginning of Civil War II.  At that point, the
> police and military, composed of the sons, fathers, daughters, and
> mothers of the revolutionaries, would then be required to fight
> against potentially tens of millions of armed citizens who wish to
> live in freedom from government oppression and tyranny.  Those
> would be interesting times, indeed!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Then there was the SoCal survey of the Marine Corps asking if they would
fire on American citizens if ordered to do so.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 4603491214034190417
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f85429f2242adade
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 12:01:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Pope now rules the U.S.
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 19:53:35 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <93t03t$ro5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93f7j8$5kh$0@pita.alt.net> <20010114125939.00714.00001782@nso-
md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.153
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 19:53:35 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.153
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12619

In article <20010114125939.00714.00001782@nso-md.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <93f7j8$5kh$0@pita.alt.net>, bhamack@my-deja.com (Bill
Hammack)
> writes:
>
> >
> >How is it that after seeing the CNN report that a large majority of
> >people in congress is Roman Catholic, no one has worried that we have
> >150 congress people who place the Pope above their own President.
> >
> >Is the Pope the de facto ruler of America?
>
> If so, I'm glad His Holiness was there on the job during the Clintoon
> Administration!  When President Elect Bush takes office later this
> month, His Holiness can take a break from his responsibilities as
> the de factor ruler of the U.S.  I wish to thank him for his service
to
> our country.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, lets dunk this guy in holy water.  I got a buck that says
his candy ass melts away.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 5835811259773788293
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 12:31:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 20:21:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <93t1os$t3b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<20010112073117.07248.00001185@ng-da1.aol.com> <3A5F4A89.D603EB4A@IEEE.org>
<93o0uf$81k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93qtqd$f9m$1@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.153
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 20:21:53 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.153
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12620

In article <93qtqd$f9m$1@208.207.71.147>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A5F4A89.D603EB4A@IEEE.org>,
> >   Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > > W1RFI wrote:
```

> > > > My life expectancy is about 30 years. How about we give it at
least
> > that long
> > > > before we pronounce the mode dead. I will do my part. :-)
> > >
> > > If CW will give me 30 more years, I'll be glad to talk to you. :-)
> >
> > Deal. Lets set up a sked in 2030.
>
> No use. You'll still be bitching and complaining that someone wants
you
> to either learn the code or shut up abojut it.

Suit yourself. I was just trying to give you a few extra years of
bitterness.

We're back to the 2024 sked when I retire, but you better not die on
me.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7668235840257144817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f5d40,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gidf5d40,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 12:33:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dr. Conrad Connie <coontail@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.conspiracy
Subject: Re: The Pope now rules the U.S.
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 20:24:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <93t1tt$t4j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93f7j8$5kh$0@pita.alt.net> <3A5B2E9E.9A5583FC@oio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.91.11.234
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 14 20:24:34 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.7 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.91.11.234
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDcoontail
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10395
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12621 alt.conspiracy:30960

In article <3A5B2E9E.9A5583FC@oio.net>,

```
  'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
>
> Bill Hammack wrote:
> >
> > How is it that after seeing the CNN report that a large majority of
> > people in congress is Roman Catholic, no one has worried that we
have
> > 150 congress people who place the Pope above their own President.
> >
> > Is the Pope the de facto ruler of America?
>
> Bill,
>    Stick to the conspiracy group, they'll believe anything...
>                        'Doc
>

  Who cares, Jesus is returning tomorrow night on the 20/20 Show.
Wawa got the interview.
;)

--




Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -5889074742875618393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58349ac3dbc5d81f,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 12:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Left wingers getting ready
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 15:38:03 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 157
Message-ID: <93t2q0$619$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp44.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979504768 6185 166.102.123.45 (14 Jan 2001 20:39:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Jan 2001 20:39:28 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12622
```

Some of the left wing nonsense, this from Ramsey Clark former Attorney General (D)
complete with neutral wording such as "Governor death"... January 20th should be fun. A search of the internet shows all the cock roaches are coming out of the woodwork.

THIRTEEN REASONS TO PROTEST AT BUSH'S INAUGURATION ON JAN. 20

On Jan. 20, thousands of people will march and rally to protest at George W. Bush's inauguration in Washington and San Francisco. We will be demonstrating against the incoming Bush administration and its racist, sexist, anti-gay, militaristic, anti- labor and pro-big business policies. Bush claims to be a "compassionate conservative," but his real "compassion" is reserved for the giant pharmaceutical, oil, banking and other corporations.

We call for a profound social transformation, to a society where people's needs come before profits, where every person has the right to a good-paying job, decent housing, health care, education, childcare and a clean environment. We call for freedom for death-row activist, author and prisoner Mumia Abu-Jamal, Native leader Leonard Peltier and all political prisoners. We cannot rely on the Democratic Party--Clinton led the charge in demolishing social welfare programs, bombing Yugoslavia and keeping the deadly sanctions against Iraq--or on the corrupt corporate elections.

Only a mass people's movement can bring real change, as has always been true. Jan. 20 can be an important step in building this movement, one which links together workers, students, people of all nationalities, women, lesbian, gay, bi and trans people, immigrants, the disabled, seniors and youths.

There are many reasons to join the Jan. 20 counter-inaugural protests. Here are a few:

(1) Bush will become president only due to massive racist disenfranchisement and voting fraud. Not only in Florida, but also across the country, African American votes were disproportionately thrown out. Hundreds of thousands of potential voters, especially in Black, Latino, Native American and poor white communities, have been permanently disenfranchised (forbidden to vote) for having been convicted of a felony. In predominantly African American neighborhoods from Atlanta to Chicago to Miami, outmoded technology and intimidation led to votes being disqualified 20-25 times as often as in adjoining white suburbs. Afraid to be accused of rocking the boat, the pro-system Democratic Party leadership refused to raise the issue of racism during the re-count process.

(2) Gov. Death is moving to Washington. As governor of Texas, Bush presided over more executions--152--than took place in the other 49 states combined during that time. The death penalty is racist and anti-poor. It must be abolished. Bush and his chief law enforcement official, Attorney General- designate John Ashcroft, are rabidly pro-execution.

(3) We must mobilize now to defend women's right to choose. The new Bush administration is anti-women and anti- choice. Women's right to abortion and birth control was won in the streets and it must be defended in the streets. Bush and Ashcroft are both on record as favoring a constitutional amendment banning women's right to choose. Ashcroft is opposed to abortion even in cases of rape, incest or where a woman's life is in danger.

(4) We need funding for universal health care, free education, afford able housing, heat and light, childcare and union jobs, not for the Pentagon. In the richest economy that has ever existed, the basic needs of the entire population could easily be met. Instead of going to meet human needs, how ever, hundreds of billions of dollars annually are spent on destructive and wasteful militarism. The U.S. already has a bigger military budget than the rest of the United Nations Security Council combined. Now Bush wants to vastly increase military spending, including building the so-called "National Missile Defense System." The NMDS is really an offensive rather than defensive system, and is an essential element in a first-strike nuclear war strategy. The Pentagon, which has spent a mind-boggling $4 trillion on nuclear weapons to date, has always refused to renounce the first-strike use of nuclear weapons. Both Bush and his new Secretary of Defense (War) Donald Rumsfeld are ardent supporters of NMDS.

(5) The genocidal sanctions on Iraq must end. In his first speech as Secretary of State-design ate, Colin Powell talked of "re-energizing the sanctions on Iraq." The sanctions-blockade has already taken more than 1.5 million Iraqi lives, half of them children under the age of 5. Powell, Vice President-elect Dick Cheney, James Baker and other George W. advisors launched the Gulf War 10 years ago during the regime of George Bush I. Clinton carried on the sanctions and bombing throughout his eight-year term, and now the new Bush team wants to further step up the war against Iraq.

(6) Stop U.S. intervention in Colombia and all of Latin America. The incoming Bush national security team wants to escalate U.S. intervention in Colombia beyond even Clinton's Plan Colombia. And it's not just Colombia--there is also the threat of wider intervention in Venezuela, Ecuador, Bolivia, Peru, Mexico and Argentina, where people are rising up against the domination of the International Monetary Fund, World Bank and U.S. corporate domination. We must act now to stop a new Vietnam War in Latin America.

(7) The incoming Bush administration is filled with vicious bigots who want to turn back the clock on gains won by lesbian, gay, bi and trans people. Bush is allied with the bigoted religious and non-religious extreme right who want to deny equal rights to lesbian, gay, bi and trans people and force them back into the closet.

(8) The 40-year war against Cuba must end. Bush, like the nine presidents before him, wants to turn Cuba back into a colony of the U.S. as it was before the 1959 revolution. It is time to end the blockade and aggression against a small neighboring country and let Cuba live in peace.

(9) The Bush administration wants to speed up environmental destruction for the sake of corporate profits. Under Gov. Bush, Texas ranked 50th among states in air quality and first in toxic polluters, toxic releases, carcinogens in the air and 13 other polluting categories. Bush put polluting industry representatives in charge of the state's Natural Resources Conservation Council (Texas' version of the EPA). Bush and his nominee for Interior Secretary Gale Norton want to open the Arctic National Wildlife Preserve to oil drilling, just a hint of what is to come unless we mobilize now.

(10) Support an independent home land for the Palestinian people. The U.S. has given Israel hundreds of billions of dollars in aid over the past 50 years, aid used to repress the Palestinians. The U.S. government and Israel are acting as a team against the Palestinians. There will be no peace in the Middle East until there is justice for the Palestinian people.

(11) End U.S. colonialism in Puerto Rico--U.S. Navy out of Vieques! The Bush administration's plan for military expansion includes keeping the island of Vieques as a bombing range. Many Puerto Rican people have been killed or injured, and the environment and economy of Vieques have suffered much destruction. Now is the time to support the Puerto Rican people in their struggle to get the Pentagon out.

(12) Shut down the prison-industrial complex! The U.S. has 5 percent if the world's population and 25 percent of the world's prison population. This amounts to over 2 million people, a majority of them African American, Native and Latino. Prisons are all about brutal repression and super-exploitation against the poor and people of color, not rehabilitation. The economic basis for all of this repression is profits. Wall Street firms and banks invested an estimated $41 billion into the expansion of private prisons last year. Prisoners are forced to work for slave wages for high tech, manufacturing and service companies. Under the Clinton/ Gore administration, more prisons were built than universities. The Bush administration plans to continue this trend. A national movement must be built to shut down these concentration camps. Our youths need union jobs and good schools, not incarceration.

(13) We will march on Jan. 20 to say NO to the anti-worker Bush regime. Bush's Secretary of Labor candidate is an extreme right-winger who is anti-civil rights, anti-women's rights, anti-lesbian and gay, anti-disabled persons, anti- immigrant and ANTI-LABOR! Linda Chavez is the dream Secretary of Labor--for the corporations and bosses. Appointed by Reagan to head the U.S. Civil Rights Commission in 1983, she worked to reverse all the gains of the civil rights movement. Chavez is opposed to there even being a federal minimum wage.

Once

```
From -5743102080328584225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 12:48:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news-out.uswest.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <4ohs5tsrufdm0aqc6jttv4rntoc3arq9os@4ax.com>
<20010112073412.07248.00001188@ng-da1.aol.com> <93mv6l$7so$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<%aO76.776$e5.1918420@news.ntplx.net> <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93soif$m4u$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93sv9g$r74$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <vbo86.804$V6.420561@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 14:44:46 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.3.67.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 979504987 207.3.67.20 (Sun, 14 Jan 2001 15:43:07 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 15:43:07 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12623
```

"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:93sv9g$r74$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

```
> > Jim, ac6tk
> > PS On my third callsign and they still can't
> > get it right!   (Texas Kilowatt in California?)
>
> OK, who are you and what are you talking about?
```

Glorioski Brian. The first pithogram from your flying fingers in months.
It actually has meaning. :-))

```
From 1132596491138310624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58349ac3dbc5d81f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 14:16:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp.frontiernet.net!nntp.gblx.net!news.frontiernet.net!not-for-mail
From: Steve <mcsp@usa.net>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Left wingers getting ready
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 17:14:18 -0500
Organization: Global Crossing Telecommunications
Lines: 20
Sender: UNKNOWN@208-51-135-198.nas2.mon.gblx.net
Message-ID: <3A6224B9.173A65C5@usa.net>
References: <93t2q0$619$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208-51-135-198.nas2.mon.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net 979510460 37212 208.51.135.198 (14 Jan 2001 22:14:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@frontiernet.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Jan 2001 22:14:20 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12624

Looks like the "crying" liberals have turned into "tantrum throwing" liberals. With all their childish behavior it was the only logical next step. Maybe it is time for the parent to give them a slap across the mouth? (hehehe)

~Steve~

W4JLE wrote:

> Some of the left wing nonsense, this from Ramsey Clark former Attorney
> General (D)
> complete with neutral wording such as "Governor death"... January 20th
> should be fun. A search of the internet shows all the cock roaches are
> coming out of the woodwork.
>
> THIRTEEN REASONS TO PROTEST AT BUSH'S INAUGURATION ON JAN. 20

<ignorant B.S. snip>

From -8115600646026467162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 14:41:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com

```
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 22:39:06 GMT
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114173906.05250.00001609@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12625

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Richard Harrison wrote:

>> Mandatory testing for an optional mode is illogical.

>  So which modes in hama radio are NOT optional?

I believe that to be his point; the testing for Morse code should be on a par
for the testing for other modes.  The only mode that is not optional on HF is
Morse code.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From -2967411864322396510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 14:44:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 22:42:00 GMT
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114174200.05250.00001610@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12626

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Read it a little closer, Rich. Jim is advocating Morse code testing for
>any ham who wishes to use CW on the CW segments of ham radio bands. The
>rest of it is already moot -  unless you actually do advocate doing away
>with CW completely.

Why would testing of the encoding method used in Morse code be necessary before
```

granting CW access in the CW portion of the band. Are you afraid hordes of people will learn Morse code wrong and try to get on the air? Those that learn the code will use the mode, just as badly as I did at first and will improve with time if they stick to it.

Would you advocate that the VEs determine that a ham has working RTTY equipment before he or she is permitted to use RTTY on the digital portions of the bands?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 936089136692673578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 14:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 22:48:03 GMT
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114174803.05250.00001612@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12627

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>What coded
>hams who understand the role of CW in ham radio want is for enough hams
>to be able to use the mode that it is available as needed, and isn't
>lost to us completely, as many code haters advocate. If you aren't one
>you could easily be misidentified by your writings.

I am not a code hater, Dick, so let me add my two-cents worth. IMHO, everything that is good about Morse code on April 14, 2000 was equally good on April 16, 2000. The value will continue to be there if the code tests are removed entirely. I agree that fewer hams will try it, but I also don't believe that its use will end any time soon, if ever, in the Amateur bands.

I believe that the harshness and anger I have seen expressed here by some of the pro-code-test folks is turning people away from the mode. Why do you believe that some of those who say that they want to retain Morse code testing to help preserve the mode are engaging in conduct that is helping to drive people away from it?

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -983081238905647184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 14:59:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 22:57:31 GMT
References: <3A609E83.893BFFF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114175731.05250.00001616@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12628

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>I learned Morse code before I ever heard of amateur radio simply
>because it was fun.

I learned it as a Boy Scout. Never did seek the merit badge.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6751448722758979548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 15:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 54
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 22:54:49 GMT
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114175449.05250.00001614@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12629

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You need to underestand (evidently you do not) that ham radio is quite
>different from any other radio service.

It was not significantly different from other radio services when it was created, Dick.

Do you believe that if ham radio had been created deliberately to function entirely differently than the radio services of its day, that it would have attained the level of respect and value that helped preserve it through decades?

>Our mission is almost infinitely multifaceted, as compared to
>the narrowly focused objectives of commercial and governmental entities.

I agree; our diversity is our strength. Having a test that emphasizes one mode over all others is not "infinitely multifaceted" and cannot be expected to foster that diversity in the Amateur Radio Service.

>Just because they have abandoned
>radiotelegraphy in favor of more cost efficient means, and do not hold
>any radiotelegraphy assets in reserve doesn't mean that such a plan is
>appropriate for the amateur radio service, where a large portion of
>participants use it extensively,

Do you think the same thing was said about the use of spark in the Amateur Radio Service? Do you think the Amateur Radio Service would have survived had it not evolved past that?

> and some use no other mode, nor wish
to.

> To ignore their interests just because other later modes are in
>existance is about as logical as ignoring your left hand just because
>you are right handed and really don't need that unused left. Hey, just
>cut it off, the right hand is all I need.

Some use no other mode that RTTY. Do you believe they should be allowed to require that hams demonstrate that they possess the ability to decode RTTY before they are given a license to use CW?

>> Some commercial users have valid claims to places in the radio spectrum.
>> They have paid the people to use those places. The places occupied by
>> amateurs are still owned by the people, in the sense that public lands
>> are owned by the people.

> So try claiming your personal portion of Yellowstone or Yosemite and
>see where it gets you.

Try claiming 7040 kHz and see where it gets you.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3257094218760909823
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 15:00:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 22:56:51 GMT
References: <20010113111858.02315.00001729@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114175651.05250.00001615@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12630

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I learned Morse code at speeds greater than 5 WPM because
>it was the current requirement to obtain an Extra-class license!
>If the requirement hadn't existed, neither would my achievement --
>and I would not have benefited from the enjoyment of reasonable
>proficiency in this practical communications skill. It's as simple
>as that.

Did you learn Morse code faster than 20 wpm?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8207593247365072097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 15:03:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 23:00:36 GMT

References: <20010113111857.02315.00001727@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114180036.05250.00001617@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12631

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> Human nature being what it is, most people will take the path of least
>resistance in order to achieve the greatest amount of instant gratification.

The path of least resistance is one of the unlicensed services, Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5187060488895252261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 15:08:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!
feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 16:55:25 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.b1
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 23:02:44 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12632

Dick Carroll wrote:
> But downgrading he code to the very minimum required by treaty has had
> not had the effect of adding hams to the ranks, in the 10 months the new
> regs have been in effect.

That just means that the code requirement should have been dropped,
not just reduced. What happened to all those hoards of CBers and

freebanders that you predicted would invade the ARS?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -6933796028128477766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 15:14:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 17:01:01 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A622FAD.AD4D4E9@IEEE.org>
References: <20010112123400.26444.00000540@nso-fo.aol.com>
<16931-3A5F54D5-53@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<3a697be1.160190301@news.seanet.com> <93sn66$ih0$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.b1
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 23:10:57 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12633

Dick Carroll wrote:
> It seems evident that
> one who cannot visualize a ham copying CW without the necessity of
> converting the sounds to letters then converting the letters to words
> and to then sentences would not be agreeable to considering Morse code
> as a language.

Everyone can visualize that, Dick. It still doesn't make Morse code
a language. Those words you are copying in Morse code are *English*
words.

> Your posting was not ignored, it was read carefully by me. But you're
> right- I don't consider it to be too much of a big deal that to a CW man
> it reslly is a language.

Actually, it is not a language - for you it is obviously a religion.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3792701217329125051

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 15:22:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 17:08:53 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A623185.BA15DB43@IEEE.org>
References: <20010113111858.02315.00001729@nso-fi.aol.com>
<20010114175651.05250.00001615@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.b1
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 23:18:47 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12634

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >I learned Morse code at speeds greater than 5  WPM because
> >it was the current requirement to obtain an Extra-class license!
> >If the requirement hadn't existed, neither would my achievement --
> >and I would not have benefited from the enjoyment of reasonable
> >proficiency in this practical communications skill.  It's as simple
> >as that.
>
> Did you learn Morse code faster than 20 wpm?

Nope he stopped at exactly 20.0000001 wpm. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 1043694623252936665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 15:22:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!teaser.fr!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 17:07:28 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A623130.959AD41@IEEE.org>
References: <3A609E83.893BFFF@IEEE.org> <20010114175731.05250.00001616@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.b1
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 23:17:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12635

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >I learned Morse code before I ever heard of amateur radio simply
> >because it was fun.
>
> I learned it as a Boy Scout. Never did seek the merit badge.

I found out about it in Cub Scouts. My cousin and I learned it
together but not for a merit badge. We used it for secret messages
in the middle of the night. We were about ten years old.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 720672444998783107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 15:25:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 95
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jan 2001 23:20:31 GMT
References: <3a60609b.1091289@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Home-Plug HF device interference concerns
Message-ID: <20010114182031.05250.00001630@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12636

From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>>... last month I met with representatives from HomePlug, an industry
>> consortium that is developing the spec for home-networking devices that
>> will put HF signals onto residential electrical wiring. ARRL has
>> helped persuade them that their spec needs to include notches in
>> the HF bands and they brought some test equipment that let us test
>> the levels they are notching to at W1INF, W1AW and KB1BE.

>>The results were mixed, and this month, I will be doing some
>>additional testing using a noise generator/amplifier I built in the Lab.

>> The results will be used by the manufacturers to set the standard
>> at a level expected not to cause widespread interference to
>> ham radio.

>You said: "The results will be used by the manufacturers to set the
>standard at a level expected not to cause widespread interference to
>ham radio."

>Does that imply that they aren't concerned about seletive
>or locally limited interference to ham radio?

>Just what does
>"widespread" mean in their efforts to limit interference to
>my operations and those of other hams?

Let me preface my answer with a bit of background. First, these devices are quite legal under Part 15 rules. They will need to meet the limits for intentional radiators on HF. It would be perfectly legal for a manufactuer to make an intentional emitter that creates a field of 50 uV/m at 30 meters distance from the radiating source. On 3.5 MHz, this translates to a an S9+10 dB level signal. There is a further provision in Part 15 that requires that such a device not cause harmful interference.

The levels that they are notching are 30 to 50 dB less than what is permitted under Part 15 rules. They don't have to do this, except that they are trying to avoid the interference reports that will inevitably result if they don't. The industry has learned from the lessons of the wireless modem jacks that are being removed from service by AT&T.

The levels for the notches being considered would result in approximately 0.01 microwatts being radiated from an inefficient radiator (the power wiring inside a home) in the typical bandwidth being used by hams. Compare that to the permitted spurious emissions level permitted to hams of 50 milliwatts from an HF transmitter. We are allowed about 67 dB more radiation from our harmonics in our neighbor's TV channel than they are proposing to use in the ham bands.

The general goal at this stage is to ensure that one of these devices installed in a neighboring home will not cause harmful interference. I don't know where the FCC would draw the line on what constitutes "harmful interference," btw. I don't know if the product can be made that good, but ARRL is going to work as closely with an industry that wants to do the right thing as can be done. From there, it may become a regulatory matter.

Sooner or later, with this type of product, the line will be crossed where harmful interference will happen. I suspect that apartments and indoor ham antennas may be a problem. Of course, that already is a problem with many other types of devices. Interestingly, at KB1BE, one of the fluourescent lights in his basement radiated about 10 dB more noise than did the test signals we were coupling into his residential wiring. Part of his antenna was located 15 feet from the house, FWIW.

I don't know how all this is going to turn out, Bill. I do know that there is a limit to what ARRL can ask them to do voluntarily, and that the regulations would permit them to do NOTHING voluntarily.

>What about local interference by one of these devices to
>my operation if a neighbor installs one?

I also raised the question of susceptibility. Although not a rules problem, susceptibility issues DO cause grief for hams with neighbors who don't understand the rules. At this stage, I have a general agreement that they will get some beta units to me for testing purposes.

More to follow, in a more public venue, as soon as more is known.

As an aside, a similar product, home phone networking, has notches in the ham bands. When I met with a rep from the Home Phone Networking Alliance, we tested both immunity and radiation. At W1AW, the one we tested was virtually immune, although with closer antennas, there could have been problems. This rep, btw, is Steve Strauss, NY3B, who is now a member of the ARRL RFI Task Group. Ron McConnell, W2IOL, a rep from one of the VDSL committees, is another.

It is absolutely wonderful to see that, if approached and made aware of ham radio, the industries are willing to take steps to address harmful interference, at least in these cases.

We can all be glad that Larry is permitting this work to continue instead of selling the building and taking out a few prime-time TV ads. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -797524970052827269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 15:28:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills

```
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 17:13:13 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-
cc1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.b1
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 23:22:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12637

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >> Your answer does not consitute any kind of proof, just an
> >> assumption on your part.
>
> >It's getting light outside. I have no proof but I assume that
> >that light is coming from the sun.
>
> Do you believe that all assmuptions are equally valid?

I'm not sure what an assmuption is. A donkey with mumps? :-)

If it quacks like a duck ...  Ed, do you agree with Jim that dits
or dahs sent for the purpose of jamming are not sent with Morse
code equipment?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -6893087215499127822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 15:38:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.textport.net!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Joe Black <jbla88@houston.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 17:33:42 -0600
Organization: Houston's Premier ISP
Lines: 2
Message-ID: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>
```

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10417
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12638
```

Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful. Time for more official notices?

```
From -675612667813765827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 15:40:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 17:26:53 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3A6235BD.F9C93384@IEEE.org>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A5F72FA.5356A96@IEEE.org>
<93qql7$7mk$0@208.207.71.147> <3A60F41F.5FDC356E@IEEE.org>
<93st8r$36g$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.b1
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Jan 2001 23:35:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12639
```

W4JLE wrote:

> Total nonsense Cecil! Pactor requires the use of a computer or at least a dumb terminal and a decoding device. What ever display is used has a code page appropriate to the country or the language.
>
> Let's go back to your mythical Jap, his terminal displays Kata Kana, ...

Nope, Japanese terminals either display English characters or allow the kind of characters to be selectable. You see, the Japanese, long ago recognized the superiority of a 26 character alphabet and adopted Romanji for their computer systems. Thus I can send, using PACTOR, "RST is 579" and my Japanese friends can understand it perfectly with no Morse code involved. Thus, if Morse code is a language, then PACTOR is also a language.

I was applications manager for OKI Semiconductor for a number of years. The parent company was Oki Denki and many of their employees were hams. All of their personal computers used Roman (English) characters. Almost all of those hams operated their personal computers in English, just like I did.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 4201718967982905044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 16:45:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp.flash.net!news.flash.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6238E3.88AD1236@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
<3A607231.3DB1E99D@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 00:43:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.215.68.9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@flash.net
X-Trace: news.flash.net 979519422 216.215.68.9 (Sun, 14 Jan 2001 18:43:42 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 18:43:42 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12640
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10425
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

>
>
>   Good point. Shortly after the election, there were complaints about
> police at some of the voting booths (it made some minority voters
> nervious).

Probably because they were afraid they might be recognized.

```
From 4429558437148103207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:21:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 01:07:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <93tihb$aia$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net> <93tic6$a9q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.230
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 01:07:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.230
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10432
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12642
```

In article <93tic6$a9q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> In article <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>,
>   Joe Black <jbla88@houston.net> wrote:
> > Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful. Time for more
> > official notices?
>
> Was it more "Battle of the Pre-99 Extras?"
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

It was probably Jamming Joe Black playing digital recordings and white noise.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -7327369217386536181
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:21:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 01:05:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <93tic6$a9q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.144
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 01:05:13 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.144
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10431
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12641

In article <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>,
  Joe Black <jbla88@houston.net> wrote:
> Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful.  Time for more
> official notices?

Was it more "Battle of the Pre-99 Extras?"


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/
```

```
From 6514468311831918203
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58349ac3dbc5d81f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:21:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Left wingers getting ready
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 01:11:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 14
```

```
Message-ID: <93tini$al4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93t2q0$619$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.144
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 01:11:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.144
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12643

In article <93t2q0$619$1@iac5.navix.net>,
  "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> Some of the left wing nonsense, this from Ramsey Clark former Attorney
> General (D)
> complete with neutral wording such as "Governor death"... January 20th
> should be fun. A search of the internet shows all the cock roaches are
> coming out of the woodwork.

I say we put them all in camps in California.  They can sue us for
reparations in 50 years, but I've only got about 30 years left.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -1944408443600055550
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:30:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 01:19:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <93tj7g$b18$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <4ohs5tsrufdm0aqc6jttv4rntoc3arq9os@4ax.com>
<20010112073412.07248.00001188@ng-da1.aol.com> <93mv6l$7so$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<%aO76.776$e5.1918420@news.ntplx.net> <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93soif$m4u$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93sv9g$r74$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<vbo86.804$V6.420561@nntp1.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.144
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 01:19:48 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.144
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12644

In article <vbo86.804$V6.420561@nntp1.onemain.com>,
```

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:93sv9g$r74$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > Jim, ac6tk
> > > PS On my third callsign and they still can't
> > > get it right!   (Texas Kilowatt in California?)
> >
> > OK, who are you and what are you talking about?
>
> Glorioski Brian. The first pithogram from your flying fingers in
months.
> It actually has meaning. :-))

Exactly!!! It's as if he were sending with a banana boat swing and I
couldn't copy.

Decipher, please!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5792981902495102023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:38:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!grolier!newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!skynet.be!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 01:35:43 GMT
References: <93tic6$a9q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Message-ID: <20010114203543.19385.00001994@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12645

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>Was it more "Battle of the Pre-99 Extras?"

I don't know. What percentage of the pre-99 Extras participated?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -6087138390618607387
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58349ac3dbc5d81f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:38:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!
news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Left wingers getting ready
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 01:34:28 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A6253A4.5543B742@bctv.com>
References: <93t2q0$619$1@iac5.navix.net> <93tini$al4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-16.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 979522567 6954 63.87.116.16 (15 Jan 2001 01:36:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 01:36:07 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12646
```

Brian wrote:

> In article <93t2q0$619$1@iac5.navix.net>,
> "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
> > Some of the left wing nonsense, this from Ramsey Clark former Attorney
> > General (D)
> > complete with neutral wording such as "Governor death"... January 20th
> > should be fun. A search of the internet shows all the cock roaches are
> > coming out of the woodwork.
>
> I say we put them all in camps in California.

GREAT idea! That way we can dedicate the CW portions of the bands JUST
for K6 calls!

```
From 8177566227277251956
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:40:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
```

audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 01:38:10 GMT
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12647

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>If it quacks like a duck ... Ed, do you agree with Jim that dits
>or dahs sent for the purpose of jamming are not sent with Morse
>code equipment?

I believe that most of the strings of dits used for jamming are probably sent
with the internal keyers that have been part of most HF rigs for over a decade
now. A plug and a wire is all that is needed.

I have no doubt that some of those keyers are also used for Morse code. I also
have no doubt that some of them are not.

I have no basis on which to even speculate as to the percentage. Do you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6695860589410087816
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f5d40,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gidf5d40,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:41:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!216.218.236.179.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!news.gtei.net.MISMATCH!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.conspiracy
Subject: Re: The Pope now rules the U.S.
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 01:25:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <93tjii$b6b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93f7j8$5kh$0@pita.alt.net> <3A5B2E9E.9A5583FC@oio.net>
<93t1tt$t4j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.144
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 01:25:42 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.144
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10433
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12648 alt.conspiracy:31030

In article <93t1tt$t4j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Dr. Conrad Connie <coontail@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <3A5B2E9E.9A5583FC@oio.net>,
>   'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Bill Hammack wrote:
> > >
> > > How is it that after seeing the CNN report that a large majority
of
> > > people in congress is Roman Catholic, no one has worried that we
> have
> > > 150 congress people who place the Pope above their own President.
> > >
> > > Is the Pope the de facto ruler of America?
> >
> > Bill,
> >    Stick to the conspiracy group, they'll believe anything...
> >                        'Doc
> >
>
>   Who cares, Jesus is returning tomorrow night on the 20/20 Show.
> Wawa got the interview.
> ;)

I wonder what she had to do to get it?

I heard she smoked a Cuban cigar to get the Fidel interview.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 6227871972965911650
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f5d40,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gidf5d40,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:41:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!216.218.236.179.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
```

news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.conspiracy
Subject: Re: The Pope now rules the U.S.
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 01:33:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <93tk0n$bhv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93f7j8$5kh$0@pita.alt.net> <3A5B7040.11D274E9@lucent.com>
<93fsh5$3ul$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.144
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 01:33:11 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.144
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10434
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12649 alt.conspiracy:31031

In article <93fsh5$3ul$1@iac5.navix.net>,
  "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> And after the Catholics, will they go after the Jews...

, the homo-secks-you-alls, wicans, and vegans.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8766879819465138512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:43:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 01:40:49 GMT
References: <3A622FAD.AD4D4E9@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114204049.19385.00001996@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12650

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Everyone can visualize that, Dick. It still doesn't make Morse code
>a language. Those words you are copying in Morse code are *English*

>words.

If they were mispronounced so badly as to be unrecognizable as English, are
they still English?

I think I know how to resolve this. My wife speaks English but is not a ham.
If she understands the words, I am sure everyone will agree wholeheartedly that
they are English.

73,
Ed

From -2689516074755989677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 01:41:48 GMT
References: <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114204148.19385.00001997@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12651

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>What happened to all those hoards of CBers and
>freebanders that you predicted would invade the ARS?

Cecil, you must have missed it. The hordes are memorizing a thousand questions
so they won't have to learn anything. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 336890249005141121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:47:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!216.218.236.179.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!

audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 01:46:47 GMT
References: <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114204647.19385.00001998@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12652

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Can't you just imagine? Instead of tuning the band for the 'weak ones'
>we can tune among the spreading sequences to see who's on the air today.
>Whoopie!

Why would you feel that is has to be one or the other. SS would need to be
kept isolated from the weak-signal parts of the band (especially DSSS), but,
IMHO, the diversity of the Amateur Radio Service should continue.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5679741266876100530
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:50:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 01:48:16 GMT
References: <93r5f3$9ql$1@208.207.71.147>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114204816.19385.00001999@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12653

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Your use of the word "conceptual" is revealing of your plans to screw
>the ARS with that nonsense. 'nother Freudian job...

I am not familiar with any definition of the term "conceptual" that involves
screwing anyone.

Have you been taking lessons from Aaron on how to interpret things that are not said? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5034243676478217860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:52:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!216.218.236.179.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 01:49:54 GMT
References: <93qq5j$38v$4@208.207.71.147>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114204954.19385.00002000@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12654

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>And Cecil says his slips are never Fruedian.

Do you believe they always are?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7100136164448950349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:53:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 01:51:26 GMT
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12655

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>A man needs to know his limitations, and the sooner all the CW challenged
>people admit it the better.

All of us are "challenged" in something, Fred. What modes do you not operate?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4961793691322139708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:57:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 01:53:33 GMT
References: <3A60A5B1.4871F3E9@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010114205333.19385.00002003@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12656

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>W4JLE wrote:

>> You would loose that bet as well Cecil, I still am better than you because
>>I do CW ...

>No offense, Fred, but if you are not joking, you need some
>professional help.

He may be right. Those that can do CW proficiently better than others are indeed better -- at CW. I am probably better than the both of you at doing RF-exposure evaluations for ham stations. (Typical time, with book in hand -- about a minute.) All that proves is that I have developed that skill.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8716813028908047716
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 17:57:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 01:54:48 GMT
References: <93qort$qr5$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010114205448.19385.00002004@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12657

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>I am sorry that your little butt is chapped because you don't know, nor can
>you learn CW, but that's the way life goes!

Do you think that saying such a thing will promote more people to take up CW -- or fewer?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7044554517756678381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 18:03:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!216.218.236.179.MISMATCH!news!news.he.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 02:01:52 GMT
References: <t5uubu9qjmi412@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010114210152.19385.00002005@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12658

>From: "Leland C. Scott"

>With all the crying by pro-coders, and the ARRL thinking about voting to
>keep the Morse code requirement, the ARRL should be renamed the "Antique
>Radio Relic League".

I don't know what the Board has in mind, but it will be considering this very issue at the January Board meeting. If it didn't think that a change in policy was possible, it would not have to consider the matter at all. It has an existing policy right now.

> I am a current member of the ARRL by the way, and I am
>using my membership to send my view to the ARRL leadership that the Morse
>code test requirements should be dropped at the next WARC. It's high time
>ham radio moved in to the future. I am working from the inside to change
>things, and I would urge others, who are not currently ARRL members to join,
>and make your view known, one way or the other.

Although I agree with the reasons to join the League, I will also add that you do NOT have to be a member to voice your opinion. In the first place, the Board members generally don't take the time to check each and every input to see if the correspondent is a member. Especially in this matter, the Board is quite aware that a number of hams are not members because of what they see ARRL's position on CW testing to be.

I am going to make my views known to Tom Frenaye, and I urge others to do the same with their Director, even if they live in the New England Division and want to cancel out my vote.

See http://www.arrl.org/divisions and follow the email links.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
ARRL Lab

From 5015533011942071820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 18:12:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 02:08:55 GMT
References: <93oaa3$fmv$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!

Message-ID: <20010114210855.19385.00002008@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12659

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>Gee Ed!, I thought I had seen you at Woodstock...

Actually, I am an old hippie, though I never did make it to Woodstock. There was a lot of good and bad in those days, and I tried to hold on to the good.

I am glad that I learned that the problems of the world are not solved by singing songs in the park. We did that on days we weren't solving the world's problems, too.

Actually, the lessons I was opining about were the ones I learned in Sunday school. Maybe I was niave, but I still believe in some of those things. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9126188552935547366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 18:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!codeine.org!teaser.fr!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 02:15:18 GMT
References: <93nfgs$nc3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010114211518.19385.00002017@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12660

>From: K0HB K0HB@arrl.org

>Were they deliberately bad, or were they just unskilled? If unskilled,
>maybe they just needed some more "amateur practice". Feel free to send
>out an OO notice to the next example of this violation that you hear.

My guess is that they were probably infirm and just loved CW too much to give it up. That is why I did my best to give them QSOs, though I must confess that I did cut the QSOs short.

My statement that the sending was bad enough to be considered in violation of good amateur practice does not mean that I feel the operator should be prosecuted.

'Sides, I am not an OO. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6349231658842844888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 18:16:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!typhoon.sonic.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 21:14:18 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp44.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979524945 19222 166.102.123.45 (15 Jan 2001 02:15:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 02:15:45 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12661

I have never operated spread spectrum or psk31.

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >A man needs to know his limitations, and the sooner all the CW challenged
> >people admit it the better.
>
> All of us are "challenged" in something, Fred. What modes do you not
operate?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -9156743385511235931
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 18:17:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!
news.indiana.edu!hygrade.bluemarble.net!uunet!chi.uu.net!sea.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 21:15:40 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <93tmj2$ir6$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A60A5B1.4871F3E9@IEEE.org> <20010114205333.19385.00002003@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp44.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979525026 19302 166.102.123.45 (15 Jan 2001 02:17:06 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 02:17:06 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12662

Oh Yah! Well I got this book by this W1RFI guy and I can figure that stuff
too!

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010114205333.19385.00002003@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >W4JLE wrote:
>
> >> You would loose that bet as well Cecil, I still am better than you
because
> >>I do CW ...
>
> >No offense, Fred, but if you are not joking, you need some
> >professional help.
>
> He may be right.  Those that can do CW proficiently better than others are
> indeed better -- at CW.  I am probably better than the both of you at
doing
> RF-exposure evaluations for ham stations. (Typical time, with book in
hand --
> about a minute.)  All that proves is that I have developed that skill.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
```

From -8790647253186876304
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 18:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 02:21:43 GMT
References: <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010114212143.15225.00000347@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12663

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>I have never operated spread spectrum or psk31.

Then those that do are better than you -- at operating spread spectrum or psk31.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7062805977862959116
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 18:35:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 02:32:12 GMT
References: <93q8c4$ala$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010114213212.15225.00000350@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12664

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>I suspect that Ed is the one that sends the nightly W1AW code practice, and
>he uses a handkey.

Sorry, Fred, I am not that good with the old J38. And ESPECIALLY not for a
whole night. Give me about an hour and glass arm makes it sound bad enough
that Brian would be chastizing me. :-)

73,
Ed, W1RFI

From -1715578084545100115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 18:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 21:01:41 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-04-27.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 979524967 9734 209.43.66.187 (15 Jan 2001 02:16:07
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 02:16:07 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10439
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12665

Hopefully KC8JBO will be getting a notice for his on air behavior. He needs
to be off the air like WB2OTK and some of the others.
"Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...
> Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful. Time for more official
> notices?

From 2486786461775717668

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 18:52:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 15 Jan 2001 02:50:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <93toh7$9kp$0@208.207.71.158>
References: <93jfoj$brm$0@208.207.71.156>
<8014-3A5D559F-87@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93kjmn$qib$1@iac5.navix.net> <93lv8n$15r$0@208.207.71.154>
<3A5F2773.46C35E82@wgcr.org> <93sio5$7vi$0@208.207.71.175>
<3A61CD76.13BC80D8@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.158
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15602
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12666

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > To attempt to work code without any training and practical
> > experience is akin to attempting to fly an airplane without any prior
> > flight training.
>
> Please explain how working CW is a life and death proposition akin
> to flying an airplane.

There you go again, making phantom linkages. No such assertion was
made. The comparison stands.

From 4010629044208152948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 19:13:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!

falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 03:10:37 GMT
References: <3A609E83.893BFFF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114221037.29597.00001792@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12668

In article <3A609E83.893BFFF@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Are you implying that you must be forced to do something before
>you get anything done? That sounds like sheer laziness to me.
>I learned Morse code before I ever heard of amateur radio simply
>because it was fun.

Cecil:

No, I'm saying that I respond to incentives do do things, like everyone else. Ham radio is not a necessity of life, like working at my job in order to make a living. I do a lot of things on the job with the only incentive being that I need to keep my job and progress within it. As a radio amateur, I required an incentive to seek higher privileges, because I could have chosen to whine on 2-meters for the rest of my life while waiting for code testing to be abolished. That didn't work for me, because I wanted HF privileges then and there -- without waiting for them. Thus, my incentive to learn and gain practical proficiency in Morse/CW -- a mode which I have enjoyed greatly ever since I was "forced" to learn it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3958251165702710984
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 19:13:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 15 Jan 2001 03:10:36 GMT
References: <3A609C11.399D1FC9@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114221036.29597.00001791@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12667

In article <3A609C11.399D1FC9@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Human nature being what it is, most
>> people will take the path of least resistance in order to achieve
>> the greatest amount of instant gratification.
>
>Are you implying that CW is not gratifying?

Cecil:

Of course it is, but that gratification is delayed by the necessity to learn the Morse code and gain satisfactory proficiency in that skill. Plugging a microphone into an HF rig, pushing the button and talking is "instant" gratification, therefore, the strong push to eliminate remaining code testing requirements. Of course, this is obvious to everyone but you.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 152041557311624225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 19:14:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 03:10:37 GMT
References: <3a60d1d4.5580338@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010114221037.29597.00001793@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12669

In article <3a60d1d4.5580338@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>
>As to the zero-WPM...that has nothing to do with
>fixing any problem other than the recognition of the
>fact that there is no longer any valid reason to
>test for code knowledge. It's that simple.

Bill:

How about the reason that testing for code knowledge, at
progressively higher speeds, and for progressively increasing
HF privileges, causes radio amateurs to attain a practical
communications skill?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5145488050387918538
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 19:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!spacestar!
newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Golden delicious" <zemu09090@hotmail.com>
From: "Golden delicious" <zemu09090@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior (?)
Lines: 24
Organization: apples
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <58u86.28956$U4.812484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 03:29:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 38.37.3.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979529345 38.37.3.98 (Sun, 14 Jan 2001
19:29:05 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 19:29:05 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10449
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12670

"Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...

> Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful. Time for more official
> notices?

Hope so. Wonder if he was listening earlier also?

At around 9:15 AM Central on 14.313 on 14 Jan 2001
two stations from a maritime "net" on 14.300 helped a maritime ham station phone
patch to an individual who openly announced on the patch
that he was not a licenced operator but would meet up with the maritime
ham regardless, on the frequency of 12.350 mHz USB.
Listened on 12.350 after their 14.313 ham "phone patch" was concluded.
They used the callsigns "sasperella" and "shooting star".
Whole activity was questionable from beginning to end.
Nice to see that 20m ham nets facilitate comms on non-ham freqs
by potentially unauthorized individuals. Makes the Service look real nice.

Did you hear this too R.H. ?

From 6607453368558220616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 20:27:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 04:20:46 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3a627a30.14349239@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a60d1d4.5580338@news.mindspring.com>
<20010114221037.29597.00001793@nso-mi.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.74.0a
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 04:21:39 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12671

On 15 Jan 2001 03:10:37 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3a60d1d4.5580338@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:

>
>>
>>As to the zero-WPM...that has nothing to do with
>>fixing any problem other than the recognition of the
>>fact that there is no longer any valid reason to
>>test for code knowledge. It's that simple.
>
>Bill:
>How about the reason that testing for code knowledge, at
>progressively higher speeds, and for progressively increasing
>HF privileges, causes radio amateurs to attain a practical
>communications skill?
>73 de Larry, K3LT

That would be a valid reason IF there was a government
need for amateurs to attain that skill. You can argue that
as you choose, but I fully believe that you'd never convince
the administrations of the world that the skill is needed
today.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -9010171239610731683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 20:35:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 23:29:13 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <93tugc$ntj$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114212143.15225.00000347@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.85.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979533132 24499 166.102.250.85 (15 Jan 2001 04:32:12 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 04:32:12 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12672

Yep, they sure are. Now would you care to place a wager if there were me and

a nocoder, which could get on the air using the mode they were not
proficient in the quickest.

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010114212143.15225.00000347@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >I have never operated spread spectrum or psk31.
>
> Then those that do are better than you -- at operating spread spectrum or
> psk31.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6539131798941982267
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 20:49:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior (?)
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 23:13:30 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <93tu96$9mr$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>
<58u86.28956$U4.812484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-04-27.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 979532902 9947 209.43.66.187 (15 Jan 2001 04:28:22
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 04:28:22 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10463
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12673

Yes, the activities of the nets needs to be investigated.
"Golden delicious" <zemu09090@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:58u86.28956$U4.812484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>

```
> "Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...
> > Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful.  Time for more official
> > notices?
>
> Hope so. Wonder if he was listening earlier also?
>
> At around 9:15 AM Central on 14.313 on 14 Jan 2001
> two stations from a maritime "net" on 14.300 helped a maritime ham station
phone
> patch to an individual who openly announced on the patch
> that he was not a licenced operator but would meet up with the maritime
> ham regardless, on the frequency of 12.350 mHz USB.
> Listened on 12.350 after their 14.313 ham "phone patch" was concluded.
> They used the callsigns "sasperella" and "shooting star".
> Whole activity was questionable from beginning to end.
> Nice to see that 20m ham nets facilitate comms on non-ham freqs
> by potentially unauthorized individuals. Makes the Service look real nice.
>
> Did you hear this too R.H. ?
>
>
>
>
>




From 6939919882787452758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 21:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 22:59:02 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A628396.95A7B801@IEEE.org>
References: <93jfoj$brm$0@208.207.71.156>
<8014-3A5D559F-87@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93kjmn$qib$1@iac5.navix.net> <93lv8n$15r$0@208.207.71.154>
<3A5F2773.46C35E82@wgcr.org> <93sio5$7vi$0@208.207.71.175>
<3A61CD76.13BC80D8@IEEE.org> <93toh7$9kp$0@208.207.71.158>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.d7
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 05:08:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15616
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12674

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > To attempt to work code without any training and practical
> > > experience is akin to attempting to fly an airplane without any prior
> > > flight training.
> >
> > Please explain how working CW is a life and death proposition akin
> > to flying an airplane.
>
>  There you go again, making phantom linkages. No such assertion was
> made. The comparison stands.

You are the one who made the phantom linkage. Working CW is NOT
akin to flying an airplane.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -5319465372257300957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 21:12:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior (?)
Date: 15 Jan 2001 04:59:48 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <93u044$72$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>
<58u86.28956$U4.812484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 979534788 226 128.171.44.55 (15 Jan 2001 04:59:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 04:59:48 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10468
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12675
```

Golden delicious <zemu09090@hotmail.com> wrote:
>At around 9:15 AM Central on 14.313 on 14 Jan 2001
>two stations from a maritime "net" on 14.300 helped a maritime ham
>station phone
>patch to an individual who openly announced on the patch
>that he was not a licenced operator but would meet up with the maritime
>ham regardless, on the frequency of 12.350 mHz USB.

If I understand what you've written, the person on the telephone
said he was not a ham. That's perfectly acceptable.

>Listened on 12.350 after their 14.313 ham "phone patch" was concluded.
>They used the callsigns "sasperella" and "shooting star".

Those would probably be the names of their boats. Boat names in
lieu of callsigns are permitted on the VHF marine channels --
perhaps the FCC now permits that also on the HF marine frequencies
now.

>Whole activity was questionable from beginning to end.
>Nice to see that 20m ham nets facilitate comms on non-ham freqs

Coordinating two boats to meet up on a marine band frequency
is perfectly acceptable.

Jeff KH6O

From 4220599982171307102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 21:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 23:27:24 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A628A3C.56FFED75@IEEE.org>
References: <3a60d1d4.5580338@news.mindspring.com>
<20010114221037.29597.00001793@nso-mi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.d7
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 05:37:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12676

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> How about the reason that testing for code knowledge, at
> progressively higher speeds, and for progressively increasing
> HF privileges, causes radio amateurs to attain a practical
> communications skill?

If it's not fun, it's not practical, Larry. Everybody does not
share your likes and dislikes. What is wrong with live and let
live?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5722525929721096240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 21:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 23:30:10 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3A628AE2.7EAF57AE@IEEE.org>
References: <3a60d1d4.5580338@news.mindspring.com>
<20010114221037.29597.00001793@nso-mi.aol.com>
<3a627a30.14349239@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.d7
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 05:40:06 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12677

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> >How about the reason that testing for code knowledge, at
> >progressively higher speeds, and for progressively increasing
> >HF privileges, causes radio amateurs to attain a practical
> >communications skill?
>
> That would be a valid reason IF there was a government
> need for amateurs to attain that skill. You can argue that
> as you choose, but I fully believe that you'd never convince

> the administrations of the world that the skill is needed
> today.

As a matter of fact, one by one, those administrations of the
world are indicating by their actions that they don't agree
with Larry. Didn't I read that Canada is in the process of
adopting a 5wpm maximum code requirement?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

If registering guns will prevent crime,
will registering penises prevent rape?

From -1727748928779726198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 21:44:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 23:32:03 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A628B53.27D6BE86@IEEE.org>
References: <3A609E83.893BFFF@IEEE.org> <20010114221037.29597.00001792@nso-mi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.d7
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 05:41:59 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12678

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Are you implying that you must be forced to do something before
> >you get anything done? That sounds like sheer laziness to me.
> >I learned Morse code before I ever heard of amateur radio simply
> >because it was fun.
>
> No, I'm saying that I respond to incentives do do things, like everyone
> else.

Fun is one of the best incentives I know.
--

```
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -7789362717094706433
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 21:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 23:34:05 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A628BCD.800B7C24@IEEE.org>
References: <93qq5j$38v$4@208.207.71.147> <20010114204954.19385.00002000@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.d7
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 05:44:00 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12679

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >And Cecil says his slips are never Fruedian.
>
> Do you believe they always are?

Who the heck was Frued anyway?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 7404315257844862823
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 21:48:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 23:36:20 -0600
```

```
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.d7
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 05:46:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12680

W1RFI wrote:
> I have no basis on which to even speculate as to the percentage.  Do you?

Nope, Jim and I are not arguing percentages. All it takes is one jammer
using Morse code equipment and I win the argument.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -1175559351157598028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 21:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 23:37:48 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A628CAC.894C7AE6@IEEE.org>
References: <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org> <20010114204148.19385.00001997@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.d7
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 05:47:44 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12681

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
```

> >What happened to all those hoards of CBers and
> >freebanders that you predicted would invade the ARS?
>
> Cecil, you must have missed it. The hordes are memorizing a thousand questions
> so they won't have to learn anything. :-)

I guess they still must be memorizing cuz they didn't pass
the exams yet, huh?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 9054219914656798472
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 21:51:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 14 Jan 2001 23:40:48 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A628D60.F853E2CB@IEEE.org>
References: <3A622FAD.AD4D4E9@IEEE.org> <20010114204049.19385.00001996@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.d7
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 05:48:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12682

W1RFI wrote:
> I think I know how to resolve this. My wife speaks English but is not a ham.
> If she understands the words, I am sure everyone will agree wholeheartedly that
> they are English.

Well, the next time you have a QSO, take down everything character by
character and word for word and show it to your wife. If she understands
most of it, it was in the English language and you were just the modem.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6347467456736755702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-14 22:02:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!
cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!
news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <t5uubu9qjmi412@corp.supernews.com> <20010114210152.19385.00002005@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 33
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Jnw86.11272$ft6.203476@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 06:02:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.163.219.108
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 979538537 24.163.219.108 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 01:02:17 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 01:02:17 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12683

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010114210152.19385.00002005@ng-fy1.aol.com...

> I am going to make my views known to Tom Frenaye, and I urge others to do
the
> same with their Director, even if they live in the New England Division
and
> want to cancel out my vote.

Good for you Ed! I don't know what your stand on the issue really is, but that does not really matter either. What does matter is that both you and I did something other than bitch about it on this news group, by contacting our ARRL division directors. If we don't talk to them they don't know what we think, or want!

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -3757301548936599770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 03:06:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A62D8B6.8D392808@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
References: <3A604D6A.D6BB32BD@dvol.com> <20010113161206.22631.00000502@nso-fz.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 103
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 06:02:14 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.67
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979556525 206.20.144.67 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 05:02:05 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 05:02:05 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12684

Len Over 21 wrote:

> >radios are simply impractical. But they just don't cut the mustard for
> >serious vhf/uhf weak signal work.
>
> "Mustard" needs some definition. :-)
>
> According to the www.icomamerica.com specs, the receiver
> sensitivity is down there near internal noise limit.

>
Yeah, but what is the internal noise level? They speaketh with forked tongues. The Icoms of the world know that most of the users will be doing non-critical FM yakking with the baby boxes. When one gets into moonbounce, very weak cw and ssb

tropo scatter work etc. the performance requirements get a lot stiffer in areas
like noise figure, dynamic range, filter quality, DSP capabilities, etc. where the
desktoppers have the advantage over the "radios of convenience".
>

> Prices keep going up on everything. More features, greater band
> capability equates to more money. If you think that is bad, look
> at the ones which have an integral spectrum analyzer for
> receiving... :-)

>
I'm not sure I agree that prices are actually going up - depending on how you
define "prices". My sense is that given a constant $2k the bang for the buck has
been rising at a very significant rate. Over the long haul in time a ham today
gets a helluva lot more capabilities and performance per on-the-job labor hours
than he could years ago, no comparison. A Collins S-Line sucked up say two months
worth of paychecks in 1970. Today two-three weeks worth of paychecks gets an xcvr
with far better capabilities, a big increase in features and better performance
than an S-Line has. Very roughly speaking. Those so-called spectrum analyzers are
a flaming joke.
>

> >> Yes, I agree that the 220 MHz band transceivers are scarce.
> >> Saw only single-band and dual-band models from four
> >> manufacturers covering that band.
> >
> >The auction is coming . .
>
> Off-hand, based on FCC notices and the general electronics
> trades' info, the more important bands for commercial
> applications have moved up beyond 900 MHz. The drivers
> for moving well up into the microwave region are various
> wireless applications and the ability of semiconductors to
> work well into tens of GHz. I'd say the 220 band spectrum
> is going to stay as it is for a while.

>
I agree that hams in the centimeter bands have a lot of pressure which can only
get
worse. But I can also visualize 222-225 being eyed by the aviation folk who are
already in that neighborhood and have a big spectrum crunch.
>

> >Nobody has the time to scratch-build and chasing parts is expensive and time
> >consuming, there's just no ROI on the dollars or the hours unless it's for
> >experimentation. Kit building seems to be on the upswing, however.
>
> The "ROI" thing is individual and subjective. The technology is
> known, but the sources of information on it are scattered.

>
Goes beyond that. The ham who works 40 hours per week and lives an hour away from
the job will use a different ROI yardstick than a retiree will. I'm still doin' my

forty plus, I'll build but only when it makes a lot more sense than buying, usually
when what I want isn't in the catalogs.
>

> . . calibration). One can't make a SOTA radio project without
> calibrated test equipment...probably why so many project
> article authors are working as pros in electronics companies
> where they can accurately measure radio performance.

>
Doesn't do much to entice 15-year-olds into ham radio per the good old daze.
>

> didit
>
> PS: Keep a 3/8" open-end, closed-end wrench handy. It is
> just right for SMA connectors common at commercial VHF
> and higher RF stuff.

>
Junque. I'm still doing BNCs, no wrench required.
>

> I think it replaced the pocket protector
> as an RF-nerd sign back in the mid- to late-70s. :-)

>
Still have one intact in a bottom drawer. Complete with five pencils (one being red
of course) plus my six-inch Post bamboo mini slide rule. With my name
machine-engraved on it of course. Last time I wore it was around 1965. Like
somebody told the hats-on-backward crowd recently, "don't get on the nerds, they'll
be your bosses someday."
>
w3rv

```
From -4158782552379503101
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:28:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:24:39 GMT
References: <3A62D8B6.8D392808@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115072439.09203.00001186@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12685

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>> According to the www.icomamerica.com specs, the receiver
>> sensitivity is down there near internal noise limit.

>Yeah, but what is the internal noise level?
That is exactly what a sensitivity measurement measures.

> When one gets into moonbounce, very weak cw and
>ssb tropo scatter work etc. the performance requirements get a
>lot stiffer in areas like noise figure,

Noise figure is another way of expressing sensitivity.

> dynamic range,

Dynamic range is a measure of the ability of a receiver to remain sensitive in the presence of strong signals not in the passband of the receiver. IMHO, the dynamic range of most receivers sold to hams is adequate, most of the time. EME enthusiasts usually do not schedule their events and QSOs the day of the ARRL VHF Sweepstakes, when there are apt to be strong signals up and down the band. If those strong signals are not present, dynamic range is not usually a receiver issue.

> filter quality,

Filter quality does sometimes buy you something. If you are listening to a weak one and there is a strong signal just outside the filter passband, it is nice to be able to get rid of it nearly completely. Most of the time, though, the filters in the intermediate-priced rigs are quite adequate for the task, and if those strong signals are not present just outside the passband, the improved skirt selectivity of some of the higher end rigs has no significant effect on what is heard in the receiver baseband.

> DSP capabilities, etc.

For the most part, DSP=filtering, wtih some dynamic range implications. Actually, in the areas you cite, the DSP implementation in the big rigs results in a poorer close-in dynamic range (<20 kHz spacing) than does the use of a moderate filter before the high-gain stages of the receiver. Some DSP radios do use such a filter, but in those cases, the DSP is a secondary benefit, with the filtering providing most of the selectivity.

>where the desktoppers have the dvantage over the "radios of convenience".

I agree, on the whole, the >$3000.00 radios have better performance capability than the radios in the $1500.00 price class. The ham who erects the big tower and high-gain antennas will need every dB of dynamic range that is available. The ham who has a modest antenna system, though, probably will not. For that ham, a low-end radio makes a lot of economic and technical sense.

Speaking of dynamic range, the best dynamic range ever measured in the ARRL Lab at 5 kHz spacing belongs to the Elecraft K2 on 20 meters.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7521396393148784022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:30:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:26:58 GMT
References: <Jnw86.11272$ft6.203476@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010115072658.09203.00001187@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12686

>From: "Leland C. Scott"

>Good for you Ed! I don't know what your stand on the issue really is, but
>that does not really matter either. What does matter is that both you and I
>did something other than bitch about it on this news group, by contacting
>our ARRL division directors. If we don't talk to them they don't know what
>we think, or want!
>
>--
>73's,
>
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>ARRL member
>NCI member
>
>"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse

>Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
>communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
>Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
>Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
>transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
>functions."

That is exactly what is needed to influence ARRL policy. Hams should also make their views known to the FCC when such matters are on the regulatory plate. They should also support organizations that represent their views, such as FISTS or NCI.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 219957572286980341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:33:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:30:41 GMT
References: <20010114221036.29597.00001791@nso-mi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115073041.09203.00001188@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12687
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Plugging a microphone into an HF rig, pushing the button and
>talking is "instant" gratification, therefore, the strong push to
>eliminate remaining code testing requirements.

Many of those seeking to end Morse-code testing requirements already have that "instant" gratification available to them.

>Of course, this is obvious to everyone but you.

What is obvious about Bill Sohl's desire to end Morse code testing? He can already yak on any amateur frequency.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6334548371940938335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:36:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:35:14 GMT
References: <93tmj2$ir6$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010115073514.09203.00001190@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12688

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>Oh Yah! Well I got this book by this W1RFI guy and I can figure that stuff
>too!

I am sure you can, but compared to me, you are very slow at it.

Does that make me a better ham except in that one area?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3315878789846178153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:37:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:34:13 GMT
References: <93tugc$ntj$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010115073413.09203.00001189@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12689

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>Yep, they sure are. Now would you care to place a wager if there were me and
>a nocoder, which could get on the air using the mode they were not
>proficient in the quickest.

Here are the terms. Let's select someone with a no-code license and you. He or she will be asked to construct a Morse-code transmitter and make a Morse code QSO. You will be asked to construct a spread-spectrum transmitter and make a Morse code QSO. Winner gets an ARRL Handbook from the "bent-cover" bin.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2570251483643801476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:37:26 GMT
References: <20010113111900.02315.00001732@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115073726.09203.00001191@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12690

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>If growth isn't one of "our major problems," what do you think they
>are? And how will a zero-WPM, one-class license fix them?

I will answer the first question later in the week when I can type out a long answer.

I cannot answer the second because I do not believe that we should have a one-class license system. I also do not believe that our licensing system should contain no Morse code testing. I believe that Morse code proficiency should be tested the same way we test for proficiency in other modes.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3338378276146596002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:40:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 12:34:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <93uqo0$8hg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93tic6$a9q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010114203543.19385.00001994@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.185
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 12:34:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.185
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12691

In article <20010114203543.19385.00001994@ng-fy1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>
> >Was it more "Battle of the Pre-99 Extras?"
>
> I don't know.  What percentage of the pre-99 Extras participated?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Hey Ed, I'm asking the questions around here.  ;)

OK, what percentage of the pre-99 Extras participated?

Or better yet, of the participants, what percentage did the pre-99
Extras make?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 5425437028069748826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:42:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

```
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 12:26:15 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <93uq93$85r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114212143.15225.00000347@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <93tugc$ntj$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.185
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 12:26:15 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.185
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12692
```

In article <93tugc$ntj$1@iac5.navix.net>,
  "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> Yep, they sure are. Now would you care to place a wager if there were
me and
> a nocoder, which could get on the air using the mode they were not
> proficient in the quickest.

Try english sometime, but back to your wager.

That counts me out since I not a no-coder, but I suspect that you would hurt yourself just to try to prove a point. I'll put a dollar on the crazy Extra who's so full of himself and has so much to prove.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -9048918260554471969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:45:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:41:35 GMT
References: <93og9k$kkc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010115074135.09203.00001192@ng-cr1.aol.com>
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12693

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>Ed, I knew you'd eventually agree with the Amateur's Code, good amateur practice, and Part 97.

I have always agreed with the need for good amateur practice, Brian. I just don't agree with you that deviations from standard spacing that can still be easily copied by most operators is not good amateur practice.

>I'm always amazed at how people will abandon reason just to argue with me.

If you think that the only reason I hold my view is so I can argue with you, you are quite mistaken.

>If they so vehemently object to what Part 97 says, they ought to try to change it.

As can you. I don't recall that you have filed any RFMs about the need to closely control the spacing of sent CW. To my knowledge, no one has ever received an NAL for poor CW timing, so obviously the FCC and most of the amateur community believe that existing practice is adequate and is within the letter of the law.

You ought to try to change it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2210809742252264782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:48:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:45:42 GMT
References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12694

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>

>None whatsoever. VE's will tell you which questions you got wrong, but
>typically don't have time to dig out your exam booklet and go over them
>with you.

When I took my General before the FCC, most of the time, I knew which questions
I guessed at.

>So it is unrealistic to consider learning a part of the VE
>process.

Are you saying that people will only learn if others lead them by the nose?
Are you another one who believes that hordes of people want to be hams and
memorize ove a thousand answers to questions so they won't learn anything?

>Of course, we could add to their load...

Why do most of the solutions that are posed by those who claim that there are
major problems in the present testing system always involve work that must be
done by somebody else?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7428571549543246344
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:49:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:48:14 GMT
References: <jshv5t008pvbs047hfercu0tq4ncqstgm9@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115074814.09203.00001195@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12695

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>And since I seldom work (or hear) anybody under age 40
>on CW anymore, I'd say that in 30 to 40 years 95% (or more) of the CW
>ops will be SK's.

Show up at the QRP bash at Dayton; I will introduce you to a lot of CW ops
younger than 40.

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7521487678659328317
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:47:06 GMT
References: <93qtqd$f9m$1@208.207.71.147>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115074706.09203.00001194@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12696

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> No use. You'll still be bitching and complaining that someone wants you
>to either learn the code or shut up abojut it.

He already knows the code, Dick; he is just doing the best he can with it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1932304408749111714
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:51:08 GMT
References: <20010113161208.22631.00000504@nso-fz.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Message-ID: <20010115075108.09203.00001197@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12697

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>All the members liked that? Some members liked that? A few
>members liked that?

None of the members laughed at the author. That seems to be the standard at
issue here.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6697976021313652898
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 04:58:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:56:59 GMT
References: <93kl2a$npo$0@208.207.71.157>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115075659.09203.00001199@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12698

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>The most destructive act the FCC has ever taken was to make the Novice
>license renewable and give it 10 meter phone. For far too many that
>removed most of the incentive to upgrade. That was the difference. It
>instantly took most Novices off learning and practicing on the CW bands
>and instantly handed them a microphone.

Most of those Novices either upgraded or failed to renew.

Do you believe they would have renewed if they had been limited only to Morse
code operation?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2467811615742836001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 05:00:05 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 12:55:15 GMT
References: <3A5E0BAF.3EDE6232@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115075515.09203.00001198@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12699

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Truth is, using well defined Spread Spectrum techniques, hams could share
>any UHF band with almost any other service by allocating a specific set of
>spreading codes to hams.

The bottom line, Cecil, is that such sharing is going to take place whether hams use SS on those bands or not. The proliferation of unlicensed devices, some of which are permitted 1 W with unlimited antenna gain, on some of "our" microwave bands is already starting to have an effect.

It remains to be seen whether the FCC will back ham radio in cases of actual harmful interference. Even if they are willing to do so, the problem of locating individual offenders is a daunting challenge, and the problems of aggregate noise levels from multiple devices may represent something the FCC won't address. I am doing what I can to deal with this one, but I am not making any promises.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8864626732936203344
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 06:23:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 14:19:54 GMT
References: <93svjg$rb3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?

Message-ID: <20010115091954.00714.00001896@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12701

In article <93svjg$rb3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
writes:

>
>Then there was the SoCal survey of the Marine Corps asking if they would
>fire on American citizens if ordered to do so.

Brian:

What was the result of that survey?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3881712599104008964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 06:24:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 14:19:55 GMT
References: <20010114175651.05250.00001615@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115091955.00714.00001897@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12702

In article <20010114175651.05250.00001615@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>Did you learn Morse code faster than 20 wpm?

Ed:

Well, I can send/receive faster than 20 wpm, so I guess I must
have! I passed my 20 wpm test with a perfect score, and have
the 20 wpm Endorsement from W1AW. So, based on the
emperical evidence, I must have "learned" Morse code at a
speed of at least 20 WPM. Thanks for asking!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6234447407768115907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 06:24:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jan 2001 14:19:54 GMT
References: <20010114203543.19385.00001994@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Message-ID: <20010115091954.00714.00001895@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12703

In article <20010114203543.19385.00001994@ng-fy1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>
>>Was it more "Battle of the Pre-99 Extras?"
>
>I don't know. What percentage of the pre-99 Extras participated?

Brian/Ed:

What is the significance of 1999? Restructuring and the "nickle
Extra" took place in April, 2000. If that's what you're talking about,
you'd have to say "The pre-4/15/2000 Extras," to indicate Extra-
class operators who had taken and passed the 20 WPM code
test element.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8161238950558653907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 06:40:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!

hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior (?)
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 14:28:21 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <93v1du$dqr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>
<58u86.28956$U4.812484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
To: zemu09090@hotmail.com
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 14:28:21 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10508
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12704

In article <58u86.28956$U4.812484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Golden delicious" <zemu09090@hotmail.com> wrote:

> At around 9:15 AM Central on 14.313 on 14 Jan 2001
> two stations from a maritime "net" on 14.300 helped a maritime
> ham station phone patch to an individual who openly announced
> on the patch that he was not a licenced operator but would meet
> up with the maritime ham regardless, on the frequency of 12.350
> mHz USB.

And your problem with this is what? The non-ham sailor picked
a maritime HF frequency; presumably his vessel carries a marine
HF license, and the two stations carried out communications
on 12.350.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
"1943 Poster Child for The National Precocious Little Bastard Campaign"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4616596334580778273
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 06:42:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!mtu.ru!newsfeed.sovam.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!

nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 14:30:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 62
Message-ID: <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.185
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 14:30:18 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.185
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12705

In article <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
> >
>
> >None whatsoever. VE's will tell you which questions you got wrong, but
> >typically don't have time to dig out your exam booklet and go over them
> >with you.
>
> When I took my General before the FCC, most of the time, I knew which questions
> I guessed at.

Thats pretty fantastic memorization, most of the time. If your
memory is so good remembering the ones you guessed at, why didn't you
remember the exact answer as given in the Q pool? How many times did
you have to take the exams?

> >So it is unrealistic to consider learning a part of the VE
> >process.
>
> Are you saying that people will only learn if others lead them by the nose?

No. Are you?

I said, "So it is unrealistic to consider learning a part of the VE
process."

> Are you another one who believes that hordes of people want to be hams and
> memorize ove a thousand answers to questions so they won't learn anything?

No. Are you?

> >Of course, we could add to their load...
>
> Why do most of the solutions that are posed by those who claim that
there are
> major problems in the present testing system always involve work that
must be
> done by somebody else?

Teachers Unions? No, putting it on the VEs was offered as an unworkable
solution.

OK, after each exam session, one of the flunkees takes control of the
test material. The flunkees then go through the material, exam by exam,
and raise questions about the ones marked in red. After all of the
incorrect answers have been reviewed, the flunkee reassembles all of the
exams, QA sheets, written exam and code exam answer keys, and copies of
the CSCE's in their proper order and eturns them to the lead VE.

I agree. This can be done without the VE's assistance. Why should they
need absolute control over the test material?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2649912906351415079
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 06:51:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newspeer.cwnet.com!xfer10.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 14:38:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <93v20q$ebk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93tmj2$ir6$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073514.09203.00001190@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.185
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 14:38:18 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.185
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12706

In article <20010115073514.09203.00001190@ng-cr1.aol.com>,

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >Oh Yah! Well I got this book by this W1RFI guy and I can figure that stuff
> >too!
>
> I am sure you can, but compared to me, you are very slow at it.
>
> Does that make me a better ham except in that one area?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Do you have a web page dedicated to 14.313?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8546303585425531226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 06:53:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!news.minn.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 14:40:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <93v243$eed$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com> <93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93ng5c$o15$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93ni8e$q5h$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nii2$qbg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nvai$6ko$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93o34i$a04$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93o7uu$e14$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93qriu$bb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93r2gi$gfh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 14:40:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12707

In article <93r2gi$gfh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Been hanging on 3950 a little too long?

3950? That's way up there in the General voice sub-band, isn't it?
I'm not sure my radio would tune that frequency!

Good luck on this one now!

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8414354234623934784
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 07:14:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!kking
From: kking@no-mail.com (Kerry King)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: 15 Jan 2001 15:04:53 GMT
Organization: none
Lines: 147
Message-ID: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10512 rec.radio.amateur.policy:12708

Go to Newsmax.com, look at headline article . . .

New Count Shows Bush May Have Won Popular Vote
NewsMax.com
Wednesday, December 20, 2000

Despite the widely accepted claim that Al Gore won the popular vote by some 300,000 votes, a new count of state totals appears to show George Bush was the real winner by nearly 2 million votes.

According to respected news analyst Mary Mostert, a report sent to her by reader Don Boyd showed that Gore got a total of 50,753,172 votes nationwide while Bush got 52,685,804 giving him a winning margin of 1,932,632.

Wrote Mostert "While I have not checked all 50 states with the Secretary of State official returns, I have checked all the big states and a number of the smaller states. All the ones I have checked are accurate, or modified very slightly with late absentee ballots, which tilted towards George W. Bush. For those who want to personally check these figures, go to the "Original Source" which has all the election information by state. Nearly all the states now have their OFFICIAL, returns posted.

These figures were reportedly obtained from the Office of the Secretary of State from each state but haven't been confirmed. Where are Al Gore's cheer leaders known as the news media??

GORE

Alabama 692,611
Alaska 79,004
Arizona 685,341
Arkansas 422,768
California 5,835,996
Colorado 738,227
Connecticut 816,015
Delaware 180,068
D.C. 171,923
Florida 2,912,253
Hawaii 205,286
Idaho 138,637
Illinois 2,589,026
Indiana 901,980
Iowa 638,517
Kansas 399,276
Kentucky 638,923
Louisana 792,344
Maine 319,951
Maryland 1,144,008
Mass 1,616,487
Mich 1,953,139
Minn 1,168,226
Miss 404,614
Missouri 1,110,776
Montana 137,126
Nebraska 231,776
Nevada 279,978

New Hampshire 266,348
New Jersey 1,788,850
New Mexico 286,783
New York 4,107,697
N. Carolina 1,257,692
N. Dakota 95,284
Ohio 2,183,628
Oklahoma 474,276
Oregon 720,342
PA 2,485,967
RI 249,508
SC 566,039
SD 118,804
TN 981,720
TX 2,433,746
UT 203,053
VM 149,022
VA 1,217,290
WA 1,247,652
WV 295,497
WI 1,242,987
WY 60,481

Totals 50,753,172

BUSH
Alabama 941,173
Alaska 167,398
Arizona 781,652
Arkansas 472,940
California 4,544,136
Colorado 883,748
Connecticut 561,094
Delaware 137,288
D.C. 18,073
Florida 2,912,790
Georgia 1,419,720
Hawaii 137,845
Idaho 336,937
Illinois 2,019,421
Indiana 1,245,836
Iowa 634,373
Kansas 622,332
Kentucky 872,520
Louisana 972,871
Maine 286,616
Maryland 813,827
Mass 878,502
Mich 4,232,501
Minn 1,109,659
Miss 572,844
Missouri 1,118,504
Montana 240,178

Nebraska 433,850
Nevada 301,575
New Hampshire 273,559
New Jersey 1,284,173
New Mexico 286,417
New York 2,403,374
N. Carolina 1,631,163
N. Dakota 174,852
Ohio 2,350,363
Oklahoma 744,337
Oregon 713,577
PA 2,281,127
RI 130,555
SC 786,892
SD 190,700
TN 1,061,949
TX 3,799,639
UT 515,096
VA 1,437,490
WA 1,108,864
WV 336,473
WI 1,237,279
WY 147,947

Totals 52,685,804

Bush Wins BY: 1,932,632

Can we now expect the media which is busy counting votes in Florida to take a look at these figures and report the results should they prove that Gore lost the popular vote by nearly two million votes?

From 7984103907978725517
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 07:40:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!news.minn.net!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dr. Conrad Connie <coontail@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 15:28:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <93v4u6$h2d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.91.11.227
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 15:28:18 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.7 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.91.11.227
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDcoontail
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10516
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12709

In article <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net>,
  kking@no-mail.com (Kerry King) wrote:
>
> Go to Newsmax.com, look at headline article . . .
>
> New Count Shows Bush May Have Won Popular Vote
>         NewsMax.com
>         Wednesday, December 20, 2000
>
> Despite the widely accepted claim that Al Gore won the popular
> vote by some 300,000 votes, a new count of state totals
> appears to show George Bush was the real winner by nearly 2
> million votes.
>



>
> These figures were reportedly obtained from the Office of the
> Secretary of State from each state but  {haven't been confirmed.}
> Where are Al Gore's cheer leaders known as the news media??

 No they haven't been confirmed and in fact if they have, why hasn't
this total appeared on the ABC News web page ?

Hahahaha.. this is so typical of the right wing Limbrain cabal.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -1826574835470955288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 07:45:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 09:34:09 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
```

```
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A631871.EA863369@IEEE.org>
References: <93kl2a$npo$0@208.207.71.157> <20010115075659.09203.00001199@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.dd
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 15:41:28 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12710
```

W1RFI wrote:

> Do you believe they would have renewed if they had been limited only to Morse
> code operation?

I would have, Ed. I only got the conditional license so I could
continue operating 40m CW in the Novice bands.

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 2331192260769664760
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 07:47:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: kk5yy@arrl.net (Gerald Schmitt)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 15:45:22 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: kk5yy@arrl.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.32.da.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 15:45:44 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99g/32.339
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12711
```

Well Folks,

With all the sound and fury signifying nothing I just had to look into
Fred's bona fides, very interesting reading.

It seems that just before the change in the code requirements Fred was
and Advanced Class amateur holding the call W4JLE now it seems he
hold an Amateur Extra license effective June 03, 2000 this is well
past the change in code requirements for Amateur Extra. One can
only presume from all this that Fred waited until the code
requirements were reduced before upgrading. The question is, why?

In my own cynical way I have to presume that Fred, despite all the
sound and fury, was unable to pass Element 1C and so had to wait
until the bar was lowered to get his Amateur Extra. This casts
doubt on all of his blathering about traffic handling, superiority,
the value of other, etc. I'm sure there is a wonderful excuse and
lots of aspersions to be cast at me for this revelation but the
public record speaks for itself.

In the hash light of these new revelations I am forced to conclude
Freddie had been fibbing to us and I'm afraid I won't be able
to consider anything he says from now on to be credible. Oh well,
he never had anything interesting to say anyway. Please be
careful Fred, word on the street is that you go to Hell for lying.

From -7919641621288675375
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 07:47:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 09:36:39 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A631907.ABB0E90F@IEEE.org>
References: <20010114175651.05250.00001615@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<20010115091955.00714.00001897@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.dd
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 15:43:58 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12712

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Well, I can send/receive faster than 20 wpm, so I guess I must

> have! I passed my 20 wpm test with a perfect score, and have
> the 20 wpm Endorsement from W1AW. So, based on the
> emperical evidence, I must have "learned" Morse code at a
> speed of at least 20 WPM. Thanks for asking!

You missed his point, Larry. Did anyone require you to achieve
a Morse code speed in excess of 20wpm? If not, why did you go
above 20wpm?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1053801688039413951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 07:52:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 09:39:17 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A6319A5.BAF86262@IEEE.org>
References: <3A5E0BAF.3EDE6232@IEEE.org> <20010115075515.09203.00001198@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.dd
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 15:46:35 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12713

W1RFI wrote:
> The proliferation of unlicensed devices,
> some of which are permitted 1 W with unlimited antenna gain, on some of "our"
> microwave bands is already starting to have an effect.

"Unlimited antenna gain"? Wow! How about a nice active antenna? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 816837435769587381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 08:21:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
netnews.com!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Lamar Owen <lamar.owen@wgcr.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 11:19:57 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <3A63232D.27A71176@wgcr.org>
References: <93jfoj$brm$0@208.207.71.156>
<8014-3A5D559F-87@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93kjmn$qib$1@iac5.navix.net> <93lv8n$15r$0@208.207.71.154>
<3A5F2773.46C35E82@wgcr.org> <93sio5$7vi$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.74.232.217
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979575600 404730 206.74.232.217 (15 Jan 2001 16:20:00
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:20:00 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15646
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12714
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Lamar your point is well taken, but out of date. Mandatory code testing is as good as gone, and most likely will be gone once the international treaty is changed, probably no sooner than 2003 at the earliest.

I didn't get involved in this thread because of that, Dick. No, I bothered reading the thread because a pro-code proponent expressed that he wasn't surprised that a NoCode licensee killed someone. That's pretty inflammatory.

But, it is not yet a done deal. ARRL is soliciting comment on whether they should change their code stance or not -- while it may not change the WRC outcome, it certainly could change how the FCC deals with the WRC results.

> What is being debated now is mandatory code testing for users of the mode. If you don't plan to ever use it, and don't change your mind, then you've nothing to fear from it.

You know, that is an interesting proposition. But is it practical?

> To attempt to work code without any training and practical experience is akin to attempting to fly an airplane without any prior

> flight training. It will not work, it can't be done. To attempt to
> transmit Morse code on ham radio while totally untrained is a violation
> of FCC rules requiring the exercise of good amateur practice.

Why can't I work CW with my MFJ 1278 (it _does_ do CW)? Do I need the
training in manual CW to enjoy automatic CW? Should I then be required
to learn to copy Baudot or ASCIII by hand before using the same 1278 to
work RTTY, AMTOR, or PacTOR?

With the 1278 on my rig, you wouldn't be able to tell if I were working
manual or automatic.

And, there is really no difference in the use of the 1278 for automatic
CW and the use of 'contest keyers' to make endless CQ's easy.

It's not at all akin to flying an airplane -- it's more akin to using a
calculator without knowing how to do it by hand.
--
Lamar Owen
WGCR Internet Radio
1 Peter 4:11

From -4887150210947363973
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 09:04:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A632CAA.EAAD8B81@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
References: <3A62D8B6.8D392808@dvol.com> <20010115072439.09203.00001186@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 79
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 12:00:26 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.48
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979578020 206.20.144.48 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 11:00:20 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 11:00:20 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12715

W1RFI wrote:

> . . . .
> EME enthusiasts usually do not schedule their events and QSOs the day of the
> ARRL VHF Sweepstakes, when there are apt to be strong signals up and down the
> band. If those strong signals are not present, dynamic range is not usually a
> receiver issue.

>
EME aside, the guys who are VHF contesters or dxers and the band is open need all
the dynamic range they can get just like the lowbanders do. All ya need is two
of
those critters on the same block on the air at the same time.
>

> > filter quality,. . . .

> and if
> those strong signals are not present just outside the passband, the improved
> skirt selectivity of some of the higher end rigs has no significant effect on
> what is heard in the receiver baseband.

>
Absolutely. Much of the need for filter quality is a function of what one does
with
the radio. The casual ragchewers aren't as demanding as the dx contesters for
instance. Getting off the VHF thread a bit one does not want to go into the piles
on the bottom end of 80 in the big dx contests with mediochre filters or dynamic
range. Collins and Inrad filters are worth the money to those who can justify the
additional expense.
>
> DSP capabilities, etc.

>
> For the most part, DSP=filtering, wtih some dynamic range implications.
> Actually, in the areas you cite, the DSP implementation in the big rigs results
> in a poorer close-in dynamic range (<20 kHz spacing) than does the use of a
> moderate filter before the high-gain stages of the receiver.

>
DSP technology simply is not yet ready for prime time in this respect. Needs to be
moved out to the antenna connector then we'll see it catch up with the Real
Filters
in the IFs.
>

>
> >where the desktoppers have the dvantage over the "radios of convenience".
>
> I agree, on the whole, the >$3000.00 radios have better performance capability
> than the radios in the $1500.00 price class. The ham who erects the big tower
> and high-gain antennas will need every dB of dynamic range that is available.
> The ham who has a modest antenna system, though, probably will not. For that
> ham, a low-end radio makes a lot of economic and technical sense.

>
Roger that. I buy used $3k radios for $1.5k and get the best of both. Heh.
>

> Speaking of dynamic range, the best dynamic range ever measured in the ARRL Lab
> at 5 kHz spacing belongs to the Elecraft K2 on 20 meters.

>
Whizzy little box. My JRC NRD-545 didn't come in far behind the K2 in your
front-end tests. But I'll tellya what: The 545 is one of those filterless all-DSP
radios and as far as the skirts go the cascaded Inrad filters in my antique
TS-940SAT spank the pants off the DSP skirts of the 545. And the 200Hz xtal filter
in my 75S-3B artifact take it one more step.
>
Speaking of lab tests: When are you gonna put the FT-817 thru it's paces? If that
little puppy proves to be something more than a backpacker's toy it should have a
significant market.
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From 3797370135981718712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 09:11:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 15 Jan 2001 17:09:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <93vaso$2on$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <a3kl5tgns6dev6f2pfu39rceac63019r98@4ax.com>
<93f9uu$sdf$0@208.207.71.150> <93hfaa$fb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93i8tc$57t$0@208.207.71.171> <93iogo$m2t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93j6mr$jfg$0@208.207.71.163> <93lg7a$2vv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93lou2$c9a$1@208.206.142.98> <93mvvh$8ju$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<6WG76.740$e5.1890767@news.ntplx.net> <93o0en$7p2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<AsN76.766$e5.1915216@news.ntplx.net> <93qvm7$llk$0@208.207.71.147>
<93s94v$apl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12716

Brian wrote:
>
> In article <93qvm7$llk$0@208.207.71.147>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> I'd wager that fellow had some sort of
> > extreme physical impairment. That may well have been the *only* means
> he
> > had of communicating at all. It happens. I've read of quadruplegics
> who
> > use a "puffer" switch to key their rigs by blowing air through a
> straw.
> > But BrianBurkeTurkey would have Riley cite those guys for "poor
> amateur
> > practice". Shows where he's coming from. As far as I'm cncerned he can
> > go back.
>
> Dick, you are the admitted CBer.
>
> I said that people who have the skill to send correctly should do so.
> What you said above makes you a liar, but then, we know where you're
> coming from.

What you said is there for all the world to read, and it isn't what you
say you said. "Admitted CBer"? Nope. Clearly Stated Legal User of CB for
mobile RV use, prior to FRS which works *much* better. But you already
know all that. You just like to stir in the outhouse. As always. You and
Cecil deserve each other.

From 711028791830140539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 09:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!upp1.onvoy!
msc1.onvoy!onvoy.com!news.uhc.com!not-for-mail
From: ccox@chopped-ham-filtre.uhc.com.invalid
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 15 Jan 2001 17:13:27 GMT

Organization: United Health Group, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <93vb3n$b97c$1@pike.uhc.com>
References: <93jfoj$brm$0@208.207.71.156>
<8014-3A5D559F-87@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93kjmn$qib$1@iac5.navix.net> <93lv8n$15r$0@208.207.71.154>
<3A5F2773.46C35E82@wgcr.org> <93sio5$7vi$0@208.207.71.175>
<3A63232D.27A71176@wgcr.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: gstq.uhc.com
X-Trace: pike.uhc.com 979578807 369900 10.7.248.101 (15 Jan 2001 17:13:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@uhc.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 17:13:27 GMT
User-Agent: tin/pre-1.4-19990927 ("Nine While Nine") (UNIX) (Linux/2.2.18 (i686))
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15650
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12717

In rec.radio.amateur.antenna Lamar Owen <lamar.owen@wgcr.org> wrote:

: Why can't I work CW with my MFJ 1278 (it _does_ do CW)? Do I need the
: training in manual CW to enjoy automatic CW? Should I then be required
: to learn to copy Baudot or ASCIII by hand before using the same 1278 to
: work RTTY, AMTOR, or PacTOR?

: With the 1278 on my rig, you wouldn't be able to tell if I were working
: manual or automatic.

: And, there is really no difference in the use of the 1278 for automatic
: CW and the use of 'contest keyers' to make endless CQ's easy.

Actually, there is quite a significant a difference.

For the other digital modes (ASCII, Baudot, Pactor, Amtor, etc) the sending
transmission will certainly be machine-generated. In the case of CW, that
is the exception. From my experience (and from reading other peoples
results too) the machine CW readers typically have major problems
decoding anything that is not machine-generated.

--
Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC   NIC Handle: CC345
UnitedHealthGroup, Inc., MN10-W116, UNIX Services & Consulting
   6300 Olson Memorial Highway, Golden Valley, MN 55427
   email: ccox@uhc.com (work)   chrisc@chris.org (home)
  A computer without MS Windows is like a dog without bricks
  tied to its head.
  LINUX - aka Microsoft Windows/NT - The Final Service Pack

From -4517895827748358545
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 09:18:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!

```
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <_dG86.1588$Bv.2402706@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 11:16:48 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.25
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 979578874 206.29.242.25 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 12:14:34 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 12:14:34 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12718


"Gerald Schmitt" <kk5yy@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com...
>
> Well Folks,
>
> With all the sound and fury signifying nothing I just had to look into
> Fred's bona fides, very interesting reading.
>

Admirable! Now who in Sam Hill is Gerald Schmitt?

Dick, N�BK, Aging Boy Wonder




From -4752735895174246045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 09:29:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g77k081.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>
<58u86.28956$U4.812484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<93u044$72$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
```

```
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior (?)
Lines: 25
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:29:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.32
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979579755 206.58.44.32 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:29:15
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:29:15 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10522
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12719

On 15 Jan 2001 04:59:48 GMT, Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>>Listened on 12.350 after their 14.313 ham "phone patch" was concluded.
>>They used the callsigns "sasperella" and "shooting star".
>
>Those would probably be the names of their boats. Boat names in
>lieu of callsigns are permitted on the VHF marine channels --
>perhaps the FCC now permits that also on the HF marine frequencies
>now.

  Not according to the Rules.  What happens in real life is
  something else.....

>Coordinating two boats to meet up on a marine band frequency
>is perfectly acceptable.

  The authorized carrier frequency is 12.353 kHz...

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -6747911387936921518
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 09:31:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: 15 Jan 2001 17:27:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 67
Message-ID: <93vbur$5bg$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org>
<3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net> <93r2bl$qj$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A612EF5.BD54BEB1@sccoast.net> <thegeek-1401010759380001@192.168.1.1>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12720
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10523

Jay Woods wrote:
>
> In article <3A612EF5.BD54BEB1@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > I was talking yesterday to a fella who has
> > > spent some time in Africa, and he was telling
> > > me about conditions there. He said "If I was
> > > a black person I'd thank my lucky stars that
> > > my ancestors were slow enough to get caught
> > > and sent over here". Instead they got Big
> > > Jesse and his stuff.
> >
> >
> >   I saw an article, with similar sentiments, a couple of years ago,
> > written by the black editor of the military's Stars & Stripes newspaper
> > following his return from an extended tour in Africa. In the article,
> > he said that his trip to Africa changed his entire views about race
> > relations in the United States (he then went on to criticize those
> > blacks in American who fail to appreciate the opportunities they have).
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>
> You guys seem to be having problems stirring up emotions in the ng's
> lately! I expect soon you'll be responding to your own posts.
>
> Oh yeah, don't believe everything RUSH and Ollie tell you, they seem to
> have trouble speaking if it's not reciting the republican line

RUSH? OLLIE? Who are they? Not anyone I ever listen to. I couldn't tell you when either is on what media or where. I know what I believe. I imagine those guys are right a lot of the time, too, but I don't use my time to listen to any of it. And I turn the channel with the Big Creep comes on the tube, Big AL too. hope I don't have to develop the same outlook on Dubya. Don't think it'll ever happen. I know I won't on Cheney.

I got an email a couple days ago from a young relative in California wanting everyone to sign a petition to have The Big Creep sign the Alaskan wildlife something-or-other into a national monument so no one can ever drill for oil there. Young feller meantime is about to find himself sitting in the dark and cold because the stumblebums that pass for government officials there don't have sense enough to know that their electric utilities can't buy high and sell low without eventual bankruptcy. Whatta buncha clowns. I guess they've already got all the Ca coastal oil locked away. Guess they can always use candles. Won't do much good for all their Silucon Valley servers though. So much for High Tech.

> (not like
> the left is any better).

Well THAT you've got right.

> The republican party has more mantras than Jesse
> and Jonny Cochran combined!

Can you imagine a politicial of any stripe without a mantra of some sort?>

From 3860916531898822033
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5921d90160d8550
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 09:34:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Election & the Commission on Civil Rights
Date: 15 Jan 2001 17:33:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <93vc8e$67b$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <3A604A8A.CA8EDA1A@sccoast.net> <3A60684C.5022F5BE@IEEE.org> <3a6080ad.6167085@news.knology.net> <93r2bl$qj$0@208.207.71.147> <3A612EF5.BD54BEB1@sccoast.net> <thegeek-1401010759380001@192.168.1.1> <ivi86.890$Bv.1339266@nntp3.onemain.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12721

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Jay Woods" <thegeek@wwa.com> wrote in message
> news:thegeek-1401010759380001@192.168.1.1...
> > Oh yeah, don't believe everything RUSH and Ollie tell you, they seem to
> > have trouble speaking if it's not reciting the republican line (not like
> > the left is any better). The republican party has more mantras than
> Jesse
> > and Jonny Cochran combined!
>
> Ain't that the godawful truth! The GOPpers have a naming department that
> rivals the Pentagon. The estate tax becomes the Death Tax. The
> completely ridiculous approach that considers a couple something other
> than two equal singles (and does not apply in Community Property states)
> becomes the Marriage Penalty. We end farm subsidies (and re-instate them
> every year since) and call it the Freedom to Farm bill. You can't
> introduce legislation without giving it a catchy and probably erroneous
> title. Anyone else selling committe chairs to the highest fund raisers
> might be called corrupt in other circles.

Giventhe alternatives, I'd rather see that than to sell hard drives to
the Chinese which contain all our nuke information.

And wasn't it neat how Janet Reno settled Riady's case the week before
Slick checks out? Sure helps keep him quiet about all Slick's treasonous
Chinese fundraising.

>
> Fun and games in the old ballyard.
>

Always

From 173763525971229397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 09:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 15 Jan 2001 17:39:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <93vcl8$67b$1@208.207.71.134>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A5F6ED0.D9D20F83@IEEE.org> <93qq8e$38v$5@208.207.71.147>
<3A60F2AD.92954C83@IEEE.org> <93r5s5$bqk$0@208.207.71.174>
<3A6146DE.38061116@IEEE.org> <93rjgu$f8i$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A61B106.84EE5C0E@IEEE.org> <93ssth$2pl$1@iac5.navix.net>
<93suvv$qsc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12722
```

Brian wrote:

```
>
> In article <93ssth$2pl$1@iac5.navix.net>,
>   "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> > Cecil, Cecil, Cecil... You missed the whole point, I don't care one
> whit
> > about the silly definition. I was relaying to you a technical report
> based
> > on the serendipitous discovery spawned from the argument of language
> or
> > code.
> >
> > The point being that the voice recognition software works better than
> a
> > standard CW decoder. The fuzzy algorithm needed for speech will
> satisfy
> > copying poorly sent code better than a digital approach.
> >
> > Thanks to your sending me in this direction, technicians the world
> over are
> > now freed from the oppression of those nasty CW types that
> intentionally
> > malformed characters to frustrate the code challenged. The world is
> once
> > again safe and we can all sleep well!
>
> Fred, you're a true humanitarian.  Perhaps you'll win the Hiram Peace
> Prize for freeing unworthy Tech slime from the jack boot of opression.
>
> Dick will be pissed, as usual.
```

Not me, I'm happy as hell for ya, kid. Now you don't have even
imaginary justification to call me a lid just because I won't make
myself send my Morse code to your inept "specifications".

From -6482450289557329259
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58349ac3dbc5d81f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 09:48:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!novia!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Left wingers getting ready
Date: 15 Jan 2001 17:46:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <93vd20$85m$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <93t2q0$619$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12723

W4JLE wrote:
>
> Some of the left wing nonsense, this from Ramsey Clark former Attorney
> General (D)
> complete with neutral wording such as "Governor death"... January 20th
> should be fun. A search of the internet shows all the cock roaches are
> coming out of the woodwork.

Don't worry about 'em, Fred, they're relatively harmless. They just
make a lot of noise and consume bandwidth.
Real people keep their rifles in the closet. All those nuts have is a
bumper sticker that says "Gunfree and Proud of it".

> THIRTEEN REASONS TO PROTEST AT BUSH'S INAUGURATION ON JAN. 20
>
> On Jan. 20, thousands of people will march and rally to protest at George
> W. Bush's inauguration in Washington and San Francisco. We will be
> demonstrating against the incoming Bush administration and its racist,

From -5517269829421692610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 09:55:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 15 Jan 2001 17:50:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <93vda1$8p0$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114174200.05250.00001610@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12724

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Read it a little closer, Rich. Jim is advocating Morse code testing for
> >any ham who wishes to use CW on the CW segments of ham radio bands. The
> >rest of it is already moot - unless you actually do advocate doing away
> >with CW completely.
>
> Why would testing of the encoding method used in Morse code be necessary before
> granting CW access in the CW portion of the band. Are you afraid hordes of
> people will learn Morse code wrong and try to get on the air? Those that learn
> the code will use the mode, just as badly as I did at first and will improve
> with time if they stick to it.
>

Sot hen you've changed your mind about totally incompetent attempts at
sending Morse code on the air constituting poor Amateur practice?

> Would you advocate that the VEs determine that a ham has working RTTY equipment
> before he or she is permitted to use RTTY on the digital portions of the bands?
>

That remark is inconsistant with reality and you know it. But then much of what goes on in the regulatory world today is.

From 2154562415116459794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 10:04:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.icl.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 15 Jan 2001 18:02:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12725

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > But downgrading he code to the very minimum required by treaty has had
> > not had the effect of adding hams to the ranks, in the 10 months the new
> > regs have been in effect.
>
> That just means that the code requirement should have been dropped,
> not just reduced.

Bet you a lobster that the same thing occurs when the do dropthe code
test entirely.

What happened to all those hoards of CBers and
> freebanders that you predicted would invade the ARS?

They arrived early. In the form of lots of no coders and slow coders.
No real surprise, lots of them have came through the VE system. And many
have gone on to other more productive "freeband" activities where they

find conditions and 'services' better than 27 mhz and they don't have to put up with dodging ham radio regulations and renewed enforcement. Things do evolve. Ham radio is evolving -mutating would be a better choice of words,like a cancer- into something unrecognizable. Ah well, no good thing lasts forever. Ham radio is no different in that respect.

From 3310378899184489838
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 10:52:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: rwclark@seanet.com (Richard Clark)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 18:49:51 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3a7142b7.407700141@news.seanet.com>
References: <93jfoj$brm$0@208.207.71.156>
<8014-3A5D559F-87@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93kjmn$qib$1@iac5.navix.net> <93lv8n$15r$0@208.207.71.154>
<3A5F2773.46C35E82@wgcr.org> <93sio5$7vi$0@208.207.71.175>
<3A63232D.27A71176@wgcr.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.452
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 30
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15658
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12726

On Mon, 15 Jan 2001 11:19:57 -0500, Lamar Owen <lamar.owen@wgcr.org>
wrote:

>
>I didn't get involved in this thread because of that, Dick. No, I
>bothered reading the thread because a pro-code proponent expressed that
>he wasn't surprised that a NoCode licensee killed someone. That's
>pretty inflammatory.
>

Hi Lamar,

You are right about what started this, but of the 384+ postings, this thread spin-off from prior, repugnant topics almost ceased from the beginning to conform to that trash. There are hardly more than 4 or 5 that responded to that where the remaining 380 postings have simply focused on the obvious inflammatory subject of the CW requirement.

I suppose that the originator of this (not W. G. Ewald, but Fraudtenna) might clamor that those 380+ postings are off topic, but thankfully Fraudtenna has abandoned the dung heap they tried to build as a monument to their moral authority.

In a sense, the topic No-code kills has evolved into a statement that no-code replacing CW requirements is killing amateur radio. That certainly is inflammatory enough to stimulate the number of postings and participants.

73's
Richard Clark, KB7QHC

```
From -6108795185434296969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d0ce73e33daf35bf,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 11:12:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: RM-10018
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:00:47 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 77
Message-ID: <93vhcp$srf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 19:00:47 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12727
```

--

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20544

January 15, 2001

```
In the matter of                   )
                                   )
Amendment of Part 97 of the        )    RM-10018
Commission�s Rules to Allow        )
Increased Frequency Privileges to  )
Amateurs having Proficiency in     )
Telegraphy in Accordance with the  )
International Requirements         )
```

To: The Commission

COMMENTS ON RM-10018

The commenter, Hans Brakob, an FCC Amateur Radio Licensee for several decades, assigned station call K0HB, agrees in principle with the petition of Joseph Speroni, AH0A, and proposes an expansion of the frequencies allowed.

1. Because of attrition (no new Novices being licensed), current Novice licensees (and Technician licensees who have passed the 5WPM Morse code test) face a continuously diminishing ability to engage in Morse contacts in their current CW band segments.

2. While these segments are also available for use by other license classes, most licensees with higher class licenses tend to avoid the Novice sub-bands so as not to infringe on this reserved practice territory. This well-meaning reluctance to infringe may be misplaced, but it is real, and has at least two undesirable consequences.

3. First, it creates an "ghetto" where Novices are isolated from mainstream Amateur Radio and do not gain exposure to the benefits of interacting with more experienced and skilled operators, and�

4. Second, because of attrition, these already-underutilized band segments will see less and less activity while other adjacent segments become more crowded because of increasing popularity of other emerging data modes like PSK31.

5. I further propose that this concept be applied to the "General" segments of all MF/HF amateur bands, not just the 4 bands proposed in the instant petition. If Novices were allowed to participate in the full range of CW operations (CW only at reduced power of 200W) on all bands, such as traffic handling, radiosporting ("contests"), and DX�ing, they would be much more likely to gain in skills and enthusiasm, and aspire to gain the theoretical knowledge to upgrade to the increased power and mode capabilities of General or Extra license classes.

Respectfully submitted,

H. Hans Brakob, K0HB
1610 Weston Lane
Plymouth, MN 55447-2855

--
"1943 Poster Child for The National Precocious Little Bastard Campaign"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8303473736878228356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,81faebd6b112ddb1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 11:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Al Gross
Lines: 9
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <6gI86.1653$Bv.2488021@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 13:35:51 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.159.210
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 979587202 208.154.159.210 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 14:33:22 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 14:33:22 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12728

Last week's Economist had an obit for Al Gross who was the father of just about every wireless mobile device known to man. I believe that he was W8PAL.

Anyone have any contact with him??

From 1348726167858759480
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 11:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!

hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior (?)
Date: 15 Jan 2001 19:31:23 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <93vj6b$lqc$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>
<58u86.28956$U4.812484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<93u044$72$1@news.hawaii.edu> <cuvyxnansvbet.g77k081.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 979587083 22348 128.171.44.55 (15 Jan 2001 19:31:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 19:31:23 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10531
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12729

>On 15 Jan 2001 04:59:48 GMT, Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
>>>Listened on 12.350 after their 14.313 ham "phone patch" was concluded.
>>>They used the callsigns "sasperella" and "shooting star".

I didn't write that, Phil. Make sure you get the attribution lines
correct when you edit.

Jeff KH6O

From -2113983098963339425
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,81faebd6b112ddb1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 12:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Al Gross
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:59:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <93vkq3$43$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <6gI86.1653$Bv.2488021@nntp3.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 19:59:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12730

In article <6gI86.1653$Bv.2488021@nntp3.onemain.com>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

> Last week's Economist had an obit for Al Gross who was the
> father of just about every wireless mobile device known to
> man. I believe that he was W8PAL.
>
> Anyone have any contact with him??

No, but I'll keep trying to break through. If you contact him before I do, let me know the temperature at his current QTH.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
> >Frisbeetarianism: The belief that when you die,
> >your soul goes up the on roof and gets stuck.

> What roof would this be? Does everyone get stuck on the same roof?
> Or do we get a roof of our own?

The Roof of the Universe. And in the Last Days, when the Great Frisbee that shineth in the sky hath gone out, then shalt the Almighty Thrower ascend the Ladder of Life and collect the souls of those who have flown free, and take them The Eternal Park, where we shall sail upon the Spring Breezes for ever and ever, and be Fetched by the Holy Dog. Amen.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7190716047448821269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 12:24:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 15:20:27 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net

X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979590135 26208 166.102.250.162 (15 Jan 2001 20:22:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 20:22:15 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12731

Nice quote from Macbeth, however I happen to have a certificate from a midnight session of the last day showing I upgraded under the old scheme. Never really cared about getting the Extra until they were about to remove the code requirement. To be honest, I have been laying back in the weeds waiting for someone to bring this up since the change in regs. Yes, the public record speaks for it's self, one simply has to understand what it is saying.

If you look, you will see that the date you quote is a second change since that time. Go to the FCC database and check the progression.

Why should I cast aspersions toward you, I leave those tactics to those that have no rational arguments. If you have any doubts about my qualifications, you need only ask and I will be glad to point you in the right direction. This will save you from making invalid conclusions.

73 Fred W4JLE
"Gerald Schmitt" <kk5yy@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com...
>
> Well Folks,
>
> With all the sound and fury signifying nothing I just had to look into
> Fred's bona fides, very interesting reading.
>
> It seems that just before the change in the code requirements Fred was
> and Advanced Class amateur holding the call W4JLE now it seems he
> hold an Amateur Extra license effective June 03, 2000 this is well
> past the change in code requirements for Amateur Extra. One can
> only presume from all this that Fred waited until the code
> requirements were reduced before upgrading. The question is, why?
>
> In my own cynical way I have to presume that Fred, despite all the
> sound and fury, was unable to pass Element 1C and so had to wait
> until the bar was lowered to get his Amateur Extra. This casts
> doubt on all of his blathering about traffic handling, superiority,
> the value of other, etc. I'm sure there is a wonderful excuse and
> lots of aspersions to be cast at me for this revelation but the
> public record speaks for itself.
>
> In the hash light of these new revelations I am forced to conclude
> Freddie had been fibbing to us and I'm afraid I won't be able
> to consider anything he says from now on to be credible. Oh well,
> he never had anything interesting to say anyway. Please be

> careful Fred, word on the street is that you go to Hell for lying.
>
>

From 4033728774807237534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 12:28:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: No-code kills
Date: 15 Jan 2001 20:26:52 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 93
Message-ID: <93vmec$moe$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979590412 23310 149.174.88.251 (15 Jan 2001 20:26:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 20:26:52 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12732

VB>I've also outlined the details for
VB>18 years, in published form. ARRL has some of those
VB>papers.

>This message is sent to both Vince and the Technical Information Service
>at ARRL.

>Do you have file copies of some of these documents and published
>articles/letters that outline proposals for a better examination system?
>I'd love to see them. If they are only in hardcopy form, I'd even be
>willing to pay either party reasonable expenses for photocopies,
>postage, and research time. This request is being made of both parties
>(Vince and ARRL TIS) and includes any and all published articles by
>Vince Biancomano, WB2EZG (not just those concerned with a better
>examination system). For any unpublished documents from Vince in
>possession of the ARRL, please contact Vince regarding any required
>release permissions.

I'll make it easy for you to find, Paul: Among my letters to ARRL include
my newsletters sent to the division membership during all my runs for director
(that's an ARRL rule). Easy to locate, all in public forum. One of them
especially went into detailed discussion about what to do to protect/improve
the Service re: deregulation. They will also have my 300-word statements (as
part of the ARRL mailouts) on file. They will also have on file two letters

concerning my proposal to initiate the (first) Spectrum Protection Act (which they allowed me credit for on at least one of my 300-word statements).

Other information you ask for is of a technical-article nature: October 1972, March 1974, and January 1977 QST (that one a Cover Plaque).

If ARRL has none of these, a summary of all those suggestions to improve the exam process per se was filed with FCC in their restructuring proposal. On the FCC site, in public forum. In only 4 pages, as I remember.

Just to keep you knowledgeable, my runs for director were based on what was possible for the voter, not impossible. Far from your 'dictatorial' label, my platform specifically pushed binding referenda in the Hudson Division: a no-nonsense binding vote by membership to be held on the most important (major) issues that the director would be bound to in his vote on the Board. One or more of my opponents spent time racing about the division with the message that it couldn't be done, and/or it wouldn't be good. The 'binding referenda' idea made them nervous. In that context, there's also a letter on file to an ARRL reform committee re: nasty politics that came about at my expense during my run to push binding referenda.

During the course of my "runs" (two of them three-man races, two of them two-man races) my message evoked three major responses:

1. You won't really bring binding referenda, will you!
2. Your message about binding referenda is scaring a lot of people.
3. You come on as too honest, thus we're confused.

Comment (1) told me that someone was trying very hard to convince them I wouldn't do what I promised, or that the voters were looking for a way out when faced with a solution to their supposed 'we're never heard' problem.

Comment (2) confirmed that people complain about not having say, but if given say, they'd run. Comment (3) pleased me greatly, because it told me that, by definition, I wasn't a politician. Comment (3) said clearly that voters indeed _expect_ bull in a politician: that is, they expect a lot of nonsense.

One 80+ year old ham told me back then "Vince, people don't want solutions, they say they want control but they just want to hear themselves complain. They want to be kicked in the *** so hard that they cry. Believe me, son, that's what they really want."

I believe him, now. The business about voters wanting to discuss the "issues" is hoary nonsense. They generally don't. They want someone to tell them what they want to hear, then lead them by the hand, then complain about it later that they have no say, just so that they have something to complain about.

In that context, I learned a lot about what a good politician does. And a lot about the 'herd' instinct in humans. Happily, too, I learned I'm not a politician because I refused to enter the world of double-speak. I talked issues, my opponent(s) danced around them and talked about nothing, actually.
Which is, interestingly enough, why I don't hold politicians in high regard. The best politicians are rather like circus and magic people, when you come down to it: now you see it, now you don't. They're actually into entertainment.

Sleep well, Paul.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -3205929919883232387
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 12:38:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!osa.uu.net!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 15:35:15 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <93vn1g$q6e$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93tugc$ntj$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073413.09203.00001189@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979591024 26830 166.102.250.162 (15 Jan 2001 20:37:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 20:37:04 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12733

Brings up an interesting point Ed, how many folks operate spread spectrum Morse? Does anyone in fact do this? (serious question)

Why the construction requirement? Do I need to build a computer for the PSK31 as well?

I will concede that someone who has the ability to construct a spread spectrum transceiver is superior to me in that respect.

Back when my eyes were good and my hands were steady the smallest active element I had to deal with was a 6AQ5, now I can barely see a surface mounted component, let alone solder the dam thing.

73 Fred W4JLE

 news:20010115073413.09203.00001189@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >Yep, they sure are. Now would you care to place a wager if there were me and
> >a nocoder, which could get on the air using the mode they were not
> >proficient in the quickest.
>
> Here are the terms. Let's select someone with a no-code license and you. He
> or she will be asked to construct a Morse-code transmitter and make a Morse
> code QSO. You will be asked to construct a spread-spectrum transmitter and
> make a Morse code QSO. Winner gets an ARRL Handbook from the "bent-cover" bin.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

From -9178805066976668777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 12:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 20:43:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <93vncl$2eg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net> <93v4u6$h2d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.238.141
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 20:43:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 165.121.238.141

X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10537
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12735

why hasn't
> this total appeared on the ABC News web page ?
>
> Hahahaha.. this is so typical of the right wing Limbrain cabal.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

See above...beginning with Hahahaha..
Change right to left
Change Limbrain cabal to Klintoon goofs.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8821132399961519453
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 12:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: firebottle@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 20:40:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <93vn8i$2cq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net> <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.213.211
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 20:40:52 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; AOL 5.0; Mac_PPC)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 152.163.213.211
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDfirebottle
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10536
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12734

In article <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,

"DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Hopefully KC8JBO will be getting a notice for his on air behavior.

Strange, I didn't hear KC8JBO, just a bunch of identifieds. Riley can't
do much with the unidentifieds.

He needs to be off the air like WB2OTK and some of the others.

What others?? The people who were thought to be the " Biggest "
troublemakers are gone, examples: KV4FZ, KT4TR, W4FQF, W4LLI, K4OKA,
K4VVV (Little Richard drunken disc jockey), gone from 14.313, but the
qrm'ing continues. Rich WB2OTK wasn't/isn't the problem. The problem is
the inability of the FCC (and, this isn't Riley's problem) to fund
people to track them down.

It's doubtful if any " official notices will come out of this. Most of
the rhetoric has been from NG's and newbies.

.

> "Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
> news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...
> > Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful. Time for more
official
> > notice

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 4867738951180788497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 12:58:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 15:55:06 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <93vo6m$qvf$1@iac5.navix.net>
```

References: <93tmj2$ir6$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073514.09203.00001190@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979592214 27631 166.102.250.162 (15 Jan 2001 20:56:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 20:56:54 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12736

Of course it does, same as it makes me better at that skill than one who has never figured an exposure problem.

Ed, the demonization of someone being superior is a product of the feel good generation. Soccer games for kids that do not keep score ,so no one feels bad. Gradeless classes for the same reason. One could go on forever listing the esteem building exercises.

The reason you see such vitriolic response, is for many this is the first time in their life they have been told they don't measure up. Do you not sense the "it's my God given right to be equal in all respects regardless of effort" attitude in the responses?

I exist, therefore I deserve... (stolen from another post)

Does a superior skill make be better than someone else in the grand scheme of things? Nope! But the interpretation today is if you claim a superiority in any field, you are doing it to "hurt the feelings" of those that don't have the skill.

I personally don't give a red rat's hindparts if anyone ever learns or uses CW, I do care that they find it offensive that someone else has acquired the skill and that the longest threads on the newsgroup are the apologists for the code challenged.

Enjoy what ever privileges they may have and knock off the nonsense, until then I shall enjoy demonstrating the absurd with absurdity.

73 Fred W4JLE

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010115073514.09203.00001190@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >Oh Yah! Well I got this book by this W1RFI guy and I can figure that
stuff
> >too!
>
> I am sure you can, but compared to me, you are very slow at it.
>
> Does that make me a better ham except in that one area?

```
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 9023745946343875571
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:00:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!uunet!
sea.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 15:56:43 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <93vo9n$r0e$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93tmj2$ir6$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073514.09203.00001190@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <93v20q$ebk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979592311 27662 166.102.250.162 (15 Jan 2001 20:58:31 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 20:58:31 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12737

Ed's VFO is in-op that high in the band, you will have to explain what goes
on, on 14.313 before Ed can answer.


"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:93v20q$ebk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20010115073514.09203.00001190@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
>   w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> > >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
> >
> > >Oh Yah! Well I got this book by this W1RFI guy and I can figure that
> stuff
> > >too!
> >
> > I am sure you can, but compared to me, you are very slow at it.
> >
> > Does that make me a better ham except in that one area?
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
```

```
>
> Do you have a web page dedicated to 14.313?
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From 1063200166294970421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:11:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!news.minn.net!newsxfer.interpacket.net!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:00:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <93vod2$3eu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net> <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
<93vn8i$2cq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.61
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 21:00:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.61
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10541
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12740

In article <93vn8i$2cq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  firebottle@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
>   "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Hopefully KC8JBO will be getting a notice for his on air behavior.
>
> Strange, I didn't hear KC8JBO, just a bunch of identifieds. Riley
> can't do much with the unidentifieds.

That's because I don't operate on 14.313....
Lllllloyd hasn't figured that out yet.


> He needs to be off the air like WB2OTK and some of the others.
>
```

```
> What others?? The people who were thought to be the " Biggest "
> troublemakers are gone, examples: KV4FZ, KT4TR, W4FQF, W4LLI, K4OKA,
> K4VVV ( Little Richard drunken disc jockey ), gone from 14.313, but
the
> qrm'ing continues. Rich WB2OTK wasn't/isn't the problem. The problem
is
> the inability of the FCC ( and, this isn't Riley's problem ) to fund
> people to track them down.
>
> It's doubtful if any " official notices will come out of this. Most of
> the rhetoric has been from NG's and newbies.

LOL!!!!!!!!

> > "Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
> > news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...
> > > Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful.  Time for more
> official
> > > notice
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 4785804366651425496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:11:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!
newspeer.sol.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:02:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <93vog4$3gi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net> <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.61
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 21:02:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.61
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10540
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12739

In article <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
  "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Hopefully KC8JBO will be getting a notice for his on air behavior. He
needs
> to be off the air like WB2OTK and some of the others.

Hopefully, "DX405" will get a better job so he can afford something
other than CHEAP-ASS RADIO SHACK RADIOS.
But cheap is as cheap does......

> "Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
> news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...
> > Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful. Time for more
official
> > notices?
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4338508216846945097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:11:04 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979593175 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:12:55 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:12:55 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12741

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> If you have any doubts about my qualifications, you need only ask ...

If anyone has doubts about my qualifications wrt CW, they can meet me on 40
M and judge for themselves. That will be a better indicator than the code
speed of the test I took in 1976.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2418404423431656590
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:16:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com> <93q835$ago$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A60A7EF.58BEB324@IEEE.org> <93qik6$ldi$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <6MJ86.825$e5.2147019@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:13:54 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979593346 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:15:46 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:15:46 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12742

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Real hams send dit's and dah's, the rest try to find something else to

> establish their pseudo self esteem.

Do you believe my dits and dahs are more valuable to the Amateur Radio
Service than the rest of my accomplishments?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8075610626242559073
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:18:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rvov5ts15vimveuuj2fdjq7u7a8rdkjunt@4ax.com> <93opoj$8j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<pa006tsmtdvit3k48ft6hjggikv5ataugg@4ax.com> <93q835$ago$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A60A7EF.58BEB324@IEEE.org> <93qtbr$e3e$0@208.207.71.147>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <eNJ86.826$e5.2147078@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:15:07 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979593418 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:16:58 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:16:58 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12743

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> His superiority will get traffic passed on radiotelegraphy.

True enough. What percentage of traffic is passed using CW?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5888026709164829884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:28:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93tmj2$ir6$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073514.09203.00001190@ng-cr1.aol.com> <93vo6m$qvf$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 71
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <8XJ86.827$e5.2148398@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:25:41 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979594052 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:27:32 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:27:32 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12744

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Does a superior skill make be better than someone else in the grand
scheme
> of things? Nope! But the interpretation today is if you claim a
superiority
> in any field, you are doing it to "hurt the feelings" of those that don't
> have the skill.

I don't think anyone would take any objection at all to a statement that you are superior in a particular field. Let me try it:

I can copy 45 wpm in my head and 25 wpm on paper, so I am a superior CW operator to those who cannot. -- Ed

What they would object to is a statement they believe implies that such as skill makes me superior to them, without any mention of what field. My superior CW skills may indeed make me a superior ham, unless that non-coded op has an even greater accomplishment somewhere else that overshadows mine. And, I will add, that I do NOT believe that my CW copying skills are the greatest accomplishment I have in ham radio. Nor do I believe that my own accomplishments put me at the top of the "superiority" heap; there are others who have, IMHO, done more for ham radio than I have.

> I personally don't give a red rat's hindparts if anyone ever learns or
uses
> CW, I do care that they find it offensive that someone else has acquired
the
> skill and that the longest threads on the newsgroup are the apologists for
> the code challenged.

I don't find it offensive that you have aquired CW skills, nor do I find it offensive that others have not.

Although I agree that it is important to keep score in a soccer game, I do not believe that it is necessary to keep score in everything in life. Maybe this would all make more sense to you if you viewed it as personal accomplishment instead of another game of who-tops-who. I judge my own accomplishments only by my own standards. I do not need to hold them up to anyone else's accomplishments to feel that I have done something worthwhile.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
> Enjoy what ever privileges they may have and knock off the nonsense, until
> then I shall enjoy demonstrating the absurd with absurdity.
>
> 73 Fred W4JLE
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010115073514.09203.00001190@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> > >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
> >
> > >Oh Yah! Well I got this book by this W1RFI guy and I can figure that
> stuff
> > >too!
> >
> > I am sure you can, but compared to me, you are very slow at it.
> >
> > Does that make me a better ham except in that one area?
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

From 4218548515848830239
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:30:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: kk5yy@arrl.net (Gerald Schmitt)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?

Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:27:55 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <3a646aa2.360000040@nntp.mindspring.com>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
Reply-To: kk5yy@arrl.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.32.d9.ba
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Jan 2001 21:29:16 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99g/32.339
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12745

On Mon, 15 Jan 2001 15:20:27 -0500, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

>Nice quote from Macbeth, however I happen to have a certificate from a
>midnight session of the last day showing I upgraded under the old scheme.
>Never really cared about getting the Extra until they were about to remove
>the code requirement. To be honest, I have been laying back in the weeds
>waiting for someone to bring this up since the change in regs. Yes, the
>public record speaks for it's self, one simply has to understand what it is
>saying.

Sorry Fred I don't believe you. All the April 15 stuff was long
finished by June 03 sorry OM that dog won't hunt.
>
>If you look, you will see that the date you quote is a second change since
>that time. Go to the FCC database and check the progression.
>
>Why should I cast aspersions toward you, I leave those tactics to those that
>have no rational arguments. If you have any doubts about my qualifications,
>you need only ask and I will be glad to point you in the right direction.
>This will save you from making invalid conclusions.

You don't seem to me to be the best source for the real story. You
seem to make much out of other folks abilities and such I just
thought your feet of clay might need a little sun.

>
>73 Fred W4JLE
>"Gerald Schmitt" <kk5yy@arrl.net> wrote in message
>news:3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com...
>>
>> Well Folks,
>>
>> With all the sound and fury signifying nothing I just had to look into
>> Fred's bona fides, very interesting reading.
>>
>> It seems that just before the change in the code requirements Fred was
>> and Advanced Class amateur holding the call W4JLE now it seems he
>> hold an Amateur Extra license effective June 03, 2000 this is well
>> past the change in code requirements for Amateur Extra. One can

```
>> only presume from all this that Fred waited until the code
>> requirements were reduced before upgrading. The question is, why?
>>
>> In my own cynical way I have to presume that Fred, despite all the
>> sound and fury, was unable to pass Element 1C and so had to wait
>> until the bar was lowered to get his Amateur Extra. This casts
>> doubt on all of his blathering about traffic handling, superiority,
>> the value of other, etc. I'm sure there is a wonderful excuse and
>> lots of aspersions to be cast at me for this revelation but the
>> public record speaks for itself.
>>
>> In the hash light of these new revelations I am forced to conclude
>> Freddie had been fibbing to us and I'm afraid I won't be able
>> to consider anything he says from now on to be credible. Oh well,
>> he never had anything interesting to say anyway. Please be
>> careful Fred, word on the street is that you go to Hell for lying.
>>
>>
>
>



From -3898392949885455252
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d0ce73e33daf35bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:31:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: RM-10018
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:16:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <93vpau$4ep$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93vhcp$srf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.131
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 21:16:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.131
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12746

In article <93vhcp$srf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
> --
>                      Before the
>            FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>                 Washington, D.C. 20544
>
```

```
>                  January 15, 2001
>
> In the matter of                   )
>                                    )
> Amendment of Part 97 of the        )    RM-10018
> Commission�s Rules to Allow        )
> Increased Frequency Privileges to )
> Amateurs having Proficiency in     )
> Telegraphy in Accordance with the )
> International Requirements         )
>
> To:  The Commission
>
>                    COMMENTS ON RM-1001


     Snip


     I like it.

73

Steve, K4YZ
> --
> "1943 Poster Child for The National Precocious Little Bastard
Campaign"
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 6526308038675614287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:31:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:20:05 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
```

```
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <93vphs$4hh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010114203543.19385.00001994@ng-fy1.aol.com>
<20010115091954.00714.00001895@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.238.141
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 21:20:05 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 165.121.238.141
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12747

In article <20010115091954.00714.00001895@nso-md.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <20010114203543.19385.00001994@ng-fy1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >
> >>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
> >
> >>Was it more "Battle of the Pre-99 Extras?"
> >
> >I don't know.  What percentage of the pre-99 Extras participated?
>
> Brian/Ed:
>
> What is the significance of 1999?  Restructuring and the "nickle
> Extra" took place in April, 2000.  If that's what you're talking
about,
> you'd have to say "The pre-4/15/2000 Extras," to indicate Extra-
> class operators who had taken and passed the 20 WPM code
> test element.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>
I'd like to know what was said/done....Sounded like it did 5 years ago,
matter of fact, had the same jammer tapes.  So, whats the point?

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 1007188482151138207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,81faebd6b112ddb1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:31:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Al Gross
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:19:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <93vpg1$4go$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <6gI86.1653$Bv.2488021@nntp3.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.131
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 21:19:06 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.131
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12748

In article <6gI86.1653$Bv.2488021@nntp3.onemain.com>,
  "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

>Last week's Economist had an obit for Al Gross who was the father of
>just about every wireless mobile device known to man.  I believe that
>he was W8PAL.

>>Anyone have any contact with him?

     Not recently, Dick, but I did have a 20M CW QSO with Elvis last
week.

73

Steve, K4YZ
-----------------------------------------------------------------------
"If You're Not A Ham, You're SPAM!"


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 7112773310979959514
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:32:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
```

```
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:23:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <93vpni$4l8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net> <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
<93vn8i$2cq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.238.141
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 21:23:08 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 165.121.238.141
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10547
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12749

In article <93vn8i$2cq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  firebottle@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
>   "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Hopefully KC8JBO will be getting a notice for his on air behavior.
>
> Strange, I didn't hear KC8JBO, just a bunch of identifieds. Riley
can't
> do much with the unidentifieds.
>
> He needs to be off the air like WB2OTK and some of the others.
>
> What others?? The people who were thought to be the " Biggest "
> troublemakers are gone, examples: KV4FZ, KT4TR, W4FQF, W4LLI, K4OKA,
> K4VVV ( Little Richard drunken disc jockey ), gone from 14.313, but
the
> qrm'ing continues. Rich WB2OTK wasn't/isn't the problem. The problem
is
> the inability of the FCC ( and, this isn't Riley's problem ) to fund
> people to track them down.
>
> It's doubtful if any " official notices will come out of this. Most of
> the rhetoric has been from NG's and newbies.
>
> .
>
> > "Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
> > news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...
> > > Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful.  Time for more
> official
> > > notice
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>
Exactly what I, and others have said for years.  Its the 3rd element,
```

not the 'major players'. Remember when NR5T, Douglas, was blammed for
all sorts of crap? He goes away, and still got the crap. Duuuuuuu!

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8196573366234024616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!news.idt.net!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93tugc$ntj$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073413.09203.00001189@ng-cr1.aol.com> <93vn1g$q6e$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 61
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <W1K86.828$e5.2149093@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:32:54 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979594486 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:34:46 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:34:46 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12750

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Why the construction requirement? Do I need to build a computer for the
> PSK31 as well?

If we are going to have a wager that is analogous to the requirement to manually decode Morse code, I think the manual requirements of construction are an important part of the analogy.

> I will concede that someone who has the ability to construct a spread
> spectrum transceiver is superior to me in that respect.

Yes, just like your ability to receive Morse code fast makes you superior to him in that respect.

Just remember, there are about 650,000 of us, and if we are all assigned a superiority rank, only one of us will be #1. The rest of us are all probably somewhere well down the list.

> Back when my eyes were good and my hands were steady the smallest active
> element I had to deal with was a 6AQ5, now I can barely see a surface
> mounted component, let alone solder the dam thing.

Fortunately, you can look at a lifetime of accomplishments and not have to rely on any single of one of them for a sense of self worth. Maybe that applies to all of us.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
> 73 Fred W4JLE
>
> news:20010115073413.09203.00001189@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> > >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
> >
> > >Yep, they sure are. Now would you care to place a wager if there were
me
> and
> > >a nocoder, which could get on the air using the mode they were not
> > >proficient in the quickest.
> >
> > Here are the terms. Let's select someone with a no-code license and
you.
> He
> > or she will be asked to construct a Morse-code transmitter and make a
> Morse
> > code QSO. You will be asked to construct a spread-spectrum transmitter
> and
> > make a Morse code QSO. Winner gets an ARRL Handbook from the
"bent-cover"
> bin.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
>
>

From -2774763578544390836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior (?)
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:30:12 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <93vq4p$52t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>
<58u86.28956$U4.812484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.238.141
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 21:30:12 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 165.121.238.141
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10549
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12751

In article <58u86.28956$U4.812484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Golden delicious" <zemu09090@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> "Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...
> > Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful. Time for more
official
> > notices?
>
> Hope so. Wonder if he was listening earlier also?
>
> At around 9:15 AM Central on 14.313 on 14 Jan 2001
> two stations from a maritime "net" on 14.300 helped a maritime ham
station phone
> patch to an individual who openly announced on the patch
> that he was not a licenced operator but would meet up with the
maritime
> ham regardless, on the frequency of 12.350 mHz USB.
> Listened on 12.350 after their 14.313 ham "phone patch" was concluded.
> They used the callsigns "sasperella" and "shooting star".
> Whole activity was questionable from beginning to end.
> Nice to see that 20m ham nets facilitate comms on non-ham freqs
> by potentially unauthorized individuals. Makes the Service look real
nice.
>
> Did you hear this too R.H. ?
>
>
Probably not.....like the 5 mhz 'stumpjumper' frequency?

```
Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -139563519847887544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:25:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <93vps0$4vn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net> <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
<93vog4$3gi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.238.141
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 21:25:31 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 165.121.238.141
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10550
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12752

In article <93vog4$3gi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
>   "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Hopefully KC8JBO will be getting a notice for his on air behavior.
He
> needs
> > to be off the air like WB2OTK and some of the others.
>
> Hopefully, "DX405" will get a better job so he can afford something
> other than CHEAP-ASS RADIO SHACK RADIOS.
> But cheap is as cheap does......
>
> > "Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
> > news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...
> > > Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful.  Time for more
> official
> > > notices?
> >
> >
>
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>
He didn't even pay for it....ask him about it.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 3882673317479750780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:44:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!news.idt.net!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <a3kl5tgns6dev6f2pfu39rceac63019r98@4ax.com>
<93f9uu$sdf$0@208.207.71.150> <93hfaa$fb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93i8tc$57t$0@208.207.71.171> <93iogo$m2t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93j6mr$jfg$0@208.207.71.163> <93lg7a$2vv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93lou2$c9a$1@208.206.142.98> <93mvvh$8ju$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<6WG76.740$e5.1890767@news.ntplx.net> <93opeq$l7r$1@208.207.71.142>
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <saK86.829$e5.2149970@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:42:01 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979595032 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:43:52 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:43:52 EST
```

Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12753

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Yet certain ARRL officials advocate that anyone who wants should be
> free to attempt to operate CW anytime and anywhere on the bands they
> wish. So can we then presume that ARRL advocates ignoring certain rules
> that advance a PC agenda WRT Morse code?

Did the ARRL advocate ignoring the rules, or changing the rules?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6847486633971490054
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 13:56:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147> <20010114005243.03673.00000675@nso-ck.aol.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <YkK86.830$e5.2151089@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:53:12 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979595704 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:55:04 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:55:04 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12754

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> Jim: The "boring" part is due to spread-spectrum not being
> intuitive...and few have explained either direct-sequence or
> frequency-hopping in simpler terms or in terms that long-
> timers can accept. Long-timers referring to being used to
> conventional narrowband frequency tuning as practiced in
> amateur radio today.

I think the biggest issue here is that some think of SS as a 'digital' mode.
The most common application for SS is for voice communications.

> One amateur band somewhere in the spectrum would be
> ideal for DSSS or FHSS trials. I would think it woud be
> preferrable in an urban area having many potential users,
> such as VHF or UHF with a repeater.

I think that 2.4 GHz would probably be the best first choice. There is
certainly a lot of equipment coming available for that frequency range.

> There is one problem at present, a very big one, and that is
> standardization of the SS characteristics. At present
> the characteristics are individual to a manufacturer and
> hardly any are alike enough to be interoperable. In order
> to use it for a trial, every participant MUST be using the
> SAME characteristic.

Or different characteristics and not hearing each other. :-)

Maybe the Bluetooth spec would be a reasonable starting place. Start with
the chipsets or steal the board out of the first low-cost device to have a
Bluetooth board in it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5704561949453850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:00:57 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <93vs23$12b$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net> <93v4u6$h2d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979596163 1099 166.102.250.162 (15 Jan 2001 22:02:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 22:02:43 GMT

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10552
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12755
```

I think you have the mental ability to answer your own question, however if you actually need the reason ABC has not posted the final results, e-mail me and I will be glad to enlighten you about the liberal media without taking up newsgroup bandwidth. I seriously hope you are kidding about ABC being your final authority on anything. Tell me what you have seen on ABC about the brutal killings in Kansas?

"Dr. Conrad Connie" <coontail@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:93v4u6$h2d$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> No they haven't been confirmed and in fact if they have, why hasn't
> this total appeared on the ABC News web page ?
>
> Hahahaha.. this is so typical of the right wing Limbrain cabal.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

```
From 330749370604858968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:08:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:04:34 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979596386 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:06:26 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:06:26 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12756

Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

>> When I took my General before the FCC, most of the time, I knew which
>> questions I guessed at.

> Thats pretty fantastic memorization, most of the time.

Why would you consider it fantastic memorization that to this day, I still remember that I didn't have a clue about the question about the impedance of open wire line, or that I didn't know what a parasitic oscillation was, but knew it had some effect on interference, so I guess right. A memory that can't hold onto such generalizations is a pretty poor one.

> If your memory is so good remembering the ones you guessed at, why didn't
you
> remember the exact answer as given in the Q pool?

There was no question pool in those days.

> How many times did you have to take the exams?

Once. Other than blowing a few questions, it was pretty easy for me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8020339462077982503
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:08:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:05:55 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 72
Message-ID: <93vsbd$19p$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net> <3a646aa2.360000040@nntp.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979596461 1337 166.102.250.162 (15 Jan 2001 22:07:41 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net

NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 22:07:41 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12757

OK, Gerald you have it all figured out. Now as Ed suggested would you care
to set a skid?

"Gerald Schmitt" <kk5yy@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3a646aa2.360000040@nntp.mindspring.com...
> On Mon, 15 Jan 2001 15:20:27 -0500, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
> >Nice quote from Macbeth, however I happen to have a certificate from a
> >midnight session of the last day showing I upgraded under the old scheme.
> >Never really cared about getting the Extra until they were about to
remove
> >the code requirement. To be honest, I have been laying back in the weeds
> >waiting for someone to bring this up since the change in regs. Yes, the
> >public record speaks for it's self, one simply has to understand what it
is
> >saying.
>
> Sorry Fred I don't believe you. All the April 15 stuff was long
> finished by June 03 sorry OM that dog won't hunt.
> >
> >If you look, you will see that the date you quote is a second change
since
> >that time. Go to the FCC database and check the progression.
> >
> >Why should I cast aspersions toward you, I leave those tactics to those
that
> >have no rational arguments. If you have any doubts about my
qualifications,
> >you need only ask and I will be glad to point you in the right direction.
> >This will save you from making invalid conclusions.
>
> You don't seem to me to be the best source for the real story. You
> seem to make much out of other folks abilities and such I just
> thought your feet of clay might need a little sun.
>
> >
> >73 Fred W4JLE
> >"Gerald Schmitt" <kk5yy@arrl.net> wrote in message
> >news:3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com...
> >>
> >> Well Folks,
> >>
> >> With all the sound and fury signifying nothing I just had to look into
> >> Fred's bona fides, very interesting reading.
> >>
> >> It seems that just before the change in the code requirements Fred was

```
> >> and Advanced Class amateur holding the call W4JLE now it seems he
> >> hold an Amateur Extra license effective June 03, 2000 this is well
> >> past the change in code requirements for Amateur Extra. One can
> >> only presume from all this that Fred waited until the code
> >> requirements were reduced before upgrading. The question is, why?
> >>
> >> In my own cynical way I have to presume that Fred, despite all the
> >> sound and fury, was unable to pass Element 1C and so had to wait
> >> until the bar was lowered to get his Amateur Extra. This casts
> >> doubt on all of his blathering about traffic handling, superiority,
> >> the value of other, etc. I'm sure there is a wonderful excuse and
> >> lots of aspersions to be cast at me for this revelation but the
> >> public record speaks for itself.
> >>
> >> In the hash light of these new revelations I am forced to conclude
> >> Freddie had been fibbing to us and I'm afraid I won't be able
> >> to consider anything he says from now on to be credible. Oh well,
> >> he never had anything interesting to say anyway. Please be
> >> careful Fred, word on the street is that you go to Hell for lying.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
```

```
From 8358964819843859541
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:12:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feeder.qis.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com> <93pmaj$qrf$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <IzK86.832$e5.2152240@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:08:56 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979596648 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:10:48 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:10:48 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12758
```

Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

> The FCC hasn't wanted any part of ham radio since at
> least the early 80s, remember?

Does that explain why they invested the hundreds of staff hours that restructuring took and have stepped up amateur enforcement?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -5633862523219608545
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:14:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:11:52 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <93vsmj$1hn$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979596819 1591 166.102.250.162 (15 Jan 2001 22:13:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 22:13:39 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12759
```

Agreed Ed, I did ask him to set a sked and see if the old glass arm still works :>)

You never did answer the question if anyone actually operates SS Morse?

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net...
> W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
> > If you have any doubts about my qualifications, you need only ask ...
>

> If anyone has doubts about my qualifications wrt CW, they can meet me on
40
> M and judge for themselves. That will be a better indicator than the code
> speed of the test I took in 1976.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

```
From 2430231415887521436
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:14:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newspeer1.nac.net!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-
mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com>
<02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com>
<3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142>
<3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com>
<h7316tcuqnklfilutpfjg998vmc324ek7u@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <GCK86.833$e5.2152478@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:12:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979596838 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:13:58 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:13:58 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12760
```

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> >If a college class uses the same test questions year
> >after year, is that the fault of the study guide publisher?

> If a college (or the Bash era FCC) does not combat cheating by
> changing the tests frequently, does that make the person who uses the
> illicit material any less of a moral cheater?? Is it OK to steal
> physical property just because someone is careless with it?

Do you believe that it should be illegal for people to talk about the
content of the tests after they have taken them? Do you believe it should
be illegal to print information about the content of the tests after they
have been taken?

Do explain how the Bill of Rights fits in with your answer.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8422504433438836040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:18:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A62D8B6.8D392808@dvol.com> <20010115072439.09203.00001186@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3A632CAA.EAAD8B81@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <FFK86.834$e5.2152704@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:15:16 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979597029 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:17:09 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:17:09 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12761

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

> Speaking of lab tests: When are you gonna put the FT-817 thru it's paces?
If that
> little puppy proves to be something more than a backpacker's toy it should
have a
> significant market.

Don't know when it will be published, but it is on the fast track, I am
sure. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5361182313318212294
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:26:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <O9n66.630$e5.1544641@news.ntplx.net>
<20010113111859.02315.00001730@nso-fi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 51
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <GNK86.835$e5.2153714@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:23:49 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979597542 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:25:42 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:25:42 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12763

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> I became a ham after years as an electronics hobbyist, and passed my
> written tests largely on the basis of knowledge I had acquired over
> that time -- through self-study from a variety of sources, and
> hands-on experience in project building.

FB. Let's save that for later...

> I didn't get my ham ticket for the singular purpose of being able to
empower myself
> by projecting my voice over long distances at the press of a button.

From what I have read of your posts, you think everyone else gets their
licenses only so they can project their vioces over long distances at the
press of a button.

> Moreover, having had that capability for my entire career ham career, I've
always sought,
> and utilized, more challenging modes.

But no one else does?

> I consider myself to be well-qualified to hold the highest, most
> distinctive class of license.

I believe that you are well qualified, and more. But you didn't get all of that qualification solely as a result of studying for your tests, it comes from years of being a ham and:

> I became a ham after years as an electronics hobbyist, and passed my
> written tests largely on the basis of knowledge I had acquired over
> that time -- through self-study from a variety of sources, and
> hands-on experience in project building.

> I've had nothing handed over to me on a silver platter;

Sure you did, at least as far a licensing is concerned, you took a test that was much more simple than the level of knowledge you possess right now.

> becoming a ham meant enough to me to learn the practical communications
> skill which is Morse/CW.

You are correct; being a ham means enough to people that they will learn. That is why they want to be hams.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3600201622385409586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:26:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 15 Jan 2001 22:25:43 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <93vtd7$q0e$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <IzK86.832$e5.2152240@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979597543 26638 149.174.88.251 (15 Jan 2001 22:25:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 22:25:43 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12762

>Does that explain why they invested the hundreds of staff hours
>that restructuring took and have stepped up amateur enforcement?

Yes, fully. Personally, I truly believe it was timed with
"Amateur" restructuring to move hams down from VHF to HF,
and geared to show newbies to the HF bands that HF was a
hospitable place to live. That's my opinion, and I'll stay by it.
Knowing the history of the deregulation issue, I believe it's a
fully logical conclusion.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 4945558283931838777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a60d1d4.5580338@news.mindspring.com>
<20010114221037.29597.00001793@nso-mi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <_PK86.836$e5.2154018@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:26:17 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979597690 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:28:10 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:28:10 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12764

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> How about the reason that testing for code knowledge, at
> progressively higher speeds, and for progressively increasing
> HF privileges, causes radio amateurs to attain a practical
> communications skill?

Would you advocate that the rules be written to require all amateurs to
possess the skills *and* equipment to operate any particular mode or lose
their license? That would be a better way of ensuring that they met that
goal.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2528674250829218353
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:32:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <%RK86.837$e5.2154344@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:28:27 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979597819 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:30:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:30:19 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12765

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> > I have no basis on which to even speculate as to the percentage. Do
you?

> Nope, Jim and I are not arguing percentages. All it takes is one jammer
> using Morse code equipment and I win the argument.

And Vince said that if even one person memorized the answers to the question
pools, it wasn't a test...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4164286912403879852
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:34:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!

newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114174200.05250.00001610@ng-ff1.aol.com> <93vda1$8p0$0@208.207.71.134>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <UUK86.838$e5.2154591@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:31:31 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979598004 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:33:24 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:33:24 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12766

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > Why would testing of the encoding method used in Morse code be necessary
before
> > granting CW access in the CW portion of the band. Are you afraid hordes
of
> > people will learn Morse code wrong and try to get on the air? Those
that learn
> > the code will use the mode, just as badly as I did at first and will
improve
> > with time if they stick to it.

> Sot hen you've changed your mind about totally incompetent attempts at
> sending Morse code on the air constituting poor Amateur practice?

I do not believe that the people who were sending code poorly were new
operators, Dick.

> > Would you advocate that the VEs determine that a ham has working RTTY
equipment
> > before he or she is permitted to use RTTY on the digital portions of the
bands?

> That remark is inconsistant with reality and you know it.

Yes, I do know it. But it is exactly analogous to the belief that an
applicant must demonstrate proficiency in Morse code before being allowed to
try the mode on HF. People would learn the mode, or write some really cool
software, before trying it, would they not?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -5888756016207513400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:36:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
<93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <tWK86.839$e5.2154813@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:33:13 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979598105 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:35:05 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:35:05 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12767
```

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> And many have gone on to other more productive "freeband" activities where
> they
> find conditions and 'services' better than 27 mhz and they don't have to
> put up with dodging ham radio regulations and renewed enforcement.

The nerve of them, actually following the rules!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -4337595375812027365
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 14:42:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <93vsmj$1hn$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Lines: 5
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <GZK86.162$Ks.160363@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 16:39:43 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.232.68.36
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 979598310 207.232.68.36 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:38:30 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:38:30 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12768
```

Not that it makes a lot of difference but one Gerald G. Schmitt, KK5YY of Los Alamos seems to have gotten his Extra 30 May presumably as a Welfare Extra. Pot, Kettle, Black?

```
From -2821854640611827423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 15:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 23:07:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <93vvrj$af3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net> <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
<93vn8i$2cq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93vpni$4l8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.239
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 23:07:38 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.239
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10561
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12769
```

In article <93vpni$4l8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:

> In article <93vn8i$2cq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   firebottle@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
> >   "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > Hopefully KC8JBO will be getting a notice for his on air behavior.
> >
> > Strange, I didn't hear KC8JBO, just a bunch of identifieds. Riley
> can't
> > do much with the unidentifieds.
> >
> > He needs to be off the air like WB2OTK and some of the others.
> >
> > What others?? The people who were thought to be the " Biggest "
> > troublemakers are gone, examples: KV4FZ, KT4TR, W4FQF, W4LLI, K4OKA,
> > K4VVV (Little Richard drunken disc jockey), gone from 14.313, but
> the
> > qrm'ing continues. Rich WB2OTK wasn't/isn't the problem. The problem
> is
> > the inability of the FCC (and, this isn't Riley's problem) to fund
> > people to track them down.
> >
> > It's doubtful if any " official notices will come out of this. Most
of
> > the rhetoric has been from NG's and newbies.
> >
> > .
> >
> > > "Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...
> > > > Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful. Time for more
> > official
> > > > notice
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> Exactly what I, and others have said for years. Its the 3rd element,
> not the 'major players'. Remember when NR5T, Douglas, was blammed for
> all sorts of crap? He goes away, and still got the crap. Duuuuuuu!
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Or how Polly Parrot FQF, swore I QRMed for years when all I had was my
Kenwood R-5000 RECEIVER.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com

```
http://www.deja.com/


From -4274241581616459863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 15:22:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 23:08:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <93vvu3$afo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net> <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
<93vog4$3gi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93vps0$4vn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.239
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 23:08:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.239
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10563
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12770

In article <93vps0$4vn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <93vog4$3gi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
> >   "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > Hopefully KC8JBO will be getting a notice for his on air behavior.
> He
> > needs
> > > to be off the air like WB2OTK and some of the others.
> >
> > Hopefully, "DX405" will get a better job so he can afford something
> > other than CHEAP-ASS RADIO SHACK RADIOS.
> > But cheap is as cheap does......
> >
> > > "Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...
> > > > Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful.  Time for more
> > official
> > > > notices?
> > >
> > >
> >
> > --
> > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > Yaesu FT-90R
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> He didn't even pay for it....ask him about it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
You mean he did a "Monica" for *that*???????? Damn....
ROFLMAO!!!!!!!!!!

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 2848344310454335952
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 15:30:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 18:26:21 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <940124$4lr$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com> <93pmaj$qrf$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <IzK86.832$e5.2152240@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
```

```
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979601284 4795 166.102.250.162 (15 Jan 2001 23:28:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jan 2001 23:28:04 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12771

No explanations are necessary for statements one extracts from the nether
regions of their anatomy.

"I believe it, ergo it is fact" is the operative phrase here.

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:IzK86.832$e5.2152240@news.ntplx.net...
> Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:
>
> > The FCC hasn't wanted any part of ham radio since at
> > least the early 80s, remember?
>
> Does that explain why they invested the hundreds of staff hours that
> restructuring took and have stepped up amateur enforcement?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
```

```
From 5448959441132223277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 15:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 23:29:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <940156$bia$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 23:29:53 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.58
```

```
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12772

In article <rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net>,
  "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
> > If you have any doubts about my qualifications, you need only ask
...
>
> If anyone has doubts about my qualifications wrt CW, they can meet me
on 40
> M and judge for themselves.  That will be a better indicator than the
code
> speed of the test I took in 1976.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

But Ed, you didn't say that you were better than everyone else.  And you
didn't attend some midnight exam session...


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 9023281520756643086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114ca8,e90b311089a18dc5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114ca8,public
X-Google-Thread: 106284,e90b311089a18dc5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid106284,public
X-Google-Thread: 105207,e90b311089a18dc5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid105207,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58349ac3dbc5d81f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 15:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!
newsfeed.concentric.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: libertarian1@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,alt.fan.art-
bell,alt.politics.libertarian
Subject: Re: Left wingers getting ready
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 23:29:24 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <940149$bhr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93t2q0$619$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.5.82.138
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 23:29:24 2001 GMT
```

X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.5.82.138
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDlibertarian1
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12773 alt.radio.pirate:3069
alt.fan.art-bell:13788 alt.politics.libertarian:40311

In article <93t2q0$619$1@iac5.navix.net>,
"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> Some of the left wing nonsense, this from Ramsey Clark former Attorney
> General (D)
> complete with neutral wording such as "Governor death"... January 20th
> should be fun. A search of the internet shows all the cock roaches are
> coming out of the woodwork.
>

It's not just a leftwing issue. Libertarians are opposed to the censorious policies of BOTH Republicans and Democrats locking Third Parties out.....

The whole Left-Right congame is over!!!!!!!!!

http://maxpages.com/statistcongame

L1

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -945290171042645512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 15:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 23:35:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9401gp$bp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 23:35:53 2001 GMT

```
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12774

In article <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net>,
  "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> >> When I took my General before the FCC, most of the time, I knew
which
> >> questions  I guessed at.
>
> > Thats pretty fantastic memorization, most of the time.
>
> Why would you consider it fantastic memorization that to this day, I
still
> remember that I didn't have a clue about the question about the
impedance of
> open wire line, or that I didn't know what a parasitic oscillation
was, but
> knew it had some effect on interference, so I guess right.  A memory
that
> can't hold onto such generalizations is a pretty poor one.
>
> > If your memory is so good remembering the ones you guessed at, why
didn't
> you
> > remember the exact answer as given in the Q pool?
>
> There was no question pool in those days.
>
> > How many times did you have to take the exams?
>
> Once. Other than blowing a few questions, it was pretty easy for me.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Have you stopped learning?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -9140229329275701234
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:02:07 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!news.gtei.net.MISMATCH!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 23:47:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <94025l$cgt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net> <93v4u6$h2d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 15 23:47:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10574 rec.radio.amateur.policy:12775

In article <93v4u6$h2d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dr. Conrad Connie <coontail@my-deja.com> wrote:

> In article <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net>,
> kking@no-mail.com (Kerry King) wrote:
>
> > Go to Newsmax.com, look at headline article . . .
> >
> > New Count Shows Bush May Have Won Popular Vote
> > NewsMax.com
> > Wednesday, December 20, 2000
> >
> > Despite the widely accepted claim that Al Gore won the popular
> > vote by some 300,000 votes, a new count of state totals
> > appears to show George Bush was the real winner by nearly 2
> > million votes.
>
> > These figures were reportedly obtained from the Office of the
> > Secretary of State from each state but {haven't been confirmed.}
> > Where are Al Gore's cheer leaders known as the news media??
>
> No they haven't been confirmed and in fact if they have, why hasn't
> this total appeared on the ABC News web page ?
>
> Hahahaha.. this is so typical of the right wing Limbrain cabal.

Dr. Commie, are you completely nuts?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5081207520494476127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:02:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net> <rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <93vsmj$1hn$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <BbM86.845$e5.2162093@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 18:59:39 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979603297 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:01:37 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:01:37 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12776

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> You never did answer the question if anyone actually operates SS Morse?

Not to my knowledge, Fred. While one could do FH or DSSS with Morse encoding, on-off-keying would be a pretty bad choice for SS. One could use one of the FSK or PSK modes with Morse encoding, but it would not be optimum, either.

Of course, not all amateur radio needs to be optimum to be fun. Perhaps a simple BPSK signal, with Morse encoding, could be frequency hopped successfully.

The big bummer here for me is that I have far more dreams that time; I think that RFI and related spectrum issues for ARRL will probably represent the majority of my accomplishments for a while. Of course, I do have this Hare-brained idea for a 2X QRP EME QSO. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -791537568034184627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:04:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A638F99.17D9E71C@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
References: <3A62D8B6.8D392808@dvol.com> <20010115072439.09203.00001186@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3A632CAA.EAAD8B81@dvol.com> <FFK86.834$e5.2152704@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:02:33 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.42
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979603340 206.20.144.42 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 18:02:20
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 18:02:20 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12777


"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>
> . . . When are you gonna put the FT-817 thru it's paces? . .
>
> Don't know when it will be published, but it is on the fast track, I am
> sure. :-)

>
Just DO it, it's your lab right? Oh, never mind . . !
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv




From -1948042849084768217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:04:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
```

From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <93vsmj$1hn$1@iac5.navix.net>
<GZK86.162$Ks.160363@nntp1.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <GdM86.846$e5.2162283@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:01:53 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979603430 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:03:50 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:03:50 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12778

Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

> Not that it makes a lot of difference but one Gerald G. Schmitt, KK5YY of
> Los Alamos seems to have gotten his Extra 30 May presumably as a Welfare
> Extra. Pot, Kettle, Black?

Why would you equate passing an upgrade with name calling, Richard? Whether upgraded on April 14 or April 16, those who upgrade have done no more wrong than to do what the law requires to get a particular type of license.

If someone wants to upgrade after April 15, what tests are they going to be given an opportunity to take?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4925451056021589158
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:08:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <940156$bia$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <fgM86.847$e5.2162528@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:04:38 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979603595 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:06:35 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:06:35 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12779

Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> But Ed, you didn't say that you were better than everyone else. And you
> didn't attend some midnight exam session...

Well, I am not better than everyone else. I may be better than some, in
some things, and I suppose that if any of were really given the authority to
tally up the score, I might even be better than some overall, but others
would blow me away. I much prefer that I not be judged at all; just let me
be a ham who operates as required by Part 97.

I did attend a midnight session of the Royal Order of the Wouff Hong. Does
that count for anything?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6784263462656216341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:12:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <T_N76.773$e5.1917813@news.ntplx.net>
<20010113011930.10105.00000262@nso-bh.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <akM86.848$e5.2162878@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:08:49 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979603846 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:10:46 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:10:46 EST

Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12780

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> Do you REALLY think that a full explanation of the program
> along with flow charts would be "acceptible" to a member-
> ship that prizes manual code skill over nearly anything else
> in amateur radio?!? I don't think so based on input from
> others concerning their expertise and "necessity" of manual
> code skill...'others' include those not in this newsgroup.

Although I am sure that some of our members prize manual code speed over nearly anything else, but let's take a little poll right here. Of those that are ARRL members, how many of you would like to see an article that describes how to use software to reliably read Morse code in the presence of noise and poor timing?

Count me as vote #1 for yes. I pony up my dues every few years.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6232684644985438887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:20:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <sIM66.653$e5.1665591@news.ntplx.net>
<20010113111900.02315.00001732@nso-fi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <frM86.849$e5.2163286@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:16:21 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979604299 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:18:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:18:19 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12781

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> If growth isn't one of "our major problems," what do you think they
> are? And how will a zero-WPM, one-class license fix them?

First, let me be clear that I do not believe we should have a one-class license system. Though I believe that not grandfathering existing licencees when incentive licensing was put into effect was a mistake, I think that the premise of incentive licensing is appropriate.

The biggest problem I think we face, Larry, is one of spectrum. That will be solved as much by useage of the bands as it will anything else. Let me turn the question around and ask you how having a multi-WPM test series will solve that problem?

Another problem is that we are not seen as being relevant by many in industry and at the FCC. How will having a multi-WPM test series solve that problem? IMHO, this is the most important reason to replace the Morse code tests with tests relating to newer technology.

When the no-code VHF license was introduced, there was a sudden influx of newcomers for which there simply was not sufficient Elmering. How will having a multi-speed code test series solve that problem.

I see the petty bickering that is taking place here and elsewhere and the sour grapes and/or gloating as being harmful to the amateur radio service. How wil having a multi-speed code test series solve that problem.

What problems do you think are facing the Amateur Radio Service and how do you think having a multi-speed code-test series will solve them?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1747790288939027617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:22:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 00:06:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <94039d$d9j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <a3kl5tgns6dev6f2pfu39rceac63019r98@4ax.com>
<93f9uu$sdf$0@208.207.71.150> <93hfaa$fb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93i8tc$57t$0@208.207.71.171> <93iogo$m2t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93j6mr$jfg$0@208.207.71.163> <93lg7a$2vv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
<93lou2$c9a$1@208.206.142.98> <93mvvh$8ju$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<6WG76.740$e5.1890767@news.ntplx.net> <93o0en$7p2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<AsN76.766$e5.1915216@news.ntplx.net> <93qvm7$llk$0@208.207.71.147>
<93s94v$apl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93vaso$2on$0@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 00:06:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12782

In article <93vaso$2on$0@208.207.71.134>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > In article <93qvm7$llk$0@208.207.71.147>,
> >   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> > I'd wager that fellow had some sort of
> > > extreme physical impairment. That may well have been the *only*
means
> > he
> > > had of communicating at all. It happens. I've read of
quadruplegics
> > who
> > > use a "puffer" switch to key their rigs by blowing air through a
> > straw.
> > >  But BrianBurkeTurkey would have Riley cite those guys for "poor
> > amateur
> > > practice". Shows where he's coming from. As far as I'm cncerned he
can
> > > go back.
> >
> > Dick, you are the admitted CBer.
> >
> > I said that people who have the skill to send correctly should do
so.
> > What you said above makes you a liar, but then, we know where you're
> > coming from.
>
>  What you said is there for all the world to read, and it isn't what
you
> say you said.

Of course it is, CB Dick.  Follow the thread up and you'll see my
position again and again.  Its there for all the rest of the world to
read.  But no, you won't do that. You're better than the rest of us.

 "Admitted CBer"? Nope. Clearly Stated Legal User of CB
for
> mobile RV use,
```

So you admit it yet again? Didn't you post a CB call sign once?

> prior to FRS which works *much* better.

Which works better? Your ability to make a clear statement is
underwhelming.

> But you already know all that.

I only know what you tell us. It may not be the truth but its all I
have to go on.

> You just like to stir in the outhouse. As always. You and
> Cecil deserve each other.

We sure don't deserve you.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7185354216608861298
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!xfer10.netnews.com!
netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 00:10:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9403gt$dkl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93tmj2$ir6$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073514.09203.00001190@ng-cr1.aol.com> <93v20q$ebk$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93vo9n$r0e$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 00:10:09 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12783

In article <93vo9n$r0e$1@iac5.navix.net>,
  "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> Ed's VFO is in-op that high in the band, you will have to explain what
goes
> on, on 14.313 before Ed can answer.

Excuse me? I think Ed is more than capable of speaking for hisself.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8087799423598270236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:24:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <IzK86.832$e5.2152240@news.ntplx.net> <93vtd7$q0e$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <kvM86.850$e5.2163583@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:20:42 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979604560 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:22:40 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:22:40 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12784

Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

> Yes, fully. Personally, I truly believe it was timed with
> "Amateur" restructuring to move hams down from VHF to HF,
> and geared to show newbies to the HF bands that HF was a
> hospitable place to live. That's my opinion, and I'll stay by it.
> Knowing the history of the deregulation issue, I believe it's a
> fully logical conclusion.

In this logical conclusion, are there now fewer VHF-only licencees than there were before the FCC took the sneaky back door approach you believe they did? If so, cite the source. If not, explain why it backfired.

Why do you think that the FCC didn't simply reallocate spectrum if they felt that they didn't want us there? The real action is above 1.2 GHz (higher frequencies generally mean that spectrum can be resused every few miles, so it is quite useful nowadays to be high up). Do you believe that restructuring is going to have a significant impact on amateur occupation above 1.2 GHz? What do you think that effect will be?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1396227859900719153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:28:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 18:17:10 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A639306.C2E63C4B@IEEE.org>
References: <93u7qf$u7v$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<10396-3A62FF8D-3@storefull-118.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93veu0$kau$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 00:26:38 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12785

Dean Craft wrote:
> I congratulate the no-coders and wannabees because they have done an
> outstanding job on the segregation routine.

That's because they want to be accepted into ham radio and obsolete
hams thinking obsolete thoughts won't accept them. The thing that
defines a ham is a ham license. If you don't believe it, ask the
FCC. I have all amateur privileges. It matters not a whit that my
palsy and arthritis prohibits me from sending 20wpm anymore.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 278355542990665323
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!

```
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!newspeer1.nac.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 00:23:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9404aa$e70$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93tmj2$ir6$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073514.09203.00001190@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <93vo6m$qvf$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 00:23:46 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12786

In article <93vo6m$qvf$1@iac5.navix.net>,
  "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Enjoy what ever privileges they may have and knock off the nonsense,
until
> then I shall enjoy demonstrating the absurd with absurdity.
>
> 73 Fred W4JLE

OK Fred, enough of the self-demonstrations.

-We'll- knock of the "nonsense."


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -1986536235975938968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:34:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93tmj2$ir6$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073514.09203.00001190@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <93v20q$ebk$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93vo9n$r0e$1@iac5.navix.net>
<9403gt$dkl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <wEM86.852$e5.2164833@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:30:30 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979605148 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:32:28 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:32:28 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12787

Sure, Ed will speak for himself. I first wandered across 14.313 about 5
years ago, out of curiosity. It was bad enough that I didn't go back for
years. A few months ago, I listened to 14.313 and heard -- a maritime net.
Glad it was better, but I haven't listened since.

Is there a specific reason I should? I don't operate much SSB. My Omni D
does tune 14.313. Once in a while, I go all the way up to the county hunters
net on 14.336. More often find me on 14.060 (QRP) or 14.0565 (the CW county
hunters hangout).

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2262891269818396826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:36:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 18:25:56 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3A639514.C637DA53@IEEE.org>
References: <93toh7$9kp$0@208.207.71.158>
<18645-3A62729D-189@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93u7qf$u7v$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <3A63175A.B392924C@IEEE.org>
<93veu1$kau$2@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 00:35:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12788

Dean Craft wrote:
> Until the advent of political correctness, anyone wishing to participate in most elements of society would do so under guidelines adopted for, and applied to, all candidates. Those guidelines were directed toward having all participation started on common ground.
>
> It is now unacceptable in most of society to require anything of anyone if they wish to participate in whatever may be popular at any given time. Our society is being driven to the lowest common denominator called existence. We are; therefore we are deserving.
>
> There is no longer a place for pride in individualism; no longer a place for pride in personal achievement; individual accomplishments can no longer be rewarded without condescending review or outright hostility.
>
> All simply because someone may be excluded from rewards enjoyed by someone else.
>
> You get the final word Cecil.

Thanks Dean, for the off topic posting. Nothing you said has anything to do with the code requirement. It is not political correctness, it is progress. The old guidelines are obsolete. Common ground cannot last forever. If it did, we would all have to ride a horse before taking a driver's exam. Equal access to public property is guaranteed by The Constitution. Pride is not a requirement. Outmoded rituals are not a requirement. The simple fact is that the Morse code requirement is obsolete in the 21st century. The rest of the services realize it and it is an albatross around the neck of the Amateur Radio Service.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

If registering guns will prevent crime,
will registering penises prevent rape?

From -3168654327299479812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:37:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!216.218.236.179.MISMATCH!news!news.he.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a5fda74.5258316@news.mindspring.com> <93pjpl$5k4$4@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <7JM86.854$e5.2165172@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:35:25 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979605443 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:37:23 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:37:23 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12789

Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

> >Just how many ways can you ask what the unit
> >of electrical resistance is?

> First, that's not a technical question, which is the
> subject under discussion.

It is a rules question? Or an operating question?

> Second, I'd wager you never actually saw that question
> asked on any actual exam you ever took. I never saw that
> question on even my Novice exam.

It was definately prominently featured in the study guide for the Novice exam I took. I really don't remember much about the Novice exam, but I am sure it appeared on at least one version of the Novice test.

I was absolutely astounded at how easy those 4 pages of study guide for the 1963 Novice exam really were. All of the questions I thought I remembered about feed lines and antennas and propagation weren't there at all. Frequencies, power levels, a few rules and Ohm's law just about covered it.

> it's time for hams taking those exams to start complaining
> about dumbing down.

I scanned the 4 pages; I think I have them at home. I will have to post them, if I remember to email them here. Maybe we should make the entry-level exams hard again so that new hams have to study 4 pages to get them.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
> Regards,
> Vince, WB2EZG

From -5809810350244271219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:43:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!216.218.236.179.MISMATCH!news!news.he.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 00:41:55 GMT
References: <3A628396.95A7B801@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115194155.29597.00001932@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12790

In article <3A628396.95A7B801@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> Cecil wrote:
>> >
>> > Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > > To attempt to work code without any training and practical
>> > > experience is akin to attempting to fly an airplane without any prior
>> > > flight training.
>> >
>> > Please explain how working CW is a life and death proposition akin
>> > to flying an airplane.
>>
>> There you go again, making phantom linkages. No such assertation was
>> made. The comparison stands.
>
>You are the one who made the phantom linkage. Working CW is NOT
>akin to flying an airplane.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

Cecil:

What if a situation arose where people's lives depended on
communicating with the outside world, and the only mode that
would work was some form of Morse/CW? Ever hear of a
fractured larynx? What if the only HF transceiver available
had a choice between SSB or CW, and there was only a single
gel cell battery to power it? How long could it transmit on QRP
CW as opposed to SSB at 100 watts?

The problem with the Morse/CW mode is that in order to
effectively exploit its practical advantages, one needs to know
the Morse code! Can you at least agree to that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 8392230114443463860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:44:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!216.218.236.179.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 00:41:57 GMT
References: <20010115073041.09203.00001188@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115194157.29597.00001935@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12791
```

In article <20010115073041.09203.00001188@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
\>>Plugging a microphone into an HF rig, pushing the button and
\>>talking is "instant" gratification, therefore, the strong push to
\>>eliminate remaining code testing requirements.
>
\>Many of those seeking to end Morse-code testing requirements already have
\>that
>"instant" gratification available to them.

Ed:

Yes, on 2-meters, perhaps a 200 kHz segment on 10-meters if they found a way to survive the brutal 5 WPM code test already.

>
\>>Of course, this is obvious to everyone but you.
>
\>What is obvious about Bill Sohl's desire to end Morse code testing? He can
\>already yak on any amateur frequency.

Obviously, he wants to be a big man among the slouchers in his club. Being supportive of continued code testing is a highly unpopular political position; it requires anyone who holds it to take a lot of anger from the slouchers -- something I've lived with for years. Bill obviously doesn't "need" that kind of grief. I don't either, but I get it anyway, because I am not afraid to express my opinions clearly and with conviction. Bill goes with the flow, and gets to enjoy the good will of his fellow hams. I go against the grain, and get hatred and regular back-stabbings. I can very well understand why a person who desired a normal social life among his fellow amateurs would take Bill's position. After all, being "politically correct" is a high priority among hams these days.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6205654802572130566
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 00:41:58 GMT
References: <20010115073726.09203.00001191@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115194158.29597.00001936@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12795

In article <20010115073726.09203.00001191@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>If growth isn't one of "our major problems," what do you think they
>>are? And how will a zero-WPM, one-class license fix them?
>
>I will answer the first question later in the week when I can type out a long
>answer.

Ed:

I'll look forward to reading it. Please send me a copy via E-mail, since I don't usually bother reading the newsgripe during the work week, since I'm usually never home before 7 PM and usually have to be out of bed again by 4 AM.

>I cannot answer the second because I do not believe that we should have a
>one-class license system.

Please consider that what you believe we should have, and what we end up with, are likely to be two different things. I can easily see all ham radio privileges given away with a single multiple-choice "written" test. It would be the ARRL's last desperate attempt to entice people to join up, considering the abject failure of Restructuring so far.

>I also do not believe that our licensing system
>should contain no Morse code testing. I believe that Morse code proficiency
>should be tested the same way we test for proficiency in other modes.

I see. So asking multiple-guess questions *about* Morse code operation is now the equivalent of actually testing people on the skill of sending/receiving Morse code texts? That is nothing but more dumbing down; more pandering to the lowest common denominator. You forgot to tell us how this is going to lead to high-speed, high-throughput digital communications magic being performed on the HF airwaves -- or how it is going to drag thousands of young people away from their Web browsers, DVD players, and Sony Playstations! Oh well, give it a try, anyway -- I don't think anything like the opinions of current, pre-April 15, 2000 code tested hams is going to stop you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1134969195958637071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 00:41:55 GMT
References: <3a627a30.14349239@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115194155.29597.00001931@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12792

In article <3a627a30.14349239@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>
>That would be a valid reason IF there was a government
>need for amateurs to attain that skill. You can argue that
>as you choose, but I fully believe that you'd never convince

>the administrations of the world that the skill is needed
>today.

Bill:

No, I guess I couldn't, because the slouching whiners are very effectively drowning me out. At least I can grasp reality.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -1832197247230035532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 00:41:56 GMT
References: <3A628A3C.56FFED75@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115194156.29597.00001933@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12794
```

In article <3A628A3C.56FFED75@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> How about the reason that testing for code knowledge, at
>> progressively higher speeds, and for progressively increasing
>> HF privileges, causes radio amateurs to attain a practical
>> communications skill?
>
>If it's not fun, it's not practical, Larry. Everybody does not
>share your likes and dislikes. What is wrong with live and let
>live?

Cecil:

Don't look now, but a person can live a perfectly normal, healthy, and happy life without ever becoming an amateur radio operator. I am the only licensed ham in my immediate family, and I assure you, my parents, brothers, sisters, girlfriend, nieces, nephews, and scores of friends are all very happy, indeed, without a ham license in their possession. You really need to come up with a better argument than that!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5843465352223176O2
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 00:41:56 GMT
References: <3A628B53.27D6BE86@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010115194156.29597.00001934@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12793

In article <3A628B53.27D6BE86@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> No, I'm saying that I respond to incentives do do things, like everyone
>> else.
>
>Fun is one of the best incentives I know.

Cecil:

And the fun of Morse/CW operation is exactly what kept me going,
after I overcame my zenophobia and put in a good effort to learn
the code. I now regret the 14 years of fun I missed while I spent
that time loudly objecting to code testing requirements.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5289475983044550725
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:45:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A62D8B6.8D392808@dvol.com> <20010115072439.09203.00001186@ng-

```
cr1.aol.com> <3A632CAA.EAAD8B81@dvol.com> <FFK86.834$e5.2152704@news.ntplx.net>
<3A638F99.17D9E71C@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <aQM86.855$e5.2165535@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:42:54 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979605894 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:44:54 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:44:54 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12796
```

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

> Just DO it, it's your lab right? Oh, never mind . . !

The Lab testing is under my control and I try to make sure that equipment is tested within a few days of its arrival. Usually, a rig like that will spend about 5-8 days in the screen room, being tested thoroughly from DC to daylight.

Sometimes, a key accessory, like a filter, may be on back order, so that can delay the process. From there, the radios go to a reviewer, or, more likely, several reviewers. That is the part that is NOT under my control, even if the reviewer happens to be a Lab guy. The reviewers are contract authors, paid the standard page rates. (Policy is that staff, officers or Technical Advisors can do reviews.)

The test results, btw, are summarized in the test-result table in the review, and for most rigs into an expanded test-result report. I put a sample up at http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/k2.pdf, if you want a quick link. (This is on the public site.)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -4240217215015791120
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!newspeer1.nac.net!netnews.com!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 00:39:21 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <94057p$f31$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93tugc$ntj$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073413.09203.00001189@ng-cr1.aol.com> <93vn1g$q6e$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 00:39:21 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12797

In article <93vn1g$q6e$1@iac5.navix.net>,
"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Why the construction requirement?

> Back when my eyes were good and my hands were steady the smallest
active
> element I had to deal with was a 6AQ5, now I can barely see a surface
> mounted component, let alone solder the dam thing.

Fred, oops. You were supposed to be better than everyone else.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7033833315145246390
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net> <rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <93vsmj$1hn$1@iac5.navix.net> <GZK86.162$Ks.160363@nntp1.onemain.com> <GdM86.846$e5.2162283@news.ntplx.net>
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <IUM86.106$H3.16166@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 18:51:45 -0600

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.159.239
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 979606184 208.154.159.239 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:49:44
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:49:44 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12798

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:GdM86.846$e5.2162283@news.ntplx.net...
> Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
> > Not that it makes a lot of difference but one Gerald G. Schmitt, KK5YY
of
> > Los Alamos seems to have gotten his Extra 30 May presumably as a
Welfare
> > Extra. Pot, Kettle, Black?
>
> Why would you equate passing an upgrade with name calling, Richard?
Whether
> upgraded on April 14 or April 16, those who upgrade have done no more
wrong
> than to do what the law requires to get a particular type of license.
>
> If someone wants to upgrade after April 15, what tests are they going to
be
> given an opportunity to take?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Not an argument in the world Fearless Leader. Which is precisely the
point: who cares? When it becomes an issue to 'out' someone, I get
curious.
>
>

From 6594319200799868403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:56:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 18:45:00 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises

Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A63998C.E4EDB9D8@IEEE.org>
References: <20010115073041.09203.00001188@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<20010115194157.29597.00001935@nso-mi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 00:53:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12799

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Bill obviously doesn't "need" that kind of grief. I don't
> either, but I get it anyway, because I am not afraid to express my
> opinions clearly and with conviction.

Larry, are you a split personality? Last thing you said about the
subject is that the 5wpm requirement might as well be done away
with. That's what Bill is advocating. Both of you guys agree that
there's no longer a good reason to have a 5wpm requirement.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 4785962178057632288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 16:58:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 18:48:08 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A639A48.9397BB9A@IEEE.org>
References: <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147> <20010114005243.03673.00000675@nso-ck.aol.com> <YkK86.830$e5.2151089@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 00:56:40 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12800

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> I think the biggest issue here is that some think of SS as a 'digital' mode.
> The most common application for SS is for voice communications.

Yep, it's digital voice. Just shows how outdated our nomenclature is.
Seems the sub-band divisions should maybe be classified narrow band
and broad band.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -9126061706356925067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:00:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 00:58:24 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9406bg$jq1$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <kvM86.850$e5.2163583@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979606704 20289 149.174.88.251 (16 Jan 2001 00:58:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 00:58:24 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12801

>In this logical conclusion, are there now fewer VHF-only
>licencees than there were before the FCC took the sneaky back
>door approach you believe they did? If so, cite the source. If
>not, explain why it backfired.

Where does logic say the number of VHF licensees at this second directly relate to FCC's initial move to restructure and deregulate? Where are the comments that say the FCC "took a sneaky back door" approach? As John Madden would say, FCC's actions were "smash mouth, in your face football." Go back in Dejanews as far as you want: my comments have always been that deregulation was a done deal from the start. I don't know what you think you're doing, son, but it ain't getting done.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -5595493445675686965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:03:05 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9406k9$jq1$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <7JM86.854$e5.2165172@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979606985 20289 149.174.88.251 (16 Jan 2001 01:03:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:03:05 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12802

VB> First, that's not a technical question, which is the
VB> subject under discussion.

>It is a rules question? Or an operating question?

Neither. I just told you: that would be asking for a definition.

VB> Second, I'd wager you never actually saw that question
VB> asked on any actual exam you ever took. I never saw that
VB> question on even my Novice exam.

>It was definately prominently featured in the study guide for
>the Novice exam I took.

Either way, NOT the actual exam.

>I really don't remember much about the Novice exam, but I am
>sure it appeared on at least one version of the Novice test.

I'm sure it didn't. Through wasting my, and your time yet, Ed?

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 3497936635390339877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!

```
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 18:59:08 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A639CDC.AC34CD30@IEEE.org>
References: <3A628A3C.56FFED75@IEEE.org> <20010115194156.29597.00001933@nso-
mi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:08:35 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12803

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >If it's not fun, it's not practical, Larry.
>
> Don't look now, but a person can live a perfectly normal, healthy,
> and happy life without ever becoming an amateur radio operator.
> I am the only licensed ham in my immediate family, and I assure
> you, my parents, brothers, sisters, girlfriend, nieces, nephews,
> and scores of friends are all very happy, indeed, without a ham
> license in their possession.  You really need to come up with
> a better argument than that!

You seemed to have missed the point entirely, Larry. I was talking
about CW. In the present amateur radio environment, if it's not
fun, it's not practical. There is no other incentive for a ham
to know Morse code anymore except for the fun involved. If you
want to recruit new hams to Morse code, I would suggest you stop
telling everyone what a pain in the arse it was for you.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 8151097109115028735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 00:58:21 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
```

```
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9406b9$g40$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93svjg$rb3$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115091954.00714.00001896@nso-
md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 00:58:21 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12804

In article <20010115091954.00714.00001896@nso-md.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <93svjg$rb3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
>
> >
> >Then there was the SoCal survey of the Marine Corps asking if they
would
> >fire on American citizens if ordered to do so.
>
> Brian:
>
> What was the result of that survey?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Don't know.  The only thing reported was that the question got asked. I
think I heard it on the Ollie North show out of a Rolla MO fm station.

In Ohio, we know what that answer is in relation to our National Guard.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 1926370662864484299
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:00:40 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A639D38.B74B12ED@IEEE.org>
```

References: <3a60d1d4.5580338@news.mindspring.com>
<20010114221037.29597.00001793@nso-mi.aol.com>
<_PK86.836$e5.2154018@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:10:05 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12805

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
>
> > How about the reason that testing for code knowledge, at
> > progressively higher speeds, and for progressively increasing
> > HF privileges, causes radio amateurs to attain a practical
> > communications skill?
>
> Would you advocate that the rules be written to require all amateurs to
> possess the skills *and* equipment to operate any particular mode or lose
> their license? That would be a better way of ensuring that they met that
> goal.

There's absolutely no reason to require the skill if the equipment is
not also required. How can one use CW for emergencies without any
equipment?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 534932659627482766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:18:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:06:39 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <%RK86.837$e5.2154344@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:15:21 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12806

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > Nope, Jim and I are not arguing percentages. All it takes is one jammer
> > using Morse code equipment and I win the argument.
>
> And Vince said that if even one person memorized the answers to the question
> pools, it wasn't a test...

The arguments are different. Jim says there is not one code-tested jammer
using Morse code equipment. I say there is at least one and probably more.

Vince's statement is an if-then statement which is, of course, false
since no test is perfect.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 9053827238302782673
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:18:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!osa.uu.net!dfw.uu.net!sea.uu.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:17:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 115
Message-ID: <9407f4$q2b$0@208.206.142.85>
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114175449.05250.00001614@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12807

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>
> >You need to underestand (evidently you do not) that ham radio is quite
> >different from any other radio service.
>
> It was not significantly different from other radio services when it was
> created, Dick.

Yes, we know that Ed.that was then.This is now. BIG difference. Once it was all hams, until some entrepreneur saw a buck to be made filling a commercial need. Now it's nearly all commercial, with hams hanging on by the skin of their chins.

>
> Do you believe that if ham radio had been created deliberately to function
> entirely differently than the radio services of its day, that it would have
> attained the level of respect and value that helped preserve it through
> decades?
>

Why not just ask a pointless question?

> >Our mission is almost infinitely multifaceted, as compared to
> >the narrowly focused objectives of commercial and governmental entities.
>
> I agree; our diversity is our strength. Having a test that emphasizes one mode
> over all others is not "infinitely multifaceted" and cannot be expected to
> foster that diversity in the Amateur Radio Service.

Total nonsense, and I know that you know better than that, so how can I NOT believe you are exerecising an Agenda? You know as well as I that it is simply impossible to operate CW without a minimum level of training and practice. Those who want to operate CW must undergo that training. Anyone who just hooks up a key or a paddle to his rig with the builtin keyer will fail right out of the gate and you know it as well as I. How can you at ARRL NOT to be merely trying to grease the skids for the demise of the mode?

>
> >Just because they have abandoned
> >radiotelegraphy in favor of more cost efficient means, and do not hold
> >any radiotelegraphy assets in reserve doesn't mean that such a plan is
> >appropriate for the amateur radio service, where a large portion of
> >participants use it extensively,
>
> Do you think the same thing was said about the use of spark in the Amateur
> Radio Service?

No I do not. Spark covered a wide area of spectrum with a single

signal, and spectral efficiency alone mandated its abandonbment once
better technology was widely available. Now you'regoint to tell me we
have that sort of spectrum crowding problem today. And I'll send you to
scan the 70 cm band and report back.

> Do you think the Amateur Radio Service would have survived had
> it not evolved past that?
>
> > and some use no other mode, nor wish
> to.
>

So you've joined all the anti CW goons in slamming CW supportgers as
Luddites then? No one has EVER said anything about the ARS not evolving
and updating.
This entire squabble has been and continues to be really simple although
you and many others who really want CW totally dropped from testing
continually make it something it is not and never was. It is NOT an
anti-technology fight, as you seem intent on insisting. It IS
anti-laziness campaign. I believe that any worthwhile ham will agree to
learn CW so at to be able to use it, and I also believe that it should
be required of him/her to do so. A duty. Aw, that nasty word again. The
advance of technology and the evolution of the ARS has not a thing to do
with it.

> > To ignore their interests just because other later modes are in
> >existance is about as logical as ignoring your left hand just because
> >you are right handed and really don't need that unused left. Hey, just
> >cut it off, the right hand is all I need.
>
> Some use no other mode that RTTY. Do you believe they should be allowed to
> require that hams demonstrate that they possess the ability to decode RTTY
> before they are given a license to use CW?

Your reserve supply of arguments is clearly gone when you have to
resort to the assinine. You know what is being advocated here and it
isn't stupidity.

> >> Some commercial users have valid claims to places in the radio spectrum.
> >> They have paid the people to use those places. The places occupied by
> >> amateurs are still owned by the people, in the sense that public lands
> >> are owned by the people.
>
> > So try claiming your personal portion of Yellowstone or Yosemite and
> >see where it gets you.
>

> Try claiming 7040 kHz and see where it gets you.

You might have noted that it wasn't I that made ANY claim.

From 3865226085563286707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:20:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:08:19 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A639F03.E2E9859E@IEEE.org>
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114174200.05250.00001610@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <93vda1$8p0$0@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:16:58 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12808

Dick Carroll wrote:
> That remark is inconsistant with reality and you know it.

Dick, I don't often think of you in church but this Sunday I did.
The preacher said, "To belittle is to be little." I instantly
thought of you.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3145771435544695559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:22:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 01:13:57 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <94078e$gom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A5E0D94.38B67A62@IEEE.org> <20010112074454.07248.00001191@ng-da1.aol.com> <3A5F0C76.2AB24EA8@IEEE.org> <93nov0$4v9$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A5F6ED0.D9D20F83@IEEE.org> <93qq8e$38v$5@208.207.71.147>
<3A60F2AD.92954C83@IEEE.org> <93r5s5$bqk$0@208.207.71.174>
<3A6146DE.38061116@IEEE.org> <93rjgu$f8i$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A61B106.84EE5C0E@IEEE.org> <93ssth$2pl$1@iac5.navix.net>
<93suvv$qsc$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93vcl8$67b$1@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 01:13:57 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12809

In article <93vcl8$67b$1@208.207.71.134>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> speweth:
>
> Brian wrote:

> > Fred, you're a true humanitarian. Perhaps you'll win the Hiram
Peace
> > Prize for freeing unworthy Tech slime from the jack boot of
opression.
> >
> > Dick will be pissed, as usual.
>
> Not me, I'm happy as hell for ya, kid. Now you don't have even
> imaginary justification to call me a lid just because I won't make
> myself send my Morse code to your inept "specifications".

Dick, send whatever kind of bad code you want. Just be sure to use your
own call sign.

I have every confidence that you'll place your jack boot of opression on
the necks of other unsuspecting Techs, some how, some where, some day.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3352311895238458044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fce43d6b4b955ab1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:22:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!

news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:19:43 GMT
References: <3a5e8b6d.716176332@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The KENWOOD BOYCOTT is on!
Message-ID: <20010115201943.11203.00001609@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12810

A few years ago, Kenwood announced its intention to sell amateur radio equipment in truck stops.

Kenwood backed away from this plan after an outcry from Amateurs.

QRM

From 1316631061050778194
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:22:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!novia!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:21:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9407mr$qlq$0@208.206.142.85>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12811

Cecil wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >

> > >> Your answer does not consitute any kind of proof, just an
> > >> assumption on your part.
> >
> > >It's getting light outside. I have no proof but I assume that
> > >that light is coming from the sun.
> >
> > Do you believe that all assmuptions are equally valid?
>
> I'm not sure what an assmuption is. A donkey with mumps? :-)
>
> If it quacks like a duck ... Ed, do you agree with Jim that dits
> or dahs sent for the purpose of jamming are not sent with Morse
> code equipment?

If it quacks like a quack (false duck) it must be Cecil.

Who in the world is dumb enough to actually believe he can jam anyone with dits and dahs? You're just arguing to hear the wind blow, still. The jammers who actually jam use noise generators or steady carriers wobbulated to defeat your notch filter. They're not dummies, just idiots.

Jim is right,of couorse. You just don't hear that nonsense on CW. And you don't read about CW operators getting NAL's.

```
From -7539936672387859820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:12:35 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A63A003.99CFF6D5@IEEE.org>
References: <3A628396.95A7B801@IEEE.org> <20010115194155.29597.00001932@nso-
mi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:21:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12812
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> What if a situation arose where people's lives depended on
> communicating with the outside world, and the only mode that
> would work was some form of Morse/CW?

Here we go with the "what if" stuff. What if the aliens landed
and cut out all our vocal chords? Get real, Larry. Your scenarios
have the chance of a snowball in hell. They have never happened
and their chances of ever happening are infinitesimal. You would
do better to use your energy on real-world possibilities.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6142309085150105211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:26:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:15:34 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A63A0B6.3612071F@IEEE.org>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
<93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:24:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12813

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Bet you a lobster that the same thing occurs when the do dropthe code
> test entirely.

Last year you were betting that hoards of CBers and freebanders were
about to invade the ARS. Which is it?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7922735700225119907

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:28:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <5p776t4ma99f3aq0rk8ojf9tmtameuiqmb@4ax.com>
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com> <02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com> <3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142> <3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com> <h7316tcuqnklfilutpfjg998vmc324ek7u@4ax.com> <GCK86.833$e5.2152478@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 01:26:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979608414 209.86.208.28 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:26:54 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:26:54 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12815

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>Do you believe that it should be illegal for people to talk about the
>content of the tests after they have taken them?

Do you think it was morally correct for Bash to circumvent the FCC tests by publishing the exact questions and answers, thus allowing hams to pass the test by cheating?

>Do you believe it should be illegal to print information about the
>content of the tests after they have been taken?

Do you believe it would be OK for me to lawfully but clandestinely obtain a copy of an ARRL employment exam, say from an employee who owes me a favor, and print and sell it to those seeking employment at ARRL?

>Do explain how the Bill of Rights fits in with your answer.

How does the Bill of Rights fit into *your* answer... :-)

From -46607459184057124
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:28:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <eo776tsaut54edh7vsq2emvudm5g3l42go@4ax.com>
References: <jshv5t008pvbs047hfercu0tq4ncqstgm9@4ax.com>
<20010115074814.09203.00001195@ng-cr1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 01:26:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979608413 209.86.208.28 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:26:53 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:26:53 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12814

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>I will introduce you to a lot of CW ops
>younger than 40.

What do you think the ratio of 50/75 year old active CW ops compared to say 15/40 year old active CW ops? I'd guess 20 or 30 to 1 (or worse)...

From -6475504139672201792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:31:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 01:17:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9407fp$h4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com>
<93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93ng5c$o15$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93ni8e$q5h$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nii2$qbg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93nvai$6ko$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93o34i$a04$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93o7uu$e14$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93qriu$bb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93r2gi$gfh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93v243$eed$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 01:17:52 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12816

In article <93v243$eed$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <93r2gi$gfh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > Been hanging on 3950 a little too long?
>
> 3950? That's way up there in the General voice sub-band, isn't it?
> I'm not sure my radio would tune that frequency!

As badly as you maintain your gear that doesn't suprise me. Maybe you
can find one of them web sites that has a SW radio. Good luck on that
one now.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7648067821241684894
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:32:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:20:25 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A63A1D9.990285E5@IEEE.org>
References: <a3kl5tgns6dev6f2pfu39rceac63019r98@4ax.com>
<93f9uu$sdf$0@208.207.71.150> <93hfaa$fb6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93i8tc$57t$0@208.207.71.171> <93iogo$m2t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93j6mr$jfg$0@208.207.71.163> <93lg7a$2vv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93lou2$c9a$1@208.206.142.98> <93mvvh$8ju$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

<6WG76.740$e5.1890767@news.ntplx.net> <93o0en$7p2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<AsN76.766$e5.1915216@news.ntplx.net> <93qvm7$llk$0@208.207.71.147>
<93s94v$apl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93vaso$2on$0@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:29:04 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12817

Dick Carroll wrote:
> You and Cecil deserve each other.

Nope, don't think so. Brian's serial port is incompatible with my I/O.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -6833222678161722284
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:45:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12818

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >A man needs to know his limitations, and the sooner all the CW challenged
> >people admit it the better.
>

> All of us are "challenged" in something, Fred. What modes do you not operate?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, how long are you going to keep up this charade? I knows it's not politically correct these days to reveal the fact that working CW does indeed require a bit more personal involvement than any of the other-read 'easy'- modes, the ones for which one needs basically only to RTFM. But it is no less true. Does you sense of what is true and proper not get just a little uncomfortable as time goes by and you find yourself deeply involved in propping up a total charade? For that's what it is.
You'd have just as much success taking off and landing an airplane without prior training and practice as you would successfully making a CW contact on the air without the necessary training and practice. And I'm sure that you know it.

From -7687057733742307754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:52:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 01:37:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <9408km$i4g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <T_N76.773$e5.1917813@news.ntplx.net>
<20010113011930.10105.00000262@nso-bh.aol.com>
<akM86.848$e5.2162878@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.47
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 01:37:26 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.47
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12819

In article <akM86.848$e5.2162878@news.ntplx.net>,
"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > Do you REALLY think that a full explanation of the program
> > along with flow charts would be "acceptible" to a member-
> > ship that prizes manual code skill over nearly anything else
> > in amateur radio?!? I don't think so based on input from
> > others concerning their expertise and "necessity" of manual

> > code skill...'others' include those not in this newsgroup.
>
> Although I am sure that some of our members prize manual code speed
over
> nearly anything else, but let's take a little poll right here. Of
those
> that are ARRL members, how many of you would like to see an article
that
> describes how to use software to reliably read Morse code in the
presence
> of noise and poor timing?
>
> Count me as vote #1 for yes. I pony up my dues every few years.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Sure. Use K0HB for the noise, and W0EX for poor timing or just use him for both the noise and the bad timing. That ought to be a real test for any software.

Seriously, it would be nice to see some side by sides of software/hardware performance competitions from the pk, kam, universal, etc., as well as the cheapie baypac type.

QST has been doing well with the nec antenna modeling software, and I think it was World Radio that did the VOA Prop software. Both are outstanding directions for the future of the ARS. Keep up the good work.

While I'm dreaming, how about a how-to article for an ionospheric pinger that measures the critical frequency? A network of these might be as useful as the 20M beacon network. Too bad we would be out of band about 90% of the time.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 458815146346190005
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:52:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:41:39 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises

Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A63A6D3.561E3381@IEEE.org>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com>
<_dG86.1588$Bv.2402706@nntp3.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:51:04 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12820

Richard McCollum wrote:
> Admirable! Now who in Sam Hill is Gerald Schmitt?

Mobile antenna shootout organizer extraordanaire.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5546807304015732994
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:52:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9409ha$1jk$1@208.206.142.85>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-fy1.aol.com> <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12821

Well that's sure no shortcoming you couldn't overcome in short order.
Just RTFM, plug all the black boxes together look at the screen and GET
BORED.

W4JLE wrote:
>
> I have never operated spread spectrum or psk31.
>

```
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> > >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
> >
> > >A man needs to know his limitations, and the sooner all the CW challenged
> > >people admit it the better.
> >
> > All of us are "challenged" in something, Fred.  What modes do you not
> operate?
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 5794752173565578608
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:54:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:43:30 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A63A742.688ED17B@IEEE.org>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:52:57 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12822

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> If anyone has doubts about my qualifications wrt CW, they can meet me on 40
> M and judge for themselves.

Hey Ed, do you ever do 10wpm or should I turn on my SCS PTC2e?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 1024573278227914835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:56:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:54:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9409l3$1jk$2@208.206.142.85>
References: <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114212143.15225.00000347@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12823

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >I have never operated spread spectrum or psk31.
>
> Then those that do are better than you -- at operating spread spectrum or
> psk31.
>

Don't overlook the big point-again. Fred can easily operate those modes. Nocoders cannot easily operate CW. It takes a little personal involvement and dedication to skillhuilding.

From -8783807432559686550
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 17:56:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 19:45:59 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A63A7D7.D8CBA8D2@IEEE.org>

References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <93vsmj$1hn$1@iac5.navix.net>
<GZK86.162$Ks.160363@nntp1.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 01:55:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12824

Richard McCollum wrote:
> Not that it makes a lot of difference but one Gerald G. Schmitt, KK5YY of
> Los Alamos seems to have gotten his Extra 30 May presumably as a Welfare
> Extra. Pot, Kettle, Black?

Ten years from now, how are you going to tell welfare extras from
anyone else? Won't renewal time be a great equalizer?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6540008404281829319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:04:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!novia!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:02:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <940a35$1jk$3@208.206.142.85>
References: <93jfoj$brm$0@208.207.71.156>
<8014-3A5D559F-87@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93kjmn$qib$1@iac5.navix.net> <93lv8n$15r$0@208.207.71.154>
<3A5F2773.46C35E82@wgcr.org> <93sio5$7vi$0@208.207.71.175>
<3A61CD76.13BC80D8@IEEE.org> <93toh7$9kp$0@208.207.71.158>
<3A628396.95A7B801@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15685

rec.radio.amateur.policy:12825

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > To attempt to work code without any training and practical
> > > > experience is akin to attempting to fly an airplane without any prior
> > > > flight training.
> > >
> > > Please explain how working CW is a life and death proposition akin
> > > to flying an airplane.
> >
> > There you go again, making phantom linkages. No such assertation was
> > made. The comparison stands.
>
> You are the one who made the phantom linkage. Working CW is NOT
> akin to flying an airplane.
>

It certainly is. Neither activity can be accomplished without
sufficient training and practice such that one can properly perform the
necessary functions to successfully complete the task. Some things
cannot be accomplished by "winging" it.

```
From 4459172020895917113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:04:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <940a7m$1jk$4@208.206.142.85>
References: <93qq5j$38v$4@208.207.71.147> <20010114204954.19385.00002000@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A628BCD.800B7C24@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12826

Cecil wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >And Cecil says his slips are never Fruedian.
> >
> > Do you believe they always are?
>
> Who the heck was Frued anyway?

OOps...should have been Fruitian

From -658676393224661628
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:06:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:05:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12827

Cecil wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > I have no basis on which to even speculate as to the percentage. Do you?
>
> Nope, Jim and I are not arguing percentages. All it takes is one jammer
> using Morse code equipment and I win the argument.
>

Not so. Jim said USING MORSE CODE, not equipment that can be used for
Morse code. In that case any radio capable of being keyed as a CW
transmitter would qualify.

```
From 9054708636525934610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:08:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
xfer.netnews.com.MISMATCH!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: A knight of knee <dxssb@ieee.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:05:37 -0500
Organization: ministry of silly walks
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <MPG.14cd767d17fdafa7989692@nntp.mindspring.com>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
<93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134> <3A63A0B6.3612071F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.77.62
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:06:10 GMT
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.30
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12828
```

In article <3A63A0B6.3612071F@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Bet you a lobster that the same thing occurs when the do dropthe code
> > test entirely.
>
> Last year you were betting that hoards of CBers and freebanders were
> about to invade the ARS. Which is it?

try listening to all the dx types working d68bt. she's asking for the
lima delta and all you hear is people howling and yowling like some doof
from Ohio or Michigan during a dull big 10 game or worse during the
excitingly dull fiesta bowl

must be the tech plus upgrades from April 15

```
From 5701934917636857327
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:08:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!
```

gip.net!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <T_N76.773$e5.1917813@news.ntplx.net>
<20010113011930.10105.00000262@nso-bh.aol.com>
<akM86.848$e5.2162878@news.ntplx.net> <9408km$i4g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <92O86.856$e5.2172422@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:05:59 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979610885 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:08:05 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:08:05 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12829

Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Seriously, it would be nice to see some side by sides of
> software/hardware performance competitions from the pk, kam, universal,
> etc., as well as the cheapie baypac type.

I will take that as a "yes" vote. :-)

> QST has been doing well with the nec antenna modeling software, and I
> think it was World Radio that did the VOA Prop software. Both are
> outstanding directions for the future of the ARS. Keep up the good
> work.

If you like propagation, go to http://elbert.its.bldrdoc.gov/hf.html and
download the HFWIN software. This is about the coolest freeware I think I
have ever used! It will give IONCAP predictions, from city to city,
complete with either frequency vs time graphs or world maps of signal
contours. I promise it is worth the price!

> While I'm dreaming, how about a how-to article for an ionospheric pinger
> that measures the critical frequency? A network of these might be as
> useful as the 20M beacon network. Too bad we would be out of band about
> 90% of the time.

Well, I hear enough of these pingers in band, so somebody is doing it! :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>

> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

From -2154052993150355358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <3A63A742.688ED17B@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <R3O86.857$e5.2172501@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:07:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979610993 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:09:53 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:09:53 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12830

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> > If anyone has doubts about my qualifications wrt CW, they can meet me on
40
> > M and judge for themselves.

> Hey Ed, do you ever do 10wpm or should I turn on my SCS PTC2e?

10 wpm or 30 wpm is fine by me. I can handle a bit faster, but I don't
enjoy it as much.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2903532733146664635
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:15:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <940ard$60i$0@208.206.142.85>
References: <Jnw86.11272$ft6.203476@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<20010115072658.09203.00001187@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12832
```

W1RFI wrote:

> That is exactly what is needed to influence ARRL policy. Hams should also make
> their views known to the FCC when such matters are on the regulatory plate.
> They should also support organizations that represent their views, such as
> FISTS or NCI.

Next you'll try to tell us that their minds aren't already made up.

```
From 3984451568662905844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
```

Message-ID: <H8O86.858$e5.2172842@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:12:57 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979611303 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:15:03 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:15:03 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12831

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> You'd have just as much success taking off and landing an airplane
> without prior training and practice as you would successfully making a
> CW contact on the air without the necessary training and practice. And
> I'm sure that you know it.

Dick, you forgot the smiley.

I learned CW in 1963, from a chart and by saying dit-dah in my head a lot.
W1BNB sent code at 5 wpm and I copied it. I got my Novice. When I made
that first shaky CQ, I do not believe that I had anything more than this
self training, and absolutely zero practice.

Do you really believe that if W1BNB had not checked my code speed at 5 wpm,
that I would not have been able to get on the air and do my first shaky QSO?
I would not have done so until I knew the code.

Would you ever sing a song in front of a large group of people unless you
knew the words?

Do you really equate my learning code at a shaky 5 wpm and making a QSO that
I wasn't really ready for with flying a plane?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3411396702749379173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:18:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-fy1.aol.com> <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net> <9409ha$1jk$1@208.206.142.85>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <B9O86.859$e5.2172824@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:13:55 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979611361 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:16:01 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:16:01 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12833

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > I have never operated spread spectrum or psk31.

> Well that's sure no shortcoming you couldn't overcome in short order.
> Just RTFM, plug all the black boxes together look at the screen and GET
> BORED.

Can you tell me where I can buy the manual and black box to operate spread spectrum?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8721928571569067954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:20:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114212143.15225.00000347@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9409l3$1jk$2@208.206.142.85>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <_bO86.860$e5.2173135@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:16:22 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979611514 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:18:34 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:18:34 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12834

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Don't overlook the big point-again. Fred can easily operate those
> modes. Nocoders cannot easily operate CW. It takes a little personal
> involvement and dedication to skillhuilding.

Now, if all of these new hams can memorize over 1,100 questions in the question pool in a weekend of studying, I am sure they can memorize 26 characters and a few punctuations "easily."

I do agree, though, those who don't know Morse code can't easily use the mode. They would have to buy a TNC and read the manual. :-)

I am curious, what can Fred to easily operate spread spectrum?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 4137290861204827918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:17:51 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <940b3q$an3$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
<93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979611578 10979 166.102.250.162 (16 Jan 2001 02:19:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:19:38 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12835
```

And many are like the message I am enclosing copied from Rec.Radio.CB, I forward sans comments:

I have to agree with you there ken. I am a Ham operator with a tech no code license. I like DX, that is the main reason I use radio. Right now I can talk useless (to me) Vhf now but no HF unless I use 11 meters. So about the only DX IM doing is freebanding until I suffer through these tapes and learn this stupid code. I can already pass the General exam and I'm studying for the Extra, but all my DX is on 11 meters.

Plus when I go out 4wheel driving and want to keep in touch with my XYL (wife)if I use regular CB it is to crowded, and she dosn't have a ham license so what freqs do I use??? You guessed it, I go above channel 40 on 11 meters. I don't bother anyone and I have safe comm with the XYL.

The main thing about freebanding is to use courteous operating procedures, good equipment, a Low-pass filter, grounded gear and not to much power.

73
Jim
2DK3

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote > What happened to all those hoards of CBers and
> > freebanders that you predicted would invade the ARS?
>
>
> They arrived early. In the form of lots of no coders and slow coders.
> No real surprise, lots of them have came through the VE system. And many
> have gone on to other more productive "freeband" activities where they
> find conditions and 'services' better than 27 mhz and they don't have to
> put up with dodging ham radio regulations and renewed enforcement.
> Things do evolve. Ham radio is evolving -mutating would be a better
> choice of words,like a cancer- into something unrecognizable. Ah well,
> no good thing lasts forever. Ham radio is no different in that respect.

From -1793226879995916071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:22:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <7JM86.854$e5.2165172@news.ntplx.net> <9406k9$jq1$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>

```
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <QdO86.861$e5.2173328@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:18:25 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979611632 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:20:32 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:20:32 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12836

Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

> >It was definately prominently featured in the study guide for
> >the Novice exam I took.

> Either way, NOT the actual exam.

I'll post the study guide and let folks decide for themselves.

> >I really don't remember much about the Novice exam, but I am
> >sure it appeared on at least one version of the Novice test.

> I'm sure it didn't. Through wasting my, and your time yet, Ed?

I am sorry that you feel the discussion is a waste of your time.  If you
wish, the last word is yours.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From -2599124245335665498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:24:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:20:31 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-
```

fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979611740 11121 166.102.250.162 (16 Jan 2001 02:22:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:22:20 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12837

Let alone the fact that on/off keying is not Morse. A string of dots or
dashes at 60 WPM from a built in keyer does not a Morse operator make.

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85...
>
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > I have no basis on which to even speculate as to the percentage. Do
you?
> >
> > Nope, Jim and I are not arguing percentages. All it takes is one jammer
> > using Morse code equipment and I win the argument.
> >
>
> Not so. Jim said USING MORSE CODE, not equipment that can be used for
> Morse code. In that case any radio capable of being keyed as a CW
> transmitter would qualify.

From 1087568195236606308
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:24:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a627a30.14349239@news.mindspring.com>
<20010115194155.29597.00001931@nso-mi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <6g086.862$e5.2173409@news.ntplx.net>

Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:20:51 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979611778 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:22:58 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:22:58 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12838

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> >That would be a valid reason IF there was a government
> >need for amateurs to attain that skill. You can argue that
> >as you choose, but I fully believe that you'd never convince
> >the administrations of the world that the skill is needed
> >today.

> No, I guess I couldn't, because the slouching whiners are very
> effectively drowning me out. At least I can grasp reality.

Do you really believe that the FCC went against what it felt was best
because a few people "whined?"

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1444799104345488889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.novia.net.MISMATCH!novia!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:23:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <940ban$726$0@208.206.142.85>
References: <93tugc$ntj$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073413.09203.00001189@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12839

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >Yep, they sure are. Now would you care to place a wager if there were me and
> >a nocoder, which could get on the air using the mode they were not
> >proficient in the quickest.
>
> Here are the terms. Let's select someone with a no-code license and you. He
> or she will be asked to construct a Morse-code transmitter and make a Morse
> code QSO. You will be asked to construct a spread-spectrum transmitter and
> make a Morse code QSO. Winner gets an ARRL Handbook from the "bent-cover" bin.

Fred I'll loan you my cordless telephone and you can make a
call.....that'll pretty well take care of it.

From 577067208754013297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:26:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
gestalt.direcpc.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 20:15:22 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3A63AEBA.C4DC46A4@IEEE.org>
References: <93jfoj$brm$0@208.207.71.156>
<8014-3A5D559F-87@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93kjmn$qib$1@iac5.navix.net> <93lv8n$15r$0@208.207.71.154>
<3A5F2773.46C35E82@wgcr.org> <93sio5$7vi$0@208.207.71.175>
<3A61CD76.13BC80D8@IEEE.org> <93toh7$9kp$0@208.207.71.158>
<3A628396.95A7B801@IEEE.org> <940a35$1jk$3@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:24:57 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15690
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12840

Dick Carroll wrote:

> It certainly is. Neither activity can be accomplished without
> sufficient training and practice such that one can properly perform the
> necessary functions to successfully complete the task. Some things
> cannot be accomplished by "winging" it.

Of course, learning CW can be accomplished by "winging" it since there
is no punishment for doing so. The reason that one cannot "wing" learning
to fly an airplane is because one winds up dead. The only thing that
happens to a poor CW operator is that the other operator pulls out or
the QSO cannot be finished. It's no big deal if a QSO cannot be completed.
It happens all the time because of fading MUFs.

The only CW-only bands in the entire amateur radio spectrum don't
require Morse code for the license. That proves that the FCC thinks
CW can be learned and accomplished by "winging" it. Why should HF
have any different requirement from the CW-only bands?????

If one applied your desired rules to speech, babies would never be
allowed to try to speak until they were fluent. Is that really what
you want?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6798274062195137521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:28:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!news.idt.net!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010115073726.09203.00001191@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<20010115194158.29597.00001936@nso-mi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <3kO86.863$e5.2173794@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:25:04 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979612031 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:27:11 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:27:11 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12841

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> >I cannot answer the second because I do not believe that we should have a

> >one-class license system.

> Please consider that what you believe we should have, and what we
> end up with, are likely to be two different things. I can easily see
> all ham radio privileges given away with a single multiple-choice
> "written" test. It would be the ARRL's last desperate attempt to
> entice people to join up, considering the abject failure of Restructuring
> so far.

Can you point to anything that the ARRL has said that makes you believe that
it favors a single multiple choice "written" test?

> >I also do not believe that our licensing system
> >should contain no Morse code testing. I believe that Morse code
proficiency
> >should be tested the same way we test for proficiency in other modes.

> I see. So asking multiple-guess questions *about* Morse code
> operation is now the equivalent of actually testing people on the
> skill of sending/receiving Morse code texts?

No. I believe that the test should contain a sent Morse code transmission
and that the applicant should be asked questions about it. The way it would
be tested the same as other modes is by including the answers as part of the
overall score. 75% passes.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8858459256680297898
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:28:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 20:18:09 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A63AF61.62C601F0@IEEE.org>
References: <3A624E6B.24DD1157@bellatlantic.net>
<26474-3A626CF7-53@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<3A63ACCA.E24079A4@bellatlantic.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:27:34 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12842

ehsjr@bellatlantic.net wrote:
> Both you and Cecil elect to dismiss anything that does not fit
> into your preconceived ideas.

That's not true. I dismiss anything that is irrelevant to the
argument. Music is not listed in the list of languages in the
encyclopedia. Neither is Morse code. Just because you want to
confound the language is no good reason to allow you to get
away with it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -5051932730340547704
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:32:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:31:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <940bqk$9bl$0@208.206.142.85>
References: <93kl2a$npo$0@208.207.71.157> <20010115075659.09203.00001199@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12843



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >The most destructive act the FCC has ever taken was to make the Novice
> >license renewable and give it 10 meter phone. For far too many that
> >removed most of the incentive to upgrade. That was the difference. It
```

> >instantly took most Novices off learning and practicing on the CW bands
> >and instantly handed them a microphone.
>
> Most of those Novices either upgraded or failed to renew.

Yeah - to Tech Plus so they could get on 2 meters. Basic problem
remained.

>
> Do you believe they would have renewed if they had been limited only to Morse
> code operation?

Oh, probably not Ed. They would've probably just sat on their tails
and hands and whined and bitched for 12 or 13 years like a certain fella
who posts here a lot.

From -3920401203146859573
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <jshv5t008pvbs047hfercu0tq4ncqstgm9@4ax.com>
<20010115074814.09203.00001195@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<eo776tsaut54edh7vsq2emvudm5g3l42go@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <ApO86.864$e5.2174207@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:30:57 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979612384 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:33:04 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:33:04 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12844

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

> >I will introduce you to a lot of CW ops
> >younger than 40.

> What do you think the ratio of 50/75 year old active CW ops compared
> to say 15/40 year old active CW ops? I'd guess 20 or 30 to 1 (or

> worse)...

I will take that bet. Let's take the first 100 CW QSOs from the 2001 W1AW
logs from those operators whose age can be identified from the birth dates
at the QRZ site or ARRL membership records as being either between 50 and 75
years of age or between 15 and 40 (inclusive). At 20:1, that would mean
that 95 of the operators on the other end are 50-75 5 15-40.

Steak or lobster? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 587075247174317388
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
xfer.netnews.com.MISMATCH!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com>
<02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com>
<3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142>
<3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com>
<h7316tcuqnklfilutpfjg998vmc324ek7u@4ax.com> <GCK86.833$e5.2152478@news.ntplx.net>
<5p776t4ma99f3aq0rk8ojf9tmtameuiqmb@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <mrO86.865$e5.2174282@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:32:51 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979612498 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:34:58 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:34:58 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12845

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

> >Do you believe that it should be illegal for people to talk about the

> >content of the tests after they have taken them?

> Do you think it was morally correct for Bash to circumvent the FCC
> tests by publishing the exact questions and answers, thus allowing
> hams to pass the test by cheating?

You didn't answer the question.

> >Do you believe it should be illegal to print information about the
> >content of the tests after they have been taken?

> Do you believe it would be OK for me to lawfully but clandestinely
> obtain a copy of an ARRL employment exam, say from an employee who
> owes me a favor, and print and sell it to those seeking employment at
> ARRL?

You didn't answer that question, either.

Could you do me a favor and at least read the things you didn't say between
your lines.
73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7485647045848533524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 20:26:07 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A63B13F.F6FAA4AB@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:35:32 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12846

Dick Carroll wrote:

```
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > I have no basis on which to even speculate as to the percentage.  Do you?
> >
> > Nope, Jim and I are not arguing percentages. All it takes is one jammer
> > using Morse code equipment and I win the argument.
>
> Not so. Jim said USING MORSE CODE, not equipment that can be used for
> Morse code.  In that case any radio capable of being keyed as a CW
> transmitter would qualify.

That's what he said at first. He later changed it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 7563533857655808912
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:37:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 20:27:52 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85>
<940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:37:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12847

W4JLE wrote:
> Let alone the fact that on/off keying is not Morse.  A string of dots or
> dashes at 60 WPM from a built in keyer does not a Morse operator make.

Nobody said anything about Morse operators. The accusation is that
Morse equipment is being used by code-tested hams for the purpose
of jamming. The answer is obvious.
```

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -8291590003897703839
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:42:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 20:30:25 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A63B241.EDC10850@IEEE.org>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-
cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <9407mr$qlq$0@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:39:50 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12848

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Who in the world is dumb enough to actually believe he can jam anyone
> with dits and dahs?

The same dumb $hits who listen to 3950. Try it. You will hear CW
equipment being used for the jamming by code-tested hams - guaranteed.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 7207150309290056852
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:41:57 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
```

Lines: 42
Message-ID: <940ch3$bo3$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114212143.15225.00000347@ng-fy1.aol.com> <940913$1jk$2@208.206.142.85> <_bO86.860$e5.2173135@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979613027 12035 166.102.250.162 (16 Jan 2001 02:43:47 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:43:47 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12849

All BS aside, I can appreciate SS for military or commercial communications. As a ham mode, one would first have to make a standard contact, then agree on the spreading code and then switch to the mode. I admit ignorance as to how SS is being used on the ham bands, is there a standard spreading code and base frequency? While I may be in a self imposed minority, I can't see the utility of the mode for normal Amateur operations.

If one were setting up a traffic circuit or internet via amateur radio it would start to make more sense. That would raise another point as to how one could use the internet over amateur radio, given the bent towards the profane, and posting by people from countries without a third party agreement. What am I missing? Given a reasonable use, I would be glad to dig into it and at least learn on a rudimentary level.

PSK31 is a mode I might find tempting if I didn't live on 30 acres with no antenna restriction, and my nearest neighbor almost 1/2 mile away.

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:_bO86.860$e5.2173135@news.ntplx.net...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > Don't overlook the big point-again. Fred can easily operate those
> > modes. Nocoders cannot easily operate CW. It takes a little personal
> > involvement and dedication to skillhuilding.
>
> Now, if all of these new hams can memorize over 1,100 questions in the
> question pool in a weekend of studying, I am sure they can memorize 26
> characters and a few punctuations "easily."
>
> I do agree, though, those who don't know Morse code can't easily use the
> mode. They would have to buy a TNC and read the manual. :-)
>
> I am curious, what can Fred to easily operate spread spectrum?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

```
>



From -3037578025467O1264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114175449.05250.00001614@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <9407f4$q2b$0@208.206.142.85>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 80
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <PzO86.866$e5.2175213@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:41:45 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979613039 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:43:59 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:43:59 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12850

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > Do you believe that if ham radio had been created deliberately to
function
> > entirely differently than the radio services of its day, that it would
have
> > attained the level of respect and value that helped preserve it through
> > decades?

>  Why not just ask a pointless question?

Why do you thinkk that question is pointless?

> > I agree; our diversity is our strength. Having a test that emphasizes
one mode
> > over all others is not "infinitely multifaceted" and cannot be expected
to
> > foster that diversity in the Amateur Radio Service.

>  Total nonsense, and I know that you know better than that, so how can I
> NOT believe you are exerecising an Agenda?
```

Do you believe that having a test that emphasizes one more over all others IS infinitely multfaceted? Do you believe that emphasizing one mode will foster diversity in the Amateur Radio Service?

>You know as well as I that it is simply impossible to operate CW without a minimum
> level of training and practice.

Of course it takes training, but for most hams, it is self training. As to practice, I got all of mine after I got my license.

> Those who want to operate CW must undergo that training.

Those who want to operate CW must either learn the code or buy a TNC and read the manual.

> Anyone who just hooks up a key or a paddle to his rig with the builtin
> keyer will fail right out of the gate and you know it as well as I. How
> can you at ARRL NOT to be merely trying to grease the skids for the
> demise of the mode?

Why would I want to end the mode that I use 99% of the time? Do you really believe that I am not posting what I personally believe?

> Now you'regoint to tell me we have that sort of spectrum crowding problem today. And I'll send you to
> scan the 70 cm band and report back.

Why would I want to tell you that, Dick. I have said a number of times that our occupancy of the higher bands is low. Did you miss the posts?

> So you've joined all the anti CW goons in slamming CW supportgers as
> Luddites then? No one has EVER said anything about the ARS not evolving
> and updating.

> It IS an anti-laziness campaign.

The difficulty of the present entry-level tests are already much much harder than they were when I studied those 4 pages to be a Novice. How can you say it is a laziness issue?

> The advance of technology and the evolution of the ARS has not a thing to do
> with it.

We agree.

> Your reserve supply of arguments is clearly gone when you have to
> resort to the assinine. You know what is being advocated here and it
> isn't stupidity.

Well, I tried all the logical ones... :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4332230748890241911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:56:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 20:44:14 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3A63B57E.E1EF3889@IEEE.org>
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114175449.05250.00001614@ng-ff1.aol.com> <9407f4$q2b$0@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:53:41 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12851

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Now it's nearly all commercial, with hams hanging on by
> the skin of their chins.

Dang Dick, you are the next Yogi Berra. Talk about mixed metaphores.

> You know as well as I that it
> is simply impossible to operate CW without a minimum level of training
> and practice.

But the question is: Why can't that training and practice take place
on the air? One wonders why you want to keep the airways all to yourself.
Did you refuse to allow your children to talk until they had mastered
the English language?

> So you've joined all the anti CW goons in slamming CW supportgers as
> Luddites then?

You are NOT a CW supporter, Dick. You have discouraged more potential
hams from ever trying CW than anyone else I know.

> It IS anti-laziness campaign.

It is an "I had to do it so you have to too" campaign. What is wrong with
live and let live? Why should everyone be forced to learn your personal
favorite mode?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 4315971835965225108
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:56:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!
not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:54:59 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <940d63$nol$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <QdO86.861$e5.2173328@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979613699 24341 149.174.88.240 (16
Jan 2001 02:54:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:54:59 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12852

VB> Either way, NOT the actual exam.

>I'll post the study guide and let folks decide for themselves.

Let's get this straight, so I don't misunderstand: If you can
post the STUDY GUIDE re: your Novice and show that a question was
asked about the unit of resistance in the ACTUAL FCC TEST you
took for Novice, I'll nominate you for the Nobel Prize in
Literature.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -5869869152933158435
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!

```
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 20:47:46 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A63B652.E389214C@IEEE.org>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
<93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134> <3A63A0B6.3612071F@IEEE.org>
<MPG.14cd767d17fdafa7989692@nntp.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:57:11 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12853

A knight of knee wrote:
> try listening to all the dx types working d68bt.  she's asking for the
> lima delta and all you hear is people howling and yowling like some doof
> from Ohio or Michigan during a dull big 10 game or worse during the
> excitingly dull fiesta bowl. must be the tech plus upgrades from April 15

Sorry to disillusion you, but that was happening long before April 15.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 1193302419061356641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 18:59:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 02:58:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <940dcn$c9b$0@208.206.142.85>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
<93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134> <tWK86.839$e5.2154813@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12854



"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > And many have gone on to other more productive "freeband" activities where
> they
> > find conditions and 'services' better than 27 mhz and they don't have to
> > put up with dodging ham radio regulations and renewed enforcement.
>
> The nerve of them, actually following the rules!


Yeah, they followed the rules right out of the ARS into the Freeband
where there's no one like me to tweak their tails. some of you seem more
than willing to welcome whatever shows up at the door with a free
license, and then lament the nonsense they bring with them.


From 446303186168763494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:04:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:02:09 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 75
Message-ID: <940djh$nol$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979614129 24341 149.174.88.240 (16
Jan 2001 03:02:09 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:02:09 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12855

VB>I'll make it easy for you to find, Paul: Among my letters to ARRL include
VB>my newsletters sent to the division membership during all my runs for director
VB>(that's an ARRL rule). Easy to locate, all in public forum.  One of them
VB>especially went into detailed discussion about what to do to protect/improve
VB>the Service re: deregulation. They will also have my 300-word statements (as
VB>part of the ARRL mailouts) on file. They will also have on file two letters
```

VB>concerning my proposal to initiate the (first) Spectrum Protection Act (which
VB>they allowed me credit for on at least one of my 300-word statements).

>Are you saying that all of these important documents only have copies at
>League HQ, that is, if they were retained by them at all? Since the
>League is an organization you have much disagreement with, I'd expect
>that you'd keep personal copies of this important correspondence about
>examination reform, spectrum protection, ARRL electoral politics
>including "dirty pool" by other participants/supporters, etc., in case
>there is any disagreement about content.

First, the documents are not important at all. What I am saying is get your proof of their existence from ARRL, since you could always say I've just generated any letters I might give you and what I say is not true (which is what you've long attempted to show in any case). Arguments with the League aside, ARRL would not be so foolish as to doctor a public record.

>By asking the TIS for everything, I was inquiring if there were letters
>to the editor, or other small items not in the public indexes (their
>internal indexes, or even institutional memory such as experienced
>staffers, might be more detailed than the indexes at www.arrl.org, or in
>the December QST's each year).

There was one letter to the editor c. 1969 regarding the Extra Class exam. A lot of correspondence to ARRL staffers such as Doug DeMaw, Lew McCoy. Mostly technical exchanges, some political ones.

VB>If ARRL has none of these, a summary of all those suggestions to improve
VB>the exam process per se was filed with FCC in their restructuring proposal.
VB>On the FCC site, in public forum. In only 4 pages, as I remember.

>Be assured that that was read by me very shortly after it was made.

Make sure then that ARRL gives you a copy of that document. I sent them one.

There have been other letters sent to HQ hierarchy over the years, starting c. 1964 with George Grammer, then various presidents in office, and Dave Sumner. The vast bulk of them, tho, are political, starting in the mid 80s, then picking up again in the mid 90s with the ARRL poll written by ARRL's First VP and I believe the GM and possibly ARRL president. A poll so badly done it drew slashing criticism from even one ham who was a social scientist (K4JAP) in the business of designing polls. The response was so incendiary, Dave Sumner initially denied even receiving some of those letters. All the ARRL had to do was hire a professional polling firm to construct the survey. But no, they had to do it their way.

They received a letter from me on that, warning of the consequences of doing a poll written by amateurs. AND they received a letter from me afterwards, but by that time K4JAP had actually beaten me to the punch; instead of providing his own professional critique on the poll, he referenced my letter! (Still ARRL couldn't get its no-code/slow-code thing through.)

But I digress. One of my exchanges with the Pres, in speaking about the poll, also went through in detail what was happening to the theory exam process, and what specifically needed to be done to fix it.

SO MAKE VERY SURE you receive a copy of THAT letter from the ARRL archives. If you don't get it, they've either trashed all that correspondence, or they're not giving you all they have. Time to get down to the nitty-gritty on this one. But ARRL will do the proving for me, just to demonstrate I've been involved in this thing for 18 years in no-nonsense fashion.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

```
From -3309024344769688855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:04:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!uunet!
sea.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:00:34 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <940dju$cdb$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85>
<940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979614142 12715 166.102.250.162 (16 Jan 2001 03:02:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:02:22 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12856
```

Given the premise as you have stated, one could not disagree.

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org...

> W4JLE wrote:
> > Let alone the fact that on/off keying is not Morse. A string of dots or
> > dashes at 60 WPM from a built in keyer does not a Morse operator make.
>

> Nobody said anything about Morse operators. The accusation is that
> Morse equipment is being used by code-tested hams for the purpose
> of jamming. The answer is obvious.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -4384855294595946537
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:04:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 20:53:58 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A63B7C6.A135F41A@IEEE.org>
References: <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114212143.15225.00000347@ng-fy1.aol.com> <940913$1jk$2@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:04:02 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12857

Dick Carroll wrote:
> It takes a little personal
> involvement and dedication to skillhuilding.

Uhhhhhhh Dick, last time I checked, "skillhuilding" was illegal,
immoral, and banned by the Catholic Church since the 7th century.
Such a pervert, tsk, tsk.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 2823215937069175886
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:05:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!headwall.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!

```
news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:02:33 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <940dnl$cfu$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93tugc$ntj$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073413.09203.00001189@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <940ban$726$0@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979614261 12798 166.102.250.162 (16 Jan 2001 03:04:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:04:21 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12858
```

Hey I forgot all about the cordless phone...

Ed scratch SS off the list and I am downloading PSK 31 as I type this.

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:940ban$726$0@208.206.142.85...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
> >
> > >Yep, they sure are. Now would you care to place a wager if there were
me and
> > >a nocoder, which could get on the air using the mode they were not
> > >proficient in the quickest.
> >
> > Here are the terms. Let's select someone with a no-code license and
you. He
> > or she will be asked to construct a Morse-code transmitter and make a
Morse
> > code QSO. You will be asked to construct a spread-spectrum transmitter
and
> > make a Morse code QSO. Winner gets an ARRL Handbook from the
"bent-cover" bin.
>
>
> Fred I'll loan you my cordless telephone and you can make a
> call.....that'll pretty well take care of it.

```
From 9132576712363509153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior (?)
Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:07:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <940dss$c9b$1@208.206.142.85>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>
<58u86.28956$U4.812484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<93u044$72$1@news.hawaii.edu> <cuvyxnansvbet.g77k081.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10586
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12859



Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 15 Jan 2001 04:59:48 GMT, Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >>Listened on 12.350 after their 14.313 ham "phone patch" was concluded.
> >>They used the callsigns "sasperella" and "shooting star".
> >
> >Those would probably be the names of their boats. Boat names in
> >lieu of callsigns are permitted on the VHF marine channels --
> >perhaps the FCC now permits that also on the HF marine frequencies
> >now.
>
>   Not according to the Rules.  What happens in real life is
>   something else.....
>
> >Coordinating two boats to meet up on a marine band frequency
> >is perfectly acceptable.
>
>   The authorized carrier frequency is 12.353 kHz...
```

Phil I think you and I might as well toss in the towel. The Huns have
arrived and they won. The FCC capitulated without so much as a whimper.

```
From -70411746856993258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:10:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 20:58:58 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A63B8F2.A34FA38B@IEEE.org>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:08:54 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12860
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> You'd have just as much success taking off and landing an airplane
> without prior training and practice as you would successfully making a
> CW contact on the air without the necessary training and practice.

Absolutely false, Dick. One way you would be dead. The other way you
would just continue learning Morse code. Do you advocate killing all
the babies who are not fluent when they utter their first words?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 1354822446904136072
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:12:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
```

```
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:01:07 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A63B973.E08BAF78@IEEE.org>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85> <H8O86.858$e5.2172842@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:11:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12861

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> Do you really equate my learning code at a shaky 5 wpm and making a QSO that
> I wasn't really ready for with flying a plane?

Unfortunately, he does. There are many crazy people out there, Ed.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 5110116833250290352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:14:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:02:56 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A63B9E0.8E502207@IEEE.org>
References: <Jnw86.11272$ft6.203476@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<20010115072658.09203.00001187@ng-cr1.aol.com> <940ard$60i$0@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:12:52 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12862

Dick Carroll wrote:
>   Next you'll try to tell us that their minds aren't already made up.
```

```
If their minds are made up, why are you wasting your time?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -3202866789726820595
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:16:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:14:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <940eae$c9b$2@208.206.142.85>
References: <3A628A3C.56FFED75@IEEE.org> <20010115194156.29597.00001933@nso-
mi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12863




Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3A628A3C.56FFED75@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> How about the reason that testing for code knowledge, at
> >> progressively higher speeds, and for progressively increasing
> >> HF privileges, causes radio amateurs to attain a practical
> >> communications skill?
> >
> >If it's not fun, it's not practical, Larry. Everybody does not
> >share your likes and dislikes. What is wrong with live and let
> >live?
>
> Cecil:
>
> Don't look now, but a person can live a perfectly normal, healthy,
> and happy life without ever becoming an amateur radio operator.
> I am the only licensed ham in my immediate family, and I assure
> you, my parents, brothers, sisters, girlfriend, nieces, nephews,
> and scores of friends are all very happy, indeed, without a ham
```

> license in their possession. You really need to come up with
> a better argument than that!

He'll never understand, even when being a ham radio operator is fully
the equal with being a CB operator or a Freebander. He thinks all are
the same.

From 4640369981028300618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:16:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:05:12 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A63BA68.7453B09F@IEEE.org>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <3A63A742.688ED17B@IEEE.org>
<R3O86.857$e5.2172501@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:15:08 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12864

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> 10 wpm or 30 wpm is fine by me. I can handle a bit faster, but I don't
> enjoy it as much.

Hmmmmmm, does that mean you don't enjoy 13wpm? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3727882471118742715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:22:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail

From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:12:02 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A63BC02.B6639B6B@IEEE.org>
References: <3A628A3C.56FFED75@IEEE.org> <20010115194156.29597.00001933@nso-mi.aol.com> <940eae$c9b$2@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:21:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12865

Dick Carroll wrote:
> He'll never understand, even when being a ham radio operator is fully
> the equal with being a CB operator or a Freebander. He thinks all are
> the same.

That's patently false, Dick, so you need to explain what delusional
thinking patterns lead you to that conclusion.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7405617141791098916
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:24:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:13:43 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A63BC67.A9A02124@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85> <940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org> <940dju$cdb$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:23:13 GMT

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12866

W4JLE wrote:
> Given the premise as you have stated, one could not disagree.

It's good to see that you have finally come to your senses.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -5417067890094796l3
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:26:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!news.idt.net!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Jnw86.11272$ft6.203476@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<20010115072658.09203.00001187@ng-cr1.aol.com> <940ard$60i$0@208.206.142.85>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <NaP86.869$e5.2177712@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:23:22 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979615533 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:25:33 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:25:33 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12867

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:940ard$60i$0@208.206.142.85...

> W1RFI wrote:

> > That is exactly what is needed to influence ARRL policy. Hams should
also make
> > their views known to the FCC when such matters are on the regulatory
plate.
> > They should also support organizations that represent their views, such
as
> > FISTS or NCI.

>   Next you'll try to tell us that their minds aren't already made up.
```

Whose mind, NCI, FISTS or ARRL. I am sure that the basic agenda of NCI and FISTS is pretty well set, but just how both organizations will undertake their basic missions will, IMHO, be influenced by member input.

I will also tell you that I don't believe that ARRL's mind is made up on this issue. If it were, the Board would not have seen any need to publicize the agenda item well in advance. Frankly, I wish the Board would do more of that, whenever they can.

I know that the last time I talked to Tom, he had not received very many member inputs on the topic to be discussed and possibly voted on at the January meeting. I am sure your Director is in the same boat.

See http://www.arrl.org/divisions.

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5525761728734917150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:29:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <940f6j$g11$0@208.206.142.85>
References: <IzK86.832$e5.2152240@news.ntplx.net> <93vtd7$q0e$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <kvM86.850$e5.2163583@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12868

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:
>

```
> > Yes, fully. Personally, I truly believe it was timed with
> > "Amateur" restructuring to move hams down from VHF to HF,
> > and geared to show newbies to the HF bands that HF was a
> > hospitable place to live. That's my opinion, and I'll stay by it.
> > Knowing the history of the deregulation issue, I believe it's a
> > fully logical conclusion.
>
> In this logical conclusion, are there now fewer VHF-only licencees than
> there were before the FCC took the sneaky back door approach you believe
> they did? If so, cite the source. If not, explain why it backfired.
>

 There are certainly fewer VHF> *users*, and that is more revealing than
numbers of licensees, many of whom are clearly inactive


From 3764267767571682804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:30:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
xfer.netnews.com.MISMATCH!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114212143.15225.00000347@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9409l3$1jk$2@208.206.142.85> <_bO86.860$e5.2173135@news.ntplx.net>
<940ch3$bo3$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <FeP86.872$e5.2177933@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:27:28 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979615781 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:29:41 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:29:41 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12869

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> All BS aside, I can appreciate SS for military or commercial
communications.
> As a ham mode, one would first have to make a standard contact, then agree
> on the spreading code and then switch to the mode. I admit ignorance as to
> how SS is being used on the ham bands, is there a standard spreading code
> and base frequency? While I may be in a self imposed minority, I can't see
> the utility of the mode for normal Amateur operations.
```

SS is probably not well suited to "random" QSOs, as are the narrowband modes, although an SS repeater is very much a possibility. In that case, the spreading code or hop sequency would be used the same as frequency.

Unfortunately, SS is not at all in significant use in the ham bands. For a rundown of the current useage, see http://www.tapr.org.

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6104358961340874393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:30:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:19:37 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A63BDC9.A24E6305@IEEE.org>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
<93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134> <tWK86.839$e5.2154813@news.ntplx.net>
<940dcn$c9b$0@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:27:02 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12870

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yeah, they followed the rules right out of the ARS into the Freeband
> where there's no one like me to tweak their tails. some of you seem more
> than willing to welcome whatever shows up at the door with a free
> license, and then lament the nonsense they bring with them.

If they obey the rules within the ham bands, who cares where they came from? If they are operating legally as hams within the ham bands, they aren't freebanders anymore, are they? Seems ham radio has saved them from a life of crime. Seems that is a good thing to me. Do you disagree? Do you condemn anyone who has not lead a perfect life like you have?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -7481323065616591617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:32:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93tugc$ntj$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073413.09203.00001189@ng-cr1.aol.com> <940ban$726$0@208.206.142.85> <940dnl$cfu$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <UfP86.873$e5.2178012@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:28:48 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979615860 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:31:00 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:31:00 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12871

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Ed scratch SS off the list and I am downloading PSK 31 as I type this.

Have fun with it. It is a popular mode. Some hams have reported decoding
signals they could not hear by ear. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1539483935426215570
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:34:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!news.idt.net!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <3A63A742.688ED17B@IEEE.org>
<R3O86.857$e5.2172501@news.ntplx.net> <3A63BA68.7453B09F@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <%gP86.874$e5.2177885@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:29:58 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979615931 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:32:11 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:32:11 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12872

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> > 10 wpm or 30 wpm is fine by me.  I can handle a bit faster, but I don't
> > enjoy it as much.

> Hmmmmmm, does that mean you don't enjoy 13wpm? :-)

Cecil, everyone loves a wise man . . .

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 3558592134077138433
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:34:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:34:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <940ffg$g11$1@208.206.142.85>
References: <3a627a30.14349239@news.mindspring.com>
<20010115194155.29597.00001931@nso-mi.aol.com>
<6gO86.862$e5.2173409@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12873
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
>
> > >That would be a valid reason IF there was a government
> > >need for amateurs to attain that skill. You can argue that
> > >as you choose, but I fully believe that you'd never convince
> > >the administrations of the world that the skill is needed
> > >today.
>
> > No, I guess I couldn't, because the slouching whiners are very
> > effectively drowning me out. At least I can grasp reality.
>
> Do you really believe that the FCC went against what it felt was best
> because a few people "whined?"

That's petty well how it happened, along with the caveat that it gave
FCC a
very easy out of the problematical Medical Code Waiver dilemna. Riley
himself made the comment on how a "few people can change the world-they
just did" WRT the restructuring.

```
From 2448441136645525445
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:36:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:32:43 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <940fg5$dl7$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85> <3A63B8F2.A34FA38B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979616069 13991 166.102.250.162 (16 Jan 2001 03:34:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:34:29 GMT
```

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12874
```

I don't think he is comparing the severity of the failure to accomplish the task, simply that the two compare in ability to complete the task.

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A63B8F2.A34FA38B@IEEE.org...

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > You'd have just as much success taking off and landing an airplane
> > without prior training and practice as you would successfully making a
> > CW contact on the air without the necessary training and practice.
>
> Absolutely false, Dick. One way you would be dead. The other way you
> would just continue learning Morse code. Do you advocate killing all
> the babies who are not fluent when they utter their first words?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 1352773896658958712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:36:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
xfer.netnews.com.MISMATCH!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 03:32:52 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3a63c0ab.2080697@news.mindspring.com>
References: <IzK86.832$e5.2152240@news.ntplx.net> <93vtd7$q0e$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <kvM86.850$e5.2163583@news.ntplx.net>
<940f6j$g11$0@208.206.142.85>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.71.75
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:32:04 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12875
```

On 16 Jan 2001 03:29:23 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>>

>> Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:
>>
>> > Yes, fully. Personally, I truly believe it was timed with
>> > "Amateur" restructuring to move hams down from VHF to HF,
>> > and geared to show newbies to the HF bands that HF was a
>> > hospitable place to live. That's my opinion, and I'll stay by it.
>> > Knowing the history of the deregulation issue, I believe it's a
>> > fully logical conclusion.
>>
>> In this logical conclusion, are there now fewer VHF-only licencees than
>> there were before the FCC took the sneaky back door approach you believe
>> they did? If so, cite the source. If not, explain why it backfired.
>
> There are certainly fewer VHF> *users*, and that is more revealing than
>numbers of licensees, many of whom are clearly inactive

And just how have you made that determination.
I've seen no lessening of VHF use here in NJ.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -2931808600753713051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <QdO86.861$e5.2173328@news.ntplx.net> <940d63$nol$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <XlP86.875$e5.2178437@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:35:14 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979616247 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:37:27 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:37:27 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12876

Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

> VB> Either way, NOT the actual exam.

> >I'll post the study guide and let folks decide for themselves.

> Let's get this straight, so I don't misunderstand: If you can
> post the STUDY GUIDE re: your Novice and show that a question was
> asked about the unit of resistance in the ACTUAL FCC TEST you
> took for Novice, I'll nominate you for the Nobel Prize in
> Literature.

I think the study guide will let people decide for themselves, Vince. It was, after all, derived from the FCC-published syllabus. For the Novice exam, things were kept pretty simple, so they stuck to the syllabus pretty closely.

If you feel the best way to persuade people to make up their minds your way is to remind them that I don't deserve a Nobel prize in Literature, I won't mind.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 743197199373798113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:40:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <940frv$iof$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3A628A3C.56FFED75@IEEE.org> <20010115194156.29597.00001933@nso-
mi.aol.com> <3A639CDC.AC34CD30@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12878
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> > >If it's not fun, it's not practical, Larry.
> >
> > Don't look now, but a person can live a perfectly normal, healthy,
> > and happy life without ever becoming an amateur radio operator.
> > I am the only licensed ham in my immediate family, and I assure
> > you, my parents, brothers, sisters, girlfriend, nieces, nephews,
> > and scores of friends are all very happy, indeed, without a ham
> > license in their possession. You really need to come up with
> > a better argument than that!
>
> You seemed to have missed the point entirely, Larry. I was talking
> about CW. In the present amateur radio environment, if it's not
> fun, it's not practical. There is no other incentive for a ham
> to know Morse code anymore except for the fun involved. If you
> want to recruit new hams to Morse code, I would suggest you stop
> telling everyone what a pain in the arse it was for you.

For almost everyone it IS a real pain in the arse! Why are so many
easy accessers so opposed to it? Not just because "we never plan on
using it".
No one planned onusing it beyond the time the phonelicnese arrived. Most
of us found the benefits and value of it only after putting up with the
pain until we passed that point. When one approaches it from a "I don't
want to and I shouldn't have to" angle it makes it much harder to to
than if one takes it on as a task to be accomplished.

From -2030420217684650259
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!nntp.flash.net!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior (?)
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:30:27 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A63C053.6B0BC0B4@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net>
<58u86.28956$U4.812484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<93u044$72$1@news.hawaii.edu> <cuvyxnansvbet.g77k081.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<940dss$c9b$1@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:39:08 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10589
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12877

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The Huns have arrived and they won.

Yep, just like the last time tyranny thought they were fixed.
When will you Royalists ever learn your lesson? The Bastille
will always be stormed, sooner or later.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 5147080639909544675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:46:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:34:49 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A63C159.50484547@IEEE.org>
References: <3a627a30.14349239@news.mindspring.com>
<20010115194155.29597.00001931@nso-mi.aol.com>
<6gO86.862$e5.2173409@news.ntplx.net> <940ffg$g11$1@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:43:28 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12879

Dick Carroll wrote:
> That's petty well how it happened, ...

I'm glad you are finally seeing the light and admitting it was a petty issue.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -2080751756739558518
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:50:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:38:31 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A63C237.927F3D91@IEEE.org>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-fy1.aol.com> <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85> <3A63B8F2.A34FA38B@IEEE.org> <940fg5$dl7$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:47:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12880

W4JLE wrote:
> I don't think he is comparing the severity of the failure to accomplish the
> task, simply that the two compare in ability to complete the task.

But a comparison of those tasks is like me comparing my ability to blow
my nose to the task of landing a man on the moon.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1090437611212819269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:49:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <940gci$jud$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85> <940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12881

Cecil wrote:
>
> W4JLE wrote:
> > Let alone the fact that on/off keying is not Morse. A string of dots or
> > dashes at 60 WPM from a built in keyer does not a Morse operator make.
>
> Nobody said anything about Morse operators. The accusation is that
> Morse equipment is being used by code-tested hams for the purpose
> of jamming. The answer is obvious.

Again,Cecil has twisted the subject to fit his own Agenda. What Jim
said was that NO NAL's were ever issued to any operator for operation
using Morse code.
Then Cecil not so subtly changed the entire situation to a "using Morse
code equipment" theme, contrary to the original. He ignores of course
that any ham radio transciever is capable of Morse code. And as Fred
says, keying a key or keyer to cause an RF carrier doesn't make Morse
code. Any Freebander who has no ham license but does have a ham
tranceiver for his bootlegging operations can have all the CW sending
gear he wants,and many are said to have it. The one jammer case that I
personally know of was not a licensed ham at all, but he jammed with a
carrier and audio.

From -1309125165651478482
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:52:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:51:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <940gg2$jud$1@208.207.71.175>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <9407mr$qlq$0@208.206.142.85> <3A63B241.EDC10850@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12882

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Who in the world is dumb enough to actually believe he can jam anyone
> > with dits and dahs?
>
> The same dumb $hits who listen to 3950. Try it. You will hear CW
> equipment being used for the jamming by code-tested hams - guaranteed.

Who in the world is dumb enough to want to listen to 3950???

From -9161268309042347336
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:52:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <940ghp$jud$2@208.207.71.175>
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114174200.05250.00001610@ng-ff1.aol.com> <93vda1$8p0$0@208.207.71.134> <3A639F03.E2E9859E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12883

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > That remark is inconsistant with reality and you know it.
>
> Dick, I don't often think of you in church but this Sunday I did.
> The preacher said, "To belittle is to be little." I instantly

> thought of you.

That remark is inconsistant with reality and you know it.

```
From 4251351574899730884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:54:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:43:15 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A63C353.501C80F8@IEEE.org>
References: <3A628A3C.56FFED75@IEEE.org> <20010115194156.29597.00001933@nso-
mi.aol.com> <3A639CDC.AC34CD30@IEEE.org> <940frv$iof$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:52:45 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12884
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> For almost everyone it (CW) IS a real pain in the arse!

Dick, if you keep that up nobody in the world will want to learn it.
For me, Morse code was fun. My cousin and I learned it without any
rewards. It was trivially easy to learn. It is so trivially easy
to learn that a Morse code requirement just doesn't make any sense.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 4254648927896510682
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 19:56:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:46:05 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A63C3FD.B03185CC@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85>
<940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org>
<940gci$jud$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:55:30 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12885
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> The one jammer case that I
> personally know of was not a licensed ham at all, but he jammed with a
> carrier and audio.

So you, who admittedly knows of only ONE case of jamming, are presenting yourself as an expert on jamming. Why am I not surprised?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 6149294845844666015
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 20:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:48:39 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A63C497.B74527A7@IEEE.org>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-
cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <9407mr$qlq$0@208.206.142.85>
<3A63B241.EDC10850@IEEE.org> <940gg2$jud$1@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 03:58:04 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
```

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12886

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Who in the world is dumb enough to want to listen to 3950???

Anyone who wants to present himself as an expert on jamming.
Obviously, not you, since you only ever heard ONE jammer.

Hey, Dick, I'm an expert on birds. I saw one, one time.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -3535106511818657234
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 20:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 21:50:53 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A63C51D.603B3C79@IEEE.org>
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114174200.05250.00001610@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <93vda1$8p0$0@208.207.71.134> <3A639F03.E2E9859E@IEEE.org>
<940ghp$jud$2@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 04:00:18 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12887

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Dick, I don't often think of you in church but this Sunday I did.
> > The preacher said, "To belittle is to be little." I instantly
> > thought of you.
>
>  That remark is inconsistant with reality and you know it.

Nope, it is the gospel truth. I don't know anyone who belittles
people more than you do.
--
```

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 33069092773062354
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 20:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 20:06:03 -0800
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A63C8AB.F63ED2F6@ix.netcom.com>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <93vsmj$1hn$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.f7.cd.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 04:06:04 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12888
```

W4JLE wrote:

>
>
> You never did answer the question if anyone actually operates SS Morse?
>
>
> I think it was called "spark".

```
From 6706647516234268387
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 20:10:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 04:08:15 GMT
```

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 78
Message-ID: <940hff$mm7$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114175449.05250.00001614@ng-ff1.aol.com> <9407f4$q2b$0@208.206.142.85> <PzO86.866$e5.2175213@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12889

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>

> Do you believe that having a test that emphasizes one more over all others
> IS infinitely multfaceted? Do you believe that emphasizing one mode will
> foster diversity in the Amateur Radio Service?
>

It is simply NOT TRUE that the tests emphasize one mode over all others. Morse code is simply not subject to testing that does not include the ability to function as an operator using that mode.That is no different than it has been for a hundred years. All assertions otherwise are smoke and chaff.

> >You know as well as I that it is simply impossible to operate CW without a
> minimum
> > level of training and practice.
>
> Of course it takes training, but for most hams, it is self training. As to
> practice, I got all of mine after I got my license.
>

Great! Would that some of the wannabees would do the same today.

> > Those who want to operate CW must undergo that training.
>
> Those who want to operate CW must either learn the code or buy a TNC and
> read the manual.

And then act like BrianBurkeyTurkey and scream "Poor amateur practice" when some handsent signal comes along they can't copy.

>

> > Anyone who just hooks up a key or a paddle to his rig with the builtin
> > keyer will fail right out of the gate and you know it as well as I. How
> > can you at ARRL NOT to be merely trying to grease the skids for the
> > demise of the mode?
>
> Why would I want to end the mode that I use 99% of the time? Do you really
> believe that I am not posting what I personally believe?

What I can't believe is that you really truly believe that any significant number of todays hams will ever learn it if they don't do it for a test. It just doesn't compute based on history.

> > Now you'regoing to tell me we have that sort of spectrum crowding problem
> today. And I'll send you to
> > scan the 70 cm band and report back.
>
> Why would I want to tell you that, Dick. I have said a number of times that
> our occupancy of the higher bands is low. Did you miss the posts?
>
> > So you've joined all the anti CW goons in slamming CW supportgers as
> > Luddites then? No one has EVER said anything about the ARS not evolving
> > and updating.
>
> > It IS an anti-laziness campaign.
>
> The difficulty of the present entry-level tests are already much much harder
> than they were when I studied those 4 pages to be a Novice. How can you say
> it is a laziness issue?
>

You really don't want to read all that again, factual as it is.

It is very easy to believe that the wheels at ARRL are resigned to an ARS of any kind, at whatever level FCC decides to drop it to, in the desperate hope that it will be able to remain in existance at all.

From 5364010628085629489
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 20:12:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 04:11:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 13
Message-ID: <940hlr$mm7$1@208.207.71.175>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
<93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134> <3A63A0B6.3612071F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12890

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Bet you a lobster that the same thing occurs when the do dropthe code
> > test entirely.
>
> Last year you were betting that hoards of CBers and freebanders were
> about to invade the ARS. Which is it?

You read when I said it- they're already here, they came early.

From 1465698089004509361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 20:16:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 04:16:05 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <940hu5$qit$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <XlP86.875$e5.2178437@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979618565 27229 149.174.88.254 (16
Jan 2001 04:16:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 04:16:05 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12891

>I think the study guide will let people decide for themselves,
>Vince. It was, after all, derived from the FCC-published
>syllabus. For the Novice exam, things were kept pretty simple,
>so they stuck to the syllabus pretty closely.

Ed, having just admitted you don't remember what was on your Novice exam, you know not of what you speak (in my opinion; no offense). On the other hand, I for a fact remember that question wasn't there. And the reason I remember it is that I specifically remember saying to myself "even the Novice exam wouldn't be so trivial as for them to ask me what the unit of resistance is. The test is a giveaway as it is!" The only break I could possibly cut you is that some exams had that question, by chance. But I've yet to run into one that specifically remembers it. Just too easy. Ridiculous, in fact.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

```
From 8734812372639736785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 20:26:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: 16 Jan 2001 04:24:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <940ido$ons$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <93vsmj$1hn$1@iac5.navix.net>
<GZK86.162$Ks.160363@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A63A7D7.D8CBA8D2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12892
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> > Not that it makes a lot of difference but one Gerald G. Schmitt, KK5YY of
> > Los Alamos seems to have gotten his Extra 30 May presumably as a Welfare
> > Extra. Pot, Kettle, Black?

>
> Ten years from now, how are you going to tell welfare extras from
> anyone else? Won't renewal time be a great equalizer?
>

Ten years from now, if there is still an ARS, the only class in existance will be Extra. When FCC drops all the other classes they won't dare off end or insult anyone by renaming their license, so they'll have to make every licensee an Extra. Whatta concept- the no code, no theory Extra!

That's what they started on April 15. I doubt it'll take ten years to complete the job.

From -6464045110876505766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 20:26:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:16:07 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3A63CB07.E8F61E06@IEEE.org>
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114175449.05250.00001614@ng-ff1.aol.com> <9407f4$q2b$0@208.206.142.85> <PzO86.866$e5.2175213@news.ntplx.net> <940hff$mm7$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.f0
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 04:23:31 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12893

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> It is simply NOT TRUE that the tests emphasize one mode over all
> others.

How you can delude yourself and attempt to delude others is beyond belief. One exam is for Morse code. One exam is for everything else in ham radio combined. If one flunks either exam, one doesn't pass. Therefore, the Morse code test is EQUAL to everything else in amateur radio combined. Each exam is pass/fail. Exactly what is it about this situation that you don't understand?

> What I can't believe is that you really truly believe that any
> significant number of todays hams will ever learn it if they don't do it
> for a test.

What I cannot believe is that you believe in a tyranny of testing where
one mode is considered as important as everything else in ham radio
combined. Where is your sense of justice and fairness?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -8241601074261739171
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 20:31:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!
gip.net!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:20:27 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A63CC0B.ECE64DA8@IEEE.org>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
<93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134> <3A63A0B6.3612071F@IEEE.org>
<940hlr$mm7$1@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.f0
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 04:27:48 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12894

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Last year you were betting that hoards of CBers and freebanders were
> > about to invade the ARS. Which is it?
>
> You read when I said it- they're already here, they came early.

So exactly what is wrong with CBers and freebanders who enter the
ARS and obey the rules? Aren't you, in essence, advocating a sort

```
of racism? Black = 0wpm. White = 20wpm. Hispanic = 5wpm. Asian = 13wpm.
Blacks don't deserve equal rights.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -3506403217010246426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 20:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:26:08 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A63CD60.2A9D1486@IEEE.org>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <93vsmj$1hn$1@iac5.navix.net>
<GZK86.162$Ks.160363@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A63A7D7.D8CBA8D2@IEEE.org>
<940ido$ons$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.f0
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 04:33:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12895

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Ten years from now, if there is still an ARS, the only class in
> existance will be Extra. When FCC drops all the other classes they won't
> dare off end or insult anyone by renaming their license, so they'll have
> to make every licensee an Extra. Whatta concept- the no code, no theory
> Extra! That's what they started on April 15. I doubt it'll take ten years
> to complete the job.

"So let it be written - so let it be done." Dicken Little, Jan. 15, 2001
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 30575290374113631
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 20:46:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
```

```
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 16 Jan 2001 04:46:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <940jmb$rri$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114212143.15225.00000347@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9409l3$1jk$2@208.206.142.85> <_bO86.860$e5.2173135@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12896
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > Don't overlook the big point-again. Fred can easily operate those
> > modes. Nocoders cannot easily operate CW. It takes a little personal
> > involvement and dedication to skillhuilding.
>
> Now, if all of these new hams can memorize over 1,100 questions in the
> question pool in a weekend of studying, I am sure they can memorize 26
> characters and a few punctuations "easily."

Replay #976.... They don't memorize the questions and answers, they
make word associations so that when they read a question they look for
the familiar choice among the possibles, and can pick it out often
enough to pass. That's after studying only a single test element, not
the whole question pool. Vast difference, but you already knew that.

> I do agree, though, those who don't know Morse code can't easily use the
> mode. They would have to buy a TNC and read the manual. :-)
>
> I am curious, what can Fred to easily operate spread spectrum?

Borrow my cordless telephone to make a call.

```
From -2330634846179632457
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 21:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 16 Jan 2001 05:07:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <940kv9$1po$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-fy1.aol.com> <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85> <H8O86.858$e5.2172842@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12897

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > You'd have just as much success taking off and landing an airplane without prior training and practice as you would successfully making a CW contact on the air without the necessary training and practice. And I'm sure that you know it.
>
> Dick, you forgot the smiley.

Ok, :-)

> I learned CW in 1963, from a chart and by saying dit-dah in my head a lot. W1BNB sent code at 5 wpm and I copied it. I got my Novice. When I made that first shaky CQ, I do not believe that I had anything more than this self training, and absolutely zero practice.

Ah,but you DID have training and W1BNB sent you some code practice. Enough to get you started. Do you equate all that to a couple written questions on a written exam?

> Do you really believe that if W1BNB had not checked my code speed at 5 wpm, that I would not have been able to get on the air and do my first shaky QSO? I would not have done so until I knew the code.

Do you really believe that no ham who had never had that Novice style training and bit of practice would get on the air and give it a go anyway, with a lookup chart, at .00001 WPM?

> Would you ever sing a song in front of a large group of people unless you
> knew the words?

I can't carry one (tune) in bushel basket.

>
> Do you really equate my learning code at a shaky 5 wpm and making a QSO that
> I wasn't really ready for with flying a plane?

Well, my first solo was no masterpiece either, but I did make it back. The second time around was better. On the third, full of newfound confidence, I let it get a little slow just before touchdown and the Cessna 150 stalled -quit flying- at about three feet off the ground. It fell the rest of the way with a thud, bounced and bucked like a wild bronco, and I got the world record for a short rollout, no more than 50 to 75 feet. I didn't know it, but the stall warning indicator wasn't working.

My instructor, who was watching the whole affair from a runwasy marker nearby, made me get back in and take it around again, just for grins and to regain my aplomb. I never again let one get too slow on final.

That lesson was worth a lot of hours of ordinary flying. The lesson was that you never "have it made" till the machine is parked and tied down, and you never assume anything.

```
From -7829765462654556466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 21:12:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!216.218.236.179.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 16 Jan 2001 05:10:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <940l48$1po$1@208.207.71.175>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85> <H8O86.858$e5.2172842@news.ntplx.net>
<3A63B973.E08BAF78@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12898

Cecil wrote:
>
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> > Do you really equate my learning code at a shaky 5 wpm and making a QSO that
> > I wasn't really ready for with flying a plane?
>
> Unfortunately, he does. There are many crazy people out there, Ed.
>

Cecil speaks from experience again, we see. He's about as looney as they come. No one "equated" any such thing. Equating is not at all the same as making a comparison. They DO compare. Neither can be done with no training or practice.

From -2531331947419175963
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 21:26:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 05:24:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <940lu5$34p$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85> <940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org> <940gci$jud$0@208.207.71.175> <3A63C3FD.B03185CC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12899

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The one jammer case that I
> > personally know of was not a licensed ham at all, but he jammed with a
> > carrier and audio.

>
> So you, who admittedly knows of only ONE case of jamming, are presenting
> yourself as an expert on jamming. Why am I not surprised?
>

You're too dense to be surprised. Just because I've known personally only one jamming case that comes immediately to mind, that means I have no knowledge of any other? I can't read my monthly QST like you do? I guess you've been "personally involved" in dozens of jammng cases and that makes you an expert?

```
From -7485145244986039313
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 21:26:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 05:26:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <940m1p$34p$1@208.207.71.175>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-
cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <9407mr$qlq$0@208.206.142.85>
<3A63B241.EDC10850@IEEE.org> <940gg2$jud$1@208.207.71.175>
<3A63C497.B74527A7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12900
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Who in the world is dumb enough to want to listen to 3950???
>
> Anyone who wants to present himself as an expert on jamming.
> Obviously, not you, since you only ever heard ONE jammer.
>
> Hey, Dick, I'm an expert on birds. I saw one, one time.

Oh,I hear jamming on a weekend net every week. But we just ignore the idiot and he takes his No Code arse somewhere else to try to gain his

```
"revenge".


From -464743207015198515
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 21:28:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 05:27:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <940m35$34p$2@208.207.71.175>
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114174200.05250.00001610@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <93vda1$8p0$0@208.207.71.134> <3A639F03.E2E9859E@IEEE.org>
<940ghp$jud$2@208.207.71.175> <3A63C51D.603B3C79@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12901



Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > Dick, I don't often think of you in church but this Sunday I did.
> > > The preacher said, "To belittle is to be little." I instantly
> > > thought of you.
> >
> >  That remark is inconsistant with reality and you know it.
>
> Nope, it is the gospel truth. I don't know anyone who belittles
> people more than you do.
>
 To find one you only have to look in the mirror.


From 6040395106492176031
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 21:32:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
```

```
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: 16 Jan 2001 05:32:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <940mcj$34p$3@208.207.71.175>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<rJJ86.824$e5.2146968@news.ntplx.net> <3A63A742.688ED17B@IEEE.org>
<R3O86.857$e5.2172501@news.ntplx.net> <3A63BA68.7453B09F@IEEE.org>
<%gP86.874$e5.2177885@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12902



"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> > "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> > > 10 wpm or 30 wpm is fine by me.  I can handle a bit faster, but I don't
> > > enjoy it as much.
>
> > Hmmmmmm, does that mean you don't enjoy 13wpm? :-)
>
> Cecil, everyone loves a wise man . . .


But none a smartass!


From -7349925422590845391
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 22:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:09:54 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 36
```

Message-ID: <940oi9$l1g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A55190B.C60FA4AB@uci.edu> <20010114125938.00714.00001781@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-43.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12903

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010114125938.00714.00001781@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <3A55190B.C60FA4AB@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >
> >You still haven't given me an example of one in which it would be
> >appropriate to arm ourselves against our own government. I can't think
> >of one, and I certainly can't think of one that we could win.

> An example of a citizen militia rising up against tyranny would be a
> coordinated, mass demonstration by armed citizens on the steps of
> the Capital, demanding redress of specific grievances.

Weapons are not permitted on the steps of the Capitol. You could get arrested! An even better place to take the guns would be to Andrews Air Force base. Lots of things to shoot at there, and a great opportunity to size up the opposition.

> Naturally,
> the D.C. Police and the military would be called out, but it would
> be up to them to fire the first shots on citizens who were simply
> taking advantage of one of their Constitutional rights. When the
> "lawful authorities" did fire on such citizens, then they would then
> be responsible for the beginning of Civil War II. At that point, the
> police and military, composed of the sons, fathers, daughters, and
> mothers of the revolutionaries, would then be required to fight
> against potentially tens of millions of armed citizens who wish to
> live in freedom from government oppression and tyranny.

You certainly do have a vivid imagination there, young man.

73, ac6xg

From -9079447583209827162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 22:42:04 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 22:33:30 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <940pvc$ls1$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A5E03D9.ECCF32DF@uci.edu> <20010113111858.02315.00001729@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-43.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12904

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message > I learned Morse code at speeds greater than 5 WPM because
> it was the current requirement to obtain an Extra-class license!
> If the requirement hadn't existed, neither would my achievement --
> and I would not have benefited from the enjoyment of reasonable
> proficiency in this practical communications skill. It's as simple
> as that.

And if the requirement for Extra had been 1B, you would never have been able to work any faster than 13 WPM? I'm sorry, but I don't believe you.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 3828486597070596963
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 22:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: kk5yy@arrl.net (Gerald Schmitt)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 06:47:23 GMT
Organization: KK5YY
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3a64eaaa.17524947@nntp.mindspring.com>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vm5n$pj0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3a646aa2.360000040@nntp.mindspring.com> <93vsbd$19p$1@iac5.navix.net>

Reply-To: kk5yy@arrl.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.32.d9.f9
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 06:46:46 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99g/32.339
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12905

On Mon, 15 Jan 2001 17:05:55 -0500, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

>OK, Gerald you have it all figured out. Now as Ed suggested would you care
>to set a skid?
>
>"Gerald Schmitt" <kk5yy@arrl.net> wrote in message
>news:3a646aa2.360000040@nntp.mindspring.com...
>> On Mon, 15 Jan 2001 15:20:27 -0500, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>>

First you'll have to tell me what a "skid" is. Isn't that a wooden
thing something like a pallet. Tell you what better than a sked
why not have a little discussion of Physics.

Jupiter has a magnetic field, why?

Under what circumstances might a noble gas be conductive?

When you're finished with these questions I give you some more.

I think I've wasted enough time on foolish arguments and
foolish people. Take a lesson from Chip don't be so nasty
and superior and folks won't make fun of you.

BTW I still don't believe you, sorry I don't find bravado compelling.

From -176748989658564328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 23:11:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <hvs76tougkhmnoti3vp6pqb3qsqr32h3it@4ax.com>
References: <3K176.682$e5.1734926@news.ntplx.net> <93ibdd$bgc$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3a5cefbb.3376669@news.mindspring.com>

<02cq5ts5tjione2h95egfjuo90s7t7pqu2@4ax.com>
<3a5e7a5c.1610274@news.mindspring.com> <93onmd$hql$0@208.207.71.142>
<3a6065fc.2468215@news.mindspring.com>
<h7316tcuqnklfilutpfjg998vmc324ek7u@4ax.com> <GCK86.833$e5.2152478@news.ntplx.net>
<5p776t4ma99f3aq0rk8ojf9tmtameuiqmb@4ax.com> <mrO86.865$e5.2174282@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 33
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 07:10:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979629047 209.86.205.98 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 23:10:47 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 23:10:47 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12906

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

>Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>> >Do you believe that it should be illegal for people to talk about the
>> >content of the tests after they have taken them?
>
>> Do you think it was morally correct for Bash to circumvent the FCC
>> tests by publishing the exact questions and answers, thus allowing
>> hams to pass the test by cheating?
>
>You didn't answer the question.
>
>> >Do you believe it should be illegal to print information about the
>> >content of the tests after they have been taken?
>
>> Do you believe it would be OK for me to lawfully but clandestinely
>> obtain a copy of an ARRL employment exam, say from an employee who
>> owes me a favor, and print and sell it to those seeking employment at
>> ARRL?
>
>You didn't answer that question, either.
>
>Could you do me a favor and at least read the things you didn't say between
>your lines.

You have a habit of making comments by asking questions. I was just
commenting on your questions with my questions. Don't you like your
own debating style?? :-)

BTW, you didn't answer my questions either...

From -5733815283008584060
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 23:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <60t76tc6077s78b7385fiu9chr7oibr2r0@4ax.com>
References: <jshv5t008pvbs047hfercu0tq4ncqstgm9@4ax.com>
<20010115074814.09203.00001195@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<eo776tsaut54edh7vsq2emvudm5g3l42go@4ax.com> <ApO86.864$e5.2174207@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 07:10:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979629046 209.86.205.98 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 23:10:46 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 23:10:46 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12907

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>Let's take the first 100 CW QSOs from the 2001 W1AW logs

If you have the time, do it. It will be interesting to see the result.

>from those operators whose age can be identified

I have a 3000+ QSO CW (only) log that stretches over the last ten years. Most of the entries have the age identified. That's where I made my estimate from...

From 8390381463836342324
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 23:12:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <0vs76tod4d14b908ngqn0lbqv5qvpul9fl@4ax.com>
References: <XlP86.875$e5.2178437@news.ntplx.net> <940hu5$qit$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 07:10:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979629048 209.86.205.98 (Mon, 15 Jan 2001 23:10:48 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 15 Jan 2001 23:10:48 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12908

Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:
>I for a fact remember that question
>wasn't there. And the reason I remember it is that I specifically
>remember saying to myself "even the Novice exam wouldn't be so
>trivial as for them to ask me what the unit of resistance is.

In my 1949 ARRL license manual the first line of question #1 for the
class B and class C license is: "Name the basic unit of electrical
resistance" and the first line in the answer block is "The unit of
electrical resistance is the ohm"...

From -305752619817481329
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 23:20:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!uunet!sea.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 02:17:05 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <940skt$lc8$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85> <940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org> <940dju$cdb$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63BC67.A9A02124@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979629533 21896 166.102.250.162 (16 Jan 2001 07:18:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 07:18:53 GMT

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12909

Not a case of coming to any senses, as you stated your premise, any ham on the air is assumed to be licensed and if on HF has passed a code test. Out of this universe you select a group of jammers, out of that group some non-Morse operator uses a keyer to Jam.

The original premise was that of the people issued citations, those that operate CW were not among that subset.

Just as I agree with your premise as stated, the fact is that I have not been able to find a case of jamming by Morse operators that resulted in a citation in the CW portions of the bands.

I am aware that a number of disgruntled hams have operated over W1AW code practice, while one may find this reprehensible, I don't think you can interfere with a broadcast. At least I can find no citations issued.

You were being hypertechnical, and I am now suspicious that you may be the advisor that told Clinton to argue the meaning of "is".

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A63BC67.A9A02124@IEEE.org...
> W4JLE wrote:
> > Given the premise as you have stated, one could not disagree.
>
> It's good to see that you have finally come to your senses.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -6286585730181076374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 23:22:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!216.218.236.179.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!uunet!sea.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 02:20:16 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <940sqt$lgs$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-

fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85>
<940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org>
<940gci$jud$0@208.207.71.175> <3A63C3FD.B03185CC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979629725 22044 166.102.250.162 (16 Jan 2001 07:22:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 07:22:05 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12910

So we are to assume from your derisive tone, you are aware of all jammers?

Or is your knowledge limited to those using Morse capable equipment?

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A63C3FD.B03185CC@IEEE.org...
>
> So you, who admittedly knows of only ONE case of jamming, are presenting
> yourself as an expert on jamming. Why am I not surprised?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -3414420847130331113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 23:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 02:22:02 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <940su6$lhb$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114174200.05250.00001610@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <93vda1$8p0$0@208.207.71.134> <3A639F03.E2E9859E@IEEE.org>
<940ghp$jud$2@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.162.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979629830 22059 166.102.250.162 (16 Jan 2001 07:23:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 07:23:50 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12911

That remark is inconsistent with reality as you know it.

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:940ghp$jud$2@208.207.71.175...
>
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > That remark is inconsistant with reality and you know it.
> >
> > Dick, I don't often think of you in church but this Sunday I did.
> > The preacher said, "To belittle is to be little." I instantly
> > thought of you.
>
>
>  That remark is inconsistant with reality and you know it.




From -7246462263182725482
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-15 23:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 01:52:16 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <940rkq$gjc$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net> <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
<93vog4$3gi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-03-15.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 979628506 17004 209.43.66.127 (16 Jan 2001 07:01:46
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 07:01:46 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10604
```

```
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12912

No, actually I do have some nice equipment. Would you call a FRG 100 a piece
of trash. How about a 909 not to mention others. Riley been talking to you
lately. Actually as far as a job goes, I have one not to mention other means
of producing income. Something that would not apply to a bum like yourself.
"KC8JBO" <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:93vog4$3gi$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
>   "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Hopefully KC8JBO will be getting a notice for his on air behavior. He
> needs
> > to be off the air like WB2OTK and some of the others.
>
> Hopefully, "DX405" will get a better job so he can afford something
> other than CHEAP-ASS RADIO SHACK RADIOS.
> But cheap is as cheap does......
>
> > "Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
> > news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...
> > > Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful.  Time for more
> official
> > > notices?
> >
> >
>
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From 9151907138042706404
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 03:02:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 10:52:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 12
```

Message-ID: <94194s$bld$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A55190B.C60FA4AB@uci.edu> <20010114125938.00714.00001781@nso-md.aol.com> <940oi9$l1g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.73
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 10:52:13 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.73
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12913

In article <940oi9$l1g$1@news.service.uci.edu>,
"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> An even better place to take the guns would be to Andrews Air
> Force base. Lots of things to shoot at there, and a great opportunity
> to size up the opposition.

Not really. Andy is probably the lamest AFB around. Quantico is in the
same neighborhood. Try that one.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8348041710374846372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 04:42:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: No-code kills
Date: 16 Jan 2001 12:41:57 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <941fil$qi4$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979648917 27204 149.174.88.251 (16 Jan 2001 12:41:57 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 12:41:57 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12914

>Uh huh, well, how about sending me copies of your file copies,
>anyway? I'll even give you a quarter a page to cover your costs.

As I said Paul, get your info from ARRL. While you're at it, ask
them of the details of what's gone down the past 10 years
internally. I wouldn't want to skew the information
unintentionally, or just in case I've missed the fine details,

i.e., some nuances.

>Posting to news is such a bother, and sending E-mail is so easy.
>What say I just E-mail you my postings, and you can pass them on
>to rec.radio.amateur.policy for me from now on?

Better to post up right on this newsgroup, so there's no
misunderstanding of misquotes, etc. Everything right in the open.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 1977785645951592582
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:02:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 12:45:28 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <941fp7$gct$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net> <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
<93vog4$3gi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <940rkq$gjc$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.30.106.13
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 12:45:28 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 198.30.106.13
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10617
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12915

In article <940rkq$gjc$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
  "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> No, actually I do have some nice equipment. Would you call a FRG 100
a piece
> of trash. How about a 909 not to mention others. Riley been talking
to you
> lately. Actually as far as a job goes, I have one not to mention
other means
> of producing income. Something that would not apply to a bum like
yourself.

Yeah, but being a GAY male prostitute must be difficult to list as a
job title on yout IRS tax forms.

```
> "KC8JBO" <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:93vog4$3gi$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <93tmh7$9g6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
> >   "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > Hopefully KC8JBO will be getting a notice for his on air
behavior. He
> > needs
> > > to be off the air like WB2OTK and some of the others.
> >
> > Hopefully, "DX405" will get a better job so he can afford something
> > other than CHEAP-ASS RADIO SHACK RADIOS.
> > But cheap is as cheap does......
> >
> > > "Joe Black" <jbla88@houston.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3A623756.33C94D8B@houston.net...
> > > > Fortunately, Riley Hollingsworth got an earful.  Time for more
> > official
> > > > notices?
> > >
> > >
> >
> > --
> > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > Yaesu FT-90R
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -3514081472283680464
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:08:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:06:54 GMT
References: <9409ha$1jk$1@208.206.142.85>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010116080654.05657.00001657@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12916

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Well that's sure no shortcoming you couldn't overcome in short order.
>Just RTFM, plug all the black boxes together look at the screen and GET
>BORED.

Most SS communication is for voice operation, not computer-to-computer.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3497136135787531127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:10:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:08:27 GMT
References: <940jmb$rri$0@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010116080827.05657.00001658@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12917

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I am curious, what can Fred to easily operate spread spectrum?

> Borrow my cordless telephone to make a call.

Are you advocating that he take the lazy way out?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 3126340697624174848
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:12:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:10:45 GMT
References: <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010116081045.05657.00001659@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12918

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Does you sense of what is true and proper not
>get just a little uncomfortable as time goes by and you find yourself
>deeply involved in propping up a total charade?

Do you think that I don't believe the things I post?

What makes me uncomfortable is reading some of the posts to which I have
commented.  That is why I offer my views or ask questions.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 4328672086864663029
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:16:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:13:57 GMT
References: <940l48$1po$1@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010116081357.05657.00001661@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12919
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>They DO compare. Neither can be done with
>no training or practice.

Dick is correct; one must learn the code before using it. One must learn how to fly a plane before flying it. The difference is that one teaches one's self Morse code. They can do so successfully in virtually all cases with or without any formal demonstration of that ability. People would not get on the air and try Morse code unless they had learned it first. For that reason, the plane analogy is technically correct, but not very useful to the discussion at hand.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2340522249714868830
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A644919.6594B8CC@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
References: <3A62D8B6.8D392808@dvol.com> <20010115072439.09203.00001186@ng-cr1.aol.com> <3A632CAA.EAAD8B81@dvol.com> <FFK86.834$e5.2152704@news.ntplx.net> <3A638F99.17D9E71C@dvol.com> <aQM86.855$e5.2165535@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 50
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 08:14:02 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.61
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979650870 206.20.144.61 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 07:14:30 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 07:14:30 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12920

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>
> > Just DO it, it's your lab right? Oh, never mind . . !
>
> The Lab testing is under my control and I try to make sure that equipment is

> tested within a few days of its arrival. Usually, a rig like that will
> spend about 5-8 days in the screen room, being tested thoroughly from DC to
> daylight.

>
By who? Wilson?
>

> From there, the radios go to a reviewer, or, more
> likely, several reviewers. That is the part that is NOT under my control,
> even if the reviewer happens to be a Lab guy.

>
Who does control who does what when as far as what radios get reviewed and
scheduled for review publication?
>

>The reviewers are contract

> authors, paid the standard page rates. (Policy is that staff, officers or
> Technical Advisors can do reviews.)

>
A bit of moonlighting on the company dime, eh? Heh.
>

> The test results, btw, are summarized in the test-result table in the
> review, and for most rigs into an expanded test-result report. I put a
> sample up at http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/k2.pdf, if you want a quick
> link. (This is on the public site.)

>
The detailed K2 report, tnx. Can't complain about leaving many rocks unturned!
>

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From 1180640891514954752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:20:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:19:13 GMT
References: <940kv9$1po$0@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010116081913.05657.00001662@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12921

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Ah,but you DID have training and W1BNB sent you some code practice.
>Enough to get you started.

No, he did not. I learned the Morse code from a table, saying "Q dah-dah-di-dah" a lot. He then sent about a minute of text and looked at my copy, asked me to send a few seconds and signed the form that indicated that I knew Morse code. If he had not signed the form, I still would have known Morse code. At that point, with or without the form, I was as ready as I was going to be to operate on the air. The only thing the form gave me was the regulatory authority to do so.

I know you know this.

>Do you really believe that no ham who had never had that Novice style
>training and bit of practice would get on the air and give it a go
>anyway, with a lookup chart, at .00001 WPM?

Sure they might. It is legal for any ham to do so on the only two CW-only sub-bands. If you believe that on-the-air operation should have a minimum speed, it would be more efficient to handle that with a rule about a minimum code speed. Did you recommend that in your comments to the FCC?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>Do you really believe that no ham who had never had that Novice style
>training and bit of practice would get on the air and give it a go
>anyway, with a lookup chart, at .00001 WPM?

From 7690471272461194487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:22:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!

portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:20:52 GMT
References: <940fg5$dl7$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010116082052.05657.00001663@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12922

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>I don't think he is comparing the severity of the failure to accomplish the
>task, simply that the two compare in ability to complete the task.

Which do you believe to be orders of magnitude easier -- teaching one's self Morse code or teaching one's self how to fly?

Equating an analogy that is orders of magnitude different than the subject being discussed is not logical.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1816877602119710928
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:30:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:28:29 GMT
References: <20010115194155.29597.00001932@nso-mi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010116082829.05657.00001664@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12923

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>What if a situation arose where people's lives depended on
>communicating with the outside world, and the only mode that
>would work was some form of Morse/CW? Ever hear of a
>fractured larynx?

How many hams do you think have operated Morse code in an emergency because they had fracturered their larynx?

I miss the list of reasons to keep the code. "You might fracture your larynx
someday in an emergency" could be the #1 answer.

>What if the only HF transceiver available
had a choice between SSB or CW, and there was only a single
>gel cell battery to power it? How long could it transmit on QRP
>CW as opposed to SSB at 100 watts?

I would use the drive or microphone control to reduce power to 10 W PEP SSB;
that would consume less power than 5 W CW.

Reason #2, "You might only have a gel cell and not know how to use your drive
or microphone gain controls to reduce power on SSB."

>The problem with the Morse/CW mode is that in order to
>effectively exploit its practical advantages, one needs to know
>the Morse code! Can you at least agree to that?

Pretty much. You have said it is child's play to learn at 5 wpm, so I am sure
if someone chooses to exploit its practical advantages, they will do so.
Perhaps you would serve the cause more effectively if you expounded on the
practical advantages instead of the parade of demeaning statements you have
made to those who are not presently choosing to use the mode...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4392206113874130086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:32:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:31:28 GMT
References: <940frv$iof$0@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010116083128.05657.00001666@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12924

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>No one planned on using it beyond the time the phone licnese arrived.

Your "no one" does not include me. I developed my love of the code as a Novice and when I upgraded to General, I didn't like phone.

I find it odd that you are "promoting" the mode by telling everyone how horrible it is. It seems to me that you are trashing the mode just so you can be right in this "debate."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 5116503646012686233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:34:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:33:07 GMT
References: <3A63C353.501C80F8@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010116083307.05657.00001667@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12925
```

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>It was trivially easy to learn.

I don't know if "trivial" is the right word for everyone, Cecil. It took me about 2 weeks, as I recall, and that was after having learned it in the Scouts a few years earlier.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -926980201529830494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:34:56 GMT
```

References: <940ffg$g11$1@208.206.142.85>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010116083456.05657.00001668@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12926

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Do you really believe that the FCC went against what it felt was best
>> because a few people "whined?"

>That's petty well how it happened,

Do you believe that the reasons the FCC gave in its R&O were a coverup for what you have told us is the real, albeit secret, reason?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6230906418087571986
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:38:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:36:13 GMT
References: <20010115194156.29597.00001934@nso-mi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010116083613.05657.00001669@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12927

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I now regret the 14 years of fun I missed while I spent
>that time loudly objecting to code testing requirements.

And think of all the fun you are talking others out of having by being bitter and negative about the present code testing requirements.

I think you are managing not to have fun on both ends of this one. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4156560964647023378

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:42:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:40:14 GMT
References: <940f6j$g11$0@208.206.142.85>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010116084014.05657.00001671@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12928

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> There are certainly fewer VHF> *users*, and that is more revealing than
>numbers of licensees, many of whom are clearly inactive.

I have not noticed any significant change in VHF useage since 4/15.  Is it
different in your area?  By what percentage has VHF use declined?  What licence
class shows that decline?  Can you point to any data?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 6280266137727581558
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:43:12 GMT
References: <3A63A0B6.3612071F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010116084312.05657.00001673@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12929

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
```

>Last year you were betting that hoards of CBers and freebanders were
>about to invade the ARS. Which is it?

They all arrived early so they could pass a high-speed code test before restructuring. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5965081936496790132
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:48:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:46:41 GMT
References: <940hff$mm7$0@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010116084641.05657.00001674@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12930

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Do you believe that having a test that emphasizes one more over all others
>> IS infinitely multfaceted?

>It is simply NOT TRUE that the tests emphasize one mode over all
>others.

Do you believe that having one mode and one mode only have a pass/fail test is not emphasis? If I don't pass the code test, I don't get my license. If I don't answer the SSB questions correcty, I can still be a ham.

What does the word "emphasis" mean to you?

I know you know what it means.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1511289181613352690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:52:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:50:58 GMT
References: <60t76tc6077s78b7385fiu9chr7oibr2r0@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010116085058.05657.00001675@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12931

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>>Let's take the first 100 CW QSOs from the 2001 W1AW logs

>If you have the time, do it. It will be interesting to see the result.

So, is there a lobster dinner in it, or what? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 4051779433181751972
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:56:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:54:33 GMT
References: <93uqo0$8hg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Message-ID: <20010116085433.05657.00001679@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12932

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>> >Was it more "Battle of the Pre-99 Extras?"
```

>>
>> I don't know. What percentage of the pre-99 Extras participated?

>Hey Ed, I'm asking the questions around here. ;)

>OK, what percentage of the pre-99 Extras participated?

What part of "I don't know" did you not understand? I would wager that the percentage of pre-1999 Extras that participated in that particular battle was less than 0.01% of the total number of pre-1999 Extras. For that reason. I don't believe that naming a battle after a group of people that had such a miniscule percentage of them actually participate is appropriate.

>Or better yet, of the participants, what percentage did the pre-99
>Extras make?

I don't know that, either. Are you willing to share the answer?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1760557282308745002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:58:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: "D. S. Platt" <jeepp@erols.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 08:54:12 -0500
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVZVjIb9YrUDHcZIDBz8WkI9rWx71nLnGwbfvJuYKUYGynJ9zQP9ztmI
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:55:31 GMT
X-Mailer:  Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47  (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language:  en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15716
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8121 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10621
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12933

"D. S. Platt" wrote:

> jamesrhampton@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > Neat.  Someone actually thought of not charging.  Of course, my first
> > licenses cost nothing - it was just the 60 mile (one way) drive to
> > Buffalo that cost a bit of time and money (gas, thruway tolls, parking,
> > etc.).  The cost is actually minimal anywhere.  I think I paid $5.90
> > when I decided to get back into amateur radio and tested out in 1993
> > (after letting my tickets expire over 10 years earlier).  Whats the
> > cost today?
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim (AA2QA)
> >
> > In article <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   BamBam <kl0an@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > Now why would I go and PAY for a license when I got my license, AND
> > > upgrades for free.. Oh, sorry, I did have to earn them with a little
> > > studying and such.. My club offers testing free.. No money exchanges
> > > hands.. And I can now talk world-wide on the Extra bands..
> > >
> > > Just quit tryin to cheat the system, sit down with the manuals and get
> > > studying.. And if you want to take a test for free.. First Saturday of
> > > the month, Geo-Physics Institute, UAF, Fairbanks, Alaska.
> > >
> > > 73
> > > Paul Jordan
> > > kl0an
> > >
> > > In article <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org>,
> > >   Buyone@arrl.crap (peddler) wrote:
> > > > Thanks to the organization called the ARRL, you don't have to earn a
> > > license
> > > > anymore. You can go to your local VE group and buy one for $10.
> > > > Get one today and join in the Fun!
> > > >
> > > > AOHF ~ assholes on H.F.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Don't Sweat the Petty Things.
> > > Don't Pet the Sweaty Things.
```

> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> > >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
> The Laurel (MD) VEC (MD) has had a fee!

Sorry about that... left a word out... should have read: Laurel (MD) VEC has NEVER had a fee. Nice folks... fast and competent, too!!

From 6805482490211083960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 05:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 13:55:59 GMT
References: <20010115091954.00714.00001895@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Message-ID: <20010116085559.05657.00001681@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12934

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>What is the significance of 1999?

I didn't have a clue, but it apparently had some significance to Brian, so I asked him a few questions about it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7688207785053925909
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:04:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 14:03:38 GMT
References: <3A644919.6594B8CC@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010116090338.29826.00000630@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12935

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>> Usually, a rig like that will spend about 5-8 days in the screen room,
>> being tested thoroughly from DC to daylight.

>By who? Wilson?

Mike Tracy, KC1SX, is our test engineer. Mark was promoted long ago; he is my boss. :-)

>> From there, the radios go to a reviewer, or, more
>> likely, several reviewers. That is the part that is NOT under my control,
>> even if the reviewer happens to be a Lab guy.

>Who does control who does what when as far as what radios get reviewed and
>scheduled for review publication?

The Product Review editor is Joe Bottiglieri, AA1GW. ARRL tries to review every major piece of amateur equipment, although it certainly doesn't get all the accessories.

>>The reviewers are contract
>> authors, paid the standard page rates. (Policy is that staff, officers or
>> Technical Advisors can do reviews.)

>A bit of moonlighting on the company dime, eh? Heh.

Yes.

>> The test results, btw, are summarized in the test-result table in the
>> review, and for most rigs into an expanded test-result report. I put a
>> sample up at http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/k2.pdf, if you want a quick
>> link. (This is on the public site.)

>The detailed K2 report, tnx. Can't complain about leaving many rocks
>unturned!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6327621670098066987
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Dealer Of Illegal 10 meter CB's
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 09:04:34 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 43
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12936

"Mr. Aaron Voobner" <voobner-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com...
> A business called "Express Electronics" has recently surfaced on the web as the
> result of demand for "CB Radios and Export Radio Equipment". Their stated goal
> is to be the largest dealer of 2-way radio equipment in the US.

"Express Electronics" has been around for a while.

The problem with "export" radios is they are perfectly legal for Hams to buy, and use, so long as it's not on 11m. The only way to reduce the flow of equipment like this from getting into the hands of un-licensed people requires one to provide proof of license before the sale. As of right now there is no such requirement by law. Some Hams believe there should NOT be one either so that those who want a rig can get one, before getting their license, so they can monitor the bands, for example. One solution would be banding the importation, or sale, of any kind of "export" radio. Short of this I don't see much more the FCC can do except chase down the hundreds of large, and small, dealers selling these rigs. I see them for sale, by local CB Radio shops, at many Ham Radio flea markets I go to, and the next table over is somebody else selling "10m" amplifiers.

> However, products they focus exclusively on are illegal CB linears

This is one area where the FCC could make a big difference. There are well known 10m/11m linear amplifier dealers that do business on the Internet. You would figure it would be simple for the FCC to set them up for a "buy", like the DEA does with drug dealers, then bust them. An Internet search engine can track these dealers down at little to no cost to the FCC. There is no question about if the amplifiers are legal to sell or not. The FCC has that area well covered in Part 97. The Detroit FCC office got after the people selling H.F. amplifiers, kits no less, in the tent area at the Dayton Hamvention, so the real question is why don't they bust these guys on the Internet too.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From -8530453568207692847
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:10:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 14:09:33 GMT
References: <940hu5$qit$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010116090933.29826.00000645@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12937

>From: Vincent Biancomano 103520.355@CompuServe.COM

>Ed, having just admitted you don't remember what was on your
>Novice exam, you know not of what you speak (in my opinion; no
>offense).

You are correct; I don't remember the question specifically from my Novice exam. I am quite willing to assume that the material that was published in the 4-page study guide was going to appear on the tests. Can you think of any reason that the FCC would have put that material in its syllabus and not asked questions about it? Can you think of any reason that the FCC would ask questions about ohms without asking a question about what an ohm is?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5452488531386920713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:14:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!

```
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 08:02:46 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A645486.96673C03@IEEE.org>
References: <3A63C353.501C80F8@IEEE.org> <20010116083307.05657.00001667@ng-
cl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 14:11:33 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12938

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >It was trivially easy to learn.
>
> I don't know if "trivial" is the right word for everyone, Cecil. It took me
> about 2 weeks, as I recall, and that was after having learned it in the Scouts
> a few years earlier.

I wasn't talking about everyone, Ed, just about myself. Learning Morse
code was one of the easiest things I have ever done. Two hours for
two nights was all it took for about 4wpm. However, my cousin had to
use cheat sheets and later flunked his first 5wpm exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 1962332292449293379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:18:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 08:07:02 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A645586.91FCBA64@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85>
<940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org>
```

<940dju$cdb$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63BC67.A9A02124@IEEE.org>
<940skt$lc8$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 14:15:41 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12939

W4JLE wrote:
> Just as I agree with your premise as stated, the fact is that I have not
> been able to find a case of jamming by Morse operators that resulted in a
> citation in the CW portions of the bands.

Of course not, just as you won't find anyone dunking a basketball
while wearing a straight jacket.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 1326600154418581848
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:20:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 08:09:39 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A645622.F4EA125F@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85>
<940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org>
<940gci$jud$0@208.207.71.175> <3A63C3FD.B03185CC@IEEE.org>
<940lu5$34p$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 14:18:18 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12940

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I guess you've been "personally involved" in dozens of jammng cases and
> that makes you an expert?

I have personally heard dozens of cases of jamming. A number of
those were indistinguishable from electronic keyers.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 779848967727077766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:22:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 08:11:18 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A645686.9939AE7F@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85>
<940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org>
<940gci$jud$0@208.207.71.175> <3A63C3FD.B03185CC@IEEE.org>
<940sqt$lgs$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 14:19:57 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12941

W4JLE wrote:
> So we are to assume from your derisive tone, you are aware of all jammers?

I have heard dozens of jammers.

> Or is your knowledge limited to those using Morse capable equipment?

Some jamming is indistinguishable from electronic keyers.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -4549118017140750995
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:24:05 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 08:12:34 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A6456D2.123711CB@IEEE.org>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-
cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <9407mr$qlq$0@208.206.142.85>
<3A63B241.EDC10850@IEEE.org> <940gg2$jud$1@208.207.71.175>
<3A63C497.B74527A7@IEEE.org> <940m1p$34p$1@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 14:21:13 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12942

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Oh,I hear jamming on a weekend net every week.

VHF?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -7660953771418286070
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:24:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 08:13:52 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A645720.F9B10ED3@IEEE.org>
References: <93si3i$66q$0@208.207.71.175> <20010114174200.05250.00001610@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <93vda1$8p0$0@208.207.71.134> <3A639F03.E2E9859E@IEEE.org>
<940ghp$jud$2@208.207.71.175> <3A63C51D.603B3C79@IEEE.org>
<940m35$34p$2@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

```
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 14:22:31 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12943

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >  That remark is inconsistant with reality and you know it.
> >
> > Nope, it is the gospel truth. I don't know anyone who belittles
> > people more than you do.
> >
>  To find one you only have to look in the mirror.

I really love to bully bullies and belittle belittlers.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 3150803827476605775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:32:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 08:21:21 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A6458E1.748381F8@IEEE.org>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85> <H8O86.858$e5.2172842@news.ntplx.net>
<3A63B973.E08BAF78@IEEE.org> <940l48$1po$1@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 14:28:47 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12944

Dick Carroll wrote:
> No one "equated" any such thing. Equating is not at all the
> same as making a comparison. They DO compare. Neither can be done with
> no training or practice.
```

One cannot play a game of checkers with no training or practice either but there is no governmental requirement for training because checkers is not a life and death situation. Learning checkers is like learning Morse code. Learning anything where one can be physically injured is unlike learning Morse code.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -3935970996669758794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:38:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 08:27:03 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A645A37.22AB2B45@IEEE.org>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-fy1.aol.com> <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85> <H8O86.858$e5.2172842@news.ntplx.net> <940kv9$1po$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 14:36:29 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12945

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Do you really believe that no ham who had never had that Novice style
> training and bit of practice would get on the air and give it a go
> anyway, with a lookup chart, at .00001 WPM?

My cousin could send and receive Morse code with lookup charts at approximately 4wpm. For receiving, he had the chart entries arranged in a logical manner so he could just follow a flow chart and write down the character.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -7231522043990046302
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: kk5yy@arrl.net (Gerald Schmitt)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 14:43:58 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3a6457e3.420745977@nntp.mindspring.com>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com>
<_dG86.1588$Bv.2402706@nntp3.onemain.com>
Reply-To: kk5yy@arrl.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.32.da.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 14:45:55 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent .99g/32.339
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12946

On Mon, 15 Jan 2001 11:16:48 -0600, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

>
>"Gerald Schmitt" <kk5yy@arrl.net> wrote in message
>news:3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com...
>>
>> Well Folks,
>>
>> With all the sound and fury signifying nothing I just had to look into
>> Fred's bona fides, very interesting reading.
>>
>
>Admirable! Now who in Sam Hill is Gerald Schmitt?
>
>Dick, N�BK, Aging Boy Wonder
>
>
Well Dick first let me tell you who I am not. I'm not
someone who judges other hams by their code speed.
I'm not someone who requires that everyone share my
interests or have my skill set.

I am someone who goes well out of his way to teach and
demonstrate amateur radio in order to promote the hobby
and help other hams enjoy it more. This to me seems a better
course of action than berating other hams that don't share
my interests or skills. Last year I attended 16 hamfests in
12 different states from Florida to Alaska doing demos,
forums, teaching and speaking about amateur radio.
What did you and your hero do?

It seems to me that poor Fred has his entire self worth tied up in his code. What a shame to have done so little in ones life that all you have to be proud of is an arcane skill, be that ability real or imagined,

I have a question I think you might be able to help me with. Why does someone become a sock puppet for an internet jerk? Is it sort of like those Bozos who hung out with those schoolyard bullies basking in reflected "glory"?

From -8296834988189797908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 06:52:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!mtu.ru!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 14:42:45 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 95
Message-ID: <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 14:42:45 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12947

In article <t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com>,
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

> The only way to reduce the flow of equipment like this
> from getting into the hands of un-licensed people
> requires one to provide proof of license before the sale.

Every so often a new guy like Leland comes up with the mistaken idea of placing restrictions on the wide availability of amateur radio equipment and supplies. These are the folks who suggest it be a crime to own amateur equipment without a license, and who are not thrilled about the fact that Radio Shack sells a line of UHF/VHF amateur equipment right out under the nose of the impressionable public. I suspect they don't like the idea that soldering guns and schematics are openly sold to those without the proper credentials also.

. . .While it is easy, on the surface, to agree with their goal (keeping amateur equipment out of the hands of bootleggers), I feel the attitude that amateur equipment should be treated as some sort of controlled substance is short-sighted and in fact damaging to our service.

. . .I live in a large metropolitan area. We have one full line amateur store in town. There are no other similar stores in the whole state. In the four states which border us, there are 2 more amateur radio stores. THAT�S IT! In 5 states, there are only 3 stores! In the whole country, my guess is that there are less than four dozen stores selling amateur radio equipment, antennas, and supplies! It is as though we were some secret society, bent on concealing our presence from the world.

. . .Not all that many years ago, there were at least 6 electronic stores here in town which sold amateur equipment (not exclusively, but they all had a good selection). Add to that two Heathkit stores, and even the Sears stores sold amateur transceivers for awhile. (Yes, Virginia, right there along with the Craftsman sockets and Silvertone TV sets.) In the same era, Radio Row in NYC was blocks of wall-to-wall storefronts catering to electronics experimenters. Popular Science magazine had a regular column about amateur radio, and occasional construction articles. Every second little town had an amateur radio club. Amateur Radio was a populist, Joe SixPack kind of hobby.

. . .During those years it was easy for a person to get interested in being an amateur, to shop around for the best deal on equipment, and to meet an Elmer. We were visible to the public, and well regarded.

. . .Then somewhere along the line came the magic of 1-800 shopping, amateur radio marketing became streamlined, and radio stores disappeared from Hometown America. With the demise of the local radio store, all the Elmers disappeared into their shacks and newcomers flounder around in fancy four-color ads in back of CQ and QST magazine, trying to decide how to be a ham. Clubs floundered, in part due to the loss of hams around the coffee pot in the back of the local electronics part store.

. . .Rather than continuing to restrict the availability of amateur equipment, as though we were selling assault weapons or recreational pharmaceuticals, I vote for opening up the market. Let�s have radios for sale in the strip malls alongside the video games, Lionel trains, and mountain bikes. And it won�t hurt if a few of us put on our Elmer-suits and lurk around to spot the likely recruits.

When they outlaw ham gear, only outlaws will be hams.

73, de Hans, K0HB/4ID

--
> >Frisbeetarianism: The belief that when you die,
> >your soul goes up the on roof and gets stuck.

> What roof would this be?

The Roof of the Universe. And in the Last Days,
when the Great Frisbee that shineth in the sky
hath gone out, then shalt the Almighty Thrower
ascend the Ladder of Life and collect the souls
of those who have flown free, and take them The
Eternal Park, where we shall sail upon the Spring
Breezes for ever and ever, and be Fetched by the
Holy Dog. Amen.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6537254642003572938
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 07:06:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.frontiernet.net!nntp.gblx.net!news.frontiernet.net!not-for-mail
From: ~Steve~ <mcsp@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 10:04:30 -0500
Organization: Global Crossing Telecommunications
Lines: 19
Sender: UNKNOWN@64-211-6-60.nas3.mon.gblx.net
Message-ID: <3A6462FD.FE75701B@usa.net>
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-211-6-60.nas3.mon.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net 979657490 59296 64.211.6.60 (16 Jan 2001 15:04:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@frontiernet.net

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 15:04:50 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10626
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12948
```

Shhhhhhhhhhh - can't let things like that out in the regular news stream. How could the Black Caucus or any other left wing extremist group have any right to complain if facts like those are brought out? This reality must be hidden and counter attacked immediately or otherwise the truth might be a popular belief, Sharpton, Jackson, and all the others will be out of a job for the next 8 years if it is revealed Bush got the popular vote... and you wouldn't want to see them on welfare would you?

Kerry King wrote:

> Despite the widely accepted claim that Al Gore won the popular
> vote by some 300,000 votes, a new count of state totals
> appears to show George Bush was the real winner by nearly 2
> million votes.
>

```
From -3086732608312103871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 07:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Carl Zager <kb9rvb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 15:28:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <941pb8$oo6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.106.97.253
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 15:28:52 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (WinNT; I ;Nav)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 206.106.97.253
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkb9rvb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12949
```

From _The Development of Modern English_ by Stuart Robertson, rev. Frederic G. Cassidy, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1934, 1938, 1954, Eleventh printing, 1965. [Not exactly "modern" dumbing down.], pp 1-2.

Chapter One -- Introduction: The Nature and Origin of Language.

"Like most words that are often used, the word _language_ has many senses.... The English _language_, the _language_ of mathematics, deaf-mutes' _language_, 'the subtle _language_ of a women's eyes' -- all these legitimate senses differ so much that some of them, at least, must represent special uses, extensions, or generalizations that have grown up in the course of time, presumably from some basic core of meaning..... we may yet note that _language_ is derived from _lingua_, the Latin word for tongue, which in this case correctly emphasizes speech as the basic thing in language.... _Language is the vocal and audible medium of human communication_....

"To say that language is _vocal_ and _audible_ immediately puts aside everything written--.... For writing is a _record_ of language...[the second level]....

"The dots and dashes of the Morse Code are still on a third level, since they are substitititutes for the letters with which we spell out our _records_ of speech; and with them are the gestures of the deaf-mute...."

One of my favorite comments about language involves the difference between a _dialect_ and a _language_. "A _dialect_ is a _language_ without a navy," illustrating the _political_ nature of defining what _lingua_ is "language" and what _lingua_ is "dialect."

And you want to argue whether Morse is a language?

--
Carl Zager
http://www.mccsc.edu/~czager
kb9rvb@my-deja.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1153667021544390013
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 08:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Carl Zager <kb9rvb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:28:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 43

Message-ID: <941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.106.97.253
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 16:28:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (WinNT; I ;Nav)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 206.106.97.253
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkb9rvb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12951

On Fri, 12 Jan 2001, Cecil wrote:

> Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> > First, it doesn't take anything near a miracle to make Q&A secret again, ...
>
> There's a more logical approach. Simply make the Q&A a computer program which generates random parameters for the questions. The entire program could be published and the random number generator could be reseeded from the computer clock. The parameters in the actual printed multiple choice exam would be unpredictable. An answer key could be printed out along with the exam.

Let me get this straight...random parameters? If there were ever a solution that would drive test takers to memorize the correct answers, this is it.

The problem is, we don't understand the concept of tests and measurement. Yet we think we can design tests. We know that short answer or essay tests place too much demand on the test administers, so we settle for multiple choice -- easy to administer, quick to grade. And then we get bent out of shape when takers know the correct answer -- either because they memorized or because they could figure it out from the distractors or because they knew the answer.

Right now the only way we know folks are memorizing the question and answer pool is because that's what they are saying. We can surmise that's happening for two additional reasons: 1. the Q&A pool is public information and available (therefore people will take the shortcut), and 2. a great many of the questions and distractors are so convoluted and so specific that the logical method is to memorize.

The only thing most multiple choice tests measure to any great degree of certainty is not the subject matter but rather the ability to take a test.

--
Carl Zager
http://www.mccsc.edu/~czager
kb9rvb@my-deja.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 8248470574764033555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 08:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dr. Conrad Connie <coontail@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:32:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <941t3l$sdm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A6462FD.FE75701B@usa.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.91.11.254
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 16:32:52 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.7 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.91.11.254
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDcoontail
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10629
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12950
```

In article <3A6462FD.FE75701B@usa.net>,
  ~Steve~ <mcsp@usa.net> wrote:

> Shhhhhhhhhhh - can't let things like that out in the regular news
> stream. How could the Black Caucus or any other left wing extremist
> group have any right to complain if facts like those are brought out?
> This reality must be hidden and counter attacked immediately or
> otherwise the truth might be a popular belief, Sharpton, Jackson, and
> all the others will be out of a job for the next 8 years if it is
> revealed Bush got the popular vote... and you wouldn't want to see them
> on welfare would you?

  Good one! So true.. when the cabal can't prove anything they insinuate a liberal conspiracy.. because God knows the liberals have all the power.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -8481224572506833819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 08:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: It's like this....
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:32:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 16:32:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12952
```

http://www.callingcq.org/desoto/chap1.html

Enjoy!

73, de Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -1199827506735366690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 08:44:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!novia!not-for-mail
From: pschleck@novia.net (Paul W. Schleck)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Vince Documentation Wild Goose Chase (was Re: No-code kills)
Date: 16 Jan 2001 10:42:09 -0600
Lines: 102
Message-ID: <3a647948$0$82369$45beb828@newscene.com>
References: <941fil$qi4$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.40.24.1
X-Trace: 979663176 newscene.com 216.40.24.1 216.40.24.1
Filter-NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.40.24.1
Filter-X-Trace: 979663176 newscene.com 82369 216.40.24.1
```

X-Newsreader: NN version 6.5.5 (NOV)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12953

In <941fil$qi4$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> writes:

>>Uh huh, well, how about sending me copies of your file copies,
>>anyway? I'll even give you a quarter a page to cover your costs.

>As I said Paul, get your info from ARRL. While you're at it, ask
>them of the details of what's gone down the past 10 years
>internally. I wouldn't want to skew the information
>unintentionally, or just in case I've missed the fine details,
>i.e., some nuances.

Dave Sumner, Executive VP at the ARRL, says the following:

Hello Paul,

We have lots of material in lots of file cabinets, but staff time is very limited and we're not in a position either to conduct or to permit searches of files for private purposes.

73,
David Sumner, K1ZZ
Executive Vice President, ARRL

So, to recap, we have the following situation:

Vince points to an 18-year correspondence trail, including letters to the League and newsletters to Hudson Division members during his campaigns for Director, that support his positions. Vince says that reading all of this would give a detailed exposition of his views.

Vince is asked for copies of all of this documentation, even offered 25 cents a page for reproduction. Vince refuses, stating (paradoxically) that his revealing such evidence would made it LESS CREDIBLE (as if it's more credible unrevealed?) because he could be accused of doctoring it. This is reminiscent of Vince's refusing to prove Fred Maia's "women and children" quote unless Fred denies it in writing (Fred says that he doesn't recall).

To paraphrase Dave Sumner, because of practical staff time and other understandable constraints, the League cannot retrieve arbitrary internal documents from years in the past on demand for private purposes (I, of course, suspected that, but did want to get a definitive answer for the purpose of this discussion).

So, Vince says get the documents from the ARRL. The ARRL says (in effect) get them from Vince. If you can falsify an 18 year correspondence trail (properly forging it in detail, including adding all of the realistic touches, such as historical accuracy and document aging), you *deserve* to have it accepted as authentic (just as if you can memorize a 500-question VE exam pool, you *deserve* to have a license). I suspect that if it existed, it would be a recap of all of the points that you've offered, and we've disagreed with over the years. I also suspect that much of it does not exist in either Vince's or the League's hands (and for no other reason than such documentation either gets lost or is routinely disposed of after some period of time).

Oh, and by the way, which of your opponents for Hudson Division Director made that quote which you paraphrased as:

"you know, there's no reason for Amateur Radio to be a technical hobby today, simply because there's no jobs for engineers. You know, my father was a plumber, and advised me to do the same. Looking back on it, you know, he was right."

?

The individual who defeated you four times says that his father was not a plumber, and nothing resembling such a statement was made by him..

Perhaps exchanges with you in the past along these lines had motivated Gary Coffman, KE4ZV, to say the following:

"Vince, you have provided essentially no documentation, merely a couple of one-liner out of context quotes that you repeat over and over, and a few paraphrased references to obscure documents you *claim* exist but refuse to properly identify or furnish. You are indeed not engaged in debate. You are simply spewing the same old propaganda, depending on repetition in classic Big Lie fashion, in an attempt to win your point. We aren't fooled."

Jerry Altzman, KE3ML, put it a bit more succinctly (and amusingly);

"I have the names of KNOWN TOUGHER-TEST-SUPPORTERS infiltrating our highest levels of government, academia, industry..."

More good quotes like this can be seen at:

http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/vince/quotes.html

But I should let you get back to your work on the Un-Amateur Activities Committee.

--
Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/ ICQ# 44218003
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

From 2073260628420559629
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 08:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A647B00.F9D53145@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
References: <3A60627B.4A5B5E86@arrl.org> <20010113161208.22631.00000504@nso-fz.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 83
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 11:46:56 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979663628 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 11:47:08 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 11:47:08 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12954

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> I used the word 'membership' in my sentence. I have no doubt
> that FISTS members are also members of the ARRL. However,
> I was not referring to just FISTS members but rather the general
> ARRL membership...and the general editorial policies of QST in
> regard to selection of material to publish.

Which, clearly, you misunderstand.

> >ARRL members liked:
> >A PIC16F84-Based CW Decoder, Morgantini, Francesco, IK3OIL, QST, Aug
> >1999, pp 37-40.
>
> All the members liked that? Some members liked that? A few
> members liked that? With 170 thousand or so members in the
> ARRL, was there also a Poll done to ascertain who liked what?
> How does the ARRL decide on what is liked or disliked?

By listening to what our members tell us. When we get many compliments and few complaints on an article, it's not hard to infer that the membership liked it! (Actually, every PIC-based article we've published has been well received.)

> >Maybe you need a new set of "others" from which to solicit input. The

> >one you've got now seems to be misleading you.
>
> Jon, from what you've written, I daresy you are rather
> uncomfortable with my opinion. :-)

It's not something that affects my comfort. I'm merely pointing out the errors that you are being led into by the others on whom you are relying. If you choose to continue to get your information from sources that are demonstrably uninformed, it's no skin off me.

> As a non-subscriber to QST, I cannot hope to compete with an
> ARRL staffer who has ready access to the full line of ARRL
> publications.

Yet lacking access to the publications you still draw conclusions about the editorial policies of the publisher.

> I am not critiquing individual QST articles but
> rather expressing an opinion on the attitude in general of the
> ARRL relative to morse code...over the last few decades...and
> the attitude of the general membership of the ARRL relative to
> morse code proficiency being the paramount achievement in
> US amateur radio.

Your opinion of the membership's attitude led you to the conclusion that the ARRL membership would not accept (your word) publication of an article about a computer-based Morse reader. I've shown you that such an article was published (as others have been, BTW) and the membership did not rise up in outrage. Now, if you approach the topic objectively you might consider that if a premise (ARRL members prize manual code skill over nearly anything else) leads to a conclusion (ARRL members wouldn't accept publication of such an article) that is incorrect, it just may be that the premise is flawed. Which it is.

> I readily admit to not knowing the entire scope of amateur
> radio activity as practiced by over a half million licensed US
> radio amateurs.

Yet you are willing to state with certainty what attitudes and opinions are held by that half-million-plus.

> To be fair, you should admit the very same
> thing. Being an ARRL staff member does not give you some
> magic powers to know the entire scope of amateur radio
> activity...or radio activity that is possibly related to amateur
> activity.

Nope, it doesn't. What it does, however, is expose me to many amateurs holding a broad range of opinions about Amateur Radio, the ARRL and related matters. I don't claim to know all of their opinions, but when there is evidence that suggests that a particular view is widely held, I'm willing to go by it. When someone expresses the opinion that a view IS widely held, I simply want to know what evidence led them to that opinion. And when the available evidence shows that conclusions that follow from that opinion are incorrect, I consider the opinion to be unjustified.

Jon

From -7205821606907761737
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 09:02:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.frontiernet.net!nntp.gblx.net!news.frontiernet.net!not-for-mail
From: ~Steve~ <mcsp@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 12:01:25 -0500
Organization: Global Crossing Telecommunications
Lines: 14
Sender: UNKNOWN@208-51-156-157.nas2.mon.gblx.net
Message-ID: <3A647E65.EFFF0B52@usa.net>
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A6462FD.FE75701B@usa.net> <941t3l$sdm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208-51-156-157.nas2.mon.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net 979664506 46300 208.51.156.157 (16 Jan 2001 17:01:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@frontiernet.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 17:01:46 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10634 rec.radio.amateur.policy:12955

I guess the sarcastic nature did not come through as I had hoped.
If God were such a boon to the liberal agenda, why are 99% of them trying to remove Him from of everything? No prayer in schools, no 10 commandments, abortion runs rampant, etc. I really don't think God wants His name attached to such blasphemous activity!

~Steve~

"Dr. Conrad Connie" wrote:

> Good one! So true.. when the cabal can't prove anything they insinuate a liberal conspiracy.. because God knows the liberals have all the power.

```
From 4251003351126676826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 09:10:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <mrv86tko43g0dieqn2j953qte46k8ho12l@4ax.com>
References: <940l48$1po$1@208.207.71.175> <20010116081357.05657.00001661@ng-
cl1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 17:08:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.210
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979664885 209.86.210.210 (Tue, 16 Jan
2001 09:08:05 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 09:08:05 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12956
```

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

> People would not get on the air and
> try Morse code unless they had learned it first.

I've talked to a few people on CW who didn't know the Morse code...

```
From -1680468518875851091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 09:10:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <6sv86tshq3v7hegasp21eab907cn1n375h@4ax.com>
References: <941fil$qi4$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
```

X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 17:08:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.210
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979664885 209.86.210.210 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 09:08:05 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 09:08:05 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12957

Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>Better to post up right on this newsgroup, so there's no
>misunderstanding of misquotes, etc.

Your quotes are easy to misunderstand.
You fail to post the author of the quotes...

From -4327406187475333080
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 09:22:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 12:20:38 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com> <t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12958

"K0HB" <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> Every so often a new guy like Leland comes up with the mistaken
> idea of placing restrictions on the wide availability of
> amateur radio equipment and supplies.

And why should that be a mistake? You have to provide proof of insurance to get plates for a vehicle, provide a prescription from your doctor at the drug store for powerful drugs, a photo ID (normally a driver's license) to pass a check, same thing with trying to buy booze, or get a drink at a bar, cops wants to see your driver's license when you get pulled over etc. The list goes on and on. There are many things we are required to provide proof that we are who we say we are, and that we can do something, or we are authorized to be where we are. Normally we just don't think about all the cases. Asking to see your FCC license is not a big deal.

So when you get pulled over by a cop, and he notices you are getting public service calls over your mobile rig, and tells you that you can't have a scanner in your vehicle. You going to tell him you are a Ham, then refuse to show you license when he asks to see it, and then tell him to go bugger off because you don't have to?

> These are the folks who
> suggest it be a crime to own amateur equipment without a
> license, and who are not thrilled about the fact that
> Radio Shack sells a line of UHF/VHF amateur equipment right out
> under the nose of the impressionable public.

I have been to plenty of Hamfests where the policy is NOT to give a winner of the door prizes a Ham Radio TX of any kind UNLESS they have a license. Instead they give them cash in the case they are unlicensed. So in a way it's already being done. I bet you never even thought about it, even bothered to notice.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From -7619452264629758730
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 09:32:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news-reader.ntrnet.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 12:30:19 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9420fj$8e5$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-fy1.aol.com> <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85> <3A63B8F2.A34FA38B@IEEE.org>

<940fg5$dl7$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63C237.927F3D91@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-123.51.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979666227 8645 166.102.123.51 (16 Jan 2001 17:30:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 17:30:27 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12959

While I normally agree with you once you have tortured the language
sufficiently. In this case both your logic and analogy really lack your
normally well thought out, intellectually superior, worthy of eternal
archiving - Heck let me just say it, it sucked!

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A63C237.927F3D91@IEEE.org...
> W4JLE wrote:
> > I don't think he is comparing the severity of the failure to accomplish
the
> > task, simply that the two compare in ability to complete the task.
>
> But a comparison of those tasks is like me comparing my ability to blow
> my nose to the task of landing a man on the moon.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -4053702971335694413
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 09:50:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A648945.32D38CFD@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
References: <3A644919.6594B8CC@dvol.com> <20010116090338.29826.00000630@ng-
cl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 12:47:49 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.44
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979667258 206.20.144.44 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 11:47:38

```
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 11:47:38 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12960


W1RFI wrote:

>
> The Product Review editor is Joe Bottiglieri, AA1GW.

>
He's running one of if not the main atttraction in QST. I usually won't buy a
major piece of gear new or used until I cruise the report first.
>

> ARRL tries to review
> every major piece of amateur equipment, although it certainly doesn't get all
> the accessories.

>
Tell the distributor or  manufacturer you won't run the review until you have
*all* the goodies to play with.
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv



From -7920476008289548259
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 10:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!codeine.org!colt.net!
diablo.netcom.net.uk!netcom.net.uk!news-hub.cableinet.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!
lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 13:01:07 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9422n4$9hh$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <940fg5$dl7$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010116082052.05657.00001663@ng-
cl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.20.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979668516 9777 166.102.250.20 (16 Jan 2001 18:08:36 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 18:08:36 GMT
```

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12961

If you are of average skills I can teach you to take off and land an airplane safely, as the sole occupant in about 10 hours.

I suspect I would spend more time teaching you Morse code to the level I could "solo" you on the band. This assumes 5 WPM as the solo level.

How long it would take to teach yourself is another matter. However I once worked with a guy who was an avid simulator pilot that could probably have soloed in 4 hours. he claimed several thousand hours on his Microsoft flight simulator.

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010116082052.05657.00001663@ng-cl1.aol.com...
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >I don't think he is comparing the severity of the failure to accomplish
the
> >task, simply that the two compare in ability to complete the task.
>
> Which do you believe to be orders of magnitude easier -- teaching one's
self
> Morse code or teaching one's self how to fly?
>
> Equating an analogy that is orders of magnitude different than the subject
> being discussed is not logical.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6541371106033650306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 10:34:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!
feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 13:31:59 -0500

Lines: 64
Message-ID: <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com>
Reply-To: frussle@erols.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVYAUp/5dMr1v/KRrEgeBsft0LLla9P0XgYPJ8MTW2sbuPtMXg3CtGse
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 18:32:02 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12962

On Tue, 16 Jan 2001 12:20:38 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
>"K0HB" <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote in message
>news:941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
>> Every so often a new guy like Leland comes up with the mistaken
>> idea of placing restrictions on the wide availability of
>> amateur radio equipment and supplies.
>
>And why should that be a mistake? You have to provide proof of insurance to
>get plates for a vehicle, provide a prescription from your doctor at the
>drug store for powerful drugs, a photo ID (normally a driver's license) to
>pass a check, same thing with trying to buy booze, or get a drink at a bar,
>cops wants to see your driver's license when you get pulled over etc. The
>list goes on and on. There are many things we are required to provide proof
>that we are who we say we are, and that we can do something, or we are
>authorized to be where we are. Normally we just don't think about all the
>cases. Asking to see your FCC license is not a big deal.
>
>So when you get pulled over by a cop, and he notices you are getting public
>service calls over your mobile rig, and tells you that you can't have a
>scanner in your vehicle. You going to tell him you are a Ham, then refuse
>to show you license when he asks to see it, and then tell him to go bugger
>off because you don't have to?

Sigh. The question is really "Is there a problem which is bad enough
that we would insist on the expense and the enforcement of checking
for a license before the sale?"

That's a judgement call.

>> These are the folks who
>> suggest it be a crime to own amateur equipment without a
>> license, and who are not thrilled about the fact that
>> Radio Shack sells a line of UHF/VHF amateur equipment right out
>> under the nose of the impressionable public.

>
>I have been to plenty of Hamfests where the policy is NOT to give a winner
>of the door prizes a Ham Radio TX of any kind UNLESS they have a license.
>Instead they give them cash in the case they are unlicensed. So in a way
>it's already being done. I bet you never even thought about it, even
>bothered to notice.

Personally, I don't think it's that big a problem.

The real problem is that the FCC isn't enforcing its own laws very well. Laws against unlicensed operation exist. Maybe the FCC would consider licensing something like an Official Radio Monitor (Kind of like the ARRL OO, but with some sort of legal standing in a court of law) who could be called at a moment's notice to DF a signal they can't afford to track down.

Then the real problem would disappear.

Leland, I respect your position, but I can't help thinking that you're fighting the symptom, not the disease.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

From -2382183240856591058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 10:34:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 12:23:26 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A64919E.9360C68C@IEEE.org>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.13
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 18:30:55 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12963

Carl Zager wrote:
> Let me get this straight...random parameters? If there were ever a
> solution that would drive test takers to memorize the correct answers,
> this is it.

How could that be? The answers would not be available until the
exam was generated. The examinee would see the answers for the
first time when he/she takes the exam under the watchful eyes
of the VEs. Every exam would be different.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -8350741207690696127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 10:40:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A649511.766D510D@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 13:38:09 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.50
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979670273 206.20.144.50 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 12:37:53
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 12:37:53 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12964
```

K0HB wrote:

>   http://www.callingcq.org/desoto/chap1.html
>
> Enjoy!

>
Right on the money.
>

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

>

w3rv

From -8073605947674973651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 10:40:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 12:29:59 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A649327.EC15870@IEEE.org>
References: <93q7q7$a7q$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114205126.19385.00002001@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <94094l$1jk$0@208.206.142.85> <3A63B8F2.A34FA38B@IEEE.org>
<940fg5$dl7$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63C237.927F3D91@IEEE.org>
<9420fj$8e5$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.13
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 18:39:24 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12965

W4JLE wrote:
> While I normally agree with you once you have tortured the language
> sufficiently. In this case both your logic and analogy really lack your
> normally well thought out, intellectually superior, worthy of eternal
> archiving - Heck let me just say it, it sucked!

Too much spoiled grape juice.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 2897604842785229403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 10:44:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"

Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 13:43:31 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t695j69u9fl07e@corp.supernews.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 14
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12966

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
news:fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com...
> Leland, I respect your position, but I can't help thinking that you're
> fighting the symptom, not the disease.

Properly true. So the other option(s) are....?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From -8523019407534023484
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 10:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 90
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 18:51:16 GMT
References: <93vo6m$qvf$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010116135116.09475.00000735@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12967

In article <93vo6m$qvf$1@iac5.navix.net>, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:

>Of course it does, same as it makes me better at that skill than one who has

>never figured an exposure problem.

"Better?!?" I thought the Jelly Jermiad bespoke of "superiority"?!?

Figuring exposure: I did that as an teenager, could F-stop and
shutter speed under stated ASA film ratings as good as pros.
I did radiation exposure problems in late twenties, am still
alive. I did field strengths for SID antennas in my forties and
was able to measure them accurately...compared standard
antennas to new development antennas on a range at the
same age. All of them without needing CW skill. All of them
without having to attend formal classes having grades and
neat little certificates of "graduation."

>Ed, the demonization of someone being superior is a product of the feel good
>generation. Soccer games for kids that do not keep score ,so no one feels
>bad. Gradeless classes for the same reason.

Heh heh heh...the ultra-conservative wanting SCORES,
brag-rights indicators of "superiority" to others. No one
allowed to "play" just for the love of playing?!?

Heh, my cousin Leif in Sweden played soccer (with scores
and international football rules) until he was 55. Did he
do that for showing his "superiority" or did he just like to
play the game, win or lose?

>One could go on forever listing the esteem building exercises.

Tell everyone more about your wonderfulness, Jelly. I think it
would be an interesting display of encouraging newcomers
to take on a hobby, to show "incentive" in order to be as good
as you.

>The reason you see such vitriolic response, is for many this is the first
>time in their life they have been told they don't measure up.

"Measure up" to WHAT, old-timer? :-)

Standards and practices of the 1930s?!? WHY?!? :-)

Just who, or which divinity, has decreed that morse code skill is
the epitome of amateur radio excellence...that all "must" have
that skill to be "accepted" by the Superior Beings?

This newsgroup was created primarily to be a forum to discuss
the code test for amateur radio license examinations. From
day one it was obvious that the self-styled "superior" ones were
personally attacking those that did not want the code test.

>Do you not
>sense the "it's my God given right to be equal in all respects regardless of
>effort" attitude in the responses?

I sense, detect, and almost blinded by Day-Glo letters of "CW skill is superior to all other forms of endeavor in anything and therefore [Jelly] is superior to others who cannot be as godlike as [Jelly]."

The FCC determines what constitutes essential requirements for a US amateur radio license. Not the "amateur community." Not the ARRL. Not what is currently done in amateur radio. Not the traditions and urban myths of now-ancient history in amateur radio. Licensing is part of the FCC's task of regulating US civil radio. The license test isn't an academic test of any kind. Never was.

The FCC has stated in 1990 and in 1999 that it does not consider a morse code test as relevant to amateur radio licensing in order to regulate amateur radio. It stated that the FCC must continue to do so because of existing treaty-cooperative ITU-R standards.

As far as I've been able to see over a three year period, none of the no-code-test advocates has proposed an outright banning of morse code USE in amateur radio. The only advocacy is the elimination of the morse code test itself.

If that morse code test is considered necessary to prove some kind of moral-ethical-mental superiority...as often stated by those who have passed such tests...then the only conclusion to be drawn is that their moral-ethical-mental standards are questionable. It seems to fall to the obvious -

"We had to take the test so all the newcomers have to take it."

Selfish emotionalism is not a good reason for regulations.

didit

```
From -8153205727611540801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 10:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jan 2001 18:51:18 GMT
References: <94057p$f31$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
```

Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010116135118.09475.00000736@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12968

In article <94057p$f31$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <93vn1g$q6e$1@iac5.navix.net>,
> "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
>> Why the construction requirement?
>
>> Back when my eyes were good and my hands were steady the smallest
>active
>> element I had to deal with was a 6AQ5, now I can barely see a surface
>> mounted component, let alone solder the dam thing.
>
>Fred, oops. You were supposed to be better than everyone else.

Brian, a correction...Jelly is superior, not just better.

I find his admission of a "failure" to be shocking. The era of 7-pin glass base beam power pentodes ended over two decades ago with components growing steadily smaller...while the egos of those who said they "dealt" with tubes grew steadily larger. :-)

My wife is older than Jelly and she does excellent fine-detail cross-stitching. Her headband magnifier helps. I've been under treatment for glaucoma for a quarter century and can still see very well close-up. No problem with unsteady hands here...but that may be due to constantly working with electronics, adjusting and improvising. No amount of ego can move electrons or generate RF, it takes application and learning-with-the-components, learning about components.

The pin-to-pin spacing of dual-inline IC packages is about the same as the pin-to-pin spacing of a 7-pin miniature glass tube. The 1949-operational AN/PRC-6 military handy-talky had 12 subminiature glass tubes whose pin-to-pin spacing was half that of the single 7-pin tube of the PRC-6's PA. That is 52 years ago. I wonder where all these "superior" hams have been that whine and complain about not being able to "deal" with 6AQ5s?

Maybe all of those whining, crybaby "superior" oldsters can only "deal" with power triodes like the 833 having only four large cap-contacts and no base, grid and plate caps being around 3 to 4 inches apart? Gonzo sizes to fit gonzo egos?
:-)

didit

From -2490756871418988804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 11:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 14:04:40 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <94260d$b7m$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A64919E.9360C68C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.20.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979671885 11510 166.102.250.20 (16 Jan 2001 19:04:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 19:04:45 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12969

How about a 5 question test for General.

1. State in 300 words or more why you want to be a ham.

2. Describe the procedure for calculating, adjusting, and connecting a 15 meter dipole cut for the center of the phone band.

3. Explain the difference, if any, between an AC ground and a RF ground. Elaborate as to how each contributes or degrades operator and property safety.

4. Explain the procedures to make a contact on a non voice mode of your choosing.

5. Your neighbor calls and says you are interfering with his television, you are at a loss as to how to solve it. What do you do next?

Now even if they memorize the answers they at least have some practical knowledge.

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A64919E.9360C68C@IEEE.org...

> Carl Zager wrote:
> > Let me get this straight...random parameters? If there were ever a
> > solution that would drive test takers to memorize the correct answers,
> > this is it.

>
> How could that be? The answers would not be available until the
> exam was generated. The examinee would see the answers for the
> first time when he/she takes the exam under the watchful eyes
> of the VEs. Every exam would be different.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8564796907015164874
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 11:22:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 14:20:33 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9426u6$bjp$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A649511.766D510D@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.20.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979672838 11897 166.102.250.20 (16 Jan 2001 19:20:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 19:20:38 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12970

Really enjoyed it!

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3A649511.766D510D@dvol.com...
>
> K0HB wrote:
>
> >   http://www.callingcq.org/desoto/chap1.html
> >
> > Enjoy!
>
> >
> Right on the money.
> >
>
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

> >
> w3rv
>

```
From 8450728060599237430
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f5d40,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gidf5d40,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 11:34:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!uwm.edu!
homer.alpha.net!news.ticon.net!not-for-mail
From: "jediffor" <jediffor@ticon.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.conspiracy
Subject: Re: The Pope now rules the U.S.
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 13:32:30 -0600
Organization: TICON.NET, Inc.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9427jf$aah$1@galileo.ticon.net>
References: <93f7j8$5kh$0@pita.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: jvlmax6-4-037.ticon.net
X-Trace: galileo.ticon.net 979673519 10577 216.145.223.135 (16 Jan 2001 19:31:59
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ticon.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 19:31:59 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10641
rec.radio.amateur.policy:12971 alt.conspiracy:31606
```

"Bill Hammack" <bhamack@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:93f7j8$5kh$0@pita.alt.net...

>
> How is it that after seeing the CNN report that a large majority of
> people in congress is Roman Catholic, no one has worried that we have
> 150 congress people who place the Pope above their own President.
>
> Is the Pope the de facto ruler of America?

Yes, he is. That is why this country outlaws all abortions and all contraception. That is why we have forgiven our debtors. That is why there are so many new Catholic schools and churches. That is why prayer in public schools is not only allowed but encouraged. That is why education is taught from a high moral perspective and history is interpreted as the fight between good and evil. That is why we have our ultimate political example of Catholic morality, Senator Kennedy.

Got it?

From 2698520280685823653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58349ac3dbc5d81f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 11:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newspeer1.nac.net!nntp.frontiernet.net!nntp.gblx.net!news.frontiernet.net!not-for-
mail
From: ~Steve~ <mcsp@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Left wingers getting ready
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 14:36:19 -0500
Organization: Global Crossing Telecommunications
Lines: 8
Sender: UNKNOWN@206-57-107-245.nas3.mon.gblx.net
Message-ID: <3A64A2B3.5C6A41EB@usa.net>
References: <93t2q0$619$1@iac5.navix.net> <93vd20$85m$0@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206-57-107-245.nas3.mon.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net 979673803 21940 206.57.107.245 (16 Jan 2001
19:36:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@frontiernet.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 19:36:43 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12972

If that isn't an advertisement to be car jacked, I don't know what is.

Dick Carroll wrote:
>All those nuts have is a bumper sticker that says
>"Gunfree and Proud of it".

From 1179546089674236844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 11:50:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!

```
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 13:39:39 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A64A37B.62113A13@IEEE.org>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A64919E.9360C68C@IEEE.org>
<94260d$b7m$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ee
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Jan 2001 19:47:01 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12973

W4JLE wrote:
> How about a 5 question test for General.
> Now even if they memorize the answers they at least have some practical
> knowledge.

Good questions but there are a couple of problems - too subjective
and too time consuming.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -5228146010923342306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 11:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: The handle here is.....
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 19:42:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 19:42:09 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12974
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10644

For those who get their shorts all knotted up about CB lingo on the ham
bands, here is an excerpt from a book written 60 years ago by Clinton
DeSoto.

"Among radio amateurs there is a genuine brotherhood and informal
camaraderie. Everyone is called by his "handle" -- his first name
or nickname. The president of the Chicago Stock Exchange and the
mechanic in a Birmingham garage are just "Paul" and "Joe" when
they meet on the air."

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
> >Frisbeetarianism: The belief that when you die,
> >your soul goes up the on roof and gets stuck.

> What roof would this be?

The Roof of the Universe. And in the Last Days,
when the Great Frisbee that shineth in the sky
hath gone out, then shalt the Almighty Thrower
ascend the Ladder of Life and collect the souls
of those who have flown free, and take them The
Eternal Park, where we shall sail upon the Spring
Breezes for ever and ever, and be Fetched by the
Holy Dog. Amen.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2174430416178693240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 12:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!
feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 14:59:19 -0500
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <1r996toaqnk5q8jgqnilghsd9abk9flvuu@4ax.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
<t695j69u9fl07e@corp.supernews.com>
Reply-To: frussle@erols.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVaJ2pWRdFBrsqPS5oJT+MliEu+Va47PBZU6AqXWGgr4xv8oay5JAy3Q
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 19:59:22 GMT
X-Newsreader:  Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12975

On Tue, 16 Jan 2001 13:43:31 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
>news:fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com...
>> Leland, I respect your position, but I can't help thinking that you're
>> fighting the symptom, not the disease.
>
>Properly true. So the other option(s) are....?

As I alluded to in my previous message, If the FCC monitoring
facilities are taxed, why not certify certain amateur radio stations
as a legal observer who can give expert testimony?  Then one would
only have to alert these observers to the problem, they'll track the
bugger down and the FCC would decide how to prosecute the offender.

This is certainly less costly than assuming the whole enforcement
burden, and hopefully this could enable the FCC to use its funding
more wisely.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A  mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"


From -3929644924977058207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 12:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 19:56:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 44
```

```
Message-ID: <942912$88j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 19:56:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12976
```

In article <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>,
  frussle@erols.com wrote:

> The real problem is that the FCC isn't enforcing its own laws very
> well. Laws against unlicensed operation exist.

Exactly. We don't need more big-government rules! Enforce the
ones we have.

> Maybe the FCC would consider licensing something like an Official
> Radio Monitor (Kind of like the ARRL OO, but with some sort of
> legal standing in a court of law)......

Groan.....

We could have tin badges and blue jump-suits and all other sorts of
cop-wannabe paraphenalia.

On second though, fergit it! I don't think it is the function of
hams to be hall-monitors for CBers and freebanders.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
> >Frisbeetarianism: The belief that when you die,
> >your soul goes up the on roof and gets stuck.

> What roof would this be?

The Roof of the Universe. And in the Last Days,
when the Great Frisbee that shineth in the sky
hath gone out, then shalt the Almighty Thrower
ascend the Ladder of Life and collect the souls
of those who have flown free, and take them The
Eternal Park, where we shall sail upon the Spring
Breezes for ever and ever, and be Fetched by the
Holy Dog. Amen.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 4020080790785406684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 12:53:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 15:52:47 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <942cb4$f0a$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A64919E.9360C68C@IEEE.org>
<94260d$b7m$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A64A37B.62113A13@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.20.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979678372 15370 166.102.250.20 (16 Jan 2001 20:52:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Jan 2001 20:52:52 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12977
```

A lot less time consuming that having to learn code. My problem with the FCC exams is they do not test the practical aspect of ham radio.

If we are remove the CW requirement, we should at least insure the new amateur has a practical understanding of operations. We might instead make it a requirement that the new amateurs station be examined by a volunteer group similar to the VE groups and signed off for operation. I worry that some of the newbies can jump from zero to 1.5 KW with no practical knowledge of the consequences. I go back to the flying without lessons. In this case serious jeopardy awaits those that lack instruction in safety and what could happen when you reach inside that new linear with the pair of 3-500Z's.

Before you ask what CW has to do with safety, in the process of learning from an Elmer or a club these issues are brought up by an experienced amateur. With the ability to simply answer a few questions on a written, one could conceivably be an extra and have never even seen a radio. No one on one contact with a ham is necessary.
"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A64A37B.62113A13@IEEE.org...

> W4JLE wrote:
> > How about a 5 question test for General.

> > Now even if they memorize the answers they at least have some practical
> > knowledge.
>
> Good questions but there are a couple of problems - too subjective
> and too time consuming.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1358965314407138860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 13:22:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A644919.6594B8CC@dvol.com> <20010116090338.29826.00000630@ng-cl1.aol.com> <3A648945.32D38CFD@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <xW296.896$e5.2250599@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:15:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979680029 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:20:29 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:20:29 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12978

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
in message news:3A648945.32D38CFD@dvol.com...

> > ARRL tries to review every major piece of amateur equipment, although it
certainly doesn't get all
> > the accessories.

> Tell the distributor or manufacturer you won't run the review until you
have
> *all* the goodies to play with.

I'm sorry; poor choice of words on my part. QST doesn't run the Review
until we have all of the accessories we want to include. (Filters, tuners,
etc.)

What doesn't always get reviewed is every station accessory available, ie
wall clock, keyer, etc.

The QST column "Short Takes" does include a lot of the lower-end products, though; it was added sometime last year to let ARRL take a closer look at more equipment.

In case a few members missed it, the entire QST Product Reviews from 1980 onward are available at the ARRL members pages at http://www.arrl.org/members-only. The expanded test reports are available there also. As each issue is sent to the printer, the Product Reviews are put up there, giving members a faster look at them.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
ARRL Lab

From 624328443032642631
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 13:46:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114212143.15225.00000347@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9409l3$1jk$2@208.206.142.85> <_bO86.860$e5.2173135@news.ntplx.net> <940jmb$rri$0@208.207.71.175>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 84
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <8h396.897$e5.2252310@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:39:50 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979681476 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:44:36 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:44:36 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12979

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > Now, if all of these new hams can memorize over 1,100 questions in the
> > question pool in a weekend of studying, I am sure they can memorize 26
> > characters and a few punctuations "easily."

> Replay #976.... They don't memorize the questions and answers, they
> make word associations so that when they read a question they look for

> the familiar choice among the possibles, and can pick it out often enough to pass. That's after studying only a single test element, not the whole question pool. Vast difference, but you already knew that.

Like these?

T6A02 @T6A12 (A)
Which symbol of Figure T6-1 represents a fixed resistor?
A. Symbol 2
B. Symbol 3
C. Symbol 4
D. Symbol 5

T6A04 @N6A10 (A)
In Figure N6-2, which symbol represents a single-pole, single-throw switch?
A. Symbol 1
B. Symbol 2
C. Symbol 3
D. Symbol 4

T6A06 @N6A08 (A)
In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents a fuse?
A. Symbol 1
B. Symbol 3
C. Symbol 5
D. Symbol 7

T6A08 @N6A09 (D)
In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents a single-cell battery?
A. Symbol 7
B. Symbol 5
C. Symbol 1
D. Symbol 4

T6B03 @N6B04 (D)
In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents an earth ground?
A. Symbol 2
B. Symbol 5
C. Symbol 6
D. Symbol 8

T6B04 @N6B06 (D)
In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents an antenna?
A. Symbol 2
B. Symbol 3
C. Symbol 6
D. Symbol 7

T6B05 @N6B07 (D)
In Figure N6-3, which symbol represents an NPN transistor?
A. Symbol 1

B. Symbol 2
C. Symbol 3
D. Symbol 4

T6B06 @T6B01 (A)
Which symbol of Figure T6-2 represents a fixed-value capacitor?
A. Symbol 1
B. Symbol 2
C. Symbol 3
D. Symbol 4

T6B07 @T6B06 (C)
In Figure T6-2, which symbol represents a variable capacitor?
A. Symbol 1
B. Symbol 2
C. Symbol 3
D. Symbol 4

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6698822153718903602
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 13:50:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com> <941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A64919E.9360C68C@IEEE.org> <94260d$b7m$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A64A37B.62113A13@IEEE.org> <942cb4$f0a$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 234
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Rl396.899$e5.2252689@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:44:51 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979681777 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:49:37 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:49:37 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12980

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> How about a 5 question test for General.
> Now even if they memorize the answers they at least have some practical
> knowledge.

Do you believe that the following represent practical knowledge?

T4B07 @N4C06 (B)
If an SWR reading at the low frequency end of an amateur band is 2.5:1, increasing to 5:1 at the high frequency end of the same band, what does this tell you about your 1/2-wavelength dipole antenna?
A. The antenna is broadbanded
B. The antenna is too long for operation on the band
C. The antenna is too short for operation on the band
D. The antenna is just right for operation on the band

T4B08 @N4C07 (C)
If an SWR reading at the low frequency end of an amateur band is 5:1, decreasing to 2.5:1 at the high frequency end of the same band, what does this tell you about your 1/2-wavelength dipole antenna?
A. The antenna is broadbanded
B. The antenna is too long for operation on the band
C. The antenna is too short for operation on the band
D. The antenna is just right for operation on the band

T4C01 @T4B01 (B)
How is a voltmeter usually connected to a circuit under test?
A. In series with the circuit
B. In parallel with the circuit
C. In quadrature with the circuit
D. In phase with the circuit

T4C02 @T4B02 (A)
How is an ammeter usually connected to a circuit under test?
A. In series with the circuit
B. In parallel with the circuit
C. In quadrature with the circuit
D. In phase with the circuit

T4D01 @N4D01 (C)
What is meant by receiver overload?
A. Too much voltage from the power supply
B. Too much current from the power supply
C. Interference caused by strong signals from a nearby source
D. Interference caused by turning the volume up too high

T4D02 @N4D02 (A)
What is meant by harmonic radiation?
A. Unwanted signals at frequencies that are multiples of the fundamental (chosen) frequency
B. Unwanted signals that are combined with a 60-Hz hum

C. Unwanted signals caused by sympathetic vibrations from a nearby transmitter
D. Signals that cause skip propagation to occur

T4D03 @N4D06 (B)
What type of filter might be connected to an amateur HF transmitter to cut down on harmonic radiation?
A. A key-click filter
B. A low-pass filter
C. A high-pass filter
D. A CW filter

T4D04 @N4D08 (C)
If your neighbor reports television interference whenever you are transmitting from your amateur station, no matter what frequency band you use, what is probably the cause of the interference?
A. Too little transmitter harmonic suppression
B. Receiver VR tube discharge
C. Receiver overload
D. Incorrect antenna length

T4D05 @N4D09 (D)
If your neighbor reports television interference on one or two channels only when you are transmitting on the 15-meter band, what is probably the cause of the interference?
A. Too much low-pass filtering on the transmitter
B. De-ionization of the ionosphere near your neighbor's TV antenna
C. TV receiver front-end overload
D. Harmonic radiation from your transmitter

T4D06 @N4D10 (B)
What type of filter should be connected to a TV receiver as the first step in trying to prevent RF overload from an amateur HF station transmission?
A. Low-pass
B. High-pass
C. Band pass
D. Notch

T4D07 @N4D11 (B)
What first step should be taken at a cable TV receiver when trying to prevent RF overload from an amateur HF station transmission?
A. Install a low-pass filter in the cable system transmission line
B. Tighten all connectors and inspect the cable system transmission line
C. Make sure the center conductor of the cable system transmission line is well grounded
D. Install a ceramic filter in the cable system transmission line

T4D08 @N4D12 (C)
What effect might a break in a cable television transmission line have on amateur communications?
A. Cable lines are shielded and a break cannot affect amateur

communications
B. Harmonic radiation from the TV receiver may cause the amateur transmitter to transmit off-frequency
C. TV interference may result when the amateur station is transmitting, or interference may occur to the amateur receiver
D. The broken cable may pick up very high voltages when the amateur station is transmitting

T4D09 @N4D13 (A)
If you are told that your amateur station is causing television interference, what should you do?
A. First make sure that your station is operating properly, and that it does not cause interference to your own television
B. Immediately turn off your transmitter and contact the nearest FCC office for assistance
C. Connect a high-pass filter to the transmitter output and a low-pass filter to the antenna-input terminals of the television
D. Continue operating normally, because you have no reason to worry about the interference

T4D10 @N4D14 (C)
If harmonic radiation from your transmitter is causing interference to television receivers in your neighborhood, who is responsible for taking care of the interference?
A. The owners of the television receivers are responsible
B. Both you and the owners of the television receivers share the responsibility
C. You alone are responsible, since your transmitter is causing the problem
D. The FCC must decide if you or the owners of the television receivers are responsible

T4D11 @N4D15 (D)
If signals from your transmitter are causing front-end overload in your neighbor's television receiver, who is responsible for taking care of the interference?
A. You alone are responsible, since your transmitter is causing the problem
B. Both you and the owner of the television receiver share the responsibility
C. The FCC must decide if you or the owner of the television receiver are responsible
D. The owner of the television receiver is responsible

T5A01 @N5A03 (B)
*Modified Q,A-D
If a dial marked in kilohertz shows a reading of 28450 kHz, what would it show if it were marked in hertz?
A. 284,500 Hz
B. 28,450,000 Hz
C. 284,500,000 Hz
D. 284,500,000,000 Hz

T5A02 @N5A05 (C)
If an ammeter marked in amperes is used to measure a 3000-milliampere current, what reading would it show?
A. 0.003 amperes
B. 0.3 amperes
C. 3 amperes
D. 3,000,000 amperes

T5A03 @N5A09 (C)
How many hertz are in a kilohertz?
A. 10
B. 100
C. 1000
D. 1,000,000

T5A04 @N5B02 (C)
What is the basic unit of electric current?
A. The volt
B. The watt
C. The ampere
D. The ohm

T5A05 @N5B03 (D)
Which instrument would you use to measure electric current?
A. An ohmmeter
B. A wavemeter
C. A voltmeter
D. An ammeter

T5A06 @N5B08 (B)
Which instrument would you use to measure electric potential or electromotive force?
A. An ammeter
B. A voltmeter
C. A wavemeter
D. An ohmmeter

T5A07 @N5B05 (A)
What is the basic unit of electromotive force (EMF)?
A. The volt
B. The watt
C. The ampere
D. The ohm

T5A08 @N5B12 (C)
What are three good electrical conductors?
A. Copper, gold, mica
B. Gold, silver, wood
C. Gold, silver, aluminum
D. Copper, aluminum, paper

T5A09 @N5B13 (A)
What are four good electrical insulators?

A. Glass, air, plastic, porcelain
B. Glass, wood, copper, porcelain
C. Paper, glass, air, aluminum
D. Plastic, rubber, wood, carbon

T5A10 @N5C09 (C)
Which electrical circuit can have no current?
A. A closed circuit
B. A short circuit
C. An open circuit
D. A complete circuit

T5A11 @N5C11 (D)
Which electrical circuit draws too much current?
A. An open circuit
B. A dead circuit
C. A closed circuit
D. A short circuit

From 6500575484400585626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 13:53:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 16:52:50 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t69glrek8fakea@corp.supernews.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
<t695j69u9fl07e@corp.supernews.com> <1r996toaqnk5q8jgqnilghsd9abk9flvuu@4ax.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 33
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12981

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
news:1r996toaqnk5q8jgqnilghsd9abk9flvuu@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 16 Jan 2001 13:43:31 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"

> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> >"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
> >news:fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com...
> >> Leland, I respect your position, but I can't help thinking that you're
> >> fighting the symptom, not the disease.
> >
> >Properly true. So the other option(s) are....?
>
> As I alluded to in my previous message, If the FCC monitoring
> facilities are taxed, why not certify certain amateur radio stations
> as a legal observer who can give expert testimony? Then one would
> only have to alert these observers to the problem, they'll track the
> bugger down and the FCC would decide how to prosecute the offender.
>
> This is certainly less costly than assuming the whole enforcement
> burden, and hopefully this could enable the FCC to use its funding
> more wisely.

This may work since the bill was passed allowing enforcement of the CB Radio Part 95 rules by local LEOs. Hams could volunteer to help in that area as part of our public service function. Now the question is, how many would even bother to do so?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From -9175725860530395924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 14:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 22:28:54 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 65
Message-ID: <942hur$gho$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93vo6m$qvf$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010116135116.09475.00000735@nso-fj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.187
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 22:28:54 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.187
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12982

In article <20010116135116.09475.00000735@nso-fj.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Heh heh heh...the ultra-conservative wanting SCORES,
> brag-rights indicators of "superiority" to others. No one
> allowed to "play" just for the love of playing?!?

Sure you can...but you have to HAVE a license to play. So WHAT would YOU know about it?

> Tell everyone more about your wonderfulness, Jelly.

Seems I don't remember Fred addressing you as anything but Len, ergo why the name calling, Lennie?

Again, you prove me right. Thank you.

> "Measure up" to WHAT, old-timer?

Regardless of what the "WHAT" is, YOU don't make it anyway.

> This newsgroup was created primarily to be a forum to discuss
> the code test for amateur radio license examinations.

And like almost all things, it has evolved. You should try it.

> I sense, detect, and almost blinded by Day-Glo letters of "CW skill
> is superior to all other forms of endeavor in anything and therefore
> [Jelly] is superior to others who cannot be as godlike as [Jelly]."

More name calling which Lennie has repeatedly denied doing.

When will this lying creep realize he's an idiot who's number has been had?

> The FCC has stated in 1990 and in 1999 that it does not consider
> a morse code test as relevant to amateur radio licensing in order
> to regulate amateur radio. It stated that the FCC must continue to
> do so because of existing treaty-cooperative ITU-R standards.

Yet YOU keep assailing AMATEURS for it's retention. Here you state the FCC has clearly stated that international treaty is to "blame". So which is it, Lennie?

>It seems to fall to the obvious -

What falls to the obvious is that you manipulate "facts" to justify the rant of the hour.

> "We had to take the test so all the newcomers have to take it."

Not true, and you have been invited in. You spurn the offers based on YOUR alleged moral superiority..."Why should >>I<< allow myself to associate with such heathens...?!?!?"

> Selfish emotionalism is not a good reason for regulations.

Or a good reason for revoking regulations, either.

--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6114314628800479740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 14:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 22:31:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <942i3m$gks$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.187
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 22:31:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.187
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12983

In article <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> Enjoy!

Hurrah! Enjoyed it! Thanks!

73 de K4YZ

--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com

http://www.deja.com/

```
From 6337008486315436350
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 14:52:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g79tps0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Lines: 50
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 22:51:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979685518 206.58.44.27 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 22:51:58
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 22:51:58 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12984
```

On Tue, 16 Jan 2001 13:31:59 -0500, Jake Brodsky wrote:

>The real problem is that the FCC isn't enforcing its own laws very
>well.

All it takes is money. The Commish' is stupid not to do it while there are still agents left who have the capability and the desire to do it. The old-timers like me are all gone (with two notable exceptions nationwide) and our immediate proteges who at least served a few years while we were around are getting up to retirement time pretty soon.

There is no "new crop".

All it takes is money and a committment from above.

The new chairman-designate has ZIPPO understanding and support of what the real mission of the agency should be. He proves it in his public pronouncements.

>Laws against unlicensed operation exist. Maybe the FCC would
>consider licensing something like an Official Radio Monitor (Kind of
>like the ARRL OO, but with some sort of legal standing in a court of
>law) who could be called at a moment's notice to DF a signal they
>can't afford to track down.

We used to have them -- they were called "FCC engineers". I got called out too many times to count in the "good old days".

The question came up about "volunteer agents" - similar to police reserves - when the Amateur Auxiliary was formed. Both the enforcement and the legal folks came to the same conclusion that there are specific training and legal qualifiction problems in that sort of arrangement on the Federal level, and that's why the Amateur Auxiliary was crafted the way it is.

The problem is getting the legal folks both inside the agency and outside (the Justice Department) to move on what we amateurs consider a "major problem" but what, in the law enforcement community, is really small potatoes - or so I've been told by more than one U S Attorney.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -4966175407070089765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 15:11:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 23:01:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <942jra$i4j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.83
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 23:01:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)

```
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.83
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12985
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10663

In article <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> For those who get their shorts all knotted up about CB lingo on the
ham
> bands, here is an excerpt from a book written 60 years ago by Clinton
> DeSoto.
>
>  "Among radio amateurs there is a genuine brotherhood and informal
>   camaraderie. Everyone is called by his "handle" -- his first name
>   or nickname. The president of the Chicago Stock Exchange and the
>   mechanic in a Birmingham garage are just "Paul" and "Joe" when
>   they meet on the air."
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans, thats all very nice and good, but Cecil "keyed" us in on this
little bit of history a long time ago.

Good luck on finding another tidbit to troll with.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 5390956822948226582
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 15:18:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942jra$i4j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <3E496.908$e5.2258821@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 18:12:15 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979687039 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 18:17:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 18:17:19 EST
```

Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12986
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10665

Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> > "Among radio amateurs there is a genuine brotherhood and informal
> >   camaraderie. Everyone is called by his "handle" -- his first name
> >   or nickname. The president of the Chicago Stock Exchange and the
> >   mechanic in a Birmingham garage are just "Paul" and "Joe" when
> >   they meet on the air."

> Good luck on finding another tidbit to troll with.

The term "troll" usually has some pretty negative implications. Do you believe that this post was intended only to goad people into arguing with each other for the amusement of the poster?

I believe that Hans posted it because it was a neat piece of information that has relevance that may just stop one tiny bit of the arguing we do here.

Hans, please find another tidbit to use to try to convince people not to argue over insignificant details like 11-meter ops using the term "handle" instead of name. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7188041755671468654
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 15:40:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!news.minn.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 23:30:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.81
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 23:30:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)

X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.81
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12987

In article <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

>
>
> http://www.callingcq.org/desoto/chap1.html
>
> Enjoy!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Read it again, Hans. Key in on the phrases below. 73, Brian

He finds amateur radio "the means of communications with others on equal terms, of finding friendship, adventure and prestige while seated at one's own fireside," according to Dr Raymond V. Bowers. "In picking his human contacts out of the air, theamateur is not seen by them.... He is not known by the company he keeps nor by the clothes he wears, but by the signal he emits.

-ON EQUAL TERMS

-KNOWN BY THE SIGNAL HE EMITS

It stands for the maintenance of fraternalism and a high standard of conduct.

-HIGH STANDARD OF CONDUCT

In early days amateur stations used code alone in their transmissions. These days they use both voice, or 'phone, and code, or c.w. (continuous wave) telegraphy, theproportion being about one third 'phone and two thirds c.w. Actually, all amateurs mustbe able to understand the radio code. A 'phone transmitter, involving microphone, speech amplifier and modulator, is more complex and expensive than one for c.w. alone which needs only a telegraph key (no transmitter?). There is a traditional rivalry (not warfare) between confirmed addicts of the two methods, a rivalry comparable to that between sailing-boat and power-boat owners or between sailplane and airplane pilots.

To be considered a "good ham" is just about the highest mark of honor there is.

Among radio amateurs there is a genuine brotherhood and informal camaraderie

Fraternalism ... good fellowship ... ingenuity ... public service ...

That's what amateur radio is like.

```
Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -6418318480565786472
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 15:51:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 23:40:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <942m59$k5g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <940l48$1po$1@208.207.71.175> <20010116081357.05657.00001661@ng-
cl1.aol.com> <mrv86tko43g0dieqn2j953qte46k8ho12l@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.81
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 23:40:26 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.81
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12988

In article <mrv86tko43g0dieqn2j953qte46k8ho12l@4ax.com>,
  Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> > People would not get on the air and
> >try Morse code unless they had learned it first.
>
> I've talked to a few people on CW who didn't know the Morse code...
>
You wouldn't have if you sent crappy code.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -5045771745848801857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 15:52:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
```

From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 23:37:36 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <942lvv$k2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <940l48$1po$1@208.207.71.175> <20010116081357.05657.00001661@ng-cl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.81
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 16 23:37:36 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.81
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12989

In article <20010116081357.05657.00001661@ng-cl1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >They DO compare. Neither can be done with
> >no training or practice.
>
> Dick is correct; one must learn the code before using it. One must
learn how
> to fly a plane before flying it. The difference is that one teaches
one's self
> Morse code. They can do so successfully in virtually all cases with
or without
> any formal demonstration of that ability. People would not get on the
air and
> try Morse code unless they had learned it first. For that reason, the
plane
> analogy is technically correct, but not very useful to the discussion
at hand.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, who tought Orville Wright to fly? Who taught Sammy Morse the code?

Either can be self-taught. Today it just depends on the gubmn't
regulations.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6592735874783540819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 16:06:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <940l48$1po$1@208.207.71.175> <20010116081357.05657.00001661@ng-
cl1.aol.com> <942lvv$k2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <jk596.912$e5.2262464@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 18:59:21 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979689871 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 19:04:31 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 19:04:31 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12990
```

Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Ed, who tought Orville Wright to fly? Who taught Sammy Morse the code?

> Either can be self-taught. Today it just depends on the gubmn't
> regulations.

True enough, but one caveat -- those self-taught hams might not send machine-perfect code. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1896434862855959665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 16:10:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <940l48$1po$1@208.207.71.175> <20010116081357.05657.00001661@ng-
cl1.aol.com> <mrv86tko43g0dieqn2j953qte46k8ho12l@4ax.com>
<942m59$k5g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 23
```

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <lp596.913$e5.2262790@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 19:04:42 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979690193 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 19:09:53 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 19:09:53 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12991

> > I've talked to a few people on CW who didn't know the Morse code...

I have too, Aaron, on purpose. We set up a little cross-band QSO where I worked a bunch of new VHF only hams by transmitting Morse code on 40 meters and listening on 2 meters. A local op manually retransmitted my 40 meter signal, using a 40 meter receiver and an HT.

The hams, most of which were not code proficient, only had to learn how to recognize their call on CW and make the QSO with me. I sent them a signal report, which naturally was 59. :-) There was one old timer who just wanted in on the fun and one Tech+ who didn't have CW equipment who had never made a CW QSO.

It didn't change the world, mind you, but we all had a good time, tried something new and learned that CW is a real mode in ham radio.

Oh, and none of them complained about my timing. I had to use a J-38 to slow down to 5 wpm non-Farnsworth.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4746349743272054352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 16:22:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 00:13:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 267
Message-ID: <942o38$lpj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

References: <91epep$5sd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20001217170622.28371.00005391@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.133.136
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 00:13:34 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.136
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12992

In article <20001217170622.28371.00005391@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Bob Wex w2ilp w2ilp@juno.com
>
> >They want to start an *Educational Program* for school kids...but
they
> >did away with the Novice class which was initially designed for kids.
>
> The Novice license had been superceded by the Technician or
Technician+ license
> as the defacto entry point a couple of decades ago, Bob. I am
suprised you
> hadn't noticed. :-)

The Novice License and the original Technician License both got their
start at the same time on July 1951. The Tech Plus (5 wpm) and the No-Code Tech came later. The Novice and Tech Plus were eliminated
recently in the dumb restructuring program. While the Novic License
was not producing many new Novices, let us not discount it as an entry
to youths who prefered to start becoming real HF Hams...Or haven't you
noticed.
>
> It was the FCC that made the decision to eliminate the Novice,
although there
> was significant comment that the Novice class, due to significantly
declining
> interest, was no longer needed. Can you cite any figures that
support your
> contention that the present entry-level exams are so hard that less
people are
> entering ham radio than did before April 15, 2000?

The FCC makes its decisions based on the bragging and complaining of
Hams and those who lobby for them (like the ARRL)...unfortunately not
always on common sense or with an eye to the survival of ham radio or
its educational benefits.

> >They informed us that there was
> >need for hams to understand how to comply with recent RF hazard
> >limitating regulations and this was supposed to add more questions to
> >all of the exams.....
>
> The FCC informed us that hams had to understand how to comply with the
> regulations. And the rules change the FCC put in place

wasn't "supposed" to
> add more questions, it did add more questions. I am surprised you
didn't know
> that.

It did add more questions to Novice and Tech Exams and was supposed to add more questions to other exams as they came up for being updated...but sadly the new restructured exams do not contain much RF hazard content nor are the tables and graphs that are used as aides to answering RF hazard questions given to applicants for the new exams. I'm surprised that YOU didn't know THAT!

>
> >IMHO ham licensing was always meant to be an incentive
> >for learning. What the heck else can justify any licensing exams???
>
> Can you cite the section in Part 97 that says that exams are intended
to be an
> incentive for learning? I believe that the FCC sees exams as a way of
> determining that an applicant is qualified to use the priveleges
granted by the
> license properly.

The basis and purpose (as written in Part 97) of ham radio has often been cited by me. It is a general goal for all hams. The exaqms are used as incentives for the applicants to begion to prepare to generally meet those goals.

> > I dunno what our Ham leaders motives are for sure. Can it be that
> >they just don't have any common sense that is consistant with the ham
> >policy makers of the past?
>
> Or could it be that they have enough common sense to avoid the
complex proposal
> you offer as a solution. Your idea of license classes heaped upon
license
> classes would, IMHO, drown amateur radio in a sea of red tape.

Not red tape...Just reality....It woyld add real value to Ham tickets anmd make Ham Radio the worthwhile hobby that it once was.

> > They certainly don't seem to have a grasp of the present and
> > future technical challenges that hams need to coexist with and
comprehend.
>
> Sure they do; they just do not believe that FCC exams administered by
volunteer
> examiners is the way to meet those challenges.

Is it because they don't trust VEs?....or is it because they don't trust themselves to propose any solutions that might make ham radio compatible with modern computer science and technology???

> >At least some of the real expert hams
> >need to show that they have been given not just an incentive but an
> >education by being hams..
>
> Yes, they do, and the best way to do that is to do something with that
> expertise within ham radio, not by passing another FCC exam.

I disagree. What youy say can be true for individuals BUT it can
never apply to HAMS AS A GROUP unless it is part of the Ham licensing
structure.

> > I am the first to admit that all Hams are not Hams
> >for the same reasons or with the same motivations.
>
> You may admit it, but you are seeking to require that everyone in ham
radio
> follow the path and motivation that is right for you...

Nope...All Hams need not get to be Extra Class or to obtain specialist
technocal endorsements.
Lots of kids play baseball just for fun. Most sand lot players may
never get to be professional baseball players...BUT the dream is there
among ever kid when he or she starts to play...maybe I'll be good
enough to grow up to be a PRO. In the same manner Ham Radio no longer
offers a gateway to any real professionalism. This was not true in the
30s and 40s when a ham ticket was an early step toward getting a
commercial radio operator license. The commercial license could get
you a job as a broadcasting engineer or a ship board radio operator.
You had learned Mortse code as a ham...and you had learned how to
adjust and neutralize high power RF transmitting vacuum triodes. Both
of these skills mean nothing today as far as commercial values go...BUT
in order to attract new techies the FCC Ham licenses must replace these
practices and skills with the digital and networking skills now valued
commercially. If this does not happen...no self respecting techie can
be motivated to sopend any time as a ham.

> > Nor do all Hams care to get compulsively involved with education,
> > technology or science or even the technical details of ham radio
itself.\
>
> You are correct, not all hams will do what you are proposing they
do. If your
> grand and glorious exam scheme is not tied into priveleges, it is not
going to
> accomplish anything that other means would not accomplish better.
And if it is
> tied in to priveleges, you have created a structure where only a
handful of
> hams will have all of those priveleges.

I Disagree. The fact that the structiure is there would be just like
the fact that there is amateur, semi-pro and pro baseball. Without any

pro baseball, sand-lot baseball would cease to attract as many
youngsters as it does.

> >Viva la difference. IMHO this means that three classes of license
can
> > never fit all!
>
> 300 classes of license can never fit all, by your "logic."

Nope...I'll settle for 30 classes.

> >and most of those few are credited with their knowledge...not because
> >of what they learned preparing for any ham exams but because of what
> >they learned elsewhere.
>
> Exactly, and those are the ones who could take and pass your elite
exams, Bob,
> but they know they don't need to.

Those are not the only ones. We have seen many hams of the past and
present who could pass the higher level exams due to their own self-study and practical experience.

> >BUT Ham Radio must also be a sequentially challenging hobby
> >for new young blooded people if it is to continue to survive in the
21st
> century.
>
> Ham radio IS a sequentially challenging hobby already, Bob; within
it, each ham
> is free to pursue whatever technical or operating challenges that he
or she
> sees fit.

You can lead a ham to Yaesu...but you can't make him think.
>
> >It must be able to introduce those who care to be introduced to an
> >understanding of modern electronic communications systems and methods
> >that can be applied by Hams to advance their state of the art.
>
> Yes, it should, and those >1000 questions in the question pool should
be
> changed to reflect just that.

What 1000 questions? 35+35+50= 120 multiple choice questions to make
Extra....from a fixed pool of 1000 or so and only 75% needed to
pass...less if you guess the rest by the laws of probability.
Heck a common hacker needs to be able to handle more knowledge than
that.

> >These widely different needs are in conflict and can only be solved
by
> >a more complex and divergent licensing structure.

>
> How can such needs be in conflict?

The conflict exists between those affluent people who seek Ham Radio as
a fun hobby...and the lesws affluent masses who will only become hams
if ham radio can offer a possible gateway to a vocation or profession.

> That statement is nothing more than emotive
> words strung together. If there is such a technical conflict, adding
a more
> complex licensing structure will not accomplish anything.

AND WHY NOT???

> >BASIS and PURPOSE that can be relied upon to maintain enough clout to
> >retain our governments' continous support.
>
> Your premise that amateur radio will have clout if hams pass more
tests is
> without merit. In all of the speeches the FCC has given to hams
lately, urging
> us to DO more technical things, not once has any FCC official asked
that we
> develop more, or even more difficult, amateur radio tests.

This is becauswe they are not thinking in the propper direction.

> >Hams need MORE license classes and/or technical endorsements.
>
> IMHO, your plans are not well thought out, and are proposing things
that not
> only will never happen, but would cause significant harm and hardship
to the
> Amateur Radio Service if they did.

I disagree.

> Perhaps it would be helpful if you directed your energy towards
something that
> the FCC will not shoot down in flames.

The Hams themselves and not the FCC may be burning their own britches
by not understanding the only real surviving purpose that ham radio can
offer.

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
I recently returned from vacationing in Southern California.
Bob Wex w2ilp (I Like Pasadena)

I invented the Internet.

--Al Gore--

I was born 35 years too soon. If I still
had the patents on my inventions, Bill Gates
would have to stand aside for me.
--Al Gross (inventor of the walkie-talkie, pager
and cordless telephone [silent key])--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -7579395039350936947
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 16:32:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 00:30:02 GMT
References: <UUK86.838$e5.2154591@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010116193002.13433.00002467@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12993
```

In article <UUK86.838$e5.2154591@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>
>> > Would you advocate that the VEs determine that a ham has working RTTY
>equipment
>> > before he or she is permitted to use RTTY on the digital portions of the
>bands?
>
>> That remark is inconsistant with reality and you know it.
>
>Yes, I do know it. But it is exactly analogous to the belief that an
>applicant must demonstrate proficiency in Morse code before being allowed to
>try the mode on HF. People would learn the mode, or write some really cool
>software, before trying it, would they not?

Ed:

You couldn't be wider of the mark. Morse/CW is the only mode which,
in order to be effectively employed, requires the user to learn and
master a physical skill which exceeds the learning curve and

committment level demanded of the other modes. Any person who can read an instruction manual can get a multi-mode TNC up and running -- that's exactly how I did it. If they read a bit more, they will be able to exploit it more fully, as I have. However, the Morse code is different. If I hadn't put in a considerable amount of time and effort to learn it properly, and had I not spent more time practicing it regularly, I would not have achieved what I call "working proficiency" in that mode. And, in spite of this working proficiency, I am still far from what I'd call an "expert." I shall probably never attain that status -- but I could hold my own handling traffic at what I consider to be a reasonable speed, 18 - 22 WPM.

Your argument that the other modes require equal testing status doesn't hold water, since using them doesn't require the user to be able to employ the same kind of conditioned response to external stimulation that is the essence of Morse/CW operation. All it requires is that they be able to see or hear the output of their transceiver through whatever interface is required (speaker, video monitor, LCD display, etc.)

BTW that Kenwood IC-R3 comm receiver has me hot and bothered! Thank Steve Ford for me, for an excellent review! It just may be the basis for my purchase of that rig!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -7924262320337497932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 16:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A64E9EB.9AD1A836@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
<t695j69u9fl07e@corp.supernews.com> <1r996toaqnk5q8jgqnilghsd9abk9flvuu@4ax.com>
<t69glrek8fakea@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 39
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 19:40:11 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.37
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979691996 206.20.144.37 (Tue, 16 Jan 2001 18:39:56
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 18:39:56 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12994

>
> > As I alluded to in my previous message, If the FCC monitoring
> > facilities are taxed, why not certify certain amateur radio stations
> > as a legal observer who can give expert testimony?  Then one would
> > only have to alert these observers to the problem, they'll track the
> > bugger down and the FCC would decide how to prosecute the offender.

>
The monitoring stations and field units can tune and locate freebanders at will
any time they choose to do so. They seldom choose to do so.
>

> >
> > This is certainly less costly than assuming the whole enforcement
> > burden, and hopefully this could enable the FCC to use its funding
> > more wisely.
>
> This may work since the bill was passed allowing enforcement of the CB Radio
> Part 95 rules by local LEOs. Hams could volunteer to help in that area as
> part of our public service function. Now the question is, how many would
> even bother to do so?

>
Close to none, 'cept maybe some cop wannabes. The misbehavior of the CBers and
freebanders is an FCC enforcement issue which has no impact one way or another
on ham radio. Fact is they don't have any real impact on anything which is why
they're on the low end of the FCC's list of priorities.
>
How 'bout we just mind our own business and stick to ham radio?
>

> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

>
w3rv




From 1966506598085712287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 17:02:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
```

From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 00:51:19 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <942qa3$nqd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <940l48$1po$1@208.207.71.175> <20010116081357.05657.00001661@ng-cl1.aol.com> <mrv86tko43g0dieqn2j953qte46k8ho12l@4ax.com> <942m59$k5g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <lp596.913$e5.2262790@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.73
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 00:51:19 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.73
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12995

In article <lp596.913$e5.2262790@news.ntplx.net>,
  "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

> Oh, and none of them complained about my timing. I had to use a J-38
> to slow down to 5 wpm non-Farnsworth.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Why would they? I heard that you are the op behind W1AW's sterile code.

BTW, when you took the 13 and 20wpm exams in front of the FCC examiner,
did you dazzle him with your coconut boat swing?

Or did you send it by the book?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7469559519717923514
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 17:11:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!europa.netcrusader.net!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 00:55:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 72

Message-ID: <942qh9$nus$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.39.114
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 00:55:09 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.160.39.114
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12996

In article <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> >
> >
> >   http://www.callingcq.org/desoto/chap1.html
> >
> > Enjoy!
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Read it again, Hans. Key in on the phrases below. 73, Brian
> --
-
> He finds amateur radio "the means of communications with others on
equal
> terms, of finding friendship, adventure and prestige while seated at
> one's own fireside," according to Dr Raymond V. Bowers. "In picking
his
> human contacts out of the air, theamateur is not seen by them.... He
is
> not known by the company he keeps nor by the clothes he wears, but by
> the signal he emits.
>
> -ON EQUAL TERMS
>
> -KNOWN BY THE SIGNAL HE EMITS
>
> It stands for the maintenance of fraternalism and a high standard of
> conduct.
>
> -HIGH STANDARD OF CONDUCT
>
> In early days amateur stations used code alone in their transmissions.
> These days they use both voice, or 'phone, and code, or c.w.
(continuous
> wave) telegraphy, theproportion being about one third 'phone and two
> thirds c.w. Actually, all amateurs mustbe able to understand the radio
> code. A 'phone transmitter, involving microphone, speech amplifier and
> modulator, is more complex and expensive than one for c.w. alone
> which needs only a telegraph key (no transmitter?). There is a
> traditional rivalry (not warfare) between confirmed addicts of the two
> methods, a rivalry comparable to that between sailing-boat and

> power-boat owners or between sailplane and airplane pilots.
>
> To be considered a "good ham" is just about the highest mark
> of honor there is.
>
> Among radio amateurs there is a genuine brotherhood and informal
> camaraderie
>
> Fraternalism ... good fellowship ... ingenuity ... public service ...
>
> That's what amateur radio is like.

Exactly!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--

PS: The internet newsgroups are not amateur radio.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -4940233174684401139
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 17:12:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 00:59:28 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <942qpc$o8u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <940l48$1po$1@208.207.71.175> <20010116081357.05657.00001661@ng-
cl1.aol.com> <942lvv$k2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <jk596.912$e5.2262464@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.73
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 00:59:28 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.73
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12997
```

In article <jk596.912$e5.2262464@news.ntplx.net>,
  "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > Ed, who tought Orville Wright to fly? Who taught Sammy Morse the
code?
>
> > Either can be self-taught. Today it just depends on the gubmn't
> > regulations.
>
> True enough, but one caveat -- those self-taught hams might not send
> machine-perfect code. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Certainly they learned by listening to the ARRL "Now Your Talking" 5wpm
code tape and W1AW on the air.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3066043400438781679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 17:21:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 01:11:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <942rf8$ori$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942jra$i4j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3E496.908$e5.2258821@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.73
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 01:11:11 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.73
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12998
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10669

In article <3E496.908$e5.2258821@news.ntplx.net>,
  "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > > "Among radio amateurs there is a genuine brotherhood and informal
> > > camaraderie. Everyone is called by his "handle" -- his first
name
> > > or nickname. The president of the Chicago Stock Exchange and the
> > > mechanic in a Birmingham garage are just "Paul" and "Joe" when
> > > they meet on the air."
>
> > Good luck on finding another tidbit to troll with.
>
> The term "troll" usually has some pretty negative implications. Do
you
> believe that this post was intended only to goad people into arguing
> with each other for the amusement of the poster?

Until a better explanation comes up, 10-4?

> I believe that Hans posted it because it was a neat piece of
information
> that has relevance that may just stop one tiny bit of the arguing we
> do here.

"Hans the Humanitarian." It just doesn't ring true.

> Hans, please find another tidbit to use to try to convince people not
to
> argue over insignificant details like 11-meter ops using the term
"handle"
> instead of name. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5443954379265650421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 17:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 01:23:56 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t69t1c89uqur89@news.supernews.com>
References: <93tugc$ntj$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010115073413.09203.00001189@ng-

```
cr1.aol.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 18
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:12999
```

Ed, this wouldn't be a fair test. no-coders aren't smart enough to build things, least of all their code speed.

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in
<20010115073413.09203.00001189@ng-cr1.aol.com>:

>Here are the terms. Let's select someone with a no-code license and
>you. He or she will be asked to construct a Morse-code transmitter and
>make a Morse code QSO. You will be asked to construct a
>spread-spectrum transmitter and make a Morse code QSO. Winner gets an
>ARRL Handbook from the "bent-cover" bin.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

```
From -2106981077014503604
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 17:50:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 01:35:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <942ss7$pvk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A6462FD.FE75701B@usa.net>
<941t3l$sdm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.73
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 01:35:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.73
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10671
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13000
```

```
In article <941t3l$sdm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Dr. Conrad Connie <coontail@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <3A6462FD.FE75701B@usa.net>,
>   ~Steve~ <mcsp@usa.net> wrote:
> > Shhhhhhhhhhh - can't let things like that out in the regular news
> > stream. How could the Black Caucus or any other left wing extremist
> > group have any right to complain if facts like those are brought
out?
> > This reality must be hidden and counter attacked immediately or
> > otherwise the truth might be a popular belief, Sharpton, Jackson,
and
> > all the others will be out of a job for the next 8 years if it is
> > revealed Bush got the popular vote... and you wouldn't want to see
> them
> > on welfare would you?
>
>   Good one! So true.. when the cabal can't prove anything they
insinuate
> a liberal conspiracy.. because God knows the liberals have all the
> power.

Dr Commie, when 3.5 out of 4 networks are liberal, it really is a
conspiracy.  The silent conservative majority could really give a
damn and don't mind spending a little bit of tax money to keep you guys
quiet, but we're just tired of the incessant whining.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -7687506916917235817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f5d40,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gidf5d40,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 17:51:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.conspiracy
Subject: Re: The Pope now rules the U.S.
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 01:36:21 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <942suk$q7c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93f7j8$5kh$0@pita.alt.net> <3A5B2E9E.9A5583FC@oio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.236.153
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 01:36:21 2001 GMT
```

X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 165.121.236.153
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10673
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13001 alt.conspiracy:31689

In article <3A5B2E9E.9A5583FC@oio.net>,
'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
>
> Bill Hammack wrote:
> >
> > How is it that after seeing the CNN report that a large majority of
> > people in congress is Roman Catholic, no one has worried that we
have
> > 150 congress people who place the Pope above their own President.
> >
> > Is the Pope the de facto ruler of America?
>
> Bill,
> Stick to the conspiracy group, they'll believe anything...
> 'Doc
>
Yeah, this was tried back in 1960 against Kennedy. Was BS then, is BS
now.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1823578435124084700
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 17:51:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!4.1.16.34!
cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dr. Conrad Connie <coontail@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 01:42:05 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 44

Message-ID: <942t9b$qcr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A6462FD.FE75701B@usa.net>
<941t3l$sdm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A647E65.EFFF0B52@usa.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.91.11.236
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 01:42:05 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.7 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.91.11.236
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDcoontail
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10674
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13002

In article <3A647E65.EFFF0B52@usa.net>,
  ~Steve~ <mcsp@usa.net> wrote:
> I guess the sarcastic nature did not come through as I had hoped.
> If God were such a boon to the liberal agenda, why are 99% of them
trying to
> remove Him from of everything? No prayer in schools, no 10
commandments,
> abortion runs rampant, etc. I really don't think God wants His name
attached
> to such blasphemous activity!
>
> ~Steve~
>
> "Dr. Conrad Connie" wrote:
>
> >   Good one! So true.. when the cabal can't prove anything they
insinuate
> > a liberal conspiracy.. because God knows the liberals have all the
> > power.
>
>

Well, as A liberal, more a libertarian or independent, I think you've
got it wrong. All liberals do-not bow when Al Sharpton let's a fart or
were happy about Senor Clinton's little extra marital affair.
What the issue is and YOU conservatives distort it for your own agenda,
is Christain faith, i.e. the Bible is NOT the religion of all Americans.
We have the right of worship and the right NOT TO.
I haven't seen any church closings lately or the FCC putting any of
those fire and brimstone shortwave pocket picking preachers off the air.
Quite the contrary.
 As for abortion.. I'm opposed to it, but not because of a religious
doctrine. Basically I say, why is it murder to strangle an infant after
birth, but perfectly OK to crush its skull, inside the womb?
Seems bizarre doesn't it?
And why do abortions take place? Because somebody wasn't being
reponsible with sex!!
Now, I'm a liberal ? Do I sound like one ?
Well, I think Bubba Bush will be the worst president we've had in 40
years.. but I could be wrong.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2746818678479453210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 17:56:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 01:54:54 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t69urethiab696@news.supernews.com>
References: <20010113111858.02315.00001729@nso-fi.aol.com>
<20010114175651.05250.00001615@ng-ff1.aol.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 27
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13003

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in <20010114175651.05250.00001615@ng-ff1.aol.com>:

>>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>I learned Morse code at speeds greater than 5 WPM because
>>it was the current requirement to obtain an Extra-class license!
>>If the requirement hadn't existed, neither would my achievement --
>>and I would not have benefited from the enjoyment of reasonable
>>proficiency in this practical communications skill. It's as simple
>>as that.
>
>Did you learn Morse code faster than 20 wpm?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Ya know ED, I must've of read 60 of your posts tonight in various
threads and you're a living example of the Leagues restructuring proposal.
You won't promote anything that will improve ham radio and neither will
your employer!

ARRL policy makes me want to vomit.

From 7326731590211904701
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db56eb0c86e44888
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 18:02:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W4JLE Welefare Extra?
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 01:50:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <942toj$qrd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com>
<_dG86.1588$Bv.2402706@nntp3.onemain.com> <3a6457e3.420745977@nntp.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.73
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 01:50:13 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.73
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13005

In article <3a6457e3.420745977@nntp.mindspring.com>,
  kk5yy@arrl.net wrote:
> On Mon, 15 Jan 2001 11:16:48 -0600, "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Gerald Schmitt" <kk5yy@arrl.net> wrote in message
> >news:3a630e92.336428289@nntp.mindspring.com...
> >>
> >> Well Folks,
> >>
> >> With all the sound and fury signifying nothing I just had to look
into
> >> Fred's bona fides, very interesting reading.
> >>
> >
> >Admirable! Now who in Sam Hill is Gerald Schmitt?
> >
> >Dick, N�BK, Aging Boy Wonder
> >
> >
> Well Dick first let me tell you who I am not. I'm not
> someone who judges other hams by their code speed.
> I'm not someone who requires that everyone share my
> interests or have my skill set.
>
> I am someone who goes well out of his way to teach and
> demonstrate amateur radio in order to promote the hobby
> and help other hams enjoy it more. This to me seems a better

> course of action than berating other hams that don't share
> my interests or skills. Last year I attended 16 hamfests in
> 12 different states from Florida to Alaska doing demos,
> forums, teaching and speaking about amateur radio.
> What did you and your hero do?
>
> It seems to me that poor Fred has his entire self worth tied
> up in his code. What a shame to have done so little in ones
> life that all you have to be proud of is an arcane skill,
> be that ability real or imagined,
>
> I have a question I think you might be able to help me with.
> Why does someone become a sock puppet for an internet
> jerk? Is it sort of like those Bozos who hung out with those
> schoolyard bullies basking in reflected "glory"?

This is why I am a proponent of one amateur radio license, simply called "Amateur Radio License." Do as much or as little with it as you like.

You can brag about an HPM 35wpm certificate on the wall if you want.

Or you can write code for the hottest new amateur digital mode.

Or you can help scouts get a radio merit badge...

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7521309866641233910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 18:02:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dr. Conrad Connie <coontail@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 01:46:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.91.11.236
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 01:46:22 2001 GMT

```
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.7 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.91.11.236
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDcoontail
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13004
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10678

In article <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> For those who get their shorts all knotted up about CB lingo on the
ham
> bands, here is an excerpt from a book written 60 years ago by Clinton
> DeSoto.
>
>  "Among radio amateurs there is a genuine brotherhood and informal
>   camaraderie. Everyone is called by his "handle" -- his first name
>   or nickname. The president of the Chicago Stock Exchange and the
>   mechanic in a Birmingham garage are just "Paul" and "Joe" when
>   they meet on the air."
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

 You're so right! I recall hams using handle before CB was popular.
In fact that term was stolen from ham lingo.
Apparently some of the non-old timers, who were not around in the 1960s,
aren't aware Handle was in common useage.

I did hear somebody say 10-4 the other day on 40, and quite frankly
thats not from CB either.. a stolen product. The COPS have this as a
part of their 10- code.. I am told.. and have had for decades.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 9008276809831785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,cc46deba4016d6ab,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 18:03:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 02:03:02 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t69vam5afmlncb@news.supernews.com>
References: <3A644919.6594B8CC@dvol.com> <20010116090338.29826.00000630@ng-
cl1.aol.com> <3A648945.32D38CFD@dvol.com> <xW296.896$e5.2250599@news.ntplx.net>
```

```
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 34
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13006
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10680

Personal Hygiene tip:
Read your QST with gloves on so the bullshit doesn't run down your arms.
Especially the League policy section.


w1rfi@arrl.net (Ed Hare, W1RFI) wrote in
<xW296.896$e5.2250599@news.ntplx.net>:

>have
>> *all* the goodies to play with.
>
>I'm sorry; poor choice of words on my part.  QST doesn't run the Review
>until we have all of the accessories we want to include. (Filters,
>tuners, etc.)
>
>What doesn't always get reviewed is every station accessory available,
>ie wall clock, keyer, etc.
>
>The QST column "Short Takes" does include a lot of the lower-end
>products, though; it was added sometime last year to let ARRL take a
>closer look at more equipment.
>
>In case a few members missed it, the entire QST Product Reviews from
>1980 onward are available at the ARRL members pages at
>http://www.arrl.org/members-only.  The expanded test reports are
>available there also.  As each issue is sent to the printer, the
>Product Reviews are put up there, giving members a faster look at them.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>ARRL Lab
>
>



From 4369412662351501271
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f5d40,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gidf5d40,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 18:10:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!mtu.ru!news.rosnet.ru!
newsfeed.rt.ru!newsfeed.online.be!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!
```

```
newsrouter.chello.at!news.chello.at!not-for-mail
From: "o-o-o" <o-o-o@gmx.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.conspiracy
References: <93f7j8$5kh$0@pita.alt.net> <3A5B2E9E.9A5583FC@oio.net>
<942suk$q7c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: The Pope now rules the U.S.
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <q8796.14288$7m.686231@news.chello.at>
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 02:08:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 212.186.14.127
X-Complaints-To: abuse@news.chello.at
X-Trace: news.chello.at 979697302 212.186.14.127 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 03:08:22 MET)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 03:08:22 MET
Organization: Customers chello Austria
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10681
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13007 alt.conspiracy:31698

who do you think then rules over the pope?

or is that old man the illuminatus primus?

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@my-deja.com> schrieb im Newsbeitrag
news:942suk$q7c$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A5B2E9E.9A5583FC@oio.net>,
>   'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Bill Hammack wrote:
> > >
> > > How is it that after seeing the CNN report that a large majority of
> > > people in congress is Roman Catholic, no one has worried that we
> have
> > > 150 congress people who place the Pope above their own President.
> > >
> > > Is the Pope the de facto ruler of America?
> >
> > Bill,
> >    Stick to the conspiracy group, they'll believe anything...
> >                        'Doc
> >
> Yeah, this was tried back in 1960 against Kennedy.  Was BS then, is BS
> now.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> --
> "Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."
>
>
```

```
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From 4085244006007710265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 18:10:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 01:57:24 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.234.28
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 01:57:24 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 165.121.234.28
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13008
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10682

In article <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Dr. Conrad Connie <coontail@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> > For those who get their shorts all knotted up about CB lingo on the
> ham
> > bands, here is an excerpt from a book written 60 years ago by
Clinton
> > DeSoto.
> >
> >  "Among radio amateurs there is a genuine brotherhood and informal
> >   camaraderie. Everyone is called by his "handle" -- his first name
> >   or nickname. The president of the Chicago Stock Exchange and the
> >   mechanic in a Birmingham garage are just "Paul" and "Joe" when
> >   they meet on the air."
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
>
>  You're so right! I recall hams using handle before CB was popular.
> In fact that term was stolen from ham lingo.
```

> Apparently some of the non-old timers, who were not around in the
1960s,
> aren't aware Handle was in common useage.
>
> I did hear somebody say 10-4 the other day on 40, and quite frankly
> thats not from CB either.. a stolen product. The COPS have this as a
> part of their 10- code.. I am told.. and have had for decades.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>
You got it right. I'm sure your just trying to be nice. But the basic
fact is CB stole most, if not all, of their proceedures and pro-words
from either Ham, public service, or commercial service radio services.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 661541145057077075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 18:11:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 02:04:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <942uj2$rd6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93uqo0$8hg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010116085433.05657.00001679@ng-
cl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.73
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 02:04:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.73
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13009

In article <20010116085433.05657.00001679@ng-cl1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>

> >> >Was it more "Battle of the Pre-99 Extras?"
> >>
> >> I don't know. What percentage of the pre-99 Extras participated?
>
> >Hey Ed, I'm asking the questions around here. ;)
>
> >OK, what percentage of the pre-99 Extras participated?
>
> What part of "I don't know" did you not understand? I would wager
that the
> percentage of pre-1999 Extras that participated in that particular
battle was
> less than 0.01% of the total number of pre-1999 Extras. For that
reason. I
> don't believe that naming a battle after a group of people that had
such a
> miniscule percentage of them actually participate is appropriate.
>
> >Or better yet, of the participants, what percentage did the pre-99
> >Extras make?
>
> I don't know that, either. Are you willing to share the answer?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I'm willing to share that Riley has commented on the disproportionate
number of "advanced" hams that get in to regulatory trouble. I don't
know why thy're so unfamiliar with the rules, so don't ask me.

So when I hear of ham misbehavior, its not a stretch to imagine an Extra
doing it.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4118336755115472196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fce43d6b4b955ab1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 18:16:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
cyclone1.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-
west.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: aa7REMOVEya@arREMOVErl.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The KENWOOD BOYCOTT is on!
Message-ID: <3a650008.326379867@news.montanavision.net>
References: <3A58D364.C3F5EA88@ncnh.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 17

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 21:16:52 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp  http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 02:14:56 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13010

God I love my TS-850S

Stacey, AA7YA

On Sun, 07 Jan 2001 13:36:53 -0700, CW not CB <cwnotcb@ncnh.net>
wrote:

>KENWOOD, an corporate body and equipment maker attempting to influence
>public policy, tells the FCC to end code testing. This ABSOLUTELY PROVES
>that KENWOOD 1) is just a bunch of CBers and 2) willing to trash ham
>radio into CB, to line their pockets.
>
>BOYCOTT KENWOOD!
>
>Read their comments at http://cwrevolution.net
>
```

```
From -4637262975177611132
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114ca8,e90b311089a18dc5
X-Google-Attributes: gid114ca8,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58349ac3dbc5d81f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106284,e90b311089a18dc5
X-Google-Attributes: gid106284,public
X-Google-Thread: 105207,e90b311089a18dc5
X-Google-Attributes: gid105207,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 18:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!cps
From: Chris Smolinski <cps@blackcatsystems.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,alt.fan.art-
bell,alt.politics.libertarian
Subject: Re: Left wingers getting ready
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 20:58:04 -0500
Organization: Black Cat Systems
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <160120012058048723%cps@blackcatsystems.com>
References: <93t2q0$619$1@iac5.navix.net> <940149$bhr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-313.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
User-Agent: YA-NewsWatcher/5.0.1
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13011 alt.radio.pirate:3108
alt.fan.art-bell:13901 alt.politics.libertarian:40626

In article <940149$bhr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, <libertarian1@my-deja.com>
wrote:

> In article <93t2q0$619$1@iac5.navix.net>,
>   "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> > Some of the left wing nonsense, this from Ramsey Clark former Attorney
> > General (D)
> > complete with neutral wording such as "Governor death"... January 20th
> > should be fun. A search of the internet shows all the cock roaches are
> > coming out of the woodwork.
> >
>
>
>     It's not just a leftwing issue. Libertarians are opposed to the
> censorious policies of BOTH Republicans and Democrats locking Third
> Parties out.....
>
>     The whole Left-Right congame is over!!!!!!!!!

No Howie, your game is over. Now take your medication and go to bed.

--
Chris Smolinski


From 1684906197197841608
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 19:03:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 22:01:58 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 78
Message-ID: <9431vd$4j$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.187.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979700525 147 166.102.250.187 (17 Jan 2001 03:02:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 03:02:05 GMT
```

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13012
```

Can you say 666 boys and girls?

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
news:fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 16 Jan 2001 12:20:38 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"K0HB" <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> >news:941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> >
> >> Every so often a new guy like Leland comes up with the mistaken
> >> idea of placing restrictions on the wide availability of
> >> amateur radio equipment and supplies.
> >
> >And why should that be a mistake? You have to provide proof of insurance to
> >get plates for a vehicle, provide a prescription from your doctor at the
> >drug store for powerful drugs, a photo ID (normally a driver's license) to
> >pass a check, same thing with trying to buy booze, or get a drink at a bar,
> >cops wants to see your driver's license when you get pulled over etc. The
> >list goes on and on. There are many things we are required to provide proof
> >that we are who we say we are, and that we can do something, or we are
> >authorized to be where we are. Normally we just don't think about all the
> >cases. Asking to see your FCC license is not a big deal.
> >
> >So when you get pulled over by a cop, and he notices you are getting public
> >service calls over your mobile rig, and tells you that you can't have a
> >scanner in your vehicle. You going to tell him you are a Ham, then refuse
> >to show you license when he asks to see it, and then tell him to go bugger
> >off because you don't have to?
>
> Sigh. The question is really "Is there a problem which is bad enough
> that we would insist on the expense and the enforcement of checking
> for a license before the sale?"
>
> That's a judgement call.
>
> >> These are the folks who
> >> suggest it be a crime to own amateur equipment without a
> >> license, and who are not thrilled about the fact that

```
> >> Radio Shack sells a line of UHF/VHF amateur equipment right out
> >> under the nose of the impressionable public.
> >
> >I have been to plenty of Hamfests where the policy is NOT to give a
winner
> >of the door prizes a Ham Radio TX of any kind UNLESS they have a license.
> >Instead they give them cash in the case they are unlicensed. So in a way
> >it's already being done. I bet you never even thought about it, even
> >bothered to notice.
>
> Personally, I don't think it's that big a problem.
>
> The real problem is that the FCC isn't enforcing its own laws very
> well.  Laws against unlicensed operation exist.  Maybe the FCC would
> consider licensing something like an Official Radio Monitor (Kind of
> like the ARRL OO, but with some sort of legal standing in a court of
> law) who could be called at a moment's notice to DF a signal they
> can't afford to track down.
>
> Then the real problem would disappear.
>
> Leland, I respect your position, but I can't help thinking that you're
> fighting the symptom, not the disease.
>
> 73,
>
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A  mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"




From 3414038002294284959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 19:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.xnet.com!not-for-mail
From: "Steve Woodruff" <sjwoodr.nospam@nospam.email.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 21:11:58 -0600
```

Organization: HHSN
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dyn37.pool1.dialup.xnet.com
X-Trace: flood.xnet.com 979701116 14007 207.227.16.37 (17 Jan 2001 03:11:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@xnet.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 03:11:56 +0000 (UTC)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15758
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8161 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10685
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13013

"D. S. Platt" <jeepp@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com...
> Sorry about that... left a word out... should have read: Laurel (MD) VEC
has
> NEVER had a fee. Nice folks... fast and competent, too!!

I have no problem paying a fee.... but i was suprised tonight to learn that
i had to
pay the $10 fee for EACH exam i took. I upgraded to general, and had
intentions
of attempting the extra class exam.... but when i found out it would cost me
an
additional $10 i figured i'd wait until next month when i could be
reasonably sure
that i'd pass with ease.

--steve

From -5072870039784438712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 19:32:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7a6q40.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>

References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 26
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 03:31:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979702301 206.58.44.27 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 03:31:41 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 03:31:41 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10686 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13014

On Tue, 16 Jan 2001 19:42:09 GMT, K0HB wrote:

>For those who get their shorts all knotted up about CB lingo on the ham
>bands, here is an excerpt from a book written 60 years ago by Clinton
>DeSoto.
>
> "Among radio amateurs there is a genuine brotherhood and informal
> camaraderie. Everyone is called by his "handle" -- his first name
> or nickname. The president of the Chicago Stock Exchange and the
> mechanic in a Birmingham garage are just "Paul" and "Joe" when
> they meet on the air."

It was obvious at the time that the CBers got it from us. Just
as they got their mutilated "10" codes from the police community.

The "country" and "good buddy" lingo came from an individual in
the Ozarks who was on the air some 20+ hours a day in the late
60s and actually talked like that as a regular thing. We used to
hear him very plainly at the monitoring stations in California.
--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -5583287140543053776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be

```
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 19:48:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Glenn Miller" <aa5pk@hotmail.com>
From: "Glenn Miller" <glennmiller@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Lines: 26
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <PA896.7050$Ep3.442734@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 03:46:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.74.20.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 979703215 12.74.20.47 (Wed, 17 Jan
2001 03:46:55 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 03:46:55 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15762
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8164 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10687
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13015

The VEC fee is a session fee which means you can take as many exams as you
like at a single examination session for one $10 fee.  If a VE is charging
the $10 fee per element at the same exam session, he needs to re-read his VE
manual.
73
Glenn AA5PK

"Steve Woodruff" <sjwoodr.nospam@nospam.email.com> wrote in message
news:9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com...
> I have no problem paying a fee.... but i was suprised tonight to learn
that
> i had to
> pay the $10 fee for EACH exam i took.  I upgraded to general, and had
> intentions
> of attempting the extra class exam.... but when i found out it would cost
me
```

```
> an
> additional $10 i figured i'd wait until next month when i could be
> reasonably sure
> that i'd pass with ease.
>
> --steve
>
>
>



From 8734734420402431058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 22:02:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 17 Jan 2001 05:49:05 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <943boh$pc1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 979710545 25985 128.171.44.55 (17 Jan 2001 05:49:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 05:49:05 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13017
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10694

In article <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Dan/W4NTI  <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
>You got it right.  I'm sure your just trying to be nice.  But the basic
>fact is CB stole most, if not all, of their proceedures and pro-words
>from either Ham, public service, or commercial service radio services.

Where did "slider" (as in VFO) originate, Dan?  =:o

73, Jeff KH6O


From -7334924828407949477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 22:09:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 06:07:46 GMT
References: <9422n4$9hh$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010117010746.14777.00000602@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13018

In article <9422n4$9hh$1@iac5.navix.net>, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:

>If you are of average skills I can teach you to take off and land an
>airplane safely, as the sole occupant in about 10 hours.
>
>I suspect I would spend more time teaching you Morse code to the level I
>could "solo" you on the band. This assumes 5 WPM as the solo level.

These two examples are logically incongruent. There is no
need for flying skills in radio operation, any radio service.
To be legal, one has to have an amateur radio license in
order to transmit RF in the amateur radio bands...but the
necessity to "solo" for an amateur radio license in not a
federal requirement.

>How long it would take to teach yourself is another matter. However I once
>worked with a guy who was an avid simulator pilot that could probably have
>soloed in 4 hours. he claimed several thousand hours on his Microsoft flight
>simulator.

The above is both incongruent, illogical, and rather absurd.

As to self-learning radio arts, be they technology or
operating practices, that can be totally self-taught.

In a career of design and development of radio and
electronics, both myself and the vast majority of
career contemporaries were "self-taught" on the changing
state of the radio-electronics arts. There was no time to
attend "formal schooling" or get "accreditation" through
nice little paper certificates with grade points to attest to
"qualified new skills." Myself and the vast majority of my
career contemporaties already had formal schooling.
There were hardly any instructors or courses for each
new radio-electronic art that evolved.

By comparison, the necessary knowledge to get an
amateur license and to begin in the amateur radio

hobby can be entirely self-taught. There is no federal requirement to "solo" in amateur radio under some "instructor" who will "rank a student" based on the "instructor's" opinion.

The amateur radio "solo" requirement is some flight of fancy, or rather a fantasy based on some "instructor's" aberrant self-professed "superiority."

didit

```
From 1287053538765343905
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 22:13:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: 17 Jan 2001 06:11:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <943d37$5ch$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15778
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8169 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10695
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13019
```

Steve Woodruff wrote:

>

but i was suprised tonight to learn that
> i had to
> pay the $10 fee for EACH exam i took. I upgraded to general, and had
> intentions
> of attempting the extra class exam.... but when i found out it would cost me
> an
> additional $10 i figured i'd wait until next month when i could be
> reasonably sure
> that i'd pass with ease.

If that is permissable evidently they have changed the rules. When I ran a VE team ('85 thru '93) the rules said one fee for all tests taken at that session. Later it was deemed permissable to charge an additional fee for a retest of a failed element at the same session, but we never did.

From 8723808757033704826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 22:20:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!thefonz!thefonz
From: "Ilan Rabinovitch" <ilan@fonz.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Tue, 16 Jan 2001 22:19:18 +0800
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t6aeb6gt672mc3@corp.supernews.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
User-Agent: Pan/0.9.1 (Unix)
X-No-Productlinks: Yes
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 14
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15779 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8170 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10696 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13020

I paid $6 when I took my Technician test in Decmeber.

Ilan
KG6ERM

In article <93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, jamesrhampton@my-deja.com wrote:

> The cost is actually minimal anywhere. I think I paid $5.90
> when I decided to get back into amateur radio and tested out in 1993
> (after letting my tickets expire over 10 years earlier). Whats the
> cost today?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim (AA2QA)

From 6151806456670976604
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 22:36:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 17 Jan 2001 06:34:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <943ee2$6nf$2@208.207.71.134>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <9407mr$qlq$0@208.206.142.85> <3A63B241.EDC10850@IEEE.org> <940gg2$jud$1@208.207.71.175> <3A63C497.B74527A7@IEEE.org> <940m1p$34p$1@208.207.71.175> <3A6456D2.123711CB@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13021

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Oh,I hear jamming on a weekend net every week.
>
> VHF?
\

Nope. 40 meter SSB.

From -3162404542820343488
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 22:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 17 Jan 2001 06:43:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <943eua$a1d$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <20010115194155.29597.00001932@nso-mi.aol.com> <20010116082829.05657.00001664@ng-cl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13022

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >What if a situation arose where people's lives depended on
> >communicating with the outside world, and the only mode that
> >would work was some form of Morse/CW? Ever hear of a
> >fractured larynx?
>
> How many hams do you think have operated Morse code in an emergency because
> they had fracturered their larynx?
>
> I miss the list of reasons to keep the code. "You might fracture your larynx
> someday in an emergency" could be the #1 answer.

There are an infinite number of circumstances that could occur. Suffice to say only that Morse code communications on ham radio is the most easily and widely available method of communications under the most variable of circumstances requiring the least amount of overhead of any amateur radio method - So long as hams are trained so as to be able to use it.

That fact alone is reason enough to require hams to learn to make use of it if the ARS is to be considered as a viable communications auxiliary asset. If it is not, then it is fundamentally changed in its

nature and is well on its way to being nothing more than a hobby on par
with stamp collecting. Clearly there are those posting here who believe
it to be nothing more.

From -6158712024161909483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 22:48:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 17 Jan 2001 06:47:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <943f6g$aj7$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
<93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134> <tWK86.839$e5.2154813@news.ntplx.net>
<940dcn$c9b$0@208.206.142.85> <3A63BDC9.A24E6305@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13023

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Yeah, they followed the rules right out of the ARS into the Freeband
> > where there's no one like me to tweak their tails. some of you seem more
> > than willing to welcome whatever shows up at the door with a free
> > license, and then lament the nonsense they bring with them.
>
> If they obey the rules within the ham bands, who cares where they
> came from? If they are operating legally as hams within the ham bands,
> they aren't freebanders anymore, are they?

And you can affirm that this in in fact the case???

Seems ham radio has saved
> them from a life of crime. Seems that is a good thing to me. Do you
> disagree?

No, I don't disagree. What I disagree with is your premise that all
persons who so flagrantly violate whatever rule they please at will
should then be assumed to have reformed and become model citizens just
because the FCC gave them an essentially effortless and free ham radio
license. Those types don't reform just because they now have a ham
license in hand. For far too many it just opens another door to
mischief.

Do you condemn anyone who has not lead a perfect life like
> you have?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6045245059508651704
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 22:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 17 Jan 2001 06:48:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <943f8m$aj7$1@208.207.71.134>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
<93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134> <3A63A0B6.3612071F@IEEE.org>
<940hlr$mm7$1@208.207.71.175> <3A63CC0B.ECE64DA8@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13024

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > Last year you were betting that hoards of CBers and freebanders were
> > > about to invade the ARS. Which is it?
> >
> > You read when I said it- they're already here, they came early.

>
> So exactly what is wrong with CBers and freebanders who enter the
> ARS and obey the rules?

What, indeed? Many have. Many have not.

Aren't you, in essence, advocating a sort
> of racism? Black = 0wpm. White = 20wpm. Hispanic = 5wpm. Asian = 13wpm.
> Blacks don't deserve equal rights.
>

that doesn't even deserve an answer.

From 8385320683907305272
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 22:58:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 17 Jan 2001 06:55:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <943fln$aj7$2@208.207.71.134>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A64919E.9360C68C@IEEE.org>
<94260d$b7m$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13025

Fred, I like it.

W4JLE wrote:
>
> How about a 5 question test for General.
>
> 1. State in 300 words or more why you want to be a ham.
>
> 2. Describe the procedure for calculating, adjusting, and connecting a 15
> meter dipole cut for the center of the phone band.

```
>
> 3. Explain the difference, if any, between an AC ground and a RF ground.
> Elaborate  as to how each contributes or degrades operator and property
> safety.
>
> 4. Explain the procedures to make a contact on a non voice mode of your
> choosing.
>
> 5. Your neighbor calls and says you are interfering with his television, you
> are at a loss as to how to solve it. What do you do next?
>
> Now even if they memorize the answers they at least have some practical
> knowledge.
>
> "Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3A64919E.9360C68C@IEEE.org...
> > Carl Zager wrote:
> > > Let me get this straight...random parameters? If there were ever a
> > > solution that would drive test takers to memorize the correct answers,
> > > this is it.
> >
> > How could that be? The answers would not be available until the
> > exam was generated. The examinee would see the answers for the
> > first time when he/she takes the exam under the watchful eyes
> > of the VEs. Every exam would be different.
> > --
> > http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 8402978917709789477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 23:01:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!feeder.qis.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 06:59:54 GMT
References: <942lvv$k2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010117015954.16762.00000429@nso-mp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13026

In article <942lvv$k2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
writes:
```

```
>In article <20010116081357.05657.00001661@ng-cl1.aol.com>,
>  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> >They DO compare. Neither can be done with
>> >no training or practice.
>>
>> Dick is correct; one must learn the code before using it.  One must
>learn how
>> to fly a plane before flying it. The difference is that one teaches
>one's self
>> Morse code.  They can do so successfully in virtually all cases with
>or without
>> any formal demonstration of that ability.  People would not get on the
>air and
>> try Morse code unless they had learned it first.  For that reason, the
>plane
>> analogy is technically correct, but not very useful to the discussion
>at hand.


>Ed, who tought Orville Wright to fly?  Who taught Sammy Morse the code?
>
>Either can be self-taught.  Today it just depends on the gubmn't
>regulations.
```

Brian, it might be fair to point out that, for a living ---

The Wright brothers operated a bicycle sales/repair shop.

Sam Morse was an itinerant portrait painter.

didit

```
From 8430180393922796447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 23:02:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 06:59:52 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7a6q40.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
```

Message-ID: <20010117015952.16762.00000428@nso-mp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13027

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g7a6q40.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>>For those who get their shorts all knotted up about CB lingo on the ham
>>bands, here is an excerpt from a book written 60 years ago by Clinton
>>DeSoto.
>>
>> "Among radio amateurs there is a genuine brotherhood and informal
>> camaraderie. Everyone is called by his "handle" -- his first name
>> or nickname. The president of the Chicago Stock Exchange and the
>> mechanic in a Birmingham garage are just "Paul" and "Joe" when
>> they meet on the air."
>
> It was obvious at the time that the CBers got it from us. Just
> as they got their mutilated "10" codes from the police community.

Phil, the etymology of the word "handle" goes back to the
West of America and the American cowboy, used about the
time an itinerant portrait painter in the east got financial help
from a railroad family to develop a new landline
communications mode.

Does the name Broderick Crawford ring a bell?

> The "country" and "good buddy" lingo came from an individual in
> the Ozarks who was on the air some 20+ hours a day in the late
> 60s and actually talked like that as a regular thing. We used to
> hear him very plainly at the monitoring stations in California.

Phil, again you have to go all the way west to Hollywood and
a number of motion pictures and made-for-TV-films on the
patois of CB...or to natives of Oklahoma who grew up to drive
18-wheelers and big rigs cross-country for a living. Oh, yes,
we've heard them very plainly next to I-5 in Southern
California... :-)

I'm wondering what kind of nonsense is being foisted on
everyone. It is saintly and authoritative to refer to a
location as "QTH" but it is evil mutilated robbery to refer
to a location as "10-20." On voice. Why can't folks
simply say "location?"   :-)

Roger that.

didit

From -4617530061711794938
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8640508226af1ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 23:05:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Despicable 14.313 behavior resumes
Date: 17 Jan 2001 07:04:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <943g6k$cbn$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <93uqo0$8hg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010116085433.05657.00001679@ng-
cl1.aol.com> <942uj2$rd6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13028

Brian wrote:
>
> So when I hear of ham misbehavior, its not a stretch to imagine an Extra
> doing it.

So whats new? Nothing is a stretch to your imagination.

From 8634866906232115107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 23:09:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.novia.net.MISMATCH!novia!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: 17 Jan 2001 07:08:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <943gcm$cbn$1@208.207.71.134>
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A6462FD.FE75701B@usa.net>
<941t3l$sdm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A647E65.EFFF0B52@usa.net>

```
<942t9b$qcr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10699
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13029



"Dr. Conrad Connie" wrote:
>
 I think Bubba Bush will be the worst president we've had in 40
> years.. but I could be wrong.

 Yes you surely could. I believe you will.


From -6508710624583407413
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 23:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 17 Jan 2001 07:22:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <943h76$cbn$2@208.207.71.134>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942jra$i4j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3E496.908$e5.2258821@news.ntplx.net> <942rf8$ori$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13030
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10700



Brian wrote:
>
> "Hans the Humanitarian."  It just doesn't ring true.
>
```

Would Hans the Hun sound better?

From -223622371548740717
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-16 23:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 07:23:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com> <3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com> <PA896.7050$Ep3.442734@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.77.158.253
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 07:23:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.61 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 12.77.158.253
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDlr0d
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15782 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8173 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10701 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13031

In article <PA896.7050$Ep3.442734@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Glenn Miller" <aa5pk@hotmail.com> wrote:

> The VEC fee is a session fee which means you can take as many exams as you
> like at a single examination session for one $10 fee. If a VE is charging
> the $10 fee per element at the same exam session, he needs to re-read his VE
> manual.

Unless the ARRL has changed, it's not quite a session fee. It is insofar as you can take one of every element for one fee. Retakes of failed elements are subject to another fee.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4093453886469042101
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 00:42:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 08:40:58 GMT
References: <3A63998C.E4EDB9D8@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010117034058.13433.00002552@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13033

In article <3A63998C.E4EDB9D8@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry, are you a split personality? Last thing you said about the
>subject is that the 5wpm requirement might as well be done away
>with. That's what Bill is advocating. Both of you guys agree that
>there's no longer a good reason to have a 5wpm requirement.

Cecil:

Bill and I agree that the 5 WPM requirement should be eliminated, but for different reasons. He doesn't see the value of *any* code testing whatsoever; I say that a 5 WPM maximum code test is virtually no code testing as it is...therefore, there's no point in maintaining a requirement which will not foster operational proficiency.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5046395898245788783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 00:42:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!

portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 08:40:58 GMT
References: <3A639D38.B74B12ED@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010117034058.13433.00002553@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13034

In article <3A639D38.B74B12ED@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>There's absolutely no reason to require the skill if the equipment is
>not also required. How can one use CW for emergencies without any
>equipment?

Cecil:

Uh, don't look now, but people who know the Morse code and use
the CW mode on-the-air usually have the equipment to do so.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6666602629834414756
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 00:42:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 08:40:58 GMT
References: <940oi9$l1g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Message-ID: <20010117034058.13433.00002551@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13032

In article <940oi9$l1g$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:

>
>Weapons are not permitted on the steps of the Capitol. You could get

```
>arrested!  An even better place to take the guns would be to Andrews Air
>Force base.  Lots of things to shoot at there, and a great opportunity to
>size up the opposition.

If 10,000 armed citizens emerged on the steps of the Capitol, I don't
think they would be very concerned with being arrested.  However,
it would be up to those who might do the "arresting" to decide whether
or not the shooting was going to start.  Arresting people for acting on
their Constitutional rights would be a good way to do that.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 7034952599541072255
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 01:40:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:33:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942qh9$nus$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 09:33:46 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13035

In article <942qh9$nus$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > >      http://www.callingcq.org/desoto/chap1.html
> > >
> > > Enjoy!
> > >
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Read it again, Hans.  Key in on the phrases below.  73, Brian
> >
----------------------------------------------------------------------
> -
> > He finds amateur radio "the means of communications with others on
> equal terms ONLY WHILE ON THE AIR
> >
> > It stands for the maintenance of fraternalism and a high standard of
> > conduct ONLY WHILE ON THE AIR.

> > To be considered a "good ham" ONLY WHILE ON THE AIR is just about
the highest mark
> > of honor there is.
> >
> > Among radio amateurs AND ONLY WHILE ON THE AIR there is a genuine
brotherhood and informal
> > camaraderie
> >
> > Fraternalism... good fellowship... ONLY WHILE ON THE AIR
...
> >
> > That's what amateur radio is like.
>
> Exactly!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
>
> PS: The internet newsgroups are not amateur radio.

PS: That 1x2 after your name is for what?  Decoration?  Rank?

You're using the K3LT argument that since this isn't wireless, you're
free to be a jerk.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 1609111917362657886
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:22:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:20:49 GMT
```

References: <20010117034058.13433.00002553@nso-cs.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010117072049.05313.00000991@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13037

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>There's absolutely no reason to require the skill if the equipment is
>>not also required. How can one use CW for emergencies without any
>>equipment?

>Uh, don't look now, but people who know the Morse code and use
>the CW mode on-the-air usually have the equipment to do so.

I think he missed the point, Cecil.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3085253978419486392
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:23:18 GMT
References: <943f6g$aj7$0@208.207.71.134>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010117072318.05313.00000992@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13038

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>What I disagree with is your premise that all
>persons who so flagrantly violate whatever rule they please at will
>should then be assumed to have reformed and become model citizens just
>because the FCC gave them an essentially effortless and free ham radio
>license.

US amateur licenses are far from effortless, Dick. To get one requires studying
a 200+ page book and learning from a question pool that is about 20X more
material than the 4 pages I studied to get my license.

I think you already know this.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7661934129816738265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:26:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:24:47 GMT
References: <943f6g$aj7$0@208.207.71.134>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010117072447.05313.00000993@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13039

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Those types don't reform just because they now have a ham
>license in hand. For far too many it just opens another door to
>mischief.

Can you provide some examples of former freebanders who have violated FCC rules on the ham bands?

What percentage of the NALs listed have been issued to former freebanders?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1548881671829949395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:30:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:28:42 GMT
References: <t69urethiab696@news.supernews.com>

```
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010117072842.05313.00000998@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13040

>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net  (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)

>Ya know ED, I must've of read 60 of your posts tonight in various
>threads and you're a living example of the Leagues restructuring proposal.

The League proposed 4 classes of license, with a 0, 5, 12, 12 wpm code
structure.  It also proposed that the written exams be strengthened to ensure
that the overall level of accomplishment remained the same after the code
speeds were reduced.

In what way do you feel my posts reflect this proposal?

>You won't promote anything that will improve ham radio and neither will
>your employer!

I think, Vince, that my professional work, as opposed to my personal-time
posts, has very much improved ham radio.  Care to discuss it?

>ARRL policy makes me want to vomit.

I'm sorry to hear that you are not well.  Perhaps we could pick this up when
you are feeling better.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 7103727036314311054
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:32:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:29:52 GMT
References: <t69t1c89uqur89@news.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010117072952.05313.00001000@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13041

>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net  (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)

>Ed, this wouldn't be a fair test. no-coders aren't smart enough to build
```

>things, least of all their code speed.

In what way do you think learning Morse code will improve their ability to construct equipment?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 8777176715320376739
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:32:45 GMT
References: <20010116193002.13433.00002467@nso-cs.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010117073245.05313.00001001@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13042
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Your argument that the other modes require equal testing status
>doesn't hold water, since using them doesn't require the user to be
>able to employ the same kind of conditioned response to external
>stimulation that is the essence of Morse/CW operation.

And that is exactly how I suggest that the mode be tested. As part of the exam, a 5-minute code segment should be sent and the applicant should be required to answer questions about its content, just as is done now.

What would equalize the testing with the way other modes are tested would be to include those questions in the overall exam, and require an overall pass rate of 75%.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 5203855828533521572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:35:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:34:07 GMT
References: <942o38$lpj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Message-ID: <20010117073407.05313.00001003@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13043

>From: Bob Wex w2ilp w2ilp@juno.com

>While the Novic License
>was not producing many new Novices, let us not discount it as an entry
>to youths who prefered to start becoming real HF Hams...Or haven't you
>noticed.

The ones who didn't notice, Bob, were the new hams who were not choosing the Novice as their first license.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1943842461838933897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:38:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:36:58 GMT
References: <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010117073658.05313.00001004@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13044

>From: Dan/W4NTI w4nti@my-deja.com

>But the basic fact is CB stole most, if not all, of their proceedures and
pro-words

>from either Ham, public service, or commercial service radio services.

Where did hams get their use of Q codes?

I see nothing wrong with one radio service using the procedures developed in another.

Interestingly, the use of the 10 codes on voice is seen by some as having more validity than the use of Q codes on voice. Why does one create such moral outrage if heard on amateur frequencies?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1905797886386204534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:44:56 GMT
References: <941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010117074456.05313.00001006@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13045
```

>From: Carl Zager kb9rvb@my-deja.com

>Let me get this straight...random parameters? If there were ever a
>solution that would drive test takers to memorize the correct answers,
>this is it.

How could they memorize randomly generated answers? ESP? :-)

>And then we get bent out of shape when takers know the correct answer --
> ...because they ... knew the answer.

Are we now getting upset because the new hams might actually know the answer? :-)

>Right now the only way we know folks are memorizing the question and
>answer pool is because that's what they are saying.

I have met only a handful of people who claim that they memorized the answers to the ham tests. One of them is Cecil, who says he memorized the answers in the 1950s. I personally think he knew more of the material than he thinks he did.

>1. the Q&A pool is public information and available (therefore people will
>take the shortcut)

IMHO, the easiest way to pass the test is to know and understand the material. I could not memorize the answers to hundreds of questions that I did not understand.

> 2. a great many of the questions and distractors are so convoluted
> and so specific that the logical method is to memorize.

Are you saying that the questions should not be convoluted and specific? The opposite of that is simple and vague.

If people memorize hundreds of right answers, they have learned more material than I did to get my General in 1964.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 6515088275631459659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:49:01 GMT
References: <3A645A37.22AB2B45@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010117074901.05313.00001007@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13046
```

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>My cousin could send and receive Morse code with lookup charts at
>approximately 4wpm. For receiving, he had the chart entries arranged
>in a logical manner so he could just follow a flow chart and write
>down the character.

If I remember his post correctly, Larry Roll has you beat. He can use a lookup table to decode an unknown OOK character set at either 10 or 13 wpm; I forget which.

Of course, he never did take me up on the lobster-dinner wager. :-)

Speaking of which, only a few more days and I have another one in the win column. I need it, too, because after the bath I took with Carl and Rick, and a recent loss to an ARRL HQ staffer over QRP DXCC, I am in a lobster-meal deficit. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1659959482863878410
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:52:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:50:53 GMT
References: <942qa3$nqd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010117075053.05313.00001009@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13047

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>BTW, when you took the 13 and 20wpm exams in front of the FCC examiner,
>did you dazzle him with your coconut boat swing?

For the 13 wpm text, the examiner stopped me after about 2 words. For the 20 wpm test, the FCC gave me only a receiving test.

Then, as now, I did the best I could. If we ever have that CW QSO on the air, you can tell me if I have a coconut boat swing. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7152848634182591195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:54:04 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:51:50 GMT
References: <942qpc$o8u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010117075150.05313.00001010@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13048

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>Certainly they learned by listening to the ARRL "Now Your Talking" 5wpm
>code tape and W1AW on the air.

I did not learn the code that way. I had a table and said "dit-dah" a lot.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5852953590451526473
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:56:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:54:55 GMT
References: <3A645486.96673C03@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010117075455.05313.00001012@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13049

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

> Learning Morse code was one of the easiest things I have ever done.
> Two hours for two nights was all it took for about 4wpm.

And I know a ham who took over a year of some pretty serious studying, using a number of different code tapes, and lots of advice from lots of experience hams, to pass the code est by the skin of her teeth. She is quite intellegent and articulate.

All it shows is that we are all different. By the rule that some have offered that the code test should measure effort, she should be given an Extra just for working so hard. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1744478862852011503
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 04:58:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:56:13 GMT
References: <t69vam5afmlncb@news.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010117075613.05313.00001013@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13050

>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)

>Personal Hygiene tip:
>Read your QST with gloves on so the bullshit doesn't run down your arms.
>Especially the League policy section.

Now that didn't add much to a discussion about Product Review, Vince.

Care to elaborate which League policy you disagree with and why?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8979001880616462O2
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 05:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 12:58:21 GMT
References: <3A639A48.9397BB9A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010117075821.05313.00001014@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13051

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Yep, it's digital voice. Just shows how outdated our nomenclature is.
>Seems the sub-band divisions should maybe be classified narrow band
>and broad band.

Digital voice is permitted in the voice/image bands on HF.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9112200239063002889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 05:08:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6598E0.6440B6A1@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 08:06:40 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.70
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979736785 206.20.144.70 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 07:06:25 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 07:06:25 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13052 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10710

"Dr. Conrad Connie" wrote:

> I did hear somebody say 10-4 the other day on 40, and quite frankly

> thats not from CB either.. a stolen product. The COPS have this as a
> part of their 10- code.. I am told.. and have had for decades.

>
The ten code was been dropped eons ago by the gendarmes in this area.
>
Every once in awhile I drop a "10-4" or a "what's yer 20?" on one of the 2m
machines just to see how many Bronx cheers I can generate.

From 6407378957806365328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 05:18:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A659B93.6DD14B9@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
References: <3A644919.6594B8CC@dvol.com> <20010116090338.29826.00000630@ng-
cl1.aol.com> <3A648945.32D38CFD@dvol.com> <xW296.896$e5.2250599@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 08:18:11 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.70
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979737475 206.20.144.70 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 07:17:55
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 07:17:55 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13053

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> . . . As each issue is sent to the printer, the Product Reviews are
> put up there, giving members a faster look at them.

>
There's a trick I'll keep in mind! What's the lead time between shipping the
copy from HQ and distributing the magazine?
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
> ARRL Lab

>
w3rv

From -7507421984810562889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db91ab8486ecd887,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 05:30:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Anyone For An RRAP Meeting At Dayton?
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 13:19:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.205
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 13:19:20 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.205
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13054

Hmmmmm??????

--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4126343103311580341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 05:32:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 13:17:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23

```
Message-ID: <944612$pbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942qh9$nus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.205
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 13:17:26 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.205
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13055
```

In article <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> > PS: The internet newsgroups are not amateur radio.
>
> PS: That 1x2 after your name is for what? Decoration? Rank?
>
> You're using the K3LT argument that since this isn't wireless, you're
> free to be a jerk.

I'd say you're trolling for a reason to CALL someone a jerk, Burke...

Kinda like your brother Lennie who denies initiating calling people names but has been calling Fred, W4JLE "Jelly" all week.

Birds of a flightless feather, I'd say......

--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 7024300341377816950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 06:04:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!Quequeg
From: Quequeg@quahog.com (Queequeg)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
References: <t69t1c89uqur89@news.supernews.com> <20010117072952.05313.00001000@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
Reply-To: quahog9000@deja.com
Message-ID: <slrn96b9jk.4u.Quequeg@daystar.capecod.net>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 26
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 14:00:12 GMT
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.211.190.55
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 979740012 63.211.190.55 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:00:12 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:00:12 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13056

On 17 Jan 2001 12:29:52 GMT, W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
>
>>Ed, this wouldn't be a fair test. no-coders aren't smart enough to build
>>things, least of all their code speed.
>
>In what way do you think learning Morse code will improve their ability to
>construct equipment?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

I built radios for 40 years as a n0-code SWL. Many of the old school SWL's without the means to buy good radios built them. I used to raid the local dump for discarded tv's and radios for parts (remember tvs with hv transformers and lots of cool tubes?) Learned code recently as another facet of SWL'ing, but decided to take the ham tests. Without ever taking a class or using any ARRL materials other than an old handbook, I passed the tech, general, and code. Missed the extra by one point. Almost choked on the code cuz 5 wpm is too slow...

Q
call sign on way

-- "Dead Whale or a Stove Boat"
<---------[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[

From 1856529468441457070
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 06:12:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feeder.qis.net!nntp.frontiernet.net!nntp.gblx.net!news.frontiernet.net!not-for-mail
From: ~Steve~ <mcsp@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:12:44 -0500
Organization: Global Crossing Telecommunications
Lines: 63
Sender: UNKNOWN@209-130-142-159.nas3.mon.gblx.net
Message-ID: <3A65A85B.E44E287E@usa.net>
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A6462FD.FE75701B@usa.net>

```
<941t3l$sdm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A647E65.EFFF0B52@usa.net>
<942t9b$qcr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209-130-142-159.nas3.mon.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net 979740733 37982 209.130.142.159 (17 Jan 2001 14:12:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@frontiernet.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 14:12:13 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10712 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13057
```

"Dr. Conrad Connie" wrote:

> Well, as A liberal, more a libertarian or independent, I think you've
> got it wrong. All liberals do-not bow when Al Sharpton let's a fart or
> were happy about Senor Clinton's little extra marital affair.

I know, many are disgusted with the poor behavior of both (and others too)

>
> What the issue is and YOU conservatives distort it for your own agenda,
> is Christain faith, i.e. the Bible is NOT the religion of all Americans.
> We have the right of worship and the right NOT TO.

If you do not want to that's just fine. But to remove from public areas which were already in place since the country began and have significant value for another is just plain wrong. How does the pledge go? "One nation under God"? How about your money - "In God We Trust"... you know, if it is that offensive to some liberals to have the word "God" anywhere, I will be happy to relieve them of that *objectionable* cash :)

>
> I haven't seen any church closings lately or the FCC putting any of
> those fire and brimstone shortwave pocket picking preachers off the air.
> Quite the contrary.

If the majority liberals had their way, that would begin to happen to those who spoke out against gays, abortion, etc.

>
> As for abortion.. I'm opposed to it, but not because of a religious
> doctrine. Basically I say, why is it murder to strangle an infant after
> birth, but perfectly OK to crush its skull, inside the womb?
> Seems bizarre doesn't it?

Yes it does, we strongly agree on this point. It's good to see that others view it as wrong even without religious backing.

>
> And why do abortions take place? Because somebody wasn't being
> reponsible with sex!!

Again I could have not said it better myself. Personal responsibility is a strong aspect of what conservatism it's all about.

>
> Now, I'm a liberal ? Do I sound like one ?

Not at all, initially you sound more conservative than some conservatives. Which is why I have to ask, why do you subscribe to the liberal title since you think more like a conservative?

>
> Well, I think Bubba Bush will be the worst president we've had in 40
> years.. but I could be wrong.
>

I think you will be pleasantly surprised, he will be a fine president for the next 8 years.

~Steve~

From -7598833552550068459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 06:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!newspeer1.nac.net!nntp.frontiernet.net!nntp.gblx.net!news.frontiernet.net!not-for-mail
From: ~Steve~ <mcsp@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:20:10 -0500
Organization: Global Crossing Telecommunications
Lines: 11
Sender: UNKNOWN@209-130-142-159.nas3.mon.gblx.net
Message-ID: <3A65AA1A.A86C0739@usa.net>
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A6462FD.FE75701B@usa.net> <941t3l$sdm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942ss7$pvk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209-130-142-159.nas3.mon.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: node17.cwnet.frontiernet.net 979741178 38140 209.130.142.159 (17 Jan 2001 14:19:38 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: abuse@frontiernet.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 14:19:38 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10714
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13058

Yeah, that does get really tiring after a while. It is always one of two things: "it was someone else's fault", or "we were wronged". I may sound condescending here, but my daughter stopped using those excuses when she was 11 after she realized it sounded... well... stupid.

Brian wrote:

> but we're just tired of the incessant whining.
>

From 3242525519319554802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 06:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 08:19:53 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3A65AA09.832009F2@IEEE.org>
References: <3A63175A.B392924C@IEEE.org> <20010115204346.14870.00000722@nso-fr.aol.com> <3A63AC34.6FAC1CA4@IEEE.org> <943b4t$slj$0@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 14:28:36 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13059

Dick Carroll wrote:
> You might consider that when you and others insist that there is
> nothing more than "novelty" benefit to the mode that is the functional
> equivalent of saying that is it useless and therefore not worth
> preserving.

For hams who like CW, it is a useful and fun mode. I think all hams should try CW to see if they like it or not. It is not worth preserving through governmental requirements. It is worth preserving within ham radio if its merits support that preservation. I think it does. A Governmental requirement not based on merit is just another form of welfare.

> When the clamor by those who would expand the voice segments
> to the maximum limit consider your statements, how then will you react?
> And what good could it possibly do?

My Dad said always to tell the truth. When those people discover that you are lying about CW's capabilities, what do you think will happen? If CW cannot honestly stand on its own merits, then it deserves to be replaced by modes with more merit. Obfuscation about CW's merits will just hasten its demise.

> And you clearly overlook the fact that some posters here clearly state
> that Morse code is long past usefulness and the implication is clear
> that as far as they are concerned, it may as well pass from the scene.

You, personally, have alienated a number of hams to the point that they would like to get rid of CW just to make you angry. If it weren't for your (and others) viscous personal attacks, those same hams wouldn't give CW a second thought. You (and other PCTA folk) are the worst enemies that CW ever had.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 86667203692353107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 06:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 14:32:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 71
Message-ID: <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 14:32:14 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10716 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13060

In article <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote:

>
> Now, "personal," and "all the good numbers" are a different story.
>

Talked to a young fella on the repeater the other day who introduced himself by saying "my first personal is Jim and you're my first contact, QSL?".

He seemed like a nice sort, delighted that he had just received his shiny new call sign, and was anxious to make some new friends. Kinda sounded like I felt when I put my new call sign on the air the first time way back when, except probably brighter, cuz Jim is one of those young computer jocks. Me, I still got problems with the LL scale on my Pickett slide rule. Yep, I think Jim sounded brighter than me, quick to catch on to things.

But I don't think Jim will be back on the repeater. Before I had a chance to really get to know much about Jim, or even wrangle an invite to lunch, another station, with an impressive "senior" call sign joined the contact, flashed his shiny Radio Cop badge, and proceeded to issue Jim a "verbal speeding ticket" for improper lingo on the radio. "Radio Cop" said the term "personal" (and for that matter "handle") were unwelcome in ham radio, and that Q-signals were not to be used on VHF voice. Just generally made my newfound friend feel like an unwashed interloper. (Gosh, I've been saying "handle" since I was a conditional class. Slow to catch on, you know.)

Now I should point out that "Radio Cop" took pains to appear very well meaning. Didn't use any bad words that I noticed, was quite polite, even seemed like he was trying to be "helpful." In other words, he thought he was doing Jim a favor by pointing out his transgression from our sacred Amateur Radio way of doing things. I think Jim felt just the same way I did back in a new school in third grade when the well meaning teacher pointed out that "we don't keep our pencil behind our ear in this room." Sure enough, I looked around and none of my new classmates had pencils behind their ears. Sure was embarrassing, and at that moment I really wished I was back with my good old buddies in second grade. Now, if I'd been allowed to hang out a couple of days, I'm sure I would have learned how to properly stow my pencil. And if Jim would have hung around a couple of days on our repeater I just bet he would have noticed that his lingo, perhaps learned in another radio service, was a bit out of place, and pretty soon Jim would sound "just like the rest of us." Like I said, he seemed pretty bright to me, quick to catch on to things.

"Radio Cop", you have kept our hobby uncorrupted. I heard Jim down around 27 Mhz this morning, and saw his ad on eBay trying to sell his barely used 2-meter HT. I'm gonna miss my new friend Jim, because I think I could have learned something from him. Maybe you could have also.

Like I said, he seemed pretty bright to me, quick to catch on to things. What do think it was that he caught on to about us from "Radio Cop".

73, de Hans, K0HB

~~~
  When a true genius appears in this world, you may know him by
  this sign, that the dunces are all in confederacy against him.
~~~

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4722537584437387404
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 06:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!nntp.flash.net!news.xnet.com!not-for-mail
From: "Steve Woodruff" <sjwoodr.nospam@nospam.email.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 08:42:02 -0600
Organization: HHSN
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <944avp$q7a$1@flood.xnet.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<PA896.7050$Ep3.442734@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dyn98.pool1.dialup.xnet.com
X-Trace: flood.xnet.com 979742522 26858 207.227.16.98 (17 Jan 2001 14:42:02 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@xnet.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 14:42:02 +0000 (UTC)

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15794
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8186 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10717
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13061

"LRod" <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Unless the ARRL has changed, it's not quite a session fee. It is insofar
> as you can take one of every element for one fee. Retakes of failed
> elements are subject to another fee.

Are all VE's subject to the same set of rules? These guys seemed pretty
confident that they could charge $10 per exam ... which is why i did not
even attempt the extra class written element.... figured i'd wait an extra
month and study a little more so i wouldn't be wasting my money.

--steve

From 1323930033949195031
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 06:44:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 08:34:31 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A65AD77.9621A2F0@IEEE.org>
References: <10962-3A63B14F-92@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<20010117003419.22631.00000718@nso-fz.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 14:43:12 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13062

N2EY wrote:

> Can you prove to me that NOBODY wants the USE of Morse on the ham bands to end?
> I have read many diatribes against the mode, not the test.

That's asking someone to prove a negative. If the above folk had not had Morse code forced down their throats, they would not feel the way they do about the mode. Now the only reason they want to end the use of Morse is to extract revenge on the PCTA folk. Morse code has become more than a mode. It has become a religion on one side and a symbol of religious oppression on the other side.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -3440674251077295276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 07:00:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: No-code kills
Date: 17 Jan 2001 15:00:48 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <944c30$ndd$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979743648 23981 149.174.88.247 (17
Jan 2001 15:00:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 15:00:48 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13063
```

PS>Oh, and by the way, which of your opponents for Hudson Division Director
PS>made that quote which you paraphrased as:

"you know, there's no reason for Amateur Radio to be a technical hobby today, simply because there's no jobs for engineers. You know, my father was a plumber, and advised me to do the same. Looking back on it, you know, he was right."

PS>?

PS>The individual who defeated you four times says that his father was not
PS>a plumber, and nothing resembling such a statement was made by him.

In that general context, the issue of making forthright statements, and staying away from "cavalier, off the cuff" remarks during a campaign to avoid unexpected disasters was, in the main, the subject of my letter to ARRL during THEIR inquiry into "ethics" (and never forget, they came to me, not vice versa).

>Perhaps exchanges with you in the past along these lines had motivated
>Gary Coffman, KE4ZV, to say the following:

>"Vince, you have provided essentially no documentation, merely a couple
>of one-liner out of context quotes that you repeat over and over, and a
>few paraphrased references to obscure documents you *claim* exist but
>refuse to properly identify or furnish. You are indeed not engaged in
>debate. You are simply spewing the same old propaganda, depending on
>repetition in classic Big Lie fashion, in an attempt to win your
>point. We aren't fooled."

If that were the case, Paul, you wouldn't even bother to speak with me. Because you've spent all of your time trying to prove me wrong, and not making it. Most likely, the fact that both you and Coffman did extremely poorly in our exchanges explains your displeasure. The 'proof in the pudding,' though, is the end result, which predictions for the Service were totally consistent with the documentation both you and Coffman refused to accept. Thus I haven't see any great dispute over that fact from anyone; just resentment. In the end, the Service was caught short, as hams came to realize what had just happened to them. That's why there's movement now (altho too late) to bring back some Morse testing requirements, and a petition for reconsideration re: theory exams. So in that context, the only statement that Coffman was accurate about was his last, and that relating to the FCC: "we aren't fooled."

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 1490311600150819242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 07:05:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 08:55:19 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A65B257.A67D307@IEEE.org>
References: <3A639843.C5771496@IEEE.org> <20010117083335.15567.00000320@nso-de.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 15:05:16 GMT

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13064

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3A639843.C5771496@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> >> I condemn all jammers on the ham bands.
> >
> >I won't be satisfied until I hear you say that you condemn all
> >jammers on the ham bands including code-tested jammers who use
> >their Morse code equipment for their jamming.
>
> The latter is a subset of the former. Your satisfaction is not my concern.

If you won't say it, you don't mean it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 4967201156969210767
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 07:16:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:06:25 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A65B4F1.11CC9CDE@IEEE.org>
References: <3A639D38.B74B12ED@IEEE.org> <20010117034058.13433.00002553@nso-
cs.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 15:15:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13065

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Uh, don't look now, but people who know the Morse code and use
> the CW mode on-the-air usually have the equipment to do so.
```

```
Many hams don't even own an HF transceiver. When I moved
to CA in 1971, I didn't take an HF rig with me. All I had
was VHF access. I was without CW capability for 15 years.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -2563516251025862946
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 07:18:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:08:32 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A65B570.6D7C7DE9@IEEE.org>
References: <20010117034058.13433.00002553@nso-cs.aol.com>
<20010117072049.05313.00000991@ng-cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 15:17:12 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13066

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >>There's absolutely no reason to require the skill if the equipment is
> >>not also required. How can one use CW for emergencies without any
> >>equipment?
>
> >Uh, don't look now, but people who know the Morse code and use
> >the CW mode on-the-air usually have the equipment to do so.
>
> I think he missed the point, Cecil.

Larry tends to ignore the R-E-Q-U-I-R-E-M-E-N-T.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -5960486317874142358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 07:22:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:12:54 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A65B676.B352FFD2@IEEE.org>
References: <941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117074456.05313.00001006@ng-cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 15:21:38 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13067

W1RFI wrote:
> I have met only a handful of people who claim that they memorized the answers
> to the ham tests. One of them is Cecil, who says he memorized the answers in
> the 1950s. I personally think he knew more of the material than he thinks he
> did.

Memorizing *is* knowing, Ed. How do you know your call letters if you didn't memorize them?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3807522917711952470
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 07:24:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:14:22 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A65B6CE.44AC8AA1@IEEE.org>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <9407mr$qlq$0@208.206.142.85>

```
<3A63B241.EDC10850@IEEE.org> <940gg2$jud$1@208.207.71.175>
<3A63C497.B74527A7@IEEE.org> <940m1p$34p$1@208.207.71.175>
<3A6456D2.123711CB@IEEE.org> <943ee2$6nf$2@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 15:23:02 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13068

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Oh,I hear jamming on a weekend net every week.
> >
> > VHF?
>
> Nope. 40 meter SSB.

A "No Coder" is jamming you on 40m SSB?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -1608628562286835787
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 07:37:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:25:03 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3A65B94F.A68EC39C@IEEE.org>
References: <whtgOuJ+obtvF7vsINjaDx8F3t4b@4ax.com>
<3818-3A608074-27@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93ss3n$134$0@208.207.71.175> <3A622E5D.5340AC9C@IEEE.org>
<93vdus$ald$0@208.207.71.134> <tWK86.839$e5.2154813@news.ntplx.net>
<940dcn$c9b$0@208.206.142.85> <3A63BDC9.A24E6305@IEEE.org>
<943f6g$aj7$0@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 15:33:45 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13069
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > If they obey the rules within the ham bands, who cares where they
> > came from? If they are operating legally as hams within the ham bands,
> > they aren't freebanders anymore, are they?
>
> And you can affirm that this in in fact the case???

Yes, by definition, if they are operating legally as hams within the
ham bands, they are not freebanding.

> Those types don't reform just because they now have a ham
> license in hand. For far too many it just opens another door to
> mischief.

They are legal once they have a ham license in hand, Dick. When
they are legally talking on a ham band, they cannot possibly
be freebanding, now can they?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 3222775875224448626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 07:37:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:20:48 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A65B850.843471DD@IEEE.org>
References: <20010115194155.29597.00001932@nso-mi.aol.com>
<20010116082829.05657.00001664@ng-cl1.aol.com> <943eua$a1d$0@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 15:30:15 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13070

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > I miss the list of reasons to keep the code. "You might fracture your larynx
> > someday in an emergency" could be the #1 answer.
>
> There are an infinite number of circumstances that could occur.

Using exactly the same logic, automobile operators should be required
to wear crash helmets to prevent head injuries in many accidents.
It's called diminishing returns, Dick. There is a point where the
extra effort is not worth the return on investment. We have reached
that point with Morse code. The necessary return on investment just
does not exist anymore. And, no, making you feel good is not a good
enough return on investment to require Morse code skill of all hams.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8826083689753172392
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 07:41:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:31:35 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A65BAD7.817A9BF5@IEEE.org>
References: <944c30$ndd$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 15:41:33 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13071

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> Most likely, the fact that both you and Coffman did
> extremely poorly in our exchanges explains your displeasure.

Vince, you remind me of a friend of mine. When I aske him what
he would do if his wife caught him in bed with another woman,
he answered, "I'd just deny it."

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 3382845623828832699
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 07:49:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:39:20 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A65BCA8.4DACD57B@IEEE.org>
References: <3A645A37.22AB2B45@IEEE.org> <20010117074901.05313.00001007@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 15:48:46 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13072

W1RFI wrote:
> Speaking of which, only a few more days and I have another one in the win
> column. I need it, too, because after the bath I took with Carl and Rick, and a
> recent loss to an ARRL HQ staffer over QRP DXCC, I am in a lobster-meal
> deficit. :-)

I'm expecting Clinton to start WWIII this week, dissolve the Congress,
and declare himself King BJ. :-)

Which is worse lobster-meal deficit or lobster deficit? Are you going
to Ft. Tuthill this year and/or any hamfests in and around Texas?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -1150205423826814654
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 08:00:09 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.germany.net!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 15:49:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <944eti$10k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942jra$i4j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3E496.908$e5.2258821@news.ntplx.net> <942rf8$ori$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 15:49:09 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13073
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10724

In article <942rf8$ori$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

>
> "Hans the Humanitarian." It just doesn't ring true.
>

I think a paraphrase of the classic speech by Edgar Friendly is in order here.

I'm the enemy, 'cause I like to think, I like to point out the "not so obvious", I like to send "didahhhhhhhhhhdit", I like to champion the little guy, and I like to deflate the pompous and self-righteous granfalloons(*). I'm into freedom of speech and freedom of choice. I'm the kind of guy who likes to sit in a greasy spoon and wonder, "Gee! Should I have the T-bone steak or the jumbo rack of barbeque ribs with a side order of gravy fries?" I want high cholesterol. I want to eat bacon, and butter, and buckets of cheese, ok? I want to smoke a Cuban cigar the size of Cinncinnatti in the non-smoking section. Why? Because I suddenly might feel the need to, ok pal? I've seen your future, know what it is? It's a 43-year-old virtual-virgin sitting around in his beige pajamas drinking a banana-broccoli shake, singing "I'd like to be an Oscar-Mayer weiner."

73, Hans, K0HB

--
* If you wish to observe a granfalloon, peel the skin from a childs' balloon.

```
Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 7078498420586330543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,189f7c248574a945
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 08:02:18 PST
From: "Steve" <ai7w@NoSpam.com>
References: <93v3il$826$0@dosa.alt.net> <93v4u6$h2d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93vs23$12b$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: HA HA HA: Bush won popular vote!
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 15:55:54 -0000
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Message-ID: <#GyFd4JgAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.32.180
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpmsnbbsb04!
cpmsnbbsa09
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10725
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13074

Bush did not win the popular vote.

see
http://128.242.205.65/inboxer/outrage/bushvote.htm

This is just more conservative spin.

Steve







From 6505386919205985910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 08:21:33 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 08:21:29 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <944gli$k9b$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-89.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13075

Carl Zager <kb9rvb@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> The only thing most multiple choice tests measure to any great degree of
> certainty is not the subject matter but rather the ability to take a
> test.

It is probably more accurate to say that multiple choice tests measure with
a degree of certainty, the test takers ability to prepare for a test.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 4877733512042970197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 08:40:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 16:32:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 93
Message-ID: <944hf1$3ir$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-
fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.235.17
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 16:32:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 165.121.235.17
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10726
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13076

In article <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> In article <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >
> > Now, "personal," and "all the good numbers" are a different story.
> >
>
> Talked to a young fella on the repeater the other day who introduced
> himself by saying "my first personal is Jim and you're my first
> contact, QSL?".
>
> He seemed like a nice sort, delighted that he had just received his
> shiny new call sign, and was anxious to make some new friends. Kinda
> sounded like I felt when I put my new call sign on the air the first
> time way back when, except probably brighter, cuz Jim is one of those
> young computer jocks. Me, I still got problems with the LL scale on my
> Pickett slide rule. Yep, I think Jim sounded brighter than me, quick
to
> catch on to things.
>
> But I don't think Jim will be back on the repeater. Before I had a
> chance to really get to know much about Jim, or even wrangle an invite
> to lunch, another station, with an impressive "senior" call sign
joined
> the contact, flashed his shiny Radio Cop badge, and proceeded to issue
> Jim a "verbal speeding ticket" for improper lingo on the radio. "Radio
> Cop" said the term "personal" (and for that matter "handle") were
> unwelcome in ham radio, and that Q-signals were not to be used on VHF
> voice. Just generally made my newfound friend feel like an unwashed
> interloper. (Gosh, I've been saying "handle" since I was a conditional
> class. Slow to catch on, you know.)
>
> Now I should point out that "Radio Cop" took pains to appear very well
> meaning. Didn't use any bad words that I noticed, was quite polite,
> even seemed like he was trying to be "helpful." In other words, he
> thought he was doing Jim a favor by pointing out his transgression
from
> our sacred Amateur Radio way of doing things. I think Jim felt just
the
> same way I did back in a new school in third grade when the well
> meaning teacher pointed out that "we don't keep our pencil behind our
> ear in this room." Sure enough, I looked around and none of my new

> classmates had pencils behind their ears. Sure was embarrassing, and
at
> that moment I really wished I was back with my good old buddies in
> second grade. Now, if I'd been allowed to hang out a couple of days,
> I'm sure I would have learned how to properly stow my pencil. And if
> Jim would have hung around a couple of days on our repeater I just bet
> he would have noticed that his lingo, perhaps learned in another radio
> service, was a bit out of place, and pretty soon Jim would sound "just
> like the rest of us." Like I said, he seemed pretty bright to me,
quick
> to catch on to things.
>
> "Radio Cop", you have kept our hobby uncorrupted. I heard Jim down
> around 27 Mhz this morning, and saw his ad on eBay trying to sell his
> barely used 2-meter HT. I'm gonna miss my new friend Jim, because I
> think I could have learned something from him. Maybe you could have
> also.
>
> Like I said, he seemed pretty bright to me, quick to catch on to
> things. What do think it was that he caught on to about us
from "Radio
> Cop".
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> ~~~
>   When a true genius appears in this world, you may know him by
>   this sign, that the dunces are all in confederacy against him.
> ~~~
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

Sorry Hans, if he can't take some criticism then he needs to go be a
priest or something. Thats the trouble today. No one wants to pay
their way anymore. Heck when I first got on AM phone I was made to
feel 2 inches high. You know, not enough audio, your too week, give me
a call when you grow up youngun.. etc.

It didn't run me off, I just dug in and LEARNED.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5422968140979456138

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 08:50:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 16:38:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <944hq8$3oi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943boh$pc1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.235.17
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 16:38:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 165.121.235.17
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13077
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10727

In article <943boh$pc1$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
  jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
> In article <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Dan/W4NTI  <w4nti@my-
deja.com> wrote:
> >You got it right.  I'm sure your just trying to be nice.  But the
basic
> >fact is CB stole most, if not all, of their proceedures and pro-words
> >from either Ham, public service, or commercial service radio
services.
>
> Where did "slider" (as in VFO) originate, Dan?  =:o
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O
>
I really don't know Jeff.  Since I never played on ten four, ya'll come
back good buddy radio.  Just had to listen to the truckers once in a
while when I fixed em.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/
```

From 5590691297648093532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 08:50:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!192.148.253.68!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 16:36:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <944hmh$3n7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942jra$i4j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3E496.908$e5.2258821@news.ntplx.net> <942rf8$ori$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943h76$cbn$2@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 16:36:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13078 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10728

In article <943h76$cbn$2@208.207.71.134>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Would Hans the Hun sound better?

K0CKB calls me "Hon" -- is that close enuf?

73, Hans, K0H(oney)B(uns)

--
A person's maturity consists in having found
again the seriousness one had as a child, at play.
--Friedrich Nietzsche

"God is dead" -- Nietzsche

"Nietzsche is dead" -- God

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -3132118121428807534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 08:51:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!
news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: "John Passaneau" <jxp16@psu.edu>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 11:44:27 -0500
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <944i5d$kam@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<943d37$5ch$0@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thingtwo.phys.psu.edu
X-Authinfo-User: jxp16@psu.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15804
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8190 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10729
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13079
```

The ARRL VE book, said, 1 fee for each test session, and it covered as many elements as the test taker wanted to take, or the VE have. But it is a new fee to retake a failed element. So as long as you keep passing you can do as many elements as you can pass for 1 fee. Remember though that most VE sessions have only 2 or 3 versions of a test element available and if you flunk all that they have, your done for that session.

```
--
John Passaneau, W3JXP
Physics Dept. Electronics Shop
Penn State University
104 Davey Lab, University Park , Pa. 16802
814.863.4297, jxp16@psu.edu



"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:943d37$5ch$0@208.207.71.134...
>
>
> Steve Woodruff wrote:
> >
>
> but i was suprised tonight to learn that
> > i had to
> > pay the $10 fee for EACH exam i took.  I upgraded to general, and had
> > intentions
> > of attempting the extra class exam.... but when i found out it would
cost me
> > an
> > additional $10 i figured i'd wait until next month when i could be
> > reasonably sure
> > that i'd pass with ease.
>
>
>  If that is permissable evidently they have changed the rules. When I
> ran a VE team ('85 thru '93) the rules said one fee for all tests taken
> at that session. Later it was deemed permissable to charge an additional
> fee for a retest of a failed element at the same session, but we never
> did.




From -5228838468140489888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 08:51:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 08:44:12 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 24
```

Message-ID: <944i07$kub$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A64919E.9360C68C@IEEE.org>
<94260d$b7m$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-89.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13080

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message news:94260d$b7m$1@iac5.navix.net...
> How about a 5 question test for General.

> 1. State in 300 words or more why you want to be a ham.

How can this question be answered incorrectly?

> 2. Describe the procedure for calculating, adjusting, and connecting a 15
> meter dipole cut for the center of the phone band.

How high is it? Is it near other conductors or dielectrics? Questions about the length of dipoles are in the question pool. What's wrong with them?

> 3. Explain the difference, if any, between an AC ground and a RF ground.
> Elaborate as to how each contributes or degrades operator and property
> safety.

Looks like there's a typo in the test question. A better question might be to explain the differences between a DC ground and an RF ground.

73, AC6XG

From 685133506211275482
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 08:58:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!
news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 17 Jan 2001 16:58:29 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <944ivl$qtr$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A65BAD7.817A9BF5@IEEE.org>

NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979750709 27579 149.174.88.254 (17
Jan 2001 16:58:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 16:58:29 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13081

VB> Most likely, the fact that both you and Coffman did
VB> extremely poorly in our exchanges explains your displeasure.

>Vince, you remind me of a friend of mine. When I aske him what
>he would do if his wife caught him in bed with another woman,
>he answered, "I'd just deny it."

In all honesty, you fared far worse in debate than those other
two fellas, Cecil. By your own admission as a tenth-rate debater.
Nothing to crow about, son.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 7122342632626385664
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:11:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.news.ucla.edu!awabi.library.ucla.edu!
134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:02:59 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <944j3f$ljo$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <940oi9$l1g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010117034058.13433.00002551@nso-cs.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-89.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13082

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010117034058.13433.00002551@nso-cs.aol.com...

> If 10,000 armed citizens emerged on the steps of the Capitol, I don't
> think they would be very concerned with being arrested. However,
> it would be up to those who might do the "arresting" to decide whether

> or not the shooting was going to start. Arresting people for acting on
> their Constitutional rights would be a good way to do that.

I'd like to know how you feel about 10,000 armed abortion rights activists, or 10,000 armed environmentalists, or 10,000 convicted felons, or 10,000 armed Klu Klux Klansmen standing on the Capitol steps threatening to shoot your elected Congressman and Senators - acting on these Consitutional rights.

That's different, right?

73, Jim AC6XG

From -7070033420164372697
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:20:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 12:19:47 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t6bl222c3ddje5@corp.supernews.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
<t695j69u9fl07e@corp.supernews.com> <1r996toaqnk5q8jgqnilghsd9abk9flvuu@4ax.com>
<t69glrek8fakea@corp.supernews.com> <3A64E9EB.9AD1A836@dvol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 19
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13083

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3A64E9EB.9AD1A836@dvol.com...
> on ham radio. Fact is they don't have any real impact on anything which is why
> they're on the low end of the FCC's list of priorities.

They maybe on the low end of the FCC's list, but the ARRL is interested in how many show up on 10m. Now with the creation of MURS they have an excuse to use opened up Ham 2m rigs, and most likely start to show up on 2m. I guess you figure if they don't bother you it must not be a problem for anyone else.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From 4870867016175116270
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:20:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:14:47 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 100
Message-ID: <944jtu$5tg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9422n4$9hh$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010117010746.14777.00000602@nso-bd.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.205
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 17:14:47 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.205
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13084

In article <20010117010746.14777.00000602@nso-bd.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>These two examples are logically incongruent. There is no
>need for flying skills in radio operation, any radio service.

As usual, someone ELSE makes an analogy and Lennie the Loser tries to dismiss it as "incongruent". LENNIE is incongruent, but we are having an excessively difficult time dismissing HIM.

>To be legal, one has to have an amateur radio license in
>order to transmit RF in the amateur radio bands...but the
>necessity to "solo" for an amateur radio license in not a
>federal requirement.

No...to be "legal" one only need be in the PRESENCE of a third party who DOES have an Amatuer license of appropriate class. Even YOU can operate on the Amateur bands without a license...you just have to find someone foolhearty enough to jeopardize THIER license in doing so.

>>How long it would take to teach yourself is another matter. However I
>>once worked with a guy who was an avid simulator pilot that could
>>probably have soloed in 4 hours. he claimed several thousand hours on
>>his Microsoft flight simulator.

>The above is both incongruent, illogical, and rather absurd.

Gee, Lennie, in another post in this same thread you correctly point out that the Wright Brothers were self taught in flying skills, yet HERE you call it "incongruent, illogical and rather absurd" that someone could solo in only 4 hours.

In 1982 or thereabouts a Marine Lance Corporal named Foote commandeered an A4 Skyhawk at MCAS El Toro and took it for over an hour joyride. LCpl Foote was an accomplish sailplane pilot however had never flown a jet aircraft before. He had recently been denied flight school in the Armed Forces due to an alleged inner ear disorder.

He not only flew a nominal flight profile, but he also shot a flawless approach at NZJ. And this he did with only "simulator" time in any powered aircraft, let alone a jet fighter.

Sorry I don't have the specific dates, however I am sure the Orange County Register could find the specifics and verify them for you.

>As to self-learning radio arts, be they technology or operating
>practices, that can be totally self-taught.

The FAA currently allows "self-taught" persons to fly without a license under Part 103 of the FAR's.

>In a career of design and development of radio and
>electronics, both myself and the vast majority of
>career contemporaries were "self-taught" on the changing
>state of the radio-electronics arts.

"But that was yesterday, and yesterday's gone". TODAY'S engineers routinely attend continuing education seminars, as I can testify to since I process many of the requests at my current employment. Seems they don't feel as though possession of MSEE's and PhD's prevent them from sitting in a classroom.

>There was no time to attend "formal schooling" or get "accreditation"
>through nice little paper certificates with grade points to attest to
>"qualified new skills." Myself and the vast majority of my
>career contemporaties already had formal schooling.

"That was all the education we needed and pooh on the rest"
Today's EE's find it counter productive to waste time reinventing the
wheel and attend appropriate update courses as needed.

>There were hardly any instructors or courses for each new radio-
>electronic art that evolved.

Seems the Armed Forces didn't have a problem sending us to schools
for just that event. You "commercial" guys couldn't find the time,
huh...?!?!?

>By comparison, the necessary knowledge to get an amateur license and
>to begin in the amateur radio hobby can be entirely self-taught.

True...any your point is...?!?!

>The amateur radio "solo" requirement is some flight of fancy, or
>rather a fantasy based on some "instructor's" aberrant self-
>professed "superiority."

And your continued derision of Amateurs is only further evidence
of your own "bruised ego" that your self-inflicted hatred has made
manifest.

Get a life, creep.

--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5442108291493361343
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:30:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:18:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <944k4m$625$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <4ohs5tsrufdm0aqc6jttv4rntoc3arq9os@4ax.com>
<20010112073412.07248.00001188@ng-da1.aol.com> <93mv6l$7so$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<%aO76.776$e5.1918420@news.ntplx.net> <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

<93soif$m4u$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93sv9g$r74$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<vbo86.804$V6.420561@nntp1.onemain.com> <93tj7g$b18$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.18
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 17:18:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.18
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13085

Sorry to bust up the No-Code kills (McDermott, KB1EVA) thread again,
Breaking news:

One of the men killed during this cowardly attack had a permit to carry in NH; but was denied the ability to do so in Mass. because he wasn't politically connected. Looks like Massachsuetts gun laws may have well contributed to the death of at least five people:

"Source: Boston Herald (Letters)
Published: Friday, Jan 11, 2001
Author: David Bergquist

Louis "Sandy" Javelle was my friend. On Dec. 26 in Wakefield, he was killed by a madman.

Sandy held both a federal firearms license and a permit to carry a handgun in New Hampshire. Ironically, the gun laws in Massachusetts prevented him from carrying a concealed handgun. But these same laws did not prevent Michael McDermott from obtaining illegal firearms.

When the rampage started, Sandy told co-workers to lock the door behind him and barricade it. He then confronted McDermott and became the third victim. If Sandy had been permitted to carry a pistol, he could have stopped McDermott. That meant that five other people could possible have survived this tragedy. But Sandy did not have that option.

David Bergquist, Temple, N.H."

Actual scanned image of letter at:
http://www.KeepAndBearArms.com/images/wakefieldletter.jpg

Jim, ac6tk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3168683697252653292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:31:31 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:31:19 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <944kol$mfj$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-89.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13086

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org...
> Ed, do you agree with Jim that dits
> or dahs sent for the purpose of jamming are not sent with Morse
> code equipment?

You need to research your attributions a little better before dropping names into such comments, Cecil.

ac6xg

From 2366468921254826732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:41:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:36:54 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <944l34$mk2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <%RK86.837$e5.2154344@news.ntplx.net>
<3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org>

NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-89.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13087

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org...

> The arguments are different. Jim says there is not one code-tested jammer
> using Morse code equipment. I say there is at least one and probably more.

I'm enjoying your argument in the third person here, Cecil. Which Jim are you claiming said these things? Quotes might better illustrate your point. Or they might not.

73, Jim

From -6217934715031016248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:50:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!
feedeast.news.agis.net!agis!howland.erols.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:36:41 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <944l79$74d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117073658.05313.00001004@ng-cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 17:36:41 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13088
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10732

In article <20010117073658.05313.00001004@ng-cd1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

> Interestingly, the use of the 10 codes on voice is seen by some as having more
> validity than the use of Q codes on voice. Why does one create such moral
> outrage if heard on amateur frequencies?

What's interesting to me, is the "vintage" of those "outraged". With a few exceptions they are usually not "old timers" or "newbies" but rather those licensed in the 70's through about 1988 or so. These are the ones who posture about "purity of the ham race" and look down their nose at any ham who "isn't like them". They are, by and large, products of the incentive licensing system with it's fragmentation of the hobby into exclusive ghettos, reeking of "status" and "rank" and "I can beep faster than you can beep".

When you and I got into this hobby, you got no special call sign, no special status, no special band segments, the callbook didn't show your class, and nobody could poke around the QRZ.COM website to check your "status". All of us, >ALL OF US<, exuberantly played in the ether as equals, and nobody gave a rip if you were a Conditional, General, Advanced, or Extra. You were a ham - PERIOD! I think that's what makes us old-timers more accepting of those who "don't act just like us".

73, Hans, K0HB
--

There are two basic rules to being human.

Rule number one: Human beings want nothing more than
to contribute to other human beings.

Rule number two: Human beings will not tolerate being
contributed to.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 441210090832680420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:51:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
```

news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 12:50:21 -0500
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <tgmb6tcvho5oq6j2n1hj7qapm6cbhikshl@4ax.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
<942912$88j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: frussle@erols.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVaGruWQLV08b/pVojOvRiBRPua4J1Krsr0vxVVvVRaJem2NOUlItYHM
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 17:50:25 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13089

On Tue, 16 Jan 2001 19:56:23 GMT, K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>,
> frussle@erols.com wrote:
>
>> The real problem is that the FCC isn't enforcing its own laws very
>> well. Laws against unlicensed operation exist.
>
>Exactly. We don't need more big-government rules! Enforce the
>ones we have.
>
>> Maybe the FCC would consider licensing something like an Official
>> Radio Monitor (Kind of like the ARRL OO, but with some sort of
>> legal standing in a court of law)......
>
>Groan.....
>
>We could have tin badges and blue jump-suits and all other sorts of
>cop-wannabe paraphenalia.
>
>On second though, fergit it! I don't think it is the function of
>hams to be hall-monitors for CBers and freebanders.

Well, somebody's got to do it. Why not certify an operation at a local club? If people are serious about self enforcement, then get the public backing of a club to pursue the nastiest cases the FCC doesn't care about.

I agree with your assessment, Hans. I'm not fond of the idea either. I figure that by insisting that club back the results, maybe some of the frequency cops will see their limits and turn in their blue jump suits and tin badges.

No, it's not a perfect solution. But maybe it's better than hiding
the problems under a rock, the way the FCC has over the last couple
decades.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

```
From 173640189013681797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db91ab8486ecd887
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!
feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Anyone For An RRAP Meeting At Dayton?
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 12:51:29 -0500
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <prmb6tc5jd562vj6t4tbfc73qtac2ebrbn@4ax.com>
References: <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: frussle@erols.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVb2PhTeLcmNedvD9RI9YJbC8X93d3lsT6iY/Gow3RAt3sh0U0NPT371
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 17:51:32 GMT
X-Newsreader:  Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13090
```

On Wed, 17 Jan 2001 13:19:20 GMT, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

>Hmmmmm??????

Why? Are you trying to start a fight or what? :-)

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

```
From -5083745850592453411
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:52:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7ba8x2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7a6q40.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010117015952.16762.00000428@nso-mp.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 44
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:53:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979753995 206.58.44.59 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:53:15
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:53:15 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13091
```

On 17 Jan 2001 06:59:52 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>Does the name Broderick Crawford ring a bell?

"Why you dirty rotten stinking rat, Ten Four...."

>> The "country" and "good buddy" lingo came from an individual in
>> the Ozarks who was on the air some 20+ hours a day in the late
>> 60s and actually talked like that as a regular thing. We used to
>> hear him very plainly at the monitoring stations in California.
>
>Phil, again you have to go all the way west to Hollywood and
>a number of motion pictures and made-for-TV-films on the
>patois of CB...

I'm referring to a good five to ten years before CB made its
mark on TV and movies.

>or to natives of Oklahoma who grew up to drive
>18-wheelers and big rigs cross-country for a living.

Ditto...and from my short assignment in Oklahoma City in 1961,
by then all the "Oakies" lived in Bakersfield.....

>It is saintly and authoritative to refer to a
>location as "QTH" but it is evil mutilated robbery to refer

```
>to a location as "10-20."  On voice.  Why can't folks
>simply say "location?"   :-)

  "10-20" has a honorable history in public-safety voice comms
  but the "mutilation" is to drop the "10" and just refer to your
  "twenty".

>Roger that.

  ...good buddy and I'm gone.....

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP







From -1023896590481688804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:52:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7bafq3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A6598E0.6440B6A1@dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 22
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:53:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979753996 206.58.44.59 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:53:16
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:53:16 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10733
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13092

On Wed, 17 Jan 2001 08:06:40 -0500, Brian Kelly wrote:
```

```
>The ten code was been dropped eons ago by the gendarmes in this area.

  The Los Angeles PD never used the "10" code.  The only
  non-plain-language "codes" were "Code 1" through "Code 7" and
  they actually published a brochure detailing them.

  The Los Angeles Sheriff's Department used a "nine" code.

  The California Highway Patrol used a modified "10" code with
  "eleven" code additions.

  Made it interesting for us 50s-60s era police radio buffs
  (before scanners were avaliable).

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -1583993192393579854
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:59:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <27nb6t8936sdto51sfq4url1uo2n589on8@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:59:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.139
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979754369 209.86.209.139 (Wed, 17 Jan
2001 09:59:29 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:59:29 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13093

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>the easiest way to pass the test is to know and understand the material.
```

That's easy for an engineer to say...

How many hours of study do you estimate that it would take for a
non-technical person to "know and understand" all the material to
pass the Extra test?

From -91514188580637802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:59:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <r5nb6tgt62nvu4i2pcfmnkr9ajl2u70nqm@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:59:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.139
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979754372 209.86.209.139 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:59:32 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:59:32 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13094

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>The ten code was been dropped eons ago by the gendarmes in this area.

The cops still use the 10 codes it in Phoenix. One of the favorite
tricks the dispatchers liked to play on a rookie was to ask a question
using a seldom used 10 code. Then time him to see how long it took him
to look it up on his code sheet and reply...

From 8126787279755692765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:59:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail

```
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <j6nb6tsa7shqdeqiglus3ag9gvtlvi8sbf@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:59:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.139
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979754371 209.86.209.139 (Wed, 17 Jan
2001 09:59:31 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:59:31 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13095

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>Interestingly, the use of the 10 codes on voice is seen by some as having more
>validity than the use of Q codes on voice.

Every hobby has it's preferred lingo. If you use the wrong lingo you
immediately identify yourself as an outsider...


From 1666305582277642715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 09:59:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!news.minn.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <e5nb6t4vr42j4ntiptnpsrksq1dag2soii@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:59:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.139
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979754374 209.86.209.139 (Wed, 17 Jan
2001 09:59:34 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 09:59:34 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13096
```

K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
>Talked to a young fella on the repeater the other day who introduced
>himself by saying "my first personal is Jim and you're my first
>contact, QSL?".

Of course he could have avoided the gaff by simply listening to the
repeater for a few weeks before using it to see how things are done.
That's good practice whether on FM, RTTY, CW or a new newsgroup...

From -8869907060005513809
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 10:12:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!denver-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!coop.net!
newsfeed1.global.lmco.com!svlnews.lmms.lmco.com!not-for-mail
From: K7MEM <jda@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 10:59:35 -0700
Organization: is a myth
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<943d37$5ch$0@208.207.71.134> <944i5d$kam@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.29.83.238
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01Gold (X11; I; SunOS 4.1.4 sun4m)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15808
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8193 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10735
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13097

John Passaneau wrote:
>
> The ARRL VE book, said, 1 fee for each test session, and it covered
> as many elements as the test taker wanted to take, or the VE have.
> But it is a new fee to retake a failed element. So as long as you
> keep passing you can do as many elements as you can pass for 1 fee.

```
> Remember though that most VE sessions have only 2 or 3 versions
> of a test element available and if you flunk all that they have,
> your done for that session.
>
> Dick Carroll"wrote:
> >
> > Steve Woodruff wrote:
> > >
> > > ..but i was suprised tonight to learn that i had to
> > > pay the $10 fee for EACH exam i took. I upgraded to general,
> > > and had intentions of attempting the extra class exam....
> > > but when i found out it would cost me an additional $10
> > > i figured i'd wait until next month when i could be
> > > reasonably sure that i'd pass with ease.
> >
> >  If that is permissable evidently they have changed the rules. When I
> > ran a VE team ('85 thru '93) the rules said one fee for all tests taken
> > at that session. Later it was deemed permissable to charge an additional
> > fee for a retest of a failed element at the same session, but we never
> > did.

It's been a few years, but when I made General, I took the Tech,
General, and 13 WPM code at the same time for one fee ($6.45).
At another session I took the Extra and the 20 WPM code tests
again for one fee ($6.45). At the time, I was under the impression
that this fee went directly to the ARRL, to cover the cost
of the testing materials that they supplied. That ment that the
club, which was running the VE session, was really charging
nothing for their time.

Was I correct in my reasoning? Aren't they, the club, also allowed
to charge a small fee to cover their expenses?

Martin K7MEM

--
Martin E. Meserve  K7MEM
martin.e.meserve AT lmco.com

I respond to news groups and E-Mail on my own time  and  therefore
my responses may not be as timely as you, or I, would like them to
be. If I seem to be ignoring you, send me another E-Mail to jog my
memory.  Opinions are my own and do not reflect the opinions of my
employer.


From 4777354085507020981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 10:20:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 18:11:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-cr1.aol.com> <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net> <9401gp$bp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.18
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 18:11:52 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.18
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13098

> > > If your memory is so good remembering the ones you guessed at, why
> didn't
> > you
> > > remember the exact answer as given in the Q pool?
> >
> > There was no question pool in those days.

Actually there was a question pool, but the actual questions and
answers weren't available to the public. The ARRL Licence Manual and
Ameco publications were study guides to give you an idea of what was
required, and what to study. Many were paraphrased from the
recollections of those who took the tests.

> > > How many times did you have to take the exams?
> >
> > Once. Other than blowing a few questions, it was pretty easy for me.

In the 70's you had to wait a year to retake the test. You would lose
your Novice ticket if you didn't upgrade. These days I hear all the
time of people taking the test numerous times. Really sad.

This all adds up to one big mistake. By lowering standards and force
feeding numerous ignorant individuals onto the ham bands, how are we
improving the standing of Amateur Radio? When I compare the technical
discussions and considerate behavior of those who knew full well they
could be heard everywhere, giving way to Hate and Discontent in order
to impress a new group of listeners, who's going to want to (much less
be savy enough to) save allocations in the future? I have seen Ham
Radio Magazine die off and QEX almost die off. We used to have to fill
out our own 610 forms, and we joked that it was a literacy test. Now
that we don't have to do that, how many new hams won't even be able to
read QST, much less give a S***?

We have to stop allowing ourselves to be re-formed for the wishes of "wanna bees".

Jim, ac6tk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 42034227583046774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 10:26:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 12:16:29 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A65E17D.DF469859@IEEE.org>
References: <4ohs5tsrufdm0aqc6jttv4rntoc3arq9os@4ax.com>
<20010112073412.07248.00001188@ng-da1.aol.com> <93mv6l$7so$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<%aO76.776$e5.1918420@news.ntplx.net> <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93soif$m4u$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93sv9g$r74$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<vbo86.804$V6.420561@nntp1.onemain.com> <93tj7g$b18$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<944k4m$625$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 18:25:56 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13099
```

xjim@my-deja.com wrote:
> Sandy held both a federal firearms license and a permit to carry a
> handgun in New Hampshire. Ironically, the gun laws in Massachusetts
> prevented him from carrying a concealed handgun. But these same laws
> did not prevent Michael McDermott from obtaining illegal firearms.

Why does it make sense to allow criminals to have guns yet prohibit
law-abiding citizens the right to have a gun with which to defend
themselves?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -7210856175416803398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 10:28:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 12:18:17 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A65E1E9.A27B4CA@IEEE.org>
References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net>
<9401gp$bp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 18:27:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13100
```

xjim@my-deja.com wrote:
> In the 70's you had to wait a year to retake the test.

That doesn't make sense. I believe it was a month, not a year.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -60495119211763926
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 10:35:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!howland.erols.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.elmhst1.il.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: kb0hae@hotmail.com
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Reply-To: kbohaespam.stinks@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3a65e558.2221618@news>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t6aeb6gt672mc3@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 21
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 18:34:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.22.0.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.elmhst1.il.home.com 979756450 24.22.0.234 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001
10:34:10 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 10:34:10 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15812
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8194 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10736
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13101

Hi Guys. You can get the latest ARR> VE manual here.
http://www2.arrl.org/arrlvec/vemanual/index.html

On Tue, 16 Jan 2001 22:19:18 +0800, "Ilan Rabinovitch" <ilan@fonz.net>
wrote:

>I paid $6 when I took my Technician test in Decmeber.
>
>Ilan
>KG6ERM
>
>In article <93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, jamesrhampton@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>> The cost is actually minimal anywhere. I think I paid $5.90
>> when I decided to get back into amateur radio and tested out in 1993
>> (after letting my tickets expire over 10 years earlier). Whats the
>> cost today?
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim (AA2QA)

From 8156213604320035877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 10:38:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!192.148.253.68!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 12:28:28 -0600

Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3A65E44C.FE56CA41@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <%RK86.837$e5.2154344@news.ntplx.net> <3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org> <944l34$mk2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 18:37:58 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13102

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org...
> > The arguments are different. Jim says there is not one code-tested jammer
> > using Morse code equipment. I say there is at least one and probably more.
>
> I'm enjoying your argument in the third person here, Cecil. Which Jim are
> you claiming said these things? Quotes might better illustrate your point.
> Or they might not.

I redirect this thread from r.r.a.antenna where it doesn't belong.
Jim, N2EY, is redirecting everything back to r.r.a.antenna where it
doesn't belong. That's the reason for the disconnect. Jim will not
admit that there ever has been a single code-tested ham using Morse
equipment for the purpose of jamming. He refuses to condemn code-tested hams who use Morse equipment for the purpose of jamming since
he says there never has been any. That's because any admission of
such would violate the immaculate conception virginal religious
aspect of Morse code to the PCTA.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -2110327425582433512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 10:40:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 12:30:14 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15

Message-ID: <3A65E4B6.D4A78CA1@IEEE.org>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <944kol$mfj$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 18:39:40 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13103

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org...
> > Ed, do you agree with Jim that dits
> > or dahs sent for the purpose of jamming are not sent with Morse
> > code equipment?
>
> You need to research your attributions a little better before dropping names
> into such comments, Cecil.

Felling guilty, are we? There's more than one person named Jim, Jim.
This thread originated on r.r.a.antenna where it doesn't belong.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -3106516944409083493
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 10:41:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news1.elmhst1.il.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: kb0hae@hotmail.com
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Reply-To: kbohaespam.stinks@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3a65e712.2663560@news>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t6aeb6gt672mc3@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235

Lines: 31
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 18:40:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.22.0.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.elmhst1.il.home.com 979756802 24.22.0.234 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001
10:40:02 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 10:40:02 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15814
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8196 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10737
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13104

Hi Guys. You can get the latest ARR> VE manual here.
http://www2.arrl.org/arrlvec/vemanual/index.html

On Tue, 16 Jan 2001 22:19:18 +0800, "Ilan Rabinovitch" <ilan@fonz.net>
wrote:

>I paid $6 when I took my Technician test in Decmeber.
>
>Ilan
>KG6ERM
>
>In article <93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, jamesrhampton@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>> The cost is actually minimal anywhere. I think I paid $5.90
>> when I decided to get back into amateur radio and tested out in 1993
>> (after letting my tickets expire over 10 years earlier). Whats the
>> cost today?
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim (AA2QA)

Cul8r

Martin   kb0haespam.stinks@arrl.net   KB0HAE

Spam Security is ON! To reply via e-mail remove the "spam.stinks" from
the above address.

Want to help stop Spam? (also known as UNSOLICITED COMMERCIAL E-MAIL)
Support the Anti-Spam Amendment. Join at http://www.cauce.org/

From -6730516201371242513
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 10:41:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!

newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <tqpb6ts9co4heldjap94ddm9tcfab5cq9e@4ax.com>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7a6q40.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010117015952.16762.00000428@nso-mp.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7ba8x2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 18:41:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.186
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979756896 209.86.207.186 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 10:41:36 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 10:41:36 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13105

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> "10-20" has a honorable history in public-safety voice comms
> but the "mutilation" is to drop the "10" and just refer to your
> "twenty".

Even cops often shorten the 10 codes to just the last number. It makes
sense if it takes less air time and the meaning is understood by all.
10 codes, although codes, actually become words in the users minds,
and like words often evolve with use...

From 6519870403874166755
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 10:42:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 12:32:58 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A65E55A.BAB97AFE@IEEE.org>
References: <3A65BAD7.817A9BF5@IEEE.org> <944ivl$qtr$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 18:42:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13106

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> In all honesty, you fared far worse in debate than those other
> two fellas, Cecil. By your own admission as a tenth-rate debater.
> Nothing to crow about, son.

I suspect you realize exactly how important your opinion is to me,
Vince, and I seriously doubt that you are old enough to be my father.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -3696276650893653892
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 10:45:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 12:35:50 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A65E606.96135EDA@IEEE.org>
References: <27nb6t8936sdto51sfq4url1uo2n589on8@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 18:45:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13107

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >the easiest way to pass the test is to know and understand the material.
>
> That's easy for an engineer to say...
>
> How many hours of study do you estimate that it would take for a
> *non-technical* person to "know and understand" all  the material to
> pass the Extra test?
```

Just proves "we" don't want any truck drivers, janitors, garbage men,
or lawyers in ham radio. :-) However, the ham who gave me my first
exam-by-mail in 1952 was a lawyer.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7949999353438992170
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 10:50:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 18:40:32 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <944ouu$ap6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117074456.05313.00001006@ng-cd1.aol.com> <3A65B676.B352FFD2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.18
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 18:40:32 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.18
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13108

> Memorizing *is* knowing, Ed. How do you know your call letters if you
didn't
> memorize them?

Memorizing these seemingly random "facts" is worthless (except for frequency allocations and some regs) without deeper study. The test questions are really easily performed signposts, designed to lead you to further study along those lines. Much valuable knowlege can only come through further research and investigation. The tests are like a child's flash cards, helping you on to greater concepts.

If you go no further, you have failed at Amateur Radio.

Jim, ac6tk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3922110856545611525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:03:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 12:53:45 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3A65EA39.40F945F3@IEEE.org>
References: <941sq9$s17$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117074456.05313.00001006@ng-cd1.aol.com> <3A65B676.B352FFD2@IEEE.org> <944ouu$ap6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 19:01:06 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13109

xjim@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > Memorizing *is* knowing, Ed. How do you know your call letters if you
> didn't
> > memorize them?
>
> Memorizing these seemingly random "facts" is worthless (except for
> frequency allocations and some regs) without deeper study.

Nope, it's not and I speak from experience. I memorized those random
facts and that same framework later served me as an outline for knowledge
in electronics. I still fill in the blanks as needed.

> The test
> questions are really easily performed signposts, designed to lead you
> to further study along those lines. Much valuable knowlege can only
> come through further research and investigation. The tests are like a
> child's flash cards, helping you on to greater concepts.

Like I said, an outline to future knowledge.

> If you go no further, you have failed at Amateur Radio.

Lots of people fail at lots of things. Some people failed at amateur
radio because they were chased away by hams requiring perfection in
beginners. Some simply lost interest. What's wrong with live and
let live?

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -7533915157065685762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:09:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 12:59:27 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A65EB8F.B223DC94@IEEE.org>
References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-
fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<944hf1$3ir$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 19:08:54 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10738
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13110

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Sorry Hans,  if he can't take some criticism then he needs to go be a
> priest or something.  Thats the trouble today.  No one wants to pay
> their way anymore.  Heck when I first got on AM phone I was made to
> feel 2 inches high.  You know, not enough audio, your too week, give me
> a call when you grow up youngun.. etc.

Sorry, Dan, this is amateur radio, not the Marines. Hazing has been
frowned on for a number of years now.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -8702201278808824015
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:11:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
```

```
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 13:01:05 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A65EBF1.F1578A73@IEEE.org>
References: <j6nb6tsa7shqdeqiglus3ag9gvtlvi8sbf@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 19:10:30 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13111

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >Interestingly, the use of the 10 codes on voice is seen by some as having more
> >validity than the use of Q codes on voice.
>
> Every hobby has it's preferred lingo. If you use the wrong lingo you
> immediately identify yourself as an outsider...

That's my goal in life, Aaron, to be considered an outsider.
Why would anyone want to be a lemming?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 5650086972829726620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:11:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 11:04:02 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A65ECA2.C71764FA@uci.edu>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-
cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <944kol$mfj$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3A65E4B6.D4A78CA1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
```

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13112
```

Cecil wrote:

> Felling guilty, are we?

I don't know about you, but I'm not. Perhaps a quote from the person you're talking about might make things clearer. You know that you and I have been discussing this via email.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From 4319352772771533311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:20:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 19:14:43 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <944quq$cos$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <27nb6t8936sdto51sfq4url1uo2n589on8@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 19:14:43 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13113
```

In article <27nb6t8936sdto51sfq4url1uo2n589on8@4ax.com>,
  Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> How many hours of study do you estimate that it would take for a
> *non-technical* person to "know and understand" all the material to
> pass the Extra test?

40 years ago it took me at least 10 hours, maybe even 15.

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com

```
http://www.deja.com/


From 2706282674830972611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:22:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!oz.net!usenet
From: nielsen@WashingtonStateResident.oz.net (Bob Nielsen)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: 17 Jan 2001 19:22:11 GMT
Lines: 80
Message-ID: <944rd3$12v$0@216.39.171.5>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<943d37$5ch$0@208.207.71.134> <944i5d$kam@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
<3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com>
Reply-To: nielsen@WashingtonStateResident.oz.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.39.171.5
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15818
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8202 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10739
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13114

On Wed, 17 Jan 2001 10:59:35 -0700, K7MEM wrote:
>John Passaneau wrote:
>>
>> The ARRL VE book, said, 1 fee for each test session, and it covered
>> as many elements as the test taker wanted to take, or the VE have.
>> But it is a new fee to retake a failed element. So as long as you
>> keep passing you can do as many elements as you can pass for 1 fee.
>> Remember though that most VE sessions have only 2 or 3 versions
>> of a test element available and if you flunk all that they have,
>> your done for that session.
>>
>> Dick Carroll"wrote:
>> >
>> > Steve Woodruff wrote:
>> > >
>> > > ..but i was suprised tonight to learn that i had to
>> > > pay the $10 fee for EACH exam i took. I upgraded to general,
```

>> > > and had intentions of attempting the extra class exam....
>> > > but when i found out it would cost me an additional $10
>> > > i figured i'd wait until next month when i could be
>> > > reasonably sure that i'd pass with ease.
>> >
>> > If that is permissable evidently they have changed the rules. When I
>> > ran a VE team ('85 thru '93) the rules said one fee for all tests taken
>> > at that session. Later it was deemed permissable to charge an additional
>> > fee for a retest of a failed element at the same session, but we never
>> > did.
>
>It's been a few years, but when I made General, I took the Tech,
>General, and 13 WPM code at the same time for one fee ($6.45).
>At another session I took the Extra and the 20 WPM code tests
>again for one fee ($6.45). At the time, I was under the impression
>that this fee went directly to the ARRL, to cover the cost
>of the testing materials that they supplied. That ment that the
>club, which was running the VE session, was really charging
>nothing for their time.
>
>Was I correct in my reasoning? Aren't they, the club, also allowed
>to charge a small fee to cover their expenses?

The ARRL VEC allows the VEs to retain a portion of the fee (up to $4 of the current $10 fee, which is per session, not per exam) to cover expenses if they wish to do so (this wasn't originally the case). The ARRL requires that records of such expenses be kept, although the FCC no longer requires reporting. The FCC also no longer specifies the maximum amount which can be charged (there never has been a minimum). ARRL/VEC is charging $10, but others may charge more or less; the marketplace will probably decide.

From the ARRL letter, December 15, 2000:
==>FCC OPENS DOOR TO INCREASED TEST FEES FOR 2001

The FCC has suspended its regulatory limit on the reimbursement fee for Amateur Radio examinations, and the ARRL VEC says a new $10 test fee will go into effect January 1. An FCC Public Notice released December 4 explained that the Commission did not plan to announce a maximum reimbursement fee for 2001 since the requirement to do so no longer appears in the Communications Act.

For now, the FCC says, it will suspend enforcement of the fee provision, ?97.527(b), which continues to appear in the FCC rules. Some, if not all, of the nation's other Volunteer Examiner Coordinators are expected to follow suit, although at least one VEC charges no test fee whatsoever.

ARRL VEC Manager Bart Jahnke, W9JJ, says the ARRL VEC's current fee of $6.65 was based on the provision in the Communications Act, adopted in 1984, that established a $4 cap on reimbursement of out-of-pocket costs with an annual adjustment based on the Consumer Price Index. The new, higher fee reflects the fact that the ARRL VEC is doing more of the work on behalf of the FCC than was originally envisioned when the provision was included in the

Communications Act. That effort includes data entry for all new and upgrade license applications once done by FCC staffers.

ARRL VEC volunteer examiners will continue to charge applicants $6.65 through the end of 2000.

--
Bob Nielsen, N7XY   nielsen@oz.net
Bainbridge Island, WA   http://www.oz.net/~nielsen

From 5160028248156816489
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:27:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 19:27:13 GMT
References: <20010117072952.05313.00001000@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010117142713.24296.00000963@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13115

In article <20010117072952.05313.00001000@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
>
>>Ed, this wouldn't be a fair test. no-coders aren't smart enough to build
>>things, least of all their code speed.
>
>In what way do you think learning Morse code will improve their ability to
>construct equipment?

It makes them superior in all aspects...

One might even say "up their aspects!"   :-)

didit

From -1013135465808519156
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:27:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 59
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 19:27:12 GMT
References: <tgmb6tcvho5oq6j2n1hj7qapm6cbhikshl@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Message-ID: <20010117142712.24296.00000962@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13116

In article <tgmb6tcvho5oq6j2n1hj7qapm6cbhikshl@4ax.com>, Jake Brodsky
<frussle@erols.com> writes:

>On Tue, 16 Jan 2001 19:56:23 GMT, K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
>>In article <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>,
>> frussle@erols.com wrote:
>>
>>> The real problem is that the FCC isn't enforcing its own laws very
>>> well. Laws against unlicensed operation exist.
>>
>>Exactly. We don't need more big-government rules! Enforce the
>>ones we have.
>>
>>> Maybe the FCC would consider licensing something like an Official
>>> Radio Monitor (Kind of like the ARRL OO, but with some sort of
>>> legal standing in a court of law)......
>>
>>Groan.....
>>
>>We could have tin badges and blue jump-suits and all other sorts of
>>cop-wannabe paraphenalia.
>>
>>On second though, fergit it! I don't think it is the function of
>>hams to be hall-monitors for CBers and freebanders.
>
>Well, somebody's got to do it. Why not certify an operation at a
>local club? If people are serious about self enforcement, then get
>the public backing of a club to pursue the nastiest cases the FCC
>doesn't care about.
>
>I agree with your assessment, Hans. I'm not fond of the idea either.
>I figure that by insisting that club back the results, maybe some of
>the frequency cops will see their limits and turn in their blue jump
>suits and tin badges.

>
>No, it's not a perfect solution. But maybe it's better than hiding
>the problems under a rock, the way the FCC has over the last couple
>decades.

Lawlessness and vigilantism is never a solution. One cannot
undo the past to correct peceived mistakes or perceived "rocks."

Consider that CB users outnumber hams by at least 10:1 and
there are more big rig drivers among the CB users than there
are hams. Starting some vigilante movement against evil CB
users and worse-than-evil freebanders might result in another
big rig crash such as in Sacramento, CA, by one frustrated
ex-felon who killed only himself and made a mess on the
steps of the California Capitol Building. It served NO purpose.

There is no time machine to go back to undo the past. There
is the possibility that the existing and future FCC can solve
some problems in US civil radio. Support the FCC in
regulation of radio...or become lawless vigilantes who are
outnumbered by others. There is no honor or respect in
vigilanteism.

didit

From 3977597420403306192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:31:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!
newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 11:24:05 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A65F155.D045B958@uci.edu>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-
da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com>
<93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93nj6d$qug$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93njt2$rj6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A5F79B8.373D1803@uci.edu> <93o2se$9tg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A5F9BD2.2F0C05D1@uci.edu> <93ogtd$l2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13117

K0HB wrote:

> In article <3A5F9BD2.2F0C05D1@uci.edu>,
>   Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>
> > Try this one on your wife tomorrow morning after she gets out of the
> > shower and gets dressed: "You look radiant and sterile this
> > morning, my darling." :-)

> She'd know I was lying. K0CKB and I have five children and thirteen
> granchildren. Sterile is not a word in her vocabulary.

Makes the connection with Morse code even more mystifying.

73, AC6XG

From -2845341976798444962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:41:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!cyclone.bc.net!
awabi.library.ucla.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 11:38:52 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A65F4CC.743F2879@uci.edu>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<93l6k8$phq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93locn$c9a$0@208.206.142.98>
<3A5F61BA.A7541C91@uci.edu> <93qtnb$f9m$0@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13118

Dick Carroll wrote:

>   Either a test is valid and shows to some degree of confidence that the
> examinee has absorbed a minimum level of information, else he doesn't
> pass the test.

I think that's a pretty good assessment of our current exams. The tests
are 1.) valid; 2.) provide some degree of confidence that the examinee
has absorbed at least a minimum level of information; and 3.) the
examinee won't pass the test if he doesn't exceed that threshhold.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 255149682599382596
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:41:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 13:31:57 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A65F32D.794BB6C3@IEEE.org>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <944kol$mfj$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A65E4B6.D4A78CA1@IEEE.org> <3A65ECA2.C71764FA@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 19:40:28 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13119

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Felling guilty, are we?
>
> I don't know about you, but I'm not. Perhaps a quote from the person
> you're talking about might make things clearer. You know that you and I
> have been discussing this via email.

The quotes are on r.r.a.antenna where this thread didn't belong.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1207415026812378312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:45:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 17 Jan 2001 19:45:22 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 5
Message-ID: <944soi$38i$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A65E55A.BAB97AFE@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979760722 3346 149.174.88.251 (17
Jan 2001 19:45:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 19:45:22 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13120
```

>I suspect you realize exactly how important your opinion is to
>me, Vince, and I seriously doubt that you are old enough to be
>my father.

No sense, no feeling, Cecil...

```
From -2728314987650659288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 11:57:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 13:47:48 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A65F6E4.F43996D1@IEEE.org>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<93l6k8$phq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93locn$c9a$0@208.206.142.98>
<3A5F61BA.A7541C91@uci.edu> <93qtnb$f9m$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A65F4CC.743F2879@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 19:57:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13121
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I think that's a pretty good assessment of our current exams. The tests
> are 1.) valid; 2.) provide some degree of confidence that the examinee
> has absorbed at least a minimum level of information; and 3.) the
> examinee won't pass the test if he doesn't exceed that threshhold.

Unfortunately, Dick seems to want to make his personal knowledge level into
the desired threshold. The threshold should never be below his knowledge
level whatever that happens to be at the time. He doesn't seem to realize
that that approach will guarantee that all hams are superior to him which
is not hard to visualize at all.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 2809022330605201702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 12:00:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!
news.stealth.net!newspeer1.nac.net!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 13:50:35 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A65F78B.C69F80CA@IEEE.org>
References: <3A65E55A.BAB97AFE@IEEE.org> <944soi$38i$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Jan 2001 20:00:00 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13122
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >I suspect you realize exactly how important your opinion is to
> >me, Vince, and I seriously doubt that you are old enough to be
> >my father.
>
> No sense, no feeling, Cecil...

Actually, it's no nonsense, no subjective feeling about objective
subjects. Why don't you just come out and admit that Morse code
is your religion?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -3625445188129197437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 12:17:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!
lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 15:17:08 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <944ukq$4cs$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <9422n4$9hh$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010117010746.14777.00000602@nso-
bd.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.27.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979762650 4508 166.102.250.27 (17 Jan 2001 20:17:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 20:17:30 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13123

Len, I guess I can understand your resentment towards those that obtained a formal education. The fact is many have neither the ability or the self discipline to learn on their own. They are not blessed with your tenacity and innate intelligence.

The problem with being self taught is you have someone as ignorant as you for a teacher.

One wonders if a self taught instant extra has even considered the safety issues associated with high voltages and mechanical supports.

I suffered through a formal education that pointed me in directions I would not have even considered. Things that I would have discounted on my own as being useless, I admit some where, but many came to be of valuable use in latter years.

While your opinions of my stances were read and enjoyed, as always I disagree with you.

73 Fred W4JLE

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message

```
news:20010117010746.14777.00000602@nso-bd.aol.com...
>
> These two examples are logically incongruent.  There is no
> need for flying skills in radio operation, any radio service.
> The above is both incongruent, illogical, and rather absurd.
>
> As to self-learning radio arts, be they technology or
> operating practices, that can be totally self-taught.





From 1347168254377288309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5358773b400b8538,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 12:24:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!nerim.net!grolier!club-
internet!not-for-mail
From: "Swann" <swann@dromadaire.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Black list
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 21:22:24 +0100
Organization: Club-Internet (France)
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <944ulv$gd$1@front4m.grolier.fr>
Reply-To: "Swann" <swann@dromadaire.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: nas24-43.wnt.club-internet.fr
X-Trace: front4m.grolier.fr 979762687 525 213.44.183.43 (17 Jan 2001 20:18:07 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 20:18:07 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13124

Don't waste QSL and time and visit :

http://www.dromadaire.com/black-list/1

73's






From -3956427843005403111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 12:28:43 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!cyclone.bc.net!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 15:28:14 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <944v9l$4nf$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117073658.05313.00001004@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.27.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979763317 4847 166.102.250.27 (17 Jan 2001 20:28:37 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 20:28:37 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13125
```

Probably the same moral outrage that causes all you Yankees to get your panties in a wad when you see a Confederate flag and scream KKK!

People have a tendency to assign values to something symbolic rather it is accurate or not!

I still say "handle", I used it a long time before any CBer used it, and the use by a CBer or anyone else does not obviate me using it.

Look at the term "Good Buddy", some where along the line it got changed from an unknown friend on the CB airwaves to a derisive term implying homosexuality.

Who is the grand guru of symbols that assigns these meanings?

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message

> Interestingly, the use of the 10 codes on voice is seen by some as having
more
> validity than the use of Q codes on voice. Why does one create such moral
> outrage if heard on amateur frequencies?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

From -3199232504277985728
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 12:30:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 20:16:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <944uj4$gfh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <tgmb6tcvho5oq6j2n1hj7qapm6cbhikshl@4ax.com> <20010117142712.24296.00000962@nso-fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.205
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 20:16:48 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.205
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13126

In article <20010117142712.24296.00000962@nso-fp.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> There is no time machine to go back to undo the past. There is the possibility that the existing and future FCC can solve some problems in US civil radio. Support the FCC in regulation of radio...or become lawless vigilantes who are outnumbered by others. There is no honor or respect in vigilanteism.

(Don't choke, Len) I agree. We need to put some teeth in present FCC regs and let THEM do the enforcing. However, just like your local police occassionally need extra eyes and ears, so does the FCC need people out there providing them with assistance and information. They can't be everywhere all the time.

Also, it doesn't hurt ANY radio user to be proactive in community issues, especially where education of "lay" users and consumers is important.

73

Steve, K4YZ
--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2589415470892330788
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db91ab8486ecd887
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 12:30:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Anyone For An RRAP Meeting At Dayton?
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 20:21:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <944url$gkv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<prmb6tc5jd562vj6t4tbfc73qtac2ebrbn@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.205
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 20:21:22 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.205
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13127

In article <prmb6tc5jd562vj6t4tbfc73qtac2ebrbn@4ax.com>,
frussle@erols.com wrote:

> Why? Are you trying to start a fight or what? :-)

Naw...just trying to get photographic evidnece that most of us aren't flamebreathing dragons. Time to put the guns away and have a drink. Or two. (That's EACH, Cecil...not kegs!)

73

Steve, K4YZ
--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5068315264361567546
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 12:54:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail

```
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Jan 2001 22:19:14 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9455p2$6md$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-
da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com>
<93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93nj6d$qug$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93njt2$rj6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A5F79B8.373D1803@uci.edu> <93o2se$9tg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A5F9BD2.2F0C05D1@uci.edu> <93ogtd$l2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A65F155.D045B958@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 979769954 6861 205.139.138.14 (17 Jan 2001 22:19:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 22:19:14 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: jwkelley@uci.edu
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13128
```

In article <3A65F155.D045B958@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:
>
>
>K0HB wrote:
>
>> In article <3A5F9BD2.2F0C05D1@uci.edu>,
>>   Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>>
>> > Try this one on your wife tomorrow morning after she gets out of the
>> > shower and gets dressed: "You look radiant and sterile this
>> > morning, my darling." :-)
>
>> She'd know I was lying. K0CKB and I have five children and thirteen
>> granchildren. Sterile is not a word in her vocabulary.
>
>Makes the connection with Morse code even more mystifying.

Sterile, precise, machine-like code is best copied by machines, automatons, or Brian. Reminds me of trying to engage in casual conversation with HAL from 2001 -- yecchhhh! I am a human, and prefer to copy code which sounds like it is being sent by a human. Didaahhhhhhhhhhdit?

73, Hans, K0HB

```
 -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net
```

```
From -6941407150181471027
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5358773b400b8538
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 13:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.germany.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Black list
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 20:49:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9450fp$ibf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <944ulv$gd$1@front4m.grolier.fr>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
To: swann@dromadaire.com
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 20:49:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13129
```

In article <944ulv$gd$1@front4m.grolier.fr>,
"Swann" <swann@dromadaire.com> wrote:

> Don't waste QSL and time and visit :
>
> http://www.dromadaire.com/black-list/1

Just for grins I checked the calls on your stupid list against my logs. In the past year I have had contest QSO's with 11 stations on the list. Five of them have sent me QSL's. So much for your credibility.

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3401059335769033649
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 13:01:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Vince Documentation Wild Goose Chase (was Re: No-code kills)
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 12:58:30 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A660776.3956F2@uci.edu>
References: <941fil$qi4$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<3a647948$0$82369$45beb828@newscene.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13130

"Paul W. Schleck" wrote:
> To paraphrase Dave Sumner, because of practical staff time and other
> understandable constraints, the League cannot retrieve arbitrary
> internal documents from years in the past on demand for private purposes
> (I, of course, suspected that, but did want to get a definitive answer
> for the purpose of this discussion).

Mr. Sumner probably didn't want to come right out and say that they have
a
specially shaped file for Vince's documents.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 2866950393736951367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 13:08:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!

europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 16:07:08 -0500
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <h81c6t07d3k505s9742uovbuoq5qpip20f@4ax.com>
References: <tgmb6tcvho5oq6j2n1hj7qapm6cbhikshl@4ax.com>
<20010117142712.24296.00000962@nso-fp.aol.com>
Reply-To: frussle@erols.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVYWqVKfzaVpnffY0C+yC23HbrzCL/tti+a2j1Ex3OHwYRmPc96NMW0W
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 21:07:12 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13131

On 17 Jan 2001 19:27:12 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>Lawlessness and vigilantism is never a solution. One cannot
>undo the past to correct peceived mistakes or perceived "rocks."

Who said anything about vigilantism or lawlessness?

>Consider that CB users outnumber hams by at least 10:1 and
>there are more big rig drivers among the CB users than there
>are hams. Starting some vigilante movement against evil CB
>users and worse-than-evil freebanders might result in another
>big rig crash such as in Sacramento, CA, by one frustrated
>ex-felon who killed only himself and made a mess on the
>steps of the California Capitol Building. It served NO purpose.

I think in your haste, you may have misread my post.

I'm talking about a monitoring station run by someone who knows what IMD is, who knows how to DF a signal and determine source, who knows how to measure frequency and bandwidth, and most importantly who would have an opinion which a court of law could recognize. That's all. The purpose would be strictly to act as witnesses for prosecution of offenders inside the amateur radio bands.

Prosecution would still have to be done by the FCC. The difference here is that at least they wouldn't have to use valuable time at a monitoring station.

>There is no time machine to go back to undo the past. There
>is the possibility that the existing and future FCC can solve
>some problems in US civil radio. Support the FCC in
>regulation of radio...or become lawless vigilantes who are
>outnumbered by others. There is no honor or respect in

>vigilanteism.

I agree completely. And I assure you, that is not what I'm suggesting.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

```
From 7587819606043399086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 13:41:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 13:31:05 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A660F19.1E88F782@uci.edu>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-
da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com>
<93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93nj6d$qug$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93njt2$rj6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A5F79B8.373D1803@uci.edu> <93o2se$9tg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A5F9BD2.2F0C05D1@uci.edu> <93ogtd$l2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A65F155.D045B958@uci.edu> <9455p2$6md$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13132
```

K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:
> Sterile, precise, machine-like code is best copied by machines, automatons, or
> Brian. Reminds me of trying to engage in casual conversation with HAL from
> 2001 -- yecchhhh! I am a human, and prefer to copy code which sounds like it
> is being sent by a human. Didaahhhhhhhhhdit?

I really don't want to be drawn into your argument about how lovely someone swing is or isn't. I simply wanted to comment on your use of the word sterile. A lack of a swing is not sterile code. I would simply like to understand your negative attitude about properly timed code.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From 3712036128043193243
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 13:51:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 13:40:50 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A661162.922D1213@uci.edu>
References: <ecpr5t84kt3tdpala1etdlii620dljebvs@4ax.com>
<93l6k8$phq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93locn$c9a$0@208.206.142.98>
<3A5F61BA.A7541C91@uci.edu> <93qtnb$f9m$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A65F4CC.743F2879@uci.edu> <3A65F6E4.F43996D1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13133
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > I think that's a pretty good assessment of our current exams. The tests
> > are 1.) valid; 2.) provide some degree of confidence that the examinee
> > has absorbed at least a minimum level of information; and 3.) the
> > examinee won't pass the test if he doesn't exceed that threshhold.

> Unfortunately, Dick seems to want to make his personal knowledge level into
> the desired threshold.

That might be, but I don't think Dick is really the issue. I just think it would be constructive to discuss testing from the standpoint of threshold rather than painting with so broad a brush to describe it as invalid.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From -3306302553902134635
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 14:04:22 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 17 Jan 2001 22:04:14 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9454su$m3v$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A65F78B.C69F80CA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 979769054 22655 149.174.88.247 (17 Jan 2001 22:04:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Jan 2001 22:04:14 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13134

>Actually, it's no nonsense, no subjective feeling about
>objective subjects. Why don't you just come out and admit that
>Morse code is your religion?

What? Cecil = demoted to 11-rate debater. The ISSUE
for 18 YEARS has been eased requirements, code AND
theory, Vic Clark. Proven by FCC's R&O. Remember?

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -1398462195783805670
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 14:11:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 14:01:16 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A66162C.9B73BD97@uci.edu>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85> <940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13135

Cecil wrote:

> Nobody said anything about Morse operators. The accusation is that
> Morse equipment is being used by code-tested hams for the purpose
> of jamming. The answer is obvious.

Is there an obvious answer to an accuasation that digital modes are also
now used for jamming?

73, Jim AC6XG

From -3964917280377694664
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b02c7de78bebd894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 14:39:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Old Calls
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 15:39:15 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A661F13.E2729811@firstinter.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <3a5683e1.180274192@netnews.voicenet.com>
<20010111012523.09897.00000901@ng-fr1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g709u84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 60
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13136

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 11 Jan 2001 06:25:23 GMT, Qrm2000 wrote:
>
> >If any of the FCC guys are reading this, perhaps they can check with some
> >Department old timers or Commission history books and give us the exact
> >sequence. (J. at Columbia--can you help?)
>
>   There are no more "old timers" (of my vintage, at least) left,
>   nor are there any history books other than a file of Commission
>   orders maintained by a third-party legal publisher (Pike and

> Fischer).
>
> With that said, I researched the case against Richard Zeigler,
> the seller-of-call-signs, and came up with this time line:
>
> 1. Effective in 1968 with "incentive licensing", any Extra
> class licensee who had been a licensed Amateur for 25 years or
> more could apply for a non-specific ("sequential") "1x2" call
> sign.
>
> 2. Effective July 1, 1976, any Extra class licensee who had
> been a licensed Amateur for 25 years or more could select one
> specific "1x2" call sign.
>
> 3. Effective October 1, 1976, anyone who had held an Amateur
> Extra class license since prior to November 22, 1967, could
> select one specific "1x2" call sign.
>
> 4. Effective January 1, 1977, anyone who had held an Amateur
> Extra class license since prior to July 2, 1974, could select
> one specific "1x2" call sign.
>
> 5. Effective April 1, 1977, anyone who held an Amateur Extra
> class license since prior to July 1, 1976, could select one
> specific "1x2" call sign.
>
> 6. Effective July 1, 1977, any Amateur Extra class licensee
> could select one specific "1x2" call sign.
>
> 7. Effective March 30, 1978 this was all replaced by the
> strict "sequential" system until the advent of "vanity" call
> sign selection in June 1997.
>
> This, I hope, settles the question.
>
> --
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
> FCC SF District Director (retired)

Phil, you are absolutely correct on these. I was an Extra in 1966, and got in on the second window in 1976 for a callsign. BTW, there was a one-time $30 fee for selection of a personalized callsign. We are not defined as "vanity" calls now however. That window is long forgotten by the FCC.

Rick Tannehill - W7RT
(K7PSU prior to 1977)

From 8368870799394380136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 14:39:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 00:04:42 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <945buq$c5o$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com> <93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nj6d$qug$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93njt2$rj6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A5F79B8.373D1803@uci.edu> <93o2se$9tg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A5F9BD2.2F0C05D1@uci.edu> <93ogtd$l2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A65F155.D045B958@uci.edu> <9455p2$6md$1@news.netmar.com> <3A660F19.1E88F782@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 979776282 12472 205.139.138.14 (18 Jan 2001 00:04:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 2001 00:04:42 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: jwkelley@uci.edu
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13137

In article <3A660F19.1E88F782@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>I really don't want to be drawn into your argument about how lovely
>someone swing is or isn't. I simply wanted to comment on your use of
>the word sterile. A lack of a swing is not sterile code. I would
>simply like to understand your negative attitude about properly timed
>code.

Jim,

I don't know how much plainer I can make this! It sounds like robots talking to robots.

Machine-perfect code is just fine for sending recorded traffic, navy messages (way back then), and other similar "formal" uses. Just like police dispatchers speak in monotone automaton fashion.

But when I'm in casual conversation on the airwaves, with another human being, enjoying the art of Morse, I want it to have character, inflection, and contextual emphasis.

If you don't agree with that attitude, don't answer my CQ, because you

won't be treated to "properly timed" code. Hopefully that doesn't make
me a bad person, but I'm sure Brian will call me a jerk anyhow.

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

---

```
From -3753744257037006337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 14:41:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 14:33:55 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A661DD3.58DE6F10@uci.edu>
References: <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147> <20010114005243.03673.00000675@nso-
ck.aol.com> <YkK86.830$e5.2151089@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13138
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>

> > Jim: The "boring" part is due to spread-spectrum not being
> > intuitive...and few have explained either direct-sequence or
> > frequency-hopping in simpler terms or in terms that long-
> > timers can accept. Long-timers referring to being used to
> > conventional narrowband frequency tuning as practiced in
> > amateur radio today.

> I think the biggest issue here is that some think of SS as a 'digital' mode.

The biggest issue, Ed? How so? I don't even know if it's true, let
alone the biggest issue.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -7010482315775862084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 15:11:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 15:02:06 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3A66246E.9F831460@uci.edu>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-
da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com>
<93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93nj6d$qug$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93njt2$rj6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A5F79B8.373D1803@uci.edu> <93o2se$9tg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A5F9BD2.2F0C05D1@uci.edu> <93ogtd$l2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A65F155.D045B958@uci.edu> <9455p2$6md$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A660F19.1E88F782@uci.edu> <945buq$c5o$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13139

K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:

> In article <3A660F19.1E88F782@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> writes:

> >I really don't want to be drawn into your argument about how lovely
> >someone swing is or isn't. I simply wanted to comment on your use of

> >the word sterile. A lack of a swing is not sterile code. I would
> >simply like to understand your negative attitude about properly timed
> >code.

> Jim,
>
> I don't know how much plainer I can make this! It sounds like robots
> talking to robots.

So it's more of a personal preference rather than an absolute kind of
thing. I prefer code that is easy to copy to the mal-adjusted bug,
non-robotic sound.

> If you don't agree with that attitude, don't answer my CQ, because you
> won't be treated to "properly timed" code.

Well, practice makes perfect I always say. ;-)

73, Jim AC6XG

From -5580783494194959200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 15:20:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 23:13:43 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9458uu$qit$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<943d37$5ch$0@208.207.71.134> <944i5d$kam@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
<3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.77.160.92
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 23:13:43 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.61 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 12.77.160.92

X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDlr0d
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15837
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8218 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10745
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13140

In article <3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com>,
  K7MEM <jda@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com> wrote:

> At the time, I was under the impression that this fee went directly
> to the ARRL, to cover the cost of the testing materials that they
> supplied.

At one time that was completely correct. I ran a DeVry/Great Lakes core from 1984 (before League involvement, by the way) through 1993. At first the local core didn't get anything, but eventually DeVry/Great Lakes started a core reimbursement progam. By the time they folded and we changed over to ARRL, the League was reimbursing up to $4 to the local core.

> That ment that the club, which was running the VE session, was
> really charging nothing for their time.

Also correct. That's why we are called "volunteer examiners." My club, however, covered all my costs for materials since I prepared all of our written and code exam materials and purchased or prepared other materials such as blank paper, pencils, 610s, etc.

> Was I correct in my reasoning? Aren't they, the club, also allowed
> to charge a small fee to cover their expenses?

Not at the VE Core level. Only at the VEC (coordinator) level. And when a VEC does allow expenses to be covered by a core, it's always as a rebate from the VEC (I speak from DeVry/Great Lakes and ARRL experience only).

LRod

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5114845834889465974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 15:30:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 23:19:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <94599b$qv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com> <3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com> <PA896.7050$Ep3.442734@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944avp$q7a$1@flood.xnet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.77.160.92
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 17 23:19:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.61 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 12.77.160.92
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDlr0d
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15839 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8220 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10747 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13141

In article <944avp$q7a$1@flood.xnet.com>,
"Steve Woodruff" <sjwoodr.nospam@nospam.email.com> wrote:

> Are all VE's subject to the same set of rules? These guys seemed pretty
> confident that they could charge $10 per exam ... which is why i did not
> even attempt the extra class written element.... figured i'd wait an extra
> month and study a little more so i wouldn't be wasting my money.

I'm sorry you had that experience. I can't answer whether a VEC can instruct their cores to charge for each element. I can say that ARRL does not, nor did DeVry/Great Lakes when I was with them.

Who was the VEC for your exam? I have my own suspicion, and if I'm correct it's further confirmation of why I will never have anything to do with him.

Irrespective of who it is, it's an example of poor training of the VE team. That's one of the things that should have been basic in their instruction. I suggest you write a letter of complaint to the VEC.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4080521118148939414
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 15:32:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A662B55.A451E26@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
<t695j69u9fl07e@corp.supernews.com> <1r996toaqnk5q8jgqnilghsd9abk9flvuu@4ax.com>
<t69glrek8fakea@corp.supernews.com> <3A64E9EB.9AD1A836@dvol.com>
<t6bl222c3ddje5@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 18:31:33 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.54
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979774277 206.20.144.54 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:31:17 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:31:17 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13142

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> > on ham radio. Fact is they don't have any real impact on anything which is
> why
> > they're on the low end of the FCC's list of priorities.
>
> They maybe on the low end of the FCC's list, but the ARRL is interested in
> how many show up on 10m.

>
They've been on the low end of ten for eons when the spots are up and I've been there, too. Right off most of those who are audible here are Central and South American stations which nobody in this country including the FCC or the ARRL can do anything about. I've never had a problem working either thru or around them with 100 watts worth of cw, they're just some more ignorable garbage on the ham bands. I'd sure as hell rather have to deal with a freebander on ten for a new one than I would have to deal with Radio Moscow for a new one on 40.
>

> Now with the creation of MURS they have an excuse
> to use opened up Ham 2m rigs, and most likely start to show up on 2m. I
> guess you figure if they don't bother you it must not be a problem for
> anyone else.

>

I chose to not make it a problem for myself. You wanna make it a problem for yourself go for it and good luck "fixing" it.

>

> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

>

w3rv

From -7483502898044440970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 15:46:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A662EB5.79A21E16@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
References: <tgmb6tcvho5oq6j2n1hj7qapm6cbhikshl@4ax.com> <20010117142712.24296.00000962@nso-fp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 18:45:57 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.54
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979775140 206.20.144.54 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:45:40 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:45:40 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13143

Len Over 21 wrote:

>
> There is no time machine to go back to undo the past. There

> is the possibility that the existing and future FCC can solve
> some problems in US civil radio. Support the FCC in
> regulation of radio...or become lawless vigilantes who are
> outnumbered by others. There is no honor or respect in
> vigilanteism.

>
Indeed and agreed. Probable factoid: The FCC would much prefer dealing with some inane but basically harmless freebanders than they would a phlanx of amateur (in the more common sense) "helper" frequency cops up their butts.
>

> didit

>
w3rv

```
From 8226805066249057496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db91ab8486ecd887
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 15:52:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A66300E.86223529@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Anyone For An RRAP Meeting At Dayton?
References: <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 18:51:42 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.54
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979775486 206.20.144.54 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:51:26
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:51:26 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13144
```

Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:

> Hmmmmm??????

>
Maybe if I can pack and my catcher's aluminum jock strap still fits.

```
>
w3rv



From 4163865922915855071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 16:39:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Glenn Miller" <aa5pk@hotmail.com>
From: "Glenn Miller" <glennmiller@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<PA896.7050$Ep3.442734@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Lines: 18
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <8Xq96.363$7b2.15060@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 00:39:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.74.20.83
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 979778372 12.74.20.83 (Thu, 18 Jan
2001 00:39:32 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 00:39:32 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15843
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8223 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10752
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13145

"LRod" <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote in message
```

news:943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> Unless the ARRL has changed, it's not quite a session fee. It is insofar
> as you can take one of every element for one fee. Retakes of failed
> elements are subject to another fee.

I guess it's a matter of semantics. Retests of failed elements are, for
paperwork purposes, considered separate sessions (or sittings).

The ARRL/VE Manual says "The ARRL/VEC charges $6.65 per candidate, per
session." Of course, that was published before the fee increased to $10.

The original poster said they were going to charge for each exam (element),
which is inappropriate.

Glenn AA5PK

From -1209572052566466681
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db91ab8486ecd887
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 17:19:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Kerry King <kking@houston.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Anyone For An RRAP Meeting At Dayton?
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 19:16:58 -0600
Organization: Houston's premier ISP
Lines: 2
Message-ID: <3A66440A.8917A991@houston.net>
References: <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13146

The Round Rotund Amateur Porkers now meet at KC8JBO's house. Dayton is
out.

From -450524026489072370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 17:36:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!

newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <4jhc6tcpartogfhd6eao3ss6t6vb2cv8pr@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 01:34:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.167
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979781696 209.86.206.167 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:34:56 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 17:34:56 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13147

A vigorous attempt is to be made at the Cairo Conference to take the present 160meter band away from the amateurs. Our prized 40-meter band is the goal of other interests. One of the more-important commercial radio executives recently said to this writer-"the amateur will eventually find his resting place in the five-meter band, unless he is aggressive enough to fight for the privileges he now enjoys."

The cry of this magazine has been for aggressive leadership! Look to Congress for leadership . . . to pull us out of the sea of difficulty. Congress can help us get some amateur-minded men on the Delegation to Cairo. And, while we are at it, why not ask President Roosevelt to put a couple of good amateurs on the FCC, if only in an advisory capacity without any cost whatsoever to the Government? We know of many good men who are anxious to serve!

Political Editor - December 1934 Radio magazine

From 6108272356353184970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 17:51:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 01:43:45 GMT
Organization: Deja.com

```
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <945hoh$2c6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942jra$i4j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3E496.908$e5.2258821@news.ntplx.net> <942rf8$ori$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<943h76$cbn$2@208.207.71.134>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.76
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 01:43:45 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.76
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13148
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10759

In article <943h76$cbn$2@208.207.71.134>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > "Hans the Humanitarian."  It just doesn't ring true.
> >
>
>  Would Hans the Hun sound better?
>
It'll have to do.  "Ghengus Hans" doesn't ring true either.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -5320469941811766915
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db91ab8486ecd887
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 17:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
xmission!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Anyone For An RRAP Meeting At Dayton?
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 01:37:54 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <945hdi$27b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.76
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 01:37:54 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.76
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13149
```

In article <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Hmmmmm??????

Just as long as you's guys don't embarass me in front of my friends.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6342563067294801378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 18:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 19:56:11 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A664D3B.44A4EC3E@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85> <940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org> <3A66162C.9B73BD97@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ef
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 02:04:54 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13150

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Is there an obvious answer to an accuasation that digital modes are also
> now used for jamming?

There's lots of accidental jamming because of mail boxes. I suspect all modes are used for jamming at one time or another. I once heard someone playing music using FM on 3950.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6874370988471920401

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 18:11:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 19:59:46 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A664E12.2626E56B@IEEE.org>
References: <3A65F78B.C69F80CA@IEEE.org> <9454su$m3v$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ef
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 02:07:11 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13151

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> The ISSUE
> for 18 YEARS has been eased requirements, code AND
> theory, Vic Clark.

18 years is too long to grieve for an outdated requirement.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 3580106770431811891
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 18:11:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!cyclone.swbell.net!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 01:56:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <945ift$34p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-
da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com>
```

<93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93nj6d$qug$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93njt2$rj6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A5F79B8.373D1803@uci.edu> <93o2se$9tg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A5F9BD2.2F0C05D1@uci.edu> <93ogtd$l2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A65F155.D045B958@uci.edu> <9455p2$6md$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A660F19.1E88F782@uci.edu> <945buq$c5o$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A66246E.9F831460@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.13.230
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 01:56:16 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.160.13.230
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13152

In article <3A66246E.9F831460@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

>
> So it's more of a personal preference rather than...
>

Yep, all my preferences are >personal<. Saves me having to keep track of prevailing public preferences.

>
> Well, practice makes perfect I always say. ;-)
>

That's true, and I'm happy that the more I practice the art of Morse, the further from machine code it sounds. If this trend continues a couple more decades, I may indeed reach perfection.

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8331908265402194099
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 18:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 02:08:27 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 67
Message-ID: <945j6m$3lm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net>
<9401gp$bp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.76
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 02:08:27 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.76
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13153

In article <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
xjim@my-deja.com wrote:

> In the 70's you had to wait a year to retake the test. You would lose
> your Novice ticket if you didn't upgrade. These days I hear all the
> time of people taking the test numerous times. Really sad.

Yes, it is sad.

> This all adds up to one big mistake. By lowering standards and force
> feeding numerous ignorant individuals onto the ham bands, how are we
> improving the standing of Amateur Radio?

What standards have been lowered? The existing "entry" level ticket
material is so large and complex that it cannot be run away from.

When I compare the technical
> discussions and considerate behavior of those who knew full well they
> could be heard everywhere,

My comments prior to the restructuring:

What I fear most about the restructuring is a lack of enforcement. And

what I fear most about maintaining the status quo is a lack of
enforcement.

> giving way to Hate and Discontent

Ex-Jim, much of the hate and discontent is coming from some OTs. The
ones wha have been hams a long time. The ones who know and count on
being heard everywhere.

in order
> to impress a new group of listeners, who's going to want to (much less
> be savy enough to) save allocations in the future? I have seen Ham
> Radio Magazine die off and QEX almost die off.

Hey, AntenneX resurfaced on the web. Check it out.

> We used to have to fill
> out our own 610 forms, and we joked that it was a literacy test. Now
> that we don't have to do that, how many new hams won't even be able to
> read QST, much less give a S***?

They'll have to be web savvy and take care of biddness via the FCC ULS
page. It's complexity goes beyond a mere literacy test.

> We have to stop allowing ourselves to be re-formed for the wishes
> of "wanna bees".
>
> Jim, ac6tk

Jim, I wish I could agree with you over the last 2/3 of your message,
but I see things differently. I give a S***, yet I am dead set against
unnecessary government regulation and interference in my life. I am for
a simplified licensing structure, and have advocated ONE amateur radio
license of about the level of General. I will support a simplified
entry level license, with reduced privileges.

Behavior problems should be met with a nice letter from Riley. Followed
by a big stick.

73, Brian

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3537534872974266055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db91ab8486ecd887
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 19:16:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!

news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Anyone For An RRAP Meeting At Dayton?
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 22:16:33 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A666002.2C21C9D8@sccoast.net>
References: <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <945hdi$27b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-178.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979787773 32062 206.74.49.178 (18 Jan 2001 03:16:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 03:16:13 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13154

Brian wrote:
>
> Just as long as you's guys don't embarass
> me in front of my friends.

Your friends would be tramatized for life. ;-)

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 4891905708795996713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 19:18:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 18 Jan 2001 03:17:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <945n7l$qa$1@208.207.71.177>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <9407mr$qlq$0@208.206.142.85> <3A63B241.EDC10850@IEEE.org> <940gg2$jud$1@208.207.71.175>

```
<3A63C497.B74527A7@IEEE.org> <940m1p$34p$1@208.207.71.175>
<3A6456D2.123711CB@IEEE.org> <943ee2$6nf$2@208.207.71.134>
<3A65B6CE.44AC8AA1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13155
```

```
Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > Oh,I hear jamming on a weekend net every week.
> > >
> > > VHF?
> >
> > Nope. 40 meter SSB.
>
> A "No Coder" is jamming you on 40m SSB?
```

Well if a "No licenser" did it what's to stop a "No coder"?

```
From -137888845390485297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db91ab8486ecd887
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 19:27:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Anyone For An RRAP Meeting At Dayton?
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 22:26:01 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A666238.39E1ED12@sccoast.net>
References: <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-178.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979788341 33601 206.74.49.178 (18 Jan 2001 03:25:41 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 03:25:41 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13156

Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
>
> Hmmmmm??????

Can't make Dayton this time. Nice idea though. Perhaps next time.

Hey, we're having an earthquake here (it started just as I was typing the last line). Nothing big - just enough to vibrate the water in my glass (can barely feel it). Strange!

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 1714223522266823700
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 19:41:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 18 Jan 2001 03:40:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <945ojm$8sh$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <940hff$mm7$0@208.207.71.175> <20010116084641.05657.00001674@ng-cl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13157

Ed:

You betcher bippy I know what it means. And what it doesn't.

When you take a driver's test you 1.)take a written test and 2.)take a practical operational exam where you demonstrate that you can actuall drive the darn thing.

When you qualify as a pilot you 1.)take a written test, and 2.)take a practical operational exam where you demonstrate that you actually can fly the darn thing.

When you take a ham radio test you 1.)take a written test and 2.)take a practical operational exam where you demonstrate that you can actually copy code.

In neither case is one exam "emphasized" over the other. You have to make a passing grade on both. It's called MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE LICENSE!

All the whiners are still at it just a loudly as though the 5wpm code test actually amounted to something. Whatta joke!

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Do you believe that having a test that emphasizes one more over all others
> >> IS infinitely multfaceted?
>
> >It is simply NOT TRUE that the tests emphasize one mode over all
> >others.
>
> Do you believe that having one mode and one mode only have a pass/fail test is
> not emphasis? If I don't pass the code test, I don't get my license. If I
> don't answer the SSB questions correcty, I can still be a ham.
>
> What does the word "emphasis" mean to you?
>
> I know you know what it means.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4088870812409906125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 20:01:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 18 Jan 2001 03:58:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <945pla$bhm$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <3A63175A.B392924C@IEEE.org> <20010115204346.14870.00000722@nso-fr.aol.com> <3A63AC34.6FAC1CA4@IEEE.org> <943b4t$slj$0@208.207.71.134> <3A65AA09.832009F2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13158

Cecil wrote:

> It (CW)is worth preserving within ham
> radio if its merits support that preservation. I think it does. A Governmental
> requirement not based on merit is just another form of welfare.
>

You say it has merit. Then you say it has no merit. Which is it?

> > When the clamor by those who would expand the voice segments
> > to the maximum limit consider your statements, how then will you react?
> > And what good could it possibly do?
>
> My Dad said always to tell the truth.

Your day was clearly a better fella than you.

When those people discover that you
> are lying about CW's capabilities, what do you think will happen?

So would you care to lay out just how it is that I'm "lying" about
CW's capabilities?
CW *has no* capabilities until PEOPLE are able to use it.

If CW
> cannot honestly stand on its own merits, then it deserves to be replaced
> by modes with more merit. Obfuscation about CW's merits will just hasten
> its demise.

You are not only a fool, you are also a liar. CW as a vital functioning

operational traffic handling mode has been in steady use for a century.
Nothing *but* the lack of operators who can use it can change that.
You can't avoid knowing that so you think you can just destroy truth.
Won't work, at least as there are a few of us left. When all testing is
gone it is only a matter of a little time.

>
> > And you clearly overlook the fact that some posters here clearly state
> > that Morse code is long past usefulness and the implication is clear
> > that as far as they are concerned, it may as well pass from the scene.
>
> You, personally, have alienated a number of hams to the point that they
> would like to get rid of CW just to make you angry. If it weren't for
> your (and others) viscous personal attacks, those same hams wouldn't
> give CW a second thought. You (and other PCTA folk) are the worst
> enemies that CW ever had.

You talk like you and everyone else posting here in support of totally
dropping it are its friends. What an idiot.

From 1267563207193837923
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49795769ece55afd,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 20:02:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The loss of 11 meters...
Message-ID: <b4qc6tg7g566ts0k7og7tf3m368d0f3s1i@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 131
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 04:01:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.29
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979790482 209.86.207.29 (Wed, 17 Jan 2001 20:01:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 20:01:22 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13159

September 1958
Excerpts from FCC comments for removal of the 11 meter amateur band:

Reallocation of frequencies in the range 26.96-27.23 Mc.

The Notice of Proposed Rule Making in this proceeding recognized a need for additional spectrum space for personal use by any individual, especially those persons now holding authorizations for Class A stations in the Citizens Radio Service who will not be able to establish eligibility in any of the Industrial or Land Transportation Radio Services, as well as a substantial need for additional frequencies in the 28 Mc. range for use in the remote control of such objects or devices as model aircraft. Accordingly, it was proposed to reallocate frequencies in the band 26.96-27.23 Mc. from the Amateur Radio Service to the Citizens Radio Service for use by Class A stations for general purposes and, in addition, provide certain other frequencies, to Class C stations exclusively for the purpose of remote control. The Commission stated that this reallocation appeared appropriate because the frequencies in this band are a part of a larger frequency band within which interference may normally be expected and must be accepted from industrial, scientific and medical (1SM) devices and because, as a result of the foregoing, only limited use of this band has been made by the amateurs. In addition, it was pointed out that amateurs, as individuals, would be able to obtain licenses in the Citizens Radio Service for either radio control or voice communication in this band.

In connection with this proposal, the Commission received a very large number of comments from both individual amateurs and modelers, as well as clubs and organizations representing persons active in each of these hobbies. In general, it may be said that all but a few comments from modelers enthusiastically supported the proposal and most of those from persons who are both amateurs and modelers also supported the reallocation. Most of the comments from other amateurs were in complete opposition to any use by the Citizens Radio Service of frequencies in this band. However, a substantial number suggested that the band be shared by the Amateur and Citizens Radio Services. A few amateurs suggested that other amateur frequencies be substituted in lieu of those proposed, or concurred in tile proposed reallocation.

Typical of the reasons set forth by the amateurs opposing deletion of the availability of frequencies in the 26.96-27.23 Mc. range to the Amateur Radio Service are:

(a) The adoption of the Commission a proposal would constitute a violation of the Atlantic City Radio Regulations to which the United States is a signatory nation.

This band is the only one in the lower frequency ranges open to the amateurs where the Commission permits certain types of emissions and operations, and accordingly where the amateurs may engage in experimentation in facsimile, continuous carrier, and duplex operations.

(b) The characteristic which make these frequencies particularly good for long range and international communications will cause too much interference from skip, and prevent the widespread use of this band for short range communications and remote control.

(c) The recent non-use of this band by amateurs is due to cyclical "sun spots".

(d) Other amateur bands are overcrowded, the service is expanding at a rapid rate, and the 26.96-27.23 Mc. band is the only area left for expansion.

(e) The fact that an amateur might obtain a Citizens Radio Service authorization is not an adequate substitute because some amateurs could not meet the age requirement of that service, and in addition, amateurs would not be permitted to make their own adjustments to a citizens radio station.

On the other hand, the modelers point out their dire and immediate need for additional frequencies, stating that the situation has been aggravated by recent authorizations of high-powered stations in other radio services on the frequency 27.255 Mc. for such purposes as traffic controls and remote radio paging. They further state that the use of frequencies in the 26.96-27.23 Mc. band for control of models is feasible and is similar to allocation practices found practical by other governments. The Commission is well aware of the history of the Amateur Radio Service in the development of the radio art and in providing a springboard of interest for future engineers and scientists. However, it must also be remembered that the remote control of models fosters a similar interest in young people and is a hobby in which young people are able to participate. The Commission is aware of the Amateurs outstanding record of assistance in local and national disasters. The Commission also recognizes that the Amateur Radio Service is a rapidly expanding service, but then, so is tile number of persons engaged in the remote control of models expanding at an ever increasing rate. While both amateurs and modelers suggest that spot frequencies located in different portions of the spectrum might be more desirable for remote control, such allocations are simply not possible at this time with the present scarcity of spectrum space.

In addition to filling the need for additional frequencies for remote control purposes, the proposed reallocation would also fill all urgent need for additional frequencies for voice communications by persons who will be unable to establish their eligibility in any of the Land Transportation or Industrial Radio Services, Although the Commission may have originally underestimated the use of the 26.96-27.23 Mc. band by amateurs, the use of that band is still considerably less than in other bands available to the amateurs, a fact which is admitted by many amateurs and established by monitoring observations of tile Commission. As to whether the proposed reallocation would be in violation of the Atlantic City Radio Regulations, the Commission considers that no violation is involved. The primary world-wide allocation (if that frequency band is to the Fixed and Mobile Services and the footnote permitting its use by amateurs is merely permissive. Therefore, the reallocation ordered herein and in the companion proceeding in Docket No. 11959 from the Amateur Radio Service to the Citizens Radio Service is not in violation of the Regulations.

.As stated above, the 26.96-27.23 Mc. band is a part of a larger band in which interference may normally be expected from ISM devices. Most of the reasons presented in opposition to the proposed reallocation of that band to the, Citizens Radio Service were based upon potential use of this band in tile future by the Amateur Radio Service instead of actual need or existing use of the band. Monitoring records indicate

that this band is not heavily used by the Amateur Radio Service, due obviously to the interference hazard presented by the operation of ISM devices on 27.12 Ale.
The Commission feels that substantially greater use can be made of this band by the Citizens Radio Service and that the loss to the Amateur Radio Service is negligible. While it is true that certain operations have been possible in this band which were not permitted on lower frequencies available to the Amateur Radio Service, such operations are still possible on frequencies above 51 Mc. and frequencies in those ranges appear to be coming into even greater usage by the amateurs than the band here under consideration. Although the Commission realizes that, in many cases an authorization in the Citizens Radio Service may not be an adequate substitute for the Privileges lost in this band; nevertheless, the Commission finds that public interest, convenience and necessity requires the reallocation of the 26.96-27.23 Mc. band to the Citizens Radio Service for the purposes proposed. The recommendation that this band be shared by the two services involved is not adopted, since that action would be inconsistent with the Commission's position in international affairs regarding the shared use of amateur bands.

From -5624214822649191122
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 20:28:04 PST
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: 18 Jan 2001 04:27:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <945ran$fep$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942qh9$nus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!ac-versailles.fr!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13160

Brian wrote:
>
>

> You're using the K3LT argument that since this isn't wireless, you're
> free to be a jerk.

So then you're the only one free to do that?

From -5464223346711696431
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 20:43:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 04:33:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <945rm5$a0e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943boh$pc1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.77.157.125
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 04:33:09 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.61 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 12.77.157.125
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDlr0d
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13161
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10764

In article <943boh$pc1$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> Where did "slider" originate, Dan?

Now we're getting into an area I can speak about.

As any self respecting Chicagoan (and the environs) knows, a slider is a small square hamburger with a pickle and onions that is only purchased and eaten in quantities of 5 or more. Fries and onion rings are NOT optional, they must be consumed as well for the full effect. And that effect probably is what gives them the name "sliders."

Oh, I guess their real name is White Castle. I don't think that's a pronouncable word, however. Everytime I've heard someone try, it comes out "sliders." TAKE HOME A SACKFUL is their slogan.

Anyone having to live somewhere besides Chicago (and the environs) is comforted by the fact that they are available frozen in many other areas of the country.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6787397553792852493
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 20:45:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 18 Jan 2001 04:42:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <945s6q$idr$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7a6q40.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010117015952.16762.00000428@nso-mp.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7ba8x2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<tqpb6ts9co4heldjap94ddm9tcfab5cq9e@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13162

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> > "10-20" has a honorable history in public-safety voice comms
> > but the "mutilation" is to drop the "10" and just refer to your
> > "twenty".
>
> Even cops often shorten the 10 codes to just the last number. It makes
> sense if it takes less air time and the meaning is understood by all.
> 10 codes, although codes, actually become words in the users minds,
> and like words often evolve with use...

And it *is* faster. "54, 10-20?" as a question saves time and verbiage
over
"Car 54 where are you located?"

```
From 2337510009114653919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 20:47:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 18 Jan 2001 04:44:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <945sbu$idr$1@208.207.71.177>
References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-
fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<944hf1$3ir$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10765
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13163
```

```
Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
  if he can't take some criticism then he needs to go be a
> priest or something.  Thats the trouble today.  No one wants to pay
> their way anymore.  Heck when I first got on AM phone I was made to
> feel 2 inches high.  You know, not enough audio, your too week, give me
> a call when you grow up youngun.. etc.
>
> It didn't run me off, I just dug in and LEARNED.
```

They don't make survivors anymore, just jittery, fragile newbies with sensitivities.

```
From -3441743543401465222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 20:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
```

```
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 18 Jan 2001 04:48:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <945sj9$idr$2@208.207.71.177>
References: <e5nb6t4vr42j4ntiptnpsrksq1dag2soii@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13164



Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> >Talked to a young fella on the repeater the other day who introduced
> >himself by saying "my first personal is Jim and you're my first
> >contact, QSL?".
>
> Of course he could have avoided the gaff by simply listening to the
> repeater for a few weeks before using it to see how things are done.
> That's good practice whether on FM, RTTY, CW or a new newsgroup...

 The poor kid is sure to have a rough life, what with being too ignorant
to grasp a new procedure in a new environment, then being put off so
easily when gently corrected. What *is* this new generation ever going
to amount to, anyway?


From -4755992662325388071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 20:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!ptdnetP!newsgate.ptd.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 04:43:05 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <945s8p$aec$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A6598E0.6440B6A1@dvol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7bafq3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.77.157.125
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 04:43:05 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.61 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 12.77.157.125
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDlr0d
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10766
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13165

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g7bafq3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:

> ...The only non-plain-language "codes" were "Code 1" through "Code
> 7" and they actually published a brochure detailing them.
>
> The California Highway Patrol used a modified "10" code ...

So do several departments in the western suburbs of Chicago
(combination of 10 sigs and Codes 1-7).

In Metro Dade County, Florida (Miami), they use Q signals.......on
voice.

Harrumph.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1351441814199439684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 20:55:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: 18 Jan 2001 04:52:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <945sr5$kmn$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <942o38$lpj$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117073407.05313.00001003@ng-cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13166

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Bob Wex w2ilp w2ilp@juno.com
>
> >While the Novic License
> >was not producing many new Novices, let us not discount it as an entry
> >to youths who prefered to start becoming real HF Hams...Or haven't you
> >noticed.
>
> The ones who didn't notice, Bob, were the new hams who were not choosing the
> Novice as their first license.

Why should they? Another few questions and they had Tech Plus with all
Novice privileges plus 2 meters and up. With such a convoluted
licensing system, no wonder the Novice class faded away.
There was a time when FCC bothered to find out what they could expect
from changes to be made in ham radio before they made them. Somewhere
along the way that went by the way. Right along with retirements, I
suppose.

From 2577236551275537607
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 21:11:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 05:00:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 93
Message-ID: <945t8i$b8b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <942o38$lpj$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117073407.05313.00001003@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.38
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 05:00:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.38
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13167

In article <20010117073407.05313.00001003@ng-cd1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

> >From: Bob Wex w2ilp w2ilp@juno.com
>
> >While the Novic License
> >was not producing many new Novices, let us not discount it as an entry
> >to youths who prefered to start becoming real HF Hams...Or haven't you
> >noticed.
>
> The ones who didn't notice, Bob, were the new hams who were not choosing the
> Novice as their first license.

Here I agree with you Ed. Perhaps this was because of the Morse exam, which might be considered ancient and snail-like by modern youngsters... but I believe it is also because ham radio, even without a Morse code exam, is considered old hat by those who are already using cell-phones and capable of brousing on the Internet. In my opinion we should not have abandoned the Novice concept because of the response that the Novice entry was getting....Instead we should have made a more modern Novice entry, including a test where high school techies could show off all that they were learning with regard to computer operation and modern personal communication. Maybe if the ITU won't eliminate the CW HF requirement...the Novice license should only grant VHF and above privilages...but when the ITU eliminates CW requirements some HF privileges should also be granted...not just so future Novices could practice Morse code...but so they could get some taste of HF DX SSB.
At any rate the present license structure is not set up to allow entry in a way that it can compete with non-licensed communication. At the other end... the highest class of Ham license (Extra Class) can not qualify anyone as a modern communications maven.

Three classes of license can not fit all; Can not provide motivation for easy entry; Can not indicate the value of advanced Hams to the USA! The present structure can only serve to satisfy OT hams who do not want to be tested on modern communications methods and are only interested in retaining their existing privileges;...it can not attract new blood as it appears to be too difficult for beginners and much too easy for advanced modern communication experimenters. Without lots of eager beginners, as well as knowlegable techie experimenters, the future of the hobby is doomed...

UNLESS...Hams get MORE license classes and official FCC technical endorsements.

Thanks for your comments Ed...I know that you mean well....BUT haven't YOU noticed?

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure License Potential) (Influence Little People)

It was once said that the moral test of
government is how that government treats
those who are at the dawn of life, the
children; those who are at the twilight
of life, the elderly; and those who are
in the shadow of life - the sick, the
needy and the handicapped.
--Hubert H. Humphry (1977)--

Americans have always had an ambivalent
attitude toward intelligence. When they
feel threatened, they want a lot of it,
and when they don't, they regard the whole
thing as somewhat immoral.
--Vernon A. Walters (1978)--

I beleve that we have an obligation to
those in need, but that government
should not be the provider of first
resort for things that the private
sector can produce better.
--George Bush [Sr] (1989)--

I believe that private industries must
be the providers of first resort but
I wouldn't feel safe flying without
the FAA...or communicating without
the FCC...and I wouldn't want to have
to depend on "Consumer Reports" and
"Sixty Minutes" to warn me of recalls
that should have been made public by
Ford or Goodyear.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8180365157019045050
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 22:02:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 22:01:16 -0800

Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9460mi$gaa$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85> <940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org> <3A66162C.9B73BD97@uci.edu> <3A664D3B.44A4EC3E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-13.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13168

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A664D3B.44A4EC3E@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Is there an obvious answer to an accuasation that digital modes are also
> > now used for jamming?

> There's lots of accidental jamming because of mail boxes.

The timing is impecable. Why would those things run in beacon mode - on 40 meter phone frequencies?

> I suspect all
> modes are used for jamming at one time or another.

Good point.

>I once heard someone
> playing music using FM on 3950.

I doubt there's anything that hasn't been heard on that frequency.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -7334073402857715202
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b4fee47003b3f559,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 22:31:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: 2obvious@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: newbie
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 06:23:21 GMT

Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <94624l$eno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.166.235.4
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 06:23:21 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.166.235.4
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUID2obvious
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13169

I'm an art student with no radio experience who needs to buy an FM transmitter for a project he's working on. I have this metal box with an FM radio inside it. It picks up all local stations fine, even inside the metal box. For my project, I'm trying to broadcast digital voice from my computer onto an FM frequency and into the box. I spent $30 on a transmitter from Radioshack, and it worked so poorly that I could barely pick up the signal even when the radio was outside of the metal box. I don't want to make this mistake again.

Keeping in mind that I can't build a radio and that I need an FM transmitter with a stereo jack on it (so I can hook it into my computer), does anyone know of a cheap solution to my problem? Or if this isn't the best usenet group to post this in, can anyone point me to a more appropriate one?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2933694005648727831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 22:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 22:27:40 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <946281$h3f$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com> <93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nj6d$qug$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93njt2$rj6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A5F79B8.373D1803@uci.edu> <93o2se$9tg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A5F9BD2.2F0C05D1@uci.edu> <93ogtd$l2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A65F155.D045B958@uci.edu> <9455p2$6md$1@news.netmar.com> <3A660F19.1E88F782@uci.edu> <945buq$c5o$1@news.netmar.com> <3A66246E.9F831460@uci.edu> <945ift$34p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-13.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13170

K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:945ift$34p$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Well, practice makes perfect I always say. ;-)

> That's true, and I'm happy that the more I practice the art of Morse,
> the further from machine code it sounds. If this trend continues a
> couple more decades, I may indeed reach perfection.

Is 'perfection' a new synonym for the word 'obliteration'? Ya arta just go for speed. If'n ya get fast enough, nobody will be able to copy what you're sayin' that way either! That'll show 'em.

I really have no idea what you are talking about, Hans. But I'm glad you do.
Each to his own in this hobby. Keep your words and letters separate, and I'll try an foller ya.

73, Jim "Lonsome Dove" Kelley

From -686822889164483685
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 23:00:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 17 Jan 2001 22:58:35 -0800
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3A66941B.FBFACD32@ix.netcom.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943boh$pc1$1@news.hawaii.edu> <945rm5$a0e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.f7.d3.44
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 06:58:37 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13171
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10768

We have these in NJ, but never heard the term...

LRod wrote:

> In article <943boh$pc1$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
>   jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>
> > Where did "slider"  originate, Dan?
>
> Now we're getting into an area I can speak about.
>
> As any self respecting Chicagoan (and the environs) knows, a slider is a
> small square hamburger with a pickle and onions that is only purchased
> and eaten in quantities of 5 or more. Fries and onion rings are NOT
> optional, they must be consumed as well for the full effect. And that
> effect probably is what gives them the name "sliders."
>
> Oh, I guess their real name is White Castle. I don't think that's a
> pronouncable word, however. Everytime I've heard someone try, it comes
> out "sliders." TAKE HOME A SACKFUL is their slogan.
>
> Anyone having to live somewhere besides Chicago (and the environs) is
> comforted by the fact that they are available frozen in many other areas
> of the country.
>
> LRod
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/



From 7689124316262584382
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b4fee47003b3f559
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-17 23:48:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A669F5B.57B0F93D@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: newbie
```

```
References: <94624l$eno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 02:46:35 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.68
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979803980 206.20.144.68 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 01:46:20
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 01:46:20 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13172


2obvious@my-deja.com wrote:

> transmitter with a stereo jack on it (so I can hook it into my
> computer), does anyone know of a cheap solution to my problem?  Or if
> this isn't the best usenet group to post this in, can anyone point me
> to a more appropriate one?

>
One better group would be rec.radio.amateur.equipment. It sounds like you
need a transmitter like those used in wireless microphones. Poke around
the music and broadcast industries.
>
Brian Kelly




From -8921472882211285048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 00:19:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A66A6AE.AADF43BA@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A6598E0.6440B6A1@dvol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7bafq3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 42
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 03:17:50 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.68
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979805853 206.20.144.68 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 02:17:33
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 02:17:33 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10770
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13173


Phil Kane wrote:

> On Wed, 17 Jan 2001 08:06:40 -0500, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >The ten code was been dropped eons ago by the gendarmes in this area.
>
>   The Los Angeles PD never used the "10" code.  The only
>   non-plain-language "codes" were "Code 1" through "Code 7" and
>   they actually published a brochure detailing them.
>
>   The Los Angeles Sheriff's Department used a "nine" code.
>
>   The California Highway Patrol used a modified "10" code with
>   "eleven" code additions.
>
>   Made it interesting for us 50s-60s era police radio buffs
>   (before scanners were avaliable).

>
I forget how many 10 codes there are/were on the "official list" but I
think it's around to thirty of 'em. 40? Looney-tunes. All they do is tax
memories and generate mistakes and confusion when you least needed either.

>
In the mid-70s I set up a passive CB-equipped neighborhood Townwatch
security association and created a completly non-standard 10 code list of
about 12 codes which still works well. Those codes don't go any farther
than routine operations, mostly to avoid spilling the beans about when the
patrols are or are  not "on the street" or where they are, etc. All other
comms are plain language per all police operations in the Philly area I've
heard. I've noticed that the locals are also packing cell phones these
days, that oughta cut down on problems with the scanner nuts.
>

> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP

>
w3rv




From 998253098338500728
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 00:27:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A66A869.A73DBDF6@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
References: <4jhc6tcpartogfhd6eao3ss6t6vb2cv8pr@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 03:25:13 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.68
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979806296 206.20.144.68 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 02:24:56
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 02:24:56 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13174


Aaron Jones wrote:

> Cairo. And, while we are at it, why not ask President Roosevelt to put
> a couple of good amateurs on the FCC, if only in an advisory capacity
> without any cost whatsoever to the Government? We know of many good
> men who are anxious to serve!
>
> Political Editor -  December 1934 Radio magazine

>
. . . and today we have greatly expanded HF privs vs. 1934 with more
coming, the old brawl has simply moved up the spectrum.
>
w3rv




From 2960262943463765735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5358773b400b8538
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 00:39:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A66AB8A.D9CBAE95@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Black list
References: <944ulv$gd$1@front4m.grolier.fr> <9450fp$ibf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 29
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 03:38:34 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.68
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979807100 206.20.144.68 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 02:38:20
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 02:38:20 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13175



K0HB wrote:

> In article <944ulv$gd$1@front4m.grolier.fr>,
>   "Swann" <swann@dromadaire.com> wrote:
>
> > Don't waste QSL and time and visit :
> >
> > http://www.dromadaire.com/black-list/1
>
> Just for grins I checked the calls on your stupid list against my
> logs.  In the past year I have had contest QSO's with 11 stations on
> the list.  Five of them have sent me QSL's.  So much for your
> credibility.

>
. . . a couple  of 'em are my fellow dx contest club members who
routinely roll up 10,000-20,000 contacts per YEAR depending on whether
they go single or multi-op. They gave up qsling years ago, no way they
can possibly handle the volumes of cards they get.
>

>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

>
w3rv



From -1863693170821155879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 00:45:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A66AC5D.D43B1133@dvol.com>
```

```
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7a6q40.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010117015952.16762.00000428@nso-mp.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7ba8x2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<tqpb6ts9co4heldjap94ddm9tcfab5cq9e@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 03:42:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.68
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979807307 206.20.144.68 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 02:41:47
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 02:41:47 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13176



Aaron Jones wrote:

> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> >  "10-20" has a honorable history in public-safety voice comms
> >  but the "mutilation" is to drop the "10" and just refer to your
> >  "twenty".
>
> Even cops often shorten the 10 codes to just the last number. It makes
> sense if it takes less air time and the meaning is understood by all.
> 10 codes, although codes, actually become words in the users minds,
> and like words often evolve with use...

>
Ya mean like cw exchanges are a languge?
>
w3rv




From -7024438037698220508
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:16:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:15:17 GMT
References: <945ojm$8sh$0@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118041517.05040.00002250@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13177

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You betcher bippy I know what it means. And what it doesn't.

I bet lobster dinners, Dick, not my bippy.

> When you take a driver's test you 1.)take a written test and 2.)take a
>practical operational exam where you demonstrate that you can actuall
>drive the darn thing.

The present testing for Morse code is analogous to my driving test determining only if I signal correctly. If I fail to signal correctly, I don't get my license.

If you really believe that Amateur Radio testing requires practical testing, you would advocate a wide range of practical tests that test the ability of the applicant to operate a wide range of modes.

Did your comments to the FCC ask that they give practical tests in setting up a station, selecting a radio, operating modes other than Morse code, etc? If not, then, IMHO, you are seeking only to keep Morse code testing and a "practical operating exam" has nothing to do with it.

I'm sure you know this.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6432016914973515864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:17:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:16:44 GMT
References: <945ojm$8sh$0@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills

Message-ID: <20010118041644.05040.00002251@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13178

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>All the whiners are still at it just a loudly as though the 5wpm code
>test actually amounted to something. Whatta joke!

If you believe that the 5 wpm test doesn't amount to anything, why are you "whining" so loudly to keep it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4480683491245295919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:21:43 GMT
References: <3A66A869.A73DBDF6@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <20010118042143.05040.00002252@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13179

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>> Political Editor - December 1934 Radio magazine

>. . . and today we have greatly expanded HF privs vs. 1934 with more
>coming, the old brawl has simply moved up the spectrum.

And those threats did actually surface, again and again over the decades. It was only by addressing them at the international level that Amateur Radio retained its spectrum.

Don't just look to VHF and up for spectrum threats. There are HF allocations on the plate now, both with new spectrum being sought and with spectrum under challenge. Even 40 meters is once again going to be considered. The goal is, of course, to get an exclusive allocation near 7 MHz, but this may not end up quite as good as it sounds. How would you feel if that exclusive allocation were 200 kHz wide? I can tell you, the European hams would claim great victory, of course, going from 100 kHz to 200 kHz, but in the US, we would "lose" 100 kHz if that came to pass. IMHO, we are better off if it happens, because I can't make much effective use of spectrum above 7.1 MHz anyway; if 7.1-7.2 were cleared of broadcasters, the band, IMHO, would be "expanded."

Of course, if it did come down that way, we would hear from the doom and gloom contingent pretty quickly. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1971303671948567714
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5358773b400b8538
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:26:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:24:03 GMT
References: <3A66AB8A.D9CBAE95@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Black list
Message-ID: <20010118042403.05040.00002253@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13180

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>. . . a couple of 'em are my fellow dx contest club members who
>routinely roll up 10,000-20,000 contacts per YEAR depending on whether
>they go single or multi-op. They gave up qsling years ago, no way they
>can possibly handle the volumes of cards they get.

Something I consider when working search and pouncing in the SS contest. Those stations who did not answer my QSL requests from years past do not get my time for another contact.

I am sure this applies to DXers, too.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3832296580432342081
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:28:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!

not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:26:00 GMT
References: <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118042600.05040.00002254@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13181

>From: xjim@my-deja.com

>By lowering standards and force
>feeding numerous ignorant individuals onto the ham bands, how are we
>improving the standing of Amateur Radio?

The scope of the Technician exam is at least an order of magnitude more than was the scope of the 1963 Novice exam I took.

How is this "lowering standards?"

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4583538104499497658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:30:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:29:09 GMT
References: <945j6m$3lm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118042909.05040.00002255@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13182

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>Ex-Jim, much of the hate and discontent is coming from some OTs.

Brian, if this forum is a snapshot of the hate and discontent, there is plenty to go around. I have seen some very mean-spirited comments come out of OTs and out of relative newcomers. I have lost my "cool" once or twice -- haven't you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4362699161206844789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:34:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:33:22 GMT
References: <e5nb6t4vr42j4ntiptnpsrksq1dag2soii@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010118043322.05040.00002256@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13183

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>Of course he could have avoided the gaff by simply listening to the
>repeater for a few weeks before using it to see how things are done.

I didn't listen to the bands for a few weeks before using my Novice ticket. The day it showed up in the mailbox, I sent my first CQ and made a few contacts.

The "gaff" could have been avoided if everyone had decided that it wasn't a big enough deal to be labeled a "gaff" in the first place. How was he to know that he might face criticism if he didn't choose the correct words when he introduced himself to people? Let's see, after a few weeks, he MIGHT have figured out that "handle" was okay if he was talking to an old timer, but a newcomer might think he used to be a CBer, and he MIGHT have been a freebander, so he should ....

At any rate, I hope he received a warm welcome to our community instead of a lecture.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3569741374333958499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:35:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:34:35 GMT
References: <945sj9$idr$2@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010118043435.05040.00002257@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13184

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> The poor kid is sure to have a rough life, what with being too ignorant
>to grasp a new procedure in a new environment, then being put off so
>easily when gently corrected. What *is* this new generation ever going
>to amount to, anyway?

I sure hope no one told him he was "ignorant" when they "gently corrected" him.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 1376581794888458345
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:36:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:35:30 GMT
References: <j6nb6tsa7shqdeqiglus3ag9gvtlvi8sbf@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010118043530.05040.00002258@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13185

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>Every hobby has it's preferred lingo. If you use the wrong lingo you
>immediately identify yourself as an outsider...

You do mean "former" outsider, do you not?  This fellow did get a ham license,
```

so he is now one of us.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8335670581292558561
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:38:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:36:36 GMT
References: <27nb6t8936sdto51sfq4url1uo2n589on8@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118043636.05040.00002259@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13186

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>How many hours of study do you estimate that it would take for a
>*non-technical* person to "know and understand" all the material to
>pass the Extra test?

IMHO, less than it would take to memorize over a thousand questions without
developing any understanding.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8985469142375350377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:39:14 GMT
References: <944ukq$4cs$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010118043914.05040.00002260@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13187

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>The problem with being self taught is you have someone as ignorant as you
>for a teacher.

After someone obtains a degree, if they are successful in their chosen field, they all spend a lifetime being self taught.

A very few people cannot learn anything on their own, but they are not likely to be hams.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3336505157961804040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:42:14 GMT
References: <945n7l$qa$1@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118044214.05040.00002261@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13188

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> > Nope. 40 meter SSB.

>> A "No Coder" is jamming you on 40m SSB?

>Well if a "No licenser" did it what's to stop a "No coder"?

Did the person identify so you could determine whether he or she had a license or not and whether they had passed a code test?

Would the jamming have been aceptable to you if you learned it had been done by someone who passed a code test?

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4421074968742462745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:43:28 GMT
References: <3A65B257.A67D307@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118044328.05040.00002262@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13189

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>If you won't say it, you don't mean it.

Can we presume, then, Cecil, that you don't mean all the things you don't say?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6551433230384502283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:50:10 GMT
References: <3A65AD77.9621A2F0@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118045010.05040.00002263@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13190

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>N2EY wrote:

>> Can you prove to me that NOBODY wants the USE of Morse on the
>>ham bands to end?

>> I have read many diatribes against the mode, not the test.

>That's asking someone to prove a negative.

It does not need to be proved to me that there is someone, somewhere that would like to see Morse code use on the bands ended.

I have seen a few diatribes here against Morse code. Even if one feels it is a mode that offers no redeeming value (I disagree), their discussion of same does not mean that they necessarily feel its use should be outlawed.

We CAN look to the comments made to the FCC, because I don't think that we can infer that those who say here that they think Morse code should be outlawed are necessarily serious. Lacking any evidence to the contrary, I think it quite likely that those statements were made more to stir the pot than to be serious. If those saying they believe the mode should be outlawed were serious, they would have made their views known where they could have an actual effect.

Probably about as close to "proof" as we can get, Cecil. Is it at least "a preponderance of the evidence?" This is a "civil" debate, so we don't need "beyond a reasonable doubt."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6744078816325597460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:52:14 GMT
References: <944hf1$3ir$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010118045214.05040.00002264@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13191

```
>From: Dan/W4NTI w4nti@my-deja.com

>Heck when I first got on AM phone I was made to
>feel 2 inches high.

Exactly why AM phone is of interest to so few, I imagine...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 5382459073811649684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:56:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:54:23 GMT
References: <945sbu$idr$1@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010118045423.05040.00002265@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13192

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> They don't make survivors anymore, just jittery, fragile newbies with
>sensitivities.

That could describe me when I was first licensed as a Novice.

Fortunately, I didn't find anyone who felt that it was best for Amateur Radio
that he reminded me that I was a jittery, fragile newbie with sensitivities.

Frankly, Dick, I would much rather see new hams with sensitivities than those
who are cold and insensitive to the feelings of others.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -8077839942774107862
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:58:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
```

europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:55:57 GMT
References: <3A65AA09.832009F2@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118045557.05040.00002266@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13193

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>You (and other PCTA folk) are the worst enemies that CW ever had.

Careful with that broad brush, Cecil. I have seen some who advocate code testing who feel they don't need to insult new hams to make their points.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 11860293574859492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 01:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 09:57:44 GMT
References: <945pla$bhm$0@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118045744.05040.00002267@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13194

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> You talk like you and everyone else posting here in support of totally
>dropping it are its friends. What an idiot.

Dick, refresh my memory; who here is in support of totally dropping code?

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1826934130773582753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 02:01:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 10:00:05 GMT
References: <3A659B93.6DD14B9@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118050005.05040.00002268@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13195

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>There's a trick I'll keep in mind! What's the lead time between shipping the
>copy from HQ and distributing the magazine?

The magazine is usually sent to the printer around the 25th of the month. The Reviews are usually posted around the 1st. The magazine usually starts hitting mailboxes around the 15th. The issue will be dated the first of the next month, so the March issue should arrive in your mailbox on or about Feb 15.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3099952298423158567
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 02:04:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 10:03:07 GMT
References: <3A65BCA8.4DACD57B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010118050307.05040.00002269@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13196

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Which is worse lobster-meal deficit or lobster deficit? Are you going
>to Ft. Tuthill this year and/or any hamfests in and around Texas?

There is a 99% shot I will be at Ft Tuthill this year. But, as I recently learned, lobster is mighty expensive in AZ. How about we settle for a steak, or you can cook me a hot dog around the infamous Ft Tuthill QRP campfire?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9680606386758240005
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 02:07:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 10:05:53 GMT
References: <944ouu$ap6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118050553.05040.00002270@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13197

>From: xjim@my-deja.com

>If you go no further, you have failed at Amateur Radio.

I agree, Jim. I believe that your statement applies to the ham tests of any era. It applies to those who have a BSEE. I think it is expected that we will actually do something with our licences. The FCC does not allocate us spectrum because we pass tests. .

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6800845010335605990
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 02:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!

audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 10:07:05 GMT
References: <944gli$k9b$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118050705.05040.00002271@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13198

>From: "Jim Kelley" jwkelley@uci.edu

>It is probably more accurate to say that multiple choice tests measure with
>a degree of certainty, the test takers ability to prepare for a test.

Well, I gotta' add that if it is broken, it has been broken since the mid 60s.
All of my FCC-given tests were 100% multiple choice.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4758038297147187901
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 02:12:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 10:10:00 GMT
References: <945sr5$kmn$0@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Message-ID: <20010118051000.05040.00002272@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13199

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> The ones who didn't notice, Bob, were the new hams who were not choosing
>>the Novice as their first license.

> Why should they? Another few questions and they had Tech Plus with all
>Novice privileges plus 2 meters and up.

And their meeting additional requirements is bad how?

Oh, and the "another few questions" was an additional 30 questions, from a
question pool of about 330.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8360917527790377591
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 02:14:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!
newsfeed.concentric.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 10:11:56 GMT
References: <945t8i$b8b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Message-ID: <20010118051156.05040.00002273@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13200

>From: Bob Wex w2ilp

>I believe it is also because ham radio, even without a Morse code
>exam, is considered old hat by those who are already using cell-phones
>and capable of brousing on the Internet.

Why are there more hams now than there were before cell phones and internet
became widespread?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2148652855501528424
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 02:16:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 10:15:28 GMT
References: <944i07$kub$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118051528.05040.00002274@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13201

>From: "Jim Kelley" jwkelley@uci.edu

>Looks like there's a typo in the test question.

There are questions in the current pool that contain similar faux pas.

> A better question might be to explain the differences between a DC
> ground and an RF ground.

He may have also meant an AC-line safety ground.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6451346746265691178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 03:38:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 89
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 11:36:45 GMT
References: <frM86.849$e5.2163286@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118063645.07593.00002569@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13203

In article <frM86.849$e5.2163286@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>
>First, let me be clear that I do not believe we should have a one-class
>license system. Though I believe that not grandfathering existing licencees
>when incentive licensing was put into effect was a mistake, I think that the
>premise of incentive licensing is appropriate.

Ed:

As long as the "incentive" isn't attached to making a dedicated effort
to learn and master a practical communications skill, eh?

>The biggest problem I think we face, Larry, is one of spectrum. That will
>be solved as much by useage of the bands as it will anything else. Let me
>turn the question around and ask you how having a multi-WPM test series will
>solve that problem?

Apparently, it could do a lot more than merely giving away HF privileges. So far, Restructuring has been a colossal flop. Sure, there was a lot of upgrading activity, with people taking advantage of grandfathering. But the total numbers of new hams has, to date, been shockingly low. Therefore, one could draw the conclusion that easier licensing requirements aren't the answer to our situation. I think the answer is that ham radio in the USA is about as big as it's ever going to get, without a national, major media advertising campaign to get the word out about what we do. Again, I say -- word of mouth, hams "talking up" ham radio in their local area, IS NOT ENOUGH -- and never will be. For the most part, all hams do is talk to other hams -- "preaching to the choir" as it were.

>Another problem is that we are not seen as being relevant by many in
>industry and at the FCC. How will having a multi-WPM test series solve that
>problem? IMHO, this is the most important reason to replace the Morse code
>tests with tests relating to newer technology.

Uh, don't look now, Ed, but Morse code testing, at as many levels as you like, and "tests relating to newer technology" are not mutually exclusive! Why does one have to be eliminated in order to implement the other? I want a full explanation for that, Ed. Answer that question. Why does testing for knowledge of a practical manual communications skill prohibit the updating of written tests to reflect technology advances -- or vice versa?

>When the no-code VHF license was introduced, there was a sudden influx of
>newcomers for which there simply was not sufficient Elmering. How will
>having a multi-speed code test series solve that problem.

I'll answer your question that answered my question with another question: Why is "Elmering" so essential? I became an Extra-class licensee under the "old" system with virtually no one-on-one "Elmering" whatsoever. I knew how to open a book and read it, and that's what I did. I've offered my services as an "Elmer" many times in the past, but one requirement I made was that the books must first be opened up, and read. Sorry, no sale. What the "elmerees" wanted was to be shown the right button to push to make the radio work. I said, "OK, open up your instruction manual -- it'll tell you in there!" "Waaaaahhh!! Don't wanna read and learn anything --- wanna talk on radio!! WaaaaaHHHH!!!"

>I see the petty bickering that is taking place here and elsewhere and the
>sour grapes and/or gloating as being harmful to the amateur radio service.
>How wil having a multi-speed code test series solve that problem.

What are the sour grapes and gloating about? Mainly, jealousy over certain accomplishments certain hams have made, and the fact that making the same accomplishment would require the person with the "sour grapes" to get off their collective duffs and do the work necessary to make that achievement for themselves! I'm sure I'm considered to be "gloating" merely for having a 1x2 callsign -- I've already been told as much by certain local hams. I'm sorry I'm not "politically correct" in the eyes of the slouchers, Ed. I'm sure you'll do your part to make up for it.

>What problems do you think are facing the Amateur Radio Service and how do
>you think having a multi-speed code-test series will solve them?

I think the problem we have in Amateur Radio is that we've lost track of traditional values, as we have in virtually every other aspect of our society. I don't think multi-speed code test series will solve that, but the fact that the code tests are a main part of the "problem" for the slouchers places them at the locus of concern. If getting an HF license required the learning of a foreign language, and testing for fluency in same, then THAT would be the issue. The whole thing revolves around the laziness of people who want privileges without making the effort to learn practical skills in order to earn them. Solve that, and this newsgroup will come to an end.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3805602976572736385
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 03:38:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 11:36:46 GMT
References: <t69urethiab696@news.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118063646.07593.00002570@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13202

In article <t69urethiab696@news.supernews.com>, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) writes:

>
>Ya know ED, I must've of read 60 of your posts tonight in various
>threads and you're a living example of the Leagues restructuring proposal.
>You won't promote anything that will improve ham radio and neither will
>your employer!
>

>ARRL policy makes me want to vomit.

Watch out, Vince! Now Ed's gonna ask you several questions, each
ending with: How is a multi-level code test going to help?

Naturally, you're correct. Ham radio is heading down the drain,
particularly with regard to it's attractiveness to young people. The
irony is, young people used to be attracted to ham radio by the
very thing that the middle-aged white men don't want anything
to do with -- the Morse code! Kids love learning the code, they
make a competitive challenge out of it, and, if given a chance to
use it on-the-air, usually become experts at it in very short order.
The requirement to learn the code doesn't keep young people
away from ham radio -- the overbearing, overwhelming negative
influence of middle-aged white men does! They get on the air,
and all they get to discuss -- on voice or CW -- is the health and
welfare of the individual at the other end of the QSO. There is
little original discussion of events, new ideas, science, music,
the arts -- the talk is usually all about the person doing the
talking, as if he (usually male) were the center of the universe.
When young people get together to chat, they rarely talk about
themselves. That's why the typical CW QSO, consisting of
call sign, name, QTH in Florida, age, how many years retired,
local WX report, and "Got to QRT to get to the Senior Center
for the free lunch" just don't cut it with young people who are
curious about amateur radio. The ARRL's dumbing-down
policies are pandering to more of this. Young people don't need
reduced licensing requirements -- just a less "lame" ARS!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 3635995592146097786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 03:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A66D631.16122CDB@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
References: <3A66A869.A73DBDF6@dvol.com> <20010118042143.05040.00002252@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 78
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 06:40:33 -0500
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.58
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979818020 206.20.144.58 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 05:40:20 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 05:40:20 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13204

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
> >> Political Editor - December 1934 Radio magazine
>
> >. . . and today we have greatly expanded HF privs vs. 1934 with more
> >coming, the old brawl has simply moved up the spectrum.
>
> And those threats did actually surface, again and again over the decades. It
> was only by addressing them at the international level that Amateur Radio
> retained its spectrum.

>
No question about that. Since the QPC is into testing things like modes not used by hams they might as well also toss in a test for having read the DeSoto chronicles for a reality check on how scary close it got and what really matters.

>

> Don't just look to VHF and up for spectrum threats. There are HF allocations
> on the plate now, both with new spectrum being sought and with spectrum under
> challenge.

>
. . . just love those Part 15 widgets . .
>

> Even 40 meters is once again going to be considered. The goal is,
> of course, to get an exclusive allocation near 7 MHz, but this may not end up
> quite as good as it sounds. How would you feel if that exclusive allocation
> were 200 kHz wide?

>
Thankful.
>

> I can tell you, the European hams would claim great
> victory, of course, going from 100 kHz to 200 kHz, but in the US, we would
> "lose" 100 kHz if that came to pass. IMHO, we are better off if it happens,
> because I can't make much effective use of spectrum above 7.1 MHz anyway; if
> 7.1-7.2 were cleared of broadcasters, the band, IMHO, would be "expanded."

>
I absolutely agree with that. Sure, we're allowed to operate from 7.0 to 7.3. But on a shared basis with a large number of the biggest BC boomers in the biz.

Complete with both sidebands and mongo carriers, the ultimate spectrum hogs. And we're getting spanked for not using state-of-the-art modes to conserve spectrum space? Humbug. Tell it to Putin.
>
On any given nite I doubt we have a really usable 75 Khz to ourselves. I'll take a clean 200 Khz any day.
>
What's the latest rumors on that issue? I keep hearing 6.9-7.1 Mhz. If that becomes reality there's gonna be a lot of interesting diode snipping and/or a big market for replacement PROMs. Or something.
>
I can see the QST articles now: "How to Booger Yer $3k Type-Accepted SMT-Fabbed Xcvr to Get It On 6.9-7.1 . . . ".
>
>Of course, if it did come down that way, we would hear from the doom and gloom

> contingent pretty quickly. :-)

>
Uh huh. They're still wringing their hands over the loss of 11m . . . I was there, that band was an RF trashpit long before the CBers got it. The early 11m CBers got screwed but fortunately weren't smart enough to understand that.
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From -2088273448940440496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 03:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!kking
From: kking@no-mail.com (Kerry King)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 18 Jan 2001 11:43:44 GMT
Organization: none
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10776 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13205

The California power crisis is not due to what we have been led to believe. Instead, it is the fault of the internet.

You who are reading this helped cause the problem, as CNN has documented at http://www.cnn.com/2001/TECH/computing/01/17/internet.energy.idg

Shame on all of you!

```
From -5512339404048578877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 03:52:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 11:42:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <946kre$t33$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <945j6m$3lm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118042909.05040.00002255@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.71
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 11:42:38 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.71
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13206
```

In article <20010118042909.05040.00002255@ng-ff1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>
> >Ex-Jim, much of the hate and discontent is coming from some OTs.
>
> Brian, if this forum is a snapshot of the hate and discontent, there is plenty
> to go around. I have seen some very mean-spirited comments come out of OTs and
> out of relative newcomers. I have lost my "cool" once or twice -- haven't you?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Oh Absolutely! As a 13 year articipant in the ARS, I not only have tired of hearing the OTs bitching, but I've begun countering they're insane accusations. And as a 13 participant, I am a rank beginner in Ham Years.

That should put some perspective on the situation.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8232852338932486547
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db91ab8486ecd887
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 03:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A66D901.6B7D086A@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Anyone For An RRAP Meeting At Dayton?
References: <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <945hdi$27b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 06:52:33 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.58
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979818736 206.20.144.58 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 05:52:16 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 05:52:16 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13207

Brian wrote:

> In article <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > Hmmmmm??????
>
> Just as long as you's guys don't embarass me in front of my friends.

>
You actually got friends? OK, that's easy. Don't bring your friends. We
want you all by yerself . . .

From -9079410379585142376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 04:12:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:03:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <946m2g$tuk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <4jhc6tcpartogfhd6eao3ss6t6vb2cv8pr@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.71
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 12:03:30 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.71
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13208

In article <4jhc6tcpartogfhd6eao3ss6t6vb2cv8pr@4ax.com>,
  Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
snip
> Cairo. And, while we are at it, why not ask President Roosevelt to put
> a couple of good amateurs on the FCC, if only in an advisory capacity
> without any cost whatsoever to the Government? We know of many good
> men who are anxious to serve!
>
> Political Editor -  December 1934 Radio magazine

I thought the ARRL handled the advisory role in 1934?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 3621514905898413426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 04:18:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 07:18:12 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 75
Message-ID: <3A66DEE0.1CD3D57D@sccoast.net>
References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-
```

fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-32.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979820270 35120 207.144.64.162 (18 Jan 2001 12:17:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:17:50 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10777 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13209

K0HB wrote:
>
> [snip] Like I said, he seemed pretty bright to me,
> quick to catch on to things. What do think it was
> that he caught on to about us from "Radio Cop".

I'll never forget my worst incident with a "radio cop." I had encouraged my wife to get her license. Since she knew absolutely nothing about radio before studying for that exam, and had studied for three weeks to pass the exam, that license was hard earned and she was darn proud of it.

Anyway, part way through our second conversation on the radio, the "radio cop" made his grand entrance. The previous night, my wife had problems getting her radio set up to work correctly (it was my old radio - purchased because it was loaded with features, not because it was necessarily easy to use). So our conversation was somewhat limited that night.

However, the next night, I had set the radio up to default to an easier mode (preset memory channels), so that we could concentrait more on conversation (figuring she would eventually learn the radio eventually on her own).

Anyway, that night, we tried several of the memory channels (each set to a different repeater), trying to figure out which repeater would be best for her to talk on during her trip to and from work each day (which repeater had coverage of the whole trip distance).

Finally, we settled on two memory channels - the main one and a backup (which would be set to memory channels one and two on the radio). As we were discussing this, a male voice boomed in with the words, "frequencies, not channels." Believing that someone else might want to use the repeater, I told my wife to hold on a second and invited the person to go ahead.

At that point, the "radio cop" started lecturing my wife about how we use frequencies instead of channels in ham radio and further suggested that she should also stop using CB slang (she had said "roger" earlier).

When he was finished, I explained that we were referring to the memory channels on her radio, that she was new to ham radio, that my goal was just to get her talking on the radio at this point, and that little issues like that could be corrected later.

In response, he pointed out that radio memories were nothing more than memorized frequencies, that I should refer to the frequencies memorized instead of the memory channels, that I was failing my wife by not teaching her properly from the beginning, and then turned back to lecture my wife some more, ending with a suggestion that she find someone better than me to teach her in the future if she wants to learn how to do things correctly.

After he was finished, I thanked him for his input and tried to contact my wife again. She simply said that she was almost home (she wasn't) and that she would talk to me when she got there.

It took me almost four days to get her to talk on the radio again, and then only on a frequency that I was able to assure her was not likely monitored by anyone else. And, dispite our almost nightly conversations, it was going to be another five months before she would be confident enough to talk to someone else. In fact, to this day (almost two years later), she has only talked to two people, besides myself, on the radio. And her enthusiasm about, and pride in, radio are long gone.

In the end, the "radio cop" did a good job of defending "proper" tradition on the radio. But in doing so, he ruined my wife's enjoyment of this hobby (and, for all practical purposes, ended her hobby before it even got started). And that is why I'll never forget that "radio cop."

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 1209089188284113725
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 04:49:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 147
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 12:47:17 GMT

References: <20010118063645.07593.00002569@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118074717.26248.00000006@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13210

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>As long as the "incentive" isn't attached to making a dedicated effort to learn and master a practical communications skill, eh?

As long as the incentive is directed toward what I believe will help further the long-term goals of the Amateur Radio Service.

>So far, Restructuring has been a colossal flop. Sure, there was a lot of upgrading activity, with people taking advantage of grandfathering.

You believe that people learning new things and passing new tests is a "colossal flop?"

>But the total numbers of new hams has, to date, been shockingly low.

A slight increase, reversing a trend that had been a slight decline.

>Therefore, one could draw the conclusion that easier licensing requirements aren't the answer to our situation.

I absolutely agree; the problems facing the Amateur Radio Service will not be solved if only we could test hams in just the right way.

> I think the answer is that ham radio in the USA is about as big as it's ever going to get, without a national, major media advertising campaign to get the word out about what we do.

Although I disagreed with you that prime-time TV advertising was needed, I agree that Amateur Radio, and ARRL, need to do a better job promoting ham radio. However, I wonder what percentage of those that might be interested in ham radio, but have not yet taken any tests, are not aware of its existence? Probably few. I do imagine that a lot of those who are interested are already hams. We might gain a significant number if we got the word out better about restructuring.

>Again, I say -- word of mouth, hams "talking up" ham radio in their local area, IS NOT ENOUGH -- and never will be. For the most part, all hams do is talk to other hams -- "preaching to the choir" as it were.

I am much more likely to buy a product if I get good word of mouth about it than if I read an ad. Unfortunately, the word of mouth at this point may not be very good. Ever since I did it, our ranks have always had a high percentage of people whose first personal experience with radio was on 11 meters. The 11-meter folks of that day looked up to hams, and felt that if they became hams, they would be doing a better version of what they were doing at the time. How about today? I have read some of the CB newsgroups, and talked to a number of CBers. Do you not think they might resent the use of "CBer" as an insulting term within ham radio? Do you think they are not well aware that if they join our ranks, a few curmudgeons will go out of their way to tell them how dumbed-down they are because they took these new exams? Do you think our reputation for "gently reminding" them that they must choose exactly the right words when the join in amateur conversations would help bring in these newcomers.

I will believe your sincerity about wanting to bring in newcomers to ham radio with mass-media advertising when I see your personal conduct reflecting the same desire.

>Uh, don't look now, Ed, but Morse code testing, at as many levels
>as you like, and "tests relating to newer technology" are not mutually
>exclusive! Why does one have to be eliminated in order to implement
>the other? I want a full explanation for that, Ed.

Yes, you usually do want a full explanation, Larry. :-) You are correct, Morse code testing and relevant testing are not mutually exclusive, but I do not believe that Morse code testing adds to the perceived value of Amateur Radio outside of some amateur circles. I do not want to eliminate Morse code testing in the Amateur Radio Service, btw; I believe it should continue to be part of our testing process as long as it enjoys significant popularity on the air. I just believe that it should be tested as is the testing for other modes -- by including the questions about sent text as part of the overall exam.

>I'll answer your question that answered my question with another
>question: Why is "Elmering" so essential? I became an Extra-class
>licensee under the "old" system with virtually no one-on-one "Elmering"
>whatsoever.

Yes, but Larry, you are so far advanced over mere humans that you can do that. I did not. If it weren't for W1BNB, I don't think I would have been nearly as successul at setting up and using my station. I think, however, the real reason that I believe Elmering to be important is that we can see the evidence of lack of Elmering in the low activity rate and probable low renewal rate of the Technicians who entered ham radio right after the no-code Tech was put into place.

>I knew how to open a book and read it, and that's what
>I did.

If you agree that opening a book and read it is adequate to obtain the practical knowledge that is passed along by Elmering, perhaps you could consider advocating opening a book and reading it as a good way of obtaining the practical knowledge of Morse code use...

>WaaaaaHHHH!!!"

I do agree, Larry; you should probably not be an Elmer. No one likes to see a grown man carry on so.

>What are the sour grapes and gloating about? Mainly, jealousy over

>certain accomplishments certain hams ...

I have seen you offering what I believe to be sour grapes, Larry. Who are YOU jealous of?

>I'm sure I'm considered to be "gloating"

No, the gloating was by those who wanted to rub in the code-test changes to the pro-code test folks. I put you in the sour grapes category in that regard, Larry; sorry.

>I'm not "politically correct" in the eyes of the slouchers, Ed.

Is it now "politically correct" to call what my upbringing taught me was rudeness and insensitivity "politically correct?"

> I'm sure you'll do your part to make up for it.

Thank you, Larry, but it is not that simple.

>I think the problem we have in Amateur Radio is that we've lost track of
>traditional values, as we have in virtually every other aspect of our
>society.

Are the mean-spirited things I have seen you say about or to some newcomers and some of those who disagree with you part of those "traditional values?"

> I don't think multi-speed code test series will solve that, but the
>fact that the code tests are a main part of the "problem" for the slouchers
>places them at the locus of concern.

Some of those who believe that the code testing should be changed have passed the code tests already, Larry; are they "slouchers" or do you have another category into which you can neatly lump them all?

>The whole thing revolves around the laziness
>of people who want privileges without making the effort to learn practical
>skills in order to earn them.

The problem is not laziness at all, Larry; it is that some people are not willing to learn the one practical skill that will satisfy you. If hams WERE required to learn a foreign language to be licensed, let's say,oh, 26 words and 10 numbers, would you be satisfied?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -7844595211295764115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 04:56:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 12:53:08 GMT
References: <20010118063646.07593.00002570@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118075308.26248.00000007@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13211

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Watch out, Vince! Now Ed's gonna ask you several questions, each
>ending with: How is a multi-level code test going to help?

No, I just invite Vince to discuss what he disagrees with and why, rather than a sweeping generalization about the state of his appetite.

>Naturally, you're correct. Ham radio is heading down the drain,
>particularly with regard to it's attractiveness to young people.

Are you saying that young people were joining ham radio in droves up until April 15, 2000?

>The irony is, young people used to be attracted to ham radio by the
>very thing that the middle-aged white men don't want anything
>to do with -- the Morse code!

Morse code is still a very much alive and well part of ham radio, Larry.

> Kids love learning the code, they
 make a competitive challenge
> out of it, and, if given a chance to use it on-the-air, usually become
experts
> at it in very short order.

Then why do you feel they will love it even more if they are given tests in it?
 I remember lots of spelling tests from school, but not one single kickball test. Guess which activity I spent more time doing.

>The requirement to learn the code doesn't keep young people
>away from ham radio -- the overbearing, overwhelming negative
>influence of middle-aged white men does!

Do you feel that the things you have been saying on this forum are not overwhelming and negative?

> They get on the air, and all they get to discuss -- on voice or CW --

> is the health and welfare of the individual at the other end of the QSO.

And here we discuss whether Vince's stomach is feeling well. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7817325186145220907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 04:58:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 12:55:40 GMT
References: <3A66D631.16122CDB@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <20010118075540.26248.00000008@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13212

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>Since the QPC is into testing things like modes not used
>by hams

On what basis do you make this statement? Because of my opinion on what should
be on the exams? I am not a member of the QPC.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1154237100696534502
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db91ab8486ecd887
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 05:02:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!nntp.flash.net!nntp.newsfirst.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Anyone For An RRAP Meeting At Dayton?
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:47:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com

```
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <946okl$voi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <945hdi$27b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.216
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 12:47:18 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.216
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13213

In article <945hdi$27b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Just as long as you's guys don't embarass me in front of my friends.

     Both of them?

--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 1484613324792681039
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 05:02:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 13:00:25 GMT
References: <946kre$t33$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010118080025.26214.00000008@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13214

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

> I have lost my "cool" once or twice --
>haven't you?

>I not only have tired of hearing the OTs bitching, but I've begun countering
> they're insane accusations.

On purpose?
```

>That should put some perspective on the situation.

Yes, I think it did.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4768575708647903616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5358773b400b8538
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 05:11:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Black list
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:57:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <946p7i$98$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <944ulv$gd$1@front4m.grolier.fr> <9450fp$ibf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.216
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 12:57:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.216
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13215

In article <9450fp$ibf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <944ulv$gd$1@front4m.grolier.fr>,
>   "Swann" <swann@dromadaire.com> wrote:
>
>>Don't waste QSL and time and visit :

> Just for grins I checked the calls on your stupid list against my
> logs. In the past year I have had contest QSO's with 11 stations on
> the list. Five of them have sent me QSL's. So much for your
> credibility.

I have QSO's with 6 and four QSL'd. The other two I haven't sent QSL's to, so I guess I can't expect a reply.

Take your list and......well, I am trying to be gentler and kinder today........

Steve, K4YZ

--

"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5427297385711381124
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 06:41:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 14:31:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <946uou$5f5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-
fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A66DEE0.1CD3D57D@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 14:31:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10782
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13216

In article <3A66DEE0.1CD3D57D@sccoast.net>,
stewartx@sccoast.net wrote:

> And that is why I'll never forget that "radio cop."

Probably N2EY?

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com

http://www.deja.com/

```
From 8278493958597623859
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 06:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 08:31:02 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3A66FE26.D4C0DA3F@IEEE.org>
References: <3A664B6C.8BC03C00@IEEE.org> <20010118083250.22631.00000799@nso-
fz.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 14:40:29 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13217

N2EY wrote:
>
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >My feelings have nothing to do with it.
>
> They have everything to do with it.

One would hope the FCC is operating on more than just feelings.

> > There simply are not enough
> >people who want to eliminate Morse code to matter.
>
> All it takes is a few in the right places.

Only if they are at the FCC.

> You didn't write that there weren't enough to matter. You wrote that nobody who
> matters wants to eliminate the Morse code. Not the same thing at all.

It means exactly the same thing to a Texan. If there's not enough of them
to matter then they don't matter. Same thing.

> >Nope, the number of them is what matters.
>
```

> That's not what you wrote.

Yep, it is. Bone up on your Texan, Jim.

> > Tens of thousands of hams
> >want to eliminate Morse code testing.
>
> I don't see that at all. Maybe two, three thousand, max. Hundreds of thousands
> want to keep it.

You've got your opinion and I've got mine. From my point of view, it appears
that the division is swinging toward equality with each passing day.

> Ah - OK. So it was OK with you to steal the TLA of the National Cancer
> Institute.

I didn't say it was OK but it certainly has a better ring to it than NCTI.

> Depends on who they are. Do you agree that allowing DSSS on the HF ham bands
> could seriously disrupt the use of other modes?

IMO, DSSS is not suited for the HF ham bands with the possible exception
of 10m. Aren't broadband transmissions limited to 6kHz on ham HF?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 1772783270297246839
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 06:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 08:36:44 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A66FF7C.7AB4D442@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85>
<940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org>
<3A66162C.9B73BD97@uci.edu> <3A664D3B.44A4EC3E@IEEE.org>
<9460mi$gaa$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 14:46:09 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
```

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13218

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > There's lots of accidental jamming because of mail boxes.
>
> The timing is impecable.  Why would those things run in beacon mode - on 40
> meter phone frequencies?

I hear Iran is trying to jam Iraq and vice versa.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -5132984720946390440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5358773b400b8538
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 06:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
news.washington1.Level3.net!Level3.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A670273.5CB67FD6@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Black list
References: <3A66AB8A.D9CBAE95@dvol.com> <20010118042403.05040.00002253@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 09:49:23 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.45
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979829351 206.20.144.45 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 08:49:11
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 08:49:11 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13219


W1RFI wrote:

> Something I consider when working search and pouncing in the SS contest.  Those
> stations who did not answer my QSL requests from  years past do not get my time
> for another contact.
>
> I am sure this applies to DXers, too.

>
```

Never ran into the issue before quite frankly. I seriously doubt that the big guns lose many contacts because they snubbed dx qsls. In their defense they will ship a card if it comes direct but not if it's in the heaps of pro-forma cards which come via the bureau. There's a Polish station which qsls every contact on every band every contest, I must have 20 of his cards. That's ridiculous, I stopped shipping him cards years ago and he still answers my cq's.
>

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From -1554132464157207144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 06:52:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 14:37:40 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <946v3j$5kg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943boh$pc1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<945rm5$a0e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 14:37:40 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13220
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10783

In article <945rm5$a0e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Anyone having to live somewhere besides Chicago (and the environs) is
> comforted by the fact that they are available frozen in many other
> areas of the country.

I think they're headquartered in Ohio somewhere.

I lived on sliders (12 cents each in those days) all the way through college. We have 3 or 4 "slider stores" here in the Mpls/St.Paul area, and about once-a-month several hams in the area get together for "gut-bomb" luncheon on the tailgate in their parking lot.

There are two kinds of people in the world.... those who like sliders and admit to it, and those who do not admit to it.

73, Hans, K0HB

--

> >Frisbeetarianism: The belief that when you die,
> >your soul goes up the on roof and gets stuck.

> What roof would this be?

The Roof of the Universe. And in the Last Days, when the Great Frisbee that shineth in the sky hath gone out, then shalt the Almighty Thrower ascend the Ladder of Life and collect the souls of those who have flown free, and take them The Eternal Park, where we shall sail upon the Spring Breezes for ever and ever, and be Fetched by the Holy Dog. Amen.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1431351113478862478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 06:52:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 08:40:41 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A670069.34B584D8@IEEE.org>
References: <3A61ABF2.12A7D624@IEEE.org> <20010114095444.01150.00001442@ng-cc1.aol.com> <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <9407mr$qlq$0@208.206.142.85> <3A63B241.EDC10850@IEEE.org> <940gg2$jud$1@208.207.71.175>

```
<3A63C497.B74527A7@IEEE.org> <940m1p$34p$1@208.207.71.175>
<3A6456D2.123711CB@IEEE.org> <943ee2$6nf$2@208.207.71.134>
<3A65B6CE.44AC8AA1@IEEE.org> <945n7l$qa$1@208.207.71.177>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 14:49:18 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13221
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> > A "No Coder" is jamming you on 40m SSB?
>
> Well if a "No licenser" did it what's to stop a "No coder"?

I get it now. You said, "Oh,I hear jamming on a weekend net every week.
But we just ignore the idiot and he takes his No Code arse somewhere
else to try to gain his 'revenge'." - and you have no idea who it was
so you labeled him/her a No Coder? Such a collectivist, Dick, tsk, tsk.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -3827631111384940066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 06:56:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6703BB.C4E1E5A@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
References: <3A66D631.16122CDB@dvol.com> <20010118075540.26248.00000008@ng-
fm1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 09:54:51 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.45
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979829674 206.20.144.45 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 08:54:34
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 08:54:34 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13222
```

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
> >Since the QPC is into testing things like modes not used
> >by hams
>
> On what basis do you make this statement? Because of my opinion on what should
> be on the exams? I am not a member of the QPC.

>
. . . just ruminating . . . heh.
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

```
From -9076767746572486294
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 06:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 08:47:54 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3A67021A.4A0EA094@IEEE.org>
References: <940hff$mm7$0@208.207.71.175> <20010116084641.05657.00001674@ng-
cl1.aol.com> <945ojm$8sh$0@208.207.71.177>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 14:57:29 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13223
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> When you take a driver's test you 1.)take a written test and 2.)take a
> practical operational exam where you demonstrate that you can actuall
> drive the darn thing.

There's a strong implication that the examinee wants to drive a vehicle. Why is no operating exam required for a bicycle?

> When you qualify as a pilot you 1.)take a written test, and 2.)take a practical operational exam where you demonstrate that you actually can fly the darn thing.

There's a strong implication that the examinee wants to fly a plane. Why is there no operating exam for a hot air balloon?

> When you take a ham radio test you 1.)take a written test and 2.)take a practical operational exam where you demonstrate that you can actually copy code.

There is absolutely no implication that the examinee wants to use Morse code. The exam is forced upon him/her even though he/she may have no intention of using it.

> In neither case is one exam "emphasized" over the other. You have to make a passing grade on both. It's called MEETING THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE LICENSE!

You have one pass/fail test dedicated to Morse code. You have another pass/fail test dedicated to everything else in Amateur Radio combined. Therefore, Morse code is considered as important as everything else in Amateur Radio combined. That certainly sounds like emphasis to me, Dick.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -5032005176236523978
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 07:01:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 14:45:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <946vhj$64b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <e5nb6t4vr42j4ntiptnpsrksq1dag2soii@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 14:45:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13224

In article <e5nb6t4vr42j4ntiptnpsrksq1dag2soii@4ax.com>,
Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> Of course he could have avoided the gaff by simply listening to the
> repeater for a few weeks before using it to see how things are done.
> That's good practice whether on FM, RTTY, CW or a new newsgroup...

You can join N2EY over there in the "keep these newcomers off my ham-bands" jury box.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--

Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before.

He is full of murderous resentment of people who
are ignorant without having come by their ignorance
the hard way.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -1352577601192527446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 07:02:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6704E8.D62A4FB7@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
References: <3A659B93.6DD14B9@dvol.com> <20010118050005.05040.00002268@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 09:59:52 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.60
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979829979 206.20.144.60 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 08:59:39
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 08:59:39 CDT
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13225

W1RFI wrote:

> The magazine is usually sent to the printer around the 25th of the month. The
> Reviews are usually posted around the 1st. The magazine usually starts hitting
> mailboxes around the 15th. The issue will be dated the first of the next
> month, so the March issue should arrive in your mailbox on or about Feb 15.

>
Boy, that's really quick. I guess I'm lost in time again, usta take at least two
months.
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From 6167880535478470472
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 07:12:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 15:02:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9470hd$743$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942qh9$nus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 15:02:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13226

In article <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> You're using the K3LT argument that since this isn't wireless, you're
> free to be a jerk.

>

Get a grip, Brian. For reasons known only to you, you have decided
to treat me like Robeson treats Len Anderson, casting me in the role
of some sort of radio-ogre. It was amusing for awhile, now it's just
an annoyance sorta like when an excited but untrained puppy pees on
the floor when he sees his master first time in the morning.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
I am, of course, any thinking woman's everyday fantasy.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7084831453968066524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 07:14:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 09:03:20 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <3A6705B8.38365FCD@IEEE.org>
References: <3A63175A.B392924C@IEEE.org> <20010115204346.14870.00000722@nso-fr.aol.com> <3A63AC34.6FAC1CA4@IEEE.org> <943b4t$slj$0@208.207.71.134>
<3A65AA09.832009F2@IEEE.org> <945pla$bhm$0@208.207.71.177>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 15:12:47 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13227

Dick Carroll wrote:
>

> Cecil wrote:
>
> > It (CW)is worth preserving within ham
> > radio if its merits support that preservation. I think it does. A Governmental
> > requirement not based on merit is just another form of welfare.
>
> You say it has merit. Then you say it has no merit. Which is it?

You are confused and mistaken again, Dick. I have always said that
I believe CW has merit and can stand on its own without government
subsidy. You are the one who says that CW doesn't have enough merit
to stand on its own merits. It's the Morse code *TEST* that I believe
is without merit.

> So would you care to lay out just how it is that I'm "lying" about
> CW's capabilities?

"CW gets through when no other mode can." is one lie you told.
Another is, "CW is useless at 5wpm."

> If CW
> > cannot honestly stand on its own merits, then it deserves to be replaced
> > by modes with more merit. Obfuscation about CW's merits will just hasten
> > its demise.
>
> You are not only a fool, you are also a liar.

It is impossible to lie when one prefaces a statement with an "If".
It's known as a conditional statement. Better brush up on your English
and logic, Dick.

True or False: If CW cannot honestly stand on its own merits, then it
deserves to be replaced by modes with more merit. There's no way that
statement can be false.

True or False: Obfuscation about CW's merits will just hasten its demise.
That one seems prima facie true to me, Dick. When you say CW is as good
as sex, everyone knows you're lying.

> When all testing is gone it is only a matter of a little time.

It's rather obvious that I have more faith in CW than you do, Dick.
Why do you think CW has so little merit that it cannot survive without
government support? I thought you were against welfare.

> You talk like you and everyone else posting here in support of totally
> dropping it are its friends. What an idiot.

There you go again, Dick. Virtually no ham wants to drop CW. Many, many
hams want to drop the CW *test* requirement. When are you going to get
that fact through your thick skull? CW needs to stand on its own merits.
I believe that it can. You believe that it cannot. You are no friend of
the mode.

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -4871243128636635211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 07:18:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 09:08:05 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A6706D5.3AF1E6DD@IEEE.org>
References: <3A65AA09.832009F2@IEEE.org> <20010118045557.05040.00002266@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 15:15:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13228

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >You (and other PCTA folk) are the worst enemies that CW ever had.
>
> Careful with that broad brush, Cecil.  I have seen some who advocate code
> testing who feel they don't need to insult new hams to make their points.

The brush was not intended to be broad at all, Ed. I didn't mean to
imply "all other PCTA folk". Just a handful of individuals. I probably
should have said, "and a few other PCTA folk". That was my meaning.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 7592863326717646216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 07:22:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
```

From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 09:12:10 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A6707CA.1CC50397@IEEE.org>
References: <3A65AD77.9621A2F0@IEEE.org> <20010118045010.05040.00002263@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 15:20:54 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13229

W1RFI wrote:
> Probably about as close to "proof" as we can get, Cecil. Is it at least "a
> preponderance of the evidence?" This is a "civil" debate, so we don't need
> "beyond a reasonable doubt."

I was not arguing against it, Ed. I was just pointing out that someone
was asking that a negative be proven, which is generally impossible in
a context like that one. It more correctly should have been stated as a
positive premise to be proven.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -7417371249872717556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 07:24:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 09:13:55 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A670833.DE942E84@IEEE.org>
References: <3A65B257.A67D307@IEEE.org> <20010118044328.05040.00002262@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 15:22:35 GMT

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13230

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >If you won't say it, you don't mean it.
>
> Can we presume, then, Cecil, that you don't mean all the things you don't say?

I probably don't 100% mean the things that I am challenged to say and don't.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From 7250434165239444881
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 07:34:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 09:18:39 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A67094E.17442697@IEEE.org>
References: <3A65BCA8.4DACD57B@IEEE.org> <20010118050307.05040.00002269@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 15:27:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13231

W1RFI wrote:
> There is a 99% shot I will be at Ft Tuthill this year.  But, as I recently
> learned, lobster is mighty expensive in AZ.  How about we settle for a steak,
> or you can cook me a hot dog around the infamous Ft Tuthill QRP campfire?

The prices at Red Lobster are not too bad. How are you going to feel
eating a steak while I'm having lobster? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From -6581746820773548312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 07:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 09:33:35 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A670CCF.6BA79B75@IEEE.org>
References: <e5nb6t4vr42j4ntiptnpsrksq1dag2soii@4ax.com>
<945sj9$idr$2@208.207.71.177>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 15:42:15 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13232

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  The poor kid is sure to have a rough life, what with being too ignorant
> to grasp a new procedure in a new environment, then being put off so
> easily when gently corrected. What *is* this new generation ever going
> to amount to, anyway?

Absolutely nothing as long as your generation keeps bullying them.
Newness is something fragile that needs to be nurtured, not jumped
on with golf shoes.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -2971156902330311608
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 07:57:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
```

Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 09:56:53 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A671245.FCC7FC2C@oio.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 6
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10785
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13233

Kerry,
    Sure... I believe that, uh huh. Just like the Easter Bunny
and Santa Clause.
                'Doc

From 1096357677982126701
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 08:26:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 17:49:03 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <947aaf$ogo$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <frM86.849$e5.2163286@news.ntplx.net>
<20010118063645.07593.00002569@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 979840143 25112 205.139.138.14 (18 Jan 2001 17:49:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 2001 17:49:03 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13234

In article <20010118063645.07593.00002569@nso-ch.aol.com>, Larry Roll K3LT
<yodoc@aol.comnospam> writes:

> I became an Extra-class licensee under the "old" system with
> virtually no one-on-one "Elmering" whatsoever.

It shows Larry, it shows.

73, de Hans, K0HB

 ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From -3782291558024651721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 09:02:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.xnet.com!newsgate.mot.com!newshost.mot.com!
nntp.cig.mot.com!not-for-mail
From: Jeff Goodspeed <cmsx42@email.mot.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:38:01 -0600
Organization: Motorola CIG
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <3A671BE9.9A8913D@email.mot.com>
References: <e5nb6t4vr42j4ntiptnpsrksq1dag2soii@4ax.com>
<945sj9$idr$2@208.207.71.177>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 160.20.72.14
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13235
```

Why is everyone assuming that it was this "incident" that dissuaded the young fella from continuing with the hobby?

Isn't it his generation that has grown up with the reality that politically correct speech is more important than content or intent? Doesn't he have to live in a world where accidentally speaking non-politically correct words will bring down an immediate wrath.

Isn't it his generation that has grown up with the reality that wearing the "wrong" color/brand of cloths or tilting the brim of you baseball cap the "wrong" direction will get you shot to death by some gang-banger?

Hasn't every generation grown up knowing that using the right "lingo" around your piers determines whether your accepted or shunned?

I'm going to assume that the youngster is acutely aware of his environment and is more attuned to saying the "right" thing vs. the "wrong" thing than any previous generation. I would guess that he would appreciate being informed in a gentle way what lingo is "used" here.

The reality just may be that he finds CB more fun to play with than Ham radio. Only he knows the real reason. No one else really has a clue, so lets stop using it as irrefutable evidence of anything.

KA9S

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> > >Talked to a young fella on the repeater the other day who introduced
> > >himself by saying "my first personal is Jim and you're my first
> > >contact, QSL?".
> >
> > Of course he could have avoided the gaff by simply listening to the
> > repeater for a few weeks before using it to see how things are done.
> > That's good practice whether on FM, RTTY, CW or a new newsgroup...
>
> The poor kid is sure to have a rough life, what with being too ignorant
> to grasp a new procedure in a new environment, then being put off so
> easily when gently corrected. What *is* this new generation ever going
> to amount to, anyway?

From 8991960022406847378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 09:22:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed.icl.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:20:09 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.39
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979838392 206.20.144.39 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 11:19:52
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 11:19:52 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10792
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13236


Kerry King wrote:

> The California power crisis is not due to what we have been led to
> believe.  Instead, it is the fault of the internet.
>
> You who are reading this helped cause the problem, as CNN has documented
> at http://www.cnn.com/2001/TECH/computing/01/17/internet.energy.idg

>
That's ten days old. It's a La-la land power industry scam from top to
bottom, capitalism gone berserk.
>

>
> Shame on all of you!

>
I notice that you're still connected.




From 3998584941716577573
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 09:30:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:17:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9478fb$ev4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118083248.22631.00000798@nso-fz.aol.com> <94722b$8hk$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6718C7.3E101484@zylay.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 17:17:42 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10794
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13237

In article <3A6718C7.3E101484@zylay.com>,
Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
> > > ARRL says Q signals aren't recommended on voice at all.
>
> Does this mean that we now have to ask other hams for
> "contact-confirmation postcards"?

Yeah, and when we talk about a construction article from their magazine on the air, we gotta change modes to CW to send the name of the magazine? Maybe we can't say "73" or "CQ" on phone anymore, cuz those also originated in Morse-only days? I just love it when these purists come down from Mount Newington with their engraved stone tablets!

73, Hans, K0HB

--
All great truths began as blasphemies.

```
Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -3246152358129330891
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 09:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 19:07:46 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <947eu2$rtl$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <e5nb6t4vr42j4ntiptnpsrksq1dag2soii@4ax.com>
<945sj9$idr$2@208.207.71.177> <3A671BE9.9A8913D@email.mot.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 979844866 28597 205.139.138.14 (18 Jan 2001 19:07:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 2001 19:07:46 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: cmsx42@email.mot.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13238

In article <3A671BE9.9A8913D@email.mot.com>, Jeff Goodspeed
<cmsx42@email.mot.com> writes:
>Why is everyone assuming that it was this "incident" that dissuaded the
>young fella from continuing with the hobby?
>
>Isn't it his generation that has grown up with the reality that politically
>correct speech is more important than content or intent? Doesn't he have to
>live in a world where accidentally speaking non-politically correct words
>will bring down an immediate wrath.
>
>Isn't it his generation that has grown up with the reality that wearing the
>"wrong" color/brand of cloths or tilting the brim of you baseball cap the
>"wrong" direction will get you shot to death by some gang-banger?
>
>Hasn't every generation grown up knowing that using the right "lingo" around
>your piers determines whether your accepted or shunned?
>
>I'm going to assume that the youngster is acutely aware of his environment
>and is more attuned to saying the "right" thing vs. the "wrong" thing than
>any previous generation. I would guess that he would appreciate being
>informed in a gentle way what lingo is "used" here.
>
```

>The reality just may be that he finds CB more fun to play with than Ham
>radio. Only he knows the real reason. No one else really has a clue, so lets
>stop using it as irrefutable evidence of anything.
>
>KA9S

What a delightfully convoluted fabric you have woven to justify the boorish behaviour of Radio Cops everywhere! Betcha I hear on 14.195 yelling "UP UP UP" too, don't I?

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -4673255960927788077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 09:52:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 09:49:46 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A672CBA.E57A603A@uci.edu>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85> <940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org> <3A66162C.9B73BD97@uci.edu> <3A664D3B.44A4EC3E@IEEE.org> <9460mi$gaa$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A66FF7C.7AB4D442@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13239

Cecil wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:

> > Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > > There's lots of accidental jamming because of mail boxes.

> > The timing is impecable. Why would those things run in beacon mode - on 40
> > meter phone frequencies?

> I hear Iran is trying to jam Iraq and vice versa.

They use mail boxes to accidentally jam each other? You must have a
perty big antler to hear Iran on 40. I doubt I'm hearing 'em.

ac6xg

From -6778061596259344222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:12:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:02:13 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3A672FA5.90F3BEFD@uci.edu>
References: <944i07$kub$1@news.service.uci.edu> <20010118051528.05040.00002274@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13240

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: "Jim Kelley" jwkelley@uci.edu

> >Looks like there's a typo in the test question.

> There are questions in the current pool that contain similar faux pas.

If you find them, let me know and I'll send them to the QPC. ;-)

> > A better question might be to explain the differences between a DC
> > ground and an RF ground.

> He may have also meant an AC-line safety ground.

I recall seeing similar faux pas in the current question pool - where you have to speculate as to what the question might mean. One can usually figure it out from the answer and distractors. Thank goodness for those. :-)

73 de ac6xg

From 856282426989387701
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:26:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 13:25:51 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t6eda3ipvnj9ca@corp.supernews.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
<t695j69u9fl07e@corp.supernews.com> <1r996toaqnk5q8jgqnilghsd9abk9flvuu@4ax.com>
<t69glrek8fakea@corp.supernews.com> <3A64E9EB.9AD1A836@dvol.com>
<t6bl222c3ddje5@corp.supernews.com> <3A662B55.A451E26@dvol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 22
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13241

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3A662B55.A451E26@dvol.com...
> >
> I chose to not make it a problem for myself. You wanna make it a problem

for
> yourself go for it and good luck "fixing" it.

So if you don't operate there then you don't care, right? So, if it was not a problem in the first, then it would not need fixing either. Time will tell.

As far as CB stations on the bottom end of 10m goes, the operators seem to be speaking English real good, no Spanish accent to be heard when I tuned in. They are easy to find, just tune around for the "CW pile up".

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From -6257299859768320018
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:31:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:22:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <947c92$iqm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <4ohs5tsrufdm0aqc6jttv4rntoc3arq9os@4ax.com> <20010112073412.07248.00001188@ng-da1.aol.com> <93mv6l$7so$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <%aO76.776$e5.1918420@news.ntplx.net> <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93soif$m4u$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93sv9g$r74$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <vbo86.804$V6.420561@nntp1.onemain.com> <93tj7g$b18$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944k4m$625$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A65E17D.DF469859@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.4
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 18:22:38 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.4
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13242

In article <3A65E17D.DF469859@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> xjim@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Sandy held both a federal firearms license and a permit to carry a
> > handgun in New Hampshire. Ironically, the gun laws in Massachusetts
> > prevented him from carrying a concealed handgun. But these same laws

> > did not prevent Michael McDermott from obtaining illegal firearms.
>
> Why does it make sense to allow criminals to have guns yet prohibit
> law-abiding citizens the right to have a gun with which to defend
> themselves?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Because the people prefer to be controlled by emotions like fear,
rather than be bothered by politcs. Crime is "good" for fear.
to be

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4512221537265355145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:40:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 20:10:48 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <947ik8$ukt$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3A65BCA8.4DACD57B@IEEE.org> <20010118050307.05040.00002269@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3A67094E.17442697@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 979848648 31389 205.139.138.14 (18 Jan 2001 20:10:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 2001 20:10:48 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13243

In article <3A67094E.17442697@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>The prices at Red Lobster are not too bad. How are you going to feel
>eating a steak while I'm having lobster? :-)

The seafood at Red Lobster does not come from the sea. It is strip-mined from an ancient fossil-seabed somewhere in eastern Montana.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From 6632808712650235635
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:41:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:33:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <947cti$jet$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118042600.05040.00002254@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.4
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 18:33:22 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.4
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13244
```

In article <20010118042600.05040.00002254@ng-ff1.aol.com>,

```
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: xjim@my-deja.com
>
> >By lowering standards and force
> >feeding numerous ignorant individuals onto the ham bands, how are we
> >improving the standing of Amateur Radio?
>
> The scope of the Technician exam is at least an order of magnitude
more than
> was the scope of the 1963 Novice exam I took.
>
> How is this "lowering standards?"
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
Because you don't have to do the homework anymore.  All you have to do
is take the test over and over until you get the answer right.

If it takes 5 times to learn something you really want to learn, how
many times does it take to learn something you don't feel like learning?

Sorry this is a trick question.

The biggest mistake we make is to believe that everyone would want
think and be like us (if only they knew).

Should you even be required to take a license test to be able to bitch
someone out without getting your face slapped?

Jim, ac6tk


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -4206645148822754644
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:43:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7d6to0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943boh$pc1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<945rm5$a0e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 16
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:42:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979843355 206.58.44.47 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:42:35 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:42:35 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10797
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13245

On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 04:33:09 GMT, LRod wrote:

>Anyone having to live somewhere besides Chicago (and the environs) is
>comforted by the fact that they are available frozen in many other areas
>of the country.

I subjected my stomach to White Castle's fare in NY long before I
knew exactly where Chicago was.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 141704961796103827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:34:10 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A673722.FC9865FE@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <940aa6$1jk$5@208.206.142.85> <940b8s$arh$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A63B1A8.36571127@IEEE.org>

<3A66162C.9B73BD97@uci.edu> <3A664D3B.44A4EC3E@IEEE.org>
<9460mi$gaa$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A66FF7C.7AB4D442@IEEE.org>
<3A672CBA.E57A603A@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 18:43:39 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13246

Jim Kelley wrote:
> You must have a
> perty big antler to hear Iran on 40. I doubt I'm hearing 'em.

At night, I hear 40m commercial broadcast stations from all over
the world. The ARRL email newsletter told about the Iran/Iraq
jammers.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -7290819303850942843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:48:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.216
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979843714 209.86.206.216 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:34 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:34 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13247

K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <e5nb6t4vr42j4ntiptnpsrksq1dag2soii@4ax.com>,

> Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>> Of course he could have avoided the gaff by simply listening to the
>> repeater for a few weeks before using it to see how things are done.
>> That's good practice whether on FM, RTTY, CW or a new newsgroup...
>
>You can join N2EY over there in the "keep these newcomers off my ham-
>bands" jury box.

I was just pointing out how the gaff could have been easily been
avoided. The next time that you wear a bathing suit to church, will
you get mad at those who laugh at you??

From -3380517742459029946
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <74ee6t0bin9j7fiq2pkv0i6ssgototb1o9@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:48:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.216
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979843715 209.86.206.216 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:35 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:35 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13248

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>
>>Every hobby has it's preferred lingo. If you use the wrong lingo you
>>immediately identify yourself as an outsider...
>
>You do mean "former" outsider, do you not? This fellow did get a ham license,
>so he is now one of us.

I said "every hobby". Let me rephrase my sentence for ham radio:

Ham radio has it's preferred repeater lingo. If you use the wrong
lingo you immediately identify yourself as an outsider (to repeater
operation)...

If I said "W7xxx monitoring" on CW in order to get a contact, I think
I might be thought of as an outsider...or worse...   :-)

From -1009254081876615722
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:48:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.216
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979843717 209.86.206.216 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:37 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:37 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13249

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>I didn't listen to the bands for a few weeks before using my Novice ticket. The
>day it showed up in the mailbox, I sent my first CQ and made a few contacts.

I was getting practice on the air before my Novice came... :-)

>The "gaff" could have been avoided if everyone had decided that it wasn't a big
>enough deal to be labeled a "gaff" in the first place.

A gaff is simply a social error. Using the wrong language in a
particular social setting is a gaff. Once it is done a gaff has
occurred, no matter what anyone labels it...

>How was he to know that he might face criticism if he didn't choose
>the correct words when he introduced himself to people?

In society most people are too polite to tell someone about his gaff.

```
They just think of him poorly behind his back. At least hams are
honest and straightforward...  :-)



From -6505539582988494275
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <ltde6tkj4rbcnogncr30bpbgp2q9vbnu5u@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:48:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.216
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979843718 209.86.206.216 (Thu, 18 Jan
2001 10:48:38 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:38 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13250

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>Aaron Jones wrote:
>
>> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
>> >  "10-20" has a honorable history in public-safety voice comms
>> >  but the "mutilation" is to drop the "10" and just refer to your
>> >  "twenty".
>>
>> Even cops often shorten the 10 codes to just the last number. It makes
>> sense if it takes less air time and the meaning is understood by all.
>> 10 codes, although codes, actually become words in the users minds,
>> and like words often evolve with use...
>
>>
>Ya mean like cw exchanges are a languge?

I mean that when a cop hears "10-20" he doesn't think "I must decode
10-20....decoded....it means location". In his mind he knows directly
what "10-20" means and "10-20" has become a word in his vocabulary.
It's the same with hams and common Q signals. When I hear "QTH" there
is no mind decoding, I hear and think of it as a word. And yes the
```

language *quality* of CW makes me think of code groups as words in my
vocabulary, no separate mind decoding step needed...

From -5789537702164413314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <uode6ton057sp07eglct4rir360s2ikj73@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:48:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.216
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979843722 209.86.206.216 (Thu, 18 Jan
2001 10:48:42 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:42 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13253

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
>the [QSO] talk is usually all about the person doing the talking,
>as if he (usually male) were the center of the universe.

I have always found it interesting to hear about
someone else's life experiences.

>When young people get together to chat,
>they rarely talk about themselves.

You haven't been around teenagers much have you... :-)

>That's why the typical CW QSO, consisting of
>call sign, name, QTH in Florida, age, how many years retired,
>local WX report...

I still find this information interesting and it hasn't changed much
in the past 40+ years that I've been doing it (whether on phone or
CW). Sometimes this preliminary information leads nowhere, and
sometimes it leads to new lifelong friends...

From -2748104398582447212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <arde6t077dmhohge2iiacvs645o2sd1pvg@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:48:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.216
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979843719 209.86.206.216 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:39 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:39 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13251

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>I forget how many 10 codes there are/were on the "official list"

There is no "official list". Some codes seem universal (like "10-4")
but many are not, and they vary in meaning from list to list. The
Phoenix Police Department has even changed the meaning of some of it's
10 codes over the years...

From -2203990827424786544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <cqde6tcfrv6jl9kah237qdn7ue47j6b3jc@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:48:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.216
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979843721 209.86.206.216 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:41 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:41 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13252

Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>I thought the ARRL handled the advisory role in 1934?

Radio magazine, which was an established 17 year old publication in 1934, appears to have had an anti-ARRL editorial policy. ARRL had just removed voting privileges from non-hams and that was causing quite a stir. I think Radio was trying to capitalize from the controversy. From time to time (when things bog down here) I'll scan and post excerpts from a few of these articles...

From 3033188537907205781
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5358773b400b8538
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Black list
Message-ID: <3ode6tsrjsjltbcm6c6vjjnro6ll7skhii@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:48:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.216
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979843739 209.86.206.216 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:59 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:59 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13255

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>Those stations who did not answer my QSL requests
>from years past do not get my time for another contact.

You keep a W1RFI preferred hams list??   :-)

From -1336887829502146154
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <iode6tsi5706c3aua35odh2r5cjh4a2uc1@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:48:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.216
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979843738 209.86.206.216 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:58 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 10:48:58 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13254

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>The FCC does not allocate us spectrum
>because we pass tests. .

If "us" means us humans that's exactly what the FCC does...

From 1575360171023772175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:42:34 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises

Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A67391A.7D3E9075@IEEE.org>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 18:52:00 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10800
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13256

Kerry King wrote:
>
> The California power crisis is not due to what we have been led to
> believe. Instead, it is the fault of the internet.

When a state doesn't build any power generating plants during the
ten years the population is doubling, the result is 100% predictable.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8711832646044366976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 10:56:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 20:27:56 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <947jkc$vhg$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <944ouu$ap6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118050553.05040.00002270@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 979849676 32304 205.139.138.14 (18 Jan 2001 20:27:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 2001 20:27:56 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: w1rfi@aol.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13257

In article <20010118050553.05040.00002270@ng-ff1.aol.com>, W1RFI

<w1rfi@aol.com> writes:

> The FCC does not allocate us spectrum because we pass tests.

Ed, don't let Wexelbaum hear you say that!

Thanks for being there.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
All reality is aspect dependent.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 2250216443795325467
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 11:02:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!typhoon.sonic.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 13:59:51 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 91
Message-ID: <947eg5$mco$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A66DEE0.1CD3D57D@sccoast.net>

NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.191.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979844421 22936 166.102.250.191 (18 Jan 2001 19:00:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 2001 19:00:21 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10801
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13258

It has been my experience that the biggest "radio Cops" turn out to be no-code techs that have had a licenses for a year or so. I had one start on me one morning when I used the term handle.

He proceeded to tell me how "handle" was a CB term and not used on the repeater. Being the shrinking violet I am, I went on to explain I used "handle" on 11 meters when it was a ham band along with a dissertation about correcting people who may be new and somewhat hesitant such as your wife.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3A66DEE0.1CD3D57D@sccoast.net...

> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > [snip] Like I said, he seemed pretty bright to me,
> > quick to catch on to things. What do think it was
> > that he caught on to about us from "Radio Cop".
>
>
>   I'll never forget my worst incident with a "radio cop." I had
> encouraged my wife to get her license. Since she knew absolutely
> nothing about radio before studying for that exam, and had studied for
> three weeks to pass the exam, that license was hard earned and she was
> darn proud of it.
>
>   Anyway, part way through our second conversation on the radio, the
> "radio cop" made his grand entrance. The previous night, my wife had
> problems getting her radio set up to work correctly (it was my old radio
> - purchased because it was loaded with features, not because it was
> necessarily easy to use). So our conversation was somewhat limited that

night.

>
>   However, the next night, I had set the radio up to default to an
> easier mode (preset memory channels), so that we could concentrait more
> on conversation (figuring she would eventually learn the radio
> eventually on her own).
>
>   Anyway, that night, we tried several of the memory channels (each set
> to a different repeater), trying to figure out which repeater would be
> best for her to talk on during her trip to and from work each day (which
> repeater had coverage of the whole trip distance).

> Finally, we settled on two memory channels - the main one and a backup (which would be set to memory channels one and two on the radio). As we were discussing this, a male voice boomed in with the words, "frequencies, not channels." Believing that someone else might want to use the repeater, I told my wife to hold on a second and invited the person to go ahead.
>
> At that point, the "radio cop" started lecturing my wife about how we use frequencies instead of channels in ham radio and further suggested that she should also stop using CB slang (she had said "roger" earlier).
>
> When he was finished, I explained that we were referring to the memory channels on her radio, that she was new to ham radio, that my goal was just to get her talking on the radio at this point, and that little issues like that could be corrected later.
>
> In response, he pointed out that radio memories were nothing more than memorized frequencies, that I should refer to the frequencies memorized instead of the memory channels, that I was failing my wife by not teaching her properly from the beginning, and then turned back to lecture my wife some more, ending with a suggestion that she find someone better than me to teach her in the future if she wants to learn how to do things correctly.
>
> After he was finished, I thanked him for his input and tried to contact my wife again. She simply said that she was almost home (she wasn't) and that she would talk to me when she got there.
>
> It took me almost four days to get her to talk on the radio again, and then only on a frequency that I was able to assure her was not likely monitored by anyone else. And, dispite our almost nightly conversations, it was going to be another five months before she would be confident enough to talk to someone else. In fact, to this day (almost two years later), she has only talked to two people, besides myself, on the radio. And her enthusiasm about, and pride in, radio are long gone.
>
> In the end, the "radio cop" did a good job of defending "proper" tradition on the radio. But in doing so, he ruined my wife's enjoyment of this hobby (and, for all practical purposes, ended her hobby before it even got started). And that is why I'll never forget that "radio cop."
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -7222784593075191531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 11:10:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 14:07:39 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <947eun$mm6$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <944ukq$4cs$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010118043914.05040.00002260@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.191.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979844887 23238 166.102.250.191 (18 Jan 2001 19:08:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 2001 19:08:07 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13259

You have to stop making such controversial statements Ed, their going to
take away your love beads 8>)

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010118043914.05040.00002260@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >The problem with being self taught is you have someone as ignorant as you
> >for a teacher.
>
> After someone obtains a degree, if they are successful in their chosen
field,
> they all spend a lifetime being self taught.
>
> A very few people cannot learn anything on their own, but they are not
likely
> to be hams.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI




From -3272310200309409401
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 11:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 20:35:37 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <947k2p$vvu$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <945pla$bhm$0@208.207.71.177> <20010118045744.05040.00002267@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 979850137 32766 205.139.138.14 (18 Jan 2001 20:35:37 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 2001 20:35:37 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: w1rfi@arrl.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13260

In article <20010118045744.05040.00002267@ng-ff1.aol.com>, W1RFI
<w1rfi@aol.com> writes:

>Dick, refresh my memory; who here is in support of totally dropping code?

How 'bout Mastaka Noda? Where is Masa these days?

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -2072933727282374051

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 11:22:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 11:14:45 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A6740A5.867F6FEB@uci.edu>
References: <940hff$mm7$0@208.207.71.175> <20010116084641.05657.00001674@ng-cl1.aol.com> <945ojm$8sh$0@208.207.71.177> <3A67021A.4A0EA094@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13261

Cecil wrote:

> Why is no operating exam required for a bicycle?

Is it for the same reason that there's no operating exam required
for a train set?

ac6xg

From -5728075198280959082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 11:31:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.algonet.se!algonet!newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 19:23:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 122
Message-ID: <947fq9$m9o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-cr1.aol.com> <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net>

<9401gp$bp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<945j6m$3lm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.123
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 19:23:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.123
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13262

> What standards have been lowered? The existing "entry" level ticket
> material is so large and complex that it cannot be run away from.

The lowering of standards have been brought about by a combination of
the VEC program that brings in large groups of unknown people who
are "helped" into a license and the fully published question pool that
short circuits the learning process, and focuses on rote memorization.
That is, people can get a license so fast, you wind up with whole
graduating classes that have no connection to the accumulated
experience of amateur radio.

One way to get around this is to have an entry level ticket with
greatly reduced priveliges, that is time limited. Further testing that
reveals experience would be required to upgrade. It used to be that a
novice couldn't upgrade without either turning in a log of at least 100
contacts or 100 QSL cards. Does Japan still have a system that
requires SWL QSLs from Ham operators in order to upgrade from an SWL
ticket?
>
>   When I compare the technical
> > discussions and considerate behavior of those who knew full well
they
> > could be heard everywhere,
>
> My comments prior to the restructuring:
>
> What I fear most about the restructuring is a lack of enforcement.
And
> what I fear most about maintaining the status quo is a lack of
> enforcement.
>
> > giving way to Hate and Discontent
>
> Ex-Jim, much of the hate and discontent is coming from some OTs. The
> ones wha have been hams a long time. The ones who know and count on
> being heard everywhere.

The point is; These people are chasing the legitamate operators off of
the air. What you have left over is people who are trying to get some
enjoyment around these people and these people who get their jollies
from this behavior. Yes there will be beligerant OTs left in this
mess. There will also be beligerant OTs BORN of this mess. These

people ARE PART OF THE PROBLEM and are easy to point to, but the way to deal with these people are to avoid giving away licenses in the first place.

I know I am guilty too. I assisted a novice once that turned out to be a jammer once he got a radio in his hands and even helped another jammer get his license. If I had only known, he would have gotten no help from anyone I knew. As it was we were able to prevent the further spread of this nonsense as they only could talk to each other and finally lost interest and lapsed without an upgrade. These days they might have a lot bigger circle of friends and a renewable 10 year license without FCC intervention.

There is an unavoidable 1st Amendment issue that says you can't enforce people to say what other people want to hear, any more than you can enforce people to listen to what they don't want to hear. The only enforcement that can be legitimately applied, is enforcement of the 1st Amendment by jailing and pulling the licences of willful interferers. You really don't want any more interference than that from the government because "behavior" is too subjective and nebulous.

Do you really want a "letter" because someone was offended? There's way too much of that nonsense going on in political arenas.

> in order
> > to impress a new group of listeners, who's going to want to (much
less
> > be savy enough to) save allocations in the future? I have seen Ham
> > Radio Magazine die off and QEX almost die off.
>
> Hey, AntenneX resurfaced on the web. Check it out.
>
> > We used to have to fill
> > out our own 610 forms, and we joked that it was a literacy test.
Now
> > that we don't have to do that, how many new hams won't even be able
to
> > read QST, much less give a S***?
>
> They'll have to be web savvy and take care of biddness via the FCC ULS
> page. It's complexity goes beyond a mere literacy test.

The problem with that is that the FCC isn't completely web savvy either, and again do you want them to be more of a presence in web communications? So far we have much more freedom to express ourselves here than with the "handbill" or letters to the editor or anything else under FCC jurisdiction. The MASS MEDIA only proves that the 1st amendment belongs to the highest bidder.

>
> > We have to stop allowing ourselves to be re-formed for the wishes
> > of "wanna bees".

```
> >
> > Jim, ac6tk
>
> Jim, I wish I could agree with you over the last 2/3 of your message,
> but I see things differently.  I give a S***, yet I am dead set
against
> unnecessary government regulation and interference in my life.  I am
for
> a simplified licensing structure, and have advocated ONE amateur radio
> license of about the level of General.  I will support a simplified
> entry level license, with reduced privileges.
>
> Behavior problems should be met with a nice letter from Riley.
Followed
> by a big stick.
>
> 73, Brian
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -1675074505938001715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 11:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943boh$pc1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<945rm5$a0e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7d6to0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <uxH96.5466$Tg1.523033@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 13:35:08 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.135
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 979846362 206.29.242.135 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 14:32:42
EST)
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 14:32:42 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10803
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13263


"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.g7d6to0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 04:33:09 GMT, LRod wrote:
>
> >Anyone having to live somewhere besides Chicago (and the environs) is
> >comforted by the fact that they are available frozen in many other
areas
> >of the country.
>
>   I subjected my stomach to White Castle's fare in NY long before I
>   knew exactly where Chicago was.
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP

Being something of a nite owl at the time, I got used to White Castles in
St. Louis which is as far west as they went.  Last time I was down there,
out of nostalgia I stopped in on Hampton Av.  Somehow they are not the
same without the wino sleeping in the corner.

Dick N�BK





From -2938654236412652807
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 11:41:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 19:31:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <947gb6$mkt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 19:31:50 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13264
```

In article <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>,
"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> As politically incorrect as that is, tough noogies, work me on 75
> at 35 WPM and then argue against CW. At least I will respect your
> opinion even if different than mine. Those that can't meet the
> above, quit your crying!

Some of us believe in finding peaceable solutions to this situation, but that doesn't mean we're timid, or wimpy, or "pc" freaks, or that peaceable responses come naturally to us without conscious effort. And if some other people like to growl, posture, and piss in all the corners, it doesn't necessarily prove they're tough-minded kings of the forest whose opinion is more valid because they say they can send it at 35WPM: On the Internet, no one can tell you're a chihuahua.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before.

He is full of murderous resentment of people who
are ignorant without having come by their ignorance
the hard way.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2075293633290766703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 11:44:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 13:33:42 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A674516.2FD4375F@IEEE.org>
References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A66DEE0.1CD3D57D@sccoast.net> <947eg5$mco$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 19:43:09 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10804 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13265

W4JLE wrote:
> It has been my experience that the biggest "radio Cops" turn out to be
> no-code techs that have had a licenses for a year or so. I had one start on
> me one morning when I used the term handle.
>
> He proceeded to tell me how "handle" was a CB term and not used on the
> repeater. Being the shrinking violet I am, I went on to explain I used
> "handle" on 11 meters when it was a ham band along with a dissertation about
> correcting people who may be new and somewhat hesitant such as your wife.

One time, at a hamfest in AZ, I said into my 2m simplex transceiver, "Hey
Harold, y'all got yore ears on?" One ham looked at me rather pathetically
and said, "We hams don't talk like that. How long have you been a ham?"
I replied, "45 years". :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7821255343751943716
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 11:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 13:35:57 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A67459D.A8006929@IEEE.org>
References: <74ee6t0bin9j7fiq2pkv0i6ssgototb1o9@4ax.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 18 Jan 2001 19:45:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13266

Aaron Jones wrote:
> If I said "W7xxx monitoring" on CW in order to get a contact, I think
> I might be thought of as an outsider...or worse...   :-)

Listeners would assume you were engaged in a QSO if you sent that
many letters. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7099341976987040354
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 12:11:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 20:04:19 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <947i7s$omf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943boh$pc1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<945rm5$a0e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7d6to0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<uxH96.5466$Tg1.523033@nntp3.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 20:04:19 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10806
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13267

In article <uxH96.5466$Tg1.523033@nntp3.onemain.com>,
  "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

> Being something of a nite owl at the time, I got used to White

> Castles in St. Louis which is as far west as they went.

Nay, nay, nay! We have them and St. Louis is "out East" from here! :-)

73, Hans, K�HB

--
We are fellow travelers on a journey between two eternities,
and it's a trip far to short to worry about each others code speed.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7655374753167078042
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 12:12:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:03:53 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3A674C29.1C31FD1D@uci.edu>
References: <3A63175A.B392924C@IEEE.org> <20010115204346.14870.00000722@nso-fr.aol.com> <3A63AC34.6FAC1CA4@IEEE.org> <943b4t$slj$0@208.207.71.134>
<3A65AA09.832009F2@IEEE.org> <945pla$bhm$0@208.207.71.177>
<3A6705B8.38365FCD@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13268

Cecil wrote:
> It's the Morse code *TEST* that I believe
> is without merit.

Don't you believe it's the Morse code *REQUIREMENT* that is without

merit?
I can't recall ever having seen you claim the element 1A VE test itself
is without merit. The relative merit of the test would depend on it's
effectiveness in determining whether or not the test taker can copy some
percentage of a Morse code message at the specified speed. Wouldn't it?
;-)

Larry is the one who says the test is without merit. I can't believe
you agree with him on something related to amateur radio - Morse code in
particular hack, spit, barf! ;-)

> When you say CW is as good
> as sex, everyone knows you're lying.

Might make a good bumper sticker. :-)

73, AC6XG

From 6789264180396195474
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 12:42:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:36:16 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10807
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13269

Brian Kelly wrote:
> It's a La-la land power industry scam from top to
> bottom, capitalism gone berserk.

It's the state beaurocracy gone berserk.

It's an experiment to see what happens when you require the sellers of
electricity to sell-off their means of producing electricity, remove the

limits of wholesale pricing, but keep the limits on consumer pricing. The power producers can limit supply so that the wholesale price will go as high as they want, and the guy selling it to the end user is stuck with the loss. It's as screwed up as anything could possibly be. Edison, the company that built practically everything we have out here, is getting totally screwed. Electricity has always been bargain priced out here. Our taxes will have to go up to pay for it now.

73, ac6xg

From -6648116188546037896
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 12:45:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!cyclone.bc.net!telocity-west!TELOCITY!newsrump.sjc.telocity.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A675531.C0FCB3AD@Telocity.com>
From: Jon Johnson <Jon.Johnson@Telocity.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A67391A.7D3E9075@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
X-Trace:
OSBOb0F1dGhVc2VyIFRFTE9DSVRZLVJFQURFUlMgNjQuMzQuODguODUgIFRodSwgMTggSmFuIDIw!MDEgMVw6NDQ6MzIgUFNU
X-Abuse-Info: Please forward ALL headers when reporting abuse.
X-Complaints-To: abuse@telocity.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:44:32 PST
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 14:42:25 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10808 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13270

Cecil wrote:

> When a state doesn't build any power generating plants during the
> ten years the population is doubling, the result is 100% predictable.

Care to comment on groups promoted and which groups opposed the building of additional generating capacity??

Which ones are now in the dark??

From 6480246454182685670
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 13:38:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:37:00 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <947nn4$rdb$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A67391A.7D3E9075@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.191.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979853860 28075 166.102.250.191 (18 Jan 2001 21:37:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 2001 21:37:40 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10810
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13271

Tree Huggers:
Hug that tree today! You were so busy protesting new nasty power plants, that may cause acid rain and could hurt the trees, you may have to burn it tomorrow to keep warm.

While other factors enter the picture, I have to grin a bit when I see the NIMBY folks, that fought the building of new power plants, cut off from their internet protest sites because they have no electricity.

The problem will be solved when the big one hits, and Nevada ocean front property becomes available.

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A67391A.7D3E9075@IEEE.org...
> Kerry King wrote:
> >
> > The California power crisis is not due to what we have been led to
> > believe. Instead, it is the fault of the internet.
>
> When a state doesn't build any power generating plants during the
> ten years the population is doubling, the result is 100% predictable.

```
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca




From 2433978508209286383
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 13:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!
newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Jan 2001 23:00:54 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <947sj6$5l8$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 979858854 5800 205.139.138.14 (18 Jan 2001 23:00:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 2001 23:00:54 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: jwkelley@uci.edu
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13272
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10812

In article <3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley  <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:
>Brian Kelly wrote:
>> It's a La-la land power industry scam from top to
>> bottom, capitalism gone berserk.
>
>It's the state beaurocracy gone berserk.
>
>It's an experiment to see what happens when you require the sellers of
>electricity to sell-off their means of producing electricity, remove the
>limits of wholesale pricing, but keep the limits on consumer pricing.
>The power producers can limit supply so that the wholesale price will go
>as high as they want, and the guy selling it to the end user is stuck
>with the loss.  It's as screwed up as anything could possibly be.
>Edison, the company that built practically everything we have out here,
>is getting totally screwed.  Electricity has always been bargain priced
```

>out here. Our taxes will have to go up to pay for it now.

Despite what the feel-good politicians (in bed with corporate raiders) will
tell you, there are few things which are best left as regulated regional
monopolies.

73, Hans, K0HB

 ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -589323413862323531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5358773b400b8538
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 13:42:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ode6tsrjsjltbcm6c6vjjnro6ll7skhii@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Black list
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <4qJ96.951$e5.2423263@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:39:09 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979854080 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:41:20 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:41:20 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13273

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:3ode6tsrjsjltbcm6c6vjjnro6ll7skhii@4ax.com...

> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

> >Those stations who did not answer my QSL requests
> >from years past do not get my time for another contact.

> You keep a W1RFI preferred hams list??   :-)

I suppose I do, Aaron, but I have never felt is necessary to publish it on the web.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1025494563930524127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 13:43:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <944ukq$4cs$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010118043914.05040.00002260@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <947eun$mm6$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <ZqJ96.952$e5.2423171@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:40:06 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979854137 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:42:17 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:42:17 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13274
```

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> You have to stop making such controversial statements Ed, their going to
> take away your love beads 8>)

Wasn't the 60s an era of great controversy? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -2355540105490569362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 13:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147> <20010114005243.03673.00000675@nso-
ck.aol.com> <YkK86.830$e5.2151089@news.ntplx.net> <3A661DD3.58DE6F10@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <4sJ96.954$e5.2423242@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:41:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979854208 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:43:28 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:43:28 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13275
```

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> > I think the biggest issue here is that some think of SS as a 'digital'
mode.

> The biggest issue, Ed? How so? I don't even know if it's true, let
> alone the biggest issue.

Let me clarify; by "here" I mean here in the conversation about SS.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8733283914349970857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 13:50:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118042600.05040.00002254@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <947cti$jet$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <pxJ96.955$e5.2423633@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:46:59 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979854549 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:49:09 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:49:09 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13276

<xjim@my-deja.com> wrote:

> > The scope of the Technician exam is at least an order of magnitude
> > more than was the scope of the 1963 Novice exam I took.

> > How is this "lowering standards?"

> Because you don't have to do the homework anymore. All you have to do
> is take the test over and over until you get the answer right.

What are the odds of guessing correctly on a 35-question, 4-answer multiple choice test? If I did the math correctly, it is 1,180,000,000,000,000,000,000 to 1.

I still think it is easier to learn the material.

> If it takes 5 times to learn something you really want to learn, how
> many times does it take to learn something you don't feel like learning?

I don't think that people who do not feel like learning will bother taking the test at all. They wouldn't want to be hams.

> Sorry this is a trick question.

Did I pass? :-)

> Should you even be required to take a license test to be able to bitch
> someone out without getting your face slapped?

Why would I want to bitch someone out? I have done it a few times in my life, but it is not something I am particularly proud of.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7157785830800160069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 13:51:30 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <iode6tsi5706c3aua35odh2r5cjh4a2uc1@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <tyJ96.956$e5.2423867@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:48:06 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979854617 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:50:17 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:50:17 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13277

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:iode6tsi5706c3aua35odh2r5cjh4a2uc1@4ax.com...

> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

> >The FCC does not allocate us spectrum
> >because we pass tests. .

> If "us" means us humans that's exactly what the FCC does...

I guess I didn't think about how my words could be misinterpreted on an
Amateur Radio forum.  Let me try again for you, Aaron.

The FCC does not allocate spectrum to the Amateur Radio Service only on the
basis of the fact that hams pass tests.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI






From 6292969683124686240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 13:59:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-
```

cr1.aol.com> <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net>
<9401gp$bp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<945j6m$3lm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <947fq9$m9o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 70
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <MFJ96.957$e5.2424199@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:55:53 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979855084 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:58:04 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:58:04 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13278

<xjim@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:947fq9$m9o$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> > What standards have been lowered? The existing "entry" level ticket
> > material is so large and complex that it cannot be run away from.

> The lowering of standards have been brought about by a combination of
> the VEC program that brings in large groups of unknown people who
> are "helped" into a license and the fully published question pool that
> short circuits the learning process, and focuses on rote memorization.

I do not believe that it easier to rote memorize over 1000 questions without
learnign than it is to learn the concepts that are behind the tests.

> That is, people can get a license so fast, you wind up with whole
> graduating classes that have no connection to the accumulated
> experience of amateur radio.

They can't get licences as fast as I did when I studied 4 pages of study
guide to get my Novice.

> It used to be that a novice couldn't upgrade without either turning in a
log of at least 100
> contacts or 100 QSL cards.

This requirement did not exist in 1963, when I was a Novice. In 1963, one
could skip the Novice exam altogether and take the General. When was this
rule in effect?

> The point is; These people are chasing the legitamate operators off of
> the air.

How? I have never been chased off the air, other than by the inevitable QRM
that occurs to my station running +20 dBm of power or so.

> but the way to deal with these people are to avoid giving away licenses in
the first

> place.

Yes, I suppose if there are no licenses, there is no problem with operators misusing the airways.

Have not many of those who have received NALs been licensed a long time?

> I know I am guilty too. I assisted a novice once that turned out to be
> a jammer once he got a radio in his hands and even helped another
> jammer get his license. If I had only known, he would have gotten no
> help from anyone I knew.

I agree; jammers are scum. Most of those who have been given NALs for jamming are not Novices, or even recent licencees.

> There is an unavoidable 1st Amendment issue that says you can't enforce
> people to say what other people want to hear, any more than you can
> enforce people to listen to what they don't want to hear. The only
> enforcement that can be legitimately applied, is enforcement of the 1st
> Amendment by jailing and pulling the licences of willful interferers.

FCC enforcement is the correct solution.

> Do you really want a "letter" because someone was offended? There's
> way too much of that nonsense going on in political arenas.

I do not recall any of the NALs being issued because someone stated an opinion that someone else didn't like. Can you provide any examples?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8870326241636202421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 14:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117073658.05313.00001004@ng-cd1.aol.com> <944v9l$4nf$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200

```
Message-ID: <UGJ96.958$e5.2424410@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:57:06 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979855156 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:59:16 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:59:16 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13279
```

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Probably the same moral outrage that causes all you Yankees to get your
> panties in a wad when you see a Confederate flag and scream KKK!

I think that most of the screaming about the confederate flag has been coming from south of the Mason-Dixon line. Most Yankees I know don't give it any thought at all.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 2713313749707888059
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 14:01:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!
gip.net!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-
fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A66DEE0.1CD3D57D@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <yIJ96.959$e5.2424444@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:58:51 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979855262 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:01:02 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:01:02 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10814
```

rec.radio.amateur.policy:13280

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in:

> I'll never forget my worst incident with a "radio cop." <snip>

> In the end, the "radio cop" did a good job of defending "proper"
> tradition on the radio. But in doing so, he ruined my wife's enjoyment
> of this hobby (and, for all practical purposes, ended her hobby before
> it even got started). And that is why I'll never forget that "radio cop."

And the really sad part is that the guy probably believes that it was worth
the price.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -804295210794030675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 14:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:02:36 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979855487 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:04:47 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:04:47 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13281

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> I was just pointing out how the gaff could have been easily been
> avoided. The next time that you wear a bathing suit to church, will
> you get mad at those who laugh at you??

Some don't think that wearing a bathing suit to church is nearly as bad as
saying "first personal" instead of "name" on a repeater. :-) I know you

don't like me to ask questions, but I can't think of how to do so without a question mark, so I will just come out with it -- what do you think?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3393592463024364677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 14:08:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.idt.net!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <VNJ96.961$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:04:34 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979855605 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:06:45 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:06:45 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13282
```

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> In society most people are too polite to tell someone about his gaff.
> They just think of him poorly behind his back. At least hams are
> honest and straightforward... :-)

Whoever originated the phrase, "honesty is the best policy" clearly didn't envision this scenario. :-)

In some parts of society, most people are too polite to tell someone about their "gaff" at full quieting in front of a group of people the gaffer just met.

Others advocate that they do.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7061073542713906002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 14:27:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!
lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:25:51 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <947qig$sv1$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com>
<3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.191.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979856784 29665 166.102.250.191 (18 Jan 2001 22:26:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Jan 2001 22:26:24 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13283

That's a BIG 10-4...

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net...
> Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
> > I was just pointing out how the gaff could have been easily been
> > avoided. The next time that you wear a bathing suit to church, will
> > you get mad at those who laugh at you??
>
> Some don't think that wearing a bathing suit to church is nearly as bad as
> saying "first personal" instead of "name" on a repeater. :-) I know you
> don't like me to ask questions, but I can't think of how to do so without
a
> question mark, so I will just come out with it -- what do you think?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>

From 6004847127419788287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 14:50:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 22:39:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <947rb1$1hg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117073658.05313.00001004@ng-cd1.aol.com> <944v9l$4nf$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 22:39:30 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13284

In article <944v9l$4nf$1@iac5.navix.net>,
"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Probably the same moral outrage that causes all you Yankees
> to get your panties in a wad when you see a Confederate flag ...

Hell, you can fly whatever you want on your statehouse -- we got enuf
to worry about with Jesse Ventura hangin' 'round our statehouse.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com

http://www.deja.com/

From 8328798665911600339
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 15:01:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!ptdnetP!newsgate.ptd.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 22:50:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <947s0e$271$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com>
<3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 22:50:59 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13285

In article <3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net>,
"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
> > I was just pointing out how the gaff could have been easily been
> > avoided. The next time that you wear a bathing suit to church, will
> > you get mad at those who laugh at you??
>
> Some don't think that wearing a bathing suit to church is nearly as
bad as
> saying "first personal" instead of "name" on a repeater. :-) I know
you
> don't like me to ask questions, but I can't think of how to do so
without a
> question mark, so I will just come out with it -- what do you think?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

True story.

Just after the no-code Tech license was authorized, I heard a QSO in
progress on the 146.85 machine in St Louis. An OT with a brand new NCT
license/call was getting reamed by a 2x2 named Curtis. It appeared that
the Tech had identified himself sayng "Oh" where the "Zero" should have
been. The 2x2 was calling the new ham a stupid idiot.

The Tech explained that it was an "Oh" and not a zero because the instructor in his Tech class said in ham radio the sero is ALWAYS slashed. The sero on the license wasn't slashed.

Then a few other hams tried to intercede and change the subject, but NO. Curt just wouldn't let it go. It really got ugly and I was embarassed for ham radio and felt sorry that the new ham had to get that kind of greeting.

Now Dick will come on here and say that the new Tech should already know everything there is to know about ham radio and "should have known" that it couldn't have been an "Oh." After all, he passed a test.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4089598136174156666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 15:03:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <947sj6$5l8$1@news.netmar.com>
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 44
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <fAK96.2852$AN.226390@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:02:09 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 979858827 206.29.242.27 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:00:27 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:00:27 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13286 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10818

<K0HB@USWEST.NET> wrote in message news:947sj6$5l8$1@news.netmar.com...
> In article <3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> >out here. Our taxes will have to go up to pay for it now.

>
> Despite what the feel-good politicians (in bed with corporate raiders)
will
> tell you, there are few things which are best left as regulated regional
> monopolies.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

Why guarantee a profit? That is ultimately what regulation does and has to do. I believe you are on Northern States Power which as utilities go is a good one in a lot of respects. But if you called there I will bet that now and certainly the next cold snap you will be buying power from the Nebraska Public Power System via MidContinent Area Power Planners lines, a loop from NSP to Chicago to St Louis to KC to Omaha and back. You will pump it back next summer.
The history of consumer-owned power in Nebraska is a great one with rates about 20 percent lower than the national average. Right at the moment I am probably being supplied by the nuke at Fort Calhoun on time, on price, and reliable as sin.

Public power is one of the legacies of Senator George Norris. Norris Dam on the TVA is named for him, GW Bush is being inaugurated 20 Jan rather than March 4 because of him, and Nebraska has a one-house legislature because of him although the local 'conservatives' cum idiots limited the sessions making filibusters possible (Norris was in on a famous filibuster so one of the things he pushed was unlimited sessions). My water and gas come from a more local Metropolitan Utilities District that also does its job extremely well.

The trick -- and it is hard to do -- is to limit the scope of the utility's responsibilities and not to politicize everything. The TVA nearly foundered until David Lilianthal(?) firmly put them in the power business.

I am as much a fan of free enterprise as anyone but I just do not see where electric power should be free or particularly enterprising.

Dick N�BK

From -3012763980335086468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 15:08:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news4.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A67784A.504@bellsouth.net>

From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A66DEE0.1CD3D57D@sccoast.net> <947eg5$mco$1@iac5.navix.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 60
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:12:10 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.178
X-Trace: news4.atl 979859273 209.214.187.178 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:07:53 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:07:53 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10819 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13287

I agree that it may be better to allow a new ham to listen rather than jumping him on the air. He'll soon realize that we don't generally use certain terms. People generally try to fit into anything to which they are new and usually will come around to "doing as the Romans do" on their own.

Like you, I've had a couple of run-ins with "radio cops". I once used the local auto-patch, pounded it down, and made the usual announcement- "K4KWH clearing auto-patch after third party traffic with XXXX......." R-cop proceeded to lecture me that I was to do that BEFORE bringing down the patch. I didn't see the difference--still don't--just so I made the required statement. I was nice to the old codger, but I was seething. Some time went by--maybe several years--when one night I eased down to the local Dairy Queen for a huge cone of ice cream. The local club was having their usual Tuesday nite VHF net on the same repeater. All of a sudden, a pickup changed lanes and ran a Chrysler off the road onto the sidewalk. Poor guy (car) busted a tire, ruined a hubcap, bent a wheel, and missed a light pole by inches. Pickup kept going. I broke the net with my call, told the net control station I needed to call the police because of a hit and run, and he immediately yielded the repeater. I brought up the patch, started to dial 911 and somebody pounded it down right out from under me stating, "THAT IS NOT EMERGENCY TRAFFIC"! Guess who it was. The same old geezer that jumped me about the patch previously. It started something of an on-air debate/argument with this old fart trying to lecture ALL of us on what is an emergency or not. I didn't take kindly to this because the hit and run driver was long gone during this 3-4 minute debate. I finally spoke up: "JUST NEVER YOU MIND OLD MAN. THE HIT AND RUN TRUCK IS GONE AND I HOPE YOU'RE DURNED WELL SATISFIED!"

I wasn't the only one that got mad at him. The club was ready to expell him, but finally decided on a suspension. Trouble was, the old buzzard wouldn't let it die. He started talking about me on and off air to others, making most inappropriate suggestions about my qualifications as a ham. Completely out of line and unjustified. I had never done anything to him, nor had I actually met him in person. Of course, it got back to me. Fed up with this guy's attitude and antics, I finagled a personal introduction. Upon realizing who I was, he turned a little red! I then proceeded to inform him that if he did not cease and desist from his slander, that there would be legal action. If that didn't work? I think I remember putting it to him this way: Mr. ----, I have never met you before. I have never done anything to you. You have an "alligator" mouth and a "canary" a** and your mouth is fixin' to write a check yo' a** can't CASH! Do you understand me? You are not to speak to me, call or correct me on the air, nor have any contact with me whatsoever!" That old man turned pale as a ghost, dropped his head and said, "yes, sir", like a scolded little boy. I haven't heard a peep from him in 5 years.

W4JLE wrote:

> It has been my experience that the biggest "radio Cops" turn out to be no-code techs that have had a licenses for a year or so. I had one start on me one morning when I used the term handle.
>
> He proceeded to tell me how "handle" was a CB term and not used on the repeater. Being the shrinking violet I am, I went on to explain I used "handle" on 11 meters when it was a ham band along with a dissertation about correcting people who may be new and somewhat hesitant such as your wife.

From -2674970807116892876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 15:10:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!peer.news.opaltelecom.net!news.inweb.net.uk!ayres.ftech.net!news.ftech.net!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:00:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <947shk$2nq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <946kre$t33$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118080025.26214.00000008@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 23:00:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13288

In article <20010118080025.26214.00000008@ng-fm1.aol.com>,

```
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>
> > I have lost my "cool" once or twice --
> >haven't you?
>
> >I not only have tired of hearing the OTs bitching, but I've begun
countering
> > they're insane accusations.
>
> On purpose?

No.  Deliberately.

> >That should put some perspective on the situation.
>
> Yes, I think it did.

"Deliberately sending bad code" should have been challenged by more
readers than just me.  It says a lot about where you stand as well.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 7795353882906730519
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 15:23:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.xnet.com!not-for-mail
From: "Steve Woodruff" <sjwoodr.nospam@nospam.email.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:23:26 -0600
Organization: HHSN
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <947tth$elb$1@flood.xnet.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<PA896.7050$Ep3.442734@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944avp$q7a$1@flood.xnet.com>
```

```
<94599b$qv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dyn108.pool1.dialup.xnet.com
X-Trace: flood.xnet.com 979860209 15019 207.227.16.108 (18 Jan 2001 23:23:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@xnet.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:23:29 +0000 (UTC)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15895
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8277 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10820
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13289
```

"LRod" <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94599b$qv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> Who was the VEC for your exam? I have my own suspicion, and if I'm
> correct it's further confirmation of why I will never have anything to
> do with him.

It was an ARRL VEC in Woodstock, IL ... put on by the
McHenry County Wireless Assocation. I could dig up the
names from the CSCE, but i don't think we want to put
anyone on trial here. :)

> Irrespective of who it is, it's an example of poor training of the VE
> team. That's one of the things that should have been basic in their
> instruction. I suggest you write a letter of complaint to the VEC.

I really don't have anything to complain about... i didn't pay the
additional fee -- i just didn't take the extra class exam after I
passed the general class one.

--steve

```
From -6810516487871535 23
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 15:30:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:20:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 153
Message-ID: <947tng$3ql$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-cr1.aol.com> <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net> <9401gp$bp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <945j6m$3lm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <947fq9$m9o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.66
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 18 23:20:26 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.66
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13290

In article <947fq9$m9o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  xjim@my-deja.com wrote:

> > What standards have been lowered? The existing "entry" level ticket material is so large and complex that it cannot be run away from.
>
> The lowering of standards have been brought about by a combination of the VEC program that brings in large groups of unknown people

Perhaps we need to have them submit an application to test. Color photograph must be included. Then the VEC can review the apps and give a thumbs up to some and a thumbs down to the "ones that don't look right."

 who
> are "helped" into a license and the fully published question pool

The old method almost required being inducted into the lodge by an existing lodge member in good standing.

 that
> short circuits the learning process, and focuses on rote memorization.

I'll agree with you here. For someone with no background in electronics, the only viable method of passing the exams is some memorization and/or word associations.

> That is, people can get a license so fast, you wind up with whole graduating classes that have no connection to the accumulated experience of amateur radio.

After 40 years in amateur radio, they will be saying the same about the new crop.

> One way to get around this is to have an entry level ticket with greatly reduced priveliges, that is time limited. Further testing
that
> reveals experience would be required to upgrade. It used to be that a novice couldn't upgrade without either turning in a log of at least
100
> contacts or 100 QSL cards. Does Japan still have a system that requires SWL QSLs from Ham operators in order to upgrade from an SWL

> ticket?

Fine, but Soviet Russia required that.

> >   When I compare the technical
> > > discussions and considerate behavior of those who knew full well
> they
> > > could be heard everywhere,
> >
> > My comments prior to the restructuring:
> >
> > What I fear most about the restructuring is a lack of enforcement.
> And
> > what I fear most about maintaining the status quo is a lack of
> > enforcement.
> >
> > > giving way to Hate and Discontent
> >
> > Ex-Jim, much of the hate and discontent is coming from some OTs.
The
> > ones wha have been hams a long time. The ones who know and count on
> > being heard everywhere.
>
> The point is; These people are chasing the legitamate operators off of
> the air. What you have left over is people who are trying to get some
> enjoyment around these people and these people who get their jollies
> from this behavior. Yes there will be beligerant OTs left in this
> mess. There will also be beligerant OTs BORN of this mess. These
> people ARE PART OF THE PROBLEM and are easy to point to, but the way
to
> deal with these people are to avoid giving away licenses in the first
> place.

The beligerants probably "earned" their licenses the hard way (whatever
that is). Each new crop recalls their learning to have been the
hardest.

> I know I am guilty too. I assisted a novice once that turned out to
be
> a jammer once he got a radio in his hands and even helped another
> jammer get his license.

Do you want a smoke before I tie the blindfold on?

> If I had only known, he would have gotten no
> help from anyone I knew.

You can't know.

> As it was we were able to prevent the further
> spread of this nonsense as they only could talk to each other and
> finally lost interest and lapsed without an upgrade. These days they
> might have a lot bigger circle of friends and a renewable 10 year

> license without FCC intervention.

Probably a good reason for me to stop talking to Dick.

> There is an unavoidable 1st Amendment issue that says you can't
enforce
> people to say what other people want to hear, any more than you can
> enforce people to listen to what they don't want to hear. The only
> enforcement that can be legitimately applied, is enforcement of the
1st
> Amendment by jailing and pulling the licences of willful interferers.
> You really don't want any more interference than that from the
> government because "behavior" is too subjective and nebulous.

Yet you want to deny people who otherwise qualify for licenses because
you want to screen them first and make sure...

> Do you really want a "letter" because someone was offended? There's
> way too much of that nonsense going on in political arenas.
>
> > in order
> > > to impress a new group of listeners, who's going to want to (much
> less
> > > be savy enough to) save allocations in the future? I have seen
Ham
> > > Radio Magazine die off and QEX almost die off.
> >
> > Hey, AntenneX resurfaced on the web. Check it out.
> >
> > > We used to have to fill
> > > out our own 610 forms, and we joked that it was a literacy test.
> Now
> > > that we don't have to do that, how many new hams won't even be
able
> to
> > > read QST, much less give a S***?
> >
> > They'll have to be web savvy and take care of biddness via the FCC
ULS
> > page. It's complexity goes beyond a mere literacy test.
>
> The problem with that is that the FCC isn't completely web savvy
> either, and again do you want them to be more of a presence in web
> communications? So far we have much more freedom to express ourselves
> here than with the "handbill" or letters to the editor or anything
else
> under FCC jurisdiction. The MASS MEDIA only proves that the 1st
> amendment belongs to the highest bidder.

Ding ding ding ding ding! I agree with that.

I'll support the learners license good for two years or age 18,
which ever is longest. Maybe 25W on 10M and 440, otherwise all modes,

no repeater trustee stuff.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 1415628813126979632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 16:25:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <3a6788eb.1082628@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A67391A.7D3E9075@IEEE.org>
<947nn4$rdb$1@iac5.navix.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 00:25:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.79.67.49
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 979863916 12.79.67.49 (Fri, 19 Jan
2001 00:25:16 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 00:25:16 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10824
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13291
```

On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:37:00 -0500, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

>
>The problem will be solved when the big one hits, and Nevada ocean front
>property becomes available.
>
>

So are you buying desert land like Lex Luther? :-)

Rick KC2ESD

```
From 7702411995156555465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 16:27:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!
bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <3a68897d.1228985@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A67391A.7D3E9075@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 00:27:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.79.67.49
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 979864022 12.79.67.49 (Fri, 19 Jan
2001 00:27:02 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 00:27:02 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10825
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13292

On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:42:34 -0600, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:



>
>When a state doesn't build any power generating plants during the
>ten years the population is doubling, the result is 100% predictable.



      You are right on the Money! It's the simple case of supply and
demand.

                     Rick KC2ESD



From -4736670170116700987
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 16:35:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.flash.net!news.flash.net!not-
```

```
for-mail
Message-ID: <3A677CBF.762F0C9C@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 00:35:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.215.68.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@flash.net
X-Trace: news.flash.net 979864522 216.215.68.130 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:35:22 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:35:22 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10827
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13293

California can thank the tree huggers for their current energy crisis.

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Brian Kelly wrote:
> > It's a La-la land power industry scam from top to
> > bottom, capitalism gone berserk.
>
> It's the state beaurocracy gone berserk.
>
> It's an experiment to see what happens when you require the sellers of
> electricity to sell-off their means of producing electricity, remove the
> limits of wholesale pricing, but keep the limits on consumer pricing.
> The power producers can limit supply so that the wholesale price will go
> as high as they want, and the guy selling it to the end user is stuck
> with the loss.  It's as screwed up as anything could possibly be.
> Edison, the company that built practically everything we have out here,
> is getting totally screwed.  Electricity has always been bargain priced
> out here.  Our taxes will have to go up to pay for it now.
>
> 73, ac6xg



From 5821831733509035698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 16:42:51 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!nntp.flash.net!news.flash.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A677E77.319F2AD6@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A66DEE0.1CD3D57D@sccoast.net> <947eg5$mco$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A67784A.504@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 56
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 00:42:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.215.68.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@flash.net
X-Trace: news.flash.net 979864963 216.215.68.130 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:42:43 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:42:43 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10828 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13294

Just proves it doesn't take all kinds to make a world, we just happen to have all kinds. Must really suck to be someone like this guy.

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> I agree that it may be better to allow a new ham to listen rather than jumping him on the air. He'll soon realize that we don't generally use certain terms. People generally try to fit into anything to which they are new and usually will come around to "doing as the Romans do" on their own.
>
> Like you, I've had a couple of run-ins with "radio cops". I once used the local auto-patch, pounded it down, and made the usual announcement- "K4KWH clearing auto-patch after third party traffic with XXXX......." R-cop proceeded to lecture me that I was to do that BEFORE bringing down the patch. I didn't see the difference--still don't--just so I made the required statement. I was nice to the old codger, but I was seething. Some time went by--maybe several years--when one night I eased down to the local Dairy Queen for a huge cone of ice cream. The local club was having their usual Tuesday nite VHF net on the same repeater. All of a sudden, a pickup changed lanes and ran a Chrysler off the road onto the sidewalk. Poor guy (car) busted a tire, ruined a hubcap, bent a wheel, and missed a light pole by inches. Pickup kept going. I broke the net with my call, told the net control station I needed to call the police because of a hit and run, and he immediately yielded the repeater. I brought up the patch, started to dial 911 and somebody pounded it down right out from under me stating, "THAT IS NOT EMERGENCY TRAFFIC"! Guess who it was. The same old geezer that jumped me about the patch pre-

> viously. It started something of an on-air debate/argument with this
> old fart trying to lecture ALL of us on what is an emergency or not. I
> didn't take kindly to this because the hit and run driver was long gone
> during this 3-4 minute debate. I finally spoke up: "JUST NEVER YOU MIND
> OLD MAN. THE HIT AND RUN TRUCK IS GONE AND I HOPE YOU'RE DURNED WELL
> SATISFIED!"
>
> I wasn't the only one that got mad at him. The club was ready to expell
> him, but finally decided on a suspension. Trouble was, the old buzzard
> wouldn't let it die. He started talking about me on and off air to
> others, making most inappropriate suggestions about my qualifications as
> a ham. Completely out of line and unjustified. I had never done any-
> thing to him, nor had I actually met him in person. Of course, it got
> back to me. Fed up with this guy's attitude and antics, I finagled a
> personal introduction. Upon realizing who I was, he turned a little
> red! I then proceeded to inform him that if he did not cease and de-
> sist from his slander, that there would be legal action. If that didn't
> work? I think I remember putting it to him this way: Mr. ----, I have
> never met you before. I have never done anything to you. You have an
> "alligator" mouth and a "canary" a** and your mouth is fixin' to write
> a check yo' a** can't CASH! Do you understand me? You are not to speak
> to me, call or correct me on the air, nor have any contact with me
> whatsoever!" That old man turned pale as a ghost, dropped his head and
> said, "yes, sir", like a scolded little boy. I haven't heard a peep
> from him in 5 years.
>
> W4JLE wrote:

From -12404737447188264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 17:11:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:05:46 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A6792EA.7AF4FD14@uci.edu>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <3A677CBF.762F0C9C@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10830
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13295

JR wrote:

> California can thank the tree huggers for their current energy crisis.

Well, I don't like 'em either, but blaming them would shift the blame from where it justly belongs, and that is with the legislators. They deregulated the phone company federally. So the state thought they'd take a crack at the power industry. You ought to see all the fees, and surcharges, and taxes, and rebates on our electric bills now. Looks like it was designed by a committee, and nobody can figure out the damn things. The idea was to spur competition, but an unfortunate sequence of events, along with the help of some opportunistic and unscrupulous companys lead us to where we are now. It would have been difficult to foresee this particular outcome.

From -3864906872581679539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 17:31:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:21:41 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A6796A5.8935309D@uci.edu>
References: <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147> <20010114005243.03673.00000675@nso-
ck.aol.com> <YkK86.830$e5.2151089@news.ntplx.net> <3A661DD3.58DE6F10@uci.edu>
<4sJ96.954$e5.2423242@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13296

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> > > I think the biggest issue here is that some think of SS as a 'digital'
> mode.

> > The biggest issue, Ed? How so? I don't even know if it's true, let
> > alone the biggest issue.

> Let me clarify; by "here" I mean here in the conversation about SS.

I thought that was perfectly clear, Ed.

So to whom do you refer, and why is it such a big issue?

73, Jim AC6XG

From -2917503888508210036
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:07:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!kking
From: kking@no-mail.com (Kerry King)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 19 Jan 2001 02:07:34 GMT
Organization: none
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9487h6$70u$0@dosa.alt.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10835 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13297

On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:20:09 -0500, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
:
: That's ten days old.

I see. Story posted by CNN on 1/17; your post was on 1/18. Yep, that's
10 days all right.

Looks as though you didn't even bother to read the story before
commenting on it.

From 5763070399335335063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:08:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!proxad.net!teaser.fr!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <518f6to0okmfm5o1ud663ialsfpdl75kii@4ax.com>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<VNJ96.961$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 02:08:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979870116 209.86.204.44 (Thu, 18 Jan
2001 18:08:36 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:08:36 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13298

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

>Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>> In society most people are too polite to tell someone about his gaff.
>> They just think of him poorly behind his back. At least hams are
>> honest and straightforward...  :-)
>
>Whoever originated the phrase, "honesty is the best policy" clearly didn't
>envision this scenario. :-)

I suppose it's not much different than someone who is too polite to
tell his CW contact that he has a bad fist and lies that he is being
called to dinner to end the QSO early...  :-)


From -1781772377079638970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5358773b400b8538
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:08:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Black list
```

```
Message-ID: <r08f6t41aghi3edb7bjjk32bvnha3vf756@4ax.com>
References: <3ode6tsrjsjltbcm6c6vjjnro6ll7skhii@4ax.com>
<4qJ96.951$e5.2423263@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 02:08:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979870116 209.86.204.44 (Thu, 18 Jan
2001 18:08:36 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:08:36 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13299
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

>I suppose I do, Aaron, but I have never felt
>is necessary to publish it on the web.

Wow. Ed keeps a ham blacklist. Who would have thunk it... :-)

```
From -5945235858175572835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:08:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <a08f6t8i07a3qkerqfocd7r8o5depo54c2@4ax.com>
References: <iode6tsi5706c3aua35odh2r5cjh4a2uc1@4ax.com>
<tyJ96.956$e5.2423867@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 02:08:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979870117 209.86.204.44 (Thu, 18 Jan
2001 18:08:37 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:08:37 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13300
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>The FCC does not allocate spectrum to the Amateur Radio
>Service only on the basis of the fact that hams pass tests.

Well then, that's certainly a good thing. Passing a ham test doesn't show much about what hams know about radio and electronics...   :-)

```
From -2127222868726665157
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:08:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <qm7f6tg9rrjip5mq77au0t22qj8ouptsjs@4ax.com>
References: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com>
<3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 02:08:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979870118 209.86.204.44 (Thu, 18 Jan
2001 18:08:38 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:08:38 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13301
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>I know you don't like me to ask questions, but I can't
>think of how to do so without a question mark,
>so I will just come out with it -- what do you think?

I think that you have that backwards. You don't like *me* to ask questions. In a recent post you refused to answer my simple question: "Do you think that a test that allows people to pass without knowing the material is a good test?" because you said you didn't like my *debating style*... :-)

Here are 2 more questions you recently ignored. if you're going to ask questions, don't you think you should start answering some... :-)

(1) Do you think it was morally correct for Bash to circumvent the FCC tests by publishing the exact questions and answers, thus allowing

hams to pass the test by cheating?

(2) Do you believe it would be OK for me to lawfully but clandestinely obtain a copy of an ARRL employment exam, say from an employee who owes me a favor, and print and sell it to those seeking employment at ARRL?

```
From -2970195825003651732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:13:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 19 Jan 2001 02:13:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9487sn$9b1$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117073658.05313.00001004@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <944v9l$4nf$1@iac5.navix.net> <UGJ96.958$e5.2424410@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13302
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
> > Probably the same moral outrage that causes all you Yankees to get your
> > panties in a wad when you see a Confederate flag and scream KKK!
>
> I think that most of the screaming about the confederate flag has been
> coming from south of the Mason-Dixon line. Most Yankees I know don't give
> it any thought at all.

Ed there are many degrees of yankeeness, begining at The Line and progresssing as you go further north. I'm a Yankee by about 35 miles. A Connecticut Yankee is about as Yankee as it gets.

```
From -504454510735252894
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:19:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news-xfer.mccc.edu!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 19 Jan 2001 02:19:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <94887d$a0o$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7a6q40.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010117015952.16762.00000428@nso-mp.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7ba8x2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<tqpb6ts9co4heldjap94ddm9tcfab5cq9e@4ax.com> <3A66AC5D.D43B1133@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13303

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> > > "10-20" has a honorable history in public-safety voice comms
> > > but the "mutilation" is to drop the "10" and just refer to your
> > > "twenty".
> >
> > Even cops often shorten the 10 codes to just the last number. It makes
> > sense if it takes less air time and the meaning is understood by all.
> > 10 codes, although codes, actually become words in the users minds,
> > and like words often evolve with use...
>
> >
> Ya mean like cw exchanges are a languge?

'zactly.

From 2099191278987615440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:27:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 19 Jan 2001 02:27:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9488lt$bdu$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <945sbu$idr$1@208.207.71.177> <20010118045423.05040.00002265@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13304

W1RFI wrote:

>
> Frankly, Dick, I would much rather see new hams with sensitivities than those who are cold and insensitive to the feelings of others.

So there are no third categories?

You Political Correct mavens insist that your choices are all there is. What would be the matter with some newcomers with an attitude of "I'm gonna get this and do it well, make my mistakes and get it right and to heck with the minor irritations, whatever they may be. Maybe I can learn something about it all from them, if I don't let the chip blow off my shoulder".

It must be in the water. NO one today seems to have anything but a fragile, easily destroyed ego. If I had been like that I'd have never made it into the teenage years, much less far beyond.

From 7180800475060026130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:30:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!news.minn.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail

From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 02:21:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9488an$crm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<PA896.7050$Ep3.442734@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944avp$q7a$1@flood.xnet.com>
<94599b$qv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <947tth$elb$1@flood.xnet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.77.156.96
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 02:21:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.61 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 12.77.156.96
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDlr0d
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15915
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8291 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10838
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13305

In article <947tth$elb$1@flood.xnet.com>,
"Steve Woodruff" <sjwoodr.nospam@nospam.email.com> wrote:

> "LRod" <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:94599b$qv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> > Who was the VEC for your exam?

> It was an ARRL VEC in Woodstock, IL

Hmmm. I know a lot of those guys. I ran exams just down the river from them in Aurora. In fact, the guy that started the testing group up there years ago and I were both with DeVry VEC originally. I can't remember callsigns, since I've moved, but there must have been some turnover that leaves some uninformed VEs, because the guy I know wouldn't do that or train his people to do that. Sorry.

> I really don't have anything to complain about...

Yes you do. You were prepared for an exam and an incorrect interpretation by a team that should know better kept you from taking the exam when you were ready. Although you might not get any satisfaction, they need to be made aware of the problem so it doesn't happen again to someone else.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8033667137183O9665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:36:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 19 Jan 2001 02:36:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <94896q$bdu$1@208.207.71.174>
References: <e5nb6t4vr42j4ntiptnpsrksq1dag2soii@4ax.com> <945sj9$idr$2@208.207.71.177> <3A670CCF.6BA79B75@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13306

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The poor kid is sure to have a rough life, what with being too ignorant
> > to grasp a new procedure in a new environment, then being put off so
> > easily when gently corrected. What *is* this new generation ever going
> > to amount to, anyway?
>
> Absolutely nothing as long as your generation keeps bullying them.
> Newness is something fragile that needs to be nurtured, not jumped
> on with golf shoes.

Bend over, Cecil....I've got my golf shoes on......

From 7873966220584306857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:43:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 19 Jan 2001 02:43:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9489kn$e1h$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com>
<3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13307



"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
> > I was just pointing out how the gaff could have been easily been
> > avoided. The next time that you wear a bathing suit to church, will
> > you get mad at those who laugh at you??
>
> Some don't think that wearing a bathing suit to church is nearly as bad as
> saying "first personal" instead of "name" on a repeater. :-)  I know you
> don't like me to ask questions, but I can't think of how to do so without a
> question mark, so I will just come out with it -- what do you think?
>

It still raises my hackles a notch to hear on the ham bands clone
conversation from CB where not much but the frequencies and (maybe)
callsigns are changed. It always seemed to me that people who adopt a
new environment might be interested in adopting its conventions also,
given a little time.

 But so far I haven't called anyone down for doing it. Don't plan to
either. FCC has given the ham bands to the CBers, fair and square.


From -8895680467842243319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:45:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A67AA1A.4A6F25A7@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 79
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 21:44:42 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.84
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979872268 206.20.144.84 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 20:44:28
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 20:44:28 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10839
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13308

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Brian Kelly wrote:
> > It's a La-la land power industry scam from top to
> > bottom, capitalism gone berserk.
>
> It's the state beaurocracy gone berserk.

>
That's certainly where it started. It's a rather amazing combination of
bureaucratic stupidity and capitalist greed.
>

> It's an experiment

>
"Experiment"? With the power supply for most of the biggest state in the
Union? Makes huige sense to me . .
>

> to see what happens when you require the sellers of
> electricity to sell-off their means of producing electricity, remove the
> limits of wholesale pricing, but keep the limits on consumer pricing.

>
If it was elected officials who put that masterpiece together I think I'd
work very hard to make sure their current terms in office are their last.
>

> The power producers can limit supply so that the wholesale price will go
> as high as they want, and the guy selling it to the end user is stuck
> with the loss.

>
That's where my shot at capitalism came from. I noticed that some

disproportionate number of generating facilities are down for maintenance at
the same time. Random acts of course.
>

> It's as screwed up as anything could possibly be.
> Edison, the company that built practically everything we have out here,
> is getting totally screwed.

>
I agree, I've worked in the biz, both PG&E and Ed have a sterling
reputations. It ain't them and it ain't the Internet hubs.
>

> Electricity has always been bargain priced
> out here. Our taxes will have to go up to pay for it now.

>
Gotta be paid for one way or another. Chalk up one good example of the
limits to scatterbrained deregulation. I'm a hardcore open-market
free-enterpriser to the core but this disaster has set the clock back to
justification for socialism, this is bloody ridiculous.
>
Here in Philly we pay the second highest rates in the country second only to
what ConEd gouges out of their NYC customers and have been doing so for most
of the prior century. The net result is that we're conditioned to getting
pounded by our power bills. Folks moving into this region from other places
routinely come unglued when they get their first PECO (or whoever it is
these days) bill. But there is some spare capacity here with more coming.
Two-three years ago the new-wave generating companies were mothballing
capacity. Now every steam turbine and generator shop in this country is
suddenly completely booked for years ahead for the first time since I was a
kid and that was a LONG time ago.
>
This thing hasn't even started. I noticed in today's news that the lawyers
and prosecutors are rolling up their sleeves and diving into this fiasco.
>

> 73, ac6xg

>
w3rv

From 8720326375639644934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:47:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 19 Jan 2001 02:47:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <9489rs$ek7$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com>
<3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net> <947s0e$271$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13309



Brian wrote:
>
> In article <3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net>,
>   "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> > Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
> >
> > > I was just pointing out how the gaff could have been easily been
> > > avoided. The next time that you wear a bathing suit to church, will
> > > you get mad at those who laugh at you??
> >
> > Some don't think that wearing a bathing suit to church is nearly as
> bad as
> > saying "first personal" instead of "name" on a repeater. :-)  I know
> you
> > don't like me to ask questions, but I can't think of how to do so
> without a
> > question mark, so I will just come out with it -- what do you think?
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> True story.
>
> Just after the no-code Tech license was authorized, I heard a QSO in
> progress on the 146.85 machine in St Louis.  An OT with a brand new NCT
> license/call was getting reamed by a 2x2 named Curtis.  It appeared that
> the Tech had identified himself sayng "Oh" where the "Zero" should have
> been.  The 2x2 was calling the new ham a stupid idiot.
>
> The Tech explained that it was an "Oh" and not a zero because the
> instructor in his Tech class said in ham radio the sero is ALWAYS
> slashed.  The sero on the license wasn't slashed.
>
> Then a few other hams tried to intercede and change the subject, but NO.
>  Curt just wouldn't let it go.  It really got ugly and I was embarassed
```

> for ham radio and felt sorry that the new ham had to get that kind of
> greeting.
>
> Now Dick will come on here and say that the new Tech should already
> know everything there is to know about ham radio and "should have known"
> that it couldn't have been an "Oh." After all, he passed a test.

Don't you ever get tired of being wrong, Gnat? As it happens I did have a contact with a new ham where the very same thing came up, the zero without the slash on it in his callsign. I mentioned to him that it actually was a zero but the FCC's computers stopped putting the slash in the zero years ago. Evidently the FCC doesn't know the difference, either.

From 5605084024784828103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:50:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A67AB64.5B06DD71@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <9487h6$70u$0@dosa.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 21:50:12 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.84
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979872593 206.20.144.84 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 20:49:53 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 20:49:53 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10842 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13310

Kerry King wrote:

> On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 12:20:09 -0500, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
> :
> : That's ten days old.
>

```
> I see.  Story posted by CNN on 1/17; your post was on 1/18.  Yep, that's
> 10 days all right.
>
> Looks as though you didn't even bother to read the story before
> commenting on it.

>
Yeah, I did read it and I also read it ten or so days ago in USAToday.




From 4356170725958575218
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:50:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 19 Jan 2001 02:50:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <948a23$f4f$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <74ee6t0bin9j7fiq2pkv0i6ssgototb1o9@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13311



Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
> >>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
> >
> >>Every hobby has it's preferred lingo. If you use the wrong lingo you
> >>immediately identify yourself as an outsider...
> >
> >You do mean "former" outsider, do you not?  This fellow did get a ham license,
> >so he is now one of us.
>
> I said "every hobby". Let me rephrase my sentence for ham radio:
>
> Ham radio has it's preferred repeater lingo. If you use the wrong
> lingo you immediately identify yourself as an outsider (to repeater
```

> operation)...
>
> If I said "W7xxx monitoring" on CW in order to get a contact, I think
> I might be thought of as an outsider...or worse...   :-)

I once knew an OT who had been off the air so long that he was new to
repeaters, and when he finally got a two meter rig he called CQ on the
repeater just as he would have on HF.
It isn't always the newcomers who miscue.

From -7345678472043884607
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news.tele.dk!193.174.75.178!news-fra1.dfn.de!news-lei1.dfn.de!news-was.dfn.de!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 02:43:57 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9489lc$drt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <945sj9$idr$2@208.207.71.177> <20010118043435.05040.00002257@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.73
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 02:43:57 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.73
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13312

In article <20010118043435.05040.00002257@ng-ff1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > The poor kid is sure to have a rough life, what with being too
ignorant
> >to grasp a new procedure in a new environment, then being put off so
> >easily when gently corrected. What *is* this new generation ever
going
> >to amount to, anyway?
>
> I sure hope no one told him he was "ignorant" when they "gently
corrected" him.

I don't think Dick knows what a gentle correction is, just boot camp

mentality. Probably had the kid doing push ups or digging the base hole
for a free standing tower with a spoon.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7941619156521369753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 18:57:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A67ACFC.D69389FA@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <3A677CBF.762F0C9C@some.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 21:57:00 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.84
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979873001 206.20.144.84 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 20:56:41
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 20:56:41 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10843
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13313

JR wrote:

> California can thank the tree huggers for their current energy crisis.
>

>
It's gonna get interesting everywhere when it becomes obvious that the only
way out is more nukes.
>
w3rv

From -7219293957700014613

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,db91ab8486ecd887
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Anyone For An RRAP Meeting At Dayton?
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 02:58:45 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <948ah1$emn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <945hdi$27b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A66D901.6B7D086A@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.73
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 02:58:45 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.73
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13314

In article <3A66D901.6B7D086A@dvol.com>,
  Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> > In article <94464j$pck$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Hmmmmm??????
> >
> > Just as long as you's guys don't embarass me in front of my friends.
>
> >
> You actually got friends? OK, that's easy. Don't bring your friends.
We
> want you all by yerself . . .

Just as I figgered, so we'll be packin (handi-tawkies)!

Hey, shrill Steve once offered to "introduce" me to the DX banquet.
Maybe we could crash that?  Or at least show up at his flea-market BBQ.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/
```

```
From -2829914406656821742
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:13:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 19 Jan 2001 03:13:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 93
Message-ID: <948bcn$ifg$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <93tmgh$iom$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010114212143.15225.00000347@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9409l3$1jk$2@208.206.142.85> <_bO86.860$e5.2173135@news.ntplx.net> <940jmb$rri$0@208.207.71.175> <8h396.897$e5.2252310@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13315

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > > Now, if all of these new hams can memorize over 1,100 questions in the
> > > question pool in a weekend of studying, I am sure they can memorize 26
> > > characters and a few punctuations "easily."
>
> > Replay #976.... They don't memorize the questions and answers, they
> > make word associations so that when they read a question they look for
> > the familiar choice among the possibles, and can pick it out often
> > enough to pass. That's after studying only a single test element, not
> > the whole question pool. Vast difference, but you already knew that.
>
> Like these?
>
> T6A02 @T6A12 (A)
> Which symbol of Figure T6-1 represents a fixed resistor?
> A. Symbol 2
> B. Symbol 3
> C. Symbol 4
> D. Symbol 5
>
> T6A04 @N6A10 (A)
> In Figure N6-2, which symbol represents a single-pole, single-throw
> switch?
> A. Symbol 1
> B. Symbol 2
> C. Symbol 3

> D. Symbol 4
>
> T6A06 @N6A08 (A)
> In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents a fuse?
> A. Symbol 1
> B. Symbol 3
> C. Symbol 5
> D. Symbol 7
>
> T6A08 @N6A09 (D)
> In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents a single-cell battery?
> A. Symbol 7
> B. Symbol 5
> C. Symbol 1
> D. Symbol 4
>
> T6B03 @N6B04 (D)
> In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents an earth ground?
> A. Symbol 2
> B. Symbol 5
> C. Symbol 6
> D. Symbol 8
>
> T6B04 @N6B06 (D)
> In Figure N6-1, which symbol represents an antenna?
> A. Symbol 2
> B. Symbol 3
> C. Symbol 6
> D. Symbol 7
>
> T6B05 @N6B07 (D)
> In Figure N6-3, which symbol represents an NPN transistor?
> A. Symbol 1
> B. Symbol 2
> C. Symbol 3
> D. Symbol 4
>
> T6B06 @T6B01 (A)
> Which symbol of Figure T6-2 represents a fixed-value capacitor?
> A. Symbol 1
> B. Symbol 2
> C. Symbol 3
> D. Symbol 4
>
> T6B07 @T6B06 (C)
> In Figure T6-2, which symbol represents a variable capacitor?
> A. Symbol 1
> B. Symbol 2
> C. Symbol 3
> D. Symbol 4
>

See? All the protestations from myself and others have had an impact. You gotta wonder if there would have been any improvement in some of the questions had we not sounded off so much about them. Whoever changes the questions must have been paying *some* attention. I'llhave to sign into the HQ website and check out the current question pool. If a majority are written like those it represents a major change in things since I was an active VE. Inded it would be easier to just learn the material on those few. They would be hard to answer without knowing the material. 'Twas not alwas so.

```
From -3082784915845931811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:17:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 19 Jan 2001 03:17:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <948bki$ifg$1@208.207.71.174>
References: <940l48$1po$1@208.207.71.175> <20010116081357.05657.00001661@ng-
cl1.aol.com> <942lvv$k2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13316
```

Brian wrote:

>who tought Orville Wright to fly?

Wilbur

>Who taught Sammy Morse the code?

Alfred Vail

>
> Either can be self-taught.

I sure hope you try to teach yourself to fly. You already blew the

```
code.


 >Today it just depends on the gubmn't
> regulations.


Yeah, Right!


From -4225364880580015285
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 03:05:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <948at8$et1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942qh9$nus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<944612$pbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.73
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 03:05:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.73
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13317

In article <944612$pbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > > PS: The internet newsgroups are not amateur radio.
> >
> > PS: That 1x2 after your name is for what?  Decoration?  Rank?
> >
> > You're using the K3LT argument that since this isn't wireless,
you're
> > free to be a jerk.
>
>      I'd say you're trolling for a reason to CALL someone a jerk,
> Burke...

Steve, you're my pet peve.  Better than a pet rock.
```

```
>      Kinda like your brother Lennie who denies initiating calling
> people names but has been calling Fred, W4JLE "Jelly" all week.

He's correct.  -I- initiated (Jelly) in the Banana Boat wars.

>      Birds of a flightless feather, I'd say......

Aim Higher, jarhead.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -8630315317606749328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:25:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 19 Jan 2001 03:25:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <948c2l$ifg$2@208.207.71.174>
References: <t69t1c89uqur89@news.supernews.com> <20010117072952.05313.00001000@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13318



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: vlfiscus@mcn.net  (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
>
> >Ed, this wouldn't be a fair test. no-coders aren't smart enough to build
> >things, least of all their code speed.
>
> In what way do you think learning Morse code will improve their ability to
> construct equipment?
>

 Maybe they could connect the dots? :-)
```

```
From -5527799131047813231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:26:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: 19 Jan 2001 03:26:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <948c4q$ifg$3@208.207.71.174>
References: <942qa3$nqd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117075053.05313.00001009@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13319
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>
> >BTW, when you took the 13 and 20wpm exams in front of the FCC examiner,
> >did you dazzle him with your coconut boat swing?
>
> For the 13 wpm text, the examiner stopped me after about 2 words. For the 20
> wpm test, the FCC gave me only a receiving test.
>
> Then, as now, I did the best I could. If we ever have that CW QSO on the air,
> you can tell me if I have a coconut boat swing. :-)
>

He'll be up in the tree swinging by his tail, throwing cocoanuts at
you.

```
From 3057004027447732845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:30:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
```

```
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 03:24:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <948c0v$fpn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942qh9$nus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<945ran$fep$0@208.207.71.177>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.57
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 03:24:22 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.57
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13320
```

In article <945ran$fep$0@208.207.71.177>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> >
> > You're using the K3LT argument that since this isn't wireless,
you're
> > free to be a jerk.
>
> So then you're the only one free to do that?

Dick, a better response would have been, "So then you're joining the crowd," but no, you insanely accuse me of being the ONLY ONE that does what Larry does. Well, if Larry does it, and I do it, then I couldn't possibly be the ONLY ONE.

Additionally, you excuse yourself, Hans, Kelly, Jelly (Fred), Bruce, Steve (shrill), GrayJL, and all the ANONs, from the cluster of people free to be jerks. The only way you're statement could be true is if you and your cronies were made to be jerks at gunpoint, thus not of your free will. For that many gunmen to be present at so many geographical locations to pull off such a feat would make it a conspiracy, which makes you crazy.

I really try to provide a rational argument. I'll usually give you at least 2 or 3 tries after your initial shitty response to say something rational. After that, all bets are off.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 5397189073763061092
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:32:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A67B543.ED1DFE6F@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <947sj6$5l8$1@news.netmar.com>
<fAK96.2852$AN.226390@nntp1.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 43
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 22:32:19 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.52
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979875125 206.20.144.52 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 21:32:05
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 21:32:05 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13321
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10844
```

Richard McCollum wrote:

> Why guarantee a profit? That is ultimately what regulation does and has
> to do.

>

Galls the hell outta me but at least back when nobody ran outta volts & amps.

>

>
> The trick -- and it is hard to do -- is to limit the scope of the
> utility's responsibilities and not to politicize everything. The TVA
> nearly foundered until David Lilianthal(?) firmly put them in the power
> business.

>

Dunno when David did that but I was temporarily working in a small burg north of Jackson TN, winter 1980 or so, the locals had been paying three cents per kwh while I was paying eleven cents per kwh back home in Philly. I got there just after the TVA underwent some kind of major reorganization or another and the rates had just taken a huge jump upward. Everybody had unisulated homes

with straight electric basboard heat, etc. Talk about massive trauma . . I
couldn't muster a whole lot of sympathy for 'em.
>

>
>
> I am as much a fan of free enterprise as anyone but I just do not see
> where electric power should be free or particularly enterprising.

>
Deregulation hasn't done a damned thing for the consumers around here, the
trouble truck decals got changed and we've been flooded with doublespeak.
>

>
> Dick N�BK

>
w3rv

```
From -3192857597975368133
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:34:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: 19 Jan 2001 03:34:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <948ckk$l94$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<PA896.7050$Ep3.442734@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944avp$q7a$1@flood.xnet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15919
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8295 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10845
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13322
```

Steve Woodruff wrote:
>
> "LRod" <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Unless the ARRL has changed, it's not quite a session fee. It is insofar
> > as you can take one of every element for one fee. Retakes of failed
> > elements are subject to another fee.
>
> Are all VE's subject to the same set of rules?

Yes,they are supposed to be.

  These guys seemed pretty
> confident that they could charge $10 per exam

 By the authorizing law they are not supposed to charge beyond recouping
their expenses, unless it has changed since I was active. I understand
that the FCC has stropped setting the mandatory fee, so the VEC under
which they operate should be doing it.

```
From 4907113844518700897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:42:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: 19 Jan 2001 03:42:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <948d3a$mhd$0@208.207.71.174>
```

References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<943d37$5ch$0@208.207.71.134> <944i5d$kam@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
<3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15921
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8297 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10846
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13323

K7MEM wrote:
>
> It's been a few years, but when I made General, I took the Tech,
> General, and 13 WPM code at the same time for one fee ($6.45).
> At another session I took the Extra and the 20 WPM code tests
> again for one fee ($6.45). At the time, I was under the impression
> that this fee went directly to the ARRL, to cover the cost
> of the testing materials that they supplied. That ment that the
> club, which was running the VE session, was really charging
> nothing for their time.
>
> Was I correct in my reasoning? Aren't they, the club, also allowed
> to charge a small fee to cover their expenses?

FCC never did stipulate nor specify what constituted an expense, they
just said that the expense must be related to the testing and that a
record of all monies received and disbursed be maintained.
The fee receipts were always split by the VEC (ARRL here) and the VE
team. The VEC sets the details of he split.

From 2930043169272163413
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 19 Jan 2001 03:44:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <948d63$mhg$0@208.207.71.174>

References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-cr1.aol.com> <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net> <9401gp$bp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A65E1E9.A27B4CA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13324

FCC required a 30 day wait.

Cecil wrote:
>
> xjim@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In the 70's you had to wait a year to retake the test.
>
> That doesn't make sense. I believe it was a month, not a year.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7030719287735881445
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:45:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 19 Jan 2001 03:45:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <948d84$mhg$1@208.207.71.174>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <%RK86.837$e5.2154344@news.ntplx.net> <3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org> <944l34$mk2$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A65E44C.FE56CA41@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13325

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > news:3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org...
> > > The arguments are different. Jim says there is not one code-tested jammer
> > > using Morse code equipment. I say there is at least one and probably more.
> >
> > I'm enjoying your argument in the third person here, Cecil. Which Jim are
> > you claiming said these things? Quotes might better illustrate your point.
> > Or they might not.
>
> I redirect this thread from r.r.a.antenna where it doesn't belong.
> Jim, N2EY, is redirecting everything back to r.r.a.antenna where it
> doesn't belong. That's the reason for the disconnect. Jim will not
> admit that there ever has been a single code-tested ham using Morse
> equipment for the purpose of jamming. He refuses to condemn code-
> tested hams who use Morse equipment for the purpose of jamming since
> he says there never has been any. That's because any admission of
> such would violate the immaculate conception virginal religious
> aspect of Morse code to the PCTA.

And YOU are the guy who always requires proof.

From 4617342429779234390
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:53:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com> <3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net> <947s0e$271$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <_PO96.1507$ed.895875@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 21:52:07 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.159.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 979876218 208.154.159.234 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 22:50:18 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 22:50:18 EST

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13326

"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:947s0e$271$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> True story.
>
> Just after the no-code Tech license was authorized, I heard a QSO in
> progress on the 146.85 machine in St Louis. An OT with a brand new NCT
> license/call was getting reamed by a 2x2 named Curtis. It appeared that
> the Tech had identified himself sayng "Oh" where the "Zero" should have
> been. The 2x2 was calling the new ham a stupid idiot.
>
> The Tech explained that it was an "Oh" and not a zero because the
> instructor in his Tech class said in ham radio the sero is ALWAYS
> slashed. The sero on the license wasn't slashed.
>
> Then a few other hams tried to intercede and change the subject, but NO.
> Curt just wouldn't let it go. It really got ugly and I was embarassed
> for ham radio and felt sorry that the new ham had to get that kind of
> greeting.
>
> Now Dick will come on here and say that the new Tech should already
> know everything there is to know about ham radio and "should have known"
> that it couldn't have been an "Oh." After all, he passed a test.

Sorry to see my old machine -- I built ABE 4 -- sink to this level. That
sort of BS is why we put it on in the first place. 'Youse vill march in
schtrait lines und youse vill like it' was certainly the motto of some of
the local machines; our's was 'if it don't get you in trouble with Sam, we
don't care'. Curtis would have been eating his meals off the stove after
some of us got done with him.
When a few docs and the Federal Venereal Disease Control Officer got
clinical on the air, you could hear the strak jaws tighten for miles.

Dick N�BK

From -5127261157054432478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:55:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: 19 Jan 2001 03:55:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 33
Message-ID: <948drh$mhg$2@208.207.71.174>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com> <93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nj6d$qug$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93njt2$rj6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A5F79B8.373D1803@uci.edu> <93o2se$9tg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A5F9BD2.2F0C05D1@uci.edu> <93ogtd$l2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A65F155.D045B958@uci.edu> <9455p2$6md$1@news.netmar.com> <3A660F19.1E88F782@uci.edu> <945buq$c5o$1@news.netmar.com> <3A66246E.9F831460@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13327

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:
>
> > In article <3A660F19.1E88F782@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> > writes:
>
> > >I really don't want to be drawn into your argument about how lovely
> > >someone swing is or isn't. I simply wanted to comment on your use of
> > >the word sterile. A lack of a swing is not sterile code. I would
> > >simply like to understand your negative attitude about properly timed
> > >code.
>
> > Jim,
> >
> > I don't know how much plainer I can make this! It sounds like robots
> > talking to robots.
>
> So it's more of a personal preference rather than an absolute kind of
> thing. I prefer code that is easy to copy to the mal-adjusted bug,
> non-robotic sound.
>
> > If you don't agree with that attitude, don't answer my CQ, because you
> > won't be treated to "properly timed" code.
>
> Well, practice makes perfect I always say. ;-)

Golly, Jim, that puts you in the same bracket with Brian Burke and Larry Roll, who insist on machine perfect code! What an unlikely Three Mousketeers!

From 4993835980138439584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:55:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.34.3!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7dwyf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942thc$qng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943boh$pc1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<945rm5$a0e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7d6to0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<uxH96.5466$Tg1.523033@nntp3.onemain.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 17
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 03:56:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979876566 206.58.44.28 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 03:56:06 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 03:56:06 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10847
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13328

On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 13:35:08 -0600, Richard McCollum wrote:

>Being something of a nite owl at the time, I got used to White Castles in
>St. Louis which is as far west as they went. Last time I was down there,
>out of nostalgia I stopped in on Hampton Av. Somehow they are not the
>same without the wino sleeping in the corner.

But is the grease - the causation of the term "slider" - the same?

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 5256184818608592446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 19:56:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7dx6q1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References:  <arde6t077dmhohge2iiacvs645o2sd1pvg@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 14
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 03:56:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979876567 206.58.44.28 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 03:56:07
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 03:56:07 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13329
```

On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:48:39 GMT, Aaron Jones wrote:

>There is no "official list". Some codes seem universal (like "10-4")

Actually there is an "official" APCO list but its use is
voluntary. Just like most anything else in this life.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 3610486773045129044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:00:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-xfer.siscom.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
```

```
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 03:49:12 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <948dfm$h3i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<942qh9$nus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<9470hd$743$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.1
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 03:49:12 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.1
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13330

In article <9470hd$743$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >
> > You're using the K3LT argument that since this isn't wireless,
you're
> > free to be a jerk.
> >
>
> Get a grip, Brian.  For reasons known only to you, you have decided
> to treat me like Robeson treats Len Anderson, casting me in the role
> of some sort of radio-ogre.  It was amusing for awhile, now it's just
> an annoyance sorta like when an excited but untrained puppy pees on
> the floor when he sees his master first time in the morning.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

Hans, apologies.  I wasn't aware of your sensitive bladder.  I'll avoid
early morning responses to your messages so you won't soil your pants.

I can't be held responsible if you peek at my responses to others.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -7191711793863536541
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:00:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
```

```
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 03:51:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <948dkk$h76$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <t69t1c89uqur89@news.supernews.com> <20010117072952.05313.00001000@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <948c2l$ifg$2@208.207.71.174>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.1
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 03:51:50 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.1
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13331
```

In article <948c2l$ifg$2@208.207.71.174>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
> >
> > >Ed, this wouldn't be a fair test. no-coders aren't smart enough to
build
> > >things, least of all their code speed.
> >
> > In what way do you think learning Morse code will improve their
ability to
> > construct equipment?
> >
>
> Maybe they could connect the dots? :-)

That would only result in Mega Dashes, which are completely useless in
construction.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -5378722589042709229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:10:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 04:01:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <948e6f$hmo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <940l48$1po$1@208.207.71.175> <20010116081357.05657.00001661@ng-cl1.aol.com> <942lvv$k2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <948bki$ifg$1@208.207.71.174>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.1
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 04:01:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.1
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13332

In article <948bki$ifg$1@208.207.71.174>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> >who tought Orville Wright to fly?
>
> Wilbur

The talking Mule? He was damn smart, wasn't he?

> >Who taught Sammy Morse the code?
>
> Alfred Vail

Oh yeh, thats why its called the "Vail Code."

> > Either can be self-taught.
>
> I sure hope you try to teach yourself to fly. You already blew the
> code.

FCC says I didn't. I'll let you know what the FAA says.

> >Today it just depends on the gubmn't
> > regulations.
>
> Yeah, Right!

There's that CBer attitude showing through.

Put any transmitter on the air or plane in the air and you are dealing
with gubmn't regulations.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 1744419040052647775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:20:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 04:10:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <948eoc$i5j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <947gb6$mkt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.1
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 04:10:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.1
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13333
```

In article <947gb6$mkt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:

> In article <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>,
>   "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
> > As politically incorrect as that is, tough noogies, work me on 75
> > at 35 WPM and then argue against CW. At least I will respect your
> > opinion even if different than mine. Those that can't meet the
> > above, quit your crying!
>
> Some of us believe in finding peaceable solutions to this situation,
> but that doesn't mean we're timid, or wimpy, or "pc" freaks, or that
> peaceable responses come naturally to us without conscious effort.
> And if some other people like to growl, posture, and piss in all
> the corners, it doesn't necessarily prove they're tough-minded
> kings of the forest whose opinion is more valid because they say
> they can send it at 35WPM: On the Internet, no one can tell you're
> a chihuahua.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

Hans, there appears to be the hint of a counter-challenge in your reply to Fred. Anyway, I like the peacable solution part. Do you have any details?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 5587468190238818008
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:22:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 19 Jan 2001 04:21:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <948fd0$rqj$0@208.206.142.78>
References: <944ouu$ap6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118050553.05040.00002270@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13334
```

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >From: xjim@my-deja.com
>
> >If you go no further, you have failed at Amateur Radio.
>
> I agree, Jim. I believe that your statement applies to the ham tests of any
> era. It applies to those who have a BSEE. I think it is expected that we will
> actually do something with our licences. The FCC does not allocate us spectrum
> because we pass tests. .

So without an effective test what assures that a licenesee ever did
anything at all???

```
From -442009122703562381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:29:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 04:28:30 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7ba8x2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010118232830.09475.00000875@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13335

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g7ba8x2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>>Roger that.
>
>  ...good buddy and I'm gone.....

"Roger that" is current military parlance...off the radio.

Has the same meaning (sometimes) as "absolutely."

didit


From 6112240835052988585
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:29:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 04:28:39 GMT
References: <9470hd$743$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Message-ID: <20010118232839.09475.00000879@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13336

In article <9470hd$743$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> writes:

      <on a "lecture" to Brian Burke...>

>  It was amusing for awhile, now it's just
>an annoyance sorta like when an excited but untrained puppy pees on
>the floor when he sees his master first time in the morning.

If you would quit peeing on the floor the problem would go away...
```

Be nice or you won't get a doggie treat...

didit

From -4750704930049359422
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:29:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 04:28:40 GMT
References: <20010118051156.05040.00002273@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Message-ID: <20010118232840.09475.00000880@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13337

In article <20010118051156.05040.00002273@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: Bob Wex w2ilp
>
>>I believe it is also because ham radio, even without a Morse code
>>exam, is considered old hat by those who are already using cell-phones
>>and capable of brousing on the Internet.
>
>Why are there more hams now than there were before cell phones and internet
>became widespread?

A look at the stats of new licensees over the last decade
(life of the Internet, now 10 years old...most of the life of
cellular telephony, now about 14 years old) will show that
the overwhelming majority are the no-code-test Technician
class. QED.

didit

From 7089362172678549360
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:29:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!

```
not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 04:28:38 GMT
References: <20010118042143.05040.00002252@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <20010118232838.09475.00000878@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13338

In article <20010118042143.05040.00002252@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
>>> Political Editor -  December 1934 Radio magazine
>
>>. . . and today we have greatly expanded HF privs vs. 1934 with more
>>coming, the old brawl has simply moved up the spectrum.
>
>And those threats did actually surface, again and again over the decades.  It
>was only by addressing them at the international level that Amateur Radio
>retained its spectrum.
>
>Don't just look to VHF and up for spectrum threats.  There are HF allocations
>on the plate now, both with new spectrum being sought and with spectrum under
>challenge.  Even 40 meters is once again going to be considered.

The "40m problem" has been on-going since WARC '79.  That's
going to be a 24 years old "once again" by WRC 2003.  Is it "on
the table" in the USA agenda for WRC 2003?  [No...]

didit




From -5502486570053021767
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:29:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 04:28:29 GMT
```

```
References: <3A662EB5.79A21E16@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Message-ID: <20010118232829.09475.00000874@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13339

In article <3A662EB5.79A21E16@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>> There is no time machine to go back to undo the past.  There
>> is the possibility that the existing and future FCC can solve
>> some problems in US civil radio.  Support the FCC in
>> regulation of radio...or become lawless vigilantes who are
>> outnumbered by others.  There is no honor or respect in
>> vigilanteism.
>
>Indeed and agreed. Probable factoid: The FCC would much prefer dealing
>with some inane but basically harmless freebanders than they would a
>phlanx of amateur (in the more common sense) "helper" frequency cops up
>their butts.

A problem with any vigilante group of self-styled "cops" occurs
when they run into another vigilante group having different
ideas of what is "best."  Nobody wins except the Real cops.

Remember that CB users outnumber radio amateurs by a
considerable ratio.

didit


From -178349890274468007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:29:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 04:28:37 GMT
References: <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010118232837.09475.00000876@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13340

In article <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:
```

>Kerry King wrote:
>
>> The California power crisis is not due to what we have been led to
>> believe. Instead, it is the fault of the internet.
>>
>> You who are reading this helped cause the problem, as CNN has documented
>> at http://www.cnn.com/2001/TECH/computing/01/17/internet.energy.idg
>
>That's ten days old. It's a La-la land power industry scam from top to
>bottom, capitalism gone berserk.

No, just greed and inefficiency by some private electric power
providers. In the Real "La-La Land" (Los Angeles), the
municipal Department of Water and Power has NO electricity
shortage. In fact, the DWP is today (Thursday) selling electric
power to mid-California!

No rolling blackouts in Los Angeles, none expected. The
only one "going berserk" is the press trying to make a
Big Story out of it. Idiotic journalism.

>> Shame on all of you!
>
>I notice that you're still connected.

...he might be computing by candle light...to save power.

didit

From 3366572854636338576
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b4fee47003b3f559
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:29:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 04:28:37 GMT
References: <94624l$eno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: newbie
Message-ID: <20010118232837.09475.00000877@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13341

In article <94624l$eno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, 2obvious@my-deja.com writes:

>I'm an art student with no radio experience who needs to buy an FM

>transmitter for a project he's working on. I have this metal box with
>an FM radio inside it. It picks up all local stations fine, even
>inside the metal box. For my project, I'm trying to broadcast digital
>voice from my computer onto an FM frequency and into the box. I spent
>$30 on a transmitter from Radioshack, and it worked so poorly that I
>could barely pick up the signal even when the radio was outside of the
>metal box. I don't want to make this mistake again.

Do not use a metal box. Use a plastic box. Many kinds
available.

A metal box surrounding the FM receiver will shield it from
picking up anything but very strong signals...to strong to be
legal in a "wireless mike" type of application. Plastic is not
a shield to stop radio waves.

>Or if
>this isn't the best usenet group to post this in, can anyone point me
>to a more appropriate one?

The above answer to your problem is the quickest and cheapest.

If you want to have a very cheap cover for the FM receiver, go to
a supermarket and buy a plastic food container. Many different
sizes. Paint it whatever color you want with spray enamel.
Enamel works better than a lacquer paint on that type
of plastic.

I did that with a remote temperature sensing gauge that transmits
outside air temperature to an indoor indicator. The container
keeps rain out of the remote gauge. [Frequency is about 433
MHz, five times as high in frequency as the FM broadcast band]

Len Anderson
(former art-illustration student in the 1950s)

From -6435968191891356446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:29:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 112
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 04:28:27 GMT
References: <944ukq$4cs$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010118232827.09475.00000873@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13342

In article <944ukq$4cs$1@iac5.navix.net>, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:

>Len, I guess I can understand your resentment towards those that obtained a
>formal education.

"Resentment?!?" I had 11 years of college-level formal
education at night while working full-time during the day for
15 years. My only "resentment" is towards the arrogant
recycled log bundles who accumulate paper without the
accumulation of knowledge.

>The fact is many have neither the ability or the self
>discipline to learn on their own.

I disagree. Did someone come along and inject you with your
"superiority" knowledge or did you develop that all on your own?

>They are not blessed with your tenacity and innate intelligence.

Tenacity has no relation to intelligence.

>The problem with being self taught is you have someone as ignorant as you
>for a teacher.

Oh, my. Will you start using swear words next?   :-)

>One wonders if a self taught instant extra has even considered the safety
>issues associated with high voltages and mechanical supports.

I wonder why some jelly-bellies want to get chewed on... :-)

The modern 1 KW final PA runs on about 50 VDC maximum
...roughly twice the voltage considered "safe" in the National
Electric Code for residence wiring. Not exactly lethal unless
one sticks 50 V wire ends into their chest.

Jelly, old bean, I broke into HF transmissions on 1 to 15 KW
tube transmitters. In 1953. Rather high voltages there. Those
PW-15s by Press Wireless had very sturdy mechanical
supports. I don't recall any of the many non-Extras who
worked at Army station ADA were fatally or even near-fatally
harmed.

>I suffered through a formal education that pointed me in directions I would
>not have even considered.

"Suffered?!?" You didn't feel you were "superior" at the time?   :-)

My college-level formal education was almost entirely elective since my "direction" was already made and in-progress. The formality of sitting in class listening to basic training in English and Math (when I had already used both on the job) was a requirement towards a piece of paper that said I was a Bachelor or something. I was already a bachelor, Jelly. :-)

I did "suffer" through my last English class since I intended to court the nice female instructor. Wouldn't have looked good to mess around with an instructor before finals and grading, would it? [we parted amicably a half year later in case you wondered]

>Things that I would have discounted on my own as
>being useless, I admit some where, but many came to be of valuable use in
>latter years.

Speaking of "suffering," I took a non-credit class in digital logic where the Japanese-American instructor had some kind of Prussian complex (strange ethnic cross-over). In this martinet's class an Exclusive-OR was not permitted. He wanted to "expel" me (from a non-credit class) when I brought in a standard TI Ex-OR quad chip. "Doesn't exist in my class!" he shouted. I've had required psychology classes where the instructors needed psych counseling worse than the students. I've had required history instructors who wanted to rewrite American history (in favor of the "south"). Bullshit most of them except for math...some of which I already knew and some of which I would never, ever use again.

Fred JLE, don't try to "lecture" me on college-level "directions." Try to "lecture" me on Extra class class distinction and your "superiority" of CW above and beyond every thing else in amateur radio.

Was it really "worth it" to sit night after night listening to some instructor-expert tell me what I had to know on my job when I was already doing my job successfully? Not really. Probably a third of my classes were attended by those who already knew what to do sucessfully but had to endure it all for some piece of paper "proving" they could do what they were already doing very well.

What does a student do during "homework?" Think about it. They SELF-TEACH by completing their assignment, showing their work. They only thing different between such self-teaching and self-techning from a text or informative article is that the instructor in a formal class will "grade" the student towards some achievement of a piece of paper.

Which do you consider the Most Important, Mr. W4JLE, actually having learned-and-accomplishing something on your own or having an accreditation certificate or diploma hanging on a wall? [I think everyone can see the preference but it

would be nice to see the words of rationaliz...oops,
justification as to which is "superior."   :-)]

>While your opinions of my stances were read and enjoyed, as always I
>disagree with you.

Naturally. You are so much more "superior."   [barf]

This is a bit like nailing jelly to a tree...

didit

From -6351384337320096309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:32:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!
not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:31:54 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <948fvu$e26$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <945sbu$idr$1@208.207.71.177> <20010118045423.05040.00002265@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <9488lt$bdu$0@208.207.71.174>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga3pp31.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979878718 14406 166.102.123.96 (19 Jan 2001 04:31:58 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Jan 2001 04:31:58 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13343

Ed is setting there in his dashiki ?) and love beads. In the 60's you had to
espouse all that sensitivity crap if you wanted to get a little trim.

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9488lt$bdu$0@208.207.71.174...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > Frankly, Dick, I would much rather see new hams with sensitivities than
those
> > who are cold and insensitive to the feelings of others.

```
>
>  So there are no third categories?
>  You Political Correct mavens insist that your choices are all there is.
> What would be the matter with some newcomers with an attitude of "I'm
> gonna get this and do it well, make my mistakes and get it right and to
> heck with the minor irritations, whatever they may be. Maybe I can learn
> something about it all from them, if I don't let the chip blow off my
> shoulder".
>  It must be in the water. NO one today seems to have anything but a
> fragile, easily destroyed ego. If I had been like that I'd have never
> made it into the teenage years, much less far beyond.




From 2777310764503364023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:40:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 04:27:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 79
Message-ID: <948fmn$j0g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com>
<3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net> <947s0e$271$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<9489rs$ek7$0@208.207.71.174>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.1
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 04:27:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.1
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13344

In article <9489rs$ek7$0@208.207.71.174>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > In article <3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net>,
> >   "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > I was just pointing out how the gaff could have been easily been
> > > > avoided. The next time that you wear a bathing suit to church,
will
```

> > > > you get mad at those who laugh at you??
> > >
> > > Some don't think that wearing a bathing suit to church is nearly
as
> > bad as
> > > saying "first personal" instead of "name" on a repeater. :-) I
know
> > you
> > > don't like me to ask questions, but I can't think of how to do so
> > without a
> > > question mark, so I will just come out with it -- what do you
think?
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> > True story.
> >
> > Just after the no-code Tech license was authorized, I heard a QSO in
> > progress on the 146.85 machine in St Louis. An OT with a brand new
NCT
> > license/call was getting reamed by a 2x2 named Curtis. It appeared
that
> > the Tech had identified himself sayng "Oh" where the "Zero" should
have
> > been. The 2x2 was calling the new ham a stupid idiot.
> >
> > The Tech explained that it was an "Oh" and not a zero because the
> > instructor in his Tech class said in ham radio the sero is ALWAYS
> > slashed. The sero on the license wasn't slashed.
> >
> > Then a few other hams tried to intercede and change the subject, but
NO.
> > Curt just wouldn't let it go. It really got ugly and I was
embarassed
> > for ham radio and felt sorry that the new ham had to get that kind
of
> > greeting.
> >
> > Now Dick will come on here and say that the new Tech should already
> > know everything there is to know about ham radio and "should have
known"
> > that it couldn't have been an "Oh." After all, he passed a test.
>
> Don't you ever get tired of being wrong, Gnat? As it happens I did
have
> a contact with a new ham where the very same thing came up, the zero
> without the slash on it in his callsign.

Is your name Curt? Did you have a 2x2 call? You're probably just
remembering my last retelling of the story a couple of years back.

> I mentioned to him that it

> actually was a zero but the FCC's computers stopped putting the slash
> in the zero years ago.

Then your St Louis buds should stop telling these old ham tales.

> Evidently the FCC doesn't know the difference, either.

What brings you to that conclusion?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -485672984382671928
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 20:43:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 19 Jan 2001 04:43:07 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <948gkr$23t$0@208.206.142.78>
References: <945j6m$3lm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118042909.05040.00002255@ng-ff1.aol.com> <946kre$t33$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13345

Brian wrote:
>
g the OTs bitching, but I've begun countering they're
> insane accusations. And as a 13 participant, I am a rank beginner in
> Ham Years.

Yeah, you sure have acted like a 13 year old participant...
>
> That should put some perspective on the situation.

Yep

From -2142587588110819602
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 21:00:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A67C9B7.8A69684A@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <20010118232837.09475.00000876@nso-
fj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 39
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:59:35 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.58
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979880361 206.20.144.58 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 22:59:21
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 22:59:21 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13346

Len Over 21 wrote:

> >That's ten days old. It's a La-la land power industry scam from top to
> >bottom, capitalism gone berserk.
>
> No, just greed and inefficiency by some private electric power
> providers.

>
I'm having a hard time believing that nobody saw this coming and decided let it flow in order to turn a dime on it.
>

> In the Real "La-La Land" (Los Angeles), the
> municipal Department of Water and Power has NO electricity
> shortage. In fact, the DWP is today (Thursday) selling electric
> power to mid-California!

>
Fortuntely for LA it has it's own system. Didn't know that until now.
>

> No rolling blackouts in Los Angeles, none expected. The

> only one "going berserk" is the press trying to make a
> Big Story out of it. Idiotic journalism.

>
No doubt there is a lot of that, there always is, but blackouts cost buckets of money for everybody involved and big outfits like Intel deciding to build somewhere else has real-world ramifications.
>
The silver lining should be that it's a wakeup call for other regions even if it is more hype than reality. The power execs around here are in the news answering pointed questions in a very defensive mode. A couple state reps are calling for formal independent reviews of the situation here. Works for me.
>
w3rv

```
From -2041505681065682833
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 21:09:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 00:09:50 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 96
Message-ID: <948i74$fb6$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <944ukq$4cs$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010118232827.09475.00000873@nso-
fj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga3pp31.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979880996 15718 166.102.123.96 (19 Jan 2001 05:09:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Jan 2001 05:09:56 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13347
```

I am sure that the Army put those people around 15KW transmitters with no training. At least we did not do that in the Navy.

Please explain your definition of the word Jelly, other than something to spread on bread with peanut butter, other definitions elude me.

As to your education, it certainly left me impressed.

I do have a problem following your train of thought sometimes, I am sure it is purely in my interpretation.

as ever

73 Fred W4JLE

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
> Jelly, old bean, I broke into HF transmissions on 1 to 15 KW
> tube transmitters. In 1953. Rather high voltages there. Those
> PW-15s by Press Wireless had very sturdy mechanical
> supports. I don't recall any of the many non-Extras who
> worked at Army station ADA were fatally or even near-fatally
> harmed.
>
> >I suffered through a formal education that pointed me in directions I
would
> >not have even considered.
>
> "Suffered?!?" You didn't feel you were "superior" at the time?   :-)
>
> My college-level formal education was almost entirely elective
> since my "direction" was already made and in-progress. The
> formality of sitting in class listening to basic training in English
> and Math (when I had already used both on the job) was a
> requirement towards a piece of paper that said I was a Bachelor
> or something. I was already a bachelor, Jelly. :-)
>
> I did "suffer" through my last English class since I intended to
> court the nice female instructor. Wouldn't have looked good to
> mess around with an instructor before finals and grading, would
> it? [we parted amicably a half year later in case you wondered]
>
> >Things that I would have discounted on my own as
> >being useless, I admit some where, but many came to be of valuable use in
> >latter years.
>
> Speaking of "suffering," I took a non-credit class in digital logic
> where the Japanese-American instructor had some kind of
> Prussian complex (strange ethnic cross-over). In this martinet's
> class an Exclusive-OR was not permitted. He wanted to
> "expel" me (from a non-credit class) when I brought in a
> standard TI Ex-OR quad chip. "Doesn't exist in my class!"
> he shouted. I've had required psychology classes where the
> instructors needed psych counseling worse than the students.
> I've had required history instructors who wanted to rewrite
> American history (in favor of the "south"). Bullshit most of
> them except for math...some of which I already knew and
> some of which I would never, ever use again.
>
> Fred JLE, don't try to "lecture" me on college-level
> "directions." Try to "lecture" me on Extra class class
> distinction and your "superiority" of CW above and beyond

> every thing else in amateur radio.
>
> Was it really "worth it" to sit night after night listening to some
> instructor-expert tell me what I had to know on my job when I
> was already doing my job successfully? Not really. Probably
> a third of my classes were attended by those who already
> knew what to do sucessfully but had to endure it all for some
> piece of paper "proving" they could do what they were already
> doing very well.
>
> What does a student do during "homework?" Think about it.
> They SELF-TEACH by completing their assignment, showing
> their work. They only thing different between such self-
> teaching and self-techning from a text or informative article
> is that the instructor in a formal class will "grade" the student
> towards some achievement of a piece of paper.
>
> Which do you consider the Most Important, Mr. W4JLE,
> actually having learned-and-accomplishing something on your
> own or having an accreditation certificate or diploma hanging
> on a wall? [I think everyone can see the preference but it
> would be nice to see the words of rationaliz...oops,
> justification as to which is "superior."   :-)]
>
> >While your opinions of my stances were read and enjoyed, as always I
> >disagree with you.
>
> Naturally. You are so much more "superior."   [barf]
>
> This is a bit like nailing jelly to a tree...
>
> didit

From -5363935299670587608
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 21:10:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 04:59:05 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <948him$kd1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <947gb6$mkt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

<948eoc$i5j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.225.133.219
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 04:59:05 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.225.133.219
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13348

In article <948eoc$i5j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Do you have any details?

Meet me on 40M at 7.030MHz at 0500Z on Jan 22. I'll share them with
you there.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6796341197963838544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 21:11:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A67CC83.5DFD03BC@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
References: <ltde6tkj4rbcnogncr30bpbgp2q9vbnu5u@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 00:11:31 -0500

NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.58
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979881073 206.20.144.58 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:11:13 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:11:13 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13349

Aaron Jones wrote:

> I mean that when a cop hears "10-20" he doesn't think "I must decode
> 10-20....decoded....it means location". In his mind he knows directly
> what "10-20" means and "10-20" has become a word in his vocabulary.
> It's the same with hams and common Q signals. When I hear "QTH" there
> is no mind decoding, I hear and think of it as a word. And yes the
> language *quality* of CW makes me think of code groups as words in my
> vocabulary, no separate mind decoding step needed.

>
What immediately comes to mind when you hear 10-18 or QSX?
>
w3rv.

From -6271520034643541259
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 21:15:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:05:52 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A67CB30.E3AD9D86@IEEE.org>
References: <e5nb6t4vr42j4ntiptnpsrksq1dag2soii@4ax.com> <945sj9$idr$2@208.207.71.177> <3A670CCF.6BA79B75@IEEE.org> <94896q$bdu$1@208.207.71.174>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.bb
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 19 Jan 2001 05:14:24 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13350

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Bend over, ...I've got my golf shoes on......

This is Dick's welcome to newcomers into amateur radio.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 3056624414666804987
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 21:28:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 00:28:09 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3A67D061.4442EB3C@sccoast.net>
References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-
fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A66DEE0.1CD3D57D@sccoast.net> <947eg5$mco$1@iac5.navix.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-190.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979882069 95183 204.116.70.191 (19 Jan 2001 05:27:49
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 05:27:49 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10852
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13351
```

W4JLE wrote:
>
> It has been my experience that the biggest
> "radio Cops" turn out to be no-code techs
> that have had a licenses for a year or so.

I have no idea what license class was involved - its hard to tell
these days with vanity calls.

> I had one start on me one morning when I

> used the term handle. He proceeded to tell
> me how "handle" was a CB term and not used
> on the repeater. Being the shrinking violet
> I am, I went on to explain I used "handle"
> on 11 meters when it was a ham band along
> with a dissertation about correcting people
> who may be new and somewhat hesitant such
> as your wife.

I wish my wife was only "somewhat hesitant" to talk on the radio. It took me some time to convince her to get the license in the first place. She doesn't even like talking on the telephone. Of course, in person, once she gets to know you, she'll talk your head off. And I can't get her to shut up (especially while my favorite tv shows are on).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 7176427844361312608
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 21:35:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 19 Jan 2001 05:35:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <948jn2$9re$0@208.206.142.78>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10853 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13352

Jim Kelley wrote:
>

> Brian Kelly wrote:
> > It's a La-la land power industry scam from top to
> > bottom, capitalism gone berserk.
>
> It's the state beaurocracy gone berserk.
> It's an experiment to see what happens when you require the sellers of
> electricity to sell-off their means of producing electricity, remove the
> limits of wholesale pricing, but keep the limits on consumer pricing.
> The power producers can limit supply so that the wholesale price will go
> as high as they want, and the guy selling it to the end user is stuck
> with the loss. It's as screwed up as anything could possibly be.
>

It's a classic case of officials burying their heads in the sand. Any schoolchild soon learns that you can't buy high and sell low and avoid financial collapse.Sure looks like they just ignored the liklihood that this *would* happen.

This is not something that developed overnight, of course. From all accounts it came from price controls on the retailers of electrical power while no controls were (or could be) placed on wholesalers (after the in-state retailers were forced to divest themselves of their own generating facilities, which was a curious requirement at best, pure socialism at worst).

This is not a problem that just struck like lightning from the blue, it took a while to develolp. Meanwhile did the state officials believe the problem was just going to go away because the solution wasn't pleasant?

And then not a single new generating facility has been built in California in the past 20 years. Where did they think the supply for all the growth demand was coming from? Meanwhile, the state is loaded with environmental activitists who feel real good about locking away all the natural resources for "posterity" instead of meeting the environmental protection challenges headon with sensible protective requirements that are enforced.

> Edison, the company that built practically everything we have out here,
> is getting totally screwed.
> Electricity has always been bargain priced
> out here.

Now you know why. I saw on TV today that PG&E and So. Cal. Edison's stocks have been downgraded on Wall Street to junk bond status. Whew!

> Our taxes will have to go up to pay for it now.

You know what that means. It'll cost twice what it should.

```
From -6738056667676856782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 21:43:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 00:43:41 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3A67D3FF.7EE67598@sccoast.net>
References: <942i7m$gme$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117003418.22631.00000717@nso-fz.aol.com> <943h3e$9r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944ade$t3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A66DEE0.1CD3D57D@sccoast.net> <yIJ96.959$e5.2424444@news.ntplx.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-190.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979882999 102297 204.116.70.191 (19 Jan 2001 05:43:19 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 05:43:19 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10854 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13353
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote in:
>
> > I'll never forget my worst incident with
> > a "radio cop." <snip>
>
> > In the end, the "radio cop" did a good job
> > of defending "proper" tradition on the radio.
> > But in doing so, he ruined my wife's enjoyment
> > of this hobby (and, for all practical purposes,
> > ended her hobby before it even got started).
> > And that is why I'll never forget that "radio cop."
>
> And the really sad part is that the guy probably
> believes that it was worth the price.

You're probably right, Ed. I'm still working to get my wife excited about radio again. And I've become the biggest advocate for new hams in my area. I like to be known as the ham anybody, including the newbee, can contact.

In fact, because of my friendly attitudes towards newbees, just about everyone in the area who is bringing a new person onto the radio for the first time almost always insures that I'm the first person they make contact with.

I've met a lot of interesting people that way. In fact, over a period of time, the numbers really add up and I'm never lacking of someone to talk to on the radio. Most of the time, I end up with too many people to talk to when I sign on (they know my normal on-air times, and are usually waiting).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 2651997142133041957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 21:47:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 19 Jan 2001 05:47:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <948kdu$bj4$0@208.206.142.78>
References: <946kre$t33$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118080025.26214.00000008@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <947shk$2nq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13354
```

Brian wrote:
>
> "Deliberately sending bad code" should have been challenged by more
> readers than just me. It says a lot about where you stand as well.

It just shows how uninformed you really are. Ed was being very polite by not setting you straight. I got over that with you long ago.

From -2264838304119966686

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:12:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 01:12:52 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 95
Message-ID: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-190.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979884753 93232 204.116.70.191 (19 Jan 2001 06:12:33 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 06:12:33 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13355 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10856
```

There has been much talk about the Clinton legacy. As William J. Clinton leaves office, lets take a look at a few things around the country to discover the real Clinton legacy.

Politics & Race Relations...

- A wider divide between Republicans and Democrats than anytime in recent memory.

- A wider divide between blacks and whites than anytime in recent memory.

- Massive expansion of executive power over almost all aspects of American life.

Energy...

- An energy crisis in California, complete with high prices and rolling blackouts.

- High home heating fuel, and vehicle gas, prices nationwide.

Economics...

- An ongoing loss of income for the often white middle class over the last eight years.

- An unwavering increase in the gap between rich and poor.

- An unstable stock market.

Crime Control...

- Youth violence, and other crimes by youth, higher than any other presidential period in history.

- The largest prison population in the world.

- A memory of a president impeached for lying under oath.

Civil Rights...

- Ongoing discrimination against whites in black-owned schools, organizations, and businesses.

- Continuing hiring discrimination against whites through affirmative action programs.

- Widest suppression of religious freedom in the history of this country.

- Significant loss of gun ownership rights.

- Failed program of allowing gays in the military.

Education...

- Strong emphasis on liberal political bias in classroom instruction at public schools.

- Government finance of private colleges and universities with extreme liberal political bias in classroom instruction, while at the same time refusing finance to conservative private schools.

- Emphasis on "feel good" teaching methods rather then on teaching methods which challenge students.

- Least well educated children during any period of nation's history.

Family...

- A historic record for divorces, single parent families, and children born out of wedlock.

- A wider divide between parents and children than anytime in recent memory.

- Diminished parental control over their own children due to endless government intervention.

- A historic record for children giving birth to children.

- A memory of an extramarital affair while president.

Sadly, I wish I could say that these things will improve after Clinton leaves office. But, as long as liberals continue to control so much of American life, these things are simply not likely to improve.

Like Clinton did, Bush also has an opportunity to influence each of these things, but he has not shown any indication so far that he intends to challenge the liberal stranglehold on this country.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 352319083696148497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2518f2cfd932788a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:15:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A67DB64.19FFC371@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 06:15:00 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Wayne Green was right after all
References: <93dj3g$grd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010109012200.03673.00000324@nso-ck.aol.com> <3A5B90D5.E59BA292@ovis.net> <93o23t$930$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s4.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 19 Jan 2001 01:10:51 -0500, s4.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 51
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s4.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13356

Brian wrote:
>
> In article <3A5B90D5.E59BA292@ovis.net>,
>   Dave Heil <K8MN@ovis.net> wrote:
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > > I can debate civilly. Done it. Problem is, nearly all the pro-
> > > code-test advocates prior to April 15th, 2000, would NOT
> > > use civility. That too is in DejaNews archives. Licensees

> > > such as Kehler, Heil, Carroll, and others attempted to use
> > > humiliation and disrespect to contrary points of view.
> > > For those who like "living on the edge," that may appear as
> > > "sport." To those who wish to engage in civil debate it
> > > appears as the attempts at humiliation and disrespect it
> > > was. See DejaNews archives prior to April 15.
> >
> > I've seen very little evidence of you debating much less debating
> > civilly. If you've done it, not many have seen it. Your own posts
> are
> > filled with cutesy and not-so-cutesy name calling.
> >
> > You've never been denied your right to petition your government nor
> your
> > right to post here. You still seem to have trouble convincing anyone
> to
> > buy your views.
> >
> > Have a ticket yet?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, whether cutesy or not, your debate(s) consist of simply putting
> down the "experience/license class" of your opponent, then dismissing
> them out of hand. Fortunately, the FCC didn't accept your approach.
>
> Welcome back. Are you in America? Retired?
>
> 73, Brian

Brian, I'm in West-By-God-Virginia on a full time basis now. The
suitcases have been stored in the barn.

My "opponent" doesn't have a license and has shown little evidence of
any class. He sits at the keyboard all day doing what he accuses others
of doing. He is a twelve year old trapped in a body which has been
shedding skin cells for about seven decades.

Don't get me wrong--I like a lot of older folks. I hope to be one
someday.

Dave K8MN

From 3666743302460399836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:15:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 06:15:06 GMT
References: <iode6tsi5706c3aua35odh2r5cjh4a2uc1@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010119011506.04061.00000527@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13357
```

In article <iode6tsi5706c3aua35odh2r5cjh4a2uc1@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>>The FCC does not allocate us spectrum
>>because we pass tests. .
>
>If "us" means us humans that's exactly what the FCC does...

I don't think so. The FCC allocates spectrum for amateur
radio use. That spectrum isn't allocated BECAUSE
someone passes any tests. Try to get it straight.

didit

```
From 8797765460053710849
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:15:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 06:14:58 GMT
References: <3a6788eb.1082628@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010119011458.04061.00000523@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13358
```

In article <3a6788eb.1082628@netnews.worldnet.att.net>,
Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick) writes:

>On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:37:00 -0500, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
>>The problem will be solved when the big one hits, and Nevada ocean front

```
>>property becomes available.
>>
>   So are you buying desert land like Lex Luther? :-)
>
>       Rick KC2ESD

Impossible.  Fred Jelly *IS* Superman.  Problem is, he sleeps on
a Kryptonite pillow...

didit



From 4344586881837543599
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:15:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 06:15:07 GMT
References: <uxH96.5466$Tg1.523033@nntp3.onemain.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010119011507.04061.00000528@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13359

In article <uxH96.5466$Tg1.523033@nntp3.onemain.com>, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> writes:

>>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>Being something of a nite owl at the time, I got used to White Castles in
>St. Louis which is as far west as they went.  Last time I was down there,
>out of nostalgia I stopped in on Hampton Av.  Somehow they are not the
>same without the wino sleeping in the corner.

White Castle hamburgers, lil bitty things, are available in
ten-packs at Ralphs Supermarkets here in Los Angeles.
Small and over-priced, haven't bothered with them.

Los Angeles is considerably farther west than St. Louis.

Have you tried Ripple?

didit
```

```
From -329535675407735087
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:15:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 06:15:08 GMT
References: <948bcn$ifg$0@208.207.71.174>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010119011508.04061.00000529@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13360

In article <948bcn$ifg$0@208.207.71.174>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> See? All the protestations from myself and others have had an impact.
>You gotta wonder if there would have been any improvement in some of the
>questions had we not sounded off so much about them. Whoever changes the
>questions must have been paying *some* attention. I'llhave to sign into
>the HQ website and check out the current question pool. If a majority
>are written like those it represents a major change in things since I
>was an active VE. Inded it would be easier to just learn the material on
>those few. They would be hard to answer without knowing the material.
>'Twas not alwas so.

Dickie, you've forgotten more than you ever knew...

didit


From 7489746815432605334
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:15:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 06:15:02 GMT
References: <947sj6$5l8$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

```
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010119011502.04061.00000524@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13362

In article <947sj6$5l8$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@USWEST.NET writes:

>>Edison, the company that built practically everything we have out here,
>>is getting totally screwed.  Electricity has always been bargain priced
>>out here.  Our taxes will have to go up to pay for it now.
>
>Despite what the feel-good politicians (in bed with corporate raiders) will
>tell you, there are few things which are best left as regulated regional
>monopolies.

I am in great agreement with you on that, Hans.

Incidentally, Southern California Edison Company (under its larger
umbrella corporation) did not "build everything 'we' have" here.  It is
the largest private electric power supplier in this state, but not the
only one.  'Edison' has been buying power from other suppliers for
years...but got suckered into complacency by regulated rates for
such buying and selling among power suppliers.  LA Department
of Water and Power did not.  LA DWP presently charges about
10 1/2 cents per KWHR to residential subscribers.  Washington
state power companies serving Puget Sound area charge about
6 1/2 cents per KWHR (source:  residential billing from the two
named areas).

didit


From 8420099333862056609
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:15:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 06:15:03 GMT
References: <3A67391A.7D3E9075@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010119011503.04061.00000525@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13361

In article <3A67391A.7D3E9075@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
```

>Kerry King wrote:
>>
>> The California power crisis is not due to what we have been led to
>> believe. Instead, it is the fault of the internet.
>
>When a state doesn't build any power generating plants during the
>ten years the population is doubling, the result is 100% predictable.

Cecil, the only "unpredictability" is two things: Management of
private power companies; over-inflated rates now possible by
sellers of electric power.

The municipal Los Angeles electric power provider, the
Department of Water and Power, has no electric power
shortage. [will you agree that Los Angeles is a large city?]
The LA DWP is presently selling electric power to middle
California. There are no rolling blackouts in the LA DWP
service area, none expected for a long time.

I know that kinda spoils a good back-and-forth, but that's
the situation in Southern California now. :-)

didit

From 3795360252678221520
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:15:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 55
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 06:15:05 GMT
References: <cqde6tcfrv6jl9kah237qdn7ue47j6b3jc@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <20010119011505.04061.00000526@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13363

In article <cqde6tcfrv6jl9kah237qdn7ue47j6b3jc@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>>I thought the ARRL handled the advisory role in 1934?
>
>Radio magazine, which was an established 17 year old publication in

>1934, appears to have had an anti-ARRL editorial policy. ARRL had just
>removed voting privileges from non-hams and that was causing quite a
>stir. I think Radio was trying to capitalize from the controversy.
>From time to time (when things bog down here) I'll scan and post
>excerpts from a few of these articles...

What is this glorious, religious connection to the past and only the past of amateur radio?!?

We already have the self-proclaimed expert on morse code over landline in Jeff the Herman.

If you want some cogent historical information on the history of ALL radio in the first 30 years of its life, read "Continuous Wave: Technology and American Radio, 1900 to 1930," by Hugh G. J. Aitken, Princeton University Press, 1985, 588 pp (clothbound, ISBN 0-691-02390-5). Aitken is George D. Olds Professor of Economics and American Studies at Amherst College. Aitken also wrote "Syntony and Spark: The Origins of Radio," ideal for those 'historians' who don't know much about vacuum tube theory.

Yes, some doofus will jump in here and loudly proclaim about "200 Meters and Down," by DeSoto. To those I would direct to Aitken's book and the large footnote on page 512 of the clothbound edition (Towards the end of Chapter 10). Aitken is looking at the history of all radio, not just the biased view of some amateurs. Amateur radio must coexist with all other radio services and it would serve all amateurs to consider such coexistance on a realistic basis.

It is not fully realistic to get historical data from a few biased sources and spend time in the lodge hall doing high-fives without looking out the window and everyone else. Open the window curtains, clean the glass, and look out. You may not like the view but that's the rest of the radio world, like it or not.

W6MJN loaned me his copy during a Wednesday lunch. Fascinating history.

Okay, go back to your old 1934 ham magazine issues. Be careful that those old acid-paper pages don't crackle and break.   :-)

didit

From 4856555324421584708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:34:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <dnnf6t0h3ua56vbrd8iiol5f4vkqhju3ek@4ax.com>
References: <74ee6t0bin9j7fiq2pkv0i6ssgototb1o9@4ax.com>
<948a23$f4f$0@208.207.71.174>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 06:34:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.2
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979886090 209.86.207.2 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001
22:34:50 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 22:34:50 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13364

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> I once knew an OT who had been off the air so long that he was new to
>repeaters, and when he finally got a two meter rig he called CQ on the
>repeater just as he would have on HF.
> It isn't always the newcomers who miscue.

Yep. Seniority makes little difference in making a gaff. And neither
"CQ" or "W7xxx monitoring" say in plain english what it is we really
want, namely a contact. Hobby jargon and knowing it's proper use is
important, and if you try to operate without knowing it, then let's
hope that you're not too sensitive of a person...
(Human nature being what it is.)     :-)


From -2952322956996611934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:34:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <9cnf6t0chl0kt5bbsrrqab4hun5gmu9hvo@4ax.com>
```

```
References: <arde6t077dmhohge2iiacvs645o2sd1pvg@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7dx6q1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 06:34:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.2
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979886093 209.86.207.2 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001
22:34:53 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 22:34:53 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13365
```

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:

> Actually there is an "official" APCO list

The first published 10-code was in an APCO bulletin in January of 1940, however they don't claim to have invented the code. They tried to standardize it in 1973, but it never caught on and there are hundreds of versions currently being used. Yes they call it "official" but nobody seems to notice... (Like ARRL phonetics?)

```
From -5821736671375571330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:39:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 01:38:52 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <948ne1$i6t$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3a6788eb.1082628@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
<20010119011458.04061.00000523@nso-mh.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.26.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979886337 18653 166.102.250.26 (19 Jan 2001 06:38:57 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Jan 2001 06:38:57 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13366
```

Len, not one time have I made derogatory remarks towards you, nor have I made nasty remarks about your positions I disagree with.

Why do you feel that it is necessary to make smart remarks and idiotic childish repartee?

If you cannot afford me the same courtesy I extend to you, please find someone else to respond to.

Are you not capable of defending a position like an adult?

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010119011458.04061.00000523@nso-mh.aol.com...
```
> In article <3a6788eb.1082628@netnews.worldnet.att.net>,
> Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick) writes:
>
> >On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 16:37:00 -0500, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> >
> >>The problem will be solved when the big one hits, and Nevada ocean front
> >>property becomes available.
> >>
> >   So are you buying desert land like Lex Luther? :-)
> >
> >       Rick KC2ESD
>
> Impossible.  Fred Jelly *IS* Superman.  Problem is, he sleeps on
> a Kryptonite pillow...
>
> didit
```

From -8572327518719405473
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 22:54:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!paxfeed.eni.net!howland.erols.net!newscon04-ext.news.prodigy.com.MISMATCH!newscon04.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!not-for-mail
From: "Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net>
Newsgroups:

rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 22:52:23 -0800
Organization: Prodigy http://www.prodigy.com
Lines: 151
Message-ID: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a060-1304.lsan.splitrock.net
X-Trace: newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com 979887219 3479183 63.255.51.34 (19 Jan 2001 06:53:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Jan 2001 06:53:39 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Priority: 3
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13367
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15931 alt.radio.family:3449 rec.radio.cb:22447
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1886

The rapidly-expanding Family Radio Service has exposed millions of young people to the world of radio communications. But now, where is a young kid to go who has is interested in experimenting with radios and get more range than the typical 1/2 mile of FRS? MURS might help a bit(if Motorola doesn't get their way), but you know that a growing mind is eventually going to want more than just this. GMRS doesn't have the resources, and is not a service that was created to foster experimentation. Like it or not, the typical young person ends up cutting his radio experimention teeth in the Citizen's Band service, because there isn't a reasonable entry-level ham license for kids(it is even worse now after restructuring, what with the elimination of the Novice Class).

Everyday now the USA is falling further and further behind Europe and Japan in the wireless communications race. As hams, it is time we make an effort to insure that our young people are provided with the proper environment, incentives, and role models to properly learn radio communications techniques and etiquette. It is time for hams to stop complaining, step up to the plate, and take an active role in seeing that our bright, young technical minds are being taught properly.

As a result of this, I have reworked the Communicator entry-level license proposal I submitted to the FCC last year, and I will now be proposing a new entry-level Amateur Radio class known as the "Colt" class. I submit a draft of the proposal for your review below. Please keep in mind that the future of American involvement in wireless communications development depends on us to properly encourage and teach

tomorrow's experimenters, operators,
technicians, and engineers...

****

Proposal to FCC to institute an introductory-level "Colt" license class in the Amateur Radio Service - REV A

In the late 1980's and early 1990's when the current Amateur Radio Service Technician Class license was conceived and implemented, it was an appropriate alternate introductory license class. However, due to the recent elimination of the Novice Class license, and as a result of improving technology; the Technician Class license no longer serves well as the only introductory license, as it is doing poorly in drawing young members into the Service. As a result of license restructuring, it is now actually harder for young people to obtain an Amateur license than it was before restructuring.

In this critical era of global competition, especially in the wireless communications arena, it is essential that we provide a means for properly educating our young people in RF communications operation and theory.

Today, technologies like the Family Radio Service, high-speed telephone & cable modems, the Internet, and inexpensive cellular telephones, have overshadowed the privileges of the Amateur Radio Service, and made the requirements for young beginners to obtain a Technician Class license out of date. Additionally, the Technician Class license has now evolved into a beginning and ending point for most modern day Amateurs, who are mostly interested in VHF/UHF communications, rather than HF communications. Incentive licensing has virtually been eliminated.

The need for a Service dedicated to the goal of teaching young radio users proper radio operation techniques and etiquette can clearly be seen in the disarray present on the 11 meter Citizen's Band Service frequencies. The fact is, the Citizen's Band has become the defacto introductory service for young people just beginning to learn to experiment with radio; yet the Citizen's Band is a very poor environment for young people to be learning in.

Therefore, it is recommended that a new "Colt Class" license be added to the Amateur Radio Service expressly to encourage young individuals to enter the Amateur Radio Service to improve their understanding of RF communications theory, provide a healthy learning environment, and encourage radio experimentation.

On the 70cm UHF band, Colt licensees would be restricted to voice communications on 5 simplex-only 20khz-spaced channels on 446.00, 446.02, 446.04, 446.06, and 446.08 Mhz; and digital communications up to 19,200bps on 1 simplex-only 60khz bandwidth channel on 446.14Mhz.

On the 1.25m VHF band, Colt licensees would be restricted to voice communications on 5 simplex-only 20khz-spaced channels on 223.42, 223.44, 223.46, 223.48, and 223.50 Mhz; and digital communications up to 19,200bps on 1 simplex-only 60khz bandwidth channel on 223.56Mhz.

To encourage experimentation/understanding of antenna and VHF/UHF RF propagation theory, Colt licensees would also be allowed to setup and/or operate through a VHF/UHF crossband, simplex user input/output, repeater station on two 20khz-bandwidth channels on 223.52Mhz and 446.10Mhz. This would allow them to setup an inexpensive repeater system by interconnecting the voice/mic connections via a resistive circuit on two simplex radios; and would not require expensive, and difficult to understand, cavity and duplexer filtering at the repeater station site. User radio costs would be low, as they would only need a single simplex radio. As a result of the characteristics of this type of repeater, the repeater builders and users would learn to coordinate frequencies, and user groupings based on environmental conditions, so as to acheive optimal results.

Colt license users would also be subject to the following restrictions:

(1) Individuals applying for a Colt Class license must be under 19 years of age at the time of license application or renewal. The license is valid for 5 years.

(2) Fixed control station antennas will be no more than 6.1 meters (20 feet) above the ground or above the building or tree on which it is mounted.

(3) Transmissions limited to 5 watts ERP, or less.

The new license class would have the following goals:

1) Encourage more young people to enter the Amateur Radio Service, by providing an entry-level ARS license class that includes privileges allowing reliable short-range voice and data communications.

2) Not significantly impact existing users of the affected Amateur Radio Service frequency bands.

3) Encourage basic knowledge of radio, electronics, operating principles and etiquette among a wider segment of the general population.

4) Take advantage of the success of the Family Radio Service, and provide a path for those young users interested in further radio experimentation.

The Colt license exam would consist of 25 fairly simple questions. Emphasis would be put on understanding the existing operational, safety, etiquette and emergency questions from theTechnician Class question pool that relate specifically to Colt Class license privileges. All questions containing calculations would contain small integer(whole-number) input values and have relatively small integer results. Colt licensees would be required to have a general understanding of Ohm's Law and Power relations. They should be required to have a general understanding of how antenna length relates to wavelength; and have a knowledge of transmitter power, antenna gain, transmission line loss, and the ability to perform a simple ERP calculation would be required. General knowledge of simple resistive circuits, and their power dissipation, would be required. Knowledge of capacitors and inductors would not be required.

Stewart Teaze - N0MHS

```
From 3157493914669137128
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 23:14:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-xfer.siscom.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <7bqf6tcdiqd8rnnvi0bj7m1ji5p2bh3b7n@4ax.com>
References: <iode6tsi5706c3aua35odh2r5cjh4a2uc1@4ax.com>
<20010119011506.04061.00000527@nso-mh.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 07:14:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.2
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979888452 209.86.207.2 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001
23:14:12 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:14:12 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13368
```

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> I don't think so. The FCC allocates spectrum for amateur
> radio use. That spectrum isn't allocated BECAUSE
> someone passes any tests. Try to get it straight.

I didn't have any spectrum allocated to me until I passed my test and got a license. That's pretty straight. But you knew that I was just yanking Ed's chain didn't you? I didn't expect to get two fish with only one hook... :-)

From 8712715596253780980
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 23:14:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <1aqf6tkde82oj3bmlfmuttpdnj2835g8pa@4ax.com>
References: <cqde6tcfrv6jl9kah237qdn7ue47j6b3jc@4ax.com>
<20010119011505.04061.00000526@nso-mh.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 07:14:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.2
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979888454 209.86.207.2 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:14:14 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:14:14 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13369

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>What is this glorious, religious connection to the past and
>only the past of amateur radio?!?

Because this is an *amateur radio* newsgroup...

>If you want some cogent historical information on the history
>of ALL radio in the first 30 years of its life, read...

But I'm not interested in all radio history, just ham radio history,
which is why I collect old callbooks, ham magazines, license manuals,
ham databases, ect. And I scan and post old ham stuff from time to
time for discussions sake on this *ham* newsgroup...

From 4032825940860016626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 23:14:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!

```
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <f6qf6t8k2omsecik8uvq4a264t479n0o1h@4ax.com>
References: <ltde6tkj4rbcnogncr30bpbgp2q9vbnu5u@4ax.com>
<3A67CC83.5DFD03BC@dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 07:14:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.2
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979888456 209.86.207.2 (Thu, 18 Jan 2001
23:14:16 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:14:16 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13370

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>
>Aaron Jones wrote:
>
>> I mean that when a cop hears "10-20" he doesn't think "I must decode
>> 10-20....decoded....it means location". In his mind he knows directly
>> what "10-20" means and "10-20" has become a word in his vocabulary.
>> It's the same with hams and common Q signals. When I hear "QTH" there
>> is no mind decoding, I hear and think of it as a word. And yes the
>> language *quality* of CW makes me think of code groups as words in my
>> vocabulary, no separate mind decoding step needed.
>
>>
>What immediately comes to mind when you hear 10-18 or QSX?

That I need to go look them up...  :-)    Your point?



From 6595789223818841267
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 23:16:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 19 Jan 2001 07:15:23 GMT
References: <948i74$fb6$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010119021523.17176.00000434@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13371

In article <948i74$fb6$1@iac5.navix.net>, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:

>I am sure that the Army put those people around 15KW transmitters with no training. At least we did not do that in the Navy.

I'm sure that your military experience is marginal at best. Did you really reef those sails or weren't you really the cook's helper in the galley? Did you learn morse code by banging on pots and pans or practicing with a spark rig? Were you able to find the sweet spot on the galena crystal?

Yes, US Army signalmen got training. Most of the MOSs at ADA were Field Radio types. A few like myself had different radio MOSs and adapted, learned on the job.

>As to your education, it certainly left me impressed.

I doubt that. Nobody can beat the "superiority" of an expert CW op, can they?

Did you think my education "stopped" after 11 years of formal classroom courses? Certainly not. Myself and colleagues in engineering kept on with self-education just to keep reasonably up-to-date in electronics and radio. Some for no other reason than to keep a job. Most of us for the reason that it was all, repeat ALL, so damn interesting!

>I do have a problem following your train of thought sometimes, I am sure it is purely in my interpretation.

Maybe next time I will "send" in morse code dots and dashes so that your "superiority" in code will let you understand it.

No, I think not. If you can't learn to read properly, there isn't much hope left for you to self-educate yourself in radio on up to 1960 or 1970s level. I might have suggested a subscription to QEX to learn the cutting edge in US amateur radio...but you might have cut yourself. No Band-Aids from me.

37,
didit

```
From 374922327548810466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 23:16:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 82
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 07:15:22 GMT
References: <3A67C9B7.8A69684A@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010119021522.17176.00000433@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13372

In article <3A67C9B7.8A69684A@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> >That's ten days old. It's a La-la land power industry scam from top to
>> >bottom, capitalism gone berserk.
>>
>> No, just greed and inefficiency by some private electric power
>> providers.
>
>I'm having a hard time believing that nobody saw this coming and decided let
>it flow in order to turn a dime on it.

At one time here, roughly two weeks ago, some electric
power brokers were charging FORTY times per KWHR
versus the same rates of 1999.  I don't think anyone saw
that kind of greed.

>> In the Real "La-La Land" (Los Angeles), the
>> municipal Department of Water and Power has NO electricity
>> shortage.  In fact, the DWP is today (Thursday) selling electric
>> power to mid-California!
>
>Fortuntely for LA it has it's own system. Didn't know that until now.

The LA DWP is not perfect.  It has been involved in some
management-politics scandals in regards to water, the W
of DWP.  Since they are a municipal organization, the
county supervisors got a new manager.  The result is
enough electric power for this service area plus the
capacity to help out other areas in California.
```

>No doubt there is a lot of that, there always is, but blackouts cost buckets
>of money for everybody involved and big outfits like Intel deciding to build
>somewhere else has real-world ramifications.

Agreed. Every zone-refining boat going slowly through the furnaces has to be re-melted and started over again if the RF zone heater goes off-line. There's a whole heaping glob of semiconductor makers up in Silicon Gulch...and a few of them down here in nearby Riverside County.

The state of California is not perfect. The state Congress learns rather slowly (a semi big rig suicider might have shaken them up a bit, heh heh). Between the state and the city of Burbank, Lockheed called it quits here and went to a kindler, gentler Georgia. Not on power, but on taxes and other regulations. Burbank = Lockheed used to be an equation. No more. The last Lockheed building has been levelled. End of an aviation era for the locality.

My wife and I were in Burbank this morning. Burbank has their own powerplant and independent about it. The stores in Burbank have cut back severely on lighting to avoid having to buy electricity from elsewhere...such as the LA DWP. :-)

>The silver lining should be that it's a wakeup call for other regions even if
>it is more hype than reality. The power execs around here are in the news
>answering
>pointed questions in a very defensive mode. A couple state reps are calling
>for formal independent reviews of the situation here. Works for me.

Absolutely. Roger that. 10-4. Affirmative. :-)

It's not the "tree huggers" doing it. It's not the municipal power companies doing it. It's not the "fault" of free enterprise. It's the fault of BAD MANAGEMENT of private electricity providers still operating in a monopoly service area. It's partly a fault of bad thinking that a utility service is "just like" the free enterprise selling of goods. It isn't. None of us can go to any store and pick out a bargain on electric power. There are no plugs and jacks on the utility poles that allow us to change connections to "another" electric power company based on personal preferences or KWHR rates. Utilities do NOT have "goods" that can be handled in any conventional free-enterprise system.

Imagine a situation where this pseudo-free-enterprise system was carried to sewer services. [don't want to think of it!]

didit

```
From 6064562019093640748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-18 23:37:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!
not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 02:37:53 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <948qsm$jj8$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <948i74$fb6$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010119021523.17176.00000434@nso-
ba.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.26.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979889878 20072 166.102.250.26 (19 Jan 2001 07:37:58 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Jan 2001 07:37:58 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13373

I should know better than to communicate with someone that is my inferior...


"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010119021523.17176.00000434@nso-ba.aol.com...
> In article <948i74$fb6$1@iac5.navix.net>, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:
>
> >I am sure that the Army put those people around 15KW transmitters with no
> >training. At least we did not do that in the Navy.
>
> I'm sure that your military experience is marginal at best.
> Did you really reef those sails or weren't you really the
> cook's helper in the galley?  Did you learn morse code
> by banging on pots and pans or practicing with a spark
> rig?  Were you able to find the sweet spot on the galena
> crystal?
>
> Yes, US Army signalmen got training.  Most of the MOSs
> at ADA were Field Radio types.  A few like myself had
> different radio MOSs and adapted, learned on the job.
>
> >As to your education, it certainly left me impressed.
>
> I doubt that.  Nobody can beat the "superiority" of an
> expert CW op, can they?
```

>
> Did you think my education "stopped" after 11 years of
> formal classroom courses? Certainly not. Myself and
> colleagues in engineering kept on with self-education just
> to keep reasonably up-to-date in electronics and radio.
> Some for no other reason than to keep a job. Most of us
> for the reason that it was all, repeat ALL, so damn
> interesting!
>
> >I do have a problem following your train of thought sometimes, I am sure
it
> >is purely in my interpretation.
>
> Maybe next time I will "send" in morse code dots and dashes so
> that your "superiority" in code will let you understand it.
>
> No, I think not. If you can't learn to read properly, there isn't much
> hope left for you to self-educate yourself in radio on up to 1960 or
> 1970s level. I might have suggested a subscription to QEX to learn
> the cutting edge in US amateur radio...but you might have cut
> yourself. No Band-Aids from me.
>
> 37,
> didit
>
>

From -4853122504996171534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 00:28:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 08:28:10 GMT
References: <947aaf$ogo$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010119032810.02315.00002703@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13374

In article <947aaf$ogo$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@USWEST.NET writes:

>

>> I became an Extra-class licensee under the "old" system with
>> virtually no one-on-one "Elmering" whatsoever.
>
>It shows Larry, it shows.

Hansl:

Thanks, OM -- I'll take that as a compliment!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 717237341572272995
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 00:28:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 08:28:08 GMT
References: <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Message-ID: <20010119032808.02315.00002698@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13378

In article <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> writes:

>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> --
>>
>> PS: The internet newsgroups are not amateur radio.
>
>PS: That 1x2 after your name is for what? Decoration? Rank?
>
>You're using the K3LT argument that since this isn't wireless, you're
>free to be a jerk.
>

Brian:

Where did Hansl say that? He made a true statement, that Usenet newsgroups are *not* amateur radio. This traffic does not travel on any amateur radio frequencies, unless someone is porting it over to packet, which I strongly doubt. That aside,

are you saying that people on the ham phone bands *never*
behave like "jerks?" That there are no jerks at all in the whole
of amateur radio? If that's what you think, then I think (notice I
didn't say "methinks" -- a true sign of a Usenet jerk!) you'd
better follow Mr. Hollingsworth around for a week!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6087316879520699345
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 00:28:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 08:28:09 GMT
References: <20010117073245.05313.00001001@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010119032809.02315.00002701@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13377

In article <20010117073245.05313.00001001@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Your argument that the other modes require equal testing status
>>doesn't hold water, since using them doesn't require the user to be
>>able to employ the same kind of conditioned response to external
>>stimulation that is the essence of Morse/CW operation.
>
>And that is exactly how I suggest that the mode be tested. As part of the
>exam, a 5-minute code segment should be sent and the applicant should be
>required to answer questions about its content, just as is done now.
>
>What would equalize the testing with the way other modes are tested would be
>to
>include those questions in the overall exam, and require an overall pass rate
>of 75%.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

I see. That way, you get to dumb-down *both* the code and theory tests with one stroke! Makes perfect sense, from the point-of-view of an ARRL HQ employee, who is worried sick about keeping his job and is desperate to do ANYTHING to get a few more people interested in getting a ham ticket and perhaps joining the League! Desperate times call for desperate measures, I guess.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5324181006301862415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 00:28:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 08:28:09 GMT
References: <20010117072049.05313.00000991@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010119032809.02315.00002700@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13376

In article <20010117072049.05313.00000991@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>>There's absolutely no reason to require the skill if the equipment is
>>>not also required. How can one use CW for emergencies without any
>>>equipment?
>
>>Uh, don't look now, but people who know the Morse code and use
>>the CW mode on-the-air usually have the equipment to do so.
>
>I think he missed the point, Cecil.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

I didn't miss the point. The requirement motivates the learning; the learning motivates the need to acquire the equipment. Those who learn the Morse code well enough to effectively employ the CW mode on-the-air will also have the equipment to do so; therefore,

they will be equipped to use that mode in the event of any sort of
emergency where it would be practical to do so.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -4422168159973542810
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 00:28:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 08:28:10 GMT
References: <3A65B4F1.11CC9CDE@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010119032810.02315.00002702@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13375
```

In article <3A65B4F1.11CC9CDE@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Uh, don't look now, but people who know the Morse code and use
>> the CW mode on-the-air usually have the equipment to do so.
>
>Many hams don't even own an HF transceiver. When I moved
>to CA in 1971, I didn't take an HF rig with me. All I had
>was VHF access. I was without CW capability for 15 years.

Cecil:

Omygosh! Well, that would explain a lot -- namely why you've
developed such a strong need for spoiled grape juice!

By the way, I've been putting away some of my favorite spoiled
grape juice lately. Try some Schmitt-Sohnne Riesling Auslase.
You won't regret it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 8022115902559593843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 00:28:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 08:28:08 GMT
References: <944j3f$ljo$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Message-ID: <20010119032808.02315.00002699@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13379

In article <944j3f$ljo$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:

>
>I'd like to know how you feel about 10,000 armed abortion rights activists,
>or 10,000 armed environmentalists, or 10,000 convicted felons, or 10,000
>armed Klu Klux Klansmen standing on the Capitol steps threatening to shoot
>your elected Congressman and Senators - acting on these Consitutional
>rights.
>
>That's different, right?
>
>73, Jim AC6XG

Jim:

In such a situation, an armed response by law-abiding citizens would definitely be in order to prevent the armed environmentalists, convicted felons, abortion rights activists, and Ku Klux Klansmen from *actually* shooting our elected Congressmen and Senators. The responsibilities of lawfully-armed citizens are a two-way street. We have a responsibility to be ready to defend freedom with our lives, our fortunes, and our sacred honor. People such as you describe are probably not as committed to their cause as those of us who are just ordinary, decent citizens who believe in and support our Second Amendment rights.

What causes are you willing to fight and die for, Jim? Are you willing to die for baby killers, tree-huggers, and violent racists? Our high-sounding ideals don't stand for much unless we are people who believe in highly moral principles. The environmental issue aside (I consider myself to be an "environmentalist" also), the people you mention above are just amoral, self-serving criminals. People such as that are usually cowards, and are not willing to risk their lives to defend their beliefs.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -5511493870961926491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 03:26:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 11:25:19 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Message-ID: <20010119062519.13114.00000138@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13380
```

If you respond to the Colt License Proposal thread, please drop alt.radio.family from the header. The majority of FRS users are simply American families using these low-powered radios as short-range "intercoms". They are not radio hobbists. Thanks.

```
From -5238533023938867729
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 04:10:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 12:00:36 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <949a92$6rk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119032808.02315.00002698@nso-
fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.69
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 12:00:36 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.69
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13381
```

In article <20010119032808.02315.00002698@nso-fi.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
>
> >> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >> --
> >>
> >> PS: The internet newsgroups are not amateur radio.
> >
> >PS: That 1x2 after your name is for what? Decoration? Rank?
> >
> >You're using the K3LT argument that since this isn't wireless, you're
> >free to be a jerk.
> >
>
> Brian:
>
> Where did Hansl say that? He made a true statement, that
> Usenet newsgroups are *not* amateur radio. This traffic does not
> travel on any amateur radio frequencies, unless someone is
> porting it over to packet, which I strongly doubt. That aside,
> are you saying that people on the ham phone bands *never*
> behave like "jerks?" That there are no jerks at all in the whole
> of amateur radio? If that's what you think, then I think (notice I
> didn't say "methinks" -- a true sign of a Usenet jerk!) you'd
> better follow Mr. Hollingsworth around for a week!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

True, he did not -say- that. True, Riley gets to deal with the few
jerks in amateur radio who violate the regulations while on the air.

However, taken in the context of the Clint DeSoto thread where I
highlighted the idea of an amateur radio brotherhood, he implied that
hams talking to hams OVER THE INTERNET have no need to share in any ham
brotherhood.

Such an idea spouted from your mouth in years gone by. You appear to
have a loyal following.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5908801023368374306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 04:20:08 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!newsfeed2.skycache.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 12:10:54 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <949asb$78v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <946kre$t33$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118080025.26214.00000008@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <947shk$2nq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <948kdu$bj4$0@208.206.142.78>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.69
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 12:10:54 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.69
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13382

In article <948kdu$bj4$0@208.206.142.78>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > "Deliberately sending bad code" should have been challenged by more
> > readers than just me. It says a lot about where you stand as well.
>
> It just shows how uninformed you really are. Ed was being very polite
> by not setting you straight. I got over that with you long ago.

Dick, I find you Extraness incredibly ineffective and unmotivating
because I still believe that intentionally sending bad code is wrong.

Go send your bad code.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2387383488579174088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 04:32:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6833A9.8D060530@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
References: <ltde6tkj4rbcnogncr30bpbgp2q9vbnu5u@4ax.com>
<3A67CC83.5DFD03BC@dvol.com> <f6qf6t8k2omsecik8uvq4a264t479n0o1h@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 07:31:37 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.59
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979907478 206.20.144.59 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 06:31:18
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 06:31:18 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13383


Aaron Jones wrote:

> >>
> >What immediately comes to mind when you hear 10-18 or QSX?
>
> That I need to go look them up...  :-)    Your point?

>
My point is that there are very few of these codes are in general use,
having to look them up defeats the whole intended purpose of the things.
>
w3rv





From 7369896257806000112
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 04:41:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!enews.sgi.com!news.good.net!news!not-for-mail
From: "Keith Casey" <kc7kc@bigfoot.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 05:41:00 -0700
Organization: BeWell.Net News Server
```

Lines: 65
Message-ID: <949e70$dk0$1@news.bewellnet.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<943d37$5ch$0@208.207.71.134> <944i5d$kam@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
<3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 03-033.076.popsite.net
X-Trace: news.bewellnet.com 979909665 13952 64.24.213.33 (19 Jan 2001 13:07:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@bwn.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 13:07:45 +0000 (UTC)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15933
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8314 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10862
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13384

The VE's were incorrect to ask for another fee to take the extra. That should have been covered under the one fee.

Also, the VE group can keep a part of the fee, but only enough to cover their expenses. Their time cannot be charged. The rules did just change on keeping records of expenses incurred.

Keith, KC7KC

"K7MEM" <jda@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com> wrote in message
news:3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com...
> John Passaneau wrote:
> >
> > The ARRL VE book, said, 1 fee for each test session, and it covered
> > as many elements as the test taker wanted to take, or the VE have.
> > But it is a new fee to retake a failed element. So as long as you
> > keep passing you can do as many elements as you can pass for 1 fee.
> > Remember though that most VE sessions have only 2 or 3 versions
> > of a test element available and if you flunk all that they have,
> > your done for that session.
> >
> > Dick Carroll"wrote:
> > >
> > > Steve Woodruff wrote:
> > > >
> > > > ..but i was suprised tonight to learn that i had to
> > > > pay the $10 fee for EACH exam i took. I upgraded to general,
> > > > and had intentions of attempting the extra class exam....
> > > > but when i found out it would cost me an additional $10
> > > > i figured i'd wait until next month when i could be
> > > > reasonably sure that i'd pass with ease.
> > >
> > > If that is permissable evidently they have changed the rules. When I

> > > ran a VE team ('85 thru '93) the rules said one fee for all tests
taken
> > > at that session. Later it was deemed permissable to charge an
additional
> > > fee for a retest of a failed element at the same session, but we never
> > > did.
>
> It's been a few years, but when I made General, I took the Tech,
> General, and 13 WPM code at the same time for one fee ($6.45).
> At another session I took the Extra and the 20 WPM code tests
> again for one fee ($6.45). At the time, I was under the impression
> that this fee went directly to the ARRL, to cover the cost
> of the testing materials that they supplied. That ment that the
> club, which was running the VE session, was really charging
> nothing for their time.
>
> Was I correct in my reasoning? Aren't they, the club, also allowed
> to charge a small fee to cover their expenses?
>
> Martin K7MEM
>
> --
> Martin E. Meserve K7MEM
> martin.e.meserve AT lmco.com
>
> I respond to news groups and E-Mail on my own time and therefore
> my responses may not be as timely as you, or I, would like them to
> be. If I seem to be ignoring you, send me another E-Mail to jog my
> memory. Opinions are my own and do not reflect the opinions of my
> employer.

From -630793167336364310
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 04:50:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 12:37:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <949cdd$875$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <20010118232837.09475.00000876@nso-
fj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.215
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 12:37:00 2001 GMT

X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.215
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13385

In article <20010118232837.09475.00000876@nso-fj.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> No rolling blackouts in Los Angeles, none expected. The
> only one "going berserk" is the press trying to make a
> Big Story out of it. Idiotic journalism.

It sure is. I find very little about "journalism" in SoCal's media to be anything BUT sensationalist. Not that it isn't anywhere else.

Kinda like the 30MPH "pursuit" with 100 crusiers "chasing" two men..?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5270346013407258116
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 05:19:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 07:09:59 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A683CA7.62CCB689@IEEE.org>
References: <74ee6t0bin9j7fiq2pkv0i6ssgototb1o9@4ax.com>
<948a23$f4f$0@208.207.71.174> <dnnf6t0h3ua56vbrd8iiol5f4vkqhju3ek@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.7f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 19 Jan 2001 13:18:40 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13386

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Seniority makes little difference in making a gaff. And neither
> "CQ" or "W7xxx monitoring" say in plain english what it is we really
> want, namely a contact.

Yeah, "Anybody got yur ears on?" says it all. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1790697011614193693
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 05:27:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!headwall.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 07:17:24 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A683E64.BA8A34AE@IEEE.org>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <948jn2$9re$0@208.206.142.78>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.7f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 19 Jan 2001 13:26:54 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10867 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13387

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Meanwhile, the state is loaded with
> environmental activitists who feel real good about locking away all the
> natural resources for "posterity" instead of meeting the environmental
> protection challenges headon with sensible protective requirements that
> are enforced.

I hope they enjoy sitting around in the dark in the cold. KE6AJH was
sitting at his computer in Santa Cruz yesterday when the blackout hit.
Imagine a day trader being without power for a couple of hours while
IBM is rising 12 points.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -2037608529297810124
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 05:30:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 13:15:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.215
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 13:15:53 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.215
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13388
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15934 alt.radio.family:3453 rec.radio.cb:22469

In article <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
  "Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net> wrote:

>As a result of this, I have reworked the Communicator entry-level
>license proposal I submitted to the FCC last year, and I will now be
>proposing a new entry-level Amateur Radio class known as the "Colt"
>class

Oh noooooooooo....NOT again!

Stewart, I think this "new" license class was the RESULT of one too many "Colt's"........Colt 45 Laager's, that is......

The FCC MAY assign another RM, but I bet a Happy Meal that they just say "Been There, Done That".....

They just got done paring down the number of classes...They did NOT do that just to make room for NEW licenses.

There are already NUMEROUS stories of YOUNG kids (7-8-9) passing the PRESENT Technician license, so I really doubt they will predisposed to creating something "new".

If you REALLY want to make an impact on kids in Amateur Radio, try writing a text FOR kids...THAT will help them along the way. Trying to wrangle a "new" Amateur Radio license out of the FCC for posterity's sake isn't it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7716676541989935267
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 05:32:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 07:22:20 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A683F8C.95FF965@IEEE.org>
References: <3A67391A.7D3E9075@IEEE.org> <20010119011503.04061.00000525@nso-mh.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.7f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 19 Jan 2001 13:31:05 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13389

Len Over 21 wrote:
> I know that kinda spoils a good back-and-forth, but that's
> the situation in Southern California now. :-)

Would you say most of the rabid CA environmentalists are
in the middle and Northern parts of CA?
--

```
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -8654732346378244146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 05:37:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 07:28:12 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A6840EC.7722AC5F@IEEE.org>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.7f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 19 Jan 2001 13:38:12 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13390
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10868

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> There has been much talk about the Clinton legacy.  As William J.
> Clinton leaves office, lets take a look at a few things around the
> country to discover the real Clinton legacy.

Dwight, you deliberately omitted the educational things BJ (Billy Jefferson)
did - like a months long discussion of oral sex on nationwide TV. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 1730981932751549500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 05:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 13:28:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010119062519.13114.00000138@ng-mh1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.215
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 13:28:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.215
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13391 alt.radio.family:3454

In article <20010119062519.13114.00000138@ng-mh1.aol.com>,
qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000) wrote:

> If you respond to the Colt License Proposal thread, please drop alt.radio.family from the header. The majority of FRS users are simply American families using these low-powered radios as short range "intercoms". They are not radio hobbists. Thanks.

You're welcome...but hang on........

WHAT poll did you conduct that has determined that "the majority of FRS users" are "not radio hobbyists..."...???

Furthermore, as much as I disdain Stewart's attempts to again create something the FCC (and the commenting public in general) has determined to be unnecessary, it is still directly aimed at FRS users who might otherwise wish to expand beyond FRS use. "alt.radio.family" IS a valid forum for his ideas, as irrelevant as they may be.

Lastly, unless you can show me where you are the "listowner" or the charter holder for "alt.radio.family", I am hard pressed to accept any of your admonitions to NOT post to that NG. Last I checked, it IS an OPEN forum.

73

Steve, K4YZ
Ham, CBer, FRS user

--
"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In The Way He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart".

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -843669715940971068
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 05:41:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,r
ec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 08:40:51 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t6ggvbjn6da91c@corp.supernews.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 23
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13392
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15935 alt.radio.family:3455 rec.radio.cb:22470
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1888
```

"Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...

> Like it or not,
> the typical young person ends up cutting his radio experimention teeth in
> the Citizen's Band service, because
> there isn't a reasonable entry-level ham license for kids(it is even worse
> now after restructuring, what with the
> elimination of the Novice Class).

The Technician Class license is so easy to get now it's not even funny. You sure you are not being a little jaded in your view when you think every kid wants to work DX on H.F., hence starting out on CB Radio shooting skip?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

```
From 3750221510039329303
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 06:10:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 13:58:45 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 108
Message-ID: <949h6h$c3m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<943d37$5ch$0@208.207.71.134> <944i5d$kam@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
<3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com> <949e70$dk0$1@news.bewellnet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.201
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 13:58:45 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.201
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15936
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8317 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10869
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13393

In article <949e70$dk0$1@news.bewellnet.com>,
  "Keith Casey" <kc7kc@bigfoot.com> wrote:
> The VE's were incorrect to ask for another fee to take the extra.
That
> should have been covered under the one fee.
>
> Also, the VE group can keep a part of the fee, but only enough to
cover
> their expenses.  Their time cannot be charged.  The rules did just
change on
```

> keeping records of expenses incurred.
>
> Keith, KC7KC

According to the ARRL VE Manual , Eith Ed. , 2000, p. 50,
under "Retesting,"
"An applicant who fails an element at an ARRL/VEC-coordinated session
may retake that element at the same session, if (1) the administering
VE team has a different version of that element, which the applicant
hasn't taken (section 97.509), (2) the VE team has the time and
resources and is willing to readminister the additional version; and
(3) the applicant pays an ADDITIONAL (emphasis mine) TEST FEE (because
the candidate is then considered a walk-in candidate who just arrived,
even if he or she arrived at the beginning of the session). Again, a
retest fee charge is only required if an element is retaken, after
being failed at your session."

The W5YI VE manual has the same thing .

The reimbursement fee (test fee) is the only fee that may be charged at
a test session. Now, if the test session occurs at a hamfest,
instruction class, or other gathering, an admission fee may be charged
and it has to be kept seperate from the test fee. If you're just going
to the test sesion at a hamfest, you shouldn't be charged a fee.

> "K7MEM" <jda@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com> wrote in message
> news:3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com...
> > John Passaneau wrote:
> > >
> > > The ARRL VE book, said, 1 fee for each test session, and it
covered
> > > as many elements as the test taker wanted to take, or the VE have.
> > > But it is a new fee to retake a failed element. So as long as you
> > > keep passing you can do as many elements as you can pass for 1
fee.
> > > Remember though that most VE sessions have only 2 or 3 versions
> > > of a test element available and if you flunk all that they have,
> > > your done for that session.
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll"wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Steve Woodruff wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > ..but i was suprised tonight to learn that i had to
> > > > > pay the $10 fee for EACH exam i took. I upgraded to general,
> > > > > and had intentions of attempting the extra class exam....
> > > > > but when i found out it would cost me an additional $10
> > > > > i figured i'd wait until next month when i could be
> > > > > reasonably sure that i'd pass with ease.
> > > >
> > > > If that is permissable evidently they have changed the rules.

```
When I
> > > > ran a VE team ('85 thru '93) the rules said one fee for all
tests
> taken
> > > > at that session. Later it was deemed permissable to charge an
> additional
> > > > fee for a retest of a failed element at the same session, but
we never
> > > > did.
> >
> > It's been a few years, but when I made General, I took the Tech,
> > General, and 13 WPM code at the same time for one fee ($6.45).
> > At another session I took the Extra and the 20 WPM code tests
> > again for one fee ($6.45). At the time, I was under the impression
> > that this fee went directly to the ARRL, to cover the cost
> > of the testing materials that they supplied. That ment that the
> > club, which was running the VE session, was really charging
> > nothing for their time.
> >
> > Was I correct in my reasoning? Aren't they, the club, also allowed
> > to charge a small fee to cover their expenses?
> >
> > Martin K7MEM
> >
> > --
> > Martin E. Meserve  K7MEM
> > martin.e.meserve AT lmco.com
> >
> > I respond to news groups and E-Mail on my own time  and  therefore
> > my responses may not be as timely as you, or I, would like them to
> > be. If I seem to be ignoring you, send me another E-Mail to jog my
> > memory.  Opinions are my own and do not reflect the opinions of my
> > employer.
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 5923926007580649943
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 06:38:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
```

not-for-mail
From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:38:33 -0000
Organization: Shecora
Message-ID: <902E6B6B4darrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 38
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13394

Fred@w4jle.com (W4JLE) wrote in <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net>:

Well Fred things have changed in your 62 years. Some for the better, some for the worst. As many things as you can come up with that are bad, I can come up with that is good. Things change!

I have been reading this groups for a good while now. Whe I started I was very much a pro-coder. I listen to what the no coders had to say as well as the pro-coders. Hint: If you really want to learn listen closely to the side your disagree with. I did that! Thank you all the no-coders that posted. There is at least one (ex) pro-coder that listened.

Here is the things I learned:

1. the code requirement doesn't improve the character of the hams joining the ranks.

2. It is just a tool/mode. Sure it tugs at the heart strings, but it is just a tool/mode. A tool that requires a lot of study to use. Modern digital modes get thur just as well, without the years of study that is required for CW.

3. I never heard a no code person every suggest CW should go away. They just believe it shouldn't be a requirement.

4. Pro coder aguments are either emotionally based and/or false.

I have never heard a good reason for keeping the code requirement. I don't believe that you can either. I can only think of one, that is it might spark an interest in CW by someone that didn't have it before.

73
WA1GON

```
From -4030622876541694835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 06:57:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 08:56:46 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A6855AE.962E878D@oio.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 7
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13395
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15938 alt.radio.family:3456 rec.radio.cb:22476
```

Stewart,
Why are you wasting your time and ours with this? There
is already an 'entry level' license. It sounds like you
are advocating another 'junk' license. Give it a rest.
'Doc

```
From 3081866366615111337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 07:06:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <c0mg6t87nmd1m7o92nad7em98oq5igmf63@4ax.com>
```

References: <ltde6tkj4rbcnogncr30bpbgp2q9vbnu5u@4ax.com>
<3A67CC83.5DFD03BC@dvol.com> <f6qf6t8k2omsecik8uvq4a264t479n0o1h@4ax.com>
<3A6833A9.8D060530@dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:06:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.214
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979916817 209.86.211.214 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 07:06:57 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 07:06:57 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13396

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>My point is that there are very few of these codes are in general use,
>having to look them up defeats the whole intended purpose of the things.

I don't completely disagree with that. In fact many public service agencies have gone to plain English for just that reason. I think that the intended purpose of the 10-codes and the Q codes is to save radio time. To be effective they must be learned, that's true. But once learned, they do serve the intended purpose...

From -2896549256766086640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 07:10:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:58:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
To: HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 14:58:50 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42

X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13397 rec.radio.cb:22477
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10870

In article <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
"Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net> wrote:

> Please keep in mind that the future of American involvement in
> wireless communications development depends on us to properly
> encourage and teach tomorrow's experimenters, operators,
> technicians, and engineers...

The current mainstream Technician license class is easily attained
by young people and meets these goals perfectly; your proposed Barbie
Doll class would establish a channelized CB-like ghetto separate from
mainstream amateur radio, creating additional "we vs. them" divisions
in the Amateur Radio service. Personally, I think this is just another
spin on your attempt to establish a defacto expansion of FRS and MURS.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1589660387501186453
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 07:10:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:04:15 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 148
Message-ID: <949l18$fqa$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <944ukq$4cs$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010118232827.09475.00000873@nso-fj.aol.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.215
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 15:04:15 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.215
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13398

In article <20010118232827.09475.00000873@nso-fj.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> "Resentment?!?" I had 11 years of college-level formal
> education at night while working full-time during the day for
> 15 years. My only "resentment" is towards the arrogant
> recycled log bundles who accumulate paper without the
> accumulation of knowledge.

Maybe if you had paid more attention in class it wouldn't have taken 15 years?

>>The fact is many have neither the ability or the self
>>discipline to learn on their own.

> I disagree. Did someone come along and inject you with your
> "superiority" knowledge or did you develop that all on your own?

You disagree that "many" people don't have the ability or self-discipline to study on thier own?

There's NO controversy here, Len. It's a fact. Many people DON'T have EITHER self-discipline OR ability (ie skills on HOW to study...) to do it "on thier own".

>>They are not blessed with your tenacity and innate intelligence.

> Tenacity has no relation to intelligence.

In YOUR case, this is true. But people with a greater tenacity to "stick with it" generally ARE more intelligent, simply because they have applied them selves more aptly.

> I wonder why some jelly-bellies want to get chewed on...

Kinda the same reason SOME people post in newsgroups for which they has expressed the intent to NOT be involved in, yet feel compelled to tell others how THEY should be doing things.

> Jelly, old bean,.....

More of those "names" that you insist you don't tease and taunt people with until they return same in kind, thereby giving you reason to claim "VICTIM!!! VICTIM!!!"

>....I broke into HF transmissions on 1 to 15 KW tube transmitters..."

Oh geez...more of the "There I was at 30,000 feet" stories. Of course as soon as a licnesed HAM does that, we are "resting on our laurels", or otherwise stuck in some time warp of technology.

Try again, Lennie.

>The formality of sitting in class listening to basic training in
>English and Math (when I had already used both on the job) was a
>requirement towards a piece of paper that said I was a Bachelor
>or something......

Some of us were smart enough to "CLEP" out of those, Lennie, saving money AND time.

>I was already a bachelor, Jelly.

More "endearments" that he denies using....WHY does Lennie lie?

> Fred JLE, don't try to "lecture" me on college-level
> "directions." Try to "lecture" me on Extra class class
> distinction and your "superiority" of CW above and beyond
> every thing else in amateur radio.

Of course YOU being the "all-knowing" about Amateur Radio of ANY class...???

> Was it really "worth it" to sit night after night listening to some
> instructor-expert tell me what I had to know on my job when I
> was already doing my job successfully?

CLEP...DANTE....ACT-PEP.... You DIDN'T have to do it "that way" (classroom and night school), however you DID do it because everyone else TOLD you you HAD to do it THAT way because THAT was the way THEY did it. And you believed them, or were too ignorant to investigate non-traditional educational opportunities.

Thankfully, Ham Radio allows you to "do it" in numerous forms and functions. Contrary to YOUR opinion.

> What does a student do during "homework?" Think about it.
> They SELF-TEACH by completing their assignment, showing
> their work.

Which is ASSIGNED by the instructor in a STRUCTURED teaching enviroment, NOT one in which the "student" must attempt to glean that which is "important". The instructor STILL "tells" the student what to study.

> They only thing different between such self-
> teaching and self-teching from a text or informative article
> is that the instructor in a formal class will "grade" the student
> towards some achievement of a piece of paper.

Obviously you have never been an instructor or teacher, and have no concept of the group-paced versus self-paced instructional techniques, Lennie.

And as much as you decry the accumulation of "paper", it IS a societal necessity. Whether it is an FCC license, EMS certification or college degree..."Because I SAY I know it..." is NOT sufficient in our society.

> Which do you consider the Most Important, Mr. W4JLE,
> actually having learned-and-accomplishing something on your
> own or having an accreditation certificate or diploma hanging
> on a wall?

Hmmmm.....I did both. Did it on my own AND have a diploma and NUMEROUS certificates to validate accomplishments, learning, etc. Oh...SOME class room in there too....and it didn't take me 15 years.

> [I think everyone can see the preference but it
> would be nice to see the words of rationaliz...oops,
> justification as to which is "superior."

One need not be "superior" to the other...only appropriate to the circumstances of the "student" and the subject matter.

>>While your opinions of my stances were read and enjoyed, as always I
>>disagree with you.

> Naturally. You are so much more "superior."   [barf]

More "tolerance and civil debate" from the Maximally Engineered, Non-Licensed Buffoon.

> This is a bit like nailing jelly to a tree...

And even furthermore denigration and antagonizing of someone who is NOT doing it to you.....kinda like what you dished out to me until I had enough of it.

The trailer says it all.

--

"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3137161420363802471
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 07:16:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 09:15:47 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A685A23.908FE8C0@oio.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 7
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13399
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10871

Dwight,
As Mr. Clinton leaves the White House, all I can
say is, "Don't let the door-knob hit'ya where the
good Lord split'ya!".
'Doc

From -3857260692697434592
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 07:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!zeus.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:14:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <949lk6$gca$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <ltde6tkj4rbcnogncr30bpbgp2q9vbnu5u@4ax.com>
<3A67CC83.5DFD03BC@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
To: kelly@dvol.com

X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 15:14:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13400

In article <3A67CC83.5DFD03BC@dvol.com>,
Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

> What immediately comes to mind when you hear 10-18 or QSX?

If I hear "10-18" it immediately comes to mind that my VFO is
probably *way* out of the 17-meter band.

If I hear "QSX" it immediately comes to mind that I'd better split my
VFO's if I wanna work this guy.

Sebenty Tree, de Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4152477299207402340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 08:00:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail

From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:51:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 217
Message-ID: <949np9$ilh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.191.171.105
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 15:51:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95; 3COM U.S.
Robotics)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 208.191.171.105
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDcurious_dan
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13401
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15941 alt.radio.family:3457 rec.radio.cb:22481

In article <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
"Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net> wrote:
> The rapidly-expanding Family Radio Service has exposed millions of
young
> people to the world
> of radio communications. But now, where is a young kid to go who has
is
> interested in experimenting
> with radios and get more range than the typical 1/2 mile of FRS?
MURS might
> help a bit(if Motorola doesn't
> get their way), but you know that a growing mind is eventually going
to want
> more than just this. GMRS
> doesn't have the resources, and is not a service that was created to
foster
> experimentation. Like it or not,
> the typical young person ends up cutting his radio experimention
teeth in
> the Citizen's Band service, because
> there isn't a reasonable entry-level ham license for kids(it is even
worse
> now after restructuring, what with the
> elimination of the Novice Class).
>
> Everyday now the USA is falling further and further behind Europe and
Japan
> in the wireless communications
> race. As hams, it is time we make an effort to insure that our young
people
> are provided with the proper
> environment, incentives, and role models to properly learn radio
> communications techniques and etiquette.
> It is time for hams to stop complaining, step up to the plate, and
take an

> active role in seeing that our bright,
> young technical minds are being taught properly.
>
> As a result of this, I have reworked the Communicator entry-level
license
> proposal I submitted to the FCC last year,
> and I will now be proposing a new entry-level Amateur Radio class
known as
> the "Colt" class. I submit a draft
> of the proposal for your review below. Please keep in mind that the
future
> of American involvement in wireless
> communications development depends on us to properly encourage and
teach
> tomorrow's experimenters, operators,
> technicians, and engineers...
>
> **
> Proposal to FCC to institute an introductory-level "Colt" license
> class in the Amateur Radio Service - REV A
>
> In the late 1980's and early 1990's when the current Amateur Radio
Service
> Technician Class license was conceived and implemented, it was
> an appropriate alternate introductory license class. However, due to
the
> recent elimination of the Novice Class license, and as a result of
improving
> technology; the Technician Class license no longer serves well as the
only
> introductory license, as it is doing poorly in drawing young members
into
> the Service. As a result of license restructuring, it is now actually
> harder for young people to obtain an Amateur license than it was
before
> restructuring.
>
> In this critical era of global competition, especially in the wireless
> communications arena, it is essential that we provide a means for
properly
> educating our young people in RF communications operation and theory.
>
> Today, technologies like the Family Radio Service, high-speed
telephone &
> cable modems, the Internet, and inexpensive cellular
> telephones, have overshadowed the privileges of the Amateur Radio
Service,
> and made the requirements for young beginners to obtain a
> Technician Class license out of date. Additionally, the Technician
Class
> license has now evolved into a beginning and ending point for
> most modern day Amateurs, who are mostly interested in VHF/UHF
> communications, rather than HF communications. Incentive licensing

has
> virtually been eliminated.
>
> The need for a Service dedicated to the goal of teaching young radio
users
> proper radio operation techniques and etiquette can clearly be seen
in the
> disarray present on the 11 meter Citizen's Band Service frequencies.
The
> fact is, the Citizen's Band has become the defacto introductory
service for
> young people just beginning to learn to experiment with radio; yet the
> Citizen's Band is a very poor environment for young people to be
learning
> in.
>
> Therefore, it is recommended that a new "Colt Class" license be added
to the
> Amateur Radio Service expressly to encourage young
> individuals to enter the Amateur Radio Service to improve their
> understanding of RF communications theory, provide a healthy learning
> environment, and encourage radio experimentation.
>
> On the 70cm UHF band, Colt licensees would be restricted to voice
> communications on 5 simplex-only 20khz-spaced channels
> on 446.00, 446.02, 446.04, 446.06, and 446.08 Mhz; and digital
> communications up to 19,200bps on 1 simplex-only 60khz bandwidth
channel on
> 446.14Mhz.
>
> On the 1.25m VHF band, Colt licensees would be restricted to voice
> communications on 5 simplex-only 20khz-spaced channels
> on 223.42, 223.44, 223.46, 223.48, and 223.50 Mhz; and digital
> communications up to 19,200bps on 1 simplex-only 60khz bandwidth
channel on
> 223.56Mhz.
>
> To encourage experimentation/understanding of antenna and VHF/UHF RF
> propagation theory, Colt licensees would also be allowed to setup
and/or
> operate through a VHF/UHF crossband, simplex user input/output,
repeater
> station on two 20khz-bandwidth channels on 223.52Mhz and 446.10Mhz.
This
> would allow them to setup an inexpensive repeater system by
interconnecting
> the voice/mic connections via a resistive circuit on two simplex
radios; and
> would not require expensive, and difficult to understand, cavity and
> duplexer filtering at the repeater station site. User radio costs
would be
> low, as they would only need a single simplex radio. As a result of
the

> characteristics of this type of repeater, the repeater builders and
users
> would learn to coordinate frequencies, and user groupings based on
> environmental conditions, so as to acheive optimal results.
>
> Colt license users would also be subject to the following
restrictions:
>
> (1) Individuals applying for a Colt Class license must be under 19
years of
> age at the time of license application or renewal. The license is
valid for
> 5 years.
>
> (2) Fixed control station antennas will be no more than 6.1 meters (20
> feet) above the ground or above the building or tree on which it is
> mounted.
>
> (3) Transmissions limited to 5 watts ERP, or less.
>
> The new license class would have the following goals:
>
> 1) Encourage more young people to enter the Amateur Radio Service, by
> providing an entry-level ARS license class that includes privileges
> allowing reliable short-range voice and data communications.
>
> 2) Not significantly impact existing users of the affected Amateur
Radio
> Service frequency bands.
>
> 3) Encourage basic knowledge of radio, electronics, operating
principles and
> etiquette among a wider segment of the general
> population.
>
> 4) Take advantage of the success of the Family Radio Service, and
provide a
> path for those young users interested in further radio
experimentation.
>
> The Colt license exam would consist of 25 fairly simple questions.
Emphasis
> would be put on understanding the existing operational, safety,
etiquette
> and emergency questions from theTechnician Class question pool that
relate
> specifically to Colt Class license privileges. All questions
containing
> calculations would contain small integer(whole-number) input values
and have
> relatively small integer results. Colt licensees would be required
to have
> a general understanding of Ohm's Law and Power relations. They

```
should be
> required to have a general understanding of how antenna length
relates to
> wavelength; and have a knowledge of transmitter power, antenna gain,
> transmission line loss, and the ability to perform a simple ERP
calculation
> would be required.  General knowledge of simple resistive circuits,
and
> their power dissipation, would be required. Knowledge of capacitors
and
> inductors would not be required.
>
> Stewart Teaze - N0MHS
>
>


yeh real cool, it is exposing kids to radio alright. what does 900 mhz
do to brain waves good buddy?,.......cd is out......


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -2246105095484523207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 08:35:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.uswest.net!
namche.sun.com!news2me.EBay.Sun.COM!engnews1.eng.sun.com!not-for-mail
From: darrell earnshaw <Darrell.Earnshaw@eng.Sun.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 08:25:20 -0800
Organization: Sun Microsystems
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: Darrell.Earnshaw@Sun.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dhekelia.eng.sun.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (X11; U; SunOS 5.8 sun4u)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13402
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10876
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> There has been much talk about the Clinton legacy. As William J.
> Clinton leaves office, lets take a look at a few things around the
> country to discover the real Clinton legacy.
>
> Politics & Race Relations...
[...snip...]

>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

Dwight,

Of course you are entitled to your own interpretations and views.
However, this newsgroup is about amateur radio, not politics, relgion,
or other unrelated issues, and it is an inappropriate forum for personal
soapboxes on issues unrelated to amateur radio. I also suspect that most
subscribers to the NG probably couldn't care less about US politics or
domestic issues -- newsgroups are not just for domestic US consumption -
they're international. I'm sure there's a
"US.politics.domestic.anti-liberals" or something newsgroup somewhere
out there which is more appropriate.

No disrespect intended.

73, Darrell
nr3y.

From -7924143445491735214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 09:19:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Lamar Owen <lamar.owen@wgcr.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 12:19:27 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.74.232.216
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979924769 98006 206.74.232.216 (19 Jan 2001 17:19:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:19:29 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13403 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15943

Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
> There are already NUMEROUS stories of YOUNG kids (7-8-9) passing
> the PRESENT Technician license, so I really doubt they will predisposed
> to creating something "new".

I personally know an eleven-year-old Extra. 20-wpm-code-test Extra, at that. He was 10 when he passed the 20wpm. He was 8, IIRC, when he passed the Tech exam.
--
Lamar Owen
WGCR Internet Radio
1 Peter 4:11

From -3398030414015562511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 09:31:13 PST
Message-ID: <3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 12:29:43 -0500
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Organization: Young Marines of the Big Bend - http://www.zylay.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com> <949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 19 Jan 2001 12:31:17 -0500, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 11
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!205.143.240.121
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13404

rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15944

> Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
> >   There are already NUMEROUS stories of YOUNG kids (7-8-9) passing
> > the PRESENT Technician license, so I really doubt they will predisposed
> > to creating something "new".
>
> I personally know an eleven-year-old Extra. 20-wpm-code-test Extra, at
> that. He was 10 when he passed the 20wpm. He was 8, IIRC, when he
> passed the Tech exam.

Sounds believable to me. My 10 and 11 YOs are studying. They want to
pass the 5 WPM in time for field day.

```
From -5993168320800569817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 09:35:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7eygt3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com>
<3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net> <947s0e$271$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<_PO96.1507$ed.895875@nntp2.onemain.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 13
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:35:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979925735 206.58.44.11 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:35:35
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:35:35 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13405
```

On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 21:52:07 -0600, Richard McCollum wrote:

>When a few docs and the Federal Venereal Disease Control Officer got
>clinical on the air, you could hear the strak jaws tighten for miles.

But how many of the latter understood the clinical medical
terms wh\ich probably were being used?

```
--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -8415859706683595113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 09:35:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7exwa1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <20010118232837.09475.00000876@nso-
fj.aol.com> <3A67C9B7.8A69684A@dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 19
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:35:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979925733 206.58.44.11 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:35:33
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:35:33 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13406
```

On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 23:59:35 -0500, Brian Kelly wrote:

>No doubt there is a lot of that, there always is, but blackouts cost buckets of
>money for everybody involved and big outfits like Intel deciding to build
>somewhere else has real-world ramifications.

Intel has been building "elsewhere" for many years. My wife works for the company (IDC) that designs and builds Intel's plants, and they currently have expansion projects going on for existing plants in Oregon, China, Ireland, and Israel (those that she talks about) as well as a lot more countries.

```
--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From 3379685580964932295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 09:35:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7ey6t2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A67391A.7D3E9075@IEEE.org> <20010119011503.04061.00000525@nso-
mh.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 22
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:35:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979925734 206.58.44.11 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:35:34
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:35:34 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13407
```

On 19 Jan 2001 06:15:03 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>The municipal Los Angeles electric power provider, the
>Department of Water and Power, has no electric power
>shortage. [will you agree that Los Angeles is a large city?]
>The LA DWP is presently selling electric power to middle
>California. There are no rolling blackouts in the LA DWP
>service area, none expected for a long time.

IIRC the DWP was exempt from the CPUC's fiat that production facilities be sold. If SCE, SDGE, and PG&E were allowed to keep their dynamos as well, would there have been a "shortage"? Doubtful.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 652740685133284893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 09:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:36:00 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t6guo0p3ejll62@news.supernews.com>
References: <t69urethiab696@news.supernews.com>
<20010118063646.07593.00002570@nso-ch.aol.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 21
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13408

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in
<20010118063646.07593.00002570@nso-ch.aol.com>:

>
>Watch out, Vince! Now Ed's gonna ask you several questions, each
>ending with: How is a multi-level code test going to help?
>
>Naturally, you're correct. Ham radio is heading down the drain,
>particularly with regard to it's attractiveness to young people.

Dittos Larry,

The League uses phrases like: "We're protecting the service and your spectrum from external threats.", but they won't lift a finger and have no problems with watching the service rot from within.

Ed, there was already CB for those that didn't want to learn. Why did the ARRL push to dumb things down? The losers already have a radio service, why'd the League push to let them destroy my hobby?

From -8397296345995162347
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 10:03:31 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:03:31 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t6h0bj1fdtc170@news.supernews.com>
References: <t69urethiab696@news.supernews.com> <20010117072842.05313.00000998@ng-cd1.aol.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 16
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13409

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in
<20010117072842.05313.00000998@ng-cd1.aol.com>:

>
>I think, Vince, that my professional work, as opposed to my
>personal-time posts, has very much improved ham radio.

Employees chalk up bonus retirement points if they spread corprate policy during their off-hours(or at least a pat on the back at the weekly office meeting). With you in the newgroup Ed, I don't need to get QST, I can get the latest policy B.S. at the click of a mouse on one of your posts.

I know you don't agree, but the proposal was a dumbing down proposal.

From 9242373524469603577
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 10:08:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "frg9600" <frg9600@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>

<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<943d37$5ch$0@208.207.71.134> <944i5d$kam@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
<3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com> <949e70$dk0$1@news.bewellnet.com>
<949h6h$c3m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Roger doesnt use foul mouth !
Lines: 113
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <9o%96.833$t5.75684@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:08:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.9.172.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com 979927685 24.9.172.3 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 10:08:05 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 10:08:05 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15945
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8323 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10882
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13410

KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:949h6h$c3m$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <949e70$dk0$1@news.bewellnet.com>,
>   "Keith Casey" <kc7kc@bigfoot.com> wrote:
> > The VE's were incorrect to ask for another fee to take the extra.
> That
> > should have been covered under the one fee.
> >
> > Also, the VE group can keep a part of the fee, but only enough to
> cover
> > their expenses. Their time cannot be charged. The rules did just
> change on
> > keeping records of expenses incurred.
> >
> > Keith, KC7KC
>
> According to the ARRL VE Manual , Eith Ed. , 2000, p. 50,
> under "Retesting,"
> "An applicant who fails an element at an ARRL/VEC-coordinated session
> may retake that element at the same session, if (1) the administering
> VE team has a different version of that element, which the applicant
> hasn't taken (section 97.509), (2) the VE team has the time and
> resources and is willing to readminister the additional version; and
> (3) the applicant pays an ADDITIONAL (emphasis mine) TEST FEE (because
> the candidate is then considered a walk-in candidate who just arrived,
> even if he or she arrived at the beginning of the session). Again, a
> retest fee charge is only required if an element is retaken, after
> being failed at your session."
>
> The W5YI VE manual has the same thing .

>
> The reimbursement fee (test fee) is the only fee that may be charged at
> a test session. Now, if the test session occurs at a hamfest,
> instruction class, or other gathering, an admission fee may be charged
> and it has to be kept seperate from the test fee. If you're just going
> to the test sesion at a hamfest, you shouldn't be charged a fee.
>
>
>
> > "K7MEM" <jda@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com> wrote in message
> > news:3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com...
> > > John Passaneau wrote:
> > > >
> > > > The ARRL VE book, said, 1 fee for each test session, and it
> covered
> > > > as many elements as the test taker wanted to take, or the VE have.
> > > > But it is a new fee to retake a failed element. So as long as you
> > > > keep passing you can do as many elements as you can pass for 1
> fee.
> > > > Remember though that most VE sessions have only 2 or 3 versions
> > > > of a test element available and if you flunk all that they have,
> > > > your done for that session.
> > > >
> > > > Dick Carroll"wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve Woodruff wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ..but i was suprised tonight to learn that i had to
> > > > > > pay the $10 fee for EACH exam i took. I upgraded to general,
> > > > > > and had intentions of attempting the extra class exam....
> > > > > > but when i found out it would cost me an additional $10
> > > > > > i figured i'd wait until next month when i could be
> > > > > > reasonably sure that i'd pass with ease.
> > > > >
> > > > > If that is permissable evidently they have changed the rules.
> When I
> > > > > ran a VE team ('85 thru '93) the rules said one fee for all
> tests
> > taken
> > > > > at that session. Later it was deemed permissable to charge an
> > additional
> > > > > fee for a retest of a failed element at the same session, but
> we never
> > > > > did.
> > >
> > > It's been a few years, but when I made General, I took the Tech,
> > > General, and 13 WPM code at the same time for one fee ($6.45).
> > > At another session I took the Extra and the 20 WPM code tests
> > > again for one fee ($6.45). At the time, I was under the impression
> > > that this fee went directly to the ARRL, to cover the cost
> > > of the testing materials that they supplied. That ment that the
> > > club, which was running the VE session, was really charging
> > > nothing for their time.

```
> > >
> > > Was I correct in my reasoning? Aren't they, the club, also allowed
> > > to charge a small fee to cover their expenses?
> > >
> > > Martin K7MEM
> > >
> > > --
> > > Martin E. Meserve  K7MEM
> > > martin.e.meserve AT lmco.com
> > >
> > > I respond to news groups and E-Mail on my own time  and  therefore
> > > my responses may not be as timely as you, or I, would like them to
> > > be. If I seem to be ignoring you, send me another E-Mail to jog my
> > > memory.  Opinions are my own and do not reflect the opinions of my
> > > employer.
> >
> >
>
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
```

```
From -2791164766173028048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 10:34:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <3A67C9B7.8A69684A@dvol.com> <20010119021522.17176.00000433@nso-
ba.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 76
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 13:34:21 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.81
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979929245 206.20.144.81 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 12:34:05
```

CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 12:34:05 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13411

Len Over 21 wrote:

> At one time here, roughly two weeks ago, some electric
> power brokers were charging FORTY times per KWHR
> versus the same rates of 1999. I don't think anyone saw
> that kind of greed.

>

Hindsight always being 20-20 the potential was there and should have been recognized. It's not exactly the first time greed became a monster. There isn't a corporate entity out there which won't commit economic rape if it can legally get away with it.

>

>
> county supervisors got a new manager. The result is
> enough electric power for this service area plus the
> capacity to help out other areas in California.

>

Is DWP exempt from spinning off it's generating capacity?

>

> The state of California is not perfect. The state Congress
> learns rather slowly (a semi big rig suicider might have
> shaken them up a bit, heh heh). Between the state and
> the city of Burbank, Lockheed called it quits here and went
> to a kindler, gentler Georgia. Not on power, but on taxes
> and other regulations. Burbank = Lockheed used to be an
> equation. No more. The last Lockheed building has been
> levelled. End of an aviation era for the locality.

>

Been there, huge complex. Must have moved into the old Martin-Marietta complex. That's exactly how cities fall into decay like the most of the Northeast Corridor cities have, the smokestackers here all bailed out for the sunbelt to a large extent get away from the unions, outrageous taxes and other expenses. Unstable power availability has to be a hot topic in the Silicon Valley board rooms.

>

> It's not the "tree huggers" doing it. It's not the municipal power
> companies doing it. It's not the "fault" of free enterprise. It's the
> fault of BAD MANAGEMENT of private electricity providers still
> operating in a monopoly service area. It's partly a fault of bad
> thinking that a utility service is "just like" the free enterprise
> selling of goods. It isn't. None of us can go to any store and
> pick out a bargain on electric power. There are no plugs and
> jacks on the utility poles that allow us to change connections

> to "another" electric power company based on personal
> preferences or KWHR rates. Utilities do NOT have "goods"
> that can be handled in any conventional free-enterprise
> system.

>
I have to agree. As Kelley put it it's been an "experiment". A bloody failed experiment. I noticed that yesterday that Davis signed a bill which dropped the generating station spinoff requirement. The state has already dumped $1.4 billion into the fray and this is only the opening gun. Yeah, I expect there will be some steps taken back to a more viable blend of reality vs. deregulation.
>

> Imagine a situation where this pseudo-free-enterprise system
> was carried to sewer services. [don't want to think of it!]

>
Loverly thought. We already have a form of that, sewer taxes and regional self-supporting sewer authorities. They're non-profits so far and we don't have sewage meters in the basements. Yet.
>

> didit

>
w3rv

From -4477273414876399133
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 10:39:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: vk4lld@netinc.au
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 20:08:11 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <94a6rb$n7r$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 979934891 23803 205.139.138.14 (19 Jan 2001 20:08:11 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Jan 2001 20:08:11 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy

X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13412
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10885

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> There has been much talk about the Clinton legacy. As William J.
> Clinton leaves office, lets take a look at a few things around the
> country to discover the real Clinton legacy.

///// C L I P /////

Please take your American politics to alt.bloody.sleazy.washington newsgroup.
This one is an international forum about amateur radio and not about
second-rate governments in north america.

In other words, bugger off mate.

Graeme

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -1994673145248712860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 10:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dr. Conrad Connie <coontail@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:25:19 GMT
Organization: Deja.com

```
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <94a0q1$ri6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.91.11.253
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 18:25:19 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.7 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.91.11.253
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDcoontail
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13413
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15947 alt.radio.family:3458 rec.radio.cb:22493

In article <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
  "Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net> wrote:
>young kid to go who has
Like it or not,

> the typical young person ends up cutting his radio experimention teeth
in
> the Citizen's Band service, because
> there isn't a reasonable entry-level ham license for kids(it is even
worse
> now after restructuring, what with the
> elimination of the Novice Class).

 Hey, why don't we just finger print them and give them a license. If a
young person cannot pass the tech exams today they are:

1. really NOT that interested in radio anyway
2. retarded and illiterate ( I know lots of extras that fall into that
category )
3. Wanting to simply sign on dotted line for radio frequencies access.
 ( To my knowledge this is still a violation of ITU standards )

There are five and six year olds who have passed the EXTRA class test.
That's how easy it is to pass a ham exam with very relaxed exam
requirements.


--




Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -5904091222890015327
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 10:50:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
```

for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:40:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 85
Message-ID: <94a1m3$sam$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118042600.05040.00002254@ng-ff1.aol.com> <947cti$jet$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <pxJ96.955$e5.2423633@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.62
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 18:40:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.62
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13414

There you go again making assumptions about what other people would want. It is the fallacy that has spawned all of the failures of well intentioned efforts.

The fact remains that there are people out there who rob banks every day, even though it is far easier to just go to work every day.

How do you explain that? Or does it not exist because it doesn't make sense?

The entire state of California is on a self-inflicted stage III power emergency. Most probably so that The State can scare the people into a government takeover of the grid (California Nationalists?). It appears we prefer to complain and react to symptoms rather than address the causes. The stories in the news don't make sense either, because all we're hearing right now is finger pointing and panic. It's very hard for me to beleive that this calamity couldn't have been averted at any point on a time line if only someone would have acknowledged it.

It only reinforces my observation of social calamity in spite of well intentioned assumptions.

Also, please re-read my posts in this thread. I take it you aren't getting it, or are avoiding my points.

Obsession is holding on to the belief that the train needs to go faster, even though you know in the back of your mind the tracks aren't going together as planned.

> > > The scope of the Technician exam is at least an order of magnitude
> > > more than was the scope of the 1963 Novice exam I took.
>
> > > How is this "lowering standards?"
>
> > Because you don't have to do the homework anymore. All you have to

do
> > is take the test over and over until you get the answer right.
>
> What are the odds of guessing correctly on a 35-question, 4-answer
multiple
> choice test? If I did the math correctly, it is
> 1,180,000,000,000,000,000,000 to 1.
>
> I still think it is easier to learn the material.

It is easiest to simply learn how to figure out the specific question
from a Q&A book or use a given formula than it is to learn about how
things work and how to apply knowledge to unique problems. But then I
already stated that I preferred to see an entry level ticket that
requires one to gain experience before being entrusted with expanded
and more permanent privileges. The Novice ticket instilled much pride
in the service, and I beleive most of us that had one (pre-VE) recall a
great fondness of the experience and find more respect for those who
did too. If you didn't, you missed out and might never "get it".

> > If it takes 5 times to learn something you really want to learn, how
> > many times does it take to learn something you don't feel like
learning?
>
> I don't think that people who do not feel like learning will bother
taking
> the test at all. They wouldn't want to be hams.
>
> > Sorry this is a trick question.
>
> Did I pass? :-)
>
> > Should you even be required to take a license test to be able to
bitch
> > someone out without getting your face slapped?
>
> Why would I want to bitch someone out? I have done it a few times in
my
> life, but it is not something I am particularly proud of.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6147030104448716716
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 11:00:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:53:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 69
Message-ID: <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 18:53:59 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13415 rec.radio.cb:22497
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10890

In article <949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> In article <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
> "Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net> wrote:
>
> > Please keep in mind that the future of American involvement in
> > wireless communications development depends on us to properly
> > encourage and teach tomorrow's experimenters, operators,
> > technicians, and engineers...
>
> The current mainstream Technician license class is easily attained
> by young people and meets these goals perfectly; your proposed Barbie
> Doll class would establish a channelized CB-like ghetto separate from
> mainstream amateur radio, creating additional "we vs. them" divisions
> in the Amateur Radio service.

Indeed it would be a somewhat segregated area for younger people to get started in ham radio. But that is a big part of what could make this work, as the main idea is to set up a small, less intimidating environment for young beginners, where they can work primarily among their peers, and those willing to help them learn. I have thought about what you are saying about a "we vs. them" division, such as what used to exist with the code/nocode divide(and I suppose still persists); however, I think the difference between a Colt and a Technician would be akin to the difference between a General and an Extra, and not like the old difference between a Nocode Tech and a

13wpmGeneral/20wpmExtra that was so devisive.

> Personally, I think this is just another
> spin on your attempt to establish a defacto expansion of FRS and MURS.

Well, I suppose you are right there. If something can't be done to create a better environment for kids to experiment on the virtually unused VHF/UHF bands(with the noted exception of a few high-profile repeaters), then nature will take its course, and water will follow a path of least resistance. I'm just trying to create a small area of "lower resistance" and "higher potential" for young experimenters on the ham bands, where I think it deserves to belong - rather than in the Personal Radio Services.

I look at the current "communications gap" situation that is developing between the United States and Japan/Europe in the same vein as the "missle gap" that started to develop between the USA and USSR in 1958. However, today's problem is probably more real and dangerous, yet less obvious, and more insidious than the problem of 1958. There will be no "Sputnik" to help immediately shock us back to our senses, and therefore no equivelant to the National Defense Education Act will be forthcoming anytime soon.

I am curious - are there any hams that remember what the policy of the ARRL, FCC, and hams in general was in 1958, in regards to the issue of Sputnik? Were there any pushes back then to get more young people interested in Amateur Radio? From what I can tell, Sputnik does seem to HAVE been a factor behind the push for implementation of incentive licensing during the late 50's and early 60's. However, as is usual in the Amateur community, change occurred very slowly and painfully - with the the first real change taking 10 years to even be started to be implemented.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB

------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 467334923666263927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 11:10:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
```

```
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:02:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 19:02:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13416
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15949
```

In article <3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com>,
  Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:

> > Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
> > >   There are already NUMEROUS stories of YOUNG kids (7-8-9) passing
> > > the PRESENT Technician license, so I really doubt they will predisposed
> > > to creating something "new".
> >
> > I personally know an eleven-year-old Extra. 20-wpm-code-test Extra, at
> > that. He was 10 when he passed the 20wpm. He was 8, IIRC, when he
> > passed the Tech exam.
>
> Sounds believable to me. My 10 and 11 YOs are studying. They want to
> pass the 5 WPM in time for field day.

Do you and your 10 and 11 YOs have FRS radios? Do they want them so
that they can talk with their friends?

--
------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -5743584892876047322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 11:10:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!cyclone.bc.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:00:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <94a2s4$thk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 19:00:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13417 rec.radio.cb:22498
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10891

In article <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> I look at the current "communications gap" situation that is developing
> between the United States and Japan/Europe in the same vein as
> the "missle gap" that started to develop between the USA and USSR in
> 1958. However, today's problem is probably more real and dangerous,
> yet less obvious, and more insidious than the problem of 1958. There
> will be no "Sputnik" to help immediately shock us back to our senses,
> and therefore no equivelant to the National Defense Education Act will
> be forthcoming anytime soon.
>
> I am curious - are there any hams that remember what the policy of the
> ARRL, FCC, and hams in general was in 1958, in regards to the issue of
> Sputnik? Were there any pushes back then to get more young people
> interested in Amateur Radio? From what I can tell, Sputnik does seem
> to HAVE been a factor behind the push for implementation of incentive
> licensing during the late 50's and early 60's. However, as is usual in
> the Amateur community, change occurred very slowly and painfully - with
> the the first real change taking 10 years to even be started to be
> implemented.

Interestingly enough, I found the following on the ARRL web site:

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2000/10/04/2/

It mentions how Sputnik spurred the creation of the NDEA and NASA(and eventually our trip to the moon), but it doesn't mention anything about hams, or what hams thought or did about this significant event.

--
------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2947375985997551916
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 11:13:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 61
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 19:12:36 GMT
References: <948ne1$i6t$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010119141236.01651.00000062@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13418

In article <948ne1$i6t$1@iac5.navix.net>, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:

>Len, not one time have I made derogatory remarks towards you, nor have I
>made nasty remarks about your positions I disagree with.

Sorry, sport, not true.

>Why do you feel that it is necessary to make smart remarks and idiotic
>childish repartee?

Such seems to be the only thing you understand. If you jump in with the idea of "superiority" in all radio by virtue of morse code ability, then you've made a smart-ass remark and idiotic repartee.

When you come back with accusations of "ignorance" insofar
as self-teching, you made a smart-ass remark and repartee.

When you come back with accusations that the US Army
never trained its signalmen, then you made a smart-ass
remark and idiotic repartee.

When you mouth off about a California state power
situation being the acts of "tree huggers" you are way out
of line and begging to be nailed to a tree.

When you make a lot of statements of intolerant morals of
generations younger than you, all the time waving some kind
of moral-ethical flag of righteousness, then you made some
smart-ass remarks and idiotic repartee.

...and that was just last week or so...

>If you cannot afford me the same courtesy I extend to you, please find
>someone else to respond to.

Nobody put a gun to your head and forced you to write a single
thing in this newsgroup. Nor does your amateur radio status
give you droit de seigneur wherein you can denigrate anyone
anywhere and expect some courtesy in return.

DOS Tip: Everybody in a newsgroup is a target. Learn that.

>Are you not capable of defending a position like an adult?

Yes, but why must anyone be defensive against patently
offensive "superior" beings?

I'm adjustable. Act adult and I can respond in kind. Act
childish and I can out-write you three ways from Sunday.
Some neo-militarists like to shoot at others and then
complain about being shot at in return. I return fire when
shot at, even if not the exact target.

If you want to parade around like only You Have All The
Answers and you can Talk Down to others who don't
share your personal viewpoint, TS. You are a Target
waiting to be shot at by anyone. That's newsgroup
Rules of Engagement. Live with it.

didit

```
From -6772666809585472001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 11:13:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 115
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 19:12:35 GMT
References: <1aqf6tkde82oj3bmlfmuttpdnj2835g8pa@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <20010119141235.01651.00000061@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13419

In article <1aqf6tkde82oj3bmlfmuttpdnj2835g8pa@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>What is this glorious, religious connection to the past and
>>only the past of amateur radio?!?
>
>Because this is an *amateur radio* newsgroup...
>
>>If you want some cogent historical information on the history
>>of ALL radio in the first 30 years of its life, read...
>
>But I'm not interested in all radio history, just ham radio history,
>which is why I collect old callbooks, ham magazines, license manuals,
>ham databases, ect. And I scan and post old ham stuff from time to
>time for discussions sake on this *ham* newsgroup...

You cannot escape the coexistance of the amateur radio service with dozens of other radio services...not in spectrum, not in service to other citizens, not in physical laws involving radio, not in the state of the technological art of radio...and certainly not in federal regulations.

It is one thing to be interested solely in amateur radio history, to collect memorabilia, but it is quite another to discuss policy on regulations which have effects with other radio services. Insularity to the outside world is an insidious malady which can cloud rational thought. A fascination with the past and dedication to nostalgia can draw curtains showing vistas of the future. Insularity to the point of walling off all thought to the rest of the radio world can be considered an irrational act, even terminal in extremes.

The FCC cannot be insular but must consider service to all citizens of the US. The re-posting of the 1958 statements on part of US Citizens Band Radio Service is proof of that. There is some doubt that "political statements" by amateurs, solely for amateurs is effective in changing much policy on changes in radio regulations. The amateur media, chiefly the largest US membership organization, must admit to coloring issues at times.

A case in point is the "Little LEO" controversy of a few years past. To read only the ARRL reportage, one would believe that only the ARRL allies were commenting. As a matter of public record by the FCC the number of Comments from other interested parties outnumbered those of the ARRL and allies by a considerable ratio. When challenged by this, amateurs have a number of rationalizations, chiefly that it is "uninteresting, boring, or not strictly an amateur radio subject." Such rationalizations are insular in the extreme and only serve as internal boosterism that has a negative effect on the opinions of non-amateur radio services.

At WARC 1979 the controversy of Region use of the 40m band by SW broadcasters versus radio amateurs first surfaced. That controversy has been handed-off to future WARCs and WRCs time and time again. Meanwhile, amateurs have continued to complain and wave their own virtual flags of glory as some kind of "service to the community" among themselves. Not to the community, just among themselves. Insularity in action. There is no listed item in the present FCC-State-NTIA agenda for any action on this 40m controversy at any WRC. There could be...but no one seems to want to jump out of their warm cocoon of insularity to promote such an agenda.

Not too long ago the FCC invited Comments on an NPRM to restructure US amateur radio regulations. The response from the PCTAs was predictable...preserve the status quo, keep the morse code test unchanged, preserve the "incentive plan" of class distinction in licenses. Keep things exactly as they were...because that is how the PCTAs achieved their rank, status, and privileges that they hold so dear...largely achievements of their youth which were seldom augmented by further personal achievements in later life. To support their claims of "correct thought" they waved their virtual flags of glory by citing achievements of past amateurs done before they themselves were licensed. Many of those "achievements" have been biased by a few writers and a few organizations until they have achieved an Urban Myth status within the "amateur community."

One of the classics is the amateur Urban Myth is that amateurs "discovered and pioneered" the HF spectrum for worldwide radio communications. In the US that is based largely on Clinton DeSoto's 1935 book highlighting amateur radio. Later historical texts will show that commercial firms were there ahead of amateurs (not by much, to be fair). Not because of some controversy with broadcasters on MF but rather on the basis of radio technology, the state of the radio art of 1920. The Legend persists that amateurs did pioneering and later amateurs insist on grabbing whatever glory existed in the past to justify their own rationalizations of their later "superiority." Smoke dreams. Smoking is bad for the mental heatlth.

What happened in the past concerning amateur radio is just part of a progression to a future activity by amateurs. The past has stepping stones to a future struction. It is not any sort of bedrock to build a strong future upon, just an old foundation to support old materials connected in old ways when all other "buildings" are stronger, larger, and better looking. The past is prologue, not the final act.

The future of everyone begins after reading this. Embrace it, welcome it, rejoice in it, feel the excitement of new things just arrived and yet to come. Or sit back in a cocoon wrapped in virtual bunting of legendary accomplishments by others, do nothing but mumble about how inconsiderate others are about not worshiping the glorious ideals of themselves.

didit

```
From -7345013084539616601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 11:15:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news3.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A689357.3A2A@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
References: <3A662EB5.79A21E16@dvol.com> <20010118232829.09475.00000874@nso-
fj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 45
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:19:51 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.156
X-Trace: news3.atl 979931733 209.214.186.156 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:15:33 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:15:33 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13420
```

Len,

I don't know about "officially" requiring a license, but I have asked for licenses at hamfests on transceivers and amplifiers. Yes, some of 'em got mad, but, knowing that Riley H. and company were roaming the premises, I wouldn't take the risk of selling to a CBer (found that out because the person{s} told me so).

I DO think that cash or equivilent prizes should be substituted to non-hams at hamfests instead of handing out IC706MKIIGs to the general public. Some of these items are sure to end up being used illegally. Some hamfests do this, others don't---but I wish they would. Could be the source of that "mysterious" kerchunker on 2M is a handheld won by a person without a clue as to the laws governing its use.

Jerr
K4KWH

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A662EB5.79A21E16@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:
>
> >> There is no time machine to go back to undo the past. There
> >> is the possibility that the existing and future FCC can solve
> >> some problems in US civil radio. Support the FCC in
> >> regulation of radio...or become lawless vigilantes who are
> >> outnumbered by others. There is no honor or respect in
> >> vigilanteism.
> >
> >Indeed and agreed. Probable factoid: The FCC would much prefer dealing
> >with some inane but basically harmless freebanders than they would a
> >phlanx of amateur (in the more common sense) "helper" frequency cops up
> >their butts.
>
> A problem with any vigilante group of self-styled "cops" occurs
> when they run into another vigilante group having different
> ideas of what is "best." Nobody wins except the Real cops.
>
> Remember that CB users outnumber radio amateurs by a
> considerable ratio.
>
> didit

```
From 4037688863760300476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 11:23:15 PST
Message-ID: <3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:23:50 -0500
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Organization: Young Marines of the Big Bend - http://www.zylay.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 19 Jan 2001 14:25:24 -0500, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 30
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!
205.143.240.121
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13421
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15952

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com>,
>   Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
> > > Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
> > > >   There are already NUMEROUS stories of YOUNG kids (7-8-9)
> passing
> > > > the PRESENT Technician license, so I really doubt they will
> predisposed
> > > > to creating something "new".
> > >
> > > I personally know an eleven-year-old Extra. 20-wpm-code-test
> Extra, at
> > > that. He was 10 when he passed the 20wpm. He was 8, IIRC, when he
> > > passed the Tech exam.
> >
> > Sounds believable to me. My 10 and 11 YOs are studying. They want to
> > pass the 5 WPM in time for field day.
>
> Do you and your 10 and 11 YOs have FRS radios? Do they want them so
> that they can talk with their friends?

Can't afford them right now as handy as they would be for our charity
bike rides that we like to do (got into that through the ham club).
Between them and their 3 brothers, it's tricky just trying to keep them
in bikes plus save up for a an extra pair of 2M rigs and eventually an
HF rig. Luckily they do neighborhood handywork and pay for half of their
own hobby stuff, otherwise I'd never be able to afford it.

You can see them at http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/

From -8984654828876706459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 11:41:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 13:31:22 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A68960A.E0285924@IEEE.org>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94a0q1$ri6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.c9
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 19 Jan 2001 19:38:43 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13422
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15953 alt.radio.family:3459 rec.radio.cb:22500

"Dr. Conrad Connie" wrote:
> There are five and six year olds who have passed the EXTRA class test.
> That's how easy it is to pass a ham exam with very relaxed exam
> requirements.

I'll bet every one of those five and six year olds have an IQ in
excess of 120 and you certainly cannot say that for every adult ham.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -7457747821503011317
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 11:46:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <947gb6$mkt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <948eoc$i5j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <948him$kd1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <PQ0a6.986$e5.2492970@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:45:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979933615 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:46:55 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:46:55 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13423

K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> Meet me on 40M at 7.030MHz at 0500Z on Jan 22. I'll share them with
> you there.

You picked the frequency. I think he should be allowed to pick the mode.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2074260372033821133
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 11:50:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:38:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36

Message-ID: <94a52p$vnn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.182
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 19:38:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.182
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13424 rec.radio.cb:22501
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10892

In article <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> Indeed it would be a somewhat segregated area for younger people to get started in ham radio. But that is a big part of what could make this work, as the main idea is to set up a small, less intimidating environment for young beginners, where they can work primarily among their peers, and those willing to help them learn.

Wrong...wrong...wrong...What you need to do is have these "kids" (as you would ANY newcomer) IN THE MAINSTREAM. They don't need to be off in some netherworld of their own.

Part of the problem with the now defunct Novice license was that mmany people didn't want to go up to the Novice subbands. Take a listen there lately? THERE is your "Colt" class license right now.

In Chattanooga we have quite a few under-21 operators, and EVERY person I hear "working" them takes extraordinary steps to be accomodation and helpful. These "kids" are at Field Day, ARES activities, and occassional club meetings. And I CAN'T believe that we are the only club/community that is so disposed to do so.

My suggestion...?!?! If you haven't sent this "proposal" off to the FCC yet, DON'T. They are going to get used to seeing your name attached to frivolous RM's and may, in the future, automatically turn their collective noses up on any subsequent submissions you may make, regardless of how truly beneficial it may be.

73

Steve, K4YZ

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1556818613140411929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:00:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:45:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <94a5h6$8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <cqde6tcfrv6jl9kah237qdn7ue47j6b3jc@4ax.com> <20010119011505.04061.00000526@nso-mh.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.182
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 19:45:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.182
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13425

In article <20010119011505.04061.00000526@nso-mh.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> What is this glorious, religious connection to the past and
> only the past of amateur radio?!?

Snippage of usual anti-Ham ranting.

The point, Oh Witless One, is that there is NOTHING NEW about any "anti-ARRL" editorials, YOUR rhetoric notwithstanding.

Of course, a HAM made a reference to an past event, and we get the usual "Why are you Hams stuck in the 30's" rant, yet it's OK for YOU to dive in to one of your "There I was with 15KW tube transmitters in 1953..." stories and we are supposed to be grateful for the retelling of the usual LHA-BS.

More Andersonian crap.

--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8785205175053991063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:01:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!

news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <t69urethiab696@news.supernews.com> <20010117072842.05313.00000998@ng-cd1.aol.com> <t6h0bj1fdtc170@news.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 42
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <D21a6.987$e5.2494060@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:00:31 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979934499 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:01:39 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:01:39 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13426

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote:

> >I think, Vince, that my professional work, as opposed to my
> >personal-time posts, has very much improved ham radio.

> Employees chalk up bonus retirement points if they spread corprate policy
> during their off-hours(or at least a pat on the back at the weekly office
> meeting). With you in the newgroup Ed, I don't need to get QST, I can get
> the latest policy B.S. at the click of a mouse on one of your posts.

You are welcome to your delusions, Vince. I will clarify for those that don't hear that steady whoosh-whoosh of the black helicopter blades that my "retirement" points are set by ARRL employment policy and are not affected by the number of posts I make to rrap. I will clarify that my boss would probably prefer that I not post, but that what I do with my own time is my business (for the time police, I am taking an afternoon coffee break). I will clarify that what I post is my own personal opinion, and although I will not post to undermine ARRL policy, those things that I say are things that I believe. My salary is determined by the nature of the work I do wrt RFI, RF safety, spectrum, engineering studies, and supervising the ARRL Lab. Disagreeing with the doom-and-gloom folks on rrap is not on my annual performance appraisal. And, for the record, I do not go to the weekly office meeting. And last, it is the ARRL Board that sets ARRL policy, not Ed Hare in the ARRL Lab. Perhaps if you read QST more, you would better understand that. :-) Actually, I suggest that you not rely on rrap for your views of ARRL policy. There is a lot more authoratative and complete information about that on many places on the ARRL web page.

> I know you don't agree, but the proposal was a dumbing down proposal.

You are welcome to your opinion, as well, Vince. And I mean that sincerely. I agree that the requirements of going from the 6 classes of license to 4, as ARRL recommended, and the proposal to go from 0-5-5-13-13-20 wpm to

0-5-12-12 did result in a lowering of code speed standards. IMHO, although the ARRL went on record as asking that the written exams be improved so the overall level of accomplishment was the same, that proposal was general enough that I don't think anyone could really say what it meant.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5143075738607706589
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:03:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <t69urethiab696@news.supernews.com>
<20010118063646.07593.00002570@nso-ch.aol.com> <t6guo0p3ejll62@news.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <B41a6.988$e5.2494144@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:02:37 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979934625 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:03:45 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:03:45 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13427

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote:

> The losers already have a radio service, why'd the League push to let
them destroy my hobby?

I do not see that the hobby is destroyed. I can still do everything within ham radio that I could do on April 14, 2000. I do not believe that the problems I hear on the air are related to the recent changes in testing and license structure; they existed long before April 14.

In what way has your use of Amateur Radio been diminished?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -4927963527074639427
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:08:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com>
<3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net> <qm7f6tg9rrjip5mq77au0t22qj8ouptsjs@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <J81a6.989$e5.2494284@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:07:01 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979934889 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:08:09 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:08:09 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13428
```

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> I think that you have that backwards. You don't like *me* to ask
> questions. In a recent post you refused to answer my simple question:
> "Do you think that a test that allows people to pass without knowing
> the material is a good test?" because you said you didn't like my
> *debating style*... :-)

Aaron, I answered that question with volumes, and continued until I realized that you really would only accept a "yes" or "no" answer for a subject that I believe could foster a very diverse discussion. It was at that point I concluded you were just yanking my chain and I chose to end a discussion I didn't believe you were taking seriously.

From your recent post bragging about yanking my chain, I don't think I was very far off the mark.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -872434761934179232

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:09:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117073658.05313.00001004@ng-cd1.aol.com> <944v9l$4nf$1@iac5.navix.net> <UGJ96.958$e5.2424410@news.ntplx.net> <9487sn$9b1$0@208.207.71.174>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <v91a6.990$e5.2494206@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:07:51 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979934939 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:08:59 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:08:59 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13429

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Ed there are many degrees of yankeeness, begining at The Line and
> progresssing as you go further north. I'm a Yankee by about 35 miles. A
> Connecticut Yankee is about as Yankee as it gets.

Then I am safe. I am a citizen of the state of West Virginia.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3522242266451941307
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:10:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!63.208.208.143.MISMATCH!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail

From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:57:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <94a66l$sm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 19:57:14 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13430 rec.radio.cb:22503
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10895

In article <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> Indeed it would be a somewhat segregated area for younger people
> to get started in ham radio. But that is a big part of what could
> make this work, ...

Quite the opposite would be true!

That segregation absolutely guarantees that the concept would fail!

The channelized "childrens band" would be held in disdain by mainstream amateurs, the participants would be insulated from mainstream amateur practices and procedures loosing the benefits of exposure to more experienced and skilled operators, and they would be barred from participating the host of activities which would occur outside their cozy little ghetto.

> I look at the current "communications gap" situation that is
> developing between the United States and Japan/Europe in the
> same vein as the "missle gap" that started to develop between
> the USA and USSR in 1958.

> General knowledge of simple resistive circuits, and their
> power dissipation, would be required. Knowledge of capacitors
> and inductors would not be required.

Most telling, however, is the stupendous chasm between your stated concern about the "communications gap" and your incredibly minimilistic proposed technical requirements! Since radio today is all about digital circuitry and RF, I'd expect the tests to emphasize those areas. Instead the theory you'd require is hardly sufficient to design a flashlight! There is no mention of digital principles, and worse yet, a specific prohibition of material on capacitors and inductors (components which are the heart and soul of radio technology)! This dichotomy discredits your stated goals

of "encourage basic knowledge of radio, electronics" and "provide a
path......interested in further radio experimentation".

This whole thing is a non-starter, dead on arrival.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3284509472798706405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:20:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:10:24 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <94a6va$1ik$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010118042143.05040.00002252@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<20010118232838.09475.00000878@nso-fj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.182
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 20:10:24 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.182
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13431

In article <20010118232838.09475.00000878@nso-fj.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>Is it "on the table" in the USA agenda for WRC 2003? [No...]

Wrong...again....

```
--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 6394908420359039343
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:30:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:19:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 78
Message-ID: <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 20:19:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13432
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15956

In article <3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com>,
  Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
> horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com>,
> >   Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
> > > > Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >      There are already NUMEROUS stories of YOUNG kids (7-8-9)
> > passing
> > > > > the PRESENT Technician license, so I really doubt they will
> > predisposed
> > > > > to creating something "new".
> > > >
> > > > I personally know an eleven-year-old Extra.  20-wpm-code-test
> > Extra, at
```

> > > > that. He was 10 when he passed the 20wpm. He was 8, IIRC,
when he
> > > > passed the Tech exam.
> > >
> > > Sounds believable to me. My 10 and 11 YOs are studying. They want
to
> > > pass the 5 WPM in time for field day.
> >
> > Do you and your 10 and 11 YOs have FRS radios? Do they want them so
> > that they can talk with their friends?
>
> Can't afford them right now as handy as they would be for our charity
> bike rides that we like to do (got into that through the ham club).
> Between them and their 3 brothers, it's tricky just trying to keep
them
> in bikes plus save up for a an extra pair of 2M rigs and eventually an
> HF rig. Luckily they do neighborhood handywork and pay for half of
their
> own hobby stuff, otherwise I'd never be able to afford it.

They do neigborhood handywork? Man - now that is really a dying breed.

I remember I used to have a paper route when I was a kid. Nowadays the newspapers are all delivered by adults in cars, because(I assume) there aren't enough kids that are interested in doing it anymore.

As much as I respect your kid's work ethic, the reality is that in today's society, the notion of having to actually work for something has been virtually lost. I'm attempting to return to some kind of "reward for work" ethic that reflects today's reality. The thing is - most kids aren't interested in getting on HF nowadays - because they can just get on the telephone or Internet and communicate more reliably around the world. Also, kids want to be able to communicate with their own peers(who have the same general interests). The idea is to create a critical mass of kids to make the whole thing feasible - provide a big enough carrot, and a small enough hill to climb to get to it. Obviously, we shouldn't just let anyone on who just signs their name on a piece of paper; but requiring all youngsters to have to learn about inductors is a little bit overboard, when all they want to start out with is to learn to communicate a little further than their 500mW fixed-antenna UHF rigs allow them. I want to teach them the right way to get there, and give them enough rewards that they are going to want to learn the right way to get there. This is an entryway to the Service - hopefully many will go on to get their Technician and higher licences.

I think MURS could be somewhat of a help in getting kids educated about radios - but the fastest digital speed possible on MURS will be about 4800bps(in reality 1200bps). This is not enough of an incentive. 19,200bps can be cheaply and easily done on the 1.25m/70cm ham bands, and I think that would be a VERY big incentive.

> You can see them at http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/

```
--
-------  Stewart - N0MHS  --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 2543607138721223003
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:30:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:20:25 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A68A189.186C7DBC@IEEE.org>
References: <t69urethiab696@news.supernews.com>
<20010118063646.07593.00002570@nso-ch.aol.com> <t6guo0p3ejll62@news.supernews.com>
<B41a6.988$e5.2494144@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.c9
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 19 Jan 2001 20:30:13 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13433

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> In what way has your use of Amateur Radio been diminished?

His tension, anxiety, and rage levels are so high because of
the restructuring that his hand shakes so badly that he can't
properly key his transmitter anymore. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -8532545140929323024
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:30:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-
for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:30:31 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <94a85r$dcb$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117073658.05313.00001004@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <944v9l$4nf$1@iac5.navix.net> <UGJ96.958$e5.2424410@news.ntplx.net>
<9487sn$9b1$0@208.207.71.174> <v91a6.990$e5.2494206@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.26.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979936251 13707 166.102.250.26 (19 Jan 2001 20:30:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Jan 2001 20:30:51 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13434

And where did you cast your ballot for Gore?

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:v91a6.990$e5.2494206@news.ntplx.net...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >  Ed there are many degrees of yankeeness, begining at The Line and
> > progresssing as you go further north. I'm a Yankee by about 35 miles. A
> > Connecticut Yankee is about as Yankee as it gets.
>
> Then I am safe. I am a citizen of the state of West Virginia.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>




From -9214490521055152344
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:33:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com> <949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:33:30 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.81
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979936391 206.20.144.81 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:33:11 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:33:11 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13435 rec.radio.cb:22505 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10899

> Were there any pushes back then to get more young people
> interested in Amateur Radio?

>

There was no lack of young people then, I was one. Kids flooded into the hobby. The creation of the Novice license in 1951 was extremely successful in luring kids into ham radio. The availability and readily accesibile dirt-cheap military surplus radios and parts was another huge boosting factor. Any kid could and many did get on HF for well under a hundred bucks in 2001 dollars. Srounging was an artform and part of a perenially-broke kid becoming a ham and a lot of fun in itself.

>

Just about all large high schools had school-supported ham clubs and club stations. The 80 & 40m Novice bands crawled with other kids. A kid could actually understand the circuitry and get a soldering iron into the radios. The VHF & UHF bands were for experimentation for the most part. There were very few antenna and tower restrictions, "real people" didn't live in apartments. If you were a kid interested in electronics the customary gateway to an EE was a ham ticket. Got ya points with admissions officers.

>

Life in general was also very different from today. The overall pace of life was slower and simpler, people had more spare time. Ya didn't mess with girls until you were 16-17. There were no home computers. There were ham stores in every populated area. There was no horde of CBers, no cell phones, no Internet, endless list.

>

It's all changed and I have my doubts about how much "better off " ham radio is today vs. 1958 even though the number of hams then was some small fraction of today's license count.

>

>
> ------- Stewart - N0MHS --------

>
w3rv

From 2565164118065356695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:40:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:26:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <94a7tu$2i7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94a66l$sm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 20:26:50 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13436 rec.radio.cb:22506
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10900

In article <94a66l$sm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> Since radio today
> is all about digital circuitry and RF, I'd expect the tests to
> emphasize those areas.... There is no mention of digital
> principles

Yes, you are right, that is definately an oversight. I will correct
that.

> and worse yet, a specific prohibition of material on
> capacitors and inductors (components which are the heart and soul

> of radio technology)!

I believe these areas were also left out of the old Novice exam.

> This whole thing is a non-starter, dead on arrival.

Do you think it was a good idea to get rid of the Novice class?
Shouldn't there have been something done to keep it and update it for
today's world?

> 73, Hans, K0HB

--
------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1402926653559501378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:44:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 20:43:30 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7ey6t2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010119154330.25659.00000928@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13437

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g7ey6t2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>On 19 Jan 2001 06:15:03 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>The municipal Los Angeles electric power provider, the
>>Department of Water and Power, has no electric power
>>shortage. [will you agree that Los Angeles is a large city?]
>>The LA DWP is presently selling electric power to middle
>>California. There are no rolling blackouts in the LA DWP

>>service area, none expected for a long time.
>
> IIRC the DWP was exempt from the CPUC's fiat that production
> facilities be sold. If SCE, SDGE, and PG&E were allowed to
> keep their dynamos as well, would there have been a "shortage"?
> Doubtful.

Phil, the LA Department of Water and Power is a municipal agency. "Selling" production facilities of the DWP was not, is not, part of any plan by any California Public Utilities Commission...or any other state group.

As of today, Friday, the DWP is selling close to 9 GWatts of electric power outside the DWP part of the local grid. The rest of us in the DWP service area aren't subject to any blackouts or power restrictions.

Weirdness has surfaced politically. The DWP general manager, hand-picked by LA Mayor Richard Riordan, is now being criticized by the Mayor for selling at "nominal" prices rather than raking in all they can get. :-)

I'm not familiar with details of management of SCE, SDGE, or PG&E since those utilities were never in any area I've lived in down here. In general, the management of those private utilities simply failed to handle the deregulation of electric power trading. They seemed to be doing okay prior to electric deregulation. No rolling blackouts then, no "shortage," no state action needed, was there?

All I can see is a 3.8 million subscriber municipal agency not only supplying enough electric power for all its customers but also selling electric power to other power utilities. Seems to me that is a sign that DWP management is able to deal with a situation while the private electric utilities management were not able.

In radio terms the above is called "Proof of Performance."

didit

From 1414565137516777872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:44:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 89
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 20:43:29 GMT
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13438

In article <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> At one time here, roughly two weeks ago, some electric
>> power brokers were charging FORTY times per KWHR
>> versus the same rates of 1999. I don't think anyone saw
>> that kind of greed.
>
>Hindsight always being 20-20 the potential was there and should have been
>recognized. It's not exactly the first time greed became a monster. There
>isn't a
>corporate entity out there which won't commit economic rape if it can legally
>get away with it.

Perhaps true, but FORTY times increase in KWHR rate in
one year?!?

>> county supervisors got a new manager. The result is
>> enough electric power for this service area plus the
>> capacity to help out other areas in California.
>
>Is DWP exempt from spinning off it's generating capacity?

As a municipal agency, it can't spin off its facilities without
a lot more legal hassle than with a private electricity
provider. Right now the DWP is not only able to supply
electricity to 3.8 million customers but is also selling
nearly 9000 KWe to the outside.

One of the political idiocies going on is the LA Mayor angry
at the DWP general manager for not charging enough on
outside sale (about 6 cents per KWHR, roughly nominal
in current trading charges). It's nonsense. Mayor Riordan
is still involved in a rivalry with the Bay Area, insisting on
"showing no mercy." :-)

>> and other regulations. Burbank = Lockheed used to be an
>> equation. No more. The last Lockheed building has been
>> levelled. End of an aviation era for the locality.
>
>Been there, huge complex. Must have moved into the old Martin-Marietta
>complex.

>That's exactly how cities fall into decay like the most of the Northeast Corridor cities have, the smokestackers here all bailed out for the sunbelt to a large extent get away from the unions, outrageous taxes and other expenses.

Lots of former Lockheed employees who stayed here insist that Lockheed got the shaft from the state and from the incorporated city of Burbank, Lockheed's home since 1930 or so. In one way, environmentalists were responsible for Lockheed having had to spend an enormous sum for a water treatement plant at the tin-bending complex off Buena Vista (a half dozen or so large buildings, now all razed). After completion the city wasn't satisfied with test results, wanted much more not stated originally. Coupled with excessive state taxes, Lockheed called it quits for corporate HQ and moved.

>Unstable power availability has to be a hot topic in the Silicon Valley board rooms.

Many places large and small. Today's paper carried a story about a store specializing in black leather clothing...rather hard to display such wares without lighting. :-)

>I have to agree. As Kelley put it it's been an "experiment". A bloody failed experiment. I noticed that yesterday that Davis signed a bill which dropped the generating station spinoff requirement. The state has already dumped $1.4 billion into the fray and this is only the opening gun. Yeah, I expect there will be some steps taken back to a more viable blend of reality vs. deregulation.

...and some elected state politicians are going to face rather severe problems in trying to get re-elected.

All of California's budget surplus just disappeared...got transported to a bunch of overcharging electric power suppliers.

Legalized greed is my term for it.   :-(

didit

```
From -5659940908868942560
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
```

X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:50:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:38:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <94a8k9$36s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 20:38:34 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13439
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15957

N0MHS.... (In discussing a proposed Amateur Radio license whose purposes would be to "Encourage basic knowledge of radio, electronics.." and "provide a path for ... further radio experimentation")

...said:

> ....to have to learn about inductors is a little bit overboard....

Can _ANYONE_ take this proposal seriously?

73, Hans, K0HB
Lord High Liberator of the Electric Smoke

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2936720249864519276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:50:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!

fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:38:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <94a8je$36g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-cr1.aol.com> <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net> <9401gp$bp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <945j6m$3lm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <947fq9$m9o$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <947tng$3ql$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.122
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 20:38:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.122
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13440

> The old method almost required being inducted into the lodge by an
> existing lodge member in good standing.
>

I like that idea. Actually it was a well balanced approach to the situation. I never recall any Elmer putting off a prospective ham unless that person was an outright embarassement. I recall a Black kid and a Mexican kid who got their Novices about the same time. The Mexican kid burned up his radio and lost interest (probably became a professional) and the Black kid went on to General and even cobbled up a completely homebrew CW mobile on his bicycle! Another kid got his Extra and DXCC by his Senior year! But even if you were a complete outcast, you could maybe find someone else to give the test, And in 1973 you could still study and practice on your own and go to the FCC office and take the Extra Class test. I would have preferred the Elmer-Novice was the only entry.

In my case, I knew hams for several years before one asked me why I wasn't one. I had already dabbled with morse code with some of them and had some electronics experience. Actually my major stumbling block was learning the subband allocations. The Novice ticket was extremely easy if you has someone to to work with on it. Several months later I went down to the FCC office 100 miles away and took the Extra Class Exam. I flunked the code test but recieved credit for lower elements and settled for the Advanced. It wasn't till 20 years later that I upgraded to Extra. Now I don't have to sweat the subbands so much.

So why would anyone fight that? I think some people fear being rejected and so do not want to try! It also seems to me that the well meaning ARRL bunch got so hungry for bodies and subscribers that they engineered the changes on the premise that if licensing were extremely simple, then everyone would want to "join the club". The problem is, that if you go dragging the CB bands for converts and giving out licenses, Why would they want to be hams? The difference was in people getting licenses because they were hams as opposed to people getting licenses to find out if they were hams or worse, finding out that since they now have the bands, what are the use of hams.

I submit that if you go looking on Skid Row for employees, you will find a lot of people to go along for awhile, but in the end there will be quite a few that have no good use for it getting in the way of a few gems that could have, but the business failed.

Furthermore, I feel that by short circuiting the Elmer-Novice entry, a great many people have been cheated out of a foundation experience. And if you look hard at the operation of the no-coders, I bet you find that some of them just have useless 10 year licences, and there are not many more than there were when we had 4 year licenses and non-renewable 1 year Novice tickets.

Jim, ac6tk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4975942112476373168
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 12:56:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A68A9FE.9DC604B3@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94a2s4$thk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:56:30 -0500

NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.81
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979937771 206.20.144.81 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:56:11 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 14:56:11 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13441 rec.radio.cb:22509 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10901

> It mentions how Sputnik spurred the creation of the NDEA and NASA(and
> eventually our trip to the moon), but it doesn't mention anything about
> hams, or what hams thought or did about this significant event.

>

It wasn't particulary relevant to ham radio much beyond a few hams becoming "Sputnik Chasers" because they knew how to use HF radios and had the equipment. The launch of Sputnik I really caught the aerospace industry with it's rado pants down and hams jumped into the vacuum for the first few days after Sputnik popped up on 15m. Beyond that the space race was pretty much a ho-hum as far as it's impact specifically on ham radio went until hams got into the game with the Oscars much later.

>--

> ------- Stewart - N0MHS --------

>

w3rv

From 5837743413100067469
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 13:09:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 22:44:16 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <94ag00$r3a$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <93nntl$4ee$1@iac5.navix.net> <947gb6$mkt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <948eoc$i5j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <948him$kd1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <PQ0a6.986$e5.2492970@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 979944256 27754 205.139.138.14 (19 Jan 2001 22:44:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Jan 2001 22:44:16 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com

X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13442

In article <PQ0a6.986$e5.2492970@news.ntplx.net>, Ed Hare, W1RFI
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:
>K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
>
>> Meet me on 40M at 7.030MHz at 0500Z on Jan 22. I'll share them with
>> you there.
>
>You picked the frequency. I think he should be allowed to pick the mode.
>

I agree. I'll be happy to use any mode Brian suggests (as long as it's legal
at that frequency).

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
If you take away the fuel, in time the flames will die.

 ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -121051029797392831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 13:10:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 16:10:08 -0500

Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 110
Message-ID: <94aaga$ese$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.26.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 979938634 15246 166.102.250.26 (19 Jan 2001 21:10:34 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Jan 2001 21:10:34 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13443
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15960

This sounds like a right wing, jackbooted, Nazi attempt to make someone work to obtain a license. We can not allow this to happen!

We should issue a license to anyone who shows an interest.

We need to be sensitive to the desires of today's youth. Are none of you able to fathom the deep loss to a young persons self esteem were they to try and fail for what ever reason.

Only a group of insensitive old hams that want to feel superior because they expended some amount of effort is keeping this from reality.

As of today I am forming NHI (No Hassle International) A group dedicated to remove the artificial barriers keeping young people from what they have a "right" to and deserve.

To fight this fight against the narrow minded ego driven old hams that are holding us down will require substantial funds.

Please send $10, $20, or what ever you can afford to my address as listed on QRZ. You can be sure the money will be put to the best possible use as determined by the Board of Directors of NHI. (Me)

Yours to rid the bands of any incentives

3's and 8's
Fred W4JLE

<horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com>,
>   Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
> > horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com>,

> > >   Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
> > > > > Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > >   There are already NUMEROUS stories of YOUNG kids (7-8-9)
> > > passing
> > > > > > > the PRESENT Technician license, so I really doubt they will
> > > predisposed
> > > > > > > to creating something "new".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I personally know an eleven-year-old Extra. 20-wpm-code-test
> > > Extra, at
> > > > > > that. He was 10 when he passed the 20wpm. He was 8, IIRC,
> > when he
> > > > > > passed the Tech exam.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sounds believable to me. My 10 and 11 YOs are studying. They want
> > to
> > > > > pass the 5 WPM in time for field day.
> > > >
> > > > Do you and your 10 and 11 YOs have FRS radios? Do they want them so
> > > > that they can talk with their friends?
> > >
> > > Can't afford them right now as handy as they would be for our charity
> > > bike rides that we like to do (got into that through the ham club).
> > > Between them and their 3 brothers, it's tricky just trying to keep
> > them
> > > in bikes plus save up for a an extra pair of 2M rigs and eventually an
> > > HF rig. Luckily they do neighborhood handywork and pay for half of
> > their
> > > own hobby stuff, otherwise I'd never be able to afford it.
>
> They do neigborhood handywork? Man - now that is really a dying breed.
>
> I remember I used to have a paper route when I was a kid. Nowadays the
> newspapers are all delivered by adults in cars, because(I assume) there
> aren't enough kids that are interested in doing it anymore.
>
> As much as I respect your kid's work ethic, the reality is that in
> today's society, the notion of having to actually work for something
> has been virtually lost. I'm attempting to return to some kind
> of "reward for work" ethic that reflects today's reality. The thing
> is - most kids aren't interested in getting on HF nowadays - because
> they can just get on the telephone or Internet and communicate more
> reliably around the world. Also, kids want to be able to communicate
> with their own peers(who have the same general interests). The idea is
> to create a critical mass of kids to make the whole thing feasible -
> provide a big enough carrot, and a small enough hill to climb to get to
> it. Obviously, we shouldn't just let anyone on who just signs their
> name on a piece of paper; but requiring all youngsters to have to learn
> about inductors is a little bit overboard, when all they want to start
> out with is to learn to communicate a little further than their 500mW
> fixed-antenna UHF rigs allow them. I want to teach them the right way
> to get there, and give them enough rewards that they are going to want
> to learn the right way to get there. This is an entryway to the

```
> Service - hopefully many will go on to get their Technician and higher
> licences.
>
> I think MURS could be somewhat of a help in getting kids educated about
> radios - but the fastest digital speed possible on MURS will be about
> 4800bps(in reality 1200bps).  This is not enough of an incentive.
> 19,200bps can be cheaply and easily done on the 1.25m/70cm ham bands,
> and I think that would be a VERY big incentive.
>
> > You can see them at http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/
>
> --
> -------  Stewart - N0MHS  --------
> Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
> Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
> http://www.pubcel.com
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From -8325926061813657657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 13:14:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Jan 2001 21:14:06 GMT
References: <3A689357.3A2A@bellsouth.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Message-ID: <20010119161406.16762.00000561@nso-mp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13444

In article <3A689357.3A2A@bellsouth.net>, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>Len,
>
>I don't know about "officially" requiring a license, but I have asked
>for licenses at hamfests on transceivers and amplifiers.  Yes, some of
>'em got mad, but, knowing that Riley H. and company were roaming the
>premises, I wouldn't take the risk of selling to a CBer ( found that out
```

>because the person{s} told me so).

I have no quarrel with private property sales at hamfests. As far as I am concerned there, a seller can ask to see a photo ID such as a driver's license to make sure. If I was accepting checks as payments I would want at least that kind of ID.

How do you feel about having amateur radio police at the door of an HRO branch? Or any other store selling amateur radio equipment? How about over the Internet store sites?

>I DO think that cash or equivilent prizes should be substituted to non-
>hams at hamfests instead of handing out IC706MKIIGs to the general pub-
>lic.

I have no problem with that. Door prizes are door prizes.
Make it a round trip for two to Tahiti and I might attend. :-)

>Some of these items are sure to end up being used illegally. Some
>hamfests do this, others don't---but I wish they would. Could be the
>source of that "mysterious" kerchunker on 2M is a handheld won by a per-
>son without a clue as to the laws governing its use.

I do have a problem with such suppositions. What makes the "mysterious kerchunker" some kind of "illegal" who isn't FCC-green-carded? How can you tell?

Are the "illegals" always non-amateur? Does a "kerchunk" carry any ID of the kerchunker? :-)

didit

From 6280633278708450352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 13:20:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:09:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <94aaeg$4vm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>

<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94a8k9$36s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 21:09:46 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13445
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15961

In article <94a8k9$36s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> N0MHS.... (In discussing a proposed Amateur Radio license whose
> purposes would be to "Encourage basic knowledge of radio,
> electronics.." and "provide a path for ... further radio
> experimentation")
>
> ...said:
>
> > ....to have to learn about inductors is a little bit overboard....
>
> Can _ANYONE_ take this proposal seriously?

I suppose you didn't take the Novice exam seriously either, eh? The last version(intended to be used up to June, 2001!) contained no mention of inductors, and contained zero questions that involved a correct answer involving a capacitor.

Also, please note that this new "Novice" license does not involve any HF privileges - where the possibility of widespread interference was a possibility.

> 73, Hans, K0HB
> Lord High Liberator of the Electric Smoke

------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6693657707900142143
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf

X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 13:20:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:14:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <94aao8$5ch$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com> <949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 21:14:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13446 rec.radio.cb:22514 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10905

In article <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com>,
  Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
> > Were there any pushes back then to get more young people
> > interested in Amateur Radio?
>
> >
> There was no lack of young people then, I was one. Kids flooded into the
> hobby. The creation of the Novice license in 1951 was extremely successful
> in luring kids into ham radio. The availability and readily accesibile
> dirt-cheap military surplus radios and parts was another huge boosting
> factor. Any kid could and many did get on HF for well under a hundred bucks
> in 2001 dollars. Srounging was an artform and part of a perenially-broke kid
> becoming a ham and a lot of fun in itself.
> >
> Just about all large high schools had school-supported ham clubs and club
> stations. The 80 & 40m Novice bands crawled with other kids. A kid could
> actually understand the circuitry and get a soldering iron into the radios.
> The VHF & UHF bands were for experimentation for the most part. There were
> very few antenna and tower restrictions, "real people" didn't live in
> apartments. If you were a kid interested in electronics the customary
> gateway to an EE was a ham ticket. Got ya points with admissions officers.

> >
> Life in general was also very different from today. The overall pace of life
> was slower and simpler, people had more spare time. Ya didn't mess with
> girls until you were 16-17. There were no home computers. There were ham
> stores in every populated area. There was no horde of CBers, no cell
> phones, no Internet, endless list.
> >

That's a cool story, thanks.

> It's all changed and I have my doubts about how much "better off " ham radio
> is today vs. 1958 even though the number of hams then was some small
> fraction of today's license count.

The thing is, the percentage of hams using HF is way down too. To be
able to reach a critical mass of other people to communicate with on
VHF/UHF, you really need more people.

> w3rv

--
------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5499271101128268143
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 13:20:29 PST
Message-ID: <3A68AFF1.FF1CA4A@zylay.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 16:21:53 -0500
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Organization: Young Marines of the Big Bend - http://www.zylay.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>

```
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 19 Jan 2001 16:23:26 -0500, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 84
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!
205.143.240.121
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13447
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15962
```

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

```
>
> In article <3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com>,
>   Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
> > horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com>,
> > >   Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
> > > > > Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >      There are already NUMEROUS stories of YOUNG kids (7-8-9)
> > > passing
> > > > > > > the PRESENT Technician license, so I really doubt they will
> > > predisposed
> > > > > > > to creating something "new".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I personally know an eleven-year-old Extra.  20-wpm-code-test
> > > Extra, at
> > > > > > that.  He was 10 when he passed the 20wpm.  He was 8, IIRC,
> > when he
> > > > > > passed the Tech exam.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sounds believable to me. My 10 and 11 YOs are studying. They want
> > to
> > > > > pass the 5 WPM in time for field day.
> > > >
> > > > Do you and your 10 and 11 YOs have FRS radios?  Do they want them so
> > > > that they can talk with their friends?
> > >
> > > Can't afford them right now as handy as they would be for our charity
> > > bike rides that we like to do (got into that through the ham club).
> > > Between them and their 3 brothers, it's tricky just trying to keep
> > them
> > > in bikes plus save up for a an extra pair of 2M rigs and eventually an
> > > HF rig. Luckily they do neighborhood handywork and pay for half of
> > their
> > > own hobby stuff, otherwise I'd never be able to afford it.
>
> They do neigborhood handywork?  Man - now that is really a dying breed.
>
```

> I remember I used to have a paper route when I was a kid. Nowadays the
> newspapers are all delivered by adults in cars, because(I assume) there
> aren't enough kids that are interested in doing it anymore.
>
> As much as I respect your kid's work ethic, the reality is that in
> today's society, the notion of having to actually work for something
> has been virtually lost. I'm attempting to return to some kind
> of "reward for work" ethic that reflects today's reality. The thing
> is - most kids aren't interested in getting on HF nowadays - because
> they can just get on the telephone or Internet and communicate more
> reliably around the world. Also, kids want to be able to communicate
> with their own peers(who have the same general interests). The idea is
> to create a critical mass of kids to make the whole thing feasible -
> provide a big enough carrot, and a small enough hill to climb to get to
> it. Obviously, we shouldn't just let anyone on who just signs their
> name on a piece of paper; but requiring all youngsters to have to learn
> about inductors is a little bit overboard, when all they want to start
> out with is to learn to communicate a little further than their 500mW
> fixed-antenna UHF rigs allow them. I want to teach them the right way
> to get there, and give them enough rewards that they are going to want
> to learn the right way to get there. This is an entryway to the
> Service - hopefully many will go on to get their Technician and higher
> licences.

My kids love the idea of HF...they've talked on sideband on CB at a
friend's house before and thought that was really neat. Maybe one of
these days, you'll hear them down there, but it will probably be on CW
because they are fascinated by the code.

> I think MURS could be somewhat of a help in getting kids educated about
> radios - but the fastest digital speed possible on MURS will be about
> 4800bps(in reality 1200bps). This is not enough of an incentive.
> 19,200bps can be cheaply and easily done on the 1.25m/70cm ham bands,
> and I think that would be a VERY big incentive.
>
> > You can see them at http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/
>
> --
> ------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
> Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
> Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
> http://www.pubcel.com
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

From 6068244639777614891
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 13:43:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Lines: 41
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Xx2a6.783$ZB2.167709@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace:
+ryjt41IHjkLt2bPtltN+5T5s3NcawLq37w6LZOIpt7XQEDqXzKrtfqgWyo9WGVcB24swKPZJGLx!
7YI9rK7lxNJFuceCZHMlLhcl9LEb68j2fTZo3qMmBux7+HLTVVqIiFrHjkHPZpY3dNfVUFZ0n9/t!
TE1fiRAm1Q==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:43:19 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:43:19 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13448
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10907

"darrell earnshaw" <Darrell.Earnshaw@eng.Sun.COM> wrote in message =
news:3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >=20
> >   There has been much talk about the Clinton legacy.  As William J.
> > Clinton leaves office, lets take a look at a few things around the
> > country to discover the real Clinton legacy.
> >=20
> > Politics & Race Relations...
> [...snip...]
>=20
> >=20
> > Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
> >=20
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>=20
> Dwight,
>=20
> Of course you are entitled to your own interpretations and views.
> However, this newsgroup is about amateur radio, not politics, relgion,
> or other unrelated issues, and it is an inappropriate forum for =
personal
```

> soapboxes on issues unrelated to amateur radio. I also suspect that =
most
> subscribers to the NG probably couldn't care less about US politics or
> domestic issues -- newsgroups are not just for domestic US consumption =
-
> they're international. I'm sure there's a
> "US.politics.domestic.anti-liberals" or something newsgroup somewhere
> out there which is more appropriate.
>=20
> No disrespect intended.
>=20
> 73, Darrell
> nr3y.

Apparently you haven't been reading this newsgroup for very long...

73 de W6DKN

```
From 4724633648128256316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 14:30:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Follow the Money
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 22:17:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 22:17:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13449
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15971 alt.radio.family:3460 rec.radio.cb:22524
```

When you don't understand why somebody is doing something which seems senseless to you, sometimes it pays to try to "follow the money".

In this case it led me to a company called "PubCel". According to their web site, that company is involved in:

"Wireless Entertainment and Communications Solutions:

- MURS and ARS Voice and Data Communications Systems

- FRS and GMRS Voice Communication Systems"

I think it is safe to say that the "ARS" acronym might mean "Amateur Radio Service".

Also according to their web site, the company President is none other than Stewart Teaze, N0MHS.

Suddenly this proposal for the "Barbie Doll" Amateur Radio license isn't quite so senseless.

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4693851645750066028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 14:40:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class

```
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 22:25:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <94aesc$968$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94aaga$ese$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 22:25:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13450
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15973

In article <94aaga$ese$1@iac5.navix.net>,
  "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> This sounds like a right wing, jackbooted, Nazi attempt to make
someone work
> to obtain a license. We can not allow this to happen!
>
> We should issue a license to anyone who shows an interest.
>
> We need to be sensitive to the desires of today's youth. Are none of
you
> able to fathom the deep loss to a young persons self esteem were they
to try
> and fail for what ever reason.
>
> Only a group of insensitive old hams that want to feel superior
because they
> expended some amount of effort is keeping this from reality.
>
> As of today I am forming NHI (No Hassle International) A group
dedicated to
> remove the artificial barriers keeping young people from what they
have a
> "right" to and deserve.
>
>  To fight this fight against the narrow minded ego driven old hams
that are
> holding us down will require substantial funds.
>
> Please send $10, $20, or what ever you can afford to my address as
listed on
> QRZ. You can be sure the money will be put to the best possible use as
> determined by the Board of Directors of NHI. (Me)
>
> Yours to rid the bands of any incentives

Sarcasm noted, Fred.  I'm not trying to produce a giveaway license -
```

but I am trying to forge a modern replacement to the lost Novice Class
license.

Maybe the right idea is to just call this class Novice, and call all
existing Novice Class operators "Novice Plus" - where "Plus" indicates
plus HF, as it does with the similarly closed Technician Plus class.
Novice Pluses would also pick up the extra sliver of privileges on 70cm.

> 3's and 8's
> Fred W4JLE
>

------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8503240832314660816
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 14:47:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>
From: "Gary - KJ6Q" <davison7@gte.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <948jn2$9re$0@208.206.142.78> <3A683E64.BA8A34AE@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 53
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <et3a6.1171$ZB2.186854@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: +4EwKIur0nMnE7963u9lfsq9Bi/y4tyocfTu7O0fczCuLBQJCUxRD5lVPhASrutLBf1xDjYjf29P!bmz3qTLUHB5Yxl/PDqtMHxSGXWViCPXivX0ws8HC7/HUo3VmWHU+6sQeWI7BWqW94SP6FDN4K3SM!z0fH+UHSPDc=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers

X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 22:46:34 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 22:46:34 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10915
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13451

quote:

". The idea was to spur competition, but an unfortunate sequence of events, along with the help of some opportunistic and unscrupulous companys lead us to where we are now. It would have been difficult to foresee this particular outcome."

"Opportunistic and unscrupulous"? Not hardly - just the natural outcome of a VERY flawed bit of political manuevering - BLAMING someone for stooping over to pick up GOLD thrown at their feet is unrealistic - it was ALL very predictable!

As a friend pointed out, the "emergency" will go away as soon as the $$$ start rolling to the "right" people - and those primary power transmissions that were so overloaded as to require rolling blackouts will immediately, overnite, become magically once again able to handle to loads they had formerly been unable to...

Deregulation will continue to be the ffort here in California and other states to follow, and the public will "benefit" from that deregulation of electrical power JUST as we have in the area of fuel for our transportation - you're free to buy it wherever you choose - at THEIR price!

--
Gary - KJ6Q
****
California's energy crisis...
Brought to you by your friendly environmentalists.
Cold? Hungry? Roast a Spotted Owl over a family room bonfire!
Out of work? Volunteer for a job at Sierra Club headquarters to help
tear down more dams and power plants in California and
along the Columbia river...

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A683E64.BA8A34AE@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Meanwhile, the state is loaded with
> > environmental activitists who feel real good about locking away all
the
> > natural resources for "posterity" instead of meeting the
environmental
> > protection challenges headon with sensible protective requirements
that
> > are enforced.
>

> I hope they enjoy sitting around in the dark in the cold. KE6AJH was
> sitting at his computer in Santa Cruz yesterday when the blackout hit.
> Imagine a day trader being without power for a couple of hours while
> IBM is rising 12 points.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8599174484830671646
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 14:59:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A5E5EFA.BCB9FFFA@IEEE.org> <20010112074718.07248.00001192@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n5nb$dii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <gF3a6.1003$e5.2506589@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:58:13 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979945164 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:59:24 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:59:24 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13452

Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> One day soon we'll be able to fold NCI into the ARRL.

The members of both organizations are quite free to join either, neither or both.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7041314857995953100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:02:50 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<VNJ96.961$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net> <518f6to0okmfm5o1ud663ialsfpdl75kii@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <aI3a6.1004$e5.2506859@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:01:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979945350 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:02:30 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:02:30 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13453

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> >> In society most people are too polite to tell someone about his gaff.
> >> They just think of him poorly behind his back. At least hams are
> >> honest and straightforward... :-)

> >Whoever originated the phrase, "honesty is the best policy" clearly
didn't
> >envision this scenario. :-)

> I suppose it's not much different than someone who is too polite to
> tell his CW contact that he has a bad fist and lies that he is being
> called to dinner to end the QSO early... :-)

Telling him in an "honest and straightforward" way about his gaff is the
exact opposite of not telling him. That is not my idea of "not much
different."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4448729474988840003
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:09:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail

From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <945sbu$idr$1@208.207.71.177> <20010118045423.05040.00002265@ng-ff1.aol.com> <9488lt$bdu$0@208.207.71.174>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 48
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <FO3a6.1005$e5.2507270@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:08:13 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979945765 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:09:25 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:09:25 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13454

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> W1RFI wrote:

> > Frankly, Dick, I would much rather see new hams with sensitivities than those
> > who are cold and insensitive to the feelings of others.

> So there are no third categories?

Sure there are, but in comparing two extremes and saying which I prefer, I felt it best not to drag in too many other factors. I do not think that those who are moderate are a major problem in ham radio.

> You Political Correct mavens insist that your choices are all there is.

Can you explain what you are trying to tell me?

> What would be the matter with some newcomers with an attitude of "I'm
> gonna get this and do it well, make my mistakes and get it right and to
> heck with the minor irritations, whatever they may be. Maybe I can learn
> something about it all from them, if I don't let the chip blow off my
> shoulder".

I do not believe that all of those who make "gaffs," to steal from another poster, have chips on their shoulder. If anything, many of those who "gently remind" people of the words they are permitted to use on repeaters (name is okay, personal is not, handle only if you are an old timer) are the ones seen as having the chips. Would it not be a lot more polite (politically correct if you really do prefer that term) to offer him the advice that some hams will think poorly of him for saying "personal" instead of "name" in a more private setting than in front of everyone on the repeater?

> It must be in the water. NO one today seems to have anything but a
> fragile, easily destroyed ego. If I had been like that I'd have never

> made it into the teenage years, much less far beyond.

If you are saying that no one of your generation would be offended by being publically humiliated for making a minor poor choice of words, I think you are looking at the past through some very thick lenses. Maybe you had no concern for what people think of you, but human nature has not changed that much over the decades. Many people are by nature, insecure. I don't think it inappropriate to consider that when offering "gentle advice."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8305320319228537503
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:10:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <945sbu$idr$1@208.207.71.177> <20010118045423.05040.00002265@ng-ff1.aol.com> <9488lt$bdu$0@208.207.71.174> <948fvu$e26$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <kP3a6.1006$e5.2506971@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:08:56 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979945808 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:10:08 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:10:08 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13455

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Ed is setting there in his dashiki ?) and love beads. In the 60's you had
to
> espouse all that sensitivity crap if you wanted to get a little trim.

Do you believe I am faking it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4012972759775628129
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41908a754ca908a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:11:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Buy a ham ticket
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:08:32 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A68C8F0.A50A4C79@uci.edu>
References: <93le3k$vt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112072552.07248.00001183@ng-da1.aol.com> <93n4tk$csi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93n9vt$uhh$1@news.netmar.com> <93nf6p$mv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nh5r$p2o$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93nj6d$qug$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <93njt2$rj6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A5F79B8.373D1803@uci.edu> <93o2se$9tg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A5F9BD2.2F0C05D1@uci.edu> <93ogtd$l2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A65F155.D045B958@uci.edu> <9455p2$6md$1@news.netmar.com> <3A660F19.1E88F782@uci.edu> <945buq$c5o$1@news.netmar.com> <3A66246E.9F831460@uci.edu> <948drh$mhg$2@208.207.71.174>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13457

Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I prefer code that is easy to copy to the mal-adjusted bug,
> > non-robotic sound.

> > > If you don't agree with that attitude, don't answer my CQ, because you
> > > won't be treated to "properly timed" code.

> > Well, practice makes perfect I always say. ;-)

> Golly, Jim, that puts you in the same bracket with Brian Burke and
> Larry Roll, who insist on machine perfect code!

What does?

From -6244150052787459276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:11:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:06:20 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A68C86C.C46309B5@uci.edu>
References: <947sj6$5l8$1@news.netmar.com> <20010119011502.04061.00000524@nso-
mh.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13456

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Incidentally, Southern California Edison Company (under its larger
> umbrella corporation) did not "build everything 'we' have" here.

I wasn't including you in "we", Len.  Edison has built vitually
everything
where I live.


From -3394780369703327738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:13:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <74ee6t0bin9j7fiq2pkv0i6ssgototb1o9@4ax.com>
<948a23$f4f$0@208.207.71.174> <dnnf6t0h3ua56vbrd8iiol5f4vkqhju3ek@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <eS3a6.1007$e5.2507546@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:12:02 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979945994 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:13:14 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:13:14 EST
```

```
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13458

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > I once knew an OT who had been off the air so long that he was new to
> >repeaters, and when he finally got a two meter rig he called CQ on the
> >repeater just as he would have on HF.
> > It isn't always the newcomers who miscue.

> Yep. Seniority makes little difference in making a gaff. And neither
> "CQ" or "W7xxx monitoring" say in plain english what it is we really
> want, namely a contact. Hobby jargon and knowing it's proper use is
> important, and if you try to operate without knowing it, then let's
> hope that you're not too sensitive of a person...
> (Human nature being what it is.)     :-)

Actually, Aaron, it is not that human nature is even always "what it is." It
is that if you participate in an open forum, there are always one or two who
feel that the best thing that they could do for you is to publically  and
soundly berate you for any mistake you might make.  It toughens the soul,
you see?  It will either make you, or make you go away. Either way, they
believe that Amateur Radio benefits because it ensures we will end up with a
"few good hams."

If you think their behaviour is inappropriate, it is only because you are
too "politically correct" or never put down your love beads. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI





From -7380651334253744499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:17:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A689357.3A2A@bellsouth.net> <20010119161406.16762.00000561@nso-
mp.aol.com>
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
```

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <fW3a6.1008$e5.2507723@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:16:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979946251 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:17:31 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:17:31 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13459

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> >Some of these items are sure to end up being used illegally. Some
> >hamfests do this, others don't---but I wish they would. Could be the
> >source of that "mysterious" kerchunker on 2M is a handheld won by a per-
> >son without a clue as to the laws governing its use.

> I do have a problem with such suppositions. What makes
> the "mysterious kerchunker" some kind of "illegal" who isn't
> FCC-green-carded? How can you tell?

What part of "could be" did you not understand. I have no problem with
suppositions that are identified as such. His was.

> Are the "illegals" always non-amateur? Does a "kerchunk"
> carry any ID of the kerchunker? :-)

The one doing the kerchunking is indeed always illegal. Transmitter
fingerprinting has been used to successfully ID several kerchunkers over the
years.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7380726138398528482
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:19:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147> <20010114005243.03673.00000675@nso-
ck.aol.com> <YkK86.830$e5.2151089@news.ntplx.net> <3A661DD3.58DE6F10@uci.edu>
<4sJ96.954$e5.2423242@news.ntplx.net> <3A6796A5.8935309D@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <mY3a6.1009$e5.2507857@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:18:34 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979946386 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:19:46 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:19:46 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13460

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> So to whom do you refer, and why is it such a big issue?

From what you had said in an earlier post, I thought that you did not see SS as anything other than as a "digital mode."

It appears I misunderstood. Sorry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9056865870508433945
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 23:09:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <94ahee$baa$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118042600.05040.00002254@ng-ff1.aol.com> <947cti$jet$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <pxJ96.955$e5.2423633@news.ntplx.net> <94a1m3$sam$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.83
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 23:09:08 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.83
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13461

In article <94a1m3$sam$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
xjim@my-deja.com wrote:

> The entire state of California is on a self-inflicted stage III power
> emergency. Most probably so that The State can scare the people into
> a government takeover of the grid (California Nationalists?).

There you go again making assumptions about what other people would
want.

It
appears

> we prefer to complain and react to symptoms rather than address the
> causes. The stories in the news don't make sense either, because all
> we're hearing right now is finger pointing and panic. It's very hard
> for me to beleive that this calamity couldn't have been averted at any
> point on a time line if only someone would have acknowledged it.

There is no calamity. Governments all over America were just examining
all the piles of money from overtaxed citizens and wondering what to do
with it all. You couldn't lose in the stock market. Greenspan had to
keep tightening the money supply to slow down an over-robust economy.

Then GW won the election and the mainstream press mantra is "We're back
in the Worst Economy Ever" mode, with an energy crisis on top of it.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 1601739808742605765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:20:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <iode6tsi5706c3aua35odh2r5cjh4a2uc1@4ax.com>
<20010119011506.04061.00000527@nso-mh.aol.com>
<7bqf6tcdiqd8rnnvi0bj7m1ji5p2bh3b7n@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <0Z3a6.1010$e5.2508016@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:19:16 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979946428 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:20:28 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:20:28 EST
```

Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13462

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> I didn't have any spectrum allocated to me until I passed my test and got a license. That's pretty straight. But you knew that I was just yanking Ed's chain didn't you? I didn't expect to get two fish with only one hook...   :-)

Perhaps we should all consider that any time we think about taking your posts at face value.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3094531489249809789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:21:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:17:43 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu>
References: <944j3f$ljo$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010119032808.02315.00002699@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13463

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Jim:

> In such a situation, an armed response by law-abiding citizens would definitely be in order....

You gotta be nuts, Larry.

> What causes are you willing to fight and die for, Jim? Are you willing

> to die for baby killers, tree-huggers, and violent racists?

The guys in the Revolutionary War, and World Wars I and II did. So if I was in the military, I guess I would have to also.

> (I consider myself to be an "environmentalist" also),

I meant to write eco-terrorists. You aren't one of them too, are you?

> the people you
> mention above are just amoral, self-serving criminals.

Right. So as long as it's a group you happen to agree with, it's constitutional for them to start an armed rebellion. That's what I thought.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From -1117374884360375868
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:22:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010117072049.05313.00000991@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010119032809.02315.00002700@nso-fi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <4%3a6.1011$e5.2508160@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:21:27 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979946560 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:22:40 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:22:40 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13464
```

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> Those who learn the Morse code well enough to effectively employ the CW
> mode on-the-air will also have the equipment to do so; therefore,
> they will be equipped to use that mode in the event of any sort of
> emergency where it would be practical to do so.

You may be right that most who learn the code will actually possess equipment to use it, if they stay active as hams, but I know many a code-tested ham who has no equipment at all, as well as a few who literally had the code-key burying cermony in their back yards, surrounded by all their friends. I also know a larger number of hams who passed a 13 wpm test, but would have difficulty getting past 5 wpm today.

Your mileage may vary.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1373999075242527801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:30:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: My name is Timmy
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 23:15:54 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <94ahr3$bpj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 38.31.209.241
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 23:15:54 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 38.31.209.241
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkp82
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13465
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15975 alt.radio.family:3461 rec.radio.cb:22535

http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
73 de Tim

Knight Patrol #82

http://www.kp82.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2162709339036347120
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:30:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 23:16:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <94ahrc$bpo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.77.158.59
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 23:16:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.61 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 12.77.158.59
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDlr0d
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13466
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15976 alt.radio.family:3462 rec.radio.cb:22536

In article <94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> Also according to their web site, the company President is none other
> than Stewart Teaze, N0MHS.

Ka Ching!

Nice job Hans. I knew this thing smelled, but I thought he just wanted
to get his kids on the air and they were too lazy to get even the Tech.

LRod

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -4524800834381892243
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:32:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!intermedia!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net>
<9401gp$bp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<945j6m$3lm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <947fq9$m9o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<947tng$3ql$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a8je$36g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <P74a6.1012$e5.2509094@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:30:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979947119 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:31:59 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:31:59 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13467
```

<xjim@my-deja.com> wrote:

> So why would anyone fight that? I think some people fear being
> rejected and so do not want to try! It also seems to me that the well
> meaning ARRL bunch got so hungry for bodies and subscribers that they
> engineered the changes on the premise that if licensing were extremely
> simple, then everyone would want to "join the club".

The ARRL's proposal to the FCC was for 4 license classes, 0, 5, 12 and 12 wpm, and that the level of the written exams be increased to make up for the changes in code testing. Not exactly the most "extremely simple" structure I could think of.

If you want extremely simple, go back to 1963, when I studied 4 pages of study guide to become a Novice, then to 1964 when I studied 16 pages of study guide to become a General. Nowadays, we hand prospective hams a study guide that is over 200 pages and tell them that this is what they need to know to be a beginner. I don't know about the current edition, but in the previous Now You're Talking, the question pools took up about 70 pages. Four pages vs sixteen pages vs over 200 pages. Which are you calling "extremely simple" again?

> The problem is, that if you go dragging the CB bands for converts and
giving out
> licenses, Why would they want to be hams?

No one is giving out licenses; those wishing to be hams are studying hundreds of pages of study guide and passing tests.

> The difference was in people getting licenses because they were hams as
opposed to
> people getting licenses to find out if they were hams or worse, finding
out that since
> they now have the bands, what are the use of hams.

Are you really saying that people are studing hundreds of pages of material just to find out if they are hams?

> Furthermore, I feel that by short circuiting the Elmer-Novice entry, a
> great many people have been cheated out of a foundation experience. And
> if you look hard at the operation of the no-coders, I bet you find that
> some of them just have useless 10 year licences, and there are not many
> more than there were when we had 4 year licenses and non-renewable 1
> year Novice tickets.

I agree; the lack of Elmering cost us a lot of hams. So did an "Elmering" process by which some hams made newcomers feel like they were seen as having come from "skid row..." I don't know which had the larger effect.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7225242210009091819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:32:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <arde6t077dmhohge2iiacvs645o2sd1pvg@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7dx6q1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<9cnf6t0chl0kt5bbsrrqab4hun5gmu9hvo@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 15

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <w84a6.1013$e5.2509207@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:31:31 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979947164 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:32:44 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:32:44 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13468

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> The first published 10-code was in an APCO bulletin in January of
> 1940, however they don't claim to have invented the code. They tried
> to standardize it in 1973, but it never caught on and there are
> hundreds of versions currently being used. Yes they call it "official"
> but nobody seems to notice... (Like ARRL phonetics?)

? ARRL phonetics ?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
ARRL Lab

From 7362061736380170142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:33:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <942u5v$r7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117073658.05313.00001004@ng-cd1.aol.com> <944v9l$4nf$1@iac5.navix.net> <UGJ96.958$e5.2424410@news.ntplx.net> <9487sn$9b1$0@208.207.71.174> <v91a6.990$e5.2494206@news.ntplx.net> <94a85r$dcb$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <z94a6.1014$e5.2508920@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:32:38 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979947231 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:33:51 EST)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 18:33:51 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13469

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> > Then I am safe. I am a citizen of the state of West Virginia.

> And where did you cast your ballot for Gore?

Burlington, CT.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9079722011337528222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:40:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.icl.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Carl Zager <kb9rvb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 23:34:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <94aiuf$col$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93l6k8$phq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112073227.07248.00001186@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.106.97.253
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 23:34:39 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (WinNT; I ;Nav)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 206.106.97.253
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkb9rvb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13470

Ed,

I hope, IF the test is an issue, that some ARRLer with a testing and measurements background (more current than mine) would, indeed, offer to assist with the development and validation of the test pool. If not, so be it.

Frankly, the only problems that I have with the General pool (which I'm working on right now) are the numbers that I have to (MUST) memorize. There's just some background knowledge not in the study guides that a non-techie like me doesn't have and doesn't know where to find the answer, other than memorization. For example, one of my Monday night buddies showed me how to figure out the band from the frequency. I've looked for that in my study material and just can't find it. There are lists to memorize, but an explanation?

Thanks for your participation in all of these threads. I'm getting too old to have fun with the trollers, though <grin>.

In article <20010112073227.07248.00001186@ng-da1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Carl Zager kb9rvb@my-deja.com
>
> >Has anyone actually "certified" in psychometry ever analyzed the
> >multiple choice tests to determine whether the incorrect answers
> >actually function appropriately?
>
> I don't believe anyone on the QPC has such certification. Those that
do would
> be quite welcome to do so; the QPC is seeking input on the Extra pool
right
> now.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

--
Carl Zager
http://www.mccsc.edu/~czager
kb9rvb@my-deja.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8944423477850913674
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:41:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 15:41:13 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3A68D099.C07ABD16@uci.edu>

```
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com> <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-
fk.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13471

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> At one time here, roughly two weeks ago, some electric
> >> power brokers were charging FORTY times per KWHR
> >> versus the same rates of 1999.  I don't think anyone saw
> >> that kind of greed.
> >
> >Hindsight always being 20-20 the potential was there and should have been
> >recognized. It's not exactly the first time greed became a monster. There
> >isn't a
> >corporate entity out there which won't commit economic rape if it can legally
> >get away with it.

> Perhaps true, but FORTY times increase in KWHR rate in
> one year?!?

Edison has said that their energy costs have increased 100 times over
what they were last year.  Obviously the need for regulation still
exists.  There still exists a monopoly in the power generating business
out here.

ac6xg


From -6268146575194225425
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 15:49:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feedwest.news.agis.net!agis!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>
<94a6rb$n7r$1@news.netmar.com>
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Lines: 26
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Ne4a6.1303$ZB2.202025@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /K+neWYwP3RtnHxysJloyol7YOsC/
6snNpZtg8N33bBctWL1cDXW+xrjmk5EM6tUwvvHQl9sftnU!
bTAZwlabl6ErRddIak72fC1HzfZDHsHWLDkDMLyQCC3lBwJxeanzcbAt1T+wTLT+11+3F3pg4kgD!
hTyHwfDdz6M=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 23:39:25 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 23:39:26 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13472

<vk4lld@netinc.au> wrote in message news:94a6rb$n7r$1@news.netmar.com...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> =20
> >   There has been much talk about the Clinton legacy.  As William J.
> > Clinton leaves office, lets take a look at a few things around the
> > country to discover the real Clinton legacy.
>=20
> ///// C L I P  /////
>=20
> Please take your American politics to alt.bloody.sleazy.washington =
newsgroup.=20
> This one is an international forum about amateur radio and not about
> second-rate governments in north america.
>=20
> In other words, bugger off mate.
>=20
> Graeme

QRM from "down under". Gee, just like 20 meters... E-DSP filter (kill =
file) activated.

73
```

```
From 8149935690497831184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 16:10:14 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 00:02:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94akj6$e1i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94aaga$ese$1@iac5.navix.net> <94aesc$968$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.182
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 00:02:50 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.182
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13473

In article <94aesc$968$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

>Maybe the right idea is to just call this class Novice, and call all
>existing Novice Class operators "Novice Plus" - where "Plus" indicates
>plus HF, as it does with the similarly closed Technician Plus class.
>Novice Pluses would also pick up the extra sliver of privileges on
>70cm.

What for? The present Technician grants "tons" of priviledges over what you are proposing, and if the licensee passes the 5WPM, the FCC has already said it's OK to add the "plus" part as long as you keep the CSCE in your possession.

Noble effort, Don Quixote.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5988688412452197762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 16:10:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!

nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 23:56:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.182
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 19 23:56:18 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.182
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13474
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15980

In article <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

>I think MURS could be somewhat of a help in getting kids educated
>about radios -

MURS will no more "educate" kids about radio than chatting in a
porn chat room "educates" you about computer technology.

You turn on the box, select a channel and talk.

Steve

--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2690296183818071924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 16:38:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93l6k8$phq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010112073227.07248.00001186@ng-da1.aol.com> <94aiuf$col$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: No-code kills

Lines: 105
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <d65a6.1016$e5.2512691@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:37:17 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979951113 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:38:33 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:38:33 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13475

Carl Zager <kb9rvb@my-deja.com> wrote:

> I hope, IF the test is an issue, that some ARRLer with a testing and
> measurements background (more current than mine) would, indeed, offer to
> assist with the development and validation of the test pool. If not, so
> be it.

Every year, I have generally offered some input to the ARRL HQ folks who work with Bart Jahnke, the ARRL representative on the QPC. Unfortunately, I have only limited time to spend on that. I sure wish that more people would weigh in and give the QPC their input on what changes are needed on the pools.

> There's just some background knowledge not in the study guides that a
> non-techie like me doesn't have and doesn't know where to find the
> answer, other than memorization. For example, one of my Monday night
> buddies showed me how to figure out the band from the frequency. I've
> looked for that in my study material and just can't find it. There are
> lists to memorize, but an explanation?

I went to the ARRL General Class manual, and lo and behold, there was no info about wavelenght.

So, let me give it a whirl.

Electromagnetic or radio waves can be visualized just as waves in water. If you put your hand in the water and move it very fast, small ripples will move from your hand, away in all directions. If you move your hand more slowly, the ripples move just as fast, but are spaced farther apart. The distance between the peaks (or valleys) of the ripples is the "wavelength" of the waves you are creating, and this wavelength is related to the speed the wave is traveling and how fast you are wiggling your hand. The wiggle rate could be expressed in "wiggles per second," thus expressing it as a frequency.

The "hand" being used to generate ripples in electromagnetic waves is usually a transmitter. The the transmitter is generating an electrical AC signal, at a fast enough rate to be called "radio frequency" (RF). Rather than expressing it as "wiggles per second," the transmitter rate is expressed in "cycles per second." The unit for cycles per second is Hertz, abbreviated "Hz." This RF signal, when applied to an antenna creates an electrmagnetic wave. To round off just a bit, the wavelength is related to the speed of the wave and the frequency by the formula:

wavelength in meters = 300,000,000 meters per second / F where F is the frequency in Hz

This is a bit cumbersome. An easy way to calculate the wavelength in meters is to use the formula:

wavelength in meters = 300 / F where F is the frequency in MHz (the M comes from the metric system, for Mega or 1,000,000 times the basic unit).

So, to try this for a few frequencies in the ham bands, you end up with:

3.5 MHz = 85.7 meters
4.0 MHz = 75 meters
7.0 MHz = 42.9 meters
10.1 MHz = 29. 7 meters
14.0 MHz = 21.4 meters
144 MHz = 2.1 meters

The "frequency" of a particular signal can be expressed either as a frequency, as in 14.0 MHz or as a wavelength. Either one says the same thing. You probably notice that the wavelength numbers are pretty close to what hams call 80 meter band, the 75 meter band, the 40 meter band, the 30 meter band, the 20 meter band and the 2 meter band. Although the exact wavelength for the 3.5 MHz signal is 85.7 meters, hams have rounded these bands off to a convenient number and use those rounded numbers in common reference to the bands.

Memorizing the bands works just fine; in fact, most hams, even Extras, really don't think much about the relationship between frequency and wavelength in common speech. You will soon refer to the 80 meter band with complete ease as you think about frequencies; it becomes second nature. You will think of that wavelength relationship when you think about antenna size -- a half-wave dipole (neglecting factors that make a "real" dipole a little bit shorter than its electrical length) is, well, a half-wavelength long. So an 80-meter band half-wave dipole is about 40 meters long.

But if you would rather figure out the answer when you take your test instead of memorizing it, memorize the following two formulas (or just the first one and apply a bit of simple algebra and solve for the unknown):

wavlength in meters = 300 / F

F = wavelength in meters / 300

Is that easier than all that memorizing? :-) I hope my few paragraphs helped you understand it. Don't worry, when I took my 1964 General exam, I memorized the formula without understanding it. You are one step ahead of where I was when I first started. :-)

If you have any more questions about the theory, go ahead and email me at
home, w1rfi@aol.com. I only check my home email every few days, but I will
try to point you in the right direction, if I can.

Good luck on your General.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1129588493209702227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 16:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 00:28:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 00:28:18 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13476
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15985 alt.radio.family:3463 rec.radio.cb:22572

In article <94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> When you don't understand why somebody is doing something which seems
> senseless to you, sometimes it pays to try to "follow the money".
>
> In this case it led me to a company called "PubCel". According to
> their web site, that company is involved in:
>
>   "Wireless Entertainment and Communications Solutions:

>
>   - MURS and ARS Voice and Data Communications Systems
>
>   - FRS and GMRS Voice Communication Systems"
>
> I think it is safe to say that the "ARS" acronym might mean "Amateur
> Radio Service".
>
> Also according to their web site, the company President is none other
> than Stewart Teaze, N0MHS.
>
> Suddenly this proposal for the "Barbie Doll" Amateur Radio license
> isn't quite so senseless.

I've never tried to hide the fact that I'm trying to create a business. Quite the opposite - why would I list the web site on the signature to all my posts, if I was trying to hide something

Hey, Hans, I wonder why you switched your approach to this slimly veiled "personal attack"? It couldn't be that I was right about the point regarding inductors and capacitors on the Novice test, could it?

Let's stick to the point at hand. You made a good point about the lack of digital modes in the proposal - THIS is the kind of stuff I'm looking for.

> 73, Hans, K0HB

--
------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7733802360153617397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 16:40:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 00:32:02 GMT

```
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <94ama3$ffc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 00:32:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13477
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15986

In article <94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >I think MURS could be somewhat of a help in getting kids educated
> >about radios -
>
>      MURS will no more "educate" kids about radio than chatting in a
> porn chat room "educates" you about computer technology.
>
>      You turn on the box, select a channel and talk.

Not true.  MURS allows external antennas and digital modes.  These are
big differences over simple FRS.

If ARS is to "compete" with MURS, we are going to have to come up with
something new.

> Steve

-------  Stewart - N0MHS  --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 1614192173929600113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 16:43:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <fb5a6.1017$e5.2513495@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:42:40 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979951435 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:43:55 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:43:55 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13478
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15988
```

<Steven J Robeson>; K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

> MURS will no more "educate" kids about radio than chatting in a
> porn chat room "educates" you about computer technology.

Some of us have more experience in that than others, I guess. :-)

Those who use the MURS radios will learn something in the process. First, the radio has a range. They will learn that buildings and obstructions limit that range. They will learn that the range is farther if you are at the top of the building than if you are in a valley.

I think a better analogy that the porn chat room (where did you dig that one up) would be to ask what education has been the result of giving kids internet access in general? As a big kid, I can't begin to describe how much I learned that way.

>   You turn on the box, select a channel and talk.

That is indeed most of the picture. Now, can we use the good and bad of MURS to find the subset of those kids that don't yet know they would like to be hams?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6201587478854170552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 17:00:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 00:53:12 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <94anhm$gel$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 00:53:12 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13479 rec.radio.cb:22583
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10924

In article <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com>,
  Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
> > Were there any pushes back then to get more young people
> > interested in Amateur Radio?
>
> >
> There was no lack of young people then, I was one. Kids flooded into
the
> hobby. The creation of the Novice license in 1951 was extremely
successful
> in luring kids into ham radio

I wonder if it would be possible to get the text of the arguments made
for the successful creation of this license class back in 1951. Even
though they would be 50 years old, I am sure there would be some
relevant ideas.

This stuff must be archived in Washington, DC, somewhere. I would
think it would have been put on microfilm a long time ago. Does the
FCC have a library for this kind of thing?

> w3rv

--
------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7727216405570943052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 17:00:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!
newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: 20 Jan 2001 01:00:17 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.187.26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13480
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15992 alt.radio.family:3464 rec.radio.cb:22585

Another group of geniuses cross posting this dribble in the CB group. Keep
studying those manuals you will get it figured out. Question 66.

<horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,

```
>   K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> > When you don't understand why somebody is doing something which seems
> > senseless to you, sometimes it pays to try to "follow the money".
> >
> > In this case it led me to a company called "PubCel".  According to
> > their web site, that company is involved in:
> >
> >   "Wireless Entertainment and Communications Solutions:
> >
> >       - MURS and ARS Voice and Data Communications Systems
> >
> >       - FRS and GMRS Voice Communication Systems"
> >
> > I think it is safe to say that the "ARS" acronym might mean "Amateur
> > Radio Service".
> >
> > Also according to their web site, the company President is none other
> > than Stewart Teaze, N0MHS.
> >
> > Suddenly this proposal for the "Barbie Doll" Amateur Radio license
> > isn't quite so senseless.
>
> I've never tried to hide the fact that I'm trying to create a
> business.  Quite the opposite - why would I list the web site on the
> signature to all my posts, if I was trying to hide something
>
> Hey, Hans, I wonder why you switched your approach to this slimly
> veiled "personal attack"?  It couldn't be that I was right about the
> point regarding inductors and capacitors on the Novice test, could it?
>
> Let's stick to the point at hand.  You made a good point about the lack
> of digital modes in the proposal - THIS is the kind of stuff I'm
> looking for.
>
> > 73, Hans, K0HB
>
> --
> -------  Stewart - N0MHS  --------
> Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
> Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
> http://www.pubcel.com
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From -3367235001603838495
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 17:11:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 17:05:38 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A68E462.AEB662BD@uci.edu>
References: <93qqr6$86d$0@208.207.71.147> <20010114005243.03673.00000675@nso-ck.aol.com> <YkK86.830$e5.2151089@news.ntplx.net> <3A661DD3.58DE6F10@uci.edu> <4sJ96.954$e5.2423242@news.ntplx.net> <3A6796A5.8935309D@uci.edu> <mY3a6.1009$e5.2507857@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13481

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> > So to whom do you refer, and why is it such a big issue?

> From what you had said in an earlier post, I thought that you did not see SS
> as anything other than as a "digital mode."

Digital modes aren't boring. Having a computer make your carrier hop around just for the heck of it seems kinda dull though. I guess it would be fun the first time you actually got the thing to work though.

> It appears I misunderstood. Sorry.

Good man.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 8386972938244184102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 17:12:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!

```
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:11:29 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A68E5C1.FE10CA6F@oio.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <94aao8$5ch$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 7
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13482 rec.radio.cb:22589
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10925



horseshoestew,
    There's a lack of hams on HF?  We must be listening
to different HF bands!  Most HF bands have more people
on them than there is room for.
                   'Doc



From -3315755163375188911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 17:20:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!
feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,r
ec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:14:01 -0500
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A68E659.FF81A08B@erols.com>
```

```
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVaP4Fn5mPCyOa14yOwMaoyiIQp4dmG+/bB9X2BYjSwaWvQKFtSlF96d
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Jan 2001 01:19:10 GMT
X-Mailer:  Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD {RCN}  (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language:  en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13483
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15997 alt.radio.family:3465 rec.radio.cb:22590
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1890
```

Stewart,

The idea has already been tried. Back about 15 or 20 years the Dutch instituted a license class for beginners. It consisted of about 5 frequencies in the two meter band. Licenses required only a simple test. No code. It was hoped that these Novices would upgrade and become regular licensees. As for as I know none ever did. The service still exists, I believe.

If you want to have youngsters or oldsters learn about radio the best way is the old Novice license. % wpm code, a simple theory test, and a one or two year limit within which they HAVE to upgrade!

73

```
From 2264677819202223412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8d383a99c438afa,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8d383a99c438afa,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 17:23:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Top ten most popular alchoholic beverages in Hiram, GA
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:23:08 -0600
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A68E87C.5CA1116A@houston.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10929
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13484
```

Top ten most popular alchoholic beverages in Hiram, GA

10. Really, Really, Really, Really Old Milwaukee
9. Wisemann Scotch
8. Amaretto Di Hiram
7. Orville Redenbacher's Butter-flavored Vodka
6. McBourbon
5. Dinty Moore's Pork 'n' Booze
4. Ernest, Julio, Tom and Roseanne Gallo
3. Dr. Scholl's Medicated Tequila
2. Seagrams 7, Braves 0
1. Chivas Du Sterno

```
From -2560364531789077656
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b838d80e9f3a809,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b838d80e9f3a809,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 17:29:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Top ten signs you're in a Hiram, GA hospital
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:28:55 -0600
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A68E9D7.6CDB4026@houston.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10931
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13485
```

Top ten signs you're in a Hiram, GA hospital

10. You go in for routine surgery, you come out with a tail.
9. You recognize your doctor as kid who was mopping the lobby when you checked in.
8. Instead of sponge bath, they send in a St. Bernard to lick you.
7. As you're going under, your surgeon says, "man, am I baked."
6. In operating room, you see Roger Wisemann scrubbing up.
5. Every couple of minutes you hear a bugle playing taps.
4. All the diplomas on the wall are signed by Sally Struthers.
3. You and your roommate have to take turns on the I.V.
2. Through fog of anesthesia, you hear surgeon shouting, "bring the damn scotch tape!"
1. Instead of "patient" they use the term "plaintiff."

```
From 854818126011304408
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 17:30:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7fl4m4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7ey6t2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010119154330.25659.00000928@nso-fk.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 36
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 01:31:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.72
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979954271 206.58.44.72 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 01:31:11
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 01:31:11 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13486
```

On 19 Jan 2001 20:43:30 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

```
>>  IIRC the DWP was exempt from the CPUC's fiat that production
>>  facilities be sold.  If SCE, SDGE, and PG&E were allowed to
>>  keep their dynamos as well, would there have been a "shortage"?
>>  Doubtful.
>
>Phil, the LA Department of Water and Power is a municipal
>agency.

  It is well established law that a governmental agency that is
  engaged in a commercial activity that is equal to or in direct
  competition with similar private sector enterprises does not
  automatically enjoy "exempt" status that governmetal agencies
  otherwise enjoy.  The Legislature or the CPUC has to grant that
  status, usually by the use of the term "investor-owned utilities"
  in either the statutes or CPUC Orders.

"Selling" production facilities of the DWP was not,
>is not, part of any plan by any California Public Utilities
>Commission...or any other state group.

  That's what I said.....
```

>As of today, Friday, the DWP is selling close to 9 GWatts

9 GW is 9000 MW....equal to NINE units of nuclear power
plant generation (1 "unit" is 1000 MW). Five plants the size
of Diablo Canyon. Hard to believe. Where are they hiding them?

--
=== PMK ===

```
From -7788156664566251189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e8d020e8b581e781,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 17:31:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
paxfeed.eni.net!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-
for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: 1963 Novice Study Guide
Lines: 445
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <6U5a6.1021$e5.2520241@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:30:28 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 979954306 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:31:46 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:31:46 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13487
```

I had promised to find this and post it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
ARRL Lab

ARRL Study Guide - 1963 - Copyright 1963, American Radio Relay League, Inc.
All rights reserved.

CHAPTER 2

The Novice License

The Novice Class license offers an ideal way to get started in amateur radio -- reduced code speed and a simplified written examination. It grants a portion of amateur privileges on an "apprenticeship" basis for one year. The examination is available only by mail. This chapter explains the license in detail and contains sample questions for the written exam.

In an incentive to encourage a greater number of people to engage in the hobby of amateur radio, the Federal Communications Commission has established a Novice Class of license with greatly reduced requirements and only a few of the privileges available to amateurs. This class of license might well he termed an apprenticeship. It has a term of but one year, compared with the five-yea terms of other amateur licenses, and the objective is to give a newcomer a period of a year of actual on-the-air experience or training in amateur operation so that he may develop his skills toward one of the permanent classes of license more rapidly than he would by textbook study and audio-oscillator code practice.

The Novice Class license examination may now be taken only by mail, under the direct supervision of an amateur holding a General Class license or higher, or a commercial radiotelegraph license. This is true no matter where the applicant lives. Refer to the section "Examination Procedures " in Chapter 5 for details on the rules governing the routine for making application and obtaining a set of examination papers. Requirements for the Novice license are the passing of a code test in sending and receiving at the rate of 5 words per minute, and a written examination in the most elementary aspects of amateur regulations and theory.

The privileges which are currently available to the Novice licensee are:

3700 3750 kc. - telegraphy
7150-7200 kc. - telegraphy
21,100-21,250 kc. - telegraphy
145-147 MC. --telegraphy or voice

In addition, the transmitter used by a Novice licensee must be crystal-controlled, and may not have an input exceeding 75 watts. Of course, the Novice may operate portable or mobile on any of these frequencies. (SeeChapter 7).

The most important point concerning the Novice license is that it is valid for only one year and may not be renewed. Before the end of his license term the Novice must qualify for one of the other grades of amateur licenses, or go off the air. He may try for a Technician Class or a General Class license (or Conditional Class, if eligible to take the mail examination). Whatever his choice, he must qualify for all requirements of the particular license class inasmuch as the Novice Class license, being obtained by mail, carries no credit toward a higher grade of license even where the examination elements are identical (such as the Technician 5 w.p.m. code test). He may not try for a class higher than those mentioned, of course, since experience as a Novice operator does not qualify for the service requirements for the Extra Class license.

Anyone who is a citizen of the United States may apply for the Novice, license, except a person who holds or ever has held an amateur license of any class. Thus a Novice not only is unable to renew his license at the end of his term, but he may not again apply for Novice privileges. If an applicant for Novice privileges feels that he can pass the standard written examination for amateur licenses but is unable to meet the 13 w.p.m. code requirement, he may simultaneously apply also for the Technician Class of license, taking the 5 w.p.m. code test, the elementary Novice written exam, and the standard written exam.

A Novice may operate any FCC-licensed amateur radio station, but only to the extent of the privileges available to the Novice and similarly available to the licensee of the station being operated. For example, when a Novice visits a station licensed to a General Class amateur, he may operate in only the Novice bands, using crystal control and with 75 watts input power or less. He uses his host's call sign exactly as issued, however. When a Novice operates a Technician's station, he may use only the 145-147 Mc band, since that is the only band common to both Novices and Technicians.

As stated, the written examination for the Novice license is quite simple. It consists of about 20 questions dealing with basic amateur regulations, and certain points of theory and technique. The questions are of the "multiple-choice" type, as explained in Chapter 1. There are no diagrams required. Following is a set of questions similar to those which are asked in the examination. If you are thoroughly able to answer each of these sample questions, you will have no difficulty in passing the written exam. However, in any event we recommend additional study of at least the technical material in How to Become a Radio Amateur and preferably of the introductory chapters of The Radio Amateur's Handbook ($3.50), both available postpaid from the ARRL, West Hartford 7, Conn.

The receiving code test for the Novice Class license consists of 25 five-letter words, mostly common ones. No punctuation marks or numerals are included. To pass, the applicant must copy at least 25 consecutive letters accurately. In the sending test, numerals and simple punctuation marks may be included.

QUESTIONS:

1. What is the maximum input power permitted to the final stage of the transmitter in a station licensed to the holder of a Novice Class license or operated by such an operator?

The maximum input power permitted a Novice is 75 watts.

2. What is the maximum penalty for a violation of the rules and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission?

A fine of up to $500 for each day during which the offense occurs, suspension of operator license, and revocation of station license.

3. On what frequency bands may the holder of a Novice Class license operate an amateur radio station?

3700-3750 kc.
7150-7200 kc.
21,100-21,250 kc.
145-147 MC.

4. On what frequency bands may the holder of a Novice Class license operate an amateur radiotelephone station?

5. What is the log of an amateur station, and what information is required to be entered therein? How long must it be preserved ?

The log of an amateur station is the written record of transmissions. The log must show:
1) the date and time of transmission
2) the signature of each licensed operator operating the equipment and the name of any person not holding a license who speaks over a radiotelephone transmitter directly or by recording, or operates a teleprinter keyboard
3) call of the station called
4) the input power to the transmitter
5) the frequency band used
6) the type of emission used
7) the location of the station at the time of transmission
8) the message traffic handled

Information such as the input power, frequency band, type of emission, location of station, need be entered only once provided the conditions are not changed. Similarly, one entry of the date need not be repeated for other transmissions made on that date. If the station is mobile, the approximate geographic location can be indicated in the log.

The log of an amateur station must be preserved for at least one year following the last date of entry. Similarly, any message traffic handled must be kept on file for at least one year.

6. What is the term of an amateur Novice Class license? Under what conditions may this license be renewed?

The term of an amateur Novice Class license is one year. It may not be renewed under any conditions.

7. What are the rules and regulations regarding the transmission of improper language, false signals, or malicious interference?

The transmission of obscene, indecent or profane language, or of false or deceptive signals or call letters, or of malicious interference is expressly prohibited and there are heavy penalties for violation.

8. What are the rules and regulations regarding purity and stability of emissions?

Below 144 megacycles, spurious radiations must be reduced in accordance with good engineering practice, and must not cause interference to nearby receivers of good engineering design not tuned to the transmitter. Voice modulation of a transmitter must not cause spurious emissions; the maximum modulation percentage is 100%. Simultaneous frequency modulation and amplitude modulation is not permitted. The frequency of the signal transmitted must be as constant as the state of the art permits.

9. What method of frequency control is required to be used in the transmitter of a station licensed to the holder of a Novice Class license?

The frequency must be crystal-controlled.

10. What are the rules and regulations regarding the measurement of the frequencies of the emissions of an amateur radio station?

Regular measurement of the frequency of the transmitter is required. This measurement must be by means independent of the means used to control the transmitting frequency and must be of sufficient accuracy to ensure operation within the frequency band used.

11. Who may be permitted to operate the transmitter of an amateur radio station licensed to the holder of a Novice Class license?

Any amateur radio operator (though a Technician may operate a Novice's station only in the band 145-147 Mc., since that is the only band the two classes have in common).

12. Under what circumstances may an amateur radio station be used by a person who does not hold a valid license?

A person not properly licensed may not operate an amateur station. However, he may speak over the microphone of an amateur radiotelephone station or use the keyboard of an amateur radio-teleprinter, provided a duly licensed operator is present to control the emissions.

13. What is the maximum permissible percentage of modulation of an amateur radiotelephone station?

One hundred per cent.

14. At what intervals must an amateur station be identified by the transmission of its call sign? May any transmission be made without identification of the station?

An amateur station must identify its call sign at the beginning and end of each transmission and at least every ten minutes if a single transmission lasts longer than ten minutes. No transmission by itself may be made without identification of the station, except that during a sequence of transmissions each less than three minutes long, the call sign needs to be given only once each ten minutes as well as at the beginning and end of the work.

15. Under what conditions is notice of portable or mobile operation required to be given, and to whom in each case?

Notice of intended portable operation, or mobile operation, must be given the FCC Engineer-in-Charge of the inspection district in which such portable or mobile operation is contemplated only when the operation is or is expected to be for a period longer than 48 hours.

16. What are the recognized abbreviations for: kilocycles, megacycles, Eastern Standard Time, Greenwich Mean Time, continuous wave, frequency modulation, amplitude modulation?

kilocycles - kc.
megacycles - MC.
Eastern Standard Time - EST
Greenwich Mean Time - GMT
continuous wave - C.W.
frequency modulation - f.m.
amplitude modulation - a.m.

17. What is the relationship between a fundamental frequency and its second harmonic; its third harmonic, etc.?

The second harmonic is twice the frequency of the fundamental, the third harmonic is three times the fundamental frequency, and so on. A harmonic is always related to its fundamental frequency by an integral multiplier; i.e., 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, etc.

18. What is the relationship between a cycle, a kilocycle, and a megacycle?

1 kilocycle = 1000 cycles
1 megacycle = 1000 kilocycles = 1,000,000 cycles

19. What instrument is used to measure: electrical potential; electrical current; electrical power; electrical energy?

Electrical potential is measured by a voltmeter.
Electrical current is measured by an ammeter, milliammeter, or microammeter.
Electrical power is measured by a wattmeter.
Electrical energy is measured by a watt-hour meter.

20. What is the purpose of: a modulator; an amplifier; a rectifier; a filter?

A modulator is used to vary the amplitude, frequency or phase of the output of a transmitter for the purpose of transmitting information. An amplifier is used to increase the amplitude, or power level, of a signal. A rectifier is used to change alternating current into pulsating direct, current. The purpose of a filter is to attenuate undesired frequencies while simultaneously passing, without appreciable attenuation, a desired band of frequencies and/or direct current. Examples: The power-supply "smoothing filter," which eliminates the alternating-current ripple from the output of a rectifier but permits direct current to flow with little or no attenuation; the "low-pass" filter, which attenuates all frequencies (such as harmonics of a transmitter) above a given frequency but passes all lower frequencies.

21. What is meant by: amplification; modulation; detection; attenuation?

Amplification is the process of increasing the amplitude, or power level, of a signal. Modulation is the process of varying the amplitude, frequency or phase of the radio-frequency output of a transmitter. Modulation is normally employed for the purpose of transmitting information. However, it may also occur inadvertently, as in the case of "hum" modulation of a signal resulting from ripple in the output of an insufficiently-filtered d.c. power supply. Detection or demodulation is the process of extracting the information contained in the modulation on a radio-frequency signal. Attenuation is a reduction in amplitude.

22. What is the purpose of: a radio-frequency choke; an audio-frequency choke; a filter choke?

The purpose of a radio-frequency choke is to oppose the flow of radio-frequency current while permitting direct current and audio frequencies to flow without appreciable opposition. The purpose of an audio-frequency choke is to oppose the flow of audio-frequency currents while permitting direct current to flow. The purpose of a filter choke is to aid in smoothing the direct-current output of a rectifier.

23. How is the actual power input to the tube or tubes supplying energy to the antenna of an amateur transmitter determined?

The input power is determined by measuring the direct-current plate voltage and the d.c. plate current to the tube or tubes in the final stage in the transmitter. The power input is equal to the plate voltage multiplied by the plate current in amperes. Example: Two tubes in the final stage of the transmitter take 50 milliamperes each, at a plate voltage of 500 volts. The total plate current is 2 X 50 = 100 milliamperes, or 0.1 ampere. The power input is therefore 500 X 0.1 = 50 watts.

24. Why are a rectifier and filter required in the plate power supply system of an amateur transmitter when operated from alternating current?

The amateur regulations require that an adequately-filtered plate supply be used on transmitters operating below 144 Mc. The rectifier is used to convert the alternating current into direct current. However, its d.c. output is pulsating, not constant, and the filter must be used to smooth out the pulsations so that the output is essentially "pure" -- that is free from pulsations or "ripple."

25. What is a frequency multiplier?

A frequency multiplier is a device that delivers output at an integral multiple (i.e., 2, 3, 4 times, etc.) of the applied frequency. The output of a frequency multiplier is consequently on a frequency that is a harmonic of the fundamental (applied) frequency.

26. What are the undesirable effects of overmodulation in radiotelephony?

Overmodulation results in the generation of spurious sidebands - that is, frequencies lying outside the band of frequencies or "channel" actually required for transmitting the information contained in the modulation. Those spurious frequencies, called "splatter," will interfere with communication on near-by channels and may even lie outside an amateur band. At close range they may also cause interference with broadcast reception.

27. What is meant by a "parasitic" oscillation?

A parasitic oscillation is one not essential to the operation of the equipment and usually occurring on a frequency considerably removed from the operating frequency.

28. What is the purpose of a "key-click filter" and when should it be used?

The purpose of a key-click filter is to reduce spurious radiation generally when keying a radiotelegraph transmitter. It should be used whenever required for suppressing such spurious radiations.

29. What is Ohm's Law?

Ohm's Law expresses the relationship between potential, current and resistance in any circuit.

It is stated as I = E / R where I = current in amperes, E = potential in volts and R = resistance in ohms. By simple algebra it is also E = I * R and R = E / I.

30. What precautions should be taken to avoid the danger of shock from high-voltage electrical circuits?

Such circuits in transmitters and receivers should not be exposed where the operator can inadvertently come in contact with them when the power is turned on. All work on equipment involving the possibility of contact with such circuits should be done only after the power has been turned off. All power-supply capacitors should have bleeder resistors connected across them, to discharge the capacitors after the power has been turned off.

31. What is the relationship between the frequency and the wavelength of a radio wave if its velocity in space is 300,000,000 meters per second?

The frequency in cycles multiplied by the wavelength in meters is 300,000,000. Therefore, the wavelength is inversely proportional to the frequency; in other words, the longer the wavelength, the lower the frequency. The formula for calculating frequency (f) in cycles when the wavelength in meters is known is f = 3,000,000 / wavelength ; similarly, wavelength = 3,000,000 / f. Example: The wavelength of a signal whose frequency is 3700 kilocycles is 300,000,000 / 3,700,000 or 81.08 meters.

32. What symbol is used in the amateur rules to designate amplitude-modulated telegraphy without the use of modulating audio frequencies (on-off keying)?

Al. (The "A" indicates amplitude modulation, and the numeral "1" is used to indicate telegraphy by on-off keying).

33. What is the ruling regarding eligibility for reexamination?

An applicant who fails an operator examination may not take another examination for the same or higher privileges within 30 days (except that this does not apply to an examination for a General Class license following a mail examination for a Conditional, Novice or Technician Class license).

34. What factors are commonly responsible for harmonic radiation and what practical means can be used to detect and correct such condition?

The principal factors responsible for harmonic radiation are (1) improper operating conditions in the final amplifier, such as excessive grid bias and excessive drive, causing the tube or tubes to develop unnecessarily large harmonic output: (2) poor selectivity interstage tank circuits, resulting in excessive harmonic content in the excitation voltage applied to the final stage (3) inadequate selectivity in the final tank circuit because of too-low operating Q; and (4) insufficient selectivity in the coupling circuit between the final-amplifier tank circuit and the antenna, or omission of such a coupling circuit.

These defects can be corrected by (1) reducing grid bias and drive to the minimum necessary for reasonably efficient operation of the final tube or tubes; (2) using higher-Q interstage circuits, usually by increasing the ratio of capacitance to inductance or by decreasing the coupling to lighten the loading on the circuit; (3) adjusting the final tank inductance so that the tuning capacitor will be set for higher capacitance at the operating frequency: and (4) installing a tuned antenna-coupling or matching circuit between the transmitter and the transmission line or antenna.

The presence of harmonics in the output of a transmitter can be detected by the use of an absorption wavemeter, having a sensitive indicator, coupled to the final tank circuit or to the antenna coupling circuit, and successively tuned through the harmonics of the operating frequency. Alternatively, tests can be conducted with a nearby amateur station, with the cooperating amateur listening on the various harmonic frequencies while the transmitter is in operation. The receiving station

should not be so close that the fundamental-frequency signal strength
will be such as to overload the receiver and thus cause spurious
harmonic responses in the receiver itself.

```
From -9105309035461953863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 17:47:32 PST
Message-ID: <3A68ED35.E5ED0FA4@zylay.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:43:17 -0500
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Organization: Young Marines of the Big Bend - http://www.zylay.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.77
X-Trace: 19 Jan 2001 20:48:18 -0500, 205.143.240.77
Lines: 24
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!
205.143.240.77
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13488
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15998
```

Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:

> In article <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >I think MURS could be somewhat of a help in getting kids educated
> >about radios -
>
>   MURS will no more "educate" kids about radio than chatting in a
> porn chat room "educates" you about computer technology.
>

> You turn on the box, select a channel and talk.

The only way it might help is if they have an Elmer type to explain what is actually happening when they talk. From that standpoint, CB would be better because at least they can practice antenna and electronics theory (especially if they get busted radios donated for practice demolition er I mean repair), and there's at least a little bit of propagation that might get their attention and give them something more to learn about.

At the same time, having adult supervision would be even more necessary in case "emergency censorship" of the ongoing conversations become necessary.

```
From -209387825155877331
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 17:49:36 PST
Message-ID: <3A68EE00.F52D6B6F@zylay.com>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:46:41 -0500
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Organization: Young Marines of the Big Bend - http://www.zylay.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ama3$ffc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.77
X-Trace: 19 Jan 2001 20:51:41 -0500, 205.143.240.77
Lines: 24
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!
205.143.240.77
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13489
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:15999
```

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,

```
> >   horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > >I think MURS could be somewhat of a help in getting kids educated
> > >about radios -
> >
> >      MURS will no more "educate" kids about radio than chatting in a
> > porn chat room "educates" you about computer technology.
> >
> >      You turn on the box, select a channel and talk.
>
> Not true.  MURS allows external antennas and digital modes.  These are
> big differences over simple FRS.
>
> If ARS is to "compete" with MURS, we are going to have to come up with
> something new.

I'm not familiar with MURS and wasn't aware of the extra room to play. I
would still be concerned about the cost of equipment though.




From -8266424893145213958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 17:50:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!ptdnetP!newsgate.ptd.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 01:35:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <94aq1g$ilk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.77.158.133
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 01:35:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.61 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 12.77.158.133
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDlr0d
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13490
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16000 alt.radio.family:3466 rec.radio.cb:22603
```

In article <94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net>,
"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote:

> Another group of geniuses cross posting this dribble in the CB group.

Doncha just love it when some "genius" badmouths the (learned) opposition, and then uses "dribble" for drivel...in the same sentence?

LRod

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3669911148774672385
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4baf76ced8187d8d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,4baf76ced8187d8d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 18:10:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Top ten signs your phone company is in Glendale, WV
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:09:35 -0600
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3A68F35F.119A8161@houston.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10934 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13491

Top ten signs your phone company is in Glendale, WV

10. All calls are $2 for the first minute, $94 each additional minute
9. Operator makes you describe what you're wearing
8. Their so-called "dial tone" is just a guy with a kazoo
7. You can only place long distance calls during an electrical storm
6. They bill you for calls made by some guy named Roger, and when you complain they say, "whatsa problem, man, you no like Roger?"
5. Whenever you call their office, you hear gunfire
4. For some reason, your phone doesn't work unless you're wearing

3-D glasses

3. Everyone you talk to sounds like the guy at the drive-thru window at McDonald's
2. No matter what number you dial, you always get Roger Wiseman
1. Their slogan is: "Reach out and touch yourself"

```
From -7674665882296943824
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,78ee9d1202521a57,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,78ee9d1202521a57,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 18:18:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Top ten problems being a Glendale, WV ham
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:18:22 -0600
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A68F56E.E46FF77B@houston.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10936
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13492
```

Top ten problems being a Glendale, WV ham

10. Phone sex operators keep hanging up on you
9. FOX is starting a new show about you: "America's Least Wanted"
8. You get a heart-shaped box filled with angry hornets
7. The babes just don't seem to go for your home-made Star Trek uniform
6. You're taking private tutorials with Jocelyn Elders
5. You're being stalked by Riley Hollingsworth.
4. The last time you got laid was during the Eisenhower administration
3. You spend your vacation chasin' lizards
2. The Pope asks you for tips on celibacy
1. You ain't a Gingrich, but your nickname's "Newt"

```
From 7244736625580910863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b15ec36cfdccab,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b15ec36cfdccab,start
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 18:26:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Top ten signs you've visited a Hiram, GA chiropractor
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:25:54 -0600
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A68F732.5473F3D4@houston.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10937
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13493

Top ten signs you've visited a Hiram, GA chiropractor

10. When you walk, you make a wacky accordion sound.
9. Keeps saying, "A spine is like a box of chocolates."
8. Repeatedly asks, "You a cop? You sure you ain't no cop?"
7. Over and over, you hear crunching sounds followed by "Uh-oh."
6. There's a two-drink minimum.
5. At end of session, lies down on the table and says, "My turn!"
4. He was trained by Roger Wiseman.
3. Rushes in late to your appointment, still wearing his Burger King uniform.
2. Hints that for an extra $50, he'll "straighten" something else.
1. You're fully-clothed and he's naked.

From 2585129586446201365
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 18:30:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 02:24:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <94ast5$kms$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ama3$ffc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A68EE00.F52D6B6F@zylay.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 02:24:42 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13494
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16004

In article <3A68EE00.F52D6B6F@zylay.com>,
  Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
> horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > In article <94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > In article <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > >   horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >I think MURS could be somewhat of a help in getting kids educated
> > > >about radios -
> > >
> > >      MURS will no more "educate" kids about radio than chatting
in a
> > > porn chat room "educates" you about computer technology.
> > >
> > >      You turn on the box, select a channel and talk.
> >
> > Not true.  MURS allows external antennas and digital modes.  These
are
> > big differences over simple FRS.
> >
> > If ARS is to "compete" with MURS, we are going to have to come up
with
> > something new.
>
> I'm not familiar with MURS and wasn't aware of the extra room to
play. I
> would still be concerned about the cost of equipment though.

Everybody is holding their breath until the Motorola objection to FCC's
creation of MURS is settled.  If that gets settled positively
(Motorola's objection is set aside), then I expect many manufacters to
begin making MURS radios.  Prices will drop, accordingly.  I expect
that within a year we will see decent MURS radios in the $70-$100
range.  Right now the only thing specifically available are offerings
from Radio Shack in the $125 range, or the 1W Motorola Spirit single
channel(9 selectable)VHF business radios(don't get the two watt
version, it is not useful for MURS, as the only two MURS specific
channels it supports use a 25Khz bandwidth, MURS will be using 12.5).

--
```

------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2416429316419358048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 18:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 02:30:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <94at75$l1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <94aao8$5ch$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A68E5C1.FE10CA6F@oio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 02:30:01 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13495 rec.radio.cb:22607
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10940

In article <3A68E5C1.FE10CA6F@oio.net>,
  'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
>
> horseshoestew,
>   There's a lack of hams on HF?

Didn't say that. Go back and read. Again - I said the percentage of hams using HF is down. I would guess that the number using HF has remained fairly constant. As you know, those that are using VHF/UHF communicate over shorter distances - it is these people who I am talking about. Every year they become a greater and greater percentage of the ham population. The problem is that less and less of all hams are ACTUALLY using VHF/UHF.

```
>                    'Doc
>

--
-------  Stewart - N0MHS  --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/
```

```
From 1830602354305902858
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 18:40:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 02:33:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 02:33:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13496
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16005 alt.radio.family:3467 rec.radio.cb:22608
```

In article <94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net>,
  "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote:

> Another group of geniuses cross posting this dribble in the CB group.
Keep
> studying those manuals you will get it figured out. Question 66.

I originally posted to rec.radio.cb to support my argument that CB is
obviously NOT the proper environment to be starting our kids off in
radio - and you folks did not disappoint me, as the typical vulgarity
of your posts show.

Look, I don't have anything against you folks - I just don't think it
is appropriate for kids to be learning radio on the 11 meter band.

------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6573492257086500244
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 18:53:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.textport.net!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7fp0r0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A689357.3A2A@bellsouth.net> <20010119161406.16762.00000561@nso-
mp.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Lines: 17
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 02:53:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.72
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 979959225 206.58.44.72 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 02:53:45
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 02:53:45 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13497

On 19 Jan 2001 21:14:06 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>Are the "illegals" always non-amateur? Does a "kerchunk"
>carry any ID of the kerchunker? :-)

It carries the "fingerprint" of the tranmitter....the FCC has used such IDs very successfully in enforcment even when I was on board.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 8431363885727189184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8d383a99c438afa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8d383a99c438afa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 19:06:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!
howland.erols.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: AC6V <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten most popular alchoholic beverages in Hiram, GA
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 19:05:01 -0800
Organization: Author
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3A69005C.491DD81D@ix.netcom.com>
References: <3A68E87C.5CA1116A@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.56.d6.d9
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 20 Jan 2001 03:05:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD473  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10942
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13498
```

In all due respect -- only one alchoholic beverage belongs on a rec.radio.amateur.xx newgroup and that is an 807

--
All in gud fun
73 From Rod AC6V In San Diego
700 Ham Topics & 6,000 Links
http://ac6v.com/

I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be!!!

Stagger Lee wrote:

> Top ten most popular alchoholic beverages in Hiram, GA
>
>   10. Really, Really, Really, Really Old Milwaukee
>   9. Wisemann Scotch
>   8. Amaretto Di Hiram
>   7. Orville Redenbacher's Butter-flavored Vodka
>   6. McBourbon
>   5. Dinty Moore's Pork 'n' Booze
>   4. Ernest, Julio, Tom and Roseanne Gallo
>   3. Dr. Scholl's Medicated Tequila
>   2. Seagrams 7, Braves 0
>   1. Chivas Du Sterno

```
From 826268884326878471
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 19:51:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 22:45:38 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <94b275$334$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>
<94a6rb$n7r$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-03-02.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 979962917 3172 209.43.66.114 (20 Jan 2001 03:55:17
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Jan 2001 03:55:17 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13499
```

Any loser named Graeme needs to check if his anal virginity is still intact.
As far as second rate governments, we all need to look at the gun grabbers

```
in Australia and the sissies who submit to them. So bugger off, Aussie loser
<vk4lld@netinc.au> wrote in message news:94a6rb$n7r$1@news.netmar.com...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> >   There has been much talk about the Clinton legacy.  As William J.
> > Clinton leaves office, lets take a look at a few things around the
> > country to discover the real Clinton legacy.
>
> ///// C L I P  /////
>
> Please take your American politics to alt.bloody.sleazy.washington
newsgroup.
> This one is an international forum about amateur radio and not about
> second-rate governments in north america.
>
> In other words, bugger off mate.
>
> Graeme
>
>
>
>
>
>
>  -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
eb  -----
>   http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
groups
>    NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net




From 5164141554175095318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 20:03:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-
out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Keith McQueen" <kb7m@arrl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
```

```
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <t28a6.2182$E52.635055@news.uswest.net>
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 20:59:23 -0700
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.228.201.121
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 979963161 63.228.201.121 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:59:21 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:59:21 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13500
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16011 alt.radio.family:3469 rec.radio.cb:22616

For once a constructive suggestion!  My 11 year old has asked me several
times if I can find a ham radio technician text that is geared for young
people.  So far I haven't found anything.  Anybody for getting something
like this together?  It doesn't need to talk down to the kids.  Kids are
bright and intelligent and can understand amazing things.  But it HAS to be
made interesting to THEM.  If you could disguise it in a Nintendo game it
would work even better.  If it were available for download for a free or
minimal cost so much the better.  Now THAT would be a contribution!


<Steven J Robeson>; "K4YZ" <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
>      If you REALLY want to make an impact on kids in Amateur Radio, try
> writing a text FOR kids...THAT will help them along the way.  Trying to
> wrangle a "new" Amateur Radio license out of the FCC for posterity's
> sake isn't it.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From -6683114745980908 53
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 20:44:42 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A691799.43A44BFB@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <94aao8$5ch$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 59
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 23:44:10 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.56
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979965834 206.20.144.56 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 22:43:54
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 22:43:54 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13501 rec.radio.cb:22627
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10951


horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

>
> > There were no home computers. There were
> >ham
> > stores in every populated area.  There was no horde of CBers, no cell
> > phones, no Internet, endless list.
> > >
>
> That's a cool story, thanks.

>
Dunno how "cool" it is but it was a poke at demonstrating why us Old Farts
come from fundamentally different "cultural" directions than do many of the
much younger hams of today. I mean like the whole point to RRAP is that it's
the current really whizzy outlet of convenience for the usual generational
warfare which probably goes back to when the first Species Homo Sapiens kid
told his father he wasn't gonna sleep up in the freaking family tree any
longer.
>

> > It's all changed and I have my doubts about how much "better off "
> ham radio
> > is today vs. 1958 even though the number of hams then was some small
> > fraction of today's license count.
>
> The thing is, the percentage of hams using HF is way down too.

>
```

I guess so considering the big volume of hams today which don't have HF
privs which was not the case in earlier days. .
>
Fact is that there isn't any way to put the calipers on HF activity and band
utilization. If I had the time I could spend all day every day on HF and
barely even scratch the surface. Over the past half century four MF/MF ham
bands have come into broad use thus there is something of a dilution effect,
basically a 55% percent increase in the size of the playground. We got the
three WARC bands in '79 which accounts for three new bands plus 160 has
returned from the deep dark "200 Meters and Down" days into a very current
hot button.
>

> able to reach a critical mass of other people to communicate with on
> VHF/UHF, you really need more people.

>
What the heck is a "critical mass" in this context?
>
I won't comment on that one beyond the fact that VHF/UHF ops are not the
reason I've kept renewing my ticket.
>
--
------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
>
w3rv

From 94544931883257456
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 21:47:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!newshub2.home.com!
news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "CW" <cmagers@sprynet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:41:49 -0800
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <94b8mn$pvr$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
<94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ama3$ffc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.26.4a
X-Server-Date: 20 Jan 2001 05:45:59 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13502
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16014

Something new appears to be all that you are after. The current Technician
serves all the needs you have outlined.
--
CW
KC7NOD
<horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94ama3$ffc$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > >I think MURS could be somewhat of a help in getting kids educated
> > >about radios -
> >
> >      MURS will no more "educate" kids about radio than chatting in a
> > porn chat room "educates" you about computer technology.
> >
> >      You turn on the box, select a channel and talk.
>
> Not true.  MURS allows external antennas and digital modes.  These are
> big differences over simple FRS.
>
> If ARS is to "compete" with MURS, we are going to have to come up with
> something new.
>
> > Steve
>
> -------  Stewart - N0MHS  --------
> Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
> Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
> http://www.pubcel.com
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From 8404457418575184003
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 21:50:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6926EF.D544E65@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <94anhm$gel$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 40
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 00:49:35 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.42
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979969760 206.20.144.42 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 23:49:20 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 23:49:20 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13503 rec.radio.cb:22647
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10956

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> > There was no lack of young people then, I was one. Kids flooded into
> the
> > hobby. The creation of the Novice license in 1951 was extremely
> successful
> > in luring kids into ham radio
>
> I wonder if it would be possible to get the text of the arguments made
> for the successful creation of this license class back in 1951. Even
> though they would be 50 years old, I am sure there would be some
> relevant ideas.

>
You betchum. There was a huge outcry from the Old Farts of my youth about
the FCC and the ARRL having the unthinkable audacity to even suggest
letting pimply 5wpm weenies like me get on the HF ham bands until we did
13wpm solid copy with a pencil. In front of an FCC exaniner of course.
>
The Tech license also came out of that "restructuring" maneuver.
>

>This stuff must be archived in Washington, DC, somewhere. I would

> think it would have been put on microfilm a long time ago. Does the
> FCC have a library for this kind of thing?

>
No. Regulatory agencies are not keepers of history. It's all in the ARRL
collection of QSTs now archived in CDs going to the 1915 issue one, volume
one, in particular cruise the Letters to The Editor columns for the
earlier iterations of rrap. Heh.

> ------- Stewart - N0MHS --------

>
w3rv

From 6919903809264284134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 21:52:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <5q9i6ts8b3k2tfbdp8dlb3p9505730mh3t@4ax.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94a2s4$thk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 05:52:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.162
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979969939 209.86.207.162 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:52:19 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:52:19 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13504 rec.radio.cb:22648 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10957

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> I am curious - are there any hams that remember what the policy of the
> ARRL, FCC, and hams in general was in 1958, in regards to the issue of
> Sputnik?

I don't remember any policy. I was a teenage ham at the time. I remember listening to Sputnik on my old receiver and thinking it was pretty neat...

>Were there any pushes back then to get more young people
>interested in Amateur Radio?

That was not a problem then. One old magazine I have estimates that about 50% of the new hams in the late 50's were teenagers...

From -1278564531601887791
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 21:52:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <bm9i6tgphu40q24nle3mg93smhejm2itb4@4ax.com>
References: <1aqf6tkde82oj3bmlfmuttpdnj2835g8pa@4ax.com>
<20010119141235.01651.00000061@nso-fp.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 05:52:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.162
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979969943 209.86.207.162 (Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:52:23 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:52:23 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13505

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>You cannot escape the coexistance of the amateur radio
>service with dozens of other radio services...

I am not trying to escape the totality of radio. My posts here are mostly are about *amateur radio* because this is an *amateur radio news group* and because *amateur radio* is my hobby interest. If I wanted to hear about broadcast radio, CB radio, SWLing or other radio subjects I would specifically visit those particular groups...

But...goodness knows we do stray from the subject, so feel free to post on the totality of radio. I certainly have no objections. Just don't expect all of us to do so... :-)

```
From 6931841735694805332
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 21:52:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <ol9i6ts9svdmj8l2brvmcm561hrhnlsj3g@4ax.com>
References: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com>
<3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net> <qm7f6tg9rrjip5mq77au0t22qj8ouptsjs@4ax.com>
<J81a6.989$e5.2494284@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 05:52:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.162
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979969945 209.86.207.162 (Fri, 19 Jan
2001 21:52:25 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:52:25 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13506
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
\>Aaron, I answered that question with volumes, and continued until I realized
\>that you really would only accept a "yes" or "no" answer...

Not true Ed. I gave you permission to answer any way you liked... :-)

```
From 2414337922617201353
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 21:52:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
```

```
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <sk9i6tgmpvfl0vacpj7ivboisji1uos8sl@4ax.com>
References: <iode6tsi5706c3aua35odh2r5cjh4a2uc1@4ax.com>
<20010119011506.04061.00000527@nso-mh.aol.com>
<7bqf6tcdiqd8rnnvi0bj7m1ji5p2bh3b7n@4ax.com>
<0Z3a6.1010$e5.2508016@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 05:52:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.162
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979969945 209.86.207.162 (Fri, 19 Jan
2001 21:52:25 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:52:25 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13507
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

>Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>>But you knew that I was just yanking Ed's chain didn't you?

>Perhaps we should all consider that any time we think about taking your
>posts at face value.

You recently admitted to a bit of chain yanking yourself Ed. You're
not really going to give me and Brian another lecture are you... :-)

```
From 2701147714042227608
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 21:52:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <qj9i6tsn5fjk7a3aph2lap416qqv4sbbfc@4ax.com>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<VNJ96.961$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net> <518f6to0okmfm5o1ud663ialsfpdl75kii@4ax.com>
<aI3a6.1004$e5.2506859@news.ntplx.net>
```

```
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 30
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 05:52:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.162
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979969946 209.86.207.162 (Fri, 19 Jan
2001 21:52:26 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:52:26 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13508
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

>Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>> >> In society most people are too polite to tell someone about his gaff.
>> >> They just think of him poorly behind his back. At least hams are
>> >> honest and straightforward... :-)
>
>> >Whoever originated the phrase, "honesty is the best policy" clearly
>didn't
>> >envision this scenario. :-)
>
>> I suppose it's not much different than someone who is too polite to
>> tell his CW contact that he has a bad fist and lies that he is being
>> called to dinner to end the QSO early... :-)
>
>Telling him in an "honest and straightforward" way about his gaff is the
>exact opposite of not telling him. That is not my idea of "not much
>different."

I was referring to my first line above:
"In society most people are too polite to tell
 someone about his gaff."

You were too polite to tell a CW contact that he had a poor fist. You
were so polite that you would rather lie about having to QRT to go
dinner than tell him about his bad fist. That's not much different
from being too polite to tell someone about his gaff... :-)

```
From 5095470026798863098
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 21:52:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
```

```
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <tg9i6tcctbenm6ov0ptcd18be6dlmamfjk@4ax.com>
References: <arde6t077dmhohge2iiacvs645o2sd1pvg@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7dx6q1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<9cnf6t0chl0kt5bbsrrqab4hun5gmu9hvo@4ax.com>
<w84a6.1013$e5.2509207@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 05:52:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.162
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979969947 209.86.207.162 (Fri, 19 Jan
2001 21:52:27 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:52:27 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13510

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>? ARRL phonetics ?

On page 532 of my 1954 ARRL handbook
the title over the phonetics list is:

"ARRL Word List for Radiotelephony"...  :-)


From -7752355259962266691
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 21:52:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <fi9i6tgeon90m38apituem9l60ermncqun@4ax.com>
References: <74ee6t0bin9j7fiq2pkv0i6ssgototb1o9@4ax.com>
<948a23$f4f$0@208.207.71.174> <dnnf6t0h3ua56vbrd8iiol5f4vkqhju3ek@4ax.com>
<eS3a6.1007$e5.2507546@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

```
Lines: 12
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 05:52:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.162
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979969947 209.86.207.162 (Fri, 19 Jan
2001 21:52:27 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 19 Jan 2001 21:52:27 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13509
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

>if you participate in an open forum, there are always one or two who
>feel that the best thing that they could do for you is to publically and
>soundly berate you...

And there are also those who fail to learn the practices and procedures of a specialized group before entering the frey, and consequently make fools of themselves.

>If you think their behaviour is inappropriate...

I think both types of behaviors are inappropriate and avoidable...

```
From 4948588669694260974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 22:02:24 PST
Message-ID: <3A69297B.C55617EF@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 06:00:27 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
References: <cqde6tcfrv6jl9kah237qdn7ue47j6b3jc@4ax.com>
<20010119011505.04061.00000526@nso-mh.aol.com>
<1aqf6tkde82oj3bmlfmuttpdnj2835g8pa@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s17.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 20 Jan 2001 00:56:16 -0500, s17.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 27
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s17.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13511
```

Aaron Jones wrote:

>
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> >What is this glorious, religious connection to the past and
> >only the past of amateur radio?!?

>
> Because this is an *amateur radio* newsgroup...
>
> >If you want some cogent historical information on the history
> >of ALL radio in the first 30 years of its life, read...
>
> But I'm not interested in all radio history, just ham radio history,
> which is why I collect old callbooks, ham magazines, license manuals,
> ham databases, ect. And I scan and post old ham stuff from time to
> time for discussions sake on this *ham* newsgroup...

Len insists on his "rights" Aaron. He feels free--nay, he insists on his "right" to post his usually lengthy diatribes in an amateur radio group. He isn't a ham but seems to think that radio amateurs are under some sort of obligation to pay credence to his views. You'd think that if the guy was really interested in amateur radio, he'd have managed to obtain a license by now.

You'd think he might have figured out by now that most of the folks reading and posting here are really interested in amateur radio.

Dave Heil K8MN

From 910969205298818362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 22:09:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 20 Jan 2001 06:09:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <94ba3d$t4a$0@208.206.142.99>
References: <ltde6tkj4rbcnogncr30bpbgp2q9vbnu5u@4ax.com>
<3A67CC83.5DFD03BC@dvol.com> <f6qf6t8k2omsecik8uvq4a264t479n0o1h@4ax.com>
<3A6833A9.8D060530@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13512

Brian Kelly wrote:
>

> Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> > >>
> > >What immediately comes to mind when you hear 10-18 or QSX?
> > >
> > That I need to go look them up... :-)   Your point?
>
> >
> My point is that there are very few of these codes are in general use,
> having to look them up defeats the whole intended purpose of the things.

Well, it depends on how ingrained they became.I still remember 10-18
though I haven't used it in 15 years. It meant "what is your location?".

Dick

From 4823582582835259706
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 22:10:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 20 Jan 2001 06:10:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <94ba4n$t4a$1@208.206.142.99>
References: <ltde6tkj4rbcnogncr30bpbgp2q9vbnu5u@4ax.com>
<3A67CC83.5DFD03BC@dvol.com> <f6qf6t8k2omsecik8uvq4a264t479n0o1h@4ax.com>
<3A6833A9.8D060530@dvol.com> <c0mg6t87nmd1m7o92nad7em98oq5igmf63@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13513

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
> >My point is that there are very few of these codes are in general use,
> >having to look them up defeats the whole intended purpose of the things.
>
> I don't completely disagree with that. In fact many public service
> agencies have gone to plain English for just that reason. I think that

```
> the intended purpose of the 10-codes and the Q codes is to save radio
> time. To be effective they must be learned, that's true. But once
> learned, they do serve the intended purpose...

Sorta like Morze code.....



From -1966514558301011114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 22:12:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 20 Jan 2001 06:12:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <94ba8t$t4a$2@208.206.142.99>
References: <arde6t077dmhohge2iiacvs645o2sd1pvg@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7dx6q1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13514




Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 18:48:39 GMT, Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> >There is no "official list". Some codes seem universal (like "10-4")
>
>    Actually there is an "official" APCO list but its use is
>    voluntary.

 And it got mutated a lot by more local agencies.

Dick



From 7342912962570536344
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 22:35:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
```

```
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 20 Jan 2001 06:35:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <94bbiv$7o8$0@208.206.142.99>
References: <arde6t077dmhohge2iiacvs645o2sd1pvg@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7dx6q1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<9cnf6t0chl0kt5bbsrrqab4hun5gmu9hvo@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13515



Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> >   Actually there is an "official" APCO list
>
> The first published 10-code was in an APCO bulletin in January of 1940


 I have an APCO "National Police Communications Network Directory and
Reference Guide" from 1945, and one from 1951. It's amazing how much
changed in that six year period.

Dick



From -8225717025367174318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9d4c156697d60c7c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5359759c97114fcd,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 22:37:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!
newsfeed.concentric.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A69320D.1CF9CECE@j.s>
From: JC <jayc@j.s>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: cellphone block
References: <3A669B65.ED11B43A@j.s> <3A676ED1.D7B713B9@usa.net>
<3A682BF1.E66F584E@j.s> <3A68FEC0.393946DA@j.s> <3A6905FB.43C0B011@usa.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
X-Trace: /whjskj4ZGpKGWqJrXLLhvQBY6teCLWtOz6T3sbvBptLSMPAgZs5j0Dm9ZujExQ9WjuecFP/
IfFh!fblyjF2l3x8PyylsLimlTfS+KbsDYdtOLh/3tQAjIAwEoB0WDdI=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 06:36:56 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 06:36:56 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10960
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13516



~Steve~ wrote:

> You may want to check and make sure they are using a jammer before taking any
action.
>

    I'm just gonna find an appropriate FCC section and send it to school.   As to
making
sure jammers are using jammer, they annouced  "one will not be able to use
cellphone
inside the building as we have a cellphone blocker in use" on bulletin.




From 3931406966292516246
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 22:43:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 20 Jan 2001 06:43:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <94bc2b$96j$0@208.206.142.99>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <3A677CBF.762F0C9C@some.net>
```

<3A67ACFC.D69389FA@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10961
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13517

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> JR wrote:
>
> > California can thank the tree huggers for their current energy crisis.
> >
>
> >
> It's gonna get interesting everywhere when it becomes obvious that the only
> way out is more nukes.
> >
> w3rv

Why don't they make some effort to do more with geothermal energy? Ca.
is loaded with the stuff and there's no natural gas bills involved. Too
simple a solution?

I know there is some geothermal installations in northern CA but looks
like it would be -or would have been- an easy out for them all along...
Guess that's too much to ask from politics, 'specially on the Left
Coast. No "vested interests" in geothermal as in oil and gas.

Dick

From -7407762362422862539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 22:45:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 06:45:27 GMT
References: <fW3a6.1008$e5.2507723@news.ntplx.net>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Message-ID: <20010120014527.22779.00000703@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13518

In article <fW3a6.1008$e5.2507723@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>> Are the "illegals" always non-amateur? Does a "kerchunk"
>> carry any ID of the kerchunker? :-)
>
>The one doing the kerchunking is indeed always illegal. Transmitter
>fingerprinting has been used to successfully ID several kerchunkers over the
>years.

OOs and run-of-the-mill hams can ID them illegal kerchunkers with
"fingerprint techniques?"

...OK...   :-)

didit

From 1821172014908707855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 22:45:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 65
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 06:45:25 GMT
References: <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010120014525.22779.00000701@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13519

In article <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, horseshoestew@my-deja.com writes:

      <snip to just one paragraph>

>I look at the current "communications gap" situation that is developing
>between the United States and Japan/Europe in the same vein as
>the "missle gap" that started to develop between the USA and USSR in
>1958. However, today's problem is probably more real and dangerous,
>yet less obvious, and more insidious than the problem of 1958. There

>will be no "Sputnik" to help immediately shock us back to our senses,
>and therefore no equivelant to the National Defense Education Act will
>be forthcoming anytime soon.

I don't understand this "communications gap" that is supposed to be developing. What has been going on is rather intense competition for commercial communications all over the world. For a long while Asia has been leading that area due to low production costs. Now north Europe is cutting into that market. A cross-country drive across 2/3 of the USA will demonstrate that, via all the antenna poles and towers that weren't there two decades ago, the USA has been adding communications facilities aplenty. I don't recall seeing any foreigners coming into the USA to install all that equipment.

If one can get the gist of this alleged "communications gap," it does not impact amateur radio. All of that commercial communications infrastructure was put in by commercial communications workers, trained by commercial communications firms. The active and passive devices used in all that commercial communications has been largely developed in the USA. Want technical information on that? Go to the USA component makers' websites. Plenty of data available. Input from USA radio amateurs was a virtual zero...the whole infrastructure is commercial, not amateur.

Is there some kind of insidious "missle gap" or equivalent now? 43 years after 1958? I don't think so in the military or commercial communications of the USA. The USA has learned to live with the threat of nuclear warfare and intercontinental ballistic missles...and has long since come up with a counter to that, the rather horrifying MAD. The USA has a rather strong military...whose communications is not modeled on any amateur radio standards and practices.

Somehow, in all the 43 years since 1958, this tremendous commercial-military structure has been created without having to interest "young people" in fun amateur radio to provide some sort of base of radio knowledge. Radio has evolved rather far since tube days and old analog radio technology has become much more electronic in nature, including digital techniques so much that there is little differentiation between analog and digital.

The existing Novice class license has been failing for years. The negative trend numbers are clearly visible. The existing Novice class license has been around for a long time and no one has bothered to "fix" it to attract enough newcomers to keep it alive. One has to look much farther into the reasons for that failure, not sugar-coat over a virtual Novice class with some new name and saying that this window dressing will make it work. Very unlikely.

didit

From 2317923200058209971
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 22:45:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 116
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 06:45:23 GMT
References: <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010120014523.22779.00000700@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13521

In article <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>> Were there any pushes back then to get more young people
>> interested in Amateur Radio?
>
>There was no lack of young people then, I was one. Kids flooded into the
>hobby.

So was I, old-timer. :-)   But...back then, to "kids" (and quite a few
grown-ups) circa 1950s, radio was a sort of black magic with
wires, solder, and things that glowed in the dark.

> The creation of the Novice license in 1951 was extremely successful
>in luring kids into ham radio. The availability and readily accesibile
>dirt-cheap military surplus radios and parts was another huge boosting
>factor. Any kid could and many did get on HF for well under a hundred bucks
>in 2001 dollars.

In 1951 an ARC-5 receiver in the domestic shipping carton was
$6 at W9ERU's H&H Electronics in Rockford, Illinois. An ARC-
5 transmitter was $12 and the antenna coupler and modulator
was $18. Surplus BC-348 receivers were terribly expensive at
around $49 while a less-technically-able National NC-57
"Communications Receiver" cost $98 list. In the dollars of
those days it still represented a sizeable fraction of income.

>Just about all large high schools had school-supported ham clubs and club
>stations.

Maybe in your area, but not in mine, a city of about 80 thousand back then.

>The 80 & 40m Novice bands crawled with other kids.

Really? My experience was a lot of WW2 vets were doing the crawling.

> A kid could
>actually understand the circuitry and get a soldering iron into the radios.

100 Watt American Beauty irons. Yeah, sure... :-)

>There were
>very few antenna and tower restrictions, "real people" didn't live in
>apartments.

No problem, there were very few antenna towers. :-)

> If you were a kid interested in electronics the customary
>gateway to an EE was a ham ticket.

Oh? Of five in my high school class of 1951 that became EEs, none of them had ham tickets then. I'll check up on that in August when my class has its 50th reunion... :-)

>Life in general was also very different from today. The overall pace of life
>was slower and simpler, people had more spare time.

Nonsense. People back then were saying the same thing about those of 50 years past.

>Ya didn't mess with girls until you were 16-17.

More nonsense. :-)

>There were no home computers. There were ham
>stores in every populated area.

I can drive 2 miles to an HRO outlet in Burbank, CA. It's in a mini-mall across Buena Vista from another mini-mall. :-)

>There was no horde of CBers, no cell phones, no Internet, endless list.

Let's look at the state of the art of radio for amateurs in 1958. Vacuum tube technology for everything. Rock-bound frequency control...deluxe was a VFO. No direct-reading frequency meters. Sharp-selectivity IF filters was only just starting and they were expen$ive. One had to wait at least 20 minutes until everything warmed up to reduce the drift. Almost everything was HF since VHF and above was the Twilight Zone inhabited only by gurus and wizards. :-)

One peaked the PA grid, dipped the PA plate and the PA output was a pi-network for an under-150 Watt rig. Want to have a multi-band HF transmitter? Good luck. Those were not common at all unless there was Money. There were more Urban Legends on technology than there was textbook information for anyone, amateurs included. The commercial people making things for HF were small in number but high in experience...on tube technology.

>It's all changed and I have my doubts about how much "better off " ham radio
>is today vs. 1958 even though the number of hams then was some small
>fraction of today's license count.

What did amateurs have in 1998? Multi-band HF rigs, a few of which could hit 6m. Frequency control was rock-solid on ANY frequency, any band. Solid-state PAs that didn't require touchy-touchy tuning at the higher HF bands. Sharp-skirted crystal filters for receiver selectivity, DSP for brick-wall filtering. Very high 3rd order IM characteristics and sensitivities down to noise level of 50 Ohm impedances. Push-button modulation selection of CW, AM, SSB, or FM. All kinds of towers and beams and rotators available for purchase, you install or hire somebody for grunt work. No problem. Want VHF or UHF? No problem there. Select a band or two and there is a rig available. Want an HT with rechargeable battery? No problem...lots of bells and whistles in a little box. Need an antenna tuner? No problem, take your choice of manual or automatic, be able to read forward or reverse power at a glance. All ready to go out of the box.

Anyone who wants to go back to 1958 is nuts!

didit

From -9162021317875335358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 22:45:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!wn1feed!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!24.30.200.2!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 84
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 06:45:26 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7fl4m4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010120014526.22779.00000702@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13520

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g7fl4m4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>On 19 Jan 2001 20:43:30 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>> IIRC the DWP was exempt from the CPUC's fiat that production facilities be sold. If SCE, SDGE, and PG&E were allowed to keep their dynamos as well, would there have been a "shortage"? Doubtful.
>>
>>Phil, the LA Department of Water and Power is a municipal agency.
>
> It is well established law that a governmental agency that is engaged in a commercial activity that is equal to or in direct competition with similar private sector enterprises does not automatically enjoy "exempt" status that governmetal agencies otherwise enjoy. The Legislature or the CPUC has to grant that status, usually by the use of the term "investor-owned utilities" in either the statutes or CPUC Orders.

Okay, who is the private sector enterprise that is in direct competition with the LA DWP? I'm curious since I've not heard of any private sector competitor of electric power to the LA DWP since I moved out here.

>>As of today, Friday, the DWP is selling close to 9 GWatts
>
> 9 GW is 9000 MW....equal to NINE units of nuclear power plant generation (1 "unit" is 1000 MW). Five plants the size of Diablo Canyon. Hard to believe. Where are they hiding them?

Bad note taking during breakfast while listening to TV news on one subject and carrying on conversation with wife on another subject. Let me correct my error by a quote from page B4, LA Times Valley Edition for 19 January 2001:

"On Wednesday, the DWP provided a crucial 300 to 700 megawatts per hour, or 10% to 20% of its output, to the state power grid as controlled blackouts rolled across much of California. Meanwhile, the 3.8 million Los Angeles residents wom the DWP serves had plenty of money."

Phil, nobody is "hiding" any DWP electric power generation plants. The one locally called "The Four Stacks" (visible from the hill above my house) is on aeronautical sectional charts.

Yes, Phil, I made an error in numbers. Mea culpa.

The maximum electrical power generation of the LA DWP is roughly 3500 MWe (if everything is on-line), a personal input from an Electrical Engineer who works for the DWP.

I'm sorry your QTH (or 10-20 or whatever) is being hit with rolling blackouts. Down here in Los Angeles there are no rolling blackouts. Los Angeles DWP also has a praised general manager named S. David Freeman, new to DWP as of three years ago but very experienced in utility management. What is happening is that the LA DWP already has the capacity to help out the rest of the state and is doing so without disturbing its regular customers.

Locally the DWP manager is under political fire because Freeman hasn't charged enough per MWHR according to LA Mayor Richard Riordan. Riordan is worried about $170 million in electric power sales revenue already owed the DWP by the grid's customers. It seems to me that this "little" municipal electric facility is trying to help the rest of the state and is getting dissed rather unfairly by Californians farther north. TS.   :-(

The LA DWP has the MWe capacity to serve its customers and still sell power to other power companies.. Other, private electric power suppliers don't have enough capacity. The only conclusion to be drawn there is Bad Management of the private companies. [I'm sure your mileage may vary]

didit

From -875655297735042942
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 22:48:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: 20 Jan 2001 06:48:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <94bccf$96j$1@208.206.142.99>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
<942qh9$nus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<944612$pbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <948at8$et1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13522





>
>
>   Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

 >      I'd say you're trolling for a reason to CALL someone a jerk,
 > Burke...



 Jerk, Burke....  That has REAL ring to it.


From -2809834066690609970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 23:04:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com> <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-
fk.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 82
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 02:03:43 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.46
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 979974208 206.20.144.46 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 01:03:28
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 01:03:28 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13523


Len Over 21 wrote:
```

> One of the political idiocies going on is the LA Mayor angry
> at the DWP general manager for not charging enough on
> outside sale (about 6 cents per KWHR, roughly nominal
> in current trading charges). It's nonsense. Mayor Riordan
> is still involved in a rivalry with the Bay Area, insisting on
> "showing no mercy." :-)

>
Idiot. Goes a long way toward promoting cooperation on regional problems. None of us are out here alone.
>

> Lots of former Lockheed employees who stayed here insist
> that Lockheed got the shaft from the state and from the
> incorporated city of Burbank, Lockheed's home since 1930
> or so. In one way, environmentalists were responsible for
> Lockheed having had to spend an enormous sum for a water
> treatement plant at the tin-bending complex off Buena Vista
> (a half dozen or so large buildings, now all razed). After
> completion the city wasn't satisfied with test results, wanted
> much more not stated originally. Coupled with excessive
> state taxes, Lockheed called it quits for corporate HQ and
> moved.

>
So would I if I was Lockheed. The town fathers obviously shot themselves in the foot, they lost the jobs *and* the property tax income by being stupid. Same old, same old, they'll never learn.
>
I'm sensitive to enviormental issues but this mindless PC overkill has gotta be brought under control.
>
Brings up a piece I read a few years back. Somebody sorted thru ancient Spanish archives related to the history of the earlist Jesuit efforts to do their thing in what is now the LA basin. The locals were of course were quite ambivalent Indians who advised the Jesuits that setting up a mission in that neighbohood was not a very good idea. The Indians knew the game, the topography and wind patterns are such that they never set up camp in the LA basin because the smoke from their campfires simply stayed there and choked 'em. Their name for the LA basin was "The Valley of Smoke", decent fishing but no place to actually live.
>
Hello?
>
>Unstable

> >power availability has to be a hot topic in the Silicon Valley board rooms.
>
> Many places large and small. Today's paper carried a story
> about a store specializing in black leather clothing...rather
> hard to display such wares without lighting. :-)

>

. . . uhhhh . . OK . . . !

>
> ...and some elected state politicians are going to face
> rather severe problems in trying to get re-elected.

>
I'll tellya whut: If I was a Californian I'd be out on the streets working
overtime to make damned sure they got dumped at the first opportunity.
>

> All of California's budget surplus just disappeared...got trans-
> ported to a bunch of overcharging electric power suppliers.
>
> Legalized greed is my term for it.   :-(

>
All they had to do was not go for the quick buck and think ahead, they did not do
that. They are dead meat now and they done it to themselves.
>

> didit

>
w3rv

From -5081836800184838532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 23:08:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 20 Jan 2001 07:08:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <94bdhf$96j$2@208.206.142.99>
References: <3a6788eb.1082628@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
<20010119011458.04061.00000523@nso-mh.aol.com> <948ne1$i6t$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13524

W4JLE wrote:
>
> Len, not one time have I made derogatory remarks towards you, nor have I
> made nasty remarks about your positions I disagree with.

Oh,that won't matter at all. He has an ingrained hatred for anyone who holds an Extra Class ham license. That is, a REAL one that required a real code test.
I think his Mama was scared by the ghost of Mr. Morse when she was carrying him.

From 695470465636230706
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 23:14:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: 20 Jan 2001 07:14:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <94bdte$96j$3@208.206.142.99>
References: <20010119062519.13114.00000138@ng-mh1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13525

Qrm2000 wrote:
>
> If you respond to the Colt License Proposal thread, please drop
> alt.radio.family from the header. The majority of FRS users are simply
> American families using these low-powered radios as short-range "intercoms".
> They are not radio hobbists. Thanks.

Be glad to. It's not a serious proposal anyway, Stewart is just Teazing you.

From 7659333214059664575

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-19 23:27:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 20 Jan 2001 07:27:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <94bel5$gfv$0@208.206.142.99>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<3A6855AE.962E878D@oio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13526
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16017 alt.radio.family:3472 rec.radio.cb:22669
```

```
'Doc wrote:
>
> Stewart,
>    Why are you wasting your time and ours with this?  There
> is already an 'entry level' license.  It sounds like you
> are advocating another 'junk' license.  Give it a rest.
>                     'Doc
```

Don't worry, Doc, FCC will promptly file it in the (Ahem!) proper file.

```
From -7550319029967900316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 00:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 20 Jan 2001 08:01:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <94bgl2$lot$0@208.206.142.99>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13527 rec.radio.cb:22679
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10972



Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > Were there any pushes back then to get more young people
> > interested in Amateur Radio?
>
> >
> There was no lack of young people then, I was one. Kids flooded into the
> hobby. The creation of the Novice license in 1951 was extremely successful
> in luring kids into ham radio. The availability and readily accesibile
> dirt-cheap military surplus radios and parts was another huge boosting
> factor. Any kid could and many did get on HF for well under a hundred bucks
> in 2001 dollars. Srounging was an artform and part of a perenially-broke kid
> becoming a ham and a lot of fun in itself.
> >
> Just about all large high schools had school-supported ham clubs and club
> stations. The 80 & 40m Novice bands crawled with other kids. A kid could
> actually understand the circuitry and get a soldering iron into the radios.
> The VHF  & UHF bands were for experimentation for the most part. There were
> very few antenna and tower restrictions, "real people" didn't live in
> apartments. If you were a kid interested in electronics the customary
> gateway to an EE was a ham ticket. Got ya points with admissions officers.
> >
> Life in general was also very different from today. The overall pace of life
> was slower and simpler, people had more spare time. Ya didn't mess with
> girls until you were 16-17. There were no home computers. There were ham
> stores in every populated area.  There was no horde of CBers, no cell
> phones, no Internet, endless list.
> >
> It's all changed and I have my doubts about how much "better off " ham radio
```

> is today vs. 1958 even though the number of hams then was some small
> fraction of today's license count.

Entry into ham radio today is for the middle aged to the retired, for those who "always wanted to but never had the time or extra money, job and family responsibilities kept me out", etc, etc. Kids have no clue nor any interest.

In the eight years I worked as a VE we handled almost no kids, nor anyone under age 30. There were a few females, but very darn few. Most of the crowd were aged 35 and up, with the oldest at age 80.

My VE time ended over 7 years ago and I don't think it has done anything but get worse since then. At that time we had no Internet access in this rural region. The arrival of that passtime pretty well cinched it.

From -6903594183073252501
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 00:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <1ehi6ts40iapn7smdgafmn7v63n4soe31d@4ax.com>
References: <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <20010120014523.22779.00000700@nso-fa.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 08:01:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.162
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 979977713 209.86.207.162 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 00:01:53 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 00:01:53 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13528

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>Let's look at the state of the art of radio for amateurs in 1958.
>Vacuum tube technology for everything.

I was using a CK722 ($5 retail) audio transistor mod in my homebrew super-regen SW receiver...

>Almost everything was HF since VHF and above was the
>Twilight Zone inhabited only by gurus and wizards. :-)

In 1958 in Phoenix we had quite a large VHF club. Maybe 50 members. We had a weekly net on 50.34 Mc. AM. I was using a BC-455 surplus arc5 receiver fed by an International Crystal converter. My transmitter was a Globe Scout 680. The antenna was a 4 element Taco beam with an "armstrong" rotator. Twilight Zone? gurus and wizards? Hardly. I was a Junior in high school at the time. I did work a lot of DX with that setup though as we were coming up on the best sunspot peak in recorded history... :-)

> Want to have a multi-band HF transmitter? Good luck.

My Globe Scout covered 80 thru 6 meters unmodified...

>Anyone who wants to go back to 1958 is nuts!

Well, as I recall the girls were prettier, and the cars and radios were neater. But besides that, heck no I wouldn't go... :-)

```
From -6410648246881888922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 00:11:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 03:12:13 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <3A69482B.562482E8@sccoast.net>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-79.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979978317 156297 206.74.49.79 (20 Jan 2001 08:11:57 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 08:11:57 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13529
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10973

darrell earnshaw wrote:

> Of course you are entitled to your own interpretations and views. However, this newsgroup is about amateur radio, not politics, relgion, or other unrelated issues, and it is an inappropriate forum for personal soapboxes on issues unrelated to amateur radio. I also suspect that most subscribers to the NG probably couldn't care less about US politics or domestic issues -- newsgroups are not just for domestic US consumption - they're international. I'm sure there's a "US.politics.domestic.anti-liberals" or something newsgroup somewhere out there which is more appropriate.
>
> No disrespect intended.

That's fine, Darrell. However, I suggest that you read back over the last few months (or years, if you prefer) to see the number of politically related discussions in this newsgroup. In other words, political topics have been part of this newsgroup since its very beginning.

And there are several reasons for this. The main one is that many of the regulars in this newsgroup post here rather than chasing each other around the newsgroups simply because it is easier.

Of course, this should not bother you since you're free not to read anything that does not interest you (nobody forced you to click on my message and read it). In other words, if you see a topic that does not interest you, simply move on to other discussions.

Obviously that is hard to do when you don't agree with the content of the message you're complaining about. And it is especially hard when you cannot think of an effective argument against what is said in that message. So, instead, its easier in this case to fall back on the old "this is a ham radio newsgroup and the message is inappropriate" argument in an effort to hopefully end the discussion before it gets started.

Likewise, no disrespect intended, Darrell.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -1266155985163944634

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 00:17:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 03:17:23 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A694960.2C21738A@sccoast.net>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM> <94a6rb$n7r$1@news.netmar.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-79.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979978624 160694 206.74.49.79 (20 Jan 2001 08:17:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 08:17:04 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13530 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10974

vk4lld@netinc.au wrote:
>
> Please take your American politics to
> alt.bloody.sleazy.washington newsgroup.
> This one is an international forum about
> amateur radio and not about second-rate
> governments in north america.
>
> In other words, bugger off mate.

My response to Darrell Earnshaw can serve as a response to your comments also. After all, I have to "bugger off," so I don't have time for a individualized response.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 7187086631687135628
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 00:20:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 08:10:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <94bh4n$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ama3$ffc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.204.178
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 08:10:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 152.163.204.178
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13531

In article <94ama3$ffc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,

> If ARS is to "compete" with MURS, we are going to have to come up with
> something new.

Uhhhhhh......Let's see.....

Satellites, APRS, HF DX, V/UHF repeaters, Moonbounce, DIGITAL modes, PSK31, ARES, ATV, SSTV, QRP, contesting...

Yeah, Stewart, I can see how someone could get bored with Ham Radio and give it all up for 2 watt MURS radios...

Now, PLEASE tell us how MURS is going to stimulate all those young minds...???
--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7465344898960559604

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 00:23:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 03:23:51 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A694AE1.7174C12E@sccoast.net>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>
<Xx2a6.783$ZB2.167709@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-79.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 979979012 165706 206.74.49.79 (20 Jan 2001 08:23:32
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 08:23:32 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13532
rec.radio.amateur.misc:10975
```

W6DKN wrote:

>
> Apparently you haven't been reading this
> newsgroup for very long...

I don't think he has read the newsgroup at all. Just glancing through the subject headers, at this very moment there are discussions about prisons, the California power crisis, cellphones, gay web sites, top ten most popular alchoholic beverages, and Jesse Jackson. Its not about politics - he just didn't like what I said.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -3527366594433789983
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 00:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 08:40:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 61
Message-ID: <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-fj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.204.178
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 08:40:59 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 152.163.204.178
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3473 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13533

In article <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-fj1.aol.com>,
qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000) wrote:

>>"alt.radio.family" IS a valid forum for his ideas, as irrelevant as
>>they may be.

>A copy of my message to Stewart is in rec.radio.cb. It asks him to
>post to alt.radio.family separately. The reason is based on past
>experience with his posts.

OK, here's the direct question: As well intentioned (and I understand your frustrations...he CAN be tiring...) as your efforts to redirect his posts, ON WHOSE AUTHORITY do you do it? What writ of law do you bear that carries any weight to try and force his hand?

And unless I miss something, you have no name. At least he puts a name to his.

>If you are a regular reader of alt.radio.family and the e-groups for
>FRS, you will recall that about once a month, somebody posts a message
>lamenting the fact that they can't get other people to answer them
>when called. This is a common FRS experience, regardless of what part
>of the United States one is in.

And "calling CQ" is the only milestone by which you measure "hobbyist"...?!?!

>FCC rules discourage "hobby" activities on FRS.

They SPECIFICALLY discourage hobby activities on 11 meters too, but guess what...?!?!

>The range is very limited. One cannot modify equipment or attach
>external antennas. Moreover, the percentage of FRS users who will
>respond to a stranger is very small. Most wish to speak only with
>members of their own group, and they will employ CTCSS codes and
>scrambling models to accomplish this. In those rare cases where they
>do respond to a stranger, the norm is a brief exchange. As soon as
>the novelty wears off, it's over, as quickly as it began.

Then it seems having eGroup's and entire "newsgroups" on so minimal an asset is pretty wasteful.

However, I do know that there ARE persons (and no small number) that are using FRS to supplement their 11 meter and scanner activities.

Seems awfully "hobby oriented" to me.

> If your FRS experience differs, we welcome your reports.

> QRM

> (posted & mailed)

And your name is...?!?!
--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7955767052815072678
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 03:20:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 11:09:41 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <94brlk$ame$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <ebee6tcoo4fo4gppfvcnnuuaajk5ctvhvh@4ax.com> <3MJ96.960$e5.2424761@news.ntplx.net> <947s0e$271$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <_PO96.1507$ed.895875@nntp2.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.77
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 11:09:41 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)

X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.77
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13534

In article <_PO96.1507$ed.895875@nntp2.onemain.com>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:947s0e$271$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> >
> > True story.
> >
> > Just after the no-code Tech license was authorized, I heard a QSO in
> > progress on the 146.85 machine in St Louis. An OT with a brand new NCT
> > license/call was getting reamed by a 2x2 named Curtis. It appeared that
> > the Tech had identified himself sayng "Oh" where the "Zero" should have
> > been. The 2x2 was calling the new ham a stupid idiot.
> >
> > The Tech explained that it was an "Oh" and not a zero because the
> > instructor in his Tech class said in ham radio the sero is ALWAYS
> > slashed. The sero on the license wasn't slashed.
> >
> > Then a few other hams tried to intercede and change the subject, but NO.
> > Curt just wouldn't let it go. It really got ugly and I was embarassed
> > for ham radio and felt sorry that the new ham had to get that kind of
> > greeting.
> >
> > Now Dick will come on here and say that the new Tech should already
> > know everything there is to know about ham radio and "should have known"
> > that it couldn't have been an "Oh." After all, he passed a test.
>
> Sorry to see my old machine -- I built ABE 4 -- sink to this level. That
> sort of BS is why we put it on in the first place. 'Youse vill march in
> schtrait lines und youse vill like it' was certainly the motto of some of
> the local machines; our's was 'if it don't get you in trouble with Sam, we
> don't care'.

Dick, believe it or not, this was the 1st and last time I heard Curtis
on the machine. I recall one ham telling him that the root word of his
name was "curteous."

Supposedly had a ham brother around.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7167881781360875227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10c761,eb7fa4a605c203f6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10c761,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 03:24:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!ptdnetP!newsgate.ptd.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Exham@nospam.com (ExHam)
Newsgroups: radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Message-ID: <3a697372.1288175@news.accessnv.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 06:33:31 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 11:19:02 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13535

On Tue, 16 Jan 2001 21:11:58 -0600, "Steve Woodruff"
<sjwoodr.nospam@nospam.email.com> wrote:

>"D. S. Platt" <jeepp@erols.com> wrote in message

>I have no problem paying a fee.... but i was suprised tonight to learn that
>i had to pay the $10 fee for EACH exam i took. I upgraded to general, and had
>intentions of attempting the extra class exam.... but when i found out it would cost me
>an additional $10 i figured i'd wait until next month when i could be
>reasonably sure that i'd pass with ease.

Correct me if I am wrong but isn't that illegal under the FCC rules?
Doesn't it say "regardless of the number of elements taken"? Maybe
you should have a talk with the VEC. Sounds like they owe you a
freebie.

Sec. 97.527 Reimbursement for expenses.

(b) The maximum amount of reimbursement from any one examinee for any one examination at a particular session regardless of the number of examination elements taken must not exceed that announced by the FCC in a Public Notice. (The basis for the maximum fee is $4.00 for 1984, adjusted annually each January 1 thereafter for changes in the Department of Labor Consumer Price Index.)

```
From -2921413950069102461
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 03:29:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-
sjo2.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Exham@nospam.com (ExHam)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Message-ID: <3a697521.1719157@news.accessnv.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<PA896.7050$Ep3.442734@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944avp$q7a$1@flood.xnet.com>
<94599b$qv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <947tth$elb$1@flood.xnet.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 06:40:12 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp  http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 11:25:42 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16027
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8365 rec.radio.amateur.misc:10981
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13536
```

On Thu, 18 Jan 2001 17:23:26 -0600, "Steve Woodruff"

<sjwoodr.nospam@nospam.email.com> wrote:

>I really don't have anything to complain about... i didn't pay the
>additional fee -- i just didn't take the extra class exam after I
>passed the general class one.

Yes you do have something to complain about. It's going to cost you another $10 to take the Extra exam at the next session when it should have been included in the last session. Whether you took it when you upgraded for general or later, they are still going to charge you an extra $10. I'd show them the FCC regulation (I posted it here in another reply to you) and ask for a freebie.

```
From 2409835366481750041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 03:59:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,r
ec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 06:53:48 -0500
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3A697C4C.943281BF@erols.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVZFNN2ewNIfNbpCSvvbF51wfFprkVEoOg7toaaR4feWzR0sCcKQduoi
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Jan 2001 11:58:58 GMT
X-Mailer:  Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD {RCN}  (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language:  en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13537
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16031 alt.radio.family:3474 rec.radio.cb:22694
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1892
```

Stewart,

The Netherlands implemented a license class very close to what you propose back about 1985 or so. A communicators license intended to bring the Citizens Band under control. About 5 frequencies were set aside in the two meter band for users. A ham license was granted with no code and only a simple theory test, about adequate to determine if the on light was on! People flocked to get in on the simple ham license. The government hoped if they were really interested they would upgrade, and if they weren't they could still take advantage of the thrill of communication and not bother the others. Holland requires evidence of a ham license before you can buy a ham radio,

The result? Almost no upgrades. Those who got into it stayed there.
IS that what you want for our kids?

73

Stewart R Teaze wrote:
>
> The rapidly-expanding Family Radio Service has exposed millions of young
> people to the world
> of radio communications.

From -6036623741627852595
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b15ec36cfdccab
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b15ec36cfdccab
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 06:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you've visited a Hiram, GA chiropractor
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 14:35:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <94c7n2$iar$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68F732.5473F3D4@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.250
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 14:35:14 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.250
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10994
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13538

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston.net> wrote in message
news:3A68F732.5473F3D4@houston.net...

Hey, gutless coward, you still molesting your grandkids????

> Top ten signs you've visited a Hiram, GA chiropractor
>
> 10. When you walk, you make a wacky accordion sound.
> 9. Keeps saying, "A spine is like a box of chocolates."
> 8. Repeatedly asks, "You a cop? You sure you ain't no cop?"
> 7. Over and over, you hear crunching sounds followed by "Uh-oh."
> 6. There's a two-drink minimum.
> 5. At end of session, lies down on the table and says, "My turn!"
> 4. He was trained by Roger Wiseman.

No, he'd be trained by Oris Grimm the 2nd...............

> 3. Rushes in late to your appointment, still wearing his Burger
King
> uniform.
> 2. Hints that for an extra $50, he'll "straighten" something else.
> 1. You're fully-clothed and he's naked.

Damn, oris.....

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5215104486101158955
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8d383a99c438afa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8d383a99c438afa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 06:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten most popular alchoholic beverages in Hiram, GA
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 14:37:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <94c7rm$ibk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68E87C.5CA1116A@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.250
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 14:37:42 2001 GMT

```
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.250
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10996
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13539

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston.net> wrote in message
news:3A68E87C.5CA1116A@houston.net...
> Top ten most popular alchoholic beverages in Hiram, GA
>
>    10. Really, Really, Really, Really Old Milwaukee
>    9.  Wisemann Scotch

Sorry, heroin addict, try harder..........
Your food stamps just don't let you buy your Thunderbird, do they,
Lenny?

>    8.  Amaretto Di Hiram
>    7.  Orville Redenbacher's Butter-flavored Vodka
>    6.  McBourbon
>    5.  Dinty Moore's Pork 'n' Booze
>    4.  Ernest, Julio, Tom and Roseanne Gallo
>    3.  Dr. Scholl's Medicated Tequila
>    2.  Seagrams 7, Braves 0
>    1.  Chivas Du Sterno

I'd advise you to keep me out of your pissing contest with W4AMP...
just a friendly warning....
--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -5843220369697717256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4baf76ced8187d8d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,4baf76ced8187d8d
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 06:50:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs your phone company is in Glendale, WV
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 14:43:25 GMT
```

```
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94c86c$imv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68F35F.119A8161@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.250
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 14:43:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.250
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10998
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13541

In article <3A68F35F.119A8161@houston.net>,
  Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
>
>
> Top ten signs <slap!>

Poor queer Tex AASS boy..........how's that AIDS coming along????
"Stagger Lee" here has quite a criminal record for his pedophilia.
Actually it's a much better company than yours in TEXASSS, which uses
used tin cans and a piece of string. My phone company is that newly
merged one of Bell Atlantic and GTE.........
Now run along and finish watching those old Sailor Moon tapes......




--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -3934558292980369652
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b838d80e9f3a809
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b838d80e9f3a809
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 06:50:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you're in a Hiram, GA hospital
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 14:45:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
```

Lines: 34
Message-ID: <94c89d$io0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68E9D7.6CDB4026@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.250
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 14:45:01 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.250
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10999
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13542

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston.net> wrote in message
news:3A68E9D7.6CDB4026@houston.net...
> Top ten signs you're in a Hiram, GA hospital
>
>   10. You go in for routine surgery, you come out with a tail.
>   9. You recognize your doctor as kid who was mopping the lobby when
>   you checked in.
>   8. Instead of sponge bath, they send in a St. Bernard to lick
you.
>   7. As you're going under, your surgeon says, "man, am I baked."
>   6. In operating room, you see Roger Wisemann scrubbing up.

Only one"n" in Wiseman, heroin addict!!!!!

>   5. Every couple of minutes you hear a bugle playing taps.
>   4. All the diplomas on the wall are signed by Sally Struthers.
>   3. You and your roommate have to take turns on the I.V.
>   2. Through fog of anesthesia, you hear surgeon shouting, "bring
the
>   damn scotch tape!"
>   1. Instead of "patient" they use the term "plaintiff."

Tell us why your old lady made you take all your SHITTY ham equipment
out to that unfinished cinder-block hut.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5047177162251880091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 06:50:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten problems being a Glendale, WV ham
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 14:41:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <94c820$ilk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68F56E.E46FF77B@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.250
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 14:41:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.250
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:10997
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13540

In article <3A68F56E.E46FF77B@houston.net>,
  Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
> Top ten problems being a Glendale, WV ham

Projecting your lifestyle onto others... Child molester?????
--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2340750512505552436
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 07:11:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<3A697C4C.943281BF@erols.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <RMha6.488$1x1.689447@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 09:05:32 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.153.216.141
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 980002993 206.153.216.141 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 10:03:13 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 10:03:13 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13543

"Bruce Muscolino" <w6toy@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3A697C4C.943281BF@erols.com...
>
> The result? Almost no upgrades. Those who got into it stayed there.
> IS that what you want for our kids?
>
> 73
>

That has been the history of codeless tickets everywhere.

From -6635289216628817245
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8d383a99c438afa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8d383a99c438afa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 07:12:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: RWZ <>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten most popular alchoholic beverages in Hiram, GA
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 09:11:50 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <ekaj6tsa5gllgv917k047lhts612vmbsop@4ax.com>
References: <3A68E87C.5CA1116A@houston.net> <94c7rm$ibk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.ee.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 20 Jan 2001 15:11:59 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11000 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13544

On Sat, 20 Jan 2001 14:37:42 GMT, KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>

wrote:

>I'd advise you to keep me out of your pissing contest with W4AMP...
>just a friendly warning....

You are REALLY scary. BOO! Asshole.

```
From -5949747187107742083
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 07:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 15:25:15 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <94cakm$kh9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-
fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.0.99.137
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 15:25:15 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 csordprx09.netsetter.com, 1.0 csordprx03.netsetter.com, 1.0
x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 64.0.99.137
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3475 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13545
```

In article <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >FCC rules discourage "hobby" activities on FRS.
>
>   They SPECIFICALLY discourage hobby activities on 11 meters too,
> but guess what...?!?!
>

Yes - this is the crux of my point in including the CB group in the original post. 11 meters is no place for newcomers to be learning.

QRM;

I do understand your concern about the vile nature of CB, and CB posts moving into FRS and the FRS newsgroup. I don't know if you have noticed, but FRS IS becoming a bit more like CB everyday, and, I think we can all agree, CB is obviously not the place for our kids to be learning about radio. The more serious ones need somewhere to go. I have been working on an update to my proposal with significant changes based on the comments I have received(most importantly, I will be using the traditional term "Novice Class" to describe the class), and I will only post it to the r.r.a.p., and a.r.f. newsgroups.

Also, QRM makes a good point about staying on subject. Criticism is good, but let's all of us try to keep things related directly to the subject, and be on our best behaviour(my "Sputnik" diversion, while interesting to some, and which I thought might be relevant, turned out not to be on subject). Most importantly, I would like to ask folks not to reply to CB-like trolls and baits.

Finally, some may ask if I am serious about this... Yes, I am. It is sometimes difficult for me to see my ideas get ripped to shreds before my eyes, but I've gotten better at understanding peoples concerns, and using them to make the proposal better.

--
------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2828424419232170026
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 07:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 15:34:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <94cb5b$kt3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.14.198
To: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 15:34:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.160.14.198
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13546
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16038 alt.radio.family:3477 rec.radio.cb:22716

In article <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> > Suddenly this proposal for the "Barbie Doll" Amateur Radio license
> > isn't quite so senseless.
>
> I've never tried to hide the fact that I'm trying to create a
> business. Quite the opposite - why would I list the web site on the
> signature to all my posts, if I was trying to hide something
>
> Hey, Hans, I wonder why you switched your approach to this slimly
> veiled "personal attack"? It couldn't be that I was right about the
> point regarding inductors and capacitors on the Novice test, could it?

Don't be paranoid! I didn't say you were hiding anything.
I didn't say it was wrong to have a business (lot's of people
have ham radio businesses). I just pointed out that I now have
a better insight into the reasons for your nonsensical proposal.
Doesn't make you a bad person.

73, de Hans, K0HB

PS: My old ARRL license manual has questions about capacitors and
inductors in the Novice section, so you weren't "right about the
point".

--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
  eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
  to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
  Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 9021271926316044097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 07:42:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 15:41:37 GMT
References: <949a92$6rk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Message-ID: <20010120104137.00714.00003152@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13547

In article <949a92$6rk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> writes:

>
>However, taken in the context of the Clint DeSoto thread where I
>highlighted the idea of an amateur radio brotherhood, he implied that
>hams talking to hams OVER THE INTERNET have no need to share in any ham
>brotherhood.
>
>Such an idea spouted from your mouth in years gone by. You appear to
>have a loyal following.

Brian:

As long as I continue to speak the truth, why shouldn't I have a loyal following? This is Usenet. A part of the Internet. It is not Amateur Radio in any way, shape, or form. In this and other amateur-radio related newsgroups, amateur radio is the major topic, but still, ham radio it's not. We are not communicating over-the-air on assigned amateur radio frequencies or operating under the authority of our FCC-granted amateur radio licenses. No such license is required to post here. To pick up the mic of my 2-meter rig, press the PTT button, and say "K3LT listening," I need a license. To post here, I don't. Get the idea now, Brian?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3718513036481962318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 07:42:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!

netnews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 15:41:39 GMT
References: <4%3a6.1011$e5.2508160@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010120104139.00714.00003155@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13549

In article <4%3a6.1011$e5.2508160@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>
>You may be right that most who learn the code will actually possess
>equipment to use it, if they stay active as hams, but I know many a
>code-tested ham who has no equipment at all, as well as a few who literally
>had the code-key burying cermony in their back yards, surrounded by all
>their friends. I also know a larger number of hams who passed a 13 wpm test,
>but would have difficulty getting past 5 wpm today.
>
>Your mileage may vary.

Ed:

The hams who have the "code-key burying cermony (sic) in their back yards" are a perfect example of the slack-jawed, drooling, knuckle-dragging ignorance of trailer-trash hams I've been talking about in this newsgripe for years! Those who learn the code well enough to somehow pass the tests, and never make a regular practice of using CW on-the-air are also very common (in every sense of the term). Nobody's mileage varies on that.

Being a proficient CW operator, and a regular CW user on-the-air, defines the higher-level amateur radio operator. WE are intellectually and morally superior, and have earned the right to hold our place as the elite among amateur radio society. WE have earned the right to our privilege and status; those who come after us are only mere pretenders to our magnificent level of enlightenment. Now, leave me alone -- I'm enjoying a fresh silver platter of peeled grapes!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6168341291096417 33
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 07:42:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 15:41:39 GMT
References: <20010117075455.05313.00001012@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010120104139.00714.00003154@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13548

In article <20010117075455.05313.00001012@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>And I know a ham who took over a year of some pretty serious studying, using
>a
>number of different code tapes, and lots of advice from lots of experience
>hams, to pass the code est by the skin of her teeth. She is quite
>intellegent and articulate.

Ed:

That doesn't mean she isn't lazy. What is she doing with the code NOW? Is she using CW on-the-air? Is she advancing her proficiency in the mode? Or is she barking into a microphone?

If it took an "intelligent and articulate" YL over a year to pass a 5 WPM code test by the skin of her teeth, with lots of Elmering and advice from experienced hams, my guess is that she never tried using it on-the-air. Has she pumped a single dit through her dipole? I'm willing to bet she hasn't. Learning the code just as a means to an end, i.e. attaining voice privileges, will always be a burden, but that's how it is perceived. Learning the code in order to become a proficient CW operator is no burden at all.

>All it shows is that we are all different. By the rule that some have
>offered that the code test should measure effort, she should be given
>an Extra just for working so hard. :-)

I'm sure that she shares your politically correct, Welfare-State point of view. I ask you again -- is she using CW on-the-air? Let us in on the truth, Ed.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1404716806586273480
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 07:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 57
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 15:41:38 GMT
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Message-ID: <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13550

In article <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>
>> In such a situation, an armed response by law-abiding citizens would
>> definitely be in order....
>
>You gotta be nuts, Larry.

Jim:

Do me a favor? As your punishment for deliberately truncating my quote, in order to mask its complete meaning, make a nice, tight fist, and slam it into your mouth at high velocity. Continue to do so until at least three teeth are completely dislodged.

Here's what I really said:

"In such a situation, an armed response by law-abiding citizens would definitely be in order to prevent the armed environmentalists, convicted felons, abortion rights activists, and Ku Klux Klansmen from *actually* shooting our elected Congressmen and Senators."

>> What causes are you willing to fight and die for, Jim? Are you willing
>> to die for baby killers, tree-huggers, and violent racists?
>
>The guys in the Revolutionary War, and World Wars I and II did. So if I
>was in the military, I guess I would have to also.

Don't look now, Jim, but nobody who ever fought in any U.S. war as a U.S. serviceperson ever died for baby killers, tree huggers, or violent racists. They died for the cause of freedom, not what people do with their freedom. The fact that a certain segment of our society misuses their freedom to create a sick society doesn't diminish the sacrifice of those who fought to ensure that our freedom continued to exist.

>> (I consider myself to be an "environmentalist" also),
>
>I meant to write eco-terrorists. You aren't one of them too, are you?

Nope. I'm not any kind of terrorist. I abhor terrorism. I am, if anything, an "anti-terrorist."

>> the people you
>> mention above are just amoral, self-serving criminals.
>
>Right. So as long as it's a group you happen to agree with, it's
>constitutional for them to start an armed rebellion. That's what I
>thought.

Jim, there is such a thing as right and wrong, and I'm intelligent enough to be able to make that distinction. If you are not, then I can understand the problem you're having in understanding my post.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1228323823798751819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 07:43:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A69B1FC.204611DF@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <20010120014523.22779.00000700@nso-fa.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 165
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 10:42:52 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.37
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980005353 206.20.144.37 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 09:42:33 CDT)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 09:42:33 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13551

Len Over 21 wrote:

>
> >There was no lack of young people then, I was one. Kids flooded into the
> >hobby.
>
> So was I, old-timer. :-)   But...back then, to "kids" (and quite a few
> grown-ups) circa 1950s, radio was a sort of black magic with
> wires, solder, and things that glowed in the dark.

>
True enough.
>

> In 1951 an ARC-5 receiver in the domestic shipping carton was
> $6 at W9ERU's H&H Electronics in Rockford, Illinois. An ARC-
> 5 transmitter was $12

>
Yup, got mine for $10 on surplus row.
>

> and the antenna coupler and modulator
> was $18.

>
Hams usually didn't bother with those.
>

> Surplus BC-348 receivers were terribly expensive at
> around $49

>
I wouldn't touch one of those with a ten foot pole and neither did any other
serious HF ops.
>

> >Just about all large high schools had school-supported ham clubs and club
> >stations.
>
> Maybe in your area, but not in mine, a city of about 80
> thousand back then.

>
Yeah, in my area. Last time I lived in a city I was three years old, poof, outta
there. I went to a high school in a township which had around 450,00 citizens
back then, there were 450 +/- of us in the graduating class of '55 which included
6-8 hams. Which school is hard by the City of Philadelphia where the numerous
high schools both public and parochial were bigger and they were *all* on the

bands.
>

> >The 80 & 40m Novice bands crawled with other kids.
>
> Really? My experience was a lot of WW2 vets were doing
> the crawling.

>
The norm was to swap and log ages as Novices and very few were 30 or over. Most of the bubble of WWII vets who got into ham radio as a result of their wartime experiences already had their ham tickets by '53 when I got on the air, certainly by '58 which was 13 years after WWII ended.
>

> > A kid could
> >actually understand the circuitry and get a soldering iron into the radios.
>
> 100 Watt American Beauty irons. Yeah, sure... :-)

>
Wellers were already out there, I had two of 'em.
>

>
> No problem, there were very few antenna towers. :-)

>
At least 75% of the guys in my club had towers and several had multiple towers. Albeit only one of the student-age members had a tower.
>

>
> > If you were a kid interested in electronics the customary
> >gateway to an EE was a ham ticket.
>
> Oh? Of five in my high school class of 1951 that became
> EEs, none of them had ham tickets then. I'll check up on
> that in August when my class has its 50th reunion... :-)

>
Do that. At least three of the former Novices in my high school class went on to become EEs and two of us went into other engineering disciplines. One of the electron jugglers went on to become a GE VP. No doubt non-hams also became EEs but not out of that class.
>

> >Life in general was also very different from today. The overall pace of life
> >was slower and simpler, people had more spare time.
>
> Nonsense. People back then were saying the same thing
> about those of 50 years past.

>
Yes, because that's the way it was. How many daycare centers were there in 1958?

>

> >Ya didn't mess with girls until you were 16-17.

> More nonsense. :-)

>
Not in my neighborhood you didn't.
>

> >There were no home computers. There were ham
> >stores in every populated area.
>
> I can drive 2 miles to an HRO outlet in Burbank, CA. It's in a
> mini-mall across Buena Vista from another mini-mall. :-)

>
HRO is not the kind of ham store we had, all of 'em were owner-operated, big differernce. We have one left which a true rarity.
>

>Let's look at the state of the art of radio for amateurs in 1958.

>
> Almost everything was HF since VHF and above was the
> Twilight Zone inhabited only by gurus and wizards. :-)

>
It wasn't that tough to get an SCR-522 up and running but not many cared about VHF. VHF didn't get rolling until the repeaters came along.
>

>
> One peaked the PA grid, dipped the PA plate and the PA
> output was a pi-network for an under-150 Watt rig. Want
> to have a multi-band HF transmitter? Good luck.

>
Wrong. The Harvey-Wells bandswitched 160m thru 2m inclusive (might have been 80-2m). Plus the Valiant I & II, the Collins 32V series, The WRLs, B&W, many others.
>

>
> Anyone who wants to go back to 1958 is nuts!

>
Of course, but that's not my point. My point is that in many instances the hams who came out of the 50s & 60s have very different views and values than do those who came in during the 80s-90s. Much of the "activity" in rrap is based on those

differences. No biggie.
>

>
> didit

>
w3rv

From 1771566866340981045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 07:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 15:37:27 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <94cbbn$kvf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com> <949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org> <3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94aaga$ese$1@iac5.navix.net> <94aesc$968$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94akj6$e1i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.14.198
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 15:37:27 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.160.14.198
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13552

In article <94akj6$e1i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

>   Noble effort, Don Quixote.

Can we limit the discussion to the idea, and not degrade this to a discussion of personalities?

Thanks.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two

eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8718945575311004464
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 07:50:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 15:43:12 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <94cbmg$l9e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ak6u$drg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ama3$ffc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.14.198
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 15:43:12 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.160.14.198
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13553
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16043

In article <94ama3$ffc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> If ARS is to "compete" with MURS, we are going to have to come up with
> something new.

Amateur Radio is not intended as competition to MURS, FRS, GMRS, CB,
MARS, or any other radio service. And creating a new "Barbie Doll
Radio Service" distinctly segregated from mainstream Amateur Radio
will ultimately be damaging to Amateur Radio.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 894729051359202418
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 08:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 15:56:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <94cceh$loe$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <941t2a$s62$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942lji$jll$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <942qh9$nus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <943otq$g55$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944612$pbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <948at8$et1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94bccf$96j$1@208.206.142.99>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.69
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 15:56:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.69
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13554

In article <94bccf$96j$1@208.206.142.99>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Jerk, Burke.... That has REAL ring to it.

Dick, Dick....

```
Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -6054847089344711022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 08:10:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 10:00:16 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A69B610.360924CB@IEEE.org>
References: <20010117075455.05313.00001012@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010120104139.00714.00003154@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.b1
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 20 Jan 2001 16:09:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13555

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Learning the code in order to become a proficient
> CW operator is no burden at all.

It certainly is a burden for people who don't like CW.
What is wrong with live and let live? Why must everyone
become proficient in your personal favorite mode?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -5654053042450147343
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 08:13:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 10:03:16 -0600
```

Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A69B6C4.66746EF6@IEEE.org>
References: <4%3a6.1011$e5.2508160@news.ntplx.net>
<20010120104139.00714.00003155@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.b1
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 20 Jan 2001 16:11:47 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13556

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Being a proficient CW operator, and a regular CW user on-the-air,
> defines the higher-level amateur radio operator. WE are
> intellectually and morally superior, and have earned the right to
> hold our place as the elite among amateur radio society. WE have
> earned the right to our privilege and status;

How do you maintain privilege and status without worshipers?
Self-worship?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -6895665136512618836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 08:27:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com> <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-
fk.aol.com> <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 87
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <sZia6.3281$AN.591979@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 10:26:27 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.153.216.141
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 980007896 206.153.216.141 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 11:24:56
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 11:24:56 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13557

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com...
>
>
> > All of California's budget surplus just disappeared...got trans-
> > ported to a bunch of overcharging electric power suppliers.
> >
> > Legalized greed is my term for it.   :-(
>
> >
> All they had to do was not go for the quick buck and think ahead, they
did not do
> that. They are dead meat now and they done it to themselves.
> >
> w3rv

Aren't you over-simplifying a bit, Brian? You are dealing with public utilities six inches from bankruptcy so you are not all that sure of being paid at all. A lot of these are natural gas turbine plants (a lot of them surplus jet engines on an alternator) and you have seen the price of natural gas lately on the spot market. Under the circumstances no sane supplier would get anything less than top dollar when he may very well have to take 20 cents on the buck five years from now. Orange County welshed on its debt so sovereign California is a crummy risk let alone one of their utilities.

There has been a lot of babble about 'long-term contracts' so maybe someone will learn a bit about the running of a power company. You have to plan for base load (the minimum draw on the system), seasonal loads (like winter or summer), and peak loads (when the coffee pots and electric water heaters come on in the morning) plus planning for intended or unintended maintenance.

For base loads, nothing beats the nuke. Burning coal or oil will actually raise the background radiation more than the equivalent hot rock and has a lot more total environmental damage. Being somewhat more variable, coal plants are ideal for seasonal loads. Union Electric in St. Louis takes advantage of a characteristic of coal plants -- that it takes almost no added fuel to run between about 75 and 90 percent -- to pump water up Taum Sauk mountain at nite and let it run hydro during the day. Peaking is by necessity a low-capital, high running-cost operation at one time served by firing up old plants that were not cost-effective any other time; environmental regs brought this to a grinding halt hence the jet engines.

Obviously, maintenance considerations enter the picture and California complicates the issue with annual pollution maximums. So one interconnects both for emergencies and cost considerations; if the Bureau of Reclamation has some extra hydro available why burn coal? You do have to take down the nuke for fueling and boiler tubes and flues do on occasion have to have the crud removed. One does not put all the eggs in one basket; we leave that to beancounters and politicians.

So this puts some problems in the hands of those designing and operating the connecting grid. When you buy power you in effect have a generation station at the border; when you sell, you have a major load at the border. This gets complicated by Esmerelda Q. Fartblossom bellowing, "No matter what anyone says, transmission lines cause leukemia and besides they are OOOOOOGLY" It is notable that the north-south transfer capabilities of the California grid are severely limited, in effect giving the state two systems.

While micropower installations are probably a good idea, presenting in general only the risk of electrocuting your linemen from an unknown source, such items as windmills and solar with their attendant problem of non-cooperation by the weather present some interesting network design problems as well.

Separating the grid from the generation facilities was basically dumb but handleable if not in the hands of politicians. It just collapsed sooner than later.

It is apparent that neither California system is meeting even its base load locally. Base load plants require tremendous capital which in turn requires a stable planning horizon and some form of guarantee of a return -- the dark side of regulation. As with most utilities, what you are really paying for is the bondholders. As a result, you usually get ossified management.

It is not a job to be run by committee or public hearing although I guess one must maintain the forms and illusion of democracy. In a state which conducts public hearings on the social impact of a new area code, the future does not look bright.

Dick McCollum N�BK

```
From 1594556011631413958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 08:46:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!
newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
```

```
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: 20 Jan 2001 16:46:49 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94cfdp$mbq@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94aq1g$ilk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.186.230
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13558
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16053 alt.radio.family:3478 rec.radio.cb:22723

No, I meant "dribble" that is what morons start to do when they talk
excitedly. Go learn some more.
Opposition? Hardly;  go play in the mall with your FRS radios and stop
taking up space in this group with your nonsense. Cross posting can go both
ways.


"LRod" <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94aq1g$ilk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net>,
>   "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote:
>
> > Another group of geniuses cross posting this dribble in the CB group.
>
> Doncha just love it when some "genius" badmouths the (learned)
> opposition, and then uses "dribble" for drivel...in the same sentence?
>
> LRod
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From -6757918803489101603
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8d383a99c438afa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8d383a99c438afa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 08:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
```

newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten most popular alchoholic beverages in Hiram, GA
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 16:42:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <94cf4r$nt5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68E87C.5CA1116A@houston.net> <94c7rm$ibk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<ekaj6tsa5gllgv917k047lhts612vmbsop@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.38
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 16:42:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.38
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11011
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13559

From: RumprangerWankingZero <>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten most popular alchoholic beverages in Hiram, GA
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 09:11:50 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <ekaj6tsa5gllgv917k047lhts612vmbsop@4ax.com>
References: <3A68E87C.5CA1116A@houston.net>
<94c7rm$ibk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.ee.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 20 Jan 2001 15:11:59 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548

NO BALLS <RWZ> whined in message
news:ekaj6tsa5gllgv917k047lhts612vmbsop@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 20 Jan 2001 14:37:42 GMT, KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
> wrote:
>
>
> >I'd advise you to keep me out of your pissing contest with W4AMP...
> >just a friendly warning....
>
> You are REALLY scary.

Now go change your diaper, colostomy boy. That's what you have to do on
a hourly basis from what I've heard. Of course, it wouldn't have
happened if your drunken, alcoholic daddy didn't use you as his bitch
for years.

>BOO!

Even though you never have to really dress up for Halloween, it's still way too early for it.
You're so stupid it's a wonder you can remember to breathe. A half-wit gave you a piece of his mind, and you held on to it, isn't that true, PISSFLAP?
Now, why don't you two attack W4NTI, he made a "non-agression" pact with W4AMP as well?
Or are you just a couple of hypocrites?

What did you e-mail me that you liked to ream with your tongue??
> Asshole.

Yeah, that's what it was.....
Hey, I heard you went to the butcher and asked for 10 cents worth of dog meat and he asked you if you wanted it wrapped or if you would eat it on the spot.

Fuckhead.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2201864357418448753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 09:20:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 17:09:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <94cgnm$ovl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94cakm$kh9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.195.198
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 17:09:14 2001 GMT

```
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 152.163.195.198
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3479 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13560

In article <94cakm$kh9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> Finally, some may ask if I am serious about this...  Yes, I am.  It is
> sometimes difficult for me to see my ideas get ripped to shreds before
> my eyes,........(snip)

     Perhaps if you thought them out a bit more.  This is/was a WAY
BIG "been there/done that".  You reworded it a bit, but it was the same
thing you submitted last year, and it's still just as untenable today.

> but I've gotten better at understanding peoples concerns, and
> using them to make the proposal better.

     Keep any proposal simple, mainstream and appropriate.  A handful
of segregated channels at UHF is NOT the way to go.

73

Steve, K4YZ
--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 2685247323676879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 09:50:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A69CFAB.DE834B8@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <3A677CBF.762F0C9C@some.net>
<3A67ACFC.D69389FA@dvol.com> <94bc2b$96j$0@208.206.142.99>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 12:49:31 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.37
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980012959 206.20.144.37 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 11:49:19
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 11:49:19 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11014
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13561
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Why don't they make some effort to do more with geothermal energy? Ca.
> is loaded with the stuff and there's no natural gas bills involved. Too
> simple a solution?
>
> I know there is some geothermal installations in northern CA but looks
> like it would be -or would have been- an easy out for them all along...
> Guess that's too much to ask from politics, 'specially on the Left
> Coast. No "vested interests" in geothermal as in oil and gas.

>

Good point. There's a lot to be said and a lot yet to be learned about geo-thermal energy. Until very recently I was working on a project in a building which was originally built as a commercial aircraft hanger. The next building over is also a hanger converted to industrial use. The owner of that building had a geo-thermal firm come in and punch twenty-some thermal wells in the front lawn, install a complete heating/AC system, etc. Lotta bucks to get it running but the owner expects to get heat & A/C energy savings somewhere in the 75% range. Struck me as amazing, I had no idea it was possible. This is not SoCal, our lows go to sub-zeros and the highs can hit over 100F with electricity somewhere in the 13 cents per kwh. range. That guy is gonna save tens of thousands of dollars per year, he'll get his investment back very quickly.

>

Yeah, a lot more needs to be done with geo-thermal energy in all it's forms.

>

A week or so ago it was announced that the first investor-owned wind power plant came online, 200 monster high-efficiency windmills somewhere in the Northwest.

>

>
> Dick

>

w3rv

```
From 3612239647718671781
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 10:12:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A69D502.A89C77F2@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <94bgl2$lot$0@208.206.142.99>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 13:12:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.59
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980014322 206.20.144.59 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 12:12:02
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 12:12:02 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13562 rec.radio.cb:22731
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11017
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> Entry into ham radio today is for the middle aged to the retired, for
> those who "always wanted to but never had the time or extra money, job
> and family responsibilities kept me out", etc, etc. Kids have no clue
> nor any interest.

Seems like. The question is whether that's a no-counter or big trouble down the road.

> In the eight years I worked as a VE we handled almost no kids, nor
> anyone under age 30. There were a few females, but very darn few. Most
> of the crowd were aged 35 and up, with the oldest at age 80.
>
> My VE time ended over 7 years ago and I don't think it has done
> anything but get worse since then. At that time we had no Internet
> access in this rural region. The arrival of that passtime pretty well
> cinched it.

>

I'm absolutely convinced that the Internet is the worst thing that ever hit ham radio. Beyond that I don't think for one minute that a "solution" is to introduce Internet-like capabilities into ham radio, they're two entirely different animals with the only commonality between the two being competition for what people chose to do with their leisure time.

>

We should get a better picture of what's really up over the next few years as the licensing stats stabilize.

>

w3rv

From -6998368172694947300
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 10:20:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: kc8jbo_the_idiot@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: KC8JBO, start PRAYING!!!!
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 18:13:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <94ckfk$s40$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68F56E.E46FF77B@houston.net> <94c820$ilk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.180.6.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 18:13:14 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (WinNT; U ;Nav)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.180.6.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkc8jbo_the_idiot
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11021 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13563

Get ready, MONKEY BOY.........You have a BIG suprise coming. Here is a little HINT for you as to what it is:

*** GET READY TO JOIN YOUR FATHER ***

'Da BULL

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -464790244411100513
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 10:32:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: kc8jbo_mommy@yahoo.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Last posting to KC8JBO
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 18:22:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <94cl05$sht$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68F56E.E46FF77B@houston.net> <94c820$ilk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.180.6.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 18:22:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (WinNT; U ;Nav)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.180.6.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkc8jbo_the_idiot
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11022
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13564
```

This WILL be my LAST posting to KC8JBO.....He will SEE me next time we "talk" at his SICK MOTHERS home.

Get ready to be SENT to HELL to meet your SOB father,

The No Gun Ri TWINS

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 8390272424947467690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 10:34:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <3A677CBF.762F0C9C@some.net> <3A67ACFC.D69389FA@dvol.com> <94bc2b$96j$0@208.206.142.99> <3A69CFAB.DE834B8@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <sQka6.3319$AN.622105@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 12:33:04 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.144
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 980015512 206.29.242.144 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 13:31:52 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 13:31:52 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11024 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13565

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message news:3A69CFAB.DE834B8@dvol.com...

> >
> A week or so ago it was announced that the first investor-owned wind power plant
> came online, 200 monster high-efficiency windmills somewhere in the Northwest.
> >
> w3rv

Enron has been running one in Iowa for years but is limited by Iowa P&Ls grid. It is on their website.

Look at the companies they got to do the designs of everything from the propellors to the gearboxes to the towers. Reads like a Who's Who of engineering couth.

Dick N�BK

From -5938441548806095926

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 10:40:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 18:40:24 GMT
References: <20010120104139.00714.00003154@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010120134024.22806.00000184@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13566

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I'm sure that she shares your politically correct, Welfare-State point
>of view. I ask you again -- is she using CW on-the-air? Let us in on
>the truth, Ed.

No, she is not. If you think that someone who found code that difficult would find it enjoyable you are not as intelligent as you tell us all you are.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7530611530626635562
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 10:43:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 18:42:09 GMT
References: <20010119032809.02315.00002701@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010120134209.22806.00000186@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13567

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I see. That way, you get to dumb-down *both* the code and theory
>tests with one stroke! Makes perfect sense, from the point-of-view
>of an ARRL HQ employee, who is worried sick about keeping his
>job and is desperate to do ANYTHING to get a few more people
>interested in getting a ham ticket and perhaps joining the League!
>Desperate times call for desperate measures, I guess.

Keep 'em coming, Larry; you amuse me. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -5190857959648469743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 10:46:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 18:45:34 GMT
References: <20010120104139.00714.00003155@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010120134534.22806.00000187@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13568
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Being a proficient CW operator, and a regular CW user on-the-air,
>defines the higher-level amateur radio operator.

It is one way to do that, Larry. I am starting to realize that you lack the vision to see that it is only one of many ways.

>WE have earned the right to our privilege and status; those who come
>after us are only mere pretenders to our magnificent level of
>enlightenment.

I am also starting to realize that you are pulling our chains, Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7061546026747400502
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 10:56:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 18:55:43 GMT
References: <948bcn$ifg$0@208.207.71.174>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010120135543.22806.00000190@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13569

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>See? All the protestations from myself and others have had an impact.

:-) Of course, Dick; they changed things because you got on rrap and "protested" a lot.

>You gotta wonder if there would have been any improvement in some of the
>questions had we not sounded off so much about them.

Some of us don't have to wonder...

> Whoever changes the questions must have been paying *some* attention.

They did if you sent them your input. If you did not, all of your protestations here were a tempest in a teapot.

>I'llhave to sign into the HQ website and check out the current question pool.

Have you really been "protesting" and insulting people without having looked at the pools?

> They would be hard to answer without knowing the material.

I think that the questions I discussed on another thread about meters vs frequency are another good example. I bet the guy was relieved to know he could answer all those questions by memorizing 300/F instead of rote, eh?

There are some questions in the pools that I think are too easily memorized without understanding, but, IMHO, they are a minority.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -5868167910500241881
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:02:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 19:01:06 GMT
References: <948qsm$jj8$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Message-ID: <20010120140106.22806.00000193@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13570
```

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>I should know better than to communicate with someone that is my inferior...

Now that looks like a bona fide attempt to capture someone's goat...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1500906838365134225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:04:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!news-
out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 19:04:38 GMT
References: <948fd0$rqj$0@208.206.142.78>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010120140438.22806.00000194@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13571
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>So without an effective test what assures that a licenesee ever did
>anything at all???

We need an effective test, to determine that the applicant has the qualifications to operate within the rules and to meet the regulatory goals of the Amateur Radio Service. I believe that the testing we have to day does that at least as well as the testing that got me into ham radio.

As to determining what a licencee did, how about we, well, determine what he or she actually did? The accomplishments of hams after they get their licenses form the reasons for the very existance of the Amateur Radio Service. If all hams did was pass Extra tests, of ANY era, there would not be sufficient justification for our use of the spectrum.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8503051971252385409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:07:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 19:06:44 GMT
References: <a08f6t8i07a3qkerqfocd7r8o5depo54c2@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010120140644.22806.00000196@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13572

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>Well then, that's certainly a good thing. Passing a ham test doesn't
>show much about what hams know about radio and electronics...   :-)

It is all relative, isn't it? Do you believe that passing the entry-level test of today -- the Technician exam, demonstrates more or less electronics and radio knowledge than passing the entry-level test of 1963? To help compare, I posted the 1963 Novice study guide in another post; I will send it to you if you missed it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -6882480233132705661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:08:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 19:08:47 GMT
References: <tg9i6tcctbenm6ov0ptcd18be6dlmamfjk@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010120140847.22806.00000197@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13573

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>>? ARRL phonetics ?

>On page 532 of my 1954 ARRL handbook

Okay.  I was worried that I may have missed something.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
```

```
From -351901860560163807
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
137.192.100.17.MISMATCH!upp1.onvoy!onvoy.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 18:55:36 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <94cmv5$u2h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 199.174.139.184
```

```
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 18:55:36 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 199.174.139.184
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13574
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11025

In article <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net>,
  stewartx@sccoast.net wrote:

>   Sadly, I wish I could say that these things will improve after
Clinton
> leaves office.  But, as long as liberals continue to control so much
of
> American life, these things are simply not likely to improve.
>
>   Like Clinton did, Bush also has an opportunity to influence each of
> these things, but he has not shown any indication so far that he
intends
> to challenge the liberal stranglehold on this country.
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>
Very well put and accurate.  I just heard the former President tell
everyone how much good they had done.  And that just because they were
no longer in political power, that was not a reason to stop doing what
they did for the last 8 years. Hmmmmmm, where's Monica?

I am holding out hope that after the American public saw the demoncrats
in action in Florida, they will put an end to this feel good crap once
and for all.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -1585606148709542269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!newsfeed.axxsys.net!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 18:58:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <94cn4m$u48$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>
<94a6rb$n7r$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 199.174.139.184
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 18:58:31 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 199.174.139.184
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13575

In article <94a6rb$n7r$1@news.netmar.com>,
vk4lld@netinc.au wrote:
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> >   There has been much talk about the Clinton legacy. As William J.
> > Clinton leaves office, lets take a look at a few things around the
> > country to discover the real Clinton legacy.
>
> ///// C L I P /////
>
> Please take your American politics to alt.bloody.sleazy.washington
newsgroup.
> This one is an international forum about amateur radio and not about
> second-rate governments in north america.
>
> In other words, bugger off mate.
>
> Graeme
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
Web -----
>   http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to
60,000+ groups
>   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other
posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net
>
Hey Aussie....I got a better idea, go stand out on the street corner
and tell the guy fixing to shoot you to go turn in his weapon.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com

```
http://www.deja.com/


From -4127828699040742673
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:10:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 19:01:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <94cna3$ue7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 199.174.139.184
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 19:01:26 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 199.174.139.184
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13576
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11027

In article <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>,
  Darrell.Earnshaw@Sun.com wrote:
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> >   There has been much talk about the Clinton legacy.  As William J.
> > Clinton leaves office, lets take a look at a few things around the
> > country to discover the real Clinton legacy.
> >
> > Politics & Race Relations...
> [...snip...]
>
> >
> > Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>
> Dwight,
>
> Of course you are entitled to your own interpretations and views.
> However, this newsgroup is about amateur radio, not politics, relgion,
> or other unrelated issues, and it is an inappropriate forum for
personal
> soapboxes on issues unrelated to amateur radio. I also suspect that
```

most
> subscribers to the NG probably couldn't care less about US politics or
> domestic issues -- newsgroups are not just for domestic US
consumption -
> they're international. I'm sure there's a
> "US.politics.domestic.anti-liberals" or something newsgroup somewhere
> out there which is more appropriate.
>
> No disrespect intended.
>
> 73, Darrell
> nr3y.
>
Amateur radio operators are thinking individuals. (for the most part)
Amateur radio operators think of other things, besides "rig here is".
(for the most part)

I have no problem of discussing things that effect our lives in the
context of a 'radio group'. In fact it gives us the thing that keeps
it all reasonably civil. And whats that you ask? A interest in radio.

Just my opinion, no disrespect intended.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2849500840169907419
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:14:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 19:13:21 GMT
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13577

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>I was getting practice on the air before my Novice came... :-)

Quite permissible under the rules as a third-party participant under a control operator.

>>The "gaff" could have been avoided if everyone had decided that it wasn't a
>big
>>enough deal to be labeled a "gaff" in the first place.

>A gaff is simply a social error.

Okay, let me reword it.

>>The "gaff" could have been avoided if everyone had decided that it wasn't a
>big
>>enough deal to warrant giving the new ham a public tongue lashing.

Does saying "personal" instead of "name" or "handle" really need to be a "social error" in the amateur community?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4591193216463167388
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:14:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A69E370.6624479A@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <3A677CBF.762F0C9C@some.net> <3A67ACFC.D69389FA@dvol.com> <94bc2b$96j$0@208.206.142.99> <3A69CFAB.DE834B8@dvol.com> <sQka6.3319$AN.622105@nntp1.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 43
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 14:13:52 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.64
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980018017 206.20.144.64 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 13:13:37 CDT)

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 13:13:37 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11028
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13578



Richard McCollum wrote:

> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:3A69CFAB.DE834B8@dvol.com...
> > >
> > A week or so ago it was announced that the first investor-owned wind
> power plant
> > came online, 200 monster high-efficiency windmills somewhere in the
> Northwest.
> > >
> > w3rv
>
> Enron has been running one in Iowa for years but is limited by Iowa P&Ls
> grid.  It is on their website.

>
Good, I'll run it down.
>

>
> Look at the companies they got to do the designs of everything from the
> propellors to the gearboxes to the towers.  Reads like a Who's Who of
> engineering couth.

>
I'm completely out of touch with that whole topic area, shame on me, I gotta
get up to speed. I've kinda had a jaundiced view of it because of some
business encounters with out-to-lunch basement inventor types who were
diddling with it. If the big guns are into it the scene has changed
dramatically.
>
Been a little over two hours since Junior took over, doesn't look like the
sky has fallen yet.
>

> Dick N�BK

>
w3rv




From -3140563763909249084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:16:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 19:15:43 GMT
References: <fi9i6tgeon90m38apituem9l60ermncqun@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010120141543.22806.00000201@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13579

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>>if you participate in an open forum, there are always one or two who
>>feel that the best thing that they could do for you is to publically  and
>>soundly berate you...

>And there are also those who fail to learn the practices and
>procedures of a specialized group before entering the frey, and
>consequently make fools of themselves.

>>If you think their behaviour is inappropriate...

>I think both types of behaviors are inappropriate and avoidable...

Equally so?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 7906125999937947381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:19:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 19:19:51 GMT
References: <3A6706D5.3AF1E6DD@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
```

```
Message-ID: <20010120141951.22806.00000203@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13580

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>The brush was not intended to be broad at all, Ed. I didn't mean to
>imply "all other PCTA folk". Just a handful of individuals. I probably
>should have said, "and a few other PCTA folk". That was my meaning.

Thanks for the clarification, Cecil; I think we just averted another flamewar.
:-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -7737742693545384447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 19:05:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94cnh3$uhu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>
<94a6rb$n7r$1@news.netmar.com> <94b275$334$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 199.174.139.184
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 19:05:11 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 199.174.139.184
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13581

In article <94b275$334$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
  "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Any loser named Graeme needs to check if his anal virginity is still
intact.
> As far as second rate governments, we all need to look at the gun
grabbers
> in Australia and the sissies who submit to them. So bugger off,
Aussie loser
> <vk4lld@netinc.au> wrote in message

Yeah I agree.  I used to respect the Aussies.  They sure were butt
kickers in Nam.  Now I wonder if they could fight off their old ladies.
```

With all that open space in VK land, I wonder if all those wild animals
and 'local populations' will honor the gun ban?

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6062918371844136510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:26:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <3A677CBF.762F0C9C@some.net>
<3A67ACFC.D69389FA@dvol.com> <94bc2b$96j$0@208.206.142.99>
<3A69CFAB.DE834B8@dvol.com> <sQka6.3319$AN.622105@nntp1.onemain.com>
<3A69E370.6624479A@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 57
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <7Bla6.3332$AN.637718@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 13:25:20 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.228
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 980018627 208.154.158.228 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 14:23:47 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 14:23:47 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11030 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13582

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3A69E370.6624479A@dvol.com...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:

>
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:3A69CFAB.DE834B8@dvol.com...
> > > >
> > > A week or so ago it was announced that the first investor-owned wind
> > power plant
> > > came online, 200 monster high-efficiency windmills somewhere in the
> > Northwest.
> > > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > Enron has been running one in Iowa for years but is limited by Iowa
P&Ls
> > grid. It is on their website.
>
> >
> Good, I'll run it down.
> >
>
> >
> > Look at the companies they got to do the designs of everything from
the
> > propellors to the gearboxes to the towers. Reads like a Who's Who of
> > engineering couth.
>
> >
> I'm completely out of touch with that whole topic area, shame on me, I
gotta
> get up to speed. I've kinda had a jaundiced view of it because of some
> business encounters with out-to-lunch basement inventor types who were
> diddling with it. If the big guns are into it the scene has changed
> dramatically.
> >
> Been a little over two hours since Junior took over, doesn't look like
the
> sky has fallen yet.
> >
>
> > Dick N�BK
>
> >
> w3rv

He hasn't delegated the authority for the collapse yet :-)

just look at Enron.com and select Enron Wind. Incidentally, they run a
1.6 MW plant at Tehatchapi CA.

Dick

From 5306736047881784220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:29:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 19:28:46 GMT
References: <bm9i6tgphu40q24nle3mg93smhejm2itb4@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <20010120142846.14777.00000814@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13584

In article <bm9i6tgphu40q24nle3mg93smhejm2itb4@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>You cannot escape the coexistance of the amateur radio
>>service with dozens of other radio services...
>
>I am not trying to escape the totality of radio. My posts here are
>mostly are about *amateur radio* because this is an *amateur radio
>news group* and because *amateur radio* is my hobby interest. If I
>wanted to hear about broadcast radio, CB radio, SWLing or other radio
>subjects I would specifically visit those particular groups...

My apologies. I've been under the mistaken notion that this
particular newsgroup discussed POLICY in regards to amateur
radio and amateur radio regulations.

If it was concerned with POLICY then I expected some
consideration with the coexistance of amateur radio with
other radio services.

Maybe there will be a scientific breakthrough soon where
there will be separate EM spectra just for the different
radio services...

>
>But...goodness knows we do stray from the subject, so feel free to
>post on the totality of radio. I certainly have no objections. Just
>don't expect all of us to do so... :-)

Something other than Guns and Gunnery, Politics, Morals
and Ethics in Modern Society (and resultant decay of

same) and other "radio" subjects? OK, fine by me...

didit

From -2305598572136247691
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:29:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 19:28:47 GMT
References: <94bc2b$96j$0@208.206.142.99>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010120142847.14777.00000815@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13583

In article <94bc2b$96j$0@208.206.142.99>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

> Why don't they make some effort to do more with geothermal energy? Ca.
>is loaded with the stuff and there's no natural gas bills involved. Too
>simple a solution?
>
> I know there is some geothermal installations in northern CA but looks
>like it would be -or would have been- an easy out for them all along...
>Guess that's too much to ask from politics, 'specially on the Left
>Coast. No "vested interests" in geothermal as in oil and gas.

Dickie...please try to stay out of areas where you have insufficient knowledge of the subject. Had you properly researched the situation of geothermal energy, you would have found that there is not enough in California to make it worthwhile. Even "wind farms" of many, many wind turbines barely make it on competitive energy production.

The "vested interests" in electric power production are nuclear, fossil-fuel, and hydro plants. The rest are gist for Discovery magazine, maybe Scientific American, but the running costs per MWe can't compete with the three major forms listed.

It would be better served to concentrate your explorative efforts to innovating something like a pedal-powered electric generator to go along with the key and CW rig you said you used to carry around with you at all times.

didit

```
From -2836882479413964976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:29:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 19:28:48 GMT
References: <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010120142848.14777.00000816@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13585
```

In article <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>Brings up a piece I read a few years back. Somebody sorted thru ancient
>Spanish archives related to the history of the earlist Jesuit efforts to do
their
>thing in
>what is now the LA basin. The locals were of course were quite ambivalent
>Indians
>who advised the Jesuits that setting up a mission in that neighbohood was not
>a very good idea. The Indians knew the game, the topography and wind patterns
>are
>such that they never set up camp in the LA basin because the smoke from their
>campfires simply stayed there and choked 'em. Their name for the LA basin was
>"The Valley of Smoke", decent fishing but no place to actually live.
>>
>Hello?

Old story out here. One thing that happened from the LA basin
experience is some serious consideration about air pollution
from vehicles. That has worked out quite well for the nation, like
it or not. Measured air quality in Los Angeles and surrounding
area is now ranked as moderate and quite comparable to other
US cities not having such geographic anamolies. The old Bob
Hope radio show jokes about smog are just historical items now.

Just to hedge bets, though, my nearly 38-year-old house is
located in the hills of the Verdugos rather above any smog
(840' MSL by topo map). Bad for radio propagation since

```
everything is Near Vertical Incidence Skywave.   :-(


>> All of California's budget surplus just disappeared...got trans-
>> ported to a bunch of overcharging electric power suppliers.
>>
>> Legalized greed is my term for it.      :-(
>
>All they had to do was not go for the quick buck and think ahead, they did
>not do that. They are dead meat now and they done it to themselves.

Heh, it's already started.  Item in Saturday's LA Times says the
"PX" or Power Exchange in Pasadena, CA, (place for trading
electric power, kind of a junior stock exchange) is now laying
off staff, expected to close down.  Electric power trading now has
to revert to old system of power companies calling other power
companies like they did in the "old" days.   :-)


It's not always easy living in a "test bed" area...

didit



From 1518024217154423831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:29:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 90
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Jan 2001 19:28:45 GMT
References: <3A69B1FC.204611DF@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010120142845.14777.00000813@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13586

In article <3A69B1FC.204611DF@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:


>> >Life in general was also very different from today. The overall pace of
>> >life was slower and simpler, people had more spare time.
>>
>> Nonsense.  People back then were saying the same thing
>> about those of 50 years past.
>
```

>Yes, because that's the way it was. How many daycare centers were there in
>1958?

I can remember at least five in my home town but remembering
daycare centers were not a priority when I left it in 1956. :-)
At least four of those were begun during WW2 when more folks
worked.

>> >There were no home computers. There were ham
>> >stores in every populated area.
>>
>> I can drive 2 miles to an HRO outlet in Burbank, CA. It's in a
>> mini-mall across Buena Vista from another mini-mall. :-)
>
>HRO is not the kind of ham store we had, all of 'em were owner-operated, big
>differernce. We have one left which a true rarity.

The HRO in Burbank is about the size of H&H Electronics in
Rockford, IL. "Owner-operated" businesses carrying consumer
items are on the decline all over, a consequence of economics
rather than radio or customer-specific commerce.

A significant difference between 1958 and 1998 is the amount
and variety of ready-built amateur radios and equipment. On
the floor, not 'ordered-from-catalog' items.

>Wrong. The Harvey-Wells bandswitched 160m thru 2m inclusive (might have been
>80-2m). Plus the Valiant I & II, the Collins 32V series, The WRLs, B&W, many
>others.

Harvey-Wells went all the way to 2m in 1958? I thought the
Gonset G76 was sort of SOTA with 6m at the top end.   :-)

At Ramo-Wooldridge (the 'RW' that spun off TRW) there was
a young engineer with a KWM-2 in his Triumph sports car
back in 1960. That's rather an exception to the rule, I think. :-)

>> Anyone who wants to go back to 1958 is nuts!
>
>Of course, but that's not my point. My point is that in many instances the
>hams who came out of the 50s & 60s have very different views and values than
do
>those who came in during the 80s-90s. Much of the "activity" in rrap is based
on
>those differences. No biggie.

Each generation has different views and values. :-)

Problems come about when each generation tries to instill its
set of values on other generations...because they "are right."
:-)

Interesting constant here and in ham publications: "We have to get the young interested!" It's become a mantra.

Invariably that "need" is espoused by those who became interested when they were young...which may or may not be part of the "you have to do it they way I did it" school of ham religion. Why just the "young?"

Grab them when they are young so they can be brain-washed into "proper values?" Amateur radio is a hobby, not a political doctrine.

Grab the young because adults have the temerity to talk back to other adults? Amateur radio is a hobby, not a military service.

Grab young folks so they can "get started on a career in ham radio?" Amateur radio is a hobby, not a trade, guild, union, or profession.

Maybe I'm wrong, but I and all the people I've worked with in electronics in general found the subject very interesting, fascinating, even fun...at any age. Why can't the interest begin at any age group?

didit

From -3852905086295424969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: KC8JBO, start PRAYING I don't have AIDS when I SUCK YOU OFF!!!!
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 19:26:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <94copr$vhm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68F56E.E46FF77B@houston.net> <94c820$ilk$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ckfk$s40$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.54
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 19:26:59 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.54
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11036
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13587

In article <94ckfk$s40$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  kc8jbo_the_idiot@my-deja.com wrote:
>  Get ready, MONKEY BOY.........You have a BIG suprise coming. Here is
a
> little HINT for you as to what it is:
>
>              ***   GET READY TO JOIN YOUR FATHER  ***
>
>                                  'Da BULL
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!
!
You gonna suck off all the nutsack goo from the "GOOKS" as you call
them on the way????

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -1121286743137530965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Last posting to KC8JBO
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 19:28:55 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <94cotg$vir$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68F56E.E46FF77B@houston.net> <94c820$ilk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94cl05$sht$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.54
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 19:28:55 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
```

```
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.54
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11037
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13588

In article <94cl05$sht$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
 MY BITCH  kc8jbo_mommy@yahoo.com wrote:
>  This WILL be my LAST posting to KC8JBO.....He will SEE me next time
we
> "talk" at his SICK MOTHERS home.


Where's all that information you were going to post, no-balls eunuch???
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA I OWN YOU BITCH!!!!!!!!!

>  Get ready to be SENT to HELL to meet your SOB father,
>
>                             The No Gun Ri TWINGOOGOBBLERS
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

MWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!
!
--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -841437221542215078
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 11:47:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
dispose.news.demon.net!news.demon.nl!demon!rhayward.demon.nl!not-for-mail
From: "Anita Andringa" <a.andringa@rhayward.demon.nl>
Newsgroups:
```

rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:44:47 +0100
Message-ID: <980020018.29474.0.pluto.d4ee2a65@news.demon.nl>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<3A697C4C.943281BF@erols.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: rhayward.demon.nl
X-NNTP-Posting-Host: rhayward.demon.nl:212.238.42.101
X-Trace: news.demon.nl 980020018 pluto:29474 NO-IDENT
rhayward.demon.nl:212.238.42.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@nl.demon.net
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Lines: 33
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13589
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16061 alt.radio.family:3482 rec.radio.cb:22743
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1896

The information you had access to may have been stale, and never was
entirely accurate.
The class-D license did in fact give you 6 channels. You had to upgrade
within two years. THe upgrade requirement was later dropped.
After a short while the examination level was graded up quite considerably.
The license class was changed accordingly and now gives you a CW subband, an
SSB subband and an FM subband on 2 meters and on 70 centimeters.
The D-callsigns were assigned alphabetically, starting with pd0aab, then
aac, aad etc.
If you look in the callbook, you will notice that there are not many left
over. Many of the "old" names are now found under the A or C class entries
nowl
To recap: Dutch A-class is "everything" (hf, vhf and up, all modes) and
requires morse code and electronics theory. C-class is VHF and up, all
modes, requires same theory but no morse code. N-class replaces D-class (N
for Novice) and requires limited theory, gives acces to Novice subbands on
2m and 70cm.
No-code on HF has never existed in Holland, but we all know the rumours..
just have to see what happens now.

have a good one,

Rudolf

Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com> schreef in berichtnieuws
3A697C4C.943281BF@erols.com...
> Stewart,
>
> The Netherlands implemented a license class very close to what you
> propose back about 1985 or so. (...)

From 6952814358495077154
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 12:00:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 19:51:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <94cq8r$qb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93ocv1$i6m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010115074542.09203.00001193@ng-cr1.aol.com> <93v1hr$du0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <CvK86.831$e5.2152049@news.ntplx.net> <9401gp$bp0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <945j6m$3lm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <947fq9$m9o$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <947tng$3ql$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a8je$36g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <P74a6.1012$e5.2509094@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.94
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 19:51:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.94
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13590

> > So why would anyone fight that?

> Four pages vs sixteen pages vs over 200 pages. Which are you calling
> "extremely simple" again?

Again, since you only seem to have focused on the sound bite and not what I wrote; "The Novice ticket [1973] was extremely easy if you has [had] someone to to work with on it."

I certainly wasn't talking about Q&A Publications at all, or even the recent license restructuring at all (that I feel will have have far less impact than that of the loss of the Elmer-Novice entry to the no-code tech entry years ago). And don't think I didn't notice you changing the subject in order to plug your publications, but I am an ARRL supporter (on a great many issues) and long time member. Let's for a moment ignore the absolute number of pages because there is certainly more to a publication than the number of pages. In 1973, I found the License Manuals completely inadequate for study of the concepts that the radio amateur really needs to know in order to understand what he is doing, and how to get the most out of his station, and to do it without interfering with the neighbors. I turned to the 67, 68, and 69 editions of The Radio Amateurs Handbook in my study, long before taking the Novice exam and continuing to learn about amateur radio operations by doing, by making mistakes and by polishing my craft under the guidance and on the shoulders of those who went before.

Not by taking a packaged course that promises that I will be a ham when I take a test at the end of the seminar (as some VE's out here are promising). There is so much about Ham Radio that can't be taught in a publication or seminar because it is way too impersonal and doesn't give enough hands-on like the Novice License did.

Let me explain another way: The Novice License was an easy way to get on the air and get a taste of Amateur Radio, and provided a proving ground fertile with learning experiences with time to progress. The current entry situation caters to instant gratification and bestows priveleges not yet earned by experience.

> I agree; the lack of Elmering cost us a lot of hams. So did an "Elmering"
> process by which some hams made newcomers feel like they were seen as having
> come from "skid row..." I don't know which had the larger effect.

Perhaps the greatest effect has been from establishing "Skid Row" as an entry level training ground in 1959, that many find difficult to "unlearn" when moving forward.

Jim, ac6tk
PS, I just had someone explain to me this morning that reverb improves "talk-power". I'm sorry, but I couldn't help laughing.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 2631806832592223030
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 12:03:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 20 Jan 2001 20:03:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <94cqto$gsn$0@208.207.71.162>
References: <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118042600.05040.00002254@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <947cti$jet$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <pxJ96.955$e5.2423633@news.ntplx.net>
```

<94a1m3$sam$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ahee$baa$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.162
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13591

Brian wrote:
>
>
> There is no calamity.

Evidently you've never been to California. Turn of the electricity, and
there IS a calamity.

From 4277243126000692573
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 12:33:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 20 Jan 2001 20:33:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <94csng$li7$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <3A677CBF.762F0C9C@some.net>
<3A67ACFC.D69389FA@dvol.com> <94bc2b$96j$0@208.206.142.99>
<3A69CFAB.DE834B8@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11042
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13592

> A week or so ago it was announced that the first investor-owned wind power

plant
> came online, 200 monster high-efficiency windmills somewhere in the Northwest.
>

California has considerable windpowered electric generating capacity already, tho I don't know its efficiency level. Altamont Pass on I-580 about 30 miles east of San Francisco is almost surreal, you cruise over the mountains surrounded by hundreds of large modern windmills, of several designs including Savounis(sp?) Rotor ("eggbeater") style, all churning away, dumping their output into the power grid. There's another similarly sized field on State Rt 58 at Tehachapie Pass east of Bakersfield, well to the south. These locations are places where the wind almost never stops. I don't know much about the capacities of these generators nor much else about them (and would be interested) but they appear to be sizeable. I'm told that all are privately owned by investors who sell the output to power distributors, who are mandated under law to buy it.

Evidently these have long been absorbed into the consumption scheme and many of the windmills have been in place for a long time, and each time I go out that way I see what appears to be newly installed units.

Dick

From 1509522968387980140
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 12:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:25:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 61
Message-ID: <94cs74$29f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <944n8v$924$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118042600.05040.00002254@ng-ff1.aol.com> <947cti$jet$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <pxJ96.955$e5.2423633@news.ntplx.net> <94a1m3$sam$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ahee$baa$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.94
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 20:25:14 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.94
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13593

The Energy Calamity is quite localized and not likely to hurt your 401k but is being portrayed as an indication of "the need" for further government control. The state has so many "partners" and restrictions on the private sector already, it's hard for business to compete with them. A great many business operations have moved away over the years because of this. I suppose now that the census and re-apportionment is over with, we can now all leave.

During the current stage III alert, many businesses and schools have to drastically reduce or shut down electricity because of the rate plan that extorts extreme penalties and fines for exceeding set stage III limits. Even though the blackouts are being imposed up north, businesses and schools all over the state have been closing or operating in the dark because of the stage III restrictions.

The real calamity is that this was allowed to happen at all in a (supposed)civilized society.

Jim, ac6tk
PS "over-robust"... is that something like excessively-winning? Why did we have to beat down Microsoft?

> > The entire state of California is on a self-inflicted stage III
power
> > emergency. Most probably so that The State can scare the people
into
> > a government takeover of the grid (California Nationalists?).
>
> There you go again making assumptions about what other people would
> want.
>
> It
> appears
> > we prefer to complain and react to symptoms rather than address the
> > causes. The stories in the news don't make sense either, because
all
> > we're hearing right now is finger pointing and panic. It's very
hard
> > for me to beleive that this calamity couldn't have been averted at
any
> > point on a time line if only someone would have acknowledged it.
>
> There is no calamity. Governments all over America were just
examining
> all the piles of money from overtaxed citizens and wondering what to
do
> with it all. You couldn't lose in the stock market. Greenspan had to
> keep tightening the money supply to slow down an over-robust economy.
>
> Then GW won the election and the mainstream press mantra is "We're
back
> in the Worst Economy Ever" mode, with an energy crisis on top of it.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7589145915270796275
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 13:00:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:46:49 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 88
Message-ID: <94ctfo$39n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.225.143.220
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 20:46:49 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.225.143.220
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13594
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16063 alt.radio.family:3483 rec.radio.cb:22749

N0MHS writes:

> The rapidly-expanding Family Radio Service has exposed
> millions of young people to the world of radio communications.
> But now, where is a you kid to go who has is interested in
> experimenting with radios....... clip.

OK, rather than a bunch of old geezers sitting around casting cold water on Stewarts idea, let's look at it from the view of the intended market.

Dick and Jane, 14 years old, have "had it" with the limitations of the FRS and now are "interested in experimenting with radios". They've heard of something called "ham radio" and are investigating the entry classes.

Jane says "Here's something called the Technician class. You have to take a simple 35-question test."

Dick says "Here's something called the Colt class. You have to take a simple 25-question test from the same pool."

Dick and Jane decide to investigate further, to see what difference the 10 additional simple questions make.

Dick says "Colt gives you six simplex voice/digital channels on 446, and another six simplex voice/digital channels on 223MHz. You can even build a simple little repeater between them, as long as input and output are not on the same band."

Jane says "Technician gives you 22,243 Megahertz of spectrum on 16 bands between 50MHz and 250GHz. Holy Cow, Dick, that's millions and millions of frequencies instead of just 12."

Dick says "With a Colt license you can transmit 5 watts of power. That's a lot better than FRS!"

Jane says "5 watts is for sissies. With Technician we can transmit 1,500 watts of power!"

Dick says "Yes, but the Colt radios are cheap."

Jane says "Sure, but there are lots and lots of used radios around for Technicians, even cheaper, and your Colt radio will be basicly useless if you upgrade."

Dick says "Hey, but I could put my Colt antenna 20 feet above the house if I want."

Jane says "Big deal, Technicians have no arbitrary height limit like that; they can go as high as zoning laws allow, and even higher with conditional use permits."

Dick says "The Colt license will be a lot of other kids just like me."

Jane says "I thought we were sick of kid stuff, and wanted to experiment. The Technician license lets us talk to kids and doctors and lawyers and indian chiefs and satelites and astronauts and W1RFI even."

Dick says "Colts can use both voice and digital modes."

Jane says "Hey, with only 10 more simple questions the Technicians are allowed all of that, and other neat stuff like SSTV, FSTV, telecommand, and space communications."

Dick says "Jane, you are right. This Colt thing is really lame. I always said you were smarter than me. I could just kiss you."

Jane says "Dick, only after you pass your Technician License
test, and keep your clammy paws off my double bazooka antenna."

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7380837953261485848
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 13:00:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:50:51 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 88
Message-ID: <94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.225.143.220
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 20:50:51 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.225.143.220
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13595
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16064 alt.radio.family:3484 rec.radio.cb:22750

In article <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> Look, I don't have anything against you folks - I just don't think it
> is appropriate for kids to be learning radio on the 11 meter band.

OK, rather than a bunch of old geezers sitting around casting cold water on Stewarts idea, let's look at it from the view of the intended market.

Dick and Jane, 14 years old, have "had it" with the limitations of the FRS and now are "interested in experimenting with radios". They've heard of something called "ham radio" and are investigating the entry classes.

Jane says "Here's something called the Technician class. You have to take a simple 35-question test."

Dick says "Here's something called the Colt class. You have to take a simple 25-question test from the same pool."

Dick and Jane decide to investigate further, to see what difference the 10 additional simple questions make.

Dick says "Colt gives you six simplex voice/digital channels on 446, and another six simplex voice/digital channels on 223MHz. You can even build a simple little repeater between them, as long as input and output are not on the same band."

Jane says "Technician gives you 22,243 Megahertz of spectrum on 16 bands between 50MHz and 250GHz. Holy Cow, Dick, that's millions and millions of frequencies instead of just 12."

Dick says "With a Colt license you can transmit 5 watts of power. That's a lot better than FRS!"

Jane says "5 watts is for sissies. With Technician we can transmit 1,500 watts of power!"

Dick says "Yes, but the Colt radios are cheap."

Jane says "Sure, but there are lots and lots of used radios around for Technicians, even cheaper, and your Colt radio will be basicly useless if you upgrade."

Dick says "Hey, but I could put my Colt antenna 20 feet above the house if I want."

Jane says "Big deal, Technicians have no arbitrary height limit like that; they can go as high as zoning laws allow, and even higher with conditional use permits."

Dick says "The Colt license will be a lot of other kids just like me."

Jane says "I thought we were sick of kid stuff, and wanted to experiment. The Technician license lets us talk to kids and doctors and lawyers and indian chiefs and satelites and astronauts and W1RFI even."

Dick says "Colts can use both voice and digital modes."

Jane says "Hey, with only 10 more simple questions the Technicians are allowed all of that, and other neat stuff like SSTV, FSTV, telecommand, and space communications."

Dick says "Jane, you are right. This Colt thing is really lame. I always said you were smarter than me. I could just kiss you."

Jane says "Dick, only after you pass your Technician License test, and keep your clammy paws off my double bazooka antenna."

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8289612800438586629
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 13:08:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!feeder.via.net!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 20 Jan 2001 21:08:41 GMT

Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <94cuop$ba1@freepress.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <94bgl2$lot$0@208.206.142.99>
<3A69D502.A89C77F2@dvol.com>
Reply-To: "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.186.249
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13596 rec.radio.cb:22751
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11045

Do you think you guys could remove rec.radio.cb from your chat?
"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3A69D502.A89C77F2@dvol.com...
>
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > Entry into ham radio today is for the middle aged to the retired, for
> > those who "always wanted to but never had the time or extra money, job
> > and family responsibilities kept me out", etc, etc. Kids have no clue
> > nor any interest.
>
> >
> Seems like. The question is whether that's a no-counter or big trouble
down the
> road.
> >
>
> > In the eight years I worked as a VE we handled almost no kids, nor
> > anyone under age 30. There were a few females, but very darn few. Most
> > of the crowd were aged 35 and up, with the oldest at age 80.
> >
> > My VE time ended over 7 years ago and I don't think it has done
> > anything but get worse since then. At that time we had no Internet
> > access in this rural region. The arrival of that passtime pretty well
> > cinched it.
>
> >
> I'm absolutely convinced that the Internet is the worst thing that ever
hit ham
> radio. Beyond that I don't think for one minute that a "solution" is to
introduce
> Internet-like capabilities into ham radio, they're two entirely different
animals
> with the only commonality between the two being competition for what
people chose

```
> to do with their leisure time.
> >
> We should get a better picture of what's really up over the next few years
as the
> licensing stats stabilize.
> >
> w3rv
>
>
>
```

```
From -1037529116319750340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 13:11:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!
sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: 20 Jan 2001 21:11:04 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 94
Message-ID: <94cut8$b9t@freepress.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.186.249
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13597
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16068 alt.radio.family:3485 rec.radio.cb:22753
```

I am sure Dick and Jane even have clue what your talking about. Give them a
CB and stop complicating their life.

"K0HB" <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> In article <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > Look, I don't have anything against you folks - I just don't think it
> > is appropriate for kids to be learning radio on the 11 meter band.
>
> OK, rather than a bunch of old geezers sitting around casting
> cold water on Stewarts idea, let's look at it from the view
> of the intended market.
>
> Dick and Jane, 14 years old, have "had it" with the
> limitations of the FRS and now are "interested in experimenting
> with radios". They've heard of something called "ham radio"
> and are investigating the entry classes.
>
> Jane says "Here's something called the Technician class. You
> have to take a simple 35-question test."
>
> Dick says "Here's something called the Colt class. You have
> to take a simple 25-question test from the same pool."
>
> Dick and Jane decide to investigate further, to see what
> difference the 10 additional simple questions make.
>
> Dick says "Colt gives you six simplex voice/digital channels
> on 446, and another six simplex voice/digital channels on
> 223MHz. You can even build a simple little repeater between
> them, as long as input and output are not on the same band."
>
> Jane says "Technician gives you 22,243 Megahertz of spectrum
> on 16 bands between 50MHz and 250GHz. Holy Cow, Dick, that's
> millions and millions of frequencies instead of just 12."
>
> Dick says "With a Colt license you can transmit 5 watts of
> power. That's a lot better than FRS!"
>
> Jane says "5 watts is for sissies. With Technician we can
> transmit 1,500 watts of power!"
>
> Dick says "Yes, but the Colt radios are cheap."
>
> Jane says "Sure, but there are lots and lots of used radios
> around for Technicians, even cheaper, and your Colt radio
> will be basicly useless if you upgrade."
>
> Dick says "Hey, but I could put my Colt antenna 20 feet above the
> house if I want."
>
> Jane says "Big deal, Technicians have no arbitrary height limit
> like that; they can go as high as zoning laws allow, and even
> higher with conditional use permits."
>
> Dick says "The Colt license will be a lot of other kids just

> like me."
>
> Jane says "I thought we were sick of kid stuff, and wanted to
> experiment. The Technician license lets us talk to kids and
> doctors and lawyers and indian chiefs and satelites and
> astronauts and W1RFI even."
>
> Dick says "Colts can use both voice and digital modes."
>
> Jane says "Hey, with only 10 more simple questions the Technicians
> are allowed all of that, and other neat stuff like SSTV, FSTV,
> telecommand, and space communications."
>
> Dick says "Jane, you are right. This Colt thing is really lame.
> I always said you were smarter than me. I could just kiss you."
>
> Jane says "Dick, only after you pass your Technician License
> test, and keep your clammy paws off my double bazooka antenna."
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> --
> "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
>   eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
>   to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
>   Morse."
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

From -3432740825775291750
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 13:59:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail

From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 16:59:23 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 108
Message-ID: <94d1od$6rl$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 162.39.226.106
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980027981 7029 162.39.226.106 (20 Jan 2001 21:59:41 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Jan 2001 21:59:41 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13598
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16073 alt.radio.family:3486 rec.radio.cb:22762

The need for all of this will go away once my group leaps into action. When we have eliminated all the "elitism" on the bands and issue every citizen an Extra class license, as is their God given right, all will be right with the world.

No Code!
No Test!
No Hassle!

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"K0HB" <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > Look, I don't have anything against you folks - I just don't think it
> > is appropriate for kids to be learning radio on the 11 meter band.
>
> OK, rather than a bunch of old geezers sitting around casting
> cold water on Stewarts idea, let's look at it from the view
> of the intended market.
>
> Dick and Jane, 14 years old, have "had it" with the
> limitations of the FRS and now are "interested in experimenting

> with radios". They've heard of something called "ham radio"
> and are investigating the entry classes.
>
> Jane says "Here's something called the Technician class. You
> have to take a simple 35-question test."
>
> Dick says "Here's something called the Colt class. You have
> to take a simple 25-question test from the same pool."
>
> Dick and Jane decide to investigate further, to see what
> difference the 10 additional simple questions make.
>
> Dick says "Colt gives you six simplex voice/digital channels
> on 446, and another six simplex voice/digital channels on
> 223MHz. You can even build a simple little repeater between
> them, as long as input and output are not on the same band."
>
> Jane says "Technician gives you 22,243 Megahertz of spectrum
> on 16 bands between 50MHz and 250GHz. Holy Cow, Dick, that's
> millions and millions of frequencies instead of just 12."
>
> Dick says "With a Colt license you can transmit 5 watts of
> power. That's a lot better than FRS!"
>
> Jane says "5 watts is for sissies. With Technician we can
> transmit 1,500 watts of power!"
>
> Dick says "Yes, but the Colt radios are cheap."
>
> Jane says "Sure, but there are lots and lots of used radios
> around for Technicians, even cheaper, and your Colt radio
> will be basicly useless if you upgrade."
>
> Dick says "Hey, but I could put my Colt antenna 20 feet above the
> house if I want."
>
> Jane says "Big deal, Technicians have no arbitrary height limit
> like that; they can go as high as zoning laws allow, and even
> higher with conditional use permits."
>
> Dick says "The Colt license will be a lot of other kids just
> like me."
>
> Jane says "I thought we were sick of kid stuff, and wanted to
> experiment. The Technician license lets us talk to kids and
> doctors and lawyers and indian chiefs and satelites and
> astronauts and W1RFI even."
>
> Dick says "Colts can use both voice and digital modes."
>
> Jane says "Hey, with only 10 more simple questions the Technicians
> are allowed all of that, and other neat stuff like SSTV, FSTV,
> telecommand, and space communications."

>
> Dick says "Jane, you are right. This Colt thing is really lame.
> I always said you were smarter than me. I could just kiss you."
>
> Jane says "Dick, only after you pass your Technician License
> test, and keep your clammy paws off my double bazooka antenna."
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> --
> "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
>   eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
>   to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
>   Morse."
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

```
From 3413363648702004894
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8d383a99c438afa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8d383a99c438afa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 14:02:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!SLee
From: SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Top ten most popular alchoholic beverages in Hiram, GA
Supersedes: <3A68E87C.5CA1116A@houston.net>
Date: 20 Jan 2001 22:02:44 GMT
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <94d1u4$d44$0@pita.alt.net>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11052
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13599
```

Top ten most popular alchoholic beverages in Hiram, GA

10. Really, Really, Really, Really Old Milwaukee
9. Wiseman Scotch
8. Amaretto Di Hiram

7. Orville Redenbacher's Butter-flavored Vodka
6. McBourbon
5. Dinty Moore's Pork 'n' Booze
4. Ernest, Julio, Tom and Roseanne Gallo
3. Dr. Scholl's Medicated Tequila
2. Seagrams 7, Braves 0
1. Chivas Du Sterno

From 1108981433751690653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b838d80e9f3a809
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b838d80e9f3a809
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 14:03:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!SLee
From: SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Top ten signs you're in a Hiram, GA hospital
Supersedes: <3A68E9D7.6CDB4026@houston.net>
Date: 20 Jan 2001 22:03:13 GMT
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <94d1v1$d44$1@pita.alt.net>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11053 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13600

Top ten signs you're in a Hiram, GA hospital

10. You go in for routine surgery, you come out with a tail.
9. You recognize your doctor as kid who was mopping the lobby when you checked in.
8. Instead of sponge bath, they send in a St. Bernard to lick you.
7. As you're going under, your surgeon says, "man, am I baked."
6. In operating room, you see Roger Wiseman scrubbing up.
5. Every couple of minutes you hear a bugle playing taps.
4. All the diplomas on the wall are signed by Sally Struthers.
3. You and your roommate have to take turns on the I.V.
2. Through fog of anesthesia, you hear surgeon shouting, "bring the damn scotch tape!"
1. Instead of "patient" they use the term "plaintiff."

From 3123114396690746043
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b15ec36cfdccab
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b15ec36cfdccab
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 14:03:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!

news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!SLee
From: SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Top ten signs you've visited a Hiram, GA chiropractor
Supersedes: <3A68F732.5473F3D4@houston.net>
Date: 20 Jan 2001 22:03:34 GMT
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <94d1vm$d44$2@pita.alt.net>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11054
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13601

Top ten signs you've visited a Hiram, GA chiropractor

10. When you walk, you make a wacky accordion sound.
9. Keeps saying, "A spine is like a box of chocolates."
8. Repeatedly asks, "You a cop? You sure you ain't no cop?"
7. Over and over, you hear crunching sounds followed by "Uh-oh."
6. There's a two-drink minimum.
5. At end of session, lies down on the table and says, "My turn!"
4. He was trained by Roger Wiseman.
3. Rushes in late to your appointment, still wearing his Burger King uniform.
2. Hints that for an extra $50, he'll "straighten" something else.
1. You're fully-clothed and he's naked.

From -7412933366523477286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 14:06:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 15:56:19 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A6A0983.3C715774@IEEE.org>
References: <20010120104139.00714.00003155@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010120134534.22806.00000187@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 20 Jan 2001 22:03:38 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13602

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >Being a proficient CW operator, and a regular CW user on-the-air,
> >defines the higher-level amateur radio operator.
>
> It is one way to do that, Larry. I am starting to realize that you lack the
> vision to see that it is only one of many ways.

Don't worry about Larry, Ed. He knows how to be worshipped for his
"privilege and status" even if he's the only person around. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 2804212721662417236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 14:14:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 20 Jan 2001 22:14:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 93
Message-ID: <94d2kb$745$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com> <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-fk.aol.com> <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com> <sZia6.3281$AN.591979@nntp1.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13603

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> While micropower installations are probably a good idea, presenting in
> general only the risk of electrocuting your linemen from an unknown
> source, such items as windmills and solar with their attendant problem of
> non-cooperation by the weather present some interesting network design
> problems as well.

All that water flowing from the Delta down to Los Angles in the
California Aquaduct always seemed to be wasted energy since enroute it

could easily be generating electricity several times over. I know of one reservoir enroute where water storage is shared with power generation. Then down south of Bakersfield where the Valley runs into the mountains it all has to be pumped, using a LOT of electricity of course, across those mountains on the last leg of the journey to LA. California has done apretty good job of taking care of its water needs, but seems to have sorta overlooked a stable supply for its longterm electrical requirements.

We know that hyodrelectric generation is but a drop in the bucket. Even back in these parts where there are several largelakes with generating dams on the White River System, it only makes up around 5% of the total used. But I'd imagine that same 5% could be very helpful to northern Cal right now.

And there *are* significant if undeveloped geothermal resources in several places in the Golden State. One is the area around Bishop and the east slope of the Sierras. It matters not if they're far from metro areas. What ie needs is development. In the Upper Napa Valley there is a fair sized geothermal generating plant online now. Point is, even these lesser installations help a lot in a squeeze, just as you mentioned with Taum Sauk Reservoir. They can use it for peaking whenever needed. Mostly hydroelectric power in these parts *IS* used for peaking.

Did you ever drive up and take a close look at Taum Sauk lake on top of one of Missouri's two highest peaks, that you mentioned? It's a very impressive 40 acre lake with the supply/drain tubing carved out from inside the red ganite stone that is the mountain. The water falls 980 feet to the turbines, and gets pumped back up that far overnight. UE gets a lot of efficiency and a very good return out of that investment. And what a engineering and construction project!

>
> Separating the grid from the generation facilities was basically dumb but
> handleable if not in the hands of politicians. It just collapsed sooner
> than later.
>

I have little faith in elected officialdom in the Golden State. I spend at least a month each year out there, and have for a couple decades, from south of San Diego to the Amtrak exit at the northern border. The state has a lot of attactive attributes, but these do not number in selected important elected officials' reasoning power and common sense. Political Correctness and assuring their reelection is far more important than needed hardware or realistic planning.

> It is apparent that neither California system is meeting even its base
> load locally. Base load plants require tremendous capital which in turn
> requires a stable planning horizon and some form of guarantee of a
> return -- the dark side of regulation. As with most utilities, what you
> are really paying for is the bondholders. As a result, you usually get

> ossified management.
>

I think this statement proves my last. The most important part of their future utility supply planning seemsto have been to get the rates down so as to maximize their political capital, and let the distant future be taken care of by whomever was unfortunate enough to be in office at that time. What they failed to realize was that the future was coming a lot sooner than they thought.

> It is not a job to be run by committee or public hearing although I guess
> one must maintain the forms and illusion of democracy. In a state which
> conducts public hearings on the social impact of a new area code, the
> future does not look bright.

As long as they maintain the same political direction they've followed for the past 20 years they're doomed. Something's gotta give.

The worrysome feature of California is that it always seemed to be about 20 years ahead of the rest of the country in most areas of modern life. That has at times seemed a bit scary. Today's crisis just emphasizes that feeling. You wonder who else is sitting that close to the edge.

Dick

From 1144722257360646764
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 14:22:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: 20 Jan 2001 22:22:27 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 118
Message-ID: <94d333$37h@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com> <94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94d1od$6rl$1@iac5.navix.net>
Reply-To: "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.191.206
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13604
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16076 alt.radio.family:3487 rec.radio.cb:22766

You still can't let go of the license. Must be a paper fetish.

"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:94d1od$6rl$1@iac5.navix.net...
> The need for all of this will go away once my group leaps into action.
When
> we have eliminated all the "elitism" on the bands and issue every citizen
an
> Extra class license, as is their God given right, all will be right with
the
> world.
>
> No Code!
> No Test!
> No Hassle!
>
> --
> Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
> Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
> Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
> "We  want, therefore we deserve"
> Send your non-tax deductible donations today!
>
>
> "K0HB" <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > > Look, I don't have anything against you folks - I just don't think it
> > > is appropriate for kids to be learning radio on the 11 meter band.
> >
> > OK, rather than a bunch of old geezers sitting around casting
> > cold water on Stewarts idea, let's look at it from the view
> > of the intended market.
> >
> > Dick and Jane, 14 years old, have "had it" with the
> > limitations of the FRS and now are "interested in experimenting
> > with radios".  They've heard of something called "ham radio"
> > and are investigating the entry classes.
> >
> > Jane says "Here's something called the Technician class.  You
```

> > have to take a simple 35-question test."
> >
> > Dick says "Here's something called the Colt class. You have
> > to take a simple 25-question test from the same pool."
> >
> > Dick and Jane decide to investigate further, to see what
> > difference the 10 additional simple questions make.
> >
> > Dick says "Colt gives you six simplex voice/digital channels
> > on 446, and another six simplex voice/digital channels on
> > 223MHz. You can even build a simple little repeater between
> > them, as long as input and output are not on the same band."
> >
> > Jane says "Technician gives you 22,243 Megahertz of spectrum
> > on 16 bands between 50MHz and 250GHz. Holy Cow, Dick, that's
> > millions and millions of frequencies instead of just 12."
> >
> > Dick says "With a Colt license you can transmit 5 watts of
> > power. That's a lot better than FRS!"
> >
> > Jane says "5 watts is for sissies. With Technician we can
> > transmit 1,500 watts of power!"
> >
> > Dick says "Yes, but the Colt radios are cheap."
> >
> > Jane says "Sure, but there are lots and lots of used radios
> > around for Technicians, even cheaper, and your Colt radio
> > will be basicly useless if you upgrade."
> >
> > Dick says "Hey, but I could put my Colt antenna 20 feet above the
> > house if I want."
> >
> > Jane says "Big deal, Technicians have no arbitrary height limit
> > like that; they can go as high as zoning laws allow, and even
> > higher with conditional use permits."
> >
> > Dick says "The Colt license will be a lot of other kids just
> > like me."
> >
> > Jane says "I thought we were sick of kid stuff, and wanted to
> > experiment. The Technician license lets us talk to kids and
> > doctors and lawyers and indian chiefs and satelites and
> > astronauts and W1RFI even."
> >
> > Dick says "Colts can use both voice and digital modes."
> >
> > Jane says "Hey, with only 10 more simple questions the Technicians
> > are allowed all of that, and other neat stuff like SSTV, FSTV,
> > telecommand, and space communications."
> >
> > Dick says "Jane, you are right. This Colt thing is really lame.
> > I always said you were smarter than me. I could just kiss you."
> >

```
> > Jane says "Dick, only after you pass your Technician License
> > test, and keep your clammy paws off my double bazooka antenna."
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> > --
> >  "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
> >   eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
> >   to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
> >   Morse."
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>
```

```
From -1164734555411135160
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 14:58:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 20 Jan 2001 22:58:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <94d56e$d31$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <948fd0$rqj$0@208.206.142.78> <20010120140438.22806.00000194@ng-
fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13605
```

```
W1RFI wrote:
>  The accomplishments of hams after they get their licenses
```

> form the reasons for the very existance of the Amateur Radio Service. If all
> hams did was pass Extra tests, of ANY era, there would not be sufficient
> justification for our use of the spectrum.

Repeatedly you assert that the test is pretty much worthlessand most
unnecessary. So then why even have one? Or is that down the Agenda a
ways?

```
From 5692391138066086014
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 15:03:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 20 Jan 2001 23:03:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13606
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> Does saying "personal" instead of "name" or "handle" really need to be a
> "social error" in the amateur community?

No, it doesn't need to be used at all. The user should have presense of
mind enough to learn a few conventions before he heels his own tongue.
If he doesn't he'e better be a fairly fast learner.

```
From -6073572145012828304
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 15:13:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94d1od$6rl$1@iac5.navix.net>
<94d333$37h@dispatch.concentric.net>
Subject: Enough already
Lines: 8
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <YVoa6.2453$ed.2021008@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 17:12:37 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.219
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 980032248 208.154.158.219 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 18:10:48
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 18:10:48 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13607
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16080 alt.radio.family:3488 rec.radio.cb:22770
```

"CitySlicker" attempted to dump 3/4 megabits into my mail server.

Nothing that is said on any newsgripe in Usenet is worth 750 KB

Killfiled for arrogance beyond belief.

```
From -6111578405663075737
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a8d383a99c438afa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8d383a99c438afa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 15:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten most popular alchoholic beverages in Hiram, GA
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 23:16:40 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <94d68l$a2u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94d1u4$d44$0@pita.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.246
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 23:16:40 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.246
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11059
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13608

In article <94d1u4$d44$0@pita.alt.net>,
  SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee) wrote:

hey DRUNK, you already posted this already....and quit stealing
material from Letterman.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -7372562963510852091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b838d80e9f3a809
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b838d80e9f3a809
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 15:30:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you're in a Hiram, GA hospital
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 23:18:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <94d6cb$a3n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94d1v1$d44$1@pita.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.246
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 23:18:39 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
```

```
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.246
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11060
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13609

In article <94d1v1$d44$1@pita.alt.net>,
  SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee) wrote:

<Cancel>
This post was cancelled from within Mozilla.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -3529133032846124796
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b15ec36cfdccab
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b15ec36cfdccab
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 15:30:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
209.48.40.11!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!intermedia!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you've visited a Hiram, GA chiropractor
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 23:17:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <94d6aa$a3a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94d1vm$d44$2@pita.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.246
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 20 23:17:34 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.246
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11061
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13610

In article <94d1vm$d44$2@pita.alt.net>,
  SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee) wrote:

Poor, poor Georgie-porgie.....
```

```
--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 3989876129450446386
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b838d80e9f3a809
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b838d80e9f3a809
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 15:56:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!SLee
From: SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you're in a Hiram, GA hospital
Date: 20 Jan 2001 23:56:52 GMT
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <94d8k4$lvd$0@dosa.alt.net>
References: <94d1v1$d44$1@pita.alt.net> <94d6cb$a3n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11065
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13611


On Sat, 20 Jan 2001 23:18:39 GMT, KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
: In article <94d1v1$d44$1@pita.alt.net>,
:   SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee) wrote:
:
: <Cancel>
: This post was cancelled from within Mozilla.

Sorry, Woger.  Most news servers now ignore cancel messages, due to
past abuse.

Poor Woger:  Took wessons from know-nothing Dimmy.

Hahahahaha!


From -7675239113157024648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 15:58:42 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6A261A.F73E75CA@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A69B1FC.204611DF@dvol.com> <20010120142845.14777.00000813@nso-bd.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 64
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 18:58:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.61
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980035078 206.20.144.61 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 17:57:58 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 17:57:58 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13612

Len Over 21 wrote:

> >Wrong. The Harvey-Wells bandswitched 160m thru 2m inclusive (might have been
> >80-2m). Plus the Valiant I & II, the Collins 32V series, The WRLs, B&W, many
> >others.
>
> Harvey-Wells went all the way to 2m in 1958? I thought the
> Gonset G76 was sort of SOTA with 6m at the top end.   :-)

>

The Harvey-Wells Bandmaster, 50 watts (807?), CW & AM, fairly compact, stood on
what would usually be the end of it's cabinet. About twice as high as wide, sucked
up very little desk space. Went to both 6m and 2m in addition to HF. I think it
listed for $249.95 in the early 50s. The big sloping panel linear scale VFO
slipped under the cabinet and was another 50 bucks or so. Died from overdoses of
TVI and ssb.

>
>
>At Ramo-Wooldridge (the 'RW' that spun off TRW) there was

> a young engineer with a KWM-2 in his Triumph sports car
> back in 1960. That's rather an exception to the rule, I think. :-)

>

Bit of panel-butchering but it was his dime. There were others, wa3atp had a Swan
350 xcvr *and* a Swan kw amp tucked under the dash if his big Olds. The mobileers
are an inventive bunch and some are slightly whacky.

>

> Maybe I'm wrong, but I and all the people I've worked
> with in electronics in general found the subject very

> interesting, fascinating, even fun...at any age. Why
> can't the interest begin at any age group?

>
Bah. I have absolutely no idea why this "we gotta get kids into the hobby or ham radio is gonna die!" thing is so pervasive. Makes no sense at all to me.
>
The youth factor associated with the 1951 Novice license happened under much different conditions in much different days and I doubt anything like it will ever be repeated. It's of some historical interest, it obviously left a big footprint, there were a helluva lot of kids but for the biggest part the history is the sum total value of it today.
>
Ham radio is a great hobby for all ages but particulary for the eldercrowd. They have the time, they have the money and they have attention spans longer than this morning. We have several housebound hams around here whose only opportunity to socialize on a meanigful basis is via the airwaves. Providing them with that ability is 'way above most of the rest of the "items on the list" used to justifiy the existence of ham spectrum space as far as I'm concerned.
>
The kids need to be shown ham radio and supported if they do express an interest ditto any others of any age. A mindless thrash to twist ham radio into something it is not, has never been and never will be just to "attract kids" is nonsense preordained to go nowhere.
>

> didit

>
w3rv

From -6154318297171558109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 16:10:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 00:09:18 GMT
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13613

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Does saying "personal" instead of "name" or "handle" really need to be a
>> "social error" in the amateur community?

> No, it doesn't need to be used at all. The user should have presense of
>mind enough to learn a few conventions before he heels his own tongue.
>If he doesn't he'e better be a fairly fast learner.

If I were getting into a new hobby that involved a community, as does ham radio, and was given the impression that I "better" be a fairly fast learner, or I would receive a public lashing for what I felt was an innocent choice of words, I would very quickly decide that is not a caring, sharing community. I almost certainly would find a different circle, because with friends like that, I would not need enemies.

Fortunately, when I first became a ham and probably made "gaffs" that I wasn't even aware of, I didn't receive the type of "welcome" you are describing.

IMHO, telling people what they "better" do is not in the spirit of ham radio that I have respected for decades.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5373085279360165419
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 16:13:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 00:12:57 GMT
References: <94d56e$d31$0@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010120191257.03154.00000333@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13614

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Repeatedly you assert that the test is pretty much worthlessand most
>unnecessary.

I have never said that, Dick. Did you really understand that from my words, or does it suit your Agenda somehow to try to convince people that that is what I "really" mean?

To clarify it again, although I am pretty sure you won't remember this any longer than you will remember it the last time I said it, I believe that the testing of today tests the ability of the applicant to function within ham radio at least as well as did the tests of the days when I entered ham radio.

>So then why even have one?

I disagree with you; I feel it is important that ham radio have testing that tests the ability of the applcant to function within the rules of ham radio.

>Or is that down the Agenda a ways?

Not by me. I feel it is important that ham radio that test the ability of hte applicant to function within the rules of ham radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5677463220746287524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e140a34bb63f4bf7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 16:27:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6A2CE3.A02D6F50@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949kn5$fcb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94a2g1$t8l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <94bgl2$lot$0@208.206.142.99>
<3A69D502.A89C77F2@dvol.com> <94cuop$ba1@freepress.concentric.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 19:27:16 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.54
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980036815 206.20.144.54 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 18:26:55 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 18:26:55 CDT

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13615 rec.radio.cb:22786
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11068

CitySlicker wrote:

> Do you think you guys could remove rec.radio.cb from your chat?

>
Of course. Haven't been paying attention to the addresses. Apologies.
>
Brian Kelly w3rv Philly

From -8059511172096753589
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 16:28:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 92
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 00:27:56 GMT
References: <94cq8r$qb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010120192756.03154.00000334@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13616

>From: xjim@my-deja.com

>Again, since you only seem to have focused on the sound bite and not
>what I wrote; "The Novice ticket [1973] was extremely easy if you has
>[had] someone to to work with on it."

I found the 1963 Novice simple enough that I don't recall that I had to ask W1BNB anything about it. I posted the 1963 study guide for the Novice; compare its scope to the exams of today. If you are saying that the 1963 Novice exam is very simple compared to the Technician exam today, then we are in complete agreement.

>And don't think I didn't notice you
>changing the subject in order to plug your publications,

I don't think that ARRL is going to sell many 1963 study guides. My intent was not to "plug" ARRL's pubs, but to use one as an example of why I do not think the exams of today are simpler than they were in the era where I was first licensed.

> but I am an ARRL supporter (on a great many issues) and long time member.

I, too, am an ARRL supporter, although I have not agreed with ARRL on every issue nor do I think it is doing as good a job as can be done in every single thing it can do. I have been a member since about 1986, when I joined the staff.

>Let's for a moment ignore the absolute number of pages because there is
>certainly more to a publication than the number of pages.

I would agree if we were comparing even 50 pages to 200 -- 50 good pages can communicate more than 200 poor ones. But 4 pages for the Novice study guide vs 200+ pages for the Tech study guides is a lot to ignore.

>In 1973, I found the License Manuals completely inadequate for study of the
>concepts that the radio amateur really needs to know in order to
>understand what he is doing,

Absolutely correct. But they were adequate for the study of the concepts needed to pass the tests.

> and how to get the most out of his station, and to do it without
> interfering with the neighbors.

Then, as now, there clearly was a lot to learn after passing the tests and being given the priveleges -- a lifetime of learning for me, anyway. If all there was to ham radio was the test I took, either that test would have taken a lifetime to complete, or ham radio would not be of any interest to me.

>I turned
>to the 67, 68, and 69 editions of The Radio Amateurs Handbook in my
>study, long before taking the Novice exam and continuing to learn about
>amateur radio operations by doing, by making mistakes and by polishing
>my craft under the guidance and on the shoulders of those who went
>before.

I studied ONLY the 4-page study guide for the Novice and several months later, those 16 pages for the General. I bought a Handbook and Antenna Book later, and learned a whole lot more, including why some of those answers were correct. I think it was years later, in my TV repair days, that I finally figured out what a parasitic oscillation was and why it could cause RFI. :-)

>There is so much about Ham Radio that can't be taught in a
>publication or seminar ...

or by taking a test; it is only by doing, over an entire lifetime, that we discover our own personal potential in ham radio. I was a late bloomer. :-)

>because it is way too impersonal and doesn't
>give enough hands-on like the Novice License did.

What hands-on? I read 4 pages and took a test -- I was a Novice. The hands on started with the Novice license for me, but continues to this day. I am still learning.

>Let me explain another way: The Novice License was an easy way to get
>on the air and get a taste of Amateur Radio, and provided a proving
>ground fertile with learning experiences with time to progress.

>The current entry situation caters to instant gratification and bestows
>priveleges not yet earned by experience.

More instant gratification than I got by reading 4 pages of study guide? Although I had lots of Novice experience before I took my General to get all amateur priveleges, the rules did not require it. I could have studied 16 pages of study guide and passed the tests.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1455190459891969762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 16:29:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 00:29:02 GMT
References: <20010120142846.14777.00000814@nso-bd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <20010120192902.03154.00000335@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13617
```

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>Maybe there will be a scientific breakthrough soon where
>there will be separate EM spectra just for the different
>radio services...

The ultrawideband folks are saying... naw, never mind. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7476980044381517571
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 16:32:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!
newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!skynet.be!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 00:31:43 GMT
References: <3A6A261A.F73E75CA@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010120193143.03168.00000465@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13618

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>> Harvey-Wells went all the way to 2m in 1958? I thought the
>> Gonset G76 was sort of SOTA with 6m at the top end.   :-)

>The Harvey-Wells Bandmaster, 50 watts (807?), CW & AM, fairly compact, stood
>on what would usually be the end of it's cabinet. About twice as high as wide,
>sucked up very little desk space. Went to both 6m and 2m in addition to HF.

Yes, it goes to 2 M. I have one in my shack.

>>Died from an overdose of TVI...

Then it is a darn good thing I never used it; it would not do for me to be
causing any RFI. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4100380575322263166
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 16:37:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 00:36:18 GMT

References: <3A6A261A.F73E75CA@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010120193618.03168.00000466@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13619

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>The youth factor associated with the 1951 Novice license happened under much
>different conditions in much different days and I doubt anything like it will
>ever
>be repeated.

Both for social reasons, Brian, and for the simple reason that the generation of hams who entered ham radio by that route does not seem to want tests to be made that simple again. :-)

The FCC is also not inclined to create a one-year, non-renewable license again, either.

>It's of some historical interest, it obviously left a big footprint,
>there were a helluva lot of kids but for the biggest part the history is the
>sum total value of it today.

There are now more modes commonly available to hams, more stable and reliable technology, more frequencies easily used -- the license had to change.

Too bad; that simple 20-question exam for the Novice brought a lot of people into it. What surprises me is that even with the entry-level being at least an order of magnitude more difficult and more complex, growth continued. I don't think I would be a ham today if someone had handed me a 200+ page study guide and told me that this is what I had to know to be a beginner in ham radio. And if they then told me that it was "dumbed down" from that 4-page book THEY had to read...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8187902422852053404
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b838d80e9f3a809
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b838d80e9f3a809
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 17:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you're in a Hiram, GA hospital

Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 01:34:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <94deaj$g5g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94d1v1$d44$1@pita.alt.net> <94d6cb$a3n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94d8k4$lvd$0@dosa.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.110
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 01:34:11 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.110
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11074
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13620

In article <94d8k4$lvd$0@dosa.alt.net>,
SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee) wrote:
>
> On Sat, 20 Jan 2001 23:18:39 GMT, KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
wrote:
> : In article <94d1v1$d44$1@pita.alt.net>,
> :   SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee) wrote:
> :
> : <Cancel>
> : This post was cancelled from within Mozilla.
>
> Sorry, Woger. Most news servers now ignore cancel messages, due to
> past abuse.

DUMBFUCK George..it wasn't a REAL cancel message to begin with.

> Poor Woger: Took wessons from know-nothing Dimmy.

I wonder if alt.net thinks the same way.......DUMBFUCK.

> Hahahahaha!

Poor George had to decide between the BOTTLE and his wife........
I bet you wish the BOTTLE of Alcohol WON........
LOL!!!!!! Of course it wasn't your FIRST wife, was it chronic Drunk?
And quit QRMing on the bands.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -4005125710357798920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 17:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:51:41 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 62
Message-ID: <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 162.39.226.106
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980041922 20068 162.39.226.106 (21 Jan 2001 01:52:02 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 Jan 2001 01:52:02 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13621
```

For God's sake Ed, you are working so hard to be politically correct. I guess if a kid is learning baseball, you should not point out he is running the bases in the wrong direction for fear he will give up baseball?

Give me a single reason we should be bending over and kissing some snot nosed kids ass to get him active in ham radio! If they are interested, I will bust my butt to help them.

I have Elmered more than a few in my time, but at no point did I waste time on anyone who had no interest.

I had one guy wanted to be a ham and was having trouble with the code. It took me a while to realize his problem was not the code, he did not know how to read or write. I worked with him for over a year and he earned his ticket. I remember him struggling to read to become a ham, then I listen to all the cock and bull about "how tough it is on the children to get a ticket".

Give me a break!
--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

```
"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com...
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Does saying "personal" instead of "name" or "handle" really need to be
a
> >> "social error" in the amateur community?
>
> > No, it doesn't need to be used at all. The user should have presense of
> >mind enough to learn a few conventions before he heels his own tongue.
> >If he doesn't he'e better be a fairly fast learner.
>
> If I were getting into a new hobby that involved a community, as does ham
> radio, and was given the impression that I "better" be a fairly fast
learner,
> or I would receive a public lashing for what I felt was an innocent choice
of
> words, I would very quickly decide that is not a caring, sharing
community. I
> almost certainly would find a different circle, because with friends like
that,
> I would not need enemies.
>
> Fortunately, when I first became a ham and probably made "gaffs" that I
wasn't
> even aware of, I didn't receive the type of "welcome" you are describing.
>
> IMHO, telling people what they "better" do is not in the spirit of ham
radio
> that I have respected for decades.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>




From 2833045789175280135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 18:08:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7he9fb.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <fW3a6.1008$e5.2507723@news.ntplx.net>
<20010120014527.22779.00000703@nso-fa.aol.com>
```

Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Lines: 24
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:09:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980042943 206.58.44.51 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:09:03 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:09:03 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13622

On 20 Jan 2001 06:45:27 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>OOs and run-of-the-mill hams can ID them illegal kerchunkers with
>"fingerprint techniques?"

No biggie, providing one has the specific software, receiver with properly-modified AGC and wideband output and a late model PC and VCR. The technique was developed by a ham at Boeing who sold/gave it to the FCC in the early 1990s and AFAIK the program is available "on the market".

Whether one has the necessary training and qualifications to interpret the results in a manner to be accepted as expert testimony is another matter.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -3316540850326024091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 18:08:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7hdana.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<3A6753C0.D5BB4E59@uci.edu> <3A677CBF.762F0C9C@some.net>
<3A67ACFC.D69389FA@dvol.com> <94bc2b$96j$0@208.206.142.99>
<3A69CFAB.DE834B8@dvol.com> <sQka6.3319$AN.622105@nntp1.onemain.com>
<3A69E370.6624479A@dvol.com> <7Bla6.3332$AN.637718@nntp1.onemain.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 26
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:09:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980042942 206.58.44.51 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:09:02
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:09:02 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11084
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13623
```

On Sat, 20 Jan 2001 13:25:20 -0600, Richard McCollum wrote:

>just look at Enron.com and select Enron Wind. Incidentally, they run a
>1.6 MW plant at Tehatchapi CA.

1.6 MW? That takes about 3000 HP to generate....any respectable freight locomotive prime mover can do that.

(That's Tehachapi, BTW).

There has been a "windmill farm" in Altamont Pass, 45 miles east of San Francisco, for over 25 years. As long as the state PUC mandated that the PG&E had to buy its power at retail rates, it worked.   When the state subsidy ended, PG&E said "thanks but no thanks".

I wonder whether the windmills were spinning this week.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -8262570172874743028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9d4c156697d60c7c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5359759c97114fcd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 18:08:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7helbc.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A669B65.ED11B43A@j.s> <3A676ED1.D7B713B9@usa.net>
<3A682BF1.E66F584E@j.s> <3A68FEC0.393946DA@j.s> <3A6905FB.43C0B011@usa.net>
<3A69320D.1CF9CECE@j.s>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: cellphone block
Lines: 24
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:09:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980042944 206.58.44.51 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:09:04
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:09:04 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11085
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13624
```

On Sat, 20 Jan 2001 06:36:56 GMT, JC wrote:

>I'm just gonna find an appropriate FCC section and send it to
>school.   As to making sure jammers are using jammer, they
>annouced "one will not be able to use cellphone inside the
>building as we have a cellphone blocker in use" on bulletin.

Call the FCC Consumer Assistance Center (1-888-225-5322) and request that they send/FAX you a copy of the FCC Public Notice issued a few months ago warning that the use of these devices is unlawful. If that does not persuade the school management to cease and desist, call the same number and lodge a complaint, which will be investigated. This has a much higher priority than kerchunking on two meters.

--

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 1210798250257016683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 18:32:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6A4A2D.9B3D31BD@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
References: <20010120142846.14777.00000814@nso-bd.aol.com>
<20010120192902.03154.00000335@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 21:32:13 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.45
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980044311 206.20.144.45 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:31:51
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:31:51 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13625
```

W1RFI wrote:

```
> >From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>
> >Maybe there will be a scientific breakthrough soon where
> >there will be separate EM spectra just for the different
> >radio services...
>
> The ultrawideband folks are saying... naw, never mind. :-)\

>
TRY me!
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv
```

```
From -4171319399313941871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 18:38:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6A4B86.DDE5D557@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94ctfo$39n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 84
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 21:37:58 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.45
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980044656 206.20.144.45 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:37:36
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:37:36 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13626
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16093 alt.radio.family:3491 rec.radio.cb:22800
```

K0HB wrote:

> N0MHS writes:
>
> > The rapidly-expanding Family Radio Service has exposed
> > millions of young people to the world of radio communications.
> > But now, where is a you kid to go who has is interested in
> > experimenting with radios....... clip.
>
> OK, rather than a bunch of old geezers sitting around casting
> cold water on Stewarts idea, let's look at it from the view
> of the intended market.
>

> Dick and Jane, 14 years old, have "had it" with the limitations of the FRS and now are "interested in experimenting with radios". They've heard of something called "ham radio" and are investigating the entry classes.
>
> Jane says "Here's something called the Technician class. You have to take a simple 35-question test."
>
> Dick says "Here's something called the Colt class. You have to take a simple 25-question test from the same pool."
>
> Dick and Jane decide to investigate further, to see what difference the 10 additional simple questions make.
>
> Dick says "Colt gives you six simplex voice/digital channels on 446, and another six simplex voice/digital channels on 223MHz. You can even build a simple little repeater between them, as long as input and output are not on the same band."
>
> Jane says "Technician gives you 22,243 Megahertz of spectrum on 16 bands between 50MHz and 250GHz. Holy Cow, Dick, that's millions and millions of frequencies instead of just 12."
>
> Dick says "With a Colt license you can transmit 5 watts of power. That's a lot better than FRS!"
>
> Jane says "5 watts is for sissies. With Technician we can transmit 1,500 watts of power!"
>
> Dick says "Yes, but the Colt radios are cheap."
>
> Jane says "Sure, but there are lots and lots of used radios around for Technicians, even cheaper, and your Colt radio will be basicly useless if you upgrade."
>
> Dick says "Hey, but I could put my Colt antenna 20 feet above the house if I want."
>
> Jane says "Big deal, Technicians have no arbitrary height limit like that; they can go as high as zoning laws allow, and even higher with conditional use permits."
>
> Dick says "The Colt license will be a lot of other kids just like me."
>
> Jane says "I thought we were sick of kid stuff, and wanted to experiment. The Technician license lets us talk to kids and doctors and lawyers and indian chiefs and satelites and astronauts and W1RFI even."
>
> Dick says "Colts can use both voice and digital modes."
>
> Jane says "Hey, with only 10 more simple questions the Technicians

> are allowed all of that, and other neat stuff like SSTV, FSTV,
> telecommand, and space communications."
>
> Dick says "Jane, you are right. This Colt thing is really lame.
> I always said you were smarter than me. I could just kiss you."
>
> Jane says "Dick, only after you pass your Technician License
> test, and keep your clammy paws off my double bazooka antenna."
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

>
Beautiful.
>
w3rv

From 6589639254340262885
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 19:00:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:49:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <94dinf$jbc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.52
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 02:49:20 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.52
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13627

In article <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net>,
  "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> For God's sake Ed, you are working so hard to be politically correct.
I
> guess if a kid is learning baseball, you should not point out he is
running
> the bases in the wrong direction for fear he will give up baseball?
>
> Give me a single reason we should be bending over and kissing some
snot

> nosed kids ass to get him active in ham radio! If they are interested,
I
> will bust my butt to help them.

Too late, I think its already broke.

> I have Elmered more than a few in my time, but at no point did I waste
time
> on anyone who had no interest.
>
> I had one guy wanted to be a ham and was having trouble with the code.
It
> took me a while to realize his problem was not the code, he did not
know how
> to read or write. I worked with him for over a year and he earned his
> ticket. I remember him struggling to read to become a ham, then I
listen to
> all the cock and bull about "how tough it is on the children to get a
> ticket".
>
> Give me a break!
> --
> Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
> Executive Grand Pooper - No Hassle International
> Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
> "We want, therefore we deserve"
> Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"We think, therefore, we are more brillianter than everyone else."

Brought to you by the tired old fart from the Jelly School of Inductive
Reasoning.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7562131849256256947
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 19:10:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 03:01:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <94djeo$js5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

References: <949a92$6rk$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010120104137.00714.00003152@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.52
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 03:01:46 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.52
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13628

In article <20010120104137.00714.00003152@nso-md.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <949a92$6rk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
>
> >
> >However, taken in the context of the Clint DeSoto thread where I
> >highlighted the idea of an amateur radio brotherhood, he implied that
> >hams talking to hams OVER THE INTERNET have no need to share in any
ham
> >brotherhood.
> >
> >Such an idea spouted from your mouth in years gone by. You appear to
> >have a loyal following.
>
> Brian:
>
> As long as I continue to speak the truth, why shouldn't I have a
> loyal following? This is Usenet. A part of the Internet. It is not
> Amateur Radio in any way, shape, or form. In this and other
> amateur-radio related newsgroups, amateur radio is the major
> topic, but still, ham radio it's not. We are not communicating
> over-the-air on assigned amateur radio frequencies or operating
> under the authority of our FCC-granted amateur radio licenses.
> No such license is required to post here. To pick up the mic
> of my 2-meter rig, press the PTT button, and say "K3LT
> listening," I need a license. To post here, I don't. Get the idea
> now, Brian?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

And thus you shed all the Clint DeSoto notion of a fellowship of hams
who share a mutual respect, which was the thread that K0HB was trying to
promote, except without the fellowship and mutual respect. I think it
had something about learning the code, which apparently is far more
important than learning fellowship and mutual respect.

At this point I think its probably OK to tell you to join the KMA club
and ask you to retell your "Favorite Black on the Bus" story, since
we're not ditty boppin or QSLing.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1985827083735555455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 19:10:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: southpark_meskin@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 03:03:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 61
Message-ID: <94djhl$jsq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A662EB5.79A21E16@dvol.com> <20010118232829.09475.00000874@nso-fj.aol.com> <3A689357.3A2A@bellsouth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.191.171.105
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 03:03:20 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95; 3COM U.S. Robotics)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 208.191.171.105
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDsouthpark_meskin
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13630

In article <3A689357.3A2A@bellsouth.net>,
joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:

> Len,
>
> I don't know about "officially" requiring a license, but I have asked for licenses at hamfests on transceivers and amplifiers. Yes, some of 'em got mad, but, knowing that Riley H. and company were roaming the premises, I wouldn't take the risk of selling to a CBer (found that out because the person{s} told me so).
>
> I DO think that cash or equivilent prizes should be substituted to non-hams at hamfests instead of handing out IC706MKIIGs to the general public. Some of these items are sure to end up being used illegally. Some hamfests do this, others don't---but I wish they would. Could be the source of that "mysterious" kerchunker on 2M is a handheld won by a person without a clue as to the laws governing its use.
>
> Jerr
> K4KWH

>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A662EB5.79A21E16@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly
<kelly@dvol.com> writes:
> >
> > >> There is no time machine to go back to undo the past. There
> > >> is the possibility that the existing and future FCC can solve
> > >> some problems in US civil radio. Support the FCC in
> > >> regulation of radio...or become lawless vigilantes who are
> > >> outnumbered by others. There is no honor or respect in
> > >> vigilanteism.
> > > >
> > >Indeed and agreed. Probable factoid: The FCC would much prefer
dealing
> > >with some inane but basically harmless freebanders than they would
a
> > >phlanx of amateur (in the more common sense) "helper" frequency
cops up
> > >their butts.
> >
> > A problem with any vigilante group of self-styled "cops" occurs
> > when they run into another vigilante group having different
> > ideas of what is "best." Nobody wins except the Real cops.
> >
> > Remember that CB users outnumber radio amateurs by a
> > considerable ratio.
> >
> > didit
>

senior jerry he want to be the radio policia all over the land out
there. He makes mucho the troubles for the cb peoples

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5507300537312353964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 19:10:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: southpark_meskin@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 03:01:12 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 57

```
Message-ID: <94djdm$jrq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
<t695j69u9fl07e@corp.supernews.com> <1r996toaqnk5q8jgqnilghsd9abk9flvuu@4ax.com>
<t69glrek8fakea@corp.supernews.com> <3A64E9EB.9AD1A836@dvol.com>
<t6bl222c3ddje5@corp.supernews.com> <3A662B55.A451E26@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.191.171.105
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 03:01:12 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95; 3COM U.S.
Robotics)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 208.191.171.105
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDsouthpark_meskin
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13629

In article <3A662B55.A451E26@dvol.com>,
  Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> > > on ham radio. Fact is they don't have any real impact on anything
which is
> > why
> > > they're on the low end of the FCC's list of priorities.
> >
> > They maybe on  the  low end of the FCC's list, but the ARRL is
interested in
> > how many show up on 10m.
>
> >
> They've been on the low end of ten for eons when the spots are up and
I've been
> there, too. Right off most of those who are audible here are Central
and South
> American stations which nobody in this country including the FCC or
the ARRL can
> do anything about. I've never had a problem working either thru or
around them
> with 100 watts worth of cw, they're just some more ignorable garbage
on the ham
> bands. I'd sure as hell rather have to deal with a freebander on ten
for a new
> one than I would have to deal with Radio Moscow for a new one on 40.
> >
>
> > Now with the creation of MURS they have an excuse
> > to use opened up Ham 2m rigs, and most likely start to show up on
2m. I
> > guess you figure if they don't bother you it must not be a problem
for
> > anyone else.
>
> >
```

> I chose to not make it a problem for myself. You wanna make it a
problem for
> yourself go for it and good luck "fixing" it.
> >
>
> > --
> > Leland C. Scott
> > KC8LDO
>
> >
> w3rv
>
>
senior scott he give the cb peoples lots of the troubles over there.
He no good.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8716246785018905063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 19:20:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: 21 Jan 2001 03:20:42 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <94dkia$61a@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94d1od$6rl$1@iac5.navix.net>
<94d333$37h@dispatch.concentric.net> <YVoa6.2453$ed.2021008@nntp2.onemain.com>
Reply-To: "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.186.208
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13631
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16097 alt.radio.family:3493 rec.radio.cb:22809

Well you guys insist on cross posting your BS to this NG. I asked more than once to end it I am tired of wading through your nonsense to read what I come here for.

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:YVoa6.2453$ed.2021008@nntp2.onemain.com...
>
> "CitySlicker" attempted to dump 3/4 megabits into my mail server.
>
> Nothing that is said on any newsgripe in Usenet is worth 750 KB
>
> Killfiled for arrogance beyond belief.
>
>

From 6130797100269827954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 19:34:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 21:24:18 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A6A5662.106B5865@IEEE.org>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94d1od$6rl$1@iac5.navix.net>
<94d333$37h@dispatch.concentric.net> <YVoa6.2453$ed.2021008@nntp2.onemain.com>
<94dkia$61a@dispatch.concentric.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.61
Mime-Version: 1.0

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 03:33:47 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13632
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16098 alt.radio.family:3494 rec.radio.cb:22813

CitySlicker wrote:
> Well you guys insist on cross posting your BS to this NG. I asked more than
> once to end it I am tired of wading through your nonsense to read what I
> come here for.

Your objection will only cause people who enjoy getting goats to
just try even harder to get yours. Why not just use your newsreader
kill file feature like I do? There is no way you or I can stop
others from crossposting.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 5121260865465598992
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 19:36:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 21:26:16 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A6A56D8.6B598F4E@IEEE.org>
References: <94d56e$d31$0@208.207.71.177> <20010120191257.03154.00000333@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.61
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 03:35:43 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13633

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >Repeatedly you assert that the test is pretty much worthlessand most
> >unnecessary.
>
```

```
> I have never said that, Dick. Did you really understand that from my words, or
> does it suit your Agenda somehow to try to convince people that that is what I
> "really" mean?

Dick has this delusion firmly set in his concrete brain. "Anyone who
is happy about lowering the Morse code requirement to 5wpm also wants
to eliminate all testing." There's no way to fight a delusion, Ed.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -8311608133792034970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 19:39:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 21:29:22 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A6A5792.240BC56F@IEEE.org>
References: <949a92$6rk$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010120104137.00714.00003152@nso-
md.aol.com> <94djeo$js5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.61
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 03:38:50 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13634

Brian wrote:
> I think it
> had something about learning the code, which apparently is far more
> important than learning fellowship and mutual respect.

Of course, fellowship and mutual respect is impossible without Morse
code. Where have you been, Brian?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 6939140704576662418
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 19:43:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7hlt8e.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com> <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-fk.aol.com> <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com> <sZia6.3281$AN.591979@nntp1.onemain.com> <94d2kb$745$0@208.207.71.177>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 85
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 03:43:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980048593 206.58.44.51 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 03:43:13 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 03:43:13 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13635

On 20 Jan 2001 22:14:35 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>> While micropower installations are probably a good idea, presenting in
>> general only the risk of electrocuting your linemen from an unknown
>> source,

Not if the system is properly designed, with lock-outs and
safety precautions taken. A while back I watched a transformer
switch-out and was heartened to see the crew hot-stick a line
that they themselves cut out and grounded. The electrician
installing a tap for a second subscriber on the premises entry
lugs (the reason for the switch-out) went in and probed the
meter-board even though he watched the transformer being hauled
down from the pole and the secondary leads were clearly waving
in the breeze.

Take no chances, or as the ARRL pubs say "Switch to Safety".

When my wife worked at the Dow Chemical plant in Pittsburg,
CA she was horrified to see the cogeneration primary and the
utility primary cross on the same pole....a disaster waiting to
happen (and it did, with attendant fireworks).

As I said, "properly designed"....

> All that water flowing from the Delta down to Los Angles in the
>California Aquaduct always seemed to be wasted energy since enroute it

>could easily be generating electricity several times over. I know of one reservoir enroute where water storage is shared with power generation.

The California Aqueduct originates in the Oroville Dam (on the Feather River) where PG&E generates the hydro. There are several small hydro plants above there on the Feather River. Which one are you referring to?

>Then down south of Bakersfield where the Valley runs into the mountains it all has to be pumped, using a LOT of electricity of course, across those mountains on the last leg of the journey to LA.

IIRC most of the "hops" on the California Aqueduct are siphons, where the function of the pumps is to start the siphon action. My recollectuion is that the entire system is balanced to the point that even including the electricity necessary for the pumps, there is an excess of juice which is sold to the utilities.

>California has done apretty good job of taking care of its water needs, but seems to have sorta overlooked a stable supply for its longterm electrical requirements.

"Vote Yes on Water" - 1960 Prop. A - built that project.

>In the Upper Napa Valley there is a fair sized geothermal generating plant online now.

The Geysers is a very old plant that PG&E sold off because it was no longer cost-effective.

> I have little faith in elected officialdom in the Golden State. I spend at least a month each year out there, and have for a couple decades, from south of San Diego to the Amtrak exit at the northern border.

The last Amtrak stop before Oregon is Redding, which is quite a distance from the border. Do you take Amtrak? I make the rail trip between Portland and Oakland/San Francisco at least once a year, more if I can get someone else to foot the bill.

>The worrysome feature of California is that it always seemed to be about 20 years ahead of the rest of the country in most areas of modern life.

That's why I moved to California directly I graduated from engineering school (where my major was electrical power generation and transmission) almost 45 years ago.

>That has at times seemed a bit scary.

That's why we moved to Oregon in 1999 - Oregon is what the good in California was 40 years ago, and alas is no longer.

```
--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From -7522461986999495418
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 19:43:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 21:33:12 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com> <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.61
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 03:41:52 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13636

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > Does saying "personal" instead of "name" or "handle" really need to be a
> > "social error" in the amateur community?
>
> No, it doesn't need to be used at all. The user should have presense of
> mind enough to learn a few conventions before he heels his own tongue.
> If he doesn't he'e better be a fairly fast learner.

Or what, Dick? Declare him a witch and burn him at the stake? If all
hams were like you, the FCC would abolish the ARS.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 2278045650120962277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 19:46:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 21:36:55 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A6A5957.FA0731DD@IEEE.org>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.61
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 03:46:24 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13637
```

W4JLE wrote:

> For God's sake Ed, you are working so hard to be politically correct. I
> guess if a kid is learning baseball, you should not point out he is running
> the bases in the wrong direction for fear he will give up baseball?

Would you call him a dumb $hit idiot in the process and give him 50
lashes? We're talking about degree here. It's pretty obvious that
some OFs simply want to keep the newcomers out of "their" sandbox.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 4710527164190568782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 20:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news.tele.dk!195.54.122.107!
newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 04:14:35 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <94dnn7$n8b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A68771F.ED7B32E0@wgcr.org>
```

```
<3A687987.6CC68AA6@zylay.com> <94a30e$tr0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A689446.56D6CB1C@zylay.com> <94a7g1$220$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94aaga$ese$1@iac5.navix.net> <94aesc$968$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94akj6$e1i$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94cbbn$kvf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.102.44
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 04:14:35 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 64.12.102.44
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13638

In article <94cbbn$kvf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> In article <94akj6$e1i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,

>   Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> >      Noble effort, Don Quixote.
>
> Can we limit the discussion to the idea, and not degrade this to
> a discussion of personalities?

     Seems you're the one bringing it up, and the comment wasn't
directed at you, Hans.

     What's up with that?

> --
>  "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
>   eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
>   to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
>   Morse."

     And even further too brief for me to give a hoot about what you
are or are not concerned about.



--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -5080524072448061 22
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 20:33:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
```

newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <mibk6ts5gemuta44dg9g1asjquu77014q4@4ax.com>
References: <a08f6t8i07a3qkerqfocd7r8o5depo54c2@4ax.com>
<20010120140644.22806.00000196@ng-fm1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 04:32:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980051579 209.86.205.187 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:32:59 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:32:59 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13639

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>It is all relative, isn't it? Do you believe that passing the entry-level test
>of today -- the Technician exam, demonstrates more or less electronics and
>radio knowledge than passing the entry-level test of 1963?

If I said the 1963 test was a joke, would you agree with me that the
2001 test is a joke? Not likely. So why do you keep going there? Let's
just discuss the merits of the test of today. Do you think that a test
that allows people to pass without knowing the material is a good
test??   :-)

>I posted the 1963 Novice study guide in another post;
>I will send it to you if you missed it.

I got it and your effort is much appreciated. Thanks...

From 1149480716039066745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 20:33:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <efbk6tkijke4qscv3m60d6d635971m19im@4ax.com>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com>

X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 27
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 04:33:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980051583 209.86.205.187 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:33:03 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:33:03 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13640

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>Quite permissible [to get on the air with no license] under the rules
>as a third-party participant under a control operator.

Well Ed, KN7ENT was the first call I ever used on the air (in 1957). I chose it because it was not yet found in the callbook, and because my best friends name was KENT... :-)

>Does saying "personal" instead of "name" or "handle" really need to be a
>"social error" in the amateur community?

No it doesn't. In fact "personal" may become acceptable ham jargon in a few years. "Handle" which was once acceptable is starting not to be. Who knows why or how these social rules come about, but they are a fact of life. And those who insist on breaking them, either on purpose or in ignorance, should not be surprised if they are treated differently...

I recently moved to a large retirement community with thousands of people. When out walking just about everybody here greets you as you pass. But if I were to go just a few miles outside this retirement community to the nearest mall, and started saying hello to everyone I pass, they would likely be calling the cops on me for being a weirdo. Even making unwanted eye contact while driving on the freeway can cause you problems these days. Social rules don't make always make sense, but if you don't know them and/or don't follow them, expect some difficulty in life...

From -5400000465932241125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 20:38:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Bill, W7TI" <w7ti@jps.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Enough already
Reply-To: w7ti@jps.net
Message-ID: <uopk6t05cfk9e8ereacd33m8b719erhg88@4ax.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94d1od$6rl$1@iac5.navix.net>
<94d333$37h@dispatch.concentric.net> <YVoa6.2453$ed.2021008@nntp2.onemain.com>
<94dkia$61a@dispatch.concentric.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 20:37:20 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.239.217.155
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 980051689 209.239.217.155 (Sat, 20 Jan 2001 23:34:49
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 23:34:49 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13641
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16099 alt.radio.family:3497 rec.radio.cb:22833
```

On 21 Jan 2001 03:20:42 GMT, "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote:

>Well you guys insist on cross posting your BS to this NG. I asked more than
>once to end it I am tired of wading through your nonsense to read what I
>come here for.

Does the word "killfile" have any meaning to you? I too get tired of reading posts complaining about posts. Consider yourself killfiled.

Bill, W7TI

```
From 4741520762787285455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 21:10:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.34.3!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7hq1h0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94d1od$6rl$1@iac5.navix.net>
<94d333$37h@dispatch.concentric.net> <YVoa6.2453$ed.2021008@nntp2.onemain.com>
<94dkia$61a@dispatch.concentric.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Enough already
Lines: 15
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 05:11:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980053861 206.58.44.19 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 05:11:01
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 05:11:01 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3499 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16100
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13642 rec.radio.cb:22837

On 21 Jan 2001 03:20:42 GMT, CitySlicker wrote:

>Well you guys insist on cross posting your BS to this NG. I asked more than
>once to end it I am tired of wading through your nonsense to read what I
>come here for.

  Which group is "this NG"?  I see your message cross-posted
  into FOUR groups.  Look at your headers and tell us which ones
  to not find you in.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From -1982852991069853127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 22:21:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 21 Jan 2001 06:21:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 146
Message-ID: <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169>
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com> <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-fk.aol.com> <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com> <sZia6.3281$AN.591979@nntp1.onemain.com> <94d2kb$745$0@208.207.71.177> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7hlt8e.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.169
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13643

Phil Kane wrote:
>
>
A while back I watched a transformer
> switch-out and was heartened to see the crew hot-stick a line
> that they themselves cut out and grounded. The electrician
> installing a tap for a second subscriber on the premises entry
> lugs (the reason for the switch-out) went in and probed the
> meter-board even though he watched the transformer being hauled
> down from the pole and the secondary leads were clearly waving
> in the breeze.
>
> Take no chances, or as the ARRL pubs say "Switch to Safety".

Last week I noticed a tag on a electric utility truck that said "If if isn't grounded it isn't dead". Good plan. HV can be insidious. We had a rural firefighting crew that got tangled up with a downed HT line that had fallen across a fence last year. Killed one and badly injured another. Real Bumnmer.

> When my wife worked at the Dow Chemical plant in Pittsburg,
> CA she was horrified to see the cogeneration primary and the
> utility primary cross on the same pole....a disaster waiting to
> happen (and it did, with attendant fireworks).
>
> As I said, "properly designed"....

There's no substitute.

> > All that water flowing from the Delta down to Los Angles in the
> >California Aquaduct always seemed to be wasted energy since enroute it
> >could easily be generating electricity several times over. I know of one
> >reservoir enroute where water storage is shared with power generation.
>
> The California Aqueduct originates in the Oroville Dam (on the
> Feather River) where PG&E generates the hydro. There are
> several small hydro plants above there on the Feather River.
> Which one are you referring to?

The one at San Luis Reservoir, near Los Banos. A hydro plant is located there, as evidenced by the transmission facilities located there. I wasn't familar with the one at Oroville dam. When I have asked where the aqueduct originated the answer I always got was "The Delta".

Hydro is a small percentage of the total generated, of course. Here where there is a series of hydro dams on the White river it amounts to only 5 percent of the total. And here it's mostly used for peaking.

> >Then down south of Bakersfield where the Valley runs into the mountains
> >it all has to be pumped, using a LOT of electricity of course, across
> >those mountains on the last leg of the journey to LA.
>
> IIRC most of the "hops" on the California Aqueduct are siphons,
> where the function of the pumps is to start the siphon action.
> My recollectuion is that the entire system is balanced to the
> point that even including the electricity necessary for the
> pumps, there is an excess of juice which is sold to the utilities.

All very interesting and again shows that things aren't always what they seem.

I had noticed all that water moving south and, being from hydroelectric country, had thought that a lot of generating capacity appeared to be going unused. That made sense, given the cheap easy availability of power until recent times. And the big pump station and huge tubes headed up into the mountains south of Bakersfield sure look like power hogs. I wasn't aware that siphoning was an option.

> >California has
> >done apretty good job of taking care of its water needs, but seems to
> >have sorta overlooked a stable supply for its longterm electrical
> >requirements.
>
> "Vote Yes on Water" - 1960 Prop. A - built that project.

You suppose the next promotion will be "Vote Yes on Juice"?

>
> >In the Upper Napa Valley there is a fair sized geothermal generating
> >plant online now.

>
> The Geysers is a very old plant that PG&E sold off because it was
> no longer cost-effective.

We've visited the Calistoga Geyser, and I recently read a real short blurb in some news mag that caught my eye about a new geothermal generating facility coming online in that area of the upper Napa valley. It didn't give details. Looks like the increasing cost of fossil fuel may renew interest in developing geothermal sources, maybe on the east slope of the Sierras around Bishop? They seem to have lots of it in that area, if it doesn't blow first.

> The last Amtrak stop before Oregon is Redding, which is quite
> a distance from the border. Do you take Amtrak? I make the
> rail trip between Portland and Oakland/San Francisco at least
> once a year, more if I can get someone else to foot the bill.

We made the Coast Starlight run from the SF area to Seattle a few times when daughter and her family lived up there. Quite a scenic trip through the Cascades, and Mount Shasta is visible for over 50 miles. Really enjoyable.
Alas, the kids relocated to the Salt Lake City area.

> >The worrysome feature of California is that it always seemed to be
> >about 20 years ahead of the rest of the country in most areas of modern
> >life.

> That's why I moved to California directly I graduated from
> engineering school (where my major was electrical power
> generation and transmission) almost 45 years ago.

Once that was an attraction. Today, no. Seems that it peaked.
We were in the Bay area for a week in late November. Flew into Oakland and drove out to Livermore. Traffic is unrelenting regardless of the time of day or night, and you don't drive away from it when you leave the city as you once did. It's everywhere, and it has steadily worsened over the 20 years we've been visiting there. We took BART back into the city for that side trip and left the rental car parked.
We didn't get out to Altamont Pass this trip, but in June when we were there the wind-gen-mills were going full tilt. I hadn't heard that PG&E was no longer buying their output. Evidently someone is.

Once we toyed with the idea of resettling somewhere in California in retirement, but have abandoned the idea. It appears the best of it is history. And if they don't get that power situation resolved the unpleasant future is now.

```
> >That has at times seemed a bit scary.
>
>   That's why we moved to Oregon in 1999 - Oregon is what
>   the good in California was 40 years ago, and alas is no longer.


 That's certainly plain to me. Seems it was a wise move.

73, Dick W0EX


From 8155064934244006488
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 22:26:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Message-ID: <020l6tgrcaqqmu7977klln4irdhclekk02@4ax.com>
References: <fW3a6.1008$e5.2507723@news.ntplx.net>
<20010120014527.22779.00000703@nso-fa.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7he9fb.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 06:26:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.208
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980058399 209.86.206.208 (Sat, 20 Jan
2001 22:26:39 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 20 Jan 2001 22:26:39 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13644

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
>  Whether one has the necessary training and qualifications
>  to interpret the results in a manner to be accepted as expert
>  testimony is another matter.

As you have previously pointed out, the Feds don't really care about
our small potato violations, so what good would expert testimony do
anyway...


From 8186562167681585791
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 22:31:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 21 Jan 2001 06:31:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com> <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177>
<3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.169
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13645

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > Does saying "personal" instead of "name" or "handle" really need to be a
> > > "social error" in the amateur community?
> >
> > No, it doesn't need to be used at all. The user should have presense of
> > mind enough to learn a few conventions before he heels his own tongue.
> > If he doesn't he'e better be a fairly fast learner.
>
> Or what, Dick? Declare him a witch and burn him at the stake?

No, Nimrod. Or else some impatient sort will tell him that which he'd
have learned on his own if he wasn't such a clueless dip. They get more
than a good opportunity to learn. It's when they display skulls of
granite that the hammers come out. ANd you have no idea what kind of a
ham I am based on what happens here.

From 5901519240756960253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 22:36:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 21 Jan 2001 06:36:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <94e01b$kaa$0@208.207.71.169>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6A5957.FA0731DD@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.169
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13646

Cecil wrote:
>
> W4JLE wrote:
> > For God's sake Ed, you are working so hard to be politically correct. I
> > guess if a kid is learning baseball, you should not point out he is running
> > the bases in the wrong direction for fear he will give up baseball?
>
> Would you call him a dumb $hit idiot in the process and give him 50
> lashes?

No, but you sure would gently set him straight. And if he broke down
bawling like a girl because of it you'd set him on the bench at least
until his tears dried.

We're talking about degree here. It's pretty obvious that
> some OFs simply want to keep the newcomers out of "their" sandbox.

Drawing conclusions based on our personal biases again are we? You
assume a lot from wild tales told in ways sure to elicit sympathy where
it isn't always truly deserved.

From 5854401681698935583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 22:46:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: 21 Jan 2001 06:46:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <94e0k8$ll4$0@208.207.71.169>
References: <fW3a6.1008$e5.2507723@news.ntplx.net>
<20010120014527.22779.00000703@nso-fa.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7he9fb.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<020l6tgrcaqqmu7977klln4irdhclekk02@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.169
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13647

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> > Whether one has the necessary training and qualifications
> > to interpret the results in a manner to be accepted as expert
> > testimony is another matter.
>
> As you have previously pointed out, the Feds don't really care about
> our small potato violations, so what good would expert testimony do
> anyway...

Basically becuz some are not so small....

From 6662578109532593802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 23:08:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:08:09 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 62
Message-ID: <94e1te$3cd$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <94dinf$jbc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.65.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980060910 3469 166.102.250.65 (21 Jan 2001 07:08:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net

NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 Jan 2001 07:08:30 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13648

Brian, We have had this conversation before. If you cannot address a message without the childish nonsense, don't bother. I don't attack you on a personal basis and would expect you to at least make the attempt to reciprocate.

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94dinf$jbc$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net>,
>   "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> > For God's sake Ed, you are working so hard to be politically correct.
> I
> > guess if a kid is learning baseball, you should not point out he is
> running
> > the bases in the wrong direction for fear he will give up baseball?
> >
> > Give me a single reason we should be bending over and kissing some
> snot
> > nosed kids ass to get him active in ham radio! If they are interested,
> I
> > will bust my butt to help them.
>
> Too late, I think its already broke.
>
> > I have Elmered more than a few in my time, but at no point did I waste
> time
> > on anyone who had no interest.
> >
> > I had one guy wanted to be a ham and was having trouble with the code.
> It
> > took me a while to realize his problem was not the code, he did not
> know how
> > to read or write. I worked with him for over a year and he earned his
> > ticket. I remember him struggling to read to become a ham, then I
> listen to
> > all the cock and bull about "how tough it is on the children to get a
> > ticket".
> >
> > Give me a break!
> > --

> > Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
> > Executive Grand Pooper - No Hassle International
> > Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
> > "We want, therefore we deserve"
> > Send your non-tax deductible donations today!
>
> "We think, therefore, we are more brillianter than everyone else."
>
> Brought to you by the tired old fart from the Jelly School of Inductive
> Reasoning.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

```
From -5310590300927984484
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 23:13:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!
not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:12:56 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <94e26e$3fo$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6A5957.FA0731DD@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.65.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980061198 3576 166.102.250.65 (21 Jan 2001 07:13:18 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 Jan 2001 07:13:18 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13649
```

Cecil, you know as well as I, that any remark that anyone ever heard on a repeater gets expanded to suit the argument at hand. I have in the past condemned "radio cops". Not all polite corrections are critical to someone's ego, at least not to the point that a trip to the nearest shrink is needed.

Some here, on the other hand, feel that the slightest remark is an attack on the self esteem of the poor darling.

We have seen this same nonsense carried to the point if you paddle a kids butt, it is now child abuse.

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A6A5957.FA0731DD@IEEE.org...
> W4JLE wrote:
> > For God's sake Ed, you are working so hard to be politically correct. I
> > guess if a kid is learning baseball, you should not point out he is
running
> > the bases in the wrong direction for fear he will give up baseball?
>
> Would you call him a dumb $hit idiot in the process and give him 50
> lashes? We're talking about degree here. It's pretty obvious that
> some OFs simply want to keep the newcomers out of "their" sandbox.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1853029046726425753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-20 23:17:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!osa.uu.net!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 02:17:07 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <94e2e9$3m8$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <948qsm$jj8$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010120140106.22806.00000193@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.65.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980061449 3784 166.102.250.65 (21 Jan 2001 07:17:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 Jan 2001 07:17:29 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13650

Nice to know your troll meter is working...

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We  want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010120140106.22806.00000193@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >I should know better than to communicate with someone that is my
inferior...
>
> Now that looks like a bona fide attempt to capture someone's goat...
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 5577436587981366469
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9d4c156697d60c7c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,df18b46d585b6a92
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5359759c97114fcd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 01:18:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6AA81D.621DED73@j.s>
From: JC <jayc@j.s>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: cellphone block
References: <3A669B65.ED11B43A@j.s> <3A676ED1.D7B713B9@usa.net>
<3A682BF1.E66F584E@j.s> <3A68FEC0.393946DA@j.s> <3A69224A.C61EBE04@some.net>
<94ckms$t8i$1@iac5.navix.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
X-Trace: 9+o0NN2ZyfAiqCzZ5cU6J/NqypWxkd7ReLPk5mdfHF8ZYIO4BiiX5i6PO/
```

FBNq2YdU0OWTbICh+n!lCtbZtw5NMkKRozcAYXeQ9g6pxnJOQ8kuz3TunScWFEce1WSEA==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 09:12:56 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 09:12:56 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11103 rec.radio.amateur:719 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13651

If this W4JLE jerk spent a little less time picking on people, his depression would improve.

W4JLE wrote:

> If this young lad spent a little less time on the phone, his English would
> improve.
>

From -7952560185137606902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 02:42:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com> <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-fk.aol.com> <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com> <sZia6.3281$AN.591979@nntp1.onemain.com> <94d2kb$745$0@208.207.71.177> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7hlt8e.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169>
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <J%ya6.3539$AN.804830@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 04:41:20 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.205
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 980073577 205.138.126.205 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 05:39:37 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 05:39:37 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13652

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169...

> All very interesting and again shows that things aren't always what
> they seem.
> I had noticed all that water moving south and, being from hydroelectric
> country, had thought that a lot of generating capacity appeared to be
> going unused. That made sense, given the cheap easy availability of
> power until recent times. And the big pump station and huge tubes headed
> up into the mountains south of Bakersfield sure look like power hogs. I
> wasn't aware that siphoning was an option.

You tripped a trigger on a project I am about half-surprised hasn't resurfaced lately. Back in the Nasser days the idea was to siphon water out of the Med thru the coastal mountains and flood the Qatar Depression in Western Egypt which is below sea level, recovering electric power on the drop, creating a second Dead Sea for the mining potential, and raising the humidity level in general behind the mountains.

May have been totally ridiculous (Gamel was good for the weird) but it sure wasn't because it would flood valuable real estate.

Dick BK

From -72850200263345907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:14:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 08:14:49 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3A6AE09A.6303AA90@sccoast.net>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.38
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 980082860 245041 207.144.228.38 (21 Jan 2001 13:14:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 13:14:20 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13653

W4JLE wrote:
>
> For God's sake Ed, you are working so
> hard to be politically correct. I
> guess if a kid is learning baseball,
> you should not point out he is running
> the bases in the wrong direction for
> fear he will give up baseball?

But baseball has rules, Fred. And nobody is advocating that anyone ignore the rules relating to ham radio. Instead, what we're talking about is simple, somewhat pompus, traditions (unlike "those" other people, "we" don't say "handle" in ham radio).

And, to me, it seems a little silly to make that big of an issue out of something as simple as this. If a tradition is that worth-while, it will survive on its own with only minimal encouragement. If it isn't, then we probably shouldn't be making an issue out of it at all.

> Give me a single reason we should be
> bending over and kissing some snot
> nosed kids ass to get him active in
> ham radio! If they are interested, I
> will bust my butt to help them.

And I certainly hope you're not judging the interest of others based on whether they use the same words and phrases you do. If so, then I have a problem because I don't know what you use when talking on the radio (these things seem to vary around the country). Can you send me a list of acceptable, and banned, words and phrases?

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 5428518274739462828
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:19:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:18:48 GMT
References: <mibk6ts5gemuta44dg9g1asjquu77014q4@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010121081848.10070.00000564@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13654
```

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

> Do you think that a test that allows people to pass without knowing the
> material is a good test??   :-)

I have answered that question so many times that it is quite apparent that you are not willing to accept the answer. Either you have a comprehension problem, or you are yanking my chain. Either way, I can't help you.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1249517216588971069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9d4c156697d60c7c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5359759c97114fcd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,df18b46d585b6a92
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:20:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A669B65.ED11B43A@j.s> <3A676ED1.D7B713B9@usa.net>
<3A682BF1.E66F584E@j.s> <3A68FEC0.393946DA@j.s> <3A69224A.C61EBE04@some.net>
<94ckms$t8i$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6AA81D.621DED73@j.s>
Subject: Re: cellphone block
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <wjBa6.2563$ed.2374409@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 07:19:16 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.208
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 980083036 205.138.126.208 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 08:17:16
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 08:17:16 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11114 rec.radio.amateur:720
```

rec.radio.amateur.policy:13655

"JC" <jayc@j.s> wrote in message news:3A6AA81D.621DED73@j.s...
>   If this W4JLE jerk spent a little less time picking on people, his
> depression would improve.
>
> W4JLE wrote:
>
> > If this young lad spent a little less time on the phone, his English
would
> > improve.
> >
>

You know this whole discussion makes more sense if JC were a girl. There
isn't a lot of sense in being 14 and female if you can't be a snot.

Dick

From 4135713218056371833
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:22:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:21:37 GMT
References: <3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121082137.10070.00000565@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13656

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>If all hams were like you, the FCC would abolish the ARS.

Although I agree with Dick that new hams benefit from help from more
experienced hams, I was very fortunate; I received no help that has the "tone"
of some of the posts Dick has made here.

Perhaps Riley's recent statements about petty bickering can be applied to our
conduct off the air, too...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3183892304341434561
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:22:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 07:12:55 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A6AE057.A2F8F07A@IEEE.org>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6A5957.FA0731DD@IEEE.org> <94e01b$kaa$0@208.207.71.169>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:21:41 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13657

Dick Carroll wrote:
> No, but you sure would gently set him straight.

"Set him straight"? Are you a chiropractor?
Sounds pretty painful.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -8840345468836266231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:26:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:25:48 GMT
References: <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121082548.10070.00000567@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13658

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>They get more than a good opportunity to learn. It's when they display skulls
of
>granite that the hammers come out.

I have seen you take a hammer to people you don't even know, Dick; how do you know that all those hordes of people memorizing tests containing questions you have never looked at have skulls of granite?

>ANd you have no idea what kind of a ham I am based on what happens here.

Sure we do, Dick. You have described many of your on-the-air and VE escapades here, and, frankly, some of them appeared to me to have been pretty mean spirited. Do you really reserve such conduct ONLY for what happens here? Why would you feel that you don't feel to be appropriate on the air or in your in-person social dealings with hams is appropriate here? For most of the people here, what happens here is the only basis on which they can interpret and judge the worth of what you are saying.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8111079757131319369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:29:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:29:02 GMT
References: <efbk6tkijke4qscv3m60d6d635971m19im@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121082902.10070.00000568@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13659

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>Well Ed, KN7ENT was the first call I ever used on the air (in 1957).
>I chose it because it was not yet found in the callbook, and because
>my best friends name was KENT... :-)

I think I finally understand at least one of the reasons for your anonymity.
It is too bad that there are things in your life that you are ashamed to admit
to. An anon post here really doesn't get it off your chest...

>And those who insist on breaking them, either on purpose
>or in ignorance, should not be surprised if they are treated
>differently...

I am not surprised; I saw such conduct in grammar school and the same people
are still with us.

Are you defending it, opposing it, or just not really interested in it
happening other than it being an amusing topic of conversation here?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2181302932340141011
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:30:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:29:41 GMT
References: <3A6A56D8.6B598F4E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010121082941.10070.00000569@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13660

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Dick has this delusion firmly set in his concrete brain.

Concrete, or granite? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3270141332612388510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:32:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:31:13 GMT
References: <94djeo$js5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Message-ID: <20010121083113.10070.00000570@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13662

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>... which apparently is far more important than learning fellowship
> and mutual respect.

>At this point I think its probably OK to tell you to join the KMA club ...

Does that club promote fellowship and mutual respect?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7292106793699740355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:32:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:31:56 GMT
References: <3A6A5792.240BC56F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: It's like this....

Message-ID: <20010121083156.10070.00000571@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13661

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Of course, fellowship and mutual respect is impossible without Morse
>code. Where have you been, Brian?

He apparently promotes that you can demonstrate fellowship and mutual respect with him by kissing him. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6156940706966761234
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:34:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 07:24:58 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A6AE329.7D55D5DF@IEEE.org>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6A5957.FA0731DD@IEEE.org> <94e26e$3fo$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:35:00 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13663

W4JLE wrote:
> Some here, on the other hand, feel that the slightest remark is an attack on
> the self esteem of the poor darling.

What the heck difference does it make if a new ham or an old ham says 10-4 over a repeater? When someone asks me, "QSL?", I sometimes say "10-4 Gud Buddy" with the proper quiver in my voice just for a laugh. People who ask, "QSL?", over voice personally drive me up the wall but this is a hobby, not the U. S. Marines. Why can't we have Elmers instead of Radio Cops and Drill Sergeants?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 1030867339331441557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,40e4a569d966f5ef,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,40e4a569d966f5ef,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:34:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!kking
From: kking@no-mail.com (Kerry King)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Dumb guys cancel other people's posts
Followup-To: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:34:43 GMT
Organization: none
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94eohj$pjp$0@dosa.alt.net>
Reply-To: abuse@bellsouth.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11115 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13664

Attempting to cancel someone else's post is really, really dumb because:

1. 80% of the news servers now ignore cancel messages, due to past abuse;

2. Automated software run by usenet admins flags such cancel messages as "suspicious" because critical header elements don't match. Suspicious messages are published in a summary which is available to everyone;

3. 99% of ISPs regard rogue cancels as a violation of their terms of service and will terminate the account of anyone caught issuing such cancel messages.

So, if you only catch around 20% of the news servers, trigger software which records your cancels in such a way that you can be traced by your ISP, and if your ISP is among the 99% who will terminate your account for such activity, who is the dumb guy?

From -2527760740059445814
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:36:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!

newsfeed.concentric.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:36:02 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7he9fb.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Message-ID: <20010121083602.10070.00000572@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13665

>From: "Phil Kane"

> The <fingerprinting> technique was developed by a ham at
> Boeing who sold/gave it to the FCC in the early 1990s and
> AFAIK the program is available "on the market".

From the searchable database at http://www.arrl.org/tis/tisfind.html - search word "fingerprinting" :

Company
Motron Electronics
310 Garfield Street - Suite 4
Eugene, OR 97402
USA
Tel: 503-687-2118
Fax: 503-687-2492
http://www.motron.com/
Products and Services
COMPONENT>DTMF COMPONENT; REPEATER EQUIPMENT;
Notes: DTMF Decoders, transmitter fingerprinting, remote control and telemetry systems.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4593486214917679439
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:37:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:36:57 GMT
References: <020l6tgrcaqqmu7977klln4irdhclekk02@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Message-ID: <20010121083657.10070.00000573@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13666

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>As you have previously pointed out, the Feds don't really care about
>our small potato violations ...

Is that why they have put a FCC staffer onto amateur enforcement for about 3 years now?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9021356121802676227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:38:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 07:28:25 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A6AE3F9.648DA686@IEEE.org>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6AE09A.6303AA90@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:37:56 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13667

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   And I certainly hope you're not judging the interest of others based
> on whether they use the same words and phrases you do. If so, then I
> have a problem because I don't know what you use when talking on the
> radio (these things seem to vary around the country). Can you send me a
> list of acceptable, and banned, words and phrases?

A pet peeve of mine is when someone is 20 over 9 on SSB and asks,
"QSL?" I usually say, "No, I don't have any QSL cards". :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3386992340762214182
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:42:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 07:32:15 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A6AE4DF.37CD6086@IEEE.org>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com> <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177>
<3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org> <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:42:18 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13668

Dick Carroll wrote:
> It's when they display skulls of
> granite that the hammers come out. ANd you have no idea what kind of a
> ham I am based on what happens here.

It's pretty clear that your skull is made of granite and your basic
personality certainly comes through loud and clear. I would not
allow you to Elmer my child.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7779068662230260987
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:46:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!

portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:46:07 GMT
References: <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121084607.10070.00000574@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13669

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>For God's sake Ed, you are working so hard to be politically correct. I
>guess if a kid is learning baseball, you should not point out he is running
>the bases in the wrong direction for fear he will give up baseball?

>Give me a single reason we should be bending over and kissing some snot
>nosed kids ass ...

Is that the technique we can expect you to you when you "point out" that the young sportsman is doing something wrong? Would you at least do it privately, or would you think it best for "baseball" if you said that to him or her in front of the entire team.

Would you equally feel the need to correct him if his field "patter" used different words that the usual "swing, batter can't hit" or whatever words are in vogue with kids that decade? Isn't that a bit different than running the bases backwards? Now, if the ham had been using FM in the CW-only part of the band instead of choosing a synonym for name that you found offensive, perhaps more immediate action would have been in everyone's best interest.

Sorry, I will take "politically correct" over your verison of "building character." When I was a little boy, my mother never called it "policital correctness;" she called it "politeness," "manners" or "respect."

>If they are interested, I will bust my butt to help them.

Do you think the ham who studied almost 400 questions to get a license was "interested?" I sure hope that your help won't contain the words "snot nosed" or "kiss ass" over a petty "gaff" like "personal" instead of "name."

Frankly, I disagree with your assessment that not berating the new ham publically is bending over or kissing ass.

>then I listen to all the cock and bull about "how tough it is on the
>children to get a ticket".

Let's do a deja news search on the phrase you quoted. Care to guess what the one hit will be?

73,

```
Ed Hare, W1RFI
```

```
From -5921344585373962397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:47:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 07:37:58 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A6AE636.70324072@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com> <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-
fk.aol.com> <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com> <sZia6.3281$AN.591979@nntp1.onemain.com>
<94d2kb$745$0@208.207.71.177> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7hlt8e.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:47:58 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13670

Phil Kane wrote:
>   That's why we moved to Oregon in 1999 - Oregon is what
>   the good in California was 40 years ago, and alas is no longer.

Is it Oregon where they have a sign that says, "Welcome to Oregon,
now go back to CA", or some such?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From 8609220466571315887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:48:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:48:00 GMT
References: <94e1te$3cd$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121084800.10070.00000575@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13671

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>Brian, We have had this conversation before. If you cannot address a message
>without the childish nonsense, don't bother.

Some might feel using "snot-nosed kid" and "kiss my ass" in a post is also
childish nonsense.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 384498029926065961
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:52:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:51:14 GMT
References: <94e01b$kaa$0@208.207.71.169>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121085114.10070.00000576@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13672

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>No, but you sure would gently set him straight.

I don't think that the fellow who would use the terms "snot-nosed kid" and
"kiss my ass" in a post about it would be "gentle."

>And if he broke down bawling like a girl

Only girls should cry?

> because of it you'd set him on the bench at least

>until his tears dried.

If my public, verbal abuse of a child set that child to tears, I think I would feel that I should do more than set him on a bench until he recovered from the public humiliation I had subjected him to.

Besides, the more likely effect is that the kid would give up baseball forever. Some coaches would feel it was worth the price.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8166979681908366462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:54:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:53:36 GMT
References: <3A6A5662.106B5865@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Enough already
Message-ID: <20010121085336.10070.00000577@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13673

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Your objection will only cause people who enjoy getting goats to
>just try even harder to get yours. Why not just use your newsreader
>kill file feature like I do? There is no way you or I can stop
>others from crossposting.

How would we feel if all of the CB newsgroup posts were cross-posted here? Do you think it would be disruptive?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4832850400600574222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 05:56:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 07:46:56 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A6AE850.DCB2FFF5@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6A56D8.6B598F4E@IEEE.org> <20010121082941.10070.00000569@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 13:56:57 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13674

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Dick has this delusion firmly set in his concrete brain.
>
> Concrete, or granite? :-)

Well granite would mean he was born with it. I personally
think he chose to solidify it around the time he "arrived"
and started resting on his rosy red laurels a few decades
ago.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -8580830804128623274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 06:07:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 07:57:52 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
```

Message-ID: <3A6AEADF.369B423D@IEEE.org>
References: <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010121084607.10070.00000574@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 14:06:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13675

W1RFI wrote:
> Is that the technique we can expect you to you when you "point out" that the
> young sportsman is doing something wrong? Would you at least do it privately,
> or would you think it best for "baseball" if you said that to him or her in
> front of the entire team.

Bullies invariably do their bullying in public, Ed, so as to strike
fear in the hearts of the multitude. Such people often put themselves
in a position of power over little kids because they are devoid of
power and respect among adults.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -8397609320601862239
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 06:11:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 08:02:06 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A6AEBDE.BB8CC964@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6A5662.106B5865@IEEE.org> <20010121085336.10070.00000577@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 14:10:52 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13676

```
W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Your objection will only cause people who enjoy getting goats to
> >just try even harder to get yours. Why not just use your newsreader
> >kill file feature like I do? There is no way you or I can stop
> >others from crossposting.
>
> How would we feel if all of the CB newsgroup posts were cross-posted here?  Do
> you think it would be disruptive?

How could rec.radio.amateur.policy possibly be any more disruptive
than it already is?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -3436022876420576319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 06:18:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 14:18:19 GMT
References: <3A6AEBDE.BB8CC964@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Enough already
Message-ID: <20010121091819.18668.00000584@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13677

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>How could rec.radio.amateur.policy possibly be any more disruptive
>than it already is?

I think that I will let that one stand on its own merits.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -4939215905515536357
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 06:23:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
```

```
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 14:21:47 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A6AF07B.87AB21B0@bctv.com>
References: <3A6A5662.106B5865@IEEE.org> <20010121085336.10070.00000577@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-16.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 980087010 65442 63.87.116.16 (21 Jan 2001 14:23:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 Jan 2001 14:23:30 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13678



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Your objection will only cause people who enjoy getting goats to
> >just try even harder to get yours. Why not just use your newsreader
> >kill file feature like I do? There is no way you or I can stop
> >others from crossposting.
>
> How would we feel if all of the CB newsgroup posts were cross-posted here?  Do
> you think it would be disruptive?

How would we be able to tell them from 20wpm Extras?


From -3750523933914650479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 06:29:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 21 Jan 2001 14:28:18 GMT
References: <3A6A4A2D.9B3D31BD@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <20010121092818.18668.00000585@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13679

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>> The ultrawideband folks are saying... naw, never mind. :-)\

>TRY me!

The short of it is that UWB technology uses very short pulses that have a resultant "baseband" bandwidth of several GHz. The frequency range is in the 1-10 GHz area.

Some of the tutorial and article statements I have seen imply that UWB cannot be detected by radio receivers. True enough if the source is far away, but not so if the source is close. Depending on its modulation characteristics, UWB can produce discrete modulation sidebands or can sound noiselike.

See http://www.uwb.org/aboutuwb.html

for links to more information.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2125207480401581366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 07:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 14:55:51 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <94et9m$h8c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94djeo$js5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010121083113.10070.00000570@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.51
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 14:55:51 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.51
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13680

In article <20010121083113.10070.00000570@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>
> >... which apparently is far more important than learning fellowship
> > and mutual respect.
>
> >At this point I think its probably OK to tell you to join the KMA
club ...
>
> Does that club promote fellowship and mutual respect?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Its Dick's club.  Ask him.

Whenever I put on my "Dick Mask" nobody likes it.  I don't even like it.
 I just wanted to see if I can get the same pass he gets.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -3023721780145022412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 07:10:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 15:04:51 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <94etqh$hkb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <94dinf$jbc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94e1te$3cd$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.51
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 15:04:51 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.51
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13681

In article <94e1te$3cd$1@iac5.navix.net>,
  "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> Brian, We have had this conversation before. If you cannot address a
message
> without the childish nonsense, don't bother. I don't attack you on a
> personal basis and would expect you to at least make the attempt to
> reciprocate.

OK, I'll address your assertion that you want to hand select who gets a
radio license and who doesn't.  That isn't going to happen in America.
Its the very "Lodge Hall" mentality that Len has railed against but
everyone denies.  You are living proof it exists.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 2924613315678849558
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 07:20:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 15:08:49 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <94eu1v$hui$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94e1te$3cd$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010121084800.10070.00000575@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.51
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 15:08:49 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.51
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13682

In article <20010121084800.10070.00000575@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >Brian, We have had this conversation before. If you cannot address a
message
> >without the childish nonsense, don't bother.
>
> Some might feel using "snot-nosed kid" and "kiss my ass" in a post is
also
> childish nonsense.
>
```

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

But who gets called on the carpet for KMA? These OFs get a pass until
someone else makes an issue. Then they often still get a pass.

OK, so no one seems to like it when I act like (a) Dick.

I'll go back to my old self.

73, Brian
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7022424632247066362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 07:30:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!intermedia!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 15:23:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <94eusj$ih6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com> <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177>
<3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org> <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.51
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 15:23:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.51
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13683

In article <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> No, Nimrod. Or else some impatient sort will tell him that which he'd
> have learned on his own if he wasn't such a clueless dip. They get
more
> than a good opportunity to learn. It's when they display skulls of
> granite that the hammers come out. ANd you have no idea what kind of a
> ham I am based on what happens here.

No idea? None at all? If that were true, the only explanation is a
dual personality, like Jekyl/Hyde.

"...the hammers come out." Oh good grief. You tell us exactly the kind
of ham you are every day on rrap.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1067100989239257084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 07:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 15:31:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <94evbp$iuh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169> <20010121082548.10070.00000567@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.51
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 15:31:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.51
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13684

In article <20010121082548.10070.00000567@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >ANd you have no idea what kind of a ham I am based on what happens
here.
>
> Sure we do, Dick. You have described many of your on-the-air and VE
escapades
> here, and, frankly, some of them appeared to me to have been pretty
mean
> spirited. Do you really reserve such conduct ONLY for what happens
here? Why
> would you feel that you don't feel to be appropriate on the air or in
your
> in-person social dealings with hams is appropriate here? For most of

the
> people here, what happens here is the only basis on which they can
interpret
> and judge the worth of what you are saying.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

It goes back to the K3LT idea that even though we are (almost) all hams
here, the idea of amateur brotherhood and fellowship can only be invoked
with a cw key. Hans embraced it; Dick lives it.

73, Brian

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1399226355106099634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 07:50:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 15:43:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <94f03r$jej$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org> <20010121082137.10070.00000565@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.51
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 15:43:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.51
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13685

In article <20010121082137.10070.00000565@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >If all hams were like you, the FCC would abolish the ARS.
>
> Although I agree with Dick that new hams benefit from help from more
> experienced hams, I was very fortunate; I received no help that has
the "tone"
> of some of the posts Dick has made here.

>
> Perhaps Riley's recent statements about petty bickering can be applied
to our
> conduct off the air, too...
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed, I heard that. Now that my Dick mask is off, perhaps I won't be so
offensive and petty.

Here's to rational discussion!

Getting back to JLE and testing, I don't see why limiting the number of
times you can take an exam would be a problem. Does retaking an element
quarterly sound OK? Is annualy too long?

Also, Fred was advocating showing a log of contacts prior to upgrading.
Although a log isn't required to be kept anymore, it really does fall
back on good amateur practice (oops there's that silly idea again). Or
merely have a year TIG?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 9002900827497245560
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 08:00:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 15:51:15 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94f0hh$jqt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6A5792.240BC56F@IEEE.org> <20010121083156.10070.00000571@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.51
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 15:51:15 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.51
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13686

In article <20010121083156.10070.00000571@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Of course, fellowship and mutual respect is impossible without Morse
> >code. Where have you been, Brian?
>
> He apparently promotes that you can demonstrate fellowship and mutual respect
> with him by kissing him. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

No, not really. Dick mode: OFF.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4392963250624198560
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 08:17:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6B0B93.58755F27@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com> <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-fk.aol.com> <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com> <sZia6.3281$AN.591979@nntp1.onemain.com> <94d2kb$745$0@208.207.71.177>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 11:17:23 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.66
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980093827 206.20.144.66 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 10:17:07 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 10:17:07 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13687

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> The worrysome feature of California is that it always seemed to be
> about 20 years ahead of the rest of the country in most areas of modern
> life. That has at times seemed a bit scary. Today's crisis just

> emphasizes that feeling. You wonder who else is sitting that close to
> the edge.

>
We'll find out damned quick, this debacle has gotten the attention of the whole country. Over here on the Right Coast the "I toldja so!" opponents of deregulation are up the butts of the whole contorted structure for answers by the numbers. "Thanks for the wakeup call California!"
>
Interesting observation about one big difference between how they play the game here and how it's played in CA: NJ and PA are two of the few states which did not force the estabablished utilities to shed their generating facilities. Forthwith they took advantage of the opportunity to divest their loser plants and kept the moneymakers. The loser plants appear to be making money now under their new management which was not possible when they were managed by their fossilized previous owners. I'm not sure if I'm still involuntarily subsidizing the Three Mile Island facility or not.
>
The PA Public Utilities Commission has come roaring out of the woodwork and is defensively bleating "Our new regime saved PA consumers 2 billion plus $$ last year, you lucky citizens! We done good, the temps are in the 20s & 30s and the lights are still on!" Thirteen cents per Kwh here or whatever it is the lights damned well better still be on.
>

> Dick

>
w3rv

From -7234035418004896430
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 08:20:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6B0C26.1D6CAF7C@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6A261A.F73E75CA@dvol.com> <20010120193618.03168.00000466@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 82
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 11:19:50 -0500

NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.66
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980093969 206.20.144.66 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 10:19:29 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 10:19:29 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13688

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
> >The youth factor associated with the 1951 Novice license happened under much
> >different conditions in much different days and I doubt anything like it will
> >ever
> >be repeated.
>
> Both for social reasons, Brian, and for the simple reason that the generation
> of hams who entered ham radio by that route does not seem to want tests to be
> made that simple again. :-)

>
For one obvious reason: There are no drop-dead regulatory incentives to upgrade knowledge and skills by getting on the air. So if ya don't wanna bother taking the apprentceship to get to be jouneyman you gotta take a jouneyman's-level exam to get the privs. I don't see anything wrong with that.
>

> The FCC is also not inclined to create a one-year, non-renewable license again,
> either.

>
Of course. I expect you'll agree that the FCC of those days was not the lame and disconnected FCC of today. In retrospect I suspect that what the old FCC actually bestowed on us amounted to a free pass onto the Novice bands for the "cost" of copying 5wpm plus passing the little ceremonial Novice writtens to get us into the game. That was carrot. So of course we all jumped in and got hooked. Then came the stick. Somehow I can visualize FCC thinking going something like "OK you little twits, we hooked you per our intentions, but if ya wanna stay on the bands you got 365 days to demonstrate to us that you took advantage of the opportunity we handed you on a silver platter to learn, upgrade or die". Typical thinking of those days. Today it's all carrots, sticks have become politically incorrect.
>

> >It's of some historical interest, it obviously left a big footprint,
> >there were a helluva lot of kids but for the biggest part the history is the
> >sum total value of it today.
>
> There are now more modes commonly available to hams, more stable and reliable
> technology, more frequencies easily used -- the license had to change.

>
I think what happened was that when the repeaters got on the air and 2m became heavily populated the Tech license became a lot more attractive than a Novice

license for the same 5wpm code test. A complete reversal from the situation in the 50s when the there was very little VHF activity vs. the HF Novice bands.
>
Too bad; that simple 20-question exam for the Novice brought a lot of people

> into it. What surprises me is that even with the entry-level being at least an
> order of magnitude more difficult and more complex, growth continued.

>
Ham radio became a lot more attractive between 1960 and, say 1980. VHF opened up via repeaters and inexpensive solid-state xcvrs, folk in general became a lot more technology-oriented, all hobby activities expanded, lotta forces which are still out there today. Unfortunately it looks like desktop computing is now eating into our market for the attention of the kinds of folk who became hams in earlier times.
>
>I don't

> think I would be a ham today if someone had handed me a 200+ page study guide
> and told me that this is what I had to know to be a beginner in ham radio. And
> if they then told me that it was "dumbed down" from that 4-page book THEY had
> to read...

>
It's not the 4-page book in itself, it's the "serve the appreticeship or go thru tougher testing pushups" thing. A bit of pro-quid-pro in play.
>

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From -7768134674667669634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 08:20:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups:

rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 16:05:41 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <94f1ci$kbj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t28a6.2182$E52.635055@news.uswest.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.4.254.69
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 16:05:41 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt;
AtHome0107)
X-Http-Proxy: HTTP/1.1 elcjn1.sdca.home.com[18044021] (Traffic-Server/3.0.7 [uNcMs
f p eN:t cCMi p s]), 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 24.4.254.69
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13689
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16108 alt.radio.family:3502 rec.radio.cb:22890

In article <t28a6.2182$E52.635055@news.uswest.net>,
"Keith McQueen" <kb7m@arrl.net> wrote:
> For once a constructive suggestion! My 11 year old has asked me
several
> times if I can find a ham radio technician text that is geared for
young
> people. So far I haven't found anything. Anybody for getting
something
> like this together? It doesn't need to talk down to the kids. Kids
are
> bright and intelligent and can understand amazing things. But it HAS
to be
> made interesting to THEM. If you could disguise it in a Nintendo
game it
> would work even better. If it were available for download for a free
or
> minimal cost so much the better. Now THAT would be a contribution!

I totally agree. Don't take the negative feedback here as what the
general ham population, or the FCC really wants. Remember - most of
these folks were against lowering the CW requirements. They are still
in the "block all change' mode.

------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3023662753112811293
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 08:20:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 16:10:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <94f1mf$kmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <arde6t077dmhohge2iiacvs645o2sd1pvg@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7dx6q1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<9cnf6t0chl0kt5bbsrrqab4hun5gmu9hvo@4ax.com> <94bbiv$7o8$0@208.206.142.99>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.51
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 16:10:59 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.51
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13691

In article <94bbiv$7o8$0@208.206.142.99>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> > >   Actually there is an "official" APCO list
> >
> > The first published 10-code was in an APCO bulletin in January of
1940
>
> I have an APCO "National Police Communications Network Directory and
> Reference Guide" from 1945, and one from 1951. It's amazing how much
> changed in that six year period.
>
> Dick

Dick, it must have been traumatic. Do you recall if the police ops and
dispatchers who embraced the new 10-code were berated on the air?

How long did it take to make the switch over?

Brian

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 7674811446344815863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1160de,6f9bdb122852fab7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid1160de,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 08:20:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-
abuse.usenet,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: DUMBASSES who can't read (Was:Re: Dumb guys cancel other people's posts)
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 16:12:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <94f1oj$kmv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94eohj$pjp$0@dosa.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.128
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 16:12:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.128
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com news.admin.net-abuse.usenet:14155
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11122 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13690

In article <94eohj$pjp$0@dosa.alt.net>,
  abuse@bellsouth.net wrote:
>
> Attempting to cancel someone else's post is really, really dumb
because:
>
> 1.  80% of the news servers now ignore cancel messages, due to past
> abuse;
>
> 2.  Automated software run by usenet admins flags such cancel messages
> as "suspicious" because critical header elements don't match.
> Suspicious messages are published in a summary which is available to
> everyone;
>
> 3.  99% of ISPs regard rogue cancels as a violation of their terms of
> service and will terminate the account of anyone caught issuing such
> cancel messages.
>
> So, if you only catch around 20% of the news servers, trigger software
> which records your cancels in such a way that you can be traced by
your
> ISP, and if your ISP is among the 99% who will terminate your account
> for such activity, who is the dumb guy?
```

>

Who is the DUMBASS who can't read or tell the difference between a
cancelled post and a followup to a post that was a parody of a cancel.
Learn to read, Republican!

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7393043518586380173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 08:20:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6B0C40.ED7D9B4A@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6A261A.F73E75CA@dvol.com> <20010120193143.03168.00000465@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 36
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 11:20:16 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.66
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980093996 206.20.144.66 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 10:19:56 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 10:19:56 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13692

W1RFI wrote:

> >The Harvey-Wells Bandmaster, 50 watts (807?), CW & AM, fairly compact, stood
> >on what would usually be the end of it's cabinet. About twice as high as wide,
> >sucked up very little desk space. Went to both 6m and 2m in addition to HF.
>
> Yes, it goes to 2 M. I have one in my shack.

>

Slick! I haven't seen or heard about one of those for probably 40 years. How'd you get it? VFO included? Might be worth some bucks.

>
> >>Died from an overdose of TVI...
>
> Then it is a darn good thing I never used it; it would not do for me to be
> causing any RFI. :-)

>
You did that to yourself!
>
Actually it isn't much if any worse than the rest of that generation of far-end boat anchors plus it only runs 50w vs. the Valiant I and some of the WRLs which ran 200-300w. All of 'em tore up the neighborhoods. I'd test the electrolytics, hang a good low-pass filter on it and take it out for a short walk in a wink.
>

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From -3712557576228199844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,8226e03cb0cd1fb6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:00:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 16:52:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 118
Message-ID: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.4.254.69
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 16:52:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt; AtHome0107)
X-Http-Proxy: HTTP/1.1 elcjn1.sdca.home.com[18044021] (Traffic-Server/3.0.7 [uNcMs f p eN:t cCMi p s]), 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 24.4.254.69
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13693 alt.radio.family:3503

Based on the inputs I have received, I have updated my proposal for a new introductory license to more closely reflect traditional Amateur license regulations, with minor modifications to reflect today's changing dynamics.

At this point, I would appreciate more constructive criticism. I understand that many of you are against change of any kind - but you must have something positive to say, or their must be something you can think of to improve things.

****

Proposal to FCC to reinstate the Novice license class in the Amateur Radio Service - REV A

In the late 1980's and early 1990's when the current Amateur Radio Service Technician Class license was conceived and implemented, it was an appropriate alternate introductory license class. However, due to the recent elimination of the Novice Class license, and as a result of improving technology; the Technician Class license no longer serves well as the only introductory license, as it is doing poorly in drawing young members into the Service. As a result of license restructuring, it is now actually harder for young people to obtain an Amateur license than it was before restructuring.

In this critical era of global competition, especially in the wireless communications arena, it is essential that we provide a means for properly educating our young people in RF communications operation and theory.

Today, technologies like the Family Radio Service, high-speed telephone & cable modems, the Internet, and inexpensive cellular telephones, have overshadowed the privileges of the Amateur Radio Service, and made the requirements for young beginners to obtain a Technician Class license out of date. Additionally, the Technician Class license has now evolved into a beginning and ending point for most Amateurs now entering the service, who are mostly interested in VHF/UHF communications, rather than HF communications. Incentive licensing has virtually been eliminated.

The need for a Service dedicated to the goal of teaching young radio users proper radio operation techniques and etiquette can clearly be seen in the disarray present on the 11 meter Citizen's Band Service frequencies. The fact is, the Citizen's Band has become the defacto introductory service for young people just beginning to learn to experiment with radio; yet the Citizen's Band is a very poor environment for young people to be learning in.

Therefore, it is recommended that the Novice Class license reinstated in the Amateur Radio Service, with minor modifications to requirements and privileges to reflect the today's changing dynamics in radio technology and usage; and to expressly encourage young individuals to enter the Amateur Radio Service to improve their understanding of RF communications theory and operations, provide a healthy learning environment, and encourage radio experimentation.

On VHF and UHF frequencies, the privileges and restrictions will be the same as exists for the current grandfathered Novice Class license, with the addition of a small set of frequencies on the 70cm band, restricted to an output of 5W PEP.

On 70cm, Novice licensees would be restricted to voice communications on 5 20khz-spaced channels on 446.00, 446.02, 446.04, 446.06, and 446.08 Mhz; and digital communications up to 19,200bps on 1 simplex-only 60khz bandwidth channel on 446.14Mhz.

New Novice Class license holders would not have any privileges on HF frequencies.

Consistent with the implementation of the No Code Technician License, in which the existing Technician Class license holders were placed in a new class known as Technician Plus, existing Novice Class license holders would be placed in a new class known as Novice Plus. Novice Plus license holders would hold all the VHF/UHF privileges of the new Novice Class license, plus their existing HF privileges. Consistent with the manner in with Technician Class license holders may upgrade to Technician Plus by passing a 5wpm Morse Code examination, new Novice class licensees will also be allowed to upgrade to Novice Plus by passing a 5wpm Morse Code examination.

The new license class would have the following goals:

1) Encourage more young people to enter the Amateur Radio Service, by providing an entry-level ARS license class that includes privileges allowing reliable short-range voice and data communications.

2) Not significantly impact existing users of the affected Amateur Radio Service frequency bands.

3) Encourage basic knowledge of radio, electronics, operating principles and etiquette among a wider segment of the general population.

4) Take advantage of the success of the Family Radio Service, and provide a path for those young users interested in further radio experimentation.

The Novice license exam would consist of 25 elementary questions. Emphasis would be put on understanding the operational, technical, safety, etiquette and emergency questions that relate specifically to the new Novice Class license privileges. All questions containing calculations would contain small integer(whole-number) input values and have relatively small integer results. Novice licensees would be required to have a general understanding of Ohm's Law and Power relations. They should be required to have a general understanding of how antenna length relates to wavelength; and have a knowledge of transmitter power, antenna gain, transmission line loss, and the ability to perform a simple ERP calculation would be required. General knowledge of simple resistive circuits, and their power dissipation, would be required. New Novice Class licensees will need to have a basic understanding of digital communication methods. Consistent with the final form of the old Novice Class license examination, knowledge of capacitors and inductors would not be required.

Stewart Teaze - N0MHS

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 4033993715814272023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:05:25 PST
Message-ID: <3A6B162F.A22FF5B5@zylay.com>
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 12:02:40 -0500
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Organization: Young Marines of the Big Bend - http://www.zylay.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t28a6.2182$E52.635055@news.uswest.net>
<94f1ci$kbj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.76
X-Trace: 21 Jan 2001 12:07:29 -0500, 205.143.240.76
Lines: 32
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!
205.143.240.76
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13694
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16114 alt.radio.family:3504 rec.radio.cb:22893
```

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <t28a6.2182$E52.635055@news.uswest.net>,
>   "Keith McQueen" <kb7m@arrl.net> wrote:
> > For once a constructive suggestion! My 11 year old has asked me
> several
> > times if I can find a ham radio technician text that is geared for
> young
> > people. So far I haven't found anything. Anybody for getting
> something
> > like this together? It doesn't need to talk down to the kids. Kids
> are
> > bright and intelligent and can understand amazing things. But it HAS
> to be
> > made interesting to THEM. If you could disguise it in a Nintendo
> game it
> > would work even better. If it were available for download for a free
> or
> > minimal cost so much the better. Now THAT would be a contribution!
>
> I totally agree. Don't take the negative feedback here as what the
> general ham population, or the FCC really wants. Remember - most of
> these folks were against lowering the CW requirements. They are still
> in the "block all change' mode.

I personally agree with holding on to the traditions. Instead of lowering the requirements to make it easier to get in, we should be making better study materials to make it easier to learn. No sense dumbing down. I'd love to write something like this myself, but just don't feel like I could doa good enough job on it to do itthe justice that it deserves.

From 3100444305464193451
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:12:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: 21 Jan 2001 17:12:27 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services

```
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94f59r$k6h@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94d1od$6rl$1@iac5.navix.net>
<94d333$37h@dispatch.concentric.net> <YVoa6.2453$ed.2021008@nntp2.onemain.com>
<94dkia$61a@dispatch.concentric.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7hq1h0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.187.115
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3505 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16115
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13695 rec.radio.cb:22894

The CB group!!! Which of all the groups listed would be the least interested
in this sort of post. I see that someone is continuing to cross post.
Amazing how dumb a person can be when there aren't multiple choice answers.

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.g7hq1h0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On 21 Jan 2001 03:20:42 GMT, CitySlicker wrote:
>
> >Well you guys insist on cross posting your BS to this NG. I asked more
than
> >once to end it I am tired of wading through your nonsense to read what I
> >come here for.
>
>   Which group is "this NG"?  I see your message cross-posted
>   into FOUR groups.  Look at your headers and tell us which ones
>   to not find you in.
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>
>




From 5076430795848943240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:13:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!
global-news-master
From: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: 21 Jan 2001 17:13:49 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <94f5cd$jub@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94d1od$6rl$1@iac5.navix.net>
<94d333$37h@dispatch.concentric.net> <YVoa6.2453$ed.2021008@nntp2.onemain.com>
<94dkia$61a@dispatch.concentric.net> <uopk6t05cfk9e8ereacd33m8b719erhg88@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.187.115
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13696
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16116 alt.radio.family:3506 rec.radio.cb:22895

Well I can tell by your sig that I won't be losing any sleep. Hard to
killfile when it comes from so many forums.

"Bill, W7TI" <w7ti@jps.net> wrote in message
news:uopk6t05cfk9e8ereacd33m8b719erhg88@4ax.com...
> On 21 Jan 2001 03:20:42 GMT, "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Well you guys insist on cross posting your BS to this NG. I asked more
than
> >once to end it I am tired of wading through your nonsense to read what I
> >come here for.
>
> ---------------------------------------------------------
>
> Does the word "killfile" have any meaning to you?  I too get tired of
reading
> posts complaining about posts.  Consider yourself killfiled.
>
> Bill, W7TI



From -2498403612910966502
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:18:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 21 Jan 2001 17:18:30 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <94f5l6$itu@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t28a6.2182$E52.635055@news.uswest.net>
<94f1ci$kbj$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6B162F.A22FF5B5@zylay.com>
Reply-To: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.187.115
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13697
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16117 alt.radio.family:3507 rec.radio.cb:22898

Please, continuing to cross post this thread will only cause problems for
the many non concerned participants in these forums.
Address your response to the proper NG. Thank you
"Gene" <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote in message
news:3A6B162F.A22FF5B5@zylay.com...
> horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > In article <t28a6.2182$E52.635055@news.uswest.net>,
> >   "Keith McQueen" <kb7m@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > For once a constructive suggestion! My 11 year old has asked me
> > several
> > > times if I can find a ham radio technician text that is geared for
> > young
> > > people. So far I haven't found anything. Anybody for getting
> > something
> > > like this together? It doesn't need to talk down to the kids. Kids
> > are
> > > bright and intelligent and can understand amazing things. But it HAS
> > to be
> > > made interesting to THEM. If you could disguise it in a Nintendo

```
> > game it
> > > would work even better.  If it were available for download for a free
> > or
> > > minimal cost so much the better.  Now THAT would be a contribution!
> >
> > I totally agree.  Don't take the negative feedback here as what the
> > general ham population, or the FCC really wants.  Remember - most of
> > these folks were against lowering the CW requirements.  They are still
> > in the "block all change' mode.
>
> I personally agree with holding on to the traditions. Instead of lowering
> the requirements to make it easier to get in, we should be making better
> study materials to make it easier to learn. No sense dumbing down. I'd
love
> to write something like this myself, but just don't feel like I could doa
> good enough job on it to do itthe justice that it deserves.
>
>
```

```
From 1539527265099587464
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:30:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 17:15:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <94f5g4$nha$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.188
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 17:15:52 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.188
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13698 alt.radio.family:3508

In article <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

>At this point, I would appreciate more constructive criticism.  I
>understand that many of you are against change of any kind - but you
>must have something positive to say, or their must be something you
```

>can think of to improve things.

Stewart, there was not ONE post of support for your Colt class, and EVERYONE has stated and reiterated that the Technician Class is about the easiest license to get in Ham Radio history.

If I see your proposals with an RM on it, I can promise you that I will submit a vote of "no support" with the FCC, and in discussing this idea of a NEW license class with some of my local acquaintences, I can tell you there's no support WHATSOEVER for your proposals.

They are, at the BEST, weak. You forget that the people who will have to administer this "license" are the VE's, and they DON'T want to be bothered with a "license" that amounts to nothing more than FRS with more power and external antennas.

I can't help but think that you are fishing for SOME kind of support here, Stewart, but there's NONE. The Novice Class as it exists is all but dead and there is NO interest in reviving it.

The ARRL said no. The FCC said no. The commenting public in general said no. So what part of NO did you fail to comprehend?

More NG fodder is all you generated, Stewart.

73

Steve, K4YZ

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7998290934O4234447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:30:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 17:24:49 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <94f60r$nv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948bcn$ifg$0@208.207.71.174> <20010120135543.22806.00000190@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.176

X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 17:24:49 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.176
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13699

In article <20010120135543.22806.00000190@ng-fm1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >See? All the protestations from myself and others have had an impact.
>
> :-) Of course, Dick; they changed things because you got on rrap and
> "protested" a lot.

I'll go with the "and others" because the the things Dick protested went
the other way in the restructuring. If Dick's protestations had any
effect at all, it was to illustrate the absurdity of his positions.

73, Brian

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1804924230938968365
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:34:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Message-ID: <ka7m6t4dgm2dguthnbnrdc3sveh2o6p0qa@4ax.com>
References: <020l6tgrcaqqmu7977klln4irdhclekk02@4ax.com>
<20010121083657.10070.00000573@ng-cr1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 17:34:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.209
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980098476 209.86.210.209 (Sun, 21 Jan
2001 09:34:36 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 09:34:36 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13700

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>>As you have previously pointed out, the Feds don't really care about
>>our small potato violations ...
>
>Is that why they have put a FCC staffer onto amateur enforcement for about 3
>years now?

One staffer in a bureaucracy the size of the FCC is hardly big
potatoes... :-)   But I was responding to Phil's post about the
viability of ham witnesses for prosecuting ham violations in court,
and so my reference to the "Feds" meant Federal Court, not the FCC.
What do the federal prosecuting attorneys and federal judges think
about bringing ham violations to court? Not much if I understood
Phil's past posts correctly. The reason? They think that ham
violations are small potatoes. Of course Phil used more lawyerly
wording... :-)

From -3438136650015605144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3797b19c544ba2ae
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:34:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's like this....
Message-ID: <4a7m6tk7ph78p4fh1tapv05h9st014arcd@4ax.com>
References: <3A6A5792.240BC56F@IEEE.org> <20010121083156.10070.00000571@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 17:34:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.209
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980098480 209.86.210.209 (Sun, 21 Jan
2001 09:34:40 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 09:34:40 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13701

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>He [Brian] apparently promotes that you can
>demonstrate fellowship and mutual respect
>with him by kissing him. :-)

Post Grade:
Chain yanking   A++
Subject matter F...   :-)

```
From -5145958550221981912
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:34:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <397m6tkr79bhiafj1nfug9smifqcfuu6na@4ax.com>
References: <mibk6ts5gemuta44dg9g1asjquu77014q4@4ax.com>
<20010121081848.10070.00000564@ng-cr1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 17:34:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.209
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980098481 209.86.210.209 (Sun, 21 Jan
2001 09:34:41 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 09:34:41 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13702
```

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>I have answered that question so many times

I dutifully searched the archives for hours and
could not find your answer. :-) What would it hurt to write one more
little paragraph answering the question, instead of avoiding the
question over and over and over...

>that it is quite apparent that you
>are not willing to accept the answer.

So answer it for the group. Let them be the judge
 in the debate (as usual)...

>Either you have a comprehension problem,

It's not like you to get nasty Ed...   :-(

From -8260073403569408987
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:34:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <n87m6torj0nip7i67607hlgj6n131b9mni@4ax.com>
References: <3A6A56D8.6B598F4E@IEEE.org> <20010121082941.10070.00000569@ng-cr1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 17:34:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.209
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980098483 209.86.210.209 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 09:34:43 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 09:34:43 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13703

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>Concrete, or granite? :-) [Dick's brain]

Post Grade:
Chain Yanking   A++
Subject matter   F.....   :-)

From 773082610847756115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:34:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <o77m6t87jrvjl5651v0vl8i2i0n52o510q@4ax.com>
References: <efbk6tkijke4qscv3m60d6d635971m19im@4ax.com> <20010121082902.10070.00000568@ng-cr1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 17:34:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.209
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980098484 209.86.210.209 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 09:34:44 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 09:34:44 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13704

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>I think I finally understand at least one of the reasons for your anonymity.
>It is too bad that there are things in your life that you are ashamed to admit
>to. An anon post here really doesn't get it off your chest...

Gosh Ed, the tone of your posts are starting to sound ominous. Have
things been going badly for you lately? I am not proud of some of the
wild things that I did as a teenager. However my bootlegging on CW
while waiting for my Novice license to come in the mail was certainly
one of the lesser of my infractions...   :-)

Ask about my escapades in my 49 Chevy sometime...   :-)

From 3023543950673711303
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:40:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 17:27:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <94f65v$o1r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948qsm$jj8$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010120140106.22806.00000193@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.176
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 17:27:34 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.176

```
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13705

In article <20010120140106.22806.00000193@ng-fm1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >I should know better than to communicate with someone that is my
inferior...
>
> Now that looks like a bona fide attempt to capture someone's goat...
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Well he can't have mine - Dick is borrowing it at the moment. :)


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -2104830066150540789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:40:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 17:32:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <94f6eg$och$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t28a6.2182$E52.635055@news.uswest.net>
<94f1ci$kbj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.188
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 17:32:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.188
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13706 alt.radio.family:3509
rec.radio.cb:22901

In article <94f1ci$kbj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
```

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
Remember - most of
> these folks were against lowering the CW requirements. They are still
> in the "block all change' mode.

Not "block all change", Stewart. Almost everyone here was for SOME kind of change.

The "change" you are suggesting offers NOTHING. For only 10 more qustions on an exam than what you are suggesting, an applicant has access to ALL Amateur allocations above 50MHz, all modes, and up to 1500W PEP.

There are ELEMENTARY SCHOOL KIDS who ROUTINELY pass the Technician Class test. They typically pass the test with better scores and in fewer attepmts than adults. Based on your proposal, the "Colt" class offers nothing that FRS doesn't already offer, save for more power and bigger antennas.

Go ahead and "submit" you proposals to the FCC, Stewart. Then they can tell you "no" too.

73

Steve, K4YZ

--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5546620163637061153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 09:49:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A6B20A4.778FEC38@zylay.com>
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 12:47:16 -0500
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Organization: Young Marines of the Big Bend - http://www.zylay.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; I)

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t28a6.2182$E52.635055@news.uswest.net>
<94f1ci$kbj$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6B162F.A22FF5B5@zylay.com>
<94f5l6$itu@dispatch.concentric.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.76
X-Trace: 21 Jan 2001 12:52:05 -0500, 205.143.240.76
Lines: 47
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!
newsfeed.atl!newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!205.143.240.76
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13707
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16120 alt.radio.family:3510 rec.radio.cb:22902

Sorry, I wasn't aware that it was cross-posted. as I'm not subscribed to the
other groups.

City_Slicker wrote:

> Please, continuing to cross post this thread will only cause problems for
> the many non concerned participants in these forums.
> Address your response to the proper NG. Thank you
> "Gene" <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote in message
> news:3A6B162F.A22FF5B5@zylay.com...
> > horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > > In article <t28a6.2182$E52.635055@news.uswest.net>,
> > >   "Keith McQueen" <kb7m@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > > For once a constructive suggestion! My 11 year old has asked me
> > > several
> > > > times if I can find a ham radio technician text that is geared for
> > > young
> > > > people. So far I haven't found anything. Anybody for getting
> > > something
> > > > like this together? It doesn't need to talk down to the kids. Kids
> > > are
> > > > bright and intelligent and can understand amazing things. But it HAS
> > > to be
> > > > made interesting to THEM. If you could disguise it in a Nintendo
> > > game it
> > > > would work even better. If it were available for download for a free
> > > or
> > > > minimal cost so much the better. Now THAT would be a contribution!
> > >
> > > I totally agree. Don't take the negative feedback here as what the
> > > general ham population, or the FCC really wants. Remember - most of
> > > these folks were against lowering the CW requirements. They are still

```
> > > in the "block all change' mode.
> >
> > I personally agree with holding on to the traditions. Instead of lowering
> > the requirements to make it easier to get in, we should be making better
> > study materials to make it easier to learn. No sense dumbing down. I'd
> love
> > to write something like this myself, but just don't feel like I could doa
> > good enough job on it to do itthe justice that it deserves.
> >
> >
```

```
From -5950930751427381705
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1160de,7b8c9b97c29b3802,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid1160de,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 10:03:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Ken C" <mfineg@aol.com>
From: "Ken C" <mfineg@aol.com>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-
abuse.usenet,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94eohj$pjp$0@dosa.alt.net>
Subject: Re: Dumb guys cancel other people's posts
Lines: 38
Organization: flying faloons
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <UvFa6.1193$165.90153@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 18:03:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.192.93.69
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980100212 216.192.93.69 (Sun, 21 Jan
2001 10:03:32 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 10:03:32 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com news.admin.net-abuse.usenet:14162
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11130 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13708

I wish you guys would all go back to 3894.5 14.313 and 3.901
Not that I'm bothered by your postings, not at all !
```

It was just *alot more fun* listening to it live and in Technicolor on the above frequencies till R.H. stepped in and killed ham radio's Comedy Channel(s). How about ad least getting on every Sunday afternoon for a little radio fun? Shit, it would be alot better than listening to that screwball prozac-preacher's pontifications! (the guy who's so batty, he chose a vanity callsign similar to kv4"fz" retired call - unquestionable proof that this squirrel outside Atlanta is several bricks short of a load or one paddle short in his rowboat...)
Please? Just a wee-bit of the "good old days" of .313 ? Ad least until OTK gets back on and straightens the whole thing out.

"Kerry King" <kking@no-mail.com> wrote in message
news:94eohj$pjp$0@dosa.alt.net...

>
> Attempting to cancel someone else's post is really, really dumb because:
>
> 1. 80% of the news servers now ignore cancel messages, due to past abuse;
>
> 2. Automated software run by usenet admins flags such cancel messages as "suspicious" because critical header elements don't match. Suspicious messages are published in a summary which is available to everyone;
>
> 3. 99% of ISPs regard rogue cancels as a violation of their terms of service and will terminate the account of anyone caught issuing such cancel messages.
>
> So, if you only catch around 20% of the news servers, trigger software which records your cancels in such a way that you can be traced by your ISP, and if your ISP is among the 99% who will terminate your account for such activity, who is the dumb guy?
>

```
From -2103474141410401242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 10:14:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
```

```
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 12:05:04 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A6B24D0.EC939FA5@IEEE.org>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94d1od$6rl$1@iac5.navix.net>
<94d333$37h@dispatch.concentric.net> <YVoa6.2453$ed.2021008@nntp2.onemain.com>
<94dkia$61a@dispatch.concentric.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7hq1h0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<94f59r$k6h@dispatch.concentric.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.d5
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Jan 2001 18:14:33 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3512 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16122
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13709 rec.radio.cb:22905

City_Slicker wrote:
> The CB group!!! Which of all the groups listed would be the least interested
> in this sort of post. I see that someone is continuing to cross post.
> Amazing how dumb a person can be when there aren't multiple choice answers.

My newsreader doesn't automatically display to which groups my postings
are going. I have to spend time looking for them.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -2564773496836802756
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 10:20:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 18:14:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <94f8tj$qak$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.225.146.121
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 18:14:16 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.225.146.121
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13710

Horseshoestew said:

> ...there must be something you can think of to improve
> things.

Stew,

Here are my suggestions for changes to your idea.

License name: "Experimenter"
License term: 1 year, renewable four times in 1-year increments.
License test: 15 questions from current "Technician" pool.
Frequencies: All current and future amateur allocations above 30MHz.
Power: 200W output.
Modes: All authorized amateur modes.
Callsigns: From same sequential pool as current Techs and Generals.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7487588469894826497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 10:30:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License

Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 18:25:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <94f9hs$qps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.180.31.68
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 18:25:06 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.180.31.68
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13711 alt.radio.family:3513

Stew,

Here are my suggestions for changes to your idea.

License name: "Experimenter"

License term: 1 year, renewable four times in 1-year increments.

License test: 15 questions from current "Technician" pool.

Frequencies: All current and future amateur allocations above 30MHz.

Power: 200W output.

Modes: All authorized amateur modes.

Callsigns: From same sequential pool as current Techs and Generals.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4277730588367138364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 11:02:24 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 19:02:06 GMT
References: <n87m6torj0nip7i67607hlgj6n131b9mni@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010121140206.21669.00000530@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13712

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>Concrete, or granite? :-) [Dick's brain]

>Post Grade:
>Chain Yanking   A++
>Subject matter   F.....   :-)

I don't know; I thought of it as more of a funny that honest-to-goodness chain
yanking.  It was a joke because one of Dick's recent posts berated
granite-headed hams, you see?  Perhaps you missed it.

It would appear that you are the resident expert chain-yanking analyzer; can
you help by explaining the subleties to us?

Thanks.

Ed





From -2139441480620684112
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 11:04:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 19:03:56 GMT
```

References: <ka7m6t4dgm2dguthnbnrdc3sveh2o6p0qa@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Message-ID: <20010121140356.21669.00000531@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13713

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>What do the federal prosecuting attorneys and federal judges think
>about bringing ham violations to court? Not much if I understood
>Phil's past posts correctly.

I don't think much of bringing ham violations to court, either, Aaron. If all amateur rules violations were trundled into the court system, I wonder if any rules changes would be the result?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7016576107108342092
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 11:05:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 19:04:30 GMT
References: <397m6tkr79bhiafj1nfug9smifqcfuu6na@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010121140430.21669.00000532@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13714

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>It's not like you to get nasty Ed...   :-(

You are correct.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4743649756228794512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 11:07:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 19:06:31 GMT
References: <o77m6t87jrvjl5651v0vl8i2i0n52o510q@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121140631.21669.00000534@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13715

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>Ask about my escapades in my 49 Chevy sometime...   :-)

And ask me about that guard rail incident in South Windsor, CT. :-)

> Gosh Ed, the tone of your posts are starting to sound ominous.

What, for bringing any mention of your anonymity into a discussion? If you feel that anonymity is so ominous that we dare not even mention it, perhaps you should do the honorable thing. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI>

From 5038051661459163125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 11:14:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: DUMBASSES who can't read (Was:Re: Dumb guys cancel other people's posts)
Followup-To: news.admin.net-abuse.usenet,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 13:13:33 -0600
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A6B34DD.37E1DAF@houston.net>
References: <94eohj$pjp$0@dosa.alt.net> <94f1oj$kmv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11138
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13716

And who was the DUMBASS who didn't look carefully at the followup line
on the post which started this thread? As a result, KC8JBO also sent
his followup to news.admin.net-abuse.usenet in addition to r.r.a.m/p. I
can read, so I've trimmed the groups back!

Bwa hahahahaha!

KC8JBO wrote:

> Who is the DUMBASS who can't read or tell the difference between a
> cancelled post and a followup to a post that was a parody of a cancel.
> Learn to read, Republican!

From -5802321872729507006
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 11:17:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 19:17:12 GMT
References: <3A6B0C26.1D6CAF7C@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010121141712.21669.00000536@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13717

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>> Both for social reasons, Brian, and for the simple reason that the
>> generation of hams who entered ham radio by that route does not
>> seem to want tests to be made that simple again. :-)

>For one obvious reason: There are no drop-dead regulatory incentives to
>upgrade knowledge and skills by getting on the air. So if ya don't wanna
> bother taking the apprentceship to get to be jouneyman you gotta take a
> jouneyman's-level exam to get the privs. I don't see anything wrong with
that.

Nor do I. Although I believe that the details should be improved, I think the growth of the Amateur Radio Service in the days since that very simple entry-level test was replaced by the more complex VE Novice or Tech tests demonstrates that the tests never needed to be that simple.

>Today it's all carrots, sticks have become politically incorrect.

I have never felt hit by a stick at any point in my ham experiences. At all times, whether I entered ham radio and whether I continued it by upgrading was, and still is, voluntary. IMHO, it runs past the "mores" of today; hitting prospective hams with a stick is not the best way to get them to participate.

>Unfortunately it looks like desktop computing is now eating
>into our market for the attention of the kinds of folk who became hams
> in earlier times.

Perhaps so. Although surfing the internet is no more than appliance operating in any sense of the word. Some of those who may have become hams could be attracted to some of the web-application programming things, but that has been a "challenge" to us, albeit in a slightly different form, ever since the first home computer was available. I think that we can take the growth rate of amateur radio over that time as an indication of its relative appeal.

>>I don't think I would be a ham today if someone had handed me a 200+
>>page study guide and told me that this is what I had to know to be a beginner

>>in ham radio. And if they then told me that it was "dumbed down" from
>>that 4-page book THEY had to read...

>It's not the 4-page book in itself, it's the "serve the appreticeship or go
>thru tougher testing pushups" thing. A bit of pro-quid-pro in play.

Perhaps, but even in 1963, it was not necesary to be a Novice, or even take the Novice test, before being a General and earning all amateur priveleges.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7375953110774287132
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 11:22:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!

```
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: 21 Jan 2001 19:22:30 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <94fctm$iac@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94anv1$2b4@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ate8$l4r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ctn9$3df$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94d1od$6rl$1@iac5.navix.net>
<94d333$37h@dispatch.concentric.net> <YVoa6.2453$ed.2021008@nntp2.onemain.com>
<94dkia$61a@dispatch.concentric.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7hq1h0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<94f59r$k6h@dispatch.concentric.net> <3A6B24D0.EC939FA5@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.187.197
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3516 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16126
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13718 rec.radio.cb:22919

Well it may be time to upgrade. Ignorance is no excuse. Isn't that what I
hear preached regarding FCC rules and CB's?

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A6B24D0.EC939FA5@IEEE.org...
> City_Slicker wrote:
> > The CB group!!! Which of all the groups listed would be the least
interested
> > in this sort of post. I see that someone is continuing to cross post.
> > Amazing how dumb a person can be when there aren't multiple choice
answers.
>
> My newsreader doesn't automatically display to which groups my postings
> are going. I have to spend time looking for them.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca




From -4841141501652456656
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 11:24:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
```

newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 19:23:12 GMT
References: <94f03r$jej$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121142312.21669.00000537@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13719

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>Getting back to JLE and testing, I don't see why limiting the number of
>times you can take an exam would be a problem. Does retaking an element
>quarterly sound OK? Is annualy too long?

The FCC used to require 30 days, if memory served. Of course, it was almost always more than 30 days between test sessions in any particular city. I could live with that. I would support a rules change that required a reasonable waiting period between retests of a failed element.

>Also, Fred was advocating showing a log of contacts prior to upgrading.
> Although a log isn't required to be kept anymore, it really does fall
>back on good amateur practice (oops there's that silly idea again). Or
>merely have a year TIG?

It has been over 20 years since the FCC dropped the logging requirement. Can we trace any problems in the Amateur Radio Service to the lack of logging? Since I have been a ham, showing a log of contacts has not been a requirement to obtain a license that grants all amateur priveleges. When I was a General, renewal required, if memory serves, that a ham make a statement that he or she operated a minimum number of hours. There was also a "time in grade" requirement to upgrade to Extra, but I already had all priveleges, so I felt little or no need.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8290650294969586927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 11:27:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 19:26:17 GMT
References: <3A6B162F.A22FF5B5@zylay.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010121142617.21669.00000538@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13720

>From: Gene webmaster@zylay.com

>I personally agree with holding on to the traditions. Instead of lowering
>the requirements to make it easier to get in, we should be making better
>study materials to make it easier to learn. No sense dumbing down. I'd love
>to write something like this myself, but just don't feel like I could doa
>good enough job on it to do itthe justice that it deserves.

I don't think any individual could. What you can do is to send your input to the QPC about what material in the tests you don't believe to be relevant, what needs to be improved and as much of the work as you CAN do justice to. They are working on the Extra questions right now, and have asked for input. If you and I are not smart enough to do it, let's not leave it to the 3 QPC folks to do alone. We won't get as good a result as if we all chip in.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6346137944229509833
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 11:39:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 21 Jan 2001 19:39:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 80
Message-ID: <94fdti$q9b$0@208.207.71.150>
References: <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169> <20010121082548.10070.00000567@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.150
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13721

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >They get more than a good opportunity to learn. It's when they display skulls
> of
> >granite that the hammers come out.
>
> I have seen you take a hammer to people you don't even know, Dick; how do you
> know that all those hordes of people memorizing tests containing questions you
> have never looked at have skulls of granite?

So now you'd hold me to keeping constant watch on upgrades to the question pool? You seem more than willing to just ignore anything that is negative. I stated that if there are any substantial percentage of questions in the pool such as the few you posted then that shows some imoprovement in things. It doesn't mean that the system has been overhauled.

>
> >ANd you have no idea what kind of a ham I am based on what happens here.
>
> Sure we do, Dick. You have described many of your on-the-air and VE escapades
> here, and, frankly, some of them appeared to me to have been pretty mean
> spirited.

Really? Could I ask you to review some of them here so that I might consider them for change? I KNOW that is incorrect and blatently false and your stature has just dropped considerably in my view, Ed. On the other hand I'd imagine that of Burke & co. has improved accordingly, so your mission may be showing some signs of success. It is particularly offensive that you should assert that my "escapades" as a VE to be meanspirited. That is as false as any prevarication ever has been. In EVERY instance of any issue whatever I ALWAYS went as far in favor of the applicant as the rules would allow in their most liberal interpretation, and I would fully invite anyone to simply ask any applicant who ever tested with my team that question. That attitude cost me a lot of extra work since many applicants travelled long distance to test with our team, having heard of our astute fairness as opposed to other teams who were not.

The fact that you would make such an assertion without any even marginal evidence is revealing. You evidently want to cast me in a negative light for puposses of advancing The Agenda. OK, so be it. I can live with that,m false as it is. I know how much of an outright lie it really is and that is sufficient.

> Do you really reserve such conduct ONLY for what happens here? Why

> would you feel that you don't feel to be appropriate on the air or in your
> in-person social dealings with hams is appropriate here?

Do you really have to ask??? After the drubbing the Freebanders posting here have laid out on anyone who supports any sort of code test? There is no doubt of it, therre are many llcensed hams who are also Freebanders. It's a fact often proven by the FCC. You think they just keep quite about the code? Some of them have even obliquely admitted it right here.

What you are doing is demonstrating clearly the future planning of the League, whether you know it or not. The policy fo the League is becoming more and more clear as all this progresses. Yes, it may be your personal opinion. That doesn't mean it isn't also that of officialdom. It more clearly shows that it is.

For most of the

> people here, what happens here is the only basis on which they can interpret
> and judge the worth of what you are saying.

Well what I am saying is not obscure. Hams should have sense and initiative to get to know ham radio and what it consists of, rather than just bringing only their own wants and wishes and forcing the rest of the hobby to conform to their wishes. But that is far to out of touch with the PC world of today. The league is falling right in line in the ongoing effort to keep up the bottom line. So be it.

```
From -1240087681393116872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 11:43:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 21 Jan 2001 19:43:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <94fe4t$q9b$1@208.207.71.150>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6A5957.FA0731DD@IEEE.org>
<94e26e$3fo$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6AE329.7D55D5DF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.150
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13722

Cecil wrote:
>
Why can't we have Elmers
> instead of Radio Cops and Drill Sergeants?

We used to have them before so many newcomers arrived comp[lete with
chips on their shoulders because of the code.

From 7688051774930925252
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 12:00:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 12:00:14 -0800
Organization: RST Engineering
Message-ID: <ctfm6t8a1hlicl41pfg4enni2gkd4rgs68@4ax.com>
Reply-To: jim@rst-engr.com
References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94f8tj$qak$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 15
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13723

K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->License name: "Experimenter"
->License term: 1 year, renewable four times in 1-year increments.

->73, Hans, K0HB

I don't agree with the fundamental premise, but if it is to be, this is the way
it should be.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.   WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

```
From 4884991921785914213
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 12:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 20:10:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-
fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.96.8.2
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 20:10:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 WEBSERV, 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
204.96.8.2
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDranhefner
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3517 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13724
```

In article <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

> And unless I miss something, you have no name. At least he puts

You are missing many things. Cross-posting is for brain-dead people that have a over-emphasized imagination that their opinions should be transmitted all over the world. Just post it where it belongs. the alt.family.radio newsgroup is really an FRS newsgroup. FRS is NOT a hobby radio service. If you don't understand the word "hobby" as it pertains to radio services, have someone explain it to you.

Condensed version: Cross-linked messages are lame. FRS is not a hobby service.

--
Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -5853250949596820121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1160de,6f9bdb122852fab7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1160de,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 12:20:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: news.admin.net-
abuse.usenet,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: DUMBASSES who can't read (Was:Re: Dumb guys cancel other people's
posts)
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 20:13:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <94ffti$vv8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94eohj$pjp$0@dosa.alt.net> <94f1oj$kmv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A6B34DD.37E1DAF@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.15
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 20:13:42 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.15
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com news.admin.net-abuse.usenet:14170
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11148 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13725
```

In article <3A6B34DD.37E1DAF@houston.net>,
  Faggot Weewee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:

> And who was the DUMBASS who didn't look carefully at the followup line
> on the post which started this thread? As a result, KC8JBO also sent
> his followup to news.admin.net-abuse.usenet in addition to r.r.a.m/p.

So fucking what, cocksucking clod????

>I can read, so I've trimmed the groups back!

Restored, coward.

> Bwa hahahahaha!

Your drunken mother fucking you up the ass again, Georgie??

> KC8JBO wrote:
>
> > Who is the DUMBASS who can't read or tell the difference between a
> > cancelled post and a followup to a post that was a parody of a cancel.

> > Learn to read, Republican!
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2226429216374180734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 12:27:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 20:26:57 GMT
References: <o77m6t87jrvjl5651v0vl8i2i0n52o510q@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121152657.00445.00000829@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13726

In article <o77m6t87jrvjl5651v0vl8i2i0n52o510q@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>>I think I finally understand at least one of the reasons for your anonymity.
>
>>It is too bad that there are things in your life that you are ashamed to
>admit
>>to. An anon post here really doesn't get it off your chest...
>
>Gosh Ed, the tone of your posts are starting to sound ominous. Have
>things been going badly for you lately? I am not proud of some of the
>wild things that I did as a teenager. However my bootlegging on CW
>while waiting for my Novice license to come in the mail was certainly
>one of the lesser of my infractions...   :-)
>
>Ask about my escapades in my 49 Chevy sometime...   :-)

Did that '49 Chevy have anonymous license plates, too?

didit

```
From -7254837824980656368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 12:27:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 20:26:56 GMT
References: <3A6AEADF.369B423D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121152656.00445.00000828@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13727
```

In article <3A6AEADF.369B423D@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>W1RFI wrote:
>> Is that the technique we can expect you to you when you "point out" that
>the
>> young sportsman is doing something wrong? Would you at least do it
>privately,
>> or would you think it best for "baseball" if you said that to him or her in
>> front of the entire team.
>
>Bullies invariably do their bullying in public, Ed, so as to strike
>fear in the hearts of the multitude. Such people often put themselves
>in a position of power over little kids because they are devoid of
>power and respect among adults.

Unfortunate truism demonstrated by a few in here.

That may be the source of the continuing mantra of "getting
the young involved in amateur radio."

Such are at a loss to handle adult major-league players
from another "club." It is easy to cow children, to belittle
them. Gives them a feeling of Power, of Control, of
Turf Ownership. Doesn't work with adults not intimidated
by their self-professed "superiority."

didit

From -8689469690693949437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 12:27:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 20:26:58 GMT
References: <3A6B0C40.ED7D9B4A@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010121152658.00445.00000831@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13728

In article <3A6B0C40.ED7D9B4A@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>W1RFI wrote:
>
>> >The Harvey-Wells Bandmaster, 50 watts (807?), CW & AM, fairly compact,
>stood
>> >on what would usually be the end of it's cabinet. About twice as high as
>wide,
>> >sucked up very little desk space. Went to both 6m and 2m in addition to
>HF.
>>
>> Yes, it goes to 2 M. I have one in my shack.
>
>Slick! I haven't seen or heard about one of those for probably 40 years.
>How'd you get it? VFO included? Might be worth some bucks.

I had to do a web search for the item due to lack of memory on
anything but the name. :-)

Designed (!) in 1947 for "'all' the amateur bands," it looks like
a classic kluge with a front panel layout straight out of 1930.
Using an 807 as a final "on up to 148 Mc [sic]," it probably
has zilch efficiency above 30 MHz.

Messrs Harvey and Wells never produced a receiver according
to the website and the company ceased in 1960. They never
produced any SSB transmitter...explaining some of the lack of
product recognition.

If this particular product is so wonderful that it becomes a
notable exception to a general rule, then I would think that

some ARRL Lab moonlighting with test equipment can exemplify its bona fides as an "all band" transmitter.

Boatanchor stuff is nice nostalgia material. Long-timers can wax enthusiastic until the sun shines brightly on the polish but very little of the old stuff can glow visibly against the brilliant light of newer, state-of-the-art equipment.

didit

From -132605046222374257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 12:27:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 20:26:57 GMT
References: <20010121092818.18668.00000585@ng-da1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <20010121152657.00445.00000830@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13729

In article <20010121092818.18668.00000585@ng-da1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

\>>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
>>> The ultrawideband folks are saying... naw, never mind. :-)\
>
\>>TRY me!
>
\>The short of it is that UWB technology uses very short pulses that have a
\>resultant "baseband" bandwidth of several GHz. The frequency range is in the
>1-10 GHz area.

It might be easier to think of it as "ultimate" DSSS.

\>Some of the tutorial and article statements I have seen imply that UWB cannot
\>be detected by radio receivers. True enough if the source is far away, but
\>not so if the source is close. Depending on its modulation characteristics,
UWB
\>can produce discrete modulation sidebands or can sound noiselike.

That assumes that only narrowband, conventional receivers are used to monitor the wideband signal. The RF energy is literally spread so wide across the baseband spectrum that the energy in a tiny fraction of the spectrum is very low.

You cannot really explain this "UWB" without first going through a tutorial on direct-sequence spread spectrum basics. The narrowband concept of radio is too fixed in most minds to accept UWB immediately.

1947 was a pivotal year in radio-electronics. Not only did Harvey and Wells come out with their multiband transmitter [:-)], but the transistor was invented and a young genius named Claude Shannon came up with the relationship of noise, bandwidth, and information rate. While the rest of the radio world followed "Shannon's Law," amateurs were seldom aware that it applied to amateur radio. That may be because Shannon started off using TTY as the base for his analysis. Everyone (well, most...) "knows" that amateur radio is all about CW on HF, therefore anything that started off with TTY in 1947 can't be any good....   :-)

didit

From 3859261727089540614
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 12:40:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 20:31:49 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.68
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 20:31:49 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.68
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13730

In article <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:

> Jim, there is such a thing as right and wrong, and I'm intelligent
> enough to be able to make that distinction. If you are not, then

> I can understand the problem you're having in understanding my post.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Jim may have taken an oath to defend and protect the constitution against all enemies, foreign or domestic at some time in the distant past. I don't think he realized the gravity of that oath. And unless he's revoked it, it probably still stands.

Imagine Jim trying to call CQ with three missing front teeth. Give him a pass on that self inflicted beating. Brotherhood and fellowship and all that feel good stuff in DeSoto's paper.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 8305126405142471599
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 13:10:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 20:58:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 62
Message-ID: <94fih5$1vg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94cq8r$qb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010120192756.03154.00000334@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.23
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 20:58:16 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.23
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13731
```

> >In 1973, I found the License Manuals completely inadequate for study of the
> >concepts that the radio amateur really needs to know in order to
> >understand what he is doing,
>
> Absolutely correct. But they were adequate for the study of the concepts
> needed to pass the tests.

> Then, as now, there clearly was a lot to learn after passing the

tests and
> being given the priveleges -- a lifetime of learning for me, anyway.
If all
> there was to ham radio was the test I took, either that test would
have taken a
> lifetime to complete, or ham radio would not be of any interest to me.
>

And here we come to the point of my argument. There is much more to being a ham than being a Novice. The Novice ticket should be what it was meant to be- a quick entry level ticket that allows one to do the investigations and polish their craft with hands on experience with other hams before graduating to far greater priveliges as a real ham. The Code requirement was much more fun and doable with others to practice with, and one would obtain much of it in the Novice bands.

The Tech license questions I do admit are not technically simple, however the testing proceedures have been greatly simplified to the point of instant gratification and conveys "all the priveleges that anyone would want" in a day or two without requiring any further advancement in the craft. In my opinion, an attractive dead end that completely invalidates incentive licensing and the "Basis and Purpose" of Amateur Radio.

You must admit this had the effect of splitting Amateur radio into two factions; The Techs who felt there was really nothing more to ham radio, and the rest who wondered why these people didn't want to join the Ham fraternity (which seems to be almost gone now except for a few that remember what it was like to just be hams).

In my opinion, there should be a "beginner's" ticket that is restricted in band priveledges and only good for a year or two, that requires on the air experience and some further investigation (maybe like elective merit badges?) before upgrading and being accepted into the mainstream.

Let's face it; in order to be accepted as one of the crowd, you at least have to have something in common.

Also, I not accept the notion since we are all born "equal in the eyes of GOD" that we are all the same and thus should be able to have anything we want. If that made sense, how come I'm not a Millionaire? I really want to be a Millionaire but no one will give me a million dollars! It's just not fair! Of course the fact that I'm not much good at making a million dollars should have no bearing...

Jim, ac6tk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 8728369731845579740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 13:10:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 20:56:51 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <94fief$1un$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6A5957.FA0731DD@IEEE.org>
<94e26e$3fo$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6AE329.7D55D5DF@IEEE.org>
<94fe4t$q9b$1@208.207.71.150>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.68
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 20:56:51 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.68
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13732

In article <94fe4t$q9b$1@208.207.71.150>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> Why can't we have Elmers
> > instead of Radio Cops and Drill Sergeants?
>
> We used to have them before so many newcomers arrived comp[lete with
> chips on their shoulders because of the code.

Chip?  Because you cannot provide a single rational argument to keep the
code, we have a chip?  The FCC agrees, so the FCC must also have a chip.

Now the ARRL asks yet again to substantiate keeping the code
requirement.  I hope you don't trot out the same lame excuses.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 7353566175330007914
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,40e4a569d966f5ef
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 13:25:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: DUMBASSES who can't read (Was:Re: Dumb guys cancel other people's
 posts)
Followup-To: news.admin.news-
abuse.usenet,alt.alien.vampire.flonk.flonk.flonk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.
amateur.policy
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 15:24:56 -0600
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A6B53A8.233EB498@houston.net>
References: <94eohj$pjp$0@dosa.alt.net> <94f1oj$kmv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A6B34DD.37E1DAF@houston.net> <94ffti$vv8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11162
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13733
```

KC8JBO wrote:

> So fucking what, cocksucking clod????

Don't look now, but you fell into the trap again. Wasn't your original jeer about people who can't read? So far, yours are the ONLY posts showing up in the news.admin news groups, where the Usenet admins hang out, and that was due to your own gaffe (Note to others: the word is not spelled "gaff", as was done in another thread).

I do have to admit that your command of English is impeccable, though. It fits you well.

```
From 7209422216692014071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 13:37:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news3.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6B2CEB.49D3@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
References: <3A662EB5.79A21E16@dvol.com> <20010118232829.09475.00000874@nso-fj.aol.com> <3A689357.3A2A@bellsouth.net> <94djhl$jsq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 76
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 13:39:39 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.73
X-Trace: news3.atl 980113063 209.214.186.73 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 16:37:43 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 16:37:43 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13734

Mr. southpark,

Then I suggest you go over to rec.radio.cb and find those "troublesome" posts by me. There IS a lot of controversy over there and you need to concentrate your smart remarks towards Aaron Voobner, Mr. Scott, et al.
I am not involved, mister.

Jerry
K4KWH

southpark_meskin@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <3A689357.3A2A@bellsouth.net>,
>   joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:
> > Len,
> >
> > I don't know about "officially" requiring a license, but I have asked
> > for licenses at hamfests on transceivers and amplifiers. Yes, some of
> > 'em got mad, but, knowing that Riley H. and company were roaming the
> > premises, I wouldn't take the risk of selling to a CBer (found that
> out
> > because the person{s} told me so).
> >
> > I DO think that cash or equivilent prizes should be substituted to
> non-
> > hams at hamfests instead of handing out IC706MKIIGs to the general
> pub-
> > lic. Some of these items are sure to end up being used illegally.
> Some
> > hamfests do this, others don't---but I wish they would. Could be the
> > source of that "mysterious" kerchunker on 2M is a handheld won by a
> per-
> > son without a clue as to the laws governing its use.
> >
> > Jerr
> > K4KWH

```
> >
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3A662EB5.79A21E16@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly
> <kelly@dvol.com> writes:
> > >
> > > >> There is no time machine to go back to undo the past.  There
> > > >> is the possibility that the existing and future FCC can solve
> > > >> some problems in US civil radio.  Support the FCC in
> > > >> regulation of radio...or become lawless vigilantes who are
> > > >> outnumbered by others.  There is no honor or respect in
> > > >> vigilanteism.
> > > > >
> > > >Indeed and agreed. Probable factoid: The FCC would much prefer
> dealing
> > > >with some inane but basically harmless freebanders than they would
> a
> > > >phlanx of amateur (in the more common sense) "helper" frequency
> cops up
> > > >their butts.
> > >
> > > A problem with any vigilante group of self-styled "cops" occurs
> > > when they run into another vigilante group having different
> > > ideas of what is "best."  Nobody wins except the Real cops.
> > >
> > > Remember that CB users outnumber radio amateurs by a
> > > considerable ratio.
> > >
> > > didit
> >
>
> senior jerry he want to be the radio policia all over the land out
> there. He makes mucho the troubles for the cb peoples
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From 5166738314084916222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9d4c156697d60c7c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5359759c97114fcd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,df18b46d585b6a92
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 13:37:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news3.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6B3F63.18D4@bellsouth.net>
```

From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: cellphone block
References: <3A669B65.ED11B43A@j.s> <3A676ED1.D7B713B9@usa.net>
<3A682BF1.E66F584E@j.s> <3A68FEC0.393946DA@j.s> <3A69224A.C61EBE04@some.net>
<94ckms$t8i$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6AA81D.621DED73@j.s>
<wjBa6.2563$ed.2374409@nntp2.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 61
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 14:58:27 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.73
X-Trace: news3.atl 980113064 209.214.186.73 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 16:37:44 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 16:37:44 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11165 rec.radio.amateur:725
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13735

Richard,

I tend to agree. While he/she may have a valid "legal" point, I can't help but notice how *badly* kids are treated these days. You know, the "necessary" car at 16, money to buy huge amps for their stereos, pagers, cell phones, more money to "slam" their cars, put funky lights underneath them, hydraulics. I mean, what's 20 grand these days? My, my, how badly they are treated! I hope we never have another depression to contend with. What would they do without all those "necessities"? To see the most recent generations deprived of such would scare me to death. Really! How would they react to having to WALK to school or (HORRORS!) ride a school bus, do without a cell phone, or a phone altogether. Tragic.

The sad thing is, that the abundance of "things" and electronic media has helped in the decline of education. I mean, when it seems that everything is just *there* for the picking, why does one need an education? For the kids coming up today, they have no concept of hardship or the inability to get a decent job. It's all out there, right? They have never really had to work for anything--not all, but most. Parents just hand out money and goods. Instead of coming home from school, and feeding the chickens, gathering eggs, slopping the hogs, watering livestock, many come home to an empty house, a pop-in pizza, and Nintendo. Anything the kids do is OK, and don't the teacher DARE try to keep order or respond to impertinence. It would seem that the rules require that if a teacher comes under attack, he/she must stand there and not dare defend him/herself. I'm glad that I didn't do what I started to do for a career: English teacher. I wouldn't have lasted long in today's environment! The first time a kid attempted to smack me, he'd better have filed a flight plan, cuz he'd be going for a short "flight"! LOL! So my career choice (railroad) was the better one!

Cell phones in school? I may be missing something, but I don't see the

need for kids to have them at school. Again, the person *may* have a
legal gripe against the school. However, I wonder if it is a matter of
resolving a legitimate gripe, OR just a way of one-upping "authority".

Jerry

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "JC" <jayc@j.s> wrote in message news:3A6AA81D.621DED73@j.s...
> >   If this W4JLE jerk spent a little less time picking on people, his
> > depression would improve.
> >
> > W4JLE wrote:
> >
> > > If this young lad spent a little less time on the phone, his English
> would
> > > improve.
> > >
> >
>
> You know this whole discussion makes more sense if JC were a girl. There
> isn't a lot of sense in being 14 and female if you can't be a snot.
>
> Dick

From 1000924335976563954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 13:40:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 21:26:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 87
Message-ID: <94fk5b$3bo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169> <20010121082548.10070.00000567@ng-cr1.aol.com> <94fdti$q9b$0@208.207.71.150>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.51
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 21:26:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.51
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13736

In article <94fdti$q9b$0@208.207.71.150>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:

> > Do you really reserve such conduct ONLY for what happens here? Why
> > would you feel that you don't feel to be appropriate on the air or
in your
> > in-person social dealings with hams is appropriate here?
>
> Do you really have to ask???

Well, YES.

> After the drubbing the Freebanders posting
> here have laid out on anyone who supports any sort of code test?

What freebanders? Name them.

There
> is no doubt of it, therre are many llcensed hams who are also
> Freebanders.

Name them. Are they from you're circle of friends?

> It's a fact often proven by the FCC.

Name them.

> You think they just keep quite about the code?

What are you talking about? Who'se keeping "quite" about the code? For
what purpose. I may have to start calling you The Riddler.

> Some of them have even obliquely admitted it right here.

Name them.

> What you are doing is demonstrating clearly the future planning of
the
> League, whether you know it or not. The policy fo the League is
becoming
> more and more clear as all this progresses. Yes, it may be your
personal
> opinion. That doesn't mean it isn't also that of officialdom. It more
> clearly shows that it is.

I would certainly hope that the League advocates brotherhood and
fellowship among amateurs, rather than constantly berating newcomers and
beating on them like red-headed stepchildren.

> For most of the
> > people here, what happens here is the only basis on which they can
interpret
> > and judge the worth of what you are saying.
>
> Well what I am saying is not obscure.

Perhaps unintelligible?

Hams should have sense and
> initiative to get to know ham radio and what it consists of,

I can only imagine your yuteful days as a beginner. Maybe that is why
you carry such a huge chip on your shoulder.

> rather than
> just bringing only their own wants and wishes and forcing the rest of
> the hobby to conform to their
> wishes. But that is far to out of touch with the PC world of today.
The
> league is falling right in line in the ongoing effort to keep up the
> bottom line. So be it.

No Dick, you're absolutely right. The best way to ensure the future of
the ARS is to stomp the life out of any new person who'se learning the
ropes or has any new idea. After all, they must conform to your wishes
and your wishes only. To hell with simply operating within the scope of
Part 97.

By the way, if you're not in the ARRL Lodge, what Lodge are you from?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6494646218989337169
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 13:50:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 21:39:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <94fkv3$3tf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169> <20010121082548.10070.00000567@ng-

cr1.aol.com> <94fdti$q9b$0@208.207.71.150> <94fk5b$3bo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.181.239.70
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 21:39:48 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.181.239.70
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13737

In article <94fk5b$3bo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> beating on them like red-headed stepchildren.

Do you advocate beating on red-headed stepchildren? If so, why?

Do you have any red-headed stepchildren? Do you beat on them?

Are you a red-headed step-child?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
  eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
  to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
  Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6774355426395393368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 13:50:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 21:35:54 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94fknq$3r2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94f03r$jej$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010121142312.21669.00000537@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.51

```
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 21:35:54 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.51
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13738

In article <20010121142312.21669.00000537@ng-mj1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>
> >Getting back to JLE and testing,
>
> The FCC used to require 30 days, if memory served.
snip
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Welp, JLE had his chance to comment on the nprm or whatever it actually
was several years ago.  I wonder what his comments were.  He's welcome
to propose his changes, but I don't think the FCC will entertain his
Lodge entrance requirement to the amateur service.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -1495658101514745620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 14:00:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!upp1.onvoy!onvoy.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 21:50:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <94fljh$4f8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94f9hs$qps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.11.87
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 21:50:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.160.11.87
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13739 alt.radio.family:3518

In article <94f9hs$qps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> Stew,
>
> Here are my suggestions for changes to your idea.
>
> License name:  "Experimenter"
>
> License term:  1 year, renewable four times in 1-year increments.
>
> License test:  15 questions from current "Technician" pool.
>
> Frequencies:   All current and future amateur allocations above 30MHz.
>
> Power:         200W output.
>
> Modes:         All authorized amateur modes.
>
> Callsigns:     From same sequential pool as current Techs and
Generals.

Addendum to above:

HF Priveleges:   Applicants who also pass a 5WPM Morse code exam would
                 have HF privs equivalent to current General licensees,
                 subject to 200W power limitation.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
  eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
  to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
  Morse."
```

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5611548573812917O7
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5359759c97114fcd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9d4c156697d60c7c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

X-Google-Thread: fa656,df18b46d585b6a92
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 14:10:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: cellphone block
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 17:09:56 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <94fmoe$t5n$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A669B65.ED11B43A@j.s> <3A676ED1.D7B713B9@usa.net>
<3A682BF1.E66F584E@j.s> <3A68FEC0.393946DA@j.s> <3A69224A.C61EBE04@some.net>
<94ckms$t8i$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6AA81D.621DED73@j.s>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp17.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980115022 29879 166.102.123.18 (21 Jan 2001 22:10:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 Jan 2001 22:10:22 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11175 rec.radio.amateur:726
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13740

That's it, we hab now upset babykins and her will stomp her widdle feet
around the newsgroup.

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"JC" <jayc@j.s> wrote in message news:3A6AA81D.621DED73@j.s...
>   If this W4JLE jerk spent a little less time picking on people, his
> depression would improve.
>
> W4JLE wrote:
>
> > If this young lad spent a little less time on the phone, his English
would
> > improve.
> >
>

```
From -959351831129347889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 14:29:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 21 Jan 2001 22:29:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94fnrm$sqi$0@208.207.71.164>
References: <3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org> <20010121082137.10070.00000565@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13741
```

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >If all hams were like you, the FCC would abolish the ARS.
>
> Although I agree with Dick that new hams benefit from help from more
> experienced hams, I was very fortunate; I received no help that has the "tone"
> of some of the posts Dick has made here.
>
> Perhaps Riley's recent statements about petty bickering can be applied to our
> conduct off the air, too...

So your opinion is that when a CBer gets a minimal ham radio license and brings his entire repertoire with him, steadfastedly refusing any hint of change by way of dropping at least most of the "ling" and sort of rubs in everyone's face, then we hams should just shrug and give it all up. We see. You're beginning to look like a limp noodle yourself.
I know of two meter repeaters which have been abandoned to them, and the hams just moved and put up another repeater. If you think I'm the only ham who sees it this way you're head is deep in the sand.

```
From 7306284488931459247
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 14:30:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 21 Jan 2001 22:30:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <94fnu4$sqi$1@208.207.71.164>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com> <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177>
<3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org> <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169>
<94eusj$ih6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13742



Brian wrote:
\
> "...the hammers come out."  Oh good grief.  You tell us exactly the kind
> of ham you are every day on rrap.
>

Getcher helmet on


From 6853168822724388279
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4b6b6493340dcf2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 14:31:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 22:30:45 GMT
References: <20010120135543.22806.00000190@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW is not Continious weeping!
```

Message-ID: <20010121173045.02997.00001141@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13743

In article <20010120135543.22806.00000190@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>I'llhave to sign into the HQ website and check out the current question
>pool.
>
>Have you really been "protesting" and insulting people without having looked
>at the pools?

Cut him some slack, please, Ed. It's a Fast Pace there at QPC
Hq. They change every two years. :-)

didit

From 6560337207685022626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 14:31:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Jan 2001 22:30:46 GMT
References: <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010121173046.02997.00001142@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13744

In article <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

<massive snip...>

> Once we toyed with the idea of resettling somewhere in California in
>retirement, but have abandoned the idea. It appears the best of it is
>history. And if they don't get that power situation resolved the
>unpleasant future is now.

Dickie, you can always move to Los Angeles. No problems
with LA municipal Department of Water and Power
electricity. No outages, no shortages, ten cents a KWHR
for residences. Good future for LA.

Of course, there's earthquakes here. But...you know all
about earthquakes, once reading a book on the subject.

On the other hand, maybe you could "reactivate" that CW
net into the LAPD...you know, the one the LAPD didn't
know about until you "revealed" it to everyone?   :-)

didit

```
From -9970262560008749l5
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 14:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 22:33:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <94fo31$6mp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94cq8r$qb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010120192756.03154.00000334@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <94fih5$1vg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.116
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 22:33:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.116
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13745
```

>The Tech license questions I do admit are not technically simple,
however the testing proceedures have been greatly simplified to the
point of instant gratification and conveys "all the priveleges that
anyone would want" in a day or two without requiring any further
advancement in the craft. In my opinion, an attractive dead end that
completely invalidates incentive licensing and the "Basis and Purpose"
of Amateur Radio.

And the "Basis and Purpose" clause, 97.1 leads me to wonder how CW mode
could be considered invalid.

If we stop to consider that CW only requires the least expensive,
simplest to construct and is completely compatible with SSB reception,
while being more reliable in terms of signal to noise ratio, how could
it be that it has been banned for ships at sea and from other
government circuits that claim to be for Emergency back-up?

Perhaps a ship at sea that cannot afford (or maintain) Satellite linked
e-mail and weather fax is not worthy of rescue?

How do they resolve this inconsistancey?

Perhaps if there were at least a code test for SOS, the point would be
made.

Jim, ac6tk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7870350370816559499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 14:43:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <5epm6t43qpvt1qp2eoif39078nf387k5b2@4ax.com>
References: <o77m6t87jrvjl5651v0vl8i2i0n52o510q@4ax.com>
<20010121140631.21669.00000534@ng-mj1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 22:43:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980117019 209.86.207.78 (Sun, 21 Jan
2001 14:43:39 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 14:43:39 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13746

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>> Gosh Ed, the tone of your posts are starting to sound ominous.
>
>What, for bringing any mention of your anonymity into a discussion?

Do you think that my conduct would improve if I gave my call? Do you doubt that I am a ham? And if I were not a ham would it make a difference? Are you proud of the way some of the call letter identified hams here represent themselves and ham radio? Isn't it better to judge a person by his conduct and ideas rather than by whether he has call letters and/or is willing post them or not? We have discussed my anonymity here ad nauseum. That's not a problem for me. Continue the discussion as long as you like. I will respond to any and all of your concerns. But all of the answers can be found in deja.com many times over...

The ominous tone of your post that I was referring to was your use of the personal comment: "It is too bad that there are things in your life that you are ashamed to admit to." How many things in my life do you know about that I am ashamed of? And if I won't admit to them how do you know about them? And why is it too bad? That was simply a personal attack Ed, plain and simple. As I said, that's not like you, some others here maybe, but not you...   :-(

```
From -1935855493103620744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 14:48:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 16:47:56 -0600
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A6B671C.CEB9937C@houston.net>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-
fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3519 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13747
```

RanHefner wrote:

> You are missing many things. Cross-posting is for brain-dead people
> that have a over-emphasized imagination that their opinions should be
> transmitted all over the world.

I love it when clueless people try and tell other people how to live their Usenet lives. They have been the same for many, many years.

You clearly have no idea about the history or the intent of Usenet, and

you sure as heck don't realize why crossposting was invented at the
outset of Usenet.

I'm not going to bother to tell you why crossposting is STILL
necessary. You should have read the FAQs by now.

BAH!!

From -6776504485275319345
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 15:30:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 23:17:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <94fqlv$8on$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6B671C.CEB9937C@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.96.8.2
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 23:17:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 WEBSERV, 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 204.96.8.2
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDranhefner
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3521 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13748

In article <3A6B671C.CEB9937C@houston.net>,
  Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
>
> I love it when clueless people try and tell other people how to live
> their Usenet lives. They have been the same for many, many years.
>
> You clearly have no idea about the history or the intent of Usenet, and
> you sure as heck don't realize why crossposting was invented at the
> outset of Usenet.
>

Clueless? I simply stated that those who cross-post are nimrods! Has
NOTHING to do with the mechanics and history of the usenet.

> I'm not going to bother to tell you why crossposting is STILL
> necessary. You should have read the FAQs by now.
>

Thanks! It would be a bother.

--
Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -7871220252937156516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10cd26,5367429c8efecaec,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10cd26,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 15:50:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.mathworks.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: news.admin.news-
abuse.usenet,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: DUMBASSES who can't read (Was:Re: Dumb guys cancel other people's
posts)
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 23:40:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <94fs0m$9q8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94eohj$pjp$0@dosa.alt.net> <94f1oj$kmv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A6B34DD.37E1DAF@houston.net> <94ffti$vv8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A6B53A8.233EB498@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.156
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 23:40:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.156
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11185
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13749
```

In article <3A6B53A8.233EB498@houston.net>,
  Faggot WeeWee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
>
>

> KC8JBO wrote:
>
> > So fucking what, cocksucking clod????
>
> Don't look now, but you fell into the trap again. Wasn't your
original
> jeer about people who can't read? So far, yours are the ONLY posts
> showing up in the news.admin news groups, where the Usenet admins hang
> out, and that was due to your own gaffe (Note to others: the word is
not
> spelled "gaff", as was done in another thread).

Maybe I gon't give a fuck which groups the thread is crossposted to,
did you ever think about that?? No, you're too busy smoking crack
cocaine.

> I do have to admit that your command of English is impeccable, though.
> It fits you well.
>

An another anonymous pompous ass does another drive-by.
Get back to fondling the neighbor's kids, pedo.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 866605913751753139
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10cd26,5367429c8efecaec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10cd26,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 15:50:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.mathworks.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: news.admin.news-
abuse.usenet,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: DUMBASSES who can't read (Was:Re: Dumb guys cancel other people's
posts)
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 23:40:36 GMT
Organization: Deja.com

Lines: 36
Message-ID: <94fs1k$9qk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94eohj$pjp$0@dosa.alt.net> <94f1oj$kmv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A6B34DD.37E1DAF@houston.net> <94ffti$vv8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A6B53A8.233EB498@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.156
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 23:40:36 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.156
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11186
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13750

In article <3A6B53A8.233EB498@houston.net>,
  Faggot WeeWee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
>
>
> KC8JBO wrote:
>
> > So fucking what, cocksucking clod????
>
> Don't look now, but you fell into the trap again. Wasn't your
original
> jeer about people who can't read? So far, yours are the ONLY posts
> showing up in the news.admin news groups, where the Usenet admins hang
> out, and that was due to your own gaffe (Note to others: the word is
not
> spelled "gaff", as was done in another thread).

Maybe I gon't give a fuck which groups the thread is crossposted to,
did you ever think about that?? No, you're too busy smoking crack
cocaine.

> I do have to admit that your command of English is impeccable, though.
> It fits you well.
>

And another anonymous pompous ass does another drive-by.
Get back to fondling the neighbor's kids, pedo.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2959437611601180192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 16:20:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 19:07:30 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <94ftud$45n$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94f5g4$nha$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp17.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980122381 4279 166.102.123.18 (22 Jan 2001 00:13:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 00:13:01 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13751 alt.radio.family:3522

My proposal to eliminate any requirements for a license will obviate any need for a colt class.

Besides I am still upset that my last submission, while being assigned an RM was not adopted.
--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

<Steven J Robeson>; "K4YZ" <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94f5g4$nha$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >At this point, I would appreciate more constructive criticism. I
> >understand that many of you are against change of any kind - but you
> >must have something positive to say, or their must be something you
> >can think of to improve things.
>
>   Stewart, there was not ONE post of support for your Colt class, and
> EVERYONE has stated and reiterated that the Technician Class is about
> the easiest license to get in Ham Radio history.

```
>
>     If I see your proposals with an RM on it, I can promise you that I
> will submit a vote of "no support" with the FCC, and in discussing this
> idea of a NEW license class with some of my local acquaintences, I can
> tell you there's no support WHATSOEVER for your proposals.
>
>      They are, at the BEST, weak.  You forget that the people who will
> have to administer this "license" are the VE's, and they DON'T want to
> be bothered with a "license" that amounts to nothing more than FRS with
> more power and external antennas.
>
>      I can't help but think that you are fishing for SOME kind of
> support here, Stewart, but there's NONE. The Novice Class as it exists
> is all but dead and there is NO interest in reviving it.
>
>      The ARRL said no.  The FCC said no.  The commenting public in
> general said no.  So what part of NO did you fail to comprehend?
>
>      More NG fodder is all you generated, Stewart.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
```

```
From 3908869915119481810
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e432,6ae78d3a5900c16c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e432,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7cbb1a76699827ff
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f8d79,6ae78d3a5900c16c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8d79,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6ae78d3a5900c16c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 16:22:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.furry,alt.test
Subject: Re: Suzy Steals Toilet Paper
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 23:56:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <94ft08$ame$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94fi4m$902$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A6B5BB2.A2E39BFE@houston.net>
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.156
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 21 23:56:59 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.156
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11189
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13752 alt.fan.furry:13805 alt.test:69114

In article <3A6B5BB2.A2E39BFE@houston.net>,
  Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
> Buzzsaw wrote:
>
> >     Atlanta, GA (AP)--- Suzy Da Pig's plan to drive off with a
truckload of
> > stolen toilet paper went straight down the toilet.
>
> Poor thing.  One wonders what would drive someone to such desperation
> over toilet paper.  Suzy must be very troubled, and charitable people
> should really find a way to try and help out.
>
> Do you think that a toilet paper drive would help out?  Concerned
> amateurs could simply mail a package to Suzy and eliminate the
middleman
> that so often burdens the act of charitable giving.
>
> This is just an idea, because I'm sure that there are many clever
people
> out there who can come up with some way to help Suzy.  Let's discuss
> ways to help Suzy out;  it is in the best traditions of amateur radio.
> -- SL
>

Maybe you two can beg and get a brain to share between you......

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -874963959085998666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 16:23:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!
lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
```

```
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 19:22:15 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <94fugj$4h2$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6A5957.FA0731DD@IEEE.org>
<94e26e$3fo$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6AE329.7D55D5DF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp17.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980122963 4642 166.102.123.18 (22 Jan 2001 00:22:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 00:22:43 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13753
```

Mostly just to piss you off Cecil.

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A6AE329.7D55D5DF@IEEE.org...

> W4JLE wrote:
> > Some here, on the other hand, feel that the slightest remark is an
attack on
> > the self esteem of the poor darling.
>
> What the heck difference does it make if a new ham or an old ham says
> 10-4 over a repeater? When someone asks me, "QSL?", I sometimes say
> "10-4 Gud Buddy" with the proper quiver in my voice just for a laugh.
> People who ask, "QSL?", over voice personally drive me up the wall
> but this is a hobby, not the U. S. Marines. Why can't we have Elmers
> instead of Radio Cops and Drill Sergeants?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -2164033011692138053
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 16:24:04 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 19:20:55 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <94fue5$4g1$2@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94f03r$jej$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010121142312.21669.00000537@ng-mj1.aol.com> <94fknq$3r2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp17.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980122885 4609 166.102.123.18 (22 Jan 2001 00:21:25 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 00:21:25 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13754

I am a 90's kind of guy now Brian, I am proposing getting rid of any thing that will stand in the way of anyone's desires as being insensitive.

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94fknq$3r2$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20010121142312.21669.00000537@ng-mj1.aol.com>,
>   w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> > >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
> >
> > >Getting back to JLE and testing,
> >
> > The FCC used to require 30 days, if memory served.
> snip
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Welp, JLE had his chance to comment on the nprm or whatever it actually
> was several years ago. I wonder what his comments were. He's welcome
> to propose his changes, but I don't think the FCC will entertain his
> Lodge entrance requirement to the amateur service.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

```
From 2730663018158958414
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 16:26:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 19:17:38 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <94fue3$4g1$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6AE09A.6303AA90@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp17.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980122883 4609 166.102.123.18 (22 Jan 2001 00:21:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 00:21:23 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13755

Dwight, as I posted earlier, I don't care what words you use as long as they
are ones that a person would say in front of their mother (assuming she is
not a Jerry Springet reject)

My objection is to the over sensitive, feel good nonsense that ANY criticism
is bad and will cause the little darling to fold up their tents and go home.




--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We  want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3A6AE09A.6303AA90@sccoast.net...
> W4JLE wrote:
> >
> > For God's sake Ed, you are working so
> > hard to be politically correct. I
> > guess if a kid is learning baseball,
> > you should not point out he is running
> > the bases in the wrong direction for
> > fear he will give up baseball?
>
>
>   But baseball has rules, Fred.  And nobody is advocating that anyone
> ignore the rules relating to ham radio.  Instead, what we're talking
> about is simple, somewhat pompus, traditions (unlike "those" other
> people, "we" don't say "handle" in ham radio).
>
>   And, to me, it seems a little silly to make that big of an issue out
> of something as simple as this.  If a tradition is that worth-while, it
> will survive on its own with only minimal encouragement.  If it isn't,
> then we probably shouldn't be making an issue out of it at all.
>
>
> > Give me a single reason we should be
> > bending over and kissing some snot
> > nosed kids ass to get him active in
> > ham radio! If they are interested, I
> > will bust my butt to help them.
>
>
>   And I certainly hope you're not judging the interest of others based
> on whether they use the same words and phrases you do.  If so, then I
> have a problem because I don't know what you use when talking on the
> radio (these things seem to vary around the country).  Can you send me a
> list of acceptable, and banned, words and phrases?
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net




From 5172544902409795344
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 16:29:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!
not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 19:27:44 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 29
```

Message-ID: <94fuqt$4os$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94e1te$3cd$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010121084800.10070.00000575@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp17.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980123293 4892 166.102.123.18 (22 Jan 2001 00:28:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 00:28:13 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13756

I could be wrong, but it is doubtful I said A**, I most likely said
hindparts or butt as is my usual response. I do indulge in the occasional
"piss me off", but I cringe as I write it.

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010121084800.10070.00000575@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >Brian, We have had this conversation before. If you cannot address a
message
> >without the childish nonsense, don't bother.
>
> Some might feel using "snot-nosed kid" and "kiss my ass" in a post is also
> childish nonsense.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

From 2685725756519084743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 16:32:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 19:29:23 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <94futu$4qi$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <94dinf$jbc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94e1te$3cd$1@iac5.navix.net> <94etqh$hkb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp17.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980123390 4946 166.102.123.18 (22 Jan 2001 00:29:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 00:29:50 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13757
```

Thank you for the response.

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94etqh$hkb$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

```
> In article <94e1te$3cd$1@iac5.navix.net>,
>   "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> > Brian, We have had this conversation before. If you cannot address a
> message
> > without the childish nonsense, don't bother. I don't attack you on a
> > personal basis and would expect you to at least make the attempt to
> > reciprocate.
>
> OK, I'll address your assertion that you want to hand select who gets a
> radio license and who doesn't.  That isn't going to happen in America.
> Its the very "Lodge Hall" mentality that Len has railed against but
> everyone denies.  You are living proof it exists.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
```

```
From -3076873573625757965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 16:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!
lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 19:39:18 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <94fvgi$55u$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <94dinf$jbc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94e1te$3cd$1@iac5.navix.net> <94etqh$hkb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp17.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980123986 5310 166.102.123.18 (22 Jan 2001 00:39:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 00:39:46 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13758
```

No Brian, I have reevaluated my position. You. Ed, and Cecil have convinced me I need to be a sensitive 90's kind of guy. This caused me to create "No Hassle International". My goal is now to remove any restrictions that a newcomer may find demeaning, hazing, or might have a chance, no matter how slight, of damaging their self esteem.

I am now on a holy crusade, With the FCC's help, we can set up tables at the mall and pass out Extra Class licenses as people walk by. We are guaranteed growth and many new young people to keep Amateur radio alive.

I thank you all for causing me to realize my insensitive ways. How could I possibly be such a dolt as to expect anyone to learn something, and treating a radio service that everyone has a "right" to as a privilege. Mea Culpa Mea Culpa Mea Culpa.

If only I can receive the absolution from all the CBers and children, I have caused any moment of agony, I will sleep so much better.

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94etqh$hkb$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> In article <94e1te$3cd$1@iac5.navix.net>,
>   "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> > Brian, We have had this conversation before. If you cannot address a
> message
> > without the childish nonsense, don't bother. I don't attack you on a
> > personal basis and would expect you to at least make the attempt to
> > reciprocate.
>
> OK, I'll address your assertion that you want to hand select who gets a
> radio license and who doesn't. That isn't going to happen in America.
> Its the very "Lodge Hall" mentality that Len has railed against but
> everyone denies. You are living proof it exists.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

From -2603738411245278126
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 17:08:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 01:07:08 GMT
References: <94fnrm$sqi$0@208.207.71.164>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121200708.10077.00000642@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13759

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>So your opinion is that when a CBer gets a minimal ham radio license
>and brings his entire repertoire with him, steadfastedly refusing any
>hint of change by way of dropping at least most of the "ling" and sort
>of rubs in everyone's face, then we hams should just shrug and give it
>all up. We see.

No, my opinion is that there is no reason to publically berate a new ham
because he doesn't choose exactly the language you would use.

Do you really see things in such extremes, or do you blow them up out of
proportion because you believe it makes your point.

>You're beginning to look like a limp noodle yourself.

A petty insult that does not make your point, either.

>I know of two meter repeaters which have been abandoned to them, and
>the hams just moved and put up another repeater.

Are you really telling me that a group of hams put up a repeater, found it taken over by "them" and so they put up another repeater, and just gave the first one away? Sounds like another exaggeration to make a point to me.

> If you think I'm the only ham who sees it this way you're head is deep in the sand.

No, I do not believe that you are the only ham who believes as you do. I do believe that you represent only a relatively small number of hams. I don't believe that most hams think that because I have an opinion that it is inappropriate to publically berate a newcomer over a minor point that I am advocating letting vast hordes of CBers run over ham radio and use CB lingo on the air instead of ham lingo.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7446017012915691824
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 17:10:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 01:09:43 GMT
References: <94fknq$3r2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121200943.10077.00000643@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13760

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>Welp, JLE had his chance to comment on the nprm or whatever it actually
>was several years ago. I wonder what his comments were. He's welcome
>to propose his changes, but I don't think the FCC will entertain his
>Lodge entrance requirement to the amateur service.

Restructuring would have been an ideal time to bring up the issue. I haven't read every comment; the lack of a waiting period may have been brought up by someone. It would appear that either hams didn't think it an issue or the FCC didn't think there was any problem that needed solving.

I guess the doom-and-gloom contingent is down one point on this one. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8659151658890839227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 17:23:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 68
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 01:20:32 GMT
References: <94fih5$1vg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010121202032.10077.00000644@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13761

>From: xjim@my-deja.com

>And here we come to the point of my argument. There is much more to
>being a ham than being a Novice.

Yes, and there is mouch more to being a ham than being an Extra, too.

>Novice ticket should be what it
>was meant to be- a quick entry level ticket that allows one to do the
>investigations and polish their craft with hands on experience with
>other hams before graduating to far greater priveliges as a real ham.

The Novice ticket was not that in 1963; it was quite possible to skip the Novice, and the Novice test altogether and get a General. A drive to the FCC office, a written and code test, a three week wait for the license and instant priveleges, No need to graduate -- one could be there -- 1963.

>The Code requirement was much more fun and doable with others to
>practice with, and one would obtain much of it in the Novice bands.

Yes, they would. Now, have the pro-code folks in 2001 been making it sound

like it is fun and doable?   >The Tech license questions I do admit are not technically simple,
>however the testing proceedures have been greatly simplified to the
>point of instant gratification and conveys "all the priveleges that
>anyone would want" in a day or two without requiring any further
>advancement in the craft.

Just like it was in 1963. One could take the Tech or General with no previous experience.

>In my opinion, there should be a "beginner's" ticket that is restricted
>in band priveledges and only good for a year or two, that requires on
>the air experience and some further investigation (maybe like elective
>merit badges?) before upgrading and being accepted into the mainstream.

Did you make this suggestion to the FCC? What did they have to say about your comments in the Report and Order?

>Let's face it; in order to be accepted as one of the crowd, you at
>least have to have something in common.

Man, the things we have in common are so much more than our differences that I don't even know where to begin.

>Also, I not accept the notion since we are all born "equal in the eyes
>of GOD" that we are all the same and thus should be able to have
>anything we want.

I do believe that we are equal in the eyes of God, but that means that we have an equal opportunity to live the path that has been placed before us. I don't know anyone personally who believes that we are all the same and thus should be able to have anything we want. This seems to center around this forum; can you tell me who you think believes this way and whether you think that their belief is held by a majority of hams?
>If that made sense, how come I'm not a Millionaire?

Perhaps you could ask the God you cited in your post.

>I really want to be a Millionaire but no one will give me a million
>dollars! It's just not fair! Of course the fact that I'm not much
>good at making a million dollars should have no bearing...

Oh, now I think we know who believes this way. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5773122101383006720
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 17:26:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 01:23:49 GMT
References: <94fo31$6mp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010121202349.10077.00000645@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13762

>From: xjim@my-deja.com

>If we stop to consider that CW only requires the least expensive,
>simplest to construct

What percentage of CW operation do you think is carried on usign the least expensive, simplest equipment vs using the new-fangled, microprocessor controlled transceiver?

>while being more reliable in terms of signal to noise ratio, how could
>it be that it has been banned for ships at sea and from other
>government circuits that claim to be for Emergency back-up?

I lack your expertise in determining what emergency equipment should be on ships, but in my humble opinion, they probably make the choice in terms of reliability. If I were on a sinking ship, I would trust that they would have a satellite rescue system instead of a 500-kHz Morse transmitter.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -207027815339797052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 17:28:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 01:25:38 GMT
References: <94ftud$45n$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Message-ID: <20010121202538.10077.00000646@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13763

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>My proposal to eliminate any requirements for a license will obviate any
>need for a colt class.

Now we know you aren't serious. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1915242853021228728
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 17:40:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 57
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 01:37:05 GMT
References: <20010121152657.00445.00000830@nso-bj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <20010121203705.10077.00000648@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13764

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>It might be easier to think of it as "ultimate" DSSS.

That would be a reasonable analogy.

>>Depending on its modulation characteristics, UWB
>>can produce discrete modulation sidebands or can sound noiselike.

>That assumes that only narrowband, conventional receivers are used
>to monitor the wideband signal. The RF energy is literally spread so
>wide across the baseband spectrum that the energy in a tiny fraction
>of the spectrum is very low.

Yes, it is very low, Len, but very low can be used quite effectively for communications. Run a few calculations. Start with 30 dBm UWB spread over 1 GHz bandwidth, 10 dBi antenna gain on transmit, 20 dBi antenna gain on receive, a 6 dB receiver noise figure, a 1 kHz receiver bandwidth and a frequency of 1200 MHz and a separation of 0.1 kM. The result is:

```
Frequency =  1200 MHz
Total transmit power =  1 watt
Transmit spread =  1000 MHz
Receiver bandwidth =  1000 Hz
Transmit power in 1 Hz = -60  dBm/Hz
Transmit power in 1000 Hz = -30  dBm
Transmit antenna gain =  10 dBi
Receive antenna gain =  20 dBi
Distance to receiver =  .1 km
Free-space pathloss = -74.03362 db
System loss (path loss +/- antenna gains) = -44.03362 dB
Total loss (path loss +/- antenna gains - spreading loss) = -104.0336 dB
Specified receive system Noise Figure =  6 dB (includes external noise)
Calculated receive system sensitivity in 1000 Hz bandwidth = -138 dBm
Received noise in receiver bandwidth = -74.03362 dBm
Received noise in receiver bandwidth = S 9
Receive system noise floor increase in dB =  63.96638 dB
```

Put that UWB transmitter on a good location and go out to 10 kM and you are still hearing -114 dBm in a 1 kHz bandwidth narrowband receiver under the conditions I stipulated.

Put a 0 dBm UWB transmitter in the house next door, 0 dBi gain antenna, 30 meters separation and you receive -104 dBm under the stipulated conditions.

I am not necessarily advocating that UWB should not be allowed, but the oft-cited lack of interference because the signal is spread out over such a wide frequency range does not mean that it won't be heard by narrowband receivers.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

Press any key to continue
,

From 4602201143350368184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 17:42:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-

mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 01:40:06 GMT
References: <20010121152658.00445.00000831@nso-bj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010121204006.10077.00000649@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13765

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>If this particular product is so wonderful that it becomes a
>notable exception to a general rule, then I would think that
>some ARRL Lab moonlighting with test equipment can
>exemplify its bona fides as an "all band" transmitter.

Gosh, I don't remember anyone saying that it was "wonderful," Len, but I could have missed the point. I do know that this ARRL Lab rat has not put his on the air yet.

>Boatanchor stuff is nice nostalgia material. Long-timers can
>wax enthusiastic until the sun shines brightly on the polish
>but very little of the old stuff can glow visibly against the
>brilliant light of newer, state-of-the-art equipment.

I agree. If I am going to do any moonlighting with test equipment, it will be to compare the Elecraft K2 to an old Collins S line receiver. I will wager that famous lobster dinner if I get to pick the side I want to be on. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -500119972515669651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 17:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 01:42:02 GMT
References: <5epm6t43qpvt1qp2eoif39078nf387k5b2@4ax.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121204202.10077.00000650@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13766

>From: Aaron Jones

>The ominous tone of your post that I was referring to was your use of
>the personal comment: "It is too bad that there are things in your
>life that you are ashamed to admit to." How many things in my life do
>you know about that I am ashamed of? And if I won't admit to them how
>do you know about them? And why is it too bad? That was simply a
>personal attack Ed, plain and simple. As I said, that's not like you,
>some others here maybe, but not you...   :-(

You are correct. I apologize.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8501390143109340494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 17:45:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 01:44:12 GMT
References: <94fe4t$q9b$1@208.207.71.150>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121204412.10077.00000651@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13767

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>We used to have <Elmers> before so many newcomers arrived comp[lete with
>chips on their shoulders because of the code.

Even if a new ham doesn't want to learn code, there are still many things that you could help teach him or her. Code is my favorite mode, so you can bet your bottom dollar I am going to do a commercial for it with any new ham that I help with his or her 2 meter ground plane or 75-meter dipole. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4954185622949607929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 17:48:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 20:46:21 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <94g3e9$7hi$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94ftud$45n$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010121202538.10077.00000646@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp17.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980128009 7730 166.102.123.18 (22 Jan 2001 01:46:49 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 01:46:49 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13768

I am serious as a heart attack, as I posted in a message to Brian. You, Brian and Cecil have made me change my ways, I am now a new sensitive creation of the 90's. I spend every minute seeking absolution for my old ways that erroneously thought rewards should come from effort.

Little did I realize that I was simply building monuments to my own ego by depriving someone of what they wanted simply because I worked to gain the same privileges.

I have seen the light, and as soon as the FCC sees things my way, I will be the first to set up a table at the mall to pass out Extra class licenses to any and all that want them. Our ranks will swell and Amateur radio will be saved.

We don't need no stinkin' colt license.

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message

```
news:20010121202538.10077.00000646@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >My proposal to eliminate any requirements for a license will obviate any
> >need for a colt class.
>
> Now we know you aren't serious. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI




From -1571490837758148474
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 17:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 01:48:08 GMT
References: <94fief$1un$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010121204808.10077.00000654@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13769

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>Now the ARRL asks yet again to substantiate keeping the code
>requirement.  I hope you don't trot out the same lame excuses.

I hope that both you and Dick told them what you think.

So far, none of the Directors that are as much friends as Directors have gotten
home yet; I don't have the skinny on the Board meeting. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -3088765804630207770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 18:04:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 21:01:18 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <94g47p$6pv$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: cf.45.72.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Jan 2001 02:00:25 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express Macintosh Edition - 4.5 (0410)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13770 alt.radio.family:3523

Saying it is out of date is one thing..it is also the one thing that you would have to expand upon and "prove" to the FCC...

In article <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> Today, technologies like the Family Radio Service, high-speed telephone
> & cable modems, the Internet, and inexpensive cellular telephones, have
> overshadowed the privileges of the Amateur Radio Service, and made the
> requirements for young beginners to obtain a Technician Class license
> out of date.

From 5300856150940898483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,aa446ac6c6d0a510,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 09:10:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Black helicopters (WAS:Re: No-code kills)
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 16:55:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <94hol9$q30$2@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010115204346.14870.00000722@nso-fr.aol.com>
<10962-3A63B14F-92@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<943bbf$slj$2@208.207.71.134> <3A65AF3A.34DE0EC9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42

X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 16:55:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13821

In article <3A65AF3A.34DE0EC9@IEEE.org>,
Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> Dang Dick, it was a joke about "them" trying to "get" all the paranoid
> PCTA folk. Seen any black helicopters lately?

Black helicopters full of jack booted thugs are on the way. They are
equipped with an ARRL mind control device. You will soon learn
what becomes of those who expose the PTCA. Resistance is futile.

OOOOOPPPPPS -- BREAKING NEWS FLASH!!!!

Due to recent budget cuts at ARRL headquarters there are no longer
any black helicopters or jack booted thugs on the ARRL payroll. Also,
the mind control device was recently reposessed by the Newington bank.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7581028125087928925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 09:19:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <0tqo6t85bt6tgq5lqtisnmichehi4fp9u6@4ax.com>
References: <3A6BB602.A55ED886@dvol.com> <20010122073953.21622.00000747@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <16po6t8ku7lklfrtqujq2dl52hl45c8umq@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:19:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.50
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980183972 209.86.205.50 (Mon, 22 Jan
2001 09:19:32 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 09:19:32 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13822

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

>w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>>I do know that 5 watts was the design goal. [for the K2]
>>It was intended to be a QRP rig.
>
>Elecraft offers a 50 watt amp as an internal module option. (A 100
>watt module is under consideration according to the web site.) It
>takes the place of the autotuner and/or battery modules, neither of
>which could likely handle 50 watts...  :-)

I looked closer at the Elecraft web site after I made this post and
discovered that I was in error. Apparently they don't offer this amp
option yet. The specs list says it would be available in Q4 of 2000
but it's not yet on the price list. Too bad because 50 watts would be
ideal for all around CW operation...


From -2064103285731481988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 09:40:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:35:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <94hr03$sb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
```

fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 17:35:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13823

In article <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>,
Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> Do you also think that it does no harm to the hobby for a ham to get a
> license to simply operate, and never improve himself technically?

I'm sure there are some of those folks around. Perhaps they are contributing in some of the other "Basis and Purpose" points in 97.1.

Do you think they are harming the Amateur Radio service if they get involved in ARES, Skywarn and similar endeavors -- 97.1(a)?

Do you think they are harming the Amateur Radio service if they concentrate on advancing the communications phase of their skills -- 97.1(c)?

Would they harm the Amateur Radio service if they participate in SET or Field Day, or local drills to expand the reservoir of trained operators -- 97.1(d)?

Is there some harm to the Amateur Radio service if they are involved in DX ragchewing and make some friends with folks from other cultures -- 97.1(e)?

Or is anything other than technical topics harmful to the Amateur Radio service?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 915133853645688422
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 09:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:39:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <94hr7q$sn9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94g7ns$j7f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.96.8.2
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 17:39:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 WEBSERV, 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 204.96.8.2
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDranhefner
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3533 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13824

In article <94g7ns$j7f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

> If you read the basis and purpose of BOTH Citizen's Band (of which FRS is part and parcel of) and the Amatuer Radio Service, you would NOT find the word "HOBBY" in either, yet both are used for that purpose.

Steve, being an amateur radio operator, I think you know what is meant by hobby. With amateur radio, you may experiment by building your own equipment, toy with antennas and try numerous means of communications. The FRS and CB services are NOT set-up with these luxuries. They are not a hobby service in the sense that amateur radio is, but rather a consumer oriented radio service where you purchase and make no modifications to and transmit as intended with "off-the-shelf" equipment.

--
Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 8271837244868710033
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:01:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6C7564.92313EB9@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 13:01:08 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.60
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980186445 206.20.144.60 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 12:00:45
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 12:00:45 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13825
```

Aaron Jones wrote:

> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >I know two career Novices, who have renewed that license for years. Is amateur
> >radio harmed in any way by their presence in our ranks?
>
> Do you also think that it does no harm to the hobby for a ham to get a
> license to simply operate, and never improve himself technically?
>
> >If we hit them with a stick, they simply won't renew next time.
>
> Never hit old timers, even old time Novices, with a stick. :-)

>
They cheerfully hit us with sticks and we responded as predicted. Doan do that today
though, the weenies will just whine and suck their thumbs instead of rising to the challenge.
>

w3rv

```
From -4371397625602450933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:11:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 10:10:39 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 65
Message-ID: <3A6C779F.C9B747E@uci.edu>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13826
```

```
Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >
> >> In such a situation, an armed response by law-abiding citizens would
> >> definitely be in order....
> >
> >You gotta be nuts, Larry.
>
> Jim:
>
> Do me a favor?  As your punishment for deliberately truncating my
> quote,

I did not change the meaning of what you said, Larry.

> in order to mask its complete meaning, make a nice, tight
> fist, and slam it into your mouth at high velocity.  Continue to do
> so until at least three teeth are completely dislodged.
```

You first.

> Here's what I really said:

> "In such a situation, an armed response by law-abiding citizens would
> definitely be in order to prevent the armed environmentalists, convicted
> felons, abortion rights activists, and Ku Klux Klansmen from *actually*
> shooting our elected Congressmen and Senators."

Please explain how that's different than "an armed response by
law-abiding citizens..."

> >The guys in the Revolutionary War, and World Wars I and II did. So if I
> >was in the military, I guess I would have to also.

> Don't look now, Jim, but nobody who ever fought in any U.S. war as
> a U.S. serviceperson ever died for baby killers, tree huggers, or
> violent racists.

Don't look now Larry, but they fought for all Americans. Whether it
helps your debate point or not.

> >Right. So as long as it's a group you happen to agree with, it's
> >constitutional for them to start an armed rebellion. That's what I
> >thought.

> Jim, there is such a thing as right and wrong, and I'm intelligent
> enough to be able to make that distinction.

But you're not the judge, Larry. You're not A judge. You don't get to
decide when armed rebellions are legal and when they are not. You can
pretty well figure that none of them are.

> If you are not, then I can understand the problem you're having in understanding
> my post.

I understand it perfectly. I'm just not as narrow minded as you are.
It is you who doesn't understand that you are wrong. You might wanna
check with your dad the attorney about whether it's legal for people to
stand on the steps of the Capitol with guns. Let us know what he says
about that.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From 7346638067251323837
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:18:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
```

```
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 12:08:14 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A6C770E.ABB7A818@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.bf
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Jan 2001 18:18:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13827

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Do you also think that it does no harm to the hobby for a ham to get a
> license to simply operate, and never improve himself technically?

What's wrong with having good operators? They're more valuable than
I am during emergencies.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -3235647285124812702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:20:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:11:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <94ht4h$uh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94f5g4$nha$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 18:11:38 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
```

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13828 alt.radio.family:3534

In article <94f5g4$nha$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >At this point, I would appreciate more constructive criticism.  I
> >understand that many of you are against change of any kind - but you
> >must have something positive to say, or their must be something you
> >can think of to improve things.
>
>     Stewart, there was not ONE post of support for your Colt class

Not true.

> and
> EVERYONE has stated and reiterated that the Technician Class is about
> the easiest license to get in Ham Radio history.

The old Novice was the easiest.

-------  Stewart - N0MHS  --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -5077391456081118700
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:21:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 10:15:48 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A6C78D4.D6882937@uci.edu>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13829

Brian wrote:
> I don't think he realized the gravity of that oath. And unless
> he's revoked it, it probably still stands.

What ever are you talking about Brian?

From 948686968530071870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:30:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:15:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <94htc3$um2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94gvt1$5ip$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 18:15:42 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13831 alt.radio.family:3536

In article <94gvt1$5ip$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  meghertz@hotmail.com wrote:
> In article <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > On 70cm, Novice licensees would be restricted to voice
communications
> > on 5 20khz-spaced channels on 446.00, 446.02, 446.04, 446.06, and
> > 446.08 Mhz; and digital communications up to 19,200bps on 1 simplex-
> > only 60khz bandwidth channel on 446.14Mhz.
>
> You might as well specify this as "a sub-band from 446.0 to 446.2MHz"
> and then they'll be able to use European FRS-style 500mW 'PMR446'
> radios :o)

That definatetly is food for thought.

> http://www.geocities.com/rf-man/pmr446
>
> *MegHz*
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

--
------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -3236217231314088137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:30:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:21:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <94htmu$v3t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-
fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94g7ns$j7f$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94hr7q$sn9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 18:21:29 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3535 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13830
```

In article <94hr7q$sn9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <94g7ns$j7f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >

> >   If you read the basis and purpose of BOTH Citizen's Band (of
which
> > FRS is part and parcel of) and the Amatuer Radio Service, you would
> NOT
> > find the word "HOBBY" in either, yet both are used for that purpose.
> >
>
> Steve, being an amateur radio operator, I think you know what is meant
> by hobby. With amateur radio, you may experiment by building your own
> equipment, toy with antennas and try numerous means of communications.
> The FRS and CB services are NOT set-up with these luxuries. They are
> not a hobby service in the sense that amateur radio is, but rather a
> consumer oriented radio service where you purchase and make no
> modifications to and transmit as intended with "off-the-shelf"
> equipment.

Ahh, but CB radio DOES allow external antennas, and the fact is MANY
people ARE using it as a hobby. My opinion is that it is a very poor
place for kids to be learning about radio.

> --
> Randy A. Hefner
> http://talk.to/frs
> http://go.to/qx3
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

--
------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7406577557198114055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:41:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.news.ucla.edu!awabi.library.ucla.edu!
134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 10:37:04 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine

Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A6C7DD0.B6453AB3@uci.edu>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com> <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13832

Brian wrote:
> Jim may have taken an oath to defend and protect the constitution
> against all enemies, foreign or domestic at some time in the distant
> past. I don't think he realized the gravity of that oath. And unless
> he's revoked it, it probably still stands.

I wonder if you mean the oath issued by the United States Government.
Larry is talking about taking up arms against the government. Wouldn't
committing treason violate the oath?

The fact that I never took one doesn't make feel less obliged to support
my country.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -6754122712080058047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:41:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <fW3a6.1008$e5.2507723@news.ntplx.net> <20010120014527.22779.00000703@nso-fa.aol.com>
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <H9%a6.1073$e5.2724274@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 13:41:25 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980188903 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 13:41:43 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 13:41:43 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13833

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> >The one doing the kerchunking is indeed always illegal. Transmitter
> >fingerprinting has been used to successfully ID several kerchunkers over
the
> >years.

> OOs and run-of-the-mill hams can ID them illegal kerchunkers with
> "fingerprint techniques?"

The equipment is commercially available at a reasonable price. Many of the
kerchunkers also actually operate the repeater, enabling one to capture the
fingerprint of the miscreant, then compare it to properly ID'ed signals.

> ...OK...   :-)

Yes, indeed. See http://www.motron.com

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
> didit

From -2814328974473327622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:47:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 12:37:46 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A6C7DF9.29258124@IEEE.org>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6C7DD0.B6453AB3@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.4a
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Jan 2001 18:46:18 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13834

Jim Kelley wrote:
> The fact that I never took one doesn't make feel less obliged to support
> my country.

Would you have supported your country in 1775?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -1269744829085399150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:50:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dca1-
hub1.news.digex.net!intermedia!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:44:05 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <94hv1j$df$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94ftud$45n$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010121202538.10077.00000646@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 18:44:05 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13835
```

In article <20010121202538.10077.00000646@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >My proposal to eliminate any requirements for a license will obviate
any
> >need for a colt class.
>
> Now we know you aren't serious. :-)

Say Ed, I was wondering, is there any way of getting transcripts from the proceedings that lead to restructuring? My understanding is that there were folks that had concerns about the elimination of the Novice Class license. Is there any way to know how close "the vote" (or general sentiment) was on this particular issue. I don't want to go barking up the wrong tree, you know - but I've got a hunch that there is some support for something that will set up a more healthy learning/operating environment for kids. Why would the FCC have recently created FRS, and now MURS, if that were not the case?

I don't have a big problem with MURS becoming the defacto
experimentation Service for youngsters; but I think they WOULD be
better off with something like what I am proposing.

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

--
------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2031878810625079254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:54:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7kmv6e.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com> <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-fk.aol.com> <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com> <sZia6.3281$AN.591979@nntp1.onemain.com> <94d2kb$745$0@208.207.71.177> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7hlt8e.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3A6AE636.70324072@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7jivx8.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 35
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:55:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980189714 206.58.44.17 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:55:14 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:55:14 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13836

On Sun, 21 Jan 2001 07:37:58 -0600, Cecil wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:

>> That's why we moved to Oregon in 1999 - Oregon is what
>> the good in California was 40 years ago, and alas is no longer.
>
> Is it Oregon where they have a sign that says, "Welcome to Oregon,
> now go back to CA", or some such?

Urban legend and Grand Canard.

What the sign on I-5 just south of Salem (the state capitol)
says is: "Latitude 45 degrees. You are halfway between the
Equator and the North Pole"

Maybe you were thinking of the sign that says:

"Keep Oregon Green. Bring Money."

Maybe you were thinking of the (legendary) sign at the entrance
to San Diego, long a hotbed of crusty old Republican retired
Navy brass:

"Welcome to San Diego. Keep Right."

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 8368754155579130094
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:56:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7kmxdf.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169> <20010121173046.02997.00001142@nso-mg.aol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7jj199.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 20
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:56:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980189796 206.58.44.17 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:56:36
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:56:36 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13837

On 21 Jan 2001 22:30:46 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>On the other hand, maybe you could "reactivate" that CW
>net into the LAPD...you know, the one the LAPD didn't
>know about until you "revealed" it to everyone?     :-)

  LAPD wasn't the California node - CHP Sacramento was.  I saw
  the station in 1963 but it was out of service by then.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -8186394300615186637
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:57:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7kmysg.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <fW3a6.1008$e5.2507723@news.ntplx.net>
<20010120014527.22779.00000703@nso-fa.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7he9fb.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<020l6tgrcaqqmu7977klln4irdhclekk02@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7jj55a.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Lines: 22
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:57:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980189844 206.58.44.17 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:57:24
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:57:24 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13838

On Sun, 21 Jan 2001 06:26:39 GMT, Aaron Jones wrote:

>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
>>  Whether one has the necessary training and qualifications
>>  to interpret the results in a manner to be accepted as expert
>>  testimony is another matter.
>
>As you have previously pointed out, the Feds don't really care about
>our small potato violations, so what good would expert testimony do
>anyway...

  Depends if the problem is "kerchunking" the local 146.800
  machine or the Sheriff at 155.400......  <ggg>

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -2791258962044732755
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 10:58:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7kn0th.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A6A4A2D.9B3D31BD@dvol.com> <20010121092818.18668.00000585@ng-
da1.aol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7jjyjb.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Lines: 38
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:58:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980189917 206.58.44.17 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:58:37 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:58:37 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13839

On 21 Jan 2001 14:28:18 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>Some of the tutorial and article statements I have seen imply that UWB cannot be detected by radio receivers. True enough if the source is far away, but not so if the source is close. Depending on its modulation characteristics, UWB can produce discrete modulation sidebands or can sound noiselike.

As I used to preach to those in the Wireless Communications Alliance who though that the spectrum can accommodate an unlimited number of "unlicensed" devices, take a good look at the "unlicensed device" spectrum with a spectrum analyzer and you will see a profile view of a brick on a plate.

"Wanna' tell me that no one knows that it's there?" <ggg>

The reason that the FCC specified "simple formats" for amateur and early commercial spread spectrum was that they could be df-ed with the equipment which we had in hand. When we got newer stuff, the specs were relaxed somewhat.

The Northern Californians and New Englanders (to some extent) were/are hobbled by the mighty Pave Paws (frequency hopping radar) system on the 70 cm band. USAF-SAC, my erstwhile employer, claimed that receiver AGC and squelch response times were much longer than the dwell time on any discrete frequency so it shouldn't be detectable.

"How do you explain why my Motorola Micor squelch trips every time?"

And so on.....

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -4232935183791703484

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 11:09:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!
newsfeed.concentric.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 12:59:21 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A6C8309.4BA1F388@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com> <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-
fk.aol.com> <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com> <sZia6.3281$AN.591979@nntp1.onemain.com>
<94d2kb$745$0@208.207.71.177> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7hlt8e.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3A6AE636.70324072@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7jivx8.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7kmv6e.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Jan 2001 19:07:49 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13840

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Sun, 21 Jan 2001 07:37:58 -0600, Cecil wrote:
> >Is it Oregon where they have a sign that says, "Welcome to Oregon,
> >now go back to CA", or some such?
>
>   Urban legend and Grand Canard.

Nope, I saw it with my own eyes a number of years ago. I just don't
remember exactly what it said or exactly where it was. It might have
been a private leased billboard.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -4461644329159809190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 11:10:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

nnntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:56:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <94hvnt$12o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94g7ns$j7f$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94hr7q$sn9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94htmu$v3t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.96.8.2
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 18:56:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 WEBSERV, 1.0 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 204.96.8.2
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDranhefner
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3537 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13841

In article <94htmu$v3t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Ahh, but CB radio DOES allow external antennas, and the fact is MANY
> people ARE using it as a hobby. My opinion is that it is a very poor
> place for kids to be learning about radio.
>

NO! REALLY! I didn't know that. I guess that is why my CB wouldn't put the "foot" on anyone! GEEES!

A great place for kids to learn about radios IS the amatuer radios service AS IT IS CURRENTLY STRUCTURED! The Technician class can be obtained by most any 12 year old and many younger. A give-away ticket to "kids" is not teaching them anything!

Whether people are using CB as a hobby service or not is irrelevent. It was never intended to be such. FRS service is not a "hobby" service. It is a consumer service much like cellular. All these screwball attempts to expand the FRS service or deminish the amateur service are perpitrated (sp?) by people with delusions of grandure.

Get over it! The FRS service is fine the way it is...The Amateur service is fine the way it is...The CB service should be nuked!

--
Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3

Sent via Deja.com

http://www.deja.com/

From 1749281193170132287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 11:10:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:56:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <94hvo4$12r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94ftud$45n$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010121202538.10077.00000646@ng-cr1.aol.com> <94hv1j$df$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 18:56:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13842

In article <94hv1j$df$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> I don't want to go barking up the wrong tree, you know - but
> I've got a hunch that there is some support for something that
> will set up a more healthy learning/operating environment for
> kids.

Do you consider the current Technician license an unhealthy
learning/operating environment for kids?

73, Hans, K0HB
--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
  eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
  to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
  Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -443131831458487643
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 11:19:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 14:18:40 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <94i13q$c4c$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94g3e9$7hi$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010122071633.21640.00000698@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.63.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980191162 12428 166.102.250.63 (22 Jan 2001 19:19:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 19:19:22 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13843

Is there no way to make you happy? First I support the CW position and you tell me how wrong I am, and that I am being elitist.

After you have turned me around, and brought my sensitivity to the forefront you doubt me.

My self esteem is ruined, I may never send another dit or dah. With my new sensitivity I am setting here at the key board weeping.

Have you no pity?

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International

Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010122071633.21640.00000698@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >I have seen the light, and as soon as the FCC sees things my way ...
>
> I will believe that you are serious about the changes you propose when I see
> you file a request for rulemaking with the FCC. If it gets as far as an NPRM,
> expect most hams who file comments to oppose it.
>
> It looks to me like another version of "if you won't play the game my way, I am
> going to take my ball and go home."
>
> The only problem with that is you don't own the ball. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

From -1655145201799742604
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 11:30:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:15:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <94i0rt$26b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94g47p$6pv$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.248.184.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 19:15:18 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 141.248.184.218

```
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDhorseshoestew
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13844 alt.radio.family:3538

In article <94g47p$6pv$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>,
  zeno333@mindspring.com wrote:
> Saying it is out of date is one thing..it is also the one thing that
you
> would have to expand upon and "prove" to the FCC...

Yes.  Since this paragraph is the basis for the proposal, it needs to
be strong.  I will be looking at ways to improve that paragraph

> ----------
> In article <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, horseshoestew@my-deja.com
wrote:
>
> > Today, technologies like the Family Radio Service, high-speed
telephone
> > & cable modems, the Internet, and inexpensive cellular telephones,
have
> > overshadowed the privileges of the Amateur Radio Service, and made
the
> > requirements for young beginners to obtain a Technician Class
license
> > out of date.
>

--
-------  Stewart - N0MHS  --------
Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
http://www.pubcel.com


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 8341644507443399115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 12:03:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6C91DF.EEDB8FBB@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
```

```
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
<3A6C770E.ABB7A818@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 15:02:39 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.65
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980193736 206.20.144.65 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 14:02:16
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 14:02:16 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13845


Cecil wrote:

>
> What's wrong with having good operators? They're more valuable than
> I am during emergencies.

>
Bingo.
>--

> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca




From 7271996829158943420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 12:27:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <fs5p6t08sa0ginbjf8ut88h1qfqa577mej@4ax.com>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
<3A6C7564.92313EB9@dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:27:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.200.115
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980195277 209.86.200.115 (Mon, 22 Jan
2001 12:27:57 PST)
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 12:27:57 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13846

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

>Aaron Jones wrote:
>> Never hit old timers, even old time Novices, with a stick.  :-)
>
>>
>They cheerfully hit us with sticks and we responded as predicted. Doan do that
today
>though, the weenies will just whine and suck their thumbs instead of rising to
the
>challenge.

Weenie? Guilty as charged. When they hit me with the stick of
incentive licensing I used Bash to memorize my way to an Advanced. But
I still can't get up the energy to memorize my way to an Extra. Ed's
right, it is a hard test...  :-)


From 3565481891520616490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 12:28:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <vp5p6ts8d6d8qpfirt5e9qaogo10kibc23@4ax.com>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
<94hr03$sb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:28:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.200.115
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980195280 209.86.200.115 (Mon, 22 Jan
2001 12:28:00 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 12:28:00 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13847

K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
```

>In article <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>,
> Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>> Do you also think that it does no harm to the hobby for a ham to get a
>> license to simply operate, and never improve himself technically?
>
>I'm sure there are some of those folks around. Perhaps they are
>contributing in some of the other "Basis and Purpose" points in 97.1.

I know that there are some of those folks around. Namely me. I have
really done little but to operate in the last 30 years or so. I left
the electronics industry in 1968 and have not kept up. The electronics
I learned as a ham in the 50's, and in school in the 60's, and which
employed me at Motorola for several years, still serves me well for
hooking up a ham station in 2001. If my rig broke in the 50's or 60's
I could fix it no matter what. Now if it's not a simple problem, it's
back to the factory. But then how is that much different from most
hams these days...

From 4887097166442736915
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 12:28:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Message-ID: <kp5p6tcr6u04pvlktu4int05uc0cov9oic@4ax.com>
References: <94g3e9$7hi$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010122071633.21640.00000698@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <94i13q$c4c$1@iac5.navix.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:28:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.200.115
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980195281 209.86.200.115 (Mon, 22 Jan
2001 12:28:01 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 12:28:01 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13848

"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>Is there no way to make you happy? First I support the CW position and you
>tell me how wrong I am, and that I am being elitist.

>After you have turned me around, and brought my sensitivity to the forefront
>you doubt me.
>My self esteem is ruined, I may never send another dit or dah. With my new
>sensitivity I am setting here at the key board weeping.
>Have you no pity?

Fred, it appears that you are just moving your tongue from one cheek
to the other, not changing your ways... :-)

From -4345307254215475082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 12:36:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Paul R. Stoetzer, N8HM" <N8HM@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 197
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 15:36:22 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.40.145.161
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 980195659 216.40.145.161 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 15:34:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 15:34:19 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13849 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16184 alt.radio.family:3539 rec.radio.cb:23170 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1919

There are several problems with this proposal:

1. As a 15 year old, I can tell you that the most interesting thing of ham
radio is DX, not short range VHF communications.

2. This proposal would isolate the newbies, if there are not any other recent "Colt" licensees around, they would quickly grow bored and would not upgrade. Chatting with people who have been around a while and who are talking about the Walk-a-Thon or picnic the local club is involved in or the great conditions on the HF bands today.
3. The Tech license is already extremely easy to get. I got my Tech just over a year ago and it took about a week to study enough to pass the test, and that was with 55 questions on two tests, not 35.

While I agree more young people are needed in the hobby, the problem is not the license structure, which I believe to be perfect, it is the PR deficencies.

73,
Paul
N8HM
"Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> The rapidly-expanding Family Radio Service has exposed millions of young
> people to the world
> of radio communications. But now, where is a young kid to go who has is
> interested in experimenting
> with radios and get more range than the typical 1/2 mile of FRS? MURS might
> help a bit(if Motorola doesn't
> get their way), but you know that a growing mind is eventually going to want
> more than just this. GMRS
> doesn't have the resources, and is not a service that was created to foster
> experimentation. Like it or not,
> the typical young person ends up cutting his radio experimention teeth in
> the Citizen's Band service, because
> there isn't a reasonable entry-level ham license for kids(it is even worse
> now after restructuring, what with the
> elimination of the Novice Class).
>
> Everyday now the USA is falling further and further behind Europe and Japan
> in the wireless communications
> race. As hams, it is time we make an effort to insure that our young people
> are provided with the proper
> environment, incentives, and role models to properly learn radio
> communications techniques and etiquette.
> It is time for hams to stop complaining, step up to the plate, and take an
> active role in seeing that our bright,
> young technical minds are being taught properly.
>
> As a result of this, I have reworked the Communicator entry-level license
> proposal I submitted to the FCC last year,
> and I will now be proposing a new entry-level Amateur Radio class known as
> the "Colt" class. I submit a draft

> of the proposal for your review below. Please keep in mind that the future
> of American involvement in wireless
> communications development depends on us to properly encourage and teach
> tomorrow's experimenters, operators,
> technicians, and engineers...
>
> ***
> Proposal to FCC to institute an introductory-level "Colt" license
> class in the Amateur Radio Service - REV A
>
>
> In the late 1980's and early 1990's when the current Amateur Radio Service
> Technician Class license was conceived and implemented, it was
> an appropriate alternate introductory license class. However, due to the
> recent elimination of the Novice Class license, and as a result of improving
> technology; the Technician Class license no longer serves well as the only
> introductory license, as it is doing poorly in drawing young members into
> the Service. As a result of license restructuring, it is now actually
> harder for young people to obtain an Amateur license than it was before
> restructuring.
>
> In this critical era of global competition, especially in the wireless
> communications arena, it is essential that we provide a means for properly
> educating our young people in RF communications operation and theory.
>
> Today, technologies like the Family Radio Service, high-speed telephone &
> cable modems, the Internet, and inexpensive cellular
> telephones, have overshadowed the privileges of the Amateur Radio Service,
> and made the requirements for young beginners to obtain a
> Technician Class license out of date. Additionally, the Technician Class
> license has now evolved into a beginning and ending point for
> most modern day Amateurs, who are mostly interested in VHF/UHF
> communications, rather than HF communications. Incentive licensing has
> virtually been eliminated.
>
> The need for a Service dedicated to the goal of teaching young radio users
> proper radio operation techniques and etiquette can clearly be seen in the
> disarray present on the 11 meter Citizen's Band Service frequencies. The
> fact is, the Citizen's Band has become the defacto introductory service for
> young people just beginning to learn to experiment with radio; yet the
> Citizen's Band is a very poor environment for young people to be learning
> in.
>
> Therefore, it is recommended that a new "Colt Class" license be added to the
> Amateur Radio Service expressly to encourage young
> individuals to enter the Amateur Radio Service to improve their
> understanding of RF communications theory, provide a healthy learning
> environment, and encourage radio experimentation.
>

> On the 70cm UHF band, Colt licensees would be restricted to voice communications on 5 simplex-only 20khz-spaced channels on 446.00, 446.02, 446.04, 446.06, and 446.08 Mhz; and digital communications up to 19,200bps on 1 simplex-only 60khz bandwidth channel on 446.14Mhz.
>
> On the 1.25m VHF band, Colt licensees would be restricted to voice communications on 5 simplex-only 20khz-spaced channels on 223.42, 223.44, 223.46, 223.48, and 223.50 Mhz; and digital communications up to 19,200bps on 1 simplex-only 60khz bandwidth channel on 223.56Mhz.
>
> To encourage experimentation/understanding of antenna and VHF/UHF RF propagation theory, Colt licensees would also be allowed to setup and/or operate through a VHF/UHF crossband, simplex user input/output, repeater station on two 20khz-bandwidth channels on 223.52Mhz and 446.10Mhz. This would allow them to setup an inexpensive repeater system by interconnecting the voice/mic connections via a resistive circuit on two simplex radios; and would not require expensive, and difficult to understand, cavity and duplexer filtering at the repeater station site. User radio costs would be low, as they would only need a single simplex radio. As a result of the characteristics of this type of repeater, the repeater builders and users would learn to coordinate frequencies, and user groupings based on environmental conditions, so as to acheive optimal results.
>
> Colt license users would also be subject to the following restrictions:
>
> (1) Individuals applying for a Colt Class license must be under 19 years of age at the time of license application or renewal. The license is valid for 5 years.
>
> (2) Fixed control station antennas will be no more than 6.1 meters (20 feet) above the ground or above the building or tree on which it is mounted.
>
> (3) Transmissions limited to 5 watts ERP, or less.
>
>
> The new license class would have the following goals:
>
> 1) Encourage more young people to enter the Amateur Radio Service, by providing an entry-level ARS license class that includes privileges allowing reliable short-range voice and data communications.
>
> 2) Not significantly impact existing users of the affected Amateur Radio Service frequency bands.

>
> 3) Encourage basic knowledge of radio, electronics, operating principles
and
> etiquette among a wider segment of the general
> population.
>
> 4) Take advantage of the success of the Family Radio Service, and provide
a
> path for those young users interested in further radio experimentation.
>
> The Colt license exam would consist of 25 fairly simple questions.
Emphasis
> would be put on understanding the existing operational, safety, etiquette
> and emergency questions from theTechnician Class question pool that relate
> specifically to Colt Class license privileges. All questions containing
> calculations would contain small integer(whole-number) input values and
have
> relatively small integer results. Colt licensees would be required to
have
> a general understanding of Ohm's Law and Power relations. They should be
> required to have a general understanding of how antenna length relates to
> wavelength; and have a knowledge of transmitter power, antenna gain,
> transmission line loss, and the ability to perform a simple ERP
calculation
> would be required. General knowledge of simple resistive circuits, and
> their power dissipation, would be required. Knowledge of capacitors and
> inductors would not be required.
>
>
> Stewart Teaze - N0MHS
>
>

From 5315085947293794104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 12:41:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 12:34:20 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A6C994C.A213BFE2@uci.edu>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6C7DD0.B6453AB3@uci.edu>

```
<3A6C7DF9.29258124@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13850

Cecil wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > The fact that I never took one doesn't make feel less obliged to support
> > my country.

> Would you have supported your country in 1775?

I don't believe in being ruled by a mob or a King, Cecil.  How 'bout
you?

jk



From -1524890475537675740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 12:58:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!dfw-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
From: Cathryn Mataga <cathryn@junglevision.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,r
ec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
```

Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 12:54:15 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.21.11.68
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: dfw-read.news.verio.net 980197118 207.21.11.68 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:58:38 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:58:38 GMT
Organization: Verio
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13851 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16186 alt.radio.family:3540 rec.radio.cb:23178 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1920

"Paul R. Stoetzer, N8HM" wrote:

>
> 3. The Tech license is already extremely easy to get. I got my Tech just over a year ago and it took about a week to study enough to pass the test, and that was with 55 questions on two tests, not 35.
>
> While I agree more young people are needed in the hobby, the problem is not the license structure, which I believe to be perfect, it is the PR deficencies.

What about this as a proposal. Leave everything as it is as far as licenses are concerned. But, then eliminate the rule that you can't charge money for testing people. And, then work to get the FCC to cut a deal to allow, for example, Radio Shack and ham radio stores to give ham radio license tests right in the store -- and set up a computer system to give call signs right on the spot. Then, the stores could make a little money giving the test. And, might pick up some extra sales from new hams.

From 9055805394035981708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 13:16:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Denver Braughler <den01@deadletter-bwcc.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: proposal for license testing for profit
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 16:14:34 -0500
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A6CA2BA.7C126101@deadletter-bwcc.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com> <fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVYvkMLHf8ZCuvsGH8b4qhuVXL4DrQ981DaFUolzR88SkENMFest7bHi
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 21:15:06 GMT
X-Mailer:  Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language:  en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13852
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16187
```

Cathryn Mataga wrote:
> for example, Radio Shack and ham radio stores to give ham radio
> license tests right in the store -- and set up a computer system to give call signs
> right on the spot. Then, the stores could make a little money giving the test.
> And, might pick up some extra sales from new hams.

If real money could be made from the extra sales, the stores would give the tests free.

Perhaps you can ask Radio Shack whether they think your proposal is viable.

```
From -3210935508899678188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 13:17:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.sttls1.wa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "The Packrat�" <spam@n7uic.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,r
ec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Tr1b6.297355$U46.9496569@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:17:39 GMT
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.0.13.146
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 980198259 65.0.13.146 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 13:17:39
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 13:17:39 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13853
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16188 alt.radio.family:3541 rec.radio.cb:23181
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1921

The money they charge is for all the expenses of testing - there isn't a
mandatory charge

"Cathryn Mataga" <cathryn@junglevision.com> wrote in message
news:3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com...


"Paul R. Stoetzer, N8HM" wrote:
>
> 3. The Tech license is already extremely easy to get. I got my Tech just
> over a year ago and it took about a week to study enough to pass the test,
> and that was with 55 questions on two tests, not 35.
>
> While I agree more young people are needed in the hobby, the problem is
not
> the license structure, which I believe to be perfect, it is the PR
> deficencies.

What about this as a proposal.  Leave everything as it is as far as licenses
are concerned.  But, then eliminate the rule that you can't charge money
for testing people.  And, then work to get the FCC to cut a deal
to allow, for example, Radio Shack and ham radio stores to give ham radio
license tests  right in the store -- and set up a computer system to give
call signs
right on the spot.  Then, the stores could make a little money giving the
test.
And, might pick up some extra sales from new hams.




From 1850140874546717467
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 13:19:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 15:09:39 -0600
```

Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A6CA193.C3EC924@IEEE.org>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com> <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6C7DD0.B6453AB3@uci.edu> <3A6C7DF9.29258124@IEEE.org> <3A6C994C.A213BFE2@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.8e
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Jan 2001 21:16:59 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13854

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I don't believe in being ruled by a mob or a King, Cecil. How 'bout
> you?

The IRS mob has ruled my life for years.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 4256992356364066402
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 13:49:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 16:48:19 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <94i9so$h3p$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com> <fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.63.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980200152 17529 166.102.250.63 (22 Jan 2001 21:49:12 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 21:49:12 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13855
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16189 alt.radio.family:3542 rec.radio.cb:23184
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1924

You could even have the test on the computer, the computer than could grade your test(s) and as you pointed out issue your call sign on the spot. Then the computer could pop up what the store had in your price range. You would then press your selections and walk to the front of the store, pickup your license and equipment and head home ready to operate.

We could do the same thing from Mall kiosks to attract young people. This could be coupled with playstation rentals, beepers, and cell phones. A one stop communications and entertainment kiosk. ARRL could create a Sony playstation and gameboy cartridge to teach amateur radio, along with an amateur radio adventure that would require simulated contacts with DX countries to obtain clues to finish the game. Wow! I wish I had thought of that. The only problem is getting it by the old elitist hams that think you should learn a bunch of code and radio nonsense to gain what is rightfully yours because you want it..

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Cathryn Mataga" <cathryn@junglevision.com> wrote in message
news:3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com...
>
>
> "Paul R. Stoetzer, N8HM" wrote:
> >
> > 3. The Tech license is already extremely easy to get. I got my Tech just
> > over a year ago and it took about a week to study enough to pass the
test,
> > and that was with 55 questions on two tests, not 35.
> >
> > While I agree more young people are needed in the hobby, the problem is
not
> > the license structure, which I believe to be perfect, it is the PR
> > deficencies.
>
> What about this as a proposal. Leave everything as it is as far as
licenses
> are concerned. But, then eliminate the rule that you can't charge money
> for testing people. And, then work to get the FCC to cut a deal

> to allow, for example, Radio Shack and ham radio stores to give ham radio
> license tests right in the store -- and set up a computer system to give call signs
> right on the spot. Then, the stores could make a little money giving the test.
> And, might pick up some extra sales from new hams.

From 8500395986773627453
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 13:49:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: zeno333@mindspring.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 16:49:00 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <94i9s1$l2j$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
      <94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: cf.45.77.86
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Jan 2001 21:48:49 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express Macintosh Edition - 4.5 (0410)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13856 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16190 alt.radio.family:3543 rec.radio.cb:23185

I do not think there needs to be a new Amateur service, but I also think you are perhaps being a litle hard on the guys commercial and money connection etc. After all, it was Tandy Corporation that made the FRS proposal to the FCC..and Tandy is commercial for sure..(ie Rradio Shack)

In article <94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> When you don't understand why somebody is doing something which seems
> senseless to you, sometimes it pays to try to "follow the money".
>
> In this case it led me to a company called "PubCel". According to
> their web site, that company is involved in:
>
>   "Wireless Entertainment and Communications Solutions:
>
>   - MURS and ARS Voice and Data Communications Systems
>
>   - FRS and GMRS Voice Communication Systems"
>
> I think it is safe to say that the "ARS" acronym might mean "Amateur
> Radio Service".
>
> Also according to their web site, the company President is none other
> than Stewart Teaze, N0MHS.
>
> Suddenly this proposal for the "Barbie Doll" Amateur Radio license
> isn't quite so senseless.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

From 3218399860966426848
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 13:50:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!newsfeed.icl.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:39:51 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 78
Message-ID: <94i9b7$af1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94fo31$6mp$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010121202349.10077.00000645@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.114
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 21:39:51 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.114
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13857

> What percentage of CW operation do you think is carried on usign the
least
> expensive, simplest equipment vs using the new-fangled, microprocessor
> controlled transceiver?

>
> >while being more reliable in terms of signal to noise ratio, how could
> >it be that it has been banned for ships at sea and from other
> >government circuits that claim to be for Emergency back-up?
>
> I lack your expertise in determining what emergency equipment should be on
> ships, but in my humble opinion, they probably make the choice in terms of
> reliability. If I were on a sinking ship, I would trust that they would have a
> satellite rescue system instead of a 500-kHz Morse transmitter.
>

Percentages are for insurance companies. In an emergency you would HOPE to have cellular service.

When I was in the Navy, we had a catastrophic power failure while the generator was down for repair. We had to pull every quirky trick out of our hats to bring the boilers back on line. All during that time batteries went low and and we litterally did this while beating on failing battle lanterns. We had to call a nearby ship to bring us an extra 20 gal of Gas for the portable equipment because we had run out.

You better believe we wouldn't have sneered at a HW-7 if it had come to that.

If you were on a sinking ship, maybe you would want a crew that knew more than excuses and finger pointing. Anyone who has been on emergencies knows that half of the newfangled gadgets are worthless in an emergency. Like laptops and multi-mode controllers that take way too long to set up and require a pile of support cables and interfaces to get going, only to have something's batteries go dead.

I also used to support the local Mountain rescue unit with VHF and HF links, as they often found themselves on the wrong side of the mountain from HQ. After spending 30 minutes trying to get better than 20db of noise into the repeater on the other side of the mountain, we would shift to 75m mobile. After another 20 minutes trying to pass traffic on 75m phone when the signals were even with the QRN, I never again forgot the old straight key.

Also, many people seem to think that ham radio is financially prohibitive. You can be a real ham and make lots of contacts with a homebrew CW QRP rig, and get the $20,000 antenna/tower/rig/amp big DX station as you go, and have a ball getting there. We did it then, and people do it now. Besides, if the BIG DX/CONTEST station and the tower were delivered and installed, and you just got the license back yesterday, you've missed out on everything that went before. Truth be known, I'd rather be making that contact anyway, instead of punching through endless menu screens.

My Brother-in-Law's Dad was a ham, but had passed away many years ago.
He came to me interested in getting a license of his own. He actually
got all hyped up and did the Novice route. He would come to me so
stoked about swapping QSL cards and his contacts all over the place
with his HW-8. I'll never regret my own triumph of getting something
on the air with my own hands and actually making contacts with it.

On the Report and Order thing, I think there was a snafu. I got no
response back from the FCC on my comment paper to 98-143 other than an
automated receipt, but maybe the tide of popular opinion was against
me. Sometimes it takes a while for an idea to gain momentum, but it'll
never bear fruit if you don't plant the seed.

Jim, ac6tk
TS-830, TS-130, 30L-1, various VHF/UHF radios, CW/FM/SSB/digital stuff.
Oh ya, 40m 6L6 peanut whistle.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8950901041783779075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 13:50:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newspeer2.tds.net!
newsfeed2.skycache.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:36:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <94i951$acf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
To: cathryn@junglevision.com
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 21:36:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13858 alt.radio.family:3544

Cathryn Mataga wrote:

> And, then work to get the FCC to cut a deal to allow, for
> example, Radio Shack and ham radio stores to give ham radio

> license tests right in the store

What problem would this solve?

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -372791512227108617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 13:50:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!typhoon.aracnet.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6CABCD.B1B8779A@eznec.com>
From: Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com>
Organization: EZNEC Antenna Software
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 13:53:17 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.99.211.105
X-Complaints-To: news@aracnet.com
X-Trace: typhoon.aracnet.com 980200221 216.99.211.105 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 13:50:21 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 13:50:21 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13859
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16191 alt.radio.family:3545 rec.radio.cb:23187
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1925

I don't like this idea very much. The part that worries me is as you say, they "might pick up some extra sales from new hams". Without accusing any store or anyone of dishonesty, I think it's a bad idea for the test giver to have such an immediate and direct financial incentive to have the examinee pass.

Roy Lewallen, W7EL

Cathryn Mataga wrote:
>
> What about this as a proposal. Leave everything as it is as far as licenses
> are concerned. But, then eliminate the rule that you can't charge money
> for testing people. And, then work to get the FCC to cut a deal
> to allow, for example, Radio Shack and ham radio stores to give ham radio
> license tests right in the store -- and set up a computer system to give call signs
> right on the spot. Then, the stores could make a little money giving the test.
> And, might pick up some extra sales from new hams.

From -8689639890361234584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 14:05:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 165
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 22:04:16 GMT

References: <20010121203705.10077.00000648@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Message-ID: <20010122170416.22631.00001018@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13860

In article <20010121203705.10077.00000648@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>>Depending on its modulation characteristics, UWB
>>>can produce discrete modulation sidebands or can sound noiselike.
>
>>That assumes that only narrowband, conventional receivers are used
>>to monitor the wideband signal. The RF energy is literally spread so
>>wide across the baseband spectrum that the energy in a tiny fraction
>>of the spectrum is very low.

Before going any further, I will point out (for the benefit of some
readers, not yourself) that Truly Random noise power is
ALWAYS additive. Also, a quick and clean way to measure
path loss is Loss(db) = 37 + 20 Log F + 20 Log D where F is
in MHz and D is in statute miles. [1 Km = 0.6214 miles]

>Yes, it is very low, Len, but very low can be used quite effectively for
>communications. Run a few calculations. Start with 30 dBm UWB spread over 1
>GHz bandwidth, 10 dBi antenna gain on transmit, 20 dBi antenna gain on
>receive, a 6 dB receiver noise figure, a 1 kHz receiver bandwidth and a
frequency of
>1200 MHz and a separation of 0.1 kM. The result is:
>
>Frequency = 1200 MHz
>Total transmit power = 1 watt
>Transmit spread = 1000 MHz
>Receiver bandwidth = 1000 Hz
>Transmit power in 1 Hz = -60 dBm/Hz
>Transmit power in 1000 Hz = -30 dBm
>Transmit antenna gain = 10 dBi
>Receive antenna gain = 20 dBi
>Distance to receiver = .1 km
>Free-space pathloss = -74.03362 db
>System loss (path loss +/- antenna gains) = -44.03362 dB
>Total loss (path loss +/- antenna gains - spreading loss) = -104.0336 dB
>Specified receive system Noise Figure = 6 dB (includes external noise)
>Calculated receive system sensitivity in 1000 Hz bandwidth = -138 dBm
>Received noise in receiver bandwidth = -74.03362 dBm
>Received noise in receiver bandwidth = S 9
>Receive system noise floor increase in dB = 63.96638 dB

Okay, some remarks on that. First of all, path loss is frequency
sensitive. If the UWB bandwidth is 1000 MHz, then you can't
name one frequency as if this is the narrowband kind of thing.
If 1.2 GHz is the low end, then 2.2 GHz would be the high end.

The path loss over 1 KM (I don't want a goalpost-to-goalpost communications range of 328 feet...I can shout that far...:-) will vary from 94.5 db at 1.2 GHz to 99.7 db at 2.2 GHz.

The choice of 10 dbi Tx and 20 dbi Rx antennas is curious and there is no additional cable loss connections. I would pick a total antenna plus feedline gain at 15 dbi (assuming a cableless dipole reference standard). Total path loss, antenna gain, cable loss would now be -79.5 to -84.7 db over 1 Km. If the transmitter power output is 1 Watt or +30 dbm, the receiver input port would get -49.5 to -54.7 dbm of total UWB signal.

The calculated noise power of a 50 Ohm resistance at 296.9 K over a bandwidth of 1 GHz is 4.05 microvolts or -94.8 dbm with 0 dbm equal to 223.6 millivolts in a 50 Ohm system. Given a sloppy UWB receiver having a NF = 12 db (accounts for all variables such as A/D processing), the equivalent noise input power over a 1 GHz bandwidth is then -82.8 dbm. Overall signal to noise ratio over a 1 KM path is then 33.3 db at 1.2 GHz droping to 28.1 db at 2.2 GHz. That should be enough S:N tolerance for processing the UWB signal.

My particular peeve with the numbers is that the UWB signal in UNDEFINED. First of all, one cannot assume that the UWB signal (whatever it is) is spread evenly across the entire spectrum. It won't be. If the UWB signal starts as a 0.1 microsecond pulse, the spectral content will show defined energy appearing at 10 MHz increments. If there is some kind of modulation on this 100 nanosecond pulse, then the energy is still spread in 10 MHz increments but has some additional energy clustered at those increments.

An example of this clustering is the US NTSC color TV. The color subcarrier was chosen as an odd multiple of half the horizontal line frequency. Why? Turns out that the spectral content of a black-and-white video signal was clustering around increments equal to the horizontal line frequency. The color information would fit in-between the monochrome spectral content, would not over-ride it, and thus allow a transmitted color TV signal to be received over an existing monochrome TV receiver.

Okay, let's assume the undefined UWB signal does have a totally even distribution of spectral energy over a 1000 MHz bandwidth. As defined, the transmitter output of 1 W (total over the bandwidth) is equal to +30 dbm (to readers, 0 dbm = 1 milliWatt in a 50 Ohm system). Assuming the totally even "spread" of spectral energy over 1 GHz, the energy distribution could be stated as 1 nanoWatt per Hz (1 Watt divided by 1 x 10^9). Over a 1 KHz bandwidth of another receiver the received even-spread UWB signal would have a 1 KHz bandwidth signal total energy of 1 microWatt. But there are no values for path loss/gain yet.

Rather than using a 30 meter distance (about a 100 feet) to a neighbor's receiver capable of tuning in narrow bands (unlikely), let's postulate a 100 meter distance with the same Tx-Rx loss/gains modified for the shorter distance. Total path loss plus antenna gain is now -59.5 to -64.7 db. With a total transmit power of 1 W or +30 dbm, this second, narrowband receiver gets an input of -29.5 dbm at 1.2 GHz to -34.7 dbm at 2.2 GHz. However, the narrow filter in this second receiver will pass only one-millionth of the transmitted evenly-spread energy so the second receiver "looks at" only an equivalent energy of -89.5 dbm to -94.7 dbm.

Given the second receiver NF of 6 db and a 50 Ohm system at 75 F (296.9 K), its equivalent noise floor would be at -131.9 dbm. Is there sufficient signal to noise to clearly show the evenly-spread UWB signal at this second receiver? Yes...42.4 to 37.2 db to be more exact...provided that NF is as good as advertised. :-)

The presentation of this problem was hampered by a mixing of paradigms, of thinking too much that a UWB signal is "just like" a DSSS of a cell phone, appearing as even-spread random "noise" across a narrow spectrum. It has NOT BEEN DEFINED YET.

If the UWB signal were some mythical 0.1 microsecond pulse with width modulation, then the total energy will cluster at 10 MHz increments. That is enough to have a conventional 2m receiver be working from a down-converter and NEVER HEAR ANYTHING from the 0.1 microsecond UWB signal. Or, it could be sitting right on a cluster of energy and get more than expected.

What is even more complex is that the conventional comparison uses a repetitive signal analogue as to what to expect across a spectrum. That is a convenience and much, much easier than trying to find peaks by a time-amplitude analysis. In practice, even a DSSS signal does not have spectral content that persists as if it were repetitive...or as "noise-like" in an even distribution per frequency. Using a repetitive waveform transform is an absolute worst-case spectral energy analysis.

Cellular telephone digital patterns are generally chosen to spread energy evenly across a moderate bandwidth. The standard is defined. The UWB is not yet defined. With 33 nanosecond pulses, a UWB could have clusters every 30 MHz apart, having "open spaces" wider than the present HF spectrum.

Now, if anyone is doubtful of all this, just get a good, calibrated spectrum analyzer with a high dynamic range and start measuring some wideband sources with both repetitive and non-repetitive modulation. Note: Use proper spectrum analyzer bandwidths and sweep speeds to catch all the little energy products. The results can be interesting...and don't always follow the narrowband analysis methods.

didit

```
From -1545139323897493482
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 14:11:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!dfw-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6CAEEB.83DF27F8@junglevision.com>
From: Cathryn Mataga <cathryn@junglevision.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,r
ec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
<3A6CABCD.B1B8779A@eznec.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 14:06:35 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.21.11.68
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: dfw-read.news.verio.net 980201459 207.21.11.68 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 22:10:59
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 22:10:59 GMT
Organization: Verio
```

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13861
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16192 alt.radio.family:3546 rec.radio.cb:23196
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1926
```

Roy Lewallen wrote:

>
> I don't like this idea very much. The part that worries me is as you
> say, they "might pick up some extra sales from new hams". Without
> accusing any store or anyone of dishonesty, I think it's a bad idea for
> the test giver to have such an immediate and direct financial incentive
> to have the examinee pass.
>

Money makes the world go-round. Methinks. And if you want to
get more young people in the hobby, I think you need the kind
of marketing muscle that Radio Shack can provide. And, I think the fraud
issue here is over-estimated. There's been fraud
in the testing system as it is. If you had big companies involved,
who had lawyers, they could snap the whip with the employees.
And, they'd be better positioned to enforce standards, than random
individuals. I think.

Just enact some fines for cheating. Hire a few people to go
around, and masquerade as applicants to keep the system clean. And,
open an office, that would handle reports of fraud, and then
investigate as a criminal matter. It'd probably be cleaner than
the current setup.

```
From 7863158133686454051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cd97a0af9e3ae41d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 14:38:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010121203705.10077.00000648@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<20010122170416.22631.00001018@nso-fz.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Sound Familiar?
Lines: 89
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <cD2b6.1085$e5.2739709@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:37:38 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980203080 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:38:00 EST)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:38:00 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13862

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> >Receive system noise floor increase in dB = 63.96638 dB

> Okay, some remarks on that. First of all, path loss is frequency
> sensitive. If the UWB bandwidth is 1000 MHz, then you can't
> name one frequency as if this is the narrowband kind of thing.
> If 1.2 GHz is the low end, then 2.2 GHz would be the high end.
> The path loss over 1 KM (I don't want a goalpost-to-goalpost
> communications range of 328 feet...I can shout that far...:-)
> will vary from 94.5 db at 1.2 GHz to 99.7 db at 2.2 GHz.

Indeed correct. I used the path loss at 1.2 GHz, the frequency I was trying to receive in this calculated scenario. I didn't feel that the path loss on the frequencies I was not trying to receive was important.

> My particular peeve with the numbers is that the UWB signal
> in UNDEFINED. First of all, one cannot assume that the UWB
> signal (whatever it is) is spread evenly across the entire
> spectrum. It won't be. If the UWB signal starts as a 0.1
> microsecond pulse, the spectral content will show defined
> energy appearing at 10 MHz increments. If there is some
> kind of modulation on this 100 nanosecond pulse, then the
> energy is still spread in 10 MHz increments but has some
> additional energy clustered at those increments.

True enough, but the undefined UWB signal as being a uniform distribution of broadband noise makes the easiest calculations. Uniform distribution is not worst case.

> Given the second receiver NF of 6 db and a 50 Ohm
> system at 75 F (296.9 K), its equivalent noise floor
> would be at -131.9 dbm. Is there sufficient signal to
> noise to clearly show the evenly-spread UWB signal
> at this second receiver? Yes...42.4 to 37.2 db to be
> more exact...provided that NF is as good as
> advertised. :-)

Those figures are correct.

> If the UWB signal were some mythical 0.1 micro-
> second pulse with width modulation, then the total
> energy will cluster at 10 MHz increments. That is
> enough to have a conventional 2m receiver be
> working from a down-converter and NEVER HEAR
> ANYTHING from the 0.1 microsecond UWB signal.
> Or, it could be sitting right on a cluster of energy
> and get more than expected.

You are correct, an unmodulated UWB signal will either show nothing in the nearby narrow-band receiver, or it will show much more than the figures I calculated, but only on a single frequency. An UWB signal that is modulated with a slow data rate will result in slightly less signal across a slightly wider frequency range. An UWB signal that is modulated with high-speed data will appear to be fairly uniform across the frequency range.

> What is even more complex is that the conventional
> comparison uses a repetitive signal analogue as to
> what to expect across a spectrum. That is a
> convenience and much, much easier than trying to
> find peaks by a time-amplitude analysis. In practice,
> even a DSSS signal does not have spectral content
> that persists as if it were repetitive...or as "noise-
> like" in an even distribution per frequency. Using a
> repetitive waveform transform is an absolute worst-
> case spectral energy analysis.

One of the reasons I chose uniform distribution of noise for this approximate discussion,.

> Cellular telephone digital patterns are generally
> chosen to spread energy evenly across a moderate
> bandwidth. The standard is defined. The UWB is
> not yet defined. With 33 nanosecond pulses, a
> UWB could have clusters every 30 MHz apart,
> having "open spaces" wider than the present HF
> spectrum.

None of the UWB proposals I have seen have stipulated an unmodulated pulse, nor have they stipulated limiting the modulation to slow speeds. Even so, analyzing the levels of those clusters would give an interference potential on those frequencies >> the one I caclulated with a uniform noise distribution approximation.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4989006258045383775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 14:45:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94ftud$45n$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010121202538.10077.00000646@ng-cr1.aol.com> <94hv1j$df$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <QJ2b6.1090$e5.2740037@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:44:41 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980203504 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:45:04 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:45:04 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13863

<horseshoestew@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Say Ed, I was wondering, is there any way of getting transcripts from
> the proceedings that lead to restructuring?

You will have to ask the FCC, although I don't know if they are required to keep transcripts of internal meetings. The NPRM they issued summarized their proposal and the Report and Order summarized their decision.

> My understanding is that there were folks that had concerns about the
elimination of the Novice
> Class license.

I don't know what records the Commission keeps on the meetings of its staff or its Commissioners.

> but I've got a hunch that there is some support for something that will
set up a more healthy
> learning/operating environment for kids.

If that support, assuming it exists at all, were strong enough that they would reconsider their restructuring decisions, I think that they would have restructured the Novice at the same time instead of eliminating it. I think it will be hard to convince the Commission to implement a replacement for a class of license that very few people were choosing to hold.

> Why would the FCC have recently created FRS, and now MURS, if that were
not the case?

I believe that the FCC created those services to be communications tools. On what basis do you determine that their purpose is tobe a learning environment for kids? Is this documented in their rules or in the Report and Order that created them?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -5659116219554345620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 14:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94g3e9$7hi$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010122071633.21640.00000698@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <94i13q$c4c$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <MK2b6.1091$e5.2740155@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:45:42 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980203564 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:46:04 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:46:04 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13864
```

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Have you no pity?

No, but I do have a sense of humor. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 2944489266575015073
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 14:49:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!
```

```
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!
newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,r
ec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 22 Jan 2001 22:49:00 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 231
Message-ID: <94idcs$inb@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com>
Reply-To: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.187.119
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13865
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16193 alt.radio.family:3547 rec.radio.cb:23201
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1927

Maybe a 15 year old can explain to the rest how to stop posting to the
rec.radio.CB group.

"Paul R. Stoetzer, N8HM" <N8HM@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com...
> There are several problems with this proposal:
>
> 1. As a 15 year old, I can tell you that the most interesting thing of ham
> radio is DX, not short range VHF communications.
> 2. This proposal would isolate the newbies, if there are not any other
> recent "Colt" licensees around, they would quickly grow bored and would
not
> upgrade. Chatting with people who have been around a while and who are
> talking about the Walk-a-Thon or picnic the local club is involved in or
the
> great conditions on the HF bands today.
> 3. The Tech license is already extremely easy to get. I got my Tech just
> over a year ago and it took about a week to study enough to pass the test,
> and that was with 55 questions on two tests, not 35.
>
> While I agree more young people are needed in the hobby, the problem is
not
> the license structure, which I believe to be perfect, it is the PR
> deficencies.
>
> 73,
> Paul
> N8HM
> "Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
```

> > The rapidly-expanding Family Radio Service has exposed millions of young
> > people to the world
> > of radio communications. But now, where is a young kid to go who has is
> > interested in experimenting
> > with radios and get more range than the typical 1/2 mile of FRS? MURS
> might
> > help a bit(if Motorola doesn't
> > get their way), but you know that a growing mind is eventually going to
> want
> > more than just this. GMRS
> > doesn't have the resources, and is not a service that was created to
> foster
> > experimentation. Like it or not,
> > the typical young person ends up cutting his radio experimention teeth
in
> > the Citizen's Band service, because
> > there isn't a reasonable entry-level ham license for kids(it is even
worse
> > now after restructuring, what with the
> > elimination of the Novice Class).
> >
> > Everyday now the USA is falling further and further behind Europe and
> Japan
> > in the wireless communications
> > race. As hams, it is time we make an effort to insure that our young
> people
> > are provided with the proper
> > environment, incentives, and role models to properly learn radio
> > communications techniques and etiquette.
> > It is time for hams to stop complaining, step up to the plate, and take
an
> > active role in seeing that our bright,
> > young technical minds are being taught properly.
> >
> > As a result of this, I have reworked the Communicator entry-level
license
> > proposal I submitted to the FCC last year,
> > and I will now be proposing a new entry-level Amateur Radio class known
as
> > the "Colt" class. I submit a draft
> > of the proposal for your review below. Please keep in mind that the
> future
> > of American involvement in wireless
> > communications development depends on us to properly encourage and teach
> > tomorrow's experimenters, operators,
> > technicians, and engineers...
> >
> > **
> > Proposal to FCC to institute an introductory-level "Colt" license
> > class in the Amateur Radio Service - REV A
> >
> >
> > In the late 1980's and early 1990's when the current Amateur Radio

Service
> > Technician Class license was conceived and implemented, it was
> > an appropriate alternate introductory license class. However, due to
the
> > recent elimination of the Novice Class license, and as a result of
> improving
> > technology; the Technician Class license no longer serves well as the
only
> > introductory license, as it is doing poorly in drawing young members
into
> > the Service. As a result of license restructuring, it is now actually
> > harder for young people to obtain an Amateur license than it was before
> > restructuring.
> >
> > In this critical era of global competition, especially in the wireless
> > communications arena, it is essential that we provide a means for
properly
> > educating our young people in RF communications operation and theory.
> >
> > Today, technologies like the Family Radio Service, high-speed telephone
&
> > cable modems, the Internet, and inexpensive cellular
> > telephones, have overshadowed the privileges of the Amateur Radio
Service,
> > and made the requirements for young beginners to obtain a
> > Technician Class license out of date. Additionally, the Technician
Class
> > license has now evolved into a beginning and ending point for
> > most modern day Amateurs, who are mostly interested in VHF/UHF
> > communications, rather than HF communications. Incentive licensing has
> > virtually been eliminated.
> >
> > The need for a Service dedicated to the goal of teaching young radio
users
> > proper radio operation techniques and etiquette can clearly be seen in
the
> > disarray present on the 11 meter Citizen's Band Service frequencies.
The
> > fact is, the Citizen's Band has become the defacto introductory service
> for
> > young people just beginning to learn to experiment with radio; yet the
> > Citizen's Band is a very poor environment for young people to be
learning
> > in.
> >
> > Therefore, it is recommended that a new "Colt Class" license be added to
> the
> > Amateur Radio Service expressly to encourage young
> > individuals to enter the Amateur Radio Service to improve their
> > understanding of RF communications theory, provide a healthy learning
> > environment, and encourage radio experimentation.
> >
> > On the 70cm UHF band, Colt licensees would be restricted to voice

> > communications on 5 simplex-only 20khz-spaced channels
> > on 446.00, 446.02, 446.04, 446.06, and 446.08 Mhz; and digital
> > communications up to 19,200bps on 1 simplex-only 60khz bandwidth channel
> on
> > 446.14Mhz.
> >
> > On the 1.25m VHF band, Colt licensees would be restricted to voice
> > communications on 5 simplex-only 20khz-spaced channels
> > on 223.42, 223.44, 223.46, 223.48, and 223.50 Mhz; and digital
> > communications up to 19,200bps on 1 simplex-only 60khz bandwidth channel
> on
> > 223.56Mhz.
> >
> > To encourage experimentation/understanding of antenna and VHF/UHF RF
> > propagation theory, Colt licensees would also be allowed to setup and/or
> > operate through a VHF/UHF crossband, simplex user input/output, repeater
> > station on two 20khz-bandwidth channels on 223.52Mhz and 446.10Mhz.
This
> > would allow them to setup an inexpensive repeater system by
> interconnecting
> > the voice/mic connections via a resistive circuit on two simplex radios;
> and
> > would not require expensive, and difficult to understand, cavity and
> > duplexer filtering at the repeater station site. User radio costs would
> be
> > low, as they would only need a single simplex radio. As a result of the
> > characteristics of this type of repeater, the repeater builders and
users
> > would learn to coordinate frequencies, and user groupings based on
> > environmental conditions, so as to acheive optimal results.
> >
> > Colt license users would also be subject to the following restrictions:
> >
> > (1) Individuals applying for a Colt Class license must be under 19 years
> of
> > age at the time of license application or renewal. The license is valid
> for
> > 5 years.
> >
> > (2) Fixed control station antennas will be no more than 6.1 meters (20
> > feet) above the ground or above the building or tree on which it is
> > mounted.
> >
> > (3) Transmissions limited to 5 watts ERP, or less.
> >
> >
> > The new license class would have the following goals:
> >
> > 1) Encourage more young people to enter the Amateur Radio Service, by
> > providing an entry-level ARS license class that includes privileges
> > allowing reliable short-range voice and data communications.
> >
> > 2) Not significantly impact existing users of the affected Amateur Radio

```
> > Service frequency bands.
> >
> > 3) Encourage basic knowledge of radio, electronics, operating principles
> and
> > etiquette among a wider segment of the general
> > population.
> >
> > 4) Take advantage of the success of the Family Radio Service, and
provide
> a
> > path for those young users interested in further radio experimentation.
> >
> > The Colt license exam would consist of 25 fairly simple questions.
> Emphasis
> > would be put on understanding the existing operational, safety,
etiquette
> > and emergency questions from theTechnician Class question pool that
relate
> > specifically to Colt Class license privileges. All questions containing
> > calculations would contain small integer(whole-number) input values and
> have
> > relatively small integer results.  Colt licensees would be required to
> have
> > a general understanding of Ohm's Law and Power relations.  They should
be
> > required to have a general understanding of how antenna length relates
to
> > wavelength; and have a knowledge of transmitter power, antenna gain,
> > transmission line loss, and the ability to perform a simple ERP
> calculation
> > would be required.  General knowledge of simple resistive circuits, and
> > their power dissipation, would be required. Knowledge of capacitors and
> > inductors would not be required.
> >
> >
> > Stewart Teaze - N0MHS
> >
> >
>
>




From -6144387808420948601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
```

X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 14:50:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!
newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,r
ec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 22 Jan 2001 22:50:26 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 66
Message-ID: <94idfi$jf6@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
<94i9so$h3p$1@iac5.navix.net>
Reply-To: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.187.119
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13866
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16194 alt.radio.family:3548 rec.radio.cb:23204
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1928

Fred, I know you know better than to keep cross posting this thread. Your
mail boxes could get awfully full.

"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:94i9so$h3p$1@iac5.navix.net...
> You could even have the test on the computer, the computer than could
grade
> your test(s) and as you pointed out issue your call sign on the spot. Then
> the computer could pop up what the store had in your price range. You
would
> then press your selections and walk to the front of the store, pickup your
> license and equipment and head home ready to operate.
>
> We could do the same thing from Mall kiosks to attract young people. This
> could be coupled with playstation rentals, beepers, and cell phones. A one
> stop communications and entertainment kiosk. ARRL could create a Sony
> playstation and gameboy cartridge to teach amateur radio, along with an
> amateur radio adventure that would require simulated contacts with DX
> countries to obtain clues to finish the game. Wow! I wish I had thought of
> that. The only problem is getting it by the old elitist hams that think
you
> should learn a bunch of code and radio nonsense to gain what is rightfully
> yours because you want it..
>

```
> --
> Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
> Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
> Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
> "We  want, therefore we deserve"
> Send your non-tax deductible donations today!
>
> "Cathryn Mataga" <cathryn@junglevision.com> wrote in message
> news:3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com...
> >
> >
> > "Paul R. Stoetzer, N8HM" wrote:
> > >
> > > 3. The Tech license is already extremely easy to get. I got my Tech
just
> > > over a year ago and it took about a week to study enough to pass the
> test,
> > > and that was with 55 questions on two tests, not 35.
> > >
> > > While I agree more young people are needed in the hobby, the problem
is
> not
> > > the license structure, which I believe to be perfect, it is the PR
> > > deficencies.
> >
> > What about this as a proposal.  Leave everything as it is as far as
> licenses
> > are concerned.  But, then eliminate the rule that you can't charge money
> > for testing people.  And, then work to get the FCC to cut a deal
> > to allow, for example, Radio Shack and ham radio stores to give ham
radio
> > license tests  right in the store -- and set up a computer system to
give
> call signs
> > right on the spot.  Then, the stores could make a little money giving
the
> test.
> > And, might pick up some extra sales from new hams.
>
>




From -3287152088086262 29
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 14:52:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeeds.belnet.be!
news.belnet.be!skynet.be!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

References: <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <20010120014523.22779.00000700@nso-fa.aol.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <9R2b6.1092$e5.2740349@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:52:30 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980203973 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:52:53 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:52:53 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13867

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> >Just about all large high schools had school-supported ham clubs and club
> >stations.

> Maybe in your area, but not in mine, a city of about 80
> thousand back then.

The club radio station at Manchester High School (CT) had fallen into disuse
in 1966, the year I first attended.

> >The 80 & 40m Novice bands crawled with other kids.

> Really? My experience was a lot of WW2 vets were doing
> the crawling.

The 80 meter band was loaded with CW signals starting just as the kids got
home from school and lied about their homework. Of course, most receivers
of the day had about 5 kHz bandwidth or so, resulting in multiple signals
being heard in the passband simultaneously. Even so, the Novice bands were
a lot more used than they are today. From memory, most of the hams I worked
were under 30, with a pretty high percentage of kids under 18.

> >There were no home computers. There were ham
> >stores in every populated area.

> I can drive 2 miles to an HRO outlet in Burbank, CA. It's in a
> mini-mall across Buena Vista from another mini-mall. :-)

There were more "local" radio and electronics stores then than now. Mail
order electronics has become the norm. Back then, to get a part I had to
drive across town and hope it is stock. Today, I make a phone call and give
them my credit-card number. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -21142235587778226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 14:56:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <20010120014523.22779.00000700@nso-fa.aol.com> <3A69B1FC.204611DF@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <cU2b6.1093$e5.2740629@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:55:45 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980204168 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:56:08 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:56:08 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13868

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

> I went to a high school in a township which had around 450,00 citizens
> back then, there were 450 +/- of us in the graduating class of '55 which included
> 6-8 hams.

Manchester High had about 1000 students. Two of us were hams.

> Do that. At least three of the former Novices in my high school class went on to
> become EEs and two of us went into other engineering disciplines. One of the
> electron jugglers went on to become a GE VP. No doubt non-hams also became EEs
> but not out of that class.

Of my circle of Novice friends, none are EEs today.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3495731216378761622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 14:57:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 22 Jan 2001 22:57:05 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <94ids1$jor@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
<3A6CABCD.B1B8779A@eznec.com>
Reply-To: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.187.119
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13869
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16195 alt.radio.family:3549 rec.radio.cb:23207
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1929

This thread doesn't belong on the rec.radio.CB NG. Please remove it from
your replies. If you don't know how to do that ask someone.

"Roy Lewallen" <w7el@eznec.com> wrote in message
news:3A6CABCD.B1B8779A@eznec.com...
> I don't like this idea very much. The part that worries me is as you
> say, they "might pick up some extra sales from new hams". Without
> accusing any store or anyone of dishonesty, I think it's a bad idea for
> the test giver to have such an immediate and direct financial incentive
> to have the examinee pass.
>
> Roy Lewallen, W7EL
>
> Cathryn Mataga wrote:

```
> >
> > What about this as a proposal.  Leave everything as it is as far as
licenses
> > are concerned.  But, then eliminate the rule that you can't charge money
> > for testing people.  And, then work to get the FCC to cut a deal
> > to allow, for example, Radio Shack and ham radio stores to give ham
radio
> > license tests  right in the store -- and set up a computer system to
give call signs
> > right on the spot.  Then, the stores could make a little money giving
the test.
> > And, might pick up some extra sales from new hams.
```

```
From 37808934244847713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 14:58:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7ky5q0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
<3A6CA2BA.7C126101@deadletter-bwcc.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: proposal for license testing for profit
Lines: 24
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 22:59:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980204363 206.58.44.24 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 22:59:23
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 22:59:23 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16196
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13870

On Mon, 22 Jan 2001 16:14:34 -0500, Denver Braughler wrote:

>Cathryn Mataga wrote:
>> for example, Radio Shack and ham radio stores to give ham radio
```

>> license tests right in the store -- and set up a computer system to give call
signs
>> right on the spot. Then, the stores could make a little money giving the test.
>> And, might pick up some extra sales from new hams.
>
>If real money could be made from the extra sales, the stores
would give the tests free.
>
>Perhaps you can ask Radio Shack whether they think your proposal is viable.

  The enabling legislation clearly says that examinations are to
  be given on a "reimbursement for out-of-pocket expenses" basis
  which precludes making a profit. [47 USCA s. 303(f)(1)(I)]

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -3871114936460372478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 18:32:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: DUMBASSES who can't read (Was:Re: Dumb guys cancel other people's
posts)
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 21:18:31 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <94g5rk$nmk$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <94eohj$pjp$0@dosa.alt.net> <94f1oj$kmv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A6B34DD.37E1DAF@houston.net> <94ffti$vv8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A6B53A8.233EB498@houston.net> <94fs0m$9q8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-04-33.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 980130484 24276 209.43.66.193 (22 Jan 2001 02:28:04
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 02:28:04 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11210
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13771

Finally got out of the trailer park to go to the public library, huh Roger.
So did mommy give you the car keys to get there. She probably even drove you
there. So where did you learn to cuss like that Roger, did your mommy teach
you to cuss like that.
"KC8JBO" <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94fs0m$9q8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A6B53A8.233EB498@houston.net>,
>   Faggot WeeWee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> > KC8JBO wrote:
> >
> > > So fucking what, cocksucking clod????
> >
> > Don't look now, but you fell into the trap again.  Wasn't your
> original
> > jeer about people who can't read?  So far, yours are the ONLY posts
> > showing up in the news.admin news groups, where the Usenet admins hang
> > out, and that was due to your own gaffe (Note to others: the word is
> not
> > spelled "gaff", as was done in another thread).
>
> Maybe I gon't give a fuck which groups the thread is crossposted to,
> did you ever think about that?? No, you're too busy smoking crack
> cocaine.
>
> > I do have to admit that your command of English is impeccable, though.
> > It fits you well.
> >
>
> An another anonymous pompous ass does another drive-by.
> Get back to fondling the neighbor's kids, pedo.
>
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From 8245842704046812458
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 18:33:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 22 Jan 2001 02:30:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <94g60a$5co$0@208.207.71.164>
References: <94fnrm$sqi$0@208.207.71.164> <20010121200708.10077.00000642@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13772

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >So your opinion is that when a CBer gets a minimal ham radio license
> >and brings his entire repertoire with him, steadfastedly refusing any
> >hint of change by way of dropping at least most of the "ling" and sort
> >of rubs in everyone's face, then we hams should just shrug and give it
> >all up. We see.
>
> No, my opinion is that there is no reason to publically berate a new ham
> because he doesn't choose exactly the language you would use.

Making assumptions again ED? Where did I say that *I* publically berate
any new ham or anyone else "because he doesn't choose exactly the
language I'd use"?
I *didn't*,I *don't*, never have, don' expectd I ever will. We both
know that it is sometimes done. That doesn't mean that I have done or
ever would do it. I like the others just move to another repeater. I
might just put tone control on it and fail to give the miscreant the
code,it he continues to flaunt his CB background in every ham's face.
That sort of behavior doesn't seem to bother you a bit.
And: I thought it was you who said that what happens on this NG doesn't
reflect reality in ham radio. Changed your mind, I see.

>

> Do you really see things in such extremes, or do you blow them up out of
> proportion because you believe it makes your point.

Another poster mentioned that sometimes newcomers are corrected on the air and suddenly you leap to their protection whether or not it is needed or even wanted. I made the comment that when they refuse to learn how to act on ham radio that's when the hammers come out, and suddenly I'm an ogre. Time to get hour head on straight Ed, it ain't me that's the problem. And that remark about my nefarous activity as a VE in the face of the facts which are 180 degrees out is way beyond anything I have ever heard done to any newbie on the air. I guess only newcomers are subject to being offended and they by the slighest effort to help them being misinterpreted at harsh criticism! Amazing! IF this is what the hobby is coming to, I think I'll take my grandson and go fishing. If ham radio is turning into nothing but a PC feel good sideshow where honest efforts to help a new ham by offering advise -and I don't mean the sort of crapthat Paranoid Burke worries incessantly about- then you won't have to worry about me intruding in your utopian fun. I'll be somewhere doing something I can enjoy and it won't be in the middle of that nonsense.

I gotta decide by April whether or not to renew my ARRL membership. Gotta tell ya, it doesn't look to promising right now. Oh, I'll keep my ticket, that's not going away. Been a ham way too long to just hand it over to the Fragile Ego CBers, but I can sure hang out somewhere I don't have to worry about running into any of them. You won't find the Burkes where it takes any effort to use the resident modes.

From 1001269447874383790
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 18:33:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten problems being a Glendale, WV ham
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 21:20:15 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <94g5us$nml$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <3A68F56E.E46FF77B@houston.net> <94c820$ilk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-04-33.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 980130588 24277 209.43.66.193 (22 Jan 2001 02:29:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 02:29:48 GMT

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11211
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13773

Hey Roger, why do you have such a fixation on child molesters. Do you have
some skeletons in your closet, loser
"KC8JBO" <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94c820$ilk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A68F56E.E46FF77B@houston.net>,
>   Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
> > Top ten problems being a Glendale, WV ham
>
> Projecting your lifestyle onto others... Child molester?????
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From -6276804998407372687
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 18:59:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: 22 Jan 2001 02:59:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <94g7mn$96b$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <3A6A5662.106B5865@IEEE.org> <20010121085336.10070.00000577@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13774
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Your objection will only cause people who enjoy getting goats to
> >just try even harder to get yours. Why not just use your newsreader
> >kill file feature like I do? There is no way you or I can stop
> >others from crossposting.
>
> How would we feel if all of the CB newsgroup posts were cross-posted here? Do
> you think it would be disruptive?

I'd feel like some idjut like Cecil deliberately added their NGs to some
response post just to stir things up. Not the first time either.

From -1131051123266178495
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 19:00:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 02:48:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94g71n$imt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6B0C40.ED7D9B4A@dvol.com> <20010121152658.00445.00000831@nso-bj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.204.204
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 02:48:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 152.163.204.204
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13775

In article <20010121152658.00445.00000831@nso-bj.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Boatanchor stuff is nice nostalgia material. Long-timers can
> wax enthusiastic until the sun shines brightly on the polish
> but very little of the old stuff can glow visibly against the
> brilliant light of newer, state-of-the-art equipment.

     If it still could, then the "new stuff" wouldn't flourish. It
wouldn't be necessary. Why do you find it necesssary to TRY and gloat

on the obvious, Lennie?

--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8098460317198653091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 19:12:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 03:00:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <94g7ns$j7f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-
fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.204.204
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 03:00:13 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 152.163.204.204
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3524 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13776

In article <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Condensed version: Cross-linked messages are lame.

Probably. But that you have found your way to rrap AND alt.radio.family is evidnece it happens. You could of as easily sent me an e mail.

>FRS is not a hobby service.

If you read the basis and purpose of BOTH Citizen's Band (of which FRS is part and parcel of) and the Amatuer Radio Service, you would NOT find the word "HOBBY" in either, yet both are used for that purpose.

That they are used for that purpose is obvious. That includes

FRS. You may not wish to apply that word (hobby)to it, but that's
exactly what a great many people use it for.

73

Steve, K4YZ

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4256384886590575169
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,78ee9d1202521a57
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 19:32:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!nntp.abs.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten problems being a Glendale, WV ham
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 03:21:40 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <94g901$k5a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68F56E.E46FF77B@houston.net> <94c820$ilk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94g5us$nml$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.108
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 03:21:40 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.108
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11226
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13777

In article <94g5us$nml$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
Baby-raper and AIDS carrier "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Hey Roger, why do you have such a fixation on child molesters. Do you
have
> some skeletons in your closet, loser

No, I;ve been checking out your picture on the known child molesters
webpage...you've got quite a record of it, fuckhead.

> "KC8JBO" <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:94c820$ilk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <3A68F56E.E46FF77B@houston.net>,
> >   Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:

```
> > > Top ten problems being a Glendale, WV ham
> >
> > Projecting your lifestyle onto others... Child molester?????
> > --
> > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > Yaesu FT-90R
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -4427862991094351748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 19:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6BAB61.145FA7B3@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6B0C40.ED7D9B4A@dvol.com> <20010121152658.00445.00000831@nso-
bj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 30
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 22:39:13 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.71
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980134733 206.20.144.71 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 21:38:53
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 21:38:53 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13778

>
```

>
> Boatanchor stuff is nice nostalgia material. Long-timers can
> wax enthusiastic until the sun shines brightly on the polish
> but very little of the old stuff can glow visibly against the
> brilliant light of newer, state-of-the-art equipment.

>
The Bandmaster was in the ham magazine ads when I was just getting interested in radio, well before I became a Novice. It just sparked some very old memories, that's all. I'm not into boat anchors any more than I'm interested in collecting antiques in general. Momentarily interesting but no thankew. I recently saw an an SX-88 bid up to $2,800 in e-bay, spare me. Every piece of ham gear I actually use is solid state stuff including an NRD-545 which has 40-bit DSP at the IF level. I happen to have a Collins 75S-3B and a Drake R4-B which are getting a bit long of tooth but they both still have better ears than the current crop of lower end xcvrs.
>
Running an 807 on 2m was definitely a stretch but it did put more of a signal on the band than a lot of the popular 50s 2m xcvrs like the Benton Harbor Lunchboxes and Gonsets, etc. Plus it was 100% modulated AM, not the lame screen modulated crap which was more common.

>
> didit

>
w3rv

From 2791569640676638478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,40e4a569d966f5ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 19:42:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: DUMBASSES who can't read (Was:Re: Dumb guys cancel other people's posts)
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 03:27:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 83
Message-ID: <94g9a5$kh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94eohj$pjp$0@dosa.alt.net> <94f1oj$kmv$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6B34DD.37E1DAF@houston.net> <94ffti$vv8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6B53A8.233EB498@houston.net> <94fs0m$9q8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94g5rk$nmk$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.108
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 03:27:06 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.108
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11228
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13779

In article <94g5rk$nmk$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
 PEDOPHILE "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Finally got out of the trailer park

DUMBFUCK, I live in a house, not the welfare assisted "housing" you
currently live in, you know the one where you keep your CHEAP-ASSED
radios, the ones that were made in Hong Kong.......

>to go to the public library, huh Roger.

Don't need to, however, you need to learn ENGLISH skills, because most
people put a question mark after the end of a question. But, you never
finished school, did you, drug-dealer to kids????

> So did mommy give you the car keys to get there. She probably even
>drove you there.

No, but I gave the 5 dollars to your mommy when I fucked her, it was
all that truck stop whore was worth.

>So where did you learn to cuss like that Roger, did your mommy teach
> you to cuss like that.

Where did you learn to suck that kiddie dick??? Oh, that's right your
drunken, alcoholic bastard father used to teach it to you, to keep you
in the family business.

> "KC8JBO" <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:94fs0m$9q8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <3A6B53A8.233EB498@houston.net>,
> >   Faggot WeeWee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > KC8JBO wrote:
> > >
> > > > So fucking what, cocksucking clod????
> > >
> > > Don't look now, but you fell into the trap again. Wasn't your
> > original
> > > jeer about people who can't read? So far, yours are the ONLY
posts
> > > showing up in the news.admin news groups, where the Usenet admins
hang

```
> > > out, and that was due to your own gaffe (Note to others: the word
is
> > not
> > > spelled "gaff", as was done in another thread).
> >
> > Maybe I gon't give a fuck which groups the thread is crossposted to,
> > did you ever think about that?? No, you're too busy smoking crack
> > cocaine.
> >
> > > I do have to admit that your command of English is impeccable,
though.
> > > It fits you well.
> > >
> >
> > An another anonymous pompous ass does another drive-by.
> > Get back to fondling the neighbor's kids, pedo.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > Yaesu FT-90R
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -6460134349929555134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f4791,3cb12b6a9c1a8530,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4791,public
X-Google-Thread: f8d79,6ae78d3a5900c16c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8d79,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6ae78d3a5900c16c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7cbb1a76699827ff
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 19:42:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fan.madonna,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test
Subject: Re: Suzy Steals Toilet Paper
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 03:33:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <94g9lj$km8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94fi4m$902$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A6B5BB2.A2E39BFE@houston.net>
<94ft08$ame$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6B89F0.B6053CFB@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.108
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 03:33:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.108
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11229 alt.fan.madonna:22218
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13780 alt.test:69166

In article <3A6B89F0.B6053CFB@houston.net>,
PEDOPHILE Faggot WeeWee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
> KC8JBO wrote:
>
> >
> > Maybe you two can beg and get a brain to share between you......
> >
>
> Why did you cross post to alt.fan.furry and alt.test? I think I know
> why, but you didn't get it right yet.

I x-posted to alt.fan.furry because I knew that you like those gerbils
up your FATASS..alt.test was to piss you off....lol!!!!!
FUCKWIT. Hope you enjoy it, choad swallower.

> In the meantime, watch out that the capybaras don't get you. -- SL

Be sure to remove that gerbil from your well-reamed ass, it'll enjoy
the oxygen.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1797460951778679615
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 19:52:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 03:35:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <94g9q6$kvf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9264hr$mt3$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20001225080400.06154.00002210@ng-ff1.aol.com> <927k6q$kus$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.225.146.104
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 03:35:34 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.225.146.104
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13781

Steven J Robeson, K4YZ wrote:

> ....this lying twerp.

Len Anderson and I have found many reasons to disagree over the past several years, but I cannot recall any instance where I felt he was lying.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1661605442152073934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 20:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6B0C26.1D6CAF7C@dvol.com> <20010121141712.21669.00000536@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 81
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 23:07:36 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.71
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980136436 206.20.144.71 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 22:07:16 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 22:07:16 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13782

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
> Nor do I. Although I believe that the details should be improved, I think the
> growth of the Amateur Radio Service in the days since that very simple
> entry-level test was replaced by the more complex VE Novice or Tech tests
> demonstrates that the tests never needed to be that simple.

>

That's a matter of opinion, a discussion which could go on forever. What is not a matter of opinion is the obvious fact that Novice test worked very well in it's timeframe. Ham testing has been a cyclic thing. It's run from the much easier days of the 50s to the Draconian Incentive Licensing maneuver to the nocode ticket, etc.
They all worked in their timefarmes. I just don't want to see the tests eventually watered down to to the point where they permit what would amount to a free pass to the bands just to prop up the license count.
>

> >Today it's all carrots, sticks have become politically incorrect.
>
> I have never felt hit by a stick at any point in my ham experiences. At all
> times, whether I entered ham radio and whether I continued it by upgrading was,
> and still is, voluntary. IMHO, it runs past the "mores" of today; hitting
> prospective hams with a stick is not the best way to get them to participate.

>

That one year or hit the wall was definitely a stick IF you wanted to stay on the air. Which of course was strictly optional.
>

>
> Perhaps so. Although surfing the internet is no more than appliance operating in any sense of the word. Some of those who may have become hams could be attracted to some of the web-application programming things, but that has been a "challenge" to us, albeit in a slightly different form, ever since the first home computer was available.

>

Computers in fact are a big asset to ham radio these days in several respects, the damned things are almost becoming a necessity.
>

> I think that we can take the growth rate of amateur radio over that time as an indication of its relative appeal.

>

I agree. I'm not wringing my hands over the imminent death of ham radio.

>
> Perhaps, but even in 1963, it was not necesary to be a Novice, or even take the Novice test, before being a General and earning all amateur priveleges.

>

No, It wasn't necessary, none of it was "necessary" but it got a lot of new hams on the air who have not have bothered otherwise. You could have gone straight to the Extra for that matter and some people did. My neighbor AF3F was a avid CBer in the 70s who got a whiff of ham radio in my shack, the only license he's ever held is his 20wpm Extra.
>

The writtens never were any kind of big deal back then, the thrash over the writtens didn't start until the question pool nonsense came into play.
>

Certainly in my own (lame) case as a kid I made good use of that first year on the air. I had junk equipment which needed constant debugging from which I picked up a lot of on-the-fly technical skills. I'm not sure if I'd have pursued ham radio if I had to take the 13wpm test out of the box. Thousands jumped on the new opportunity to get up to 13wpm on the air. Instant gratification leads to learning in the right setting. Which was the bottom-line design intent of the original Novice ticket.
>

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>

w3rv

From -1383503130991136691
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 20:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6BB602.A55ED886@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <20010121152658.00445.00000831@nso-bj.aol.com>
<20010121204006.10077.00000649@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 27
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 23:24:34 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.71
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980137453 206.20.144.71 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 22:24:13 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 22:24:13 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13783

W1RFI wrote:

>
> I agree. If I am going to do any moonlighting with test equipment, it will be
> to compare the Elecraft K2 to an old Collins S line receiver. I will wager
> that famous lobster dinner if I get to pick the side I want to be on. :-)

>
Cute.
>
I'll provide my high-number Meatball 3B with it's 200Hz filter.
>
The K2 will have a better front end but when you move down the chain and run into the filters it'll be all over for the K2.
>
The K2 is a puzzlement. The thing has a kilowatt receiver and a five watt transmitter in the same box.
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
>
w3rv




From 7726361776562830076
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 20:29:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 04:28:47 GMT
References: <94bdte$96j$3@208.206.142.99>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Message-ID: <20010121232847.22244.00000258@ng-de1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13784

>>
>> If you respond to the Colt License Proposal thread, please drop
>> alt.radio.family from the header.

>
> Be glad to. It's  not a serious proposal anyway, Stewart is just
>Teazing you.
>

Thanks, "Dixie". And I think you're correct......    :)

QRM


From 3060123734959676343
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 20:36:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <f5en6t4ho3k8d85m1db2ifani5t314s98d@4ax.com>
```

References: <5epm6t43qpvt1qp2eoif39078nf387k5b2@4ax.com> <20010121204202.10077.00000650@ng-cr1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 4
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 04:35:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.164
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980138134 209.86.205.164 (Sun, 21 Jan 2001 20:35:34 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 20:35:34 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13785

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>You are correct. I apologize.

Thank you. Apology accepted... :-)

From -3198570238689075559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 21:02:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: noralisa@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 04:45:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <94gdu3$o4l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6888AD.D7FD7241@dvol.com> <20010119154329.25659.00000927@nso-fk.aol.com> <3A69384F.55BCE830@dvol.com> <sZia6.3281$AN.591979@nntp1.onemain.com> <94d2kb$745$0@208.207.71.177> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7hlt8e.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3A6AE636.70324072@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.26.16.153
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 04:45:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; MSNIA; Windows 95; MSNIA)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.26.16.153
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDnoralisa
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13786

mindspring, incase you haven't noticed Californians are contributing
huge amounts of money to our economy by coming up here...and as far as
I am concerned they are welcome!
In rticle <3A6AE636.70324072@IEEE.org>,

```
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >   That's why we moved to Oregon in 1999 - Oregon is what
> >   the good in California was 40 years ago, and alas is no longer.
>
> Is it Oregon where they have a sign that says, "Welcome to Oregon,
> now go back to CA", or some such?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 2013496425930250815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 21:25:51 PST
Message-ID: <3A6BC3C1.319F4CFA@ovis.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 05:23:13 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169> <20010121173046.02997.00001142@nso-
mg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s8.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Jan 2001 00:19:23 -0500, s8.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 32
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s8.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13787

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
>          <massive snip...>
>
> > Once we toyed with the idea of resettling somewhere in California in
> >retirement, but have abandoned the idea. It appears the best of it is
> >history. And if they don't get that power situation resolved the
> >unpleasant future is now.
>
> Dickie, you can always move to Los Angeles.  No problems
```

> with LA municipal Department of Water and Power
> electricity. No outages, no shortages, ten cents a KWHR
> for residences. Good future for LA.
>
> Of course, there's earthquakes here. But...you know all
> about earthquakes, once reading a book on the subject.
>
> On the other hand, maybe you could "reactivate" that CW
> net into the LAPD...you know, the one the LAPD didn't
> know about until you "revealed" it to everyone?   :-)
>
> didit

The above is Len debating civilly. No use of the diminutive in naming individuals, condescension or ridicule. Mr. Civil.

Thanks for the illustration.

Dave K8MN

```
From -9102738934830534280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 21:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 00:42:16 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <94gh8k$gko$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169> <20010121173046.02997.00001142@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A6BC3C1.319F4CFA@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.63.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980142164 17048 166.102.250.63 (22 Jan 2001 05:42:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 05:42:44 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13788
```

Dave, it is not nice to pick on older people. Len's "old timers" disease sometimes causes him to strike back without thinking.

What I took as mean spirited responses to my messages turned out to be caused by the lateness of his social security check.

It appears he had no money to purchase a new box of depends, and the
overflowing contents happened to get intertwined with his messages.

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3A6BC3C1.319F4CFA@ovis.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169>, Dick Carroll
<dixie@townsqr.com>
> > writes:
> >
> >   <massive snip...>
> >
> > > Once we toyed with the idea of resettling somewhere in California in
> > >retirement, but have abandoned the idea. It appears the best of it is
> > >history. And if they don't get that power situation resolved the
> > >unpleasant future is now.
> >
> > Dickie, you can always move to Los Angeles. No problems
> > with LA municipal Department of Water and Power
> > electricity. No outages, no shortages, ten cents a KWHR
> > for residences. Good future for LA.
> >
> > Of course, there's earthquakes here. But...you know all
> > about earthquakes, once reading a book on the subject.
> >
> > On the other hand, maybe you could "reactivate" that CW
> > net into the LAPD...you know, the one the LAPD didn't
> > know about until you "revealed" it to everyone?   :-)
> >
> > didit
>
> The above is Len debating civilly. No use of the diminutive in naming
> individuals, condescension or ridicule. Mr. Civil.
>
> Thanks for the illustration.
>
> Dave K8MN

From -7643845143254042871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 21:46:25 PST
Message-ID: <3A6BC910.D882CC0E@ovis.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 05:45:52 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6B0C40.ED7D9B4A@dvol.com> <20010121152658.00445.00000831@nso-bj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s8.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Jan 2001 00:42:02 -0500, s8.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 64
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s8.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13789

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A6B0C40.ED7D9B4A@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:
>
> >W1RFI wrote:
> >
> >> >The Harvey-Wells Bandmaster, 50 watts (807?), CW & AM, fairly compact,
> >stood
> >> >on what would usually be the end of it's cabinet. About twice as high as
> >wide,
> >> >sucked up very little desk space. Went to both 6m and 2m in addition to
> >HF.
> >>
> >> Yes, it goes to 2 M. I have one in my shack.
> >
> >Slick! I haven't seen or heard about one of those for probably 40 years.
> >How'd you get it? VFO included? Might be worth some bucks.
>
> I had to do a web search for the item due to lack of memory on
> anything but the name. :-)
>
> Designed (!) in 1947 for "'all' the amateur bands," it looks like
> a classic kluge with a front panel layout straight out of 1930.
> Using an 807 as a final "on up to 148 Mc [sic]," it probably
> has zilch efficiency above 30 MHz.
>
> Messrs Harvey and Wells never produced a receiver according
> to the website and the company ceased in 1960. They never
> produced any SSB transmitter...explaining some of the lack of
> product recognition.
>
> If this particular product is so wonderful that it becomes a

> notable exception to a general rule, then I would think that
> some ARRL Lab moonlighting with test equipment can
> exemplify its bona fides as an "all band" transmitter.
>
> Boatanchor stuff is nice nostalgia material. Long-timers can
> wax enthusiastic until the sun shines brightly on the polish
> but very little of the old stuff can glow visibly against the
> brilliant light of newer, state-of-the-art equipment.
>
> didit

Len, you are the crabgrass in the lawn of rrac.

The Bandmaster was as much of an "all band" rig as one could get at the time of its production. No, it wasn't efficient above 30 MHz but it worked. It may not have had the best electrical design but it was a mechanical marvel with its right angle drive. It is also very compact. The matching VFO was designed with a slanted panel and a nice flat and low rectangular area where the transmitter could perch atop the VFO. I have two, one of them with the VFO. I'd be happy to sell you one so that you can spend hours admiring it. With luck, you'll be able to get a license and use it on the air.

Yes, the company did produce at least one receiver.

You can tell the '57 Chev collectors that their rides are deficient but I doubt you'll get any of 'em to trade them in on a new Taurus or an Intrepid.

Would you like me to send you a copy of "Now You're Talking"?

Dave K8MN

From 2336206776924905552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 21:48:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: Sun, 21 Jan 2001 23:36:44 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A6BC6EC.9BC0B98@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6A5662.106B5865@IEEE.org> <20010121085336.10070.00000577@ng-cr1.aol.com> <94g7mn$96b$0@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.bd
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Jan 2001 05:44:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13790

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I'd feel like some idjut like Cecil deliberately added their NGs to some
> response post just to stir things up. Not the first time either.

The only time I recall changing NGs is to redirect Morse code arguments
away from r.r.a.a onto r.r.a.p where they belong.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8359457388468412906
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108b51,78a6add0e1b0253b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108b51,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 22:06:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!
not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt,binaries.sex.beastiality
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 01:04:02 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <94gihf$hfl$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A6A5662.106B5865@IEEE.org> <20010121085336.10070.00000577@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <94g7mn$96b$0@208.206.142.95> <3A6BC6EC.9BC0B98@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.63.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980143471 17909 166.102.250.63 (22 Jan 2001 06:04:31 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 06:04:31 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13791

What are you doing posting this crap in alt.binaries.sex.bestiality ?

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket

"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A6BC6EC.9BC0B98@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I'd feel like some idjut like Cecil deliberately added their NGs to some
> > response post just to stir things up. Not the first time either.
>
> The only time I recall changing NGs is to redirect Morse code arguments
> away from r.r.a.a onto r.r.a.p where they belong.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 4756192089839158535
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-21 23:43:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7jgdj7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6A5957.FA0731DD@IEEE.org>
<94e26e$3fo$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6AE329.7D55D5DF@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 18
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 07:43:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980149394 206.58.44.28 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 07:43:14 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 07:43:14 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13792

On Sun, 21 Jan 2001 07:24:58 -0600, Cecil wrote:

>Why can't we have Elmers instead of Radio Cops and Drill Sergeants?

More "jargon". When the term started, I was working for a
supervisor named Elmer who was a ham. He was a much better ham

than a supervisor, but what the heck, his idea of ham radio
was CW on HF. He could copy 40 wpm in Japanese Kona code and
had the paper to prove it. My first thought on hearing "Elmer"
so used was "why Elmer".... <ggg>

I still do my share of "Elmering" though but I don't call it that.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 45818638615187812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 01:50:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 09:44:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <94gvd8$56n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9264hr$mt3$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20001225080400.06154.00002210@ng-ff1.aol.com> <927k6q$kus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94g9q6$kvf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.195.186
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 09:44:08 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 152.163.195.186
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13793

In article <94g9q6$kvf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> Len Anderson and I have found many reasons to disagree over the
> past several years, but I cannot recall any instance where I felt
> he was lying.

Perhaps because you have not been paying attention, Hans. One characteristic you and Lennie the Licenseless seem to share is selective memory. Especially when it serves an on-going rant.

73

Steve, K4YZ

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3735929413783710395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 02:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
xfer.siscom.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: meghertz@hotmail.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 09:52:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94gvt1$5ip$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 212.9.5.34
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 09:52:34 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows NT 5.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 212.9.5.34
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDmeghertz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13794 alt.radio.family:3529

In article <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> On 70cm, Novice licensees would be restricted to voice communications
> on 5 20khz-spaced channels on 446.00, 446.02, 446.04, 446.06, and
> 446.08 Mhz; and digital communications up to 19,200bps on 1 simplex-
> only 60khz bandwidth channel on 446.14Mhz.

You might as well specify this as "a sub-band from 446.0 to 446.2MHz"
and then they'll be able to use European FRS-style 500mW 'PMR446'
radios :o)

http://www.geocities.com/rf-man/pmr446

MegHz

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2436457352843698045

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 02:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 10:42:15 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <94h2q6$7lm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <94dinf$jbc$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94e1te$3cd$1@iac5.navix.net> <94etqh$hkb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94fvgi$55u$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.45
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 10:42:15 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.45
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13795

In article <94fvgi$55u$1@iac5.navix.net>,
"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> No Brian, I have reevaluated my position. You. Ed, and Cecil have convinced
> me I need to be a sensitive 90's kind of guy. This caused me to create "No
> Hassle International". My goal is now to remove any restrictions that a
> newcomer may find demeaning, hazing, or might have a chance, no matter how
> slight, of damaging their self esteem.

This is reminiscient of Cecil's conversion resulting from Eric June's persuasive arguments.

> I am now on a holy crusade, With the FCC's help, we can set up tables at the
> mall and pass out Extra Class licenses as people walk by. We are guaranteed
> growth and many new young people to keep Amateur radio alive.
>
> I thank you all for causing me to realize my insensitive ways. How could I
> possibly be such a dolt as to expect anyone to learn something, and treating
> a radio service that everyone has a "right" to as a privilege. Mea Culpa Mea
> Culpa Mea Culpa.

>
> If only I can receive the absolution from all the CBers and children,
I have
> caused any moment of agony, I will sleep so much better.
>
> --
> Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
> Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
> Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
> "We want, therefore we deserve"
> Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

NHI 1001? Mike Deignan might ask you to produce a membership list
showing 1001 members.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2041241274535942349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 03:00:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 10:50:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <94h3a1$821$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94f03r$jej$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010121142312.21669.00000537@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <94fknq$3r2$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94fue5$4g1$2@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.45
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 10:50:42 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.45
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13796

In article <94fue5$4g1$2@iac5.navix.net>,
  "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> I am a 90's kind of guy now Brian, I am proposing getting rid of any
thing
> that will stand in the way of anyone's desires as being insensitive.

> > Welp, JLE had his chance to comment on the nprm or whatever it
actually
> > was several years ago. I wonder what his comments were. He's

welcome
> > to propose his changes, but I don't think the FCC will entertain his
> > Lodge entrance requirement to the amateur service.

So what did you propose?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 1140391493227416630
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 04:11:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 12:10:55 GMT
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13797
```

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

> I just don't want to see the tests eventually watered down to to the point
> where they permit what would amount to a free pass to
>the bands just to prop up the license count.

Nor do I, Brian. To the contrary; I have oft' said that the tests need improvements like questions about the fundamentals of modern radio technology and more questions on the formulae type questions. I have been having long talks with Bart Jahnke, ARRL's rep on the QPC, to find out what it would take to make it happen.

>That one year or hit the wall was definitely a stick IF you wanted to stay on
>the air. Which of course was strictly optional.

I didn't feel hit by it. If I hadn't been so lazy, I would have studied the 16-page study guide and practiced Morse code real hard and been a General right from the get-go.

Even then, I think the incentive of wanting more frequencies was more responsible for my upgrading to General than was the 1-year term for the Novice license.

I know two career Novices, who have renewed that license for years. Is amateur radio harmed in any way by their presence in our ranks? If we hit them with a stick, they simply won't renew next time. In what way would that benefit amateur radio?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7788693161414310936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 04:17:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 12:16:33 GMT
References: <94g3e9$7hi$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Message-ID: <20010122071633.21640.00000698@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13798
```

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>I have seen the light, and as soon as the FCC sees things my way ...

I will believe that you are serious about the changes you propose when I see you file a request for rulemaking with the FCC. If it gets as far as an NPRM, expect most hams who file comments to oppose it.

It looks to me like another version of "if you won't play the game my way, I am going to take my ball and go home."

The only problem with that is you don't own the ball. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8904280563177303108
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 04:34:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 92
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 12:34:14 GMT
References: <94fdti$q9b$0@208.207.71.150>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010122073414.21640.00000699@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13799

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>So now you'd hold me to keeping constant watch on upgrades to the
>question pool?

If you are going to criticize the pools and the people who take exams derived from them, I believe that you should have at least looked at the pools before you did, yes.

>You seem more than willing to just ignore anything that
>is negative.

Not true, Dick; I have posted my own opinions about what is wrong with the question pools and amateur radio. I just don't think I have to take a positon that the entire process if somehow not of any use because there are a few things that should be made better.

>> Sure we do, Dick. You have described many of your on-the-air and VE
>> escapades here, and, frankly, some of them appeared to me to have
>>been pretty mean spirited.

>It is particularly offensive that you should assert that my "escapades" as
>a VE to be meanspirited. That is as false as any prevarication ever has
>been. In EVERY instance of any issue whatever I ALWAYS went as far
>in favor of the applicant as the rules would allow in their most liberal
>interpretation, and I would fully invite anyone to simply ask any
>applicant who ever tested with my team that question. That attitude cost
>me a lot of extra work since many applicants travelled long distance to
>test with our team, having heard of our astute fairness as opposed to
>other teams who were not.

I agree; my choice of words could very well give people the wrong impression that you did not conduct yourself as a VE honorably. I do not think that you would do anything inappropriate in the admiminstration of the tests. I just can't imagine how the attitudes you project here could not have been present at the sessions, however; why would you treat people any differently here than you do in person?

> What you are doing is demonstrating clearly the future planning of the
>League, whether you know it or not.

Now, could that fall into the same "outright lie" category as the words I chose about your VE testing? If ARRL is smart enough to realize that there is some merit to some of my views, I will be pleased, but, IMHO, that outcome is not at all certain. That is the reason I posted here several times that it is important for people to make their views known about this to their Director, and I hope that YOU did, too, Dick.

> The policy fo the League is becoming more and more clear as all this
> progresses. Yes, it may be your personal opinion. That doesn't
> mean it isn't also that of officialdom.

I hope you are correct, but if ARRL were to adopt the positions I have offered are best, it would not be because I posted them here.

> The league is falling right in line in the ongoing effort to keep up the
> bottom line. So be it.

I can name a lot of things the ARRL would not do if the "bottom line" were the only concern it had, Dick. You can go to the ARRL's annual reports and note that the bottom line is zero every year; the amount of revenues is directly related to what the ARRL can do. This year, I wouldn't be surprised if the ARRL will run on a slight deficit. I hope so, because one of the things on the plate is an additional ARRL staffer, who will be tasked with undertaking some of the work involving RFI to hams. Between powerlines and Part 15 devices and upcoming technologies like digital cable, home phone networking, home power-line networking, xDSL, Blueetooth and a number of others, things are not looking good for HF or microwave allocations. As one who wants to see this work get done, I am glad that some attention is paid to the finances, Dick.

But if you really think the "bottom line" is the only "agenda," why did the League not support one license class with a requirement to sign one's name on the application? It proposed something that was more than the FCC, and apparently many in the Amateur Community, was willing to accept. IMHO, that proposal was influenced very much by the input of hams like you, in tandem with input from hams like Cecil or Brian. The ARRL's position was a compromise position that included your point of view as one of its components. If your response is "bottom line" and "agenda," and you put that response into your input to your Director (would you treat us any differently than you treat him?), how do you think that such a response to ARRL's past actions will affect its future actions?

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>

```
From -2801768392915143310
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 04:40:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 12:39:53 GMT
References: <3A6BB602.A55ED886@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010122073953.21622.00000747@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13800
```

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>The K2 will have a better front end but when you move down the chain and run
>into the filters it'll be all over for the K2.

Maybe. I think the skirt selectivity of the old Collins may be better than some modern rigs, but certainly not all modern rigs. Care to stack the skirts of the Collins up against the IC-756PRO?

I also want to look at what is still a problem for many modern rigs -- in band IMD, ie, the intermodulation that occurs when two signals are present simultaneously in the receiver passband. Most current equipment doesn't do very well in that regard and I want to see how the old Collins S line did.

Actually, I am pretty serious about all this. I have asked our test engineer to get a few of those old receivers and take a look at them.

>The K2 is a puzzlement. The thing has a kilowatt receiver and a five watt
>transmitter in the same box.

I do know that 5 watts was the design goal. It was intended to be a QRP rig. The designers can't help if if they happened to design a receiver that is better than most. :-) And do remember, "better" is a relative term. It does indeed have superior dynamic range characteristics, but it also lacks many of the features that hams buy "big" rigs to have. For most hams, with modest antennas, features are more important than dynamic range.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8995135919468515382
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 06:09:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 09:09:01 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t6ofo3m2rpk83c@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3A662EB5.79A21E16@dvol.com> <20010118232829.09475.00000874@nso-fj.aol.com> <3A689357.3A2A@bellsouth.net> <94djhl$jsq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6B2CEB.49D3@bellsouth.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 18
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13801

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3A6B2CEB.49D3@bellsouth.net...
> Mr. southpark,
>
> Then I suggest you go over to rec.radio.cb and find those "troublesome"
> posts by me. There IS a lot of controversy over there and you need to
> concentrate your smart remarks towards Aaron Voobner, Mr. Scott, et al.
> I am not involved, mister.

Jerry if you post anything there - you are involved, like it or not.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From 4137261795764341449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 06:10:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail

From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 09:10:27 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t6ofqtfd67s256@corp.supernews.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
<t695j69u9fl07e@corp.supernews.com> <1r996toaqnk5q8jgqnilghsd9abk9flvuu@4ax.com>
<t69glrek8fakea@corp.supernews.com> <3A64E9EB.9AD1A836@dvol.com>
<t6bl222c3ddje5@corp.supernews.com> <3A662B55.A451E26@dvol.com>
<94djdm$jrq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 14
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13802

<southpark_meskin@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94djdm$jrq$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> senior scott he give the cb peoples lots of the troubles over there.
> He no good.

To bad for the CBers.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From -1433075532156804782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 07:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 14:45:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <94hh2h$ikv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>

<949em4$a2a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t28a6.2182$E52.635055@news.uswest.net>
<94f1ci$kbj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
To: horseshoe7@yahoo.com
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 14:45:39 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13803

In article <94f1ci$kbj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

> Don't take the negative feedback here as what the general ham
> population, or the FCC really wants. Remember - most of
> these folks were against lowering the CW requirements.
> They are still in the "block all change' mode.

Stew,

To set the record straight, here are some of the changes I have recommended to the FCC.

During the "restructuring" NPRM period I recommended that the number of licenses be reduced from 6 to 2. The FCC met met me halfway, and reduced it to 4.

I recommended the top CW test speed ought to be 5WPM. The FCC agreed.

I supported the vanity call sign system. The FCC agreed.

I have recently supported a recommendation that Novices be granted the same CW priveleges as Generals. The FCC has not yet ruled on that idea.

So much for "against lowering the CW requirements" and "block all change mode".

The reason your "Colt" idea has gained no traction among seasoned amateurs is because it is duplicative of the current Technician class, and because it would segregate new licensees in an RF ghetto, remote from mainstream Amateur Radio.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

```
Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 6052095229893438692
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 07:13:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
206.13.28.144!news.pacbell.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: double_hat_trick@mydeja.com (Jeff)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <3a6c4dd2.40867581@news.pacbell.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94ctfo$39n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6A4B86.DDE5D557@dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 12
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 15:12:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.196.195.240
X-Complaints-To: abuse@pacbell.net
X-Trace: news.pacbell.net 980176400 63.196.195.240 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 07:13:20 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 07:13:20 PST
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13804
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16169 alt.radio.family:3532 rec.radio.cb:23120

On Sat, 20 Jan 2001 21:37:58 -0500, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
wrote:


>Beautiful.
>>
>w3rv

Ditto!

-Jeff



From 7637383060854696476
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 07:22:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 22 Jan 2001 15:22:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 62
Message-ID: <94hj7n$oa1$0@208.206.142.73>
References: <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169> <20010121173046.02997.00001142@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A6BC3C1.319F4CFA@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13805
```

```
Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> > writes:
> >
> >          <massive snip...>
> >
> > > Once we toyed with the idea of resettling somewhere in California in
> > >retirement, but have abandoned the idea. It appears the best of it is
> > >history. And if they don't get that power situation resolved the
> > >unpleasant future is now.
> >
> > Dickie, you can always move to Los Angeles.  No problems
> > with LA municipal Department of Water and Power
> > electricity.  No outages, no shortages, ten cents a KWHR
> > for residences.  Good future for LA.
> >
> > Of course, there's earthquakes here.  But...you know all
> > about earthquakes, once reading a book on the subject.
> >
> > On the other hand, maybe you could "reactivate" that CW
> > net into the LAPD...you know, the one the LAPD didn't
> > know about until you "revealed" it to everyone?     :-)
> >
> > didit
```

>
> The above is Len debating civilly. No use of the diminutive in naming
> individuals, condescension or ridicule. Mr. Civil.
>
> Thanks for the illustration.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, most readers here long ago dropped his posts from their reading list anyway. He has nothing of any consequence whatever to say, never has, only drivel and venomous garbage. It's real easy to just toggle past his entries in the traffic list, and the only time I ever read anything by him is when it is quoted by someone else. Nothing to says bothers me and none of it is anything that I would want to read since I learned long ago what his game is.
Ol' No CW Testicles never has had anything constructive *to* say here, never will. Nuthin' but venom. I ain't buying. NOT sorry either.

Move to Los Angles????
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
Funneeeeeeeeeeeeee!

He's insane.

BTW I just checked my utility statment from last month,out of curiousity since it's been some time since I last did. Electricity cost was 7.2 cents per KW... and it's been so long since I've had a power outage I don't even remember it.

Good to see you back, Dave.

Dick W0EX

From -726687690602068439
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 07:25:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 22 Jan 2001 15:25:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <94hjco$oa1$1@208.206.142.73>
References: <3A6B0C40.ED7D9B4A@dvol.com> <20010121152658.00445.00000831@nso-bj.aol.com> <3A6BC910.D882CC0E@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13806

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len, you are the crabgrass in the lawn of rrac.

> Would you like me to send you a copy of "Now You're Talking"?

What he *really* needs is a copy of ARRL's "How to Learn the
Radiotelegraph Code".

Dick

From -2513480495000286417
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 07:28:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 22 Jan 2001 15:28:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <94hjiq$oa1$2@208.206.142.73>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6A5957.FA0731DD@IEEE.org>
<94e26e$3fo$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6AE329.7D55D5DF@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7jgdj7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13807

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Sun, 21 Jan 2001 07:24:58 -0600, Cecil wrote:

>
> >Why can't we have Elmers instead of Radio Cops and Drill Sergeants?
>
>   More "jargon". When the term started, I was working for a
>   supervisor named Elmer who was a ham. He was a much better ham
>   than a supervisor, but what the heck, his idea of ham radio
>   was CW on HF. He could copy 40 wpm in Japanese Kona code and
>   had the paper to prove it. My first thought on hearing "Elmer"
>   so used was "why Elmer".... <ggg>
>
>   I still do my share of "Elmering" though but I don't call it that.
>

Every time I see or hear Elmer I think of that lil' pudgy guy who
chases
Bugs Bunny with his shotgun...."That Wascally Wabbit!"........

From 15040154442700563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 07:29:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 22 Jan 2001 15:29:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <94hjl8$oa1$3@208.206.142.73>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <94dinf$jbc$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94e1te$3cd$1@iac5.navix.net> <94etqh$hkb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94fvgi$55u$1@iac5.navix.net> <94h2q6$7lm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13808

Don't bother Fred....Brian's 'handle' broke off long ago

Brian wrote:
>
> In article <94fvgi$55u$1@iac5.navix.net>,
>   "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> > No Brian, I have reevaluated my position. You. Ed, and Cecil have
> convinced
> > me I need to be a sensitive 90's kind of guy. This caused me to create

```
> "No
> > Hassle International". My goal is now to remove any restrictions that
> a
> > newcomer may find demeaning, hazing, or might have a chance, no matter
> how
> > slight, of damaging their self esteem.
>


From 8669137461516630706
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 07:49:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 09:39:44 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A6C5440.B91F2D84@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6A5662.106B5865@IEEE.org> <20010121085336.10070.00000577@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <94g7mn$96b$0@208.206.142.95> <3A6BC6EC.9BC0B98@IEEE.org>
<94gihf$hfl$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.d3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Jan 2001 15:48:30 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13809

W4JLE wrote:
> What are you doing posting this crap in alt.binaries.sex.bestiality ?

Too bad you typoed alt,binaries.sex.bestiality :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 4958246764280030380
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 08:00:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
```

From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 15:45:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <94hkje$m1p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169> <20010121082548.10070.00000567@ng-cr1.aol.com> <94fdti$q9b$0@208.207.71.150>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 15:45:52 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13810

In article <94fdti$q9b$0@208.207.71.150>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> The league is falling right in line in the ongoing effort
> to keep up the bottom line.

Without bequests and donations (and many times with them) the
ARRL bottom line is usually $zero or a negative number.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1300098378797041979
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 08:00:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail

From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 15:49:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <94hkq7$mbo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9264hr$mt3$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20001225080400.06154.00002210@ng-ff1.aol.com> <927k6q$kus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94g9q6$kvf$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94gvd8$56n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 15:49:30 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13811

In article <94gvd8$56n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

> One characteristic you and Lennie the Licenseless seem to share is
> selective memory.

Deja-news has an excellent memory. Please use it to substantiate your allegations that there are liars on r.r.a.p.

Good luck on this one now!

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2621405118116001243
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 08:14:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Jan 2001 16:14:00 GMT
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010122111400.18775.00000734@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13812

Anyone who thinks that FRS or what ever you want to call it is ever going to capture the imaginations of younger people must be having an illusion of some sort, it ain't gonna happen.Walkie talkies have been around for a while. Most of these little toys will soon be found at most yard sales, how ever for the price they are asking they may just sit in a broom closet a bit longer than most junk.
Lets face it, amateur radio is going out faster than anyone cares to admit. Family radio has found a place among hunters and hikers and that is about as far as its going to go. J1

From -5656770294252062460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 08:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 16:09:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <94hlun$nhg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <20010120014523.22779.00000700@nso-fa.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 16:09:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13813

In article <20010120014523.22779.00000700@nso-fa.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>
> Anyone who wants to go back to 1958 is nuts!
>

Hey, I'll go back! In 1958 I was a college freshman, and Peggy Sue
Loudermilk (with a body to make a Baptist preacher sweat) was a regular
visitor in the back seat of my 51 Pontiac hardtop.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
  eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
  to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
  Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5195971235067804054
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 08:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.uswest.net!
namche.sun.com!news2me.EBay.Sun.COM!engnews1.eng.sun.com!not-for-mail
From: darrell earnshaw <Darrell.Earnshaw@eng.Sun.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 08:33:37 -0800
Organization: Sun Microsystems
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3A6C60E1.866D983E@eng.Sun.COM>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>
<Xx2a6.783$ZB2.167709@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3A694AE1.7174C12E@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: Darrell.Earnshaw@Sun.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dhekelia.eng.sun.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (X11; U; SunOS 5.8 sun4u)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13814
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11262
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W6DKN wrote:
> >
> > Apparently you haven't been reading this
> > newsgroup for very long...
>
>   I don't think he has read the newsgroup at all. Just glancing through
> the subject headers, at this very moment there are discussions about
> prisons, the California power crisis, cellphones, gay web sites, top ten
> most popular alchoholic beverages, and Jesse Jackson. Its not about
> politics - he just didn't like what I said.
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

Not quite true, Dwight. (In fact, I may actually agree with some of your observations, but that isn't the point.) The frustration arises from what appears to be fairly intelligent people suddenly loosing it and feeling the need (or perhaps craving) to post a subject which has absolutely nothing to do with the charter of the newsgroup. I can only see two reasons for doing this: (1) they're trying to provoke a reaction by deliberately posting something that will offend/irrtate somebody, or (2) they're so emotional about a subject that they feel an uncontrollable urge to make some statement, however inappropriate the forum. If it's case number 2, then there's plenty of appropriate newsgroups out there, and hey, go for it!

In response to W6DKN's comment: you're partly correct, I have been off this particular NG for some time. However, I don't see the logic in the statement that because somebody posts an OT message, it's therefore okay for everyone else to follow suite. Curiously, this NG seems to be particularly affected, whereas posts to the more technical NGs seem to pretty much stay on topic -- although I will agree that not always 100%.

Sorry for the BW guys,

73, Darrell.

```
From 4102081029633986651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 08:48:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
```

not-for-mail
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 08:48:31 -0800
Organization: RST Engineering
Message-ID: <itoo6t8iuua5ons5gf65vba0efa58omqbs@4ax.com>
Reply-To: jim@rst-engr.com
References: <94g3e9$7hi$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010122071633.21640.00000698@ng-fy1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 14
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13815

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->
->The only problem with that is you don't own the ball. :-)

Ed, mostly I agree with you. In this case, I suggest that you go back and reread the document that begins, "We the people..." to figure out whether or not we own the ball and have given the temporary right to make the rules of the ball game so long as the rulemakers are in accord with our collective wishes.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.   WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

From 5871170885298003688
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 08:50:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <h7po6tsqtmvccvus4comqus86neb4lgvvi@4ax.com>
References: <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169> <20010121173046.02997.00001142@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A6BC3C1.319F4CFA@ovis.net> <94hj7n$oa1$0@208.206.142.73>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Lines: 9
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 16:50:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.193
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980182243 209.86.205.193 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 08:50:43 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 08:50:43 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13816

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>I just checked my utility statment from last month...
>Electricity cost was 7.2 cents per KW...

The power company here has time of day rates. You can save money by shifting your heavy usage to the cheaper hours. According to what I've read, people respond better to the economic carrot than to the altruistic conservation requests. Although... they might respond more enthusiastically to the blackout stick... :-)

From 1191024896897264490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 08:50:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-fy1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 16:50:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.193
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980182244 209.86.205.193 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 08:50:44 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 08:50:44 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13817

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>I know two career Novices, who have renewed that license for years. Is amateur
>radio harmed in any way by their presence in our ranks?

Do you also think that it does no harm to the hobby for a ham to get a
license to simply operate, and never improve himself technically?

>If we hit them with a stick, they simply won't renew next time.

Never hit old timers, even old time Novices, with a stick. :-)
Grandfathering is always the best policy when making changes...

```
From 5974105683844354172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 08:50:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <16po6t8ku7lklfrtqujq2dl52hl45c8umq@4ax.com>
References: <3A6BB602.A55ED886@dvol.com> <20010122073953.21622.00000747@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 16:50:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.193
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980182246 209.86.205.193 (Mon, 22 Jan
2001 08:50:46 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 08:50:46 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13818
```

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>I do know that 5 watts was the design goal. [for the K2]
>It was intended to be a QRP rig.

Elecraft offers a 50 watt amp as an internal module option. (A 100
watt module is under consideration according to the web site.) It
takes the place of the autotuner and/or battery modules, neither of
which could likely handle 50 watts... :-)

```
From 865955154382155062
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 09:02:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6C679C.E04DEDFD@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6BB602.A55ED886@dvol.com> <20010122073953.21622.00000747@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 82
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 12:02:20 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.51
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980182919 206.20.144.51 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 11:01:59 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 11:01:59 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13819

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
> >The K2 will have a better front end but when you move down the chain and run
> >into the filters it'll be all over for the K2.
>
> Maybe. I think the skirt selectivity of the old Collins may be better than
> some modern rigs, but certainly not all modern rigs. Care to stack the skirts
> of the Collins up against the IC-756PRO?

>

I've never listened with a 756, oughta be an interesting comparison. 'Druther see comparisons with the TS-1000 series and the TS-2000. Being a K'Wood kinda guy . . .

>

> I also want to look at what is still a problem for many modern rigs -- in band
> IMD, ie, the intermodulation that occurs when two signals are present
> simultaneously in the receiver passband. Most current equipment doesn't do very
> well in that regard and I want to see how the old Collins S line did.

>

Now yer cookin', big issue. Comparisons of IMD might be an interesting horse race between the pricey old rcvrs like an S3-B vs a 756. I manned the graveyard shft on 20cw last Field Day with a beat-up solid-state clunker, FT-440 or something like that, I'll swear my old S-40B had less IMD than that xcvr has. Drove me batty.

>

> Actually, I am pretty serious about all this. I have asked our test engineer

> to get a few of those old receivers and take a look at them.

>
Great, the idea has been floating around for awhile, good to see it coming to life.
Should attract a lot of attention. Lab tests of a late model S-3B and a Sherwood Drake R4-C vs. whatever might prove to be real eye-openers and reality checks.
>

> >The K2 is a puzzlement. The thing has a kilowatt receiver and a five watt
> >transmitter in the same box.
>
> I do know that 5 watts was the design goal. It was intended to be a QRP rig.
> The designers can't help if if they happened to design a receiver that is
> better than most. :-)

>
Was not "accidental" . . they busted their butts on it. The K1 is a much more "balanced" design as far as the rx and tx meeting realistic qrp functionality needs
are concerned. If they had a nine-position bandswitch on the K-1 I'd probably go for one.
>

> And do remember, "better" is a relative term. It does
> indeed have superior dynamic range characteristics, but it also lacks many of
> the features that hams buy "big" rigs to have.

>
When I picked up my trusty old used TS-940 I toted up a total of *75* connectors, knobs and buttons. Not counting more contols lurking in menus. And after all that it still doesn't have a simple bandswitch. Once I get the thing hooked up and running I use the same 6-8 controls I used in 1960 for the most part. And the 940 goes back twenty years, the current xcvrs have even more "great features". Utter insanity, VCR controller think. The K2 interface makes a lot more sense to me in this respect.
>

> For most hams, with modest
> antennas, features are more important than dynamic range.

>
Button diddlers. Not for this cw dx contester. The bloody signals of the locals around here gotta be in the miilivolt range on 80 at dx contest time.
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From 1709195140431000932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 09:03:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6C67DB.4AEF71D5@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 81
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 12:03:23 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.51
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980182980 206.20.144.51 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 11:03:00 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 11:03:00 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13820

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
> Nor do I, Brian. To the contrary; I have oft' said that the tests need
> improvements like questions about the fundamentals of modern radio technology
> and more questions on the formulae type questions.

>
I'd be a bit leery about giving algebra tests. The world has gotten really lame
when it comes to math.
>

> I didn't feel hit by it.

>
I sure did, so did gobs of others.
>

> If I hadn't been so lazy, I would have studied the
> 16-page study guide and practiced Morse code real hard and been a General right
> from the get-go.

>

Conversely, as a Novice I was already on the air and having a ball with 5wpm while you would have been still droning away with w1aw practice sessions to get from 5 to
13wpm. There wasn't no better way to get the speed up than when you got a 10wpm response to a 5wpm CQ. Adrenalin rush, sweat, sweat, copy, copy. Heh-heh!
>

> Even then, I think the incentive of wanting more frequencies was more
> responsible for my upgrading to General than was the 1-year term for the Novice
> license.

>
Abolutely no question about that. That particular FCC had reasons for sticking the Novices in otherwwise sparsley populated segments. I couldn't wait to get outta those bands and go play with the big boyz after I'd acquired the skills to deal with them. Fact is that the Incentive Licensing gambit was far more a stick and carrot thing than the old drop-dead Novice ticket was and Incentive Licensing happened 17 years after the Novice ticket was introduced.
>

> I know two career Novices, who have renewed that license for years. Is amateur
> radio harmed in any way by their presence in our ranks?

>
Of course not.
>
>If we hit them with a

> stick, they simply won't renew next time.

>
As has the rest of life the fundamental FCC philosophies behind the tests changed. The FCC in those days took an agressive, proactive stance with respect to promoting
upgrading as witnessed by the time limit they put on Novices back then along with the later partitioning of four HF bands by license class. It was sticks & carrots galore and that's simply the way it was *then*, historical fact. Since then the FCC
has opted to change the ballgame in many ways so now Novices can go on being Novices forever. There is an uncountable number of mindsets, regulations, policies,
etc. everywhere in life which "worked" in 1968, let alone in 1951 which don't fly today. I'm not passing judgement on any of it here.
>

> In what way would that benefit
> amateur radio?

>
Obviously wouldn't.
>

>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

```
From 8780033152088730595
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 15:01:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
skynet.be!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <0Z2b6.1094$e5.2740969@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:00:53 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980204476 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:01:16 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:01:16 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13871
```

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> Do you also think that it does no harm to the hobby for a ham to get a
> license to simply operate, and never improve himself technically?

For an individual ham? No harm at all. For all hams to choose to take that path? I don't think Amateur Radio would survive long. I think that the likelihood all of those who choose to be hams will simply operate and never improve themselves technically is about zero. I think the likelihood that some individual hams will do so is just about 1.0.

As I look at the present ratio, I believe it to be at a level where the percentage of hams that do improve themselves technically is high enough that we meet our regulatory goals as a service. Incremental changes in testing and requirements to try to trap those last few (that are, IMHO, causing no real harm) would be at a point of diminishing returns right from the get-go.

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -7925455302287255681
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 15:01:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!awabi.library.ucla.edu!134.139.10.31!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 14:53:57 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A6CBA05.68255EA6@uci.edu>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6C7DD0.B6453AB3@uci.edu>
<3A6C7DF9.29258124@IEEE.org> <3A6C994C.A213BFE2@uci.edu>
<3A6CA193.C3EC924@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13872
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > I don't believe in being ruled by a mob or a King, Cecil. How 'bout
> > you?

> The IRS mob has ruled my life for years.

No smiley, so I guess you're serious. Evidently you're using a
different definition of the word mob than I am.

73, jk

```
From 8727875883238O975
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 15:02:48 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
<3A6C7564.92313EB9@dvol.com> <fs5p6t08sa0ginbjf8ut88h1qfqa577mej@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <8_2b6.1095$e5.2741042@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:02:06 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980204548 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:02:28 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:02:28 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13873

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> Weenie? Guilty as charged. When they hit me with the stick of
> incentive licensing I used Bash to memorize my way to an Advanced. But
> I still can't get up the energy to memorize my way to an Extra. Ed's
> right, it is a hard test...  :-)

Maybe I can help you learn the concepts, Aaron. Doing so is a lot easier
than memorizing. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 1513907764951247848
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 15:07:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A6C67DB.4AEF71D5@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
```

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <y23b6.1096$e5.2741352@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:06:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980204830 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:07:10 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:07:10 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13874

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

> The FCC in those days took an agressive, proactive stance with respect to promoting
> upgrading as witnessed by the time limit they put on Novices back then along with
> the later partitioning of four HF bands by license class.

They were not agressive in 1963; they gave me my General, which got me all
amateur priveleges, and I never heard another word from them.

> It was sticks & carrots galore and that's simply the way it was *then*, historical fact.

One carrot; take a simple test with a 4-page study guide and have fun until
you get your General. The only stick is that you have one year to do it.
If you don't want to play with sticks and carrots, go right for General and
be done with it altogether. Not exactly "galore" IMHO.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7001413818209800072
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 15:08:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!osa.uu.net!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:08:06 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <94ieh1$kbf$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94g3e9$7hi$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010122071633.21640.00000698@ng-fy1.aol.com> <94i13q$c4c$1@iac5.navix.net> <MK2b6.1091$e5.2740155@news.ntplx.net>

NNTP-Posting-Host: r-123.58.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980204897 20847 166.102.123.58 (22 Jan 2001 23:08:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 23:08:17 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13875

In that case I would like to make you an honorary member of NHI and assign you the next sequential number NHI#2741.

While our membership is growing by leaps and bounds, I would ask that all prospective members no longer make their contributions in Pokemon Pongs, or baseball cards. While we at NHI recognize the intrinsic value of your contributions, I have been unable to trade them for necessary required supplies at my local tavern.

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:MK2b6.1091$e5.2740155@news.ntplx.net...
> W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
> > Have you no pity?
>
> No, but I do have a sense of humor. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

From 8934800945171256470
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 15:08:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.idt.net!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>

<fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <643b6.1097$e5.2741398@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:08:28 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980204930 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:08:50 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:08:50 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13876

Cathryn Mataga <cathryn@junglevision.com> wrote:

> But, then eliminate the rule that you can't charge money
> for testing people.

The present rules permit the VECs to charge a fee for testing people.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7760631356033343651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 15:22:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:22:20 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 94
Message-ID: <94ifbi$la9$1@iac5.navix.net>

References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
<94i9so$h3p$1@iac5.navix.net> <94idfi$jf6@dispatch.concentric.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-123.58.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980205746 21833 166.102.123.58 (22 Jan 2001 23:22:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Jan 2001 23:22:26 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13877
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16201 alt.radio.family:3550 rec.radio.cb:23219
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1930

I would suggest that you rethink that tact.

Did I miss out on the announcement where you had been appointed Usenet enforcer?

net protocol does not allow me to alter the headers on a thread I did not start. As I am not the author of this thread, you are addressing the wrong party.

I also note that your message was sent to all the newsgroups as well.
--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
news:94idfi$jf6@dispatch.concentric.net...
> Fred, I know you know better than to keep cross posting this thread. Your
> mail boxes could get awfully full.
>
> "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:94i9so$h3p$1@iac5.navix.net...
> > You could even have the test on the computer, the computer than could
> grade
> > your test(s) and as you pointed out issue your call sign on the spot.
Then
> > the computer could pop up what the store had in your price range. You
> would
> > then press your selections and walk to the front of the store, pickup
your
> > license and equipment and head home ready to operate.
> >
> > We could do the same thing from Mall kiosks to attract young people.
This
> > could be coupled with playstation rentals, beepers, and cell phones. A
one

> > stop communications and entertainment kiosk. ARRL could create a Sony
> > playstation and gameboy cartridge to teach amateur radio, along with an
> > amateur radio adventure that would require simulated contacts with DX
> > countries to obtain clues to finish the game. Wow! I wish I had thought
of
> > that. The only problem is getting it by the old elitist hams that think
> you
> > should learn a bunch of code and radio nonsense to gain what is
rightfully
> > yours because you want it..
> >
> > --
> > Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
> > Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
> > Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
> > "We want, therefore we deserve"
> > Send your non-tax deductible donations today!
> >
> > "Cathryn Mataga" <cathryn@junglevision.com> wrote in message
> > news:3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Paul R. Stoetzer, N8HM" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > 3. The Tech license is already extremely easy to get. I got my Tech
> just
> > > > over a year ago and it took about a week to study enough to pass the
> > test,
> > > > and that was with 55 questions on two tests, not 35.
> > > >
> > > > While I agree more young people are needed in the hobby, the problem
> is
> > not
> > > > the license structure, which I believe to be perfect, it is the PR
> > > > deficencies.
> > >
> > > What about this as a proposal. Leave everything as it is as far as
> > licenses
> > > are concerned. But, then eliminate the rule that you can't charge
money
> > > for testing people. And, then work to get the FCC to cut a deal
> > > to allow, for example, Radio Shack and ham radio stores to give ham
> radio
> > > license tests right in the store -- and set up a computer system to
> give
> > call signs
> > > right on the spot. Then, the stores could make a little money giving
> the
> > test.
> > > And, might pick up some extra sales from new hams.
> >
> >
>

```
>




From 7080909502155577464
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 15:40:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
212.97.206.81.MISMATCH!kinglear.mobilixnet.dk!opentransit.net!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 23:30:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94ifpf$gmc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com> <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177>
<3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org> <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169>
<94eusj$ih6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94fnu4$sqi$1@208.207.71.164>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.74
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 22 23:30:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.74
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13878

In article <94fnu4$sqi$1@208.207.71.164>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> \
> > "...the hammers come out."  Oh good grief.  You tell us exactly the
kind
> > of ham you are every day on rrap.
> >
>
> Getcher helmet on

Nope, its in storage along with my flak vest and LBE.  Hopefully I won't
suffer the kind of brain damage you did as a beginner.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 2228111162438850628
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:01:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6CC99F.DBFC2749@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A6C67DB.4AEF71D5@dvol.com> <y23b6.1096$e5.2741352@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 44
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:00:31 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.53
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980208011 206.20.144.53 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:00:11 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:00:11 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13879

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> > The FCC in those days took an agressive, proactive stance with respect to
> promoting
> > upgrading as witnessed by the time limit they put on Novices back then
> along with
> > the later partitioning of four HF bands by license class.
>
> They were not agressive in 1963; they gave me my General, which got me all
> amateur priveleges, and I never heard another word from them.

>
Until 1968 . . . Then they heard from YOU din they? Heh. .
>

> > It was sticks & carrots galore and that's simply the way it was *then*,
> historical fact.
>
> One carrot; take a simple test with a 4-page study guide and have fun until
> you get your General. The only stick is that you have one year to do it.

>
"Galore" in the sense that the vast majority of the kids who got into ham radio
took the Novice path, I don't know of any myself who went straight for a
General including those who well into electronics. Kids "galore" opted to face
the stick.
>

> If you don't want to play with sticks and carrots, go right for General and
> be done with it altogether. Not exactly "galore" IMHO

>
All? I wouldn't advise getting on 7.010 with a General.
>

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From 8664586898358398 21
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:07:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6CCB29.CF906C2E@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <20010120014523.22779.00000700@nso-
fa.aol.com> <3A69B1FC.204611DF@dvol.com> <cU2b6.1093$e5.2740629@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 27
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:07:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.53
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980208402 206.20.144.53 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:06:42
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:06:42 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13880

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> Manchester High had about 1000 students. Two of us were hams.

>

> Of my circle of Novice friends, none are EEs today.

>
I can't imagine why the ham demograpics would be so different. It didn't seem to be a fluke but I never paid any attention to any of the "stats". There were also several hams in the preceeding class. Two of my high school ham classmates were also classmates in enginering school, one of those is a lifetime buddy and we've been in the same contest club for over 40 years. Maybe it had something to do with the heavy concentration of the electronics industry around here in that timeframe. RCA, Philco, GE, the big Navy electronics labs, even B&W. 1963 was ten years after my time as a Novice, that could be a factor. Beats me.
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From -649989838071222552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:09:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6CCBB4.2AE1DF28@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com> <94hr03$sb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <vp5p6ts8d6d8qpfirt5e9qaogo10kibc23@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:09:24 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.53
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980208541 206.20.144.53 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:09:01 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:09:01 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13881

Aaron Jones wrote:

>
> hooking up a ham station in 2001. If my rig broke in the 50's or 60's

> I could fix it no matter what. Now if it's not a simple problem, it's
> back to the factory. But then how is that much different from most
> hams these days...

>
. . . you and most of the rest of us who came out of those days.
>
w3rv

From -8936529744304522383
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:22:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: This Just In . . .
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:22:11 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.53
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980209308 206.20.144.53 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:21:48 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 18:21:48 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13882

From today's ARRL Bulletin:
>
"The Board's Morse Code resolution declared that deletion of the Article S25 international requirement at WRC-03 ''should not automatically or immediately mean a similar removal of the Morse code from Part 97 of the FCC rules.'' Morse code, the Board affirmed, deserves continued support as an important operating mode as well as in terms of spectrum and ''should be retained as a testing element in the US.'' The resolution also called on ARRL Headquarters staff to ''develop a program designed to promote the use of Morse.''
>
w3rv

From -3778405112919467590
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:28:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 00:27:27 GMT
References: <94gihf$hfl$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Enough already
Message-ID: <20010122192727.00445.00000863@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13883

In article <94gihf$hfl$1@iac5.navix.net>, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:

>What are you doing posting this crap in alt.binaries.sex.bestiality ?

Why are you looking in that newsgroup? You like that kind of thing?

didit

From -1686230080723998802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:28:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 00:27:28 GMT
References: <94hjco$oa1$1@208.206.142.73>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010122192728.00445.00000865@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13884

In article <94hjco$oa1$1@208.206.142.73>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

```
>What he *really* needs is a copy of ARRL's "How to Learn the
>Radiotelegraph Code".

Offer gratefully accepted.  With Dave's "Now You're Talking" my
life will be compleat.   :-)

didit



From -2914955523688674727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:28:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
paxfeed.eni.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 00:27:28 GMT
References: <94hlun$nhg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010122192728.00445.00000864@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13885

In article <94hlun$nhg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:

>In article <20010120014523.22779.00000700@nso-fa.aol.com>,
>  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>> Anyone who wants to go back to 1958 is nuts!
>
>Hey, I'll go back!  In 1958 I was a college freshman, and Peggy Sue
>Loudermilk (with a body to make a Baptist preacher sweat) was a regular
>visitor in the back seat of my 51 Pontiac hardtop.

That's unfortunate.  According to a popular website for alumni,
story has it that the lady died in 1960 from complications of
an STD.  Must be a different Peggy Sue...

didit



From 4646694336569208181
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:28:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeeds.belnet.be!
```

```
news.belnet.be!skynet.be!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 00:27:32 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7kmxdf.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010122192732.00445.00000867@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13886

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g7kmxdf.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>On 21 Jan 2001 22:30:46 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>On the other hand, maybe you could "reactivate" that CW
>>net into the LAPD...you know, the one the LAPD didn't
>>know about until you "revealed" it to everyone?     :-)
>
>  LAPD wasn't the California node - CHP Sacramento was.  I saw
>  the station in 1963 but it was out of service by then.

Thank you, Phil.  Too bad you weren't here a couple years
ago when I bothered some LAPD people about this "CW
station."   :-)    They were very courteous and did explain
some interesting history of the LAPD at the time.

California Highway Patrol Sacramento CW station was "out
of service" in 1963?  OK.  Thanks again.

didit


From 2043973066956372601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:28:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 00:27:33 GMT
References: <H9%a6.1073$e5.2724274@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
```

```
Message-ID: <20010122192733.00445.00000868@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13887

In article <H9%a6.1073$e5.2724274@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> >The one doing the kerchunking is indeed always illegal.  Transmitter
>> >fingerprinting has been used to successfully ID several kerchunkers over
>the
>> >years.
>
>> OOs and run-of-the-mill hams can ID them illegal kerchunkers with
>> "fingerprint techniques?"
>
>The equipment is commercially available at a reasonable price.  Many of the
>kerchunkers also actually operate the repeater, enabling one to capture the
>fingerprint of the miscreant, then compare it to properly ID'ed signals.
>
>> ...OK...        :-)
>
>Yes, indeed. See http://www.motron.com

Thank you for the interesting website, Ed.

The "TxPorter" works by measuring the transmit frequency drift
when activated.  Sounds simple enough...but that assumes
that each transmitter's frequency does exhibit short-term
drift (probably does, no dispute).  As to "identification" it depends
on comparing to a "known" database (software limit of 256
"fingerprints").  Not quite the same detail as with finger type
fingerprints but better than nothing.  :-)

Motron sells theirs for the economical price of $799 for computer
card and software.  [needs a computer existing]    800 bucks
doesn't sound "economical" to the average amateur or does it?
I suppose it does.  If W1AW can afford commercial Harris HF
transmitters for an amateur radio station, it does, it does.   :-)

didit



From 3463203286739858039
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:28:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!skynet.be!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 00:27:29 GMT
References: <3A6BC910.D882CC0E@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010122192729.00445.00000866@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13888

In article <3A6BC910.D882CC0E@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>> >W1RFI wrote:
>> >
>> >> >The Harvey-Wells Bandmaster, 50 watts (807?), CW & AM, fairly compact,

>Len, you are the crabgrass in the lawn of rrac.

"rrac?"

>The Bandmaster was as much of an "all band" rig as one could get at the
>time of its production. No, it wasn't efficient above 30 MHz but it
>worked. It may not have had the best electrical design but it was a
>mechanical marvel with its right angle drive.

I'm sure it was. I bought a couple National right-angle drives
back in 1949, no doubt inspired by the thoughts of amateurs
desiring this particular model. For something else of course,
not to make a mechanical marvel such as the Bandmaster.

>It is also very compact.
>The matching VFO was designed with a slanted panel and a nice flat and
>low rectangular area where the transmitter could perch atop the VFO.
>I have two, one of them with the VFO. I'd be happy to sell you one so
>that you can spend hours admiring it.

Doubtful that I could afford the price considering others' concern
with my hygiene. I do thank you for the offer.

> With luck, you'll be able to get a license and use it on the air.

Really? I am heartened, although I thought the achievement
of obtaining a license was lots of hard work and a lifetime
of worship and devotion.

>Yes, the company did produce at least one receiver.

This seems to be at odds with the ham writer on one website
so the two of you can discuss that matter.

>You can tell the '57 Chev collectors that their rides are deficient but
>I doubt you'll get any of 'em to trade them in on a new Taurus or an
>Intrepid.

I do not understand this reference. Is it to mobile radio operation?

>Would you like me to send you a copy of "Now You're Talking"?

Thank you. That would be ever so kind of you. You will have to pay postage, of course. If you send more than one I will be glad to hand extras out to youngsters in front of the HRO at a mini-mall on the corner of Buena Vista and Victory in Burbank, CA.

didit

From 5595355550266508752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:31:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 16:24:55 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A6CCF57.D33EE7E4@uci.edu>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13889

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Here's what I really said:

> "In such a situation, an armed response by law-abiding citizens would
> definitely be in order to prevent the armed environmentalists, convicted
> felons, abortion rights activists, and Ku Klux Klansmen from *actually*
> shooting our elected Congressmen and Senators."

You gotta be nuts, Larry. (Better?)

Police are supposed to take care of that sort of thing, not vigilante committees.
You're going to trust that they'll know the difference between the nuts with guns on one side, and the nuts with guns on the other? I guess

```
your guys will be wearing the Red Man ball caps and the other guys will
be wearing the Pro-Choice t-shirts, right?  ;-)


From -4235496822100047481
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:39:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
paxfeed.eni.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 00:38:22 GMT
References: <h81c6t07d3k505s9742uovbuoq5qpip20f@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Message-ID: <20010122193822.25659.00001088@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13890

In article <h81c6t07d3k505s9742uovbuoq5qpip20f@4ax.com>, Jake Brodsky
<frussle@erols.com> writes:

>>There is no time machine to go back to undo the past.  There
>>is the possibility that the existing and future FCC can solve
>>some problems in US civil radio.  Support the FCC in
>>regulation of radio...or become lawless vigilantes who are
>>outnumbered by others.  There is no honor or respect in
>>vigilanteism.
>
>I agree completely. And I assure you, that is not what I'm suggesting.

Understood.  I was making a general statement.  My apologies.

didit


From 3546784664849498415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:49:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 23 Jan 2001 00:48:30 GMT
References: <94cn4m$u48$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Message-ID: <20010122194830.02315.00003355@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13891

In article <94cn4m$u48$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> writes:

>> >   There has been much talk about the Clinton legacy. As William J.
>> > Clinton leaves office, lets take a look at a few things around the
>> > country to discover the real Clinton legacy.
>>
>> ///// C L I P /////
>>
>> Please take your American politics to alt.bloody.sleazy.washington
>newsgroup.
>> This one is an international forum about amateur radio and not about
>> second-rate governments in north america.
>>
>> In other words, bugger off mate.
>>
>> Graeme

Graeme:

I appreciate your concern, but the U.S. no longer has a "second-rate
government in north america (sic)." As of noon yesterday, we are
back to having a first-rate government!

>Hey Aussie....I got a better idea, go stand out on the street corner
>and tell the guy fixing to shoot you to go turn in his weapon.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

I do not endorse this advice, Graeme; after all, the criminals *are*
out there and they *are* armed; unfortunately, law-abiding citizens
of Australia, such as your own good self, are not. Therefore, I'd
advise you to take up permanent residence in a police station.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8370482186006018294
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:49:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 00:48:30 GMT
References: <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010122194830.02315.00003354@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13892

In article <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>If I were getting into a new hobby that involved a community, as does ham
>radio, and was given the impression that I "better" be a fairly fast learner,
>or I would receive a public lashing for what I felt was an innocent choice of
>words,

Ed:

Just exactly how "slow" a "learner" should we hams tolerate? When told, once, that use of terms like "handle" and "personal" are not generally accepted on the amateur radio airwaves, how many infractions must be permitted before the newcomer can be "set straight" in no uncertain terms (preferably off-the-air)? To use a different example, how many "yield" signs must be posted at one intersection to actually induce the behavior of making the motorist yield to oncoming traffic? Obviously, a reasonably fast learner will only need one; but you apparently seem to advocate an unlimited number of "yield" signs at each intersection, right?

 I would very quickly decide that is not a caring, sharing community. I
>almost certainly would find a different circle, because with friends like
>that,
>I would not need enemies.

To which I would reply, "Be my guest -- don't let the door hit you in the ass on the way out!" But getting back to this "caring, sharing community" crap, Ed, just what *was* Mother Teresa's call sign?

>Fortunately, when I first became a ham and probably made "gaffs" that I
>wasn't
>even aware of, I didn't receive the type of "welcome" you are describing.

Neither did I, Ed, because I made relatively few "gaffs" (sic). You see, picking up a microphone and talking into it is no big deal. It's not rocket science, and it's not social science. It's just talking. And us hams have usually talked on radios pretty much the same way we talk all the rest of the time -- without wierd, unconventional slang, acronyms, and lingo. Of course, if you live in a run-down trailer park in 4-land, your mileage may vary.

>IMHO, telling people what they "better" do is not in the spirit of ham radio
>that I have respected for decades.

But telling them what they "better not" do certainly is!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4961891581236688313
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:49:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 00:48:29 GMT
References: <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010122194829.02315.00003353@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13893

In article <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net>, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:

>
>For God's sake Ed, you are working so hard to be politically correct.

I don't know about that, Fred -- for Ed, being politically correct seems to come quite naturally! I don't really think he needs to work at it at all!

>I guess if a kid is learning baseball, you should not point out he is running
>the bases in the wrong direction for fear he will give up baseball?
>
>Give me a single reason we should be bending over and kissing some snot
>nosed kids ass to get him active in ham radio! If they are interested, I
>will bust my butt to help them.

There is no reason whatsoever. My experience has proven to me that people who are genuinely interested in amateur radio will get involved on their own initiative. What is needed, more than anything else, is major, mass media publicity. Unfortunately, the ARRL seems totally helpless in getting any for us.

>I have Elmered more than a few in my time, but at no point did I waste time

>on anyone who had no interest.

Nor should you have to. Whenever I've been involved in ham radio instruction, I've always told the class right from the beginning that I am there to show them the way, but there is a lot of hard work that needs to be done and I'm not going to do it for them. That usually causes about a 90-percent attrition rate right from the beginning, and I'm left to effectively "elmer" the remaining few truly interested newcomers who are more than willing to learn the code, open the books and read them, and learn which end of the soldering iron gets hot.

>I had one guy wanted to be a ham and was having trouble with the code. It
>took me a while to realize his problem was not the code, he did not know how
>to read or write. I worked with him for over a year and he earned his
>ticket. I remember him struggling to read to become a ham, then I listen to
>all the cock and bull about "how tough it is on the children to get a
>ticket".

Well, unless you're a trained educator, I wouldn't have bothered with that guy. Ham radio isn't a proper hobby for illiterate people. My advice to them would be to take care of learning basic life skills before they concern themselves with hobbies.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1024122778712148465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:52:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A6C67DB.4AEF71D5@dvol.com> <y23b6.1096$e5.2741352@news.ntplx.net>
<3A6CC99F.DBFC2749@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <aB4b6.1104$e5.2747391@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:51:57 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980211142 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:52:22 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:52:22 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13894

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

> > They were not agressive in 1963; they gave me my General, which got me
all
> > amateur priveleges, and I never heard another word from them.

> Until 1968 . . . Then they heard from YOU din they? Heh. .

Actually, no; my General expired. I think it was 1976 when I got relicensed. I set off to get my Extra at that point, but parked in a no-parking zone in Boston and got my car towed. I had to sprint to the FCC exam site and just missed the 20 wpm code test. I took all the tests through Advanced that day, then went on and took the First Phone. All carrot; no stick.

> "Galore" in the sense that the vast majority of the kids who got into ham
radio
> took the Novice path, I don't know of any myself who went straight for a
> General including those who well into electronics. Kids "galore" opted to
face
> the stick.

Perhaps as a kid, but as a young man, I chose the "hard" way.

> > If you don't want to play with sticks and carrots, go right for General
and
> > be done with it altogether. Not exactly "galore" IMHO

> All? I wouldn't advise getting on 7.010 with a General.

Thanks for the clarification; I thought we were still in the good old days of 1963. :-)   That is the time frame I was thinking in.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1046311279516413518
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:54:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com> <94hr03$sb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <vp5p6ts8d6d8qpfirt5e9qaogo10kibc23@4ax.com>

<3A6CCBB4.2AE1DF28@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <5D4b6.1105$e5.2747600@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:54:00 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980211265 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:54:25 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:54:25 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13895

Aaron Jones wrote:

> hooking up a ham station in 2001. If my rig broke in the 50's or 60's
> I could fix it no matter what. Now if it's not a simple problem, it's
> back to the factory. But then how is that much different from most
> hams these days...

How much of that is due to differences in hams and how much is due to differences in the rigs? We learned troubleshooting because we felt we had a shot at fixing the rig. Although it is possible to do more troubleshooting on today's rigs than most hams will admit to, I can well understand if troubleshooting does not appear to be worth learning in today's radio environment.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3749802057800258225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 16:56:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94hjco$oa1$1@208.206.142.73> <20010122192728.00445.00000865@nso-bj.aol.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200

```
Message-ID: <CE4b6.1106$e5.2747643@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:55:37 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980211362 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:56:02 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 19:56:02 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13896

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> In article <94hjco$oa1$1@208.206.142.73>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:

> >What he *really* needs is a copy of ARRL's "How to Learn the
> >Radiotelegraph Code".

> Offer gratefully accepted.  With Dave's "Now You're Talking" my
> life will be compleat.   :-)

And I will toss in all of the 2000 year issues of QST!  I'll even sign the
March "QRP" issue for you. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI





From -1198246133546881978
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 17:31:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!
not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:31:23 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <94imti$r47$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
<94hr03$sb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <vp5p6ts8d6d8qpfirt5e9qaogo10kibc23@4ax.com>
<3A6CCBB4.2AE1DF28@dvol.com> <5D4b6.1105$e5.2747600@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-123.58.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980213490 27783 166.102.123.58 (23 Jan 2001 01:31:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Jan 2001 01:31:30 GMT
```

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13897

It looks like I get to change back on my CW position and you will have to go along in agreement Ed,

The Board also revised its position on whether Morse code proficiency should continue to be an international requirement to license operation below 30 MHz. The Board approved a resolution that ''recognizes and accepts'' that the Morse requirement likely will be dropped from Article S25 of the international Radio Regulations at the 2003 World Radiocommunication Conference. But the Board held the line on retaining a domestic Morse requirement, saying that each country should be allowed to determine for itself whether it wants to have a Morse code requirement.

The Board's Morse Code resolution declared that deletion of the Article S25 international requirement at WRC-03 ''should not automatically or immediately mean a similar removal of the Morse code from Part 97 of the FCC rules.'' Morse code, the Board affirmed, deserves continued support as an important operating mode as well as in terms of spectrum and ''should be retained as a testing element in the US.'' The resolution also called on ARRL Headquarters staff to ''develop a program designed to promote the use of Morse.''

The resolution supersedes all previous Board policy statements regarding Morse code and Article S25.

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:5D4b6.1105$e5.2747600@news.ntplx.net...
> Aaron Jones wrote:
>
>
> How much of that is due to differences in hams and how much is due to
> differences in the rigs

From -2840707240931969311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 17:31:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Just In . . .
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:27:24 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3A6CDDFC.1DAC7163@uci.edu>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13898
```

Hi Brian,

I notice you forgot to include the part of the announcement that many
here would be the most interested in. ;-)

73, Jim AC6XG

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> From today's ARRL Bulletin:
> >
> "The Board's Morse Code resolution declared that deletion of the Article
> S25 international requirement at WRC-03 ''should not automatically or
> immediately mean a similar removal of the Morse code from Part 97 of the
> FCC rules.'' Morse code, the Board affirmed, deserves continued support
> as an important operating mode as well as in terms of spectrum and
> ''should be retained as a testing element in the US.'' The resolution
> also called on ARRL Headquarters staff to ''develop a program designed
> to promote the use of Morse.''
> >
> w3rv

```
From -174497784781705151
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 17:41:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
```

```
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:35:43 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A6CDFEF.72906220@uci.edu>
References: <94hlun$nhg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010122192728.00445.00000864@nso-
bj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13899

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <94hlun$nhg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:
> >Hey, I'll go back!  In 1958 I was a college freshman, and Peggy Sue
> >Loudermilk (with a body to make a Baptist preacher sweat) was a regular
> >visitor in the back seat of my 51 Pontiac hardtop.

> That's unfortunate.  According to a popular website for alumni,
> story has it that the lady died in 1960 from complications of
> an STD.  Must be a different Peggy Sue...

Tacky.


From -6715366325355813831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 17:53:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!news-east.rr.com!cyclone-west.rr.com!news.rr.com!news-
west.rr.com!lsnws01.we.mediaone.net!cyclone-LA3.rr.com!typhoon.we.rr.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Eddie Haskell" <harleybum@easyriders.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Lines: 6
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
```

Message-ID: <nu5b6.90403$y9.17320727@typhoon.we.rr.com>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 01:53:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.130.193.48
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.we.rr.com 980214803 24.130.193.48 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:53:23 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 17:53:23 PST
Organization: Road Runner
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13900 alt.radio.family:3551

Why turn over PRIME 440 frequencies for novice only use??? give them
channels in the hardly used 218-220mhz band...NOT prime 440 RPTR channels.
Your in Southern Calif...wake up and smell the coffee..or is this just an
effort to "Troll".....Eddie

From -4286209050646456671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:04:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
<94hr03$sb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <vp5p6ts8d6d8qpfirt5e9qaogo10kibc23@4ax.com>
<3A6CCBB4.2AE1DF28@dvol.com> <5D4b6.1105$e5.2747600@news.ntplx.net>
<94imti$r47$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 74
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <OE5b6.1109$e5.2751181@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:04:01 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980215470 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:04:30 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:04:30 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13901

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message news:94imti$r47$1@iac5.navix.net...

> It looks like I get to change back on my CW position and you will have to
go
> along in agreement Ed,

What? You gave up on your new outlook so soon?

I was pleased to see the Board's policy wrt the international treaty on Morse code testing. In my input to my Director, I pointed out that, IMHO, international treaties should be reserved for things like global warming, president stabbing and intercontinental ballistic missles. I don't believe that the nations of the world need concern themselves internationally with Morse code testing in the Amateur Radio Service.

I also agree that it is quite appropriate that each nation be free to decide for itself what specific
Morse-code requirements are needed for an amateur license.

The ARRL Board took the step to reaffirm that it is not changing its current policy wrt the Morse-code tests for US amateur licenses. I didn't believe that it was necessary to say this; simply changing the existing policy wrt international requirements stood on its own. I support not changing the position wrt US testing at this time, but possibly not for the reasons that you do. It is quite likely that consideration of that at WRC will take place in 2003. It would be at least a year before that treaty is ratified, and until then, the FCC has given every indication that it will not be revisiting the Morse code testing issue.

At that time, we will have seen changes in the Amateur Radio Service, may have seen other changes in the nature of the testing and will have gone through at least one election cycle in each Division in the ARRL Board of Directors. Although I feel it safe to say that some of the present incumbents will serve on the ARRL Board in 2004 or later, I also feel it safe to say that it is likely that there will be a new mix of leadership and that Board could very well come to a different policy conclusion than this one. I do not believe it would be appropriate for this Board to make policy that may need to be determined by the ARRL Board in the future; in fact, would be more competently determined by the Board at that time than it is now. The Board addressed what is before Amateur Radio now, the need to prepare for the upcoming WRCs over the coming few years.

How the Board of 2004 will decide will be determined by the makeup of that Board, the influence of ARRL membership and the specifics of what is proposed by the FCC. If more of those who seek to end code testing in the US join the ARRL and vote the bums out, then we could see an entirely different view. If those who feel that code testing needs to be preserved make their views known, then it is equally possible that the League could choose to pursue keeping code testing as part of the US requirements even if it is no longer an international treaty requirement.

Will I support the present policy of the ARRL Board? Yes, I will. There is no action that can be taken at this time by ARRL wrt code testing in the US, so not changing the policy at this time, but deferring it until possible action is less than 3 or more years away is, IMHO, the right thing to do. Yes, I support not making a decision now that can be better made later, when more factors can be considered.

I will add that these are my personal views. The ARRL policy on the matter is stated clearly in the resolution and Web article.

> Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
> Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
> "We want, therefore we deserve"
> Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

Well, if you have changed your mind, you may have to change your sig. I
don't think it really promotes CW to call it "Lotsa' Hassle International,"
though. Do you? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7941302549418297098
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:08:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010122194829.02315.00003353@nso-fi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <aI5b6.1110$e5.2751402@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:07:39 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980215686 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:08:06 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:08:06 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13902

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> There is no reason whatsoever. My experience has proven to me that
> people who are genuinely interested in amateur radio will get involved
> on their own initiative. What is needed, more than anything else, is
> major, mass media publicity. Unfortunately, the ARRL seems totally
> helpless in getting any for us.

Well, Larry; the plan you proposed would have eliminated ARRL's RFI program,
so even you deemed it not acceptable. Did you come up with an alternate? At
>$100k per 30-second spot on prime time, I am not sure mass-media ads are

the answer.

What percentage of people that might be interested in ham radio do you feel do not know about its existence?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8838351518309835O4
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:10:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
skynet.be!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010122194829.02315.00003353@nso-
fi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <3K5b6.1111$e5.2751455@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:09:39 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980215807 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:10:07 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:10:07 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13903
```

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> Nor should you have to. Whenever I've been involved in ham radio
> instruction, I've always told the class right from the beginning that I am
> there to show them the way, but there is a lot of hard work that needs
> to be done and I'm not going to do it for them. That usually causes
> about a 90-percent attrition rate right from the beginning, ...

In the classes I have helped teach here in Newington, we skip the lecture and have a much higher graduation rate than 10%. Now, if ARRL is going to spend all that money on mass-media ads for you, Larry, we expect you to score better than a 10% on your "final exam."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1093743734677538603
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:11:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010122194829.02315.00003353@nso-
fi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <lL5b6.1112$e5.2751517@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:11:02 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980215889 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:11:29 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:11:29 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13904
```

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> >I had one guy wanted to be a ham and was having trouble with the code. It
> >took me a while to realize his problem was not the code, he did not know
how
> >to read or write. I worked with him for over a year and he earned his
> >ticket. I remember him struggling to read to become a ham, then I listen
to
> >all the cock and bull about "how tough it is on the children to get a
> >ticket".

> Well, unless you're a trained educator, I wouldn't have bothered with
> that guy. Ham radio isn't a proper hobby for illiterate people. My
> advice to them would be to take care of learning basic life skills before
> they concern themselves with hobbies.

It appears to me, Larry, that the guy's concern with ham radio helped him to attain a major basic life skill, with some help from Fred. That must say more to me about the value of ham radio than it does to you.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3403723703266143443
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:14:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6CE8FC.566F2691@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A6C67DB.4AEF71D5@dvol.com> <y23b6.1096$e5.2741352@news.ntplx.net> <3A6CC99F.DBFC2749@dvol.com> <aB4b6.1104$e5.2747391@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 67
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:14:20 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.82
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980216043 206.20.144.82 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:14:03 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:14:03 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13905

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

>
> Actually, no; my General expired. I think it was 1976 when I got relicensed.

>
Different situation. I've manged to keep renewing although I was into the second year of the grace period last time.
>

> I set off to get my Extra at that point, but parked in a no-parking zone in
> Boston and got my car towed.

>
That stinks. The FCC office here was buried on an upper floor of the old custom house in the middle of the old city, ya had to be outta yer mind to drive.
>

> I had to sprint to the FCC exam site and just
> missed the 20 wpm code test.

>
I blew the General code test the first time, had to wait a month to take it over

and wound up getting my General just a few days before my Novice died. You had
to start getting really concerned about not having taken the General test 10
weeks before the end of the Novice license 52 week term if you wanted to stay
on the air without a break. Assume you flunk the first pass, wait 30 days to
take it over, pass the second attempt, then wait six weeks for the FCC to
process it and mail it. Talk about different days . .
>

> I took all the tests through Advanced that
> day, then went on and took the First Phone. All carrot; no stick.

>
Yeah, well I went thru the stick & carrot thing twice. First with the Novice,
got the General and had it all. For 14 years I thought I had it made for life
and all of a sudden I wound up having to take the Extra or get whacked with the
stick.
>
I had to beaver on the code because I'd long since been copying only in my head
so I had to get back up to speed with the pencil & pencil drill. I pretty much
breezed thru the Extra. The examiner casually tossed another exam across his
desk at me, sed "Try this one too, Kelly". Wound up with a Second Telegraph.
>

> > General including those who well into electronics. Kids "galore" opted to
> face
> > the stick.
>
> Perhaps as a kid, but as a young man, I chose the "hard" way.

>
Big difference between a youg man who was relicensing and a standard-issue
13-14-year-old total newbie.
>

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From -5808830860406167450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:18:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6CE9DA.EA0C13B@dvol.com>

From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Just In . . .
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CDDFC.1DAC7163@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:18:02 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.82
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980216259 206.20.144.82 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:17:39 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:17:39 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13906

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Hi Brian,
>
> I notice you forgot to include the part of the announcement that many
> here would be the most interested in. ;-)
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG
>

Whutzat??!

From -6073212579617497231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:23:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Just In . . . all of it
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:22:53 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.82

```
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980216550 206.20.144.82 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:22:30 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:22:30 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13907
```

From today's ARRL Bulletin:

>

"The Board also revised its position on whether Morse code proficiency should continue to be an international requirement to license operation below 30 MHz. The Board approved a resolution that ''recognizes and accepts'' that the Morse requirement likely will be dropped from Article S25 of the international Radio Regulations at the 2003 World Radiocommunication Conference. But the Board held the line on retaining a domestic Morse requirement, saying that each country should be allowed to determine for itself whether it wants to have a Morse code requirement.

>

The Board's Morse Code resolution declared that deletion of the Article S25 international requirement at WRC-03 ''should not automatically or immediately mean a similar removal of the Morse code from Part 97 of the FCC rules.'' Morse code, the Board affirmed, deserves continued support as an important operating mode as well as in terms of spectrum and ''should be retained as a testing element in the US.'' The resolution also called on ARRL Headquarters staff to ''develop a program designed to promote the use of Morse.''

>

The resolution supersedes all previous Board policy statements regarding Morse code and Article S25."

>

w3rv

```
From 182065418731284607
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:26:38 PST
Message-ID: <3A6CEB64.ED3435EF@nonsuch.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 02:24:36 +0000
From: Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com>
<3A6CABCD.B1B8779A@eznec.com> <3A6CAEEB.83DF27F8@junglevision.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s23.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Jan 2001 21:20:28 -0500, s23.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 42
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s23.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13908
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16218 alt.radio.family:3552 rec.radio.cb:23254
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1932

Cathryn Mataga wrote:
>
> Roy Lewallen wrote:
> >
> > I don't like this idea very much. The part that worries me is as you
> > say, they "might pick up some extra sales from new hams". Without
> > accusing any store or anyone of dishonesty, I think it's a bad idea for
> > the test giver to have such an immediate and direct financial incentive
> > to have the examinee pass.
> >
>
> Money makes the world go-round. Methinks. And if you want to
> get more young people in the hobby, I think you need the kind
> of marketing muscle that Radio Shack can provide. And, I think the fraud
> issue here is over-estimated. There's been fraud
> in the testing system as it is. If you had big companies involved,
> who had lawyers, they could snap the whip with the employees.
> And, they'd be better positioned to enforce standards, than random
> individuals. I think.
>
> Just enact some fines for cheating. Hire a few people to go
> around, and masquerade as applicants to keep the system clean. And,
> open an office, that would handle reports of fraud, and then
> investigate as a criminal matter. It'd probably be cleaner than
> the current setup.

Marketing muscle? Is this from the same group of drooling no-loads I've
encountered in almost every RadShack I've entered over the past several
decades? These folks panic when a customer refuses to provide his name
and address.

The people who are Radio Shack's customers seem to be from several
distinct groups: a) those who need a part in a hurry and can't wait for
delivery from a "1-800" house (I generally fall into this group), b)

those who don't care about price, c) those who don't care about quality
and d) those who are waiting for a spouse to finish shopping at the
mall.

I don't think we need individuals who are incapable of identifying an
electronic component administering amateur license testing.

Dave Heil K8MN

From -8987669989720538048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:28:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6CEC1C.2D003BF6@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
<94hr03$sb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <vp5p6ts8d6d8qpfirt5e9qaogo10kibc23@4ax.com>
<3A6CCBB4.2AE1DF28@dvol.com> <5D4b6.1105$e5.2747600@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:27:40 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.82
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980216838 206.20.144.82 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:27:18 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 20:27:18 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13909

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> I can well
> understand if troubleshooting does not appear to be worth learning in
> today's radio environment.

>
It's not and I find that frustrating.
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8670096170632309185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:30:39 PST
Message-ID: <3A6CECB7.A03754E5@nonsuch.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 02:30:15 +0000
From: Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A68A49A.379C13B2@dvol.com> <20010120014523.22779.00000700@nso-
fa.aol.com> <3A69B1FC.204611DF@dvol.com> <cU2b6.1093$e5.2740629@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s23.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Jan 2001 21:26:06 -0500, s23.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 33
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.34.3!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s23.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13910
```

Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:

```
>
> Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>
> > I went to a high school in a township which had around 450,00 citizens
> > back then, there were 450 +/- of us in the graduating class of '55 which
> included
> > 6-8 hams.
>
> Manchester High had about 1000 students. Two of us were hams.
>
> > Do that. At least three of the former Novices in my high school class went
> on to
> > become EEs and two of us went into other engineering disciplines. One of
> the
> > electron jugglers went on to become a GE VP. No doubt non-hams also became
> EEs
> > but not out of that class.
>
> Of my circle of Novice friends, none are EEs today.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
```

The little southern West Virginia town of Oak Hill where I lived in the early and mid-1960s had a population of about 7,000. Collins High School boasted an amateur radio club (which I helped to begin as a sophomore) and the town had six teenaged Novices in the '63-'64 time

frame. None of us are EEs but all are still active hams. K8CFT who ran
the local TV sales and service shop and who administered our exams did a
pretty good job of motivating us.

Dave Heil K8MN

From -3335847645685925778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:32:44 PST
Message-ID: <3A6CED19.46B8C69F@nonsuch.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 02:31:53 +0000
From: Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <94hlun$nhg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010122192728.00445.00000864@nso-bj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s23.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Jan 2001 21:27:44 -0500, s23.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 22
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s23.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13911

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <94hlun$nhg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:
>
> >In article <20010120014523.22779.00000700@nso-fa.aol.com>,
> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> >>
> >> Anyone who wants to go back to 1958 is nuts!
> >
> >Hey, I'll go back! In 1958 I was a college freshman, and Peggy Sue
> >Loudermilk (with a body to make a Baptist preacher sweat) was a regular
> >visitor in the back seat of my 51 Pontiac hardtop.
>
> That's unfortunate. According to a popular website for alumni,
> story has it that the lady died in 1960 from complications of
> an STD. Must be a different Peggy Sue...
>
> didit

This one died in '65 in an LTD.

Dave K8MN

```
From 5958297769692140629
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:44:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A6CEEBD.AAA1F81D@nonsuch.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 02:38:53 +0000
From: Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6BC910.D882CC0E@ovis.net> <20010122192729.00445.00000866@nso-
bj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s23.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Jan 2001 21:34:45 -0500, s23.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 75
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!
pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s23.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13912

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A6BC910.D882CC0E@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >> >W1RFI wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> >The Harvey-Wells Bandmaster, 50 watts (807?), CW & AM,  fairly compact,
>
> >Len, you are the crabgrass in the lawn of rrac.
>
> "rrac?"
rrap (but you figured that out, I'm sure)

> >The Bandmaster was as much of an "all band" rig as one could get at the
> >time of its production.  No, it wasn't efficient above 30 MHz but it
> >worked.  It may not have had the best electrical design but it was a
> >mechanical marvel with its right angle drive.
>
> I'm sure it was.  I bought a couple National right-angle drives
> back in 1949, no doubt inspired by the thoughts of amateurs
> desiring this particular model.  For something else of course,
> not to make a mechanical marvel such as the Bandmaster.

> >It is also very compact.
> >The matching VFO was designed with a slanted panel and a nice flat and
> >low rectangular area where the transmitter could perch atop the VFO.
> >I have two, one of them with the VFO.  I'd be happy to sell you one so
> >that you can spend hours admiring it.
```

>
> Doubtful that I could afford the price considering others' concern
> with my hygiene. I do thank you for the offer.

I'm not sure what that means and I'm not altogether sure that I want to
know.

> > With luck, you'll be able to get a license and use it on the air.
>
> Really? I am heartened, although I thought the achievement
> of obtaining a license was lots of hard work and a lifetime
> of worship and devotion.

You've had the lifetime. Now on to the license.

> >Yes, the company did produce at least one receiver.
>
> This seems to be at odds with the ham writer on one website
> so the two of you can discuss that matter.

You discuss it with him. You can be the first to straighten him out.
The receiver was the R-9, produced in 1955. It featured a slide rule
dial, covered 80-10m and weighed in at 10 lb. The cabinet was 12 1/2" x
10 1/2" x 6 3/4" and the receiver sold for $149.50 less speaker.
You can't get all of your information from the internet or television.

> >You can tell the '57 Chev collectors that their rides are deficient but
> >I doubt you'll get any of 'em to trade them in on a new Taurus or an
> >Intrepid.
>
> I do not understand this reference. Is it to mobile radio
> operation?

Maybe someone can explain the analogy to you.

> >Would you like me to send you a copy of "Now You're Talking"?
>
> Thank you. That would be ever so kind of you. You will
> have to pay postage, of course. If you send more than one
> I will be glad to hand extras out to youngsters in front of
> the HRO at a mini-mall on the corner of Buena Vista and
> Victory in Burbank, CA.

I'd certainly love to have a souvenir snapshot of that little excercise.

Dave Heil K8MN
> didit

From 9138053069739911256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 18:53:18 PST
Message-ID: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 02:53:05 +0000
From: Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s23.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Jan 2001 21:48:56 -0500, s23.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 19
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s23.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13913

Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these times of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?

I noted Dave Sumner's talk of the ARRL being involved in the kinds of things which dues and publication sales would not cover. My feeling is that there is little that the League should be doing which could not be covered by dues and publication sales.

My own feeling is that $39 per year is high for value received since the League already charges now for services which were formerly provided at no cost to members. QST is almost void of good articles these days. There is a dearth of technical content. Contest covereage and DX news are minimal. If I wasn't already a life member I'd have think hard to come up with enough reasons to justify renewal.

Since he was a part of the inner circle for a while, perhaps Hans can share his views on the announced increase in ARRL dues.

Dave Heil K8MN

From -3279170514389562177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 19:15:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:05:58 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises

```
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A6CF516.396761F5@IEEE.org>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6C7DD0.B6453AB3@uci.edu>
<3A6C7DF9.29258124@IEEE.org> <3A6C994C.A213BFE2@uci.edu>
<3A6CA193.C3EC924@IEEE.org> <3A6CBA05.68255EA6@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.c4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 23 Jan 2001 03:14:46 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13914

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > I don't believe in being ruled by a mob or a King, Cecil.  How 'bout
> > > you?
>
> > The IRS mob has ruled my life for years.
>
> No smiley, so I guess you're serious.  Evidently you're using a
> different definition of the word mob than I am.

Apparently, you have never had the IRS mob after you for something
of which you are innocent. With the IRS, you are guilty until you
prove your innocence beyond the shadow of a doubt and then some.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -1248998213857211379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 19:26:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!
not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 22:26:47 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <94itlv$3am$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010122194829.02315.00003353@nso-
fi.aol.com> <aI5b6.1110$e5.2751402@news.ntplx.net>
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: r-123.58.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980220415 3414 166.102.123.58 (23 Jan 2001 03:26:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Jan 2001 03:26:55 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13915

Ed, serious for once, Most TV stations are required to air Public service
announcements. A message about ham radio, directed towards today's youth
would qualify and be no cost except for production.

Tie it in with the Big Brothers / Sisters mentoring program.

I would be glad to do a bit of research as to what is involved with the
PSA's if any interest at Hdq.

Let me know.

73 Fred W4JLE

--
Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
"We want, therefore we deserve"
Send your non-tax deductible donations today!

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:aI5b6.1110$e5.2751402@news.ntplx.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
>
> > There is no reason whatsoever. My experience has proven to me that
> > people who are genuinely interested in amateur radio will get involved
> > on their own initiative. What is needed, more than anything else, is
> > major, mass media publicity. Unfortunately, the ARRL seems totally
> > helpless in getting any for us.
>
> Well, Larry; the plan you proposed would have eliminated ARRL's RFI
program,
> so even you deemed it not acceptable. Did you come up with an alternate?
At
> >$100k per 30-second spot on prime time, I am not sure mass-media ads are
> the answer.
>
> What percentage of people that might be interested in ham radio do you
feel
> do not know about its existence?
>
> 73,

> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

From -2022689885013878864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 19:32:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 23 Jan 2001 03:32:46 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 130
Message-ID: <94iu0u$ioo@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com> <fP0b6.84$b7.121951@nntp1.onemain.com> <3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com> <94i9so$h3p$1@iac5.navix.net> <94idfi$jf6@dispatch.concentric.net> <94ifbi$la9$1@iac5.navix.net>
Reply-To: "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.173.186.157
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13916 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16222 alt.radio.family:3553 rec.radio.cb:23270 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:1933

For what ever reason this cross posting continues to be a problem usually stemming from the ham groups. You surely have control to which NG you direct your response to. It figures you would follow everyone off the cliff to adhere to protocol. Do you believe that anyone who is only interested in CB gives a rats @$$ about some new Brownie license to use a radio? This NG is CB no license, no policy etc. So why even post it here?

If I have to nominate myself as the enforcer of this group so be it others will support the cause. If the amateur NG would like all of the CB posts crossed to their NG it can begin immediately. You see it is like one guy turns on an amp then another then another and pretty soon the whole band is unusable. Is it time to see who's is bigger? Or can this just end and everyone take their posts where they belong?

Since I don't know the origins and really don't care to waste time researching I am posting back to all the groups so that no one can later say what happened and why. This thread being crossed to the CB group can be stopped if you want to. Or you can get prepared to read many more posts that don't pertain to your groups.

"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:94ifbi$la9$1@iac5.navix.net...
> I would suggest that you rethink that tact.
>
> Did I miss out on the announcement where you had been appointed Usenet
> enforcer?
>
> net protocol does not allow me to alter the headers on a thread I did not
> start. As I am not the author of this thread, you are addressing the wrong
> party.
>
> I also note that your message was sent to all the newsgroups as well.
> --
> Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
> Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
> Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
> "We want, therefore we deserve"
> Send your non-tax deductible donations today!
>
> "City_Slicker" <notenuftime@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
> news:94idfi$jf6@dispatch.concentric.net...
> > Fred, I know you know better than to keep cross posting this thread.
Your
> > mail boxes could get awfully full.
> >
> > "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> > news:94i9so$h3p$1@iac5.navix.net...
> > > You could even have the test on the computer, the computer than could
> > grade
> > > your test(s) and as you pointed out issue your call sign on the spot.
> Then
> > > the computer could pop up what the store had in your price range. You
> > would
> > > then press your selections and walk to the front of the store, pickup
> your
> > > license and equipment and head home ready to operate.

> > >
> > > We could do the same thing from Mall kiosks to attract young people.
> This
> > > could be coupled with playstation rentals, beepers, and cell phones. A
> one
> > > stop communications and entertainment kiosk. ARRL could create a Sony
> > > playstation and gameboy cartridge to teach amateur radio, along with
an
> > > amateur radio adventure that would require simulated contacts with DX
> > > countries to obtain clues to finish the game. Wow! I wish I had
thought
> of
> > > that. The only problem is getting it by the old elitist hams that
think
> > you
> > > should learn a bunch of code and radio nonsense to gain what is
> rightfully
> > > yours because you want it..
> > >
> > > --
> > > Fred W4JLE NHI#1001
> > > Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
> > > Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
> > > "We want, therefore we deserve"
> > > Send your non-tax deductible donations today!
> > >
> > > "Cathryn Mataga" <cathryn@junglevision.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3A6C9DF7.FE5CFEAE@junglevision.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Paul R. Stoetzer, N8HM" wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > 3. The Tech license is already extremely easy to get. I got my
Tech
> > just
> > > > > over a year ago and it took about a week to study enough to pass
the
> > > test,
> > > > > and that was with 55 questions on two tests, not 35.
> > > > >
> > > > > While I agree more young people are needed in the hobby, the
problem
> > is
> > > not
> > > > > the license structure, which I believe to be perfect, it is the PR
> > > > > deficencies.
> > > >
> > > > What about this as a proposal. Leave everything as it is as far as
> > > licenses
> > > > are concerned. But, then eliminate the rule that you can't charge
> money
> > > > for testing people. And, then work to get the FCC to cut a deal
> > > > to allow, for example, Radio Shack and ham radio stores to give ham

```
> > radio
> > > > license tests  right in the store -- and set up a computer system to
> > give
> > > call signs
> > > > right on the spot.  Then, the stores could make a little money
giving
> > the
> > > test.
> > > > And, might pick up some extra sales from new hams.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
```

```
From 2557962313891694690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 20:25:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!
newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 23:23:22 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 508c57cd.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=U:;^2l_43Q\NZUVAGj=[M^BITGoVIjmHV[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWWRH8GN]8QcPlBFDaL\d^nUM
Y;]<a70nP_
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13917
```

Here the ARRL Board's Jan 20 resolution:

On motion of International Affairs Vice President Stafford, seconded by Mr. Frenaye, it was MOVED that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, Morse code, named after Samuel Finley Breese Morse, is an invention that has been essential to telecommunications for over 150 years; and

WHEREAS, the international Morse code has been an essential mode to Amateur Radio from its beginning to this day; and

WHEREAS, Radio Amateurs formed a pool of skilled Morse operators vital in the 20th Century for national defense, disaster, maritime-mobile and aeronautical-mobile communications; and

WHEREAS, since the 1950s there has been a great influx of other technologies that have gradually reduced the need for trained Morse operators outside the Amateur services; and

WHEREAS, the aeronautical-mobile and maritime-mobile applications of Morse have been or are being terminated throughout the world; and

WHEREAS, there is an agenda item for the 2003 World Radiocommunication Conference to consider Article S25; and

WHEREAS, it is highly likely that administrations will delete the Morse code requirement in Article S25 at WRC2003; and

WHEREAS, the international Amateur community has followed the United States lead in reducing the code speed requirement for licensing to operate on bands below 30 MHz to 5 words per minute and there is a trend toward elimination of this requirement; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the ARRL Board of Directors recognizes and accepts that suppression of the Morse code requirement in Article S25 is likely to occur at WRC 2003; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that deletion of the requirement from Article S25 should not automatically or immediately mean a similar removal of the Morse code from Part 97 of the FCC rules; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that each administration should determine if Morse code is retained as a testing element; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, it is the opinion of this Board at this time that Morse code should be retained as a testing element in the U.S.; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Morse code is deserving of continued support as an important operating mode including providing for the protection and maintenance of sufficient spectrum in band planning; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that staff develop a program designed to promote the use of Morse code; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this resolution supercedes all previous statements of policy related to suppression of the Morse code requirement in Article S25.

```
From 3100931444151997849
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 21:40:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <026q6t0fdhrfd2dfvngo6bt2u14cbka9mt@4ax.com>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
<0Z2b6.1094$e5.2740969@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 05:38:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980228300 209.86.210.6 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001
21:38:20 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:38:20 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13918
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>I think that the likelihood all of those who choose to be hams
>will simply operate and never improve themselves technically is about zero.

I agree. But the percentage of non-technical hams as compared to the
total ham population is vastly higher today than it was in the 50's
and 60's, don't you agree? Do you think this downward curve of
technical hams will ever turn upwards again? If not the curve will
eventually approach zero...

```
From 4792931503307637203
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 21:40:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
```

```
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <d06q6t0fmml4e93bl30705r7re12jb30jg@4ax.com>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A6C67DB.4AEF71D5@dvol.com> <y23b6.1096$e5.2741352@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 05:38:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980228304 209.86.210.6 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001
21:38:24 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:38:24 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13920
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>One carrot; take a simple test with a 4-page study guide and have fun until
>you get your General. The only stick is that you have one year to do it.

A lot of us Novices got our mail order Technician to stop the clock.
That gave us more time and we got to keep our calls...

```
From 8211602129832687372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 21:40:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Message-ID: <n26q6t038hke1g3flpjalsntrgsgdmek0h@4ax.com>
References: <H9%a6.1073$e5.2724274@news.ntplx.net>
<20010122192733.00445.00000868@nso-bj.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 05:38:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.6
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980228298 209.86.210.6 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:38:18 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:38:18 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13919

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>800 bucks doesn't sound "economical"
>to the average amateur or does it?

Likely a ham club would be more likely than the average amateur to buy and use that type of equipment to track down violators on their repeater systems. To a club of any size that would not be hard to finance...

From -5224123991721771902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 21:40:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <uu5q6t4n91rqc1faenqtkss894pss5c1mv@4ax.com>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com> <94hr03$sb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <vp5p6ts8d6d8qpfirt5e9qaogo10kibc23@4ax.com> <3A6CCBB4.2AE1DF28@dvol.com> <5D4b6.1105$e5.2747600@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 05:38:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980228306 209.86.210.6 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:38:26 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:38:26 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13921

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>How much of that is due to differences in hams
>and how much is due to differences in the rigs?

I think that most of it is due to the rigs. They were simpler and much
much easier to work on years ago. The cars were simpler then too. I
could tear a car engine down when I was a teenager. The boys who
married my daughters have trouble changing their oil. :-)   I think
the same principle applies to ham rigs...

>Although it is possible to do more troubleshooting on today's rigs
> than most hams will admit to,

Easy for an engineer to say, especially a
 professional ham engineer... :-)

From -4883879092473764096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 21:40:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <p06q6tsbcko27utpser87em1i8dln0g29h@4ax.com>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
<3A6C7564.92313EB9@dvol.com> <fs5p6t08sa0ginbjf8ut88h1qfqa577mej@4ax.com>
<8_2b6.1095$e5.2741042@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 05:38:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980228302 209.86.210.6 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001
21:38:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:38:22 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13922

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>Maybe I can help you learn the concepts, Aaron.
>Doing so is a lot easier than memorizing. :-)

I don't think so Ed. That's why Bash was so popular (with
me and lots of others)... :-)

```
From -3254333495874847325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 21:40:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 05:38:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980228322 209.86.210.6 (Mon, 22 Jan 2001
21:38:42 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 22 Jan 2001 21:38:42 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13923

Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net> wrote:

>Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these times
>of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?

Percentage wise it does seem high. But to gripe about $5 extra a year
is silly. That's less than 2 cents a day...

>My own feeling is that $39 per year is high for value received

Too bad you can't quit...   :-)




From 8776993362766906328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 21:51:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 05:50:14 GMT
References: <CE4b6.1106$e5.2747643@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010123005014.14870.00001097@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13924

In article <CE4b6.1106$e5.2747643@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>> >What he *really* needs is a copy of ARRL's "How to Learn the
>> >Radiotelegraph Code".
>
>> Offer gratefully accepted. With Dave's "Now You're Talking" my
>> life will be compleat.   :-)
>
>And I will toss in all of the 2000 year issues of QST! I'll even sign the
>March "QRP" issue for you. :-)

Gosh, a surfeit of treasures! Thank you much, Ed, but I don't
need DX contest result listings. Give yourself a lobster dinner
at the local seafood place, sign your bill, and I will be happy.
:-)

didit

From 8033388822706947182
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 21:51:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
paxfeed.eni.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 05:50:13 GMT
References: <3A6CEC1C.2D003BF6@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010123005013.14870.00001095@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13925

In article <3A6CEC1C.2D003BF6@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

>
>> I can well
>> understand if troubleshooting does not appear to be worth learning in
>> today's radio environment.
>
>It's not and I find that frustrating.

There's a truism there. Given the inherent reliability of today's
semiconductor and passive components, failure rates are
very low compared to vacuum tube days.

Troubleshooting _inside_ a box isn't needed by today's amateur
radio operators. But, they should be able to troubleshoot
things _outside_ the boxes. Hopefully, some will learn to
measure the performance of their ready-builts to stay within
regulations' technical requirements.

didit

From -8782174997676839965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 21:51:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 05:50:13 GMT
References: <OE5b6.1109$e5.2751181@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010123005013.14870.00001096@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13926

In article <OE5b6.1109$e5.2751181@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>I will add that these are my personal views. The ARRL policy on the matter
>is stated clearly in the resolution and Web article.
>
>> Executive Grand Pooba - No Hassle International
>> Doing away with all requirements for a Ham ticket
>> "We want, therefore we deserve"
>> Send your non-tax deductible donations today!
>
>Well, if you have changed your mind, you may have to change your sig. I
>don't think it really promotes CW to call it "Lotsa' Hassle International,"

```
>though. Do you? :-)

Sounds like just-another-rerun of Jim Kehler's "No SSB
International" and Eric June's attempts to become "Names"
through self-appointment.  They even had their own Logos
(Kehler's was a reworked copy of NCI's Logo).  I guess it's
all in "that's the way they did it so everyone else has to
do the same" spirit of being Dill Sergeants in the Service.

"LHI" does have a ring to it....didit,
LHA                                                :-)


From -2032209390014412714
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 21:51:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 71
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 05:50:10 GMT
References: <itoo6t8iuua5ons5gf65vba0efa58omqbs@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Message-ID: <20010123005010.14870.00001094@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13927

In article <itoo6t8iuua5ons5gf65vba0efa58omqbs@4ax.com>, Jim Weir
<jim@rst-engr.com> writes:

>w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
>->The only problem with that is you don't own the ball. :-)
>
>Ed, mostly I agree with you.  In this case, I suggest that you go back and
>reread the document that begins, "We the people..." to figure out whether or
>not
>we own the ball and have given the temporary right to make the rules of the
>ball
>game so long as the rulemakers are in accord with our collective wishes.

Interesting philosophy there.  Except...who are the "we" in
"we the people?"

I can understand that the "we" includes only already-licensed
radio amateurs since so many have declared their "collective
```

wishes" in regard to such a rigid maintenance of the status quo. Still others express "collective wishes" as if the entire "amateur community" were a form of lodge hall or fraternity (or even sorority) and insist on obtaining a license _first_ before being able to voice anything in regards to license testing matters.

The FCC is the only US civil radio agency that regulates US civil radio and the only group that issues amateur radio licenses to civilians. The FCC has stated more than once, including in their Handbook, that they exist for and will hear from all US citizens. On and about any US civil radio service.

I sense an interesting dichotomy in this newsgroup. It is almost exclusively a forum for already-licensed radio amateurs with many of those having a very rigid rule of conduct not only on obeying the law of regulations but also of strict obediance to obeying a non-law of traditional conformity to everything in and about the "amateur community." The other side of this dichotomous state is repeated attempts to dissuade, bar, and generally disfavor any non-amateur from expressing any thought on the subject of or regulations regarding amateurs. That other side is quite contrary to the US Consititution in its Preamble which begins "We, the People..."

There is no "political correctness" nor any "sensitivity to any weak" individuals or anything else to this other side of the dichotomy. It is simply against the principles of the Constitution. It smacks of a fraternal order which wishes to go against the US Constitution, not quite illegal but as close as one can get to it without having codification in law.

It would seem that this "other side" attitude is a good bar against other US citizens desires, of any kind, in getting or even attempting to get into US amateur radio. That attitude is not good for much of a future or even help from the single US civil radio regulation agency.

It is as if there were a separate "declaration of independence" that is "signed" by all on completing a US amateur radio test as if they are distinct from and independent of the US Constitution and any democratic principles.

Just an observation from someone who believes in and once took a serious oath to defend the United States Constitution with his life if needs be.

didit

From 5522763001952827768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 22:00:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7lhmh0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010122194829.02315.00003353@nso-fi.aol.com> <aI5b6.1110$e5.2751402@news.ntplx.net> <94itlv$3am$1@iac5.navix.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 23
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 06:00:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.37
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980229645 206.58.44.37 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 06:00:45 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 06:00:45 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13928

On Mon, 22 Jan 2001 22:26:47 -0500, W4JLE wrote:

>Ed, serious for once, Most TV stations are required to air Public service
>announcements.

Absolutely not.

The "requirement" for PSAs (it never was a REAL requirement, just an FCC program-type category that had to be reported to earn "brownie points" at renewal time) went out when Program Logs and Composite Week reporting were abolished in the 1980s.

The stations that still keep doing it do it to foster good will in the community, not because it is required.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 1281822316481449018
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 22:07:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: 23 Jan 2001 06:07:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94j72o$k2a$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <3A6C779F.C9B747E@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13929



Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > >Right.  So as long as it's a group you happen to agree with, it's
> > >constitutional for them to start an armed rebellion.  That's what I
> > >thought.
>
> > Jim, there is such a thing as right and wrong, and I'm intelligent
> > enough to be able to make that distinction.
>
> But you're not the judge, Larry.  You're not A judge.  You don't get to
> decide when armed rebellions are legal and when they are not.  You can
> pretty well figure that none of them are.


  I don't have a dog in this scrap but I would point out that a certain
rebellion that began in Boston harbor was hardly considered legal,
either....


From -1637045291970729455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 22:08:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

```
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: 23 Jan 2001 06:08:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <94j741$k2a$1@208.207.71.147>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6C78D4.D6882937@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13930



Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Brian wrote:
> > I don't think he realized the gravity of that oath.  And unless
> > he's revoked it, it probably still stands.
>
> What ever are you talking about Brian?

Is he babbling again so soon?


From 5980388869362713702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 22:11:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: 23 Jan 2001 06:11:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <94j7ad$k2a$2@208.207.71.147>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <3A6CCF57.D33EE7E4@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13931

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Here's what I really said:
>
> > "In such a situation, an armed response by law-abiding citizens would
> > definitely be in order to prevent the armed environmentalists, convicted
> > felons, abortion rights activists, and Ku Klux Klansmen from *actually*
> > shooting our elected Congressmen and Senators."
>
> You gotta be nuts, Larry. (Better?)
>
> Police are supposed to take care of that sort of thing, not vigilante
> committees.
> You're going to trust that they'll know the difference between the nuts
> with guns on one side, and the nuts with guns on the other? I guess
> your guys will be wearing the Red Man ball caps and the other guys will
> be wearing the Pro-Choice t-shirts, right? ;-)

Larry can always drag out an old illfitting uniform...

From 7058017812393842206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 22:12:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 01:12:55 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A6D20B8.9C66D640@sccoast.net>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A686A70.856B9CEB@eng.Sun.COM>
<Xx2a6.783$ZB2.167709@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3A694AE1.7174C12E@sccoast.net>
<3A6C60E1.866D983E@eng.Sun.COM>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-29.r2.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 980230345 358994 204.116.71.30 (23 Jan 2001 06:12:25 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 06:12:25 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13932 rec.radio.amateur.misc:11307

darrell earnshaw wrote:

> [snip] post a subject which has absolutely
> nothing to do with the charter of the
> newsgroup. [snip] there's plenty of
> appropriate newsgroups out there [snip]

Well, Darrell, don't worry about it. Its not your job to defend the charter of this newsgroup, or to consider how appropriate the message is. So, relax, don't worry about it, and go on about your business of reading the messages in this newsgroup that do interest you.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 1168781114312955610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 22:32:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: 23 Jan 2001 06:32:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <94j8i7$ns1$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13933

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net> wrote:
>
> >Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these times
> >of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?
>
> Percentage wise it does seem high. But to gripe about $5 extra a year
> is silly. That's less than 2 cents a day...
>
> >My own feeling is that $39 per year is high for value received
>
> Too bad you can't quit...   :-)

Well, I sure can. I didn't buy one of those cheap Life memberships way back when because I wasn't that sure I'd always want to be a member, based on some pretty lamebrained acts they either did or condoned. Now my membership expires in April and they keep trying to help me make up my mind whether or not I want another year.

One more mark on the negative side.

There's nothing that comes in QST that I can't find on the net. They have no visible clout with the FCC anymore. Nothing much but feel-good propaganda issues from Newington these days.

What good are they?

Hey-- I see that the BOD made Mark Wilson, the Editor of QST, the "Cheif Operating Officer", over most HQ operations. I thought that was the Executive Secretary's job.   ????????????

From -6335767173521352723
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 23:14:03 PST
Message-ID: <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 07:12:41 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s17.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Jan 2001 02:08:34 -0500, s17.pm1.ovis.net

```
Lines: 29
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s17.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13934
```

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net> wrote:
>
> >Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these times
> >of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?
>
> Percentage wise it does seem high. But to gripe about $5 extra a year
> is silly. That's less than 2 cents a day...
>
> >My own feeling is that $39 per year is high for value received
>
> Too bad you can't quit...   :-)

Percentage-wise, it IS high. If you look at what inflation has been since 1997 when the League last upped the cost of yearly dues, it is outrageous. I think most folks would gripe about five bucks out of their pockets when they get nothing additional for the fiver. If it is such a small amount, why doesn't the League cut the present dues by five bucks per year. After all, it is only five dollars--a mere 2 cents per day ;)

I could quit but I'm paid up for life and I'm not finished living yet. Besides, you know the old saw: I can do more to change the ARRL from within than from the outside.

For one thing, I can air my gripes here.

Dave K8MN

```
From -8148956461752669379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-22 23:24:58 PST
Message-ID: <3A6D31C5.D8F4C50F@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 07:24:53 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
References: <itoo6t8iuua5ons5gf65vba0efa58omqbs@4ax.com>
<20010123005010.14870.00001094@nso-fr.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: s17.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Jan 2001 02:20:47 -0500, s17.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 98
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s17.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13935

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <itoo6t8iuua5ons5gf65vba0efa58omqbs@4ax.com>, Jim Weir
> <jim@rst-engr.com> writes:
>
> >w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
> >shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
> >
> >->The only problem with that is you don't own the ball. :-)
> >
> >Ed, mostly I agree with you. In this case, I suggest that you go back and
> >reread the document that begins, "We the people..." to figure out whether or
> >not
> >we own the ball and have given the temporary right to make the rules of the
> >ball
> >game so long as the rulemakers are in accord with our collective wishes.
>
> Interesting philosophy there. Except...who are the "we" in
> "we the people?"
>
> I can understand that the "we" includes only already-licensed
> radio amateurs since so many have declared their "collective
> wishes" in regard to such a rigid maintenance of the status quo.
> Still others express "collective wishes" as if the entire "amateur
> community" were a form of lodge hall or fraternity (or even
> sorority) and insist on obtaining a license _first_ before being
> able to voice anything in regards to license testing matters.
>
> The FCC is the only US civil radio agency that regulates US
> civil radio and the only group that issues amateur radio
> licenses to civilians. The FCC has stated more than once,
> including in their Handbook, that they exist for and will hear
> from all US citizens. On and about any US civil radio
> service.
>
> I sense an interesting dichotomy in this newsgroup. It is
> almost exclusively a forum for already-licensed radio
> amateurs with many of those having a very rigid rule of
> conduct not only on obeying the law of regulations but
> also of strict obediance to obeying a non-law of traditional
> conformity to everything in and about the "amateur
> community." The other side of this dichotomous state
> is repeated attempts to dissuade, bar, and generally
> disfavor any non-amateur from expressing any thought
> on the subject of or regulations regarding amateurs.

> That other side is quite contrary to the US Consititution
> in its Preamble which begins "We, the People..."

For Pete's sake, Len. You've been babbling here for years and many might like to dissuade you, it hasn't yet worked. No one can bar you from expressing your opinions even if we don't share them or like them. I, for one, reserve the right to call you a fat head if I disagree with your views. That's the other side of free speech. Being able to tell you that I think you bring little to the table is MY right.

> There is no "political correctness" nor any "sensitivity
> to any weak" individuals or anything else to this other
> side of the dichotomy. It is simply against the principles
> of the Constitution. It smacks of a fraternal order which
> wishes to go against the US Constitution, not quite
> illegal but as close as one can get to it without having
> codification in law.

Where is it mandated that I must be any more "politically correct" in putting forth my disagreement with something you might have written? Political correctness is another way of dancing around what one really means. Folks are worried today about damaging the self esteem of another. I'm not worried about your self esteem, Len. I would be most interested in hearing you explain how the amateur radio service is doing anything close to illegality or against the principles espoused in the U.S. Constitution in disageeing with the views of Leonard Anderson.

> It would seem that this "other side" attitude is a good
> bar against other US citizens desires, of any kind, in
> getting or even attempting to get into US amateur radio.
> That attitude is not good for much of a future or even
> help from the single US civil radio regulation agency.
>
> It is as if there were a separate "declaration of
> independence" that is "signed" by all on completing a
> US amateur radio test as if they are distinct from and
> independent of the US Constitution and any
> democratic principles.

Wrap yourself in the flag and get up on that soapbox, Len. Strike a pose while I snap a photo. I'm sure that Ed can arrange to have it appear in an upcoming issue of QST.

> Just an observation from someone who believes in and
> once took a serious oath to defend the United States
> Constitution with his life if needs be.
>
> didit

Oh my...

Dave K8MN

From -6115175840766829359
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 00:05:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 03:06:33 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A6D3B42.DDC1F155@sccoast.net>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6AE09A.6303AA90@sccoast.net> <3A6AE3F9.648DA686@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-29.r2.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 980237152 360284 204.116.71.30 (23 Jan 2001 08:05:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 08:05:52 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13937

Cecil wrote:
>
> A pet peeve of mine is when someone
> is 20 over 9 on SSB and asks, "QSL?"
> I usually say, "No, I don't have any
> QSL cards". :-)

There always has to be a comedian in every group. :-)

There is a guy around here who says "QSL" all the time. Its not that he can't hear, he's just too drunk to understand what anyone is saying.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8914985075608360729
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 00:08:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.icl.net!
news.tele.dk!206.252.192.28!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 03:09:00 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A6D3BD5.795876B7@sccoast.net>
References: <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177> <20010120190918.03154.00000332@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <94dfc2$jj4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6AE09A.6303AA90@sccoast.net>
<94fue3$4g1$1@iac5.navix.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-29.r2.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 980237298 360284 204.116.71.30 (23 Jan 2001 08:08:18
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 08:08:18 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13938

W4JLE wrote:

> Dwight, as I posted earlier, I don't care
> what words you use as long as they are
> ones that a person would say in front of
> their mother (assuming she is not a Jerry
> Apringet reject)

I'm not sure that I would say anything in front of my mother. She has to be the most opinionated person in the world (critical of everything). She cannot even stand herself. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 5828614304765382746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 00:29:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!

pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 23 Jan 2001 08:29:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 81
Message-ID: <94jfde$bmb$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169> <20010121173046.02997.00001142@nso-mg.aol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7jj199.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7kmxdf.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13939

Phil Kane wrote:

> On 21 Jan 2001 22:30:46 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> >On the other hand, maybe you could "reactivate" that CW
> >net into the LAPD...you know, the one the LAPD didn't
> >know about until you "revealed" it to everyone?   :-)
>
>   LAPD wasn't the California node - CHP Sacramento was. I saw
>   the station in 1963 but it was out of service by then.

According the APCO (Associated Police Communications Officers) manual for 1945 (which I have before me) the Los Angles PD at that time had a radiotelegraph station that operated on the frequencies of 5135, 5140, 7808 and 7935 kc, operating under the callsign KGPL.

I find no mention of radiotelegraph capability at CHP Sacremento in the 1945 manual. BUT- evidently considerable change took place by 1951, as shown in the '51 issue of the APCO manual, which I also have. It shows PD Los Angeles active on channels 'A' through 'I', with the callsign KMA89.

Channel A was 2804 kc, B = 2808, C=2812, D = 5195, E = 5135, F = 5140,   G = 7935, H = 7805, and I = 7480 kcs.

The 1951 APCO Handbook edition also shows CHP Sacremento with the exact same capability, under the callsign KMA25. Both stations were licensed for interzone (outstate) traffic handling, and were the only California stations so licensed.

I know that at some later time the interstate traffic contact point was assigned to CHP SACTO, no doubt by agreement beteen the agencies. But, contrary to the belief held so dearly by Mr. L.H. Anderson, Los Angeles PD did indeed use a radiotelegraph station to handle important traffic pertaining to the police business well into the second half of the twentyth century. And you were right - SACTO was the CW node for California, solely later in the era.

As was the case in some heavier populated states, California had a in-state teletype system that distributed the outstate traffic recieved by CHP SACTO. Any traffic routed out of state also had to be teletyped back to SACTO who then placed it onto the CW net for out of state routing. I'm sure the volume of in-state traffic handled justified the statewide tty system.

In contrast my state used CW at each of the nine district stations, each of which could communicate direct to other states, even to SACTO, usually via the relay station at Flagstaff, AZ. The CW net was replaced by TTY in Missouri in 1964, and the CW gear ate the station where I worked was uninstalled in late 1965/early 66. Flagstaff remained in operation until around 1972, according to a ham who was a former operator there. See:

http://www.metronet.com/~nmcewen/police_radio.html

As an old street cop that may be of interest to you if you haven't already read it.

And the MHP HQ station relayed CW interstate traffic for other states until about that time. I was later a part of the technical crew that removed all the CW gear from the state HQ station in 1975. By '69 we in Mo. had a computer terminal at the operating console.(It was an early RCA, but it worked quite well).

Another contrast. Visiting in Fresno in 1980, I noticed a CHP District Office nearby and decided to pay the radio staff a visit, and look over the operation.

What I found made me glad I lived and worked in the Midwest. Discussing operations and conditions with the non-uniformed personel (I was uniformed), I learned that my salary was at least 50% higher than theirs, they were not career personnel, (one was a retired bomber pilot, another a sheriff deputy's wife, etc). I asked what level of FCC license they were required to hold (we all held either first or second phone) and they asked "What's that?" When I asked them how they made an NCIC check, they pointed to an ancient TTY terminal over in the corner of the room - they had to send a TTY message to SACTO, where it was entered into the computer system, and the answer returned in the reverse, time consuming fashion. At home I had the keyboard and screen in front of me and got very quick responses.

I came away disappointed.

Dick

From -4983754649523663789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 00:43:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 23 Jan 2001 08:43:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94jg6q$c9b$0@208.207.71.147>
References: <94hlun$nhg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010122192728.00445.00000864@nso-
bj.aol.com> <3A6CDFEF.72906220@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.147
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13940
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > In article <94hlun$nhg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:
> > >Hey, I'll go back! In 1958 I was a college freshman, and Peggy Sue
> > >Loudermilk (with a body to make a Baptist preacher sweat) was a regular
> > >visitor in the back seat of my 51 Pontiac hardtop.
>
> > That's unfortunate. According to a popular website for alumni,
> > story has it that the lady died in 1960 from complications of
> > an STD. Must be a different Peggy Sue...
>
> Tacky.

Typical.

```
From 6543465768214864187
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,aa446ac6c6d0a510
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 00:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 23 Jan 2001 08:45:35 GMT
References: <94hol9$q30$2@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Black helicopters (WAS:Re: No-code kills)
Message-ID: <20010123034535.00714.00003619@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13941

In article <94hol9$q30$2@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:

>
>OOOOOPPPPPS -- BREAKING NEWS FLASH!!!!
>
>Due to recent budget cuts at ARRL headquarters there are no longer
>any black helicopters or jack booted thugs on the ARRL payroll. Also,
>the mind control device was recently reposessed by the Newington bank.

Hansl:

Don't look now, but the ARRL just upped membership dues. The
black helicopters are back in the budget. Just wish they'd get a
few Black V-22 Ospreys!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 177209563785639435
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 01:53:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6D548F.F7835EFA@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6CEC1C.2D003BF6@dvol.com> <20010123005013.14870.00001095@nso-fr.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 44
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 04:53:19 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.42
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980243579 206.20.144.42 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 03:52:59 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 03:52:59 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13942

Len Over 21 wrote:

>
> >> understand if troubleshooting does not appear to be worth learning in
> >> today's radio environment.
> >
> >It's not and I find that frustrating.
>
> There's a truism there. Given the inherent reliability of today's
> semiconductor and passive components, failure rates are
> very low compared to vacuum tube days.

>
I agree with that but the other side of it is the explosion of the parts count, you know how that goes. I have a somewhat elderly Icom 2m FM mobile xcvr, the two incandescent dial lamps burned out. With the help of a thread I launched in .equipment which drew a half dozen posts I tracked down the lamps and was told I should expect to be a soddering arn micro-neurosurgeon for an hour if I try to replace the lamps myself. Two lousy little panel lamps. Screw it, I'm using a flashlight. Ask me if I'm ever gonna dive into my ten-gazzilion junction HF xcvrs.
>

> Troubleshooting _inside_ a box isn't needed by today's amateur
> radio operators. But, they should be able to troubleshoot
> things _outside_ the boxes. Hopefully, some will learn to
> measure the performance of their ready-builts to stay within
> regulations' technical requirements.

>
The only of those which really matter are very rarely encountered spurious outputs and garbaged signals, easy to check with the spectrum anlayzers we have today which didn't exist back then. But it's still back to the shop to get it fixed.
>

>
> didit

>
w3rv

From 7723658611478527823
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 01:54:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail

Message-ID: <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 61
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 04:54:14 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.42
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980243634 206.20.144.42 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 03:53:54 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 03:53:54 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13943

Old_Timer wrote:

> Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these times
> of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?
>
> I noted Dave Sumner's talk of the ARRL being involved in the kinds of
> things which dues and publication sales would not cover. My feeling is
> that there is little that the League should be doing which could not be
> covered by dues and publication sales.

>
"Feelings" don't count. Only the dollars and cents budgeting & accounting
spreadsheets count and those have not been posted here, we don't have a
clue.
>

> My own feeling is that $39 per year is high for value received since the
> League already charges now for services which were formerly provided at
> no cost to members. QST is almost void of good articles these days.
> There is a dearth of technical content.

>
QEX.
>
>Contest covereage
>
NCJ
>
>and DX news
>
DX news in any monthly magazine is useless, it's at least six weeks stale.
I get the ARRL DX bulletin every week. Beats the hell outta the Yellow
Sheets and it comes along free with the membership.
>

I'm sure the satellite guys, the NTS crowd and whoever are also grousing
about "inadequate" coverage of their personal hot buttons. It's unreasonable
to expect to pack "adequate" coverage of every niche specialty in as diverse
a hobby as ham radio into one magazine for forty bucks a month dues. QST
does a decent job of going down the middle, end of.
>

> are minimal. If I wasn't already a life member I'd have think hard to
> come up with enough reasons to justify renewal.

>
Fifteen years ago when I was into ultralight aviation I was paying $40/yr
for a 35-40 page newsprint rag published by an FAA Part 105 advocacy group
which never accomplished anything for all practical purposes. Back when a
maxed-out Honda Civic was $7,500. Eleven cents a day is cheap for what the
ARRL does for us.
>
Yeah, I should have bought a life membership when they were $150.
>

> Dave Heil K8MN

>
w3rv

From -4536161505061333672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 03:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:13:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94jp0c$hiq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com> <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6C78D4.D6882937@uci.edu> <94j741$k2a$1@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.60
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 11:13:20 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.60
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13944

```
In article <94j741$k2a$1@208.207.71.147>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Brian wrote:
> > > I don't think he realized the gravity of that oath.  And unless
> > > he's revoked it, it probably still stands.
> >
> > What ever are you talking about Brian?
>
> Is he babbling again so soon?
>
Apparently we have another one who babbled through his oath.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -6177826785779154567
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 03:30:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
63.208.208.143.MISMATCH!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:17:57 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94jp92$hs5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <3A6CCF57.D33EE7E4@uci.edu> <94j7ad$k2a$2@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.60
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 11:17:57 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.60
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13945

In article <94j7ad$k2a$2@208.207.71.147>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
I guess
> > your guys will be wearing the Red Man ball caps and the other guys
```

will
> > be wearing the Pro-Choice t-shirts, right? ;-)
>
> Larry can always drag out an old illfitting uniform...
>

A Red Man baseball cap hardly constitutes a uniform. However, we would
all love to see you in your old ill-fitting uniform at Dayton, Dick.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5205227622469863566
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 03:39:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 11:38:17 GMT
References: <94j8i7$ns1$0@208.207.71.147>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010123063817.12166.00000951@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13946

>From: Dick Carroll

>Hey-- I see that the BOD made Mark Wilson, the Editor of QST, the "Cheif
>Operating Officer", over most HQ operations. I thought that was the
>Executive Secretary's job.

Dave Sumner will continue to be Mark's boss.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8766702724040596442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 03:40:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-

mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 11:39:28 GMT
References: <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010123063928.12166.00000952@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13947

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@ovis.net

>For one thing, I can air my gripes here.

If you now live in WV, you should also air your gripes with Dennis Bodson, the Roanoke Division Director.

See http://www.arrl.org/divisions

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5902093676673621370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 03:43:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 11:42:57 GMT
References: <94itlv$3am$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010123064257.12166.00000953@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13948

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>Ed, serious for once, Most TV stations are required to air Public service
>announcements.

See http://www.arrl.org/pio for a general description of ARRL's media-public relations program. Info about the PSAs that ARRL provided to TV and radio stations is included.

> I would be glad to do a bit of research as to what is involved with the PSA's if any interest at Hdq.

If you have any suggestions about how the PSAs could be improved, send them to Jennifer Hagy at the address on the PIO web page.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2935797006239222672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 03:54:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 54
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 11:53:40 GMT
References: <20010122194830.02315.00003354@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010123065340.12166.00000954@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13949

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Just exactly how "slow" a "learner" should we hams tolerate?

I don't know. What time limit does Part 97 place on learning?

> When told, once, that use of terms like "handle" and "personal" are not generally accepted on the amateur radio airwaves, how many infractions must be permitted before the newcomer can be "set straight" in no uncertain terms (preferably off-the-air)?

What does Part 97 have to say about such "infractions?" What does the US constitution have to say about such infractions? I think that 99% of those who use the "wrong" lingo on the ham bands will come around on their own; I feel no need to "set them straight."

> To use a different example, how many "yield" signs must be posted at one intersection to actually induce the behavior of making the motorist yield to oncoming traffic?

Yield signs are a matter of law. What is the law about the use of the word "personal" instead of "name?"

> Obviously, a reasonably fast learner will
only need one; but you apparently
> seem to advocate an unlimited number of "yield" signs at each intersection,
right?

I advocate that the number of yield signs can be determined by law. You
advocate that the choice of words people use should be determined by your
personal tastes in what you want to hear. Which one of us is on higher moral
ground, Larry. Do you think I should be be able to "set straight" those who
excerise their right wrt other parts of our Constitution? Why do you think that
the right to bear arms is sacred yet the right of free speech is sacred, as
long as you don't hear a word you don't like in a particular context?

>To which I would reply, "Be my guest -- don't let the door hit you in
>the ass on the way out!" But getting back to this "caring, sharing
>community" crap, Ed, just what *was* Mother Teresa's call sign?

Hmmm, is that sorta' like "politically correct?" I guess I have a bit more
faith in hamdom that you, do, Larry. I hope you are not surprised that you
have only a handful of loyal followers...

>Neither did I, Ed, because I made relatively few "gaffs" (sic).

:-) LOL. I think I will end it on that note. It says more than I ever could.
:-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7113513304656266029
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 03:58:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 11:58:05 GMT
References: <3A6CCB29.CF906C2E@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010123065805.12166.00000955@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13950

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>I can't imagine why the ham demograpics would be so different.

I can't either, Brian; Manchester was a typical middle class town. But unless other students were hams and didn't tell anyone, I know of none other than Roy, WA1DOI.

>Maybe it had something to do with the heavy concentration of the
> electronics industry around here in that timeframe.

That might explain it; the Hartford area was primarily insurance. The main industry was aerospace, with the emphasis on aero. My dad worked at Pratt and Whitney, a major manufacturer of jet engines.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2365070818735758356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 04:03:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 12:03:06 GMT
References: <94i9b7$af1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010123070306.12166.00000956@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13951

>Percentages are for insurance companies. In an emergency you would
>HOPE to have cellular service.

Not in the boat that is sinking in the middle of the Atlantic.

> Anyone who has been on emergencies knows that half of the newfangled
> gadgets are worthless in an emergency.

I have not read much in the press about the failure of emergency devices in emergencies. Do we need a media coverup to make this all plausible?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>Like laptops and multi-mode controllers that take way
>too long to set up and require a pile of support cables and interfaces
>to get going, only to have something's batteries go dead.

What emergency system requires the use of a laptop and support cables?

>Also, many people seem to think that ham radio is financially
>prohibitive. You can be a real ham and make lots of contacts with a
>homebrew CW QRP rig

Really? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3781779670740128944
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 04:07:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 12:06:40 GMT
References: <20010122192733.00445.00000868@nso-bj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Message-ID: <20010123070640.12166.00000957@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13952

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>800 bucks doesn't sound "economical" to the average amateur or does it?

How much does the average ham station cost? That might provide a reasonable
scale by which to answer your question.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2630493369993302970

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 04:10:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 12:09:49 GMT
References: <94ht4h$uh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Message-ID: <20010123070949.12166.00000958@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13953

>From: horseshoestew@my-deja.com

>> EVERYONE has stated and reiterated that the Technician Class is about
>> the easiest license to get in Ham Radio history.

>The old Novice was the easiest.

As unexpected as it is, you are correct and "everyone" is wrong. That mid 60s Novice was as simple a test as I could imagine. (If anyone missed the post on the ARRL study guide for the Novice, email me at w1rfi@arrl.net; I will send you a copy). The study guide had 39 questions. There were no questions on antennas, feed lines propagation of any electronics past Ohm's law. I laugh my self nearly to death when I see people post they the Tech test is dumbed down from the good old days. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4227393255640049556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 04:14:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 12:14:03 GMT
References: <3A6D548F.F7835EFA@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class

```
Message-ID: <20010123071403.12166.00000959@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13954

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>The only of those which really matter are very rarely encountered spurious
>outputs and garbaged signals, easy to check with the spectrum anlayzers we
>have today which didn't exist back then.

Very few hams have a spectrum analyzer.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From 7163607055442164675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 04:15:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!skynet.be!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 12:15:14 GMT
References: <uu5q6t4n91rqc1faenqtkss894pss5c1mv@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010123071514.12166.00000960@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13955

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>>Although it is possible to do more troubleshooting on today's rigs
>> than most hams will admit to,

>Easy for an engineer to say, especially a professional ham engineer... :-)

Read the Handbook chapter on troubleshooting, post 1994.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From -2977950835756000184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 04:17:18 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 12:16:46 GMT
References: <p06q6tsbcko27utpser87em1i8dln0g29h@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010123071646.12166.00000961@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13956

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>>Maybe I can help you learn the concepts, Aaron.
>>Doing so is a lot easier than memorizing. :-)

>I don't think so Ed. That's why Bash was so popular (with
>me and lots of others)... :-)

To each his own, Aaron. Do you think the fellow who asked the question about
meters vs frequency found it easier to memorize all those frequency vs meters
or to use 300/F?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8742821098001417738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 04:21:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 12:20:33 GMT
References: <026q6t0fdhrfd2dfvngo6bt2u14cbka9mt@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010123072033.12166.00000962@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13957

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

> agree. But the percentage of non-technical hams as compared to the

>total ham population is vastly higher today than it was in the 50's
>and 60's, don't you agree?

I am not sure that I do, Aaron. The scope of the tests is a lot more than it was in the 50s and 60s. What impact does that have?

I think the real question to ask is what percentage of the US population were "technical hams" in 1960 as compared to the percentage of US population that are "technical hams" today?

>Do you think this downward curve of technical hams will ever turn
> upwards again?

It will if I can help it. :-) The ARRL Tech Info pages at http://www.arrl.org/tis are pretty popular.

>If not the curve will eventually approach zero...

Might even go negative? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5003803012405687933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 04:26:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 12:25:36 GMT
References: <20010123005014.14870.00001097@nso-fr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010123072536.12166.00000963@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13958

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>>And I will toss in all of the 2000 year issues of QST! I'll even sign the
>>March "QRP" issue for you. :-)

>Gosh, a surfeit of treasures! Thank you much, Ed, but I don't
>need DX contest result listings.

Do you want me to cut them out or can you just skip reading them. Typically about 6 pages or so per issue.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7818833347444053993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 05:33:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 86
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 13:32:24 GMT
References: <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010123083224.12159.00000895@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13959

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>"Feelings" don't count. Only the dollars and cents budgeting & accounting
>spreadsheets count and those have not been posted here, we don't have a
>clue.

I don't have the details on the budget proposal, other than the Lab budget. But I do know that the decision has been reached over the past year to add more staff. The ones that I feel are most valuable are being added to address what I have seen as problems that the ARRL needed to address.

One staffer added late last year is someone to work on developing "in-house" news. I have seen again and again where someone at ARRL HQ has done something valuable and important for amateur radio, and not told anyone other than his boss. That "someone" has all too often been me.

Just as an example from my own work, I think that ARRL has been negligent in not telling its members that the ARRL RFI Task Group met in Allentown, PA and made a recommendation that ARRL staff investigate illegal Part 15 devices that operate in our ham bands and to select some cases to take to the FCC. (One of several action items.) I actually wrote up part of that meeting, but got on to other things.

Those other things included the tests that I did with some representatives from HomePlug on the home-networking products they are developing to use residential electrical wiring in homes to network computers. Ditto on similar work with the Home Phone Networking Alliance and the VDSL standards committees. I have

this partially written up, too, but just can't find the time to put it all together.
That in-house reporter is starting to do just that, filling in the gaps in what I am able to and can find the time to do. IMHO, ARRL members have a right to know these things and I feel that ARRL has not communicated with its members as well as it should. That staffer is one way to address it. Right thing to do, or should I do a few less things and take more time to tell folks about them, or keep the lines of communcation closed? I think the League has been negligent in not reporting these things and I hope to see improvements.

The Education and Certification program was added last year, as well. With all of the real and perceived inadequacies of the testing process, it is important that hams have as many ways to learn as possible. ARRL already publishes a lot of good books, but those who are going to learn on their own from books are already doing so. A more formal approach was wanted by some, and some documentation of the demonstrated abilities of hams will be an added plus.

The ARRL Web page has been increased by quite a scope over the past years. Do hams use it? Should the League stop adding to the Tech info pages? I could let the Tech Info Coordinator go to help pay for the staff I am adding to help with the growing problem of RFI to hams. Who wants to make the choice?

These are just a few examples; I can't supply all the details for things outside the Lab, but wanted to offer a snapshot of some of the reasons that more funding is being sought. I do recall that for this year, the budget is not balanced. There is some investment in the future; the future of doing more things for the overall benefit of ham radio (RFI, education) and some investment in staff that will help develop other sources of revenue that will help the ARRL do more without having to tap into the membership with dues increases.

What I don't think is fair to ARRL members is that much of this increase is to fund things that are not individual membership benefits but are for the overall good of Amateur Radio. They are being done for all hams, but are being paid for by those who are willing to pony up dues dollars every year. I hope that the realization that supporting things like the RFI program and education and Jim Haynie's Big Project and other things IS important will bring in those who are now standing on the outside.

I pay over $100 a year to belong to the IEEE. I pay over $30 a year for some of my non-ham magazine subscriptions. I will gladly pay this dues increase as well, as long as the League comes through on its promises to do those things it is setting out to do. And tells me about them. Even working at HQ, I find out things long after the fact sometimes. :-)

Actually, I just realized, I have put off the devil a bit on the dues increase. I just renewed my membership for 3 years, at the current rate (plus a multi-year discount). The dues increase is going to go in effect in July, so if you renew before then, you can get in at today's rate. Maybe by the time you have to pay the higher rate, you will decide it is worth it. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1997024717896636142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 06:10:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 09:10:08 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 40
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13960


"Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
news:3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net...
> WHEREAS, since the 1950s there has been a great influx of other
technologies
> that have gradually reduced the need for trained Morse operators outside
the
> Amateur services; and
>
> WHEREAS, the aeronautical-mobile and maritime-mobile applications of Morse
> have been or are being terminated throughout the world; and
>
> WHEREAS, there is an agenda item for the 2003 World Radiocommunication
> Conference to consider Article S25; and
>
> WHEREAS, it is highly likely that administrations will delete the Morse
code
> requirement in Article S25 at WRC2003; and
>

> FURTHER RESOLVED, that deletion of the requirement from Article S25 should
> not automatically or immediately mean a similar removal of the Morse code
```

> from Part 97 of the FCC rules; and be it
>
> FURTHER RESOLVED, that each administration should determine if Morse code
is
> retained as a testing element; and be it
>
> FURTHER RESOLVED, it is the opinion of this Board at this time that Morse
> code should be retained as a testing element in the U.S.; and be it

Looks like the ARRL has its collective head still firmly planted in the
past. Time to write my division director again.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From 8108627898632895675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 06:11:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!cyclone.swbell.net!typhoon.snet.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Organization: American Radio Relay League
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 09:11:20 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.252.6.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@snet.net
X-Trace: typhoon.snet.net 980259084 64.252.6.66 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 09:11:24 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 09:11:24 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13961

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net> wrote:
> >

> > >Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these times
> > >of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?
> >
> > Percentage wise it does seem high. But to gripe about $5 extra a year
> > is silly. That's less than 2 cents a day...
> >
> > >My own feeling is that $39 per year is high for value received
> >
> > Too bad you can't quit...   :-)
>
> Percentage-wise, it IS high. If you look at what inflation has been
> since 1997 when the League last upped the cost of yearly dues, it is
> outrageous.

Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.

> I think most folks would gripe about five bucks out of
> their pockets when they get nothing additional for the fiver.

For the past few years ARRL has been increasing some direct services to members and dramatically increasing expenditures in advocacy areas, as threats to amateur spectrum rise. During that time there has been no dues increase. Now one is needed in order to maintain and increase those activities. In other words, the dues increase is in part to help offset the costs of benefits -- direct and indirect -- that have already been added, and in part to help fund future increased activity. (Such as a new Lab employee to help Ed Hare with EMI/RFI matters.)

The statement that "they get nothing additional" simply overlooks the additions that have already been made and those that are planned.

Jon

From -6261024382030801361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 06:50:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 14:39:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <94k52j$r9g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 14:39:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)

X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13962

Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net> wrote:

> Since he was a part of the inner circle for a while, perhaps Hans can
> share his views on the announced increase in ARRL dues.

Thanks for the 5H3US QSL that recently arrived.

My views on the increase? Cheap at twice the price.

I am constantly amazed at the amount of work that my membership dues accomplish for amateur radio. Many of the programs of ARRL benefit all amateurs, not just members, so I accept the fact that my dues help fund things beyond the benefits which accrue to me individually. Sort of like if AAA Members (rather than all vehicle owners) funded highway maintenance in my state.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4778126763099409174
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 06:50:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: sjwoodr@my-deja.com
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 14:44:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <94k5bh$rfe$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<PA896.7050$Ep3.442734@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944avp$q7a$1@flood.xnet.com>
<94599b$qv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <947tth$elb$1@flood.xnet.com>
<9488an$crm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 129.188.33.222
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 14:44:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.75 [en] (X11; U; SunOS 5.5.1 sun4u)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 netcache2 (NetCache NetApp/5.0D5), 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/
1.1.22) for client 172.30.52.205, 129.188.33.222
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDsjwoodr
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16237
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8533 rec.radio.amateur.misc:11314
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13963
```

In article <9488an$crm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Hmmm. I know a lot of those guys. I ran exams just down the river from
> them in Aurora. In fact, the guy that started the testing group up
there
> years ago and I were both with DeVry VEC originally. I can't remember
> callsigns, since I've moved, but there must have been some turnover
that
> leaves some uninformed VEs, because the guy I know wouldn't do that or
> train his people to do that. Sorry.
> <snip>

I sent an email to the VE and he offered to let me take
the extra class exam in February for free. That's fair
enough for me; it gives me more time to study!

--steve

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1693649326618524067

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,aa446ac6c6d0a510
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 07:00:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Black helicopters (WAS:Re: No-code kills)
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 14:52:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <94k5qj$rvl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94hol9$q30$2@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010123034535.00714.00003619@nso-
md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 14:52:08 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13964

In article <20010123034535.00714.00003619@nso-md.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <94hol9$q30$2@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:
>
> >
> >OOOOOPPPPPS -- BREAKING NEWS FLASH!!!!
> >
> >Due to recent budget cuts at ARRL headquarters there are no longer
> >any black helicopters or jack booted thugs on the ARRL payroll.
> >Also, the mind control device was recently reposessed by the
> >Newington bank.
>
> Hansl:
>
> Don't look now, but the ARRL just upped membership dues.  The
> black helicopters are back in the budget.

Hey, great!  And do they have any openings for jack-booted thugs?
You and I could apply.  I'm sure Cecil and Brian would give us
glowing recommendations.

73, Hansl
15th Degree JBT
--
Blessed are the meek, for they make great scapegoats.
```

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 2536268622390901226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 07:24:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@ARRL.ORG
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 16:48:48 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <94kclg$ha1$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <94ht4h$uh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010123070949.12166.00000958@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 980268528 17729 205.139.138.14 (23 Jan 2001 16:48:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Jan 2001 16:48:48 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: w1rfi@ARRL.ORG
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13965
```

In article <20010123070949.12166.00000958@ng-cd1.aol.com>, W1RFI
<w1rfi@aol.com> writes:

> The study guide had 39 questions. There were no questions on
> antennas, feed lines propagation of any electronics past Ohm's
> law.

Man, you 60's guys had it TOUGH! My 1955 edition (yes, I still have it) has only 33 study questions, but it does have electronics questions about chokes and various LC filters.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"God is a comic playing to an audience afraid to laugh."

```
 -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net
```

```
From 6886589812748457269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:05:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:04:17 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980265941 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:05:41 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:05:41 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13966
```

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

> > FURTHER RESOLVED, it is the opinion of this Board at this time that Morse
> > code should be retained as a testing element in the U.S.; and be it

> Looks like the ARRL has its collective head still firmly planted in the
> past. Time to write my division director again.

Isn't the statement really saying that the ARRL is not seeking to change the US regulations at this time? It will be at least 4 years away before the FCC would even consider a change, looking at the timing of the WRC and estimating the amount of time it will take to ratify the treaty. I think that it is premature to set a policy now on a matter that cannot be changed now and that can be decided better when something specific can be put on the plate. A lot can change in the membership, in Amateur Radio and in the makeup of the Board in the intervening 4 years. It is, IMHO, up to a future Board to change or affirm its policy wrt US regulations when it appears that it is time to act. The present policy simply does not change the status quo wrt the ARRL policy on US requirements. Considering that no change in policy can effect any change in US regulations, I think the Board made a reasonable choice.

The Board dealt with the international treaty now because now is when the decisions need to be made.

IMHO, of course.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8547775364153967868
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:07:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6DAC17.A022F748@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com> <20010123083224.12159.00000895@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 157

Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:06:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.76
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980265993 206.20.144.76 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 10:06:33 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 10:06:33 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13967

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
> >"Feelings" don't count. Only the dollars and cents budgeting & accounting
> >spreadsheets count and those have not been posted here, we don't have a
> >clue.
>
> I don't have the details on the budget proposal, other than the Lab budget.
> But I do know that the decision has been reached over the past year to add more
> staff. The ones that I feel are most valuable are being added to address what
> I have seen as problems that the ARRL needed to address.
>
> One staffer added late last year is someone to work on developing "in-house"
> news. I have seen again and again where someone at ARRL HQ has done something
> valuable and important for amateur radio, and not told anyone other than his
> boss. That "someone" has all too often been me.

>
Hmmm . . mixed reaction here to that one. I've been involved with much bigger and
scattered industrial operations which have in-house infranet webs which do a
pretty
good job of keeping everybody up to speed, departments & individuals have web
pages, etc. Of course you wind up with at least one IS person and they don't come
for free.
>

> Just as an example from my own work, I think that ARRL has been negligent in
> not telling its members that the ARRL RFI Task Group met in Allentown, PA and
> made a recommendation that ARRL staff investigate illegal Part 15 devices that
> operate in our ham bands and to select some cases to take to the FCC. (One of
> several action items.) I actually wrote up part of that meeting, but got on to
> other things.
>
> Those other things included the tests that I did with some representatives from
> HomePlug on the home-networking products they are developing to use residential
> electrical wiring in homes to network computers. Ditto on similar work with
> the Home Phone Networking Alliance and the VDSL standards committees. I have
> this partially written up, too, but just can't find the time to put it all
> together.

>
Simple overload situation which managers get paid to resolve, buck it upstairs for
the money decisions. Which is what the BOD just rendered.
>

>
> That in-house reporter is starting to do just that, filling in the gaps in what
> I am able to and can find the time to do. IMHO, ARRL members have a right to
> know these things and I feel that ARRL has not communicated with its members as
> well as it should. That staffer is one way to address it. Right thing to do,
> or should I do a few less things and take more time to tell folks about them,
> or keep the lines of communcation closed?

>
Tough call because you can't hang a dollars & cents value on it like you can in a
commercial operation. All ya can do it try it.

> I think the League has been negligent
> in not reporting these things and I hope to see improvements.

>
That should be SOP for a non-profit, not a good idea to keep the dues-payers in the
dark about the dollars. But then that opens up the prospect for members nit-picking
expenses.
>

>
> The Education and Certification program was added last year, as well. With all
> of the real and perceived inadequacies of the testing process, it is important
> that hams have as many ways to learn as possible. ARRL already publishes a lot
> of good books, but those who are going to learn on their own from books are
> already doing so. A more formal approach was wanted by some, and some
> documentation of the demonstrated abilities of hams will be an added plus.

>
Lost me on that one.
>

> The ARRL Web page has been increased by quite a scope over the past years. Do
> hams use it? Should the League stop adding to the Tech info pages? I could let
> the Tech Info Coordinator go to help pay for the staff I am adding to help with
> the growing problem of RFI to hams. Who wants to make the choice?

>
Yeah, hams use it, I log on several times a month.
>

> These are just a few examples; I can't supply all the details for things
> outside the Lab, but wanted to offer a snapshot of some of the reasons that
> more funding is being sought. I do recall that for this year, the budget is not
> balanced. There is some investment in the future; the future of doing more
> things for the overall benefit of ham radio (RFI, education) and some
> investment in staff that will help develop other sources of revenue that will
> help the ARRL do more without having to tap into the membership with dues
> increases.

>
Works for me.
>

> What I don't think is fair to ARRL members is that much of this increase is to
> fund things that are not individual membership benefits but are for the overall
> good of Amateur Radio.

>
If nobody is tending the overall flock eventually there won't be a flock.
>

> They are being done for all hams, but are being paid
> for by those who are willing to pony up dues dollars every year. I hope that
> the realization that supporting things like the RFI program and education and
> Jim Haynie's Big Project and other things IS important will bring in those who
> are now standing on the outside.

>
Unfortunately 20% of the flock paying the whole freight bill is very typical of
regulated hobby advocacy groups. Private avaition has the same problem and there
isn't anything anybody can do about it. Freeloaders is freeloaders.
>

>
> I pay over $100 a year to belong to the IEEE.

>
And they still nail you to the wall for standards and other publications. The ASME
Boiler Code was $3k last time I looked. For an unbound stack of paper.
>

> I pay over $30 a year for some
> of my non-ham magazine subscriptions. I will gladly pay this dues increase as
> well, as long as the League comes through on its promises to do those things it
> is setting out to do. And tells me about them. Even working at HQ, I find out
> things long after the fact sometimes. :-)

>
Spend more time hanging on the water cooler. Heh.
>

> Actually, I just realized, I have put off the devil a bit on the dues increase.
> I just renewed my membership for 3 years, at the current rate (plus a
> multi-year discount). The dues increase is going to go in effect in July, so
> if you renew before then, you can get in at today's rate. Maybe by the time
> you have to pay the higher rate, you will decide it is worth it. :-)

>
It's worth it no matter what -within limits - I do the three year option myself
and
I'm gonna tack on another three shortly.

>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From -4528564597949560045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:08:37 PST
Message-ID: <3A6DAC4C.2A5A3580@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 16:07:40 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s6.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Jan 2001 11:03:32 -0500, s6.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 85
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s6.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13968

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Old_Timer wrote:
>
> > Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these times
> > of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?
> >
> > I noted Dave Sumner's talk of the ARRL being involved in the kinds of
> > things which dues and publication sales would not cover. My feeling is
> > that there is little that the League should be doing which could not be
> > covered by dues and publication sales.
>
> >
> "Feelings" don't count. Only the dollars and cents budgeting & accounting
> spreadsheets count and those have not been posted here, we don't have a
> clue.

Feelings=perceptions and in this case perceptions of the ARRL most
certainly count. If the League desperately needs the money to do

something which appeals to the membership, a better selling job needs to
be done.
>
> > My own feeling is that $39 per year is high for value received since the
> > League already charges now for services which were formerly provided at
> > no cost to members. QST is almost void of good articles these days.
> > There is a dearth of technical content.
>
> >
> QEX.

...consists mostly of material formerly available in QST at no
additional charge.

> >Contest covereage
> >
> NCJ

...was formerly produced outside of the League. Now the League has put
much of the contest coverage formerly in QST into this rag.
> >
> >and DX news
> >
> DX news in any monthly magazine is useless, it's at least six weeks stale.

On air reports might be stale. Photos and personalities don't get
stale. News of upcoming operations would be interesting. So would
writeups of operations just completed (along with even more photos).

> I get the ARRL DX bulletin every week. Beats the hell outta the Yellow
> Sheets and it comes along free with the membership.

I'm really not discussing band activity reports. I get the e-mail
bulletin too and find it sometimes useful.

> >
> I'm sure the satellite guys, the NTS crowd and whoever are also grousing
> about "inadequate" coverage of their personal hot buttons. It's unreasonable
> to expect to pack "adequate" coverage of every niche specialty in as diverse
> a hobby as ham radio into one magazine for forty bucks a month dues. QST
> does a decent job of going down the middle, end of.

The magazine has been stripped of real content, not just in my areas of
interest (which are many). I suppose I can always read how to wire a
DPST toggle switch in "Ask The Doctor".
> >
>
> > are minimal. If I wasn't already a life member I'd have think hard to
> > come up with enough reasons to justify renewal.
>
> >
> Fifteen years ago when I was into ultralight aviation I was paying $40/yr
> for a 35-40 page newsprint rag published by an FAA Part 105 advocacy group

> which never accomplished anything for all practical purposes. Back when a
> maxed-out Honda Civic was $7,500. Eleven cents a day is cheap for what the
> ARRL does for us.

I'm happy that you're happy.

> Yeah, I should have bought a life membership when they were $150.

I got mine when it was at (I think) $275 (1977?). Wisely invested, that
money along with the money received from others who invested long term
in the ARRL should have produced a good return.

Dave K8MN

From -8905810507120637674
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:09:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6DAC94.832913EA@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6CCB29.CF906C2E@dvol.com> <20010123065805.12166.00000955@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 36
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:08:52 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.76
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980266117 206.20.144.76 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 10:08:37 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 10:08:37 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13969

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >Maybe it had something to do with the heavy concentration of the
> > electronics industry around here in that timeframe.
>
> That might explain it; the Hartford area was primarily insurance.

>
Never much thought about it before but that could very well have had a lot to do
with it. The father of one of the two kids I was closest to was an EE manger with

Philco and had been ham when he was a kid, the other's father was a Navy lab type
who had a ham ticket. Dunno abt the rest. The neighborhood ham club membership was
at least 3/4 Navy lab employees.
>
>The main

> industry was aerospace, with the emphasis on aero. My dad worked at Pratt and
> Whitney, a major manufacturer of jet engines.

>
I know that place well, been to the East Hartford plant several times and to the
Palm Beach County facility twice. The Florida operation is mind-boggling, 50,000
acres or some such, world's largest private wildlife preserve complete with it's
own 9,000 foot runway. Aerospace alligtor farm. They do the military engines
including the black boxes, "by invitation only" . .

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From 1674458905826654220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:10:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 16:03:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94k9vf$1c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <itoo6t8iuua5ons5gf65vba0efa58omqbs@4ax.com>
<20010123005010.14870.00001094@nso-fr.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.198.44
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 16:03:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.198.44
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13970

In article <20010123005010.14870.00001094@nso-fr.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Just an observation from someone who believes in and
> once took a serious oath to defend the United States
> Constitution with his life if needs be.

But it reads more like diatribe from someone who can't get his way, therefore will find ANY excuse to denigriate and antagonize those whom he hold's responsible for HIS angst.

You're just a creep with an attitude, Anderson. Every once in a while you start to act human, but then you slide right back into the "all Hams are brownshirts" routine.

You change the tune from time to time, but the lyrics are the same.

--
"If You're Not A Ham....You're SPAM!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4090698469537792799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:14:52 PST
Message-ID: <3A6DACC2.D7A5D203@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 16:09:38 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net> <20010123063928.12166.00000952@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s6.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Jan 2001 11:05:29 -0500, s6.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 20
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s6.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13971

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@ovis.net
>
> >For one thing, I can air my gripes here.
>

> If you now live in WV, you should also air your gripes with Dennis Bodson, the
> Roanoke Division Director.
>
> See http://www.arrl.org/divisions
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed,

Be assured that I shall. I hope that he will be more receptive to
views of membership than former Director Kanode.

Dave K8MN

From -8930675025878230015
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:16:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 23 Jan 2001 16:16:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <94kapr$mhj$0@208.207.71.163>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com> <94hr03$sb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <vp5p6ts8d6d8qpfirt5e9qaogo10kibc23@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13972

Aaron Jones wrote:
> I have
> really done little but to operate in the last 30 years or so. I left
> the electronics industry in 1968 and have not kept up. The electronics
> I learned as a ham in the 50's, and in school in the 60's, and which
> employed me at Motorola for several years, still serves me well for
> hooking up a ham station in 2001. If my rig broke in the 50's or 60's
> I could fix it no matter what. Now if it's not a simple problem, it's
> back to the factory. But then how is that much different from most
> hams these days...

Exactly. Any "ordinary" ham who attempts to dig into his TS2000 which has anything more than a operational glitch that might be corrected by resetting the microprocessor, or some other minor correction, is asking for more trouble than he really wants. And the problem here is not just that hams don't commonly possess technical knowledge and skill, many do. Even electrical engineeers know that there is much more to it than technical knowledge. Skilled technicians are sent to intensive school training on specific pieces of radio equipment for thorough familarization with those specific units. Been there, done that. You need specific knowledge, specific skills and specific test equipment with the attendant experience on how to apply them all.

I would very carefully limit my incursions into my Icom 756 should it fail. I haven't been through a specific course in that transciever. That doesn't mean I wouldn't do some serious investigating to see whether or not I might be able to learn enough to fix it myself. I bought the service manual for that purpose, as I always do. I even disposed of a perfectly good IC761 because I couldn't get a service manual for it, Icom America told me it wasn't available. But there is definitely a point beyond which I would not go, and away to the factory service center it goes.

```
From 4007284969771385626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.family
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 16:09:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <94kaba$f7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94f440$mh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94f5g4$nha$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94ht4h$uh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.198.44
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 16:09:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.198.44
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13973 alt.radio.family:3555
```

In article <94ht4h$uh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote:

>>Stewart, there was not ONE post of support for your Colt class

> Not true.

What SERIOUS post supported this concept, Stewart?

>>EVERYONE has stated and reiterated that the Technician Class is about
>>the easiest license to get in Ham Radio history.

> The old Novice was the easiest.

Your OPINION, one not supported by archived facts.

72

Steve, K4YZ

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3233784457371684887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:21:22 PST
Message-ID: <3A6DAF3D.DFB259F9@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 16:20:13 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s6.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Jan 2001 11:16:04 -0500, s6.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 56
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s6.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13974

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Aaron Jones wrote:

> > >
> > > Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these times
> > > >of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?
> > >
> > > Percentage wise it does seem high. But to gripe about $5 extra a year
> > > is silly. That's less than 2 cents a day...
> > >
> > > >My own feeling is that $39 per year is high for value received
> > >
> > > Too bad you can't quit...   :-)
> >
> > Percentage-wise, it IS high. If you look at what inflation has been
> > since 1997 when the League last upped the cost of yearly dues, it is
> > outrageous.
>
> Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.

I hope some of that money finds its way into funding for remedial math
skills for you, Jon. $3.40 would be a hike of 10%. 3% would be $1.02.
Now how much would a five dollar hike be?

> > I think most folks would gripe about five bucks out of
> > their pockets when they get nothing additional for the fiver.
>
> For the past few years ARRL has been increasing some direct services to
> members and dramatically increasing expenditures in advocacy areas, as
> threats to amateur spectrum rise. During that time there has been no
> dues increase. Now one is needed in order to maintain and increase those
> activities. In other words, the dues increase is in part to help offset
> the costs of benefits -- direct and indirect -- that have already been
> added, and in part to help fund future increased activity. (Such as a
> new Lab employee to help Ed Hare with EMI/RFI matters.)
>
> The statement that "they get nothing additional" simply overlooks the
> additions that have already been made and those that are planned.

During the past few years the League has also begun charging for
services formerly included at no extra cost to membership. The price
for other services has risen dramatically.

If I have a business and find myself with fewer customers (members) and
less business I don't suddenly begin an expansion program; I find ways
to cut costs--maybe even terminate some employees. It is not likely
that I suddenly increase the price of my products by 17 or 18 percent.
The business which took such a tack would likely find itself with even
fewer customers (members).

Dave K8MN

From -8264153695446004362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:28:28 PST
Message-ID: <3A6DB0A6.64FC3B5B@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 16:26:14 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <94k52j$r9g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s6.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Jan 2001 11:22:06 -0500, s6.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 32
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s6.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13975

K0HB wrote:
>
> Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net> wrote:
>
> > Since he was a part of the inner circle for a while, perhaps Hans can
> > share his views on the announced increase in ARRL dues.
>
> Thanks for the 5H3US QSL that recently arrived.

Glad you received it. I've been back for six months and WA8JOC is STILL
cranking out the cards. He just received direct requests for QSLs from
my J52US and 9L1US days going back to the late eighties/early nineties.
I'm still at work on the antenna farm here and am hoping that everything
will be complete some time in the spring.

> My views on the increase? Cheap at twice the price.
>
> I am constantly amazed at the amount of work that my membership dues
> accomplish for amateur radio. Many of the programs of ARRL benefit all
> amateurs, not just members, so I accept the fact that my dues help fund
> things beyond the benefits which accrue to me individually.

I think the League should do a better job of informing the membership as
to what it is doing and what the costs are.

> Sort of
> like if AAA Members (rather than all vehicle owners) funded highway
> maintenance in my state.

I'd love to see your roads. Here, the state collects the taxes and
pretty much ignores the road maintenance.

```
From -7836370749966791324
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:28:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: 23 Jan 2001 16:28:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <94kbfe$mhj$1@208.207.71.163>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com> <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177>
<3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org> <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169>
<94eusj$ih6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94fnu4$sqi$1@208.207.71.164>
<94ifpf$gmc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13976
```

Brian wrote:
>

Hopefully I won't
> suffer the kind of brain damage you did as a beginner.

  I should've remembered that all that bashing your head against walls
and knashing your teeth over a simple code test did it to you long, long
ago.

```
From 8673707804809961509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:40:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
```

newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 16:32:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <94kbmg$1ok$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94g7ns$j7f$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94hr7q$sn9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.198.44
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 16:32:16 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.198.44
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3556 rec.radio.amateur.policy:13977

In article <94hr7q$sn9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com> wrote:

> They are
> not a hobby service in the sense that amateur radio is, but rather a
> consumer oriented radio service where you purchase and make no
> modifications to and transmit as intended with "off-the-shelf"
> equipment.

Randy, did you post this just to read your own stuff, or do you really believe it?

I do agree in part that CB and FRS are consumer oriented services, however the NG "alt.radio.family" and the stroies realted by "QRM" and yourself only validate my assertion that these services are just as much a "hobby" and Amateur Radio.

Of the shelf or not, you CANNOT convince me that the bandedge-to-bandedge noise on 11 meters is just people using a radio as a tool for thier business. Just taint so.

And I DO monitor FRS, especially in the ATL area, and I can tell you that there are a LOT of people using FRS for the sake of fun with a radio...NOT just to facilitate some other activity.

73

Steve, K4YZ

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4267042676551829262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:47:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6DB59F.8F8FE110@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net> <3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <3A6DAF3D.DFB259F9@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 56
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:47:27 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980268458 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:47:38 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:47:38 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13978

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > Percentage-wise, it IS high. If you look at what inflation has been
> > > since 1997 when the League last upped the cost of yearly dues, it is
> > > outrageous.
> >
> > Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.
>
> I hope some of that money finds its way into funding for remedial math
> skills for you, Jon. $3.40 would be a hike of 10%. 3% would be $1.02.
> Now how much would a five dollar hike be?

Per-annum, Dave. You know, the same basis on which inflation is usually stated.

What has been the *total* (not annual) inflation rate since 1997?

Not that adjusting for inflation is the sole factor involved. As one example, QST postage has risen twice since 1997, for a total of something like a 20% increase. (Don't quote me on that number since I'm working from memory, but it's in that ballpark.) That isn't reflected in the CPI.

> > The statement that "they get nothing additional" simply overlooks the
> > additions that have already been made and those that are planned.
>
> During the past few years the League has also begun charging for
> services formerly included at no extra cost to membership. The price
> for other services has risen dramatically.

Direct fees for some services have been added or increased, but that's not true of others, particularly those that aren't delivered on an individual basis. The net result has been an increase in costs that aren't recovered by fees.

> If I have a business and find myself with fewer customers (members) and
> less business I don't suddenly begin an expansion program; I find ways
> to cut costs--maybe even terminate some employees.

Thereby reducing service to your customers, driving customers away and possibly entering the classic death spiral of an ever-diminishing customer base.

> It is not likely
> that I suddenly increase the price of my products by 17 or 18 percent.
> The business which took such a tack would likely find itself with even
> fewer customers (members).

I'm sure some members will find $39 too high. I'm sure others will evaluate what ARRL is doing for them and decide it's worth $39. There's no point in arguing the ratio here since we'll know soon enough.

Jon

```
From -1054729820019918799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:50:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 16:42:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <94kc8v$2bh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9264hr$mt3$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20001225080400.06154.00002210@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <927k6q$kus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94g9q6$kvf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94gvd8$56n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94hkq7$mbo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.198.44
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 16:42:08 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
```

X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.198.44
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13979

In article <94hkq7$mbo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> Deja-news has an excellent memory. Please use it to substantiate your
> allegations that there are liars on r.r.a.p.

> Good luck on this one now!

Well well...a challenge. Hmmmmmm.....This will take some pondering. One is, what good will it do....??

Of course, I am not the only one who challenges Lennies "facts", and I know that it requires no further re-posts of that already stated. But if you insist.....

However, this one will cost you dinner for two at a restaurant of MY choice, and I DON'T eat cheap. And trust me, I don't make that kind of offer unless I am sure it's YOUR plastic that's going to be crunching the invoice.

Game time?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6718352216403404137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:57:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 09:59:27 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 47
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13980

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> > > FURTHER RESOLVED, it is the opinion of this Board at this time that
> Morse
> > > code should be retained as a testing element in the U.S.; and be it
>
> > Looks like the ARRL has its collective head still firmly planted in the
> > past. Time to write my division director again.
>
> Isn't the statement really saying that the ARRL is not seeking to change the
> US regulations at this time? It will be at least 4 years away before the FCC
> would even consider a change, looking at the timing of the WRC and
> estimating the amount of time it will take to ratify the treaty. I think
> that it is premature to set a policy now on a matter that cannot be changed
> now and that can be decided better when something specific can be put on the
> plate. A lot can change in the membership, in Amateur Radio and in the
> makeup of the Board in the intervening 4 years. It is, IMHO, up to a
> future Board to change or affirm its policy wrt US regulations when it
> appears that it is time to act. The present policy simply does not change
> the status quo wrt the ARRL policy on US requirements. Considering that no
> change in policy can effect any change in US regulations, I think the Board
> made a reasonable choice.
>
> The Board dealt with the international treaty now because now is when the
> decisions need to be made.
>
> IMHO, of course.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

While I am disappointed that the Board so vehemently defended Morse testing in the US in their resolution, I understand their reluctance to deal with what is basically a non-issue at this time. First things first, we will hold the League's feet to the fire to -enthusiastically- support and foster support in Region 2, for elimination of S25.5 at WRC2003. Then, in 2004, we will have another go-round on the US testing issue. By then, the opposition of many of the Board hard-liner PCTA's may be a dead issue, literally.

Rick Tannehill - W7RT
ARRL VE, VCE, OO
NCI #1394

From 1784309347216039828
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 08:58:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6DB807.9BB7161C@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com> <3A6DAC4C.2A5A3580@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 87
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:57:43 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.79
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980269045 206.20.144.79 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 10:57:25 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 10:57:25 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13981

Dave Heil wrote:

> > "Feelings" don't count. Only the dollars and cents budgeting & accounting
> > spreadsheets count and those have not been posted here, we don't have a
> > clue.
>
> Feelings=perceptions and in this case perceptions of the ARRL most
> certainly count. If the League desperately needs the money to do
> something which appeals to the membership, a better selling job needs to
> be done.

>
I agree with that. Too many closets in Newington for my taste.
>

> > >
> > QEX.
>
> ...consists mostly of material formerly available in QST at no
> additional charge.

>
There is also a bunch of fresh material which is usually too esoteric to publish in QST.
>

>
> > >Contest covereage
> > >
> > NCJ
>
> ...was formerly produced outside of the League. Now the League has put
> much of the contest coverage formerly in QST into this rag.

>
Ya can't cover the 'tests as well in QST, the thing would become huge, ditto all
the far-end technical material from QEX. Can't combine three magazines into one
for the same money as one of them.
>

>
> > DX news in any monthly magazine is useless, it's at least six weeks stale.
>
> On air reports might be stale. Photos and personalities don't get
> stale. News of upcoming operations would be interesting. So would
> writeups of operations just completed (along with even more photos).

>
. . maybe another damned magazine? The Web is probably a better venue for DX news
of the sort you're looking for.
>

>
> > I get the ARRL DX bulletin every week. Beats the hell outta the Yellow
> > Sheets and it comes along free with the membership.
>
> I'm really not discussing band activity reports. I get the e-mail
> bulletin too and find it sometimes useful.

>
QST didn't do a bad job on the Bhutan operation. All they can do in any topic area
is hit the high spots.
>

>
> The magazine has been stripped of real content, not just in my areas of
> interest (which are many). I suppose I can always read how to wire a
> DPST toggle switch in "Ask The Doctor".

>
Now, now! There's a problem: Over the years big gulfs have been created which
didn't exist in earlier days. One one hand we have old fart 20wpm Extras like
thee and me who have done it all on one hand and new-wave noclues some of which
really don't know how to wire a DPST switch on the other hand with QST caught in
the middle. It's just a no-win situation.
>
Hams were bitching about the ARRL , the dues and QST content a half century ago.
The good news is that we're consistent and predictable.

```
>
> Dave K8MN

>
w3rv




From -1094024528852536992
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:02:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: 23 Jan 2001 17:02:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13982



Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Aaron Jones wrote:
> > >
> > > Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these times
> > > >of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?
> > >
> > > Percentage wise it does seem high. But to gripe about $5 extra a year
> > > is silly. That's less than 2 cents a day...
> > >
> > > >My own feeling is that $39 per year is high for value received
> > >
> > > Too bad you can't quit...   :-)
```

> >
> > Percentage-wise, it IS high. If you look at what inflation has been
> > since 1997 when the League last upped the cost of yearly dues, it is
> > outrageous.
>
> Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.

Huh??? $5 as a percentage of $34 comes out to be 14.7% on my Casio.

Dick

From -2849038390687312929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:03:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6DB956.7EC608D4@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6D548F.F7835EFA@dvol.com> <20010123071403.12166.00000959@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 12:03:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.79
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980269373 206.20.144.79 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:02:53 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:02:53 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13983

W1RFI wrote:

>
> Very few hams have a spectrum analyzer.

>

If you're in a populated area they can usually be "accessed".

From -5847354056016027913
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:06:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 12:06:09 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980269577 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 12:06:17 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 12:06:17 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13984

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> >
> > Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.
>
>   Huh??? $5 as a percentage of $34 comes out to be 14.7% on my Casio.

14.7% PER ANNUM (over 4 years), Dick? Your calculator's broken. Or maybe
it just needs a new battery.

Jon


From -7107857681424782457
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:09:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 18:43:56 GMT
```

Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <94kjdc$q8l$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <3A6DAF3D.DFB259F9@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 980275436 26901 205.139.138.14 (23 Jan 2001 18:43:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Jan 2001 18:43:56 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: k8mn@ovis.net
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13985

In article <3A6DAF3D.DFB259F9@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>I hope some of that money finds its way into funding for remedial math
>skills for you, Jon. $3.40 would be a hike of 10%. 3% would be $1.02.
>Now how much would a five dollar hike be?

Looks like about 3.5% per year to me, except we paid no increase in
the intervening years, so we saved $7.31 over a yearly 3.5% increase,
plus we can still save even more if we renew (for 1, 2, or 3 years)
prior to July.

1997 - 34.00 Baseline
1998 - 35.19
1999 - 36.42
2000 - 37.70
2001 - 39.01

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -5089368368927365134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:13:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: 23 Jan 2001 17:13:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <94ke4a$15b$0@208.207.71.163>
References: <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com> <20010123083224.12159.00000895@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13986

W1RFI wrote:
>
> The ARRL Web page has been increased by quite a scope over the past years. Do
> hams use it? Should the League stop adding to the Tech info pages? I could let
> the Tech Info Coordinator go to help pay for the staff I am adding to help with
> the growing problem of RFI to hams. Who wants to make the choice?

I will say this - the existance of the ARRL web page will play a large
part
in my decision on whether or not I want to remain a member. That is
probably the most useful tangible thing that ARRL has done, outside of
your ongoing efforts in RFI/EMI, in recent years. I do get a lot of use
out of it.
Its expansion should definitely be encouraged.

Dick

From 3294289751025838986
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:15:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 12:15:42 -0500

```
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t6rf26jedi6m5f@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 23
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13987


"R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net> wrote in message
news:3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net...
> While I am disappointed that the Board so vehemently defended Morse
> testing in the US in their resolution, I understand their reluctance to
> deal with what is basically a non-issue at this time.  First things
> first, we will hold the League's feet to the fire to -enthusiastically-
> support and foster support in Region 2, for elimination of S25.5 at
> WRC2003.  Then, in 2004, we will have another go-round on the US testing
> issue.  By then, the opposition of many of the Board hard-liner PCTA's
> may be a dead issue, literally.

I hope so. I just wrote a short E-mail to George Race (Great Lakes Division
Director) asking that the ARRL board reexamine the issue of
retaining/dropping Morse Code testing when it is finally dropped from the
ITU treaty requirements.


--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO





From -5253918144557952702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:16:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6DBC63.7AFA9A84@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
```

<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 12:16:19 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.79
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980270157 206.20.144.79 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:15:57 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:15:57 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13988

"R.L. Tannehill, P.E." wrote:

> support and foster support in Region 2, for elimination of S25.5 at
> WRC2003. Then, in 2004, we will have another go-round on the US testing
> issue. By then, the opposition of many of the Board hard-liner PCTA's
> may be a dead issue, literally.

>
But it could just as well go the other way, too. Hmmm?
>

>
>
> Rick Tannehill - W7RT

>
w3rv

From -4694663735173807994
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:19:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Date: 23 Jan 2001 17:19:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94kefi$255$0@208.207.71.163>
References: <itoo6t8iuua5ons5gf65vba0efa58omqbs@4ax.com>
<20010123005010.14870.00001094@nso-fr.aol.com> <3A6D31C5.D8F4C50F@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13989

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > didit
>
> Oh my...
>

Yeah.....while you were away the infamous No CW Testicles has adopted
what he percieves to be the end of a CW qso as his concluding byline.
Just makes him look even that much more ridiculous. He has been told
that is it actually
dit<space>dit but of course as usual he ignores all advice. And also of
course he he has no idea what it means.

Dick W0EX

From 32225081164690335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:24:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 18:59:37 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <94kkap$rch$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
<94hr03$sb8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <vp5p6ts8d6d8qpfirt5e9qaogo10kibc23@4ax.com>
<94kapr$mhj$0@208.207.71.163>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 980276377 28049 205.139.138.14 (23 Jan 2001 18:59:37 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Jan 2001 18:59:37 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: dixie@townsqr.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13990

In article <94kapr$mhj$0@208.207.71.163>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

> Exactly. Any "ordinary" ham who attempts to dig into his TS2000 which
>has anything more than a operational glitch that might be corrected by
>resetting the microprocessor, or some other minor correction, is asking
>for more trouble than he really wants.

Haven't looked at a TS2000 yet, but I bet an amplifier is still an amplifier, a power supply is still a power supply, and components still smoke or blow fuses when they fail.

I spent the $35.00 for a "Service Manual" for my Icom 775DSP, and have saved myself 3 shop calls with simple troubleshooting similar to what I did way back when on my Hallicrafters SX-101. Voltages are lower, and components are smaller, but the electronic theories haven't changed much.

Wouldn't go mucking about in the DSP logic, etc., but the old "7-Step" troubleshooting protocols still work just fine on the rest of it.

My "instrumentation" consists of only a Fluke 76 DVM, a Techtronix 5MHz dual trace scope, and and old HP-300C signal generator.

And I think I'm a pretty "ordinary" ham. Lotsa guys are much better!

73, Hans, K0HB
Lord High Liberator of the Electric Smoke

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -476903293412454247
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:39:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!

```
netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 19:14:26 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <94kl6i$sg9$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 980277266 29193 205.139.138.14 (23 Jan 2001 19:14:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Jan 2001 19:14:26 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: dixie@townsqr.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13991

In article <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>, Dick Carroll  <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:
>
>
>Jon Bloom wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >
>> > Aaron Jones wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net> wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these
times
>> > > >of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?
>> > >
>> > > Percentage wise it does seem high. But to gripe about $5 extra a year
>> > > is silly. That's less than 2 cents a day...
>> > >
>> > > >My own feeling is that $39 per year is high for value received
>> > >
>> > > Too bad you can't quit...   :-)
>> >
>> > Percentage-wise, it IS high.  If you look at what inflation has been
>> > since 1997 when the League last upped the cost of yearly dues, it is
>> > outrageous.
>>
>> Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.
>
>
```

> Huh??? $5 as a percentage of $34 comes out to be 14.7% on my Casio.
>
> Dick

Hmmmmm..... yes, 14.7% per-annum certainly would be outrageous -- more like $58.85 (not $39.00). 'Cording to my TI the actual increase was almost precisely 3.5% per-annum, except they skipped some annums, so we actually saved $7-and-change in the interim.

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -7523688449228607176
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: 23 Jan 2001 17:42:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com> <3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13992

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>

> > FURTHER RESOLVED, it is the opinion of this Board at this time that Morse
> > code should be retained as a testing element in the U.S.; and be it
>
> Looks like the ARRL has its collective head still firmly planted in the
> past. Time to write my division director again.
>

Don't worry about it, Larry, this is just their "interim" position, which they have adopted as they move from complete support of CW testing to its complete abandonment. Can't make any sudden, sensational and upsetting moves, you know. Might upset someone. Walk that tightrope.
Actually, I don't see where it matters more than trivially anyway, since FCC today pretty well ignores ARRL.
Hang around long enough, you'll find out how it works.

From -6890444760422903827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:50:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7medf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94dv4o$id4$0@208.207.71.169> <20010121173046.02997.00001142@nso-mg.aol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7jj199.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7kmxdf.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <94jfde$bmb$0@208.207.71.147>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 43
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:50:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980272257 206.58.44.46 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:50:57 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:50:57 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13993

On 23 Jan 2001 08:29:34 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>I asked what level of FCC license
>they were required to hold (we all held either first or second phone)
>and they asked "What's that?"

You were talking to dispatchers who, except for very small departments, are non-sworn personnel in California.

All technical work (system design, installation, and
maintenance) is done by techs of the State Department of
General Services' Communications Division, who, in spite of
"license deregulation", are required to hold FCC GROLs because
their duties also include servicing and adjustment of marine
and aviation stations licensed to the State.

>When I asked them how they made an NCIC
>check, they pointed to an ancient TTY terminal over in the corner of the
>room - they had to send a TTY message to SACTO, where it was entered
>into the computer system, and the answer returned in the reverse, time
>consuming fashion.

IIRC Fresno was one of the "tail end" offices in the conversion
that was taking place at the time. It's all high-speed computer
now, with MDTs in the patrol cars.

> I came away disappointed.

I, too, was disappointed when I visited the Sacramento office in
1963, but I later found out that although this was where the
CW station was, it wasn't the HQ comm office, but the local
patrol office. The HQ comm office with all the terminals and
statewide remote-access panels was two blocks away in the big
HQ building.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 6005006118376364414
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 09:51:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9264hr$mt3$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20001225080400.06154.00002210@ng-ff1.aol.com> <927k6q$kus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94g9q6$kvf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94gvd8$56n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94hkq7$mbo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94kc8v$2bh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse

```
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Owjb6.1124$e5.2796228@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 12:50:17 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980272302 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 12:51:42 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 12:51:42 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13994
```

<Steven J Robeson>; K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote

> Of course, I am not the only one who challenges Lennies "facts",
> and I know that it requires no further re-posts of that already
> stated. But if you insist.....

Do you think that Len believes that what he says is untrue?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 3744077256908974496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 10:10:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!easynews!
hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: LRod <lr0d@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 10 bucks buys a ham license.
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:55:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <94kgi0$6ha$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

```
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
<PA896.7050$Ep3.442734@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<943h9b$9s4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <944avp$q7a$1@flood.xnet.com>
<94599b$qv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <947tth$elb$1@flood.xnet.com>
<9488an$crm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94k5bh$rfe$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.77.156.208
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 17:55:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.61 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 12.77.156.208
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDlr0d
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16243
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8543 rec.radio.amateur.misc:11324
rec.radio.amateur.policy:13995

In article <94k5bh$rfe$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  sjwoodr@my-deja.com wrote:

> I sent an email to the VE and he offered to let me take
> the extra class exam in February for free.  That's fair
> enough for me; it gives me more time to study!

I'm glad you did that, and I'm glad he did that. That's a good
resolution. I wish it hadn't happened in the first place, but this will
work out. Thanks for not giving up on it.

LRod


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -2533962583714049929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 10:12:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: 23 Jan 2001 18:12:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13996

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Jon Bloom wrote:
> > >
> > > Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.
> >
> >   Huh??? $5 as a percentage of $34 comes out to be 14.7% on my Casio.
>
> 14.7% PER ANNUM (over 4 years), Dick? Your calculator's broken. Or maybe
> it just needs a new battery.
>
> Jon

'Tis not my calculator that is broke, $5 is 14.7& of the %34 *annual*
membership fee.
 Looks like an attempt to invoke New Math as yet another Newington Smoke
Screen. Doesn't work any better than it ever did.

From -53462127547672140
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 10:39:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 29
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:39:11 -0500

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980275162 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:39:22 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:39:22 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13997

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Jon Bloom wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.
> > >
> > >   Huh??? $5 as a percentage of $34 comes out to be 14.7% on my Casio.
> >
> > 14.7% PER ANNUM (over 4 years), Dick? Your calculator's broken. Or maybe
> > it just needs a new battery.
> >
> > Jon
>
> 'Tis not my calculator that is broke, $5 is 14.7& of the %34 *annual*
> membership fee.
>  Looks like an attempt to invoke New Math as yet another Newington Smoke
> Screen. Doesn't work any better than it ever did.

This is one of the reasons I don't hang around rrap much any more -- too
many people like Dick who (willfully? ignorantly?) misinterpret simple,
direct statements and then use their misinterpretation as "evidence" of
unethical behavior on the part of the other party. Guess my stomach for
idiocy like this isn't as strong as Ed's.

Dick


From -9216196611731038666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 10:48:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 43
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:46:34 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980275680 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:48:00 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:48:00 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13998

R.L. Tannehill, P.E. <rickw7rt@firstinter.net> wrote:

> While I am disappointed that the Board so vehemently defended Morse testing in the US in their resolution, I understand their reluctance to deal with what is basically a non-issue at this time.

I think the "at this time" language in your post and in the resolution is pretty important. IMHO, the Board is saying that it will leave it to the correct time to deal with the issue. I believe that to be the correct choice, for a number of reasons.

The only reasons to have dealt with it now would be if the US regulations were on the plate now -- they are not -- of if the ARRL Board had made up its mind now about how it wants the ARRL to handle a not-completely-defined issue that will probably come up four, or more, years from now.

> First things first, we will hold the League's feet to the fire to -enthusiastically- support and foster support in Region 2, for elimination of S25.5 at WRC2003.

There is a wide range of ARRL response and position to the policy set forth at this Board meeting. It could indeed range from "enthusiastic" to simply no longer being the only dissenting vote at an international IARU meeting. Constructively holding ARRL's feet to the fire, in either direction, will indeed influence the implementation of ARRL policy.

> Then, in 2004, we will have another go-round on the US testing issue. By then, the opposition of many of the Board hard-liner PCTA's may be a dead issue, literally.

I hope not. I would rather see an evolution of ideas and constructive change than see people die to give me my way. My views have changed over the years, as I imagine yours have; I would imagine that at one point in your life you believed that Morse code testing was important to Amateur Radio. I hope that as more hams are able to assess the impact of the changes have taken place to date that we may see more changes in the way people feel. We all have a few years to give our "final answer."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2924365430966962487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 10:49:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6DD215.8C099F91@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net> <3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163> <3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163> <3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:48:53 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980275740 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:49:00 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:49:00 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:13999

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
....
> Dick

I wasn't trying to sign Dick's name... the rest of my posting got cut off. (I don't compose messages under Netscape for Linux often enough to be comfortable with its perverse key mapping.)

Jon

From -354864436106270130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 10:51:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com> <20010123083224.12159.00000895@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <3A6DAC17.A022F748@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Eokb6.1126$e5.2799718@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:49:51 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980275876 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:51:16 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:51:16 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14000

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
> > One staffer added late last year is someone to work on developing
"in-house"
> > news.  I have seen again and again where someone at ARRL HQ has done
something
> > valuable and important for amateur radio, and not told anyone other than
his
> > boss.  That "someone" has all too often been me.

> Hmmm . . mixed reaction here to that one. I've been involved with much
bigger and
> scattered industrial operations which have in-house infranet webs which do
a pretty
> good job of keeping everybody up to speed, departments & individuals have
web
> pages, etc. Of course you wind up with at least one IS person and they
don't come
> for free.

Mea culpa -- by "in house" news, I meant more information about the
activities of ARRL being shared with our membership, through the Letter,
Web, QST, etc.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 7766955565950186139
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 10:53:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
```

Message-ID: <3A6DD337.2A5529B3@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
<Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:53:43 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980276033 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:53:53 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:53:53 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14001

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> The only reasons to have dealt with it now would be if the US regulations
> were on the plate now -- they are not -- of if the ARRL Board had made up
> its mind now about how it wants the ARRL to handle a not-completely-defined
> issue that will probably come up four, or more, years from now.

In any case, the present Board cannot commit that future Board (which
may, for all we know, be a completely different group of members) to a
particular policy. There is no policy the present Board could adopt
which that future Board could not reverse, just as the present Board has
just reversed the policy (WRT the treaty) of past Boards.

Jon

From -1389042709415182967
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:01:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:00:41 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A6DD4D9.98663971@uci.edu>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-

md.aol.com> <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6C7DD0.B6453AB3@uci.edu>
<3A6C7DF9.29258124@IEEE.org> <3A6C994C.A213BFE2@uci.edu>
<3A6CA193.C3EC924@IEEE.org> <3A6CBA05.68255EA6@uci.edu>
<3A6CF516.396761F5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14002

Cecil wrote:
> Apparently, you have never had the IRS mob after you for something
> of which you are innocent. With the IRS, you are guilty until you
> prove your innocence beyond the shadow of a doubt and then some.

It's true - so far I haven't done anything to send up the red flags.
Knock on wood.

But personally, I'm glad they vigorously collect the government revenue. I for one, am reasonably reluctant to give up so much of my money to them. I imagine there are others who are even more reluctant to do so. If tax money was gathered in a donation-like process, I'm quite sure we'd always run the government in deficit.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 4287096833714533994
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:06:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 12:57:03 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A6DD3FF.604F05C0@IEEE.org>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com> <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6C7DD0.B6453AB3@uci.edu>
<3A6C7DF9.29258124@IEEE.org> <3A6C994C.A213BFE2@uci.edu>
<3A6CA193.C3EC924@IEEE.org> <3A6CBA05.68255EA6@uci.edu>
<3A6CF516.396761F5@IEEE.org> <3A6DD4D9.98663971@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.6c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 23 Jan 2001 19:04:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14003

Jim Kelley wrote:
> But personally, I'm glad they vigorously collect the government
> revenue. I for one, am reasonably reluctant to give up so much of my
> money to them. I imagine there are others who are even more reluctant
> to do so. If tax money was gathered in a donation-like process, I'm
> quite sure we'd always run the government in deficit.

I think the people who want high taxes should pay them and the people
who don't want high taxes should pay less. In other words, tax the
liberals at a higher rate than the conservatives. Then count the
number of liberals. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -7951696328680598374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:10:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:00:57 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <94kkd2$ah3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94i9b7$af1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010123070306.12166.00000956@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.54
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 19:00:57 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.54
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14004

> I have not read much in the press about the failure of emergency
devices in
> emergencies. Do we need a media coverup to make this all plausible?

Well... My take on the press, is that they don't even know that the water is cold until they run into an iceburg, and even then they get the color wrong. But that's another story.

The problem with gadgets and emergencies, is that you need a real emergency in order to test their efficiency. I have been involved both professionaly (LMR) and in RACES (off and on depending on political currents) since the Civil Defense days, and currently support US Army MARS. The simple gadgets that are highly adaptable, like an 8' Crecent wrench, are the most useful when compared to highly technical gadgets like uP controlled radios and data terminals. Multi-channel radios and programmable radios often present a problem for people who only bring them out of the back room twice a year. Even Fire/Police/Rescue people may find it difficult to talk to one another even if they have a common frequency because they might not be able to find it in their 100 channel radios.

I'm not talking about things that are broken or worthless from the start (there are those) Rather I'm talking about things that don't get used in real emergencies either because they are not adaptable to the emergency at hand, or because the available personnel isn't familiar or the equipment isn't intuitive enough.

Ham radio operators are supposed to bridge the communications gap in emergencies for those who are trained in other areas, by being resourceful with both operating and technical skills. One of the most common failures I have seen is when the equipment or propagation aren't up to the task, or the operator is unprepared to handle signed written traffic. We are valuable because we can make use of what survives the disaster, not by how well we can raid ham store shelves.

Jim, ac6tk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7097555039358722224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:11:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:11:15 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A6DD753.66F79733@uci.edu>

References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com> <94fgvm$rd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6C7DD0.B6453AB3@uci.edu> <3A6C7DF9.29258124@IEEE.org> <3A6C994C.A213BFE2@uci.edu> <3A6CA193.C3EC924@IEEE.org> <3A6CBA05.68255EA6@uci.edu> <3A6CF516.396761F5@IEEE.org> <3A6DD4D9.98663971@uci.edu> <3A6DD3FF.604F05C0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14006

Cecil wrote:
> I think the people who want high taxes should pay them and the people
> who don't want high taxes should pay less. In other words, tax the
> liberals at a higher rate than the conservatives. Then count the
> number of liberals. :-)

I've often thought exactly the same thing. An excellent idea - and very fair. The only problem is that the liberals don't think THEY should pay more taxes - it's only the OTHER guy who should pay more taxes.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -6006934358427021703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:11:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:04:10 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com> <3A6C779F.C9B747E@uci.edu> <94j72o$k2a$0@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14005

Dick Carroll wrote:

> I don't have a dog in this scrap but I would point out that a certain
> rebellion that began in Boston harbor was hardly considered legal,
> either....

We're discussing a rebellion against the US government, not the one that started the US government. Maybe I'm wrong, but one seems at odds with the other. Or are we just talking rebellion for rebellion's sake here.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 8811377429828887775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:13:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:03:35 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A6DD587.A8835A18@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.6c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 23 Jan 2001 19:13:07 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14007

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Don't worry about it, Larry, this is just their "interim" position,
> which they have adopted as they move from complete support of CW testing
> to its complete abandonment.

You're seeing black helicopters again, Dick. The next board may be made up of completely different people. Do you think a completely different board will carry on your anti-code-test conspiracy alleged above?

If you believe your opinion to be the majority opinion, it shouldn't take too much work to load the next board with pro-code-test people. OTOH, I suspect you realize that your opinion is held by a very small minority of hams and is doomed to be buried in the sands of time.
--

```
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 3543904197261753753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:19:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:09:58 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A6DD706.6FD7EF08@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.6c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 23 Jan 2001 19:19:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14008

Jon Bloom wrote:
> This is one of the reasons I don't hang around rrap much any more -- too
> many people like Dick who (willfully? ignorantly?) misinterpret simple,
> direct statements and then use their misinterpretation as "evidence" of
> unethical behavior on the part of the other party. Guess my stomach for
> idiocy like this isn't as strong as Ed's.

Is a one year ARRL membership fee going from $34 to $39 or is it
going up by one dollar each year for the next five years?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 7532476437946213478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:28:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
```

news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:18:20 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<94kl6i$sg9$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.6c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 23 Jan 2001 19:27:52 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14009

K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:
> Hmmmmm..... yes, 14.7% per-annum certainly would be outrageous -- more like
> $58.85 (not $39.00). 'Cording to my TI the actual increase was almost
> precisely 3.5% per-annum, except they skipped some annums, so we actually
> saved $7-and-change in the interim.

Percentage increase = 100*(increase/present-cost)

If the increase is $5 and the present-cost is $34, then that's a 14.7%
increase from one year's subscription to the next year's subscription.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6878183414420107195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:30:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:19:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <94klf2$bco$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-

```
fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94g7ns$j7f$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94hr7q$sn9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94kbmg$1ok$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.96.8.2
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 19:19:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 WEBSERV, 1.0 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
204.96.8.2
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDranhefner
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3557 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14010

You just don't seem to understand.  Maybe you should ask some of your
amateur radio friends what the word "hobby" means as it pertains to
amateur radio.


In article <94kbmg$1ok$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
>      And I DO monitor FRS, especially in the ATL area, and I can tell
> you that there are a LOT of people using FRS for the sake of fun with
a
> radio...NOT just to facilitate some other activity.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

--
Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3




Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -8049892173390226996
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:30:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
```

Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:22:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
<Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 19:22:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14011

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

> I think the "at this time" language in your post and in the
> resolution is pretty important. IMHO, the Board is saying
> that it will leave it to the correct time to deal with the issue.

"Boldness has genius, power and magic in it."
--von Goethe

I was disappointed that the BoD display this "go with the flow - wait and see" attitude. Having sat in that room in the past, I know that boldness is often punished, but this seemed like the perfect time to display some leadership and vision.

Opportunity lost. Sigh!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned with whether or not you have passed
a Morse code test."
-- K0HB

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5177568378534539290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:31:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 11:23:38 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A6DDA3A.40910F57@uci.edu>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <3A6CCF57.D33EE7E4@uci.edu> <94j7ad$k2a$2@208.207.71.147>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14012

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Larry can always drag out an old illfitting uniform...

An old one is undoubtedly best since it's probably going to get some new
holes in it anyway. ;-)

73, Jim

From -4178253318697303226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:47:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6DDFCC.BD9934A2@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<94kl6i$sg9$1@news.netmar.com> <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 14:47:24 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980279255 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 14:47:35 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 14:47:35 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14013

Cecil wrote:
>
> K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:
> > Hmmmmm..... yes, 14.7% per-annum certainly would be outrageous -- more like
> > $58.85 (not $39.00). 'Cording to my TI the actual increase was almost
> > precisely 3.5% per-annum, except they skipped some annums, so we actually
> > saved $7-and-change in the interim.
>
> Percentage increase = 100*(increase/present-cost)
>
> If the increase is $5 and the present-cost is $34, then that's a 14.7%
> increase from one year's subscription to the next year's subscription.

Yep. But that wasn't the topic being addressed, which was a comparison
of the dues increase to the rate of inflation. To compute that you need
to include the term over which the increase occurred, which is 4 years.
Your calculation ignores the lack of increase between 1997 and 2001 and
thus fails to address the question.

Figures don't lie, but...

Jon

From -1333774501192861400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:52:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!
not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 14:52:20 -0500

```
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <94kndo$3v7$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <94itlv$3am$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010123064257.12166.00000953@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.37.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980279544 4071 166.102.250.37 (23 Jan 2001 19:52:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Jan 2001 19:52:24 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14014
```

I am not as concerned with the content, as getting the air time. I live south of Atlanta and have never seen a PSA on ham radio on any of the channels I watch. I am a night owl by nature, and some of the PSA's used to fill time in the wee hours are covering some really inane subjects.

This week, just for grins, I will make some inquires to the stations in Atlanta and Columbus to see what is necessary to get them run.

> See http://www.arrl.org/pio for a general description of ARRL's
media-public
> relations program. Info about the PSAs that ARRL provided to TV and radio
> stations is included.
>
> > I would be glad to do a bit of research as to what is involved with the
> > PSA's if any interest at Hdq.
>
> If you have any suggestions about how the PSAs could be improved, send
them to
> Jennifer Hagy at the address on the PIO web page.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

```
From -1657604049230015568
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 11:59:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:49:30 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A6DE04A.36B4AC92@IEEE.org>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <3A6C779F.C9B747E@uci.edu> <94j72o$k2a$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.6c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 23 Jan 2001 19:56:50 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14015

Jim Kelley wrote:
> We're discussing a rebellion against the US government, not the one that
> started the US government.  Maybe I'm wrong, but one seems at odds with
> the other.  Or are we just talking rebellion for rebellion's sake here.

I believe we are talking about a rebellion to restore U.S. Constitutional
government.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 9047231113456948896
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 12:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:46:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <94kn2g$d2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <3A6DD706.6FD7EF08@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 19:46:26 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
```

X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14016

In article <3A6DD706.6FD7EF08@IEEE.org>,
Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> Is a one year ARRL membership fee going from $34 to $39 or is it
> going up by one dollar each year for the next five years?

It went up a $1.25 a year in 98, 99, 00, and 01, but the increase is
not imposed until July 01.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about whether or not you passed
a Morse code test."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8620589459407224193
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 12:11:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 14:01:31 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A6DE31B.6D371FC5@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>

<94kl6i$sg9$1@news.netmar.com> <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org>
<3A6DDFCC.BD9934A2@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.6c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 23 Jan 2001 20:11:01 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14017

Jon Bloom wrote:
> Your calculation ignores the lack of increase between 1997 and 2001 and
> thus fails to address the question.

A membership cost increase is a step function like a binary bit going
from 'zero' to 'one'. It didn't happen over a number of years. It's
a step function that happens at renewal time.

Is there any difference in having one double-scotch per day for a week
VS not drinking for six days and then having seven double-scotches?
"But ossifer, I only averaged one double-scotch per day."
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -5593847848164551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 12:20:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:07:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <94ko96$e6v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<94kl6i$sg9$1@news.netmar.com> <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 20:07:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14018

In article <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org>,

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> If the increase is $5 and the present-cost is $34, then that's
> a 14.7% increase from one year's subscription to the next year's
> subscription.....

....or 3.5% increase per-annum since the 1997 increase (which was the
original assertion).

Whatever.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to pick the fly shit out of your pepper pot."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3191913528572765651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 12:22:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6DE7F4.D0F99D5F@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<94kl6i$sg9$1@news.netmar.com> <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org>
<3A6DDFCC.BD9934A2@arrl.org> <3A6DE31B.6D371FC5@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 15:22:12 -0500

NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980281343 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 15:22:23 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 15:22:23 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14019

Cecil wrote:
>
> A membership cost increase is a step function like a binary bit going
> from 'zero' to 'one'. It didn't happen over a number of years. It's
> a step function that happens at renewal time.

But the inflation it was being compared to is an average over time, so to make any meaningful comparison you have to compute a time-average for the dues increase over the same period as used for the inflation computation.

> Is there any difference in having one double-scotch per day for a week
> VS not drinking for six days and then having seven double-scotches?
> "But ossifer, I only averaged one double-scotch per day."

Money ain't scotch. (Probably just as well.)

Jon

From 671544444884875966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 12:31:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 12:24:07 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A6DE867.5C5739A2@uci.edu>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com> <3A6C779F.C9B747E@uci.edu> <94j72o$k2a$0@208.207.71.147> <3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu> <3A6DE04A.36B4AC92@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14020

Cecil wrote:

>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > We're discussing a rebellion against the US government, not the one that
> > started the US government. Maybe I'm wrong, but one seems at odds with
> > the other. Or are we just talking rebellion for rebellion's sake here.

> I believe we are talking about a rebellion to restore U.S. Constitutional
> government.

Really? Since you seem to know all about this, what happened to the US
Constitutional government that made a restoration necessary in the first
place? These ground rules were never actually established. Larry just
said that it would be okay for 10,000 people with guns to attack the
nations Capitol (he then added that that wouldn't be the case if they
were people who he didn't happen to agree with).

73, Jim AC6XG

From 3455178239924722796
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 12:49:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6DEE31.6368CA9D@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com> <20010123083224.12159.00000895@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <3A6DAC17.A022F748@dvol.com> <Eokb6.1126$e5.2799718@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 15:48:49 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.68
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980282906 206.20.144.68 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 14:48:26
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 14:48:26 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14021

>
> Mea culpa -- by "in house" news, I meant more information about the
> activities of ARRL being shared with our membership, through the Letter,
> Web, QST, etc.

>
Overviews of staff activities & plans, etc. Good idea.

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From 3264837410724435023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:36:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <026q6t0fdhrfd2dfvngo6bt2u14cbka9mt@4ax.com>
<20010123072033.12166.00000962@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<3ias6tsioso9sfv97jcjv2mi2ijfhc1n8e@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Tkqb6.1157$e5.2821994@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:35:08 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980300211 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:36:51 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:36:51 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14072

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:3ias6tsioso9sfv97jcjv2mi2ijfhc1n8e@4ax.com...

> Using the total US population for comparison might make us look better
> technically as a group simply because of the large growth of ham radio
> compared to the total population. There probably would be more of
> everything, from technical hams to liddy hams. But if you wanted to
> compare the average amount of technical hams in 1960 to the average
> amount of technical hams in 2000, it would have to be referenced as a
> percentage of total hams (for each time period) to reach any kind of
> valid comparison. I don't know how you would ever do that (for many
> reasons), but I have a definite opinion on what the answer would be...
> :-)

I think that we are approaching the same idea from two different directions.
(Imagine that!) Most of the "high-tech" folks will enter ham radio whether
we have a test that is very easy or one that really taxes them. The number

of highly technical hams is not apt to change.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 3062895656048263911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:39:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:39:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <94lboe$fao$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <zFnb6.1133$e5.2811852@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14073
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
>
> > > > > > Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.
>
> > > 'Tis not my calculator that is broke, $5 is 14.7& of the %34 *annual*
> > > membership fee.
> > > Looks like an attempt to invoke New Math as yet another Newington Smoke
> > > Screen. Doesn't work any better than it ever did.
>
> > This is one of the reasons I don't hang around rrap much any more -- too
> > many people like Dick who (willfully? ignorantly?) misinterpret simple,

> > direct statements and then use their misinterpretation as "evidence" of
> > unethical behavior on the part of the other party. Guess my stomach for
> > idiocy like this isn't as strong as Ed's.
>
> I think, Jon and Dick, that the term "per annum" may have been
> misunderstood. If applied to a 4-year term, a per-annum rate is applied
> each year. After all, if one puts money in a savings account at a per-annum
> rate, they certainly would understand the term if the bank only applied the
> interest to one year. :-)
>
> So, lets take it step by step, over 4 years.
>
> Year 1: $34.00 + (.035 * $34.00) = $35.19
> Year 2: $35.19 + (.035 * $35.19) = $36.42
> Year 3 : $36.42 + (.035 * $36.42) = $37.69
> Year 4: $37.69 + (.035 * $37.69) = $39.00
>
> This worked out to 3.5% increase each year,except that no one had to pay the
> $35.19, the $36.42 or the $37.69; it stayed at $34.00 for those years.
>
> Does that help?

Sure it helps. It helps to understand that the only possible reason for
computing it that way is to avoid stating up front that membership fees
are going up by 14.7%. Professional accountants and other bean counters
excepted, of course.

From -7223139448215535476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:42:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:41:37 GMT
References: <94j7ad$k2a$2@208.207.71.147>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Message-ID: <20010123204137.00714.00003709@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14074

In article <94j7ad$k2a$2@208.207.71.147>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Larry can always drag out an old illfitting uniform...

>

Dick:

Uh, don't look now, but I still fit into my old Air Force uniforms quite nicely! I seem to have managed to avoid the seemingly inevitable 30 - 50 lb. weight gain that seems to immediately afflict most retirees. I also have not adopted the pony tail and scraggly beard, either. I could report back for duty on any given day of the week, assuming I had *current* uniforms, since they've changed since my retirement in 1994.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1224669349499708533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:42:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:41:37 GMT
References: <aI5b6.1110$e5.2751402@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010123204137.00714.00003710@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14075

In article <aI5b6.1110$e5.2751402@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>At
>>$100k per 30-second spot on prime time, I am not sure mass-media ads are
>the answer.

Ed:

It may be a case of "We can't afford *not* to!" How else are we going to get national exposure for our hobby? And what happened to my idea of amateur-licensed celebrities being involved in the process -- donating their time, talent, and industry influence to assist with production?

>What percentage of people that might be interested in ham radio do you feel
>do not know about its existence?

At least 80% of the general population probably don't have a clear
idea of what the thing called "ham radio" is about. The rest, if they
understand the basic concept at all, are probably more likely to
confuse us with CB than they are to have an accurate picture in
their minds of ham radio and what hams do.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1465478956991235901
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:42:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:41:38 GMT
References: <3K5b6.1111$e5.2751455@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010123204138.00714.00003711@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14076

In article <3K5b6.1111$e5.2751455@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>> Nor should you have to. Whenever I've been involved in ham radio
>> instruction, I've always told the class right from the beginning that I am
>> there to show them the way, but there is a lot of hard work that needs
>> to be done and I'm not going to do it for them. That usually causes
>> about a 90-percent attrition rate right from the beginning, ...
>
>In the classes I have helped teach here in Newington, we skip the lecture
>and have a much higher graduation rate than 10%. Now, if ARRL is going to
>spend all that money on mass-media ads for you, Larry, we expect you to
>score better than a 10% on your "final exam."

Ed:

There is no need to concern yourself about me. I am no longer involved
in amateur radio instruction. The licensing requirements are so
dumbed-down now that I don't want to be publicly associated with the
concept that prospective hams may actually need assistance in
meeting them. That, IMHO, would be an insult to the intelligence of
the general public.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1044562725300788187
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:42:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:41:39 GMT
References: <lL5b6.1112$e5.2751517@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010123204139.00714.00003712@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14077

In article <lL5b6.1112$e5.2751517@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>
>It appears to me, Larry, that the guy's concern with ham radio helped him to
>attain a major basic life skill, with some help from Fred. That must say
>more to me about the value of ham radio than it does to you.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Well, I disagree on this one, partly due to personal experience. I've always been one of those "Math-challenged" people -- my father is the same way. I had to take a "Math for Dummies" course at the local community college in order to fulfill the requirement for my Bachelor's degree. It was a self-paced course, and it took me two semesters to complete it with a grade of "B" -- the highest Math grade I ever received in my life. Ham radio, even the "old timey" written tests I took in the pre-VE days, did nothing to inspire me to learn advanced mathematics to the point where I can exploit that knowledge on a daily basis today. For this, and a lot of other reasons, I don't think ham radio can be the educational salvation of an already illiterate person. If it wasn't enough of a motivator to make me a Math-capable person, I don't have faith in it being a motivator to make a person able to read and write, either.
Moreover, my personal preference would be to steer the

illiterate AWAY from ham radio, in order to demonstrate that gaining literacy skills MUST take priority over hobbyist activities. My reasoning is that a person who learns to read only to pass their ham radio tests may throw that skill away once they barely succeed -- much the same way Morse code skill is discarded once HF operating privileges have been attained. The fact that a ham radio ticket is an "end game" to the learning process for many "hams" means that to me, it doesn't seem like the powerful educational tool some hams claim it to be.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 810341720220687296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:45:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
<Ysob6.1139$e5.2814845@news.ntplx.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:44:01 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 75
Message-ID: <3a6e336f$0$30013$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 11f7e6e3.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=<Wg[5Qf`4@4iAcBM^EMG<;BITGoVIjmH6[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7NMo@V9]\0??
lBFDaL\d^n5`5UibMl;am:
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14078
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:Ysob6.1139$e5.2814845@news.ntplx.net...
> Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote:

>
> > FCC gave the ARRL plenty of opportunity to show some
> > leadership, but the Board said "no change".
>
> The ARRL's comments to the FCC were plenty of change,
> Larry. Trying to rewrite history here?

No Ed, I talking about before the FCC issued its NPRM for
WT Docket #98-143. The ARRL had setup committee
to study license restructuring, the committee recommend
changes, but the Board reject all three motions.
Bad decision, had they followed committee recommendation,
the FCC probably would have adopted it, NCI would not have
been formed or been motovated to act. As you say, that all
history now and can not be rewitten.

> > The FCC's Report and Order said that Morse code exam
> > requirement served "no regulatory purpose".
> > So what does the ARRL Board do?
> > They demand the FCC continue 5 wpm code exams.
>
> When? In its comments to the FCC for restructuring?

January 20, 2001

> NCI agreed that a 5 wpm test was needed to satisfy the treaty?

No, NCI ask for no code exams, but conceded that it may not
be possible for the FCC to take that action, and reccomend in that
case that only a 5wpm test be used until S25.5 is supressed.

> Now? Can you tell me where and when
> the ARRL communicated their "demand" to the FCC?

Strike demand; insert influence, lobby, comment, recommend, etc.

> The language of the ARRL policy was clear enough
> to me that the League is choosing not to change its
> policy wrt US testing at this time.

How does that serve the public interest and
the interest of members that oppose the
Morse code exam requirement?

What purpose is served by the Board using
all members due against the say a third of the
member interest?

> You are misrepresenting facts, Larry.

No, Ed you just do not like the true facts.

> It does not reflect well on you or your goals.

Personal attacks do reflect well on you.

> > I personally advocate petitioning the United States/FCC to adopt a WRC
2003
> > policy to: (1) suppress ITU rule S25.5, (2) take exception to S25.5 in
the
> > WRC 2003 Final Acts if it is nor suppressed, and (3) delete 47 CFR
> > 97.503(a) after the conclusion of WRC 2003 without any futher
proceedings.
>
> Now that sounds a bit more realistic. :-)

If would you like to sign the petition, send be your address,
and send you a copy to sign.

Larry KC8EPO

From 4643350940810497811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:45:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <zFnb6.1133$e5.2811852@news.ntplx.net>
<94lboe$fao$0@208.207.71.175>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Rsqb6.1158$e5.2822489@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:43:38 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980300721 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:45:21 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:45:21 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14079

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Sure it helps. It helps to understand that the only possible reason for
> computing it that way is to avoid stating up front that membership fees
> are going up by 14.7%. Professional accountants and other bean counters
> excepted, of course.

Yeah, the League should have just come out and said that the dues went up by $5 and not tried to hide it. Maybe we can get them to rewrite the news story to just say that they went from $34 to $39 a year.

Dick, Jon's initial statement was made in response to Dave's claiming that the dues increase had exceeded the rate of inflation. In that context, what he said was perfectly accurate and useful. He didn't say it hoping to confuse you. You did that part on your own. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1954002721187636049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:50:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6E17C6.FA205D61@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:49:21 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3a6e34af$0$30005$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 11f7e6e3.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=nA9?
g@4`hEeE4XNZ]a2YglBITGoVIjmHf[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;Fgi3b^\8d848elBFDaL\d^ne`5UibMl;a
mj
```

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14080

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3A6E17C6.FA205D61@dvol.com...
>
> Larry Klose wrote:
>
> > I personally advocate petitioning  the United States/FCC to adopt a WRC
2003
> > policy to: (1) suppress ITU rule S25.5, (2) take exception to S25.5 in
the
> > WRC 2003 Final Acts if it is nor suppressed, and (3) delete 47 CFR
97.503(a)
> > after the conclusion of WRC 2003 without any futher proceedings.

> Don't get real upset if it doesn't quite work out your way.

I won't, but thanks for caring.

Larry




From -8392645671945309337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,aa446ac6c6d0a510
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:51:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:51:03 GMT
References: <94k5qj$rvl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Black helicopters (WAS:Re: No-code kills)
Message-ID: <20010123205103.00714.00003714@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14081

In article <94k5qj$rvl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:

>> Don't look now, but the ARRL just upped membership dues.  The
>> black helicopters are back in the budget.
>
>Hey, great!  And do they have any openings for jack-booted thugs?
>You and I could apply.  I'm sure Cecil and Brian would give us
>glowing recommendations.
```

Hansl:

I don't know, but I've got my resume ready to send in at a
moment's notice, complete with a picture of my spit-shined
jack boots and Luftwaffe-issue CW key. All they gotta do is
send a black helicopter to pick me up and take me to
Newington!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8873260207176872676
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:52:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:42:41 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A6E3311.C7B96D42@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <%RK86.837$e5.2154344@news.ntplx.net>
<3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org> <944l34$mk2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3A65E44C.FE56CA41@IEEE.org> <94kvqt$lsq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.d3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:50:02 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14082

n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> Much of what you have written about me is simply not true, Cecil. I
> have pointed out your mistakes above. You will not admit them, of
> course.

A simple statement is all that it would take for me to change my mind
and you have refused to provide it. What am I to infer from that?

> I'm sorry you are so bored that you have
> to spend time trying to "get other people's goats".

And I'm sorry you won't condemn code-tested hams who use Morse code

equipment for jamming.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5267986794558193477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:57:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6E365F.93FB1A4D@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com> <94ctfo$39n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6A4B86.DDE5D557@dvol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10101231633050.21580-100000@cluster2>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:56:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.53
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980301385 206.20.144.53 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:56:25 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:56:25 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14083 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16279 alt.radio.family:3559 rec.radio.cb:23428

Dana wrote:

> What are those freqs for the family radio service?

>
14 channels between 462.5625 and 432.7125 Mhz, narrow-band FM.
>
See http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frsfreqs.html
>
w3rv

```
From -4136572411263185569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:01:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <uods6tk7f3c9sn3eff0hed7099aefeilh0@4ax.com>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
<Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net> <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<Jlnb6.1129$e5.2810209@news.ntplx.net> <3a6e0d7e$0$30006$44a10c7e@news.net-
link.net> <Imob6.1138$e5.2814627@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 02:01:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.148
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980301686 209.86.208.148 (Tue, 23 Jan
2001 18:01:26 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:01:26 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14084

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>Since the Morse code exam is a public policy matter and the amateur radio
>community is about evenly divided, the NCI Board could have elected to take
>no position and not interfere...

Gosh Ed, are you actually using a three dit ending...   :-)


From 8967759044206364933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:01:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <lnds6t8b21r1jsujelsmtskeveoq8jd672@4ax.com>
References: <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010123191032.17535.00001143@nso-
fy.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 02:01:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.148
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980301688 209.86.208.148 (Tue, 23 Jan
2001 18:01:28 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:01:28 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14085

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>Given the past emphasis on morse code abilities thought
>necessary for all radio amateurs...

I'm curious Len. When the code test if finally abolished will you go
ahead and get an amateur license?

(This is not meant as an insult or a put down in any way.)



From 2214954890849448787
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:01:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <ands6t4julj26b4qealdk8rj9r08rv11tc@4ax.com>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <%RK86.837$e5.2154344@news.ntplx.net>
<3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org> <944l34$mk2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3A65E44C.FE56CA41@IEEE.org> <94kvqt$lsq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

Lines: 6
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 02:01:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.148
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980301689 209.86.208.148 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:01:29 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:01:29 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16280 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14086

n2ey@aol.com wrote:
>I don't post to policy anymore. I made this exception...

I know you formally announced that you were leaving rrap Jim, but why don't you come on back anyway. I for one would be glad to see you return...

From -6993265535160314622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:01:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <nmds6t4dgivsgnslmln6q4e724ho94hs7p@4ax.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net> <3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163> <3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 02:01:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.148
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980301691 209.86.208.148 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:01:31 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:01:31 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14087

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>'Tis not my calculator that is broke, $5 is 14.7& of the %34 *annual*
>membership fee.

> Looks like an attempt to invoke New Math as yet another Newington Smoke
>Screen. Doesn't work any better than it ever did.

I think that they're pro-rating it over a few years. That makes it
look better. Car salesman's tactics...   :-)

From -6425482517799937141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:01:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:51:59 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A6E353F.B144E7B8@IEEE.org>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com> <3A6C779F.C9B747E@uci.edu> <94j72o$k2a$0@208.207.71.147> <3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu> <3A6DE04A.36B4AC92@IEEE.org> <3A6DE867.5C5739A2@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.d3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:59:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14088

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > I believe we are talking about a rebellion to restore U.S. Constitutional
> > government.
>
> Really? Since you seem to know all about this, what happened to the US
> Constitutional government that made a restoration necessary in the first
> place?

Liberals happened.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3740950368589013855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:04:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:54:59 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A6E35F3.9F297134@IEEE.org>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <3A6C779F.C9B747E@uci.edu> <94j72o$k2a$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu> <94l9k7$9vf$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.d3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 24 Jan 2001 02:02:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14089
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I gathered that Larry was referring to the possibility that
> somehow the government had become so tyranical, in violation of the
> Constitition, that someone concluded that armed rebellion was again in
> order.

Maybe we could start by arresting all the Clinton people who sabotaged government property by destroying the 'W' on all the PC keyboards while packing and leaving.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 1261745703547645744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:05:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:55:59 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A6E362F.190C54B2@IEEE.org>
```

References: <94j7ad$k2a$2@208.207.71.147> <20010123204137.00714.00003709@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.d3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 24 Jan 2001 02:03:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14090

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Uh, don't look now, but I still fit into my old Air Force uniforms quite
> nicely!

You mean you were that fat way back then? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -5170742539808828100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,aa446ac6c6d0a510
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:09:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94k5qj$rvl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010123205103.00714.00003714@nso-md.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Black helicopters (WAS:Re: No-code kills)
Lines: 15
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <bPqb6.1159$e5.2823825@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:07:23 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980302151 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:09:11 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:09:11 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14091

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> I don't know, but I've got my resume ready to send in at a
> moment's notice, complete with a picture of my spit-shined
> jack boots and Luftwaffe-issue CW key. All they gotta do is
> send a black helicopter to pick me up and take me to
> Newington!

I will go you one better, Larry; I will pick you up in my infamous 1978 GMC pickup. QST's QRP columnist lovingly dubbed it the Deathmobile. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3980628865826483406
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:14:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6E3A57.C96CF9A8@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <Zgnb6.1128$e5.2809939@news.ntplx.net>
<3a6e0b34$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:13:43 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.53
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980302401 206.20.144.53 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:13:21
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:13:21 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14092
```

Larry Klose wrote:

>
> The Board spent thirty years constructing that wall, now it scheduled
> for removal in 2003.

>

Nah, more like 86 years ago when HPM put the ARRL together in 1915. The Great
Wall of China was built 2,700 years ago and hasn't been removed yet.

> Larry KC8EPO

>

w3rv

```
From -2215735273834563274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:22:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-
mail
Message-ID: <3A6E3C2F.17BF3CC5@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<94kl6i$sg9$1@news.netmar.com> <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org>
<3A6DDFCC.BD9934A2@arrl.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:21:35 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.53
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980302875 206.20.144.53 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:21:15
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:21:15 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14093
```

Jon Bloom wrote:

>
> Figures don't lie, but...

>
The dues starting 1 July will go up a freaking 1.3699 cents a freaking day. We're ALL sick!
>

> Jon

>
w3rv

```
From -5895119152799129317
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:23:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
<Ysob6.1139$e5.2814845@news.ntplx.net> <3a6e336f$0$30013$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 80
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <C0rb6.1160$e5.2824651@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:21:42 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980303010 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:23:30 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:23:30 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14094

Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote:

> > > They demand the FCC continue 5 wpm code exams.

> > When? In its comments to the FCC for restructuring?

> January 20, 2001

Who authored the letter to the FCC? What form did it take? Why did not this action with the FCC appear in any of the writeup about the Board meeting that took place at the same time? This was not discussed at the HQ staff meeting that took place after the Board meeting, nor did any of my friends on the Board discuss it with me afterwards. Why would the ARRL ask the FCC to continue something that it is already continuing? The FCC has not proposed to change the rules. Are you sure you don't have it mixed up with something else? No matter, I am sure we will read about this secret demand -- I mean request -- on the FCC web page later in the week, if you are correct.

> > NCI agreed that a 5 wpm test was needed to satisfy the treaty?

> No, NCI ask for no code exams, but conceded that it may not
> be possible for the FCC to take that action, and reccomend in that
> case that only a 5wpm test be used until S25.5 is supressed.

Isn't that what I said? :-)

> > Now? Can you tell me where and when
> > the ARRL communicated their "demand" to the FCC?

> Strike demand; insert influence, lobby, comment, recommend, etc.

Okay, can you tell me where and when the ARRL communicated their recommendation to the FCC?

> > The language of the ARRL policy was clear enough
> > to me that the League is choosing not to change its
> > policy wrt US testing at this time.

> How does that serve the public interest and
> the interest of members that oppose the
> Morse code exam requirement?

It was not intended to serve the interests of anyone; it is a decision not to change the present policy at this time.

> What purpose is served by the Board using
> all members due against the say a third of the
> member interest?

If those figures are correct -- that you believe that 1/3 of ARRL members support the removal of the US requirements for Morse code testing, then what purpose would be served by using all members' dues against 2/3 of the members interests?

Larry, stop seeing the Devil behind the bush. Stirring up trouble where none exists will weaken the effect of the ARRL's position wrt the international requirement, don't you think? The League simply clarified to its members that its decision not to oppose the elimination of the international treaty is not tied in with its position wrt the US testing requirements.

> > You are misrepresenting facts, Larry.

> No, Ed you just do not like the true facts.

I do indeed like true facts, Larry; I just don't think that your reading all sorts of things into the League's policy is at all useful.

> > It does not reflect well on you or your goals.

> Personal attacks do reflect well on you.

Do you believe that all who disagree with you are "attacking" you. You are mistaken on that, too.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1857083828329558845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:24:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
<Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net> <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<Jlnb6.1129$e5.2810209@news.ntplx.net> <3a6e0d7e$0$30006$44a10c7e@news.net-
link.net> <Imob6.1138$e5.2814627@news.ntplx.net>
<uods6tk7f3c9sn3eff0hed7099aefeilh0@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <D1rb6.1161$e5.2824803@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:22:48 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980303075 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:24:35 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:24:35 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14095

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> >Since the Morse code exam is a public policy matter and the amateur radio
> >community is about evenly divided, the NCI Board could have elected to
take
> >no position and not interfere...

> Gosh Ed, are you actually using a three dit ending...   :-)

Yes, you counted them correctly.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 3383238757866700820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:26:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.icl.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<nmds6t4dgivsgnslmln6q4e724ho94hs7p@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <V2rb6.1162$e5.2824797@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:24:09 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980303157 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:25:57 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:25:57 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14096

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> >'Tis not my calculator that is broke, $5 is 14.7& of the %34 *annual*
> >membership fee.
> > Looks like an attempt to invoke New Math as yet another Newington Smoke
> >Screen. Doesn't work any better than it ever did.

> I think that they're pro-rating it over a few years. That makes it
> look better. Car salesman's tactics...   :-)

Perhaps it would have been more honest to just say that the dues were
increasing from $34 to $39 a year.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI





From -2545756890504319585
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:29:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
```

```
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <aI5b6.1110$e5.2751402@news.ntplx.net>
<20010123204137.00714.00003710@nso-md.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <o6rb6.1163$e5.2825004@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:27:52 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980303380 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:29:40 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:29:40 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14097
```

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> >>$100k per 30-second spot on prime time, I am not sure mass-media ads are
> >the answer.

> It may be a case of "We can't afford *not* to!" How else are we going
> to get national exposure for our hobby?

Do you believe that most of those who are inclined to be hams are not aware
of the existance of Amateur Radio?

> And what happened to my idea of amateur-licensed celebrities being
involved in the process --
> donating their time, talent, and industry influence to assist with
production?

The PSAs I saw at HQ had some celebreties on them, Larry.

> >What percentage of people that might be interested in ham radio do you
feel
> >do not know about its existence?

> At least 80% of the general population probably don't have a clear
> idea of what the thing called "ham radio" is about. The rest, if they
> understand the basic concept at all, are probably more likely to
> confuse us with CB than they are to have an accurate picture in
> their minds of ham radio and what hams do.

Probably correct. Are you saying that you feel 80% of the population are
interested in ham radio or did you read my question too quickly?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8101185095418671413
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:30:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <8gfs6tc0o2db62107lieaeug9mangmo7l6@4ax.com>
References: <p06q6tsbcko27utpser87em1i8dln0g29h@4ax.com>
<20010123071646.12166.00000961@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<b9as6to5cvh5v967ipjhjjkuahvn6cjsa7@4ax.com>
<Hfqb6.1156$e5.2821807@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 02:30:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.4
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980303424 209.86.208.4 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001
18:30:24 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:30:24 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14098

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> When I answered the question about parasitic oscillations in 1964,
>I didn't have a clue what one was.

Did you get the answer to that clueless question right??   :-)

>Amateur Radio surviced

Amateur radio will survive the Bash like test we have today too.
That's not the question though. The question is: Do you think that a
test that allows people to pass without knowing the material is a good
test...

>In my own small way, I have made contributions.

There's no doubt about that Ed. Your accomplishments are outstanding ,
and I mean that sincerely. On the other hand, I've done absolutely

nothing for the ARS except use it. But what does that have to do with
our discussion on the merits of today's ham tests... :-)

From -2172070551736975601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:33:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!
newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!NetNews1!attws2!attsl2!attla2!
attla1!ip.att.net!bos-service1.ext.raytheon.com!cyclone.swbell.net!
typhoon.snet.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6E3E3A.D3D9B206@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Organization: American Radio Relay League
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<94kl6i$sg9$1@news.netmar.com> <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org>
<3A6DDFCC.BD9934A2@arrl.org> <94lajg$cj3$0@208.207.71.175>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:30:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.252.6.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@snet.net
X-Trace: typhoon.snet.net 980303427 64.252.6.66 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:30:27 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:30:27 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14099

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> >
> > Figures don't lie, but...
>
> Precisely the problem. If you don't make it clear what your point is-
> and the use of "3 percent per annum" with no further clarification won't
> work much of the time- and when it is clear that it's gonna cost 14.7%
> additional each year to subscribe, well, I think you can see the
> problem. Not everyone thinks in accountingese. I'd venture that most
> people don't.

In context, the remark was perfectly clear. I can't help that it took
you a while to figure it out.

Jon

From 6800241220226736351
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:36:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!osa.uu.net!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:36:18 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 76
Message-ID: <94lf3b$k6k$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <lL5b6.1112$e5.2751517@news.ntplx.net>
<20010123204139.00714.00003712@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.37.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980303787 20692 166.102.250.37 (24 Jan 2001 02:36:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Jan 2001 02:36:27 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14100

If I may disagree Larry, it is difficult to decide not to read, once you learn how. It is impossible to drive down the street and not read a billboard or two.

This particular guy was never allowed to attend school because his parents were uneducated fundamentalists. He was told most of his life he was not able to go to school because he was mentally handicapped.

He originally came to the lessons at my house because his buddies did. When he was having real problems with the code, I asked him to come over by himself a few nights.

The stupid one was me! It took me three nights before I finally realized he was illiterate.
When I finally asked him if he knew how to read he was very embarrassed. I asked him if he would like to never be embarrassed again. Then we started to work on learning. I learned as much as he did. I did not know how to teach someone to read, I have that skill now.

Within a year he was reading at a 10th grade level and we went to the Knoxville Hamfest for him to take his test. He came out of the session with a ticket and you couldn't have wiped the smile off his face with a shovel. I was like a proud father and I must admit to a few tears rolling down my face in joy.

I do not know if ham radio was the motivator or the vehicle, neither do I
care.

--
Fred W4JLE

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010123204139.00714.00003712@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <lL5b6.1112$e5.2751517@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
> <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:
>
> >
> >It appears to me, Larry, that the guy's concern with ham radio helped him
to
> >attain a major basic life skill, with some help from Fred. That must say
> >more to me about the value of ham radio than it does to you.
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Ed:
>
> Well, I disagree on this one, partly due to personal experience. I've
> always been one of those "Math-challenged" people -- my father is
> the same way. I had to take a "Math for Dummies" course at the
> local community college in order to fulfill the requirement for my
> Bachelor's degree. It was a self-paced course, and it took me
> two semesters to complete it with a grade of "B" -- the highest
> Math grade I ever received in my life. Ham radio, even the "old timey"
> written tests I took in the pre-VE days, did nothing to inspire me
> to learn advanced mathematics to the point where I can exploit
> that knowledge on a daily basis today. For this, and a lot of other
> reasons, I don't think ham radio can be the educational salvation
> of an already illiterate person. If it wasn't enough of a motivator to
> make me a Math-capable person, I don't have faith in it being a
> motivator to make a person able to read and write, either.
> Moreover, my personal preference would be to steer the
> illiterate AWAY from ham radio, in order to demonstrate that
> gaining literacy skills MUST take priority over hobbyist activities.
> My reasoning is that a person who learns to read only to pass
> their ham radio tests may throw that skill away once they barely
> succeed -- much the same way Morse code skill is discarded
> once HF operating privileges have been attained. The fact that
> a ham radio ticket is an "end game" to the learning process for
> many "hams" means that to me, it doesn't seem like the
> powerful educational tool some hams claim it to be.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

From 8811750540243181674
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:41:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:36:15 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A6E3F9F.77B98DBC@uci.edu>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <3A6C779F.C9B747E@uci.edu> <94j72o$k2a$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu> <94l9k7$9vf$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14101

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Not me. I gathered that Larry was referring to the possibility that
> somehow the government had become so tyranical, in violation of the
> Constitition, that someone concluded that armed rebellion was again in
> order. I can't imagine that, but I suppose it can't be completely ruled
> out.

Things would have to be a lot different than anything the framers of the Constitution ever imagined. I think they were trying to obviate the necessity for such things when they created that document.

But if it ever were to come to that, it sure as heck wouldn't be Brown Bess's and swords.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -8797530662527711688
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:45:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!

nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!NetNews1!attws2!attsl2!attla2!attla1!ip.att.net!bos-service1.ext.raytheon.com!cyclone.swbell.net!typhoon.snet.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6E4117.8D1B0DEB@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Organization: American Radio Relay League
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <94la08$ao0$0@208.207.71.175>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 47
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:42:31 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.252.6.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@snet.net
X-Trace: typhoon.snet.net 980304161 64.252.6.66 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:42:41 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:42:41 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14102

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> > This is one of the reasons I don't hang around rrap much any more -- too
> > many people like Dick who (willfully? ignorantly?)
>
> Try plainspokenly. Why can't you folks at Newington just state the
> facts in plain language?

It seemed plain enough to me.

> misinterpret simple,
> > direct statements and then use their misinterpretation as "evidence" of
> > unethical behavior on the part of the other party.
>
> So you assert that you folks at Newington never "spin" things to make
> them appear just a little better than they actually are? You say that
> the increase is only 3 percent per annum, gee, that isn't much.

What I said was that it wasn't very different from the rate of inflation
over the same period. I didn't say it "wasn't much" of an increase. In
fact, I said that ARRL members would decide that question for
themselves. Why do you "spin" things to make them just a little worse
than they are?

> But the
> simple fact is that it amounts to a 14.7 percent increase each and every
> year, henceforth. If you had said it in plain terms your statement would

> have made note of that.

If the topic had been the percentage increase over the $34 dues rate, I
would have used the 14.7% number. But it wasn't, so I didn't.

> Intended or not-and I fully accept that it was not-, your statement was
> ambiguous to anyone not current on accounting terms.

For the record, I didn't intend to confuse anyone.

> > Guess my stomach for
> > idiocy like this isn't as strong as Ed's.
>
> Well, Jon, don't let it give you an ulcer. I apologize for stirring you
> up. Was not intended.

Apology accepted, with thanks.

Jon

```
From -2915588394127276803
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:50:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7n3jh0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<dhas6tk7uvp4k5399scc1flvd7k9ao66d4@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 19
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 02:51:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980304676 206.58.44.46 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 02:51:16
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 02:51:16 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14103
```

On Wed, 24 Jan 2001 01:08:45 GMT, Aaron Jones wrote:

>Perhaps the rate increase does seem large. However in comparison to
>my hospitalization insurance which went up $200 a *month* or my
>property taxes which went up $2000 a year (my fault for moving to a
>nicer place), a $5 increase just didn't strike me as being all that
>bad... :-)

I just found a way to save an extra $5 a week if I wanted to....my favorite frozen yoghurt place just closed its doors.....

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -4649033962761643482
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:53:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:53:46 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <94lg42$kq3$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <zFnb6.1133$e5.2811852@news.ntplx.net>
<94lboe$fao$0@208.207.71.175> <Rsqb6.1158$e5.2822489@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.37.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980304834 21315 166.102.250.37 (24 Jan 2001 02:53:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Jan 2001 02:53:54 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14104

I hate it when people do stuff and then fudge the math to say they did something else.

If you got a pay raise for the first time in 3 years, do you divide the 10% increase over 3 years and tell your wife you got a 3.3% raise, or the actual 10% you received. That per year stuff may work for a bean counter but it is a 14.7% over my last dues for me.

Increases not made during previous years only enter the picture if you use the Clintonista type math. I am a big kid, I understand that dues increase, just don't blow smoke up my kilt and justify it with non-retroactive increases.

--
Fred W4JLE

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:Rsqb6.1158$e5.2822489@news.ntplx.net...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > Sure it helps. It helps to understand that the only possible reason for
> > computing it that way is to avoid stating up front that membership fees
> > are going up by 14.7%. Professional accountants and other bean counters
> > excepted, of course.
>
> Yeah, the League should have just come out and said that the dues went up
by
> $5 and not tried to hide it. Maybe we can get them to rewrite the news
story
> to just say that they went from $34 to $39 a year.
>
> Dick, Jon's initial statement was made in response to Dave's claiming that
> the dues increase had exceeded the rate of inflation. In that context,
what
> he said was perfectly accurate and useful. He didn't say it hoping to
> confuse you. You did that part on your own. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

From -971273118155168295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:54:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <1rgs6tgh3ru4sot762u121mqe9jt84lebk@4ax.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<nmds6t4dgivsgnslmln6q4e724ho94hs7p@4ax.com>
<V2rb6.1162$e5.2824797@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 02:54:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.114
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980304877 209.86.205.114 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:54:37 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:54:37 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14105

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>Perhaps it would have been more honest to just say that the dues were
>increasing from $34 to $39 a year.

Well let's see...
Jon first calls Dick ignorant (among other insults) and then calls
Cecil a liar. I think everybody knew it was a 5$ increase. The
difference in opinion was in how the percentage was figured. Do you
think that Headquarters handled their part of the argument civilly??

Jon to Dick:
"14.7% PER ANNUM (over 4 years), Dick? Your calculator's broken. Or
maybe it just needs a new battery.This is one of the reasons I don't
hang around rrap much any more -- too many people like Dick who
(willfully? ignorantly?) misinterpret simple, direct statements and
then use their misinterpretation as "evidence" of unethical behavior
on the part of the other party. Guess my stomach for idiocy like this
isn't as strong as Ed's.

Jon to Cecil:
Figures don't lie, but... [liars figure]

From -2496362603587604521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:55:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <p06q6tsbcko27utpser87em1i8dln0g29h@4ax.com>
<20010123071646.12166.00000961@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<b9as6to5cvh5v967ipjhjjkuahvn6cjsa7@4ax.com>
<Hfqb6.1156$e5.2821807@news.ntplx.net>
<8gfs6tc0o2db62107lieaeug9mangmo7l6@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 47
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Burb6.1164$e5.2826036@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:53:39 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980304929 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:55:29 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:55:29 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14106
```

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> > When I answered the question about parasitic oscillations in 1964,
> >I didn't have a clue what one was.

> Did you get the answer to that clueless question right??   :-)

I think I did; there was only one answer that had the word interference in it, if memory serves.

> >Amateur Radio surviced

> Amateur radio will survive the Bash like test we have today too.
> That's not the question though. The question is: Do you think that a
> test that allows people to pass without knowing the material is a good
> test...

Already answered. Now if you will admit that the question is not at all related to amateur radio testing, I may answer it differently, right after I ask you why you keep bringing it up in threads about amateur testing it if is not related. :-)

> >In my own small way, I have made contributions.

> There's no doubt about that Ed. Your accomplishments are outstanding ,
> and I mean that sincerely.

No, I did mean small contributions. Much of what I have done is to

coordinate the learned work of others. Valuable, perhaps, but not
brilliant.

> On the other hand, I've done absolutely nothing for the ARS except use
it. But what does that have to do with
> our discussion on the merits of today's ham tests... :-)

The fact that I took a test in 1964 for which I did what I feel most people
are doing today -- a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and
skipping a few tough topics. I don't believe that the scope or difficulty
or relative learning of todays tests are easier than they were in 1964, to
the contrary; I believe that the difficulty is somewhat higher. Why would
you expect me not to discuss today's tests it the context of tests I
actually took?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2332059115665043325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:56:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:56:14 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <94lg8m$ks3$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<nmds6t4dgivsgnslmln6q4e724ho94hs7p@4ax.com>
<V2rb6.1162$e5.2824797@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.37.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980304982 21379 166.102.250.37 (24 Jan 2001 02:56:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Jan 2001 02:56:22 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14107

Then why all the bull crap math? I have no problem with the increase. I have

```
one hell of a problem with the Clintonesque explanation.


--
Fred W4JLE NHI

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:V2rb6.1162$e5.2824797@news.ntplx.net...
> Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > >'Tis not my calculator that is broke, $5 is 14.7& of the %34 *annual*
> > >membership fee.
> > > Looks like an attempt to invoke New Math as yet another Newington
Smoke
> > >Screen. Doesn't work any better than it ever did.
>
> > I think that they're pro-rating it over a few years. That makes it
> > look better. Car salesman's tactics...   :-)
>
> Perhaps it would have been more honest to just say that the dues were
> increasing from $34 to $39 a year.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>




From -4426654072865866057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 18:57:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <lL5b6.1112$e5.2751517@news.ntplx.net>
<20010123204139.00714.00003712@nso-md.aol.com> <94lf3b$k6k$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <wwrb6.1165$e5.2826236@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:55:42 -0500
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980305052 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:57:32 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:57:32 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14108

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Within a year he was reading at a 10th grade level and we went to the
> Knoxville Hamfest for him to take his test. He came out of the session
with
> a ticket and you couldn't have wiped the smile off his face with a shovel.
I
> was like a proud father and I must admit to a few tears rolling down my
face
> in joy.

> I do not know if ham radio was the motivator or the vehicle, neither do I
> care.

Nor do I, Fred. I am sure he is thankful that you decided to be
"politically correct." Others would have not bothered because they "knew" it
was hopeless.

73, OM,
Ed, W1RFI

From -3470607747454112249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 19:04:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <lL5b6.1112$e5.2751517@news.ntplx.net>
<20010123204139.00714.00003712@nso-md.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Lines: 42
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <9Drb6.1166$e5.2826478@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 22:02:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980305477 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 22:04:37 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 22:04:37 EST

Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14109

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> Well, I disagree on this one, partly due to personal experience. I've always been one of those "Math-challenged" people -- my father is the same way. I had to take a "Math for Dummies" course at the local community college in order to fulfill the requirement for my Bachelor's degree. It was a self-paced course, and it took me two semesters to complete it with a grade of "B" -- the highest Math grade I ever received in my life.

Might explain why you think that everyone else memorizes tests without understanding. :-) The level of math on the General is being taught in 6th grade, though, Larry; it really isn't that hard.

> If it wasn't enough of a motivator to make me a Math-capable person, I don't have faith in it being a motivator to make a person able to read and write, either.

Fred told us the tale of his experiences. While I don't think they are typical, I think it also happened.

> My reasoning is that a person who learns to read only to pass their ham radio tests may throw that skill away once they barely succeed -- much the same way Morse code skill is discarded once HF operating privileges have been attained.

And you are reinforcing that by telling them that this is what they are supposed to do.

> The fact that a ham radio ticket is an "end game" to the learning process for many "hams" means that to me, it doesn't seem like the powerful educational tool some hams claim it to be.

"Many?" Care to put a percentage on that? (Warning, trick question!) I just don't accept the premise that most hams are becoming hams not to learn things.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8214572650112170677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 19:20:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!

```
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!
not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 22:20:29 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <94lhm3$lnd$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <lL5b6.1112$e5.2751517@news.ntplx.net>
<20010123204139.00714.00003712@nso-md.aol.com> <94lf3b$k6k$1@iac5.navix.net>
<wwrb6.1165$e5.2826236@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.37.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980306435 22253 166.102.250.37 (24 Jan 2001 03:20:35 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Jan 2001 03:20:35 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14110
```

You response left me in a dilemma Ed, I understand you felt I had done a good thing, and in your way acknowledging it was a good thing to do.

I was troubled by the "politically correct" remark. There was no politically correctness involved. It was one human being helping another, a ham mentoring someone who wanted to be come a ham.

This is the antithesis of "political correctness". Which is defined as giving lip service, to enhance ones standing with other like minded, ribbon wearing liberals, and results in little if any real change.

Fred W4JLE

> > I do not know if ham radio was the motivator or the vehicle, neither do
I
> > care.
>
> Nor do I, Fred. I am sure he is thankful that you decided to be
> "politically correct." Others would have not bothered because they "knew"
it
> was hopeless.
>
> 73, OM,
> Ed, W1RFI
>
>

```
From 561488886804852009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f8d79,6ae78d3a5900c16c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8d79,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6ae78d3a5900c16c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7cbb1a76699827ff
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e432,6ae78d3a5900c16c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e432,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 19:21:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!
newsfeeds.ihug.co.nz!lust.ihug.co.nz!ihug.co.nz!not-for-mail
From: Stuart Hawkins <anonymous@anonymous.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.fan.furry,alt.test
Subject: Re: Suzy Steals Toilet Paper
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 23:30:29 +1300
Organization: ihug ( New Zealand )
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3A6EAEC5.FB34C746@anonymous.com>
References: <94fi4m$902$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A6B5BB2.A2E39BFE@houston.net>
<94ft08$ame$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p51-max10.wlg.ihug.co.nz
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: lust.ihug.co.nz 980306496 2253 203.173.232.115 (24 Jan 2001 03:21:36 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ihug.co.nz
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 03:21:36 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11354
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14111 alt.fan.furry:13957 alt.test:70047

KC8JBO wrote:
>
> In article <3A6B5BB2.A2E39BFE@houston.net>,
>   Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
> > Buzzsaw wrote:
> >
> > >     Atlanta, GA (AP)--- Suzy Da Pig's plan to drive off with a
> truckload of
> > > stolen toilet paper went straight down the toilet.
> >
> > Poor thing.  One wonders what would drive someone to such desperation
> > over toilet paper.  Suzy must be very troubled, and charitable people
> > should really find a way to try and help out.
> >
> > Do you think that a toilet paper drive would help out?  Concerned
> > amateurs could simply mail a package to Suzy and eliminate the
> middleman
> > that so often burdens the act of charitable giving.
> >
> > This is just an idea, because I'm sure that there are many clever
> people
> > out there who can come up with some way to help Suzy.  Let's discuss
> > ways to help Suzy out;  it is in the best traditions of amateur radio.
> > -- SL
> >
>
> Maybe you two can beg and get a brain to share between you......
>
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/


From 5356963094192019058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 19:30:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 03:24:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 77
Message-ID: <94lhtf$5df$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
<Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net> <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94la5n$ao0$1@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.225.144.136
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 24 03:24:37 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.225.144.136
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14112

In article <94la5n$ao0$1@208.207.71.175>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> K0HB wrote:
> >
```

> > "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> >
> > > I think the "at this time" language in your post and in the
> > > resolution is pretty important. IMHO, the Board is saying
> > > that it will leave it to the correct time to deal with the issue.
> >
> > "Boldness has genius, power and magic in it."
> >   --von Goethe
> >
> > I was disappointed that the BoD display this "go with the flow -
> > wait and see" attitude. Having sat in that room in the past,
> > I know that boldness is often punished, but this seemed like
> > the perfect time to display some leadership and vision.
> >
> > Opportunity lost. Sigh!
>
> Hans! Whatcha doing, politicking for Head Honcho of NCI?

As the guy on TV sez, "Gimme a break!"

I do not support the destructive agenda of NCI. They are a splinter group of a few dozen loud mouths like Carl, Larry, Bryan, etc., snapping at the heels of the Amateur Radio service, claiming credit for some sort of 'victory' which was decided by the power of technology 20 years or more before they were organized.

If I had my preference, there would continue to be a Morse test as part of the entry to Amateur Radio.

But that war is lost.

It is time for me to use whatever energy is left to me to advance other important issues which have NOT yet been decided.

When I lived on Guam in the mid 60's, twenty years after VJ Day, there were still a few Japanese soldiers hiding in the caves and jungles of southern Guam, fiercely loyal to the Emperor of Japan, who did not know the war was over. They were proud but pathetic creatures, warriors in the service of a cause which had been defeated two decades earlier. Their weapons were rusted to uselessness, their uniforms a distant memory, and their country and the world had passed them by.

They were called "The Stragglers".

The war for Morse testing has been lost. Rather than become one of "The Stragglers" of this war,

a faded warrior defending the Emperor of Morse
Tests, I'm turning in my uniform and musket, and
turning my energies to other Amateur Radio issues
which need attention.

73, de Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3502054286021935185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 20:05:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 2
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: rasta567@aol.com (Rasta567)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 04:04:19 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: ARRL Life Membership
Message-ID: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14113

Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of becoming a Life Member of the
ARRL. Thanks

From -2044314475276841150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 20:17:08 PST
Message-ID: <3A6E560F.6F7E7E4A@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 04:11:59 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
References: <3A6D31C5.D8F4C50F@ovis.net> <20010123191033.17535.00001144@nso-fy.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Jan 2001 23:09:25 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 109
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14114

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A6D31C5.D8F4C50F@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >For Pete's sake, Len. You've been babbling here for years and many
> >might like to dissuade you, it hasn't yet worked.
>
> Quite true and not just for "Pete's sake."
>
> >No one can bar you
> >from expressing your opinions even if we don't share them or like them.
> >I, for one, reserve the right to call you a fat head if I disagree with
> >your views.
>
> You have done more than that, especially when not receiving
> any praise over the "clever" use of CW as an order-wire for
> a State Department FSK TTY circuit. :-)

I don't know whether you are not being forthright or are just forgetful
in your old age. I did not seek any praise. I did not come up with the
circuit. I simply used what was already in place. As I recall, you
tried telling me that what I stated was untrue. State didn't replace
the last model 28 in Africa until 1991, Len.

> >That's the other side of free speech. Being able to tell
> >you that I think you bring little to the table is MY right.
>
> Si, el Jefe. What you say is all right. What others say is
> all wrong unless it coincides with your light right.

What I say is my opinion and I have the same right to mine as you claim
for yours. I also have the right to disagree with you. Based on your
past views and some fairly recent ones, that disagreement will likely
continue.
>
> So...all readers can now expect name-calling to be a
> "tradition" in this newsgroup now that you are retired from
> government service?

I think we need a little clarification as to what you mean by your statement.

> >Where is it mandated that I must be any more "politically correct" in
> >putting forth my disagreement with something you might have written?
>
> Nobody is mandating Dave Heil. He is El Jefe and will call
> anyone a "fat head" if he feels like it. :-)

Len you've come up with dozens of cutesy little names for folks who have disagreed with you or crossed swords with you. I don't have to be chef d'newsgroup to call you a fat head. That happens to be my opinion of you.

> >Political correctness is another way of dancing around what one really
> >means. Folks are worried today about damaging the self esteem of
> >another. I'm not worried about your self esteem, Len.
>
> I am not worried about my self esteem, El Jefe.
>
> I think El Jefe is worried about his self-image.

Why would that be?

> >I would be most
> >interested in hearing you explain how the amateur radio service is doing
> >anything close to illegality or against the principles espoused in the
> >U.S. Constitution in disageeing with the views of Leonard Anderson.
>
> No you would not. You say the above to troll for another bout
> of Heilian ascerbicity to get even with another who did not
> please you in a newsgroup exchange.

You made comments as if they were fact and you did not bother to back them up. Thus, your comments are the usual load of crap.

> >Wrap yourself in the flag and get up on that soapbox, Len. Strike a
> >pose while I snap a photo. I'm sure that Ed can arrange to have it
> >appear in an upcoming issue of QST.
>
> How will that resolve anything, El Jefe? Why would QST bother
> with any photo of a non-amateur? QST is a membership
> magazine of the ARRL, isn't it?

Remember, Len, no man is a total loss. He can always serve as a horrible example.
>
> >> Just an observation from someone who believes in and
> >> once took a serious oath to defend the United States
> >> Constitution with his life if needs be.
> >
> >Oh my...

>
> Ah, so an expression of patriotism for the USA is suddenly
> passe'? Strange coming from a former employee of the US
> government. It was the United States government, wasn't it?

Don't play patriot with me. Anyone who has served in the military or
worked for the Federal Government takes the same oath. Funny--I don't
remember that oath saying anything about, "with my life". Did you make
that up?
>
> To who (or whom) is El Jefe's allegiance owed?

You say the above to troll for another bout of Andersonian ascerbicity
to get even with another who did not please you in a newsgroup exchange.
See ya later, El Hefty Cabesa.

Dave K8MN

From -2746821728438713501
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 20:23:15 PST
Message-ID: <3A6E57AF.4020B4B7@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 04:18:55 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <3A6DAF3D.DFB259F9@ovis.net>
<3A6DB59F.8F8FE110@arrl.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Jan 2001 23:16:09 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 22
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14115

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Jon Bloom wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:

> > >
> > > Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.
> >
> > I hope some of that money finds its way into funding for remedial math
> > skills for you, Jon. $3.40 would be a hike of 10%. 3% would be $1.02.
> > Now how much would a five dollar hike be?
>
> Per-annum, Dave. You know, the same basis on which inflation is usually
> stated.

But that wasn't stated by me or by you, Jon. Without the qualifier, the
one-time hike is much steeper.

Dave Heil K8MN

From -6128707646158895377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 20:33:01 PST
Message-ID: <3A6E5A7A.3DAF9E4C@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 04:30:50 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <zFnb6.1133$e5.2811852@news.ntplx.net>
<94lboe$fao$0@208.207.71.175> <Rsqb6.1158$e5.2822489@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Jan 2001 23:28:09 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 26
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14116

Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > Sure it helps. It helps to understand that the only possible reason for
> > computing it that way is to avoid stating up front that membership fees
> > are going up by 14.7%. Professional accountants and other bean counters
> > excepted, of course.
>

> Yeah, the League should have just come out and said that the dues went up by
> $5 and not tried to hide it. Maybe we can get them to rewrite the news story
> to just say that they went from $34 to $39 a year.
>
> Dick, Jon's initial statement was made in response to Dave's claiming that
> the dues increase had exceeded the rate of inflation. In that context, what
> he said was perfectly accurate and useful. He didn't say it hoping to
> confuse you. You did that part on your own. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I don't think I made that statement, Ed. All I said was that inflation
was low. I never used the per annum term nor keyed the dues hike to the
annual rate of inflation.

Dave K8MN

From -2339408941676570265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 21:06:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <9nos6tsk10380lkec0in7bfnfa180knkfc@4ax.com>
References: <p06q6tsbcko27utpser87em1i8dln0g29h@4ax.com>
<20010123071646.12166.00000961@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<b9as6to5cvh5v967ipjhjjkuahvn6cjsa7@4ax.com>
<Hfqb6.1156$e5.2821807@news.ntplx.net>
<8gfs6tc0o2db62107lieaeug9mangmo7l6@4ax.com>
<Burb6.1164$e5.2826036@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 05:06:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.237
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980312778 209.86.207.237 (Tue, 23 Jan
2001 21:06:18 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:06:18 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14117

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

>Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>> Do you think that a test that allows people to pass
>> without knowing the material is a good test...

> if you will admit that the question is not at all
>related to amateur radio testing, I may answer it differently...

But my question *is* related to ham testing. Did not our very own Kim
say that she passed the Technician exam without knowing the material?
And further that many of the hams that she knew did the same thing...

> Why would you expect me not to discuss today's tests it the
> context of tests I actually took?

Because when you equate today's tests with the tests of 40 years ago
it doesn't prove that today's tests are any good. It just says that
you think those old tests weren't any worse...

From 2027232637201248766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 21:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: jamesrhampton@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 05:08:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <94lo00$a9a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.181.72
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 24 05:08:20 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt; AT&T WNS5.0 IE5.0.01; AT&T WNS5.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 12.88.181.72
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDjamesrhampton
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14118 rec.radio.amateur.misc:11361

Hans, when I was active on HF back in the 60s, that was the common
expression. "The handle here is ..." was the norm. Apparently, CB
picked up on this and therefore everyone now says this is a CB term.
Oh well. I got my knuckles rapped for this once. I don't get upset
anymore. We had a guy on 440 FM ranting on about the importance of
CW. We tried to change the subject, but he wouldn't let up.

Fortunately, the repeater owner was on the repeater in the group so ... he and I switched to F-2 emission - about 40 WPM. That ended that. Whatever someone wants, now I try to accommodate them. This even included (many years ago) switching to American Morse on the air!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

In article <94286f$7a9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> For those who get their shorts all knotted up about CB lingo on the
ham
> bands, here is an excerpt from a book written 60 years ago by Clinton
> DeSoto.
>
> "Among radio amateurs there is a genuine brotherhood and informal
> camaraderie. Everyone is called by his "handle" -- his first name
> or nickname. The president of the Chicago Stock Exchange and the
> mechanic in a Birmingham garage are just "Paul" and "Joe" when
> they meet on the air."
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> > >Frisbeetarianism: The belief that when you die,
> > >your soul goes up the on roof and gets stuck.
>
> > What roof would this be?
>
> The Roof of the Universe. And in the Last Days,
> when the Great Frisbee that shineth in the sky
> hath gone out, then shalt the Almighty Thrower
> ascend the Ladder of Life and collect the souls
> of those who have flown free, and take them The
> Eternal Park, where we shall sail upon the Spring
> Breezes for ever and ever, and be Fetched by the
> Holy Dog. Amen.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6238796897887129525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 21:33:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!

```
news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 05:31:25 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A6E68AD.B49B121@bctv.com>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-16.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 980314388 38608 63.87.116.16 (24 Jan 2001 05:33:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Jan 2001 05:33:08 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14119



Rasta567 wrote:
>
> Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of  becoming a Life Member of the
> ARRL. Thanks

Well, they can't raise membership rates on you -- the only way to get
more money per year of your membership is to kill you.


From 8669207092407832777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 21:38:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 00:38:46 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3A6E6A4D.CD334D0C@sccoast.net>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <3A6840EC.7722AC5F@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-59.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 980314699 34025 204.116.70.60 (24 Jan 2001 05:38:19 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 05:38:19 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14120 rec.radio.amateur.misc:11363

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > There has been much talk about the
> > Clinton legacy. As William J.
> > Clinton leaves office, lets take a
> > look at a few things around the
> > country to discover the real Clinton
> > legacy.
>
> Dwight, you deliberately omitted the
> educational things BJ (Billy Jefferson)
> did - like a months long discussion of
> oral sex on nationwide TV. :-)

True. I'm sorry. There were indeed some positive things. In addition to what you mention, there is also a renewed awareness of semantics, which can be very helpful for those having an affair (I did not have sex with that women - I don't define "oral sex" as sex). Boy, that can be stretched to fit many sexual activities (which is what makes it so useful), but I'll leave that to the imagination of others. ;-)

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6490417716778329969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 22:09:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 23:59:25 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7

Message-ID: <3A6E6F3C.956C6A65@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<nmds6t4dgivsgnslmln6q4e724ho94hs7p@4ax.com>
<V2rb6.1162$e5.2824797@news.ntplx.net>
<1rgs6tgh3ru4sot762u121mqe9jt84lebk@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.d1
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 24 Jan 2001 06:06:45 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14121

Aaron Jones wrote:
> Jon to Cecil:
> Figures don't lie, but... [liars figure]

I assumed Jon was talking about himself. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1518977564731238З0
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 10:33:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!skynet.be!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 71
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 18:32:36 GMT
References: <Kzpb6.1149$e5.2819002@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010124133236.25954.00001430@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14172

In article <Kzpb6.1149$e5.2819002@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> >Very few hams have a spectrum analyzer.
>
>> On one hand, a TxPorter from Motron is considered "valuable" at

>> $800 for fingerprinting repeater kerchunkers, but a spectrum
>> analyzer is not considered good?
>
>Very few hams have fingerprinting equipment, too.
>
>I think the spectrum analyzer would be the more valuable of the two.
>
>> An MF to VHF amateur spectrum analyzer can be built for less
>> than $400 in essential parts, using an old oscilloscope for a
>> display. Analog Devices has some excellent logarithmic
>> amplifier-successive-detector ICs that can yield an 80 db

>Two good sources of info are:
>
>http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/9808035.pdf - Part 1- a spectrum analyzer
>construction project
>http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/9809037.pdf - Part 2
>http://www.science-workshop.com/Joe_Carr%27s_NV_article.htm - Poor man's
>spectrum analyzer

I saw the two-part ARRL TIS web-reprint. Too many parts, not enough dynamic range, coupling of dispersion and sweep rate not optimum.

Analog Devices has a choice of Log-Amp-Detectors which have a bandwidth going beyond the usual MF-to-VHF analyzer needs in frequency response, but the available logarithmic range goes from 40 db to 100 db. No trimming, no fooling around with old-style discrete log-amp parts. One (thin-film?) package, under $50 in singles (Analog Devices will sell single pieces). That is the heart of a spectrum analyzer.

The very same family of Log-Amp-Detectors can be used to make a wide-range Power Meter that can measure power input over a 60 db range (log readout) with direct frequency input up to 1 GHz and a tad beyond...or a 40 db input range that will go just beyond 1.2 GHz.

A Power Meter _IS_ a valuable tool for pro HF to UHF folks. It could directly measure the output of HF to UHF Local Oscillators. With a measured directlional coupler it can also measure forward and reverse power of any transmission line carrying a transmit signal.

>A tutorial on spectrum analyzers is found at:
>
>http://www.science-workshop.com/

Joe Carr is a prolific author but those instruments are about as useful as the "DPDT Switch Wiring" alluded to by another. The Agilent website has _several_ PDF files on spectrum analyzer tutorials and applications and has made excellent spectrum analyzer instruments for more than four decades. All of those files are FREE for download.

The Agilent/Hewlett-Packard Application Note site has plenty of information for all kinds of instrumentation and measurement. Why go to the equivalent of a backyard garage workshop when one can access successful laboratories for accurate FREE information???

didit

```
From -4907272273551978122
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 10:33:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 167
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 18:32:33 GMT
References: <X0qb6.1152$e5.2820823@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010124133233.25954.00001427@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14173
```

In article <X0qb6.1152$e5.2820823@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> The US Congress mandated the FCC to perform biennial reviews
>> of regulations. "Biennial" is defined as every two years, not four.
>
>I will gladly put a lobster dinner wager on whether the FCC revisits the
>Morse code testing as part of its next biennial review. I would go for
>dessert that they don't even make major changes the next time around.

I have too many other activities going on to take a trip to the New England area, Ed. If we could make it a red trout dinner (dessert optional) then I know an excellent new restaurant in the unlikely place of Green River, Utah, that serves a most delicious red trout. Call it a "middle ground." :-)

The bet is on for the middle ground payoff. I say there will be some kind of major change, but not what you expect.

>> I thought that any sort of "policy" affects the future. The decision
>> could have just as easily been made to read that it (the BoD)
>> supports that the FCC should begin changing the code test
>> requirement as soon as S25.5 is deleted or amended to void the
>> code test for a below-30-MHz-privilege amateur radio license.
>
>It could have been made. I don't think that it could have been made
>"easily" considering the strong differences of opinion amongst ARRL members.

I was surprised the BoD even put it on the table!

>> Yes, a meteor can fall or aliens invade the earth...
>
>My views have gone through some evolution over the past 4 years. Every
>single Director who serves on the Board now will be facing an election cycle
>over the next 3 years.

As a non-member of the ARRL I am not obliged to any "Director." Not to any "Board," not to any "official" of the League.

As a citizen of the USA I have elected government officials who will get my input plus organizations of the US government who make laws and regulate various things. I am not concerned with "elections" in private organizations. In fact, I won't depend on ANY private organization to "cast any ballot" of any kind for me.

>Do you believe that ARRL's concerns about the overall health and well being
>of the Amateur Radio Service are not int the best interests of its
>membership?

I would presume that the membership has already chosen which "side" they are on and simply let the League do any lobbying for them while they play with their radios. Those that are in the upper echelons of the ARRL, particularly the BoD, cannot be said to be completely altruistic as to the overall health and well being of the US ARS. They are not a government yet they put on trappings and organizational structure as if they were a pseudo-government. That's a ready-made environment for individuals who can afford to not work for a living and also experience the heady power of control over a membership of 170 thousand plus. Control is a subtle drug, Ed, once achieved it is hard to let go.

I'm not saying anyone on the BoD or in upper echelons is like that, Ed, just pointing out the what COULD happen. That DOES happen with elected government officials and private organizations do not have checks-and-balances and laws against them close to elected government officials. Why can't it happen in private organizations?

The ARRL BoD makes policy of the ARRL, Ed. That policy influences all output from the ARRL, everything from newsletters to QST to the website to legal representation and lobbying in Washington, DC. Everything. If some members did not agree at first, the inundation from ARRL material will eventually bring them around, even if in a state of ennui.

At this point you are no doubt planning a response which will place the ARRL in the best possible light. That would be "spin" but you will argue it is not. :-) Save the effort, please.

>I also think that the overall health and well being of the
>Amateur Radio Service are what is good for the ARRL.

Non-sequitur. Voting membership in the ARRL can only come from amateurs already licensed in amateur radio.

That brings up another point. The ARRL seeks to determine amateur radio licensing requirements through policy statements and lobbying in DC. It is rather obvious to those NOT members that such influence by already-licensed amateurs (in the membership) would have them set policy so as to keep requirements that the members met. That is simply human nature...and the continuation of hazing rituals. If They had to struggle with some requirements, then, by Hiram, everyone else must also struggle (to be as good as They are now).

You say they don't do such things? There's evidence all around that they do. Look at the whole morse code thing, from use to license test (never mind that some have fun with morse code, people have fun with all kinds of stuff). Those who struggled to achieve proficiency in morse code once are now older, more set in their ways, and have the time to want to continue with it. Those favor the morse code and are more inclined to favor keeping it. After a couple generations plus publishing influence favoring that, there is a de facto "standard" that morse code somehow MUST continue as the prime standard of excellence of amateur radio. Those that favor such become self-styled episcopates who continue to promote their singular skill.

It takes an enormous act of intellectual struggle to overcome a personal favored skill in order to look at the broader picture of "all amateur radio" and attempt to see what other (lesser?) people favor. Why should the episcopate care? They are now in their "senior" years and wish to enjoy what they have accomplished in their youth. They can afford to lay back and do not wish to struggle with new environments, either physical or mental.

I congratulate the BoD on achieving a modicum of success
in enough (not all) overcoming their personal desires and
realizing a future that is not how they wish it to be.

>> >The Board dealt with the international treaty now because now is when the
>> >decisions need to be made.
>
>> Disagree. The time to act, to show the leadership and vision
>> stated by Hans Brakob, should have come at least two years
>> earlier. Stating that time-now was "the time to act" looks
>> more like a rubber-stamp approval of whatever the BoD does.
>
>I agree; in my personal opinion, two years ago would have been better. Would
>the Board have reached the same decision two years ago? Did it?

I cannot divine what is in the minds of the episcopate, that
group of "bishops" who would set out standards of everything
for a congregation. Everyone can only surmise their actions
based on past decisions...which has been rather constant in
certain things since the apostles first gathered in 1914.

Now how can you reasonably expect non-members and the
unlicensed to be dictated to in regards to overall amateur radio
policy? What made this episcopate divine? They did. They
struggled from a simple oligarchy to a virtual religion
complete with dogma and icons and faith (see "Amateur's
Code" number 2).

Keep in mind that not everyone was baptized into some
amateur radio faith in their teen years. Patronizing attitudes of
past BoDs "We know what is best" for amateur radio or any
other thing does not sit well with adults capable of independent
reasoning and experience. It is only accurate to say that past
BoDs "know what is best for themselves." By demonstration.

didit

From -3376442040463232953
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 10:45:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 12:35:23 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A6F206B.6154F86A@IEEE.org>
References: <3ias6tsioso9sfv97jcjv2mi2ijfhc1n8e@4ax.com>
<20010124065922.13180.00000822@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<n82u6t89710khcnod2gdnk8ddp31ee330i@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8c.06
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 24 Jan 2001 18:44:53 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14174
```

Aaron Jones wrote:

> But that's not what I was commenting on.
> I was comparing the 50's era average ham's technical abilities to the
> technical abilities of today's average ham. I think the 50's average
> ham wins hands down...

I don't know about that. I am infinitely more technical now than
I was in the 50s. How about you?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 7834825814743612823
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 10:57:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 12:47:40 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A6F234C.D2711867@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <zFnb6.1133$e5.2811852@news.ntplx.net>
<94lboe$fao$0@208.207.71.175> <Rsqb6.1158$e5.2822489@news.ntplx.net>
<3A6E5A7A.3DAF9E4C@ovis.net> <3A6EF215.CDDA8AC@arrl.org>
<3A6F00E2.690A2489@IEEE.org> <3A6F11D9.18545692@arrl.org>
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8c.06
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 24 Jan 2001 18:56:31 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14175
```

Jon Bloom wrote:

>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > The first thread I noticed was where Dick said $5 is 14.7% of $34
> > and you disagreed. I had no "original words" on the subject because
> > I wasn't reading the thread.
>
> In the not-twisted-by-Cecil version I said it's not 14.7% per annum over
> 4 years.

It doesn't happen over 4 years - it happens *once* at renewal time.
It is a 14.7% step function. My heartbeat has averaged 5 beats per
minute over the past 1000 years but why does that matter?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 7747702035724336261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 10:57:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <X0qb6.1152$e5.2820823@news.ntplx.net>
<20010124133233.25954.00001427@nso-fm.aol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 148
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <SAFb6.1176$e5.2873980@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:56:24 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980362674 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:57:54 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:57:54 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14176
```

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> I have too many other activities going on to take a trip to
> the New England area, Ed. If we could make it a red
> trout dinner (dessert optional) then I know an excellent new
> restaurant in the unlikely place of Green River, Utah, that
> serves a most delicious red trout. Call it a "middle
> ground." :-)

The last one I payed up was in Phoenix. I don't even want to tell you what it cost. :-)

> The bet is on for the middle ground payoff. I say there
> will be some kind of major change, but not what you
> expect.

I tend toward expecting that they will leave it alone. The biennial review is just that -- a review. They can meet the requirements and say that they think it just fine. I would guess, however, they would issue an NOI and see what changes the Service believes it needs, or that others feel are appropriate.

> >> I thought that any sort of "policy" affects the future. The decision
> >> could have just as easily been made to read that it (the BoD)
> >> supports that the FCC should begin changing the code test
> >> requirement as soon as S25.5 is deleted or amended to void the
> >> code test for a below-30-MHz-privilege amateur radio license.

> >It could have been made. I don't think that it could have been made
> >"easily" considering the strong differences of opinion amongst ARRL
members.

> I was surprised the BoD even put it on the table!

I wasn't; they said that they would. :-) I think being the one sole nay vote at the R3 IARU meeting some months ago had an impact. I chatted with a friend on the Board and the reason that there was any discussion of the US requirements in the resolution was to ensure that ARRL members understood that the policy change wrt the international requirements was NOT a decision about the US requirements. At some point, the Board will have to address that, but they could do so by changing,or affirming, the present policy. My guess? Three to four years away.

> >> Yes, a meteor can fall or aliens invade the earth...

> >My views have gone through some evolution over the past 4 years. Every
> >single Director who serves on the Board now will be facing an election
cycle
> >over the next 3 years.

> As a non-member of the ARRL I am not obliged to any "Director."
> Not to any "Board," not to any "official" of the League.

As a member of ARRL, I am not obiliged to set my personal opinion to the ARRL Board, either. ARRL members may disagree with an ARRL Director, or even run against that Director in an election and throw the bum out. :-)

> As a citizen of the USA I have elected government officials who
> will get my input plus organizations of the US government who
> make laws and regulate various things. I am not concerned
> with "elections" in private organizations. In fact, I won't depend
> on ANY private organization to "cast any ballot" of any kind for
> me.

Nor should ARRL members. ARRL members should contact their Director to shape the policies of the ARRL, but when matters come up before the FCC that concern them, they should make their own views known to the FCC through the formal commenting process.

> I'm not saying anyone on the BoD or in upper echelons is
> like that, Ed, just pointing out the what COULD happen.

Whew, you had me worried about it for a minute, Len. :-)

> At this point you are no doubt planning a response which will
> place the ARRL in the best possible light. That would be
> "spin" but you will argue it is not. :-) Save the effort, please.

Your mind is already made up; no sense confusing it with facts? Well, as long as you stick to what "could" happen, no harm done. :-)

> That brings up another point. The ARRL seeks to determine
> amateur radio licensing requirements through policy
> statements and lobbying in DC. It is rather obvious to those
> NOT members that such influence by already-licensed
> amateurs (in the membership) would have them set policy
> so as to keep requirements that the members met.

If it was "rather obvious," perhaps the League could have "rather obviously" not chosen its new position wrt international code testing.

> Those who struggled to achieve proficiency in morse code once
> are now older, more set in their ways, and have the time
> to want to continue with it.

I struggled with and achieved proficiency in Morse code, Len.

> It takes an enormous act of intellectual struggle to overcome
> a personal favored skill in order to look at the broader
> picture of "all amateur radio" and attempt to see what other
> (lesser?) people favor.

The possession or lack therof of Morse-code copying skills does not make anyone greater than or less than me. That skill is a plus, lack of it is a minus, but that can be said for any of hundreds of other skills that are important to ham radio, some more so than Morse code ability.

> I congratulate the BoD on achieving a modicum of success
> in enough (not all) overcoming their personal desires and
> realizing a future that is not how they wish it to be.

> >I agree; in my personal opinion, two years ago would have been better.
Would
> >the Board have reached the same decision two years ago? Did it?

> I cannot divine what is in the minds of the episcopate, that
> group of "bishops" who would set out standards of everything
> for a congregation. Everyone can only surmise their actions
> based on past decisions...which has been rather constant in
> certain things since the apostles first gathered in 1914.

Getting a bit esoteric for me, Len. Can you restate that without all the
fluff and 'buzzwords?'

> Now how can you reasonably expect non-members and the
> unlicensed to be dictated to in regards to overall amateur radio
> policy? What made this episcopate divine? They did. They
> struggled from a simple oligarchy to a virtual religion
> complete with dogma and icons and faith (see "Amateur's
> Code" number 2).

Ditto.

> Keep in mind that not everyone was baptized into some
> amateur radio faith in their teen years. Patronizing attitudes of
> past BoDs "We know what is best" for amateur radio or any
> other thing does not sit well with adults capable of independent
> reasoning and experience. It is only accurate to say that past
> BoDs "know what is best for themselves." By demonstration.

Ditto.

Thanks.

73,
Ed, W1RFI

From -6028873180964162016
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 11:09:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 20:34:16 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <94ne88$5nj$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <94ke4a$15b$0@208.207.71.163> <20010124074037.13180.00000833@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <94mvkt$ahh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6F1CBA.1AACC5C9@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 980368456 5875 205.139.138.14 (24 Jan 2001 20:34:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Jan 2001 20:34:16 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: kelly@dvol.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14177
```

In article <3A6F1CBA.1AACC5C9@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

> Locking some of the current freebies behind a password ought
> to be n the right direction.

My point exactly. The "front page" of the web site is pretty damn good! The members are paying somebody to do that for us, and the product is first class. Stuff I'd pay to subscribe to!

And we're giving it away free -- part of >our< $5.00 dues hike will pay for it.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From -2087690739038314560
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 11:10:13 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 18:59:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94kkd2$ah3$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124070940.13180.00000825@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.100
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 24 18:59:06 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.100
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14178

> I am not sure that the emergency equipment of today is all that complex. If I
> designed it, I would design it such that the operator pushed a button and the
> "SOS" was sent. I bet that such equipment is more reliable than the HW-7 that
> you used as an example.

They make something very much like that for small boats and have had such a thing for small aircraft for decades. They basically only scream for help on an emergency frequency that is located and monitored by satellite. If there is no need to state the nature of the emergency or the needs of the emergency, then the only thing to worry about is false alarms. These things are perfect for after the ship sinks or after the plane crashes and there are no survivors to push the button (totally automatic).

If you don't feel the need for a radio operator, and your oars are well tied down, what other emergency could you ever have? Which brings us to the awful question: Do you really need a radio operator at all, if you have a wife with a laptop, an e-mail account and a satellite account. Oh-Oh! There goes the battery again. Those satellite terminals are neat for truck drivers and everybody else for that matter, and I have demo'd a satellite phone that will operate anywhere in NA that looks like a laptop computer (antenna is in the lid) You open up the lid and move the whole unit around until the meter reads into the green and pick up the handset and make your call. There is also a cell phone that has an accessory attachment that contains a converter and satellite antenna that allows you to do the same thing.

Goodies like these would seem to make the radioman obsolete, but maybe you need someone on board to operate all these gadgets anyway. If that is the case wouldn't you want someone with a whole bunch of tricks in his arsenal, including ham radio and CW capability?

Wouldn't it be neat if life were like a bowling alley, where all life's messes are swept away and the pins reset automatically? But they still need someone to fix the jams on the pin machine.

What I'm getting at, If you can't depend on a telephone line, you probably need some kind of wireless intervention for your communications. And since no single device has the simplicity and versatility and operating procedures of the land-line telephone that we use every day, we will have to carry around a lot more than an emergency phone list. If I could only take one radio with me on the road, It would be an HF mobile and it would have CW capability because I know what it is worth. If I could take two, I would add a cell phone.

Jim, ac6tk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4758804868797471831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 11:13:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9nos6tsk10380lkec0in7bfnfa180knkfc@4ax.com>
<20010124070305.13180.00000823@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<b82u6tgoscj6mufq2ur00ukn1a4vr33e1m@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <yPFb6.1177$e5.2875551@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:12:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980363614 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:13:34 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:13:34 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14179

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

> >I think that testing that has not created major problems
> >in 40 years of being at about the same level is not
> >an invalid test, to use your words.

> So your position is that even though today's ham tests are faulty,
> that's okay because it causes no major problems??

That is incorrect. If it is your contention that my saying that a test that
has not caused major problems is faulty, I think that this conversation is
no longer conversation, but has devolved into some sort of game.

Sorry. I don't want to play. Please do engage me in conversation when you
seriously want discourse and to hear my ideas.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -962683566700601872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 11:16:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ias6tsioso9sfv97jcjv2mi2ijfhc1n8e@4ax.com>
<20010124065922.13180.00000822@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<n82u6t89710khcnod2gdnk8ddp31ee330i@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <ZRFb6.1178$e5.2875747@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:14:40 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980363769 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:16:09 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:16:09 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14180

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> I think it would be best to have the largest number of technical hams
> possible in any time period. But that's not what I was commenting on.
> I was comparing the 50's era average ham's technical abilities to the
> technical abilities of today's average ham. I think the 50's average
> ham wins hands down...

With the additional provision that you also have to factor in "relative to
the technology of the day," I agree.

The average ham of today may know more than the average ham of the 1950s.
The highly technical ham of today probably does know more than the highly
technical ham of the 50s, on average.

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 743647695038481938
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 11:16:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <7fas6tsamek2keq28bl0f7nbmbr9d2v153@4ax.com>
<20010124070616.13180.00000824@ng-mb1.aol.com> <94mtv3$o4a$0@208.207.71.144>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <HSFb6.1179$e5.2875695@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:15:26 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980363815 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:16:55 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:16:55 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14181

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:94mtv3$o4a$0@208.207.71.144...

> > Tell you what, Aaron; send me your mailing address and I will send you a
2000
> > Handbook I salvaged from the bent-cover bin. :-)

> such as

```
> Occupant
> P O BOX 10101
> Anywhere, AZ 77000  ?

>  Ed, I don't think you'll ever smoke him  out! :-)

ARRL ships UPS. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
```

```
From -7258459085978565127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f64c6,1c1edeb778ee76c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf64c6,public
X-Google-Thread: 114671,1c1edeb778ee76c
X-Google-Attributes: gid114671,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,1c1edeb778ee76c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1c1edeb778ee76c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 11:23:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeeds.belnet.be!
news.belnet.be!news1.ebone.net!news.ebone.net!diablo.netcom.net.uk!netcom.net.uk!
pubnews.netcom.net.uk!not-for-mail
From: "{[G8ASO]}" <bajones@worcester.demon.co.uk>
Newsgroups:
aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.r
adio.amateur
Subject: Re: Anthony Hancock
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 19:23:26 -0000
Organization: (Posted via) GTS Netcom - Public USENET Service http://
pubnews.netcom.net.uk
Lines: 24
Sender: g8aso@sify.com
Message-ID: <94na3u$88i$1@taliesin.netcom.net.uk>
References: <94mkih$eb4dt$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de>
Reply-To: "{[G8ASO]}" <bajones@worcester.demon.co.uk>
NNTP-Posting-Host: l225ppp110.ksc.net.th
X-Trace: taliesin.netcom.net.uk 980364227 8466 203.155.225.110 (24 Jan 2001
19:23:47 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corp.netcom.net.uk
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Jan 2001 19:23:47 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com aus.radio.amateur.misc:1585
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:5911 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14182
uk.radio.amateur:16647
```

```
Got it and good advice too, as I have never heard it before. What a bloody
hoot, now I know where they get it from.


"G8ASO;;;;;" <not@here.com> wrote in message
news:94mkih$eb4dt$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de...
> http://members.nbci.com/g8arsehole/
>
> The "lad" himself and arguably the most famous radio ham of them all!
>
> Converted to mono and only a 4MB file in zipped MP3 for free download, the
> full episode "The Radio Ham"
>
> Download with Go!Zilla to resume partial downloads, link on my site above.
>
> G8ASO
>
> http://laughingpoliceman.com  LPWS representative on Earth.
>
>
>
>




From -8233278764463244096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 11:27:31 PST
Message-ID: <3A6F2A8A.4E26B40@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 19:18:34 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6E57AF.4020B4B7@ovis.net> <20010124072054.13180.00000828@ng-
mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s5.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 24 Jan 2001 14:22:24 -0500, s5.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 41
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s5.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14183

W1RFI wrote:
>
```

```
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@ovis.net
>
> >> Per-annum, Dave. You know, the same basis on which inflation is usually
> >> stated.
>
> >But that wasn't stated by me or by you, Jon.
>
> Sure it was, Dave.  You stated that the dues rate was excessive wrt inflation.
> Inflation has an implied "per time" factor built in to the very meaning of the
> word.  In the context you used it, the only way to compare the dues increase to
> inflation is to go back to the last dues increase and compare the increase to
> inflation over that time.  Most inflation figures are reported on a percentage
> vs time basis (usually annual) so the easiest way to compare them is to give
> the annual rate of the dues increase as a percentage.  Jon did so, and added
> the per-annum qualifier to make it more clear.
>
> > Without the qualifier, the one-time hike is much steeper.
>
> If you feel that the ARRL should increase the dues every year at a rate that
> matches the general inflation rate instead of catching up every four years, you
> should suggest that to your Director.  When you do, keep in mind the way the
> League did t this time saved you about $7.00 over the 4 years it did not
> increase the dues.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI


Ed,

I did my saving nearly 25 years ago and made a committment to the League
at the same time by becoming a Life Member in 1977 or 1978.  My concern
is for those who are not Life Members.  You can't say that I'm guilty of
the "I've got mine" syndrome.  I've been a League member for all but a
few years (during some of my time in the Air Force) since 1964.  Had it
not been for the (then) pathetic wages paid by the League, I'd have been
a staffer in 1975.

Dave K8MN



From -4621066199251340444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 11:33:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6F2DEE.3C763E7B@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <94ke4a$15b$0@208.207.71.163> <20010124074037.13180.00000833@ng-mb1.aol.com> <94mvkt$ahh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6F1CBA.1AACC5C9@dvol.com> <94ne88$5nj$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:33:02 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.36
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980364759 206.20.144.36 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:32:39 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:32:39 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14184

K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:

> . . The "front page" of the web site is pretty damn good!

>
Yes it is.
>

> The members are paying somebody to do that for us, and the product is first
> class. Stuff I'd pay to subscribe to!
>
> And we're giving it away free -- part of >our< $5.00 dues hike will pay for
> it.

>
Buncha crap. The only stuff I'd leave in the free-access space would be material which promotes ham radio and ARRL membership, publications, etc. pretty much like it is. But TIS *absolutely* gotta be moved into the member's space. If I read one of his posts correctly, Ed is moving in that direction.

>
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

>
w3rv

From 6973474397331479409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 11:37:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<nmds6t4dgivsgnslmln6q4e724ho94hs7p@4ax.com>
<V2rb6.1162$e5.2824797@news.ntplx.net> <94lg8m$ks3$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <7aGb6.1181$e5.2877130@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:36:10 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980365059 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:37:39 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 14:37:39 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14185

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Then why all the bull crap math? I have no problem with the increase. I
have
> one hell of a problem with the Clintonesque explanation.

The explanation was in response to a statement that the dues increase was not justifiable with the present low rate of inflation. I really can't think of a better way to have resonded than to express the dues increase in terms of a per-annum increase.

Do you have any suggestions as to how Jon could have answered it better?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4979537799757406200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 11:40:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 19:32:29 GMT

Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <94nakd$lj7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <zFnb6.1133$e5.2811852@news.ntplx.net>
<94lboe$fao$0@208.207.71.175> <Rsqb6.1158$e5.2822489@news.ntplx.net>
<3A6E5A7A.3DAF9E4C@ovis.net> <3A6EF215.CDDA8AC@arrl.org>
<3A6F00E2.690A2489@IEEE.org> <3A6F11D9.18545692@arrl.org>
<3A6F234C.D2711867@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 24 19:32:29 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14186

Cecil wrote:

> My heartbeat has averaged 5 beats per
> minute over the past 1000 years but
> why does that matter?

Hell, I saw picture of you at your web side and you didn't look a
day over 500!

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4711604525039544512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 11:44:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 19:43:33 GMT
References: <20010124075330.13180.00000835@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124144333.13433.00003694@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14187

In article <20010124075330.13180.00000835@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>See http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/part15.html for ARRL's info on Part 15
>devices. Don't tell Len; he gets very unhappy when I post the URL. :-)

Why do you say that, Ed?

I think the ARRL Technical Information Service is good for amateur
technical information. In what way did you think I was "unhappy"
about such a web address?

didit

From -5946442098061188618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 11:44:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 19:43:34 GMT
References: <3A6E560F.6F7E7E4A@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Message-ID: <20010124144334.13433.00003695@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14188

In article <3A6E560F.6F7E7E4A@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>> You have done more than that, especially when not receiving
>> any praise over the "clever" use of CW as an order-wire for
>> a State Department FSK TTY circuit. :-)
>
>I don't know whether you are not being forthright or are just forgetful
>in your old age. I did not seek any praise.

Sure you sought praise. You thought it was a clever idea...of yours.

You were indulging in relating a story of your expertise on a government radio circuit, knowing full well that few on an amateur radio newsgroup would have experience there (with exception of a few ex-USN-radio-ops of earlier times).

Technically, switching over to CW from FSK TTY should be possible but it is a most odd sort of thing to use as an order-wire (a command circuit for radio circuit purposes).

You claimed the switchover was "necessary to synchronize" the TTY circuit. TTYs have always self-synchronized since the first Teletype Model 15s, whether on landline or radio circuits. A Model 28 is no different in that regard.

On a following reply you stated that the "synchronizations" were to "set schedules." That was illogical since, if the TTY was operating correctly, it could be used as a TTY to send schedules, copies printed at each end.

> I did not come up with the
>circuit. I simply used what was already in place. As I recall, you
>tried telling me that what I stated was untrue.

I said it was a dumb idea if you did it that way. I still think so.

While technically possible if the TTY transmitter also had OOK CW capability, there were no technical justifications for this. You seemed to be trying to get an "upper hand" in an on-going argument in a newsgroup on the necessity to operate OOK CW and preserve morse code testing for an amateur license.

You are now welcome to state why that particular switch from TTY to CW was technically or opeationally necessary. For any reason. It doesn't really matter but it will be interesting to see the rationalizations and attempts to close with the last newsgroup word.   :-)

didit

From 766271612522392392
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 12:29:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <zFnb6.1133$e5.2811852@news.ntplx.net>
<94lboe$fao$0@208.207.71.175> <Rsqb6.1158$e5.2822489@news.ntplx.net>
<3A6E5A7A.3DAF9E4C@ovis.net> <3A6EF215.CDDA8AC@arrl.org>
<3A6F00E2.690A2489@IEEE.org> <3A6F11D9.18545692@arrl.org>
<3A6F234C.D2711867@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <4XGb6.1186$e5.2881214@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 15:28:22 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980368192 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 15:29:52 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 15:29:52 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14189

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> It doesn't happen over 4 years - it happens *once* at renewal time.
> It is a 14.7% step function. My heartbeat has averaged 5 beats per
> minute over the past 1000 years but why does that matter?

Jon didn't include a time period where there were no ARRL dues.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 18741295053695O7625
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 12:38:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
```

From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94ke4a$15b$0@208.207.71.163> <20010124074037.13180.00000833@ng-mb1.aol.com> <o02u6tg65llg1ssc93m9g5f638bgmms7fb@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <%2Hb6.1187$e5.2881620@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 15:36:49 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980368699 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 15:38:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 15:38:19 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14190

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> >Those non-members that make use of those
> >services should at least send an ARRL member a thank you. :-)

> Since I will be paying more income tax than you this year,
> will you please post a thank you note to me...   :-)

The minute paying income taxes becomes optional.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2886102049311510292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 12:49:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 98
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 20:48:17 GMT
References: <SAFb6.1176$e5.2873980@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010124154817.14777.00001000@nso-bd.aol.com>

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14191

In article <SAFb6.1176$e5.2873980@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

>> I'm not saying anyone on the BoD or in upper echelons is
>> like that, Ed, just pointing out the what COULD happen.
>
>Whew, you had me worried about it for a minute, Len. :-)

Would you have come unglued if I changed a "might be" to a
"was?" If so, why?

>> At this point you are no doubt planning a response which will
>> place the ARRL in the best possible light. That would be
>> "spin" but you will argue it is not. :-)   Save the effort, please.
>
>Your mind is already made up; no sense confusing it with facts? Well, as
>long as you stick to what "could" happen, no harm done. :-)

Sorry, Ed, but apologia for ARRL decisions criticized negatively
by others having opposite viewpoints is SPIN, or political
damage control. The ARRL BoD's decision on morse code
testing was political in nature (see following).

>> That brings up another point. The ARRL seeks to determine
>> amateur radio licensing requirements through policy
>> statements and lobbying in DC. It is rather obvious to those
>> NOT members that such influence by already-licensed
>> amateurs (in the membership) would have them set policy
>> so as to keep requirements that the members met.
>
>If it was "rather obvious," perhaps the League could have "rather obviously"
>not chosen its new position wrt international code testing.

No, Ed, RATHER OBVIOUS in terms of the last half century.

Note that the BoD did NOT make any definitive statement on
FCC regulations for amateur radio license testing...they simply
let it slide by saying it should stay the same. The change in
policy position was in regard to ITU-R S25.5, not Part 97. The
ARRL cannot vote in the ITU-R since it is not part of the
US administration party. The ARRL can be an observer and
advisor to the administration, but cannot itself vote.

What was decided was a POLITICAL viewpoint with relationship
to the IARU and other nations' amateur radio organizations...
with some relationship to influencing the USA administration
at future WRCs. Political viewpoints are expressed as Spin,

not as factual data.

>> Those who struggled to achieve proficiency in morse code once
>> are now older, more set in their ways, and have the time
>> to want to continue with it.
>
>I struggled with and achieved proficiency in Morse code, Len.

I wasn't specifically naming you in that regard, Ed.

As a GENERALITY of observation of human nature, those who have struggled with something when young tend towards retention of winning struggles with both ability and nostalgia.

What is dictatorial is that SOME who have achieved skill in the past seek to impose the same need for all who come later in time...especially so for morse code as an amateur radio license test necessity when regulatory agencies have stated that such skill is necessary for their license determination requirements.

>> I cannot divine what is in the minds of the episcopate, that
>> group of "bishops" who would set out standards of everything
>> for a congregation. Everyone can only surmise their actions
>> based on past decisions...which has been rather constant in
>> certain things since the apostles first gathered in 1914.
>
>Getting a bit esoteric for me, Len. Can you restate that without all the
>fluff and 'buzzwords?'

It is not "fluff" or "buzzwords," but they ARE labels.

I was speaking in general to those who have a religious feeling towards amateur radio and feel that the ARRL BoD is the episcopate (a group of bishops) of "the church." The "apostles" label is directed towards the supporters of the first formation of the ARRL.

Some will not take kindly to such labels. TS. Neither is it irreligious, but rather sarcastic. Again, TS. The almost-religious devotion to the League by some is apparent to others.

didit

From 1058130404395959025
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 13:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <14hu6tokderpiu5r9cnqh4n8rjo470o059@4ax.com>
References: <9nos6tsk10380lkec0in7bfnfa180knkfc@4ax.com>
<20010124070305.13180.00000823@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<b82u6tgoscj6mufq2ur00ukn1a4vr33e1m@4ax.com>
<yPFb6.1177$e5.2875551@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 21:10:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980370603 209.86.209.47 (Wed, 24 Jan
2001 13:10:03 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:10:03 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14192

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>That is incorrect. If it is your contention that my saying that a test that
>has not caused major problems is faulty,

You said that the tests from the 60's to now have caused no major
problems. I say that to judge whether a test is good or not has
nothing to do with it's causing no major problems...

>Sorry. I don't want to play.  Please do engage me in conversation when you
>seriously want discourse and to hear my ideas.

Translation: Since you [Aaron] won't capitulate and agree with my
ideas on the ham test, I'm quitting and taking my marbles home... :-)


From -2099927542496112795
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 13:10:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <h3hu6toavem6gkjkj6ma0mi8dedges5sra@4ax.com>
References: <3ias6tsioso9sfv97jcjv2mi2ijfhc1n8e@4ax.com>
<20010124065922.13180.00000822@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<n82u6t89710khcnod2gdnk8ddp31ee330i@4ax.com>
<ZRFb6.1178$e5.2875747@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 21:10:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980370605 209.86.209.47 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:10:05 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:10:05 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14193

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

>Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>> I think it would be best to have the largest number of technical hams
>> possible in any time period. But that's not what I was commenting on.
>> I was comparing the 50's era average ham's technical abilities to the
>> technical abilities of today's average ham. I think the 50's average
>> ham wins hands down...
>
>With the additional provision that you also have to factor in "relative to
>the technology of the day," I agree.

And the fault lies mainly with the complexity of the advanced
technology of today, and not the ability of the average ham of
today...

>The highly technical ham of today probably does know more than the highly
>technical ham of the 50s, on average.

I agree, but that's not saying much. It could also be said that the
average highly technical Railroad Morse communications officer knew
more on average than the highly technical Shawnee communications
officer (smoke signals) of 50 years earlier... :-)

From -482929884064764430
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 13:10:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-

for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <5ogu6tg6c7ravn5l961gkkinlc6mpapifg@4ax.com>
References: <rv1u6tgj723sr26tbtr8rdn1ih1cdoc57b@4ax.com>
<3A6F102D.5B27556F@arrl.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 21:10:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980370605 209.86.209.47 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:10:05 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:10:05 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14194

Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
>I'll stick around until I'm no longer entertained, then leave.

If you keep trying to have the last word,
 you will be here forever... :-)

From -7866272982419881822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 13:10:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <qngu6t0q42qlqdqg9e5haiv6qmcrmu7e6s@4ax.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<nmds6t4dgivsgnslmln6q4e724ho94hs7p@4ax.com>
<V2rb6.1162$e5.2824797@news.ntplx.net> <94lg8m$ks3$1@iac5.navix.net>
<7aGb6.1181$e5.2877130@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 4

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 21:10:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980370606 209.86.209.47 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:10:06 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:10:06 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14195

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>Do you have any suggestions as to how Jon could have answered it better?

Leave out the insults??   :-)

From -5188044112122661794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,f7f438f31f919ede,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7f438f31f919ede,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 13:30:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 21:30:26 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 20
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14196 rec.radio.amateur.misc:11398 rec.radio.swap:21560

rasta567@aol.com (Rasta567) wrote in <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>:

>Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of becoming a Life Member of
>the ARRL. Thanks
>

Don't buy any form of League membership if you care about the integrity of your license or ham radio. The ARRL will screw you when your head is turned. Buy a membership only if you believe that the natural progression of amateur radio should be to become more like CB, for this is what the ARRL board of directors believe.

The ARRL isn't working to improve anything. They say they're working to protect your service but then they propose limp-wristed policy changes and

watch the service rot from within.
  If you want to save ham radio, then cancel your League membership as
soon as possible if you have one. Don't be a part of the Leagues plan to
furthur dumb-down ham radio and don't support their slight-of-hand policy
changes which welcome ignorant operators and CB style operating practices.

```
From 4564025281701066498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 13:36:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 21:34:40 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t6uijg63dko953@news.supernews.com>
References: <3A6BB208.9D03BE79@dvol.com> <20010122071055.21640.00000697@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <c6po6tkmqco4o348boom4e9b55gn5hku4d@4ax.com>
<0Z2b6.1094$e5.2740969@news.ntplx.net>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 14
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14197
```

w1rfi@arrl.net (Ed Hare, W1RFI) wrote in
<0Z2b6.1094$e5.2740969@news.ntplx.net>:

```
>Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>> Do you also think that it does no harm to the hobby for a ham to get
>> a license to simply operate, and never improve himself technically?
>
>For an individual ham?  No harm at all.  For all hams to choose to take
>that path?  I don't think Amateur Radio would survive long.
```

Too bad your employer doesn't agree with your above statement Ed.

KB7ADL

```
From -7015932124160377825
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 13:39:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Follow the Money
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 21:39:07 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t6uirrb1fk4ge0@news.supernews.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com> <94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 54
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14198 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16336 alt.radio.family:3566 rec.radio.cb:23560

Stuart, Why don't you get together with the ARRL and destroy ham radio together. Make it a group effort.

horseshoestew@my-deja.com wrote in <94am2q$fc8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>:

>In article <94aed5$8mn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
>> When you don't understand why somebody is doing something which seems
>> senseless to you, sometimes it pays to try to "follow the money".
>>
>> In this case it led me to a company called "PubCel". According to
>> their web site, that company is involved in:
>>
>>   "Wireless Entertainment and Communications Solutions:
>>
>>   - MURS and ARS Voice and Data Communications Systems
>>
>>   - FRS and GMRS Voice Communication Systems"
>>
>> I think it is safe to say that the "ARS" acronym might mean "Amateur
>> Radio Service".
>>
>> Also according to their web site, the company President is none other
>> than Stewart Teaze, N0MHS.
>>
>> Suddenly this proposal for the "Barbie Doll" Amateur Radio license
>> isn't quite so senseless.
>
>I've never tried to hide the fact that I'm trying to create a
>business. Quite the opposite - why would I list the web site on the
>signature to all my posts, if I was trying to hide something
>

>Hey, Hans, I wonder why you switched your approach to this slimly
>veiled "personal attack"? It couldn't be that I was right about the
>point regarding inductors and capacitors on the Novice test, could it?
>
>Let's stick to the point at hand. You made a good point about the lack
>of digital modes in the proposal - THIS is the kind of stuff I'm
>looking for.
>
>> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>--
>------- Stewart - N0MHS --------
>Wireless Entertainment/Communication Devices, Networks, and
>Public Radio Services Information(MURS,FRS,GMRS,ARS,CB):
>http://www.pubcel.com
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/
>

From -2257853780474707666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f9c91e08fb36e530,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 13:55:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: This Just In . . .
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 21:55:58 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t6ujre1tf95g30@news.supernews.com>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 22
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14199
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11399

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com>:

>From today's ARRL Bulletin:
>>
>"The Board's Morse Code resolution declared that deletion of the Article
>S25 international requirement at WRC-03 ''should not automatically or
>immediately mean a similar removal of the Morse code from Part 97 of the
>FCC rules.'' Morse code, the Board affirmed, deserves continued support

```
>as an important operating mode as well as in terms of spectrum and
>''should be retained as a testing element in the US.'' The resolution
>also called on ARRL Headquarters staff to ''develop a program designed
>to promote the use of Morse.''
>>
>w3rv

I saw this coming. Another ARRL screw job.

Can you say: "CQ Breaker, CQ Breaker, this here's Good-Buddy-Ham on twenty
meters, anyone got their ears on? c'mon back. Ten-four Ten-four good-
buddy, I got ya and yer gettin out..."

KB7ADL


From 3095912552302987679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 13:58:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 21:58:23 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t6ujvv4pht7f5c@news.supernews.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 9
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14200

oldtimer@nonsuch.net (Old_Timer) wrote in <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>:

>Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these times
>of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?
>

Just ask Ed, They need to purchase new equipment for the tech lab when
they start doing product reviews on CB rigs, echo-mics, and amps.



From 1856599275979534116
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f64c6,1c1edeb778ee76c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf64c6,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,1c1edeb778ee76c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1c1edeb778ee76c
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 114671,1c1edeb778ee76c
X-Google-Attributes: gid114671,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 13:59:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!195.25.12.36.MISMATCH!
oleane.net!oleane!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news.tele.dk!212.74.64.35!
colt.net!newspeer.clara.net!news.clara.net!news5-gui.server.ntli.net!ntli.net!
news6-win.server.ntlworld.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "bigpimp" <bigpimp@ntlworld.com>
Newsgroups:
aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur
References: <94mkih$eb4dt$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de>
Subject: Re: Anthony Hancock
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Message-ID: <NdIb6.1956$vc.57072@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 21:57:58 -0000
NNTP-Posting-Host: 213.104.40.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ntlworld.com
X-Trace: news6-win.server.ntlworld.com 980373485 213.104.40.71 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001
21:58:05 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 21:58:05 GMT
Organization: ntlworld News Service
Xref: supernews.google.com aus.radio.amateur.misc:1588
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:5919 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14201
uk.radio.amateur:16682

G8ASO;;;;; <not@here.com> wrote in message

>
> The "lad" himself and arguably the most famous radio ham of them all!
>
> Converted to mono and only a 4MB file in zipped MP3 for free download, the
> full episode "The Radio Ham"
>

already got it.....
and my local repeater in Nottingham loves it !!!!!

M1ADU/M5ADU.......They dont like it up 'em

From -2147541803112502220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 14:25:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 15:27:16 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A6F56C4.1BEA4007@firstinter.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 41
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14202

Old_Timer wrote:

> Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these times
> of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?
>
> I noted Dave Sumner's talk of the ARRL being involved in the kinds of
> things which dues and publication sales would not cover. My feeling is
> that there is little that the League should be doing which could not be
> covered by dues and publication sales.
>
> My own feeling is that $39 per year is high for value received since the
> League already charges now for services which were formerly provided at
> no cost to members. QST is almost void of good articles these days.
> There is a dearth of technical content. Contest covereage and DX news
> are minimal. If I wasn't already a life member I'd have think hard to
> come up with enough reasons to justify renewal.
>
> Since he was a part of the inner circle for a while, perhaps Hans can
> share his views on the announced increase in ARRL dues.
>
> Dave Heil K8MN

I believe that the League's dues are a good _value_ for the price. The ARRL supports a similar level and type of activities as the IEEE. For the IEEE membership, I pay $113/year base rate (includes US Regional assessment), but excluding technical societies I choose to belong to. This is about 3 times the new ARRL dues rate for a similar type service. I just don't see how anyone can say that memberships are overpriced, especially when we see the League activities that benefit all hams. Now if we could just get more of those "all hams" to join :)

I believe if the League were to drop their opposition to elimination of mandatory US Morse cw testing, they could attract a significant percentage of the rapidly growing Tech class licensees. Especially if they were to offer a first-year, half price special. Give it some thought Jon. (I keep beating up on my Director about the code issue)

Rick Tannehill
W7RT

From 3822587819933879316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:04:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 23:03:45 GMT
References: <t6uijg63dko953@news.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010124180345.12047.00001256@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14203

>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)

>>For an individual ham? No harm at all. For all hams to choose to take
>>that path? I don't think Amateur Radio would survive long.

>Too bad your employer doesn't agree with your above statement Ed.

I must have left off what I believe to be the logical addition that, Vince; although I am certain that some hams will choose that path, I think it highly unlikely that most will choose not to learn within ham radio. Almost all of us here have learned a lot more than we learned to take our tests. I believe that a desire to learn is what motivates most hams to become hams in the first place.

Sorry I left it off, but I guess I didn't think it necessary to say it. I didn't mean to make you misunderstand.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7554357536335476812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:07:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 23:06:49 GMT
References: <rv1u6tgj723sr26tbtr8rdn1ih1cdoc57b@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124180649.12047.00001259@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14205

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
>>It's not an insult.
>>Dick admitted he was ignorant...
>>So are you [ignorent] (shocking!)...

>>Cecil likes to twist things,
>>and I don't think its uncivil to point that out.

Aaron likes to twist things, too, it would seem. Did you snip the middle part
of Jon's paragraph because you thought it irrelevant to the discussion at hand?
 Did you not realize that the way you quoted it very much changed the meaning
of the original statement.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -5234232516793385042
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:07:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
Message-ID: <3A6F6D0E.34DDFBCC@home.com>
From: GAR GARIGUE <garigue@home.com>
Organization: @Home Network Member
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en]C-AtHome0405  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 23:07:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.8.212.227
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 980377657 24.8.212.227 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 15:07:37 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 15:07:37 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14204

You don't get bothered 4 months before your subcription is up. 73 Tom
KI3R

Rasta567 wrote:
>
> Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of becoming a Life Member of the
> ARRL. Thanks

From 3996537459430092528
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:22:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 23:21:33 GMT
References: <3A6EDD52.DBB98490@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124182133.18775.00001114@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14206

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> ... keep in mind the way the
>> League did t this time saved you about $7.00 over the 4 years it did not
>> increase the dues.

>Say Ed, exactly where is that $7.00 located? :-)

It was your money, Cecil; I think it was your responsibility to remember what
you did with it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1234871511775035385
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:27:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 23:26:13 GMT
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14207

>From: xjim@my-deja.com

>Do you really need a radio operator at all, if
>you have a wife with a laptop, an e-mail account and a satellite
>account. Oh-Oh! There goes the battery again.

The same battery you will use to power the HW-7, or a different battery?

> If that is the case wouldn't you want someone with a whole bunch of tricks in
>his arsenal, including ham radio and CW capability?

Sure, and if I go camping, and something goes wrong, I would want someone
around trained in wilderness rescue.

>If I could only take one radio with me on the
>road, It would be an HF mobile and it would have CW capability because
>I know what it is worth. If I could take two, I would add a cell phone.

Depending where I was going, I would choose either a cell phone, an HT or an
all mode rig. If I felt using the all-mode rig, I would use SSB as my first
choice; it conserves battery power and in plain language, I can communicate a
lot of information in a small amount of time.

If all that failed, I would be glad I know Morse code.

I will add that, as a CW op, if I ran across the 1 wpm SOS from someone using a
lookup chart, I would stick it out.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2163799186559487001

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,875fabce500bfd77,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:30:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: N8WWM@yahoo.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: keyclowns on rec.radio.cb
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 23:22:49 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <94no3u$367$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.240.221.89
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 24 23:22:49 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/3.0 WebTV/1.2 (compatible; MSIE 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.240.221.89
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDfatandgayn8wwm
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14208
```

Hey guys! You ought to pop in at rec.radio.cb and see these dorks blow chunks
and gaskets when I tell them they are illegal. Come on and get in on the fun
f'n with the illegal keyclowns. Lots of neat sites also. Easy to piss off
these loosers bwaaaaaaahahahaaaaaa

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 772874690234200197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:37:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 23:35:21 GMT
References: <t6rf26jedi6m5f@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010124183521.18775.00001116@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14209
```

>From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net

>I hope so. I just wrote a short E-mail to George Race (Great Lakes Division

>Director) asking that the ARRL board reexamine the issue of
>retaining/dropping Morse Code testing when it is finally dropped from the
>ITU treaty requirements.

I have little doubt that the ARRL Board will re-examine the issue when it appears that it will come up at the US regulatory level. I don't know what decision the Board will reach. That will depend on member input, I am sure. Rest assured, I will be communicating with Tom on a regular basis on a lot of issues, and this will be one of them. I would imagine that your note to Mr Race told him what you thought the results of the re-examination should be. The Board will probably re-examine it, but could decide to drop it, to keep it the same, or to ask for some sort of change.

My personal view is that the "Sohl" proposal, as I call it after his Correspondence piece in QST, to keep some code-copying testing in the exams, but include the results of the questions along with all of the other questions. That keeps code testing on a par with other modes that are important to amateur radio operation.

As a code guy, I think it is important that the applicant be exposed to the code from the getgo and that he or she be given as much opportunity to learn it as other modes. To me, this is probably a compromise position that both sides should consider.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2499163105446504496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:38:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 23:37:41 GMT
References: <3A6DBC63.7AFA9A84@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010124183741.18775.00001117@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14210

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>> support and foster support in Region 2, for elimination of S25.5 at
>> WRC2003. Then, in 2004, we will have another go-round on the US testing

>> issue. By then, the opposition of many of the Board hard-liner PCTA's
>> may be a dead issue, literally.

>But it could just as well go the other way, too. Hmmm?

I think so. The ARRL Board will have another interesting meeting, I am sure.
Member input, now, and when it comes up again, will be important. I think the
Board should hear from all sides of this issue. This time, however, I wish
that they would hear from more people in the middle. With that in mind, I will
tell Tom when I next bother him about this that although I express views, I
don't think that Morse code testing is in the top 10 imporant issues facing
Amateur Radio. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8871901507620503556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3c0a95e016c6762
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:40:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: N8WWM@yahoo.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "They oughta require a license....blah, blah, blah"
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 23:29:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 88
Message-ID: <94nofl$3cd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <979592222.3a63641e0a424@webmail.cotse.com>
<t68l7u975h627c@corp.supernews.com> <941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t690nfrg1u26da@corp.supernews.com> <fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com>
<9431vd$4j$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.240.221.89
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 24 23:29:06 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/3.0 WebTV/1.2 (compatible; MSIE 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.240.221.89
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDfatandgayn8wwm
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14211

In article <9431vd$4j$1@iac5.navix.net>,
  "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> Can you say 666 boys and girls?
>
> "Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:fh496t4af7l94gv4vnep9npbjhb5v6tn62@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 16 Jan 2001 12:20:38 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
> > <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

> >
> > >
> > >"K0HB" <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > >news:941ml3$mb4$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > >
> > >> Every so often a new guy like Leland comes up with the mistaken
> > >> idea of placing restrictions on the wide availability of
> > >> amateur radio equipment and supplies.
> > >
> > >And why should that be a mistake? You have to provide proof of insurance
> to
> > >get plates for a vehicle, provide a prescription from your doctor at the
> > >drug store for powerful drugs, a photo ID (normally a driver's license)
> to
> > >pass a check, same thing with trying to buy booze, or get a drink at a
> bar,
> > >cops wants to see your driver's license when you get pulled over etc. The
> > >list goes on and on. There are many things we are required to provide
> proof
> > >that we are who we say we are, and that we can do something, or we are
> > >authorized to be where we are. Normally we just don't think about all the
> > >cases. Asking to see your FCC license is not a big deal.
> > >
> > >So when you get pulled over by a cop, and he notices you are getting
> public
> > >service calls over your mobile rig, and tells you that you can't have a
> > >scanner in your vehicle. You going to tell him you are a Ham, then
> refuse
> > >to show you license when he asks to see it, and then tell him to go
> bugger
> > >off because you don't have to?
> >
> > Sigh. The question is really "Is there a problem which is bad enough
> > that we would insist on the expense and the enforcement of checking
> > for a license before the sale?"
> >
> > That's a judgement call.
> >
> > >> These are the folks who
> > >> suggest it be a crime to own amateur equipment without a
> > >> license, and who are not thrilled about the fact that
> > >> Radio Shack sells a line of UHF/VHF amateur equipment right out
> > >> under the nose of the impressionable public.
> > >
> > >I have been to plenty of Hamfests where the policy is NOT to give a
> winner
> > >of the door prizes a Ham Radio TX of any kind UNLESS they have a license.
> > >Instead they give them cash in the case they are unlicensed. So in a way
> > >it's already being done. I bet you never even thought about it, even
> > >bothered to notice.
> >
> > Personally, I don't think it's that big a problem.
> >

> > The real problem is that the FCC isn't enforcing its own laws very
> > well. Laws against unlicensed operation exist. Maybe the FCC would
> > consider licensing something like an Official Radio Monitor (Kind of
> > like the ARRL OO, but with some sort of legal standing in a court of
> > law) who could be called at a moment's notice to DF a signal they
> > can't afford to track down.
> >
> > Then the real problem would disappear.
> >
> > Leland, I respect your position, but I can't help thinking that you're
> > fighting the symptom, not the disease.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> >
> > Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> > "Beware of the massive impossible!"
>
>

the illegal keyclowns are the ones that cause problems for everyone. Assist
me my brothers in ridding the airways of trash like the butt monkeys in
rec.radio.cb its fun to piss off illegal keyclowns

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1832104359617434299
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:43:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 23:42:16 GMT
References: <3A6DD587.A8835A18@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010124184216.18775.00001118@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14212

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>The next board may be made up of completely different people.

If memory serves, the ARRL Board has as members 9 people who were not Directors the last time the Board set ARRL policy.

>If you believe your opinion to be the majority opinion, it shouldn't
>take too much work to load the next board with pro-code-test people.

Or vice versa. Member input is important. I do know that the member input in some Divisions was quite different from the member input in others. At least one Director immediately recognized the "organization-based" input, with a group of pro-code folks all writing at the same time, then a group of no-code-test folks writing a bit later, as FISTS and NCI asked its members to contact ARRL. :-) The hard part, IMHO, is figuring out how important this issue is to the folks in the middle.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6872610297114654387
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:46:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 23:45:24 GMT
References: <t6tqesg0j735fe@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010124184524.18775.00001120@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14213

>From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net

>That's what it's all about. Get your views, one side or the other, across to
>the board.

Yes, and to the Board of other organizations that you think may also file comments to the FCC.

Most important, though, is when this comes up as a rulemaking issue, whether as a Notice of Inquiry or NPRM, make your views known to the FCC.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6882681036887855993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:50:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
212.97.206.81.MISMATCH!kinglear.mobilixnet.dk!newsfeed101.telia.com!news.tele.dk!
205.231.236.10!newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: lagniappe <lagniappe@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 23:37:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <94novs$3sb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.0.60.5
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 24 23:37:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.04 [en] (WinNT; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 outside:80 (Squid/2.0.PATCH2), 1.0 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/
1.1.22) for client 10.100.2.98, 204.0.60.5
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDlagniappe
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14214
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16342 alt.radio.family:3567 rec.radio.cb:23591

Not necessary - any kid ready to "experiment" should
be capable of passing the codeless tech, even if by rote
memory.  My girlfriend knew nothing about radio, and it
took her 2 weeks with practice exams to earn a perfect
score.

Martin

In article <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
  "Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net> wrote:
> The rapidly-expanding Family Radio Service has exposed millions of
young
> people to the world
> of radio communications.  But now, where is a young kid to go who has
is
> interested in experimenting
> with radios and get more range than the typical 1/2 mile of FRS?  MURS

>


Sent via Deja.com
```

```
http://www.deja.com/


From -3778726238601947722
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:50:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: lagniappe <lagniappe@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 23:44:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <94npce$49v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-
fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.0.60.5
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 24 23:44:16 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.04 [en] (WinNT; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 outside:80 (Squid/2.0.PATCH2), 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/
1.1.22) for client 10.100.2.98, 204.0.60.5
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDlagniappe
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3568 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14215

In article <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-fj1.aol.com>,
>   qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000) wrote:
>
> >>"alt.radio.family" IS a valid forum for his ideas, as irrelevant as
> >>they may be.
>
> >A copy of my message to Stewart is in rec.radio.cb.  It asks him to
> >post to alt.radio.family separately.  The reason is based on past
> >experience with his posts.
>
>      OK, here's the direct question:  As well intentioned (and I
> understand your frustrations...he CAN be tiring...) as your efforts to
> redirect his posts, ON WHOSE AUTHORITY do you do it?  What writ of law
> do you bear that carries any weight to try and force his hand?
>

QRM, Steven has a valid point.  Stewart may put forth
mostly silly ideas, but I don't recall any that weren't
on-topic to the extent that they involved FRS radio.

Your idea to have him post separately to each newsgroup
```

```
would certainly limit the number of responses we see,
but I imagine that he does not want that limitation.

Martin



Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 5310431552411486663
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 15:57:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 23:57:05 GMT
References: <t6ujvv4pht7f5c@news.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124185705.18775.00001125@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14216

>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net  (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)

>Just ask Ed, They need to purchase new equipment for the tech lab when
>they start doing product reviews on CB rigs, echo-mics, and amps.

Care to put a lobster-dinner wager on whether QST runs a Product Review on a CB
transmitter, an echo-microphone or an 11-meter amplifier before the next dues
increase?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI





From 6259123692181525696
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 16:00:57 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!twister1.starband.net!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
From: Spurious@StarBand.net (Ed G.)
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
User-Agent: Xnews/M3
Lines: 17
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 23:54:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 148.78.255.30
X-Trace: twister1.starband.net 980380479 148.78.255.30 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 18:54:39
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 18:54:39 EST
Organization: Starband Communications
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14217
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11403 rec.radio.swap:21570


>>Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of  becoming a Life Member of
>>the ARRL. Thanks


   Please don't pay any attention to the rantings from Vince.  He
apparently has a beef with ARRL.  While the ARRL's policies may not be
agreeable to every ham,  they are the only organization with any clout to
stand between the amateur community and the FCC and a Spectrum hungry
Congress that would love to sell off our frequencies to business users..
As for benefits to becoming a life member,  kinda depends on your motives
and your age.  If you're young, it's probably fiscally prudent.  If you
wish to support the ARRL and its objectives, that would be good too.


  Ed   WB6SAT



From 144883078052726584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 16:02:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 00:01:45 GMT
```

References: <3A6F6D0E.34DDFBCC@home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Message-ID: <20010124190145.18765.00001188@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14218

>From: GAR GARIGUE garigue@home.com

>You don't get bothered 4 months before your subcription is up.

What would bother me is if they didn't ask me to renew until 4 months AFTER my subscription was up. :-)

Actually, the other magazines to which I subscribe have about the same time frame. If everybody else gave you 4 months to pay a bill, would you call it a bother? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2552316692233865784
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 16:06:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 00:05:05 GMT
References: <u22u6ts1n8apkldop9bc57peilhbeoeh4d@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124190505.18765.00001189@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14219

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>I should be happy because my house burned down
>this year, instead of 3 years ago??   Same logic...   :-)

I *would* be happy if they raised the rates on my adjustable rate mortgage this year in response to inflation rather than 3 years ago. Real logic.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8690166104198003077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 16:17:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!awabi.library.ucla.edu!
134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 16:05:22 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14220
```

Larry Klose wrote:

> I personally advocate thpetition the United States/FCC to adopt a WRC 2003
> policy to: (1) suppress ITU rule S25.5, (2) take exception to S25.5 in the
> WRC 2003 Final Acts if it is nor suppressed, and (3) delete 47 CFR 97.503(a)
> after the conclusion of WRC 2003 without any futher proceedings.

You might try meeting the present requirements as a means of first demonstrating that it is not for personal gain that you make these esteemed and learned recommendations.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From -2358753160786287875
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 16:17:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 00:13:44 GMT
References: <3A6EF858.1280A4F7@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124191344.18765.00001190@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14221

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>No freeloader access to info which only benefits them.

Maybe. There is significant value to having good information available on the public site, too.

A good analogy I like to use is a store. If the merchandise is not displayed, no one will know it is there. How much would you buy if you could only look at the outside of the box? How would you react if you walked into a store and the proprietor asked you if you had ever been there before and if you said no, told you you couldn't come in unless you bought something first. Not a warm welcome to a new customer.

What about help for the new ham? Is it not in the interests of Amateur Radio to help new hams get started so they stay hams? What about industry and the FCC and a host of others who look at web sites on amateur radio for a number of reasons. Should I make http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfi-elect.html a members-only feature? How about RFI in general, or the page on the RF-exposure regulations?

And should the public page not have news about Amateur Radio and the ARRL? Of all of the member-association sites I know of with members-only sections, most have significant public information. The "news feed" on all of these sites is public. I think that having significant public information lets a ham who may not know much about ARRL judge what the organization is, what it does and whether he or she feels it important to be a member.

And, from an organizationally selfish point of view, bringing hams to the site makes it easier to sell them books that help keep the cost of your membership down. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4892666624700342926
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 23:41:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: 24 Jan 2001 07:41:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <94m0ur$afl$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <Zgnb6.1128$e5.2809939@news.ntplx.net>
<3a6e0b34$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14122
```

Larry Klose wrote:

> The so called "bridge" you claim the Board can not see is in fact a great
> wall that surround Newington, casting a shadow over Board's vision.
>
> The Board spent thirty years constructing that wall, now it scheduled
> for removal in 2003.

So tell us, who on the BOD was there even 10 years ago, much less 30?

```
From 8674602919658348831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 02:18:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6EABBF.3B6233B6@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com>
<3A6DAC4C.2A5A3580@ovis.net> <3A6DB807.9BB7161C@dvol.com>
```

<Ssnb6.1131$e5.2810924@news.ntplx.net> <3A6E1806.C6729DDE@dvol.com>
<4Npb6.1150$e5.2819890@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 79
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 05:17:35 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.67
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980331433 206.20.144.67 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 04:17:13 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 04:17:13 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14123

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

>
> Not at all, but it will take me 2-3 days to put together the info just on
> VDSL, Home Networking and a few of the other immediate threats. ARRL should
> put together more stuff like:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfiteljx.html

>
Yeah, I read that shortly after it appeared, good example of what can be accomplished.
>

>. . . . You are correct; all of this cannot be

> done justice by one person; some of those areas are seeing a growing
> backlog. I am not saying this to brag, but in support of your view that a
> full-time effort toward just the RFI component is needed.

>
It's a critical problem, something close to justifying an RFI department. Wrong place for backlogs to be building.
>

>
> > Considering your background and the ogre content of the exponential
> escalation of the number
> > of Part 15 devices (among other threats) SOMEBODY gotta put full time into
> it.
>
> Yes, indeed; I expect to see the Help Wanted ad for the several new HQ
> positions that will be created as a result of the last Board meeting hit QST
> for the March issue, and it the ARRL Web page, probably tomorrow. Check
> http://www.arrl.org/announce/jobs/ over the coming couple of days to see the
> general scope of the position.

>
OK, good, things are moving in the right directions. It's all about putting the money to work where it should be spent.

>

> I may continue to do some of the industry
> committee liaison work because it is going well,

>
I think that the industry liason work should take top priority. We gotta focus
on stopping the production of devices which generate RFI rather than "fixing"
the RFI sfter it shows up per your 3.5 Mhz modem work. That's like closing the
gate after the horse got loose. These computers are hideous RFI generators, nag
the FCC, this is ridiculous.
>

> but I definately want to
> see a full-time effort go into addressing the growing problem of RFI to
> hams.

>
Could not agree more. The CC&R problem is another one which needs more input.
>

> That will also let me work some on some of the R&D and noise studies
> that I have been hoping to find some time to do, and to occasionally work a
> 40 hour week. :-)

>
You know of course that your management will cheerfully let you keep on working
OT unless you put your foot down?
>

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From -3112422960164211738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 02:18:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6EABE6.A1C50DB0@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com>
<3A6DAC4C.2A5A3580@ovis.net> <3A6DB807.9BB7161C@dvol.com>
<Uvnb6.1132$e5.2811019@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 05:18:14 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.67
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980331468 206.20.144.67 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 04:17:48
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 04:17:48 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14124

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> When I was a Nervous, those articles on the basics were really neat and
> exciting; they were high-tech to me. Now that I am a seasoned old vet, the
> same articles are "really dumbed down" in QST.

>
Of course. Not that I would admit that I still pick up a trick or two from the
dumbed-down squibs . .
>

> Go back and look at those old articles with today's eyes.

>
SWR? What's an SWR? Heh!
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >

>
w3rv

From 4829949891429668387
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 02:18:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6EABFA.74ED70A6@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <20010123071403.12166.00000959@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010123191034.17535.00001145@nso-fy.aol.com>
<Kzpb6.1149$e5.2819002@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 32
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 05:18:34 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.67
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980331487 206.20.144.67 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 04:18:07
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 04:18:07 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14125
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

>
> Two good sources of info are:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/9808035.pdf - Part 1- a spectrum analyzer
> construction project
> http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/9809037.pdf - Part 2
> http://www.science-workshop.com/Joe_Carr%27s_NV_article.htm - Poor man's
> spectrum analyzer

>

The Science Workshop kits look like a winner, the cable tuner trick is a gooder.

>
>
> A tutorial on spectrum analyzers is found at:
>
> http://www.science-workshop.com/

>

Tnx!

>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>

w3rv

```
From -1393324599176458744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 02:32:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6EAF24.D7F4957@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94ctfo$39n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6A4B86.DDE5D557@dvol.com>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10101231633050.21580-100000@cluster2> <3A6E365F.93FB1A4D@dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 05:32:04 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.67
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980332298 206.20.144.67 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 04:31:38
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 04:31:38 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14126
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16299 alt.radio.family:3561 rec.radio.cb:23465
```

Brian Kelly wrote:

```
> Dana wrote:
>
> > What are those freqs for the family radio service?
>
> >
> 14 channels between 462.5625 and 467.7125 Mhz, narrow-band FM.
> >
> See http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frsfreqs.html
> >
> w3rv
```

```
From -9200795077920414851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 03:52:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 11:51:22 GMT
References: <94lhm3$lnd$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010124065122.13180.00000821@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14127

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>I was troubled by the "politically correct" remark.

I'm sorry; I was directing that to some on this forum who call every instance of one ham not being unkind to another "political correctness."

>It was one human being helping another, a ham
>mentoring someone who wanted to be come a ham.

I agree wholeheartedly, although I am sure someone one will tell you that you were a bleeding heart with your love beads doing only because you are politically correct. :-)

Hey, wasn't it YOU who brought love beads into this? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7220729782540963851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:00:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 11:59:22 GMT
References: <3ias6tsioso9sfv97jcjv2mi2ijfhc1n8e@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010124065922.13180.00000822@ng-mb1.aol.com>

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14128

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>Using the total US population for comparison might make us look better
>technically as a group simply because of the large growth of ham radio
>compared to the total population.

I agree. I also think it is more accurate to use the entire body of evidence
for comparison.

>But if you wanted to compare the average amount of technical
>hams in 1960 to the average amount of technical hams in 2000, it would have to be referenced as a
>percentage of total hams (for each time period) to reach any kind of
>valid comparison.

I disagree. As you said, comparing to the whole gives a better idea about the
relative number of technical hams. Which do you think is a better goal -- to
have more technical hams, irrespective of the total number of hams, or to have
a greater percentage of technical hams, irrespective of the total number of
technical hams? If all of the non-technical hams (I don't even know how you
would define that -- perhaps you could keep asking them on usenet if a test
that doesn't test knowledge is a test) quit, the percentage of technical hams
would be 100%; would the technical value of Amateur Radio change in the
positive direction? I think not, because those "non-technical hams," are not
totally devoid of technical knowledge; this is not another black and white or
yes and no answer.

> I don't know how you would ever do that (for many
>reasons), but I have a definite opinion on what the answer would be...
>:-)

I am sure the answer would have to be "yes" or "no" or you would keep asking
the question. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5727796791928211498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:03:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:03:05 GMT
References: <9nos6tsk10380lkec0in7bfnfa180knkfc@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010124070305.13180.00000823@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14129
```

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>Because when you equate today's tests with the tests of 40 years ago
>it doesn't prove that today's tests are any good. It just says that
>you think those old tests weren't any worse...

That is exactly what I am saying. Although I do see ways that the present tests should be improved, I think that testing that has not created major problems in 40 years of being at about the same level is not an invalid test, to use your words.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3595107484990122762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:06:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:06:16 GMT
References: <7fas6tsamek2keq28bl0f7nbmbr9d2v153@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010124070616.13180.00000824@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14130
```

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>I have never had to resort to the embarrassment of sending my ham gear
>in to be fixed.

I have. I sent my Ten Tec back to the factory after giving up on fixing the IF board. The fixed it to the component level; the cost was about $50.00. Saved me a LOT of time.

>In fact, I have never had a computer failure either.

Then you lead a charmed life. I have had two of them get flaky on me.

>However, in the event that something does break, I will be
>sure to buy a recent handbook for instructions...   :-)

Maybe I will have to condense that chapter into an article. It has a lot of
good troubleshooting tips that are useful for today's complex SMD rigs.

Tell you what, Aaron; send me your mailing address and I will send you a 2000
Handbook I salvaged from the bent-cover bin. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2697789563695148763
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:10:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:09:40 GMT
References: <94kkd2$ah3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010124070940.13180.00000825@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14131

>From: xjim@my-deja.com

>> I have not read much in the press about the failure of emergency
>devices in
>> emergencies. Do we need a media coverup to make this all plausible?

>Well... My take on the press, is that they don't even know that the
>water is cold until they run into an iceburg, and even then they get
>the color wrong. But that's another story.

I agree, but if it is sensational, the press will cover it. They may get it
wrong, but they will get it.

>The problem with gadgets and emergencies, is that you need a real
>emergency in order to test their efficiency.

I am not sure that the emergency equipment of today is all that complex. If I designed it, I would design it such that the operator pushed a button and the "SOS" was sent. I bet that such equipment is more reliable than the HW-7 that you used as an example.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3899153217342960843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:12:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:11:31 GMT
References: <3A6EABFA.74ED70A6@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010124071131.13180.00000826@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14132

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>The Science Workshop kits look like a winner, the cable tuner trick is a
>gooder.

I agree; I like that one better than the ARRL article. Too bad I can't ask Joe to write a QST version of the article (K4IPV SK). :-(

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5896597983829116674
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:14:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:14:11 GMT
References: <94kaba$f7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Message-ID: <20010124071411.13180.00000827@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14133

>From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ

>> The old Novice was the easiest.

>   Your OPINION, one not supported by archived facts.

Search and find my post that included the entire text of the 1963 ARRL study guide for the Novice.

In my opinion, the old Novice license was the easiest amateur exam in history.

What is your opinion of it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9152946309815975907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:21:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:20:54 GMT
References: <3A6E57AF.4020B4B7@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124072054.13180.00000828@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14134

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@ovis.net

>> Per-annum, Dave. You know, the same basis on which inflation is usually
>> stated.

>But that wasn't stated by me or by you, Jon.

Sure it was, Dave. You stated that the dues rate was excessive wrt inflation. Inflation has an implied "per time" factor built in to the very meaning of the word. In the context you used it, the only way to compare the dues increase to inflation is to go back to the last dues increase and compare the increase to inflation over that time. Most inflation figures are reported on a percentage vs time basis (usually annual) so the easiest way to compare them is to give the annual rate of the dues increase as a percentage. Jon did so, and added the per-annum qualifier to make it more clear.

> Without the qualifier, the one-time hike is much steeper.

If you feel that the ARRL should increase the dues every year at a rate that matches the general inflation rate instead of catching up every four years, you should suggest that to your Director. When you do, keep in mind the way the League did t this time saved you about $7.00 over the 4 years it did not increase the dues.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1645991158240600264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:28:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:27:39 GMT
References: <94lg42$kq3$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124072739.13180.00000829@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14135

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>If you got a pay raise for the first time in 3 years, do you divide the 10%
>increase over 3 years and tell your wife you got a 3.3% raise, or the actual
>10% you received. That per year stuff may work for a bean counter but it is
>a 14.7% over my last dues for me.

Why, I would tell her I got the 10% increase. Of course, the other three years I would have had to tell her I got a 0% increase.

Which would you rather get, a 10% raise every 4 years (3.33% * 4 years) or a 3% raise every year?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3899004359139204176
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:29:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:28:32 GMT
References: <94lg42$kq3$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124072832.13180.00000830@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14136
```

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>I hate it when people do stuff and then fudge the math to say they did
>something else.

The ARRL article about the dues increase said that the dues went from $34 to $39. Can you explain how the math was fudged?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -7357755398740156l9
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:30:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:29:28 GMT
References: <1rgs6tgh3ru4sot762u121mqe9jt84lebk@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124072928.13180.00000831@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14137
```

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>Jon first calls Dick ignorant (among other insults) and then calls
>Cecil a liar. I think everybody knew it was a 5$ increase. The
>difference in opinion was in how the percentage was figured. Do you
>think that Headquarters handled their part of the argument civilly??

I did. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 220245812162071873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:35:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:34:25 GMT
References: <94lajg$cj3$0@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124073425.13180.00000832@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14138

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> and when it is clear that it's gonna cost 14.7%
>additional each year to subscribe, well, I think you can see the
>problem.

How much would it cost you each additional year after this if the dues had increased 3.5% every year since the last dues increase? The number are the same from this point onward whether the dues increase happened in one chunk as it did, or at a 3.5% rate every year. The way it is done, you have $7 more in your pocket; I can't see why you are displeased.

> Not everyone thinks in accountingese. I'd venture that most people don't.

Dick, this is not complex; it is junior high school math.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 350055623754916782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:41:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:40:37 GMT
References: <94ke4a$15b$0@208.207.71.163>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124074037.13180.00000833@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14139

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> I will say this - the existance of the ARRL web page will play a large
> part in my decision on whether or not I want to remain a member.
> That is probably the most useful tangible thing that ARRL has done,
> outside of your ongoing efforts in RFI/EMI, in recent years.

> I do get a lot of use out of it. Its expansion should definitely be >
encouraged.

I agree, although we may start seeing more of those web services be made available on a members-only basis. IMHO, the line should be set such that the things that benefit Amateur Radio as a whole are on the public site, while those things that are a help to an individual ham should be a membership service. IMHO, however, helping new hams get started is for the Good of the Service, so that info will remain public. Over the coming months, I may be moving some of the QST reprints on the TIS page to members-only.

This last dues increase did drive home a point to me that the work ARRL does that benefits all hams (the RFI program and the web pages are excellent examples) is being funded by members. Those non-members that make use of those services should at least send an ARRL member a thank you. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>Dick
>
>
>
>
>

>

```
From -7790433533778602355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:49:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:48:18 GMT
References: <3A6EABBF.3B6233B6@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124074818.13180.00000834@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14140
```

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>>http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfiteljx.html

>Yeah, I read that shortly after it appeared, good example of what can be
>accomplished.

Yes, but it is also a good example of what kind of information about ARRL activities should be made available to members. I will use my own work as an example because I can criticize what I haven't done without offending anyone, I think. :-) I did not write up or publish the papers I presented to the T1E1.4 VDSL standards committee, nor describe much about the work I have done with the HomePhoneNetworking folks that helped them include a notch from 7.0-7.3 MHz in their product specification, although we did feature a web piece on some of the testing we did over Thanksgiving weekend. I could go on, but the point is that, IMHO, ARRL has not done a good job communicating this sort of thing to its members. I can give you my excuses -- I moved on to the next emergency. Fortunately, one of the justifications I used for hiring someone to tackle RFI to hams head on was that it WOULD give more time to document the work we do; as a Member, I want to know as much as I can about ARRL's advocacy work. It is THE principle reason I am a member, even after the Board may set a policy that I would have preferred to be set differently. Relatively small details about the choice of words in the recent Board resolution pale, IMHO, in comparison to some of the critical work being done to protect spectrum. (Protection from noise from unlicensed devices is almost as important as keeping the allocation.)

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 58942713597253955
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 04:54:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 12:53:30 GMT
References: <3A6EABBF.3B6233B6@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124075330.13180.00000835@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14141
```

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>These computers are hideous RFI generators, nag
>the FCC, this is ridiculous.

You may not know how ridiculous. A "legal" signal from an intentional radiator on 3.5 MHz would be S9+15 dB to a dipole 100 feet away. This isn't going to change, unless Amateur Radio can document widespread interference. The complicating factor is that there are other rules that stipulate that if harmful interference occurs, the Part 15 device operator has to correct it. In cases like the AT&T PX-421 modem jack problem, AT&T is doing the right thing. So far, 90% on the recall. Can't take THAT one to the FCC. What the ARRL RFI Task Group tasked me to do is to identify several clear-cut cases where there is actual harmful interference, and an operator who refuses to do the "right" thing. We are then going to take them to the FCC. IF the FCC will enforce the no-interference clause, then it can be relied upon. If not, then we cannot live with the limits.

See http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/part15.html for ARRL's info on Part 15 devices. Don't tell Len; he gets very unhappy when I post the URL. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1272259991565811793
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 05:08:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
```

newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:08:11 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <94mk45$9hu$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com> <3A6DAC4C.2A5A3580@ovis.net> <3A6DB807.9BB7161C@dvol.com> <Uvnb6.1132$e5.2811019@news.ntplx.net> <3A6EABE6.A1C50DB0@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga3pp04.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980341701 9790 166.102.123.69 (24 Jan 2001 13:08:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Jan 2001 13:08:21 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14142

All I know is if you add 18 feet to your coax them SWRs come right down. 8>)

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3A6EABE6.A1C50DB0@dvol.com...
>
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> > When I was a Nervous, those articles on the basics were really neat and
> > exciting; they were high-tech to me. Now that I am a seasoned old vet,
the
> > same articles are "really dumbed down" in QST.
>
> >
> Of course. Not that I would admit that I still pick up a trick or two from
the
> dumbed-down squibs . .
> >
>
> > Go back and look at those old articles with today's eyes.
>
> >
> SWR? What's an SWR? Heh!
> >
>
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > >
>
> >

> w3rv
>
>

From -8861218908898000950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f64c6,1c1edeb778ee76c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf64c6,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,1c1edeb778ee76c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1c1edeb778ee76c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 114671,1c1edeb778ee76c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114671,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 05:16:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!
newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!fu-berlin.de!
uni-berlin.de!l226ppp031.ksc.net.TH!not-for-mail
From: "G8ASO;;;;;" <not@here.com>
Newsgroups:
aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur
Subject: Anthony Hancock
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:15:29 -0000
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <94mkih$eb4dt$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de>
NNTP-Posting-Host: l226ppp031.ksc.net.th (203.155.226.31)
X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 980342169 15045053 203.155.226.31 (16 [67923])
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com aus.radio.amateur.misc:1583
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:5903 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14143
uk.radio.amateur:16630

http://members.nbci.com/g8arsehole/

The "lad" himself and arguably the most famous radio ham of them all!

Converted to mono and only a 4MB file in zipped MP3 for free download, the full episode "The Radio Ham"

Download with Go!Zilla to resume partial downloads, link on my site above.

G8ASO

http://laughingpoliceman.com LPWS representative on Earth.

```
From -5873807158004609442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 05:52:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!cyclone.swbell.net!typhoon.snet.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6EDE2B.CBA18B33@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Organization: American Radio Relay League
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <3A6DAF3D.DFB259F9@ovis.net>
<3A6DB59F.8F8FE110@arrl.org> <3A6E57AF.4020B4B7@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 39
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:52:43 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.252.6.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@snet.net
X-Trace: typhoon.snet.net 980344366 64.252.6.66 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:52:46 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:52:46 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14144
```

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > Jon Bloom wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > >
> > > > Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.
> > >
> > > I hope some of that money finds its way into funding for remedial math
> > > skills for you, Jon. $3.40 would be a hike of 10%. 3% would be $1.02.
> > > Now how much would a five dollar hike be?
> >
> > Per-annum, Dave. You know, the same basis on which inflation is usually
> > stated.
>

> But that wasn't stated by me or by you, Jon.

Sure it was stated by me, when I said "per annum" the first time. I thought that was a well understood term. I've since found out that there are some on this NG who don't understand it.

> Without the qualifier, the
> one-time hike is much steeper.

But the qualifier is necessary if you want to make a valid comparison between the dues increase and inflation. You can compare the anual rate of inflation to the per-annum dues increase, or you can compare the total inflation since 1997 to the percentage dues increase. In neither case is the result "outrageous" as you initially claimed. It only looks outrageous if you compare the total dues increase to the annual rate of inflation, but doing that ignores the years in which there was no change in dues and thus distorts the truth.

Jon

From 3551233881986472911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 05:59:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 07:49:06 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A6EDD52.DBB98490@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6E57AF.4020B4B7@ovis.net> <20010124072054.13180.00000828@ng-
mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.10
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 24 Jan 2001 13:57:47 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14145

W1RFI wrote:
> ... keep in mind the way the
> League did t this time saved you about $7.00 over the 4 years it did not
> increase the dues.

Say Ed, exactly where is that $7.00 located? :-)

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5337345360110689103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 06:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 09:42:30 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t6tqesg0j735fe@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com> <3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com> <94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com> <jqnb6.1130$e5.2810580@news.ntplx.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 31
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14146

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:jqnb6.1130$e5.2810580@news.ntplx.net...
> It is very much appropriate to start working on the ARRL Board now, by
> sharing your views and either convincing your ARRL Director why they
should
> hold sway or by voting the guy out and voting a new guy in. What is your
> Director's views on the US requirements?

I am not totally sure, but I feel he is not completely for removing CW
testing requirements.

> You may find that your job is
> already done. :-) Of course, others may be trying to persuade him in the
> other direction.

So his view may not really matter. He is after all there to represent the
membership. So writing lets him know what at least one ARRL member thinks.

> Tom Frenaye already knows my views, but I took the time to
> reiterate them before the Board meeting.

That's what it's all about. Get your views, one side or the other, across to
the board.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From 8185321229649080965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 06:51:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6EEBE0.70A0B241@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6EABBF.3B6233B6@dvol.com> <20010124075330.13180.00000835@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 93
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 09:51:12 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.48
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980347852 206.20.144.48 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:50:52 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:50:52 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14147

W1RFI wrote:

> . . . What the ARRL RFI
> Task Group tasked me to do is to identify several clear-cut cases where there
> is actual harmful interference, and an operator who refuses to do the "right"
> thing.

>
Strikes me as a situation where members should get involved. Lotta leg work indicated.
>

> We are then going to take them to the FCC. IF the FCC will enforce the
> no-interference clause, then it can be relied upon. If not, then we cannot live
> with the limits.

>

If it's well documented, etc. I would expect Riley would move on it. The problem I
see with it is that the FCC can readily spank an owner of an RFI source but there
are two perps involved. One being the owner who refuses to go QRT which the FCC
can
shut down, the other is the manufacturer of the widget who probably sold a jillion
of the things. Gets very complex but it's absolutely gotta be done. I vote for
getting the limits for Class B devices 'way down, kill it before it shows up on
the
shelves and be done with it.
>

> See http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/part15.html for ARRL's info on Part 15
> devices. Don't tell Len; he gets very unhappy when I post the URL. :-)

>
Been there, read that. Can't imagine why Len would have a problem with it.
>

> . . . I did not write up or publish the papers I presented to the T1E1.4
> VDSL standards committee, nor describe much about the work

>
Lack of time?
>

> I have done with the
> HomePhoneNetworking folks that helped them include a notch from 7.0-7.3 MHz in
> their product specification, although we did feature a web piece on some of the
> testing we did over Thanksgiving weekend. I could go on, but the point is
> that, IMHO, ARRL has not done a good job communicating this sort of thing to
> its members.

>
I agree. Gets right back to the concept of monthly staff reports in QST. Provide a
brief summary per department or noteworthy invidual's news in QST povide the the
link to the full text stored in the Web server. Or something. Needs to become a
regular column. It'll be a lot easier when QST is published on CDs.
>

> I can give you my excuses -- I moved on to the next emergency.
> Fortunately, one of the justifications I used for hiring someone to tackle RFI
> to hams head on was that it WOULD give more time to document the work we do;

>
A manger playing help desk is nuts.
>

> as
> a Member, I want to know as much as I can about ARRL's advocacy work. It is THE
> principle reason I am a member, even after the Board may set a policy that I
> would have preferred to be set differently.

>

Oh, these boils over BOD policy decisions are even nuttier, they've been underway
the whole half century I've been aware of what's up in ham radio and the sky
hasn't
falled despite the sporadic uproars. Ham radio has only gotten better over the
years, most of the beneficial changes started with BoD decisions and mandates. I
agree, my subsciption to QST is not why I'm an ARRL member.
>

> Relatively small details about the
> choice of words in the recent Board resolution pale, IMHO, in comparison to
> some of the critical work being done to protect spectrum. (Protection from
> noise from unlicensed devices is almost as important as keeping the
> allocation.)

>
I'm a lot more concerned about losing spectrum space to interefernce than I am to
somebody nipping pieces of spectrum space for use by other services.
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From 3910103836449599835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 07:17:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6EF215.CDDA8AC@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <zFnb6.1133$e5.2811852@news.ntplx.net>
<94lboe$fao$0@208.207.71.175> <Rsqb6.1158$e5.2822489@news.ntplx.net>
<3A6E5A7A.3DAF9E4C@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:17:41 -0500

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980349472 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:17:52 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:17:52 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14148

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:
> > Dick, Jon's initial statement was made in response to Dave's claiming that
> > the dues increase had exceeded the rate of inflation.  In that context, what
>
> I don't think I made that statement, Ed.  All I said was that inflation
> was low.  I never used the per annum term nor keyed the dues hike to the
> annual rate of inflation.

You said:
> Percentage-wise, it IS high.  If you look at what inflation has been
> since 1997 when the League last upped the cost of yearly dues, it is
> outrageous.

So are you now saying that the dues increase is outrageous even if it
doesn't exceed the amount of inflation since 1997? Or are you saying
that the rate of inflation since 1997 on a per-annum basis and the total
inflation since 1997 are unrelated? (!)

Frankly, I think you are now in the Cecilian position of trying to
reinterpret your original words to mean something other than what they
say.

Jon



From -903501371475459389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 07:19:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6EF257.45578258@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

Lines: 20
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:18:48 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.48
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980349502 206.20.144.48 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 09:18:22 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 09:18:22 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14149

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Actually, I don't see where it matters more than trivially anyway,
> since FCC today pretty well ignores ARRL.
> Hang around long enough, you'll find out how it works.

>
If that's fact I think it's far more due to today's dumbed-down FCC than it is with anything the ARRL has or hasn't done. I think it's been pretty clearly demonstrated that the FCC could care less about ham radio. The whole history of the FCC with respect to ham radio over decades has been a litany of disengagement maneuvers. When it comes to changing the regs the first thing the FCC seems to do is ask itself "First priority, guys: how can we jerk this R&O around to make life easier for US?" Once that's decided they pay cerimonial attention to commenters like the ARRL.
>
w3rv

From -9207845138974251349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 07:23:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6EF368.4D2DB82A@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net> <3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163> <3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163> <nmds6t4dgivsgnslmln6q4e724ho94hs7p@4ax.com> <V2rb6.1162$e5.2824797@news.ntplx.net> <1rgs6tgh3ru4sot762u121mqe9jt84lebk@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:23:20 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980349811 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:23:31 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:23:31 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14150
```

Aaron Jones wrote:

>
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> Well let's see...
> Jon first calls Dick ignorant (among other insults) and then calls

It's not an insult. Dick admitted he was ignorant of the meaning of the term "per annum." Hey, I'm ignorant of lots of things. So are you (shocking!)

> Cecil a liar.

Cecil likes to twist things, and I don't think its uncivil to point that out.

> I think everybody knew it was a 5$ increase. The
> difference in opinion was in how the percentage was figured. Do you
> think that Headquarters handled their part of the argument civilly??

Ah, yes, the argument about how to argue. Yet another pointless rrap exercise.

Jon

```
From -869552639715068758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 07:36:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6EF655.F9C7508D@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:35:49 -0500
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.48
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980350525 206.20.144.48 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 09:35:25 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 09:35:25 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14151

Rasta567 wrote:

> Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of becoming a Life Member of the
> ARRL. Thanks

>
Over a long period one can save a huge number of dollars for dues. I forget when life memberships became available, 1970 or so?? Dunno. I think the original cost of a life membership was around $150. If those numbers are in the ballpark a ham who bought a life membership in 1970 paid roughly $4.83 per year this year and it keeps getting lower the longer he's a member. In the limit it becomes essentially free. Depends on whether ya wanna grunt now and buy one as a long-term investment. Very long term.
>
w3rv

From -2382467066926200251
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 07:46:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 24 Jan 2001 15:43:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <94mt6q$m18$0@208.207.71.144>
References: <9nos6tsk10380lkec0in7bfnfa180knkfc@4ax.com> <20010124070305.13180.00000823@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14152

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>
> >Because when you equate today's tests with the tests of 40 years ago
> >it doesn't prove that today's tests are any good. It just says that
> >you think those old tests weren't any worse...
>
> That is exactly what I am saying. Although I do see ways that the present
> tests should be improved, I think that testing that has not created major
> problems in 40 years of being at about the same level is not an invalid test,
> to use your words.
>

  Ed: I agree completely with your remarks, with the everpresent caveat
that the applicant must actually read forunderstanding and answer the
questions on that basis.

  I have downloaded the entire current question pool, and am
disaappointed to find that, alas, there are very few questions
presrented in the sort of format of those few that you posted a few days
ago.
  My wish is that a far greater percentage of the test questions would
be formatted in just that fashion. Were all the tests to be redone in
that way, I could agree completely that the tests were indeed a valid
assesment of the applicants knowledge. But you already knew that.

73, Dick W0EX

```
From -1040949327204606065
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 07:46:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6EF858.1280A4F7@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <94ke4a$15b$0@208.207.71.163> <20010124074037.13180.00000833@ng-
mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:44:24 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.48
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980351039 206.20.144.48 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 09:43:59
```

CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 09:43:59 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14153

W1RFI wrote:

> This last dues increase did drive home a point to me that the work ARRL does
> that benefits all hams (the RFI program and the web pages are excellent
> examples) is being funded by members. Those non-members that make use of those
> services should at least send an ARRL member a thank you. :-)

>
No freeloader access to info which only benefits them.
>

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From 1027292158966940616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 07:56:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 24 Jan 2001 15:56:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <94mtv3$o4a$0@208.207.71.144>
References: <7fas6tsamek2keq28bl0f7nbmbr9d2v153@4ax.com>
<20010124070616.13180.00000824@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14154

W1RFI wrote:
>

> Tell you what, Aaron; send me your mailing address and I will send you a 2000
> Handbook I salvaged from the bent-cover bin. :-)

such as

Occupant
P O BOX 10101
Anywhere, AZ 77000 ?

Ed, I don't think you'll ever smoke him out! :-)

Dick

```
From -7990902082310226004
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 08:10:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Ignorance of us all!
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 16:02:27 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <94muaa$96t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<nmds6t4dgivsgnslmln6q4e724ho94hs7p@4ax.com>
<V2rb6.1162$e5.2824797@news.ntplx.net>
<1rgs6tgh3ru4sot762u121mqe9jt84lebk@4ax.com> <3A6EF368.4D2DB82A@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 24 16:02:27 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14155
```

"Hey, I'm ignorant of lots of things. So are you (shocking!)"
--Jon Bloom

"Beware of the man who works hard to learn something,
learns it, and finds himself no wiser than before.
He is full of murderous resentment of people who
are ignorant without having come by their ignorance

the hard way."
--Bokonon

"I savour the warm glow of being much more ignorant than
ordinary people, who are only ignorant of ordinary things."
--Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7725520465509715559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 08:30:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 16:25:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <94mvkt$ahh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94ke4a$15b$0@208.207.71.163> <20010124074037.13180.00000833@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 24 16:25:16 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14156

In article <20010124074037.13180.00000833@ng-mb1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

> I agree, although we may start seeing more of those web services
> be made available on a members-only basis.

Awhile ago I said this to the ARRL webmaster.

Whoever is setting the policy for the ARRL web site has it perfectly backwards.

The main page, open to members and non-members alike, rivals QST as a source of the latest news in ham radio. It is well done, timely, and attractive. Just the sort of thing we're willing to pay our dues to have. But we don't need to pay any dues to see it.

For those of us who pay dues, there is a "Members Only" news area. It contains lame things like Stan "surfin' the web", "youth@hamradio.fun", etc.

He wasn't impressed, and nothing changed. The "members only" side is still lame.

Good luck on this one now!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"I *AM* the ARRL"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -7758686268122580572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 08:30:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 10:20:50 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A6F00E2.690A2489@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <zFnb6.1133$e5.2811852@news.ntplx.net>
<94lboe$fao$0@208.207.71.175> <Rsqb6.1158$e5.2822489@news.ntplx.net>
<3A6E5A7A.3DAF9E4C@ovis.net> <3A6EF215.CDDA8AC@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 24 Jan 2001 16:30:21 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14157

Jon Bloom wrote:
> Frankly, I think you are now in the Cecilian position of trying to
> reinterpret your original words to mean something other than what they
> say.

The first thread I noticed was where Dick said $5 is 14.7% of $34
and you disagreed. I had no "original words" on the subject because
I wasn't reading the thread.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -4221000946413902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 08:57:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <n82u6t89710khcnod2gdnk8ddp31ee330i@4ax.com>
References: <3ias6tsioso9sfv97jcjv2mi2ijfhc1n8e@4ax.com>
<20010124065922.13180.00000822@ng-mb1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23

Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 16:57:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980355427 209.86.211.187 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:57:07 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:57:07 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14158

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>Which do you think is a better goal -- to have more technical hams,
>irrespective of the total number of hams, or to have
>a greater percentage of technical hams, irrespective of the total number of
>technical hams?

I think it would be best to have the largest number of technical hams
possible in any time period. But that's not what I was commenting on.
I was comparing the 50's era average ham's technical abilities to the
technical abilities of today's average ham. I think the 50's average
ham wins hands down...

>non-technical hams (I don't even know how you would define that --

Perhaps it's similar to what one judge said about pornography. It's
hard to define but you know it [a tech-ham] when you see it... :-)

>perhaps you could keep asking them on usenet if a test
>that doesn't test knowledge is a [good] test)

They would probably answer the question the first time I asked it.
Unless of course their answer would undermine their own position on
the validity of today's ham tests...   :-)

From -7103358983372114353
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 08:57:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <b82u6tgoscj6mufq2ur00ukn1a4vr33e1m@4ax.com>
References: <9nos6tsk10380lkec0in7bfnfa180knkfc@4ax.com> <20010124070305.13180.00000823@ng-mb1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
Lines: 7
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 16:57:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980355428 209.86.211.187 (Wed, 24 Jan
2001 08:57:08 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:57:08 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14159
```

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>I think that testing that has not created major problems
>in 40 years of being at about the same level is not
>an invalid test, to use your words.

So your position is that even though today's ham tests are faulty,
that's okay because it causes no major problems??

```
From 4148312540230334456
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 08:57:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <d62u6t46mith2b28m8n9vr4h1bj8u9grli@4ax.com>
References: <7fas6tsamek2keq28bl0f7nbmbr9d2v153@4ax.com>
<20010124070616.13180.00000824@ng-mb1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 16:57:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980355430 209.86.211.187 (Wed, 24 Jan
2001 08:57:10 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:57:10 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14160
```

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>Tell you what, Aaron; send me your mailing address and I will send you a 2000
>Handbook I salvaged from the bent-cover bin. :-)

How about a Handbook in the CD version?

Do you have a bent CD bin... :-)

From -7791249840852285028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 08:57:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <752u6t0ejmlo1q54nfl02nkufs4utcimv9@4ax.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com> <3A6DAC4C.2A5A3580@ovis.net> <3A6DB807.9BB7161C@dvol.com> <Uvnb6.1132$e5.2811019@news.ntplx.net> <3A6EABE6.A1C50DB0@dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 16:57:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980355431 209.86.211.187 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:57:11 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:57:11 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14161

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>SWR? What's an SWR? Heh!

I used a short piece of twin lead with flashlight bulbs soldered at
each end taped to my folded dipole's lead in to check SWR...

From 4991662944007014926
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 08:57:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement

```
Message-ID: <l42u6tsp7vm3a2jvdnalkla7m13qcdgiur@4ax.com>
References: <94lg42$kq3$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010124072739.13180.00000829@ng-
mb1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 16:57:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980355433 209.86.211.187 (Wed, 24 Jan
2001 08:57:13 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:57:13 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14162

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>Why, I would tell her I got the 10% increase. Of course, the other three years
>I would have had to tell her I got a 0% increase.
>
>Which would you rather get, a 10% raise every 4 years (3.33% * 4 years) or a 3%
>raise every year?

Like I said, car salesman's math.
 Making the unpalatable tasty...   :-)


From -8963735120026317707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 08:57:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <u22u6ts1n8apkldop9bc57peilhbeoeh4d@4ax.com>
References: <94lajg$cj3$0@208.207.71.175> <20010124073425.13180.00000832@ng-
mb1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 16:57:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980355434 209.86.211.187 (Wed, 24 Jan
2001 08:57:14 PST)
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:57:14 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14163

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>The number are the same from this point onward whether
>the dues increase happened in one chunk as
>it did, or at a 3.5% rate every year.
>The way it is done, you have $7 more in
>your pocket; I can't see why you are displeased.

I should be happy because my house burned down
this year, instead of 3 years ago??   Same logic...   :-)


From -7779621485002086640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 08:57:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <o02u6tg65llg1ssc93m9g5f638bgmms7fb@4ax.com>
References: <94ke4a$15b$0@208.207.71.163> <20010124074037.13180.00000833@ng-
mb1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 16:57:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980355435 209.86.211.187 (Wed, 24 Jan
2001 08:57:15 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:57:15 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14164

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>Those non-members that make use of those
>services should at least send an ARRL member a thank you. :-)

Since I will be paying more income tax than you this year,
 will you please post a thank you note to me...    :-)


From -3652750815281528197
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 08:57:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <rv1u6tgj723sr26tbtr8rdn1ih1cdoc57b@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 16:57:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980355437 209.86.211.187 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:57:17 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 08:57:17 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14165

Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
>It's not an insult.
>Dick admitted he was ignorant...
>So are you [ignorent] (shocking!)...

I guess this isn't an apology... :-)

>Cecil likes to twist things,
>and I don't think its uncivil to point that out.

By calling him a liar?? Seems uncivil to me...

>Yet another pointless rrap exercise.

So why do you keep returning if you don't like it?
Ed handles the headquarters end quite well,
and with much class I might add...

From -2452094539022426925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 09:26:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail

```
Message-ID: <3A6F102D.5B27556F@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <rv1u6tgj723sr26tbtr8rdn1ih1cdoc57b@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 12:26:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980357176 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 12:26:16 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 12:26:16 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14166

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> >Yet another pointless rrap exercise.
>
> So why do you keep returning if you don't like it?

I mostly confine myself to discussions that I think have some point.
When they degenerate into silliness like this I'll stick around until
I'm no longer entertained, then leave.

Jon


From -4183617082260789164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 09:33:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6F11D9.18545692@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <zFnb6.1133$e5.2811852@news.ntplx.net>
<94lboe$fao$0@208.207.71.175> <Rsqb6.1158$e5.2822489@news.ntplx.net>
<3A6E5A7A.3DAF9E4C@ovis.net> <3A6EF215.CDDA8AC@arrl.org>
```

<3A6F00E2.690A2489@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 12:33:13 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980357602 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 12:33:22 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 12:33:22 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14167

Cecil wrote:
>
> The first thread I noticed was where Dick said $5 is 14.7% of $34
> and you disagreed. I had no "original words" on the subject because
> I wasn't reading the thread.

In the not-twisted-by-Cecil version I said it's not 14.7% per annum over
4 years.

Jon

From -2577280617965725746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 10:20:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6F1CBA.1AACC5C9@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <94ke4a$15b$0@208.207.71.163> <20010124074037.13180.00000833@ng-mb1.aol.com> <94mvkt$ahh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 34
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 13:19:38 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.56
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980360353 206.20.144.56 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 12:19:13 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 12:19:13 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14168

K0HB wrote:

>

> He wasn't impressed, and nothing changed. The "members only" side is still lame.

>

Depends on what you're looking for, I've made good use of the Members Only side. Locking some of the current freebies behind a password ought to be n the right direction.

>

It's a little early in the game Hans, it's not unresonable to expect the site to eventually have some multiple volumes more "stuff" in it than it has now. It ain't even started, Rome, etc.

>

There's another aspect to the whole scene. The ARRL site is very much in competion with other ham website which was not the case just a few years ago, as in QST and the ARRL are faced with a whole new ballgame as far as necessarily being the primary attention-grabber and "source of all good things" vs the good old days. Hell, dial into the ac6v site and you have more ham radio than you can deal with.

>

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> "I *AM* the ARRL"

>

I'll vote for that.

>

w3rv

From -8484064734681650222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 10:33:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!
cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 18:32:37 GMT
References: <3A6E3A57.C96CF9A8@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010124133237.25954.00001431@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14169

In article <3A6E3A57.C96CF9A8@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>Larry Klose wrote:
>
>> The Board spent thirty years constructing that wall, now it scheduled
>> for removal in 2003.
>
>Nah, more like 86 years ago when HPM put the ARRL together in 1915. The
>Great Wall of China was built 2,700 years ago and hasn't been removed yet.
>
>> Larry KC8EPO

The "wall" is rather an obvious reference to the BERLIN WALL,
completed in 1961, then torn down beginning in late 1989.
[how quickly some forget...]   A barrier manned by Vopos who
killed quite a few attempting to go from east to west.

The Great Wall of China was an ineffective "WPA" structure and
did not keep out the barbarian hordes...worked about as well against
warriors with scaling ladders as European castles against siege
engines. The Great Wall of China is symbolic...as the morse
code is symbolic of amateur radio excellence. Artifacts.

didit

From -6454725368271745418
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 10:33:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!
newsfeed.concentric.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 18:32:33 GMT
References: <lnds6t8b21r1jsujelsmtskeveoq8jd672@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010124133233.25954.00001428@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14170

In article <lnds6t8b21r1jsujelsmtskeveoq8jd672@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>Given the past emphasis on morse code abilities thought
>>necessary for all radio amateurs...

>
>I'm curious Len. When the code test if finally abolished will you go
>ahead and get an amateur license?

You have to keep tuning in to find out yes or no.   :-)

Why do you think that everyone MUST have some sort of personal reason to argue anything? Isn't the effort of modernizing amateur regulations enough? Amateur radio is a hobby, not a lifestyle. Or is it?

Is freedom in an avocation such a dreaded thing? Must avocations have a strict regimentation in everything such that some consider it a surrogate military service?

>(This is not meant as an insult or a put down in any way.)

Could have fooled me. Even if you are sincere, AJ, there are plenty of frustrated hams who would jump at the chance to slam somebody, anybody who isn't a lodge hall member. They have, they are almost eager to do so. You've seen their output in here. :-)

Tell me why I should bow down to these self-professed "superior" individuals who want to dictate morals, ethics, jargon, and activities in a hobby? We are talking dictate as with a heavy hand, whip, chains, the whole S&M bit if one doesn't do as they order...and then respond by saying "Thank you, sir, may I have more?"   Noooo, not that way, AJ, no thanks. Another way, maybe, but keep tuning in...you never know?   :-)

didit

From -2952809939338579412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 10:33:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 62
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 18:32:35 GMT
References: <3A6E29A5.99589F63@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class

Message-ID: <20010124133235.25954.00001429@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14171

In article <3A6E29A5.99589F63@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Such an instrument could be designed and built as a
>> club project, made available for club members' use.
>> It doesn't have to be an integral part of any individual
>> radio amateur's equipment.
>
>An article on "How to Build the Black Box" which feeds the Firewire port in
>the back of Le Machine should work even better with a bunch less hardware. .

One doesn't NEED a computer interface, doesn't need any UHF
TV tuner for a front end, doesn't need a computer-specific
interface unless fancy display lettering on the display that will
print to any computer printer. I've seen two, used one such
PC Card type spectrum analyzer and both versions suffer from
inaccurate and limited logarithmic range.

The Log-Amp-Detector from Analog Devices solves the log
range and accuracy problems. What was once a large PCB
full of certain semiconductors and precision resistors is now
collapsed into one package. The VCOs for the first LO
sweeper are off-the-shelf from Mini-Circuits. Front end filters
can be almost kluged together out of what parts are in the
junk box. This isn't rocket science gee-whizzery.

>
>> It is a good receiving tool
>> if used as an indicator of received signals a la the old
>> "Panadapter." Icom has made receivers with an
>> auxilliary 1st IF output specifically for an external
>> spectrum analyzer connection.
>
>Old as the hills, had a Heathkit version (HO-10?) in the early 60s fed by my
>75A4. Been there, done 'em, trust me, they're useless panel clutter for
>real-world ham listening ops. Decent modulation checkers but that was about
>the extent of their usefulness.

Then you haven't used anything with more than 20 db dynamic
range. I agree that the old-style 1940s Panadaptor wasn't much
good with its semi-linear (not logarithmic) vertical display.

What I was talking about is an indicator of signals going from
S1 on up to 40 db over S9 on a display without needing to
change knob settings. You would be able to see "weak
ones" pop up from the noise floor, see how far they were from
your current frequency, then tune over to hear them as fast as
you could tweak the tuning knob. No searching around, all
the signals within sweepwidth settings are visible. 50 or 100
KHz sweep width no problem to see CW or any other kind of

modulation on those incoming signals. Everything is on the display at once. A 1960s consumer-electronics item this ain't...none of those had as much as 60 db of accurate dynamic range. With some extra work, 100 db of dynamic range is possible (but one has to start thinking of using a display larger than 5" diameter to ease the eyes). Analog Devices has a stock Log package that can handle 100 db.

Sound interesting?   :-)

didit

From 7958265683485107829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 13:06:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 16:05:56 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com> <3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com> <94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 19
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14022

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163...
> I don't see where it matters more than trivially anyway,
> since FCC today pretty well ignores ARRL.
> Hang around long enough, you'll find out how it works.

I don't see any reason to not start kicking the donkey in the behind now. That way when it gets good and ready to move it will be right on our "schedule", not its. No time like the present to get people use to the idea the code test is going down the drain. Wait a few years until the ITU treaty requirement is gone, then START fighting to get the ARRL, and the FCC to consider dropping the Code test requirement for another few years? No way.

--

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

```
From -1756664548792407311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 13:39:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Jan 2001 23:12:59 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <94l35r$ddg$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <3A6C779F.C9B747E@uci.edu> <94j72o$k2a$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu> <3A6DE04A.36B4AC92@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 980291579 13744 205.139.138.14 (23 Jan 2001 23:12:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Jan 2001 23:12:59 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14023
```

In article <3A6DE04A.36B4AC92@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>I believe we are talking about a rebellion to restore U.S. Constitutional
>government.

I believe you're a bunch of impotent old geezers just talking to hear your toothless gums flap.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
 -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net
```

```
From -5012665905500926404
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 13:43:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!news-
xfer.newsread.com!bad-news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!
POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
X-Sender: dana@cluster2
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
In-Reply-To: <3A6A4B86.DDE5D557@dvol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10101231633050.21580-100000@cluster2>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<94ctfo$39n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6A4B86.DDE5D557@dvol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 3
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 21:43:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 130.205.85.40
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@comstar.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 980286219 130.205.85.40 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 16:43:39
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 16:43:39 EST
Organization: Comstar Communications (comstar.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14024
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16258 alt.radio.family:3558 rec.radio.cb:23376
```

What are those freqs for the family radio service?

```
From -3296475199012942739
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 13:51:37 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 13:47:18 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A6DFBE6.8124DFA6@uci.edu>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-
md.aol.com> <3A6C779F.C9B747E@uci.edu> <94j72o$k2a$0@208.207.71.147>
<3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu> <3A6DE04A.36B4AC92@IEEE.org>
<94l35r$ddg$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14025



K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:
>
> In article <3A6DE04A.36B4AC92@IEEE.org>, Cecil  <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >I believe we are talking about a rebellion to restore U.S. Constitutional
> >government.

> I believe you're a bunch of impotent old geezers just talking to hear your
> toothless gums flap.

I object! Cecil is not a bunch of old geezers.

ac6xg



From 9215286271211101053
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 14:03:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94itlv$3am$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010123064257.12166.00000953@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <94kndo$3v7$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
```

Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Lcnb6.1127$e5.2809438@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:01:52 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980287403 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:03:23 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:03:23 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14026

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message news:94kndo$3v7$1@iac5.navix.net...

> I am not as concerned with the content, as getting the air time. I live
> south of Atlanta and have never seen a PSA on ham radio on any of the
> channels I watch. I am a night owl by nature, and some of the PSA's used
to
> fill time in the wee hours are covering some really inane subjects.

> This week, just for grins, I will make some inquires to the stations in
> Atlanta and Columbus to see what is necessary to get them run.

That is exactly the approach that works best. Local stations respond more
to local viewers than they do to a request from a national organization.
Jen Hagy should be able to help, if needed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1140670864159373336
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 14:07:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200

Message-ID: <Zgnb6.1128$e5.2809939@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:06:23 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980287673 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:07:53 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:07:53 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14027

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Don't worry about it, Larry, this is just their "interim" position,
> which they have adopted as they move from complete support of CW testing
> to its complete abandonment. Can't make any sudden, sensational and
> upsetting moves, you know. Might upset someone. Walk that tightrope.

I think that is less likely than my theory that the Board decided not to solve a problem that would not surface for at least 4 years. I think it very unlikely that the ARRL will originate petition for rulemaking that will certainly follow any change in the international treaty, but at this stage, it is not certain what the final treaty will look like or just what rules changes will be put on the table. I agree with the Board if they decided not to cross the bridge until they could see just what kind of bridge it is.

Your tightrope theory just sounds less plausible to me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5555056238348412659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 14:12:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com> <3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com> <pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net> <Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net> <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Jlnb6.1129$e5.2810209@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:11:27 -0500

NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980287977 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:12:57 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:12:57 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14028

K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> I was disappointed that the BoD display this "go with the flow - wait and see" attitude. Having sat in that room in the past, I know that boldness is often punished, but this seemed like the perfect time to display some leadership and vision.

> Opportunity lost. Sigh!

If you meant the opportunity to change ARRL's policy 4 years before that policy change could have any effect on US regulations, I guess technically it is a "lost" opportunity, but this is an issue that the ARRL Board will have to address again when the inevitable FCC NPRM comes down the pike. I think anything the Board did now would be pretty much moot and may have to be re-done in response to the actual content of the NPRM,or even of the treaty itself.

As you have sat in that room, you know how difficult it can be to hammer out an understanding with 15 different viewpoints on the table at the same time. If deciding to change only the policy wrt the international treaty at this was the only way they could get enough agreement to get ANY resolution to pass, I can understand and accept it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1542281759331168529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 14:17:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200

Message-ID: <jqnb6.1130$e5.2810580@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:16:20 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980288271 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:17:51 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:17:51 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14029

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

> I don't see any reason to not start kicking the donkey in the behind now.
> That way when it gets good and ready to move it will be right on our
> "schedule", not its. No time like the present to get people use to the
idea
> the code test is going down the drain. Wait a few years until the ITU
treaty
> requirement is gone, then START fighting to get the ARRL, and the FCC to
> consider dropping the Code test requirement for another few years? No way.

Hello, Leland,

I don't think the FCC is going to entertain any petition for rulemaking wrt code testing until the treaty is changed and ratified.

It is very much appropriate to start working on the ARRL Board now, by sharing your views and either convincing your ARRL Director why they should hold sway or by voting the guy out and voting a new guy in. What is your Director's views on the US requirements? You may find that your job is already done. :-) Of course, others may be trying to persuade him in the other direction. Tom Frenaye already knows my views, but I took the time to reiterate them before the Board meeting.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3518701676034009945
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 14:20:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com> <3A6DAC4C.2A5A3580@ovis.net> <3A6DB807.9BB7161C@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Ssnb6.1131$e5.2810924@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:19:03 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980288434 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:20:34 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:20:34 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14030

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

> > Feelings=perceptions and in this case perceptions of the ARRL most
> > certainly count. If the League desperately needs the money to do
> > something which appeals to the membership, a better selling job needs to
> > be done.

> I agree with that. Too many closets in Newington for my taste.

I agree with that too; a better selling job needs to be done. In my case, it has never been a question of belief, but of finding the time to spend 2-3 days working on an informative article before the next emergency seems to come down the pike.

I will promise to my friends here that once I get a bit more staff in the Lab to handle some of the individual RFI issues, I will put together a lot more info on what I have been up to and I will see that it gets published somewhere.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4817282840963304308
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 14:23:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com> <3A6DAC4C.2A5A3580@ovis.net> <3A6DB807.9BB7161C@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Uvnb6.1132$e5.2811019@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:22:17 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980288628 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:23:48 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:23:48 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14031
```

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

> Now, now! There's a problem: Over the years big gulfs have been created
which
> didn't exist in earlier days. One one hand we have old fart 20wpm Extras
like
> thee and me who have done it all on one hand and new-wave noclues some of
which
> really don't know how to wire a DPST switch on the other hand with QST
caught in
> the middle. It's just a no-win situation.

When I was a Nervous, those articles on the basics were really neat and exciting; they were high-tech to me. Now that I am a seasoned old vet, the same articles are "really dumbed down" in QST.

Go back and look at those old articles with today's eyes.

> Hams were bitching about the ARRL , the dues and QST content a half
century ago.
> The good news is that we're consistent and predictable.

Those who aren't members can see a nice sample of the Tech articles from QST at:

http://www.arrl.org/tis

Enjoy.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

```
From 5553953763418174606
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 14:30:14 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: n2ey@aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 22:16:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 77
Message-ID: <94kvqt$lsq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <%RK86.837$e5.2154344@news.ntplx.net>
<3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org> <944l34$mk2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3A65E44C.FE56CA41@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.197.200.39
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 23 22:16:06 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 151.197.200.39
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16264
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14032

In article <3A65E44C.FE56CA41@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > news:3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org...
> > > The arguments are different. Jim says there is not one code-
tested jammer
> > > using Morse code equipment.

This is not true. You are misrepresenting what I wrote, Cecil. That's
beyond the pale. I am posting this to both NGs so there's no confusion.

> I say there is at least one and probably more.

Nobody denies that. I simply refuse to play your game.
> >
> > I'm enjoying your argument in the third person here, Cecil. Which
Jim are
> > you claiming said these things? Quotes might better illustrate
your point.
> > Or they might not.
>
> I redirect this thread from r.r.a.antenna where it doesn't belong.

Yet you continued to post to the "no-code kills" thread long after you
promised to stop. At least 40 posts on that thread, involving all sorts
of things like your experiences in aircraft in South America, were
posted to rraa. Many were posted ONLY to rraa. Only about a third of
them involved me in any way.

> Jim, N2EY, is redirecting everything back to r.r.a.antenna where it
> doesn't belong. That's the reason for the disconnect.

You keep redirecting it because you know I don't post to policy anymore. I made this exception to avoid any more of that.

> Jim will not
> admit that there ever has been a single code-tested ham using Morse
> equipment for the purpose of jamming.

That is simply not true. I just won't play your games. So you are distorting and making stuff up about me behind my back.

> He refuses to condemn code-
> tested hams who use Morse equipment for the purpose of jamming since
> he says there never has been any.

Wrong, wrong, wrong, Cecil. I have repeatedly condemned ALL jammers on the ham bands, regardless of testing or mode. The ones you mention are a subset, and so are logically included.

> That's because any admission of
> such would violate the immaculate conception virginal religious
> aspect of Morse code to the PCTA.

Nonsense. I just won't play your games anymore.

Much of what you have written about me is simply not true, Cecil. I have pointed out your mistakes above. You will not admit them, of course.

Anyone who believes what Cecil has written can check deja.com and read my posts for themselves.

Enough of your games, Cecil. I'm sorry you are so bored that you have to spend time trying to "get other people's goats".

Game over.

73 de Jim, N2EY
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1617836622793694182
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 14:34:09 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <zFnb6.1133$e5.2811852@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:32:36 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980289247 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:34:07 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:34:07 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14033

Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:

> > > > > Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.

> > 'Tis not my calculator that is broke, $5 is 14.7& of the %34 *annual*
> > membership fee.
> > Looks like an attempt to invoke New Math as yet another Newington Smoke
> > Screen. Doesn't work any better than it ever did.

> This is one of the reasons I don't hang around rrap much any more -- too
> many people like Dick who (willfully? ignorantly?) misinterpret simple,
> direct statements and then use their misinterpretation as "evidence" of
> unethical behavior on the part of the other party. Guess my stomach for
> idiocy like this isn't as strong as Ed's.

I think, Jon and Dick, that the term "per annum" may have been misunderstood. If applied to a 4-year term, a per-annum rate is applied each year. After all, if one puts money in a savings account at a per-annum rate, they certainly would understand the term if the bank only applied the interest to one year. :-)

So, lets take it step by step, over 4 years.

Year 1: $34.00 + (.035 * $34.00) = $35.19
Year 2: $35.19 + (.035 * $35.19) = $36.42
Year 3 : $36.42 + (.035 * $36.42) = $37.69
Year 4: $37.69 + (.035 * $37.69) = $39.00

This worked out to 3.5% increase each year,except that no one had to pay the $35.19, the $36.42 or the $37.69; it stayed at $34.00 for those years.

Does that help?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4150641519861748146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 14:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <3A6DD706.6FD7EF08@IEEE.org>
<94kn2g$d2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <mHnb6.1134$e5.2811856@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:34:31 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980289362 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:36:02 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:36:02 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14034

K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> > Is a one year ARRL membership fee going from $34 to $39 or is it
> > going up by one dollar each year for the next five years?

> It went up a $1.25 a year in 98, 99, 00, and 01, but the increase is
> not imposed until July 01.

And the increase is not retroactive. :-)

And, if you really want to beat the system, do what I just did; renew for 3
years on the ARRL web site at the $34.00 rate. That way, the increase won't
be proactive for 3 years, either. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2545913920803354468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 14:38:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net> <3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163> <94kl6i$sg9$1@news.netmar.com> <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org> <3A6DDFCC.BD9934A2@arrl.org> <3A6DE31B.6D371FC5@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <RJnb6.1135$e5.2811980@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:37:09 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980289521 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:38:41 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:38:41 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14035

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> Is there any difference in having one double-scotch per day for a week
> VS not drinking for six days and then having seven double-scotches?
> "But ossifer, I only averaged one double-scotch per day."

Tell you what, Cecil, to avoid this problem in the future, you can increase your dues voluntarily every year by the published rate of inflation. That way, you can avoid all the big jumps and you will be ahead of all the rest of us. Go ahead and send it to me in cash; I will go upstairs and pay your dues for you. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2112044645975255793
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 14:45:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.algonet.se!algonet!news000.worldonline.se!newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!uio.no!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com> <3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com> <94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:38:22 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1d4186e0.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=3BjDfg=UiU<bV?_m\CJ]D6BITGoVIjmH6[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7>W2L^i:FiP8lBFDaL\d^n5EeU\;f1:_J7
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14036

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163...
> > I don't see where it matters more than trivially anyway,
> > since FCC today pretty well ignores ARRL.

It is no suprise that the FCC ignored the ARRL, since they
were initially demanding that the FCC continue a regulation
(13 & 20 wpm code test) that was not in conformity law
(5 USC 706).

FCC gave the ARRL plenty of opportunity to show some
leadership, but the Board said "no change". So FCC
proceeded without them and issued the License
Restructuring Decision. The ARRL ask the FCC to use

5 & 10 wpm code exams. The FCC's Report and Order said
that Morse code exam requirement served "no regulatory
purpose". So what does the ARRL Board do? They
demand the FCC continue 5 wpm code exams.

> > Hang around long enough, you'll find out how it works.

In a very short time I have learned how anthe system does
not work.

> I don't see any reason to not start kicking the donkey in the behind now.
> That way when it gets good and ready to move it will be right on our
> "schedule", not its. No time like the present to get people use to the idea
> the code test is going down the drain. Wait a few years until the ITU treaty
> requirement is gone, then START fighting to get the ARRL, and the FCC to
> consider dropping the Code test requirement for another few years? No way.

I personally advocate thpetition the United States/FCC to adopt a WRC 2003
policy to: (1) suppress ITU rule S25.5, (2) take exception to S25.5 in the
WRC 2003 Final Acts if it is nor suppressed, and (3) delete 47 CFR 97.503(a)
after the conclusion of WRC 2003 without any futher proceedings.

Larry Klose KC8EPO

From 2734900887073483204
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 14:55:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com> <3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com> <94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <Zgnb6.1128$e5.2809939@news.ntplx.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:52:22 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3a6e0b34$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1d4186e0.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=g_m6FgP@8c;iAcBM^EMG<;BITGoVIjmH6[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7>W2L^i:FiP8lBFDaL\d^n5E
eU\;f1:_J7
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14037

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:Zgnb6.1128$e5.2809939@news.ntplx.net...
> I think that is less likely than my theory that the Board decided not to
> solve a problem that would not surface for at least 4 years. I think it
very
> unlikely that the ARRL will originate petition for rulemaking that will
> certainly follow any change in the international treaty, but at this
stage,
> it is not certain what the final treaty will look like or just what rules
> changes will be put on the table. I agree with the Board if they decided
> not to cross the bridge until they could see just what kind of bridge it
is.

The so called "bridge" you claim the Board can not see is in fact a great
wall that surround Newington, casting a shadow over Board's vision.

The Board spent thirty years constructing that wall, now it scheduled
for removal in 2003.

Larry KC8EPO

From 8538026091656537585
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 15:05:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!newsfeeds.sol.net!
news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
<Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net> <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

<Jlnb6.1129$e5.2810209@news.ntplx.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:02:08 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <3a6e0d7e$0$30006$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10a4d6eb.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=1lnQgK@=ObWL:]83Yo7ZD[BITGoVIjmHV[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWR8iRESH_oH\lBFDaL\d^nUE
eU\;f1:_JW
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14038

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:Jlnb6.1129$e5.2810209@news.ntplx.net...
> K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
>
> > I was disappointed that the BoD display this "go with the flow -
> > wait and see" attitude. Having sat in that room in the past,
> > I know that boldness is often punished, but this seemed like
> > the perfect time to display some leadership and vision.
>
> > Opportunity lost. Sigh!
>
> If you meant the opportunity to change ARRL's policy 4 years before that
> policy change could have any effect on US regulations, I guess technically
> it is a "lost" opportunity, but this is an issue that the ARRL Board will
> have to address again when the inevitable FCC NPRM comes down the pike. I
> think anything the Board did now would be pretty much moot and may have to
> be re-done in response to the actual content of the NPRM,or even of the
> treaty itself.
>
> As you have sat in that room, you know how difficult it can be to hammer
> out an understanding with 15 different viewpoints on the table at the same
> time. If deciding to change only the policy wrt the international treaty
at
> this was the only way they could get enough agreement to get ANY
resolution
> to pass, I can understand and accept it.

Since the Morse code exam requirement is a public policy matter,
and the amateur radio community is about evenly divided, the ARRL Board
could have elected to take no position, and not interfere. For thirty years
the Board has act contrary to the public interest and contrary to the
interest of the majority of licensees.

I can not understand nor do I accept it.

Larry KC8EPO

```
From 8677518489364587612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 15:22:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dca1-
hub1.news.digex.net!intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-
for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
<Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net> <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<Jlnb6.1129$e5.2810209@news.ntplx.net> <3a6e0d7e$0$30006$44a10c7e@news.net-
link.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Imob6.1138$e5.2814627@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:20:42 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980292136 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:22:16 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:22:16 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14039
```

Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote:

> Since the Morse code exam requirement is a public policy matter,
> and the amateur radio community is about evenly divided, the ARRL Board
> could have elected to take no position, and not interfere.

Since the Morse code exam is a public policy matter and the amateur radio community is about evenly divided, the NCI Board could have elected to take no position and not interfere...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7171989247383989435
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 15:28:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Ysob6.1139$e5.2814845@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:27:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980292536 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:28:56 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:28:56 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14040

Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote:

> FCC gave the ARRL plenty of opportunity to show some
> leadership, but the Board said "no change".

The ARRL's comments to the FCC were plenty of change, Larry. Trying to rewrite history here?

> The ARRL ask the FCC to use 5 & 10 wpm code exams.

It was 5 and 12 wpm, if accuracy is important.

> The FCC's Report and Order said that Morse code exam requirement served
"no regulatory
> purpose". So what does the ARRL Board do? They demand the FCC continue 5
wpm code exams.

When? In its comments to the FCC for restructuring? NCI agreed that a 5 wpm test was needed to satisfy the treaty? Now? Can you tell me where and when the ARRL communicated their "demand" to the FCC? The language of the ARRL policy was clear enough to me that the League is choosing not to change its policy wrt US testing at this time.

You are misrepresenting facts, Larry. It does not reflect well on you or your goals.

> I personally advocate thpetition the United States/FCC to adopt a WRC 2003
> policy to: (1) suppress ITU rule S25.5, (2) take exception to S25.5 in the
> WRC 2003 Final Acts if it is nor suppressed, and (3) delete 47 CFR
97.503(a)
> after the conclusion of WRC 2003 without any futher proceedings.

Now that sounds a bit more realistic. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2122116985137461045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 15:30:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
212.97.206.81.MISMATCH!kinglear.mobilixnet.dk!opentransit.net!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <Zgnb6.1128$e5.2809939@news.ntplx.net>
<3a6e0b34$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <4uob6.1140$e5.2814912@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:28:33 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980292608 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:30:08 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:30:08 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14041

Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote:

> The so called "bridge" you claim the Board can not see is in fact a great
> wall that surround Newington, casting a shadow over Board's vision.

Sounds just like that preacher on late night tv. :-)

> The Board spent thirty years constructing that wall, now it scheduled

> for removal in 2003.

The ARRL Board seems to agree with you.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7941197495108309132
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 15:46:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-
mail
Message-ID: <3A6E17C6.FA205D61@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:46:14 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.39
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980293549 206.20.144.39 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:45:49
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:45:49 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14042
```

Larry Klose wrote:

> I personally advocate thpetition the United States/FCC to adopt a WRC 2003
> policy to: (1) suppress ITU rule S25.5, (2) take exception to S25.5 in the
> WRC 2003 Final Acts if it is nor suppressed, and (3) delete 47 CFR 97.503(a)
> after the conclusion of WRC 2003 without any futher proceedings.

>

Don't get real upset if it doesn't quite work out your way.

>

> Larry Klose KC8EPO

>
w3rv

From 7385602174181418596
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 15:47:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6E1806.C6729DDE@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com>
<3A6DAC4C.2A5A3580@ovis.net> <3A6DB807.9BB7161C@dvol.com>
<Ssnb6.1131$e5.2810924@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 39
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:47:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.39
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980293612 206.20.144.39 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:46:52
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:46:52 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14043

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> > I agree with that. Too many closets in Newington for my taste.
>
> I agree with that too; a better selling job needs to be done. In my case,
> it has never been a question of belief, but of finding the time to spend 2-3
> days working on an informative article before the next emergency seems to
> come down the pike.

>
I like the idea of letting the members get a peek at more of the details which
make a difference we never usually hear about about but good grief Edward,
don't bury us with 2-3 day diatribes per month!
>

> I will promise to my friends here that once I get a bit more staff in the
> Lab to handle some of the individual RFI issues, I will put together a lot
> more info on what I have been up to and I will see that it gets published

> somewhere.

>
Dump the lab, turn it over to somebody else and get outta there. Your RFI activities while being of a technical nature are much more political, consensus building and educational pursuits which have nothing to do with lab tests of the latest whizzy xcvrs. Considering your background and the ogre content of the exponential escalation of the number of Part 15 devices (among other threats) SOMEBODY gotta put full time into it. No point to having the latest whizzy ricebox which got five stars in the reviews if the bands are garbaged. Tag, you're it.
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

```
From 5045313736766061821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 16:11:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 00:10:29 GMT
References: <3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010123191029.17535.00001141@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14044
```

In article <3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> writes:

>Here the ARRL Board's Jan 20 resolution:

Thanks for posting that, Larry.

didit

From -6740535261814725400

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 16:11:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 00:10:28 GMT
References: <3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010123191028.17535.00001139@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14045

In article <3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> Jon Bloom wrote:
>> >
>> > Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.
>>
>>   Huh??? $5 as a percentage of $34 comes out to be 14.7% on my Casio.
>
>14.7% PER ANNUM (over 4 years), Dick? Your calculator's broken. Or maybe
>it just needs a new battery.

...it's not the calculator that needs the new battery...

didit


From 5924985659053072367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 16:11:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 00:10:29 GMT
References: <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
```

Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010123191029.17535.00001140@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14046

In article <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> $58.85 (not $39.00). 'Cording to my TI the actual increase was almost
>> precisely 3.5% per-annum, except they skipped some annums, so we actually
>> saved $7-and-change in the interim.
>
>Percentage increase = 100*(increase/present-cost)
>
>If the increase is $5 and the present-cost is $34, then that's a 14.7%
>increase from one year's subscription to the next year's subscription.

What part of "per annum" do you not understand, Cecil?

didit

From -1984595781163121366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 16:11:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 00:10:27 GMT
References: <3A6DB59F.8F8FE110@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010123191027.17535.00001138@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14047

In article <3A6DB59F.8F8FE110@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>I'm sure some members will find $39 too high. I'm sure others will
>evaluate what ARRL is doing for them and decide it's worth $39. There's
>no point in arguing the ratio here since we'll know soon enough.

In taking a long, hard look at what the ARRL offers and does,
I would say a $45 to $50 annual membership is reasonable for
the membership advantages.

That is outside of the "QST subscription." QST should be able
to self-support based on advertising sales for a "captive"
170 K or so readership per month.

All the other services should be worth the increased price of
membership IMHO.

In my case and that of many others, the issue is less about
cost than of what the BoD decides is good for the member-
ship...or for the US amateur radio community as a whole,
in particular the license testing regulations.

didit

```
From -2787504237283282694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 16:11:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 00:10:32 GMT
References: <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010123191032.17535.00001143@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14048
```

In article <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:

>"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>
>> I think the "at this time" language in your post and in the
>> resolution is pretty important. IMHO, the Board is saying
>> that it will leave it to the correct time to deal with the issue.
>
>"Boldness has genius, power and magic in it."
>   --von Goethe
>
>I was disappointed that the BoD display this "go with the flow -
>wait and see" attitude. Having sat in that room in the past,
>I know that boldness is often punished, but this seemed like
>the perfect time to display some leadership and vision.
>
>Opportunity lost. Sigh!

While I did not expect boldness and vision in leadership, I am
heartened that the BoD went as far as it did. Given the past

emphasis on morse code abilities thought necessary for all radio amateurs, the BoD decision seemed to be a Major Upheaval in Attitude! I have to give the BoD a warm round of applause in appreciation of actually getting around to changing its collective mind!

It will now be interesting to see what happens with revised Agendas for WRC-2003 after the International Bureau's meeting of 30 January 2001 on Agenda item details.   :-)

Note: The present agenda for WRC-2003 carries a non-specific statement concerning "S25" (not S25.5 but only of all standards inclusive in regard to amateur radio). If the ARRL has any clout left with the FCC, the new agenda may show something less general than it does now.

didit

```
From -6979931427255615784
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 16:11:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 00:10:34 GMT
References: <20010123071403.12166.00000959@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010123191034.17535.00001145@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14049
```

In article <20010123071403.12166.00000959@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
>>The only of those which really matter are very rarely encountered spurious
>>outputs and garbaged signals, easy to check with the spectrum anlayzers we
>>have today which didn't exist back then.
>
>Very few hams have a spectrum analyzer.

On one hand, a TxPorter from Motron is considered "valuable" at $800 for fingerprinting repeater kerchunkers, but a spectrum analyzer is not considered good?

An MF to VHF amateur spectrum analyzer can be built for less than $400 in essential parts, using an old oscilloscope for a display. Analog Devices has some excellent logarithmic amplifier-successive-detector ICs that can yield an 80 db dynamic range. Mini-Circuits has a line of good VCOs (Voltage Controlled Oscillators) that can serve as the first L.O. swept by a 555 timer generating a sawtooth waveform. Up-convert the first mixer output, filter it with a high-Q resonator, then down-convert to a choice of narrow filters. The Agilent (new name for HP Test and Measurement Div.) website has some excellent free download tutorials which explain the principles. Other than the essential items mentioned, the ARRL Handbook should have all the details on supporting circuitry.

A spectrum analyzer is an excellent instrument for displaying the harmonic content of a transmitter, for "sniffing" out RF leakage, and can show the quality of modulation of SSB, AM, FM, or PM...even OOK CW with slow sweep speeds and sharp IF filtering.

Such an instrument could be designed and built as a club project, made available for club members' use. It doesn't have to be an integral part of any individual radio amateur's equipment. It is a good receiving tool if used as an indicator of received signals a la the old "Panadapter." Icom has made receivers with an auxilliary 1st IF output specifically for an external spectrum analyzer connection.

didit

```
From 1396804385047923534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 16:11:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 71
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 00:10:33 GMT
References: <3A6D31C5.D8F4C50F@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Message-ID: <20010123191033.17535.00001144@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14050
```

In article <3A6D31C5.D8F4C50F@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>For Pete's sake, Len. You've been babbling here for years and many
>might like to dissuade you, it hasn't yet worked.

Quite true and not just for "Pete's sake."

>No one can bar you
>from expressing your opinions even if we don't share them or like them.
>I, for one, reserve the right to call you a fat head if I disagree with
>your views.

You have done more than that, especially when not receiving
any praise over the "clever" use of CW as an order-wire for
a State Department FSK TTY circuit. :-)

>That's the other side of free speech. Being able to tell
>you that I think you bring little to the table is MY right.

Si, el Jefe. What you say is all right. What others say is
all wrong unless it coincides with your light right.

So...all readers can now expect name-calling to be a
"tradition" in this newsgroup now that you are retired from
government service?

>Where is it mandated that I must be any more "politically correct" in
>putting forth my disagreement with something you might have written?

Nobody is mandating Dave Heil. He is El Jefe and will call
anyone a "fat head" if he feels like it. :-)

>Political correctness is another way of dancing around what one really
>means. Folks are worried today about damaging the self esteem of
>another. I'm not worried about your self esteem, Len.

I am not worried about my self esteem, El Jefe.

I think El Jefe is worried about his self-image.

>I would be most
>interested in hearing you explain how the amateur radio service is doing
>anything close to illegality or against the principles espoused in the
>U.S. Constitution in disageeing with the views of Leonard Anderson.

No you would not. You say the above to troll for another bout
of Heilian ascerbicity to get even with another who did not
please you in a newsgroup exchange.

>Wrap yourself in the flag and get up on that soapbox, Len. Strike a
>pose while I snap a photo. I'm sure that Ed can arrange to have it

>appear in an upcoming issue of QST.

How will that resolve anything, El Jefe? Why would QST bother
with any photo of a non-amateur? QST is a membership
magazine of the ARRL, isn't it?

>> Just an observation from someone who believes in and
>> once took a serious oath to defend the United States
>> Constitution with his life if needs be.
>
>Oh my...

Ah, so an expression of patriotism for the USA is suddenly
passe'? Strange coming from a former employee of the US
government. It was the United States government, wasn't it?

To who (or whom) is El Jefe's allegiance owed?

didit

From -2651526251805263634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 16:11:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 85
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Jan 2001 00:10:31 GMT
References: <pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010123191031.17535.00001142@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14051

In article <pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
>> > FURTHER RESOLVED, it is the opinion of this Board at this time that
>Morse
>> > code should be retained as a testing element in the U.S.; and be it
>
>> Looks like the ARRL has its collective head still firmly planted in the
>> past. Time to write my division director again.
>

>Isn't the statement really saying that the ARRL is not seeking to change the
>US regulations at this time?

Is it?

>It will be at least 4 years away before the FCC
>would even consider a change, looking at the timing of the WRC and
>estimating the amount of time it will take to ratify the treaty.

The US Congress mandated the FCC to perform biennial reviews of regulations. "Biennial" is defined as every two years, not four.

I thought ITU-R standards are voted and adopted, not "ratified." The "treaty" is a statement that the USA is a member of the ITU and supports it, not the individual standards for any particular radio service.

>I think
>that it is premature to set a policy now on a matter that cannot be changed
>now and that can be decided better when something specific can be put on the
>plate.

I thought that any sort of "policy" affects the future. The decision could have just as easily been made to read that it (the BoD) supports that the FCC should begin changing the code test requirement as soon as S25.5 is deleted or amended to void the code test for a below-30-MHz-privilege amateur radio license.

>A lot can change in the membership, in Amateur Radio and in the
>makeup of the Board in the intervening 4 years.

Yes, a meteor can fall or aliens invade the earth...

>It is, IMHO, up to a
>future Board to change or affirm its policy wrt US regulations when it
>appears that it is time to act. The present policy simply does not change
>the status quo wrt the ARRL policy on US requirements. Considering that no
>change in policy can effect any change in US regulations, I think the Board
>made a reasonable choice.

I see the BoD as thinking more of its and the ARRL's future insofar as membership numbers. Hard-line PCTAs are more likely found in ARRL membership than outside. That's as it should be since the ARRL need only be concerned about its membership.

>The Board dealt with the international treaty now because now is when the
>decisions need to be made.

Disagree. The time to act, to show the leadership and vision stated by Hans Brakob, should have come at least two years earlier. Stating that time-now was "the time to act" looks more like a rubber-stamp approval of whatever the BoD does.

The issue of eliminating the code test requirement in S25.5 surfaced in earnest about four years ago, did not happen overnight. As far back as 1990 the FCC said (in the R&O establishing the Technician class license) that a code test does not fit with their requirements as to licensing. The time to act was before this weekend.

Stubborness (perhaps lethargy) in seeing the future laid out before them is not an endearing quality of the ARRL leadership. Such may comfort the membership but does nothing to bring in new memberships (and dues monies) from the over 3/4s of all US licensees that are not now members of the ARRL.

>IMHO, of course.

Of course...and IMHO too.

didit

```
From 3861193591185008634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 16:19:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6E1F87.D15BA37@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:19:19 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.39
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980295534 206.20.144.39 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:18:54
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 18:18:54 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14052
```

Larry Klose wrote:

> . . The FCC's Report and Order said
> that Morse code exam requirement served "no regulatory
> purpose".

>
Why do tests on on ssb, atv, digital comms, FM, etc.apparently serve a
regulatory purpose but cw tests do not serve a regulatory purpose?
>
w3rv

From -388533809507920845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 16:44:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010123071403.12166.00000959@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010123191034.17535.00001145@nso-fy.aol.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 63
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Kzpb6.1149$e5.2819002@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:42:46 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980297066 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:44:26 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:44:26 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14053

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> >Very few hams have a spectrum analyzer.

> On one hand, a TxPorter from Motron is considered "valuable" at
> $800 for fingerprinting repeater kerchunkers, but a spectrum
> analyzer is not considered good?

Very few hams have fingerprinting equipment, too.

I think the spectrum analyzer would be the more valuable of the two.

> An MF to VHF amateur spectrum analyzer can be built for less
> than $400 in essential parts, using an old oscilloscope for a
> display. Analog Devices has some excellent logarithmic

> amplifier-successive-detector ICs that can yield an 80 db
> dynamic range. Mini-Circuits has a line of good VCOs
> (Voltage Controlled Oscillators) that can serve as the first
> L.O. swept by a 555 timer generating a sawtooth waveform.
> Up-convert the first mixer output, filter it with a high-Q
> resonator, then down-convert to a choice of narrow filters.
> The Agilent (new name for HP Test and Measurement Div.)
> website has some excellent free download tutorials which
> explain the principles. Other than the essential items
> mentioned, the ARRL Handbook should have all the details
> on supporting circuitry.

You are correct; it is relatively easy to build and use a spectrum analyzer, although the inexpensive ones often do not have a lot of dynamic range.

Two good sources of info are:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/9808035.pdf - Part 1- a spectrum analyzer construction project
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/9809037.pdf - Part 2
http://www.science-workshop.com/Joe_Carr%27s_NV_article.htm - Poor man's spectrum analyzer

A tutorial on spectrum analyzers is found at:

http://www.science-workshop.com/

> A spectrum analyzer is an excellent instrument for
> displaying the harmonic content of a transmitter, for
> "sniffing" out RF leakage, and can show the quality of
> modulation of SSB, AM, FM, or PM...even OOK CW
> with slow sweep speeds and sharp IF filtering.

> Such an instrument could be designed and built as a
> club project, made available for club members' use.
> It doesn't have to be an integral part of any individual
> radio amateur's equipment. It is a good receiving tool
> if used as an indicator of received signals a la the old
> "Panadapter." Icom has made receivers with an
> auxilliary 1st IF output specifically for an external
> spectrum analyzer connection.

Good advice all, Len.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4102319902125955718
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 16:50:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 00:42:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94l8ea$tlp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
<Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net> <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<Jlnb6.1129$e5.2810209@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.225.144.130
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 24 00:42:52 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.225.144.130
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14054

In article <Jlnb6.1129$e5.2810209@news.ntplx.net>,
  "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:


> If deciding to change only the policy wrt the international
> treaty at this was the only way they could get enough agreement
> to get ANY resolution to pass, I can understand and accept it.

I can understand it, but it just keeps getting harder to accept.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
  eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
  to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
  Morse."






Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -5936310133227322699
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 16:58:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <3A6D54C6.3F811705@dvol.com> <3A6DAC4C.2A5A3580@ovis.net> <3A6DB807.9BB7161C@dvol.com> <Ssnb6.1131$e5.2810924@news.ntplx.net> <3A6E1806.C6729DDE@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 57
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <4Npb6.1150$e5.2819890@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:56:59 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980297920 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:58:40 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:58:40 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14055

Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:

> I like the idea of letting the members get a peek at more of the details which
> make a difference we never usually hear about about but good grief Edward,
> don't bury us with 2-3 day diatribes per month!

Not at all, but it will take me 2-3 days to put together the info just on VDSL, Home Networking and a few of the other immediate threats. ARRL should put together more stuff like:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfiteljx.html

> Dump the lab, turn it over to somebody else and get outta there. Your RFI
> activities while being of a technical nature are much more political, consensus
> building and educational pursuits which have nothing to do with lab tests of the
> latest whizzy xcvrs.

You are quite correct; RFI and equipment testing are two different beasts. Mike Tracy does our equipment testing. Problem has been, up until this past weekend, that I have been doing individual member contact on RFI problems, working with utilities, manufacturers and the like on individual RFI problems, contact with industry groups (VDSL, HomePlug, Home Phone Networking Alliance, to name the recent ones), assigned tasks to help prepare our comments to the FCC on various regulatory issues, participation on 4 different industry standards committees, plus a few ARRL Board-level committees as staff liaison or as a member. And, of course, the occasional

2-3 day writing assignment for articles and the day-to-day supervision of the guy who does the testing, the guy who puts up the TIS pages and, of course, Zack, our Lab "generalist." You are correct; all of this cannot be done justice by one person; some of those areas are seeing a growing backlog. I am not saying this to brag, but in support of your view that a full-time effort toward just the RFI component is needed.

> Considering your background and the ogre content of the exponential escalation of the number
> of Part 15 devices (among other threats) SOMEBODY gotta put full time into it.

Yes, indeed; I expect to see the Help Wanted ad for the several new HQ positions that will be created as a result of the last Board meeting hit QST for the March issue, and it the ARRL Web page, probably tomorrow. Check http://www.arrl.org/announce/jobs/ over the coming couple of days to see the general scope of the position. I may continue to do some of the industry committee liaison work because it is going well, but I definately want to see a full-time effort go into addressing the growing problem of RFI to hams. That will also let me work some on some of the R&D and noise studies that I have been hoping to find some time to do, and to occasionally work a 40 hour week. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6145538864099248059
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:02:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6DB59F.8F8FE110@arrl.org> <20010123191027.17535.00001138@nso-fy.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <lQpb6.1151$e5.2820085@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:00:28 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980298129 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:02:09 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:02:09 EST

Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14056

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> In my case and that of many others, the issue is less about
> cost than of what the BoD decides is good for the member-
> ship...or for the US amateur radio community as a whole,
> in particular the license testing regulations.

As you point out, Len, the ARRL Board does not (and IMHO should not) decide the US licensing regulations. The FCC has sole regulatory authority over the Amateur Radio Service. The ARRL Board determines only ARRL policy. And among the things the Board has decided is good for the Amateur Community are the very things you say should be worth $50 a year. Actually, considering that they are funded by a combination of dues, advertising revenues and pub sales, plus a smattering of donations, they probably are worth just about what you cite. :-)

73,
EdHare, W1RFI

From -5592531164484968265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:02:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6E29A5.99589F63@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <20010123071403.12166.00000959@ng-cd1.aol.com> <20010123191034.17535.00001145@nso-fy.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 32
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:02:29 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.39
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980298125 206.20.144.39 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:02:05 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:02:05 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14057

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Such an instrument could be designed and built as a
> club project, made available for club members' use.
> It doesn't have to be an integral part of any individual
> radio amateur's equipment.

>
An article on "How to Build the Black Box" which feeds the Firewire port in the back of Le Machine should work even better with a bunch less hardware. .
>

> It is a good receiving tool
> if used as an indicator of received signals a la the old
> "Panadapter." Icom has made receivers with an
> auxilliary 1st IF output specifically for an external
> spectrum analyzer connection.

>
Old as the hills, had a Heathkit version (HO-10?) in the early 60s fed by my 75A4. Been there, done 'em, trust me, they're useless panel clutter for real-world ham listening ops. Decent modulation checkers but that was about the extent of their usefulness.
>

> didit

>
w3rv

From -6695919650128067758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:03:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:03:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <94l9k7$9vf$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3A68CB17.A30F2F15@uci.edu> <20010120104138.00714.00003153@nso-md.aol.com> <3A6C779F.C9B747E@uci.edu> <94j72o$k2a$0@208.207.71.147> <3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14058

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >   I don't have a dog in this scrap but I would point out that a certain
> > rebellion that began in Boston harbor was hardly considered legal,
> > either....
>
> We're discussing a rebellion against the US government, not the one that
> started the US government. Maybe I'm wrong, but one seems at odds with
> the other. Or are we just talking rebellion for rebellion's sake here.

Not me. I gathered that Larry was referring to the possibility that
somehow the government had become so tyranical, in violation of the
Constitition, that someone concluded that armed rebellion was again in
order. I can't imagine that, but I suppose it can't be completely ruled
out.
Sometimes you wonder how far some of them can incrementalize things
without going over the line.

From 1125460782977797988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:05:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!
newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
<Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net> <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<Jlnb6.1129$e5.2810209@news.ntplx.net> <3a6e0d7e$0$30006$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <Imob6.1138$e5.2814627@news.ntplx.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:03:08 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3a6e29da$0$30002$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 11f7e6e3.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=9dc[6MCH>io=>N\5^GS<ljBITGoVIjmHf[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;Fg:WP`K]og@>mlBFDaL\d^ne`
5UibMl;amj
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14059
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:Imob6.1138$e5.2814627@news.ntplx.net...

> Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote:
>
> > Since the Morse code exam requirement is a public policy matter,
> > and the amateur radio community is about evenly divided, the ARRL Board
> > could have elected to take no position, and not interfere.
>
> Since the Morse code exam is a public policy matter and the amateur radio
> community is about evenly divided, the NCI Board could have elected to take
> no position and not interfere...

Ed, NCI only represents person who support an end to Morse code exam requirement; whereas the ARRL represent a much large number of licensees that are about evenly divided on the matter. When the ARRL Board takes a position on this matter, irregardless of the position, it going against the interest of about half of its members. The ARRL Board would have acted more responsible by presenting both side of the matter, and telling members to file their own comments with the FCC. In stead it chose side against a large number of its members and further dividing the community.

It was ARRL that was a major influence in getting S25.5 adopted by ITU and in convincing the FCC that the amateur radio community wanted continued Morse code examinations.

The ARRL Board should have told the procoder to go argue their own case, and not waste member funds on a matter that was not in conformity with law.

Larry   KC8EPO

```
From -8990904657921987041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:08:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
```

for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <7fas6tsamek2keq28bl0f7nbmbr9d2v153@4ax.com>
References: <uu5q6t4n91rqc1faenqtkss894pss5c1mv@4ax.com>
<20010123071514.12166.00000960@ng-cd1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 01:08:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.85
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980298520 209.86.205.85 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:08:40 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:08:40 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14060

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>Read the Handbook chapter on troubleshooting, post 1994.

I have never had to resort to the embarrassment of sending my ham gear
in to be fixed. The reason is (knock on wood) that none of my new
solid state mini-part gear has ever broken. In fact, I have never had
a computer failure either. Len is correct, the new stuff is much more
reliable. However, in the event that something does break, I will be
sure to buy a recent handbook for instructions...   :-)

From 9165370843789960995
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:08:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <b9as6to5cvh5v967ipjhjjkuahvn6cjsa7@4ax.com>
References: <p06q6tsbcko27utpser87em1i8dln0g29h@4ax.com>
<20010123071646.12166.00000961@ng-cd1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 01:08:42 GMT

NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.85
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980298522 209.86.205.85 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:08:42 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:08:42 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14061

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> Do you think the fellow who asked the question about
>meters vs frequency found it easier to memorize all those
> frequency vs meters or to use 300/F?

I think a smart test taker will use the easiest method for each part
of the test. Memorizing formulas and working out the answers is
probably the easiest. And memorizing the questions and answers for
complex technical questions is probably the easiest...

Professional ham engineers excepted...   :-)

From 7515273628400276758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:08:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <3ias6tsioso9sfv97jcjv2mi2ijfhc1n8e@4ax.com>
References: <026q6t0fdhrfd2dfvngo6bt2u14cbka9mt@4ax.com>
<20010123072033.12166.00000962@ng-cd1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 01:08:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.85
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980298523 209.86.205.85 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:08:43 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:08:43 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14062

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>I think the real question to ask is what percentage of the US population were

>"technical hams" in 1960 as compared to the percentage of US population that
>are "technical hams" today?

Using the total US population for comparison might make us look better technically as a group simply because of the large growth of ham radio compared to the total population. There probably would be more of everything, from technical hams to liddy hams. But if you wanted to compare the average amount of technical hams in 1960 to the average amount of technical hams in 2000, it would have to be referenced as a percentage of total hams (for each time period) to reach any kind of valid comparison. I don't know how you would ever do that (for many reasons), but I have a definite opinion on what the answer would be...
:-)

```
From 4803386487757998499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:08:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <dhas6tk7uvp4k5399scc1flvd7k9ao66d4@4ax.com>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 01:08:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.85
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980298525 209.86.205.85 (Tue, 23 Jan
2001 17:08:45 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 17:08:45 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14063
```

Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>Percentage-wise, it IS high. If you look at what inflation has been
>since 1997 when the League last upped the cost of yearly dues, it is
>outrageous.

Perhaps the rate increase does seem large. However in comparison to my hospitalization insurance which went up $200 a *month* or my property taxes which went up $2000 a year (my fault for moving to a nicer place), a $5 increase just didn't strike me as being all that

```
bad...  :-)


From 6390729830773633653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:09:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:09:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <94la08$ao0$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14064



Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Jon Bloom wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Jon Bloom wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Huh? The new rate represents a per-annum increase of about 3%.
> > > >
> > > >   Huh??? $5 as a percentage of $34 comes out to be 14.7% on my Casio.
> > >
> > > 14.7% PER ANNUM (over 4 years), Dick? Your calculator's broken. Or maybe
> > > it just needs a new battery.
> > >
> > > Jon
> >
> > 'Tis not my calculator that is broke, $5 is 14.7& of the %34 *annual*
> > membership fee.
```

> > Looks like an attempt to invoke New Math as yet another Newington Smoke
> > Screen. Doesn't work any better than it ever did.
>
> This is one of the reasons I don't hang around rrap much any more -- too
> many people like Dick who (willfully? ignorantly?)

Try plainspokenly. Why can't you folks at Newington just state the facts in plain language?

misinterpret simple,
> direct statements and then use their misinterpretation as "evidence" of
> unethical behavior on the part of the other party.

So you assert that you folks at Newington never "spin" things to make them appear just a little better than they actually are? You say that the increase is only 3 percent per annum, gee, that isn't much. But the simple fact is that it amounts to a 14.7 percent increase each and every year, henceforth. If you had said it in plain terms your statement would have made note of that.
Intended or not-and I fully accept that it was not-, your statement was ambiguous to anyone not current on accounting terms.

> Guess my stomach for
> idiocy like this isn't as strong as Ed's.

Well, Jon, don't let it give you an ulcer. I apologize for stirring you up. Was not intended.

From -6776268811538838164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:12:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:12:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <94la5n$ao0$1@208.207.71.175>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com> <3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com> <pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net> <Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net> <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14065



K0HB wrote:
>
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>
> > I think the "at this time" language in your post and in the
> > resolution is pretty important.  IMHO, the Board is saying
> > that it will leave it to the correct time to deal with the issue.
>
> "Boldness has genius, power and magic in it."
>    --von Goethe
>
> I was disappointed that the BoD display this "go with the flow -
> wait and see" attitude.  Having sat in that room in the past,
> I know that boldness is often punished, but this seemed like
> the perfect time to display some leadership and vision.
>
> Opportunity lost.  Sigh!


 Hans! Whatcha doing, politicking for Head Honcho of NCI?



>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
>  "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
>   eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
>   to be concerned with whether or not you have passed
>   a Morse code test."


Me too, but I realize if you haven't you likely will never learn it.


From 5754830403895333325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newspeer1.nac.net!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-
mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

References: <pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net>
<20010123191031.17535.00001142@nso-fy.aol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 78
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <X0qb6.1152$e5.2820823@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:13:53 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980298935 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:15:35 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:15:35 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14066

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> >> > FURTHER RESOLVED, it is the opinion of this Board at this time that

> >Isn't the statement really saying that the ARRL is not seeking to change
the
> >US regulations at this time?

> Is it?

That is what I felt the meaning of "at this time" means.

> The US Congress mandated the FCC to perform biennial reviews
> of regulations. "Biennial" is defined as every two years, not four.

I will gladly put a lobster dinner wager on whether the FCC revisits the
Morse code testing as part of its next biennial review. I would go for
dessert that they don't even make major changes the next time around.

> I thought ITU-R standards are voted and adopted, not "ratified."
> The "treaty" is a statement that the USA is a member of the
> ITU and supports it, not the individual standards for any particular
> radio service.

I may be wrong, and will defer to those who know, but after the ITU adopts
the standard, does it require ratification by Congress in any form? Larry?
Phil?

> I thought that any sort of "policy" affects the future. The decision
> could have just as easily been made to read that it (the BoD)
> supports that the FCC should begin changing the code test
> requirement as soon as S25.5 is deleted or amended to void the
> code test for a below-30-MHz-privilege amateur radio license.

It could have been made. I don't think that it could have been made
"easily" considering the strong differences of opinion amongst ARRL members.

> >A lot can change in the membership, in Amateur Radio and in the
> >makeup of the Board in the intervening 4 years.

> Yes, a meteor can fall or aliens invade the earth...

My views have gone through some evolution over the past 4 years. Every single Director who serves on the Board now will be facing an election cycle over the next 3 years.

And as to the meteor, as compared to 1997, ARRL has a new President; two of the three Vice Presidents are new; the International Affairs Vice President is new and 9 of the 15 Director slots are filled by different people than held the job in January 1997.

> I see the BoD as thinking more of its and the ARRL's future
> insofar as membership numbers. Hard-line PCTAs are
> more likely found in ARRL membership than outside. That's
> as it should be since the ARRL need only be concerned
> about its membership.

Do you believe that ARRL's concerns about the overall health and well being of the Amateur Radio Service are not int the best interests of its membership? I also think that the overall health and well being of the Amateur Radio Service are what is good for the ARRL.

> >The Board dealt with the international treaty now because now is when the
> >decisions need to be made.

> Disagree. The time to act, to show the leadership and vision
> stated by Hans Brakob, should have come at least two years
> earlier. Stating that time-now was "the time to act" looks
> more like a rubber-stamp approval of whatever the BoD does.

I agree; in my personal opinion, two years ago would have been better. Would the Board have reached the same decision two years ago? Did it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2722359552088809429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:19:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement

Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:19:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <94lajg$cj3$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<94kl6i$sg9$1@news.netmar.com> <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org>
<3A6DDFCC.BD9934A2@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14067

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Figures don't lie, but...

Precisely the problem. If you don't make it clear what your point is- and the use of "3 percent per annum" with no further clarification won't work much of the time- and when it is clear that it's gonna cost 14.7% additional each year to subscribe, well, I think you can see the problem. Not everyone thinks in accountingese. I'd venture that most people don't.

From -2526372777879491613
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:25:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<94kl6i$sg9$1@news.netmar.com> <3A6DD8FC.3005AB2D@IEEE.org>
<3A6DDFCC.BD9934A2@arrl.org> <94lajg$cj3$0@208.207.71.175>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200

Message-ID: <jaqb6.1154$e5.2821247@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:23:53 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980299535 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:25:35 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:25:35 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14068

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Precisely the problem. If you don't make it clear what your point is-
> and the use of "3 percent per annum" with no further clarification won't
> work much of the time- and when it is clear that it's gonna cost 14.7%
> additional each year to subscribe, well, I think you can see the
> problem. Not everyone thinks in accountingese. I'd venture that most
> people don't.

Did you not know that the last dues increase was in 1997?

Was the information that the standard dues rate was going from $34 to $39
somehow mislead you. Was its point not clear?

The term 3% per annum means 3% per year. A statement that the dues rate did
not exceed the inflation rate because is has increased at 3% per year since
the last dues increase in 1997 is not "accontingese," Dick; those concepts
were taught to me in junior high school.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1792079276979198080
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:27:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<pZhb6.1119$e5.2789975@news.ntplx.net> <3A6DB86F.39BC67B2@firstinter.net>
<Alkb6.1125$e5.2799406@news.ntplx.net> <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<Jlnb6.1129$e5.2810209@news.ntplx.net> <3a6e0d7e$0$30006$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <Imob6.1138$e5.2814627@news.ntplx.net>
<3a6e29da$0$30002$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 16

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <kcqb6.1155$e5.2821439@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:26:02 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980299664 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:27:44 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:27:44 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14069

Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote:

> interest of about half of its members.  The ARRL Board would have acted
more
> responsible by presenting both side of the matter, and telling members to
> file their own comments with the FCC.  In stead it chose side against a
> large number of its members and further dividing the community.

When the restructuring occured, ARRL presented its reasons for its decisions
to its membership, then encouraged members to file their own comments with
the FCC.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From -4551220923878211532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:31:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <p06q6tsbcko27utpser87em1i8dln0g29h@4ax.com>
<20010123071646.12166.00000961@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<b9as6to5cvh5v967ipjhjjkuahvn6cjsa7@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Hfqb6.1156$e5.2821807@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:29:37 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980299879 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:31:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 23 Jan 2001 20:31:19 EST
```

```
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14070
```

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> I think a smart test taker will use the easiest method for each part
> of the test. Memorizing formulas and working out the answers is
> probably the easiest. And memorizing the questions and answers for
> complex technical questions is probably the easiest...

I provided a good example for the formula-based questions; can you supply a good example for the complex technical questions that are best memorized without understanding?

I do agree that a few questions fall into that category. When I answered the question about parasitic oscillations in 1964, I didn't have a clue what one was. Amateur Radio surviced and I think that giving me a ticket worked out okay for the Amateur Radio Service. In my own small way, I have made contributions.

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI

```
From -6503027235059121187
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-23 17:33:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: 24 Jan 2001 01:33:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <94lbdj$egr$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DCFCF.E5D9A534@arrl.org> <3A6DD706.6FD7EF08@IEEE.org>
<94kn2g$d2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14071
```

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <3A6DD706.6FD7EF08@IEEE.org>,
>   Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> > Is a one year ARRL membership fee going from $34 to $39 or is it
> > going up by one dollar each year for the next five years?
>
> It went up a $1.25 a year in 98, 99, 00, and 01, but the increase is
> not imposed until July 01.

No, actually it went up on suddenly July 1, 2001 to $39 per year which
was a 14.7 % yearly increase.
Ah, semantics.

From 5148071811944890801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 16:17:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!
cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!
news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <t6rf26jedi6m5f@corp.supernews.com> <20010124183521.18775.00001116@ng-da1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 43
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <7fKb6.44635$ft6.1018722@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 00:16:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.163.219.108
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 980381763 24.163.219.108 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 19:16:03 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 19:16:03 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14222

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010124183521.18775.00001116@ng-da1.aol.com...

>
> As a code guy, I think it is important that the applicant be exposed to the
> code from the getgo and that he or she be given as much opportunity to learn it
> as other modes. To me, this is probably a compromise position that both sides
> should consider.

Ed, it's hard not to bump in to CW on the air. I don't see why retaining a CW test element would expose one to any more CW than what they are likely to experience on the air operating. Opportunities to learn CW are there for the asking, tapes at Radio Shack, CW programs for the computer free on the Internet, CW practice sessions on the local repeater, and so on, so I fall to see how a CW test element can "improve" the "opportunities" much.

If a Ham likes CW they will learn it on their own, and will work at it to improve their proficiency. For those who don't, even force feeding CW can't stop them from spitting it out once the spoon is removed so to speak. It's really a case if you like it you use, you don't you won't.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

```
From -3433148631415079121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 16:23:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
```

```
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 00:21:40 GMT
References: <94mvkt$ahh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124192140.18765.00001191@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14223
```

>From: K0HB K0HB@arrl.org

>He wasn't impressed, and nothing changed. The "members only" side is
>still lame.

Do you think the ability to download all of the Product Reviews since 1/1/1980 is "lame?" Do you think the Lab's Expanded Test Reports, up to 40 pages of additional data, charts and graphs from the Lab tests of rigs is lame? How about the parts of QST that are downloaded as soon as the magazine goes to the printer. What about the email-forwarding service? The QST/QEX seach engine that the ARRL Lab categorized back to 1970, with additional selected categorization back to the 40s and 50s? (The article Mid-Michigan Skyhook now comes up if you type in tower as the search word). DXCC awards listings if you are a DXer; a discussion forum about the Doctor column; a discussion forum that is the first step in determining what members want to see in the ARRL Educational and Certification courses.

I think, Hans, that your use of the term "lame" was not accurate. I am personally not very interested in many of the members-only features either, but the pages do have things of value to me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3297715875209059726
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 16:25:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.cgocable.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 00:23:40 GMT
References: <94ne88$5nj$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124192340.18765.00001192@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14224

>From: K0HB@USWEST.NET

>My point exactly. The "front page" of the web site is pretty damn good!
>The members are paying somebody to do that for us, and the product is first
>class. Stuff I'd pay to subscribe to!

Hans, the news feed used to be in a weekly publication called the ARRL Letter.
I think 1 or 2% of members subscribed. Were you a subscriber to that in the
mid 80s?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5306860914658359297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 16:57:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A6F79C7.166FB123@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
References: <3A6DBC63.7AFA9A84@dvol.com> <20010124183741.18775.00001117@ng-da1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 44
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 19:56:39 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.36
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980384178 206.20.144.36 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 18:56:18 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 18:56:18 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14225

W1RFI wrote:

> I think so. The ARRL Board will have another interesting meeting, I am sure.
> Member input, now, and when it comes up again, will be important.

> I think the
> Board should hear from all sides of this issue. This time, however, I wish

> that they would hear from more people in the middle.

>
There are three kinds of hams out here: Those who strongly support code testing,
those who strongly oppose code testing, and those "middle people" who could care
less one way or the other. So the Directors won't hear from those. The next brawl
will be "The Second Battle of the Rabid Partisans". Like the Second Battle of Bull
Run. With the emphasis on "bull".
>
I've been noticing much more widespread interest in the topic recently than we had
while 143 was cooking. For one our Director actively pursued opinions on the
matter going into the recent BoD meeting this time which he has not done
previously. Yeah, it's still a hot topic. It ain't gonna be over until the FCC
finally closes the matter for many years whenever that might happen some
indeterminate number of years out. I wouldn't bet a dime on any of it.
>

> With that in mind, I will
> tell Tom when I next bother him about this that although I express views, I
> don't think that Morse code testing is in the top 10 imporant issues facing
> Amateur Radio. :-)

>
At this point certainly. Since you brought it up, what are the top ten more
important issues?
>

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From -5158684623034305055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,82e911cdf48c6cef,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 17:02:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: N8WWM@yahoo.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: all the wrong places
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 00:47:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <94nt2a$7ij$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.240.220.226
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 00:47:09 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/3.0 WebTV/1.2 (compatible; MSIE 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.240.220.226
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDfatandgayn8wwm
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14226

I think I was looking for love in all the wrong places. Illegal keyclowns said for me to get me elmer in here. I elmered more than they can ever dream. Any suggestions fellers? Whats the best way to get back at these illegal keyclowns for harming my good name?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2231201955899664640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 17:13:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 186
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 01:12:20 GMT
References: <3A6EEBE0.70A0B241@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010124201220.18765.00001202@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14227

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>> We are then going to take them to the FCC. IF the FCC will enforce the
>> no-interference clause, then it can be relied upon. If not, then we cannot
>> live with the limits.

>If it's well documented, etc. I would expect Riley would move on it.

Actually, it may be better to take it to the Part 15 folks, but your point is taken. I am not so confident. What will the FCC do with the neighbor of a ham who buys a touch lamp at the local K Mart and causes interference.
I chose that example on purpose because in one very clear cut case, the FCC paid a visit on the neighbor after months of the ham begging. The FCC told the neighbor that he had to stop using the light, shook everyone's hand and then drove away. The van no sooner was out of sight than the noise returned. So far, no further action. That's one of the cases I need and I am going to use

it. Another case involves a commercial wireless LAN on 2.4 GHz. The operator actually did the right thing and turned off channels -- until they realized it was the Amateur Radio Service. Jim Haynie is still working with the FCC on that one. That's two, but I can't promise how the cases will turn out. OTOH, the FCC has been good about writing advisory letters to electric utilities, as a followup to the letters I write first. (I hear from about 75% or so, with generally good cooperation, although 50-50 on the actual resolution.)

But how does a ham and the FCC tell the neighbor of a ham that the device he just bought at K Mart is being operated in violation of federal law?

> ... the FCC can readily spank an owner of an RFI source but
>there are two perps involved. One being the owner who refuses to go
> QRT which the FCC can shut down, the other is the manufacturer of
> the widget who probably sold a jillion >of the things.

Actually, there is only one perp under the law in most cases. The manufacturer is required to build the devices to the requirements of Part 15. Some devices must be Certificated -- the manufacturer or importer has to send test data to the FCC and the Commission approves the device for sale in the US. Others are "Verified," meaning the rules require the manufacturer to test it and keep test data if the FCC ever asks. (Really!)

Once those requirements are met by the manufacturer, the device can be sold. A "legal" device on 3.5 MHz can cause S9+15 dB to a dipole located 100 feet away. This is, of course, harmful interference if it lands on a frequency an amateur is using.

Even that may not be so clear cut. The definition of harmful interference requires that communication be repeatedly disrupted. The FCC has made decisions wrt cable leakage that merely hearing the signal (S9 is typically legal for a cable leak) break squelch is not harmful interference. 145.25 the usual frequency, and if there is no repeater in the area, there is no harmful interference.

In my estimation, more instinct than fact, the FCC would not view an S1 or S2 signal on HF as harmful interference. S6+ almost certainly is. I don't have a clue how the FCC would view things in the middle. How about a signal that is a 1-second burst every few minutes? Harmful interference? How about the frequency agility that is a natural part of the Amateur Radio Service? Would the FCC feel we should use the flexibility they have given us to avoid interference that is on a single frequency? I don't know, but I could imagine that they would.

The real issue, however, is that under the rules, the operator of the device, ie, your neighbor, that local business, a school, is responsible for resolving actual interference. I don't know about you, but I sure would not want to have that conversation with my neighbor. He bought an arc welder at Sears and I gotta' tell him he is using in violation of federal law? He is a nice enough fellow under most circumstances, but don't think he would see things my way. Now what? A letter from the FCC? There goes my next tower variance, eh? And what do I say if he says, "So, the tables have turned, have they?"

> Gets very complex but it's absolutely gotta be done.

Complex, indeed. That is why Mark Wilson tolerates me in the Lab. :-)

> I vote for getting the limits for Class B devices 'way down, kill it
> before it shows up on the shelves and be done with it.

The ARRL fought that fight, and lost. I guess the big boys had more expensive lawyers. Realistically, unless we can show serious and repeated harm to the Amateur Radio Service, I don't think we have a prayer, and even then, I think we would lose. And, what the manufacturers say is that the rules offer complete protection against harmful interference; how much more protection do we want than absolute?

I can live with this,IF the FCC is willing to enforce the rules. I can live with ARRL having to take a lot of the first steps, in preparing information and in trying to resolve some of these problems with the device operators, IF the FCC is willing to take the last steps and deal with those cases where a reasonable cooperative effort is not enough. How that works remains to be seen, but if the FCC won't do it, I think ARRL's comemnts to the FCC may be very different the next time a high-level of radiated emissions is proposed as being okay because of the non-interference rules.

In the meantime, what can be done? Even with what it has for staff resources (me,part time on RFI), quite a lot. It all started with the old Phonex PX-421 wireles modem jacks on 3.53 MHz. Man, I can hardly tell you how much time I have into that one. It took over two years, but it is actually starting to pay off. Phonex did the right thing immediately, learning of widespread harmful interference and literally stopping production and making engineering changes in a few weeks. About a month later, a new model was in production, operating outside the ham bands. TCI, now AT&T, bought them by the thousands. That was the hard part. They were very cooperative on the national level, but had a lot of local problems getting the job done. After a long while, they switched over to a 100%-recall mode, and are, in MOST sytems, 90% done. (The areas that are still behind the curve are San Francisco/San Jose and the Dallas area, but Dallas is coming up to speed pretty fast.) Whew!

Unfortunately, and fortunately, that isn't it. Other technologies such as VDSL, HPNA, and HomePlug threaten HF. Fortunately, that isn't it. I somehow managed to put togehter the web pages at http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfiteljx.html. This is, of course, seen by a lot of hams (not all of which are members... :-)). Many hams work in industry, so this info got the attention of those organizations. In many cases, work to protect amateur radio was already underway, I might add. The bottom line is that these organization are willing to address RFI from the getgo, and are doing so, to one degree or another. All 3 product specs contain at least 20 dB more protection for amateur radio than the rules require, with the very specific intent of preventing inteference to large numbers of hams.

Will there be cases anyway? Yes, there will, just like there are cases of TVI involving ham radio. But these manufacturers are voluntarily including protection, and they are going to radiate about 60 dB less energy in our ham bands than the rules permit us to radiate in our neighbors' TV bands.

Now, the real problem is probabliy 2.4 and 5.6 GHz. Can you say Bluetooth and wireless digital? There can be no protection added because these devices are using the shared ISM bands that we also share. The manufacturers know, too, that our use of the 2.4 GHz bands is a lot less than our use of HF, so the likelihood of *actual* harmful interference is pretty small. The ARRL's ad hoc Spectrum Strategy Committee is discussing just what CAN be done to help protect these bands. Asking for Part 15 rules changes may be one of the end results. The committee has been in place about 4 months or so, and just reported to the ARRL Board in January. As a "spectrum-strategy," the full report may not be made public -- no sense telling the opposition how we intend to defend the bands, but I will sed what I can shake loose for a public report.

>> . . . I did not write up or publish the papers I presented to the T1E1.4
>> VDSL standards committee, nor describe much about the work

>Lack of time?

Lack of time, on the the next emergency. That has to change, and I told my boss that. :-)

>I agree. Gets right back to the concept of monthly staff reports in QST.
>Provide a brief summary per department or noteworthy invidual's news
> in QST povide the the link to the full text stored in the Web server.

Even it only appeared on the web, it would be a start. Once I get my new staffer hired, and up to speed, I am going to have to get things documented. (Man, I hope I can find the right RFI guru in a tight employee market and a salary range that is not at IEEE standards. The saving grace is that this is a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to work with ARRL, industry and the FCC to make a BIG difference in something really important. I will either get the semi-retired EMC engineer or the new grad who wants something REALLY impressive on his or her resume.)

>A manger playing help desk is nuts.

I am a working supervisor, so I gotta' do something. :-) What I may continue doing myself, depending on the qualifications of whoever I hire, is the committee-level and some of the first-contact with industry and utility companies,etc. It has been working out pretty well.

>I'm a lot more concerned about losing spectrum space to interefernce than I
>am to
>somebody nipping pieces of spectrum space for use by other services.

ALL amateur spectrum is shared, most of it with Part 15. That sharing can protect us as well as hurt us; even the Part 15 folks are afraid of congestion on 2.4 GHz. Time will tell what can be done, but I, for one, am going to give it a go.

Anyway, I probably gave you (all) more of an answer than you wanted. To find out how many read this far, send me an email to W1RFI@arrl.org or

W1RFI@aol.com. I will do a drawing of all those that do to award the 2000 "bent-cover" Handbook, now that I offered it to Aaron but he didn't accept the terms. I will add that you have to look REAL close to see the bent cover. But I did want to give a snapshot of what I have been up to over the past few months. The details are, well, a bit more detailed. :-) I figure that it is the least I can do after disagreeing with you so often on code testing. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3488046813940484047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 17:14:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7othk0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94kkd2$ah3$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124070940.13180.00000825@ng-mb1.aol.com> <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 32
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 01:13:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980385219 206.58.44.26 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 01:13:39 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 01:13:39 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14228

On Wed, 24 Jan 2001 18:59:06 GMT, xjim@my-deja.com wrote:

>They make something very much like that for small boats and have had
>such a thing for small aircraft for decades.

Not only "small boats". Every vessel required by law to carry radio (all cargo vessels over 300 tons, all passenger-carrying vessels) must carry an EPIRB. IIRC all aircraft licensed by the FAA must carry an ELT (I may be wrong about the "all" but certainly "large" aircraft are required to have ELTs).

>Goodies like these would seem to make the radioman obsolete, but maybe
>you need someone on board to operate all these gadgets anyway. If that
>is the case wouldn't you want someone with a whole bunch of tricks in
>his arsenal, including ham radio and CW capability?

  Replacing the old "Gibson Girl" or equivalent hand-cranked CW
  transceiver, all lifeboats are required to carry two or more
  battery-operated marine VHF transceivers to talk to the SAR
  aircraft who are usually first on the scene.

  BTW - the American Red Cross uses SATCOM radio-telephones as
  its backbone communication in disaster services.

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -3739255063762207804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f9c91e08fb36e530
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 17:16:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: This Just In . . .
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 19:04:23 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A6F7B97.A6BE2105@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <t6ujre1tf95g30@news.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.d4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 25 Jan 2001 01:13:11 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14229
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11410

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> Can you say: "CQ Breaker, CQ Breaker, this here's Good-Buddy-Ham on twenty

> meters, anyone got their ears on? c'mon back. Ten-four Ten-four good-
> buddy, I got ya and yer gettin out..."

Wow, words from an obvious expert.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5099212259332585919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 17:17:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!xmission!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 01:15:18 GMT
References: <7fKb6.44635$ft6.1018722@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010124201518.18765.00001206@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14230

>From: "Leland C. Scott"

> I don't see why retaining a CW test element would expose one to
> any more CW than what they are likely to experience on the air operating.

You are probably correct, but I also see no reason to completely eliminate
testing for one popular mode on the tests. The method I described does indeed
test CW, but to the same standard as other modes.

At any rate, this is the gist of what I believe today. Who knows what I will
feel a few years hence.

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8332581947120733665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 17:19:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

```
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 01:17:13 GMT
References: <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010124201713.18765.00001209@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14231

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>You might try meeting the present requirements as a means of first
>demonstrating that it is not for personal gain that you make these
>esteemed and learned recommendations.

Why? Most of the comments made to the FCC are by people seeking personal gain
from the result. The FCC accepts that. Even if there is personal gain involved,
and there almost always is, the FCC knows that it must make its decisions in
the public interest.  In general, I think they do.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 4756437246266517266
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1a7b13fc2c6570be
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 17:21:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: frg9600 uses mouth on sheep rectum! (Was: Re: Roger doesnt use foul
mouth !)
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 01:05:54 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 154
Message-ID: <94nu5d$8hu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <no.skill@arrl.crappy.org> <93airr$1js$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<93bh3v$p32$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A605106.59349F9@erols.com>
<3A645284.B34C8E3C@erols.com> <9432hs$dln$1@flood.xnet.com>
```

```
<943d37$5ch$0@208.207.71.134> <944i5d$kam@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
<3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com> <949e70$dk0$1@news.bewellnet.com>
<949h6h$c3m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <9o%96.833$t5.75684@news1.frmt1.sfba.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.124
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 01:05:54 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.124
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16346
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:8592 rec.radio.amateur.misc:11411
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14232

In article <9o%96.833$t5.75684@news1.frmt1.sfba.homo.com>,
  "frg9600" <frg9600@homo.com> wrote:
>

Poor frg9600...... he's a little man, in a big man's body...
 Try and pick yourself up a life or some clue or something. I know it's
rough on you, barely getting by on food stamps, but you have to try,
dropout.



> KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:949h6h$c3m$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <949e70$dk0$1@news.bewellnet.com>,
> >   "Keith Casey" <kc7kc@bigfoot.com> wrote:
> > > The VE's were incorrect to ask for another fee to take the extra.
> > That
> > > should have been covered under the one fee.
> > >
> > > Also, the VE group can keep a part of the fee, but only enough to
> > cover
> > > their expenses.  Their time cannot be charged.  The rules did just
> > change on
> > > keeping records of expenses incurred.
> > >
> > > Keith, KC7KC
> >
> > According to the ARRL VE Manual , Eith Ed. , 2000, p. 50,
> > under "Retesting,"
> > "An applicant who fails an element at an ARRL/VEC-coordinated
session
> > may retake that element at the same session, if (1) the
administering
> > VE team has a different version of that element, which the applicant
> > hasn't taken (section 97.509), (2) the VE team has the time and
> > resources and is willing to readminister the additional version; and
> > (3) the applicant pays an ADDITIONAL (emphasis mine) TEST FEE
(because
> > the candidate is then considered a walk-in candidate who just
arrived,
> > even if he or she arrived at the beginning of the session). Again, a
> > retest fee charge is only required if an element is retaken, after
> > being failed at your session."
> >
> > The W5YI VE manual has the same thing .
> >
> > The reimbursement fee (test fee) is the only fee that may be
charged at
> > a test session. Now, if the test session occurs at a hamfest,
> > instruction class, or other gathering, an admission fee may be
charged
> > and it has to be kept seperate from the test fee. If you're just
going
> > to the test sesion at a hamfest, you shouldn't be charged a fee.
> >
> >
> >
> > > "K7MEM" <jda@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3A65DD87.3F54BC7E@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com...
> > > > John Passaneau wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > The ARRL VE book, said, 1 fee for each test session, and it
> > covered
> > > > > as many elements as the test taker wanted to take, or the VE
have.
> > > > > But it is a new fee to retake a failed element. So as long as
you
> > > > > keep passing you can do as many elements as you can pass for 1
> > fee.
> > > > > Remember though that most VE sessions have only 2 or 3
versions
> > > > > of a test element available and if you flunk all that they
have,
> > > > > your done for that session.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dick Carroll"wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve Woodruff wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ..but i was suprised tonight to learn that i had to
> > > > > > > pay the $10 fee for EACH exam i took. I upgraded to
general,
> > > > > > > and had intentions of attempting the extra class exam....
> > > > > > > but when i found out it would cost me an additional $10
> > > > > > > i figured i'd wait until next month when i could be
> > > > > > > reasonably sure that i'd pass with ease.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >  If that is permissable evidently they have changed the
rules.
> > When I
> > > > > > ran a VE team ('85 thru '93) the rules said one fee for all
> > tests
> > > taken
> > > > > > at that session. Later it was deemed permissable to charge
```

an
> > > additional
> > > > > > fee for a retest of a failed element at the same session,
but
> > we never
> > > > > > did.
> > > >
> > > > It's been a few years, but when I made General, I took the Tech,
> > > > General, and 13 WPM code at the same time for one fee ($6.45).
> > > > At another session I took the Extra and the 20 WPM code tests
> > > > again for one fee ($6.45). At the time, I was under the
impression
> > > > that this fee went directly to the ARRL, to cover the cost
> > > > of the testing materials that they supplied. That ment that the
> > > > club, which was running the VE session, was really charging
> > > > nothing for their time.
> > > >
> > > > Was I correct in my reasoning? Aren't they, the club, also
allowed
> > > > to charge a small fee to cover their expenses?
> > > >
> > > > Martin K7MEM
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Martin E. Meserve K7MEM
> > > > martin.e.meserve AT lmco.com
> > > >
> > > > I respond to news groups and E-Mail on my own time and
therefore
> > > > my responses may not be as timely as you, or I, would like them
to
> > > > be. If I seem to be ignoring you, send me another E-Mail to jog
my
> > > > memory. Opinions are my own and do not reflect the opinions of
my
> > > > employer.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > --
> > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > Yaesu FT-90R
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO

EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7767173682781301912
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 17:24:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 01:23:46 GMT
References: <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010124202346.18765.00001211@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14233

><Vince> apparently has a beef with ARRL.

I believe the majority of his current disagreement is that he is not pleased with ARRL's position wrt restructuring (4 classes of license, 0, 5,12,12 wpm, and asking that more comprehensive written exams be given.)

>As for benefits to becoming a life member, kinda depends on your motives
>and your age.

I think I can address what may have been the original question. Life members do not receive benefits that are any better or different than annual-paying members. It can be an investment in the future for some, a way of not having to bother renewing every year for others and a "contribution" to ARRL by others. The League does invest the life-member dues, to ensure that it is not building a house of cards. That life-member who joined for $125 back when $125 was a lot of money is still being funded from the way that investment has grown.

Do the math, consider your options and if you want to join the League, do it in the way that is best for you.

See http://www.arrl.org/join.html for info on dues and life membership.

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8688890739390912873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 17:30:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 01:28:06 GMT
References: <3A6F79C7.166FB123@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Top 10 Problems facing Amteur Radio? (was Re: ARRL code test resolution)
Message-ID: <20010124202806.18765.00001213@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14234

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>At this point certainly. Since you brought it up, what are the top ten more
>important issues?

The minute I hit the SEND button, I said to myself, "My God, man, what have you done to yourself?"

I gotta' give my fingers a rest after that last long post. Want to take a first cut at it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3253488785954998 76
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 17:40:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 20:38:04 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3a6f8391$0$29999$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 612d48b9.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=>[SR<Ic9FhRiAcBM^EMG<[BITGoVIjmHV[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWJbkUYUdeUaXlBFDaL\d^nU4
BOiLZ<PEcT
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14235

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu...
> Larry Klose wrote:
> > I personally advocate petitioning the United States/FCC to adopt a WRC
2003
> > policy to: (1) suppress ITU rule S25.5, (2) take exception to S25.5 in
the
> > WRC 2003 Final Acts if it is nor suppressed, and (3) delete 47 CFR
97.503(a)
> > after the conclusion of WRC 2003 without any futher proceedings.
>
> You might try meeting the present requirements as a means of first
> demonstrating that it is not for personal gain that you make these
> esteemed and learned recommendations.

Are saying that person petitioning his government to change a regulation
that he believes is not in conformity with law and not in the public
interest has no standing to file that petition?

The matter more or less before the Commission is complaint against the
political, legal and constitutional validity of a the Morse code exam
regulation. An attack against the petitioner is irrelevant.

Would you ask black women to be white before she challenges the a bus
seating law. Would ask a women to be man before she challenges the right to
vote. Must I pass a code test in order challenge the ITU rule S25.5 and FCC
rule 47 CFR 97.503(a)?

Larry

From 6703918235746787600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 17:56:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: 25 Jan 2001 01:56:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <94o144$55j$0@208.207.71.131>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<nmds6t4dgivsgnslmln6q4e724ho94hs7p@4ax.com>
<V2rb6.1162$e5.2824797@news.ntplx.net>
<1rgs6tgh3ru4sot762u121mqe9jt84lebk@4ax.com> <3A6EF368.4D2DB82A@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.131
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14236

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> > Well let's see...
> > Jon first calls Dick ignorant (among other insults) and then calls
>
> It's not an insult. Dick admitted he was ignorant of the meaning of the
> term "per annum." Hey, I'm ignorant of lots of things. So are you
> (shocking!)
>

Not exactly, Jon. 'Per Annum' is Latin for "Each Year". You didn't
exactly specify in clear terms which years you were referring to, so I
made the assumtion that *future years* was the subject, since the future
is the only time where the increase can apply - you're sure not going to

collect arrears from the membership.
 Turns out that wasn't what you were talking about, instead you were
doing past-years' accounting. Until you made that clear it looked
appeared to be a screening of the dues increase as a percentage, the
sort of spin that is an everyday matter in society today, lamentable
though it is. It's a routine business matter today. So it wasn't exactly
my "ignorance" that was wholly to blame for the clash. Once your meaning
was clear, my complaint dissipated. Let's just leave it at that.

From 8434769492285120683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 18:11:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: 25 Jan 2001 02:11:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <94o20l$76a$0@208.207.71.131>
References: <3ias6tsioso9sfv97jcjv2mi2ijfhc1n8e@4ax.com>
<20010124065922.13180.00000822@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<n82u6t89710khcnod2gdnk8ddp31ee330i@4ax.com> <3A6F206B.6154F86A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.131
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14237

Cecil wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> > But that's not what I was commenting on.
> > I was comparing the 50's era average ham's technical abilities to the
> > technical abilities of today's average ham. I think the 50's average
> > ham wins hands down...
>
> I don't know about that. I am infinitely more technical now than
> I was in the 50s. How about you?

 If there's one thing that we've all seen here on rrap it is that Cecil
is definitely the exception to -any- rule.

From 3920186254813040473
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 18:29:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Top 10 Problems facing Amteur Radio? (was Re: ARRL code test resolution)
In-Reply-To: <20010124202806.18765.00001213@ng-da1.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10101250222110.6999-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3A6F79C7.166FB123@dvol.com> <20010124202806.18765.00001213@ng-da1.aol.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 29
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 02:28:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 980389739 12.80.44.21 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 02:28:59 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 02:28:59 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14238

Here's two:

1) Apathy. Many amateurs don't care about some other aspect of the service if it doesn't affect them.

2) Anarchy. Everyone simply does their own thing without regard to others. For this, I'm primarily thinking of the breakdown of the packet network (at least regionally), where cooperation is necessary but lacking. We're left with just a few "die-hards" (often called "blow-hards" by those who aren't in the network), who are aware that they're unappreciated and by themselves, cannot sustain an already fractured network. It gets worse with TCP/IP ops. However, with some of the jamming on HF, this could be a factor with voice ops too.

These two certainly lead to alot of corrolary problems such as in-fighting, the insult wars here on the RRA newsgroups, etc....

On 25 Jan 2001, W1RFI wrote:
> >From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
> >At this point certainly. Since you brought it up, what are the top ten more
> >important issues?

>
> The minute I hit the SEND button, I said to myself, "My God, man, what have
> you done to yourself?"
>
> I gotta' give my fingers a rest after that last long post. Want to take a
> first cut at it?

```
From -5657126636327127646
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 18:29:11 PST
Message-ID: <3A6F8F6A.5E641B8A@cybertours.com>
Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 21:28:58 -0500
From: Paul McCarron <w1hzy@cybertours.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-CCK-MCD {United Systems Access}  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.208.65.214
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.208.65.214
X-Trace: 24 Jan 2001 21:28:56 -0500, 64.208.65.214
Lines: 20
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.98.63.6
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.cybertours.com!64.208.65.214
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14239
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11414 rec.radio.swap:21587
```

I have been a life member since it's inception, some 30+?? years ago. They
sure lost money on me!!!
Paul W1IY

"Ed G." wrote:

> >>Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of becoming a Life Member of
> >>the ARRL. Thanks
>
>   Please don't pay any attention to the rantings from Vince. He
> apparently has a beef with ARRL. While the ARRL's policies may not be
> agreeable to every ham, they are the only organization with any clout to

> stand between the amateur community and the FCC and a Spectrum hungry
> Congress that would love to sell off our frequencies to business users..
> As for benefits to becoming a life member, kinda depends on your motives
> and your age. If you're young, it's probably fiscally prudent. If you
> wish to support the ARRL and its objectives, that would be good too.
>
>   Ed   WB6SAT

From 5212611791811921080
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 18:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: 25 Jan 2001 02:46:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <94o41d$c3e$0@208.207.71.131>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <3A6EF257.45578258@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.131
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14240

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Actually, I don't see where it matters more than trivially anyway,
> > since FCC today pretty well ignores ARRL.
> > Hang around long enough, you'll find out how it works.
>
> >
> If that's fact I think it's far more due to today's dumbed-down FCC than it is
> with anything the ARRL has or hasn't done. I think it's been pretty clearly
> demonstrated that the FCC could care less about ham radio. The whole history of
> the FCC with respect to ham radio over decades has been a litany of
disengagement
> maneuvers. When it comes to changing the regs the first thing the FCC seems to
do

> is ask itself "First priority, guys: how can we jerk this R&O around to make
> life easier for US?" Once that's decided they pay cerimonial attention to
> commenters like the ARRL.
>

I certainly agree. The League's restructuring proposal was very much appropriate and would have affected an orderly progression of the ARS. FCC evidently didn't give it more than a cursory look.
I don;t know exactly when things changed, but it had have something to do with retirements and massive personnel changes. Both ARRL and FCC are operating with totally different personnel than in the dasy when they collaborated closely in planning and making rules for the orderly administration of the ARS. I doubt we'll ever again see such close cooperation again. FCC has bigger fish to fry and ARS is a very small blip on a very large screen.

From -5878074699961058539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 18:47:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7oxh80.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <t6tqesg0j735fe@corp.supernews.com> <20010124184524.18775.00001120@ng-da1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 27
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 02:47:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980390847 206.58.44.26 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 02:47:27 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 02:47:27 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14241

On 24 Jan 2001 23:45:24 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>Most important, though, is when this comes up as a rulemaking issue, whether as
>a Notice of Inquiry or NPRM, make your views known to the FCC.

Do you mean the FCC that has a chairman who doesn't believe
that the FCC has any business doing what it 'sposed to do

(setting standards and regulating communications providers) and
another commissioner who doesn't have a clue as to what the job
of the FCC is anyway...thanks be that we finally lost the
California moonchild, she was even worse...but who knows
who/what Prez Dubya will select to fill the new vacancy.

These folks will be "in the seats" when WRC-2003 business and
its aftermath has to be dealt with.

Bring Back George Sterling (the last ham and the last engineer
who served as Commissioner).

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 5002477055604204261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f64c6,1c1edeb778ee76c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf64c6,public
X-Google-Thread: 114671,1c1edeb778ee76c
X-Google-Attributes: gid114671,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,1c1edeb778ee76c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1c1edeb778ee76c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 19:27:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!fu-berlin.de!uni-berlin.de!l224ppp063.ksc.net.TH!not-for-mail
From: "G8ASO;;;;;" <not@here.com>
Newsgroups:
aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur
Subject: Re: Anthony Hancock
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 03:27:15 -0000
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94o6ep$e9r1t$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de>
References: <94mkih$eb4dt$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de> <NdIb6.1956$vc.57072@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: l224ppp063.ksc.net.th (203.155.224.63)
X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 980393243 15002685 203.155.224.63 (16 [67923])
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com aus.radio.amateur.misc:1590
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:5929 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14242

```
uk.radio.amateur:16711

Brilliant!


"bigpimp" <bigpimp@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:NdIb6.1956$vc.57072@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
>
> G8ASO;;;;; <not@here.com> wrote in message
>
> >
> > The "lad" himself and arguably the most famous radio ham of them all!
> >
> > Converted to mono and only a 4MB file in zipped MP3 for free download,
the
> > full episode "The Radio Ham"
> >
> already got it.....
> and my local repeater in Nottingham loves it !!!!!
>
> M1ADU/M5ADU.......They dont like it up 'em
>
>
>
```

```
From -8229093055904196793
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 19:32:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: 25 Jan 2001 03:32:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94o6or$ipq$0@208.207.71.131>
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
<3A6D9108.DC6FB3CB@arrl.org> <94kdfr$svr$0@208.207.71.163>
<3A6DBA01.19D9B9EB@arrl.org> <94khi0$8vt$0@208.207.71.163>
<nmds6t4dgivsgnslmln6q4e724ho94hs7p@4ax.com>
<V2rb6.1162$e5.2824797@news.ntplx.net> <94lg8m$ks3$1@iac5.navix.net>
<7aGb6.1181$e5.2877130@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.131
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14243

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
> > Then why all the bull crap math? I have no problem with the increase. I
> have
> > one hell of a problem with the Clintonesque explanation.
>
> The explanation was in response to a statement that the dues increase was
> not justifiable with the present low rate of inflation. I really can't think
> of a better way to have resonded than to express the dues increase in terms
> of a per-annum increase.
>
> Do you have any suggestions as to how Jon could have answered it better?

Now that you've asked, he might have said something like "While the
increase is 14.7% per year, it actually amounts to 3.5 % per annum since
the last increase, which is not out of line with the rate of inflation".

Sometimes economy of words winds up costing many more. (Something I
soon found when attempting to shorten my own posts).

From 1601390669360961443
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 20:04:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Message-ID: <1m8v6t0kv242tt0skg6fnd747vun6iaht8@4ax.com>
References: <3A6F6D0E.34DDFBCC@home.com> <20010124190145.18765.00001188@ng-
da1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 04:04:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.39
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net

```
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980395441 209.86.210.39 (Wed, 24 Jan
2001 20:04:01 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 20:04:01 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14244

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: GAR GARIGUE garigue@home.com
>
>>You don't get bothered 4 months before your subcription is up.
>
>What would bother me is if they didn't ask me to renew until 4 months AFTER my
>subscription was up. :-)
>
>Actually, the other magazines to which I subscribe have about the same time
>frame. If everybody else gave you 4 months to pay a bill, would you call it a
>bother? :-)

ARRL will now notify you by email at renewal time
 and allow you to re-up online...



From 1835412834818050408
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 20:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <vn8v6to75vcos4bvjsu1quh23m4ba20ht9@4ax.com>
References: <t6uijg63dko953@news.supernews.com> <20010124180345.12047.00001256@ng-
fl1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 04:04:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.39
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980395443 209.86.210.39 (Wed, 24 Jan
2001 20:04:03 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 20:04:03 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14245

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
```

>I believe that a desire to learn is what motivates
>most hams to become hams

I think that a desire to get on the air is what motivates most people
to become hams. Learning is easily done without any license...

From 4364876752057956793
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 20:04:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <8o8v6t8uesu2vu5l82v83s77htnksf9tbl@4ax.com>
References: <948o9h$4mg4$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com> <94novs$3sb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 04:04:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.39
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980395454 209.86.210.39 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 20:04:14 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 20:04:14 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14246

lagniappe <lagniappe@my-deja.com> wrote:
>My girlfriend knew nothing about radio, and it
>took her 2 weeks with practice exams to earn a perfect
>score.

How can this happen if we have a good ham test? Pass the test without
knowing the material?? Hear that Ed... Oops sorry, I forgot that you
decided to avoid this embarrassing subject...   :-)

From 7020689147123884296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 20:04:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!

newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <789v6to6m2rl699772s4v25ibhl0d0t14b@4ax.com>
References: <rv1u6tgj723sr26tbtr8rdn1ih1cdoc57b@4ax.com>
<20010124180649.12047.00001259@ng-fl1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 39
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 04:04:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.39
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980395457 209.86.210.39 (Wed, 24 Jan 2001 20:04:17 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 20:04:17 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14247

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>>Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
>>>It's not an insult.
>>>Dick admitted he was ignorant...
>>>So are you [ignorent] (shocking!)...

>Aaron likes to twist things, too, it would seem. Did you snip the middle part
>of Jon's paragraph because you thought it irrelevant to the discussion at hand?

Fair question. Lets analyze. Here's Jon's *entire* quote:

>>It's not an insult. Dick admitted he was ignorant of the meaning of the term
>> "per annum." Hey, I'm ignorant of lots of things. So are you (shocking!)

Have you seen Dick post that he admitted that he was ignorant of
anything? Have you seen me post that I was ignorant of anything?
"Ignorant" is not the nicest word you can use when describing someone,
even when using the word on yourself...

Of course Jon was referring to his earlier statement:
>>This is one of the reasons I don't hang around rrap much any more -- too
>>many people like Dick who (willfully? ignorantly?) misinterpret simple,
>>direct statements and then use their misinterpretation as "evidence" of
>>unethical behavior on the part of the other party. Guess my stomach for
>>idiocy like this isn't as strong as Ed's.

Translation: Dick (wilfully? ignorantly?) misinterprets my posts which
is idiocy. Idiocy? Would you like to hear that your posts are idiocy,
meaning of course you write like an idiot...

So Jon has effectively posted: Dick = idiot = ignorant and Cecil =
liar, all over a silly percentage argument... :-)

You may be right in your criticism of my quoting, but all in all, I
think I had Jon's flavor rather well. Question is, why do you take me
to task for my quoting, and yet remain silent on Jon's conduct? You're
not going to pardon your brother (employee) are you Mr. Clinton... :-)

From 6000136823070056917
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 20:04:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <6s8v6totjp2sh94u79s6fs6ts44ouihm47@4ax.com>
References: <u22u6ts1n8apkldop9bc57peilhbeoeh4d@4ax.com>
<20010124190505.18765.00001189@ng-da1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 04:04:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.39
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980395459 209.86.210.39 (Wed, 24 Jan
2001 20:04:19 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 24 Jan 2001 20:04:19 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14248

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>I *would* be happy if they raised the rates on my adjustable rate mortgage this
>year in response to inflation rather than 3 years ago. Real logic.

You're a bit odd Ed. Most people *are not* happy at all when they get
their mortgage rates raised...   :-)

And that apparently goes for some ARRL members with dues increases
too. Unless of course they aren't good on figuring percentages... :-)

From -6994744442334772793
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 21:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 04:47:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 91
Message-ID: <94ob5j$j5u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <945t8i$b8b$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010118051156.05040.00002273@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.133.170
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 04:47:48 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.170
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14249

In article <20010118051156.05040.00002273@ng-ff1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Bob Wex w2ilp
>
> >I believe it is also because ham radio, even without a Morse code
> >exam, is considered old hat by those who are already using cell-phones
> >and capable of brousing on the Internet.
>
> Why are there more hams now than there were before cell phones and internet
> became widespread?

Ed...
I would love to belive that ham radio is continuing to grow... BUT
common sense tells me it is not capturing the interest of young people and it is no longer advised as a worthy educational hobby by their teachers or parents. We can not ignore the facts that show that ham radio has become an old foggies' hobby, because only old retireees are interested in using its facilities. Communicating by ham radio in the way that the majority of hams (but certainly not all hams) are doing it is not very educational...Nor do hams attribute appreciably to the state of the communications art when compared to computer guru hobbyists.

Ham Radio is sick and will get sicker unless its basis and purpose is clarified and adhered to.

Because some public schools in the USA are not producing educated students it has become a political objective to improve education in general. Programs are being prepared that would test students' achievements and teachers' abilities to teach. Bush warns that schools which do not succeed will be closed. Programs involving school vouchers, so that parents may select "better" schools may be impossible to implement because either the voucher money offered will not be enough or the good schools will quickly be filled and there will not be "good" resources for all. The separation of church and state, as interpreted froim our Constitution, had formerly prohibited federal aid to parocial schools. IMHO this is a good idea because any inclusing of religious teaching must be handled separately from all other teaching to allow for the religious freedom that our founding fathers knew was best for maintaining peace and dignity within our nation.

Why do I bring up the Educational Dilemmas that are now political footballs? I bring it up because it has a lot to do with ham radio.
Some present political beliefs include:-
1) All children must be given a good head start early in their education if a modern educational program is to succeed.
2) Children must be tested in all subjects at all levels to certify their abilities and to make schools accountable.
3) Americans need more required education in math and science if the USA is to remain competitive with other industrial nations.

Are we to believe these ideas???

I kind of agree with these needs and I think that our government is prepared to spend some bucks to achieve them. Why not apply them to HAM RADIO???
We could...
1) Restore a Novice License that would be at a level to motivate very young children to become hams.
2) Increase the number of Ham License Classes and corresponding exams bringing ham radio into the digital information age.
3) Add endorsements on Ham Licenses for those, who through self study and practical hands on work, can demonstrate on exams that they have learned applied math, science and technology and are an asset to the USA.

Nah...

You will not agree with me because you only think that the ARRL will get dues from affluent old foggies who could care less about the future reputation of the hobby. They will rarely be able to get their own grand children interested...but won't see that as a detriment to working a rare island or rag chewing about their ailments...or complaining about a few kilohertz that they lost in 1968 because they couldn't make Amateur Extra Class.

Please keep thinking Ed...I appreciate the fact that you are answering almost everyone who comments here...Please read what they are saying with care before you jump to respond. For Ham Radio to survive in the changing times of new technologies and challenges it must motivate youth and their teachers...with progressively rarer exception, it is not doing so!

73,
Bob ex 2ilp (I wonder wher the double-us went!)
> 73,

> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1194183698619684457
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 21:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 05:09:19 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <94ocds$k46$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <942o38$lpj$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010117073407.05313.00001003@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <945sr5$kmn$0@208.207.71.177>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.133.170
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 05:09:19 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.170
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14250

In article <945sr5$kmn$0@208.207.71.177>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Bob Wex w2ilp w2ilp@juno.com
> >
> > >While the Novic License
> > >was not producing many new Novices, let us not discount it as an
entry
> > >to youths who prefered to start becoming real HF Hams...Or haven't
you
> > >noticed.
> >
> > The ones who didn't notice, Bob, were the new hams who were not
choosing the
> > Novice as their first license.
>
> Why should they? Another few questions and they had Tech Plus with

all
> Novice privileges plus 2 meters and up. With such a convoluted
> licensing system, no wonder the Novice class faded away.
> There was a time when FCC bothered to find out what they could expect
> from changes to be made in ham radio before they made them. Somewhere
> along the way that went by the way. Right along with retirements, I
> suppose.

Yep...
FCC Chairman Kennard has been replaced by the son of a general. I dunno if that will mean anything as far as Ham Radio goes. The political belief among present FCC leaders is that all of the public must be given as much access to all of the bandwidth that the spectrum and the information highway can provide. Should everyone have the right to be a ham??? Without taking any tests??? Should ham radio become Citizens' Multi-Band (CMB)??? What about content? Should hams be able to transmit music, talk shows, political debates, antique auctions, casino gambling, pornography? Why not:- The Internet does. The Internet dotcoms do these things with the idea of making a profit. Hams could volunteer to do them for nothing (as true amateurs). Maybe it would be OK only if the Hams were women, minorities, Native Americans or folks who can't speak English....because they need the education???...or a better way to get equally represented???
I dunno. Maybe I've been listening to and watching too many Congressional hearings.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Internet Lures Politicians?)

Stop the music! Stop the music!
Everybody wants to get into the act!!!
--Jimmy Durante--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1914434801751462290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 21:34:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <7fKb6.44635$ft6.1018722@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<20010124201518.18765.00001206@ng-da1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution

Lines: 39
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <kVOb6.45073$ft6.1066002@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 05:34:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.163.219.108
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 980400848 24.163.219.108 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 00:34:08 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 00:34:08 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14251

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010124201518.18765.00001206@ng-da1.aol.com...
> >From: "Leland C. Scott"
>
> > I don't see why retaining a CW test element would expose one to
> > any more CW than what they are likely to experience on the air
operating.
>
> You are probably correct, but I also see no reason to completely eliminate
> testing for one popular mode on the tests. The method I described does
indeed
> test CW, but to the same standard as other modes.

So you would propose that a CW test element be of the same weight as say a selection of questions on Part 97 rules? And a failure to pass the CW element does not automatically disqualifies the applicant from passing the over all exam? That could satisfy many, I would think, on both sides of the issue. If that's your position I can see where it could be a reasonable compromise, at least it's an interesting idea to think about.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex

transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

```
From 7406817449587901250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 21:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 05:33:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <94odrh$lar$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <945sr5$kmn$0@208.207.71.177> <20010118051000.05040.00002272@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.133.170
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 05:33:37 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.170
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14252
```

In article <20010118051000.05040.00002272@ng-ff1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

```
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> The ones who didn't notice, Bob, were the new hams who were not
choosing
> >>the Novice as their first license.
>
> > Why should they? Another few questions and they had Tech Plus with
all
> >Novice privileges plus  2 meters and up.
>
> And their meeting additional requirements is bad how?
>
> Oh, and the "another few questions" was an additional 30 questions,
from a
> question pool of about 330.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
```

Kids don't want to hear about any number of Ham exam queations. Why
should they? They are constantly reminded that Radio Shack "...has the

answers"...about cell-phones...at least.

Restore the Novice class with a simple oral exam.
Let Novices work ten meter stateside sideband short skip (SSSS).
Increase the number of license classes and exams for advancing hams.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Indoctrinate Little People)

Familarity breeds children.
 --Mark Twain--

Adults are obsolete children.
 --Dr. Seuss--

It's a dull child that knows less than his father.
 --?--

They said, "Children should be seen and not heard."
I wanted to be a ham when I was a child so that I
could be heard and not seen. If I was born 50 years
later I would have wanted to be a computer hacker.
 --w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8716020500832363853
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f5d40,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gidf5d40,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,74775c7b410e2477
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-24 22:11:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!freenix!
sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.gate.net!not-for-mail
From: "Doh-Bro" <mrdna@gate.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.conspiracy
Subject: Re: The Pope now rules the U.S.
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 01:03:37 -0500
Organization: CyberGate, Inc.
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <94ofm5$1lt0$1@news.gate.net>
References: <93f7j8$5kh$0@pita.alt.net> <3A5B2E9E.9A5583FC@oio.net>
<942suk$q7c$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <q8796.14288$7m.686231@news.chello.at>
<3a7c8527.36722322@news.escape.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: tsftl4-105.du.gate.net
X-Trace: news.gate.net 980402693 55200 199.227.247.105 (25 Jan 2001 06:04:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gate.net

NNTP-Posting-Date: 25 Jan 2001 06:04:53 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11424
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14253 alt.conspiracy:33501

>"o-o-o" <o-o-o@gmx.net> said:
>
>>who do you think then rules over the pope?

The bug people of quadrant 23zeta7.

From -1658173334562689645
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 00:01:52 PST
Message-ID: <3A6FD87E.404055D1@ovis.net>
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 07:40:46 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
References: <3A6E560F.6F7E7E4A@ovis.net> <20010124144334.13433.00003695@nso-cs.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s0.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 25 Jan 2001 02:51:41 -0500, s0.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 85
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s0.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14254

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A6E560F.6F7E7E4A@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >> You have done more than that, especially when not receiving
> >> any praise over the "clever" use of CW as an order-wire for
> >> a State Department FSK TTY circuit. :-)
> >
> >I don't know whether you are not being forthright or are just forgetful
> >in your old age. I did not seek any praise.
>

> Sure you sought praise. You thought it was a clever idea...of yours.

Now you may be providing some ammunition for Steve's claims regarding truthfulness. I never made the claim that it was my idea nor did I seek praise. I pointed to it to U.S. Government use of morse. You as much as called me a liar over the issue.

> You were indulging in relating a story of your expertise on
> a government radio circuit, knowing full well that few on an
> amateur radio newsgroup would have experience there
> (with exception of a few ex-USN-radio-ops of earlier times).

I made no such claim regarding my expertise. Do you know what a person who tells untruths is called?

> Technically, switching over to CW from FSK TTY should be
> possible but it is a most odd sort of thing to use as an
> order-wire (a command circuit for radio circuit purposes).

We didn't switch back and forth, Len. We used CW on one frequency and ran the TTY circuit on a different pair.

> You claimed the switchover was "necessary to synchronize"
> the TTY circuit. TTYs have always self-synchronized since
> the first Teletype Model 15s, whether on landline or radio
> circuits. A Model 28 is no different in that regard.

I stated that CW was used to arrange QSYs, openings and closings of the TTY circuit. It was also necessary to confirm crypto used if that is the "synchronization" to which you refer.

>
> On a following reply you stated that the "synchronizations"
> were to "set schedules." That was illogical since, if the TTY
> was operating correctly, it could be used as a TTY to send
> schedules, copies printed at each end.

Huh?

>
> > I did not come up with the
> >circuit. I simply used what was already in place. As I recall, you
> >tried telling me that what I stated was untrue.
>
> I said it was a dumb idea if you did it that way. I still think so.

It is obvious that you did not and do not understand what was stated.

> While technically possible if the TTY transmitter also had OOK
> CW capability, there were no technical justifications for this.
> You seemed to be trying to get an "upper hand" in an on-going
> argument in a newsgroup on the necessity to operate OOK CW

> and preserve morse code testing for an amateur license.

Different transmitters were used since one circuit used CW and the other
was the TTY circuit. My statement regarding government use of the CW
circuit was correct. No "upper hand" was needed nor sought.

> You are now welcome to state why that particular switch from
> TTY to CW was technically or opeationally necessary. For
> any reason. It doesn't really matter but it will be interesting to
> see the rationalizations and attempts to close with the last
> newsgroup word.   :-)

I'll leave it to you to figure out the necessity for such operation.
You were not a State Department communicator any more than you're a
radio amateur.

> didit

Yep, been there and didit.

Dave K8MN

From 5134107468273111079
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 01:50:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 09:50:04 GMT
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Message-ID: <20010125045004.07593.00003977@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14255

In article <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>, rasta567@aol.com
(Rasta567) writes:

>
>Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of becoming a Life Member of the
>ARRL. Thanks

Simple. It's a hedge against future membership dues increases,
like the one the ARRL Board of Directors just approved. I wish I'd
invested in a Life Membership 20 years ago!

```
73 de Larry, K3LT


From 8222855925215477906
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 01:50:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 09:50:03 GMT
References: <3A6E68AD.B49B121@bctv.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Message-ID: <20010125045003.07593.00003976@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14258

In article <3A6E68AD.B49B121@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> writes:

>> Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of  becoming a Life Member of the
>> ARRL. Thanks
>
>Well, they can't raise membership rates on you -- the only way to get
>more money per year of your membership is to kill you.

Keith:

Shhhhh!  Ixnay on the illkay talk -- or the next thing you know, the
League will be sending out "hit squads" to terminate Life Members
en masse!

I remember giving serious thought to a Life Membership 20 years
ago, shortly after I joined.  However, my car insurance premium was
due...and I had to prioritize.  Well, I've been thinking about it again,
but guess what came in the mail today?  You guessed it -- my
car insurance premium notice!

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 8299262076431510563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,aa446ac6c6d0a510
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 01:50:24 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 09:50:04 GMT
References: <bPqb6.1159$e5.2823825@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Black helicopters (WAS:Re: No-code kills)
Message-ID: <20010125045004.07593.00003978@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14257
```

In article <bPqb6.1159$e5.2823825@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>> I don't know, but I've got my resume ready to send in at a
>> moment's notice, complete with a picture of my spit-shined
>> jack boots and Luftwaffe-issue CW key. All they gotta do is
>> send a black helicopter to pick me up and take me to
>> Newington!
>
>I will go you one better, Larry; I will pick you up in my infamous 1978 GMC
>pickup. QST's QRP columnist lovingly dubbed it the Deathmobile. :-)

Ed:

Sounds like my kind of vehicle. But is it black? BTW -- if we pass
any seafood restaurants along the way, remember I owe you a lobster
dinner!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -5794987911831892655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 01:50:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!skynet.be!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 09:50:04 GMT
References: <3A6E362F.190C54B2@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
```

Message-ID: <20010125045004.07593.00003979@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14256

In article <3A6E362F.190C54B2@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Uh, don't look now, but I still fit into my old Air Force uniforms quite
>> nicely!
>
>You mean you were that fat way back then? :-)

Cecil:

Only between the ears! The rest of me was always well within
regulation weight and BMI (Body Mass Index) standards, and I
always passed my physical conditioning tests in one of the two
highest categories. I have not changed considerably since then,
although I probably could not run 1.5 miles as fast anymore.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4075725695286848487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 01:50:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 09:50:02 GMT
References: <3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Message-ID: <20010125045002.07593.00003975@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14260

In article <3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> I don't have a dog in this scrap but I would point out that a certain
>> rebellion that began in Boston harbor was hardly considered legal,
>> either....
>
>We're discussing a rebellion against the US government, not the one that
>started the US government. Maybe I'm wrong, but one seems at odds with

>the other. Or are we just talking rebellion for rebellion's sake here.
>

Jim:

We're talking about rebellion against government tyranny. And just so you know, I believe we're about as far away from needing any such rebellion as we can get -- so there's no need to be nervous! However, a good way to judge whether or not such a modern-day rebellion is in order is to see whether the government starts making serious attacks against Constitutionally-guaranteed freedoms -- such as the right to freedom of speech, or the right to keep and bear arms. "Rebellion for rebellion's sake" is the last thing law-abiding gun owners have in mind, and I, for one, would be more likely to act to quash any such rebellion as to be a part of it. When American citizens have the right to keep and bear arms, that right, by itself, is a powerful check against any possibility of governmental tyranny -- the same way that states with liberal CCW licensing have experienced a major reduction in gun-related violent crime. When "they" start talking seriously about taking that right away, as they actually did in England, Australia, and other Third-World countries, then it's time to start seriously thinking about acting on such a "rebellion."
I'm sure President Bush isn't going to permit that to happen.
For the first time in eight years, I have renewed faith in my government.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5296239071587507638
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 01:50:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 09:50:02 GMT
References: <3A6DD753.66F79733@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Message-ID: <20010125045002.07593.00003974@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14259

In article <3A6DD753.66F79733@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Cecil wrote:

>> I think the people who want high taxes should pay them and the people
>> who don't want high taxes should pay less. In other words, tax the
>> liberals at a higher rate than the conservatives. Then count the
>> number of liberals. :-)
>
>I've often thought exactly the same thing. An excellent idea - and very
>fair. The only problem is that the liberals don't think THEY should pay
>more taxes - it's only the OTHER guy who should pay more taxes.

Jim:

That's just the way liberals think. They must impose a rigidly-enforced double standard, because they believe themselves to be intellectually, morally, ethically, and socially superior than those over whom they rule. They are the true elite -- at least in their own minds.

So, the next time you see some Environmentalist telling you where you can't build a nuclear power plant, tell them to get back in their Volvo and go back to their gated residential community and play a round of golf -- because unless they are living in a cave, wearing a buckhide union suit, and have never pissed into porcelin, they can't tell you a damn thing!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5655206113531325884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 01:50:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 09:50:05 GMT
References: <94lf3b$k6k$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The handle here is.....
Message-ID: <20010125045005.07593.00003980@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14261

In article <94lf3b$k6k$1@iac5.navix.net>, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:

>
>If I may disagree Larry, it is difficult to decide not to read, once you
>learn how. It is impossible to drive down the street and not read a

>billboard or two.
>
>This particular guy was never allowed to attend school because his parents
>were uneducated fundamentalists. He was told most of his life he was not
>able to go to school because he was mentally handicapped.
>
>He originally came to the lessons at my house because his buddies did. When
>he was having real problems with the code, I asked him to come over by
>himself a few nights.
>
>The stupid one was me! It took me three nights before I finally realized he
>was illiterate.
>When I finally asked him if he knew how to read he was very embarrassed. I
>asked him if he would like to never be embarrassed again. Then we started to
>work on learning. I learned as much as he did. I did not know how to teach
>someone to read, I have that skill now.
>
>Within a year he was reading at a 10th grade level and we went to the
>Knoxville Hamfest for him to take his test. He came out of the session with
>a ticket and you couldn't have wiped the smile off his face with a shovel. I
>was like a proud father and I must admit to a few tears rolling down my face
>in joy.
>
>I do not know if ham radio was the motivator or the vehicle, neither do I
>care.
>
>--
>Fred W4JLE

Fred:

I'm not going to sit here and say that your helping this fellow learn
how to read through an interest in ham radio is a bad thing. I very
much admire the way you helped ham, and congratulate you both
for the result. I think, in my original reply, I somehow overlooked
the unique nature of this situation. If I therefore stuck my foot in
my mouth, I once again spit it out. Patooooie!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 8036675642498224775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,aa446ac6c6d0a510
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 03:30:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 11:29:51 GMT
References: <20010125045004.07593.00003978@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Black helicopters (WAS:Re: No-code kills)
Message-ID: <20010125062951.06242.00001324@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14262

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Sounds like my kind of vehicle. But is it black? BTW -- if we pass
>any seafood restaurants along the way, remember I owe you a lobster
>dinner!

It is not black; it is painted a sort of red-rust brown. That works our really well. :-)

Yes, I do remember the lobster dinner. We can either wait until I am in Delaware, or we can go to Tinker's Seafood in West Hartford, CT. I don't recall, was it "loser drives" like my bet with Carl? I had to go to Allentown. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5800365133584493569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 03:33:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 11:32:19 GMT
References: <94ocds$k46$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Message-ID: <20010125063219.06242.00001325@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14263

>From: Bob Wex w2ilp w2ilp@juno.com

>The political belief among present FCC leaders is that all of the public
>must be given as much access to all of the bandwidth that the spectrum
>and the information highway can provide.

The public already has access to all amateur frequencies. They can do so at high power, by passing the exams, or a low power, by using devices that meet the requirements of Part 15. All amateur spectrum is shared.

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI

From 4802487717165728572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 03:43:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 11:42:37 GMT
References: <3A6F8F6A.5E641B8A@cybertours.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010125064237.06242.00001326@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14264

>From: Paul McCarron w1hzy@cybertours.com

>I have been a life member since it's inception, some 30+?? years ago. They
>sure lost money on me!!!

Not so, Paul, ARRL maintains an investment folio that is funded, in part, by the Life Membership dues it has taken in over the decades. While I am not at all sure of the exact relationship between the folio and LM dues, it is pretty clear that without those prepaid memberships, the money would not be there. The proceeds for the investments serve both to keep the investments growing to match or exceed inflation and as part of the annual operating costs of the League.

When you shelled out those beans so many years ago, that was a chunk of change, indeed. You could have invested it yourself and used the proceeds to pay your annual dues, just like ARRL is doing. In that sense, you have indeed paid and shouldn't see yourself as a money loser at all.

If the League were to spend LM investments in the year they are received, it would make the budgeting process a bit easier, but they would be building a house of cards that would soon tumble down. They have been fiscally responsible with Life Memberships, IMHO.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1887417564361198936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 03:58:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 59
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 11:58:04 GMT
References: <kVOb6.45073$ft6.1066002@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010125065804.06242.00001327@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14265

>From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net

>So you would propose that a CW test element be of the same weight as say a
>selection of questions on Part 97 rules? And a failure to pass the CW
>element does not automatically disqualifies the applicant from passing the
>over all exam?

That idea, to my knowledge, was first proposed by Bill Sohl. He wrote a Correspondence piece for QST that the editor published, perhaps in 1997 or 1998 (Bill?) I agree with it as an excellent way to retain testing for a popular mode, but in a way that balances Morse more fairly against other operating modes and practices.

Right now, one can get all of the questions wrong on any other mode, even get all of the band-edge questions wrong or all of the safety questions wrong, and still be given a license. Learning Morse code to 5 wpm is not all that hard, and some applicants will see it as an easy way to get a few questions right.

>That could satisfy many, I would think, on both sides of the
>issue.

I think so. IMHO, the complete loss of Morse-code testing would be as inappropriate as the complete loss of questions about FM operation. The nature of Morse code is such that it really is not appropriate to ask questions like:

What is the optimum rise and fall times of a properly shaped OOK CW Morse signal at 20 wpm?

I think that many of the no-code-test folks object more to the pass/fail nature of the Morse tests than to the presence of Morse code on the exams. On the other side, I think that many of the pro-code-test folks believe that Morse is needed to address some of the deficiencies in the present exams (real and imagined, IMHO). Looking at it from these perspectives, I think we MAY have some common ground. Compromise exists because it has value to society; maybe we can all decide that reaching a compromise position is more valuable to us than being "right" in the never-ending debate.

If I read the handwriting on the wall (for what that is worth), I think that the no-code-test side could ulitmately prevail if it stands firm. But in doing so, without compromise, the rift between us will be made a bit wider and that would spiritually disenfranchise a significant segment of amateur radio from its bretheren and sisteren. I don't know what the price of that, but the only example I can see is the rift that grew after incentive licensing removed priveleges from people -- the hurt and anger continues to this day. IMHO, any compromise that is reached, both organizationally and in the hearts of those who are willing to set at the "negotiating table," will let us make changes in a way that minimizes any harm to amateur radio.

And don't fool yourself, there is harm to us all in all of this bickering. Fortunately, the harm is not nearly as bad as a shapshot of this highly polarized forum is. Most hams don't feel nearly as strongly as some folks here do.

The real trick will be "organizationally." I am trying in my mind to imagine ARRL, FISTS and NCI setting at the table, burying the hatchet not in each other. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1235102190273278198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 06:05:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!
newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <7fKb6.44635$ft6.1018722@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<20010124201518.18765.00001206@ng-da1.aol.com>
<kVOb6.45073$ft6.1066002@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 09:04:21 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
```

Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3a703273$0$35015$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: cf10bc6f.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=[iH50Z]CBD;iAcBM^EMG<;LoK8f=:?
J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7=3a8jPCbgE3lBFDaL\d^n5@R477I0k\7?
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14266

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message news:kVOb6.45073>
> > So you would propose that a CW test element be of the same weight as say
a
> selection of questions on Part 97 rules? And a failure to pass the CW
> element does not automatically disqualifies the applicant from passing the
> over all exam? That could satisfy many, I would think, on both sides of
the
> issue. If that's your position I can see where it could be a reasonable
> compromise, at least it's an interesting idea to think about.

Before April 15, 200 a person could become an Extra and miss
every question on Part 97 rules except two question on the Novice test.

Apparent the rules were not as important a manual Morse code proficiency.

Larry

From 7614772329845245127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 06:05:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 09:05:55 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t70cm6g20aqrdb@corp.supernews.com>
References: <kVOb6.45073$ft6.1066002@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<20010125065804.06242.00001327@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 18
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14267

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message

```
news:20010125065804.06242.00001327@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> I think that many of the no-code-test folks object more to the pass/fail
nature
> of the Morse tests than to the presence of Morse code on the exams. On the
> other side, I think that many of the pro-code-test folks believe that
Morse is
> needed to address some of the deficiencies in the present exams (real and
> imagined, IMHO

I think that sums up the whole debate in a nut shell with that statement!

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO




From 5517205323928396483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 06:13:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: N8wwm causes a lot of problems on rec.radio.cb
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 09:13:03 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 29
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14268


"Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com...
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>
> >BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> >> I DISAGREE. I THINK N8 IS JUST HAVING FUN AND PULLING CHAINS BUT, ON
> >> THE OTHER HAND I REALLY THINK VOOBNER TRIES TO TAKE THIS MESS
> >> SERIOUSLY, EVEN IF HE IS NOT TAKEN SERIOUSLY BY THE MAJORITY.
```

> >
> >Voobner?
>
> >Ah, and here I thought that it might be Aaron's real name. :-)
>
> Not me. I'm a CW op. This guy obviously works RTTY...   :-)

Believe it or not he stated in that news group that he does not even hold a Ham license of any type, but is studying to get one.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

>

```
From -5568083738598149642
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 06:22:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A703688.B4F869D1@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6EEBE0.70A0B241@dvol.com> <20010124201220.18765.00001202@ng-da1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 307
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 09:22:00 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.40
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980432496 206.20.144.40 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 08:21:36 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 08:21:36 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14269
```

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
> >> We are then going to take them to the FCC. IF the FCC will enforce the

> >> no-interference clause, then it can be relied upon. If not, then we cannot
> >> live with the limits.
>
> >If it's well documented, etc. I would expect Riley would move on it.
>
> Actually, it may be better to take it to the Part 15 folks, but your point is
> taken. I am not so confident.

>
Neither am I but Riley is accessible and on the inside, ask the pro. ARRL Counsel
sure knows the game. Not a job for a lab manager when push comes to shove.
>

> What will the FCC do with the neighbor of a ham
> who buys a touch lamp at the local K Mart and causes interference.
> I chose that example on purpose because in one very clear cut case, the FCC
> paid a visit on the neighbor after months of the ham begging. The FCC told the
> neighbor that he had to stop using the light, shook everyone's hand and then
> drove away. The van no sooner was out of sight than the noise returned. So
> far, no further action.

>
No surprise. The FCC guy did what he had to do to cover his butt, he filed the
report and he ain't gonna go in circles over some citizen's stupid touch lamp.
>

>
> . . . the FCC has been good about writing advisory letters to electric
utilities,
> as
> a followup to the letters I write first. (I hear from about 75% or so, with
> generally good cooperation, although 50-50 on the actual resolution.)

>
The electric utility responses to QRN gripes have been as close as we'll probably
ever get to ideal ever since I can remember. Going back to when granny bitched
about noise screwing up the Arthur Godfrey Breakfast Hour on WPEN 950 AM. on yer
dial. The utilites have legal departments which dictate corporate policy as it
relates to compliance with all regs in minute detail. Plus of course QRN in a
neighbohood is often a useful tipoff to a failing connection or xfmr or whatever
which is a big incentive for them to get into it before somebody's lights go out
and more damage is done. None of it involves any altruism.
>

>
> But how does a ham and the FCC tell the neighbor of a ham that the device he
> just bought at K Mart is being operated in violation of federal law?

>
You don't, all ya do is piss off the neighbor and get generate a ham-hater. Like
we
need more of those. The neighbor is just as much a victim as the ham.
Rightouseness

ain't the solution, keeping the crap off the shelves in the first place is the
solution.
>

> > ... the FCC can readily spank an owner of an RFI source but
> >there are two perps involved. One being the owner who refuses to go
> > QRT which the FCC can shut down, the other is the manufacturer of
> > the widget who probably sold a jillion >of the things.
>
> Actually, there is only one perp under the law in most cases.

>
Yeah, the wrong perp.
>

> The manufacturer
> is required to build the devices to the requirements of Part 15. Some devices
> must be Certificated -- the manufacturer or importer has to send test data to
> the FCC and the Commission approves the device for sale in the US. Others are
> "Verified," meaning the rules require the manufacturer to test it and keep test
> data if the FCC ever asks. (Really!)

>
That's letting the fox guard the henhouse. Make 'em run the widget past UL under
tighter emissions limits. I can easily see where the things can start interfering
with each other.
>

> Once those requirements are met by the manufacturer, the device can be sold. A
> "legal" device on 3.5 MHz can cause S9+15 dB to a dipole located 100 feet away.
> This is, of course, harmful interference if it lands on a frequency an amateur
> is using.

<
. . uuuh . . I think the definition of "harmful interference" is interfernce with
emergency services comms, aviation, etc. We suffer plain "interference" which is a
much lesser regulatory sin?
>

>
> Even that may not be so clear cut. The definition of harmful interference
> requires that communication be repeatedly disrupted. The FCC has made decisions
> wrt cable leakage that merely hearing the signal (S9 is typically legal for a
> cable leak) break squelch is not harmful interference. 145.25 the usual
> frequency, and if there is no repeater in the area, there is no harmful
> interference.

>
Might be interesting to dredge up the and check the EU technical requirements in
this area as an example of tighter limits being just as viable on the market as
the
stuff we have here. The EU has consistently clamped down harder on the technical
requirements in any number of cases than the EPA, NEC and FCC do here.

>

> In my estimation, more instinct than fact, the FCC would not view an S1 or S2
> signal on HF as harmful interference. S6+ almost certainly is. I don't have a
> clue how the FCC would view things in the middle. How about a signal that is a
> 1-second burst every few minutes? Harmful interference? How about the frequency
> agility that is a natural part of the Amateur Radio Service? Would the FCC feel
> we should use the flexibility they have given us to avoid interference that is
> on a single frequency? I don't know, but I could imagine that they would.

>
The rare one on the other end ain't gonna move up. There has to be a middle ground
which has to be actually enforced. There is no excuse for allowing all the crap
coming out of the desktop computers.
>

> The real issue, however, is that under the rules, the operator of the device,
> ie, your neighbor, that local business, a school, is responsible for resolving
> actual interference. I don't know about you, but I sure would not want to have
> that conversation with my neighbor.

>
Neither would I, he ain't the perp.
>

> He bought an arc welder at Sears and I
> gotta' tell him he is using in violation of federal law? He is a nice enough
> fellow under most circumstances, but don't think he would see things my way.
> Now what? A letter from the FCC? There goes my next tower variance, eh? And
> what do I say if he says, "So, the tables have turned, have they?"

>
He ain't gonna be welding all day all night, it's just part of ham radio going
back
to coherer detector days. It's the signals which are on the air continuously which
prevent the operation of a ham station which matter.
>

> > Gets very complex but it's absolutely gotta be done.
>
> Complex, indeed. That is why Mark Wilson tolerates me in the Lab. :-)
>
> > I vote for getting the limits for Class B devices 'way down, kill it
> > before it shows up on the shelves and be done with it.
>
> The ARRL fought that fight, and lost. I guess the big boys had more expensive
> lawyers.

>
They always do. When was that? That's the whole ballgame.
>

> Realistically, unless we can show serious and repeated harm to the

> Amateur Radio Service, I don't think we have a prayer, and even then, I think
> we would lose. And, what the manufacturers say is that the rules offer
> complete protection against harmful interference; how much more protection do
> we want than absolute?

>
No such thing as "absolute", we have to accept some interference, it's a matter of
how much.
>

> I can live with this,IF the FCC is willing to enforce the rules. I can live
> with ARRL having to take a lot of the first steps, in preparing information and
> in trying to resolve some of these problems with the device operators, IF the
> FCC is willing to take the last steps and deal with those cases where a
> reasonable cooperative effort is not enough. How that works remains to be
> seen, but if the FCC won't do it, I think ARRL's comemnts to the FCC may be
> very different the next time a high-level of radiated emissions is proposed as
> being okay because of the non-interference rules.

>
That's a big part of what the ARRL is all about. I can see this landing in the
courts given the way the FCC has backed away from ham radio. Nothin' like a
lawsuit
to get a bureaucrat's attention.
>

> In the meantime, what can be done? Even with what it has for staff resources
> (me,part time on RFI), quite a lot. It all started with the old Phonex PX-421
> wireles modem jacks on 3.53 MHz. Man, I can hardly tell you how much time I
> have into that one. It took over two years, but it is actually starting to pay
> off. Phonex did the right thing immediately, learning of widespread harmful
> interference and literally stopping production and making engineering changes
> in a few weeks. About a month later, a new model was in production, operating
> outside the ham bands.

>
That was well done and can be used for a model.
>

> TCI, now AT&T, bought them by the thousands. That was
> the hard part. They were very cooperative on the national level, but had a lot
> of local problems getting the job done. After a long while, they switched over
> to a 100%-recall mode, and are, in MOST sytems, 90% done. (The areas that are
> still behind the curve are San Francisco/San Jose and the Dallas area, but
> Dallas is coming up to speed pretty fast.) Whew!
>
> Unfortunately, and fortunately, that isn't it. Other technologies such as
> VDSL, HPNA, and HomePlug threaten HF. Fortunately, that isn't it. I somehow
> managed to put togehter the web pages at
> http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfiteljx.html. This is, of course, seen by a lot
> of hams (not all of which are members... :-)

>

Pages like that should remain open-access.
>

>). Many hams work in industry,
> so this info got the attention of those organizations. In many cases, work to
> protect amateur radio was already underway, I might add. The bottom line is
> that these organization are willing to address RFI from the getgo, and are
> doing so, to one degree or another. All 3 product specs contain at least 20 dB
> more protection for amateur radio than the rules require, with the very
> specific intent of preventing inteference to large numbers of hams.
>
> Will there be cases anyway? Yes, there will, just like there are cases of TVI
> involving ham radio. But these manufacturers are voluntarily including
> protection, and they are going to radiate about 60 dB less energy in our ham
> bands than the rules permit us to radiate in our neighbors' TV bands.

>
Just a tad hypocritical on the surface but the Part 15 devices aren't gonna work
if
they have to deal with the weak signals we deal with routinely.
>

> Now, the real problem is probabliy 2.4 and 5.6 GHz. Can you say Bluetooth and
> wireless digital? There can be no protection added because these devices are
> using the shared ISM bands that we also share. The manufacturers know, too,
> that our use of the 2.4 GHz bands is a lot less than our use of HF, so the
> likelihood of *actual* harmful interference is pretty small. The ARRL's ad hoc
> Spectrum Strategy Committee is discussing just what CAN be done to help protect
> these bands. Asking for Part 15 rules changes may be one of the end results.
> The committee has been in place about 4 months or so, and just reported to the
> ARRL Board in January. As a "spectrum-strategy," the full report may not be
> made public -- no sense telling the opposition how we intend to defend the
> bands, but I will sed what I can shake loose for a public report.

>
The microwave bands are gonna be a real battleground and they don't look good.
There just aren't enough hams up there to stake an effective claim. But we'll see
I
guess. I don't have clue one about that end of the spectrum.
>

> Even it only appeared on the web, it would be a start. Once I get my new
> staffer hired, and up to speed, I am going to have to get things documented.
> (Man, I hope I can find the right RFI guru in a tight employee market and a
> salary range that is not at IEEE standards.

>
 . . . Lucent is about to lay off 13,000 employees . . Squiggy? Hee!
>

> The saving grace is that this is a
> once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to work with ARRL, industry and the FCC to make
> a BIG difference in something really important. I will either get the

> semi-retired EMC engineer or the new grad who wants something REALLY impressive
> on his or her resume.)

>
Gotta be somebody like you who is into ham radio to the extent that it's worth
taking paycheck hits.
>

> ALL amateur spectrum is shared, most of it with Part 15. That sharing can
> protect us as well as hurt us; even the Part 15 folks are afraid of congestion
> on 2.4 GHz. Time will tell what can be done, but I, for one, am going to give
> it a go.

>
If you quit trying they'll eat us alive.
>

> Anyway, I probably gave you (all) more of an answer than you wanted. To find
> out how many read this far, send me an email to W1RFI@arrl.org or
> W1RFI@aol.com. I will do a drawing of all those that do to award the 2000
> "bent-cover" Handbook, now that I offered it to Aaron but he didn't accept the
> terms. I will add that you have to look REAL close to see the bent cover. But
> I did want to give a snapshot of what I have been up to over the past few
> months. The details are, well, a bit more detailed. :-)

 >
. . . my head hurts. What's this "bent cover handbook thing? I missed it.
>

>I figure that it is

> the least I can do after disagreeing with you so often on code testing. :-)

>
I AIN'T EVEN STARTED!!
>

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From 6647298849577304304
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 06:25:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail

Message-ID: <3A703743.FF0CF160@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6E29A5.99589F63@dvol.com> <20010124133235.25954.00001429@nso-fm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 92
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 09:25:07 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.40
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980432683 206.20.144.40 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 08:24:43 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 08:24:43 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14270

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <3A6E29A5.99589F63@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:
>
> >An article on "How to Build the Black Box" which feeds the Firewire port in
> >the back of Le Machine should work even better with a bunch less hardware. .
>
> One doesn't NEED a computer interface, doesn't need any UHF
> TV tuner for a front end, doesn't need a computer-specific
> interface unless fancy display lettering on the display that will
> print to any computer printer.

>

Simply a matter of a making use of a much larger display.
>

> I've seen two, used one such
> PC Card type spectrum analyzer and both versions suffer from
> inaccurate and limited logarithmic range.

>

High accuracy isn't a critical need in most ham applications particularly when used as panadapters. Sorta like fish finders are lousy fathometers.
>

> The Log-Amp-Detector from Analog Devices solves the log
> range and accuracy problems. What was once a large PCB
> full of certain semiconductors and precision resistors is now
> collapsed into one package. The VCOs for the first LO
> sweeper are off-the-shelf from Mini-Circuits. Front end filters
> can be almost kluged together out of what parts are in the
> junk box. This isn't rocket science gee-whizzery.

>
No doubt, but I'm not able to design one myself so I'd have to settle for some kit or construction article or another. Which is definitely not one of my priorities.
>

> Then you haven't used anything with more than 20 db dynamic
> range. I agree that the old-style 1940s Panadaptor wasn't much
> good with its semi-linear (not logarithmic) vertical display.

>
The utility in using a spectrum analyzer for ham receiving purposes is usually to detect the existence of a signal, not to accurately measure it's amplitude or frequency. Junk analyzers work fine in this kind of service, some being better than others. When I need to get serious about spectrum analyzers for machine vibration work I wheel out a $5-6k calibrated spectrum analyzer. Bruel & Kjar makes one which cost $25,000 a few years ago. There are spectrum analyzers and there are spectrum analyzers.
>

> the signals within sweepwidth settings are visible. 50 or 100
> KHz sweep width no problem to see CW or any other kind of
> modulation on those incoming signals. Everything is on the
> display at once.

>
Understood, I've seen good spectrum analyzers (vs. HO-10s) used to present band displays. On HF they're close to useless because of the junk and noise on any open band for one. In a contest all you see is one monster 50-75Khz wide spike. Spectrum analyzers simply are not a useful enough operating aid to bother with. One of the high-end Icom xcvrs has a pretty decent built-in analyzer but nobody uses it on an ongoing basis. The proof of the pudding is that if spectrum analyzers had any particular value as a receiving aid the things would be on every operating desk. I don't know of a single big-gun HF weak signal wonk who uses a spectrum analyzer and I kow a bunch of those. Using one to scan low-population VHF and UHF bands might be another story.
>

> A 1960s consumer-electronics item this
> ain't...none of those had as much as 60 db of accurate
> dynamic range. With some extra work, 100 db of dynamic
> range is possible (but one has to start thinking of using
> a display larger than 5" diameter to ease the eyes). Analog
> Devices has a stock Log package that can handle 100 db.
>
> Sound interesting?   :-)

>
Write the article.
>

>
> didit

>
w3rv

From -1687093621941199768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 06:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Why N8 causes problems in NG
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 14:35:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <94pdiv$doi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com> <3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com> <3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com> <t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 38.31.209.91
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 14:35:11 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 38.31.209.91
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkp82
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14271

Because ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS DONT LIKE THE TRUTH!

Does a drug dealer like the DEA?

In article <t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com>,
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> "Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com...
> > "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> >
> > >BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >> I DISAGREE. I THINK N8 IS JUST HAVING FUN AND PULLING CHAINS
BUT, ON

```
> > >> THE OTHER HAND I REALLY THINK VOOBNER TRIES TO TAKE THIS MESS
> > >> SERIOUSLY, EVEN IF HE IS NOT TAKEN SERIOUSLY BY THE MAJORITY.
> > >
> > >Voobner?
> >
> > >Ah, and here I thought that it might be Aaron's real name. :-)
> >
> > Not me. I'm a CW op. This guy obviously works RTTY...   :-)
>
> Believe it or not he stated in that news group that he does not even
hold a
> Ham license of any type, but is studying to get one.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> >
>
>

--
http://members.theglobe.com/keyclownzsuk/EXP_SITE_PERSONAL_2/
http://www.geocities.com/antikeyclown/1mangayboy_and_NeboDweeb.html
http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
http://humor.easynews.com/
http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/dweebrain.jpg



Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -1023432099427502580
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 08:19:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 10:09:49 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A704FCD.C1122AA9@IEEE.org>
References: <3ias6tsioso9sfv97jcjv2mi2ijfhc1n8e@4ax.com>
<20010124065922.13180.00000822@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<n82u6t89710khcnod2gdnk8ddp31ee330i@4ax.com> <3A6F206B.6154F86A@IEEE.org>
<94o20l$76a$0@208.207.71.131>
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 25 Jan 2001 16:19:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14272

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > I don't know about that. I am infinitely more technical now than
> > I was in the 50s. How about you?
>
> If there's one thing that we've all seen here on rrap it is that Cecil
> is definitely the exception to -any- rule.

Why, thanks for the compliment, Dick. I do try to be exceptional. And
I'm sorry that you are no more technical now than when you took your
first ham exam. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5512860844107221134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 08:39:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 18:03:44 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <94ppq0$a1n$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3A6E29A5.99589F63@dvol.com> <20010124133235.25954.00001429@nso-fm.aol.com> <3A703743.FF0CF160@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 980445824 10295 205.139.138.14 (25 Jan 2001 18:03:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 25 Jan 2001 18:03:44 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: kelly@dvol.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14273

In article <3A703743.FF0CF160@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
writes:

> Sorta like fish finders are lousy fathometers.

Really? (I didn't know that.) Why?

I would have thought they'd be great fathometers, since they not only show the depth but also give hints of bottom "hardness", etc.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 8367628441916099739
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 09:05:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: 25 Jan 2001 17:05:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 284
Message-ID: <94pmc6$hhk$0@208.206.142.106>
References: <3A6EEBE0.70A0B241@dvol.com> <20010124201220.18765.00001202@ng-da1.aol.com> <3A703688.B4F869D1@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14274

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
>
how does a ham and the FCC tell the neighbor of a ham that the device
he
> > just bought at K Mart is being operated in violation of federal law?
>
> >
> You don't, all ya do is piss off the neighbor and get generate a ham-hater. Like
we
> need more of those. The neighbor is just as much a victim as the ham.
Rightouseness
> ain't the solution, keeping the crap off the shelves in the first place is the
> solution.

Exactly,and precisely why the problem will NOT be solved at that
level, since FCC refused to require manufacturers of Part 15 devices to
spend the few extra pennies on filtering.
When the Pt 15 NPRM was in process I wrote both the FCC and my
congressperson to insist that more be done to reduce the RFI that was
sure to come as result of the way it was written. FCC ignored me and
quickly convinced my congressman that there really was "no problem" with
it. That was a done deal long ago and won't addressed again. Ed's work
is probably the only effective force in place at all, and it is largely
educational, as we can see from here. And much of that education is
pointed at manufacturing engineers who have no clue about ham radio, it
seems.
Whatta scheme.

Dick

> >
>
> > > ... the FCC can readily spank an owner of an RFI source but
> > >there are two perps involved. One being the owner who refuses to go
> > > QRT which the FCC can shut down, the other is the manufacturer of
> > > the widget who probably sold a jillion >of the things.
> >
> > Actually, there is only one perp under the law in most cases.
>
> >
> Yeah, the wrong perp.
> >
>
> > The manufacturer
> > is required to build the devices to the requirements of Part 15. Some devices
> > must be Certificated -- the manufacturer or importer has to send test data to
> > the FCC and the Commission approves the device for sale in the US. Others are

> > "Verified," meaning the rules require the manufacturer to test it and keep test
> > data if the FCC ever asks. (Really!)
>
> >
> That's letting the fox guard the henhouse. Make 'em run the widget past UL under
> tighter emissions limits. I can easily see where the things can start interfering
> with each other.
> >
>
> > Once those requirements are met by the manufacturer, the device can be sold. A
> > "legal" device on 3.5 MHz can cause S9+15 dB to a dipole located 100 feet away.
> > This is, of course, harmful interference if it lands on a frequency an amateur
> > is using.
>
> <
> . . uuuh . . I think the definition of "harmful interference" is interfernce with
> emergency services comms, aviation, etc. We suffer plain "interference" which is a
> much lesser regulatory sin?
> >
>
> >
> > Even that may not be so clear cut. The definition of harmful interference
> > requires that communication be repeatedly disrupted. The FCC has made decisions
> > wrt cable leakage that merely hearing the signal (S9 is typically legal for a
> > cable leak) break squelch is not harmful interference. 145.25 the usual
> > frequency, and if there is no repeater in the area, there is no harmful
> > interference.
>
> >
> Might be interesting to dredge up the and check the EU technical requirements in
> this area as an example of tighter limits being just as viable on the market as the
> stuff we have here. The EU has consistently clamped down harder on the technical
> requirements in any number of cases than the EPA, NEC and FCC do here.
> >
>
> > In my estimation, more instinct than fact, the FCC would not view an S1 or S2
> > signal on HF as harmful interference. S6+ almost certainly is. I don't have a
> > clue how the FCC would view things in the middle. How about a signal that is a
> > 1-second burst every few minutes? Harmful interference? How about the frequency
> > agility that is a natural part of the Amateur Radio Service? Would the FCC feel
> > we should use the flexibility they have given us to avoid interference that is
> > on a single frequency? I don't know, but I could imagine that they would.

>
> >
> The rare one on the other end ain't gonna move up. There has to be a middle
ground
> which has to be actually enforced. There is no excuse for allowing all the crap
> coming out of the desktop computers.
> >
>
> > The real issue, however, is that under the rules, the operator of the device,
> > ie, your neighbor, that local business, a school, is responsible for resolving
> > actual interference. I don't know about you, but I sure would not want to
have
> > that conversation with my neighbor.
>
> >
> Neither would I, he ain't the perp.
> >
>
> > He bought an arc welder at Sears and I
> > gotta' tell him he is using in violation of federal law? He is a nice enough
> > fellow under most circumstances, but don't think he would see things my way.
> > Now what? A letter from the FCC? There goes my next tower variance, eh? And
> > what do I say if he says, "So, the tables have turned, have they?"
>
> >
> He ain't gonna be welding all day all night, it's just part of ham radio going
back
> to coherer detector days. It's the signals which are on the air continuously
which
> prevent the operation of a ham station which matter.
> >
>
> > > Gets very complex but it's absolutely gotta be done.
> >
> > Complex, indeed. That is why Mark Wilson tolerates me in the Lab. :-)
> >
> > > I vote for getting the limits for Class B devices 'way down, kill it
> > > before it shows up on the shelves and be done with it.
> >
> > The ARRL fought that fight, and lost. I guess the big boys had more expensive
> > lawyers.
>
> >
> They always do. When was that? That's the whole ballgame.
> >
>
> > Realistically, unless we can show serious and repeated harm to the
> > Amateur Radio Service, I don't think we have a prayer, and even then, I think
> > we would lose. And, what the manufacturers say is that the rules offer
> > complete protection against harmful interference; how much more protection do
> > we want than absolute?
>
> >

> No such thing as "absolute", we have to accept some interference, it's a matter
of
> how much.
> >
>
> > I can live with this,IF the FCC is willing to enforce the rules. I can live
> > with ARRL having to take a lot of the first steps, in preparing information
and
> > in trying to resolve some of these problems with the device operators, IF the
> > FCC is willing to take the last steps and deal with those cases where a
> > reasonable cooperative effort is not enough. How that works remains to be
> > seen, but if the FCC won't do it, I think ARRL's comemnts to the FCC may be
> > very different the next time a high-level of radiated emissions is proposed as
> > being okay because of the non-interference rules.
>
> >
> That's a big part of what the ARRL is all about. I can see this landing in the
> courts given the way the FCC has backed away from ham radio. Nothin' like a
lawsuit
> to get a bureaucrat's attention.
> >
>
> > In the meantime, what can be done? Even with what it has for staff resources
> > (me,part time on RFI), quite a lot. It all started with the old Phonex PX-421
> > wireles modem jacks on 3.53 MHz. Man, I can hardly tell you how much time I
> > have into that one. It took over two years, but it is actually starting to
pay
> > off. Phonex did the right thing immediately, learning of widespread harmful
> > interference and literally stopping production and making engineering changes
> > in a few weeks. About a month later, a new model was in production, operating
> > outside the ham bands.
>
> >
> That was well done and can be used for a model.
> >
>
> > TCI, now AT&T, bought them by the thousands. That was
> > the hard part. They were very cooperative on the national level, but had a
lot
> > of local problems getting the job done. After a long while, they switched
over
> > to a 100%-recall mode, and are, in MOST sytems, 90% done. (The areas that are
> > still behind the curve are San Francisco/San Jose and the Dallas area, but
> > Dallas is coming up to speed pretty fast.) Whew!
> >
> > Unfortunately, and fortunately, that isn't it. Other technologies such as
> > VDSL, HPNA, and HomePlug threaten HF. Fortunately, that isn't it. I somehow
> > managed to put togehter the web pages at
> > http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfiteljx.html. This is, of course, seen by a lot
> > of hams (not all of which are members... :-)
>
> >
> Pages like that should remain open-access.

> >
>
> >). Many hams work in industry,
> > so this info got the attention of those organizations. In many cases, work to
> > protect amateur radio was already underway, I might add. The bottom line is
> > that these organization are willing to address RFI from the getgo, and are
> > doing so, to one degree or another. All 3 product specs contain at least 20 dB
> > more protection for amateur radio than the rules require, with the very
> > specific intent of preventing inteference to large numbers of hams.
> >
> > Will there be cases anyway? Yes, there will, just like there are cases of TVI
> > involving ham radio. But these manufacturers are voluntarily including
> > protection, and they are going to radiate about 60 dB less energy in our ham
> > bands than the rules permit us to radiate in our neighbors' TV bands.
>
> >
> Just a tad hypocritical on the surface but the Part 15 devices aren't gonna work
if
> they have to deal with the weak signals we deal with routinely.
> >
>
> > Now, the real problem is probabliy 2.4 and 5.6 GHz. Can you say Bluetooth and
> > wireless digital? There can be no protection added because these devices are
> > using the shared ISM bands that we also share. The manufacturers know, too,
> > that our use of the 2.4 GHz bands is a lot less than our use of HF, so the
> > likelihood of *actual* harmful interference is pretty small. The ARRL's ad
hoc
> > Spectrum Strategy Committee is discussing just what CAN be done to help
protect
> > these bands. Asking for Part 15 rules changes may be one of the end results.
> > The committee has been in place about 4 months or so, and just reported to the
> > ARRL Board in January. As a "spectrum-strategy," the full report may not be
> > made public -- no sense telling the opposition how we intend to defend the
> > bands, but I will sed what I can shake loose for a public report.
>
> >
> The microwave bands are gonna be a real battleground and they don't look good.
> There just aren't enough hams up there to stake an effective claim. But we'll
see I
> guess. I don't have clue one about that end of the spectrum.
> >
>
> > Even it only appeared on the web, it would be a start. Once I get my new
> > staffer hired, and up to speed, I am going to have to get things documented.
> > (Man, I hope I can find the right RFI guru in a tight employee market and a
> > salary range that is not at IEEE standards.
>
> >
> . . . Lucent is about to lay off 13,000 employees . . Squiggy? Hee!
> >
>
> > The saving grace is that this is a
> > once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to work with ARRL, industry and the FCC to make

```
> > a BIG difference in something really important.  I will either get the
> > semi-retired EMC engineer or the new grad who wants something REALLY
impressive
> > on his or her resume.)
>
> >
> Gotta be somebody like you who is into ham radio to the extent that it's worth
> taking paycheck hits.
> >
>
> > ALL amateur spectrum is shared, most of it with Part 15.  That sharing can
> > protect us as well as hurt us; even the Part 15 folks are afraid of congestion
> > on 2.4 GHz.  Time will tell what can be done, but I, for one, am going to give
> > it a go.
>
> >
> If you quit trying they'll eat us alive.
> >
>
> > Anyway, I probably gave you (all) more of an answer than you wanted.  To find
> > out how many read this far, send me an email to W1RFI@arrl.org or
> > W1RFI@aol.com.  I will do a drawing of all those that do to award the 2000
> > "bent-cover" Handbook, now that I offered it to Aaron but he didn't accept the
> > terms.  I will add that you have to look REAL close to see the bent cover.
But
> > I did want to give a snapshot of what I have been up to over the past few
> > months.  The details are, well, a bit more detailed. :-)
>
>  >
> . . . my head hurts. What's this "bent cover handbook thing? I missed it.
> >
>
> >I figure that it is
>
> > the least I can do after disagreeing with you so often on code testing. :-)
>
> >
> I AIN'T EVEN STARTED!!
> >
>
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> >
> w3rv


From 2610913426305159938
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 09:20:09 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!portc03.blue.aol.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: n2ey@aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 17:09:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <94pmk1$n0q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <%RK86.837$e5.2154344@news.ntplx.net> <3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org> <944l34$mk2$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A65E44C.FE56CA41@IEEE.org> <94kvqt$lsq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6E3311.C7B96D42@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.197.200.147
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 17:09:31 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 151.197.200.147
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14275

In article <3A6E3311.C7B96D42@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> > Much of what you have written about me is simply not true, Cecil. I
> > have pointed out your mistakes above. You will not admit them, of
> > course.
>
> A simple statement is all that it would take for me to change my mind
> and you have refused to provide it.

I have refused to be manipulated by your mind-fornication and word-weaseling.

>What am I to infer from that?

That I won't play your games.
>
> > I'm sorry you are so bored that you have
> > to spend time trying to "get other people's goats".
>
> And I'm sorry you won't condemn code-tested hams who use Morse code
> equipment for jamming.

For about the tenth time:

I have repeatedly condemned all jammers on the ham bands. Regardless of mode, frequency, test or license status.

The ones you keep obsessively focusing on are obviously a subset of those I have condemned.

What is it about "all" and "subset" that you don't understand?

Maybe there's no word for "logic" in Texan.

73 de Jim, N2EY
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4492273995033234927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 09:21:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!feeder.via.net!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 09:13:36 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3A705EC0.D897C447@uci.edu>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
<3a6f8391$0$29999$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14276

Larry Klose wrote:
> > You might try meeting the present requirements as a means of first
> > demonstrating that it is not for personal gain that you make these
> > esteemed and learned recommendations.

> Are saying that person petitioning his government to change a regulation
> that he believes is not in conformity with law and not in the public
> interest has no standing to file that petition?

Not really. It's perhaps more of a credibility issue.

> Would you ask black women to be white before she challenges the a bus
> seating law.

No, I wouldn't. So are you claiming that you are being discriminated
against because of your race, color, creed, gender, or disability,
Larry?

> Must I pass a code test in order challenge the ITU rule S25.5 and FCC
> rule 47 CFR 97.503(a)?

I never said anything of the kind. I was just pointing out that you
might increase your credibility by doing so.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -7466724211662859537
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 09:32:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <hao07tsaasll1909c5u96ac3su17nlkjcf@4ax.com>
References: <3A6F8F6A.5E641B8A@cybertours.com> <20010125064237.06242.00001326@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 17:32:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.214
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980443935 209.86.204.214 (Thu, 25 Jan
2001 09:32:15 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 09:32:15 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14277

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>ARRL maintains an investment folio that is funded, in part, by
>the Life Membership dues it has taken in over the decades.

Also, Life Membership is a great marketing tool. The League has a nice
captive audience in life members for advertising. Those lifetime
eyeballs are worth $$$ ...   :-)

From -4020196926757485438

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 09:32:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: N8wwm causes a lot of problems on rec.radio.cb
Message-ID: <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 17:32:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.214
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980443940 209.86.204.214 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 09:32:20 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 09:32:20 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14278

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>>"Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message

>>>BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>> I DISAGREE. I THINK N8 IS JUST HAVING FUN AND PULLING CHAINS BUT, ON
>>> THE OTHER HAND I REALLY THINK VOOBNER TRIES TO TAKE THIS MESS
>>> SERIOUSLY, EVEN IF HE IS NOT TAKEN SERIOUSLY BY THE MAJORITY.

>>... This guy obviously works RTTY...   :-)

>Believe it or not he stated in that news group that he does not even hold a
>Ham license of any type, but is studying to get one.

When I first got online, I typed my messages in all capital letters. I guess I thought it was like being on RTTY. I was quickly told that I was being impolite (by shouting in net language). I'm glad that someone finally took the time to point out this social gaff to me. I don't remember his 'first personal' though...   :-)

From -889686719885963081
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 09:35:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: 25 Jan 2001 17:35:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94po4o$kvk$0@208.206.142.106>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com> <t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14279 rec.radio.amateur.misc:11443 rec.radio.swap:21642

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>
> rasta567@aol.com (Rasta567) wrote in
> <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>:
>
> >Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of becoming a Life Member of
> >the ARRL. Thanks
> >
>
>   Don't buy any form of League membership if you care about the integrity
> of your license or ham radio. The ARRL will screw you when your head is
> turned. Buy a membership only if you believe that the natural progression
> of amateur radio should be to become more like CB, for this is what the
> ARRL board of directors believe.

Vince,that's what the current FCC believes. Why would the ARRL
deliberately -again- go against the wishes of the FCC? It never worked
before.

Dick

```
From 5849255791680785830
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 09:39:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Jan 2001 17:38:23 GMT
References: <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010125123823.14777.00001042@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14280

In article <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Larry Klose wrote:
>> I personally advocate thpetition the United States/FCC to adopt a WRC 2003
>> policy to: (1) suppress ITU rule S25.5, (2) take exception to S25.5 in the
>> WRC 2003 Final Acts if it is nor suppressed, and (3) delete 47 CFR
>97.503(a)
>> after the conclusion of WRC 2003 without any futher proceedings.
>
>You might try meeting the present requirements as a means of first
>demonstrating that it is not for personal gain that you make these
>esteemed and learned recommendations.
>
>73, Jim AC6XG

How do you arrive at that "recommendation," Jim?

Are you saying that everyone must go through the same
test ritual as you did just because you had to?

didit



From -7458293838724347776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 09:50:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
```

out.visi.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: N8WWM@yahoo.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: I will no longer tolerate
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 17:38:12 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.240.220.202
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 17:38:12 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/3.0 WebTV/1.2 (compatible; MSIE 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.240.220.202
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDfatandgayn8wwm
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:23677 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14281

Illegal keyclowns. Amateurs or cbers you sell illegal stuff I turn you in.
End of story.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8138438927510386232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 10:06:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
<3a6f8391$0$29999$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A705EC0.D897C447@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <XWZb6.1206$e5.2947234@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 13:05:14 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980446007 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 13:06:47 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 13:06:47 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14282

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> > Are saying that person petitioning his government to change a regulation
> > that he believes is not in conformity with law and not in the public
> > interest has no standing to file that petition?

> Not really. It's perhaps more of a credibility issue.

I don't believe that Larry is going through all of the work of serving on the NCI Board because he feels that doing so is easier than learning the code.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 2660771914760439641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 10:12:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Lamar Owen <lamar.owen@wgcr.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 13:11:52 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 93
Message-ID: <3A706C68.691289AD@wgcr.org>
References: <3A6F533D.9B230C15@wgcr.org> <20010125003249.17176.00000874@nso-
ba.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.74.232.216
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 980446332 98010 206.74.232.216 (25 Jan 2001 18:12:12
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 18:12:12 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16383
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14283
```

N2EY wrote:
> In article <3A6F533D.9B230C15@wgcr.org>, Lamar Owen <lamar.owen@wgcr.org>
> writes:

> >> > When the great majority of radio services
> >> > drop a mode, that is the defining characteristic of being outdated.
> >> Nonsense. If that's true, then SSB, RTTY, AM and a host of other modes
> >> are "outdated" too.
> >They are still used.
> Yep - ALL of them.

CW is not used for anything other than an ID on the majority of radio services. Hey, that's a _good_ use of CW, though.

> >But, you miss the point -- you're not tested on
> >being able to _copy_ SSB, AM, or RTTY as you are with CW

> Because no special skill is required to "copy" 'phone modes, there's no test.
> "Copying" RTTY requires that you be able to read - that's tested by the written
> exam. Can an illiterate person get a ham license? I don't think so.

Automatic CW 'modems' are also available -- you can then copy CW by simply reading. It just remains to make them more reliable due to poorly sent code. But, then again, poorly sent ASCII or Baudot would be the same.

> >(knowledge of
> >how the mode works, of which we are tested for the others, and knowing
> >how to copy the mode are two different things) -- you're tested on how
> >the mode works.

> AND on ability to "copy" it.

No one is tested on how to recognize RTTY by ear, now are they? Is difficult SSB copy presented (phase shifting and other ionospheric artifiacts can make copy difficult)? Nope.

In fact, someone who is deaf is able to get a license -- the capability of copying SSB is definitely not tested then. Whether they are able to transmit SSB (and, using computerized voice recognition software, perhaps, they can receive it) is not limited, even if they can't copy it.

> >CW is outdated.

> Why do you say that? Have you ever actually LISTENED to the HF ham bands?

The Amateur HF bands are a small minority of 'the great majority of radio services' listed above. Sure, hams use CW -- but hams also use outdated FM equipment on 2 meters, too, that no one else can use. The reason for amateurs' existence is spelled out in the rules -- which paragraph does CW Morse meet these days?

Yes, I have listened to the amateur HF bands. Do you take me for a newbie?

> > Almost as outdated as spark.

> Not at all. Do you know when spark disappeared from the ham bands, and why?

The why is due to occupied bandwidth. The when was a very long time ago (around 1924). The then new 'CW' method of sending code was much more efficient, both in power and in occupied spectrum.

But you did know that I placed that clause in there as a troll, right?
:-)

If persued on technical merits alone, CW would be dead now, due to modes such as PSK31 and MFSK16, which are more efficient and more capable than CW Morse (or Vail, if you want to go there) code.

But ham radio has never been only about technical merit.

> > -- protected subbands are good, though.

> Agreed! In fact, how about this: Divide each HF band into 3 subbands, by mode:
> Morse only, digital, analog voice/image. 35/15/50% is about right. To use the
> Morse-only subbands, you have to pass a Morse test and a written test. All else
> requires only a written test.

I would go a little larger on the digital -- reference the recent PSK31 interest. And with automatic CW 'modems' (such as the contest keyers many use), a test would really be superfluous -- but I wouldn't make it a sticky point. 30-20-50, maybe? Or 33-33-34, even?

> Something for everybody.

Right -- something for everybody. No one forced to learn a mode they won't use. Exactly my point.
--
Lamar Owen
WGCR Internet Radio
1 Peter 4:11

From -5108496986095017610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 10:22:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 12:13:03 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9

Message-ID: <3A706CAF.4544FCB8@IEEE.org>
References: <3A623289.7398A209@IEEE.org> <20010114203810.19385.00001995@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A628C54.F5798B7E@IEEE.org> <%RK86.837$e5.2154344@news.ntplx.net> <3A639E9F.304EE3D8@IEEE.org> <944l34$mk2$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A65E44C.FE56CA41@IEEE.org> <94kvqt$lsq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6E3311.C7B96D42@IEEE.org> <94pmku$n1c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.aa
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 25 Jan 2001 18:21:53 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14284

n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> What is it about "all" and "subset" that you don't understand?

When you condemn "all" and absolutely refuse to condemn a
particular subset, it's easy to read between the lines.
It reminds me of my cousin who says, "I'm not a racist, I
just don't like black people."
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8253512564311644049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 10:29:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A707060.F652308@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A6E29A5.99589F63@dvol.com> <20010124133235.25954.00001429@nso-fm.aol.com> <3A703743.FF0CF160@dvol.com> <94ppq0$a1n$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 35
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 13:28:48 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.61
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980447307 206.20.144.61 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 12:28:27 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 12:28:27 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14285

```
K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:

>
> > Sorta like fish finders are lousy fathometers.
>
> Really?  (I didn't know that.)  Why?
>
> I would have thought they'd be great fathometers, since they not only show the
> depth but also give hints of bottom "hardness", etc.

>
Some of them might, not the one I used. About ten years ago I was recruited to
skipper a rented 37' sloop to take a four day tour of the northern Chesapeake at
the behest of a couple mahout type gents in my biz who are another generation up
and didn't want to go it on their own (Actually, one of their wives told Hisself
that there was no way he was gonna do any such stunt unless I was aboard. Pain in
the ass. Lucky me.). The boat had a fish finder and a fathometer in the cockpit,
they consistently disagreed about the depth by a bunch. To make a long story
short,
I got curious and actually dipsticked the bottom several times, the digital
fathometer was always right on the money, the fish finder was out to lunch every
time. In ten feet of plumbed water dockside at Annapolis the fish finder told me
we
had 16 feet and the fathometer told me the bottom was ten point something below
it's transducer. Mud time if ya navigate with a fish finder as far as I'm
concerned.
>
I'm normally a stinkboater, I don't fish and that was my only experience with the
things but it was enough.
>

> 73, Hans, K0HB

>
w3rv




From 3299544935997151524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 10:38:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 12:28:35 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
```

Message-ID: <3A707053.E3FA3E70@IEEE.org>
References: <93toh7$9kp$0@208.207.71.158>
<18645-3A62729D-189@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93u7qf$u7v$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <3A63175A.B392924C@IEEE.org>
<93veu1$kau$2@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <3A707829.F6722454@ecs.syr.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.aa
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 25 Jan 2001 18:37:11 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: Drew Northup <dnorthup@ecs.syr.edu>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14286

Drew Northup wrote:
> I think that it is too bad that they may not be
> willing to take the time to learn it (those whom are not able to for some good
> reason can get a dispensation), ...

Since there is no code waiver anymore, what kind of "dispensation"
are you talking about?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6257377049615586786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 10:46:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 12:36:28 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A70722C.F8A5F0A2@IEEE.org>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.aa
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 25 Jan 2001 18:45:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:23683 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14287

N8WWM@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> Illegal keyclowns. Amateurs or cbers you sell illegal stuff I turn you in.
> End of story.

My SG-500 amp covers 24-30MHz. Would it be illegal for me to sell it?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7587274601960862474
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 10:50:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Pride
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 18:44:27 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <94ps69$sn6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <93toh7$9kp$0@208.207.71.158>
<18645-3A62729D-189@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93u7qf$u7v$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <3A63175A.B392924C@IEEE.org>
<93veu1$kau$2@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 18:44:27 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16391
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14288

"Dean Craft" <w4ihk@mindspring.com> wrote:

> There is no longer a place for pride in individualism; no longer a
> place for pride in personal achievement;

I take a great deal of pride in my abilities at Morse code.

That pride is not diminished if some other fellow can't beep as fast as I can. I'll bet he has something different to be proud of, like maybe the size of that bass he caught last summer, or how well his daughter did in her soccer game last night, or how well he sang in the church choir last Sunday.

73, de Hans, K0HB

```
--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
  eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
  to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
  Morse."
```

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 1119942179025800445
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 10:55:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 12:45:37 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A707451.5F994DC2@IEEE.org>
References: <93toh7$9kp$0@208.207.71.158>
<18645-3A62729D-189@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93u7qf$u7v$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <3A63175A.B392924C@IEEE.org>
<93vjv9$o5o$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A6395B9.6A4FF289@IEEE.org>
<3A70803A.F18148DD@ecs.syr.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.aa
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 25 Jan 2001 18:55:52 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: Drew Northup <dnorthup@ecs.syr.edu>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14289
```

Drew Northup wrote:
> The Constitution of the US guarantees very little (including the Amendments).
> That is the point. Access to public property is not part of these guarantees.

I do believe that The Constitution guarantees equal treatment under the law.
That's why we don't have segregated rest rooms any more. If tested in court,
I do not believe the Morse code requirement would stand. I believe "ramps"
would be required for Morsely handicapped citizens just as they are required
for wheelchairs.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -2496219949742038629
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 10:57:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 12:53:01 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A70760D.CAC740F8@oio.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 12
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:23684 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14290
```

N8WWM@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> Illegal keyclowns. Amateurs or cbers you sell illegal stuff I turn you in.
> End of story.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

  And I will no longer tolerate your new address. Bye bye...
                     'Doc

From -176289226161698974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 11:02:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 18:49:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <94psgi$t4o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.98
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 18:49:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.98
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14291

> The same battery you will use to power the HW-7, or a different battery?

The HW-7 could be operated a long time on any typical laptop battery. Typical laptop has a 2-4 hr operating time for the first year or two, but only if you keep it charged every other day (they normally draw some current even when turned off). The HW-7 and a great many other simpler QRP rigs are far less picky about their power supply. The VHF marine radio in the life-boat is a good idea, but you will need special batteries for these radios for a long shelf life, and they probably won't be useful until shortly before someone can hear or see the helicopter, and untrained people could have wasted the batteries long before someone was in range.

Which brings me back to the training aspect. Much equipment will be misused by untrained people, and there is no guarantee that any one would pay any heed to a trained person even if they survived the incident, but the idea behind Amateur Radio was to provide for a great number of trained people, so there would be a greater probability of trained people available in the event of emergency. There is no excuse for disregarding this issue in order to sell gadgets that purport to be useful to those who have no experience in their use.

You can have it both ways if you like, and you don't mind deliberately utilizing people with lesser qualifications in order to avoid Morse code. You stated that you would prefer SSB because it's faster. True It can be faster. I prefer to use any of the Digital modes for passing text traffic that I can maintain in my logs without extra handling. Voice modes are too slow because they require significantly more handling and must be transcribed anyway unless rumor and misinformation is your goal. It is typically required to slow down the traffic sent by voice to allow reliable transcription. This is inherent in CW operation.

SSB usually allows more fluid net operations and is requires less power consumption so it is best to have that capability, and since CW is fully compatible with SSB operation, it behooves one to be prepared to resort to or more fully use it rather than close the station early under adverse conditions.

> > If that is the case wouldn't you want someone with a whole bunch of tricks in
> >his arsenal, including ham radio and CW capability?
>
> Sure, and if I go camping, and something goes wrong, I would want someone
> around trained in wilderness rescue.
>

When camping in wilderness areas, EVERYONE should be trained in wilderness survival, otherwise they are usually a liability.

Jim, ac6tk, AAR9BS

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -7791173969037599765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 11:02:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7077AF.D5A0E69@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top 10 Problems facing Amteur Radio? (was Re: ARRL code test
 resolution)
References: <3A6F79C7.166FB123@dvol.com> <20010124202806.18765.00001213@ng-
da1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 34
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 13:59:59 -0500
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.64
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980449179 206.20.144.64 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 12:59:39 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 12:59:39 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14292

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
> >At this point certainly. Since you brought it up, what are the top ten more
> >important issues?
>
> The minute I hit the SEND button, I said to myself, "My God, man, what have you
> done to yourself?"

>
Heeeee! Of course, ask me how many times I've shipped a post into this endless swamp and wished I could live my life over.
>

> I gotta' give my fingers a rest after that last long post. Want to take a
> first cut at it?

>
Yeah, could be interesting but later. I have six days to get all my crap outta the rental slum I've been living in for years and move it to new digs so I'm going quiet. Want an AT-1 cabinet for really cheap?
>
Have any idea when you might be in Philly? N2EY and self will pop for the lobster at Bookbinders.
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From -8919027103872314489
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 11:02:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 10:59:45 -0800

Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <3A7077A1.82B5FA5D@uci.edu>
References: <3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003975@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14293

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> "Rebellion for rebellion's sake" is
> the last thing law-abiding gun owners have in mind, and I, for
> one, would be more likely to act to quash any such rebellion as
> to be a part of it.

Then I think we're more or less on the same page in that regard.

> When American citizens have the right to keep
> and bear arms, that right, by itself, is a powerful check against
> any possibility of governmental tyranny -- the same way that
> states with liberal CCW licensing have experienced a major
> reduction in gun-related violent crime.

I think gun related crime and government tyranny are two disparate things. But my point has been all along that arms in the possession of citizens is no longer a check on government tyranny. The balance of power is too lop sided. That argument is the weakest of all the NRA arguments. Don't get me wrong, Larry. I support the right to keep and bear arms. It's just with the present atmosphere of pressure to increase gun control laws, the NRA needs to put forward it's strongest arguments. There's not going to be another revolution, and it sounds really lame to talk about needing to be prepared in case of one.

> When "they" start talking
> seriously about taking that right away, as they actually did in
> England, Australia, and other Third-World countries, then it's
> time to start seriously thinking about acting on such a "rebellion."

It would take a Constitutional Ammendment to eliminate the 2nd Ammendment. And if enough people were to vote to get rid of it, which is extremely unlikely in any foreseeable future, then you're going to be seriously outnumbered by the majority. The outcome would have been arrived at in a democratic process - not by government tyrrany - and you would be in violation of the law to start shooting at people over it.

> I'm sure President Bush isn't going to permit that to happen.

Heck, even Clinton didn't suggest that it should happen.

> For the first time in eight years, I have renewed faith in my
> government.

Hey man, the government is just the same as it was. Some of the names on the roster have changed.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -9053042226773452198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 11:06:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 12:56:52 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3A7076F4.8469FDB2@IEEE.org>
References: <20010125003250.17176.00000875@nso-ba.aol.com>
<21792-3A70413B-32@storefull-112.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<94pqdm$qu1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.aa
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 25 Jan 2001 19:05:43 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14294

n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> The standard way plainlanguage Morse speed is judged is by seeing how
> many times you can send the word "PARIS" in a given time. If a dit is
> one time unit long (that's just the keydown time, not the keydown plus
> following keyup time) then the word "PARIS" in Morse takes 50 time
> units to send.

> In 5 level Baudot, "PARIS" takes 42 time units to send IF the start and
> stop bits are the same length. 16% faster than Morse. If the stop bits
> are longer, the improvement is decreased or vanishes entirely.
>
> In 7 level asynchronous ASCII, "PARIS" takes 54 time units to send.
>
> Where's the inefficiency?

The inefficiency of CW is in the baud rate, e.g. ~10 baud, compared to 300 baud for Baudot and ASCII. The effective baud rate of PACTOR II

with its PSK modulation is hundreds of times the effective baud rate
of CW.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -6280505463452938912
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 11:10:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
212.97.206.81.MISMATCH!kinglear.mobilixnet.dk!opentransit.net!newsfeeds.belnet.be!
news.belnet.be!btnet-peer1!btnet-peer0!btnet-peer!btnet!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 19:03:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <94pt9r$ts1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6E29A5.99589F63@dvol.com> <20010124133235.25954.00001429@nso-
fm.aol.com> <3A703743.FF0CF160@dvol.com> <94ppq0$a1n$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A707060.F652308@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 19:03:31 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14295
```

In article <3A707060.F652308@dvol.com>,
  Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>
> K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:
>
> >
> > > Sorta like fish finders are lousy fathometers.
> >
> > Really? (I didn't know that.) Why?
> >
> > I would have thought they'd be great fathometers, since
> >they not only show the depth but also give hints of
> >bottom "hardness", etc.
>
> >
> Some of them might, not the one I used.

I think you must have gotten hold of a clunker there, or the transducer
was cocked.

I have two on my boat, one at the helm and one at the forward fishing station, and they are always dead-nuts on.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7584519986811141111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 11:10:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 13:00:59 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A7077EB.165D5268@IEEE.org>
References: <3A664B6C.8BC03C00@IEEE.org> <20010118083250.22631.00000799@nso-fz.aol.com> <3A66FE26.D4C0DA3F@IEEE.org> <94kuu7$ktn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A6E2DCF.EBEBECB5@IEEE.org> <3A708301.D8F8272@ecs.syr.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.aa
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 25 Jan 2001 19:09:48 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14296

Drew Northup wrote:

> We live in a Republican [representative] Democracy. The last
> truly deomcratic society was that of ancient Greece. Therefore,
> it only matters whom convinces our represenatives (and
> senators...). Let us start working on something more
> productive--a solution. Any takers?

The solution is obviously 7-figure soft money contributions. :-)

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -2683398571979726349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 11:16:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <93toh7$9kp$0@208.207.71.158>
<18645-3A62729D-189@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93u7qf$u7v$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <3A63175A.B392924C@IEEE.org>
<93veu1$kau$2@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <94ps69$sn6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <XX_b6.1208$e5.2952265@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 14:14:34 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980450167 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 14:16:07 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 14:16:07 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14297
```

K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> That pride is not diminished if some other fellow can't beep as fast as
> I can.

Amen.

> I'll bet he has something different to be proud of, like maybe
> the size of that bass he caught last summer, or how well his daughter
> did in her soccer game last night, or how well he sang in the church
> choir last Sunday.

Don't leave the non-Morse-code Amateur accomplishments out it, Hans. I was far prouder of my first QST article than I was of passing my 20 wpm Extra. Those who operate the phone traffic net, or net control the SSB or CW county hunters net can be proud, too. To be more in line with the accomplishment of doing CW proficiently, the phone operator who uses proper operating techniques can be just as proud as you.

And none who have obtained amateur licenses as required by Part 97 and who conduct themselves on the air in compliance with its rules need make any apologies to anyone. In Amateur Radio, there is plenty of pride to go around and many, many ways to find it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -5551709522747650229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 11:41:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-
for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 11:36:38 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3A708046.9978C11B@uci.edu>
References: <3A6DD753.66F79733@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003974@nso-
ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14298
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <3A6DD753.66F79733@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

> >Cecil wrote:
> >> I think the people who want high taxes should pay them and the people
> >> who don't want high taxes should pay less. In other words, tax the
> >> liberals at a higher rate than the conservatives. Then count the
> >> number of liberals. :-)

> >I've often thought exactly the same thing. An excellent idea - and very
> >fair. The only problem is that the liberals don't think THEY should pay
> >more taxes - it's only the OTHER guy who should pay more taxes.

> That's just the way liberals think.

I know.

> So, the next time you see some Environmentalist telling you
> where you can't build a nuclear power plant, tell them to get
> back in their Volvo and go back to their gated residential
> community and play a round of golf -- because unless they
> are living in a cave, wearing a buckhide union suit, and have
> never pissed into porcelin, they can't tell you a damn thing!

Did you hear about the proposed new Southgate, Ca. power plant? Very clean burning gas plant with all the state-of-the-art scrubbers; replaces a huge diesel truck facility currently on the site; reduces the annual tonnage of pollution emmission by a substantial margin; and the people turned out en masse - in the middle of an electrical energy crisis - to shout it down.

I think the reason in this case is the people feel as though their neighborhood, which is economically depressed, is being used as a dumping ground. They just use environmentalism as their excuse not to have the plant in their backyard. The little picture takes on greater importance than the big picture.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -5763378319484310480
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 11:54:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.233.201.34!open-news.pacbell.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "J.Mayner" <not@this.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <Dv%b6.161$7j2.41383@open-news.pacbell.net>
X-Trace:
MTMgTm9BdXRoVXNlciBXZXN0LVJlZ2lvbi1Qb3N0ZXJzIDYzLjIwNi4xNDIuNDIgIFRodSwgMjUg!SmFuIDIwMDEgMTE6NTQ6MTEgUFNU

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@pacbell.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
X-Complaints-To: abuse@pacbell.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 11:54:11 PST
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 11:54:17 -0800
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:23702 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14299

LOL

Jeff

<N8WWM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Illegal keyclowns. Amateurs or cbers you sell illegal stuff I turn you in.
> End of story.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From -7596379993354148 69
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 12:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 19:45:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <94pvor$c5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 38.31.209.146
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 19:45:34 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 38.31.209.146
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkp82
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:23703 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14300

In article <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  N8WWM@yahoo.com wrote:POSTED TO MAKE YOU THINK IT WAS N8, BUT it
aint...
> Illegal keyclowns. Amateurs or cbers you sell illegal stuff I turn
```

you in.
> End of story.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

--
http://members.theglobe.com/keyclownzsuk/EXP_SITE_PERSONAL_2/
http://www.geocities.com/antikeyclown/1mangayboy_and_NeboDweeb.html
http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
http://humor.easynews.com/
http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/dweebrain.jpg

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6116678806533612038
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 12:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 25 Jan 2001 20:09:44 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <94q168$2in$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A707451.5F994DC2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 980453384 2647 149.174.88.254 (25 Jan 2001 20:09:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 25 Jan 2001 20:09:44 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14301

>If tested in court, I do not believe the Morse code requirement
>would stand.

Neither would the theory requirements. Unfortunately, that's not
the issue.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -5372602126750330335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 12:31:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 12:26:07 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3A708BDF.7EFE007E@uci.edu>
References: <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu> <20010124201713.18765.00001209@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14302

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

> >You might try meeting the present requirements as a means of first
> >demonstrating that it is not for personal gain that you make these
> >esteemed and learned recommendations.

> Most of the comments made to the FCC are by people seeking personal gain
> from the result.

I'm not so sure about that. But, I appreciate your support of my suspiscion about Larry's motivations here. ;-)

> The FCC accepts that.

The FCC gives equal credence, presumably, to all comments because they are more or less taking an opinion poll. But personally, I prefer to have experienced people making policy decisions for the Amateur Radio Service. Most hams probably feel the same way or even stronger about that. Also, it is my perception that most people don't like being lectured by newbies in any profession or avocation. Just an observation, Ed.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -1595321342435137498

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 12:31:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 20:31:05 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <3a708c22.1159033@news.mindspring.com>
References: <94i9b7$af1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010123070306.12166.00000956@ng-cd1.aol.com> <94kkd2$ah3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.d7
X-Server-Date: 25 Jan 2001 20:29:15 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14303

On Tue, 23 Jan 2001 19:00:57 GMT, xjim@my-deja.com wrote:

>
>> I have not read much in the press about the failure of emergency
>devices in
>> emergencies. Do we need a media coverup to make this all plausible?
>
>
>Well... My take on the press, is that they don't even know that the
>water is cold until they run into an iceburg, and even then they get
>the color wrong. But that's another story.
>
>The problem with gadgets and emergencies, is that you need a real
>emergency in order to test their efficiency. I have been involved both
>professionaly (LMR) and in RACES (off and on depending on political
>currents) since the Civil Defense days, and currently support US Army
>MARS. The simple gadgets that are highly adaptable, like an 8' Crecent
>wrench, are the most useful when compared to highly technical gadgets
>like uP controlled radios and data terminals. Multi-channel radios and
>programmable radios often present a problem for people who only bring
>them out of the back room twice a year. Even Fire/Police/Rescue people
>may find it difficult to talk to one another even if they have a common
>frequency because they might not be able to find it in their 100
>channel radios.

And morse code using simple equipment makes that a non-issue
because?

>I'm not talking about things that are broken or worthless from the
>start (there are those) Rather I'm talking about things that don't get
>used in real emergencies either because they are not adaptable to the

>emergency at hand, or because the available personnel isn't familiar or
>the equipment isn't intuitive enough.

If the available people aren't familiar with their
gear, then shame on those that had responsibility
for building the preparedness before hand.

>Ham radio operators are supposed to bridge the communications gap in
>emergencies for those who are trained in other areas, by being
>resourceful with both operating and technical skills. One of the most
>common failures I have seen is when the equipment or propagation aren't
>up to the task, or the operator is unprepared to handle signed written
>traffic. We are valuable because we can make use of what survives the
>disaster, not by how well we can raid ham store shelves.

Yet the histroy of contemporary communications by
hams in an emergency is filled with use of modern
equipment as opposed to "what survives". That is
because the equipment that survives is more robust
to begin with (IMHO).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2588953763133995764
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 12:41:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 20:41:15 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.d7
X-Server-Date: 25 Jan 2001 20:41:42 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14304

On 24 Jan 2001 23:26:13 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: xjim@my-deja.com
....

>>If I could only take one radio with me on the
>>road, It would be an HF mobile and it would have CW capability because
>>I know what it is worth. If I could take two, I would add a cell phone.
>
>Depending where I was going, I would choose either a cell phone, an HT or an
>all mode rig. If I felt using the all-mode rig, I would use SSB as my first
>choice; it conserves battery power and in plain language, I can communicate a
>lot of information in a small amount of time.

Well my cellphone fits in my pocket and my HT (Icom T8A) isn't much
bigger. In my vehicle I have a 2m mobile that can hit at least one
repeater almost anywhere I might be able to drive my car.
I see no real value to having an HF rig in the car for emergency
comms. Perhaps I am missing something that isn't being stated?

>If all that failed, I would be glad I know Morse code.
>
>I will add that, as a CW op, if I ran across the 1 wpm SOS from someone using a
>lookup chart, I would stick it out.

The problem is, as you note, "if I ran across the...".
The reality is that there isn't any common HF assigned
emergency frequency that people (hams) might be able
to monitor for that unexpected emergency.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3411697224386482698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 12:47:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 20:47:11 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <3a708f8a.2031547@news.mindspring.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com> <94psgi$t4o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.d7
X-Server-Date: 25 Jan 2001 20:47:42 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14305

On Thu, 25 Jan 2001 18:49:58 GMT, xjim@my-deja.com wrote:

>> The same battery you will use to power the HW-7, or a
>> different battery?
>
>The HW-7 could be operated a long time on any typical laptop battery.
>Typical laptop has a 2-4 hr operating time for the first year or two,
>but only if you keep it charged every other day (they normally draw
>some current even when turned off). The HW-7 and a great many other
>simpler QRP rigs are far less picky about their power supply.

What the HW-7 lacks, however, is any specific frequency
stability/readout. In using the HW-7 you must hope
someone happens to just be tuning across the frequency
you are transmitting.

>The VHF
>marine radio in the life-boat is a good idea, but you will need special
>batteries for these radios for a long shelf life, and they probably
>won't be useful until shortly before someone can hear or see the
>helicopter, and untrained people could have wasted the batteries long
>before someone was in range.

Actually, you could include a solar panel and/or a hand generator
to power it.

>Which brings me back to the training aspect. Much equipment will be
>misused by untrained people, and there is no guarantee that any one
>would pay any heed to a trained person even if they survived the
>incident, but the idea behind Amateur Radio was to provide for a great
>number of trained people, so there would be a greater probability of
>trained people available in the event of emergency.

Agreed.

>There is no excuse
>for disregarding this issue in order to sell gadgets that purport to be
>useful to those who have no experience in their use.

The gadgets can and should be "simple" to use if the intended
user is someone without experience.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6968431473488561833
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 13:00:21 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!btnet-peer!btnet!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: N8WWM@yahoo.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: N8wwm rules the newsgroups and knocks hell out of keyclowns
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 20:52:35 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.240.220.202
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 20:52:35 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/3.0 WebTV/1.2 (compatible; MSIE 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.240.220.202
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDfatandgayn8wwm
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14306

In article <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>,
  Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> >>"Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>
> >>>BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >>> I DISAGREE. I THINK N8 IS JUST HAVING FUN AND PULLING CHAINS BUT, ON
> >>> THE OTHER HAND I REALLY THINK VOOBNER TRIES TO TAKE THIS MESS
> >>> SERIOUSLY, EVEN IF HE IS NOT TAKEN SERIOUSLY BY THE MAJORITY.
>
> >>... This guy obviously works RTTY...   :-)
>
> >Believe it or not he stated in that news group that he does not even hold a
> >Ham license of any type, but is studying to get one.
>
> When I first got online, I typed my messages in all capital letters. I
> guess I thought it was like being on RTTY. I was quickly told that I
> was being impolite (by shouting in net language). I'm glad that
> someone finally took the time to point out this social gaff to me. I
> don't remember his 'first personal' though...     :-)
>

You're all wrong and half of you are FAGGOT ILLEGAL KEYCLOWNS! I NEVER SAID I
WAS STUDYING for anything.


Making illegal keyclowns cry is Fun!


Sent via Deja.com
```

```
http://www.deja.com/


From 4320307675646146833
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 13:10:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Person posting as N8WWM is not him.
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 21:01:47 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <94q47k$4tt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
<94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 38.31.209.12
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 21:01:47 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 38.31.209.12
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkp82
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14307

N8WWM does post to newsgroups, but is not identified by his name or
callsign in his email address.
The individual posting to newsgroups as N8WWM is not him.

Just so you know.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -9869838187704779l3
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,7bcef1705b904361
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 13:10:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Person posting as N8WWM is not him.
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 21:01:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
<94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 38.31.209.12
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 21:01:08 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 38.31.209.12
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkp82
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14308 rec.radio.cb:23723

N8WWM does post to newsgroups, but is not identified by his name or
callsign in his email address.
The individual posting to newsgroups as N8WWM is not him.

Just so you know.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6367649675082714367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,82e911cdf48c6cef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 14:01:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: all the wrong places
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 14:01:40 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A70A244.867D0186@uci.edu>
References: <94nt2a$7ij$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14309

N8WWM@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> I think I was looking for love in all the wrong places. Illegal keyclowns
> said for me to get me elmer in here. I elmered more than they can ever dream.
> Any suggestions fellers? Whats the best way to get back at these illegal
> keyclowns for harming my good name?

Please define this term 'keyclown' that you seem to be so fond of typing.

thanks,

ac6xg

```
From -4103233061129680514
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,7bcef1705b904361
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 14:10:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: N8WWM@yahoo.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: The REAL DEAL
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 22:02:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
<94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.240.221.57
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 22:02:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/3.0 WebTV/1.2 (compatible; MSIE 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.240.221.57
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDfatandgayn8wwm
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14310 rec.radio.cb:23735
```

In article <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com> wrote:
> N8WWM does post to newsgroups, but is not identified by his name or
> callsign in his email address.
> The individual posting to newsgroups as N8WWM is not him.

>
> Just so you know.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

www.kp82.com does not know enough about N8WWM to make this claim. He just
began posting to newsgroups and is not identified by a email address or name.
He has mistakenly been identified several times as me. While we share some
views, I have always posted under my call because I have nothing to hide,
unlike you ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS! Insist on the original.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 2604411930318149548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 14:17:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "igotdapower" <igotdapowa@hone.con>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 22:17:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 980461020 24.180.184.171 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001
14:17:00 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 14:17:00 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:23737 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14311
```

go get a real computer you web-tv geek, trying to hide behind Yahoo..

<N8WWM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Illegal keyclowns. Amateurs or cbers you sell illegal stuff I turn you in.
> End of story.

>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

From 6768259357541802358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 14:21:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 14:18:56 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A70A650.D656BFD8@uci.edu>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
<3a6f8391$0$29999$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A705EC0.D897C447@uci.edu>
<XWZb6.1206$e5.2947234@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14312

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> I don't believe that Larry is going through all of the work of serving on
> the NCI Board because he feels that doing so is easier than learning the
> code.

I'll bet for him it's the easier of the two things. For some people,
complaining comes naturally. But I'm not really this cynical, Ed. Are
you Leroy's little brother, or just his apologist?

73, Jim AC6XG

From -4210794437057967469
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 14:21:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 14:22:34 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A70A72A.DBD5FFEA@uci.edu>
References: <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu> <20010125123823.14777.00001042@nso-
bd.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14313

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Are you saying that everyone must go through the same
> test ritual as you did just because you had to?

If you find that I said that, then you'll know that I said that.
If you don't find it, well, maybe you can figure it out for yourself.

I would make an exception for you, however.

ac6xg



From 7528266423906301213
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,7bcef1705b904361
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 14:30:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: FAKE POSTING BY SOMEONE CLAIMING TO BE N8WWM
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 22:20:15 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
```

```
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
<94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 38.31.210.246
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 22:20:15 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 38.31.210.246
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkp82
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14314 rec.radio.cb:23743

This is not true. N8WWM the poster is NOT N8WWM the person.
To prove this, I propose a test. At QRZ.com there is a page for every
member that you can put personal information on. The REAL N8WWM will
change the page by putting a new first sentence in. Go there now to see
the original page. I challenge the fake n8wwm@my-deja.com or
N8WWM@yahoo.com to do that. Of course it will be impossible. If you
email n8wwm@arrl.net, within 24 hours of 2200Zulu on 1-25-01, the REAL
N8WWM will send you the exact wording of what that first sentence will
be. AFTER 2200Z on 1-26-01 the this address right here will post the
sentence change in the profile on QRZ.
The ONLY valid address for N8WWM that has his callsign in it is
N8WWM@arrl.net. The FAKE N8WWM can't change that.

In article <94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  N8WWM@yahoo.com wrote:
> In article <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > N8WWM does post to newsgroups, but is not identified by his name or
> > callsign in his email address.
> > The individual posting to newsgroups as N8WWM is not him.
> >
> > Just so you know.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> www.kp82.com does not know enough about N8WWM to make this claim. He
just
> began posting to newsgroups and is not identified by a email address
or name.
> He has mistakenly been identified several times as me. While we share
some
> views, I have always posted under my call because I have nothing to
hide,
> unlike you ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS! Insist on the original.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>
```

--
http://members.theglobe.com/keyclownzsuk/EXP_SITE_PERSONAL_2/
http://www.geocities.com/antikeyclown/1mangayboy_and_NeboDweeb.html
http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
http://humor.easynews.com/
http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/dweebrain.jpg

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7360497387508681734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,675761fc83b6daea,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 14:40:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: FAKE N8WWM POSTS
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 22:32:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94q9hi$a39$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 38.31.210.246
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 22:32:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 38.31.210.246
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkp82
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14315

The real N8WWM will change the first sentence in his personal information at QRZ.com 24 hours from now. The FAKE n8wwm@yahoo.com and the FAKE n8wwm@my-deja.com of course cannot know what that change will be.

Email N8WWM@arrl.net to get the exact words that will be inserted into the qrz.com profile. N8WWM will email the exact words to anyone who asks for it within 24 hours of 2200Zulu on 1-25-01. The change will be made on QRZ after that time on 1-26-01. Immediately before the change you will get your email with the text of the new sentence from the address cbersarelosers@my-deja.com.

For some reason, N8WWM's call has been fraudulently "bootlegged" on the internet. Why didn't the moron bootleg an extra class call?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5018692582126554032
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 14:48:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!upp1.onvoy!onvoy.com!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6EEBE0.70A0B241@dvol.com> <20010124201220.18765.00001202@ng-da1.aol.com> <3A703688.B4F869D1@dvol.com> <94pmc6$hhk$0@208.206.142.106>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 138
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <m32c6.1210$e5.2964922@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 17:47:16 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980462930 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 17:48:50 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 17:48:50 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14316

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > You don't, all ya do is piss off the neighbor and get generate a
ham-hater. Like we
> > need more of those. The neighbor is just as much a victim as the ham.
Rightouseness
> > ain't the solution, keeping the crap off the shelves in the first place
is the
> > solution.

>   Exactly,and precisely why the problem will NOT be solved at that
> level, since FCC refused to require manufacturers of Part 15 devices to
> spend the few extra pennies on filtering.

I think you are mixing metaphors here, so to speak, but the point is well made. The FCC does not require consumer equipment manufacturers to include filtering.

Part 15 is another story; the Part 15 rules cover devices that are either intended, or likely, to radiate. The levels that the FCC permits Part 15 devices to radiate are strong enough to cause Amateur Radio problems.

First, incidental emitters, such as power lines, electric motors, etc, have

NO *specific* radiated or conducted emissions limits. They are subject only to the provision that they not cause harmful interference.

Unintentional radiators (computers, etc) do not deliberately generate RF energy, but they deliberately create RF signals for "internal" use. They are subject to conducted emissions limits (ie, how much signal they can put on the power line) on HF, and radiated emissions limits on VHF. The premise is that on HF, the case and intercabling on a computer is not a good antenna, so the energy conducted on the power lines is the determining factor. In practice, cases radiate, and by my calculations, if the residential electrical wiring has about -30 dBi gain, the legal conducted limits are about S5 at a nearby HF dipole 30 meters away on 3.5 MHz. Generally, the manufacturers have to include some filtering. To put it into perspective, the legal limit of 250 microvolts conducted energy is 0.00125 microvolts, generally conducted into a pretty poor antenna -- residential electrical wiring. Compare that to the 50 milliwatts you are permitted to radiate for harmonic or other spurious energy, into a good antenna. The computer system in your neighbors house needs to be be about 76 dB better than your station, before we even consider how much better your antenna works as an antenna than his electrical wiring. Food for thought before we get too critical of the industry.

Intentional radiator are a bigger issue. The concept of filtering doesn't apply there at all -- they are designed to radiate. About S9+15 dB on 80 meters, by my calculation. On 2.4 GHz, things are actually better due to increased path loss, but nowhere near perfect. There have been some NTIA spectral studies that show a distinct rise on the "Part 15" portion of the 2.4 GHz band in urban areas. At this stage, I don't know if it from the legal wireless devices, or if people have added big antennas and amplifiers, raising the noise more than the rules intend. ARRL has a couple of cases of actual interference, both of which are before the FCC.

> When the Pt 15 NPRM was in process I wrote both the FCC and my
> congressperson to insist that more be done to reduce the RFI that was
> sure to come as result of the way it was written. FCC ignored me and
> quickly convinced my congressman that there really was "no problem" with
> it. That was a done deal long ago and won't addressed again.

ARRL did too, with the same effect. And, in one sense, the FCC is right. 99 percent of the Part 15 devices do not cause harmful interference. Unfortunately, 1% of millions of devices is still a lot.

> Ed's work is probably the only effective force in place at all, and it is largely
> educational, as we can see from here. And much of that education is
> pointed at manufacturing engineers who have no clue about ham radio, it
> seems.

Yes, but, as amazing as it is, that education is having an effect. As I said in my lenghty post, the Home Phone Networkiung, VDSL and HomePlug specs all have notches to protect ham radio. It was NOT me alone that did it, but I have no doubt that had ARRL not been involved, it would not have happened that way. There are concerned hams who played pivotal roles on the VDSL committees, HPNA and HomePlug, and it would not have happened without them, either. It is a process of teamwork and cooperation, because under the present rules, that is about the best tool we have available. All good things do NOT come from Newington, CT, and in this area, I will continue to do what I did when I put the RFI Book together, draw on the best of the best of amateur radio to ensure that the end result is what is best for Amateur Radio. Fortunately, among those best are people who are willing to give, helped by others willing to support it. I tell, you, Dick; if you had told me three years ago that if ARRL asked the industry to do the right thing, and they would do so willingly, I would not have believed you. Even I have heard the whirl of the 'copter blades from time to time.

Will it always work out that way. I don't think so, but, IMHO, it was critical to get some successes under our belt, to demonstrate to the FCC that cooperation to avoid harmful interference works, and that it is not the exception. The first industry group that asserts that cooperation is not possible is going to have some powerful examples tossed at them. And, btw, the industries involved here are giants -- Lucent, Microsoft and the like are the key players on all these committees. The ARRL RFI Task Group meeting was hosted at Lucent, by Steve Strauss, a Lucent engineer and a member of the ARRL RFI Task Group.

> Whatta scheme.

I'm not sure how much of a scheme. In some cases, the right Part 15 sharing partner can be an asset. Remote control and similar devices often operate legally on 433.92 MHz. They typically transmit a few milliseconds every few minutes. That part of the band is already "occupied" by devices with a range of a few hundred feet at best, with signals that will sound like a fast dit to us. Harmful interference? The FCC probably would not think so. Will other, more harmful, Part 15 devices be likely to occupy this part of the band? Probably not. How about 2.4 GHz and the Bluetooth threat? Those devices, short range, will be around in the millions in a few years. Can we justify not allowing them there based on occupancy of 2.4 GHz? Probably not. Can hams adopt these spread-spectrum devices and fight fire with fire, using broadband technology to make use of that part of the band occupied by broadband technology? Sounds like it; maybe that is where some of our future is.

I don't have all the answers, Dick, but I am taking the support of people like you and me (I pay dues, too, just like you) and using it to keep at it. Each committee meeting I attend costs about $1,000 or so. Factoring in the expense of producing QST, I estimate that about 50 people are members to send me to one. Man, I hope that it is MY membership that pays for the ext -- sounds a lot more glamorous than helping to pay the heating bill. You and I both disagree with ARRL on issues like Morse code testing, in opposite directions, I am sure. But THIS sort of thing is what is really important. Little LEO threats and ground-imaging radar threats on 70 and a host of similar ones to come down the pike are going to make us or break us. I gotta' say, Dick, that as far as I am concerned, any one of these justified my dues dollars for 2001, increase and all. And I get QST, the Web and such besides.

So when you do what I do; re-up in spite of some differences of opinion, let me know. I will personally thank a League member for helping to make it happen.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 6735034166815707932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,7bcef1705b904361
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 14:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: fatandgayn8wwm@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: REAL POSTING AND THE FINAL WORD
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 22:36:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <94q9oi$a7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
<94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.240.220.194
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 22:36:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/3.0 WebTV/1.2 (compatible; MSIE 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.240.220.194
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDfatandgayn8wwm
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14317 rec.radio.cb:23747
```

In article <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com> wrote:

> This is not true. N8WWM the poster is NOT N8WWM the person.
> To prove this, I propose a test. At QRZ.com there is a page for every
> member that you can put personal information on. The REAL N8WWM will
> change the page by putting a new first sentence in. Go there now to see
> the original page. I challenge the fake n8wwm@my-deja.com or
> N8WWM@yahoo.com to do that. Of course it will be impossible. If you
> email n8wwm@arrl.net, within 24 hours of 2200Zulu on 1-25-01, the REAL
> N8WWM will send you the exact wording of what that first sentence will

```
> be. AFTER 2200Z on 1-26-01 the this address right here will post the
> sentence change in the profile on QRZ.
> The ONLY valid address for N8WWM that has his callsign in it is
> N8WWM@arrl.net. The FAKE N8WWM can't change that.
>
> In article <94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   N8WWM@yahoo.com wrote:
> > In article <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > N8WWM does post to newsgroups, but is not identified by his name or
> > > callsign in his email address.
> > > The individual posting to newsgroups as N8WWM is not him.
> > >
> > > Just so you know.
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> > >
> >
> > www.kp82.com does not know enough about N8WWM to make this claim. He
> just
> > began posting to newsgroups and is not identified by a email address
> or name.
> > He has mistakenly been identified several times as me. While we share
> some
> > views, I have always posted under my call because I have nothing to
> hide,
> > unlike you ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS! Insist on the original.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> --
> http://members.theglobe.com/keyclownzsuk/EXP_SITE_PERSONAL_2/
> http://www.geocities.com/antikeyclown/1mangayboy_and_NeboDweeb.html
> http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
> http://humor.easynews.com/
> http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/dweebrain.jpg
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

No longer neccessary doug. I concede. I made my point. Just had to show
someone in here that doubted who you were. Had to prove it. I'm gone!


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 71279711126114245
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,7bcef1705b904361
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 15:00:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Timmy, go play with Lassie
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 22:45:21 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <94qa9t$aq9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com> <3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com> <3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com> <t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com> <94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q9oi$a7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 38.31.210.246
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 22:45:21 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 38.31.210.246
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkp82
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14319 rec.radio.cb:23759

Who said anything about what who N8WWM is or is not?
You should KNOW who you are talking to before you accuse.
Face it sissy, You cannot do anything to the anti-keyclown faction
without getting busted!
There's grown men here, sonny...go play with your puppies!
> > http://members.theglobe.com/keyclownzsuk/EXP_SITE_PERSONAL_2/
> > http://www.geocities.com/antikeyclown/1mangayboy_and_NeboDweeb.html
> > http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
> > http://humor.easynews.com/
> > http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/dweebrain.jpg
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> No longer neccessary doug. I concede. I made my point. Just had to
show
> someone in here that doubted who you were. Had to prove it. I'm gone!
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

>

--
http://members.theglobe.com/keyclownzsuk/EXP_SITE_PERSONAL_2/
http://www.geocities.com/antikeyclown/1mangayboy_and_NeboDweeb.html
http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
http://humor.easynews.com/
http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/dweebrain.jpg

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1609524213234240072
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 15:00:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Timmy, go play with Lassie
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 22:45:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <94qab3$ar1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
<94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94q9oi$a7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 38.31.210.246
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Jan 25 22:45:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 38.31.210.246
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkp82
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14320

Who said anything about what who N8WWM is or is not?
You should KNOW who you are talking to before you accuse.
Face it sissy, You cannot do anything to the anti-keyclown faction
without getting busted!
There's grown men here, sonny...go play with your puppies!
> > http://members.theglobe.com/keyclownzsuk/EXP_SITE_PERSONAL_2/
> > http://www.geocities.com/antikeyclown/1mangayboy_and_NeboDweeb.html
> > http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html

```
> > http://humor.easynews.com/
> > http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/dweebrain.jpg
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> No longer neccessary doug. I concede. I made my point. Just had to
show
> someone in here that doubted who you were. Had to prove it. I'm gone!
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

--
http://members.theglobe.com/keyclownzsuk/EXP_SITE_PERSONAL_2/
http://www.geocities.com/antikeyclown/1mangayboy_and_NeboDweeb.html
http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
http://humor.easynews.com/
http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/dweebrain.jpg



Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -8779590630013830575
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 15:17:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
<3a6f8391$0$29999$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A705EC0.D897C447@uci.edu>
<XWZb6.1206$e5.2947234@news.ntplx.net> <3A70A650.D656BFD8@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Eu2c6.1214$e5.2967081@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 18:16:22 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980464676 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 18:17:56 EST)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 18:17:56 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14321

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> I'll bet for him it's the easier of the two things. For some people,
> complaining comes naturally. But I'm not really this cynical, Ed. Are
> you Leroy's little brother, or just his apologist?

Who is Leroy?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3226093556007044939
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 15:27:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6F79C7.166FB123@dvol.com> <20010124202806.18765.00001213@ng-da1.aol.com> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10101250222110.6999-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Subject: Re: Top 10 Problems facing Amteur Radio? (was Re: ARRL code testresolution)
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <iD2c6.1215$e5.2967591@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 18:25:36 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980465230 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001 18:27:10 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 18:27:10 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14322

D. Stussy <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

> 1) Apathy
> 2) Anarchy.

Let's add to it:

3. Spectrum threats

4. Spectrum sharing - (Part 15)
5. CC&Rs
6. Zoning restrictions
7. RFI to consumer electronics
8. Declining numbers (possible after the NC Tech's start expiring)
9. Insufficient Elmering of newcomers
10. Unresolved repeater coordination issues
11. Amateurs losing ground relative to changes in technology
12. Rising noise levels
13. Inadequacies in the content of written examinations

Somehow, I still wouldn't put "Lack of sufficient Morse code testing" anywhere except the bottom of this list. Others' mileage may vary, but I would strongly disagree with anyone who put "Lack of sufficient Morse code testing" near the top.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3417901432110935545
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 15:41:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!cyclone-pass-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: n4jzh@knology.net (Donnie)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Message-ID: <3a70b680.42107526@news.knology.net>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 18:51:49 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 23:28:22 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:23775 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14323

On Thu, 25 Jan 2001 17:38:12 GMT, N8WWM@yahoo.com wrote:

>Illegal keyclowns. Amateurs or cbers you sell illegal stuff I turn you in.

>End of story.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/
 Shmuck! PERIOD.....

From -4386853843944122880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 15:41:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 15:40:54 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A70B986.E6CA291D@uci.edu>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
<3a6f8391$0$29999$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A705EC0.D897C447@uci.edu>
<XWZb6.1206$e5.2947234@news.ntplx.net> <3A70A650.D656BFD8@uci.edu>
<Eu2c6.1214$e5.2967081@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14324

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> Who is Leroy?

He's the guy with the same callsign as Larry.

73, ac6xg

From 3111632185729493783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 16:30:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!

```
newsfeed.germany.net!news.tele.dk!134.222.94.5!npeer.kpnqwest.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The knuckle head here is.....
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 00:16:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <94qfki$fma$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com> <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177>
<3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org> <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169>
<94eusj$ih6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94fnu4$sqi$1@208.207.71.164>
<94ifpf$gmc$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94kbfe$mhj$1@208.207.71.163>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.66
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 00:16:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.66
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14325

In article <94kbfe$mhj$1@208.207.71.163>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Hopefully I won't
> > suffer the kind of brain damage you did as a beginner.
>
> I should've remembered that all that bashing your head against walls
> and knashing your teeth over a simple code test did it to you long,
> long ago.

Are you calling me a long time ham?

Dick, abused hams grow up to abuse the ham family.  Go get some
counseling.  Seriously.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -5811123664923175410
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 16:53:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

```
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <1hi17tcc2uaa2hsqdh38odr83agat86p8c@4ax.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 00:53:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.154
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980470395 209.86.205.154 (Thu, 25 Jan
2001 16:53:15 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 16:53:15 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14326
```

billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:
>In my vehicle I have a 2m mobile that can hit at least one
>repeater almost anywhere I might be able to drive my car.

If you want to remove "almost anywhere" from your sentence, you will need an HF mobile. Murphy might strike when you're "almost anywhere"...

>I see no real value to having an HF rig in the car for emergency
>comms. Perhaps I am missing something that isn't being stated?

It depends on where you plan to go. Stay on the interstates and in the cities and a cell phone should do it. However when I'm heading across the Navajo Nation on my way to Colorado, the HF mobile is comforting...

>The reality is that there isn't any common HF assigned
>emergency frequency that people (hams) might be able
>to monitor for that unexpected emergency.

That's what the tuning knob is for. Just find a QSO and break in. Most hams will be happy to make that emergency call for you...

```
From -3868479774274849058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 16:59:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!enews.sgi.com!
```

```
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "BigPoppaPump" <igotdapowa@hone.con>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <4_3c6.6940$49.675451@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 00:59:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 980470784 24.180.184.171 (Thu, 25 Jan 2001
16:59:44 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 16:59:44 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:23787 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14327

eat shit and die i can reboot  and viola Linux , your not the only one who
uses it.

"igotdapower" <igotdapowa@hone.con> wrote in message
news:wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> go get a real computer you web-tv geek, trying to hide behind Yahoo..
>
>
> <N8WWM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Illegal keyclowns. Amateurs or cbers you sell illegal stuff I turn you
in.
> > End of story.
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>




From -3167398876464205207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 17:30:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news.tele.dk!212.74.64.35!colt.net!
newspeer.clara.net!news.clara.net!newsfeed.icl.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
```

From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 01:20:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <94qjbt$iod$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
<3a6f8391$0$29999$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A705EC0.D897C447@uci.edu>
<XWZb6.1206$e5.2947234@news.ntplx.net> <3A70A650.D656BFD8@uci.edu>
<Eu2c6.1214$e5.2967081@news.ntplx.net> <3A70B986.E6CA291D@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.17.75
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 01:20:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.160.17.75
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14328

In article <3A70B986.E6CA291D@uci.edu>,
Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> > Who is Leroy?
>
> He's the guy with the same callsign as Larry.
>

Leroy is K3LT?

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3623244778877625929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 17:51:38 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 17:43:54 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A70D65A.87BF046A@uci.edu>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
<3a6f8391$0$29999$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A705EC0.D897C447@uci.edu>
<XWZb6.1206$e5.2947234@news.ntplx.net> <3A70A650.D656BFD8@uci.edu>
<Eu2c6.1214$e5.2967081@news.ntplx.net> <3A70B986.E6CA291D@uci.edu>
<94qjbt$iod$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14329



K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <3A70B986.E6CA291D@uci.edu>,
>   Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> > "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> > > Who is Leroy?

> > He's the guy with the same callsign as Larry.

> Leroy is K3LT?

:-)

Klose, but no cigar.

ac6xg


From 8274812921174850017
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 18:10:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
```

news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 114
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Jan 2001 02:09:40 GMT
References: <3A6FD87E.404055D1@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
Message-ID: <20010125210940.22779.00000963@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14331

In article <3A6FD87E.404055D1@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3A6E560F.6F7E7E4A@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>>
>> >> You have done more than that, especially when not receiving
>> >> any praise over the "clever" use of CW as an order-wire for
>> >> a State Department FSK TTY circuit. :-)
>> >
>> >I don't know whether you are not being forthright or are just forgetful
>> >in your old age. I did not seek any praise.
>>
>> Sure you sought praise. You thought it was a clever idea...of yours.
>
>Now you may be providing some ammunition for Steve's claims regarding
>truthfulness. I never made the claim that it was my idea nor did I seek
>praise. I pointed to it to U.S. Government use of morse. You as much
>as called me a liar over the issue.

Ahem...you pointed a finger back at yourself and then at the
State Department for "cleverness."

To switch over to CW for an order-wire or command context
from FSK is a *dumb* idea. Dumb technically, dumb
operationally.

>I made no such claim regarding my expertise. Do you know what a person
>who tells untruths is called?

Now, now, you are starting to sound like your buddy, the
USMC reject.

Dave, I called the procedure DUMB. Other folks in
communications have already established the methods
decades before you. You are free to call their methods
"wrong" but it is up to you to show why in order to verify
your claims.

>> Technically, switching over to CW from FSK TTY should be
>> possible but it is a most odd sort of thing to use as an
>> order-wire (a command circuit for radio circuit purposes).
>
>We didn't switch back and forth, Len. We used CW on one frequency and
>ran the TTY circuit on a different pair.

Ah, so the story CHANGES! Now, looking at it all in operation
and considering the methods, why does this CW-on-a-different-
frequency for an order-wire have more advantages than having
the circuit commands sent over the TTY circuit?

>I stated that CW was used to arrange QSYs, openings and closings of the
>TTY circuit. It was also necessary to confirm crypto used if that is
>the "synchronization" to which you refer.

I have to admit you might have me there, Dave. I've only been
involved with three different crypto methods of TTY, all over
military circuits, between 1953 and 1989. Without revealing
anything like a state secret or violating national defense, it is
safe to reveal that all of those military circuits self-synchronized.

No doubt State had another crypto system.

I might mention that secure Army field communications using
crypto digital voice do synchronization in real-time. There is
no need for any auxilliary "second channel" to "confirm" any
crypto settings. Such has been operational as the SINCGARS
family since 1988. It's also capable of frequency hopping.

>> I said it was a dumb idea if you did it that way. I still think so.
>
>It is obvious that you did not and do not understand what was stated.

Given a lot of text it is possible to "read between the lines" of
what a person writes. In the above case there seems to have
been some omissions of details. It's very hard to fill in details
by trying to read between lines there...

>I'll leave it to you to figure out the necessity for such operation.
>You were not a State Department communicator any more than you're a
>radio amateur.

Sorry, Dave, you will either have to explain your activity or just
drop the subject. While my only contact with State was a
quick time on RCA's RACES machine (State had two of them
for message storage-retrieval), you ignore that I've had some
thorough experience with HF communications and did it with
operating methods well worked out by others. Also on VLF,
LF, MF, VHF, UHF, and a few microwave bands.

I make no claims of expertise in communications methods, technically or operationally. I can analyze and reason the why and wherefore of how things are done and observe that methodology of operation in communications done by others before me.

There are few US radio amateurs who have any direct experience with either State Department methods or crypto communications. While I can understand your mentioning such items in close proximity in the same message, they are bogus for trying to make your case. [US radio amateurs are forbidden to use systems which obscure their communications...it's in the regulations]

The FCC issues US amateur radio licenses, Dave, not the US Department of State. You let me know if that changes, okay? Oh, and the FCC does not require any Commissioners or staff to hold amateur radio licenses in order to regulate US amateur radio or issue amateur licenses. Let me know if that changes, too, please?

didit

From -631570285930254745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 18:10:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.icl.net!
newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 115
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Jan 2001 02:09:38 GMT
References: <3A703743.FF0CF160@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010125210938.22779.00000962@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14330

In article <3A703743.FF0CF160@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>> Then you haven't used anything with more than 20 db dynamic
>> range. I agree that the old-style 1940s Panadaptor wasn't much
>> good with its semi-linear (not logarithmic) vertical display.
>
>The utility in using a spectrum analyzer for ham receiving purposes is
>usually to

>detect the existence of a signal, not to accurately measure it's amplitude or
>frequency. Junk analyzers work fine in this kind of service, some being
>better than others.

If all you have is junk equipment, then all you do is junk operation.

Junk spectrum analyzers existed as ready-made equipment for a long time. Their dynamic range never got beyond 40 db and the accuracy, to be kind, was seldom better than +/- 5 db on a log scale, if you were able to get one with a log scale.

>When I need to get serious about spectrum analyzers for machine
>vibration work I wheel out a $5-6k calibrated spectrum analyzer. Bruel &
>Kjar makes one which cost $25,000 a few years ago. There are spectrum
>analyzers and there are spectrum analyzers.

Back 20 years ago one of the semiconductor makers had a kit containing a many-bit A/D and a pre-programmed microprocessor. It was an audio range spectrum analyzer whose output could be displayed on any oscilloscope. Was better than 30 db in dynamic range and covered DC to about 20 KHz. Rocketdyne Instrumentation Lab got one and it worked very well. While considered a curiosity back then...it used FFT techniques to do the transform from amplitude-time to amplitude-frequency...it was a precursor to what now exists in consumer electronics music systems selling for less than $200 for the whole music system.

Navies of the world that use sonar have a form of such a spectrum analyzer (FFT for analysis of the sonar signals) for over 30 years. The same goes for some Electronic Warfare systems for good discrimination among several signals. Those are also spectrum analyzers.

I'm not talking about an all-purpose instrument with laboratory quality and accuracy. That's not needed in this discussion.

>> the signals within sweepwidth settings are visible. 50 or 100
>> KHz sweep width no problem to see CW or any other kind of
>> modulation on those incoming signals. Everything is on the
>> display at once.
>
>Understood, I've seen good spectrum analyzers (vs. HO-10s) used to present
>band
>displays. On HF they're close to useless because of the junk and noise on any
>open band for one. In a contest all you see is one monster 50-75Khz wide
>spike.

Then the analyzer's bandwidth is way too wide. In all spectral analysis there is an optimum bandwidth, sweep rate, and band span of sweep tuning. That's been established decades ago. In "modern" (less than 2 decades old) lab spectrum analyzers, the selection has be hard-wired into the panel controls.

A spectrum analyzer is simply a receiver with automatic sweep
tuning and display on a 'scope instead of aural output. The
bandwidth can be as narrow as 10 Hz to show just about
everything or as wide as 10 KHz to show "everything in a 50
to 70 KHz" span as one large spike. Attention to skirt
selectivity is a must, more critical than with an aural output
receiver...and related to the dynamic range.

>Spectrum analyzers simply are not a useful enough operating aid to bother
>with.
>One of the high-end Icom xcvrs has a pretty decent built-in analyzer but
>nobody uses it on an ongoing basis.

Now, now, Icom has been selling high-end models with built-in
spectrum analyzers for several years. The IC-756PRO is $3600
and the IC-R9000L (receiver only) is $9500. Someone is buying
them...

One reason spectrum analyzers are "simply not useful enough" is
the lack of dynamic range. If it has only 20 db range, it might as
well be a linear display. That range is useless. A range of 40 db
is better but still inadequate for practicality. 60 db is much
better but analyzer internal bandwidth filters start needing brick-wall selectivity. 80 db is an optimum dynamic range in trading off
internal filter selectivity, logarithmic IF and detector range, and
everything else. You can relate the dynamic range to S units if
you like...80 db is practically the entire range of an S meter.

>The proof of the pudding is that if spectrum
>analyzers had any particular value as a receiving aid the things would be on
>every operating desk.

Heh, heh, heh...if morse keys had any particular value, every
radio amateur would have one on their operating desk... :-)

>I don't know of a single big-gun HF weak signal wonk who
>uses a spectrum analyzer and I kow a bunch of those.

...Hmmm...I guess that is supposed to settle that?   :-)

>> Sound interesting?   :-)
>
>Write the article.

I'm not. I know of two such projects already in the works,
both for amateur radio use. One is upstate California, the
other one is local to me. I'm in an advisory capacity in the
group down here. It simply wouldn't do to have some non-ham be in the byline of such an article, would it?   :-)

didit

```
From 317657131581326130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 18:20:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 02:06:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <94qm3a$l3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com>
<1hi17tcc2uaa2hsqdh38odr83agat86p8c@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.56
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 02:06:38 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.56
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14332

In article <1hi17tcc2uaa2hsqdh38odr83agat86p8c@4ax.com>,
  Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
> billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:
> >In my vehicle I have a 2m mobile that can hit at least one
> >repeater almost anywhere I might be able to drive my car.
>
> If you want to remove "almost anywhere" from your sentence, you will
> need an HF mobile. Murphy might strike when you're "almost
> anywhere"...

Is this the K3LT "broken leg and the wife is screaming" scenario?  HF
always gets through?  Or is it CW always gets through?

Weren't you on the other side of this argument last time?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -4254341677513442237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 18:22:05 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 02:11:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <94qmbm$l7r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com> <1hi17tcc2uaa2hsqdh38odr83agat86p8c@4ax.com> <94qm3a$l3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.38.218
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 02:11:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.160.38.218
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14333

In article <94qm3a$l3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Weren't you on the other side of this argument last time?

Boy, and he calls *ME* a jerk!

73, Hans, K0HB

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 2341680632265662205
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 18:40:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: 20kw <20kw@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 02:33:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <94qnla$mgf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9264hr$mt3$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20001225080400.06154.00002210@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <927k6q$kus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94g9q6$kvf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94gvd8$56n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94hkq7$mbo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94kc8v$2bh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <Owjb6.1124$e5.2796228@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.102.250.36
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 02:33:14 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98; AIRF)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.102.250.36
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUID20kw
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14334

In article <Owjb6.1124$e5.2796228@news.ntplx.net>,
  "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> <Steven J Robeson>; K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote
>
> >      Of course, I am not the only one who challenges
Lennies "facts",
> > and I know that it requires no further re-posts of that already
> > stated.  But if you insist.....
>
> Do you think that Len believes that what he says is untrue?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

what a bunch of shit from a spinless wimp. this fool agrees with
everything. dont ya ever get a thout of your oqn eddie?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/
```

```
From -8602385157739158519
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 18:46:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!news1.giganews.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 20:46:10 CST
Organization: Giganews.Com - Premium News Outsourcing
X-Trace: sv2-GMcHb0n2k3iaX5a/
yV7g11QtJvZnOVBubDCtPu3GqSq8axTw2olvSPEArffEfn7TTd26cvhMuECriGm!u2C1VX7/cZrIJ/
kF1IgAePyhTg5i
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 02:46:11 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:23805 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14335
```

And what would you call a "real OS"?

Toll Free

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston.net> wrote in message
news:94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net...

```
>
> On Thu, 25 Jan 2001 22:17:00 GMT, igotdapower <igotdapowa@hone.con> wrote:
> : X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
> : X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
> : X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
> :
> : go get a real computer you web-tv geek, trying to hide behind Yahoo..
>
> Go get a real OS before daring to criticize others, you mindless minion
> of Microsoft mediocrity.
```

```
From 2155128083990070573
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 19:04:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <e9q17tcdqvh852p8pkg5gajgtmqst2pfa7@4ax.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com>
<1hi17tcc2uaa2hsqdh38odr83agat86p8c@4ax.com> <94qm3a$l3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 03:04:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.91
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980478279 209.86.204.91 (Thu, 25 Jan
2001 19:04:39 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 19:04:39 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14336
```

Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>Is this the K3LT "broken leg and the wife is screaming" scenario?

No scenario, just a statement of fact/opinion... :-)

>HF always gets through?

HF SSB is the best *all around/all location* mobile rig for personal emergencies while traveling in a vehicle. There are few places that you can go where you cannot find a contact for any possible emergency communications. The same cannot be said for VHF/UHF...

>Or is it CW always gets through?

While I normally work 100% CW when I'm HF mobile, I always carry a mike in case of a personal emergency. IMO CW is the least effective tool for any emergency...

>Weren't you on the other side of this argument last time?

I think you will find this consistent with what
I have said in the past...

```
From 837467675925519943
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 19:04:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: 26 Jan 2001 03:04:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <94qpg9$lks$0@208.206.142.92>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
<3a6f8391$0$29999$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A705EC0.D897C447@uci.edu>
<XWZb6.1206$e5.2947234@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14337



"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>
> > > Are saying that person petitioning his government to change a regulation
> > > that he believes is not in conformity with law and not in the public
> > > interest has no standing to file that petition?
>
> > Not really.  It's perhaps more of a credibility issue.
>
> I don't believe that Larry is going through all of the work of serving on
> the NCI Board because he feels that doing so is easier than learning the
> code.


No, it's an inflated sense of selfpity.


From -3476972688945047227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 19:12:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 26 Jan 2001 03:12:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <94qpvq$nbk$0@208.206.142.92>
References: <93toh7$9kp$0@208.207.71.158>
<18645-3A62729D-189@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<93u7qf$u7v$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <3A63175A.B392924C@IEEE.org>
<93veu1$kau$2@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <94ps69$sn6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16438
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14338

K0HB wrote:

> "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
> eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
> to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
> Morse."

Me too - so long as you have a code speed.

From 5434514489034061696
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 19:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 26 Jan 2001 03:34:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <94qr7b$qdl$0@208.206.142.92>

References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14339

Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> The problem is, as you note, "if I ran across the...".
> The reality is that there isn't any common HF assigned
> emergency frequency that people (hams) might be able
> to monitor for that unexpected emergency.

OF COURSE there is! Every state has at least one emergency frequency.
As always, you naturally assume that no one on a SSB frequency would
ever have any reason to use CW instead of SSB.

From -1435991381885038465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7237b0547d9f056c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 20:02:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: The Real Bill Clinton Legacy
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 23:02:34 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3A70F6B0.107511F7@sccoast.net>
References: <3A67DAD1.161C45F2@sccoast.net> <94cmv5$u2h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.58
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 980481721 133504 207.144.64.58 (26 Jan 2001 04:02:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 04:02:01 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 (Macintosh; I; PPC)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14340
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11500

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> Very well put and accurate. I just heard
> the former President tell everyone how much
> good they had done. And that just because
> they were no longer in political power, that
> was not a reason to stop doing what they did
> for the last 8 years. Hmmmmmm, where's Monica?

Forget Monica. Did you see Bill's eyes light up, and the grin on his face, when he saw those cheerleaders during his farewell ceremony? Heck, I thought he was going to get up and start chasing them right there.

Look, I'm all for enjoying the view of a nice looking woman (and, even more, the pleasure of a nice woman), but that man really needs to learn that there is a place and a time for all this (the oval office is not that place and a farewell ceremony is not the time).

> I am holding out hope that after the American
> public saw the demoncrats in action in Florida,
> they will put an end to this feel good crap once
> and for all.

I guess we all have impossible hopes and dreams. ;-)

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2451862399799639844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 20:03:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The knuckle head here is.....
Date: 26 Jan 2001 04:03:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94qsuv$t7v$0@208.206.142.92>
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>

```
<20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com> <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177>
<3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org> <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169>
<94eusj$ih6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94fnu4$sqi$1@208.207.71.164>
<94ifpf$gmc$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94kbfe$mhj$1@208.207.71.163>
<94qfki$fma$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14341



Brian wrote:
>
> In article <94kbfe$mhj$1@208.207.71.163>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Hopefully I won't
> > > suffer the kind of brain damage you did as a beginner.
> >
> > I should've remembered that all that bashing your head against walls
> > and knashing your teeth over a simple code test did it to you long,
> > long ago.
>
> Are you calling me a long time ham?
>
> Dick, abused hams grow up to abuse the ham family.  Go get some
> counseling.  Seriously.


 Suture self, Doc.


From -4564867191900545278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 20:26:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 25 Jan 2001 22:16:27 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
```

```
Message-ID: <3A70FA1B.EE10EE27@IEEE.org>
References: <3A707451.5F994DC2@IEEE.org> <94q168$2in$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 26 Jan 2001 04:26:33 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14342

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >If tested in court, I do not believe the Morse code requirement
> >would stand.
>
> Neither would the theory requirements. Unfortunately, that's not
> the issue.

Someone reported that the Morse code requirement was actually tested
in court and fell to the ADA. I think a rules/regulations requirement
would stand up in court.

Hope this is not a double posting. My newsreader screwed up.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 4633847014255782127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 20:59:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: 26 Jan 2001 04:59:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 163
Message-ID: <94r07l$9gq$0@208.206.142.92>
References: <3A6EEBE0.70A0B241@dvol.com> <20010124201220.18765.00001202@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3A703688.B4F869D1@dvol.com> <94pmc6$hhk$0@208.206.142.106>
<m32c6.1210$e5.2964922@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14343
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> I think you are mixing metaphors here, so to speak, but the point is well
> made. The FCC does not require consumer equipment manufacturers to include
> filtering.

Indeed I did miz intentional and unintentional radiators. It's the
unintentionals that need the missing although cheap filters.

> Part 15 is another story;

>snip good info<

> > When the Pt 15 NPRM was in process I wrote both the FCC and my
> > congressperson to insist that more be done to reduce the RFI that was
> > sure to come as result of the way it was written. FCC ignored me and
> > quickly convinced my congressman that there really was "no problem" with
> > it. That was a done deal long ago and won't addressed again.
>
> ARRL did too, with the same effect. And, in one sense, the FCC is right.
> 99 percent of the Part 15 devices do not cause harmful interference.
> Unfortunately, 1% of millions of devices is still a lot.

Problem is that no one knows who may do what to whom or how. The
potential is ubiquitous. Not until interference shows up and detective
work tracks it down and ID's it can the beginnings of a solution take
place. Lame plan. The stuff is likely to be any and anywhere.

>
> > Ed's work is probably the only effective force in place at all, and it is
> largely
> > educational, as we can see from here. And much of that education is
> > pointed at manufacturing engineers who have no clue about ham radio, it
> > seems.
>
> Yes, but, as amazing as it is, that education is having an effect. As I
> said in my lenghty post, the Home Phone Networkiung, VDSL and HomePlug specs
> all have notches to protect ham radio. It was NOT me alone that did it, but
> I have no doubt that had ARRL not been involved, it would not have happened
> that way. There are concerned hams who played pivotal roles on the VDSL
> committees, HPNA and HomePlug, and it would not have happened without them,
> either. It is a process of teamwork and cooperation, because under the
> present rules, that is about the best tool we have available.

Yeah I know, but you weren't tagged the "point man" without reason.

All good
> things do NOT come from Newington, CT, and in this area, I will continue to
> do what I did when I put the RFI Book together, draw on the best of the best
> of amateur radio to ensure that the end result is what is best for Amateur
> Radio. Fortunately, among those best are people who are willing to give,
> helped by others willing to support it. I tell, you, Dick; if you had told
> me three years ago that if ARRL asked the industry to do the right thing,
> and they would do so willingly, I would not have believed you. Even I have
> heard the whirl of the 'copter blades from time to time.

See, those loud choppy noises do some good after all!

> Will it always work out that way. I don't think so, but, IMHO, it was
> critical to get some successes under our belt, to demonstrate to the FCC
> that cooperation to avoid harmful interference works, and that it is not the
> exception. The first industry group that asserts that cooperation is not
> possible is going to have some powerful examples tossed at them. And, btw,
> the industries involved here are giants -- Lucent, Microsoft and the like
> are the key players on all these committees. The ARRL RFI Task Group
> meeting was hosted at Lucent, by Steve Strauss, a Lucent engineer and a
> member of the ARRL RFI Task Group.

IMO this sort of activity is one of the most critical functions of
ARRL. If they don't do it it most likely won't get done. I'm sure there
are other similar but less sensational, such as CCCR's. When you gonna
go to work with the same effectiveness on that one?

> In some cases, the right Part 15 sharing
> partner can be an asset. Remote control and similar devices often operate
> legally on 433.92 MHz. They typically transmit a few milliseconds every few
> minutes. That part of the band is already "occupied" by devices with a
> range of a few hundred feet at best, with signals that will sound like a
> fast dit to us. Harmful interference? The FCC probably would not think so.
> Will other, more harmful, Part 15 devices be likely to occupy this part of
> the band? Probably not. How about 2.4 GHz and the Bluetooth threat? Those
> devices, short range, will be around in the millions in a few years. Can we
> justify not allowing them there based on occupancy of 2.4 GHz? Probably not.
> Can hams adopt these spread-spectrum devices and fight fire with fire, using
> broadband technology to make use of that part of the band occupied by
> broadband technology? Sounds like it; maybe that is where some of our future
> is.

Goood analysis, should be read by every ham.

> I don't have all the answers, Dick

But you have a very good grip on the demensions of the problem ,from
which the answers derive. Most important.

but I am taking the support of people
> like you and me (I pay dues, too, just like you) and using it to keep at it.
> Each committee meeting I attend costs about $1,000 or so. Factoring in the
> expense of producing QST, I estimate that about 50 people are members to
> send me to one. Man, I hope that it is MY membership that pays for the
> ext -- sounds a lot more glamorous than helping to pay the heating bill.
> You and I both disagree with ARRL on issues like Morse code testing, in
> opposite directions, I am sure.

My disappointment is not so much with either the ARRL nor FCC, but with
hams who won't recognize the obvious - that there is a communications
advantage in every ham being able to communicate via radiotelegraphy.

But THIS sort of thing is what is really
> important. Little LEO threats and ground-imaging radar threats on 70 and a
> host of similar ones to come down the pike are going to make us or break us.
> I gotta' say, Dick, that as far as I am concerned, any one of these
> justified my dues dollars for 2001, increase and all. And I get QST, the Web
> and such besides.
>
> So when you do what I do; re-up in spite of some differences of opinion, let
> me know. I will personally thank a League member for helping to make it
> happen.

When I re-up it'll be due to the likes of you doing the work you do so
well. A pat on the back is well deserved. And noted, as a definite
valuable plus to continued membership. Right now I'm leaning in that
direction. I got a renewal notice in the mail today. The three year
membership looks to be a bargain what with the increase.

Now for a small proposal. Send me one of your "scratch and dent"
Handbooks and I'll use it to formulate at least 10 new questions,
following the format of those you posted the other day, to submit for
consideration by the QPC. Also, I will send to you, by return mail, a
copy of Robert L. Shrader's book
"Electronic Communication", fourth edition, for disposal as you see fit.
It's not new but is in very good condition, suitable for a library most

anywhere, I think. I ran across and bought a nice copy that I couldn't allow to remain derelict and I already had one.

If I find it not too time consuming I just might do more than ten questions.

From -5207905805097640641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 21:50:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 05:39:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <94r2i4$v3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<4_3c6.6940$49.675451@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.191.171.105
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 05:39:16 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95; 3COM U.S.
Robotics)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 208.191.171.105
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDcurious_dan
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:23830 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14344

In article <4_3c6.6940$49.675451@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>,
"BigPoppaPump" <igotdapowa@hone.con> wrote:
> eat shit and die i can reboot and viola Linux , your not the only
one who
> uses it.
>
> "igotdapower" <igotdapowa@hone.con> wrote in message
> news:wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> > go get a real computer you web-tv geek, trying to hide behind
Yahoo..
> >
> >
> > <N8WWM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:94poa2$or2
$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > Illegal keyclowns. Amateurs or cbers you sell illegal stuff I
turn you
> in.
> > > End of story.

```
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> >
>
>

i make it or i break it, hell come in,....cd is out....


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -5083430298416448086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-25 23:13:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A71237D.44AA0EF0@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <3A6EF257.45578258@dvol.com>
<94o41d$c3e$0@208.207.71.131>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 40
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 02:13:01 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.83
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980493154 206.20.144.83 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 01:12:34
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 01:12:34 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14345



Dick Carroll wrote:

> > "First priority, guys: how can we jerk this R&O around to make
> > life easier for US?" Once that's decided they pay cerimonial attention to
> > commenters like the ARRL.
> >
```

>
>   I certainly agree. The League's restructuring proposal was very much
> appropriate and would have affected an orderly progression of the ARS.
> FCC evidently didn't give it more than a cursory look.

>
The NPRM was a joke. Read like it was written in 20 minutes by the 10th grade
English
class idiot then they made it an R&O. Wham, bam, outta here.
>

>   I don;t know exactly when things changed, but it had have something to
> do with retirements and massive personnel changes.

>
Phil Kane has expounded on just that several times. He's about as digusted with
the
whole operation as it can get and he was one of 'em.
>

> Both ARRL and FCC are
> operating with totally different personnel than in the dasy when they
> collaborated closely in planning and making rules for the orderly
> administration of the ARS. I doubt we'll ever again see such close
> cooperation again. FCC has bigger fish to fry and ARS is a very small
> blip on a very large screen.

>
Sure, the telecomms biz has exploded since the good 'ole days, the population has
grown, etc. Plus there is a much more pronounced tendency to do business in an
adversarial arm's length mode. Stinks.
>
w3rv

From -7118213359434688805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 02:45:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Jan 2001 10:44:23 GMT
References: <3A71237D.44AA0EF0@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution

```
Message-ID: <20010126054423.12054.00001691@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14346

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>FCC has bigger fish to fry and ARS is a very small
>> blip on a very large screen.

>Sure, the telecomms biz has exploded since the good 'ole days, the population
>has grown, etc. Plus there is a much more  pronounced tendency
>to do business in an adversarial arm's length mode. Stinks.

I have to wonder how much all of the complaints about the
disability-code-waiver system and the abuses played in the FCC's decision. I am
sure they saw the ARS as an administrative pain in the butt at at that point.
Even our friend Riley Hollingsworth is trying to give us a message about the
effect of what he terms "petty squabbles."

IMHO, we should concentrate on the important issues. In my personal experience,
the FCC is willing to devote considerable time and thought to what it believes
to be legitimate and important issues.  The unimportant ones can be round filed
or swept away with one sweep of the rulemaking broom.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>>
>w3rv
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

```
From 8978933953885346330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 02:46:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!diablo.theplanet.net!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Jan 2001 10:46:11 GMT
References: <94qpg9$lks$0@208.206.142.92>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010126054611.12054.00001692@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14347

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I don't believe that Larry is going through all of the work of serving on
>> the NCI Board because he feels that doing so is easier than learning the
>> code.

>No, it's an inflated sense of selfpity.

And why do you feel that Bill Sohl and Carl Stevenson are serving on the NCI Board?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 6436592970254252256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 02:51:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Jan 2001 10:50:54 GMT
References: <94qpvq$nbk$0@208.206.142.92>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14348

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
>>   eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
>>   to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
>>   Morse."

>Me too - so long as you have a code speed.

Then you are concerned about his code speed. 0 is as real a number as 5.

If you ARE concerned about people having a code speed, you would be concerned about the large number of hams who pass their code tests and never use the code. Those who do not operate are also at 0 wpm.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>

From -1032581610370933703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 02:54:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Jan 2001 10:54:08 GMT
References: <3A7077AF.D5A0E69@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Top 10 Problems facing Amteur Radio? (was Re: ARRL code test
Message-ID: <20010126055408.12054.00001694@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14349

>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com

>Have any idea when you might be in Philly? N2EY and self will
>pop for the lobster at Bookbinders.

No, but I will try to keep you posted. My next trip is to Miami next weekend.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1398323862655306678
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 03:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 11:09:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <94rltu$e0g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com>
<1hi17tcc2uaa2hsqdh38odr83agat86p8c@4ax.com> <94qm3a$l3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94qmbm$l7r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.69
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 11:09:53 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.69
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14350

In article <94qmbm$l7r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> In article <94qm3a$l3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >
> > Weren't you on the other side of this argument last time?
> >
>
> Boy, and he calls *ME* a jerk!

It was only a question, and if I called you a jerk, I apologize.  You
have jerk-like tendencies only occasionally.  :)



Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -1283291399992334010
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 03:20:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 106
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 26 Jan 2001 11:19:50 GMT
References: <94r07l$9gq$0@208.206.142.92>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010126061950.12054.00001698@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14351

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Problem is that no one knows who may do what to whom or how. The
>potential is ubiquitous. Not until interference shows up and detective
>work tracks it down and ID's it can the beginnings of a solution take
>place. Lame plan. The stuff is likely to be any and anywhere.

When I went to Phoenix a few months ago for a convention, I also did some field testing on VDSL. I brought a small 80 M loop antenna with me, to do some DFing. While there, I also heard a few of the PX-421 wireless modem jacks. They were literally audible in my /M setup for a couple of miles on the mobile whip. I tracked down one source in about 30 minutes of driving around, holding the loopstick out the window. It was really dramatic being able to drive past the house where it was installed and see the null. Phoenix was ideal for that, however, with underground wiring. If the overhead wires were radiating, I never would have gotten a null.

That is all bad enough. What about the aggregate of many, many devices being heard at once. One alone is not harmful interference, but put 'em all together. Those NTIA studies show just that on 2.4 GHz. A couple of hams on the west coast have done the same.

>>It is a process of teamwork and cooperation, because under the
>> present rules, that is about the best tool we have available.

> Yeah I know, but you weren't tagged the "point man" without reason.

Actually, my RFI work at HQ has just sorta' grown up on its own. I do what is right for ham radio in a number of areas and they let me. It beats letting my boss think up things for me to do. :-)

>>Even I have heard the whirl of the 'copter blades from time to time.

> See, those loud choppy noises do some good after all!

No, had I acted on my suspicion that the BBEI (Big Bux of Evil Industry) would never do the right thing, the outcome would not have been so good. There are times to be adversarial, but trying the cooperative approach first is much faster, and if you have to get adversarial, being able to demonstrate that you tried to be cooperative first is a plus.

>>I'm sure there are other similar but less sensational, such as CCCR's.
>>When you gonna go to work with the same effectiveness on that one?

I personally won't be doing that. I have quite enough bit off already, and as I all too well know, if one takes on more than one can handle, the end result suffers.

>> In some cases, the right Part 15 sharing
>> partner can be an asset.

> Goood analysis, should be read by every ham.

One of the things I hope to have more time for is to develop more info packages for the ARRL Web page and maybe a few articles. Hams need to understand better what it took me a long time to understand -- in today's world, spectrum is shared. It is NOT our 420-MHz band, we are one of several sharing partners, including the military and radar (primary to use) and Part 15, (secondary to us). On 2.4 GHz, we are primary to Part 15 and secondary to Industrial, Scientific and Medical. The trick is finding compatibile sharing partners and choosing compatibile operating modes with the other signals on the band.

> My disappointment is not so much with either the ARRL nor FCC, but with
>hams who won't recognize the obvious - that there is a communications
>advantage in every ham being able to communicate via radiotelegraphy.

Yes, there is some ignorance about OOK CW, Dick, in both directions. Some hams do believe that it is the most effective mode in the Universe. It is not; some of the digital modes will blow it away. Others pooh pooh the mode and don't see that, of the modes commonly available to hams, it is the most effective under most noisy conditions.

Sure, there is a communications advantage of having every ham able to communicate with Morse code. But it ain't gonna' happen, and it has not been so since I was first licensed. If the regulatory goal was to have every ham able to communicate with Morse, then the FCC rules would require that every ham maintain Morse code transmitting and receiving equipment. You filed extensive comments with the FCC, did you not? Did those comments ask that every ham maintain Morse code equipment? Probably not.

The reason that I believe to behind most desire to maintain the code test is that some hams who do not believe in the usefulness of the mode as much as I do feel that without forcing people to learn the code, the mode will fade away. I disagree.

The bottom line, Dick, is, IMHO, Morse code testing will almost certainly be removed from the Amateur Radio Service, as a minimum in its present form. That means that the ONLY tools you and I will have to promote its use will be to educate hams about its merits and to encourage them to try it. That job will have been seriously undermined later by the way Morse code is being presented now, as a soul-hardening task to be undertaken to keep the evil one out of ham radio.

> When I re-up it'll be due to the likes of you doing the work you do so
>well. A pat on the back is well deserved.

No pat necessary, Dick; it was my job. I am proud to do it, and I try to do it well, but the only reason it is happening is because 165,000 people chipped in.

> The three year membership looks to be a bargain what with the increase.

$31 a year for the non-senior dues, vs $39 a year after they go up. I figure I will hang with ARRL at least 3 years, so I ponied up the $93.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3389893917607477064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 03:30:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 11:17:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <94rmb9$edc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com> <1hi17tcc2uaa2hsqdh38odr83agat86p8c@4ax.com> <94qm3a$l3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <e9q17tcdqvh852p8pkg5gajgtmqst2pfa7@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.69
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 11:17:01 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.69
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14352

In article <e9q17tcdqvh852p8pkg5gajgtmqst2pfa7@4ax.com>,
Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >Is this the K3LT "broken leg and the wife is screaming" scenario?
>
> No scenario, just a statement of fact/opinion... :-)
>
> >HF always gets through?
>
> HF SSB is the best *all around/all location* mobile rig for personal
> emergencies while traveling in a vehicle. There are few places that
> you can go where you cannot find a contact for any possible emergency
> communications. The same cannot be said for VHF/UHF...

Perhaps. Mobiles usually use vertical whip antennas. How do you solve the NVIS issue in that situation?
>
> >Or is it CW always gets through?

>
> While I normally work 100% CW when I'm HF mobile, I always carry a
> mike in case of a personal emergency. IMO CW is the least effective
> tool for any emergency...

Even on the FISTS frequencies?

> >Weren't you on the other side of this argument last time?
>
> I think you will find this consistent with what
> I have said in the past...

Probably so. Well, I've got to get ready for werk, so enough of me
being a jerk (according to Hans).

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7908064092142586861
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 04:39:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A716FF9.13995DB4@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top 10 Problems facing Amteur Radio? (was Re: ARRL code test
References: <3A7077AF.D5A0E69@dvol.com> <20010126055408.12054.00001694@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 07:39:22 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.40
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980512736 206.20.144.40 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 06:38:56 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 06:38:56 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14353

W1RFI wrote:

> My next trip is to Miami next weekend.

>

Pore thing, dress warmly . . .
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
w3rv

From 4575036404285614703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 06:35:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7qny42.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com> <3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com> <94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com> <3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu> <3a6f8391$0$29999$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 29
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 14:36:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980519767 206.58.44.18 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 14:36:07 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 14:36:07 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14354

On Wed, 24 Jan 2001 20:38:04 -0500, Larry Klose wrote:

>Are saying that person petitioning his government to change a regulation
>that he believes is not in conformity with law and not in the public
>interest has no standing to file that petition?

Well-known provision of law in the US - One has no standing to
file unless and until one is injured somehow by that law.

Federal law requires an actual "case or controversy". "Bystander gotchas" don't count.

>The matter more or less before the Commission is complaint against the
>political, legal and constitutional validity of a the Morse code exam
>regulation. An attack against the petitioner is irrelevant.

Attacking the standing of the petitioner is _de rigeur_ in such cat fights.

One more example of being a wannabe and missing the gut-level recognition of the above principles of litigation.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -8096873681001578660
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 06:35:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7qodv3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A6F8F6A.5E641B8A@cybertours.com> <20010125064237.06242.00001326@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Lines: 27
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 14:36:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980519766 206.58.44.18 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 14:36:06 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 14:36:06 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14355

On 25 Jan 2001 11:42:37 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>Not so, Paul, ARRL maintains an investment folio that is funded, in part, by
>the Life Membership dues it has taken in over the decades. While I am not at
>all sure of the exact relationship between the folio and LM dues, it is pretty
>clear that without those prepaid memberships, the money would not be there.
>The proceeds for the investments serve both to keep the investments growing to
>match or exceed inflation and as part of the annual operating costs of the
>League.

>When you shelled out those beans so many years ago, that was a chunk of change,
>indeed. You could have invested it yourself and used the proceeds to pay your
>annual dues, just like ARRL is doing. In that sense, you have indeed paid and
>shouldn't see yourself as a money loser at all.

  IIRC the Life Membership fee was 20 times the annual membership
  when I signed up. That's self-maintaing if the investment
  earns 5% annual interest. Some years it can, other years it's
  more difficult.

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -7798457317675015465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 07:03:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: 26 Jan 2001 15:03:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <94s3jg$4l6$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <94qpg9$lks$0@208.206.142.92> <20010126054611.12054.00001692@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14356

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> I don't believe that Larry is going through all of the work of serving on
> >> the NCI Board because he feels that doing so is easier than learning the
> >> code.
>
> >No, it's an inflated sense of selfpity.
>
> And why do you feel that Bill Sohl and Carl Stevenson are serving on the NCI
> Board?

Probably because they don't see any value in knowing how to use CW on
the air, I'd imagine. But so far they don't keep insisting it's a
Federal civil rights case, at least on rrap.

From 3395589032173859935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 07:37:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: 26 Jan 2001 15:37:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <94s5kn$9jq$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <3A71237D.44AA0EF0@dvol.com> <20010126054423.12054.00001691@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14357

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
> >FCC has bigger fish to fry and ARS is a very small
> >> blip on a very large screen.
>

> >Sure, the telecomms biz has exploded since the good 'ole days, the population
> >has grown, etc. Plus there is a much more pronounced tendency
> >to do business in an adversarial arm's length mode. Stinks.
>
> I have to wonder how much all of the complaints about the
> disability-code-waiver system and the abuses played in the FCC's decision.

No doubt, FCC got saddled with that one and there wasn't a whole lot
they could do with it that was effective but also fair and not
vulnerable to abuse.

I am
> sure they saw the ARS as an administrative pain in the butt at at that point.

If there were no applicants taking advantage of it there would be no
problem. But we know that won't work all time.

> Even our friend Riley Hollingsworth is trying to give us a message about the
> effect of what he terms "petty squabbles."

Yeah, but he's concerned with the nonsense frequencies on SSB. As N2EY
points out, you don't hear that stuff on CW.

> IMHO, we should concentrate on the important issues. In my personal experience,
> the FCC is willing to devote considerable time and thought to what it believes
> to be legitimate and important issues. The unimportant ones can be round filed
> or swept away with one sweep of the rulemaking broom.

Which really means it is vital that FCC and ARRL be in direct constant
communication so that there is no doubt about what is important and what
is not. I'm not sure that has been happening effectively and reliably in
the past few years.

From -8107174925057190516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 07:53:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 15:53:42 -0000

```
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t737c6iuj25v1d@news.supernews.com>
References: <3A6DD587.A8835A18@IEEE.org> <20010124184216.18775.00001118@ng-
da1.aol.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 26
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14358

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in
<20010124184216.18775.00001118@ng-da1.aol.com>:

>>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
>>The next board may be made up of completely different people.
>
>If memory serves, the ARRL Board has as members 9 people who were not
>Directors the last time the Board set ARRL policy.
>
>>If you believe your opinion to be the majority opinion, it shouldn't
>>take too much work to load the next board with pro-code-test people.
>
>Or vice versa.  Member input is important. I do know that the member
>input in some Divisions was quite different from the member input in
>others. At least one Director immediately recognized the
>"organization-based" input, with a group of pro-code folks all writing
>at the same time, then a group of no-code-test folks writing a bit
>later, as FISTS and NCI asked its members to contact ARRL. :-)  The
>hard part, IMHO, is figuring out how important this issue is to the
>folks in the middle.

It's not hard ED, the league knew how its members felt long before the
issue came up from results of member surveys, but the board of directors
choose to stick their heads up their rectums and ignored us.



From -1143489562174610556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 08:11:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 10:01:27 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A719F57.C2DD7FE0@IEEE.org>
References: <94qpg9$lks$0@208.206.142.92> <20010126054611.12054.00001692@ng-
```

```
fl1.aol.com> <94s3jg$4l6$0@208.206.142.91>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.b5
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 26 Jan 2001 16:10:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14359

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > And why do you feel that Bill Sohl and Carl Stevenson are serving on the NCI
> > Board?
>
>  Probably because they don't see any value in knowing how to use CW on
> the air, I'd imagine.

The value in knowing how to use CW on the air is obvious to everyone.
The value of the Morse code requirement is not obvious to everyone.
We don't need laws designed to protect us from ourselves.

The value in wearing a motorcycle helmet is obvious to everyone.
The value of a helmet requirement is so non-obvious that many
states, including Texas and Arizona, have dropped the requirement.
We don't need laws designed to protect us from ourselves.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -1019413291939583586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 09:17:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 17:17:18 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 28
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14360
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11534 rec.radio.swap:21759

Spurious@StarBand.net (Ed G.) wrote in
<Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>:

>While the ARRL's policies may not be
>agreeable to every ham, they are the only organization with any clout
>to stand between the amateur community and the FCC and a Spectrum
>hungry Congress that would love to sell off our frequencies to business
>users..
> Ed   WB6SAT
>

The ARRL says they're trying to protect spectrum, true, but their own dumbed-down licensing & limp-wristed policy proposals will make it more and more difficult for them to do so, because how can they successfully defend amateur spectrum when its users are increasingly becoming as dumb as a post and as useless as CB'ers? Soon, there won't be anything good left to defend. What words can the ARRL use to convince the FCC or Congress that the spectrum is best left to poor operators with bad operating habits? The scenario is akin to a FLY defending a Dung-Heap (ARRL being the fly), they're trying to defend a service that progressively gets stinkier with every new policy they propose. If the ARRL wants to defend amateur radio, let them propose improving licensing standards. The high merits, integrity, and abilities of spectrum users is what will protect the spectrum best and will provide a pool of quality radio operators for service to the country when needed. Real Value!

I'd still be a league member today, maybe even a life member, but the ARRL screwed up when it went against it's long held principles and the founding purposes amateur radio was built on.

From 2860825680624382883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f9c91e08fb36e530
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 09:19:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: This Just In . . .
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 17:19:48 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t73cdk31kc2a7f@news.supernews.com>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <t6ujre1tf95g30@news.supernews.com>
<3A6F7B97.A6BE2105@IEEE.org>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 13

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14361
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11535

Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org (Cecil) wrote in <3A6F7B97.A6BE2105@IEEE.org>:

>"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>> Can you say: "CQ Breaker, CQ Breaker, this here's Good-Buddy-Ham on
>> twenty meters, anyone got their ears on? c'mon back. Ten-four
>> Ten-four good- buddy, I got ya and yer gettin out..."
>
>Wow, words from an obvious expert.

I don't know what it means, I was hoping you could translate it for me
Cecil.

KB7ADL



From -5898150989976947560
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 10:05:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 61
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Jan 2001 18:04:57 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7qny42.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010126130457.01651.00000481@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14362

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g7qny42.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>On Wed, 24 Jan 2001 20:38:04 -0500, Larry Klose wrote:
>
>>Are saying that person petitioning his government to change a regulation
>>that he believes is not in conformity with law and not in the public
>>interest has no standing to file that petition?
>
>  Well-known provision of law in the US - One has no standing to
>  file unless and until one is injured somehow by that law.
>  Federal law requires an actual "case or controversy". "Bystander
>  gotchas" don't count.

So...what are you actually saying there?  Seems to me it is a nice
```

bit of wording that says "shut the hell up because you don't count unless you've done the same thing as I did." Or are you saying that it is somehow "improper" to petition our government unless one is "injured?"

Seems to me that there is a rather actual CONTROVERSY going on in regards to one small regulation by a government agency. Are you saying that there is "no controversy" just because you don't believe there is one?!? Or are you just saying the above to try and make some kind of case for a demand to shut up?

>>The matter more or less before the Commission is complaint against the
>>political, legal and constitutional validity of a the Morse code exam
>>regulation. An attack against the petitioner is irrelevant.
>
> Attacking the standing of the petitioner is _de rigeur_ in such
> cat fights.

Interesting resort to a French phrase which means something like "SOP." :-)

I suppose such pseudo-sophisticated statements are necessary when some cats get scratched...and the result is a carefully worded version of "#$%&!!!, do it like I had to do it...!"

> One more example of being a wannabe and missing the gut-level
> recognition of the above principles of litigation.

Oh, my, what next? Quotations of Case Law in the USA? :-)

What is your take on the FCC's own statements (in 1990 and in 1999 Reports and Orders) that it does not feel that a separate morse code test does not meet their requirements in determining whether or not an examinee can be considered suitable for an amateur radio license?

Would you stuff the FCC's own statements into the "wannabe" and "gut-level recognition" pile? Or will you acknowledge that the FCC is currently the regulator of US civil radio regardless of the current administration and your views of the political leanings of the about-to-be new Commission Chief?

...the reply has all the bunting and flags of "when I was younger we did things the 'right' way" attitude. :-)

didit

From 1281267225010403428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7f438f31f919ede

X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 10:10:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 17:58:51 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <94sdsl$3k6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
<t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 17:58:51 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14363
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11538 rec.radio.swap:21763

In article <t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com>,
vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:

> ..... long held principles and the
> founding purposes amateur radio was built on.

Mostly the early amateurs that "amateur radio was built on" were a bunch of unruly rascals who roamed the spectrum at will, interfering with commercial and military stations alike, and had to be rounded up and corralled at "200 meters and below". Far worse than today's CB crowd by a long shot!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

```
Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 3687970278386575597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 11:20:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 19:15:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <94sibk$7pu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6DD753.66F79733@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003974@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A708046.9978C11B@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.195
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 19:15:08 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.195
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14364

In article <3A708046.9978C11B@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > In article <3A6DD753.66F79733@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley
<jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> > >Cecil wrote:
> > >> I think the people who want high taxes should pay them and the
people
> > >> who don't want high taxes should pay less. In other words, tax
the
> > >> liberals at a higher rate than the conservatives. Then count the
> > >> number of liberals. :-)
>
> > >I've often thought exactly the same thing.  An excellent idea - and
very
> > >fair.  The only problem is that the liberals don't think THEY
should pay
> > >more taxes - it's only the OTHER guy who should pay more taxes.
```

>
> > That's just the way liberals think.
>
> I know.
>
> > So, the next time you see some Environmentalist telling you
> > where you can't build a nuclear power plant, tell them to get
> > back in their Volvo and go back to their gated residential
> > community and play a round of golf -- because unless they
> > are living in a cave, wearing a buckhide union suit, and have
> > never pissed into porcelin, they can't tell you a damn thing!
>
> Did you hear about the proposed new Southgate, Ca. power plant? Very
> clean burning gas plant with all the state-of-the-art scrubbers;
> replaces a huge diesel truck facility currently on the site; reduces
the
> annual tonnage of pollution emmission by a substantial margin; and the
> people turned out en masse - in the middle of an electrical energy
> crisis - to shout it down.
>
> I think the reason in this case is the people feel as though their
> neighborhood, which is economically depressed, is being used as a
> dumping ground. They just use environmentalism as their excuse not to
> have the plant in their backyard. The little picture takes on greater
> importance than the big picture.
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

Well, maybe if they can get their girlfriend to fill out a job
application for them, and go drug-free long enough to pass a urinalysis,
they might get a janitorial or security job at the new power plant.
Then they can go to night school and get a GED, so they can apply for
better positions, such as pipefitter, instrument tech, etc. Then they
can move to Monterrey and commute 2 hours in an SUV...

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2235023294336226288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 11:40:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
63.208.208.143.MISMATCH!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 19:25:15 GMT

Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <94siug$8d8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003975@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.195
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 19:25:15 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.195
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14365

In article <20010125045002.07593.00003975@nso-ch.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:

> For the first time in eight years, I have renewed faith in my
> government.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

If he rolls back Brady and the GCA68, we'll be back on the right track.
I also want him to open military artillery ranges the third weekend of
every month for civilian cannon practice.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4611211968172378409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f9c91e08fb36e530
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 11:41:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: This Just In . . .
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 13:31:29 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3A71D091.BB59C661@IEEE.org>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <t6ujre1tf95g30@news.supernews.com> <3A6F7B97.A6BE2105@IEEE.org> <t73cdk31kc2a7f@news.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ea
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Server-Date: 26 Jan 2001 19:41:00 GMT

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14366
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11541

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>
> Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org (Cecil) wrote in <3A6F7B97.A6BE2105@IEEE.org>:
>
> >"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> >> Can you say: "CQ Breaker, CQ Breaker, this here's Good-Buddy-Ham on
> >> twenty meters, anyone got their ears on? c'mon back. Ten-four
> >> Ten-four good- buddy, I got ya and yer gettin out..."
> >
> >Wow, words from an obvious expert.
>
> I don't know what it means, I was hoping you could translate it for me
> Cecil.

Of course you know what it means because your CB syntax is perfect. And
it doesn't appear to violate a single FCC regulation while getting the
meaning across perfectly. It's the kind of stuff I do on the air just
to aggravate the Radio Police�.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 1091900573988860682
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 11:43:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 13:33:16 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A71D0FC.F7219BE7@IEEE.org>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
<t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ea
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Server-Date: 26 Jan 2001 19:42:46 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14367
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11542 rec.radio.swap:21769

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>     The ARRL says they're trying to protect spectrum, true, but their own
> dumbed-down licensing & limp-wristed policy proposals will make it more
> and more difficult for them to do so, because how can they successfully
> defend amateur spectrum when its users are increasingly becoming as dumb
> as a post and as useless as CB'ers?

That's certainly easier to defend than the 'no users' scenario.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 7896843157053036385
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 11:50:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!peer.news.opaltelecom.net!
londen1-snf1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 19:41:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 81
Message-ID: <94sjs9$9ep$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003975@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A7077A1.82B5FA5D@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.195
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 19:41:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.195
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14368

In article <3A7077A1.82B5FA5D@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > "Rebellion for rebellion's sake" is
> > the last thing law-abiding gun owners have in mind, and I, for
> > one, would be more likely to act to quash any such rebellion as
> > to be a part of it.
>
> Then I think we're more or less on the same page in that regard.
>
> > When American citizens have the right to keep
```

> > and bear arms, that right, by itself, is a powerful check against
> > any possibility of governmental tyranny -- the same way that
> > states with liberal CCW licensing have experienced a major
> > reduction in gun-related violent crime.
>
> I think gun related crime and government tyranny are two disparate
> things.

Does government related crime fall in there anywhere?

> But my point has been all along that arms in the possession
of
> citizens is no longer a check on government tyranny. The balance of
> power is too lop sided. That argument is the weakest of all the NRA
> arguments. Don't get me wrong, Larry. I support the right to keep
and
> bear arms. It's just with the present atmosphere of pressure to
> increase gun control laws, the NRA needs to put forward it's strongest
> arguments.

The Constitutional argument is lame?

Which are the strong arguments?

> There's not going to be another revolution, and it sounds
> really lame to talk about needing to be prepared in case of one.

We've lived a generation not being allowed to own offensive weapons.
Within this generation, we will not be allowed defensive weapons. By
the third generation, even "sporting" weapons will be gone.

The liberals will round up all the mounted deer antlers and send them to
China to make afro-deesiacs under some favored nation treaty.

> > When "they" start talking
> > seriously about taking that right away, as they actually did in
> > England, Australia, and other Third-World countries, then it's
> > time to start seriously thinking about acting on such a "rebellion."
>
> It would take a Constitutional Ammendment to eliminate the 2nd
> Ammendment. And if enough people were to vote to get rid of it, which
> is extremely unlikely in any foreseeable future, then you're going to
be
> seriously outnumbered by the majority. The outcome would have been
> arrived at in a democratic process - not by government tyrrany - and
you
> would be in violation of the law to start shooting at people over it.

Then it would be time to move to Texas, go back to being an independant
nation.

> > I'm sure President Bush isn't going to permit that to happen.
>

> Heck, even Clinton didn't suggest that it should happen.

He didn't speak out against those voices that do advocate it.

> > For the first time in eight years, I have renewed faith in my
> > government.
>
> Hey man, the government is just the same as it was. Some of the names
> on the roster have changed.
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

Do you really believe that?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2274724772369048721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 12:31:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A71DFBC.1721CBB7@southwind.net>
From: "Rev. John Ryding" <zionluth@southwind.net>
Reply-To: zionluth@southwind.net
Organization: Zion Lutheran Church
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en]C-CCK-MCD {TLC;RETAIL} (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
<t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com> <3A71D0FC.F7219BE7@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 35
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 14:36:12 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.98.220
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 980540959 206.53.98.220 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 15:29:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 15:29:19 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14369
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11545 rec.radio.swap:21772

Seem's like the ARRL can't win, doesn't it. When folks were screaming to have the code requirement reduced or removed, the League was seen as a bunch of old fogies who wanted nothing better than to keep out anyone unable to copy code. Now that the licensing structure appears to have favored the no-code crowd, the opposition thinks the League is at fault.

If you want to change the League, join and vote. If you are not a member, quit complaining. The only reason I'm not a life member is the cost. I should have become a life member as soon as I was licensed in 1977. It would have paid for itself several times now.

Join the League or not. But the issue of licensing and code requirements are not decisions they make. The ARRL is the most vocal representation we, as amateurs and others, have with respect to hobby radio. If not for the League, only commercial interests would be heard by the FCC.

My opinion. I apologize to the newsgroup for wasting space where I would rather read posts swapping gear.

John Ryding
WW0H - ww0h@arrl.net

Cecil wrote:

> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> >   The ARRL says they're trying to protect spectrum, true, but their own
> > dumbed-down licensing & limp-wristed policy proposals will make it more
> > and more difficult for them to do so, because how can they successfully
> > defend amateur spectrum when its users are increasingly becoming as dumb
> > as a post and as useless as CB'ers?
>
> That's certainly easier to defend than the 'no users' scenario.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -5429917976355049853
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 12:41:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 12:41:36 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A71E100.9E291819@uci.edu>
```

References: <3A6DD753.66F79733@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003974@nso-ch.aol.com> <3A708046.9978C11B@uci.edu> <94sibk$7pu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14370

Brian wrote:

> Then they can move to Monterrey and commute 2 hours in an SUV...

What kind of an SUV averages 180 miles an hour on the interstate?

ac6xg

From -6406215263824700802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 12:51:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 12:45:48 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A71E1FC.4EFFC38B@uci.edu>
References: <3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003975@nso-ch.aol.com> <3A7077A1.82B5FA5D@uci.edu> <94sjs9$9ep$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14371

Brian wrote:
> Jim wrote
> > Hey man, the government is just the same as it was. Some of the names
> > on the roster have changed.

> Do you really believe that?

yeah. It was in all the papers.

From -5810124872020874567
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 13:50:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!peer.news.opaltelecom.net!
londen1-snf1.gtei.net!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 21:38:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <94sqph$g29$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com> <94psgi$t4o$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3a708f8a.2031547@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.80
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 21:38:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.80
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14373

> What the HW-7 lacks, however, is any specific frequency
> stability/readout. In using the HW-7 you must hope
> someone happens to just be tuning across the frequency
> you are transmitting.

You raise several good points. Frequency agility has the advantage of being able to break into a QSO in progress. The Hw-7 used to be worthwhile for ragchew if you had a xtal in the Novice band, because it was so full of signals all the time, and lots of people would tune around after making a call. It's not happening much anymore because there are fewer Novices, and there are fewer people who have had the inclination to learn efficient operating techniques anymore. These are some of the things "not stated". Your questions reveal that (like many today) you weren't required to develop certain skills that are better developed with HF CW QRP operations. So sadly, you don't know what I'm talking about. I can only blame this on the licensing structure, because even though 97.1 is well stated, the license structure just isn't supporting it anymore.
http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/news/part97/

Another idea (probably stated more fully in the 87.400's) is that there are no specific assigned emergency frequencies in ham radio, because they are ALL Emergency frequencies. The idea, was that ALL hams be able to support emergency communications and that ANYONE be able to perform them. The 2-meter mobile is a great asset, but on longer trips, you may find large stretches of no coverage, or repeaters where all the regulars are either on the road themselves or just busy elsewhere. In addition to developing an emergency comm's monitoring system on a local wide area machine, you should also look into ARRL operating aids and publications (even older ones devoted to Novice training) and get involved with CW NTS nets and MARS (you don't have to do it all at once, but each are good experiences).

>
> Actually, you could include a solar panel and/or a hand generator
> to power it.

The solar panel would be far too fragile, but the hand generator idea has promise. There is a Short-Wave receiver on the market, that has a clock spring generator, that can power the radio for 30 minutes after being wound up. Neat!

Jim, ac6tk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -597792339892470931
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 13:50:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!cyclone.bc.net!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Carl Zager <kb9rvb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 21:40:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <94sqsv$g69$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6DD753.66F79733@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003974@nso-ch.aol.com> <3A708046.9978C11B@uci.edu> <94sibk$7pu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A71E100.9E291819@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.106.96.166
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 21:40:48 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (WinNT; I ;Nav)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 206.106.96.166
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkb9rvb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14374

In article <3A71E100.9E291819@uci.edu>,
Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> Brian wrote:
>
> > Then they can move to Monterrey and commute 2 hours in an SUV...
>
> What kind of an SUV averages 180 miles an hour on the interstate?
>
> ac6xg
>
White Ford Broncos -- oh, wait, that was 20mph and there was a handgun
in it.

--
Carl Zager
http://www.mccsc.edu/~czager
kb9rvb@my-deja.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4826683738202020348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 13:51:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!tethys.csu.net!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 13:49:07 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A71F0D3.683B2DF8@uci.edu>
References: <7fKb6.44635$ft6.1018722@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<20010124201518.18765.00001206@ng-da1.aol.com>
<kVOb6.45073$ft6.1066002@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<3a703273$0$35015$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14375

Larry Klose wrote:
> Before April 15, 200 a person could become an Extra and miss
> every question on Part 97 rules except two question on the Novice test.

> Apparent the rules were not as important a manual Morse code proficiency.

They were less concerned with testing back then, because the Hammurabi code was pretty much the rule of the day. ;-)

```
From -5679584448234288051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 14:04:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "BigPoppaPump" <igotdapowa@hone.con>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<4_3c6.6940$49.675451@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94r2i4$v3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Evmc6.8010$49.1024218@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 22:04:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 980546660 24.180.184.171 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001
14:04:20 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 14:04:20 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:23902 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14376

HELLO CD, YOUR TAG IN THE GARDEN IS GONE....

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94r2i4$v3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <4_3c6.6940$49.675451@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>,
>   "BigPoppaPump" <igotdapowa@hone.con> wrote:
> > eat shit and die i can reboot  and viola Linux , your not the only
> one who
> > uses it.
> >
> > "igotdapower" <igotdapowa@hone.con> wrote in message
> > news:wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> > > go get a real computer you web-tv geek, trying to hide behind
> Yahoo..
> > >
> > >
> > > <N8WWM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:94poa2$or2
> $1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > > Illegal keyclowns. Amateurs or cbers you sell illegal stuff I
> turn you
> > in.
> > > > End of story.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > > http://www.deja.com/
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
> i make it or i break it, hell come in,....cd is out....
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
```

```
From -5420995135988312185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 14:13:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
<t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <xEmc6.1229$e5.3045170@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 17:12:09 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980547229 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 17:13:49 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 17:13:49 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14377
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11549
```

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote:

> If the ARRL wants to defend amateur radio, let them propose improving licensing
> standards.

In its comments to the FCC wrt restructuring, the ARRL you espouse so
strongly asked the FCC to increase the technical content of the written
exams to offset any changes in Morse-code testing.

> The high merits, integrity, and abilities of spectrum users is
> what will protect the spectrum best and will provide a pool of quality
> radio operators for service to the country when needed. Real Value!

The ARRL has been steadily improving its Tech Info pages on the Web, has
changed QST to offer material to help the newcomer learn the ropes, has
started an Educational and Certification program, all intended to help hams
be better hams and better fulfill the goals of the Service.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6447947951401536684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 14:20:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 22:12:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <94ssof$htr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94i9b7$af1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010123070306.12166.00000956@ng-cd1.aol.com> <94kkd2$ah3$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3a708c22.1159033@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.80
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 22:12:39 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.80
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14378

> And morse code using simple equipment makes that a non-issue
> because?

Nothing about ham radio makes this a non-issue.

> If the available people aren't familiar with their
> gear, then shame on those that had responsibility
> for building the preparedness before hand.

http://x58.deja.com/threadmsg_ct.xp?
AN=720652289.1&mhitnum=51&CONTEXT=980546585.1715470374

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 1878151460558349800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 15:07:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7sbjh0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7qny42.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010126130457.01651.00000481@nso-fp.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 96
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:07:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.48
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980550450 206.58.44.48 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:07:30
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:07:30 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14379
```

On 26 Jan 2001 18:04:57 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>> Well-known provision of law in the US - One has no standing to
>> file unless and until one is injured somehow by that law.
>> Federal law requires an actual "case or controversy". "Bystander
>> gotchas" don't count.
>
>So...what are you actually saying there? Seems to me it is a nice
>bit of wording that says "shut the hell up because you don't count
>unless you've done the same thing as I did." Or are you saying

>that it is somehow "improper" to petition our government unless
>one is "injured?"

One has standing to file suit in Federal proceedings when one is affected somehow by the statute or reg or action of the agency. To use your inaccurate phrasing, when one is injured or can be injured.

If passing the test is the only barrier to getting the license, you have standing to protest things about the test - you are "affected". If you are not eligible to get the license even if you pass the test, (a representative of a foreign country, for instance) you do not have standing to protest the test but do have standing to protest the bar that affects you.

>Seems to me that there is a rather actual CONTROVERSY going
>on in regards to one small regulation by a government agency.
>Are you saying that there is "no controversy" just because you
>don't believe there is one?!? Or are you just saying the above
>to try and make some kind of case for a demand to shut up?

The trial court judge determines if there is a true "case or controversy". Smart lawyers make sure that one exists before filing Federal proceedings.

>>>The matter more or less before the Commission is complaint against the
>>>political, legal and constitutional validity of a the Morse code exam
>>>regulation. An attack against the petitioner is irrelevant.
>>
>> Attacking the standing of the petitioner is _de rigeur_ in such
>> cat fights.
>
>Interesting resort to a French phrase which means something
>like "SOP." :-)

Right on, brother. I once was the recipient of such an attack by an opposing attorney because I disclosed in a petition against his client that I was a former FCC employee, even though the law required me to make such a disclosure in that proceeding. SOP indeed.

"If the facts are against you, pound on the law. If the law is against you, pound on the facts. If both are against you, pound on the opponent. If everything is against you, pound on the table."

>What is your take on the FCC's own statements (in 1990 and in
>1999 Reports and Orders) that it does not feel that a separate
>morse code test does not meet their requirements in determining
>whether or not an examinee can be considered suitable for an
>amateur radio license?

IOW - they feel that a separate Morse test meets their
reuirements ... they're the ones who set the rules...whether
they are accurate or not.

My personal feelings - do away with the Morse TEST. I've been
public with that for years.

>Would you stuff the FCC's own statements into the "wannabe"
>and "gut-level recognition" pile? Or will you acknowledge that
>the FCC is currently the regulator of US civil radio regardless of
>the current administration and your views of the political leanings
>of the about-to-be new Commission Chief?

What planet did you just arrive from, Len?

Ever since the reign of Madman Mark (Fowler) I've had no
problem separating the agency and its function (which I
respect) from the Five Tubeless Tyres who sit on the Eighth
Floor and flail around in their attempts to steer the agency
(whom I may not respect).

To quote my erstwhile teacher, Mr. Justice Marshall:
"What do you mean that folks may not like you? President
Eisenhower is President of the United States and half the
people in the country don't like HIM..." <ggg>

R.I.P. Brother Thurgood.....

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 512854702313385446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 15:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:14:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 71
Message-ID: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94ocds$k46$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010125063219.06242.00001325@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.133.124

X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 23:14:46 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.124
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14380

In article <20010125063219.06242.00001325@ng-ff1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Bob Wex w2ilp w2ilp@juno.com
>
> >The political belief among present FCC leaders is that all of the
public
> >must be given as much access to all of the bandwidth that the
spectrum
> >and the information highway can provide.
>
> The public already has access to all amateur frequencies. They can
do so at
> high power, by passing the exams, or a low power, by using devices
that meet
> the requirements of Part 15. All amateur spectrum is shared.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare,W1RFI
>
I agree that the public is being given more access to masws
communication...
BUT
the large telecommunicators have again stopped the low power FM
community stations from operating legally and we are seeing the mergers
of media giants including newspapers, radio and TV that were once
deemed monopolistic...
as for HAM RADIO: -- Hams are but a minor subclass of the public.
They are those hobbiests who have followed FCC regulations and applied
to take ham exams...They are not "the public" at large. IMHO they
should remain protected...That is - the frequency allocations should
remaion protected...
BUT
Politically if they want to remain protected they must prove their
worth to the USA, over and above the public. An amateur Radio Operator
is no longer an apprentice commercial radio operator. Modern
technology has made the commercial FCC Radio Operator obsolete and thus
the Ham who is a commercial radio operator in waiting is also obsolete.
The only way to bridge that obsolecence is to bring up the Hams and
their licensing exams to the standards of modern communication
technology. The ARRL could be a driving force to do so...but
apparently it is not at the present time interested in strengthining
the basis and purpose of the hobby....The ARRL at present is expecting
a future decrease in membership and is thus trying to increase its
revenue by raising its dues...
Ed...I have news for you...You can't sell stale bananas by raising
their price.   Enuf sed.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Increase Logical Progress)

WYMI -- The all philosophy radio station.
 --Mike Dugan--

Open your mouth only to change feet.
 --Stanley Ralph Ross--

Gravity isn't easy but its the law.
 --?--

A man's feet have to be long enough to
reach the ground.
 --Abraham Lincoln--

The public need not know Ohm's law or
any other laws but my philosophy is,
that Hams should be well grounded.
 --w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1566374519179398602
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,76ebfe2d470c24b6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,76ebfe2d470c24b6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 15:27:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Amateur license renewals lost by FCC
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 18:28:18 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t741vf4huv0n25@corp.supernews.com>
References: <94sqet$3en$0@dosa.alt.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 32
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11554 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14381

This sounds like a bunch of crap. Even if the files were corrupted there are multiple copies of files backed up onto tape or CD-ROM. I know the outgoing democrats were a mean spirited bunch of losers, but this is going down as another ham lengend.

Regards,

Duffy - WB8NUT

"Bill Hammack" <k9akr@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:94sqet$3en$0@dosa.alt.net...
>
> The following notice just arrived in my email:
>
> The change of administration has been anything but smooth. You have
> heard about the problems at the White House, well the staffers under
> William Kennard may have done the same. After Michael Powell was
> appointed as the FCC Chairman, staffers found that some of the computer
> files had become corrupted. One of the corrupted files contained all of
> the Amateur License renewals for the last four years. Anyone that has
> renewed their license in the last four years will need to submit FCC
> Form 605 Schedule D with a copy of their original FCC Form 610.
>
> "The FCC has implemented a 180 day grace period to refile before licenses
> will be considered expired. After the grace period, Amateur license
> holders will have to reapply for their call as a "Vanity" call and pass
> all the required examinations.
>
> Sincerely Yours,
> Rob Hgien

From 7212986870965683885
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 15:40:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!212.97.206.81.MISMATCH!kinglear.mobilixnet.dk!newsfeed101.telia.com!newsrouter.euroconnect.net!news1.ebone.net!news.ebone.net!diablo.netcom.net.uk!netcom.net.uk!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:31:35 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94t1cn$m7m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6E68AD.B49B121@bctv.com> <20010125045003.07593.00003976@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.141
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 23:31:35 2001 GMT

```
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.141
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14382

In article <20010125045003.07593.00003976@nso-ch.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <3A6E68AD.B49B121@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
writes:
>
> >> Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of  becoming a Life
Member of the
> >> ARRL. Thanks
> >
> >Well, they can't raise membership rates on you -- the only way to get
> >more money per year of your membership is to kill you.
>
> Keith:
>
> Shhhhh!  Ixnay on the illkay talk -- or the next thing you know, the
> League will be sending out "hit squads" to terminate Life Members
> en masse!

Larry, shhhhh!  Ixnay on the "ithay quadsey" talk!  Thats why radio
commando MD dropped out of sight.  He's on a mission.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 6019759697142738102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 15:50:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:42:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <94t209$mp2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <94po4o$kvk$0@208.206.142.106>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.141
```

```
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 23:42:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.141
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14383
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11555 rec.radio.swap:21789

In article <94po4o$kvk$0@208.206.142.106>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> >
> > rasta567@aol.com (Rasta567) wrote in
> > <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>:
> >
> > >Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of  becoming a Life
Member of
> > >the ARRL. Thanks
> > > >
> >
> >    Don't buy any form of League membership if you care about the
integrity
> > of your license or ham radio. The ARRL will screw you when your head
is
> > turned.  Buy a membership only if you believe that the natural
progression
> > of amateur radio should be to become more like CB, for this is what
the
> > ARRL board of directors believe.
>
>  Vince,that's what the current FCC believes. Why would the ARRL
> deliberately -again- go against the wishes of the FCC? It never worked
> before.
>
> Dick

That's why Dick has his lifetime membership in the QCCB (Quarter Century
CB) Club.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -8553105990740928237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 16:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
```

From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:48:05 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <94t2bj$mu3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6DD753.66F79733@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003974@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A708046.9978C11B@uci.edu> <94sibk$7pu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A71E100.9E291819@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.141
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 23:48:05 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.141
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14384

In article <3A71E100.9E291819@uci.edu>,
Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> Brian wrote:
>
> > Then they can move to Monterrey and commute 2 hours in an SUV...
>
> What kind of an SUV averages 180 miles an hour on the interstate?
>
> ac6xg

The ones that pass me. My metro will only do 90.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4915809970624467021
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 16:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:49:45 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <94t2em$mvh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6DD753.66F79733@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003974@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A708046.9978C11B@uci.edu> <94sibk$7pu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A71E100.9E291819@uci.edu> <94sqsv$g69$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.141

```
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 23:49:45 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.141
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14385

In article <94sqsv$g69$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Carl Zager <kb9rvb@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <3A71E100.9E291819@uci.edu>,
>   Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Then they can move to Monterrey and commute 2 hours in an SUV...
> >
> > What kind of an SUV averages 180 miles an hour on the interstate?
> >
> > ac6xg
> >
> White Ford Broncos -- oh, wait, that was 20mph and there was a handgun
> in it.

And a blood sample.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -8138926959277461432
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 16:00:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:51:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <94t2he$n92$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003975@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A7077A1.82B5FA5D@uci.edu> <94sjs9$9ep$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A71E1FC.4EFFC38B@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.141
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Jan 26 23:51:13 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.141
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14386
```

```
In article <3A71E1FC.4EFFC38B@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> Brian wrote:
> > Jim wrote
> > > Hey man, the government is just the same as it was.  Some of the
names
> > > on the roster have changed.
>
> > Do you really believe that?
>
> yeah.  It was in all the papers.

If there were no difference, then why all the hoopla over the election?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -8729406924169350036
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 16:21:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 16:15:06 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A72130A.D014719C@uci.edu>
References: <3A6DD753.66F79733@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003974@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A708046.9978C11B@uci.edu> <94sibk$7pu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A71E100.9E291819@uci.edu> <94t2bj$mu3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14388



Brian wrote:
> My metro will only do 90.

A Nash metro will do 90?  In second gear to boot, no doubt.
```

```
From -1513610404486145154
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e7c4ee5518633914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 16:21:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Will you let them take away your guns?
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 16:16:03 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A721343.7D9CB2D7@uci.edu>
References: <3A6DD5AA.7C9C6A83@uci.edu> <20010125045002.07593.00003975@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A7077A1.82B5FA5D@uci.edu> <94sjs9$9ep$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A71E1FC.4EFFC38B@uci.edu> <94t2he$n92$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14387
```

Brian wrote:

> > > Do you really believe that?

> > yeah. It was in all the papers.

> If there were no difference, then why all the hoopla over the election?

Search me.

```
From -537270307488035189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 17:48:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <9n847tsaghg6aefn0chqk45s5necaevk18@4ax.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-
```

da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com>
<1hi17tcc2uaa2hsqdh38odr83agat86p8c@4ax.com> <94qm3a$l3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<e9q17tcdqvh852p8pkg5gajgtmqst2pfa7@4ax.com> <94rmb9$edc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 01:48:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.206
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980560093 209.86.207.206 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 17:48:13 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 17:48:13 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14389

Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>Mobiles usually use vertical whip antennas. How do you solve
>the NVIS issue in that situation?

What is NVIS?

>[Aaron: IMO CW is the least effective tool for any emergency...]
>Even on the FISTS frequencies?

I am not a CW purist, I am a CW enthusiast. I enjoy CW for
recreational purposes, but I also realize it's modern practical
limitations. It's not that it wouldn't work in a pinch, it's just that
there are other devices or modes which usually are a much better
choice to use in a personal emergency...

>so enough of me being a jerk (according to Hans).

I didn't take any offense in your question Brian,
 and I don't think that you are a jerk... :-)

From -8758695015537616190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 18:27:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 20:18:02 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A722FDA.C4D3A5FF@IEEE.org>

```
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com>
<1hi17tcc2uaa2hsqdh38odr83agat86p8c@4ax.com> <94qm3a$l3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<e9q17tcdqvh852p8pkg5gajgtmqst2pfa7@4ax.com> <94rmb9$edc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<9n847tsaghg6aefn0chqk45s5necaevk18@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 27 Jan 2001 02:26:31 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14391
```

Aaron Jones wrote:

>
> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >Mobiles usually use vertical whip antennas. How do you solve
> >the NVIS issue in that situation?
>
> What is NVIS?

Near Vertical Incidence Skywave
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -7094184274913445611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 19:10:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Jan 2001 03:09:55 GMT
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Message-ID: <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14392
```

>From: Bob Wex w2ilp

>The ARRL could be a driving force to do so...but
>apparently it is not at the present time interested in strengthining
>the basis and purpose of the hobby.

The ARRL is not interested in doing it exactly the way you say it should, so in your mind, it is not doing it at all.

It is too bad; the things you are choosing to say to tear down amateur radio to be "right" are undermining the very principles you stand for.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4145068258494080180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 19:18:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.flash.net!news.flash.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A722EB6.712F36E9@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Amateur license renewals lost by FCC
References: <94sqet$3en$0@dosa.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 03:18:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.215.86.254
X-Complaints-To: abuse@flash.net
X-Trace: news.flash.net 980565489 216.215.86.254 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 21:18:09 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 21:18:09 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11567 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14393

Nice try, but pure garbage.

Bill Hammack wrote:

> The following notice just arrived in my email:
>
> The change of administration has been anything but smooth. You have
> heard about the problems at the White House, well the staffers under
> William Kennard may have done the same. After Michael Powell was
> appointed as the FCC Chairman, staffers found that some of the computer
> files had become corrupted. One of the corrupted files contained all of
> the Amateur License renewals for the last four years. Anyone that has

> renewed their license in the last four years will need to submit FCC
> Form 605 Schedule D with a copy of their original FCC Form 610.
>
> "The FCC has implemented a 180 day grace period to refile before licenses
> will be considered expired. After the grace period, Amateur license
> holders will have to reapply for their call as a "Vanity" call and pass
> all the required examinations.
>
> Sincerely Yours,
> Rob Hgien

```
From 2113836709273381881
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 19:22:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Jan 2001 03:21:26 GMT
References: <3A722FDA.C4D3A5FF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010126222126.13167.00001050@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14394
```

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> What is NVIS?

>Near Vertical Incidence Skywave

To add a bit to that, it is a term applied to the energy from an antenna that is radiated nearly vertically upward from the antenna. At lower frequencies (perhaps up to about 10 MHz or so, under some circumstances), it is returned to earth by the ionosphere, relatively close to the transmitting station. It is most useful on 3.5 MHz, and sometimes on 7 MHz, for communications out to about 100 miles or so.

A vertical antenna radiates very little NVIS, so it is not as good a "local F hop" antenna as is a low horizontal dipole.

See:
 http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/pdf/8102019.pdf

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3060924989501724097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 19:24:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Jan 2001 03:23:14 GMT
References: <94s3jg$4l6$0@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010126222314.13167.00001051@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14395

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Probably because they don't see any value in knowing how to use CW on
>the air, I'd imagine. But so far they don't keep insisting it's a
>Federal civil rights case, at least on rrap.

Just like those who support keeping code testing, each no-code test advocate
seems to have their own technique for supporting their cause. Some on both
sides make valid point; others on both sides appear ridiculous in their
assertations.

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI

From -4964866692675648612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 19:26:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Jan 2001 03:25:11 GMT
References: <94s5kn$9jq$0@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010126222511.13167.00001052@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14396

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Which really means it is vital that FCC and ARRL be in direct constant
>communication so that there is no doubt about what is important and what
>is not. I'm not sure that has been happening effectively and reliably in
>the past few years.

I agree; there is considerable room for improvement in that area. OTOH, I can speak of my own work and my good relationship with OET and the Enforcement Bureau on RFI matters. I speak of my own work because I know it better than I know about everybody else's. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8689308288823962470
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 19:30:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94qpg9$1ks$0@208.206.142.92> <20010126054611.12054.00001692@ng-fl1.aol.com> <94s3jg$4l6$0@208.206.142.91>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 22:29:32 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3a7240ac$0$35019$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12b2d852.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=R\ocW5M[c[Jb0EN^dS;UdELoK8f=:?
J8@[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FGVFZ8:6gj0jClBFDaL\d^nE58_`@oP?`1G
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14397

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:94s3jg$4l6$0@208.206.142.91...

> Probably because they don't see any value in knowing how to use CW on
> the air, I'd imagine. But so far they don't keep insisting it's a
> Federal civil rights case, at least on rrap.

You are incorrect in assuming my initial comments
were about a "civil rights issue", if you read carefully you
will realize that I was saying a "due process".

But, it now appears that the Morse code exam
requirement is not in conformity with law.
5 USC 706(2)(a).   The FCC stated that the only reason
to retain the 5wpm exam was ITU rule S25.5.

No sense fight about "civil rights" or "due process"
when 5 USC 706 will due the job.

Larry

```
From 6533745088750524880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 20:08:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Date: 27 Jan 2001 04:08:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <94thj5$bel$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <3A6E68AD.B49B121@bctv.com> <20010125045003.07593.00003976@nso-
ch.aol.com> <94t1cn$m7m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14399
```

Brian wrote:
>
> In article <20010125045003.07593.00003976@nso-ch.aol.com>,

```
>   yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> > In article <3A6E68AD.B49B121@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
> writes:
> >
> > >> Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of  becoming a Life
> Member of the
> > >> ARRL. Thanks
> > >
> > >Well, they can't raise membership rates on you -- the only way to get
> > >more money per year of your membership is to kill you.
> >
> > Keith:
> >
> > Shhhhh!  Ixnay on the illkay talk -- or the next thing you know, the
> > League will be sending out "hit squads" to terminate Life Members
> > en masse!
>
> Larry, shhhhh!  Ixnay on the "ithay quadsey" talk!  Thats why radio
> commando MD dropped out of sight.  He's on a mission.


 Are you growing eyes in the back of your head? Gotcher ears on? He may
be close by!



From -4180270417880609290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 20:10:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!wn1feed!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!169.207.30.132!newsengine.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com>
<3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com>
<94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com>
<3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu>
<3a6f8391$0$29999$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7qny42.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:07:51 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 61
```

Message-ID: <3a7249ab$0$35020$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 84bf2ed7.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=lRCZ4^kRD9=L:]83Yo7ZD;LoK8f=:?J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F77R4LA?FeFd?lBFDaL\d^n558_`@oP?`17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14400

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.g7qny42.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Wed, 24 Jan 2001 20:38:04 -0500, Larry Klose wrote:
>
> >Are saying that person petitioning his government to change a regulation
> >that he believes is not in conformity with law and not in the public
> >interest has no standing to file that petition?
>
>   Well-known provision of law in the US - One has no standing to
>   file unless and until one is injured somehow by that law.
>   Federal law requires an actual "case or controversy". "Bystander
>   gotchas" don't count.

Prior to April 15, 2000, a person who took a 13 or 20 wpm code and
fails it, was adversely effected by 47 CFR 97.503(a)(2)&(3);
therefore, had standing.

> >The matter more or less before the Commission is complaint against the
> >political, legal and constitutional validity of a the Morse code exam
> >regulation. An attack against the petitioner is irrelevant.
>
>   Attacking the standing of the petitioner is _de rigeur_ in such
>   cat fights.
>
>   One more example of being a wannabe and missing the gut-level
>   recognition of the above principles of litigation.

So far, no one need to prove standing. Indeed the FCC took action on their
own intitiative, to wit:

The 13 and 20 word per minute telegraphy examination was removed as a
requirement in 1999.   The FCC stated in its Report and Order (FCC 99-142)
for WT Docket #98-143 that:
(a) "the public interest will best be served by reducing the telegraphy
examination requirement to the minimum requirement that we have found that
meets the Radio Regulations" ;
(b) "an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse code proficiency
is not necessarily indicative of that individual's ability to contribute to
the advancement of the radio art" ;
(c) "such a license qualification rule [Morse code exam] is not in
furtherance of the purpose of the amateur service and we do not believe that
it continues to serve a regulatory purpose" ;
(d) "the amateur service is fundamentally a technical service, the emphasis
on Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement does not comport with
the basis and purpose of the service" ;

(e) "reducing the emphasis on telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement will allow the amateur service to, as it has in the past, attract technically inclined persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them to learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States needs expertise" ; and
(f) "telegraphy proficiency is not a significant factor in determining an individual's ability to provide or be prepared to provide emergency communications" .

After the Commission put that ink on the parchment, how hard to do think they wil
fight the keep ITU rule S25.5 afloat.

Larry

```
From -8367316238306908449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 20:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 27 Jan 2001 04:12:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <94thqm$bel$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com>
<1hi17tcc2uaa2hsqdh38odr83agat86p8c@4ax.com> <94qm3a$l3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<e9q17tcdqvh852p8pkg5gajgtmqst2pfa7@4ax.com> <94rmb9$edc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<9n847tsaghg6aefn0chqk45s5necaevk18@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14401
```

Aaron Jones wrote:

> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> >so enough of me being a jerk (according to Hans).

> I didn't take any offense in your question Brian,
> and I don't think that you are a jerk... :-)

Naw, he's a lightweight, a tweaking twerp.

From -288843936420863658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 20:19:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A724C40.FCE7CCC4@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
References: <3A703743.FF0CF160@dvol.com> <20010125210938.22779.00000962@nso-fa.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:19:12 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.81
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980569125 206.20.144.81 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 22:18:45 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 22:18:45 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14402

Len Over 21 wrote:

>
> >I don't know of a single big-gun HF weak signal wonk who
> >uses a spectrum analyzer and I kow a bunch of those.

> ...Hmmm...I guess that is supposed to settle that?   :-)

>
Exactly. If by this point in history hams had found any particular real-world advantage to using spectrum analyzers for on-the-air ops the things would be in all over the catalogs. They ain't and sure as hell that settles that. Writing off spectrum analyzers as useful communications tools has to do with seasoned radio operators being able to separate the wheat from the chaff, ya know what I mean Len?
>

>
> >> Sound interesting?   :-)

> >
> >Write the article.
>
> I'm not. I know of two such projects already in the works,
> both for amateur radio use. One is upstate California, the
> other one is local to me. I'm in an advisory capacity in the
> group down here. It simply wouldn't do to have some non-
> ham be in the byline of such an article, would it? :-)

>
Contributions is contributions, I don't care where they come from.

> didit

>
w3rv

From -5120052975682869058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 20:21:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!not-for-mail
From: RyanCA <hass@aznet.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 20:13:44 -0800
Organization: AzNET
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A724AF7.7F74AE8B@aznet.net>
References: <7fKb6.44635$ft6.1018722@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<20010124201518.18765.00001206@ng-da1.aol.com>
<kVOb6.45073$ft6.1066002@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<3a703273$0$35015$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A71F0D3.683B2DF8@uci.edu>
Reply-To: hass@aznet.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: azts2-114.aznet.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: gondor.sdsu.edu 980569041 29488 207.167.71.114 (27 Jan 2001 04:17:21 GMT)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14403

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Larry Klose wrote:
> > Before April 15, 200 a person could become an Extra and miss
> > every question on Part 97 rules except two question on the Novice test.
>

> > Apparent the rules were not as important a manual Morse code proficiency.
>
> They were less concerned with testing back then, because the Hammurabi
> code was pretty much the rule of the day. ;-)

One Rule- Know Code or be bored. :)

From -4225921935111269863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 20:25:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!not-for-mail
From: RyanCA <hass@aznet.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 20:17:04 -0800
Organization: AzNET
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3A724BC0.61ABA1B@aznet.net>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
<t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com> <94sdsl$3k6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: hass@aznet.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: azts2-114.aznet.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: gondor.sdsu.edu 980569241 29488 207.167.71.114 (27 Jan 2001 04:20:41 GMT)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14404

K0HB wrote:

> Mostly the early amateurs that "amateur radio was built on" were a
> bunch of unruly rascals who roamed the spectrum at will, interfering
> with commercial and military stations alike, and had to be rounded up
> and corralled at "200 meters and below". Far worse than today's CB
> crowd by a long shot!

Very gentlemanly though I once heard. Oh Well, they never gave up and survived.

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
>   eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
>   to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
>   Morse."
>
> Sent via Deja.com

```
> http://www.deja.com/


From 3868398248542471610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 20:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Amateur license renewals lost by FCC
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 04:26:05 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <94tiko$3tm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94sqet$3en$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A722EB6.712F36E9@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.150.29.129
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 27 04:26:05 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/5.05 [subdimension.com] (X11; I; Linux 2.4.34 i886)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.150.29.129
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11573
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14405

In article <3A722EB6.712F36E9@some.net>,
  JR <jr@flash.net> wrote:
> Nice try, but pure garbage.

But that's all your little brain-stem can process, Jacking Rube!

> Bill Hammack wrote:
>
> > The following notice just arrived in my email:
> >
> > The change of administration has been anything but smooth.  You have
> > heard about the problems at the White House, well the staffers under
> > William Kennard may have done the same.  After Michael Powell was
> > appointed as the FCC Chairman, staffers found that some of the
computer
> > files had become corrupted.  One of the corrupted files contained
all of
> > the Amateur License renewals for the last four years.  Anyone that
has
> > renewed their license in the last four years will need to submit FCC
> > Form 605 Schedule D with a copy of their original FCC Form 610.
> >
> > "The FCC has implemented a 180 day grace period to refile before
licenses
```

> > will be considered expired. After the grace period, Amateur license
> > holders will have to reapply for their call as a "Vanity" call and
pass
> > all the required examinations.
> >
> > Sincerely Yours,
> > Rob Hgien
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2966241948630096047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 20:42:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <q8k47t82vgphv054j2f2n718n7r91vhi7h@4ax.com>
References: <3A722FDA.C4D3A5FF@IEEE.org> <20010126222126.13167.00001050@ng-mb1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 04:42:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.126
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980570520 209.86.206.126 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 20:42:00 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 20:42:00 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14406

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>Near Vertical Incidence Skywave [NVIS]
>To add a bit to that, it is a term applied to the energy from an antenna that

>is radiated nearly vertically upward from the antenna.

Ah, very short skip. Should have said VSS :-). I've noticed it lately on 40 meters around noon. A regular in my group about 10 miles away who usually runs S5 (on groundwave) has been booming in here at +20 over with QSB...

>A vertical antenna radiates very little NVIS, so it is not as good a "local F
>hop" antenna as is a low horizontal dipole.

Brian asked if a mobile antenna being a vertical would be good for NVIS. I think he was commenting that perhaps mobile HF might not be as good for *local* emergency communications. I would agree HF might not be the best choice for local personal emergencies. A cell phone likely would be. However to answer the question Brian, you don't really need to rely on NVIS for someone close by on HF. You only need someone with a telephone anywhere in skip-land who can make an emergency long distance call for you...

From -1384734682402092121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 21:39:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A725EE5.EC7DD7FD@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Amateur license renewals lost by FCC
References: <94sqet$3en$0@dosa.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 00:38:45 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.58
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980573899 206.20.144.58 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:38:19 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:38:19 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11576 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14407

Bill Hammack wrote:

> The following notice just arrived in my email:
>

```
> "The FCC has implemented a 180 day grace period to refile before licenses
> will be considered expired.  After the grace period, Amateur license
> holders will have to reapply for their call as a "Vanity" call and pass
> all the required examinations.

>
Troll, troll yer boat but ignoring your utter inanity I have my 1x2 license
nailed to the wall and it doesn't expire until 2008. End of.
>

> Rob Hgien

>
w3rv




From -6996678610665921308
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 21:41:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Jan 2001 05:41:08 GMT
References: <20010126222126.13167.00001050@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010127004108.01651.00000495@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14408

In article <20010126222126.13167.00001050@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
>>> What is NVIS?
>
>>Near Vertical Incidence Skywave
>
>To add a bit to that, it is a term applied to the energy from an antenna that
>is radiated nearly vertically upward from the antenna.  At lower frequencies
>(perhaps up to about 10 MHz or so, under some circumstances), it is returned
>to earth by the ionosphere, relatively close to the transmitting station. It
is
>most useful on 3.5 MHz, and sometimes on 7 MHz, for communications out to
```

>about 100 miles or so.
>
>A vertical antenna radiates very little NVIS, so it is not as good a "local F
>hop" antenna as is a low horizontal dipole.

Very few vertical antennas radiate "end fire" Ed. :-)

Look at US Army and USMC humvees equipped with HF-VHF
radios and long whips. Those are already tied back at a slant
courtesy of an extra little cord and clip. The radiation blob is
now upwards a lot more. Army has been doing that for years.

NVIS technique is standard doctrine in military field radio.

didit

```
From -3002529981181395522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 21:41:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Jan 2001 05:41:07 GMT
References: <94sqph$g29$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010127004107.01651.00000494@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14409
```

In article <94sqph$g29$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, xjim@my-deja.com writes:

>> Actually, you could include a solar panel and/or a hand generator
>> to power it.
>
>The solar panel would be far too fragile, but the hand generator idea
>has promise. There is a Short-Wave receiver on the market, that has a
>clock spring generator, that can power the radio for 30 minutes after
>being wound up. Neat!

The "wind-up" receiver has been around for at least three years,
first mass marketed by a South African company which designed
it. My neighbor has an AM-FM broadcast one that includes a
"fragile" solar cell array. Several pocket calculators have a
"fragile" solar cell array.

The US Army had the 10 to 15 Watt RF output AN/GRC-9 in WW2,
manpack by two men, one carrying the arm-powered generator with
its funny tripod-seat arrangement. Trust me, after a half hour of
continuous winding (peak load on transmit was 40 W max.), the
"winder" was QRT and needed replacing.

All of this is rather old hat and been done before. If anyone is trying
to seriously cruise for new ideas on "emergency power," doubtful
IMHO, then they should investigate leg power. Leg musculature
has more mass and can be conditioned by exercise off of a bike-
mount-like generator adaptation. This leaves the arms and hands
free to control an Rx-Tx.

didit

From -5137390306720955038
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 21:41:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 52
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Jan 2001 05:41:06 GMT
References: <9R2b6.1092$e5.2740349@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC proposal for new Amateur "Colt" License Class
Message-ID: <20010127004106.01651.00000493@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14410

In article <9R2b6.1092$e5.2740349@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>> I can drive 2 miles to an HRO outlet in Burbank, CA. It's in a
>> mini-mall across Buena Vista from another mini-mall. :-)
>
>There were more "local" radio and electronics stores then than now. Mail
>order electronics has become the norm. Back then, to get a part I had to
>drive across town and hope it is stock. Today, I make a phone call and give
>them my credit-card number. :-)

Ed, in Rockford, Illinois of the 1950s there were only TWO stores
that sold radio parts suitable for amateur radio equipment. [that's
where I grew up] I was visiting there last September and there
are now at least FOUR such places. Three of those are also
heavy into carrying computer-related stuff...which should not be
a surprise.

Right now, at home in a suburb of Los Angeles, there are at least THREE stores within a 3-mile driving radius which carry "ham radio parts," one of them the HRO branch mentioned. None of what I mentioned are of the "consumer electronics" chain stores such as Circuit City, Best Buy, or Radio Shack.

Electronics distributors will sell over-the-counter as a general rule. Out here, there's an Avnet place within 2 miles and an Allied Electronics within 10 miles (at the other end of the Valley). Problem is that hardly any distributors or retailers carry "ham radio parts" as such...it is all electronics parts.

Within 4 miles radius of my home there are three "surplus" places but the surplus is electronics manufacturer's surplus, not old WW2 war surplus radio stuff. There might be one in Pasadena still left (dunno, haven't looked there in years) at a distance of 6 miles from my house...they carried military radio stuff back in '71. :-)

I flipped through my Rolodex for electronics parts vendors in my locality and there are over NINETY of them (probably 130 total but I quit counting those that also carried computer goodies)...and none of them are the consumer electronics chain stores or computer things only type places. Only three have walk-in-and browse ham stuff and one of those is another HRO way down in Anaheim over 40 miles away.

In this urban area at least, there is NO dearth of radio parts vendors. That demise of "ham radio stores" may exist in other localities...I have no dispute on that...but few seem to realize that consumer electronics and non-ham electronics hobbyists have grown together in both numbers and variety.

didit

From -3092537393506198997
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-26 21:44:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A726015.AE096592@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
References: <94s5kn$9jq$0@208.206.142.91> <20010126222511.13167.00001052@ng-mb1.aol.com>

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 00:43:49 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.58
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980574203 206.20.144.58 (Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:43:23
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:43:23 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14411


W1RFI wrote:

> I agree; there is considerable room for improvement in that area.  OTOH, I can
> speak of my own work and my good relationship with OET and the Enforcement
> Bureau on RFI matters.  I speak of my own work because I know it better than I
> know about everybody else's. :-)

>
. . . I could but I'll bite my tounge again . .
>

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
b.




From 5259461524030985952
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 07:20:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 15:14:41 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <94uokv$sj0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-
fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94g7ns$j7f$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94hr7q$sn9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94kbmg$1ok$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94klf2$bco$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.188
```

X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 27 15:14:41 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.188
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3589 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14412

In article <94klf2$bco$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com> wrote:

> You just don't seem to understand. Maybe you should ask some of your
> amateur radio friends what the word "hobby" means as it pertains to
> amateur radio.

I think you may be the one who is a bit shy on understanding, Randy. The point is about FRS being used for hobby purposes, NOT Amatuer Radio.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5332440803127390232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 07:40:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 15:30:15 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <94upi8$tba$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9264hr$mt3$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20001225080400.06154.00002210@ng-ff1.aol.com> <927k6q$kus$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94g9q6$kvf$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94gvd8$56n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94hkq7$mbo$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94kc8v$2bh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <Owjb6.1124$e5.2796228@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.188
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 27 15:30:15 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.188
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14413

In article <Owjb6.1124$e5.2796228@news.ntplx.net>,
"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

> Do you think that Len believes that what he says is untrue?

Of course he doesn't, Ed. And some people still think the world is flat and the moon is made of cheese, too.

For the record, a "lie" need not simply be "No, I did not cut down that cherry tree..." would be a direct lie.

However making statements that are deliberately misleading, untruthful, or decietful is also lying.

Lennie's frequent assertions of conspiracy, deception or attempts to manipulate ham radio for some ulterior motive are certainly lies.

The facts are there for all to see, and the ARRL certainly makes every effort to keep it's program well above board. I consider Lennie's assertions of impropriety by the League, it's board members or membership in general to somehow undermine Ham Radio to be a blatant, bitter lie.

In a minor change of subject, I have been trying to wean this thread, yet you and Hans keep seeming to perpetuate it. Things slow these days?

73

Steve, K4YZ
--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4475835644198447777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 07:50:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License

Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 15:43:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <94uqb4$tp3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6D31C5.D8F4C50F@ovis.net> <20010123191033.17535.00001144@nso-fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.188
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 27 15:43:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.188
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14414

In article <20010123191033.17535.00001144@nso-fy.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Ah, so an expression of patriotism for the USA is suddenly
> passe'?

From you...?!?! Yes. YOU are the one always trying to jade others about "being wrapped in patriotic bunting".

Of course, when it's LENNIE that's pontificating, THAT'S alright.

You have made some excellent post's in other threads vis-a-vis spectrum analyzers and such. You should STICK to something you KNOW.

Adios.
--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1780789952643731225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 08:10:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 15:55:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 43

Message-ID: <94ur1v$u70$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94klkl$bno$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010123191032.17535.00001143@nso-fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.188
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 27 15:55:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.188
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14415

In article <20010123191032.17535.00001143@nso-fy.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> While I did not expect boldness and vision in leadership, I am heartened that the BoD went as far as it did. Given the past emphasis on morse code abilities thought necessary for all radio amateurs, the BoD decision seemed to be a Major Upheaval in Attitude! I have to give the BoD a warm round of applause in appreciation of actually getting around to changing its collective mind!

We'll be sure to drop them a line and let them know you have granted your approval.

Of course, I think it's been stated to you on occassions too numerous to count that the ARRL is representitive of it's membership. no the other way around.

It would be inappropriate for the BoD of an organization that espouses democratic principles to tell it's members what it's policies are.

In fact, the ARRL must represent the views of the membership.

Just as it did with the NCT in 1990, the League, once solidly "pro-code", "changed" it's policies on same when it was apparent that the generally held opinion of the membership was no longer the one PREVIOUSLY held.

I fail to see the controversy in that posturing. It's what's SUPPOSED to happen.

Steve

--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -3478501805899331316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,f7f438f31f919ede
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 08:45:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: Dean Arthur <dearthur@silverstar.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 09:38:24 -0600
Organization: FUBAR
Message-ID: <3A72EB72.304E@silverstar.com>
Reply-To: dearthur@silverstar.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04 (Macintosh; I; 68K)
MIME-Version: 1.0
CC: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
<t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 8
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14416
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11594 rec.radio.swap:21855

As with government, if you don't participate, shut your mouth and go
along with what the participants are doing.

If you don't like the policies and practices of the incumbents, then get
off your duff and become one of the leadership so you can put forth your
ideas for better ham radio.




From 7036106671685806144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 09:24:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!
news1.iquest.net!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The knuckle head here is.....
```

```
From: kg9qm@nomail.net (don)
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.9 (Released Version) (x86 32bit)
References: <0vde6t4u5jj1svjg83k90vnsrqspi3laub@4ax.com>
<20010120141321.22806.00000199@ng-fm1.aol.com> <94d5f7$d31$1@208.207.71.177>
<3A6A5878.278A8786@IEEE.org> <94dvnq$id4$1@208.207.71.169>
<94eusj$ih6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94fnu4$sqi$1@208.207.71.164>
<94ifpf$gmc$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94kbfe$mhj$1@208.207.71.163>
<94qfki$fma$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94qsuv$t7v$0@208.206.142.92>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <gtDc6.438$pL5.362271@news1.iquest.net>
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 17:22:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.43.73.73
X-Complaints-To: news-abuse@iquest.net
X-Trace: news1.iquest.net 980616140 209.43.73.73 (Sat, 27 Jan 2001 12:22:20 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 12:22:20 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14417

>
> Suture self, Doc.


Sew what?




From 10372197868319433
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 14:32:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 22:23:41 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <94vhp7$fpe$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A6E68AD.B49B121@bctv.com> <20010125045003.07593.00003976@nso-
ch.aol.com> <94t1cn$m7m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94thj5$bel$0@208.207.71.157>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.185
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 27 22:23:41 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.185
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14419

In article <94thj5$bel$0@208.207.71.157>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > In article <20010125045003.07593.00003976@nso-ch.aol.com>,
> >   yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:

> > > Shhhhh!  Ixnay on the illkay talk -- or the next thing you know,
the
> > > League will be sending out "hit squads" to terminate Life Members
> > > en masse!
> >
> > Larry, shhhhh!  Ixnay on the "ithay quadsey" talk!  Thats why radio
> > commando MD dropped out of sight.  He's on a mission.
>
> Are you growing eyes in the back of your head? Gotcher ears on? He
> maybe close by!

Sulpherous cat gas, Batman, I think Dick is developing a cents of u-mer.

Mike won't be hard to detect.  His labored breathing from dragging that
duffel bag full of call signs will give him away.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -5598839992771632247
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 14:41:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 22:29:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <94vi3g$g4f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<20010125045004.07593.00003977@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.185
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 27 22:29:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.185
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14420
```

In article <20010125045004.07593.00003977@nso-ch.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>,
rasta567@aol.com
> (Rasta567) writes:
>
> >
> >Can anybody tell me what are the benefits of becoming a Life Member
of the
> >ARRL. Thanks
>
> Simple. It's a hedge against future membership dues increases,
> like the one the ARRL Board of Directors just approved. I wish I'd
> invested in a Life Membership 20 years ago!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

You're betting that the ARRL and/or the ARS will be around. You're also
betting that you'll be around.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1980829434094516535
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 14:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!ptdnetP!newsgate.ptd.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 22:36:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <94vihq$gba$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A71237D.44AA0EF0@dvol.com> <20010126054423.12054.00001691@ng-fl1.aol.com> <94s5kn$9jq$0@208.206.142.91>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.185
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 27 22:36:42 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.185
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14421

In article <94s5kn$9jq$0@208.206.142.91>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>

> W1RFI wrote:

> > Even our friend Riley Hollingsworth is trying to give us a message
> > about the effect of what he terms "petty squabbles."
>
> Yeah, but he's concerned with the nonsense frequencies on SSB. As
> N2EY points out, you don't hear that stuff on CW.

Then why does Larry's friend send QFU on CW?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2497449224181900518
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 15:00:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 22:54:49 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <94vjjm$h6f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A722FDA.C4D3A5FF@IEEE.org> <20010126222126.13167.00001050@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <q8k47t82vgphv054j2f2n718n7r91vhi7h@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.185
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 27 22:54:49 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.185
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14422

In article <q8k47t82vgphv054j2f2n718n7r91vhi7h@4ax.com>,
  Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >Near Vertical Incidence Skywave [NVIS]
> >To add a bit to that, it is a term applied to the energy from an
antenna that
> >is radiated nearly vertically upward from the antenna.
>
> Ah, very short skip. Should have said VSS :-). I've noticed it lately
> on 40 meters around noon. A regular in my group about 10 miles away
> who usually runs S5 (on groundwave) has been booming in here at +20
> over with QSB...
>
> >A vertical antenna radiates very little NVIS, so it is not as good a

"local F
> >hop" antenna as is a low horizontal dipole.
>
> Brian asked if a mobile antenna being a vertical would be good for
> NVIS. I think he was commenting that perhaps mobile HF might not be as
> good for *local* emergency communications. I would agree HF might not
> be the best choice for local personal emergencies.

I was getting there. Using a whip tilt adapter would allow NVIS with an
80/75 and 40M whip. Once the ARRL releases that 5mhz band to the rest
of us, it can be added to the NVIS arsenal.

> A cell phone likely
> would be. However to answer the question Brian, you don't really need
> to rely on NVIS for someone close by on HF. You only need someone with
> a telephone anywhere in skip-land who can make an emergency long
> distance call for you...

Yablo Hayspanyol? Guess what happens when they dial 911 for you? Or if
they don't have your local phone book. SW Bell might not give directory
assistance to an Ameritech customer.

Back when I cared a lot about emergency comms, I would carry last years
phone book in my vehicle. I also had a hazmat ID book, repeater
directory, state and county roadmaps, ...

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5373194586729978877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 15:22:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 23:09:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <94vkeu$i1f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010126222126.13167.00001050@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010127004108.01651.00000495@nso-fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.185
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 27 23:09:22 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.185
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14423

In article <20010127004108.01651.00000495@nso-fp.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Look at US Army and USMC humvees equipped with HF-VHF
> radios and long whips. Those are already tied back at a slant
> courtesy of an extra little cord and clip. The radiation blob is
> now upwards a lot more. Army has been doing that for years.
>
> NVIS technique is standard doctrine in military field radio.
>
> didit

Yup, Doctrine! Everyone thinks it's to pass under low overhangs.

But there's such a DX following in amateur radio that these antennas get cutesy labels such as "cloud warmers" and dummy loads and such.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 3561195928867493940
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,fec2419f219d75bc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,fec2419f219d75bc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,fec2419f219d75bc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 16:02:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Jethro Jumbalaya Twain <qwasty@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio
.amateur.antenna
Subject: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 23:46:55 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.228.202.22
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Jan 27 23:46:55 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.228.202.22
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDqwasty
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:1627
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:3651 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14424
```

rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16550

The article is about a replacement for Morse that's easier to learn.
It's a lot like Morse, just a different code.

http://www.eham.net/articles/1681

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3431308879873186647
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 17:00:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!news.tele.dk!130.133.1.3!fu-berlin.de!uni-berlin.de!pd9000aa4.dip.t-dialin.NET!not-for-mail
From: Peter Lemken <plemken@gmx.de>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 01:59:35 +0100
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A736EF7.9F581CBF@gmx.de>
References: <94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pd9000aa4.dip.t-dialin.net (217.0.10.164)
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 980643480 16335201 217.0.10.164 (16 [31])
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:1628
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:3655 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14425
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16554

Jethro Jumbalaya Twain wrote:
>
> The article is about a replacement for Morse that's easier to learn.
> It's a lot like Morse, just a different code.

Read my reply.

Warning: Not for the humor impaired.

Peter Lemken
DF5JT
Berlin

--
DeeeeeeeX'ing in the new Millenium. Scream for an hour and go
check the online logs to see if you gotta scream for an hour again
tomorrow nite.   [KH2D in rec.radio.amateur.dx]

From 9057648458241500063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 17:06:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 01:03:25 GMT
References: <94vjjm$h6f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010127200325.15119.00002077@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14426

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>Once the ARRL releases that 5mhz band to the rest
>of us, it can be added to the NVIS arsenal.

Just a minor correction; it is the FCC, not the ARRL, that will release the
band to the rest of us.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8956683318312972142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 17:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 01:05:12 GMT
References: <94vhp7$fpe$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Message-ID: <20010127200512.15119.00002078@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14427

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>

>Mike won't be hard to detect. His labored breathing from dragging that
>duffel bag full of call signs will give him away.

From what I read on the enforcement logs, that duffel bag is probably quite a bit lighter of late. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4453962250029900008
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 17:10:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 01:07:29 GMT
References: <3A72EB72.304E@silverstar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010127200729.15119.00002079@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14428

>From: Dean Arthur dearthur@silverstar.com

>If you don't like the policies and practices of the incumbents, then get
>off your duff and become one of the leadership so you can put forth your
>ideas for better ham radio.

I have seen no small number of occasions where an ARRL elected position does not have opposition. Of course, running for office and then executing the duties of that office are usually a LOT more work that not liking what the other guy is doing. :-) I certainly would not want the responsibilities. I have found other ways to serve.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3654629190385794492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 17:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna
References: <94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <6jKc6.7966$Tb.1617098@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 19:10:58 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.153.217.139
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 980644162 206.153.217.139 (Sat, 27 Jan 2001 20:09:22 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 20:09:22 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:1629 rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:3656 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14429 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16555

"Jethro Jumbalaya Twain" <qwasty@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> The article is about a replacement for Morse that's easier to learn.
> It's a lot like Morse, just a different code.
>
> http://www.eham.net/articles/1681
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

Another bleater that feels he needs five newsgroups to make a point.

We all know Morse testing is going to disappear, we all know it will take

3-10 years to do so. So your problem is whether to study or moo.

Dick N�BK with apologies for the crosspost

From 2402519406800613987
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 17:14:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 01:11:49 GMT
References: <94ur1v$u70$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010127201149.15119.00002080@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14430

>From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ k4yz@my-deja.com

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>> While I did not expect boldness and vision in leadership, I am
>> heartened that the BoD went as far as it did. Given the past
>> emphasis on morse code abilities thought necessary for all
>> radio amateurs, the BoD decision seemed to be a Major
>> Upheaval in Attitude! I have to give the BoD a warm round of
>> applause in appreciation of actually getting around to changing
>> its collective mind!

>   We'll be sure to drop them a line and let them know you have
>granted your approval.

Len can drop them a line, too. I certainly let Tom Frenaye know what I thought
of the recent ARRL Board action and what I think the ARRL should do about the
US position when the time is right to make that decision.

>   Just as it did with the NCT in 1990, the League, once solidly "pro-
>code", "changed" it's policies on same when it was apparent that the
>generally held opinion of the membership was no longer the one
>PREVIOUSLY held.

>   I fail to see the controversy in that posturing. It's what's
>SUPPOSED to happen.

I certainly hope so, otherwise, I will have to update my resume. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7343954064019749828
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 17:18:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 01:15:36 GMT
References: <94upi8$tba$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Message-ID: <20010127201536.15119.00002081@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14431

>From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ k4yz@my-deja.com

>> Do you think that Len believes that what he says is untrue?

>   Of course he doesn't, Ed.

Then he is not lying. He may be mistaken, but if he is saying what he believes, he is not a liar.

>However making statements that are deliberately misleading,
>untruthful, or decietful is also lying.

If he believes what he says is true, then he is not being deliberately misleading, untruthful or deceitful.

> I consider Lennie's assertions of impropriety by the League,
> it's board members or membership in general to somehow undermine
> Ham Radio to be a blatant, bitter lie.

They are only lies if Len believes that they are not true, but says them anyway. If you didn't lie when you said that you do not think he believes them to untrue, then he is not lying.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9201861501777298842
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 17:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 01:50:01 GMT
References: <6jKc6.7966$Tb.1617098@nntp1.onemain.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010127205001.15091.00002511@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14432

>From: "Richard McCollum"

>> http://www.eham.net/articles/1681

>Another bleater that feels he needs five newsgroups to make a point.

Unless AOL is lying to me again, this post should go only to rrap. :-)

>We all know Morse testing is going to disappear, we all know it will take
>3-10 years to do so. So your problem is whether to study or moo.

Actually, that code may very well be legal on HF. I see no reason that a "digital" signal could not be OOK CW, which is a legal modulation on HF. The other requirement is that a digital code sent must be publically documented. I would have to check with a few legal types, but I bet this would meet the requirements, under the same provisions that allow PSK-31, AMTOR, and a number of others.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8390200753723135307
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 17:52:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>

Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7uf7b2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A6CCEB3.B3879178@dvol.com> <3A6CEAFD.E61D1DEF@dvol.com> <3a6d0749$0$30009$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <t6r46410u1fse4@corp.supernews.com> <94kfpb$4ta$0@208.207.71.163> <t6rshu9fojg81@corp.supernews.com> <3a6e0879$0$30003$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <3A6F6DC2.C776E7DA@uci.edu> <3a6f8391$0$29999$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7qny42.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3a7249ab$0$35020$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 46
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 01:51:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980646711 206.58.44.61 (Sun, 28 Jan 2001 01:51:51 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 01:51:51 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14433

On Fri, 26 Jan 2001 23:07:51 -0500, Larry Klose wrote:

>Prior to April 15, 2000, a person who took a 13 or 20 wpm code and
>fails it, was adversely effected by 47 CFR 97.503(a)(2)&(3);
>therefore, had standing.

Correct.

>So far, no one need to prove standing.

Depends on the proceeding. I'm sensitive to "standing" - I represented a (commercial) frequency coordination group in a fight against a specific Commission license grant in opposition to the coordinator's recommendation and was shot down by the grantee (and ultimately by the Commission) on the basis that my client did not have standing - we interpreted various Public Notices as granting us standing but "the fix was in" and the Bureau backed down. We won in the end, though -the business was sold and the new owners cancelled the license.

As I explained in an earlier posting, one of the obligations in Federal civil litigation is for the petitioner to show that that court has jurisdiction and that the petitioner has standing to bring the suit.

Indeed the FCC took action on their own intitiative, to wit:

The FCC can ALWAYS do so in accordance with their Congressional mandate to regulate the use of the spectrum. You will see

this indicated in documents as "action _sua sponte_" or"action
on [its/our] own motion".

>After the Commission put that ink on the parchment, how hard to do think
>they will fight the keep ITU rule S25.5 afloat.

They couldn't care less, but "Inertia is a Terrible Thing".

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 8170075761566882453
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 17:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 01:52:44 GMT
References: <t737c6iuj25v1d@news.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010127205244.15091.00002512@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14434

>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)

>It's not hard ED, the league knew how its members felt long before the
>issue came up from results of member surveys, but the board of directors
>choose to stick their heads up their rectums and ignored us.

As I remember that survey, >50% of ARRL members wanted 4 classes of license or less. The ARRL asked for 4 classes of license. >50% of those who answered the survey wanted a General Class code speed of 5 wpm or less (0 wpm). >50% of those wanted a maximum code speed of 12/13 wpm or less. Go back and look at the article that published the survey results again.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -6553705935945484050
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 18:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: 28 Jan 2001 02:07:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <94vutn$fo1$0@208.206.142.105>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
<t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com> <94sdsl$3k6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A724BC0.61ABA1B@aznet.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14435
```

RyanCA wrote:

> K0HB wrote:
>
> > Mostly the early amateurs that "amateur radio was built on" were a
> > bunch of unruly rascals who roamed the spectrum at will, interfering
> > with commercial and military stations alike, and had to be rounded up
> > and corralled at "200 meters and below". Far worse than today's CB
> > crowd by a long shot!
>
> Very gentlemanly though I once heard. Oh Well, they never gave up and survived.

I don't think that Hiram P. Maxim would agree that they were an "unruly bunch".

Every radio signal within range interfered with every other radio signal in those days of very wideband spark transmitters, very low noisy frequencies and characteristically poor receive sensitivity, particularly in many if not most homebrew ham recievers. Certainly the QRM sure wasn't intentional, just an artifact of the technology and lack of regulation of the day. As today, hams often transmitted without realizing that the frequency might have been busy,since they often could hear only one side of the contact and that when that side was recieving the frequency would be quiet.

The chaos on radio in those early days can't be laid to the "unruly hams". It was a part of the evolution of radio communication that hams had started.

Marconi always considered himself a ham although he never held a ham radio license.

Dick

```
From -3530047104376603803
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 18:28:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: 28 Jan 2001 02:26:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <950014$jb2$0@208.206.142.105>
References: <3A71237D.44AA0EF0@dvol.com> <20010126054423.12054.00001691@ng-fl1.aol.com> <94s5kn$9jq$0@208.206.142.91> <94vihq$gba$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14436
```

Brian wrote:

```
>
> In article <94s5kn$9jq$0@208.206.142.91>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
>
> > > Even our friend Riley Hollingsworth is trying to give us a message
> > > about the effect of what he terms "petty squabbles."
> >
> > Yeah, but he's concerned with the nonsense frequencies on SSB. As
> > N2EY points out, you don't hear that stuff on CW.
>
> Then why does Larry's friend send QFU on CW?
```

I dunno. QFU isn't on my Q-signal list. I've never heard it on the air.

Maybe he's coining a new one. Maybe that's another urban legend.

From 2376553365317083065
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 18:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 02:38:31 GMT
References: <xEmc6.1229$e5.3045170@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010127213831.00714.00004492@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14438

In article <xEmc6.1229$e5.3045170@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>
>In its comments to the FCC wrt restructuring, the ARRL you espouse so
>strongly asked the FCC to increase the technical content of the written
>exams to offset any changes in Morse-code testing.

Ed:

All of which makes me axe the musical question -- What does increasing the technical content of the written exams have to do with testing to ensure proficiency in a practical, manual communications skill? The two concepts are not mutually exclusive. So why, then, are supposedly intelligent and presumably educated people implying that they are?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8147999327818811084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 18:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 02:38:32 GMT
References: <20010126055408.12054.00001694@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Top 10 Problems facing Amteur Radio? (was Re: ARRL code test
Message-ID: <20010127213832.00714.00004494@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14437

In article <20010126055408.12054.00001694@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: Brian Kelly kelly@dvol.com
>
>>Have any idea when you might be in Philly? N2EY and self will
>>pop for the lobster at Bookbinders.
>
>No, but I will try to keep you posted. My next trip is to Miami next weekend.
>
>

Philly is close enough to me...let me know when it is, and if it's
on a Saturday, I'll try to join you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4151343233809607182
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 18:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!207.172.3.44.MISMATCH!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 02:38:31 GMT
References: <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010127213831.00714.00004493@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14439

In article <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>Then you are concerned about his code speed. 0 is as real a number as 5.
>
>If you ARE concerned about people having a code speed, you would be concerned
>about the large number of hams who pass their code tests and never use the
>code. Those who do not operate are also at 0 wpm.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

I agree, which is why I now advocate the code tests being dropped altogether. Five WPM isn't fast enough to approach the proficiency which would give a code learner the confidence to try out his skills on-the-air. I never transmitted so much as a "dit" before I passed my 5 WPM test; nobody did, actually. However, it was months of regular on-the-air practice which got me from 5 WPM to 20 WPM -- and that would not have happened without the fun and excitement of my on-the-air experience. And, the better I got at CW, the less thrilled I became at 'phone. I was originally licensed in '81, and by '87, I was pretty much finished with HF 'phone. A particularly henious experience on 10-meters in '91 put the final nail in that coffin! Unfortunately, with a 5 WPM maximum code testing speed, the Morse code learning process starts and stops at the same time. Therefore, what good is the test anymore? The only problem now is to figure out how to retain CW spectrum -- which, in the absence of relevant testing, is going to be a neat trick!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7433075432553507400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 18:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <gl177tcjge2rke0h8o0e500v7i1tqlo2th@4ax.com>
References: <3A722FDA.C4D3A5FF@IEEE.org> <20010126222126.13167.00001050@ng-mb1.aol.com> <q8k47t82vgphv054j2f2n718n7r91vhi7h@4ax.com> <94vjjm$h6f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Lines: 15
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 02:40:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980649622 209.86.205.98 (Sat, 27 Jan 2001 18:40:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 18:40:22 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14440

Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>Or if they don't have your local phone book.

If you travel only in your local area, I don't suggest HF for personal emergencies. Cell phones are the best. However if you're traveling cross country, *by any route*, you can't beat HF for continuous coverage...

Probably the most likely personal emergency need for me would be if I had a vehicle breakdown 50 miles from the nearest town. I have a 1-800 number to call for that, which would be easy to relay on HF if the cell phone was dead. In a real emergency like a serious illness or accident, I would just have the HF ham contact his local authorities and give them the information. They would then make the appropriate calls...

From 5571775810895760715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 18:58:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 02:56:20 GMT
References: <gtDc6.438$pL5.362271@news1.iquest.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The knuckle head here is.....
Message-ID: <20010127215620.00714.00004496@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14441

In article <gtDc6.438$pL5.362271@news1.iquest.net>, kg9qm@nomail.net (don) writes:

>> Suture self, Doc.
>
>
>Sew what?

Cat gut your tongue?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8243310381485479929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 19:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <6jKc6.7966$Tb.1617098@nntp1.onemain.com>
<20010127205001.15091.00002511@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <M1Mc6.549$9m.1894477@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 21:09:23 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.3.67.23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 980651244 207.3.67.23 (Sat, 27 Jan 2001 22:07:24 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 22:07:24 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14442

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010127205001.15091.00002511@ng-cs1.aol.com...
> >From: "Richard McCollum"
>
> >> http://www.eham.net/articles/1681
>
> >Another bleater that feels he needs five newsgroups to make a point.
>
> Unless AOL is lying to me again, this post should go only to rrap. :-)
>
> >We all know Morse testing is going to disappear, we all know it will
take
> >3-10 years to do so. So your problem is whether to study or moo.
>
> Actually, that code may very well be legal on HF. I see no reason that
a
> "digital" signal could not be OOK CW, which is a legal modulation on HF.
The
> other requirement is that a digital code sent must be publically
documented. I

> would have to check with a few legal types, but I bet this would meet the
> requirements, under the same provisions that allow PSK-31, AMTOR, and a number
> of others.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

You did hold it to one. This is a variation on a code used by POWs in the
Civil War that supposedly was never cracked. To make it writable, it used
two # and two X. In tap form, it used 4 bits to indicate the box and 9
for position.

I think (or methinks if you like) the key phrase is 'easier to learn' than
Morse. Perhaps my feelers are set a bit tight but escaping a code test
sure seemed to be the object of the game.
Personally, I am getting tired of the whole verstunken debate; providing a
path for the 5wpm contingent to get to journeyman levels is far more
important to the hobby than defending the medium from bleating cattle.

Dick

From -453365738315619178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 19:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!intermedia!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 03:31:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 125
Message-ID: <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.75
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 03:31:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.75
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14443

In article <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-mb1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Bob Wex w2ilp

>
> >The ARRL could be a driving force to do so...but
> >apparently it is not at the present time interested in strengthining
> >the basis and purpose of the hobby.
>
> The ARRL is not interested in doing it exactly the way you say it should, so in
> your mind, it is not doing it at all.
>
> It is too bad; the things you are choosing to say to tear down amateur radio to
> be "right" are undermining the very principles you stand for.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed..I'm sorry if you think that my intention is to "tear down" ham radio. I don't want to do that. I just want to make you aware of the present sociology, technology and economics that may result in the "tear down" of ham radio...at least ham radio as most of us would like to see it. Many hams recognize the same problems that I see and are disgusted by the way things are going. I'm not a goody-good. As an engineer and as a teacher I often get people disgusted when I present facts that seem pessimistic...BUT somebody has to tell them that the Emperor is nude. I take such tasks on myself and don't mind being at risk for it.

The fact that ham radio (as most of us know it and want it to survive) is becoming obsolete has been a subject even among the most avid hams. Some have been disgusted since 1951 when the original technician licenses were issued. The idea of ham radio without short wave (HF) was considered a new dimention that polarized the HF hams from the VHF and above ones. Now we are entering a new era. Morse code as a ham requirement is being deemphisized worldwide. Will that get more Technicians to eventually work the HF bands? Perhaps it will. Perhaps some Techs see the hobby as two meter repeater clunking and that is their only bag. The modes and the frequencies that individual hams want to use should not divide them socially...
BUT
Now there are other communicators who can use cell phones and the Internet for fun and games as well as for business and household applications. Lots of hams also use cell-phones and the Internet. DXers are sending QSLs via e-mail and there is a lot of relaying between the medias. This might not mean much to each ham involved but there is certainly going to be a metamorphasis of hams leaving the ham bands for more practical communicating methods which might better fit their needs and take up their valuable time. Can old timer hams truthfully recommend ham radio to youngsters? I would like to do so myself...BUT everytime I try to sell our hobby to an inteligent young potential techie, I find it more impossible.

It has always been my opinion that ham radio can be saved...not just as it is now but as a valuable technical educational asset. To do so ham radio leaders must rethink the hobby. Like an old computer, the hobby needs a lot of up-grading if it is to remain competative. The basis of the hobby must be organized so as to give it NEW purpose. If it sticks to present modes and methods it can not be accepted as an modern emergency asset, nor as an intermittent messanger of international good-will. It is obsolete when comparede to unlicensed communication as well as to the organization of commercial witrless services and the World Wide Web. If the ham licenses are to be given away without real incentives they can hold no value and their holders can thus hold no proof of being a national or international asset.

Once upon a time there were two ham handbooks. "The Radio Amateur's Handbook" was an ARRL publication. "The Radio Handbook" was a publication of the Editors and Engineers. "The Radio Handbook" was labeled: - "The Standard of the Field - for advanced amateurs, practical radiomen, practical engineers, practical technicians". The ARRL was in the north east, but the Editor's and Engineers were westerners and had a somewhat different slant on what ham technology was about. The editor of the latter has very recently passed away. He was Bill Orr, W6SAI. First Lew McCoy...Now Bill Orr...Maybe their passing is a sign of the end of the golden era of ham radio; The time when senior hams were respected for their technical abilities. Bill worked for Eimac and was in tune with the rapidly developing electronic industries of California and the other western states...not just New England, New York and New Jersey. I mention this because even though Ham Radio is National and International, it draws on the progress of hams in different environments, who apply technologies at different rates and have different foresight. If ham radio stops attracting such innovators as McCoy and Orr it will not progress in the coming century. Large corporations will not research and develop any innovative equipment for the dwindling ham population...even some of the Japanese corporations may drop out of the picture. If a new mode of modulation was developed, could it be given FCC approval and widely accepted among hams as single-sideband was in the 50s? There is no Art Collins and his crew at Cedar Rapids, no Collins Radio (It was bought by Rockwell International).

Even if there are capable ham experimenters, can they afford to revolutionize the hobby and bring it up to modern standards? How many world class electrical engineers are now willing to devote most of their working efforts to ham radio? I dunno for sure, but the present trends all seem in the wrong direction and ill conceived.

Hams need more incentives, more license classes, more ham exams...and more respect.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (I Like Progress)

There are a thousand hacking at the branches of
evil to one who is hacking at the root.
--Henry David Thoreau--

A great statesman is he who knows when to depart
from traditions, as well as when to adhere to them.
--John Stuart Mill--

In our complex world, there cannot be fruitful
initiative without government, but unfortunately
there can be government without initiative.
-Bertrand Russel--

A government that can let anyone be a ham, can
eventually let no one be a ham.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6334091316904013776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 20:20:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 04:16:27 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
References: <t6rf26jedi6m5f@corp.supernews.com> <20010124183521.18775.00001116@ng-da1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.76.6b
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 04:16:30 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14444

On 24 Jan 2001 23:35:21 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>I have little doubt that the ARRL Board will re-examine the issue when it
>appears that it will come up at the US regulatory level. I don't know what
>decision the Board will reach. That will depend on member input, I am sure.
>Rest assured, I will be communicating with Tom on a regular basis on a lot of
>issues, and this will be one of them. I would imagine that your note to Mr Race
>told him what you thought the results of the re-examination should be. The
>Board will probably re-examine it, but could decide to drop it, to keep it the
>same, or to ask for some sort of change.
>
>My personal view is that the "Sohl" proposal, as I call it after his

>Correspondence piece in QST, to keep some code-copying testing in the exams,
>but include the results of the questions along with all of the other questions.
> That keeps code testing on a par with other modes that are important to
>amateur radio operation.

My my, you take a business trip to London for a few days and
return to find major upheaval in the policy department.
Well done ARRL! I disagree with the possibile retention
of a code test for USA, but that's far enough out that I am
quite happy with the change regarding S25.5.

As to my "Sohl" proposal ala QST some years back...I'd not
oppose having some questions along the lines of those
similar to the questions regarding knowledge of the phonetic alphabet.
(e.g. The morse code characters for SOS is: dididit dahdahdah dididit
with other answers being incorrect versions).

>As a code guy, I think it is important that the applicant be exposed to the
>code from the getgo and that he or she be given as much opportunity to learn it
>as other modes. To me, this is probably a compromise position that both sides
>should consider.

I think that will be determined more by the FCC than anything
else. Time will tell.

Again, kudos to ARRL...and thankfully I renewed for 3 years
a few months ago before the now approved dues increase :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
ARRL LGL
NCI BOD

From -6545409511293614052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 20:20:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 04:16:28 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3a739cc7.10560697@news.mindspring.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com> <94qr7b$qdl$0@208.206.142.92>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com

NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.76.6b
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 04:16:31 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14445

On 26 Jan 2001 03:34:03 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> The problem is, as you note, "if I ran across the...".
>> The reality is that there isn't any common HF assigned
>> emergency frequency that people (hams) might be able
>> to monitor for that unexpected emergency.
>
> *OF COURSE* there is! Every state has at least one emergency frequency.
>As always, you naturally assume that no one on a SSB frequency would
>ever have any reason to use CW instead of SSB.

And just where are those "every state has one" frequencies
posted or found? Part 97? ARRL web site? Is someone
keeping this all a secret?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -5918600071262758982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 20:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 04:25:26 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3a739e20.10905563@news.mindspring.com>
References: <94qpg9$lks$0@208.206.142.92> <20010126054611.12054.00001692@ng-fl1.aol.com> <94s3jg$4l6$0@208.206.142.91>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.76.6b
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 04:25:37 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14446

On 26 Jan 2001 15:03:12 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:

```
>>
>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>> >> I don't believe that Larry is going through all of the work of serving on
>> >> the NCI Board because he feels that doing so is easier than learning the
>> >> code.
>>
>> >No, it's an inflated sense of selfpity.
>>
>> And why do you feel that Bill Sohl and Carl Stevenson are serving on the
>> NCI Board?
>
> Probably because they don't see any value in knowing how to use CW on
>the air, I'd imagine.

Minor correction here:  I (I'll speak for myself only) don't see any
value in requiring EVERY ham with an HF license to know
how to use CW on the air.  For those that use CW, enjoy CW,
that is a personal value that I can't and won't argue against.
To each his own.

>But so far they don't keep insisting it's a
>Federal civil rights case, at least on rrap.

Personal opinion follows:  In my opinion, I think a
case could probably be made today on the ADA issue
of code requirments...BUT, I don't believe such a case
would be easily won, nor would it be inexpensive...and hence
I see no point in attempting such nor in argueing pro
or con on that basis.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From -2790612676788730508
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d34167fdf435f4cf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 21:20:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
64.86.63.5.MISMATCH!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
newsfeed.infoave.net.MISMATCH!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Enough already
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 00:19:12 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3A73ABAA.3390872C@sccoast.net>
References: <3A6A5662.106B5865@IEEE.org> <20010121085336.10070.00000577@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <94g7mn$96b$0@208.206.142.95>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-128.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 980659121 323730 204.116.70.129 (28 Jan 2001 05:18:41 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 05:18:41 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14447

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > How would we feel if all of the CB
> > newsgroup posts were cross-posted here?
> > Do you think it would be disruptive?
>
> I'd feel like some idjut like Cecil
> deliberately added their NGs to some
> response post just to stir things up.
> Not the first time either.

Actually, Dick, rec.radio.cb was added to this thread by Stewart R Teaze, the person who started this thread. And, I'm sure he added rec.radio.cb because CB'ers are members of the radio community and therefore might have some interest in a discussion about a proposed new radio service.

Of course, since then, it has become very obvious that some CB'ers don't want to be considered part of the radio community, and are therefore not interested in discussions about that. So, I'm sure Stewart R Teaze will take that into consideration before adding that newsgroup to future discussions.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -6790511110043646498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 22:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!

newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 27 Jan 2001 23:54:32 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A73B418.2D8FA4FE@IEEE.org>
References: <94vjjm$h6f$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127200325.15119.00002077@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.b8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 06:01:51 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14448

W1RFI wrote:
> Just a minor correction; it is the FCC, not the ARRL, that will release the
> band to the rest of us.

I'm ready. My IC-706 is already unlocked. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -5552512571372835646
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-27 22:14:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 00:03:08 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A73B61C.981E1BB5@IEEE.org>
References: <94qpg9$lks$0@208.206.142.92> <20010126054611.12054.00001692@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <94s3jg$4l6$0@208.206.142.91> <3a739e20.10905563@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.b8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 06:12:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14449

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Personal opinion follows: In my opinion, I think a
> case could probably be made today on the ADA issue
> of code requirments...BUT, I don't believe such a case
> would be easily won, nor would it be inexpensive...and hence
> I see no point in attempting such nor in argueing pro
> or con on that basis.

Somebody recently reported that such a case had been presented
to the courts and the ADA won. I certainly don't see any benefit
at all for a deaf person having to learn Morse code unless he/she
simply wants the gigantic challenge of reading Morse code while
looking at an LED or feeling of a speaker in the normally noisy HF
environment.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5972418227740110373
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 00:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: 28 Jan 2001 08:29:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <950la5$e8b$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <6jKc6.7966$Tb.1617098@nntp1.onemain.com>
<20010127205001.15091.00002511@ng-cs1.aol.com>
<M1Mc6.549$9m.1894477@nntp3.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14450

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> Personally, I am getting tired of the whole verstunken debate; providing a
> path for the 5wpm contingent to get to journeyman levels is far more
> important to the hobby than defending the medium from bleating cattle.
>

Yes. And so I have stated on numerous occasions,though that fact seems tohave been overlooked in their zeal to overpower it still, even though it has already been killed. The code test in toto is as dead as the molasses of officialdom will allow it to be, tho it won't totally disappear for an unknown number of years hence due to that very effect. And with the ingenuity of those whose whole intent seems bent on avoiding learning Morse at all costs, even to the poinbt of advocating the "tap code" as it's replacement, the idea of persuading many of them to voluntarily become skilled to useful Morse speeds doesn't seem to hold much realistic promise.

Dick

```
From 1438960202708538870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 00:34:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 28 Jan 2001 08:33:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <950lhn$e8b$1@208.207.71.134>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com> <94qr7b$qdl$0@208.206.142.92>
<3a739cc7.10560697@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14451
```

Bill Sohl wrote:

```
>
> On 26 Jan 2001 03:34:03 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>
> >> The problem is, as you note, "if I ran across the...".
> >> The reality is that there isn't any common HF assigned
> >> emergency frequency that people (hams) might be able
> >> to monitor for that unexpected emergency.
> >
> > *OF COURSE* there is! Every state has at least one emergency frequency.
```

> >As always, you naturally assume that no one on a SSB frequency would
> >ever have any reason to use CW instead of SSB.
>
> And just where are those "every state has one" frequencies
> posted or found? Part 97? ARRL web site? Is someone
> keeping this all a secret?

Uh, Bill, order the ARRL publication "ARRL Net Directory".

From -7148907310571856089
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 02:42:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!xmission!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 10:33:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <950sim$fil$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94vhp7$fpe$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127200512.15119.00002078@ng-cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.44
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 10:33:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.44
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14452

In article <20010127200512.15119.00002078@ng-cs1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
> >
>
> >Mike won't be hard to detect. His labored breathing from dragging
that
> >duffel bag full of call signs will give him away.
>
> From what I read on the enforcement logs, that duffel bag is probably
quite a
> bit lighter of late. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I ran a QRZ.com search a couple of weeks ago. Not much is turning up on
him anymore.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 9116737129826123749
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 04:32:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 06:30:00 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.219
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 980684861 205.138.126.219 (Sun, 28 Jan 2001 07:27:41 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 07:27:41 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14453

> Kerry King wrote:
>
> > The California power crisis is not due to what we have been led to
> > believe. Instead, it is the fault of the internet.

Like a lot of people, I kind of blew this bit of opinion off.
Deregulation was handled so poorly in CA that a collapse was inevitable.
Nothing new has changed that situation. But the suddenness and ferocity
of the collapse may have indeed been influenced by the New Economy.

	1990	1996	1997	2000
Demand GW-H	240k	258k	250k	300k
Capacity (gigawatts)	55	56	53.6	53.8

Capacity excludes out-of-state and micropower.

What the hell happened? The only thing I know for sure is that Silicon
Valley's consumption has grown at over 8% per year. The man has a point
albeit a fairly small one.

The 'deregulation that wasn't' is adequately summarized in the Economist: "...California reforms are a ragbag of muddled half-measures and downright anti-competitive clauses".

But the man who predicted this whether deregulated or not would have to have a big red S on his sweatshirt.

Dick N�BK

```
From -3728134080694918929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 05:21:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 13:20:20 GMT
References: <950lhn$e8b$1@208.207.71.134>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010128082020.21683.00001748@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14454
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Uh, Bill, order the ARRL publication "ARRL Net Directory".

It is available on-line at:

http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/nets/

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 187297597478745190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 05:26:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 13:24:51 GMT
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14455

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>I disagree with the possibile retention of a code test for USA, but that's far

>enough out that I am quite happy with the change regarding S25.5.

The Board chose not to change the US policy at this time.

>As to my "Sohl" proposal ala QST some years back...I'd not
>oppose having some questions along the lines of those
>similar to the questions regarding knowledge of the phonetic alphabet.

How would you feel about the test containing 5 minutes of Morse code at 5 wpm, and a reasonable number of questions about its content?

>Again, kudos to ARRL...and thankfully I renewed for 3 years
>a few months ago before the now approved dues increase :-)

I did it after they announced the dues increase, but it is not too late; the increase goes into effect in July. Every time the League raises dues, there is an increase in multi-year renewals. I don't even mind paying the increase, but I would rather make a contribution to something specific of interest to me, like the Big Project or the ARRL Lab Test Equipment fund. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7586535299163657151
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 05:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 13:30:32 GMT
References: <20010127213831.00714.00004492@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010128083032.21683.00001751@ng-mj1.aol.com>

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14456

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> What does increasing the technical content of the written exams
> have to do with testing to ensure proficiency in a practical,
> manual communications skill?

The rationale, if memory serves, is that the ARRL wanted to see the overall level of difficulty and accomplishment remain the same.

IMHO, the relationship is indirect, at best. OTOH, I believe that testing for an understanding of the technical fundamentals is more valuable to the Amateur Radio Service than is testing about Morse code decoding proficiency.

> So why, then, are supposedly intelligent and presumably educated people
> implying that they are?

You tell me, Larry; I am sure if I did a deja search I would find you stating that Morse code testing is required because the written exams are "easily" memorized, or otherwise inadequate.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6326304793669865604
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 05:34:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 13:31:30 GMT
References: <950014$jb2$0@208.206.142.105>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010128083130.21683.00001752@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14457

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>QFU isn't on my Q-signal list. I've never heard it on the air.

I have heard it on the air and I didn't need a list to know exactly what it meant.

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2655621165438719363
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 05:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 13:33:05 GMT
References: <94vutn$fo1$0@208.206.142.105>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010128083305.21683.00001753@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14458

>From: Dick Carroll

>Marconi always considered himself a ham although he never held a ham
>radio license.

I think he should have been grandfathered and just given one. I don't think, however, that his code speed would have been high enough that he could have been allowed on more than the Tech+ bands on HF.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3983038937516632077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 05:52:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 66
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 13:51:17 GMT
References: <M1Mc6.549$9m.1894477@nntp3.onemain.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010128085117.21683.00001754@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14459

>From: "Richard McCollum"

>I think (or methinks if you like) the key phrase is 'easier to learn' than
>Morse. Perhaps my feelers are set a bit tight but escaping a code test
>sure seemed to be the object of the game.

If that is the object of the game, the game has been lost. If legal, it can be used on VHF by those who have not passed a code test (so can Morse code), but to use a digital mode on HF, under the present rules, one would require a Technician license and the HF endorsement granted by passing a 5 wpm test.

> providing a path for the 5wpm contingent to get to journeyman levels is
> far more important to the hobby than defending the medium from
> bleating cattle.

If those of us who enjoy Morse code want to see newcomers use and enjoy the mode, too, you are quite right -- ways of getting people from 5 wpm to higher speeds are pretty important.

I am NOT impressed with the methods being used. To the contrary, I believe that the anger, the bitterness and the name calling that is being done by some to "defend" the mode is setting the stage for failure. Telling newcomers that Morse code is a difficult task that will test their character and help keep the riff-raff out of ham radio will not send a message that this is something they can use and enjoy. A message that explains why it is enjoyable, why it is effective and letting them see love of the mode in your eyes and in your words will be much more likely to gain converts that much of what I have seen here.

I think most of us realize that, sooner, or later, the Morse code requirement for testing will either be dropped or significantly changed. If it is, many of those things that are being said right now by people who claim to be Morse code proponents will be more likely to keep people away from the mode than to encourage them to use it. Think for a minute about what you will say to encourage new hams to use code if the code test is no longer part of the the requirements. THOSE words are the ones you should be using right now, to the large number of hams who have not yet passed a code test and to the ones who have passed a code test, but are not yet trying out the mode. I have seen the collective memory of the Amateur Radio Service over incentive licensing. Over a quarter of a century later, the ill will still echoes in our circles. Don't doubt for a minute that this next generation of hams will remember what was said today, whether they have to remember if 3 years hence, or 10.

Those who really want to preserve the use of Morse code on the ham bands should be encouaging new hams to give it a try. They should be forming slow-code nets, and looking for those 5 wpm CQs and giving them a nice QSO and some affirmation that this is a fun mode that will bring value and enjoyment to their use of it. Where are these things? Has ARRL done them? Has FISTS? Have you? All I see by those who may think they are "defending" Morse code is posturing and name calling. IMHO, taking real steps to get people from 5 wpm to what you term "journeyman" levels is more valuable than the use of the term "bleating cattle" on rrap.

I noted in the ARRL Board minutes that the Board has directed ARRL HQ staff to take steps to promote the use of Morse code on the bands. At this point, I don't know what those steps will be, nor am I sure that ARRL will actually pull this one off, but I sure intend to offer my input to what may be needed to make that happen. Although at this time, I have a very short list of what will help, perhaps the first thing on the list is to drop all the name calling and bring back the fun.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 5223169384925096672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 05:56:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 13:55:05 GMT
References: <950la5$e8b$0@208.207.71.134>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010128085505.21683.00001756@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14460
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Yes. And so I have stated on numerous occasions,though that fact seems
>tohave been overlooked in their zeal to overpower it still, even though
>it has already been killed.

Morse code use on the bands has not been killed at all, Dick. Are you really trying to destroy is just to be "right" in this debate? If so, you are wrong.

> the idea of persuading many of them to voluntarily become skilled to useful
> Morse speeds doesn't seem to hold much realistic promise.

If you really are giving up, perhaps you could stand back and let those with a more positive outlook take over from here. Your choice of words is really trying to hasten the demise of my favorite mode. I disagree with you that Morse code use is unable to stand on its own merits.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8350574202553732627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 06:00:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 13:57:52 GMT
References: <gl177tcjge2rke0h8o0e500v7i1tqlo2th@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010128085752.21683.00001757@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14461

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>In a real emergency like a serious illness or
>accident, I would just have the HF ham contact his local authorities
>and give them the information. They would then make the appropriate
>calls...

The SSB County Hunters and Mobile Emergency net meets daily and continously when the band is open on 14.336 MHz. The CW net meets on 14.0565, but the operation is a bit more sporadic. If I had a mobile emergency, I would break the 336 net and be pretty confident that someone would have good propagation to me and would ensure that I got help.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1650110577113248444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 06:32:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 14:23:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <951a0i$o48$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <950014$jb2$0@208.206.142.105> <20010128083130.21683.00001752@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.108
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 14:23:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.108
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14462

In article <20010128083130.21683.00001752@ng-mj1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >QFU isn't on my Q-signal list. I've never heard it on the air.
>
> I have heard it on the air and I didn't need a list to know exactly
> what it meant.

Maybe it was sent with a "Coconut Boat Swing" and he couldn't copy it.

Poor Dick.  You have to wonder if he operates at all.  ;)


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 4146387726828281816
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 06:43:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 14:30:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <951adv$ogt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94vjjm$h6f$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127200325.15119.00002077@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.108
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 14:30:22 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.108
```

```
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14463

In article <20010127200325.15119.00002077@ng-cs1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>
> >Once the ARRL releases that 5mhz band to the rest
> >of us, it can be added to the NVIS arsenal.
>
> Just a minor correction; it is the FCC, not the ARRL, that will
release the
> band to the rest of us.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I thought it was the ARRL that picked the beta-testers of the band, so
it would be the ARRL that lets the rest of us on it.  I stand corrected.

;)


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -8997970043387032553
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 07:10:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 15:09:44 GMT
References: <20010128083305.21683.00001753@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010128100944.02315.00004300@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14464

In article <20010128083305.21683.00001753@ng-mj1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Marconi always considered himself a ham although he never held a ham
```

>>radio license.
>
>I think he should have been grandfathered and just given one. I don't think,
>however, that his code speed would have been high enough that he could have
>been allowed on more than the Tech+ bands on HF.

Ed:

I think you're thinking about Lee DeForrest. Marconi was a proficient
CW operator. I don't think DeForrest ever knew the Morse code.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2025200063832511395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 07:10:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 134
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 15:09:43 GMT
References: <20010128085117.21683.00001754@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010128100943.02315.00004299@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14466

In article <20010128085117.21683.00001754@ng-mj1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>> providing a path for the 5wpm contingent to get to journeyman levels is
>> far more important to the hobby than defending the medium from
>> bleating cattle.

>If those of us who enjoy Morse code want to see newcomers use and enjoy the
>mode, too, you are quite right -- ways of getting people from 5 wpm to higher
>speeds are pretty important.
>
>I am NOT impressed with the methods being used. To the contrary, I believe
>that the anger, the bitterness and the name calling that is being done by
>some
>to "defend" the mode is setting the stage for failure. Telling newcomers
>that
>Morse code is a difficult task that will test their character and help keep

>the riff-raff out of ham radio will not send a message that this is something
>they can use and enjoy.

Ed:

I agree with the above, but there's only one problem -- it is the people who were AGAINST code testing who were making those claims! All of the anger, bitterness, and name calling was started by those who sought to avoid code testing by discrediting those who were in favor of it on the basis that they were being mean-spirited and not being "inclusive" in their attitude toward newcomers to ham radio. The touchy-feely, politically-correct liberals whined, and the League, the equipment manufacturers, and the ham radio publications took up their cause, because they knew on which side their bread was buttered -- or so they thought!

>A message that explains why it is enjoyable, why it is
>effective and letting them see love of the mode in your eyes and in your
>words
>will be much more likely to gain converts that much of what I have seen here.

Which is exactly the message the pro-code test people have been giving all along -- in addition to largely ignored offers to assist people in learning the Morse code. The problem is -- nobody wants to make the required effort. A classic "You can lead a horse to water, but you can't make him drink" scenario. Just what exactly are the Post-Restructuring numbers of newly-licensed hams with a General or Extra ticket?

>I think most of us realize that, sooner, or later, the Morse code requirement
>for testing will either be dropped or significantly changed. If it is, many
>of
>those things that are being said right now by people who claim to be Morse
>code
>proponents will be more likely to keep people away from the mode than to
>encourage them to use it.

No, Ed, what will keep people away from Morse code is the necessity to learn it and practice it enough to gain operational proficiency. In other words, the same thing that kept people away from it all along.

>Think for a minute about what you will say to
>encourage new hams to use code if the code test is no longer part of the the
>requirements. THOSE words are the ones you should be using right now, to the
>large number of hams who have not yet passed a code test and to the ones who
>have passed a code test, but are not yet trying out the mode. I have seen
>the
>collective memory of the Amateur Radio Service over incentive licensing. Over
>a
>quarter of a century later, the ill will still echoes in our circles. Don't
>doubt for a minute that this next generation of hams will remember what was
>said today, whether they have to remember if 3 years hence, or 10.

Bull$hit, Ed! Just more touchy-feely PoliticKally-CorrecKtness spewing from that liberal enclave at 225 Main St. Seriously, you should really consider wearing some flowers in your hair! Go hug a tree or something!

>Those who really want to preserve the use of Morse code on the ham bands
>should
>be encouaging new hams to give it a try. They should be forming slow-code
>nets, and looking for those 5 wpm CQs and giving them a nice QSO and some
>affirmation that this is a fun mode that will bring value and enjoyment to
>their use of it. Where are these things? Has ARRL done them? Has FISTS?
>Have you?

Yes, Ed, I have! As usual, participation is practically nil. Everyone who was interested is now "grandfathered" to the Extra-class due to having passed a 5 WPM test years ago; and they're all on HF phone with a vengeance.

>All I see by those who may think they are "defending" Morse code is
>posturing and name calling.

That's funny -- that's exactly what I've seen coming from those who were seeking to reduce/eliminate code testing requirements!

> IMHO, taking real steps to get people from 5 wpm
>to what you term "journeyman" levels is more valuable than the use of the
>term
>"bleating cattle" on rrap.

No, Ed, what would have worked was a willingness of those who wanted HF licensure to make the effort to learn and gain useful proficiency in Morse code -- as opposed to their incessant, cattle-like bleating about how learning a useful communications skill was inhibiting the development of high-speed digital modes, etc, etc, etc.

>I noted in the ARRL Board minutes that the Board has directed ARRL HQ staff
>to
>take steps to promote the use of Morse code on the bands. At this point, I
>don't know what those steps will be, nor am I sure that ARRL will actually
>pull
>this one off, but I sure intend to offer my input to what may be needed to
>make
>that happen. Although at this time, I have a very short list of what will
>help, perhaps the first thing on the list is to drop all the name calling and
>bring back the fun.

Ed, I've never done any "name calling" except within the confines of the small, closed society of Usenet. My in-person behavior to prospective ham radio newcomers has never given anyone any cause to reject the notion of learning the Morse code. In every case, once these people found out that learning this skill would require a serious commitment and genuine effort on their part, they disappeared in droves -- only to show up on the VHF/UHF repeaters praying for some "ducting." So, one of the first things you'll have to come up with is some way to overcome the natural laziness of people who just want to get a microphone in their hot little hands and start talking!

The only thing that kept the CW mode alive as long as this was the
REQUIREMENT to learn it at levels which lead to operational proficiency.
In the absence of that requirement, the mode WILL die. And no amount
of blowing sunshine up people's asses will change that. The problem
is called LAZINESS. That's what you're up against. Good luck.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1264290800037325652
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 07:10:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 15:09:44 GMT
References: <20010128083032.21683.00001751@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010128100944.02315.00004301@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14465

In article <20010128083032.21683.00001751@ng-mj1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>> So why, then, are supposedly intelligent and presumably educated people
>> implying that they are?
>
>You tell me, Larry; I am sure if I did a deja search I would find you stating
>that Morse code testing is required because the written exams are "easily"
>memorized, or otherwise inadequate.

Ed:

I'll betcha a lobster dinner you can't! I've never said that there was any
direct relationship between code testing and written exams. I've
always said that the two were separate and equally important tests,
and that I supported the updating of the written exams, including a
change from the short-term memorization paradigm now so common
today. However, I've also said that the written exams were appropriate

for an *amateur* radio license examination (emphasis on the word
amateur is important). If you can find a quote from me where I
clearly state that the written tests were directly related to, and could
serve as a replacement for the code tests, you've won a second
lobster dinner from me!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4476273086666562212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 07:46:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!xmission!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 15:44:08 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <3A743E48.461D2926@bctv.com>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-16.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 980696777 38176 63.87.116.16 (28 Jan 2001 15:46:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 Jan 2001 15:46:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14467

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> > Kerry King wrote:
> >
> > > The California power crisis is not due to what we have been led to
> > > believe. Instead, it is the fault of the internet.
>
> Like a lot of people, I kind of blew this bit of opinion off.
> Deregulation was handled so poorly in CA that a collapse was inevitable.
> Nothing new has changed that situation. But the suddenness and ferocity
> of the collapse may have indeed been influenced by the New Economy.
>

```
>                                        1990      1996      1997     2000
>
> Demand GW-H                240k      258k      250k     300k
> Capacity (gigawatts)           55          56         53.6       53.8
>
> Capacity excludes out-of-state and micropower.
>
> What the hell happened?  The only thing I know for sure is that Silicon
> Valley's consumption has grown at over 8% per year.  The man has a point
> albeit a fairly small one.
>
> The 'deregulation that wasn't' is adequately summarized in the Economist:
> "...California reforms are a ragbag of muddled half-measures and downright
> anti-competitive clauses".
>
> But the man who predicted this whether deregulated or not would have to
> have a big red S on his sweatshirt.
```

Nope, I predicted it over 20 years ago, in a column regarding the anti-powerplant folks and their move into the California Legislature.

You can't get electricity from a generator which wasn't built. More people means more need. Economic expansion means more people AND more commercial power use, but the Neanderthals in Sacramento made it all but impossible to build capacity to follow the increase in need.

Your computer has about the same current drain as the one you had 10 years ago -- the rough equivalent of running your living room lights -- AND today's computers are "energy aware," dropping consumption during periods of non-use. While there are a few more computers today than a decade ago (most systems sold are replacing existing hardware, and the older machines are not being re-used), there are not enough to cause a major shift in consumption patterns.

From -5005826036557219586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 07:54:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!195.158.233.21!news1.ebone.net!news.ebone.net!diablo.netcom.net.uk!netcom.net.uk!news-hub.cableinet.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 15:52:02 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-16.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 980697250 38238 63.87.116.16 (28 Jan 2001 15:54:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 Jan 2001 15:54:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14469



Kerry King wrote:
>
> On Sun, 28 Jan 2001 06:30:00 -0600, Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> : Like a lot of people, I kind of blew this bit of opinion off.
> : Deregulation was handled so poorly in CA that a collapse was inevitable.
> : Nothing new has changed that situation.  But the suddenness and ferocity
> : of the collapse may have indeed been influenced by the New Economy.
>
> That wasn't my opinion; I was merely relaying what had been reported as
> the findings of a blue ribbon panel at
>
> http://www.cnn.com/2001/TECH/computing/01/17/internet.energy.idg/index.html
>
> In that article, statements such as the following one certainly make it
> at least look probable that the internet has indeed had a huge impact on
> California power consumption:
>
>        ... So concluded a premier agency of the electric
>        power industry and officials at some utilities,
>        who cited a huge increase in demand in areas where
>        Internet hubs and data centers have come online in
>        the past few years. In the heart of Silicon
>        Valley, for example, power demands skyrocketed by
>        12% last year, while the rest of the state saw an
>        overall increase of 2% to 3%, said John Roukeme, a
>        spokesman for Silicon Valley Power, the municipal
>        utility for the city of Santa Clara, Calif.
>
>        "A single [Internet] data center - and we have
>        many in the area - can easily consume more power
>        than the largest manufacturing plant we serve,"
>        Roukeme said.

Of course, he's lying.  At least from my perspective, having worked my
way through school in manufacturing plants and now being involved with
computers.


From -5391539676721192319
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a087c4b6fecc4961,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 08:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 16:31:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <951hh9$tpu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.18.171.165
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 16:31:37 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 24.18.171.165
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDjason_hsu
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14470
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11661
```

The answer can be summed up in two words: Code Quick!

The web site is:
http://www.cq2k.net/

Too bad there hasn't been a review of Code Quick in QST. It's also too bad that Code Quick isn't better well known or better well promoted.

Code Quick may be silly, cutesy, and over-the-top, but it WORKS!!! I challenge you to find anyone who will say, "I really, really tried, but Code Quick didn't work." (That's right, none of the Code Quick critics actually used it.) Then compare the failure rate of Code Quick to the failure rates of the more conventional methods (Koch method, listening to Code tapes, memorizing dits and dahs, listening to Morse Code and expecting divine inspiration to take over, etc.).

If you don't believe me, just search for "Code Quick" on eham.net, deja.com, and other sites.

--
Jason Hsu, AG4DG
jason_hsu@@@@@@@planetmail.com
http://www.bigfoot.com/~jason_hsu/

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1028175364229230392
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 08:45:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 10:35:23 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A744A4B.AFDA33A3@IEEE.org>
References: <20010128083032.21683.00001751@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<20010128100944.02315.00004301@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8c.1d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 16:45:40 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14471

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I've never said that there was any
> direct relationship between code testing and written exams. I've
> *always* said that the two were separate and equally important tests, ...

"Separate and equal", eh? Why do you consider Morse code skill as
important as everything else in amateur radio combined?

Seems to me Morse code skill may be around 5% of what amateur radio
is all about. Seems Bill's and Ed's idea would work very well if
5% of the written exam was on Morse code skill.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 9120146972373977408
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 08:48:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 10:38:19 -0600

Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org>
References: <950la5$e8b$0@208.207.71.134> <20010128085505.21683.00001756@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8c.1d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 16:48:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14472

W1RFI wrote:
> If you really are giving up, perhaps you could stand back and let those with a
> more positive outlook take over from here. Your choice of words is really
> trying to hasten the demise of my favorite mode. I disagree with you that Morse
> code use is unable to stand on its own merits.

Ditto for me. QRP interest is on the rise.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -5008143618698031929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 09:08:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 10:58:22 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3A744FAE.47E5893E@IEEE.org>
References: <20010128085117.21683.00001754@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<20010128100943.02315.00004299@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8c.1d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 17:08:05 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14473

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> >Telling newcomers that
> >Morse code is a difficult task that will test their character and help keep
> >the riff-raff out of ham radio will not send a message that this is something
> >they can use and enjoy.
>
> I agree with the above, but there's only one problem -- it is the people who
> were AGAINST code testing who were making those claims!

People who were AGAINST code testing said that Morse code builds character
and keeps the riff-raff out of ham radio????? Give us an ever-loving break,
Larry. What an absolutely ridiculous assertion.

> >A message that explains why it is enjoyable, why it is
> >effective and letting them see love of the mode in your eyes and in your words
> >will be much more likely to gain converts that much of what I have seen here.
>
> Which is exactly the message the pro-code test people have been giving
> all along ...

"Know code = know ham" gives that message????? Shirley, you jest.

> Ed, I've never done any "name calling" except within the confines of the
> small, closed society of Usenet.

Larry, Usenet is read world-wide by a large number of potential hams.
You have reached magnitudes more people on Usenet that you will ever
reach in person or over ham radio. You cannot possibly undo the anti-
Morse sentiment that you have caused.

> The only thing that kept the CW mode alive as long as this was the
> REQUIREMENT to learn it at levels which lead to operational proficiency.

I do not believe that is true, Larry. But if it is true and CW cannot
stand on its own merits without governmental welfare support, then it
deserves to be laid to rest in the sands of time. I believe CW will
endure because it has merits, the ones that you believe it lacks.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8809766872515996007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 09:17:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!

```
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Ed Cregger" <ecregger@earthlink.net>
From: "Ed Cregger" <ecregger@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio
.amateur.antenna
References: <94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <YuYc6.3268$2t5.293747@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 17:17:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.32.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980702264 209.86.32.234 (Sun, 28 Jan
2001 09:17:44 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 09:17:44 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:1632
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:3667 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14474
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16597
```

So where did you mention antennas?
--
Ed Cregger
ecregger@earthlink.net

"Jethro Jumbalaya Twain" <qwasty@hotmail.com> wrote in
message news:94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> The article is about a replacement for Morse that's easier
to learn.
> It's a lot like Morse, just a different code.
>
> http://www.eham.net/articles/1681
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

```
From 558983198195855687
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 09:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 17:05:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <951jgv$va9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94sqph$g29$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127004107.01651.00000494@nso-fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.123
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 17:05:39 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.123
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14475

> The US Army had the 10 to 15 Watt RF output AN/GRC-9 in WW2,
> manpack by two men, one carrying the arm-powered generator with
> its funny tripod-seat arrangement. Trust me, after a half hour of
> continuous winding (peak load on transmit was 40 W max.), the
> "winder" was QRT and needed replacing.
>

OH YA!

I had the opportunity to test one out when a school chum brought one into the electronics class. I suspect that any grunt under E3 would have ditched such a contraption on the first march. I thought much more horsepower than 40 watts worth was being lost to the tube filiments and gearbox and grease losses.

About the same time, one of the kid Novices (campus ham club) homebrewed a bicycle mounted QRP station that really worked The generator would keep the NiCads up between stationary CW ops. The 10 mile ride to and from school could just about do it.

I imagine that you could get a lot more efficiency today out of the manual generator. Not very practical for the rubber raft, but where would we be if the power grid went south for a month or more? For stationary power generation, wind and hydraulic are doable on a small scale, very cheaply with rewound alternators and such. One such contraption out of Pop Mechanix had a wind turbine made out of an 80 gal oil drum cut up like a rooftop vent turbine and spun on a wheel bearing. It drove a rewound car alternator to keep a battery topped off. About 90 miles east of here, is a vast array of wind turbines that catches the wind as it funnels through the pass just this side of Palm Springs, CA. It probably won't pay for itself, but it does put power onto the grid.

Jim, ac6tk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 252150035092870178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 09:20:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 17:13:27 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <951jvh$vmn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010128083032.21683.00001751@ng-mj1.aol.com> <20010128100944.02315.00004301@nso-fi.aol.com> <3A744A4B.AFDA33A3@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.52
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 17:13:27 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.52
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14476

In article <3A744A4B.AFDA33A3@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > I've never said that there was any
> > direct relationship between code testing and written exams. I've
> > *always* said that the two were separate and equally important
tests, ...
>
> "Separate and equal", eh? Why do you consider Morse code skill as
> important as everything else in amateur radio combined?
>
> Seems to me Morse code skill may be around 5% of what amateur radio
> is all about. Seems Bill's and Ed's idea would work very well if
> 5% of the written exam was on Morse code skill.

"Separate but Equal?"

Lemme see, if CW gets 5% of the written, and RTTY gets 5% of the written, and AM gets 5% of the written, and USB and LSB each get 2.5% of the written, and SSTV gets 5% of the written, and NBFM gets 5% of the written, and FSTV gets 5% of the written, and Packet gets 5% of the written, and psk1 gets 5% of the written, and msk...

We're already at 50% of the exam and haven't touched rules or
authorizations, let alone safety.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1725500569064390981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 09:24:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 11:15:05 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org>
References: <951hh9$tpu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8c.1d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 17:23:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14477
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11662

jason_hsu@my-deja.com wrote:
> Too bad there hasn't been a review of Code Quick in QST. It's also too
> bad that Code Quick isn't better well known or better well promoted.

Code Quick probably works well at 5wpm. I could be wrong, but seems to
me, it wouldn't work above about 15wpm and would actually limit one's
maximum code speed.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -8093835060144162301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 09:30:11 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 17:20:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <951kcd$5g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010128083305.21683.00001753@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<20010128100944.02315.00004300@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.52
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 17:20:20 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.52
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14478

In article <20010128100944.02315.00004300@nso-fi.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <20010128083305.21683.00001753@ng-mj1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >
> >>Marconi always considered himself a ham although he never held a ham
> >>radio license.
> >
> >I think he should have been grandfathered and just given one.  I
don't think,
> >however, that his code speed would have been high enough that he
could have
> >been allowed on more than the Tech+ bands on HF.
>
> Ed:
>
> I think you're thinking about Lee DeForrest.  Marconi was a proficient
> CW operator.  I don't think DeForrest ever knew the Morse code.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

As long as you're not talking about FB Morse.  He didn't know dink about
radio.  ;)


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 7740113320477589148
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 09:30:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: xjim@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 17:24:57 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <951kl2$8p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <950lhn$e8b$1@208.207.71.134> <20010128082020.21683.00001748@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.12.123
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 17:24:57 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.12.123
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDxjim
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14479

I thought all ham frequencies were Emergency frequencies!?

Jim, ac6tk

> > Uh, Bill, order the ARRL publication "ARRL Net Directory".
>
> It is available on-line at:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/nets/
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4698002193457094070
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 09:31:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 11:22:05 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises

Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A74553C.D1C09694@IEEE.org>
References: <20010128083032.21683.00001751@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<20010128100944.02315.00004301@nso-fi.aol.com> <3A744A4B.AFDA33A3@IEEE.org>
<951jvh$vmn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8c.1d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 17:30:57 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14480

Brian wrote:
> Lemme see, if CW gets 5% of the written, and RTTY gets 5% of the
> written, and AM gets 5% of the written, and USB and LSB each get 2.5% of
> the written, and SSTV gets 5% of the written, and NBFM gets 5% of the
> written, and FSTV gets 5% of the written, and Packet gets 5% of the
> written, and psk1 gets 5% of the written, and msk...
>
> We're already at 50% of the exam and haven't touched rules or
> authorizations, let alone safety.

Well, I would only allocate 5% to SSB, FM, and CW. The less popular
modes would be allocated less than 5%.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5983167539990872381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 09:52:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 09:52:25 -0800
Organization: RST Engineering
Message-ID: <ljm87t487cpqil6f6j93l6lis36hcnbahf@4ax.com>
Reply-To: jim@rst-engr.com
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 29
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14481

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->How would you feel about the test containing 5 minutes of Morse code at 5 wpm,
->and a reasonable number of questions about its content?

Ed...

As you know, I'm a proponent of the elimination of code tests overall, except perhaps the one that is of some practical use like the ASCII code {;-)

HOWEVER, if there is great feeling that somehow Morse Code is a relic to keep holy, then the 5 wpm should be straight text out of the newspaper or a schoolbook. Learning the code and then all the arcane jargon that is used in hamspeak at the same time is a rather daunting task. And yes, I'll run my college "RF Communications 101" class again this summer and yes, I'll teach the stupid code, and yes, I'll infect my students with the "this is stupid to have to learn" attitude. Perhaps if I can turn out enough students that will percolate to positions where they can do something about it, change will happen.

In politics, this is called the "septic tank principle". Most of the $#!+ flows down, but the really big chunks float to the top!!

Regards,

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.   WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

From -4934534833334776595
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 10:39:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <jop87t471lll1okuce0tut797uutuv48q8@4ax.com>
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 18:39:35 GMT

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.133.139.166
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980707175 206.133.139.166 (Sun, 28 Jan
2001 10:39:35 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 10:39:35 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14482

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>How would you feel about the test containing
> 5 minutes of Morse code at 5 wpm, and a reasonable
> number of questions about its content?

Are you going to use published questions and
 answers here too? Then you could pass the test
 without knowing  the code and the written material...  :-)

>I don't even mind paying the [dues] increase, but
>I would rather make a contribution to something specific of interest to me,
>like the Big Project or the ARRL Lab Test Equipment fund. :-)

I'm sure the League would be happy to cut your salary and apply the
savings to the test equipment fund. Let me know how it goes...  :-)


From -7589488160514781349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 10:39:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <3op87tcnd9pb7h6t57kcbq41pbt6qobi7a@4ax.com>
References: <gl177tcjge2rke0h8o0e500v7i1tqlo2th@4ax.com>
<20010128085752.21683.00001757@ng-mj1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 18:39:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.133.139.166
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980707177 206.133.139.166 (Sun, 28 Jan
2001 10:39:37 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 10:39:37 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14483
```

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>The SSB County Hunters and Mobile Emergency net meets daily...
> If I had a mobile emergency, I would break the 336 net and be
> pretty confident that someone would have good propagation to
>me and would ensure that I got help.

Especially if you were in a rare county...   :-)

```
From -8791458008381241501
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 10:39:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <hmp87tgqi01m0n0dqr3s41tkkgtb1i4qj5@4ax.com>
References: <950lhn$e8b$1@208.207.71.134> <20010128082020.21683.00001748@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <951kl2$8p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 18:39:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.133.139.166
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980707178 206.133.139.166 (Sun, 28 Jan
2001 10:39:38 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 10:39:38 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14484
```

xjim@my-deja.com wrote:
>I thought all ham frequencies were Emergency frequencies!?

Nope. Only the ones with hams capable of handling
your emergency traffic are... :-)

```
From 6995918440036204073
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,fec2419f219d75bc
```

X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 10:45:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!ptdnetP!newsgate.ptd.net!newsfeed.fast.net!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio
.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 13:45:28 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <951pc7$htt$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<YuYc6.3268$2t5.293747@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: cf.de.b6.12
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 18:45:27 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:1635
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:3673 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14485
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16609

CW Antennas are just fine...

CW does have some relationship to antennas. Cannot antennas be optimized for use in the CW band segments, so...

I'd like to see designs for CW optimized yagi's and such...

-Peter

"Ed Cregger" <ecregger@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:YuYc6.3268$2t5.293747@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So where did you mention antennas?
> --
> Ed Cregger
> ecregger@earthlink.net
>
> "Jethro Jumbalaya Twain" <qwasty@hotmail.com> wrote in
> message news:94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > The article is about a replacement for Morse that's easier
> to learn.
> > It's a lot like Morse, just a different code.
> >
> > http://www.eham.net/articles/1681
> >
> >

```
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
>
```

```
From 8487438800292054577
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 11:02:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 18:45:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <951pcv$411$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <951hh9$tpu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.43
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 18:45:53 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.43
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14486
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11665
```

```
In article <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> jason_hsu@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Too bad there hasn't been a review of Code Quick in QST.  It's also
too
> > bad that Code Quick isn't better well known or better well promoted.
>
> Code Quick probably works well at 5wpm. I could be wrong, but seems to
> me, it wouldn't work above about 15wpm and would actually limit one's
> maximum code speed.
```

Dog did it. Hmmm, starts with the word dog, and dog starts with the letter "D" so it must be a d. Heck of a look up table you have to carry around in your head.

Thank God we only need 5wpm anymore.

Sent via Deja.com

http://www.deja.com/

```
From -5227834934798560360
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 11:11:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!
not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 14:10:53 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga3pp13.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980709070 20691 166.102.123.78 (28 Jan 2001 19:11:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 Jan 2001 19:11:10 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14487
```

Two major problems, first a very faulty deregulation law. The second is a decrease in generating capability during a rise in demand.

Deregulation has caused the power companies to go so far in the hole that the only reason they are getting electricity from the grid is through executive order. This ends in two weeks. The suppliers are afraid they won't get paid.

The environmentalists have done a really good job of blocking any new plant construction as well. In short California has created their own problems, I say let them stew in their own juices. Maybe they can get the Clinton fund raisers to raise money for the ailing power distributors. 8>}

Personally, I feel, anything that happens to the fruits and nuts on the left coast is well deserved. Turn off the klieg lights at the porno film studios, the heaters at the faggot bath houses, and there will be plenty of electricity.

"Keith Wood" <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message

```
news:3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com...
>
>
> Of course, he's lying.  At least from my perspective, having worked my
> way through school in manufacturing plants and now being involved with
> computers.




From -6128293169514574889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 11:56:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 19:55:58 GMT
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010128145558.21648.00001997@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14488

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>I disagree with you that Morse
>> code use is unable to stand on its own merits.

>Ditto for me. QRP interest is on the rise.

The QRP community has been discussing the need to incorporate 5 wpm hams into
its ranks, and some have started some fun 5-10 wpm operating events.  Looks
like they are leading the way.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 4723240802473448917
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 11:58:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 16
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 19:58:02 GMT
References: <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Message-ID: <20010128145802.21648.00001999@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14489

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>I could be wrong, but seems to me, it wouldn't work above about 15wpm
>and would actually limit one's maximum code speed.

I am sure that the way I learned code wouldn't work above 15 wpm, but it didn't seem to limit my maximum code speed to 14 wpm.

To those whose primary reason for learning the code is to get their HF priveleges, Code Quick is as good a way as any.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1280102814526025240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 12:00:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!peer.news.opaltelecom.net!news.inweb.net.uk!ayres.ftech.net!news.ftech.net!newsfeed.icl.net!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!feed.cgocable.net!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <3A743E48.461D2926@bctv.com>
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 87
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <zQ_c6.3484$bC.1219052@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 13:58:44 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.133
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 980711839 206.29.242.133 (Sun, 28 Jan 2001 14:57:19 EST)

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 14:57:19 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14490


"Keith Wood" <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message
news:3A743E48.461D2926@bctv.com...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > > Kerry King wrote:
> > >
> > > > The California power crisis is not due to what we have been led to
> > > > believe.  Instead, it is the fault of the internet.
> >
> > Like a lot of people, I kind of blew this bit of opinion off.
> > Deregulation was handled so poorly in CA that a collapse was
inevitable.
> > Nothing new has changed that situation.  But the suddenness and
ferocity
> > of the collapse may have indeed been influenced by the New Economy.
> >
> >                                        1990      1996      1997
2000
> >
> > Demand GW-H                240k      258k      250k     300k
> > Capacity (gigawatts)           55          56         53.6       53.8
> >
> > Capacity excludes out-of-state and micropower.
> >
> > What the hell happened?  The only thing I know for sure is that
Silicon
> > Valley's consumption has grown at over 8% per year.  The man has a
point
> > albeit a fairly small one.
> >
> > The 'deregulation that wasn't' is adequately summarized in the
Economist:
> > "...California reforms are a ragbag of muddled half-measures and
downright
> > anti-competitive clauses".
> >
> > But the man who predicted this whether deregulated or not would have
to
> > have a big red S on his sweatshirt.
>
> Nope, I predicted it over 20 years ago, in a column regarding the
> anti-powerplant folks and their move into the California Legislature.
>
> You can't get electricity from a generator which wasn't built.  More
> people means more need.  Economic expansion means more people AND more
> commercial power use, but the Neanderthals in Sacramento made it all but
> impossible to build capacity to follow the increase in need.
```

>
> Your computer has about the same current drain as the one you had 10 years ago -- the rough equivalent of running your living room lights -- AND today's computers are "energy aware," dropping consumption during periods of non-use. While there are a few more computers today than a decade ago (most systems sold are replacing existing hardware, and the older machines are not being re-used), there are not enough to cause a major shift in consumption patterns.

Still begs the question: where did a 25% increase come from in 3 years after 7 of flat demand?
I have no doubt that you predicted it 20 years ago -- a simple extrapolation at that point in time would have done the job. As Peter Drucker once pointed out, had Rip van Winkle done his 50-year sleep act in 1913 leaving a 50 year extrapolation in his pocket, he could have looked at it in 1963 with great satisfaction, two world wars and a major depression not withstanding.

Using some QD estimates, 50 gigawatts is not enough capacity at 250 terrawatt-hours annually let alone 300 and probably does not even meet baseload today. This has been brewing awhile, no doubt about that. The village idiot is not going to let the legislature off the hook.

Also agree that home compooters are not a good blame-sink either. Servers, memories, etc. might be a piece but it is hard to see them as all of it.

I suspect that going back further and correlating to such things as population would give one a far better analysis which could not have been politically sold given the 1990-1997 experience in any case.

Still, where in hell did the increase come from - or as I suspect is the case, what is so odd about 1990-1997?

Dick

From -2043375043560949135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 12:05:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net> <3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet

```
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 14:04:29 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.133
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 980712148 206.29.242.133 (Sun, 28 Jan 2001 15:02:28
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 15:02:28 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14491


"W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net...
> Two major problems, first a very faulty deregulation law. The second is
a
> decrease in generating capability during a rise in demand.
>
> Deregulation has caused the power companies to go so far in the hole
that
> the only reason they are getting electricity from the grid is through
> executive order. This ends in two weeks. The suppliers are afraid they
won't
> get paid.
>
> The environmentalists have done a really good job of blocking any new
plant
> construction as well. In short California has created their own
problems, I
> say let them stew in their own juices. Maybe they can get the Clinton
fund
> raisers to raise money for the ailing power distributors. 8>}
>
> Personally, I feel, anything that happens to the fruits and nuts on the
left
> coast is well deserved. Turn off the klieg lights at the porno film
studios,
> the heaters at the faggot bath houses, and there will be plenty of
> electricity.
>

And now comes the resident ideologue to spread his horseshit over our
unworthy bodies.




From 7777862429030904041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 12:06:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 20:05:28 GMT
References: <951jvh$vmn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010128150528.21648.00002000@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14492

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>Lemme see, if CW gets 5% of the written, and RTTY gets 5% of the
>written, and AM gets 5% of the written . .

>We're already at 50% of the exam and haven't touched rules or
>authorizations, let alone safety.

Do you believe that full-carrier, double-sideband AM and OOK CW using Morse
encoding are both 5% of what ham radio is about? Why would you think a mode
that is operated by only a couple of percent of hams is equal to one that is
used by about 1/3 of hams?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>

From -7055987440426718674
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 12:08:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Jan 2001 20:07:53 GMT
References: <951adv$ogt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills

Message-ID: <20010128150753.21648.00002002@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14493

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>I thought it was the ARRL that picked the beta-testers of the band, so
>it would be the ARRL that lets the rest of us on it. I stand corrected.

The ARRL may indeed have selected those that are using the band right now, but
it is not the ARRL's place to decide that everyone can use it.

Are you interested in being one of the beta testers? I can find out if there
are any "openings."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1415714171403221058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 13:00:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7vw6l4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <950014$jb2$0@208.206.142.105> <20010128083130.21683.00001752@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 24
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:00:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.4
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980715652 206.58.44.4 (Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:00:52 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:00:52 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14494

On 28 Jan 2001 13:31:30 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>QFU isn't on my Q-signal list. I've never heard it on the air.

>
>I have heard it on the air and I didn't need a list to know exactly what it
>meant.

QFU is in the series assigned to the Aeronautical Services -
that series does not appear in the ITU Radio Regs so I don't
know its exact (legal) meaning. The "de facto" meaning is
obvious.....

Anyone have access to the ICAO Regs?

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -6986322681343383857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 13:00:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7vvjz3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <t6rf26jedi6m5f@corp.supernews.com> <20010124183521.18775.00001116@ng-da1.aol.com> <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 19
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:00:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.4
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980715651 206.58.44.4 (Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:00:51 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:00:51 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14495

On Sun, 28 Jan 2001 04:16:27 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>similar to the questions regarding knowledge of the phonetic alphabet.

>(e.g. The morse code characters for SOS is: dididit dahdahdah dididit
>with other answers being incorrect versions).

Totally irrelevant, because the Maritime Distress System no longer uses Morse signals such as "SOS" and in any event, it never properly belonged in the Amateur Service, only the Maritime Services using manual Morse telegraphy.

I would rather see questions on "good operating practice".

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 2738420870704918883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1fdab6219235396a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1fdab6219235396a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 13:05:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!SLee
From: SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Top ten signs you're staying at a racist Hiram, GA hotel
Date: 28 Jan 2001 21:05:19 GMT
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9521if$9me$0@pita.alt.net>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11673
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14496

Top ten signs you're staying at a racist, Hiram hotel

10. Their slogan is, "We'll leave the WHITE on for ya!"

9. First tip-off: Those Confederate Flag pillow cases.

8. Sign in the lobby announces: "Pat Buchanan Rally This Saturday!!!"

7. Sign behind the front desk reads: "You're damn right we're open on Martin Luther King Day!"

6. Sign above the beds reads: "Absolutely no HIP-HOPPING on the mattresses!!!"

5. Sheets and towels already have eye-holes cut in them.

4. No rating by the AAA. . . but 5-stars by the KKK.

3. Bible on the nightstand replaced with a copy of "Mien Kampf".

2. Instead of a "Presidential Suite" they have a "Grand Wizard Suite".

And the Top Sign You're Staying at a racist, Hiram Hotel. . .

1. Every morning the front desk will give you a "wake-up slur".

From -2392102380650459614
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 13:17:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:14:06 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3a748b3c.15151125@news.mindspring.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com> <94qr7b$qdl$0@208.206.142.92> <3a739cc7.10560697@news.mindspring.com> <950lhn$e8b$1@208.207.71.134>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.72.8c
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 21:16:41 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14497

On 28 Jan 2001 08:33:59 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On 26 Jan 2001 03:34:03 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >>
>> >> The problem is, as you note, "if I ran across the...".
>> >> The reality is that there isn't any common HF assigned
>> >> emergency frequency that people (hams) might be able
>> >> to monitor for that unexpected emergency.
>> >
>> > *OF COURSE* there is! Every state has at least one emergency frequency.
>> >As always, you naturally assume that no one on a SSB frequency would
>> >ever have any reason to use CW instead of SSB.
>>
>> And just where are those "every state has one" frequencies

>> posted or found? Part 97? ARRL web site? Is someone
>> keeping this all a secret?
>
> Uh, Bill, order the ARRL publication "ARRL Net Directory".

The fact still remains that there is NOT any set freuency where
a ham can be reasonably sure that other hams are monitoring.
That is the bottom line. Ironic too that CBers maintain channel 9
as an exclsuive safety/emergency channel.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -8134859970426237149
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 13:19:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:16:12 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3a748bc8.15291834@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.72.8c
X-Server-Date: 28 Jan 2001 21:18:48 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14498

On 28 Jan 2001 13:24:51 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>
>>I disagree with the possibile retention of a code test for USA, but that's far
>
>>enough out that I am quite happy with the change regarding S25.5.
>
>The Board chose not to change the US policy at this time.
>
>>As to my "Sohl" proposal ala QST some years back...I'd not
>>oppose having some questions along the lines of those
>>similar to the questions regarding knowledge of the phonetic alphabet.
>
>How would you feel about the test containing 5 minutes of Morse code at 5 wpm,

>and a reasonable number of questions about its content?

I'd have mixed opinion. It really isn't needed anymore and
that's pretty much the bottom line.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -1403802714174723764
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 13:54:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
news01.optonline.net!news02.optonline.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Web" <WebFx27@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <951hh9$tpu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <Ft0d6.28695$ek.4315598@news02.optonline.net>
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:49:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.188.217.52
X-Trace: news02.optonline.net 980718565 24.188.217.52 (Sun, 28 Jan 2001 16:49:25
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 16:49:25 EST
Organization: Optimum Online
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14499
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11676
```

Got to get to 5 wpm before 15 wpm. What's really the magic of code quick is
that it allows your to PASS the 5 wpm and demonstrates that anyone CAN learn
CW!!

Hey once I passed 5wpm I too began to learn the farnsworth method it was
easy know I had a good foundation having use code quick

Web

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org...
> jason_hsu@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Too bad there hasn't been a review of Code Quick in QST. It's also too

```
> > bad that Code Quick isn't better well known or better well promoted.
>
> Code Quick probably works well at 5wpm. I could be wrong, but seems to
> me, it wouldn't work above about 15wpm and would actually limit one's
> maximum code speed.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca




From -896435757887483804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 14:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!
newsfeed2.skycache.com!Cidera!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!intermedia!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 22:31:54 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9526kq$ev2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <951adv$ogt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010128150753.21648.00002002@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.45
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 22:31:54 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.45
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14500

In article <20010128150753.21648.00002002@ng-mj1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>
> >I thought it was the ARRL that picked the beta-testers of the band,
so
> >it would be the ARRL that lets the rest of us on it.  I stand
corrected.
>
> The ARRL may indeed have selected those that are using the band right
now, but
> it is not the ARRL's place to decide that everyone can use it.
>
> Are you interested in being one of the beta testers?  I can find out
if there
> are any "openings."

I'm sure I wouldn't be qualified as has been pointed out every so often.
```

Perhaps the ARRL News Letter will soon mention how the beta testers are
doing.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5980044826553959601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 14:50:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 22:42:27 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <95278i$feh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <951jvh$vmn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010128150528.21648.00002000@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.45
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 22:42:27 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.45
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14501

In article <20010128150528.21648.00002000@ng-mj1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>
> >Lemme see, if CW gets 5% of the written, and RTTY gets 5% of the
> >written, and AM gets 5% of the written . .
>
> >We're already at 50% of the exam and haven't touched rules or
> >authorizations, let alone safety.
>
> Do you believe that full-carrier, double-sideband AM and OOK CW using
Morse
> encoding are both 5% of what ham radio is about? Why would you think
a mode
> that is operated by only a couple of percent of hams is equal to one
that is
> used by about 1/3 of hams?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I think it is as valid as the "how long does a SSTV image take to
transmit" question. I think Cop McDonald is the only one who knows that
one.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6615282025659184975
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 15:10:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!195.54.122.107!
newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!news.algonet.se!algonet!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 23:02:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9528dm$gcn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010128083032.21683.00001751@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<20010128100944.02315.00004301@nso-fi.aol.com> <3A744A4B.AFDA33A3@IEEE.org>
<951jvh$vmn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A74553C.D1C09694@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.45
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 23:02:18 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.45
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14502

In article <3A74553C.D1C09694@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Brian wrote:
> > Lemme see, if CW gets 5% of the written, and RTTY gets 5% of the
> > written, and AM gets 5% of the written, and USB and LSB each get
2.5% of
> > the written, and SSTV gets 5% of the written, and NBFM gets 5% of
the
> > written, and FSTV gets 5% of the written, and Packet gets 5% of the
> > written, and psk1 gets 5% of the written, and msk...
> >
> > We're already at 50% of the exam and haven't touched rules or
> > authorizations, let alone safety.
>
> Well, I would only allocate 5% to SSB, FM, and CW. The less popular
> modes would be allocated less than 5%.

I thought the idea was to be "equal" with the other modes.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3086230833053046028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 15:30:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 23:19:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9529df$h8d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <950la5$e8b$0@208.207.71.134> <20010128085505.21683.00001756@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.45
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Jan 28 23:19:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.45
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14503

In article <20010128085505.21683.00001756@ng-mj1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Yes. And so I have stated on numerous occasions,though that fact
seems
> >tohave been overlooked in their zeal to overpower it still, even
though
> >it has already been killed.
>
> Morse code use on the bands has not been killed at all, Dick. Are you
really
> trying to destroy is just to be "right" in this debate? If so, you
are wrong.

Bruce/WA8ULX used to claim that he was going to destroy the ARS because
the restructuring wasn't going his way. Unfortunately, the mold wasn't
broke on Bruce.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6904374960603052295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1fdab6219235396a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 16:02:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: kk4tl@aol.com (KK4TL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Jan 2001 00:02:14 GMT
References: <9521if$9me$0@pita.alt.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you're staying at a racist Hiram, GA hotel
Message-ID: <20010128190214.25354.00000675@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14504

>2. Instead of a "Presidential Suite" they have a "Grand Wizard Suite"
>

Never heard of a Grand Wizard. Grand Dragon yes. He reports to the Imperial Wizard. Who is the top banana. But, thanks to Morris Dees, the organization is so fragmented now, there is no telling what new wrinkles have been added.

From -4932676820259366182
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 18:57:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!osa.uu.net!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:56:43 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <952m6o$6a0$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net> <3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net> <oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: thga3pp13.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980737048 6464 166.102.123.78 (29 Jan 2001 02:57:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Jan 2001 02:57:28 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14505

You got that right Richard, I was afraid I had stuttered and you didn't get
the message. Once more with feelings, California liberals and their ilk
passed legislation that had no chance for success. Don't blame me, you could
not pay me to live there if you put the mint on a three shift day.

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com...
>
> "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net...
> > Two major problems, first a very faulty deregulation law. The second is
> a
> > decrease in generating capability during a rise in demand.
> >
> > Deregulation has caused the power companies to go so far in the hole
> that
> > the only reason they are getting electricity from the grid is through
> > executive order. This ends in two weeks. The suppliers are afraid they
> won't
> > get paid.
> >
> > The environmentalists have done a really good job of blocking any new
> plant
> > construction as well. In short California has created their own
> problems, I
> > say let them stew in their own juices. Maybe they can get the Clinton
> fund
> > raisers to raise money for the ailing power distributors. 8>}
> >
> > Personally, I feel, anything that happens to the fruits and nuts on the
> left
> > coast is well deserved. Turn off the klieg lights at the porno film
> studios,
> > the heaters at the faggot bath houses, and there will be plenty of
> > electricity.
> >
>
> And now comes the resident ideologue to spread his horseshit over our
> unworthy bodies.
>
>

From -2910169456026913328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 19:19:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Jan 2001 03:18:27 GMT
References: <9529df$h8d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010128221827.04710.00000131@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14506

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>Bruce/WA8ULX used to claim that he was going to destroy the ARS because
>the restructuring wasn't going his way. Unfortunately, the mold wasn't
>broke on Bruce.

I met the type in grammar school; they are still with us in adult life.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1018954497901643888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 19:21:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Jan 2001 03:20:26 GMT
References: <9528dm$gcn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010128222026.04710.00000132@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14507

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>I thought the idea was to be "equal" with the other modes.

It is. The testing for the other modes is somewhat related to the relative importance of the mode to amateur radio.

If you think I was saying that all modes must be tested in equal percentages, you misunderstood.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 568959030215086383
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 19:25:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Jan 2001 03:24:29 GMT
References: <3a748bc8.15291834@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010128222429.04710.00000134@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14508

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>>How would you feel about the test containing 5 minutes of Morse
>> code at 5 wpm, and a reasonable number of questions about its content?

>I'd have mixed opinion. It really isn't needed anymore and
>that's pretty much the bottom line.

Morse code is still in widespread use in the Amateur Radio Service, Bill; that alone is, IMHO, sufficient reason to have some testing related to its use. A decoding test is a long-time traditional way of accomplishing just that. The way it is being done today, however, sets Morse code decoding at a level equal to all of the other material hams must know. Doing what you and I have both suggested at times, with the questions about the content of the transmission being part of the overall exam, is a reasonable way to balance the need vs the testing.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 164671403599974554
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 19:27:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Jan 2001 03:26:28 GMT
References: <9526kq$ev2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010128222628.04710.00000135@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14509

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>> Are you interested in being one of the beta testers? I can find out
>if there
>> are any "openings."

>I'm sure I wouldn't be qualified as has been pointed out every so often.

It may have been pointed out every so often, but why does that make you sure you are not qualified? Are you basing your opinion of your worth on what others say?

>Perhaps the ARRL News Letter will soon mention how the beta testers are
>doing.

Good point.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7189131432444858283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 19:52:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 29 Jan 2001 03:52:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 126
Message-ID: <952peo$87t$0@208.207.71.165>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.165
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14510



Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> > Kerry King wrote:
> >
> > > The California power crisis is not due to what we have been led to
> > > believe.  Instead, it is the fault of the internet.
>
> Like a lot of people, I kind of blew this bit of opinion off.
> Deregulation was handled so poorly in CA that a collapse was inevitable.
> Nothing new has changed that situation.  But the suddenness and ferocity
> of the collapse may have indeed been influenced by the New Economy.
>
>                                         1990      1996      1997     2000
>
> Demand GW-H                240k      258k      250k     300k
> Capacity (gigawatts)           55          56          53.6       53.8
>
> Capacity excludes out-of-state and micropower.
>
> What the hell happened?  The only thing I know for sure is that Silicon
> Valley's consumption has grown at over 8% per year.  The man has a point
> albeit a fairly small one.
```

>
> The 'deregulation that wasn't' is adequately summarized in the Economist:
> "...California reforms are a ragbag of muddled half-measures and downright
> anti-competitive clauses".
>
> But the man who predicted this whether deregulated or not would have to
> have a big red S on his sweatshirt.

I just read an op-ed piece in the Jan 29 issue of U S News and World Report newesmag on this very subject and came away with a bit more sobering knowledge on the subject, stuff you'd really rather not know, or more accurately you'd rather wasn't so.

In a singlepage article entitled "The Price Isn't Right - it's easy to forget there's no such thing as a free download" a fella by the name of Randall Stross, who lives in that area writes, and I quote:

"...Does California's pitiful state seem due comeuppance for our annoying tendency to lay claim to the future first? From afar, does our degregulation mess seem comfortably distant from your own doorstep, or precisely from your own power outlets? Do you still believe in Reddy Kilowatt?

"Having just suffered through a temporary blackout here in Menlo Park, Ca I am tempted to join in local demands that the thievin' power gougers be brought to swift and rough justice. Yet I confess to feelin a twinge of guilt. For I personally contribute, in sundry ways, to the mismatch between electrical power supply and demand.I believe you too, dear reader, are culpable and will eventually be affected. Not because of the regional reach of the Grid and California Governor Gray Davis's (literal) power grab; and not because you may reside in one of the 25 other states now considering energy deregulation.

"Rather, the California crisis is larger than it may at first appear because it exposes a universal fact that has been overlooked: On our way to the digital future, we have been enjoying a free or near-free ride, a form of transportation that, regrettably, exists only in the land of makebelieve.

"In the news coverage of the crisis, much attention has been devoted to prices, narrowly defined as the mismatch between the newly unregulated wholesale price of electricity and the still-frozen retail price. Left ignored is other pricing that contributes to the present imbroglio: Flat rates for monthly Internet access and cost-free downloading of gigabytes of data, a combination that means the household that gobbles data like a University of Nebraska lineman in the college cafeteria pays no more than the Joffrey ballerina who only nibbles.

"The cost of maintaining websites...is absorbed by the site sponsers which
pay other companies to maintain "server farms". These are concentrated in Silicon Valley. A single such data center occupies the space of a modest office building but consumes the power of 10,000 single-family homes. Exodus Communications, the company which takes care of eBay and Yahoo! among other, has six data centers in just Silicon Valley, which will soon draw an estimated 25 percent of the area's power. (Remember,

this is a state that hasn't built a major power plant in more than a decade).

"Feeling virtuous because you're careful to shut down your home PC every night? It's a laudable gesture. But the desktop PC consumes only 20 percent of the power used by the Internet. Add up the costs of running the routers, caching pages, cooling the equipment and so on and you'll find that to create and move 2 megabytes of data over the Net is said to require the energy
equivalent of a pound of coal.

"The Internet, that bucket brigade transferring data around the globe, sprang up without a master plan for commercialization, and there is no simple way to make heavy users pay the true costs. The famous 1954 prediction of Lewis Strauss, chairman of the U S Atomic Energy Commission, that electrical power would be "too cheap to meter" has not come to pass. But as consumers, we can take as large a byte of the Internet's vast offerings as we wish without having to pay a charge related to the volume of data ingested. Insulated from the costs, Web surfers will naturally enough take due advantage, heedless of what economists refer to as externalities, or the costs that someone else has to pay (or an entire city of someone elses, as when the next rolling blackout instantly makes San Francisco look like Lagos.)

Unlimited Internet access for a flat monthly rate is a luxury that Web surfers in most European countries do not enjoy. It is not directly responsible for California's energy shortage. But the mentality that it reinforces, that using technology carries no marginal cost is plain wrong. Only five years ago AOL still charged its members on an hourly basis. Reluctantly, the $20 a month flat price set by independent Internet providers forced it too to move to a flat-price model. AOL then weathered an embarrassing breakdown of tis dial-up systems when users gorged themselves on the unmetered service.

At the time of the change, AOL head Steve Case sent an E-mail asking that members "moderate" their use at peak evening times during the transition. ("Just as you would be sensitive about using a public phone booth if there were others waiting in line") In just two days, his plea drew 17,000 messages from irate members, livid that he was hedging on the "unlimited use" bargain.

That, in microcosm, is the larger problem we will face as a society at some point: how to moderate use of energy-gobbling resources to which we currently have nearly unlimited access. Who would prefer the intricacies of cost accounting to the all-you-can-eat buffet? No one. That is, until the lights go out."

End of quoted material

QRP anyone?

Dick

From -3897175036343525669
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 19:57:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: 29 Jan 2001 03:57:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <952pmo$87t$1@208.207.71.165>
References: <950014$jb2$0@208.206.142.105> <20010128083130.21683.00001752@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.165
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14511

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >QFU isn't on my Q-signal list. I've never heard it on the air.
>
> I have heard it on the air and I didn't need a list to know exactly what it
> meant.

No, you assumed you knew what it meant.

From 5818474521804803039
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 19:58:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: 29 Jan 2001 03:58:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <952ppk$87t$2@208.207.71.165>
References: <94vutn$fo1$0@208.206.142.105> <20010128083305.21683.00001753@ng-mj1.aol.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.165
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14512

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Dick Carroll
>
> >Marconi always considered himself a ham although he never held a ham
> >radio license.
>
> I think he should have been grandfathered and just given one. I don't think,
> however, that his code speed would have been high enough that he could have
> been allowed on more than the Tech+ bands on HF.

Oh, I don't know. He made a bunch of longrange CW contacts if you
recall. Also as has been belabored here so often, the code test early on
was at 5wpm.

From 3955257342241125517
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 20:15:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsengine.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94qpg9$lks$0@208.206.142.92> <20010126054611.12054.00001692@ng-fl1.aol.com> <94s3jg$4l6$0@208.206.142.91> <3a739e20.10905563@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 23:14:09 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3a74ee24$0$35014$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1bb2d6a4.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=_mFL^Gnk]l=NZUVAGj=[M>LoK8f=:?
J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7Ji3l[nHHI1>lBFDaL\d^n5DnBhG0BY\i;
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14513


"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3a739e20.10905563@news.mindspring.com...
> >But so far they don't keep insisting it's a
> >Federal civil rights case, at least on rrap.
>
> Personal opinion follows:  In my opinion, I think a
> case could probably be made today on the ADA issue
> of code requirments...BUT, I don't believe such a case
> would be easily won, nor would it be inexpensive...and hence
> I see no point in attempting such nor in argueing pro
> or con on that basis.

For the record, I have never said the Morse code
exam was an ADA issue.  I agreed with the FCC medical
waiver decision because the code test was not a valid
requirement; however, the decision was a technical
judicial error in that it did not waive the test for all, and
instead created more discrimination.  Discrimination
by government are can addressed by "due process"
and does not always require ADA or Civil Rights
Act remedies.

I further believe that the FCC has dealt fairly with the
issue presented to it in the 1990 Codeless Technician
Decision and 1999 License Restructuring Decision.
And, I further expect the Commission, when presented
with the ITU rule S25.5 issue, will deal with it in a
fair and proper manner.

Larry KC8EPO







From 8912865379119449862
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 20:31:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
```

```
Date: 29 Jan 2001 04:31:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <952rma$bjp$0@208.207.71.165>
References: <950014$jb2$0@208.206.142.105> <20010128083130.21683.00001752@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7vw6l4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.165
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14514



Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 28 Jan 2001 13:31:30 GMT, W1RFI wrote:
>
> >>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> >>QFU isn't on my Q-signal list. I've never heard it on the air.
> >
> >I have heard it on the air and I didn't need a list to know exactly what it
> >meant.
>
>   QFU is in the series assigned to the Aeronautical Services -
>   that series does not appear in the ITU Radio Regs so I don't
>   know its exact (legal) meaning.
>

See, Ed?  I told you it was legal! You can't depend on a codefree ham
like Brian  for dependable information about radiotelegraph operations.


From -7663554061611575706
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 20:38:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 29 Jan 2001 04:38:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <952s4h$f53$0@208.207.71.165>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-
da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com> <94qr7b$qdl$0@208.206.142.92>
<3a739cc7.10560697@news.mindspring.com> <950lhn$e8b$1@208.207.71.134>
```

<3a748b3c.15151125@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.165
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14515

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> The fact still remains that there is NOT any set freuency where
> a ham can be reasonably sure that other hams are monitoring.
> That is the bottom line. Ironic too that CBers maintain channel 9
> as an exclsuive safety/emergency channel.

No, Bull, the "fact" is that in an emergency situation, it is normal procedure for anyone involved or interested in the emergency to repair to the State emergency net frequency. I wouldn't expect you to know that, given that you don't actually have that much experience in ham radio.

There are other frequencies generally active on a routine basis that are available for emergency service on an ongoing basis. The Eastcars and Westcars mobile service SSB nets on 7255, and Midcars on 72587258 are still active, at least Midcars is, as I sometimes monitor there. Most any repeater can be used as emergency comms, if only you can get anyone to answer your call. Then there is the Maritime Mobile Service Net on 14.313, if there's anything left of it these days after the trashing it got by thugs a few years ago and since.

But, of course, you're right - there is no "frequency" that is singularly monitored for emergency purposes. Instead, there are many. But you've got to know enough about it to know where to look.

From 4899033196131690505
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 20:39:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7whjr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com> <952m6o$6a0$1@iac5.navix.net>

Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 22
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 04:39:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980743168 206.58.44.10 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 04:39:28 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 04:39:28 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14516

On Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:56:43 -0500, W4JLE wrote:

>You got that right Richard, I was afraid I had stuttered and you didn't get
>the message. Once more with feelings, California liberals and their ilk
>passed legislation that had no chance for success. Don't blame me, you could
>not pay me to live there if you put the mint on a three shift day.

Gee, I've always considered that "deregulation" was the darling of "conservatives" who didn't want "the gov'mint" setting caps on profits and interfering with their business endeavors by telling "industry" what to do.

Foolish me....must have been us "liberals" all along, eh? FDR must be spinning in his grave.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 4183762352904392409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 20:43:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: 29 Jan 2001 04:43:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 35
Message-ID: <952sco$fo7$0@208.207.71.165>
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<3a748bc8.15291834@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.165
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14517

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 28 Jan 2001 13:24:51 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
> >>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
> >
> >>I disagree with the possibile retention of a code test for USA, but that's far
> >
> >>enough out that I am quite happy with the change regarding S25.5.
> >
> >The Board chose not to change the US policy at this time.
> >
> >>As to my "Sohl" proposal ala QST some years back...I'd not
> >>oppose having some questions along the lines of those
> >>similar to the questions regarding knowledge of the phonetic alphabet.
> >
> >How would you feel about the test containing 5 minutes of Morse code at 5 wpm,
> >and a reasonable number of questions about its content?
>
> I'd have mixed opinion. It really isn't needed anymore and
> that's pretty much the bottom line.
>

It's quite clear that Bill has no use for ham radio for anything other
than just a very occasional, causual passtime, and thus he truly
believes that no one else does or ever has had, either. He evidently
doesn't read much or just ignores the emergency columns in all the mags
that have published reams on such activity by hams for far longer than
he has had a license.
But I guess that would be the attitude one could expect from a fella
who has very little actual interest in the hobby, and being employed in
a commercial communications endeavor he surely wouldn't advocate hams
handling serious traffic in competition.

From -6406187929033537985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 20:58:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 29 Jan 2001 04:58:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <952tai$i2q$0@208.207.71.165>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com> <952m6o$6a0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7whjr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.165
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14518

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:56:43 -0500, W4JLE wrote:
>
> >You got that right Richard, I was afraid I had stuttered and you didn't get
> >the message. Once more with feelings, California liberals and their ilk
> >passed legislation that had no chance for success. Don't blame me, you could
> >not pay me to live there if you put the mint on a three shift day.
>
>   Gee, I've always considered that "deregulation" was the darling
>   of "conservatives" who didn't want "the gov'mint" setting caps
>   on profits and interfering with their business endeavors by
>   telling "industry" what to do.
>
>   Foolish me....must have been us "liberals" all along, eh? FDR
>   must be spinning in his grave.
>

Phil, that was the problem in a nutshell. "Liberal Deregulation", in which the retail price is strictly controlled so as to definitely never offend a single voter by causing him to have to pay actual costs (until the lights go out, anyway) but unfortunately they forgot that they couldn't control the prices charged by all those out-of-state suppliers! Evidently they just closed their eyes and hoped the ship would hit the sand so far in the future they'd be off the scene when the recriminations started...

From 5524608559390406897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 21:00:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 04:45:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <952shh$vt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com> <t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1> <t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com> <94sdsl$3k6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A724BC0.61ABA1B@aznet.net> <94vutn$fo1$0@208.206.142.105>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.10.66
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 04:45:37 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.160.10.66
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14519

In article <94vutn$fo1$0@208.206.142.105>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Marconi always considered himself a ham although he never held a ham
> radio license.

Lotsa guys like that hanging out just below 10 meters.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com

http://www.deja.com/

From -1259437500677854935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-28 22:42:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.flash.net!
news.flash.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A750150.26BB6EA1@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Amateur license renewals lost by FCC
References: <94sqet$3en$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A722EB6.712F36E9@some.net>
<94tiko$3tm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 06:42:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.215.73.104
X-Complaints-To: abuse@flash.net
X-Trace: news.flash.net 980750529 216.215.73.104 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 00:42:09 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 00:42:09 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11693
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14520

Oh you hurt my widdle feelings!!

KC8JBO wrote:

> In article <3A722EB6.712F36E9@some.net>,
>   JR <jr@flash.net> wrote:
> > Nice try, but pure garbage.
>
> But that's all your little brain-stem can process, Jacking Rube!

From -5862502833753684800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1fdab6219235396a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 01:28:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail

From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you're staying at a racist Hiram, GA hotel
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 04:28:38 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A7537BB.784A048C@sccoast.net>
References: <9521if$9me$0@pita.alt.net> <20010128190214.25354.00000675@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-112.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 980760488 21960 206.74.49.112 (29 Jan 2001 09:28:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 09:28:08 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14521

KK4TL wrote:
>
> Never heard of a Grand Wizard. Grand Dragon yes.
> He reports to the Imperial Wizard. Who is the
> top banana. But, thanks to Morris Dees, the
> organization is so fragmented now, there is no
> telling what new wrinkles have been added.

The KKK is alive and well. Recruitment is active all over the country (a friend was approached in Washington state and I've heard of recruitment around here). All Dees did was drive the KKK underground (into cell-like groups), which probably makes it more dangerious.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -6948435644414936883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 02:44:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A754991.D193138@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com> <952m6o$6a0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7whjr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 37
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 05:44:33 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.44
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980765044 206.20.144.44 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 04:44:04
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 04:44:04 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14522

Phil Kane wrote:

>
>
> Foolish me....must have been us "liberals" all along, eh? FDR
> must be spinning in his grave.
>
> --
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

>

Reaganesque degregulated supply + FDR-style price controls and cost supports + the need for Sierra Club stamps on building permits = Deal with the blatently obvious results.

>

In the end, five-ten years out when reality is imposed amidst great howls of protest and anguish over actually cutting down trees to build generating capacity the Leftist Coasters will be paying 15-20 cents per kwh like us smokestack-loving fully-deregulated Fascist Coasters have been doing for eons. That's the real bottom line, pay for what the amp hours actually cost to deliver plus some (wash my mouf) profit or the lights are gonna go out. Not a palatable concept in places where a primary purpose of gummint is to protect consumers from reality, pore things.

>

Not that I actually believe it of course but our incarnation of Davis claims that deregulation saved PA consumers $2.18 billion last year. We also have *mothballed* generating capacity as a result of the profit-driven environment which got dropped on us and them a few years back. The 'lectric company here made money on excess capacity back then thanks to the foibles of regulation and now they can't so they took the excess capacity offline real quick but it's still out there.

>

w3rv

```
From 7070568017031539233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1fdab6219235396a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1fdab6219235396a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 02:55:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A754C14.A0966BFB@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you're staying at a racist Hiram, GA hotel
References: <9521if$9me$0@pita.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 37
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 05:55:16 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.44
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980765683 206.20.144.44 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 04:54:43
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 04:54:43 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11697
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14523



Stagger Lee wrote:

> Top ten signs you're staying at a racist, Hiram hotel
>
> 10. Their slogan is, "We'll leave the WHITE on for ya!"
>
>  9. First tip-off: Those Confederate Flag pillow cases.
>
>  8. Sign in the lobby announces: "Pat Buchanan Rally This Saturday!!!"
>
>  7. Sign behind the front desk reads: "You're damn right we're open on
>     Martin Luther King Day!"
>
>  6. Sign above the beds reads: "Absolutely no HIP-HOPPING on the
>     mattresses!!!"
>
>  5. Sheets and towels already have eye-holes cut in them.
>
```

```
>  4. No rating by the AAA. . . but 5-stars by the KKK.
>
>  3. Bible on the nightstand replaced with a copy of "Mien Kampf".
>
>  2. Instead of a "Presidential Suite" they have a "Grand Wizard Suite".
>
> And the Top Sign You're Staying at a racist, Hiram Hotel. . .
>
>  1. Every morning the front desk will give you a "wake-up slur".

>
You're a mindless pile of trash to the core.
>
Brian Kelly w3rv
Secane, Pa.




From 6466994139696368187
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 03:50:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 11:43:41 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <953l1c$i2j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<3a748bc8.15291834@news.mindspring.com> <952sco$fo7$0@208.207.71.165>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.48
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 11:43:41 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.48
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14524

In article <952sco$fo7$0@208.207.71.165>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > On 28 Jan 2001 13:24:51 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >
> > >>From: billsohl@mindspring.com  (Bill Sohl)
```

> > >
> > >>I disagree with the possibile retention of a code test for USA,
but that's far
> > >
> > >>enough out that I am quite happy with the change regarding S25.5.
> > >
> > >The Board chose not to change the US policy at this time.
> > >
> > >>As to my "Sohl" proposal ala QST some years back...I'd not
> > >>oppose having some questions along the lines of those
> > >>similar to the questions regarding knowledge of the phonetic
alphabet.
> > >
> > >How would you feel about the test containing 5 minutes of Morse
code at 5 wpm,
> > >and a reasonable number of questions about its content?
> >
> > I'd have mixed opinion. It really isn't needed anymore and
> > that's pretty much the bottom line.
> >
>
> It's quite clear that Bill has no use for ham radio for anything
other
> than just a very occasional, causual passtime, and thus he truly
> believes that no one else does or ever has had, either. He evidently
> doesn't read much or just ignores the emergency columns in all the
mags
> that have published reams on such activity by hams for far longer than
> he has had a license.
> But I guess that would be the attitude one could expect from a fella
> who has very little actual interest in the hobby, and being employed
in
> a commercial communications endeavor he surely wouldn't advocate hams
> handling serious traffic in competition.

Nevermind that even the professional emergency communicators have
abandoned code long ago. If you like, I'll dig out the website where
you can listen to the last holdout, the US Coast Guard's last CW
transmission.

If you want to get saved, you'll have to learn to use phone.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8538142490219254738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 04:41:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!

newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Jan 2001 12:40:51 GMT
References: <952s4h$f53$0@208.207.71.165>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010129074051.12194.00001983@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14525

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>But, of course, you're right - there is no "frequency" that is
>singularly monitored for emergency purposes. Instead, there are many.
>But you've got to know enough about it to know where to look.

There is a single HF frequency that is monitored extensively for emergencies,
Dick, at least while the band is expected to be open. Of course:

>I wouldn't expect you to know that, given that you don't actually have that
>much experience in ham radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4404710706597356326
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 04:44:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Jan 2001 12:44:22 GMT
References: <952pmo$87t$1@208.207.71.165>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Message-ID: <20010129074422.12194.00001985@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14526

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>No, you assumed you knew what it meant.

Not another "what does 'is' mean?" :-)

Context, son, context; it is all in the context.

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI

From -2191992199230025776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 04:44:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Jan 2001 12:43:25 GMT
References: <952ppk$87t$2@208.207.71.165>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14527

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Oh, I don't know. He made a bunch of longrange CW contacts if you
>recall. Also as has been belabored here so often, the code test early on
>was at 5wpm.

I didn't mean to imply that he didn't know the code. I don't know if he knew the code to 20 wpm when he did those early experminents. Seeing as this was before April 15, 2000, shouldn't he have done them above 50 MHz, where he belonged? :-)

I have to wonder, if the 5 wpm code test was enough to get Amateur Radio off to such a flying start (looking at the resultant growth), why is it looked upon with such disdain by some today?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2644315263421611829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 15:00:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 22:54:27 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <957gmt$va9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net> <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>
<o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net> <Utvd6.398$zZ.433905@news02.optonline.net>
<3A76CBDF.3E69EEB9@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7zf875.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.44
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 22:54:27 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.44
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14628 rec.radio.cb:24703

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g7zf875.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> On Tue, 30 Jan 2001 08:12:47 -0600, Cecil wrote:
>
> >You guys are still wet behind the ears. Did you ever use an IBM650?
> >Magnetic drum with thousands of vacuum tubes and tons of
air-conditioning.
>
> You missed the joys of the state-of-the-art AN/FSQ-31 which
> was 2 IBM 7090s back-to-back .... with a 2-ft diameter disk
> holding 128K that took 21 KW of manufacturer-required air
> conditioning to make 75 degree +/- 2 degree air, while the
> device operated in a computer room where the air was closely
> held at 75 degrees +/- 1 degree per IBM specs.

> The air handling system for the 'Q-31 had a 2-minute capacity
> and a backup generator rated at 750 KW which could only make 2
> starts in that time. Prime power had 4 - 375 KW rotary no-break
> machines but SoCal Ed in their infinite wisdom only provided a
> 300 KVA transformer for patch-around when one of the no-breaks
> were down for maintenance.
>
> Ah the good old days of "Peace is Our Profession" (SAC - 1960s)
>
> --
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

Sounds like the antiques in the old 20th Air Division, Air Defense
Command, SAGE Blockhouse at Ft Lee AFS, VA. I had to forecast

probability of lightning so we knew when to switch off the commercial
power and start up the diesels. Couldn't let the AC stop or we would
burn baby burn!

Bombs on Target!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -7683610609270376240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 15:10:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
skynet.be!newsfeed.icl.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 22:59:12 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <957gvq$vm6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net> <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>
<o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net> <5Dvd6.197$2Y.154696@nntp2.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.44
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 22:59:12 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.44
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:24704 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14629
```

In article <5Dvd6.197$2Y.154696@nntp2.onemain.com>,
  "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

> Became known as the
> operating system that never returned. Spent so much time housekeeping
> that it only rarely came around to do any useful work. Now that was
> bloatware.

Sounds like some of the old telegraph operators around here. ;)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2217500312666985501
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 15:20:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.xnet.com!
newsgate.mot.com!newshost.mot.com!nntp.cig.mot.com!not-for-mail
From: Jeff Goodspeed <cmsx42@email.mot.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 16:53:45 -0600
Organization: Motorola CIG
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com>
References: <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010127213831.00714.00004493@nso-md.aol.com> <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu>
<3A7613F6.882A1C23@IEEE.org> <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net>
<3A765597.823F0781@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 160.20.72.14
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14630

Cecil wrote:

> JR wrote:
> > And that means?
>
> It means that Generals can do now exactly what Novices did in the
> 1950s - start out at 5wpm and speed up over time. For some reason
> known only to Larry, he says over and over that it can't be done
> even though hundreds of thousands of hams have done exactly that.
>
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > The only difference now is that we have
> > > 5wpm Generals instead of 5wpm Novices.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Five WPM isn't fast enough to approach the proficiency
> which would give a code learner the confidence to try out his skills
? on-the-air.

What I think Larry may be trying to say is what I call the �fun �

factor�. CW communications can be conducted at any speed, low or high. The unfortunate truth is that the amount of fun you have conversing with CW is proportional to the speed you can comfortably clip along at. When incentive licensing was based on stepped CW proficiency requirements, there were two forms of inspiration for a new 5 wpm Ham. There was some level of fun (and frustration) obtained by using the code, but also there was the carrot hanging in front of him to upgrade to higher class of license. Now that the �upgrade� carrot is gone, there is less incentive for a 5 wpm Ham to stick with using CW long enough to reach a speed where the fun � factor can really kick in.

A second problem will be the loss of the �kiddy pool�, otherwise known as the novice band. The Novice CW band allocations are essentially empty now and will soon go away. During their hay-day, they were chuck full of hams pounding away in the 5-13 wpm range, all working hard to improve their CW skills. It was easy to find someone to talk with and it was only respectful for accomplished CW operators to slow down when visiting there. The new CW operator today does not have this warm shallow water to splash around in. He is thrown into the deep end of the pool and told to start swimming with the big fish. Would anybody be surprised if the percentage of new hams that grow to love CW is significantly less compared to when there were support mechanisms built in to help him along?

I look to specialty clubs to step up and save the day for CW. It is clear that the code haters have won the day and regulated CW mandates are soon to be history. Clubs like FISTS should set aside frequencies in the CW sub-band via gentlemen�s agreements as protected waters for new CW operators. They should slow down and help the new CW Hams along. If it were generally known that the new CW operator could find personal support on the bands, then CW would have a brighter future.

Jeff - KA9S

From -3418453831428993378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 15:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 23:27:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <957iku$19j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <M1Mc6.549$9m.1894477@nntp3.onemain.com>

<20010128085117.21683.00001754@ng-mj1.aol.com> <956p9j$29v$0@208.206.142.82>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.45
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 23:27:37 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.45
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14631

In article <956p9j$29v$0@208.206.142.82>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> Then (they?) have misperceived the entire controversy.

As has the FCC, right?

> I for one came on board
> here a few years ago with the sole intent and purpose of offering a
> little friendly insight

Dick, your sense of humor is greatly underestimated.

> on the fact that Morse on ham radio does indeed
> have a velue.

I'm sure it does. I just don't agree on the amount.

> I was immediately set upon by a howling pack of jackels,
> some of whom persist to this day. If you think I intend to just roll
> over and hand the game to them you're dreaming.

Roll over? No Dick, we expect to see you throw yourself down on the
floor and spin in circles all the while kicking and screaming. Every
once in a while, you'll check to see if anyone is still watching.

I've just stopped watching.

See you all tomorrow.

73, Brian

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3142433340059090829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 16:11:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news.compuserve.com!
news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 31 Jan 2001 00:11:42 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 980899902 17346 149.174.88.240 (31 Jan 2001 00:11:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 31 Jan 2001 00:11:42 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14632

>When incentive licensing was based on stepped CW proficiency
>requirements, there were two forms of inspiration for a new 5
>wpm Ham.

Incentive Licensing was never _based_ on stepped CW proficiency requirements. The purpose of Incentive Licensing was raising technical proficiency (see Docket 15928). The stepped CW requirements that were a part of the existing license structure were a byproduct of the Incentive Licensing idea, not the basis for it.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 5308885467009873714
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 16:41:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 16:41:10 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <3A775F26.7F24DA0D@uci.edu>
References: <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com> <20010127213831.00714.00004493@nso-md.aol.com> <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu> <3A7613F6.882A1C23@IEEE.org> <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <3A765597.823F0781@IEEE.org> <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14633

Jeff Goodspeed wrote:
> What I think Larry may be trying to say is what I call the �fun � factor�. CW communications can be conducted at any speed, low or high. The unfortunate truth is that the amount of fun you have conversing with CW is proportional to the speed you can comfortably clip along at. When incentive licensing was based on stepped CW proficiency requirements, there were two forms of inspiration for a new 5 wpm Ham. There was some level of fun (and frustration) obtained by using the code, but also there was the carrot hanging in front of him to upgrade to higher class of license. Now that the �upgrade� carrot is gone, there is less incentive for a 5 wpm Ham to stick with using CW long enough to reach a speed where the fun � factor can really kick in.

A very well reasoned viewpoint, Jeff. For me, I don't think the fun factor was really related to any absolute value of speed. The frustration factor was more related to how many mistakes I made, or how well I could copy the other station. This is true at any speed I think. My change in speed as I learned was almost imperceptable to me. The sense of speed was and is a relative thing. If the other station is sending faster than the speed at which I can copy, it seems fast to me. But as long as he's sending at a speed that I can copy, then I'm comfortable and happy with it. It's fun. If I can't copy it, it's not so much fun. I've never felt that I had to get out so many words per minute or it wasn't worth my time. The fun was just in doing it. For those who feel they have a time constraint on their operating, then one of the other modes would probably be better suited to their needs.

I think your point about the "kiddy pool" (for lack of a better expression) is well taken. I've noticed that QSO's on the FISTS frequencies are generally at a more leisurely pace. I like your idea about perhaps expanding on that.

Nice post, Jeff.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 1133629417184835735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 16:55:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 00:54:31 GMT
References: <20010128145802.21648.00001999@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Message-ID: <20010130195431.02315.00004614@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14634

In article <20010128145802.21648.00001999@ng-mj1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>To those whose primary reason for learning the code is to get their HF
>priveleges, Code Quick is as good a way as any.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ah HAH!!!  The truth comes out!  The ARRL (through their Usenet
spokesman, W1RFI) supports the concept of using inefficient,
speed-limiting methods of learning the Morse Code strictly for
the purpose of expiditing the granting of HF (phone) privileges!
More "dumbing-down" from Newington!  What else did we expect?

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 2978740863920574798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 16:55:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 00:54:32 GMT
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14636

In article <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>W1RFI wrote:
```

>> If you really are giving up, perhaps you could stand back and let those
>with a
>> more positive outlook take over from here. Your choice of words is really
>> trying to hasten the demise of my favorite mode. I disagree with you that
>Morse
>> code use is unable to stand on its own merits.

My choice of words is telling the truth, as it always has. If you are
so adamantly "Pro-Code," why didn't you support it by insisting on
retaining the status quo in testing requirements?

>Ditto for me. QRP interest is on the rise.

Is that among currently licensed, already CW-using hams, or
among new hams, recently licensed, and who just learned CW
for the sake of accessing the benefits of QRP operation? I'm sure
that if the truth could be known, it would support my arguments,
not yours. Mine are based on reality; yours are based on
dreamy, politically correct wishful thinking!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8354443324891150243
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 16:55:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 00:54:31 GMT
References: <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010130195431.02315.00004613@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14635

In article <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Five WPM isn't fast enough to approach the proficiency
>> which would give a code learner the confidence to try out his skills
>> on-the-air.
>
>This was incorrect when you first wrote it, it was incorrect the next

>time you wrote it, and it was incorrect the time after that. It is now
>still incorrect, and will likely continue to be incorrect in the future,
>as it does not appear to become correct with either repetition or time.

Jim:

Why did people with a 5 WPM Novice/Tech ticket get on-the-air?
Did the fact that they were also staring a 13- and 20-WPM code
tests in the face have anything to do with it? It sure did for me,
and everyone else I know -- except those who find it easy to deny
reality here on Usenet! Keep deluding yourself, OM -- you're
getting good at it, and I'm sure it brings you some comfort!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1035435042761083622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 16:58:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: 31 Jan 2001 00:58:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <957nvo$hhn$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <951hh9$tpu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org>
<951pcv$411$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95737u$a2f$1@agate.berkeley.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14637
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11826

David Snyder Hale wrote:
>
> In rec.radio.amateur.misc Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > Dog did it. Hmmm, starts with the word dog, and dog starts with the
> > letter "D" so it must be a d. Heck of a look up table you have to carry
> > around in your head.

>
> Yes, *IF* it worked as a lookup table, but I don't think it does.
> I learned Morse with CodeQuick about 14 months ago, and I was explaining it
> to someone, and I found that I can't actually remember all the silly
> phrases and pictures, but I certainly have an association of the sound with
> the letter.

That's promising and encouraging. It indicates that Code Quick isn't just teaching something that you have to unlearn to progress to higher speeds.

> I don't mean to sound like a late-nite TV infomercial, ;-) but after about
> 2-3 years of frustration, trying everything, I went from 0-13 wpm with
> codequick. (I don't recall offhand how long it took, but it was pretty
> quick-- whatever about 1/2 the program is. maybe a month.)
>
> Hey, everybody is different. It may not work for everyone, but it seems
> like a really good system to me, (because it worked wonders for me). I
> wouldn't guarantee it for anyone just because of how different folks can
> learn in different ways;

Yes, but it it worked for you in that way it will do so for many others as well.

Dick

From 1608405977794107920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:04:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 31 Jan 2001 01:04:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <957oan$ii3$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com> <94qr7b$qdl$0@208.206.142.92> <3a739cc7.10560697@news.mindspring.com> <950lhn$e8b$1@208.207.71.134> <3a748b3c.15151125@news.mindspring.com> <952s4h$f53$0@208.207.71.165> <3a7592f7.7073243@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14638

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> All this simply underscores the lack of any truly universal
> agreement amongst the ham community for specific
> emergency frequencies which, if ever agreed to,
> could be routinely monitored by any/all hams.

Lets see, now, there are hams in the USA numbering near 3/4 million, scatttered from coast to coast, border to border, and that ignores an equal or greater number scattered 'round the world. If you really think it might to be possible to gather them on a single frequency or to even agree on what frequency they might gather on, you're dreaming. I've been active virtually continuously for 44 years and never had to wonder where to go when an emergency struck. Done it a number of times. Have participated in lots of emergency and earthquake simulation drills overthe years on some of those frequencies.
Just what DO you discuss in your RACES planning?

From 7237503401955397791
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:11:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 01:10:18 GMT
References: <9554je$f3a$0@208.207.71.178>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010130201018.18765.00002188@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14639

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> If you really are giving up, perhaps you could stand back and let those
>with a
>> more positive outlook take over from here.

> Your positive outlook and a buck will get you a cup of coffee if you
>stay out of the ritzt joints.

Dick, in many areas of my life, a positive outlook has accomplished things I would not have believed possible.

>> I disagree with you that Morse code use is unable to stand on its own
merits.

> You lose.

What does this mean? Are you saying that you don't think that I believe that Morse code is able to stand on its own merits, or you affirming that you believe that it is not able to stand in its own merits?

I truly don't understand what you are trying to say with "you lose." Can you explain what it means to you so I will know what it means if you say it again?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>
>
>

From 8102009403115319549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:15:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 01:14:19 GMT
References: <95554s$f3a$3@208.207.71.178>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010130201419.18765.00002189@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14640

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>To answer a question with a question, why is it that 5wpm code test is
>being fought just as hard by the codebashing lazies just as hard as the
>20wpm was?

I assume that you don't intend that I answer this question because I am neither code bashing or lazy.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3387507717174912761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:19:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 01:18:37 GMT
References: <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010130201837.18765.00002190@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14641
```

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>> Five WPM isn't fast enough to approach the proficiency
>> which would give a code learner the confidence to try out his skills
>> on-the-air.

>This was incorrect when you first wrote it, it was incorrect the next
>time you wrote it, and it was incorrect the time after that. It is now
>still incorrect, and will likely continue to be incorrect in the future,
>as it does not appear to become correct with either repetition or time.

Another example of a pro-code-test advocate feeling a need to tear down the mode in order to defend it. :-)

I made a world of 5 wpm QSOs when I first started, then 6 wpm QSOs and 10 wpm QSOs and kept on going.

The QRPers are doing some really cool things with their "fox" hunt events, with a slow-speed HF foxhunt once a week.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>73, Jim AC6XG
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -5804593030159204411
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:21:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 01:20:40 GMT
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14642

I think Cecil was telling us that he believes that some of the 5 wpm Generals will advance their code speed past the speed at which they were tested, like Cecil did with his 5 wpm Novice, or like I did with my 13 wpm General.

Some people don't think Morse code is good enough to attract users.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>From: JR jr@some.net

>And that means?

>Cecil wrote:

>> The only difference now is that we have
>> 5wpm Generals instead of 5wpm Novices.
>> --

>> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -4439983300473747970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:21:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 17:14:53 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3A77670D.475F36E6@uci.edu>
References: <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu> <20010130195431.02315.00004613@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14643

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Why did people with a 5 WPM Novice/Tech ticket get on-the-air?

I think probably for the same reason that any other ham radio operator gets on the air.

> Did the fact that they were also staring a 13- and 20-WPM code
> tests in the face have anything to do with it? It sure did for me,
> and everyone else I know -- except those who find it easy to deny
> reality here on Usenet!

That's not the point you made in the previous post, or the one to which I responded, Larry. Here it is again so you can keep your thoughts straight about it:

"Five WPM isn't fast enough to approach the proficiency
which would give a code learner the confidence to try out his skills
on-the-air."

I disagree that a lack of upgrade incentive makes the above a true
statement.

> Keep deluding yourself, OM -- you're
> getting good at it, and I'm sure it brings you some comfort!

I was thinking the same thing about you, OM.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From -5100042769684091665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:25:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 01:25:13 GMT
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010130202513.18765.00002192@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14644
```

>From: Jeff Goodspeed cmsx42@email.mot.com

>I look to specialty clubs to step up and save the day for CW.

Some of the specialty clubs, like the QRPers, are doing just that. The ARRL
Board has just issued a mandate to HQ staff to identify ways to promote Morse
code use on the air.

>It is clear that the code haters have won the day and regulated CW mandates
>are soon to be history.

Some who believe that Morse code testing need not be a part of amateur testing
are far from code haters.

>They should slow down and help the new CW Hams along.

This will be the number 1 way to encourage those who are being licensed today to try out those Morse code skills.

>If it were generally known that the new CW operator could find personal
>support on the bands, then CW would have a brighter future.

Some here who may believe that they are "helping" the mode survive ad not making newcomers feel like they will find personal support on the bands. Unfortunately, there is not enough on the bands to really make that happen, either.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 5368155525083196979
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:28:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 01:27:40 GMT
References: <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010130202740.18765.00002193@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14645
```

>From: Vincent Biancomano 103520.355@CompuServe.COM

>>When incentive licensing was based on stepped CW proficiency
>>requirements, there were two forms of inspiration for a new 5
>>wpm Ham.

>Incentive Licensing was never _based_ on stepped CW proficiency
>requirements. The purpose of Incentive Licensing was raising
>technical proficiency (see Docket 15928). The stepped CW
>requirements that were a part of the existing license structure
>were a byproduct of the Incentive Licensing idea, not the basis
>for it.

The step from Novice to General contained a code-speed incentive from Day 1.

In the days when a General had all amateur priveleges, the technical step you mention was just as much a part of the structure of the licensing of the day as was the code-speed step. Both were made part of the incentive.

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6490005868335425610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:29:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 01:28:14 GMT
References: <955m0t$t40$0@208.207.71.178>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010130202814.18765.00002194@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14646

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>It means we also have 5wpm pseudoExtras.

Are you trying to make sure none of the new hams feel welcome enough to stay?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7895231759452110606
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fcc6c138df023f5e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:32:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Drink" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Troll?
Lines: 7
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <OVJd6.331$j4.33074@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers

X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 20:31:26 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 21:31:40 -0800
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14647

Help me here folks. What's a troll? It sounds profane and derogatory (which in & of itself makes it a pretty useful term when the time's right)...

Drink

From 8462569911160698173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:34:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 31 Jan 2001 01:33:58 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <957q26$4uf$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <20010130202740.18765.00002193@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 980904838 5071 149.174.88.251 (31 Jan 2001 01:33:58 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 31 Jan 2001 01:33:58 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14648

>The step from Novice to General contained a code-speed incentive
>from Day 1.

>In the days when a General had all amateur priveleges, the
>technical step you mention was just as much a part of the
>structure of the licensing of the day as
>was the code-speed step. Both were made part of the incentive.

>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

I don't see that you've disagreed with Docket 15928, Ed, which plainly indicates that Incentive Licensing had to do with addressing technical proficiency. Further, the reason IL failed

is because hams weren't grandfathered to Extra from General. That
was the complaint; not the difficulty of Morse.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 4787503536900377494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:41:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 17:39:13 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A776CC1.DCD02D18@uci.edu>
References: <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu> <20010130201837.18765.00002190@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14649

W1RFI wrote:

> I made a world of 5 wpm QSOs when I first started, then 6 wpm QSOs and 10 wpm
> QSOs and kept on going.

So did I, and according to Larry, so did he. How he figures nobody
would operate at that speed I'll never understand. Selective memory
perhaps. My first CW QSOs were on my old 2 meter IC 211 - at sub-5WPM
speed - when I was a Tech.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -7768916090558699961
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 17:51:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail

From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 17:50:31 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A776F67.BEE29DA6@uci.edu>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <20010130202513.18765.00002192@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14650

W1RFI wrote:
> >They should slow down and help the new CW Hams along.

> This will be the number 1 way to encourage those who are being licensed today
> to try out those Morse code skills.

Having knowlege of the Morse letters and numbers when they are being
licensed is probably helpful in that way also. Am I wrong?

73, Jim AC6XG

From -8113528758391923487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 18:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 02:06:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <957rvt$94n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <951hh9$tpu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org> <951pcv$411$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95737u$a2f$1@agate.berkeley.edu> <957nvo$hhn$0@208.206.142.67>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 31 02:06:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53

X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14651
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11833

In article <957nvo$hhn$0@208.206.142.67>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Yes, but it it worked for you in that way it will do so for many
others
> as well.
>
> Dick

Sounds useful and has come up here before. I should try it. Does it
cost more than a pizza? More than a lobster dinner?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3994102372971076482
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 18:27:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A77780F.67E8316B@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top 10 Problems facing Amteur Radio? (was Re: ARRL code test
References: <20010126055408.12054.00001694@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010127213832.00714.00004494@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 21:27:27 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.43
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980908016 206.20.144.43 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 20:26:56
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 20:26:56 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14652

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Philly is close enough to me...let me know when it is, and if it's
> on a Saturday, I'll try to join you!

>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

>
Absolutely will do that Larry. 'Bout time us 3-land RRAPer denizens got together.
>
Brian

From -1688861761607135616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 18:30:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!feed.cgocable.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 02:24:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <957t00$a3d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org> <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 31 02:24:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14653

In article <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-fi.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org>, Cecil
<Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >
> >W1RFI wrote:
> >> If you really are giving up, perhaps you could stand back and let
those
> >with a
> >> more positive outlook take over from here. Your choice of words is
really
> >> trying to hasten the demise of my favorite mode. I disagree with
you that
> >Morse
> >> code use is unable to stand on its own merits.
>
> My choice of words is telling the truth, as it always has. If you are

> so adamantly "Pro-Code," why didn't you support it by insisting on
> retaining the status quo in testing requirements?

I'm pro-national defense, pro-military, but I don't advocate conscription at this time. Does that now make me anti-military?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -7584272997484083798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 20:38:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 22:28:21 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A779465.382E4EF7@IEEE.org>
References: <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu> <20010130195431.02315.00004613@nso-
fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.9c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 31 Jan 2001 04:37:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14654
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Why did people with a 5 WPM Novice/Tech ticket get on-the-air?
> Did the fact that they were also staring a 13- and 20-WPM code
> tests in the face have anything to do with it?

I was very happy just being a Novice in 1951. I was having so much fun as a Novice, I completely forgot about the expiration date. The only reason I got a Conditional was to be able to continue to use CW on the Novice bands. The increase in my CW speed happened automatically without me really trying or thinking about it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -3299145953857591573

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 20:40:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 22:30:16 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A7794D8.2F2C180D@IEEE.org>
References: <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu> <20010130201837.18765.00002190@ng-da1.aol.com> <3A776CC1.DCD02D18@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.9c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 31 Jan 2001 04:39:09 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14655

Jim Kelley wrote:
> So did I, and according to Larry, so did he. How he figures nobody
> would operate at that speed I'll never understand. Selective memory
> perhaps.

Sour Grapes, perhaps.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 163827801939032259
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 20:49:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 22:40:05 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3A779725.45CF79F@IEEE.org>
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org> <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-fi.aol.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.9c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 31 Jan 2001 04:49:41 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14656

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Cecil wrote:
> > QRP interest is on the rise.
>
> Is that among currently licensed, already CW-using hams, or
> among new hams, recently licensed, and who just learned CW
> for the sake of accessing the benefits of QRP operation? I'm sure
> that if the truth could be known, it would support my arguments,
> not yours. Mine are based on reality; yours are based on
> dreamy, politically correct wishful thinking!

How you can say yours are based on reality is beyond belief, Larry.
Hams are still learning the code. Probably a third or fourth of them
will use it a lot, including QRP, and develop proficiency. Human nature
has not changed in the last 50 years. I know you are hoping that CW
will cease to exist so you will be proven right but you are absolutely
wrong. CW has enough merits to survive your doomsday wishes for it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7228075609402478311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fcc6c138df023f5e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 20:57:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Troll?
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 22:47:02 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A7798C6.9C1D9563@IEEE.org>
References: <OVJd6.331$j4.33074@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.9c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 31 Jan 2001 04:56:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14657

Drink wrote:
> Help me here folks. What's a troll? It sounds profane and derogatory
> (which in & of itself makes it a pretty useful term when the time's
> right)...

Ever hear of trolling for fish? Well, some posters here on netnews
troll for suckers. "No Code = No Ham" is a troll designed to upset
all the nocode tech hams.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 700380060521208178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 21:38:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!isdnet!grolier!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 31 Jan 2001 05:38:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <20010130202513.18765.00002192@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14658

W1RFI wrote:
>
> Some here who may believe that they are "helping" the mode survive ad not
> making newcomers feel like they will find personal support on the bands.
> Unfortunately, there is not enough on the bands to really make that happen,
> either.
>

Ed when you gonna get real? No one here is witholding any sort of
helping hand from anyone. The last thing the code bashers want is a
helping hand with it. You think Baby Brian Burke sat on his ass for 13

years in whining protest about the test because he wanted a helping hand
to learn code???
 Yeah, I know...he claims to be "coded". Didn't say what "code". I think
I know. And it ain't Morse.

From -953272665278781661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 21:45:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 31 Jan 2001 05:45:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9588p5$619$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <955m0t$t40$0@208.207.71.178> <20010130202814.18765.00002194@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14659

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >It means we also have 5wpm pseudoExtras.
>
> Are you trying to make sure none of the new hams feel welcome enough to stay?

  Oh, we have New Hams who are 5wpm Extras??? That may come as a
surprise to
the FCC database which shows virtually all new extras since April 15 to
be Extra Lites. Those very few who are that new to the hobby and also
grabbed off a 5wpm Extra at the same time probably won't stay anyway.
This can get to be a very boring hobby for the mildly interested and the
trivially involved. There's always the Internet with its instant
gratification.

From -2167642841315996964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fcc6c138df023f5e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 21:45:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Troll?
Date: 31 Jan 2001 05:45:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9588q9$619$1@208.206.142.67>
References: <OVJd6.331$j4.33074@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14660



Drink wrote:
>
>   Help me here folks.  What's a troll?  It sounds profane and derogatory
> (which in & of itself makes it a pretty useful term when the time's
> right)...
>
>     Drink


 I think you just did one.


From -3225488950161274908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 21:49:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 31 Jan 2001 05:49:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <95891u$619$2@208.206.142.67>
References: <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu> <20010130195431.02315.00004613@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3A779465.382E4EF7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14661

Cecil wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Why did people with a 5 WPM Novice/Tech ticket get on-the-air?
> > Did the fact that they were also staring a 13- and 20-WPM code
> > tests in the face have anything to do with it?
>
> I was very happy just being a Novice in 1951. I was having so much
> fun as a Novice, I completely forgot about the expiration date.
> The only reason I got a Conditional was to be able to continue to
> use CW on the Novice bands. The increase in my CW speed happened
> automatically without me really trying or thinking about it.

It is very easy to forget that Cecil is perfect, at least for the
story du moment.

From 7359411410474764273
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 21:58:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!isdnet!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: 31 Jan 2001 05:58:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9589hd$88c$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org> <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-fi.aol.com> <3A779725.45CF79F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14662

Cecil wrote:

>
> Hams are still learning the code.

Yep, it's still a qualification under the treaty.

Probably a third or fourth of them
> will use it a lot, including QRP, and develop proficiency.

Based on what statistical data?

Human nature
> has not changed in the last 50 years.

Yeah,we know....that's the very reason why darn few will ever bother to learn it at all when the code test requirement evaporates, and all the more reason why even fewer 5wpm types will even be interested in using it enough to develop faster skills. Sure, a few will be intrigued and spend a little time at it, at least until the new wears off and well before most ever gain sufficient skill to feel comfortable working higher speeds. THAT is human nature. And we already know your story line, you learned it in Cub Scouts well before you ever heard of ham radio. Or the Internet or any othe computer or radio or TV or or or or or........Sorry those days are all gone.

```
From -991985764134856627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 22:02:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 23:51:42 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A77A7EE.E0C859D7@IEEE.org>
References: <955m0t$t40$0@208.207.71.178> <20010130202814.18765.00002194@ng-
da1.aol.com> <9588p5$619$0@208.206.142.67>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.c5
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 31 Jan 2001 06:01:13 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14663

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Those very few who are that new to the hobby and also
> grabbed off a 5wpm Extra at the same time probably won't stay anyway.

The sky is falling, the sky is falling. Dick, with your optimistic
attitude, you must be a dream to live with (a nightmare).
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7083451125034030324
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 22:06:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 23:56:10 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A77A8FA.34884BA5@IEEE.org>
References: <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu> <20010130195431.02315.00004613@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3A779465.382E4EF7@IEEE.org> <95891u$619$2@208.206.142.67>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.c5
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 31 Jan 2001 06:04:44 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14664

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > I was very happy just being a Novice in 1951. I was having so much
> > fun as a Novice, I completely forgot about the expiration date.
> > The only reason I got a Conditional was to be able to continue to
> > use CW on the Novice bands. The increase in my CW speed happened
> > automatically without me really trying or thinking about it.
>
> It is very easy to forget that Cecil is perfect, at least for the
> story du moment.

That was a very common story in the early 50s. CW was king and 40w
AM sucked. If my Novice license had not expired, I doubt that I would
have upgraded for years. I was deliriously happy with my two 40m

CW Xtals for my Globe Scout.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7245495272940622524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 22:14:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 00:03:47 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3A77AAC3.FD7763C3@IEEE.org>
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org> <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-fi.aol.com> <3A779725.45CF79F@IEEE.org> <9589hd$88c$0@208.206.142.67>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.c5
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 31 Jan 2001 06:13:59 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14665

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Probably a third or fourth of them
> > will use it a lot, including QRP, and develop proficiency.
>
> Based on what statistical data?

Based on historical data, Dick. About 1/3 of HF hams use CW.

> > Human nature
> > has not changed in the last 50 years.
>
> Yeah,we know....that's the very reason why darn few will ever bother to
> learn it at all when the code test requirement evaporates, and all the
> more reason why even fewer 5wpm types will even be interested in using
> it enough to develop faster skills.

If hams chose to improve their CW skills in the past, why won't they
continue to improve their CW skills in the future? You have such a
negative outlook, I don't see how you force yourself out of bed every
morning. Instead of telling us how lazy hams are and that CW is going

to disappear, how about promoting the CW mode for a change? CW is fun for a heck of a lot of hams but it sounds like it is a chore for you, something you wouldn't have done without being forced.

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From -4838141315768963062
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 22:39:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 31 Jan 2001 06:38:00 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <958bs8$epv$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu> <20010130195431.02315.00004613@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3A779465.382E4EF7@IEEE.org> <95891u$619$2@208.206.142.67>
<3A77A8FA.34884BA5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14666
```

```
Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > I was very happy just being a Novice in 1951. I was having so much
> > > fun as a Novice, I completely forgot about the expiration date.
> > > The only reason I got a Conditional was to be able to continue to
> > > use CW on the Novice bands. The increase in my CW speed happened
> > > automatically without me really trying or thinking about it.
> >
> >  It is very easy to forget that Cecil is perfect, at least for the
> > story du moment.
>
> That was a very common story in the early 50s. CW was king and 40w
> AM sucked. If my Novice license had not expired, I doubt that I would
> have upgraded for years. I was deliriously happy with my two 40m
> CW Xtals for my Globe Scout.
```

```
  See what I mean???


From -6595771571899704666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fcc6c138df023f5e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 04:00:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Troll?
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 11:54:51 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <958ue9$41r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <OVJd6.331$j4.33074@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 31 11:54:51 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14667

In article <OVJd6.331$j4.33074@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>,
  "Drink" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net> wrote:
>   Help me here folks.  What's a troll?  It sounds profane and
derogatory
> (which in & of itself makes it a pretty useful term when the time's
> right)...
>
>     Drink

Someone with NOSPAM in their address is probably well versed in
trollism, but just in case I'm wrong:  Its a mythical creature that
lives beneath bridges in English fairy tales.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 7415166201604567612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 05:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!
feed.cgocable.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
```

From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 12:51:45 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9591ov$6cv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94upi8$tba$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127201536.15119.00002081@ng-cs1.aol.com> <953pnq$lge$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <Rfid6.9$HH5.40127@news.ntplx.net> <954v9p$p1u$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A760C23.8B08F6D6@IEEE.org> <956b2b$rac$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A76CA99.5BF7B206@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.11
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 31 12:51:45 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.11
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14668

In article <3A76CA99.5BF7B206@IEEE.org>,
Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> Point is, Steve, that you don't tell the truth about your latest BM.
> Being silent on a subject does NOT mean that one is lying.

No one said anything about "being silent" as "lying", Cecil.

At best, "being silent" is prudent, especially when one does not have all the facts or can make an informed opinion. Or in the case of your "example" above, it is in good taste since it's hardly a topic of civil conversation.

At worst, it's evasive, however, as the saying goes, "Better to be silent and thought a fool than to open your mouth and be proven one"

73
de K4YZ

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8722246208577803651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 05:39:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail

Message-ID: <3A781576.694B98F7@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <952peo$87t$0@208.207.71.165>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkny3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<PZjd6.931$9m.3490191@nntp3.onemain.com> <3A7689D4.D690B38F@dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7zea92.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 55
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 08:39:02 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.63
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980948315 206.20.144.63 (Wed, 31 Jan 2001 07:38:35 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 07:38:35 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14669

Phil Kane wrote:

> >. . .
> >recollection is that the tab for the engineering of the Limerick
> >plant was one BILLION dollars.
>
> But explain why Three Mile Island and Rancho Seco (Sacramento
> M.U.D., CA) were identical and they looked awfully much like
> Limerick.

>
They made one set of drawings and two sets of sepias, retiltled the
sepias and charged two more billion?
>

> Hey, remember that my now-wife and her then-husband (and the
> 4 kids and two ex-spouses they had between them) ate from that
> project as she and he were employees of Bechtel on that famous
> cost-plus contract.

>
Good for them. If it's out there ya hafta be a complete idiot if you
don't jump on a gravy train if it's out there. Nobody cares if you shoot
yerself in the foot.
>

> Ah, the magic name. That was just after Bechtel made a
> super-bundle misdesigning and misconstructing San Francisco's
> BART subway system.

```
>
?? I missed that one, I tought BART was pretty decent.
>
A classmate of mine spent years working for Bechtel in the Near East
building refineries and petro-chem plants, has Bechtel stories which
would curl your toes.
>

>   One could do that in those days .  Cost-Plus now exists only as
>   the name of a chain store selling overpriced junk.
>
>   They really killed the goose that laid the golden egg.

>
Ayup. Problem is that the next nuke will be put together by the low
bidder as determined by a committee of MBAs.
>

> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP

>
w3rv
```

```
From -6960766371584943286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 06:32:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g80yof0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net> <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>
<o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net> <Utvd6.398$zZ.433905@news02.optonline.net>
<3A76CBDF.3E69EEB9@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7zf875.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<957gmt$va9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
```

Lines: 15
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 14:33:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980951585 206.58.44.22 (Wed, 31 Jan 2001 14:33:05 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 14:33:05 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14670 rec.radio.cb:24776

On Tue, 30 Jan 2001 22:54:27 GMT, Brian wrote:

>Sounds like the antiques in the old 20th Air Division, Air Defense
>Command, SAGE Blockhouse at Ft Lee AFS, VA.

SAGE used the FSQ-7, a forerunner of the FSQ-31.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 632663608236628840
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 07:54:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 09:44:18 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A7832D2.B6170774@IEEE.org>
References: <94upi8$tba$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127201536.15119.00002081@ng-cs1.aol.com> <953pnq$lge$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <Rfid6.9$HH5.40127@news.ntplx.net> <954v9p$p1u$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A760C23.8B08F6D6@IEEE.org> <956b2b$rac$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A76CA99.5BF7B206@IEEE.org> <9591ov$6cv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 31 Jan 2001 15:51:38 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14671

Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
> No one said anything about "being silent" as "lying", Cecil.

You said if one doesn't tell the truth, one is lying. Being silent
is not telling the truth.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -4667909289679582911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fcc6c138df023f5e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 09:54:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Troll?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 19:21:17 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <959ojd$dd6$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <OVJd6.331$j4.33074@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
<958ue9$41r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 980968877 13734 205.139.138.14 (31 Jan 2001 19:21:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 31 Jan 2001 19:21:17 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: brianburke@my-deja.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14672

In article <958ue9$41r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian  <brianburke@my-deja.com>
writes:
>In article <OVJd6.331$j4.33074@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>,
>  "Drink" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net> wrote:
>>   Help me here folks.  What's a troll?

In my Funk and Wagnalls it says "see N0IMD".
```

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -6022697782779900029
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 10:00:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!
newsfeed2.skycache.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 17:53:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <959jdn$n2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94upi8$tba$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127201536.15119.00002081@ng-
cs1.aol.com> <953pnq$lge$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <Rfid6.9$HH5.40127@news.ntplx.net>
<954v9p$p1u$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A760C23.8B08F6D6@IEEE.org>
<956b2b$rac$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A76CA99.5BF7B206@IEEE.org>
<9591ov$6cv$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7832D2.B6170774@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.11
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 31 17:53:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.11
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14673

In article <3A7832D2.B6170774@IEEE.org>,
Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> You said if one doesn't tell the truth, one is lying. Being silent
> is not telling the truth.

By anyone's definition, THAT was a stretch.

--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He
Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -1040669088228238116
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 10:03:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g818e21.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <952peo$87t$0@208.207.71.165>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkny3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<PZjd6.931$9m.3490191@nntp3.onemain.com> <3A7689D4.D690B38F@dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7zea92.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3A781576.694B98F7@dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 50
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 18:03:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980964207 206.58.44.22 (Wed, 31 Jan 2001 18:03:27
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 18:03:27 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14674
```

```
On Wed, 31 Jan 2001 08:39:02 -0500, Brian Kelly wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>
>> >. . .
>> >recollection is that the tab for the engineering of the Limerick
>> >plant was one BILLION dollars.
>>
>>   But explain why Three Mile Island and Rancho Seco (Sacramento
>>   M.U.D., CA) were identical and they looked awfully much like
>>   Limerick.
>
>>
>They made one set of drawings and two sets of sepias, retiltled the
>sepias and charged two more billion?

  Yup...that's about what my wife's department did...(she was a
  drafter in those days).  <ggg>
```

```
>>   Ah, the magic name. That was just after Bechtel made a
>>   super-bundle misdesigning and misconstructing San Francisco's
>>   BART subway system.

>?? I missed that one, I tought BART was pretty decent.

  You've gotto be kidding.  Engineering screw-ups all the way
  down the line.  The propulsion system, signalling system, and
  communication system had to be completely redone, and they made
  design decisions that locked them into very expensive non-standard
  specifications and technology.  The management team was almost
  exclusively recent Pakistani immigrants who had a blank checkbook
  to work with.

>A classmate of mine spent years working for Bechtel in the Near East
>building refineries and petro-chem plants, has Bechtel stories which
>would curl your toes.

  Probably similar to the ones that my wife has.  That's one
  company that she would never work for again.

>Problem is that the next nuke will be put together by the low
>bidder as determined by a committee of MBAs.

  <Shudder>

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From 2180797988304064533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,3033aeccdf4d9a40,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3033aeccdf4d9a40,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f4306,3033aeccdf4d9a40,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4306,public
X-Google-Thread: fb049,3033aeccdf4d9a40,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfb049,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3033aeccdf4d9a40,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,3033aeccdf4d9a40,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 10:03:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-
```

```
uhf,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb
Subject: The FCC's WARC 2003 Web site
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 13:03:41 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t7gkrti3qpu401@corp.supernews.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 8
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.ham-radio.packet:641 alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf:3021
alt.radio.family:3653 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14675 rec.radio.amateur.space:1990
rec.radio.cb:24803

http://www.fcc.gov/wrc-03/



--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO





From 4099093826736542403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 10:31:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 18:30:33 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7zee33.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010131133033.22779.00001256@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14676

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g7zee33.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>>More like 75 percent.  [Source:  World Almanac 2001, 59 reactors
>>producing 63,105 MWe, 1999]  France is also the center for Europe's
>>nuclear fuel reprocessing.
>>
>>Paris is often spoken of as "the city of lights."  Maybe nowadays
>>it glows in the dark from another cause?   :-)
```

```
>>
>>Interesting part is that the USA has 104 reactors producing 97,145
>>MWe which is only 19.54% of total USA electric power in 1999.
>
>  Crunch your numbers again and tell us the comparison in terms
>  of population, land mass, and GNP.

Why?   :-)

Brian Kelly remarked that France had a single reactor electric
power plant design.  I'm only pointing out that the USA has
45 more nuclear plants than France.

I'm wondering what the nucleus of this whole thread is...back
in 17 January 1994 a third of California was suddenly without
any electric power.  [Northridge earthquake toppled one of the
intertie towers]  Were any of the Southern California amateur
disaster nets activated then?  Were amateurs prepared for
this?  The professionals were prepared.

Just wondering... :-)

didit



From 558807543283258801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: fb049,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gidfb049,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f4306,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4306,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 10:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Mr. Aaron H. Voobner <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-
uhf,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC's WARC 2003 Web site
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 18:40:21 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <959m6j$pvd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <t7gkrti3qpu401@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.201.77
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 31 18:40:21 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; AOL 4.0; Mac_PPC)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 152.163.201.77
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDaaronvoobner
```

Xref: supernews.google.com alt.ham-radio.packet:643 alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf:3022
alt.radio.family:3654 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14677

In article <t7gkrti3qpu401@corp.supernews.com>,
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> http://www.fcc.gov/wrc-03/
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
>

Leland,

My apologies in advance. Permit me a moment of poignant satire here.

I don't think you'll find ANY interest in WARC 2003 here in
rec.radio.cb. The ONLY thing of interest to this NG is:

1. Amps
2. Bigger Amps
3. Loud Radios
4. Big Radios
5. Lotsa "channels"
6. Coax Length

-Aaron-

--
.
.
.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4038738889632628977
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 05:10:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!
newsfeed2.skycache.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse

Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:03:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <953pnq$lge$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94upi8$tba$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127201536.15119.00002081@ng-cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.245
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 13:03:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.245
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14528

In article <20010127201536.15119.00002081@ng-cs1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>They are only lies if Len believes that they are not true, but says
>them anyway. If you didn't lie when you said that you do not think he
>believes them to untrue, then he is not lying.

If you say so Ed. I can't for the life of me understand why you support that creep, but then it's not uncommon for the abused to rally to the abuser.

I am not one of either.

73

Steve, K4YZ

--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8806884729978894127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1fdab6219235396a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1fdab6219235396a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 06:10:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nnrp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you're staying at a racist Hiram, GA hotel
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:57:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <953ss8$ntq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9521if$9me$0@pita.alt.net> <3A754C14.A0966BFB@dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 199.218.104.62
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 13:57:31 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.05 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 199.218.104.62
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11702
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14529

In article <3A754C14.A0966BFB@dvol.com>,
  Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
>
>
> Stagger Lee wrote:
>
> > Top ten signs you're staying at a racist, Hiram hotel
> >
> > 10. Their slogan is, "We'll leave the WHITE on for ya!"
> >
> >  9. First tip-off: Those Confederate Flag pillow cases.
> >
> >  8. Sign in the lobby announces: "Pat Buchanan Rally This
Saturday!!!"
> >
> >  7. Sign behind the front desk reads: "You're damn right we're open
on
> >     Martin Luther King Day!"
> >
> >  6. Sign above the beds reads: "Absolutely no HIP-HOPPING on the
> >     mattresses!!!"
> >
> >  5. Sheets and towels already have eye-holes cut in them.
> >
> >  4. No rating by the AAA. . . but 5-stars by the KKK.
> >
> >  3. Bible on the nightstand replaced with a copy of "Mien Kampf".
> >
> >  2. Instead of a "Presidential Suite" they have a "Grand Wizard
Suite".
> >
> > And the Top Sign You're Staying at a racist, Hiram Hotel. . .
> >
> >  1. Every morning the front desk will give you a "wake-up slur".
>
> >
> You're a mindless pile of trash to the core.
> >
```

> Brian Kelly w3rv
> Secane, Pa.
>

He'd have to evolve for EONS just to get to *that* place!

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8046342139129028565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 06:20:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Amateur license renewals lost by FCC
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 14:09:55 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <953tje$oeb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94sqet$3en$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A722EB6.712F36E9@some.net>
<94tiko$3tm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A750150.26BB6EA1@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 199.218.104.62
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 14:09:55 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.05 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 199.218.104.62
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11706
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14530

In article <3A750150.26BB6EA1@some.net>,
  JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> Oh you hurt my widdle feelings!!

Good. Now go back down to the street corner and sell crack coaciane to
the kids, which is what you do for a living.

> KC8JBO wrote:
>

```
> > In article <3A722EB6.712F36E9@some.net>,
> >   JR <jr@flash.net> wrote:
> > > Nice try, but pure garbage.
> >
> > But that's all your little brain-stem can process, Jacking Rube!
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 1725414822765365460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 06:40:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 14:31:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <953ur8$pg9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<3a748bc8.15291834@news.mindspring.com> <952sco$fo7$0@208.207.71.165>
<953l1c$i2j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 14:31:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14531

In article <953l1c$i2j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

>
> If you want to get saved, you'll have to learn to use phone.
>
```

Naw, that won't work. You have to get your sinful ass baptized,
go to church often, and don't make fun of George Duh-bya Shrub.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3200479625875668719
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c8e7c727ad1a6c99
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 07:17:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!news1.iquest.net!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The knuckle head here is.....
From: kg9qm@nomail.net (don)
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.9 (Released Version) (x86 32bit)
References: <gtDc6.438$pL5.362271@news1.iquest.net>
<20010127215620.00714.00004496@nso-md.aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <1Ofd6.13$c5.12673@news1.iquest.net>
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 15:15:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.43.49.49
X-Complaints-To: news-abuse@iquest.net
X-Trace: news1.iquest.net 980781309 209.43.49.49 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 10:15:09 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 10:15:09 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14532

This thread is knot on topic.

don

In article <20010127215620.00714.00004496@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
was of the opinion...
>
>In article <gtDc6.438$pL5.362271@news1.iquest.net>, kg9qm@nomail.net (don)
>writes:
>
>>> Suture self, Doc.
>>
>>
>>Sew what?
>
>Cat gut your tongue?
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

From 7538728495173020896
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 09:21:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 121
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Jan 2001 17:20:21 GMT
References: <3A754991.D193138@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010129122021.25659.00001425@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14534

In article <3A754991.D193138@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>
>>   Foolish me....must have been us "liberals" all along, eh? FDR
>>   must be spinning in his grave.

>Reaganesque degregulated supply + FDR-style price controls and cost supports
>+the need for Sierra Club stamps on building permits = Deal with the blatently
>obvious results.
>>
>In the end, five-ten years out when reality is imposed amidst great howls of
>protest and anguish over actually cutting down trees to build generating

>capacity the Leftist Coasters will be paying 15-20 cents per kwh like us
>smokestack-loving
>fully-deregulated Fascist Coasters have been doing for eons. That's the real
>bottom line, pay for what the amp hours actually cost to deliver plus some
>(wash my mouf) profit or the lights are gonna go out. Not a palatable concept
in
>places where a primary purpose of gummint is to protect consumers from
reality,
>pore things.
>>
>Not that I actually believe it of course but our incarnation of Davis claims
>that deregulation saved PA consumers $2.18 billion last year. We also have
>*mothballed* generating capacity as a result of the profit-driven environment
>which got dropped on us and them a few years back. The 'lectric company here
>made money on excess capacity back then thanks to the foibles of regulation
and
>now they can't so they took the excess capacity offline real quick but it's
still
>out there.

...the whole thread has evolved into a grandiose (and gratuitous) mini-forum where everyone can trot out their favorite "hate" subjects and expound on it as if they knew anything. Few do.

This morning's paper carries an interesting AP wire story on the "PX" or Power Exchange in Southern California. The goal of the California deregulation was to "lower electric power prices to the consumer." That was the legislative statement four years ago.

Of course, deregulation hasn't done spit for lowering telephone rates after deregulation of that...any telephone subscriber can look at present and past bills to see that didn't work as advertised. Cable TV service was essentially deregulated (in price) from the beginning and needed some federal intervention in order to keep the subscriber charges from skyrocketing a few years ago.

To complicate matters in electric power supply-demand, CA started a "beta test" version of an "electric stock exchange." [AP story descriptions] The thought was that electric power is somehow just like stocks and/or durable goods that could be traded. The BIG players in electric power generation contracted with the PX and tried to ride that unbroken horse. Add to this that there were more customers for buying electric power than there were sellers. It became a sellers' market. Sellers sought to gain as much profit as possible by increasing electric power sales rates.

California electric utilities are bound by state PUC caps on rates they can charge customers. On one hand they became committed to buying electric power at terribly increased rates but could not pass the charge on to customers. On the other hand, they did not plan effectively in their own operations by assuming they could get electric power at reasonable rates and went into debt on outside-purchases. Since last summer there have been rather gross rate hikes on electric power sold. As much as forty times rate increase in one year...no change in existing plant facilities, just an enormous rate increase because the sellers were able to get away with it.

As a rather obvious but overlooked fact is that many of the moderate and small electric power utilities already had quite adequate generating capability, planned for it, and rode it all out. Proper management makes a difference. The Los Angeles Department of Water and Power is a moderate electric power player with 3.8 million customers. All throughout the "electric shortage" periods, the LA DWP not only kept on producing electricity but even sold excess to other utilities. The LA DWP facilites didn't need to build new plants nor did they get bound by PX contracts nor give in to greed.

If anything, S. David Freeman, GM of the DWP was criticized by Mayor Riordan of LA for selling power at nominal rates! Riordan's anti-up-state attitude is fueled by fears of not being able to be paid for DWP's sales...or it is some baseless fear that goes into being another politician.

General Manager Freeman has accepted an unpaid role in heading up a group that will attempt to reduce the crisis in politics-plus-bad-utility-management that lead to the much-publicized electric problems in some parts of California.

Politicians will criticize Freeman and other volunteers on whatever they do. The fact of the matter is that Freeman and others on the panel were able to plan and effectively operate electric power generation without having problems of being forced to buy power at exhorbitant rates.

There is NO real lack of electric power generation capability in the whole of this state. It is there even if this most populous state (approx. 30 million) keeps growing...but the management of the bigger electric utilities is suspect and certainly the legislature is suspect of mishandling the situation that began the whole scene.

There is no real problem from "tree huggers" nor some imagined "left coast mentality" that caused the problems in part of the state. It can be summarized in a single word: Greed.

Greed happens. Anyplace.

didit

>>
>w3rv

From -7351660729297964544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 09:21:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 64
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Jan 2001 17:20:14 GMT
References: <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Message-ID: <20010129122014.25659.00001424@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14533

In article <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>Oh, I don't know. He made a bunch of longrange CW contacts if you
>>recall. Also as has been belabored here so often, the code test early on
>>was at 5wpm.
>
>I didn't mean to imply that he didn't know the code. I don't know if he knew
>the code to 20 wpm when he did those early experminents. Seeing as this was
>before April 15, 2000, shouldn't he have done them above 50 MHz, where he
>belonged? :-)
>
>I have to wonder, if the 5 wpm code test was enough to get Amateur Radio off
>to such a flying start (looking at the resultant growth), why is it looked
upon
>with such disdain by some today?

I'm not sure of the context of these two messages.

Following the thread back, the mention was of Guglielmo Marconi,
alleging that "he considered himself a ham." That is doubtful since
Marconi essentially went to England to set up a profit-making
business out of his radio experiments. He succeeded rather well,
including getting as many patents and licenses-for-manufacture
as he could. Hardly "not for pecuniary reasons."

Marconi got his S back from Poldhu in 1901. Radio back then was all about SPARK transmission (the Alexanderson Alternator would not reach its peak until around 1919). The ONLY practical means of communications over spark-transmitted radio was by on-off keying codes. On-off keying was the only practical means of amateur radio communications until the 1930s, regardless of a very, very few amateurs trying out the newfangled voice and music transmissions using vacuum tubes.

Okay, here we are in the year 2001, three generations removed from 1935. Radio amateurs are no longer dependent on on-off keying as the ONLY practical communications...there are many more modes, nearly all of them more practical than on-off keying. Why is there still an international standard requiring administrations to give code cognition tests in order to be granted a license having below-30-MHz-privileges?

Those who advocate removal of the code test for an amateur radio license do not view the retention of the code test with "disdain." It is viewed as unneccesary, archaic, and, in the case of so many that got rank/status/privilege through that singular skill, little more than an federally-granted merit badge. If there is disdain, then it is the disdain of those who wave that merit badge and badger others with it as some sort of "superiority" proof.

Were you implying that amateur radio got a "flying start" because of morse code? That's beggaring a definition considering that the ONLY mode for amateurs in the "start" was on-off keying.

Growth in US amateur radio: According to AH0A statistics the GROWTH occurring in US amateur radio is still due to the no-code-test Technician licensees at the end of 2000.

didit

```
From -5899600623746795543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 10:03:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94upi8$tba$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127201536.15119.00002081@ng-
cs1.aol.com> <953pnq$lge$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Rfid6.9$HH5.40127@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:01:37 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980791409 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:03:29 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:03:29 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14535

<Steven J Robeson>; K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

> In article <20010127201536.15119.00002081@ng-cs1.aol.com>,
>   w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

> >They are only lies if Len believes that they are not true, but says
> >them anyway. If you didn't lie when you said that you do not think he
> >believes them to untrue, then he is not lying.

>   If you say so Ed.

It is not my say-so that determines what words mean, Steve.

> I can't for the life of me understand why you support that creep, ...

I have found the incorrect useage of the term liar to be confusing. It appears you do not want to change your belief in what the word means, or at least you do not feel it necessary to use the word correctly, so I will simply assume you mean "incorrect" or "wrong" when you use the term "liar" or "lie." It is no big deal, but please do forgive me if I forget to keep track of your special meaning of the word.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9054808112402703883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 10:07:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Lines: 20

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:05:11 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980791623 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:07:03 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:07:03 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14536

Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:

> > It is too bad; the things you are choosing to say to tear down
> amateur radio to
> > be "right" are undermining the very principles you stand for.

> Ed..I'm sorry if you think that my intention is to "tear down" ham
> radio.

I didn't say that it is your intention to tear down ham radio. That is just
a byproduct of the things you say about ham radio.

Sorry, the rest of your post was a bit long; I don't have time to respond to
much of it. It does seem like a rehash of things we have been through a few
times before.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5021152148150004030
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 10:10:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:00:54 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 71
Message-ID: <954b4f$5bc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010129122014.25659.00001424@nso-fk.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.245
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 18:00:54 2001 GMT

X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.245
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14537

In article <20010129122014.25659.00001424@nso-fk.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com

>>(W1RFI) writes:

>>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> I'm not sure of the context of these two messages.

Then why waste bandwidth postulating on them?

> Okay, here we are in the year 2001, three generations removed
> from 1935. Radio amateurs are no longer dependent on on-off
> keying as the ONLY practical communications...(SNIP)

We weren't dependent on Morse Code as the "ONLY" practical communications then.

>...there are
> many more modes, nearly all of them more practical than on-off
> keying. Why is there still an international standard requiring
> administrations to give code cognition tests in order to be
> granted a license having below-30-MHz-privileges?

Because most of the third world countries who, despite having NO internal communications infrastracture in ANY mode, have the same vote as those who have technology out the wazoo. Morse Code levels the playing field for them.

> Those who advocate removal of the code test for an amateur
> radio license do not view the retention of the code test with
> "disdain."

Sure they do. Read the definition of "disdain", Lennie, then flip back through the pages of Deja and tell me the anti-Code "advocates" don't "disdain" code testing.

> It is viewed as unneccesary, archaic, and, in the
> case of so many that got rank/status/privilege through that
> singular skill, little more than an federally-granted merit
> badge.

And I teach CPR to people who think what they watched on "Rescue 9-1-1" was sufficient...until they needed it....

> If there is disdain, then it is the disdain of those who

> wave that merit badge and badger others with it as some
> sort of "superiority" proof.

Lennie trying to make another of "us-versus-them" fights.

> Growth in US amateur radio: According to AH0A statistics
> the GROWTH occurring in US amateur radio is still due to
> the no-code-test Technician licensees at the end of 2000.

But only becuase it is the first rung on the ladder. We've opened the door, now we have to make them feel at home. You're invited in, Lennie, but you just keep peeing on the lawn and barking at the moon.

Your loss.

--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5634704596623283794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 10:17:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!
not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:17:23 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <954c4c$2m1$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com> <952m6o$6a0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7whjr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.99.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980792268 2753 166.102.250.99 (29 Jan 2001 18:17:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Jan 2001 18:17:48 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14538

Deregulation, and true Capitalism Yes! Pseudo deregulation and competition
No!

Had the power companies not been hampered in their expansion, you would see
electrical energy be dispensed in the same way that telephone and internet
services are today. Once the building of cheap sources of energy i.e.
nuclear power were stifled, the chance for competition was removed.

Remove all the nonsense regulations and your monthly power can be bought
from an energy provider for $19.95 a month. Some smart entrepreneur would
build an energy farm and become the next AOL of the power industry.

We do not have competition or a free market place in the field of energy.

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.g7whjr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:56:43 -0500, W4JLE wrote:
>
> >You got that right Richard, I was afraid I had stuttered and you didn't
get
> >the message. Once more with feelings, California liberals and their ilk
> >passed legislation that had no chance for success. Don't blame me, you
could
> >not pay me to live there if you put the mint on a three shift day.
>
>   Gee, I've always considered that "deregulation" was the darling
>   of "conservatives" who didn't want "the gov'mint" setting caps
>   on profits and interfering with their business endeavors by
>   telling "industry" what to do.
>
>   Foolish me....must have been us "liberals" all along, eh?  FDR
>   must be spinning in his grave.
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>
>
>
>




From -2150992382953977391
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 10:20:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!
```

feed.cgocable.net!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:06:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <954be7$5of$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A754991.D193138@dvol.com> <20010129122021.25659.00001425@nso-fk.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.245
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 18:06:08 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.245
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14539

In article <20010129122021.25659.00001425@nso-fk.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>>...the whole thread has evolved into a grandiose (and gratuitous)
>>mini-forum where everyone can trot out their favorite "hate" subjects
>>and expound on it as if they knew anything. Few do.

Thereupon follows Lennie's own political pontificating. The data is reasonable, but Lennie had to take a swipe at everyone else who dared to do the same thing HE does.

> There is no real problem from "tree huggers" nor some imagined
> "left coast mentality" that caused the problems in part of the
> state. It can be summarized in a single word: Greed.

Uh oh...we AGREE on something.

> Greed happens. Anyplace.

Even in Amateur Radio related newsgroups by unlicensed persons who demand everything for nothing.

--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5629633413862268788
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 10:35:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:35:11 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <954d5s$366$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <952ppk$87t$2@208.207.71.165> <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.99.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980793340 3270 166.102.250.99 (29 Jan 2001 18:35:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Jan 2001 18:35:40 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14540

Might be because the 5 wpm was required by the Navy to identify emergency signals to start. Later the speed was raised to provide trained operators for war time use of the military.

The problem today is couched in code speed, code is NOT the problem. The problem is watching any standard in any part of our life being removed be cause "I want it" attitudes. Not only are the IWI group never satisfied, but want to belittle any established rules of life.

It matters not if it is religious, morality, or code. "You" are archaic, elitist, etc. etc if "Your" values stand in the way of "my" instant desires. Once we move from the logical to the emotional, there is no easy solution.

We who had to do the code, do not have any real objections to it's removal for logical reasons, I dam well object to the snot nosed kids that think it should be removed because "they" think it is just being kept by the old (insert favorite expletive) who are standing in "their" way.

NCI the Madelyn Murry O'Hare of Amateur radio.

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com...
> I have to wonder, if the 5 wpm code test was enough to get Amateur Radio
off to
> such a flying start (looking at the resultant growth), why is it looked
upon
> with such disdain by some today?

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9054446563464731494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 10:57:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7xka02.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<3a748bc8.15291834@news.mindspring.com> <952sco$fo7$0@208.207.71.165>
<953l1c$i2j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Lines: 26
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:58:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980794685 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:58:05 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:58:05 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14541

On Mon, 29 Jan 2001 11:43:41 GMT, Brian wrote:

>Nevermind that even the professional emergency communicators have
>abandoned code long ago. If you like, I'll dig out the website where
>you can listen to the last holdout, the US Coast Guard's last CW
>transmission.

The last holdout was the closedown transmission from Marine
Coast Station KFS, Bolinas/Point Reyes, CA, on July 12, 1999 at
2359 GMT:

DE KFS = THIS IS THE FINAL CW TRANSMISSION FROM STATION KFS -
THE LAST COMMERCIAL RADIOTELEGRAPH STATION IN NORTH AMERICA.
APPROPRIATELY, WE CLOSE CW AND EMBARK ON A NEW ERA OF

 COMMUNICATION WITH SAMUEL F.B. MORSE'S WORDS OF 155 YER ?
 YEARS AGO = NW CL 73 = WHAT HATH GOD WROUGHT = DE KFS

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -7462131060448195804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 10:57:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7xl8q5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net> <3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net> <oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com> <952m6o$6a0$1@iac5.navix.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7whjr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3A754991.D193138@dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 23
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:58:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980794689 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:58:09 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:58:09 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14542

On Mon, 29 Jan 2001 05:44:33 -0500, Brian Kelly wrote:

>The 'lectric company here made money on excess capacity back
>then thanks to the foibles of regulation and now they can't so
>they took the excess capacity offline real quick but it's still
>out there.

Get them turbines spinnin'.....

(My wife was one of the engineering types who did the Limerick
expansion design some 30 years ago....)

What ever happened to Electric Company bonds which guaranteed a
regulated 6% income in good times and bad?

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -5693969411872241771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 10:57:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkny3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <952peo$87t$0@208.207.71.165>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 29
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:58:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980794687 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:58:07 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:58:07 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14543

On 29 Jan 2001 03:52:56 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> That, in microcosm, is the larger problem we will face as a society at
>some point: how to moderate use of energy-gobbling resources to which we
>currently have nearly unlimited access. Who would prefer the intricacies
>of cost accounting to the all-you-can-eat buffet? No one. That is, until

```
>the lights go out."
>
>End of quoted material
>
>
> QRP anyone?

  Nuclear, anyone?  Four 2-unit plants would have provided more
  than enough power to make this "crisis" disappear before it got
  started.  The fuel is readily available - in fact, a major
  "crisis" in the eco-world is how to store/dispose of the
  reprocessed fuel not being used.

  But NIMBY-ism prevailed.



--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
   (P.E. in EE since 1960)







From -1682681947080526918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 10:57:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkxb4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com> <952m6o$6a0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7whjr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <952tai$i2q$0@208.207.71.165>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 30
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:58:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980794690 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:58:10
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:58:10 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14544

On 29 Jan 2001 04:58:58 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>>   Foolish me....must have been us "liberals" all along, eh?  FDR
>>   must be spinning in his grave.
>>
>
> Phil, that was the problem in a nutshell. "Liberal Deregulation", in
>which the retail price is strictly controlled so as to definitely never
>offend a single voter by causing him to have to pay actual costs (until
>the lights go out, anyway) but unfortunately they forgot that they
>couldn't control the prices charged by all those out-of-state suppliers!
> Evidently they just closed their eyes and hoped the ship would hit the
>sand so far in the future they'd be off the scene when the
>recriminations started...

  I consider myself a 1950s-style "liberal" which appears to be
  the inverse of what folks hang that label on today.  In "my
  style" the gov'mint's job is to look out for the benefit
  of the consumer, both long-term and short-term.

  As I said, FDR must be spinning in his grave.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From 1231177680364389808
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 10:59:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A75BD81.8E3F9177@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <3A754991.D193138@dvol.com> <20010129122021.25659.00001425@nso-
fk.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:59:13 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.83
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980794721 206.20.144.83 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 12:58:41 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 12:58:41 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14545

Len Over 21 wrote:
>

> There is no real problem from "tree huggers" nor some imagined
> "left coast mentality" that caused the problems in part of the
> state.

>
Only to the extent that one of the reasons (excuses?) for not building new capacity is that it takes years to get a construction permit past a bureaucracy overloaded with "environmental impact studies" types of endless regulatory pushups. Those were imposed by the tree huggers and there are a hulluva lot more of those local regs on the Left Coast than there are here. Dubya weighed in yesterday and announced that an administration objective will be to get the red tape cut and pave the way for building new capacity ASAP. Which in the end is the only viable long-term solution.

> It can be summarized in a single word: Greed.
>
> Greed happens. Anyplace.

For certain.
>
w3rv

From -4562985937610374847
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 11:02:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7xlvb6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com> <20010129122014.25659.00001424@nso-fk.aol.com> <954b4f$5bc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Lines: 21
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:02:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980794958 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:02:38 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:02:38 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14546
```

On Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:00:54 GMT, Steven J Robeson wrote:

> Because most of the third world countries who, despite having NO
> internal communications infrastracture in ANY mode, have the same vote
> as those who have technology out the wazoo. Morse Code levels the
> playing field for them.

CELLULAR/WIRELESS technology is what's leveling the playing field for them, bypassing wired and conventional single-channel and multi-channel communications.

The world moves on...

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 8998565117968739808
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 11:10:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!nntp.flash.net!news.flash.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A75B0F7.7FA98CEA@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Amateur license renewals lost by FCC
References: <94sqet$3en$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A722EB6.712F36E9@some.net>
<94tiko$3tm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A750150.26BB6EA1@some.net>
<953tje$oeb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:10:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.48.222.204
X-Complaints-To: abuse@flash.net
X-Trace: news.flash.net 980795451 64.48.222.204 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:10:51 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:10:51 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11723
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14547

We all know you really want to become a wit, well keep trying, you're half
way there.

KC8JBO wrote:

> In article <3A750150.26BB6EA1@some.net>,
>   JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> > Oh you hurt my widdle feelings!!
>
> Good. Now go back down to the street corner and sell crack coaciane to
> the kids, which is what you do for a living.

From -7310104486875940220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 11:18:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!nntp.flash.net!news.flash.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:18:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.48.222.204
X-Complaints-To: abuse@flash.net
X-Trace: news.flash.net 980795930 64.48.222.204 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:18:50 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 13:18:50 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:24436 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14548

Amen, Windows is a piece of crap. IBM OS/2 was much more stable, too bad they
could not market it properly.

Stagger Lee wrote:

> On Fri, 26 Jan 2001 02:46:11 GMT, Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
> : And what would you call a "real OS"?
>
> Almost anything other than the buggy, unstable product Microsoft has chosen
> to call "Windows."





From -6418480674818517375
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 12:00:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Amateur license renewals lost by FCC
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:53:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <954hoa$bqp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94sqet$3en$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A722EB6.712F36E9@some.net>
<94tiko$3tm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A750150.26BB6EA1@some.net>
<953tje$oeb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A75B0F7.7FA98CEA@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 199.218.104.147
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 19:53:52 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 199.218.104.147
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11726
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14549
```

```
In article <3A75B0F7.7FA98CEA@some.net>,
Sheep fucker  JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> We all know you really want to become a wit, well keep trying, you're
half
> way there.

And that's still WAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAY above what you could ever acheive,
kiddie porn merchant.

> KC8JBO wrote:
>
> > In article <3A750150.26BB6EA1@some.net>,
> >   JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> > > Oh you hurt my widdle feelings!!
> >
> > Good. Now go back down to the street corner and sell crack coaciane
to
> > the kids, which is what you do for a living.
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 6252363915680519794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 12:01:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 12:01:54 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A75CC32.3859946B@uci.edu>
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<3a748bc8.15291834@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14550

Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>As to my "Sohl" proposal ala QST some years back...I'd not
> >>oppose having some questions along the lines of those
> >>similar to the questions regarding knowledge of the phonetic alphabet.
> >
> >How would you feel about the test containing 5 minutes of Morse code at 5 wpm,
> >and a reasonable number of questions about its content?
>
> I'd have mixed opinion. It really isn't needed anymore and
> that's pretty much the bottom line.

In other words, you don't support the "Sohl Proposal"?

73, AC6XG

From 5118162235919026026
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 12:04:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <952peo$87t$0@208.207.71.165>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkny3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 76
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <PZjd6.931$9m.3490191@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 14:02:27 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.159.202
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 980798447 208.154.159.202 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 15:00:47
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 15:00:47 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14551

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkny3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...

>
> Nuclear, anyone? Four 2-unit plants would have provided more than enough power to make this "crisis" disappear before it got started. The fuel is readily available - in fact, a major "crisis" in the eco-world is how to store/dispose of the reprocessed fuel not being used.
>
> But NIMBY-ism prevailed.
>
>
> --
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
> (P.E. in EE since 1960)

The challenges before the advocates of nuclear power, and I am one, is to both re-engineer the concept in light of our experience with earlier generations of nukes and then sell it to a public that does not believe it. My lites are on at the moment by way of a nuke at Ft Calhoun NE that has run like a Swiss watch. While no one was watching the proportion of power done by nukes has risen in the US although none were built; they run longer and harder than they did when they were built. Kind of like jet aircraft vs the old prop jobs.

But there is a price to be paid and this may be harder than the engineering. Like any base-load plant only more so, nukes have heavy front-end costs but generate power pretty cheaply. The cost of long-term financing and the willingness of regulators (including Congress and the IRS) to allow the depreciation schedule to pay off the bonds is absolutely critical. The break-up of ATT was largely at ATTs request (as was the Communications act of 1934) because the Bell System had become the second-biggest borrower next only to Sam of long term funds in the US largely because the depreciation schedules from the regulators assumed crossbar exchanges would last into the 24th century. Kind of like NYC being solvent if the retirement plan used a mortality table from 1917.

Regulation of these things is necessary for no better reason that the front-end cost is a significant barrier to entry (which CA made worse by requiring new entrants to bear some of the 'stranded cost' of the old system. Stranded costs because of inadequate depreciation.) But the back side of regulation is that in return for limited tariffs, the regulator guarantees a profit. It is a bargain with the devil, more or less, but a very necessary one. If we are not willing to provide a planning horizon, it is a pretty fair bet no one is going to plan.

One of the minor joys of living on the Union Pacific mainline is to watch the coal unit-trains roll along I-80. Coal plants have similar problems but not to the extent of nukes and they are generally borne by a number of organizations in addition to the utility. Wyoming coal is low-sulfur to be sure but is dusty as hell and has about half the heat content of eastern coals. Coal has a place in the problem but no one wants to talk about the costs of extraction, transportation, handling, use, and disposal of the end products including Carbon 14 which is radioactive BTW.
Disposal of nuclear fuel waste (although not obsolete plants to my

knowledge anyway) is pretty well known.

And finally, if the benefit of nuclear power is to be fully realized, the peak-and-valley of demand patterns have to be muted a bit and that implies hourly metering and pricing. To cite an example, in the morning every coffee pot and electric range in existence comes on line. But does every electric water heater have to kick in as well? Is Madame Housewife (if any are left) incapable of noting that 2 PM is not a great time to dry a load of clothes? Perhaps in the long run of things natural gas would make more sense, particularly if the alternate use is to use the gas to make the electric power? Here is where the market's biggest advantage lies -- everyone making his own decisions with readily available information.

Perhaps the biggest single mistake regulators and politicians make is to assume consumers are idiots. Unfortunately, it is true that most viewers are brighter than Barbie and Ken doing the local TV news.

Dick N�BK

From -5570714598631356758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 12:11:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 12:07:28 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A75CD80.9A58C1BC@uci.edu>
References: <94qpg9$lks$0@208.206.142.92> <20010126054611.12054.00001692@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14552

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>

> >> I don't believe that Larry is going through all of the work of serving on
> >> the NCI Board because he feels that doing so is easier than learning the
> >> code.
>
> >No, it's an inflated sense of selfpity.
>
> And why do you feel that Bill Sohl and Carl Stevenson are serving on the NCI
> Board?

Is it a big fish/small pond kinda thing?

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From -2042830761127239611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 12:19:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
feeder.nmix.net!reader.nmix.net!oracle.zianet.com!ruidoso0356.zianet.com
From: "Ed Juge/NM" <ed_juge@zianet.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio
.amateur.antenna
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 28 Jan 2001 18:26:35 -0700
Organization: NMIX
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <3a75d123.0@oracle.zianet.com>
References: <94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<YuYc6.3268$2t5.293747@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<951pc7$htt$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Reply-To: "Ed Juge/NM" <ed@juge.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: oracle.zianet.com
X-Trace: reader.nmix.net 980799511 93227 204.134.124.52 (29 Jan 2001 20:18:31 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@nmix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Jan 2001 20:18:31 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:1643
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:3711 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14553
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16673
```

```
I agree with Ed.  This isn't the ng for code discussions.

Ed, W5EJ

"Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:951pc7$htt$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> CW Antennas are just fine...
>
> CW does have some relationship to antennas. Cannot antennas be optimized
for
> use in the CW band segments, so...
>
> I'd like to see designs for CW optimized yagi's and such...
>
> -Peter
>
> "Ed Cregger" <ecregger@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:YuYc6.3268$2t5.293747@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > So where did you mention antennas?
> > --
> > Ed Cregger
> > ecregger@earthlink.net
> >
> > "Jethro Jumbalaya Twain" <qwasty@hotmail.com> wrote in
> > message news:94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > The article is about a replacement for Morse that's easier
> > to learn.
> > > It's a lot like Morse, just a different code.
> > >
> > > http://www.eham.net/articles/1681
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> > >
> >
> >
>
>




From 2795675101754434502
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 12:39:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7xqcy0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Lines: 31
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 20:39:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980800775 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 20:39:35 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 20:39:35 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14554 rec.radio.cb:24439

On Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:18:50 GMT, JR wrote:

>> : And what would you call a "real OS"?
>>
>> Almost anything other than the buggy, unstable product Microsoft has chosen
>> to call "Windows."
>
>Amen, Windows is a piece of crap. IBM OS/2 was much more stable, too bad they
>could not market it properly.

You are so right - but they are pulling the plug on further development in the "small user" market.

But fortunately there is a White Knight.... Serenity Systems is OEM-ing OS/2 client as a product called eComStation. Users of that product say it continues where Big Blue left off at FP 15 and are very enthusiastic about it. It's bundled with updated application suites and drivers, installs from CD, and is available from Indelible Blue on a special upgrade deal for present OS/2 users.

Serenity Systems - <www.serenity-systems.com>
Indelible Blue - <www.indelibleblue.com>

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
Team OS/2 (Warp 4 FP 15)

```
From 6316059204458657966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 13:11:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 15:01:18 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A75DA1E.63B0A375@IEEE.org>
References: <952ppk$87t$2@208.207.71.165> <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <954d5s$366$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.64
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 29 Jan 2001 21:10:52 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14555

W4JLE wrote:
> ... I dam well object to the snot nosed kids that think it
> should be removed because "they" think it is just being kept by the old
> (insert favorite expletive) who are standing in "their" way.

Maybe those snot nosed kids consider your attitude toward Morse
code testing to be an "I Want It!" attitude, the exact attitude
that you condemn in them.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 3816458552032583783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 13:12:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 15:02:32 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.64
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 29 Jan 2001 21:12:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:24443 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14556

JR wrote:
> Amen, Windows is a piece of crap. IBM OS/2 was much more stable, too bad they
> could not market it properly.

Linux may succeed where OS/2 failed.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 2845666817114390749
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 14:00:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-xfer.siscom.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio
.amateur.antenna
References: <94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<YuYc6.3268$2t5.293747@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<951pc7$htt$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <3a75d123.0@oracle.zianet.com>
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <kHld6.33$2Y.16757@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 15:59:28 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.152
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 980805456 205.138.126.152 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 16:57:36
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 16:57:36 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:1645
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:3715 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14557
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16684
```

"Ed Juge/NM" <ed_juge@zianet.com> wrote in message
news:3a75d123.0@oracle.zianet.com...

> I agree with Ed. This isn't the ng for code discussions.
>
> Ed, W5EJ
>

Just which of the four groups you posted to is the inappropriate one?

Dick N�BK

```
From -289838515442463672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 14:16:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radi
o.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7xu9w1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<YuYc6.3268$2t5.293747@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<951pc7$htt$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <3a75d123.0@oracle.zianet.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Lines: 19
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 22:16:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980806585 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 22:16:25
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 22:16:25 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:16686
rec.radio.amateur.dx:1646 rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:3716
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14558

On Sun, 28 Jan 2001 18:26:35 -0700, Ed Juge/NM wrote:

>I agree with Ed.  This isn't the ng for code discussions.

  Depends on where "this" is. I'm  reading it and posting this
  reply in rec.radio.amateur.policy which IS "the place".

  It's too bad that the message headers don't indicate which
  group the message being replied to was read from.

  (This will be my last crosspost to the other groups about CW,
  though.)

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP






From -3304074775731845886
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 14:30:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 22:15:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <954q25$k5f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94g7ns$j7f$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94hr7q$sn9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94kbmg$1ok$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94klf2$bco$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94uokv$sj0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.96.8.2
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 22:15:42 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 WEBSERV, 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
204.96.8.2
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDranhefner
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3621 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14559

Well Steve, if you don't get it and refuse to ask your amateur friends,
you just will not understand.

In article <94uokv$sj0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <94klf2$bco$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com> wrote:
>

--
Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 737135257359040539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1fdab6219235396a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1fdab6219235396a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 14:48:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you're staying at a racist Hiram, GA hotel
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 17:09:21 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <954q8d$7au$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <9521if$9me$0@pita.alt.net> <3A754C14.A0966BFB@dvol.com>
<953ss8$ntq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-03-44.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 980806733 7518 209.43.66.156 (29 Jan 2001 22:18:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Jan 2001 22:18:53 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11737
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14560

You would know all about having to evolve wouldn't YOU Roger. That is right about you though because you are another one of the apes from West Virginia.

"KC8JBO" <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:953ss8$ntq$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A754C14.A0966BFB@dvol.com>,
>   Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Stagger Lee wrote:
> >
> > > Top ten signs you're staying at a racist, Hiram hotel
> > >
> > > 10. Their slogan is, "We'll leave the WHITE on for ya!"
> > >
> > > 9. First tip-off: Those Confederate Flag pillow cases.
> > >
> > > 8. Sign in the lobby announces: "Pat Buchanan Rally This
> Saturday!!!"
> > >
> > > 7. Sign behind the front desk reads: "You're damn right we're open
> on
> > >   Martin Luther King Day!"
> > >
> > > 6. Sign above the beds reads: "Absolutely no HIP-HOPPING on the
> > >   mattresses!!!"
> > >
> > > 5. Sheets and towels already have eye-holes cut in them.
> > >
> > > 4. No rating by the AAA. . . but 5-stars by the KKK.
> > >
> > > 3. Bible on the nightstand replaced with a copy of "Mien Kampf".
> > >
> > > 2. Instead of a "Presidential Suite" they have a "Grand Wizard
> Suite".
> > >
> > > And the Top Sign You're Staying at a racist, Hiram Hotel. . .
> > >
> > > 1. Every morning the front desk will give you a "wake-up slur".
> >
> > >

```
> > You're a mindless pile of trash to the core.
> > >
> > Brian Kelly w3rv
> > Secane, Pa.
> >
>
> He'd have to evolve for EONS just to get to *that* place!
>
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From -3845853366379855565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1fdab6219235396a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1fdab6219235396a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 15:42:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you're staying at a racist Hiram, GA hotel
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 23:33:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 88
Message-ID: <954uke$ofk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9521if$9me$0@pita.alt.net> <3A754C14.A0966BFB@dvol.com>
<953ss8$ntq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <954q8d$7au$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.170
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 23:33:34 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.170
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11744
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14561

In article <954q8d$7au$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
  "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote:
> You would know all about having to evolve wouldn't YOU  Roger.
```

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!! Yeah, I can compare your ill-educated writings to mine and see whre MINE is far superior. Now go get the sperm out of that comma key and quit downloading and masturbating to that kiddie porn.

> That is right

We know, Pissflap, we know!!!!

> about you though because you are another one of the MEN from West
Virginia.

Yeah, but I still don't wet the bed like you do, fuckhead!

> "KC8JBO" <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:953ss8$ntq$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <3A754C14.A0966BFB@dvol.com>,
> >   Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Stagger Lee wrote:
> > >
> > > > Top ten signs you're staying at a racist, Hiram hotel
> > > >
> > > > 10. Their slogan is, "We'll leave the WHITE on for ya!"
> > > >
> > > > 9. First tip-off: Those Confederate Flag pillow cases.
> > > >
> > > > 8. Sign in the lobby announces: "Pat Buchanan Rally This
> > Saturday!!!"
> > > >
> > > > 7. Sign behind the front desk reads: "You're damn right we're
open
> > on
> > > >   Martin Luther King Day!"
> > > >
> > > > 6. Sign above the beds reads: "Absolutely no HIP-HOPPING on the
> > > >   mattresses!!!"
> > > >
> > > > 5. Sheets and towels already have eye-holes cut in them.
> > > >
> > > > 4. No rating by the AAA. . . but 5-stars by the KKK.
> > > >
> > > > 3. Bible on the nightstand replaced with a copy of "Mien
Kampf".
> > > >
> > > > 2. Instead of a "Presidential Suite" they have a "Grand Wizard
> > Suite".
> > > >
> > > > And the Top Sign You're Staying at a racist, Hiram Hotel. . .
> > > >
> > > > 1. Every morning the front desk will give you a "wake-up slur".

> > >
> > > >
> > > You're a mindless pile of trash to the core.
> > > >
> > > Brian Kelly w3rv
> > > Secane, Pa.
> > >
> >
> > He'd have to evolve for EONS just to get to *that* place!
> >
> > --
> > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > Yaesu FT-90R
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2369211295596232696
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 15:53:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 23:44:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <954v9p$p1u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94upi8$tba$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127201536.15119.00002081@ng-
cs1.aol.com> <953pnq$lge$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <Rfid6.9$HH5.40127@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.245
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 23:44:59 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)

```
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.245
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14562
```

In article <Rfid6.9$HH5.40127@news.ntplx.net>,
  "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

> It is no big deal, but please do forgive me if I forget to keep
> track of your special meaning of the word.

There's no special meaning, Ed. I simply refuse to re-label his intentional misinformation and denigrations as anything but a lie.

If you don't tell the truth or "reconstruct" the truth to be something OTHER than truth, you are lying. No big deal.

73

Steve, K4YZ
--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -5946506697854516775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 15:54:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 23:35:36 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <954uo7$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010129122014.25659.00001424@nso-fk.aol.com> <954b4f$5bc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xlvb6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.245
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 23:35:36 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.245
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14563
```

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g7xlvb6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:

> CELLULAR/WIRELESS technology is what's leveling the playing
> field for them, bypassing wired and conventional single-channel
> and multi-channel communications.

And how many of those countries have you seen from the inside out,
Phil?

How many Afghanii's or Tchadians have cellphones?

Just curious.

Steve, K4YZ
--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He
Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2082337217806235819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,4313276d22b598dc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,932554b8d16d7aa8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 15:56:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Before responding to the Colt thread.....
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 23:41:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <954v3v$ova$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <949fe6$aj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010119225146.19838.00000247@ng-fj1.aol.com> <94biur$59q$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94ffo0$vtr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94g7ns$j7f$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94hr7q$sn9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94kbmg$1ok$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94klf2$bco$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94uokv$sj0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <954q25$k5f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.245
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 23:41:52 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.245
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz

Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.family:3622 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14564

In article <954q25$k5f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com> wrote:

>Well Steve, if you don't get it and refuse to ask your amateur friends,
>you just will not understand.

I understand perfectly, Randy. The point was not about hobby applications of AMATEUR radio, but of hobby applications of FRS.

QRM claimed that is was NOT a hobby service, nor was it used for hobby applications. I differed with him.

No problem.

73

Steve, K4YZ
--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -951530317133759512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f2618e5cd43e19c5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 16:14:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Anonymous Creep Strikes Again
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 23:59:28 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <95504r$pv5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.245
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Jan 29 23:59:28 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.245
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14565

Subj: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one
Date: 01/29/01 3:29:37 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: w2dne@ziplip.com (w2dne)
Reply-to: w2dne@ziplip.com (w2dne)
To: Ham-Policy@ucsd.edu
CC: k4yz@aol.com

On Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:00:54 GMT, Steven J Robeson wrote:

>>Because most of the third world countries who,
>>despite having NO internal communications
>>infrastracture in ANY mode, have the same vote
>>as those who have technology out the wazoo. Morse
>>Code levels the playing field for them.

>Nursie, there is no country, 3rd world or otherwise, which has any use
>for Morse Code telecommunications infrastructure. Technology is the
>cheapest thing in the world these days.

>You're a dumb sumabitch, and you're really good at it!

Well, just like the Kerchunkers and the occassional jammer on 2 meters, I know I shouldn't acknowledge these creeps, but I just can't stand cowards....And this creep is a coward.

First of all...it's "Nursie-pooh". Please get it right.

Secondly, the rest is simply YOUR opinion. Unfortunately, you demonstrate the typical Ugly American attitude that EVERYONE has waht we have, and can't fathom anything EXCEPT the way we have it.

THAT'S dumb. There is still a large part of many countries that still don't have cellphone forests in thier cities, and Amateur Radio STILL plays an integral part of thier needs, if even as back-up for commercial or military nets.

Let's hope your mother get's back in the mood for sex again. It was nice while you were gone.

http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3969929319430196506
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 16:45:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 18:34:43 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A760C23.8B08F6D6@IEEE.org>
References: <94upi8$tba$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127201536.15119.00002081@ng-
cs1.aol.com> <953pnq$lge$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <Rfid6.9$HH5.40127@news.ntplx.net>
<954v9p$p1u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.c6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 00:44:29 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14566

Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
> If you don't tell the truth or "reconstruct" the truth to be
> something OTHER than truth, you are lying.  No big deal.

So if you don't tell the truth about your latest BM, you are
lying? You must have a strange life. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -4102154156267939515
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 16:50:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!news1.iquest.net!not-for-
mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
From: kg9qm@nomail.net (don)
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.9 (Released Version) (x86 32bit)
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net> <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=US-ASCII
```

```
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net>
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 00:44:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.43.49.49
X-Complaints-To: news-abuse@iquest.net
X-Trace: news1.iquest.net 980815444 209.43.49.49 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:44:04 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:44:04 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:24485 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14567

Steve Ballmer may succeed where Bill Gates floundered.  Linux is catching up to
Windows but is far from having it "caught".  Windows has always been "a piece
of crap" in the eyes of some, but that's true for any O/S, too.

Having done punch cards on IBM 1620s and System/360 (when a "green card" was a
programer reference card), I can tell you what "a piece of crap" REALLY is!
(cringe)

;-) don


In article <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org was of the
opinion...
>
>JR wrote:
>> Amen, Windows is a piece of crap. IBM OS/2 was much more stable, too bad
they
>> could not market it properly.
>
>Linux may succeed where OS/2 failed.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 8228738918227744661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 17:01:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!nntp.flash.net!
news.flash.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7602D4.EB959880@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

```
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net> <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>
<o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 01:00:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.48.239.105
X-Complaints-To: abuse@flash.net
X-Trace: news.flash.net 980816412 64.48.239.105 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:00:12 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:00:12 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:24489 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14568
```

don wrote:

> Steve Ballmer may succeed where Bill Gates floundered. Linux is catching up to
> Windows but is far from having it "caught". Windows has always been "a piece
> of crap" in the eyes of some, but that's true for any O/S, too.

Lets hope so.

> Having done punch cards on IBM 1620s and System/360 (when a "green card" was a
> programer reference card), I can tell you what "a piece of crap" REALLY is!
> (cringe)
>
> ;-) don
>

Been there, done that. Just don't drop the CID deck of cards. The "green card" eventually became a "yellow card".

```
From 4159152002871337224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 17:02:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 17:00:04 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu>
References: <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com>
```

<20010127213831.00714.00004493@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14569

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Five WPM isn't fast enough to approach the proficiency
> which would give a code learner the confidence to try out his skills
> on-the-air.

This was incorrect when you first wrote it, it was incorrect the next time you wrote it, and it was incorrect the time after that. It is now still incorrect, and will likely continue to be incorrect in the future, as it does not appear to become correct with either repetition or time.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 2272066788295206653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 17:16:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: 30 Jan 2001 01:15:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <9554je$f3a$0@208.207.71.178>
References: <950la5$e8b$0@208.207.71.134> <20010128085505.21683.00001756@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.178
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14570

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Yes. And so I have stated on numerous occasions,though that fact seems

> >tohave been overlooked in their zeal to overpower it still, even though
> >it has already been killed.
>
> Morse code use on the bands has not been killed at all, Dick. Are you really
> trying to destroy is just to be "right" in this debate? If so, you are wrong.
>

Read a little closer, Ed. The subject was the code 'test', which has
indeed been killed. But you'd think that 5wpm was just as daunting as
20wpm to hear the whiners go at it.

> > the idea of persuading many of them to voluntarily become skilled to useful
> > Morse speeds doesn't seem to hold much realistic promise.
>
> If you really are giving up, perhaps you could stand back and let those with a
> more positive outlook take over from here.

Your positive outlook and a buck will get you a cup of coffee if you
stay out of the ritzt joints.

Your choice of words is really
> trying to hasten the demise of my favorite mode. I disagree with you that Morse
> code use is unable to stand on its own merits.

You lose.

From -2505999507055419
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 17:20:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: 30 Jan 2001 01:17:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9554nf$f3a$1@208.207.71.178>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
<t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com> <94sdsl$3k6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A724BC0.61ABA1B@aznet.net> <94vutn$fo1$0@208.206.142.105>
<952shh$vt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.178

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14571



K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <94vutn$fo1$0@208.206.142.105>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > Marconi always considered himself a ham although he never held a ham
> > radio license.
>
> Lotsa guys like that hanging out just below 10 meters.
>


 You equate Freebanders with Marconi? I am not the least surprised


From -5337834670795732136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 17:22:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: 30 Jan 2001 01:22:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <955504$f3a$2@208.207.71.178>
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<3a748bc8.15291834@news.mindspring.com> <952sco$fo7$0@208.207.71.165>
<953l1c$i2j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.178
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14572



Brian wrote:
```

>
> In article <952sco$fo7$0@208.207.71.165>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >
> > > On 28 Jan 2001 13:24:51 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> > >
> > > >>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
> > > > >
> > > >>I disagree with the possibile retention of a code test for USA,
> but that's far
> > > > >
> > > >>enough out that I am quite happy with the change regarding S25.5.
> > > > >
> > > >The Board chose not to change the US policy at this time.
> > > > >
> > > >>As to my "Sohl" proposal ala QST some years back...I'd not
> > > >>oppose having some questions along the lines of those
> > > >>similar to the questions regarding knowledge of the phonetic
> alphabet.
> > > > >
> > > >How would you feel about the test containing 5 minutes of Morse
> code at 5 wpm,
> > > >and a reasonable number of questions about its content?
> > >
> > > I'd have mixed opinion. It really isn't needed anymore and
> > > that's pretty much the bottom line.
> > >
> >
> > It's quite clear that Bill has no use for ham radio for anything
> other
> > than just a very occasional, causual passtime, and thus he truly
> > believes that no one else does or ever has had, either. He evidently
> > doesn't read much or just ignores the emergency columns in all the
> mags
> > that have published reams on such activity by hams for far longer than
> > he has had a license.
> > But I guess that would be the attitude one could expect from a fella
> > who has very little actual interest in the hobby, and being employed
> in
> > a commercial communications endeavor he surely wouldn't advocate hams
> > handling serious traffic in competition.
>
> Nevermind that even the professional emergency communicators have
> abandoned code long ago. If you like, I'll dig out the website where
> you can listen to the last holdout, the US Coast Guard's last CW
> transmission.
>
> If you want to get saved, you'll have to learn to use phone.

You babbling again, Magpie? Who even so much as mentioned code? Sohl
doesn't even know about emergency SSB nets.

From -4536024894816986383
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 17:23:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:08:06 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A7613F6.882A1C23@IEEE.org>
References: <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010127213831.00714.00004493@nso-md.aol.com> <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.c6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 01:17:53 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14573

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Five WPM isn't fast enough to approach the proficiency
> > which would give a code learner the confidence to try out his skills
> > on-the-air.
>
> This was incorrect when you first wrote it, it was incorrect the next
> time you wrote it, and it was incorrect the time after that. It is now
> still incorrect, and will likely continue to be incorrect in the future,
> as it does not appear to become correct with either repetition or time.

Yep, 5wpm was plenty fast enough for me and thousands of other Novices
in the 1950s. It is difficult for anyone to use Morse code at 5wpm
without automatically getting faster in the process. After a year as
a Novice, I could do 25wpm. The only difference now is that we have
5wpm Generals instead of 5wpm Novices.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -6055482443136039548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 17:24:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: 30 Jan 2001 01:24:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <95554s$f3a$3@208.207.71.178>
References: <952ppk$87t$2@208.207.71.165> <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.178
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14574

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Oh, I don't know. He made a bunch of longrange CW contacts if you
> >recall. Also as has been belabored here so often, the code test early on
> >was at 5wpm.
>
> I didn't mean to imply that he didn't know the code. I don't know if he knew
> the code to 20 wpm when he did those early experminents. Seeing as this was
> before April 15, 2000, shouldn't he have done them above 50 MHz, where he
> belonged? :-)
>
> I have to wonder, if the 5 wpm code test was enough to get Amateur Radio off to
> such a flying start (looking at the resultant growth), why is it looked upon
> with such disdain by some today?
>

To answer a question with a question, why is it that 5wpm code test is
being fought just as hard by the codebashing lazies just as hard as the
20wpm was?

From 3759010998093241253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 17:37:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 30 Jan 2001 01:36:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9555rr$i78$0@208.207.71.178>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com> <952m6o$6a0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7whjr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <952tai$i2q$0@208.207.71.165>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkxb4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.178
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14575
```

Phil Kane wrote:

```
>
>   I consider myself a 1950s-style "liberal" which appears to be
>   the inverse of what folks hang that label on today.  In "my
>   style" the gov'mint's job is to look out for the benefit
>   of the consumer, both long-term and short-term.
>
>   As I said, FDR must be spinning in his grave.
>
```

To repeat what other notables have said, "I didn't leave the Democratic party, it left me".

```
From 4978251300124091957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 17:42:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!
lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 20:40:42 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
```

Lines: 17
Message-ID: <95563p$i6n$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <952ppk$87t$2@208.207.71.165> <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com> <954d5s$366$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A75DA1E.63B0A375@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.99.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 980818873 18647 166.102.250.99 (30 Jan 2001 01:41:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Jan 2001 01:41:13 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14576

Cecil, go set in a corner and cut out some paperdolls or something. Leave the big people alone.

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A75DA1E.63B0A375@IEEE.org...
> W4JLE wrote:
> > ... I dam well object to the snot nosed kids that think it
> > should be removed because "they" think it is just being kept by the old
> > (insert favorite expletive) who are standing in "their" way.
>
> Maybe those snot nosed kids consider your attitude toward Morse
> code testing to be an "I Want It!" attitude, the exact attitude
> that you condemn in them.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3474226671085196581
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 17:50:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: 30 Jan 2001 01:49:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9556iv$k02$0@208.207.71.178>
References: <94qpg9$lks$0@208.206.142.92> <20010126054611.12054.00001692@ng-fl1.aol.com> <3A75CD80.9A58C1BC@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.178
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14577

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > why do you feel that Bill Sohl and Carl Stevenson are serving on the NCI
> > Board?
>
> Is it a big fish/small pond kinda thing?
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

By Jove, I believe you've got it!

From 5437800006618554597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 13:09:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Jan 2001 22:41:15 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <95a4ab$n08$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com> <t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1> <t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com> <94sdsl$3k6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A724BC0.61ABA1B@aznet.net> <94vutn$fo1$0@208.206.142.105> <952shh$vt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <9554nf$f3a$1@208.207.71.178> <956sgp$bai$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95735o$pth$0@208.206.142.82>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 980980875 23560 205.139.138.14 (31 Jan 2001 22:41:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 31 Jan 2001 22:41:15 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: dixie@townsqr.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14678

In article <95735o$pth$0@208.206.142.82>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>

writes:

>
> Could we then consider this an example of the Hans Definition?
>

Cosider away, my dear friend. It's a free country. All reality is aspect dependent.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
"As far as the laws of mathematics refer to reality, they are not
certain, as far as they are certain, they do not refer to reality."
          - Albert Einstein

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 8497286703403355365
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fb049,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gidfb049,public
X-Google-Thread: f4306,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4306,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 14:02:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC's WARC 2003 Web site
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 17:02:30 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t7h2rq8k1gsqff@corp.supernews.com>
References: <t7gkrti3qpu401@corp.supernews.com> <959m6j$pvd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 32
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.ham-radio.packet:644 alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf:3023
alt.radio.family:3659 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14679


"Mr. Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:959m6j$pvd$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <t7gkrti3qpu401@corp.supernews.com>,
>   "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> > http://www.fcc.gov/wrc-03/
> >
> > --
> > Leland C. Scott
> > KC8LDO
> >
> >
>
> Leland,
>
> My apologies in advance. Permit me a moment of poignant satire here.
>
> I don't think you'll find ANY interest in WARC 2003 here in
> rec.radio.cb.  The ONLY thing of interest to this NG is:

But then again you don't know. I would think anyone interested in radio as a
hobby would want to stay informed, even if it is just "educational". Of
course the conference is at least  two years off, so the agenda could change
between now and then, and something of interest could appear on the radar
scope for somebody.


--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO





From -6883311194541729872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 15:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
```

```
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 23:09:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <95a5vh$9bu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net> <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>
<o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net> <Utvd6.398$zZ.433905@news02.optonline.net>
<3A76CBDF.3E69EEB9@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7zf875.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<957gmt$va9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g80yof0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 31 23:09:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14681 rec.radio.cb:24862

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g80yof0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
  "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> On Tue, 30 Jan 2001 22:54:27 GMT, Brian wrote:
>
> >Sounds like the antiques in the old 20th Air Division, Air Defense
> >Command, SAGE Blockhouse at Ft Lee AFS, VA.
>
>   SAGE used the FSQ-7, a forerunner of the FSQ-31.
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP

Can you tell me what SAGE meant?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -4734894461417196985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fcc6c138df023f5e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 15:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Troll?
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 23:07:12 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
```

```
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <95a5qq$9ae$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <OVJd6.331$j4.33074@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
<958ue9$41r$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <959ojd$dd6$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Jan 31 23:07:12 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14680

In article <959ojd$dd6$1@news.netmar.com>,
  K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:
> In article <958ue9$41r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
> >In article <OVJd6.331$j4.33074@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>,
> >  "Drink" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net> wrote:
> >>   Help me here folks.  What's a troll?
>
> In my Funk and Wagnalls it says "see N0IMD".

Who does it say under "Guisippee?"


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 6290398090658324444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 16:03:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 00:02:21 GMT
References: <3A776F67.BEE29DA6@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010131190221.15160.00000152@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14682

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>> This will be the number 1 way to encourage those who are being licensed
>> today to  try out those Morse code skills.
```

>Having knowlege of the Morse letters and numbers when they are being
>licensed is probably helpful in that way also. Am I wrong?

Many of those being licensed today do indeed demostrate knowledge of Morse
letters and numbers.

But without our being willing to slow down a bit for the newcomers, we cannot
expect that many will want to use the mode.

73,
Ed

From 1738237174085493961
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 16:05:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 00:04:04 GMT
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14683

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Some here who may believe that they are "helping" the mode survive ad not
>> making newcomers feel like they will find personal support on the bands.
>> Unfortunately, there is not enough on the bands to really make that happen,
>> either.

> Ed when you gonna get real? No one here is witholding any sort of
>helping hand from anyone. The last thing the code bashers want is a
>helping hand with it. You think Baby Brian Burke sat on his ass for 13
>years in whining protest about the test because he wanted a helping hand
>to learn code???

Your idea of the helping hand? Sounds more like that helping hand just slapped
Brian in the face.

Are you trying to make your prediction that the use of Morse code is doomed
come true?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1040459135941374325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 16:08:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 00:07:32 GMT
References: <95891u$619$2@208.206.142.67>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010131190732.15160.00000157@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14684

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Cecil wrote:

>> I was very happy just being a Novice in 1951. I was having so much
>> fun as a Novice, I completely forgot about the expiration date.

> It is very easy to forget that Cecil is perfect, at least for the
>story du moment.

And Cecil proved he thinks he is perfect by telling us that he forgot his
Novice was going to expire?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6718221663430208044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e66a,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e66a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fb049,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gidfb049,public
X-Google-Thread: f4306,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4306,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 16:17:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Mr. Aaron H. Voobner <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.packet,alt.ham-radio.vhf-
uhf,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC's WARC 2003 Web site
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 00:00:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <95a8up$c2d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <t7gkrti3qpu401@corp.supernews.com> <959m6j$pvd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t7h2rq8k1gsqff@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.101.176
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 01 00:00:30 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; AOL 4.0; Mac_PPC)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 64.12.101.176
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDaaronvoobner
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.ham-radio.packet:648 alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf:3026
alt.radio.family:3660 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14685

In article <t7h2rq8k1gsqff@corp.supernews.com>,
  "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> "Mr. Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:959m6j$pvd$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <t7gkrti3qpu401@corp.supernews.com>,
> >   "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> > > http://www.fcc.gov/wrc-03/
> > >
> > > --
> > > Leland C. Scott
> > > KC8LDO
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Leland,
> >
> > My apologies in advance. Permit me a moment of poignant satire here.
> >
> > I don't think you'll find ANY interest in WARC 2003 here in
> > rec.radio.cb.  The ONLY thing of interest to this NG is:
>
> But then again you don't know. I would think anyone interested in
radio as a
> hobby would want to stay informed, even if it is just "educational".
Of
> course the conference is at least  two years off, so the agenda could
change
> between now and then, and something of interest could appear on the
radar
> scope for somebody.
```

>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
>

Sorry, I didn't see the cross-post headers to the other newsgroups, I thought you were posting ONLY to rec.radio.cb.

-Aaron-

--
.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3428304700226004326
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 16:17:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.yosemite.net!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 00:09:37 GMT
References: <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010131190937.15160.00000159@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14686

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Ditto for me. QRP interest is on the rise.

>Mine are based on reality; yours are based on
>dreamy, politically correct wishful thinking!

Oh, is QRP now "politically correct?" You sure are getting a lot of mileage out of your various interpretations of that term.

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3993738419019385115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 16:17:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 00:11:27 GMT
References: <20010130195431.02315.00004614@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Message-ID: <20010131191127.15160.00000161@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14687

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>The ARRL (through their Usenet spokesman, W1RFI)

The sad part, Larry, is that you really do know better. All the pity; I thought you had integrity...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -468360537942311403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 16:31:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 00:19:51 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.38
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 01 00:19:51 2001 GMT

X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.38
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14688

In article <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>,
Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

> >When incentive licensing was based on stepped CW proficiency requirements, there were two forms of inspiration for a new 5 wpm Ham.
>
> Incentive Licensing was never _based_ on stepped CW proficiency requirements. The purpose of Incentive Licensing was raising technical proficiency (see Docket 15928). The stepped CW requirements that were a part of the existing license structure were a byproduct of the Incentive Licensing idea, not the basis for it.
>
> Regards,
> Vince, WB2EZG

ATTA BOY VINCE!!!

You tell it like it was, is, and should be. Any license exams are given with the INCENTIVE to study something...Even if it is dummed down fixed Q &As, BUT for Ham Radio to have any real basis and purpose it must act as an incentive to motivate more people to advance the state of the art by advancing their own technical knowledge as HAMS.. If people just want to communicate internationally they can use the Internet or e-mail. If people want mobile communication they can buy cellular telephones....
BUT
If people want to socialize with others who have technical and scientific knowledge and learn more about what is in their equipment then they just might want to be HAMS. If there are to be no such people...then there can be no Ham Radio!....only Citizen's Multi-Band (CMB).

Hams need more license classes and/or official FCC technical endorsements.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Incentive Licensing Proponent)

I don't want to belong to any club that would
want to accept me as a member.
--Groucho Marx--

The Quarter Century Wireless Association is
thinking about increasing their membership by
accepting as members Hams who have been licensed
less than twenty five years. I guess they
might want to change their name to the

Micro-Century Wireless Association or maybe
the Less than Two-Bit Interconnected Modem
Association.
As of July 2001, I'll be a Ham
for Half a Century. OH Well...$49.99 always
sold better than 50 bucks....but I don't think
you can sell more Ham Licenses For $9.99 than
you can for ten bucks....I could be wrong
because the kind of Hams they are now loooking
for are not supposed to be wise to any penny antics.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3461664508983702126
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 16:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news-x2.support.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 18:23:35 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A78AC87.5C551855@IEEE.org>
References: <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-fi.aol.com>
<20010131190937.15160.00000159@ng-fc1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.d6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 1 Feb 2001 00:32:35 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14689

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >Mine are based on reality; yours are based on
> >dreamy, politically correct wishful thinking!
>
> Oh, is QRP now "politically correct?" You sure are getting a lot of mileage out
> of your various interpretations of that term.

Well, wouldn't QRP be politically correct? It doesn't pull much power
off the grid so it cuts down on pollution and can easily be run off the

grid from solar power. Not much TVI/RFI either.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 5709637938066089814
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 17:30:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!206.252.192.28!
news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 01:18:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 74
Message-ID: <95adgt$g4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>
<956o9t$74g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7704BE.E71F049F@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.38
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 01 01:18:29 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.38
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14690
```

In article <3A7704BE.E71F049F@arrl.org>,
  Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> >
> > The resonance effect of the entire human body and the penetration
into
> > the human skull were the main things being considered when the
> > ANSI/IEEE safety levels were established.
>
> You might want to read N9GL's latest article at:
> http://www.arrl.org/rfsafety/lapin/2001/01/30/1/
> in which he points out that the exposure standards were established on
> the basis of observed effects of RF, without regard to the mechanisms
> that may cause those effects. That doesn't preclude those working in
the
> field from trying to determine the mechanisms and to understand why
the
> observed effects exist.
>
> Jon

Yes and this is one time I won't say "Speak for yourself Jon". You and
N9GL had better read the very latest research on the cancerous eye
damage attributed to cellular telephone RF. I should have saved the

references that I had read. The research was done in UK.
The mechanism and the effects are known and established.

Anyone who professes to be an RF hazard expert had better keep up with the latest research. I'm just a ham. The education and the ham exam knowledge that I have attained have not qualified me to call myself an RF Safety expert....
BUT
I read a lot of medical newsletters because I am interested in a lot of medical problems that I have...and I saw this very recent research, which reinforces my opinion that cell phones use can be dangerous to our health...or at least our eyes' inate ability to inhibit cancer.
The IEEE/ANSI specs, I am convinced, were made to give a clean bill of health to cell-phones...but not Ham Radio VHF gear. Unfortunately it might take time, more research, and sadly some blind cancer victims (and their lawyers) for me to be able to say "I told you so".

When the sun is too bright...BLINK!
When you want to use a cell-phone, that won't make you blink automatically...THINK!
Maybe cell-phone users need RF filtering sun glasses. I dunno. I don't generally start by seeing the world with rose colored glasses myself...but odds are I'm not wrong.

Other recent researchers say that they have been able to increase or decrease the speed of light. I say that they are not talking properly about group photon velocity. They have not changed the speed of any light. They have just been able to demonstrate the control of its group velocity in such a way that they appear to have changed its speed but the speed of light, in media of constant density, is a constant of physics that can not be changed, in spite of the fact that light energy can be controlled in a manner that apears to accelerate or delay it.
Einsteins special theory of relativity would not be necessary if we could believe that the speed of livght is not a constant.
Now, as a student of physics I understand this, as well as I understand some of the ignorant press releases that attempt to describe superconductivity (which are misunderstoood even by many engineers). I could be wrong because I myself am not a researcher or a medical eye specialist... but from what I understand the MECHANISM is certainly there to cause eye damage at 900 MHz RF... but not a 144 MHz RF.

Hams need more license classes. Maybe even an RF hazard specialist class.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Inhibit Leaking Photons?)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 402126937001850284

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 18:10:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 1 Feb 2001 02:10:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95agi1$1a2$2@208.207.71.139>
References: <95891u$619$2@208.206.142.67> <20010131190732.15160.00000157@ng-
fc1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14691



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Cecil wrote:
>
> >> I was very happy just being a Novice in 1951. I was having so much
> >> fun as a Novice, I completely forgot about the expiration date.
>
> > It is very easy to forget that Cecil is perfect, at least for the
> >story du moment.
>
> And Cecil proved he thinks he is perfect by telling us that he forgot his
> Novice was going to expire?


No, Ed, it's Cecil's *story* that is always perfect.(ly formulated for
the situation)


From -151405473922972235
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 21:06:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
```

```
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <0lrh7t08jk6ovvgf2rk94tc5i1hdve4jd4@4ax.com>
References: <3A776F67.BEE29DA6@uci.edu> <20010131190221.15160.00000152@ng-
fc1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 05:06:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.4
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981004012 209.86.207.4 (Wed, 31 Jan 2001
21:06:52 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 21:06:52 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14694
```

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>But without our being willing to slow down a bit for the newcomers, we cannot
>expect that many will want to use the mode.

What we need is an easy to get license that allows novice CW ops to
practice with each other on the air by using a small band segment set
aside for just this purpose...

```
From -6735590537670306745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8226e03cb0cd1fb6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 21:27:48 PST
Message-ID: <3A78DED1.54329F@ovis.net>
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 03:58:09 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Proposal for New Novice Class License
References: <3A6FD87E.404055D1@ovis.net> <20010125210940.22779.00000963@nso-
fa.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s10.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 1 Feb 2001 00:17:44 -0500, s10.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 157
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s10.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14695
```

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A6FD87E.404055D1@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3A6E560F.6F7E7E4A@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >> You have done more than that, especially when not receiving
> >> >> any praise over the "clever" use of CW as an order-wire for
> >> >> a State Department FSK TTY circuit. :-)
> >> >
> >> >I don't know whether you are not being forthright or are just forgetful
> >> >in your old age. I did not seek any praise.
> >>
> >> Sure you sought praise. You thought it was a clever idea...of yours.
> >
> >Now you may be providing some ammunition for Steve's claims regarding
> >truthfulness. I never made the claim that it was my idea nor did I seek
> >praise. I pointed to it to U.S. Government use of morse. You as much
> >as called me a liar over the issue.
>
> Ahem...you pointed a finger back at yourself and then at the
> State Department for "cleverness."

I "pointed a finger back at myself"? Prove it. While you're at it,
come up with proof that I sought praise over the issue.

> To switch over to CW for an order-wire or command context
> from FSK is a *dumb* idea. Dumb technically, dumb
> operationally.

No "switching over" was done. Two circuits were maintained--all of the
time.

> >I made no such claim regarding my expertise. Do you know what a person
> >who tells untruths is called?
>
> Now, now, you are starting to sound like your buddy, the
> USMC reject.

When you write something which is not true and you know it or suspect it
to be an untruth, you are offering falsehoods or *lies*.

> Dave, I called the procedure DUMB. Other folks in
> communications have already established the methods
> decades before you. You are free to call their methods
> "wrong" but it is up to you to show why in order to verify
> your claims.

...and where did I call the methods in use by others wrong?
I pointed out that State was, during the time discussed, using Morse.

It was and I stand by my statement.

> >> Technically, switching over to CW from FSK TTY should be
> >> possible but it is a most odd sort of thing to use as an
> >> order-wire (a command circuit for radio circuit purposes).
> >
> >We didn't switch back and forth, Len. We used CW on one frequency and
> >ran the TTY circuit on a different pair.
>
> Ah, so the story CHANGES! Now, looking at it all in operation
> and considering the methods, why does this CW-on-a-different-
> frequency for an order-wire have more advantages than having
> the circuit commands sent over the TTY circuit?

The story hasn't changed one iota. You didn't bother to ask the why of State's use of Morse.

Picture a scenario in which propagation changes cause a loss of path. Picture an op using one of several CW guard frequencies to coordinate the reestablishment of RTTY communication. Picture the necessity of a crypto key change each time operation began. Opening of business, call-ins for Night Action traffic, etc.

> >I stated that CW was used to arrange QSYs, openings and closings of the
> >TTY circuit. It was also necessary to confirm crypto used if that is
> >the "synchronization" to which you refer.
>
> I have to admit you might have me there, Dave. I've only been
> involved with three different crypto methods of TTY, all over
> military circuits, between 1953 and 1989. Without revealing
> anything like a state secret or violating national defense, it is
> safe to reveal that all of those military circuits self-synchronized.
>
> No doubt State had another crypto system.
>
> I might mention that secure Army field communications using
> crypto digital voice do synchronization in real-time. There is
> no need for any auxilliary "second channel" to "confirm" any
> crypto settings. Such has been operational as the SINCGARS
> family since 1988. It's also capable of frequency hopping.

State does not use digital voice to send and receive daily message traffic. State is not the Army. State does not use SINCGARS. State now uses no HF at all for daily message traffic.

>
> >> I said it was a dumb idea if you did it that way. I still think so.
> >
> >It is obvious that you did not and do not understand what was stated.
>
> Given a lot of text it is possible to "read between the lines" of
> what a person writes. In the above case there seems to have
> been some omissions of details. It's very hard to fill in details

> by trying to read between lines there...

It is possible to read between the lines though if you decide to do so,
you should know that you'll be wrong about fifty per cent of the time.

> >I'll leave it to you to figure out the necessity for such operation.
> >You were not a State Department communicator any more than you're a
> >radio amateur.
>
> Sorry, Dave, you will either have to explain your activity or just
> drop the subject. While my only contact with State was a
> quick time on RCA's RACES machine (State had two of them
> for message storage-retrieval), you ignore that I've had some
> thorough experience with HF communications and did it with
> operating methods well worked out by others. Also on VLF,
> LF, MF, VHF, UHF, and a few microwave bands.

I've explained about as much as I can or will. I believe that whatever
you did was worked out by others.

> I make no claims of expertise in communications methods,
> technically or operationally. I can analyze and reason the
> why and wherefore of how things are done and observe that
> methodology of operation in communications done by others
> before me.

Bully for you.

> There are few US radio amateurs who have any direct
> experience with either State Department methods or crypto
> communications. While I can understand your mentioning
> such items in close proximity in the same message, they
> are bogus for trying to make your case. [US radio amateurs
> are forbidden to use systems which obscure their
> communications...it's in the regulations]

You drift. You brought up this material in response to something else.
I made no statement regarding radio amateurs using crypto.

> The FCC issues US amateur radio licenses, Dave, not the
> US Department of State. You let me know if that
> changes, okay? Oh, and the FCC does not require any
> Commissioners or staff to hold amateur radio licenses in
> order to regulate US amateur radio or issue amateur
> licenses. Let me know if that changes, too, please?
>
> didit

I know. I have one. If you take an exam, you can have one too.
You don't have one? Let me know if that changes.

Dave K8MN

From -4566062040580574942
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 22:52:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 22:45:06 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-12.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14696

Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message

> Hams need more license classes and/or official FCC technical
> endorsements.

In order to do what? I don't find myself feeling limited by the number of license classes in the ARS. Necessity is the mother of invention, not wallpaper. Interest and curiosity must provide motivation. Without that I think you'd have to convince the US Treasury to issue their certificates rather than the kind the FCC offers.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -3945375490654933655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-31 23:04:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride

```
Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2001 23:00:46 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <95b1de$p2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A776F67.BEE29DA6@uci.edu> <20010131190221.15160.00000152@ng-
fc1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-12.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14697

Ed Hare, W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

> >> This will be the number 1 way to encourage those who are being licensed
> >> today to  try out those Morse code skills.

> >Having knowlege of the Morse letters and numbers when they are being
> >licensed is probably helpful in that way also.  Am I wrong?

> Many of those being licensed today do indeed demostrate knowledge of Morse
> letters and numbers.

My thought was that that isn't really something that you support.  It seems
a lot of the discussion around here centers around the fact that there isn't
any longer a reason to test for this kind of thing, and I just thought this
might be one reason that it's not entirely without merit.

> But without our being willing to slow down a bit for the newcomers, we
cannot
> expect that many will want to use the mode.

It's a good point.  I was fortunate that there were folks like that who
weren't in a big hurry when I was learning.

73, Jim AC6XG






From -3090567803795296100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 01:40:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
```

Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 09:40:31 GMT
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14698

In article <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net>, JR <jr@some.net> writes:

>
>I take it from your comment that anyone who upgrades under the new 5 wpm
>rule is somehow not as good as someone who upgraded under the old code
>rules?
>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>
>> It means we also have 5wpm pseudoExtras.

HR:

Just in case Dick didn't mean that, *I'll* say it -- and mean it! So
there! What are you going to do about it?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6785392756671556383
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 01:40:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 09:40:30 GMT
References: <3A7626E8.10D2F285@firstinter.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Message-ID: <20010201044030.13655.00001884@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14700

In article <3A7626E8.10D2F285@firstinter.net>, Rick Tannehill
<rickw7rt@firstinter.net> writes:

>
>National Geographic begins sending me renewal notices in July, and sends at
>least
>one a month until I renew. I call that harrassment, way beyond bother :)
>
>Rick T.
>W7RT

Rick:

If you call THAT "harrassment," then take my advice -- NEVER
subscribe to Reader's Digest! You'll get renewal notices at least
semi-weekly! Nice magazine, always an interesting read -- but
when they started stuffing my mailbox with incessant renewal
notices, advertising, sweepstakes, etc. etc. ad infinitum, I went
back to reading it at the doctor's office!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3559858035271305460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 01:40:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!206.252.192.28!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 09:40:31 GMT
References: <3A76E8A4.CD47059E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14699

In article <3A76E8A4.CD47059E@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>JR wrote:
>> I take it from your comment that anyone who upgrades under the new 5 wpm
>> rule is somehow not as good as someone who upgraded under the old code
>> rules?
>
>Yep, when my three year old grandson grows up and becomes an Extra,
>Dick will still be calling him a pseudoExtra.

Cecil:

I'll call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" myself. However,
PseudoExtra does have a nice ring to it! Kinda grows on you,
doesn't it?

73 de Larry, K3LT
A Real Extra! Wanna make something of it?

From -1538527175336073796
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 03:20:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 11:20:35 GMT
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14701

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I'll call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" myself. However,
>PseudoExtra does have a nice ring to it! Kinda grows on you,
>doesn't it?

Another one trying to tear down ham radio to be "right" in a debate.

We knew the type in grammar school; they are apparently still with us.

Don't be surprised if not many people want to follow you as you try to drag
Amateur Radio down to your level, Larry. Many of us still believe in the
values you used to attribute to the Service.

If you really feel this way, perhaps we would all be better off if you let your
license expire and found something you can believe in to do.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5401085595038054518

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 03:25:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 11:24:55 GMT
References: <20010201044030.13655.00001884@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Message-ID: <20010201062455.15050.00000242@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14702
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>If you call THAT "harrassment," then take my advice -- NEVER
>subscribe to Reader's Digest! You'll get renewal notices at least
>semi-weekly! Nice magazine, always an interesting read -- but
>when they started stuffing my mailbox with incessant renewal
>notices, advertising, sweepstakes, etc. etc. ad infinitum, I went
>back to reading it at the doctor's office!

Now, does Readers Digest rank right up there with "Extra Lite" as one of your pet peeves, Larry? Gosh, there is sure a lot wrong with the world, eh?

Is there anything important that bothers you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -6155740480477555779
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 03:30:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 11:30:27 GMT
References: <95adgt$g4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Message-ID: <20010201063027.15050.00000243@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14703

>From: Bob Wex w2ilp w2ilp@juno.com

>Yes and this is one time I won't say "Speak for yourself Jon". You and
>N9GL had better read the very latest research on the cancerous eye
>damage attributed to cellular telephone RF.

Once again, Bob, your assumptions are incorrect. N9GL is very much aware of
that research. Now, what did N9GL say to you when you asked him about this
before you chose to post your criticism of his knowledge on
rec.radio.amateur.policy?

>Anyone who professes to be an RF hazard expert had better keep up with
>the latest research. I'm just a ham.

You may be "just a ham," but that doesn't seem to be stopping you from knowing
all about it...

>The IEEE/ANSI specs, I am convinced, were made to give a clean bill of
>health to cell-phones...

You are convinced about a lot of things.

>and sadly some blind cancer victims (and their lawyers)
>for me to be able to say "I told you so".

Is that your goal?

>Maybe cell-phone users need RF filtering sun glasses.

Right up there with copper beanies?

>but from what I understand the MECHANISM is certainly
>there to cause eye damage at 900 MHz RF... but not a 144 MHz RF.

Please explain the mechanism to us all, Bob.

>Hams need more license classes. Maybe even an RF hazard specialist
>class.

A subject you are clearly not qualified to teach. I prefer my science to be
based on science, not speculation, supposition and fear.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6774903077212054394
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 03:32:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 11:31:48 GMT
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14704

>From: Bob Wex w2ilp w2ilp@juno.com

>Hams need more license classes and/or official FCC technical
>endorsements.

Ham need more technical knowlege. Adding another layer of government
bureaucratic control will be a hindrance, not a help.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3785142023444676341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 03:33:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 11:32:48 GMT
References: <3A78AC87.5C551855@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010201063248.15050.00000245@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14705

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Well, wouldn't QRP be politically correct?

Larry has a special meaning to the term.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4222211299793980361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 03:36:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Feb 2001 11:36:20 GMT
References: <0lrh7t08jk6ovvgf2rk94tc5i1hdve4jd4@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010201063620.15050.00000246@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14706

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>What we need is an easy to get license that allows novice CW ops to
>practice with each other on the air by using a small band segment set
>aside for just this purpose...

When that license is available, very few hams wanted to obtain it, so the FCC chose to drop it.

Any ham license allows prospective CW ops to practice with each other on the air.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7596511027003529562
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 04:57:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 1 Feb 2001 12:57:14 GMT

Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <95bmfa$put$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 981032234 26589 149.174.88.247 (1 Feb 2001 12:57:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 1 Feb 2001 12:57:14 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14707

>If people just want to communicate internationally they can use
>the Internet or e-mail.

Absolutely. In one sentence, Bob, I think you've said it all.

>Hams need more license classes and/or official FCC technical
>endorsements.

>73,
>Bob Wex w2ilp (Incentive Licensing Proponent)

A very key word there is "official." There's no question in my
mind that without true government support and encouragement,
there is no Ham Radio.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -6935860730835844538
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 06:10:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 14:01:57 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <95bq8f$ja4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010128145802.21648.00001999@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<20010130195431.02315.00004614@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.18.171.165
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 01 14:01:57 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 24.18.171.165
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDjason_hsu
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14708

In article <20010130195431.02315.00004614@nso-fi.aol.com>,
yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:

> Ah HAH!!! The truth comes out! The ARRL (through their Usenet spokesman, W1RFI) supports the concept of using inefficient, speed-limiting methods of learning the Morse Code strictly for the purpose of expiditing the granting of HF (phone) privileges! More "dumbing-down" from Newington! What else did we expect?

You amuse me, Larry. First, you keep insisting that Morse Code all-important to all of ham radio and that the Morse Code testing requirements are sacred.

So I decide to bring Code Quick to the attention of everyone on USENET. Unlike more conventional methods, Code Quick works, and people ACTUALLY follow through. So what do you do? You try to knock it down!

I can tell from personal experience that Code Quick works. Because it takes the drudgery (memorization of dits and dahs, listening to CW and waiting for divine inspiration, etc.) out of learning CW, it seems that everyone who tries Code Quick sticks to it. You CANNOT say the same about other methods.

I challenge you to find anyone who tried Code Quick but failed.

I will agree with you that Code Quick alone isn't enough for 20+ wpm. Well, at some point, the Code Quick user has to start copying in his/her head. But at least there's nothing to unlearn.

Larry, you obviously do NOT need Code Quick. But the "lazy No Code" crowd certainly does. Show me someone from this group, and I'll show you someone who didn't use Code Quick.

--
Jason Hsu, AG4DG
jason_hsu@@@@@@@@@@planetmail.com
http://www.bigfoot.com/~jason_hsu/

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -2603759418806073260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 07:17:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
```

newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 10:16:36 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: thga2pp07.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 981040648 17796 166.102.123.8 (1 Feb 2001 15:17:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 1 Feb 2001 15:17:28 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14709

I think you are way over the top here Ed. Larry is upset because the standards have been lowered by people who felt they "deserved" rather than earn an amateur radio license.

You then tell him he should let his ticket expire because he disagrees with it! As much as I respect your efforts in ham radio, I despise the attitude you are displaying towards older hams.

I have to look at you as a representative of ARRL, and by extension infer this is the current attitude in Newington. As such, I will not be renewing my League membership and will be resigning my positions as Technical coordinator, Official Observer and County EC.

Fred Hambrecht W4JLE

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >I'll call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" myself. However,
> >PseudoExtra does have a nice ring to it! Kinda grows on you,
> >doesn't it?
>
> Another one trying to tear down ham radio to be "right" in a debate.
>
> We knew the type in grammar school; they are apparently still with us.
>
> Don't be surprised if not many people want to follow you as you try to
drag
> Amateur Radio down to your level, Larry. Many of us still believe in the
> values you used to attribute to the Service.
>

> If you really feel this way, perhaps we would all be better off if you let
your
> license expire and found something you can believe in to do.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

```
From 7598020628187026280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 07:17:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>
<956o9t$74g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7704BE.E71F049F@arrl.org>
<95adgt$g4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 43
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 10:17:45 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981040676 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 01 Feb 2001 10:17:56 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 10:17:56 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14710
```

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
>
> Yes and this is one time I won't say "Speak for yourself Jon". You and
> N9GL had better read the very latest research on the cancerous eye
> damage attributed to cellular telephone RF. I should have saved the
> references that I had read. The research was done in UK.
> The mechanism and the effects are known and established.

I didn't say they weren't. I only said that according to N9GL, the standards are not derived from the mechanisms but from the known effects. Which makes sense. For the purpose of determining safe levels, the mechanisms are unimportant -- only the effects matter. (Again, that's not to say the mechanisms aren't of interest in their own right.) I think they do extrapolate animal tests to the human body since I doubt anyone has yet volunteered to be slowly cooked by RF for scientific purposes.

> The IEEE/ANSI specs, I am convinced, were made to give a clean bill of
> health to cell-phones...but not Ham Radio VHF gear. Unfortunately it
> might take time, more research, and sadly some blind cancer victims
> (and their lawyers) for me to be able to say "I told you so".

...
In an earlier message you said:

> The leaders
> who evolve from liberal artists, lawyers, and religious leaders can not
> blaze any path of success through the world's scientific industrial
> competition and the serious problems that the future is sure to bring.
> They can talk;
> They can judge; They can pray...BUT they are not equipped to make
> decisions based on scientific facts, which can only be accepted when
> the proper logic is applied to the proper research.

Apparently you don't hold yourself to the same high standard since you are convinced of a conclusion that you admit cannot be supported by present scientific evidence. Hopefully, those non-scientist leaders will refrain from making scientific judgements on topics they are unqualified to evaluate. Maybe you should, too. And particularly, you should refrain from denigrating the professional abilities of people like N9GL when you clearly know nothing about them. You frequently proclaim that your views are based on science, but it's pretty clear in this case that prejudice is playing the bigger role in forming your opinion.

Jon

From -4223950089350027390
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 07:54:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 09:44:33 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A798461.CD3C8F1F@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76E8A4.CD47059E@IEEE.org> <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.88
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Server-Date: 1 Feb 2001 15:54:09 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14711

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Yep, when my three year old grandson grows up and becomes an Extra,
> >Dick will still be calling him a pseudoExtra.
>
> I'll call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" myself.  However,
> PseudoExtra does have a nice ring to it!  Kinda grows on you,
> doesn't it?

Here's a little guy who doesn't even know what amateur radio is
and you are going to call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" in
15 years when he gets the only Extra class license available
to him???? Are you trying to get him to hate older hams?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 8886507004317566437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 07:56:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 09:47:10 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.88
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 1 Feb 2001 15:56:43 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14712

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Just in case Dick didn't mean that, *I'll* say it -- and mean it!  So
> there!  What are you going to do about it?
```

So anyone who obtains the only Extra license available is a pseudoExtra?
What if he has a PhD in EE and can do 50wpm Morse code? Is he still a
pseudoExtra?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -2927782672082460590
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 08:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Long Delay Echos
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 15:49:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.67
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 01 15:49:53 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.67
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14713

Anyone have any experience with them?

73

Steve, K4YZ

--
http://www.qrz.com/k4yz

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He
Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4603382476546553665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 08:10:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!195.54.122.107!
newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!

nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 16:00:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 01 16:00:09 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14714

In article <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Anyone have any experience with them?

Good topic, but probably will reach more likely respondents in
rec.radio.amateur.misc or rec.radio.amateur.dx.

K0TO and I observed a persistent LDE (on and off over a period of >30
minutes) on 12 meters several years ago.

73, Hans
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7632107975231077631
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 09:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail

From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 1 Feb 2001 19:22:04 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 981055324 9954 205.139.138.14 (1 Feb 2001 19:22:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 1 Feb 2001 19:22:04 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14715

In article <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

>What if he has a PhD in EE and can do 50wpm Morse code....

Typical Cecilian troll -- sigh.

What does a PhD in EE have to do with amateur radio? (Keyword "amateur")

Besides, I thought your mythical Dr. Williamson didn't do Morse very well?

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

---

From 8068658957051233490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 10:17:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <ti9j7tcbnp0pcj3kjrufai018qmaqv1tbt@4ax.com>
References: <0lrh7t08jk6ovvgf2rk94tc5i1hdve4jd4@4ax.com>
<20010201063620.15050.00000246@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 18:17:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.137
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981051468 209.86.208.137 (Thu, 01 Feb
2001 10:17:48 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 10:17:48 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14716

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>When that [Novice] license is available, very few hams
>wanted to obtain it, so the FCC chose to drop it.

Of course my comment was a tongue in cheek view of the present state
of the CW mode. When the Novice license was created, it was a very
popular license indeed. It allowed thousands of beginning hams to
practice with *each other* while having the fun of being on the air.
You did not have to beg old timers to slow down just to nursemaid the
few and far between CW recruits that we have today...


From 4109194359617934129
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 10:17:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <k0aj7tgb7bc2jk8bvesqh3rm8vc37rifgt@4ax.com>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
```

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 18:17:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.137
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981051469 209.86.208.137 (Thu, 01 Feb 2001 10:17:49 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 10:17:49 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14717

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>We knew the type in grammar school; they are apparently still with us.

Translation: Larry is a bully.

>Don't be surprised if not many people want to follow you as you try to drag
>Amateur Radio down to your level, Larry.

Translation: Larry is a low level person.

>If you really feel this way, perhaps we would all be better off if you let your
>license expire and found something you can believe in to do.

Translation: Ham radio would be better off without Larry.

Gosh Ed, you didn't used to fight your battles by using personal
attacks. Why don't you just rebut with the facts...

From -5680612525704889967
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 10:17:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Message-ID: <el9j7tke9624ru6peg3fdkpkhc3pffs2rk@4ax.com>
References: <20010201044030.13655.00001884@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062455.15050.00000242@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 18:17:52 GMT

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.137
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981051472 209.86.208.137 (Thu, 01 Feb
2001 10:17:52 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 10:17:52 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14718

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>If you call THAT "harrassment," then take my advice -- NEVER
>>subscribe to Reader's Digest!  You'll get renewal notices at least
>>semi-weekly!  Nice magazine, always an interesting read -- but
>>when they started stuffing my mailbox with incessant renewal
>>notices, advertising, sweepstakes, etc. etc. ad infinitum, I went
>>back to reading it at the doctor's office!
>
>Now, does Readers Digest rank right up there with "Extra Lite" as one of your
>pet peeves, Larry?  Gosh, there is sure a lot wrong with the world, eh?

Baiting Larry on his Extra views Ed? A form of trolling? This post of
Larry's talks about magazine renewals and appears to have nothing to
do with the Extra argument...

>Is there anything important that bothers you?

Baiting Larry again??  Has Larry gotten so far under your skin that
you have abandoned all your professional posting habits?? For heavens
sakes remember your position...   :-)


From -10936265274027268
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 10:25:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g8346j0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net> <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>
<o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net> <Utvd6.398$zZ.433905@news02.optonline.net>
<3A76CBDF.3E69EEB9@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7zf875.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
```

```
<957gmt$va9$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g80yof0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<95a5vh$9bu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Lines: 26
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 18:26:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 981051972 206.58.44.12 (Thu, 01 Feb 2001 18:26:12
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 18:26:12 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14719 rec.radio.cb:24971

On Wed, 31 Jan 2001 23:09:44 GMT, Brian wrote:

>> >Sounds like the antiques in the old 20th Air Division, Air Defense
>> >Command, SAGE Blockhouse at Ft Lee AFS, VA.
>>
>>   SAGE used the FSQ-7, a forerunner of the FSQ-31.
>>
>> --
>>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>Can you tell me what SAGE meant?

  SAGE stood for "Semi-Automatic Ground Environment".

  For those here under the age of 60, SAGE was an Air Defense
  Radar and Tracking system of the 1950s era using lots of vaccum
  tubes and defense budget money to make the Systems Development
  Corporation very wealthy -- SDC was the "darling child" of the
  Air Force in those days who had the contract for the programming
  and data analysis on that system and others.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From 1802009672813988502
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 10:30:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
```

out.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 18:17:57 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <95c989$277$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.67
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 01 18:17:57 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.67
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14720

In article <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> Good topic, but probably will reach more likely respondents in
> rec.radio.amateur.misc or rec.radio.amateur.dx.

Thanks, Hans, and I will give them a whirl.

> K0TO and I observed a persistent LDE (on and off over a period of >30
> minutes) on 12 meters several years ago.

I am not even sure that what I heard WAS one, however I was hearing the last one or two dit's or dah's on 80 meters with signals about S-3. I listened on 40 but couldn't hear anything. I am not up on 160 at the moment so couldn't try that one.

I was hearing the return "dit" almost simultaneously as I go off the paddle (in full break-in).

Fun stuff.

73 and thanks, Hans.

Steve, K4YZ
>
> 73, Hans
> --
> "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
>   eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
>   to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
>   Morse."
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2543724416103661836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9fe8a4c12c440ac6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 10:57:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Good learning website
Date: 1 Feb 2001 18:57:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14721

Hey all you Novices and other Extras out there who might want to
upgrade your
knowledge, if not your license - check this one out...

http://tpub.com/neets/index.htm

Dick

From 4192086835524225342
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 10:59:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 1 Feb 2001 18:59:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <95cbme$ojp$1@208.206.142.95>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com> <20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14722

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >I'll call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" myself. However,
> >PseudoExtra does have a nice ring to it! Kinda grows on you,
> >doesn't it?
>
> Another one trying to tear down ham radio to be "right" in a debate.

Ed: No one is trying to tear anything down - it's already down!

From -5895352221575417388
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 11:01:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 1 Feb 2001 19:01:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <95cbps$ojp$2@208.206.142.95>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14723

Cecil wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Just in case Dick didn't mean that, *I'll* say it -- and mean it! So
> > there! What are you going to do about it?
>
> So anyone who obtains the only Extra license available is a pseudoExtra?
> What if he has a PhD in EE and can do 50wpm Morse code? Is he still a
> pseudoExtra?

Cecil fits the data to the circumstances, yet again.

Find one then we'll discuss it. Dare ya.

From -336040438973446174
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3033aeccdf4d9a40
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 11:01:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC's WARC 2003 Web site
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 10:59:03 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3A79B1F7.CFF11577@uci.edu>
References: <t7gkrti3qpu401@corp.supernews.com> <959m6j$pvd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t7h2rq8k1gsqff@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14724

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> I would think anyone interested in radio as a
> hobby would want to stay informed, even if it is just "educational".

The point is, Leland, when you crosspost these things to a list of discussion groups, the responses to your 'spam' then also go out to all of the groups you listed. Since these are discussion groups, people will respond to your posts, and in many cases unknowingly spam the same list of groups you did. What happens is we end up with hams posting to CB groups, and CB enthusiasts posting to ham groups, and each of the groups ends up with four times the normal amount of posts in a given thread, and FRS users get to find out how big an Ahole joe ham is. So if you feel you absolutely must post to all of these groups, then it would be more considerate to everyone for you to take 5 minutes of extra time and post to each of the groups individually.

Thanks,

AC6XG

From 6075220380512544895
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 11:06:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 12:56:59 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A79B17B.80A8A649@IEEE.org>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com> <20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95cbme$ojp$1@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.46
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 1 Feb 2001 19:07:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14725

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Ed: No one is trying to tear anything down - it's already down!

Oh? I haven't noticed a lot of difference besides upgrades. Are hoards of CBers and freebanders still going to invade the ARS?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3600529708575370747

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 11:13:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 13:03:22 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3A79B2FA.F1D3194D@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cbps$ojp$2@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.46
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 1 Feb 2001 19:13:01 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14726

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > Just in case Dick didn't mean that, *I'll* say it -- and mean it!  So
> > > there!  What are you going to do about it?
> >
> > So anyone who obtains the only Extra license available is a pseudoExtra?
> > What if he has a PhD in EE and can do 50wpm Morse code? Is he still a
> > pseudoExtra?
>
> Cecil fits the data to the circumstances, yet again.
> Find one then we'll discuss it. Dare ya.

I know a few pre-2000 Extras who are also PhDs. It doesn't take much
imagination to conceive of some post-2000 Extras with PhDs who know
Morse code. So would you please answer the question instead of evading
it? I'm sure there are Generals who can do 20+ wpm and are upgrading
to Extra as we speak. Exactly how can these "pseudoExtras" prove to
you that they are faster at Morse code than you are?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -3655722998909663522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 11:15:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 13:06:12 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.46
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 1 Feb 2001 19:15:44 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14727

K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:
>
> In article <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>
> >What if he has a PhD in EE and can do 50wpm Morse code....
>
> Typical Cecilian troll -- sigh.
>
> What does a PhD in EE have to do with amateur radio? (Keyword "amateur")

I suspect PhDs in EE know a lot more about "amateur" radios than you do.

> Besides, I thought your mythical Dr. Williamson didn't do Morse very well?

I know a few PhDs who are Extras. Why is it such a stretch to conceive of
a General who does 20+ wpm upgrading to Extra in 2001?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 9037085259874952330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9fe8a4c12c440ac6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 11:23:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Good learning website
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 13:13:01 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A79B53D.36B35CF7@IEEE.org>
References: <95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.46
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 1 Feb 2001 19:23:15 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14728

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
>  Hey all you Novices and other Extras out there who might want to
> upgrade your knowledge, if not your license - check this one out...
>
> http://tpub.com/neets/index.htm

Hey Dick, I thought you (and others) said Electrical Engineering
has nothing to do with "Amateur" Radio.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -20708578856868216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 11:32:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 12:33:34 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A79BA0E.B464A27E@firstinter.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>
<956o9t$74g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7704BE.E71F049F@arrl.org>
<95adgt$g4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 70
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14729

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> >
> > Yes and this is one time I won't say "Speak for yourself Jon". You and
> > N9GL had better read the very latest research on the cancerous eye
> > damage attributed to cellular telephone RF. I should have saved the
> > references that I had read. The research was done in UK.
> > The mechanism and the effects are known and established.
>
> I didn't say they weren't. I only said that according to N9GL, the
> standards are not derived from the mechanisms but from the known
> effects. Which makes sense. For the purpose of determining safe levels,
> the mechanisms are unimportant -- only the effects matter. (Again,
> that's not to say the mechanisms aren't of interest in their own right.)
> I think they do extrapolate animal tests to the human body since I doubt
> anyone has yet volunteered to be slowly cooked by RF for scientific
> purposes.
>
> > The IEEE/ANSI specs, I am convinced, were made to give a clean bill of
> > health to cell-phones...but not Ham Radio VHF gear. Unfortunately it
> > might take time, more research, and sadly some blind cancer victims
> > (and their lawyers) for me to be able to say "I told you so".
> ...
> In an earlier message you said:
> > The leaders
> > who evolve from liberal artists, lawyers, and religious leaders can not
> > blaze any path of success through the world's scientific industrial
> > competition and the serious problems that the future is sure to bring.
> > They can talk;
> > They can judge; They can pray...BUT they are not equipped to make
> > decisions based on scientific facts, which can only be accepted when
> > the proper logic is applied to the proper research.
>
> Apparently you don't hold yourself to the same high standard since you
> are convinced of a conclusion that you admit cannot be supported by
> present scientific evidence. Hopefully, those non-scientist leaders will
> refrain from making scientific judgements on topics they are unqualified
> to evaluate. Maybe you should, too. And particularly, you should refrain
> from denigrating the professional abilities of people like N9GL when you
> clearly know nothing about them. You frequently proclaim that your views
> are based on science, but it's pretty clear in this case that prejudice
> is playing the bigger role in forming your opinion.
>
> Jon

In defense of the ANSI/IEEE standard, it was promulgated in 1992, based
upon research over the previous four years. A lot of new research has
emerged in the past 9-10 years in this field, that may yield a new

iteration of the standard in a few years. The old standard was based upon a number of criteria, including whole-body resonance frequencies, and particularly human head resonance for optimal SAR. There are a lot of variables as to which organs are the most vulnerable of course, and the researchers tried to include all these in the standard, which is generally considered somewhere between a factor of 2, and a factor of 10 below the threshold of tissue damage. If new research on the eyes contradicts these earlier findings, so be it. We can adjust the standard a bit as we go to ensure (almost) total safety. There are web sites out there that list the power densities from the most common cell/pcs phones on the market. A person might be well advised to review those before making their next purchase.

Summary: This is still not an exact science; we are still learning. Even if we erred, we thought, on the conservative side, we may find other effects that we overlooked before that will have to be taken into account in the future, including non-thermal effects.

Rick Tannehill - W7RT

From -4975315775886090370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 18:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 01:51:15 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9556mg$vhi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com> <t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1> <t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com> <94sdsl$3k6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A724BC0.61ABA1B@aznet.net> <94vutn$fo1$0@208.206.142.105> <952shh$vt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 01:51:15 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14578

In article <952shh$vt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> In article <94vutn$fo1$0@208.206.142.105>,
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > Marconi always considered himself a ham although he never held a ham

> > radio license.
>
> Lotsa guys like that hanging out just below 10 meters.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

You're not telling CB Dick anything new.

Gosh, I hope I'm not equating Dick with Marconi.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2524793409788466443
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 18:02:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 01:52:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9556pb$vik$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9528dm$gcn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010128222026.04710.00000132@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 01:52:46 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14579

In article <20010128222026.04710.00000132@ng-ff1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>
> >I thought the idea was to be "equal" with the other modes.
>
> It is. The testing for the other modes is somewhat related to the
relative
> importance of the mode to amateur radio.
>
> If you think I was saying that all modes must be tested in equal
percentages,
> you misunderstood.

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Equitable?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5678153056903867216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 18:07:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A76211E.70971D91@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com> <952m6o$6a0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7whjr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3A754991.D193138@dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xl8q5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 37
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 21:04:14 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.74
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980820226 206.20.144.74 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 20:03:46
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 20:03:46 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14580

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Mon, 29 Jan 2001 05:44:33 -0500, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >The 'lectric company here made money on excess capacity back
> >then thanks to the foibles of regulation and now they can't so
> >they took the excess capacity offline real quick but it's still
> >out there.
>
>   Get them turbines spinnin'.....

>
>   (My wife was one of the engineering types who did the Limerick
>   expansion design some 30 years ago....)

>
Whatta monster project that thing was . . it's online and cranking. It
pretty much defines the state of the art as that art was frozen in time
back then. Ya can navigate by it's cooling tower plumes 20 miles out in
any direction.
>

>
>   What ever happened to Electric Company bonds which guaranteed a
>   regulated 6% income in good times and bad?

>
Beats me, now that he's out and duly pardoned Mikey Milken might tell
you wha hoppen to 'em.
>

> --
>   73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

>
w3rv

From -3125690769039341913
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 18:11:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 01:58:35 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <955747$vu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<3a748bc8.15291834@news.mindspring.com> <952sco$fo7$0@208.207.71.165>
<953l1c$i2j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <953ur8$pg9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 01:58:35 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14581

```
In article <953ur8$pg9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> In article <953l1c$i2j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> >
> > If you want to get saved, you'll have to learn to use phone.
> >
>
> Naw, that won't work.  You have to get your sinful ass baptized,
> go to church often, and don't make fun of George Duh-bya Shrub.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Is God authorized phone?  Will he accept two outta three?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 5923763559399266118
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 18:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A76237A.E607C32C@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <952peo$87t$0@208.207.71.165>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkny3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<PZjd6.931$9m.3490191@nntp3.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 41
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 21:14:19 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.74
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980820831 206.20.144.74 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 20:13:51
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 20:13:51 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14582


Richard McCollum wrote:
```

>
> Perhaps the biggest single mistake regulators and politicians make is to
> assume consumers are idiots. Unfortunately, it is true that most viewers
> are brighter than Barbie and Ken doing the local TV news.

>
I'm about as pro-nuke as they come and I agree with you as far as you took it.
But there are two additional problems involved. First is the radiation
exposure paranoia (it says here) held by the Greens which was the primary
reason nuke-building was stopped. They have Barbie and Ken in their back
pockets. Chernobyl hasn't helped. Huge obstacle.
>
The second is the outrageous upfront engineering charges. The engineering of
every one of the nukes in this country was essentially done on the basis of
completely reinventing the wheel every time a new one was built. My
recollection is that the tab for the engineering of the Limerick plant was one
BILLION dollars. Never mind that dozens of nukes had already been online for
years before Limerick ever got to the drawing board stage. I'm in the
industrial mechanical design & build biz, I know bullshit when I see it, a
billion dollars +/- for a stack of drawings times however many nukes we have
is nothing short of one of the most monumental scams ever foisted on the
populace.
>
Of course my simplifcations can be argued over the horizon at the nit-picking
level.
>
The French did it right. They developed and locked down a complete design
package for a decent national-standard nuke and replicated the design
cookie-cutter style all over their map and buttered the one-time and done
engineering costs over the entire fleet. Today 60% of the power consumed in
France is provided by those cookie-cutter nukes.
>

> Dick N�BK

>
w3rv

From 3602314369885939 75
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 18:22:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 02:07:19 GMT
Organization: Deja.com

Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9557kj$6t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<3a748bc8.15291834@news.mindspring.com> <952sco$fo7$0@208.207.71.165>
<953l1c$i2j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7xka02.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 02:07:19 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14583

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g7xka02.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
  "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> On Mon, 29 Jan 2001 11:43:41 GMT, Brian wrote:
>
> >Nevermind that even the professional emergency communicators have
> >abandoned code long ago. If you like, I'll dig out the website where
> >you can listen to the last holdout, the US Coast Guard's last CW
> >transmission.
>
>   The last holdout was the closedown transmission from Marine
>   Coast Station KFS, Bolinas/Point Reyes, CA, on July 12, 1999 at
>   2359 GMT:
>
> DE KFS = THIS IS THE FINAL CW TRANSMISSION FROM STATION KFS -
> THE LAST COMMERCIAL RADIOTELEGRAPH STATION IN NORTH AMERICA.
> APPROPRIATELY, WE CLOSE CW AND EMBARK ON A NEW ERA OF
> COMMUNICATION WITH SAMUEL F.B. MORSE'S WORDS OF 155 YER ?
> YEARS AGO = NW CL 73 = WHAT HATH GOD WROUGHT = DE KFS
>
> --
>   73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

Thank you Phil.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -873200607522874676
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 18:24:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution

```
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 02:11:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9557t6$ia$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3a738779.5105449@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128082451.21683.00001750@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<3a748bc8.15291834@news.mindspring.com> <952sco$fo7$0@208.207.71.165>
<953l1c$i2j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <955504$f3a$2@208.207.71.178>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 02:11:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14584

In article <955504$f3a$2@208.207.71.178>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > If you want to get saved, you'll have to learn to use phone.
>
>  You babbling again, Magpie? Who even so much as mentioned code? Sohl
> doesn't even know about emergency SSB nets.
>

Metamucel not working?  How about a garden hose?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -1547056681136056932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 18:30:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Rick Tannehill <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 19:28:56 -0700
Organization: R.L. Tannehill, P.E. & Assoc.
Message-ID: <3A7626E8.10D2F285@firstinter.net>
Reply-To: rickw7rt@firstinter.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <3A6F6D0E.34DDFBCC@home.com> <20010124190145.18765.00001188@ng-
da1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
```

Lines: 23
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14585

National Geographic begins sending me renewal notices in July, and sends at least one a month until I renew. I call that harrassment, way beyond bother :)

Rick T.
W7RT

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: GAR GARIGUE garigue@home.com
>
> >You don't get bothered 4 months before your subcription is up.
>
> What would bother me is if they didn't ask me to renew until 4 months AFTER my
> subscription was up. :-)
>
> Actually, the other magazines to which I subscribe have about the same time
> frame. If everybody else gave you 4 months to pay a bill, would you call it a
> bother? :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6101283842470769009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 18:42:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 02:29:24 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <9558ts$1et$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <950014$jb2$0@208.206.142.105> <20010128083130.21683.00001752@ng-mj1.aol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7vw6l4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <952rma$bjp$0@208.207.71.165>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 02:29:24 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14586

In article <952rma$bjp$0@208.207.71.165>,

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > On 28 Jan 2001 13:31:30 GMT, W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> > >
> > >>QFU isn't on my Q-signal list. I've never heard it on the air.
> > >
> > >I have heard it on the air and I didn't need a list to know exactly what it
> > >meant.
> >
> >   QFU is in the series assigned to the Aeronautical Services -
> >   that series does not appear in the ITU Radio Regs so I don't
> >   know its exact (legal) meaning.
> >
>
> See, Ed? I told you it was legal! You can't depend on a codefree ham
> like Brian for dependable information about radiotelegraph operations.

Poor CB Dick. That's the real problem with lies. There's no basis in fact, thus they're not worth remembering. And Dick can't remember what he says from one moment to the next.

Here's what he said:

"I dunno. QFU isn't on my Q-signal list. I've never heard it on the air. Maybe he's coining a new one. Maybe that's another urban legend."

He goes all the way from "I don't know" and "Its not on my list" to "See Ed? I told you it was legal."

And of course he knows I've always been coded.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5657035254407569735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 18:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 02:42:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9559mk$21s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <950014$jb2$0@208.206.142.105> <20010128083130.21683.00001752@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <952pmo$87t$1@208.207.71.165>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 02:42:30 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14587

In article <952pmo$87t$1@208.207.71.165>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >QFU isn't on my Q-signal list. I've never heard it on the air.
> >
> > I have heard it on the air and I didn't need a list to know exactly
what it
> > meant.
>
>  No, you assumed you knew what it meant.

Hey Dick, your sense of loyalty to the Morse Myth is QFUBAR. Nobody's
buying it.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -8968589065368860909
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 19:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Amateur license renewals lost by FCC
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 22:17:51 -0500
Organization: acme
```

```
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <955caq$8dt$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <94sqet$3en$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A722EB6.712F36E9@some.net>
<94tiko$3tm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A750150.26BB6EA1@some.net>
<953tje$oeb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A75B0F7.7FA98CEA@some.net>
<954hoa$bqp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-03-14.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 980825242 8637 209.43.66.126 (30 Jan 2001 03:27:22
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Jan 2001 03:27:22 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11764
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14588

Shut up moron. Go back to your mommy and get your welfare check.

"KC8JBO" <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:954hoa$bqp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A75B0F7.7FA98CEA@some.net>,
> Sheep fucker  JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> > We all know you really want to become a wit, well keep trying, you're
> half
> > way there.
>
> And that's still WAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAY above what you could ever acheive,
> kiddie porn merchant.
>
> > KC8JBO wrote:
> >
> > > In article <3A750150.26BB6EA1@some.net>,
> > >   JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> > > > Oh you hurt my widdle feelings!!
> > >
> > > Good. Now go back down to the street corner and sell crack coaciane
> to
> > > the kids, which is what you do for a living.
> >
> >
>
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
```

```
From 7068941593732603511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 20:40:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!nwnews.wa.com!brokaw.wa.com!not-
for-mail
From: kh2d@my-deja.com (Jim)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 04:28:59 GMT
Organization: WinStar NorthWest Nexus
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3a7642c6.385656@news.guam.net>
References: <94vmle$jkg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<YuYc6.3268$2t5.293747@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<951pc7$htt$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <3a75d123.0@oracle.zianet.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xu9w1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pacrimgolf.kuentos.guam.net
X-Trace: brokaw.wa.com 980828945 28343 202.128.8.252 (30 Jan 2001 04:29:05 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Jan 2001 04:29:05 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14589
```

On Mon, 29 Jan 2001 22:16:25 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

```
>On Sun, 28 Jan 2001 18:26:35 -0700, Ed Juge/NM wrote:
>
>>I agree with Ed.  This isn't the ng for code discussions.
>
>  Depends on where "this" is. I'm  reading it and posting this
>  reply in rec.radio.amateur.policy which IS "the place".
>
>  It's too bad that the message headers don't indicate which
>  group the message being replied to was read from.
>
>  (This will be my last crosspost to the other groups about CW,
>  though.)
>
>--
>  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
```

Thanks, Phil. That's good, cuz some of us just don't care
anymore. We are hiding in other newsgroups :-)

73, Jim KH2D

From 3588230595085609369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 20:52:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Jan 2001 04:50:44 GMT
References: <3A76237A.E607C32C@dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Message-ID: <20010129235044.22631.00001492@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14590

In article <3A76237A.E607C32C@dvol.com>, Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com> writes:

>The French did it right. They developed and locked down a complete design
>package for a decent national-standard nuke and replicated the design
>cookie-cutter style all over their map and buttered the one-time and done
>engineering costs over the entire fleet. Today 60% of the power consumed in
>France is provided by those cookie-cutter nukes.

More like 75 percent. [Source: World Almanac 2001, 59 reactors producing 63,105 MWe, 1999] France is also the center for Europe's nuclear fuel reprocessing.

Paris is often spoken of as "the city of lights." Maybe nowadays it glows in the dark from another cause? :-)

Interesting part is that the USA has 104 reactors producing 97,145 MWe which is only 19.54% of total USA electric power in 1999.

didit

From 6763979652852745879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 20:54:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Jan 2001 04:50:42 GMT
References: <Rfid6.9$HH5.40127@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Message-ID: <20010129235042.22631.00001491@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14591

In article <Rfid6.9$HH5.40127@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>I have found the incorrect useage of the term liar to be confusing. It
>appears you do not want to change your belief in what the word means, or at
>least you do not feel it necessary to use the word correctly, so I will
>simply assume you mean "incorrect" or "wrong" when you use the term "liar"
>or "lie." It is no big deal, but please do forgive me if I forget to keep
>track of your special meaning of the word.

"1984" is alive and well in Tennessee, complete with "Truthspeak"
(or whatever it was called).

In reality this "incorrect" label of a "lie" is merely a statement of
a Belief System so strong that it becomes the center of the
known world for a Believer. Such a Believer probably uses an
Icon radio rather than an Icom.   :-)

If anyone gave him a signal report lower than "599" this Believer
would hop up and down screaming "LIAR! LIAR!"   :-)

didit

From 2954100490465693135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 21:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!nntp.flash.net!news.flash.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010127213831.00714.00004493@nso-md.aol.com> <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu>
<3A7613F6.882A1C23@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Lines: 9
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 05:23:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.48.222.135
X-Complaints-To: abuse@flash.net
X-Trace: news.flash.net 980832224 64.48.222.135 (Mon, 29 Jan 2001 23:23:44 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 23:23:44 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14592

And that means?

Cecil wrote:

> The only difference now is that we have
> 5wpm Generals instead of 5wpm Novices.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -8776365022881768679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 21:58:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 23:48:07 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A765597.823F0781@IEEE.org>
References: <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com> <20010127213831.00714.00004493@nso-md.aol.com> <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu> <3A7613F6.882A1C23@IEEE.org> <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.a2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 05:57:44 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14593

JR wrote:
> And that means?

It means that Generals can do now exactly what Novices did in the 1950s - start out at 5wpm and speed up over time. For some reason known only to Larry, he says over and over that it can't be done even though hundreds of thousands of hams have done exactly that.

```
> Cecil wrote:
> > The only difference now is that we have
> > 5wpm Generals instead of 5wpm Novices.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -589467139874577245
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 22:04:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: Mon, 29 Jan 2001 23:51:16 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A765654.9E1CB3F5@IEEE.org>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com> <952m6o$6a0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7whjr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <952tai$i2q$0@208.207.71.165>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkxb4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9555rr$i78$0@208.207.71.178>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.a2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 06:01:28 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14594

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  To repeat what other notables have said, "I didn't leave the Democratic
> party, it left me".

Did you hear about the china plates disappearing from Air Force One
during/after Clinton's flight to New York, and now appearing for
sale on EBAY?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -5640715020190408350
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 22:13:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!bignews.mediaways.net!unlisys!news.snafu.de!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 30 Jan 2001 06:12:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <955m0t$t40$0@208.207.71.178>
References: <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010127213831.00714.00004493@nso-md.aol.com> <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu>
<3A7613F6.882A1C23@IEEE.org> <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.178
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14595

It means we also have 5wpm pseudoExtras.

JR wrote:
>
> And that means?
>
> Cecil wrote:
>
> > The only difference now is that we have
> > 5wpm Generals instead of 5wpm Novices.
> > --
> > http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8335129781016084654
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 22:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 00:03:49 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A765945.CD526FD6@IEEE.org>
References: <952ppk$87t$2@208.207.71.165> <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <95554s$f3a$3@208.207.71.178>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.a2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 06:11:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14596

Dick Carroll wrote:
> To answer a question with a question, why is it that 5wpm code test is
> being fought just as hard by the codebashing lazies just as hard as the
> 20wpm was?

Why should reducing the required speed make an outmoded requirement
any more acceptable? It's like a hazing ritual being reduced from
four licks to one lick.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7126148533815665982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 22:19:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Date: 30 Jan 2001 06:18:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <955mb9$124$0@208.207.71.178>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com> <952m6o$6a0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7whjr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <952tai$i2q$0@208.207.71.165>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkxb4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9555rr$i78$0@208.207.71.178>
<3A765654.9E1CB3F5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.178
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14597

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > To repeat what other notables have said, "I didn't leave the Democratic
> > party, it left me".
>
> Did you hear about the china plates disappearing from Air Force One
> during/after Clinton's flight to New York, and now appearing for
> sale on EBAY?

Yep, Fox News reports that Clintoon's squad stripped it clean of all linen, dishes, silverware, everything that wasn't nailed down too tight to move, once it landed in New York. But they are NOT crooks, you unnerstand.
I think the people of Arkansas understand, too.

Once it becomes clear just what he sold to China he will have some sereious answering to do that can't be brushed aside with "depends on what the definition of 'is' is".

From 1894388388826005589
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-29 22:27:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 00:18:06 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A765C9E.92749B54@IEEE.org>
References: <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com> <20010127213831.00714.00004493@nso-md.aol.com> <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu> <3A7613F6.882A1C23@IEEE.org> <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <955m0t$t40$0@208.207.71.178>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.a2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 06:27:39 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14598

Dick Carroll wrote:
> It means we also have 5wpm pseudoExtras.

It means that any HF ham who wants to, can start out on the air
at 5wpm and increase his code speed over time to the extent
of his/her capabilities, just like I (and probably you) did.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -379824929298280960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 00:33:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com> <1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <952peo$87t$0@208.207.71.165> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkny3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <PZjd6.931$9m.3490191@nntp3.onemain.com> <3A76237A.E607C32C@dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 73
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <5Zud6.180$vk.173196@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 02:32:31 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.208
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 980843457 208.154.158.208 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 03:30:57 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 03:30:57 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14599

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3A76237A.E607C32C@dvol.com...
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> >
> > Perhaps the biggest single mistake regulators and politicians make is
to
> > assume consumers are idiots. Unfortunately, it is true that most
viewers
> > are brighter than Barbie and Ken doing the local TV news.
>
> >
> I'm about as pro-nuke as they come and I agree with you as far as you
took it.
> But there are two additional problems involved. First is the radiation

> exposure paranoia (it says here) held by the Greens which was the
primary
> reason nuke-building was stopped. They have Barbie and Ken in their back
> pockets. Chernobyl hasn't helped. Huge obstacle.
> >
> The second is the outrageous upfront engineering charges. The
engineering of
> every one of the nukes in this country was essentially done on the basis
of
> completely reinventing the wheel every time a new one was built. My
> recollection is that the tab for the engineering of the Limerick plant
was one
> BILLION dollars. Never mind that dozens of nukes had already been online
for
> years before Limerick ever got to the drawing board stage. I'm in the
> industrial mechanical design & build biz, I know bullshit when I see it,
a
> billion dollars +/- for a stack of drawings times however many nukes we
have
> is nothing short of one of the most monumental scams ever foisted on the
> populace.
> >
> Of course my simplifcations can be argued over the horizon at the
nit-picking
> level.
> >
> The French did it right. They developed and locked down a complete
design
> package for a decent national-standard nuke and replicated the design
> cookie-cutter style all over their map and buttered the one-time and
done
> engineering costs over the entire fleet. Today 60% of the power consumed
in
> France is provided by those cookie-cutter nukes.
> >
>
> > Dick N�BK
>
> >
> w3rv
>

There were several standard designs outside the US, remember the Candu? A
certain amount of that is power company people: coal installations had the
same problem. Kind of like OTR tractors, some like Cummins, some like
Cat. Probably some need to make everything fit the company theme but you
are 100% correct.

Construction unions tended to view a nuke as an opportunity to cut a melon
as well. Bruno and Guido even got into the act. When things are built in
remoter areas the local sheriff is buyable for no better reason that he
don't stand a prayer anyway.

Dick

From -8418068262479023829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 01:11:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news01.optonline.net!
news02.optonline.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Train" <cbmods@optonline.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net> <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>
<o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net>
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Utvd6.398$zZ.433905@news02.optonline.net>
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 09:05:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.191.205.188
X-Trace: news02.optonline.net 980845556 24.191.205.188 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 04:05:56
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 04:05:56 EST
Organization: Optimum Online
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:24565 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14600

You're giving me nightmares just thinking back to sitting at the "console"
of the 360 system. Ran one of those puppies back in about 1980 (or was it
1890) when they cost so damn much money that they were timeshared.........My
how things have changed!
Train
don <kg9qm@nomail.net> wrote in message
news:o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net...
> Steve Ballmer may succeed where Bill Gates floundered. Linux is catching
up to
> Windows but is far from having it "caught". Windows has always been "a
piece
> of crap" in the eyes of some, but that's true for any O/S, too.
>
> Having done punch cards on IBM 1620s and System/360 (when a "green card"
was a
> programer reference card), I can tell you what "a piece of crap" REALLY

```
is!
> (cringe)
>
> ;-) don
>
>
> In article <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org was of the
> opinion...
> >
> >JR wrote:
> >> Amen, Windows is a piece of crap. IBM OS/2 was much more stable, too
bad
> they
> >> could not market it properly.
> >
> >Linux may succeed where OS/2 failed.
> >--
> >http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
```

```
From -9550801334213O7041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 01:18:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!feeder.qis.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net> <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>
<o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net>
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <5Dvd6.197$2Y.154696@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 03:17:38 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.208
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 980846145 208.154.158.208 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 04:15:45
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 04:15:45 EST
```

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:24567 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14601


"don" <kg9qm@nomail.net> wrote in message
news:o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net...
> Steve Ballmer may succeed where Bill Gates floundered.  Linux is
catching up to
> Windows but is far from having it "caught".  Windows has always been "a
piece
> of crap" in the eyes of some, but that's true for any O/S, too.
>
> Having done punch cards on IBM 1620s and System/360 (when a "green card"
was a
> programer reference card), I can tell you what "a piece of crap" REALLY
is!
> (cringe)
>
> ;-) don
>

Check stop!

If you had the single RAMAC disk on a 1620 it was a 1710.

OS360 came out when the Kingston Trio was big.  Became known as the
operating system that never returned.  Spent so much time housekeeping
that it only rarely came around to do any useful work.  Now that was
bloatware.





From 5913202586715733068
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 01:31:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7689D4.D690B38F@dvol.com>
From: Brian Kelly <kelly@dvol.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <952peo$87t$0@208.207.71.165>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkny3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<PZjd6.931$9m.3490191@nntp3.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 34
```

```
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 04:31:00 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.20.144.37
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 980847030 206.20.144.37 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 03:30:30
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 03:30:30 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14602


Richard McCollum wrote:

>
> Perhaps the biggest single mistake regulators and politicians make is to
> assume consumers are idiots.  Unfortunately, it is true that most viewers
> are brighter than Barbie and Ken doing the local TV news.

>
I'm about as pro-nuke as they come and I agree with you as far as you took it.
But there are two additional problems involved. First is the radiation
exposure paranoia (it says here) held by the Greens which was the primary
reason nuke-building was stopped. They have Barbie and Ken in their back
pockets. Chernobyl hasn't helped. Huge obstacle.
>
The second is the outrageous upfront engineering charges. The engineering of
every one of the nukes in this country was essentially done on the basis of
just about completely reinventing the wheel every time a new one was built. My
recollection is that the tab for the engineering of the Limerick plant was one
BILLION dollars. Never mind that dozens of nukes had already been online for
years before Limerick ever got to the drawing board stage. I'm in the
industrial mechanical design & build biz, I know bullshit when I see it, a
billion dollars +/- for a stack of drawings times however many nukes we have
is nothing short of one of the most monumental scams ever foisted on the
populace.
>
The French did it right. They developed and locked down a complete design
package for a decent nuke and replicated the design cookie-cutter style all
over their map. Today 60% of the power consumed in France is provided by those
nukes .
>

> Dick N�BK




From 1036094538214340076
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,76ebfe2d470c24b6
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 02:02:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!
feed.cgocable.net!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
```

From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Amateur license renewals lost by FCC
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 09:50:40 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <9562pe$lbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94sqet$3en$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A722EB6.712F36E9@some.net>
<94tiko$3tm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A750150.26BB6EA1@some.net>
<953tje$oeb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A75B0F7.7FA98CEA@some.net>
<954hoa$bqp$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <955caq$8dt$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.150.29.129
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 09:50:40 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/5.05 [subdimension.com] (X11; I; Linux 2.4.34 i886)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.150.29.129
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11772
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14603

Bucktoothed CHOAD "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> assslurped in message
news:955caq$8dt$1@dagobah.blueriver.net...
> Shut up moron.

Make me shut up, anonymous, sqwats to take a piss COWARD.

If stupidity were contagious, you'd be sitting bare-ass naked in a petri dish, deep-frozen in liquid nitrogen, in a nuke-proof room with seventeen foot concrete walls buried three miles under the Mojave Desert. And I still wouldn't feel entirely safe.

>Go back to your mommy and get your welfare check.

Ack! Oof! Another yo mama flame! Oh no, things are looking grim for me, folks! BWAHAHAHAHA!

I guess I'll have to indulge you so here's mine:
Go back to sucking your Mommy's hairy dick and get your FOOD STAMPS, kiddie porn collector.

It must be because you're living on that old landfill that you're already dead.
So FUCK off and ROT!!!!

> "KC8JBO" <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:954hoa$bqp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <3A75B0F7.7FA98CEA@some.net>,
> > Sheep fucker JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> > > We all know you really want to become a wit, well keep trying, you're half
> > > way there.

> >
> > And that's still WAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAY above what you could ever
acheive,
> > kiddie porn merchant.
> >
> > > KC8JBO wrote:
> > >
> > > > In article <3A750150.26BB6EA1@some.net>,
> > > >   JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> > > > > Oh you hurt my widdle feelings!!
> > > >
> > > > Good. Now go back down to the street corner and sell crack
cocaine to
> > > > the kids, which is what you do for a living.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7127284627195686070
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 03:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 10:51:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9566am$ntb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <950la5$e8b$0@208.207.71.134> <20010128085505.21683.00001756@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9554je$f3a$0@208.207.71.178>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 10:51:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14604

In article <9554je$f3a$0@208.207.71.178>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>

>
> W1RFI wrote:

> > I disagree with you
> > that Morse code use is unable to stand on its own merits.
>
> You lose.

Dick, I think you just implied that Morse code use is unable to stand on
its own merits, and requires a government support system to keep it
alive. How sad that you have wasted years of your life on the Morse
argument and you came to the same conclusion that anyone with even half
a brain could see. Could it be that you have less than half a brain?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3286029950242097104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 04:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
skynet.be!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 12:11:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <956b2b$rac$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94upi8$tba$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127201536.15119.00002081@ng-
cs1.aol.com> <953pnq$lge$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <Rfid6.9$HH5.40127@news.ntplx.net>
<954v9p$p1u$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A760C23.8B08F6D6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.59
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 12:11:59 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.59
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14605

In article <3A760C23.8B08F6D6@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> So if you don't tell the truth about your latest BM, you are
> lying? You must have a strange life. :-)

If you'd really like to know, I will tell you via private e mail,
Cecil, but I think that wanting to know about someone's
gaastrointestinal functions (or dysfunction as the case may be)outside

of clinical interest is even STRANGER!
--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2608573198575892161
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 04:30:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
63.208.208.143.MISMATCH!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
dc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 12:15:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <956b9e$rk7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <Rfid6.9$HH5.40127@news.ntplx.net> <20010129235042.22631.00001491@nso-fz.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.59
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 12:15:46 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.59
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14606

In article <20010129235042.22631.00001491@nso-fz.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> "1984" is alive and well in Tennessee, complete with "Truthspeak"
> (or whatever it was called).

If you were well read, you'd know what it was. Personally, I think George Orwell was a genius.

As for "1984" being alive and well in Tennessee, I think you are into the pot/kettle/black mode again, Lennie. You are The Master of the re-worked word and you revel in it. Without it, you'd have nothing to sling in this forum.

Your "Creep Mode" is only at 4.3 this morning Lennie. Try again. You have done better.

--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3412585727774364694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dab8b9112c46faf8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 06:17:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: VHF history (long) (was: any ideas on HOw to learn Morse
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 08:07:21 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A76CA99.5BF7B206@IEEE.org>
References: <94upi8$tba$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010127201536.15119.00002081@ng-cs1.aol.com> <953pnq$lge$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <Rfid6.9$HH5.40127@news.ntplx.net> <954v9p$p1u$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A760C23.8B08F6D6@IEEE.org> <956b2b$rac$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.db
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 14:16:15 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14607

Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
>
>   Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > So if you don't tell the truth about your latest BM, you are
> > lying? You must have a strange life. :-)
>
>   If you'd really like to know, I will tell you via private e mail,
> Cecil, but I think that wanting to know about someone's
> gaastrointestinal functions (or dysfunction as the case may be)outside
> of clinical interest is even STRANGER!

Point is, Steve, that you don't tell the truth about your latest BM.
Being silent on a subject does NOT mean that one is lying.
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -7613129728334185498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 06:22:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 08:12:47 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A76CBDF.3E69EEB9@IEEE.org>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net> <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>
<o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net> <Utvd6.398$zZ.433905@news02.optonline.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.db
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 14:22:58 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.cb:24589 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14608

Train wrote:
> You're giving me nightmares just thinking back to sitting at the "console"
> of the 360 system. Ran one of those puppies back in about 1980 (or was it
> 1890) when they cost so damn much money that they were timeshared.........My
> how things have changed!

You guys are still wet behind the ears. Did you ever use an IBM650?
Magnetic drum with thousands of vacuum tubes and tons of air-conditioning.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1595815009086360757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 06:27:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!

newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 08:17:42 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A76CD06.FC0FCEF@IEEE.org>
References: <950la5$e8b$0@208.207.71.134> <20010128085505.21683.00001756@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9554je$f3a$0@208.207.71.178>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.db
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 14:26:36 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14609

Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I disagree with you that Morse code use is unable to stand on its own merits.
>
> You lose.

Things succeed or fail because they possess merits or lack merits. You have just implied that CW cannot stand on its own merits. If that is true, CW doesn't deserve to survive. I think that you are absolutely wrong. I believe that CW does indeed have merits.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1171492842760273237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 07:07:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!nntp.flash.net!news.flash.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com> <20010127213831.00714.00004493@nso-md.aol.com> <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu> <3A7613F6.882A1C23@IEEE.org> <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <955m0t$t40$0@208.207.71.178>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 15:07:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.50.249.42
X-Complaints-To: abuse@flash.net
X-Trace: news.flash.net 980867237 216.50.249.42 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 09:07:17 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 09:07:17 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14610

I take it from your comment that anyone who upgrades under the new 5 wpm
rule is somehow not as good as someone who upgraded under the old code
rules?

Dick Carroll wrote:

> It means we also have 5wpm pseudoExtras.
>

From 8442234098722988477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 08:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 15:58:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <956oaa$74j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010129122014.25659.00001424@nso-fk.aol.com> <954b4f$5bc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xlvb6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <954uo7$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 15:58:08 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14612

In article <954uo7$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

> How many Afghanii's or Tchadians have cellphones?

Many, many more than have amateur radio! Amateur radio transmissions
are banned by the current government of Afghanistan, and there is only
one active licensee in Chad.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6317343071896378312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 08:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 15:57:55 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 87
Message-ID: <956o9t$74g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.133.164
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 15:57:55 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.164
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14611

In article <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>,
"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:
>
> > > It is too bad; the things you are choosing to say to tear down
> > amateur radio to

> > > be "right" are undermining the very principles you stand for.
>
> > Ed..I'm sorry if you think that my intention is to "tear down" ham
> > radio.
>
> I didn't say that it is your intention to tear down ham radio. That
is just
> a byproduct of the things you say about ham radio.
>
> Sorry, the rest of your post was a bit long; I don't have time to
respond to
> much of it. It does seem like a rehash of things we have been through
a few
> times before.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Hi Ed...

Thanks again for your response. The only "byproduct" of my suggestions would be the survival of ham radio in keeping with its true tradition, its basis and purpose, its technological and economic value to the USA, and the legacy of the silent key hams, who have done so much to contribute to the technical growth of our hobby in the past.

It is sad that our nation's leaders, both Democrats and Republicans, as well as most Ham leaders are taking paths that are not in agreement with most intellectuals or with people who have firm technical and scientific backgrounds. Our national leadership problems are evident by recent editorials to be found in many reliable newspapers. The fact is our leadership does not seem to be capable of making the kind of logical decisions that are needed for future economic growth, power management, overpopulation control, disease control, etc. The leaders who evolve from liberal artists, lawyers, and religious leaders can not blaze any path of success through the world's scientific industrial competition and the serious problems that the future is sure to bring. They can talk;
They can judge; They can pray...BUT they are not equipped to make decisions based on scientific facts, which can only be accepted when the proper logic is applied to the proper research.

New findings show that cancerous melenomas of the eye's cornea and retina can be caused by the use of cell phones. The effect can be explained to anyone who understands the basic principles by which microwave ovens work. The near microwave frequencies can cause damage to the moist areas of human eyes...but the extent of this effect would not be caused by the longer waves used by ham's HTs on VHF (two meters). The resonance effect of the entire human body and the penetration into the human skull were the main things being considered when the ANSI/IEEE safety levels were established. You can correct me if I am wrong, but it seems that the ARRL as well as the FCC has accepted the [probably] biased opinions of the ANSI/IEEE, which IMHO were made solely to protect the cell-phone industries.

Please keep thinking.

Hams need more license classes.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure Lighting Power)...don't get caught in the dark.

If men think that a ruler is religious and
has a reverence for the gods, they are less
afraid of suffering injustice in their hands.
--Aristotle (343 B.C.)--

It does me no injury for my neighbor to say
there are twenty gods, or no G-d. It neither
picks my pocket nor breaks my leg.
--Thomas Jefferson (1782)--

Our jails are filled by people who claim to
be religious.
--w2ilp--

Prayer alone can never solve scientific problems.
We must support more scientific education and let
religious education, like abortion, and ham radio,
be a matter of individual choice.
--w2ilp (2001)--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6461933854008997292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 08:14:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: 30 Jan 2001 16:14:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <956p9j$29v$0@208.206.142.82>
References: <M1Mc6.549$9m.1894477@nntp3.onemain.com>
<20010128085117.21683.00001754@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14613

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: "Richard McCollum"
>
> > providing a path for the 5wpm contingent to get to journeyman levels is
> > far more important to the hobby than defending the medium from
> > bleating cattle.
>
> If those of us who enjoy Morse code want to see newcomers use and enjoy the
> mode, too, you are quite right -- ways of getting people from 5 wpm to higher
> speeds are pretty important.
>
> I am NOT impressed with the methods being used. To the contrary, I believe
> that the anger, the bitterness and the name calling that is being done by some
> to "defend" the mode is setting the stage for failure.

Then have misperceived the entire controversy. I for one came on board
here a few years ago with the sole intent and purpose of offering a
little friendly insight on the fact that Morse on ham radio does indeed
have a velue. I was immediately set upon by a howling pack of jackels,
some of whom persist to this day. If you think I intend to just roll
over and hand the game to them you're dreaming. They can expect to get
in return what they give.
Why is it that you like to ignore all their BS and concentrate on what
code supporters do? There's no help for those people - their minds are
made up. To
quote the famous Brain Burke "I don't want to take no more code tests"
and
"I want, I want, I want" ad naseum. They're the "gimmie" set if ever
there was one.
Most of us code test supporters willingly accepted a 5wpm General
class license, but NO! We want it *ALL* gone, macht scnell! Bullshit!
They can eat cake. It'll be gone when it's gone, and they can go ahead
and stew meantine. And when it's gone ham radio will be a very much
dimninished affair, whatever remains.

Dick W0EX

From 543778678060259909
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 08:25:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 10:15:32 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A76E8A4.CD47059E@IEEE.org>
References: <20010126055054.12054.00001693@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010127213831.00714.00004493@nso-md.aol.com> <3A761214.CFF65CDA@uci.edu>
<3A7613F6.882A1C23@IEEE.org> <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net>
<955m0t$t40$0@208.207.71.178> <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.58
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 16:24:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14614

JR wrote:
> I take it from your comment that anyone who upgrades under the new 5 wpm
> rule is somehow not as good as someone who upgraded under the old code
> rules?

Yep, when my three year old grandson grows up and becomes an Extra,
Dick will still be calling him a pseudoExtra.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8713322772129545068
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 08:33:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 10:23:16 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A76EA74.8C59FEF0@IEEE.org>
References: <M1Mc6.549$9m.1894477@nntp3.onemain.com>
<20010128085117.21683.00001754@ng-mj1.aol.com> <956p9j$29v$0@208.206.142.82>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.58
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 16:30:51 GMT

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14615

Dick Carroll wrote:
> "I want, I want, I want" ad naseum.

Yep, "I want a code test, I want a code test, I want a code test"
ad nauseam.

> They can eat cake.

Remember what happened to the first person who said that, Dick.
The commoners are storming the Bastille again. Better cover
your Royal Highnee.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1368960855004275644
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 09:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 17:09:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <956sgp$bai$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
<t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com> <94sdsl$3k6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A724BC0.61ABA1B@aznet.net> <94vutn$fo1$0@208.206.142.105>
<952shh$vt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <9554nf$f3a$1@208.207.71.178>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Jan 30 17:09:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14616

In article <9554nf$f3a$1@208.207.71.178>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
> You equate Freebanders with Marconi?
>

All the early non-licensed radio experimenters (Marconi included) were, by definition, freebanders. All reality is aspect dependent.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
  eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
  to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
  Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1451847390956791659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 09:37:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7z4f80.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010129074325.12194.00001984@ng-cd1.aol.com> <20010129122014.25659.00001424@nso-fk.aol.com> <954b4f$5bc$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7xlvb6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <954uo7$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Lines: 54

Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 17:38:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980876293 206.58.44.3 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 17:38:13 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 17:38:13 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14617

On Mon, 29 Jan 2001 23:35:36 GMT, Steven J Robeson wrote:

>>   CELLULAR/WIRELESS technology is what's leveling the playing
>>   field for them, bypassing wired and conventional single-channel
>>   and multi-channel communications.
>
>   And how many of those countries have you seen from the inside out,
>Phil?
>
>

My own experience -- I haven't been off N. America since 1967.

For examples, though, lets's start with China and several
countriez of the Middle East where 10 years ago there was
almost no copper.....nobody is stringing new copper, it's all
wireless.

Teh let's go on to Central and South America, where a former
chief of the FCC's OET (Dick Smith) has been heading up a
consortium to spread wireless telephony (cellular) in countries
like Venezuela, Peru, and Chile.

A good friend and professional colleague in SillyCon Valley -
Dewayne Hendricks, WA8DZP - is the founder and head technologist
of a consultancy that has already signed about a dozen
"third-world" countries to do the same. He's one of the more
vocal gurus of UWB technology.

Just for kicks he convinced the King of Tonga to let him be
the Domain Name czar (to mix pickles) and sell/assign domain
names ending in ".to" on the open market. Folks who can't get
".com" and ".org" names from InterNIC because they are taken can
now get the counterpart ".com.to" and ".org.to" from Dewayne....
when he's not attending meetings of the FCC's Technology Advisory
Council (or whatever they call it now) or playing upscale digital/packet
on the 5 GHz ham band with several of his cohorts.

>   How many Afghanii's or Tchadians have cellphones?

A lot more than one would imagine but who said anything about
"the common folk" getting service? First come the politicows
and village/tribal chiefs.....

>   Just curious.

Aren't we all....

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 5076125531026683679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 10:15:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7704BE.E71F049F@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>
<956o9t$74g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 13:15:26 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 980878537 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 13:15:37 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 13:15:37 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14618
```

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
>
> The resonance effect of the entire human body and the penetration into
> the human skull were the main things being considered when the
> ANSI/IEEE safety levels were established.

You might want to read N9GL's latest article at:
http://www.arrl.org/rfsafety/lapin/2001/01/30/1/
in which he points out that the exposure standards were established on the basis of observed effects of RF, without regard to the mechanisms that may cause those effects. That doesn't preclude those working in the field from trying to determine the mechanisms and to understand why the observed effects exist.

Jon

From -5709333975891410489
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 10:20:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <7e1e7t4vk2mes6qh36pupde942rir0nl5s@4ax.com>
References: <M1Mc6.549$9m.1894477@nntp3.onemain.com> <20010128085117.21683.00001754@ng-mj1.aol.com> <956p9j$29v$0@208.206.142.82>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:20:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.215
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 980878847 209.86.209.215 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 10:20:47 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 10:20:47 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14619

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>If you [Ed] think I intend to just roll
>over and hand the game to them you're dreaming.

Don't say the word "game" to Ed. He will use it as an excuse to leave
the discussion when his arguments have been defrocked...   :-)

From -5124818259424074585
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 10:44:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7zee33.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A76237A.E607C32C@dvol.com> <20010129235044.22631.00001492@nso-fz.aol.com>

```
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 22
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:44:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980880271 206.58.44.3 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:44:31
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:44:31 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14620

On 30 Jan 2001 04:50:44 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>More like 75 percent.  [Source:  World Almanac 2001, 59 reactors
>producing 63,105 MWe, 1999]  France is also the center for Europe's
>nuclear fuel reprocessing.
>
>Paris is often spoken of as "the city of lights."  Maybe nowadays
>it glows in the dark from another cause?   :-)
>
>Interesting part is that the USA has 104 reactors producing 97,145
>MWe which is only 19.54% of total USA electric power in 1999.

  Crunch your numbers again and tell us the comparison in terms
  of population, land mass, and GNP.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From 4813635752031092557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 10:44:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7zejs4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <951f1k$ofe$0@pita.alt.net>
```

<3A744022.DE478880@bctv.com> <951qse$k6j$1@iac5.navix.net>
<oV_c6.691$9m.2572576@nntp3.onemain.com> <952m6o$6a0$1@iac5.navix.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7whjr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <952tai$i2q$0@208.207.71.165>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkxb4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9555rr$i78$0@208.207.71.178>
<3A765654.9E1CB3F5@IEEE.org> <955mb9$124$0@208.207.71.178>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 29
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:44:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980880271 206.58.44.3 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:44:31 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:44:31 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14621

On 30 Jan 2001 06:18:17 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> Yep, Fox News reports that Clintoon's squad stripped it clean of all
> linen, dishes, silverware, everything that wasn't nailed down too tight
> to move, once it landed in New York. But they are NOT crooks, you
> unnerstand.
> I think the people of Arkansas understand, too.

There's a difference between a Nixonian "I am not a crook" and
plain ol' Lightfinger Louie.

Amazing that Nixon and Clinton fit into the same mold.

> Once it becomes clear just what he sold to China he will have some
> sereious answering to do that can't be brushed aside with "depends on
> what the definition of 'is' is".

By the time it gets to that point the question will be about
"was". not "is".

But he was "our" Bozo......

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 767562415561933413

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d851b95773ff09d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 10:44:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7zea92.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <946ktg$b6c$0@pita.alt.net> <3A6725C9.21D00AE@dvol.com>
<1fUc6.627$9m.2217052@nntp3.onemain.com> <952peo$87t$0@208.207.71.165>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g7xkny3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<PZjd6.931$9m.3490191@nntp3.onemain.com> <3A7689D4.D690B38F@dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CA power crisis caused by internet
Lines: 33
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:44:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980880268 206.58.44.3 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:44:28
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:44:28 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14622
```

On Tue, 30 Jan 2001 04:31:00 -0500, Brian Kelly wrote:

>The second is the outrageous upfront engineering charges. The engineering of every one of the nukes in this country was essentially done on the basis of just about completely reinventing the wheel every time a new one was built. My recollection is that the tab for the engineering of the Limerick plant was one BILLION dollars.

But explain why Three Mile Island and Rancho Seco (Sacramento M.U.D., CA) were identical and they looked awfully much like Limerick.

Hey, remember that my now-wife and her then-husband (and the 4 kids and two ex-spouses they had between them) ate from that project as she and he were employees of Bechtel on that famous cost-plus contract.

Ah, the magic name. That was just after Bechtel made a super-bundle misdesigning and misconstructing San Francisco's BART subway system.

One could do that in those days . Cost-Plus now exists only as the name of a chain store selling overpriced junk.

They really killed the goose that laid the golden egg...

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -2018128437972003228
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f68519e6ecdc225
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 10:44:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g7zf875.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <94poa2$or2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<wB1c6.6624$49.607705@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <94qb2p$8ql$0@dosa.alt.net>
<Sx5c6.278771$IP1.9148329@news1.giganews.com> <94va9t$dvk$0@pita.alt.net>
<3A75B2D5.BB3D9A36@some.net> <3A75DA68.A173C83A@IEEE.org>
<o7od6.32$c5.25669@news1.iquest.net> <Utvd6.398$zZ.433905@news02.optonline.net>
<3A76CBDF.3E69EEB9@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: I will no longer tolerate
Lines: 28
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:44:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 980880272 206.58.44.3 (Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:44:32
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 18:44:32 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14623 rec.radio.cb:24639
```

On Tue, 30 Jan 2001 08:12:47 -0600, Cecil wrote:

>You guys are still wet behind the ears. Did you ever use an IBM650?
>Magnetic drum with thousands of vacuum tubes and tons of air-conditioning.

You missed the joys of the state-of-the-art AN/FSQ-31 which was 2 IBM 7090s back-to-back .... with a 2-ft diameter disk holding 128K that took 21 KW of manufacturer-required air conditioning to make 75 degree +/- 2 degree air, while the device operated in a computer room where the air was closely held at 75 degrees +/- 1 degree per IBM specs.

The air handling system for the 'Q-31 had a 2-minute capacity and a backup generator rated at 750 KW which could only make 2 starts in that time. Prime power had 4 - 375 KW rotary no-break machines but SoCal Ed in their infinite wisdom only provided a 300 KVA transformer for patch-around when one of the no-breaks were down for maintenance.

Ah the good old days of "Peace is Our Profession" (SAC - 1960s)

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -1115349184090358093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,33595283efd4f8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 11:03:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one!
Date: 30 Jan 2001 19:03:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95735o$pth$0@208.206.142.82>
References: <20010123230419.18743.00000881@ng-fg1.aol.com>
<t6uibij7bi7bc9@news.supernews.com> <Xns9033A308FF9AFFrog207Junocom@127.0.0.1>
<t73c8uqvij1a3a@news.supernews.com> <94sdsl$3k6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A724BC0.61ABA1B@aznet.net> <94vutn$fo1$0@208.206.142.105>
<952shh$vt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <9554nf$f3a$1@208.207.71.178>
<956sgp$bai$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14624

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <9554nf$f3a$1@208.207.71.178>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> > You equate Freebanders with Marconi?
> >
>
> All the early non-licensed radio experimenters (Marconi included) were,
> by definition, freebanders. All reality is aspect dependent.

Could we then consider this an example of the Hans Definition?

Freebanders are, by definition, outlaws. In the early days of radio
there were no controlling laws. 'Nuff said.

From -5383889590111477688
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 11:04:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.yosemite.net!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!agate.berkeley.edu!agate!not-for-mail
From: David Snyder Hale <david@isi9.mtwilson.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: 30 Jan 2001 19:04:30 GMT
Organization: Mount Wilson Observatory
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <95737u$a2f$1@agate.berkeley.edu>
References: <951hh9$tpu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org> <951pcv$411$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: isi9.mtwilson.edu
X-Trace: agate.berkeley.edu 980881470 10319 192.153.157.53 (30 Jan 2001 19:04:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@berkeley.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Jan 2001 19:04:30 GMT
User-Agent: tin/pre-1.4-980818 ("Laura") (UNIX) (SunOS/5.6 (sun4m))
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14625 rec.radio.amateur.misc:11801

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Dog did it. Hmmm, starts with the word dog, and dog starts with the
> letter "D" so it must be a d. Heck of a look up table you have to carry

 > around in your head.

Yes, *IF* it worked as a lookup table, but I don't think it does.
I learned Morse with CodeQuick about 14 months ago, and I was explaining it
to someone, and I found that I can't actually remember all the silly
phrases and pictures, but I certainly have an association of the sound with
the letter.

I don't mean to sound like a late-nite TV infomercial, ;-) but after about
2-3 years of frustration, trying everything, I went from 0-13 wpm with
codequick. (I don't recall offhand how long it took, but it was pretty
quick-- whatever about 1/2 the program is. maybe a month.)

Hey, everybody is different. It may not work for everyone, but it seems
like a really good system to me, (because it worked wonders for me). I
wouldn't guarantee it for anyone just because of how different folks can
learn in different ways; But, I would suggest to someone that if they
really wanted to learn Morse, and were about to give up because they
couldn't, to try CodeQuick before giving up for good.

--
Dave * N3WTK (DM04xf) * http://isi.mtwilson.edu * n3wtk@arrl.net

From 6666517568812379069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 11:40:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 19:39:18 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3a7592f7.7073243@news.mindspring.com>
References: <94n8ll$jmu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010124182613.18775.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com> <3a708e1b.1664507@news.mindspring.com> <94qr7b$qdl$0@208.206.142.92> <3a739cc7.10560697@news.mindspring.com> <950lhn$e8b$1@208.207.71.134> <3a748b3c.15151125@news.mindspring.com> <952s4h$f53$0@208.207.71.165>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ee.b8
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 19:39:23 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14626

On 29 Jan 2001 04:38:41 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:

>>
>> The fact still remains that there is NOT any set freuency where
>> a ham can be reasonably sure that other hams are monitoring.
>> That is the bottom line. Ironic too that CBers maintain channel 9
>> as an exclsuive safety/emergency channel.
>
> No, Bull, the "fact" is that in an emergency situation, it is normal
>procedure for anyone involved or interested in the emergency to repair
>to the State emergency net frequency.

Strange, that has never been suggested or stated in any of the RACES
or other emergency mgmt activities I've been involved in.

>I wouldn't expect you to know
>that, given that you don't actually have that much experience in ham
>radio.

Thanks Dick, as usual you revert to personal, unsubstantiated
bull when you have nothing else to say.

> There are other frequencies generally active on a routine basis that
>are available for emergency service on an ongoing basis. The Eastcars
>and Westcars mobile service SSB nets on 7255, and Midcars on 72587258
>are still active, at least Midcars is, as I sometimes monitor there.
>Most any repeater can be used as emergency comms, if only you can get
>anyone to answer your call. Then there is the Maritime Mobile Service
>Net on 14.313, if there's anything left of it these days after the
>trashing it got by thugs a few years ago and since.

All this simply underscores the lack of any truly universal
agreement amongst the ham community for specific
emergency frequencies which, if ever agreed to,
could be routinely monitored by any/all hams.

> But, of course, you're right - there is no "frequency" that is
>singularly monitored for emergency purposes.

Thank you for acknowledging that.

>Instead, there are many.
>But you've got to know enough about it to know where to look.

Which is a basic and fundamental weakness of current practices.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 7932134045926098689
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-01-30 11:40:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Tue, 30 Jan 2001 19:39:20 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3a7594ab.7509362@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a748bc8.15291834@news.mindspring.com>
<20010128222429.04710.00000134@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ee.b8
X-Server-Date: 30 Jan 2001 19:39:24 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14627

On 29 Jan 2001 03:24:29 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>
>>>How would you feel about the test containing 5 minutes of Morse
>>> code at 5 wpm, and a reasonable number of questions about its content?
>
>>I'd have mixed opinion. It really isn't needed anymore and
>>that's pretty much the bottom line.
>
>Morse code is still in widespread use in the Amateur Radio Service, Bill; that
>alone is, IMHO, sufficient reason to have some testing related to its use. A
>decoding test is a long-time traditional way of accomplishing just that.

That is a SKILL test rather than a knowledge test. Ask questions
about the mode, there's no reason to validate ability to use. That
is no different than not testing ability to type to be able to use
keyboard modes (RTTY, packet, etc.).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -6211178869652691463
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 11:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 19:38:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 01 19:38:53 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14730

In article <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> I suspect PhDs in EE know a lot more about "amateur"
> radios than you do.

Your suspicion is inaccurate.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
  eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
  to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
  Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -722692238798501040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9fe8a4c12c440ac6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 12:10:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Good learning website
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 20:02:46 GMT

Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <95cfcv$88a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95> <3A79B53D.36B35CF7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 01 20:02:46 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14731

In article <3A79B53D.36B35CF7@IEEE.org>,
Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> Hey Dick, I thought you (and others) said Electrical Engineering
> has nothing to do with "Amateur" Radio.

--

```
        0  2  4  6  8  10
       .               /.  <boink!>
                      /
                     /
                    /
                   /
                  /
                 /
                /
         TROLL-O-METER
```

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4386354792927538421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 13:43:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!216.218.192.242!
news.he.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!agate.berkeley.edu!agate!not-for-mail
From: David Snyder Hale <david@isi9.mtwilson.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: 1 Feb 2001 21:43:48 GMT
Organization: Mount Wilson Observatory
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <95clak$cjr$1@agate.berkeley.edu>
References: <951hh9$tpu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org>
<951pcv$411$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95737u$a2f$1@agate.berkeley.edu>
<957nvo$hhn$0@208.206.142.67> <957rvt$94n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: isi9.mtwilson.edu
X-Trace: agate.berkeley.edu 981063828 12923 192.153.157.53 (1 Feb 2001 21:43:48
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@berkeley.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 1 Feb 2001 21:43:48 GMT
User-Agent: tin/pre-1.4-980818 ("Laura") (UNIX) (SunOS/5.6 (sun4m))
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14732
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11946

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Sounds useful and has come up here before. I should try it. Does it
> cost more than a pizza? More than a lobster dinner?

hum, I think closer to lobster than pizza; I think I paid around $35 a
little over a year ago.

--
Dave * N3WTK (DM04xf) * http://isi.mtwilson.edu * n3wtk@arrl.net

From 4903509774839688265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9fe8a4c12c440ac6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 13:47:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95>
Subject: Re: Good learning website
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3

```
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <EPke6.42$HH5.342001@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 16:46:11 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981064036 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 01 Feb 2001 16:47:16 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 16:47:16 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14733
```

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95...

> Hey all you Novices and other Extras out there who might want to
> upgrade your
> knowledge, if not your license - check this one out...

> http://tpub.com/neets/index.htm

Nice reference, Dick; thanks!

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7555622182554334102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9fe8a4c12c440ac6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 13:56:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95> <3A79B53D.36B35CF7@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Good learning website
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <EYke6.43$HH5.343063@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 16:55:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981064612 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 01 Feb 2001 16:56:52 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 16:56:52 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14734
```

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A79B53D.36B35CF7@IEEE.org...

> Dick Carroll wrote:

> > Hey all you Novices and other Extras out there who might want to
> > upgrade your knowledge, if not your license - check this one out...

> > http://tpub.com/neets/index.htm

> Hey Dick, I thought you (and others) said Electrical Engineering
> has nothing to do with "Amateur" Radio.

Cecil,

It looks to me like Dick wanted to post a pretty decent site that will help
hams learn. I would save the digs for another thread.

Thanks again, Dick.

73,
Ed, W1RFI

From 1246594803036537698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 14:35:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 16:25:49 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A79E26D.CCE91EB0@IEEE.org>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.77
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 1 Feb 2001 22:35:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14735

W4JLE wrote:
> I have to look at you as a representative of ARRL, and by extension infer
> this is the current attitude in Newington. As such, I will not be renewing
> my League membership and will be resigning my positions as Technical
> coordinator, Official Observer and County EC.

Translation: I don't like the new rules so I am identifying a scapegoat,
being a quitter, taking my marbles, and going home. Fred, the radical
fringe always reacts that way. Why not join the mainstream? Amateur Radio
is evolving. Dinosaurs loved to beep. Mammals learned to talk. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -5563796586647800620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 14:38:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 16:28:48 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.77
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 1 Feb 2001 22:38:20 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14736
```

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org>,
>   Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> > I suspect PhDs in EE know a lot more about "amateur"
> > radios than you do.
>
> Your suspicion is inaccurate.

So you claim to know as much about radios as PhDs in EE?

Got any proof? What sampling rate does it require to
reproduce 455 kHz IF information?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -3495420226780119684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 14:39:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95c989$277$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Lines: 91
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <lAle6.44$HH5.345926@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 17:38:08 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981067153 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 01 Feb 2001 17:39:13 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 17:39:13 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14737

Steve,

From the QST/QEX search database:

Items 1 to 12 of 12
Issue Page Title Author
Sep 1992 QST 89 Long Long-Delayed Echo (Technical Correspondence) Cannon,
Scott, N7LMO

Jan 1981 QST 42-43 More 28-MHz Long-Delayed Echos (Technical
Correspondence)
Keywords: 10 METER LDE Goodacre, Alan, VE2AEJ/3

Oct 1980 QST 11-14 Magnetospheric Echo Box--A Type Of Long-Delayed Echo
Explained, The Waxham, Jr., F. W., K7DS

Oct 1980 QST 11-14 Magnetospheric Echo Box--A Type Of Long-Delayed Echo
Explained, The
Keywords: ECHO LDE Villard, Jr., O. G., W6QYT

Oct 1980 QST 11-14 Magnetospheric Echo Box--A Type Of Long-Delayed Echo

Explained, The Muldrew, D. B.

Feb 1978 QST 17-18 Long-Delayed Echo Revisited, A Davis, Jonathan, WA1TCL

Feb 1978 QST 17-18 Long-Delayed Echo Revisited, A Davis, Franklin, WA1TCK

Feb 1978 QST 17-18 Long-Delayed Echo Revisited, A
Keywords: LDE Cohen, Nathaniel, N1IR

Feb 1970 QST 30-36 Long-Delayed Echo AR, A
Keywords: LDE Villard, Jr., O.G., W6QYT

Feb 1970 QST 30-36 Long-Delayed Echo AR, A Lomasney, J.M., WA6NIL

Feb 1970 QST 30-36 Long-Delayed Echo AR, A Graf, C.R., W5LFM

Jul 1956 QST 35 "long delayed echo" phnomenon (Strays) Holcomb, Maj Bill, W9SDP

<Steven J Robeson>; K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:95c989$277$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
>
> > Good topic, but probably will reach more likely respondents in
> > rec.radio.amateur.misc or rec.radio.amateur.dx.
>
>   Thanks, Hans, and I will give them a whirl.
>
> > K0TO and I observed a persistent LDE (on and off over a period of >30
> > minutes) on 12 meters several years ago.
>
>   I am not even sure that what I heard WAS one, however I was
> hearing the last one or two dit's or dah's on 80 meters with signals
> about S-3. I listened on 40 but couldn't hear anything. I am not up
> on 160 at the moment so couldn't try that one.
>
>   I was hearing the return "dit" almost simultaneously as I go off
> the paddle (in full break-in).
>
>   Fun stuff.
>
> 73 and thanks, Hans.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > 73, Hans
> > --
> > "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
> >   eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
> >   to be concerned about your speed at the Code of

> >   Morse."
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> --
> http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html
>
> "The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He
> Touches A Woman's Heart"
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

From -6696083098284512064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 14:40:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
63.208.208.143.MISMATCH!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 22:34:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <95coai$han$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org> <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3A779725.45CF79F@IEEE.org> <9589hd$88c$0@208.206.142.67>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 01 22:34:59 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14738

In article <9589hd$88c$0@208.206.142.67>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Yeah,we know....that's the very reason why darn few will ever bother
to
> learn it at all when the code test requirement evaporates, and all the
> more reason why even fewer 5wpm types will even be interested in using
> it enough to develop faster skills. Sure, a few will be intrigued and
> spend a little time at it, at least until the new wears off and well
> before most ever gain sufficient skill to feel comfortable working

> higher speeds. THAT is human nature. And we already know your story
> line, you learned it in Cub Scouts well before you ever heard of ham
> radio. Or the Internet or any othe computer or radio or TV or or or or
> or........Sorry those days are all gone.

Dick, if those days are gone then its time you picked up the pieces of
your shattered life and move on. To think that you and Larry have been
lying to me about how PEEU the code is and now you say its only worth
learning if the gubmn't puts a gun to your head.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4064217622806401246
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 14:41:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!64.152.100.70!
news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 16:31:55 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A79E3DB.B1F0D00A@IEEE.org>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95c989$277$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.77
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 1 Feb 2001 22:41:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14739

Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
> I was hearing the return "dit" almost simultaneously as I go off
> the paddle (in full break-in).

At the height of the best sunspot cycle of all time, we hams at
Texas A&M could send a 'didit' on 10m and hear it coming back
from around the world. It was smeared but it was there.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -9195417450302918625
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9fe8a4c12c440ac6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 14:42:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Good learning website
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 16:32:56 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A79E418.89597C6A@IEEE.org>
References: <95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95> <3A79B53D.36B35CF7@IEEE.org>
<95cfcv$88a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.77
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 1 Feb 2001 22:42:28 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14740

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <3A79B53D.36B35CF7@IEEE.org>,
>   Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> > Hey Dick, I thought you (and others) said Electrical Engineering
> > has nothing to do with "Amateur" Radio.
>
>         0  2  4  6  8  10
>        .               /.  <boink!>
>                       /

Is that a record?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From -4516416283344532952
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9fe8a4c12c440ac6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 14:43:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Good learning website
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 16:33:51 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A79E44F.35D9ACFA@IEEE.org>
References: <95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95> <3A79B53D.36B35CF7@IEEE.org>
<EYke6.43$HH5.343063@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.77
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 1 Feb 2001 22:43:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14741

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> It looks to me like Dick wanted to post a pretty decent site that will help
> hams learn.  I would save the digs for another thread.

Sorry, the Devil made me do it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 8561302432568218O1
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9fe8a4c12c440ac6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 15:00:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newspeer2.tds.net!
newsfeed2.skycache.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Good learning website
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 22:52:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95cpb9$ide$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95> <3A79B53D.36B35CF7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 01 22:52:31 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14742

In article <3A79B53D.36B35CF7@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
```

> > Hey all you Novices and other Extras out there who might want to
> > upgrade your knowledge, if not your license - check this one out...
> >
> > http://tpub.com/neets/index.htm
>
> Hey Dick, I thought you (and others) said Electrical Engineering
> has nothing to do with "Amateur" Radio.

Oh my God. I hope Len doesn't see this.

Can we get Deja Blows to pull it before all hell breaks loose? ;)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -8650981099003583370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 15:08:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <w%le6.45$HH5.348256@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 18:07:06 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981068892 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 01 Feb 2001 18:08:12 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 18:08:12 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14743
```

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> I think you are way over the top here Ed. Larry is upset because the
> standards have been lowered by people who felt they "deserved" rather than
> earn an amateur radio license.

That is no excuse for name calling.

> You then tell him he should let his ticket expire because he disagrees
with
> it! As much as I respect your efforts in ham radio, I despise the attitude

> you are displaying towards older hams.

That was not the reason I gave him for my belief that he and we would be better off if he let his ticket expire. I don't think that Amateur Radio benefits from name calling.

> I have to look at you as a representative of ARRL, and by extension infer
> this is the current attitude in Newington. As such, I will not be renewing
> my League membership and will be resigning my positions as Technical
> coordinator, Official Observer and County EC.

Because someone who works at ARRL HQ takes offense at someone calling other hams names in a public forum?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -7638392247609661240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 15:09:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95cbme$ojp$1@208.206.142.95>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <91me6.46$HH5.348388@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 18:08:51 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981068997 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 01 Feb 2001 18:09:57 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 18:09:57 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14744
```

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:95cbme$ojp$1@208.206.142.95...

> W1RFI wrote:

> > >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >

> > >I'll call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" myself. However,
> > >PseudoExtra does have a nice ring to it! Kinda grows on you,
> > >doesn't it?

> > Another one trying to tear down ham radio to be "right" in a debate.

> Ed: No one is trying to tear anything down - it's already down!

Not for most of us, Dick; most of us are still having a good time. I am
trulyu sorry that you are not.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 5082268541321316155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 15:23:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: West Virginia farm boy comes of age
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 17:22:54 -0600
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11948
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14745
```

There is a story about a kid from a farm just outside of Glendale, WV
and one of the local bars there.

The kid's name was Roger.

It seems that he had just turned 21 and his father let him go into town
alone to buy supplies and feed. Since it was his first trip to town as
a man, his dad told Roger to go ahead and buy himself a beer while he
was there.

Roger finished up at the feed store and went to the bar to do as his dad
said he could. He ordered a beer and the bartender asked if he was new
around town. As he was telling the bartender his history, a stunningly

beautiful young woman came into the bar and sat down beside Roger. Roger was so powerfully affected by her presence that he began to lose track of his conversation with the bartender and to stammer just a bit.

He turned to the girl and attempted to start up a conversation with her, but she seemed to be distracted. She always answered him politely, but acted as if she didn't really want to talk to him.

A few minutes later, a second beautiful girl entered the bar. She immediately struck up a conversation with the first girl, and eventually they left the bar together.

"Don't worry" said the bartender "she wouldn't ever have gotten interested in you. She's a lesbian."

"What's a lesbian?" asked Roger.

"Well see, it's this way: Lesbians only think about girls. They want to hang out with girls, they want to kiss girls, and they want to sleep with girls."

"Get it?"

"Um, yeah" Roger said, and then left to bring his supplies home.

A few weeks later, Roger was back in Glendale and he stopped at the same bar again. After he'd ordered a beer and was sitting there drinking it, a talkative stranger entered the bar. He was a salesman and told Roger all about how wonderful his job was. Eventually he said to Roger, "Yep, I'm a salesman and proud of it. What are you?"

Roger blushed, looked at the floor, and said "I'm a lesbian."

From 6822117064782731161
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 16:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: STAGGER LEE, OUTED SPERM_GARGLING HOMOSEXUAL
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 00:13:35 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 88
Message-ID: <95cu39$mqh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.150.29.129

X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 02 00:13:35 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/5.05 [subdimension.com] (X11; I; Linux 2.4.34 i886)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.150.29.129
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11950
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14746

In article <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net>,
AIDS CARRYING HOMOSEXUAL Stagger "FUCKWIT" Lee <SLee@houston.net>
slobbered:

> There is a story about a kid from a farm just outside of Glendale, WV

I live IN town..not on a farm, FUCKWIT SPERMGARGLER. Even though you
live in a tarpapered shack outside of town,
mainly because the TOWN issued a petition forcing you out of town with
your depraved,
perverse sexual exploits with other men and farm animals.

<...>

> "Well see, it's this way: Lesbians only think about girls. They want
> to hang out with girls, they want to kiss girls, and they want to
> sleep
> with girls."

Proof positive "Stagger Lee" here IS a HOMOSEXUAL. Stagger Lee here thinks sleeping with girls is somehow unnatural. Yep, I ONLY want to sleep with girls/women, Faggot Lee. Nice to see you finally come out of the closet! Yep, I want to kiss "girls", which beats the men's schlongs YOU like to kiss, Shithead Lee. Yep, I want to sleep with "girls/women" again it beats the farmanimals you currently have sex with.

Your little "joke" kind of BACKFIRED, since you maintain I like to have sex with women!!!!!!!!!!!!

> Roger blushed, looked at the floor, and said "I'm a lesbian."

Yep, using YOUR definition of a "lesbian", I perfer women.
I even ate out your whore momma's cunt...BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!
The best $10.00 I ever spent! <peals of derisive laughter>

"Stagger Lee" <Koko Taylor>

2000, Xmas eve, with a full moon over town
Stagger Lee sucked off Billy DeLions
And he blew that poor boy's dick.
Do you know what he sucked him for?
What do you make of that?

Cause Billy DeLions threw the lucky dice
Won Stagger Lee's Stetson hat.

Bayo, Bayo, tell me how can this be?
You arrest the girls for turning tricks
But you're scared of Stagger Lee.
Stagger Lee is a madman and he sucked my Billy D.
Bayo go get him or give the job to me.

Delia DeLions, dear sweet Delia-D
How the hell can I arrest him? He's twice as big as me.
Well don't ask me to go downtown, I won't come back alive [no more].
Not only is that mother big but he packs a .45 [four].

Bayo, Delia said, just give me a gun
he sucked my Billy's cum now I'm gonna see him hung.
She went into the DeLion's club through Billy DeLions cum
Stepped up to Stagger Lee at the bar,
Said, "Buy me a gin fizz, love."

As Stagger Lee lit a cigarette she shot him in the balls
Blew the smoke off her revolver, had him dragged to city hall
Bayo, Bayo, see you hang him high
He sucked my Billy dry and now he's got to die.

Delia went a walking down on Singapore Street
A three-piece band on the corner played "Near My God to Thee"
But Delia whistled a different tune, what tune could it be?

The song that woman sung was "Look Out Stagger Lee".
The song that Delia sung was "Look Out Stagger Lee".

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!
--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8930667749113296728
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 16:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!
newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 00:27:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <95cusc$nk8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.85
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 02 00:27:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.85
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14747

In article <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Anyone have any experience with them?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Anomolous propogation.  Gave strange echoes on the scope.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -7997936868332547140
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 17:31:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 17:32:43 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A7A0E3B.3DFFA63E@uci.edu>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14748
```

W4JLE wrote:

> As much as I respect your efforts in ham radio, I despise the attitude
> you are displaying towards older hams.

K3LT's Birthday (from QRZ): 31 Oct 1952

W1RFI's Birthday (from QRZ): 26 Aug 1950

ac6xg

From 3651341400569414653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 18:39:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 2 Feb 2001 02:39:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <95d6kk$sr1$0@208.206.142.82>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com> <20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95cbme$ojp$1@208.206.142.95> <3A79B17B.80A8A649@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14749

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Ed: No one is trying to tear anything down - it's already down!
>
> Oh? I haven't noticed a lot of difference besides upgrades. Are
> hoards of CBers and freebanders still going to invade the ARS?

Cecil I thought you were already here!

From -114459571552856983

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9fe8a4c12c440ac6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 18:41:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Good learning website
Date: 2 Feb 2001 02:41:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <95d6pj$sr1$1@208.206.142.82>
References: <95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95> <3A79B53D.36B35CF7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14750
```

Cecil wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Hey all you Novices and other Extras out there who might want to
> > upgrade your knowledge, if not your license - check this one out...
> >
> > http://tpub.com/neets/index.htm
>
> Hey Dick, I thought you (and others) said Electrical Engineering
> has nothing to do with "Amateur" Radio.

We know you didn't,Cecil, but even engineers gotta start at the
beginning. That course starts at the beginning.

```
From 865424119787451576
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 18:50:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: 2 Feb 2001 02:50:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
```

```
Message-ID: <95d79o$3bs$0@208.206.142.82>
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org> <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3A779725.45CF79F@IEEE.org> <9589hd$88c$0@208.206.142.67>
<95coai$han$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14751
```

Brian wrote:
>
> In article <9589hd$88c$0@208.206.142.67>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > Yeah,we know....that's the very reason why darn few will ever bother
> to
> > learn it at all when the code test requirement evaporates, and all the
> > more reason why even fewer 5wpm types will even be interested in using
> > it enough to develop faster skills. Sure, a few will be intrigued and
> > spend a little time at it, at least until the new wears off and well
> > before most ever gain sufficient skill to feel comfortable working
> > higher speeds. THAT is human nature. And we already know your story
> > line, you learned it in Cub Scouts well before you ever heard of ham
> > radio. Or the Internet or any othe computer or radio or TV or or or or
> > or........Sorry those days are all gone.
>
> Dick, if those days are gone then its time you picked up the pieces of
> your shattered life and move on. To think that you and Larry have been
> lying to me about how PEEU the code is and now you say its only worth
> learning if the gubmn't puts a gun to your head.
>

So the gov't had a gun to your head for 13 years? Finally had mercy on
you and took it away?

```
From 6275005538432471717
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 18:57:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 2 Feb 2001 02:57:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
```

```
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <95d7n1$53h$0@208.206.142.82>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95cbme$ojp$1@208.206.142.95>
<91me6.46$HH5.348388@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14752
```

```
"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:95cbme$ojp$1@208.206.142.95...
>
> > W1RFI wrote:
>
> > > >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
> > >
> > > >I'll call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" myself.  However,
> > > >PseudoExtra does have a nice ring to it!  Kinda grows on you,
> > > >doesn't it?
>
> > > Another one trying to tear down ham radio to be "right" in a debate.
>
> >  Ed:  No one is trying to tear anything down - it's already down!
>
> Not for most of us, Dick; most of us are still having a good time. I am
> trulyu sorry that you are not.
```

Well Ed, you're not nearly as sorry as I am. It really used to be fun to help younger folk learn, both theory and code, back in the days when younger folk were actually interested in learning. I remember one winter in the 70's the Vo-Tec electronics teacher and I spent months teaching a ham radio class, and graduated a bunch of new hams. I truly am sorry that times have changed so much, and more's the loss for ham radio.

```
From 6936976715290057824
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 18:59:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Date: 2 Feb 2001 02:59:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <95d7q2$53h$1@208.206.142.82>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95cusc$nk8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14753

Brian wrote:
>
> In article <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > Anyone have any experience with them?
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Anomolous propogation. Gave strange echoes on the scope.

Naw, it's just the allwave repeater on the Mother Ship.

From -2227816355252497051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9fe8a4c12c440ac6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 19:33:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Feb 2001 03:33:22 GMT
References: <3A79E44F.35D9ACFA@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Good learning website
Message-ID: <20010201223322.15140.00000301@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14754

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

>> It looks to me like Dick wanted to post a pretty decent site that will help
>> hams learn. I would save the digs for another thread.

>Sorry, the Devil made me do it.

That's okay, Cecil; the Devil gets to me once in a while, too.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8595608957878303086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 19:41:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Feb 2001 03:41:19 GMT
References: <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010201224119.15140.00000302@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14755
```

>From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com

>As much as I respect your efforts in ham radio, I despise the attitude
>you are displaying towards older hams.

It is not the older hams that I despise at all, Fred; it is the despicable way that a few of them are treating the newer hams.

>I have to look at you as a representative of ARRL, and by extension infer
>this is the current attitude in Newington.

If you want to know the position of ARRL, Fred, you can do so by reading QST or the ARRL web pages. If you want to know my personal views, you can read them on rrap.

>As such, I will not be renewing my League membership and will be
>resigning my positions as Technical coordinator, Official Observer
>and County EC.

Do you really feel that the work you have done is less important than forcing me to never post views contrary to yours? I feel that such work is important and it is unfortunate if you choose to abandon it.

If these discussions will result in the people on this forum holding such actions over my head, perhaps my usefulness here is coming to and end.

Would you like to reach an agreement that you will remain doing what you are doing and I will never again post a personal view that is contrary to yours? This seems to be what you are trying to accomplish. Have I read your intent correctly?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7168154218938387299
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 19:45:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Feb 2001 03:45:05 GMT
References: <95d7n1$53h$0@208.206.142.82>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010201224505.15140.00000303@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14756

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Well Ed, you're not nearly as sorry as I am. It really used to be fun
>to help younger folk learn, both theory and code, back in the days when
>younger folk were actually interested in learning.

In my experience, younger hams are very much interested in learning. I don't think I would find it so if I were to keep telling them how dumb and lazy I think they are.

> I truly am sorry that times have changed so much, and more's the
> loss for ham radio.

Maybe someday, times will change for me, but to date, I still see the ham radio you once did. Perhaps it is not the times that have changed, Dick.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8810445799781406051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 19:46:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Feb 2001 03:46:07 GMT
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010201224607.15140.00000304@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14757

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Just in case Dick didn't mean that, *I'll* say it -- and mean it! So
>there! What are you going to do about it?

The last time I heard "What are you going to do about it" was in junior high
school, Larry. Thanks for the blast from the past.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3229688626435691038
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 19:48:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: West Virginia farm boy comes of age
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 22:37:41 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <95daju$m80$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>

References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-03-20.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 981085630 22784 209.43.66.132 (2 Feb 2001 03:47:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Feb 2001 03:47:10 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11959 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14758

Hahaha! That is a really good one about Roger Wideman.

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston.net> wrote in message
news:3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net...
> There is a story about a kid from a farm just outside of Glendale, WV
> and one of the local bars there.
>
> The kid's name was Roger.
>
> It seems that he had just turned 21 and his father let him go into town
> alone to buy supplies and feed. Since it was his first trip to town as
> a man, his dad told Roger to go ahead and buy himself a beer while he
> was there.
>
> Roger finished up at the feed store and went to the bar to do as his dad
> said he could. He ordered a beer and the bartender asked if he was new
> around town. As he was telling the bartender his history, a stunningly
> beautiful young woman came into the bar and sat down beside Roger.
> Roger was so powerfully affected by her presence that he began to lose
> track of his conversation with the bartender and to stammer just a bit.
>
> He turned to the girl and attempted to start up a conversation with her,
> but she seemed to be distracted. She always answered him politely, but
> acted as if she didn't really want to talk to him.
>
> A few minutes later, a second beautiful girl entered the bar. She
> immediately struck up a conversation with the first girl, and eventually
> they left the bar together.
>
> "Don't worry" said the bartender "she wouldn't ever have gotten
> interested in you. She's a lesbian."
>
> "What's a lesbian?" asked Roger.
>
> "Well see, it's this way: Lesbians only think about girls. They want
> to hang out with girls, they want to kiss girls, and they want to sleep
> with girls."
>
> "Get it?"
>

> "Um, yeah" Roger said, and then left to bring his supplies home.
>
> A few weeks later, Roger was back in Glendale and he stopped at the same bar again. After he'd ordered a beer and was sitting there drinking it, a talkative stranger entered the bar. He was a salesman and told Roger all about how wonderful his job was. Eventually he said to Roger, "Yep, I'm a salesman and proud of it. What are you?"
>
> Roger blushed, looked at the floor, and said "I'm a lesbian."

```
From 6176216960791542583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 19:50:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Feb 2001 03:49:59 GMT
References: <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010201224959.15140.00000307@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14759
```

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>So you claim to know as much about radios as PhDs in EE?
>Got any proof? What sampling rate does it require to
>reproduce 455 kHz IF information?

Is that really a doctorate-level question in your view, Cecil?

The ARRL Handbook chapter on DSP explains it handily.

You can also find the answer at:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/dsp.html

The premise of a doctorate is that the candidate has performed and documented a significant original work. It is unlikely that the question you posed would be asked of the applicant when the candidate defends his or her thesis.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2116068464258220430
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 20:10:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 23:09:03 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <95dbup$e36$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A79E26D.CCE91EB0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.142.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 981087001 14438 166.102.250.142 (2 Feb 2001 04:10:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Feb 2001 04:10:01 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14760

Cecil, I told you before, set in the corner and cut paper dolls and leave the big people alone.

Your nonsense and pot stirring gets really old. I know your bored, use some of that time to teach a youngster about ham radio.

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A79E26D.CCE91EB0@IEEE.org...
> W4JLE wrote:
> > I have to look at you as a representative of ARRL, and by extension
infer
> > this is the current attitude in Newington. As such, I will not be
renewing
> > my League membership and will be resigning my positions as Technical
> > coordinator, Official Observer and County EC.
>
> Translation: I don't like the new rules so I am identifying a scapegoat,
> being a quitter, taking my marbles, and going home. Fred, the radical
> fringe always reacts that way. Why not join the mainstream? Amateur Radio
> is evolving. Dinosaurs loved to beep. Mammals learned to talk. :-)
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6412488550450763753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 20:14:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!
lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 23:13:29 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <95dc73$eaj$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net>
<w%le6.45$HH5.348256@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.142.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 981087267 14675 166.102.250.142 (2 Feb 2001 04:14:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Feb 2001 04:14:27 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14761

So, let me understand this... Larry calls people names, so Ed calls Larry names...

You are so busy towing the "party" line, you have no respect for anyone who disagrees with it. Is that the way to convince the old hams you are correct? Or do you only take that deferential position when referring to new 5 WPM hams?

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:w%le6.45$HH5.348256@news.ntplx.net...
> That was not the reason I gave him for my belief that he and we would be
> better off if he let his ticket expire. I don't think that Amateur Radio
> benefits from name calling.

From 3376617376945633771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 20:28:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 23:27:42 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <95dd1o$enn$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com> <20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A7A0E3B.3DFFA63E@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.142.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 981088120 15095 166.102.250.142 (2 Feb 2001 04:28:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Feb 2001 04:28:40 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14762

Let me restate Jim, I can see how what I said could be confusing.

I meant by "older Hams", that group of amateurs who had obtained their licenses under the pre 4/00 rule changes.

There seems to be a one-way street working here, bend over backwards for the new guys (Which I have no problem with welcoming and helping anyone regardless of rule dates) while denigrating the efforts of the "old hams" as ego, pride, elitism, and other terms that imply that if you are not 100% in agreement, you are a less than desirable person. One who's opinions do not matter and are fair game for abuse.

Larry can be a bit of a hardnose, but he is equally correct in his beliefs as anyone else on here. To see him abused by a member of the ARRL staff is so far over the edge, I had to state my feelings. With the exception of Cecil, I think everyone on here has some level that once it is reached. they make a decision to do something else rather than be associated with the abusive group.

The code/nocode has gotten so far out of hand that I don't think we will ever see a resolution in any near future. Bad enough that those that disagree had to grudgingly go along with it, now all the nah nah na nah nah's from the no code folks that feel they have to dance around in glee. Cheered on by no less than Mr. politically correct.

Honest! this is my last word ever on the subject of Code/No Code.
"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:3A7A0E3B.3DFFA63E@uci.edu...

> W4JLE wrote:

>
> > As much as I respect your efforts in ham radio, I despise the attitude
> > you are displaying towards older hams.
>
> K3LT's Birthday (from QRZ): 31 Oct 1952
>
> W1RFI's Birthday (from QRZ): 26 Aug 1950
>
> ac6xg

From 3923937527641639265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 20:32:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 1 Feb 2001 23:31:45 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <95dd9b$eu8$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010201224119.15140.00000302@ng-fc1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.142.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 981088363 15304 166.102.250.142 (2 Feb 2001 04:32:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Feb 2001 04:32:43 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14763

Ed, as a representative of the ARRL, I expect you to refrain from personal
attacks on people you disagree with. Your message took PERSONAL potshots at
Larry, and your excuse was "he did it first."

I have nothing further on the matter.

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010201224119.15140.00000302@ng-fc1.aol.com...
> >From: "W4JLE" Fred@w4jle.com
>
> >As much as I respect your efforts in ham radio, I despise the attitude
> >you are displaying towards older hams.

>
> It is not the older hams that I despise at all, Fred; it is the despicable
way
> that a few of them are treating the newer hams.
>
> >I have to look at you as a representative of ARRL, and by extension infer
> >this is the current attitude in Newington.
>
> If you want to know the position of ARRL, Fred, you can do so by reading
QST or
> the ARRL web pages. If you want to know my personal views, you can read
them on
> rrap.
>
> >As such, I will not be renewing my League membership and will be
> >resigning my positions as Technical coordinator, Official Observer
> >and County EC.
>
> Do you really feel that the work you have done is less important than
forcing
> me to never post views contrary to yours? I feel that such work is
important
> and it is unfortunate if you choose to abandon it.
>
> If these discussions will result in the people on this forum holding such
> actions over my head, perhaps my usefulness here is coming to and end.
>
> Would you like to reach an agreement that you will remain doing what you
are
> doing and I will never again post a personal view that is contrary to
yours?
> This seems to be what you are trying to accomplish. Have I read your
intent
> correctly?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

From -3619518967751505804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 21:03:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride

Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 22:52:23 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A7A3D07.8C0794FA@IEEE.org>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95cbme$ojp$1@208.206.142.95>
<3A79B17B.80A8A649@IEEE.org> <95d6kk$sr1$0@208.206.142.82>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.b5
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 05:02:00 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14764

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Oh? I haven't noticed a lot of difference besides upgrades. Are
> > hoards of CBers and freebanders still going to invade the ARS?
>
> Cecil I thought you were already here!

Yep, been here for 50 years, ever since you were knee high to a
grasshopper, since before 27MHz was a CB band.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -5855794524350725708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 21:05:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 01 Feb 2001 22:55:30 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A7A3DC2.8D11EF67@IEEE.org>
References: <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <20010201224959.15140.00000307@ng-
fc1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.b5
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 05:05:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14765

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >So you claim to know as much about radios as PhDs in EE?
> >Got any proof? What sampling rate does it require to
> >reproduce 455 kHz IF information?
>
> Is that really a doctorate-level question in your view, Cecil?

Nope, but I'm not a PhD. :-) And I'll bet 99% of hams don't know
the answer.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 7900592128230625315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f8d79,6338f88ea3e25f9b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8d79,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-01 22:20:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
137.192.100.17.MISMATCH!upp1.onvoy!onvoy.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test
Subject: DX-405 SOLICITS NUTSACK SLURPING
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 06:13:32 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95dj6a$83e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <95daju$m80$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.150.29.129
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 02 06:13:32 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/5.05 [subdimension.com] (X11; I; Linux 2.4.34 i886)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.150.29.129
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:11964
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14766 alt.test:74939

LARDASS "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> jizzburped in message
news:95daju$m80$1@dagobah.blueriver.net...
> Hahaha! That is a really good one about Roger >Wideman.

Yep, that's what your momma said when I had sex with her. She said you
were an "itsy-bitsy" man with no dick. You grossly obese fuckers always
```

have small pricks, that's why you went into the gay lifestyle.

Has the POLICE been around to see you again, Lllllllloyd???
--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8534900669227038601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 02:50:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!
newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 10:44:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <95e329$ke2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95cusc$nk8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95d7q2$53h$1@208.206.142.82>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.82
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 02 10:44:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.82
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14767

In article <95d7q2$53h$1@208.206.142.82>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > In article <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > Anyone have any experience with them?
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >

```
> > Anomolous propogation.  Gave strange echoes on the scope.
>
> Naw, it's just the allwave repeater on the Mother Ship.

The gubmn't wouldn't let us report stuff like that.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 2904748402649745576
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 06:26:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 14:25:14 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <3a7ac0f1.5171347@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A7A0E3B.3DFFA63E@uci.edu> <95dd1o$enn$1@iac5.navix.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.74.07
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 14:24:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14768

On Thu, 1 Feb 2001 23:27:42 -0500, "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

>Let me restate Jim, I can see how what I said could be confusing.
>
>I meant by "older Hams", that group of amateurs who had obtained their
>licenses under the pre 4/00 rule changes.

Many hams fit into both per and post 4/00 changes.  I've been licensed
since before 1960 but only have been an Extra since June 00.  Frankly,
I see this entire concern or focus on when someone was licensed as
just a waste of time.

>There seems to be a one-way street working here, bend over backwards for the
>new guys (Which I have no problem with welcoming and helping anyone
>regardless of rule dates) while denigrating the efforts of the "old hams" as
>ego, pride, elitism, and other terms that imply that if you are not 100% in
>agreement, you are a less than desirable person.
```

Personally, I think those that find a need to personally claim
they are better than others because they passed a test (pre 4/00
or whenever) are the ones with the ego problem. I see absolutely
no need for people to puff themselves up because they are
any particular license class. How good a ham someone is
has far more to do with what you do with the license you have rather
than the license itself.

>Larry can be a bit of a hardnose, but he is equally correct in his beliefs
>as anyone else on here. To see him abused by a member of the ARRL staff is
>so far over the edge, I had to state my feelings. With the exception of
>Cecil, I think everyone on here has some level that once it is reached. they
>make a decision to do something else rather than be associated with the
>abusive group.
>
>The code/nocode has gotten so far out of hand that I don't think we will
>ever see a resolution in any near future.

The code/nocode debate will be resolved with WRC-2003 and then
subsequent changes in eac individual administration's test
requirements. Remember, the issue is the code TEST, not
the use of or personal like/dislike of code as a mode.

>Bad enough that those that
>disagree had to grudgingly go along with it, now all the nah nah na nah
>nah's from the no code folks that feel they have to dance around in glee.
>Cheered on by no less than Mr. politically correct.

Who's dancing in glee. The requirements have been changed
and we move on. I see more grieving and complaining from those
that disagree with the new requirements than the converse.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
ARRL LGL
NCI BOD

From 5019660642455965212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 06:28:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 2 Feb 2001 14:28:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>

```
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14769



Cecil wrote:
>
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org>,
> >   Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >
> > > I suspect PhDs in EE know a lot more about "amateur"
> > > radios than you do.
> >
> > Your suspicion is inaccurate.
>
> So you claim to know as much about radios as PhDs in EE?
> Got any proof? What sampling rate does it require to
> reproduce 455 kHz IF information?


That little tidbit has absolutely nothing to do with amateur radio. The
fact that some receivers use a 455 kHz IF might.


From 5871934432729539958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 06:32:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 14:31:09 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3a7ac39e.5857163@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95cbme$ojp$1@208.206.142.95>
<91me6.46$HH5.348388@news.ntplx.net> <95d7n1$53h$0@208.206.142.82>
```

```
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.74.07
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 14:32:28 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14770

On 2 Feb 2001 02:57:37 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> Ed Hare wrote:
>> Not for most of us, Dick; most of us are still having a good time. I am
>> trulyu sorry that you are not.
>
> Well Ed, you're not nearly as sorry as I am. It really used to be fun
>to help younger folk learn, both theory and code, back in the days when
>younger folk were actually interested in learning. I remember one winter
>in the 70's the Vo-Tec electronics teacher and I spent months teaching a
>ham radio class, and graduated a bunch of new hams. I truly am sorry
>that times have changed so much, and more's the loss for ham radio.

So here we have a total condemnation of all youth by Dick.
Are there truly no youth that have a desire to learn anything?
Me thinks ole Dick doth exaggerate a tad.  The issues today as to
why youth don't gravitate to ham radio as much as in the past
has more to do with the alternatives youth have today and
the less "magical" aspect that radio plays in our lives in
general.  WE, the already licensed, have the obligation to
pro-actively make ham radio known to as many youth as we
can.  If we don't, then we have no one but ourselves to blame
for any lack of new hams.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -4873490428665968311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 06:40:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!netnews.com!newspeer1.nac.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 14:31:27 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <95egc0$un8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95c989$277$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <lAle6.44$HH5.345926@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.110
To: w1rfi@aol.com
```

X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 02 14:31:27 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.110
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14771

In article <lAle6.44$HH5.345926@news.ntplx.net>,
"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

> From the QST/QEX search database:

Printed and saved. Thanks, Ed!

73
Steve, K4YZ
--
http://www.qrz.com/k4yz

http://hometown.aol.com/missmytaterbug2/myhomepage/profile.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

--
http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.html

"The Measure Of A Man Is Not In How He Touches A Woman, But In How He Touches A Woman's Heart"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5642652220850646785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 06:50:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 2 Feb 2001 14:50:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <95ehfk$oii$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <95d7n1$53h$0@208.206.142.82> <20010201224505.15140.00000303@ng-fc1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14772



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > Well Ed, you're not nearly as sorry as I am. It really used to be fun
> >to help younger folk learn, both theory and code, back in the days when
> >younger folk were actually interested in learning.
>
> In my experience, younger hams are very much interested in learning.


 Where on earth do you find any younger hams today???


 I don't
> think I would find it so if I were to keep telling them how dumb and lazy I
> think they are.


 It's not the non-existant younger hams who are dumb and lazy. It's the
TESTS that are *dumbed down*, and the 12-15 year or more *middleaged*
hams who have sat and whined about having to pass a code test to get a
HF ham license who are generally considered to be lazy. Got it?



>
> > I truly am sorry that times have changed so much, and more's the
> > loss for ham radio.
>
> Maybe someday, times will change for me, but to date, I still see the ham radio
> you once did. Perhaps it is not the times that have changed, Dick.


It's the times, Ed. I could be more comfortable with it if I had changed
more myself.


From -443285121752576225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 07:21:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
```

```
Message-ID: <3A7AD086.15CCE391@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 10:21:42 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981127307 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 02 Feb 2001 10:21:47 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 10:21:47 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14773
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Got any proof? What sampling rate does it require to
> > reproduce 455 kHz IF information?
>
> That little tidbit has absolutely nothing to do with amateur radio. The
> fact that some receivers use a 455 kHz IF might.

Sampling rates have nothing to do with amateur radio? Sez who?

Of course, Cecil's question lacks sufficient detail to give a numeric answer. And you don't have to be an EE to know that -- just read the Handbook.

Jon

```
From -2789664169176871962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 07:23:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 2 Feb 2001 15:23:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
```

Message-ID: <95ejcs$b3$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <20010201224959.15140.00000307@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3A7A3DC2.8D11EF67@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14774

Cecil wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >
> > >So you claim to know as much about radios as PhDs in EE?
> > >Got any proof? What sampling rate does it require to
> > >reproduce 455 kHz IF information?
> >
> > Is that really a doctorate-level question in your view, Cecil?
>
> Nope, but I'm not a PhD. :-) And I'll bet 99% of hams don't know
> the answer.

But any one of them who found that he needed or wanted to know could easily come up with the answer.
And 100% of hams have no imperitive reason to research the answer, as might the small percentage of EEs who need to know that in their particular specialty line of work.
If one can be a complete, successful, *real* ham with nothing more than answering a few questions which have their answers provided in advance, why in the world would any serious number of them feel a need to even ask? Most have no idea what you're talking abouit.

Cecil will never get over it. His EE didn't qualify him for an Extra class ham radio license until the Big Dumb Down, and he still squirms because of it.

From -8188635777093330677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 08:21:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 2 Feb 2001 08:20:48 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <95emje$t2v$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A7A0E3B.3DFFA63E@uci.edu> <95dd1o$enn$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-72.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14775

W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message news:95dd1o$enn$1@iac5.navix.net...
> Let me restate Jim, I can see how what I said could be confusing.
>
> I meant by "older Hams", that group of amateurs who had obtained their
> licenses under the pre 4/00 rule changes.

> There seems to be a one-way street working here, bend over backwards for
the
> new guys (Which I have no problem with welcoming and helping anyone
> regardless of rule dates) while denigrating the efforts of the "old hams"
as
> ego, pride, elitism, and other terms that imply that if you are not 100%
in
> agreement, you are a less than desirable person. One who's opinions do not
> matter and are fair game for abuse.

Fine business, Fred.  How do you see the Amateur Code as fitting into the
kinds of opinions we see expressed here by some of these older hams?

73, Jim AC6XG




From -1894053703260537287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 08:39:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2 Feb 2001 18:06:09 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
```

Lines: 39
Message-ID: <95esuh$h1m$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net>
<3A7A0E3B.3DFFA63E@uci.edu> <95dd1o$enn$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 981137169 17462 205.139.138.14 (2 Feb 2001 18:06:09 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Feb 2001 18:06:09 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: Fred@w4jle.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14776

In article <95dd1o$enn$1@iac5.navix.net>, W4JLE <Fred@w4jle.com> writes:

> I meant by "older Hams", that group of amateurs who had obtained their licenses under the pre 4/00 rule changes.

I obtained my current license under the "pre 6/63" rule changes, but I have no reason to believe that someone who got their license as recently as yesterday is any less qualified than I am. In fact, some might argue that they are likely to be more qualified since their examination was on topics more advanced than my examination.

> To see him abused by a member of the ARRL staff is so far over the edge, I had to state my feelings.

What "abuse"? W1RFI is one of the most even-handed contributors to discussions here, stating his views politely and clearly without abusive language. We don't link your comments to your employer, and Ed is careful in all cases not to link his comments to his employer, so your gratuitous slam at ARRL is unwarranted.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts

```
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net


From -3505348853906990587
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 09:01:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 10:51:28 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A7AE590.7DCE0A9C@IEEE.org>
References: <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <20010201224959.15140.00000307@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <3A7A3DC2.8D11EF67@IEEE.org> <95ejcs$b3$0@208.206.142.91>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ec
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 17:01:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14777

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Cecil will never get over it. His EE didn't qualify him for an Extra
> class ham radio license until the Big Dumb Down, and he still squirms
> because of it.

You seem to be the one doing all the squirming, Dick. I merely met
the requirements for the Extra extrance exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -640978468370369391
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 09:23:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 2 Feb 2001 17:23:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
```

Lines: 32
Message-ID: <95eqeh$gra$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91> <3A7AD086.15CCE391@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14778

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > >
> > > Got any proof? What sampling rate does it require to
> > > reproduce 455 kHz IF information?
> >
> > That little tidbit has absolutely nothing to do with amateur radio. The
> > fact that some receivers use a 455 kHz IF might.
>
> Sampling rates have nothing to do with amateur radio? Sez who?

SAYS I, of course. It's the TERM that has nothing to do with ham radio. "Sampling rates" are important only to those who prefer to think in such digital terms, for their own reasons. Only when a theorist decides to describe the world in digital terms ascribed to analog systems dot hose digital terms take on importance. The rest of the time the world of ham radio turnsd quite well without them. It did before the first theorist decided to describe the operation of an IF in terms of "sampling rates". It continues to do so to this day, in spite of your leaping to show your grasp of all this new description of old phenomena.
So you can calculate whatever that rate might be said to be. So what? It changes nothing whatever of the system, and I guarnatee that I can design and build an IF chain without ever having heard of the term "sampling rate". So as far as the operation of that chain--and the vast numbers of they that have gone before- it means nothing whatever. It just means that some theorist decided to view the world in his own terms.

From 1263988467496280359
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 09:25:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com> <20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A7A0E3B.3DFFA63E@uci.edu> <95dd1o$enn$1@iac5.navix.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 68
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 12:25:45 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981134751 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 02 Feb 2001 12:25:51 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 12:25:51 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14779

W4JLE wrote:
>
> Let me restate Jim, I can see how what I said could be confusing.
>
> I meant by "older Hams", that group of amateurs who had obtained their licenses under the pre 4/00 rule changes.
>
> There seems to be a one-way street working here, bend over backwards for the new guys (Which I have no problem with welcoming and helping anyone regardless of rule dates) while denigrating the efforts of the "old hams" as ego, pride, elitism, and other terms that imply that if you are not 100% in agreement, you are a less than desirable person. One who's opinions do not matter and are fair game for abuse.

Simply untrue. There are many -- including participants of this forum -- who disagree with the changes and who Ed has never so much as gently chided, because they have expressed their disagreement while maintaining respect for those who in turn disagreed with them.

> Larry can be a bit of a hardnose, but he is equally correct in his beliefs as anyone else on here. To see him abused by a member of the ARRL staff is so far over the edge, I had to state my feelings. With the exception of Cecil, I think everyone on here has some level that once it is reached. they make a decision to do something else rather than be associated with the abusive group.

Larry has stated repeatedly that any ham who has not passed the same exams Larry has is a lesser ham than Larry. He is not "equally correct" in that belief although he is, of course, entitled to hold that, or any, opinion. Ed finds Larry's view distasteful. (So do I.) You may not. But it is incorrect to say that when Ed expresses his distaste for that view and the attitude that underlies it, he is denigrating that group of amateurs who obtained their licenses under the pre 4/00 rule changes. For one thing, he'd be denigrating himself. For another, the members of that group of licensees by no means all subscribe to Larry's view of ham strata. In my experience, very few of them do.

Reviewing the exchange that Ed was referring to:

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3A76E8A4.CD47059E@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Yep, when my three year old grandson grows up and becomes an Extra,
> >Dick will still be calling him a pseudoExtra.
>
> I'll call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" myself. However,
> PseudoExtra does have a nice ring to it! Kinda grows on you,
> doesn't it?

The attitude expressed by Larry deserves, and got, censure. In brief, Ed attacked the views and attitude expressed by Larry. That does not constitute an attack on all older (by any definition) hams, or even an attack on those who believe in code testing. It is merely an attack on an unconscionable denigration of the innocent.

> The code/nocode has gotten so far out of hand that I don't think we will
> ever see a resolution in any near future. Bad enough that those that
> disagree had to grudgingly go along with it, now all the nah nah na nah
> nah's from the no code folks that feel they have to dance around in glee.
> Cheered on by no less than Mr. politically correct.

Those who belittle hams who did not obtain their licenses under the old system deserve to have that behavior treated with comtempt because it is comtemptible. That has nothing to do with one's opinion of the value of code testing.

Jon

From -2548899024906616452
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 09:50:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 09:51:05 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine

Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95mp3c$2i1$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A7A0E3B.3DFFA63E@uci.edu> <20010204104709.03780.00001055@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-91.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14932

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message >
> Jim:
>
> I don't think Fred was referring to chronological age; he was referring
> to hams who have been licensed for longer periods of time.

Yes. It is much easier to know that now that he has said as much. Until then, I just thought older meant older. W4JLE is older in that sense. Still, the accusation he made is no more valid by either definition.

> Since
> I was originally licensed in '81 and attained Extra class in '83, I meet
> my own definition as an "older" ham, regardless of my age.

I think all that's important is that we meet the definition of a good ham.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 1122135384974533998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 10:00:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 09:54:48 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <95mpab$2lp$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <95i5do$k80$1@news.service.uci.edu> <20010204002043.18047.00001245@nso-ff.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-91.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14933

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010204002043.18047.00001245@nso-ff.aol.com...
> In article <95i5do$k80$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley"
<jwkelley@uci.edu>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20010202234912.00445.00001404@nso-bj.aol.com...
> >
> >> The FCC doesn't think a code test "proves" anything to them
> >> for the purposes of granting a US amateur radio license. The
> >> FCC has said so...twice in a decade.
> >
> >They said that it doesn't prove anything about character. They never
said
> >it doesn't prove "anything". Obviously it proves code proficiency. They
> >have more of a neutral bias on the issue than you do, I think..
>
> As far as what I've been able to read in 1990 and in 1999, the FCC
> said that the amateur radio code test does not prove anything for
> their purposes of granting a US amateur radio license. [please to
> include the whole sentence I wrote in quoting]
>
> Can you say the only US civil radio regulatory agency has a "bias"
> on what they grant? Said "bias" is a very subjective thing for every
> observer. The FCC simply regulates US civil radio and issues
> licenses where regulations call for them.
>
> Yes, the code test proves some elementary code cognition
> ability. Is that the whole point of US amateur radio below 30
> MHz? To prove some code capability?
>
> Is obtaining a code-tested US amateur radio license all about
> "proving one's worth to the 'amateur community?'" I don't think
> so looking at it from the FCC's point of view. The US amateur
> radio community doesn't issue licenses nor does it "grade" any
> licensee...officially.
>
> As of December 1997, the number of code-tested US amateurs
> was 73.39% of the total licensees while the number of US
> amateurs not code tested was 26.61% of the total.
>
> As of December 2000, the number of code-tested US amateurs
> was 67.9% of the total, the number of non-code-tested US
> amateurs was 32.1%. In actual numbers of amateur licensees,
> the number of code-tested amateurs is dropping while the
> number of non-code-tested amateurs is rising. It's been that
> way for several years. The FCC can see that rather well.

>
> Is the FCC "neutral" on amateur code testing? I don't think so.
> If they were "neutral" they wouldn't have made the statements
> they did in 1990 and 1999 R&Os about code tests...probably
> wouldn't have even bothered to create the Technician class.

They said that the code test doesn't prove anything about character. They
never said it doesn't prove "anything". Obviously it proves code
proficiency. They have more of a neutral bias on the issue than you do, I
think..

73, Jim AC6XG

From -3575955094300459426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 10:00:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 09:58:04 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <95mpgg$2rm$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <95i563$k78$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010204113620.29597.00005575@nso-mi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-91.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14934

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010204113620.29597.00005575@nso-mi.aol.com...
> In article <95i563$k78$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley"
<jwkelley@uci.edu>
> writes:
>
> >
> >Spectrum allocations are a whole different ball of wax. Personally, I
don't
> >see the use of phone in the Novice CW portions of the band as much of a
> >threat to CW. I can sure as heck filter out phone with my CW filter a
lot

> >better than I can filter out CW with my phone filter.
>
> Jim:
>
> Well, then, when the "noise floor" reaches the ceiling, I hope you enjoy
> the challenge of operating CW among all those high-powered, over-
> compressed, over processed phone signals!

If the noise floor reaches the ceiling as you say, it'd still be easier to copy CW out of it than it would be to copy phone.

```
From -212163350958920776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 10:09:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 5 Feb 2001 19:31:24 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <95mv2c$kpg$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3a7df549.9808660@news.mindspring.com>
<20010204223439.13655.00002587@nso-mq.aol.com>
<3a7e47b9.1005425@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 981401484 21296 205.139.138.14 (5 Feb 2001 19:31:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Feb 2001 19:31:24 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: billsohl@mindspring.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14935
```

In article <3a7e47b9.1005425@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>Airtight assurances?

The amateur community begged for an allocation for high speed digital interconnect circuits. ARRL lobbied FCC and won a new allocation at 219-220 MHz, reserved solely for high speed digital backbone circuits.

It is virtually unused!

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -4174337499338152811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 10:10:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 10:01:54 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <95mpnn$2v8$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <95i563$k78$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010204113620.29597.00005575@nso-mi.aol.com> <3A7E2A65.10D7D804@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-91.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14936

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A7E2A65.10D7D804@IEEE.org...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Well, then, when the "noise floor" reaches the ceiling, I hope you enjoy
> > the challenge of operating CW among all those high-powered, over-
> > compressed, over processed phone signals!

> - . -.   ..-. --- ..- .-.   --. ..- -..   -... ..- -.. -.. -.--
> --

.-   --.   -.   ..--..   -.-

From 1297652205832895962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 10:21:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Feb 2001 18:21:20 GMT
References: <95m92h$lv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010205132120.00445.00001527@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14937

In article <95m92h$lv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>Code-tested licensees declined by 9,531 while non-code-tested
>>licensees increased by 13,132
>
>(Big snip...)
>
>>That supposed "entry level" class is very nearly one-third of all US
>>licensees.
>
>   Which STILL makes the CODE TESTED licenses 66 2/3 of the total, a
>majority (not that it matters) by ANYONE'S definition.

Code-tested licensees are DIMINISHING in number. The
trend is there, visible to all except those who wear blinders
of old-time CW radio.

Non-code-tested licensees are INCREASING in number.

If it weren't for the 1990 creation of the Technician class
license in the USA, the number of USA radio amateurs
would be DECREASING in number.

That trend has been clear to the FCC for over a decade.

That trend hasn't been seen by those who jumped through old-standard hoops or who think they are "boss" in ham radio through meeting old standards.

>   And in as much as the Technician Class is now only one of three
>licenses available, what's so significant that it's "one-third of all
>US licensees", other than you trolling for another "no cw test"
>arguement?

Wrongo. The restructuring only removed three of six NEW-license classes. Novice, Technician-Plus, and Advanced classes are still in the US amateur radio database AND in the revised Part 97.

For those who have taken an overly-long lunch hour (perhaps to another planet), the morse code test is STILL PRESENT in US amateur regulations for General and Extra classes.

The morse code test for any worldwide amateur radio license is STILL PRESENT in ITU-R standard S25.5.

>   Not that we'd expect anything less.

What is your point by that closing crack? Are you going to launch into the usual personal attack commentary? Try to avoid such comments since you can't win a single thing by doing so.

didit

```
From -1159826535111660417
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 10:35:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 12:25:36 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A7EF020.6943B04@IEEE.org>
References: <95i563$k78$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010204113620.29597.00005575@nso-mi.aol.com> <3A7E2A65.10D7D804@IEEE.org>
<95mpnn$2v8$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ef
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 18:32:58 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14938

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3A7E2A65.10D7D804@IEEE.org...
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > Well, then, when the "noise floor" reaches the ceiling, I hope you enjoy
> > > the challenge of operating CW among all those high-powered, over-
> > > compressed, over processed phone signals!
>
> > - . -.   ..-. --- ..- .-.   --. ..- -..   -... ..- -.. -.. -.--
> > --
>
> .-   --.   -.    ..--..   -.-

The > > -- is part of my signature file, not part of the Morse code
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 1750551177643659753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 11:30:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: Carl Zager <kb9rvb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 19:17:15 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 67
Message-ID: <95mu7h$aut$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org> <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-
fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.106.97.253
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 19:17:15 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (WinNT; I ;Nav)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 206.106.97.253
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDkb9rvb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14939

Why are you screaming that the other side has no evidence and your side
does -- without offering the evidence to support your position?
```

Your arguments throughout the various permutations of these debates have been based entirely on personal opinion and entirely on as much anecdotal evidence as you accuse the others of offering.

You are sure "if the truth be known it support [you]." Yet you offer no statistical evidence of your own, only continual demands that those who disagree with you do the research so that you can nit-pick it.

Put up. Is there less CW activity as a result of the 5wpm? How do you know? Anecdotes from HF operators? Statements from new 5 wpm Hams about what modes they are using? Is there more or less QRP interest since 5wpm? Among new 5wpm-ers or among oldtimers? What is the evidence? Not what you think, what are the facts? What can you prove?

I was almost happier when you were posturing yourself as the gatekeeper of appropriate callsigns. Now you are the determiner of Ham motivation. "Everyone knows..." is not a fact. "When I started..." is a fact only for you. Whether it applies to anyone else is an opinion. Why someone is motivated is so personal to that person that your attempts to describe every Ham as sharing your motivations and your interests is close to an insult.

In article <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-fi.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org>, Cecil
<Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >
> >W1RFI wrote:
> >> If you really are giving up, perhaps you could stand back and let
those
> >with a
> >> more positive outlook take over from here. Your choice of words is
really
> >> trying to hasten the demise of my favorite mode. I disagree with
you that
> >Morse
> >> code use is unable to stand on its own merits.
>
> My choice of words is telling the truth, as it always has. If you are
> so adamantly "Pro-Code," why didn't you support it by insisting on
> retaining the status quo in testing requirements?
>
> >Ditto for me. QRP interest is on the rise.
>
> Is that among currently licensed, already CW-using hams, or
> among new hams, recently licensed, and who just learned CW
> for the sake of accessing the benefits of QRP operation? I'm sure
> that if the truth could be known, it would support my arguments,
> not yours. Mine are based on reality; yours are based on
> dreamy, politically correct wishful thinking!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

>
>

--
Carl Zager
http://www.mccsc.edu/~czager
kb9rvb@my-deja.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1421757675745652022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 11:40:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 19:26:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 86
Message-ID: <95mup1$bgk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95m92h$lv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205132120.00445.00001527@nso-bj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 19:26:38 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14940

In article <20010205132120.00445.00001527@nso-bj.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Code-tested licensees are DIMINISHING in number. The
> trend is there, visible to all except those who wear blinders
> of old-time CW radio.

No kidding, Lennie...?!?! You mean that the MOST POPULAR, EASIEST to get license is GROWING..?!?! Say it isn't so!!!

The only person wearing blinders here is YOU, Chump. You CAN'T (or worse, WON'T) see that Amatuer Radio is moving along nicely without you or your opinion. TOO BAD FOR LENNIE!

Must be TERRIBLE to not be wanted!

> If it weren't for the 1990 creation of the Technician class
> license in the USA, the number of USA radio amateurs
> would be DECREASING in number.

Prove it.

> >   And in as much as the Technician Class is now only one of three
> >licenses available, what's so significant that it's "one-third of all
> >US licensees", other than you trolling for another "no cw test"
> >arguement?
>
> Wrongo. The restructuring only removed three of six NEW-
> license classes. Novice, Technician-Plus, and Advanced
> classes are still in the US amateur radio database AND in
> the revised Part 97.

And NOT being issued by the FCC. Novice licenses were essentially zero for years, Tech Plus was just a matter of an administrative update, making the Advanced Class the only real spoiler for your "no-code" arguement.

> For those who have taken an overly-long lunch hour (perhaps
> to another planet), the morse code test is STILL PRESENT in
> US amateur regulations for General and Extra classes.

More "no kidding", Lennie. A whopping 5wpm, which will be ZERO wpm before too long, afterwhich your life will be TOTALLY irrelevant.

> The morse code test for any worldwide amateur radio license
> is STILL PRESENT in ITU-R standard S25.5.

Whoopie doo. After WRC-2003, that's probably going to change. I KNOW you refuse to acknowledge it, but you just keep jousting at that windmill, Quixote. Sooner or later it will cry "Uncle".

> >   Not that we'd expect anything less.
>
> What is your point by that closing crack? Are you going to
> launch into the usual personal attack commentary? Try to
> avoid such comments since you can't win a single thing by
> doing so.

Lennie, YOU are the last person in this newsgroup who has ANY right to whine about "personal attack commentary".

First and foremost because YOU are the Preeminent Abuser of the Ad Hominen Attack. You revel at it. We wouldn't be having THIS exchange if you weren't. So take your crocadile tears and stuff them.

Secondly, I am not the one trying to "win" anything here, Anderson. I HAVE a license. I AM an Amateur. I HAVE all those perks and priviledges that having taken all those tests conveys.

You DON'T have a license because you have neither the guts nor the character to do it, and I am tiring of your incessant whining and hatred of Amateur Radio.

Now, run along, loser. Your troll is kaput.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 4089857955918547969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,b53c57cd65252cf8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b53c57cd65252cf8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,b53c57cd65252cf8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b53c57cd65252cf8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 11:59:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!cabal10.airnews.net!
news.airnews.net!cabal14.airnews.net!news.airnews.net!cabal1.airnews.net!news-
f.iadfw.net!usenet
From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.
amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Drop by  - www.ab7sl.com -
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 12:59:15 -0700
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <997840DF9BC645CD.B7B07E5DBD4C7619.FC81283DC567A4B7@lp.airnews.net>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <95n0ja$gsu@library1.airnews.net>
References: <E726D3BB25D9E8B4.6E6FDE8C6F0A27EF.61E89A24B67E58A5@lp.airnews.net>
<MPG.14e91447c57b768898968b@news.earthlink.net>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library1-aux.airnews.net
NNTP-Posting-Time: Mon Feb  5 13:57:30 2001
NNTP-Posting-Host: !^iP)1k-Y--O:bBFV%r"Ao/<& (Encoded at Airnews!)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:1738
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9209 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12132
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14941

Brian you gotta quit giving my posts a free double-billing!   73 / DX

--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

From -4676553390128171134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ffb140083fd00a09,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 12:00:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!
news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 19:53:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 167
Message-ID: <95n0bk$d3v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 19:53:29 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14942
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12133

ENGINEERS EXPLAINED

People who work or play in the fields of science and technology are not like other people. This can be frustrating to the non-technical (QCAO) people who have to deal with them. The secret to coping with technology-oriented people is to understand their motivations.

Engineering is so trendy these days that everybody wants to be one. The word "engineer" is greatly overused. If there's somebody in your life who you think is trying to pass as an engineer, but is really only a Technician at best, (often only an Appliance Operator) give her(or him) this test to discern the truth.

ENGINEER IDENTIFICATION TEST

You walk into a room and notice that a picture is hanging crooked. You ...

A. Straighten it.
B. Ignore it.
C. Buy a CAD system and spend the next six months designing a solar-powered, self-adjusting picture frame while often stating aloud your belief that the inventor of the nail was a total moron.

The correct answer is "C" but partial credit can be given to anybody who writes, "it depends" in the margin of the test or simply blames the whole stupid thing on "Marketing."

FASCINATION WITH GADGETS

To the engineer, all matter in the universe can be placed into one of two categories:

1. Things that need to be fixed, and
2. Things that will need to be fixed after you've had a few minutes to play with them.

Engineers like to solve problems. If there are no problems handily available, they will create their own problems. Normal people don't understand this concept; they believe that if it ain't broke, don't fix it. Engineers believe that if it ain't broke, it doesn't have enough features yet.

No engineer looks at a television remote control without wondering what it would take to turn it into a stun gun.

No engineer can take a shower without wondering if some sort of Teflon coating would make showering unnecessary.

To the engineer, the world is a toy box full of sub-optimized and feature-poor toys.

HONESTY

Engineers are always honest in matters of technology and human relationships. That's why it's a good idea to keep engineers away from customers, romantic interests, and other people who can't handle the truth.

Engineers sometimes bend the truth to avoid work. They say things that sound like lies but technically are not because nobody could be expected to believe them. The complete list of engineer lies is listed below:

- "I won't change anything without asking you first."
- "I'll return your hard-to-find cable tomorrow."
- "I have to have new equipment to do my job."
- "I'm not jealous of your new computer."

POWERS OF CONCENTRATION

If there is one trait that best defines an engineer it is the ability to concentrate on one subject to the complete exclusion of everything else in the environment. This sometimes causes engineers to be pronounced dead prematurely. Some funeral homes in high-tech areas have started checking resumes before processing the bodies. Anybody with a degree in electrical engineering or experience in computer programming is propped up in the lounge for a few days just to see if she [or he] snaps out of it.

RISK

Engineers HATE risk. They try to eliminate it whenever they can. This is understandable, given that when an engineer makes one little mistake, the media will treat it like it's a big deal or something.

EXAMPLES OF BAD PRESS FOR ENGINEERS

- Incentive Licensing
- Hindenberg
- Space Shuttle Challenger
- SPANet (tm)
- Hubble space telescope
- Apollo 13
- Titanic
- Ford Pinto
- Corvair

The risk/reward calculation for engineers looks something like this:

RISK - public humiliation and the death of thousands of innocent people.
REWARD - a certificate of appreciation in a handsome plastic frame.

EGO

Ego-wise, two things are important to engineers:

1. How smart they are.
2. How many cool devices they own.

The fastest way to get an engineer to solve a problem is to declare that the problem is unsolvable. No engineer can walk away from an unsolvable problem until it's solved. No illness or distraction is sufficient to get the engineer off the case. These types of challenges quickly become personal - a battle between the engineer and the laws of nature.

Engineers will often go without food and hygiene for days to solve a problem - other times, just because they forgot. And when they succeed in solving the problem they will experience an ego rush.

Nothing is more threatening to the engineer than the suggestion that somebody has more technical skill. Normal people sometimes use that knowledge as a lever to extract more work from the engineer. When an engineer says that something can't be done (a code phrase that means it's not fun to do), some clever normal people have learned to glance at the engineer with a look of compassion and pity and say something along these lines: "I'll ask Bob to figure it out. He knows how to solve difficult technical problems."

At that point, it is a good idea for the normal person to not stand between the engineer and the problem. The engineer will set upon the problem like a starved Chihuahua on a pork chop.

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -66019512963131855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 12:19:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 20:18:38 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3a7f09df.831364@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>
<95m92h$lv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.62
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 20:19:34 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14943

On Mon, 05 Feb 2001 13:16:07 GMT, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

>In article <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>Code-tested licensees declined by 9,531 while non-code-tested
>>licensees increased by 13,132
>
>(Big snip...)
>
>>That supposed "entry level" class is very nearly one-third of all US
>>licensees.
>
>   Which STILL makes the CODE TESTED licenses 66 2/3 of the total, a
>majority (not that it matters) by ANYONE'S definition.

A numerical majority simply because almost all those so licensed
had no alternative. It was either pass a code test or no license
at all. Later it became (and still is) pass a code test or no
HF operation. As such, those that have a code license include
many that would have no support for code requiremments.

>   And in as much as the Technician Class is now only one of three
>licenses available, what's so significant that it's "one-third of all
>US licensees", other than you trolling for another "no cw test"
>arguement?

It shows that there is an every increasing number of hams that
don't see any reason to pass a code test.

> Not that we'd expect anything less.

Woudn't want you to be disappointed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -7230715365102120152
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 12:32:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Novice CW
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 20:18:19 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <95n1q0$eeh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 20:18:19 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14944

For a reasoned and well thought response to RM-10018, see the following URL:

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/ecfs/retrieve.cgi?native_or_pdf=pdf&id_document=6512460080

I support it 100%.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4426788821185974691
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 12:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 12:30:25 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A7F0D61.E96AC732@uci.edu>
References: <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14945

Len Over 21 wrote:

> By the end of December 2000, code-tested licensees
> were 67.9% of the total, non-code-tested licensees were
> 32.1% of the total.

> The balance scales keep tilting in favor of the non-code-
> tested license classes.

That's a funny kinda scale you got there, Len. You either need to have
it calibrated, or you need to try to keep your thumb off of it.

73 de ac6xg

From -7715248885292226025
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 13:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail

From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 21:08:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <95n4n9$hc7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3A7F0D61.E96AC732@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 21:08:01 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14946

In article <3A7F0D61.E96AC732@uci.edu>,
Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > The balance scales keep tilting in favor of the non-code-
> > tested license classes.
>
> That's a funny kinda scale you got there, Len. You either need to
have
> it calibrated, or you need to try to keep your thumb off of it.
>
> 73 de ac6xg

File under "Figures don't lie, but liars figure."

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8817391709764564085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 13:30:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 21:20:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <95n5f8$i20$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95bq8f$ja4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010204104711.03780.00001058@nso-
ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 21:20:52 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14947
```

In article <20010204104711.03780.00001058@nso-ch.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:

> No, thank God, I don't! Oh, speaking of God, that's another problem
> I have with Code Quick. It indulges in religious proselytizing right
in
> the middle of the tape. Specifically, fundamentalist Christian
> proselytizing. Why is religion brought into the program? What about
> potential customers who are Catholic, Jewish, Muslim, or any other
> non-fundamentalist Christian religion? If the guy wants to sell
> religious tapes, he should do so within the confines of that market --
> and not include HIS specific religious beliefs in a program marketed
> to people from all walks of life who are interested in ham radio

Really? If I were God, I wouldn't want to be on a code tape!
If I were God, I'd get together with all my other God friends
and have a big party. We'd all get drunk and create unliftable
rocks, then try to lift them. It would be loads of fun! Then I'd
probably just destroy the rocks with a lightning bolt. Then I'd
probably pass out. :^)

73, Hans, K0HB

--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
  eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
  to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
  Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5671874086192198960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 15:20:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 23:12:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95nc0t$ojn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3A7F0D61.E96AC732@uci.edu> <95n4n9$hc7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 23:12:38 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14949

In article <95n4n9$hc7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> File under "Figures don't lie, but liars figure."<

But I thought there weren't any liars here...?!?! Or did I
misunderstand something?

73

Steve, K4YZ

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3868026708289977164

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 15:20:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
feeder.kornet.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: 5 Feb 2001 22:20:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95n8ut031ba@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <95bq8f$ja4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010204104711.03780.00001058@nso-
ch.aol.com> <u29s7tsndq6lu5r4gf5grfq9do9g4211n7@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-405.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14950

In article <u29s7tsndq6lu5r4gf5grfq9do9g4211n7@4ax.com>, nomail@nomail.com
says...

>>it offers the proverbial
>>free lunch -- and therefore, gets a strong following from the
>>clients of the ham radio Welfare State.
>
>This is the *real reason* you don't like Code Quick. People who have
>no intention of ever using the code on the air have found success in
>using Code Quick to quickly pass the code test. But then I suppose
>that's similar to people who have no intention of learning electronics
>using memorization to quickly pass the written test...

Larry will never change. Technology has advanced in the area of superior modes, but his proselytizing is akin to having to demonstrate how to start a car with a hand crank in order to recieve your driver's license.

From 5758507449947514497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 15:20:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride

Date: 5 Feb 2001 22:25:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <95n99a131ba@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3A7DF9E4.7CB17F57@arrl.org> <20010204223439.13655.00002588@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7E2569.7458F345@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-498.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14951

In article <3A7E2569.7458F345@arrl.org>, jbloom@arrl.org says...
>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> In other words, Dick, those of us who support reasonable
>> testing requirements to ensure that radio amateurs can effectively
>> employ one of the most useful communications modes in the ARS
>> are extremists; those who support the concept of touchy-feely,
>> politically correct "inclusiveness" are in the right, due to the fact
>> that they meet the needs of a much larger clientele.
>
>No, the extremists are those of you who denigrate those who haven't
>taken the same tests as you. In short, it has nothing to do with code
>testing and everything to do with how you treat people. If you think how
>you treat others is a issue of "political correctness," well, that
>pretty much proves my point.
>
>Jon

If Larry really cared about amateur radio, he'd be doing some elmering instead
sitting here kvetching on a daily basis.

From 2274612219779024308
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 15:21:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!news.mindspring.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 5 Feb 2001 22:29:45 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <95n9gp231ba@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <20010204223438.13655.00002586@nso-mq.aol.com>

```
<20010205002411.04061.00001322@nso-mh.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-564.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14952

In article <20010205002411.04061.00001322@nso-mh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
says...
>
>In article <20010204223438.13655.00002586@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
>(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>
>>n article <3a7df0fe.8709297@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>>
>>>
>>>ROTFLMAO!  Larry, do youself a favor and go back
>>>and read the stuff you have written before.  To suggest in this
>>>forum that you have never been personally aware of the
>>>"pecking order" is sheer lunacy on your part.  You, more
>>>than most anyone else, have been a key proponent of
>>>that pecking order claim.
>>
>>Bill:
>>
>>I'll admit that I have supported the concept of a progressive,
>>multi-tiered licensing system, for the purpose of encouraging
>>continued learning and communications skill development by
>>using increased operating privileges as the incentive. I have
>>not, to the best of my knowledge, supported this system
>>merely to keep an elitist "pecking order" in place.
>
>By all evidence of your statements in here you DO wish to
>keep the pecking order alive and well because you've
>managed to get to the "top" of the old pecking order.

So now it's known: For Larry, morse code is a phallic symbol.
```

```
From -3917503934114719192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 15:22:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 5 Feb 2001 22:41:36 GMT
```

```
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <95na70331ba@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-812.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14953

In article <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>
>
>Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
>> Be it known to all of yee...
>> Pride cometh with construction of thy own ham gear.
>
>How many license classes are needed for hams to be able to construct their
>own gear?
>
>73, Jim AC6XG

None. but you must have a license if you decide to transmit with it on amateur
frequencies.



From -3768309258056101638
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 15:22:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 5 Feb 2001 22:44:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <95nacd431ba@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3A7B9C02.960659D9@sccoast.net> <20010204121631.29597.00005579@nso-
mi.aol.com> <3A7EAF89.412ECB83@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-876.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14954

In article <3A7EAF89.412ECB83@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>
```

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> Hmmm. Just what *are* the "contributions" of
>> these newly licensed hams you're talking about?
>> Specifics, please -- include any relevant
>> documentation -- news articles, media releases,
>> etc.
>
>
> You're right, Larry, newly licensed hams have probably not
>contributed a thing - only more advanced license holders such as
>yourself could contribute anything to ham radio. By the way, exactly
>how many contributions have you made to ham radio over the great many
>years you've been involved (be sure to include any relevant
>documentation -- news articles, media releases, etc)? Now, we're not
>talking about routine stuff like emergency communications, and so
>forth (plenty of newly licensed hams do that). We're talking about
>those special contributions that you've made, that, as you suggest
>above, newly licensed hams don't make.

His contribution is that he can beep really fast.

From -3508696888588023550
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 15:50:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!upp1.onvoy!onvoy.com!newsengine.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a7df549.9808660@news.mindspring.com>
<20010204223439.13655.00002587@nso-mq.aol.com>
<3a7e47b9.1005425@news.mindspring.com> <95mv2c$kpg$1@news.netmar.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 18:48:29 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3a7f3bdc$0$30012$44a10c7e@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 58ee6f3f.news.net-link.net

```
X-Trace: DXC=_jZ506^:N`?
KcT1HbNQe82BITGoVIjmH6[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7nk`D:Dl[5X1lBFDaL\d^n5UFPa>fim2K<
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14955

<K0HB@qwest.net> wrote in message news:95mv2c$kpg$1@news.netmar.com...

> The amateur community begged for an allocation for high speed digital
> interconnect circuits.  ARRL lobbied FCC and won a new allocation at
> 219-220 MHz, reserved solely for high speed digital backbone circuits.
>
> It is virtually unused!

Why?

Larry   KC8EPO
```

```
From 4777376590209617704
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 15:50:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Feb 2001 23:50:04 GMT
References: <95n0bk$d3v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010205185004.22631.00001778@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14956

In article <95n0bk$d3v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:

>ENGINEERS EXPLAINED
>
>People who work or play in the fields of science and technology

Nice cribbing from elsewhere in the Web, Hans.

I'll be kind and give this a resounding 2 on the Trollometer.

didit
```

From -1240287645042708513
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 15:51:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Feb 2001 23:50:07 GMT
References: <3A7F0D61.E96AC732@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010205185007.22631.00001780@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14957

In article <3A7F0D61.E96AC732@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> By the end of December 2000, code-tested licensees
>> were 67.9% of the total, non-code-tested licensees were
>> 32.1% of the total.
>
>> The balance scales keep tilting in favor of the non-code-
>> tested license classes.
>
>That's a funny kinda scale you got there, Len. You either need to have
>it calibrated, or you need to try to keep your thumb off of it.

Nice try, Jim, but let me cut and paste from my original post -

As of the end of December 1997, code-tested licensees
were 73.4% of the total, non-code-tested licensees were
26.6% of the total.

By the end of December 2000, code-tested licensees
were 67.9% of the total, non-code-tested licensees were
32.1% of the total.

=============

So...going from 73.4% in '67 to 67.9% in '00 for code-tested
and going from 26.6% to 32.1% for not-code-tested doesn't
indicate the scales are shifting? Amazing non-observation
on your part, Jim. Or do you just want to obliterate any
comments that cast doubt on newcomers not favoring code?
Jim

I believe your thumb is somewhere...and not on any posting.

didit

```
From 2901733580583681965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 15:51:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 193
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Feb 2001 23:50:07 GMT
References: <95mup1$bgk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010205185007.22631.00001779@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14958
```

In article <95mup1$bgk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010205132120.00445.00001527@nso-bj.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> Code-tested licensees are DIMINISHING in number. The
>> trend is there, visible to all except those who wear blinders
>> of old-time CW radio.
>
> No kidding, Lennie...?!?! You mean that the MOST POPULAR, EASIEST
>to get license is GROWING..?!?! Say it isn't so!!!

I said it was so. I've been saying so for some years.

What is your point...besides trying to start yet-another "battle"
in here on personalities?

> The only person wearing blinders here is YOU, Chump. You CAN'T
>(or worse, WON'T) see that Amatuer Radio is moving along nicely without
>you or your opinion. TOO BAD FOR LENNIE!

"Amatuer?" Is that anything like a Heath lunchbox rig on 2m?

On subtracting the overall gains from new Technician class licensees over the last 3 years, the remaining five classes show a DECLINE IN TOTAL NUMBERS. That doesn't mean that Amatuer (or even amateur) radio in the USA is "moving along nicely."

>   Must be TERRIBLE to not be wanted!

The whole subject is not about "being wanted." It is rather in pointing out what others have wanted...and that want is not morse code skill tested and approved with an official government merit badge.

>> If it weren't for the 1990 creation of the Technician class
>> license in the USA, the number of USA radio amateurs
>> would be DECREASING in number.
>
>   Prove it.

The proof is in the tabulation of publicly-available data on the AH0A website. Simply subtract the number of Technician class licensees from the total US ARS licensees. The total of all code-tested licensees is DECREASING.

>> Wrongo. The restructuring only removed three of six NEW-
>> license classes. Novice, Technician-Plus, and Advanced
>> classes are still in the US amateur radio database AND in
>> the revised Part 97.
>
>   And NOT being issued by the FCC. Novice licenses were essentially
>zero for years, Tech Plus was just a matter of an administrative
>update, making the Advanced Class the only real spoiler for your "no-
>code" arguement.

Really really lame response. I said that the FCC is NOT issuing any NEW Novice, Tech-Plus, or Advanced licenses. Quit trying to prove some point by rewording it.

Have the regulations in Part 97 eliminated the Advanced, Tech-Plus, or Novice licensees? No. They are still there in current law. The USA still has 6 classes of amateur radio licenses.

>> For those who have taken an overly-long lunch hour (perhaps
>> to another planet), the morse code test is STILL PRESENT in
>> US amateur regulations for General and Extra classes.
>
>   More "no kidding", Lennie. A whopping 5wpm, which will be ZERO
>wpm before too long, afterwhich your life will be TOTALLY irrelevant.

Define "too long," hotshot. WRC-03? How do you "know" that? There is nothing firm in ITU agendas or study group documents or FCC International Bureau agenda items that indicates ITU-R S25.5 will be discussed at all, let alone be voted upon.

According to the FCC International Bureau there will be some discussion at WRC-03 on S25. S25 is the entire set of amateur radio standards.

> Whoopie doo. After WRC-2003, that's probably going to change.

You can't know that.

For one thing, the recent ARRL BoD policy position was to NOT make any statements on what the FCC should do IFF ("if and only if") S25.5 were deleted. If the ARRL has any clout with the FCC then that policy position would have the FCC *KEEP* the code test even if the ITU-R S25.5 were deleted. [do you understand the significance of that or do you only want to fight about personalities?]

>KNOW you refuse to acknowledge it, but you just keep jousting at that
>windmill, Quixote. Sooner or later it will cry "Uncle".

ALL laws, rules, regulations may appear to be sturdy "windmills" that refuse to be beaten. However, all those laws, rules, and regulations were made by man...and can be eliminated by man.

It is not an quixotic undertaking in attempting to change law, Sancho Panza.

> Lennie, YOU are the last person in this newsgroup who has ANY right
>to whine about "personal attack commentary".

Poor baby. You want to strut around as a Dill Instructor and issue orders as if you had some USMC rank in here...and then accuse others of "improper behavior."

Tsk, tsk, tsk...nobody is Obeying the Dill Instructor.

But, big steebie HAS to make this a personal issue with -

> First and foremost because YOU are the Preeminent Abuser of the Ad
>Hominen Attack. You revel at it. We wouldn't be having THIS exchange
>if you weren't. So take your crocadile tears and stuff them.

Poor baby...you want to Issue Orders and then get all bent out of shape if someone comes on back IN KIND and shoves it back down your throat. Tsk, tsk, tsk, tsk....and tsk.

> Secondly, I am not the one trying to "win" anything here,
>Anderson. I HAVE a license. I AM an Amateur. I HAVE all those perks
>and priviledges that having taken all those tests conveys.

Oh, my, yes. :-)

Poor baby is suffering Lack of Respect Syndrome?

I started this particular thread regarding newcomers opting for what in USA amateur radio. Based on publicly-available data as tabulated by Joe Speroni on his website (AH0A is a fan of morse code). This thread was NOT about big Steebie or his accomplishments, didn't even name Robeson or even hint at that noble eminence.

That the US ARS newcomers are NOT opting for morse code has nothing whatever to do with personal viewpoints of anyone who is already maximally "upgraded" in licensing.

> You DON'T have a license because you have neither the guts nor the
> character to do it, and I am tiring of your incessant whining and
> hatred of Amateur Radio.

Poor poor little peurile creature still trying to taunt and belittle newcomers or maybe-comers as if this were the USMC.

It's sad that the maximally-upgraded non-comms of US amateur radio have sunk to such a level. One could well imagine these militaristic patronistic self-elevated knowitall jerkoffs demanding "blood pinning" to prove their amateur radio worth.

Get this through your cartilage-filled cranium, Steebie, I have NO repeat NO "hatred" of amateur radio. I once had thoughts of getting an amateur license to round out my commercial license and have some fun in retirement. Those thoughts have been repeatedly dashed for around two decades by the self-important, self-appointed non-comms of US amateur radio who keep insisting that all newcomers have to de exactly as they did or be the subject of all kinds of personal abuse.

Now, it is my experience that MOST radio amateurs are not the self-important, self-appointed non-comm Dill Instructors of some kind of US Amateur Marine Code Corps...but there are just enough of YOU around to make it unattractive to many..

> Now, run along, loser. Your troll is kaput.

The original starting message of this thread was not a troll, but rather a refutation of some statements made by some self-important, self-appointed non-comm Dill Instructors in other platoons of the US Amateur Marine Code Corps.

Now put on your campaign hat and run some code cadets through some close order drill. Try to stay out of discussions of radio regulation changes and, certainly, radio theory. You can't handle either.

It's the SUBJECT, not personalities stupid.

didit

PS: "Non-comm" is an individual who cannot communicate
in any reasonable discussion other than to denigrate and
villify those persons who do not share the non-comm's
viewpoints.   A "Dill Instructor" is the self-styled non-comm
who struts around shouting Orders To Be Obeyed as if the
amateur radio service were really a Military Service.

From 1723371779852960270
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 15:54:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 2
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: kg4ggx@aol.com (KG4GGX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Feb 2001 23:53:07 GMT
References: <95n1q0$eeh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Novice CW
Message-ID: <20010205185307.24637.00001048@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14959

For some reason I can not open this.
 73 Rob

From -3495164800361234065
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,631c636097f3e7a8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,631c636097f3e7a8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,631c636097f3e7a8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,631c636097f3e7a8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 16:21:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jack" <kc8pkn@arrl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.
amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Drop by - www.k7on.com -

```
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 19:21:59 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <95ng0j$oa1$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <E726D3BB25D9E8B4.6E6FDE8C6F0A27EF.61E89A24B67E58A5@lp.airnews.net>
<MPG.14e91447c57b768898968b@news.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.b1.4c
X-Server-Date: 6 Feb 2001 00:20:35 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:1740
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9227 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12147
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14960

WOW      Another Canon A-1 user.

Jack


"Brian Short" <k7on@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.14e91447c57b768898968b@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <E726D3BB25D9E8B4.6E6FDE8C6F0A27EF.61E89A24B67E58A5
> @lp.airnews.net>, ctj92@earthlink.net says...
> > Lots of STUFF to do such as...
> >
> > Charles Johnston
> > charles@ab7sl.com
> >
> >  - Ham Radio Pages
> > ---------------------------
>
>
> --
>  http://www.k7on.com/
> --




From -8911219654665295261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,fe33ecb08a074c3c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,fe33ecb08a074c3c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,fe33ecb08a074c3c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fe33ecb08a074c3c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 16:25:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
```

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!nwnews.wa.com!brokaw.wa.com!not-for-mail
From: kh2d@my-deja.com (Jim)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.
amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Drop by  - kh2d.net -
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 00:03:45 GMT
Organization: WinStar NorthWest Nexus
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3a7f3e27.45097540@news.guam.net>
References: <E726D3BB25D9E8B4.6E6FDE8C6F0A27EF.61E89A24B67E58A5@lp.airnews.net>
<MPG.14e91447c57b768898968b@news.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pacrimgolf.kuentos.guam.net
X-Trace: brokaw.wa.com 981418019 9522 202.128.8.252 (6 Feb 2001 00:06:59 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Feb 2001 00:06:59 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:1741
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9228 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12148
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14961

Not much to do, but you don't have to type the
'www' into your browser so you can get there
faster....


http://kh2d..net






From -2091800318289679000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 16:36:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 6 Feb 2001 00:36:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <95ngv9$7ci$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org> <20010204104710.03780.00001056@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14962

Jon Bloom wrote:

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > Personally, I have complete contempt for what ham radio has turned into.
>
> We know that, Larry. But the only thing that has changed about ham radio is that the artificial pecking order of license classes has been deemphasized. Ham radio is still what its participants make of it. You still have authorization to use a wide variety of signal types and operating techniques on a wide selection of frequency bands. There still are many people interested in that wide variety of activities with whom to consort. If part of what you derived from ham radio was the I'm-better-than-them ego boost provided by your position in the license structure, ham radio's benefit to you has indeed been diminished. That frankly doesn't concern me since ego boosting isn't the purpose of ham radio.

Jon, there's sure nothing artificial about that boatload of nonsense, it's the real thing for certain.

When the Extras of today are commonly licensed with no more technical nor code qualifications than Novices of old, and nothing has changed but some vaporous "artificial pecking order"??
You know the appropriate descriptive word - and it isn't bullfeathers.

Dick

From -1862195615568552936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 17:00:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 00:49:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <95nhmm$tgn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com> <3A7F0D61.E96AC732@uci.edu> <95n4n9$hc7$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95nc0t$ojn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49

```
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Feb 06 00:49:29 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14963

In article <95nc0t$ojn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <95n4n9$hc7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
>
> > File under "Figures don't lie, but liars figure."<
>
>      But I thought there weren't any liars here...?!?!  Or did I
> misunderstand something?

LIERS?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 5155191001759311742
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 17:10:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 17:08:27 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A7F4E8B.2D785130@uci.edu>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95na70331ba@enews1.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14965

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
```

> >
> >
> >Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> Be it known to all of yee...
> >> Pride cometh with construction of thy own ham gear.
> >
> >How many license classes are needed for hams to be able to construct their
> >own gear?
> >
> >73, Jim AC6XG
>
> None. but you must have a license if you decide to transmit with it on amateur
> frequencies.

Seems to me I read about that somewhere.

From 5981330325548586440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 17:10:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 17:07:18 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu>
References: <3A7F0D61.E96AC732@uci.edu> <20010205185007.22631.00001780@nso-fz.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14964

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Nice try, Jim, but let me cut and paste from my original post -

Everybody knows what you said, whether I include it in my post or not
Len. It does not change a thing.

> As of the end of December 1997, code-tested licensees
> were 73.4% of the total, non-code-tested licensees were
> 26.6% of the total.

> By the end of December 2000, code-tested licensees

> were 67.9% of the total, non-code-tested licensees were
> 32.1% of the total.
>
> =============
>
> So...going from 73.4% in '67 to 67.9% in '00 for code-tested
> and going from 26.6% to 32.1% for not-code-tested doesn't
> indicate the scales are shifting? Amazing non-observation
> on your part, Jim. Or do you just want to obliterate any
> comments that cast doubt on newcomers not favoring code?
> Jim

I just know how scales work, Len. The scale gets tipped in the direction of the heavier side. Your scale was tipped the other way - by YOU.

73 de ac6xg

From -6333522750304786854
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 17:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 01:09:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <95nisp$ugv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95mup1$bgk$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205185007.22631.00001779@nso-fz.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Feb 06 01:09:50 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14966

In article <20010205185007.22631.00001779@nso-fz.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

Entire rambling, self appreciating trash deleted.

Leonard, I tried to read through the post, but it's just more of the same.....you took a powder from the NG for a while, but now your spite is built up again and you just can't help yourself.

You are a very sick, tormented and dying man, faced with your impending mortality. You haven't adjusted. There are/were things you wanted to do in life but never did for whatever reason, and by-golly SOMEONE is going to pay for it.

Unfortunately, it is US.

No one gives a damn about the Sperroni numbers, how you single-handedly built a USN/USA communications net out of an old TV and a pair of 6146's, or your opinion on Morse Code testing. They don't matter.

You have proven how callous and shallow you are on occassions TOO NUMEROUS to count. You have insulted and denigrated just about every subset of society that you can that is "not you" in order to try and prove YOUR superiority.

I know you think this NG is somehow important or that anyone here really gives a hoot about you, your opinion, or MY opinion ABOUT you, but the fact remains that this is just a respository for "actin' a fool", and you, Lennie the Licenseless, are it's center stage court jester. (You WERE in second place, but first place got wise and got lost, so you ascended to first only through attrition)

Meanwhile, those of us with a license (regardless of class) will be having fun on a radio tonight while you slather over the keyboard to make some meaningless and ineffectual response to this post.

Good night, Lennie. Your life whittles away................

PS: This was for you..........
--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7242312965127238920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 17:30:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 01:20:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <95njft$v1n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>
<95m92h$lv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3a7f09df.831364@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Feb 06 01:20:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14967

In article <3a7f09df.831364@news.mindspring.com>,
billsohl@mindspring.com wrote:

> It shows that there is an every increasing number of hams that
> don't see any reason to pass a code test.

Meaningless, Bill.

We are now into our 11th year of the "no code" era. And with the exception of a brief explosion of new licensees in 91-92, the "growth rate" of Amatuer Radio has hardly been "stellar", and barely above attrition.

The NCT has NOT been the "growth hormone" it was cast to be at it's inception. Is it beneficial...?!?! Of course it is. But it's NOT been the "fix all" that it was forcasted to be at it's onset.

Lennie makes some deal about how this is the "fastest growing class" of licensee. Well, I'd say that it's NO WHERE close to being where it COULD be, and it's NOTHING close to being some revoloution that Lennie claims.

You said that the code tested licensee's were that way because they had no other choice.

I suggest you go back and research the demographics of the current TechPlus and above licensees and look at the dates of thier entry to Ham Radio. More than half of that 66 2/3 HAD a choice.

73

Steve, K4YZ

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

```
Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -1097968249534187403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 17:30:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!
newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 01:23:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95njm0$v52$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95n0bk$d3v$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205185004.22631.00001778@nso-
fz.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Feb 06 01:23:20 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14968

In article <20010205185004.22631.00001778@nso-fz.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> I'll be kind and give this a resounding 2 on the Trollometer.

     You would, but the REAL engineers that I work with have been
laughing thier butts off reading it.

     Not usta-bee ex-technicians like yourself, that is.
--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"When You Earnestly Believe You Can Compensate For A Lack OF Skill By
Doubling Your Efforts, There's No End To What You Can't Do"




Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 3012334951521900090
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 17:38:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Novice CW
Date: 6 Feb 2001 01:38:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <95nkim$g04$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <95n1q0$eeh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205185307.24637.00001048@ng-
bg1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14969

The link doesn't work.

KG4GGX wrote:
>
> For some reason I can not open this.
>  73 Rob


From -3494776504389178239
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 19:10:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 02:59:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 75
Message-ID: <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.55
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Feb 06 02:59:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.55
```

X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14970

In article <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>,
"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>
> Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
> > Be it known to all of yee...
> > Pride cometh with construction of thy own ham gear.

PRIDE comes with motherhood or fatherhood but there are no classes required to become a mother or father....unless you want to count optional sex education from Dr. Ruth.

> How many license classes are needed for hams to be able to construct
their
> own gear?

NONE.

All Hams are permitted by law to build anything that they want to build and this includes Radio transmitters, provided that the transmitter outputs comply with FCC regulations (It does not include propriatory descramblers or items that could be used by spies which might be deemed illegal or a threat to national security). BUT do hams want to build anything themselves if they have no confidence that they are smart enough to do so? Are most US hams in favor of having the state of the Ham Radio art advanced solely by Japanese hams?

BUT many more license classes are needed for hams, as a group, to comply with the goals of the basis and purpose of amateur radio. This is now especially true because President George W. Bush wants all levels of education to be accountable. "Accountable" means taking appropriate written tests to establish all standard levels of education. Pres. Bush says this was necessary in Texas and is also necessary in the entire USA, since the value of education available can not meet national goals without such tests. If ham radio is to be exempt then it must admit that it is no longer a worthy educational hobby. If it is not to be exempt then we need more tests. If a majority of Hams can not pass the tests...I'm sure that Pres. Bush wouldn't want to take away their licenses or privileges. Hmmmm...Maybe we can get the federal government to issue vouchers so that Hams can get "better" Ham Radio classes at the church of their choice.

Nah...Maybe many Hams are in favor of separation of signals from noise, communication from spam...and church from state.
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

Please keep thinking...and don't hesitate to post if you have any more questions.

73, Bob Wex w2ilp (Intelligent Learned President?)

Folks are dumb,
Whar I come from,
Bout schools 'n books n' lernen.
Still they die at ninty-three...
Doin' what comes naturally.

Hams radiate,
Procrastinate,
And don't check their Vis-warh.
They can't live to ninty-three...
Knowing less that a cee-bee.

Hams got dumb,
Where I come from,
No building and no learning,
Still licensed by the eff-cee-cee...
Doing what comes naturally.

--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1211663578038991318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 19:20:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!news.gtei.net.MISMATCH!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!nycmny1-
snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 03:08:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <95nprn$4fb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com> <95lcr2$qf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95mojb$2c5$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.55
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Feb 06 03:08:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.55

```
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14971

In article <95mojb$2c5$1@news.service.uci.edu>,
  "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>
> Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message news:95lcr2> > >In
article
> <95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu>,
> > > >  "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> > > >> Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
>
> > > >> > Hams need more license classes and/or official FCC technical
> > > >> > endorsements.
>
> > > >> In order to do what?
>
> > To be Hams.

Well...actually REAL HAMS.

> But only one license class is necessary for that to be true.

Yep...Some kids learn to read in kindergarden with only one reading
test by a psychologist...but most kids need more time, teachers and
TESTS which they usually get in higher classes.
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

73, Bob Wex w2ilp (Increase License Potential)


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -7669003295994593196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 19:30:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.98.98.64!
hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 19:22:27 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
```

<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14972

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> BUT many more license classes are needed for hams, as a group, to
> comply with the goals of the basis and purpose of amateur radio. This
> is now especially true because President George W. Bush wants all
> levels of education to be accountable. "Accountable" means taking
> appropriate written tests to establish all standard levels of
> education. Pres. Bush says this was necessary in Texas and is also
> necessary in the entire USA, since the value of education available can
> not meet national goals without such tests.

George W. Bush is NOT advocating adding more grades, or more diplomas.
But if he felt it would improve the quality of education, I'm sure he
would.

> If ham radio is to be
> exempt then it must admit that it is no longer a worthy educational
> hobby.

Oh, that's hogwash. Go answer all the questions in all the pools cold
turkey, and then tell us about a worthy educational hobby. When was the
last time you took an FCC test anyway, Wex?

From -7040656428562340586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 19:30:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!
feed.cgocable.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 19:28:01 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A7F6F41.576C3F1B@uci.edu>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com> <95lcr2$qf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95mojb$2c5$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95nprn$4fb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14973

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> > But only one license class is necessary for that to be true.

> Yep...Some kids learn to read in kindergarden with only one reading
> test by a psychologist...but most kids need more time, teachers and
> TESTS which they usually get in higher classes.

And therefore, what, ham radio should offer more certificates?  I only
got one high school diploma.  Would I have been better educated had I
been offered three or four?

"This one goes to eleven."



From -3328910004232341952
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 19:30:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 03:22:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <95nqlt$522$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95na70331ba@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A7F4E8B.2D785130@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.55
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Feb 06 03:22:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.55
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14974

In article <3A7F4E8B.2D785130@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >
> > In article <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu
```

says...
> > >
> > >
> > >Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
> > >> Be it known to all of yee...
> > >> Pride cometh with construction of thy own ham gear.
> > >
> > >How many license classes are needed for hams to be able to
construct their
> > >own gear?
> > >
> > >73, Jim AC6XG
> >
> > None. but you must have a license if you decide to transmit with it
on amateur
> > frequencies.
>
> Seems to me I read about that somewhere.

How many classes and tests did you need to take before you were able to
read so well Jim?

Hams need more license classes.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Increase License Potential)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2626444481615279188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 19:43:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 21:33:49 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A7F709C.DE385FD8@IEEE.org>
References: <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org> <20010204104710.03780.00001056@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org> <95ngv9$7ci$0@208.206.142.72>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.d1
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 6 Feb 2001 03:42:46 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14975

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  When the Extras of today are commonly licensed with no more technical
> nor code qualifications than Novices of old, ...

When/if that happens, you would have a point. My 1957 ARRL License Manual
had 3 pages of Novice Q&A. My pre-April 15 ARRL Extra License Manual had
94 pages of Q&A. How you can believe 3 equals 94 is beyond belief.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -5406284980383208598
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 19:46:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Novice CW
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 22:47:04 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3A7F7398.6447890A@sccoast.net>
References: <95n1q0$eeh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205185307.24637.00001048@ng-
bg1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-276.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 981431182 143217 206.74.233.246 (6 Feb 2001 03:46:22
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 6 Feb 2001 03:46:22 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14976

KG4GGX wrote:
>
> For some reason I can not open this.


  The URL wrapped into two lines.  To open the page, you'll have to
```

copy both sections of the URL into the location block and then hit return (enter).

However, to save you some time, I'll give you a brief review. The page contains a letter from John S. Rippey (W3ULS), to the FCC. The letter is supposed to be about "re-allocation of certain sub-bands," but the author distracts from that with constant references to various license classes. For example, he ends the letter with, "...the Novice, Tech Plus, etc. licenses are hybrids of dubious value that deserve to be put out of their misery."

Obviously, since the letter was dated January 2001, Rippey doesn't make much of an effort to keep up with current events - the Novice and Tech Plus licenses were dropped from the current license structure almost a year ago. In time, even the various sub-band allocations will be dropped as the number of current license holders in those classes eventually decrease.

He also doesn't seem to know much about the old license structure either. For example, in one sentence, he refers to "Technician Plus licensees as well as Technician licensees who have passed the 5wpm code requirement." That is the same thing - a Technicion Plus is a Technician that has passed the 5wpm code requirement.

The idea of Novice sub-band reallocation is sound, but, in my opinion, it is a bit premature (there are still too many people using those sub-bands). Perhaps in another year or two.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3531211084044521596
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 20:00:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 19:52:37 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A7F7505.BBE13546@uci.edu>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95na70331ba@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A7F4E8B.2D785130@uci.edu>

<95nqlt$522$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14977

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> How many classes and tests did you need to take before you were able to
> read so well Jim?

I can't remember exactly. But I know for sure they didn't award a
certificate for every one.

From 2466091991294894154
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 20:10:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 03:57:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-
fc1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.55
X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Feb 06 03:57:31 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.55
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14978

In article <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Some here who may believe that they are "helping" the mode survive
ad not
> >> making newcomers feel like they will find personal support on the
bands.
> >> Unfortunately, there is not enough on the bands to really make
that happen,
> >> either.
>

```
> > Ed when you gonna get real? No one here is witholding any sort of
> >helping hand from anyone. The last thing the code bashers want is a
> >helping hand with it. You think Baby Brian Burke sat on his ass for
13
> >years in whining protest about the test because he wanted a helping
hand
> >to learn code???
>
> Your idea of the helping hand?  Sounds more like that helping hand
just slapped
> Brian in the face.
>
> Are you trying to make your prediction that the use of Morse code is
doomed
> come true?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
I've always been a MUGWUMP on the subject of retining the CW exam
speeds...but it seems to me that you, Ed, are neglecting the facts.
There is no longer a Novice Class... because when there was, there were
few applicants...BUT Another way of looking at it: IS that now many
Generals are really at the old Novice level!  CW wise the General
license is really a Novice license with a different name and a few more
multiple choice fixed Q & As.  It is like the reading groups in first
grade.  Naturally the teachers don't want to kill the kids self-esteem
or give them swelled heads by calling the groups "The Slow Readers'
Group" and "The Fact Readers' Group", so they call them "The Bluebird
Team" and The Owl Team" or whatever.  The Extra Class license might
actually be thought of as the old Advanced class license with a
different name.  That is why I believe that we need more classes of
license, in order to really provide for the technical diversity within
the hobby.  We need a even easier entry class for really new novices
(small n) and we need more expert classes for the more really
technically advanced hams.  We need kindergartens and high
schools....Head starts and advanced classes...Very few people, who have
been trained to be educators, would deny that.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Increase License Potential)


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 8567240744908155551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 21:02:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
```

```
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 05:02:22 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3a7f84e7.966781@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org> <20010204104710.03780.00001056@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org> <95ngv9$7ci$0@208.206.142.72>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.47
X-Server-Date: 6 Feb 2001 05:00:26 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14979
```

On 6 Feb 2001 00:36:57 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> When the Extras of today are commonly licensed with no more technical
> nor code qualifications than Novices of old, and nothing has changed but
> some vaporous "artificial pecking order"??

Let me get this straight Dick...you are saying that the technical
content of the novice test I took in 1957 had the same technical
content as today's Extra test?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -447439700546556922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 21:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Tue, 06 Feb 2001 05:32:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 117
Message-ID: <95o28u$bcg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>
<956o9t$74g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7704BE.E71F049F@arrl.org>
<95adgt$g4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>
<95g9k5$ha8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7C0F5B.2158175B@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.55
```

X-Article-Creation-Date: Tue Feb 06 05:32:13 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.55
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14980

In article <3A7C0F5B.2158175B@arrl.org>,
  Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>,
> >   Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> > >
> > > I didn't say they weren't. I only said that according to N9GL, the
> > > standards are not derived from the mechanisms but from the known
> > > effects.
> >
> > True...but as engineers, scientists or medical people the
> > MECHANISM...chemical, biological, electral, mechanical or whatever
must
> > be understood if there is to be any corrective measures devised.
>
> But we can't apply corrective measures to the design of the human
body.
> The only factor we can control is how much RF we expose ourselves to.
> For that purpose, it is the effect of the exposure that is important,
> not the underlying mechanism.
>
> > A hot chicken does not tell you the mechanism by which it got
hot...but
> > if you heated it in your microwave oven..You know the mechanism was
> > microwave RF not heat conducted from a gas burner or radiated by
your
> > fireplace.
>
> But if the point is to cook a chicken I don't need to know the
mechanism
> by which the cooking takes place, I only need to know that it gets
> cooked when I apply either heat or RF at sufficient levels.

If you are a chef, then all you may need to know is that the chicken
got cooked to your liking...BUT if you are a scientist or engineer you
need to know a lot more; and if you are afraid that the chicken was not
cooked well enough to kill the bacteria in it, you work with scientists
and engineers; You don't care much about what a chef might think
because he doesn't understand the MECHANISM, nor the cause and effect
of the groth of bacteria populations in what might be pockets of
relatively raw chicken. The chef might not even be able to smell or
taste bad chicken that is dangerously tainted. Worse he might attempt
to cover up bad chicken with gravies that hide the rotten, stinking
chicken and make it profitable to do so.

Some of the "experts" who talk about what are considered RF hazard

levels are no more scientific than old grandmothers who think that chicken soup will cure any illness. True, chicken soup might have some healthy benefits for some minor ailments...but the old grannys who make it don't know much about the spread of bacteria that exists within humans and certainly aren't aware of the latest reasearch about the AIDS virus. Chicken soup might just have a psychological beneficial effect on some humans...others might be better off with mock clam chowder or mock turtle soup....or some real Johnny Walker.
>
> > > Which makes sense. For the purpose of determining safe levels,
> > > the mechanisms are unimportant
> >
> > Huhh? That is like saying Ohm's Law is unimportant as long as lamp
> > bulbs light. Only the observation of the light matters for
determining
> > what voltage will quickly burn open the filament.
>
> It's nothing like that at all. We need to know Ohm's law so we can
> design electrical devices. We're not designing human bodies. (Although
> there are those doctors who've announced plans to clone humans, so
maybe
> we will be designing humans soon!)

When you design electrical devices there are certain intrinsic conditions that must be delt with. There are physical limitations that involve the actual fabrication of resistors, conductors, insulators, etc. (although I admit the human body is much more complex than an electrical resistance path)...
BUT
WE need to know the mechanism by which hazards can be hazardous before we can determine what the safe levels are...just like we need Ohm's Law before we can design for appropriate resistances, voltages and currents that can make a circuit function as we intend it to.
True, we can not reengineer humans yet...although genetic manipulation may not be out of the question in the far future...BUT we need to design RF radiating equipments (including cell-phones and Ham HTs) so that they are safe for use by humans IF this is possible. On the down side, it might not be possible....just like it has not been possible to manufacture a truly safe cigarette that is still a cigarette.   We can not just think of the human body as a dummy load for RF...nor can we just think of the overall thermal effects on the body, without knowing the mechanisms that have dangerous propensities. Considering only thermal effects will definately prove to be a gross error. If the RF can be more consentrated on vulnerable parts of the human body at UHF than at VHF then this would not be a consideration if only thermal effects on the entire body are all that is being considered. It is a known fact that heat is generated when RF causes electrons in certain molecules to be agitated. (Heat is actually defined as electron agitation) BUT the effects of agitating electrons in the material that constitutes human tissue of various functions is poorly understood. What levels, at what frequencies can cause genetic damage? What can cause or accelerate cancerous malignancies? What tissues are most vulnerable? Can some individuals be more at risk than others? All

must be considered before setting any safe radiation level standards.
Although the research continues, we have been permitted to work with
standards that may be considered conservatively safe by
some "grannys"...BUT not by W2ILP.

I admit that I dunno at this point in time... nor does N9GL. Let us
leave it at that.
>
> Jon
>
Hams need MORE license classes.
73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Increase License Potential)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -854962915490168179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 02:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 10:40:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-
fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.47
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 10:40:59 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.47
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14873

In article <3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> Brian wrote:
> > Perhaps "the pendulum is swinging,"
>
> Same thing. Ever actually SEE a balance scale, Brian?

Yes, on Miami Vice.

```
> > or the
> > actuarial tables have been invoked.
>
> Hmmmm, that's profound.  How does one "invoke" a table?

By dying on time.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -8067206723031284058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 03:00:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Novice CW
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 10:49:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <95r97b$3ik$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95n1q0$eeh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205185307.24637.00001048@ng-
bg1.aol.com> <3A7F7398.6447890A@sccoast.net> <95orke$uhu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<u0f08tckjee6897cvce10v1lkcai0av57k@4ax.com> <95q60j$7nn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<ccn18tgigv2g406luirgp125otrsg2p7f1@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.47
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 10:49:17 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.47
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14874

In article <ccn18tgigv2g406luirgp125otrsg2p7f1@4ax.com>,
  Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >Ergo, I'm fully capable of self-testing other emission types as well.
>
> How fast can you send RTTY with a bug...   :-)
>

I smell a dung beetle.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/
```

```
From -1306300569082339499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 09:40:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7AF0EF.E433D0C0@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AD086.15CCE391@arrl.org> <95eqeh$gra$0@208.206.142.91>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 46
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 12:39:59 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981135605 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 02 Feb 2001 12:40:05 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 12:40:05 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14780

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> >
> > Sampling rates have nothing to do with amateur radio? Sez who?
>
>   *SAYS I*, of course. It's the TERM that has nothing to do with ham
> radio. "Sampling rates" are important only to those who prefer to think
> in such digital terms, for their own reasons. Only when a theorist
> decides to describe the world in digital terms ascribed to analog
> systems dot hose digital terms take on importance. The rest of the time
> the world of ham radio turnsd quite well without them. It did before the
> first theorist decided to describe the operation of an IF in terms of
> "sampling rates". It continues to do so to this day, in spite of your
> leaping to show your grasp of all this new description of old phenomena.
>   So you can calculate whatever that rate might be said to be. So what?
> It changes nothing whatever of the system, and I guarnatee that I can
> design and build an IF chain without ever having heard of the term
> "sampling rate". So as far as the operation of that chain--and the vast
> numbers of they that have gone before- it means nothing whatever. It
> just means that some theorist decided to view the world in his own
> terms.
```

If I understand what you are saying, "sampling rate" is just a term used to describe analog systems in digital terms. Well, that's a peculiar view. The purpose of sampling is to transform continuous-time (analog) data to discrete-time data so that it can be processed by discrete-time systems (digital computers). That is far more than just a theoretical exercise. It has practical, real-world application.

Of course it is possible to build a radio without sampling. No one said it wasn't. But that's not the question. The question is, does building radios that make use of sampling have anything to do with ham radio? When people build radios for amateur use that do use sampling, are they not pursuing ham radio? I think so.

Look, of course it's not necessary for hams to understand sampling in order to pursue ham radio. What I don't understand is why you react to Cecil's prodding by taking the polar opposite view that says that technologies that are in use in ham radio but that are not the technologies you prefer "have nothing to do with amateur radio." Im my view, that is not one whit different from those who denigrate CW because it doesn't interest them, which attitude you have decried more than once.

Jon

From -5591207742226837655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 09:49:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 2 Feb 2001 17:49:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <95ervc$k9b$1@208.206.142.91>
References: <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <20010201224959.15140.00000307@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3A7A3DC2.8D11EF67@IEEE.org> <95ejcs$b3$0@208.206.142.91> <3A7AE590.7DCE0A9C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14781

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Cecil will never get over it. His EE didn't qualify him for an Extra
> > class ham radio license until the Big Dumb Down, and he still squirms
> > because of it.
>
> You seem to be the one doing all the squirming, Dick.

Really? Trying to divert attention again?

 I merely met
> the requirements for the Extra extrance exam.

 Uh, yes, just as I did over thirty years ago.

```
From -4779936976901134113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,55aa7e45d06807c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,55aa7e45d06807c7
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,55aa7e45d06807c7
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: f59b3,55aa7e45d06807c7
X-Google-Attributes: gidf59b3,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,55aa7e45d06807c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,55aa7e45d06807c7
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 09:56:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Jim Alder <jimalder@sssnet.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.rush-
limbaugh,alt.society.liberalism,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.
amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politics.misc
Subject: Re: West Virginia farm boy comes of age
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 12:57:23 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A7AF503.A5E88E57@sssnet.com>
Reply-To: jimalder@sssnet.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en]C-CCK-MCD {TLC;RETAIL}  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <D8ze6.659$ND2.27114@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net>
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 62
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:195975 alt.law-enforcement:16060
alt.rush-limbaugh:70330 alt.society.liberalism:112809 misc.survivalism:37668
rec.radio.amateur.misc:11985 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14782
talk.politics.guns:134926 talk.politics.misc:168690
```

Welcome to alt.oldjoke! Why does everyone think they are the first person to hear a joke? One thing I can't stand about internet 'humor' is that everyone tries to rewrite the old joke to make it ten times as long. For some reason that's supposed to make it funnier?

N9NWO wrote:

> Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net> wrote ...
> There is a story about a kid from a farm just outside of Glendale, WV and one of the local bars there.
>
> The kid's name was Roger.
>
> It seems that he had just turned 21 and his father let him go into town alone to buy supplies and feed. Since it was his first trip to town as a man, his dad told Roger to go ahead and buy himself a beer while he was there.
>
> Roger finished up at the feed store and went to the bar to do as his dad said he could. He ordered a beer and the bartender asked if he was new around town. As he was telling the bartender his history, a stunningly beautiful young woman came into the bar and sat down beside Roger. Roger was so powerfully affected by her presence that he began to lose track of his conversation with the bartender and to stammer just a bit.
>
> He turned to the girl and attempted to start up a conversation with her, but she seemed to be distracted. She always answered him politely, but acted as if she didn't really want to talk to him.
>
> A few minutes later, a second beautiful girl entered the bar. She immediately struck up a conversation with the first girl, and eventually they left the bar together.
>
> "Don't worry" said the bartender "she wouldn't ever have gotten interested in you. She's a lesbian."
>
> "What's a lesbian?" asked Roger.
>
> "Well see, it's this way: Lesbians only think about girls. They want to hang out with girls, they want to kiss girls, and they want to sleep with girls."
>
> "Get it?"

>
> "Um, yeah" Roger said, and then left to bring his supplies home.
>
> A few weeks later, Roger was back in Glendale and he stopped at the same
> bar again. After he'd ordered a beer and was sitting there drinking it,
> a talkative stranger entered the bar. He was a salesman and told Roger
> all about how wonderful his job was. Eventually he said to Roger, "Yep,
> I'm a salesman and proud of it. What are you?"
>
> Roger blushed, looked at the floor, and said "I'm a lesbian."

--
I sometimes think of what future historians
will say of us. A single sentence will
suffice for modern man: he fornicated and
read the papers. After that rigorous
definition, the subject will be, if I may
say so, exhausted. - The Fall; Camus

```
From -6883480666628623877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 09:57:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 2 Feb 2001 17:57:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <95esf4$lk8$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AD086.15CCE391@arrl.org> <95eqeh$gra$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AF0EF.E433D0C0@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14783
```

Jon, I think Cecil's point flew right past you. He indicated that a Phd EE automatically knows more about ham radio than a ham without the degree. I say nonsense. I'm sure you could easily find a Phd EE who knows all about sampling rates but who has never heard of ham radio.

Dick

From 2592394766834922050
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9fe8a4c12c440ac6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 10:00:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Good learning website
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 17:48:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <95eru4$ada$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 02 17:48:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14784

In article <95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Hey all you Novices and other Extras out there who might want to
> upgrade your knowledge, if not your license - check this one out...

Great site, Dick.

Another great one is Ian Purdie's (VK2TIP) site at

http://www.electronics-tutorials.com/

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6300046015738339549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 10:10:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7AF818.42D27749@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AD086.15CCE391@arrl.org> <95eqeh$gra$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AF0EF.E433D0C0@arrl.org> <95esf4$lk8$0@208.206.142.91>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 13:10:32 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981137439 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 02 Feb 2001 13:10:39 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 13:10:39 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14785

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jon, I think Cecil's point flew right past you. He indicated that a Phd
> EE automatically knows more about ham radio than a ham without the
> degree. I say nonsense. I'm sure you could easily find a Phd EE who

> knows all about sampling rates but who has never heard of ham radio.

I've known many EEs whose knowledge of ham radio was scanty. Just
because I disagree with something you said to Cecil doesn't mean I agree
with him. If you had said that an understanding of sampling rate was not
needed in order to participate in ham radio, fine. But when you said it
has nothing to do with ham radio, I just had to disagree.

Jon

From -1819885499559561982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 10:11:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 12:01:54 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A7AF612.D41D4ED6@IEEE.org>
References: <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <20010201224959.15140.00000307@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3A7A3DC2.8D11EF67@IEEE.org> <95ejcs$b3$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AE590.7DCE0A9C@IEEE.org> <95ervc$k9b$1@208.206.142.91>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.39
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 18:10:29 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14786

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > You seem to be the one doing all the squirming, Dick.
>
> Really? Trying to divert attention again?

You're the one who is unhappy and trying to divert attention from
the present requirements for an Extra License back to what they were
in the past. I'm completely happy with the present situation.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 2542306139143041263

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 10:14:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 12:04:19 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A7AF6A3.56FD96A5@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.39
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 18:12:52 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14787

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > So you claim to know as much about radios as PhDs in EE?
> > Got any proof? What sampling rate does it require to
> > reproduce 455 kHz IF information?
>
> That little tidbit has absolutely nothing to do with amateur radio. The
> fact that some receivers use a 455 kHz IF might.

There are a couple of ham transceivers already on the market using that
generic technique, Dick. If you weren't living in the past, you would
know that. In the future, more and more top of the line amateur radio
transceivers will use digitized IF techniques.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -5084933202979843771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 10:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
```

From: K0HB@USWEST.NET
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2 Feb 2001 19:46:53 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <95f2rd$kgf$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95c989$277$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E3DB.B1F0D00A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 981143213 21007 205.139.138.14 (2 Feb 2001 19:46:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Feb 2001 19:46:53 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14788

In article <3A79E3DB.B1F0D00A@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>At the height of the best sunspot cycle of all time, we hams at
>Texas A&M could send a 'didit' on 10m and hear it coming back
>from around the world. It was smeared but it was there.

W0UN tells of the time, zero-dark-thirty in the CQWWDX test, sitting in the 10M chair of his multi-multi in Colorado with the beam to the east, hearing his "CQ TEST DE W0UN" being repeated back to him perfectly, just slightly off his frequency. He was getting a bit perturbed at the jammer, until he noted the moon rising directly on the azimuth of his 4over4over4 10M array.

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

---

From -1457638572361021631
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 10:25:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 12:15:16 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A7AF934.5649AB68@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AD086.15CCE391@arrl.org> <95eqeh$gra$0@208.206.142.91>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.39
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 18:24:55 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14789

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> > Sampling rates have nothing to do with amateur radio? Sez who?
>
>   *SAYS I*, of course. It's the TERM that has nothing to do with ham
> radio. "Sampling rates" are important only to those who prefer to think
> in such digital terms, for their own reasons.

Dick, Dick, Dick, most top of the line ham transceivers use sampling
rates right now. A few of them sample at the IF frequency. Even my $1000
IC-706 uses sampling rates. Any Extra who doesn't want to understand
sampling rates chooses not to understand modern day ham radio. You are
burying your head in the sands of time. As time moves on and progress
happens, more and more of your precious analog functions will be
replaced by DSP techniques.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 3953400451579054314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 10:31:52 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 12:21:17 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A7AFA9D.1EBC2445@IEEE.org>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95c989$277$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E3DB.B1F0D00A@IEEE.org>
<95f2rd$kgf$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.39
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 18:30:52 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14790

K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:
>
> In article <3A79E3DB.B1F0D00A@IEEE.org>, Cecil  <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >At the height of the best sunspot cycle of all time, we hams at
> >Texas A&M could send a 'didit' on 10m and hear it coming back
> >from around the world. It was smeared but it was there.
>
> W0UN tells of the time, zero-dark-thirty in the CQWWDX test, sitting in the
> 10M chair of his multi-multi in Colorado with the beam to the east, hearing
> his "CQ TEST DE W0UN" being repeated back to him perfectly, just slightly off
> his frequency. He was getting a bit perturbed at the jammer, until he noted
> the moon rising directly on the azimuth of his 4over4over4 10M array.

That might be useful at the depth of the sunspot cycle. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -698938664146300569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 10:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 18:27:41 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <95eu6h$cjt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AD086.15CCE391@arrl.org> <95eqeh$gra$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AF0EF.E433D0C0@arrl.org> <95esf4$lk8$0@208.206.142.91>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 02 18:27:41 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14791

In article <95esf4$lk8$0@208.206.142.91>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> I'm sure you could easily find a Phd EE who knows all
> about sampling rates but who has never heard of ham radio.

Really?

Go down to the r.r.a.p. machine room and tell them to empty the
bit bucket, and FAST, before that baby overflows.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2988387174461941610

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 10:50:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 18:42:41 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <95ev2t$de8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org> <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3A779725.45CF79F@IEEE.org> <9589hd$88c$0@208.206.142.67>
<95coai$han$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95d79o$3bs$0@208.206.142.82>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.83
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 02 18:42:41 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.83
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14792

In article <95d79o$3bs$0@208.206.142.82>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > In article <9589hd$88c$0@208.206.142.67>,
> >   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Yeah,we know....that's the very reason why darn few will ever
bother
> > to
> > > learn it at all when the code test requirement evaporates, and all
the
> > > more reason why even fewer 5wpm types will even be interested in
using
> > > it enough to develop faster skills. Sure, a few will be intrigued
and
> > > spend a little time at it, at least until the new wears off and
well
> > > before most ever gain sufficient skill to feel comfortable working
> > > higher speeds. THAT is human nature. And we already know your
story
> > > line, you learned it in Cub Scouts well before you ever heard of
ham
> > > radio. Or the Internet or any othe computer or radio or TV or or
or or
> > > or........Sorry those days are all gone.
> >
```

> > Dick, if those days are gone then its time you picked up the pieces
of
> > your shattered life and move on. To think that you and Larry have
been
> > lying to me about how PEEU the code is and now you say its only
worth
> > learning if the gubmn't puts a gun to your head.
> >
>
> So the gov't had a gun to your head for 13 years? Finally had mercy on
> you and took it away?

Not exactly. They pulled the trigger and the hammer fell on an empty
chamber. The same as your empty promises of how useful and necessary
the Morse code is. Seems even you think it doesn't have enough merit to
stand on its own.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2572966650432415923
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 11:00:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 18:53:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 02 18:53:53 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14793

In article <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> What sampling rate does it require to
> reproduce 455 kHz IF information?

First off, any competent-in-DSP bench technician (let alone PhD EE)
would scoff at 455KHz as a proper IF choice for DSP techniques.

Second, that same technician would scoff at the simplistic nature of
your question, in that it displays a basic ignorance of the information
needed in determining what sampling rate to employ.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2961342761716l0421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 11:30:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 11:25:42 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A7B09B6.A52BBC79@uci.edu>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14794

Dick Carroll wrote:
> > What sampling rate does it require to
> > reproduce 455 kHz IF information?

> That little tidbit has absolutely nothing to do with amateur radio. The
> fact that some receivers use a 455 kHz IF might.

Actually it has a lot to do with how many downconversions have to be made before an ADC can convert the signal for use by a DSP. Right now the DSP rigs have "IF" frequencies in the audio range. It would be nice to be able to do DSP up at the front end of the radio, but the sampling rate is too high for currently available technology. Prolly won't be long though. Nyquist had something to say about Cecil's question I think.

73, ac6xg

From -1642039730257513194
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 11:42:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 13:32:20 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A7B0B43.86C16727@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91> <3A7B09B6.A52BBC79@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8c.07
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 19:41:56 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14795

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Nyquist had something to say about Cecil's question I
> think.

Actually, as Jon pointed out, my question was poorly worded. It
is possible to recover the audio modulation on an RF carrier
by sampling at a rate below two times the RF frequency.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3860599538047035556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9fe8a4c12c440ac6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 11:45:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Feb 2001 19:45:25 GMT
References: <95eru4$ada$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Good learning website
Message-ID: <20010202144525.22631.00001628@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14796

In article <95eru4$ada$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:

>In article <95cbjk$ojp$0@208.206.142.95>,
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>> Hey all you Novices and other Extras out there who might want to
>> upgrade your knowledge, if not your license - check this one out...
>
>Great site, Dick.
>
>Another great one is Ian Purdie's (VK2TIP) site at
>
>   http://www.electronics-tutorials.com/
>
>73, Hans, K0HB

A source of links to many other sites having tutorials, references,
glossaries, etc. is

      http://www.eevl.ac.uk

...a UK site maintained by Edinburgh University (?, U of E?). The amateur radio links are handled by a US photographer-ham.

The Carroll-mentioned site is "Integrated Publishing" which has a number of "courses" which are copies of older US Navy instructional textbooks. While good, they can be termed "knock-offs" in the publishing trade since US government info is not copyrighted. Almost everything publicly published by the US government can be scanned, duplicated, published at will. [Case in point is the long-running "J. K. Lasser's Your Income Tax" softbounds that used to be on newsstands...almost entirely a knock-off of free US IRS documents]

The average amateur can better spend their time studying the ARRL or RSGB amateur handbooks, get the same information and considerably more about amateur radio in specifics than someone's copying of a US government tutorial.

didit

From 1784878206164549278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 11:45:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Feb 2001 19:45:27 GMT
References: <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010202144527.22631.00001630@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14797

In article <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>Cecil wrote:
>>
>> K0HB wrote:
>> >
>> > In article <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org>,
>> >   Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> >
>> > > I suspect PhDs in EE know a lot more about "amateur"
>> > > radios than you do.

>> >
>> > Your suspicion is inaccurate.
>>
>> So you claim to know as much about radios as PhDs in EE?
>> Got any proof? What sampling rate does it require to
>> reproduce 455 kHz IF information?
>
>That little tidbit has absolutely nothing to do with amateur radio. The
>fact that some receivers use a 455 kHz IF might.

On the contrary, with a progression towards digital techniques,
receivers can and do use sampling and subsequent Digital
Signal Processing for both demodulation and "brick-wall"
filtering for the absolute least possible receiving bandwidth.

The normal sampling rate for a 455 KHz IF would be above
the "Nyquist limit" or roughly 2 1/2 times (minimum) rate of
the IF (1.138 MHz, minimum) for an accurate sampling.
That will reproduce the IF accurately enough to permit
digital demodulation.

There are techniques called "undersampling" at rates less
than the IF center frequency but those generally go into
non-standard (even for DSP) techniques which take a lot
more study of that part of technology.

For a good introduction on DSP there is the ARRL Handbook
section on it, written by Jon Bloom. Good stuff, but one has
to buckle down and STUDY it to grasp what is possible.

The ARRL Technical Information center on the League's
website has some very good articles using sampling and
DSP in amateur radio, written by radio amateurs. Free PDF
downloads for members or non-members. [hats off to the
ARRL for that whole website section!]

...or...one can vegetate amidst perceived past glories of
learning things a long time ago and ignore all the
state of the art advances that keep happening...and rely
on the designers and manufacturers to keep turning out
ready-built equipment using new techniques and complain
about front-panel controls that aren't like your old radios.

didit

From 6958716832238941l8
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 11:45:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!

```
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 61
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Feb 2001 19:45:26 GMT
References: <95ehfk$oii$0@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010202144526.22631.00001629@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14798
```

In article <95ehfk$oii$0@208.206.142.91>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

```
>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> > Well Ed, you're not nearly as sorry as I am. It really used to be fun
>> >to help younger folk learn, both theory and code, back in the days when
>> >younger folk were actually interested in learning.
>>
>> In my experience, younger hams are very much interested in learning.
>
> Where on earth do you find any younger hams today???
```

In driving around yesterday I saw two vehicles with ham plates,
drivers appearing about thirty-something. The customers at
the Burbank, CA, HRO branch store seem to be under 50 as
a general rule.

In order to find "younger people," one has to get out among
the public, not staying with the "old crowd" (of like-minded
people).

```
> I don't
>> think I would find it so if I were to keep telling them how dumb and lazy I
>> think they are.
>
> It's not the non-existant younger hams who are dumb and lazy. It's the
>TESTS that are *dumbed down*, and the 12-15 year or more *middleaged*
>hams who have sat and whined about having to pass a code test to get a
>HF ham license who are generally considered to be lazy. Got it?
```

So...you feel that the "non-lazy" people have to get out there to
struggle and work like you did? Are you the model of what
everyone "should be?"

I saw Ed Hare's posting of the old Novice Study Guide. Couldn't
be any simpler than that. Seems like the old Novice test itself
was very simple at that time, wasn't it?

Is amateur radio supposed to be all about using CW on HF?

>> > I truly am sorry that times have changed so much, and more's the
>> > loss for ham radio.
>>
>> Maybe someday, times will change for me, but to date, I still see the ham
>> radio you once did. Perhaps it is not the times that have changed, Dick.
>
>It's the times, Ed. I could be more comfortable with it if I had changed
>more myself.

I went through the same "times" as everyone else and don't see
any of this "dumbing-down" or the "laziness." Everyone should
attempt to adapt to "times" which are constantly changing. The
"times" don't stop for those who give up adapting. The "times" are
not geared for those who think that everything was for them alone.
Why are you so insistent on holding everyone else back to your
standards?

didit

From 228771024056896543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 11:49:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 13:40:06 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8c.07
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 19:50:24 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14799

K0HB wrote:

```
>
> In article <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org>,
>   Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> > What sampling rate does it require to
> > reproduce 455 kHz IF information?
>
> First off, any competent-in-DSP bench technician (let alone PhD EE)
> would scoff at 455KHz as a proper IF choice for DSP techniques.

Do you realize that it is possible to recover the information by
sampling at a rate lower than 910,000 samples per second? I doubt
that the scoffing technician knows that. The scoffing technician
would probably say that violates the Nyquist principle.

> Second, that same technician would scoff at the simplistic nature of
> your question, in that it displays a basic ignorance of the information
> needed in determining what sampling rate to employ.

It was poorly worded. However, the meaning of the question was not
simplistic. You don't have to sample at 910,000 samples per second
to recover all the information assuming all the information is
riding *on* the carrier and not embedded *in* the carrier.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 4618630536910642256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 12:03:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 2 Feb 2001 20:03:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <95f3qt$b4s$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AD086.15CCE391@arrl.org> <95eqeh$gra$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AF0EF.E433D0C0@arrl.org> <95esf4$lk8$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AF818.42D27749@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14800

Jon Bloom wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Jon, I think Cecil's point flew right past you. He indicated that a Phd EE automatically knows more about ham radio than a ham without the degree. I say nonsense. I'm sure you could easily find a Phd EE who knows all about sampling rates but who has never heard of ham radio.
>
> I've known many EEs whose knowledge of ham radio was scanty. Just because I disagree with something you said to Cecil doesn't mean I agree with him. If you had said that an understanding of sampling rate was not needed in order to participate in ham radio, fine. But when you said it has nothing to do with ham radio, I just had to disagree.

Jon, what I said was "That little tidbit (of information) has nothing to do with ham radio". And it doesn't. I didn't say that sampling rates have nothing to do with ham radio, I said that his little snippet of information does not.

A case could be made that says anything electronic has something to do with ham radio, just as one can - and is now being donein several imnfluential circles- that theory in general has nothing to do with it.

Dick

From 4071228159042728177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 12:07:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: 2 Feb 2001 20:07:07 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <95f41b$b4s$1@208.207.71.174>
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org> <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-fi.aol.com> <3A779725.45CF79F@IEEE.org> <9589hd$88c$0@208.206.142.67> <95coai$han$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95d79o$3bs$0@208.206.142.82> <95ev2t$de8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14801

Brian wrote:
> > I

 Seems even you think it doesn't have enough merit to
> stand on its own.

Seems like you the rest just like you are too ignorant to understand
that no code "stands on its own", they all must be supported.

From -2349857122141759058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 12:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 19:57:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <95f3fd$hsc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95c989$277$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E3DB.B1F0D00A@IEEE.org>
<95f2rd$kgf$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.110
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 02 19:57:39 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.110
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14802

In article <95f2rd$kgf$1@news.netmar.com>,
  K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:

>W0UN tells of the time, zero-dark-thirty in the CQWWDX test, sitting
>in the 10M chair of his multi-multi in Colorado with the beam to the
>east, hearing his "CQ TEST DE W0UN" being repeated back to him
>perfectly, just slightly off his frequency. He was getting a bit
>perturbed at the jammer, until he noted the moon rising directly on
>the azimuth of his 4over4over4 10M array.

I bet he had a heck of a time getting "CQ" Magazine to accept THAT one for contest credit!

73
Steve
--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"When You Earnestly Believe You Can Compensate For A Lack OF Skill By Doubling Your Efforts, There's No End To What You Can't Do"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2549481854558953077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 12:12:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 14:03:06 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A7B127A.E1FA8C7A@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91> <3A7AD086.15CCE391@arrl.org> <95eqeh$gra$0@208.206.142.91> <3A7AF0EF.E433D0C0@arrl.org> <95esf4$lk8$0@208.206.142.91> <3A7AF818.42D27749@arrl.org> <95f3qt$b4s$0@208.207.71.174>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8c.07
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 20:12:41 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14803

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I didn't say that sampling rates
> have nothing to do with ham radio, I said that his little snippet of

> information does not.

Who's squirming now? :-) In Texas we call that "crawfishing".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6294661399438132063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 12:16:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 14:05:44 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A7B1318.2704BF57@IEEE.org>
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org> <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3A779725.45CF79F@IEEE.org> <9589hd$88c$0@208.206.142.67>
<95coai$han$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95d79o$3bs$0@208.206.142.82>
<95ev2t$de8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95f41b$b4s$1@208.207.71.174>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8c.07
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 20:15:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14804

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Seems like you the rest just like you are too ignorant to understand
> that no code "stands on its own", they all must be supported.

Not all codes are supported through governmental force, Dick. Most
codes stand on their own merits in a free market economy.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 1004301872453385592
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 12:17:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail

Message-ID: <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 15:17:23 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981145051 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 02 Feb 2001 15:17:31 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 15:17:31 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14805

Cecil wrote:

> It was poorly worded. However, the meaning of the question was not simplistic. You don't have to sample at 910,000 samples per second to recover all the information assuming all the information is riding *on* the carrier and not embedded *in* the carrier.

What does THAT mean? I've never before heard a distinction made between information "riding on" a carrier and information "embedded in" a carrier. Can you describe both a "riding on" modulator and an "embedded in" modulator?

Oh, by the way, the sampling rate that satifies Nyquist for your 455-kHz IF is the rate that is twice the bandwidth (not center frequency) of the IF, although practical difficulties may require a somewhat higher rate.

Jon

From -4046428564794496850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 12:35:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!uunet!dca.uu.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7B1A1A.4A7E536E@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91> <20010202144527.22631.00001630@nso-fz.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 34
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 15:35:38 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981146145 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 02 Feb 2001 15:35:45 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 15:35:45 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14806

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> The normal sampling rate for a 455 KHz IF would be above
> the "Nyquist limit" or roughly 2 1/2 times (minimum) rate of
> the IF (1.138 MHz, minimum) for an accurate sampling.
> That will reproduce the IF accurately enough to permit
> digital demodulation.

I'm not sure where the 2-1/2 value comes from. Some rule of thumb, I suppose. But the Nyquist frequency is 2 times the highest frequency present in the signal being sampled. For this hypothetical case of direct sampling (no undersampling) a 455-kHz IF, you would select the IF filter attenuation that reduces the signal to a negligible level (say 90 dB attenuation, just to pick a number), find the frequency of the 90-dB point on the high side of the filter, then double that frequency to find the minimum sampling frequency. That's likely to be below 1.138 MHz unless your IF filter is 200 kHz wide (unlikely for a 455-kHz IF).

But if you have a sampling A/D that can sample at a MHz, you can undersample at a rate at or above 2 times the IF bandwidth (the 90-dB bandwidth, in this case) and save yourself the need to decimate the 1-MHz sampling rate down to something more tractable. There is nothing the least bit tricky about undersampling. One of the first tings I did when I got my first TI DSP evaluation board was to undersample the IF output of my TS-930 and make my own DSP SSB demod.

> For a good introduction on DSP there is the ARRL Handbook
> section on it, written by Jon Bloom. Good stuff, but one has
> to buckle down and STUDY it to grasp what is possible.

Actually, they've replaced the chapter I wrote with one written by Doug Smith, KF6DX. Still worth reading, though!

Jon

From 1102777227526439890
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 12:38:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7B1ABF.A1E0DB48@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95c989$277$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E3DB.B1F0D00A@IEEE.org>
<95f2rd$kgf$1@news.netmar.com> <95f3fd$hsc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 15:38:23 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981146310 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 02 Feb 2001 15:38:30 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 15:38:30 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14807

Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
>
> In article <95f2rd$kgf$1@news.netmar.com>,
>   K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:
>
> >W0UN tells of the time, zero-dark-thirty in the CQWWDX test, sitting
> >in the 10M chair of his multi-multi in Colorado with the beam to the
> >east, hearing his "CQ TEST DE W0UN" being repeated back to him
> >perfectly, just slightly off his frequency. He was getting a bit
> >perturbed at the jammer, until he noted the moon rising directly on
> >the azimuth of his 4over4over4 10M array.
>
>      I bet he had a heck of a time getting "CQ" Magazine to accept THAT
> one for contest credit!

What's even worse, the other fellow wouldn't QSL! :-)

Jon


From -5272139770423746181
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 13:20:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
```

newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7B24B0.F9B9905A@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91> <3A7AD086.15CCE391@arrl.org> <95eqeh$gra$0@208.206.142.91> <3A7AF0EF.E433D0C0@arrl.org> <95esf4$lk8$0@208.206.142.91> <3A7AF818.42D27749@arrl.org> <95f3qt$b4s$0@208.207.71.174>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 27
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 16:20:48 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981148856 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 02 Feb 2001 16:20:56 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 16:20:56 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14808

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> I didn't say that sampling rates
> have nothing to do with ham radio,

I said:
> > Sampling rates have nothing to do with amateur radio? Sez who?
You said:
> *SAYS I*, of course.

So, you're right, you didn't say sampling rates have nothing to do with ham radio; you said they have nothing to do with *amateur* radio.

>   A case could be made that says anything electronic has something to do
> with ham radio,

Yes, at least any part of electronics that has to with radio communication. That's pretty much my point in a nutshell.

> just as one can - and is now being donein several
> imnfluential circles- that theory in general has nothing to do with it.

I don't think that case can be successfully made. Nor am I familiar with the influential circles where making that case is being attempted. Can you be more specific?

Jon

From 2560101053614622874
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 13:48:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 2 Feb 2001 16:47:50 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 70
Message-ID: <95f9uh$isf$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91> <20010202144527.22631.00001630@nso-fz.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.142.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 981150481 19343 166.102.250.142 (2 Feb 2001 21:48:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Feb 2001 21:48:01 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14809

If you are dealing with an IF signal, under sampling is the only practical way to go, couple it with a brick wall filter. This allows a sample rate related to the bandwidth as opposed to frequency.

Fs=0(2BW)<<2F/h

If your interested, I would be glad to post the DSP section of a receiver I have been building.

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010202144527.22631.00001630@nso-fz.aol.com...
> In article <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >Cecil wrote:
> >>
> >> K0HB wrote:
> >> >
> >> > In article <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org>,
> >> >   Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > I suspect PhDs in EE know a lot more about "amateur"
> >> > > radios than you do.
> >> >

```
> >> > Your suspicion is inaccurate.
> >>
> >> So you claim to know as much about radios as PhDs in EE?
> >> Got any proof? What sampling rate does it require to
> >> reproduce 455 kHz IF information?
> >
> >That little tidbit has absolutely nothing to do with amateur radio. The
> >fact that some receivers use a 455 kHz IF might.
>
> On the contrary, with a progression towards digital techniques,
> receivers can and do use sampling and subsequent Digital
> Signal Processing for both demodulation and "brick-wall"
> filtering for the absolute least possible receiving bandwidth.
>
> The normal sampling rate for a 455 KHz IF would be above
> the "Nyquist limit" or roughly 2 1/2 times (minimum) rate of
> the IF (1.138 MHz, minimum) for an accurate sampling.
> That will reproduce the IF accurately enough to permit
> digital demodulation.
>
> There are techniques called "undersampling" at rates less
> than the IF center frequency but those generally go into
> non-standard (even for DSP) techniques which take a lot
> more study of that part of technology.
>
> For a good introduction on DSP there is the ARRL Handbook
> section on it, written by Jon Bloom.  Good stuff, but one has
> to buckle down and STUDY it to grasp what is possible.
>
> The ARRL Technical Information center on the League's
> website has some very good articles using sampling and
> DSP in amateur radio, written by radio amateurs.  Free PDF
> downloads for members or non-members.  [hats off to the
> ARRL for that whole website section!]
>
> ...or...one can vegetate amidst perceived past glories of
> learning things a long time ago and ignore all the
> state of the art advances that keep happening...and rely
> on the designers and manufacturers to keep turning out
> ready-built equipment using new techniques and complain
> about front-panel controls that aren't like your old radios.
>
> didit




From 512996477247884052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 14:00:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
```

```
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 13:59:15 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A7B2DB3.486DF79C@uci.edu>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7B09B6.A52BBC79@uci.edu> <3A7B0B43.86C16727@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14811
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Nyquist had something to say about Cecil's question I
> > think.
>
> Actually, as Jon pointed out, my question was poorly worded. It
> is possible to recover the audio modulation on an RF carrier
> by sampling at a rate below two times the RF frequency.

Okay then.

Please change my statement to: Nyquist had nothing to say about Cecil's question.

ac6xg

```
From -7611058664104044383
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 14:39:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 16:29:35 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3A7B34CF.E399BBF4@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.68
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 22:39:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14812
```

Jon Bloom wrote:

> What does THAT mean? I've never before heard a distinction made between
> information "riding on" a carrier and information "embedded in" a
> carrier. Can you describe both a "riding on" modulator and an "embedded
> in" modulator?

You never heard of a Z0-match before our email interchange, either. (I still have a copy of that email.) And if I remember right, I'm the guy who taught you about the Goertzel algorithm.

Bell 103 is an example of information riding on a carrier.
CCIT V.36 is an example of information embedded in a carrier.

In general, if the BPS rate is less than the frequency of the tone, the information is riding on the tone, e.g. FSK. In general, if the BPS rate is higher than the frequency of the tone, the information is embedded in the tone, e.g. M-ary-QPSK.

One can undersample an average FSK signal and still recover all the information. I don't believe one can under-sample an M-ary-QPSK signal and still recover all the information. But I could be wrong since I haven't looked at this stuff since I retired. (The latest Science News reports that scientists have figured out how to make water flow uphill. :-)

> Oh, by the way, the sampling rate that satifies Nyquist for your 455-kHz
> IF is the rate that is twice the bandwidth (not center frequency) of the
> IF, although practical difficulties may require a somewhat higher rate.

Depends on the boundary conditions. You cannot closely re-create the 455 kHz IF frequency waveform unless you honor the Nyquist requirements for the highest existing frequency and that's usually higher than double the bandwidth. There are naturally occurring phase shifts in the 455kHz carrier signal which cannot be duplicated with under-sampling. Like my original question, you neglected to state your boundary conditions.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -6598842453321520830
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 14:39:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 16:29:10 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.68
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Feb 2001 22:39:08 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14813

Jon Bloom wrote:
> What does THAT mean? I've never before heard a distinction made between
> information "riding on" a carrier and information "embedded in" a
> carrier. Can you describe both a "riding on" modulator and an "embedded
> in" modulator?

You never heard of a Z0-match before our email interchange, either.
(I still have a copy of that email.) And if I remember right, I'm
the guy who taught you about the Goertzel algorithm.

Bell 103 is an example of information riding on a carrier.
CCIT V.36 is an example of information embedded in a carrier.

In general, if the BPS rate is less than the frequency of the tone,
the information is riding on the tone, e.g. FSK. In general, if the
BPS rate is higher than the frequency of the tone, the information is
embedded in the tone, e.g. M-ary-QPSK.

One can undersample an average FSK signal and still recover all the
information. I don't believe one can under-sample an M-ary-QPSK signal

and still recover all the information. But I could be wrong since I
haven't looked at this stuff since I retired. (The latest Science News
reports that scientists have figured out how to make water flow uphill. :-)

> Oh, by the way, the sampling rate that satifies Nyquist for your 455-kHz
> IF is the rate that is twice the bandwidth (not center frequency) of the
> IF, although practical difficulties may require a somewhat higher rate.

Depends on the boundary conditions. You cannot closely re-create
the 455 kHz IF frequency waveform unless you honor the Nyquist requirements
for the highest existing frequency and that's usually higher than double
the bandwidth. There are naturally occurring phase shifts in the 455kHz
carrier signal which cannot be duplicated with under-sampling. Like my
original question, you neglected to state your boundary conditions.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 1464522071331455937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 15:10:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 23:01:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95fe7g$s4k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A744AFB.140BB694@IEEE.org> <20010130195432.02315.00004615@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3A779725.45CF79F@IEEE.org> <9589hd$88c$0@208.206.142.67>
<95coai$han$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95d79o$3bs$0@208.206.142.82>
<95ev2t$de8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95f41b$b4s$1@208.207.71.174>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.46.98
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 02 23:01:11 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.46.98
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14814

In article <95f41b$b4s$1@208.207.71.174>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> Seems even you think it doesn't have enough merit to
> > stand on its own.
>
> Seems like you the rest just like you are too ignorant to understand

> that no code "stands on its own", they all must be supported.
>

I think that you've been on government assistance so long that you think everyone's on it.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -8597315948219654955
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f8d79,6338f88ea3e25f9b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8d79,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 16:36:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test
Subject: Re: DX-405 SOLICITS NUTSACK SLURPING
Date: Fri, 2 Feb 2001 18:38:08 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <95fguo$t23$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <95daju$m80$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
<95dj6a$83e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-03-06.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 981157656 29763 209.43.66.118 (2 Feb 2001 23:47:36
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Feb 2001 23:47:36 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12002
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14815 alt.test:75581
```

Right there shows the true degeneracy of your character. My mother wouldn't touch a lazy slob like you with a ten foot pole. Furthermore, my mother wouldn't be caught even talking to a piece of white trash like yourself. My mother has good morals and has religious beliefs. It just shows how much of a piece of garbage that you really are. As far as being grossly obese, I am six foot four and 230. Not to mention very well built and quite agile. I do a lot of stuff like basketball and other sports. Something that a lazy assed 45 year old doesn't do. Oh, thats right you just talk about having sex with other peoples moms. You are a real brilliant one and not to mention a 45

```
year old loser. Nice job on showing your true colors. .

> Yep, that's what your momma said when I had sex with her. She said you
> were an "itsy-bitsy" man with no dick. You grossly obese fuckers always
> have small pricks, that's why you went into the gay lifestyle.
>
>
> Has the POLICE been around to see you again, Lllllllloyd???
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/




From 5186668302870293459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 16:43:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.voicenet.com!news.good.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 65
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 19:43:37 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981161027 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 02 Feb 2001 19:43:47 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 19:43:47 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14816

Cecil wrote:
>
```

> Jon Bloom wrote:
> > What does THAT mean? I've never before heard a distinction made between
> > information "riding on" a carrier and information "embedded in" a
> > carrier. Can you describe both a "riding on" modulator and an "embedded
> > in" modulator?
>
> You never heard of a Z0-match before our email interchange, either.

And I still think it's twaddle.

> (I still have a copy of that email.) And if I remember right, I'm
> the guy who taught you about the Goertzel algorithm.

No, I first read about that in a book -- TI application manual, I think.

> Bell 103 is an example of information riding on a carrier.
> CCIT V.36 is an example of information embedded in a carrier.
>
> In general, if the BPS rate is less than the frequency of the tone,
> the information is riding on the tone, e.g. FSK. In general, if the
> BPS rate is higher than the frequency of the tone, the information is
> embedded in the tone, e.g. M-ary-QPSK.

I think what you are groping toward is the difference between a signal
that has components down to dc and one that does not. I've never heard
it expressed as "embedded in" or "riding on" a carrier, though, in any
of the engineering texts, reference books, application manuals or
product data sheets I've seen. Can you provide any references for that
usage?

> One can undersample an average FSK signal and still recover all the
> information. I don't believe one can under-sample an M-ary-QPSK signal
> and still recover all the information. But I could be wrong since I
> haven't looked at this stuff since I retired. (The latest Science News
> reports that scientists have figured out how to make water flow uphill. :-)

If you can resolve all of the frequency components of the signal without
aliasing you can recover the information. If the frequency components
extend down to dc, you have to sample at twice the highest frequency in
the signal. If the signal is bandlimited around some center frequency,
you can sample at a rate of twice the signal bandwidth. That's true
regardless of the modulation type.

> > Oh, by the way, the sampling rate that satifies Nyquist for your 455-kHz
> > IF is the rate that is twice the bandwidth (not center frequency) of the
> > IF, although practical difficulties may require a somewhat higher rate.
>
> Depends on the boundary conditions. You cannot closely re-create
> the 455 kHz IF frequency waveform unless you honor the Nyquist requirements
> for the highest existing frequency and that's usually higher than double
> the bandwidth.

That simply isn't true. A sampled signal is replicated around multiples of the sampling frequency. Thus you can generate a copy of the sampled signal at a frequency many times greater than the sampling frequency. Undersample your 455-kHz signal and then examine the spectrum of the sampled signal. You'll find that the original 455-kHz components are present in the discrete-time signal (along with many replicas at other frequencies). If your sampling rate exceeds twice the bandwidth of the 455-kHz signal, ALL of the original components, undistorted by aliasing, will be present in the sampled signal.

Jon

From 8609339856405576548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 17:59:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 19:49:53 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org> <3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.07
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 3 Feb 2001 01:59:41 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14817

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > You never heard of a Z0-match before our email interchange, either.
>
> And I still think it's twaddle.

So Antenna Tuners are "twaddle"? Are you going to author a QEX article entitled, "Antenna Tuners Are Twaddle"?

> > (I still have a copy of that email.) And if I remember right, I'm

> > the guy who taught you about the Goertzel algorithm.
>
> No, I first read about that in a book -- TI application manual, I think.

Funny, when I asked you a question about it during your presentation at
Hamventure, you seemed not to know what I was talking about.

> I've never heard
> it expressed as "embedded in" or "riding on" a carrier, though, in any
> of the engineering texts, reference books, application manuals or
> product data sheets I've seen.

It was common knowledge/language in the DSP group at Intel.

> Can you provide any references for that usage?

Sure - 14 lines above.

> If the frequency components
> extend down to dc, you have to sample at twice the highest frequency in
> the signal.

Son of a gun - that's what I thought. They haven't changed physics in the
past three years.

> > Depends on the boundary conditions. You cannot closely re-create
> > the 455 kHz IF frequency waveform unless you honor the Nyquist requirements
> > for the highest existing frequency and that's usually higher than double
> > the bandwidth.
>
> That simply isn't true.

You're contradicting yourself, Jon. You just admitted above that to get all the
components down to zero Hz, you must sample at twice the highest frequency in
the signal, i.e. you must honor the 2x Nyquist sampling requirements. In order
to "closely re-create" the waveform, one must have *ALL* the components. There's
no such thing as a free lunch.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8391422731005728842
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 18:44:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Date: 03 Feb 2001 02:43:40 GMT
References: <3A779725.45CF79F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010202214340.28683.00001630@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14818

In article <3A779725.45CF79F@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>How you can say yours are based on reality is beyond belief, Larry.
>Hams are still learning the code. Probably a third or fourth of them
>will use it a lot, including QRP, and develop proficiency. Human nature
>has not changed in the last 50 years. I know you are hoping that CW
>will cease to exist so you will be proven right but you are absolutely
>wrong. CW has enough merits to survive your doomsday wishes for it.

Cecil:

I have no problem understanding the merits of CW.  My problem
is with the prospective hams who will no longer be required to learn
it in order to gain maximum HF privileges.  I favor the incentive of
the multi-tiered licensing system, including the 3-level code speed
tests, because I believe this is what brought people into the mode.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -8202682423197021341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 18:44:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Feb 2001 02:43:40 GMT
References: <3A776CC1.DCD02D18@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010202214340.28683.00001631@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14819

In article <3A776CC1.DCD02D18@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>
```

>> I made a world of 5 wpm QSOs when I first started, then 6 wpm QSOs and 10
>wpm
>> QSOs and kept on going.
>
>So did I, and according to Larry, so did he. How he figures nobody
>would operate at that speed I'll never understand. Selective memory
>perhaps. My first CW QSOs were on my old 2 meter IC 211 - at sub-5WPM
>speed - when I was a Tech.

Jim/Cecil:

Yes, I did make the same 5, 5.5, 5.9, 6.6, 8.2, 10.0 etc. WPM QSO's as everyone else did. My speed increased incrementally with virtually each QSO. I also did a lot of practice copying from the W1AW code bulletins. My progress was swift; I was comfortable at 18 - 22 WPM within a few months. However, if the maximum testing requirement stopped at 5 WPM -- then my progress would have stopped there as well. I was not a motivated and dedicated CW user at the time; that desire came only with the added proficiency came, and that was motivated MAINLY by the requirement. I don't think I'm much different than anyone else in this respect, except that I seem to be more willing to be truthful about this than the code (test) bashers are. This is why I believe that in the absence of a "real" code testing requirement, there will be little or no future growth in the number of users of the Morse/CW mode. Those who support the elimination of the requirement, and the re-allocation of Morse/CW spectrum to phone, know this as well, but can't admit it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3976907638495855772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 19:26:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org> <3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org> <3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 71
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 22:25:58 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981170768 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 02 Feb 2001 22:26:08 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 22:26:08 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14820

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > You never heard of a Z0-match before our email interchange, either.
> >
> > And I still think it's twaddle.
>
> So Antenna Tuners are "twaddle"? Are you going to author a QEX article
> entitled, "Antenna Tuners Are Twaddle"?

No, just Cecil's perspective on them. And I don't think that's worth an
article.

> > > (I still have a copy of that email.) And if I remember right, I'm
> > > the guy who taught you about the Goertzel algorithm.
> >
> > No, I first read about that in a book -- TI application manual, I think.
>
> Funny, when I asked you a question about it during your presentation at
> Hamventure, you seemed not to know what I was talking about.

I frequently don't understand what you're talking about, but only when
you're not making sense.

> > I've never heard
> > it expressed as "embedded in" or "riding on" a carrier, though, in any
> > of the engineering texts, reference books, application manuals or
> > product data sheets I've seen.
>
> It was common knowledge/language in the DSP group at Intel.
>
> > Can you provide any references for that usage?
>
> Sure - 14 lines above.

In other words, you have no references.

> > If the frequency components
> > extend down to dc, you have to sample at twice the highest frequency in
> > the signal.
>

> Son of a gun - that's what I thought. They haven't changed physics in the
> past three years.
>
> > > Depends on the boundary conditions. You cannot closely re-create
> > > the 455 kHz IF frequency waveform unless you honor the Nyquist requirements
> > > for the highest existing frequency and that's usually higher than double
> > > the bandwidth.
> >
> > That simply isn't true.
>
> You're contradicting yourself, Jon. You just admitted above that to get all the
> components down to zero Hz, you must sample at twice the highest frequency in
> the signal, i.e. you must honor the 2x Nyquist sampling requirements.

Only if there ARE components down to zero Hz. In the case of your
455-kHz signal, no signal components exist below the low end of the IF
bandwidth. That's why we can use harmonic sampling.

> In order
> to "closely re-create" the waveform, one must have *ALL* the components. There's
> no such thing as a free lunch.

Yep, but "all the compoments" exist in a small band of frequencies, not
a band 455-kHz wide, and that bandwidth can be sampled and
reconstructed, according to Nyquist, using a sampling rate twice the
bandwidth.

Jon

From -848114337862613641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 19:29:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 3 Feb 2001 03:29:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <95ftup$pii$0@208.206.142.74>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AD086.15CCE391@arrl.org> <95eqeh$gra$0@208.206.142.91>
<3A7AF934.5649AB68@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.74
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14821

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Jon Bloom wrote:
> > > Sampling rates have nothing to do with amateur radio? Sez who?
> >
> > *SAYS I*, of course. It's the TERM that has nothing to do with ham
> > radio. "Sampling rates" are important only to those who prefer to think
> > in such digital terms, for their own reasons.
>
> Dick, Dick, Dick, most top of the line ham transceivers use sampling
> rates right now. A few of them sample at the IF frequency. Even my $1000
> IC-706 uses sampling rates.

Well, hoopty lolly doo! You got a 706 with the *audio* dsp! I've had a
series of those in the past several years and have yet to find any
working advantage in any of them. The one on the IC756 stays turned off,
I haven't yet found any situation where I can say it is helpful to the
point that I would have paid what it cost to build it into the rig.

Any Extra who doesn't want to understand
> sampling rates chooses not to understand modern day ham radio. You are
> burying your head in the sands of time.

So what's your problem? I do understand the basics of DSP sampling and
have no desire nor intention of designing one for myself - I am not a
digital designer and have no intent to ever become one. That I'llleaveto
you who profess to be digital engineers. Since I'm not an engineer and
you are, you slam me because I'm not, while your status as an engineet
doesn't make you any better a ham. Fact. You're just a better digital
designer. That's the way it is.

As time moves on and progress
> happens, more and more of your precious analog functions will be
> replaced by DSP techniques.
>

Tell it to your no-code no-theory Extras.

Tell it to your nocode no theory Extras.

From 7520896368971708385
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 20:21:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Feb 2001 04:20:54 GMT
References: <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010202232054.09590.00001559@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14822

In article <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:

>In article <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org>,
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
>> What sampling rate does it require to
>> reproduce 455 kHz IF information?
>
>First off, any competent-in-DSP bench technician (let alone PhD EE)
>would scoff at 455KHz as a proper IF choice for DSP techniques.

Really? Sometimes, in the case of a DSP adapter to work off of
an existing receiver that has a 455 KHz IF, there isn't any choice.

Please examine the IFs of existing cellular telephones and
see what those Intermediate Frequencies are...rather higher
than 455 KHz these days.

>Second, that same technician would scoff at the simplistic nature of
>your question, in that it displays a basic ignorance of the information
>needed in determining what sampling rate to employ.

Now, now, let's not get testy here... :-)

Going back over three decades to the appearance of the first
sampling oscilloscopes, those all used undersampling (using
today's terminology for yesterday's accomplished art)...i.e.,
the sampling rate was wayyyy slower than the rate of the
RF being sampled.

didit

```
From 536984591986311385
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 20:21:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: Jim Alder <jimalder@sssnet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: massive crosspost attempt (was: West Virginia farm boy comes of age)
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 23:21:57 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A7B8765.7A5F894D@sssnet.com>
Reply-To: jimalder@sssnet.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en]C-CCK-MCD {TLC;RETAIL}  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <D8ze6.659$ND2.27114@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <3A7AF503.A5E88E57@sssnet.com> <95fa1e$pac$0@dosa.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 28
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12006
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14823
```

Stagger Lee wrote:

>
> On Fri, 02 Feb 2001 12:57:23 -0500, Jim Alder <jimalder@sssnet.com> wrote:
> :   Welcome to alt.oldjoke! Why does everyone think they
> [drivelsnip]
>
> And why do you think that you can add a bazillion additional news groups
> to the Newsgroups list and trick other people? Talk about ancient old
> tricks ...
>
> (News groups trimmed back to the original two rec.radio.amateur groups.)

Sorry, but I didn't add anything. Here's the header I responded to;

```
Subject:  West Virginia farm boy comes of age
       Date:  Fri, 02 Feb 2001 14:05:23 GMT
       From:  "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org>
 Newsgroups:  alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,
alt.law-enforcement, alt.rush-limbaugh,
            alt.society.liberalism, misc.survivalism,
talk.politics.guns, talk.politics.misc
 Followup-To:  alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,
alt.law-enforcement, alt.rush-limbaugh,
            alt.society.liberalism, misc.survivalism,
rec.radio.amateur.misc,
            rec.radio.amateur.policy,
talk.politics.guns, talk.politics.misc
```

```
From -4399719107166061723
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 20:21:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 85
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Feb 2001 04:20:58 GMT
References: <3A7B1A1A.4A7E536E@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010202232058.09590.00001560@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14824
```

In article <3A7B1A1A.4A7E536E@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> The normal sampling rate for a 455 KHz IF would be above
>> the "Nyquist limit" or roughly 2 1/2 times (minimum) rate of
>> the IF (1.138 MHz, minimum) for an accurate sampling.
>> That will reproduce the IF accurately enough to permit
>> digital demodulation.
>
>I'm not sure where the 2-1/2 value comes from. Some rule of thumb, I
>suppose.

Yes, pre-IC times. The old GE 24-voice-channel microwave radio
relay terminal used pulse-position modulation converted after
detection to pulse-width modulation. At about 8 KHz pulse
repetition rate, the modulated pulse width was tossed into a
3 KHz low-pass filter to recover the modulation audio. 1950
production stuff with tubes. Army version was AN/FRC-23.

>But the Nyquist frequency is 2 times the highest frequency
>present in the signal being sampled. For this hypothetical case of
>direct sampling (no undersampling) a 455-kHz IF, you would select the IF
>filter attenuation that reduces the signal to a negligible level (say 90

>dB attenuation, just to pick a number), find the frequency of the 90-dB
>point on the high side of the filter, then double that frequency to find
>the minimum sampling frequency. That's likely to be below 1.138 MHz
>unless your IF filter is 200 kHz wide (unlikely for a 455-kHz IF).

Yes, I'll agree that the Nyquist minimum has to hold for a
maximum modulation frequency. :-)

[If one isn't used to it, trying to "see" the process through the math
isn't a trivial task when working from the original Carson (?)
modulation equations]

Minimum signal level would be dependent on the A-to-D of the
sampler. Nowadays a 16-bit A-to-D at 0.5 MHz sample rate
is not an unreasonable requirement...the least-significant bit of the
sampled digital signal voltage would be about -96 dbc.

>But if you have a sampling A/D that can sample at a MHz, you can
>undersample at a rate at or above 2 times the IF bandwidth (the 90-dB
>bandwidth, in this case) and save yourself the need to decimate the
>1-MHz sampling rate down to something more tractable. There is nothing
>the least bit tricky about undersampling. One of the first tings I did
>when I got my first TI DSP evaluation board was to undersample the IF
>output of my TS-930 and make my own DSP SSB demod.

Sounds interesting and would be good material for an article
somewhere?

Older sampling oscilloscopes were ALWAYS running in an
undersampling mode...as were the undersampled PLL-ed
microwave frequency sources...around mid 1960s for the
first of them. I still have a problem with aliasing on the
analysis, though. [personal analysis work, used both, no
problem, specified and bought the sources for a couple
contracts]

Might be a picky detail here, but the sampler aperture time
has to be quite a bit shorter than the sampled signal period.
[got that impression when I saw an 8 GHz sinewave being
sampled at the H-P display at a 60's WESCON...:-)]

If the sampler can't hold the signal after sampling due to way
longer sampling, then a second sampler has to be used to
keep the sample charge long enough for the A-to-D to convert.
[in the old sample-and-hold input circuits, at least...]

There's still an aliasing problem if the sampling is too close
to an integral number of either the RF or the modulation
frequency, yes? Remind me if not, please...haven't gone
deep into undersampling hardware-software.

>> For a good introduction on DSP there is the ARRL Handbook
>> section on it, written by Jon Bloom. Good stuff, but one has

>> to buckle down and STUDY it to grasp what is possible.
>
>Actually, they've replaced the chapter I wrote with one written by Doug
>Smith, KF6DX. Still worth reading, though!

Hey! I want my 1999 ARRL CD-ROM upgrade!   :-)   :-)   ;-)

didit

From -3738326440966932948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 20:49:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Feb 2001 04:49:12 GMT
References: <20010202214340.28683.00001631@nso-mn.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010202234912.00445.00001404@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14825

In article <20010202214340.28683.00001631@nso-mn.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>This is why I believe that in the absence of a "real" code testing
>requirement, there will be little or no future growth in the number of
>users of the Morse/CW mode. Those who support the elimination of
>the requirement, and the re-allocation of Morse/CW spectrum to
>phone, know this as well, but can't admit it.

tRoll;

The FCC grants US amateur radio licenses. THEIR requirements
insofar as licensing is concerned is what counts.

The FCC has already said (1990 and 1999) that it doesn't think
a morse code test is significant for THEIR licensing criterion in
US amateur radio. They also stated that the only reason one
is necessary is the ITU-R standard S25.5.

Neither you nor I nor anyone else in here can know the future
with any certainty. Morse code may achieve a great popularity
in the future...or languish into disuse...or simply go on as it
does now...or none of those.

There is NO technical reason for the retention of a code test,
no administrative reason on the part of the FCC. All that
remains is some artificial-emotional standard of some
individuals that a "code test is necessary to prove something."

The FCC doesn't think a code test "proves" anything to them
for the purposes of granting a US amateur radio license. The
FCC has said so...twice in a decade.

didit

From -8537029231179493220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 21:00:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 04:46:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.133.186
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 03 04:46:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.186
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14826

In article <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Bob Wex w2ilp w2ilp@juno.com
>
> >Hams need more license classes and/or official FCC technical
> >endorsements.
>
> Ham need more technical knowlege. Adding another layer of government
> bureaucratic control will be a hindrance, not a help.

What government bureauocracy??? The VECs are private (non-government)
voulenteers.

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Perhaps more technical knowledge would give individual Hams real reason
to have more self PRIDE...and
OFFICIAL FCC Ham Exams, which challenge hams to be motivated to have
more technical knowledge would eventually give All Hams, as a group
more PRIDE.
Nothing short of that will do.

Hams need MORE OFFICIAL FCC License Classes.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Increase License PRIDE)

There is something about a bureaucrat
that does not like a poem.
--Gore Vidal (1968)--

The only thing that saves us from the
bureaucracy is inefficiency. An
efficient bureaucracy is the greatest
threat to liberty.
--Eugene J. McCarthy (1979)--

I wonder if Eugene McCarthy liked
poems??? History now relates that
he was, in his hay-day, a great
threat to liberty.
--w2ilp--

Bush want all students tested so
that schools can be held accountable
for test results. Maybe all hams
should be retested so that the ARRL
can be held accountable for the
loss of what once was a national asset.
Maybe not.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6741173205696920912
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 21:20:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 05:12:49 GMT

```
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 65
Message-ID: <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.133.186
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 03 05:12:49 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.186
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14827
```

In article <95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu>,
"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
>
> > Hams need more license classes and/or official FCC technical
> > endorsements.
>
> In order to do what?

To meet the BASIS and PURPOSE goals of Part 97.

> I don't find myself feeling limited by the number of
> license classes in the ARS. Necessity is the mother of invention, not
> wallpaper. Interest and curiosity must provide motivation. Without
that I
> think you'd have to convince the US Treasury to issue their
certificates
> rather than the kind the FCC offers.
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

With all due respect, I am not talking about your feelings Jim. I am speaking about what is required so that FUTURE Hams can regain the PRIDE that the hobby once had. A certificate that says that you worked all states, all zones or a hundred nations may be wallpaper BUT a license that says that you passed really comprehensive technical exams can restore the kind of pride that once had value to academic, commercial and military establishments. Such a license could command real respect, not for just individual hams, but for Ham Radio as the technical educational hobby that it once was and should be. To do so there has to be a lot of technical catching up for the Hams who want to have the pride of being known as Ham Experts.

Yes...
Hams need MORE license classes and/or official FCC endorsements so that they can show [by certification] that at least some of them have the potential of being radio communications experts.

73,

Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure License Potential)

I know of no safe depository of the ultimate
powers of society but the people themselves;
and if we think them not enlightened enough
to exercise their control with a wholesome
discretion, the remedy is not to take it
from them, but to inform their discretion
by education. This is the true corrective
of abuses of constitutional power.
--Thomas Jefferson(1820)--

Ham Radio can only be saved by Hams themselves.
The FCC may take away their frequency bands if
they are unable to prove that they are
potentially able to meet the goals as outlined
in the BASIS and PURPOSE of Part 97. The
only protective action is accountable ham
education. Ham education is being abused by
those who are unwilling and unable to modernize it.
  --w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1871810599745687434
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 21:20:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 23:10:48 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.8d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 3 Feb 2001 05:20:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14828

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> Perhaps more technical knowledge would give individual Hams real reason
> to have more self PRIDE...and
> OFFICIAL FCC Ham Exams, which challenge hams to be motivated to have
> more technical knowledge would eventually give All Hams, as a group
> more PRIDE.
> Nothing short of that will do.

"Pride goeth before destruction ..."
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -2368471387252339109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 21:27:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 23:18:01 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A7B9489.77A9A10E@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95eg63$kvf$0@208.206.142.91> <3A7AD086.15CCE391@arrl.org> <95eqeh$gra$0@208.206.142.91> <3A7AF934.5649AB68@IEEE.org> <95ftup$pii$0@208.206.142.74>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.8d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 3 Feb 2001 05:27:00 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14829

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Well, hoopty lolly doo! You got a 706 with the *audio* dsp! I've had a
> series of those in the past several years and have yet to find any
> working advantage in any of them.

Mine performs miracles. The point is that DSP, both audio and at RF
frequencies, is an expanding part of ham radio. If you refuse to learn
about DSP, you will become even more ignorant than the newcomers.

> So what's your problem? I do understand the basics of DSP sampling and
> have no desire nor intention of designing one for myself - I am not a
> digital designer and have no intent to ever become one. That I'll leave
> to you who profess to be digital engineers.

The point is that as time moves on and designs progress, ham radios will become more and more digital until you will be totally ignorant. You will be like the hams who refused to learn anything about SSB in the 50s.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -8305934139950594850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 21:37:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 23:27:44 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.8d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 3 Feb 2001 05:37:24 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14830

Jon Bloom wrote:
> Yep, but "all the compoments" exist in a small band of frequencies, ...

That's like looking for your lost keys under a street light instead of where you lost them. I can phase modulate at the IF frequency and slip an undetected message right past your undersampling technique.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -4406737056096106554
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:54:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: AC6V <ac6v@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 07:54:21 -0800
Organization: Author
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A7D7B2C.82FBE7FA@ix.netcom.com>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010204104706.03780.00001050@nso-
ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.75.13
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 Feb 2001 15:53:53 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD473  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: k4yz@my-deja.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14882

See URL:
http://www.qsl.net/ea6vq/lde.html
 --
73 From Rod AC6V In San Diego
700 Ham Topics & 6,000 Links
http://ac6v.com/
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be!!!
==================

> In article <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
>  writes:
> >Anyone have any experience with them?
> >73 Steve, K4YZ
>
```

```
From 5368072468436770906
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 08:23:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!194.176.220.130!
newsfeed.icl.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org>
```

```
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org> <20010204104710.03780.00001056@nso-
ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 11:22:29 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981303779 209.140.206.201 (Sun, 04 Feb 2001 11:22:59 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 11:22:59 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14883
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Personally, I have complete contempt for what ham radio has turned
> into.

We know that, Larry. But the only thing that has changed about ham radio is that the artificial pecking order of license classes has been deemphasized. Ham radio is still what its participants make of it. You still have authorization to use a wide variety of signal types and operating techniques on a wide selection of frequency bands. There still are many people interested in that wide variety of activities with whom to consort. If part of what you derived from ham radio was the I'm-better-than-them ego boost provided by your position in the license structure, ham radio's benefit to you has indeed been diminished. That frankly doesn't concern me since ego boosting isn't the purpose of ham radio.

Jon

```
From 1147699696291952341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 08:36:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 16:36:20 GMT
References: <95i563$k78$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204113620.29597.00005575@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14884

In article <95i563$k78$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:

>
>Spectrum allocations are a whole different ball of wax. Personally, I don't
>see the use of phone in the Novice CW portions of the band as much of a
>threat to CW. I can sure as heck filter out phone with my CW filter a lot
>better than I can filter out CW with my phone filter.

Jim:

Well, then, when the "noise floor" reaches the ceiling, I hope you enjoy
the challenge of operating CW among all those high-powered, over-
compressed, over processed phone signals!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 703511881842683078
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 08:36:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 16:36:20 GMT
References: <3A7B9906.7A34E5A5@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010204113620.29597.00005573@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14886

In article <3A7B9906.7A34E5A5@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I have no problem understanding the merits of CW.
>
>It's obvious that you do NOT understand the merits of CW, Larry.
>If you understood the merits of CW, you would be pushing the
>mode instead of pushing for a governmental welfare support

>system for the mode.

Cecil:

I'm giving up hope that you can ever get anything right. The "government welfare" program is the reduction/elimination of code testing, not the other way around. It is pandering to lazy, unmotivated slouchers -- which is what welfare programs do! If you don't understand the difference, then you're credibility just went completely down the drain!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6559773835229935529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 08:36:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 16:36:20 GMT
References: <3A7B9862.16CC2C67@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204113620.29597.00005574@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14885

In article <3A7B9862.16CC2C67@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> However, if the maximum testing requirement
>> stopped at 5 WPM -- then my progress would have stopped there as
>> well.
>
>Sounds like you have a severe personality problem regarding self
>motivation. When you satisfied the requirements for a college
>degree, did you also stop your progress? Do you only accomplish
>what you are forced to accomplish?

Cecil:

Yes, actually, I did -- but tha was for financial reasons. My VA educational benefits ran out the same time I got my degree! Now, I'd be glad to go back to school full-time -- are you offering me a personally funded scholarship? If not, quit being such a pain in the beehind just because you know how!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5867423117659838549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 09:16:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 62
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 17:16:31 GMT
References: <3A7B9C02.960659D9@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204121631.29597.00005579@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14887

In article <3A7B9C02.960659D9@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

> Larry is a little more than just "upset." For many months, Larry
>has carried on an endless campaign to belittle newly licensed
>operators with the use of derogotary names and insulting
>characterizations, while endlessly denigrating their efforts and
>contributions.

Dwight:

Hmmm. Just what *are* the "contributions" of these newly licensed hams you're talking about? Specifics, please -- include any relevant documentation -- news articles, media releases, etc.

> Those newly licensed operators include my wife, myself, and the many
>thousands of other decent people whose only ham radio "sin" is that we
>didn't obtain our license in the same manner as Larry.

Hmmmmm again. Just where did I state that "newly licensed operators" were not "decent people?" Please provide quotes from Deja.com.

> Now, I'm sorry if Larry does not like how I obtained my license,

I could care less how you obtained your license, Dwight.

but
>he is a damn fool if he thinks I'm going to give it up just because it
>makes him "upset."

The way you obtained your license does not make me upset and I wouldn't dream of asking you to give it up. You struggled and suffered through those not quite insurmountable licensing requirements, and I feel you've earned your place in today's ARS. You can be duly proud of your astounding achievement, in light of present day standards and expectations. If you were here right now, I'd pat you on the back!

>And he is equally a fool if he thinks we're going
>to feel sorry for him because he is "upset" about the reduced code
>requirements.

Again, I am not "upset." The code requirements were there for me to achieve, and the fact that they are no longer standing in YOUR way makes me happy for you! I got to prove something to myself and others through the application of diligent effort -- and you didn't have to!   Everything worked out well for both of us.

> In the end, Larry needs to grow up and get over his relentless
>self-pity.

Please stop looking in that mirrow while you're talking about me, Dwight. Its confusing.

>If he isn't able to do that, then Ed is absolutely right -
>Larry needs to give up his license and move on to something else that
>does not "upset" him so much.

No upset here, Dwight. I'm fine. Wish the same were true of you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2255654755006122928
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 09:16:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 04 Feb 2001 17:16:31 GMT
References: <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204121631.29597.00005580@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14888

In article <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>> Personally, I have complete contempt for what ham radio has turned
>> into.
>
>We know that, Larry. But the only thing that has changed about ham radio
>is that the artificial pecking order of license classes has been
>deemphasized.

Jon:

I guess you're more affected by such things because I was never personally aware of this "pecking order," nor was I ever negatively affected by it. Now, that's easy for me to say, because in my own case, I wasn't exposed to it very long, due to the relative simplicity of rising to the top of the so-called "pecing order." What I could never understand is why those people who WERE sensitive to the "pecking order" never bothered to apply themselves to overcoming it, or at least rise to the top so they could be the "peckers" rather than the "peckees," if they preferred. But, you know, this "pecking order" stuff is just exactly the kind of whining that was a big part of the dumbing down-process, and it doesn't surprise me to see that an ARRL staffer, in the prototypically smug, smart-assed, bad attitude manner that a lot of hams have learned to expect from ARRL HQ officials, is now writing about it. I suggest you put in Op-Ed piece in QST about how much better ham radio is now that the "pecking order" has been removed. Be sure to include the words of "Kumbya."

>If part of what you derived from ham radio was the
>I'm-better-than-them ego boost provided by your position in the license
>structure, ham radio's benefit to you has indeed been diminished.

Jon, until you brought it up, I never gave it much thought at all! However, that seems to be a concept right at the leading edge of your own conciousness. I wonder why?

>That
>frankly doesn't concern me since ego boosting isn't the purpose of ham
>radio.

And, apparently, neither is the concept of achievement through progressive learning and development of useful communications skills. What a shame.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 1909744608824383200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 09:27:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7D90EC.D080F819@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org> <20010204121631.29597.00005580@nso-
mi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 32
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 12:27:08 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981307659 209.140.206.201 (Sun, 04 Feb 2001 12:27:39 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 12:27:39 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14889
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
> >If part of what you derived from ham radio was the
> >I'm-better-than-them ego boost provided by your position in the license
> >structure, ham radio's benefit to you has indeed been diminished.
>
> Jon, until you brought it up, I never gave it much thought at all! However,
> that seems to be a concept right at the leading edge of your own
> conciousness. I wonder why?

You may not have given it much thought, but you've been practicing it by
pecking at those who you perceive to be lesser hams than yourself, based
solely on what license they hold, and by insisting that the removal of
the pecking order has turned ham radio ito something contemptible.

> >That
> >frankly doesn't concern me since ego boosting isn't the purpose of ham
> >radio.
>
> And, apparently, neither is the concept of achievement through progressive
> learning and development of useful communications skills. What a shame.

That concept is still present. It just doesn't require government validation any more. We don't need government proclamation of who is and who is not a "real" ham. We can recognize and celebrate real achievement (as distinct from passing exams) within the amateur community -- and without denigrating those who choose not to pursue a particular subset of ham radio.

Jon

```
From 2126913206277708063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 09:33:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 4 Feb 2001 12:32:39 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <95k3og$jkb$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.206.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 981307984 20107 166.102.250.206 (4 Feb 2001 17:33:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 4 Feb 2001 17:33:04 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14890
```

Jon, the purpose of Cecil's remarks has nothing to do with theory or an exchange of ideas. You have been sucked into the "let's see how long it takes me to frustrate the hell out of him" game. Cecil has few pleasures in life, except for the "game". Like tic tac toe, the only way to win is not to play...

"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message

```
news:3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org...
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > I do believe that is exactly what I said, Jon, and you argued with me.
So
> > I repeat: If one doesn't know the passband and wants to guarantee that
all
> > possible modulation components are decoded, one cannot use
undersampling.
>
> No, this is the first time you specified an unrestricted passband.
> Strange receiver you've got there!
>
> Why don't you just admit you didn't understand the theory initially?
>
> Jon




From -193922510944360522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fcc6c138df023f5e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 10:14:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Troll?
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 12:13:35 -0600
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A7D9BCF.DA71F553@houston.net>
References: <3A7798C6.9C1D9563@IEEE.org> <20010204104710.03780.00001057@nso-
ch.aol.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14891

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3A7798C6.9C1D9563@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >
> >Ever hear of trolling for fish? Well, some posters here on netnews
> >troll for suckers. "No Code = No Ham" is a troll designed to upset
> >all the nocode tech hams.
>
> Cecil:
>
```

> AND, since it also happens to be the truth, it seems to work quite
> effectively!

Sheese! I turn off my aol twit filter for a little bit, and look what
appears. Time to go back to killing all posts from aol and deja.

<plonk>

From 6094650925167293427
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 10:22:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 12:26:47 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <B6A2FB07.12EE%geomac@hopkinsville.net>
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net> <geomac-B685F4.08004803022001@news.kih.net> <3A7C1173.39EB03B3@arrl.org>
Mime-version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 63
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14892

> From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
> Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 09:10:59 -0500
> Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
>
> George McCouch wrote:
>>
>> It is pretty high. I joind the ARRL in 1964 for $5.00 a year. Using the
>> CPI index from 1964 through 2000 that $5.00 is now worth about $27.50.
>> The ARRL is over 40% higher with the news dues rate.
>
> If you choose a different base year, you get a different result. Neither
> the CPI nor ARRL dues increase in a smooth, continuous curve. Plus, of
> course, the CPI measures the costs of a lot of things that aren't
> relevant to ARRL's costs of providing services and doesn't measure the
> costs of a lot of things that are relevant, like the cost of magazine
> paper and mailing costs.

>
>> As some have
>> mentioned, services that were once without additional cost to members
>> now carry fees. I probably should have gotten life membership back
>> then.
>
> I don't think it was available in '64, was it? Seems to me it was a few
> years after that when it became available.
>
> Jon

Jon,

I really do not know if it was available then, but the yearly membership of $5.00 for me was two weeks allowance and seemed very reasonable at the time. While you are correct about the base year selection, one needs to start somewhere. I have always thought that the CPI actually understated the real overall cost increases that most families notice over a long period.

It is easy to get pricing from any time period and there are some things that have come down in terms of the CPI. Some examples are electronics (huge drops) many grocery items, gasoline (but it is now catching up) and some major appliances. However, other costs affecting families have shot up much faster than the CPI. Costs of vehicles, housing, many kinds of insurance except for possibly life insurance, health care, college tuition, lately heating oil and natural gas, and as some have mentioned, personal services.

The problem with the CPI is that it probably not weighted correctly, but it is the index that many things are tied to such as social security and some contract wage increases. It is also the prime indicator of inflation and can be the generator of federal reserve interest hikes or cuts that have tremendous impact on the overall economy.

I tend to look at most pricing in terms of the CPI starting at some base period, usually when I began to purchase items of the same category that I purchase today.

73
George
W3GEO

From -2034484687981580146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 10:25:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail

Message-ID: <3A7D9E77.179FF5D8@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<95k3og$jkb$1@iac5.navix.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 13:24:55 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981311126 209.140.206.201 (Sun, 04 Feb 2001 13:25:26 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 13:25:26 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14893

W4JLE wrote:
>
> Jon, the purpose of Cecil's remarks has nothing to do with theory or an
> exchange of ideas. You have been sucked into the "let's see how long it
> takes me to frustrate the hell out of him" game. Cecil has few pleasures in
> life, except for the "game". Like tic tac toe, the only way to win is not to
> play...

Cecil and I have a long history, so I know his game. Occasionally, it's
fun to turn the tables on him and frustrate *him*. (Next up: A posting
from Cecil denying all of the above.)

Jon

From -8104150850949182315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 10:28:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement

Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 12:33:24 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <B6A2FC94.12EF%geomac@hopkinsville.net>
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net> <geomac-B685F4.08004803022001@news.kih.net> <ZNUe6.3204$B81.780176@nntp2.onemain.com>
Mime-version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14894

> From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Date: Sat, 3 Feb 2001 08:44:45 -0600
> Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
>
>
> "George McCouch" <geomac@hopkinsville.net> wrote in message
> news:geomac-B685F4.08004803022001@news.kih.net...
>> In article <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
>> It is pretty high. I joind the ARRL in 1964 for $5.00 a year. Using the
>> CPI index from 1964 through 2000 that $5.00 is now worth about $27.50.
>> The ARRL is over 40% higher with the news dues rate. As some have
>> mentioned, services that were once without additional cost to members
>> now carry fees. I probably should have gotten life membership back
>> then.
>>
>> 73
>> George W3GEO
>
> I would suggest you look up the price of haircuts or any other personal
> service. The CPI is of less than phenomenal value for any analysis but
> any index will show that wherever the good or service in question uses
> people rather than capital it will rise faster than the index as a whole.
>
> Look at your school taxes where the only two technological innovations in
> a thousand years have been central heating so we don't wear robes anymore
> and a fellow named Gutenburg making it unnecessary to chain the prof's
> notes to the lectern. Now there is a personal service business!

Richard,

Good Point, Especially on the school taxes. There are reasons of course. In our local school system the addition of system personnel over the last 10 years has been tremendous while student population has declined about 18%. Computers cost money, as does new sports facilities.

73

George
W3GEO

From 8419062825968623832
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 11:15:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 132
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 19:14:21 GMT
References: <20010204104710.03780.00001056@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204141421.14777.00001420@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14895

In article <20010204104710.03780.00001056@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>
>>Those who belittle hams who did not obtain their licenses under the old
>>system deserve to have that behavior treated with comtempt because it is
>>comtemptible. That has nothing to do with one's opinion of the value of
>>code testing.
>
>Jon:
>
>What is truly contemptible is the raw laziness of those who ragged
>on and on ad infinitum about the uselessness of the code testing
>requirements, using the same specious arguments about how it
>was inhibiting the development of modern digital technology and
>keeping young people out of ham radio.

Mother Superior;

US amateur radio is surviving just fine and attracting newcomers.
But...NOT through code testing for a license. Attrition is
happening, has been happening among the code-tested
licensees. See the statistics compiled from FCC public data
by a supporter of morse code, AH0A.

>What is equally contemptible
>is how the ARRL reversed its course on the support of code testing,
>the minute they saw a requisition for red ink from their accounting
>department.

tRoll, the ARRL quite rightly supports amateur radio regulations as being the law for US amateurs. How do you manage to make obeyance of law as contemptible? Are you an outlaw?

>What is contemptible is the sappy, saccharine
>political correctness of ARRL officials who never saw a knuckle-
>dragging HT user they didn't fall in love with -- all the while staring
>directly at their wallets.

Truly a Troll statement. No-code-test Technicians are very close to one-third of all US amateur radio licensees yet the ARRL membership demographics indicate that only about one-eighth are ARRL members. How is it "comtemptible" for the ARRL to encourage Technician class memberships?

Isn't it contemptible for the tRoll to label Technicians as "knuckle-dragging HT users?" See past references to them as "shack on a belt" amateurs.

> What is truly contemptible is the way
>amateur radio no longer stands for any kind of meaningful
>accomplishments.

Ah, so the tRoll does not see therefore these accomplishments do not exist.

Digital Signal Processing (DSP) has existed for two decades in electronics...as ready-built hardware, as kits, as computer programs controlling computer sound cards for audio processing. Has the tRoll done anything with that technology other than reading sales ads on them?

If the Holy tRoller would look into past issues of QST or QEX or even the Technical Information Service page of the ARRL he would see a number of technical accomplishments by radio amateurs...accomplishments that the Holy tRoll could duplicate if he wasn't so busy sneering at no-coders.

>Heck, learning isn't even required anymore,
>since the licensing requirements have been dumbed-down to
>insignificance.

Mother Superior role again. No one knew as much at the time of license testing as the Holy tRoll. Apparently all that was forgotten afterwards since the tRoll does not participate in any discussion involving technological arts.

Mother wishes everything to be static, set in the armor-plated concrete of past standards and practices, no advancement for the Mother cannot learn anything after being licensed long ago.

>Personally, I have complete contempt for what ham radio has turned
>into.

Then you should turn in your license, declare it null and void, quit, go QRT, forget about it. With one less of your kind around it would be more attractive to newcomers.

Apparently Mother Superior wishes to be honored and revered for claimed accomplishments above and beyond human ken. No doubt she feels truly superior, perhaps god-like in majesty, the law-giver who sits in judgement of "lesser beings." :-)

>...   The way I see it, you (the ARRL)
>could have stopped Restructuring and supported, defended, and
>successfully retained the status quo in amateur radio license testing.

The ARRL did, according to public record, support and defend a virtual status quo in US amateur radio in 1998 and 1999. They did not succeed in retaining the god-like powers of high-rate morse code testing patronizingly demanded by some.

>You went for change for change's sake, only because the slouchers
>demanded it.

The "slouchers" are now a third of all US amateur radio licensees.

Those "slouchers" were also US citizens, some being military veterans, who have accomplished other things in life besides trying to promote continuing standards and practices of the 1930s in US amateur radio.

> Now, you get to lie in the bed you made.

...Freudian slip based on an unsuccessful Saturday night? :-)

>If I read the statistics on the Speroni web site correctly, there has
>been a net gain of 4,063 licensed amateurs since Restructuring
>took place in April, '00.

tRoll reads wrong. The net gain is 3,701 with the gain coming from no-code-test Technicians. Code-tested US amateur licensees decreased by 9,431 in that 9-month period. In that same period 13,132 no-code-test Technicians were added.

It is truly contemptible for some claimed academic graduates to be unable to handle simple arithmetic on public data. :-)

didit

From -1657109015235997637
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 15:59:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net> <geomac-
B685F4.08004803022001@news.kih.net> <ZNUe6.3204$B81.780176@nntp2.onemain.com>
<B6A2FC94.12EF%geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 51
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <3_lf6.9951$8I1.1334023@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 4 Feb 2001 17:57:22 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.204
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 981330943 208.154.158.204 (Sun, 04 Feb 2001 18:55:43
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 18:55:43 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14896

"George McCouch" <geomac@hopkinsville.net> wrote in message
news:B6A2FC94.12EF%geomac@hopkinsville.net...
>
>
>
> Good Point, Especially on the school taxes. There are reasons of course.
In
> our local school system the addition of system personnel over the last
10
> years has been tremendous while student population has declined about
18%.
> Computers cost money, as does new sports facilities.
>
> 73
> George
> W3GEO
>

You don't seriously believe that running a school system has anything to
do with educating students, do you? It is the excuse for the game to go
on; kind of like the relationship of peanut farming to agriculture.

Many moons ago C. Northcote Parkinson (Parkinson's Law and other Studies in Committeeology) extrapolated then-current trends in the British Navy and concluded that somewhere about now the RN would consist of one destroyer staffed entirely by Admirals supported by 100,000 Admiralty employees and a few hundred-k dockyard workers. Get the book if it is still available.

If you have a good, usually male, teacher, he has a family to support so a deal is made by which he gets hours or actually gets and Ed.D (thereby supporting another game) and becomes an administrator for twice his previous salary. Most administrators are in make-work basically to provide an advancement path when you cannot pay someone for being a good teacher.

American management theory rewards only titular leadership over others. This leads to things like the ZL hired by McDonnell as a chief who quit after a year so he could do some engineering and the police commission scrambling for a way to pay a crackerjack detective who can't pass the sergeant's exam. You wouldn't dare suggest PAYING a good teacher, would you? Or godhelpusall pay a math, Physics, or Chemistry teacher more than the PE coach; the union would have your head.

Far as sports facilities, isn't the function of the high school to entertain the taxpayers???

Dick N�BK

From -3476523274803018352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 16:07:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 5 Feb 2001 00:06:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 166
Message-ID: <95kqqh$74j$0@208.206.142.112>
References: <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org> <20010204104710.03780.00001056@nso-ch.aol.com> <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.112
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14897

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > Personally, I have complete contempt for what ham radio has turned
> > into.
>
> We know that, Larry. But the only thing that has changed about ham radio
> is that the artificial pecking order of license classes has been
> deemphasized. Ham radio is still what its participants make of it. You
> still have authorization to use a wide variety of signal types and
> operating techniques on a wide selection of frequency bands. There still
> are many people interested in that wide variety of activities with whom
> to consort. If part of what you derived from ham radio was the
> I'm-better-than-them ego boost provided by your position in the license
> structure, ham radio's benefit to you has indeed been diminished. That
> frankly doesn't concern me since ego boosting isn't the purpose of ham
> radio.
>

As a reply I repost here from rraa, a post which no doubt belonged here in the first place, but it was a response to a codehater who began to bash code over there, so was answered in kind. This post tells it like it is. It you folks in Newington see that as an artificial pecking order, then I might suggest you recruit like hell among the codefree, as they may well constitute the vast majority of your future membershsip. All I've seen from Newington so far indicates that nothing will be done that could in any way be seen as negative nor in conflict of the Grate Political Correctness that is a code free ARS, the balm of the masses, whatever their lack of interest in any facet of radio except grabbing a microphone.

Richard Harrison wrote: on rec.radio.amateur.antenna:
>
> Why learn Morse? Only to fill some arbitrary regulatory requirement.

No,Rich, only to 1.) Learn to use a basic communications mode, and 2.) To qualify for an HF amateur radio license so long as that qualification is on the books as an international requirement.

> You can learn and practice Morse for fun. It hurts nobody. But require
> it? Morse is already phased out of every pursuit except amusement.

Not so, there are still a number of ham radio CW traffic nets that meet almost daily to pass traffic, mostly for training and practice purposes. And it is still used on occasion to actually handle traffic.

During the last hurricane season where there were serious effects from storms experienced in coastal Georgia and Texas, in both those situations emergency nets handled traffic using Morse code when conditions deteriorated to the point that SSB was no longer usable. Of course other modes could have been used had they been in place and at the ready, but they were not, and CW handled the traffic with no difficulty, since there were hams present who were proficient in its use.

Comes the day than no hams are proficient in its use, that won't be possible. That is one reason some advocates of retaining a CW qualification continue to promote it.

> Even last resort claims are more fancy than fact.

In your experience, I'm sure that is correct. All others don't share your view, however, and I have personally experienced circumstances where I would have indeed been pleased to have had radiotelegraphy to fill in for commercial communications failures. But no CW gear was available since it had been replaced and phased out years earlier. I don't advocate that it not have been phased out, that was the only logical action. But in ham radio it is different. Our mission, at least those of us who consider ham radio as anything more than "Just a hobby" ----those of us who believe it to actually be a viable backup communications service to serve on those rare occasions when failure call it into play----   know, without doubt, that Morse on radio is a viable and potentially valuable resource, still. Even in the face of all that has come in the recent past.

Why? The answer is simple-
Radiotelegraphy remains as the most simple, basic, and most easily implemented means of radio communication yet devised. *IF* there are operators available who can use it.

> The idea that Morse enobles doesn`t prove that the same effort spent on
> something else wouldn`t be more enobling.

Not much in life "Enobles". Morse code does not, except in the minds of some of its opponents. But nothing else is the equivalent of knowing and being able to use Morse. Learning Morse code ENABLES.

> It would very likely be more
> useful to spend your time on something else.

Many people feel that way, and that is certainly their right. And it's nothing new.

> If you were to become accomplished in music you might entertain music
> lovers, but not on ham radio. You can talk on ham radio, but you would

> likely profit more from studying the art of conversation than learning
> how to say it in Morse.

Until you developed a bad case of laryngitis. Or were too far from your intended recipient to shout and had no other means to contact him except Morse. Unlikely, of course.

> The whole Morse argument is beyond odd.

Yes it is. The most odd part of it is that so many who should, and probably do, know better claim that Morse no longer has potential communications value - just because it has been around a long time and there are many other more modern modes and methods.

> The majority of the best conversationalists and scientifically astute
> have no interest in Morse code. If they liked Morse they might have
> become hams among whom Morse is still practiced. Why exclude them from
> any ham bands? They could improve the tone. They should be recruited.

It has always mystified me that so many individuals who can learn so much are put off by so little learning as Morse code represents. When I decided as a kid to become a ham, I don't think it would have stymied me if they had required me to learn Greek. For darn sure Morse code didn't.

> Why exclude the inept in science and conversation who don`t do code?
> They might benefit from the amateur experience, and bring something to
> the hobby that is missing.

Who excludes one who decides he doesn't want to learn something that is really simple but takes a modest amount of time and effort? The person himself! Why exclude him, indeed!

>
> Numbers count!

Any mathematician will confirm that statement.

> With a large market for ham gear, you might benefit from lower unit
> pricing. With a lot more hams, congress would pay more attention to what
> hams wanted. Bigger is better. Minimum requirements is the way to grow.

I've always been one who felt that it should be only as difficult as necessary to obtain a ham radio license, and never more difficult than necessary. As a practicing Volunteer Examiner for the first eight years of the new VE system I always put that exact philosophy into practice, and there are many licensed hams who were tested by my team who will

confirm that. I am not a "big" CW man, by any stretch of the
imagination. I do believe firmly, however, that all ham radio operators
should feel the responsibility to take it onto themselves to learn to
use the most basic and most simple mode of radio communication.
 Any ham who cannot operate effective CW is not an accomplished ham,
whatever his other accomplishments. CW is BASIC radio communication.

73, Dick Carroll W0EX

From -5236214297245044613
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 16:19:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 00:16:41 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3a7df06c.8563404@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org> <20010204104710.03780.00001056@nso-ch.aol.com> <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4a.7d
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 00:17:30 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14898

On Sun, 04 Feb 2001 11:22:29 -0500, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> Personally, I have complete contempt for what ham radio has turned
>> into.
>
>We know that, Larry. But the only thing that has changed about ham radio
>is that the artificial pecking order of license classes has been
>deemphasized. Ham radio is still what its participants make of it.

Amen Jon! That is the core element that Larry fails to
see or just doesn't want to accept.

>You
>still have authorization to use a wide variety of signal types and
>operating techniques on a wide selection of frequency bands. There still
>are many people interested in that wide variety of activities with whom
>to consort. If part of what you derived from ham radio was the
>I'm-better-than-them ego boost provided by your position in the license

```
>structure, ham radio's benefit to you has indeed been diminished. That
>frankly doesn't concern me since ego boosting isn't the purpose of ham
>radio.

Amen again!  Well said.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From -3702096569001352957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 16:23:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 00:20:08 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3a7df0fe.8709297@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org> <20010204121631.29597.00005580@nso-
mi.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4a.7d
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 00:20:59 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14899

On 04 Feb 2001 17:16:31 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>> Personally, I have complete contempt for what ham radio has turned
>>> into.
>>
>>We know that, Larry. But the only thing that has changed about ham radio
>>is that the artificial pecking order of license classes has been
>>deemphasized.
>
>Jon:
>I guess you're more affected by such things because I was never
>personally aware of this "pecking order," nor was I ever negatively
>affected by it.

ROTFLMAO!  Larry, do youself a favor and go back
and read the stuff you have written before.  To suggest in this
```

forum that you have never been personally aware of the
"pecking order" is sheer lunacy on your part. You, more
than most anyone else, have been a key proponent of
that pecking order claim.

<Big SNIP>

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 9209773267711024486
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 16:38:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 00:35:25 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 81
Message-ID: <3a7df549.9808660@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<20010204104707.03780.00001051@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4a.7d
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 00:37:30 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14900
```

On 04 Feb 2001 15:47:07 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) writes:
>
>>
>>Don't be surprised if not many people want to follow you as you try to drag
>>Amateur Radio down to your level, Larry. Many of us still believe in the
>>values you used to attribute to the Service.
>>
>>If you really feel this way, perhaps we would all be better off if you let
>>your
>>license expire and found something you can believe in to do.
>
>Ed:
>

>I'll keep my amateur radio license as long as it serves me in any
>manner I find useful. I do admit that my enjoyment of ham radio
>is greatly diminished along with the reduction in licensing standards.

Why? Just how has a change in licensing diminished YOUR
enjoyment?

>I seem to have worked everyone there is to work on CW, and even
>on the FISTS frequencies, there is nothing there but mindless
>awards-chasing and 84-year-old retirees in Florida trailer parks,
>running their letters and words together but doing it very fast,
>indeed.

So? What has that to do with any change in requirements?

>I doubt that even if large numbers of new hams come
>on the air in the Post-Restructuring era, as they have yet to do,
>that my interest will be rekindled very easily.

Perhaps you are just "burned out" with amateur radio
in general and it is your own misconception that ties
your dis-interest with restructuring.

> I had high hopes
>and aspirations as a ham;

High hopes? High hopes for what exactly?

> but I now find myself strangely
>apathetic. I really would like to get the old ham spirit back, but
>that hasn't happened.

Sounds like a personal problem.

> I was having fun on PSK31 for a while, but
>once I worked seemingly everyone using that mode, and they
>were also 84-year-old retirees in Florida, there was no more
>point in it.

You might try voice sor a change.

>I'm not quite ready to give up on ham radio yet, Ed, but the
>ARRL, now that it's talking about trying to save a bit of CW
>spectrum for future use, has really put a damper on my
>former enthusiasm. I also see the handwriting on the wall. Our
>vast spectrum, especially above 500 MHz, is way to valuable
>to future wireless service providers for us not to lose it in
>vast chunks in the near future. Therefore, the future of ham
>radio for me may very well be to use these services on
>*former* amateur frequencies. Time will tell, but for now, my
>license stays on the wall of my "shack."

Actually, regarding 500MHz and up, I suspect you are

probably correct. The interesting point, however, is that
those frequencies above 500MHz have very limited
use to most hams except for short distance transmissions...which
is exactly why the cell phone/pager/PCS folks want them.
I truly wonder what percent of all hams have ever made even
a single contact on any frequency (ham frequency) above
500 MHz?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6278321726442459236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 16:55:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7DF9E4.7CB17F57@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org> <20010204104710.03780.00001056@nso-ch.aol.com> <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org> <95kqqh$74j$0@208.206.142.112>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 29
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 19:55:00 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981334535 209.140.206.201 (Sun, 04 Feb 2001 19:55:35 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 19:55:35 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14901

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> As a reply I repost here from rraa, a post which no doubt belonged here
> in the first place, but it was a response to a codehater who began to
> bash code over there, so was answered in kind. This post tells it like
> it is. It you folks in Newington see that as an artificial pecking
> order, then I might suggest you recruit like hell among the codefree, as
> they may well constitute the vast majority of your future membershsip.
> All I've seen from Newington so far indicates that nothing will be done
> that could in any way be seen as negative nor in conflict of the Grate
> Political Correctness that is a code free ARS, the balm of the masses,
> whatever their lack of interest in any facet of radio except grabbing a
> microphone.

Dick, as you ought to know, I have zero problem with Morse as an operating mode. I've spent far more time operating Morse than I have operating any other mode, and I expect that to continue. But it's not the be-all and end-all of ham radio, nor do I consider Morse skill to be the litmus test of amateur ability that you think it is. Still, I have no problem with people who believe that Morse testing has a value that makes it desirable to keep it as a requirement, even though I happen to disagree with that particular viewpoint. What I do have a problem with is people who look down on others simply because those others have not passed a code test. Equally, I have a problem with those who look down on others who *have* passed a code test. Both of those extreme viewpoints are occasionally expressed in this NG; both are equally wrong.

Jon

From 153287755164365167
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 18:05:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 5 Feb 2001 02:05:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <95l1p3$nqs$0@208.206.142.112>
References: <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org> <20010204104710.03780.00001056@nso-ch.aol.com> <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org> <3a7df06c.8563404@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.112
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14902

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Sun, 04 Feb 2001 11:22:29 -0500, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >>
> >> Personally, I have complete contempt for what ham radio has turned
> >> into.
> >

> >We know that, Larry. But the only thing that has changed about ham radio
> >is that the artificial pecking order of license classes has been
> >deemphasized. Ham radio is still what its participants make of it.
>
> Amen Jon! That is the core element that Larry fails to
> see or just doesn't want to accept.
>
> >You
> >still have authorization to use a wide variety of signal types and
> >operating techniques on a wide selection of frequency bands. There still
> >are many people interested in that wide variety of activities with whom
> >to consort. If part of what you derived from ham radio was the
> >I'm-better-than-them ego boost provided by your position in the license
> >structure, ham radio's benefit to you has indeed been diminished. That
> >frankly doesn't concern me since ego boosting isn't the purpose of ham
> >radio.
>
> Amen again! Well said.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

So, Jon, when do you take your seat on the Board of Directors of NCI?

```
From 6275397871471744904
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 19:36:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Feb 2001 03:34:39 GMT
References: <3A7DF9E4.7CB17F57@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204223439.13655.00002588@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14904
```

In article <3A7DF9E4.7CB17F57@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>
>Dick, as you ought to know, I have zero problem with Morse as an
>operating mode. I've spent far more time operating Morse than I have

>operating any other mode, and I expect that to continue. But it's not
>the be-all and end-all of ham radio, nor do I consider Morse skill to be
>the litmus test of amateur ability that you think it is. Still, I have
>no problem with people who believe that Morse testing has a value that
>makes it desirable to keep it as a requirement, even though I happen to
>disagree with that particular viewpoint. What I do have a problem with
>is people who look down on others simply because those others have not
>passed a code test. Equally, I have a problem with those who look down
>on others who *have* passed a code test. Both of those extreme
>viewpoints are occasionally expressed in this NG; both are equally
>wrong.
>
>Jon

In other words, Dick, those of us who support reasonable
testing requirements to ensure that radio amateurs can effectively
employ one of the most useful communications modes in the ARS
are extremists; those who support the concept of touchy-feely,
politically correct "inclusiveness" are in the right, due to the fact
that they meet the needs of a much larger clientele. Jon's
gracious admission that he has a "problem" with people who look
down on others who have passed a code test notwithstanding, this
is just more of the usual pandering from 225 Main St.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 9122685938029702812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 19:36:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Feb 2001 03:34:39 GMT
References: <3a7df549.9808660@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204223439.13655.00002587@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14905

In article <3a7df549.9808660@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>

>Actually, regarding 500MHz and up, I suspect you are
>probably correct. The interesting point, however, is that
>those frequencies above 500MHz have very limited
>use to most hams except for short distance transmissions...which
>is exactly why the cell phone/pager/PCS folks want them.
>I truly wonder what percent of all hams have ever made even
>a single contact on any frequency (ham frequency) above
>500 MHz?
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

Bill:

Probably around .05 percent, and that's being generous. I've personally never owned any amateur gear capable of generating a signal above a frequency of 1.2 GHz; and I've only made two successful QSO's in that band. The problem: Nobody to talk to -- even many years after the adoption of 100% codeless licensing for operating privileges in that spectrum. We (the amateur community in general) were given airtight assurances that removal of the 5 WPM code test from the Technician-class licensing requirements would inspire major growth in amateur radio and widely increased utilization of our spectrum in the UHF range -- largely dedicated to high-speed digital data communications. Yet, after all this time, I can not send a wireless message over strictly amateur-based circuits any further than my own county line -- and most of the time, I can't even get that far! Therefore, I can't help but wonder why giving away HF phone privileges is supposed to be such a major benefit to our service. I say, the sooner commercial interests start doing some productive and profitable work on that spectrum, the better. I wouldn't sell off our amateur spectrum above 500 MHz -- I'd give it away free to whomever proposed the best commercial use thereof, in order to stimulate that business and all of the economic benefits that would come with it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7898433866296080l7
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 19:36:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Feb 2001 03:34:38 GMT

```
References: <3a7df0fe.8709297@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204223438.13655.00002586@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14903

In article <3a7df0fe.8709297@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>
>ROTFLMAO!  Larry, do youself a favor and go back
>and read the stuff you have written before.  To suggest in this
>forum that you have never been personally aware of the
>"pecking order" is sheer lunacy on your part.  You, more
>than most anyone else, have been a key proponent of
>that pecking order claim.

Bill:

I'll admit that I have supported the concept of a progressive,
multi-tiered licensing system, for the purpose of encouraging
continued learning and communications skill development by
using increased operating privileges as the incentive. I have
not, to the best of my knowledge, supported this system
merely to keep an elitist "pecking order" in place.  That is
the fear of the no-coders, to be sure, but those of us who have
reached the top of the former licensing structure don't really
consider that achievement that unique, since people of all
ages and from all walks of life have done so.  The notion of
a "pecking order" is just another specious construct from
those who pander to the politically correct popular culture.
Purely nose-piercing and pony-tail stuff -- with no relationship
whatsoever to the reality of people who understand how to
achieve things on their own.

73 de Larry, K3LT


From 6644754891401924103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 19:44:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 21:34:29 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A7E1F45.E50EB050@IEEE.org>
```

```
References: <3a7df0fe.8709297@news.mindspring.com>
<20010204223438.13655.00002586@nso-mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 03:44:07 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14906
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The notion of
> a "pecking order" is just another specious construct from
> those who pander to the politically correct popular culture.

Seems "The St. Valentine's Day Massacre" is exactly one of those
"specious constructs".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -6911563367675118925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 19:51:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 21:41:55 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A7E2103.E9D4DA8C@IEEE.org>
References: <3A798461.CD3C8F1F@IEEE.org> <20010204104707.03780.00001052@nso-
ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 03:51:31 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14907
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3A798461.CD3C8F1F@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >> I'll call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" myself. However,
> >> PseudoExtra does have a nice ring to it! Kinda grows on you,

> >> doesn't it?
> >
> >Here's a little guy who doesn't even know what amateur radio is
> >and you are going to call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" in
> >15 years when he gets the only Extra class license available
> >to him???? Are you trying to get him to hate older hams?

> I never said I'd call him a "PseudoExtra" to his face. Anyway,
> I didn't invent that term -- YOU did!!!

Nope, not me, Dick did.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -6612394496753457338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,3f18606ef7a9e82d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 19:53:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 5 Feb 2001 03:53:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 128
Message-ID: <95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165>
References: <95j0bq$9p2$0@208.206.142.68>
<29520-3A7D94B4-10@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.165
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:17150
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14908

Richard Harrison wrote:

> CW may be basic, but it is no prerequisite to any other mode.

Of course not, it is prerequisite unto itself- you can't use it if you
don't know how.

>

> CW has been defended as essential to emergency communications,

No, it has not- it has been shown to be the most basic of radio communications modes, still very effective even though it is quite ancient by comparison to the flashy efficient new modes that require much more hardware overhead and support.

> which is
> mistakenly claimed as a mandate of amateur radio.

You can ignore the history of the amateur radio service but your attitude cannot change it.

>
> Amateur radio is a hobby.

Sure it is. But unlike almost all other hobbies, it is something much more. No stamp or coin collector will ever find himself in the position to use his hobby to perform critical public services. Most hams will never see the time when they are called to do so, either. So in your opinion no ham need ever prepare nor expect such a thing to happen nowadays, what with cell phones and satellites. Your understanding of the frailties of modern communications belies the level of your education and experience. You simply should know better than that. For some strange reason a number of engineer-hams suffer from that very deficiency. Oddly, many are no-coders, also.

> Amateurs set up emergency and public service groups in which they can
> put their communications gear to good use. It`s all voluntary. Cell
> phone users can do the same. If the cell phones fail, there are
> satellite phone and internet connections. There are more do-gooders with
> cell phones than radio amateurs. They are welcomed volunteers with the
> Red Cross, etc..

This paragraph only shows your lack of expressed knowledge of reality of life in the world of communications. Only ham radio incorporates such a diversified widespread cadre of operator/stations as to be capable of detaching itself from the gridded infrastructure to the extent that it can function on its own without dependence on such things as power grids, networking schemes, or both. When the lights go out for long periods, or some natural calamity comes, the telephone network clogs- did you ever get the "all circuits are busy" notice? Don't even mention the cellphone network. It was never designed to handle large percentages of its subscribers and saturates straight away. Satellite phones? You mean IRIDIUM? Globalstar? Yeah, right! Not much help there. ALL public safety comms are in instant overload when the ship hits the sand, been there and done that. That leaves FRS and CB. Real organization and true communications capability there, for sure. NOT!

So you go right on blithely assuming that all is well, and your world is secure. If you lived in California you would have assumed, until a couple weeks ago, that your lights were certain to stay on at will, too, and there would always be a working traffic signal at each intersection on the glutted streets and highways.

Modern communications systems are far more fragile and vulnerable than you evidently can imagine. Ever hear of the "Digital Pearl Harbor"? Everything out there is ran by computer these days. That makes everything vulnerable to the hackers. Microsoft itself is not secure. What next? It won't necesarily be a natural disaster that takes modern comms down.

A few years ago in a fairly large town not far away a fire in the central telephone office put the city off all telephone service for days. Meanwhile, the local ham radio 2meter repeater filled in nicely for local critical communcations. Later the telephone company awarded the ham radio club repeater space on its mountaintop site in appreciation of their help. Not a big deal, and rare, but just one more example. So many of you code bashers are so eager to do away with all but the "hobby" aspect of ham radio. Why?

> Amateur emergency help may be welcome, but it is seldom essential.

And when it is essential it is far more than welcome. It is - well, essential.

> Newsflash: Amateurs don`t have to earn spectrum for their radio hobby
> through good deeds. They have access rights simply by getting an FCC
> license.

Ah, now we get down to it. "I have a right". Sure you do. One which can be withdrawn at the stroke of a pen "In the public interest, convenience and necessity".

Comes the time when amateur radio is seen by officialdom as nothing but a burdensome klaven of simple hobbyists and troublesome misfits, a significant portion of whom really don't care, and that pen stroke becomes reality. It has been made perfectly clear that the slide in the direction of worthlessness as a service has been in progress within the Amateur Radio Service for decades.
The instant brouhaha merely serves to grease the skids.

> Just as commerce and industry are worthy and have rights, so do
> individuals, for their life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness.

Which does NOT include transmitting access to any part of the radio spectrum for recreational purposes. There is a "basis and purpose" for the amateur radio service in the FCC rules. You really should read it sometime.

> Dick Carroll is dwelling upon long gone times and conditions before
> there were modern communications.

Very creative writing, Rich, but far too unrealistic to gain
credibility as fact.

73, Dick Carroll W0EX

From -1195985603048602552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 19:57:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Message-ID: <u29s7tsndq6lu5r4gf5grfq9do9g4211n7@4ax.com>
References: <95bq8f$ja4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010204104711.03780.00001058@nso-ch.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 76
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 03:57:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.100
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981345424 209.86.207.100 (Sun, 04 Feb 2001 19:57:04 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 19:57:04 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14909

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
>Code Quick makes a disasterous short-cut, by employing cutsey
>sound-alike phonetic phrases that require double or triple
>translation in the brain before the act of writing down a letter

Only in the beginning. If you stick with it, Code Quick will soon fade
away as the brain starts to automatically decode with no conscious
thinking involved...

>I think Code Quick is the most confusing, inhibiting,
>and inefficient Morse code learning programs ever devised.

It's only bad if it doesn't work for you.
 Apparently it works for many...

>it offers the proverbial
>free lunch -- and therefore, gets a strong following from the
>clients of the ham radio Welfare State.

This is the *real reason* you don't like Code Quick. People who have
no intention of ever using the code on the air have found success in
using Code Quick to quickly pass the code test. But then I suppose
that's similar to people who have no intention of learning electronics
using memorization to quickly pass the written test...

>
>>I challenge you to find anyone who tried Code Quick but failed.
>
>Well, all I can say is, I know a lot of people who own the tapes,
>but none of them are regular CW users!
>
>>I will agree with you that Code Quick alone isn't enough for 20+ wpm.
>
>Damn straight! It practically wires your brain in a way that makes
>it impossible to get beyond 10 WPM. But, in these days of a 5 WPM
>maximum code test, I guess that's no longer a problem.
>
>>Well, at some point, the Code Quick user has to start copying in
>>his/her head. But at least there's nothing to unlearn.
>
>Nothing but everything Code Quick taught them about character
>recognition! You see, Code Quick users didn't learn to react directly
>to the sound of a Morse code character -- they have to do the
>"translation" sequence in their mind before the light comes on and
>the write down the character.
>
>>Larry, you obviously do NOT need Code Quick.
>
>No, thank God, I don't! Oh, speaking of God, that's another problem
>I have with Code Quick. It indulges in religious proselytizing right in
>the middle of the tape. Specifically, fundamentalist Christian
>proselytizing. Why is religion brought into the program? What about
>potential customers who are Catholic, Jewish, Muslim, or any other
>non-fundamentalist Christian religion? If the guy wants to sell
>religious tapes, he should do so within the confines of that market --
>and not include HIS specific religious beliefs in a program marketed
>to people from all walks of life who are interested in ham radio. Is
>there any disclaimer on the tape package? I've never seen any!
>
>>But the "lazy No Code" crowd certainly does.
>
>Well, I give you Brownie points for at least admitting that.
>

>Show me someone from this group, and I'll show
>>you someone who didn't use Code Quick.
>
>Like I said before, I know a lot of people who own Code Quick --
>but virtually none of them went on to be proficient CW users. They
>may have passed their code tests, but they didn't gain the skill.
>I'll stick with what works -- learning the sound of the individual
>characters using the Farnsworth method, and getting 2-way practice
>on-the-air.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5561995148858775754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 19:59:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 21:49:46 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3A7E22DA.B100E65D@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <3A7CC963.9019B140@arrl.org>
<3A7CDDB6.5C5268B3@IEEE.org> <3A7CF010.C4CCAA0E@arrl.org>
<3A7D6B66.525366A9@IEEE.org> <95jt2l$kss$0@208.206.142.71>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 03:59:36 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14910

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:

> >
> > Jon Bloom wrote:
> > >
> > > Cecil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Cecil said:
> > > > > > I can phase modulate at the IF frequency and
> > > > > > slip an undetected message right past your undersampling technique.
>
> Now you've got me curious: How do you plan on going into Jon's radio
> *after* the IF sampling has taken place to "slip an undetected message
> right past your undersampling techniqjue"? Anything that appears at the
> point the undersampling takes place will be sampled at an equal rate.
> Are you into magic these days? Or just excessive grape juice?

I'm not talking about a radio, Dick, I'm talking about any signal. I said it
was a hypothetical exercise. But if the added modulation were done before
the signal reached the receiver, it would be even more impossible to detect.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -444224868621766135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 20:01:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7E2569.7458F345@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A7DF9E4.7CB17F57@arrl.org> <20010204223439.13655.00002588@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 23:00:41 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981345677 209.140.206.201 (Sun, 04 Feb 2001 23:01:17 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 23:01:17 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14911

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In other words, Dick, those of us who support reasonable
> testing requirements to ensure that radio amateurs can effectively
> employ one of the most useful communications modes in the ARS

> are extremists; those who support the concept of touchy-feely,
> politically correct "inclusiveness" are in the right, due to the fact
> that they meet the needs of a much larger clientele.

No, the extremists are those of you who denigrate those who haven't taken the same tests as you. In short, it has nothing to do with code testing and everything to do with how you treat people. If you think how you treat others is a issue of "political correctness," well, that pretty much proves my point.

Jon

```
From -3849535534833816253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 20:06:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 21:56:48 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A7E2480.B4EC3C18@IEEE.org>
References: <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <20010204104708.03780.00001053@nso-
ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 04:06:28 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14912
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Like Dick said -- bring him around to the newsgroup, let's make an
> on-the-air sked with him to verify even 20 WPM CW proficiency, and
> if he checks out, then I, for one, will be perfectly happy to admit that
> he's the "Real Mc Coy" as an Extra. How 'bout it?

I doubt there's a PhD in my entire home county, Larry, so you should be on the lookout for him on the air. Sooner or later, some Extra-Lite is going to cause you to send QRS.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -5143889134702020774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 20:21:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: 5 Feb 2001 03:39:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 87
Message-ID: <95l79j01sl@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <95bq8f$ja4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010204104711.03780.00001058@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-967.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14913

In article <20010204104711.03780.00001058@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...
>
>In article <95bq8f$ja4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, jason_hsu@my-deja.com writes:
>
>>You amuse me, Larry. First, you keep insisting that Morse Code all-
>>important to all of ham radio and that the Morse Code testing
>>requirements are sacred.
>>
>>So I decide to bring Code Quick to the attention of everyone on
>>USENET. Unlike more conventional methods, Code Quick works, and people
>>ACTUALLY follow through. So what do you do? You try to knock it
>>down!
>>
>>I can tell from personal experience that Code Quick works. Because it
>>takes the drudgery (memorization of dits and dahs, listening to CW and
>>waiting for divine inspiration, etc.) out of learning CW, it seems that
>>everyone who tries Code Quick sticks to it. You CANNOT say the same
>>about other methods.
>
>Jason:
>
>There are plenty of better methods. Simply learning the characters
>and getting on-the-air at 5 WPM is the best way to go. However,
>Code Quick makes a disasterous short-cut, by employing cutsey
>sound-alike phonetic phrases that require double or triple
>translation in the brain before the act of writing down a letter takes
>place. So, you're listening to "dadidadit" and you've got to think
>to yourself "that was 'dadidadit' so that sounds like 'Debbie did it'
>or something like that, and 'Debbie' starts with a 'd' so I'll write
>that down. Of course, the character sent was a "C," but who's
>counting? I think Code Quick is the most confusing, inhibiting,
>and inefficient Morse code learning programs ever devised. The

>attraction is certainly unmistakable, for it offers the proverbial
>free lunch -- and therefore, gets a strong following from the
>clients of the ham radio Welfare State.
>
>>I challenge you to find anyone who tried Code Quick but failed.
>
>Well, all I can say is, I know a lot of people who own the tapes,
>but none of them are regular CW users!
>
>>I will agree with you that Code Quick alone isn't enough for 20+ wpm.
>
>Damn straight! It practically wires your brain in a way that makes
>it impossible to get beyond 10 WPM. But, in these days of a 5 WPM
>maximum code test, I guess that's no longer a problem.
>
>>Well, at some point, the Code Quick user has to start copying in
>>his/her head. But at least there's nothing to unlearn.
>
>Nothing but everything Code Quick taught them about character
>recognition! You see, Code Quick users didn't learn to react directly
>to the sound of a Morse code character -- they have to do the
>"translation" sequence in their mind before the light comes on and
>the write down the character.
>
>>Larry, you obviously do NOT need Code Quick.
>
>No, thank God, I don't! Oh, speaking of God, that's another problem
>I have with Code Quick. It indulges in religious proselytizing right in
>the middle of the tape. Specifically, fundamentalist Christian
>proselytizing. Why is religion brought into the program? What about
>potential customers who are Catholic, Jewish, Muslim, or any other
>non-fundamentalist Christian religion? If the guy wants to sell
>religious tapes, he should do so within the confines of that market --
>and not include HIS specific religious beliefs in a program marketed
>to people from all walks of life who are interested in ham radio. Is
>there any disclaimer on the tape package? I've never seen any!
>
>>But the "lazy No Code" crowd certainly does.
>
>Well, I give you Brownie points for at least admitting that.
>
>Show me someone from this group, and I'll show
>>you someone who didn't use Code Quick.
>
>Like I said before, I know a lot of people who own Code Quick --
>but virtually none of them went on to be proficient CW users. They
>may have passed their code tests, but they didn't gain the skill.
>I'll stick with what works -- learning the sound of the individual
>characters using the Farnsworth method, and getting 2-way practice
>on-the-air.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

```
From -3712313525875756180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 20:26:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 22:16:14 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A7E290E.DC72A37B@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<95k3og$jkb$1@iac5.navix.net> <3A7D9E77.179FF5D8@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 04:25:58 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14914

Jon Bloom wrote:
> Cecil and I have a long history, so I know his game. Occasionally, it's
> fun to turn the tables on him and frustrate *him*.

I was wondering why you leveled those unprovoked ad hominem attacks.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 7260075399280424687
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 20:28:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!
```

```
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 22:18:56 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A7E29B0.34ECA47B@IEEE.org>
References: <3A7B9862.16CC2C67@IEEE.org> <20010204113620.29597.00005574@nso-
mi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 04:28:33 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14915

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >Sounds like you have a severe personality problem regarding self
> >motivation. When you satisfied the requirements for a college
> >degree, did you also stop your progress? Do you only accomplish
> >what you are forced to accomplish?
>
> Yes, actually, I did -- but tha was for financial reasons.  My VA
> educational benefits ran out the same time I got my degree!  Now,
> I'd be glad to go back to school full-time -- are you offering me a
> personally funded scholarship?  If not, quit being such a pain in
> the beehind just because you know how!

You're worse off than I suspected, Larry. Do you really believe that
you have to go back to school to make any progress? I made virtually
all of my progress after I got out of school.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -3582987293268385636
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 20:31:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 22:21:57 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
```

```
Message-ID: <3A7E2A65.10D7D804@IEEE.org>
References: <95i563$k78$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010204113620.29597.00005575@nso-mi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 04:31:39 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14916
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Well, then, when the "noise floor" reaches the ceiling, I hope you enjoy
> the challenge of operating CW among all those high-powered, over-
> compressed, over processed phone signals!

```
- . -.   ..-. --- ..- .-.   --. ..- -..   -... ..- -.. -.. -.--
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From -3082126750700213263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 20:43:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 22:33:33 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A7E2D1D.EA727BAA@IEEE.org>
References: <3A7B9906.7A34E5A5@IEEE.org> <20010204113620.29597.00005573@nso-
mi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.41
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 04:42:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14917
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I'm giving up hope that you can ever get anything right. The
> "government welfare" program is the reduction/elimination of
> code testing, not the other way around.

Obviously false, Larry. The reduction/elimination of Morse code testing

is the reduction/elimination of its federally sponsored welfare support program. When a person receives welfare, he/she receives something tangible that he/she can hold in his/her hand. There's absolutely nothing tangible being given away. To think otherwise is to think like a Liberal.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -7199626350905183276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 20:52:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: 5 Feb 2001 04:15:41 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 82
Message-ID: <95l9dd01l8@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <95bq8f$ja4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010204104711.03780.00001058@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-583.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14918

In article <20010204104711.03780.00001058@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...

>There are plenty of better methods. Simply learning the characters
>and getting on-the-air at 5 WPM is the best way to go. However,
>Code Quick makes a disasterous short-cut, by employing cutsey
>sound-alike phonetic phrases that require double or triple
>translation in the brain before the act of writing down a letter takes

Since when are you an expert on how the human brain works? Do you have any proof that CodeQuick doesn't achieve its purpose of helping one pass the code test, other than your own subjective opinion?

>>I challenge you to find anyone who tried Code Quick but failed.
>
>Well, all I can say is, I know a lot of people who own the tapes,
>but none of them are regular CW users!

That's not what he asked you. Besides, if CodeQuick served the purpose of passing the morse hazing ritual, that's all that matters.

>>I will agree with you that Code Quick alone isn't enough for 20+ wpm.
>
>Damn straight! It practically wires your brain in a way that makes
>it impossible to get beyond 10 WPM. But, in these days of a 5 WPM
>maximum code test, I guess that's no longer a problem.

Again, where's your proof?

>Nothing but everything Code Quick taught them about character
>recognition! You see, Code Quick users didn't learn to react directly
>to the sound of a Morse code character -- they have to do the
>"translation" sequence in their mind before the light comes on and
>the write down the character.

Ah, yes! The frustration and anxiety of a stonge-age learning technique known as rote memorization is always best.

>>Larry, you obviously do NOT need Code Quick.
>
>No, thank God, I don't! Oh, speaking of God, that's another problem
>I have with Code Quick. It indulges in religious proselytizing right in
>the middle of the tape. Specifically, fundamentalist Christian
>proselytizing. Why is religion brought into the program? What about
>potential customers who are Catholic, Jewish, Muslim, or any other
>non-fundamentalist Christian religion? If the guy wants to sell
>religious tapes, he should do so within the confines of that market --
>and not include HIS specific religious beliefs in a program marketed
>to people from all walks of life who are interested in ham radio. Is
>there any disclaimer on the tape package? I've never seen any!

BFD!

>>But the "lazy No Code" crowd certainly does.
>
>Well, I give you Brownie points for at least admitting that.
>
>Show me someone from this group, and I'll show
>>you someone who didn't use Code Quick.
>
>Like I said before, I know a lot of people who own Code Quick --
>but virtually none of them went on to be proficient CW users. They
>may have passed their code tests, but they didn't gain the skill.

Here's a thought: MAYBE THEY DIDN'T WANT TO!

>I'll stick with what works -- learning the sound of the individual
>characters using the Farnsworth method, and getting 2-way practice

>on-the-air.

If it works for you fine, but I suggest you do a little reading on NLP/"whole
mind" learning techniques. Your subjectivity shows your ignorance.

From 2606780355058117468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 21:24:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 76
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Feb 2001 05:24:11 GMT
References: <20010204223438.13655.00002586@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010205002411.04061.00001322@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14919

In article <20010204223438.13655.00002586@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>n article <3a7df0fe.8709297@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>
>>ROTFLMAO! Larry, do youself a favor and go back
>>and read the stuff you have written before. To suggest in this
>>forum that you have never been personally aware of the
>>"pecking order" is sheer lunacy on your part. You, more
>>than most anyone else, have been a key proponent of
>>that pecking order claim.
>
>Bill:
>
>I'll admit that I have supported the concept of a progressive,
>multi-tiered licensing system, for the purpose of encouraging
>continued learning and communications skill development by
>using increased operating privileges as the incentive. I have
>not, to the best of my knowledge, supported this system
>merely to keep an elitist "pecking order" in place.

By all evidence of your statements in here you DO wish to
keep the pecking order alive and well because you've

managed to get to the "top" of the old pecking order.

>That is
>the fear of the no-coders, to be sure, but those of us who have
>reached the top of the former licensing structure don't really
>consider that achievement that unique, since people of all
>ages and from all walks of life have done so.

Oh, dear, more hypocrisy. That SOME others have jumped through hoops conceived and lobbied for by elitists, those at the top of the pecking order (tRoll included) can now claim superiority and insist that everyone else do as they did.

>The notion of
>a "pecking order" is just another specious construct from
>those who pander to the politically correct popular culture.

Bullshit, tRoll. The pecking order arrived, was joined by those who believed in the popular culture of the "incentive plan" multi-tiered US amateur license class structure... along with the Vanity Call system codified in law so that younger, less-knowledgeable-about-theory, but capable of fast morse code rates could pretend to be old-timers with 1x2 and 2x1 call signs.

>Purely nose-piercing and pony-tail stuff -- with no relationship
>whatsoever to the reality of people who understand how to
>achieve things on their own.

So...no doubt everyone will be treated to yet-another go-around on how the tRoll set about disciplining himself and worked Real Hard to rise to the top of the amateur pecking order? That everyone else can do the same as he but everyone who does not share his views, aspirations are somehow "inferior?" :-)

The question is not whether or not tRoll, by himself, accomplished anything. The question is whyinhell are there certain standards and requirements in US amateur radio regulations. tRoll has danced around that without answering, his only rationale ending with a statement of his Hard Work and Dedication.

Hard Work and Dedication to old standards and practices should be respected. However, that respect does not carry through to enforcing the SAME thing to all newcomers by codifying it in law. If that were the case, amateur radio would have ended with the outlawing of spark emissions...the major transmitters of amateur radio in the beginning. Old-timers of that era would have insisted that all newcomers DO AS THEY DID. :-)

didit

```
From 7562808004095391942
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 21:25:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 97
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Feb 2001 05:24:10 GMT
References: <20010204223439.13655.00002587@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010205002410.04061.00001321@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14920
```

In article <20010204223439.13655.00002587@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <3a7df549.9808660@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>
>>Actually, regarding 500MHz and up, I suspect you are
>>probably correct. The interesting point, however, is that
>>those frequencies above 500MHz have very limited
>>use to most hams except for short distance transmissions...which
>>is exactly why the cell phone/pager/PCS folks want them.
>>I truly wonder what percent of all hams have ever made even
>>a single contact on any frequency (ham frequency) above
>>500 MHz?
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Bill K2UNK
>
>Bill:
>
>Probably around .05 percent, and that's being generous. I've personally
>never owned any amateur gear capable of generating a signal above
>a frequency of 1.2 GHz; and I've only made two successful QSO's in
>that band. The problem: Nobody to talk to -- even many years after
>the adoption of 100% codeless licensing for operating privileges in
>that spectrum.

...try using an antenna system that doesn't have a VSWR
of 10:1 or higher.

> We (the amateur community in general) were given

>airtight assurances that removal of the 5 WPM code test from the
>Technician-class licensing requirements would inspire major growth
>in amateur radio and widely increased utilization of our spectrum
>in the UHF range -- largely dedicated to high-speed digital data
>communications.

Just exactly who gave those "airtight assurances?" The 1990 Report and Order that created the Technician class simply created the Technician class license. The FCC did not give any "airtight assurances" other than the creation of that class.

However, tRoll is quite WRONG on "major growth." Major growth was enough to make the single no-code-test Tech class within a percentage point of ONE-THIRD of all licensees as of the end of the year 2000.

As to the utilization of VHF and higher, where were the ALREADY LICENSED US radio amateurs? They were, and still are, able to operate on all allocated bands on up to the 300 GHz allocation limit.

tRoll bitches and complains about "underutilization" when he was part of the problem of underutilizing spectrum.

> Yet, after all this time, I can not send a wireless
>message over strictly amateur-based circuits any further than my
>own county line -- and most of the time, I can't even get that far!

Here's a thought: Try asking for help on what you are doing wrong. It would seem you aren't doing it right.

>Therefore, I can't help but wonder why giving away HF phone
>privileges is supposed to be such a major benefit to our service.

The FCC doesn't regulate or license radio amateurs to some sort of "major benefit to your service." The FCC regulates US amateur radio as one of MANY different US civil radio services.

tRoll wants a federal subsidy or federal recognition for "time in grade" as a code-tested ham superbeing?

>I say, the sooner commercial interests start doing some productive
>and profitable work on that spectrum, the better. I wouldn't sell
>off our amateur spectrum above 500 MHz -- I'd give it away free to
>whomever proposed the best commercial use thereof, in order
>to stimulate that business and all of the economic benefits that
>would come with it.

So...just to prove your "superiorness" or whatever ego-trip thing you are doing here, you wish to SELL OFF everything above 500 MHz because no one will talk to you up there?!?

tRoll, why do you wish to destroy FREE SPECTRUM for all
others?

didit

>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 5406698507406498624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 21:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!grolier!news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 05:14:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 138
Message-ID: <95lcr2$qf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.38
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 05:14:13 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.38
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14921
```

In article <3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com>,
  billsohl@mindspring.com wrote:
> On Sat, 03 Feb 2001 05:12:49 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
> wrote:
>
> >In article <95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu>,
> > "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> >>
> >> Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message

And please read the comments of W2ILP below.

> >> > Hams need more license classes and/or official FCC technical

> >> > endorsements.
> >>
> >> In order to do what?

To be Hams.

> >To meet the BASIS and PURPOSE goals of Part 97.
>
> No one has said that Part 97 Basis and Purpose is NOT
> being met.

Well...Let me be the first to say it here. Nah...Vince and others may
have said it first.
>
> >> I don't find myself feeling limited by the number of
> >> license classes in the ARS.

Maybe that is because YOU are not meeting the Basis and Purpose of Ham
Radio yourself.

> >> Necessity is the mother of invention,

Yes and it is necessary to have a basis and purpose to this hobby and
it is necessary for many hams to meet that basis and purpose or the
hobby will not only lose government support...It will be unable to
attract new members.

> >>not
> >> wallpaper. Interest and curiosity must provide motivation. Without
> >that I
> >> think you'd have to convince the US Treasury to issue their
> >certificates
> >> rather than the kind the FCC offers.

Some attitude...Many affluent folks think that money is the only thing
that talks. Ham Radio once was a hobby which attracted frugal people
who built their own radios and got technically educated at low cost.
Today the technical knowledge required to be a ham has little
commercial value and it is a fact that most Hams buy rice boxes.
> >>
> >> 73, Jim AC6XG
> >
> >With all due respect, I am not talking about your feelings Jim. I am
> >speaking about what is required so that FUTURE Hams can regain the
> >PRIDE that the hobby once had. A certificate that says that you
worked
> >all states, all zones or a hundred nations may be wallpaper BUT a
> >license that says that you passed really comprehensive technical
exams
> >can restore the kind of pride that once had value to academic,
> >commercial and military establishments.
>
> In the cycle of this debate, it has come around to this

> once again :-)

There is no cycle the subject is a linear opinion that must be considered and most of the posts here which brag or complain about the hobby eventually get down to this kind of logic.
>
> >Such a license could command
> >real respect, not for just individual hams, but for Ham Radio as the
> >technical educational hobby that it once was and should be.
>
> You want that type of license=respect, then get a college
> degree or a tech school certificate.

I have a BSEE...but when I did not have a BSEE my ham license meant more to some employers than a BSEE because I had been able to show that as a Ham I had been able to build my own equipment from scratch. Most tech school and college grads did not have the practical experience that most Hams had in those days. Today things are a lot different because most Hams are not keeping up with the latest technology or building anything themselves. How can Hams, as Hams, meet the parts of Part 97 Basis and Purpose goals (which say that hams should be prepared to asdvance the state of the art) without changing their basic primary motivation to be hams??? My answer is by drastically changing their attitudes. When they do they might just be suprised to find that Ham Radio can again attract lots of new techies as well as the computer clubs seem to now be able to do. Bill Gates didn't have to finish getting his college degree...Neither did Edison, Farnsworth, Sarnoff, etc. For most older Hams it may be too late to expect them to now keep up with modern technology {they are veterans of the technologies of the past)...BUT let it not be too late to attract new hams into our ranks by offering more modern challenging exams that can not only give more pride but can prove more value. Ham Radio must have the same vaue to the individual and the USA as it had in 1940 and 1950. This is not an impossible goal...but it is hampered by old Hams who are only interested in what Ham Radio means to them. Many existing hams fear that any additional technical exam requirements might take away their frequency privileges or their ability to call themselves "Extra Class". The subject is PRIDE.

> <Snip of same old/same old>

Yep...Snip it if you want to but its meaning won't go away.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

73...
Cheers for the Hams who are not afraid to take MORE ham exams.

Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure License Potential)

In history it is always those with little
learning who overthrow those with much

learning...When young people grasp a truth
they are invincible and old people can not
compete with them.
 --Mao Tse-tung (1958)--

Mao was getting old when he said the above.
 --w2ilp--

The young techies of today are motivated
to repair their computer hardware and
hack their computer software...but the old
or the young hams are no longer being motivated
to repair their radios or even to try. Why would
a young techie of today want to be a ham?
 --w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6771434371660718504
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 21:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!
rcn!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 05:41:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <95leea$20p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com> <3A7CD910.1A92D219@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.38
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 05:41:30 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.38
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14922

In article <3A7CD910.1A92D219@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:
> > >... BUT a

> > >license that says that you passed really comprehensive technical exams
> > >can restore the kind of pride that once had value to academic,
> > >commercial and military establishments.
> >
> > In the cycle of this debate, it has come around to this
> > once again :-)
>
> I just looked up "pride" in the Bible concordance. Wow, God sure
> doesn't like pride. He prefers humility.

What does he say about the folks who are proud to have humility?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
With humble respectful 73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Insignificent Little Poster)...Is that enough humility???
Nah...(I Like PRIDE)

You may have prejudice without PRIDE...
but you can't have PRIDE without prejudice.
   --?(?)--

Abbu Ben Adam admitted that he loved his fellow
man even more than G-d. An angel put Abbu at the top
of the list of those who would go to heaven...
perhaps because of his humility....but Isaac Asimov
told his English teacher that it was because the
list must have been in alphabetical order.
Isaac's reason always seemed more logical to me.
 --w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4648743320254755659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 22:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 06:06:12 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-

```
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.38
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 06:06:12 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.38
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14923
```

In article <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> > Perhaps more technical knowledge would give individual Hams real
reason
> > to have more self PRIDE...and
> > OFFICIAL FCC Ham Exams, which challenge hams to be motivated to have
> > more technical knowledge would eventually give All Hams, as a group
> > more PRIDE.
> > Nothing short of that will do.
>
> "Pride goeth before destruction ..."
BUT what cometh after???

Patriotism is nationalistic PRIDE. Such PRIDE is enhanced by
distruction...Destruction of the enemy that is.

Be it known to all of yee...
Pride cometh with construction of thy own ham gear.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

73,
...with humble pride,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Ignorance Loses PRIDE)
w2ilp@juno.com
No www site to be proud of.

Howdeee...
And I'm just as PROUD to be here.
  --Minnie Pearl--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 7960349313896662454
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 22:29:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
```

news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 06:28:42 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3a7e47b9.1005425@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a7df549.9808660@news.mindspring.com>
<20010204223439.13655.00002587@nso-mq.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ea.6d
X-Server-Date: 5 Feb 2001 06:26:45 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14924

On 05 Feb 2001 03:34:39 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3a7df549.9808660@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>>Actually, regarding 500MHz and up, I suspect you are
>>probably correct. The interesting point, however, is that
>>those frequencies above 500MHz have very limited
>>use to most hams except for short distance transmissions...which
>>is exactly why the cell phone/pager/PCS folks want them.
>>I truly wonder what percent of all hams have ever made even
>>a single contact on any frequency (ham frequency) above
>>500 MHz?
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Bill K2UNK
>
>Bill:
>
>Probably around .05 percent, and that's being generous. I've personally
>never owned any amateur gear capable of generating a signal above
>a frequency of 1.2 GHz; and I've only made two successful QSO's in
>that band. The problem: Nobody to talk to -- even many years after
>the adoption of 100% codeless licensing for operating privileges in
>that spectrum. We (the amateur community in general) were given
>airtight assurances that removal of the 5 WPM code test from the
>Technician-class licensing requirements would inspire major growth
>in amateur radio and widely increased utilization of our spectrum
>in the UHF range -- largely dedicated to high-speed digital data
>communications.

Airtight assurances? Seriously, no one in their right mind
would ever make such assurances as they are totally
unpredicatble.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -92954191040788121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 03:30:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 11:18:51 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <95m26m$gsc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <957t00$a3d$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010204104712.03780.00001059@nso-
ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.44
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 11:18:51 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.44
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14925

In article <20010204104712.03780.00001059@nso-ch.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <957t00$a3d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
>
> >> My choice of words is telling the truth, as it always has.  If you
are
> >> so adamantly "Pro-Code," why didn't you support it by insisting on
> >> retaining the status quo in testing requirements?
> >
> >I'm pro-national defense, pro-military, but I don't advocate
> >conscription at this time.  Does that now make me anti-military?
>
> Brian:
>
> I am all of the above as well, so you're preaching to the choir on
> the subject of conscription.  What does this have to do with the
> Morse code?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I don't think we need Morse Code conscription at this time either.
Stating such, however, does appear to make me "anti-Morse Code" by some.

Remember Larry, Morse is PEEU and doesn't need government price
```

supports. Its so PEEU that people stand in line to learn it.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -370609743618295081
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 05:30:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 13:16:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <95m92h$lv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 13:16:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14926

In article <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>Code-tested licensees declined by 9,531 while non-code-tested
>licensees increased by 13,132

(Big snip...)

>That supposed "entry level" class is very nearly one-third of all US
>licensees.

Which STILL makes the CODE TESTED licenses 66 2/3 of the total, a majority (not that it matters) by ANYONE'S definition.

And in as much as the Technician Class is now only one of three licenses available, what's so significant that it's "one-third of all US licensees", other than you trolling for another "no cw test" arguement?

Not that we'd expect anything less.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 9040539094960573562
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 05:49:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 08:50:32 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 89
Message-ID: <3A7EAF89.412ECB83@sccoast.net>
References: <3A7B9C02.960659D9@sccoast.net> <20010204121631.29597.00005579@nso-mi.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-160.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 981380992 104677 206.74.49.160 (5 Feb 2001 13:49:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 13:49:52 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14927

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Hmmm. Just what *are* the "contributions" of
> these newly licensed hams you're talking about?
> Specifics, please -- include any relevant
> documentation -- news articles, media releases,
> etc.

You're right, Larry, newly licensed hams have probably not contributed a thing - only more advanced license holders such as yourself could contribute anything to ham radio. By the way, exactly how many contributions have you made to ham radio over the great many years you've been involved (be sure to include any relevant documentation -- news articles, media releases, etc)? Now, we're not talking about routine stuff like emergency communications, and so forth (plenty of newly licensed hams do that). We're talking about those special contributions that you've made, that, as you suggest above, newly licensed hams don't make.

> Hmmmmm again. Just where did I state that
> "newly licensed operators" were not "decent
> people?" Please provide quotes from Deja.com.

Trying to change the subject, Larry? I've never accused you of saying that newly licensed operators were not decent people. What I said is that you've carried on an endless campaign to belittle newly licensed operators with the use of derogotary names and insulting characterizations. And I don't need Daja.com to verify that - anyone who has been involved with this newsgroup for even a month or two has saw it themselves many times.

> I could care less how you obtained your
> license, Dwight.

Sure you do, Larry. You've posted many, many, messages over the last few years complaining about ham operators who got their license without a code requirement.

> The way you obtained your license does not
> make me upset and I wouldn't dream of asking
> you to give it up. [snip]

I'm sure you wouldn't ask me. It would be a disaster for you if everyone who got their license without knowing code gave up that license - it would leave you with absolutely nothing to complain about (something you obviously need to do on a regular basis).

> Again, I am not "upset." The code requirements
> were there for me to achieve, and the fact that
> they are no longer standing in YOUR way makes me
> happy for you! I got to prove something to myself
> and others through the application of diligent
> effort -- and you didn't have to!   Everything

> worked out well for both of us.

And that is the major difference between you and me. Because of my involvement with marine communications (my "diligent effort" goes there), I got involved with ham radio to learn more about radio (not just to get a license to impress people with - which, based on how often you make an issue out of the class of license you hold, seems to be your goal). After that, ham radio is just a hobby that allows me to experiment, practice radio techniques, and enjoy conversations with friends.

> No upset here, Dwight. I'm fine.

I'm glad for you, Larry.

> Wish the same were true of you!

Thanks for your concern.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 3677112678305038180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 06:40:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 14:31:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <95mdf5$pv3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 14:31:01 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14928

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> In the 9 months following US amateur radio restructuring, the
> total number of US amateur radio licenses increased by
> 3,701 (+0.55% of total). Code-tested licensees declined by
> 9,531 while non-code-tested licensees increased by 13,132.

We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about how fast someone can copy
the Code of Morse."
--K0HB

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 7779751781827092664
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 08:50:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Date: Mon, 05 Feb 2001 16:35:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <95mkoc$16t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95c989$277$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.74.58
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 05 16:35:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.74.58
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14929
```

In article <95c989$277$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:

> In article <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

>
> > Good topic, but probably will reach more likely respondents in
> > rec.radio.amateur.misc or rec.radio.amateur.dx.

I haven't posted to the groups that Hans suggested yet, however I did take a look at the link AC6V sent. I guess calling what I heard a "long delay echo" was really innacurate. I was hearing the return ping at the receiver reset from transmit, so it was a very short enroute time from where ever the echo was occuring. Less than 90K miles?

Considering the extremely modest antennas I run for HF, I would exclude any side-lobe presentation. Perhaps a true circumfrential return?

73 and thanks to all.

Steve, K4YZ

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3516290632400608718
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 09:50:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 09:42:32 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95mojb$2c5$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com> <95lcr2$qf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-91.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14930

Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message news:95lcr2> > >In article <95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu>,
> > > "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> > >> Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message

> > >> > Hams need more license classes and/or official FCC technical
> > >> > endorsements.

> > >> In order to do what?

> To be Hams.

But only one license class is necessary for that to be true.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 6088609892956758659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-05 09:50:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 5 Feb 2001 09:44:22 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-91.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14931

Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
> Be it known to all of yee...
> Pride cometh with construction of thy own ham gear.

How many license classes are needed for hams to be able to construct their own gear?

73, Jim AC6XG

From -3524360285716031165
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 21:46:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 23:34:26 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A7B9862.16CC2C67@IEEE.org>
References: <3A776CC1.DCD02D18@uci.edu> <20010202214340.28683.00001631@nso-mn.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.8d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 3 Feb 2001 05:44:40 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14831

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> However, if the maximum testing requirement
> stopped at 5 WPM -- then my progress would have stopped there as
> well.

Sounds like you have a severe personality problem regarding self motivation. When you satisfied the requirements for a college degree, did you also stop your progress? Do you only accomplish what you are forced to accomplish?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 4150734262599440224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 21:46:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Fri, 02 Feb 2001 23:37:10 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A7B9906.7A34E5A5@IEEE.org>
References: <3A779725.45CF79F@IEEE.org> <20010202214340.28683.00001630@nso-
mn.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.8d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 3 Feb 2001 05:47:24 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14832

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I have no problem understanding the merits of CW.

It's obvious that you do NOT understand the merits of CW, Larry.
If you understood the merits of CW, you would be pushing the
mode instead of pushing for a governmental welfare support
system for the mode.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -2439388528733313207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 21:49:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!206.252.192.28!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 00:50:14 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3A7B9C02.960659D9@sccoast.net>
```

References: <20010201044031.13655.00001885@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-70.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 981179379 98625 207.144.64.200 (3 Feb 2001 05:49:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 3 Feb 2001 05:49:39 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14833

W4JLE wrote:
>
> I think you are way over the top here
> Ed. Larry is upset because the standards
> have been lowered by people who felt
> they "deserved" rather than earn an
> amateur radio license.

Larry is a little more than just "upset." For many months, Larry has carried on an endless campaign to belittle newly licensed operators with the use of derogotary names and insulting characterizations, while endlessly denigrating their efforts and contributions.

Those newly licensed operators include my wife, myself, and the many thousands of other decent people whose only ham radio "sin" is that we didn't obtain our license in the same manner as Larry.

Now, I'm sorry if Larry does not like how I obtained my license, but he is a damn fool if he thinks I'm going to give it up just because it makes him "upset." And he is equally a fool if he thinks we're going to feel sorry for him because he is "upset" about the reduced code requirements.

In the end, Larry needs to grow up and get over his relentless self-pity. If he isn't able to do that, then Ed is absolutely right - Larry needs to give up his license and move on to something else that does not "upset" him so much.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -1185351187577348067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 22:12:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 01:13:18 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3A7BA164.B3088773@sccoast.net>
References: <95bum8$hc4$1@iac5.navix.net> <20010201224119.15140.00000302@ng-fc1.aol.com> <95dd9b$eu8$1@iac5.navix.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-70.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 981180762 99728 207.144.64.200 (3 Feb 2001 06:12:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 3 Feb 2001 06:12:42 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14834

W4JLE wrote:

> Ed, as a representative of the ARRL, I expect
> you to refrain from personal attacks on people
> you disagree with. Your message took PERSONAL
> potshots at Larry, and your excuse was "he did
> it first."

To my knowledge, Ed has never presented himself as a representative of ARRL in these newsgroups (ARRL doesn't have any representatives in this newsgroup that I'm aware of). In fact, if you had not mentioned it, I'm sure most people would have never even known about his involvement with ARRL.

But, whatever the case, I have never considered any comment made by anyone in this newsgroup to be representative of the views, opinions, or official policy, of that person's employer (or group affiliations) unless it is obviously so.

To do otherwise would have a chilling effect on free speech in these newsgroups.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 7548260225234250001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-02 23:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 06:48:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 149
Message-ID: <95g9k5$ha8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>
<956o9t$74g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7704BE.E71F049F@arrl.org>
<95adgt$g4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.133.186
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 03 06:48:37 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.186
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14835

In article <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>,
Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> >
> > Yes and this is one time I won't say "Speak for yourself Jon". You
and
> > N9GL had better read the very latest research on the cancerous eye
> > damage attributed to cellular telephone RF. I should have saved the
> > references that I had read. The research was done in UK.
> > The mechanism and the effects are known and established.
>
> I didn't say they weren't. I only said that according to N9GL, the
> standards are not derived from the mechanisms but from the known
> effects.

True...but as engineers, scientists or medical people the MECHANISM...chemical, biological, electral, mechanical or whatever must be understood if there is to be any corrective measures devised. No logical standards can be concluded without an understanding of the real cause.
A hot chicken does not tell you the mechanism by which it got hot...but if you heated it in your microwave oven..You know the mechanism was microwave RF not heat conducted from a gas burner or radiated by your fireplace.

> Which makes sense. For the purpose of determining safe levels,
> the mechanisms are unimportant

Huhh? That is like saying Ohm's Law is unimportant as long as lamp
bulbs light. Only the observation of the light matters for determining
what voltage will quickly burn open the filament.

-- only the effects matter. (Again,
> that's not to say the mechanisms aren't of interest in their own
right.)
> I think they do extrapolate animal tests to the human body since I
doubt
> anyone has yet volunteered to be slowly cooked by RF for scientific
> purposes.

NOW YOU ARE NOT READING MY POSTS. Everyone who uses a cell-phone is
being volunteered [unknown to themselves] as a test subject. The
people who developed cancerous eye conditions were frequent cell-phone
users and were counted in statistical studies, which can scientifically
prove the high probability that the MECHANISM for causing the cancers
was cell-phone RF.

It is also interesting to note that for many years tobacco was not seen
as a health hazard...but once the mechanism [cigarette tars] was
studied lots of different diseases were found to be caused or
accelerated by excessive smoking.

Yet there are smokers who live to be 100 years old, who seem to be able
to physically resist the hazardous mechanism(s). Is cigarette smoking
safe? NO. Not for average people.

> > The IEEE/ANSI specs, I am convinced, were made to give a clean bill
of
> > health to cell-phones...but not Ham Radio VHF gear. Unfortunately
it
> > might take time, more research, and sadly some blind cancer victims
> > (and their lawyers) for me to be able to say "I told you so".
> ...
> In an earlier message you said:
> > The leaders
> > who evolve from liberal artists, lawyers, and religious leaders can
not
> > blaze any path of success through the world's scientific industrial
> > competition and the serious problems that the future is sure to
bring.
> > They can talk;
> > They can judge; They can pray...BUT they are not equipped to make
> > decisions based on scientific facts, which can only be accepted when
> > the proper logic is applied to the proper research.
>
> Apparently you don't hold yourself to the same high standard since you
> are convinced of a conclusion that you admit cannot be supported by
> present scientific evidence.

I just said that the recent research supports my beliefs as an engineer.
I am not a medical expert or even a Ham leader and I don't profess to

be either.
> Hopefully, those non-scientist leaders will
> refrain from making scientific judgements on topics they are unqualified
> to evaluate. Maybe you should, too. And particularly, you should refrain
> from denigrating the professional abilities of people like N9GL when you
> clearly know nothing about them. You frequently proclaim that your views
> are based on science, but it's pretty clear in this case that prejudice
> is playing the bigger role in forming your opinion.

Yep but I have been given no grants and no cash incentives to prove the safety of either cell-phones or Ham HTs. What predjudice?   I am not in power to make any
decisions...only observations of scientifically proven facts made by others who can gather the statistical evidence and/or can perform lab experimentation. Some experimentation is being done on living cells in test tubes rather than human bodies. It can help to prove the MECHANISM. I say that UHF and microwave frequencies are a greater hazard than VHF. Why?
Because the possibility of heating human tissue or interfering with its normal biological functions is there...That is the MECHANISM! True, I have not quantified...but researchers are in the process of doing so. RF from an antenna in the near field is usually hard to evaluate. At UHF and above there can be concentrated beams of in-phase energy developed. Thus even at low RF power output, there can be dense narrow beams of RF developed. This effect is less at VHF than UHF and above. I don't claim to know all the details of cause and effect...but I also knew not to walk on thin ice before I learned basic thermodynamics.

The human body has developed various ways to protect itself from strong sunlight radiation...because there has always been a Sun; longer than there has been humans. We can not see RF or biologically protect ourselves from its hazardous radiation without technical intervention, as well as we have evolved to protect ourselves from strong sunlight. Strong spectral density RF has not been around long enough for the human body to adopt itself to its dangers. We can not afford to let people suffer and die from RF radiation until the survivors can develop protective immunity to it.

Please keep thinking,
73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure License Potential)

I've got tears in my ears from lying on my back
in the night and crying my eyes out over you.
--Longest song title by Hoggy Carmichael--

...and Hoggy didn't even have any cell-phones yet.

--w2ilp--

If a cat sits on a hot stove...he will not only
not sit on a hot stove again...he will not sit
on a stove again.
  --Benjamin Franklin--

Did Ben think the cat was prejudiced? The cat
had evidently developed a very conservative
level of safety for itself.
  --w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -1636746693046075225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 05:48:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7C0C1F.8E1289A2@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A7B1A1A.4A7E536E@arrl.org> <20010202232058.09590.00001560@nso-bk.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 34
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 08:48:15 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981208111 209.140.206.201 (Sat, 03 Feb 2001 08:48:31 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 08:48:31 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14837
```

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Minimum signal level would be dependent on the A-to-D of the
> sampler. Nowadays a 16-bit A-to-D at 0.5 MHz sample rate
> is not an unreasonable requirement...the least-significant bit of the
> sampled digital signal voltage would be about -96 dbc.

Yes, although if the receiver AGC loop is separate from the DSP, that's
probably overkill, and if the DSP is within the AGC loop it's probably

not enough.

[Re: unsersampling]

> Might be a picky detail here, but the sampler aperture time
> has to be quite a bit shorter than the sampled signal period.

I don't think it's a picky detail at all. It's a pretty fundamental point and is what's hard about making high-speed sampling A/Ds.

> There's still an aliasing problem if the sampling is too close
> to an integral number of either the RF or the modulation
> frequency, yes? Remind me if not, please...haven't gone
> deep into undersampling hardware-software.

The concern is with a harmonic of the sampling rate falling within the band of signals being sampled. That was the main practical consideration I was referring to when I said you would probably want to use a sampling rate somewhat greater than Nyquist demands. You don't want a multiple of the sampling rate to appear within the band you're trying to sample because it makes things more complicated; you have to convert the signal to an analytic signal and then (mathematically) frequency shift it. It's just a whole lot easier if you choose a sampling rate for which no multiple appears in the band of interest.

Jon

From 5568743433657985081
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 05:51:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org> <3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org> <3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org> <3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13

```
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 08:51:15 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981208291 209.140.206.201 (Sat, 03 Feb 2001 08:51:31 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 08:51:31 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14838
```

Cecil wrote:

> Jon Bloom wrote:
> > Yep, but "all the compoments" exist in a small band of frequencies, ...
>
> That's like looking for your lost keys under a street light instead
> of where you lost them. I can phase modulate at the IF frequency and
> slip an undetected message right past your undersampling technique.

Nope. As long as the sidebands produced by your phase modulation fall
within the IF passband, they'll be present in the sampled signal.

Jon

```
From 8836131964098086911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 05:56:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!193.190.198.17!
newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!skynet.be!portc01.blue.aol.com!
nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!news5.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!
geomac
From: George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Organization: W3GEO
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>
User-Agent: MT-NewsWatcher/3.0 (PPC)
Message-ID: <geomac-B685F4.08004803022001@news.kih.net>
Lines: 44
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 07:56:19 CST
X-Trace:
sv2-9SGbyk1U5L83gQFmHwNQrHhfRSKc36aFGR72rYIdRIAV7jGIFPFLuljJkQZ6Na2hTFz4V7X/
SPAiNaE!MP91mcRhZjqVDBd7OQP2KIXft/A/
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 13:56:19 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14839
```

In article <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
wrote:

> Aaron Jones wrote:
> >
> > Old_Timer <oldtimer@nonsuch.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Does the League's newly announced substantial dues hike in these times
> > >of low inflation strike anybody else as being excessive?
> >
> > Percentage wise it does seem high. But to gripe about $5 extra a year
> > is silly. That's less than 2 cents a day...
> >
> > >My own feeling is that $39 per year is high for value received
> >
> > Too bad you can't quit...   :-)
>
> Percentage-wise, it IS high. If you look at what inflation has been
> since 1997 when the League last upped the cost of yearly dues, it is
> outrageous. I think most folks would gripe about five bucks out of
> their pockets when they get nothing additional for the fiver. If it is
> such a small amount, why doesn't the League cut the present dues by five
> bucks per year. After all, it is only five dollars--a mere 2 cents per
> day ;)
>
> I could quit but I'm paid up for life and I'm not finished living yet.
> Besides, you know the old saw: I can do more to change the ARRL from
> within than from the outside.
>
> For one thing, I can air my gripes here.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave,

It is pretty high. I joind the ARRL in 1964 for $5.00 a year. Using the
CPI index from 1964 through 2000 that $5.00 is now worth about $27.50.
The ARRL is over 40% higher with the news dues rate. As some have
mentioned, services that were once without additional cost to members
now carry fees. I probably should have gotten life membership back
then.

73
George W3GEO

From -2212064733058821093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 06:02:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7C0F5B.2158175B@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net> <956o9t$74g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7704BE.E71F049F@arrl.org> <95adgt$g4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org> <95g9k5$ha8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 40
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 09:02:03 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981208939 209.140.206.201 (Sat, 03 Feb 2001 09:02:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 09:02:19 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14840

Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
>
> In article <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>,
>   Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> >
> > I didn't say they weren't. I only said that according to N9GL, the
> > standards are not derived from the mechanisms but from the known
> > effects.
>
> True...but as engineers, scientists or medical people the
> MECHANISM...chemical, biological, electral, mechanical or whatever must
> be understood if there is to be any corrective measures devised.

But we can't apply corrective measures to the design of the human body.
The only factor we can control is how much RF we expose ourselves to.
For that purpose, it is the effect of the exposure that is important,
not the underlying mechanism.

> A hot chicken does not tell you the mechanism by which it got hot...but
> if you heated it in your microwave oven..You know the mechanism was
> microwave RF not heat conducted from a gas burner or radiated by your
> fireplace.

But if the point is to cook a chicken I don't need to know the mechanism
by which the cooking takes place, I only need to know that it gets
cooked when I apply either heat or RF at sufficient levels.

> > Which makes sense. For the purpose of determining safe levels,
> > the mechanisms are unimportant
>
> Huhh? That is like saying Ohm's Law is unimportant as long as lamp
> bulbs light. Only the observation of the light matters for determining
> what voltage will quickly burn open the filament.

It's nothing like that at all. We need to know Ohm's law so we can design electrical devices. We're not designing human bodies. (Although there are those doctors who've announced plans to clone humans, so maybe we will be designing humans soon!)

Jon

```
From -5769922727324176807
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 06:11:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7C1173.39EB03B3@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net>
<8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net> <geomac-
B685F4.08004803022001@news.kih.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 09:10:59 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981209475 209.140.206.201 (Sat, 03 Feb 2001 09:11:15 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 09:11:15 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14841
```

George McCouch wrote:

>
> It is pretty high. I joind the ARRL in 1964 for $5.00 a year. Using the
> CPI index from 1964 through 2000 that $5.00 is now worth about $27.50.
> The ARRL is over 40% higher with the news dues rate.

If you choose a different base year, you get a different result. Neither the CPI nor ARRL dues increase in a smooth, continuous curve. Plus, of course, the CPI measures the costs of a lot of things that aren't relevant to ARRL's costs of providing services and doesn't measure the costs of a lot of things that are relevant, like the cost of magazine paper and mailing costs.

> As some have
> mentioned, services that were once without additional cost to members
> now carry fees. I probably should have gotten life membership back

> then.

I don't think it was available in '64, was it? Seems to me it was a few
years after that when it became available.

Jon

From 8937656267887586692
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 06:45:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A6CF211.2319703D@nonsuch.net> <8u5q6t4772p6rts2v7g20tf5k6kqp3nqqk@4ax.com> <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net> <geomac-B685F4.08004803022001@news.kih.net>
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <ZNUe6.3204$B81.780176@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 3 Feb 2001 08:44:45 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.220
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 981211385 206.29.242.220 (Sat, 03 Feb 2001 09:43:05 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 09:43:05 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14842

"George McCouch" <geomac@hopkinsville.net> wrote in message
news:geomac-B685F4.08004803022001@news.kih.net...
> In article <3A6D2EE9.69ADA2BF@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
> It is pretty high. I joind the ARRL in 1964 for $5.00 a year. Using the
> CPI index from 1964 through 2000 that $5.00 is now worth about $27.50.
> The ARRL is over 40% higher with the news dues rate. As some have
> mentioned, services that were once without additional cost to members
> now carry fees. I probably should have gotten life membership back
> then.
>
> 73
> George W3GEO

I would suggest you look up the price of haircuts or any other personal
service. The CPI is of less than phenomenal value for any analysis but
any index will show that wherever the good or service in question uses

people rather than capital it will rise faster than the index as a whole.

Look at your school taxes where the only two technological innovations in a thousand years have been central heating so we don't wear robes anymore and a fellow named Gutenburg making it unnecessary to chain the prof's notes to the lectern. Now there is a personal service business!

```
From -8170427020149921857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 07:12:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 09:02:28 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.68
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 3 Feb 2001 15:09:48 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14843
```

Jon Bloom wrote:

> Nope. As long as the sidebands produced by your phase modulation fall
> within the IF passband, they'll be present in the sampled signal.

Of course, but that doesn't resemble my statement. I have hypothetically added unknown modulation components to an IF frequency signal *after* it has been passbanded as a test for your undersampling techniques. How well can you do?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -4379147627829429311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f92dda4b42264d5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 07:14:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!193.190.198.17!
newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!btnet-peer1!btnet-feed5!btnet!
mendelevium.btinternet.com!not-for-mail
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com (ian)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: internet repeater linking
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 15:11:56 GMT
Organization: BT Internet
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3a891fab.2733109@news.btinternet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: host62-7-100-146.btinternet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.452
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14844

why not link your repeater to the internet
a number of repeaters around the world already are
its very easy to do
for more info check many other ham links http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi

73 ian

From -2309606449325848999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f8d79,6338f88ea3e25f9b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8d79,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 07:50:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.germany.net!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!feed.news.nacamar.de!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test
Subject: Re: DX-405 SOLICITS NUTSACK SLURPING
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 15:39:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 69
Message-ID: <95h8o0$6hj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <95daju$m80$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>

<95dj6a$83e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95fguo$t23$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.171
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 03 15:39:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.171
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12023
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14845 alt.test:75964

GOAT FELLATOR "DX405" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> whined in message
news:95fguo$t23$1@dagobah.blueriver.net...
> Right there shows the true <BITCHSLAP!>

It's not easy for you to talk about your mother's whoring days, is it?

> It just shows how much of a piece of garbage that you really are.

Poor baby. FOAD, remora attached to the underside of Lllllllloyd Davies.

> As far as being grossly obese, I am six foot four and 230. Not to
mention very well built and >quite agile.

Suuuuuuure you are.....maybe 5 foot 6 and 330.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
You're the tallest in your inbred family, aren't you? Rumor has it
you're almost incomprehensible in person (owing to your heavily
accented and alchohol-slurred speech) and this combined with your being
dirt poor and ugly makes you very uncomfortable with human interaction
of any kind.
You have therefore moved yourself far from the mainstream of human
society, thereby earning its eternal gratitude.

> I do a lot of stuff like

Stuff your face with moon pies and ding dongs. Yeah, we know.

> basketball and other sports.

Suuuuuure you do, water boy. You may fetch the towels for the people
who DO participate.

> Something that a lazy assed 45 year old doesn't do.

Assuming, again, COWARD.

> Oh, thats right you just talk about having sex with
> other peoples moms.

Poor baby....your mom sucked and swallowed every drop.

> You are a real brilliant one

I know! Too bad you didn't get through high school....DROPOUT.

>and not to mention a 45 year old

Did you take your shoes off to enable you to count that high?

> loser.

PKB. You are about as entertaining as a child's inflatable punching toy. You bop it, it springs back, you bop it again and you forget it ever existed. It slowly deflates in an unused corner, then one day you throw it away.

> Nice job on showing your true colors. .

While your posts only demonstrate your world-class cluelessness.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7494050231121262105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 09:03:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Lines: 18
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 12:02:44 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981219783 209.140.206.201 (Sat, 03 Feb 2001 12:03:03 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 12:03:03 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14846

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> > Nope. As long as the sidebands produced by your phase modulation fall
> > within the IF passband, they'll be present in the sampled signal.
>
> Of course, but that doesn't resemble my statement. I have hypothetically
> added unknown modulation components to an IF frequency signal *after* it
> has been passbanded as a test for your undersampling techniques. How well
> can you do?

If the sidebands fall within the passband it doesn't matter whether they were created before or after bandpass filtering. If they fall outside the passband, you've created a new passband and an appropriate sampling rate for that passband must then be chosen in accordance with the Nyquist criterion of twice the bandwidth.

Jon

From -4405591273930174292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 11:29:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 13:19:30 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org> <3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org> <3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org> <3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org> <3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.8f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 3 Feb 2001 19:29:43 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14847

Jon Bloom wrote:
> If the sidebands fall within the passband it doesn't matter whether they
> were created before or after bandpass filtering. If they fall outside
> the passband, you've created a new passband and an appropriate sampling
> rate for that passband must then be chosen in accordance with the
> Nyquist criterion of twice the bandwidth.

I do believe that is exactly what I said, Jon, and you argued with me. So
I repeat: If one doesn't know the passband and wants to guarantee that all
possible modulation components are decoded, one cannot use undersampling.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6953054819551993883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 12:26:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 15:26:22 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981232002 209.140.206.201 (Sat, 03 Feb 2001 15:26:42 EST)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 15:26:42 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14848

Cecil wrote:
>
> I do believe that is exactly what I said, Jon, and you argued with me. So
> I repeat: If one doesn't know the passband and wants to guarantee that all
> possible modulation components are decoded, one cannot use undersampling.

No, this is the first time you specified an unrestricted passband.
Strange receiver you've got there!

Why don't you just admit you didn't understand the theory initially?

Jon

From -1657022646858707510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 14:30:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!194.255.56.67!newsfeed101.telia.com!kinglear.mobilixnet.dk!opentransit.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 22:21:19 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <95i08q$ooa$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95c162$ptv$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95c989$277$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E3DB.B1F0D00A@IEEE.org> <95f2rd$kgf$1@news.netmar.com> <95f3fd$hsc$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B1ABF.A1E0DB48@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.80
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 03 22:21:19 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.80
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14849

In article <3A7B1ABF.A1E0DB48@arrl.org>,
  Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> Steven, J, Robeson, K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > In article <95f2rd$kgf$1@news.netmar.com>,
> >   K0HB@USWEST.NET wrote:
> >
> > >W0UN tells of the time, zero-dark-thirty in the CQWWDX test,

sitting
> > >in the 10M chair of his multi-multi in Colorado with the beam to
the
> > >east, hearing his "CQ TEST DE W0UN" being repeated back to him
> > >perfectly, just slightly off his frequency. He was getting a bit
> > >perturbed at the jammer, until he noted the moon rising directly on
> > >the azimuth of his 4over4over4 10M array.
> >
> >   I bet he had a heck of a time getting "CQ" Magazine to accept
THAT
> > one for contest credit!
>
> What's even worse, the other fellow wouldn't QSL! :-)
>
> Jon

Probably didn't include a green stamp. ;)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5486497746409678303
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 14:50:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 3 Feb 2001 14:46:09 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <95i1km$igp$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-02.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14850

Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu>,
>   "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> >
> > Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > Hams need more license classes and/or official FCC technical
> > > endorsements.
> >
> > In order to do what?
>
> To meet the BASIS and PURPOSE goals of Part 97.

You should probably do that anyway. I do.

> With all due respect, I am not talking about your feelings Jim. I am
> speaking about what is required so that FUTURE Hams can regain the
> PRIDE that the hobby once had.

I think you are speaking about your feelings, too.

> Such a license could command
> real respect, not for just individual hams, but for Ham Radio as the
> technical educational hobby that it once was and should be. To do so
> there has to be a lot of technical catching up for the Hams who want to
> have the pride of being known as Ham Experts.

Your explanation of why there needs to be more ham licenses reminds me of
Nigel Tufnel explaning why his amp goes to eleven. I'm afraid that if you
want to command respect from anybody, you'll have to do more than wave a
license at them anyway. Besides, I don't think the FCC intends commanding
respect as being the basis and purpose of the ARS or of licensing. It's a
lovely dream nevertheless.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 3048346675060883187
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 15:50:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 3 Feb 2001 15:50:44 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <95i5do$k80$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <20010202214340.28683.00001631@nso-mn.aol.com>

```
<20010202234912.00445.00001404@nso-bj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-02.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14852
```

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010202234912.00445.00001404@nso-bj.aol.com...

> The FCC doesn't think a code test "proves" anything to them
> for the purposes of granting a US amateur radio license. The
> FCC has said so...twice in a decade.

They said that it doesn't prove anything about character. They never said
it doesn't prove "anything". Obviously it proves code proficiency. They
have more of a neutral bias on the issue than you do, I think..

ac6xg

```
From -8033388433100149860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 15:50:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 3 Feb 2001 15:46:39 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <95i563$k78$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A776CC1.DCD02D18@uci.edu> <20010202214340.28683.00001631@nso-
mn.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-02.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14851
```

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010202214340.28683.00001631@nso-mn.aol.com...

> Jim/Cecil:
>
> Yes, I did make the same 5, 5.5, 5.9, 6.6, 8.2, 10.0 etc. WPM QSO's
> as everyone else did. My speed increased incrementally with virtually
> each QSO.

Right. And this incremental increase in speed had nothing to do with FCC rules. It occurs inevitably to almost everyone who uses CW.   Personally, I don't care how fast someone can copy code if they never get on the air and communicate with it.

> However, if the maximum testing requirement
> stopped at 5 WPM -- then my progress would have stopped there as
> well. I was not a motivated and dedicated CW user at the time; that
> desire came only with the added proficiency came, and that was
> motivated MAINLY by the requirement. I don't think I'm much different
> than anyone else in this respect, except that I seem to be more
> willing to be truthful about this than the code (test) bashers are.

The point is that 5 WPM is indeed sufficient for someone to get on the air, use the code, and increase their proficiency - contrary to your claim. If they're not going to get on the air in the CW mode, then they don't need the increased proficiency and no one, not the ARS or the FCC, benefits from their increased proficiency. In my opinion, only the ham himself benefits from it, and again, only if he uses it.

I think some form of code requirement is still worth keeping - even if it's in the form of multiple choice dits and dahs on the written exam.

> This is why I believe that in the absence of a "real" code testing
> requirement, there will be little or no future growth in the number of
> users of the Morse/CW mode. Those who support the elimination of
> the requirement, and the re-allocation of Morse/CW spectrum to
> phone, know this as well, but can't admit it.

Spectrum allocations are a whole different ball of wax. Personally, I don't see the use of phone in the Novice CW portions of the band as much of a threat to CW. I can sure as heck filter out phone with my CW filter a lot better than I can filter out CW with my phone filter.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 5999002118365200518
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 16:05:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!

```
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 17:55:17 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 Feb 2001 00:04:21 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14853

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > I do believe that is exactly what I said, Jon, and you argued with me. So
> > I repeat: If one doesn't know the passband and wants to guarantee that all
> > possible modulation components are decoded, one cannot use undersampling.
>
> No, this is the first time you specified an unrestricted passband.
> Strange receiver you've got there!

I said I could add modulation components to an undersampled *signal*
that you couldn't detect. You said I couldn't. You were wrong. Absolutely
nothing was said about a restricted passband. Strange receiver you've got
there. (I'm talking about your brain.)

> Why don't you just admit you didn't understand the theory initially?

What a laugh. Intel sure wasted their money sending me to DSP classes
for six years, huh? Why don't you just admit that you like to pick
arguments, even with people who agree with you?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 1315876834313738775
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 16:10:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: jwill@AstraGate.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 23:56:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 74
Message-ID: <95i5re$su9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>
<956o9t$74g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7704BE.E71F049F@arrl.org>
<95adgt$g4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>
<95g9k5$ha8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7C0F5B.2158175B@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.247.152.155
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 03 23:56:30 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (Win16; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.247.152.155
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDcgwx09
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14854

In article <3A7C0F5B.2158175B@arrl.org>,
  Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>,
> >   Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> > >
> > > I didn't say they weren't. I only said that according to N9GL, the
> > > standards are not derived from the mechanisms but from the known
> > > effects.
> >
> > True...but as engineers, scientists or medical people the
> > MECHANISM...chemical, biological, electral, mechanical or whatever
must
> > be understood if there is to be any corrective measures devised.

Hi.  I came across this thread in a microwave search.

I have a PDF copy of a comment I submitted to NTIA recently:  It
attempts to clarify the mechanisms involved, which seem to have
been misunderstood by many past researchers.

It is somewhat technical, but the main point should not be
difficult.

Email me at jwill@AstraGate.net for a copy.
```

```
If after reading it, you think it worthwhile and
know of a web site, I'd like to post it somewhere.

--
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
           John

>
> But we can't apply corrective measures to the design of the human
body.
> The only factor we can control is how much RF we expose ourselves to.
> For that purpose, it is the effect of the exposure that is important,
> not the underlying mechanism.
>
> > A hot chicken does not tell you the mechanism by which it got
hot...but
> > if you heated it in your microwave oven..You know the mechanism was
> > microwave RF not heat conducted from a gas burner or radiated by
your
> > fireplace.
>
> But if the point is to cook a chicken I don't need to know the
mechanism
> by which the cooking takes place, I only need to know that it gets
> cooked when I apply either heat or RF at sufficient levels.
>
> > > Which makes sense. For the purpose of determining safe levels,
> > > the mechanisms are unimportant
> >
> > Huhh?  That is like saying Ohm's Law is unimportant as long as lamp
> > bulbs light. Only the observation of the light matters for
determining
> > what voltage will quickly burn open the filament.
>
> It's nothing like that at all. We need to know Ohm's law so we can
> design electrical devices. We're not designing human bodies. (Although
> there are those doctors who've announced plans to clone humans, so
maybe
> we will be designing humans soon!)
>
> Jon
>



Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 7437507363835233814
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 17:22:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 01:21:02 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3a7cab6e.3926034@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010202214340.28683.00001631@nso-mn.aol.com>
<20010202234912.00445.00001404@nso-bj.aol.com> <95i5do$k80$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4d.87
X-Server-Date: 4 Feb 2001 01:21:25 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14855

On Sat, 3 Feb 2001 15:50:44 -0800, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010202234912.00445.00001404@nso-bj.aol.com...
>
>> The FCC doesn't think a code test "proves" anything to them
>> for the purposes of granting a US amateur radio license. The
>> FCC has said so...twice in a decade.
>
>They said that it doesn't prove anything about character. They never said
>it doesn't prove "anything". Obviously it proves code proficiency.

Agreed. But they have, as you note, been remarkably
silent as to what, if anything other than proficiency of code
is proven by the requirement. Smetimes the silence can
be deafening.

>They
>have more of a neutral bias on the issue than you do, I think..

Do you have even the slightest belief that the FCC has
any inclination to retain code once but for the current
international requirement (S25.5)?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 8149289127580968980
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 17:22:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 01:21:01 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4d.87
X-Server-Date: 4 Feb 2001 01:21:24 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14856

On Sat, 03 Feb 2001 05:12:49 GMT, Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
wrote:

>In article <95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu>,
>  "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>>
>> Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote in message
>>
>> > Hams need more license classes and/or official FCC technical
>> > endorsements.
>>
>> In order to do what?
>
>To meet the BASIS and PURPOSE goals of Part 97.

No one has said that Part 97 Basis and Purpose is NOT
being met.

>> I don't find myself feeling limited by the number of
>> license classes in the ARS.  Necessity is the mother of invention, not
>> wallpaper. Interest and curiosity must provide motivation.  Without
>that I
>> think you'd have to convince the US Treasury to issue their
>certificates
>> rather than the kind the FCC offers.
>>
>> 73, Jim AC6XG
>
>With all due respect, I am not talking about your feelings Jim.  I am
>speaking about what is required so that FUTURE Hams can regain the
>PRIDE that the hobby once had.  A certificate that says that you worked
>all states, all zones or a hundred nations may be wallpaper BUT a
>license that says that you passed really comprehensive technical exams
```

>can restore the kind of pride that once had value to academic,
>commercial and military establishments.

In the cycle of this debate, it has come around to this
once again :-)

>Such a license could command
>real respect, not for just individual hams, but for Ham Radio as the
>technical educational hobby that it once was and should be.

You want that type of license=respect, then get a college
degree or a tech school certificate.

<Snip of same old/same old>

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 3965875717895197735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 19:16:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7CC963.9019B140@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 22:15:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981256570 209.140.206.201 (Sat, 03 Feb 2001 22:16:10 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 22:16:10 EST
```

Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14857

Cecil wrote:
>
> I said I could add modulation components to an undersampled *signal*
> that you couldn't detect. You said I couldn't. You were wrong.

No, I said *if* the components were in the passband I could. I was
right.

> Absolutely
> nothing was said about a restricted passband. Strange receiver you've got22
> there. (I'm talking about your brain.)

Oh, Cecil, you're a hoot!

> > Why don't you just admit you didn't understand the theory initially?
>
> What a laugh. Intel sure wasted their money sending me to DSP classes
> for six years, huh?

So it seems.

> Why don't you just admit that you like to pick
> arguments, even with people who agree with you?

Nah, I leave that to you. You've been doing it for years and are much
better at it than I am.

Jon

From -2437483674466171698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 19:29:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 4 Feb 2001 03:29:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <95iib6$530$0@208.206.142.68>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.68
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14858

Cecil wrote:
>
> "Pride goeth before destruction ..."

  You would know.....

From 8611036597362827 85
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 19:57:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 4 Feb 2001 03:57:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org> <3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org> <3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org> <3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org> <3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org> <3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org> <3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.68
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14859

Cecil wrote:
>

> Intel sure wasted their money sending me to DSP classes

> for six years

That could be, but it sure does nothing to indicate to anyone that any
other ham needs to have that level of training in DSP or they should be
considered as "ignborant". Rather, anyone who would make such a
statement is himself ignorant.

From 4384046060889608372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 20:32:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 22:22:40 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A7CD910.1A92D219@IEEE.org>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.2b
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 Feb 2001 04:31:36 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14860

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:
> >... BUT a
> >license that says that you passed really comprehensive technical exams
> >can restore the kind of pride that once had value to academic,
> >commercial and military establishments.
>
> In the cycle of this debate, it has come around to this
> once again :-)

I just looked up "pride" in the Bible concordance. Wow, God sure
doesn't like pride. He prefers humility.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 1674389727330794935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 20:33:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 22:23:45 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A7CD951.BE16D9DB@IEEE.org>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95iib6$530$0@208.206.142.68>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.2b
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 Feb 2001 04:32:40 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14861

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > "Pride goeth before destruction ..."
>
>   You would know.....

Yep, I would - I have no pride. God prefers humility.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -512705546239089780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 20:52:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 22:42:30 -0600
```

Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3A7CDDB6.5C5268B3@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <3A7CC963.9019B140@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.2b
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 Feb 2001 04:52:08 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14862

Jon Bloom wrote:
> No, I said *if* the components were in the passband I could. I was
> right.

Here's the interchange. Note that I didn't say anything about any passband.
It is clear that I am talking about taking the IF signal after the filter
and modifying it so that your undersampling technique won't work. What is
it about "at the IF frequency" that you don't understand?

> Cecil said:
> > I can phase modulate at the IF frequency and
> > slip an undetected message right past your undersampling technique.

Jon replied:
> Nope.

So are you going to continue to assert that your undersampling technique
will work no matter how much extra modulation is added to a signal or are
you willing to change that "Nope" above to "Yes, but ..."?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3275637818738606806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 20:56:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!

newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 03 Feb 2001 22:46:27 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.2b
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 Feb 2001 04:56:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14863

Dick Carroll wrote:
> That could be, but it sure does nothing to indicate to anyone that any
> other ham needs to have that level of training in DSP or they should be
> considered as "ignorant". Rather, anyone who would make such a
> statement is himself ignorant.

I'm telling you, Dick, if you don't learn DSP techniques, you are going
to be a technically obsolete/ignorant ham in the not too distant future.
All filtering and detection functions will soon be done with DSP.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6339772914686815980
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 21:20:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 05:20:42 GMT
References: <3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204002042.18047.00001244@nso-ff.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14864

In article <3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Jon Bloom wrote:
>>
>> Cecil wrote:
>> >
>> > I do believe that is exactly what I said, Jon, and you argued with me. So
>> > I repeat: If one doesn't know the passband and wants to guarantee that
>all
>> > possible modulation components are decoded, one cannot use undersampling.
>>
>> No, this is the first time you specified an unrestricted passband.
>> Strange receiver you've got there!
>
>I said I could add modulation components to an undersampled *signal*
>that you couldn't detect. You said I couldn't. You were wrong. Absolutely
>nothing was said about a restricted passband. Strange receiver you've got
>there. (I'm talking about your brain.)

...this is getting to be just finger-pointing and shouting.

Cecil, demonstrate however you can with SPECIFICs, please, how you can add modulation components to an undersampled signal that cannot be detected. No word play, please, electrons and waves don't respond to human word play.

Let's refer to a sampling oscilloscope. Does a sampling scope accurately portray a waveform having a much shorter period than its sampling period? As far as I've seen in theory and in practice it does.

The output of a sampler frequency-shifts a waveform down in frequency. The waveform in the time-domain contains all the components of the frequency domain, amplitudes, phases, the whole thing. Demodulation of a frequency-shifted modulated RF signal is done by the same method as demodulation at the original frequency.

If you are "adding something (unspecified)" to an undersampled signal that "cannot be detected," then you have to start naming these unspecified conditions to prove your point. Without those particulars your message point cannot be detected.

didit

From -4698411468660208340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 21:20:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 60
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 05:20:41 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14865

Firecracker Material (courtesy of AH0A statistics on US ham licenses)-

In the 9 months following US amateur radio restructuring, the total number of US amateur radio licenses increased by 3,701 (+0.55% of total). Code-tested licensees declined by 9,531 while non-code-tested licensees increased by 13,132.

In the 3 years from January 1998 to December 2000, the total number of US amateur radio licenses increased by 5,734 (+0.85% of total). Code-tested licensees declined by 33,267 while non-code-tested licensees increased by 39,001.

It should be fairly obvious that the non-code-tested are continuing to increase in number just enough to offset the decline in code-tested licensees plus a slight positive growth in total ARS licensees...but not a significant growth. The code-tested licensees have continued to decline despite various rationales on that seeming "inaccuracy"...an "inaccuracy" claim that will probably continue until the last code-tested licensee is SK.

As of the end of December 1997, code-tested licensees were 73.4% of the total, non-code-tested licensees were 26.6% of the total.

By the end of December 2000, code-tested licensees were 67.9% of the total, non-code-tested licensees were 32.1% of the total.

The balance scales keep tilting in favor of the non-code-tested license classes.

```
As far a NEW amateur radio licensees is concerned, it
very obvious that newcomers are favoring the no-code-
test Technician class despite the lack of operating
privileges below 30 MHz.  In three one-year periods (Jan
to Dec) from 1998 to 2000, the numbers are -
2000:
   Total new licensees:   18,658
   Code-tested new:         1,768
   Non-code-tested new: 16,800

1999:
   Total new licensees:   16,311
   Code-tested new:         2,355
   Non-code-tested new: 13,946

1998:
   Total new licensees:   17,521
   Code-tested new:         2,745
   Non-code-tested new: 14,776

While some claim that Technician Expirations are just starting
and are supposed to show "signigicant decreases" in that
class, such speculations ignore the continuation of new Tech
licensees that show no indication of slowing down the Tech
growth rate.  That supposed "entry level" class is very nearly
one-third of all US licensees.

didit.


From -701654116942894046
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 21:20:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 05:20:43 GMT
References: <95i5do$k80$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204002043.18047.00001245@nso-ff.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14866

In article <95i5do$k80$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
```

writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010202234912.00445.00001404@nso-bj.aol.com...
>
>> The FCC doesn't think a code test "proves" anything to them
>> for the purposes of granting a US amateur radio license. The
>> FCC has said so...twice in a decade.
>
>They said that it doesn't prove anything about character. They never said
>it doesn't prove "anything". Obviously it proves code proficiency. They
>have more of a neutral bias on the issue than you do, I think..

As far as what I've been able to read in 1990 and in 1999, the FCC said that the amateur radio code test does not prove anything for their purposes of granting a US amateur radio license. [please to include the whole sentence I wrote in quoting]

Can you say the only US civil radio regulatory agency has a "bias" on what they grant? Said "bias" is a very subjective thing for every observer. The FCC simply regulates US civil radio and issues licenses where regulations call for them.

Yes, the code test proves some elementary code cognition ability. Is that the whole point of US amateur radio below 30 MHz? To prove some code capability?

Is obtaining a code-tested US amateur radio license all about "proving one's worth to the 'amateur community?'" I don't think so looking at it from the FCC's point of view. The US amateur radio community doesn't issue licenses nor does it "grade" any licensee...officially.

As of December 1997, the number of code-tested US amateurs was 73.39% of the total licensees while the number of US amateurs not code tested was 26.61% of the total.

As of December 2000, the number of code-tested US amateurs was 67.9% of the total, the number of non-code-tested US amateurs was 32.1%. In actual numbers of amateur licensees, the number of code-tested amateurs is dropping while the number of non-code-tested amateurs is rising. It's been that way for several years. The FCC can see that rather well.

Is the FCC "neutral" on amateur code testing? I don't think so. If they were "neutral" they wouldn't have made the statements they did in 1990 and 1999 R&Os about code tests...probably wouldn't have even bothered to create the Technician class.

didit

From 8513623659150746250

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 22:01:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A7CF010.C4CCAA0E@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <3A7CC963.9019B140@arrl.org>
<3A7CDDB6.5C5268B3@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 32
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 01:00:48 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981266473 209.140.206.201 (Sun, 04 Feb 2001 01:01:13 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 01:01:13 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14867
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> > Cecil said:
> > > I can phase modulate at the IF frequency and
> > > slip an undetected message right past your undersampling technique.
>
> Jon replied:
> > Nope.

Falling into the desperate habit of resorting to selective quotes to
twist meaning, again, eh? Let's review the *complete* quote:

Jon replied:
> Nope. As long as the sidebands produced by your phase modulation fall
> within the IF passband, they'll be present in the sampled signal.

Clearly, it was only after you read that that you tumbled to the way it actually works. Now, of course, you are trying to reinterpret your earlier statements to mean something other than what you said. Your usual scramble when you've been shown to be wrong.

> So are you going to continue to assert that your undersampling technique
> will work no matter how much extra modulation is added to a signal or are
> you willing to change that "Nope" above to "Yes, but ..."?

Since I never asserted that, as the *complete* quote above shows, it would be impossible to "continue." (See: "Have you stopped beating your wife?") You just can't stand to admit you were wrong about something. But I think I've derived enough amusement from watching you squirm. Feel free to have the last word.

Jon

```
From -2077105927223726350
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-03 23:44:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 4 Feb 2001 07:44:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95j18u$btb$0@208.206.142.68>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68>
<3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.68
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14868
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > That could be, but it sure does nothing to indicate to anyone that any
> > other ham needs to have that level of training in DSP or they should be
> > considered as "ignorant". Rather, anyone who would make such a
> > statement is himself ignorant.
>
> I'm telling you, Dick, if you don't learn DSP techniques, you are going
> to be a technically obsolete/ignorant ham in the not too distant future.
> All filtering and detection functions will soon be done with DSP.

NO!!! Maybe *most* newly manufactured SSB and some other gear
will incorporate DSP functions. Not homebrew nor kitted gear which is
becoming so popular with so many hams these days. Your statement is
simply untrue. Stop trying to remake the ARS to your own personal
specifications.

From -7864808627882246693
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 06:56:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 04 Feb 2001 08:47:02 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <3A7D6B66.525366A9@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <3A7CC963.9019B140@arrl.org>
<3A7CDDB6.5C5268B3@IEEE.org> <3A7CF010.C4CCAA0E@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 Feb 2001 14:56:43 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14869

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > > Cecil said:
> > > > I can phase modulate at the IF frequency and
> > > > slip an undetected message right past your undersampling technique.
> >
> > Jon replied:
> > > Nope.
>
> Falling into the desperate habit of resorting to selective quotes to
> twist meaning, again, eh? Let's review the *complete* quote:
>
> Jon replied:
> > Nope. As long as the sidebands produced by your phase modulation fall
> > within the IF passband, they'll be present in the sampled signal.

Please don't try to divert the subject from what you said that was wrong to what you said that was right. We are not discussing the truisms that you uttered. We are discussing your assertion that I cannot add modulation components to a signal that your undersampling techniques cannot detect.
I said I could. You said "Nope", I can't. That "Nope" is all that matters.

You seem to be continuing to assert that I cannot add modulation components to a signal that your undersampling techniques cannot detect and you are coming across as a person who considers himself so perfect that he never makes a mistake. You obviously made a mistake, Jon, which can be diagnosed by answering the following questions, true or false.

1. Cecil cannot add modulation components to a signal that cannot be detected by my, Jon's undersampling techniques. (true or false)
If you answer true, you are mistaken. If you answer false, you contradict your previous "Nope" assertion.

2. I, Jon made a mistake in my inference about what Cecil had said.
(true or false)

One of the above above two things happened, Jon, and either way, you made a mistake. Sorry, you are going to have to give up your "Mr. Perfect" posture.

> Since I never asserted that, as the *complete* quote above shows, it
> would be impossible to "continue."

It doesn't matter what you said after "Nope", Jon. The "Nope" was the part that was wrong. If I say "A=A" and you say "Nope, B=B" you are still wrong. And you are still wrong. To correct your mistake you must change "Nope" to "Yes but ..." because you know and I know that I can add modulation components to a signal that your undersampling techniques cannot detect.

How you can deny saying something that is still there in the thread for
all to see is unbelievable.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7401676514775355910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:39:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 4 Feb 2001 15:39:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95jt2l$kss$0@208.206.142.71>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org> <3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org> <3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org> <3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org> <3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org> <3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org> <3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <3A7CC963.9019B140@arrl.org> <3A7CDDB6.5C5268B3@IEEE.org> <3A7CF010.C4CCAA0E@arrl.org> <3A7D6B66.525366A9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.71
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14870

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > >
> > > > Cecil said:
> > > > > I can phase modulate at the IF frequency and
> > > > > slip an undetected message right past your undersampling technique.

Now you've got me curious: How do you plan on going into Jon's radio
after the IF sampling has taken place to "slip an undetected message
right past your undersampling techniqjue"? Anything that appears at the
point the undersampling takes place will be sampled at an equal rate.
Are you into magic these days? Or just excessive grape juice?

From 4329385672233138474
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:47:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 15:47:06 GMT
References: <3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204104706.03780.00001049@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14871

In article <3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>So Antenna Tuners are "twaddle"? Are you going to author a QEX article
>entitled, "Antenna Tuners Are Twaddle"?

Cecil:

Y knot? I twaddle with my antenna tuner all the time!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -9159731095402179246
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,810eab770602565
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:47:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 15:47:06 GMT
References: <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Long Delay Echos
Message-ID: <20010204104706.03780.00001050@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14872

In article <95c0is$p9k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>
>Anyone have any experience with them?
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Art Bell says they're signals that bounce around the hollow core
of the Earth. Personally, I've never heard 'em, because the planet
I live on isn't hollow!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3737182032704978084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fcc6c138df023f5e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:47:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 15:47:10 GMT
References: <3A7798C6.9C1D9563@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Troll?
Message-ID: <20010204104710.03780.00001057@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14873

In article <3A7798C6.9C1D9563@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Ever hear of trolling for fish? Well, some posters here on netnews
>troll for suckers. "No Code = No Ham" is a troll designed to upset

>all the nocode tech hams.

Cecil:

AND, since it also happens to be the truth, it seems to work quite effectively!

73 de Larry, K3LT

Now, THAT's a TROLL!!!

From 1198063216373961949
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:47:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 15:47:07 GMT
References: <3A798461.CD3C8F1F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204104707.03780.00001052@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14874

In article <3A798461.CD3C8F1F@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> I'll call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" myself. However,
>> PseudoExtra does have a nice ring to it! Kinda grows on you,
>> doesn't it?
>

>Here's a little guy who doesn't even know what amateur radio is
>and you are going to call him a "dumbed-down Nickle-Extra" in
>15 years when he gets the only Extra class license available
>to him???? Are you trying to get him to hate older hams?

Cecil:

I never said I'd call him a "PseudoExtra" to his face. Anyway,
I didn't invent that term -- YOU did!!!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 1994111813397010822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:47:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 15:47:08 GMT
References: <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204104708.03780.00001053@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14876
```

In article <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Just in case Dick didn't mean that, *I'll* say it -- and mean it! So
>> there! What are you going to do about it?
>
>So anyone who obtains the only Extra license available is a pseudoExtra?
>What if he has a PhD in EE and can do 50wpm Morse code? Is he still a
>pseudoExtra?

Cecil:

Like Dick said -- bring him around to the newsgroup, let's make an
on-the-air sked with him to verify even 20 WPM CW proficiency, and
if he checks out, then I, for one, will be perfectly happy to admit that
he's the "Real Mc Coy" as an Extra. How 'bout it?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5198581062808926555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:47:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 15:47:12 GMT
References: <957t00$a3d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010204104712.03780.00001059@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14875

In article <957t00$a3d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
writes:

>> My choice of words is telling the truth, as it always has. If you are
>> so adamantly "Pro-Code," why didn't you support it by insisting on
>> retaining the status quo in testing requirements?
>
>I'm pro-national defense, pro-military, but I don't advocate
>conscription at this time. Does that now make me anti-military?

Brian:

I am all of the above as well, so you're preaching to the choir on
the subject of conscription. What does this have to do with the
Morse code?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1591094467442679137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:47:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 15:47:08 GMT
References: <k0aj7tgb7bc2jk8bvesqh3rm8vc37rifgt@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204104708.03780.00001054@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14877

In article <k0aj7tgb7bc2jk8bvesqh3rm8vc37rifgt@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>
>w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>>We knew the type in grammar school; they are apparently still with us.
>
>Translation: Larry is a bully.
>
>>Don't be surprised if not many people want to follow you as you try to drag
>>Amateur Radio down to your level, Larry.
>
>Translation: Larry is a low level person.
>
>>If you really feel this way, perhaps we would all be better off if you let
>your
>>license expire and found something  you can believe in to do.
>
>Translation: Ham radio would be better off without Larry.
>
>Gosh Ed, you didn't used to fight your battles by using personal
>attacks.  Why don't you just rebut with the facts...

Now, now, Aaron -- Ed is doing the best he can with a lobster
Jones on his back!  I think he'll be much nicer once he gets to
cash in on the bet he won with me.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 2668591682655011797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:47:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 04 Feb 2001 15:47:09 GMT
References: <3A7A0E3B.3DFFA63E@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204104709.03780.00001055@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14878

In article <3A7A0E3B.3DFFA63E@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>
>W4JLE wrote:
>
>> As much as I respect your efforts in ham radio, I despise the attitude
>> you are displaying towards older hams.
>
>K3LT's Birthday (from QRZ): 31 Oct 1952
>
>W1RFI's Birthday (from QRZ): 26 Aug 1950

Jim:

I don't think Fred was referring to chronological age; he was referring to hams who have been licensed for longer periods of time. Just what period of time he considers an "older" ham to be is anyone's guess, but I'll attempt to quantify it by stating that anyone licensed prior to the implementation of VE-testing, as I was, is an "older" ham. The VE-testing was the beginning of the end, dumbing-down wise, IMHO. Ironically, I originally supported the concept of VE testing, and upgraded rapidly with the notion of a lengthy career serving the hobby as a VE. Then the corruption reared its ugly head, and I washed my hands of the VE mess while I was still relatively uninvolved. I digress. An "older" ham is one who was licensed as a General or above prior to 1985. Since I was originally licensed in '81 and attained Extra class in '83, I meet my own definition as an "older" ham, regardless of my age.

Your mileage may vary.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7411953728204407752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:47:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 04 Feb 2001 15:47:07 GMT
References: <20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204104707.03780.00001051@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14879

In article <20010201062035.15050.00000239@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Don't be surprised if not many people want to follow you as you try to drag
>Amateur Radio down to your level, Larry. Many of us still believe in the
>values you used to attribute to the Service.
>
>If you really feel this way, perhaps we would all be better off if you let
>your
>license expire and found something you can believe in to do.

Ed:

I'll keep my amateur radio license as long as it serves me in any manner I find useful. I do admit that my enjoyment of ham radio is greatly diminished along with the reduction in licensing standards. I seem to have worked everyone there is to work on CW, and even on the FISTS frequencies, there is nothing there but mindless awards-chasing and 84-year-old retirees in Florida trailer parks, running their letters and words together but doing it very fast, indeed. I doubt that even if large numbers of new hams come on the air in the Post-Restructuring era, as they have yet to do, that my interest will be rekindled very easily. I had high hopes and aspirations as a ham; but I now find myself strangely apathetic. I really would like to get the old ham spirit back, but that hasn't happened. I was having fun on PSK31 for a while, but once I worked seemingly everyone using that mode, and they were also 84-year-old retirees in Florida, there was no more point in it.

I'm not quite ready to give up on ham radio yet, Ed, but the ARRL, now that it's talking about trying to save a bit of CW spectrum for future use, has really put a damper on my former enthusiasm. I also see the handwriting on the wall. Our vast spectrum, especially above 500 MHz, is way to valuable to future wireless service providers for us not to lose it in vast chunks in the near future. Therefore, the future of ham radio for me may very well be to use these services on *former* amateur frequencies. Time will tell, but for now, my license stays on the wall of my "shack."

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 7635581906804499003
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:47:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 15:47:10 GMT
References: <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010204104710.03780.00001056@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14880
```

In article <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>
>Those who belittle hams who did not obtain their licenses under the old
>system deserve to have that behavior treated with comtempt because it is
>comtemptible. That has nothing to do with one's opinion of the value of
>code testing.

Jon:

What is truly contemptible is the raw laziness of those who ragged on and on ad infinitum about the uselessness of the code testing requirements, using the same specious arguments about how it was inhibiting the development of modern digital technology and keeping young people out of ham radio. What is equally contemptible is how the ARRL reversed its course on the support of code testing, the minute they saw a requisition for red ink from their accounting department. What is contemptible is the sappy, saccharine political correctness of ARRL officials who never saw a knuckle-dragging HT user they didn't fall in love with -- all the while staring directly at their wallets. What is truly contemptible is the way amateur radio no longer stands for any kind of meaningful accomplishments. Heck, learning isn't even required anymore, since the licensing requirements have been dumbed-down to insignificance. What is truly contemptible is how the National Association for Amateur Radio has found it necessary to insult the collective intelligence of both past, present, and future hams at the same time. Believe me, Jon -- when it comes to contemptible things, you ARRL guys know what you're talking about!

Personally, I have complete contempt for what ham radio has turned into. And, as the "National Association for Amateur Radio," I can't see anyone to blame but the ARRL. The way I see it, you (the ARRL) could have stopped Restructuring and supported, defended, and successfully retained the status quo in amateur radio license testing. You went for change for change's sake, only because the slouchers demanded it. Now, you get to lie in the bed you made.

If I read the statistics on the Speroni web site correctly, there has been a net gain of 4,063 licensed amateurs since Restructuring took place in April, '00. How many new ARRL memberships have been taken since then?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2302798645760096412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-04 07:47:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 83
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Feb 2001 15:47:11 GMT
References: <95bq8f$ja4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Message-ID: <20010204104711.03780.00001058@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14881

In article <95bq8f$ja4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, jason_hsu@my-deja.com writes:

>You amuse me, Larry. First, you keep insisting that Morse Code all-
>important to all of ham radio and that the Morse Code testing
>requirements are sacred.
>
>So I decide to bring Code Quick to the attention of everyone on
>USENET. Unlike more conventional methods, Code Quick works, and people
>ACTUALLY follow through. So what do you do? You try to knock it
>down!
>
>I can tell from personal experience that Code Quick works. Because it
>takes the drudgery (memorization of dits and dahs, listening to CW and
>waiting for divine inspiration, etc.) out of learning CW, it seems that
>everyone who tries Code Quick sticks to it. You CANNOT say the same
>about other methods.

Jason:

There are plenty of better methods. Simply learning the characters and getting on-the-air at 5 WPM is the best way to go. However, Code Quick makes a disasterous short-cut, by employing cutsey sound-alike phonetic phrases that require double or triple translation in the brain before the act of writing down a letter takes place. So, you're listening to "dadidadit" and you've got to think to yourself "that was 'dadidadit' so that sounds like 'Debbie did it' or something like that, and 'Debbie' starts with a 'd' so I'll write that down. Of course, the character sent was a "C," but who's counting? I think Code Quick is the most confusing, inhibiting, and inefficient Morse code learning programs ever devised. The attraction is certainly unmistakable, for it offers the proverbial free lunch -- and therefore, gets a strong following from the clients of the ham radio Welfare State.

>I challenge you to find anyone who tried Code Quick but failed.

Well, all I can say is, I know a lot of people who own the tapes, but none of them are regular CW users!

>I will agree with you that Code Quick alone isn't enough for 20+ wpm.

Damn straight! It practically wires your brain in a way that makes it impossible to get beyond 10 WPM. But, in these days of a 5 WPM maximum code test, I guess that's no longer a problem.

>Well, at some point, the Code Quick user has to start copying in
>his/her head. But at least there's nothing to unlearn.

Nothing but everything Code Quick taught them about character recognition! You see, Code Quick users didn't learn to react directly to the sound of a Morse code character -- they have to do the "translation" sequence in their mind before the light comes on and the write down the character.

>Larry, you obviously do NOT need Code Quick.

No, thank God, I don't! Oh, speaking of God, that's another problem I have with Code Quick. It indulges in religious proselytizing right in the middle of the tape. Specifically, fundamentalist Christian proselytizing. Why is religion brought into the program? What about potential customers who are Catholic, Jewish, Muslim, or any other non-fundamentalist Christian religion? If the guy wants to sell religious tapes, he should do so within the confines of that market -- and not include HIS specific religious beliefs in a program marketed to people from all walks of life who are interested in ham radio. Is there any disclaimer on the tape package? I've never seen any!

>But the "lazy No Code" crowd certainly does.

Well, I give you Brownie points for at least admitting that.

Show me someone from this group, and I'll show
>you someone who didn't use Code Quick.

Like I said before, I know a lot of people who own Code Quick --
but virtually none of them went on to be proficient CW users. They
may have passed their code tests, but they didn't gain the skill.
I'll stick with what works -- learning the sound of the individual
characters using the Farnsworth method, and getting 2-way practice
on-the-air.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 3036683632888188375
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 03:05:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Feb 2001 11:05:04 GMT
References: <95qiri3cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207060504.03780.00001603@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14876
```

In article <95qiri3cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
writes:

>
>The system is still there, Larry. It works quite well, too. It's just that
>your
>worthless, outdated, hazing ritual of high-speed code testing isn't part of
>it
>anymore.

T. L.:

Well, to some, it's a whined about as a "worthless, outdated hazing
ritual of high-speed code testing." To others, the relatively slow (even
at 20 WPM) code tests provided the incentive to learn and master a
useful communications skill in return for increased operating
privileges. I guess your mileage varies depending on your attitude
toward being a fully-capable radio communications hobbyist. If
all you want to pursue is the easy stuff, then code testing would

make you, well, testy! It's too bad the requirements easily achieved by tens of thousands of people from all walks of life were such a problem for you, if that's the case.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5927938406193167994
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 03:05:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Feb 2001 11:05:03 GMT
References: <3A80D2CE.27155E46@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207060503.03780.00001602@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14875

In article <3A80D2CE.27155E46@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I have never equated CW proficiency to license class.
>> If you think I have, prove it. If you can't prove it, shut up about it.
>
>You have certainly equated lack of CW proficiency to lack of class.

Cecil:

Wrong again, OM. I've equated whining about the code testing requirements to lack of class, and I've equated an unwillingness to make the effort to gain CW proficiency to lack of class (knuckle dragging, drooling, slack-jawed trailer trash, etc.). I don't think I've ever specified any minimum level of proficiency as being associated with a lack of class, however. Again, provide proof to support YOUR argument, or shut up!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1854684443910638425
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 03:05:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Feb 2001 11:05:03 GMT
References: <3A80BAA8.743A0DD6@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207060503.03780.00001601@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14877
```

In article <3A80BAA8.743A0DD6@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>
>From looking over the question pools Larry, the scope of material is the
>same as it was before restructuring. How would increasing the number of
>steps on the ladder increase the height of the ladder? Are you
>proposing an Extra Cum Laude or something? Is that something you need?
>I know I certainly don't.

Jim:

If there were such a thing as an "Extra Cum Laude," then I'm the kind of person who would just go out and achieve it -- without whining about it. As a recent "non-traditional" college graduate, I achieved Summa Cum Laude status by making a diligent effort to ensure that I did fall within the parameters of that lofty status. Now, nobody can take that achievement away from me -- just like they can't take my Pre-Restructuring Extra-class achievement away from me!

My question for you is -- what do you have against striving to achieve a lofty goal?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -8323944421566737234
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 03:17:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A812DBB.ABB6D7C6@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 11:12:59 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-
fa.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s2.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 7 Feb 2001 06:08:36 -0500, s2.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 22
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s2.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14878

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >I just know how scales work, Len.  The scale gets tipped in the
> >direction of the heavier side.  Your scale was tipped the other way - by
> >YOU.
>
> Noo, noo, noo, Jim.  I said the "scales are tipping."  I didn't say
> that they had tipped the other way.
>
> Why do you deny they are tipping?
>
> didit

Scales don't tip until the weight on one side exceeds the weight on the
other side.  There are still far greater numbers of code tested hams
than non-code tested hams. If you said that the scales are tipping, you
are wrong. Why couldn't you just say that there is a good growth rate in
the ____ class of license?

Dave Heil K8MN


From 3274717118056831880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 03:19:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010206083316.09590.00001719@nso-bk.aol.com>
<14105-3A802BEE-15@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95qojh$5pc$0@208.207.71.168>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
```

Lines: 43
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <58ag6.1078$0W5.364698@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 05:18:29 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.155
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 981544577 205.138.126.155 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 06:16:17 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 06:16:17 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14879

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:95qojh$5pc$0@208.207.71.168...
>
>
> >
> > There is a place for ham radio to relay a message during a disaster to
> > nieces and nephews that Aunt Suzy is safe. That`s worthwhile and
> > memorable.
>
>
> And when the infrastructure goes out- and contrary to your dreams, it
> DOES go out, authorities are more than pleased to utilize ham radio for
> serious purposes when needed, even if you don't believe it.

Having been thru two earthquakes including one with an epicenter in metro St. Louis in my days there, there is nothing in this world more terrifying. Earthquakes in the mid-Continent are not the localized (however severe) California type but cover vast areas. It is said that the big one in the early 19th century rang the church bells in Washington DC with aftershocks for months. Should another New Madrid occur, you can write off St. Louis, Memphis, and pretty much everything in between.

Two questions:

1. Dick, if you were involved in planning just what did the plan entail? How do you plan for Swampeast Missouri to essentially disappear?

2. For numbers alone, the amateur service cannot be planned for in any disaster scenario. The history is that we fortuitously appear like the gnomes in the night. The most obvious is H&W traffic which sort of implies a National Traffic System if things are not going to turn into a cluster. What sort of shape is it in? In Guatemala many years ago, the H&W traffic was flown into New Orleans on Pan Am and NTS from there. Still possible? Good solution for the remaining amateurs in Guatemala had better things to do and a long-term working relationship with the Red Cross was put into use to do H&W. The question is whether anyone at any level has even the barest outline of a sketch to handle anything like this?

Dick N�BK

From -4473964501114172442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 04:24:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Ed Cregger" <ecregger@earthlink.net>
From: "Ed Cregger" <ecregger@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95qgub0cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Lines: 87
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <i8bg6.2406$2g.174374@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 12:24:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.33.16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981548686 209.86.33.16 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001
04:24:46 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 04:24:46 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9126
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12082 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14880 rec.radio.cb:25455
rec.radio.scanner:13431

I just got back into amateur radio after a three to four
year layoff. The language has changed a bit since I was last
active.

For instance, where did saying "QSL" or "Contact" come from?

Q codes are for CW, not phone. Saying QSL is the same as saying 10-4 to my ears.

Contact? I have no idea where this could have come from. The traditional method of injecting oneself into a conversation (which is rude if the conversation is between two hams), is to announce your callsign. If the participants want company, they will invite you into the conversation. If not, you will be acknowledged as the next in line for the frequency when their conversation is complete.

I'm not stupid enough to think that anyone cares about my opinion, so there's no need to be offended. It's just that even I, an ex-CBer during the seventies, can see some of the CB SSB language infiltrating the ham lexicon/culture.

Does it matter? Not really, but it does seem to make us seem a little less intelligent. After all, the rules for telephony state that we are to use plain language, not codes or ciphers. But then again, that's just my opinion.
--
Ed Cregger, NM2K
ecregger@earthlink.net

"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:95qgub0cu2@enews3.newsguy.com...
> In article <wouff.hong@goodRadio>,
bummer-radio@licensing.org says...
> >
> >And the son of a bitch had a restructured ham license.
I'll bet the bastards
> >an ARRL member too. Probably got in on the League
discount membership plan.
> >All this started me wondering, What make a ham a ham?
Is a ham someone
> >that's working to improve their knowledge of radio
theory, electronics and
> >operating skills?
> >
> >Or is a ham someone with a radio license who doesn't care
to improve, who only
> >wants to sit around and talk, act like a CB'er hurting
others enjoyment of the
> >service, and not learn anything?
> >
> >God help us, I'm hearing more and more of these stupid
assholes on the bands
> >everyday. They don't know anything either and ask alot of
stupid questions
> >that if they had been compelled to study the licensing
manual alittle more
> >thoroughly that know the answers to all their questions.

```
But I guess the point
> >of restructured licenses is to give some fucking idiot a
piece of paper with a
> >callsign on it and not require anything more,  All this
means the fcc going to
> >be forced to do more enforcement to control these
assholes and that going to
> >hurt all of us.
> >
> >I wish there were an organization in America that would
work to improve ham
> >radio, licensing, and  improve the level of expertise of
its operators.
>
>
> What, exactly, did this person do that was so stupid? Did
he say "10-4" instead
> of "QSL"? There's nothing illegal about that, you know.
>
> ...and did you do anything to try to steer them in the
right direction, or does
> it just make you feel better if you make posts, bitching
and moaning about the
> state of amateur radio?
>
>
```

```
From 7497339037187556333
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 04:47:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8143DF.6213A9A7@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
References: <3A7E2569.7458F345@arrl.org> <20010206214214.00714.00005652@nso-
md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 31
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 07:47:27 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981550050 209.140.206.201 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 07:47:30 EST)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 07:47:30 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14881

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> What I write in this newsgroup is not the way I treat people in
> person; and I've never made any claim that there was any such
> connection. Usenet postings are made in a relatively sanitized,
> impersonal manner.

Which doesn't mean they don't have some influence on how the readers of them view attitudes within ham radio. And I suspect the attitude toward newcomers you express here is not completely absent from your in-person behavior. It's hard for anyone to completely compartmentalize their feelings.

> As far as treating people is concerned, I must advise you that
> ARRL HQ employees definitely live in a glass house as far as
> that issue is concerned. From my first visit in '84, to recent
> contacts with HQ officials at hamfests, I have continuously
> experienced a smug, arrogant, and even contemptuous
> attitude. I have come to expect this from ARRL HQ people,
> and your postings to this NG are certainly no surprise.

The only thing I've ever expressed contempt for is behavior that exhibits a lack of basic human respect for others. I can't help it if you find that "smug" and "arrogant." Frankly, I think those words far better reflect your attitudes than mine. As for your other contacts with ARRL HQ, I don't know the specifics. But since your reaction is precisely opposite from the one most HQ visitors display, I suspect your feelings have more to do with your prejudicial view of ARRL than with anything actually done by any HQ staff person.

Jon

From -7806897836325279256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 04:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 12:37:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <95rfhi$81u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95pfnt$hkc$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015558.02997.00001926@nso-

mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.128
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 12:37:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.128
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14882

In article <20010207015558.02997.00001926@nso-mg.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <95pfnt$hkc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
> <k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

> Ah, but you didn't say WHO is doing all your computer-modem
> typing while you are having lotsa fun on ham radio at night.

Myself, Lennie...Don't need the help.

>
> Who does your typing, steebie?

> > Usual tripe dismissed without comment. Nothing but the
> >same "personal attacks" that he claims HE never uses.
>
> Poor baby. Can't take REPLIES IN KIND? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Didn't say I couldn't Lennie. Just pointing out that you have been lying AGAIN. You have (recently) stated you don't do that kind of thing, yet you have been doing it to me for two years now and you started the "Jelly" comments on Fred. Fred did NOT make any such "endearments" to you, but you certainly have tried to rip him a new one.

Of course, I am sure if you keep it up and then start getting it in return from him, you will, as you have with me, claim "victim" status and then begin your own rhetorical abuses.

You always do.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 6593396513536390643
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 04:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 12:42:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <95rfqq$8co$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95njft$v1n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010206125345.22779.00001505@nso-
fa.aol.com> <95pgvq$ist$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95q4m7$6n2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.128
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 12:42:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.128
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14883
```

In article <95q4m7$6n2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Would you deny them vanity calls? Why else abandon a spiffy KC call?

Probably because in THIS case, Twitless, the calls are expiring due to non-renewal.

These were NOT calls vacated due to Vanity options. And NO, I would not deny anyone, even you, a Vanity call.

Now, run along and catch up to Uncle Lennie. Maybe he'll let you play with his hand puppet.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -2390450658907832428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 05:00:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!feed.cgocable.net!news-hub.siol.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 12:52:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <95rgeb$8qh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95pgvq$ist$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001928@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.128
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 12:52:30 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.128
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14884

In article <20010207015600.02997.00001928@nso-mg.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <95pgvq$ist$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ

> That's AH0A, not "AHoA." That's an Extra's call sign.

No screamin' eagle doodoo, huh?

> qrz.com is an interesting website...but it does not grant US
> amateur radio licenses.

I guess I am wasting my time asking YOU how you came to this comment? (You never explain anything else...why should I expect any diffrent now?) The information conveyed in "QRZ" is no more or no less accurate than Speroni's site.

> Joe Speroni, AH0A, does a fine job on his website and has
> been doing that for over three years with many different
> tabulation presentations. Again, why do you wish to insult
> him by requesting others to abandon looking at his data?

Insult Speroni by suggesting someone use another source of information...?!?!

You really are stretching for a troll, Lenni. Stretching reeeeal hard.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 8786837339550271257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 05:10:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 13:04:27 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <95rh4o$99m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q6lg$89i$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015601.02997.00001930@nso-
mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.128
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 13:04:27 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.128
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14885
```

In article <20010207015601.02997.00001930@nso-mg.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Supposedly this one-time USMC person evolved into a
> Purchasing Agent after "burn-out" as a Licensed
> Practical Nurse in civilian life. We don't know if said
> Purchasing Agent (his claim) is still employed as a
> Purchasing Agent. He hasn't identified the company
> where is is, or was, purchasing things.

I'll be more than glad to relate that information as soon as YOU provide (as was requested MONTHS ago) names and other information of verifyable sources that can validate YOUR many claims.

And more than one person here knows the name of the company...even has my corporate addresses and phone numbers. You gonna call and chat, Lenni? I'll give you the 800 number if you care.

And by the way, I AM doing both. Again, unlike you, I saw that there were a great many things that I would like to do in my life. I may never completely master any one of them, but I will do my best to experience as many as I can.

No excuses as to why I didn't do it, other than I didn't do it. No "elitists" holding me back, or failed excuses.

> What is more amusing is this person's claim to once
> being a "commissioned officer" in some state militia,
> ostensibly as THE radio officer of an entire infantry
> brigade...which would require at least a major rank.

Acutally, it requires a Captain. But I will pass on that in the future the Adjutant General shall call you first before assigning TO.

> When queried on this, said person replied he "had
> the papers in his wallet." Apparently that is
> "confirmation" for everyone else.

Of which I offered a copy. You declined. Your loss.

> There's a four-letter word for persons like that

Which is one letter more than how we can describe you, Lenni.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5248854398681629390
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 05:20:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!intermedia!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 13:13:45 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 48

Message-ID: <95rhm4$9o1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95pgvq$ist$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015558.02997.00001925@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.128
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 13:13:45 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.128
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14886

In article <20010207015558.02997.00001925@nso-mg.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> > PROVE that there would BE a negative growth rate, Lennie.
>
> Do the following -

The exercise of which would be a theoretical exercise.

PROVE THAT THERE WOULD BE A NEGATIVE NUMBER TODAY.

To show a period of some negative growth and say "See See!" is foolish. That's like trying to forecast all economy growth based on the 1930's.

>.....FCC's preferred since they are to only agency ganting amateur
>radio licenses

How do you "gant" an Amamteur license.

And why do you insist on insulting Joe Speroni by abandoning his database to look at others when it suits you?

> ...there, wasn't that simple? Even purchasing agents can do it.

Yep...sure was...and it still proves nothing. PROVE that the Technician Class license is the ONLY reason there is any "growth" in the Amatuer radio service.

PROVE that there was NO other viable option or that NOTHING else would have occured to spur changes.

We're waiting.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

```
Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -4180049302700933415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 06:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Novice CW
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 14:35:05 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <95rmep$dva$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95n1q0$eeh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205185307.24637.00001048@ng-
bg1.aol.com> <3A7F7398.6447890A@sccoast.net> <95orke$uhu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<u0f08tckjee6897cvce10v1lkcai0av57k@4ax.com> <95pi4l$k18$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q65k$7qu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 14:35:05 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14887

In article <95q65k$7qu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > I'd support that idea in a heartbeat, so long as FCC doesn't stuff
> > them away in some segregated ghetto like the current Novice
> > sub-bands.
>
> Hey Hans, who said you could start calling it a ghetto?

Brian,

Do you suffer from attention deficit disorder?  I have been publicly
calling it a ghetto for at least 10 years.

Even so, I don't expect I'll need permission from a dump huck like
you.

With all good wishes,

Hans, K0HB
```

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2079664467748219593
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 07:39:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 7 Feb 2001 15:39:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109>
References: <95j0bq$9p2$0@208.206.142.68>
<29520-3A7D94B4-10@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165> <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146>
<3A80D607.D044EB6@IEEE.org> <95qmv8$khg$0@208.207.71.168>
<3A80DF7A.186960EC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14888

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Of course not, many of my friends are nocode techs. But would you allow
> > a loved one to die rather than use CW for a relief message?
>
> Of course not but I'm not saying that coded hams are unqualified. You
> are saying that nocode hams are unqualified. If they are so unqualified,
> why would you allow them to save your wife's life?
>
> > When I say that radiotelegraphy is the most basic, simple, easily
> > implemented radio communications mode yet devised? How is that?
>
> You went a lot farther than that, Dick. You said they were unqualified
> at basic radio communications. 2m FM *IS* basic radio communications.
> You contradict yourself.
>

Not in the least, Pal. Radiotelegraphy IS the basic radiocommunications mode, whether ham radio or whatever else. No other mode can be put to use with so little equipment requrements. ANY RF generator can be on-off keyed to form Morse characters. No other mode can do that. NONE. Any ham radio operator who is unable to make it work simply because he was to uninformed to cause himself to learn to be able to use it is thus unqualified by definition, as you are so fond of saying.

2meter FM is basic radio communication? On what planet?

From -6558988017765398174
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 07:47:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 15:47:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com> <95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14889

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
> >> This already was done back in the sixties with the "incentive licensing"
> plan.
> >> All it did was piss off a lot of hams who lost priveleges because of it.
> >
> >
> > Son, you might have a bit of credibility if you'd make your statements
> >about that which you actually know something about.
>
> Don't be so ambiguous. Either prove me wrong or shut up.

That sure won't be hard to do: I am living evidence that you have no

```
clue as to what you're talking abouit.


From 1223709736144559244
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 07:58:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 09:49:06 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A816E72.291F04E4@IEEE.org>
References: <3A80BAA8.743A0DD6@uci.edu> <20010207060503.03780.00001601@nso-
ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.af
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 7 Feb 2001 15:58:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14890

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> My question for you is -- what do you have against striving to
> achieve a lofty goal?

What do you have against not striving to achieve a lofty goal?
Do you have a PhD? What is wrong with live and let live?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -3330116777353667536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 08:02:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 09:53:11 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A816F67.39342AB2@IEEE.org>
```

References: <3A80D2CE.27155E46@IEEE.org> <20010207060503.03780.00001602@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.af
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 7 Feb 2001 16:02:48 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14891

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >You have certainly equated lack of CW proficiency to lack of class.
>
> Wrong again, OM. I've equated whining about the code testing
> requirements to lack of class, ...

Oh? I don't recall you saying anything about whining in your
St. Valentines Massacre postings. It's the very existence of
nocode techs that you objected to.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 1968433351920229923
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 08:04:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 09:54:38 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A816FBE.8D74ABAE@IEEE.org>
References: <95j0bq$9p2$0@208.206.142.68>
<29520-3A7D94B4-10@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165> <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146>
<3A80D607.D044EB6@IEEE.org> <95qmv8$khg$0@208.207.71.168>
<3A80DF7A.186960EC@IEEE.org> <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.af
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 7 Feb 2001 16:04:15 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14892

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
> > You went a lot farther than that, Dick. You said they were unqualified
> > at basic radio communications. 2m FM *IS* basic radio communications.
> > You contradict yourself.
>
>  Not in the least, Pal.

You are completely off your rocker, Dick, if you believe 2m FM is not
basic radio communications.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 4764837529674227986
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 08:08:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Novice CW
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 7 Feb 2001 17:42:30 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <95s1e6$p7o$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <95n1q0$eeh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205185307.24637.00001048@ng-
bg1.aol.com> <3A7F7398.6447890A@sccoast.net> <95orke$uhu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<u0f08tckjee6897cvce10v1lkcai0av57k@4ax.com> <95q60j$7nn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<ccn18tgigv2g406luirgp125otrsg2p7f1@4ax.com> <95r97b$3ik$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 981567750 25848 205.139.138.14 (7 Feb 2001 17:42:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Feb 2001 17:42:30 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: brianburke@my-deja.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14893

In article <95r97b$3ik$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian  <brianburke@my-deja.com>
writes:
>In article <ccn18tgigv2g406luirgp125otrsg2p7f1@4ax.com>,
>  Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>> >Ergo, I'm fully capable of self-testing other emission types as well.
>>
>> How fast can you send RTTY with a bug...   :-)
>>
```

>
>I smell a dung beetle.
>

It's feeding on your upper lip.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 2453793145978453311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 08:30:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 16:19:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <95rsi9$jns$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 16:19:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9135
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12089 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14894 rec.radio.cb:25485

In article <wouff.hong@goodRadio>,
bummer-radio@licensing.org (smiley o'riley) wrote:

> And the son of a bitch had a restructured ham license.

The Armenian judges gave this a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter, but they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who award it a 2.6.

The Armenian judges lodged a formal protest!

It was sufficiently trollish, of course, but way too obvious. It was poorly written, poorly executed, and was so incredibly lame as to lack the true drawing power of a really masterful troll. Maybe as high as a 3.1 for the intense stupidity of the premise, but a 9.8? Never! The Armenian judges tear their hair out, throw their balalaikas down in dismay, and perform the traditional Armenian Dismay Chant! They demand a recount!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--

Hans' Second Law of Thermodenial:

"In any closed mind the amount of ignorance
remains constant or increases."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8311679541868690407
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fd21f33cd57038,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 08:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ROTMFFLMMFAO!
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 09:33:23 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A8178D3.1A3E6870@firstinter.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <95pon0$quh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95ppsa$s42$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 32

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14895

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <95pon0$quh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > QUOTE:
> > Cell phone uses CW to signal incoming e-mail:
>
> It's a Nokia, and OH2BH is a VP there. Brian is gonna be ticked!
> Klose and Stevenson, et. al. are gonna take 'em straight to the
> ITU and put a stop to this pro-code crap in the Cell Phone business.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> --
> "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
>   eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
>   to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
>   Morse."

Yea, but will it read the e-mail in Morse.....sounds too
primitive...still needs work :)

Rick T. W7RT
NCI #1394
Member, BOD

>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

From 7060620743197620716
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 08:43:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 15:36:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <95rq1201sc@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010206214216.00714.00005656@nso-md.aol.com> <3A80BAA8.743A0DD6@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-130.newsdawg.com

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14896

In article <3A80BAA8.743A0DD6@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...

>> Same number as always -- one. That isn't the issue. The reason
>> for having a multi-tiered licensing system is to incentivize and
>> motivate continued, progressive learning with the reward of
>> progressive increases in operating privileges. It was a system that
>> worked for literally hundreds of thousands of people, until the
>> slouchers of the Welfare State finally managed to get the
>> notion of working toward desired goals deemed to be politically
>> incorrect, non-inclusive, and mean-spirited.
>
>From looking over the question pools Larry, the scope of material is the
>same as it was before restructuring. How would increasing the number of
>steps on the ladder increase the height of the ladder? Are you
>proposing an Extra Cum Laude or something? Is that something you need?
>I know I certainly don't.
>
>73, Jim AC6XG

Larry doesn't care whether or not hams have reached a certain level of technical proficiency. He only cares about how fast they can beep. He calls them "welfare hams", but welfare implies that something tangible is taken from someone who has, and is given to someone who doesn't have. Nothing tangible has been taken from Larry, but his blurred vision doesn't see things that way.

From -3128359707509599536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 09:00:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 16:50:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 77
Message-ID: <95ruck$lr2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com> <95lcr2$qf$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95mojb$2c5$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95nprn$4fb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

<95p28u122ju@enews4.newsguy.com> <95q8bl$qgl$0@208.207.71.146>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.133.158
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 16:50:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.158
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14897

In article <95q8bl$qgl$0@208.207.71.146>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >
> > Ye Olde Fahrtz have been preaching the concept of a "real ham" for years.

If present trends continue the only Hams left may be Olde Fartz.
Even if there are one Megafartz of old hams what will that mean if there are no new young hams to replace them in the future???

> > Despite this narrow-mindedness, the class of license doesn't prove whether or not the holder of said license is a "real ham".

IMHO the narrow-mindered are those who only consider their own interests and could care less about the survival of Ham Radio.

> No, but it beats hell all out of any nocode qualification seen to date.

I have recently joined an e-mail group for QCWA members (or old farts as some might say). The QCWA and its chapter clubs are struggling with the problem of decreased active membership and not enough new members to replace the silent keys...just as most of the ham clubs, who are honest about it, are ready to admit. I would bet that the membership in most ARRL affiliated ham clubs is also decreasing or will soon be decreasing. Some QCWA leaders want to open up membership to all hams who have not yet met the 25 year requirement. This is not unlike the Ham leaders who have been continuing to press for simplified ham license requirements. The trouble is that such changes can only lead to further disinterest and active participation in ham radio by those whop have previously qualified. It also leads to a loss of PRIDE...even a loss of prestige for ham radio as a hobby. People who might be considering becoming hams can not be positively influenced to enter a hobby which can not retain the kind of pride it has had in the past.

The USA and world cultures are changing. In the 1950s people thought that TV would take up so much of peoples' time that they wouldn't be active in clubs and hobbies. It didn't have as much of an effect as was feared on ham radio. BUT...today there are more options for people who are interested in using technology as a hobby. Unlike TV, but like Ham Radio, The Internet and cellular phones are interactive..That is, they are two way communication systems which offer the ability to make new friends and discuss stuff with individuals and with groups worldwide..and to get and give opinions and education about any matter that might be of interest, technical or not. Today's young techie nerds, hackers and gurus are as interested in computers as we must have been interested in Ham Radio. They think that Ham Radio is just for old farts. They might join a computer club to learn more about their opertating systems or to swap software...but they won't have any time left for a Ham Club.

My opinion is if you want ham radio to survive and to eventually interest more people you have to make it more technically educational; not easier to advance to "Extra" class. Ham Radio is far behind modern communication technology and must be advanced to the relative point where it was in 1950. To do this we need more higher level technical requirements for the higher level hams...although we still need easy entry requirements to help get youngsters started. This is in opposition to the present ARRL policy. The ARRL leaders can not seem to get it into their heads that to attract new members and to keep some present hams active...you have to give some PRIDE back to all Hams, by encouraging those who want to, and can qualify for advanced modern technical ham ability (as real hams, if I may), to take more and better high level technical exams. Forget high speed CW, but make high technology the mark of a real Ham....If not the goal...If not just the BASIS anmd PURPOSE of the whole hobby!

Hams need more license classes.

Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure License Potential)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7268840791306347621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 09:10:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!propagator!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 17:05:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <95rv7q$mi7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
<95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com> <95lcr2$qf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95mojb$2c5$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95nprn$4fb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95p28u122ju@enews4.newsguy.com> <95q8bl$qgl$0@208.207.71.146>
<95qhuo2cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.133.158
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 17:05:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.158
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14899

In article <95qhuo2cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>,
  tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
> In article <95q8bl$qgl$0@208.207.71.146>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
> >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >>
> >> Ye Olde Fahrtz have been preaching the concept of a "real ham" for
years.
> >> Despite this narrow-mindedness, the class of license doesn't prove
whether
> or
> >not the holder of said license is a "real ham".
> >
Yep...
We have discussed Segal's "The Amateur's Code" here before.  It does
not mention CW, BUT the spirit of it is to say that a real ham is
technically qualified to be: -
PROGRESSIVE...with knowledge abreast of science...

How are we proving that real hams must be "abreast of science" by
reducing technical requirements for Extra class. by eliminating
Advanced Class, and by not making ham technology compatible with modern
electronic communication technologies?


> > No, but it beats hell all out of any nocode qualification seen to
date.

Agreed.

> I just looked at the Amateur's Code inside the ARRL handbook. It
doesn't say a
> thing about code/nocode qualifications. I give the author, Paul M.
Segal,
> W9EEA, a lot more consideration than anything you could possibly come
up with
> for defining a "real ham".
>
Please read comment above about the Real Ham's Code, not Morse Code
that is.
```

Hams need MORE license classes.
Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure License Potential)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -811972189629103338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 09:10:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!194.255.56.67!newsfeed101.telia.com!
newsrouter.euroconnect.net!news1.ebone.net!news.ebone.net!diablo.netcom.net.uk!
netcom.net.uk!btnet-peer!btnet!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 17:04:32 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <95rv6n$mht$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A80BAA8.743A0DD6@uci.edu> <20010207060503.03780.00001601@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A816E72.291F04E4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 17:04:32 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14898
```

In article <3A816E72.291F04E4@IEEE.org>,
Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> What is wrong with live and let live?

Some life forms are undeserving consumers of oxygen and a waste
perfectly good DNA.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -731057717600102468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 09:10:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 17:03:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <95rv4d$mgh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.228.120
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 17:03:16 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 165.121.228.120
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9138
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12092 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14900 rec.radio.cb:25489

>All this means the fcc going to
> be forced to do more enforcement to control these assholes and that
going to
> hurt all of us.
>
> I wish there were an organization in America that would work to
improve ham
> radio, licensing, and improve the level of expertise of its

operators.
>
>
Congratulations you figured it out....to continue.....more enforcement
that then forces the FCC to decide to terminate ham radio because it is
out of control.

Wonder how much money 75 meters will bring?

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5749554416827635562
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 09:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dca1-hub1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: jason_hsu@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 17:05:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <95rv8k$mjo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <951hh9$tpu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.172.42.2
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 17:05:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows NT)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.172.42.2
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDjason_hsu
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14901
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12093

In article <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
<Code Quick probably works well at 5wpm. I could be wrong, but seems to
me, it wouldn't work above about 15wpm and would actually limit one's
maximum code speed.>

Actually, many of the Code Quick users reported passing the 13wpm and
20wpm Morse Code exams before last year's restructuring. Also, many of

the satisfied Code Quick users include people who ran into roadblocks using more conventional methods.

I will personally try moving up to faster Morse Code speeds. Maybe CW will allow me to work the 80m band, as SSB seems to be heavily distorted and/or noise-infested.

--
Jason Hsu, AG4DG
jason_hsu@@@@@@@@@@planetmail.com
http://www.bigfoot.com/~jason_hsu/

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4729547778342419822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 09:21:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 16:21:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <95rsn001g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <95qiri3cu2@enews3.newsguy.com> <20010207060504.03780.00001603@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-821.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14902

In article <20010207060504.03780.00001603@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...

>
>Well, to some, it's a whined about as a "worthless, outdated hazing
>ritual of high-speed code testing." To others, the relatively slow (even
>at 20 WPM) code tests provided the incentive to learn and master a
>useful communications skill in return for increased operating

Useful? Maybe useful for recreational purposes, but certainly not useful when there are far more efficient and reliable communications modes with the technology available today.

>privileges. I guess your mileage varies depending on your attitude

>toward being a fully-capable radio communications hobbyist. If
>all you want to pursue is the easy stuff, then code testing would
>make you, well, testy! It's too bad the requirements easily achieved
>by tens of thousands of people from all walks of life were such a
>problem for you, if that's the case.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

You're so predictable, Larry. I knew you'd make a comment about the poster not passing a code test if a callsign were left.

From 136530666691996899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 09:30:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 17:16:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <95rvsr$n6u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com> <95lcr2$qf$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95mojb$2c5$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95nprn$4fb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p28u122ju@enews4.newsguy.com> <95q8bl$qgl$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhuo2cu2@enews3.newsguy.com> <95rv7q$mi7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 17:16:22 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14903

In article <95rv7q$mi7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:

> How are we proving that real hams must be "abreast of science" by
> reducing technical requirements for Extra class.

Which "technical requirements for Extra class" have been reduced?

Answer: None.

If you can't debate the issues on facts, I suppose it's OK for you to make up arguments to suit your agenda, but of course you risk being exposed as an insubstantial pompous windbag.

Blow on, Robert.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2182256106219729918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 09:52:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 16:35:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com> <95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com> <95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-204.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14904

```
In article <95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>
>> In article <95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>>
>>
>> >> This already was done back in the sixties with the "incentive licensing"
>> plan.
>> >> All it did was piss off a lot of hams who lost priveleges because of it.
>> >
>> >
>> >  Son, you might have a bit of credibility if you'd make your statements
>> >about that which you actually know something about.
>>
>> Don't be so ambiguous. Either prove me wrong or shut up.
>
>
> That sure won't be hard to do: I am living evidence that you have no
>clue as to what you're talking abouit.

Let me get this straight: Are you saying that just because you are happy,
content, and all hunky-dorey, that there were not other hams who were vehement
about losing priveleges to so-called incentive licensing? If this is the case,
then you need a serious reality check.



From -7324932362395720192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 10:20:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 10:17:29 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3A819139.8ECBFDC5@uci.edu>
References: <3A80BAA8.743A0DD6@uci.edu> <20010207060503.03780.00001601@nso-
ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14905

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3A80BAA8.743A0DD6@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >
> >From looking over the question pools Larry, the scope of material is the
> >same as it was before restructuring. How would increasing the number of
> >steps on the ladder increase the height of the ladder? Are you
> >proposing an Extra Cum Laude or something? Is that something you need?
> >I know I certainly don't.
>
> Jim:
>
> If there were such a thing as an "Extra Cum Laude," then I'm the kind
> of person who would just go out and achieve it -- without whining about
> it.

Me too. But I just can't think of anything that would be gained by it -
other than being able to say 'I'm better than you are'. I know that's
the sort of thing that appeals to some people. I just don't think that
government needs to get into that kind of business. The FCC administers
radio spectrum, not academic programs.

> My question for you is -- what do you have against striving to
> achieve a lofty goal?

What indication do you have that I don't strive to achieve lofty goals,
Larry?
I just don't feel obliged to demand the same thing of others that I
demand for myself. I figure I've got a better shot at achieving my
goals if I spend less time worrying about the other guy, and more time
concentrating on my own situation. Furthermore, I don't need the
government telling me what I need to do in the way of self-improvement.
I'm sorry if you do.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 6634658146009346
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 10:30:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 10:27:13 -0800

```
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-
fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14907

Brian wrote:
> In article <3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu>,
>   Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> > Brian wrote:
> > > Perhaps "the pendulum is swinging,"

> > Same thing.  Ever actually SEE a balance scale, Brian?

> Yes, on Miami Vice.

Well then, that'd make you quite the expert on such things.

> > > or the
> > > actuarial tables have been invoked.

> > Hmmmm, that's profound.  How does one "invoke" a table?

> By dying on time.

The table says that some people die before they're 40, Brian.  Would
that be timely enough for you? ;-)


From -7783963678169123847
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 10:30:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 10:22:09 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
```

```
mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14906

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Jim Kelley apparently wants to smokescreen the whole thread
> by concentrating on certain phrases to "prove his point" (which
> was not really explained except in trying to provoke something
> about phrase choices.  :-)

It's not a smokescreen to point out that what you said contradicted the
facts you presented.  I can't help it if you didn't say what you meant.

jim



From 8097308718190634880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 10:32:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone1.ba-dsg.net!typhoon2.ba-dsg.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Reply-To: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3a8193d3.8547214@news5.bellatlantic.net>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95qgub0cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 14
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:32:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 138.89.89.112
X-Complaints-To: newsadmin@bellatlantic.net
```

```
X-Trace: typhoon2.ba-dsg.net 981570749 138.89.89.112 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 13:32:29
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 13:32:29 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9142
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12095 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14908 rec.radio.cb:25502
rec.radio.scanner:13447



>>
>>I wish there were an organization in America that would work to improve ham
>>radio, licensing, and  improve the level of expertise of its operators.
>
>
>What, exactly, did this person do that was so stupid? Did he say "10-4" instead
>of "QSL"? There's nothing illegal about that, you know.
>
>...and did you do anything to try to steer them in the right direction, or does
>it just make you feel better if you make posts, bitching and moaning about the
>state of amateur radio?
>
Yes, and all of this being done ON A SCANNER NEWSGROUP!!!!!



From -3781099209450610179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 10:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-xfer.siscom.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 12:37:11 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A8195D7.9337C279@IEEE.org>
References: <951hh9$tpu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org>
<95rv8k$mjo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.f4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 7 Feb 2001 18:46:52 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14909
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12096

jason_hsu@my-deja.com wrote:
```

> Actually, many of the Code Quick users reported passing the 13wpm and
> 20wpm Morse Code exams before last year's restructuring.

If they can think something like 'Clunky Clunky' when they hear a 'C'
in a word at 20wpm, they think a lot faster than I. At 20wpm, I would
consider 'Clunky Clunky' to be extremely distracting and limiting.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 7458852324467022263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 10:50:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 10:49:39 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14910
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > What's wrong with the incentive of being able to work DX in the
> > > > lower 25 kHz?

> > > Why, when they can clog it up at 5wpm?

> > Now you're worried about too many CW ops?

> No one wants to trip over them and hurt them.

Then I guess that means you ARE worried about there being too many CW
ops.

Anybody got any ideas on how to cut down on the number of CW ops for
poor ol' Dick here?

ac6xg

From -2299873470860624859
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fd21f33cd57038
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 11:30:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!feed.cgocable.net!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95pon0$quh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95ppsa$s42$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: ROTMFFLMMFAO!
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <hnhg6.108$HH5.794056@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 14:28:45 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981574221 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 14:30:21 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 14:30:21 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14911

K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

> It's a Nokia, and OH2BH is a VP there. Brian is gonna be ticked!
> Klose and Stevenson, et. al. are gonna take 'em straight to the
> ITU and put a stop to this pro-code crap in the Cell Phone business.

I don't think they will have a problem with Morse code use in the Cellular Service. They would only raise objections if people had to be tested on Morse code decoding before they could use a Cell phone.

I thought I heard Morse code emmanating from a cell phone while I was stranded at the Pittsburg airport earlier this week. Nice to know it was not my imagination. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8710468207924503655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 11:37:32 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95m92h$lv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205132120.00445.00001527@nso-bj.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <_thg6.109$HH5.794616@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 14:35:55 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981574650 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 14:37:30 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 14:37:30 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14912

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> Code-tested licensees are DIMINISHING in number. The
> trend is there, visible to all except those who wear blinders
> of old-time CW radio.

> Non-code-tested licensees are INCREASING in number.

Tech+ licensees are being renewed as Tech. They are being counted as
"non-coded" in the stats you publish.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5380062624168141563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 11:50:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 11:42:06 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine

```
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3A81A50E.61D1598E@uci.edu>
References: <95j0bq$9p2$0@208.206.142.68>
<29520-3A7D94B4-10@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165> <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146>
<3a80d959.1153399@news.mindspring.com> <95qn8u$n6n$0@208.207.71.168>
<3A80E0E8.C246568E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14913
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So you don't believe that radiotelegraphy is the most simple, easily
> > implemented means of radio communication yet devised?
>
> It may be but that's not a good reason to make it a governmental
> requirement. What is wrong with a free choice of modes in a hobby
> in a free country? What is wrong with live and let live?

I think that a 2 meter HT is the simplest form of amateur
communication. And, I think there's reason for code to be a part of
amateur testing.

> What is wrong with live and let live?

There's a few things wrong with it. Foremost being that it isn't
universally applicapble.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From 70225338645248601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 11:53:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 19:16:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 23
```

```
Message-ID: <95s6uq01si5@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-584.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14914

In article <3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > > > What's wrong with the incentive of being able to work DX in the
>> > > > lower 25 kHz?
>
>> > >  Why, when they can clog it up at 5wpm?
>
>> > Now you're worried about too many CW ops?
>
>> No one wants to trip over them and hurt them.
>
>Then I guess that means you ARE worried about there being too many CW
>ops.
>
>Anybody got any ideas on how to cut down on the number of CW ops for
>poor ol' Dick here?
>
>ac6xg

All you have to do is wait. Judging from the ages of most CW ops, a lot of them
will be silent keys within the next ten years.



From -4919973476471132914
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 12:10:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 12:01:52 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3A81A9B0.24B1260B@uci.edu>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
```

```
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <95s6uq01si5@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14916

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:

> In article <3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
> >
> >Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> > > > What's wrong with the incentive of being able to work DX in the
> >> > > > lower 25 kHz?
> >
> >> > >  Why, when they can clog it up at 5wpm?
> >
> >> > Now you're worried about too many CW ops?
> >
> >> No one wants to trip over them and hurt them.
> >
> >Then I guess that means you ARE worried about there being too many CW
> >ops.
> >
> >Anybody got any ideas on how to cut down on the number of CW ops for
> >poor ol' Dick here?

> All you have to do is wait. Judging from the ages of most CW ops, a lot of them
> will be silent keys within the next ten years.

That's nice, T.L.  It was more of a rhetorical question.  But I guess I
asked for it.

acxg



From 8343971769695004738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 12:48:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 14:38:55 -0600
```

Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.ae
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 7 Feb 2001 20:48:35 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14917

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Anybody got any ideas on how to cut down on the number of CW ops for
> poor ol' Dick here?

Raise the Morse code requirement for the lower 25kHz to 100wpm?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8420220906536213003
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 12:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!
newsfeed2.skycache.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!nntp.abs.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 20:35:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <95sbij$3ad$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95m92h$lv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205132120.00445.00001527@nso-bj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 20:35:31 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14918

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Code-tested licensees declined by 9,531 while non-code-tested

> licensees increased by 13,132

You cannot determine that from the statistics at AH0A.

Some of the "growth" of the Technican class license consists of code-tested licensees.

Since the April restructuring of last year, all code-tested Tech Plus who renew are being renewed as simply "Technician". Thus the "Technician" column now contains roughly 10% (minus attrition and upgrades) of licensees from the old "Technician Plus" ranks.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1395130291889808974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 12:50:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 20:45:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <95sc4a$3rk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.128
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 20:45:01 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)

X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.128
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14919

In article <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

>It's not a smokescreen to point out that what you said contradicted the
>facts you presented. I can't help it if you didn't say what you meant.

And we're surprised by this...WHY...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ
--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4919776834875655083
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 12:51:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 19:58:15 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 99
Message-ID: <95s9cn02ttj@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com> <95lcr2$qf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95mojb$2c5$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95nprn$4fb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95p28u122ju@enews4.newsguy.com> <95q8bl$qgl$0@208.207.71.146>
<95ruck$lr2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-956.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14920

In article <95ruck$lr2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, w2ilp@juno.com says...
>
>In article <95q8bl$qgl$0@208.207.71.146>,
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>> >
>> > Ye Olde Fahrtz have been preaching the concept of a "real ham" for
>years.
>If present trends continue the only Hams left may be Olde Fartz.
>Even if there are one Megafartz of old hams what will that mean if
>there are no new young hams to replace them in the future???

I suppose the FCC will auction the spectrum off to the highest bidder.

>
>> > Despite this narrow-mindedness, the class of license doesn't prove
>whether or not the holder of said license is a "real ham".
>
>IMHO the narrow-mindered are those who only consider their own
>interests and could care less about the survival of Ham Radio.

Which, from my experience, is about 90% of all licensed amateurs.

>> No, but it beats hell all out of any nocode qualification seen to
>date.
>
>I have recently joined an e-mail group for QCWA members (or old farts
>as some might say). The QCWA and its chapter clubs are struggling with
>the problem of decreased active membership and not enough new members
>to replace the silent keys...just as most of the ham clubs, who are
>honest about it, are ready to admit. I would bet that the membership
>in most ARRL affiliated ham clubs is also decreasing or will soon be
>decreasing. Some QCWA leaders want to open up membership to all hams
>who have not yet met the 25 year requirement. This is not unlike the
>Ham leaders who have been continuing to press for simplified ham
>license requirements. The trouble is that such changes can only lead
>to further disinterest and active participation in ham radio by those
>whop have previously qualified. It also leads to a loss of
>PRIDE...even a loss of prestige for ham radio as a hobby. People who
>might be considering becoming hams can not be positively influenced to
>enter a hobby which can not retain the kind of pride it has had in the
>past.
>
>The USA and world cultures are changing. In the 1950s people thought

>that TV would take up so much of peoples' time that they wouldn't be
>active in clubs and hobbies. It didn't have as much of an effect as
>was feared on ham radio. BUT...today there are more options for people
>who are interested in using technology as a hobby. Unlike TV, but like
>Ham Radio, The Internet and cellular phones are interactive..That is,
>they are two way communication systems which offer the ability to make
>new friends and discuss stuff with individuals and with groups
>worldwide..and to get and give opinions and education about any matter
>that might be of interest, technical or not. Today's young techie
>nerds, hackers and gurus are as interested in computers as we must have
>been interested in Ham Radio. They think that Ham Radio is just for
>old farts. They might join a computer club to learn more about their
>opertating systems or to swap software...but they won't have any time
>left for a Ham Club.

What the hell do you expect? Ham radio has stagnated since influx of the computer era. Nothing was done to rise to the challange. The Ancient Radio Relay League insisted on keeping high-speed code testing as a measure of someone worthy to receive a license. Commercial networks upgraded to 2400, 9600, 28.8k, and 56k througout the 90s, while packet radio was stuck at a pitiful 1200 baud.

>My opinion is if you want ham radio to survive and to eventually
>interest more people you have to make it more technically educational;
>not easier to advance to "Extra" class. Ham Radio is far behind modern
>communication technology and must be advanced to the relative point
>where it was in 1950. To do this we need more higher level technical
>requirements for the higher level hams...although we still need easy
>entry requirements to help get youngsters started. This is in
>opposition to the present ARRL policy. The ARRL leaders can not seem
>to get it into their heads that to attract new members and to keep some
>present hams active...you have to give some PRIDE back to all Hams, by
>encouraging those who want to, and can qualify for advanced modern
>technical ham ability (as real hams, if I may), to take more and better
>high level technical exams. Forget high speed CW, but make high
>technology the mark of a real Ham....If not the goal...If not just the
>BASIS anmd PURPOSE of the whole hobby!
>
>Hams need more license classes.
>
>Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure License Potential)

For someone who wants to pursue a career in communication technology, ham radio might serve as a springboard for that career. Even so, the drive and ambition to achieve technical knowlege will be there without the need for more license classes. Ham radio isn't for everybody.

From 995452616536185409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 13:30:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 13:23:33 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14921
```

Cecil wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Anybody got any ideas on how to cut down on the number of CW ops for
> > poor ol' Dick here?

> Raise the Morse code requirement for the lower 25kHz to 100wpm?

I knew you guys would come up with some great ideas. But I think that
nothing short of a federally mandated 'no lids, no kids, no space
cadets' requirement would satisfy the malcontents out here.

ac6xg

```
From 8006703158217204131
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f8d79,9d810f1943643ed0,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8d79,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9d810f1943643ed0,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9d810f1943643ed0,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 13:40:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!
newspeer.monmouth.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
```

mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test
Subject: Re: Nomination for Troll of the month. Re: West Virginia farm boy comes of age
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 21:25:32 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <95sefv$69i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.150.29.129
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 21:25:32 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/5.05 [subdimension.com] (X11; I; Linux 2.4.34 i886)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.150.29.129
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12102
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14922 alt.test:76719

"Stagger Lee" <SLee@houston.net> wrote in message news:95sa1u$8v7
$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> On Wed, 07 Feb 2001 01:52:46 GMT, KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
wrote:
<post editing corrected>
> : Too bad he plagiarized it..
>
> What is "plaglarized"?

Bad post editing, Staggering Peebrain.....or is it your ignorance
showing as usual?
Poor college dropout after 1 1/2 semesters.......which is it, post-edit
or typo pounce?
You useless piece of shit....

pla*gia*rise (v.t., v.i.) to steal (the language, ideas, or thoughts)
from another, representing them as
one's own original work.

That clear it up for you, strichjunge?

> I tried sounding it out ("pee lag larry zed")

A method your Severe Learning Disabled class had to learn, no?

> but still couldn't make sense of it.

The things You couln't make sense out of could fill the Encyclopedia
Brittanica, Shitling.

> Is it something you guys do in the dark in Glendale, WV?

It's something we do to your WHORE momma, after she sucks and swallows
all of the trucker cum down at the interstate truck stop, fuckwit. Lee,
you're so fucking stupid, you try to pay for drive-thru tacos with a

fucking check and you call 411 to get the number for information.

> Gimme a hint.

When you die, you should have your brain donated to science. I hear they're trying to come up with the perfect vacuum.Now, get back to your Twinkie before it melts, tubshitter. And no puking tonight. You're only damaging your stomach and giving yourself bad breath, bucktoothed CHOAD.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 97565943201057277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 13:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 16:46:46 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t83gklsrh99ca1@corp.supernews.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 48
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9148 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12103 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14923 rec.radio.cb:25547

rec.radio.scanner:13457

Havent operated on the old ham bands for a couple years now. I keep the license up to date, but there are just too many idiots on the air for it to be anywhere near enjoyable any more. don`t worry. the FCC is selling them off piece by piece anyway. Ham radio has had its day, and the ARRL and FCC let it go down the drain. It is now going to die a well - deserved death, and leave us all in peace. We still have the internet, and we can easily talk round the world on it. I stopped putting up with CB pond scum years ago, and since that is what the Ham Bands are on their way to becoming, I think it derserves equal attention .....

"smiley o'riley" <bummer-radio@licensing.org> wrote in message
news:wouff.hong@goodRadio...
> And the son of a bitch had a restructured ham license. I'll bet the
bastards
> an ARRL member too. Probably got in on the League discount membership
plan.
> All this started me wondering, What make a ham a ham? Is a ham someone
> that's working to improve their knowledge of radio theory, electronics and
> operating skills?
>
> Or is a ham someone with a radio license who doesn't care to improve, who
only
> wants to sit around and talk, act like a CB'er hurting others enjoyment of
the
> service, and not learn anything?
>
> God help us, I'm hearing more and more of these stupid assholes on the
bands
> everyday. They don't know anything either and ask alot of stupid questions
> that if they had been compelled to study the licensing manual alittle more
> thoroughly that know the answers to all their questions. But I guess the
point
> of restructured licenses is to give some fucking idiot a piece of paper
with a
> callsign on it and not require anything more, All this means the fcc
going to
> be forced to do more enforcement to control these assholes and that going
to
> hurt all of us.
>
> I wish there were an organization in America that would work to improve
ham
> radio, licensing, and improve the level of expertise of its operators.
>
>

From -6922682770937204263

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,7bcef1705b904361
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:00:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: The REAL N8WWM, not the trashy fake., <N8WWM@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: REAL POSTING AND THE FINAL WORD
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 21:50:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 76
Message-ID: <95sfu5$7k4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
<94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94q9oi$a7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.240.221.81
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 21:50:01 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/3.0 WebTV/1.2 (compatible; MSIE 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.240.221.81
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDfatandgayn8wwm
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14924 rec.radio.cb:25559

In article <94q9oi$a7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  fatandgayn8wwm@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > This is not true. N8WWM the poster is NOT N8WWM the person.
> > To prove this, I propose a test. At QRZ.com there is a page for every
> > member that you can put personal information on. The REAL N8WWM will
> > change the page by putting a new first sentence in. Go there now to see
> > the original page. I challenge the fake n8wwm@my-deja.com or
> > N8WWM@yahoo.com to do that. Of course it will be impossible. If you
> > email n8wwm@arrl.net, within 24 hours of 2200Zulu on 1-25-01, the REAL
> > N8WWM will send you the exact wording of what that first sentence will
> > be. AFTER 2200Z on 1-26-01 the this address right here will post the
> > sentence change in the profile on QRZ.
> > The ONLY valid address for N8WWM that has his callsign in it is
> > N8WWM@arrl.net. The FAKE N8WWM can't change that.
> >
> > In article <94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   N8WWM@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > In article <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > >   www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com> wrote:
```

> > > > N8WWM does post to newsgroups, but is not identified by his name or
> > > > callsign in his email address.
> > > > The individual posting to newsgroups as N8WWM is not him.
> > > >
> > > > Just so you know.
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > > http://www.deja.com/
> > > >
> > >
> > > www.kp82.com does not know enough about N8WWM to make this claim. He
> > just
> > > began posting to newsgroups and is not identified by a email address
> > or name.
> > > He has mistakenly been identified several times as me. While we share
> > some
> > > views, I have always posted under my call because I have nothing to
> > hide,
> > > unlike you ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS! Insist on the original.
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> > >
> >
> > --
> > http://members.theglobe.com/keyclownzsuk/EXP_SITE_PERSONAL_2/
> > http://www.geocities.com/antikeyclown/1mangayboy_and_NeboDweeb.html
> > http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
> > http://humor.easynews.com/
> > http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/dweebrain.jpg
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> No longer neccessary doug. I concede. I made my point. Just had to show
> someone in here that doubted who you were. Had to prove it. I'm gone!
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

I proved you were a phony before. This confirms it. The ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS from rec.radio.cb have gotten me booted from several isps. All because I retaliate to their childish ways. Its ok for them to threaten me with physical harm, but if I say anything back they make me look like the bad guy, even some hams have sided with these criminals. I have no use for criminals. Check out my webpages of the ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS. I will not stop and you can't do shit about it!

The REAL N8WWM., not the trashy fake!

```
Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -8962229294634132849
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:15:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 22:15:29 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t83i81rnkk7537@news.supernews.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95q3q8$5pm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 13
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9149
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12105 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14925

brianburke@my-deja.com (Brian) wrote in <95q3q8$5pm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>:

>I guess what makes a ham a real ham is the ability to pass judgement on
>whether others have a right to be a ham.  The organization that you're
>looking for is the ARRL.
>

NOT! The ARRL gave up helping ham radio and improving things about two
years ago. From what I see they're not planning to propose making things
better in the future either.  ARRL stratagy is like Outcome Based
education, they don't want quality, only quantity.

KB7ADL


From 7515683499345614351
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:21:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 21:39:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95sfaq08hl@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-878.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14926

In article <3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>
>Cecil wrote:
>
>> Jim Kelley wrote:
>> > Anybody got any ideas on how to cut down on the number of CW ops for
>> > poor ol' Dick here?
>
>> Raise the Morse code requirement for the lower 25kHz to 100wpm?
>
>I knew you guys would come up with some great ideas. But I think that
>nothing short of a federally mandated 'no lids, no kids, no space
>cadets' requirement would satisfy the malcontents out here.
>
>ac6xg

Their screams a going unheard. Technology has left them in the dust. The cliches have changed; the squeeky wheel doesn't get the grease anymore. It gets replaced.

From 13320484121852372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:28:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 22:28:00 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95>

References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com>
<95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109> <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14927

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >>
> >>
> >> >> This already was done back in the sixties with the "incentive licensing"
> >> plan.
> >> >> All it did was piss off a lot of hams who lost priveleges because of it.
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Son, you might have a bit of credibility if you'd make your statements
> >> >about that which you actually know something about.
> >>
> >> Don't be so ambiguous. Either prove me wrong or shut up.
> >
> >
> > That sure won't be hard to do: I am living evidence that you have no
> >clue as to what you're talking abouit.
>
> Let me get this straight: Are you saying that just because you are happy,
> content, and all hunky-dorey, that there were not other hams who were vehement
> about losing priveleges to so-called incentive licensing? If this is the case,
> then you need a serious reality check.

 Lets get **THIS** straight - You said "All it (incentive licensing did
was piss off a lot of hams who lost privileges because of it".
 I was a ham who lost privileges because of it. Rather than let it piss
me off, I, a mail order General class ham, shortly made the 260
miles-one-way trip to the FCC office and came away with an Extra class.
Just as many, many other hams did.
 So, Pal, you don't know what you're talking about. And that surprises
no one.

```
From -5825741222377703789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,7bcef1705b904361
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:30:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!193.162.153.122.MISMATCH!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!
cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: twistedhed@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: A feeble attempt to be intelligent by a CB clown
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 22:23:55 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 103
Message-ID: <95shtg$9mq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
<94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94q9oi$a7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95sfu5$7k4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.223.13
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 22:23:55 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.223.13
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDtwistedhed
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14928 rec.radio.cb:25574

This poster is timnebo@aol.com, not N8WWM.

In article <95sfu5$7k4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  The REAL N8WWM, not the trashy fake., <N8WWM@yahoo.com> wrote:
> In article <94q9oi$a7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   fatandgayn8wwm@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > This is not true. N8WWM the poster is NOT N8WWM the person.
> > > To prove this, I propose a test. At QRZ.com there is a page for
every
> > > member that you can put personal information on. The REAL N8WWM
will
> > > change the page by putting a new first sentence in. Go there now
to see
> > > the original page. I challenge the fake n8wwm@my-deja.com or
> > > N8WWM@yahoo.com to do that. Of course it will be impossible. If
you
```

> > > email n8wwm@arrl.net, within 24 hours of 2200Zulu on 1-25-01, the
REAL
> > > N8WWM will send you the exact wording of what that first sentence
will
> > > be. AFTER 2200Z on 1-26-01 the this address right here will post
the
> > > sentence change in the profile on QRZ.
> > > The ONLY valid address for N8WWM that has his callsign in it is
> > > N8WWM@arrl.net. The FAKE N8WWM can't change that.
> > >
> > > In article <94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > >   N8WWM@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > In article <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > > >   www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > > > N8WWM does post to newsgroups, but is not identified by his
name or
> > > > > callsign in his email address.
> > > > > The individual posting to newsgroups as N8WWM is not him.
> > > > >
> > > > > Just so you know.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > > > http://www.deja.com/
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > www.kp82.com does not know enough about N8WWM to make this
claim. He
> > > just
> > > > began posting to newsgroups and is not identified by a email
address
> > > or name.
> > > > He has mistakenly been identified several times as me. While we
share
> > > some
> > > > views, I have always posted under my call because I have
nothing to
> > > hide,
> > > > unlike you ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS! Insist on the original.
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > > http://www.deja.com/
> > > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > http://members.theglobe.com/keyclownzsuk/EXP_SITE_PERSONAL_2/
> > >
http://www.geocities.com/antikeyclown/1mangayboy_and_NeboDweeb.html
> > > http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
> > > http://humor.easynews.com/
> > > http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/dweebrain.jpg
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/

> > >
> >
> > No longer neccessary doug. I concede. I made my point. Just had to
show
> > someone in here that doubted who you were. Had to prove it. I'm
gone!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> I proved you were a phony before. This confirms it. The ILLEGAL FAGGOT
> KEYCLOWNS from rec.radio.cb have gotten me booted from several isps.
All
> because I retaliate to their childish ways. Its ok for them to
threaten me
> with physical harm, but if I say anything back they make me look like
the bad
> guy, even some hams have sided with these criminals. I have no use for
> criminals. Check out my webpages of the ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS. I
will not
> stop and you can't do shit about it!
>
>   The REAL N8WWM., not the trashy fake!
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4341714840766718886
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:39:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 22:39:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <95sirg$8co$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <95s6uq01si5@enews2.newsguy.com>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14929



"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
> >
> >Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> > > > What's wrong with the incentive of being able to work DX in the
> >> > > > lower 25 kHz?
> >
> >> > >  Why, when they can clog it up at 5wpm?
> >
> >> > Now you're worried about too many CW ops?
> >
> >> No one wants to trip over them and hurt them.
> >
> >Then I guess that means you ARE worried about there being too many CW
> >ops.
> >
> >Anybody got any ideas on how to cut down on the number of CW ops for
> >poor ol' Dick here?
> >
> >ac6xg
>
> All you have to do is wait. Judging from the ages of most CW ops, a lot of them
> will be silent keys within the next ten years.

 Well you can always wish. There is more and more CW activity as time
goes by, instead of less. If you were interested enough to listen you'd
know that. And lots of young people on CW as well. Yesterday I worked a
24year old CW op who had been licensed since age 13. His calsign suffix
was even "CW".
 I know, that goes against your wishes but that's surely not the only
thing that goes against your wishes. Wishing just won't make it come
true. Sorry.


From -5354733414554277811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:40:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
```

From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 14:38:37 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A81CE6D.89829ADB@uci.edu>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sfaq08hl@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14930

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> Their screams a going unheard. Technology has left them in the dust. The
> cliches have changed; the squeeky wheel doesn't get the grease anymore. It gets
> replaced.

And now we've got folks who couldn't fix a squeeky wheel if their life
depended on it.

From 2872209085059420923
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:44:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 22:44:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95sj4p$8co$1@208.206.142.95>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14931

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
>
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > Anybody got any ideas on how to cut down on the number of CW ops for
> > > poor ol' Dick here?
>
> > Raise the Morse code requirement for the lower 25kHz to 100wpm?
>
> I knew you guys would come up with some great ideas. But I think that
> nothing short of a federally mandated 'no lids, no kids, no space
> cadets' requirement would satisfy the malcontents out here.
>

You couldn't be more wrong, and it is really curious how you managed to
come to that conclusion. There's littlein ham radio I enjoy more than a
CW QSO with a young ham, and his code speed is not an issueat all.

From -4355512353025628172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:47:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 22:47:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <95sj9d$8co$2@208.206.142.95>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sfaq08hl@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14932



"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
> >
> >Cecil wrote:
> >
> >> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >> > Anybody got any ideas on how to cut down on the number of CW ops for
> >> > poor ol' Dick here?
> >
> >> Raise the Morse code requirement for the lower 25kHz to 100wpm?
> >
> >I knew you guys would come up with some great ideas.  But I think that
> >nothing short of a federally mandated 'no lids, no kids, no space
> >cadets' requirement would satisfy the malcontents out here.
> >
> >ac6xg
>
> Their screams a going unheard. Technology has left them in the dust. The
> cliches have changed; the squeeky wheel doesn't get the grease anymore. It gets
> replaced.


 It's plain as day- you have no clue. You haven't had even a receiver on
the ham bands lately, if ever.



From 782917965778509142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,7bcef1705b904361
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:50:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: twistedhed@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: A feeble attempt to be intelligent by a CB clown
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 22:35:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 103
Message-ID: <95sijb$abi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
```

```
<94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94q9oi$a7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95sfu5$7k4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.223.13
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 22:35:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.223.13
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDtwistedhed
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14933 rec.radio.cb:25579

This poster is timnebo@aol.com, not N8WWM.

In article <95sfu5$7k4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  The REAL N8WWM, not the trashy fake., <N8WWM@yahoo.com> wrote:
> In article <94q9oi$a7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   fatandgayn8wwm@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > This is not true. N8WWM the poster is NOT N8WWM the person.
> > > To prove this, I propose a test. At QRZ.com there is a page for
every
> > > member that you can put personal information on. The REAL N8WWM
will
> > > change the page by putting a new first sentence in. Go there now
to see
> > > the original page. I challenge the fake n8wwm@my-deja.com or
> > > N8WWM@yahoo.com to do that. Of course it will be impossible. If
you
> > > email n8wwm@arrl.net, within 24 hours of 2200Zulu on 1-25-01, the
REAL
> > > N8WWM will send you the exact wording of what that first sentence
will
> > > be. AFTER 2200Z on 1-26-01 the this address right here will post
the
> > > sentence change in the profile on QRZ.
> > > The ONLY valid address for N8WWM that has his callsign in it is
> > > N8WWM@arrl.net. The FAKE N8WWM can't change that.
> > >
> > > In article <94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > >   N8WWM@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > In article <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > > >   www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > > > N8WWM does post to newsgroups, but is not identified by his
name or
> > > > > callsign in his email address.
> > > > > The individual posting to newsgroups as N8WWM is not him.
> > > > >
> > > > > Just so you know.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > > > http://www.deja.com/
> > > > >
> > > >
```

> > > > www.kp82.com does not know enough about N8WWM to make this
claim. He
> > > just
> > > > began posting to newsgroups and is not identified by a email
address
> > > or name.
> > > > He has mistakenly been identified several times as me. While we
share
> > > some
> > > > views, I have always posted under my call because I have
nothing to
> > > hide,
> > > > unlike you ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS! Insist on the original.
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > > http://www.deja.com/
> > > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > http://members.theglobe.com/keyclownzsuk/EXP_SITE_PERSONAL_2/
> > >
http://www.geocities.com/antikeyclown/1mangayboy_and_NeboDweeb.html
> > > http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
> > > http://humor.easynews.com/
> > > http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/dweebrain.jpg
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> > >
> >
> > No longer neccessary doug. I concede. I made my point. Just had to
show
> > someone in here that doubted who you were. Had to prove it. I'm
gone!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> I proved you were a phony before. This confirms it. The ILLEGAL FAGGOT
> KEYCLOWNS from rec.radio.cb have gotten me booted from several isps.
All
> because I retaliate to their childish ways. Its ok for them to
threaten me
> with physical harm, but if I say anything back they make me look like
the bad
> guy, even some hams have sided with these criminals. I have no use for
> criminals. Check out my webpages of the ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS. I
will not
> stop and you can't do shit about it!
>
>   The REAL N8WWM., not the trashy fake!
>

> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -321807589743035137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:50:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: twistedhed@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: A feeble attempt to be intelligent by a CB clown
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 22:35:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 103
Message-ID: <95sik6$ac1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
<94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<94q9oi$a7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95sfu5$7k4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.223.13
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 22:35:50 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.223.13
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDtwistedhed
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14934
```

This poster is timnebo@aol.com, not N8WWM.

In article <95sfu5$7k4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  The REAL N8WWM, not the trashy fake., <N8WWM@yahoo.com> wrote:
> In article <94q9oi$a7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   fatandgayn8wwm@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <94q8qm$9fh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > This is not true. N8WWM the poster is NOT N8WWM the person.
> > > To prove this, I propose a test. At QRZ.com there is a page for
every
> > > member that you can put personal information on. The REAL N8WWM
will
> > > change the page by putting a new first sentence in. Go there now

```
to see
> > > the original page. I challenge the fake n8wwm@my-deja.com or
> > > N8WWM@yahoo.com to do that. Of course it will be impossible. If
you
> > > email n8wwm@arrl.net, within 24 hours of 2200Zulu on 1-25-01, the
REAL
> > > N8WWM will send you the exact wording of what that first sentence
will
> > > be. AFTER 2200Z on 1-26-01 the this address right here will post
the
> > > sentence change in the profile on QRZ.
> > > The ONLY valid address for N8WWM that has his callsign in it is
> > > N8WWM@arrl.net. The FAKE N8WWM can't change that.
> > >
> > > In article <94q7os$8e0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > >   N8WWM@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > In article <94q46d$4tb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > > >   www.kp82.com <kp82@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > > > N8WWM does post to newsgroups, but is not identified by his
name or
> > > > > callsign in his email address.
> > > > > The individual posting to newsgroups as N8WWM is not him.
> > > > >
> > > > > Just so you know.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > > > http://www.deja.com/
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > www.kp82.com does not know enough about N8WWM to make this
claim. He
> > > just
> > > > began posting to newsgroups and is not identified by a email
address
> > > or name.
> > > > He has mistakenly been identified several times as me. While we
share
> > > some
> > > > views, I have always posted under my call because I have
nothing to
> > > hide,
> > > > unlike you ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS! Insist on the original.
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > > http://www.deja.com/
> > > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > http://members.theglobe.com/keyclownzsuk/EXP_SITE_PERSONAL_2/
> > >
http://www.geocities.com/antikeyclown/1mangayboy_and_NeboDweeb.html
> > > http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
> > > http://humor.easynews.com/
> > > http://www.geocities.com/keyclownslappa/dweebrain.jpg
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> > >
> >
> > No longer neccessary doug. I concede. I made my point. Just had to
show
> > someone in here that doubted who you were. Had to prove it. I'm
gone!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> I proved you were a phony before. This confirms it. The ILLEGAL FAGGOT
> KEYCLOWNS from rec.radio.cb have gotten me booted from several isps.
All
> because I retaliate to their childish ways. Its ok for them to
threaten me
> with physical harm, but if I say anything back they make me look like
the bad
> guy, even some hams have sided with these criminals. I have no use for
> criminals. Check out my webpages of the ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS. I
will not
> stop and you can't do shit about it!
>
>    The REAL N8WWM., not the trashy fake!
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -7841919116376956l1
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:51:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
```

From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: 7 Feb 2001 22:13:54 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <95shb202gvr@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83gklsrh99ca1@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-218.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9150
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12109 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14935 rec.radio.cb:25582
rec.radio.scanner:13458

In article <t83gklsrh99ca1@corp.supernews.com>, zmbwf@acadia.net says...
>
>Havent operated on the old ham bands for a couple years now. I keep the
>license up to date, but there are just too many idiots on the air for it to
>be anywhere near enjoyable any more. don`t worry. the FCC is selling them
>off piece by piece anyway. Ham radio has had its day, and the ARRL and FCC
>let it go down the drain. It is now going to die a well - deserved death,
>and leave us all in peace. We still have the internet, and we can easily
>talk round the world on it. I stopped putting up with CB pond scum years
>ago, and since that is what the Ham Bands are on their way to becoming, I
>think it derserves equal attention .....

The downslide began well before the current license testing scheme was put into effect. If the bands are sold off, so be it. I'll take my entire share of the profits of such a sale down to the corner Starbucks and by myself tall caramel macchiato.

From 9125499016185754162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:53:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>
<956o9t$74g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7704BE.E71F049F@arrl.org>
<95adgt$g4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>
<95g9k5$ha8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7C0F5B.2158175B@arrl.org>
<95o28u$bcg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING

Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <wlkg6.114$HH5.811627@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 17:51:32 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981586396 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 17:53:16 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 17:53:16 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14936

Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:
> Some of the "experts" who talk about what are considered RF hazard
> levels are no more scientific than old grandmothers who think that
> chicken soup will cure any illness.

I know most of the members of the IEEE SCC-28, Bob; their expertise is much less like chicken soup than some of the posts in this thread, yours and mine included.

I do not believe that you are qualified to pass judgement on their expertise, nor do I believe that you know anything about their expertise than what your imagination tells you might be true.

<chicken soup analysis deleted>

> I admit that I dunno at this point in time... nor does N9GL. Let us
> leave it at that.

Can you tell us what you believe to be lacking in N9GL's CV that makes you conclude that he is not qualified to make the statements that you "dunno?"
If it helps, see:

http://www.arrl.org/rfsafety/index.html
http://www.arrl.org/rfsafety/whoweare.html

and for Mr Lapin personally, see:

http://www.arrl.org/rfsafety/n9gl_cv.html

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3768820195637852207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public

X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 14:59:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "h" <not@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:01:46 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 48
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9151
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12110 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14937 rec.radio.cb:25584
rec.radio.scanner:13460

If he had a license he is a HAM not a CB'er
Frankly Freebanders are like the Hams of old. If you look at the FCC
enforcement logs The Hams are out of control and need enforcement while
Freebanders are mature and working to improver thier knowledge, save lives,
promote freedom and democracy, and gernerally aid and improve the geneneral
welfare or thier comunities.

--
Sincerely,
Ken
pwrguy@intergrafix.net
"smiley o'riley" <bummer-radio@licensing.org> wrote in message
news:wouff.hong@goodRadio...
> And the son of a bitch had a restructured ham license. I'll bet the
bastards
> an ARRL member too. Probably got in on the League discount membership
plan.
> All this started me wondering, What make a ham a ham? Is a ham someone
> that's working to improve their knowledge of radio theory, electronics and
> operating skills?
>
> Or is a ham someone with a radio license who doesn't care to improve, who
only

> wants to sit around and talk, act like a CB'er hurting others enjoyment of
the
> service, and not learn anything?
>
> God help us, I'm hearing more and more of these stupid assholes on the
bands
> everyday. They don't know anything either and ask alot of stupid questions
> that if they had been compelled to study the licensing manual alittle more
> thoroughly that know the answers to all their questions. But I guess the
point
> of restructured licenses is to give some fucking idiot a piece of paper
with a
> callsign on it and not require anything more, All this means the fcc
going to
> be forced to do more enforcement to control these assholes and that going
to
> hurt all of us.
>
> I wish there were an organization in America that would work to improve
ham
> radio, licensing, and improve the level of expertise of its operators.
>
>

From -3636119870628133038
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 15:02:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68>
<3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org> <95j18u$btb$0@208.206.142.68>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <rukg6.115$HH5.812522@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:01:04 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981586967 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:02:47 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:02:47 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14938

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > I'm telling you, Dick, if you don't learn DSP techniques, you are going
> > to be a technically obsolete/ignorant ham in the not too distant future.
> > All filtering and detection functions will soon be done with DSP.

> ***NO***!!! Maybe *most* newly manufactured SSB and some other gear
> will incorporate DSP functions. Not homebrew nor kitted gear which is
> becoming so popular with so many hams these days. Your statement is
> simply untrue. Stop trying to remake the ARS to your own personal
> specifications.

Cecil is not the one remaking technology, Dick; the technological world is evolving. IMHO, the very survival of our Service could depend on the number of hams who learn enough about new technology to stay relevant. Our survival may also depend on the number of hams who say "***NO***!!!" to learning that new technology, too.

Riley Hollingsworth has been telling hams that we should not let Amateur Radio become obsolete under our watch. What do you think he means by that and why do you think he is saying that to his fellow hams?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5003568965913940712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 15:04:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95i563$k78$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010204113620.29597.00005575@nso-mi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 12

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <owkg6.116$HH5.812768@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:03:08 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981587092 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:04:52 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:04:52 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14939
```

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> Well, then, when the "noise floor" reaches the ceiling, I hope you enjoy
> the challenge of operating CW among all those high-powered, over-
> compressed, over processed phone signals!

Doesn't CW get through when nothing else will? ;-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7265010557919659869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 15:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A7E2A65.10D7D804@IEEE.org> <20010206214215.00714.00005654@nso-
md.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <vxkg6.117$HH5.812673@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:04:13 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981587163 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:06:03 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:06:03 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14940
```

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> >- . -.   ..-. --- ..- .-.   --. ..- -..   -... ..- -.. -.. -.--

> Since I never served in the Navy, and have always used the Morse/CW
> in its aural form, you're gonna have to send this as a .WAV file so I
> can copy it properly.

Are you really saying that you can't piece that together? :-)

73.
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9142926669941450518
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 15:16:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com> <95lcr2$qf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 42
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <PGkg6.118$HH5.813653@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:14:15 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981587759 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:15:59 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:15:59 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14941

Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:

>> I don't find myself feeling limited by the number of
>> license classes in the ARS.

> Maybe that is because YOU are not meeting the Basis and Purpose of Ham
> Radio yourself.

I have not been limited by the number of license classes in the ARS; the
things I have done within Amateur Radio have exceeded the scope of any exam

I have ever taken. Do you believe that I am not meeting the basis and
purpose of ham radio?

> > You want that type of license=respect, then get a college
> > degree or a tech school certificate.

> I have a BSEE...but when I did not have a BSEE my ham license meant
> more to some employers than a BSEE because I had been able to show that
> as a Ham I had been able to build my own equipment from scratch.

I would hold a ham who had a demonstrated ability to construct his or her
own equipment over a BSEE for most electronics technician jobs. I would
hold an experienced electronics technician over such a ham, though.

Are you now proposing that hams be tested on their ability to build their
own equipment from scratch?

> <snip> My answer is by drastically changing their attitudes.

> past)...BUT let it not be too late to attract new hams into our ranks
> by offering more modern challenging exams that can not only give more
> pride but can prove more value.

If the unlimited challenges of being permitted to do all the things you feel
hams "must" be tested for is not enough, you vision of chaning the attitudes
of all these potential newcomers is just a pipe dream.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 4409351612151017940
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 15:20:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: t glenn <ab7rs@arrl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
```

Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 23:06:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <95skdi$c1c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95q3q8$5pm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.175.235
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 23:06:33 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98; Win 9x 4.90)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.175.235
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDteglenn
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9152
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12112 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14942 rec.radio.cb:25592

In article <95q3q8$5pm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >
> > I wish there were an organization in America that would work to
> improve ham
> > radio, licensing, and improve the level of expertise of its
> operators.

The organization that you're looking for is the ARRL.
>

The ARRL is the problem...not the solution.--
AB7RS,Tracy

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1779761758741419758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 15:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a7df549.9808660@news.mindspring.com>
<20010204223439.13655.00002587@nso-mq.aol.com>
<3a7e47b9.1005425@news.mindspring.com> <95mv2c$kpg$1@news.netmar.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <wMkg6.119$HH5.814125@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:20:19 -0500

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981588124 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:22:04 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:22:04 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14943
```

<K0HB@qwest.net> wrote:

> The amateur community begged for an allocation for high speed digital
> interconnect circuits. ARRL lobbied FCC and won a new allocation at
> 219-220 MHz, reserved solely for high speed digital backbone circuits.

> It is virtually unused!

Part of the reason, Hans, is that amateur stations within 80 km of an AMTS station cannot operate without the express permission of the AMTS station. Some have been willing to grant such permission; others routinely deny it. With major holes in what was hoped would be reasonably seamless backbones, it is a chicken-egg situation.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 5656282260416748893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 15:30:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feeder.qis.net!news.idt.net!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A7E2569.7458F345@arrl.org> <20010206214214.00714.00005652@nso-
md.aol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <DUkg6.120$HH5.814810@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:28:59 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981588643 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:30:43 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:30:43 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14944
```

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> What I write in this newsgroup is not the way I treat people in
> person; and I've never made any claim that there was any such
> connection.

Do you not see that there is a person connected to the other end of the things you say here, Larry? I have seen you make plenty of statements that were very clearly personally directed.

> With the notable exceptions of Steve Mendelsohn and Ed Hare,
> virtually every ARRL HQ staffer I've come into personal
> contact with has treated me like something that they found
> on the bottom of their shoe -- and I've heard plenty of
> anecdotal evidence proving that my experience isn't
> unique.

ARRL HQ has a staff of about 120 people. Mendelsohn is not an HQ staffer, btw; your dealings with him have been in his role as a volunteer. What percentage of those 120 people who work at HQ have you actually has personal contact with?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2534714466698369887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 15:33:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feeder.qis.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A7AED99.58F50DD6@arrl.org> <20010204104710.03780.00001056@nso-ch.aol.com> <3A7D81C5.3DF88DC7@arrl.org> <95ngv9$7ci$0@208.206.142.72>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <zXkg6.121$HH5.814949@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:32:06 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981588831 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:33:51 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:33:51 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14945

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> When the Extras of today are commonly licensed with no more technical
> nor code qualifications than Novices of old, and nothing has changed but
> some vaporous "artificial pecking order"??

The Novice test I took had no questions on antennas, propagation, feed lines, tubes,... well, the list goes on for quite a bit.

If you are saying that a test that requires an applicant to study over 1200 questions is not as "qualifying" as that dirt-simple Novice test I took, you are incorrect.

> You know the appropriate descriptive word - and it isn't bullfeathers.

I will simply use the word incorrect and let it go at that.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7469096780561981401
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 15:36:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A7B9C02.960659D9@sccoast.net> <20010204121631.29597.00005579@nso-
mi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <c_kg6.122$HH5.815207@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:34:55 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981589000 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:36:40 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 18:36:40 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14946
```

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> Hmmm. Just what *are* the "contributions" of these newly
> licensed hams you're talking about? Specifics, please -- include

> any relevant documentation -- news articles, media releases,
> etc.

I was first licensed in 1963. My first QST article was, if memory serves,
in 1987. I sure am glad I didn't have some old timer telling me how
worthless I was all those years.

73,
Ed Hare,W 1RFI

From 2331289397527799420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 15:44:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 23:42:23 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3a81dc24.7871681@news.mindspring.com>
References: <95j0bq$9p2$0@208.206.142.68>
<29520-3A7D94B4-10@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165> <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146>
<3A80D607.D044EB6@IEEE.org> <95qmv8$khg$0@208.207.71.168>
<3A80DF7A.186960EC@IEEE.org> <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.9e
X-Server-Date: 7 Feb 2001 23:44:07 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14947

On 7 Feb 2001 15:39:21 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Cecil wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > Of course not, many of my friends are nocode techs. But would you allow
>> > a loved one to die rather than use CW for a relief message?
>>
>> Of course not but I'm not saying that coded hams are unqualified. You
>> are saying that nocode hams are unqualified. If they are so unqualified,
>> why would you allow them to save your wife's life?
>>
>> > When I say that radiotelegraphy is the most basic, simple, easily
>> > implemented radio communications mode yet devised? How is that?

>>
>> You went a lot farther than that, Dick. You said they were unqualified
>> at basic radio communications. 2m FM *IS* basic radio communications.
>> You contradict yourself.
>>
>
> Not in the least, Pal. Radiotelegraphy IS the basic radiocommunications
>mode, whether ham radio or whatever else. No other mode can be put to
>use with so little equipment requrements. ANY RF generator can be on-off
>keyed to form Morse characters. No other mode can do that. NONE.

Yet, in spie of that fundamental "basicness", that great simplicity,
no one in the commercial sector puts ANY value o its use in an
emergency. Indeed, it has been abondoned by just about all
except some ham use for enjoyment.

>Any ham
>radio operator who is unable to make it work simply because he was to
>uninformed to cause himself to learn to be able to use it is thus
>unqualified by definition, as you are so fond of saying.

In your not so humble opinion perhaps, but other than
yourself and a handful of others that think like you, there
is no one saying a non-code ham is thus unqualified. Point
us to even ONE credible proponent, other than some hams,
of CW as an emergency mode.

> 2meter FM is basic radio communication? On what planet?

Given the ease and common place existence of a
preponderance of 2m FM, it is, indeed a basic mode today.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -4674448145225777509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:10:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
63.208.208.143.MISMATCH!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters

```
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 23:56:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <95snbh$elm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95q3q8$5pm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<t83i81rnkk7537@news.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Wed Feb 07 23:56:37 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9153
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12115 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14948

In article <t83i81rnkk7537@news.supernews.com>,
  vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL) wrote:
> brianburke@my-deja.com (Brian) wrote in <95q3q8$5pm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>:
>
> >I guess what makes a ham a real ham is the ability to pass judgement
on
> >whether others have a right to be a ham.  The organization that
you're
> >looking for is the ARRL.
> >
>
> NOT! The ARRL gave up helping ham radio and improving things about two
> years ago. From what I see they're not planning to propose making
things
> better in the future either.  ARRL stratagy is like Outcome Based
> education, they don't want quality, only quantity.
>
> KB7ADL

Vince, be pragmatic.  If it means the survival of the ARS, then we do
the quantity thing, and let Riley handle the guys that think they own
the ARS.  If there's not a real breakthrough on the actuarial tables
soon, the ARS is in trouble.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 4359904834819085752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:10:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
63.208.208.143.MISMATCH!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!
nntp.abs.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:01:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <95snk0$epo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95q3q8$5pm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95skdi$c1c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 00:01:08 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9154
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12116 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14949 rec.radio.cb:25605

In article <95skdi$c1c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  t glenn <ab7rs@arrl.net> wrote:
> In article <95q3q8$5pm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > I wish there were an organization in America that would work to
> > improve ham
> > > radio, licensing, and  improve the level of expertise of its
> > operators.
>
> The organization that you're looking for is the ARRL.
> >
>
> The ARRL is the problem...not the solution.--
> AB7RS,Tracy

Tracy, pretend for a moment that I know nothing of the ARRL and the ARS.
 Now explain your position WRT the ARRL, and identify the organization
that you believe to be the solution, and state why.

Thanks, Brian


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -2889972997088128509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: TR@sky.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:17:36 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3a81e560.3717149@news.idsi.net>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83gklsrh99ca1@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 25
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9155 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12117 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14950 rec.radio.cb:25606 rec.radio.scanner:13463

On Wed, 7 Feb 2001 16:46:46 -0500, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@acadia.net>
wrote:

>Havent operated on the old ham bands for a couple years now. I keep the
>license up to date, but there are just too many idiots on the air for it to
>be anywhere near enjoyable any more.

Mostly wrong. IT depends on what frequency you are talking about....

>don`t worry. the FCC is selling them
>off piece by piece anyway. Ham radio has had its day, and the ARRL and FCC
>let it go down the drain. It is now going to die a well - deserved death,
>and leave us all in peace.

Wrong again....

>We still have the internet, and we can easily
>talk round the world on it. I stopped putting up with CB pond scum years
>ago, and since that is what the Ham Bands are on their way to becoming, I
>think it derserves equal attention .....

Wrong again, 2 1/2 to 3.....

```
From -6551130745543972471
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:20:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: TR@sky.net
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:18:35 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 15
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9156
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12118 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14951 rec.radio.cb:25607
rec.radio.scanner:13464

On Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:01:46 -0500, "h" <not@aol.com> wrote:

>If he had a license he is a HAM not a CB'er
>Frankly Freebanders are like the Hams of old. If you look at the FCC
>enforcement logs The Hams are out of control and need enforcement while
>Freebanders are mature and working to improver thier knowledge, save lives,
>promote freedom and democracy, and gernerally aid and improve the geneneral
>welfare or thier comunities.
>
>--
>Sincerely,
>Ken

 Total BULLSHIT. You know and I know it.



From 4019127235397342834
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:22:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 16:11:25 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A81E42D.C02FF8DA@uci.edu>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sj4p$8co$1@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14952

Dick Carroll wrote:

> You couldn't be more wrong, and it is really curious how you managed to
> come to that conclusion. There's littlein ham radio I enjoy more than a
> CW QSO with a young ham, and his code speed is not an issueat all.

Long as he isn't clogging up the band?

jim

From 6528789420596036217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:22:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:13:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <95sobb$fim$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95njft$v1n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010206125345.22779.00001505@nso-fa.aol.com> <95pgvq$ist$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95q4m7$6n2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95rfqq$8co$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 00:13:37 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14953

In article <95rfqq$8co$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <95q4m7$6n2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > Would you deny them vanity calls?  Why else abandon a spiffy KC
call?
>
>      Probably because in THIS case, Twitless, the calls are expiring
> due to non-renewal.
>
>      These were NOT calls vacated due to Vanity options.  And NO, I
> would not deny anyone, even you, a Vanity call.

Well, gooooooood.  Then you can pick yerself one of those spiffy KC
calls for a vanity call, once you wait the appropriate length of time.
Or you can go for one of Mike Deignan's multiple callsigns.  They
were turned in sooner.

Anyhow, its been great hearing from you again.



Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -2657712995370388442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:22:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!
spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 23:44:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <95sml10ion@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com>
<95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109> <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
```

<95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-089.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14954

In article <95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

> Lets get **THIS** straight - You said "All it (incentive licensing did
>was piss off a lot of hams who lost privileges because of it".
> I was a ham who lost privileges because of it. Rather than let it piss
>me off, I, a mail order General class ham, shortly made the 260
>miles-one-way trip to the FCC office and came away with an Extra class.

...uphill, both ways, through six feet of snow, yadda yadda...

Did I say it pissed off *all* the hams that lost privileges? No. But it did
piss off a lot. I can't speak for the sheeple, such as yourself, that go along
with whatever the ARRL says and does.

From -8709254891710826949
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:20:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-
fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 00:20:52 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14955

In article <3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> Brian wrote:
> > In article <3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu>,
> >   Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > > Perhaps "the pendulum is swinging,"
>
> > > Same thing. Ever actually SEE a balance scale, Brian?
>
> > Yes, on Miami Vice.
>
> Well then, that'd make you quite the expert on such things.

So now one needs to be an expert in scaleology to be able to state that
the numbers of coded hams are dropping and the number of no-coders is
increasing. This must mean that the Postmaster General knows more about
ham radio than you.

> > > > or the
> > > > actuarial tables have been invoked.
>
> > > Hmmmm, that's profound. How does one "invoke" a table?
>
> > By dying on time.
>
> The table says that some people die before they're 40, Brian. Would
> that be timely enough for you? ;-)

Too late Jim.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4913472416010978103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:40:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:26:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <95sp3k$g71$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 00:26:38 2001 GMT

```
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14956

In article <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-mg.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
>
> >In article <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu>,
> >  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> >>
> >> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> >
> >> > In article <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley
> ><jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
> >> >
> >> > >I just know how scales work, Len.  The scale gets tipped in the
> >> > >direction of the heavier side.  Your scale was tipped the other
way
> >- by
> >> > >YOU.
> >> >
> >> > Noo, noo, noo, Jim.  I said the "scales are tipping."  I didn't
say
> >> > that they had tipped the other way.
> >> >
> >> > Why do you deny they are tipping?
> >>
> >> I don't deny they are tipped.  Perhaps 'untipping' would be a
better
> >> choice of words for you to use.
> >
> >Not a very good choice.  Perhaps "the pendulum is swinging," or the
> >actuarial tables have been invoked.
>
> Jim Kelley apparently wants to smokescreen the whole thread
> by concentrating on certain phrases to "prove his point" (which
> was not really explained except in trying to provoke something
> about phrase choices.  :-)
>
> The REAL point is that the number of US ARS code-tested
> licensees are declining and the number of US ARS licensees
> not code-tested are increasing.
>
> Perhaps Jim has an English Major rank in his militia or
> something...?
>
> :-)
>
> didit
```

Major sumptin.

But nothing suprises me anymore. Why just the other day, Dick admitted
that CW was doomed without the government forced testing. Seems it
isn't worthwhile enough to learn it on its own merits. After all those
years of claiming PEEU.

I just wish these other guys would come clean and quit the smokescreens
and diversions.

73, Brian

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8413093850256690638
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:41:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:34:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <95sphs$gl0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95sc4a$3rk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 00:34:04 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14957

In article <95sc4a$3rk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu>,
>   Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>
> >It's not a smokescreen to point out that what you said contradicted the
> >facts you presented. I can't help it if you didn't say what you meant.
>
>   And we're surprised by this...WHY...?!?!

```
Why do phrase everything in MTV-slang?

> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> --
> "It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
> Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"
>
> PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM.  RESPSONSES TO
THE
> DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

I really care how often you read your deja-mail.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 4792484270230447766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:31:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 00:31:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14958

In article <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > Jim Kelley apparently wants to smokescreen the whole thread
> > by concentrating on certain phrases to "prove his point" (which
> > was not really explained except in trying to provoke something
> > about phrase choices.  :-)
>
```

> It's not a smokescreen to point out that what you said contradicted
the
> facts you presented. I can't help it if you didn't say what you
meant.
>
> jim

I knew what Len meant. What was your excuse again?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 7227669767337116139
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:46:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Helmut Sweenie" <helmu@hone.con>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <LR6g6.3702$vp2.456548@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<95r1m5$rng$1@news1.kornet.net>
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Lines: 74
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <tZlg6.38058$49.6730514@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:44:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 981593049 24.180.184.171 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001
16:44:09 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 16:44:09 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9157
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12120 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14959 rec.radio.cb:25621
rec.radio.scanner:13467
```

Good Job Brenda Ann ... i have also been a ham since 1978 code never
interested me at all i passed every element of the theory more than once in
hopes that one day i would get my speed up to 12 wpm ... well i never did
study anymore code and now thanxs to the fcc i am a general. and the same
as you i know more electronic theory and amatuer operating principles etc
than many extra, advanced and general class hams who have been able to pass
the code portion. for what its worth.

"Brenda Ann" <brendaannd@uswest.net> wrote in message
news:95r1m5$rng$1@news1.kornet.net...
> Hey... I'm glad to see that there are so many hams out there that are not
> judgemental. I was lucky enough to get my General ticket thanks to the
most
> recent restructuring. I had gotten my Technician class about 18 years ago,
> before there was a No-Code Tech license, and have never been able to get
> over about 7 wpm since.. I would never have made General if not for the
> change. I am also a broadcast engineer by trade, so I could pass the
higher
> grades' technical tests no problem, but I'm content now just to have
access
> to the HF bands at long last. Thanks for showing that not only are not
all
> hams assholes, but in fact, very few are. *S*M*I*L*E*S* Brenda Ann KA7OUM
>
> "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:LR6g6.3702$vp2.456548@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> More anonymous drivel...
>
> "smiley o'riley" <bummer-radio@licensing.org> wrote in message
> news:wouff.hong@goodRadio...
> > And the son of a bitch had a restructured ham license. I'll bet the
> bastards
> > an ARRL member too. Probably got in on the League discount membership
> plan.
> > All this started me wondering, What make a ham a ham? Is a ham someone
> > that's working to improve their knowledge of radio theory, electronics
and
> > operating skills?
> >
> > Or is a ham someone with a radio license who doesn't care to improve,
who
> only
> > wants to sit around and talk, act like a CB'er hurting others enjoyment
of
> the
> > service, and not learn anything?
> >
> > God help us, I'm hearing more and more of these stupid assholes on the
> bands
> > everyday. They don't know anything either and ask alot of stupid
questions
> > that if they had been compelled to study the licensing manual alittle

more
> > thoroughly that know the answers to all their questions. But I guess the
> point
> > of restructured licenses is to give some fucking idiot a piece of paper
> with a
> > callsign on it and not require anything more, All this means the fcc
> going to
> > be forced to do more enforcement to control these assholes and that
going
> to
> > hurt all of us.
> >
> > I wish there were an organization in America that would work to improve
> ham
> > radio, licensing, and improve the level of expertise of its operators.
> >
> >
>
>
>

From 8143642720829799347
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47fd21f33cd57038
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ROTMFFLMMFAO!
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:43:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95sq3f$h61$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95pon0$quh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95ppsa$s42$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q46g$675$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95qfak$fgf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 00:43:29 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14960

In article <95qfak$fgf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> In article <95q46g$675$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

```
> > > 73, Hans, K0HB
> > > --
> > >  "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
> > >   eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
> > >   to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
> > >   Morse."
> >
> > Really?  Was it always so?
>
> Has been so for at least as long as I've been a ham.

Oh, when did you let your license lapse?


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -2176796101433855108
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:52:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:40:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <95spsv$gqf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-
fa.aol.com> <3A812DBB.ABB6D7C6@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 00:40:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14961

In article <3A812DBB.ABB6D7C6@ovis.net>,
  Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley
<jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
> >
> > >I just know how scales work, Len.  The scale gets tipped in the
> > >direction of the heavier side.  Your scale was tipped the other way
- by
```

```
> > >YOU.
> >
> > Noo, noo, noo, Jim.  I said the "scales are tipping."  I didn't say
> > that they had tipped the other way.
> >
> > Why do you deny they are tipping?
> >
> > didit
>
> Scales don't tip until the weight on one side exceeds the weight on
the
> other side.  There are still far greater numbers of code tested hams
> than non-code tested hams. If you said that the scales are tipping,
you
> are wrong. Why couldn't you just say that there is a good growth rate
in
> the ____ class of license?
>
> Dave Heil K8MN

Of course, you assume that all hams, coded and codeless, weigh the same.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 4364192183649122865
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:53:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Feb 2001 23:48:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95smsi1ion@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <95s6uq01si5@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95sirg$8co$0@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-113.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14962
```

In article <95sirg$8co$0@208.206.142.95>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>> All you have to do is wait. Judging from the ages of most CW ops, a lot of
the
>m
>> will be silent keys within the next ten years.
>
> Well you can always wish. There is more and more CW activity as time
>goes by, instead of less. If you were interested enough to listen you'd
>know that. And lots of young people on CW as well. Yesterday I worked a
>24year old CW op who had been licensed since age 13. His calsign suffix
>was even "CW".
> I know, that goes against your wishes but that's surely not the only
>thing that goes against your wishes. Wishing just won't make it come
>true. Sorry.

Would you mind filling me in as to what it is I wished for? I made an
observation. I don't recall making any wishes.

From 7870444802604366121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 16:55:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!cletus.bright.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A81E8CE.5E13E72F@bnnorth.net>
From: Buckeye Web Design <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Organization: Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.13-4mdk i586)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95qd4a$dkj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=x-user-defined
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 55
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 19:31:10 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.201.42.86
X-Complaints-To: abuse@bright.net

X-Trace: cletus.bright.net 981593512 216.201.42.86 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 19:51:52 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 19:51:52 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9158
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12121 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14963 rec.radio.cb:25635

kj7gs5788@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> <snip>
> What make a ham a ham? Is a ham someone that's working to improve
> their knowledge of radio theory, electronics and operating skills?
>
> Or is a ham someone with a radio license who doesn't care to improve,
> who only wants to sit around and talk, act like a CB'er hurting others
> enjoyment of the service, and not learn anything?
> ---------------------
> A ham is many things, and if you don't like it, change it. And it
> starts with YOU. Elmer someone. Teach them how to be a ham and
> improve their knowledge of theory, electronics, and operating skills.
>
> <snip>
> But I guess the point of restructured licenses is to give some fucking
> idiot a piece of paper with a callsign on it and not require anything
> more
>
> ----------------------------
> And if the license is so simple to get, encourage a youngster to get
> involved. Take advantage of the restructuring!
>
> <snip>
> All this means the fcc going to be forced to do more enforcement to
> control these assholes and that going to hurt all of us.
>
> -----------------------------
> Absolutely right, the FCC is the police, you're not. You're not
> required to talk to the "problem children" of amateur radio. QSY to
> something you like, or join a club and head up a volunteer event or
> foxhunt or a thousand other opportunities out there. THAT'S hamming.
>
> <snip>
> I wish there were an organization in America that would work to improve
> ham radio, licensing, and improve the level of expertise of its
> operators.
> ------------------
> That makes you an organization of 1. Start improving things!
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
I couldn;t agree more myself. while I won;t disagree that there are some
bad eggs in both CB and Amateur. the are not ALL bad. And improvements
can be made if you go about it in the right way.

I am in the proccess of getting my ticket. and I feel that I am a
responsible and LEGAL CB operator and I will continue to be courtious

and respectfull on the amateur bands when I finally have the priviledge to use them. I have friends here in town that are hams, sometimes I go over to their house for coffee and they share their wisdom and experiance with me. I just hope that someday I can do the same with a new radio operator.

WebDoc

From -7460014936401738610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 17:00:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "h" <not@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 20:02:09 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com> <3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 29
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9159 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12122 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14964 rec.radio.cb:25636 rec.radio.scanner:13470

It's true look at your own foul and filthy mouth!
Ham's always use foul language and filthy talk on the radio just like they do on this newsgroup. Freebanders know better. Freebanders are mature and can express themselves without spewing forth filthy language.

--
Sincerely,
Ken
pwrguy@intergrafix.net

<TR@sky.net> wrote in message news:3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net...
> On Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:01:46 -0500, "h" <not@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >If he had a license he is a HAM not a CB'er
> >Frankly Freebanders are like the Hams of old. If you look at the FCC
> >enforcement logs The Hams are out of control and need enforcement while
> >Freebanders are mature and working to improver thier knowledge, save
lives,
> >promote freedom and democracy, and gernerally aid and improve the
geneneral
> >welfare or thier comunities.
> >
> >--
> >Sincerely,
> >Ken
>
> Total BULLSHIT. You know and I know it.
>

From -2251300217515078947
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 17:02:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Novice CW
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:52:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <95sql6$hes$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95n1q0$eeh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205185307.24637.00001048@ng-bg1.aol.com> <3A7F7398.6447890A@sccoast.net> <95orke$uhu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<u0f08tckjee6897cvce10v1lkcai0av57k@4ax.com> <95pi4l$k18$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q65k$7qu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95rmep$dva$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 00:52:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14965

In article <95rmep$dva$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> In article <95q65k$7qu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > I'd support that idea in a heartbeat, so long as FCC doesn't stuff

> > > them away in some segregated ghetto like the current Novice
> > > sub-bands.
> >
> > Hey Hans, who said you could start calling it a ghetto?
>
> Brian,
>
> Do you suffer from attention deficit disorder? I have been publicly
> calling it a ghetto for at least 10 years.

Gee, you were strangely absent when I was set upon by the virulent
pro-coders for saying there was no one on the novice subbands 13 years
ago. Oh, lemme see, "at least 10 years, (but not more than 12 years)."
That must splain it.

> Even so, I don't expect I'll need permission from a dump huck like
> you.

You and Steve have the nicest manners. Must be a 20M thing.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5781447519266563617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 17:03:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!
news.tele.dk!195.54.122.107!newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!news.stealth.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: www.kp82.com <nebodapussyass@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: My name is Timmy
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:53:43 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <95sqmj$hmc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
<94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q47k$4tt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.223.198
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 00:53:43 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.223.198
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDnebodapussyass
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14966

My name is Timmy, a punk-ass little fag
Keyclowns rely on me to lick your jizz filled bag
I sit in my chair and play with my dick all day long
At night I go to truckstops, to suck some keyclown dong!

My sister is abnormal, and I know that of course
I watched her last night in the barn
Happily fellating a horse

Yes, I'm Dimmy the drunk ass super fag
A peter pupper of the first degree
Just say the word and I'll go down
Upon my gayboy knees!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7469232772515731002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8fe07cf8cac6452
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 17:03:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: www.kp82.com <nebodapussyass@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: My name is Timmy
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:54:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <95sqni$hmm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <92rqqa$85k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <t591e0q7v1r32e@corp.supernews.com>
<3A57EE36.1224@mobiletel.com> <t5k8k0aema5464@corp.supernews.com>
<3A5A8A37.765F@mobiletel.com> <93hqd7$o2c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<V7676.693$e5.1752199@news.ntplx.net> <k3cq5t80g23avg6itv7cv445ono774ijkm@4ax.com>
<t70d3igvs7bpe4@corp.supernews.com> <3go07tsqvruamde468cai5adg4mclu184r@4ax.com>
<94q3me$4bd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <94q47k$4tt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.155.223.198
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 00:54:14 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 95; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 207.155.223.198
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDnebodapussyass
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14967

My name is Timmy, a punk-ass little fag
Keyclowns rely on me to lick your jizz filled bag
I sit in my chair and play with my dick all day long
At night I go to truckstops, to suck some keyclown dong!

My sister is abnormal, and I know that of course
I watched her last night in the barn
Happily fellating a horse

Yes, I'm Dimmy the drunk ass super fag
A peter pupper of the first degree
Just say the word and I'll go down
Upon my gayboy knees!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -1391848087540044522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 17:11:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Novice CW
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 00:56:27 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <95sqrn$hp9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95n1q0$eeh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205185307.24637.00001048@ng-bg1.aol.com> <3A7F7398.6447890A@sccoast.net> <95orke$uhu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <u0f08tckjee6897cvce10v1lkcai0av57k@4ax.com> <95q60j$7nn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <ccn18tgigv2g406luirgp125otrsg2p7f1@4ax.com> <95r97b$3ik$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95s1e6$p7o$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 00:56:27 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14968

In article <95s1e6$p7o$1@news.netmar.com>,
  K0HB@qwest.net wrote:
> In article <95r97b$3ik$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
> >In article <ccn18tgigv2g406luirgp125otrsg2p7f1@4ax.com>,
> > Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
> >> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >> >Ergo, I'm fully capable of self-testing other emission types as
well.
> >>

```
> >> How fast can you send RTTY with a bug...   :-)
> >>
> >
> >I smell a dung beetle.
> >
>
> It's feeding on your upper lip.

You're a swell ham.  I recommend Prep-H.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -4133254914956690549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 17:21:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news-
feeder.wcg.net!WCG!newspeer1.nac.net!newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: offbreed@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Nomination for Troll of the month. Re: West Virginia farm boy comes
of age
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 01:12:40 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95srq1$ie4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <95q12g$3dh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q9pc$aqs$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.70.230.152
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 01:12:40 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 198.70.230.152
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDoffbreed
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12124
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14969

In article <95q9pc$aqs$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <95q12g$3dh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>  Inbred halfbreed@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net>,
> >   Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
>
> <flush!>
>
> > Congratulations. I have seldom seen such an extreme reaction from
```

> > anyone. Hook line sinker, and part of the fishing pole.
>
> Too bad he plaglarized it.. But that't the best he and YOU could ever
> hope to do.

You've seen "Hook line sinker, and part of the fishing pole" before?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5454934211237473652
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 17:33:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: n2ey@aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 01:21:21 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 173
Message-ID: <95ssaa$isk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <M1Mc6.549$9m.1894477@nntp3.onemain.com>
<20010128085117.21683.00001754@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.199.23
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 01:21:21 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; AOL 6.0; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.199.23
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14970

Since I was invited back, maybe I'll give this one a shot.

In article <20010128085117.21683.00001754@ng-mj1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: "Richard McCollum"
>
> >I think (or methinks if you like) the key phrase is 'easier to
learn' than
> >Morse. Perhaps my feelers are set a bit tight but escaping a code
test
> >sure seemed to be the object of the game.
>
> If that is the object of the game, the game has been lost. If legal,
it can be
> used on VHF by those who have not passed a code test (so can Morse
code), but
> to use a digital mode on HF, under the present rules, one would

require a
> Technician license and the HF endorsement granted by passing a 5 wpm
test.
>
> > providing a path for the 5wpm contingent to get to journeyman
levels is
> > far more important to the hobby than defending the medium from
> > bleating cattle.
>
> If those of us who enjoy Morse code want to see newcomers use and
enjoy the
> mode, too, you are quite right -- ways of getting people from 5 wpm
to higher
> speeds are pretty important.

Of course. But the whole assumption that Morse must be learned slow and
the speed built up is a faulty one. Koch showed that as early as 1936.
He was able to get groups of people from no Morse at all to 12 wpm in
under 20 hours.
>
> I am NOT impressed with the methods being used. To the contrary, I
believe
> that the anger, the bitterness and the name calling that is being
done by some
> to "defend" the mode is setting the stage for failure.

What about the anger, bitterness and name calling on the other side?

> Telling newcomers that
> Morse code is a difficult task that will test their character and
help keep the
> riff-raff out of ham radio will not send a message that this is
something they
> can use and enjoy.

Agreed.

>A message that explains why it is enjoyable, why it is
> effective and letting them see love of the mode in your eyes and in
your words
> will be much more likely to gain converts that much of what I have
seen here.

Better yet - let them see the mode IN USE. By skilled operators. Don't
tell them - SHOW them.
>
> I think most of us realize that, sooner, or later, the Morse code
requirement
> for testing will either be dropped or significantly changed.

Already has. What's left is hardly a test at all.

> If it is, many of

> those things that are being said right now by people who claim to be
Morse code
> proponents will be more likely to keep people away from the mode than
to
> encourage them to use it. Think for a minute about what you will say
to
> encourage new hams to use code if the code test is no longer part of
the the
> requirements. THOSE words are the ones you should be using right
now, to the
> large number of hams who have not yet passed a code test and to the
ones who
> have passed a code test, but are not yet trying out the mode.

Yup.

> I have seen the
> collective memory of the Amateur Radio Service over incentive
licensing. Over a
> quarter of a century later, the ill will still echoes in our
circles.

Most of it is based on false information, too. And many of the most
angry were not hams then, either.

>Don't
> doubt for a minute that this next generation of hams will remember
what was
> said today, whether they have to remember if 3 years hence, or 10.
>
> Those who really want to preserve the use of Morse code on the ham
bands should
> be encouaging new hams to give it a try.

We are.

> They should be forming slow-code
> nets, and looking for those 5 wpm CQs and giving them a nice QSO and
some
> affirmation that this is a fun mode that will bring value and
enjoyment to
> their use of it.

We are.

>Where are these things?

All over the place. But you have to know where to look.

>Has ARRL done them?

NO. Not in many many years. The closest thing to it is the slow speed
NTS nets and W1AW code practice, both of which are excellent. But they

are about the limit.

> Has FISTS?

Yep - for years. Try a CQ on the FISTS freqs at 5 wpm and see what
happens.

> Have you?

For the past 33 years.

> All I see by those who may think they are "defending" Morse code is
> posturing and name calling.

Some of us are trying to combat false information with facts.

> IMHO, taking real steps to get people from 5 wpm
> to what you term "journeyman" levels is more valuable than the use of
the term
> "bleating cattle" on rrap.

How about "electronic paintball wars"? ;-)
>
> I noted in the ARRL Board minutes that the Board has directed ARRL HQ
staff to
> take steps to promote the use of Morse code on the bands. At this
point, I
> don't know what those steps will be, nor am I sure that ARRL will
actually pull
> this one off, but I sure intend to offer my input to what may be
needed to make
> that happen. Although at this time, I have a very short list of
what will
> help, perhaps the first thing on the list is to drop all the name
calling and
> bring back the fun.

The fun never left. We just need to stop hiding our light under a
bushel.

I'll show you my list if you show me yours. ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8233489739569587992

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 17:38:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 01:35:20 GMT
References: <20010206214216.00714.00005656@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207203520.14954.00001478@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14971

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>until the slouchers of the Welfare State finally managed to get the
>notion of working toward desired goals deemed to be politically
>incorrect, non-inclusive, and mean-spirited.

Larry, it is not working that has been seen as being mean spirited.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7010609902982384338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 17:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 01:39:40 GMT
References: <20010207060503.03780.00001601@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207203940.14954.00001479@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14972

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>> Are you proposing an Extra Cum Laude or something?

>If there were such a thing as an "Extra Cum Laude," then I'm the kind
>of person who would just go out and achieve it -- without whining about
>it.

Yes, but are you proposing that having such an exam structure is appropriate? How many exam steps do you think are necessary and appropriate between the granting of minimal Amateur Priveleges and the granting of the whole set?

>My question for you is -- what do you have against striving to
>achieve a lofty goal?

Do you see everything through the filter of striving, or a lack thereof?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8649653943470920217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 17:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 01:46:05 GMT
References: <95pf1b$gsb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Message-ID: <20010207204605.14954.00001480@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14973

>From: jwill@AstraGate.net

>One of the obvious mechanisms is creation of standing waves by
>body organ boundaries. The flesh is absorptive, so the pattern
>creates a net DC potential.

I know of no human tissue that is non-linear, so an RF field would not create a DC potential.

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 6914240563115365035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 17:51:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 01:50:07 GMT
References: <20010206214213.00714.00005649@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Novice CW
Message-ID: <20010207205007.14968.00001260@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14974
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>> For some reason I can not open this.

>Ditto.

The email he sent wrapped the link onto two lines.   If you cut and paste the link with the next line, it will work fine.

Maybe you were just being lazy? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 8693141753638850402
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 17:56:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 01:53:21 GMT
```

```
References: <95qmv8$khg$0@208.207.71.168>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010207205321.14968.00001261@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14975

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> If an emergency occurred, would you allow your wife to die rather than
>> accept help from a nocode tech on the local 2m FM repeater?

> Of course not, many of my friends are nocode techs. But would you allow
>a loved one to die rather than use CW for a relief message?

Do either of you seriously think this is at all related to whether code should
be tested in the Amateur Radio Service?  It looks to me like two rrapers
carrying an argument to any extreme necessary to outpoint the other.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 7243206702099896289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:14:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 17:14:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <95uk60$l3i$4@208.207.71.142>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <95s6uq01si5@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95sirg$8co$0@208.206.142.95> <4j548t8jdd6va6js9apifd82k3ka1in5d8@4ax.com>
<95udee11600@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15077
```

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <4j548t8jdd6va6js9apifd82k3ka1in5d8@4ax.com>, nomail@nomail.com
> says...
>
> >Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >> There is more and more CW activity as time goes by, instead of less.
> >
> >80 meters is virtually dead at night here now. I seldom hear more than
> >one or two people on the whole band. It wasn't that way just 10 years
> >ago. 40 years ago it was wall to wall. And so was the Novice band...
>
> I was listening to 80 meters last night. The only CW I heard was a pile-up on
> 3.505Mhz. There's a bit more activity during a contest, but I get bored with
> those after about a half-hour.

80 meters is probably the most underused band these days.
Try it on weekends, and more to the point, go to 40 meters,even 30 or 20
are much more active.

From -5015858797335288114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:30:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:25:04 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15078

Brian wrote:
> I knew what Len meant. What was your excuse again?

My excuse for what? Len was trying to make a mountain out of a mole
hill - as usual.

ac6xg

```
From 2863569538298866541
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:30:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:27:15 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A82D6F3.1A6411CF@uci.edu>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sfaq08hl@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A81CE6D.89829ADB@uci.edu> <mj548t493ko2robmaf4pafgq7evitgggvm@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15079

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> >And now we've got folks who couldn't fix a squeeky wheel if their life
> >depended on it.

> I'll bet even you couldn't fix my TS-870 if certain parts
> in it started squeaking...   :-)

I've got a big enough hammer for just about any job. ;-)

ac6xg


From 3501131875743477081
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:30:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!
```

134.139.10.31!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:23:00 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu> <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15080

Brian wrote:
> So now one needs to be an expert in scaleology to be able to state that
> the numbers of coded hams are dropping and the number of no-coders is
> increasing.

I don't recall you saying that. And I don't recall having said you NEEDED to be anything, Brian. Still trying to figure out what, if anything, you ARE expert at though.

ac6xg

From 6775090565650630709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dc4d351d7bd2fcb5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:30:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!panix!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Ham Radio Operator caught Jamming Police Frequencies.
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 17:20:49 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <95ukh4$1a4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <q9o48tkt8s5o44ev1jsr6bhbd9rrvv66pa@news> <B6A835C3.C06%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 17:20:49 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42

X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15081

In article <B6A835C3.C06%stewartx@sccoast.net>,
Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> Keith at kewyatt@apexmail.com wrote:
>
> > Hacker Crossed Police Wires
> > Suspect Arrested At Scene Of Ambulance Call
> > BURNSVILLE, Minn., 6:13 p.m. CST February 6, 2001
> > -- Police have pulled the plug on a police radio hacker.
>
> A little weak on the details, isn't it? For example, not one mention of the suspect's name. I wonder if this guy was a real ham, or a guy they just tagged a ham because he used a radio.

Nope, the alleged perp is a licensed ham, licensed for about five years. He has not yet been formally charged, so there is no mention of his name in printed news reports, although his name was mentioned on at least one radio report. This guy has been at it for over a year, faking "officer down" calls, cross-linking different police and sheriff services, and similar crap. Technically smart, and has been able to avoid being located by being mobile -- he got caught because an officer noticed his vehicle antenna at the scene of a false-alarm ambulance call. He has reportedly admitted everything to the cops.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8068957039755420622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:30:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!
feed.cgocable.net!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <jrj58toqcf4bvm9et8p2d9di3151vme3as@4ax.com>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com>
<95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109> <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95> <bl548t8o64bedbcun0uo9nrn3gl3aolp3l@4ax.com>
<95t81p$3t9$0@208.207.71.156> <95ucrr01600@enews2.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 17:30:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.97
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981653422 209.86.204.97 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:30:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:30:22 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15082

tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
>In article <95t81p$3t9$0@208.207.71.156>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>>At the beginning of Incentive
>>Licensing there were around 4,000 Extra class hams. Ten years later
>>there were ten times that many.
>
>That doesn't prove anything. How many of those Extras weren't even licensed
>when the incentive licensing scheme was put into place

I think that Dick is right. When I got my General in 1959 most hams
probably thought like me: Why put in a lot of extra work for an Extra
ticket when it didn't get you much extra. But when Incentive Licensing
came along, many thousands of hams did go ahead and get their Extra
who wouldn't normally have done so without the added frequency
incentive. Some like me grumbled about it and some like Dick upgraded.
There's no doubt that Dick's method is the best way to go. However the
unfairness of the situation doesn't change...

>How many hams dropped out of ham radio altogether because
> the felt the ARRL screwed them?

I remember that lots of hams threatened to quit the ARRL over
Incentive Licensing, but only someone with membership data would know
if a large number of hams actually did. Ed? I personally don't know of
a single ham who actually quit the hobby as a result of Incentive
Licensing. Grumbling may be silly, but quitting would be real dumb...
:-)

From -5502596863345842357
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:40:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:30:55 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3A82D7CF.A40EE0FD@uci.edu>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sj4p$8co$1@208.206.142.95>
<3A81E42D.C02FF8DA@uci.edu> <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15083

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > You couldn't be more wrong, and it is really curious how you managed to
> > > come to that conclusion. There's littlein ham radio I enjoy more than a
> > > CW QSO with a young ham, and his code speed is not an issueat all.
> >
> > Long as he isn't clogging up the band?
> >
> No. As long as he doesn't get down below .25 and cause everyone down
> there to have to stumble over him.

Please explain to me the exact mechanism by which this "stumbling" can
occur?

> Did you miss the point earlier? I can't see authorizing nocoders to
> work CW without any training in code whatver, and that's why. It's
> strange so many of you seem willing to overlook that.

You seem to have forgotten something along the way here Dick. Follow
the thread back a ways and re-read what I have written to you. Read

only what it says. There is no hidden text between any of the lines.
We have been discussing operating at 5 WPM in the lower 25.

Thanks and 73,

Jim AC6XG

```
From -7858614098477057288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:40:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 17:31:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <95ul5u$207$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146> <20010207210141.14968.00001265@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95t69c$ppc$6@208.207.71.156> <8gzg6.132$HH5.863537@news.ntplx.net>
<95uj6m$jnt$0@208.207.71.142>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 17:31:42 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15084
```

In article <95uj6m$jnt$0@208.207.71.142>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Digital should get its own segment, since digital and W do
> not mix that well. And analog voice should get its own.

Do you feel that CW should be banned from those segments? In the US it currently is authorized on all ham frequencies, except perhaps 219MHz.

Or should we continue the long-standing gentlemens agreement that CW operators use the bottom portions of most bands, even though they legally can operate Morse from the top to bottom of each band. I think it's interesting that most countries, except the US, do not chop the bands up into mode-specific segments, but rather let dynamic "market forces" of the hams themselves determine how much gets "allocated" for each mode.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two

eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6543154045463509273
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:47:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!212.74.64.35!colt.net!newspeer.highwayone.net!diablo.theplanet.net!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 17:47:30 GMT
References: <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208124730.17535.00002133@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15085

In article <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>> That sure won't be hard to do: I am living evidence that you have no
>>clue as to what you're talking abouit.
>
>Let me get this straight: Are you saying that just because you are happy,
>content, and all hunky-dorey, that there were not other hams who were
>vehement
>about losing priveleges to so-called incentive licensing? If this is the
>case, then you need a serious reality check.

...he couldn't cash it... :-)

didit

```
From 7632917264771094738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:47:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 17:47:29 GMT
References: <20010207205007.14968.00001260@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Novice CW
Message-ID: <20010208124729.17535.00002131@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15086
```

In article <20010207205007.14968.00001260@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

```
>>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>>> For some reason I can not open this.
>
>>Ditto.
>
>The email he sent wrapped the link onto two lines.   If you cut and paste the
>link with the next line, it will work fine.
>
>Maybe you were just being lazy? :-)
```

I don't think so...tRoll is so wrapped up in his CW thing
that he can't work Internet yet.   :-)

The FCC link is an interesting letter in support of Joe Speroni's
proposal. Joe's proposal is found on his own website
www.speroni.com.

didit

```
From 1263682212319081455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:47:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
```

Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 17:47:29 GMT
References: <vxkg6.117$HH5.812673@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208124729.17535.00002132@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15087

In article <vxkg6.117$HH5.812673@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
>
>> >- . -.   ..-. --- ..- .-.   --. ..- -..   -... ..- -.. -.. -.--
>
>> Since I never served in the Navy, and have always used the Morse/CW
>> in its aural form, you're gonna have to send this as a .WAV file so I
>> can copy it properly.
>
>Are you really saying that you can't piece that together? :-)

Probably not, Ed, since he can't even Cut and Paste a web
address properly. Maybe it's the early stages of Alzheimers?

I'm not an amateur radio licensee and I decoded

    T E N   F O U R   G U D   B U D D Y

just fine. :-)

...how quickly they forget...

didit

From 2705032301407166020
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:48:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 17:47:34 GMT
References: <95sc4a$3rk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208124734.17535.00002138@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15089

In article <95sc4a$3rk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu>,
>  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>
>>It's not a smokescreen to point out that what you said contradicted the
>>facts you presented.  I can't help it if you didn't say what you meant.
>
>     And we're surprised by this...WHY...?!?!

I can't read minds.  Even a telepath would have trouble trying
to read four brain cells...   :-)

didit



From -4971323579372241072
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:48:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 17:47:33 GMT
References: <ljng6.95$05.62647@nntp1.onemain.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208124733.17535.00002135@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15088

In article <ljng6.95$05.62647@nntp1.onemain.com>, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> writes:

>If it really disturbs you, you can safely assume that any Technician
>renewal from April thru January is actually a Tech Plus.  This crutch is
>about to disappear however.

Now, now, Dick, look at the NEW licensees' numbers.  Those
aren't "renewals" from any other class.  Want to do that in all
the months before April 15, 2000?    :-)
```

didit

From -4851338772635321319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:48:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 17:47:35 GMT
References: <_thg6.109$HH5.794616@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208124735.17535.00002140@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15090

In article <_thg6.109$HH5.794616@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> Code-tested licensees are DIMINISHING in number. The
>> trend is there, visible to all except those who wear blinders
>> of old-time CW radio.
>
>> Non-code-tested licensees are INCREASING in number.
>
>Tech+ licensees are being renewed as Tech. They are being counted as
>"non-coded" in the stats you publish.

The SAME trend was happening well before April 15, 2000.

If you wish, I can post stats on NEW licensees for the last
three years. Looks even worse for the code-tested numbers.

didit

From -6187972633095157141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:48:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!

```
not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 17:47:36 GMT
References: <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208124736.17535.00002141@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15091

In article <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Jim Kelley apparently wants to smokescreen the whole thread
>> by concentrating on certain phrases to "prove his point" (which
>> was not really explained except in trying to provoke something
>> about phrase choices.  :-)
>
>It's not a smokescreen to point out that what you said contradicted the
>facts you presented.  I can't help it if you didn't say what you meant.
>
>jim

Major Kelley, sir, you are just trying to ignite a flame war by
concentration on a trivial phrase of English.

My point was that the non-code-tested US amateur licensees
keep increasing in number while the code-tested US amateur
licensees are decreasing in number.

What is your dispute with that?

didit


From 2364753136074231105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:48:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 17:47:33 GMT
References: <95spsv$gqf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

```
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208124733.17535.00002136@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15092

In article <95spsv$gqf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
writes:

>> the ____ class of license?
>>
>> Dave Heil K8MN
>
>Of course, you assume that all hams, coded and codeless, weigh the same.

Ever since Uncle retired him he is all at sea and wanting to be
"under weigh" or "under way."

Note that Davey put the Technician class in a "blank" form up
there?  Looks like a Freudian slip to me...not counting the
non-code-tested as "real hams."

didit


From 2652941912347100683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:48:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 17:47:35 GMT
References: <95sbij$3ad$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208124735.17535.00002139@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15093

In article <95sbij$3ad$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> Code-tested licensees declined by 9,531 while non-code-tested
>> licensees increased by 13,132
>
>You cannot determine that from the statistics at AH0A.
```

>
>Some of the "growth" of the Technican class license consists of
>code-tested licensees.
>
>Since the April restructuring of last year, all code-tested
>Tech Plus who renew are being renewed as simply "Technician". Thus
>the "Technician" column now contains roughly 10% (minus attrition
>and upgrades) of licensees from the old "Technician Plus" ranks.

Spin it any way you want, Hans, but OVER THE LAST
THREE YEARS the code-tested licensees are decreasing
in number while the non-code-tested licensees are increasing.

Ed said the same thing as you did so, if you like I can post
NEW licensees' numbers. Still looks bad for code-tested
licensees' numbers...before April 15, 2000, as well as after.

didit

```
From 7262981155925414596
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:48:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 17:47:34 GMT
References: <95sp3k$g71$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208124734.17535.00002137@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15094
```

In article <95sp3k$g71$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
writes:

>But nothing suprises me anymore. Why just the other day, Dick admitted
>that CW was doomed without the government forced testing. Seems it
>isn't worthwhile enough to learn it on its own merits. After all those
>years of claiming PEEU.

In Dick's case he must have gotten tired of waiting for that
massive Missouri earthquake he read about in a book. Maybe
his "emergency rig" code key oxidized into uselessness
while waiting for it?

Heck, Dick's statement isn't near as bad a tRoll's wanting to
GIVE AWAY amateur radio spectrum to everyone else
because no one will honor and respect his regency. :-)

>I just wish these other guys would come clean and quit the smokescreens
>and diversions.

Oddly enough, a telemarketer called tonight just after supper.
It was a chimney cleaning service soliciting curstomers. I
told them that this newgroup would be good for cleaning and
smoke eliminations. They replied that they weren't big
enough to handle the task... :-)

didit

From -2833491216625218845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:48:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 141
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 17:47:32 GMT
References: <95rh4o$99m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010208124732.17535.00002134@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15095

In article <95rh4o$99m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010207015601.02997.00001930@nso-mg.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> Supposedly this one-time USMC person evolved into a
>> Purchasing Agent after "burn-out" as a Licensed
>> Practical Nurse in civilian life. We don't know if said
>> Purchasing Agent (his claim) is still employed as a
>> Purchasing Agent. He hasn't identified the company
>> where is is, or was, purchasing things.
>
>   I'll be more than glad to relate that information as soon as YOU
>provide (as was requested MONTHS ago) names and other information of
>verifyable sources that can validate YOUR many claims.

Fine, just contact KD6JG or W6MJN and ask them. They don't know I am using them as references so they aren't prompted by me. Want some magazine article references? Go to HAM RADIO magazine indexes (there's at least one web site for them if you don't have index issues) and read the articles. You might learn something from them. Note: Check the Masthead for HR to see if I've been listed as an Associate Editor. You need some industry experience? Check with personnel departments at Rocketdyne Division of Boeing (it was of Rockwell International when I worked there), Teledyne Electronics of Newbury Park, CA, General Electric Co. past records of RCA Corporation, Electromagnetic and Aviation Systems Division, Van Nuys, CA, Hughes Aircraft Co. Missle Systems Division of Canoga Park, CA, and Hughes Aircraft Co. Aviation Radar Division of El Segundo, CA, Electro-Optical Systems division of Xerox Corporation, Pasadena, CA. Since 1956 those corporate entities have undergone some name and location changes so be patient. I think John Memmen of Teledyne is SK (?) but Harry Terraneau should be still alive...both are/were licensed radio amateurs. Micro-Radionics Inc., of Van Nuys, CA, got acquired by a succession of larger microwave houses but Stan Wolf of MRI (long licensed as a ham) was my boss way back when that was a stand-alone microwave company.

You want patent information? No problem, connect to the old IBM patent site and do a search for my name. Only one patent granted. You can also search the Patent Gazeteer for 1974 if you have access to a printed copy. Want to check academic records? OK, contact California State University at Northridge (CSUN) or University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA). You can find me listed in the Northwestern directory of the Los Angeles telephone book with the address printed with my HR bylines (anyone trying to telephone-stalk will find Pacific Bell security folks unhelpful). I am in the FCC ULS, by the way, although you keep saying I'm not. :-)

None of the above references I've listed has been created or put there by myself. Those are all third-party references. Such are impossible to forge, exaggerate, or lie about such as with some "personal" web page or vague newsgroup ramblings.

You won't like any of them and respond by "curriculum vitae" whining and bitching plus other sneering noises. :-)

> And more than one person here knows the name of the company...even
>has my corporate addresses and phone numbers. You gonna call and chat,
>Lenni? I'll give you the 800 number if you care.

Why is it so difficult to name one's employer? Company policy against it? If so, why do "more than one person" (outside the company) know it? Do you spend your employer's time "chatting with others" presumably not about company business?

> And by the way, I AM doing both.

"Both what?" Spending company time chatting about personal subjects? Spending company Internet time posting over the Internet? Naughty, naughty... :-)

>Again, unlike you, I saw that
>there were a great many things that I would like to do in my life.

Steebie baby, I've done lots of things in my life but you will never ever say they are good enough...because all you want to do, indeed are obsessed with the subject, is "get back" at someone you feel slighted your royal rank, status in the USARMCC in a newsgroup. :-)

>I may never completely master any one of them, but I will do my best to
>experience as many as I can.

For starters, try to become civil in a newsgroup. I know that is very hard, but you can do it with lots of patient hard work.

> No excuses as to why I didn't do it, other than I didn't do it.
>No "elitists" holding me back, or failed excuses.

Steebie...the main subject of this newsgroup is the Retention or Elimination of the US amateur radio license code test. That subject can be argued on its own and NO ONE need be licensed in order to discuss that part of Part 97, Title 47 CFR.

>> What is more amusing is this person's claim to once
>> being a "commissioned officer" in some state militia,
>> ostensibly as THE radio officer of an entire infantry
>> brigade...which would require at least a major rank.
>
> Acutally, it requires a Captain. But I will pass on that in the
>future the Adjutant General shall call you first before assigning TO.

A Brigade is 4 Battalions. A Battalion is 4 Companies. A Company is 4 Platoons. A Platoon is 4 Squads of 12 men each plus two more. A Brigade would have around 3000+ personnel. Only a Captain is THE radio officer of a Brigade?

Not in any Table of Organization of regular Army or National Guard units I've seen. How is the "E" of TO&E? Still using horse-mobile tube transceivers or something? :-)

>> When queried on this, said person replied he "had
>> the papers in his wallet." Apparently that is
>> "confirmation" for everyone else.
>
> Of which I offered a copy. You declined. Your loss.

You didn't post the scanned copy of it on your K4YZ

home page as requested along with all your accomplishments.
Gosh, a discharged USMC member who gets a COMMISSION
as a civilian ought to be so proud of that that they shouldn't
hesitate to Tell The World!   :-)

How does one get a commission as a member of a state
army unit when they were once medically discharged from
a regular military branch? Is there some Faith Healing we
haven't heard of?

>PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE
>DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Hmmm...apparently the unnamed employer got a bit pissed at
an employee using company Internet facilities? One can only
wonder at that... :-)

didit

From -8675213936098429478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 10:00:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!
128.223.220.26!arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!
newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: n2ey@aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 17:49:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 155
Message-ID: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95ssaa$isk$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207214615.14968.00001276@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.197.200.14
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 17:49:48 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 151.197.200.14
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15096

In article <20010207214615.14968.00001276@ng-ff1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: n2ey@aol.com
>
> >> I am NOT impressed with the methods being used. To the contrary, I
> >believe
> >> that the anger, the bitterness and the name calling that is being
> >done by some

> >> to "defend" the mode is setting the stage for failure.
>
> >What about the anger, bitterness and name calling on the other side?
>
> I have never seen the other side use anger, bitterness or name
calling to
> defend the mode. :-)

Just to attack it and those who like it and use it.
>
> More seriously, I recall many a conversation with some on the "other"
side
> about their "debating style." Look back a few years to see the
ongoing
> discussions between me and WA6VSE.

You're not the only one who tried to discuss "style" with that one. He
has lots of company.
>
> >> All I see by those who may think they are "defending" Morse code is
> >> posturing and name calling.
>
> >Some of us are trying to combat false information with facts.
>
> I must apologize for not including the words "some of" in front ot
the word
> "those" in my sentence.

No problem.
>
> >> IMHO, taking real steps to get people from 5 wpm
> >> to what you term "journeyman" levels is more valuable than the use
of
> >the term
> >> "bleating cattle" on rrap.
>
> >How about "electronic paintball wars"? ;-)
>
> Yup, I remember giving good ol' Carl a particular hard time about
that one.
> :-)

That was one of the least offensive ones.
>
> >The fun never left. We just need to stop hiding our light under a
> >bushel.
>
> Agreed.

See list below.
>
> >I'll show you my list if you show me yours. ;-)
>

> I readily concede that your list is bigger. Good for you, Jim.

HAH! That's a good one! We gonna compare lobster consumption, too? You'll win that one, I betcha. (Ayeh!)

But I was only half-joking. I really do want to see any and all lists on this subject (promoting Morse - the mode, not the test). The ARRL leadership has done very very little to promote the mode for many years - now all of a sudden there's this order to do so. Please understand that these comments come from a longtime member and QST reader who wants to help, not just take potshots. (I recently filled some holes in my QST collection - now I'm solid back to October, 1927. And those are just part of the N2EY radio library).

OK, here are some ideas:

- Bandplans: The ARRL has a "considerate operator's guide", but nowhere does it say where 5 wpm QSOs may be most easily found. The FISTS frequencies are not mentioned at all. This is easily fixed.

- QST: The magazine is often a new ham's first contact with the ARRL, and a resource for all hams. But when was the last time there was a QST article on any of the following?
   + How to learn the code & how to increase your speed
   + How to adjust a key and send good code
   + Types of keys and advantages/disadvantages of each
   + How to set up a CW station and operate it
   + How to build/buy a good CW setup on a slim budget
   + In-depth product reviews of various Morse training materials and methods
   + FISTS and other Morse-related organizations and resources

I've seen more QST articles on PSK31 in the past two years than articles on Morse in the past 20-30 years. Of course the new modes need to be promoted, but when decades go by with no attention to a popular mode, there's a problem. Newcomers do not have the ability to easily dig up articles from 30-40 years ago.

- Other ARRL publications: When I started out, there was an excellent ARRL book called "Understanding Amateur Radio". It cost half the price of a Handbook of the time, (first $2, then $2.50) and it addressed the basics of getting on the air. Some of it was reprints of QST articles that a newcomer would not have seen, but most of it was material intended to teach the basics without a lot of math. There were two versions, then it was dropped. Lots of projects but also lots of plain talk about setting up a station, operating, etc. Such a "junior Handbook" is needed now more than ever. In similar fashion, the fifty cent "Learning The Radiotelegraph Code" booklet needs a new version that doesn't cost too much. Of course the N0HFF book on the MEGS site is excellent, and it's FREE for the downloading. Maybe the ARRL could publish it?

ARRL Website: Some good info, but the list of Morse links is small and many of the best ones are notably absent or buried. Take a look at the Morse links at

http://www.callingcq.org

for some ideas. My favorites are the MEGS site (Morse Enthusiasts Group of Scotland), the AH0A site (for Morse University downloads), Dave Finley's (N1IRZ) explanation of the Koch/Farnsworth method, and of course the FISTS site. Did I find any of them from the ARRL website? Nope.

A link only costs the work to put it on the site, doesn't it?

- Things the BOD can do: It's interesting that the BOD changed the ARRL policy on S25.5 so quickly and so quietly, but that's a done deal. What bothers me is their inaction on further steps. For example, was an ARRL position on RM-10018 even discussed? To me that one is a nobrainer - just DO it! (Widen the "Novice CW bands" to General class size). Another idea is to replace the current mess of "subbands by license class" with "subbands by mode". Say, 35% Morse only, 15% digital, and 50% analog 'phone/image on each of the worldwide amateur only HF/MF ham bands. By law and by treaty, not just by "bandplan". Yes, 40 is a problem, but maybe it can be fixed AND the new subbands put in place at the same time. Why not? This is where the BOD can take the lead.

Learning and using Morse is neither difficult nor time consuming for most people IF the right methods are used. But a new or prospective ham looking at the ARRL website or QST will have a hard time getting info on how to go about it, even though such information is readily available if you know where to look.

And that's just my short list.

73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4205691583979592619
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 10:10:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <m3o58tos26u9ij5798ihflub6hl8tqc2b9@4ax.com>
References: <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146> <20010207210141.14968.00001265@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95t69c$ppc$6@208.207.71.156> <8gzg6.132$HH5.863537@news.ntplx.net> <95uj6m$jnt$0@208.207.71.142>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 18:10:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.221
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981655845 209.86.204.221 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:10:45 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:10:45 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15097

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>I'm saying that no one should be allowed on the lower 25kc (actually anywhere on the CW segments) unless he has learned to work Morse code, and that knowledge tested at licensing.

I'd prefer less Federal regulation not more. Gentleman's agreements have served us well in the past. The lower parts of the bands have always been used mostly for DX and that continues through today. No regulations needed. Ragchewing generally takes place above the 25 KHz line, even the Extras...

>Nocoders mucking around in the CW segments doing what they should do with a code practice oscillator and W1AW code practice won't cut it.

The more CW signals heard, even slow speed code practice, the less likely the FCC will see the CW area as unused band space and move the phone line down the band...

> When FCC gets around to repartitioning the bands there should be a CW-only segment that requires a CW test to use.

CW use is decreasing as fast as the mortality tables now. Requiring a separate test just to use it would just nail the coffin shut that much faster...

From -1572778419927540639
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 10:10:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!

newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <jun58t0qlmkc9fn8lvjodlug0phbp6f69d@4ax.com>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <95s6uq01si5@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95sirg$8co$0@208.206.142.95> <4j548t8jdd6va6js9apifd82k3ka1in5d8@4ax.com>
<95udee11600@enews2.newsguy.com> <95uk60$l3i$4@208.207.71.142>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 18:10:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.221
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981655848 209.86.204.221 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:10:48 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:10:48 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15098

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>80 meters is probably the most underused band these days.

Agreed. Much less than even 10 years ago...

>go to 40 meters,

It's 10:51am here. There's not one signal to be heard on 40 meters.
And I'm in daytime range of Southern California. After the morning
skeds, about 10:00am to around 3:00pm daily 40 is usually dead. Not
band dead, ham dead. I sometimes set my keyer to repeat CQs and work
on other things in the background. Often I go 20 minutes without an
answer and finally give up. It wasn't that way even 10 years ago...

>even 30

I seldom used to use this band because it's deader than 40. That's why
when I moved I didn't bother to put up an antenna for it...

>or 20

This is where I make most of my daytime contacts. But even 20 is not
what it was in the past...

>are much more active.

```
From -1021148839060172597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 10:22:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 12:12:42 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A82E19A.650DA18D@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68>
<3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org> <95j18u$btb$0@208.206.142.68>
<rukg6.115$HH5.812522@news.ntplx.net> <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156>
<3A821C4C.DC2E21D@IEEE.org> <95t8n7$5hr$0@208.207.71.156>
<3A82B761.7EED4203@IEEE.org> <95ujqb$l3i$0@208.207.71.142>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.7a
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 18:21:46 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15099
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Evolution takes place at its own speed, and pushing and shoving does
> nothing but help to impede it.

We are not discussing Darwin's "Origin of Species". Human knowledge once doubled in a century. It is now doubling every few years. Hams who insist on living in the past will be buried in the sands of time.

> Well OB you can invest your remaining *time* in attempting to make
> *time* stand still so you can hope to garner more *time* for yourself.
> I plan to use mine more constructively.

How, by advocating another Dark Ages?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1502097032623130193
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 10:25:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 12:15:44 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A82E250.7A2D2426@IEEE.org>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com> <3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146> <3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168> <3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org> <3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sj4p$8co$1@208.206.142.95> <3A81E42D.C02FF8DA@uci.edu> <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156> <95udod21600@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.7a
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 18:24:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15100

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> Who, in their right mind, would even try to work CW if they had no knowlege of
> code whatsoever?

Apparently everyone on Dick's home planet.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -2171295654803560659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 10:27:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

```
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 18:27:13 GMT
References: <71zg6.129$HH5.863162@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Message-ID: <20010208132713.09475.00001783@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15101

In article <71zg6.129$HH5.863162@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>I believe you, Larry, but I am curious -- how many was it?  You made a
>pretty power blanket condemnation of a group of people and I am just
>wondering about your sample size.

...I think he is suffering "sample size envy"...        :-)

didit


From 3026739712095213986
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 10:27:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 18:27:13 GMT
References: <3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208132713.09475.00001784@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15102

In article <3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Brian wrote:
>> I knew what Len meant.  What was your excuse again?
>
>My excuse for what?  Len was trying to make a mountain out of a mole
>hill - as usual.
>
>ac6xg
```

You were looking for an excuse...and nit-picked a single phrase for a "critique" that was not on the subject.

Apparently you don't want to believe that code-tested US radio amateurs are decreasing in number while non-code-tested US radio amateurs are increasing in number. That trend has been going on for years.

The "molehill" is getting bigger, bigger, bigger. The "mountain" is eroding faster and faster. Closing one's eyes or mind won't reverse any trend.

didit

From -2851168873638402703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 10:30:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 18:21:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <95uo2u$4v1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95ssaa$isk$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207214615.14968.00001276@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 18:21:31 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15103

In article <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  n2ey@aol.com wrote:

> And that's just my short list.

I like all those ideas, Jim, except the one about publicizing the Fists freqs. I've abandoned any operations anywhere near xx.058, because once a Fists member "collects your number", the contact usually comes to a quick conclusion.

73, Hans, K0HB
Fists # 7419 (I think)

--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
  eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
  to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
  Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8769982341777147857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 10:30:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-
for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 12:20:39 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A82E377.6D3495E@IEEE.org>
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205719.14968.00001263@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95t67f$ppc$5@208.207.71.156> <TUyg6.128$HH5.862873@news.ntplx.net>
<95ujus$l3i$2@208.207.71.142>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.7a
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 18:29:37 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15104

Dick Carroll wrote:
> That's what I always called my voice transmitting transducer.

You mean the one that came free with the transceiver VS the
$80 Bencher key? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 4341606294177433113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 10:50:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.germany.net!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 18:38:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <95up3e$5p7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95rh4o$99m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208124732.17535.00002134@nso-fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.170
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 18:38:39 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.170
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15105

In article <20010208124732.17535.00002134@nso-fy.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

Most of rehashed "see what a hero I am" tripe trashed. I wrote 2 paragraphs....Lennie wrote a novella.

> How does one get a commission as a member of a state
> army unit when they were once medically discharged from
> a regular military branch? Is there some Faith Healing we
> haven't heard of?

Waivers, Lennie. Waivers. When you have something to offer they want to use, they are glad to accomodate your needs.

>>PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO
>>THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

> Hmmm...apparently the unnamed employer got a bit pissed at
> an employee using company Internet facilities? One can only
> wonder at that...

Why should the "unnamed employer" be concerned over a Deja mail account, Lennie?

Nice try. Failed again.

Now back to your Geritol.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 1896022276208184142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 10:50:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 18:43:57 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <95upda$66n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q6lg$89i$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015601.02997.00001930@nso-
mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 18:43:57 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15106
```

In article <20010207015601.02997.00001930@nso-mg.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> He hasn't identified the company
> where is is, or was, purchasing things.   :-)

Three minutes of superficial detective work suggests the company
probably is:

Antec Corporation
11450 Technology Circle
Duluth, GA 30097
US

I see their stock is slipping since November when Bob Stanzione
announced a suspension in product shipments to their largest customer,
AT&T Broadband. Now trading around $10, after a high of $61.25 last

spring.

73, de Hans, K0HB

--
 "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
  eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
  to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
  Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3557563735769623334
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 11:20:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!
newsfeed2.skycache.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 19:11:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <95ur10$7sk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95sbij$3ad$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208124735.17535.00002139@nso-fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.170
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 19:11:38 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.170
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15107

In article <20010208124735.17535.00002139@nso-fy.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Ed said the same thing as you did so, if you like I can post
> NEW licensees' numbers. Still looks bad for code-tested
> licensees' numbers...before April 15, 2000, as well as after.

Still on the same spin...still wrong.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4008865326147539724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 11:20:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 19:08:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <95uqrn$7hc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <_thg6.109$HH5.794616@news.ntplx.net>
<20010208124735.17535.00002140@nso-fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.170
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 19:08:48 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.170
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15108

In article <20010208124735.17535.00002140@nso-fy.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> If you wish, I can post stats on NEW licensees for the last
> three years. Looks even worse for the code-tested numbers.

If that was ALL you posted, it might. But then again it doesn't
reflect the true CURRENT status of thier licneses, now does it?

```
--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM.  RESPSONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.



Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 8734934252823927258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 11:20:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!
rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 19:07:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 79
Message-ID: <95uqp2$7fn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95rh4o$99m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208124732.17535.00002134@nso-
fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.170
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 19:07:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.170
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15109

In article <20010208124732.17535.00002134@nso-fy.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> >     And by the way, I AM doing both.
>
> "Both what?"  Spending company time chatting about personal
> subjects?  Spending company Internet time posting over the
> Internet?  Naughty, naughty...

      Working here AND nursing, Lennie.  Not that I needed to tell you.

> Steebie baby, I've done lots of things in my life but you will
> never ever say they are good enough...because all you want
```

> to do, indeed are obsessed with the subject, is "get back" at
> someone you feel slighted your royal rank, status in the
> USARMCC in a newsgroup.

No one here has "sleighted" me, Lennie, least of all you. You don't have the credentials nor the means to do so.

Be insulting and demeaning..?!?! THAT you can do. But you do that to EVERYONE, so I am hardly special or priviledged.

As for the usual "rank/status" comments, well, you go on thinking that, Lennie.

>>I may never completely master any one of them, but I will do my best
>>to experience as many as I can.
>
> For starters, try to become civil in a newsgroup. I know that is
> very hard, but you can do it with lots of patient hard work.

Since you are unable to accept culpability for your own lack of civility and your frequently repeated lies, I doubt that you will find anything I have to offer as it pertains to you as "civil"

Of course, you never acknowledge the "non-controversial" posts in kind...only the one's where you find the opportunity to reignite the flamewars.

>>No excuses as to why I didn't do it, other than I didn't do it.
>>No "elitists" holding me back, or failed excuses.
>
> Steebie...the main subject of this newsgroup is the Retention
> or Elimination of the US amateur radio license code test. That
> subject can be argued on its own and NO ONE need be licensed
> in order to discuss that part of Part 97, Title 47 CFR.

No...the main WHINE by a small subset of this newsgroup is about code retention/elimination. You are, as previously cited, it's center stage jester.

> A Brigade is 4 Battalions. A Battalion is 4 Companies. A
> Company is 4 Platoons. A Platoon is 4 Squads of 12 men
> each plus two more. A Brigade would have around 3000+
> personnel. Only a Captain is THE radio officer of a Brigade?

When in cadre status, yes.

> Not in any Table of Organization of regular Army or National
> Guard units I've seen. How is the "E" of TO&E? Still using
> horse-mobile tube transceivers or something?

I am sure you wish. Too bad for you they AREN'T using a technology you MIGHT be competent with. Time moved on...isn't it time YOU did?

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1957015498675374717
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 11:20:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 19:10:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <95uqva$7rn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <ljng6.95$05.62647@nntp1.onemain.com>
<20010208124733.17535.00002135@nso-fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.170
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 19:10:44 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.170
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15110

In article <20010208124733.17535.00002135@nso-fy.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Now, now, Dick, look at the NEW licensees' numbers. Those
> aren't "renewals" from any other class. Want to do that in all
> the months before April 15, 2000?   :-)

Lennnie again attempts to narrow the scope of the numbers to ONLY
new licensees, and NOT the CURRENT status of those licensees.

Boohoo for Lennie. He's as transparent as glass.

--

"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4190989102895933867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 11:23:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 8 Feb 2001 20:54:16 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <95v11o$3l9$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205719.14968.00001263@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95t67f$ppc$5@208.207.71.156> <TUyg6.128$HH5.862873@news.ntplx.net> <95ujus$l3i$2@208.207.71.142> <3A82E377.6D3495E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 981665656 3753 205.139.138.14 (8 Feb 2001 20:54:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Feb 2001 20:54:16 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15111

In article <3A82E377.6D3495E@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

> VS the $80 Bencher key? :-)

Even the cheapest "fly apart in your hand" Bencher is now $95.00!

At a minimum, get a Kent.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -6294596118435599493
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 12:10:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 12:07:59 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A82FC9F.1A7319E5@uci.edu>
References: <3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <20010208132713.09475.00001784@nso-fj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15112

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Apparently you don't want to believe that code-tested US radio
> amateurs are decreasing in number while non-code-tested
> US radio amateurs are increasing in number.

I know you're not stupid, Len, so the only thing I can conclude about why you would say something which is totally inaccurate is because you're so mad at me that you can't think of anything logical to say. I never disputed your figures. You did.

From -4189357997124886884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 12:20:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.94.211.44!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 12:13:38 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A82FDF2.B5757C6A@uci.edu>
References: <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <20010208124736.17535.00002141@nso-fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15113
```

Len Over 21 wrote:

> My point was that the non-code-tested US amateur licensees
> keep increasing in number while the code-tested US amateur
> licensees are decreasing in number.

I have no problem with the data. I was simply correcting your poor assessment of the data - as have others, albeit for differing reasons.

```
From -375056271591861087
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 12:30:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 12:28:49 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A830181.A205884@uci.edu>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com> <3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146> <3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168> <3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org> <3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sj4p$8co$1@208.206.142.95> <3A81E42D.C02FF8DA@uci.edu> <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15114

Dick Carroll wrote:
> No. As long as he doesn't get down below .25 and cause everyone down
> there to have to stumble over him.

You just got done saying that his code speed made "no difference at all". Now that turns into "well, whether his code speed matters or not is a function of frequency." Evidently below .25 it's disasterous, and above .25 it's utterly inconsequential. I work above and below .25, and my radio works the same on either side of your demarcation line.

> Did you miss the point earlier? I can't see authorizing nocoders to
> work CW without any training in code whatver, and that's why.

Yes, I did miss that. Did you mention it earlier in our correspondence? Pray tell where?

73 de ac6xg

From -7787774926659653054
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 12:50:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
newshub2.home.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 20:02:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95uu0t01o9l@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sj4p$8co$1@208.206.142.95>
<3A81E42D.C02FF8DA@uci.edu> <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156>
<95udod21600@enews2.newsguy.com> <95uik9$v4p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-447.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15115

In article <95uik9$v4p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB@arrl.org says...
>
>In article <95udod21600@enews2.newsguy.com>,
>  tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
>
>> Who, in their right mind, would even try to work CW if they had no
>knowlege of
>> code whatsoever?
>
>I guess we all did, originally.  Never heard of anyone born with their
>brain already hardwired with Morse.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB


I never heard of anyone like that either. However, I think it would be more
accurate to say that most hams originally learned what each pattern of dits
and duhs meant before attempting to communicate with such an encoding scheme.



From -6445856625564871002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 12:51:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!headwall.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 20:13:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <95uul311o9l@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sj4p$8co$1@208.206.142.95>
<3A81E42D.C02FF8DA@uci.edu> <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156>
<95udod21600@enews2.newsguy.com> <95uk1r$l3i$3@208.207.71.142>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-617.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15116

In article <95uk1r$l3i$3@208.207.71.142>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
```

>> Who, in their right mind, would even try to work CW if they had no knowlege
of
>> code whatsoever?
>
>
>Who indeed???? "Just as long as I don't have to pass a hazing-ritual
>test".

Been there, done that.

From -103033969310628801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 13:00:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 12:56:59 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A83081B.9F706174@uci.edu>
References: <95j0bq$9p2$0@208.206.142.68>
<29520-3A7D94B4-10@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165> <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146>
<3a80d959.1153399@news.mindspring.com> <95qn8u$n6n$0@208.207.71.168>
<3a820ab9.19799016@news.mindspring.com> <95t6uv$ppc$9@208.207.71.156>
<3A8221EB.7424CCEE@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15117

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Ah, so now because engineers aren't required to know Morse code is
> > reason enough for hams to not know it either. Pure logic, we see.
>
> Is anybody except hams required to know Morse code in the 21st century?

The guy who programs the Nokia cell phones and the police repeaters prolly has to know it.

From 4324122177484651242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 13:03:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205519.14968.00001262@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95t63t$ppc$4@208.207.71.156> <aTyg6.127$HH5.862568@news.ntplx.net> <95ujti$l3i$1@208.207.71.142>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <UQDg6.133$HH5.885202@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 16:02:00 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981666228 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 16:03:48 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 16:03:48 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15118

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > > Not the point, nor should it be. The point is the availability of
more
> > > communications assets.

> > Would you recommend a rules change that requires that hams are required
to
> > have a specific number of communications assets available at their
stations,
> > then? If that really is your goal, that would be a much more certain
way of
> > accomplishing it. How many communications modes would you propose be
> > required?

> Come on, Ed, surely you know more about the ARS than that. The day when
> regulators can convince themselves that we have no value as a real
> operational communications asset is the day when our allocations are on
> the market for sure.

So your point is stated as being the availability of more communications
assets, but you don't think that anything other than retaining proficiency
testing for one of many many modes available to amateurs should be done
about it?

Do you think that retaining code testing is a good way to convince regulators that Amateur Radio has value as a real communications asset is the best way to do that? Can you cite any statements by any FCC staff that support your conclusion?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4150952302165126786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 13:07:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <c_kg6.122$HH5.815207@news.ntplx.net> <20010207215047.00714.00005780@nso-md.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <FUDg6.134$HH5.885356@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 16:06:02 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981666469 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 16:07:49 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 16:07:49 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15119

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> >> Hmmm. Just what *are* the "contributions" of these newly
> >> licensed hams you're talking about? Specifics, please -- include
> >> any relevant documentation -- news articles, media releases,
> >> etc.

> As well you should be. My own standard of what would be a
> significant "contribution" to the ARS would not come close to
> requiring the publication of an article in QST. Or 73, even!

Why did you require that the poster support his contention that some new hams would be making contributions by citing news articles or media releases? If you don't even require a QST article to demonstrate a contribution, don't you think it a bit extreme that you will only accept proof that makes the news.

> As far as I'm concerned, you could have rested on your laurels permanently
> after publishing your first article, but you didn't. I wish we had
> more hams like you, and no more of the kind we're getting with
> the dumbing-down of licensing requirements.

Yes, but I wasn't born this way, Larry; my first 2 decades as a ham (or as
an expired ham) contained no contributions whatsoever. And the
accomplishement I have done since then were NOT the result of the tests I
took. And I gotta' tell ya', Larry; when I was a 14 year old General, I was
as dumbed down as they come.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3190180452999178680
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 13:19:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeeds.belnet.be!
news.belnet.be!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 15:09:24 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A830B04.B6473FDA@IEEE.org>
References: <c_kg6.122$HH5.815207@news.ntplx.net>
<20010207215047.00714.00005780@nso-md.aol.com>
<FUDg6.134$HH5.885356@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.ad
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 21:19:05 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15120

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> And I gotta' tell ya', Larry; when I was a 14 year old General, I was
> as dumbed down as they come.

And when I was a 14 year old dumbed down General, you were
even dumber than me. :-)
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 2061420473159640694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e496,dc2cf3c9fafc94d6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e496,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 14:19:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!130.133.1.3!fu-
berlin.de!uni-berlin.de!cho2-dialup149.nexet.NET!not-for-mail
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@innocent.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.discrimination,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 17:18:56 -0500
Organization: I Speak Only for Myself.
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3A831B50.E4EB68FA@innocent.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95qgub0cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<i8bg6.2406$2g.174374@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6A83BE0.C07%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: cho2-dialup149.nexet.net (216.174.1.149)
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 981670739 19657379 216.174.1.149 (16 [52698])
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.discrimination:676 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9201
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12170 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15121 rec.radio.cb:25737
rec.radio.scanner:13507
```

"QSL" as a synonym for "yes," or "message received" is very old, perhaps almost as old as amateur radio itself.

But "contact," meaning "I want to contact you" is quite new, less than a decade of commonplace use I think. I think it migrated to amateur radio from the Citizen's Band, though I don't know if it originated there, and I welcome corrections if I'm wrong. All I know for certain is that it was almost never heard on the HF amateur bands from 1968 (when I started listening) until the 1990s.

Personally, I feel that "contact" when used with that meaning is outside the amateur tradition and also outside good English usage, so I don't utilize it. The traditional amateur term is "break."

With all good wishes,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

From 3215712104590745546
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 14:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!
newsfeed2.skycache.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!nntp.abs.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: offbreed@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Nomination for Troll of the month. Re: West Virginia farm boy comes of age
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 22:14:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <95v5o9$h7v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <95q12g$3dh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q9pc$aqs$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95srq1$ie4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95toh5$980$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82AC06.E2F9638A@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.151.104.85
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 22:14:39 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 208.151.104.85
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDoffbreed
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12171
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15123

In article <3A82AC06.E2F9638A@some.net>,
  JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> kc8jbo = cber

Also equals pathetic twit. Good thing for him he avoids alt flame. They like easy meat like him.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7150759235227644105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 14:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: n2ey@aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 22:10:05 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 83
Message-ID: <95v5fo$gsj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.197.200.14
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 22:10:05 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 151.197.200.14
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15122

In article <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Firecracker Material (courtesy of AH0A statistics on US ham licenses)-
>
> In the 9 months following US amateur radio restructuring, the
> total number of US amateur radio licenses increased by
> 3,701 (+0.55% of total). Code-tested licensees declined by
> 9,531 while non-code-tested licensees increased by 13,132.

(Rest snipped for brevity)

The above analysis is not correct.

The period being analyzed is April of 2000 to December of 2000. The relevant numbers are as follows:

April 2000
Total ARS: 678,539   Technicians: 205,857   All others: 472,682

December 2000
Total ARS: 682,240   Technicians: 218,989   All others: 463,251

This works out to the following changes:

Total ARS: +3,701   Technicians: +13,132   All others: -9,431

However, all is not as simple as it appears. As part of the restructuring changes, Technician Plus licenses will be renewed as Technicians. So the above apparent gain of 13,132 is inflated by such renewals, as is the apparent loss of 9,431 in the other classes.

Let's see how big this error really is. Looking at the renewal data on the Speroni site, we see that the number of Tech and Tech Plus renewals over the nine month period was 4,870. Because the FCC will not process renewals until the license is close to expiring, almost all of these 4,870 are Tech Pluses being renewed as Technicians. A fair estimate would be that about 4,700 of them were such renewals.

So the above numbers must be adjusted, because "Technicians" includes both code-tested and non-code-tested licensees. Doing the math, we get:

December 2000
Total ARS: 682,240   "noncodetested": 214,289 "codetested": 467,951

This works out to the following changes:

Total ARS: +3,701   "noncodetested": +8,432   "codetested": -4,731

Quite a difference from the earlier numbers, but it's still clear that the noncodetested numbers are growing while the codetested are shrinking. In round numbeers, the "noncodetested" are gaining at the rate of 937 per month and the "codetested" are shrinking at the rate of 525 per month.

But even THAT's not a complete or correct analysis.

All of the "codetested" licenses are, and have been, experiencing losses due to expirations - but none of the "noncodetested" licenses have expired. This situation will change in a week or so, however.

Suppose that the annual rate of expirations of "noncodetested" licenses is just 5%. Based on 215,000 licensees, that works out to 10,075 expirations per year, or about 840 per month. So the gain of 937 per month shrinks to just 97 per month IF a 5% annual dropout rate is correct.

In other words, the trend is not nearly so dramatic as was originally presented.

More important, however, is the simple fact that it is far too early to even guess at the long-term impact of the restructuring. It is also interesting that the January 2001 figures were not included. And it is to be expected that the easiest-to-get license will have large numbers of licensees.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 3421950727707899719
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f8d79,9d810f1943643ed0
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8d79,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 14:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!
newspeer.monmouth.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test
Subject: Re: Nomination for Troll of the month. Re: West Virginia farm boy comes
of age
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 22:39:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <95v76h$icb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <95q12g$3dh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q9pc$aqs$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95srq1$ie4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95toh5$980$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95u3ob$hbf$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A82AC1A.83CC1A8A@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.150.29.129
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 22:39:13 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/5.05 [subdimension.com] (X11; I; Linux 2.4.34 i886)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x51.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.150.29.129
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12174
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15124 alt.test:77405

In article <3A82AC1A.83CC1A8A@some.net>,
  JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> jr = childmolester
>
> KC8JBO wrote:
>
> > INBRED <offbreed@my-deja.com> whined in message
> > news:95toh5$980$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > In article <95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > >   KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > No, but you've seen the head of your daddy's dick before haven't
> > you?
> > >
> > > How did a CB'r ever get a Ham license?
> >
> > How did a half-breed simian-human hybrid  such as offbreed/inbred
get a
> > computer?
> >
> > --
> > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > Yaesu FT-90R
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 6557244244315527098
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 14:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!
feed.cgocable.net!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Nomination for Troll of the month. Re: West Virginia farm boy comes
of age
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 22:40:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <95v78f$ie8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <95q12g$3dh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q9pc$aqs$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95srq1$ie4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95toh5$980$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82AC06.E2F9638A@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.150.29.129
```

```
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 22:40:16 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/5.05 [subdimension.com] (X11; I; Linux 2.4.34 i886)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.150.29.129
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12175
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15125

In article <3A82AC06.E2F9638A@some.net>,
  JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> jr = cocksucker
>
> offbreed@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > In article <95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > No, but you've seen the head of your daddy's dick before haven't
you?
> >
> > How did a CB'r ever get a Ham license?
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -5117644599574025757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:20:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 23:05:05 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-
fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

```
<3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu> <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.75
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 23:05:05 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.75
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15126

In article <3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> Brian wrote:
> > So now one needs to be an expert in scaleology to be able to state
that
> > the numbers of coded hams are dropping and the number of no-coders
is
> > increasing.
>
> I don't recall you saying that.

That seemed to be your entire point.  If it wasn't then your message was
pointless.

> And I don't recall having said you NEEDED to be anything, Brian.

What exactly are you trying to be, Jim?

> Still trying to figure out what, if
> anything, you ARE expert at though.

The greatest hobby in the world - the one everyone talks about!


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 2949375905965444494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:00:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 01:55:19 GMT
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109>
```

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010207205519.14968.00001262@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14976

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>ANY RF generator can be on-off keyed to form Morse characters.

What percentage of Morse-code communications do you believe is accomplished with only a simple RF generator on-off keyed?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6825987289847658982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:02:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 01:57:19 GMT
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010207205719.14968.00001263@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14977

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>ANY RF generator can be on-off keyed to form Morse characters.

A single diode will allow that same generator to be FM'ed, too.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4706536416492106837
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:04:05 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:01:41 GMT
References: <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207210141.14968.00001265@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14978

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> What's wrong with the incentive of being able to work DX in the
>> lower 25 kHz?

> Why, when they can clog it up at 5wpm?

How many 5 wpm QSOs have you heard in the lower 25 kHz of the HF ham bands?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2717678090767787060
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:04:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Nomination for Troll of the month. Re: West Virginia farm boy comes
of age
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 01:48:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <95q12g$3dh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q9pc$aqs$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95srq1$ie4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.150.29.129
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 01:48:18 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/5.05 [subdimension.com] (X11; I; Linux 2.4.34 i886)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.150.29.129
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12126

```
rec.radio.amateur.policy:14979

In article <95srq1$ie4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  offbreed@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <95q9pc$aqs$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <95q12g$3dh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >  Inbred halfbreed@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > In article <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net>,
> > >   Stagger Lee <SLee@houston.net> wrote:
> >
> > <flush!>
> >
> > > Congratulations. I have seldom seen such an extreme reaction from
> > > anyone. Hook line sinker, and part of the fishing pole.
> >
> > Too bad he plaglarized it.. But that't the best he and YOU could
ever
> > hope to do.
>
> You've seen "Hook line sinker, and part of the fishing pole" before?

No, but you've seen the head of your daddy's dick before haven't you?

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 7535489602387031292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:06:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:03:04 GMT
References: <3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207210304.14968.00001266@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14980
```

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>Anybody got any ideas on how to cut down on the number of CW ops for
>poor ol' Dick here?

It appears that Dick has a number of ideas on how to cut down on the number of CW ops. His constantly denigrating the mode and those who might want to use it are his start.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 3729330770442379782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:13:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:10:38 GMT
References: <95rv4d$mgh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Message-ID: <20010207211038.14968.00001267@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14981
```

>From: Dan/W4NTI w4nti@my-deja.com

>Congratulations you figured it out....to continue.....more enforcement
>that then forces the FCC to decide to terminate ham radio because it is
>out of control.

Can you offer any examples of other Services for which the FCC took the action you describe?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 8608328708787480389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,802507f4c831fc0f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:20:50 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:17:24 GMT
References: <B6A2FB07.12EE%geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The League's latest dues hike announcement
Message-ID: <20010207211724.14968.00001269@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14982

>From: George McCouch geomac@hopkinsville.net

>I really do not know if it was available then, but the yearly membership of
>$5.00 for me was two weeks allowance and seemed very reasonable at the time.

A few years ago, my wife and I gave her teen-age kids $20 a week allowance.
The kids are 25 and 28 now.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3184923446484698549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:22:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95m92h$lv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205132120.00445.00001527@nso-
bj.aol.com> <95sbij$3ad$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <ljng6.95$05.62647@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Wed, 7 Feb 2001 20:18:01 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.177
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 981598545 206.29.242.177 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 21:15:45
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 21:15:45 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14983

```
"K0HB" <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:95sbij$3ad$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> > Code-tested licensees declined by 9,531 while non-code-tested
> > licensees increased by 13,132
>
> You cannot determine that from the statistics at AH0A.
>
> Some of the "growth" of the Technican class license consists of
> code-tested licensees.
>
> Since the April restructuring of last year, all code-tested
> Tech Plus who renew are being renewed as simply "Technician".  Thus
> the "Technician" column now contains roughly 10% (minus attrition
> and upgrades) of licensees from the old "Technician Plus" ranks.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

If it really disturbs you, you can safely assume that any Technician
renewal from April thru January is actually a Tech Plus.  This crutch is
about to disappear however.

Dick N�BK





From -5189776745617840815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:23:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:18:58 GMT
References: <20010204121631.29597.00005579@nso-mi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207211858.14968.00001270@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14984

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Hmmm.  Just what *are* the "contributions" of these newly
>licensed hams you're talking about?  Specifics, please -- include
```

>any relevant documentation -- news articles, media releases,
>etc.

What percentage of the oldly licensed hams have such relevant new articles or media releases? Have you written and published any articles yourself?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7727173481720779993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:27:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:21:00 GMT
References: <20010204113620.29597.00005573@nso-mi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010207212100.14968.00001271@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14985

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>The "government welfare" program is the reduction/elimination of
>code testing, not the other way around.

Clearly, Cecil believes that shoring up a mode that you believe cannot stand on its own by the artificial use of testing is welfare. Kind of like sending money to those who are unable to earn a living on their own.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4285242710715986504
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:37:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:33:31 GMT
References: <20010204223439.13655.00002587@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207213331.14968.00001275@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14986

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>I truly wonder what percent of all hams have ever made even
>>a single contact on any frequency (ham frequency) above
>>500 MHz?

>Probably around .05 percent, and that's being generous.

The ARRL web-page survey (admittedly unscientific) says that you are off by about 2 orders of magnitude. The number of hams who report that their highest QSO was above the 70 cm band was 19.6%.

See:

http://www.arrl.org/survey.php3?pollnr=25

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 465774592436027153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:46:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!feeder.via.net!news.he.net!news.peak.org!not-for-mail
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: 8 Feb 2001 02:11:21 GMT
Organization: Bill's eclectic interest group
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <95sv89$8au$1@bashir.peak.org>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95rv4d$mgh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: spock.peak.org
User-Agent: tin/pre-1.4-19990216 ("Styrofoam") (UNIX) (Linux/2.2.17 (i686))
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9161
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12131 rec.radio.amateur.policy:14987 rec.radio.cb:25653

In rec.radio.cb Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:

: Wonder how much money 75 meters will bring?

The whole of the HF band would bring very little. The inconsistant
propogation characteristics guarantee that.

--
Bill Nelson   (billn@peak.org)



From 4312506355240663212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:48:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:46:15 GMT
References: <95ssaa$isk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010207214615.14968.00001276@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14988

>From: n2ey@aol.com

>> I am NOT impressed with the methods being used.  To the contrary, I
>believe
>> that the anger, the bitterness and the name calling that is being
>done by some
>> to "defend" the mode is setting the stage for failure.

>What about the anger, bitterness and name calling on the other side?

I have never seen the other side use anger, bitterness or name calling to
defend the mode. :-)

More seriously, I recall many a conversation with some on the "other" side
about their "debating style."  Look back a few years to see the ongoing
discussions between me and WA6VSE.
```

>> All I see by those who may think they are "defending" Morse code is
>> posturing and name calling.

>Some of us are trying to combat false information with facts.

I must apologize for not including the words "some of" in front ot the word
"those" in my sentence.

>> IMHO, taking real steps to get people from 5 wpm
>> to what you term "journeyman" levels is more valuable than the use of
>the term
>> "bleating cattle" on rrap.

>How about "electronic paintball wars"? ;-)

Yup, I remember giving good ol' Carl a particular hard time about that one.
:-)

>The fun never left. We just need to stop hiding our light under a
>bushel.

Agreed.

>I'll show you my list if you show me yours. ;-)

I readily concede that your list is bigger. Good for you, Jim. I am sorry
that my choice of words may have painted you with a bigger brush than I
intended.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 371349007822688077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:53:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:48:52 GMT
References: <95skdi$c1c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Message-ID: <20010207214852.14968.00001277@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14989

>From: t glenn ab7rs@arrl.net

>The ARRL is the problem...not the solution.--

What do you think ARRL should be doing differently that they are doing now?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 694971070300931238
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:54:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:50:46 GMT
References: <owkg6.116$HH5.812768@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207215046.00714.00005778@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14990

In article <owkg6.116$HH5.812768@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>Doesn't CW get through when nothing else will? ;-)
>

Ed:

A QRP CW signal usually won't get through in the same passband
being occupied by dozens of high-power phone signals. CW is an
extraordinarily robust mode, but it is not infallible.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5801760884489881955
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:54:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!

```
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:50:47 GMT
References: <vxkg6.117$HH5.812673@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207215047.00714.00005779@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14991

In article <vxkg6.117$HH5.812673@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>
>> >- . -.   ..-. --- ..- .-.   --. ..- -..   -... ..- -.. -.. -.--
>
>> Since I never served in the Navy, and have always used the Morse/CW
>> in its aural form, you're gonna have to send this as a .WAV file so I
>> can copy it properly.
>
>Are you really saying that you can't piece that together? :-)

Ed:

Actually, no.  I can't discern the spaces between the letters/words;
it looks a lot like the way most CW being sent these days sounds --
run-together gibberish.  10-4, good buddy?  (I cleaned up the spelling,
sorry!)  Yep.  How appropriate!

73 de Larry, K3LT


From 7919744477087206893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:54:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:50:47 GMT
References: <c_kg6.122$HH5.815207@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
```

Message-ID: <20010207215047.00714.00005780@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14992

In article <c_kg6.122$HH5.815207@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>
>> Hmmm. Just what *are* the "contributions" of these newly
>> licensed hams you're talking about? Specifics, please -- include
>> any relevant documentation -- news articles, media releases,
>> etc.
>
>I was first licensed in 1963. My first QST article was, if memory serves,
>in 1987. I sure am glad I didn't have some old timer telling me how
>worthless I was all those years.

Ed:

As well you should be. My own standard of what would be a significant "contribution" to the ARS would not come close to requiring the publication of an article in QST. Or 73, even! I'd settle for new hams that can read the owner's manuals that come with their off-the-shelf appliances, and can figure out for themselves how to store a PL tone in a memory channel, without needing someone else to show them which button to push, how long to hold it, and what to do afterwards. As far as I'm concerned, you could have rested on your laurels permanently after publishing your first article, but you didn't. I wish we had more hams like you, and no more of the kind we're getting with the dumbing-down of licensing requirements.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3446688565477227207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:54:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:50:48 GMT
References: <20010207203940.14954.00001479@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207215048.00714.00005782@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14993

In article <20010207203940.14954.00001479@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Yes, but are you proposing that having such an exam structure is appropriate?
>
>How many exam steps do you think are necessary and appropriate between the
>granting of minimal Amateur Priveleges and the granting of the whole set?

Ed:

I believe that what was in place prior to April 15, 2000 was just about perfect. I would have been quite willing to change that structure in accordance with my proposed structure stated in my comments to the FCC on the Restructuring NPRM: eliminate the Novice class, alter the written tests slightly, and give 100% of HF voice privileges to the 12/13 WPM Advanced class license, leaving the CW DX windows and the 1x2 and 2x1 callsigns as the only remaining incentives with a 20 WPM code test attached to them.

>>My question for you is -- what do you have against striving to
>>achieve a lofty goal?
>
>Do you see everything through the filter of striving, or a lack thereof?

An even better question would be why do you see nothing through such a "filter?" What in the bloody blue blazes is wrong with establishing and maintaining a set of reasonable requirements, geared toward obtaining practical communications skills, to be achieved in order to attain valuable operating privileges? Do you really think the clients of the Welfare State will flock to the ARRL en masse once the bar is dropped to the ground? The truth is, a lot of those foot draggers will trip over it anyway, so you may as well do what you want to do, and bury it totally! Then you'll have a perfectly level, politically correct playing field!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 9018918339668180913
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:55:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:50:46 GMT
References: <95rv8k$mjo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Message-ID: <20010207215046.00714.00005776@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14994

In article <95rv8k$mjo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, jason_hsu@my-deja.com writes:

>
>I will personally try moving up to faster Morse Code speeds. Maybe CW
>will allow me to work the 80m band, as SSB seems to be heavily
>distorted and/or noise-infested.

Jason:

Just as well; you can't use anything BUT CW in the 80-meter band
here in North America! BTW -- SSB *is* heavily distorted and/or
noise infested on all HF bands!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5729192691757012571
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:55:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:50:31 GMT
References: <20010204223439.13655.00002587@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207215031.14968.00001278@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14995

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Yet, after all this time, I can not send a wireless
>message over strictly amateur-based circuits any further than my
>own county line -- and most of the time, I can't even get that far!

Have you tried the amateur packet satellites?

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3815507740533716241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:55:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:50:46 GMT
References: <DUkg6.120$HH5.814810@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Message-ID: <20010207215046.00714.00005777@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14996

In article <DUkg6.120$HH5.814810@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>
>ARRL HQ has a staff of about 120 people. Mendelsohn is not an HQ staffer,
>btw; your dealings with him have been in his role as a volunteer. What
>percentage of those 120 people who work at HQ have you actually has personal
>contact with?

Ed:

More than enough to give me a generally negative impression of
the attitude of the ARRL HQ staff toward members -- and that's
all that matters.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3313947623666082239
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 18:56:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 02:50:48 GMT
References: <20010207203520.14954.00001478@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010207215048.00714.00005781@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14997

In article <20010207203520.14954.00001478@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>until the slouchers of the Welfare State finally managed to get the
>>notion of working toward desired goals deemed to be politically
>>incorrect, non-inclusive, and mean-spirited.
>
>Larry, it is not working that has been seen as being mean spirited.

Ed:

You've obviously never had to manage an office staffed with a
significant number of people hired under Affirmative Action programs.
Ever heard of "broken rifle" syndrome?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4276034203309498885
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:08:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 20:57:59 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A820B37.AF42451C@IEEE.org>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com>
<95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109> <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:08:22 GMT

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14998

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I was a ham who lost privileges because of it. Rather than let it piss
> me off, I, a mail order General class ham, shortly made the 260
> miles-one-way trip to the FCC office and came away with an Extra class.
> Just as many, many other hams did.

It didn't pi$$ you off when you lost privileges but it did pi$$ you off
when you didn't lose any privileges on April 15? That's weird, Dick, even
for you.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 1062302817680202136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:08:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 03:01:46 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 68
Message-ID: <3a820ab9.19799016@news.mindspring.com>
References: <95j0bq$9p2$0@208.206.142.68>
<29520-3A7D94B4-10@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165> <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146>
<3a80d959.1153399@news.mindspring.com> <95qn8u$n6n$0@208.207.71.168>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.40.93
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:05:05 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:14999

On 7 Feb 2001 05:42:54 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On 7 Feb 2001 01:11:10 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>> > Any ham who cannot perform minimally at Morse code, the most basic
>> >radio communications mode, is inherently unqualified at basic radio
>> >communications.
>>
>> Let me resort to calling this statement exactly what it is: Pure bunk!
>>

>> >When one is unqualified at the basics, how can he claim to be fully
>> >accomplished?
>>
>> The statement can be made because your claim as to morse
>> being a basic mode is based on the misguided belief that to
>> be accomplished requires knowing all that went before.
>> In radio operations, one needs NO knowledge of morse to
>> be accomplished...anymore than engineers today need no
>> knowledge of the slide rule to be accomplished, or that
>> a driver needs no knowledge of a manual gearbox to be
>> accomplished.
>>
>> Morse knowledge is NOT a building block to being
>> competent in any other mode.
>>
>> > You really don't get it at all, it's plain to see. This isn't about
>> >me, nor you, except that you would join them for reasons of your own.
>> >It's about those who prefer to ignore reality. That is: The most basic
>> >radio communications mode is radiotelegraphy. Find one that you can show
>> >to be more basic and we'll look again. I won't stay up late waiting.
>>
>> Do you need to know how to use a bow and arrow to be
>> accomplished as a had gun user?
>>
>> Dick, it appears to me that you are getting desparate in
>> your cause.
>
> So you don't believe that radiotelegraphy is the most simple, easily
>implemented means of radio communication yet devised?

Not so at all. I agree with that statement, I don't agree that
anyone needs to be knowledgeable in the USE of morse
to be considered accomplished in the radio arts (be it
technically or on an operational basis). Indeed, for Dick's
claim to be valid one would then want to question why
engineering schools have never required even a working knowledge
of the morse code itself before graduating engineers.

>Why are we not
>surprised? Of course you wouldn't see any reason for anyone to be able
>to use it.

You misread once again. I see no reason to REQUIRE anyone
to be able to use it. I have absolutely no problem with anyone
using it as their personal choice.

> Poetic justice would be served if you were to find yourself in dire
>circumstances where your voice mode just couldn't quite cut the mustard,
>and you were left with nothing but a PTT to key as CW.....

A yes, the good old morse myth #27 once again. If the need
was of any consequence, then why doesn't the military
require it anymore?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -1147219468897045712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:19:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:18:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 133
Message-ID: <95t372$liu$0@208.207.71.156>
References: <20010206083316.09590.00001719@nso-bk.aol.com>
<14105-3A802BEE-15@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95qojh$5pc$0@208.207.71.168> <58ag6.1078$0W5.364698@nntp3.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15000
```

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:95qojh$5pc$0@208.207.71.168...
> >
> >
> > >
> > > There is a place for ham radio to relay a message during a disaster to
> > > nieces and nephews that Aunt Suzy is safe. That`s worthwhile and
> > > memorable.
> >
> >
> > And when the infrastructure goes out- and contrary to your dreams, it
> > DOES go out, authorities are more than pleased to utilize ham radio for
> > serious purposes when needed, even if you don't believe it.
>
> Having been thru two earthquakes including one with an epicenter in metro
> St. Louis in my days there, there is nothing in this world more
> terrifying.

I have copies of some old first person accounts from survivors of the 1811-1812 series that lasted from Dec 16 through March. Several were major quakes at 8.0 or higher. That must have been quite a ride.

Earthquakes in the mid-Continent are not the localized
> (however severe) California type but cover vast areas. It is said that
> the big one in the early 19th century rang the church bells in Washington
> DC with aftershocks for months.

Yep, the underlying substructure throughout the Midwest and East is quite different from California. The Midwest was once an ocean floor and the limestone rock is deep and extends most everywhere. It carries the shock waves much more efficiently than the West Coast substructure. The 1811 New Madrid shake rang church bells in Boston and cracked chimney in DC and South Carolina.
BTW, I heard on TV a week or so ago that there was a 4.0, I believe it was, in New York City. Most people there don't know it, but they, too have a serious fault system and are at substantial seismic risk.

Should another New Madrid occur, you can
> write off St. Louis, Memphis, and pretty much everything in between.
>
> Two questions:
>
> 1. Dick, if you were involved in planning just what did the plan entail?
> How do you plan for Swampeast Missouri to essentially disappear?

It's not an easy one, fer sure. The conclusion was soon reached that there will be nothing in the affected region that could be depended upon to function as a normal asset, including any surviving critical personnel who would be faced with the same disaster conditions as everyone else, with their families, homes and pesonal affairs to attend to. So whatever relief happens will be assumed to come from the outside. Initial reports of what happend where, to whom and with what resulting needs and demands in what quantities will be crucial to timely dispatch of effective relief efforts. Frankly, the planning solution must be much more general than specific for those very reasons.

BTW, I read once that there are 100,000 masonary buildings in St.Louis that are earthqhuake risks. Seemed a high number to me, but there are still no codes for construction there that mandate sufficient hardening. Memphis is in even worse condition, that entire city is built on a mudflat several hundred feet deep which will liquify when the shaking starts, and what doesn't disintegrate will sink in the muck.

> 2. For numbers alone, the amateur service cannot be planned for in any
> disaster scenario. The history is that we fortuitously appear like the
> gnomes in the night.

Exactly. And the fact that hams are so widely dispersed throughout the populace, with stations which generally can easily be utilized apart from the normal unmbilicle of the gridded infrastructure makes them vitally obvious first/early response communications assets. The instant need post-event is what hams will be able to provide-the who, what, where, when, how much and why that is always the hanging question and without which no efficient, well planned response by relief columns can be intiated. Effective respoonse can be begun only after sufficient accurate information is received that can be worked up onto a set of opertional plans. Information is vital as to surviving roads, bridges, airport runways, hospitals- will field hospitals be needed, etc etc. LOTS of details surface. Of course agency information gathering overflights from outside will begin as soon as possible, but even these need preliminary information to work with.

> The most obvious is H&W traffic which sort of
> implies a National Traffic System if things are not going to turn into a
> cluster. What sort of shape is it in?

I'm not up to speed these days on much of this since I've been retired for nearly 7 years now, and am really out of the loop. I wasn't a bit unhappy to leave all that to another generation.
Maybe that's why I advocate not abandoning CW as an actual communications asset, kept as a backup for the times when other modes can't do the job.It's no big deal to switch from SSB to CW when needed if operators are capable, and there can always be an additional CW circuit set up if needed, that happens at times as a routine matter. Of course the first choice would be some good digital mode, maybe PACTOR, but the overhead needed is such that many hams who don't use it aren't equipped. It can't be depended upon tobe available when and where needed.
There is not a strict necessity to have a full CW practice net, at least for those who are familiar with traffic handling, and that's one thing I did a bunch in my years with MHP. It would be nice if most hams who see this as something beyond 'just a hobby' would get interested enough to work on that side of things a bit. And I DON'T mean only CW.

In Guatemala many years ago, the
> H&W traffic was flown into New Orleans on Pan Am and NTS from there.
> Still possible?

I'd expect so. For sure the Internet/email will play into any future such activity, but of course no one knows how it would be affected, either.

Good solution for the remaining amateurs in Guatemala had
> better things to do and a long-term working relationship with the Red
> Cross was put into use to do H&W. The question is whether anyone at any
> level has even the barest outline of a sketch to handle anything like
> this?

Again, I'm not current on the disaster relief comm. scene, but the last

I knew ARC had ham volunteers being sent on most every disaster team
package they sent out. I knew some hams from Springfield who went on
Hurricane Hugo.

Dick W0EX

From -5155637478676203066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:19:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 21:08:43 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A820DBB.729AACA1@IEEE.org>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <95s6uq01si5@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95sirg$8co$0@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:16:13 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15001

Dick Carroll wrote:
> There is more and more CW activity as time goes by, instead of less.

There were wall-to-wall CW QSOs in the 40m Novice band in 1953.
Now sometimes, I don't hear a single CW signal on that band.
But I hope you are right overall.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7813301058884162888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:23:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!

falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!nntp.abs.net!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 21:12:44 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A820EAC.C2127D8A@IEEE.org>
References: <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146> <20010207210141.14968.00001265@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:20:05 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15002

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> What's wrong with the incentive of being able to work DX in the
> >> lower 25 kHz?
>
> > Why, when they can clog it up at 5wpm?
>
> How many 5 wpm QSOs have you heard in the lower 25 kHz of the HF ham bands?

Hey Ed, wanna try one? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -312637598647351334
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:31:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: t glenn <ab7rs@arrl.net>

```
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 03:23:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <95t3ep$olt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95q3q8$5pm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95skdi$c1c$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95snk0$epo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.175.158
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 03:23:10 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98; Win 9x 4.90)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.175.158
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDteglenn
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9164
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12133 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15003 rec.radio.cb:25660

In article <95snk0$epo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <95skdi$c1c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   t glenn <ab7rs@arrl.net> wrote:
> > In article <95q3q8$5pm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I wish there were an organization in America that would work to
> > > improve ham
> > > > radio, licensing, and  improve the level of expertise of its
> > > operators.
> >
> > The organization that you're looking for is the ARRL.
> > >
> >
> > The ARRL is the problem...not the solution.--
> > AB7RS,Tracy
>
> Tracy, pretend for a moment that I know nothing of the ARRL and the
ARS.
>  Now explain your position WRT the ARRL, and identify the organization
> that you believe to be the solution, and state why.
>
> Thanks, Brian
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>
There is no organization that is "the solution" more hams put thier
faith in the ARRL than any other organization and the ARRL threw it all
away,trampling the traditions of this once great pursuit one by one.TG
--
AB7RS,Tracy
```

```
Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 8345349220334630707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:32:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 21:18:23 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A820FFF.F089B580@IEEE.org>
References: <95j0bq$9p2$0@208.206.142.68>
<29520-3A7D94B4-10@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165> <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146>
<3A80D607.D044EB6@IEEE.org> <95qmv8$khg$0@208.207.71.168>
<3A80DF7A.186960EC@IEEE.org> <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109>
<3a81dc24.7871681@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:28:43 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15004

Bill Sohl wrote:
> > 2meter FM is basic radio communication? On what planet?
>
> Given the ease and common place existence of a
> preponderance of 2m FM, it is, indeed a basic mode today.

Yep, on your and my planet, Bill, but not on Dick's planet. Signals
from his planet takes 75 years to get here. That's why he thinks
they are still using nothing but Morse code.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 5393332858671149942
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
```

```
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 21:21:52 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A8210D0.E95949EA@IEEE.org>
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205719.14968.00001263@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:32:13 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15005

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >ANY RF generator can be on-off keyed to form Morse characters.
>
> A single diode will allow that same generator to be FM'ed, too.

And a single diode/cap will allow demodulation of an AM signal without
all the complex additional BFO electronics required for CW. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -4912098173419225214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 21:32:19 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A821343.C398020D@IEEE.org>
References: <95qmv8$khg$0@208.207.71.168> <20010207205321.14968.00001261@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

```
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:42:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15006

W1RFI wrote:
> It looks to me like two rrapers
> carrying an argument to any extreme necessary to outpoint the other.

That's what I like to do, Ed - deliberately reflect a mirror
image of the lunatic fringe with which I'm conversing. It's an
educational technique I learned during my education degree and
is supposed to slowly sink in and reveal the illogic being used
by the other side. It sinks in at an infinitesimally small rate
with Dick but I am a very patient person.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 4679522850390898847
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:48:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!codeine.org!
newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 21:36:59 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A82145B.D87C83A8@IEEE.org>
References: <95pf1b$gsb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207204605.14954.00001480@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:46:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15007

W1RFI wrote:
> I know of no human tissue that is non-linear, ...

Some of the brains behind the keyboards on this newsgroup seem
rather non-linear to me. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From -4699141878776609884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:49:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:47:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68>
<3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org> <95j18u$btb$0@208.206.142.68>
<rukg6.115$HH5.812522@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15008



"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > > I'm telling you, Dick, if you don't learn DSP techniques, you are going
> > > to be a technically obsolete/ignorant ham in the not too distant future.
> > > All filtering and detection functions will soon be done with DSP.
>
> >  ***NO***!!! Maybe *most* newly manufactured SSB and some other gear
> > will incorporate DSP functions. Not homebrew nor kitted gear which is
> > becoming so popular with so many hams these days. Your statement is
> > simply untrue. Stop trying to remake the ARS to your own personal
> > specifications.
>
> Cecil is not the one remaking technology, Dick; the technological world is
```

> evolving. IMHO, the very survival of our Service could depend on the number
> of hams who learn enough about new technology to stay relevant. Our
> survival may also depend on the number of hams who say "***NO***!!!" to
> learning that new technology, too.
>
> Riley Hollingsworth has been telling hams that we should not let Amateur
> Radio become obsolete under our watch. What do you think he means by that
> and why do you think he is saying that to his fellow hams?
>

ED just what are you saying? I already know, and have known for a long time, how a digitized signal process works, where it samples an analog wave, digitizes it and later reconstitutes it as analog once again, sans noise. That's not new, nor is understanding the process equivalent to rocket science.

BUT--- if, like Cecil, you're telling me that Riley and his buddy Dale Hatfield insist that I and any serious percentage of ham radio licensees must become digital designers just to assure the survival of the ARS, you might as well go ahead and pass the word to them that they can begin the Big Shutdown anytime now. It ain't gonna happen. No such educational revolution is in the offing nor shall it ever be.

I for one am damn tired of being told what we "must" do to insure ham radio's survival, all the while the written tests are made dead-easy to pass without any effective learning of the material being absorbed by the entrant. And that's not just my opinion, rather dead on fact as evidenced by so many so clueless who aren't even bashful about admitting it publicly.

The whole situation looks more and more like a scheme to ease us out of our most commercially valuable spectrum segments, and that is something that I consider to be inevitable anyway. They WILL be taken from us as commercial demand for them reaches critical mass, as has always been done, and logically so. But with hams having become so dumbed down they'll have the perfect rejoinder to our protestations- "We told you if you'all didn't become rocket scientists you'd lose frequencies...."

Dick W0EX

```
From 7205353837528030070
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:49:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!uunet!sea.uu.net!
lax.uu.net!newsfeed.intelenet.net!not-for-mail
From: "John" <w9jcm@.reno.quik.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
<3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 03:47:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.213.147.178
X-Complaints-To: abuse@quik.com
X-Trace: newsfeed.intelenet.net 981604042 209.213.147.178 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001
19:47:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 19:47:22 PST
Organization: Quik Internet -- http://www.quik.com/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9166
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12134 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15009 rec.radio.cb:25662
rec.radio.scanner:13476

guess what freebander! your breaking the law!!! OH but youre so mature and
can express yourself. Why not express yourself to the FCC office and tell
them what and where you operate.
"h" <not@aol.com> wrote in message news:t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com...
> It's true look at your own foul and filthy mouth!
> Ham's always use foul language and filthy talk on the radio just like they
> do on this newsgroup. Freebanders know better. Freebanders are mature and
> can express themselves without spewing forth filthy language.
>
> --
> Sincerely,
> Ken
> pwrguy@intergrafix.net
> <TR@sky.net> wrote in message news:3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net...
> > On Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:01:46 -0500, "h" <not@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > >If he had a license he is a HAM not a CB'er
> > >Frankly Freebanders are like the Hams of old. If you look at the FCC
> > >enforcement logs The Hams are out of control and need enforcement while
> > >Freebanders are mature and working to improver thier knowledge, save
> lives,
> > >promote freedom and democracy, and gernerally aid and improve the
> geneneral
> > >welfare or thier comunities.
> > >
> > >--
> > >Sincerely,

```
> > >Ken
> >
> >  Total BULLSHIT. You know and I know it.
> >
>
>
```

```
From -6219150238245632474
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:49:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:48:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <95t4u7$ppc$1@208.207.71.156>
References: <3A7E2A65.10D7D804@IEEE.org> <20010206214215.00714.00005654@nso-
md.aol.com> <vxkg6.117$HH5.812673@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15010
```

```
"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
>
> > >- . -.   ..-. --- ..- .-.   --. ..- -..   -... ..- -.. -.. -.--
>
> > Since I never served in the Navy, and have always used the Morse/CW
> > in its aural form, you're gonna have to send this as a .WAV file so I
> > can copy it properly.
>
> Are you really saying that you can't piece that together? :-)


 He's probably like me- not interested enough to bother. That is nothing
whatever like copying code off the air.
```

From -4902768368750554195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:52:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <fm548tsclodlqidtia00ob6mic73uomppc@4ax.com>
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205519.14968.00001262@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 03:50:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981604230 209.86.207.171 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 19:50:30 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 19:50:30 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15011

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>What percentage of Morse-code communications do you believe is accomplished
>with only a simple RF generator on-off keyed?

I made lots of contacts with my old Meissner Signal Shifter...

From 237617914413364879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:53:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <bl548t8o64bedbcun0uo9nrn3gl3aolp3l@4ax.com>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com>
<95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>

```
<95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109> <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 03:50:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981604233 209.86.207.171 (Wed, 07 Feb
2001 19:50:33 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 19:50:33 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15012

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> Lets get **THIS** straight - You said "All it (incentive licensing did
>was piss off a lot of hams who lost privileges because of it".
> I was a ham who lost privileges because of it. Rather than let it piss
>me off, I, a mail order General class ham, shortly made the 260
>miles-one-way trip to the FCC office and came away with an Extra class.
>Just as many, many other hams did.
> So, Pal, you don't know what you're talking about. And that surprises
>no one.

I think he is right Dick. Incentive licensing did anger a lot of hams.
I was one of them. I remember talking to lots of hams who thought as I
did about the unfairness of removing privileges once granted. I
finally used a Bash book to memorize my way to an Advanced, but  I was
too lazy to get my speed up for the Extra. (I was not a CW buff at the
time.) Ironically now that I do work all CW, my Advanced is useless...
:-)


From -5038714784077822357
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:53:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <mj548t493ko2robmaf4pafgq7evitgggvm@4ax.com>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
```

```
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sfaq08hl@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A81CE6D.89829ADB@uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 03:50:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981604235 209.86.207.171 (Wed, 07 Feb
2001 19:50:35 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 19:50:35 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15013

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>And now we've got folks who couldn't fix a squeeky wheel if their life
>depended on it.

I'll bet even you couldn't fix my TS-870 if certain parts
in it started squeaking...   :-)



From 8175095519809569815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:53:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <4j548t8jdd6va6js9apifd82k3ka1in5d8@4ax.com>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <95s6uq01si5@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95sirg$8co$0@208.206.142.95>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 03:50:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981604237 209.86.207.171 (Wed, 07 Feb
```

2001 19:50:37 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 19:50:37 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15014

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> There is more and more CW activity as time goes by, instead of less.

80 meters is virtually dead at night here now. I seldom hear more than
one or two people on the whole band. It wasn't that way just 10 years
ago. 40 years ago it was wall to wall. And so was the Novice band...

From 5774209197051142035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:53:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <ih548toldfcd8792epomrot59apcte22h2@4ax.com>
References: <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org> <3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org>
<3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org> <3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org>
<3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org> <3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org>
<3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org> <3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org>
<3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org> <3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org>
<3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org> <3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org>
<95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68> <3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org>
<95j18u$btb$0@208.206.142.68> <rukg6.115$HH5.812522@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 03:50:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981604240 209.86.207.171 (Wed, 07 Feb
2001 19:50:40 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 19:50:40 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15015

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> IMHO, the very survival of our Service could depend on the number
>of hams who learn enough about new technology to stay relevant.

Unless you intend to leave out the lay people, it is unrealistic to believe that average hams can keep up with current technology to the extent that they can advance the state of the art, or be of any value to the military. And if they can't do those things, what good are they to the government (as they once were)...

>survival may also depend on the number of hams who say "***NO***!!!" to
>learning that new technology, too.

These non-technical hams just might save the hobby by providing emergency communications, something that is still valuable to the government...

>Riley Hollingsworth has been telling hams that we should not let Amateur
>Radio become obsolete under our watch.

Unfortunately Riley has been trying to get us to stop fighting amongst ourselves and to stop profaning the airwaves. Technical stuff seems to be a secondary problem for him these days..

From 5469564769620061454
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:54:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!headwall.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:04:43 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <95t2cb0vdn@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com> <3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146> <3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168> <3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org> <3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sfaq08hl@enews4.newsguy.com> <95sj9d$8co$2@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-598.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15016

In article <95sj9d$8co$2@208.206.142.95>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

> It's plain as day- you have no clue. You haven't had even a receiver on
>the ham bands lately, if ever.

I've heard enough. Most of it is weather reports, health problems, and/or "RIG HR IS..., ANT HR IS..." which I couldn't care any less about. DX is nothing more than a callsign and signal report exchange, with no meaningful conversations because so many other vultures want their precious wallpaper. If I'd want to turn a young person off to ham radio, all I have to do is turn my receiver on, and not say a word. The average QSO speaks for itself.

From -8699263734976646445
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:54:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <3h548ts75v84u3t8ehch12u9fgbvpih9jd@4ax.com>
References: <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146> <20010207210141.14968.00001265@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 4
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 03:50:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981604242 209.86.207.171 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001 19:50:42 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 19:50:42 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15017

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>How many 5 wpm QSOs have you heard in the lower 25 kHz of the HF ham bands?

I can't remember the last time I heard a 5 wpm QSO on any band...

From 434100044899790123
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:55:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail

```
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 21:40:44 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A82153C.92407C03@IEEE.org>
References: <20010204113620.29597.00005573@nso-mi.aol.com>
<20010207212100.14968.00001271@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:50:21 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15018

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >The "government welfare" program is the reduction/elimination of
> >code testing, not the other way around.
>
> Clearly, Cecil believes that shoring up a mode that you believe cannot stand on
> its own by the artificial use of testing is welfare.  Kind of like sending
> money to those who are unable to earn a living on their own.

It reminds me of the government paying my uncle to build a lake
on his land. He used the lake to irrigate the corn the government
paid him not to plant.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -6333785051338436472
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 19:57:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:57:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
```

```
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sj4p$8co$1@208.206.142.95>
<3A81E42D.C02FF8DA@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15019
```

Jim Kelley wrote:

>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > You couldn't be more wrong, and it is really curious how you managed to
> > come to that conclusion. There's littlein ham radio I enjoy more than a
> > CW QSO with a young ham, and his code speed is not an issueat all.
>
> Long as he isn't clogging up the band?
>

No. As long as he doesn't get down below .25 and cause everyone down there to have to stumble over him.

Did you miss the point earlier? I can't see authorizing nocoders to work CW without any training in code whatver, and that's why. It's strange so many of you seem willing to overlook that.

```
From -5748373292331252909
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:02:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:59:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <95t5jk$ppc$3@208.207.71.156>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com>
<95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109> <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95> <95sml10ion@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15020



"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
> > Lets get **THIS** straight - You said "All it (incentive licensing did
> >was piss off a lot of hams who lost privileges because of it".
> > I was a ham who lost privileges because of it. Rather than let it piss
> >me off, I, a mail order General class ham, shortly made the 260
> >miles-one-way trip to the FCC office and came away with an Extra class.
>
> ...uphill, both ways, through six feet of snow, yadda yadda...
>
> Did I say it pissed off *all* the hams that lost privileges? No. But it did
> piss off a lot. I can't speak for the sheeple, such as yourself, that go along
> with whatever the ARRL says and does.


 And I guess you're one of the clowns they're trying to recruit. Good
luck, to them-and you.


From 5606141606181455138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:08:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:08:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <95t63t$ppc$4@208.207.71.156>
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205519.14968.00001262@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15021
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >ANY RF generator can be on-off keyed to form Morse characters.
>
> What percentage of Morse-code communications do you believe is accomplished
> with only a simple RF generator on-off keyed?

Not the point, nor should it be. The point is the availability of more communications assets.

From -4429514440515266944
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:11:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:11:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <95t69c$ppc$6@208.207.71.156>
References: <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146> <20010207210141.14968.00001265@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15022

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> What's wrong with the incentive of being able to work DX in the
> >> lower 25 kHz?
>
> > Why, when they can clog it up at 5wpm?
>
> How many 5 wpm QSOs have you heard in the lower 25 kHz of the HF ham bands?

What does that matter? You saying that if I haven't heard any then none are coming?

```
From -3907162258491332089
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:12:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:12:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <95t6b9$ppc$7@208.207.71.156>
References: <95rv4d$mgh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207211038.14968.00001267@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15023



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dan/W4NTI w4nti@my-deja.com
>
> >Congratulations you figured it out....to continue.....more enforcement
> >that then forces the FCC to decide to terminate ham radio because it is
> >out of control.
>
> Can you offer any examples of other Services for which the FCC took the action
> you describe?

 Well they TRIED on 27 mhz...


From -3509516557941626592
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:14:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:10:23 GMT
```

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <95t67f$ppc$5@208.207.71.156>
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205719.14968.00001263@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15024

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >ANY RF generator can be on-off keyed to form Morse characters.
>
> A single diode will allow that same generator to be FM'ed, too.

Ah, but the *receiver*......No one lunger will suffice there.
And what you planning to use for a transmitting transducer?

From 3881719762694485453
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:15:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:14:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <95t6fv$ppc$8@208.207.71.156>
References: <20010204223439.13655.00002587@nso-mq.aol.com> <20010207213331.14968.00001275@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15025

```
W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >>I truly wonder what percent of all hams have ever made even
> >>a single contact on any frequency (ham frequency) above
> >>500 MHz?
>
> >Probably around .05 percent, and that's being generous.
>
> The ARRL web-page survey (admittedly unscientific) says that you are off by
> about 2 orders of magnitude.  The number of hams who report that their highest
> QSO was above the 70 cm band was 19.6%.
>

 Yeah, right. I sent CW with a flashlight.


From 9085200419604256835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:24:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 22:10:52 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A821C4C.DC2E21D@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68>
<3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org> <95j18u$btb$0@208.206.142.68>
<rukg6.115$HH5.812522@news.ntplx.net> <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:18:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
```

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15026

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  BUT--- if, like Cecil, you're telling me that Riley and his buddy Dale
> Hatfield insist that I and any serious percentage of ham radio licensees
> must become digital designers just to assure the survival of the ARS,
> you might as well go ahead and pass the word to them that they can begin
> the Big Shutdown anytime now. It ain't gonna happen. No such educational
> revolution is in the offing nor shall it ever be.

I remember a lot of old farts saying exactly the same thing about SSB,
Dick. Progress happens. Time marches on. Ostriches bury their heads
in the sands of time.

>  I for one am damn tired of being told what we "must" do to insure ham
> radio's survival, ...

Nobody screams, "The Sky is Falling", more often than you do.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 8253679417509316783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:24:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newshub2.home.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 03:40:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <95t4er05om@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com>
<95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109> <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95> <3A820B37.AF42451C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-936.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15027

In article <3A820B37.AF42451C@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
```

>> I was a ham who lost privileges because of it. Rather than let it piss
>> me off, I, a mail order General class ham, shortly made the 260
>> miles-one-way trip to the FCC office and came away with an Extra class.
>> Just as many, many other hams did.
>
>It didn't pi$$ you off when you lost privileges but it did pi$$ you off
>when you didn't lose any privileges on April 15? That's weird, Dick, even
>for you.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Seems more like he has a martyr complex. He "suffered" a 260 mile drive and
feels that others owe him an offering.

From -7770012600253239870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:25:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:22:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95t6uv$ppc$9@208.207.71.156>
References: <95j0bq$9p2$0@208.206.142.68>
<29520-3A7D94B4-10@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165> <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146>
<3a80d959.1153399@news.mindspring.com> <95qn8u$n6n$0@208.207.71.168>
<3a820ab9.19799016@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15028

Bill Sohl wrote:
>

> > So you don't believe that radiotelegraphy is the most simple, easily
> >implemented means of radio communication yet devised?
>
> Not so at all. I agree with that statement, I don't agree that
> anyone needs to be knowledgeable in the USE of morse

> to be considered accomplished in the radio arts (be it
> technically or on an operational basis). Indeed, for Dick's
> claim to be valid one would then want to question why
> engineering schools have never required even a working knowledge
> of the morse code itself before graduating engineers.

Ah, so now because engineers aren't required to know Morse code is
reason enough for hams to not know it either. Pure logic, we see.

From -1041265395829533598
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:28:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 22:14:05 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A821D0D.F41BF57E@IEEE.org>
References: <3A7E2A65.10D7D804@IEEE.org> <20010206214215.00714.00005654@nso-md.aol.com> <vxkg6.117$HH5.812673@news.ntplx.net> <95t4u7$ppc$1@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:21:31 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15029

Dick Carroll wrote:
> He's probably like me- not interested enough to bother.

Now you know how a lot of people feel about CW.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -3410196598538509400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

```
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:33:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!24.0.0.38!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Helmut Sweenie" <helmu@hone.con>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
<3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
<eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Lines: 44
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Zgpg6.38414$49.6855308@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 04:29:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 981606585 24.180.184.171 (Wed, 07 Feb 2001
20:29:45 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 20:29:45 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9168
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12136 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15030 rec.radio.cb:25666
rec.radio.scanner:13477

I guess you do everything buy the book huh John ..
"John" <w9jcm@.reno.quik.com> wrote in message
news:eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net...
> guess what freebander! your breaking the law!!! OH but youre so mature and
> can express yourself. Why not express yourself to the FCC office and tell
> them what and where you operate.
> "h" <not@aol.com> wrote in message
news:t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com...
> > It's true look at your own foul and filthy mouth!
> > Ham's always use foul language and filthy talk on the radio just like
they
> > do on this newsgroup. Freebanders know better. Freebanders are mature
and
> > can express themselves without spewing forth filthy language.
> >
> > --
> > Sincerely,
> > Ken
> > pwrguy@intergrafix.net
> > <TR@sky.net> wrote in message news:3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net...
> > > On Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:01:46 -0500, "h" <not@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >If he had a license he is a HAM not a CB'er
> > > >Frankly Freebanders are like the Hams of old. If you look at the FCC
> > > >enforcement logs The Hams are out of control and need enforcement
while
> > > >Freebanders are mature and working to improver thier knowledge, save
> > lives,
> > > >promote freedom and democracy, and gernerally aid and improve the
> > geneneral
> > > >welfare or thier comunities.
> > > > >
> > > >--
> > > >Sincerely,
> > > >Ken
> > >
> > >  Total BULLSHIT. You know and I know it.
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
```

```
From -5312006377334773587
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:34:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:33:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95t7ih$2sl$0@208.207.71.156>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <95s6uq01si5@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95sirg$8co$0@208.206.142.95> <3A820DBB.729AACA1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15031

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > There is more and more CW activity as time goes by, instead of less.
>
> There were wall-to-wall CW QSOs in the 40m Novice band in 1953.
> Now sometimes, I don't hear a single CW signal on that band.
> But I hope you are right overall.

Maybe it's the winter season, but there is a lot of CW on these days. I don't know about the Novice segment, when I tune through there it's vacant, so I don't hang around.
Yes, I clearly remember the 40 meter novice band in the spring of '57. My old BC454, I think it was, heard most of them together at any dial setting, and from that experience I learned to dig a single signal out of the bedlam, a valuable skill that I still have to this day.

From 527199304100541029
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:34:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 22:21:18 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A821EBE.550D0541@IEEE.org>
References: <20010206083316.09590.00001719@nso-bk.aol.com> <14105-3A802BEE-15@storefull-117.iap.bryant.webtv.net> <95qojh$5pc$0@208.207.71.168> <58ag6.1078$0W5.364698@nntp3.onemain.com> <95t372$liu$0@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:31:01 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15032

Dick Carroll wrote:

> I have copies of some old first person accounts from survivors of the
> 1811-1812 series that lasted from Dec 16 through March. Several were
> major quakes at 8.0 or higher.

Tell us about how Morse code saved the day. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -4990929726665463526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:35:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:35:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <95t7lp$2sl$1@208.207.71.156>
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205719.14968.00001263@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3A8210D0.E95949EA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15033

Cecil wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >ANY RF generator can be on-off keyed to form Morse characters.
> >
> > A single diode will allow that same generator to be FM'ed, too.
>
> And a single diode/cap will allow demodulation of an AM signal without
> all the complex additional BFO electronics required for CW. :-)

 On a single-device regen rx?

From 9135668215326837666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:36:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:36:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <95t7nr$2sl$2@208.207.71.156>
References: <95pf1b$gsb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207204605.14954.00001480@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3A82145B.D87C83A8@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15034



Cecil wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > I know of no human tissue that is non-linear, ...
>
> Some of the brains behind the keyboards on this newsgroup seem
> rather non-linear to me. :-)

Starting at home


From -9300827712147477l6
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:41:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:41:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <95t81p$3t9$0@208.207.71.156>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com>
<95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109> <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
```

```
<95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95> <bl548t8o64bedbcun0uo9nrn3gl3aolp3l@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15035



Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> > Lets get **THIS** straight - You said "All it (incentive licensing did
> >was piss off a lot of hams who lost privileges because of it".
> > I was a ham who lost privileges because of it. Rather than let it piss
> >me off, I, a mail order General class ham, shortly made the 260
> >miles-one-way trip to the FCC office and came away with an Extra class.
> >Just as many, many other hams did.
> > So, Pal, you don't know what you're talking about. And that surprises
> >no one.
>
> I think he is right Dick. Incentive licensing did anger a lot of hams.
> I was one of them. I remember talking to lots of hams who thought as I
> did about the unfairness of removing privileges once granted. I
> finally used a Bash book to memorize my way to an Advanced, but  I was
> too lazy to get my speed up for the Extra. (I was not a CW buff at the
> time.) Ironically now that I do work all CW, my Advanced is useless...
> :-)


 Ok, so it did piss off a goodly number of hams. He said that was "all
it did" and that clearly is false. At the beginning of Incentive
Licensing there were around 4,000 Extra class hams. Ten years later
there were ten times that many.


From 8514471034683549633
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:46:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.nerdc.ufl.edu!
news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:44:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <95t87f$3t9$1@208.207.71.156>
```

References: <20010204113620.29597.00005573@nso-mi.aol.com>
<20010207212100.14968.00001271@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3A82153C.92407C03@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15036

Cecil wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >
> > >The "government welfare" program is the reduction/elimination of
> > >code testing, not the other way around.
> >
> > Clearly, Cecil believes that shoring up a mode that you believe cannot stand
on
> > its own by the artificial use of testing is welfare. Kind of like sending
> > money to those who are unable to earn a living on their own.
>
> It reminds me of the government paying my uncle to build a lake
> on his land. He used the lake to irrigate the corn the government
> paid him not to plant.

How was he able to cash that government check that didn't come?

From 510835100057263982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:47:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-
for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 22:34:51 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A8221EB.7424CCEE@IEEE.org>
References: <95j0bq$9p2$0@208.206.142.68>
<29520-3A7D94B4-10@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165> <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146>
<3a80d959.1153399@news.mindspring.com> <95qn8u$n6n$0@208.207.71.168>

<3a820ab9.19799016@news.mindspring.com> <95t6uv$ppc$9@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:44:29 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15037

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Ah, so now because engineers aren't required to know Morse code is
> reason enough for hams to not know it either. Pure logic, we see.

Is anybody except hams required to know Morse code in the 21st century?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1327917935705394263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 22:33:38 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A8221A2.DACCF570@IEEE.org>
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205719.14968.00001263@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3A8210D0.E95949EA@IEEE.org> <95t7lp$2sl$1@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:43:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15038

Dick Carroll wrote:
> On a single-device regen rx?

Those were so complex that I never got one working right. :-)
A superhet is much more forgiving.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -6149900830432579702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:51:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 22:36:19 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A822243.CF606AA4@IEEE.org>
References: <95rv4d$mgh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207211038.14968.00001267@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95t6b9$ppc$7@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:45:56 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15039

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > Can you offer any examples of other Services for which the FCC took the action
> > you describe?
>
>  Well they TRIED on 27 mhz...

What would happen if the FCC tried to terminate the Citizen's Band?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From 3053422061895573797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:52:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
```

```
Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 22:39:54 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A82231A.5C24E0A4@IEEE.org>
References: <95pf1b$gsb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207204605.14954.00001480@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3A82145B.D87C83A8@IEEE.org> <95t7nr$2sl$2@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:49:31 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15040

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > I know of no human tissue that is non-linear, ...
> >
> > Some of the brains behind the keyboards on this newsgroup seem
> > rather non-linear to me. :-)
>
> Starting at home

Where is your home nowadays, Dick?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -5136337185052624504
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 20:56:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 04:52:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <95t8n7$5hr$0@208.207.71.156>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
```

<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68>
<3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org> <95j18u$btb$0@208.206.142.68>
<rukg6.115$HH5.812522@news.ntplx.net> <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156>
<3A821C4C.DC2E21D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.156
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15041

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > BUT--- if, like Cecil, you're telling me that Riley and his buddy Dale
> > Hatfield insist that I and any serious percentage of ham radio licensees
> > must become digital designers just to assure the survival of the ARS,
> > you might as well go ahead and pass the word to them that they can begin
> > the Big Shutdown anytime now. It ain't gonna happen. No such educational
> > revolution is in the offing nor shall it ever be.
>
> I remember a lot of old farts saying exactly the same thing about SSB,
> Dick.

BS, I was there too, remember? The big bitch was about the "donald
duck" sounding audio and the fact that rigs of the day drifted so much
that keepinga station tuned in was near impossible as compared to the
"broadcast quality"of AM and the absense of drift-caused problems.

> Progress happens. Time marches on. Ostriches bury their heads
> in the sands of time.

Forever things just got to keep moving, forever and ever, without even
a pause? Yeah, right. I do believe that was the common beliefd in Roman
days,too.

> > I for one am damn tired of being told what we "must" do to insure ham
> > radio's survival, ...

> Nobody screams, "The Sky is Falling", more often than you do.

So I'm the anchor on your butt.

```
From 4429965461084820485
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 21:13:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 05:02:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95t98m$t9q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95m92h$lv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205132120.00445.00001527@nso-
bj.aol.com> <95sbij$3ad$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <ljng6.95$05.62647@nntp1.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.225.133.199
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 05:02:16 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.225.133.199
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15042
```

In article <ljng6.95$05.62647@nntp1.onemain.com>,
  "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

> If it really disturbs you, you can safely assume ......

Doesn't disturb me, but it ruins Lens lame calculations about
ratios between coded and non-coded hams.

73, Hans, K0HB

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 2591052602884458798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 21:28:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A822E12.43F35147@att.net>
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <3A80870B.A1764899@IEEE.org>
<95q3th$5r7$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A80D7D6.77EA6667@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 05:27:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.86.154.113
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 981610059 12.86.154.113 (Thu, 08 Feb
2001 05:27:39 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 05:27:39 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9170
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12140 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15043 rec.radio.cb:25671

Now, let's back up in time to when the Generals *got* privileges they had
not worked for, when they were given phone privileges on 75 and 20 which
were formerly restricted to Class A operators. No exam, no
operating/license time restriction, no nothing - just fire up and operate.

Never seem to read much about that end of the stick, do we?

72/73, George W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas QRP-L 1373 NETXQRP 6

Fairview, TX   30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county   EM13qe
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 55th year and it just keeps getting better!
Icom IC-756PRO #02121 Kachina #91900556 IC-765 #02437

Cecil wrote:
>
> Brian wrote:
> > Which restructuring? The one where Generals lost priveleges?
>
> The one where Generals lost privileges was called incentive licensing.

```
> I got my Advanced during incentive licensing. I got my Extra during
> the latest restructuring.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

--



From -2099986000670945542
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 22:08:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <dqd48t02k6f7b2j4d9vnrf0uf3ohut3ok9@4ax.com>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com>
<95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109> <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95> <bl548t8o64bedbcun0uo9nrn3gl3aolp3l@4ax.com>
<95t81p$3t9$0@208.207.71.156>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 06:05:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.151
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981612313 209.86.208.151 (Wed, 07 Feb
2001 22:05:13 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Feb 2001 22:05:13 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15044

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>At the beginning of Incentive
>Licensing there were around 4,000 Extra class hams. Ten years later
>there were ten times that many.

And ten years from now the Extra Class will likely have increased
another tenfold due to the current restructuring. That too made many
hams angry...  :-)


From -4498090466206567985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-07 23:14:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <3A80870B.A1764899@IEEE.org>
<95q3th$5r7$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A80D7D6.77EA6667@IEEE.org>
<3A822E12.43F35147@att.net>
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <Gzrg6.184$05.146594@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 02:09:42 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.40.145.150
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 981615974 216.40.145.150 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 02:06:14
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 02:06:14 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9175
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12143 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15045 rec.radio.cb:25679
```

George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net> wrote in message
news:3A822E12.43F35147@att.net...

> Now, let's back up in time to when the Generals *got* privileges they had
> not worked for, when they were given phone privileges on 75 and 20 which
> were formerly restricted to Class A operators. No exam, no
> operating/license time restriction, no nothing - just fire up and operate.
>
> Never seem to read much about that end of the stick, do we?

1952, I believe....... I've done quite a bit of research into the changes in Amateur licensing over the years... some big changes in 1924,1928, 1934, 1952,1967-69, 1990, 2000 (dates may not be 'right on' the money, but then many of the changes were phased in over a period of time.)

```
--
         __/__ __ /__
       __ /__  __/__
_____/_____/___ /~
    \ Cap'n Crunch /
```

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

From -3554376716637411810
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dc4d351d7bd2fcb5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 01:11:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.sttls1.wa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Keith <kewyatt@apexmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Ham Radio Operator caught Jamming Police Frequencies.
User-Agent: Forte 1.8/32.548 {modified}
X-PGP-ID: 0x28E3ABE5 Print 329D 5AD2 0998 400F 9565 8635 C873 B393
Organization: Find Freeware @ http://strongsignals.com/
Reply-To: "Keith" <nospam@strongsignals.com>
Expires: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 11:42:11 GMT
Message-ID: <q9o48tkt8s5o44ev1jsr6bhbd9rrvv66pa@news>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 34
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:06:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.0.43.125
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 981623185 65.0.43.125 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 01:06:25 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 01:06:25 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15046

http://www.channel4000.com/news/stories/news-44929020010206-170254.html

Hacker Crossed Police Wires
Suspect Arrested At Scene Of Ambulance Call
BURNSVILLE, Minn., 6:13 p.m. CST February 6, 2001 -- Police have pulled the plug on a police radio hacker.

Hacking Over And Out

Police said Tuesday that a 31-year-old ham radio operator in Burnsville has been broadcasting on police radios around the Twin Cities for nearly two years.

The suspect was spotted near the scene of an ambulance call Sunday. Authorities said that he might have been transmitting the call on their frequency from his
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

car.

"Our frequency is aired over another frequency, thus severely hampering communications on both frequencies," Capt. Dan Johnson said.
[snip]

--
Best Regards,

Keith   (Use Reply-to for email)

Where do you discover free software for Windows? Strongsignals DOT COM is a great place to start: http://Strongsignals.com "Where would Christianity be if Jesus got eight to fifteen years with time off for good behavior?" NY State Senator James Donovan, speaking in support of capital punishment.

From 8721959037724992085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 01:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: offbreed@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Nomination for Troll of the month. Re: West Virginia farm boy comes of age
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:22:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <95toh5$980$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <95q12g$3dh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q9pc$aqs$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95srq1$ie4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.70.230.152
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 09:22:50 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 198.70.230.152
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDoffbreed
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12147
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15047

In article <95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> No, but you've seen the head of your daddy's dick before haven't you?

How did a CB'r ever get a Ham license?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2580917712456771506
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 04:10:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 11:56:35 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <95u1hh$flr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95sc4a$3rk$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95sphs$gl0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.170
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 11:56:35 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x64.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.170
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15048

In article <95sphs$gl0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Why do phrase everything in MTV-slang?

I didn't realize that MTV had it's own dictionary.

> I really care how often you read your deja-mail.

Well good....then if I happen to see your name in there, I will just automatically delete it as an error. Thankfully, you are not the only person who may have occassion to send e mail.

Of course, I will have a pretty good clue as you would be only one of three people to send e mail written in crayon.

Steve
--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

```
Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -6527329484320632105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f8d79,9d810f1943643ed0
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8d79,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 04:42:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test
Subject: Re: Nomination for Troll of the month. Re: West Virginia farm boy comes
of age
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 12:34:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <95u3ob$hbf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <95q12g$3dh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q9pc$aqs$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95srq1$ie4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95toh5$980$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.30.106.13
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 12:34:18 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 198.30.106.13
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12148
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15050 alt.test:77053

INBRED <offbreed@my-deja.com> whined in message
news:95toh5$980$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >
> > No, but you've seen the head of your daddy's dick before haven't
you?
>
> How did a CB'r ever get a Ham license?

How did a half-breed simian-human hybrid  such as offbreed/inbred get a
computer?

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
```

Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8998950352650127995
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 06:10:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "RiK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 08:10:38 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t85a5d7n8a81f2@corp.supernews.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
<3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
<eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
<Zgpg6.38414$49.6855308@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 56
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9179
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12153 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15051 rec.radio.cb:25695
rec.radio.scanner:13487

Freebanders are generally unexperienced in electronics and not sanctioned by
any country in the world.
Rick

Helmut Sweenie <helmu@hone.con> wrote in message
news:Zgpg6.38414$49.6855308@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> I guess you do everything buy the book huh John ..
> "John" <w9jcm@.reno.quik.com> wrote in message

```
> news:eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net...
> > guess what freebander! your breaking the law!!! OH but youre so mature
and
> > can express yourself. Why not express yourself to the FCC office and
tell
> > them what and where you operate.
> > "h" <not@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com...
> > > It's true look at your own foul and filthy mouth!
> > > Ham's always use foul language and filthy talk on the radio just like
> they
> > > do on this newsgroup. Freebanders know better. Freebanders are mature
> and
> > > can express themselves without spewing forth filthy language.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Sincerely,
> > > Ken
> > > pwrguy@intergrafix.net
> > > <TR@sky.net> wrote in message news:3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net...
> > > > On Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:01:46 -0500, "h" <not@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >If he had a license he is a HAM not a CB'er
> > > > >Frankly Freebanders are like the Hams of old. If you look at the
FCC
> > > > >enforcement logs The Hams are out of control and need enforcement
> while
> > > > >Freebanders are mature and working to improver thier knowledge,
save
> > > lives,
> > > > >promote freedom and democracy, and gernerally aid and improve the
> > > geneneral
> > > > >welfare or thier comunities.
> > > > > >
> > > > >--
> > > > >Sincerely,
> > > > >Ken
> > > >
> > > >  Total BULLSHIT. You know and I know it.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>



From 6842928953224788816
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Novice CW
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 15:05:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <95ucit$p3l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95n1q0$eeh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205185307.24637.00001048@ng-bg1.aol.com> <3A7F7398.6447890A@sccoast.net> <95orke$uhu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <u0f08tckjee6897cvce10v1lkcai0av57k@4ax.com> <95pi4l$k18$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95q65k$7qu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95rmep$dva$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95sql6$hes$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 15:05:09 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15052

In article <95sql6$hes$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:

> Gee, you were strangely absent when I was set upon by the virulent
> pro-coders for saying there was no one on the novice subbands 13 years
> ago.

You only started cyber-stalking me a couple years ago. I never heard of you until about 1998, so I didn't know I was supposed to come protect you from "virulent pro-coders" -- poor baby, I bet you were really traumatized. Splains a lot.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to give a shit about who picked on you 13 years
ago."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5926114006111615459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:24:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95qmv8$khg$0@208.207.71.168> <20010207205321.14968.00001261@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3A821343.C398020D@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <TQyg6.126$HH5.862354@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 10:20:51 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981645747 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:22:27 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:22:27 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15053

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> W1RFI wrote:

> > It looks to me like two rrapers
> > carrying an argument to any extreme necessary to outpoint the other.

> That's what I like to do, Ed - deliberately reflect a mirror
> image of the lunatic fringe with which I'm conversing.

She made me beat her?

> It's an educational technique I learned during my education degree and
> is supposed to slowly sink in and reveal the illogic being used

> by the other side.

Was this really taught at a college-level course?

> It sinks in at an infinitesimally small rate with Dick but I am a very
patient person.

I have not seen a single example here where your college-learned technique
has changed the mind of anyone on whom you have used it. Have you actually
seen it work? You seem reasonably intelligent; perhaps you could choose a
technique that does not "slowly" sink in.

Is there any way we can tell whether you are deliberately using this
technique, as opposed to just being in your own lunatic fringe?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6267674521614087130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:24:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:12:33 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3A82B761.7EED4203@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68>
<3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org> <95j18u$btb$0@208.206.142.68>
<rukg6.115$HH5.812522@news.ntplx.net> <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156>
<3A821C4C.DC2E21D@IEEE.org> <95t8n7$5hr$0@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e1
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 15:20:06 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15054

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > I remember a lot of old farts saying exactly the same thing about SSB,
> > Dick.
>
> BS, I was there too, remember? The big bitch was about the "donald
> duck" sounding audio ...

Do you remember the derogatory remarks about the "Single Sidewinders", the jamming, and the open hostility? I remember some of the hams at the time saying SSB was the work of the Devil and they would never use it. During every time of progress, there are always the lazy laggards.

> Forever things just got to keep moving, forever and ever, without even
> a pause? Yeah, right. I do believe that was the common beliefd in Roman
> days,too.

Yep, and history proves it to be true every time. There's a book out now entitled, "The End of Time". It suggests that time doesn't even exist and all we are seeing is change.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 2533169664166105811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:24:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205519.14968.00001262@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95t63t$ppc$4@208.207.71.156>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <aTyg6.127$HH5.862568@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 10:23:19 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981645894 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:24:54 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:24:54 EST

Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15055

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Not the point, nor should it be. The point is the availability of more
> communications assets.

Would you recommend a rules change that requires that hams are required to
have a specific number of communications assets available at their stations,
then? If that really is your goal, that would be a much more certain way of
accomplishing it. How many communications modes would you propose be
required?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7309938338358274528
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:26:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205719.14968.00001263@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95t67f$ppc$5@208.207.71.156>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <TUyg6.128$HH5.862873@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 10:25:07 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981646003 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:26:43 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:26:43 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15056

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Ah, but the *receiver*......No one lunger will suffice there.

If I recall, a regen slope detects FM pretty nicely.

> And what you planning to use for a transmitting transducer?

Do you mean a microphone?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -5589965535034525385
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:30:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!nntp.flash.net!news.flash.net!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A82AC06.E2F9638A@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Nomination for Troll of the month. Re: West Virginia farm boy comes
 of age
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <95q12g$3dh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q9pc$aqs$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95srq1$ie4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95toh5$980$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 15:30:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.48.222.18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@flash.net
X-Trace: news.flash.net 981646248 64.48.222.18 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:30:48 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:30:48 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12154
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15057
```

kc8jbo = cber

offbreed@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >
> > No, but you've seen the head of your daddy's dick before haven't you?

```
>
> How did a CB'r ever get a Ham license?
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/





From -1999308155822033673
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2b5d063b84a5aeb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f8d79,9d810f1943643ed0
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8d79,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:31:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.flash.net!news.flash.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A82AC1A.83CC1A8A@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test
Subject: Re: Nomination for Troll of the month. Re: West Virginia farm boy comes
 of age
References: <3A79EFCE.2A8C8D9E@houston.net> <95q12g$3dh$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q9pc$aqs$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95srq1$ie4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95toh5$980$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95u3ob$hbf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 15:31:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.48.222.18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@flash.net
X-Trace: news.flash.net 981646268 64.48.222.18 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:31:08 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:31:08 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12155
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15058 alt.test:77119

kc8jbo = cber

KC8JBO wrote:

> INBRED <offbreed@my-deja.com> whined in message
> news:95toh5$980$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <95stt0$k8t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
```

```
> > >
> > > No, but you've seen the head of your daddy's dick before haven't
> you?
> >
> > How did a CB'r ever get a Ham license?
>
> How did a half-breed simian-human hybrid  such as offbreed/inbred get a
> computer?
>
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
```

```
From 1671830499198384621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:35:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <DUkg6.120$HH5.814810@news.ntplx.net>
<20010207215046.00714.00005777@nso-md.aol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <71zg6.129$HH5.863162@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 10:33:55 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981646531 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:35:31 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:35:31 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15059
```

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

```
> >ARRL HQ has a staff of about 120 people.  Mendelsohn is not an HQ
staffer,
> >btw; your dealings with him have been in his role as a volunteer.  What
> >percentage of those 120 people who work at HQ have you actually has
personal
```

> >contact with?

> More than enough to give me a generally negative impression of
> the attitude of the ARRL HQ staff toward members -- and that's
> all that matters.

I believe you, Larry, but I am curious -- how many was it? You made a
pretty power blanket condemnation of a group of people and I am just
wondering about your sample size.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3762544354486965673
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:39:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95rv4d$mgh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207211038.14968.00001267@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95t6b9$ppc$7@208.207.71.156>
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <75zg6.130$HH5.863257@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 10:38:12 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981646787 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:39:47 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:39:47 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15060

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > >Congratulations you figured it out....to continue.....more enforcement
> > >that then forces the FCC to decide to terminate ham radio because it is
> > >out of control.

> > Can you offer any examples of other Services for which the FCC took the
action
> > you describe?

> Well they TRIED on 27 mhz...

When did the FCC try to terminate the Citizens Band Service?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3300544562494786867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:43:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:33:48 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A82BC5C.343C1389@IEEE.org>
References: <95qmv8$khg$0@208.207.71.168> <20010207205321.14968.00001261@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3A821343.C398020D@IEEE.org> <TQyg6.126$HH5.862354@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e1
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Feb 2001 15:41:12 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15061

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> > It's an educational technique I learned during my education degree and
> > is supposed to slowly sink in and reveal the illogic being used
> > by the other side.
>
> Was this really taught at a college-level course?

Yep, it was a common educational technique in the early 60's. The
purpose was to expose the illogic of one side by adopting the same
illogic on the other side.

> Is there any way we can tell whether you are deliberately using this
> technique, as opposed to just being in your own lunatic fringe?

If it's not obvious, I usually provide a smiley face.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -4563557670291149804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:46:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <owkg6.116$HH5.812768@news.ntplx.net>
<20010207215046.00714.00005778@nso-md.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <qbzg6.131$HH5.863309@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 10:44:55 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981647190 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:46:30 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:46:30 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15062
```

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> A QRP CW signal usually won't get through in the same passband
> being occupied by dozens of high-power phone signals. CW is an
> extraordinarily robust mode, but it is not infallible.

A single high-powered phone signal won't get through in a passband occupied by "dozens" of high-pass phone signals, either, Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -879802980773537914
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:50:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
```

Date: 8 Feb 2001 15:09:47 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <95ucrr01600@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com>
<95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109> <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95> <bl548t8o64bedbcun0uo9nrn3gl3aolp3l@4ax.com>
<95t81p$3t9$0@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-193.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15063

In article <95t81p$3t9$0@208.207.71.156>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

> Ok, so it did piss off a goodly number of hams. He said that was "all
>it did" and that clearly is false. At the beginning of Incentive
>Licensing there were around 4,000 Extra class hams. Ten years later
>there were ten times that many.

That doesn't prove anything. How many of those Extras weren't even licensed when the incentive licensing scheme was put into place? How many hams dropped out of ham radio altogether because the felt the ARRL screwed them?

From 725841432898453475
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:51:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146> <20010207210141.14968.00001265@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95t69c$ppc$6@208.207.71.156>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <8gzg6.132$HH5.863537@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 10:49:56 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981647492 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:51:32 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 10:51:32 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15064

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > >> What's wrong with the incentive of being able to work DX in the
> > >> lower 25 kHz?

> > > Why, when they can clog it up at 5wpm?

> > How many 5 wpm QSOs have you heard in the lower 25 kHz of the HF ham
bands?

> What does that matter? You saying that if I haven't heard any then
> none are coming?

Okay, as long as we all agree that we are discussing what "might" happen.

Did your comments or reply comments to the FCC suggest that a minimum code speed should be allowed in the lower 25 kHz of the band, or is that a new requirement you believe should be put on the plate?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7617866415105332847
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 07:58:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!cyclone2.usenetserver.com.MISMATCH!news-out.usenetserver.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!newsrump.sjc.telocity.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A82C1B9.E4C3A5F1@TNFinancialResources.com>
From: Jon Johnson <CJJ@TNFinancialResources.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95qgub0cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<i8bg6.2406$2g.174374@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
X-Trace:
MjUgUm9BaXRoVXNlciBURUxPQ0lUWS1SRUFERVJTIDY0LjMoLjg4Mjg1ICBUdHUsICw4IEZ5YiAy!
LDAxIDA3JjU4Oik4IFBTVA==

```
X-Abuse-Info: Please forward ALL headers when reporting abuse.
X-Complaints-To: abuse@telocity.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 07:58:58 PST
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 09:56:41 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12159
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15065
```

Ed Cregger wrote:

> I just got back into amateur radio after a three to four
> year layoff. The language has changed a bit since I was last
> active.
>
> For instance, where did saying "QSL" or "Contact" come from?
>
> Q codes are for CW, not phone. Saying QSL is the same as
> saying 10-4 to my ears.

Welcome back. You are correct that the shorthand Q-codes originated for CW but have also been used extensively on phone for at least the 30 years I've been licensed.

You may use "who is calling" if you wish...I prefer the shorter QRZ?

You may say "I have received/have you received my transmission"...but QSL is certainly shorter and easier...especially in a contest.

If the Q-codes offend your ears, don't use them...simple as that.

QSL??

NU4JJ

```
From -4393729831481473502
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 08:20:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 15:25:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95udod21600@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
```

```
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sj4p$8co$1@208.206.142.95>
<3A81E42D.C02FF8DA@uci.edu> <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-291.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15066

In article <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >  You couldn't be more wrong, and it is really curious how you managed to
>> > come to that conclusion. There's littlein ham radio I enjoy more than a
>> > CW QSO with a young ham, and his code speed is not an issueat all.
>>
>> Long as he isn't clogging up the band?
>>
> No. As long as he doesn't get down below .25 and cause everyone down
>there to have to stumble over him.
> Did you miss the point earlier? I can't see authorizing nocoders to
>work CW without any training in code whatver, and that's why. It's
>strange so many of you seem willing to overlook that.


Who, in their right mind, would even try to work CW if they had no knowlege of
code whatsoever?




From -4670328296261860059
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 08:20:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 15:19:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <95udee11600@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <95s6uq01si5@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95sirg$8co$0@208.206.142.95> <4j548t8jdd6va6js9apifd82k3ka1in5d8@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-256.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
```

```
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15067

In article <4j548t8jdd6va6js9apifd82k3ka1in5d8@4ax.com>, nomail@nomail.com
says...

>Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>> There is more and more CW activity as time goes by, instead of less.
>
>80 meters is virtually dead at night here now. I seldom hear more than
>one or two people on the whole band. It wasn't that way just 10 years
>ago. 40 years ago it was wall to wall. And so was the Novice band...

I was listening to 80 meters last night. The only CW I heard was a pile-up on
3.505Mhz. There's a bit more activity during a contest, but I get bored with
those after about a half-hour.



From -4781979039754804369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 08:20:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 15:29:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <95ue0d31600@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <9588c1$4l6$0@208.206.142.67> <20010131190404.15160.00000155@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <95nsn7$6s1$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95p2u8222ju@enews4.newsguy.com>
<95q4t7$slt$0@208.207.71.146> <95qhco1cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<95rqn5$6u2$1@208.206.142.109> <95rtgj11g4l@enews2.newsguy.com>
<95si5g$6m4$0@208.206.142.95> <95sml10ion@enews4.newsguy.com>
<95t5jk$ppc$3@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-390.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15068

In article <95t5jk$ppc$3@208.207.71.156>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

> And I guess you're one of the clowns they're trying to recruit. Good
>luck, to them-and you.

Translation: If you don't post a callsign, your opinion dosen't matter, and has
no credibilty.
```

From -8882190267140652358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dc4d351d7bd2fcb5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 08:38:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!193.190.198.17!
newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!skynet.be!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Ham Radio Operator caught Jamming Police Frequencies.
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 11:38:43 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <B6A835C3.C06%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <q9o48tkt8s5o44ev1jsr6bhbd9rrvv66pa@news>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 981650294 5366 207.144.64.67 (8 Feb 2001 16:38:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 16:38:14 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15069

Keith at kewyatt@apexmail.com wrote:

> Hacker Crossed Police Wires
> Suspect Arrested At Scene Of Ambulance Call
> BURNSVILLE, Minn., 6:13 p.m. CST February 6, 2001
> -- Police have pulled the plug on a police
> radio hacker.

A little weak on the details, isn't it? For example, not one mention of the suspect's name. I wonder if this guy was a real ham, or a guy they just tagged a ham because he used a radio.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -7026705728416079772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 08:57:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 16:57:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 61
Message-ID: <95uj6m$jnt$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146> <20010207210141.14968.00001265@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95t69c$ppc$6@208.207.71.156> <8gzg6.132$HH5.863537@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15070

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > > >> What's wrong with the incentive of being able to work DX in the
> > > >> lower 25 kHz?
>
> > > > Why, when they can clog it up at 5wpm?
>
> > > How many 5 wpm QSOs have you heard in the lower 25 kHz of the HF ham
> bands?
>
> > What does that matter? You saying that if I haven't heard any then
> > none are coming?
>
> Okay, as long as we all agree that we are discussing what "might" happen.
>
> Did your comments or reply comments to the FCC suggest that a minimum code
> speed should be allowed in the lower 25 kHz of the band, or is that a new
> requirement you believe should be put on the plate?

No I did not. I was confident that there was enough common sense left
at FCC for them to know better than to do what they did. Silly me, I was
wrong.

Wake up, Ed. I'm saying that no one should be allowed on the lower 25kc
(actually anywhere on the CW segments) unless he has learned to work
Morse code, and that knowledge tested at licensing. Nocoders mucking
around in the CW segments doing what they should do with a code practice
oscillator and W1AW code practice won't cut it.

When FCC gets around to repartitioning the bands there should be a
CW-only segment that requires a CW test to use.

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > > >> What's wrong with the incentive of being able to work DX in the
> > > >> lower 25 kHz?
>
> > > > Why, when they can clog it up at 5wpm?
>
> > > How many 5 wpm QSOs have you heard in the lower 25 kHz of the HF ham
> bands?
>
> > What does that matter? You saying that if I haven't heard any then
> > none are coming?
>
> Okay, as long as we all agree that we are discussing what "might" happen.
>
> Did your comments or reply comments to the FCC suggest that a minimum code
> speed should be allowed in the lower 25 kHz of the band, or is that a new
> requirement you believe should be put on the plate?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
Digital should get its own segment, since digital and W do not mix that
well. And analog voice should get its own.

From -1041296238394066652
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:00:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 16:48:12 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <95uik9$v4p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sj4p$8co$1@208.206.142.95>
<3A81E42D.C02FF8DA@uci.edu> <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156>
<95udod21600@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 16:48:12 2001 GMT

X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15071

In article <95udod21600@enews2.newsguy.com>,
tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:

> Who, in their right mind, would even try to work CW if they had no
knowlege of
> code whatsoever?

I guess we all did, originally. Never heard of anyone born with their
brain already hardwired with Morse.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
"We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
Morse."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8084696616259954281
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:04:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:

```
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 12:04:48 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <B6A83BE0.C07%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95qgub0cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<i8bg6.2406$2g.174374@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 981651864 6089 207.144.64.67 (8 Feb 2001 17:04:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 8 Feb 2001 17:04:24 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9184
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12164 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15072 rec.radio.cb:25712
rec.radio.scanner:13498
```

Ed Cregger at ecregger@earthlink.net wrote:

> I just got back into amateur radio after
> a three to four year layoff. The language
> has changed a bit since I was last
> active.
>
> For instance, where did saying "QSL" or
> "Contact" come from? [snip]

The same place that other slang comes from, Ed. Years ago, we had very different meanings for "cool," "gay," "bad," and a long list of other slang words used in our everyday language. Since everyday language continuously evolves, it is a little unrealistic to expect radio language to remain static. In fact, I'm fairly sure you were using some words four years ago that hams didn't use twenty years ago.

> [snip] After all, the rules for telephony
> state that we are to use plain language,
> not codes or ciphers. [snip]

But for anyone who uses a ham radio, QSL is about as plain language as it gets. And, the last time I checked, "contact" was in the english dictionary.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 6843493947308416469
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:08:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 17:08:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <95ujqb$l3i$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68>
<3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org> <95j18u$btb$0@208.206.142.68>
<rukg6.115$HH5.812522@news.ntplx.net> <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156>
<3A821C4C.DC2E21D@IEEE.org> <95t8n7$5hr$0@208.207.71.156>
<3A82B761.7EED4203@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15073
```

Cecil wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > I remember a lot of old farts saying exactly the same thing about SSB,
> > > Dick.
> >
> > BS, I was there too, remember? The big bitch was about the "donald
> > duck" sounding audio ...
>

> Do you remember the derogatory remarks about the "Single Sidewinders",
> the jamming, and the open hostility? I remember some of the hams at the
> time saying SSB was the work of the Devil and they would never use it.

There's always controversy on the bands, and today is no exception.
And I see there were trolls like you even in those days.

> During every time of progress, there are always the lazy laggards.

Much more factually, most hams don't wish to devote their passtime to
continually reinventing communications with the "mode of the week" sort
of nonsense seen in your circle. The fact is that history is littered
with "better ideas" that didn't make the cut. Humans are above all else
practical. If we have no pressing need for optimized highest throughput
methods with which to conduct our communications during times mostly
devoted to relaxed recreation, it does no good to browbeat us into your
personal point of view. Even if you really believe you've solved the
world's communications problems.
Evolution takes place at its own speed, and pushing and shoving does
nothing but help to impede it.

>
> > Forever things just got to keep moving, forever and ever, without even
> > a pause? Yeah, right. I do believe that was the common beliefd in Roman
> > days,too.
>
> Yep, and history proves it to be true every time.

Yep, out of every several hundred or so inventions, one or two is
actually adopted as benefial and helpful to real live people, instead of
some company's bottom line thus the paychecks of their design engineers.

There's a book out now
> entitled, "The End of Time". It suggests that time doesn't even exist and
> all we are seeing is change.

Well OB you can invest your remaining *time* in attempting to make
time stand still so you can hope to garner more *time* for yourself.
I plan to use mine more constructively.

From -2443425932052055604
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:10:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet

```
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 8 Feb 2001 17:10:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <95ujti$l3i$1@208.207.71.142>
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205519.14968.00001262@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95t63t$ppc$4@208.207.71.156> <aTyg6.127$HH5.862568@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15074
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > Not the point, nor should it be. The point is the availability of more
> > communications assets.
>
> Would you recommend a rules change that requires that hams are required to
> have a specific number of communications assets available at their stations,
> then? If that really is your goal, that would be a much more certain way of
> accomplishing it. How many communications modes would you propose be
> required?

Come on, Ed, surely you know more about the ARS than that. The day when regulators can convince themselves that we have no value as a real operational communications asset is the day when our allocations are on the market for sure.

```
From -5503566891739686297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:10:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 8 Feb 2001 17:10:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <95ujus$l3i$2@208.207.71.142>
```

```
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205719.14968.00001263@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95t67f$ppc$5@208.207.71.156> <TUyg6.128$HH5.862873@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15075



"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > Ah, but the *receiver*......No one lunger will suffice there.
>
> If I recall, a regen slope detects FM pretty nicely.
>
> > And what you planning to use for a transmitting transducer?
>
> Do you mean a microphone?
>

 That's what I always called my voice transmitting transducer.


From 5554215744565512316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 09:12:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Feb 2001 17:12:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <95uk1r$l3i$3@208.207.71.142>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sj4p$8co$1@208.206.142.95>
<3A81E42D.C02FF8DA@uci.edu> <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156>
<95udod21600@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15076

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >Jim Kelley wrote:
> >>
> >> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> > You couldn't be more wrong, and it is really curious how you managed to
> >> > come to that conclusion. There's littlein ham radio I enjoy more than a
> >> > CW QSO with a young ham, and his code speed is not an issueat all.
> >>
> >> Long as he isn't clogging up the band?
> >>
> > No. As long as he doesn't get down below .25 and cause everyone down
> >there to have to stumble over him.
> > Did you miss the point earlier? I can't see authorizing nocoders to
> >work CW without any training in code whatver, and that's why. It's
> >strange so many of you seem willing to overlook that.
>
> Who, in their right mind, would even try to work CW if they had no knowlege of
> code whatsoever?

Who indeed???? "Just as long as I don't have to pass a hazing-ritual
test".

From 354101965477221040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:20:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 23:09:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.75
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 23:09:33 2001 GMT

```
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.75
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15127

In article <3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> Brian wrote:
> > I knew what Len meant.  What was your excuse again?
>
> My excuse for what?  Len was trying to make a mountain out of a mole
> hill - as usual.

If what you say is true, then most everyone would see that and your
comments would be completely unnecessary.  But instead, you chose to
define scaleology as if you worked for the dept of weights and measures.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 2037736263722363494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:20:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!oleane.net!
oleane!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 23:11:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <95v92m$jt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <20010208124736.17535.00002141@nso-
fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.75
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 23:11:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.75
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15128

In article <20010208124736.17535.00002141@nso-fy.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> Jim Kelley apparently wants to smokescreen the whole thread
> >> by concentrating on certain phrases to "prove his point" (which
> >> was not really explained except in trying to provoke something
> >> about phrase choices.  :-)
> >
> >It's not a smokescreen to point out that what you said contradicted
the
> >facts you presented.  I can't help it if you didn't say what you
meant.
> >
> >jim
>
> Major Kelley, sir, you are just trying to ignite a flame war by
> concentration on a trivial phrase of English.
>
> My point was that the non-code-tested US amateur licensees
> keep increasing in number while the code-tested US amateur
> licensees are decreasing in number.
>
> What is your dispute with that?
>
> didit

Since he cannot readily dispute that, he focuses on balance scales.
Anything to be disagreeable.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -6652205087857145771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20d1c57ea0f09cf6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f8d79,20d1c57ea0f09cf6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8d79,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20d1c57ea0f09cf6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:20:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.test
Subject: Nomination for FUCKHEAD of the month: Half, er offbreed!
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 23:11:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <95v925$jsq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.150.29.129
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 23:11:06 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/5.05 [subdimension.com] (X11; I; Linux 2.4.34 i886)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.150.29.129
```

X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12176
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15129 alt.test:77419

INBREED <offbreed@my-deja.com> cumgargled in message news:95v5o9
$h7v$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A82AC06.E2F9638A@some.net>,
>   JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> > offbreed = goat-cum-swallower
>
> Also equals pathetic twit. Good thing for me I avoids alt
fellate.preteen.boys. I like easy meat like that.

Heh. Indeeeeeeeed.

BTW, maybe I *do* lurk down at
alt.flame.....BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!! Or maybe not....
<snicker>

<Space reserved for mewling shitbag half/offbreed/beatoff to
sputter up another LAMEassed response>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3701948766575058931
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:30:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 15:25:04 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A832AD0.FBDEA93C@uci.edu>
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205519.14968.00001262@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15131

W1RFI wrote:

> What percentage of Morse-code communications do you believe is accomplished
> with only a simple RF generator on-off keyed?

100% of the ones that send bweeeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee bweep
bweeeeeee bweeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee

:-)

ac6xg

From -3759288760677559527
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:30:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
63.208.208.143.MISMATCH!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.icl.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 23:17:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <95v9ec$k8n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95sp3k$g71$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208124734.17535.00002137@nso-
fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.75
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 23:17:38 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.75
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15130

In article <20010208124734.17535.00002137@nso-fy.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <95sp3k$g71$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
>
> >But nothing suprises me anymore. Why just the other day, Dick
admitted
> >that CW was doomed without the government forced testing. Seems it
> >isn't worthwhile enough to learn it on its own merits. After all

those
> >years of claiming PEEU.
>
> In Dick's case he must have gotten tired of waiting for that
> massive Missouri earthquake he read about in a book. Maybe
> his "emergency rig" code key oxidized into uselessness
> while waiting for it?

While I was in Korea or Guam, I recall hearing on the news that some
egghead predicted the New Madrid fault would jump again.
Meanwhile, Shirley MacClaine held hands with spiritual guides as they
looked to the East and focused on preventing the quake.

Good thing Dick was ready cause I don't have much faith in Shirley.

> Heck, Dick's statement isn't near as bad a tRoll's wanting to
> GIVE AWAY amateur radio spectrum to everyone else
> because no one will honor and respect his regency. :-)

Ditto Bruce/WA8ULX wanting to destroy the ARS because restructuring
didn't go his way.

>
> >I just wish these other guys would come clean and quit the
smokescreens
> >and diversions.
>
> Oddly enough, a telemarketer called tonight just after supper.
> It was a chimney cleaning service soliciting curstomers. I
> told them that this newgroup would be good for cleaning and
> smoke eliminations. They replied that they weren't big
> enough to handle the task... :-)
>
> didit

We've got enough hot air to float the Hindenberg.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3681501389389885440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:30:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news.tele.dk!newsfeed2.skycache.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 23:22:12 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <95v9mt$kjf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95spsv$gqf$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208124733.17535.00002136@nso-
fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.75
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 23:22:12 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.75
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15132

In article <20010208124733.17535.00002136@nso-fy.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <95spsv$gqf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
>
> >> the ____ class of license?
> >>
> >> Dave Heil K8MN
> >
> >Of course, you assume that all hams, coded and codeless, weigh the
same.
>
> Ever since Uncle retired him he is all at sea and wanting to be
> "under weigh" or "under way."
>
> Note that Davey put the Technician class in a "blank" form up
> there?  Looks like a Freudian slip to me...not counting the
> non-code-tested as "real hams."
>
> didit

I missed the retirement announcement.  Congratulations Dave!

I miss the Air Force.  Many of the civilians I've worked around since
leaving the service care about nothing but themselves.  Its a wonder
we're even still the USA.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -6733375105910210720
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:30:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
```

europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 23:30:14 GMT
References: <20010208132713.09475.00001784@nso-fj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208183014.21807.00000071@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15133

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>The "molehill" is getting bigger, bigger, bigger. The "mountain"
>is eroding faster and faster. Closing one's eyes or mind won't
>reverse any trend.

I don't see that the changes in either direction have been anything like "fast." If we factor out the Tech/Tech+ licencees, the number of General, Advanced, Extra and Novices have actually increased slightly since 1997. I don't see any significance to the percentages of increase or decrease of either the code-tested or non-code-tested hams. To date, the changes have been relatively minor.

What will tell, IMHO, is the attrition rate of the no-code Techs that come up for renewal soon. My speculation is that about 60% of them will not renew.

Lobster anyone? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8046640456409821826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:36:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 23:36:09 GMT
References: <ih548toldfcd8792epomrot59apcte22h2@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208183609.21807.00000072@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15134

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>Unless you intend to leave out the lay people, it is unrealistic to
>believe that average hams can keep up with current technology to the
>extent that they can advance the state of the art, or be of any value
>to the military. And if they can't do those things, what good are they
>to the government (as they once were)...

I don't think the number of hams who advance the state of the art has ever been
very high. But the number of hams today who have a fundamental grasp of modern
communications technology is smaller than it was in years past, IMHO.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7560878794149419942
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:40:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!fu-berlin.de!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 23:31:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <95va94$kse$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95rv4d$mgh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207211038.14968.00001267@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.235.52
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 23:31:48 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 165.121.235.52
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw4nti
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15135

In article <20010207211038.14968.00001267@ng-ff1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Dan/W4NTI w4nti@my-deja.com
>
> >Congratulations you figured it out....to continue.....more
enforcement
> >that then forces the FCC to decide to terminate ham radio because it
is
> >out of control.
>
> Can you offer any examples of other Services for which the FCC took

the action
> you describe?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
No Ed I can't. But that in itself does not make my theory incorrect.
Can you show me any proof that my theory is full of crap? No, you
can't.

I do not trust the US Government one little bit. If you do, good luck.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8907779812441036402
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:50:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 23:42:21 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 68
Message-ID: <95vass$ljr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com> <95lcr2$qf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95mojb$2c5$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95nprn$4fb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95p28u122ju@enews4.newsguy.com> <95q8bl$qgl$0@208.207.71.146>
<95qhuo2cu2@enews3.newsguy.com> <95rv7q$mi7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95rvsr$n6u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.72
X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 23:42:21 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.72
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15136

In article <95rvsr$n6u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> In article <95rv7q$mi7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:
>
> > How are we proving that real hams must be "abreast of science" by
> > reducing technical requirements for Extra class.
>
> Which "technical requirements for Extra class" have been reduced?

The technical requirements for the old Advanced Class as well as the old Extra Class have been folded into the new Extra Class exam, thus reducing the number of tiotal questions and thus subjects that may be covered. There are no additional questions that are even at the level of the old Technician Class with regard to RF radiation hazard evaluation. No tables that refer to same are given to exam applicants.

Hans, are you a VE? have you had access to the present exams as well as former exams?
>
> Answer: None.

Answer: You speak out of ignorance and misinformation Hans. Perhaps you mean well and deserve to be excused but regardless you show your ignorance.

>
> If you can't debate the issues on facts, I suppose it's OK for
> you to make up arguments to suit your agenda, but of course
> you risk being exposed as an insubstantial pompous windbag.
>
> Blow on, Robert.

I have the facts. I have the software that generates the present and the old exams.   What do you have?

> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> "We are fellow travelers on a journey between two
>   eternities, and it's a trip far too brief for me
>   to be concerned about your speed at the Code of
>   Morse."

I dunno what your Morse speed is, but me thinks that whatever clocks your brain waves is probably kinda of slow.

Respectful 73,
Hams need MORE license classes.

We do not choose to be guardians of the gate,
but there is no one else.
  --Lyndon Baines Johnson (1964)--

Hams are totally responsible for the future of
Ham Radio. Not the ARRL; Not the FCC; But
Hams themselves. Unfortionatly the average
Ham is an old timer, unwilling to accept the
challenges of modern technologies, the cultural
changes of our nation, or the educational needs
of our people...He or she is only able to debate
the relative obsolence of Morse code.
 --w2ilp--

Bob Wex w2ilp (Ignoring Licensing Posts?)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3315084160353944855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:54:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 104
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 23:54:23 GMT
References: <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208185423.21807.00000073@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15137

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Riley Hollingsworth has been telling hams that we should not let Amateur
>> Radio become obsolete under our watch. What do you think he means by
>> that and why do you think he is saying that to his fellow hams?

> ED just what are you saying?

I asked first. :-) And Hollingsworth said it. What do you think he means?
Why do you think he is telling us that?

>I already know, and have known for a long
>time, how a digitized signal process works, where it samples an analog
>wave, digitizes it and later reconstitutes it as analog once again, sans
>noise.

How is it processed in between the digitizing and the D/A conversion? By what mechanism would the noise be removed?

>That's not new, nor is understanding the process equivalent to
>rocket science.

Do you think you could pass a multiple-choice test on this technology?

>BUT--- if, like Cecil, you're telling me that Riley and his buddy Dale
>Hatfield insist that I and any serious percentage of ham radio licensees
>must become digital designers just to assure the survival of the ARS,
>you might as well go ahead and pass the word to them that they can begin
>the Big Shutdown anytime now.

I don't recall iether of them saying any such thing. Can you supply a quote? They are both telling Amateur Radio, each in their own way, that Amateur Radio needs to modernize. Why do you think they are saying that? My interpretation is not that all hams need to become design engineers, but that hams should be making use of modern radio communications techniques within the Service.

I also believe that individual hams should have the same relative grasp of the communications techniques of today as our counterparts had in the 20s, 30s, 40s, 50s and 60s. In 1964, when I was a General, I was not the brightest ham in the Service, but if I had taken the covers off of a commercial transmitter of the day, I would have understood, at a block level, what I was seeing. How many hams can say that today? When Amateur Radio first came into official existence, it was virtually synonymous with the commercial radio of the day. Do you think it would have been permitted back after WW2 if it was not still relevant to the technology of the 1940s? can see many ways that the relevance of Amateur Radio to technology has been important to us over the years. I believe that such relevance is more important to us today than ever, with Amateur Radio facing spectrum pressures that are greater than ever before.

> I for one am damn tired of being told what we "must" do to insure ham
>radio's survival, all the while the written tests are made dead-easy to
>pass without any effective learning of the material being absorbed by
>the entrant. And that's not just my opinion, rather dead on fact as
>evidenced by so many so clueless who aren't even bashful about admitting
>it publicly.

I have seen one admit it publically on this forum, Dick. I agree that changes need to be made to the tests, but I disagree that they are as useless as you imply. They are certainly, IMHO, just as valuable as that 16-page General study guide I studied in 1964.

> The whole situation looks more and more like a scheme to ease us out of
>our most commercially valuable spectrum segments, and that is something
>that I consider to be inevitable anyway.
You may be right; I am sometimes amazed that Amateur Radio has fared so well over the past years. But I don't believe that the FCC is scheming to take our spectrum away; they would not need to do so -- they have that authority any time they believe that Amateur Radio is not making as good a use of spectrum as others might.

>They WILL be taken from us as commercial demand for them
> reaches critical mass, as has always been
>done, and logically so.

All amateur spectrum is shared, with our sharing partners ranging from the military to radiolocation to unlicensed users. The trick is in finding compatibile sharing partners.

> But with hams having become so dumbed down
>they'll have the perfect rejoinder to our protestations- "We told you
>if you'all didn't become rocket scientists you'd lose frequencies...."

Again, I would love to see that rocket scientist quote by the FCC. Can you provide it?

More seriously, I simply cannot understand how you can lament the "dumbing down" of amateur radio (what with people having to study a 1200 question pool instead of a 16-page study guide) and then turn around and say:

> I for one am damn tired of being told what we "must" do to insure ham
>radio's survival, ...

If you really do believe that the written exams are deficient, and I do, just not to the near-total extent that you do, I cannot imagine why you are not suggesting that it is important that hams continue to learn those things that would raise us to the standards that the FCC would like to see. What I see instead is a lot of very negative "can't do" talk that is having just the opposite effect.

Care to join me in trying to make things a little better?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1979234251867862765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 15:58:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Feb 2001 23:57:44 GMT
References: <95t8n7$5hr$0@208.207.71.156>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
```

Message-ID: <20010208185744.21807.00000074@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15138

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Forever things just got to keep moving, forever and ever, without even
>a pause?

Seems that they are doing just that, Dick. Where is the pause in the development of computer technology? Where is the pause in the development of non-amateur wireless technology? I don't see any.

What value would be found in having the Amateur Radio service pause while the rest of the world marches steadily onward? What harm could come of stopping in our tracks?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5542390457726196261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:01:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:00:05 GMT
References: <95ujqb$l3i$0@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208190005.21807.00000076@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15139

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Evolution takes place at its own speed, and pushing and shoving does
>nothing but help to impede it.

Do you believe that this philosophy should be applied to all of ham radio?

>Well OB you can invest your remaining *time* in attempting to make
>*time* stand still so you can hope to garner more *time* for yourself.
> I plan to use mine more constructively.

Great. Can you share with us those constructive things you are planning?

```
73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From 261036236539080226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:04:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:02:32 GMT
References: <3A820EAC.C2127D8A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208190232.21807.00000077@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15140

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> How many 5 wpm QSOs have you heard in the lower 25 kHz of the HF ham bands?

>Hey Ed, wanna try one? :-)

How about somewhere near 14.050 MHz?  The lower 25 kHz is often used as a DX
window and I prefer to honor the gentle agreements.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -377615787113741185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:04:55 GMT
References: <95uj6m$jnt$0@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208190455.21807.00000078@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15141

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Did your comments or reply comments to the FCC suggest that a minimum code
>> speed should be allowed in the lower 25 kHz of the band, or is that a new
>> requirement you believe should be put on the plate?

> No I did not. I was confident that there was enough common sense left
>at FCC for them to know better than to do what they did. Silly me, I was
>wrong.

I would not have expected the FCC to make a new rule that all QSOs in the
lower 25 kHz of the band must be at a minimum speed. Why did you think they
would do that as part of their restructuring?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2392201778096214952
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:09:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:08:06 GMT
References: <95uj6m$jnt$0@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208190806.21807.00000079@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15142

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> > > How many 5 wpm QSOs have you heard in the lower 25 kHz of the HF ham
>> bands?

>> > What does that matter? You saying that if I haven't heard any then
>> > none are coming?

>> Okay, as long as we all agree that we are discussing what "might" happen.

> Nocoders mucking around in the CW segments doing what they

> should do with a code practice oscillator and W1AW code practice won't cut
it.

Is this another thing that "might" happen?

You can rest assured that it cannot happen until the FCC makes a rules change that permits it. Even then, I think it unlikely that many hams, if any, will get on CW manually unless they know Morse code. No-code Technicians have had the right to use Morse code on VHF since day 1 -- how many have you heard on 2 meters mucking around in the CW segments?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4268076047746825789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:10:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:09:39 GMT
References: <95uj6m$jnt$0@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208190939.21807.00000080@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15143

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Digital should get its own segment, since digital and W do not mix that
>well. And analog voice should get its own.

But I thought CW gets through when no other mode will. Is that true only if the other mode is not allowed to be used?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1545266272820574986
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:12:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:11:56 GMT
References: <3A820DBB.729AACA1@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208191156.21807.00000081@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15144

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>There were wall-to-wall CW QSOs in the 40m Novice band in 1953.

Now, to be fair, Cecil, those wall-to-wall signals were heard on receivers with more than 500 Hz bandwidth.

BUt I agree that CW useage in the Novice/Tech+ segments has declined drastically over the years.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4500431193086374179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:14:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:14:26 GMT
References: <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208191426.21807.00000082@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15145

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> I can't see authorizing nocoders to work CW without any training in code
> whatver, and that's why.

No-coders have been authorized to work CW without any testing for code whatver for about a decade now. What harm have they caused CW operators?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -6491424493924768519
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:16:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:16:01 GMT
References: <95udod21600@enews2.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208191601.21807.00000083@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15146
```

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

>Who, in their right mind, would even try to work CW if they had no knowlege
>of code whatsoever?

That's what I thought. Oh, there could be one or two who want to try out their CW skills before they are really ready, but I suspect that someone will "defend" the mode by making them stop.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 3755201544349790595
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:18:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:17:33 GMT
```

References: <95uk1r$l3i$3@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208191733.21807.00000084@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15147

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Who, in their right mind, would even try to work CW if they had no knowlege
>of
>> code whatsoever?

>Who indeed???? "Just as long as I don't have to pass a hazing-ritual
>test".

Are you saying that hordes of people who don't like CW will use it on the lower
25 kHz of the bands and make you stumble?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -7502183511807892866
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:18:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:18:08 GMT
References: <95uk60$l3i$4@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208191808.21807.00000085@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15148

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>80 meters is probably the most underused band these days.
>Try it on weekends, and more to the point, go to 40 meters,even 30 or 20

>are much more active.

Something to do with MUF, I believe.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5820621135363112841
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 00:13:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 150
Message-ID: <95vcn1$n3d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7745F8.F67C0C23@email.mot.com> <957l7u$gu2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95b0g1$du$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95g40e$d8a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a7ca983.3435572@news.mindspring.com> <95lcr2$qf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95mojb$2c5$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95nprn$4fb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95p28u122ju@enews4.newsguy.com> <95q8bl$qgl$0@208.207.71.146>
<95ruck$lr2$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95s9cn02ttj@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.134.72
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 00:13:26 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x65.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.134.72
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15149

In article <95s9cn02ttj@enews4.newsguy.com>,
  tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
> In article <95ruck$lr2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, w2ilp@juno.com says...
> >
> >In article <95q8bl$qgl$0@208.207.71.146>,
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Ye Olde Fahrtz have been preaching the concept of a "real ham"
for
> >years.
> >If present trends continue the only Hams left may be Olde Fartz.
> >Even if there are one Megafartz of old hams what will that mean if

> >there are no new young hams to replace them in the future???
>
> I suppose the FCC will auction the spectrum off to the highest bidder.
>
I agree!...BUT we Hams are the only ones who can possibly stop that
from happening.

> >> > Despite this narrow-mindedness, the class of license doesn't
prove
> >whether or not the holder of said license is a "real ham".
> >
> >IMHO the narrow-mindered are those who only consider their own
> >interests and could care less about the survival of Ham Radio.
>
> Which, from my experience, is about 90% of all licensed amateurs.

Saddly...You are probably correct.

> >> No, but it beats hell all out of any nocode qualification seen to
> >date.
> >
> >I have recently joined an e-mail group for QCWA members (or old farts
> >as some might say). The QCWA and its chapter clubs are struggling
with
> >the problem of decreased active membership and not enough new members
> >to replace the silent keys...just as most of the ham clubs, who are
> >honest about it, are ready to admit. I would bet that the membership
> >in most ARRL affiliated ham clubs is also decreasing or will soon be
> >decreasing. Some QCWA leaders want to open up membership to all hams
> >who have not yet met the 25 year requirement. This is not unlike the
> >Ham leaders who have been continuing to press for simplified ham
> >license requirements. The trouble is that such changes can only lead
> >to further disinterest and active participation in ham radio by those
> >who have previously qualified. It also leads to a loss of
> >PRIDE...even a loss of prestige for ham radio as a hobby. People who
> >might be considering becoming hams can not be positively influenced
to
> >enter a hobby which can not retain the kind of pride it has had in
the
> >past.
> >
> >The USA and world cultures are changing. In the 1950s people thought
> >that TV would take up so much of peoples' time that they wouldn't be
> >active in clubs and hobbies. It didn't have as much of an effect as
> >was feared on ham radio. BUT...today there are more options for
people
> >who are interested in using technology as a hobby. Unlike TV, but
like
> >Ham Radio, The Internet and cellular phones are interactive..That is,
> >they are two way communication systems which offer the ability to
make
> >new friends and discuss stuff with individuals and with groups
> >worldwide..and to get and give opinions and education about any

matter
> >that might be of interest, technical or not. Today's young techie
> >nerds, hackers and gurus are as interested in computers as we must
have
> >been interested in Ham Radio. They think that Ham Radio is just for
> >old farts. They might join a computer club to learn more about their
> >opertating systems or to swap software...but they won't have any time
> >left for a Ham Club.
>
> What the hell do you expect? Ham radio has stagnated since influx of
the
> computer era. Nothing was done to rise to the challange. The Ancient
Radio
> Relay League insisted on keeping high-speed code testing as a measure
of
> someone worthy to receive a license. Commercial networks upgraded to
2400,
> 9600, 28.8k, and 56k througout the 90s, while packet radio was stuck
at a
> pitiful 1200 baud.

NOW YOU ARE SEEING THINGS MY WAY.

What do I propose to get out of this ancient worshiping rut?
I propose to technically make ham radio compatible with modern computer
science and practice...and for that to happen....

HAMS NEED MORE LICENSE CLASSES.

> >My opinion is if you want ham radio to survive and to eventually
> >interest more people you have to make it more technically
educational;
> >not easier to advance to "Extra" class. Ham Radio is far behind
modern
> >communication technology and must be advanced to the relative point
> >where it was in 1950. To do this we need more higher level technical
> >requirements for the higher level hams...although we still need easy
> >entry requirements to help get youngsters started. This is in
> >opposition to the present ARRL policy. The ARRL leaders can not seem
> >to get it into their heads that to attract new members and to keep
some
> >present hams active...you have to give some PRIDE back to all Hams,
by
> >encouraging those who want to, and can qualify for advanced modern
> >technical ham ability (as real hams, if I may), to take more and
better
> >high level technical exams. Forget high speed CW, but make high
> >technology the mark of a real Ham....If not the goal...If not just
the
> >BASIS anmd PURPOSE of the whole hobby!
> >
> >Hams need more license classes.
> >

> >Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure License Potential)
>
> For someone who wants to pursue a career in communication technology,
ham radio
> might serve as a springboard for that career. Even so, the drive and
ambition
> to achieve technical knowlege will be there without the need for more
license
> classes. Ham radio isn't for everybody.

True ham radio can not be for everyone...BUT we don't want it to evolve
to be for no one.
By definition of Ham Radio, we can only refer to its OFFICIAL basis and
purpose, and thus to the exams which technically advanced hams of the
future may be required to pass.
Therefore I can't agree with your last statement, which denys the need
for more license classes. If you think logically about this matter,
you will find, as I have, that you are disagreeing with your own
previous observations.

Thank you for your comments and for reading my post anyway...BUT please
keep thinking.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (Insure License Potential)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1003022234793O3746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:22:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:22:00 GMT
References: <95ucrr01600@enews2.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208192200.21807.00000086@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15150

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

>How many hams dropped out of ham radio altogether because the

>felt the ARRL screwed them?

Depends on who you ask. According to W2NSD, vast hordes. Of course, some folks tend to see everything in sweeping terms. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4476550678903815256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9d23520ccea68850,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9d23520ccea68850,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fbf1a,9d23520ccea68850,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfbf1a,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:25:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!SLee
From: SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame
Subject: Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band?
Date: 9 Feb 2001 00:25:02 GMT
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <95vdcu$v1s$0@pita.alt.net>
Reply-To: abuse@127.0.0.1
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12178 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15151 alt.flame:33208

I was surfing the web the other day when I ran across the lyrics of a song by Paul McCartney and Raoul Xemblinosky which was entitled "Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band." You can find the lyrics (which I think should not be published in a delicate family forum such as this one) at http://extra.newsguy.com/~shpxurnq/songs.html#song5.

Is this indeed *our* Roger. And how was it that he came to achieve such fame? That same web site claims that Roger was spanked off of Usenet entirely back in '97, but maybe it was someone else, because we all know that Roger is very much here, even though he's not all there.

Anyone care to enlighten us?

From -4568815624016763877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:25:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!

newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:24:35 GMT
References: <jrj58toqcf4bvm9et8p2d9di3151vme3as@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208192435.21807.00000087@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15152

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>I remember that lots of hams threatened to quit the ARRL over
>Incentive Licensing, but only someone with membership data would know
>if a large number of hams actually did. Ed?

I wasn't around then; my license expired in 1969 and I wasn't relicensed until about 1973 or so. I do recall reading (here, maybe) that a number of hams did quit the League over the whole deal. I am certain that they didn't all quit ham radio.

I think even then, postal regulations required a regular statement of circulation in QST. Your back issues should give at least a relative indication.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7901287277379303447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:28:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:28:00 GMT
References: <95sv89$8au$1@bashir.peak.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Message-ID: <20010208192800.21807.00000089@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15153

>From: Bill Nelson billn@spock.peak.org

>: Wonder how much money 75 meters will bring?

>The whole of the HF band would bring very little. The inconsistant
>propogation characteristics guarantee that.

HF is not useless at all. As I tune outside the ham bands, I hear plenty of signals.

I think the real risk may be found in some of the broadband "wireless" networking that will be networking computers using residential phone wiring and electrical wiring, or providing broadband access over phone lines and even power lines. If Amateur Radio ever lost its primary status over these Part 15 users, it is over.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>--
>Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 9180366719543643494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:33:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:33:05 GMT
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010208193305.21807.00000090@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15154

>From: n2ey@aol.com

>You're not the only one who tried to discuss "style" with that one. He
>has lots of company.

Yeah, but you know, Carl and I have had many an interesting conversation since and he is now using an entirely different style. I occasionally see some of his infamous "forcefulness," but I think he has chosen a productive route. I count Carl among my friends. Even bought him a lobster once. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6489737200511744461
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:34:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:34:22 GMT
References: <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010208193422.21807.00000091@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15155

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>When one is unqualified at the basics, how can he claim to be fully
>accomplished?

If one is qualified only at the basics, how can he claim to be fully accomplished?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4696245766490801074
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:38:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:38:18 GMT
References: <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010208193818.21807.00000093@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15156

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>That is: The most basic radio communications mode is radiotelegraphy.
> Find one that you can show to be more basic and we'll look again.

The "prisoner tap code" could be OO keyed. It can probably be done at about 5 wpm with a lookup table by someone not experienced in Morse code decoding. That seems more basic to me. If you agree that it is more basic than OOK Morse code, will you now support IT as the mode that all hams should learn to be considered fully versed in radio technology?

As an unspecified digital mode using a permitted modulation method and a publicized encoding, it is probably legal.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3656385620674150597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:40:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!212.97.206.81.MISMATCH!kinglear.mobilixnet.dk!opentransit.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 00:34:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <95vdvb$o33$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95u1k2$fti$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.59
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 00:34:52 2001 GMT

```
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.59
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15157

In article <95u1k2$fti$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > I knew what Len meant.
>
>      Birds of a feather.

You knew what Len meant.  If you say you didn't, then you're a bigger
fool than even you make yourself on here.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 1190048143353521619
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:41:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:40:51 GMT
References: <3A83081B.9F706174@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010208194051.21807.00000096@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15158

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>The guy who programs the Nokia cell phones and the police repeaters
>prolly has to know it.

Not at all.  The programmer just needs to know where to look it up.  When my
programming requires me to know an ascii character, I open my programming book
to the correct appendix.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
```

From -4904134487973458788
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:47:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:47:27 GMT
References: <95va94$kse$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Message-ID: <20010208194727.21870.00000076@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15159

>From: Dan/W4NTI w4nti@my-deja.com

>I do not trust the US Government one little bit. If you do, good luck.

Over the past years, I have worked with a few dozen FCC staff, plus a few others from NTIA, OSHA and other agencies. They have been working relationships born of mutual respect and trust. Not once has one single of these individuals acted in a way that I felt was dishonorable.

I have a choice; I can go on that experience, or the fact that I cannot prove that your fanciful, albeit fearful, total distrust of the government. Thanks for the luck, but I will stick with what works for me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 574736659974017766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:48:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:48:21 GMT
References: <3A832AD0.FBDEA93C@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

```
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010208194821.21870.00000077@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15160

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>> What percentage of Morse-code communications do you believe is accomplished
>> with only a simple RF generator on-off keyed?

>100% of the ones that send bweeeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee bweep
>bweeeeeee bweeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee

>:-)

Ah, I see you have a Tuna Tin 2. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From -1547998984700915713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3fb7712c26a119c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:50:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.98.98.64!
hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Novice CW
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 00:42:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <95vee5$ogl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95n1q0$eeh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010205185307.24637.00001048@ng-
bg1.aol.com> <3A7F7398.6447890A@sccoast.net> <95orke$uhu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<u0f08tckjee6897cvce10v1lkcai0av57k@4ax.com> <95pi4l$k18$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95q65k$7qu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95rmep$dva$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95sql6$hes$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95ucit$p3l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.59
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 00:42:46 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.59
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15161

In article <95ucit$p3l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> In article <95sql6$hes$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
```

> > Gee, you were strangely absent when I was set upon by the virulent
> > pro-coders for saying there was no one on the novice subbands 13
years
> > ago.
>
> You only started cyber-stalking me a couple years ago. I never heard
> of you until about 1998, so I didn't know I was supposed to come
> protect you from "virulent pro-coders" -- poor baby, I bet you were
> really traumatized. Splains a lot.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

So if I'm on here and you're on here, it's stalking? Splains a lot
about Hans as well. Hans is all about Hans, with a little delusion
twisted in for fun.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5468348638044509892
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:50:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 16:46:05 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15162

Brian wrote:
> If what you say is true, then most everyone would see that and your
> comments would be completely unnecessary. But instead, you chose to
> define scaleology as if you worked for the dept of weights and measures.

I think you're over your head Brian. Best let it drop. The subject -
not your head.

From 380927554632618434
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 16:51:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:50:59 GMT
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010208195059.21870.00000079@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15163

>From: n2ey@aol.com

>But I was only half-joking. I really do want to see any and all lists
>on this subject (promoting Morse - the mode, not the test). The ARRL
>leadership has done very very little to promote the mode for many
>years - now all of a sudden there's this order to do so. Please
>understand that these comments come from a longtime member and QST
>reader who wants to help, not just take potshots. (I recently filled
>some holes in my QST collection - now I'm solid back to October, 1927.
>And those are just part of the N2EY radio library).

>OK, here are some ideas:

Thanks for the thought you put into this, Jim. I agree with almost everything
you said and I will carry the list to a few people at HQ.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2365949642555569927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 17:00:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail

```
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 00:52:43 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <95vf0p$ovn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95ssaa$isk$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207214615.14968.00001276@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95uo2u$4v1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.59
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 00:52:43 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.59
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15164

In article <95uo2u$4v1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> In article <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> >
> > And that's just my short list.
> >
>
> I like all those ideas, Jim, except the one about publicizing the
Fists
> freqs.  I've abandoned any operations anywhere near xx.058, because
> once a Fists member "collects your number", the contact usually comes
> to a quick conclusion.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

Reminds me of 10-10.  I worked a guy in Florida when I was stationed in
Nebraska.  Then I worked him again from Guam and he told me I was a
dupe.  When I tried working him from my new duty assignment in Illinois,
he kind of got perturbed with me.




Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -4526275291247367938
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:10:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 18:08:06 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A835106.1E1EFC66@uci.edu>
References: <3A83081B.9F706174@uci.edu> <20010208194051.21807.00000096@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15165

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
> >The guy who programs the Nokia cell phones and the police repeaters
> >prolly has to know it.
>
> Not at all. The programmer just needs to know where to look it up.

Sounds like an excellent answer to have prepared for your next job interview, Ed. "Well I don't know anything about that, but I can look it up!"

73, ac6xg

From 864940520027659250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:25:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!193.190.198.17!newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A835661.223E@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra

dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:30:57 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.78.16.121
X-Trace: news1.atl 981685531 216.78.16.121 (Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:25:31 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:25:31 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9213
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12186 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15166 rec.radio.cb:25755
rec.radio.scanner:13515

h wrote:

>
> If he had a license he is a HAM not a CB'er
> Frankly Freebanders are like the Hams of old. If you look at the FCC
> enforcement logs The Hams are out of control and need enforcement while
> Freebanders are mature and working to improver thier knowledge, save lives,
> promote freedom and democracy, and gernerally aid and improve the geneneral
> welfare or thier comunities.
>
> --

Sir,,

You are wrong on the above. Besides breaking the law and interfering with legitimate communications of other domestic radio services, the "skills" of "freebanders" hardly qualifies them for the promotion of the welfare of the community--among other things. If that were true, how come when there's a disaster (flood, tornado, hurricane, etc) they don't call on the "freebanders" to help out instead of ARES, RACES, the host of hams who are not in the above organizations, and Civil Air Patrol? How come they don't ask for "freebanders" to pass traffic on 27.555 instead of hams on 3872 KHZ, or 14.300? In time of disaster, when other comms are down, more traffic is passed by HAMS than any other group.

All this bullcrap about ham radio being "dead" is wishful thinking, bud. And for every "bad" ham that "may" be heard, I can find TEN CBers just as bad or worse. BUT! Does that make CB itself "bad"? NO! For every ten of the "bad" CBers, I can find 20 good ones. For every "one" of the so-called "bad" hams, I can find 100 good operators. The FCC's return to enforcement is welcomed by the rest of the Amateurs and, we hope, that their activity will weed out the bad ops. The rest of us that get smeared by the activities of a few welcome the departure of the jerks. Same with CB: you can't judge the entire service by the antics of a few morons.

Jerry

> >

From 2546820413180235464
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:30:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 18:25:02 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3A8354FE.449F3F66@uci.edu>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-
fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu> <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu> <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15167

Brian wrote:
> In article <3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu>,
>   Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> > Brian wrote:
> > > So now one needs to be an expert in scaleology to be able to state
> that
> > > the numbers of coded hams are dropping and the number of no-coders
> is
> > > increasing.
> >
> > I don't recall you saying that.

> That seemed to be your entire point.

I wonder if your head floats. Maybe you should consider only commenting
on things you understand.

> If it wasn't then your message was
> pointless.

I can see how it might seem that way to you.

> > Still trying to figure out what, if
> > anything, you ARE expert at though.

```
> The greatest hobby in the world - the one everyone talks about!

Excellent choice.  Maybe there's a newsgroup you can go pester about
that.


From 4689685979023563698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:46:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 02:45:42 GMT
References: <3A82FDF2.B5757C6A@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208214542.02997.00002010@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15168

In article <3A82FDF2.B5757C6A@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> My point was that the non-code-tested US amateur licensees
>> keep increasing in number while the code-tested US amateur
>> licensees are decreasing in number.
>
>I have no problem with the data.  I was simply correcting your poor
>assessment of the data - as have others, albeit for differing reasons.

I didn't see any "correcting of a poor assessment of data."  All I saw
was your attempt at trying to make a new issue out of your
"correction" of the phrase "tipping the scales."

didit


From -4216491202100338462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:46:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
```

Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 02:45:40 GMT
References: <95uqva$7rn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208214540.02997.00002008@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15169

In article <95uqva$7rn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010208124733.17535.00002135@nso-fy.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> Now, now, Dick, look at the NEW licensees' numbers. Those
>> aren't "renewals" from any other class. Want to do that in all
>> the months before April 15, 2000?   :-)
>
>   Lennnie again attempts to narrow the scope of the numbers to ONLY
>new licensees, and NOT the CURRENT status of those licensees.

No, just giving code-tested versus non-code-tested license
class totals in the US ARS at the end of December of the
years 2000, 1999, and 1998.

I'll ask again, are you saying that already-code-tested license
class amateurs are switching over to the Technician class?   :-)

didit

From -7907244622347297149
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:46:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 02:45:41 GMT
References: <3A82FC9F.1A7319E5@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler

```
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208214541.02997.00002009@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15170

In article <3A82FC9F.1A7319E5@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> Apparently you don't want to believe that code-tested US radio
>> amateurs are decreasing in number while non-code-tested
>> US radio amateurs are increasing in number.
>
>I know you're not stupid, Len, so the only thing I can conclude about
>why you would say something which is totally inaccurate is because
>you're so mad at me that you can't think of anything logical to say.  I
>never disputed your figures.  You did.

Please state exactly what was "inaccurate."  Do you dispute
my arithmetic on two groups of licensees?

Or aren't you just playing word games with the phrase "tipping
scales?"

Shall we all have fun with word games here?  Or would you
rather discuss some issues regarding the code-tested state
of US amateur radio license classes?

didit



From 2443427385995225707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:46:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 02:45:40 GMT
References: <95uqrn$7hc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208214540.02997.00002007@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15171

In article <95uqrn$7hc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:
```

>In article <20010208124735.17535.00002140@nso-fy.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> If you wish, I can post stats on NEW licensees for the last
>> three years. Looks even worse for the code-tested numbers.
>
>   If that was ALL you posted, it might. But then again it doesn't
>reflect the true CURRENT status of thier licneses, now does it?

FCC databases are updated daily, weekly, and monthly. How
the various tabulators want to do it is their option. The AH0A
site tabulates at the end of the month.

What I did recently was take the AH0A site tabulations at the
end of December for the years 2000, 1999, and 1998. From
that and from previous years' data given over two years ago, the
trend is quite clear...newcomers are more attracted to no code
tests in US amateur radio.

Even more telling is that the code-tested license class totals
are decreasing while the non-code-tested licensees are
increasing.

What are you saying? That code-tested licensees are opting
to change over to Technician class from a code-tested previous
class not a Technician-Plus?   :-)

>From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: Re: Who Is What?
>Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 19:08:48 GMT   <----------!!!
>Organization: Deja.com
>Lines: 21
>Message-ID: <95uqrn$7hc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Ah, still doing e-mail from work, ey?   :-)

didit

From 7157960648333013848
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:46:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 107
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 02:45:51 GMT
References: <95v5fo$gsj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208214551.02997.00002011@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15172

In article <95v5fo$gsj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, n2ey@aol.com writes:

>In article <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>> Firecracker Material (courtesy of AH0A statistics on US ham licenses)-
>>
>> In the 9 months following US amateur radio restructuring, the
>> total number of US amateur radio licenses increased by
>> 3,701 (+0.55% of total). Code-tested licensees declined by
>> 9,531 while non-code-tested licensees increased by 13,132.
>
>(Rest snipped for brevity)
>
>The above analysis is not correct.

Sorry, Jim, but based on the conditions I stated, it is correct.

Since your arithmetic is the same as mine, my analysis
using the stated conditions is very correct. :-)

<snip>

>However, all is not as simple as it appears. As part of the
>restructuring changes, Technician Plus licenses will be renewed as
>Technicians. So the above apparent gain of 13,132 is inflated by such
>renewals, as is the apparent loss of 9,431 in the other classes.
>
>Let's see how big this error really is. Looking at the renewal data on
>the Speroni site, we see that the number of Tech and Tech Plus renewals
>over the nine month period was 4,870. Because the FCC will not process
>renewals until the license is close to expiring, almost all of these
>4,870 are Tech Pluses being renewed as Technicians. A fair estimate
>would be that about 4,700 of them were such renewals.

That is an ESTIMATE. By using personal estimates based only
on "gut feeling" or something, you are yourself in ERROR.

<snip>

>But even THAT's not a complete or correct analysis.

True, using your estimates is not a correct analysis. :-)

<snip>

>Suppose that the annual rate of expirations of "noncodetested" licenses
>is just 5%. Based on 215,000 licensees, that works out to 10,075
>expirations per year, or about 840 per month. So the gain of 937 per
>month shrinks to just 97 per month IF a 5% annual dropout rate is
>correct.

As far as ESTIMATES, you've failed once more. Note the tabulation of NEW licensees which increased at 1184 per month for the 27 months between beginning of January 1998 and end of March 2000 for Technician. The renewals for those will be in the year 2008 to 2010...but the trend is for NEW Tech licensees still growing after January 2001.

You've made a wish-fulfillment estimate on the "840 per month Technician class expirations of 5 percent." That assumes that percentage will expire and you have NO idea if they will do that. New Technician class licensees are continually being added and not just from "Tech-Plus renewals."

>In other words, the trend is not nearly so dramatic as was originally
>presented.

Your statement is "true" only in using incomplete and faulty estimates. The trend is there whether you want to see it or not. Your take on "drama" is unknown.

>More important, however, is the simple fact that it is far too early to
>even guess at the long-term impact of the restructuring.

Denial will never see any trend of any kind.

> It is also
>interesting that the January 2001 figures were not included.

Why? Is 9 months after restructuring somehow "faulty" but 10 months after restructuring is somehow "more correct?!?"

What about the two years PRIOR to restructuring? Go do the figures again and see the trends. Here, I'll do it for you.

First three months of 2000: 163/month code-tested NEW licensees; 1980/month NEW Technicians. Not affected by April 15, 2000 restructuring.

All of year 1999: 196/month code-tested NEW licensees; 1165/month non-code-tested NEW Technicians. Not affected by April 15, 2000 restructuring.

All of year 1998: 265/month code-tested NEW licensees; 1231/month non-code-tested NEW Technicians. Not affected by April 15, 2000 restructuring.

> And it is
>to be expected that the easiest-to-get license will have large numbers
>of licensees.

The "easiest-to-get license" is a Restricted Radiotelephone
License or Permit from the FCC. No test, lifetime. I have no
idea of the number of those licenses or permits.   :-)

didit

```
From -9047271486585805984
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:47:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 02:46:13 GMT
References: <95upda$66n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010208214613.02997.00002013@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15173
```

In article <95upda$66n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:

>In article <20010207015601.02997.00001930@nso-mg.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> He hasn't identified the company
>> where is is, or was, purchasing things.   :-)
>
>Three minutes of superficial detective work suggests the company
>probably is:
>
>   Antec Corporation
>   11450 Technology Circle
>   Duluth, GA 30097
>   US
>
>I see their stock is slipping since November when Bob Stanzione
>announced a suspension in product shipments to their largest customer,
>AT&T Broadband. Now trading around $10, after a high of $61.25 last
>spring.

>
>73, de Hans, K0HB

The name "Antec" appeared in message headers some months ago. No real "detective work" needed then, but it is surprising that a proud employee wouldn't name his employer. :-)

On the other hand, someone who sits around doing newsgroups and e-mail on company time might not want it known...

BTW, their company phone number is 678-473-2000 or
800-FIBER-ME. :-) www.antec.com

didit

```
From 6359510975583772498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:47:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 69
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 02:46:15 GMT
References: <95uqp2$7fn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010208214615.02997.00002014@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15174
```

In article <95uqp2$7fn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010208124732.17535.00002134@nso-fy.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> > And by the way, I AM doing both.

Both? The driving distance from Dunlap, TN, (FCC database address information) to Duluth, GA, is 3 hours, 17 minutes by legal speeds. Assuming a one-way driving time of 3 hours, work time of 8 hours with one hour lunch, the total commute and work takes 15 hours. That leaves 9 hours to sleep, eat at least one meal, do personal hygiene...and supposedly work at a second job.

One of those jobs must not be 8 hours long or both of them are
part-time. [drive 6 hours for a part-time job?!?!?]

Something missing in the above considering there are only
24 hours in a terrestrial day.

>> "Both what?" Spending company time chatting about personal
>> subjects? Spending company Internet time posting over the
>> Internet? Naughty, naughty...
>
>   Working here AND nursing, Lennie. Not that I needed to tell you.

Driving 6 hours a day? Doing e-mail and newsgrouping from
one of those jobs? Which one?

>   No one here has "sleighted" me, Lennie, least of all you. You
>don't have the credentials nor the means to do so.

...pathological liars cannot, will not admit to telling a lie. QED.

>   Of course, you never acknowledge the "non-controversial" posts in
>kind...only the one's where you find the opportunity to reignite the
>flamewars.

If someone posts an uncivil message, they might get a reply
IN KIND. Those are deserving. I've never said otherwise.   :-)

>   No...the main WHINE by a small subset of this newsgroup is about
>code retention/elimination. You are, as previously cited, it's center
>stage jester.

I'm glad you are amused. Amusement and entertainment are
great stress relievers for those who supposedly have two jobs.

>> A Brigade is 4 Battalions. A Battalion is 4 Companies. A
>> Company is 4 Platoons. A Platoon is 4 Squads of 12 men
>> each plus two more. A Brigade would have around 3000+
>> personnel. Only a Captain is THE radio officer of a Brigade?
>
>   When in cadre status, yes.

...only in some non-official militia organization, hotshot.

>PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE
>DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

...yes, the employee may wind up fired for doing personal things
on company time. :-)

didit

From 3339103197887904073
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:47:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 69
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 02:46:12 GMT
References: <95up3e$5p7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010208214612.02997.00002012@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15175

In article <95up3e$5p7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ [writing from work at 1:38 PM] <k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010208124732.17535.00002134@nso-fy.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>   Most of rehashed "see what a hero I am" tripe trashed. I wrote 2
>paragraphs....Lennie wrote a novella.

A novella is about 10,000 words in the publishing trade. I didn't
write that many words. Just some third-party references for my
claims for the last 45 years. Where are yours?

Don't try to bluff or play e-poker, here. Show your references
about non-amateur experience.

>> How does one get a commission as a member of a state
>> army unit when they were once medically discharged from
>> a regular military branch? Is there some Faith Healing we
>> haven't heard of?
>
>   Waivers, Lennie. Waivers. When you have something to offer they
>want to use, they are glad to accomodate your needs.

Digitize-scan those commission papters, block out your
private data if you wish, and post them somewhere. Or
provide some indisputable proof of this "commission" through
a third-party reference. Until then everyone has to assume
you are not telling the truth.

```
>> Hmmm...apparently the unnamed employer got a bit pissed at
>> an employee using company Internet facilities?  One can only
>> wonder at that...
>
>     Why should the "unnamed employer" be concerned over a Deja mail
>account, Lennie?

You sent this message at 1:38 PM EST according to the footer
on this message.  That is after normal lunch hours at WORK.

Must be a real cushy job there, Steebie, letting you sit around
playing chat in e-mail and newsgroups.  :-)

Enjoy it while you can...before you get canned.  :-)

didit



>Path:
>lobby!newstf02.news.aol.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!new
sfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.m
axwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
>From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
>Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 18:38:39 GMT
<------ !!!
>Organization: Deja.com
>Lines: 40
>Message-ID: <95up3e$5p7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>References: <95rh4o$99m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
><20010208124732.17535.00002134@nso-fy.aol.com>
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.170
>X-Article-Creation-Date: Thu Feb 08 18:38:39 2001 GMT
>X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
>X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.170
>X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz




From -6251600821518634061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 01:49:12 GMT
```

```
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <95viao02cr8@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <95ucrr01600@enews2.newsguy.com> <20010208192200.21807.00000086@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-950.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15176

In article <20010208192200.21807.00000086@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
says...
>
>>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com  (T. L. Bryant)
>
>>How many hams dropped out of ham radio altogether because the
>>felt the ARRL screwed them?
>
>Depends on who you ask. According to W2NSD, vast hordes.  Of course, some
folks
>tend to see everything in sweeping terms.  :-)


Was this before or after he started pushing his bio-electrifier?




From -1824436211322166194
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 18:50:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 18:43:57 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3A83596D.8A24E4DA@uci.edu>
References: <3A832AD0.FBDEA93C@uci.edu> <20010208194821.21870.00000077@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15177
```

```
W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
> >> What percentage of Morse-code communications do you believe is accomplished
> >> with only a simple RF generator on-off keyed?
>
> >100% of the ones that send bweeeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee bweep
> >bweeeeeee bweeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee
>
> >:-)
>
> Ah, I see you have a Tuna Tin 2. :-)

No, actually it's an IC 735.  It sounds more like grrrrrr grr grrrrrrr
grr
grrrrrr grrrrrr grr grrrrrrr on 20 - from what I've been told.
Need to replace some more darn trimmer caps I guess. :-(

73, jim ac6xg


From -4303124397568046264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:10:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 19:04:29 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3A835E3D.9AA17A67@uci.edu>
References: <3A82FC9F.1A7319E5@uci.edu> <20010208214541.02997.00002009@nso-
mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15178



Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A82FC9F.1A7319E5@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
```

```
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >> Apparently you don't want to believe that code-tested US radio
> >> amateurs are decreasing in number while non-code-tested
> >> US radio amateurs are increasing in number.
> >
> >I know you're not stupid, Len, so the only thing I can conclude about
> >why you would say something which is totally inaccurate is because
> >you're so mad at me that you can't think of anything logical to say.  I
> >never disputed your figures.  You did.

> Please state exactly what was "inaccurate."

Here's the statement I was referring to:

"Apparently you don't want to believe that code-tested US radio
amateurs are decreasing in number while non-code-tested
US radio amateurs are increasing in number."

> Shall we all have fun with word games here?  Or would you
> rather discuss some issues regarding the code-tested state
> of US amateur radio license classes?

It would go a long way if you would just TRY to make less inflamatory
statements, Len.  You seem to feel the need to taunt, or exaggerate, or
belittle, or insult, in every stinkin' one of your posts.  That's all
this was about.  Geez.


From 6647406842155965612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:10:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 19:05:31 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A835E7B.6CB70153@uci.edu>
References: <3A82FDF2.B5757C6A@uci.edu> <20010208214542.02997.00002010@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15179
```

```
Len Over 21 wrote:

> I didn't see any "correcting of a poor assessment of data."  All I saw
> was your attempt at trying to make a new issue out of your
> "correction" of the phrase "tipping the scales."

Some people see only what they wish to see.


From -2029173012504232806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:15:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 03:15:11 GMT
References: <20010208192800.21807.00000089@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Message-ID: <20010208221511.09475.00001811@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15180

In article <20010208192800.21807.00000089@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>I think the real risk may be found in some of the broadband "wireless"
>networking that will be networking computers  using residential phone wiring
>and electrical wiring, or providing broadband access over phone lines and
>even
>power lines.  If Amateur Radio ever lost its primary status over these Part
>15 users, it is over.

How's the RFID units at 13.56 MHz nowadays?  [asking out of curiosity,
not to troll]

didit


From -1820755217317785925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dc4d351d7bd2fcb5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:27:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!64.152.100.70!
news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Ham Radio Operator caught Jamming Police Frequencies.
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 22:27:22 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <B6A8CDC9.DFB%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <q9o48tkt8s5o44ev1jsr6bhbd9rrvv66pa@news> <B6A835C3.C06%stewartx@sccoast.net> <95ukh4$1a4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-241.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 981689234 13830 204.116.70.242 (9 Feb 2001 03:27:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 03:27:14 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15181

K0HB at K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

> Nope, the alleged perp is a licensed
> ham, licensed for about five years.

Okay, just wondering. The reason is because we had an incident here a few years ago where the person was described in the local newspaper as a ham when in fact he was a just a guy using a marine radio (hey, it wasn't a CB, so that left only ham radio in the mind of the reporter).

> This guy has been at it for over a
> year, faking "officer down" calls,
> cross-linking different police and
> sheriff services, and similar crap.
> Technically smart, and has been able
> to avoid being located by being mobile
> -- he got caught because an officer
> noticed his vehicle antenna at the
> scene of a false-alarm ambulance
> call. He has reportedly admitted
> everything to the cops.

Well, I don't know about the technically smart part, but he was an idiot for broadcasting false calls on the police frequencies. The police have enough to keep them busy, and I would sure hate to realise that a family member was put at risk because the cops were busy elsewhere with a false call.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -5340196075612826З0
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:37:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 03:36:37 GMT
References: <3A835E3D.9AA17A67@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010208223637.14870.00001887@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15182

In article <3A835E3D.9AA17A67@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>> Shall we all have fun with word games here? Or would you
>> rather discuss some issues regarding the code-tested state
>> of US amateur radio license classes?
>
>It would go a long way if you would just TRY to make less inflamatory
>statements, Len. You seem to feel the need to taunt, or exaggerate, or
>belittle, or insult, in every stinkin' one of your posts. That's all
>this was about. Geez.

The "taunt" seems to be 31,971 NEW no-code-test Technicians
who came into the US ARS in the 27 months from the
beginning of January 1998 and end of March 2000...while only
6009 NEW code-tested US ARS licensees came in during the
same time.

Is it an "exaggeration" that the no-code-test Technician class
has been constantly growing since the beginning while every
other US ARS class (code tested) has not grown nearly as fast?

Is it "belittling" that over 200 thousand no-code-test Techs are
now in the US ARS?

Is it "insulting" that such facts are pointed out to those who
have been code-tested?

I suppose you are right. All this talk about stopping code
testing is sure "stinking," isn't it?

I suppose I should "apologize" for pointing out the obvious, but
what are over 200 thousand Technician licensees expected to
do when they are discounted, demeaned, taunted, belittled by
some souls who feel they are "superior" to "stinking" inferiors?

didit

From 1469315387582747869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:38:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 03:38:30 GMT
References: <3A830B04.B6473FDA@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208223830.29597.00006564@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15183

In article <3A830B04.B6473FDA@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>> And I gotta' tell ya', Larry; when I was a 14 year old General, I was
>> as dumbed down as they come.
>
>And when I was a 14 year old dumbed down General, you were
>even dumber than me. :-)

Ed/Cecil:

I've got you both beat. When I was 14, I was too dumb to even
get a ham ticket. I was too busy whining about having to learn
the Morris Kode, and that's about as dumb as you can get!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6652784241701812261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:38:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 03:38:30 GMT
References: <95rq1201sc@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010208223830.29597.00006565@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15184

In article <95rq1201sc@enews1.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
writes:

>
>Larry doesn't care whether or not hams have reached a certain level of
>technical proficiency. He only cares about how fast they can beep. He calls
>them "welfare hams", but welfare implies that something tangible is taken
>from
>someone who has, and is given to someone who doesn't have. Nothing tangible
>has
>been taken from Larry, but his blurred vision doesn't see things that way.

T. L.:

Wrong again.  I could care less if they can beep at all -- as long as
they're not whining about learning how to beep in order to attain higher
HF privileges.  However, its a moot point now.  Pretty soon we'll have
the beepless ARS you've always dreamed about.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -6252573776135936703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:38:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 03:38:31 GMT
```

References: <71zg6.129$HH5.863162@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Message-ID: <20010208223831.29597.00006566@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15185

In article <71zg6.129$HH5.863162@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>
>> More than enough to give me a generally negative impression of
>> the attitude of the ARRL HQ staff toward members -- and that's
>> all that matters.
>
>I believe you, Larry, but I am curious -- how many was it? You made a
>pretty power blanket condemnation of a group of people and I am just
>wondering about your sample size.

Ed:

I stopped counting at four; the count began with the receptionist/telephone operator in the lobby. It seemed like the only person who didn't go out of their way to piss me off that day was Chuck Bender at W1AW. And while I'm naming names, K1ZZ has managed to completely annoy me literally ever time we met, starting with the '84 Dayton Hamvention and every time since.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1795236782995638972
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:40:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 03:29:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <95vo5t$c4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu> <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu> <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A8354FE.449F3F66@uci.edu>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.71
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 03:29:09 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.71
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15186
```

In article <3A8354FE.449F3F66@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

```
> Brian wrote:
> > In article <3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu>,
> >   Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > > So now one needs to be an expert in scaleology to be able to
state
> > that
> > > > the numbers of coded hams are dropping and the number of
no-coders
> > is
> > > > increasing.
> > >
> > > I don't recall you saying that.
>
> > That seemed to be your entire point.
>
> I wonder if your head floats.  Maybe you should consider only
commenting
> on things you understand.
```

Then show where that wasn't your point. Show where I misunderstood.
Show that your head sinks.

```
> > If it wasn't then your message was
> > pointless.
>
> I can see how it might seem that way to you.
```

I don't see how.

```
> > > Still trying to figure out what, if
> > > anything, you ARE expert at though.
>
> > The greatest hobby in the world - the one everyone talks about!
>
> Excellent choice.  Maybe there's a newsgroup you can go pester about
> that.
```

Even hams talk about it, so I'm comfortable where I'm at. Perhaps you
should meet Dick at alt.metamucel.isntworking

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 3628891791189958754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:40:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 03:34:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <95vofo$nv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95q3q8$5pm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95skdi$c1c$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95snk0$epo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95t3ep$olt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.71
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 03:34:16 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.71
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9216
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12197 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15187 rec.radio.cb:25757
```

In article <95t3ep$olt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  t glenn <ab7rs@arrl.net> wrote:
> In article <95snk0$epo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <95skdi$c1c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   t glenn <ab7rs@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > In article <95q3q8$5pm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > >   Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I wish there were an organization in America that would work
to
> > > > improve ham
> > > > > radio, licensing, and improve the level of expertise of its
> > > > operators.
> > >
> > > The organization that you're looking for is the ARRL.

> > > >
> > >
> > > The ARRL is the problem...not the solution.--
> > > AB7RS,Tracy
> >
> > Tracy, pretend for a moment that I know nothing of the ARRL and the
> ARS.
> > Now explain your position WRT the ARRL, and identify the
organization
> > that you believe to be the solution, and state why.
> >
> > Thanks, Brian
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> There is no organization that is "the solution" more hams put thier
> faith in the ARRL than any other organization and the ARRL threw it
all
> away,trampling the traditions of this once great pursuit one by one.TG
> --
> AB7RS,Tracy

Tracy, you keep blurting about the ARRL is "trampling" the ARS. Yet
they still print the Amateur's Code in every Handbook, which most of you
OF's appear to have completely abandoned. You're sending mixed
messages.

Now explain yourself rather than just making accusations. Thanks.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4806149243127600l5
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e496,dc2cf3c9fafc94d6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e496,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:41:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>

Newsgroups: alt.discrimination,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 22:41:05 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <B6A8D100.DFC%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95qgub0cu2@enews3.newsguy.com> <i8bg6.2406$2g.174374@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6A83BE0.C07%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3A831B50.E4EB68FA@innocent.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-241.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 981690061 14331 204.116.70.242 (9 Feb 2001 03:41:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 03:41:01 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.discrimination:678 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9217 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12198 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15188 rec.radio.cb:25758 rec.radio.scanner:13523

Kevin Alfred Strom at kevin.strom@innocent.com wrote:

> "QSL" as a synonym for "yes," or
> "message received" is very old,
> perhaps almost as old as amateur
> radio itself.
>
> But "contact," meaning "I want
> to contact you" is quite new, less
> than a decade of commonplace use I
> think. I think it migrated to
> amateur radio from the Citizen's
> Band, [snip]

Heck, for all we know it could be coming from the contact managers everyone has on their computers today. Or it could be coming from the business world. I've noticed a lot of business people saying that today - the word "contact" both as a question and statement (meaning "will you contact..." as a question or "yes, I'll contact..." when answered back). In other words, who knows where this stuff comes from.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 6758156463421289772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:45:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!ix.netcom.com!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:35:35 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A836587.7F71B628@IEEE.org>
References: <71zg6.129$HH5.863162@news.ntplx.net>
<20010208223831.29597.00006566@nso-mi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.b2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 03:45:15 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15189

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> And while I'm naming names, K1ZZ has managed to
> completely annoy me literally ever time we met, starting with the
> '84 Dayton Hamvention and every time since.

Got news for you, Larry. You are the one who *chooses* to be annoyed
unless he literally hit you with a stick.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 161930352606002740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:47:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:37:30 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A8365FA.6A21D177@IEEE.org>
References: <95t8n7$5hr$0@208.207.71.156> <20010208185744.21807.00000074@ng-
```

```
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.b2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 03:47:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15190

W1RFI wrote:
> What value would be found in having the Amateur Radio service pause while the
> rest of the world marches steadily onward?

Don't look now, Ed, but it is already happening.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 3800501943426788261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:50:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 03:42:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <95vov1$ut$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95rv4d$mgh$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207211038.14968.00001267@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95t6b9$ppc$7@208.207.71.156>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.71
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 03:42:26 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.71
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15191

In article <95t6b9$ppc$7@208.207.71.156>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Dan/W4NTI w4nti@my-deja.com
> >
> > >Congratulations you figured it out....to continue.....more
enforcement
```

> > >that then forces the FCC to decide to terminate ham radio because
it is
> > >out of control.
> >
> > Can you offer any examples of other Services for which the FCC took
the action
> > you describe?
>
> Well they TRIED on 27 mhz...

They did??? You must have fought them off pretty hard there, CB Dick.
Part 95 priv'liges just keep growing. You crack me up.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -4663407078711O6442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:53:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 03:53:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <95vpiu$mq9$0@208.207.71.143>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-
da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com>
<3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146>
<3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168>
<3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <3A81B25F.A3171BE0@IEEE.org>
<3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <95sj4p$8co$1@208.206.142.95>
<3A81E42D.C02FF8DA@uci.edu> <95t5f1$ppc$2@208.207.71.156>
<3A82D7CF.A40EE0FD@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15192
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:

> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > You couldn't be more wrong, and it is really curious how you managed to
> > > > come to that conclusion. There's littlein ham radio I enjoy more than a
> > > > CW QSO with a young ham, and his code speed is not an issueat all.
> > >
> > > Long as he isn't clogging up the band?
> > >
> > No. As long as he doesn't get down below .25 and cause everyone down
> > there to have to stumble over him.
>
> Please explain to me the exact mechanism by which this "stumbling" can
> occur?
>
> > Did you miss the point earlier? I can't see authorizing nocoders to
> > work CW without any training in code whatver, and that's why. It's
> > strange so many of you seem willing to overlook that.
>
> You seem to have forgotten something along the way here Dick. Follow
> the thread back a ways and re-read what I have written to you. Read
> only what it says. There is no hidden text between any of the lines.
> We have been discussing operating at 5 WPM in the lower 25.
>
> Thanks and 73,
>
> Jim AC6XG

From 9134849889740965396
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:53:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:43:22 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A83675A.9304A372@IEEE.org>
References: <3A820DBB.729AACA1@IEEE.org> <20010208191156.21807.00000081@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.b2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 03:53:00 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15193

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >There were wall-to-wall CW QSOs in the 40m Novice band in 1953.
>
> Now, to be fair, Cecil, those wall-to-wall signals were heard on receivers with
> more than 500 Hz bandwidth.

Ain't that the truth. On my Hallicrafters S-53A, I swear I could hear *ALL*
the 40m Novice signals all at once.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 4532348201122487059
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:58:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:48:57 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A8368A9.D4A9B2AD@IEEE.org>
References: <95rq79$6u2$0@208.206.142.109> <20010207205519.14968.00001262@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3A832AD0.FBDEA93C@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.b2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 03:58:35 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15194

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
>
> > What percentage of Morse-code communications do you believe is accomplished
> > with only a simple RF generator on-off keyed?
>
> 100% of the ones that send bweeeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee bweep

> bweeeeeee bweeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee

I thought it was deeeeeeoooooo deeoo deeeeeeoooooo deeoo ...
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -176854551139109040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 19:59:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 03:59:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <95vpus$nbf$0@208.207.71.143>
References: <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146> <20010207210141.14968.00001265@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95t69c$ppc$6@208.207.71.156> <8gzg6.132$HH5.863537@news.ntplx.net>
<95uj6m$jnt$0@208.207.71.142> <m3o58tos26u9ij5798ihflub6hl8tqc2b9@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15195
```

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >I'm saying that no one should be allowed on the lower 25kc
> >(actually anywhere on the CW segments) unless he has learned to work
> >Morse code, and that knowledge tested at licensing.
>
> I'd prefer less Federal regulation not more. Gentleman's agreements
> have served us well in the past. The lower parts of the bands have
> always been used mostly for DX and that continues through today. No
> regulations needed. Ragchewing generally takes place above the 25 KHz
> line, even the Extras...
>
> >Nocoders mucking around in the CW segments doing what they should
> >do with a code practice oscillator and W1AW code practice won't cut it.
>
> The more CW signals heard, even slow speed code practice, the less
> likely the FCC will see the CW area as unused band space and move the
> phone line down the band...

>
> > When FCC gets around to repartitioning the bands there should be a
> >CW-only segment that requires a CW test to use.
>
> CW use is decreasing as fast as the mortality tables now. Requiring a
> separate test just to use it would just nail the coffin shut that much
> faster...

Jones don't you know that logic is lost on the current FCC?

From 883981558334039027
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 20:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 03:46:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <95vp6j$19g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q6lg$89i$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015601.02997.00001930@nso-
mg.aol.com> <95upda$66n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.71
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 03:46:29 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.71
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15196

In article <95upda$66n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> In article <20010207015601.02997.00001930@nso-mg.aol.com>,
>   lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> > He hasn't identified the company
> > where is is, or was, purchasing things.   :-)
>
> Three minutes of superficial detective work suggests the company
> probably is:
>
>   Antec Corporation
>   11450 Technology Circle
>   Duluth, GA 30097
>   US
>
> I see their stock is slipping since November when Bob Stanzione

> announced a suspension in product shipments to their largest customer,
> AT&T Broadband. Now trading around $10, after a high of $61.25 last
> spring.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

No where in their prospectus does it identify Steve as an employee. Not that I care, either. I'm just glad if he says he has a job.

Are you still working for the buro of weights and measures?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 4529737519915849669
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 20:03:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 04:02:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <95vq5j$o45$0@208.207.71.143>
References: <3A764063.82DB25C8@some.net> <20010130202040.18765.00002191@ng-da1.aol.com> <qre08t4fai9413j6ia8h5eb3fguc2j120g@4ax.com> <3A803EF7.AAEF25EC@IEEE.org> <95q598$slt$1@208.207.71.146> <3A80AF39.51DCD114@uci.edu> <95qhrs$qt0$2@208.207.71.168> <3A8198C3.D6C207DC@uci.edu> <95s6uq01si5@enews2.newsguy.com> <95sirg$8co$0@208.206.142.95> <4j548t8jdd6va6js9apifd82k3ka1in5d8@4ax.com> <95udee11600@enews2.newsguy.com> <95uk60$l3i$4@208.207.71.142> <jun58t0qlmkc9fn8lvjodlug0phbp6f69d@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15197

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >80 meters is probably the most underused band these days.
>

> Agreed. Much less than even 10 years ago...
>
> >go to 40 meters,
>
> It's 10:51am here. There's not one signal to be heard on 40 meters.
> And I'm in daytime range of Southern California. After the morning
> skeds, about 10:00am to around 3:00pm daily 40 is usually dead. Not
> band dead, ham dead. I sometimes set my keyer to repeat CQs and work
> on other things in the background. Often I go 20 minutes without an
> answer and finally give up. It wasn't that way even 10 years ago...
>
> >even 30
>
> I seldom used to use this band because it's deader than 40. That's why
> when I moved I didn't bother to put up an antenna for it...
>
> >or 20
>
> This is where I make most of my daytime contacts. But even 20 is not
> what it was in the past...
>

Jones, nothing is what it was in the past, and for sure this media- the internet- has a lot to do with it. But weekend activity sounds as heavy as it ever was, from where I live.

From 6821182206037689366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 20:04:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 04:04:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95vq8m$o45$1@208.207.71.143>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com> <3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org> <3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org> <3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org> <3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org> <3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org> <3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org> <3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68>

```
<3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org> <95j18u$btb$0@208.206.142.68>
<rukg6.115$HH5.812522@news.ntplx.net> <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156>
<3A821C4C.DC2E21D@IEEE.org> <95t8n7$5hr$0@208.207.71.156>
<3A82B761.7EED4203@IEEE.org> <95ujqb$l3i$0@208.207.71.142>
<3A82E19A.650DA18D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15198



Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Evolution takes place at its own speed, and pushing and shoving does
> > nothing but help to impede it.
>
> We are not discussing Darwin's "Origin of Species". Human knowledge once
> doubled in a century. It is now doubling every few years. Hams who insist
> on living in the past will be buried in the sands of time.
>
> >  Well OB you can invest your remaining *time* in attempting to make
> > *time* stand still so you can hope to garner more *time* for yourself.
> >  I plan to use mine more constructively.
>
> How.......?

 It WON'T be by dancing to your tune.


From 3867548298727923468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 20:07:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:57:23 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A836AA3.60DA4D4E@IEEE.org>
References: <95sp3k$g71$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208124734.17535.00002137@nso-
fy.aol.com> <95v9ec$k8n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.b2
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 04:07:01 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15199
```

Brian wrote:
> Meanwhile, Shirley MacClaine held hands with spiritual guides as they
> looked to the East and focused on preventing the quake.

Shirley MacClaine was asked who was the most influential person in
her life. She answered, "My own higher self."
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -7796598239275553403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 20:17:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 04:17:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <95vr09$q0v$0@208.207.71.143>
References: <c_kg6.122$HH5.815207@news.ntplx.net>
<20010207215047.00714.00005780@nso-md.aol.com>
<FUDg6.134$HH5.885356@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15200
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
I gotta' tell ya', Larry; when I was a 14 year old General, I was
> as dumbed down as they come.
>

There was a lot of that going on, and FCC with nudging from ARRL
introduced incentive licensing in a serious effort to change that. And
it clearly worked, until 1985. Now they've turned 180 degrees, and

you're right in the same basket with them.

From 1797136600925267076
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 20:20:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: jwill@AstraGate.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 04:10:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 168
Message-ID: <95vqj6$2b6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>
<956o9t$74g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7704BE.E71F049F@arrl.org>
<95adgt$g4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>
<95g9k5$ha8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7C0F5B.2158175B@arrl.org>
<95o28u$bcg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.247.152.155
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 04:10:18 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.08 [en] (Win16; U)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.247.152.155
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDcgwx09
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15201

In article <95o28u$bcg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:
> In article <3A7C0F5B.2158175B@arrl.org>,
>   Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> > Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>,
> > >   Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I didn't say they weren't. I only said that according to N9GL,
the
> > > > standards are not derived from the mechanisms but from the known
> > > > effects.
> > >
> > > True...but as engineers, scientists or medical people the
> > > MECHANISM...chemical, biological, electral, mechanical or whatever
> must
> > > be understood if there is to be any corrective measures devised.
> >
> > But we can't apply corrective measures to the design of the human
> body.
> > The only factor we can control is how much RF we expose ourselves
to.

> > For that purpose, it is the effect of the exposure that is
important,
> > not the underlying mechanism.
> >
> > > A hot chicken does not tell you the mechanism by which it got
> hot...but
> > > if you heated it in your microwave oven..You know the mechanism
was
> > > microwave RF not heat conducted from a gas burner or radiated by
> your
> > > fireplace.
> >
> > But if the point is to cook a chicken I don't need to know the
> mechanism
> > by which the cooking takes place, I only need to know that it gets
> > cooked when I apply either heat or RF at sufficient levels.
>
> If you are a chef, then all you may need to know is that the chicken
> got cooked to your liking...BUT if you are a scientist or engineer you
> need to know a lot more; and if you are afraid that the chicken was
not
> cooked well enough to kill the bacteria in it, you work with
scientists
> and engineers; You don't care much about what a chef might think
> because he doesn't understand the MECHANISM, nor the cause and effect
> of the groth of bacteria populations in what might be pockets of
> relatively raw chicken. The chef might not even be able to smell or
> taste bad chicken that is dangerously tainted. Worse he might attempt
> to cover up bad chicken with gravies that hide the rotten, stinking
> chicken and make it profitable to do so.
>
> Some of the "experts" who talk about what are considered RF hazard
> levels are no more scientific than old grandmothers who think that
> chicken soup will cure any illness. True, chicken soup might have
some
> healthy benefits for some minor ailments...but the old grannys who
make
> it don't know much about the spread of bacteria that exists within
> humans and certainly aren't aware of the latest reasearch about the
> AIDS virus. Chicken soup might just have a psychological beneficial
> effect on some humans...others might be better off with mock clam
> chowder or mock turtle soup....or some real Johnny Walker.
> >
> > > > Which makes sense. For the purpose of determining safe levels,
> > > > the mechanisms are unimportant
> > >
> > > Huhh? That is like saying Ohm's Law is unimportant as long as
lamp
> > > bulbs light. Only the observation of the light matters for
> determining
> > > what voltage will quickly burn open the filament.
> >
> > It's nothing like that at all. We need to know Ohm's law so we can

> > design electrical devices. We're not designing human bodies.
(Although
> > there are those doctors who've announced plans to clone humans, so
> maybe
> > we will be designing humans soon!)
>
> When you design electrical devices there are certain intrinsic
> conditions that must be delt with. There are physical limitations
that
> involve the actual fabrication of resistors, conductors, insulators,
> etc. (although I admit the human body is much more complex than an
> electrical resistance path)...
> BUT
> WE need to know the mechanism by which hazards can be hazardous before
> we can determine what the safe levels are...just like we need Ohm's
Law
> before we can design for appropriate resistances, voltages and
currents
> that can make a circuit function as we intend it to.
> True, we can not reengineer humans yet...although genetic manipulation
> may not be out of the question in the far future...BUT we need to
> design RF radiating equipments (including cell-phones and Ham HTs) so
> that they are safe for use by humans IF this is possible. On the down
> side, it might not be possible....just like it has not been possible
to
> manufacture a truly safe cigarette that is still a cigarette.   We can
> not just think of the human body as a dummy load for RF...nor can we
> just think of the overall thermal effects on the body, without knowing
> the mechanisms that have dangerous propensities. Considering only
> thermal effects will definately prove to be a gross error. If the RF
> can be more consentrated on vulnerable parts of the human body at UHF
> than at VHF then this would not be a consideration if only thermal
> effects on the entire body are all that is being considered. It is a
> known fact that heat is generated when RF causes electrons in certain
> molecules to be agitated. (Heat is actually defined as electron
> agitation) BUT the effects of agitating electrons in the material that
> constitutes human tissue of various functions is poorly understood.

I agree with your opinions, for the most part, but this is not
generally true: Heat as electron agitation only would hold
under certain circumstances, for example in a plasma of some kind.

Electrons almost always are in atoms, and thus they take on
discrete, quantized levels of energy which
are definite and not random.

Heat is usually defined as the degree of randomness of motion
of atoms.

An electric current can cause electrons to move in a medium by
jumping from atom to atom; this causes essentially random
motion in the atoms (say, in a resistor), and thus a rise in
temperature. Removing the electric field leaves the atoms

moving more randomly, but the electrons are not at all random
in the reference frame of the atom with which each one is
associated. If the atoms were not moving randomly, the
electrons would not be, either.

In a conductor, the electrons (in the conduction
band) hardly interact at all with any individual atom;
therefore, with an applied potential, they transfer
little random momentum to the atoms; therefore, they don't
heat it very much.

> What levels, at what frequencies can cause genetic damage? What can
> cause or accelerate cancerous malignancies? What tissues are most
> vulnerable? Can some individuals be more at risk than others? All
> must be considered before setting any safe radiation level standards.
> Although the research continues, we have been permitted to work with
> standards that may be considered conservatively safe by
> some "grannys"...BUT not by W2ILP.
>
> I admit that I dunno at this point in time... nor does N9GL. Let us
> leave it at that.
> >
> > Jon
> >
> Hams need MORE license classes.
> 73,
> Bob Wex w2ilp (Increase License Potential)
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

--
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
John

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2676706004127257624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 20:21:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: 9 Feb 2001 03:32:45 GMT
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <95voct0git@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu> <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu> <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-274.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15202

In article <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, brianburke@my-deja.com says...

>> Still trying to figure out what, if
>> anything, you ARE expert at though.
>
>The greatest hobby in the world - the one everyone talks about!
>

At the moment, that would be collecting Pokemon cards.

From -9193065385376609885
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 20:22:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!isdnet!grolier!freenix!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: 9 Feb 2001 04:22:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <95vra9$q0v$1@208.207.71.143>
References: <20010208132713.09475.00001784@nso-fj.aol.com>
<20010208183014.21807.00000071@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15203

W1RFI wrote:

>
t will tell, IMHO, is the attrition rate of the no-code Techs that come
up
> for renewal soon. My speculation is that about 60% of them will not renew.
>
> Lobster anyone? :-)

Shucks, we're together on this one.

From 4285551378321332743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 20:26:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 04:26:00 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <95vrgo$r9j$0@208.207.71.143>
References: <ih548toldfcd8792epomrot59apcte22h2@4ax.com>
<20010208183609.21807.00000072@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15204

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>
> >Unless you intend to leave out the lay people, it is unrealistic to
> >believe that average hams can keep up with current technology to the
> >extent that they can advance the state of the art, or be of any value
> >to the military. And if they can't do those things, what good are they
> >to the government (as they once were)...
>
> I don't think the number of hams who advance the state of the art has ever been
> very high. But the number of hams today who have a fundamental grasp of modern
> communications technology is smaller than it was in years past, IMHO.

I don't know why that should be surprising. The technololgy of today is

far more complex than earlier days. To properly do DSP one needs to also
be familiar computer programming techniques. It must be a function of
the fact that you spend most of your time around hams who are also
highly technically trained, but you don't seem to be aware of how small
a percentage of hams are.

From 3738679616802905015
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 20:26:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 22:17:04 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A836F40.138364AC@IEEE.org>
References: <3A76C92A.93B05F99@some.net> <20010201044031.13655.00001886@nso-mq.aol.com> <3A7984FE.A7FB5D05@IEEE.org> <95cd0s$9n2$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A79B3A4.28503A64@IEEE.org> <95ce0c$6tl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A79E320.64206815@IEEE.org> <95evnr$ea0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7B0D16.52C069AA@IEEE.org> <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org>
<3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org> <3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org>
<3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org> <3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org>
<3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org> <3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org>
<3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org> <3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org>
<3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org> <3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org>
<3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org> <95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68>
<3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org> <95j18u$btb$0@208.206.142.68>
<rukg6.115$HH5.812522@news.ntplx.net> <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156>
<3A821C4C.DC2E21D@IEEE.org> <95t8n7$5hr$0@208.207.71.156>
<3A82B761.7EED4203@IEEE.org> <95ujqb$l3i$0@208.207.71.142>
<3A82E19A.650DA18D@IEEE.org> <95vq8m$o45$1@208.207.71.143>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.b2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 04:26:45 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15205

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Well OB you can invest your remaining *time* in attempting to make

> > > *time* stand still so you can hope to garner more *time* for yourself.
> > > I plan to use mine more constructively.
> >
> > How.......?
>
> It WON'T be by dancing to your tune.

Nor by your attempts to turn the ARS into a case of arrested development.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 4584293573243448686
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 20:41:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.nerdc.ufl.edu!news.uidaho.edu!news.nero.net!news.peak.org!not-for-mail
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: 9 Feb 2001 04:05:00 GMT
Organization: Bill's eclectic interest group
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <95vq9c$sp0$1@bashir.peak.org>
References: <951hh9$tpu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org>
<95rv8k$mjo$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A8195D7.9337C279@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: spock.peak.org
User-Agent: tin/pre-1.4-19990216 ("Styrofoam") (UNIX) (Linux/2.2.17 (i686))
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15206
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12201

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org> wrote:
: jason_hsu@my-deja.com wrote:
:> Actually, many of the Code Quick users reported passing the 13wpm and
:> 20wpm Morse Code exams before last year's restructuring.

: If they can think something like 'Clunky Clunky' when they hear a 'C'
: in a word at 20wpm, they think a lot faster than I. At 20wpm, I would
: consider 'Clunky Clunky' to be extremely distracting and limiting.

Yep. That has been my objection with Code Quick. To me, it would be fine
for learning code - up to about 8-10 wpm. I doubt if the extra level of
translation would work well at higher speeds.

--
Bill Nelson   (billn@peak.org)

From 3538329473469822395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e496,dc2cf3c9fafc94d6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e496,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 20:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.icl.net!
newsfeed.icl.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@rmci.net>
Newsgroups:
alt.discrimination,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Organization: What, ME organized?   Riiiiight!
Reply-To: glenq@rmci.net
Message-ID: <lit68tgui5h5fnrmtmuorkanmcnumbgj79@4ax.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95qgub0cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<i8bg6.2406$2g.174374@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6A83BE0.C07%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3A831B50.E4EB68FA@innocent.com>
<B6A8D100.DFC%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 30
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 23:48:57 EST
Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:50:20 -0700
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.discrimination:679 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9220
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12203 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15207 rec.radio.cb:25762
rec.radio.scanner:13530

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Kevin Alfred Strom at kevin.strom@innocent.com wrote:

>> But "contact," meaning "I want
>> to contact you" is quite new, less
>> than a decade of commonplace use I
>> think. I think it migrated to

>> amateur radio from the Citizen's
>> Band, [snip]
>
>
> Heck, for all we know it could be coming from the contact managers
>everyone has on their computers today. Or it could be coming from the
>business world. I've noticed a lot of business people saying that today -
>the word "contact" both as a question and statement (meaning "will you
>contact..." as a question or "yes, I'll contact..." when answered back). In
>other words, who knows where this stuff comes from.

It came from CB sidebanders back in the mid-70s or so. They wanted to talk like hams, but they didn't want to say "break" because it sounded too much like AM CBers, so they started that idiotic "contact" crap when they wanted to break in.

When I want to break in, I just give my call letters. It gets the job done, and saves time letting people know who's breaking in.

--
glenq@rmci.net
WB7DOW
http://home.rmci.net/glenq/

From 5572307993983485724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 21:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!skynet.be!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 05:33:54 GMT
References: <3A832AD0.FBDEA93C@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010209003354.14777.00001686@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15208

In article <3A832AD0.FBDEA93C@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>100% of the ones that send bweeeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee bweep
>bweeeeeee bweeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee

No no no. It's "chowpy chowpit chow chow chichow"

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 396052975230400525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 21:34:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news.stealth.net!
24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 05:33:55 GMT
References: <95uo2u$4v1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010209003355.14777.00001687@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15209

In article <95uo2u$4v1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> writes:

>In article <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> n2ey@aol.com wrote:
>>
>> And that's just my short list.
>>
>
>I like all those ideas, Jim, except the one about publicizing the Fists
>freqs. I've abandoned any operations anywhere near xx.058, because
>once a Fists member "collects your number", the contact usually comes
>to a quick conclusion.

I must be doing something wrong, then. I work lotsa FISTS members, but the QSOs all turn into nice ragchews. Maybe we trade numbers, maybe we don't - they're all on the website anyway.

7058 is full of foreign 'phones nowadays, anyhow.

40 has been hopping recently - worked a Hungarian last night, and when I signed with him a KT4 that I last worked on Xmas Day called me to catch up. Nice chat - then when he and I signed, a UA3 called me. Pretty decent for a homebrew 100 watt CW transceiver and an inverted V at 35 feet, huh?

Now I'm gonna see what's going on tonight before bedtime.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>Fists # 7419 (I think)
>
Yup -you're 7419. I'm 4360. See ya around 7040.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 8131193927288641093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 21:34:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 05:33:52 GMT
References: <jun58t0qlmkc9fn8lvjodlug0phbp6f69d@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010209003352.14777.00001684@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15210

In article <jun58t0qlmkc9fn8lvjodlug0phbp6f69d@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>>80 meters is probably the most underused band these days.
>
>Agreed. Much less than even 10 years ago...

There are lots of reasons why. One is the huge antennas ("huge", by the standards of those used to VHF/UHF and 10 meters) Another is the nightime nature of the band.
>
>>go to 40 meters,
>
>It's 10:51am here. There's not one signal to be heard on 40 meters.
>And I'm in daytime range of Southern California. After the morning
>skeds, about 10:00am to around 3:00pm daily 40 is usually dead. Not
>band dead, ham dead. I sometimes set my keyer to repeat CQs and work
>on other things in the background. Often I go 20 minutes without an
>answer and finally give up. It wasn't that way even 10 years ago...

Most of us are at work then. When we get home, there are a zillion things to do before thinking about radio. Gone are the days when Mom took care of the kids and the meals and the house and Dad could go on the air right after dinner. Getting on the air requires a block of time when you have some uninterrupted time and no pressing responsibilities. That's a rare commodity for most of us with houses and kids and two careers.
>
>>even 30

```
>
>I seldom used to use this band because it's deader than 40. That's why
>when I moved I didn't bother to put up an antenna for it...

Antennas may be part of the problem.
>
>>or 20
>
>This is where I make most of my daytime contacts. But even 20 is not
>what it was in the past...
>
>>are much more active.
>
The last few nights 40 has been hopping. Just worked YL2SM - 589 both ways.
Sometimes it's hard to find a spot to call CQ between the low end and 7050 -
and that's with a receiver that has cascaded 8 pole 500 Hz filters.

It's the time of day and the MUF. Plus lifestyles.

73 de Jim, N2EY




From 1941407446207600160
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 21:34:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!193.190.198.17!
newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!skynet.be!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 76
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 05:33:54 GMT
References: <20010208192435.21807.00000087@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010209003354.14777.00001685@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15211

In article <20010208192435.21807.00000087@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>
>>I remember that lots of hams threatened to quit the ARRL over
>>Incentive Licensing, but only someone with membership data would know
>>if a large number of hams actually did. Ed?
>
>I wasn't around then; my license expired in  1969 and I wasn't relicensed
```

>until
>about 1973 or so. I do recall reading (here, maybe) that a number of hams
>did
>quit the League over the whole deal. I am certain that they didn't all quit
>ham radio.
>
>I think even then, postal regulations required a regular statement of
>circulation in QST. Your back issues should give at least a relative
>indication.
>

I was around back then (Novice in 1967, Advanced in 1968, Extra in 1970). The moaning and whining by some who "lost privileges" was amazing.

But what was more amazing was how few folks knew the real story of how it all came about. The FCC wanted it, but the ARRL got the blame for it because the BOD didn't oppose it. Truth was, the FCC said it was gonna happen one way or another, so the BOD got them to tame the proposal somewhat.

For example, the FCC proposed demoting existing Advanceds to General, then creating a new "Amateur First Class" with a new written and 16 wpm code test (that would have made FOUR code tests!). Plus even more subbands and other gobbledygook. The ARRL talked them into dropping that one and letting the 40,000 or so existing Advanceds have more privileges.

Another deal was that the Extra CW band was going to become the lower 50 khz of 80/40/20/15, not just the lower 25. But the FCC quietly canceled that part in mid 1969, and it never went into effect.

And the whole thing was about hams not having enough technical knowledge, and turning into appliance operators. The code test thingie was the FCC's idea. In fact, the original 1963 ARRL BOD idea was simple: Bring back the pre-1953 system where you needed an Advanced to run phone on the ham bands between 2 and 28 MHz. (In other words, all HF phone except 160 and 10 meters).

Oddly enough, the numbers worked out exactly the opposite of what W2NSD and his ilk supposed.

From 1946 to 1963, the number of US hams more than quadrupled, from 60,000 to about a quarter million. The growth was steady until 1962, then all of a sudden it stopped dead. There were lots of reasons, which I won't go into here, but incentive licensing wasn't one of them. Incentive licensing was more than 5 years in the future at the beginning of 1963.

From 1963 until about 1970, the number of US hams held steady at around 250,000. Then, after incentive licensing was in effect, it started growing again. By 1979, 350,000 had been reached. Half a million US hams was reached just 5 years later. Over 600,000 by 1990 - BEFORE the Tech lost its code test. Go figure.

The hams of the time were split about evenly between supporting and opposing incentive licensing. A few left the ARRL and a few gave up ham radio completely - but only a few, and they were quickly replaced by newcomers. The ARRL BOD still feels the wrath of those times today, even though many of the present directors weren't even hams then. I don't think any of them were ARRL officials then, either.

--

Assuming an annual dropout rate of just 3%, only about 36% of those 1968 hams are still hams today - that's about 90,000. Works out to 13% of the 682,000 US hams licensed now.

I'm one of 'em. Sure doesn't seem like 34 years.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -3154754640332426058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 21:35:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 05:35:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 61
Message-ID: <95vvj3$8dl$0@208.207.71.143>
References: <95t8n7$5hr$0@208.207.71.156> <20010208185744.21807.00000074@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15212
```

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Forever things just got to keep moving, forever and ever, without even
> >a pause?
>
> Seems that they are doing just that, Dick. Where is the pause in the
> development of computer technology? Where is the pause in the development of
> non-amateur wireless technology? I don't see any.
>
> What value would be found in having the Amateur Radio service pause while the

> rest of the world marches steadily onward? What harm could come of stopping in
> our tracks?
>

This isn't rocket science either, Ed. Where's the logic in expecting any substantial percentage of non-technical people, which is what the majority of hams are, to stay current on the intricacies of the latest digital communications techniques? Does it seem in any way likely to happen, when the vast majorithy of the newly licensed come from a one-day study-the-test session where all fee payers come away with a license? You really think any serious percentage of hams would have a clue about "block diagrams" when looking under the hood of an IC756? Most of the components these days are SMt and impossible to even identify visually even if you know what you're looking at, unless and until one has been to the "factory service school" on the particular piece of gear, and that as a professional technician!

Even old fellers like me, who spent the working career AND 44 year ham radio experience mostly in the technical side of radio find it beyond reasonable that it be demanded of us that we abandon what we know and like in favor of some dippy digital engineer's ideal of what radio communications should be today, just because he has found that he is capable of scrambling the numbers and inventing some new mode each week. In a word, much of it is BORING.

As I noted earlier, history is littered with "better idea"s that didn't make the cut. The good stuff, the things that attract people, has a way of staying around long past the tenure of many successive "improvements". Commercial communications has its objectives. They have diverged rather seriously from that of ham radio in the past decade or two. There is no valid reason why hams should be mandated to follow the same path when there is simply no need.

If the ham bands were clogged and overcrowded such that spectral efficiency (especially at HF) was an issue there would at least be some reason for it. That is simply NOT the case and as noted earlier. Especially at HF. Activity most of the time is reduced, no doubt by massive amounts of time previously devoted to on the air ham activities now spent on the Internet, as we are doing now. It's a case of "technology for its own sake". Not good enough. Many hams will simply abandon ship first. If I must give up what I find attractive in ham radio for all-digital radio communications, I'll just go internet full time. I know hams who have done exactly that.

In fact, this ongoing argument has reduced my interest in digital modes. I was an early packeteer, following even earlier RTTY activity, from an old MOdel 15 that stripped a fiber gear and was replaced a Commodore Vic 20 with a Microlog Air One module when that was the latest, long before IBM PCs came on the scene. All this constant haranguing about how we "MUST" has about got my disgust level up and my digital radio interest down.

```
From 2099767983470159941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 21:40:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 05:40:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <95vvt4$8dl$1@208.207.71.143>
References: <95uj6m$jnt$0@208.207.71.142> <20010208190939.21807.00000080@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15213
```

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Digital should get its own segment, since digital and W do not mix that
> >well. And analog voice should get its own.
>
> But I thought CW gets through when no other mode will.

Would you please to attempt to quote accurately? It's "gets through when other commonly available modes cannot".

> Is that true only if
> the other mode is not allowed to be used?

Well, I was in a weak-signal QSO when a PSK31 station came on the frequency exactly zero beat. You figure it out.

```
From -8540917638985995364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 21:48:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!isdnet!grolier!freenix!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 9 Feb 2001 05:48:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
```

Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9600bj$8dl$2@208.207.71.143>
References: <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146> <20010208193422.21807.00000091@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15214

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >When one is unqualified at the basics, how can he claim to be fully
> >accomplished?
>
> If one is qualified only at the basics, how can he claim to be fully
> accomplished?

So who ever advocated stopping there???

From 6589568911622749916
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 21:50:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 9 Feb 2001 05:50:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9600ev$8dl$3@208.207.71.143>
References: <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146> <20010208193818.21807.00000093@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15215

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >That is: The most basic radio communications mode is radiotelegraphy.
> > Find one that you can show to be more basic and we'll look again.
>
> The "prisoner tap code" could be OO keyed. It can probably be done at about 5
> wpm with a lookup table by someone not experienced in Morse code decoding. That
> seems more basic to me. If you agree that it is more basic than OOK Morse
> code, will you now support IT as the mode that all hams should learn to be
> considered fully versed in radio technology?
>

The prisoner tap code was never a radiocommunications mode, and was not
designed to be. Military men who needed to communicate over radio knew
enough to use Morse.

```
From -2683832380782277856
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 22:01:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: 9 Feb 2001 06:01:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <960149$cbg$0@208.207.71.143>
References: <3A82FC9F.1A7319E5@uci.edu> <20010208214541.02997.00002009@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A835E3D.9AA17A67@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15216
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A82FC9F.1A7319E5@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
> >

> > >Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >> Apparently you don't want to believe that code-tested US radio
> > >> amateurs are decreasing in number while non-code-tested
> > >> US radio amateurs are increasing in number.
> > >
> > >I know you're not stupid, Len, so the only thing I can conclude about
> > >why you would say something which is totally inaccurate is because
> > >you're so mad at me that you can't think of anything logical to say. I
> > >never disputed your figures. You did.
>
> > Please state exactly what was "inaccurate."
>
> Here's the statement I was referring to:
>
> "Apparently you don't want to believe that code-tested US radio
> amateurs are decreasing in number while non-code-tested
> US radio amateurs are increasing in number."
>
> > Shall we all have fun with word games here? Or would you
> > rather discuss some issues regarding the code-tested state
> > of US amateur radio license classes?
>
> It would go a long way if you would just TRY to make less inflamatory
> statements, Len. You seem to feel the need to taunt, or exaggerate, or
> belittle, or insult, in every stinkin' one of your posts. That's all
> this was about. Geez.

It's all he has ever done and is the reason most here just ignore him.
He had pretty well dried up for lact of attention when someone engaged
him in a drawnout flamefest, and he was rejuvenated.He is not interested
in ham radio in the least, other than to slam and criticize it and all
who are in it. He lives only to disturb and disrupt and like all such
idiots, when he gets no attention he goes away.

```
From 1719501029984685865
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 23:10:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <60678tgm3t0mpt9pss6ce7iep1tqi07u9m@4ax.com>
References: <ih548toldfcd8792epomrot59apcte22h2@4ax.com>
<20010208183609.21807.00000072@ng-cr1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 07:10:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.222
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981702615 209.86.208.222 (Thu, 08 Feb
2001 23:10:15 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 23:10:15 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15217
```

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>the number of hams today who have a fundamental grasp of modern
>communications technology is smaller than it was in years past, IMHO.

I would agree. And the reason is mainly because of today's advanced
technology, not because of any less intelligence in today's hams...

```
From -1176884522077447634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 23:10:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <9r578tsjd4ga0sbemnhlitpnq7gq8igep8@4ax.com>
References: <jun58t0qlmkc9fn8lvjodlug0phbp6f69d@4ax.com>
<20010209003352.14777.00001684@nso-bd.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 07:10:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.222
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981702618 209.86.208.222 (Thu, 08 Feb
2001 23:10:18 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 23:10:18 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15218
```

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>There are lots of reasons why [there is no activity on 80].
>One is the huge antennas ("huge", by the standards of those
>used to VHF/UHF and 10 meters) Another is the nightime
>nature of the band.

I don't think that either of those reasons would explain the reduced activity on 80 as compared to 10 years ago. My guess: One reason is the Internet. I have considerably reduced my on the air time because I play on this computer. I doubt that I am alone in this. Another possible reason might be that most of the 80 year olds I worked 10 years ago are no longer with us, and there are few 20 year olds to take their place.

>Most of us are at work then [9am - 3pm]...

And lots of us don't work then. Even before retirement I did lots of shift work so I was often on 40 during those afternoon hours. But for comparing now to then, likely as many hams worked then as now during those hours...

>Antennas may be part of the problem [why 30 is dead].

Sometime I wondered about that. But when I did find a QSO the signals were good each way, and we had the band completely to ourselves...

```
From 431232807736709556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-08 23:10:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <qo578t45lkqjh1ggiqp312u0nvlumqsrqr@4ax.com>
References: <20010208192435.21807.00000087@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<20010209003354.14777.00001685@nso-bd.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 07:10:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.222
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981702620 209.86.208.222 (Thu, 08 Feb
2001 23:10:20 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 23:10:20 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15219
```

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>The moaning and whining by some who "lost privileges" was amazing.

Entirely predictable. People who feel they have been unfairly treated tend to remember it a long time...

>And the whole thing was about hams not having enough technical knowledge, and
>turning into appliance operators.

And of course most hams today lack technical knowledge and are appliance operators...

>The growth was steady until 1962, then all of a sudden
>it stopped dead. There were lots of reasons, which I won't go into here,

What were the reasons?

>Then, after incentive licensing was in effect, it started growing again.

Did Bash have anything to do with it... :-)

```
From -3504218253563108176
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 00:02:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 08:02:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <96086l$t3g$0@208.207.71.164>
References: <20010208192435.21807.00000087@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<20010209003354.14777.00001685@nso-bd.aol.com>
<qo578t45lkqjh1ggiqp312u0nvlumqsrqr@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15220
```

Aaron Jones wrote:
>

> >Then, after incentive licensing was in effect, it started growing again.
>
> Did Bash have anything to do with it... :-)

Not till nearly ten years later. He did his thing in the late 70's, and
it led directly to the adoption of the question pools and VE testing
system.

From -7099034580330837122
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8f3dc276a14352c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b0758609151b1096
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ce2c,8f3dc276a14352c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ce2c,public
X-Google-Thread: fbf1a,8f3dc276a14352c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfbf1a,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 01:57:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!SLee
From: SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame,alt.fan.karl-malden.nose
Subject: The Raoul Xemblinosky mystery deepens
Date: 9 Feb 2001 09:57:16 GMT
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>
References: news:95vdcu$v1s$0@pita.alt.net
<981684364.3a83508c25b05@webmail.cotse.com>
Reply-To: abuse@127.0.0.1
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12207
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15221 alt.flame:33320 alt.fan.karl-malden.nose:46816

On Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:06:04 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote:

: Poor, poor "Stagger".. it must be hard on him when he realized he is OWNED.
: "Stagger" is scraping the bottom of the barrel with these latest lies. A quick
: check of "Roger Wiseman" in switchboard yields quite a few Roger Wisemans
: around....HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

What an amazing coincidence it is then! The several songs about "Roger
Wiseman" on http://extra.newsguy.com/~shpxurnq/songs.html mention
Marshall County, West Virginia (see "Hit the Road, Bucktoothed Choad").

Imagine that: Two Roger Wisemans in Marshall County, both of whom use
the same colorful language. Apparently, one of them was booted off
both alt.fan.karl-malden.nose and alt.flame some years ago.

Fancied himself to be a flamer, but got laughed at.

It seems that Raoul Xemblinosky wrote his songs in the same language Roger used
to commemorate that auspicious occasion.

```
But, hey:  You deny that songs like "Imagine #2" were written about you,
and who are we to question that?


From 3404662209704344361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 03:36:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 11:34:02 GMT
References: <9600ev$8dl$3@208.207.71.143>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010209063402.16888.00000158@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15222

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >That is: The most basic radio communications mode is radiotelegraphy.
>> > Find one that you can show to be more basic and we'll look again.

>> The "prisoner tap code" could be OO keyed.  It can probably be done at
>> about 5 wpm with a lookup table by someone not experienced in
>> Morse code decoding. That seems more basic to me.  If you agree
>> that it is more basic than OOK Morse
code, will you now support IT
>> as the mode that all hams should learn to be
>> considered fully versed in radio technology?

>The prisoner tap code was never a radiocommunications mode, and was not
>designed to be.

Morse code was originally designed to be a wire communications mode, deciphered
by machine. It was adapted to radio use.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -5799780825969609405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 03:36:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
```

not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 11:35:35 GMT
References: <20010209003354.14777.00001685@nso-bd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010209063535.16888.00000159@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15223

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>The FCC wanted it, but the ARRL got the blame for it because the
>BOD didn't oppose it.

Is this much like a few who now believe that the ARRL asked the FCC to create 3 classes of license and a 5 wpm code test?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 92438992483974497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 03:41:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 112
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 11:40:05 GMT
References: <qo578t45lkqjh1ggiqp312u0nvlumqsrqr@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010209064005.02997.00002027@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15224

In article <qo578t45lkqjh1ggiqp312u0nvlumqsrqr@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>The moaning and whining by some who "lost privileges" was amazing.
>
>Entirely predictable. People who feel they have been unfairly treated
>tend to remember it a long time...

Exactly! And they weren't quiet about it, either.
>
>>And the whole thing was about hams not having enough technical knowledge,
>and
>>turning into appliance operators.
>
>And of course most hams today lack technical knowledge and are
>appliance operators...

Yup. The FCC did not understand what was really going on at the time at all. They still don't. I also think that the FCC was reacting to "Sputnik fever" (the whole incentive licensing thing started very quietly in 1958, right after the launch of Sputnik)
>
>>The growth was steady until 1962, then all of a sudden
>>it stopped dead. There were lots of reasons, which I won't go into here,
>
>What were the reasons?

Well, you asked, so here goes. Please keep in mind that there was no single dominant reason - there were a lot of little ones that all added up to the growth suddenly stopping.

- SSB: A few hams had been using SSB since 1933. Lots more started using it after 1948, when the phasing and low-cost filter methods were developed by folks like Mike Villard, W6QYT. By 1962, the introduction of easy-to-use gear primarily designed for SSB (S-line, KWM-2, TR-3, etc.) turned the tide and made SSB the most common voice mode on the HF ham bands. But this meant that SWLs could no longer easily listen in on ham QSOs, as they did in the AM days. Short wave listening was a major soiurce of new hams back then that was seriously reduced by the predominance of SSB. The cost and complexity of an SSB setup also had a negative effect on prospective hams by making it more expensive to get started on 'phone.

- FCC rules changes: In those days you had to live a certain distance from a quarterly FCC exam point in order to have the option of taking the Conditional test by mail instead of doing the General in front of an FCC examiner. In 1963 the distance requirement was increased from 75 miles to 175 miles, and the number of FCC exam points increased as well. Huge areas of the country that used to be "Conditional country" suddenly became General territory. This change meant that the investment of time and money to upgrade greatly increased because you couldn't go to old Joe across town for an exam at a convenient time - you had to make a trip of up to 175 miles "air line" to an FCC exam point that might only have exam sessions four times a year. Since a Novice back then was only good for a year and could not be renewed or retaken, this change greatly increased the dropout rate. To add insult to injury, the FCC started charging fees of $4 to take the exam (pass or fail). $4 was a bit of money back then to many people - about $20-25 in today's money.

- CB: 27 MHz CB had been introduced a few years earlier, but by 1963 the number of CBers was greater than the number of hams, and growing fast. The equipment was inexpensive, the antennas small and simple, and there was no test at all. Although licenses were required and there were strict regulations about the new service, many CBers simply ignored the rules and did as they pleased. Soon it was discovered that the FCC could not enforce the rules with any great degree of effectiveness. Many people who might have become hams went to CB instead.

- Cultural changes: Ham radio has always been a sort of "square" thing, to use a term of the day. Very few hams of '60s looked like John Lennon or even Austin Powers. Famous amateurs of the time were folks like Barry Goldwater, Curtis LeMay, Arthur Godfrey - hardly "flower children"! The emergence of the '60s "counterculture" drew lots of young people away from things like ham radio. Vietnam didn't help, either.

- TV and other media: TV watching increased all through the '50s and '60s. More stations were on the air more hours in more places, resulting in less time for ham radio. I remember seeing test patterns on TV as a young child, and there being only 3 TV stations in a major market like Philadelphia. I also recall many people in outlying areas like the New Jersey shore foregoing TV because it required a huge antenna to get a snowy picture. That all changed over time, mostly in the '60s. The internet and PCs have had a similar effect in the past 5-10 years.

- Economic conditions: For some reason, ham radio tends to grow more during periods of bad economic conditions than during good ones, with the single exception of the 1950s. The roaring 20s showed slow growth, while the Depression era produced a near-tripling of the number of US hams in less than 5 years. WW2 shut down ham radio for much of the '40s. The affluence of the '60s produced no growth while the stagflation and oil crises of the '70s and early '80s more than doubled the number of hams. When did the growth of the early '80s stop? About the time the stock market really took off. (When Clinton took office in 1992, the Dow was 3620)

And that's just the short list!

>
>>Then, after incentive licensing was in effect, it started growing again.
>
>Did Bash have anything to do with it... :-)

Probably a small effect. Many of the above causes reached "saturation" by 1970. For example, the FCC eventually dropped the fees and began giving license tests at hamfests and club meetings using traveling examiners. SSB became so entrenched that decent used first-generation gear became cheap. SWLs were replaced by scanner enthusiasts, who encountered ham radio by way of FM repeaters. CB lost its newness and got overcrowded. TV became commonplace and the quality of the shows sagged. The draft and Vietnam ended. Economic conditions got bad.

Waddya think?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 5136211116199515637

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 03:45:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 11:45:28 GMT
References: <95vrgo$r9j$0@208.207.71.143>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010209064528.16888.00000161@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15225

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I don't think the number of hams who advance the state of the art has ever
>>been very high.  But the number of hams today who have a fundamental
>>grasp of modern communications technology is smaller than it was in
>>years past, IMHO.

>I don't know why that should be surprising. The technololgy of today is
>far more complex than earlier days.

It is not more difficult to understand the fundamentals of how it works than it
is to understand the fundamentals of AM, FM, SSB.

> To properly do DSP one needs to also be familiar computer programming
> techniques.

In 1963, I could not "do" an analog filter.  One does not need to be a
programmer to understand how DSP functions.

> It must be a function of the fact that you spend most of your time around
> hams who are also highly technically trained, but you don't seem to be
> aware of how small a percentage of hams are.

I am quite aware of the present technical status of hams, Dick; I spend about 2
or 3 hours a day on the phone, answering their questions.  Most of them don't
share your "can't do" viewpoint.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From 1616136411478660686
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 03:48:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 11:48:29 GMT
References: <60678tgm3t0mpt9pss6ce7iep1tqi07u9m@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010209064829.16888.00000163@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15226

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>>the number of hams today who have a fundamental grasp of modern
>>communications technology is smaller than it was in years past, IMHO.

>I would agree. And the reason is mainly because of today's advanced
>technology, not because of any less intelligence in today's hams...

I agree that it is not intelligence; today's hams are probably, on the whole, a
bit smarter than we were.

I don't agree that the technology is so advanced that today's intelligent hams
cannot learn the fundamentals of how it works. I believe that it is a
chicken-and-egg sort of thing, with such techniques not in use in Amateur
Radio, so hams don't learn about them, making it less likely that they will be
in use in Amateur Radio.  The net effect, unfortunately, is that the rest of
the radio world is passing us by.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From -2854989803427994171
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 03:50:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 11:40:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
```

```
Message-ID: <960kvp$mab$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-
fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu> <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu> <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95voct0git@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.82
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 11:40:42 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.82
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15227

In article <95voct0git@enews3.newsguy.com>,
  tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
> In article <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, brianburke@my-deja.com
says...
>
> >> Still trying to figure out what, if
> >> anything, you ARE expert at though.
> >
> >The greatest hobby in the world - the one everyone talks about!
> >
>
> At the moment, that would be collecting Pokemon cards.

Not the moment most people live in.  Guess again.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -8378482099436543170
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 03:54:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 11:53:48 GMT
References: <95vvt4$8dl$1@208.207.71.143>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010209065348.16888.00000164@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15228
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >Digital should get its own segment, since digital and W do not mix that
>> >well. And analog voice should get its own.

>> But I thought CW gets through when no other mode will.

> Would you please to attempt to quote accurately? It's "gets through
>when other commonly available modes cannot".

Sure. But the digital and analog voice modes are commonly available.

>> Is that true only if the other mode is not allowed to be used?

> Well, I was in a weak-signal QSO when a PSK31 station came on the
>frequency exactly zero beat. You figure it out.

I can indeed figure it out. If that PSK-31 signal was more than about 30 Hz away from "zero beat," it went on just fine and the CW ops experienced QRM. Of those two commonly available modes, which one got through while the other did not?

CW has a necessary bandwidth of about 50 Hz. Go ahead, start calling CQ 51 Hz away from a CW QSO in progress and tell me the result.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -5469686174202918735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:00:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!
newsfeed2.skycache.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 11:54:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15

```
Message-ID: <960lpj$mpb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95sp3k$g71$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208124734.17535.00002137@nso-
fy.aol.com> <95v9ec$k8n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A836AA3.60DA4D4E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.82
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 11:54:30 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.82
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15229

In article <3A836AA3.60DA4D4E@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> Brian wrote:
> > Meanwhile, Shirley MacClaine held hands with spiritual guides as
they
> > looked to the East and focused on preventing the quake.
>
> Shirley MacClaine was asked who was the most influential person in
> her life. She answered, "My own higher self."

She's her own God.  Kind of like on here.  ;)


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -687273147163806664
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.icl.net!
newsfeed.icl.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 11:52:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.82
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 11:52:34 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.82
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15230

In article <3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu>,
```

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> > If what you say is true, then most everyone would see that and your
> > comments would be completely unnecessary. But instead, you chose to
> > define scaleology as if you worked for the dept of weights and
measures.
>
> I think you're over your head Brian. Best let it drop. The subject -
> not your head.

Yeh, you keep saying that. So let us review.

Len brings up the fact that the numbers of coded hams are decreasing and
the numbers of non-coded hams are increasing (the "point" of the
discussion). He uses a balance scale term. You cannot comment on the
facts of the ham population, so instead dissect balance scale
engineering, and thus you claim Len's statements false. Ergo coded ham
populations are increasing. Nice fantasy.

Am I still in over my head?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6190874017122596871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:12:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 94
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 12:12:22 GMT
References: <95vvj3$8dl$0@208.207.71.143>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010209071222.16867.00000158@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15231

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

In an earlier post, Dicc Carroll writes:

> So who ever advocated stopping there???

and in this post, he answers his own question:

>Even old fellers like me, who spent the working career AND 44 year ham
>radio experience mostly in the technical side of radio find it beyond
>reasonable that it be demanded of us that we abandon what we know and
>like in favor of some dippy digital engineer's ideal of what radio
>communications should be today, just because he has found that he is
>capable of scrambling the numbers and inventing some new mode each week.
>In a word, much of it is BORING.

> As I noted earlier, history is littered with "better idea"s that didn't
>make the cut.

Modern radio techniques have very much made the cut, Dick; they are being used everywhere outside of Amateur Radio (and even a little bit within it).

Why do you resist learning new things?

> Commercial communications has its objectives. They have
>diverged rather seriously from that of ham radio in the past decade or
>two.

Yes, they have progressed while we have paused, to use your word from a previous post.

> There is no valid reason why hams should be mandated to follow the
>same path when there is simply no need.

If Amateur Radio were not in touch with the technology of the 40s, we would not be here after being shut down after WW2.

>If the ham bands were clogged and overcrowded such that spectral
>efficiency (especially at HF) was an issue there would at least be some
>reason for it.

It is not necessarily an issue of overcrowding, Dick; it is an issue of amateur radio being seen outside of our circles as being more than an anachronism. Ane the overcrowding issue can be part of it, too. In most urban areas, a ham who wants to put up a new repeater is told that "no pairs are available." Yet, tune the band, and most of the repeaters sit idle most of the time. This is not an efficient use of spectrum.

> If I must give up what I find attractive in ham
>radio for all-digital radio communications, I'll just go internet full
>time.

You must have me mixed up with someone else, Dick; I have never proposed ahtat you have to give up doing what you enjoy in ham radio. I am proposing that more hams learn the most fundamental components of modern radio technology. Unlike your view of your favorite mode, I am not proposing that you have to take a pass/fail test in these fundamentals to be licensed, although a few more questions about them would be nice.

I believe in the ability of hams to learn and grow. If more information is made available in amateur circles, they will come and they will learn and when such techniques come to be used in Amateur Radio, they will have an understanding of their advantages and disadvantages. Some hams will continue to thirst for radio knowledge, others will "pause," but as long as the Service as a whole continues to grow, we will have met the technical components of our regulatory objectives.

> In fact, this ongoing argument has reduced my interest in digital
>modes. ... All this constant haranguing about how we "MUST" has about
> got my disgust level up and my digital radio interest down.

Let me be perfectly clear, Dick; I do not believe that you "must." You are quite free to rest on your laurels and let others carry on, if that is your wish.

But imagine how some of the talk about "must" affects other hams, whose budding interest is being shot down just like yours -- with all this talk about "must" with respect to Morse code. I believe that if some folks were not telling them how Morse code is a big hill to climb to prove their character, more of them would sooner or later want to give the mode a whirl.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 1858982714392896006
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:14:46 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:14:20 GMT
Message-ID: <3a83de57.41990447@news2.dvol.com>
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208193305.21807.00000090@ng-cr1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.95
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.95
X-Trace: 9 Feb 2001 06:13:01 -0600, 64.24.19.95

```
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15232

On 09 Feb 2001 00:33:05 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>Yeah, but you know, Carl and I have had many an interesting conversation since
>and he is now using an entirely different style. I occasionally see some of his
>infamous "forcefulness," but I think he has chosen a productive route.  I count
>Carl among my friends.  Even bought him a lobster once. :-)
>
Don't bring that sumbitch to Bookbinders for the RV/EY lobsterfest,
he'll never make it back to Allentown.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv


From 5888860378636628096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:16:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 12:15:55 GMT
References: <20010208223831.29597.00006566@nso-mi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Message-ID: <20010209071555.16867.00000159@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15233

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I stopped counting at four;

Can you estimate how many more?

>the count began with the receptionist/telephone operator in the lobby.

If it was Penny, she is one of the dearest people I know.
```

> It seemed like the only person who didn't go out of their way to piss me
> off that day was Chuck Bender at W1AW.

What day were you at HQ, Larry? I don't recall any memos that said "Larry is here; everybody go out of your way to honk him off."

Tell you what. Come back to HQ and I will personally give you a tour and introduce you to all of my friends at HQ.

Perhaps others here who have visited HQ can share their experiences, to confirm or contrast the bad one that you appear to have had.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3271968202548546237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:19:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 12:19:32 GMT
References: <20010208221511.09475.00001811@nso-fj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Message-ID: <20010209071932.16867.00000160@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15234

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>How's the RFID units at 13.56 MHz nowadays? [asking out of curiosity,
>not to troll]

Don't know, Len; unfortunately, I have enough to worry about inside the ham bands. VSDL, HomePhoneNetworking and HomePlug are just starting to take hold.

The upper part of 2.4 GHz is about to fill up with Part 15 devices, it would appear. It may be a lick-em or join-em issue, and we don't have a prayer of licking them. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 2409630785772669231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:22:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 12:22:05 GMT
References: <20010208214613.02997.00002013@nso-mg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010209072205.16867.00000161@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15235

>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)

>No real "detective work" needed then, but it is surprising
>that a proud employee wouldn't name his employer.  :-)

Now, Len, look what some (you included) have done to me when they learned who I
work for. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
```

```
From -523051092584927455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:25:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 12:25:18 GMT
References: <3A835106.1E1EFC66@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010209072518.16867.00000162@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15236

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
```

>Sounds like an excellent answer to have prepared for your next job
>interview, Ed. "Well I don't know anything about that, but I can look
>it up!"

If they ask me to cite from memory what the ascii code is for a semicolon, I will do just that. I will also wonder why they asked me the question.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4899472708992014036
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:34:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 12:34:20 GMT
References: <lit68tgui5h5fnrmtmuorkanmcnumbgj79@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Message-ID: <20010209073420.16867.00000163@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15237

>From: Glen Quarnstrom glenq@rmci.net

> They wanted to talk like hams, but they didn't want to say "break"
> because it sounded too much like AM CBers, so they started that idiotic
> "contact" crap when they wanted to break in.

In some contexts, it is more useful to break into a net in such a way that the reason for the break is announced at the time of breaking. On the SSB county hunters net, "last county contact" is often used to break NCS between running mobiles so that an individual mobile or portable station can ask for a minute to move a contact for a last county in a state off the net. Break is generally used to indicate a mobile who needs to run his/her county off frequency rather than wait on a long list to be run on the main frequency. I could imagine "contact" being a way of breaking an existing repeater, indicating that the breaker wanted to make a call to establish contact with another station not involved in the ongoing conversation.

>When I want to break in, I just give my call letters. It gets the job
>done, and saves time letting people know who's breaking in.

Contact gets the job done, and saves time letting people know the purpose of
the break. Either is legal under FCC rules.

Will the method of breaking into a repeater now join the importance of using
"name," "handle" or "personal" in the annals of what is important to modern
amateur radio?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9219989362099404394
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b0758609151b1096
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ce2c,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ce2c,public
X-Google-Thread: fbf1a,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfbf1a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:50:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame,alt.fan.karl-malden.nose
Subject: Re: The Raoul Xemblinosky mystery deepens
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:36:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <960o7g$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: news:95vdcu$v1s$0@pita.alt.net
<981684364.3a83508c25b05@webmail.cotse.com> <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.234
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 12:36:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.234
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12210
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15239 alt.flame:33355 alt.fan.karl-malden.nose:46854

In article <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>,
 FAGGOT WEE WEE abuse@127.0.0.1 "wrote:"
>
> On Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:06:04 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo-no-spam@cotse.com>
wrote:
>
> : Poor, poor "Stagger".. it must be hard on him when he realized he
is OWNED.
> : "Stagger" is scraping the bottom of the barrel with these latest

lies. A quick
> : check of "Roger Wiseman" in switchboard yields quite a few Roger
Wisemans
> : around....HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
>
> What <SLAP!!!!!!>

I KNEW YOU'D ANSWER MY POST BIIIIIIIIIITCCCCCHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!

Hey, You should be going to trial soon because you your arrest in that
big Harrison County drug sting....LOL!!!!!!
--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3779676642643620128
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:50:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:39:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <960odo$or8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95uqrn$7hc$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208214540.02997.00002007@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 12:39:20 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15238

In article <20010208214540.02997.00002007@nso-mg.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> What are you saying? That code-tested licensees are opting
> to change over to Technician class from a code-tested previous
> class not a Technician-Plus?

No...simply YOU try to discount the "Techs" who upgrade. Yes, most "new" licensees are Techs. But a LARGE number don't stay there.

You ignore that.

> >Date: Thu, 08 Feb 2001 19:08:48 GMT   <----------!!!

> Ah, still doing e-mail from work, ey?

From wherever I do it, it doesn't take much to make a fool out of you, Lennie.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6946885023189554341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:50:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
skynet.be!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:41:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <960oh4$otb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95uqva$7rn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208214540.02997.00002008@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 12:41:09 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15240

In article <20010208214540.02997.00002008@nso-mg.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> I'll ask again, are you saying that already-code-tested license
> class amateurs are switching over to the Technician class?

Accorning to the way the FCC is "realigning" the licenses on
paper, so it would seem, but even an idiot like you knows better.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 9190611364696715807
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:50:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: 9 Feb 2001 11:53:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <960ln202tpc@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A82FC9F.1A7319E5@uci.edu> <20010208214541.02997.00002009@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A835E3D.9AA17A67@uci.edu> <960149$cbg$0@208.207.71.143>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-914.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15241

In article <960149$cbg$0@208.207.71.143>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>> > Shall we all have fun with word games here? Or would you
>> > rather discuss some issues regarding the code-tested state
>> > of US amateur radio license classes?
>>
>> It would go a long way if you would just TRY to make less inflamatory
>> statements, Len. You seem to feel the need to taunt, or exaggerate, or
>> belittle, or insult, in every stinkin' one of your posts. That's all
>> this was about. Geez.

```
>
> It's all he has ever done and is the reason most here just ignore him.
>He had pretty well dried up for lact of attention when someone engaged
>him in a drawnout flamefest, and he was rejuvenated.He is not interested
>in ham radio in the least, other than to slam and criticize it and all
>who are in it. He lives only to disturb and disrupt and like all such
>idiots, when he gets no attention he goes away.

I think that if Len wanted to be inflamatory, he would have done a lot more
than complain about playing word games.



From -6347221119007514513
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:50:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 11:55:35 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <960lrn12tpc@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <95t8n7$5hr$0@208.207.71.156> <20010208185744.21807.00000074@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3A8365FA.6A21D177@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-977.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15242

In article <3A8365FA.6A21D177@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>W1RFI wrote:
>> What value would be found in having the Amateur Radio service pause while
the
>> rest of the world marches steadily onward?
>
>Don't look now, Ed, but it is already happening.


True. The elimination of high-speed code testing certainly didn't make the
cover of Time magazine.



From 8433410898602079841
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 04:51:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 12:00:43 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <960m5b22tpc@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <20010208192435.21807.00000087@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<20010209003354.14777.00001685@nso-bd.aol.com>
<qo578t45lkqjh1ggiqp312u0nvlumqsrqr@4ax.com> <96086l$t3g$0@208.207.71.164>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-011.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15243

In article <96086l$t3g$0@208.207.71.164>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>Aaron Jones wrote:
>>
>
>> >Then, after incentive licensing was in effect, it started growing again.
>>
>> Did Bash have anything to do with it... :-)
>
>Not till nearly ten years later. He did his thing in the late 70's, and
>it led directly to the adoption of the question pools and VE testing
>system.

That. and the fact that having to administer amateur license exams was a very
big boil on the FCC's behind.

From 6173720674228785368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 05:00:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:48:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <960ov5$p9a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

References: <3A835E3D.9AA17A67@uci.edu> <20010208223637.14870.00001887@nso-fr.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 12:48:39 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15244

In article <20010208223637.14870.00001887@nso-fr.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> The "taunt" seems to be 31,971 NEW no-code-test Technicians who came into the US ARS in the 27 months from the beginning of January 1998 and end of March 2000...while only 6009 NEW code-tested US ARS licensees came in during the same time.

And again you try to make it appear that ONLY the "new" Technician licenses are making an impact. You fail to account for those who transition. You only refer to "new" code tested licensees. NOT those who are ALREADY licensed and upgrade.

> Is it "belittling" that over 200 thousand no-code-test Techs are now in the US ARS?

Only to you. Must chap your chops knowing there's all those teen and pre-teen ops out there able to do what you CAN'T do after your years aas a technician

> Is it "insulting" that such facts are pointed out to those who have been code-tested?

Only when YOU presume us to be ignorant enough to accept your poor math as "fact".

> I suppose I should "apologize" for pointing out the obvious, but what are over 200 thousand Technician licensees expected to do when they are discounted, demeaned, taunted, belittled by some souls who feel they are "superior" to "stinking" inferiors?

They are only those things in YOUR mind, Lennie. It's all you have left to believe that you are on some noble crusade.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1643114685705456731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 05:00:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:54:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <960p9s$pdi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A82FDF2.B5757C6A@uci.edu> <20010208214542.02997.00002010@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 12:54:23 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15245

In article <20010208214542.02997.00002010@nso-mg.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> I didn't see any "correcting of a poor assessment of data."

Of course you didn't....Someone pointed out that you were (again) wrong, and you immediately went into flame mode.

> All I saw was your attempt at trying to make a new issue out of your
> "correction" of the phrase "tipping the scales."

That was about 2-3 posts later...after you had stuck your poisoned pen in the well a couple times.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com

http://www.deja.com/

```
From -8859419881676552959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9d23520ccea68850
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fbf1a,9d23520ccea68850
X-Google-Attributes: gidfbf1a,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9d23520ccea68850
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 05:10:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!pookiehead.databasix.com!not-for-mail
From: whogives@fat.rats.ass (The 2-Belo)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame
Subject: Re: Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:10:05 GMT
Organization: NOW IS THE WINTER OF MY DISCOTHEQUE
Lines: 36
Sender: Emperor (Meow), discount
Distribution: Channel 4 viewing area
Message-ID: <960puv$slj$7@pizzaboy.databasix.com>
References: <95vdcu$v1s$0@pita.alt.net>
Reply-To: the2belo@wave-netDELETETHIS.or.jp
NNTP-Posting-Host: pizzaboy.databasix.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Summary: The world's best humor is a direct result of mind-numbing ennui. And I'm
back, and I'm *bored*. F33R M3.
Keywords: BRITNEY SPE#${$`##{`$+#&#"$#&NO CARRIER
X-Oh-God: No. *Zod*.
X-Apeshit-Bonkers-Bad-Craziness: Yes
X-How-Long-Will-I-Last-This-Time: Probably about seven or eight posts
X-Brokesville-Population: Me
X-Bush-Stole-The-Election: So WHAT?
X-Gore-Is-A-Sore-Loser: Who CARES?
X-Francis-Dec-For-President: Yes, you parroting puppet gangsters
X-Prime-Minister-Mori-Approval-Rating: 18%
X-Dead-Slug-Approval-Rating: 56%
X-Stream-Of-Obscenities: FUCKING SHITTING DICKING
DILLI: GAFRA?
Baud: 3
X-System-Specs: Babbage Difference Engine with 64x hand crank
X-Modem: Acoustic (shout real loud)
X-Transmission-Time: My sig will take approximately 65 million years to reach you
X-Screen-Resolution: 1 pixel/foot; clear refresh rate at 5,000 ft.
X-Memory: 5... uh, 5... um... er... huh?
X-Storage-Peripheral: Quill pen, parchment, lousy handwriting
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.452
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12216
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15246 alt.flame:33359
```

SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee) is dead. His/her/its will states:

>
>I was surfing the web the other day when I ran across the lyrics of a
>song by Paul McCartney and Raoul Xemblinosky which was entitled "Roger
>Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band." You can find the lyrics (which I
>think should not be published in a delicate family forum such as this
>one) at http://extra.newsguy.com/~shpxurnq/songs.html#song5.
>
>Is this indeed *our* Roger.

Yep.

>And how was it that he came to achieve such fame?

He was a racist anti-Semitic fucknut.

>That same web site claims that Roger was spanked off of Usenet
>entirely back in '97,

And that website would be correct. For 1997. If he's here now, he's welcome to come on back if he ever wanna try ageein, 'cause I done told you once you son of a bitch I'm the best that evva -- wait, I was supposed to *hate* country music. Ahem. Anyway.

>Anyone care to enlighten us?

I got a QSL for ya, right heeeere.

--
mhm21x20   the2belo@wavenet.or.jp

"Yo! What's all this talk about my short attention sp

From 2346627820078425128
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 05:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:05:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 51

Message-ID: <960pva$pud$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95up3e$5p7$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208214612.02997.00002012@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 13:05:50 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x73.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15247

In article <20010208214612.02997.00002012@nso-mg.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> A novella is about 10,000 words in the publishing trade. I didn't
> write that many words. Just some third-party references for my
> claims for the last 45 years. Where are yours?

Same place they were when offered to you in the first place...but rebuffed by AOL's "postmaster" as "this recipient is not accepting mail from your account".

So much for showing you anything. You ask for "proof" then close your eyes.

> Don't try to bluff or play e-poker, here. Show your references
> about non-amateur experience.

Did. You refused it. Oh well.

> Digitize-scan those commission papters, block out your
> private data if you wish, and post them somewhere. Or
> provide some indisputable proof of this "commission" through
> a third-party reference. Until then everyone has to assume
> you are not telling the truth.

How's the State Adjutant General of the State of Tennessee. It's a matter of public record. Call them and ask.

> You sent this message at 1:38 PM EST according to the footer
> on this message. That is after normal lunch hours at WORK.

The operative word being "normal". And yes, it's a cushy job. I get paid an obscene wage for the easiest job I have ever had.

> Enjoy it while you can...before you get canned.

I am sure that would make you happy...AND give you more opportunity to spread your decitful and lecherous tripe completely unchecked.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6279319084147953229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 05:30:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:17:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <960ql9$qlm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95uqp2$7fn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208214615.02997.00002014@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 13:17:34 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15248

In article <20010208214615.02997.00002014@nso-mg.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Something missing in the above considering there are only
> 24 hours in a terrestrial day.

I guess the concept of two residences is beyond you.

> Driving 6 hours a day? Doing e-mail and newsgrouping from
> one of those jobs? Which one?

Actually, it's three hours on Monday and three on Friday. The nursing's in the middle when I choose to take an Agency shift.

> ...pathological liars cannot, will not admit to telling a lie.

You should know, Lennie.

> If someone posts an uncivil message, they might get a reply

> IN KIND. Those are deserving. I've never said otherwise.

Then you have absolutely NOTHING to complain about. You are reaping your own reward.

> >   When in cadre status, yes.
>
> ...only in some non-official militia organization, hotshot.

Again...you are free to call the Adjutant General of the State of Tennessee. Want me to get the numbr for you too? I offered you my 800 number hre, but you haven't moved on THAT either.

> ...yes, the employee may wind up fired for doing personal things
> on company time

If the company's business wasn't getting done, you MIGHT be correct. You are, as usual, not.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8401307910086454572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 05:32:33 PST
Message-ID: <3A83EFD0.A19CAD0F@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:25:36 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <95spsv$gqf$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208124733.17535.00002136@nso-fy.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s13.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 9 Feb 2001 08:24:29 -0500, s13.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 31
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-

```
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s13.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15249

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <95spsv$gqf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
>
> >> the ____ class of license?
> >>
> >> Dave Heil K8MN
> >
> >Of course, you assume that all hams, coded and codeless, weigh the same.
>
> Ever since Uncle retired him he is all at sea and wanting to be
> "under weigh" or "under way."

Troll number one?

> Note that Davey put the Technician class in a "blank" form up
> there?  Looks like a Freudian slip to me...not counting the
> non-code-tested as "real hams."
>
> didit

Troll number two?

It doesn't matter which license class is inserted in the blank, Len.
You still can't make me believe that the balance scales are tipping
until any group of non-code tested hams exceeds the number of code
tested hams.  No scales have begun tipping so your original claim is,
like several others you have made, false.

Dave K8MN


From 7576398503601137179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 05:38:32 PST
Message-ID: <3A83F1BF.564DE8C0@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:33:51 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <20010208124736.17535.00002141@nso-
fy.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: s13.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 9 Feb 2001 08:32:47 -0500, s13.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 43
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s13.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15250

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> Jim Kelley apparently wants to smokescreen the whole thread
> >> by concentrating on certain phrases to "prove his point" (which
> >> was not really explained except in trying to provoke something
> >> about phrase choices. :-)
> >
> >It's not a smokescreen to point out that what you said contradicted the
> >facts you presented. I can't help it if you didn't say what you meant.
> >
> >jim
>
> Major Kelley, sir, you are just trying to ignite a flame war by
> concentration on a trivial phrase of English.
>
> My point was that the non-code-tested US amateur licensees
> keep increasing in number while the code-tested US amateur
> licensees are decreasing in number.
>
> What is your dispute with that?
>
> didit

I don't think anyone will dispute that statement. That is not the
statement you originally made, Windy--the one with scales tipping. It
is obvious that with an easy test available, most folks will opt for
that one initially.

So?

We could even come up with a new and radical assumption from all of
this: maybe some code tested hams are turning in their tickets and
obtaining non-code tested licenses. That could certainly account for
the increase on one hand and the decrease on the other.

Do you have anything interesting for the group or can we expect more
number crunching ala Len?

Dave K8MN

From 5181182618090954238

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 05:40:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:26:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <960r5k$qu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95upda$66n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208214613.02997.00002013@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 13:26:18 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15251

In article <20010208214613.02997.00002013@nso-mg.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> The name "Antec" appeared in message headers some months ago. No real "detective work" needed then, but it is surprising that a proud employee wouldn't name his employer.

Very good, Lennie! All those days of retired bliss sitting in front of the 'puter paid off! It's only half right, but then you usually are.

> On the other hand, someone who sits around doing newsgroups and e-mail on company time might not want it known...

I am sure you will tell them!

> BTW, their company phone number is 678-473-2000

> 800-FIBER-ME.

I have no doubt taht a bit of fiber in your diet would go a long way towards changing your attitudes on life.

You can change that to "8515" if you have the intestinal fortitude to engage in a personal conversation. Knowing you for the coward you are, I'll just go on about my day.

Past events would indicate that you don't have that fortitude. Not even to say "I am busy right now". However you then have great fun creating fictional events SUBSEQUENT to that. You always do.

And by the way, we are a subsidiary of Antec, "Electronic System Products". Bob's stock problems are his own, thankfully.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -5368660821743930544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 05:46:20 PST
Message-ID: <3A83F380.E8041619@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:41:20 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <20010208132713.09475.00001784@nso-
fj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s13.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 9 Feb 2001 08:40:17 -0500, s13.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 36
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s13.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15252
```

Len Over 21 wrote:

```
>
> In article <3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >Brian wrote:
> >> I knew what Len meant.  What was your excuse again?
> >
> >My excuse for what?  Len was trying to make a mountain out of a mole
> >hill - as usual.
> >
> >ac6xg
```

>
> You were looking for an excuse...and nit-picked a single phrase
> for a "critique" that was not on the subject.
>
> Apparently you don't want to believe that code-tested US radio
> amateurs are decreasing in number while non-code-tested
> US radio amateurs are increasing in number. That trend has
> been going on for years.
>
> The "molehill" is getting bigger, bigger, bigger. The "mountain"
> is eroding faster and faster. Closing one's eyes or mind won't
> reverse any trend.
>
> didit

You, of course assume that non-code tested licensees will never upgrade.
What is this rate of change supposed to mean to us, Len? Why all this
"mountain eroding" and "scales tipping" guff? It would appear to be
something which is natural given the current licensing structure and it
certainly doesn't worry me. Why should it worry you, a non-licensee?
I don't think those scales will tip in your lifetime. Would you like
one of us to give you a report in the afterlife? Please provide a
forwarding address.

Dave K8MN

From 7045153148545985436
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 05:47:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 07:38:08 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A83F2C0.3170D943@IEEE.org>
References: <95vvt4$8dl$1@208.207.71.143> <20010209065348.16888.00000164@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.66
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 13:47:47 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15253

W1RFI wrote:
> I can indeed figure it out. If that PSK-31 signal was more than about 30 Hz
> away from "zero beat," it went on just fine and the CW ops experienced QRM. Of
> those two commonly available modes, which one got through while the other did
> not?

:-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 7180049203116331274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 05:57:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 07:47:57 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A83F50D.BBD4D793@IEEE.org>
References: <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146> <20010208193422.21807.00000091@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9600bj$8dl$2@208.207.71.143>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.66
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 13:55:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15254
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > If one is qualified only at the basics, how can he claim to be fully
> > accomplished?
>
> So who ever advocated stopping there???

If you're stopping short of DSP, you're stopping there, Dick.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 6886394416786979077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 06:03:35 PST
Message-ID: <3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:56:01 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu> <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s13.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 9 Feb 2001 08:55:07 -0500, s13.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 46
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s13.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15255

Brian wrote:
>
> In article <3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu>,
>   Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Brian wrote:
> > > If what you say is true, then most everyone would see that and your
> > > comments would be completely unnecessary.  But instead, you chose to
> > > define scaleology as if you worked for the dept of weights and
> measures.
> >
> > I think you're over your head Brian.  Best let it drop.  The subject -
> > not your head.
>
> Yeh, you keep saying that.  So let us review.
>
> Len brings up the fact that the numbers of coded hams are decreasing and
> the numbers of non-coded hams are increasing (the "point" of the
> discussion).  He uses a balance scale term.  You cannot comment on the
> facts of the ham population, so instead dissect balance scale
> engineering, and thus you claim Len's statements false.  Ergo coded ham
> populations are increasing.  Nice fantasy.
>
> Am I still in over my head?
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
```

His statement, taken as a whole, was false because of his incorrect analogy. When Len can carry on a dialog without inserting all of the silly phrases such as the obviously wrong one which he used, maybe someone will take him seriously. Just maybe.

When viewed as an obvious troll meant to stir things up rather than to really discuss anything, Len's post has little meaning. Len isn't a ham so he isn't contributing to eroding mountains or tipping scales one way or the other. He knows a few hams though.

Your own role here is an interesting one. For several years I've had the opportunity to observe you playing defender for the likes of Len, Cecil and Carl Stevenson. If you'd like to continue with analogies, I'd describe your perception of amateur radio reality with a term from ionospheric propagation: skewed path.

Dave K8MN

```
From 7037961951553387475
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 06:03:36 PST
Message-ID: <3A83F775.90B8585B@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:58:13 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <95sp3k$g71$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208124734.17535.00002137@nso-
fy.aol.com> <95v9ec$k8n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A836AA3.60DA4D4E@IEEE.org>
<960lpj$mpb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s13.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 9 Feb 2001 08:57:19 -0500, s13.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 22
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s13.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15256
```

Brian wrote:

>
> In article <3A836AA3.60DA4D4E@IEEE.org>,
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > Brian wrote:
> > > Meanwhile, Shirley MacClaine held hands with spiritual guides as
> they
> > > looked to the East and focused on preventing the quake.
> >

> > Shirley MacClaine was asked who was the most influential person in
> > her life. She answered, "My own higher self."
>
> She's her own God. Kind of like on here. ;)
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

...sort of like Cecil and a group of Baptists down his way praying to
Cecil's inner self as desribed here a couple of years back. Maybe Cecil
has been praying to Shirley MacLaine all of these years.

Dave K8MN

From 6077331822789636119
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 06:10:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:56:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <960std$sdl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95upda$66n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208214613.02997.00002013@nso-
mg.aol.com> <960r5k$qu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 13:56:01 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15257

In article <960r5k$qu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <20010208214613.02997.00002013@nso-mg.aol.com>,
>   lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> > The name "Antec" appeared in message headers some months
> > ago. No real "detective work" needed then, but it is surprising
> > that a proud employee wouldn't name his employer.

By the way, Lennie and others, it has been on my "bio" page of QRZ
for some time, along with the call sign of the club call sign for the
company.

C'est le vie.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 6881376732700721650
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fbf1a,9d23520ccea68850
X-Google-Attributes: gidfbf1a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9d23520ccea68850
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9d23520ccea68850
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 06:50:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: mysterian2001@my-deja.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame
Subject: Re: Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 14:43:57 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 92
Message-ID: <960vnb$uvi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95vdcu$v1s$0@pita.alt.net> <960puv$slj$7@pizzaboy.databasix.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.150.29.129
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 14:43:57 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/5.05 [subdimension.com] (X11; I; Linux 2.4.34 i886)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x66.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.150.29.129
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDmysterian2001
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12220
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15258 alt.flame:33388
```

In article <960puv$slj$7@pizzaboy.databasix.com>,
  the2belo@wave-netDELETETHIS.or.jp wrote:
> SLee@houston.net (Stagger Lee) is dead. His/her/its will states:
>
> >
> >I was surfing the web the other day when I ran across the lyrics of a
> >song by Paul McCartney and Raoul Xemblinosky which was
entitled "Roger
> >Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band." You can find the lyrics
(which I

> >think should not be published in a delicate family forum such as this
> >one) at http://extra.newsguy.com/~shpxurnq/songs.html#song5.
> >
> >Is this indeed *our* Roger.
>
> Yep.
>
> >And how was it that he came to achieve such fame?
>
> He was a racist anti-Semitic fucknut.

The key term here being *was*, if he ever was at all!
According to the deja archives he has you bouncing for quite a while.

> >That same web site claims that Roger was spanked off of Usenet
> >entirely back in '97,
>
> And that website would be correct. For 1997. If he's here now, he's
welcome to
> come on back if he ever wanna try ageein, 'cause I done told you once
you son
> of a bitch I'm the best that evva -- wait, I was supposed to *hate*
country
> music. Ahem. Anyway.
>
> >Anyone care to enlighten us?
>
> I got a QSL for ya, right heeeere.
>
> --
> mhm21x20   the2belo@wavenet.or.jp
>
> "Yo! What's all this talk about my short attention sp

I wouldn't give what 2-Belo says any credence, from the "Alt.flame
Who's Who":

"This idiot came out of nowhere in late 1996 posting from
Japan, following up every cascade in existence, until he was deemed
irritating enough to reply to. Quickly jumped on the Meow bandwagon,
hiding in alt.fan.karl-malden.nose." --flamefaq@feedME.

  "Sad little dog (er...cat) who begs to be led around by a leash. Has
had
about the same amount of free thought this decade as Ronald Reagan.
Needs
someone to lead him and tell him what to do (also not unlike Ronald
Reagan)."
--The Cypher

  "The 2-Belo always has something to say; to anyone, about anything.
Very
rarely will it make sense. Almost never will it be worth reading. On

the odd
occasion, when the planets align, it may provide the odd chuckle.
Otherwise,
I wish the 2-Belo did get fired. Would finally shut-up. Would at last
leave
a cascade without molesting it. Would, well, be gone." -- Strawberry.
  "A balding, vicious little bastard pissed off at the world due to his
enslavement in the land of the Rising Sun. Has made it his mission to
punish
a dot f because he has to pay $75.00 US for a single fucking grape in
Japan.
His one saving grace is his sense of humour. He _knows_ he's a short,
balding fuckhead. But the dwarf can flame." -- Scott Campbell.

  "Skittish and awkward like a colt on coke, this autistic child
prostitute
hides behind the sympathy generated by the gastrointestinal disease
that
bears his name. Boyd's Disease, characterized by the projectile
expulsion
of a curdlike, smelly discharge, forces him to leave the keyboard every
ten
minutes for an enema. This explains his sporadic and halfhearted proof-
reading." -- Blub.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8566010847143072592
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b0758609151b1096
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ce2c,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ce2c,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fbf1a,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfbf1a,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 07:00:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!
newsfeed2.skycache.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: mysterian2001@my-deja.com
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame,alt.fan.karl-malden.nose
Subject: Re: The Raoul Xemblinosky mystery deepens
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 14:46:27 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <960vs2$v39$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

References: news:95vdcu$v1s$0@pita.alt.net
<981684364.3a83508c25b05@webmail.cotse.com> <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>
<960o7g$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.150.29.129
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 14:46:27 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/5.05 [subdimension.com] (X11; I; Linux 2.4.34 i886)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.150.29.129
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDmysterian2001
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12221
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15259 alt.flame:33390 alt.fan.karl-malden.nose:46885

In article <960o7g$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>,
> FAGGOT WEE WEE abuse@127.0.0.1 "wrote:"
> >
> > On Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:06:04 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo-no-
spam@cotse.com>
> wrote:
> >
> > : Poor, poor "Stagger".. it must be hard on him when he realized he
> is OWNED.
> > : "Stagger" is scraping the bottom of the barrel with these latest
> lies. A quick
> > : check of "Roger Wiseman" in switchboard yields quite a few Roger
> Wisemans
> > : around....HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
> >
> > What <SLAP!!!!!!>
>
> I KNEW YOU'D ANSWER MY POST BIIIIIIIIIITCCCCCHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!
>
> Hey, You should be going to trial soon because you your arrest in that
> big Harrison County drug sting....LOL!!!!!!
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R

Who cares? Take it someplace else! Idiot.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8166262926487996224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 07:30:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!

newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 9 Feb 2001 15:30:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9612e7$7a6$0@208.206.142.113>
References: <9600ev$8dl$3@208.207.71.143> <20010209063402.16888.00000158@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15260

W1RFI wrote:
>

> Morse code was originally designed to be a wire communications mode, deciphered
> by machine. It was adapted to radio use.
>

Yep. And when the first radio experimenters began to develop the fledgling RF communications system they very quickly realized that Morse was a natural for use with a system where the most basic requirements were simply to key a carrier on and off with information encoded in those bits of RF....
It WAS a natural. Still is.

From -3738677600956731444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b0758609151b1096
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fbf1a,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfbf1a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10f7a7,12f3e539709c193a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10f7a7,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ce2c,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ce2c,public
X-Google-Thread: fd3c8,12f3e539709c193a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd3c8,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 08:20:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!cabal10.airnews.net!

news.airnews.net!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: Roger Wiseman <crow@west.vagina.ham>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.angst,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame,alt.fan.karl-malden.nose,alt.usenet.kooks
Subject: Re: The Raoul Xemblinosky mystery deepens
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 10:20:01 -0600
Organization: Bucktoothed Choad
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3A8418B1.296A@west.vagina.ham>
References: news:95vdcu$v1s$0@pita.alt.net
<981684364.3a83508c25b05@webmail.cotse.com> <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>
<960o7g$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <960vs2$v39$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04 (Win95; U)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12225 alt.angst:8806
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15261 alt.flame:33402 alt.fan.karl-malden.nose:46896
alt.usenet.kooks:77094

mysterian2001@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <960o7g$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>,
> > FAGGOT WEE WEE abuse@127.0.0.1 "wrote:"
> > >
> > > On Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:06:04 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo-no-
> spam@cotse.com>
> > wrote:
> > >
> > > : Poor, poor "Stagger".. it must be hard on him when he realized he
> > is OWNED.
> > > : "Stagger" is scraping the bottom of the barrel with these latest
> > lies. A quick
> > > : check of "Roger Wiseman" in switchboard yields quite a few Roger
> > Wisemans
> > > : around....HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
> > >
> > > What <SLAP!!!!!!>
> >
> > I KNEW YOU'D ANSWER MY POST BIIIIIIIIIITCCCCCHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Hey, You should be going to trial soon because you your arrest in that
> > big Harrison County drug sting....LOL!!!!!!
> > --
> > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > Yaesu FT-90R
>
> Who cares? Take it someplace else! Idiot.

Following up to yourself is bad trolling.-BucktoothedChoaD

From -1539443848513452440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 08:26:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9600ev$8dl$3@208.207.71.143> <20010209063402.16888.00000158@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9612e7$7a6$0@208.206.142.113>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <9TUg6.143$HH5.946268@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 11:22:21 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981736005 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 11:26:45 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 11:26:45 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15262

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > Morse code was originally designed to be a wire communications mode,
deciphered
> > by machine. It was adapted to radio use.

> Yep. And when the first radio experimenters began to develop the
> fledgling RF communications system they very quickly realized that Morse
> was a natural for use with a system where the most basic requirements
> were simply to key a carrier on and off with information encoded in
> those bits of RF....

> It WAS a natural. Still is.

Sure is; I use it for almost all of my hamming, and it certainly is the most enjoyable. It is very useful to Amateur Radio. The difference in our opinions is that I believe that its usefulness is enough that hams will use it whether they are required to learn it to get their licenses. I also believe that hams will not get on the air and use it unless they know the code (unless they use a TNC or other computer encoding/decoding scheme).

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8292364261855023185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 08:33:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <c_kg6.122$HH5.815207@news.ntplx.net>
<20010207215047.00714.00005780@nso-md.aol.com>
<FUDg6.134$HH5.885356@news.ntplx.net> <95vr09$q0v$0@208.207.71.143>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <HZUg6.144$HH5.946717@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 11:29:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981736423 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 11:33:43 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 11:33:43 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15263
```

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> There was a lot of that going on, and FCC with nudging from ARRL
> introduced incentive licensing in a serious effort to change that. And
> it clearly worked, until 1985. Now they've turned 180 degrees, and
> you're right in the same basket with them.

The Extra Class license is still required to obtain all amateur priveleges. The number of steps required to get there have been reduced, with each step being more difficult than it was before. The Technician with HF endorsement is now the entry-level HF license -- how much harder is is than the Novice used to be? Is this your idea of a 180-degree phase shift?

I have said often that I believe that amateurs need to learn more about modern radio technology; you have disagreed with me. Just what basket are you saying I am in?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6596532498878987798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 08:38:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!diablo.theplanet.net!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95rq1201sc@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010208223830.29597.00006565@nso-mi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <t2Vg6.145$HH5.947011@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 11:34:25 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981736729 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 11:38:49 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 11:38:49 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15264

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> Wrong again. I could care less if they can beep at all -- as long as
> they're not whining about learning how to beep in order to attain higher
> HF privileges.

How do you feel about those that have learned how to send and receive Morse code, but believe that changes need to be made in the way Morse code is tested?

> However, its a moot point now. Pretty soon we'll have the beepless ARS
you've always dreamed about.

I do not believe that Morse code use will end any time soon, Larry. Do you feel that the mode has so little value to ham radio that no one will learn it and use it unless it is tested? I disagree; all of the other modes have avid proponents without such testing. I do not agree with you that those modes that use code are the bottom of the pile.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6378508667572597707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 08:57:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 09:59:09 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A8421DD.FCC9918C@firstinter.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <20010208132713.09475.00001784@nso-fj.aol.com>
<20010208183014.21807.00000071@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 29
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15265

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
> >The "molehill" is getting bigger, bigger, bigger. The "mountain"
> >is eroding faster and faster. Closing one's eyes or mind won't
> >reverse any trend.
>
> I don't see that the changes in either direction have been anything like
> "fast." If we factor out the Tech/Tech+ licencees, the number of General,
> Advanced, Extra and Novices have actually increased slightly since 1997. I
> don't see any significance to the percentages of increase or decrease of either
> the code-tested or non-code-tested hams. To date, the changes have been
> relatively minor.
>
> What will tell, IMHO, is the attrition rate of the no-code Techs that come up
> for renewal soon. My speculation is that about 60% of them will not renew.
>
> Lobster anyone? :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
ED,
What's the percentage differential that qualifies for a wager? :)

Rick T.
W7RT

```
From -2565622938620259538
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 09:21:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-
mail
Message-ID: <3A84271E.EDBD6080@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
References: <20010208223831.29597.00006566@nso-mi.aol.com>
<20010209071555.16867.00000159@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:21:34 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981739302 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:21:42 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:21:42 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15266

W1RFI wrote:
>
> > It seemed like the only person who didn't go out of their way to piss me
> > off that day was Chuck Bender at W1AW.
>
> What day were you at HQ, Larry? I don't recall any memos that said "Larry is
> here; everybody go out of your way to honk him off."

I guess Chuck didn't get the memo either!

Jon


From 2874547843631244671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 09:25:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010208132713.09475.00001784@nso-fj.aol.com>
<20010208183014.21807.00000071@ng-cr1.aol.com> <3A8421DD.FCC9918C@firstinter.net>
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Lines: 27
```

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <tKVg6.146$HH5.948770@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 12:21:16 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981739545 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:25:45 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:25:45 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15267

R.L. Tannehill, P.E. <rickw7rt@firstinter.net> wrote:

> > What will tell, IMHO, is the attrition rate of the no-code Techs that
come up
> > for renewal soon. My speculation is that about 60% of them will not
renew.

> > Lobster anyone? :-)

> What's the percentage differential that qualifies for a wager? :)

I don't know if I dare to make another lobster bet with you! :-) At least a
60% chance I will be at Ft Tuthill, though.

But I do deserve a chance to break even, so here's the deal: In the first 3
full months of the time period where no-code Tech license, I think that more
than 60% of those Technician licencees eligibile to renew will not do so. If
the percentage that renews is >40%, you will win. In very unlikely event it
is precisely 60.000%, we each buy a lottery ticket and go dutch treat on
the lobster. :-)

What say?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4229174255112880610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 09:26:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A842854.12D806EE@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <20010208132713.09475.00001784@nso-fj.aol.com>
<20010208183014.21807.00000071@ng-cr1.aol.com> <3A8421DD.FCC9918C@firstinter.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:26:44 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981739612 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:26:52 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:26:52 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15268

"R.L. Tannehill, P.E." wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > What will tell, IMHO, is the attrition rate of the no-code Techs that come up
> > for renewal soon. My speculation is that about 60% of them will not renew.
> >
> > Lobster anyone? :-)
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> ED,
> What's the percentage differential that qualifies for a wager? :)

Maybe it should be based on the size of the lobster. 5% = 1 lb, 10% = 2
lb...

Jon

From 1139484568349458729
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 09:30:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: n2ey@aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:19:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9618qi$896$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010209003354.14777.00001685@nso-bd.aol.com>
<20010209063535.16888.00000159@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.197.200.14
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 17:19:25 2001 GMT

```
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 151.197.200.14
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15269

In article <20010209063535.16888.00000159@ng-mj1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: n2ey@aol.com  (N2EY)
>
> >The FCC wanted it, but the ARRL got the blame for it because the
> >BOD didn't oppose it.
>
> Is this much like a few who now believe that the ARRL asked the FCC
to create 3
> classes of license and a 5 wpm code test?

Exactly. All sorts of rumors and hearsay flew around back then. Most of
it was false or distorted.

73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -236558441611823969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b0758609151b1096
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fd3c8,12f3e539709c193a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd3c8,public
X-Google-Thread: fbf1a,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfbf1a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 09:30:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newspeer1.nac.net!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.angst,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame
Subject: Re: The Raoul Xemblinosky mystery deepens
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:19:41 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <9618r1$89d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: news:95vdcu$v1s$0@pita.alt.net
<981684364.3a83508c25b05@webmail.cotse.com> <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>
<960o7g$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <960vs2$v39$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

```
<3A8418B1.296A@west.vagina.ham>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.184
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 17:19:41 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.184
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12227 alt.angst:8809
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15270 alt.flame:33421

In article <3A8418B1.296A@west.vagina.ham>,
  Roger Wiseman <crow@west.vagina.ham> wrote:
> molesterian2001@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > In article <960o7g$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > In article <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>,
> > >  FAGGOT WEE WEE abuse@127.0.0.1 "wrote:"
> > > >
> > > > On Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:06:04 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo-no-
> > spam@cotse.com>
> > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > : Poor, poor "Stagger".. it must be hard on him when he
realized he
> > > is OWNED.
> > > > : "Stagger" is scraping the bottom of the barrel with these
latest
> > > lies. A quick
> > > > : check of "Roger Wiseman" in switchboard yields quite a few
Roger
> > > Wisemans
> > > > : around....HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
> > > >
> > > > What <SLAP!!!!!!>
> > >
> > > I KNEW YOU'D ANSWER MY POST BIIIIIIIIIITCCCCCHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Hey, You should be going to trial soon because you your arrest in
that
> > > big Harrison County drug sting....LOL!!!!!!
> > > --
> > > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > > EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> > > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > > Yaesu FT-90R
> >
> > Who cares? Take it someplace else! Idiot.

FOAD, Molesterian.

> Following up to yourself is bad trolling.-BucktoothedChoaD

You sound like an EXPERT, cockroach.
```

```
--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 5068433819149741716
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 09:40:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-
feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.idt.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: n2ey@aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:29:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9619d7$8ta$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208195059.21870.00000079@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.197.200.14
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 17:29:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 151.197.200.14
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15271

In article <20010208195059.21870.00000079@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: n2ey@aol.com
>
> >But I was only half-joking. I really do want to see any and all lists
> >on this subject (promoting Morse - the mode, not the test). The ARRL
> >leadership has done very very little to promote the mode for many
> >years - now all of a sudden there's this order to do so. Please
> >understand that these comments come from a longtime member and QST
> >reader who wants to help, not just take potshots. (I recently filled
> >some holes in my QST collection - now I'm solid back to October,
1927.
> >And those are just part of the N2EY radio library).
>
> >OK, here are some ideas:
>
```

> Thanks for the thought you put into this, Jim.

You're welcome. I volunteer to do reviews of semiautomatic keys ;-)

> I agree with almost everything
> you said

It would be interesting to see where you disagree.

>and I will carry the list to a few people at HQ.

TNX! It will be interesting to see what happens to it.

73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -924423009015842864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fbf1a,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfbf1a,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b0758609151b1096
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fd3c8,12f3e539709c193a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd3c8,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 09:50:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.angst,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame
Subject: Re: The Raoul Xemblinosky mystery deepens
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:40:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 66
Message-ID: <961a1s$9ie$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: news:95vdcu$v1s$0@pita.alt.net
<981684364.3a83508c25b05@webmail.cotse.com> <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>
<960o7g$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <960vs2$v39$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A8418B1.296A@west.vagina.ham> <9618r1$89d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.149.190
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 17:40:14 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 166.72.149.190
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDroger_kc8jbo
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12230 alt.angst:8812

```
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15272 alt.flame:33427

From: Raoulger Wiseman <crow@west.vagina.ham>
Subject: Re: The Raoul Xemblinosky mystery deepens
Date: 09 Feb 2001 00:00:00 GMT
Message-ID: <3A8418B1.296A@west.vagina.ham>
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
References: news:95vdcu$v1s$0@pita.alt.net
<981684364.3a83508c25b05@webmail.cotse.com> <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>
<960o7g$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <960vs2$v39$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: Bucktoothed Choad Fellator
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Mime-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.angst,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame,alt.
fan.karl-malden.nose,alt.usenet.kooks

In article <3A8418B1.296A@west.vagina.ham>,
  Roger Williams/wymess/Matt brucey <crow@west.vagina.ham> wrote:
> mysterian2001@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > In article <960o7g$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> >   KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > In article <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>,
> > >  FAGGOT WEE WEE abuse@127.0.0.1 "wrote:"
> > > >
> > > > On Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:06:04 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo-no-
spam@cotse.com>
> > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > : Poor, poor "Stagger".. it must be hard on him when he
realized he
> > > is OWNED.
> > > > : "Stagger" is scraping the bottom of the barrel with these
latest
> > > lies. A quick
> > > > : check of "Roger Wiseman" in switchboard yields quite a few
Roger
> > > Wisemans
> > > > : around....HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
> > > >
> > > > What <SLAP!!!!!!>
> > >
> > > I KNEW YOU'D ANSWER MY POST BIIIIIIIIIITCCCCCHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Hey, You should be going to trial soon because you your arrest in
that
> > > big Harrison County drug sting....LOL!!!!!!

> >
> > Who cares? Take it someplace else! Idiot.
>
> Following up to yourself is bad trolling.-BucktoothedChoaD
```

Matt Bruce
12 Medina Dr.
Wareham, MA 02571-2720

(508) 295-6820
--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 7393139038168280506
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:00:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 18:00:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 286
Message-ID: <961b7m$ruf$0@208.206.142.113>
References: <95vvj3$8dl$0@208.207.71.143> <20010209071222.16867.00000158@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15273
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> In an earlier post, Dicc Carroll writes:
>
> > So who ever advocated stopping there???
>
> and in this post, he answers his own question:
>

> >Even old fellers like me, who spent the working career AND 44 year ham
> >radio experience mostly in the technical side of radio find it beyond
> >reasonable that it be demanded of us that we abandon what we know and
> >like in favor of some dippy digital engineer's ideal of what radio
> >communications should be today, just because he has found that he is
> >capable of scrambling the numbers and inventing some new mode each week.
> >In a word, much of it is BORING.
>
> > As I noted earlier, history is littered with "better idea"s that didn't
> >make the cut.
>
> Modern radio techniques have very much made the cut, Dick; they are being used
> everywhere outside of Amateur Radio (and even a little bit within it).
>
> Why do you resist learning new things?
>

Why do you insist on dodging my point? Would it destroy your most
effective weapon, your assertion that I actually DO resist learning new
things?

What I said is that I have tried almost every new mode that came along
and for the most part they are BORING! And yes, I "learned" new things
about them, contrary to your assertion. Sure modern techniques have made
the cut.
I use them every time I turn on a rug. But I gotta tell ya, I prefer the
old crystal filter to anything DSP has offered to date. I'm on my fourth
DSP and so far I see nothing but disappointment from any of them. I also
have a little audio autonotch filter that has had much more use against
the carriers of the many RF spammer/jammers that all this infusion of
'new blood' has brought.

> > Commercial communications has its objectives. They have
> >diverged rather seriously from that of ham radio in the past decade or
> >two.
>
> Yes, they have progressed while we have paused, to use your word from a
> previous post.

Really? Maybe you have paused, but I don't think that Icom and Kenwood
ant others would be cranking this stuff out by the shipload if hams
weren't buying, do you? If I still used the old homebrew 6ag7/807 rig
and BC454 receiver you might have a point. But the continued assertion
by all the folk who have such vested interest in "progress" for its own
sake is getting to be just as boring as the constant glowing raves over
DSP.

>
> > There is no valid reason why hams should be mandated to follow the
> >same path when there is simply no need.
>
> If Amateur Radio were not in touch with the technology of the 40s, we would not
> be here after being shut down after WW2.

Dodging the issue? Why so all you "we all just gotta change everything"
mavens ignore the fact that we hams do this not because we want to just
communicate- we have cell phones for that- but for much deeper and more
complex reasons.
>
> >If the ham bands were clogged and overcrowded such that spectral
> >efficiency (especially at HF) was an issue there would at least be some
> >reason for it.
>
> It is not necessarily an issue of overcrowding, Dick; it is an issue of amateur
> radio being seen outside of our circles as being more than an anachronism.

Evidently FCC and most of the others who seem to be monitoring our
every teansmission to see what old mode we insist on keeping can't see
the forest for all the trees.

Ane
> the overcrowding issue can be part of it, too.

I clearly remember the days when that was indeed a factor, when 75 meter
voice band was one big series of heterodynes. Sure, the move to SSB was
definitely justified to relieve that. There is today no such problem,
nor anything even remotely approaching the congestion of the 50's and
60's.

In most urban areas, a ham who
> wants to put up a new repeater is told that "no pairs are available." Yet,
> tune the band, and most of the repeaters sit idle most of the time. This is not
> an efficient use of spectrum.

It sure isn't, but that is not a technical problem, rather one of
administration that could very easily be resolved. The fact that all
paris are "assigned" is the problem. You just have to offend those who
need to be offended since they are too selfcentered to do the right
thing themselves. No one should have the inherent right to tie up a
frequency pair just because he asked first. It should be a use it or

lose it situation. Repeaters should only rarely be approved for
individuals where they can preclude many other potential users from use
of spectrum, the rule should be 'show your real data or be denied'. It's
a failure if administration that the repeater fiasco is allowed to
continue as it has.

>
> > If I must give up what I find attractive in ham
> >radio for all-digital radio communications, I'll just go internet full
> >time.
>
> You must have me mixed up with someone else, Dick; I have never proposed ahtat
> you have to give up doing what you enjoy in ham radio. I am proposing that more
> hams learn the most fundamental components of modern radio technology.

Unlike
> your view of your favorite mode,

You don't even understand that CW is NOT my favorite mode, although it
is one of my favorites. From long experience I know how effective it can
be. If operators equip themselves to use it. You don't seem to yet
understand- or do you just prefer to ignore- that my outlook is that it
is just as irresponsible of ham radio operators to refuse to learn to
use CW, the basic radiocommunications mode as you believe it to be for
them to ignore DSP techniquues. It is also irresponsible for ARRL and
FCC to ignore the most basic radiocommunications mode. Do they all think
that there will never again be any need for anything basic?

> I am not proposing that you have to take a
> pass/fail test in these fundamentals to be licensed,

Well that's appropriate since you don't have to learn enough to pass a
test on them to be able to use them. With the only code test at 5wpm
there is no valid rationale whatever for avoiding learning Morse code.
Even if you don't intend to use it. Many hams -even most- learned it who
never intended to again touch a key and along the way discovered that it
was very different than expected, and many became advocates of the mode.
I was one.

> although a few more
> questions about them would be nice.

Ah, yes, gotta fill up those question pools, whether or not anyone learns from their afternoon cram class before the test.

Check this posting .....I changed a few names and callsigns to keep the guilty from protesting.

The -xx- County Repeater Association and -xx- County ARES (Amateur Radio Emergency Service)are again pleased to announce a free Technician License Class.

Participants attending the free one-day class will be prepared to take the 35 question test required to obtain the entry level Technician Class license.

The class consists of video, Power Point, lecture and live demonstrations of SSB, CW and many digital modes. We have a success rate of about 70% of our students getting licenced. No book or other material is required for the class except pencil and paper. There will NOT be a testing session after the class.

Saturday March xx, 2001 8:30 to 5:00 -xx- County Government Annex At the Corner of ---------xx-------, xx xx214 Upstairs in Room xxx For information on the class: e-mail xx5xxx@arrl.net Talk-in on 145.xxx - 1xx Hz PL

There it is... So you might as well send them a full course in the latest digital modes, I'm sure they will absorb it just as well.

>
> I believe in the ability of hams to learn and grow. If more information is made available in amateur circles, they will come and they will learn and when such techniques come to be used in Amateur Radio, they will have an understanding of their advantages and disadvantages. Some hams will continue to thirst for radio knowledge, others will "pause," but as long as the Service as a whole continues to grow, we will have met the technical components of our regulatory objectives.

Taking lessons from Cecil? Your use of "pause" to indicate refusal to learn is way out of context and you know it or should. Knowledge, is not the issue, rather the contined assertion that "we all gotta move on to the latest digi gadgetry or we'll stagnate" BS is the issue. We HAVE moved on, and not all of it has been beneficial other than to the bottom lines of manufacturers and retailers. And maybe the ARRL.

I truly believe, indeed KNOW that this continued incessant demamding has caused many hams to abandon ham radio, just quit. I know some who have done it. They heard all of the nonsense they wanted to hear, and moved on to pursuits where less trivial drivel is involved. If you want hams new or old to learn new you need to show some actual benefit of that learning. Basics of new techniques, to the extent that they can be learned by non-technical persons are certainly appropriate. Strangely they are absent from the question pools for the most part. And for sure no one is about to learn anything serious by just associating an answser to a question, nor by attending a one-day "guaranteed" tech license cram cursse. But you're welcome to keep on talking about it, as you wish.

>
> > In fact, this ongoing argument has reduced my interest in digital
> >modes. ... All this constant haranguing about how we "MUST" has about
> > got my disgust level up and my digital radio interest down.
>
> Let me be perfectly clear, Dick; I do not believe that you "must." You are quite free to rest on your laurels and let others carry on, if that is your wish.

You ARE taking your cues from Cecil. I rest on my laurals as a ham simply in that there are no more ham radio tests for me to take, the last one was over thirty years ago. If you believe that I haven't learnd anything since that time I'll just lump you in with Cecil, and surely you don't want to be there.

>
> But imagine how some of the talk about "must" affects other hams, whose budding interest is being shot down just like yours -- with all this talk about "must" with respect to Morse code.

If a few of you CW lovers whose real beliefs were not trumped by Politically Correct demands foisted on you by a few geeks with an Agenda would instead just simply state the facts--- that any ham who overlooks learning Morse code is missing the very most basic radiocommunications mode yet devised, and instead urge all hams to learn it as a matter of course, none of this would be necessary. Instead you cower like so many sheep.

> I believe that if some folks were not telling them
> how Morse code is a big hill to climb

You've got it backwards, again. It is not nearly as hard as they first think it is, when they decide they just don't want to, and that has been the point. Why do you contradict it?

>to prove their character, more of > them would sooner or later want to give >the mode a whirl.

Based on knowledge of how things have worked in the past that is most unlikely. No one excapt a few of us posting here has a single good word to say about it. Extremely few newcomers are informed and with the current attitude at HQ and by all the ridicule and criticism it and its supporters get here there's not much chance of improvement. The Big Dumb Down will just continue until the Big Shutdown.

```
From -1579039035797502641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!nntp.flash.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 18:06:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <961bi9$atk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A82FC9F.1A7319E5@uci.edu> <20010208214541.02997.00002009@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A835E3D.9AA17A67@uci.edu> <960149$cbg$0@208.207.71.143>
<960ln202tpc@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 18:06:09 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15274
```

In article <960ln202tpc@enews4.newsguy.com>,
tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
> In article <960149$cbg$0@208.207.71.143>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

> > It's all he has ever done and is the reason most here just ignore
him.
> >He had pretty well dried up for lact of attention when someone
engaged
> >him in a drawnout flamefest, and he was rejuvenated.He is not
interested
> >in ham radio in the least, other than to slam and criticize it and
all
> >who are in it. He lives only to disturb and disrupt and like all such
> >idiots, when he gets no attention he goes away.

>
> I think that if Len wanted to be inflamatory, he would have done a lot
more
> than complain about playing word games.

I'd say they got off pretty easy.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 1124159172909998655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:22:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 18:22:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <961cgs$1mf$0@208.206.142.113>
References: <95vvt4$8dl$1@208.207.71.143> <20010209065348.16888.00000164@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <3A83F2C0.3170D943@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15275
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > I can indeed figure it out. If that PSK-31 signal was more than about 30 Hz
> > away from "zero beat," it went on just fine and the CW ops experienced QRM. Of
> > those two commonly available modes, which one got through while the other did
> > not?
>
> :-)

So smirk, smirk. the PSk31 station requies many thousands of active
devices plus all the cabling, setup and crossed fingers required to get
it running.

CW? well, you already know.......

```
From -758570953194727185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:24:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 9 Feb 2001 18:24:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <961cla$1mf$1@208.206.142.113>
References: <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146> <20010208193422.21807.00000091@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9600bj$8dl$2@208.207.71.143> <3A83F50D.BBD4D793@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15276
```

Cecil wrote:

>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > If one is qualified only at the basics, how can he claim to be fully
> > > accomplished?
> >
> > So who ever advocated stopping there???
>
> If you're stopping short of DSP, you're stopping there, Dick.

So any ham who seosn't learn how to do his own DSP can never be a fully accomplished ham.

Hey, Cecil--BULLSHIT!!!!

```
From 2750375118080520433
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:30:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 18:16:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <961c67$bhc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu> <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 18:16:50 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15277
```

In article <3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net>,
  Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:

I'd
> describe your perception of amateur radio reality with a term from
> ionospheric propagation: skewed path.
>
> Dave K8MN

Likewise, you seem to hang with what I consider some of the wrong
elements in amateur radio. You follow the ground wave.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -2588727057283358191
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:35:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 18:35:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <961d8v$3k3$0@208.206.142.113>
```

References: <95vvt4$8dl$1@208.207.71.143> <20010209065348.16888.00000164@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15278

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> >Digital should get its own segment, since digital and W do not mix that
> >> >well. And analog voice should get its own.
>
> >> But I thought CW gets through when no other mode will.
>
> > > Would you please to attempt to quote accurately? It's "gets through
> >when other commonly available modes cannot".
>
> Sure. But the digital and analog voice modes are commonly available.
>
> >> Is that true only if the other mode is not allowed to be used?
>
> > > Well, I was in a weak-signal QSO when a PSK31 station came on the
> >frequency exactly zero beat. You figure it out.
>
> I can indeed figure it out. If that PSK-31 signal was more than about 30 Hz
> away from "zero beat," it went on just fine and the CW ops experienced QRM. Of
> those two commonly available modes, which one got through while the other did
> not?
>
> CW has a necessary bandwidth of about 50 Hz. Go ahead, start calling CQ 51 Hz
> away from a CW QSO in progress and tell me the result.

Naw, you didn't figure it out at all. I was in QSO when the PSker
landed on top of us and flailed away, clueless.

More to the point, he was doubtless running at minimum a pentium with a
million or so active devices, AND some sort of an elaboirate SSB
transciever. I on the other hand was (or easily could have been) running
my Tuna Tin Deuce and a single stage regen. So now who has the
efficiency edge?

From -9162788163566360365
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:38:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 9 Feb 2001 20:04:43 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <961igr$5o5$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <95upda$66n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208214613.02997.00002013@nso-mg.aol.com> <960r5k$qu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <960std$sdl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 981749083 5893 205.139.138.14 (9 Feb 2001 20:04:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Feb 2001 20:04:43 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: k4yz@aol.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15279

In article <960std$sdl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

> By the way, Lennie and others, it has been on my "bio" page of QRZ
>for some time, along with the call sign of the club call sign for the
>company.

On your web page you make a big hairy deal about not using eQSL, yet when I check my eQSL list, here is one from K4YZ.

Somebody must be bootlegging your card on eQSL.

dit dit

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -6425033563868326959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:40:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: n2ey@aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 18:33:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 284
Message-ID: <961d5l$cii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95v5fo$gsj$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208214551.02997.00002011@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.197.200.14
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 18:33:25 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 151.197.200.14
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15280

In article <20010208214551.02997.00002011@nso-mg.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <95v5fo$gsj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, n2ey@aol.com writes:
>
> >In article <20010204002041.18047.00001243@nso-ff.aol.com>,
> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> >> Firecracker Material (courtesy of AH0A statistics on US ham
licenses)-
> >>
> >> In the 9 months following US amateur radio restructuring, the
> >> total number of US amateur radio licenses increased by
> >> 3,701 (+0.55% of total). Code-tested licensees declined by
> >> 9,531 while non-code-tested licensees increased by 13,132.
> >
> >(Rest snipped for brevity)
> >
> >The above analysis is not correct.
>
> Sorry, Jim, but based on the conditions I stated, it is correct.

No, Len, it is not correct. Let's look at what you wrote:

"In the 9 months following US amateur radio restructuring, the total
number of US amateur radio licenses increased by 3,701 (+0.55% of
total)."

That part is correct. Subtract the total number of licenses in December
2000 from the total in April 2000 and you get a difference of 3701.

"Code-tested licensees declined by 9,531 while non-code-tested licensees increased by 13,132."

That part is NOT correct. First off, there's a minor arithmetic error (9431 not 9531). But the big mistake is that you equate "non-code-tested licensees" with "Technicians". The two are not the same. Some holders of Technician licenses are code-tested, and have some HF privileges.

You wrote "licensees" not "licenses", too. So you were referring to the people, not their licenses.
>
> Since your arithmetic is the same as mine, my analysis
> using the stated conditions is very correct. :-)

Your arithmetic contains a small error. And your analysis is flawed and in error, as I have shown.
>
>   <snip>
>
> >However, all is not as simple as it appears. As part of the
> >restructuring changes, Technician Plus licenses will be renewed as
> >Technicians. So the above apparent gain of 13,132 is inflated by such
> >renewals, as is the apparent loss of 9,431 in the other classes.
> >
> >Let's see how big this error really is. Looking at the renewal data on
> >the Speroni site, we see that the number of Tech and Tech Plus renewals
> >over the nine month period was 4,870. Because the FCC will not process
> >renewals until the license is close to expiring, almost all of these
> >4,870 are Tech Pluses being renewed as Technicians. A fair estimate
> >would be that about 4,700 of them were such renewals.
>
> That is an ESTIMATE.

Yes, it is. It's also true - the FCC renewed 4,870 Technician and Technician Plus licenses in that time period, and reclassified the Tech Pluses as Technicians. The holders of the licenses - the licensees - are responsible for maintaining proof that they have been code tested if they want to retain their HF privileges.

This stuff is all explained on the Speroni site. Your failure to include it in your analysis is a serious error that reduces your credibility.

> By using personal estimates based only
> on "gut feeling" or something, you are yourself in ERROR.

My estimate is not based on "gut feeling". It is based on a thorough knowledge of the information, the applicable regulations and basic math as applied to statistics. It's a fair estimate.

Your analysis did not include the fact that the FCC is renewing Tech Plus licenses as Technicians, and that by doing so, one cannot equate "Technician license" with "non-code-tested licensee". By improperly putting code-tested Technicians in the non-code-tested category, you overstate their number and understate the number of code tested licensees.

The error is not a small one. 4,700 of 13,000+ is more than a third.

If there is any error in my analysis, it is that the number 4,700 is too small. Also left out are all Technicians who have passed the 5 wpm code test but not upgraded to General or Extra yet. They are "code-tested licensees".

End result is that your numbers are way off.
>
>   <snip>
>
> >But even THAT's not a complete or correct analysis.
>
> True, using your estimates is not a correct analysis. :-)

It is far more correct than your analysis.
>
>   <snip>
>
> >Suppose that the annual rate of expirations of "noncodetested"
licenses
> >is just 5%. Based on 215,000 licensees, that works out to 10,075
> >expirations per year, or about 840 per month. So the gain of 937 per
> >month shrinks to just 97 per month IF a 5% annual dropout rate is
> >correct.
>
> As far as ESTIMATES, you've failed once more.

How do you know? What is faulty in my estimate? I clearly said "SUPPOSE" - that makes it hypothetical.

> Note the
> tabulation of NEW licensees which increased at 1184 per
> month for the 27 months between beginning of January 1998
> and end of March 2000 for Technician.

The actual numbers jump all over the place from month to month. And the tabulation is of new licenses, not new licensees.

The number of new Technician licenses proves one thing: Most people starting out in ham radio do so by getting a Technician license. The data does not tell how many of those new licensees later upgrade, because upgrades are lumped into "modifications".

> The renewals for
> those will be in the year 2008 to 2010...

Which has no bearing on the analysis. What really matters is how many will come up for renewal, and be renewed, in the near future. My hope is that the renewal rate will be very high. 99% would be great. 100% would be even better, but is probably not realistic.

> but the trend is for
> NEW Tech licensees still growing after January 2001.

That's an ESTIMATE on your part. Nobody knows what the renewal rate will be like until they actually start to expire.

>
> You've made a wish-fulfillment estimate on the "840 per month
> Technician class expirations of 5 percent."

That's simply not correct. I don't "wish" for a 5% dropout rate - I "wish" it would be 0%. The 5% is just a highly-educated guess, and identified as such.

By ignoring the fact that no Technician licenses have expired yet, your analysis is even more faulty. My estimate is closer to the facts than yours because it includes relevant corrections. My method is simply more accurate.

> That assumes that
> percentage will expire and you have NO idea if they will do that.

It was identified as a "suppose". You ignored the whole expiration thing completely. My analysis is more correct because the relevant factors are included, and estimates identified as such.

You have no idea how many will expire and how many will renew, either.

> New Technician class licensees are continually being added
> and not just from "Tech-Plus renewals."

Of course! No one denies that, least of all me. But as I have shown, at least a third of the ones you have credited as "new non-code-tested licensees" are actually code-tested Tech Pluses renewed as Techs. The real number is probably close to 40%. That's a BIG error, Len. And it's an avoidable error.

>
> >In other words, the trend is not nearly so dramatic as was originally
> >presented.
>
> Your statement is "true" only in using incomplete and faulty
> estimates.

My estimates are accurate within the limits stated. My analysis is more accurate than yours. Much more accurate.

> The trend is there whether you want to see it or
> not.

The trend is that most new hams start out with Technician licenses. That's been the trend for over a decade. Some upgrade, some don't. Describing all Technicians as "non-code-tested licensees" is simply not correct or accurate.

> >More important, however, is the simple fact that it is far too early
to
> >even guess at the long-term impact of the restructuring.
>
> Denial will never see any trend of any kind.

I'm not denying any trends. I'm just stating the facts and pointing out your errors. Which of my facts is not true?
>
> > It is also
> >interesting that the January 2001 figures were not included.
>
> Why? Is 9 months after restructuring somehow "faulty" but
> 10 months after restructuring is somehow "more correct?!?"

10 months of data are available, so why not include them? The longer the baseline, the more accurate the extrapolation or interpolation.

Basing conclusions on the 10 months of data following the restructuring is, by definition, more accurate and correct than basing them on the 9 months of data following the restructuring.
>
> What about the two years PRIOR to restructuring?

Different ballgame. Different license structure, different test requirements, different dynamics. And the FCC was renewing Tech Pluses as Tech Pluses back then.

> Go do
> the figures again and see the trends.

I have. The trends changed markedly after April 2000.

>Here, I'll do it for you.

Will this be as accurate as your earlier analysis? ;-)
>
> First three months of 2000: 163/month code-tested NEW
> licensees; 1980/month NEW Technicians. Not affected
> by April 15, 2000 restructuring.
>
> All of year 1999: 196/month code-tested NEW licensees;
> 1165/month non-code-tested NEW Technicians. Not
> affected by April 15, 2000 restructuring.
>
> All of year 1998: 265/month code-tested NEW licensees;
> 1231/month non-code-tested NEW Technicians. Not

> affected by April 15, 2000 restructuring.

Which tells us that most new hams start out with the Technician
license - as I have written many times. Upgrades are not shown as new
licenses in the Speroni data. Upgrades are not shown separately in the
Speroni data, either.

And the restructuring DID have an effect. Once the NPRM was announced,
hams and prospective hams who kept up with the news knew that changes
were probably coming. Some of them would act accordingly, perhaps
delaying upgrading until the new license structure was known. After the
late December 1999 R&O, those thinking about getting licenses or
upgrading knew what was on the way and acted accordingly. The exact
effects can only be guessed at, but there WERE effects.

What do you think the above data says in terms of trends, beyond the
fact that most new hams today start out as Technicians?
>
> > And it is
> >to be expected that the easiest-to-get license will have large
numbers
> >of licensees.
>
> The "easiest-to-get license" is a Restricted Radiotelephone
> License or Permit from the FCC.

Sorry, I made a mistake there. It should read:

"And it is to be expected that the easiest-to-get US amateur radio
license will have large numbers of licensees."

That's better. I apologize for being vague in that statement the first
time.

N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2984387217947273788
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:40:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!isdnet!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 18:40:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <961dim$499$0@208.206.142.113>
References: <95vrgo$r9j$0@208.207.71.143> <20010209064528.16888.00000161@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15281

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> I don't think the number of hams who advance the state of the art has ever
> >>been very high. But the number of hams today who have a fundamental
> >>grasp of modern communications technology is smaller than it was in
> >>years past, IMHO.
>
> >I don't know why that should be surprising. The technololgy of today is
> >far more complex than earlier days.
>
> It is not more difficult to understand the fundamentals of how it works than it
> is to understand the fundamentals of AM, FM, SSB.
>

Understanding the fundamentals is far from "We all gotta adopt the latest yada yada yada" crap that is the Cecilian hue and cry, and to which you clearly subscribe.

> > It must be a function of the fact that you spend most of your time around
> > hams who are also highly technically trained, but you don't seem to be
> > aware of how small a percentage of hams are.
>
> I am quite aware of the present technical status of hams, Dick; I spend about 2
> or 3 hours a day on the phone, answering their questions. Most of them don't
> share your "can't do" viewpoint.

Really? So what're they doing on the phone asking you simple questions? Kindly refrain from misrepresenting my viewpoint if you please. *I* DID. "They" seem to resist any such activity, preferring to have "Ed do it"

```
for them.


From -9009568158606720436
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:44:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:34:37 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A84383D.64A943F2@IEEE.org>
References: <95vvj3$8dl$0@208.207.71.143> <20010209071222.16867.00000158@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <961b7m$ruf$0@208.206.142.113>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.58
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 18:44:24 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15282

Dick Carroll wrote:
>   If a few of you CW lovers whose real beliefs were not trumped by
> Politically Correct demands foisted on you by a few geeks with an Agenda
> would instead just simply state the facts--- that any ham who overlooks
> learning Morse code is missing the very most basic radiocommunications
> mode yet devised, and instead urge all hams to learn it as a matter of
> course, none of this would be necessary.

Your lack of reading comprehension is showing, Dick. Both Ed and I
encourage all hams to learn Morse code and use the CW mode which is
useful and fun for some. We will continue to encourage all hams to do
so even after the Morse code testing requirement is dropped entirely.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -8942230345346559374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:51:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
```

From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:41:16 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3A8439CC.39E38230@IEEE.org>
References: <95vvt4$8dl$1@208.207.71.143> <20010209065348.16888.00000164@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3A83F2C0.3170D943@IEEE.org> <961cgs$1mf$0@208.206.142.113>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.58
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 18:51:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15283

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > I can indeed figure it out. If that PSK-31 signal was more than about 30 Hz
> > > away from "zero beat," it went on just fine and the CW ops experienced QRM.
Of
> > > those two commonly available modes, which one got through while the other
did
> > > not?
> >
> > :-)
>
> So smirk, smirk. the PSk31 station requies many thousands of active
> devices plus all the cabling, setup and crossed fingers required to get
> it running.
>
> CW? well, you already know.......

Yep, CW requires many billions of active devices called brain cells
plus many hours of specialized training. Thousands VS billions plus
a short learning curve sounds like a fair trade to me, Dick. If you
don't believe in labor savings devices, why don't you ride a horse?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -906092120071002421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:53:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!

```
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:43:56 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3A843A6C.E203CA20@IEEE.org>
References: <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146> <20010208193422.21807.00000091@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9600bj$8dl$2@208.207.71.143> <3A83F50D.BBD4D793@IEEE.org>
<961cla$1mf$1@208.206.142.113>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.58
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 18:54:14 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15284

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > W1RFI wrote:
> > > > If one is qualified only at the basics, how can he claim to be fully
> > > > accomplished?
> > >
> > > So who ever advocated stopping there???
> >
> > If you're stopping short of DSP, you're stopping there, Dick.
>
> So any ham who seosn't learn how to do his own DSP can never be a fully
> accomplished ham.

DSP is to ham radio now as SSB was to ham radio in the early 50s.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -2565690243654605224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 10:55:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
```

Date: 9 Feb 2001 18:55:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 66
Message-ID: <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113>
References: <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156> <20010208185423.21807.00000073@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15285

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >I already know, and have known for a long
> >time, how a digitized signal process works, where it samples an analog
> >wave, digitizes it and later reconstitutes it as analog once again, sans
> >noise.
>
> How is it processed in between the digitizing and the D/A conversion? By what mechanism would the noise be removed?

Undersampling, what else? It us reconstructed from samples with most of the original and the noise absent.

> >That's not new, nor is understanding the process equivalent to
> >rocket science.
>
> Do you think you could pass a multiple-choice test on this technology?

Of course- all I'd have to do is download the Question Pool and read it awhile!

> >BUT--- if, like Cecil, you're telling me that Riley and his buddy Dale
> >Hatfield insist that I and any serious percentage of ham radio licensees
> >must become digital designers just to assure the survival of the ARS,
> >you might as well go ahead and pass the word to them that they can begin
> >the Big Shutdown anytime now.
>
> I don't recall iether of them saying any such thing. Can you supply a quote?

Sure, they both said "Hey you dumbass hams better get your rears in gear and get off that old mundane stuff, you're not doing our engineers nor the rest of the economy any good by sticking with that old reliable gear. You gotta do what the Maritime services did, get rid of that old

stuff and take up the new, hoswever flawed and no matter what complex
gear you have to get, so we can progress....."

Well? What were we supposed to get out of paragraphs of nonspecific
chatter that said essentially that in paraphrase?

> They are both telling Amateur Radio, each in their own way, that Amateur Radio
> needs to modernize.

When no such thing is needed in any way!!

Why do you think they are saying that? My interpretation
> is not that all hams need to become design engineers, but that hams should be
> making use of modern radio communications techniques within the Service.

And many DO! That is what shows that they will not be satisfied with
anything that hams do. The Carl Stevensons and Cecil Moores out there
are the root of it. Barely ever a ham at all, -hams have fun- they now
can do little but bitch about what everyone but they are doing. For
reasons known only to themselves FCC et all find themselves unable to
effectively shrug off that sort of crap and instead we get nothing but
criticism that we don't do all that they do! Looks like a terminal case
of envy! We actually have fun with what we do. It's a shame they can't.

From 2850375609898461288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 11:11:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:01:31 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3A843E8B.3F22D6C4@IEEE.org>
References: <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156> <20010208185423.21807.00000073@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.58
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 19:10:37 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15286

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > How is it processed in between the digitizing and the D/A conversion?  By what
mechanism would the noise be removed?
>
> Undersampling, what else? It us reconstructed from samples with most of
> the original and the noise absent.

Actually, one generally has the option of removing uncorrelated noise or
correlated noise. Removing uncorrelated noise works well for digital
signals. Removing correlated noise works well for SSB. Noise is removed
using adaptive digital filtering.

> > They are both telling Amateur Radio, each in their own way, that Amateur Radio
> > needs to modernize.
>
> When no such thing is needed in any way!!

The great majority of hams are stuck in the 60s, Dick. We are already
stagnating 40 years behind the state of the art in RF communications.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -9205116952205726497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 11:13:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:03:51 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A843F17.38524316@IEEE.org>
References: <95vrgo$r9j$0@208.207.71.143> <20010209064528.16888.00000161@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <961dim$499$0@208.206.142.113>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.58
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 19:12:50 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15287

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > It is not more difficult to understand the fundamentals of how it works than it
> > is to understand the fundamentals of AM, FM, SSB.
>
> Understanding the fundamentals is far from "We all gotta adopt the
> latest yada yada yada" crap that is the Cecilian hue and cry, and to
> which you clearly subscribe.

There you go crawfishing again, Dick.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 2782874596194159664
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 11:16:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:06:20 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A843FAC.7122CB95@IEEE.org>
References: <95vvt4$8dl$1@208.207.71.143> <20010209065348.16888.00000164@ng-mj1.aol.com> <961d8v$3k3$0@208.206.142.113>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.58
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 19:15:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15288

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > CW has a necessary bandwidth of about 50 Hz. Go ahead, start calling CQ 51 Hz
> > away from a CW QSO in progress and tell me the result.
>
> Naw, you didn't figure it out at all. I was in QSO when the PSker
> landed on top of us and flailed away, clueless.

And you probably didn't even appear on his waterfall display. That's
what you get for using such a broad band mode, Dick. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 5521089210729899400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 11:30:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 11:26:42 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3A844472.F298A51E@uci.edu>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu> <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15289
```

Brian wrote:
> Len brings up the fact that the numbers of coded hams are decreasing and
> the numbers of non-coded hams are increasing (the "point" of the
> discussion). He uses a balance scale term.

He use a balance scale analogy to indicate the opposite of the facts he
cites.

> You cannot comment on the
> facts of the ham population, so instead dissect balance scale
> engineering, and thus you claim Len's statements false.

I DID not comment on that because no comment was necessary. I have
nothing to say on the issue other than, great! More new hams.

> Ergo coded ham
> populations are increasing. Nice fantasy.

Yours, not mine.

> Am I still in over my head?
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

From -4449192642260035485
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 11:30:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 11:22:01 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3A844359.8562401B@uci.edu>
References: <3A835E3D.9AA17A67@uci.edu> <20010208223637.14870.00001887@nso-fr.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15290

Len Over 21 wrote:
> The "taunt" seems to be 31,971 NEW no-code-test Technicians
> who came into the US ARS in the 27 months from the
> beginning of January 1998 and end of March 2000...while only
> 6009 NEW code-tested US ARS licensees came in during the
> same time.

What's to taunt about?

> Is it an "exaggeration" that the no-code-test Technician class
> has been constantly growing since the beginning while every
> other US ARS class (code tested) has not grown nearly as fast?

Nope. That's not it.

> Is it "belittling" that over 200 thousand no-code-test Techs are
> now in the US ARS?

Nope. Not what I was referring to at all.

> Is it "insulting" that such facts are pointed out to those who
> have been code-tested?

You are all of the above, Leonard. It's amazing you can't see it.

> I suppose you are right. All this talk about stopping code
> testing is sure "stinking," isn't it?

I don't think so. You're like a bad comedian, Len. The jokes would be
funny if somebody else told them.

> didit

no you didn't

```
From 8457794799978028163
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b0758609151b1096
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fbf1a,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfbf1a,public
X-Google-Thread: fd3c8,12f3e539709c193a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd3c8,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8f3dc276a14352c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 11:40:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!
feed.cgocable.net!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: The Kinder, Gentler Menace <the__phantom_menace@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.angst,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.flame
Subject: Re: The Raoul Xemblinosky mystery deepens
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 19:26:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 65
Message-ID: <961g82$fjf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: news:95vdcu$v1s$0@pita.alt.net
<981684364.3a83508c25b05@webmail.cotse.com> <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>
<960o7g$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <960vs2$v39$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A8418B1.296A@west.vagina.ham> <9618r1$89d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.64.187.2
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 19:26:07 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows NT 4.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.64.187.2
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDthe__phantom_menace
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12239 alt.angst:8822
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15291 alt.flame:33453
```

There Be No Karma Here for KC8JBO:

> In article <3A8418B1.296A@west.vagina.ham>,
>   Roger Wiseman <crow@west.vagina.ham> wrote:
> > molesterian2001@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <960o7g$oih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > >   KC8JBO <roger_kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > > In article <960ets$h6s$0@dosa.alt.net>,
> > > > FAGGOT WEE WEE abuse@127.0.0.1 "wrote:"
> > > > >
> > > > > On Thu, 08 Feb 2001 21:06:04 -0500, KC8JBO <kc8jbo-no-
> > > spam@cotse.com>
> > > > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > : Poor, poor "Stagger".. it must be hard on him when he
> realized he
> > > > is OWNED.
> > > > > : "Stagger" is scraping the bottom of the barrel with these
> latest
> > > > lies. A quick
> > > > > : check of "Roger Wiseman" in switchboard yields quite a few
> Roger
> > > > Wisemans
> > > > > : around....HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > What <SLAP!!!!!!>
> > > >
> > > > I KNEW YOU'D ANSWER MY POST BIIIIIIIIIITCCCCCHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > Hey, You should be going to trial soon because you your arrest
in
> that
> > > > big Harrison County drug sting....LOL!!!!!!
> > > > --
> > > > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > > > EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> > > > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > > > Yaesu FT-90R
> > >
> > > Who cares? Take it someplace else! Idiot.
>
> FOAD, Molesterian.

Haha, the infamous FUCKHEAD CHILD MOLESTER Molesterian has nothing
better to do than beet off to jpgs of handsome dashing rock vocalist
ERIC SAEGER, wishing he was a boy like the last boy he BEAT AND
MOLESTED with a two-headed dildo.
Molesterian lives in a big Maytag box in the Bronx, and he sprouted
from the bucktoothed choad of his HALF-MONKEY MOM and a thousand mental
patients.

e-vince

--

"SarumanTheChef tells us that in the novelization, Darth Maul had
another line that wasn't in the movie, and it was a great line too.
While the Jedi and Maul are stuck in between the security barriers in
the final duel, Maul tests his saber on the shield like in the movie,
but after he looks at Qui-gon, he looks at Obi-wan and smiles,
saying 'Oh, don't worry, I'm coming for you too.'"
  - TheForce.net

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6769017461831677750
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 11:50:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 11:47:38 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A84495A.B7954D2@uci.edu>
References: <3A832AD0.FBDEA93C@uci.edu> <20010209003354.14777.00001686@nso-bd.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15292

> >W1RFI wrote:
> >>What percentage of Morse-code communications do you believe is accomplished
> >>with only a simple RF generator on-off keyed?

Then I wrote:
> >100% of the ones that send bweeeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee bweep
> >bweeeeeee bweeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee

N2EY came back with:
> No no no. It's "chowpy chowpit chow chow chichow"

And Cecil added:
> I thought it was deeeeeeoooooo deeoo deeeeeeoooooo deeoo ...

I stand corrected. Thanks for the chuckles, guys. Fun stuff. :-)

73, Jim AC6XG

From 7651054365780271235
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 11:53:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 9 Feb 2001 21:16:05 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <961mml$7vn$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156> <20010208185423.21807.00000073@ng-cr1.aol.com> <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 981753365 8183 205.139.138.14 (9 Feb 2001 21:16:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Feb 2001 21:16:05 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15294

Dick Carroll writes:
>> They are both telling Amateur Radio, each in their own way,
>> that Amateur Radio needs to modernize.
>
>
>When no such thing is needed in any way!!
>
>

Damned straight! My grandads team of horses and wagon got him into town just fine; wouldn't need these fancy cement roads, and there wouldn't be no damn Arabs taking all our money for some kinda refined decayed dinasoar muck. Never had to cross your fingers hopin' old Prince and Nelly would start on a cold morning.

Who needs this modern crap anyhow -- all this modern digital modem internet crapola is too complicated by far. At 15-cents a word Western Union got by just fine with some telegraph lines strung up along the railroad tracks and a kid on a bike delivering it right to your door -- why we gotta have all this satelite crap anyhow.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From 1333874693803600676
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 12:38:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A835E3D.9AA17A67@uci.edu> <20010208223637.14870.00001887@nso-
fr.aol.com> <3A844359.8562401B@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <vzYg6.150$HH5.959195@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 15:33:49 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981751131 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 15:38:51 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 15:38:51 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15295
```

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > The "taunt" seems to be 31,971 NEW no-code-test Technicians
> > who came into the US ARS in the 27 months from the
> > beginning of January 1998 and end of March 2000...while only
> > 6009 NEW code-tested US ARS licensees came in during the
> > same time.

> What's to taunt about?

Nothing. I am pleased that there are 37,980 new hams.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2074833645667726988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 12:40:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!195.25.12.36!oleane.net!oleane!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 12:38:39 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3A84554F.D0CEF1C5@uci.edu>
References: <3A835106.1E1EFC66@uci.edu> <20010209072518.16867.00000162@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15296

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
> >Sounds like an excellent answer to have prepared for your next job
> >interview, Ed. "Well I don't know anything about that, but I can look
> >it up!"

> If they ask me to cite from memory what the ascii code is for a semicolon, I
> will do just that. I will also wonder why they asked me the question.

True. But you could also tell them that you can look up how to do polar conversions, and path loss calculations, and amplifier stage gains.

Maybe it's just a matter of opinion which things we need to know, and

which things we don't. Heck, isn't it true that everything on the ham exams can be looked up?
I think one could point to almost anything on the exams and make an argument that it didn't need to be there for the same reason you cite in the instance of code. One would counter that argument by saying that there is a reason for having that particular question on the exam. Are you going to claim that there are absolutely zero reasons that can be given for having the Morse code as part of amateur radio exams?

73, Jim AC6XG

From -7767552688905858492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 12:43:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95vvt4$8dl$1@208.207.71.143> <20010209065348.16888.00000164@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3A83F2C0.3170D943@IEEE.org> <961cgs$1mf$0@208.206.142.113>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <vDYg6.151$HH5.959875@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 15:38:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981751387 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 15:43:07 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 15:43:07 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15297

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> So smirk, smirk. the PSk31 station requies many thousands of active devices plus all the cabling, setup and crossed fingers required to get it running.

> CW? well, you already know.......

Yes, I do; CW can be done with a single active device on transmit.

How many active devices are inside the transmitter you use for CW? Let's assume 10,000 per IC and count from there. The microprocessors and RAM etc probably have quite a few more, but that will be offset by some of the IC amplifiers. Don't forget to count your keyer, if you use one.

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -4566863141398775752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ab21139efe24135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 12:50:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: QCWA TELLS FCC TO END INCENTIVE LICENSING
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 20:42:54 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 274
Message-ID: <961koc$jvv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <94t0cu$l79$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010126220955.13167.00001049@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9503pk$tmi$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <bjid6.10$HH5.40276@news.ntplx.net>
<956o9t$74g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7704BE.E71F049F@arrl.org>
<95adgt$g4a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>
<95g9k5$ha8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7C0F5B.2158175B@arrl.org>
<95o28u$bcg$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95vqj6$2b6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 167.206.133.115
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 20:42:54 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; MSN 2.6; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x52.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 167.206.133.115
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDw2ilp9243
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15298

In article <95vqj6$2b6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  jwill@AstraGate.net wrote:
> In article <95o28u$bcg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>   Bob Wex w2ilp <w2ilp@juno.com> wrote:
> > In article <3A7C0F5B.2158175B@arrl.org>,
> >   Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> > > Bob Wex w2ilp wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <3A797E19.5F1D7E26@arrl.org>,
> > > >   Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I didn't say they weren't. I only said that according to N9GL,
> the
> > > > > standards are not derived from the mechanisms but from the
known
> > > > > effects.
> > > >
> > > > True...but as engineers, scientists or medical people the
> > > > MECHANISM...chemical, biological, electral, mechanical or
```

whatever
> > must
> > > > be understood if there is to be any corrective measures devised.
> > >
> > > But we can't apply corrective measures to the design of the human
> > body.
> > > The only factor we can control is how much RF we expose ourselves
> to.
> > > For that purpose, it is the effect of the exposure that is
> important,
> > > not the underlying mechanism.
> > >
> > > > A hot chicken does not tell you the mechanism by which it got
> > hot...but
> > > > if you heated it in your microwave oven..You know the mechanism
> was
> > > > microwave RF not heat conducted from a gas burner or radiated by
> > your
> > > > fireplace.
> > >
> > > But if the point is to cook a chicken I don't need to know the
> > mechanism
> > > by which the cooking takes place, I only need to know that it gets
> > > cooked when I apply either heat or RF at sufficient levels.
> >
> > If you are a chef, then all you may need to know is that the chicken
> > got cooked to your liking...BUT if you are a scientist or engineer
you
> > need to know a lot more; and if you are afraid that the chicken was
> not
> > cooked well enough to kill the bacteria in it, you work with
> scientists
> > and engineers; You don't care much about what a chef might think
> > because he doesn't understand the MECHANISM, nor the cause and
effect
> > of the groth of bacteria populations in what might be pockets of
> > relatively raw chicken. The chef might not even be able to smell or
> > taste bad chicken that is dangerously tainted. Worse he might
attempt
> > to cover up bad chicken with gravies that hide the rotten, stinking
> > chicken and make it profitable to do so.
> >
> > Some of the "experts" who talk about what are considered RF hazard
> > levels are no more scientific than old grandmothers who think that
> > chicken soup will cure any illness. True, chicken soup might have
> some
> > healthy benefits for some minor ailments...but the old grannys who
> make
> > it don't know much about the spread of bacteria that exists within
> > humans and certainly aren't aware of the latest reasearch about the
> > AIDS virus. Chicken soup might just have a psychological beneficial
> > effect on some humans...others might be better off with mock clam
> > chowder or mock turtle soup....or some real Johnny Walker.

> > >
> > > > > Which makes sense. For the purpose of determining safe levels,
> > > > > the mechanisms are unimportant
> > > >
> > > > Huhh? That is like saying Ohm's Law is unimportant as long as
> lamp
> > > > bulbs light. Only the observation of the light matters for
> > determining
> > > > what voltage will quickly burn open the filament.
> > >
> > > It's nothing like that at all. We need to know Ohm's law so we can
> > > design electrical devices. We're not designing human bodies.
> (Although
> > > there are those doctors who've announced plans to clone humans, so
> > maybe
> > > we will be designing humans soon!)
> >
> > When you design electrical devices there are certain intrinsic
> > conditions that must be delt with. There are physical limitations
> that
> > involve the actual fabrication of resistors, conductors, insulators,
> > etc. (although I admit the human body is much more complex than an
> > electrical resistance path)...
> > BUT
> > WE need to know the mechanism by which hazards can be hazardous
before
> > we can determine what the safe levels are...just like we need Ohm's
> Law
> > before we can design for appropriate resistances, voltages and
> currents
> > that can make a circuit function as we intend it to.
> > True, we can not reengineer humans yet...although genetic
manipulation
> > may not be out of the question in the far future...BUT we need to
> > design RF radiating equipments (including cell-phones and Ham HTs)
so
> > that they are safe for use by humans IF this is possible. On the
down
> > side, it might not be possible....just like it has not been possible
> to
> > manufacture a truly safe cigarette that is still a cigarette.   We
can
> > not just think of the human body as a dummy load for RF...nor can we
> > just think of the overall thermal effects on the body, without
knowing
> > the mechanisms that have dangerous propensities. Considering only
> > thermal effects will definately prove to be a gross error. If the
RF
> > can be more consentrated on vulnerable parts of the human body at
UHF
> > than at VHF then this would not be a consideration if only thermal
> > effects on the entire body are all that is being considered. It is
a

> > known fact that heat is generated when RF causes electrons in
certain
> > molecules to be agitated. (Heat is actually defined as electron
> > agitation) BUT the effects of agitating electrons in the material
that
> > constitutes human tissue of various functions is poorly understood.
>
> I agree with your opinions, for the most part, but this is not
> generally true: Heat as electron agitation only would hold
> under certain circumstances, for example in a plasma of some kind.

I don't agree with your statement for the most part.
>
> Electrons almost always are in atoms, and thus they take on
> discrete, quantized levels of energy which
> are definite and not random.

True.

> Heat is usually defined as the degree of randomness of motion
> of atoms.

Not exactly. You need to study cryogenics and what happens at super
cold temperatures approaching absolute kelvanic zero where electron
motion approaches a stand still. Is it any motion that is responsible
for heat or is it heat that is responsible for any motion?...or is it
both? is there truly any random motion?
> An electric current can cause electrons to move in a medium by
> jumping from atom to atom;

Wrong. You said yourself that electrons hardly ever leave the atoms
that they are a part of.
Electro-chemical action such as electrolysis is perhaps the only
example of an electric current moving atoms...not just electrons. The
normal situation is not that electrons move from one atom to another
but that they polarize the atoms in a dominio effect. The current does
not "flow" either from positive to negative or from negative to
positive, as is taught in most tech and high schools, but the atoms of
conducting material become polarized and this polarization travels
through the conductor at a speed approaching the speed of light.

> this causes essentially random
> motion in the atoms (say, in a resistor), and thus a rise in
> temperature.

The random motion is always there at ambient temperature. The random
motion does contribute to noise if the conduction is part of the front
end circuitry of a sensitive radio receiver, for example. In a MASAR
the front end gets cooled by liquid nitrogen and this sharply reduces
the random noise. The random motion does not cause the material to
heat up to any temperature that is beyond the ambient temperature which
caused it in the first place. The ambient temperature can however be
considered as a reason for the electron agitation within atoms to exist.

> Removing the electric field leaves the atoms
> moving more randomly, but the electrons are not at all random
> in the reference frame of the atom with which each one is
> associated. If the atoms were not moving randomly, the
> electrons would not be, either.

The atoms do not move in most cases but the electrons in their outer orbits do become agitated.

> In a conductor, the
electrons (in the conduction
> band) hardly interact at all with any individual atom;
> therefore, with an applied potential, they transfer
> little random momentum to the atoms; therefore, they don't
> heat it very much.

You start the above by disagreeing with what you wrote at the beginning, but here again your conclusion is wrong because you are not agreeing with Ohm's Law. Every conductor has a finite amount of electrical resistance (even if it is 0.000001 Ohms).   If an IR drop occurs in the conductor it must disipate some energy...Most of this energy is disapated in the form of heat, although some energy is in the form of an electro-magnetic field, since any conductor which has any dimention will have some field developed about itself. Thus if accurate measurements are made any conductor will show some rise in temperature when it is conducting any electrical current. The motion we are speaking of is not random...It is determined by the nature of the voltage which causes the current and will be very constantly direct or related to the frequency of such current, if it is AC or RF.

> > What levels, at what frequencies can cause genetic damage? What can
> > cause or accelerate cancerous malignancies? What tissues are most
> > vulnerable? Can some individuals be more at risk than others? All
> > must be considered before setting any safe radiation level
standards.
> > Although the research continues, we have been permitted to work with
> > standards that may be considered conservatively safe by
> > some "grannys"...BUT not by W2ILP.
> >
> > I admit that I dunno at this point in time... nor does N9GL. Let us
> > leave it at that.
> > >

All of the basic physics and chemistry that we may be discussing here has little to do with RF hazards. The human hazard problems that may or may not exist at radio frequencies (HF, VHF, UHF) may not be complertly explained by a knowledge of how electrons act at DC. That is particularily why I believe that the thermal considerations are but the tip of an iceberg. Let me get back to the human eye, for example. We know that we can not safely look directly at the sun or other high level sources of light. This is not just to avoid the heat that the sun radiates. Intense light is harmful to our eyes...and our eye lids normally automatically protect us from prolonged exposure to direct intense light. We can wear sunglasses to avoid light glare when driving our cars. The glasses filter out some of the light. The better sunglasses are polaroid types which can filter out light of one polarity and pass light of a polarity that is 90 degrees different. We can not see RF...BUT Perhaps we may need to wear specially designed filter goggles when we use HTs. Goggles can probably be made, which can filter out the RF which can cause eye cancer. This would be better protection than a device which is currently marketed. The device absorbs some of the radiated RF and causes most cell phones to increase RF output to compensate for the device's attenuation in order to maintain communication. In my technical opinion such a device can only shorten the cell-phone battery life and has little or no effect on reducing any possible hazard.

Thanks for your comments...Although maybe neither of us are sheding much light (or RF) on the subject.

Hams need more license classes and maybe more physics classes as well.

73,
Bob Wex w2ilp (I Learned Physics)

A man, visiting a crazy house, saw a man
who was trying to hammer a nail into
a wall head first. Another nut laughed
and said "If you want to do that, you
had better turn the hammer around".
Still another crazy inmate said,
"I know better! I was an engineer before
I wound up in this place. It is obvious
that that nail was designed only for use
in the opposite wall".
We all can understand that the nail
should be turned around...but if we
couldn't see the nail...such a project
(to show which way to hammer in nails)
could at least lead to a government grant.
--w2ilp--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8593479517272265284
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 13:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!194.255.56.67!newsfeed101.telia.com!kinglear.mobilixnet.dk!opentransit.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail

From: n2ey@aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 21:08:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <961m7u$la5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A832AD0.FBDEA93C@uci.edu> <20010209003354.14777.00001686@nso-bd.aol.com> <3A84495A.B7954D2@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.197.200.14
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 21:08:22 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 151.197.200.14
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15299

In article <3A84495A.B7954D2@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> > >W1RFI wrote:
> > >>What percentage of Morse-code communications do you believe is
accomplished
> > >>with only a simple RF generator on-off keyed?
>
> Then I wrote:
> > >100% of the ones that send bweeeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee bweep
> > >bweeeeeee bweeeeeeee bweep bweeeeeeee
>
> N2EY came back with:
> > No no no. It's "chowpy chowpit chow chow chichow"
>
> And Cecil added:
> > I thought it was deeeeeeoooooo deeoo deeeeeeoooooo deeoo ...
>
> I stand corrected. Thanks for the chuckles, guys. Fun stuff. :-)
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

Mine is the most accurate. It was in QST.

73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 531972710644109176
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 13:20:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 13:12:00 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A845D20.ABE9C7BA@uci.edu>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu> <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu> <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A8354FE.449F3F66@uci.edu> <95vo5t$c4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15300

Brian wrote:
> Show where I misunderstood.

You apparently understand nothing. You're an impudent boorish punk with nothing intellectual to bring to a discussion. I expect you find pestering people on the newsgroup to be as entertaining as jamming your local repeater and playing telephone pranks.

Blither on, N0IMD.

From 7958373142933149762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 13:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 21:28:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <961nd8$me0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A832AD0.FBDEA93C@uci.edu> <20010209003354.14777.00001686@nso-bd.aol.com> <3A84495A.B7954D2@uci.edu> <961m7u$la5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42

X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 21:28:20 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.01; Windows 95; Hotbar 2.0)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 155.226.255.42
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15301

> N2EY came back with:
> > No no no. It's "chowpy chowpit chow chow chichow"

That's it exactly! Sounds like the TCS-8 mounted just above the coffee
pot in Radio Central on a 2250-ton Gearing/Sumner class destroyer.

The TCS-8 back in Aux Radio went "pwaukty pwauktit pwauk pwauk
pwipwauk".

Above 25WPM they both
went "braaawwwwwwwkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkk".

73, Hans, K0HB
ex-NBFP, NIDO, NHXW, NFUF, NAM, NPN, etc.

--
  "Consistency is the last refuge of the unimaginative."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6771078044433979089
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 13:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp-out.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 21:28:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <961ncn$mdn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

References: <95v5fo$gsj$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208214551.02997.00002011@nso-mg.aol.com> <961d5l$cii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 21:28:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15302

In article <961d5l$cii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
n2ey@aol.com wrote:

> Your analysis did not include the fact that the FCC is renewing Tech
> Plus licenses as Technicians, and that by doing so, one cannot
> equate "Technician license" with "non-code-tested licensee". By
> improperly putting code-tested Technicians in the non-code-tested
> category, you overstate their number and understate the number of code
> tested licensees.

You would expect this creep to admit an error?

> The error is not a small one. 4,700 of 13,000+ is more than a third.

Of course if WE made this error, we KNOW what would be coming out of Soutern Californication, don't you?

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"Just Remember...An Amateur Built The Ark, Engineers Built The Titanic"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPSONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -43855985015962265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 13:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators

```
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 21:31:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <961nj3$mo7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95upda$66n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208214613.02997.00002013@nso-
mg.aol.com> <960r5k$qu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <960std$sdl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<961igr$5o5$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.86.75.86
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 21:31:14 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 63.86.75.86
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15303

In article <961igr$5o5$1@news.netmar.com>,
  K0HB@qwest.net wrote:

> On your web page you make a big hairy deal about not using eQSL, yet
> when I check my eQSL list, here is one from K4YZ.

     No, Hans, you were one of two or three I replied to just to "test
the waters".  In as much as I "know" you, I thought I owed you the
courtesy of a reply.  You were kind enough to send me one of those
beautiful real cards, I thought it only proper.

73

Steve, K4YZ
--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"Just Remember...An Amateur Built The Ark, Engineers Built The Titanic"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM.  RESPSONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.



Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From -2235476997349339030
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 13:42:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 15:30:35 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A84617B.AC97BBD5@IEEE.org>
References: <3A832AD0.FBDEA93C@uci.edu> <20010209003354.14777.00001686@nso-bd.aol.com> <3A84495A.B7954D2@uci.edu> <961m7u$la5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.7a
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 21:40:18 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15304

n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> Mine is the most accurate. It was in QST.

Anybody who thinks it starts with a "ch" and not a "d" needs
to clean out his ears. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 999006054392958826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 14:00:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: n2ey@aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 21:47:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <961ogk$nf0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95vvt4$8dl$1@208.207.71.143> <20010209065348.16888.00000164@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3A83F2C0.3170D943@IEEE.org> <961cgs$1mf$0@208.206.142.113> <vDYg6.151$HH5.959875@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.197.200.14
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 21:47:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 151.197.200.14
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15305

In article <vDYg6.151$HH5.959875@news.ntplx.net>,
  "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>
> How many active devices are inside the transmitter you use for CW?

Nine, not counting the power supply. Two of those is shared with the receiver. The rig is enirely homemade and homedesigned.

> Let's
> assume 10,000 per IC and count from there.

No ICs. Don't need 'em.

>The microprocessors and RAM etc
> probably have quite a few more, but that will be offset by some of
the IC
> amplifiers.

No microprocessors. Don't need those either.

> Don't forget to count your keyer, if you use one.

I use a Vibroplex Original bug. Folks I work cannot tell its sending from a top-of-the-line keyer.

Let's see - entire HF station has a total of 20 active devices in the transceiver and a bunch of diodes and regulators in the power supplies and antenna tuner/SWR bridge. Puts 100+ watts of clean RF in the antenna, which is also homemade. Cost about a dollar a watt to build, not counting the antenna tuner, which cost maybe $20 and is good for several hundred watts. Clock is an old mechanical 24 hour digital (Timechron?) job made from the parts of three junkers. The shack table and shelves are also homemade.

It's not top-of-the-line but it does OK. HA1TG and UA3RF last night on 40 CW - the latter called me! And I can fix, align and modify it with no worries.

73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 1388654120271252905
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 14:10:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!peer.news.opaltelecom.net!
londen1-snf1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 22:01:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <961pbj$od4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu> <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu> <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A8354FE.449F3F66@uci.edu> <95vo5t$c4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A845D20.ABE9C7BA@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 22:01:29 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15307

In article <3A845D20.ABE9C7BA@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
> Brian wrote:
> > Show where I misunderstood.
>
> You apparently understand nothing. You're an impudent boorish punk
with
> nothing intellectual to bring to a discussion. I expect you find
> pestering people on the newsgroup to be as entertaining as jamming
your
> local repeater and playing telephone pranks.
>
> Blither on, N0IMD.

Jim, you have yet to say anything intellectual, you discuss nothing, you only attack my character. It is likely you really have nothing to say intellectual or not, yet you go on and on and on. You, sir, are the jammer. Be Silent!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7336075703883109369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 14:20:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!skynet.be!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail

From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 22:13:43 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <961q2e$p00$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu> <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A844472.F298A51E@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 22:13:43 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15308

In article <3A844472.F298A51E@uci.edu>,
  Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> > Len brings up the fact that the numbers of coded hams are decreasing
and
> > the numbers of non-coded hams are increasing (the "point" of the
> > discussion). He uses a balance scale term.
>
> He use a balance scale analogy to indicate the opposite of the facts
he
> cites.

Fine! I guess balance scales cannot be in a process or a state of "tip-ping" until they have tipped. Everything is static until, suddenly, they are tipped. The balance beam cannot move until it instantly comes in to a state of having been tipped. The beam cannot be moving downward or upward.

The idea of tipping is impossible for you to grasp. I pity your waitress.

> > You cannot comment on the
> > facts of the ham population, so instead dissect balance scale
> > engineering, and thus you claim Len's statements false.
>
> I DID not comment on that because no comment was necessary. I have
> nothing to say on the issue other than, great! More new hams.

There - you said it. Great! Not exactly intellectual, but we're making progress.

> > Ergo coded ham

> > populations are increasing. Nice fantasy.
>
> Yours, not mine.
>
> > Am I still in over my head?

That's your fantasy.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -2644550696112299744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 14:20:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A835106.1E1EFC66@uci.edu> <20010209072518.16867.00000162@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <3A84554F.D0CEF1C5@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Lines: 40
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <63_g6.153$HH5.964670@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 17:15:36 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981757250 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:20:50 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:20:50 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15309
```

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

> > If they ask me to cite from memory what the ascii code is for a
semicolon, I
> > will do just that. I will also wonder why they asked me the question.

> True. But you could also tell them that you can look up how to do polar
> conversions, and path loss calculations, and amplifier stage gains.

I don't think any of those questions has ever come up on any of my job
interviews, Jim. I am sure a prospective employer would judge which things,
such as the ascii code, are reasonable not to be committed to memory and
which things he or she expects an applicant to know. The FCC, through the
QPC, does the very same thing (at least in theory); no amateur examination

ever has contained all of the knowledge that a ham might acquire during a lifetime of hamming.

> I think one could point to almost anything on the exams and make an
> argument that it didn't need to be there for the same reason you cite in
> the instance of code.

I believe that amateur exams should contain the fundamentals of all that is relevant to amateur radio technology.

> Are you going to claim that there are absolutely zero reasons that can be
> given for having the Morse code as part of amateur radio exams?

I think not. My personal view is that Amateur testing should contain about 5 minutes of transmitted code and the applicant should be asked a reasonable number of questions (perhaps 2 or 3 in the General exam, as an example) about the content of what was sent. This should be part of the overall exam score. This would test Morse code the same way that all other aspects of Amateur Radio knowledge is tested.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1865049186137117735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 14:20:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: 9 Feb 2001 21:38:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <961o1a0svd@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu> <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu> <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95voct0git@enews3.newsguy.com> <960kvp$mab$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-609.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15310

```
In article <960kvp$mab$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, brianburke@my-deja.com says...
>
>In article <95voct0git@enews3.newsguy.com>,
>  tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
>> In article <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, brianburke@my-deja.com
>says...
>>
>> >> Still trying to figure out what, if
>> >> anything, you ARE expert at though.
>> >
>> >The greatest hobby in the world - the one everyone talks about!
>> >
>>
>> At the moment, that would be collecting Pokemon cards.
>
>Not the moment most people live in.  Guess again.


You don't get out much do you?




From 2444100784269608959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 14:50:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 9 Feb 2001 21:58:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <961p5a1svd@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <9600ev$8dl$3@208.207.71.143> <20010209063402.16888.00000158@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9612e7$7a6$0@208.206.142.113>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-779.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15311

In article <9612e7$7a6$0@208.206.142.113>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

> Yep. And when the first radio experimenters began to develop the
>fledgling RF communications system they very quickly realized that Morse
>was a natural for use with a system where the most basic requirements
>were simply to key a carrier on and off with information encoded in
>those bits of RF....
```

>It WAS a natural. Still is.

It certainly isn't natural to me. I read, write, and speak in English. I don't
walk around "beeping" at people when I want to talk to them.

From -5109784005123437442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 14:51:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95vvj3$8dl$0@208.207.71.143> <20010209071222.16867.00000158@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <961b7m$ruf$0@208.206.142.113>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 200
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <2w_g6.156$HH5.966008@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 17:46:28 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981759102 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:51:42 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:51:42 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15312

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > >Even old fellers like me, who spent the working career AND 44 year ham
> > >radio experience mostly in the technical side of radio find it beyond
> > >reasonable that it be demanded of us that we abandon what we know and
> > >like in favor of some dippy digital engineer's ideal of what radio
> > >communications should be today, just because he has found that he is
> > >capable of scrambling the numbers and inventing some new mode each
week.
> > >In a word, much of it is BORING.

> Why do you insist on dodging my point? Would it destroy your most
> effective weapon, your assertion that I actually DO resist learning new
> things?

I am sorry if I misunderstood your words, Dick. If you feel that telling
everyone here that learning new things is boring means that you want to
learn them, then I will take it that way if you say it again.

> What I said is that I have tried almost every new mode that came along
> and for the most part they are BORING! And yes, I "learned" new things

> about them, contrary to your assertion.

I think that you misunderstand what I mean by modern radiocommunications techniques, Dick. PSK-31 isn't one of them. Just as one example, many radio services use various spread-spectrum techniques to access an information channel, not frequency channelization. How is that being used in Amateur Radio today? How many hams understand the fundamentals of these technologies?

> But I gotta tell ya, I prefer the old crystal filter to anything DSP has
offered to date.
> I'm on my fourth DSP and so far I see nothing but disappointment from any
of them.

Much of the difficulty with DSP in modern radios is in the way it is implemented. There are major differences between the way that DSP is integrated within or outside of the AGC loops in receivers, and in the nature of the analog filtering before the DSP. At 12 or 16 bits, there just isn't enough dynamic range in the DSP in most receivers to be able to handle it all in software.

OTOH, "software defined radio" is the latest technique just now being developed in modern radio design. Using DSP, a basic "black box" radio can be reprogrammed to be anything from a CW rig to a CDMA transceiver. It is our future, Dick, and I hope that not all hams find it "boring." We are apt to see technology, and rules changes, that will accomodate this approach to radio design, and in a few years, or decades, I expect to see DSP develop to the point where one goes directly from code (the software kind) to antenna.

> I also have a little audio autonotch filter that has had much more use
against
> the carriers of the many RF spammer/jammers that all this infusion of
> 'new blood' has brought.

I have heard carriers since 1963, Dick. Don't go blaming it on all the "new blood." Of those busted by the FCC for intentional interference of late, what percentage of them were "new blood?"

> > If Amateur Radio were not in touch with the technology of the 40s, we
would not
> > be here after being shut down after WW2.

> Dodging the issue? Why so all you "we all just gotta change everything"
> mavens ignore the fact that we hams do this not because we want to just
> communicate- we have cell phones for that- but for much deeper and more
> complex reasons.

Gosh, Dick, you sure do see everything in extremes. How did we get from my belief that more hams need to learn more about modern communications techology to "we gotta change everything." It is very difficult to have a conversation if my words do not mean what words mean to you.

> Evidently FCC and most of the others who seem to be monitoring our

> every teansmission to see what old mode we insist on keeping can't see
> the forest for all the trees.

Do you really believe that the FCC monitors our every transmission?

> In most urban areas, a ham who
> > wants to put up a new repeater is told that "no pairs are available."
Yet,
> > tune the band, and most of the repeaters sit idle most of the time. This
is not
> > an efficient use of spectrum.

> It sure isn't, but that is not a technical problem, rather one of
> administration that could very easily be resolved. The fact that all
> paris are "assigned" is the problem. You just have to offend those who
> need to be offended since they are too selfcentered to do the right
> thing themselves. No one should have the inherent right to tie up a
> frequency pair just because he asked first. It should be a use it or
> lose it situation. Repeaters should only rarely be approved for
> individuals where they can preclude many other potential users from use
> of spectrum, the rule should be 'show your real data or be denied'. It's
> a failure if administration that the repeater fiasco is allowed to
> continue as it has.

What about the repeater that is used once a month for a club meeting? Lose
it? Why would one want to take on the administrative burden of trying to
keep hams off the air when there are technical solutions at hand?

> You don't even understand that CW is NOT my favorite mode, although it
> is one of my favorites. From long experience I know how effective it can
> be. If operators equip themselves to use it. You don't seem to yet
> understand- or do you just prefer to ignore- that my outlook is that it
> is just as irresponsible of ham radio operators to refuse to learn to
> use CW, the basic radiocommunications mode as you believe it to be for
> them to ignore DSP techniquues. It is also irresponsible for ARRL and
> FCC to ignore the most basic radiocommunications mode. Do they all think
> that there will never again be any need for anything basic?

Never be a need? I am sure there will be. There could come a need for me
to ride a horse, too, but I don't think I will ever see a horse-riding test
included with my driver's license. I think that society believes that if
there is a need for me to ride a horse, I will get up on the fool thing
somehow and pretty soon be a pretty good horse rider. There is a much more
likihood that there will be a need for voice or data communication, and the
best regulatory solution for that would be for the FCC to mandate that every
ham station be equipped for voice communication on HF and VHF. If they are
not willing to address that likely need through the rules, why would they
address the need for Morse code communication through mandatory testing? Why
do you select that one need and not feel that the FCC should do something to
address a much more likely need?

> > I believe in the ability of hams to learn and grow. If more information
is

> > made available in amateur circles, they will come and they will learn
and when
> > such techniques come to be used in Amateur Radio, they will have an
> > understanding of their advantages and disadvantages. Some hams will
continue
> > to thirst for radio knowledge, others will "pause," but as long as the
Service
> > as a whole continues to grow, we will have met the technical components
of our
> > regulatory objectives.

> Taking lessons from Cecil? Your use of "pause" to indicate refusal to
> learn is way out of context and you know it or should.

I used your word, Dick, in the context I thought you meant it. If you need
to refresh your memory, do a deja search on yourself and the word "pause."

> I truly believe, indeed KNOW that this continued incessant demamding
> has caused many hams to abandon ham radio, just quit.

Why is it that my view that more hams need to learn more about modern radio
technology is "incessant demanding" but your view that all hams need to pass
a Morse code test is not? Your view has the force of law, while mine is
just an opinion. Which do you feel is expressed more strongly? And if my
opinion is enough to drive some away, what must the much stronger "opinion"
about Morse code testing do?

> You ARE taking your cues from Cecil. I rest on my laurals as a ham
> simply in that there are no more ham radio tests for me to take, the
> last one was over thirty years ago. If you believe that I haven't learnd
> anything since that time I'll just lump you in with Cecil, and surely
> you don't want to be there.

Of course I don't believe that of, Dick, but it is hard to interpret your
calling my expression of the view that more hams should learn the
fundamentals of modern radio technology as "incessant demanding." To me,
that appears to say that you do not wish to learn the fundamentals of modern
radio technology.

> If a few of you CW lovers whose real beliefs were not trumped by
> Politically Correct demands foisted on you by a few geeks with an Agenda
> would instead just simply state the facts--- that any ham who overlooks
> learning Morse code is missing the very most basic radiocommunications
> mode yet devised, and instead urge all hams to learn it as a matter of
> course, none of this would be necessary. Instead you cower like so many
> sheep.

Did you intend to be insultive there, Dick, or did you just get carried
away? With all of those buzzwords, I am not sure what you mean by that
paragraph, Dick, but I have very much been encouraging hams to use and enjoy
Morse code. Claiming that I am not is, IMHO, appears to me to be an Agenda,
too.

> You've got it backwards, again. It is not nearly as hard as they first
> think it is, when they decide they just don't want to, and that has been
> the point. Why do you contradict it?

That's more like it; you are correct, learning Morse code is NOT a big hill
to climb that will somehow prove their character. :-)

> Based on knowledge of how things have worked in the past that is most
> unlikely. No one excapt a few of us posting here has a single good word
> to say about it. Extremely few newcomers are informed and with the
> current attitude at HQ and by all the ridicule and criticism it and its
> supporters get here there's not much chance of improvement. The Big Dumb
> Down will just continue until the Big Shutdown.

Is "Dumbdown" your single good word?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2530723441773206119
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 14:55:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95vrgo$r9j$0@208.207.71.143> <20010209064528.16888.00000161@ng-mj1.aol.com> <961dim$499$0@208.206.142.113>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <rz_g6.157$HH5.966185@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 17:50:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981759319 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:55:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:55:19 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15313

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > It is not more difficult to understand the fundamentals of how it works
than it

> > is to understand the fundamentals of AM, FM, SSB.

> Understanding the fundamentals is far from "We all gotta adopt the
> latest yada yada yada" crap that is the Cecilian hue and cry, and to
> which you clearly subscribe.

I subscribe to the concept that more hams need to learn the fundamentals of modern radio technology. You seem to be having a lot of difficulty understanding what that means. I can't think of another way to say it, Dick.

> > I am quite aware of the present technical status of hams, Dick; I spend
about 2
> > or 3 hours a day on the phone, answering their questions. Most of them
don't
> > share your "can't do" viewpoint.

> Really? So what're they doing on the phone asking you simple questions?
> Kindly refrain from misrepresenting my viewpoint if you please. *I* DID.
> "They" seem to resist any such activity, preferring to have "Ed do it"
> for them.

Some of the questions are pretty simple; many are not.

I think we have about beat this one to death, and I can't think of new ways to say the same things again and again. At least for now, the last word is yours.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8155292928058386805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 14:57:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95vvt4$8dl$1@208.207.71.143> <20010209065348.16888.00000164@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3A83F2C0.3170D943@IEEE.org> <961cgs$1mf$0@208.206.142.113> <vDYg6.151$HH5.959875@news.ntplx.net> <961ogk$nf0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <SB_g6.158$HH5.966289@news.ntplx.net>

Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 17:52:39 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981759474 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:57:54 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:57:54 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15314

<n2ey@aol.com> wrote:

> Let's see - entire HF station has a total of 20 active devices in the
> transceiver and a bunch of diodes and regulators in the power supplies
> and antenna tuner/SWR bridge. Puts 100+ watts of clean RF in the
> antenna, which is also homemade. Cost about a dollar a watt to build,
> not counting the antenna tuner, which cost maybe $20 and is good for
> several hundred watts. Clock is an old mechanical 24 hour digital
> (Timechron?) job made from the parts of three junkers. The shack table
> and shelves are also homemade.

Now, if this is an original design, I have only one question -- when are we going to see the article or web page on it? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 276868602604453512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:00:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!howland.erols.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 22:50:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <961s7l$qrd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208193305.21807.00000090@ng-cr1.aol.com> <3a83de57.41990447@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.62
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 22:50:31 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.62
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15315

In article <3a83de57.41990447@news2.dvol.com>,
kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly) wrote:

> On 09 Feb 2001 00:33:05 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
> >Yeah, but you know, Carl and I have had many an interesting
conversation since
> >and he is now using an entirely different style. I occasionally see
some of his
> >infamous "forcefulness," but I think he has chosen a productive
route. I count
> >Carl among my friends. Even bought him a lobster once. :-)
> >
> Don't bring that sumbitch to Bookbinders for the RV/EY lobsterfest,
> he'll never make it back to Allentown.

You sound like a bad man.

"When you've worked over a FIST, you've worked over a friend!"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 5945727097221286387
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:10:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 15:04:49 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A847791.7E3C983D@uci.edu>
References: <3A835106.1E1EFC66@uci.edu> <20010209072518.16867.00000162@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <3A84554F.D0CEF1C5@uci.edu> <63_g6.153$HH5.964670@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15316

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> My personal view is that Amateur testing should contain about
> 5 minutes of transmitted code and the applicant should be asked a reasonable
> number of questions (perhaps 2 or 3 in the General exam, as an example)
> about the content of what was sent. This should be part of the overall exam

> score. This would test Morse code the same way that all other aspects of
> Amateur Radio knowledge is tested.

Oh. For some reason I thought you were making a case for looking it up
rather than committing it to memory. Don't know how I got that idea.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 5893747043928728848
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:14:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 9 Feb 2001 23:14:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <961tk2$g11$0@208.206.142.81>
References: <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146> <20010208193422.21807.00000091@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9600bj$8dl$2@208.207.71.143> <3A83F50D.BBD4D793@IEEE.org>
<961cla$1mf$1@208.206.142.113> <3A843A6C.E203CA20@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.81
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15317

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> DSP is to ham radio now as SSB was to ham radio in the early 50s.

That shows how little you really know.

From 1823095520920133595
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:15:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!

```
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Feb 2001 23:15:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <961tnb$g11$1@208.206.142.81>
References: <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156> <20010208185423.21807.00000073@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113> <3A843E8B.3F22D6C4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.81
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15318



Cecil wrote:
>

> The great majority of hams are stuck in the 60s, Dick. We are already
> stagnating 40 years behind the state of the art in RF communications.
>

That is pure unadulturated bullcrap.


From 6342309236742971312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:20:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
137.192.100.17.MISMATCH!upp1.onvoy!msc1.onvoy!onvoy.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 23:14:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <961tjk$s6p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-
fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu> <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu> <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95voct0git@enews3.newsguy.com> <960kvp$mab$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<961o1a0svd@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.62
```

```
X-Article-Creation-Date: Fri Feb 09 23:14:03 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.62
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15319

In article <961o1a0svd@enews4.newsguy.com>,
  tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
> In article <960kvp$mab$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, brianburke@my-deja.com
says...
> >
> >In article <95voct0git@enews3.newsguy.com>,
> >  tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
> >> In article <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, brianburke@my-deja.com
> >says...
> >>
> >> >> Still trying to figure out what, if
> >> >> anything, you ARE expert at though.
> >> >
> >> >The greatest hobby in the world - the one everyone talks about!
> >> >
> >>
> >> At the moment, that would be collecting Pokemon cards.
> >
> >Not the moment most people live in.  Guess again.
>
> You don't get out much do you?

Not a problem.  I visited the public library after work today, I'm going
to the gun show tomorrow, and have a daytrip planned for St. Louis on
Sunday.  Back to work Monday morning, will attend a seminar in Cleveland
on Thursday....

My kids like pokeyman.  I've treated them to pokeyman toys, books,
videos, "snap" nintendo 64 game, and even a live show at the coleseum.

But pokeyman is still not the world's greatest hobby.  Not by any
stretch.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 4893010004572273186
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:33:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!diablo.theplanet.net!
xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-
for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95ssaa$isk$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207214615.14968.00001276@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Lines: 132
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Q6%g6.160$HH5.967679@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 18:27:40 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981761584 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 18:33:04 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 18:33:04 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15320

<n2ey@aol.com> wrote:

> - QST: The magazine is often a new ham's first contact with the ARRL,
> and a resource for all hams. But when was the last time there was a QST
> article on any of the following?
>   + How to learn the code & how to increase your speed
>   + How to adjust a key and send good code
>   + Types of keys and advantages/disadvantages of each
>   + How to set up a CW station and operate it
>   + How to build/buy a good CW setup on a slim budget
>   + In-depth product reviews of various Morse training materials and
> methods
>   + FISTS and other Morse-related organizations and resources

Most of what appears in QST is written by outside authors. Care to write?
ARRL pays. :-) I especially like the types of keys/advantages, though I
can't speak for the editor. :-) See http://www.arrl.org/qst/aguide/#qst

> I've seen more QST articles on PSK31 in the past two years than
> articles on Morse in the past 20-30 years.

See the list at the end of this post.

> Of course the new modes need to be promoted, but when decades go by with
no attention to a popular
> mode, there's a problem. Newcomers do not have the ability to easily dig
up articles from 30-40 years ago.

As soon as Al, AA1DO, finishes a major indexing project, I will see what we
can add to the TIS pages on Morse code. If you have any recommendations for
the best articles, that may speed the process up a bit. :-)

> - Other ARRL publications: When I started out, there was an excellent
> ARRL book called "Understanding Amateur Radio". It cost half the price
> of a Handbook of the time, (first $2, then $2.50) and it addressed the
> basics of getting on the air. Some of it was reprints of QST articles

> that a newcomer would not have seen, but most of it was material
> intended to teach the basics without a lot of math. There were two
> versions, then it was dropped. Lots of projects but also lots of plain
> talk about setting up a station, operating, etc. Such a "junior
> Handbook" is needed now more than ever.

Try the following:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/frsteps.html
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/antbegin.html
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/antproj.html
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/propagation.html
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rigbuy.html
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/homebrew.html
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/srvceqpt.html
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfigen.html
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/labnotes.html
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/science.html

and of course, lots of other stuff at http://www.arrl.org/tis

When you look at the volume of stuff, you will probably agree that ARRL is quite committed to helping new hams get on the air and active, and the price is right. As to actual publications, there are:

Now You're Talking -- this study guide actually contains a lot of info designed to serve as a ham's first tutorial publication about setting up and using a station. Catalog #7970, http://www.arrl.org/catalog/lm/

Ditto on the other license manuals

Others. (See the catalog at http://www.arrl.org/catalog)
Ham Radio Made Easy
The Best of New Ham Companion
Understanding Basic Electronics
Ride the Airwaves with ALFA & ZULU (more oriented towards children)

> In similar fashion, the fifty cent "Learning The Radiotelegraph Code"
booklet needs a new version
> that doesn't cost too much.

Code is best learned aurally. See Your Introduction to Morse Code cassettes and CD.

> Of course the N0HFF book on the MEGS site is excellent, and it's FREE for
the
> downloading. Maybe the ARRL could publish it?

Or link to it.

> ARRL Website: Some good info, but the list of Morse links is small and
> many of the best ones are notably absent or buried. Take a look at the
> Morse links at

> http://www.callingcq.org

> for some ideas. My favorites are the MEGS site (Morse Enthusiasts Group
> of Scotland), the AH0A site (for Morse University downloads), Dave
> Finley's (N1IRZ) explanation of the Koch/Farnsworth method, and of
> course the FISTS site. Did I find any of them from the ARRL website?
> Nope.

> A link only costs the work to put it on the site, doesn't it?

Agreed, and I will ask Al to do what can be done. Of late, too many tasks and too little Al. :-)

> - Things the BOD can do: It's interesting that the BOD changed the ARRL
> policy on S25.5 so quickly and so quietly, but that's a done deal.

The Board published their intent to consider S25.5 on the ARRL Web, in QST, in the ARRL Letter and in a W1AW bulletin. In what way was it done "quietly?"

<snippage> Some of your other suggestions have been sent to your Division Director, Bernie Fuller.

> Learning and using Morse is neither difficult nor time consuming for
> most people IF the right methods are used. But a new or prospective ham
> looking at the ARRL website or QST will have a hard time getting info
> on how to go about it, even though such information is readily
> available if you know where to look.

With the mandate from the Board to HQ staff to promote Morse code use on the bands, the ARRL Web site is a natural place to begin. I can do some of that on the Tech pages, not because that is the best place for the info, but because it the area for which I am King. (Ah, it is Good to be King!)

> And that's just my short list.

How about the long one? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -9029777393744084580
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:58:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
```

From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:48:42 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A8481DA.D8DD9F93@IEEE.org>
References: <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156> <20010208185423.21807.00000073@ng-cr1.aol.com> <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113> <3A843E8B.3F22D6C4@IEEE.org> <961tnb$g11$1@208.206.142.81>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Feb 2001 23:58:25 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15321

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > The great majority of hams are stuck in the 60s, Dick. We are already
> > stagnating 40 years behind the state of the art in RF communications.
>
> That is pure unadulturated bullcrap.

Nope, it's exactly what is wrong with ham radio today. I remember when
many hams were at the leading edge of communications technology. We
are still at that same edge but now it's the trailing edge.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5005989711841491533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:59:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 23:59:15 GMT
References: <3A83F1BF.564DE8C0@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010209185915.17176.00001515@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15322

In article <3A83F1BF.564DE8C0@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>Do you have anything interesting for the group or can we expect more
>number crunching ala Len?

Both. You will try to find fault with both, but that is expected.

Feel free to keep on thinking you and only you Know What
Is Best. :-)

didit

```
From 7915111807542636148
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:59:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 23:59:15 GMT
References: <961c67$bhc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010209185915.17176.00001514@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15323
```

In article <961c67$bhc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
writes:

>In article <3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net>,
> Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>
>I'd
>> describe your perception of amateur radio reality with a term from
>> ionospheric propagation: skewed path.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Likewise, you seem to hang with what I consider some of the wrong
>elements in amateur radio. You follow the ground wave.

I disagree. Subsurface.   :-)

didit

```
From -6923982407405477720
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:59:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 23:59:19 GMT
References: <9600ev$8dl$3@208.207.71.143>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010209185919.17176.00001520@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15324
```

In article <9600ev$8dl$3@208.207.71.143>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>The prisoner tap code was never a radiocommunications mode, and was not
>designed to be. Military men who needed to communicate over radio knew
>enough to use Morse.

When did military men know that, Dickie? When I was in the US
Army and stationed at ADA in Tokyo from 1953 to 1956, ADA had
36 transmitters and not one of them was operating using OOK
CW morse. The only ones who knew Morse were too old to serve
then...

didit

```
From 7153761274397882481
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:59:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 23:59:17 GMT
References: <960ln202tpc@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
```

```
Message-ID: <20010209185917.17176.00001517@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15325

In article <960ln202tpc@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
Bryant) writes:

>> It's all he has ever done and is the reason most here just ignore him.
>>He had pretty well dried up for lact of attention when someone engaged
>>him in a drawnout flamefest, and he was rejuvenated.He is not interested
>>in ham radio in the least, other than to slam and criticize it and all
>>who are in it. He lives only to disturb and disrupt and like all such
>>idiots, when he gets no attention he goes away.
>
>I think that if Len wanted to be inflamatory, he would have done a lot more
>than complain about playing word games.

Dickie is doing the Ostrich Thing again.  By putting my screen name
in his killfile he can't see any postings, therefore the unseen appears
to "go away."   :-)

didit



From 5433306957975748550
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:59:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 23:59:18 GMT
References: <960odo$or8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010209185918.17176.00001518@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15326

In article <960odo$or8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010208214540.02997.00002007@nso-mg.aol.com>,
>  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> What are you saying?  That code-tested licensees are opting
>> to change over to Technician class from a code-tested previous
>> class not a Technician-Plus?
```

>
>   No...simply YOU try to discount the "Techs" who upgrade. Yes,
>most "new" licensees are Techs. But a LARGE number don't stay there.

Please provide reference for the above "fact" that a "large number
don't stay there."

>   You ignore that.

I ignore Urban Myths, falsehoods, folk sayings, etc., in trying to
analyze public data offered by the only US agency that licenses
US radio amateurs.

>> Ah, still doing e-mail from work, ey?
>
>   From wherever I do it, it doesn't take much to make a fool out of
>you, Lennie.

You've done nothing of the kind, only shot off your keyboard again.

Try to concentrate on the facts of what is happening to others, by
others, especially concerning newcomers to US amateur radio.

didit

From -1046928700259699242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:59:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 23:59:11 GMT
References: <3A844472.F298A51E@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010209185911.17176.00001512@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15328

In article <3A844472.F298A51E@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Brian wrote:
>> Len brings up the fact that the numbers of coded hams are decreasing and
>> the numbers of non-coded hams are increasing (the "point" of the

>> discussion). He uses a balance scale term.
>
>He use a balance scale analogy to indicate the opposite of the facts he
>cites.

On the contrary, I used NUMBERS of licensees based on FCC
databases. Those were the FACTS, facts available from the
only US agency granting amateur radio licenses.

A METAPHOR was used to indicate the TREND happening.

Kelley made a Big Thing out of the metaphor at first, didn't
say anything about the numbers or the trend.

>> You cannot comment on the
>> facts of the ham population, so instead dissect balance scale
>> engineering, and thus you claim Len's statements false.
>
>I DID not comment on that because no comment was necessary. I have
>nothing to say on the issue other than, great! More new hams.

Kelley didn't say that at first. Nice job of backtracking and
trying to CYA.

>> Ergo coded ham
>> populations are increasing. Nice fantasy.
>
>Yours, not mine.

Kelley only wants legally licensed Metaphors.   :-)

didit

From -5004586447404674390
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 15:59:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 23:59:18 GMT
References: <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010209185918.17176.00001519@nso-ba.aol.com>

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15327

In article <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
writes:

>> I think you're over your head Brian. Best let it drop. The subject -
>> not your head.
>
>Yeh, you keep saying that. So let us review.
>
>Len brings up the fact that the numbers of coded hams are decreasing and
>the numbers of non-coded hams are increasing (the "point" of the
>discussion). He uses a balance scale term. You cannot comment on the
>facts of the ham population, so instead dissect balance scale
>engineering, and thus you claim Len's statements false. Ergo coded ham
>populations are increasing. Nice fantasy.
>
>Am I still in over my head?

According to Jim and Dickie you would be classified such. :-)

Denial is a powerful emotional survival skill. When threatened
in any form, especially about an avocational theological
concept, the denial syndrome makes them slightly crazy and
they refuse to admit it.

Part of the denial problem is a strong overlay of ego. If They do
something then "everyone else does it" or should. They climb up
on a pedestal and proclaim They are a role-model for otheres to
emulate. That's denial on afterburner.

didit

From 8295912605784256284
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 16:00:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 78
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 23:59:16 GMT
References: <960ov5$p9a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010209185916.17176.00001516@nso-ba.aol.com>

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15329

In article <960ov5$p9a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010208223637.14870.00001887@nso-fr.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> The "taunt" seems to be 31,971 NEW no-code-test Technicians
>> who came into the US ARS in the 27 months from the
>> beginning of January 1998 and end of March 2000...while only
>> 6009 NEW code-tested US ARS licensees came in during the
>> same time.
>
>   And again you try to make it appear that ONLY the "new" Technician
>licenses are making an impact. You fail to account for those who
>transition. You only refer to "new" code tested licensees. NOT those
>who are ALREADY licensed and upgrade.

My balls aren't made of crystal, therefore I can't know who many
are "in transition."   [does operating mobile count? :-)]

Poor baby, really struggling for a slam there? Did I deliberately and
maliciously, with callous disregard of sensitive USAMCC feelings,
"make it appear that ONLY "new" Technicians are making an impact?"

You MUST say so, Steebie, because all you have left any more is
insult, belittlement, denigration, etc., etc.

All I did was post a comparison between the code-tested licensees
and the non-code-tested licensees in the US ARS.

If you feel that only the code-tested are "real hams" then you MUST
take the comparison as an insult.

>> Is it "belittling" that over 200 thousand no-code-test Techs are
>> now in the US ARS?
>
>   Only to you. Must chap your chops knowing there's all those teen
>and pre-teen ops out there able to do what you CAN'T do after your
>years aas a technician

See, there you go again, being uncivil, rude, insulting...sort of an
extra grade asshole.   :-)

Steebie, I've been an ENGINEER doing electronics-radio design work
legally, titularly, and steadily employed as an ENGINEER beginning
in 1964. A whole host of federal agencies, several state agencies
all believed I was an ENGINEER. Fun jobs, all of them, paid fairly
well, too. Interesting work, some of it downright intriguing.

US amateur radio is not about being an engineer or not being an

engineer...it isn't even about employment except that no licensee
can use that license to gain income from it. Why do you keep
insisting that I am a "technician?"

Note: Engineers are not required to learn morse code for
engineering skills, diplomas, or titles.

>> Is it "insulting" that such facts are pointed out to those who
>> have been code-tested?
>
>   Only when YOU presume us to be ignorant enough to accept your poor
>math as "fact".

Where did I make "errors" on math? Did you read N2EY's "analysis?"
His math was the same as mine concerning what I originally stated.

>   They are only those things in YOUR mind, Lennie. It's all you
>have left to believe that you are on some noble crusade.

Gosh, thanks, Sancho Panza! Now get on your ass and follow
your Don to the next windmill.

didit

PS: Good going, Steebie, you got in early to work to post e-mail
at 7:48 AM.

From -5388162623058088l6
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 16:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newspeer1.nac.net!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 253
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Feb 2001 23:59:14 GMT
References: <961d5l$cii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010209185914.17176.00001513@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15330

In article <961d5l$cii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, n2ey@aol.com writes:

>> That is an ESTIMATE.
>

>Yes, it is. It's also true - the FCC renewed 4,870 Technician and Technician Plus licenses in that time period, and reclassified the Tech Pluses as Technicians. The holders of the licenses - the licensees - are responsible for maintaining proof that they have been code tested if they want to retain their HF privileges.
>
>This stuff is all explained on the Speroni site. Your failure to include it in your analysis is a serious error that reduces your credibility.
>
>> By using personal estimates based only on "gut feeling" or something, you are yourself in ERROR.
>
>My estimate is not based on "gut feeling". It is based on a thorough knowledge of the information, the applicable regulations and basic math as applied to statistics. It's a fair estimate.
>
>Your analysis did not include the fact that the FCC is renewing Tech Plus licenses as Technicians, and that by doing so, one cannot equate "Technician license" with "non-code-tested licensee". By improperly putting code-tested Technicians in the non-code-tested category, you overstate their number and understate the number of code tested licensees.

I didn't mention at all the renewals of Tech-Plus to Tech because the exact number of such renewals weren't listed except by inference on the Speroni tabulation of Renewed licenses.

I did as stated, taking the overall monthly numbers of licensees in each class, separating code-tested from non-code-tested..

I could have gone into an extensive "analysis" by including numbers of Expirations of licenses (also tabulated) and doing all sorts of second-guessing on the "why" of such. I did not.

The FCC renewal shift of Tech-Plus to Tech would put such Tech-Plus licensees in the CODE-TESTED group, would it not? The old Technician-Plus class required a code test for a license. In order to separate code-tested from non-code-tested, I made an additional posting regarding the 27 months between the start of 1998 and the end of March 2000. That additional listing excluded any "renewal" shifts from Tech-Plus to Tech. The results are still the same -

The total number of code-tested US ARS licensees is decreasing.
The number of non-code-tested US ARS licensees is increasing.
It has been that way for over three years..

<snip>

>End result is that your numbers are way off.

No. I am not ASSUMING anything about "renewals" or expirations

or anything else, just showing the totals of code-tested versus
non-code-tested over the last two-plus years plus the number of
NEW licensees, code-tested versus non-code-tested.

If you start assuming some condition, your "analysis" changes
to mere SPECULATION. That is no longer any valid analysis.

>How do you know? What is faulty in my estimate? I clearly
>said "SUPPOSE" - that makes it hypothetical.

Speculation is still speculation. Such is not analysis.

>> Note the
>> tabulation of NEW licensees which increased at 1184 per
>> month for the 27 months between beginning of January 1998
>> and end of March 2000 for Technician.
>
>The actual numbers jump all over the place from month to month. And the
>tabulation is of new licenses, not new licensees.

An average per-month value based on 27 months totals, divided
by 27. In the 27 months there were 31,971 new non-code-tested
licenses granted and 6,009 new code-tested licenses granted.
If you are really picky about smoothing methods, feel free to
pick out any period you want...but quit trying to say "everything
is faulty." :-)

>The number of new Technician licenses proves one thing: Most people
>starting out in ham radio do so by getting a Technician license.

How do you KNOW that? All I see is the number of licenses granted
for particular classes. Aren't there any "Extra-out-of-the-box"
licensees? Aren't there any "General-out-of-the-box" licensees?

>The
>data does not tell how many of those new licensees later upgrade,
>because upgrades are lumped into "modifications".

None of the publicly-available FCC databases predicts the future.

Can you predict the future? I can't. I don't have crystal balls.

>> but the trend is for
>> NEW Tech licensees still growing after January 2001.
>
>That's an ESTIMATE on your part. Nobody knows what the renewal rate
>will be like until they actually start to expire.

Sure, trends are ESTIMATEs. :-)   One plots past data and gets a
"curve" which may be a sort-of-straight line or something that is

curved. That estimate may be continued into the NEAR future for
a few months with some element of certainty.

It is better than picking fly droppings out of pepper to get the
number of Tech-Plusses renewed as Techs, the number of
Advanceds that were dropped to a lower grade for any reason,
or any number of "modifications." You can go to great lengths
to attempt "proving" something in the multi-tiered US amateur
licensing system. The only way you can REALLY "prove" your
numbers is to download the FCC databases yourself and make
your own search program to find EXACTLY what you are looking
for to show those #$%&!!! no-coders they are "all wrong." :-)

>That's simply not correct. I don't "wish" for a 5% dropout rate -
>I "wish" it would be 0%. The 5% is just a highly-educated guess, and
>identified as such.

Ah, "highly eductated!" Presumably there is some certificate or
diploma attesting to such ability? I'd still call it a plain GUESS
and lump it under speculation.

>By ignoring the fact that no Technician licenses have expired yet, your
>analysis is even more faulty. My estimate is closer to the facts than
>yours because it includes relevant corrections. My method is simply
>more accurate.

It is "more accurate" from the pro-coder's viewpoint. It is "more
accurate" because you said so. :-)

>It was identified as a "suppose". You ignored the whole expiration
>thing completely. My analysis is more correct because the relevant
>factors are included, and estimates identified as such.

It is "more accurate" from the pro-coder's viewpoint. It is "more
accurate" because you said so. :-)

>You have no idea how many will expire and how many will renew, either.

Absolutely true...and I NEVER PRESUMED TO KNOW THE
FUTURE. All I stated was a Trend based on PAST data.

>My estimates are accurate within the limits stated. My analysis is more
>accurate than yours. Much more accurate.

It is "more accurate" from the pro-coder's viewpoint. It is "more
accurate" because you said so. :-)

[doing good in the "nyah-nyah" department...:-)]

>The trend is that most new hams start out with Technician licenses.
>That's been the trend for over a decade. Some upgrade, some don't.
>Describing all Technicians as "non-code-tested licensees" is simply not
>correct or accurate.

The US Technician class amateur radio license test did NOT include a morse code test element...ergo, the Technician class license can be considered "non-code-tested." That is what I said.

>I'm not denying any trends. I'm just stating the facts and pointing out
>your errors. Which of my facts is not true?

Some of your "facts" were simply SPECULATIONS. One even had the imprimateur of "highly educated." Speculations aren't true or untrue, but they sure as hell aren't "facts."

>10 months of data are available, so why not include them? The longer
>the baseline, the more accurate the extrapolation or interpolation.

Oh, geez louise. Jim, if you want to Show The World The Errors Of The Uncoded, go do your own arithmetic and post the results.

>Basing conclusions on the 10 months of data following the restructuring
>is, by definition, more accurate and correct than basing them on the 9
>months of data following the restructuring.

No sh*t? Is that from the "highly educated" school or something?

>> What about the two years PRIOR to restructuring?
>
>Different ballgame. Different license structure, different test
>requirements, different dynamics. And the FCC was renewing Tech Pluses
>as Tech Pluses back then.

No sh*t? In the period before restructuring too place, was there an increase in the code-tested license numbers and a decrease in the non-code-tested license numbers ???

>Which tells us that most new hams start out with the Technician
>license - as I have written many times. Upgrades are not shown as new
>licenses in the Speroni data. Upgrades are not shown separately in the
>Speroni data, either.

I don't think downgrades are shown either...but they exist in the FCC database files. As I have suggested before, go download your own database files and do searching for whatever data you want to "prove" something. They are ASCII files and searchable with a text-based search program.

>And the restructuring DID have an effect. Once the NPRM was announced,
>hams and prospective hams who kept up with the news knew that changes
>were probably coming. Some of them would act accordingly, perhaps
>delaying upgrading until the new license structure was known. After the
>late December 1999 R&O, those thinking about getting licenses or
>upgrading knew what was on the way and acted accordingly. The exact
>effects can only be guessed at, but there WERE effects.
>
>What do you think the above data says in terms of trends, beyond the
>fact that most new hams today start out as Technicians?

The above "data" paragraph is your own reporter-voice description.   :-)

Not all new hams start out as Technicians. In the 27 months
between start of 1998 and end of March 2000 there were
1900 New Novice class licenses granted, 3,504 New Tech-Plus
licenses granted, 459 New Generals, 78 New Advanced, and 68
New Extra. Yes, Jim, "MOST" new hams probably DO start out
as Technician class licensees (they aren't all job technicians,
some may be engineers, housewives, plumbers, etc.).   :-)

>"And it is to be expected that the easiest-to-get US amateur radio
>license will have large numbers of licensees."
>
>That's better. I apologize for being vague in that statement the first
>time.

Are you absolutely SURE of the first sentence above? After all,
the FCC is renewing Tech-Plusses who don't re-test on renewal
as Technicians, and "many" of the existing Techs will drop out,
and aliens might invade the earth to be repulsed by morse code.
Or at least repulsed by long-time morsemen who will fight
change (of any kind) to a moral, mortal end.   :-)

didit

From 5560378733041661649
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 16:05:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:55:14 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises

```
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A848362.DDEB8CF@IEEE.org>
References: <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146> <20010208193422.21807.00000091@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9600bj$8dl$2@208.207.71.143> <3A83F50D.BBD4D793@IEEE.org>
<961cla$1mf$1@208.206.142.113> <3A843A6C.E203CA20@IEEE.org>
<961tk2$g11$0@208.206.142.81>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Feb 2001 00:05:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15331

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > DSP is to ham radio now as SSB was to ham radio in the early 50s.
>
>  That shows how little you really know.

Dick, it's the truth. A/Ds and processors are getting faster every year
and every year digital electronics takes over more and more of the
functions from analog by doing the job cheaper and better. Soon, everything
this side of the mixer will be DSP functions. The Kachina radio was just
the first of many.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 4846036993496364125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 16:25:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A848A70.441BBC83@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
References: <3A835106.1E1EFC66@uci.edu> <20010209072518.16867.00000162@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <3A84554F.D0CEF1C5@uci.edu> <63_g6.153$HH5.964670@news.ntplx.net>
<3A847791.7E3C983D@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 19:25:20 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981764728 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 19:25:28 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 19:25:28 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15332

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> > My personal view is that Amateur testing should contain about
> > 5 minutes of transmitted code and the applicant should be asked a reasonable
> > number of questions (perhaps 2 or 3 in the General exam, as an example)
> > about the content of what was sent.  This should be part of the overall exam
> > score.  This would test Morse code the same way that all other aspects of
> > Amateur Radio knowledge is tested.
>
> Oh.  For some reason I thought you were making a case for looking it up
> rather than committing it to memory.  Don't know how I got that idea.

I know how you got that idea. Ed said the Nokia engineer who programmed
the cell phone that beeps Morse could have just looked up the Morse, and
you took that to mean Ed thinks that amateur Morse testing should be via
look-up because, um.... well, because, er... I guess I *don't* know how
you got that idea!

Jon



From -8274053511777390190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 16:54:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <tt398t0afju2ju2i0u965onh5uo4bhqlh5@4ax.com>
References: <qo578t45lkqjh1ggiqp312u0nvlumqsrqr@4ax.com>
<20010209064005.02997.00002027@nso-mg.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 59
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 00:54:18 GMT
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981766458 209.86.206.130 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 16:54:18 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 16:54:18 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15333

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>[What were the reasons that ham growth stopped dead in 1962?]

>SWLs could no longer easily listen in on ham QSOs, as they did in the AM days.

In 1959 as I recall it was still about half SSB, half AM. I don't
think AM was anywhere near gone by 1962. Further, most of the SW
receivers of the day had a BFO (even the cheapie $29.95 Heathkit AR-3)
which made SSB copy possible. I think that any SWL worth his salt
could still listen to hams...

>The cost and complexity of an SSB setup also had a negative effect
>on prospective hams by making it more expensive to get started on 'phone.

I think it was 1962 or 1963 when I bought my first SSB rig, a Heathkit
HW-22 40 meter monoband transceiver. The price was around $112 bucks
(I think - check your QST collection), in any case it was cheaper than
most of the AM stuff at the time...

>In 1963 the distance requirement was increased from 75 miles to 175 miles,

I could see this causing a 10% drop, but a "stop dead" drop??

>To add insult to injury, the FCC started charging fees of $4 to take the exam

Why would a small fee stop a prospective ham who likely will spend ten
times that amount on his radios...

>- CB: 27 MHz CB had been introduced a few years earlier, but by 1963 the number
>of CBers was greater than the number of hams, and growing fast. The equipment
>was inexpensive, the antennas small and simple, and there was no test at all.

This is certainly a possible reason. Most of the fun of ham radio
without the test. Food for thought: Did CB do to ham radio in the 60s
what the Internet is doing to ham radio today...

>- Cultural changes: ... Very few hams of '60s looked like
>John Lennon or even Austin Powers.

You think that incentive licensing increased the numbers by making
hams look better??   :-)

>TV watching increased all through the '50s and '60s.

How does that explain the sudden stop in '62?

>- Economic conditions: For some reason, ham radio tends to grow more during
>periods of bad economic conditions than during good ones,

Were we in a recession in 1962? I forget...

>Waddya think?

Interesting thoughts Jim. It doesn't seem logical to me for most of these things to account for zero growth, except maybe the CB thing. But I sure don't have any other ideas. In fact I wasn't even aware of the 1962 growth cessation. Thanks for the insight, it was an interesting read...

```
From -3520120009152412263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 16:54:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <7t398t4g2iseuq39pgfg02mlsmfv9rbm3s@4ax.com>
References: <60678tgm3t0mpt9pss6ce7iep1tqi07u9m@4ax.com>
<20010209064829.16888.00000163@ng-mj1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 00:54:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981766460 209.86.206.130 (Fri, 09 Feb
2001 16:54:20 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 16:54:20 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15334
```

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>I don't agree that the technology is so advanced that today's intelligent hams
>cannot learn the fundamentals of how it works.

I don't think I said that today's hams can't learn the fundamentals. And of course just knowing the fundamentals does not a technical ham make. In the 50s it would be unthinkable for most hams to send a rig back to the factory to be fixed. Today it is common place...

```
From -8240449714653365001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 17:16:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <pj598t091cdq9m0cgear25gs48mq4cjpo5@4ax.com>
References: <95vvj3$8dl$0@208.207.71.143> <20010209071222.16867.00000158@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <961b7m$ruf$0@208.206.142.113> <2w_g6.156$HH5.966008@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 01:16:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.75
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981767806 209.86.207.75 (Fri, 09 Feb
2001 17:16:46 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:16:46 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15335

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>I don't think I will ever see a horse-riding test
>included with my driver's license.  I think that society believes that if
>there is a need for me to ride a horse, I will get up on the fool thing
>somehow and pretty soon be a pretty good horse rider.

 If there is truly no need for a fast horse riding test, say 13 mph,
included with your drivers license, why would there be a need for a
slow horse riding test, say 5 mph...   :-)


From 6844023666257333330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 17:16:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <cj598tcjp585ej713c7ptnmpr6gjdk7qtt@4ax.com>
References: <95vrgo$r9j$0@208.207.71.143> <20010209064528.16888.00000161@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <961dim$499$0@208.206.142.113> <rz_g6.157$HH5.966185@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 01:16:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.75
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981767807 209.86.207.75 (Fri, 09 Feb
2001 17:16:47 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 17:16:47 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15336

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>I think we have about beat this one to death, and I can't think of new ways
>to say the same things again and again.  At least for now, the last word is
>yours.

Oh oh, more marbles are leaving the table and going home...  :-)


From -8897259130260627565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 17:25:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "h" <not@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 20:28:02 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t89648o0tkkp61@corp.supernews.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
<3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
<eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
<Zgpg6.38414$49.6855308@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
```

<t85a5d7n8a81f2@corp.supernews.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 14
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9254
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12258 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15337 rec.radio.cb:25815
rec.radio.scanner:13571

Gee sounds like our founding fathers. Guess freedom isn't good unless
sanctioned by third world dictatorships

--
Sincerely,
Ken
pwrguy@intergrafix.net
> Freebanders are generally unexperienced in electronics and not sanctioned
by
> any country in the world.
> Rick

From 6716332821544799180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 17:28:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "h" <not@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 20:30:38 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t896923k2b7a9f@corp.supernews.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
<3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
<eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net>

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 51
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9255
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12259 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15338 rec.radio.cb:25816
rec.radio.scanner:13572

Lucky for me I grew up in a country where BRAVE MEN decided to break some
laws in the year 1776!
And they didn't turn them selves in to some king.

--
Sincerely,
Ken
pwrguy@intergrafix.net
"John" <w9jcm@.reno.quik.com> wrote in message
news:eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net...
> guess what freebander! your breaking the law!!! OH but youre so mature and
> can express yourself. Why not express yourself to the FCC office and tell
> them what and where you operate.
> "h" <not@aol.com> wrote in message
news:t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com...
> > It's true look at your own foul and filthy mouth!
> > Ham's always use foul language and filthy talk on the radio just like
they
> > do on this newsgroup. Freebanders know better. Freebanders are mature
and
> > can express themselves without spewing forth filthy language.
> >
> > --
> > Sincerely,
> > Ken
> > pwrguy@intergrafix.net
> > <TR@sky.net> wrote in message news:3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net...
> > > On Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:01:46 -0500, "h" <not@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >If he had a license he is a HAM not a CB'er
> > > >Frankly Freebanders are like the Hams of old. If you look at the FCC
> > > >enforcement logs The Hams are out of control and need enforcement
while
> > > >Freebanders are mature and working to improver thier knowledge, save
> > lives,
> > > >promote freedom and democracy, and gernerally aid and improve the
> > generneral
> > > >welfare or thier comunities.
> > > >
> > > >--
> > > >Sincerely,
> > > >Ken
> > >

```
> > >  Total BULLSHIT. You know and I know it.
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
```

```
From -5514194994185494882
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 17:39:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 127
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 01:38:32 GMT
References: <tt398t0afju2ju2i0u965onh5uo4bhqlh5@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010209203832.29092.00000189@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15339
```

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>Date: 2/9/01 7:54 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <tt398t0afju2ju2i0u965onh5uo4bhqlh5@4ax.com>
>
>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>[What were the reasons that ham growth stopped dead in 1962?]
>
>>SWLs could no longer easily listen in on ham QSOs, as they did in the AM
>days.
>
>In 1959 as I recall it was still about half SSB, half AM. I don't
>think AM was anywhere near gone by 1962.

There was still some AM in 65-66, when I started listening. But there was far more SSB.

> Further, most of the SW
>receivers of the day had a BFO (even the cheapie $29.95 Heathkit AR-3)
>which made SSB copy possible.

IF you knew how to work it. Many SWLs did not.

>I think that any SWL worth his salt

>could still listen to hams...

IF their receivers had BFOs and IF they knew how to work them. And if the cheap
SWL rx stayed on frequency long enough to copy anything. Point is, it was not
nearly so easy to listen to hams on SSB with the SWL sets of the time as it was
to listen to hams using AM. That's just as true today.
>
>>The cost and complexity of an SSB setup also had a negative effect
>>on prospective hams by making it more expensive to get started on 'phone.
>
>I think it was 1962 or 1963 when I bought my first SSB rig, a Heathkit
>HW-22 40 meter monoband transceiver. The price was around $112 bucks
>(I think - check your QST collection), in any case it was cheaper than
>most of the AM stuff at the time...

It was $112 bucks or so for the rig itself, then another $30-40 for the power
supply. And what you got was an SSB-only monoband rig that needed a 1:1 SWR (or
close to it) to work.

On the other hand, a Novice could add a modulator to his MOPA CW rig and go on
AM easily and cheaply. Some folks used cathode or screen modulation, which
could be added for almost nothing. Sure, the efficiency was awful, but it got
ya started.
>
>>In 1963 the distance requirement was increased from 75 miles to 175 miles,
>
>I could see this causing a 10% drop, but a "stop dead" drop??

Not this reason alone, of course, but it made a dent. None of these reasons
alone caused the stop, but each one made a dent. The sun of all of the dents
added up to no growth.
>
>>To add insult to injury, the FCC started charging fees of $4 to take the
>exam
>
>Why would a small fee stop a prospective ham who likely will spend ten
>times that amount on his radios...

$4 was not a small fee in 1963. Many newcomers were teenagers, and the minimum
wage was maybe $1. So we're talking 4-5 hours minimum wage to take the test,
pass or fail. What's 4-5 hours of minimum wage today? More than $20.

Again, it made a dent. Add it to the 175 mile trip, and it gets costly fast.
>
>>- CB: 27 MHz CB had been introduced a few years earlier, but by 1963 the
>number
>>of CBers was greater than the number of hams, and growing fast. The
>equipment
>>was inexpensive, the antennas small and simple, and there was no test at
>all.
>
>This is certainly a possible reason. Most of the fun of ham radio
>without the test. Food for thought: Did CB do to ham radio in the 60s

>what the Internet is doing to ham radio today...

Of course it did. The cheap walkie talkies kids got for Xmas helped feed the frenzy, too. Many kids I knew back then talked their parents into getting CB setups, which they then used. For less than $150 you could have a complete 23 channel CB setup, with antenna, coax, the works. No license, no tests, no nothing. And you could blame the TVI on the ham down the street.
>
>>- Cultural changes: ... Very few hams of '60s looked like
>>John Lennon or even Austin Powers.
>
>You think that incentive licensing increased the numbers by making
>hams look better??   :-)

Not exactly ;-) But how many hams do you think went to Woodstock?
>
>>TV watching increased all through the '50s and '60s.
>
>How does that explain the sudden stop in '62?

It made a dent. And the teenagers of 1962 had been born in the postwar years and grown up with TV.
>
>>- Economic conditions: For some reason, ham radio tends to grow more during
>>periods of bad economic conditions than during good ones,
>
>Were we in a recession in 1962? I forget...

Just the opposite. The '60s were boom times. The recession and inflation came later, as the deficit spending of the Johnson and Nixon administrations caught up with us. Then the oil embargoes hit...
>
>>Waddya think?
>
>Interesting thoughts Jim. It doesn't seem logical to me for most of
>these things to account for zero growth, except maybe the CB thing.

Each one had an impact. If you have five items and four of them take 5% and one takes 25% of the growth, there goes 45% of your newcomers.

>But I sure don't have any other ideas. In fact I wasn't even aware of
>the 1962 growth cessation. Thanks for the insight, it was an
>interesting read...

You're welcome. Some folks moaned back then that "ham radio was dying" because there were only 250,000 hams and they were all getting older. And the new gear was too complex, the tests were too hard, the ARRL was ruining everything, etc., etc. Sound familiar?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1293628564942642405

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 17:55:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 01:54:31 GMT
References: <961ncn$mdn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010209205431.29092.00000191@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15340

>Subject: Re: Who Is What?
>From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ k4yz@my-deja.com
>Date: 2/9/01 4:28 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <961ncn$mdn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>
>In article <961d5l$cii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>  n2ey@aol.com wrote:
>
>> Your analysis did not include the fact that the FCC is renewing Tech
>> Plus licenses as Technicians, and that by doing so, one cannot
>> equate "Technician license" with "non-code-tested licensee". By
>> improperly putting code-tested Technicians in the non-code-tested
>> category, you overstate their number and understate the number of code
>> tested licensees.
>
>     You would expect this creep to admit an error?

I'm just pointing out the errors. Name calling won't make my analysis any
stronger.
>
>> The error is not a small one. 4,700 of 13,000+ is more than a third.
>
>     Of course if WE made this error, we KNOW what would be coming out
>of Soutern Californication, don't you?

I don't know. I'm just pointing out the errors.

73 de Jim, N2EY




From -9076606368095615529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 18:02:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <qo578t45lkqjh1ggiqp312u0nvlumqsrqr@4ax.com>
<20010209064005.02997.00002027@nso-mg.aol.com>
<tt398t0afju2ju2i0u965onh5uo4bhqlh5@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 50
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <ej1h6.161$HH5.977545@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 20:56:54 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981770570 209.140.206.200 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 21:02:50 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 21:02:50 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15341

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> In 1959 as I recall it was still about half SSB, half AM. I don't
> think AM was anywhere near gone by 1962.

It was not. Even by 1968, AM was far from dead in the Amateur Radio Service.

> Further, most of the SW receivers of the day had a BFO (even the cheapie
$29.95 Heathkit AR-3)
> which made SSB copy possible. I think that any SWL worth his salt could
still listen to hams...

My SWLing was with an old Zenith Transoceanic receiver. I don't recall it having a BFO.

> I think it was 1962 or 1963 when I bought my first SSB rig, a Heathkit
> HW-22 40 meter monoband transceiver. The price was around $112 bucks
> (I think - check your QST collection), in any case it was cheaper than
> most of the AM stuff at the time...

I bought my used DX-40 for about $35.00, and later a used DX-100 for $75.00.
The DX-100 modulator made a great guitar amp. :-)

> Why would a small fee stop a prospective ham who likely will spend ten
> times that amount on his radios...

Most commercial HF transceivers today cost about $1000, in round numbers.
Lets assume the average ham spends another $1000 on the rest of his or her

station. Would a "small fee" of $100 today, to take the conservative number, based on your 10%, stop a number of people from becoming hams?

> This is certainly a possible reason. Most of the fun of ham radio
> without the test. Food for thought: Did CB do to ham radio in the 60s
> what the Internet is doing to ham radio today...

What percentage of those CBers went on to become hams? I did.

> >TV watching increased all through the '50s and '60s.

> How does that explain the sudden stop in '62?

And TV watching increased through the 70s and 80s, too, a time of steady growth in amateur radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 3456888335133717876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 18:15:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "RiK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 20:16:47 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t89927a2jfb90a@corp.supernews.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
<3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
<eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
<Zgpg6.38414$49.6855308@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<t85a5d7n8a81f2@corp.supernews.com> <t89648o0tkkp61@corp.supernews.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 23
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9257
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12260 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15342 rec.radio.cb:25819
rec.radio.scanner:13574

Too lazy to study theory and the code , ehhhhhhhhhhh freebander!
Rik

h <not@aol.com> wrote in message news:t89648o0tkkp61@corp.supernews.com...
> Gee sounds like our founding fathers. Guess freedom isn't good unless
> sanctioned by third world dictatorships
>
> --
> Sincerely,
> Ken
> pwrguy@intergrafix.net
> > Freebanders are generally unexperienced in electronics and not
sanctioned
> by
> > any country in the world.
> > Rick
>
>
>

From -670147185328809551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 18:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
skynet.be!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 02:08:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <9627rl$4g6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <20010208132713.09475.00001784@nso-
fj.aol.com> <3A83F380.E8041619@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.45
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 10 02:08:58 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)

X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.45
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15343

In article <3A83F380.E8041619@ovis.net>,
  Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley
<jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
> >
> > >Brian wrote:
> > >> I knew what Len meant. What was your excuse again?
> > >
> > >My excuse for what? Len was trying to make a mountain out of a
mole
> > >hill - as usual.
> > >
> > >ac6xg
> >
> > You were looking for an excuse...and nit-picked a single phrase
> > for a "critique" that was not on the subject.
> >
> > Apparently you don't want to believe that code-tested US radio
> > amateurs are decreasing in number while non-code-tested
> > US radio amateurs are increasing in number. That trend has
> > been going on for years.
> >
> > The "molehill" is getting bigger, bigger, bigger. The "mountain"
> > is eroding faster and faster. Closing one's eyes or mind won't
> > reverse any trend.
> >
> > didit
>
> You, of course assume that non-code tested licensees will never
upgrade.
> What is this rate of change supposed to mean to us, Len? Why all this
> "mountain eroding" and "scales tipping" guff? It would appear to be
> something which is natural given the current licensing structure and
it
> certainly doesn't worry me. Why should it worry you, a non-licensee?
> I don't think those scales will tip in your lifetime. Would you like
> one of us to give you a report in the afterlife? Please provide a
> forwarding address.
>
> Dave K8MN

Certainly the scales will tip. It will happen in your lifetime. If I
go before you, I can be reached at: brianburke@heaven.ngo

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3698509813848938486
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e496,dc2cf3c9fafc94d6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e496,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 18:27:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "RiK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com>
Newsgroups:
alt.discrimination,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Fri, 9 Feb 2001 20:28:16 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t899nocdh29r4b@corp.supernews.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95qgub0cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<i8bg6.2406$2g.174374@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6A83BE0.C07%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3A831B50.E4EB68FA@innocent.com>
<B6A8D100.DFC%stewartx@sccoast.net> <lit68tgui5h5fnrmtmuorkanmcnumbgj79@4ax.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 43
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.discrimination:686 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9259
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12263 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15344 rec.radio.cb:25821
rec.radio.scanner:13576

I always thought that "break" was an "pseudo emergency" "pro sign" in FFC or
maritime language not to be used routinely.
What do others think.
Rik

Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@rmci.net> wrote in message
news:lit68tgui5h5fnrmtmuorkanmcnumbgj79@4ax.com...
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>
> >Kevin Alfred Strom at kevin.strom@innocent.com wrote:

```
>
> >> But "contact," meaning "I want
> >> to contact you" is quite new, less
> >> than a decade of commonplace use I
> >> think. I think it migrated to
> >> amateur radio from the Citizen's
> >> Band,  [snip]
> >
> >
> >  Heck, for all we know it could be coming from the contact managers
> >everyone has on their computers today.  Or it could be coming from the
> >business world.  I've noticed a lot of business people saying that
today -
> >the word "contact" both as a question and statement (meaning "will you
> >contact..." as a question or "yes, I'll contact..." when answered back).
In
> >other words, who knows where this stuff comes from.
>
> It came from CB sidebanders back in the mid-70s or so.  They wanted to
> talk like hams, but they didn't want to say "break" because it sounded
> too much like AM CBers, so they started that idiotic "contact" crap when
> they wanted to break in.
>
> When I want to break in, I just give my call letters.  It gets the job
> done, and saves time letting people know who's breaking in.
> --
> glenq@rmci.net
> WB7DOW
> http://home.rmci.net/glenq/




From -8700800723925252679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 18:30:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 02:15:10 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <962879$4t6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95sp3k$g71$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208124734.17535.00002137@nso-
fy.aol.com> <95v9ec$k8n$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A836AA3.60DA4D4E@IEEE.org>
<960lpj$mpb$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A83F775.90B8585B@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.45
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 10 02:15:10 2001 GMT
```

```
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.45
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15345

In article <3A83F775.90B8585B@ovis.net>,
  Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A836AA3.60DA4D4E@IEEE.org>,
> >   Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > > Meanwhile, Shirley MacClaine held hands with spiritual guides as
> > they
> > > > looked to the East and focused on preventing the quake.
> > >
> > > Shirley MacClaine was asked who was the most influential person in
> > > her life. She answered, "My own higher self."
> >
> > She's her own God.  Kind of like on here.  ;)
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
> ...sort of like Cecil and a group of Baptists down his way praying to
> Cecil's inner self as desribed here a couple of years back.  Maybe
Cecil
> has been praying to Shirley MacLaine all of these years.
>
> Dave K8MN

It probably had more to do with the ecumenical wine and the movie "Two
Mules..."


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -3417488921140361367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 18:38:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Xara Thustra <sparks@bigfoot.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 21:37:30 -0500
Organization: Florida Snowmobiles
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <94a98tgo2ho2ab97ujrnvik9h1qmvnqrp0@news.mindspring.com>
```

```
References: <950014$jb2$0@208.206.142.105> <20010128083130.21683.00001752@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7vw6l4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a8.bf.56.0b
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Feb 2001 02:38:32 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15346

On Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:00:52 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>On 28 Jan 2001 13:31:30 GMT, W1RFI wrote:
>
>>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>>>QFU isn't on my Q-signal list. I've never heard it on the air.
>>
>>I have heard it on the air and I didn't need a list to know exactly what it
>>meant.
>
>  QFU is in the series assigned to the Aeronautical Services -
>  that series does not appear in the ITU Radio Regs so I don't
>  know its exact (legal) meaning.  The "de facto" meaning is
>  obvious.....
>
>  Anyone have access to the ICAO Regs?

I was a maritime shipboard radio officer many years ago, and can't
resist passing on to the more recent folks, a couple of Q signals
that also were not in the books, but were quite commonly encountered:

QWC - "Water Closet" the British equivalent of toilet; in other words,
I will be away from the key for a moment or so......

QLF - Please send with your left foot; your right foot sounds tired.

Paul Martin W4SXD





From 5750982367429399708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e496,dc2cf3c9fafc94d6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e496,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 19:17:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@rmci.net>
Newsgroups:
alt.discrimination,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Organization: What, ME organized?   Riiiiight!
Reply-To: glenq@rmci.net
Message-ID: <tec98tstgg01go2ivl3uo3ubqgqadeb159@4ax.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95qgub0cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<i8bg6.2406$2g.174374@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6A83BE0.C07%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3A831B50.E4EB68FA@innocent.com>
<B6A8D100.DFC%stewartx@sccoast.net> <lit68tgui5h5fnrmtmuorkanmcnumbgj79@4ax.com>
<t899nocdh29r4b@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 22:16:00 EST
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 20:17:26 -0700
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.discrimination:687 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9266
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12268 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15347 rec.radio.cb:25824
rec.radio.scanner:13581
```

"RiK Larson" <Rick__Larson@Hotmail.Com> wrote:

>I always thought that "break" was an "pseudo emergency" "pro sign" in FFC or
>maritime language not to be used routinely.

A double break ("break, break") or triple break used to be used to
indicate priority or emergency traffic. The FCC doesn't have any
guidelines on the matter.

--
glenq@rmci.net
WB7DOW
http://home.rmci.net/glenq/

```
From 8689760402224007945
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 19:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!
news.juniper.net!not-for-mail
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 10 Feb 2001 03:14:32 GMT
Organization: Juniper Networks, Inc.
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <962bmo$1ggc$1@heap.juniper.net>
References: <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146> <3A843A6C.E203CA20@IEEE.org>
<961tk2$g11$0@208.206.142.81> <3A848362.DDEB8CF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: wawa.juniper.net
X-Trace: heap.juniper.net 981774872 49676 172.17.20.55 (10 Feb 2001 03:14:32 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@juniper.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Feb 2001 03:14:32 GMT
X-Newsreader: trn 4.0-test72 (19 April 1999)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15348
```

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> Cecil wrote:
>> >
>> > Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >
>> > DSP is to ham radio now as SSB was to ham radio in the early 50s.
>>
>> That shows how little you really know.
>
>Dick, it's the truth. A/Ds and processors are getting faster every year
>and every year digital electronics takes over more and more of the
>functions from analog by doing the job cheaper and better. Soon, everything
>this side of the mixer will be DSP functions. The Kachina radio was just
>the first of many.

Tentec also sells both an HF and a VHF transceiver that work this way. Tentec's shortwave receivers also use DSPs for everything from IF to audio, as does the JRC NRD-545. Watkins-Johnson sold the first receiver I was aware of that did this, but they've since gone out of the business.

For transmitters it is in principle possible to avoid mixing stages altogether, instead generating the modulated RF directly using a DDS chip as the DSP's output device. Unfortunately, I discovered that the outputs of current DDS chips can have more spurs than a Texas saloon, so this may need to wait for another generation of the technology.

Dennis Ferguson

From -6743063501523798772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 19:36:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 03:36:11 GMT
References: <960r5k$qu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010209223611.00739.00000207@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15349

>Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
>From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ k4yz@my-deja.com

>Very good, Lennie! All those days of retired bliss sitting in front of the
>'puter paid off! It's only half right, but then you usually are.

Oooops....I attributed this to the wrong retiree.....Sorry Hans.

From -1177780543175131184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 19:38:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 03:38:37 GMT
References: <961nj3$mo7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010209223837.00739.00000208@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15350

>Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
>From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ k4yz@my-deja.com

>> when I check my eQSL list, here is one from K4YZ.

By the way...you're welcome.

73

K4YZ

From -5821644995850442481
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 19:50:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: 10 Feb 2001 02:50:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <962a9v01e36@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu> <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu> <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A8354FE.449F3F66@uci.edu> <95vo5t$c4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A845D20.ABE9C7BA@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-653.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15351

In article <3A845D20.ABE9C7BA@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>
>Brian wrote:
>> Show where I misunderstood.
>
>You apparently understand nothing. You're an impudent boorish punk with
>nothing intellectual to bring to a discussion. I expect you find
>pestering people on the newsgroup to be as entertaining as jamming your
>local repeater and playing telephone pranks.
>
>Blither on, N0IMD.

IOW, unless his sig. has a callsign in it, his opinions mean nothing?

From -3525276517751114441
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 19:51:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: 10 Feb 2001 02:58:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <962apa11e36@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A7F4E46.19F92C22@uci.edu> <20010206125344.22779.00001504@nso-fa.aol.com> <3A8046F3.F64732A6@uci.edu> <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A80B04C.9E9A93A0@uci.edu> <95r8nr$37k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A819381.B46CF6FB@uci.edu> <95soos$fq5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D5F4.54E5D6C8@uci.edu> <95v8mt$jmt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95voct0git@enews3.newsguy.com> <960kvp$mab$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<961o1a0svd@enews4.newsguy.com> <961tjk$s6p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-835.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15352

In article <961tjk$s6p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, brianburke@my-deja.com says...
>> >Not the moment most people live in. Guess again.
>>
>> You don't get out much do you?
>
>Not a problem. I visited the public library after work today, I'm going
>to the gun show tomorrow, and have a daytrip planned for St. Louis on
>Sunday. Back to work Monday morning, will attend a seminar in Cleveland
>on Thursday....
>
>My kids like pokeyman. I've treated them to pokeyman toys, books,
>videos, "snap" nintendo 64 game, and even a live show at the coleseum.
>
>But pokeyman is still not the world's greatest hobby. Not by any
>stretch.

While it may not have the endurance as others you've mentioned, Pokemon is a major obsession with many people. As the fad passes, it will probably be regulated to comic book and/or sports card shows, where such collectables thrive.

Besides, when I posted my original comment, I was being facetious, so lighten up!

From 5668066106537987670
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 19:51:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Feb 2001 03:04:24 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <962b3o21e36@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156> <20010208185423.21807.00000073@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113> <3A843E8B.3F22D6C4@IEEE.org>
<961tnb$g11$1@208.206.142.81>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-951.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15353

In article <961tnb$g11$1@208.206.142.81>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>Cecil wrote:
>>
>
>> The great majority of hams are stuck in the 60s, Dick. We are already
>> stagnating 40 years behind the state of the art in RF communications.
>>
>
>That is pure unadulturated bullcrap.

You're absolutely right, Dick. He should have that most hams are stuck in the
50s.

From 555760296663491279
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 20:07:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 23:07:47 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <B6AA28C3.11EE%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <95qgub0cu2@enews3.newsguy.com>
<i8bg6.2406$2g.174374@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6A83BE0.C07%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3A831B50.E4EB68FA@innocent.com>
<B6A8D100.DFC%stewartx@sccoast.net> <lit68tgui5h5fnrmtmuorkanmcnumbgj79@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-83.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 981778047 8374 206.74.49.83 (10 Feb 2001 04:07:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 04:07:27 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9267
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12270 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15354 rec.radio.cb:25826
rec.radio.scanner:13583
```

Glen Quarnstrom at glenq@rmci.net wrote:

> It came from CB sidebanders back in the
> mid-70s or so. They wanted to talk like
> hams, but they didn't want to say "break"
> because it sounded too much like AM CBers,
> so they started that idiotic "contact" crap
> when they wanted to break in.

This is a regional thing. I don't remember any SSB CB'ers using "contact" instead of "break" in the 70's (Washington, California, or North Carolina). And I haven't heard a single ham operator around here using it today, but I did hear it one time in Washington state recently.

Because it is so regional in nature, I really don't think we can so easily blame this on "those CB'ers." Instead, I suspect this is coming from somewhere within the ham community itself, or coming from plain language outside of radio.

> When I want to break in, I just give my

> call letters. It gets the job done, and
> saves time letting people know who's
> breaking in.

Most people do that, but I don't know how much it really saves time by letting people know who's breaking in. In my case, unless I already know the person (and callsign), I rarely ever catch the callsign of those breaking in this way.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6549450337283541014
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 20:20:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: 10 Feb 2001 03:27:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <962cfg31e36@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
<3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
<eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
<Zgpg6.38414$49.6855308@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<t85a5d7n8a81f2@corp.supernews.com> <t89648o0tkkp61@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-186.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9268
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12273 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15355 rec.radio.cb:25828
rec.radio.scanner:13584

With freedom, comes responsibilty. Freebanders aren't acting responsible when they interfere with other communications services. It's the "yelling fire" cliche.

I do, however, have a problem with the FCC telling me what topics I may or may not talk about. Example: During an interview several years ago, an FCC official said that discussing controversial subjects over the amateur frequencies basically violated 97.1(e), because such subjects, as he put it, "did nothing to enhance international good will."

In article <t89648o0tkkp61@corp.supernews.com>, not@aol.com says...
>
>Gee sounds like our founding fathers. Guess freedom isn't good unless
>sanctioned by third world dictatorships
>
>--
>Sincerely,
>Ken
>pwrguy@intergrafix.net
>> Freebanders are generally unexperienced in electronics and not sanctioned
>by
>> any country in the world.
>> Rick

From 5725008901235844658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 20:58:19 PST
Message-ID: <3A84C85A.F68FD2DA@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 04:49:30 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu> <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net> <961c67$bhc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 9 Feb 2001 23:45:13 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 23
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15356
```

Brian wrote:
>
> In article <3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net>,
>   Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>
> I'd
> > describe your perception of amateur radio reality with a term from
> > ionospheric propagation: skewed path.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Likewise, you seem to hang with what I consider some of the wrong
> elements in amateur radio. You follow the ground wave.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

I'm proud to "hang" with the element I'm associated with. They are good ops and active ones. I've been walking the walk and, as far as I can tell, you pretty much just talk the talk. That you consider some of my friends "the wrong elements" speaks volumes.

Dave K8MN

```
From 5452955641292048584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 20:58:20 PST
Message-ID: <3A84C977.3A6E44CF@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 04:54:15 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <3A83F1BF.564DE8C0@ovis.net> <20010209185915.17176.00001515@nso-
ba.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 9 Feb 2001 23:49:57 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 18
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15357
```

Len Over 21 wrote:
>

> In article <3A83F1BF.564DE8C0@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >Do you have anything interesting for the group or can we expect more
> >number crunching ala Len?
>
> Both. You will try to find fault with both, but that is expected.
>
> Feel free to keep on thinking you and only you Know What
> Is Best. :-)
>
> didit

That isn't my view, Len. Quite a few here have interesting or funny
things to say. You just aren't one of them.

Dave K8MN

From 3971612330366545100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 21:04:47 PST
Message-ID: <3A84CAEA.190BE790@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 05:00:26 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <3A844472.F298A51E@uci.edu> <20010209185911.17176.00001512@nso-ba.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 9 Feb 2001 23:56:09 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 55
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15358

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A844472.F298A51E@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >Brian wrote:
> >> Len brings up the fact that the numbers of coded hams are decreasing and
> >> the numbers of non-coded hams are increasing (the "point" of the
> >> discussion). He uses a balance scale term.
> >
> >He use a balance scale analogy to indicate the opposite of the facts he
> >cites.

>
> On the contrary, I used NUMBERS of licensees based on FCC
> databases. Those were the FACTS, facts available from the
> only US agency granting amateur radio licenses.

This new "only US agency granting amateur radio licenses" thing is beginning to sound tedious. Do you believe that anyone here doesn't know that the FCC grants amateur licenses? If you follow procedure, you can get one too. Good luck with the exam.

> A METAPHOR was used to indicate the TREND happening.

...a poorly chosen metaphor which is not indicative of the situation at all. Other than being wrong, it was fine.

> Kelley made a Big Thing out of the metaphor at first, didn't
> say anything about the numbers or the trend.
>
> >> You cannot comment on the
> >> facts of the ham population, so instead dissect balance scale
> >> engineering, and thus you claim Len's statements false.
> >
> >I DID not comment on that because no comment was necessary. I have
> >nothing to say on the issue other than, great! More new hams.
>
> Kelley didn't say that at first. Nice job of backtracking and
> trying to CYA.

He just told you that your poorly chosen metaphor was wrong. It was and is.

> >> Ergo coded ham
> >> populations are increasing. Nice fantasy.
> >
> >Yours, not mine.
>
> Kelley only wants legally licensed Metaphors.   :-)
>
> didit

I suspect he'd like to see some which fit the situation being described. Think you're up to it?

Dave K8MN

From 1462746697163052631
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 21:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!212.74.64.35!
colt.net!newsfeed.icl.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!

audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 05:39:35 GMT
References: <20010208191426.21807.00000082@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210003935.13655.00003459@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15360

In article <20010208191426.21807.00000082@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>No-coders have been authorized to work CW without any testing for code
>whatver
>for about a decade now. What harm have they caused CW operators?

Ed:

A better question would be -- What CW operating have no-coders done?
Have you ever worked a no-coder on VHF/CW?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5754341545660503594
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 21:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 05:39:34 GMT
References: <vzYg6.150$HH5.959195@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010210003934.13655.00003457@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15359

In article <vzYg6.150$HH5.959195@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>

```
>Nothing. I am pleased that there are 37,980 new hams.

How many of them have joined the ARRL as of today?

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 908621495653967607
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 21:40:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 05:39:35 GMT
References: <3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210003935.13655.00003460@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15361

In article <3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>
>I knew you guys would come up with some great ideas.  But I think that
>nothing short of a federally mandated 'no lids, no kids, no space
>cadets' requirement would satisfy the malcontents out here.

Now, THAT's one of the most reasonable statements I've ever seen
posted in this newsgripe!

OBTW -- "kids" are OK as long as they are not lids or space cadets!

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 8826781245367259646
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 21:40:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
```

Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 05:39:36 GMT
References: <95sml10ion@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210003936.13655.00003461@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15363

In article <95sml10ion@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>
>...uphill, both ways, through six feet of snow, yadda yadda...
>
>Did I say it pissed off *all* the hams that lost privileges? No. But it did
>piss off a lot. I can't speak for the sheeple, such as yourself, that go
>along
>with whatever the ARRL says and does.

Nor can you speak for people who willingly embrace a challenge and go out and achieve a worthwhile accomplishment instead of whining that the rules have been changed! I never could understand the post-Incentive Licensing General and Advanced licensees that refused to upgrade to Extra, except for the fact that most of them are primarily phone operators! I wonder -- if the FCC had grandfathered them with Extra-class phone privileges, would the whining have subsided? I'll bet it would!

I believe the FCC should always make liberal use of grandfathering of privileges, just so hams like myself, who don't have a problem accepting a challenge, don't have to be bothered to listen to the whining!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 9220487527289508900
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 21:40:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 10 Feb 2001 05:39:37 GMT
References: <3A836587.7F71B628@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Message-ID: <20010210003937.13655.00003463@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15362

In article <3A836587.7F71B628@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> And while I'm naming names, K1ZZ has managed to
>> completely annoy me literally ever time we met, starting with the
>> '84 Dayton Hamvention and every time since.
>
>Got news for you, Larry. You are the one who *chooses* to be annoyed
>unless he literally hit you with a stick.

Cecil:

That's where you'd be wrong, again! You're pretty annoying at times,
but you've never hit me with a stick! Where did this stick-hitting
requirement for annoyance come from, anyway? You don' need no
steenking sticks in order to be annoying!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1280013351237341442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 21:40:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 66
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 05:39:38 GMT
References: <t2Vg6.145$HH5.947011@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210003938.13655.00003464@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15364

In article <t2Vg6.145$HH5.947011@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>> Wrong again. I could care less if they can beep at all -- as long as
>> they're not whining about learning how to beep in order to attain higher
>> HF privileges.
>
>How do you feel about those that have learned how to send and receive Morse
>code, but believe that changes need to be made in the way Morse code is
>tested?

Ed:

I would say that their objections to the way Morse code is tested sounds a lot like whining -- as it always does!

>> However, its a moot point now. Pretty soon we'll have the beepless ARS
>you've always dreamed about.
>
>I do not believe that Morse code use will end any time soon, Larry.

Notice, one and all, that Ed didn't say that its use would NOT come to an end -- which it certainly will now that the testing requirement is all but gone.

>Do you
>feel that the mode has so little value to ham radio that no one will learn
>it and use it unless it is tested?

Asked and answered, many times. Whether or not people will learn and use the Morse code in the absence of testing has nothing to do with its value -- the testing requirement provided the incentive to learn Morse code at a useful level. I did not learn the Morse code due to the "usefulness" of this mode, which I was certainly not interested in at the time. That usefulness was made clear to me only after I gained fairly good proficiency in using the mode. The requirement is what kept the mode alive. I dare you to gather 30 prospective new hams, none of whom have been exposed to any part of the hobby beforehand, for the purpose of "Elmering" them into the hobby from scratch, and end up with more than two of them becoming proficient (capable of handling message traffic at 18 WPM) CW operators with no *requirement* that they do so. It is impossible. That was not conjecture; that is a statement of absolute fact. I'd be more than willing to bet that what you'd end up with is ZERO.

>I disagree; all of the other modes have
>avid proponents without such testing.

And none of these other modes require the physical development of an operating skill which requires a great deal of personal motivation, dedication, and perseverence to master. Hell, the majority of hams utilizing "high-speed digital modes" can't even get a message out without the use of pre-programmed buffers. If you want to discuss replacing CW tests with high-speed (> 40 WPM) typing tests, then maybe we can reach some sort of compromise!

>I do not agree with you that those
>modes that use code are the bottom of the pile.

Well, then, Ed, I guess you'll have to disagree with me then. This
is America -- disagreement is allowed. Of course, in this case,
disagreeing with me makes you wrong, but that's *your* problem!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5034942090380649253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 21:40:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 05:39:36 GMT
References: <95vf0p$ovn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15365

In article <95vf0p$ovn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
writes:

>
>Reminds me of 10-10. I worked a guy in Florida when I was stationed in
>Nebraska. Then I worked him again from Guam and he told me I was a
>dupe. When I tried working him from my new duty assignment in Illinois,
>he kind of got perturbed with me.

Brian:

Ah, yes, the 10-10 club. You just ran into the very attitude which
has made me an avid "no-phoner" ever since! The Ten-Ten organization,
and all of its drooling, toothless, slack-jawed, knuckle-dragging,
mouth-breathing, bottom-feeding members are, collectively, proof
that any idiot can pick up a microphone and talk -- and most
idiots do!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2160356903904039679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 21:40:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 05:39:35 GMT
References: <20010208190939.21807.00000080@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210003935.13655.00003458@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15366

In article <20010208190939.21807.00000080@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>But I thought CW gets through when no other mode will. Is that true only if
>the other mode is not allowed to be used?

Ed:

I don't recall ever reading or hearing anyone say that CW gets through even in the presence of overpowering QRM from signals of other modes. A .5 Watt CW signal can be heard and understood under extremely adverse conditions -- conditions which would make a phone signal at a similar power level hopelessly unreadable. However, this same CW signal, when wedged between high-powered, over-modulated, over-compressed, over-everything'd phone signals, won't be heard at all. Now -- a similarly powered CW signal would probably get through just fine, in spite of the QRM -- but one of the main benefits of CW is to be able to avoid using high power.

In any scenario where CW subbands are shared with phone signals, CW will tend to lose out. Its only natural.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6516586421216571161
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 22:03:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 06:03:11 GMT
References: <960std$sdl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010210010311.01651.00001361@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15367

In article <960std$sdl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <960r5k$qu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
>  Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote:
>> In article <20010208214613.02997.00002013@nso-mg.aol.com>,
>>   lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>> > The name "Antec" appeared in message headers some months
>> > ago.  No real "detective work" needed then, but it is surprising
>> > that a proud employee wouldn't name his employer.
>
>     By the way, Lennie and others, it has been on my "bio" page of QRZ
>for some time, along with the call sign of the club call sign for the
>company.

Then why not post it in public here for all to see?

You have never said it publicly as to employer or an employer's
amateur radio club.  Is that so difficult to admit?

didit



From -1761110818376824667
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 22:03:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 06:02:59 GMT
```

References: <20010209072205.16867.00000161@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010210010259.01651.00001357@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15368

In article <20010209072205.16867.00000161@ng-mj1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>No real "detective work" needed then, but it is surprising
>>that a proud employee wouldn't name his employer. :-)
>
>Now, Len, look what some (you included) have done to me when they learned who
>I work for. :-)

Well, Ed, you admitted it up front in the signature to a message
body. Some others have to have their occupational information
squeezed out, sort of like whacking the old glass bottles of
ketchup to get anything. :-)

BTW, I have nothing at all against what the ARRL does as
services. It does PLENTY of them and amateurs should be
more grateful for such. My only dispute is with some of the
ARRL's policy decisions...which is coming around from their
1930's standards and practices basis slowly.   :-)

didit

From 2626597480572365197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 22:03:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 75
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 06:03:02 GMT
References: <960ql9$qlm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010210010302.01651.00001359@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15371

In article <960ql9$qlm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ

<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010208214615.02997.00002014@nso-mg.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> Something missing in the above considering there are only
>> 24 hours in a terrestrial day.
>
>   I guess the concept of two residences is beyond you.

Not at all. Having a second residence for yourself gives you
some free time to do as you wish.

>> Driving 6 hours a day? Doing e-mail and newsgrouping from
>> one of those jobs? Which one?
>
>   Actually, it's three hours on Monday and three on Friday. The
>nursing's in the middle when I choose to take an Agency shift.

Better get in contact with Macon, GA, and renew Georgia LPN
certificate #52241 since it expires 03/31/01. It was first taken
out on 07/12/95. No problem on the Tennessee LPN license
#49513 since that won't expire until Septermber 30, 2001.   :-)
[original issue 1 November 1994]

That took only a few minutes going through state websites
However, those state websites do not reveal whether or not
a licensee is actually USING those licenses for nursing.
Anyone who is proud of what they do should be able to
tell one and all what the license numbers are and where
they can be found. It's in public view and listed by a state
agency. :-)

Previously, Robeson stated that he took the purchasing agent
job because of "burn-out" from nursing. Now it is revealed that
he works as some kind of temp (?) nurse after regular company
hours? Inconsistency there or a lack of full explanation.

There is also some curiosity as to why someone has to travel
about 170 miles or so (3 hours driving time) away from home
when there most certainly are some electronics-radio related
companies in the Tennessee area closer to Dunlap.

>> If someone posts an uncivil message, they might get a reply
>> IN KIND. Those are deserving. I've never said otherwise.
>
>   Then you have absolutely NOTHING to complain about. You are
>reaping your own reward.

I have no complaint here except the Dill Sergeant of the USAMCC
tried to "keep the troops in line" long ago and has never stopped.
:-)

>   Again...you are free to call the Adjutant General of the State of
>Tennessee. Want me to get the numbr for you too? I offered you my 800
>number hre, but you haven't moved on THAT either.

I don't think the State of Tennessee's website carries home-grown Militia organization   Nice website organization, better than Georgia's in my opinion.

>> ...yes, the employee may wind up fired for doing personal things
>> on company time
>
>   If the company's business wasn't getting done, you MIGHT be
>correct. You are, as usual, not.

Okay, so it was a slow time at the office. :-)

Must be a real cushy job to be able to do newsgroups on company time. :-)

didit

From -6095273124361291214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 22:03:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 64
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 06:03:08 GMT
References: <960r5k$qu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010210010308.01651.00001360@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15370

In article <960r5k$qu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010208214613.02997.00002013@nso-mg.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> The name "Antec" appeared in message headers some months
>> ago. No real "detective work" needed then, but it is surprising
>> that a proud employee wouldn't name his employer.
>

>   Very good, Lennie! All those days of retired bliss sitting in
>front of the 'puter paid off! It's only half right, but then you
>usually are.

No "sitting in front of a computer" pay-offs. Long ago I selected
message headers to be included in all newsgroup downloads.
A past message (some months ago) contained HEADER info
on the origin of one of your messages. You have never said
who your employer was in the body of a message.

>> On the other hand, someone who sits around doing newsgroups
>> and e-mail on company time might not want it known...
>
>   I am sure you will tell them!

Why should I do that? Any MIS manager will be able to look
back at employee computer use and be able to pin-point
when-where-who of employee terminals.

>> BTW, their company phone number is 678-473-2000
>
>> 800-FIBER-ME.
>
>   I have no doubt taht a bit of fiber in your diet would go a long
>way towards changing your attitudes on life.

The "800-FIBER-ME" is from the Antec home page under "how to
reach us" button.

>   You can change that to "8515" if you have the intestinal fortitude
>to engage in a personal conversation. Knowing you for the coward you
>are, I'll just go on about my day.

Why should anyone bother to communicate to one who will do
nothing but insult others and generally act like some sort of
USAMCC Dill Sergeant?

>   Past events would indicate that you don't have that fortitude.
>Not even to say "I am busy right now". However you then have great fun
>creating fictional events SUBSEQUENT to that. You always do.

Poor baby...Steebie once broke into my Buddy Chat session
with my wife who was on a trip up north. He didn't get any
"satisfaction" out of DEMANDING to break in. His royal
hynie hasn't been the same since. :-)

>   And by the way, we are a subsidiary of Antec, "Electronic System
>Products". Bob's stock problems are his own, thankfully.

What your employer does is your employer's business. Since
you've never admitted to working for them before, I doubt you
care much for them...but that is between you and your employer.

From -4385431164777996249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 22:03:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 82
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 06:03:01 GMT
References: <960pva$pud$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010210010301.01651.00001358@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15369

In article <960pva$pud$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010208214612.02997.00002012@nso-mg.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> A novella is about 10,000 words in the publishing trade. I didn't
>> write that many words. Just some third-party references for my
>> claims for the last 45 years. Where are yours?
>
> Same place they were when offered to you in the first place...but
>rebuffed by AOL's "postmaster" as "this recipient is not accepting mail
>from your account".

That's not a third-party disnterested reference. :-)
>
> So much for showing you anything. You ask for "proof" then close
>your eyes.

Nope. Where do you work? You didn't say, for either states
of Tennessee or Georgia. Hans Brakob broke it first. Then I
looked at the websites for the states of Tennessee and Georgia
for your LPN certificate numbers...and posted them. You have
never posted such information.

>> Don't try to bluff or play e-poker, here. Show your references
>> about non-amateur experience.
>
> Did. You refused it. Oh well.

You don't understand poker. The cards have to be SHOWN to
everyone else. :-)

>> Digitize-scan those commission papters, block out your
>> private data if you wish, and post them somewhere. Or
>> provide some indisputable proof of this "commission" through
>> a third-party reference. Until then everyone has to assume
>> you are not telling the truth.
>
>   How's the State Adjutant General of the State of Tennessee. It's
>a matter of public record. Call them and ask.

Is it on a website or printed in a written document? :-)

Doesn't your employer have a digital image scanner? One
scan should take less than typing in a message during
company time. :-)

>> You sent this message at 1:38 PM EST according to the footer
>> on this message. That is after normal lunch hours at WORK.
>
>   The operative word being "normal". And yes, it's a cushy job. I
>get paid an obscene wage for the easiest job I have ever had.

You get paid in pornography? My, my, that IS a cushy job!

>   I am sure that would make you happy...AND give you more
>opportunity to spread your decitful and lecherous tripe completely
>unchecked.

"Deceitful and LECHEROUS tripe?!?" Oh, my!

First of all, the information I've presented in public here can be
verified by anyone else accessing www.state.tn.us or
www.state.ga.us and then following the buttons to get to LPN
license registry. [Georgia's a bit harder to get to than
Tennessee, but that was just for getting to the license search
pages]   No "deceit" there.

I'm not certain what is meant by "lecherous tripe." A "lecher"
is a lewd, grossly sexual man according to my handy Websters.
"Tripe" has two definitions, one of which is the lining of the
stomach and intestines, colloquially known as the "gut."   :-)
That would imply "lecherous tripe" as being something sexual
involving guts. :-)   :-)   :-)

Maybe humorist Dave Barry could make more of this but I'm
laughing too hard to type more...!

didit

```
From -5388656670915668291
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 22:23:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 06:22:57 GMT
References: <3A84C977.3A6E44CF@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010210012257.26444.00002074@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15372

In article <3A84C977.3A6E44CF@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>> Feel free to keep on thinking you and only you Know What
>> Is Best.  :-)
>>
>> didit
>
>That isn't my view, Len.  Quite a few here have interesting or funny
>things to say.  You just aren't one of them.

Feel free to keep on thinking you Know What Is Funny!    :-)

A Dave Barry you AIN'T...!

didit


From 5544500182035407621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 23:24:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <8qq98tk287cm7ophn4v7mu6gjfpremimc1@4ax.com>
References: <tt398t0afju2ju2i0u965onh5uo4bhqlh5@4ax.com>
```

<20010209203832.29092.00000189@ng-ba1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 62
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 07:24:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.203
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981789870 209.86.205.203 (Fri, 09 Feb 2001 23:24:30 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 23:24:30 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15373

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>it was not nearly so easy to listen to hams on SSB with the SWL sets of
>the time as it was to listen to hams using AM.

If an SWL couldn't figure out how to use a BFO to listen to SSB I
doubt that he would make it as a ham back then...

>It was $112 bucks or so for the rig itself,
>then another $30-40 for the power supply.

I didn't get the power supply. I made one from old TV set parts. It
sported a couple of 5U4s. Great regulation...   :-)

>And what you got was an SSB-only monoband rig

I bought some parts (crystals and coils) from Heathkit that were
designed for the 80 and 20 meter models. I used a few switches and
presto it was a tri-band rig: 80, 40 and 20 meters...

>that needed a 1:1 SWR (or close to it) to work.

That was easily solved by putting a broadcast receiver type 360
micky-mike (remember that word?) variable cap across the output which
made it into a pi net, and then it would load almost anything...

>On the other hand, a Novice could add a modulator to his MOPA CW rig and go on
>AM easily and cheaply.

I'll agree that AM was cheaper (using used old used gear). I was just
saying that SSB didn't have to be all that expensive...

>Some folks used cathode or screen modulation, which
>could be added for almost nothing. Sure, the efficiency was awful, but it got

Remember the old Heathkit DX35 and it's "controlled carrier" (screen)
modulation? I think it measured in the neighborhood of 25 watts AM.
That really didn't cut it...

>$4 was not a small fee in 1963. Many newcomers were teenagers, and the minimum
>wage was maybe $1. So we're talking 4-5 hours minimum wage to take the test,
>pass or fail. What's 4-5 hours of minimum wage today? More than $20.

Do you really think that $20 would stop a teenager today from becoming
a ham if that's what he wanted? Have you priced some of the teenage
toys these days...

BTW I was making $88.25 a week at Motorola in 1963 with a wife and
soon to be kid. That $112 for the SSB rig was hard to cough up, but
heck what's more important anyway... :-)

>how many hams do you think went to Woodstock?

Dunno. But I think the Woodstock populace might be an improvement over
some of the characters I see at the ham swapmeets here...   :-)

>Some folks moaned back then that "ham radio was dying" because
>there were only 250,000 hams and they were all getting older. And the new gear
>was too complex, the tests were too hard, the ARRL was ruining everything,
>etc., etc. Sound familiar?

Yeah, but it's all true now,
(except for the tests being hard)...   :-)

From -9594789585352525l5
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 23:24:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <96q98tc5votil4726dv0q1sj4c5rav06n0@4ax.com>
References: <qo578t45lkqjh1ggiqp312u0nvlumqsrqr@4ax.com>
<20010209064005.02997.00002027@nso-mg.aol.com>
<tt398t0afju2ju2i0u965onh5uo4bhqlh5@4ax.com> <ej1h6.161$HH5.977545@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 07:24:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.203
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981789873 209.86.205.203 (Fri, 09 Feb
2001 23:24:33 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 23:24:33 PST

Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15374

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>My SWLing was with an old Zenith Transoceanic receiver.
>I don't recall it having a BFO.

Well Ed, the resourceful kids/SWLs of my youth used to place a broadcast receiver physically next to the BFO-less SW receiver. Then you tuned the broadcast receiver until it's oscillator beat (in the IF) with the signal on the SW receiver. Worked great...

>Most commercial HF transceivers today cost about $1000, in round numbers.
>Lets assume the average ham spends another $1000 on the rest of his or her
>station. Would a "small fee" of $100 today, to take the conservative
>number, based on your 10%, stop a number of people from becoming hams?

If they planned to buy a $1000 dollar rig, I doubt that even a $100 dollars would stop them. I pay a fee of $240 a year for internet service. My laptop cost $1300. If this machine has a useful 4 year life, I will have paid around 75% of my hardware costs in fees. I suspect that many teenagers pay as I do for the net, so why not a small 10$ a year ($100 fee/ license term) fee for hamming if that was their desire...

>What percentage of those CBers went on to become hams? I did.

What percentage of hams became CBers? Everybody I knew in the 60s did.
We all got those nifty call letters/numbers... :-)

From 6668777612873477709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-09 23:24:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <c3q98t0c0036qh8gv4a50ci8kd5ppa1joa@4ax.com>
References: <95sml10ion@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010210003936.13655.00003461@nso-mq.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 07:24:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.203

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981789875 209.86.205.203 (Fri, 09 Feb
2001 23:24:35 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Feb 2001 23:24:35 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15375

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> I wonder -- if the FCC had grandfathered
>them with Extra-class phone privileges,

I would have been happy to have been grandfathered with the privileges
that I earned in 1959 which were General privileges...

> would the whining have subsided?  I'll bet it would!

I would stop whining if I got my privileges back. Trouble is I have no
need of the Extra privileges. It's just a matter of fairness...

>I believe the FCC should always make liberal use of grandfathering
>of privileges, just so hams like myself, who don't have a problem
>accepting a challenge, don't have to be bothered to listen to the
>whining!

Me too...   :-)




From 6284295053534384216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 01:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!
newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 09:32:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9631qu$ni5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95vf0p$ovn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-
mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.61
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 10 09:32:13 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x59.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.61
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15376

In article <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-mq.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
```

> In article <95vf0p$ovn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
>
> >
> >Reminds me of 10-10. I worked a guy in Florida when I was stationed
in
> >Nebraska. Then I worked him again from Guam and he told me I was a
> >dupe. When I tried working him from my new duty assignment in
Illinois,
> >he kind of got perturbed with me.
>
> Brian:
>
> Ah, yes, the 10-10 club. You just ran into the very attitude which
> has made me an avid "no-phoner" ever since! The Ten-Ten organization,
> and all of its drooling, toothless, slack-jawed, knuckle-dragging,
> mouth-breathing, bottom-feeding members are, collectively, proof
> that any idiot can pick up a microphone and talk -- and most
> idiots do!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Apparently, according to Hans, FISTS members work you once, get your
number, and are more than happy to send you on your way. Can it be than
 idiots can pick up a paddle?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 2014959685407506647
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 02:00:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 09:47:55 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <9632ob$o6t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <960odo$or8$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010209185918.17176.00001518@nso-
ba.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.152
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 10 09:47:55 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.152
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15377

In article <20010209185918.17176.00001518@nso-ba.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Please provide reference for the above "fact" that a "large number
> don't stay there."

As a VE, watching them upgrade. ARRL >>AND<< W5YI reports on VE seesions elsewhere. FCC Reports on on administrative actions.

> I ignore Urban Myths, falsehoods, folk sayings, etc., in trying to
> analyze public data offered by the only US agency that licenses
> US radio amateurs.

No you don't. You do your damned best to create a few.

> You've done nothing of the kind, only shot off your keyboard again.

Keep telling yourself that, Lennie. I am sue it makes you feel good THINKING that.

> Try to concentrate on the facts of what is happening to others, by
> others, especially concerning newcomers to US amateur radio.

As an ACTIVE licensee I am acutely aware of more than YOU will know. You should try it sometime.

HOW MANY antennas have you helped erect for "newcomers" in the last 30 days, Lennie?

HOW MANY VE sessions have you proctored?

HOW MANY nets have you participated in that introduced "new hams". Lennie?

I can assure you that the answer in MY case exceeds "1" by a large margin in EACH of the above cases.

Which in only ONE month exceeds your total for the DECADE.

Adios, Chump. Get a life.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"Just Remember...An Amateur Built The Ark, Engineers Built The Titanic"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 2735642878061207345
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 02:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:14:54 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 97
Message-ID: <9634at$p17$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <960ov5$p9a$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010209185916.17176.00001516@nso-ba.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.152
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 10 10:14:54 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.152
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15378

In article <20010209185916.17176.00001516@nso-ba.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> My balls aren't made of crystal,...(SNIP)

Having probably not been able to see them, find them or use them for quite a few years, I am sure you probably ahve NO idea WHAT theya re made of.

> Poor baby, really struggling for a slam there? Did I deliberately and
> maliciously, with callous disregard of sensitive USAMCC feelings,
> "make it appear that ONLY "new" Technicians are making an impact?"

Lenni, almost every post you make is intended to insult or troll. The first post of this thread is pure proof of that. It had absolutely NOTHING to do with the implementation of ANY policy. Just your attempts to further incite discontent.

> You MUST say so, Steebie, because all you have left any more is
> insult, belittlement, denigration, etc., etc.

Hmmmm....call me "Steebie" (pablum caught in your dentures again?) and THEN attempt to cahstise ME for "insult(s), belittlement, denigration, etc, etc"...?!?!?

If THAT'S not pure Lennism, I don't know what is... ! ! !

> All I did was post a comparison between the code-tested licensees
> and the non-code-tested licensees in the US ARS.

No what you did was troll. You succeeded.

> If you feel that only the code-tested are "real hams" then you MUST
> take the comparison as an insult.

You ATTEMPT to ask one of those "Do you LIKE having sex with your sister" questions. Of course they are "real hams". The "arguement" is NOT are they real hams, but are YOUR numbers valid. THAT answer is NO.

> See, there you go again, being uncivil, rude, insulting...sort of an
> extra grade asshole.

And now the resulting profanity.

> Steebie, I've been an ENGINEER ...(SNIP)

And WE (yes, WE) DON'T CARE! NONE of that makes a didly squat difference because THIS NG is about HAM radio...NOT "Ex Engineers With A Chip On Thier Shoulders".

Of course if we created an NG just FOR those persons, you'd pretty much be talking to yourself.

> Why do you keep insisting that I am a "technician?"

Probably for the same reason YOU keep lying, using profanity and generally being an annoying little twerp. Because I CAN.

> Note: Engineers are not required to learn morse code for
> engineering skills, diplomas, or titles.

Who said they had to?

> Gosh, thanks, Sancho Panza! Now get on your ass and follow
> your Don to the next windmill.

I am not the one jousting the windmill, Lennie. That's YOUR claim to fame.

> PS: Good going, Steebie, you got in early to work to post e-mail
> at 7:48 AM.

You have done ONE positive thing for me, Lenni. I will not retire until I just can't go on any longer. If all you can do is check date-time-groups to find some percieved impropriety in when/how someone responds to YOUR tripe, then I guess there's not much left to do in life.

Maybe you should write a letter to Uncle Jack in care of the Michigan Department of Corrections and see if he can make any suggestions, in as much as he's out of service at the moment. Since you have lost all remaining vestiges of productive life, I am sure he'll be glad to usher you to the next life.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"Just Remember...An Amateur Built The Ark, Engineers Built The Titanic"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8353014003056382179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 02:30:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!134.222.94.5!npeer.kpnqwest.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:23:47 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9634rh$pc9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A844472.F298A51E@uci.edu> <20010209185911.17176.00001512@nso-ba.aol.com> <3A84CAEA.190BE790@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.152
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 10 10:23:47 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.152
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15379

In article <3A84CAEA.190BE790@ovis.net>,
Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:

> Len Over 21 wrote:

>>A METAPHOR was used to indicate the TREND happening.

>...a poorly chosen metaphor which is not indicative of the situation
>at all. Other than being wrong, it was fine.--

Of course LENNIE is the only one who can use "metaphors" or "analogies" to make a point. Anytime anyone ELSE uses one, Lennie launches into another one of his slatherings about how the application allegedly doesn't fit HIS interpretation.

Pot/kettle/black....AGAIN

"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"Just Remember...An Amateur Built The Ark, Engineers Built The Titanic"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 978996704420155256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 02:40:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer.monmouth.com!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:27:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <96351t$pl6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A83F1BF.564DE8C0@ovis.net> <20010209185915.17176.00001515@nso-
ba.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.152
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 10 10:27:11 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.152
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15380
```

In article <20010209185915.17176.00001515@nso-ba.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Feel free to keep on thinking you and only you Know What
> Is Best

THERE is a bit of advice YOU should be taking yourself, Oh Narrowminded One.

TO THE LETTER!

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"Just Remember...An Amateur Built The Ark, Engineers Built The Titanic"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -8950062820942269257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 02:50:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:39:45 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 66
Message-ID: <9635ph$q3c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <960pva$pud$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210010301.01651.00001358@nso-fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.152
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 10 10:39:45 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.152
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15381

In article <20010210010301.01651.00001358@nso-fp.aol.com>,

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> That's not a third-party disnterested reference.

Yeah, Lennie. I gues copies of the same documents you asked to see weren't. Oh well. I knew you weren't man enough to go it alone anyway.

> Nope. Where do you work? You didn't say, for either states
> of Tennessee or Georgia. Hans Brakob broke it first. Then I
> looked at the websites for the states of Tennessee and Georgia
> for your LPN certificate numbers...and posted them. You have
> never posted such information.

You missed Arkansas licensure, too, although that one's been inactive a while. Not to mention EMS certifications in four states, FAA licensure (albiet not professional) and numerous endorsements from the AHA, ARC, other agencies that I am sure you might recognize.

> Is it on a website or printed in a written document?

What part of "public information" don't you undersatnd, Lennie. Believe it or not, the presence or lack of presence on the Internet does not substantiate validity. You are invited to contact TAG Tennessee and find out for yourself.

> First of all, the information I've presented in public here can be
> verified by anyone else accessing www.state.tn.us or
> www.state.ga.us and then following the buttons to get to LPN
> license registry. [Georgia's a bit harder to get to than
> Tennessee, but that was just for getting to the license search
> pages] No "deceit" there.

Hmmmm.....now I am wondering what MY licensure as a nurse in ANY state has to do with your trolling in Amateur Radio related newsgroups...?!?! THIS is an interesting stretch, and a sorrowful one for you to follow in order to deflect from the fact that you have been bested by Hams again.

> I'm not certain what is meant by "lecherous tripe." A "lecher"
> is a lewd, grossly sexual man according to my handy Websters.

In as much as your previous AOL posts said your "hobby" was being beaten with purses by Ruth Buzzy on park benches, I wonder too...?!?!?

> Maybe humorist Dave Barry could make more of this but I'm
> laughing too hard to type more...!

And I am sure that in YOUR mind, the laughter is frequent and plentiful. It usually is for folks so afflicted.

--

```
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"Just Remember...An Amateur Built The Ark, Engineers Built The Titanic"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM.  RESPONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.



Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 5715501113486104174
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 03:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.98.98.64!
hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:53:19 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9636iv$qgt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <960std$sdl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210010311.01651.00001361@nso-
fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.152
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 10 10:53:19 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.152
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15383

In article <20010210010311.01651.00001361@nso-fp.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> You have never said it publicly as to employer or an employer's
> amateur radio club.  Is that so difficult to admit?

     "Admit", Lennie?  This is an interrogation..?!?!

      As for the club call.it HAS been discussed here before.  I forget
the other party, but I was asked if I was going to acquire a handful
like some have.  My answer then (as it is now) just one is enough and
it's there for all to see.

     Even you, Oh Licenseless One.
--
```

"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"Just Remember...An Amateur Built The Ark, Engineers Built The Titanic"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 6694324915638243648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 03:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:48:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <9636ao$qeq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <960ql9$qlm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210010302.01651.00001359@nso-fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.152
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 10 10:48:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.152
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15382

In article <20010210010302.01651.00001359@nso-fp.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Better get in contact with Macon, GA, and renew Georgia LPN
> certificate #52241 since it expires 03/31/01

Actually it's already renewed(or at least I have forwarded the package) but thanks for your concern. And it's "P052241" to be accurate.

> Previously, Robeson stated that he took the purchasing agent
> job because of "burn-out" from nursing. Now it is revealed that
> he works as some kind of temp (?) nurse after regular company
> hours? Inconsistency there or a lack of full explanation.

I said I took the job to "take a break", Lennie...NOT to completely disassociate myself. Of course, not having never held a job that had such responsiblity, I doubt you can "relate".

> There is also some curiosity as to why someone has to travel
> about 170 miles or so (3 hours driving time) away from home
> when there most certainly are some electronics-radio related
> companies in the Tennessee area closer to Dunlap.

Ummm....unfortunately, not at this pay scale.

> I have no complaint here except the Dill Sergeant of the USAMCC
> tried to "keep the troops in line" long ago and has never stopped.

Nope...just you. You deserve it, since YOU have been trolling this and other HAM RADIO related NG's for years and never stopped either.

> I don't think the State of Tennessee's website carries home-
> grown Militia organization   Nice website organization, better
> than Georgia's in my opinion.

I am sure you wish it WEREN'T so.

> Must be a real cushy job to be able to do newsgroups on company
> time.

If I wasn't doing my job in a timely fashion, I wouldn't be doing anything else.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"Just Remember...An Amateur Built The Ark, Engineers Built The Titanic"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 776249312414914769
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 03:20:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 11:06:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <9637c7$r55$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <960r5k$qu6$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210010308.01651.00001360@nso-fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.152
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sat Feb 10 11:06:48 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; AOL 6.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.152
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15384

In article <20010210010308.01651.00001360@nso-fp.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> >> 800-FIBER-ME.
> >
> >   I have no doubt taht a bit of fiber in your diet would go a long
> >way towards changing your attitudes on life.
>
> The "800-FIBER-ME" is from the Antec home page under "how to
> reach us" button.

NO KIDDING, Lennie....?!?! GEEEZ! I DIDN'T KNOW THAT.. ! ! !

(arrogant putz!)

> Why should anyone bother to communicate to one who will do
> nothing but insult others and generally act like some sort of
> USAMCC Dill Sergeant?

Again...not intestinal fortitude to be a man.

> Poor baby...Steebie once broke into my Buddy Chat session
> with my wife who was on a trip up north. He didn't get any
> "satisfaction" out of DEMANDING to break in. His royal
> hynie hasn't been the same since.

No, Lennie, acutally by not blocking your IM's to others, you are patently allowing others to "enter" the conversation. It's only when you block the IM's or say "I am busy right now" (or words to the effect of "get lost") that an incursion has occured. You COULD have "allowed" only your wife's (or other specific friends or families) screen name while blocking others, but you didn't.

Actually, I think there was probably no such "emergency", and that this is just some make-believe "event" conjured to further substantiate the victim role. Otherwise you would have been civil enough to at least express the circumstances. "Family emergency. Can't talk. Sorry" Thirty six keystrokes in all, less than 10 seconds. Your "wife" wouldn't have noticed you were "gone".

But I see you are CLINGING to the "victim" role. At least you are consistent

> What your employer does is your employer's business. Since
> you've never admitted to working for them before, I doubt you
> care much for them...but that is between you and your employer.

What I don't care for much are liars who insist on defaming that which I have enjoyed for three decades.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"Just Remember...An Amateur Built The Ark, Engineers Built The Titanic"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 4913994768333456028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 04:36:38 PST
Message-ID: <3A853556.A24CFED6@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 12:34:30 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
References: <960ql9$qlm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210010302.01651.00001359@nso-fp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s3.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 10 Feb 2001 07:30:10 -0500, s3.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 81
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s3.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15385
```

Len Over 21 wrote:

```
>
> In article <960ql9$qlm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
> <k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:
>
> >In article <20010208214615.02997.00002014@nso-mg.aol.com>,
> >  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> >
> >> Something missing in the above considering there are only
> >> 24 hours in a terrestrial day.
> >
> >     I guess the concept of two residences is beyond you.
>
> Not at all.  Having a second residence for yourself gives you
> some free time to do as you wish.
>
> >> Driving 6 hours a day?  Doing e-mail and newsgrouping from
> >> one of those jobs?  Which one?
> >
> >     Actually, it's three hours on Monday and three on Friday.  The
> >nursing's in the middle when I choose to take an Agency shift.
>
> Better get in contact with Macon, GA, and renew Georgia LPN
> certificate #52241 since it expires 03/31/01.  It was first taken
> out on 07/12/95.  No problem on the Tennessee LPN license
> #49513 since that won't expire until Septermber 30, 2001.   :-)
> [original issue 1 November 1994]
>
> That took only a few minutes going through state websites
> However, those state websites do not reveal whether or not
> a licensee is actually USING those licenses for nursing.
> Anyone who is proud of what they do should be able to
> tell one and all what the license numbers are and where
> they can be found.  It's in public view and listed by a state
> agency.  :-)
>
> Previously, Robeson stated that he took the purchasing agent
> job because of "burn-out" from nursing.  Now it is revealed that
> he works as some kind of temp (?) nurse after regular company
> hours?  Inconsistency there or a lack of full explanation.
>
> There is also some curiosity as to why someone has to travel
> about 170 miles or so (3 hours driving time) away from home
> when there most certainly are some electronics-radio related
> companies in the Tennessee area closer to Dunlap.
>
> >> If someone posts an uncivil message, they might get a reply
> >> IN KIND.  Those are deserving.  I've never said otherwise.
> >
> >     Then you have absolutely NOTHING to complain about.  You are
> >reaping your own reward.
>
> I have no complaint here except the Dill Sergeant of the USAMCC
> tried to "keep the troops in line" long ago and has never stopped.
```

> :-)
>
> >   Again...you are free to call the Adjutant General of the State of
> >Tennessee. Want me to get the numbr for you too? I offered you my 800
> >number hre, but you haven't moved on THAT either.
>
> I don't think the State of Tennessee's website carries home-
> grown Militia organization   Nice website organization, better
> than Georgia's in my opinion.
>
> >> ...yes, the employee may wind up fired for doing personal things
> >> on company time
> >
> >   If the company's business wasn't getting done, you MIGHT be
> >correct. You are, as usual, not.
>
> Okay, so it was a slow time at the office. :-)
>
> Must be a real cushy job to be able to do newsgroups on company
> time. :-)
>
> didit

Len, you've turned into an aging cyber stalker. Why the peculiar
fixation on Steve's personal life? I find it rather peculiar.

Dave K8MN

From 1692436592778108284
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 04:46:26 PST
Message-ID: <3A853802.3790D3CB@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 12:45:54 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <3A84C977.3A6E44CF@ovis.net> <20010210012257.26444.00002074@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s3.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 10 Feb 2001 07:41:34 -0500, s3.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 31
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s3.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15386

Len Over 21 wrote:

```
>
> In article <3A84C977.3A6E44CF@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >> Feel free to keep on thinking you and only you Know What
> >> Is Best.  :-)
> >>
> >> didit
> >
> >That isn't my view, Len.  Quite a few here have interesting or funny
> >things to say.  You just aren't one of them.
>
> Feel free to keep on thinking you Know What Is Funny!    :-)

A situation containing incongruity is funny.  We laugh at the
incongruous.  You occasionally make me laugh, Len.  I'm positive that
the humor isn't intentional on your part.

> A Dave Barry you AIN'T...!
>
> didit

I dunno, Len.  Dave Barry is a minister's son.  I'm a minister's son.
Dave Barry plays guitar.  I play guitar.  Then there is the Miami
connection.  Oh yeah--we're both named "Dave".  My sister's kid played
the older boy in the "Dave's World" series.  That should be enough to
set you off on a round of cyber sleuthing.

Do you know much about amateur radio?  Fill us in.

Dave K8MN


From -3550940200709440280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 04:48:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 12:48:01 GMT
References: <20010210003935.13655.00003458@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210074801.23230.00000022@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15387

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
```

>In any scenario where CW subbands are shared with phone
>signals, CW will tend to lose out. Its only natural.

Then you believe that CW is the less-robust mode.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4795808805528350352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 04:49:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 12:49:08 GMT
References: <20010210003936.13655.00003461@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210074908.23230.00000023@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15388

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I believe the FCC should always make liberal use of grandfathering
>of privileges, just so hams like myself, who don't have a problem
>accepting a challenge, don't have to be bothered to listen to the
>whining!

Would it also put an end to your whining about whining?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8182056549644100088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 04:51:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!

```
Date: 10 Feb 2001 12:09:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <963b1c02dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <95vf0p$ovn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-
mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-605.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15390

In article <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...
>
>In article <95vf0p$ovn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
>writes:
>
>>
>>Reminds me of 10-10.  I worked a guy in Florida when I was stationed in
>>Nebraska.  Then I worked him again from Guam and he told me I was a
>>dupe.  When I tried working him from my new duty assignment in Illinois,
>>he kind of got perturbed with me.
>
>Brian:
>
>Ah, yes, the 10-10 club.  You just ran into the very attitude which
>has made me an avid "no-phoner" ever since!  The Ten-Ten organization,
>and all of its drooling, toothless, slack-jawed, knuckle-dragging,
>mouth-breathing, bottom-feeding members are, collectively, proof
>that any idiot can pick up  a microphone and talk -- and most
>idiots do!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT


I didn't realize exchanging 10-10 numbers was restricted to phone. Must be
something in 10-10 International's charter that I missed.




From -1392044650813500426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 04:51:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Date: 10 Feb 2001 12:11:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
```

```
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <963b5b12dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A836587.7F71B628@IEEE.org> <20010210003937.13655.00003463@nso-
mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-629.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15389
```

In article <20010210003937.13655.00003463@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...
>
>In article <3A836587.7F71B628@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:
>
>>
>>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>> And while I'm naming names, K1ZZ has managed to
>>> completely annoy me literally ever time we met, starting with the
>>> '84 Dayton Hamvention and every time since.
>>
>>Got news for you, Larry. You are the one who *chooses* to be annoyed
>>unless he literally hit you with a stick.
>
>Cecil:
>
>That's where you'd be wrong, again! You're pretty annoying at times,
>but you've never hit me with a stick! Where did this stick-hitting
>requirement for annoyance come from, anyway? You don' need no
>steenking sticks in order to be annoying!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

So now you're saying that K1ZZ hit you with a stick at Dayton?

```
From 8821033745400454514
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 04:51:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 12:51:03 GMT
References: <20010210003935.13655.00003460@nso-mq.aol.com>
```

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210075103.23230.00000024@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15391

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>>I knew you guys would come up with some great ideas. But I think that
>>nothing short of a federally mandated 'no lids, no kids, no space
>>cadets' requirement would satisfy the malcontents out here.

>Now, THAT's one of the most reasonable statements I've ever seen
>posted in this newsgripe!

Jim, you were right.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7951496010422897041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 04:52:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: TR@sky.net
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 12:53:54 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3a84bd6e.10119603@news.idsi.net>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
<3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 34
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9274
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12278 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15392 rec.radio.cb:25845

```
rec.radio.scanner:13596

On Wed, 7 Feb 2001 20:02:09 -0500, "h" <not@aol.com> wrote:

>It's true look at your own foul and filthy mouth!
>Ham's always use foul language and filthy talk on the radio just like they
>do on this newsgroup. Freebanders know better. Freebanders are mature and
>can express themselves without spewing forth filthy language.
>
>--
>Sincerely,
>Ken

  You are wrong. On all counts. Nice try though.

><TR@sky.net> wrote in message news:3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net...
>> On Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:01:46 -0500, "h" <not@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >If he had a license he is a HAM not a CB'er
>> >Frankly Freebanders are like the Hams of old. If you look at the FCC
>> >enforcement logs The Hams are out of control and need enforcement while
>> >Freebanders are mature and working to improver thier knowledge, save
>lives,
>> >promote freedom and democracy, and gernerally aid and improve the
>geneneral
>> >welfare or thier comunities.
>> >
>> >--
>> >Sincerely,
>> >Ken
>>
>>  Total BULLSHIT. You know and I know it.
>>
>
>




From 7879152065372040321
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 04:53:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 12:53:39 GMT
References: <20010210003935.13655.00003459@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

```
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210075339.23230.00000025@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15393

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>No-coders have been authorized to work CW without any testing for code
>>whatver
>>for about a decade now.  What harm have they caused CW operators?

>A better question would be -- What CW operating have no-coders done?
>Have you ever worked a no-coder on VHF/CW?

That is not a better question in the context of the original premise that if
no-code folks are allowed to use CW without being tested, they will foul our
nest.  I think my original question is more apropos.

Your question, however, is a good answer to my question.  The answer is that I
don't think so.  This pretty much demonstrates that people don't operate Morse
code unless they know the code, so they won't be causing anyone to "stumble"
over they on "our" bands.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>
>
>
>
>




From 7964104118273291596
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 04:59:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 12:59:13 GMT
References: <20010210003938.13655.00003464@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210075913.23230.00000027@ng-fm1.aol.com>
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15394

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I would say that their objections to the way Morse code is tested
>sounds a lot like whining -- as it always does!

Your objection to my views is noted.

>>I do not believe that Morse code use will end any time soon, Larry.

>Notice, one and all, that Ed didn't say that its use would NOT come
>to an end -- which it certainly will now that the testing requirement is
>all but gone.

What year do you estimate that the last Morse code QSO will take place on
Amateur Radio, Larry? Can you explain why you believe that year will be the
one?

>> Do you feel that the mode has so little value to ham radio that no one
>> will learn it and use it unless it is tested?

>Asked and answered, many times.

Yes, you have; you do not believe that Morse code can survive without
government support and welfare through an affirmative-action testing program.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6748641080976564301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 05:03:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 13:03:16 GMT
References: <20010210003934.13655.00003457@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010210080316.23230.00000028@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15395

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Nothing. I am pleased that there are 37,980 new hams.

>How many of them have joined the ARRL as of today?

I don't know, Larry. I was a ham (off and on) for about 20 years before I
joined ARRL. Maybe that gives me a different perspective on new hams' joining
and supporting organizations.

Is whether they are League members really more important to you than the fact
that there are 37,980 new hams?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7050440543323307108
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 05:05:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 13:05:19 GMT
References: <960m5b22tpc@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210080519.23230.00000029@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15396

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

>>Not till nearly ten years later. He did his thing in the late 70's, and
>>it led directly to the adoption of the question pools and VE testing
>>system.

> That. and the fact that having to administer amateur license exams
> was a very big boil on the FCC's behind.

"Privatization" was the governement buzzword of the era. I think that the
major social forces that were sweeping the country were a bit more influential
on the FCC's decision than the fact that one ham published some reasonable
accurate "question pools."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3641704891902124206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 05:16:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 13:15:59 GMT
References: <961d8v$3k3$0@208.206.142.113>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210081559.23230.00000030@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15397

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Naw, you didn't figure it out at all. I was in QSO when the PSker
>landed on top of us and flailed away, clueless.

If he was able to conduct his QSO, you were outside of his necessary bandwidth. He was probably outside of yours, but many hams cannot copy a CW signal if another signal is less than 100 Hz away from the station they are trying to listen to. I am good for about 20 Hz separation on a good day, but I find it very fatiguing and I don't enjoy it.

Now, you have cited a case where a PSK-31 signal jumped on top of you. How many times has that happened compared the number of times that another CW signal jumped on top of you.

>I on the other hand was (or easily could have been) running
>my Tuna Tin Deuce and a single stage regen. So now who has the
>efficiency edge?

It depends. WERE you using your TT2 and a regen at the time, "could" you have been?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3206589166353307641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 05:20:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Feb 2001 12:19:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <963bjq22dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <95sml10ion@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010210003936.13655.00003461@nso-
mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-734.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15398

In article <20010210003936.13655.00003461@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...

>I believe the FCC should always make liberal use of grandfathering
>of privileges, just so hams like myself, who don't have a problem
>accepting a challenge, don't have to be bothered to listen to the
>whining!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

AH Yes! The old "You don't post a callsign, so you must not have passed"
reponse.






From 4574151382590101126
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 05:20:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 13:19:58 GMT
References: <7t398t4g2iseuq39pgfg02mlsmfv9rbm3s@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
```

```
Message-ID: <20010210081958.23230.00000031@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15399

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>In the 50s it would be unthinkable for most hams to send a rig
>back to the factory to be fixed. Today it is common place...

I think that hams of today are under the impression that the factory will do
component-level troubleshooting rather than replace the board that the ham
could have replaced directly.

I own an old Ten Tec OMNI D, built in the early 80s.  Do you think most hams of
that day would have fixed it themselves, or sent it to the factory?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From -1639369675526752960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 05:28:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 13:27:49 GMT
References: <t899nocdh29r4b@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Message-ID: <20010210082749.23230.00000032@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15400

>I always thought that "break" was an "pseudo emergency" "pro sign" in FFC or
>maritime language not to be used routinely.
>What do others think.

I know that on the County Hunters and Mobile Emergency Net, "Break Break" is
used only in actual emergencies.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 6505319834365684432
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 05:32:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 13:32:47 GMT
References: <HZUg6.144$HH5.946717@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210083247.17176.00001543@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15401
```

In article <HZUg6.144$HH5.946717@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>The Extra Class license is still required to obtain all amateur priveleges.

Yup - same as before.

>The number of steps required to get there have been reduced, with each step
>being more difficult than it was before.

Nope. The number of steps has been reduced, with each step EASIER than it was before.

>The Technician with HF endorsement
>is now the entry-level HF license -- how much harder is is than the Novice
>used to be?

It's easier than the Novice used to be.

The above are based on taking practice exams on the qrz.website - both the old ones and the new ones.

> Is this your idea of a 180-degree phase shift?

Not at all. It's just a continuing trend that's been going on for 20-30 years.
.
>
>I have said often that I believe that amateurs need to learn more about
>modern radio technology; you have disagreed with me.

Well, I agree with you, Ed. Learning is a Basis and Purpose of the ARS, I think.

The big question is whether the learning needs to be heavily "incentivized" by

the license tests, or not.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -1912907406139272806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,356d588770853894,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 05:33:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A85431A.154777B2@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Calling the "Roll"
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 08:33:14 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981811998 209.140.206.201 (Sat, 10 Feb 2001 08:33:18 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 08:33:18 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15402
```

Just to keep track, I thought we could use a list of classes of people that irritate Larry, as evidenced by recent NG postings:

(1) Hams who haven't taken a code test (e.g., not "Real Hams").
(2) Hams who have an Extra without a 20 WPM test (e.g., "PseudoExtras").
(3) People who think (1) and (2) are real hams/Extras (e.g., "Politically Correct whiners").
(4) Ten-Ten members. ("Collectively, proof that any idiot can pick up a microphone and talk.")
(5) Everyone at ARRL HQ except Ed (although stay tuned: Ed is teetering on the brink).
(6) "The majority of hams utilizing 'high-speed digital modes.'"
(7) "People hired under Affirmative Action programs."
...more to come, no doubt. That's only a couple days' worth.

We could shorten the list dramatically by just making it:
(1) Anyone who's not Larry.
But that wouldn't be as much fun!

Jon

```
From 6415000933882352452
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 05:33:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 13:32:49 GMT
References: <SB_g6.158$HH5.966289@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210083249.17176.00001544@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15403

In article <SB_g6.158$HH5.966289@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

><n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> Let's see - entire HF station has a total of 20 active devices in the
>> transceiver and a bunch of diodes and regulators in the power supplies
>> and antenna tuner/SWR bridge. Puts 100+ watts of clean RF in the
>> antenna, which is also homemade. Cost about a dollar a watt to build,
>> not counting the antenna tuner, which cost maybe $20 and is good for
>> several hundred watts. Clock is an old mechanical 24 hour digital
>> (Timechron?) job made from the parts of three junkers. The shack table
>> and shelves are also homemade.
>
>Now, if this is an original design,

It is. I know of none like it. It was designed around available parts. I have a few more available parts than the average ham. ;-)

> I have only one question -- when are we
>going to see the article or web page on it? :-)
>
Electric Radio, July 1995, under the title "The Fifty Cent Filter". If you want a complete description, that will take some time. I don't think QST or QEX would be interested.

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1703161248598210051

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 05:45:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 13:44:53 GMT
References: <963b5b12dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Message-ID: <20010210084453.21325.00000060@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15404

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

>So now you're saying that K1ZZ hit <K3LT> with a stick at Dayton?

Nothing said about it in the ARRL HQ rumor mill, nor were there any memos that if Larry shows up at HQ we should all hit him with a stick. In fact, such activities are pretty much forbidden by some general policy in the ARRL HQ employees manual.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2335431422751339060
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 05:49:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 70
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 13:49:00 GMT
References: <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210084900.21325.00000063@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15405

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Do you think you could pass a multiple-choice test on this technology?

> Of course- all I'd have to do is download the Question Pool and read it
>awhile!

If that is the only way you can learn and pass a test, why are you so critical
of others who you believe are doing the same thing.

Tell you what, how about we give your a 400 question test on the subject and
you can tell me how easy it was and whether you learned anything studying the
right answers.

73,
Ed ahare, W1RFI
>
>
>> >BUT--- if, like Cecil, you're telling me that Riley and his buddy Dale
>> >Hatfield insist that I and any serious percentage of ham radio licensees
>> >must become digital designers just to assure the survival of the ARS,
>> >you might as well go ahead and pass the word to them that they can begin
>> >the Big Shutdown anytime now.
>>
>> I don't recall iether of them saying any such thing. Can you supply a
>quote?
>
>
> Sure, they both said "Hey you dumbass hams better get your rears in
>gear and get off that old mundane stuff, you're not doing our engineers
>nor the rest of the economy any good by sticking with that old reliable
>gear. You gotta do what the Maritime services did, get rid of that old
>stuff and take up the new, hoswever flawed and no matter what complex
>gear you have to get, so we can progress....."
>
> Well? What were we supposed to get out of paragraphs of nonspecific
>chatter that said essentially that in paraphrase?
>
>
>
>> They are both telling Amateur Radio, each in their own way, that Amateur
>Radio
>> needs to modernize.
>
>
>When no such thing is needed in any way!!
>
>
> Why do you think they are saying that? My interpretation
>> is not that all hams need to become design engineers, but that hams should
>be
>> making use of modern radio communications techniques within the Service.
>
>
> And many DO! That is what shows that they will not be satisfied with

```
>anything that hams do. The Carl Stevensons and Cecil Moores out there
>are the root of it. Barely ever a ham at all, -hams have fun- they now
>can do little but bitch about what everyone but they are doing. For
>reasons known only to themselves FCC et all find themselves unable to
>effectively shrug off that sort of crap and instead we get nothing but
>criticism that we don't do all that they do! Looks like a terminal case
>of envy! We actually have fun with what we do. It's a shame they can't.
>
>
>
>
>
>




From -7218159576171484l4
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 06:55:05 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 14:54:38 GMT
Message-ID: <3a85557d.138035384@news2.dvol.com>
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208193305.21807.00000090@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3a83de57.41990447@news2.dvol.com> <961s7l$qrd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.24
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.24
X-Trace: 10 Feb 2001 08:53:17 -0600, 64.24.240.24
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15406

On Fri, 09 Feb 2001 22:50:31 GMT, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
wrote:

>> Don't bring that sumbitch to Bookbinders for the RV/EY lobsterfest,
>> he'll never make it back to Allentown.
>
>You sound like a bad man.
>
. . I can provide references . .
>
>"When you've worked over a FIST, you've worked over a friend!"
```

>
Squiggy doesn't qualify as either.
>

From 8175180275413822593
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 07:22:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Feb 2001 15:22:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <963mc6$cp1$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <95vvt4$8dl$1@208.207.71.143> <20010209065348.16888.00000164@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <3A83F2C0.3170D943@IEEE.org> <961cgs$1mf$0@208.206.142.113>
<vDYg6.151$HH5.959875@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15407

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > So smirk, smirk. the PSk31 station requies many thousands of active
> > devices plus all the cabling, setup and crossed fingers required to get
> > it running.
>
> > CW? well, you already know.......
>
> Yes, I do; CW can be done with a single active device on transmit.
>
> How many active devices are inside the transmitter you use for CW?

Depends on which rig I use. I have a few which have 1 or 2.

Let's
> assume 10,000 per IC and count from there. The microprocessors and RAM etc

> probably have quite a few more, but that will be offset by some of the IC
> amplifiers. Don't forget to count your keyer, if you use one.

Not many PN junctions in a Vibroplex or J38!

But we all know that none of those many active devices are necessary to
run radiotelegraphy, don't we? It really in thoughtful of manufacturers
to see to it that CW mode is preserved on all these newly manufactured
rigs, though, they must know what Real Hams like.

```
From -3976628057405366944
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 07:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Feb 2001 15:34:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <95vrgo$r9j$0@208.207.71.143> <20010209064528.16888.00000161@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <961dim$499$0@208.206.142.113> <rz_g6.157$HH5.966185@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15408
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > > It is not more difficult to understand the fundamentals of how it works
> than it
> > > is to understand the fundamentals of AM, FM, SSB.
>
> > Understanding the fundamentals is far from "We all gotta adopt the
> > latest yada yada yada" crap that is the Cecilian hue and cry, and to
> > which you clearly subscribe.
>
> I subscribe to the concept that more hams need to learn the fundamentals of
> modern radio technology. You seem to be having a lot of difficulty
> understanding what that means. I can't think of another way to say it,
> Dick.

As you well know by now, so do I- Just as long as they don't jump right over and bypass the basic modes as well.

If one wished to maintain the communications mode which would be likely to be useable under the widest range of situations and conditions, would he not decide to keep the most universally available, easily implemented mode, at least as a reserve? Why is that so obscure a point ot view to so many techies? Agenda time again?

> > > I am quite aware of the present technical status of hams, Dick; I spend
> about 2
> > > or 3 hours a day on the phone, answering their questions. Most of them
> don't
> > > share your "can't do" viewpoint.
>

I sereiously doubt it. You meet and converse with seek out your services and those of ARRL. For every one you contact there are many thousands you never know about. No one I know personally has had contact with you. ARRL memebeship amounts to less than 25% of US hams. I don't think you know that much about the majority of them.

```
From 4747302722792546946
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 07:37:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: 10 Feb 2001 15:37:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <963n8d$e9v$1@208.206.142.95>
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208193305.21807.00000090@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3a83de57.41990447@news2.dvol.com> <961s7l$qrd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15409
```

```
Brian wrote:
>
> "When you've worked over a FIST, you've worked over a friend!"

So when did you OR Carl ever work a FIST?



From 4429255524633050500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 07:41:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Feb 2001 15:41:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <963nee$f60$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156> <20010208185423.21807.00000073@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113> <3A843E8B.3F22D6C4@IEEE.org>
<961tnb$g11$1@208.206.142.81> <3A8481DA.D8DD9F93@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15410




Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > The great majority of hams are stuck in the 60s, Dick. We are already
> > > stagnating 40 years behind the state of the art in RF communications.
> >
> > That is pure unadulturated bullcrap.
>
> Nope, it's exactly what is wrong with ham radio today. I remember when
> many hams were at the leading edge of communications technology. We
> are still at that same edge but now it's the trailing edge.


I'm afraid the facts are, pretty much as always regardless of your
selective memorizing, that the engineer-hobbyists who are also hams will
-again; as always, be1 the portion of ham who do "leading edge" design.
It has never been different except that once it was much easier for an
```

ordinary individual to learn to be a communications (radio) engineer.

```
From 9125123690435640776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 07:46:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: Robert Watson <rwatson@westsound.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 07:46:34 -0800
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A85625A.F1240A21@westsound.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
<3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
<3a84bd6e.10119603@news.idsi.net>
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="------------152260E5C57DB4CFCC568994"
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 62
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9280
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12290 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15411 rec.radio.cb:25859
rec.radio.scanner:13602


--------------152260E5C57DB4CFCC568994
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>
> >> On Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:01:46 -0500, "h" <not@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >If he had a license he is a HAM not a CB'er
> >> >Frankly Freebanders are like the Hams of old. If you look at the FCC
> >> >enforcement logs The Hams are out of control and need enforcement while
> >> >Freebanders are mature and working to improver thier knowledge, save
> >lives,

Lets hope that you are trying to improve your spelling .......


> >geneneral

Yes, once again we see a fine example of knowledge in action.......

Maybe you could learn how to use spellcheck so at least you could fake us
out......

> >> >--
> >> >Sincerely,
> >> >Ken

--------------152260E5C57DB4CFCC568994
Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

<!doctype html public "-//w3c//dtd html 4.0 transitional//en">
<html>

<blockquote TYPE=CITE>&nbsp;
<br>>> On Wed, 7 Feb 2001 18:01:46 -0500, "h" &lt;not@aol.com> wrote:
<br>>>
<br>>> >If he had a license he is a HAM not a CB'er
<br>>> >Frankly Freebanders are like the Hams of old. If you look at the
FCC
<br>>> >enforcement logs The Hams are out of control and need enforcement
while</blockquote>

<blockquote TYPE=CITE>>> >Freebanders are mature and working to <b><font
face="">improver
thier</font></b> knowledge, save
<br>>lives,</blockquote>
<b>Lets hope that you are trying to improve your spelling .......</b>
<br>&nbsp;
<blockquote TYPE=CITE>><b>geneneral</b></blockquote>

<p><br><b>Yes, once again we see a fine example of knowledge in action.......</
b><b></b>
<p><b>Maybe you could learn how to use spellcheck so at least you could
fake us out......</b>
<blockquote TYPE=CITE>>> >--
<br>>> >Sincerely,
<br>>> >Ken</blockquote>
</html>

--------------152260E5C57DB4CFCC568994--
```

From 8793086804931778701
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 08:08:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 10 Feb 2001 16:08:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <963p27$j9l$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146> <20010208193422.21807.00000091@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9600bj$8dl$2@208.207.71.143> <3A83F50D.BBD4D793@IEEE.org> <961cla$1mf$1@208.206.142.113> <3A843A6C.E203CA20@IEEE.org> <961tk2$g11$0@208.206.142.81> <3A848362.DDEB8CF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15412

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > DSP is to ham radio now as SSB was to ham radio in the early 50s.
> >
> > That shows how little you really know.
>
> Dick, it's the truth. A/Ds and processors are getting faster every year
> and every year digital electronics takes over more and more of the
> functions from analog by doing the job cheaper and better. Soon, everything
> this side of the mixer will be DSP functions. The Kachina radio was just
> the first of many.

And the output of the Kachina is undistinguishable from a non-DSP rig. You say we must do it because someone can. Not because there is any compelling reason, such as was the case when SSB surperseded AM. Anyone who listened to 75 meters in the AM days when the band was a massive series of heterodyne squeals as cinoared with the relative order of SSB there later knows. There is simply no way to compare that change with

DSP.

 You're not telling me anything I don't alrady know. You *are* showing how ham radio is being morphed into just another internet style gabblegibberish mishmash. About the same thing happened to VHF packet radio, and was gthe exact reason I and many others abandoned it. All the "visioaries" insisted on converting it into something virtually useless and at once dull and uninteresting, just to persue some elusive uneeded "efficiencies" which mean nothing whatever to the individual ham radio operator and only served the agendas of the perpetrators.
 No one **EVER** suggested that hams should refrain from learning about new technolies, and code supporters have repeatedly stated that from the outset, along with strong support for current modern tests. WE DO RESIST the continued assertion that we must "modernize" by dumnping everything that interests us just because it might have been around longer than the latest digital dream. We've listed dozens of other objects which are also obsolete, and are still in universal use today, but that makes no difference.

 It is clear that the entire movement to "modernize" is nothing more than another push to get rid of the code. And a very lame one at that. We are already modernized. And we refuse to be intimidated or browbeat by those who want their agenda to prevail.

From -5704000251944522111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 08:40:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:30:46 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3A856CB6.DA7C20E4@IEEE.org>
References: <t2Vg6.145$HH5.947011@news.ntplx.net> <20010210003938.13655.00003464@nso-mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Feb 2001 16:40:28 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15413

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> >How do you feel about those that have learned how to send and receive Morse
> >code, but believe that changes need to be made in the way Morse code is
> >tested?
>
> I would say that their objections to the way Morse code is tested
> sounds a lot like whining -- as it always does!

Why would you say that, Larry. Ed and I have nothing to whine about.

> Whether or not people will learn
> and use the Morse code in the absence of testing has nothing to do
> with its value --

What a strangely false statement, Larry. If Morse code has enough value,
of course people will learn and use it.

> And none of these other modes require the physical development of
> an operating skill which requires a great deal of personal motivation,
> dedication, and perseverence to master.

So you are saying the value of the return on investment for Morse code
is too low for the cost/effort involved. You might be right, Larry, but
Ed and I disagree with you. Only time will tell.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8067938468243082525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 08:42:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Feb 2001 16:42:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <963r26$o1t$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <c_kg6.122$HH5.815207@news.ntplx.net>
<20010207215047.00714.00005780@nso-md.aol.com>
<FUDg6.134$HH5.885356@news.ntplx.net> <95vr09$q0v$0@208.207.71.143>
<HZUg6.144$HH5.946717@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15414

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
>

> I have said often that I believe that amateurs need to learn more about
> modern radio technology; you have disagreed with me. Just what basket are
> you saying I am in?

No I have NOT disagreed with you, rather I have agreed with you. But I gotta tell you-- If ARRL(or anyone else) wants any serious numbers of hams to learn the advanced techniques it will take more than just haranguing and a few references in the Handbook to do it. There must be an effort that involves a lot more than lip service. I'd suggest the BOD start a true basic level educational initiative to do just that. Start by cocmparing the basic level differences with a a conventional superhet then a ground-up model of a DSP radio transciever, on the most basic operational level that will function, then publissh the whole thing in a series of magazine articles- and NOT in QEX. Most of the hams who are not techies don't get QEX. A book wouldn't hurt a thing. With all the yelling at us that goes on here SURELY there are plenty of experts that could handle this in stride - aren't there?????

Bottom line, all this noise is just that so long as it isn't followed by actual movement to accomplish. It really becomes mundane, all the "we gotta modernize" stuff with nothing to back it up.

```
From -1104565440204348237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 08:45:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:34:46 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A856DA6.F9727BA@IEEE.org>
References: <95sml10ion@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010210003936.13655.00003461@nso-
mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Feb 2001 16:45:22 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15415

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I never could understand the
> post-Incentive Licensing General and Advanced licensees that
> refused to upgrade to Extra, except for the fact that most of them are
> primarily phone operators!

I am primarily an experimenter and rarely operate. Most of the hams
I know who are primarily experimenters were Advanced class hams.
There simply was no need for an Extra license.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -6319937560906160446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 08:47:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Feb 2001 16:47:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <963rbl$o1t$1@208.206.142.95>
References: <3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu> <20010210003935.13655.00003460@nso-
mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15416



Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3A81BCD5.B30DC604@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >
> >I knew you guys would come up with some great ideas.  But I think that
> >nothing short of a federally mandated 'no lids, no kids, no space
> >cadets' requirement would satisfy the malcontents out here.
>
> Now, THAT's one of the most reasonable statements I've ever seen
> posted in this newsgripe!
>
```

> OBTW -- "kids" are OK as long as they are not lids or space cadets!

We'll take it even further - lids are OK as long as they are willing to
learn. But space cadets, well......

From 2893569828804316345
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 08:48:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:38:51 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A856E9B.ECA0DA50@IEEE.org>
References: <3A836587.7F71B628@IEEE.org> <20010210003937.13655.00003463@nso-
mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Feb 2001 16:49:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15417

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> That's where you'd be wrong, again! You're pretty annoying at times,
> but you've never hit me with a stick! Where did this stick-hitting
> requirement for annoyance come from, anyway? You don' need no
> steenking sticks in order to be annoying!

Just shows how little you know about human psychology, Larry.
Anyone who gets annoyed on a news group is choosing to be
annoyed. The basic question is: Why do you choose to allow
people to annoy you on a news group?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -405678109228104634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 08:54:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!

```
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:43:31 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A856FB3.4AFE8E7C@IEEE.org>
References: <HZUg6.144$HH5.946717@news.ntplx.net>
<20010210083247.17176.00001543@nso-ba.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Feb 2001 16:53:13 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15418

N2EY wrote:
> >The Technician with HF endorsement
> >is now the entry-level HF license -- how much harder is is than the Novice
> >used to be?
>
> It's easier than the Novice used to be.

I haven't looked at the Tech exam but I seriously doubt that it is easier
than the Novice exam I took in 1951 which was based on only 33 total Q&A
in the ARRL License Manual. I memorized it in two hours.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -6636120851136803045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 08:59:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:49:51 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A85712F.B4500C74@IEEE.org>
References: <95vrgo$r9j$0@208.207.71.143> <20010209064528.16888.00000161@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <961dim$499$0@208.206.142.113> <rz_g6.157$HH5.966185@news.ntplx.net>
<963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.92
```

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Feb 2001 16:59:32 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15419

Dick Carroll wrote:
>   If one wished to maintain the communications mode which would be
> likely to be useable under the widest range of situations and
> conditions, would he not decide to keep the most universally available,
> easily implemented mode, at least as a reserve? Why is that so obscure a
> point ot view to so many techies? Agenda time again?

The great majority of people who don't know Morse code don't consider
it to be universal or easily implemented. If you want to use Morse code
for emergencies, Dick, by all means do it. Most of the rest of the world
has given up on Morse code for emergency use.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -8804496023565960668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 09:01:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Feb 2001 17:01:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <963s5m$qsa$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <20010210003935.13655.00003458@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010210074801.23230.00000022@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15420

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>

> >In any scenario where CW subbands are shared with phone
> >signals, CW will tend to lose out. Its only natural.
>
> Then you believe that CW is the less-robust mode.

????? Wake up, Ed. He compared a 5 watt CW signal with a KW SSB. Then
he said one major advantage of CW is the fact that you don't need high
power, while most of the SSBers use it anyway, words to that effect.
That means that CW is the less robust mode? When you can clearly copy a
CW signal at levels where SSB voice is totally unintelligible?
  Fellow, you're beginning to sound like this is getting to you, you're
losing your technical edge. Why?

From -2886940186821370019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 09:09:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 11:00:06 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3A857396.E0EF5CFE@IEEE.org>
References: <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146> <20010208193422.21807.00000091@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9600bj$8dl$2@208.207.71.143> <3A83F50D.BBD4D793@IEEE.org>
<961cla$1mf$1@208.206.142.113> <3A843A6C.E203CA20@IEEE.org>
<961tk2$g11$0@208.206.142.81> <3A848362.DDEB8CF@IEEE.org>
<963p27$j9l$0@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Feb 2001 17:09:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15421

Dick Carroll wrote:
> And the output of the Kachina is undistinguishable from a non-DSP rig.

I doubt that's true, Dick, but if it is true, then the Kachina is a
poorly designed DSP rig. Properly implemented DSP is better than analog.

> You're not telling me anything I don't alrady know. You *are* showing
> how ham radio is being morphed into just another internet style
> gabblegibberish mishmash.

So we hams should deliberately become a case of arrested development
in the electronic dark ages?

> It is clear that the entire movement to "modernize" is nothing more
> than another push to get rid of the code. And a very lame one at that.

No one wants to get rid of the code, only the code tests. What we are
advocating is that hams know as much about modern modes as they know
about CW.

> We are already modernized. And we refuse to be intimidated or browbeat
> by those who want their agenda to prevail.

Spoken like a true knuckle-dragging Neanderthal, Dick. Latest theory is
that the Neanderthals were absorbed into the Homo Sapien line. You seen
to have got the majority of their genes. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7188393648903395795
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 09:11:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Feb 2001 17:11:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <963sod$qsa$1@208.206.142.95>
References: <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113> <20010210084900.21325.00000063@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15422

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Do you think you could pass a multiple-choice test on this technology?
>
> > Of course- all I'd have to do is download the Question Pool and read it

> >awhile!
>
> If that is the only way you can learn and pass a test, why are you so critical
> of others who you believe are doing the same thing.

Did the point slip past you? You asked if I could pass a test on it.
I could- the same way many pass all the tests. Whether or not I knew
anything about it.

From -7616139369541681888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 10:20:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "h" <not@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 13:22:51 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t8b1iuo38fvfda@corp.supernews.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
<3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
<eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
<Zgpg6.38414$49.6855308@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<t85a5d7n8a81f2@corp.supernews.com> <t89648o0tkkp61@corp.supernews.com>
<962cfg31e36@enews4.newsguy.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 49
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9292
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12301 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15423 rec.radio.cb:25872
rec.radio.scanner:13606

Discussing controversial subjects! Gee sounds like "no political dissent
allowed!"
Of course Hams will willingly bend their knee and kiss ass.

```
Freebanders will carry on and will remain THE LAST BEST HOPE FOR FREEDOM!!!

btw most freebanders have equal knowledge in electronics and SUPERIOR
knowledge of human freedom.

....act irresponsibly and break the law.
Adolf Hitler-1935

--
Sincerely,
Ken
pwrguy@intergrafix.net
"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:962cfg31e36@enews4.newsguy.com...
> With freedom, comes responsibilty. Freebanders aren't acting responsible
> when they interfere with other communications services. It's the "yelling
fire"
> cliche.
>
> I do, however, have a problem with the FCC telling me what topics I may or
may
> not talk about. Example: During an interview several years ago, an FCC
official
> said that discussing controversial subjects over the amateur frequencies
> basically violated 97.1(e), because such subjects, as he put it, "did
nothing
> to enhance international good will."
>
>
> In article <t89648o0tkkp61@corp.supernews.com>, not@aol.com says...
> >
> >Gee sounds like our founding fathers. Guess freedom isn't good unless
> >sanctioned by third world dictatorships
> >
> >--
> >Sincerely,
> >Ken
> >pwrguy@intergrafix.net
> >> Freebanders are generally unexperienced in electronics and not
sanctioned
> >by
> >> any country in the world.
> >> Rick
>




From 8586523252270098558
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,356d588770853894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 10:28:24 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim" <w8ing2001@yahoo.com>
From: "Kim" <w8ing2001@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A85431A.154777B2@arrl.org>
Subject: Re: Calling the "Roll"
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <aLfh6.6131$ZJ5.537204@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 18:28:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.135.162
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981829702 209.246.135.162 (Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:28:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 10:28:22 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15424

Ya left two out...

Happy New Year, Everyone (No, I'm not back)...I needed to see if I could find Phil Kane's email address.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:3A85431A.154777B2@arrl.org...
> Just to keep track, I thought we could use a list of classes of people
> that irritate Larry, as evidenced by recent NG postings:
>
> (1) Hams who haven't taken a code test (e.g., not "Real Hams").
> (2) Hams who have an Extra without a 20 WPM test (e.g., "PseudoExtras").
> (3) People who think (1) and (2) are real hams/Extras (e.g.,
> "Politically Correct whiners").
> (4) Ten-Ten members. ("Collectively, proof that any idiot can pick up a
> microphone and talk.")
> (5) Everyone at ARRL HQ except Ed (although stay tuned: Ed is teetering
> on the brink).
> (6) "The majority of hams utilizing 'high-speed digital modes.'"
> (7) "People hired under Affirmative Action programs."
> ...more to come, no doubt. That's only a couple days' worth.
>
> We could shorten the list dramatically by just making it:
> (1) Anyone who's not Larry.
> But that wouldn't be as much fun!
>

```
> Jon
>
```

```
From -1546438329091377005
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 11:53:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 19:53:44 GMT
References: <3A853556.A24CFED6@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010210145344.01651.00001387@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15425
```

In article <3A853556.A24CFED6@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

```
>Len, you've turned into an aging cyber stalker.  Why the peculiar
>fixation on Steve's personal life?  I find it rather peculiar.
```

I find you rather peculiar.   :-)

didit

```
From -5876310389736714095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 11:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.icl.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 77
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 19:53:45 GMT
References: <9636ao$qeq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
```

Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010210145345.01651.00001388@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15426

In article <9636ao$qeq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010210010302.01651.00001359@nso-fp.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> Better get in contact with Macon, GA, and renew Georgia LPN certificate #52241 since it expires 03/31/01
>
>   Actually it's already renewed(or at least I have forwarded the package) but thanks for your concern. And it's "P052241" to be accurate.

Correction noted. Please advise the webmasters at the Macon site...they only indicate the last five numbers.

>> Previously, Robeson stated that he took the purchasing agent job because of "burn-out" from nursing. Now it is revealed that he works as some kind of temp (?) nurse after regular company hours? Inconsistency there or a lack of full explanation.
>
>   I said I took the job to "take a break", Lennie...NOT to completely disassociate myself. Of course, not having never held a job that had such responsiblity, I doubt you can "relate".

As a design electronics engineer, I've had as much responsibility as any other design electronics engineer. That can run to several tens of million dollars on a project. You can find my name in the approval sign-off block for the drawings of the AN/ASM-416 Test Set, as one example.

How can you possibly "know" what I've done in the aerospace community over the last 46 years? Have you checked with my past employers? I gave you a list of them. Have you checked with the two radio amateurs who I've included as personal references? Have you bothered checking anything at all?

I don't think so. All you did was make a blanket accusation cum insult based on personal rage. Tsk, tsk, not nice.

>> There is also some curiosity as to why someone has to travel about 170 miles or so (3 hours driving time) away from home when there most certainly are some electronics-radio related companies in the Tennessee area closer to Dunlap.
>
>   Ummm....unfortunately, not at this pay scale.

Chatanooga has no electronics industry? Nothing closer than 3 hours distance? Seems strange considering the east coasters

have been bragging for years about being the center for all the
"good" industries. :-)

Purchasing agents must be making bundles of money now!

Just think what you could do with a little hard work and study
to get an engineering diploma...you could be making bundles
upon bundles of money and specifying what purchasing buys
instead of placing orders. Of course, that wouldn't be
amateur work anymore. Engineers get "tested" every day in
design and the only certificate/diploma they get for that is a
continuing paycheck. Not a callsign you can use for bragging
rights but you can, literally, take it to the bank. :-)

>   Nope...just you. You deserve it, since YOU have been trolling
>this and other HAM RADIO related NG's for years and never stopped
>either.

I have? Must be another one with my screen name then. :-)

>   If I wasn't doing my job in a timely fashion, I wouldn't be doing
>anything else.

Whatever you say...keep saying that until you get caught... :-)

didit

```
From 2174065267631488522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 11:54:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 19:53:50 GMT
References: <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010210145350.01651.00001391@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15428
```

In article <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>Ah, yes, the 10-10 club. You just ran into the very attitude which
>has made me an avid "no-phoner" ever since! The Ten-Ten organization,
>and all of its drooling, toothless, slack-jawed, knuckle-dragging,
>mouth-breathing, bottom-feeding members are, collectively, proof
>that any idiot can pick up a microphone and talk -- and most
>idiots do!

...and some folks wonder why tRoll was beaten with a stick!

:-)

didit

From -6176008225010466979
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 11:54:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 19:53:51 GMT
References: <3A853802.3790D3CB@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010210145351.01651.00001392@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15427

In article <3A853802.3790D3CB@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>> Feel free to keep on thinking you Know What Is Funny!   :-)
>
>A situation containing incongruity is funny. We laugh at the
>incongruous. You occasionally make me laugh, Len. I'm positive that
>the humor isn't intentional on your part.

Ja, Herr Obersturmbandsfuhrer, it is nice to know that even the
high-ranking superior ones are able to enjoy life and find
amusements.

Gruss Gott and didit

From -7845814987020423084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 04:20:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 12:11:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 100
Message-ID: <965vgk$rs6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu> <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net> <961c67$bhc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A84C85A.F68FD2DA@ovis.net> <964n0s$utc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A8617F3.C050F612@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.60
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 12:11:01 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.60
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15479

In article <3A8617F3.C050F612@ovis.net>,
  Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A84C85A.F68FD2DA@ovis.net>,
> >   Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net>,
> > > >   Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I'd
> > > > > describe your perception of amateur radio reality with a term
from
> > > > > ionospheric propagation:  skewed path.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Likewise, you seem to hang with what I consider some of the
wrong
> > > > elements in amateur radio.  You follow the ground wave.
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > > http://www.deja.com/
> > >
> > > I'm proud to "hang" with the element I'm associated with.  They
are
> > good
```

> > > ops and active ones. I've been walking the walk and, as far as I
can
> > > tell, you pretty much just talk the talk. That you consider some
of
> > my
> > > friends "the wrong elements" speaks volumes.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Then you are in complete disagreement with some of your other ground
> > wavers, except in one respect: you are all arrogant. Good Ops or
not,
> > you're rather shitty to other hams on here.
>
> What defines "arrogance", Brian. In what way do you perceive me as
> arrogant? Is it because I don't share your beliefs or have disagreed
> with you?

You cannot accept that another persopn has an opinion, even if you
disagree with it. You must pronounce it wrong. That is my perception
of your arrogance. No need to tell me I'm wrong...

> You've left out an important word prior to the words "other hams".
The
> word is "some".

I stand corrected. Good Ops or not, you're rather shitty to SOME other
hams on here. So are SOME of your Good Op friends.

> I give as I get generally. I think most people do.

Count me in. Now think about that.

> I'm certain that you do not like or get along with everyone you meet
in
> life. Why should amateur radio be any different? I get along with
most
> people and like many people. I avoid some people and simply detest
> others. Is it somehow different with you?
>
> >
> > Jim Kelley thinks I have nothing to say, you say I speak "volumes."
 Why
> > don't you two huddle, then get back to me. I'm almost curious which
way
> > you'll decide.
>
> If you have anything important to say, I don't think you've yet said
it.

Then engage me only when you think it important.

> I'm not Jim Kelley so you'll have wait for his reaction.

Yet you jumped in to this thread in response for Jim Kelley.

> My own opinion
> is that the chip I told you that you have on your shoulder

Well, Dick Carol says the same thing. Great minds think alike.

 has once
> again made an appearance. If you feel like the bastard child at the
> family reunion, do some self examination.

Thank you Dave, I will. A few weeks ago I spent about 3 or 4 days
acting exactly like Dick Carrol. Everybody hated it. Now I'm back to
me, and only SOME people hate it.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 8815747242510179113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 04:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 12:24:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <966093$s8m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95vf0p$ovn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-
mq.aol.com> <9631qu$ni5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<so1c8tgpiccr6j8i0oqf078cscthc2b9gu@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.60
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 12:24:06 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.60
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15480
```

In article <so1c8tgpiccr6j8i0oqf078cscthc2b9gu@4ax.com>,
  Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >Apparently, according to Hans, FISTS members work you once, get your
> >number, and are more than happy to send you on your way.
>
> While I am not a contester or DXer, I see no harm in collecting

```
> numbers. What harm is there in giving someone a number if that's all
> that he wants?

Nor do I.  I was pefectly willing to give him my number again on the 3rd
QSO, but he didn't want it.

> >Can it be than idiots can pick up a paddle?
>
> I think that there are likely just as many idiot hams on CW as there
> are on phone. Thankfully the mode makes it difficult to act like one
> when your using it...   :-)

Now you'll have all the "heavy hitters" on here wailing and moaning
about CW.

;)


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 8309645547430229690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 04:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 12:29:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9660k5$sih$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208193305.21807.00000090@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3a83de57.41990447@news2.dvol.com> <961s7l$qrd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a85557d.138035384@news2.dvol.com> <964nmq$vkr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a861468.186914703@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.60
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 12:29:59 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.60
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15481

In article <3a861468.186914703@news2.dvol.com>,
  kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote:
> On Sun, 11 Feb 2001 00:51:39 GMT, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
> wrote:
>
```

> >> >"When you've worked over a FIST, you've worked over a friend!"
> >> >
> >> Squiggy doesn't qualify as either.
> >
> >Why not?
> >
> Squiggy doesn't have a fist, he couldn't communicate with dots &
> dashes if his life or anybody else's life depended on that ability, he
> advocates elimination of all subands, he advocates the use or wideband
> digital modes on the HF ham bands and he goes off on anti-cw rants at
> neighborhood ham club meetings (draws snores and doesn't go back). The
> only time I'd do dinner with him would be if he was the guest of honor
> and showed up on the platter with an apple stuffed in his mouth.
> >
> Get it yet?
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com
> >http://www.deja.com/
> >
> w3rv

Does Squiggy have a name, or is it that you find it easier to imagine
him dead if he had a cartoon name and an apple in his mouth?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4707002012736199370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 04:50:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: 11 Feb 2001 12:01:57 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <965uvl01g4e@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208193305.21807.00000090@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3a83de57.41990447@news2.dvol.com> <961s7l$qrd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a85557d.138035384@news2.dvol.com> <964nmq$vkr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a861468.186914703@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-830.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15482

In article <3a861468.186914703@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol says...

>Squiggy doesn't have a fist, he couldn't communicate with dots &
>dashes if his life or anybody else's life depended on that ability, he
>advocates elimination of all subands, he advocates the use or wideband
>digital modes on the HF ham bands and he goes off on anti-cw rants at
>neighborhood ham club meetings (draws snores and doesn't go back). The
>only time I'd do dinner with him would be if he was the guest of honor
>and showed up on the platter with an apple stuffed in his mouth.
>>
>Get it yet?

Served with some fava beans and a nice chinanti?

From 6858905621670522500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 05:00:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 12:52:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9661to$tbi$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <960ql9$qlm$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210010302.01651.00001359@nso-fp.aol.com> <3A853556.A24CFED6@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.60
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 12:52:08 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x69.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.60
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15483

In article <3A853556.A24CFED6@ovis.net>,
Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:

> Len, you've turned into an aging cyber stalker. Why the peculiar
> fixation on Steve's personal life? I find it rather peculiar.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, you'll notice that "Good Op" and friend, Hans Brakob, K0HB, did three minutes of -sleuthing- and came up with Steve's employment information.

Is Hans also an aging cyber-stalker?

What's peculiar is your fixation with the imagined transgressions of only certain (as in SOME) members of this group, and the others (as in SOME) receive a free pass.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2744454011077325786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 05:28:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!paxfeed.eni.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 13:27:57 GMT
References: <965uvl01g4e@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010211082757.16173.00000424@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15484

In article <3a861468.186914703@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol says...

>Squiggy doesn't have a fist, he couldn't communicate with dots &
>dashes if his life or anybody else's life depended on that ability

Actually, at one point, Carl was approaching 20 wpm. He could certainly manage a code QSO today, though he has not accepted my invite to do so.

Maybe I will have to be him a lobster dinner that he can't. :-)

>he advocates elimination of all subands,

The US is one of few countries that has actual sub-band allocations; they sort themselves out by band plan. His basic recommendation is that the rules say "these are your bands; stay within them." Unfortunately, what works in some countries would possibly not work in the US. On 160 M, for example, the band plan for CW operation is regularly stomped on by phone operators, some who know better; some who do not. I think the same mentality that drives the Know Code = no Ham spams would show up with a small, but very noisy, group, who could make it rapidly unworkable. Why, even our Cecil wanted to engage someone in a 5 wpm QSO in the DX windows, just because he can.

>he advocates the use or wideband
>digital modes on the HF ham bands

I think that some FHSS needs to be permitted on HF. He wants to give it carte blanche, however. Is there room for it on 80 M? How about 160M? How about 30M, where some commercial stations could be already using it? How about 10 M?

>and he goes off on anti-cw rants at
>neighborhood ham club meetings

He has said here a number of times that he doesn't believe that CW use should be abolished at all. Does he say different things at club meetings? He may be swept up in the easy trap of having to tear something down completely in order to justify change. There are some here who say some pretty bad things about CW to justify keeping it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5840585060410889460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 05:33:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 13:33:19 GMT
References: <3A85A88A.D884FF07@firstinter.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Message-ID: <20010211083319.16173.00000425@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15485

>From: Rick Tannehill rickw7rt@firstinter.net

>But..but what about the Wouf Hong? Has it been retired?

It is in a case, inside a glass cabinet, in the Hallowed Hall (there is only one hall that is Hallowed, contrary to rumor) on the first floor of ARRL HQ.

I will confess to you privately (don't tell anyone) that over the years, the method of its application has become lost to us. Many visitors have offered suggestions -- hitting over the head, as Larry suggested, cracking of the knuckles between the two sections, and a few suggestions a bit more colorful.

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2797788998845135438
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 05:38:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 13:37:54 GMT
References: <963nee$f60$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211083754.16173.00000426@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15486

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>It has never been different except that once it was much easier for an
>ordinary individual to learn to be a communications (radio) engineer.

Dick, there are tools that are readily available today that let ordinary individuals do things that engineers of old found difficult. I can predict quite accurately the performance of a multi-element Yagi antenna with a few minutes programming. I can optimize that antenna in another few minutes for maximum forward gain, F/B, feed-point Z or any combination thereof. I can predict the propagation between W1 and central India using another free software program, or can design an amplifier using free design software.

I disagree that it was much easier to do these things in 1963.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3925471804786491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 05:42:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 11 Feb 2001 13:42:01 GMT
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211084201.16173.00000427@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15487

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I subscribe to the concept that more hams need to learn the fundamentals of
>> modern radio technology.

>As you well know by now, so do I -

I am glad to hear you say that. I was hard too see from your choice of words
like "boring," "rammed down my throat" and "Agenda."

> If one wished to maintain the communications mode which would be
>likely to be useable under the widest range of situations and
>conditions, would he not decide to keep the most universally available,
>easily implemented mode, at least as a reserve? Why is that so obscure a
>point ot view to so many techies?

It is not an obscure point of view to me, Dick. I believe that Morse code CW
use should be permitted on the ham bands. I believ that the "most universally
available, easily implemented mode" will continue to be a valuable asset to
Amateur Radio, irrespective of whether it it tested. I think it illogical to
assume that the "most valuable" of all modes will not be used by hams unless
they are required to learn it to get a license.

>Agenda time again?

Oops, now I am confused again. There is that "Agenda" thing.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7921397687968703171
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 05:53:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 55
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 11 Feb 2001 13:53:00 GMT
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15488

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I am quite aware of the present technical status of hams, Dick; I spend
>> about 2 or 3 hours a day on the phone, answering their questions.
>> Most of them don't share your "can't do" viewpoint.

>I sereiously doubt it. You meet and converse with seek out your
>services and those of ARRL.

Are you really offering that those that call me on the phone do so because they believe they "can't do" whatever it is they are asking me about? I fail to understand that logic, Dick.

Secondly, please forgive me for not also explaining that my contact with hams also includes a lot of travel to conventions, and local club meetings. During that travel, I not only talk to a lot of hams, but I wander around a bit and look at what is going on. I do not see evidence of "they won't learn anything more than what is on the test" as you describe. That is "can't do" and what I see is people doing the doing.

When I go to a local club meeting, generally only the club program director has sought me out. The other 30 people are just there. In what way do you think they are different than the hams that you know? How could I possibly see so much hope and enthusiasm in hams, yet you see none?

> For every one you contact there are many thousands you never know
> about. No one I know personally has had contact with you.

Of the 50 or so people that show up regularly here, about 5 have had personal dealings with me.

But you may be right. Tell me about the personal contact you have had with the many thousands that I have not met. It is quite coincidental that you have met all those many thousands of people, yet have not met the smaller number of people that I have used as my sample size.

> ARRL memebeship amounts to less than 25% of US hams. I don't
> think you know that much about the majority of them.

Obviously you do. Please tell us how many hams you have met and why you think they are different than the hams I have met.

If your contention is that I can only discuss issues if I have met all 650,000+ hams, then I would offer that no one here can discuss issues; we need to shut down the newsgroup.

However, if it is important to you, I would guess that I have interacted with over 5000 hams over the years. Out of those, I do not see more than a handful that I believe are the way you have offered that most new hams must be. Please tell us about the much larger group you have interacted with and why they are so very different from the hams I have met.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6651624438684967961
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 05:57:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 13:57:06 GMT
References: <20010210083247.17176.00001543@nso-ba.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211085706.16173.00000430@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15489

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>The Technician with HF endorsement
>>is now the entry-level HF license -- how much harder is is than the Novice
>>used to be?

>It's easier than the Novice used to be.

Not the Novice I took. I studied 4 pages and passed easily.

>Well, I agree with you, Ed. Learning is a Basis and Purpose of the ARS, I
>think.

>The big question is whether the learning needs to be heavily "incentivized"
>by the license tests, or not.

IMHO, the tests should contain some of the fundamentals, but it is not only not necessary to test detailed knowledge of these subjects, it is simply not practical. Unlike ILP, I don't think that testing is the golden road to learning. I think it is much more important, and much more effective, to have hams learn those areas of technology that interest them; that is going to result in more and better learning, and a lot more enthusiasm for it when all is said and done.

I believe that this applies to Morse-code, too.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -4588472276996590806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 06:26:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 91
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 14:25:51 GMT
References: <963r26$o1t$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211092551.16173.00000433@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15490

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

>> I have said often that I believe that amateurs need to learn more about
>> modern radio technology; you have disagreed with me. Just what basket are
>> you saying I am in?

> No I have NOT disagreed with you, rather I have agreed with you.

Thanks for the clarification. It was hard to tell when you told me that stating
my belief is "harranguing" or "yelling."

> But I gotta tell you-- If ARRL(or anyone else) wants any serious numbers of
>hams to learn the advanced techniques it will take more than just
>haranguing and a few references in the Handbook to do it.

Yes, it will take much more than that. Maybe ARRL needs to put some of this material up on a Web page. Perhaps TAPR should be asked to do the same.

A minute spent on Yahoo's search engine gave me the following (among many others -- perhaps what is needed is a tutorial on the fact that search engines exist):

Spread Spectrum Tutorial:
http://www.tapr.org/tapr/html/ssf.html (TAPR, a reprint from a QEX article)

DSP:
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/dsp.html

Software defined radio: (This one needs more work, but it is a start.)
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/sdr.html

> I'd suggest the BOD start a true basic level educational initiative to do just that.

Although they have not yet delved into technical subjects, the ARRL education and certification program is intended to do just that. The ground level of this program started with a discussion board in which ARRL members told the program manager what they wanted to see. Did you get any reaction to your comments when you posted them to that discussion board?

http://www.arrl.org/cce/

> Start by cocmparing the basic level differences with a a conventional superhet then a ground-up model of a DSP radio transciever, on the most basic operational level that will function, then publissh the whole thing in a series of magazine articles- and NOT in QEX.

Maybe ARRL should publish them in QST, then make them available for download on its web pages. :-)

> A book wouldn't hurt a thing.

Maybe ARRL should put a chapter in the Handbook on DSP techniques and publish a book on spread spectrum.

http://www.arrl.org/catalog/?category=Digital+Communications&words=spread+spectrum or go to http://www.arrl.org/catalog and type spread spectrum in the search box.

> With all the yelling at us that goes on here SURELY there are plenty of experts that could handle this in stride - aren't there?????

Yelling? Do you really think that someone saying that he believes that more hams need to learn the fundamentals about modern communications techniques is

"yelling?" That sort of reaction is why I believed that you were in
disagreement with what I said.

> Bottom line, all this noise is just that so long as it isn't followed
>by actual movement to accomplish.

I agree. If there were more of me to go around, and I were more of an expert, I
would be able to do more. Fortunately, there is a lot that has already been
done.
> It really becomes mundane, all the "we
gotta modernize" stuff with nothing
> to back it up.

You are being somewhat critical of this information, at least I get the
impression you feel it is not adequate to teach the fundamentals. Can you be
specific about what about each of the links and books is not adequate and
offer any suggestions as to how they can be improved? I am curious, did you
read this material when it first came out, or just before you made your post?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 2633429904354382925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 06:27:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 14:27:29 GMT
References: <20010210010259.01651.00001357@nso-fp.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010211092729.16173.00000434@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15491
```

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>My only dispute is with some of the ARRL's policy decisions.

I, too, have disagreed with some of ARRL's policy decisions. I have not even
disagreed with much of what you have offered. You only seem to get a bit far
afield when you use capitalization and religious terms in your posts. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 772542880266976352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 06:34:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 14:34:19 GMT
References: <20010207215048.00714.00005782@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211093419.16173.00000436@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15492
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I would have been quite willing to change that
>structure in accordance with my proposed structure stated in my
>comments to the FCC on the Restructuring NPRM:

Unfortunately, your "willingness" was not the one that counted. :-) Neither was mine.

> eliminate the Novice class, alter the written tests slightly, and give 100%
>of HF voice privileges to the 12/13 WPM Advanced class
>license, leaving the CW DX windows and the 1x2 and 2x1 callsigns
>as the only remaining incentives with a 20 WPM code test
>attached to them.

Not tremendously different than ARRL's proposal and comments, at least as compared to the final FCC result.

>>>My question for you is -- what do you have against striving to
>>>achieve a lofty goal?

>>Do you see everything through the filter of striving, or a lack thereof?

>An even better question would be why do you see nothing through
>such a "filter?"

Although I see some people within the Amateur Radio Service who do not want to learn, it is my experience that the vast majority of hams became hams because they thought it would be a beneficial and learning experience. Admittedly, I don't qualify my beliefs with a caveat that they don't apply to a minority of hams. I do not think it is necessary to do so, but your misunderstanding of

that does disprove the rule. I thought that common sense would have told
anyone that I was speaking from my oveall experience.

> What in the bloody blue blazes is wrong with
>establishing and maintaining a set of reasonable requirements,
>geared toward obtaining practical communications skills, to be
>achieved in order to attain valuable operating privileges?

Nothing. IMHO, the standards are higher than they were when I became a ham.

> Do you really think the clients of the Welfare State will flock to the ARRL
>en masse once the bar is dropped to the ground?

The biggest welfare state I see here, Larry, comes from you. You believe that
Morse code requires an affirmative action to continue to survive. I believe it
can stand on its own.

Do you really think that my personal views are formulated to get the most
number of ARRL members?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2356296191024310621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 06:49:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 70
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 14:49:32 GMT
References: <963p27$j9l$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010211094932.16173.00000438@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15493

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>And the output of the Kachina is undistinguishable from a non-DSP rig.
>You say we must do it because someone can.

The Kachina is a good example of a software-controlled radio. Its DSP
implementation is the start of what constitutes a software-defined radio.

As DSP technology improves, and right now, it is good to at least 10 MHz, we are literally going to see software-to-antenna radios. They will be readily programmable to be any mode radio that we want. To make design changes, one will download new code. The FM, SSB, SS, data, digital voice or CW signals will be encoded and decoded right in software.

In the long run, these radio techniques will increase flexibility and interoperability of radios. The FCC may very well make changes in the way that it allocates spectrum and operating authority as a result.

Spread Spectrum is at least as revolutionary a change as was AM-SSB; the former was, at least initially, accomplished by taking an AM signal and running it through a filter. SS and digital voice are more different than SSB and FM than was AM/SSB. Using SS, and/or trunking techniques, the very way spectrum is used in the Amateur Radio Service could be changed. Instead of allocating frequency pairs that are unused most of the time, that block of spectrum could be accessed by multiple users, each with their own spreading codes, thus limiting the use of the "repeater" bands not by the number of potential users, but by the number of actual users. No more "no pairs available" and coordination difficulties. Not as sweeping a change as AM to SSB?

>No one **EVER** suggested that hams should refrain from learning about
>new technolies ...

> WE DO RESIST the continued assertion that we must "modernize" by
> dumnping everything that interests us just because it might have been
> around longer than the latest digital dream.

I will speak only for myself, Dick; I have never suggested that you need to dump anything that interests you. I sure don't intend to stop using OOK CW. If you feel that I have suggested that you need to stop using CW, or even that you personally "must" learn new things, you should read my words more carefully. I have faith that hams will learn this technology if they are given some of the reasons why it is important that they do and given the resources to do so. Very few have taken this as "yelling" or as my telling that that they must dump what they are doing. Very, very few.

>We've listed dozens of other objects which are
>also obsolete, and are still in universal use today, but that makes no
>difference.

Yes, they are in universal use today. How many of them are a mandatory part of testing for any priveleges, amateur or otherwise?

>It is clear that the entire movement to "modernize" is nothing more
>than another push to get rid of the code.

Is that what you think that retired Dale Hatfield from the FCC was seeking to do?

>And we refuse to be intimidated or browbeat
>by those who want their agenda to prevail.

There's that "agenda" thing again. Do you really think that the only reason I say that I believe more hams should learn the fundamentals of modern communications technology is to "get rid of the code?" No offense, Dick, but that's pretty lame, too.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 3888209672487039009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 07:00:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 14:46:36 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9668kb$20b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010210010259.01651.00001357@nso-fp.aol.com>
<20010211092729.16173.00000434@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.49
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 14:46:36 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.49
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15494
```

In article <20010211092729.16173.00000434@ng-cu1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
> >My only dispute is with some of the ARRL's policy decisions.
>
> I, too, have disagreed with some of ARRL's policy decisions. I have
not even
> disagreed with much of what you have offered. You only seem to get a
bit far
> afield when you use capitalization and religious terms in your posts.
:-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Hello Ed. I've notice that Steve uses a lot of CAPITALIZATION in his posts. Do you have any thoughts on that? Thanks, Brian

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7902282893089229428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 07:13:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 11 Feb 2001 15:13:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <966a7g$qj2$0@208.206.142.85>
References: <963nee$f60$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211083754.16173.00000426@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15495

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >It has never been different except that once it was much easier for an
> >ordinary individual to learn to be a communications (radio) engineer.
>
> Dick, there are tools that are readily available today that let ordinary
> individuals do things that engineers of old found difficult. I can predict
> quite accurately the performance of a multi-element Yagi antenna with a few
> minutes programming. I can optimize that antenna in another few minutes for
> maximum forward gain, F/B, feed-point Z or any combination thereof. I can
> predict the propagation between W1 and central India using another free
> software program, or can design an amplifier using free design software.
>

I know. Just like it is easy to pass the written amateur exams today
because of the existance of a public pool with all the test questions
and their exact answers.
Just because you have access to software that will allow you to bypass
knowledge doesn't mean you have that knowledge.

> I disagree that it was much easier to do these things in 1963.

And I said nothing whatever about 1963. The selftrained radio engineers came considerably earlier in most cases, the people who were the creators of much of what we know as "radio". Many, even most had no engineering training at all.

```
From 1136869250468638983
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,356d588770853894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 07:25:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Calling the "Roll"
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 10:25:00 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <B6AC18FA.19ED%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3A85431A.154777B2@arrl.org>
<aLfh6.6131$ZJ5.537204@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3a8612ad.186470847@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-103.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 981905102 65382 206.74.49.103 (11 Feb 2001 15:25:02
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 15:25:02 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15496
```

Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

> "Kim" <w8ing2001@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> Happy New Year, Everyone (No, I'm not back)..
>>
> Whew: Thought for a minute that you might be back.

Kim, W5TIT, is the only person I know of who could, with all seriousness, actually post a note in a newsgroup stating that she's not back in the newsgroup. See, Kim didn't actually post that message - her other self (W5ASS) did it for her. ;-)

Not only that, but she doesn't seem to know that it's February (not January 1st). Or, perhaps she is still celebrating, which might also explain why she didn't know she was in this newsgroup.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 1045095637707411855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 07:50:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 15:37:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 107
Message-ID: <966bk6$43g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <965uvl01g4e@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010211082757.16173.00000424@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 15:37:42 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15497

In article <20010211082757.16173.00000424@ng-cu1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> In article <3a861468.186914703@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol says...
>
> >Squiggy doesn't have a fist, he couldn't communicate with dots &
> >dashes if his life or anybody else's life depended on that ability
>
> Actually, at one point, Carl was approaching 20 wpm. He could
certainly manage
> a code QSO today, though he has not accepted my invite to do so.

Perhaps Jim needs to talk to the USCG about people's lives depending on one's ability to send/receive dots and dashes. Once he clears that little hurdle, perhaps he can convince the FCC to restructure yet again, and ingnore the upcoming WRC-2003. Kick the requirement back up to 20wpm AND mandate 20hrs of CW use per month to keep your skills current.

That will ensure that the ARS will be prepared to be the life saving service that all of the other professional life saving services aren't.

Furthermore, I don't think that whatever Jim had in mind that would prevent Carl from making it back ("Don't bring that sumbitch to Bookbinders for the RV/EY lobsterfest, he'll never make it back to Allentown") is appropriate behavior between hams whether on the air or here!

You, Dave, and other "Good Ops" -always- pass on critiquing such inappropriate threats. Why? I find it totally unacceptable.

> Maybe I will have to be him a lobster dinner that he can't. :-)

Excellent bait. I've never understood using perfectly good shrimp or delicious squid to catch a fish, but lobster to catch a "squiggy?" Thats probably about right.

> >he advocates elimination of all subands,
>
> The US is one of few countries that has actual sub-band allocations; they sort
> themselves out by band plan. His basic recommendation is that the rules say
> "these are your bands; stay within them." Unfortunately, what works in some
> countries would possibly not work in the US. On 160 M, for example, the band
> plan for CW operation is regularly stomped on by phone operators, some who know
> better; some who do not. I think the same mentality that drives the Know Code =
> no Ham spams would show up with a small, but very noisy, group, who could make
> it rapidly unworkable.

I think we're about there. But it isn't just the code issue, its the "I've been a ham for X years, and I own the ARS" mentality that we encounter form supposedly "Good Ops" right here on rrap.

> Why, even our Cecil wanted to engage someone in a 5 wpm
> QSO in the DX windows, just because he can.

Is it inconceivable that the DX station is only good to 5wpm? Certainly if a station from North Korea came up at 5wpm, you would engage in a 5wpm QSO in the DX window - just because you can. Or would you send at 35wpm - just because you can?

Now that 5wpm has become the standard for testing in America, there should be no problem trying to cantact even a DX station who is sending at 20wpm. If the DX is a good enough op, he'll match the speed for the qso. Or should he ignore the 5wpm station? Is this a fraternity, or only a clique.

> >he advocates the use or wideband
> >digital modes on the HF ham bands
>
> I think that some FHSS needs to be permitted on HF. He wants to give
it carte
> blanche, however. Is there room for it on 80 M? How about 160M? How
about
> 30M, where some commercial stations could be already using it? How
about 10 M?
>
> >and he goes off on anti-cw rants at
> >neighborhood ham club meetings
>
> He has said here a number of times that he doesn't believe that CW use
should
> be abolished at all. Does he say different things at club meetings?
He may be
> swept up in the easy trap of having to tear something down completely
in order
> to justify change. There are some here who say some pretty bad things
about CW
> to justify keeping it.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I haven't called any names, cussed at anyone, or presented anything
improbable. Now watch as these "Good Ops" assasinate my character and
try to put me out of business.

73, Brian/N0iMD

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4221717942319181423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 08:10:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 16:04:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <966d5j$53g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-mq.aol.com>

```
<20010210145350.01651.00001391@nso-fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 16:04:06 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15498
```

In article <20010210145350.01651.00001391@nso-fp.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-mq.aol.com>,
yodoc@aol.comnospam
> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>
> >Ah, yes, the 10-10 club. You just ran into the very attitude which
> >has made me an avid "no-phoner" ever since! The Ten-Ten
organization,
> >and all of its drooling, toothless, slack-jawed, knuckle-dragging,
> >mouth-breathing, bottom-feeding members are, collectively, proof
> >that any idiot can pick up a microphone and talk -- and most
> >idiots do!
>
> ...and some folks wonder why tRoll was beaten with a stick!
>
> :-)
>
> didit

With a Ham Stick or a Terlin Sick (the one with the flailing "wander leads")?

;)

Len, better lighten up before the all the "Good Ops" start threatening to do you bodily harm.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 4198654750242724104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,356d588770853894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 08:18:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!diablo.theplanet.net!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Date: 11 Feb 2001 16:17:55 GMT
References: <aLfh6.6131$ZJ5.537204@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Calling the "Roll"
Message-ID: <20010211111755.16594.00006371@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15499

In article <aLfh6.6131$ZJ5.537204@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kim"
<w8ing2001@yahoo.com> writes:

>
>Ya left two out...
>
>Happy New Year, Everyone (No, I'm not back)...I needed to see if I could
>find Phil Kane's email address.
>
>--
>73 de W5TIT - Kim

Happy New Year to you, Kim -- now, don't let the door hit you in
the ass on your way out!

73 de Larry, K3LT


From -4804860461044879455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 08:20:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 16:08:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <966dd2$5dm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208193305.21807.00000090@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3a83de57.41990447@news2.dvol.com> <961s7l$qrd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<963n8d$e9v$1@208.206.142.95> <964nr8$vm5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 16:08:05 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15500

In article <964nr8$vm5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
```

```
> In article <963n8d$e9v$1@208.206.142.95>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > "When you've worked over a FIST, you've worked over a friend!"
> >
> > So when did you OR Carl ever work a FIST?
>
> Did you get your bills in the mail on time or are you still making
late
> payments?

Sorry, inappropriate reply.

I don't know that I've ever worked a FIST.  They don't usually volunteer
their FIST number while on phone, do they?  Maybe they should.

I'm certain that I've never "worked over" a FIST.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -6499690431952046349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 08:20:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!peer.news.opaltelecom.net!
londen1-snf1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 16:07:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <966dbr$5d7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208193305.21807.00000090@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3a83de57.41990447@news2.dvol.com> <961s7l$qrd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<963n8d$e9v$1@208.206.142.95> <964nr8$vm5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.53
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 16:07:26 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.53
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15501

In article <964nr8$vm5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
```

> In article <963n8d$e9v$1@208.206.142.95>,
>   Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > "When you've worked over a FIST, you've worked over a friend!"
> >
> > So when did you OR Carl ever work a FIST?
>
> Did you get your bills in the mail on time or are you still making
late
> payments?

Sorry, inappropriate reply.

I don't know that I've ever worked a FIST. They don't usually volunteer
their FIST number while on phone, do they? Maybe they should.

I'm certain that I've never "worked over" a FIST.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -7619265151295687887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 08:21:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 11 Feb 2001 16:21:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 97
Message-ID: <966e68$8sv$0@208.206.142.85>
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211084201.16173.00000427@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15502
```

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> I subscribe to the concept that more hams need to learn the fundamentals of
> >> modern radio technology.
>
> >As you well know by now, so do I -
>
> I am glad to hear you say that. I was hard too see from your choice of words
> like "boring," "rammed down my throat" and "Agenda."

That is basically because you say something then explain it in terms that change the meaning of the original statement (not just you, all the "we gotta modernize the ARS" mavens). You say that, then next it's "Hams can learn the bsics of ....". Which is it? Tell us again just how it is that ham radio is NOT today modernized. Because we don't use (and have absolutely no need to use) spread specgtrum?Because SSB and CW and a few digital modes most of which have been around awhile seem to serve the ARS's neds quite nicely? All this "we gotta modernize" talk appears to be mostly lip service to some Technology God who will surely smite us all if we don't submit to The Agenda.Sorry. It just doesn't compute. Cecil is dead wrong when he says that DSP is the SSB of the 50's. It just isn't so. There WAS a desperate needd in the 50's and 60's to relieve the congestion caused by the predominance of AM stations on the ham HF bands, and that was clear to anyone who ever tuned across one. The heterodynes were inescapable and a low powered AM station didn't stand a chance againse them. SSB eliminated the heterodynes and allowed much more simultaneous voice activity without the constant interference and the need to run high power to suceed.

But to insist that SSB be abaindoned in favor of digitized voice on HF-whatever the mode, just becase it is technically possible, is ludicrous and most hams know it, if they know anything technical. And there is some question that the fact that so many do not is one avenue of success by digital proponents. When people know little about the subject it's much easier to convince them that "change" is really necessary when it truly is not.

All the "modernization" talk is centered on modes alone, and totally ignores the vast technical advancments we use daily. There is simply NO comparison between the rig I use now and the simple transmitter that I put on the air in late winter of early 1957. That simple two tube homemade transmitter was really labor-intensive in operation compared to the one I use today, where I touch one button to select the band, touch it again to select one of three stored frequencies in that band - with the mode for that segment already stored- and since I have the "autotune" button already selected, I don't need to either tune the rig nor even tune the antenna! Simplicity and modernity personified! It IS almost boring!

And the differences in the receivers is beyond comment. The surplus single-band WW2 bomber receiver I had to start out was close to the bare minimum, but its wide bandpass tought me operating skills that probalby wouldn't have been learned any other way, in digging a single signal from out of the bedlam- with the filter between the ears. instead of in the rig. These are skills most new operators never develop.

No wonder so many of us also keep some old stuff around and also homebrew "Hollow State" ham gear. Sorta makes one feel like a REAL ham radio operator for a change. Yeah, things change, and for the better. But not always!

> > If one wished to maintain the communications mode which would be
> >likely to be useable under the widest range of situations and
> >conditions, would he not decide to keep the most universally available,
> >easily implemented mode, at least as a reserve? Why is that so obscure a
> >point ot view to so many techies?
>
> It is not an obscure point of view to me, Dick. I believe that Morse code CW
> use should be permitted on the ham bands. I believ that the "most universally
> available, easily implemented mode" will continue to be a valuable asset to
> Amateur Radio, irrespective of whether it it tested. I think it illogical to
> assume that the "most valuable" of all modes will not be used by hams unless
> they are required to learn it to get a license.

IF there was any way to impress upon beginners that fact without them learning for themselves about radiotelegraphy, you would be correct. Unfortunately that is not the natural progression.Few who haven't experienced it can personally realize how "valuable" Morse is as a low tech, purely useful method of simple basic communications in a high tech world.

Virtually no beginner starts out eagerly volunteering to learn Morse code just so that he has it at his disposal. It is almost universal that it is learned for the purposes of qualifying for the ticket and enroute learn its true charactersitics. When that goes away, the mode goes away.

That is wrong from any perspective except that of the Carl Stevensons of the world. You can believe that he got "close to 20wpm" if youwish, just because he says it doesn't make it so. I seriously doubt he could recovnize his own callsign. It is perfectly clear from his ravings what his real intent is. And it isn't "live and let live".

```
From 1519949229498837375
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,3e87ad381442fe2d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:30:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.alsv1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Code Requirement
Lines: 9
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 17:30:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.alsv1.occa.home.com 981912647 24.16.178.66 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001 09:30:47 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 09:30:47 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:975 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15503

Ham Radio and Code.

Has the archaic code requirement been removed from Ham Radio testing yet?

-jim

From -1451925909946901441
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:34:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!paxfeed.eni.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:33:15 GMT
References: <ol4c8tc4s9mfoffc7p05co38dvrh07029m@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211123315.22631.00002029@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15504

In article <ol4c8tc4s9mfoffc7p05co38dvrh07029m@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>>The AR-3 had a "reflex" BFO - the first audio stage was made to oscillate.
>>The results were far from optimum.
>
>SWLs could listen to ham SSB on one if they wanted...

Agreed! But not nearly so easily as they could listen to AM.
>
>>the unskilled SWL probably didn't know to turn on the BFO,
>>turn down the RF gain, turn up the AF gain and tune very
>>slowly.
>
>I think that you underrate SWLs who spend hours at
> their receivers and know them well, perhaps even
> better than a (gasp) ham... :-)

I didn't mean to underestimate anybody. Only point is that there was a lot less serendipitous discovery of ham radio once SSB began to seriously displace AM.
>
>>Eventually home computers and internet connections
>>will be as common as TV, if not more so.
>
>Eventually TV and the Internet will be the same thing...

You got THAT right! In fact, some radio stations and networks simulcast on their websites. TV tuners for a PC have long been available. Between cable TV and highspeed 'net connections, how long before more people are "watching TV" on their computer monitors than on their "TV sets"? How long before the RF connection disappears entirely for most people? Not long in most urban/suburban areas.

At my old house, I could see the "Roxborough antenna farm" from my second-floor bathroom window. True line-of-sight! Most of my neighbors had similar paths to the TV broadcasters. I could get no less than a dozen TV stations using rabbit ears - yet almost the entire neighborhood was on cable. At my new house, the reception is almost as good with the rabbit ears, but I'm the only one without cable. That may change soon, because the township meetings are on cable.
>
Next step is to replace the cable with fiber. TV broadcasting? Practically obsolete.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -6092763422954822814
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:37:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:49 GMT
References: <20010210074801.23230.00000022@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211123749.16594.00006425@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15505

In article <20010210074801.23230.00000022@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>In any scenario where CW subbands are shared with phone
>>signals, CW will tend to lose out. Its only natural.
>
>Then you believe that CW is the less-robust mode.

Ed:

No, I believe you're a wanker if you can't understand a simple statement
like the one I made above!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2312612942757299645
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:37:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!skynet.be!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:45 GMT
References: <goodbye@goodbye>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: How do I cancel my League membership?
Message-ID: <20010211123745.16594.00006418@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15506

In article <goodbye@goodbye>, bummer-radio@licensing.org (smiley o'riley)
writes:

>
>I find I can no longer support the ARRL by being a member. What's the best

>way to cancel a membership?

1. Write a letter to ARRL HQ indicating your desire to cancel your membership.

2. Don't let the door hit you in the ass on your way out!

BTW -- can we get a Troll-O-Meter reading and a show of scorecards from the Armenian Judges on this post?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7613462939382764354
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:37:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:50 GMT
References: <20010210074908.23230.00000023@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211123750.16594.00006426@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15508

In article <20010210074908.23230.00000023@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>I believe the FCC should always make liberal use of grandfathering
>>of privileges, just so hams like myself, who don't have a problem
>>accepting a challenge, don't have to be bothered to listen to the
>>whining!
>
>Would it also put an end to your whining about whining?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Gee, Ed, that sounded like a whine to me! Individuals who reside in vitreous abodes should refrain from hurling aggregate projectiles!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -4274073099135498968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:37:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:45 GMT
References: <963b5b12dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Message-ID: <20010211123745.16594.00006419@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15507
```

In article <963b5b12dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>>Cecil:
>>
>>That's where you'd be wrong, again! You're pretty annoying at times,
>>but you've never hit me with a stick! Where did this stick-hitting
>>requirement for annoyance come from, anyway? You don' need no
>>steenking sticks in order to be annoying!
>>
>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>
>So now you're saying that K1ZZ hit you with a stick at Dayton?

No, Cecil -- now stop trying to be annoying!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 3102380804147901688
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:38:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
paxfeed.eni.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
```

```
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:47 GMT
References: <20010210080316.23230.00000028@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010211123747.16594.00006421@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15509

In article <20010210080316.23230.00000028@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>I don't know, Larry.  I was a ham (off and on) for about 20 years before I
>joined ARRL.  Maybe that gives me a different perspective on new hams'
>joining
>and supporting organizations.
>
>Is whether they are League members really more important to you than the fact
>that there are 37,980 new hams?

Ed:

No, it means nothing to me, actually.  However, it had damn well
better mean something to you!  I'm not the one who makes his living
working at ARRL HQ -- you are!

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 8228621898504445107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:38:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:47 GMT
References: <9631qu$ni5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010211123747.16594.00006422@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15510
```

In article <9631qu$ni5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
writes:

>> Brian:
>>
>> Ah, yes, the 10-10 club. You just ran into the very attitude which
>> has made me an avid "no-phoner" ever since! The Ten-Ten organization,
>> and all of its drooling, toothless, slack-jawed, knuckle-dragging,
>> mouth-breathing, bottom-feeding members are, collectively, proof
>> that any idiot can pick up a microphone and talk -- and most
>> idiots do!
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Apparently, according to Hans, FISTS members work you once, get your
>number, and are more than happy to send you on your way. Can it be than
> idiots can pick up a paddle?

Brian:

I'm not aware of any such limitation in the FISTS organization. I've
worked numerous FISTS members numerous times, and usually
enjoyed each QSO. What the heck are you talking about, anyway?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7564432995870136695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:48 GMT
References: <963b1c02dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010211123748.16594.00006423@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15511

In article <963b1c02dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
Bryant) writes:

>>Brian:

>>
>>Ah, yes, the 10-10 club. You just ran into the very attitude which
>>has made me an avid "no-phoner" ever since! The Ten-Ten organization,
>>and all of its drooling, toothless, slack-jawed, knuckle-dragging,
>>mouth-breathing, bottom-feeding members are, collectively, proof
>>that any idiot can pick up a microphone and talk -- and most
>>idiots do!
>>
>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>
>I didn't realize exchanging 10-10 numbers was restricted to phone. Must be
>something in 10-10 International's charter that I missed.

T. L.:

I've never encountered a 10-10 member on 10-meter CW; however, if
I did, and I wasn't able to give him my number, I'm sure I'd receive the
same kind of disparagement as I received during my very last 10-meter
phone QSO with a 10-10 member!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5334178553135166529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:46 GMT
References: <3A856E9B.ECA0DA50@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Message-ID: <20010211123746.16594.00006420@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15512

In article <3A856E9B.ECA0DA50@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> That's where you'd be wrong, again! You're pretty annoying at times,
>> but you've never hit me with a stick! Where did this stick-hitting
>> requirement for annoyance come from, anyway? You don' need no
>> steenking sticks in order to be annoying!

>
>Just shows how little you know about human psychology, Larry.
>Anyone who gets annoyed on a news group is choosing to be
>annoyed. The basic question is: Why do you choose to allow
>people to annoy you on a news group?

Cecil:

I'm ass-u-&-me-ing that you're sitting down while you're reading this;
but in case you type your newsgripe postings from a standing
position, please sit down before you read this:

You don't annoy me. Nobody in this newsgroup annoys me. Even
Kim didn't annoy me. This is just a bunch of people typing on a
computer screen -- nothing to be annoyed about! And you are the
leader of the group that couldn't possibly annoy me no mater what
you said! Sorry for the disillusionment.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6200164157877521031
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:38:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:49 GMT
References: <20010211093419.16173.00000436@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211123749.16594.00006424@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15513

In article <20010211093419.16173.00000436@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>The biggest welfare state I see here, Larry, comes from you. You believe
>that Morse code requires an affirmative action to continue to survive. I
believe
>it can stand on its own.

Ed:

Well, you're entitled to your own opinion. I, however, am a realist when it comes to human nature, and I believe the only thing that would keep CW going is a code testing requirement attached to progressively higher HF operating privileges on all modes. I've never said anything else. I've never stated that "Morse code can stand on its own." I don't believe that it can, or ever did. And I don't recall ever saying so.

>Do you really think that my personal views are formulated to get the most
>number of ARRL members?

I think that if I were your boss at the ARRL, I'd be monitoring every byte you post here and anywhere else, and if I ever caught a whiff of anything that wasn't clearly geared toward forwarding the business goals of the organization, I'd fire your funky ass out the door with a cannon! I don't think you'd dare post anything that was even one degree out of phase with ARRL organizational policy. As the old saying goes, "We live in a democracy, but we don't work in one." That applies to you just as much as anyone else. To me, you are nothing more and nothing less than a fully in-the-tank ARRL spokesman and cheerleader when you post in this newsgripe. And if you're not, and you value your job, you're a fool!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4037394379678105934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:38:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:53 GMT
References: <3A856CB6.DA7C20E4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211123753.16594.00006430@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15514

In article <3A856CB6.DA7C20E4@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>> And none of these other modes require the physical development of
>> an operating skill which requires a great deal of personal motivation,
>> dedication, and perseverence to master.
>
>So you are saying the value of the return on investment for Morse code
>is too low for the cost/effort involved. You might be right, Larry, but

>Ed and I disagree with you. Only time will tell.

Cecil:

No, I didn't say that -- you did! Your feeble attempts to put words into
my fingertips isn't working. If you can't come up with a sound agrument
against the things I post here, you should really considering keeping
your own counsel -- otherwise, as you just did in the above quote, you
only serve to make yourself look foolish.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3127282579119966354
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:39:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:51 GMT
References: <963bjq22dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211123751.16594.00006428@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15515

In article <963bjq22dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
Bryant) writes:

>
>>I believe the FCC should always make liberal use of grandfathering
>>of privileges, just so hams like myself, who don't have a problem
>>accepting a challenge, don't have to be bothered to listen to the
>>whining!
>>
>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>AH Yes! The old "You don't post a callsign, so you must not have passed"
>reponse.

T. L.:

I could care less whether or not you post a callsign; and for the record,
I could care less if you are an "Pre-Resturcturing" Extra or a newly-
licensed Technician. You identify your posts with your name, for this

or any newsgroup, that is sufficient. At least you are not hiding behind some fictious "screen name," or so it seems -- but I'm inclined to give the benefit of the doubt when I see a "real" looking name. However, in these dumbed-down days of ham radio, any idiot can get a license and a call sign, so I have no need to see yours. Never think you need to post it for my benefit.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6751264322485365951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:39:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:51 GMT
References: <20010210075913.23230.00000027@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211123751.16594.00006427@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15516

In article <20010210075913.23230.00000027@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>What year do you estimate that the last Morse code QSO will take place on
>Amateur Radio, Larry? Can you explain why you believe that year will be the
>one?

Ed:

I'm not sure that there will EVER be a 100% elimination of Morse code use on the ham bands. I even think its possible that in a total no-code testing requirement licensing system, there may even be a few hams that learn the code out of their own curiosity, interest and initiative. The numbers of active CW users will certainly decline in the absence of the testing requirement, but there will always be a few CW users hanging in there.

>>> Do you feel that the mode has so little value to ham radio that no one
>>> will learn it and use it unless it is tested?

Like I said, a few will -- but the numbers will be a tiny fraction of what we would get if the requirement remained. This has nothing to do with the value of the mode -- it has to do with the fact that learning the code requires an unusually higher level of dedicated effort. Everyone knows how to talk, and typing is a more common skill than Morse code proficiency. Therefore, you need to compare apples to apples, and stop hauling out your oranges when apples are being discussed.

>>Asked and answered, many times.
>
>Yes, you have; you do not believe that Morse code can survive without
>government support and welfare through an affirmative-action testing program.

And I think you and I don't have a common understanding of the meaning of the words "government support," "welfare," and "affirmative action." Please give up the Cecil Moore impersonation, Ed, it doesn't become you.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -356658606984948465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:39:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:37:52 GMT
References: <3A85712F.B4500C74@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211123752.16594.00006429@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15517

In article <3A85712F.B4500C74@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>The great majority of people who don't know Morse code don't consider
>it to be universal or easily implemented. If you want to use Morse code
>for emergencies, Dick, by all means do it. Most of the rest of the world
>has given up on Morse code for emergency use.

Cecil:

That's true -- and the reason is that it requires an operator to learn and gain useful proficiency in the skill of sending and receiving Morse code! Now, when you're talking about commercial or military utility communications applications, this means the expense of training, paying, and providing benefits for flesh-and-blood radio operators. If I owned a shipping line, I would probably want to eliminate that particular part of my overhead, just as much as anyone else. Therefore, ships at sea and land-based communications facilities depend on expensive, computerized communications systems instead of human radio operators.

Amateur radio does not have these economic considerations, but we still have to deal with the fact that learning and gaining useful proficiency in Morse code still requires a considerable amount of dedicated effort on the part of the operators who wish to use it. In the past, this effort was motivated and incentivized by the promise of increased operating privileges; now that that incentive has been removed, there will, for sure, be far fewer people even bothering to learn and gain useful proficiency in Morse code.

The Morse/CW mode continued to survive and flourish only due to the code testing requirement. In the absence of the requirement, the numbers of Morse/CW mode users will most likely shrink to a small fraction of their present numbers, at least in the United States. European/Asian/African operators don't seem to have as much of a laziness problem as we Americans do.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -6258939644858131829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:40:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:40:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 154
Message-ID: <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85>
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15518
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> I am quite aware of the present technical status of hams, Dick; I spend
> >> about 2 or 3 hours a day on the phone, answering their questions.
> >> Most of them don't share your "can't do" viewpoint.
>
> >I seriously doubt it. You meet and converse with seek out your
> >services and those of ARRL.
>
> Are you really offering that those that call me on the phone do so because they
> believe they "can't do" whatever it is they are asking me about? I fail to
> understand that logic, Dick.

If you spent a half hour per telephone contact, 8 hours per day, 5 days per week for 52 weeks per year, you'd talk to 830 hams.

>
> Secondly, please forgive me for not also explaining that my contact with hams
> also includes a lot of travel to conventions, and local club meetings. During
> that travel, I not only talk to a lot of hams, but I wander around a bit and
> look at what is going on. I do not see evidence of "they won't learn anything
> more than what is on the test" as you describe. That is "can't do" and what I
> see is people doing the doing.
>
> When I go to a local club meeting, generally only the club program director has
> sought me out. The other 30 people are just there. In what way do you think
> they are different than the hams that you know?

If you attended a hamfest or club meeting every week for 52 weeks and talked to 30 persons per meeting you;d talk to 1,560 hams in a year. All in all, not any overpowering percentage of licensed hams.

> How could I possibly see so
> much hope and enthusiasm in hams, yet you see none?
>

Once again, you misstate my position. That is not at all what I said. I said that you don't see all the hams out there who just want to be hams, and don't have the training nor the refined technical skills to get involved in your mission of "high tech for the masses", nor will they ever get very deeply involved in it for that very reason. It is NOT a simple matter and everyone except the promoters of the Agenda know it.

Oh, the Agenda is not a formal document nor even a clearly stated position, it is just an attitude. It basically says, but certainly is not limited to, "get the ARS away from CW specifically, and into the world of high tech, never mind anything else". It ignores completely the practical aspects that characterize almost every ordinary ham. He wants to use ham radio as he recognizes it, which is to say that it IS an evolving and improving entity, and he intends to be a part of that evolution and improvement. He does NOT believe that just because inventors working for the telephone company have found that spread spectrum techniques find favor in use as cordless telephones that he must line up and follow suit. He sees that is pure techie nonsense, and recoginzes it as what it is - an attempt by a few hams and others whose interests are in little except development to trump his own desires and best interests. Cecil Moore said yesterday that his interest is in experimenting and he rarely gets on the air. That's fine, but it also shows that his interest is driven by something other than that of the average ham.

Of course hams need to learn more about advancing technology. It won't happen effectively until people who develop these systems who are able to explain them in terms understandable to the average ham who knows little or nothing about those techniques and little about technology at all.

THAT is the challenge. I and othes have raised it several times here in recent years, to do it, and explain what you're doing, or get off the soapbox. So far I've seen nothing that I would call progress. A single text explaining in simnple detail, it that is possible, the basics of the new technologis would go far toward educating the masses of hams. Most I know would spring a few bucks for such a boot. If they could find it. Instead all they get is criticism.

> > For every one you contact there are many thousands you never know
> > about. No one I know personally has had contact with you.
>
> Of the 50 or so people that show up regularly here, about 5 have had personal
> dealings with me.
>
I would guess that I have interacted with
> over 5000 hams over the years. Out of those, I do not see more than a handful
> that I believe are the way you have offered that most new hams must be.

Of course not. You see those basically who want to see you and hear what you have to say. Others are busily doing other things that interest them. I will say, you are probably the biggest crowd draw that Newington has to offer. When a crowd of hundreds or more producesd an audience of 50 for your presentation that means that you won't be exposed to the attitudes of aa pretty large majority.

Please

> tell us about the much larger group you have interacted with and why they are
> so very different from the hams I have met.

Ed, I live out here among them, talk to hams almost daily, have hundreds of friends and contacts that have persisted for many years, and spend a LOT of time on the air, mostly listening to others. You on the other hand spend your time in the ivory towers of Newington. I can easily see that you inhabit the ground floor, as you are in fact one of the most practically minded ihabitants. But the fact remains.

Surely you understand that the people that gravitate to your presentations at hamfests are the subset of the much larger crowd attending the event,those who happen to know you, or of you, and are particularily interested in what you have to say,but they represent a small percentage of the attendance. Far more wouldn't know you from Adam, I'm sorry to say. Atg that, you're probabaly the best known Newingtonite.

If I attend a hamfest where you appear I'll be in the group you address. A great majority of ordinary hams will not. Some will be interested in your RFI work. Some will skip because you are a part of the movement to "modernize" ham radio away from their interests, and they don't support that. Not many hams believe it is in their best interests to cause the ARS to implement such modes as spread spectrum nor univesal digitized voice especially on HF, since the bands are not unduly congested and the "cure" is just as likely to be much worse than any perceived ailment.

Many of these same hams believe it is a travesty to allow radiotelegraphy to atrophy to the point of uselessness just to allow easy entry into ham radio, and believe that this is a part of the movement to force ham radio into accepting modes that are commercially invaluable but which have little or nothing to offer to hams in practical application. Not much is known about their actual effects on the HF ham bands. Many hans just don't buy the assertion of many in your movement that ham radio must abandon our older but very reliable and utilitarian modes for no reason other than the fact that commercial engineeers must constantly come up with "new and improved" versions of everything, else they find themselves unemployed. Yes, we heard, "no one is
insisting that we abandon anything...". Just what does "modernize" mean as used in all the rhetoric, then? That, to them, is the thrust of the urge to "modernize". What else could it mean? That just because there is utility in using spread spectrum techniques in many communications venues that we actually need it in ham radio? I don't think so, and neither do they.

```
From 2354123307221361472
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:45:55 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:45:39 GMT
References: <20010211123751.16594.00006427@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211124539.20170.00000387@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15519

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>And I think you and I don't have a common understanding of the meaning
>of the words "government support," "welfare," and "affirmative action."

Clearly not. You think those terms apply to things you oppose, but do not
apply to things you support.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2425404461085673648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:47:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!194.176.220.129!newsfeed.icl.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:47:04 GMT
References: <20010211123753.16594.00006430@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211124704.20170.00000388@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15520

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>No, I didn't say that -- you did! Your feeble attempts to put words into
>my fingertips isn't working. If you can't come up with a sound agrument
>against the things I post here, you should really considering keeping
>your own counsel -- otherwise, as you just did in the above quote, you
>only serve to make yourself look foolish.

Maybe he is doing an Ed Hare imitiation.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5190091838163797999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 09:53:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 17:52:34 GMT
References: <20010211123749.16594.00006424@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211125234.20170.00000389@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15521

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>To me, you are nothing more and nothing less than
>a fully in-the-tank ARRL spokesman and cheerleader when you post in
>this newsgripe. And if you're not, and you value your job, you're a fool!

ARRL recently affirmed that it is not seeking to change its policy wrt the US code testing requirements. Do you believe from my posts here that my personal view and that policy are identical?
You can rest assured that, as an ARRL employee, I will not take one single step with the FCC in contrast to the ARRL policy position, but as a free individual, I see no conflict in posting my personal views, the reasons for them and discussing those views with my fellow amateurs, just like you do.

Do you really believe that ARRL employees should not enjoy the same free-speech rights as other US citizens? Otherwise, you seem patriotic; why the difference for me?

I have posted quite a bit on this subject in the past, in a way that I thought said pretty clearly to what extent I feel what I say is shaped by my employment. Did you not read them, or think I was lying?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1421563184696478212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 10:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.98.98.64!
hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 17:58:32 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <966js5$ael$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.56
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 17:58:32 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.56
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:976 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15522

In article <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>,
"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote:
> Ham Radio and Code.
>
> Has the archaic code requirement been removed from Ham Radio testing
yet?
>
> -jim

Hello Jim, I'm not familiar with archaic code, but the Morse code is
still a requirement in the USA and Canada. Go ahead and learn it
because you now get some ROI, but don't plan on using it at 5wpm.
That's frowned on.

73, Brian/N0iMD

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -5211717760398007028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,356d588770853894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 10:10:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-

```
for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Calling the "Roll"
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 18:00:36 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <966k01$agq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <aLfh6.6131$ZJ5.537204@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010211111755.16594.00006371@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.56
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 18:00:36 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.56
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15523

In article <20010211111755.16594.00006371@nso-cg.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <aLfh6.6131$ZJ5.537204@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Kim"
> <w8ing2001@yahoo.com> writes:
>
> >
> >Ya left two out...
> >
> >Happy New Year, Everyone (No, I'm not back)...I needed to see if I
could
> >find Phil Kane's email address.
> >
> >--
> >73 de W5TIT - Kim
>
> Happy New Year to you, Kim -- now, don't let the door hit you in
> the ass on your way out!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, you never disappoint me.  You're as predictable as Rush Limbo.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -3957205164185093642
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 10:16:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
```

```
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!cambridge1-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!bos-
service1.ext.raytheon.com!cyclone.swbell.net!nnrp2.sbc.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "BD" <bodu@pobox.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <qGAh6.214$vb4.29561@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 12:16:17 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.217.147.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@swbell.net
X-Trace: nnrp2.sbc.net 981915414 64.217.147.38 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001 12:16:54 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 12:16:54 CST
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:979 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15524

Not able to master it, huh, smarty-jim?...

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@home.com> wrote in message
news:b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com...
> Ham Radio and Code.
>
> Has the archaic code requirement been removed from Ham Radio testing yet?




From 4376598485529365491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,68ec6830584e9790
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 10:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL code test resolution
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 18:14:36 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <966kq7$b24$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <950014$jb2$0@208.206.142.105> <20010128083130.21683.00001752@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g7vw6l4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<94a98tgo2ho2ab97ujrnvik9h1qmvnqrp0@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.56
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 18:14:36 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
```

```
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.56
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15525

In article <94a98tgo2ho2ab97ujrnvik9h1qmvnqrp0@news.mindspring.com>,
  Xara Thustra <sparks@bigfoot.com> wrote:
> On Sun, 28 Jan 2001 21:00:52 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
> wrote:
>
> >On 28 Jan 2001 13:31:30 GMT, W1RFI wrote:
> >
> >>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >>
> >>>QFU isn't on my Q-signal list. I've never heard it on the air.
> >>
> >>I have heard it on the air and I didn't need a list to know exactly
what it
> >>meant.
> >
> >  QFU is in the series assigned to the Aeronautical Services -
> >  that series does not appear in the ITU Radio Regs so I don't
> >  know its exact (legal) meaning.  The "de facto" meaning is
> >  obvious.....
> >
> >  Anyone have access to the ICAO Regs?
>
> I was a maritime shipboard radio officer many years ago, and can't
> resist passing on to the more recent folks, a couple of Q signals
> that also were not in the books, but were quite commonly encountered:
>
> QWC - "Water Closet" the British equivalent of toilet; in other words,
> I will be away from the key for a moment or so......
>
> QLF - Please send with your left foot; your right foot sounds tired.
>
> Paul Martin W4SXD

Paul, have you ever worked WD4GDH?  He was a shipboard radio officer
till he jumped ship on Guam to work for the one of the USN's big
transmitter sites.  We did JOTA for the Scouts in 91.

73, Brian/N0iMD


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 8283429458281022170
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 10:30:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 18:23:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <966lbe$bgs$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <951hh9$tpu$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A745399.7299DD6C@IEEE.org>
<951pcv$411$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95737u$a2f$1@agate.berkeley.edu>
<957nvo$hhn$0@208.206.142.67> <957rvt$94n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95clak$cjr$1@agate.berkeley.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.56
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 18:23:48 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.56
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15526
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12394

In article <95clak$cjr$1@agate.berkeley.edu>,
  David Snyder Hale <david@isi9.mtwilson.edu> wrote:
> In rec.radio.amateur.misc Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > Sounds useful and has come up here before. I should try it. Does
it
> > cost more than a pizza? More than a lobster dinner?
>
> hum, I think closer to lobster than pizza; I think I paid around $35 a
> little over a year ago.
>
> --
> Dave * N3WTK (DM04xf) * http://isi.mtwilson.edu * n3wtk@arrl.net

OK, but my kids will be pissed about getting Code Quick for dinner
this Friday night. Maybe if I put cheese on it. Sounds like I need to
support the hobby to the tune of another 35 sheckles (as Dick calls em).
 Maybe I'll have that QSO with N2EY before 2027AD when I retire.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1310993267677225020
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 10:40:10 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 18:32:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <966lso$bva$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95bq8f$ja4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010204104711.03780.00001058@nso-ch.aol.com> <u29s7tsndq6lu5r4gf5grfq9do9g4211n7@4ax.com>
<95n8ut031ba@enews1.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.56
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 18:32:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.56
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15527

In article <95n8ut031ba@enews1.newsguy.com>,
  tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
> In article <u29s7tsndq6lu5r4gf5grfq9do9g4211n7@4ax.com>,
nomail@nomail.com
> says...
>
> >>it offers the proverbial
> >>free lunch -- and therefore, gets a strong following from the
> >>clients of the ham radio Welfare State.
> >
> >This is the *real reason* you don't like Code Quick. People who have
> >no intention of ever using the code on the air have found success in
> >using Code Quick to quickly pass the code test. But then I suppose
> >that's similar to people who have no intention of learning
electronics
> >using memorization to quickly pass the written test...
>
> Larry will never change. Technology has advanced in the area of
superior modes,
> but his proselytizing is akin to having to demonstrate how to start a
car with
> a hand crank in order to recieve your driver's license.

TL, while its fun to drive an antique car, I don't think it should be a requirement to be licensesd drive a modern automobile. Manual choke and dwell can would leave most modern motorists stranded by the side of the road.

Even Cecil's website shows him (and daughters) dressed up in period Cowboy (and cowgirl) garb. I imagine that it was a lot of fun. Ditto Morse code. Probably a blast if you're any good at it, probably sends rivers of sweat if you're not.

But to require it to operate any other mode on HF???

73, Brian/N0iMD

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 1245132871979128815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a087c4b6fecc4961
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 10:50:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Quick RULEZ!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 18:44:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <966mic$cea$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95bq8f$ja4$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010204104711.03780.00001058@nso-
ch.aol.com> <95n5f8$i20$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.56
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 18:44:29 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x63.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.56
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15528
```

```
In article <95n5f8$i20$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
> In article <20010204104711.03780.00001058@nso-ch.aol.com>,
>   yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
>
> > No, thank God, I don't!  Oh, speaking of God, that's another problem
> > I have with Code Quick.  It indulges in religious proselytizing
right
> in
> > the middle of the tape.  Specifically, fundamentalist Christian
> > proselytizing.  Why is religion brought into the program?  What
about
> > potential customers who are Catholic, Jewish, Muslim, or any other
> > non-fundamentalist Christian religion?  If the guy wants to sell
> > religious tapes, he should do so within the confines of that market
--
> > and not include HIS specific religious beliefs in a program marketed
> > to people from all walks of life who are interested in ham radio
```

>
> Really? If I were God, I wouldn't want to be on a code tape!
> If I were God, I'd get together with all my other God friends
> and have a big party. We'd all get drunk and create unliftable
> rocks, then try to lift them. It would be loads of fun! Then I'd
> probably just destroy the rocks with a lightning bolt. Then I'd
> probably pass out. :^)
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

I can buy the passing out part. Go easy on the Guiness and Mead.

BTW, who are the other "Gods" you're getting drunk with? Do they fit
Dave's definition of "Good Ops?"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3847997611913583961
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa656,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 15:55:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 23:40:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9677sr$qfm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<966vg3$ku0$0@208.206.142.83>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.136
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 23:40:11 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.136
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:984 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15579

In article <966vg3$ku0$0@208.206.142.83>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Jim Wayda wrote:
> >
> > Ham Radio and Code.
> >

> > Has the archaic code requirement been removed from Ham Radio testing
yet?
>
> Have you removed that archaic gasoline engine from your car yet?

Damned Liberals. Are you with Algore on that one, too?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7926032441792586493
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 15:56:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 23:38:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9677p8$q6l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<3a86f295.2844352@news.accessnv.com> <3A86F31E.7AFB1F92@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.136
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 23:38:16 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.136
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15580

In article <3A86F31E.7AFB1F92@arrl.org>,
  Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> ben wrote:
> >
> > It's only 5 wpm so any lazy ass ought to be able to pass it.
>
> "Lazy ass?" Hmmm, should we replace QLF with QLC(heek)?
>
> Jon

We already had a "piece" on here about a year ago conserning squeezing a
paddle with ones cheeks.   It was a messy thread. ;)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7853918046171682140
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 00:01:42 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 189
Message-ID: <3a872411.3360313@news.mindspring.com>
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-cu1.aol.com> <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.49.22
X-Server-Date: 12 Feb 2001 00:01:36 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15581

On 11 Feb 2001 17:40:01 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>> >> I am quite aware of the present technical status of hams, Dick; I spend
>> >> about 2 or 3 hours a day on the phone, answering their questions.
>> >> Most of them don't share your "can't do" viewpoint.
>>
>> >I seriously doubt it. You meet and converse with seek out your
>> >services and those of ARRL.
>>
>> Are you really offering that those that call me on the phone do so because they
>> believe they "can't do" whatever it is they are asking me about? I fail to
>> understand that logic, Dick.
>
>
> If you spent a half hour per telephone contact, 8 hours per day, 5 days
>per week for 52 weeks per year, you'd talk to 830 hams.

Hey, any time I've called Ed on the phone he has never given me
1/2 hour of histime. Am I not getting my monies worth from my
ARRL membership? :-)

>> Secondly, please forgive me for not also explaining that my contact with hams
>> also includes a lot of travel to conventions, and local club meetings. During
>> that travel, I not only talk to a lot of hams, but I wander around a bit and
>> look at what is going on. I do not see evidence of "they won't learn anything
>> more than what is on the test" as you describe. That is "can't do" and what I

>> see is people doing the doing.
>>
>> When I go to a local club meeting, generally only the club program director has
>> sought me out. The other 30 people are just there. In what way do you think
>> they are different than the hams that you know?
>
> If you attended a hamfest or club meeting every week for 52 weeks and
>talked to 30 persons per meeting you;d talk to 1,560 hams in a year.
>All in all, not any overpowering percentage of licensed hams.

I've seen Ed talk to hundreds at a hamfest. To suggest that
someone like Ed would only talk to so few people in a hamfest
setting is a joke.

>> How could I possibly see so
>> much hope and enthusiasm in hams, yet you see none?
>
> Once again, you misstate my position. That is not at all what I said.
>I said that you don't see all the hams out there who just want to be
>hams, and don't have the training nor the refined technical skills to
>get involved in your mission of "high tech for the masses", nor will
>they ever get very deeply involved in it for that very reason. It is NOT
>a simple matter and everyone except the promoters of the Agenda know it.

Dick must have some special dailog with all those "other"
folks that Ed and the rest of us never meet.

And...there's that "agenda" silliness once again.
What agenda Dick?

> Oh, the Agenda is not a formal document nor even a clearly stated
>position, it is just an attitude. It basically says, but certainly is
>not limited to, "get the ARS away from CW specifically, and into the
>world of high tech, never mind anything else".

Gee Dick, I must have skipped that chapter in my ARRL
manual and the FCC part 97.

>It ignores completely the
>practical aspects that characterize almost every ordinary ham. He wants
>to use ham radio as he recognizes it, which is to say that it IS an
>evolving and improving entity, and he intends to be a part of that
>evolution and improvement. He does NOT believe that just because
>inventors working for the telephone company have found that spread
>spectrum techniques find favor in use as cordless telephones that he
>must line up and follow suit. He sees that is pure techie nonsense, and
>recogizes it as what it is - an attempt by a few hams and others whose
>interests are in little except development to trump his own desires and
>best interests. Cecil Moore said yesterday that his interest is in
>experimenting and he rarely gets on the air. That's fine, but it also
>shows that his interest is driven by something other than that of the
>average ham.
>

> Of course hams need to learn more about advancing technology. It won't
>happen effectively until people who develop these systems who are able
>to explain them in terms understandable to the average ham who knows
>little or nothing about those techniques and little about technology at
>all.

Spread spectrum was invented by a layman with NO
engineering background.

> THAT is the challenge. I and othes have raised it several times here
>in recent years, to do it, and explain what you're doing, or get off the
>soapbox. So far I've seen nothing that I would call progress. A single
>text explaining in simnple detail, it that is possible, the basics of
>the new technologis would go far toward educating the masses of hams.
>Most I know would spring a few bucks for such a boot. If they could find
>it. Instead all they get is criticism.

I see such articles in QST all the time. Do you read them
Dick?

>> > For every one you contact there are many thousands you never know
>> > about. No one I know personally has had contact with you.
>>
>> Of the 50 or so people that show up regularly here, about 5 have had personal
>> dealings with me.
>>
>I would guess that I have interacted with
>> over 5000 hams over the years. Out of those, I do not see more than a handful
>> that I believe are the way you have offered that most new hams must be.
>
> Of course not. You see those basically who want to see you and hear
>what you have to say. Others are busily doing other things that interest
>them. I will say, you are probably the biggest crowd draw that Newington
>has to offer. When a crowd of hundreds or more producesd an audience of
>50 for your presentation that means that you won't be exposed to the
>attitudes of aa pretty large majority.

Well Ed...I guess if you don't have an SRO crowd then
you aren't doing a good job for us. All those others that
don't sign up to hear your talk just have no need for
it because they march to the sound of a differnet drum...which
only Dick knows about.

> Please
>> tell us about the much larger group you have interacted with and why they are
>> so very different from the hams I have met.
>
> Ed, I live out here among them, talk to hams almost daily, have
>hundreds of friends and contacts that have persisted for many years, and
>spend a LOT of time on the air, mostly listening to others. You on the
>other hand spend your time in the ivory towers of Newington.

Hey Ed, you guys didn't show me those ivory towers when I went for the ARRL tour a few years back.

>I can
>easily see that you inhabit the ground floor, as you are in fact one of
>the most practically minded ihabitants. But the fact remains.
>
> Surely you understand that the people that gravitate to your
>presentations at hamfests are the subset of the much larger crowd
>attending the event,those who happen to know you, or of you, and are
>particularily interested in what you have to say,but they represent a
>small percentage of the attendance. Far more wouldn't know you from
>Adam, I'm sorry to say. Atg that, you're probabaly the best known
>Newingtonite.
> If I attend a hamfest where you appear I'll be in the group you
>address. A great majority of ordinary hams will not. Some will be
>interested in your RFI work. Some will skip because you are a part of
>the movement to "modernize" ham radio away from their interests, and
>they don't support that. Not many hams believe it is in their best
>interests to cause the ARS to implement such modes as spread spectrum
>nor univesal digitized voice especially on HF, since the bands are not
>unduly congested and the "cure" is just as likely to be much worse than
>any perceived ailment.
>
> Many of these same hams believe it is a travesty to allow
>radiotelegraphy to atrophy to the point of uselessness just to allow
>easy entry into ham radio, and believe that this is a part of the
>movement to force ham radio into accepting modes that are commercially
>invaluable but which have little or nothing to offer to hams in
>practical application. Not much is known about their actual effects on
>the HF ham bands. Many hans just don't buy the assertion of many in your
>movement that ham radio must abandon our older but very reliable and
>utilitarian modes for no reason other than the fact that commercial
>engineeers must constantly come up with "new and improved" versions of
>everything, else they find themselves unemployed. Yes, we heard, "no one
>is
>insisting that we abandon anything...". Just what does "modernize" mean
>as used in all the rhetoric, then? That, to them, is the thrust of the
>urge to "modernize". What else could it mean? That just because there is
>utility in using spread spectrum techniques in many communications
>venues that we actually need it in ham radio? I don't think so, and
>neither do they.

Dick... modernize is eactly what hams have been doing
for decades. The fact that some wish to still use (and enjoy using)
older technology is their personal choice. The problem for hams
as a large group would be if hams as a majority took such
old technology approaches. It's that simple. Many hams
migrate to new technology as the new equipment finds its
way to commercial availability. That too is nothing
new.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 7446238338144935561
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:04:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!upp1.onvoy!onvoy.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 23:51:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <9678h2$qv0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9631qu$ni5$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010211123747.16594.00006422@nso-
cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.136
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 23:51:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x58.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.136
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15582

In article <20010211123747.16594.00006422@nso-cg.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <9631qu$ni5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
>
> >> Brian:
> >>
> >> Ah, yes, the 10-10 club.  You just ran into the very attitude which
> >> has made me an avid "no-phoner" ever since!  The Ten-Ten
organization,
> >> and all of its drooling, toothless, slack-jawed, knuckle-dragging,
> >> mouth-breathing, bottom-feeding members are, collectively, proof
> >> that any idiot can pick up  a microphone and talk -- and most
> >> idiots do!
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Apparently, according to Hans, FISTS members work you once, get your
> >number, and are more than happy to send you on your way.  Can it be
than
> > idiots can pick up a paddle?
>
> Brian:
>
> I'm not aware of any such limitation in the FISTS organization.  I've
```

> worked numerous FISTS members numerous times, and usually
> enjoyed each QSO. What the heck are you talking about, anyway?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Hey, don't ask me. Take it up with your "Good Op" Hans. Here's what HE
said:

"I like all those ideas, Jim, except the one about publicizing the Fists
freqs. I've abandoned any operations anywhere near xx.058, because once
a Fists member "collects your number", the contact usually comes to a
quick conclusion.

 73, Hans, K0HB
 Fists # 7419 (I think)"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -9030410587183713871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:08:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 00:02:31 GMT
References: <20010211163349.01651.00001426@nso-fp.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010211190231.17629.00000392@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15583

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>All academic now. Bash's actions are ancient history. No way is the FCC going
>to get involved in ham testing in the foreseeable future other than retesting
>as part of enforcement actions.

Even then it is likely that the FCC will ask that the applicant be retested by
a VEC, either by specifying the VEC or by making a stipulation that it be a
different VEC than coordinated a questionable test session.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -7635838895191822433
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:08:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 00:04:51 GMT
References: <20010211160211.17176.00001627@nso-ba.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010211190451.17629.00000394@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15584
```

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>"Code" is a four-letter word.

>Watch how many will think the above sentence is swearing
>or a "denunciation" of morse code!   :-)

None, now that you pointed it out. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -7643576407915239890
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:22:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news-
peer-europe.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!news.algonet.se!algonet!
newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-
mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 00:09:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9679in$rje$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
```

```
References: <9668kb$20b$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010211141820.24870.00000421@ng-
mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.139
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 12 00:09:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.139
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15585

In article <20010211141820.24870.00000421@ng-mb1.aol.com>,
  w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com
>
> >Hello Ed.  I've notice that Steve uses a lot of CAPITALIZATION in his
> >posts.  Do you have any thoughts on that?  Thanks, Brian
>
> I would imagine that most people who use all caps do so to emphasize.
>
> I think that those who use a capitilization only on the first
character of a
> word do so to give it an Importance that it wouldn't otherwise have.
>
> If you want to know the real reason that Steve uses capitalization,
you could
> ask him.  His email address is k4yz@aol.com.  His answer would be more
accurate
> than my speculation.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Now come on Ed.  You speculate that Len gets "far afield" when he uses
caps, but cannot speculate why Steve uses caps?  And I thought you might
be a little more fair handed than some of the other "Good Ops" on here.



Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From 6544455332904312832
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:24:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: 11 Feb 2001 23:41:39 GMT
```

Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9677vj12b27@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <963b1c02dgu@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010211123748.16594.00006423@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-933.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15586

In article <20010211123748.16594.00006423@nso-cg.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...

>
>I've never encountered a 10-10 member on 10-meter CW; however, if

That doesn't mean that they don't exist.

>I did, and I wasn't able to give him my number, I'm sure I'd receive the
>same kind of disparagement as I received during my very last 10-meter
>phone QSO with a 10-10 member!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Seems to me that's a little premature in judgement. Maybe if you'd worked the ten required stations and applied for a membership, you wouldn't feel so negative about it.

From -5756729590111660197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:24:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 00:12:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <9679oo$rt8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010211092729.16173.00000434@ng-cu1.aol.com> <20010211160211.17176.00001627@nso-ba.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.139
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 12 00:12:13 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.139
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15587

In article <20010211160211.17176.00001627@nso-ba.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <20010211092729.16173.00000434@ng-cu1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >
> >>My only dispute is with some of the ARRL's policy decisions.
> >
> >I, too, have disagreed with some of ARRL's policy decisions. I have
not even
> >disagreed with much of what you have offered. You only seem to get a
bit far
> >afield when you use capitalization and religious terms in your posts.
:-)
>
> "ARRL" is all capitals. :-)
>
> I don't think I'm far afield on some who have quasi-religious
> thoughts on US amateur radio. I used to call them
> "ama-baptists" for their proselyting but others got confused
> and thought I was berating the AMA.   :-)
>
> While some folks use Yaesu and Kenwood radios, I'll swear
> that they are using Icons.   :-)
>
> [sorry, I shouldn't swear, Jim N2EY doesn't like it... :-)]
>
> "Code" is a four-letter word.
>
> Watch how many will think the above sentence is swearing
> or a "denunciation" of morse code!   :-)
>
> didit

Salmon Rushdie would be proud. You spead enough smileys across the
screen to prevent a holy jihad (maybe).

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -7252588411241092526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:26:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 23:56:12 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <9678qq$r2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <966d5j$53g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010211160212.17176.00001629@nso-
ba.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.136
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 23:56:12 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x71.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.136
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15588

In article <20010211160212.17176.00001629@nso-ba.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <966d5j$53g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> writes:
>
> >In article <20010210145350.01651.00001391@nso-fp.aol.com>,
> >  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> >> In article <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-mq.aol.com>,
> >yodoc@aol.comnospam
> >> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
> >>
> >> >Ah, yes, the 10-10 club.  You just ran into the very attitude
which
> >> >has made me an avid "no-phoner" ever since!  The Ten-Ten
> >organization,
> >> >and all of its drooling, toothless, slack-jawed, knuckle-dragging,
> >> >mouth-breathing, bottom-feeding members are, collectively, proof
> >> >that any idiot can pick up  a microphone and talk -- and most
> >> >idiots do!
> >>
> >> ...and some folks wonder why tRoll was beaten with a stick!
> >>
> >> :-)
> >>
> >> didit
> >
> >With a Ham Stick or a Terlin Sick (the one with the flailing "wander
> >leads")?
> >
> >;)
> >
> >Len, better lighten up before the all the "Good Ops" start
threatening
> >to do you bodily harm.
>
> Too late...they've already tried.  One even threated to "remove my
> ego" forcibly from the newsgroup according to private mail.  :-)
```

>
> didit

Lots of junk yard dogs in ham radio, it would appear. Perhaps the chest pounders can get a gig on the WWF. Maybe they'll go back to brass pounding.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -6690013029495369069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:26:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Date: 11 Feb 2001 23:30:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <96779p02b27@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A856E9B.ECA0DA50@IEEE.org> <20010211123746.16594.00006420@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-668.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15589

In article <20010211123746.16594.00006420@nso-cg.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...
>I'm ass-u-&-me-ing that you're sitting down while you're reading this;
>but in case you type your newsgripe postings from a standing
>position, please sit down before you read this:
>
>You don't annoy me. Nobody in this newsgroup annoys me. Even
>Kim didn't annoy me. This is just a bunch of people typing on a
>computer screen -- nothing to be annoyed about! And you are the
>leader of the group that couldn't possibly annoy me no mater what
>you said! Sorry for the disillusionment.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

If you're not annoyed, you certainly have a funny way showing it.

From -2463111082044128411
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:30:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 00:11:35 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <3a8729be.4813655@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a5f9c59.1525595@news.mindspring.com>
<20010211163349.01651.00001426@nso-fp.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.49.22
X-Server-Date: 12 Feb 2001 00:13:19 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15590

On 11 Feb 2001 21:33:49 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3a5f9c59.1525595@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>The question for you Vince then is twofold:
>>Is publishing questions from PAST tests illegal when
>>done by those college prep course publishers?
>
>Let me have a go at this one.
>
>It's not illegal for at least two reasons:
>
>1) The published tests are intentionally released by the testing agency for
>publication.
>2) The published tests are no longer used - they really are past tests, not
>current ones.
>
>>If the answer to the first question is NO, then why
>>should Bash be held to a different standard of law?
>
>Because Bash violated both of the above conditions. The tests he published were
>not intentionally released for publication by the FCC, and they were not
>questions which had been removed from use in testing.
>
>Let me ask this, Bill: If a college prep course publisher somehow got hold of
>current test questions, and published them, would that be OK?

It already is.

>>If the Q&A was (by some miracle) made secret again,
>>would you complain when people publised the questions
>>they remembered from PAST tests on a centralized web site?
>
>I would, if the intent of making them secret was to keep the exact Q&A secret.

Then you'd be very frustrated.

>What Bash really did was to expose the fact that the FCC did not have an
>enormous stack of different tests in use. Prosecuting him would have publicized
>that fact and probably greatly increased sales.

And I stick to my contention that had the FCC gone
against Bash he never would have been convicted.

>All academic now. Bash's actions are ancient history. No way is the FCC going
>to get involved in ham testing in the foreseeable future other than retesting
>as part of enforcement actions.

Agreed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -2229545327858149667
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,356d588770853894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Calling the "Roll"
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 00:17:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <967a1u$s0t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <20010211111755.16594.00006371@nso-cg.aol.com>
<20010211141206.24870.00000419@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.139
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 12 00:17:08 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.139
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15591

In article <20010211141206.24870.00000419@ng-mb1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>
> >>Happy New Year, Everyone (No, I'm not back)...I needed to see if I
could
> >>find Phil Kane's email address.
>
> >Happy New Year to you, Kim -- now, don't let the door hit you in
> >the ass on your way out!
>
> Thanks for the blast from the past. I think the last time I heard
that one for
> real was junior high school.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Now come on Ed. That has been a tRoll trademark on here for years.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 1436980021930692627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:43:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 00:27:32 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <967ald$sg6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <963b1c02dgu@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010211123748.16594.00006423@nso-
cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.139
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 12 00:27:32 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.139
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15592

In article <20010211123748.16594.00006423@nso-cg.aol.com>,
  yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> In article <963b1c02dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T.
L.
> Bryant) writes:
>
> >>Brian:
> >>

> >>Ah, yes, the 10-10 club. You just ran into the very attitude which
> >>has made me an avid "no-phoner" ever since! The Ten-Ten
organization,
> >>and all of its drooling, toothless, slack-jawed, knuckle-dragging,
> >>mouth-breathing, bottom-feeding members are, collectively, proof
> >>that any idiot can pick up a microphone and talk -- and most
> >>idiots do!
> >>
> >>73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >
> >I didn't realize exchanging 10-10 numbers was restricted to phone.
Must be
> >something in 10-10 International's charter that I missed.
>
> T. L.:
>
> I've never encountered a 10-10 member on 10-meter CW; however, if
> I did, and I wasn't able to give him my number, I'm sure I'd receive
the
> same kind of disparagement as I received during my very last 10-meter
> phone QSO with a 10-10 member!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, you do seem to have a lot of trouble getting quality QSO's on the
radio. I recall you're impromtu SET. Perhaps if you weren't so
offensive...

Tell you what, I'm going to hook you up with the Spring 10X CW Contest.
Here's the info:

SPRING CW Contest: May 5-6, 2001

Logs due by: May 21, 2001

Logs to: Linda C. Kelchner, KC4SWY
Possum Trot Chapter
9946 Rockfish Road
Raeford, NC 28376-7036

Be sure to use a dupe sheet, and don't send QFU to anyone.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 3569010677331015935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:44:02 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!
not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 00:29:19 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <967aop$sh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <965uvl01g4e@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010211082757.16173.00000424@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <3A86EFEE.94C17072@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.139
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 12 00:29:19 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.139
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15593

In article <3A86EFEE.94C17072@IEEE.org>,
  Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> W1RFI wrote:
> > Why, even our Cecil wanted to engage someone in a 5 wpm
> > QSO in the DX windows, just because he can.
>
> You must've missed the smiley face, Ed.

A 5wpm QSO would have put a smiley on my face 13 years ago.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -6216859228180496962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:54:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 249
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 00:51:47 GMT
References: <966une$jce$0@208.206.142.83>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010211195147.17629.00000401@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15594

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
```

> I can see some applications on VHF/UHF where SS could solve a few
>problems that have been politically knotty, and thus avoided by both FCC
>and ARRL. The repeater situation should have never been allowed to
>degenerate into the sort of situation where all pairs are tied up while
>there is mostly silence on those bands, and much of the activity one
>does hear makes you want to turn off your HT.

The problem is not even one of certain repeaters being off the air completely, but the pair not relinquished (although that does happen). What about the repeater that really is used once a month for an on-the-air club meeting, and a few minutes here and there. That can be an important use of a repeater, and I think that it is apporopriate to accomodate it. THe problem could be that there are more people who want to do that than available pairs, so some cannot get access to repeater spectrum at all.

> But of course your discussion above addresses VHF/UHF, and not HF where
>there is simply no reason to even consider such a change-unless it is
>considered to be necessary just because the modes in use on HF must be
>considered old and obsolete, thus unusable.

The modes on HF may be old, but I do not consider them to be unusable at all. IMHO, the strength in amateur radio is in our diverisity and although I believe it is important to add new modes to amateur radio as they become available in the "real" radio world, I believe that it is important to consider their compatibility with existing useage and modes and add them in a way that minimizes the impact. But adding them is important because I believe that Amateur Radio will improve upon their implementation -- the technical part of the value of Amateur Radio to the world at large.

> That's an attitude attributable mostly to people who spend most of their
> time trying to change things whether or not they need changing instead
> of using HF ham radio.

I am sure that most of those who want change can give you more reasons that change for the sake of change. I have given my principle reasons above. And I am fairly active on HF CW, near the QRP frequencies.

>> I will speak only for myself, Dick; I have never suggested that you need to
>> dump anything that interests you.

> This little scrap has been ongoing far longer than I've been on usenet.
>I don't mean to attribute any particular part of it to you personally,
>just that you have shown that you agree with the thrust, that ham radio
>is derelict in having not widely adopted all those new modes which have
>done so well in commercial and government use.

Sure I have, but that does not mean that I am forcing you to dump what you are doing. I do not want to dump what I am doing; I am just making an observation.

> Overlooked is the widely diverging orientation between ham radio,
> especially HF, and those other services which have largely abandoned HF.

I tune off the ham bands, Dick, and I hear plenty of signals. Surely your antenna can't be that selective on receive. And that divergence is NOT overlooked; it is the reason that I believe what I do. I do not believe that it is healthy for Amateur Radio to be becoming more and more different than the "real" radio world. We never would have been created in the first place if we were so different, we would not have survived the times we have been taken off the air for various national emergencies if we were so different at the time and that difference will not help us to justify spectrum that is becoming more and more threatened. Those are my reasons, Dick, not change for the sake of change, not forcing other hams to my Agenda (whatever the heck that is supposed to mean).

> I believe the main reason for that is there is largely no need felt by
>most hams for ir, particularily on HF. And most of the spectral problems
>on VHF/UHF are because of outdated schemes and regulations instead of
>modal shortcomings.

On HF, I think the main thrust will be first in the area of digital voice. I think it important that some hams be experimenting about it, and I think it important (note important <> forced) that hams understand the principles on which it operates. When future rules changes may be put forth by the FCC about these modes, I think that the amateur community's comments should be correct and appropriate.

> That doesn't mean that there is no benefit at all to
>making wholesale changes, just that there is no demonstraded need.

I do not think that we need to make wholesale changes, Dick. I have said that I believe that it is important that amateurs know the fundamentals of these modern communications techniques. I think it important that some amateurs begin using these modes, and out of that use and experimentation, I think that balance and the appropriate changes to Amateur Radio will naturally evolve.

> The "installed base" principle DOES apply.

Yes, it does, a factor that was very much recognized by the FCC when they chose to retain the 70 cm and above limits on SS. I agree with that decision, although I would like to see some accomodation made for SS on HF, too. I think the subset of those that might experiment with SS on UHF and up may be different than those that would do so HF. The nature of SS implementation would be significantly different on HF than it would be on UHF.

As SS does become more used on UHF (and I sure hope it does), the advantages and disadvantages of the mode will become better understood by amateurs and, if it is as good as the proponents say it is, then hams will glom on to it pretty quickly. If not, it will die a natural death. Do you agree that to be appropriate? If it does have significant advantages, it may very well replace the VHF repeater schemes in use today, although I believe that some spectrum should be reserved for such operation (diversity is important).

> The political problems on VHF/UHF might be releived by changing to SS
>but look at the huge cost involved for the small benefit, and I really
>don't think most hams would benefit at all.

I am not sure the cost will all that huge, nro am I sure that the benefit will be all that small. I don't know, and I don't believe that you do either. I do know that if hams are to remain valuable to mainstream radio, they need to learn what mainstream radio is today, and SS and other digital techniques are very much a part of it.

> I see it as merely "change for the sake of change".

Yes, it is change, and we need to change, Dick. But I am proposing a change of adding knowledge to the base of Amateur Radio, adding diversity to Amateur Radio and under rules that exist right now, doing so in a way that doesn't force anyone to do anything. For the life of me, I can see why you think my views to be so onerous.

> With the serious decline in use of much of the
>present repeater system having occurred I doubt if much activty would
>survive.

Would there be more repeater useage if none of those who wanted to put up a repeater were told they can't? How much use would you make of HF if you were told that you would not be given the use of a frequency to do your thing, but would have to join in QSOs already in progress?

> Would I buy a completely new VHF rig to replace the FM rig that
>I rarely use now? I seriously doubt it, unless some new factor became
>involved.

No, you could continue not using your FM rig if you wish.

> Replacing all the FM gear now in use is a huge project that I
>just don't see happening at all, wsithout some more compelling reason
>than just updating the technology.

Perhaps the compelling reasons will be found if the FCC carries out whatever is promoting people like Hatfield to tell us that we need to modernize. Perhaps the compelling reason will be found on 2.4 GHz as the upper part of the band fills with spread-spectrum devices by the millions.

>> >We've listed dozens of other objects which are
>> >also obsolete, and are still in universal use today, but that makes no
>> >difference.

>> Yes, they are in universal use today. How many of them are a mandatory
>part of
>> testing for any priveleges, amateur or otherwise?

> How many match the unique attributes of radiotelegraphy?

I assume that if you listed them as justification for retaining the CW tests, they had some relative bearing on CW tests.

>> >It is clear that the entire movement to "modernize" is nothing more
>> >than another push to get rid of the code.

>>
>> Is that what you think that retired Dale Hatfield from the FCC was seeking
>>to do?

> Of course not.

Then what do you think Hatfield was trying to accomplish?

> On the other hand, I sure do believe that he has been greatly influenced by the
>stridency of people like Carl Stevenson. Don't you?

No, I do not. Carl may be expounding some of the factors that have influenced Hatfield and the FCC, though. If you think that Stevenson's views carried more weight with the FCC than does the fact that modernization of radio is taking place all around us, to alleviate a growing spectrum shortage, then you are, IMHO, quite incorrect.

> After we've been flatly told that "a few people can change the world and
> just did"? After FCC near-totally ignored the ARRL BOD in the restructuring
> decision and gave NCI almost everything they
>whined for and some they didn't?

To what extent that would have happened without NCI, I don't know, but I think that the FCC really did want to reassess whether it wanted to retain Morse code testing in the Amateur Radio Service in the light of the fact that Morse code use under its jurisdiction in all other radio services has virtually disappeared. Had the Amateur comments been overwhelmingly in support of what ARRL had proposed, its views would have carried more weight.

>> There's that "agenda" thing again. Do you really think that the only
>reason I
>> say that I believe more hams should learn the fundamentals of modern
>> communications technology is to "get rid of the code?"

> No, Ed, you're not nearly the main part of the problem. You're only a
>side feature of it, in that you have decided to agree to cave in to the
>shrillness that is total opposition to ANY Morse code operational test
>requirement.

Dick, have you ever known me to cave in to anything? I find it hard enough to walk away from a discussion here. Those who know me well know that I am as stubborn as they come.

I don't understand why you believet hat I am in total opposition to any Morse code operational test requirement. What gave you that idea? I have said a number of times (gosh, it is getting tiresome to have to repeat things so often) that I believe that amateur testing should contain 5 minutes of sent Morse text with a reasonable number of questions about its content, included as part of the overall score. That would test Morse code to the same extent that other modes are tested. It is difficult to have a discussion you when you imagine I said things then disagree with me for saying them.

> Evidently the entire ARRL heirachy has.

The ARRL heirarch has decided to accept the inevitability of the removal of the Morse code requirement at the international level. It has decided that it is not changing its position wrt US testing at this time. It is difficult to have a discussion with you when you imagine what the ARRL Board has decided and then criticize them for doing so.

> Three or four years ago, not long after I first landed on this NG I
>said something about "Where's the beef? Why isn't there any gear
>available to actually use if these glitzy new modes are so great? When
>are some of the strident advocates going to put their expertise where
>their mouths are?"

A very good question. The needed rules changes went into place a few years ago. I am disappointed that more progress has not been made.

> If they are in fact all that beneficial and applicable to ham radio why
>are there NO renditions available today on the market, even as kits?

There are thousands and thousands of spread spectrum devices that operate on the ham bands, Dick. Soon, there will be millions.

> I looked over the TAPR website you gave, and yes indeed, I believe it
>is proper to add a few questions on that material to the question pools.
>But the tests, instead of being reduced in scope and number of
>questions, would be better expanded when including new material,
>otherwise they actually are being reduced in scope of coverage.

I think that out of 1200 questions in the pool, it should be possible to cover the subject pretty well. Even if no questions about these topics were added to the pool, I am sure that some hams will learn the material on their own, for their own reasons.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6557379557711678879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa656,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Date: 12 Feb 2001 00:18:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 20

Message-ID: <967a5642b27@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<966vg3$ku0$0@208.206.142.83>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-627.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:985 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15595

In article <966vg3$ku0$0@208.206.142.83>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>Jim Wayda wrote:
>>
>> Ham Radio and Code.
>>
>> Has the archaic code requirement been removed from Ham Radio testing yet?
>
>
> Have you removed that archaic gasoline engine from your car yet?

No, but we've removed archaic, horse-drawn carriages and I, for one, will
remove my gasoline engine when I see that hydrogen fuel cells have been
perfected.

From -4784055513932105855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 11 Feb 2001 23:54:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9678oa22b27@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <963bjq22dgu@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010211123751.16594.00006428@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-111.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15596

```
In article <20010211123751.16594.00006428@nso-cg.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...
>T. L.:
>
>I could care less whether or not you post a callsign; and for the record,
>I could care less if you are an "Pre-Resturcturing" Extra or a newly-
>licensed Technician.  You identify your posts with your name, for this
>or any newsgroup, that is sufficient.  At least you are not hiding behind
>some fictious "screen name," or so it seems -- but I'm inclined to give
>the benefit of the doubt when I see a "real" looking name.  However,
>in these dumbed-down days of ham radio, any idiot can get a license
>and a call sign, so I have no need to see yours.  Never think you need
>to post it for my benefit.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

For someone who claims not to be concerned with things, you certainly
contradict yourself in your responses. I really don't think you remember what
you write from one day to the next. I guess it figures that you wouldn't
remember me from when I posted several months ago, and at that time, posted my
callsign. (Remember the little exchange we had about your complaining about
Kim's "vulger" callsign, and then your using the "F" word in a posting?
Do a deja.com archive search, if you like)

So how many more contradictions can we expect to see from you?



From 4486100021305929400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:55:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 00:53:15 GMT
References: <3A86F31E.7AFB1F92@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Message-ID: <20010211195315.17629.00000402@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15597

>From: Jon Bloom jbloom@arrl.org

>> It's only 5 wpm so any lazy ass ought to be able to pass it.

>"Lazy ass?" Hmmm, should we replace QLF with QLC(heek)?
```

I was at a club meeting once where they... naw, never mind. It is a thought I just can't bear to conjure up. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3223742455877714971
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:58:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 12 Feb 2001 00:08:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <9679ig32b27@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A85712F.B4500C74@IEEE.org> <20010211123752.16594.00006429@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-394.newsdawg.com
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15598

In article <20010211123752.16594.00006429@nso-cg.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...

>That's true -- and the reason is that it requires an operator to learn
>and gain useful proficiency in the skill of sending and receiving Morse
>code! Now, when you're talking about commercial or military utility
>communications applications, this means the expense of training,
>paying, and providing benefits for flesh-and-blood radio operators. If
>I owned a shipping line, I would probably want to eliminate that
>particular part of my overhead, just as much as anyone else. Therefore,
>ships at sea and land-based communications facilities depend on
>expensive, computerized communications systems instead of
>human radio operators.
>
>Amateur radio does not have these economic considerations, but

The ARRL doesn't think so.

>we still have to deal with the fact that learning and gaining useful
>proficiency in Morse code still requires a considerable amount of
>dedicated effort on the part of the operators who wish to use it. In
>the past, this effort was motivated and incentivized by the promise

>of increased operating privileges; now that that incentive has been
>removed, there will, for sure, be far fewer people even bothering to
>learn and gain useful proficiency in Morse code.

I wonder when the 20-13-5 WPM test was in place, how many hams learned just
enough to pass the test and then abandoned the mode? It would seem that the
number of proficient operators would have declined in spite of the mandatory
high-speed testing.

>The Morse/CW mode continued to survive and flourish only due to
>the code testing requirement. In the absence of the requirement,
>the numbers of Morse/CW mode users will most likely shrink to a
>small fraction of their present numbers, at least in the United States.
>European/Asian/African operators don't seem to have as much of a
>laziness problem as we Americans do.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

That's probably because the European/Asian/African operators want to get all of
those American 10-10 numbers that you find so despicable. That's *their*
incentive.

From -5683865869O2305150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 16:58:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 00:55:22 GMT
References: <966vg3$ku0$0@208.206.142.83>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Message-ID: <20010211195522.17629.00000403@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15599

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Has the archaic code requirement been removed from Ham Radio testing yet?

> Have you removed that archaic gasoline engine from your car yet?

No, but I don't have to feed my horse oats; I don't own a horse. :-)

Of course, I do heat 100% with wood, so I am not opposed to archaic. But I don't think that everyone should be forced to take a firewood splitting test before they are allowed to heat their homes with oil.

Watch those analogies, Dick; it is real easy to think up analogies that appear to apply to either side of this.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 51517719452672927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 17:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 00:58:34 GMT
References: <96713p$pfc$0@208.206.142.83>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211195834.17629.00000404@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15600

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>I would think that a 23db power advantage to the other mode might do
>it. (5 watts vs 1500).

I agree, Dick. Larry was saying that if a CW signal goes up against an SSB signal, the CW signal will lose. I believe he is incorrect.

The 23 dB might be a bit optimistic, though, unless the CW receiver really is using minimum necessary bandwidth. Although in wider bandwidths, some CW ops have a decent "mental" filter that can gain back some of what a measurement would say isn't there.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1650233066774610999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 17:06:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 01:01:40 GMT
References: <9671si$qeo$3@208.206.142.83>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211200140.17629.00000405@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15601

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Yeah, Larry. Go ahead and "positively think" radiotelegraphy out of
>existance.

I hate to tell you this, Dick, but if the FCC removes the code test altogether at some point, "positive thinking" will be the main tool we have to promote CW use among newcomers. That is true even today; those who pass a test will not be inclined to try the mode if all they hear and read about CW is that it a difficult thing needed to be a test of character. It is hard to undo that damage once it is said.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2246600808795031758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 17:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!oleane.net!oleane!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 01:05:14 GMT
References: <3A86E265.E414650A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211200514.17629.00000406@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15602

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

> Nocoders have no code experience so their opinions about Morse

> code don't matter. Non-Extras are not fast enough at Morse code to
> have a valid opinion. Post April 15 Extras didn't prove their Morse
> code skill so they also have no right to post their personal opinions.
> Members of NCI shouldn't post their personal opinions. ARRL
> employees shouldn't post their personal opinions. See the pattern?
> Anyone who disagrees with Larry on the subject of Morse code
> testing should not post their personal opinions. :-)

Yes, I understand now. And those of us that slip by that filter are only saying what we are saying because some external factor is forcing us to do so. Thanks, now I can sleep at night knowing I am not lying to Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 883833851617244818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 17:12:06 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 01:08:19 GMT
Message-ID: <3a871d50.254735960@news2.dvol.com>
References: <965uvl01g4e@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010211082757.16173.00000424@ng-cu1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.62
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.62
X-Trace: 11 Feb 2001 19:06:58 -0600, 64.24.16.62
Lines: 109
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15603

On 11 Feb 2001 13:27:57 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>In article <3a861468.186914703@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol says...
>
>>Squiggy doesn't have a fist, he couldn't communicate with dots &
>>dashes if his life or anybody else's life depended on that ability
>
>Actually, at one point, Carl was approaching 20 wpm. He could certainly manage
>a code QSO today, though he has not accepted my invite to do so.
>
Whatta a huge surprise! Just before "the" April 15 he jumped into this

NG crowing abt he was gonna immedaitely suck up a 5wpm Extra, he had
his big HF antenna farm and towers already laid out in his usual
provacative format, yadda, yadda. He's still a Tech Ed. What does that
tell you?
>
>Maybe I will have to be him a lobster dinner that he can't. :-)
>
For that you get raw squid.
>
>>he advocates elimination of all subands,
>
>The US is one of few countries that has actual sub-band allocations; they sort
>themselves out by band plan. His basic recommendation is that the rules say
>"these are your bands; stay within them." Unfortunately, what works in some
>countries would possibly not work in the US.
>
Exactly my belief when it comes to what works elsewhere not working
here.
>
>On 160 M, for example, the band
>plan for CW operation is regularly stomped on by phone operators, some who know
>better; some who do not. I think the same mentality that drives the Know Code =
>no Ham spams would show up with a small, but very noisy, group, who could make
>it rapidly unworkable.
>
Absolutely.
>
>Why, even our Cecil wanted to engage someone in a 5 wpm
>QSO in the DX windows, just because he can.
>
There'a a lot of completely wifty mythology floating around about what
actually goes on in those " Lower 25 Extra DX Sanctuaries". 99% of the
comments I see posted in this village on the topic clearly demonstrate
that the commenters have no bloody clue, they've never operated there,
or they've never listened there, or they didn't pay attention if they
did listen, or they can't decode it, whatever but they know all about
it. Fact is that the lower 25s are NOT high speed cw countries nor are
they some fancied, "exclusive dx windows".
>
True, the dx tends to hang out below .025 but certainly not
exclusively. Many of my rarest catches have been well above .025. The
speeds used depend almost exclusively on the speed used by the dx
station and/or the prevailing band conditions. Yeah, you bet, you
don't work long path JAs on 80 at 40 wpm, more like 10-15 wpm if that
fast.
>
I'll be happy to qso Cecil at 5wpm on 7.002 or 14.002. When the qso is
over nobody will even notice let alone "get spanked" and absolutely
nothing will have been "proven" except that we participted in the Ham
Radio Non-Event of 2001. Bleh.
>
>
>

```
>>he advocates the use or wideband
>>digital modes on the HF ham bands
>
>I think that some FHSS needs to be permitted on HF.
>
Now you're down to Darby Creek snails for din-din.
>

> He wants to give it carte
>blanche, however.
>
Yeah, right: Kick my noise floor up so that he can diddle with his
cellphone chipsets? I think not. Not that I believe for one minute
he'd bother putting FHSS on the air.
>
>Is there room for it on 80 M?  How about 160M?  How about
>30M, where some commercial stations could be already using it?  How about 10 M?
>
Pray tell exactly what would running FHSS on the HF bands do to
enhance ham communications? What advantages would the op accrue?? What
would running FHSS do to "enhance" the Service? What's the bottom-line
point?
>
We've been here before Ed. How 'bout "they" get ham FHSS running where
it's already legal? Where's the ham FHSS the FCC bent over backward to
facilitate but ain't happened yet despite their best efforts?
>
>
>>and he goes off on anti-cw rants at
>>neighborhood ham club meetings
>
>He has said here a number of times that he doesn't believe that CW use should
>be abolished at all.  Does he say different things at club meetings?
>
He simply rails against cw in general at any and every opportunity to
be heard and is well known in this region for being a real crackpot on
the subject. Dumping the sub-bands and implemting FHSS are very much
in line with his lust for burying cw operations.
>
<snip>
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv


From 5706676575277450773
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 17:14:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
```

```
skynet.be!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 01:10:12 GMT
References: <9678oa22b27@enews3.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211201012.17671.00000495@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15604

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com  (T. L. Bryant)

>So how many more contradictions can we expect to see from you?

As many as it takes for him to make his point? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -3398910124003606760
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 17:14:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!feeder.qis.net!ptdnetP!newsgate.ptd.net!newsfeed.fast.net!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 01:10:59 GMT
References: <967aop$sh7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010211201059.17671.00000496@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15605

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>A 5wpm QSO would have put a smiley on my face 13 years ago.

I'm still game if you are, just not in the DX window. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -3555844638431306095
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7fd5d51cdd6b0501
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 17:14:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: New Zealand protest to FCC - Code Discriminates
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 01:00:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <967cie$tu9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <966pf4$emm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.139
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 12 01:00:01 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x67.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.139
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15606

In article <966pf4$emm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
  K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
> Just re-read Fred W5YI's CQ article about "code is discriminatory",
> based on comments to the FCC from NCI enthusiasts in New Zealand.

Sicko crap snipped.

I don't get it, Hans. You're making a funny over handicapped people?
Maybe you're prepping for the Howard Sterno show. Just when we thought
the world was ready for more "Good Ops," we get Hans.

Apparently, "Know Code" doesn't mean squat anymore. Its being degraded
by the very people who prize it above all else. What are the rest of us
who consider it just another mode to think?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3028895743839797283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 17:16:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 01:13:54 GMT
References: <9679in$rje$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010211201354.17671.00000497@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15607

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>> >Hello Ed. I've notice that Steve uses a lot of CAPITALIZATION in his
>> >posts. Do you have any thoughts on that? Thanks, Brian

>Now come on Ed. You speculate that Len gets "far afield" when he uses
>caps, but cannot speculate why Steve uses caps?

Um, I did; I just identified it as speculation, instead of pronouning it to be fact. Perhaps that is what confused you.

>> I would imagine that most people who use all caps do so to emphasize

>> I think that those who use a capitilization only on the first
>character of a
>> word do so to give it an Importance that it wouldn't otherwise have.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8188297310083155629
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 17:23:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!194.213.69.151!news.algonet.se!algonet!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 01:06:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <967ctl$ua9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <t899nocdh29r4b@corp.supernews.com> <20010210082749.23230.00000032@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.139
X-Article-Creation-Date: Mon Feb 12 01:06:00 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.139
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15608

In article <20010210082749.23230.00000032@ng-fm1.aol.com>,
w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >I always thought that "break" was an "pseudo emergency" "pro sign" in
FFC or
> >maritime language not to be used routinely.
> >What do others think.
>
> I know that on the County Hunters and Mobile Emergency Net, "Break
Break" is
> used only in actual emergencies.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Thats a whole lottsa sending. Isn't there something shorter to send in
actual emergencies?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 7838698871734817933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 18:18:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 20:05:54 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A874502.810B6040@IEEE.org>
References: <963bjq22dgu@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010211123751.16594.00006428@nso-
cg.aol.com> <9678oa22b27@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.d2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Feb 2001 02:15:33 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15609

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> So how many more contradictions can we expect to see from you?

Another one today. Larry previously said that I was annoying.
Today he said no way could I do anything that would annoy him.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -2939852015205767705
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 18:26:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 20:12:11 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A87467B.611E42D6@IEEE.org>
References: <48bc8tsvp94f20mba0ee781scads5lof5g@4ax.com>
<20010211163348.01651.00001425@nso-fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.d2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Feb 2001 02:21:57 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15610

N2EY wrote:
> Yep - and kits are back.

What's the best 100w HF transceiver kit? (with DSP?)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 296887733446249954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 18:28:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 20:14:44 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12

```
Message-ID: <3A874714.9B84B27E@IEEE.org>
References: <963b5b12dgu@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010211123745.16594.00006419@nso-
cg.aol.com> <9673pt220mj@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.d2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Feb 2001 02:24:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15611

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> yodoc@aol.comnospam says...
> >No, Cecil -- now stop trying to be annoying!
>
> Cecil didn't write it. Try reading the e-mail address of the poster once and
> awhile.

"Cecil" is the name Larry calls everyone who annoys him. Of course,
today he denied that I have the capability to annoy him.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 8921874573464732491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 18:30:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 20:15:48 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A874754.DC7CB3F5@IEEE.org>
References: <3A856E9B.ECA0DA50@IEEE.org> <20010211123746.16594.00006420@nso-
cg.aol.com> <96779p02b27@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.d2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Feb 2001 02:25:29 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15612

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
```

```
> If you're not annoyed, you certainly have a funny way showing it.

If he's not annoyed, why does he tell us how annoying we are? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -8867654163392452470
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 18:32:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 20:19:02 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A874816.DB232035@IEEE.org>
References: <3A85712F.B4500C74@IEEE.org> <20010211123752.16594.00006429@nso-
cg.aol.com> <3A86E807.F9C6141@IEEE.org> <9671si$qeo$3@208.206.142.83>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.d2
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Feb 2001 02:28:45 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15613

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Yeah, Larry. Go ahead and "positively think" radiotelegraphy out of
> existance.

While negative thinking about CW is going to save it?????
Very strange logic, Dick.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -6974941866089826976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 18:46:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
```

```
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g8m9si0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A86F31E.7AFB1F92@arrl.org> <20010211195315.17629.00000402@ng-
da1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Lines: 17
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 02:42:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 981945773 206.58.44.41 (Mon, 12 Feb 2001 02:42:53
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 02:42:53 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15614

On 12 Feb 2001 00:53:15 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>>> It's only 5 wpm so any lazy ass ought to be able to pass it.
>
>>"Lazy ass?" Hmmm, should we replace QLF with QLC(heek)?
>
>I was at a club meeting once where they... naw, never mind.  It
>is a thought I just can't bear to conjure up. :-)

  Are you referring to the "Seat of the Pants" code contests that
  we used to have at conventions?  <ggg>

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From 3783180553353084276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 19:32:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.138.35.59!nntp.primenet.com!
```

nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!usr06.primenet.com!danay
From: dana <danay@primenet.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 22:26:11 -0500
Organization: Global Crossing Telecommunications Inc.
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.02.10102112225330.16782-100000@usr06.primenet.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83gklsrh99ca1@corp.supernews.com>
<95shb202gvr@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usr06.primenet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: danay@206.165.6.206 (danay)
In-Reply-To: <95shb202gvr@enews2.newsguy.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9378
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12427 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15615 rec.radio.cb:26058
rec.radio.scanner:13681

I couldn't agree with you more there partner.

On 7 Feb 2001, T. L. Bryant wrote:

> In article <t83gklsrh99ca1@corp.supernews.com>, zmbwf@acadia.net says...
> >
> >Havent operated on the old ham bands for a couple years now. I keep the
> >license up to date, but there are just too many idiots on the air for it to
> >be anywhere near enjoyable any more. don`t worry. the FCC is selling them
> >off piece by piece anyway. Ham radio has had its day, and the ARRL and FCC
> >let it go down the drain. It is now going to die a well - deserved death,
> >and leave us all in peace. We still have the internet, and we can easily
> >talk round the world on it. I stopped putting up with CB pond scum years
> >ago, and since that is what the Ham Bands are on their way to becoming, I
> >think it derserves equal attention .....
>
> The downslide began well before the current license testing scheme was put into
> effect. If the bands are sold off, so be it. I'll take my entire share of the
> profits of such a sale down to the corner Starbucks and by myself tall caramel
> macchiato.
>
>
>

From -4341674080898643314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 19:46:03 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Message-ID: <ujme8tgi1lhirkaeoe2bo659ofi4p58704@4ax.com>
References: <966vg3$ku0$0@208.206.142.83> <20010211195522.17629.00000403@ng-
da1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 03:42:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981949325 209.86.204.11 (Sun, 11 Feb
2001 19:42:05 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 19:42:05 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15616

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>I don't think that everyone should be forced to take a firewood splitting test
>before they are allowed to heat their homes with oil.

I've never had to split wood in my life, so I likely would be mighty
slow at it. Why would you make me take a slow speed wood splitting
test, say 5 logs an hour,  before I would be allowed to heat with
oil??   :-)


From -4290165662420208294
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 19:53:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 12 Feb 2001 03:51:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <967mjd$qob$0@208.206.142.65>
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211084201.16173.00000427@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <966e68$8sv$0@208.206.142.85> <3A86E9D7.58DF787@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.65
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15617

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Cecil is dead wrong when he says that DSP is the SSB of the 50's. It
> > just isn't so.
> > But to insist that SSB be abaindoned in favor of digitized voice on
> > HF-whatever the mode, just becase it is technically possible, is
> > ludicrous ...
>
> Uhhhhhhhhh Dick, DSP handles SSB just fine. DSP doesn't mean the end
> of SSB - it means enhanced SSB.

Yes I know it does, and SSB make out just fine without it, also.

From -7094758393861271280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bb47b75117603460,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 20:10:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 140
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 04:04:22 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who is What?
Message-ID: <20010211230422.03673.00002110@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15618

...someone sent me a message in private e-mail. Without revealing
their identity, I'll address a few points in public -

>Does a spelunker know more about caving than a non-spelunker?

Amateur radio is not about cave exploration but some amateurs
have been a dark cave too long...

>Does an attorney know more about law than a non-lawyer?

Amateur radio is NOT a profession. It is a radio activity engaged in NOT for pecuniary purposes. Lawyers often bill clients for as much as, well, the law allows...

>Does a pilot know more about flying an aircraft than a non-pilot?

Although pilots are licensed by a federal agency, there is NO resemblance between the tests and skills required for piloting as compared to even the highest "upgrade" in US amateur radio.

>Does a fisherman know more about fishing than someone who has never
>baited a hook?

Actually, yes, fly fishing comes to mind. :-)

>You are absurd.

No more absurd than the US civil radio regulation agency NOT requiring its Commissioners or staff to hold amateur radio licenses.

No more absurd than the thought that an amateur radio license grant entitles them to be expert (or even knowledgeable) about gun control, politics, voting procedures, or everyone's morals and ethics. All of those subjects have been "argued" in this newsgroup. Absurd indeed!

The fallacy in the unamed correspondent's reasoning is that radio is NOT exclusive to amateur radio and amateur radio activities are NOT secret, nor are they at any higher plane of radio arts than other radio services.

>> Seems to me that such an attitude turns people away...unless
>> they like living under self-professed, self-appointed non-comms
>> with a superior control-freak compulsion.

>You mean the kind of attitude which provides free instruction on the
>"how to's" of amateur radio and assists someone who knows nothing about
>amateur radio in obtaining a license?

While it is considered thoughtful to "elmer" a newcomer, the chances that such newcomers "know nothing about radio" is very slim indeed. A case can be made for newcomers knowing nothing about amateur radio but such introduction can be done with any one of several books or an Internet session accessing the ARRL website.

What turns away newcomers is the patronizing arrogance that They and only They "know what is best for all amateurs" and that all newcomers should follow their example and experiences.

>Follow orders? Our way or die? You are absurd.

Not me absurd. What is absurd is the continuing rationalization of the already-licensed to "do it as they did it" without question for that is the "correct way."

What is more absurd is the maximally-upgraded radio amateur trying to say that testing should be as they were tested...even though they will never have to take a single amateur radio test in their entire life. Such is an extreme case of "doing it as they did it" for no other reason than they feel they "control" amateur radio through license tenure.

>What the hell are you ranting about, Len? Is it your opinion that
>licensed radio amateurs should sit here and read material written by a
>non-amateur which attempts to tell those who have been radio amateurs
>for decades how it is and how it should be?

In a sense, yes, for several reasons. A clarification: I do not presume to dictate communications practices as they are done on the air except for the gray area of bandplans that separate classes of licenses.

Another clarification: The FCC tells ALL radio amateurs what regulations are, permissible bands and modes, and some of what is permissible communications in addition to granting licenses. ALL of that yet not a single FCC employee is required to hold an amateur radio license.

I am referring to REGULATIONS set by the FCC in regard to amateur radio LICENSE TESTS. Those amateurs who took tests "decades ago" did not take tests under regulations of today or the near future. Newcomers will.

As far back as a decade ago, the FCC said that it did not consider a morse code test as a true requirement to show them that an examinee was worthy of an amateur radio license grant. They said essentially the same thing in 1999. The FCC is required to keep a morse code test due to an ITU-R standard, a political situation, not one for licensing.

>You speak of egos. What
>kind of enormous ego demands the respect which you seem to feel is due
>you by us based on your past military service and your experience as an
>engineer?

Not a big ego here, certainly, but it is obvious I've punctured the self-centered, self-professed amateur "experts" who expect all kinds of respect based solely on license tenure...without any evidence of having learned anything new since test time.

US amateur radio is NOT a military service branch. There is no true rank, yet the "upgraded" assume more "rank" than "lesser ranks and are not hesitant to say so. That is not respect for a fellow radio amateur.

US amateur radio is NOT defined as some living museum of antique radio standards, modes, procedures, or anything else yet the "ranking" members seek to keep the old, resist change, yet prattle on about "advancing the state of the art" and "saving lives through amateur radio morse code ability" as if there were another Titanic awaiting rescue. This is the year 2001, not the year 1912. Amateur radio is a hobby, not a living museum or a public safety radio service defined in Part 90, Title 47 CFR.

Radio spectrum is regulated for ALL citizens, not just radio amateurs. Radio amateurs think nothing of voicing their opinions on other radio services and certainly on other subjects having little or nothing to do with radio. Why should amateur radio be "immune" to comment from those who do not hold amateur licenses? Why should amateur radio be sacrosanct from criticsm of any kind?

didit

From -2616171071532488950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a499f60383f0cb2b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 20:16:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Changing my mailing address.
From: danayeo@bellsouth.net
References: <sneHOlw6=aQ9tN1AydRtnMQIZbke@4ax.com>
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <QpJh6.1104$Uc6.22723@news2.atl>
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 04:13:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.69.48
X-Trace: news2.atl 981951216 209.214.69.48 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001 23:13:36 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 23:13:36 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15619

I have my uls number, and I am blind, and can't get this program to work on the fcc's web site. They have that damn java script, and don't know what that is, but wish they would just put it in plain text. Guess that damn stuff is a sighted thing, don't know, but if someone could help, e-mail back. Thanks from n4pge in griffin, ga.

```
mailto:danayeo@bellsouth.net
http://www.randomc.com/~dana

Net-Tamer V 1.12 Beta - Registered


From 2460975614820919994
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 20:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.alsv1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<9673hc120mj@enews3.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <L_Jh6.70128$Ti5.1087368@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 04:52:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.alsv1.occa.home.com 981953579 24.16.178.66 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001
20:52:59 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 20:52:59 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:988 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15620

Yes,

But do they still require 13 and 20 WPM for advanced and extra?
Hopefully, they will do away the code requirement all together at the next
ITU meeting.
Code should be an elective mode.

-jim


T. L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9673hc120mj@enews3.newsguy.com...
> In article <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>,
> jwayda@killspam.home.com says...
> >
> >Ham Radio and Code.
```

> >
> >Has the archaic code requirement been removed from Ham Radio testing yet?
> >
> >-jim
>
> It's getting there. There's only one code test now, and that's 5 WPM for
the
> class of licenses that require it.
>

From -3643605702508026779
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 21:11:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!ix.netcom.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 22:52:20 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A876C04.498214A6@IEEE.org>
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211084201.16173.00000427@ng-cu1.aol.com> <966e68$8sv$0@208.206.142.85> <3A86E9D7.58DF787@IEEE.org> <967mjd$qob$0@208.206.142.65>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.f6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Feb 2001 05:01:06 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15621

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Uhhhhhhhhh Dick, DSP handles SSB just fine. DSP doesn't mean the end
> > of SSB - it means enhanced SSB.
>
> Yes I know it does, and SSB make out just fine without it, also.

But DSP removes the need for a lot of specialized SSB hardware.
The hardware is completely generalized. The software is specialized
and can be loaded depending on the mode selected.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 8366129639131363214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa656,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 21:23:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!ix.netcom.com!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 23:12:42 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A8770CA.E4C4291F@IEEE.org>
References: <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<9673hc120mj@enews3.newsguy.com>
<L_Jh6.70128$Ti5.1087368@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.8f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Feb 2001 05:22:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:989 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15622

Jim Wayda wrote:
> But do they still require 13 and 20 WPM for advanced and extra?

Nope, 5wpm for General and Extra. Advanced class is a lame duck now.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -6466630012798479862
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 21:56:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 245
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 05:54:32 GMT
References: <9654p4$9eq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010212005432.00445.00001855@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15623

In article <9654p4$9eq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, n2ey@aol.com writes:

>In article <20010209185914.17176.00001513@nso-ba.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

<snip>

>> In order to separate code-tested from non-code-tested,
>> I made an additional posting regarding the 27 months between
>> the start of 1998 and the end of March 2000.
>
>Which has no effect on the errors in your analysis of the period
>following the restructuring.

Why isn't that pre-April-15 analysis nit-picked in another huge
posting? :-)

>> The total number of code-tested US ARS licensees is decreasing.
>> The number of non-code-tested US ARS licensees is increasing.
>> It has been that way for over three years..
>
>Nobody questions that. The probelm is that you unnecessarily misstate
>both the increase in "non-code-tested" and the decrease in "code-
>tested" by a very significant percentage.

Not a significant percentage compared to the ARS licensees as
a whole or by license class.

The whole point of the first post in this thread was attempting to
point out that the number of code-tested licensees are
decreasing while the number of non-code-tested licensees are
increasing.

You agreed to that but went on at great lengths, very great
lengths to do practically a word-by-word critique in order to
show I was "wrong." That seems to be a great hobby with
the code-tested licensees since others have fun the same
way trying to prove all no-code-test advocates "wrong."

Denial is the psychological syndrome of your activity.

<snip>

>> >End result is that your numbers are way off.
>>
>> No.

>
>Yes, they are way off.

See?   :-)

>> I am not ASSUMING anything about "renewals" or expirations
>> or anything else,
>
>Yes, you are. You are assuming that ALL Technicians are "noncodetested"
>even though it is clear that thousands of them ARE "codetested".

Now how can I be both "wrong" and "right" at the same time?
:-)

>> >The number of new Technician licenses proves one thing: Most people
>> >starting out in ham radio do so by getting a Technician license.
>>
>> How do you KNOW that?
>
>Simple: Most new licenses are granted to new hams. Most new licenses
>are Techncian licenses.

But not ALL of them. :-)   Please count the Novices, Generals,
Advanced, and Extras prior to April 15th. [I did that for you but
it's best you do it yourself...try the raw FCC database to avoid
any possibility of error]

>Sure. Just look at the "new licenses" page on the AH0A site. It's right
>there.

I did that. I said that. You did not acknowledge that.   :-)

>Sure - plenty of them. See the above page. But the most new licenses
>are Technicians. Nobody disputes that.

Yet you make such a fuss over numbers after April 15th...and
concede that numbers are okay prior to April 15th?

>It's not the future that is in question. It's how many upgrades have
>occurred in a given time period. The Speroni site doesn't say.

You can calculate that yourself. The raw data is available
to everyone with Internet access to the FCC website.

>Then you ARE predicting the future when you make statements like the
>one above.

There's a difference. As an example, a Bode plot may show a breakpoint where frequency response begins changing. One can consider the new slope of the Bode plot to continue on with the new slope. One can liken the plot to a "trend." On the other hand predicting some percentage renewal is akin to saying that there will be another breakpoint with a different slope on the Bode plot...except we cannot see it yet. The we-can't-see-it-yet is the "renewal."

>Unless the conditions change in that time period. Like the fact that
>the first post-Feb-14-1991 Technician licenses will start to expire
>very soon.

It is fair to say that you will probably enjoy that. :-)

And the more the merrier for the code-test advocates. :-)

>All I'm doing is pointing out some easily-avoided errors in your
>methods.

All I did in reply to your "error" statement was try to establish the basis for the original thread posting. Since you apparently don't like no-code-test advocates of most kinds, that became an ISSUE of great magnitude to point out "errors" as you did. In effect you changed conditions to suit whatever agenda that you had (presumably to show code-tested licensees in a better light), concentrated on the period following April 15, 2000, and condescendingly "conceded" the trends shown in the years prior to April 15, 2000.

Despite the strong efforts at denial, the unfortunate fact is that the number of US ARS code-tested licensees are decreasing and the number of US ARS non-code-tested licensees are increasing. It is "unfortunate" for those who strongly favor morse code testing. It is expected by those who do not favor code testing.

>I have no idea what you are talking about, Len. I'm just pointing out
>some easily-avoided errors in your methods.

...and I pointed out the ERRORS in your own "analysis." The fact of Who is What has been lost in the fury of trying to show error-error-error. :-)

>No, it's more accurate because the method takes into account relevant
>data that your method ignores. The errors introduced by your methods
>and assumptions are significant.

The use of "significant" is subjective, not a good analytical tool to show absolutes. You are committed to showing absolutes

by as much detail as possible in order to show code-tested
licensees as "better."

>No, they were reasonable estimates.

:-)   :-)   :-)

>> No sh*t?
>
>Why the vulgarity, Len?

Is the word "shot" vulgar?!? Oh, my, that will not sit well with
anti-gun-control advocates!

Jim, "code" is a four-letter word.   :-)

>> No sh*t?
>
>No reason for that, Len.

Ok, ok, shooting is noisy. I'm sorry your ears hurt. Apologies.

>I have no idea what that is supposed to mean. What is a "job
>technician"?

Electronics industry description of a technician, most often
called "electronics technician." As opposed to the amateur
radio license category of Technician class.

>> and "many" of the existing Techs will drop out,
>
>That's not what I wrote. 5% per year is not "many" - it's one in 20.

Ok, if it's okay for you to change original conditions then it
should be okay for me to change original conditions on you. :-)

How many of the General-through-Extra license classes will
drop out. None? Some?   Define "some." How about 5%?

5% isn't many or some, it's just one in 20.   :-)

>Never claimed that at all. What IS your problem with Morse code, Len?

My problem and that of many others is that a test for it is in
the regulations for any license having below-30-MHz privileges.

>The whole code-test thing is a dead issue. FCC reduced the code test
>for a US ham license to the absolute bare minimum under the treaty back
>in April.

ITU-R standard S25.5 does NOT specify any morse code rate at all.

The code-test issue is NOT dead...but a denial syndrome is evident
by you saying "it is a dead issue."

> Their comments, and their actions for the past 20+ years make
>it clear that the treaty is the only reason they kept 5 wpm testing.

Error, error, error? The 1990 Report and Order creating the Technician
class stated that the FCC did not consider a morse code test to be
relevant to their determining an amateur license grant. The FCC said
almost the exact same thing in the 1999 Report and Order concerning
US amateur radio restructuring. That is 11 and 2 years, respectively,
not "past 20+ years."

>Unless FCC decides to ignore the treaty, no more reduction will occur
>until the treaty changes.

Incorrect. The WRC working groups in the ITU-R may decide to delete
or amend S25.5 in the very near future.

"The Treaty" refers to the US adminstration's acceptance of membership
in the ITU. Standards defining amateur radio are the relevant items
which may or may not have a basis for the FCC changing amateur radio
regulations. There is no separate "treaty" with the ITU-R in regards to
amateur radio.

Meanwhile, newcomers are tending towards US amateur radio licenses
not requiring code tests and the numbers of US code-tested amateur
radio licenses are decreasing. You DO have a problem accepting that.

didit

From 1431657076347517671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-12 01:56:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.cs.utwente.nl!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 09:53:01 GMT
References: <20010212005432.00445.00001855@nso-bj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010212045301.24681.00000727@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15624

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>Now how can I be both "wrong" and "right" at the same time?
>:-)

You are quite correct that most new hams enter ham radio under the NCT license. You were incorrect in assuming that all Techs in the database have not passed a code test.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4279582439514817924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-12 02:04:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 09:58:30 GMT
References: <3A874754.DC7CB3F5@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Message-ID: <20010212045830.24681.00000729@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15625

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> If you're not annoyed, you certainly have a funny way showing it.

>If he's not annoyed, why does he tell us how annoying we are? :-)

Now, to be fair, he really did only address K1ZZ. He said that Dave goes out of his way to annoy him; he didn't actually say whether he succeeded. It is possible that Larry went off like that without actually having been annoyed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8697260046717422059
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-12 02:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 10:05:31 GMT
References: <9673uu320mj@enews3.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010212050531.24681.00000730@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15626

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

>If it means nothing to you, why are you showing such concern for his welfare
>by bringing it up?

For the same reason he appears to be so annoyed as he tells us that he wasn't annoyed when Dave went out of his way to annoy him? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5983904866464236694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-12 02:14:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 10:11:09 GMT
References: <3A86F06A.14C7B866@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010212051109.24681.00000731@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15627

>From: Jon Bloom jbloom@arrl.org

>Because, Dick, you tend to meet prinicipally those hams who are
>interested in the same things you are since you meet them in the course
>of doing what interests you. That gives you a biased view of what hams
>think, just as those who stay away from the things that interest you
>tend to have opposite biases.

Remember, Jon, this one started when I said to Dick that I didn't believe that most hams exhibited the "can't do" attitude I believe he has been projecting.

73,
Ed, W1RFI

From -4322939369391110438
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-12 02:16:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Feb 2001 10:12:55 GMT
References: <3a872411.3360313@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010212051255.24681.00000732@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15628

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>I've seen Ed talk to hundreds at a hamfest. To suggest that
>someone like Ed would only talk to so few people in a hamfest
>setting is a joke.

Hundreds would very much be the exception. 30 or so is more typical. Once, I think it was in Hunstville, I gave a talk on Product Review testing. The size of the audience doubled halfway through when the second ham walked in. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3855823009353374511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 11:55:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 19:53:50 GMT
References: <20010210081958.23230.00000031@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210145350.01651.00001390@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15429

In article <20010210081958.23230.00000031@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>
>>In the 50s it would be unthinkable for most hams to send a rig
>>back to the factory to be fixed. Today it is common place...
>
>I think that hams of today are under the impression that the factory will do
>component-level troubleshooting rather than replace the board that the ham
>could have replaced directly.

The electronics industry in general simply replaces board
assemblies because it is cheaper to do so in the long run.

Faulty boards are often processed to recover gold and other
precious metals.  There exist several companies that make a
profit on doing so...addresses in many industry Buyer's Guides.

>I own an old Ten Tec OMNI D, built in the early 80s.  Do you think most hams
>of that day would have fixed it themselves, or sent it to the factory?

Is that the Ten Tec that floats?  tRoll said he was once
marooned on an island and was rescued via CW when
one floated ashore.  :-)

didit


From 4654772284306325435
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 11:55:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
```

portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 63
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 19:53:49 GMT
References: <9635ph$q3c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010210145349.01651.00001389@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15430

In article <9635ph$q3c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010210010301.01651.00001358@nso-fp.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> That's not a third-party disnterested reference.
>
>   Yeah, Lennie. I gues copies of the same documents you asked to
>see weren't. Oh well. I knew you weren't man enough to go it alone
>anyway.

You can scan any document you wish and present it somewhere
for ALL to see...or you can just mouth off that "it is in your wallet"
as if it exists. No one can see in your wallet...or imagination.

>   You missed Arkansas licensure, too, although that one's been
>inactive a while. Not to mention EMS certifications in four states,
>FAA licensure (albiet not professional) and numerous endorsements from
>the AHA, ARC, other agencies that I am sure you might recognize.

This is an amateur radio policy newsgroup, not a health professional
resume newsgroup.   :-)

>> Is it on a website or printed in a written document?
>
>   What part of "public information" don't you undersatnd, Lennie.
>Believe it or not, the presence or lack of presence on the Internet
>does not substantiate validity. You are invited to contact TAG
>Tennessee and find out for yourself.

Do they have a printed, official document with a listing of THE
radio officer for some "4th Infantry Brigade?" [maybe with
embossed edges, sort of like a frame, perhaps with an eagle
rampant on a field of J-38s?] I think that would be very nice.

>   Hmmmm.....now I am wondering what MY licensure as a nurse in ANY
>state has to do with your trolling in Amateur Radio related

>newsgroups...?!?! THIS is an interesting stretch, and a sorrowful one
>for you to follow in order to deflect from the fact that you have been
>bested by Hams again.

"Bested?!?"   :-)   :-)   :-)

In a manner of speaking I've been "bested" only once. Alan
Walston, W6MJN, was Best Man at my wedding.   :-)

>> I'm not certain what is meant by "lecherous tripe." A "lecher"
>> is a lewd, grossly sexual man according to my handy Websters.
>
>   In as much as your previous AOL posts said your "hobby" was being
>beaten with purses by Ruth Buzzy on park benches, I wonder too...?!?!?

I've never met actress-comedienne Ruth Buzzy. What has that to
do with a humorous reposting of old material by Hans Brakob
on what an engineer is?

It must be that overconcern with code skills and keeping standards
and practices of the USAMCC dulls the sense of humor. :-)

didit

From 3043474855902922395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 11:55:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 102
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 19:54:02 GMT
References: <9632ob$o6t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010210145402.01651.00001394@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15431

In article <9632ob$o6t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010209185918.17176.00001518@nso-ba.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>

>> Please provide reference for the above "fact" that a "large number
>> don't stay there."
>
>   As a VE, watching them upgrade. ARRL >>AND<< W5YI reports on VE
>seesions elsewhere. FCC Reports on on administrative actions.

Subjective opinions. :-)

>> I ignore Urban Myths, falsehoods, folk sayings, etc., in trying to
>> analyze public data offered by the only US agency that licenses
>> US radio amateurs.
>
>   No you don't. You do your damned best to create a few.

Nope. Just pointing out Urban Myths, falsehoods, folk sayings,
etc., etc.

Are you saying the FCC is NOT the only US agency that licenses
US radio amateurs?

Are you saying that FCC databases are NOT public?

>> You've done nothing of the kind, only shot off your keyboard again.
>
>   Keep telling yourself that, Lennie. I am sue it makes you feel
>good THINKING that.

You are "sue?"   ?   [strange transgendering going on!]

>> Try to concentrate on the facts of what is happening to others, by
>> others, especially concerning newcomers to US amateur radio.
>
>   As an ACTIVE licensee I am acutely aware of more than YOU will
>know. You should try it sometime.

You "know" more than anyone, Steebie. You keep saying so. :-)

Nobody else can possibly know as much as you...except tRoll,
Heil, Carroll, etc., etc.   [you guys ought to get together...]

>   HOW MANY antennas have you helped erect for "newcomers" in the
>last 30 days, Lennie?

One. An auto antenna for the granddaughter of the neighbors
across the street...she just got her first driver's license and a
used car as her first auto.   :-)

>   HOW MANY VE sessions have you proctored?
>
>   HOW MANY nets have you participated in that introduced "new hams".
>Lennie?
>
>   I can assure you that the answer in MY case exceeds "1" by a large

>margin in EACH of the above cases.

I'm sure you have extra-wide margins on everything. :-)

>   Which in only ONE month exceeds your total for the DECADE.

Amazing! Well, don't just stand there, go out and hump up someone
to nominate you for the Nobel Prize. [they DO have a ham radio
category, don't they? if not, I'm sure you will want to go whip them
into shape, put them through close-order drill, make MEN out of
the Committee.   :-)]

>   Adios, Chump. Get a life.

Thank you, I have one. No worries about second jobs, money,
living away from home, or damaged ego in newsgroups.

Now, let's return to the original thread start. Newcomers to US
amateur radio are mostly NOT choosing to go through the hoops
of seeking a code-tested amateur license. Why is that?

For damn certain, they aren't choosing to join some United States
Amateur Marine Code Corps, to march in ranks under the
militant "leadership" of abusive Dill Sergeants who religiously
follow the Proper Code (of conduct).

You and the obersturmbandsfuhrer keep saying that No One Can
Possibly Know Anything About Amateur Radio Until They
Become Properly Licensed. If that is so, why should there be
any interest in becoming a US radio amateur through a test?

Seems to me that such an attitude turns people away...unless
they like living under self-professed, self-appointed non-comms
with a superior control-freak compulsion.

It is doubtful that many will want to Follow Orders from those
Who Know Better Than Everyone Else. You and the obersturm-
bandsfuhrer act that way. It is Your Way or Die. No quarter.

US amateur radio must be a real tough military Service
according to you!   :-)

didit

From 6390871938351646584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 11:55:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!

not-for-mail
Lines: 123
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Feb 2001 19:53:59 GMT
References: <9634at$p17$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010210145359.01651.00001393@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15432

In article <9634at$p17$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ
<k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010209185916.17176.00001516@nso-ba.aol.com>,
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>> My balls aren't made of crystal,...(SNIP)
>
>   Having probably not been able to see them, find them or use them
>for quite a few years, I am sure you probably ahve NO idea WHAT theya
>re made of.

Heh heh heh...I don't have a singular crystal ball, Steebie.

Interesting reaction due to Fury and Rage!   :-)

>   Lenni, almost every post you make is intended to insult or troll.
>The first post of this thread is pure proof of that. It had absolutely
>NOTHING to do with the implementation of ANY policy. Just your
>attempts to further incite discontent.

No doubt the Service Members of the United States Amateur
Marine Code Corps think so.

Policy: What the FCC instituted in 1990 (Technician class
amateur license, no code test) has proved to be quite popular
and continues to be the fastest-growing license class. That's
according to public data from the FCC. In only a decade it is
responsible for attracting roughly a quarter million licensees.

It's obvious that some do not wish to hear of that, especially the
stalwart, superior Dill Sergeants of the USAMCC.   :-)

>   Hmmmm....call me "Steebie" (pablum caught in your dentures again?)
>and THEN attempt to cahstise ME for "insult(s), belittlement,
>denigration, etc, etc"...?!?!?

Oh, my, I was guilty of thinking that replies in kind were okay,
especially after having been addressed as such for over a year.

Is everyone to call you "Sir" because of your rank, status, and general superiority in the USAMCC?

>> All I did was post a comparison between the code-tested licensees
>> and the non-code-tested licensees in the US ARS.
>
>   No what you did was troll. You succeeded.

All I did was post a comparison between the code-tested licensees and non-code-tested licensees in the US ARS. Another Extra class argued on the numbers presented and we have had a debate and argument over what should have been presented. You have neither argued nor debated those numbers or what should have been presented.

>   You ATTEMPT to ask one of those "Do you LIKE having sex with your
>sister" questions.

I've never asked you about having sex with your sister. I don't know if you have a sister. :-)

> Of course they are "real hams". The "arguement" is
>NOT are they real hams, but are YOUR numbers valid. THAT answer is NO.

"NO" based on what? Public data from the FCC? Are you calling the FCC Liars?!?

>> See, there you go again, being uncivil, rude, insulting...sort of an
>> extra grade asshole.
>
>   And now the resulting profanity.

Heh heh heh...ex-marines complaining of profanity!   :-)   :-)   :-)

>   And WE (yes, WE) DON'T CARE! NONE of that makes a didly squat
>difference because THIS NG is about HAM radio...NOT "Ex Engineers With
>A Chip On Thier Shoulders".

Now, now, calm down. You said I was a "technician" in industry and I replied that I was an engineer. I was and still am.

I've not had a Chip on my shoulders but I once got a pair of cuff links for my father made from old thin-film hybrid circuits. Unique...only jewelry that came with a schematic!

This newsgroup is, similarly, not about nursing, health care, or industrial purchasing agents (who use company computers on company time to Internet).   :-)

> Probably for the same reason YOU keep lying, using profanity and
>generally being an annoying little twerp. Because I CAN.

You civility and genteel nature has not been noted here for a long
time. :-)

>> PS: Good going, Steebie, you got in early to work to post e-mail
>> at 7:48 AM.
>
> You have done ONE positive thing for me, Lenni. I will not retire
>until I just can't go on any longer. If all you can do is check date-
>time-groups to find some percieved impropriety in when/how someone
>responds to YOUR tripe, then I guess there's not much left to do in
>life.

Tsk, tsk, being overly sensitive to a guilty conscience? :-)

> Maybe you should write a letter to Uncle Jack in care of the
>Michigan Department of Corrections and see if he can make any
>suggestions, in as much as he's out of service at the moment. Since
>you have lost all remaining vestiges of productive life, I am sure
>he'll be glad to usher you to the next life.

????

didit

From -1142862195837226583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f504b,d225abef364fb98,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf504b,public
X-Google-Thread: 109013,d225abef364fb98,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid109013,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d225abef364fb98,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 12:19:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc1.bc.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "M. Soft" <abuse@home.net>
From: "M. Soft" <abuse@home.net>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.nocode,can.general,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Industry Canada Proposal to Lower Code Speed to 5 wpm;
Lines: 64
Organization: @Home network, only 4X faster that a MODEM
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <Hnhh6.66551$t94.882370@news1.rdc1.bc.home.com>
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 20:19:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.113.129.81
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.bc.home.com 981836391 24.113.129.81 (Sat, 10 Feb 2001 12:19:51 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 12:19:51 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com can.general:20170 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15433

I am posting this message for discussion.....

FROM : Carl R. Stevenson - WA6VSE
Executive Director, No Code International

TO:

SUBJECT: Industry Canada Proposal to Lower Code Speed to 5 wpm;
NCI Needs to Establish a National Chapter in Canada to Support
Adoption of this Proposal - YOUR Help is Needed!

DATE: Saturday, February 10, 2001

Dear ?,

As you may be aware, Industry Canada recently issued a proposal aimed at lowering the Morse code test speed for "full privilege" licenses in Canada to 5 wpm. Complete information on this proposal may be obtained by following the link in the following article copied from the NCI website.
Canada Issues Public Notice Proposing Rules Change to Replace 12 wpm Morse test with 5 wpm test!!!
For more information, click on the following link to a copy of the Notice with some live links to an e-mail address where comments may be sent and to an Industry Canada website where comments may reportedly be viewed.
At a bare minimum, we need all of our Canadian members to file comments with Industry Canada in support of this proposal. Comments are due 60 days from the Publication Date, which was 2001-01-06.

However, the Board of Directors of NCI also feels that it is very important that we establish a National Chapter of NCI in Canada to represent our Canadian members' interests. In order to establish a National Chapter of NCI in Canada, we need a National Chapter Chairperson.

Therefore, we are seeking suitably qualified volunteers for the position, who are presentable, articulate, able to deal with regulators and those with opposing views in a diplomatic fashion, and passionate about NCI's goal of ultimately eliminating ALL Morse proficiency testing as a prerequisite for all classes of amateur license. Familiarity with Canadian regulatory practices and officials, good writing skills, and either being located near or having the ability to travel occasionally to Industry Canada's main offices to lobby for the cause, would be also be highly desirable attributes.

Please take a few moments to review the material (including the Bylaws provisions relating to National Chapters) at: http://www.nocode.org/National_Chapt_Notice.html If you are interested in being considered as a candidate for NCI's Canadian National Chapter Chairperson, please e-mail a brief letter stating your interest, why you think you would be a good representative for NCI in Canada, and a brief "resume" of your amateur radio background and interests to me at: wa6vse@fast.net for consideration by the Board of Directors.

We NEED to fill this position . please don't assume "Someone else will do it." . if you're interested and feel you could contribute in this role, PLEASE take the initiative and indicate your willingness to help!

73,
Carl R. Stevenson - WA6VSE
Executive Director, No Code International

From -8968229004811604343
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 12:46:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Rick Tannehill <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 13:46:02 -0700
Organization: R.L. Tannehill, P.E. & Assoc.
Message-ID: <3A85A88A.D884FF07@firstinter.net>
Reply-To: rickw7rt@firstinter.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <963b5b12dgu@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010210084453.21325.00000060@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 17
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15434

But..but what about the Wouf Hong? Has it been retired?
Rick T.

W1RFI wrote:

```
>
> >From: tlbryant@my-deja.com  (T. L. Bryant)
>
> >So now you're saying that K1ZZ hit <K3LT> with a stick at Dayton?
>
> Nothing said about it in the ARRL HQ rumor mill, nor were there any memos that
> if Larry shows up at HQ we should all hit  him with a stick.  In fact, such
> activities are pretty much forbidden by some general policy in the ARRL HQ
> employees manual.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 7872584161628907376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 14:20:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Feb 2001 21:27:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <964bno0244b@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010210003935.13655.00003458@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010210074801.23230.00000022@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-383.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15435

In article <20010210074801.23230.00000022@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
says...
>
>>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>In any scenario where CW subbands are shared with phone
>>signals, CW will tend to lose out.  Its only natural.
>
>Then you believe that CW is the less-robust mode.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

I didn' think that there was anything such thing as a "CW sub-band". I thought
that CW was permitted on all amateur bands.
```

From -6694005710252848802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 14:20:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!216.218.192.242!news.he.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Feb 2001 21:29:47 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <964bsb1244b@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010210003936.13655.00003461@nso-mq.aol.com> <20010210074908.23230.00000023@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-417.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15436

In article <20010210074908.23230.00000023@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com says...

>
>>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>I believe the FCC should always make liberal use of grandfathering
>>of privileges, just so hams like myself, who don't have a problem
>>accepting a challenge, don't have to be bothered to listen to the
>>whining!
>
>Would it also put an end to your whining about whining?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Larry would find something else to whine about. I think it's his favorite hobby next to beeping.

From 3882335021850932248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,356d588770853894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 14:21:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Calling the "Roll"
Date: 10 Feb 2001 21:39:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <964cem2244b@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A85431A.154777B2@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-708.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15437

In article <3A85431A.154777B2@arrl.org>, jbloom@arrl.org says...
>
>Just to keep track, I thought we could use a list of classes of people
>that irritate Larry, as evidenced by recent NG postings:
>
>(1) Hams who haven't taken a code test (e.g., not "Real Hams").
>(2) Hams who have an Extra without a 20 WPM test (e.g., "PseudoExtras").
>(3) People who think (1) and (2) are real hams/Extras (e.g.,
>"Politically Correct whiners").
>(4) Ten-Ten members. ("Collectively, proof that any idiot can pick up a
>microphone and talk.")
>(5) Everyone at ARRL HQ except Ed (although stay tuned: Ed is teetering
>on the brink).
>(6) "The majority of hams utilizing 'high-speed digital modes.'"
>(7) "People hired under Affirmative Action programs."
>...more to come, no doubt. That's only a couple days' worth.
>
>We could shorten the list dramatically by just making it:
>(1) Anyone who's not Larry.
>But that wouldn't be as much fun!
>
>Jon


I can think of one to add to your list; Anyone who has a naughty callsign, such
as K5TIT.




From -1040079553752847510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,356d588770853894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 14:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.94.211.44!
enews.sgi.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Calling the "Roll"
Date: 10 Feb 2001 21:41:24 GMT
```

```
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <964ci43244b@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A85431A.154777B2@arrl.org>
<aLfh6.6131$ZJ5.537204@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-757.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15438

In article <aLfh6.6131$ZJ5.537204@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
w8ing2001@yahoo.com says...
>
>Ya left two out...
>
>Happy New Year, Everyone (No, I'm not back)...I needed to see if I could
>find Phil Kane's email address.
>
>--
>73 de W5TIT - Kim

Whoops! I posted the wrong callsign. Sorry, Kim.



From -7199676438269917516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 14:51:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: 10 Feb 2001 21:58:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <964dia4244b@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
<3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
```

```
<eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
<Zgpg6.38414$49.6855308@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<t85a5d7n8a81f2@corp.supernews.com> <t89648o0tkkp61@corp.supernews.com>
<962cfg31e36@enews4.newsguy.com> <t8b1iuo38fvfda@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-158.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9304
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12319 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15439 rec.radio.cb:25903
rec.radio.scanner:13614

In article <t8b1iuo38fvfda@corp.supernews.com>, not@aol.com says...
>
>Discussing controversial subjects! Gee sounds like "no political dissent
>allowed!"
>Of course Hams will willingly bend their knee and kiss ass.
>Freebanders will carry on and will remain THE LAST BEST HOPE FOR FREEDOM!!!

Have you ever heard of the Liberty Net? Those guys certainly don't bend their
knees and kiss ass.


>btw most freebanders have equal knowledge in electronics and SUPERIOR
>knowledge of human freedom.

I wouldn't know, since I don't know any freebanders.


>....act irresponsibly and break the law.
>Adolf Hitler-1935

Hmmm...You preach freedom, yet quote someone whose entire life was devoted to
depriving others of their freedom.



From -8554801416410700968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 15:19:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.113.65.250!
korova.insync.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 17:08:15 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A85C9DF.BCDCFD69@IEEE.org>
References: <20010210003936.13655.00003461@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010210074908.23230.00000023@ng-fm1.aol.com> <964bsb1244b@enews3.newsguy.com>
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.8c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Feb 2001 23:17:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15440

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> Larry would find something else to whine about. I think it's his favorite hobby
> next to beeping.

Wonder if Larry can whine in Morse code? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 6865524628276453651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 15:55:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!peer.news.opaltelecom.net!
londen1-snf1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A85D586.DAB6847@southwind.net>
From: Kathy <"John or Kathy Ryding"@southwind.net>
Reply-To: John, or, Kathy, Ryding@southwind.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; I; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83kpq1pc5fc25@corp.supernews.com>
<3a81e5c5.3817813@news.idsi.net> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
<3a84bd6e.10119603@news.idsi.net> <3A85625A.F1240A21@westsound.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; x-mac-type="54455854"; x-mac-
creator="4D4F5353"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 17:58:23 -0600
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.98.145
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 981849146 206.53.98.145 (Sat, 10 Feb 2001 18:52:26 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 18:52:26 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9307
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12322 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15441 rec.radio.cb:25911
rec.radio.scanner:13616

Well said.

By the way, who is "Ken"? and if freebanders are so mature, why are
they ignoring the FCC, breaking the law, and putting all of our
frequencies in jeopardy, ham, CB, and freebander? Doesn't sound all
that mature to me.

Rev. John A. Ryding
WW0H

From -1234284247411312936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 16:22:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g8k7tv2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010208223831.29597.00006566@nso-mi.aol.com>
<20010209071555.16867.00000159@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Lines: 25
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 00:23:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.86
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 981850984 206.58.44.86 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001 00:23:04
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 00:23:04 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15442

On 09 Feb 2001 12:15:55 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>Perhaps others here who have visited HQ can share their
>experiences, to confirm or contrast the bad one that you appear

>to have had.

I have had nothing but good experiences with HQ staff people,
starting with Dick Baldwin and going up to the present time,
both in my too-few visits to HQ and when I met them "in the
field".

They may not have always agreed with me (ask Steve Mansfield
about our heated differences on the Feingold Bill) but we never
failed to show mutual respect for each other.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
ARRL Volunteer Counsel
ARRL Volunter Consulting Engineer
ARRL Life Member

From 3585132577484492657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,356d588770853894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 16:30:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!195.54.122.107!newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!
diablo.netcom.net.uk!netcom.net.uk!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Calling the "Roll"
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 00:23:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <964m2n$uai$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A85431A.154777B2@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.54
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 00:23:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x60.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.54
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15443

In article <3A85431A.154777B2@arrl.org>,
Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> Just to keep track, I thought we could use a list of classes of people
> that irritate Larry, as evidenced by recent NG postings:
>
> (1) Hams who haven't taken a code test (e.g., not "Real Hams").

> (2) Hams who have an Extra without a 20 WPM test (e.g.,
"PseudoExtras").
> (3) People who think (1) and (2) are real hams/Extras (e.g.,
> "Politically Correct whiners").
> (4) Ten-Ten members. ("Collectively, proof that any idiot can pick up
a
> microphone and talk.")
> (5) Everyone at ARRL HQ except Ed (although stay tuned: Ed is
teetering
> on the brink).
> (6) "The majority of hams utilizing 'high-speed digital modes.'"
> (7) "People hired under Affirmative Action programs."
> ...more to come, no doubt. That's only a couple days' worth.
>
> We could shorten the list dramatically by just making it:
> (1) Anyone who's not Larry.
> But that wouldn't be as much fun!
>
> Jon

Jon, now let's be fair to Larry. He does have some favorite people.
For instance, he once posted that his favorite Black on the bus was the
driver. Because he was the only one with a job.

Brian

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From 2222073688795O7662
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 16:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
63.208.208.143.MISMATCH!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 00:39:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <964n0s$utc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu> <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net> <961c67$bhc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A84C85A.F68FD2DA@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.54
```

```
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 00:39:56 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.54
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15444

In article <3A84C85A.F68FD2DA@ovis.net>,
  Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net>,
> >   Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> >
> > I'd
> > > describe your perception of amateur radio reality with a term from
> > > ionospheric propagation:  skewed path.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Likewise, you seem to hang with what I consider some of the wrong
> > elements in amateur radio.  You follow the ground wave.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
> I'm proud to "hang" with the element I'm associated with.  They are
good
> ops and active ones.  I've been walking the walk and, as far as I can
> tell, you pretty much just talk the talk.  That you consider some of
my
> friends "the wrong elements" speaks volumes.
>
> Dave K8MN

Then you are in complete disagreement with some of your other ground
wavers, except in one respect: you are all arrogant.  Good Ops or not,
you're rather shitty to other hams on here.

Jim Kelley thinks I have nothing to say, you say I speak "volumes."  Why
don't you two huddle, then get back to me.  I'm almost curious which way
you'll decide.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 427070873992794473
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 17:00:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
```

```
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 00:49:51 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <964nje$vbq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95vf0p$ovn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-
mq.aol.com> <963b1c02dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.54
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 00:49:51 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x68.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.54
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15445

In article <963b1c02dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>,
  tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
> In article <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-mq.aol.com>,
yodoc@aol.comnospam
> says...
> >
> >In article <95vf0p$ovn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian
<brianburke@my-deja.com>
> >writes:
> >
> >>
> >>Reminds me of 10-10.  I worked a guy in Florida when I was stationed
in
> >>Nebraska.  Then I worked him again from Guam and he told me I was a
> >>dupe.  When I tried working him from my new duty assignment in
Illinois,
> >>he kind of got perturbed with me.
> >
> >Brian:
> >
> >Ah, yes, the 10-10 club.  You just ran into the very attitude which
> >has made me an avid "no-phoner" ever since!  The Ten-Ten
organization,
> >and all of its drooling, toothless, slack-jawed, knuckle-dragging,
> >mouth-breathing, bottom-feeding members are, collectively, proof
> >that any idiot can pick up  a microphone and talk -- and most
> >idiots do!
> >
> >73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> I didn't realize exchanging 10-10 numbers was restricted to phone.
Must be
> something in 10-10 International's charter that I missed.

Makes me wonder what they are actually doing during the 10-10 CW
```

contest. ;)

My comment was directed toward the single-mindedness of collecting
10x numbers, and the inability to ragchew by a few of the herd. Not
anything pro or con about modes.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 8089914767080605197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 17:00:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!194.255.56.67!newsfeed101.telia.com!
newsrouter.euroconnect.net!news1.ebone.net!news.ebone.net!diablo.netcom.net.uk!
netcom.net.uk!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 00:51:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <964nmq$vkr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208193305.21807.00000090@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3a83de57.41990447@news2.dvol.com> <961s7l$qrd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a85557d.138035384@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.54
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 00:51:39 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.54
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15446

In article <3a85557d.138035384@news2.dvol.com>,
  kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote:
> On Fri, 09 Feb 2001 22:50:31 GMT, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
> wrote:
>
> >> Don't bring that sumbitch to Bookbinders for the RV/EY lobsterfest,
> >> he'll never make it back to Allentown.
> >
> >You sound like a bad man.
> >
> . . I can provide references . .
> >
> >"When you've worked over a FIST, you've worked over a friend!"
> >
> Squiggy doesn't qualify as either.

Why not?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3921086929551959585
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 17:00:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 00:54:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <964nr8$vm5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208193305.21807.00000090@ng-cr1.aol.com> <3a83de57.41990447@news2.dvol.com> <961s7l$qrd$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <963n8d$e9v$1@208.206.142.95>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.54
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 00:54:02 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x62.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.54
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15447

In article <963n8d$e9v$1@208.206.142.95>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > "When you've worked over a FIST, you've worked over a friend!"
>
> So when did you OR Carl ever work a FIST?

Did you get your bills in the mail on time or are you still making late payments?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 9010767695164880828
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 17:33:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 01:32:59 GMT
References: <962bmo$1ggc$1@heap.juniper.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010210203259.02997.00002159@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15448

In article <962bmo$1ggc$1@heap.juniper.net>, dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) writes:

>Tentec also sells both an HF and a VHF transceiver that work this way.

I don't know about the VHF models, but the HF/MF ones are the Pegasus and Jupiter. They are actually the same basic unit - the Jupiter has a "front panel" with controls and the Pegasus needs a PC to control it. (The Pegasus has been called the "poor man's Kachina" by some).

But the receiver dynamic range (blocking and IMD) on them are not very good. The old Ten Tec Corsair 2, designed in the mid '80s, has much better performance in that area. The Elecraft K2 blows it away on those specs.

It's a matter of bells and whistles vs. genuine receiver performance. DSP filtering at the tail end of a receiver isn't going to fix overloading and intermod ahead of it. This is not new stuff - By Goodman wrote about it in 1957 ("What's Wrong With Our Present Receivers?")
>
>For transmitters it is in principle possible to avoid mixing stages
>altogether, instead generating the modulated RF directly using a
>DDS chip as the DSP's output device. Unfortunately, I discovered that
>the outputs of current DDS chips can have more spurs than a Texas saloon,
>so this may need to wait for another generation of the technology.

That basically says it all. DDS spurs will also cause all sorts of receiver spurious responses, too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -4117697660256772656

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 17:33:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 106
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 01:32:58 GMT
References: <ej1h6.161$HH5.977545@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010210203258.02997.00002158@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15449

In article <ej1h6.161$HH5.977545@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>> In 1959 as I recall it was still about half SSB, half AM. I don't
>> think AM was anywhere near gone by 1962.
>
>It was not. Even by 1968, AM was far from dead in the Amateur Radio
>Service.

All true - but that's not the point.

When AM was the predominant voice mode on the HF/MF ham bands, an SWL could tune across almost any of the 'phone parts of those bands and find ham QSOs that were easy to tune in and easy to understand. Many hams of the that era tell of discovering ham radio by accidentally coming across hams using AM.

When SSB took over the prime spot, and AM became less common, the chances of that sort of thing happening decreased markedly. And to find the remaining AM hams, an SWL had to crawl through lots of SSB signals. End result: What was once a good source of new hams was greatly reduced.
>
>> Further, most of the SW receivers of the day had a BFO (even the cheapie
>$29.95 Heathkit AR-3)
>> which made SSB copy possible.

The AR-3 had a "reflex" BFO - the first audio stage was made to oscillate. The results were far from optimum.

I had the predecessor AR-2 receiver, which had a separate BFO. It was not a very good SSB receiver.

A WW2 surplus "Command set" receiver was much better. But SWLs rarely used those.

> I think that any SWL worth his salt could still listen to hams...

Sure - but there were not as many that were EASY to listen to. That's the point. It must be remembered that the unskilled SWL probably didn't know to turn on the BFO, turn down the RF gain, turn up the AF gain and tune very slowly. And most of the inexpensive receivers of that time would win the Zelda Fitzgerald award for stability.
>
>My SWLing was with an old Zenith Transoceanic receiver. I don't recall it
>having a BFO.

Those things are prized items today. 1L6s are even more prized.
>
>> I think it was 1962 or 1963 when I bought my first SSB rig, a Heathkit
>> HW-22 40 meter monoband transceiver. The price was around $112 bucks
>> (I think - check your QST collection), in any case it was cheaper than
>> most of the AM stuff at the time...
>
>I bought my used DX-40 for about $35.00, and later a used DX-100 for $75.00.
>The DX-100 modulator made a great guitar amp. :-)

Pair of 1625s. Not a Marshall, but nice.
>
>> Why would a small fee stop a prospective ham who likely will spend ten
>> times that amount on his radios...
>
>Most commercial HF transceivers today cost about $1000, in round numbers.
>Lets assume the average ham spends another $1000 on the rest of his or her
>station. Would a "small fee" of $100 today, to take the conservative
>number, based on your 10%, stop a number of people from becoming hams?

Particularly when the tests were previously FREE?
>
>> This is certainly a possible reason. Most of the fun of ham radio
>> without the test. Food for thought: Did CB do to ham radio in the 60s
>> what the Internet is doing to ham radio today...

It was worse.
>
>What percentage of those CBers went on to become hams? I did.
>
I never touched the dern things. Went straight to ham radio.

>> >TV watching increased all through the '50s and '60s.
>
>> How does that explain the sudden stop in '62?

All of those factors were slowly increasing their effects on ham radio growth.
>
>And TV watching increased through the 70s and 80s, too, a time of steady

>growth in amateur radio.

But the novelty was gone. When TV was new, it was a big deal, but the viewing was limited by the limited number of stations and the limited time they were on the air. TV was expensive and many areas did not have good TV coverage. By the early '60s, the prices had dropped, stations were everywhere and "almost 24/7" telecasting was starting to show up. (I remember when seeing a "test pattern" on TV was common, and listening to the national anthem as the station signed off after the 11 pm news. That's all gone).

Point is that a new thing like TV eats up people's spare time, money and interest for awhile. Then, as it becomes commonplace, it fades into the fabric of life.

PCs and the Internet are doing the same thing. Eventually home computers and internet connections will be as common as TV, if not more so.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -9129692717808930852
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 19:09:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 02:47:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <964ufn$4pv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9635ph$q3c$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210145349.01651.00001389@nso-
fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.182
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 02:47:20 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x57.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.182
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15450
```

In article <20010210145349.01651.00001389@nso-fp.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> This is an amateur radio policy newsgroup, not a health professional
> resume newsgroup.

Then why have YOU been posting my license numbers, Lennie? I sure didn't.

Again, "rules" only apply to others...NOT to Lennie.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To
Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"Just Remember...An Amateur Built The Ark, Engineers Built The Titanic"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPONSES TO THE
DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

```
From -120766835180616328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 19:10:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 02:58:06 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <964v3s$57i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <9636ao$qeq$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210145345.01651.00001388@nso-
fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.192.182
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 02:58:06 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x53.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.192.182
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk4yz
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15451
```

In article <20010210145345.01651.00001388@nso-fp.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Chatanooga has no electronics industry? Nothing closer than
> 3 hours distance? Seems strange considering the east coasters
> have been bragging for years about being the center for all the
> "good" industries.

It's Chattanooga. No, I am afraid that Chattanooga doesn't yet have the concentration of electronics inductry that Atlanta does. I am sure, however, when many of them tire of the bumper-to-bumper traffic and being afraid to go anywhere without armed escort, Chattanooga will catch the overflow.

> Purchasing agents must be making bundles of money now!

I wouldn't call it bundles, but ANTEC's stock falterings are not a complete view of it's performance.

Again, like your "views" of Ham Radio, you look from the outside in and make poorly substantiated judgements on that. Oh well. Nothing we haven't become accustomed to.

>Not a callsign you can use for bragging rights but you can,
>literally, take it to the bank.

I already DO have "bragging rights", Lennie. In more than one field of endeavour.

G'night, Lennie. Mrs A has your dose of Geritol ready.

--
"It Could Be That Your Life's Only Meaning Is To Serve As A Warning To Others Of How Bad It Could REALLY Be!"

"Just Remember...An Amateur Built The Ark, Engineers Built The Titanic"

PLEASE SEND PRIVATE E MAIL COMMENTS TO K4YZ@AOL.COM. RESPONSES TO THE DEJA MAY OR MAY NOT BE RETRIEVED IN A TIMELY FASHION.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -2000998705804949815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 19:30:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <so1c8tgpiccr6j8i0oqf078cscthc2b9gu@4ax.com>
References: <95vf0p$ovn$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-mq.aol.com> <9631qu$ni5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 03:30:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.241
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981862248 209.86.206.241 (Sat, 10 Feb 2001 19:30:48 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 19:30:48 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15452

Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
>Apparently, according to Hans, FISTS members work you once, get your
>number, and are more than happy to send you on your way.

While I am not a contester or DXer, I see no harm in collecting
numbers. What harm is there in giving someone a number if that's all
that he wants?

>Can it be than idiots can pick up a paddle?

I think that there are likely just as many idiot hams on CW as there
are on phone. Thankfully the mode makes it difficult to act like one
when your using it...   :-)

From 5289371087178207856
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 19:30:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <hl1c8tohgfgv8gjs4gdmdncnq8kpptf9cr@4ax.com>
References: <7t398t4g2iseuq39pgfg02mlsmfv9rbm3s@4ax.com>
<20010210081958.23230.00000031@ng-fm1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 03:30:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.241
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981862250 209.86.206.241 (Sat, 10 Feb

```
2001 19:30:50 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 19:30:50 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15453

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>I own an old Ten Tec OMNI D, built in the early 80s.  Do you think most hams of
>that day would have fixed it themselves, or sent it to the factory?

I said that I think that most hams of the *50's* could fix their own
gear. Things had somewhat changed by the 80's. I've never seen the
inside of an Omni D, but if it is non-digital and has discrete
components, I think that I could probably fix about anything that
would go wrong with it. Am I the average ham...


From -2016254489996193337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 19:44:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 03:41:30 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <3a85fa38.9235618@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A7B15D3.DFA7583@arrl.org> <3A7B34B6.A062A45D@IEEE.org>
<3A7B5439.A05BA808@arrl.org> <3A7B63C1.6E06E20E@IEEE.org>
<3A7B7A46.A2C12838@arrl.org> <3A7B96D0.B8E80C83@IEEE.org>
<3A7C0CD3.184588E5@arrl.org> <3A7C1D84.D48B3027@IEEE.org>
<3A7C39B4.2FA0DB02@arrl.org> <3A7C59C2.9348CB34@IEEE.org>
<3A7C696E.4543B8CB@arrl.org> <3A7C9A65.8E255602@IEEE.org>
<95ijuk$6pf$0@208.206.142.68> <3A7CDEA3.2C0A53C1@IEEE.org>
<95j18u$btb$0@208.206.142.68> <rukg6.115$HH5.812522@news.ntplx.net>
<ih548toldfcd8792epomrot59apcte22h2@4ax.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.81
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 03:44:16 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15454

On Thu, 08 Feb 2001 03:50:40 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
wrote:

>"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>> IMHO, the very survival of our Service could depend on the number
>>of hams who learn enough about new technology to stay relevant.
>
```

```
>Unless you intend to leave out the lay people, it is unrealistic to
>believe that average hams can keep up with current technology to the
>extent that they can advance the state of the art, or be of any value
>to the military. And if they can't do those things, what good are they
>to the government (as they once were)...

A point that some hams don't seem to understand or
ackowledge.  Well said!

>>survival may also depend on the number of hams who say "***NO***!!!" to
>>learning that new technology, too.
>
>These non-technical hams just might save the hobby by providing
>emergency communications, something that is still valuable to the
>government...

Agree completely.

>>Riley Hollingsworth has been telling hams that we should not let Amateur
>>Radio become obsolete under our watch.
>
>Unfortunately Riley has been trying to get us to stop fighting amongst
>ourselves and to stop profaning the airwaves. Technical stuff seems to
>be a secondary problem for him these days..

Has anyone, other than some hams, made any complaint
regarding the technical ability of hams today?  That is
clearly a non-issue for the FCC and other non-ham folks.
In contrast, the garbage that some hams spout on the
air can be heard by anyone.  I applaud Riley's
efforts because there's certainly nothing that Riley
would be involved in regarding the techhnical issues.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 4618538269948998331
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 19:45:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 03:41:29 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 25
```

```
Message-ID: <3a85f86a.8773309@news.mindspring.com>
References: <95j0bq$9p2$0@208.206.142.68>
<29520-3A7D94B4-10@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165> <95q7be$mit$0@208.207.71.146>
<3a80d959.1153399@news.mindspring.com> <95qn8u$n6n$0@208.207.71.168>
<3a820ab9.19799016@news.mindspring.com> <95t6uv$ppc$9@208.207.71.156>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.81
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 03:44:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15455

On 8 Feb 2001 04:22:55 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> > So you don't believe that radiotelegraphy is the most simple, easily
>> >implemented means of radio communication yet devised?
>>
>> Not so at all.  I agree with that statement, I don't agree that
>> anyone needs to be knowledgeable in the USE of morse
>> to be considered accomplished in the radio arts (be it
>> technically or on an operational basis).  Indeed, for Dick's
>> claim to be valid one would then want to question why
>> engineering schools have never required even a working knowledge
>> of the morse code itself before graduating engineers.
>
> Ah, so now because engineers aren't required to know Morse code is
>reason enough for hams to not know it either. Pure logic, we see.

Far more logical than anything claimed as a justification
as to why ONLY hams should be required to have
such knowledge.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -4400813020980861476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 19:45:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 03:41:32 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
```

Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3a85fb23.9470611@news.mindspring.com>
References: <ih548toldfcd8792epomrot59apcte22h2@4ax.com>
<20010208183609.21807.00000072@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.81
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 03:44:17 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15456

On 08 Feb 2001 23:36:09 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>
>>Unless you intend to leave out the lay people, it is unrealistic to
>>believe that average hams can keep up with current technology to the
>>extent that they can advance the state of the art, or be of any value
>>to the military. And if they can't do those things, what good are they
>>to the government (as they once were)...
>
>I don't think the number of hams who advance the state of the art has ever been
>very high. But the number of hams today who have a fundamental grasp of modern
>communications technology is smaller than it was in years past, IMHO.

I agree Ed and that is more the function of the greater
amount of information/knowledge it takes to have
that fundamental grasp today vs the old CW and AM
only days.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -1843034385435373353
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 20:17:25 PST
Message-ID: <3A8611A9.F6CCB653@ovis.net>
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 04:14:33 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <3A853802.3790D3CB@ovis.net> <20010210145351.01651.00001392@nso-fp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 10 Feb 2001 23:10:15 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 20

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15457

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A853802.3790D3CB@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >> Feel free to keep on thinking you Know What Is Funny!   :-)
> >
> >A situation containing incongruity is funny. We laugh at the
> >incongruous. You occasionally make me laugh, Len. I'm positive that
> >the humor isn't intentional on your part.
>
> Ja, Herr Obersturmbandsfuhrer, it is nice to know that even the
> high-ranking superior ones are able to enjoy life and find
> amusements.
>
> Gruss Gott and didit

That's a real knee slapper, Len! Keep the yuks coming. Have any
amateur radio related material?

Dave K8MN

From -8233943609553225665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 20:21:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <ol4c8tc4s9mfoffc7p05co38dvrh07029m@4ax.com>
References: <ej1h6.161$HH5.977545@news.ntplx.net> <20010210203258.02997.00002158@nso-mg.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 04:21:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.207
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981865271 209.86.207.207 (Sat, 10 Feb 2001 20:21:11 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 20:21:11 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15458

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>The AR-3 had a "reflex" BFO - the first audio stage was made to oscillate.
>The results were far from optimum.

SWLs could listen to ham SSB on one if they wanted...

>the unskilled SWL probably didn't know to turn on the BFO,
>turn down the RF gain, turn up the AF gain and tune very
>slowly.

I think that you underrate SWLs who spend hours at
their receivers and know them well, perhaps even
better than a (gasp) ham... :-)

>Eventually home computers and internet connections
>will be as common as TV, if not more so.

Eventually TV and the Internet will be the same thing...

From -7248125732104198349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,356d588770853894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 20:25:28 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Calling the "Roll"
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 04:21:55 GMT
Message-ID: <3a8612ad.186470847@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3A85431A.154777B2@arrl.org>
<aLfh6.6131$ZJ5.537204@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.26
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.26
X-Trace: 10 Feb 2001 22:20:33 -0600, 64.24.18.26
Lines: 10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15459

On Sat, 10 Feb 2001 18:28:22 GMT, "Kim" <w8ing2001@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>Happy New Year, Everyone (No, I'm not back)..
>
Whew: Thought for a minute that you might be back.
>--

```
>73 de W5TIT - Kim
>



From -7206545295102080009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 20:39:15 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 04:38:49 GMT
Message-ID: <3a861468.186914703@news2.dvol.com>
References: <95um7m$345$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010208193305.21807.00000090@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3a83de57.41990447@news2.dvol.com> <961s7l$qrd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3a85557d.138035384@news2.dvol.com> <964nmq$vkr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.139
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.139
X-Trace: 10 Feb 2001 22:37:28 -0600, 64.24.18.139
Lines: 25
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15460

On Sun, 11 Feb 2001 00:51:39 GMT, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
wrote:


>> >"When you've worked over a FIST, you've worked over a friend!"
>> >
>> Squiggy doesn't qualify as either.
>
>Why not?
>
Squiggy doesn't have a fist, he couldn't communicate with dots &
dashes if his life or anybody else's life depended on that ability, he
advocates elimination of all subands, he advocates the use or wideband
digital modes on the HF ham bands and he goes off on anti-cw rants at
neighborhood ham club meetings (draws snores and doesn't go back). The
only time I'd do dinner with him would be if he was the guest of honor
and showed up on the platter with an apple stuffed in his mouth.
>
Get it yet?
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/
>
```

From -3443577226621078247
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 20:40:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
skynet.be!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: n2ey@aol.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 04:34:43 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 513
Message-ID: <9654p4$9eq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <961d5l$cii$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010209185914.17176.00001513@nso-
ba.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.102.179
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 04:34:43 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; AOL 5.0; Windows 95)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x72.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 64.12.102.179
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDn2ey
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15461

In article <20010209185914.17176.00001513@nso-ba.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <961d5l$cii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, n2ey@aol.com writes:
>
> >> That is an ESTIMATE.
> >
> >Yes, it is. It's also true - the FCC renewed 4,870 Technician and
> >Technician Plus licenses in that time period, and reclassified the
Tech
> >Pluses as Technicians. The holders of the licenses - the licensees -
> >are responsible for maintaining proof that they have been code tested
> >if they want to retain their HF privileges.
> >
> >This stuff is all explained on the Speroni site. Your failure to
> >include it in your analysis is a serious error that reduces your
> >credibility.
> >
> >> By using personal estimates based only
> >> on "gut feeling" or something, you are yourself in ERROR.
> >
> >My estimate is not based on "gut feeling". It is based on a thorough
> >knowledge of the information, the applicable regulations and basic
math
> >as applied to statistics. It's a fair estimate.
> >

> >Your analysis did not include the fact that the FCC is renewing Tech
> >Plus licenses as Technicians, and that by doing so, one cannot
> >equate "Technician license" with "non-code-tested licensee". By
> >improperly putting code-tested Technicians in the non-code-tested
> >category, you overstate their number and understate the number of
code
> >tested licensees.
>
> I didn't mention at all the renewals of Tech-Plus to Tech because
> the exact number of such renewals weren't listed except by
> inference on the Speroni tabulation of Renewed licenses.

It's easy to figure them out, though.

The AH0A site lists renewals by class. Looking at those results, we see Tech Plus renewals at the rate of several hundred per month, and Tech renewals at the rate of 1 or two per month until April, 2000. Then, in April 2000, the number of Tech and Tech plus renewals is almost equal (339 Tech Plus vs. 332 Tech). In May 200, the trend reverses - Tech renewals suddenly jump to several hundred per month, and Tech plus drop to a few per month.

It's clear what happened: On April 15, the FCC started renewing Tech Pluses as Techs.

Last time I looked, the FCC would not renew a ham license unless it was within 90 days of expiring. Since the Tech lost its code test on Feb 14, 2001, no Technicians were eligible for renewal until Nov 16, 2000 (90 days before Feb 14, 2001).

So ALL renewals of Tech or Tech Plus from April to October 2000 are Tech Plus renewals. The renewals of November and December 2000 are a mixture of Tech and Tech Plus renewals.

The total number of Tech Plus to Tech renewals from mid April 2000 to October 2000 is 3303. That number represents a lower bound on the number of Tech Pluses that the FCC renewed as Techs during the time you cited. The actual number is higher because of additional Tech Plus to Tech renewals in November and December of 2000.
>
> I did as stated, taking the overall monthly numbers of licensees in
> each class, separating code-tested from non-code-tested..

No, you did not. You equated "Technician license" with "non-code-tested licensee". The two are NOT equivalent, as has been demonstrated.

There are at least 3303 Technicians who are code tested because they are renewals from Tech Plus, as the above analysis proves. The actual number is much higher because November and December of 2000 are left out of the total. In addition, there are an unknown number of Technicians who have passed the code test but who had not upgraded during the cited time period because they hadn't passed the written yet.

End result is that you miscategorized several thousand code-tested licensees as non-code-tested, even though the data to properly categorize them was readily available from the same source.
>
> I could have gone into an extensive "analysis" by including
> numbers of Expirations of licenses (also tabulated) and doing
> all sorts of second-guessing on the "why" of such. I did not.

No, that wasn't necessary at all. The information needed is on the renewals page.

And we haven't even mentioned vanity call renewals, which are shown separately.
>
> The FCC renewal shift of Tech-Plus to Tech would put such
> Tech-Plus licensees in the CODE-TESTED group, would it
> not?

Nope. See above. FCC expects Tech Pluses who renew to keep some sort of record that they are code-tested on their own. An old license is adequate.

> The old Technician-Plus class required a code test for a
> license.

True.

> In order to separate code-tested from non-code-tested,
> I made an additional posting regarding the 27 months between
> the start of 1998 and the end of March 2000.

Which has no effect on the errors in your analysis of the period following the restructuring.

> That additional
> listing excluded any "renewal" shifts from Tech-Plus to Tech.

There were no such shifts before April 15, 2000.

> The results are still the same -
>
> The total number of code-tested US ARS licensees is decreasing.
> The number of non-code-tested US ARS licensees is increasing.
> It has been that way for over three years..

Nobody questions that. The probelm is that you unnecessarily misstate both the increase in "non-code-tested" and the decrease in "code-tested" by a very significant percentage.
>
>   <snip>
>
> >End result is that your numbers are way off.
>

> No.

Yes, they are way off.

> I am not ASSUMING anything about "renewals" or expirations
> or anything else,

Yes, you are. You are assuming that ALL Technicians are "noncodetested"
even though it is clear that thousands of them ARE "codetested".

> just showing the totals of code-tested versus
> non-code-tested over the last two-plus years plus the number of
> NEW licensees, code-tested versus non-code-tested.

The original numbers posted were derived from the totals, not from new
licenses.
>
> If you start assuming some condition, your "analysis" changes
> to mere SPECULATION.

Not at all. Every analysis assumes some conditions. You assume that the
Speroni data is correct, even though AH0A himself says it contains
anomalies. You assume that "Technician" always equals "noncodetested"
even though plenty of proof exists to the contrary in thousands of
cases.

> That is no longer any valid analysis.

Estimating is a valid analysis tool.
>
> >How do you know? What is faulty in my estimate? I clearly
> >said "SUPPOSE" - that makes it hypothetical.
>
> Speculation is still speculation. Such is not analysis.

It's an estimate, not a speculation.

> >
> If you are really picky about smoothing methods, feel free to
> pick out any period you want...but quit trying to say "everything
> is faulty." :-)

I'm not saying everything is faulty. Just some of your methods.
>
> >The number of new Technician licenses proves one thing: Most people
> >starting out in ham radio do so by getting a Technician license.
>
> How do you KNOW that?

Simple: Most new licenses are granted to new hams. Most new licenses
are Techncian licenses.

> All I see is the number of licenses granted

> for particular classes.

Sure. Just look at the "new licenses" page on the AH0A site. It's right
there.

> Aren't there any "Extra-out-of-the-box"
> licensees? Aren't there any "General-out-of-the-box" licensees?

Sure - plenty of them. See the above page. But the most new licenses
are Technicians. Nobody disputes that.
>
> >The
> >data does not tell how many of those new licensees later upgrade,
> >because upgrades are lumped into "modifications".
>
> None of the publicly-available FCC databases predicts the future.

It's not the future that is in question. It's how many upgrades have
occurred in a given time period. The Speroni site doesn't say.
>
> Can you predict the future? I can't.

I can make reasonable predictions based on past information and
experience.

>I don't have crystal balls.

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.
>
> >> but the trend is for
> >> NEW Tech licensees still growing after January 2001.
> >
> >That's an ESTIMATE on your part. Nobody knows what the renewal rate
> >will be like until they actually start to expire.
>
> Sure, trends are ESTIMATEs. :-)

Then you ARE predicting the future when you make statements like the
one above.

> One plots past data and gets a
> "curve" which may be a sort-of-straight line or something that is
> curved. That estimate may be continued into the NEAR future for
> a few months with some element of certainty.

Unless the conditions change in that time period. Like the fact that
the first post-Feb-14-1991 Technician licenses will start to expire
very soon.
>
> It is better than picking fly droppings out of pepper to get the
> number of Tech-Plusses renewed as Techs,

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean. The numbers are right on the Speroni site. You ignored them - I didn't.

> the number of
> Advanceds that were dropped to a lower grade for any reason,

I know of no loss of amateur license grade as part of FCC rules for the past 50 years except for the renewals of Tech Pluses as Techs since April 15, 2001. Do you have any such information?

> or any number of "modifications."

Those are on the Speroni site, too.

> You can go to great lengths
> to attempt "proving" something in the multi-tiered US amateur
> licensing system.

All I'm doing is pointing out some easily-avoided errors in your methods.

> The only way you can REALLY "prove" your
> numbers is to download the FCC databases yourself and make
> your own search program to find EXACTLY what you are looking
> for to show those #$%&!!! no-coders they are "all wrong."   :-)

I have no idea what you are talking about, Len. I'm just pointing out some easily-avoided errors in your methods.
>
> >That's simply not correct. I don't "wish" for a 5% dropout rate -
> >I "wish" it would be 0%. The 5% is just a highly-educated guess, and
> >identified as such.
>
> Ah, "highly eductated!"

Yep. Always looking to learn more, though.

> Presumably there is some certificate or
> diploma attesting to such ability?

Yep - got a couple of them.

> I'd still call it a plain GUESS and lump it under speculation.

Why? I have shown the exact methodology and used the same source. 3303 is a clear, absolute lower bound on the number of "codetested" Techncians.
>
> >By ignoring the fact that no Technician licenses have expired yet,
your
> >analysis is even more faulty. My estimate is closer to the facts than
> >yours because it includes relevant corrections. My method is simply
> >more accurate.
>

> It is "more accurate" from the pro-coder's viewpoint.

No. It's more accurate, period.

> It is "more accurate" because you said so.   :-)

No, it's more accurate because the method takes into account relevant
data that your method ignores. The errors introduced by your methods
and assumptions are significant.
>
> >It was identified as a "suppose". You ignored the whole expiration
> >thing completely. My analysis is more correct because the relevant
> >factors are included, and estimates identified as such.
>
> It is "more accurate" from the pro-coder's viewpoint.

No, it's more accurate, period.

> It is "more accurate" because you said so.   :-)

No, it's more accurate because the method is better.
>
> >You have no idea how many will expire and how many will renew,
either.
>
> Absolutely true...and I NEVER PRESUMED TO KNOW THE
> FUTURE. All I stated was a Trend based on PAST data.

Stating a trend IS predicting the future, Len, because you assume the
trend will continue.
>
> >My estimates are accurate within the limits stated. My analysis is
more
> >accurate than yours. Much more accurate.
>
> >The trend is that most new hams start out with Technician licenses.
> >That's been the trend for over a decade. Some upgrade, some don't.
> >Describing all Technicians as "non-code-tested licensees" is simply
not
> >correct or accurate.
>
> The US Technician class amateur radio license test did NOT
> include a morse code test element...ergo, the Technician class
> license can be considered "non-code-tested." That is what I said.

No. You said "non-code-tested" LICENSEES. Not the same thing as
LICENSES. If it was one time, I'd mark it up to a typo, but it was
repeated.

And the current Technician DOES provide for code testing. A ham who
gets a Tech and then passes the code test has Novice/Tech Plus HF
privileges.
>

> >I'm not denying any trends. I'm just stating the facts and pointing
out
> >your errors. Which of my facts is not true?
>
> Some of your "facts" were simply SPECULATIONS.

No, they were reasonable estimates.

> One even
> had the imprimateur of "highly educated."

It's an accurate description.

> Speculations aren't
> true or untrue, but they sure as hell aren't "facts."

They are estimates, not speculations. And only a few of my facts are
estimates.
>
> >10 months of data are available, so why not include them? The longer
> >the baseline, the more accurate the extrapolation or interpolation.
>
> Oh, geez louise.

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.

> Jim, if you want to Show The World The Errors
> Of The Uncoded, go do your own arithmetic and post the results.

I found an arithmetic error in your calculations, too.
>
> >Basing conclusions on the 10 months of data following the
restructuring
> >is, by definition, more accurate and correct than basing them on the
9
> >months of data following the restructuring.
>
> No sh*t?

Why the vulgarity, Len?

>Is that from the "highly educated" school or something?

I've been to a couple of schools and got some diplomas. Is that a bad
thing?
>
> >> What about the two years PRIOR to restructuring?
> >
> >Different ballgame. Different license structure, different test
> >requirements, different dynamics. And the FCC was renewing Tech
Pluses
> >as Tech Pluses back then.
>

> No sh*t?

No reason for that, Len.

> In the period before restructuring too place, was there an
> increase in the code-tested license numbers and a decrease in the
> non-code-tested license numbers ???

Who said that? Not me. All I wrote is the FACT that the whole situation
changed with the restructuring.
>
> >Which tells us that most new hams start out with the Technician
> >license - as I have written many times. Upgrades are not shown as new
> >licenses in the Speroni data. Upgrades are not shown separately in
the
> >Speroni data, either.
>
> I don't think downgrades are shown either...but they exist in the
> FCC database files.

There is a difference between a database error and a change in license
class that is part of the regs.

> As I have suggested before, go download
> your own database files and do searching for whatever data you
> want to "prove" something. They are ASCII files and searchable
> with a text-based search program.

I'm not trying to "prove" anything, Len. Just pointing out some
avoidable errors in your posts. Is that wrong?
>
> >And the restructuring DID have an effect. Once the NPRM was
announced,
> >hams and prospective hams who kept up with the news knew that changes
> >were probably coming. Some of them would act accordingly, perhaps
> >delaying upgrading until the new license structure was known. After
the
> >late December 1999 R&O, those thinking about getting licenses or
> >upgrading knew what was on the way and acted accordingly. The exact
> >effects can only be guessed at, but there WERE effects.
> >
> >What do you think the above data says in terms of trends, beyond the
> >fact that most new hams today start out as Technicians?
>
> The above "data" paragraph is your own reporter-voice
description.   :-)

I have no idea what that is suppoosed to mean.
>
> Not all new hams start out as Technicians.

No, but most do.

> In the 27 months
> between start of 1998 and end of March 2000 there were
> 1900 New Novice class licenses granted, 3,504 New Tech-Plus
> licenses granted, 459 New Generals, 78 New Advanced, and 68
> New Extra.

And your point is?

> Yes, Jim, "MOST" new hams probably DO start out
> as Technician class licensees (they aren't all job technicians,
> some may be engineers, housewives, plumbers, etc.).   :-)

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean. What is a "job
technician"?
>
> >"And it is to be expected that the easiest-to-get US amateur radio
> >license will have large numbers of licensees."
> >
> >That's better. I apologize for being vague in that statement the
first
> >time.
>
> Are you absolutely SURE of the first sentence above?

As sure as I am of anything related to the subject.

> After all,
> the FCC is renewing Tech-Plusses who don't re-test on renewal
> as Technicians,

That's correct. Read the regs and look at the Speroni data. Ask any
Tech Plus who renewed after April 15, 2000.

> and "many" of the existing Techs will drop out,

That's not what I wrote. 5% per year is not "many" - it's one in 20.

> and aliens might invade the earth to be repulsed by morse code.

Never claimed that at all. What IS your problem with Morse code, Len?

> Or at least repulsed by long-time morsemen who will fight
> change (of any kind) to a moral, mortal end.   :-)

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.

The whole code-test thing is a dead issue. FCC reduced the code test
for a US ham license to the absolute bare minimum under the treaty back
in April. Their comments, and their actions for the past 20+ years make
it clear that the treaty is the only reason they kept 5 wpm testing.
Unless FCC decides to ignore the treaty, no more reduction will occur
until the treaty changes.

Sure I'm "pro-code". I like the mode - for me and many other hams, it's
lots of fun, efficient, effective, and has lots of good features. Is
there something wrong with that?

N2EY
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From 54035623063635276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 20:42:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A8617F3.C050F612@ovis.net>
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 04:41:23 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu> <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net> <961c67$bhc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A84C85A.F68FD2DA@ovis.net> <964n0s$utc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 10 Feb 2001 23:37:05 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 57
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15462

Brian wrote:
>
> In article <3A84C85A.F68FD2DA@ovis.net>,
>   Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net>,
> > >   Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > I'd
> > > > describe your perception of amateur radio reality with a term from
> > > > ionospheric propagation: skewed path.
> > > >

> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Likewise, you seem to hang with what I consider some of the wrong
> > > elements in amateur radio. You follow the ground wave.
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> > I'm proud to "hang" with the element I'm associated with. They are
> good
> > ops and active ones. I've been walking the walk and, as far as I can
> > tell, you pretty much just talk the talk. That you consider some of
> my
> > friends "the wrong elements" speaks volumes.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Then you are in complete disagreement with some of your other ground
> wavers, except in one respect: you are all arrogant. Good Ops or not,
> you're rather shitty to other hams on here.

What defines "arrogance", Brian. In what way do you perceive me as
arrogant? Is it because I don't share your beliefs or have disagreed
with you?

You've left out an important word prior to the words "other hams". The
word is "some". I give as I get generally. I think most people do.
I'm certain that you do not like or get along with everyone you meet in
life. Why should amateur radio be any different? I get along with most
people and like many people. I avoid some people and simply detest
others. Is it somehow different with you?

>
> Jim Kelley thinks I have nothing to say, you say I speak "volumes." Why
> don't you two huddle, then get back to me. I'm almost curious which way
> you'll decide.

If you have anything important to say, I don't think you've yet said it.
I'm not Jim Kelley so you'll have wait for his reaction. My own opinion
is that the chip I told you that you have on your shoulder has once
again made an appearance. If you feel like the bastard child at the
family reunion, do some self examination.

Dave K8MN

From -6881473293472578419
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 21:33:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!

```
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 133
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 05:33:18 GMT
References: <8qq98tk287cm7ophn4v7mu6gjfpremimc1@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211003318.10105.00001491@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15463
```

In article <8qq98tk287cm7ophn4v7mu6gjfpremimc1@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>it was not nearly so easy to listen to hams on SSB with the SWL sets of
>>the time as it was to listen to hams using AM.
>
>If an SWL couldn't figure out how to use a BFO to listen to SSB I
>doubt that he would make it as a ham back then...

I couldn't figure it out, but I "made it as a ham" in 1967.
>
>>It was $112 bucks or so for the rig itself,
>>then another $30-40 for the power supply.
>
>I didn't get the power supply. I made one from old TV set parts. It
>sported a couple of 5U4s. Great regulation...   :-)

Yup.
>
>>And what you got was an SSB-only monoband rig
>
>I bought some parts (crystals and coils) from Heathkit that were
>designed for the 80 and 20 meter models. I used a few switches and
>presto it was a tri-band rig: 80, 40 and 20 meters...

That idea was made into an aftermarket kit by another company. Funny that Heath
didn't pick up on it and sell a triband HW-?2
>
>>that needed a 1:1 SWR (or close to it) to work.
>
>That was easily solved by putting a broadcast receiver type 360
>micky-mike (remember that word?) variable cap across the output which
>made it into a pi net, and then it would load almost anything...

It WAS a pinet - a fixed one.
>
>>On the other hand, a Novice could add a modulator to his MOPA CW rig and go
>on

>>AM easily and cheaply.
>
>I'll agree that AM was cheaper (using used old used gear). I was just
>saying that SSB didn't have to be all that expensive...

Sure - if you knew what you were doing and were willing to go whole-hog. But one of the real virtues of the old technologies and license structure was the incremental approach.

First, a prospective ham got a shortwave receiver and strung up some sort of antenna. Using that setup, the newcomer learned the code and got a feel for amateur procedures, propagation, and stuff like that. If the receiver was homemade or converted surplus, the newcomer learned some theory and practical skills in the process of building/converting it.

Once the new ham passed the Novice exam, it was time to set up a transmitter and T/R system, as well as an antenna that could transmit if that hadn't been doen already. There was a wait of many weeks between passing the tests and getting the license, too. The transmitter was usually quite simple because the Novice privileges were so limited.

When the Novice upgraded, he/she could take advantage of the new privileges by adding a VFO and possibly a modulator to the transmitter.

Improvements could be done incrementally at any time - better receiver, more powerful transmitter, etc. Sure, the gear was big and clunky and basic, but the new ham had a chance of understanding how it worked and in many cases had built or converted all or much of it, learning a lot in the process.

The SSB transceiver era changed all that.
>
>>Some folks used cathode or screen modulation, which
>>could be added for almost nothing. Sure, the efficiency was awful, but it
>got
>
>Remember the old Heathkit DX35 and it's "controlled carrier" (screen)
>modulation? I think it measured in the neighborhood of 25 watts AM.
>That really didn't cut it...

Actually it was more on the order of 10-15 watts carrier output on a good day. The DX-40 and DX-60 used the same system. I had a DX-20 for a time, then a Viking Adventurer, plus various homebrew and surplus rigs. Learned a lot from each one. Knew every wire and component in them by the time I sold or traded them away.
>
>>$4 was not a small fee in 1963. Many newcomers were teenagers, and the
>minimum
>>wage was maybe $1. So we're talking 4-5 hours minimum wage to take the test,
>>pass or fail. What's 4-5 hours of minimum wage today? More than $20.
>
>Do you really think that $20 would stop a teenager today from becoming
>a ham if that's what he wanted?

It would slow some of them down, particularly if the price was formerly $0. And
if the test was not passed, it was "come back in 31 days and try again. Bring
another $4, too."

>Have you priced some of the teenage toys these days...

I'm not there yet...
>
>BTW I was making $88.25 a week at Motorola in 1963 with a wife and
>soon to be kid. That $112 for the SSB rig was hard to cough up, but
>heck what's more important anyway... :-)

There ya go. You paid 127% of a week's wages for that thing. How much would
that be in today's money?
>
>>how many hams do you think went to Woodstock?
>
>Dunno. But I think the Woodstock populace might be an improvement over
>some of the characters I see at the ham swapmeets here...   :-)

I'm not sure what you mean. One thing I know about the hamfest crowds - they
are NOT necessarily a cross-section of th ham population. Many of us have other
obligations on a Sunday morning.

Most of the reasons I cited were not of my own creation. They came from a 1963
QST editorial.
>
>>Some folks moaned back then that "ham radio was dying" because
>>there were only 250,000 hams and they were all getting older. And the new
>gear
>>was too complex, the tests were too hard, the ARRL was ruining everything,
>>etc., etc. Sound familiar?
>
> Yeah, but it's all true now,
> (except for the tests being hard)...   :-)
>
I got my Extra in 1970 because I wanted all privileges and I figured that the
tests would not get easier. Ah, the folly of youth.....

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -6982311563060515322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5868104fc9089d9d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5868104fc9089d9d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,5868104fc9089d9d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5868104fc9089d9d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5868104fc9089d9d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 22:20:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!
network
From: bummer-radio@licensing.org (smiley o'riley)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: How do I cancel my League membership?
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 06:16:51 GMT
Organization: freedom
Lines: 5
Message-ID: <goodbye@goodbye>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.35.bb.ca
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 06:20:36 GMT
X-Newsreader: News Xpress 2.01
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:17295
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9321 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12337
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15464 rec.radio.shortwave:27086

I find I can no longer support the ARRL by being a member. What's the best
way to cancel a membership?

TNX 73 de Smiley

From 4861171707271007105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 22:23:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <48bc8tsvp94f20mba0ee781scads5lof5g@4ax.com>
References: <8qq98tk287cm7ophn4v7mu6gjfpremimc1@4ax.com>
<20010211003318.10105.00001491@nso-bh.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 06:23:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.201
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981872604 209.86.205.201 (Sat, 10 Feb

2001 22:23:24 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Feb 2001 22:23:24 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15465

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>You paid 127% of a week's wages for that thing [Heathkit SSB rig].

That SSB rig was cheaper than most of the available
new AM gear of the day...

>How much would that be in today's money?

It certainly points up the fact that ham rigs (in real dollars) are
much less expensive today...

>Most of the reasons I cited were not of my own creation.
>They came from a 1963 QST editorial.

A little late for the credits... :-)

>I got my Extra in 1970 because I wanted all privileges and I figured that the
>tests would not get easier. Ah, the folly of youth.....

I'm still waiting. At the present rate of change I should have my
privileges back in a few years free gratis... :-)

From -8800942567680377630
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 22:31:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!usenet
From: "Buzzsaw" <Catch Me.@edu.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: How do I cancel my League membership?
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 01:33:08 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - http://www.altopia.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <965bke$ko7$0@dosa.alt.net>
References: <goodbye@goodbye>

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:17296
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9324 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12340
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15466 rec.radio.shortwave:27087

Buy a CB....

"smiley o'riley" <bummer-radio@licensing.org> wrote in message
news:goodbye@goodbye...
>
> I find I can no longer support the ARRL by being a member. What's the
best
> way to cancel a membership?
>
> TNX 73 de Smiley

From 5150716684625290625
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 23:05:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!194.176.220.129!
newsfeed.icl.net!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <goodbye@goodbye>
Subject: Re: How do I cancel my League membership?
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <UPqh6.44$sp6.208@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 00:56:27 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.240.218.15
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 981875060 208.240.218.15 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001 01:04:20

CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 01:04:20 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:17297
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9325 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12342
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15467 rec.radio.shortwave:27091

Try writing them a nice letter stating, due to diminished mental ability resulting in your involuntary commitment to a state mental hospital, that you are no longer able to function as a reasoning amateur radio operator, so would they please cancel your membership and return any refund so you can pay for the electro-shock treatments. I'm sure the League will be very understanding.

73,
Mark

"smiley o'riley" <bummer-radio@licensing.org> wrote in message
news:goodbye@goodbye...
>
> I find I can no longer support the ARRL by being a member. What's the
best
> way to cancel a membership?
>
> TNX 73 de Smiley

From 56383151444676871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-10 23:52:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: 11 Feb 2001 07:52:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <965gb8$2j1$0@208.207.71.162>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu> <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net> <961c67$bhc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A84C85A.F68FD2DA@ovis.net> <964n0s$utc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.162
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15468



Brian wrote:
>
> I
> Jim Kelley thinks I have nothing to say, you say I speak "volumes."  Why
> don't you two huddle, then get back to me.  I'm almost curious which way
> you'll decide.


 Well? When someone speaks volumes and still says nothing.......


From 2750120980812540681
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 00:15:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 08:14:18 GMT
References: <goodbye@goodbye>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: How do I cancel my League membership?
Message-ID: <20010211031418.21689.00000459@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15469

>From: bummer-radio@licensing.org  (smiley o'riley)

>I find I can no longer support the ARRL by being a member.  What's the best
>way to cancel a membership?

Contact the Circulation Department at ARRL HQ.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -873749380814180818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 00:21:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.32.206.55!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 11 Feb 2001 08:05:52 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <965h50$hou$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <t83rrg5hedt400@corp.supernews.com>
<eFog6.25402$dC2.713601@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
<t896923k2b7a9f@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 981878752 18206 128.171.44.55 (11 Feb 2001 08:05:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Feb 2001 08:05:52 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9329
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12344 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15470 rec.radio.cb:25942
rec.radio.scanner:13635

In article <t896923k2b7a9f@corp.supernews.com>, h <not@aol.com> wrote:
>Lucky for me I grew up in a country where BRAVE MEN decided to break some
>laws in the year 1776!

Well, Ken, some time ago on rec.radio.cb, someone was encouraging the "gang" to use a particular group of HF frequencies for their free-banding. I thought the list of frequencies looked familiar, then it struck me that he had listed the trans-Pacific and trans-Atlantic HF air-to-ground channels that Aeronautical Radio, Inc., uses to track long-range commercial airline passenger flights. When I pointed that out to him, explaining that he could possibly be endangering lives by encouraging HF free-banders to utilize those frequencies, I was flamed to a crisp.

Break whatever laws you desire, but in doing so, don't infringe upon other people's welfare and well-being.

Jeff KH6O

From 4401431200289027998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 00:21:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 08:20:49 GMT
References: <hl1c8tohgfgv8gjs4gdmdncnq8kpptf9cr@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211032049.21689.00000461@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15471

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>I said that I think that most hams of the *50's* could fix their own
>gear. Things had somewhat changed by the 80's.

Okay, as long as we agree that we are discussing a 20-year old "problem."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -3153729258827678040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 00:22:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 08:21:32 GMT
References: <hl1c8tohgfgv8gjs4gdmdncnq8kpptf9cr@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211032132.21689.00000462@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15472

>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com

>Am I the average ham...

No one knows for sure. :-)

73,
```

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1778931218272214459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 00:29:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 08:28:27 GMT
References: <963s5m$qsa$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211032827.21689.00000463@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15473

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>????? Wake up, Ed. He compared a 5 watt CW signal with a KW SSB.

If the only way he can make his point is by using a technically unfair comparison, I don't believe he has made it very well.

>That means that CW is the less robust mode? When you can clearly copy a
>CW signal at levels where SSB voice is totally unintelligible?

Then why the objection to sharing spectrum space with SSB signals?

>Fellow, you're beginning to sound like this is getting to you, you're
>losing your technical edge. Why?

I believe that OOK CW is more "robust" than SSB, but was noting that saying that a CW signal cannot be copied in the presence of an SSB signal is saying that it is not. I don;t know why some feel they need to tear down the value of CW in
order to "save" it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6525495374142035733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 00:30:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!
jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: How do I cancel my League membership?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 11 Feb 2001 08:18:20 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <965hsc$icd$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <goodbye@goodbye>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 981879500 18829 128.171.44.55 (11 Feb 2001 08:18:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Feb 2001 08:18:20 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:17300
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9330 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12345
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15474 rec.radio.shortwave:27103

smiley o'riley <bummer-radio@licensing.org> wrote:
>I find I can no longer support the ARRL by being a member. What's the best
>way to cancel a membership?
>TNX 73 de Smiley

```
        0  2  4  6  8  10
                       /
                      /
                     /
                    /
                   /
                  /
                 /
                /
         TROLL-O-METER
        (courtesy K0HB)
```

Jeff KH6O

From -5146697546185112979
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 00:37:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 08:36:49 GMT
References: <963mc6$cp1$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211033649.21689.00000464@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15475

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> It really in thoughtful of manufacturers to see to it that CW mode is
preserved
> on all these newly manufactured rigs, though,

I sure hope they continue.

>they must know what Real Hams like.

"Real hams" don't need to use the term "Real Hams." They understand that the
term is insultive and not in the spirit of ham radio. I know you know this.

73,
Ed Ha

From -6722648989653908108
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 00:54:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 11 Feb 2001 08:54:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 13
Message-ID: <965k02$chh$0@208.207.71.162>
References: <hl1c8tohgfgv8gjs4gdmdncnq8kpptf9cr@4ax.com>
<20010211032049.21689.00000461@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.162
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15476

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>
> >I said that I think that most hams of the *50's* could fix their own
> >gear. Things had somewhat changed by the 80's.
>
> Okay, as long as we agree that we are discussing a 20-year old "problem."

Yep, the Question Pools are pushin' 20....

From -2426560026805536505
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 03:50:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!mozo.cc.purdue.edu!not-for-mail
From: SkyKing <gyewug@egfurg.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: How do I cancel my League membership?
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 06:43:28 -0500
Organization: Purdue University
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <kluc8t8bjda7bhpitj6pshordjdhghdla6@4ax.com>
References: <goodbye@goodbye> <20010211031418.21689.00000459@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mathg139pc2.cc.purdue.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: mozo.cc.purdue.edu 981891802 12842 128.210.135.235 (11 Feb 2001 11:43:22
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@news.purdue.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 11:43:22 +0000 (UTC)
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15477

```
On 11 Feb 2001 08:14:18 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: bummer-radio@licensing.org  (smiley o'riley)
>
>>I find I can no longer support the ARRL by being a member.  What's the best
>>way to cancel a membership?

Send them more money...
In fact, sign up for a lifetime membership...
That'll show'em!




From 8533759535511266863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 04:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!
nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!newspeer1.nac.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 12:14:55 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <965vnt$rsu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu> <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net> <961c67$bhc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A84C85A.F68FD2DA@ovis.net> <964n0s$utc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<965gb8$2j1$0@208.207.71.162>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.144.16.60
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 12:14:55 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x70.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.144.16.60
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15478

In article <965gb8$2j1$0@208.207.71.162>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > I
> > Jim Kelley thinks I have nothing to say, you say I speak "volumes."
 Why
> > don't you two huddle, then get back to me.  I'm almost curious which
way
```

> > you'll decide.
>
> Well? When someone speaks volumes and still says nothing.......

You were saying....?

Dick, you've got to get over thinking that you are the El Supremo
Commandant of the ARS. You are but one licensee. Go enjoy that license
before it expires.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -4442581842305812747
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:00:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!64.154.60.72!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:00:20 GMT
References: <20010211123750.16594.00006426@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211140020.24870.00000412@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15529

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>>I believe the FCC should always make liberal use of grandfathering
>>>of privileges, just so hams like myself, who don't have a problem
>>>accepting a challenge, don't have to be bothered to listen to the
>>>whining!

>>Would it also put an end to your whining about whining?

>Gee, Ed, that sounded like a whine to me! Individuals who reside in
>vitreous abodes should refrain from hurling aggregate projectiles!

This could go on forever. Rather than whining about your whining about my
whining about your whining about their whining, I will let you have the last
word about whining.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -4132918697292350876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:05:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:04:43 GMT
References: <20010211123747.16594.00006421@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010211140443.24870.00000414@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15530

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Is whether they are League members really more important to you than the
>fact
>>that there are 37,980 new hams?

>No, it means nothing to me, actually. However, it had damn well
>better mean something to you! I'm not the one who makes his living
>working at ARRL HQ -- you are!

Okay, so you were worried about me. Thanks, but I really can fend for myself. My salary is not tied in to the number of members ARRL has. Whether I have a job or not might be, but I don't think I would be the first to go. Any concerns I have about ARRL membership are tied in to the things that ARRL would have to stop doing if the membership base were to decrease significantly. Every year, there are things that I would like to see funded that cannot be funded. Those members would go a long way to making that happen. But over and above that, I think that the health of the Amateur Radio Service depends on more than the health of the ARRL, don't you?

But to reassure you, if I were to lose my job because too few hams chose to be ARRL members, I would be okay; I am reasonably sure I could secure employment elsewhere.

But thanks for thinking of me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -7788938678821144526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:07:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:06:45 GMT
References: <20010211123749.16594.00006425@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211140645.24870.00000417@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15531
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>>In any scenario where CW subbands are shared with phone
>>>signals, CW will tend to lose out. Its only natural.

>>Then you believe that CW is the less-robust mode.

>No, I believe you're a wanker if you can't understand a simple statement
>like the one I made above!

I understood what you said, I just couldn't make any sense out of it. How can a mode be "more robust" than another, but lose out when it goes head to head with the other mode in shared spectrum?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -6618722348726992351
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,356d588770853894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:12:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!
```

portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:12:06 GMT
References: <20010211111755.16594.00006371@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Calling the "Roll"
Message-ID: <20010211141206.24870.00000419@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15532

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Happy New Year, Everyone (No, I'm not back)...I needed to see if I could
>>find Phil Kane's email address.

>Happy New Year to you, Kim -- now, don't let the door hit you in
>the ass on your way out!

Thanks for the blast from the past. I think the last time I heard that one for real was junior high school.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9024992651825409475
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:15:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:05:09 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A86E265.E414650A@IEEE.org>
References: <20010211123749.16594.00006424@nso-cg.aol.com>
<20010211125234.20170.00000389@ng-fc1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.63
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:15:02 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15533

W1RFI wrote:
> Do you really believe that ARRL employees should not enjoy the same free-speech rights as other US citizens?

Nocoders have no code experience so their opinions about Morse code don't matter. Non-Extras are not fast enough at Morse code to have a valid opinion. Post April 15 Extras didn't prove their Morse code skill so they also have no right to post their personal opinions. Members of NCI shouldn't post their personal opinions. ARRL employees shouldn't post their personal opinions. See the pattern? Anyone who disagrees with Larry on the subject of Morse code testing should not post their personal opinions. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -2881345134506468825
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:16:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:15:41 GMT
References: <966a7g$qj2$0@208.206.142.85>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211141541.24870.00000420@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15534

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>And I said nothing whatever about 1963. The selftrained radio engineers came considerably earlier in most cases, the people who were the creators of much of what we know as "radio". Many, even most had no engineering training at all.

So Amateur Radio has been "ruined" for over 40 years now? I am curious, Dick; how long has ham radio been down the tubes?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3194212337551660364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:18:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:18:20 GMT
References: <9668kb$20b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010211141820.24870.00000421@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15535

>From: Brian brianburke@my-deja.com

>Hello Ed. I've notice that Steve uses a lot of CAPITALIZATION in his
>posts. Do you have any thoughts on that? Thanks, Brian

I would imagine that most people who use all caps do so to emphasize.

I think that those who use a capitilization only on the first character of a word do so to give it an Importance that it wouldn't otherwise have.

If you want to know the real reason that Steve uses capitalization, you could ask him. His email address is k4yz@aol.com. His answer would be more accurate than my speculation.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7891934533809606637
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:19:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:08:41 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A86E339.CC4BB223@IEEE.org>
References: <20010210075913.23230.00000027@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010211123751.16594.00006427@nso-cg.aol.com>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.63
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:16:02 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15536

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Please give up the Cecil Moore impersonation, Ed, it doesn't become you.

I don't recall ever calling the mandatory Morse code testing program an
"affirmative action" program, Larry. But if mandatory Morse code testing
is not an affirmative program for Morse code, exactly what is it?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -8197546963226241883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:22:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:22:00 GMT
References: <966e68$8sv$0@208.206.142.85>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211142200.24827.00000451@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15537

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >> I subscribe to the concept that more hams need to learn the fundamentals
>of
>> >> modern radio technology.

>"Hams
>can learn the bsics of ..........". Which is it?

I do admit that I don't repeat it word for word every time, but let me try it
again:

I believe that amateurs should learn the basic principles of modern
communications technlogy.
```

I will save this in a file so that the next time you ask me to repeat it, I
will do word for word to prevent you from continuing to be confused by it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7278937943924405366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:14:12 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A86E484.482163C5@IEEE.org>
References: <3A856CB6.DA7C20E4@IEEE.org> <20010211123753.16594.00006430@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.63
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:21:33 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15538

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >So you are saying the value of the return on investment for Morse code
> >is too low for the cost/effort involved?

> No, I didn't say that -- you did!

Sure seems to me that is what you are saying when you say CW cannot
succeed by standing on its own merits without an affirmative action
program. Either the ROI is there or it's not. If the ROI is there,
we don't need an affirmative action program. If the ROI is not there,
it doesn't deserve an affirmative action program.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -3818798685214356708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:24:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:23:37 GMT
References: <966e68$8sv$0@208.206.142.85>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211142337.24827.00000453@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15539

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> But to insist that SSB be abaindoned in favor of digitized voice on
>HF-whatever the mode, just becase it is technically possible, is
>ludicrous and most hams know it

Yes, it it ludicrous, Dick; that is why I never said it.

It is very difficult to converse with you when you make up things then take issue with me for saying them.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4895440696109327728
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:39:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:29:11 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A86E807.F9C6141@IEEE.org>
References: <3A85712F.B4500C74@IEEE.org> <20010211123752.16594.00006429@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.63

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:39:39 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15540

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The Morse/CW mode continued to survive and flourish only due to
> the code testing requirement.

I disagree and you cannot possibly know that for certain. I learned
Morse code in Cub Scouts because it was fun. It has been fun for
me for 52 years. I have never used CW or needed to use CW for an
emergency. I seriously doubt that I will ever use CW for an
emergency. Why don't you stop telling everyone what a pain in the
arse it was for you to learn Morse code and promote the fun aspect
of the mode with a little positive thinking?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5356097036601274179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7fd5d51cdd6b0501,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:40:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.deja.com!
nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: New Zealand protest to FCC - Code Discriminates
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 19:33:55 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 120
Message-ID: <966pf4$emm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.180.3.168
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 19:33:55 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.0; Windows 98; DigExt)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.1 x56.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 209.180.3.168
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDk0hb
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15541

Just re-read Fred W5YI's CQ article about "code is discriminatory",
based on comments to the FCC from NCI enthusiasts in New Zealand.

And now r.r.a.p. and NCI present a special documentary on the ZL
training effort for the upcoming $alt Lake City Olympic$.

We see a training field with various athletes engaged in various physical

routines. Standing before camera is REPORTER.

R: Good afternoon. The activities which you see behind me are the training exploits of the cr�me de la cr�me of the New Zealand Olympic aspirants. These fine young physical specimens have inspired the confidence of the masses for the upcoming Games. However, this silver cloud has a dark lining. There has recently been a great deal of controversy over the nature of the ZL Olympic team, and allegations have arisen that physically handicapped people are being seriously underrepresented in the upcoming Games. Charges of discrimination are being pressed upon the ZL Olympic Advisory Committee.

Camera changes to INTERVIEWEE 1, speaking into visible mike. Subtitles flash "Arthur Chesterton, Kiwi Society for the Prevention of Human Discrimination." I1 wears a sand-colored coat and a porkpie hat.

I1: It's blatant discrimination, that's what it is! The Olympic Games and Morse Code tests are unfair to athletes who have any physical handicap, or don't want to learn Morse.

R: But there are those who have competed successfully even with physical handicaps.

I1: Yes, but they weren't blind, or on crutches, or confined to a wheelchair.

R: But don't the nature of the games preclude the participation of anyone who falls into one of those categories?

I1: That's what I mean! It's blatant favoritism and unfair attitudes toward those who don't meet the standards.

R: But is there any need for those who don't fit the standards to compete in the games in the first place?

I1: Well, there's pride! Besides, if the games are unfair to handicapped people, perhaps they should be altered so that handicapped people would be able to participate.

R: But some say that the spirit of competition would be weakened by removing the stringent standards which have been set.

I1: Spirit of competition my eye! It's all commercialism and political hype, anyhow -- who would notice a few more players on the field? Er, so to speak.

Camera changes to INTERVIEWEE 2, also speaking into mike. Subtitles flash "Stanley Greenburt -- New Zealand Olympic Advisory

Board." I2 wears a typical business suit.

I2: The entire situation is ludicrous.

R: How so?

I2: Well, the spirit of the Games is being undermined by people who are obviously envious of those who are better gifted. Handicapped people have no place on the playing field. The Olympic Games represent the peak of physical perfection: tall, handsome, muscular athletes, their auburn hair waving in the breeze... their bare tanned arms glistening in the sun... their flimsy shorts delicately fluttering over their tight buttocks... Scene changes back to R at training field.

R: The controversy still rages, but already handicapped people have in fact begun training for the Olympic Games.

Camera shows person in a wheelchair rolling along a high-diving board, teetering at the edge, falling into the swimming pool, and failing to resurface. Bubbles rise. Switch to a blind person hurling a discus which sails into a nearby garbage can. Another blind person hurls a javelin which hits a passing person in the chest. Camera switches to deaf runners at a starting line. The gun goes off, but nobody moves. Switch to another person in a wheelchair holding a shotput, leaning back to throw it, being caught off-balance, and falling over backwards. Judges measure the distance the shotput rolls.

VOICE-OVER: Already have handicapped athletes begun the long and torturous task of training for the Olympic Games. The trainees shown here only represent a small fraction of the total number of the eager, gutsy individuals who have the courage to grasp for their wildest goals. Of these, perhaps a lucky few will go on to the actual Olympic Games. Hopes are high, as are spirits. This is indeed a chronicle of sheer heroism, a shining example to the youth of our nation. Truly shall this be a great legacy of pride.

Scene changes to R at ski slopes of Salt Lake City Utah.

R: At this time a blind ski team has entered the Winter Olympics in Salt Lake City, Utah. In order to illustrate their fine, nay, artistic, performing abilities, we now show you a film of their achievements, played to the music of Johann Strauss' "Blue Danube Waltz."

Roll clip with "Blue Danube" background music. Ski team, with dark glasses and white canes, performs all sorts of humorous stunts and ends up with a variety of fates, e.g. sailing off edge of cliff, running into trees or boulders, crashing into each other, plowing into crowds of people, smashing into judges' stand [7.8, 8.1, 7.4], and so on.

```
Total run time about 35 seconds.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/


From 8980864593134666451
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:47:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:36:55 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A86E9D7.58DF787@IEEE.org>
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211084201.16173.00000427@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <966e68$8sv$0@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.63
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:46:35 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15542

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Cecil is dead wrong when he says that DSP is the SSB of the 50's. It
> just isn't so.
>  But to insist that SSB be abaindoned in favor of digitized voice on
> HF-whatever the mode, just becase it is technically possible, is
> ludicrous ...

Uhhhhhhhhh Dick, DSP handles SSB just fine. DSP doesn't mean the end
of SSB - it means enhanced SSB.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -1716951275192960019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5868104fc9089d9d
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:53:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.icl.net!
diablo.netcom.net.uk!netcom.net.uk!news-hub.cableinet.net!uunet!ash.uu.net!
spool1.news.uu.net!spool0.news.uu.net!reader2.news.uu.net!not-for-mail
From: QSB@QRM-QRN.net (Allodoxaphobia)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: How do I cancel my League membership?
References: <goodbye@goodbye>
Reply-To: If You Reply <use_the_adr_in_my_sig@the.bottom>
Message-ID: <slrn98drcd.6ov.QSB@animas.frontier.net>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:52:46 GMT
Lines: 14
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.105.232.12
X-Trace: reader2.news.uu.net 981921166 2432 63.105.232.12
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:17336
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9354 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12402
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15543 rec.radio.shortwave:27169

On Sun, 11 Feb 2001 06:16:51 GMT, smiley o'riley scribbled:
>
>I find I can no longer support the ARRL by being a member.  What's the best
>way to cancel a membership?

Start by trolling in rec.radio.amateur.policy *only*.
rec.radio.amateur.antenna doesn't need this banality.
(It has enough of its own  --  regrettably.)

Jonesy
--
  | Marvin L Jones       | jonz            |  W3DHJ   |  OS/2
  |  Gunnison, Colorado  |  @              |  Jonesy  |  linux   __
  |   7,703' -- 2,345m   |   frontier.net  |  DM68mn             SK


From 5284947613436314567
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:53:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
```

Lines: 118
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:52:12 GMT
References: <964v3s$57i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010211145212.16762.00001696@nso-mp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15544

In article <964v3s$57i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Steven J Robeson, K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com> writes:

> Again, like your "views" of Ham Radio, you look from the outside
>in and make poorly substantiated judgements on that. Oh well. Nothing
>we haven't become accustomed to.

The "we-we" is being shaken again...

"Looking from the outside in" is what about 300 million Americans do towards US amateur radio. Using such a phrase implies some secrecy in US amateur radio. Unfortunately, for you or your "we," amateur radio is not secret, nor exclusive, nor mystical, nor religious. It is one of the most transparent of all hobbies, clear to anyone with a receiver within range of amateur transmissions.

That "outsiders" are somehow ignorant of activities, interests, or technology is itself an ignorant statement...or it presupposes a false and misleading sense of "royalty" by those licensed in the amateur radio service. Activities, interests, technology, and even individuals are well documented in publications of the ARRL, CQ Communications, and 73 magazine in addition to other newstand publications. All US amateur transmission modes must be "in clear" (without encryption or intent to deceive) by law.

Radio itself is a known technology, merely 105 years in existance since the first two radio communications done in 1896. The public is aware that radio in many forms exists, everything from two-way vehicular business radios to satellite relay communications. There is nothing exclusive to modes, methods, standards, in amateur radio that has not already been done in other radio services. Perhaps only the jargon and terminology pertinent to amateur radio's evolution is exclusive. Certainly the Belief Systems at work in amateur radio is exclusive. The Urban Myths propagated by certain self-centered amateurs as generalities of activity, basis, or purpose are all most exclusive and only self-serving, self-prideful.

One of the most damning of all implications is that no one else "knows anything about amateur radio" until one is licensed in that radio service. The only federal agency that regulates and licenses radio amateurs in the United States does NOT require any staff or Commissioners to hold amateur radio licenses. The FCC sets regulations, again by law, but they are not required to be a part of amateur radio. Why is there an assumption or implication that "outsiders know nothing at all," therefore they cannot be a part of any rule, regulation, or policy establishment?

Another misstatement is that amateur radio is a "service" to the nation. Throughout Title 47 the word "service" is a regulatory term refering to the type and kind of radio in various Parts of all US civil radio regulations. While amateur radio MAY provide an actual service during civil emergencies, US amateur radio is not defined with a specific purpose of providing emergency communications. In actual emergencies, all citizens, amateur radio licensees or not, are expected to come to the aid of their community or the nation as a whole Amateur radio in the US is stated to be an activity of and about communications for personal interest without monetary compensation. In a short form, it is a hobby.

Some individuals become so wrapped up in a hobby that it becomes a lifestyle, even a reason for existance. That is not a requirement for all, indeed most amateur radio enthusiasts view amateur radio as just a secondary activity for fun and relaxation. Why then should a hobby be structured in regulation as if it were a guild, trade, or even military service? The "incentive plan" structure of US amateur radio licensing was a rather thinly disguised aprenticeship-journeyman-master guild structure having no less than five "ranks" complete with "privileges" in the EM spectrum and with modes of operation. The names even carried emotional overtones of the supposed skill of the licensee, no doubt pleasing to those of "higher" rank who could look down on "lesser" classes.

It is false and misleading to say that anyone not licensed in the US amateur radio service "knows nothing" about amateur radio...until they are "properly" licensed. That is the statement of mysticsm, of religious fervor in a quasi-religious belief, of exclusivity and elitism that is self-serving, pompous, and arrogant on the part of the claimant. It is both emotional and puerile. It is not a statement of pride but rather denial or possibly insecurity.

>   I already DO have "bragging rights", Lennie. In more than one
\>field of endeavour.

This is yet another statement of puerile pomposity. If the only purpose of US amateur radio licensing is to establish "bragging rights" or "superiority" then it has obviously succeeded for some. Neither bragging rights nor superiority is part of the Basis and Purpose stated in Part 97...yet it is stated often by those who feel threatened by loss of rank, status, and privileges due to regulation changes. To those who prize rank, status, and privilege above all else in US amateur radio, who revere tradition with an almost fedayin fervor, newcomers or "ordinary" hobbyists are forgotten as "unimportant" or "lesser beings." In the whole of national regulation necessary in all of civil radio, that is a narrowminded selfish viewpoint which does not logically apply to regulations.

> G'night, Lennie. Mrs A has your dose of Geritol ready.

Again, typical of Robeson's remarks, a personal negative comment having nothing to do with the subject thread. It is an emotional outburst of someone who cannot control himself nor has the stamina or courage to argue a subject on the subject's merits or demerits. If that is the majority opinion of already-licensed US radio amateurs, then there is little hope for the survival of US amateur radio. Indeed, such a majority opinion does not belong in a civilized society. It belongs in barbarian cultures whose democratic principles are settled in school-yard fighting, only the physically-strongest surviving. Proponents do not understand that they may survive to be older and targets of the same taunts they now champion. They gain nothing.

didit

From -135223206457538765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:53:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:52:13 GMT
References: <3A8611A9.F6CCB653@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010211145213.16762.00001697@nso-mp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15545

In article <3A8611A9.F6CCB653@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>That's a real knee slapper, Len! Keep the yuks coming. Have any
>amateur radio related material?

Just the material that started this thread...newcomers to US

amateur radio who were either code-tested or not code-tested.
An Extra (N2EY) already commented on the subject...without
making a bunch of nonsense about who started it.

What amateur radio related material do you have? More
insults and deprecations of those who might be interested
in US amateur radio?

Or are you going into some diatribe of those who do not
believe in the US ARS policies as you do? Or are you simply
an unpleasant person towards those who might be interested
in a newer, more modern policy about and for the US ARS?

didit

```
From -1467591688877987295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 11:59:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:48:32 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3A86EC90.D6DB2B30@IEEE.org>
References: <20010210074801.23230.00000022@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010211123749.16594.00006425@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.63
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 19:58:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15546
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20010210074801.23230.00000022@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >
> >>In any scenario where CW subbands are shared with phone
> >>signals, CW will tend to lose out. Its only natural.
> >
> >Then you believe that CW is the less-robust mode.
>

> No, I believe you're a wanker if you can't understand a simple statement
> like the one I made above!

Well, I don't believe your statement is true, Larry. I hear hams checking into SSB nets when they cannot be heard on SSB. The CW signal stands out from the SSB signals plus noise. After all, CW has a double digit dB S/N advantage over SSB. A five watt CW signal is like a 150+ watt SSB signal.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -4506333391016186149
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 12:05:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A86F06A.14C7B866@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 123
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 15:04:58 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981921906 209.140.206.201 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001 15:05:06 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 15:05:06 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15547
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
>   THAT is the challenge. I and othes have raised it several times here
> in recent years, to do it, and explain what you're doing, or get off the
> soapbox. So far I've seen nothing that I would call progress. A single
> text explaining in simnple detail, it that is possible, the basics of
> the new technologis would go far toward educating the masses of hams.

Such texts exist, but one does have to read them. Have you? If not, you're being disingenuous when you say that nothing has been done. If you have read them and found them wanting, some direct, specific feedback to the publisher or authors would be a positive contribution -- certainly more positive than disparaging the efforts of those who are trying to educate hams about current technology.

> Most I know would spring a few bucks for such a boot. If they could find
> it.

Spread Spectrum fundamentals:
http://www.arrl.org/catalog/?item=3177

There have been several treatments of DSP techniques. See:
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/dsp.html#signals
and the ARRL Handbook.

Yes, more is needed, and more will be forthcoming.

> Instead all they get is criticism.

The only ones who get criticism are those who repeatedly disparage the efforts of the folks who are promoting new techniques by claiming that those folks have an "Agenda" that simply doesn't exist.

> Please
> > tell us about the much larger group you have interacted with and why they are
> > so very different from the hams I have met.

Because, Dick, you tend to meet prinicipally those hams who are interested in the same things you are since you meet them in the course of doing what interests you. That gives you a biased view of what hams think, just as those who stay away from the things that interest you tend to have opposite biases.

> Ed, I live out here among them, talk to hams almost daily, have
> hundreds of friends and contacts that have persisted for many years, and
> spend a LOT of time on the air, mostly listening to others. You on the
> other hand spend your time in the ivory towers of Newington.

I'm curious: How do you know how Ed spends his time?

Why don't you simply accept that reasonable people can evaluate similar information and reach different conclusions? It seems clear to me that you think there is an agenda to eliminate CW as a mode within ham radio by eliminating Morse testing, thus drying up the pool of CW operators. (Please correct me if I'm misstating your views.) Ed, on the other hand, has said that he thinks that the pool of operators will NOT dry up because of the inherent qualities of CW. (He'll correct me if I'm wrong about his opinions, I'm sure.) If Ed believes that, clearly he's not promoting any such agenda as you are claiming. Now, he may be wrong in what be thinks will happen, but if he is it's still an honestly held belief that CW will persist, not be eliminated.

> Surely you understand that the people that gravitate to your
> presentations at hamfests are the subset of the much larger crowd
> attending the event,those who happen to know you, or of you, and are
> particularily interested in what you have to say,but they represent a
> small percentage of the attendance.

If that were the only contact HQ staff has with hams your point would be valid. But it's not. Even at hamfests and conventions, there is actually much more individual contact than that -- on the floor of the hamfest, in the hallways, at lunches and dinners, etc. I don't get out to hamfests nearly as much as Ed does (or nearly as much as I used to), but I doubt I was ever at a hamfest that I didn't talk individually (not in a prepared speech) to at least 50 hams, and at the larger hamfests far more. And that's just the hamfests. Factor in the phone calls, emails and HQ visitors and the number is much larger. Plus, aside from his professional duties, Ed is quite active on the air, just like you, and thus has access to the same basic information source.

> Far more wouldn't know you from
> Adam, I'm sorry to say. Atg that, you're probabaly the best known
> Newingtonite.

If you're talking about rrap or RFI forums, true. But go to a DX forum and ask who at ARRL HQ they know best. Go to an emergency communications forum and ask who they know best. Go to an educational forum and ask who they know best. In none of those cases will Ed's name even come up. This is an example, Dick, of your misplaced belief that your own experience extrapolates neatly to ham radio as a whole. You fail to fully recognize that the experiences of others may be markedly different from your own and may lead to their holding different opinions. And that even those with similar experiences may come to different conclusions, all without having "Agendas" other than to enjoy and contribute to ham radio.

> Many hans just don't buy the assertion of many in your
> movement that ham radio must abandon our older but very reliable and
> utilitarian modes for no reason other than the fact that commercial
> engineeers must constantly come up with "new and improved" versions of
> everything, else they find themselves unemployed. Yes, we heard, "no one
> is
> insisting that we abandon anything...".

Then why not simply believe that?

> Just what does "modernize" mean
> as used in all the rhetoric, then?

Adding modern techniques to our amateur toolbox without abandoning old ones that we still find useful -- or even just enjoyable.

> That, to them, is the thrust of the
> urge to "modernize". What else could it mean? That just because there is
> utility in using spread spectrum techniques in many communications
> venues that we actually need it in ham radio? I don't think so, and
> neither do they.

Dick, why don't you just stick to telling us what you believe? You have no justification for attempting to speak for the rest of ham radio. Trying to strengthen your argument by claiming that unnamed masses agree with you is a weak rhetorical device.

Jon

```
From 6379564287114789056
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 12:06:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:55:28 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A86EE30.380FD7AD@IEEE.org>
References: <963p27$j9l$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211094932.16173.00000438@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.63
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 20:05:13 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15548

W1RFI wrote:
> >And we refuse to be intimidated or browbeat
> >by those who want their agenda to prevail.
>
> There's that "agenda" thing again.  Do you really think that the only reason I
> say that I believe more hams should learn the fundamentals of modern
> communications technology is to "get rid of the code?"  No offense, Dick, but
> that's pretty lame, too.

It's just a thinly veiled attempt to demonize you, me, and others who
disagree with his head-in-the-sand agenda-from-hell. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From -3559809392414498566
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 12:13:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 14:02:54 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A86EFEE.94C17072@IEEE.org>
References: <965uvl01g4e@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010211082757.16173.00000424@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.63
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 20:12:35 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15549

W1RFI wrote:
> Why, even our Cecil wanted to engage someone in a 5 wpm
> QSO in the DX windows, just because he can.

You must've missed the smiley face, Ed.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -2327991538175251199
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,356d588770853894
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 12:14:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A86F258.6DA5CA87@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Calling the "Roll"
References: <20010211111755.16594.00006371@nso-cg.aol.com>
<20010211141206.24870.00000419@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 15:13:12 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981922399 209.140.206.201 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001 15:13:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 15:13:19 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15550

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >
> >Happy New Year to you, Kim -- now, don't let the door hit you in
> >the ass on your way out!
>
> Thanks for the blast from the past. I think the last time I heard that one for
> real was junior high school.

They had doors way back then? :-)

Jon

From -2322940614673373920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 12:14:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: ben@url.com (ben)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Message-ID: <3a86f295.2844352@news.accessnv.com>
References: <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 15:11:54 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 20:14:36 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:981 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15551

It's only 5 wpm so any lazy ass ought to be able to pass it.

On Sun, 11 Feb 2001 17:30:47 GMT, "Jim Wayda"
<jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote:

>Ham Radio and Code.
>
>Has the archaic code requirement been removed from Ham Radio testing yet?
>
>-jim
>
>
>

>

```
From 3620184910822557561
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 12:17:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A86F31E.7AFB1F92@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
References: <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<3a86f295.2844352@news.accessnv.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 15:16:30 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 981922596 209.140.206.201 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001 15:16:36 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 15:16:36 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15552
```

ben wrote:
>
> It's only 5 wpm so any lazy ass ought to be able to pass it.

"Lazy ass?" Hmmm, should we replace QLF with QLC(heek)?

Jon

```
From -6699794533347948049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65b8c300917a6a65
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:03:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:03:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 151
```

Message-ID: <966une$jce$0@208.206.142.83>
References: <963p27$j9l$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211094932.16173.00000438@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15553

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >And the output of the Kachina is undistinguishable from a non-DSP rig.
> >You say we must do it because someone can.
>
> The Kachina is a good example of a software-controlled radio. Its DSP implementation is the start of what constitutes a software-defined radio.
>
> As DSP technology improves, and right now, it is good to at least 10 MHz, we are literally going to see software-to-antenna radios. They will be readily programmable to be any mode radio that we want. To make design changes, one will download new code. The FM, SSB, SS, data, digital voice or CW signals will be encoded and decoded right in software.
>
> In the long run, these radio techniques will increase flexibility and interoperability of radios. The FCC may very well make changes in the way that it allocates spectrum and operating authority as a result.
>
> Spread Spectrum is at least as revolutionary a change as was AM-SSB; the former was, at least initially, accomplished by taking an AM signal and running it through a filter. SS and digital voice are more different than SSB and FM than was AM/SSB. Using SS, and/or trunking techniques, the very way spectrum is used in the Amateur Radio Service could be changed. Instead of allocating frequency pairs that are unused most of the time, that block of spectrum could be accessed by multiple users, each with their own spreading codes, thus limiting the use of the "repeater" bands not by the number of potential users, but by the number of actual users. No more "no pairs available" and coordination difficulties. Not as sweeping a change as AM to SSB?

I can see some applications on VHF/UHF where SS could solve a few problems that have been politically knotty, and thus avoided by both FCC and ARRL. The repeater situation should have never been allowed to degenerate into the sort of situation where all pairs are tied up while there is mostly silence on those bands, and much of the activity one does hear makes you want to turn off your HT.

But of course your discussion above addresses VHF/UHF, and not HF where there is simply no reason to even consider such a change-unless it is considered to be necessary just because the modes in use on HF must be considered old and obsolete, thus unusable. That's an attitude attributable mostly to people who spend most of their time trying to change things whether or not they need changing instead of using HF ham radio.

> >No one **EVER** suggested that hams should refrain from learning about
> >new technolies ...
>
> > WE DO RESIST the continued assertion that we must "modernize" by
> > dumnping everything that interests us just because it might have been
> > around longer than the latest digital dream.
>
> I will speak only for myself, Dick; I have never suggested that you need to
> dump anything that interests you. I sure don't intend to stop using OOK CW.
> If you feel that I have suggested that you need to stop using CW, or even that
> you personally "must" learn new things, you should read my words more
> carefully. I have faith that hams will learn this technology if they are given
> some of the reasons why it is important that they do and given the resources to
> do so. Very few have taken this as "yelling" or as my telling that that they
> must dump what they are doing. Very, very few.

This little scrap has been ongoing far longer than I've been on usenet. I don't mean to attribute any particular part of it to you personally, just that you have shown that you agree with the thrust, that ham radio is derelict in having not widely adopted all those new modes which have done so well in commercial and government use. Overlooked is the widely diverging orientation between ham radio, especially HF, and those other services which have largely abandoned HF.

I believe the main reason for that is there is largely no need felt by most hams for ir, particularily on HF. And most of the spectral problems on VHF/UHF are because of outdated schemes and regulations instead of modal shortcomings. That doesn't mean that there is no benefit at all to making wholesale changes, just that there is no demonstraded need. The "installed base" principle DOES apply.

The political problems on VHF/UHF might be releived by changing to SS but look at the huge cost involved for the small benefit, and I really don't think most hams would benefit at all. I see it as merely "change for the sake of change". With the serious decline in use of much of the present repeater system having occurred I doubt if much activty would survive. Would I buy a completely new VHF rig to replace the FM rig that I rarely use now? I seriously doubt it, unless some new factor became involved. Replacing all the FM gear now in use is a huge project that I just don't see happening at all, wsithout some more compelling reason than just updating the technology. Just as it has not happened in most commercial and governmental organizations. I know that most Public Safety organizations have not changed to more modern modes and still use point to point FM and repeaters. Some of those that have have moved to trunked systems have had reason to regret it, at least at times.

The idea that a hugely complex system can be made to be so reliable that it won't fail is still a concept not yet realized.

> >We've listed dozens of other objects which are
> >also obsolete, and are still in universal use today, but that makes no
> >difference.
>
> Yes, they are in universal use today. How many of them are a mandatory part of
> testing for any priveleges, amateur or otherwise?

How many match the unique attributes of radiotelegraphy?

> >It is clear that the entire movement to "modernize" is nothing more
> >than another push to get rid of the code.
>
> Is that what you think that retired Dale Hatfield from the FCC was seeking to
> do?

Of course not. I see that my wording was a bit overly strong. On the other hand, I sure do believe that he has been greatly influenced by the stridency of people like Carl Stevenson. Don't you? After we've been flatly told that "a few people can change the world and just did"? After FCC near-totally ignored the ARRL BOD in the restructuring decision and gave NCI almost everything they
whined for and some they didn't?

> >And we refuse to be intimidated or browbeat
> >by those who want their agenda to prevail.
>
> There's that "agenda" thing again. Do you really think that the only reason I
> say that I believe more hams should learn the fundamentals of modern
> communications technology is to "get rid of the code?"

No, Ed, you're not nearly the main part of the problem. You're only a side feature of it, in that you have decided to agree to cave in to the shrillness that is total opposition to ANY Morse code operational test requirement. Evidently the entire ARRL heirachy has. The rest of it I see as being used mainly for justification.
Three or four years ago, not long after I first landed on this NG I said something about "Where's the beef? Why isn't there any gear available to actually use if these glitzy new modes are so great? When are some of the strident advocates going to put their expertise where their mouths are?"

If they are in fact all that beneficial and applicable to ham radio why are there NO renditions available today on the market, even as kits?

I looked over the TAPR website you gave, and yes indeed, I believe it is proper to add a few questions on that material to the question pools.

But the tests, instead of being reduced in scope and number of
questions, would be better expanded when including new material,
otherwise they actually are being reduced in scope of coverage.

From -3587603849271902087
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:05:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:02:11 GMT
References: <20010211140020.24870.00000412@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211160211.17176.00001628@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15554

In article <20010211140020.24870.00000412@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>This could go on forever. Rather than whining about your whining about my
>whining about your whining about their whining, I will let you have the last
>word about whining.

...serve no whine before its time...   :-)

didit

From 1497785551398797248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:05:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:02:13 GMT
References: <3a861468.186914703@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler

```
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010211160213.17176.00001630@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15555

In article <3a861468.186914703@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
writes:

>Squiggy doesn't have a fist, he couldn't communicate with dots &
>dashes if his life or anybody else's life depended on that ability, he
>advocates elimination of all subands, he advocates the use or wideband
>digital modes on the HF ham bands and he goes off on anti-cw rants at
>neighborhood ham club meetings (draws snores and doesn't go back). The
>only time I'd do dinner with him would be if he was the guest of honor
>and showed up on the platter with an apple stuffed in his mouth.
>>
>Get it yet?

Please, quit holding back and tell us how you REALLY feel...    :-)

didit



From -8253328053955237189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:06:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:02:12 GMT
References: <966d5j$53g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010211160212.17176.00001629@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15556

In article <966d5j$53g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
writes:

>In article <20010210145350.01651.00001391@nso-fp.aol.com>,
>  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>> In article <20010210003936.13655.00003462@nso-mq.aol.com>,
>yodoc@aol.comnospam
>> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>>
>> >Ah, yes, the 10-10 club.  You just ran into the very attitude which
>> >has made me an avid "no-phoner" ever since!  The Ten-Ten
```

>organization,
>> >and all of its drooling, toothless, slack-jawed, knuckle-dragging,
>> >mouth-breathing, bottom-feeding members are, collectively, proof
>> >that any idiot can pick up a microphone and talk -- and most
>> >idiots do!
>>
>> ...and some folks wonder why tRoll was beaten with a stick!
>>
>> :-)
>>
>> didit
>
>With a Ham Stick or a Terlin Sick (the one with the flailing "wander
>leads")?
>
>;)
>
>Len, better lighten up before the all the "Good Ops" start threatening
>to do you bodily harm.

Too late...they've already tried. One even threated to "remove my
ego" forcibly from the newsgroup according to private mail. :-)

didit

From -5449352682303729748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:06:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:02:11 GMT
References: <20010211092729.16173.00000434@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010211160211.17176.00001627@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15557

In article <20010211092729.16173.00000434@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>

>>My only dispute is with some of the ARRL's policy decisions.
>
>I, too, have disagreed with some of ARRL's policy decisions. I have not even
>disagreed with much of what you have offered. You only seem to get a bit far
>afield when you use capitalization and religious terms in your posts. :-)

"ARRL" is all capitals. :-)

I don't think I'm far afield on some who have quasi-religious
thoughts on US amateur radio. I used to call them
"ama-baptists" for their proselyting but others got confused
and thought I was berating the AMA.   :-)

While some folks use Yaesu and Kenwood radios, I'll swear
that they are using Icons.   :-)

[sorry, I shouldn't swear, Jim N2EY doesn't like it... :-)]

"Code" is a four-letter word.

Watch how many will think the above sentence is swearing
or a "denunciation" of morse code!   :-)

didit

From -3490108908269677533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:09:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!attbtf!ip.att.net!news.idt.net!news.stealth.net!newspeer1.nac.net!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <7pvd8tkkkpnnlv3qkvu0ntifmvjei93ec4@4ax.com>
References: <hl1c8tohgfgv8gjs4gdmdncnq8kpptf9cr@4ax.com> <20010211032049.21689.00000461@ng-cr1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 21:08:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.190
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981925699 209.86.206.190 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:08:19 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:08:19 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15558

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>
>>I said that I think that most hams of the *50's* could fix their own
>>gear. Things had somewhat changed by the 80's.
>
>Okay, as long as we agree that we are discussing a 20-year old "problem."

I'm not sure that it is a "problem". I think that it is unrealistic to
believe that modern hams (of all walks of life) should be able to fix
their modern gear...

From 7982536680709255250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:12:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <vovd8t025nfae8gdu50u3k9n785pane1g6@4ax.com>
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211084201.16173.00000427@ng-cu1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 21:08:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.190
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981925701 209.86.206.190 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:08:21 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:08:21 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15559

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>I think it illogical to assume that the "most valuable" of all
> modes will not be used by hams unless
>they are required to learn it to get a license.

I think it is quite logical that if applicants are not required to
learn the code before becoming a ham, then there will be far fewer who
will take up CW afterwards. To think otherwise flies in the face of

basic advertising theory.

Just think if one of QST's advertisers could force all hams to try his rig before getting a license. Sure many would hate it at first, but after awhile some would find that they actually did like it. Sales would go up and the rig would find increased use on the bands. Then after some years the forced rig use requirement goes away. Of course sales and rig use among new hams will go down. It's a slam dunk... :-)

However, will the elimination/reduction of forced code testing be bad or good for the hobby as a whole, not just CW, is really the important point...

From 7522459135037485347
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:12:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <spvd8t0clpc5ucijje459bbhivkslk97r6@4ax.com>
References: <965uvl01g4e@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010211082757.16173.00000424@ng-cu1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 21:08:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.190
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981925698 209.86.206.190 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:08:18 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:08:18 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15560

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>On 160 M, for example, the band plan for CW operation is regularly
>stomped on by phone operators...

CW ops can go anywhere on most bands for a QSO. Yet they usually stick to the agreed upon CW areas of the bands. Do you have such a low opinion of phone ops that you don't think that they can abide by a gentleman's agreement to do likewise? I'll bet 95% of them can. They could and did when they voluntarily divided up the phone bands between

AM and SSB during the transition. Maybe a concerted education effort
by ARRL on your 160 meter problem would help. Better than more
government regulation to solve a ham problem, don't you think...

>Cecil wanted to engage someone in a 5 wpm
>QSO in the DX windows, just because he can.

I think Cecil wanted to make a point. And perhaps get a goat. But I
doubt that he really intends to violate the gentleman's agreement of
chatting in the DX area. But then you knew that didn't you. You were
also trying to make a point and perhaps get a goat... :-)

From 4810239879792141488
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:12:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <8nvd8ts0lsk5j540gt19k0du0v9tsppckl@4ax.com>
References: <ol4c8tc4s9mfoffc7p05co38dvrh07029m@4ax.com>
<20010211123315.22631.00002029@nso-fz.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 21:08:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.190
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 981925702 209.86.206.190 (Sun, 11 Feb
2001 13:08:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 13:08:22 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15561

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>I could get no less than a dozen TV stations using rabbit ears

Phoenix has always been a good rabbit ears town. Course we put our TV
towers up on the mountain...

>yet almost the entire neighborhood was on cable.

Same here. The good stuff is on cable...

Well actually satellite is better, but the hassle of local programming and feeding multiple TV sets makes it difficult...

>TV broadcasting? Practically obsolete.

Not for a few years yet. They gotta pay for those new HDTV
transmitters...

From 5398457174194932944
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:17:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:16:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <966vg3$ku0$0@208.206.142.83>
References: <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:982 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15562

Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> Ham Radio and Code.
>
> Has the archaic code requirement been removed from Ham Radio testing yet?

Have you removed that archaic gasoline engine from your car yet?

From 5404064627393648999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:38:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!

portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:33:49 GMT
References: <3a5f9c59.1525595@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010211163349.01651.00001426@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15563

In article <3a5f9c59.1525595@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>The question for you Vince then is twofold:
>Is publishing questions from PAST tests illegal when
>done by those college prep course publishers?

Let me have a go at this one.

It's not illegal for at least two reasons:

1) The published tests are intentionally released by the testing agency for publication.
2) The published tests are no longer used - they really are past tests, not current ones.

>If the answer to the first question is NO, then why
>should Bash be held to a different standard of law?

Because Bash violated both of the above conditions. The tests he published were not intentionally released for publication by the FCC, and they were not questions which had been removed from use in testing.

Let me ask this, Bill: If a college prep course publisher somehow got hold of current test questions, and published them, would that be OK?
>
>If the Q&A was (by some miracle) made secret again,
>would you complain when people publised the questions
>they remembered from PAST tests on a centralized web site?

I would, if the intent of making them secret was to keep the exact Q&A secret.

What Bash really did was to expose the fact that the FCC did not have an enormous stack of different tests in use. Prosecuting him would have publicized that fact and probably greatly increased sales.

All academic now. Bash's actions are ancient history. No way is the FCC going to get involved in ham testing in the foreseeable future other than retesting as part of enforcement actions.
>

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 7352712214269716027
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:38:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:33:48 GMT
References: <48bc8tsvp94f20mba0ee781scads5lof5g@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010211163348.01651.00001425@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15564
```

In article <48bc8tsvp94f20mba0ee781scads5lof5g@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>You paid 127% of a week's wages for that thing [Heathkit SSB rig].
>
>That SSB rig was cheaper than most of the available
> *new* AM gear of the day...

Yup. That's what really caused the move from AM to SSB - it became cheaper to run SSB than AM at the power levels hams wanted to use.

AM gear is cheaper and simpler than SSB at low power levels. But once you have an SSB signal generated, building up the power level is cheaper than with AM. The development of the amateur HF heterodyne transceiver (which uses the same frequency-determining parts for both T and R) nailed it for SSB.

Oddly enough, the first true HF amateur heterodyne transceiver design I have been able to dig up is a CW transceiver.
>
>>How much would that be in today's money?
>
>It certainly points up the fact that ham rigs (in real dollars) are
>much less expensive today...

Yep - and kits are back.
>
>>Most of the reasons I cited were not of my own creation.
>>They came from a 1963 QST editorial.

```
>
>A little late for the credits...  :-)

Never said they were my original ideas. It's interesting that nobody else
recognized the source.
>
>>I got my Extra in 1970 because I wanted all privileges and I figured that
>the
>>tests would not get easier. Ah, the folly of youth.....
>
>I'm still waiting. At the present rate of change I should have my
>privileges back in a few years free gratis... :-)

You are probably not far wrong. QCWA asked for just that.

Funny thing is, the 1968-1969 changes radically decreased the amount of phone
spectrum available to Generals in the ham bands from 80 thru 15 meters. Then,
about 1972, the FCC began widening the 'phone bands, so that over time allmost
all of the "lost" phone spectrum was regained by Generals.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY




From -402986456088065853
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!
cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "etagg" <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
From: "etagg" <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <goodbye@goodbye>
Subject: Re: How do I cancel my League membership?
Lines: 11
Organization: N2WKW
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
```

Message-ID: <GGDh6.120121$o91.12287832@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 21:41:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.92.235.11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@twcny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 981927718 24.92.235.11 (Sun, 11 Feb 2001 16:41:58 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 16:41:58 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:17339 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9363 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12411 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15565 rec.radio.shortwave:27179

just stop
"smiley o'riley" <bummer-radio@licensing.org> wrote in message news:goodbye@goodbye...
>
> I find I can no longer support the ARRL by being a member. What's the best
> way to cancel a membership?
>
> TNX 73 de Smiley

From -3839295748429563087
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:48:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!attmtf!ip.att.net!usc.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:44:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <96713p$pfc$0@208.206.142.83>
References: <20010211123749.16594.00006425@nso-cg.aol.com> <20010211140645.24870.00000417@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15566

W1RFI wrote:
>

> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >>>In any scenario where CW subbands are shared with phone
> >>>signals, CW will tend to lose out. Its only natural.
>
> >>Then you believe that CW is the less-robust mode.
>
> >No, I believe you're a wanker if you can't understand a simple statement
> >like the one I made above!
>
> I understood what you said, I just couldn't make any sense out of it. How can
> a mode be "more robust" than another, but lose out when it goes head to head
> with the other mode in shared spectrum?

I would think that a 23db power advantage to the other mode might do
it.
(5 watts vs 1500)

```
From 7129895189837424683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:50:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:49:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9671dh$qeo$0@208.206.142.83>
References: <966a7g$qj2$0@208.206.142.85> <20010211141541.24870.00000420@ng-
mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15567
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >And I said nothing whatever about 1963. The selftrained radio engineers
> >came considerably earlier in most cases, the people who were the
> >creators of much of what we know as "radio". Many, even most had no

> >engineering training at all.
>
> So Amateur Radio has been "ruined" for over 40 years now? I am curious, Dick;
> how long has ham radio been down the tubes?

??? Well, when you finally get the answer let me know. I said nothing
about any such thing. I said that once it was much easier for a ham to
become a radio engineer since the technology was easier to learn.

```
From -6638211276045261837
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:51:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:50:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9671f5$qeo$1@208.206.142.83>
References: <95t4rp$ppc$0@208.207.71.156> <20010208185423.21807.00000073@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113> <3A843E8B.3F22D6C4@IEEE.org>
<961tnb$g11$1@208.206.142.81> <962b3o21e36@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15568
```

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <961tnb$g11$1@208.206.142.81>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >Cecil wrote:
> >>
> >
> >> The great majority of hams are stuck in the 60s, Dick. We are already
> >> stagnating 40 years behind the state of the art in RF communications.
> >>
> >
> >That is pure unadulturated bullcrap.
>

> You're absolutely right, Dick. He should have that most hams are stuck in the
> 50s.

If you believe that is shows that you know nothing about the 50's

```
From 3594102065520621399
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:53:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:52:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9671jk$qeo$2@208.206.142.83>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu> <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net> <961c67$bhc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A84C85A.F68FD2DA@ovis.net> <964n0s$utc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<965gb8$2j1$0@208.207.71.162> <965vnt$rsu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15569
```

Brian wrote:
>
> Dick, you've got to get over thinking that you are the El Supremo
> Commandant of the ARS.

Gee, Brian, I'm impressed that you think that. I had no idea.

```
From 1618605260442885555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 13:57:42 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 11 Feb 2001 21:57:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9671si$qeo$3@208.206.142.83>
References: <3A85712F.B4500C74@IEEE.org> <20010211123752.16594.00006429@nso-
cg.aol.com> <3A86E807.F9C6141@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15570



Cecil wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > The Morse/CW mode continued to survive and flourish only due to
> > the code testing requirement.
>
> I disagree and you cannot possibly know that for certain. I learned
> Morse code in Cub Scouts because it was fun. It has been fun for
> me for 52 years. I have never used CW or needed to use CW for an
> emergency. I seriously doubt that I will ever use CW for an
> emergency. Why don't you stop telling everyone what a pain in the
> arse it was for you to learn Morse code and promote the fun aspect
> of the mode with a little positive thinking?
>

 Yeah, Larry. Go ahead and "positively think" radiotelegraphy out of
existance.


From -138642870546932066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 14:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!
enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 11 Feb 2001 22:10:49 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
```

Lines: 32
Message-ID: <96729020mj@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <963nee$f60$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211083754.16173.00000426@ng-cu1.aol.com> <966a7g$qj2$0@208.206.142.85>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-516.newsdawg.com
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15571

In article <966a7g$qj2$0@208.206.142.85>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> >It has never been different except that once it was much easier for an
>> >ordinary individual to learn to be a communications (radio) engineer.
>>
>> Dick, there are tools that are readily available today that let ordinary
>> individuals do things that engineers of old found difficult. I can predict
>> quite accurately the performance of a multi-element Yagi antenna with a few
>> minutes programming. I can optimize that antenna in another few minutes for
>> maximum forward gain, F/B, feed-point Z or any combination thereof. I can
>> predict the propagation between W1 and central India using another free
>> software program, or can design an amplifier using free design software.
>>
>
>
> I know. Just like it is easy to pass the written amateur exams today
>because of the existance of a public pool with all the test questions
>and their exact answers.
> Just because you have access to software that will allow you to bypass
>knowledge doesn't mean you have that knowledge.

Using tools to make tasks a bit easier is not the same as bypassing knowlege.
You'd still have to know something about propogation or antenna specs in order
to use the software Ed is talking about. The tediousness of hand calculations,
which are more subject to human error, is what's eliminated.

From -3267374787592326006
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa656,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 15:26:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!

```
newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Date: 11 Feb 2001 22:25:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9673hc120mj@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-704.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:983 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15572
```

In article <b%zh6.68852$Ti5.1068775@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>,
jwayda@killspam.home.com says...

>
> Ham Radio and Code.
>
> Has the archaic code requirement been removed from Ham Radio testing yet?
>
> -jim

It's getting there. There's only one code test now, and that's 5 WPM for the class of licenses that require it.

```
From -6091349597687520511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 15:26:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!news.vew-
telnet.net!news-DUS.ecrc.net!news.csl-gmbh.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.textport.net!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL HQ "Attitude" (Was: Re: Pride)
Date: 11 Feb 2001 22:30:21 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9673pt220mj@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <963b5b12dgu@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010211123745.16594.00006419@nso-
cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-768.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15573
```

```
In article <20010211123745.16594.00006419@nso-cg.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...
>
>In article <963b5b12dgu@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
>Bryant) writes:
>
>>>Cecil:
>>>
>>>That's where you'd be wrong, again!  You're pretty annoying at times,
>>>but you've never hit me with a stick!  Where did this stick-hitting
>>>requirement for annoyance come from, anyway?  You don' need no
>>>steenking sticks in order to be annoying!
>>>
>>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>>
>>So now you're saying that K1ZZ hit you with a stick at Dayton?
>
>No, Cecil -- now stop trying to be annoying!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT


Cecil didn't write it. Try reading the e-mail address of the poster once and
awhile.




From 455737043645184593
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 15:27:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
noris.net!blackbush.xlink.net!uni-erlangen.de!news-nue1.dfn.de!news-mue1.dfn.de!
news-was.dfn.de!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: 11 Feb 2001 22:33:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9673uu320mj@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010210080316.23230.00000028@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010211123747.16594.00006421@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-803.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15574

In article <20010211123747.16594.00006421@nso-cg.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
```

```
says...
>
>In article <20010210080316.23230.00000028@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) writes:
>
>>
>>I don't know, Larry.  I was a ham (off and on) for about 20 years before I
>>joined ARRL.  Maybe that gives me a different perspective on new hams'
>>joining
>>and supporting organizations.
>>
>>Is whether they are League members really more important to you than the fact
>>that there are 37,980 new hams?
>
>Ed:
>
>No, it means nothing to me, actually.  However, it had damn well
>better mean something to you!  I'm not the one who makes his living
>working at ARRL HQ -- you are!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT


If it means nothing to you, why are you showing such concern for his welfare by
bringing it up?




From 5558026677360883O
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 15:33:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 23:27:42 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3a871fa8.2231356@news.mindspring.com>
References: <966a7g$qj2$0@208.206.142.85> <20010211141541.24870.00000420@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9671dh$qeo$0@208.206.142.83>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.49.22
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 23:27:09 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15575

On 11 Feb 2001 21:49:37 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
```

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> >And I said nothing whatever about 1963. The selftrained radio engineers
>> >came considerably earlier in most cases, the people who were the
>> >creators of much of what we know as "radio". Many, even most had no
>> >engineering training at all.
>>
>> So Amateur Radio has been "ruined" for over 40 years now? I am curious, Dick;
>> how long has ham radio been down the tubes?
>
>??? Well, when you finally get the answer let me know. I said nothing
>about any such thing.

OK, then let's take you at your literal comment...

> I said that once it was much easier for a ham to
>become a radio engineer since the technology was easier to learn.

SO... when did that end and WHY did that end?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3010011429648258738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 15:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 23:17:21 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9676hr$p8b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <95q4u1$6pl$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010207015600.02997.00001929@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3A819251.2B71B488@uci.edu> <95spcb$gbp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A82D670.908ECF5B@uci.edu> <95v8v9$jps$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A833DCD.97EFDFE5@uci.edu> <960lm1$mom$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A83F6F1.FFE2535@ovis.net> <961c67$bhc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A84C85A.F68FD2DA@ovis.net> <964n0s$utc$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<965gb8$2j1$0@208.207.71.162> <965vnt$rsu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<9671jk$qeo$2@208.206.142.83>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.136
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 23:17:21 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)

```
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x55.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.136
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15576

In article <9671jk$qeo$2@208.206.142.83>,
  Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dick, you've got to get over thinking that you are the El Supremo
> > Commandant of the ARS.
>
> Gee, Brian, I'm impressed that you think that. I had no idea.

Then you're easily impressed, Dick.

But your biggest problem is having no idea.


Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/



From -8647991566740736941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 15:35:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.germany.net!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
nntp2.deja.com!nnrp1.deja.com!not-for-mail
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 23:24:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9676v8$pka$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
References: <3A8611A9.F6CCB653@ovis.net> <20010211145213.16762.00001697@nso-
mp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.118.136
X-Article-Creation-Date: Sun Feb 11 23:24:31 2001 GMT
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.06 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Http-Proxy: 1.0 x54.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 216.67.118.136
X-MyDeja-Info: XMYDJUIDbrianburke
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15577

In article <20010211145213.16762.00001697@nso-mp.aol.com>,
  lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> In article <3A8611A9.F6CCB653@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
writes:
>
```

> >That's a real knee slapper, Len! Keep the yuks coming. Have any
> >amateur radio related material?
>
> Just the material that started this thread...newcomers to US
> amateur radio who were either code-tested or not code-tested.
> An Extra (N2EY) already commented on the subject...without
> making a bunch of nonsense about who started it.
>
> What amateur radio related material do you have? More
> insults and deprecations of those who might be interested
> in US amateur radio?
>
> Or are you going into some diatribe of those who do not
> believe in the US ARS policies as you do? Or are you simply
> an unpleasant person towards those who might be interested
> in a newer, more modern policy about and for the US ARS?
>
> didit

I'm interested in a newer, more modern policy about and for the US ARS.
Where can I read more?

Hey, I just read where Canada needs an NCI rep.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

From -3569298712155341319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-11 15:46:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Feb 2001 23:39:15 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 74
Message-ID: <3a8720c9.2520361@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A85712F.B4500C74@IEEE.org> <20010211123752.16594.00006429@nso-cg.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.49.22
X-Server-Date: 11 Feb 2001 23:38:52 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15578

On 11 Feb 2001 17:37:52 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

wrote:

>In article <3A85712F.B4500C74@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>The great majority of people who don't know Morse code don't consider
>>it to be universal or easily implemented. If you want to use Morse code
>>for emergencies, Dick, by all means do it. Most of the rest of the world
>>has given up on Morse code for emergency use.
>
>That's true -- and the reason is that it requires an operator to learn
>and gain useful proficiency in the skill of sending and receiving Morse
>code! Now, when you're talking about commercial or military utility
>communications applications, this means the expense of training,
>paying, and providing benefits for flesh-and-blood radio operators. If
>I owned a shipping line, I would probably want to eliminate that
>particular part of my overhead, just as much as anyone else. Therefore,
>ships at sea and land-based communications facilities depend on
>expensive, computerized communications systems instead of
>human radio operators.

Exactly. I agree completely with that statement. The bottom line
is that the cost benefit no longer justifies the need for trained
CW ops in military and commercial.

>Amateur radio does not have these economic considerations,

WRONG!!!

My personal time is absolutly under the same rules as
commercial/military indetermining what I do.

>but
>we still have to deal with the fact that learning and gaining useful
>proficiency in Morse code still requires a considerable amount of
>dedicated effort on the part of the operators who wish to use it.

Ageed.

>In
>the past, this effort was motivated and incentivized by the promise
>of increased operating privileges; now that that incentive has been
>removed, there will, for sure, be far fewer people even bothering to
>learn and gain useful proficiency in Morse code.

Probably true, but that is simply the FCC recognition that there
is NO justifiable reason to warrant having all new HF hams
learn CW to the degree warranted in the past. To wit...the FCC
acknowledges the cost to the individual can not be justified
by any rational to sustain the old requirements for CW ability
at 13 or 20 wpm.

>The Morse/CW mode continued to survive and flourish only due to
>the code testing requirement.

Which states volumes as to the true worth of the mode. It seems
you had to mandate code learning by all to obtain a small subset
of proponents. That's truly a waste of a great many people's time.

> In the absence of the requirement,
>the numbers of Morse/CW mode users will most likely shrink to a
>small fraction of their present numbers, at least in the United States.

That is then your own problem (if you see it as a
problem at all).

>European/Asian/African operators don't seem to have as much of a
>laziness problem as we Americans do.

So why is it that so many countries are now moving to end all
but a 5 wpm test?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 3875070693509925977
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 04:52:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8A7FA3.5CBB29F7@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <20010212060521.24681.00000736@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9691me$jih$0@208.206.142.98> <icVh6.196$HH5.1161580@news.ntplx.net> <96a5u6$ohf$0@208.207.71.146> <uQhi6.219$HH5.1237757@news.ntplx.net> <96d8k7$auc$0@208.207.71.136>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:52:51 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982155175 209.140.206.201 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:52:55 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:52:55 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15931

Dick Carroll wrote:
>

> Ed: I have never owned equipment useful on any frequency above 440 mhz
> and I traded off my 440 rig because there was no use for it here. It
> would shake me not at all if FCC took most of 440 and everything above
> it.

Now, when I said:
> > Much of the difficulty we experience in the amateur
> > community arises from those who are unwilling to accept that the
> > interests of others are as valid and valuable as their own.

Dick said:
> Nonsense, and you know it.

Yet Dick would be unshaken if the activities of hams who frequent 440
and above were done away with. It would be hard to think of a clearer
example of the destructive attitude I was referring to.

Jon

From -2169296248731093337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 05:04:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 68
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 13:03:39 GMT
References: <20010213184722.02997.00002303@nso-mg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010214080339.13040.00001852@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15933

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 02/13/01 6:47 PM Eastern Standard Time

> <most of an emotion-heavy posting snipped...>

This was exactly what I expected. And exactly wny Lennie Anderson's true
colors come out. This creep has no valid concern for the future of Amatuer
Radio in ANY form.

>The professionals were prepared with "snazzy technology" which worked
according to plan.

Yes, they did. And they weren't the only ones.

>Where were the emergency-prepared amateurs?

In the hospitals providing internal communications. At the fire departments. At the shelters. Not that YOU would have known anything about them.

>The Northridge Earthquake happened here 7 years ago. When the
>Great K4YZ was confronted with a description of emergency
>preparedness at work (about two years ago) he passed it off
>as "...small states cannot afford expensive emergency equipment"
>and some other minor rationales for His area not being prepared.

No, Lennie...YOU dismiss the idea that everyone had multimillion budgets for the gadgetry that you profess is so prolific in SoCal.

>The question still remains - Where were the radio amateurs here
>and a few years earlier in the Bay Area of middle California who
>have constantly kept the mantra of "radio amateurs 'always come
>through' during emergencies?"

There is NO question. It was in the written press, both Amatuer and popular media, on television (April Moell was interviewed at one hospital as they helped with internal communications) and on the radio (commercial broadcast, not 2M.

>Both Northridge and Loma Prieta earthquakes happend over 7 years ago. How much time is >needed? Or isn't the original post of this thread just some kind of emotional rant by someone >who can only function on the newsgroups and needs the forum for whatever personal >reasons?

"....needs the forum for whatever personal reasons?"......

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Coming from a CREEP like you, this is HILLARIOUS! This is your ONLY forum!

>Perhaps it is time to recognize that amateur radio is really only a hobby, an enjoyable hobby, >one that _might_ be of service to the community but not some fall-back activity given >lip-service for years but seldom done.

I am sure THAT'S how YOU percieve it, however, again, you dismiss the ONGOING support that Amateur Radio enjoys from COUNTLESS private AND governmental agencies for it's SERVICE!

From -2355525344430461078
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 05:07:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8A8307.F9EC64CF@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <96cb4411tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96d9i8$d15$0@208.207.71.136>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:07:19 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982156042 209.140.206.201 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:07:22 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:07:22 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15934

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> The training session was conducted by a very competent young meteoroligist from the National Weather Service. One of his points was that ham radio operators are invaluable in the conduct of this program. He noted that the regional NWS HQ where the regional doppler radar is located has a ham radio station with at least one operator active each time severe storms are anticipated, along with the many trained hams who are weather spotters in the field, and that they consider this effort to be critical to the success of effective weather warning.

Did he happen to mention that only those hams who passed a "real" exam -- not the ones given during the last two decades -- are contributing to the success of the NWS activities? If not, maybe the NWS can't tell the difference between the "real"
hams and the others. Maybe there is no difference.

Jon

```
From -4774217329524534204
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 05:08:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8A8356.BB049F97@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96ahol$18c$0@208.207.71.146> <96cbd721tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<B6AF9AB1.209D%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:08:38 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982156121 209.140.206.201 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:08:41 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:08:41 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15935
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

>
> I'd like to hear that myself. I'm still trying to figure out how [Dick]
> arrived at those opinions after reading my comments. His opinions about my
> comments and my actual comments don't seem to relate at all.

Welcome to the club.

Jon

```
From 3587593553643569996
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 05:13:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
```

news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 13:12:36 GMT
References: <3A89E0B5.B2B234FF@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010214081236.13040.00001853@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15936

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>Date: 02/13/01 8:34 PM Eastern Standard Time

>If you'd looked, you would have found articles that answer those questions in QST.
>Things are not at all how you try to portray them.

That's a given. Lennie is lost. A complete idiot.

>If you were at all personally involved in emergency preparedness you would know the extent
>to which police and fire have improved their ability to respond since the Oklahoma City >disaster.

Lennie can't prepare himself top take a good dump, let alone be able to respond to ANY kind of emergency.

How do I know this? Just look at all the crap he spews here. Not a bit of validity to it. Just more "I Hate Ham Radio And This Is How I Will Get Back At Them". Not a shred of truth to it.

>And you would also know the extent to which amateur radio is an integral part of the >response plan in California. But you don't know, and so you just make stupid comments >about it in an attempt to vilify amateur radio.

Tens-of-thousands of dollars are spent in CA to implement an Amateur Radio response in almost all aspects of planning where communications is involved. Of course Lennie ignores this...as he does EVERY fact that dispells his LIES.

And yes...Leonard H Anderson is a liar. Unmitigated. Unrepentant. Unrelenting.

>Who needs you?

Who indeed.

From 7327920415376887600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 05:14:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 13:14:12 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <96e0b4$4gu$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <20010214074415.17693.00000955@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982156452 4638 149.174.88.254 (14
Feb 2001 13:14:12 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 13:14:12 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15938

>In what way would the commission get in trouble for what
>decisions about Amateur Radio, and who would bring that trouble
>down upon them?

You've missed the point entirely. Either that, or you like
shifting the question to your liking. The Commission will do what
it wants, say what it wants, which is exactly what it's doing. It
doesn't have to address any petitions, owes no allegiance to
anyone.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 2285307833978747376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 05:20:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 13:20:12 GMT
References: <20010214023337.03673.00002227@nso-ck.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning

Message-ID: <20010214082012.13040.00001854@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15939

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 02/14/01 2:33 AM Eastern Standard Time

>>If you'd looked, you would have found articles that answer those
>>questions in QST.

>I'm not a subscriber to QST. Please tell all for the benefit of those
>who haven't been through one.

Which only FURTHER substantiates my assertion that you have NO valid basis upon which to make anything even REMOTELY approximating an "informed opinion".

>Sorry, but a rather large press corps and broadcasting covered
>the event. I'm not stating anything that wasn't already reported
>or seen by the administration.

I believe it was KTLA that interviewed April Moell during this event as they helped hospitals with internal communications and routing of patients.

> Is there more?   Please, tell all so that everyone will benefit.

It's been expressed by more than ONE person on more than one occassion. You always dismiss it as irrelevant. I guess that's what it is when it ruins your lying rants, Anderson.

>If there is anyone "villifying" something it is Jim Kelley who
>seems to imagine more than what was ever said.

You mean "villifying" as in dispelling even more of your lying? More of your cowardly ramblings?

>The Los Angeles area was already so planned, drilled, exercised and did its
task
>well. How did amateur radio licensees help in this effort?

No need to repost the hundreds of roles that they played, Lennie. It happend, CONTRARY to the way YOU assert that it did.

From -1116861177215271642
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 05:47:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!

```
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8A8C65.EDD18AA8@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <20010214074415.17693.00000955@ng-da1.aol.com> <96e0b4$4gu$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:47:17 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982158440 209.140.206.201 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:47:20 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:47:20 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15940
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >In what way would the commission get in trouble for what
> >decisions about Amateur Radio, and who would bring that trouble
> >down upon them?
>
> You've missed the point entirely. Either that, or you like
> shifting the question to your liking. The Commission will do what
> it wants, say what it wants, which is exactly what it's doing. It
> doesn't have to address any petitions, owes no allegiance to
> anyone.

If that's the case, there is no reason for them to engage in "double speak," is there?

Jon

```
From 1191281394998525303
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 05:47:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 117
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 13:47:13 GMT
References: <20010214023341.03673.00002228@nso-ck.aol.com>
```

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010214084713.13040.00001860@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15941

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>Date: 02/14/01 2:33 AM Eastern Standard Time <<<<< Time noted

Had to stay up late so Mrs A the counsellor couldn't read over your shoulder and see what a patheic, pathological liar you are?

>For your benefit, my name is Leonard H. Anderson, not "Mr. Len."
>My mailing address is 10048 Lanark Street, Sun Valley, CA,
>91352...located in the eastern part of the San Fernando Valley
>area of Los Angeles.

Jerry, just call him "Lennie". Or Lennie NoCalls. Or Lennie the Loser. Lennie the Licenseless. As for his address...well...If I were one of his neighbors, I wouldn't want this creep broadcasting that information because it can potentially lower property values. Who want to live next to a creep like this?

>That was not a subject of my response. As a citizen-volunteer
>myself on various occaisions, I've never asked nor demanded
>"credit" or anything else. That was not the subject or intent (not
>even implicit) of my posting.

However YOU try to make some point of how Ham Radio allegedly wasn't a part of the recovery efforts in SoCal earthquakes just becuase there are no banner headlines "HAM RADIO SAVES DAY" in the LA Times.

>That isn't so, but it is convenient for the purpose of achieving message
points in a newsgroup.

What "points", Lennie? In the last 12 months alone it's happened in three different areas of the United States, each time Ham Radio was used to supplement both commercial and Public Saftey nets. It happend. It's a fact. And it's contrary to YOUR rants that it doesn't!

> I asked simply "where were they?" They were not in evidence, either observed
or on >broadcast radio and television

Oh...I SEE ! ! ! ! Just because they weren't upfront in the cameras, it "didn't happen".

I guess that since there weren't any "human interest" pieces on cooks and bakers during those events, no one got fed?

>The tower causing the total electrical power
>outage was jury-rigged upright within four hours by utility workers

>but the entire area had to come back up through a "black start"
>(power term denoting putting the electric power grid up from a
>total absence of input).

Lennie tries to impress us with his use of power company vernacular.

>You are trying to imply that I said bad things about radio
>amateurs. I did not do so.

Leonard H Anderson of 10048 Lanark Street, Sun Valley CA 91352 is a LIAR....

Again........

>I know more than many radio amateurs about communications
>in both regular services as well as REAL emergencies.

Now Lennie exerts his OWN "rank" on Amateur Radio operators. HE knows more.

NOT!

>Why go into ranting of an India quake when the USA has already had more than one in the >last seven years?

The point was NOT about emergency preparedness. It was about maintaining a positive impression on the political arena that may hold sway over our allocations, and even our existance.

>Just exactly who "slighted volunteers?"

You did. Shall we rehash your "Civil Defense is a joke" slurrs?

>I volunteered to help in searching the Veteran's Hospital collapse in the Sylmar Earthquake >of 1971. Nobody gave me any praise, coffee, certificate of appreciation or anything else >beside a handshake and that was enough for me.

So you got dirty once 30 years ago. Go figure. Some of us have been doing it FOR 30 years, and continue to do so to this day.

>You are free to call me all the names you want, imagine whatever you wish (quite a bit), but >physical violence is going to be more difficult to accomplish than you think.

At this point in time I would thing that a prudent man would stop and ask WHY he invokes such a response in more than one person. Of course Lennie is NOT prudent (eg: posting his address) and like others of his ilk, it's ALWAY'S the other guys fault.

>Has anyone briefed themselves on the California ACS or the OES, do they know anything >about SHARES?

Well, obviously you HAVEN'T or you'd know that each has a place for Amateur Radio.

>Or is everyone just reading what is written in ham organization literature and then imagining >what real emergencies are like, how they feel, all the while sitting safe in their ham shacks...?

In as much as you have acknowledged NOT subscribing to one of the three major Amateur Radio publications in the United States, how would YOU know what's in any of that literature?

Nothing like facts to once again shoot a hole in Lennie the Liar's rants.

Putz.

From 7165618267050946448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 06:03:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:01:41 GMT
References: <20010214023341.03673.00002228@nso-ck.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010214090141.13040.00001863@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15942

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 02/14/01 2:33 AM Eastern Standard Time

>You have inferred far too much from my posting. I was replying to
>an emotionally-fired posting concerning the very REAL devestating
>earthquake centered in the nation of India. While the Northridge
>Earthquake was not on the scale of the Indian one, it nonetheless
>killed human beings, injured many, and caused billions of dollars
>in damage. Why go into ranting of an India quake when the USA
>has already had more than one in the last seven years?

The point, Most Ignorant One, was NOT about "emergency preparedness"...It WAS about the perception of Amateur Radio as JUST a hobby and the consequences of that perception as it pertains to dealing with politicians.

A point convieniently avoided by The Ranting One in order to make another "Amateur Radio Ain't **** " post. And as usual, as equally ineffective.

Annoying, but ineffective.

>Sorry, I'm still a professional in radio who got started legally in 24/7 HF communications in >1953.

How's that, Lennie? You said you let your "lifetime" GROL expire last October 12th. Now you are COMPLETELY unlicensed.

>My main purpose on getting into this newsgroup was to argue the elimination of the morse >code test for the amateur radio license test. Since that time a bit over 3 years ago, I have
>been a target of personal abuse by those who cannot defend the sanctity or need of the >morse code test for an amateur radio license.

If he were able to tell the truth, jerry, he would tell you how in at least one case (mine) he spent three months calling me a "jackbooted thug", a "neo-nazi elitist", etc, etc. Then when it get's turned BACK on him, HE is a "victim".

What he IS is a LIAR.

Rant on, Lennie rant on...we know you for the liar and malcontent you are, and your word and your character count for nothing here. YOU have seen to that.

```
From -6785252889391044398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 06:04:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:03:50 GMT
References: <20010214023337.03673.00002227@nso-ck.aol.com>
```

```
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010214090350.13040.00001864@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15943

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 02/14/01 2:33 AM Eastern Standard Time

>No one needs someone who cannot argue, discuss, or even
>debate a subject without trying to attack the person.

     Then that pretty much leaves YOU with nothing to say.  That would be like
trying to get an Italian to sit on his hands and talk.


From 3877451654075881919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 06:05:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:05:08 GMT
References: <3A8A06D9.AA7A78B2@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010214090508.13040.00001865@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15944

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 02/13/01 11:17 PM Eastern


>Madison county here in East Texas is home all four poisonous U.S. snakes.

     Five.  =)





From -984629586825086509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 06:21:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
```

```
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!
news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:21:01 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <96e48d$jn2$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A8A8C65.EDD18AA8@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982160461 20194 149.174.88.240 (14
Feb 2001 14:21:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:21:01 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15945
```

>If that's the case, there is no reason for them to engage in
>"double speak," is there?

There certainly is. That's because they're still cognizant of
their own "image." It's a main goal of institutions, especially
government institutions, to leave the public with the impression
that all decisions are arrived at logically. Unfortunately, same
organizations always manage to blow huge holes in that logic when
they get around to drafting their R&Os.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

```
From 8213708246191018283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 06:31:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!
news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:31:27 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <96e4rv$6mh$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <96e48d$jn2$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982161087 6865 149.174.88.247 (14
Feb 2001 14:31:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:31:27 GMT
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15946

>>If that's the case, there is no reason for them to engage in
>>"double speak," is there?

>There certainly is. That's because they're still cognizant of
>their own "image." It's a main goal of institutions, especially
>government institutions, to leave the public with the impression
>that all decisions are arrived at logically. Unfortunately, same
>organizations always manage to blow huge holes in that logic
>when they get around to drafting their R&Os.

>Regards,
>Vince, WB2EZG

A second reason is that they're also cognizant of the touchy
political nature of the hobby as being "technical," and want to
stay above that fray. But again, the bottom line ALWAYS comes
out because sooner or later, they have to release an R&O.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

```
From -6799283203068740456
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 06:36:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8A97E2.BEE753C8@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8A8C65.EDD18AA8@arrl.org> <96e48d$jn2$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:36:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982161387 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:36:27 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:36:27 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15947
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >If that's the case, there is no reason for them to engage in

> >"double speak," is there?
>
> There certainly is. That's because they're still cognizant of
> their own "image." It's a main goal of institutions, especially
> government institutions, to leave the public with the impression
> that all decisions are arrived at logically. Unfortunately, same
> organizations always manage to blow huge holes in that logic when
> they get around to drafting their R&Os.

But you said they owe no allegiance to anyone. So why would they care
about the public impression?

Jon

From 4311434728854873688
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 06:37:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:27:46 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3A8A95E2.AE4630C0@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <96d34q$q91$0@208.207.71.136>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:37:30 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15948

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Dave Heil k8mn@ovis.net
> >
> > >Cub Scouts here...age ten. Didn't find out about amateur radio until
> > >age twelve and wasn't licensed until age 14.
> >
> > Now, Dave, you must be mistaken. Some of our self-proclaimed experts here
> > assure us all that no one would learn Morse code except to get their SSB and/

```
or
> > FM priveleges. :-)
>
> I'm sure Dave knows, as do you and I, that there is considerable
> difference in learning to recognize the characters and in acquiring the
> skill level needed to pass a ham radio test.

Not anymore, Dick. Seems to me, anyone who readily recognizes aural
Morse code characters can do so at 5 wpm.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -5984470195855325833
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 06:44:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:34:03 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A8A975B.62BE@IEEE.org>
References: <20010214074415.17693.00000955@ng-da1.aol.com> <96e0b4$4gu$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:42:44 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15949

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> The Commission will do what
> it wants, say what it wants, which is exactly what it's doing. It
> doesn't have to address any petitions, owes no allegiance to
> anyone.

How can they "get nailed" and why do they "have to keep quiet"
(your words) when they can do what they want and say what they want?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -4676087915333811705
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 06:45:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.yosemite.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!
not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:32:52 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <96e4uk$l22$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165>
<16220-3A80244A-12@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95qfbq$7o9$0@208.207.71.168> <96c5ik$7fv$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A89FA8D.4781B2A0@erols.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 982161172 21570 205.139.138.14 (14 Feb 2001 14:32:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:32:52 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: ik7565@erols.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15950
```

In article <3A89FA8D.4781B2A0@erols.com>, <ik7565@erols.com> writes:

>There is no 97.102(c).
>
>73 de N8IK

There is in my copy. It says --

   "97.102(c) Everything not specifically prohibited in
              these rules is mandatory."

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  All reality is aspect dependent.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 3478451343169568267
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 06:48:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:38:30 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A8A9866.3FD5D8D0@IEEE.org>
References: <96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <20010213070754.02903.00000767@ng-fy1.aol.com> <96d3gs$rgi$0@208.207.71.136>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:47:11 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15951

Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The FCC believes it is a license.
>
> Sure they do. One representing a certain level of accomplishment.

You didn't answer my question, Dick. Exactly what part of ham radio
does an Extra class licensee graduate from? The Extra class license
is nothing more than a minimum requirements entrance exam into the
Extra class.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 3583266123124158374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 06:51:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:40:28 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A8A98DC.5B5D95B2@IEEE.org>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <GpVh6.198$HH5.1162800@news.ntplx.net>
<96a69g$p9l$1@208.207.71.146> <96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com>
<96bnp1$41d$0@208.207.71.143> <96cc4i31tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96d9ne$d15$1@208.207.71.136>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:49:06 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15952

Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So then why should you or anyone else decide what *I* want to get out of ham
> > radio?
>
>  It's  for your own protection, T L, so you won't get ran over when you
> get in the way.

Why would you want to run over a fellow ham, Dick. What is wrong with
live and let live?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 208553155151078822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 06:58:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
```

```
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:47:10 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A8A9A6E.406A9998@IEEE.org>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <96cb4411tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96d9i8$d15$0@208.207.71.136>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 14:56:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15953

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  You can regard that as hobby if you wish. I call it service.

But it is voluntary service, Dick. It is not required. Hams who
don't enjoy public service don't have to do it. Voluntary public
service is usually classified as a hobby even outside of ham radio.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 910232216617384314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:03:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:52:47 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A8A9BBF.D8DAF6AD@IEEE.org>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
```

<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <B6AF8E41.2099%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:01:59 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15954

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   Much of the best aspects of culture has its roots in hobbies (art,
> writings, music, etc).

Yep, "hobbyist" and "amateur" are virtually synonymous.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -3005120562292875894
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:10:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:00:32 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A8A9D90.615100FC@IEEE.org>
References: <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113> <20010210084900.21325.00000063@ng-fm1.aol.com> <963sod$qsa$1@208.206.142.95> <3A8837C7.A347FEF1@uci.edu>
<96a7u2$fh$0@208.207.71.146> <3A88A8A8.A682D1D@uci.edu>
<96ag6m$ps1$0@208.207.71.146> <96bq20$afa$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:10:20 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15955

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So there is really nothing extra about today's Extra class licensee.

```
The result is a ham being judged for his actions rather than for
his license and that's a good thing.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 999934608333762509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:16:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:06:43 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A8A9F03.4DF20037@IEEE.org>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <96a5ba$n5r$0@208.207.71.146>
<CHhi6.218$HH5.1237593@news.ntplx.net> <3A89C548.14A252@IEEE.org>
<96d9r7$d15$2@208.207.71.136>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:16:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15956

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Just like incorporating a reference then denying that you meant to use
> it?

I have no idea on earth what this means, Dick.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -2867902414623376466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:28:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
```

master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:28:53 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <96e87l$a6g$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A8A97E2.BEE753C8@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982164533 10448 149.174.88.254 (14 Feb 2001 15:28:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:28:53 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15957

>But you said they owe no allegiance to anyone. So why would they
>care about the public impression?

I just told you, Jon. The political environment mandates that FCC doesn't come across as dictatorial, that they work with, not against the infrastructure in making logical decisions. Because when they're viewed as working to counter purposes, it stirs up an underlying issue with Congress as to whether FCC should exist at all.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -3822951822773121585
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:30:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!195.21.255.252!unlisys!news.snafu.de!nautilus.visp-europe.psi.com!newsfeed.Austria.EU.net!newsfeed.kpnqwest.at!anon.lcs.mit.edu!nym.alias.net!mail2news-x2!mail2news
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
From: Kevin Muenzler WB5RUE <wb5rue@stic.net>
Reply-To: <wb5rue@stic.net>
Errors-To: <wb5rue@stic.net>
Organization: South Texas Internet Connections, Inc http://www.stic.net
Message-ID: <20010214.092236.wb5rue@stic.net>
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:22:36 -0500
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net><t8ku2j3ncfflb4@corp.supernews.com>
Mail-To-News-Contact: postmaster@nym.alias.net
Lines: 10
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15958 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12515

Now here's a candidate for RF exposure guidlines!

If they want to teach about "gay" history why don't they go all the way back to
Sodom and Gamorah?

J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net> writes:

>Unless the discussion is how well an HT works stuck up some homosexual's
>ass, I fail to see the relevance in discussing homosexualty, destroying the
>fine reputation of the Boy Scouts, etc., in a ham radio newsgroup.

From 6802256328669099551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:33:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:23:32 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A8AA2F4.E282F3F4@IEEE.org>
References: <966bk6$43g$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010214003325.00445.00001950@nso-bj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:33:11 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15959

N2EY wrote:
> Jim who?

Why does every Jim on the newsgroup think every posting about Jim
is about him? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1493766751128097631
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:36:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8AA5F9.461D0C98@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8A97E2.BEE753C8@arrl.org> <96e87l$a6g$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 10:36:25 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982164994 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 10:36:34 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 10:36:34 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15960

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >But you said they owe no allegiance to anyone. So why would they
> >care about the public impression?
>
> I just told you, Jon. The political environment mandates that FCC
> doesn't come across as dictatorial, that they work with, not
> against the infrastructure in making logical decisions. Because
> when they're viewed as working to counter purposes, it stirs up
> an underlying issue with Congress as to whether FCC should exist
> at all.

Oh, so they *do* owe an allegiance: to Congress.

Jon

From -3049089258974009238
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:40:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:30:37 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11

Message-ID: <3A8AA49D.E5B69E7@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8A06D9.AA7A78B2@IEEE.org> <20010214090508.13040.00001865@ng-cg1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:39:15 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15961

Steve Robeson wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Madison county here in East Texas is home all four poisonous U.S. snakes.
>
> Five. =)

Cottonmouth, Coral, Copperhead, Rattlesnake, _____________?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -2472610688661823055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:41:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A89EE81.7992@bellsouth.net> <20010214023341.03673.00002228@nso-ck.aol.com>
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <jGxi6.232$HH5.1283924@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 10:40:01 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982165263 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 10:41:03 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 10:41:03 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15962

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> For your benefit, my name is Leonard H. Anderson, not "Mr. Len."

Can I assume from this that you intend to drop your use of dimunutive cute names for some this NG's participants?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -741748293926007592
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:41:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A8A97E2.BEE753C8@arrl.org> <96e87l$a6g$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3A8AA5F9.461D0C98@arrl.org>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <zGxi6.1551$wb6.159103@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 15:41:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 129.111.93.239
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982165279 129.111.93.239 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:41:19 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:41:19 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15963

Who writes the checks?

"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:3A8AA5F9.461D0C98@arrl.org...

> Oh, so they *do* owe an allegiance: to Congress.
>
> Jon
>

From 1949438019210999927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:43:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:33:29 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A8AA549.F2637348@IEEE.org>
References: <20010213134619.13030.00001617@ng-cg1.aol.com> <20010213184722.02997.00002303@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A89F12D.78C8@bellsouth.net> <B6AFBB65.20A1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:42:07 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15964

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> I never knew that snapping turtles grew this large.

Around here, their shells are often two feet long.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7288196596614081069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:45:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8AA814.67E8CE3D@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8A97E2.BEE753C8@arrl.org> <96e87l$a6g$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3A8AA5F9.461D0C98@arrl.org>

```
<zGxi6.1551$wb6.159103@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 10:45:24 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982165533 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 10:45:33 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 10:45:33 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15965

If you mean who authorizes the FCC budget, it's Congress.

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
>
> Who writes the checks?
>
> "Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
> news:3A8AA5F9.461D0C98@arrl.org...
>
> > Oh, so they *do* owe an allegiance: to Congress.
> >
> > Jon
> >


From -6811399714048865774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:47:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:37:42 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A8AA646.5E14591F@IEEE.org>
References: <B6AFB491.20A0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010214074603.13040.00001849@ng-cg1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:46:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15966

Steve Robeson wrote:
>      Ham radio in the United States is a SERVICE that is ALSO a hobby.
```

Yep, from Webster's: "service - an administrative division" The "service"
is actually a service being performed *for* the citizens *by* the FCC.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -4560115684201413247
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:51:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:41:43 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A8AA737.31339A93@IEEE.org>
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net> <t8ku2j3ncfflb4@corp.supernews.com>
<96dvmc$9k7$0@dosa.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:50:22 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12516
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15967

Bruce W wrote:
> Sorry, you don't get to decide for everyone just what is the purpose of
> "this" newsgroup

You guys need to take a course in, "How to win friends and influence
people."
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -8058524396956194926
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a4a86c2345f463bd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:52:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews3

```
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: > Sign "BOYCOTT KENWOOD" after your call!!!! <
Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:06:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <96e6t602q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8A0130.C914878B@netcom.com> <3A8A04DF.9F607C1E@ix.netcom.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-233.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15968

In article <3A8A04DF.9F607C1E@ix.netcom.com>, wa2ise@ix.netcom.com says...
>
>Wouldn't that be considered business communications, and
>as such not allowed on the ham bands?
>
>CW not CB wrote:
>
>> Kenwood -- proven anti-coders.  It is time to pull their CB plug.
>> SIGN "BOYCOTT KENWOOD" AFTER YOUR CALL.
>

Yes, especially if he's making money from such a botcott by promoting it on the
air.




From 2332235396870487926
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:52:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A8A97E2.BEE753C8@arrl.org> <96e87l$a6g$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3A8AA5F9.461D0C98@arrl.org>
<zGxi6.1551$wb6.159103@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3A8AA814.67E8CE3D@arrl.org>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <sRxi6.1336$sn5.146769@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
```

```
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 15:52:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 129.111.93.239
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982165976 129.111.93.239 (Wed, 14 Feb
2001 07:52:56 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:52:56 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15969

They that's where the "allegiance" is.  If Congress isn't happy they write
smaller checks.
But I think that the answer to whom they owe their allegiance to is the
president.  Aren't they an arm of the executive branch like the EPA?

Kevin, WB5RUE
http://www.qsl.net/wb5rue



"Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:3A8AA814.67E8CE3D@arrl.org...
> If you mean who authorizes the FCC budget, it's Congress.
>
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
> >
> > Who writes the checks?
> >
> > "Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
> > news:3A8AA5F9.461D0C98@arrl.org...
> >
> > > Oh, so they *do* owe an allegiance: to Congress.
> > >
> > > Jon
> > >




From 6245232855911928315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:52:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!enews.sgi.com!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:09:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <96e73212q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A886BC0.F9E3EE69@ovis.net> <20010213184720.02997.00002301@nso-
```

mg.aol.com> <3A8A0514.C369D3AA@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-336.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15970

In article <3A8A0514.C369D3AA@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...

>Clerks at convenience stores sell cigarettes but not all of them smoke.

I know of one lunchmeat company that makes a lot of ham and pork products, and the owner is Jewish.

From -2200926499794497238
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:53:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:16:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <96e7g622q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net> <3A8A0724.1DF545EC@oio.net>
<96dnig$b6m$0@pita.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-510.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12517
rec.radio.amateur.policy:15971

In article <96dnig$b6m$0@pita.alt.net>, ham22@lambda.org says...
>
>
>On Tue, 13 Feb 2001 22:18:44 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
>: PS - I can't care less about your sexual preferences. I DO care
>
>Sure you do.
>
>:   when you get 'in my face' with them. You keep your preferences
>
>See there? You have a rationalization immediately handy. That is

>immediately followed by the prejudiced, homophobic statement below:
>
>:   to your self, and I'll keep how I feel about your 'type' of
>:   perversion to my self. Hows that?
>
>Your perversion is your complete dedication to preserving your
>own personal ignorance. You need help.

Which is more important to you, Bruce? Furthering anti-discrimination awareness, or personal liberties of an individual or group of individuals? Be careful how you answer.

From -7575734244939384637
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a4a86c2345f463bd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:53:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: > Sign "BOYCOTT KENWOOD" after your call!!!! <
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:43:55 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3A8AA7BB.F1AAFED5@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8A0975.506EDB70@IEEE.org> <20010214060348.17693.00000937@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.a6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:52:33 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15972

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >CW not CB wrote:
> >> Kenwood -- proven anti-coders.
>
> >Kenwood rigs won't send CW?
>
> Cecil, you really didn't expect logic from someone who posts and reposts the
> same mantra year after year did you?

It was rhetorical logic, Ed. :-)

> The no-code folks love him; he supports their cause by making the pro-code
> folks appear bad.

Maybe he's a nocoder?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -3111211849154923891
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 07:59:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8AAB6C.8D76EA09@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
References: <B6AFB491.20A0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010214074603.13040.00001849@ng-cg1.aol.com> <3A8AA646.5E14591F@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 10:59:40 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982166392 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 10:59:52 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 10:59:52 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15973

Cecil wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson wrote:
> >   Ham radio in the United States is a SERVICE that is ALSO a hobby.
>
> Yep, from Webster's: "service - an administrative division" The "service"
> is actually a service being performed *for* the citizens *by* the FCC.

Also from Webster's: "service - the act of copulating with a female
animal"

But neither of those definitions is applicable. The Webster's definition
that *is* applicable is:

service - a facility supplying some public demand <telephone ~><bus ~>

Jon

From -8142336924458923940
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:04:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8AAC69.F55BEAE@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8A97E2.BEE753C8@arrl.org> <96e87l$a6g$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3A8AA5F9.461D0C98@arrl.org>
<zGxi6.1551$wb6.159103@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3A8AA814.67E8CE3D@arrl.org>
<sRxi6.1336$sn5.146769@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 37
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 11:03:53 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982166642 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 11:04:02 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 11:04:02 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15974

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
>
> They that's where the "allegiance" is. If Congress isn't happy they write
> smaller checks.
> But I think that the answer to whom they owe their allegiance to is the
> president. Aren't they an arm of the executive branch like the EPA?

No, although that's a common misconception. See:

http://www.fcc.gov/cib/handbook.html#communications

wherein it says:

"The FCC was established by the Communications Act of 1934 as an independent United States government agency directly responsible to Congress."

Jon

> Kevin, WB5RUE
> http://www.qsl.net/wb5rue

```
>
> "Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
> news:3A8AA814.67E8CE3D@arrl.org...
> > If you mean who authorizes the FCC budget, it's Congress.
> >
> > "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
> > >
> > > Who writes the checks?
> > >
> > > "Jon Bloom" <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
> > > news:3A8AA5F9.461D0C98@arrl.org...
> > >
> > > > Oh, so they *do* owe an allegiance: to Congress.
> > > >
> > > > Jon
> > > >


From 4229395596269407577
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:08:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 16:08:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <96eaia$7d0$0@208.207.71.170>
References: <20010213210822.01651.00001493@nso-fp.aol.com>
<20010214064130.17693.00000941@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.170
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15975



W1RFI wrote:
>
> The number of topics that SHOULD be known (not by individual amateurs, Dick --
> by the Service as a whole) is greater than nearly any individual would be
> expected to accomplish.  The depth of knowledge that could be known (Dick, this
> is not forcing you to have to learn anything, I promise) is greater than most
> hams would ever learn across the board.

 Aw, shucks...no opportunity for a comeback?
```

IMHO, once hams have met the
> requirements of our licensing structure, they are free to learn, or not learn,
> as they will. Look at the reaction here by one individual just because I said
> that hams should (not must) learn modern radio technology.

Thats what you think you said, but youi forgot that you incoirporated
the output of two FCC officials on the same subject, and that was
included with your opinion. You already know, if you want to recognize
it, my beliefs on hte subjects of what hams should know.

Would you really
> want to see that reaction multiplied by encoding that Hare-brained idea into a
> license structure? I wouldn't.
>
> I think that the best way to accomplish learning is to lay the foundation with
> testing and then rely on the very nature of the Service to take care of the
> rest.

Which makes the validity and quality of the tests even more imppotant.

It does no good to have all these high flown beliefs if the
implementation of testing is inadequately poor. I believe it is. You say
you don't. The knowledge level of the "average ham", or lack of it, is
the proof.

```
From 7191870728341665621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:13:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 16:13:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <96eaqd$7d3$0@208.207.71.170>
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214065336.17693.00000946@ng-
da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.170
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15976
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> We administer exams to people who are interested in radio. That's about
> >> the only way I can characterize them as a group. I'd be surprised if
> >> your group couldn't be characterized in the same way.
>
> > Of course they could, but of course that's not the only way they can be
> >characterized.
>
> I have seen some of your characterization, Dick. I see it as an extremist view
> and do not believe that it represents a characterization of most people taking
> tests.
>
> People are not becoming hams because they don't want to learn anything.

That;s your story, and you're sticking to it.
But that's right- a large percentage of them become hams because they
want to talk on the radio, and not much else.

From 4950098809694922148
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:15:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!
newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 16:12:21 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <96eap5$8bs$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3A8A06D9.AA7A78B2@IEEE.org> <20010214090508.13040.00001865@ng-
cg1.aol.com> <3A8AA49D.E5B69E7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 982167141 8572 205.139.138.14 (14 Feb 2001 16:12:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 16:12:21 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15977

```
In article <3A8AA49D.E5B69E7@IEEE.org>, Cecil  <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:
>Steve Robeson wrote:
>>
>> >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>
>> >Madison county here in East Texas is home all four poisonous U.S. snakes.
>>
>>      Five.  =)
>
>Cottonmouth, Coral, Copperhead, Rattlesnake, W6RCA
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
 -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net
```

```
From 3068623968028021337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:15:32 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 16:14:31 GMT
Message-ID: <3a8a92d3.73942348@news2.dvol.com>
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <3A86F06A.14C7B866@arrl.org>
<3a881cbb.320127373@news2.dvol.com> <3A883F8C.1B827D05@arrl.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.149
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.149
X-Trace: 14 Feb 2001 10:13:04 -0600, 64.24.18.149
Lines: 108
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15978
```

On Mon, 12 Feb 2001 14:54:52 -0500, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:

>
>I think you meant Carl Stevenson (Freudian slip?).
>
. . . uhhhh . .
>
>But Ed, who was
>accused of favoring the "Agenda," isn't Carl. Nor am I.
>
Din say *you* were.
>
>And if you
>search the NG archives (once Google brings Deja back online with the
>full 1995-and-later archive), you'll find that both Ed and I have
>repeatedly challenged Carl's views on just that point.
>
Ed is in here supporting HF spread spectrum ops.
>
>Dick's mistake,
>yours too, I guess, is to think that just because we want to see new
>technology brought into Amateur Radio that we buy the rest of Carl's
>agenda. We don't.
>
I didn't state that Jon, I said that there is a well-defined
multi-point agenda out there which is spearheaded by Stevenson. We all
know what those points are and those are my squawks.
>
>Nor is Carl's agenda an inevitable consequence of
>adopting new technology. Carl and a few others want to give wideband
>modes carte blanche; I don't think that's necessary in order to make use
>of those technologies,
>
I'm having a very difficult time believing that a mode which scatters
bits and bytes all over some significant HF bandwidth is not gonna
materially degrade the noise level for other users of that bandwidth.
That's my whole and only problem with HF wideband modes.
>
>and I think that existing narrowband modes should
>have some protection from wideband (as they do). That's particularly
>true on HF.
>
Good.
>
>> One of The Agenda's favorite hype points for justifying the abolition
>> of the code tests was and is to permit all the technical geniuses who
>> were gonna flood the bands with new technologies to get on the air
>> without being "hindered" by the code tests.
>
>But did I say that? Did Ed?
>
No, but Squiggy and plenty of others certainly have used that line
which was my point.

>
>
>I agree that most hams aren't chomping at the bit to see SS implemented.
>But do you think most hams are *against* it ever being implemented?
>
First off some huge percentage of all hams have no idea what ss is let
alone it's potential upsides and downsides. I'm quite convinced that
if they did understand ss and there was a proposal on the table to
implement HF ss there would be a major uproar in opposition.
>
Second, I'm not opposed to ss as a mode if used in appropriate chunks
of ham spectrum space. Which does NOT include 7.000-7.300 Mhz . .
>
>Also, do you think that those who are interested in bringing modern
>technologies into ham radio are necessarily anti-CW and thus need to be
>suppressed?
>
Of course not. I'm out here applauding the work being done in the
field of HF narrowband digital modes. This work is gonna lead to
something very big one of these days. Note that for the most part
those contributers are not playing the lame old "catch up with the
commercials" game ss proponents are playing.
>
>I agree that the historical model of amateur adoption of technology
>probably continues to be the best path. I see no reason why FHSS or any
>other technology that confers real benefits should not follow that path.
>I don't think the HF amateur bands are best suited to that particular
>technology, nor that the potential benefits of that technology are
>maximized at HF. But I think there are real potential benefits at VHF
>and UHF, I think those benefits can begin to be realized without unduly
>bothering existing narrowband users,
>
We're 100% agreed here. There's plenty of absolutely dead VHF/UHF ham
spectrum space, use it or lose it, go for it. Or make it happen or
quit babbling about it.
>
>and I'm disappointed that we
>haven't made more progress along those lines.
>
The question is "Why hasn't more progress been made?". I suspect the
answer lies in the realities of Marketing 101. "I already have a 56.6
kbs connection", etc. My own bottom line is that if ss stays above 30
Mhz where it belongs I have no problem with or particular interest in
ss.
>
>I don't perceive one iota
>of anti-CW agenda in that.
>
My comments were aimed at Squiggy's agenda which is a rabid anti-cw
stance from top to bottom.
>
>Jon
>

```
From -5053831254902322023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:16:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 16:16:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <96eb1h$8et$0@208.207.71.170>
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214065746.17693.00000948@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.170
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15979
```

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >We all agree that is the objective. But it is clear to me that it
> >frequently is not met. I don't suppose it really matters, but what it
> >has done over time is to cause the validity of the testing system- and
> >many of its licensees to come under serious ridicule-from many other
> >hams. And I don't mean me, either. I frequently hear it on the air.
>
> I don't believe that I have ever been in a QSO where the op on the other end
> told me that he thought the current spate of amateur tests was dumbed down and
> that vast hordes of people were doing no more than memorizing them. What
> percentage of your QSOs contained this content? Did the op on the other end
> bring it up on his own, or did you initiate that discussion. Did his wife call
> him to dinner very soon after? :-)

Ed, I have never considered you a smartass, but I do believe you're about to get there. Most of my information on the subject has been gleaned not from my qso's but from listening to that of others.
You really are ignoring reality, and I'm far from the only other ham to know it.

From -6535405275837317854
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:19:34 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 16:15:26 GMT
Message-ID: <3a8a9031.73267986@news2.dvol.com>
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-cu1.aol.com> <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <3A86F06A.14C7B866@arrl.org> <3a881cbb.320127373@news2.dvol.com> <3A883B06.B70BCF53@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.149
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.149
X-Trace: 14 Feb 2001 10:13:58 -0600, 64.24.18.149
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15980

On Mon, 12 Feb 2001 13:35:34 -0600, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>
>I'm sure there were forward looking hams talking up SSB in the 30's,
>Brian. Do you think they were way off base?
>--

Those guys weren't "forward looking", they implemented a
commercialized technology - which is exactly what this ss thrash is
all about.

>

AT&T commercialized tranoceanic ssb years before it first showed up on
the ham bands in 1934. In the case of ssb the mode was and is
compatible with the existing HF modes and existing regulations .
Spread spectrum is neither which is one big difference.

>
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

w3rv

From -127479622681629912
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:21:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:25:23 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <96e81332q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <GpVh6.198$HH5.1162800@news.ntplx.net>
<96a69g$p9l$1@208.207.71.146> <96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com>
<ebsi8t8a4ludib3av9qjulmku256br0mtv@4ax.com> <96ccd141tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96cq0i02s48@enews1.newsguy.com> <6o8k8tcsf1hp8c2nk0s4m041bc9vn1m2e3@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-669.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15981

In article <6o8k8tcsf1hp8c2nk0s4m041bc9vn1m2e3@4ax.com>, nomail@nomail.com
says...
>
>tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
>>Never mind. I think I will list some more. I'm sure you'll recognize these
>>names, with some comments on some of them.
>>Paul Allen and Bill Gates (Founders of Microsoft)
>>Frank Lloyd Wright (Architect)
>>Arthur C. Clarke (Author of "2001: A Space Odyssey")
>>Walt Disney (Animator)
>>William Faulkner (Author, Nobel prize winner. He received no higher than a
"D"
>>in college English)
>>Peter Jennings (News Anchor)
>>Steve Jobs (Founder of Apple Computer)
>>Stanley Kubrick (Film director. He didn't even pass his admissions tests to
>>college)
>>Louis L'Amour (Author)
>>Chuck Yeager (Aviator)
>>Michael Dell (Founder of Dell Computer)
>>I could list more from the history books, but I think I've made my point.
>
>If your point is that most people will be better off with a library
>card instead of getting a college degree,  I think you're missing the
>big picture... :-)

My point is that greatness is not always reached by following the path that
everyone says you must follow.
```

```
From -3879713762917686346
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:21:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!
enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:28:49 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <96e87h42q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <96cb4411tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96d9i8$d15$0@208.207.71.136>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-707.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15982

In article <96d9i8$d15$0@208.207.71.136>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>> What is the motivation for most people to want to obtain an amateur license?
D
>o
>> you think it's because the want to provide and be a part of a service, or do
>> you think it might be a nice hobby to persue? Listen to the conversations on
>> the air. With a few (very few) exceptions, do you really believe most of
these
>> conversations are providing a service?
>
> I spent the evening today at our monthly RACES meeting. With Spring on
>the way, it was also the annual "Storm Spotters Training Session", with
>the house filled with non-ham sheriff's deputies, local police, firemen,
>EMT's and other volunteer storm spotters. (We have lots of foul Spring
>weather in the Midwest including your share of tornados).
> The training session was conducted by a very competent young
>meteoroligist from the National Weather Service.  One of his points was
>that ham radio operators are invaluable in the conduct of this program.
>He noted that the regional NWS HQ where the regional doppler radar is
>located has a ham radio station with at least one operator active each
>time severe storms are anticipated, along with the many trained hams who
```

```
>are weather spotters in the field, and that they consider this effort to
>be critical to the success of effective weather warning.
> You can regard that as hobby if you wish. I call it service.

...and that fits into the catagory of very few exceptions that I mentioned.



From 5546743486009583711
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:21:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:33:55 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <96e8h352q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96caga01tbj@enews2.newsguy.com> <B6AF950B.209B%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-778.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15983

In article <B6AF950B.209B%stewartx@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>
>T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
>> To some, ham radio is a religion, and
>> religious fanatics will never quit
>> perpetuating a myth.
>
>
>  You're probably right, but every so often the heretic has to come along
>and shake things up a bit.  :-)
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

Too bad for some of them that burning at the stake was outlawed 200 years ago.
```

From 3857755383900059879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:22:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:43:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <96e92u62q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <GpVh6.198$HH5.1162800@news.ntplx.net>
<96a69g$p9l$1@208.207.71.146> <96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com>
<96bnp1$41d$0@208.207.71.143> <96cc4i31tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96d9ne$d15$1@208.207.71.136>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-911.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15984

In article <96d9ne$d15$1@208.207.71.136>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>> So then why should you or anyone else decide what *I* want to get out of ham
>> radio?
>
> It's for your own protection, T L, so you won't get ran over when you
>get in the way.

It's strange that you chose that analogy. It's usually the people that have been driving for years that are in the way. You know the type; The drive below the minimum speed limit (45 MPH on most limit access freeways), they can't see over the top of the steering wheel, and when you pass them, all you see is the top of a head that has white hair on it.

From -68101453174344145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:22:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:47:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <96e9a272q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <96cb4411tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<B6AF99D0.209C%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-955.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15985

In article <B6AF99D0.209C%stewartx@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>
>T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
>> What is the motivation for most people
>> to want to obtain an amateur license?
>> Do you think it's because the want to
>> provide and be a part of a service, or do
>> you think it might be a nice hobby to
>> persue? Listen to the conversations on
>> the air. With a few (very few) exceptions,
>> do you really believe most of these
>> conversations are providing a service?
>
>
> Since your question is a derivative of my comments, let me add my two
>cents worth. I think a lot of people do it for both reasons. When I got
>into ham radio, I did so with visions of contacting people in far off lands
>and was also very interested in the MARS program. Of course, since I went
>to Italy shortly thereafter, contacting people in far off lands was a lot
>easier than I expected. And, because of that extended trip overseas, I
>never really did anything about my interest in MARS (by the time I got back,
>the C.G. Aux had my interest instead).
>
> And you might be amazed at how many of those people conversing on the
>radio are involved with public service. Ninety-five percent of the C.G. Aux
>communications personnel are hams. And good number of hams in this area are
>registered with civil defense. Of course, there is never enough people
>involved in public service, but there is still a respectable number of hams
>involved.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

That would fit in with the very few exceptions. The score is now as follows:

```
Hobby:    1500
Service   .002
```

```
From 3040639503851767635
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:39:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 16:39:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <96ecbl$bhd$0@208.207.71.170>
References: <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <20010212060521.24681.00000736@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <9691me$jih$0@208.206.142.98> <icVh6.196$HH5.1161580@news.ntplx.net>
<96a5u6$ohf$0@208.207.71.146> <uQhi6.219$HH5.1237757@news.ntplx.net>
<96d8k7$auc$0@208.207.71.136> <3A8A7FA3.5CBB29F7@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.170
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15986
```

Jon Bloom wrote:

```
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> >  Ed: I have never owned equipment useful on any frequency above 440 mhz
> > and I traded off my 440 rig because there was no use for it here. It
> > would shake me not at all if FCC took most of 440 and everything above
> > it.
>
> Now, when I said:
> > > Much of the difficulty we experience in the amateur
> > > community arises from those who are unwilling to accept that the
> > > interests of others are as valid and valuable as their own.
>
> Dick said:
> >  Nonsense, and you know it.
>
```

> Yet Dick would be unshaken if the activities of hams who frequent 440 and above were done away with. It would be hard to think of a clearer example of the destructive attitude I was referring to.

Except that: Dick has seen firsthand, during a thirty year career, how the public need for frequencies outweighs any "hobby" need, more especially when those frequencies go virtually unused. His attitudes on this subject are far from new.

Factually, if there was any activity in the region I would have most likely joined in, even at the microwave frequencies. I just don't like talking to myself. Why do you think I bought a 440 rig in the first place? Once for a while there acutually was a 440 machine in range, but its infrequent use led to its abandonment. I doubt it would shake all that many if much of out UHF/SHF spectrum was taken. I still have a dualband HT, and take it along with I travel. The lack of use on those allocations is good reason for their withdrawal.

So you Great Leaders at Newington carry on. I believe we're finally beginning to see where you really are coming from.
(We know, you're posting here "personally")

```
From 2196028437076072086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:42:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 16:42:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <96ecib$bul$0@208.207.71.170>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <96cb4411tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96d9i8$d15$0@208.207.71.136> <3A8A8307.F9EC64CF@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.170
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15987
```

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > The training session was conducted by a very competent young
> > meteoroligist from the National Weather Service. One of his points was
> > that ham radio operators are invaluable in the conduct of this program.
> > He noted that the regional NWS HQ where the regional doppler radar is
> > located has a ham radio station with at least one operator active each
> > time severe storms are anticipated, along with the many trained hams who
> > are weather spotters in the field, and that they consider this effort to
> > be critical to the success of effective weather warning.
>
> Did he happen to mention that only those hams who passed a "real" exam
> -- not the ones given during the last two decades -- are contributing to
> the success of the NWS activities? If not, maybe the NWS can't tell the
> difference between the "real"
> hams and the others. Maybe there is no difference.
>

Hell no, man, a Real Ham� is one who has a piece of paper in his pocket
and is smart enough to find an AC plug for the "radio" and, maybe, to
plug a PL259 into it. Just don't ask him to solder on the PL259.

From 5446533056876913073
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:50:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 15:55:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <96e9q982q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010213171235.17237.00001964@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<B6AF9FFB.209E%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-109.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15988

In article <B6AF9FFB.209E%stewartx@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...

> Gee, I know you're so much smarter than the average human being, WA8ULX,
>but I know I learned something by memorizing those questions and answers.
>And I learned even more by reading the rest of the book that contained those
>questions and answers. And I learned still more by further reading not
>associated with that license exam. And I learned still more from my
>experiences since obtaining that license.
>
> A large part of learning is memorization, WA8ULX. I assume even you had
>to once memorize things as part of your learning process (but maybe you were
>too smart to need that). When we're children, we learn to speak by
>memorizing what others have said. Later, we memorize rules for spelling,
>shapes for writing, dates in history, formulas for math, theories for
>science, and so forth.
>
> After we memorize all those things, we can then go forward to apply those
>memories to the real world (and perhaps if we remember how we applied them,
>we might learn still more).
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

WA8ULX should pay more attention to the rules and regulations part of the
exams. He lived and operated from his current QTH for roughly two years before
changing his address with the FCC.

From -2499866218269283045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a4a86c2345f463bd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:51:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: > Sign "BOYCOTT KENWOOD" after your call!!!! <
Date: 14 Feb 2001 16:01:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <96ea4792q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8A0130.C914878B@netcom.com> <3A8A04DF.9F607C1E@ix.netcom.com>
<96e6t602q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-177.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15989

In article <96e6t602q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com says...
>
>In article <3A8A04DF.9F607C1E@ix.netcom.com>, wa2ise@ix.netcom.com says...
>>

```
>>Wouldn't that be considered business communications, and
>>as such not allowed on the ham bands?
>>
>>CW not CB wrote:
>>
>>> Kenwood -- proven anti-coders.  It is time to pull their CB plug.
>>> SIGN "BOYCOTT KENWOOD" AFTER YOUR CALL.
>>
>
>Yes, especially if he's making money from such a botcott by promoting it on
the
>air.
>

Sorry. That should be "boycott"




From -5355533633315713399
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:51:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!
news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 16:51:45 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <96ed31$e70$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A8AA5F9.461D0C98@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982169505 14560 149.174.88.251 (14
Feb 2001 16:51:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 16:51:45 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15990

>Oh, so they *do* owe an allegiance: to Congress.

No, they're independent; all they "owe" is to render
respectful decisions, i.e., ones that don't take on
a dictatorial flavor.

> "The FCC was established by the Communications
>Act of 1934 as an independent United States government
>agency directly responsible to Congress."

Your first and second statements are at odds, and implies
confusion. The second statement in itself again is somewhat
```

at odds: being "independent" and "responsible to" doesn't actually mesh. Then again, such sentence construction doesn't surprise me when it comes to politics.

And that's what you're back to: politics. Thus, returning to our original subject, a Commission-to-Amateur Radio relationship doesn't exist in a vacuum. FCC has to interact with the President, the Congress, and legislative branches. When the Commission is advised to bring a certain administration policy to completion, it's the Commission's job to do it seamlessly the way it sees fit. But they won't arrive at a seamless solution for Joe Ham, because the Commission's business IS business, and not one whit having to do with education per se. Thus no surprise when it comes to their recent R&O. Given the politics, it's simply beyond their capability to write effectively without being caught in a logic hole. That's why politics, and politicians, are far worse than any disease, because they go on forever.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

```
From 5849923771558012412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:52:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 16:52:27 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t8ldubpio1bc8f@news.supernews.com>
References: <963mc6$cp1$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211033649.21689.00000464@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <t8iqqrq0d3u8fc@news.supernews.com>
<nRfi6.215$HH5.1232473@news.ntplx.net>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 18
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15991
```

How can you say that Ed? We've never had a QSO with each other before. The ham bands have gotten worse since April 2000. You tell me what's changed.

KB7ADL

w1rfi@arrl.net (Ed Hare, W1RFI) wrote in
<nRfi6.215$HH5.1232473@news.ntplx.net>:

>I think I will let that be the last word, Vince; you said it better
>than I could with your personal example.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>

From -8221618630289107540
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 08:55:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 16:55:04 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <96ed98$e70$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A8A975B.62BE@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982169704 14560 149.174.88.251 (14 Feb 2001 16:55:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 16:55:04 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15992

..why do they "have to keep quiet" (your words)

Not my words or context. Read it again.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -4677539144059509209
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:01:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8AB9C2.AC45DB20@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-cu1.aol.com> <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <3A86F06A.14C7B866@arrl.org> <3a881cbb.320127373@news2.dvol.com> <3A883F8C.1B827D05@arrl.org> <3a8a92d3.73942348@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 75
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:00:50 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982170062 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:01:02 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:01:02 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15993

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Mon, 12 Feb 2001 14:54:52 -0500, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
> >And if you
> >search the NG archives (once Google brings Deja back online with the
> >full 1995-and-later archive), you'll find that both Ed and I have
> >repeatedly challenged Carl's views on just that point.
> >
> Ed is in here supporting HF spread spectrum ops.

Yes, although Ed has been pretty clear that he wants to ensure that existing users will have protection. Me, I'm from Missouri on this one: I want to see specific proposals and clear analyses of the effect of proposed operations on narrowband users before I support the concept, although I'm not willing to reject the idea of HF SS out-of-hand. So far, no such analyses have been forthcoming. Nor have any specific proposals other than carte blanche.

> >Dick's mistake,
> >yours too, I guess, is to think that just because we want to see new
> >technology brought into Amateur Radio that we buy the rest of Carl's
> >agenda. We don't.
> >
> I didn't state that Jon, I said that there is a well-defined
> multi-point agenda out there which is spearheaded by Stevenson. We all
> know what those points are and those are my squawks.

Mine too. And I'm glad to see that you understand that it's not my, Ed's or ARRL's agenda. Now if you could explain that to Dick, because I've had no luck doing so.

> I'm having a very difficult time believing that a mode which scatters
> bits and bytes all over some significant HF bandwidth is not gonna
> materially degrade the noise level for other users of that bandwidth.

> That's my whole and only problem with HF wideband modes.

I think it may be possible to implement limited FHSS on HF without unduly affecting narrowband users, although I suspect the necessary limitations will reduce the utility of HF SS. Again, I'll await a specific proposal before making any final commitment.

> >I agree that most hams aren't chomping at the bit to see SS implemented.
> >But do you think most hams are *against* it ever being implemented?
> >
> First off some huge percentage of all hams have no idea what ss is let
> alone it's potential upsides and downsides.

Exactly.

> I'm quite convinced that
> if they did understand ss and there was a proposal on the table to
> implement HF ss there would be a major uproar in opposition.

Details, details, the devil's in the details.

> Note that for the most part
> those contributers are not playing the lame old "catch up with the
> commercials" game ss proponents are playing.

The ways in which I would like to see hams using SS have little to do with what's happening commercially.

> >and I'm disappointed that we
> >haven't made more progress along those lines.
> >
> The question is "Why hasn't more progress been made?". I suspect the
> answer lies in the realities of Marketing 101. "I already have a 56.6
> kbs connection", etc.

I don't think it has even gotten to that stage. There is as yet no amateur SS implementation against which to compare your 56-k connection. When there is, I hope it's more than just a means of implementing a high-speed connection.

Jon

From -3990728603953359757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:11:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8ABC4A.15644C3F@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8AA5F9.461D0C98@arrl.org> <96ed31$e70$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 47
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:11:38 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982170710 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:11:50 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:11:50 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15994
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

> >Oh, so they *do* owe an allegiance: to Congress.
>
> No, they're independent; all they "owe" is to render
> respectful decisions, i.e., ones that don't take on
> a dictatorial flavor.

In other words, decisions that Congress doesn't feel like overturning.
Ultimately, Congress has the power, and the FCC knows it.

> > "The FCC was established by the Communications
> >Act of 1934 as an independent United States government
> >agency directly responsible to Congress."
>
> Your first and second statements are at odds, and implies
> confusion. The second statement in itself again is somewhat
> at odds: being "independent" and "responsible to" doesn't
> actually mesh. Then again, such sentence construction doesn't
> surprise me when it comes to politics.

That's only because you fail to put the sentence in context.
"Independent" means "independent of the executive branch." With that in
mind, the sentence makes perfect sense.

> And that's what you're back to: politics. Thus, returning to
> our original subject, a Commission-to-Amateur Radio
> relationship doesn't exist in a vacuum.

Should it?

> FCC has to
> interact with the President, the Congress, and legislative
> branches. When the Commission is advised to bring a certain
> administration policy to completion, it's the Commission's
> job to do it seamlessly the way it sees fit. But they won't

> arrive at a seamless solution for Joe Ham, because the Commission's business IS business, and not one whit having to do with education per se.

Their business is the public interest. Clearly, they view promotion of business as part of that public interest, largely because Congress told them to. I'm not always comfortable with the degree to which business interests are promoted by the FCC, but while they are promoting them there is nothing secretive about it.

Jon

From -8826900204224890010
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:13:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 17:11:57 GMT
References: <3A8A0514.C369D3AA@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010214121157.03673.00002247@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15995

In article <3A8A0514.C369D3AA@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>Clerks at convenience stores sell cigarettes but not all of them smoke.
>
>Are you trying to gain a seat on the commission or would you like to be a ham?

Clerks at service meat counters in supermarkets sell hams but they don't have to be one.

Dave, you make truly stupid analogies...sort of like your stated CW order-wire for RTTY which you say (now) was some kind of "State Department procedure."   :-)

No one has to be accountable to your judgement, certainly not all the newcomers to US amateur radio who chose non-code-test licenses over code-tested ones by a large margin. That will continue if past is prologue...and it does indeed look like that.

didit

From -538049588612572336
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:13:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 17:11:57 GMT
References: <20010214003327.00445.00001952@nso-bj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010214121157.03673.00002246@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15996

In article <20010214003327.00445.00001952@nso-bj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>Try to understand where US amateur radio is going for the future.
>
>I thought you claimed that I could not predict the future, Len. Are you
>predicting the future?

"...going FOR the future." You have terrible reading comprehension skills, N2EY.

The future starts immediately after reading this. :-)

>>Denial of the obvious is not a good thing, benefits no one,
>>cannot change official positions.
>
>Then why do you keep denying the obvious errors you have made in your posts?

Why are you denying you've made obvious errors in the thread?
I told you the original conditions. You want to change the conditions to suit your pro-code agenda and argue with someone. Put it to rest.

Newcomers to US amateur radio are going more to no-code.

That is not an error. Acceptance is the first step to healing.

didit

```
From 5939484559371498043
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:16:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Date: 14 Feb 2001 17:15:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <96eef2$fv9$0@208.207.71.170>
References: <3A8A06D9.AA7A78B2@IEEE.org> <20010214090508.13040.00001865@ng-
cg1.aol.com> <3A8AA49D.E5B69E7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.170
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15997



Cecil wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson wrote:
> >
> > >From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >
> > >Madison county here in East Texas is home all four poisonous U.S. snakes.
> >
> >      Five.  =)
>
> Cottonmouth, Coral, Copperhead, Rattlesnake, ___Cecil__.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 2246518358253148159
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:16:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <p7fl8tcnah6uivodd6nltec9jgbc0kuebo@4ax.com>
References: <20010214003326.00445.00001951@nso-bj.aol.com> <20010214061511.17693.00000938@ng-da1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 17:15:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.252
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982170931 209.86.206.252 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:15:31 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:15:31 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15998

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> More than a year ago I recall him posting that he was going
> for Extra, had a new house with no antenna restrictions, the works.
> None of it has happened.

My Lucent stock dropped another 10% yesterday after their debt rating was lowered. Put that on top of big losses, alleged financial hanky panky, and looming layoffs and maybe Carl's got other things to worry about...

From 7820301553662301401
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:16:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <4rel8tk05u0ovnelj9sed2v4icmes30d9m@4ax.com>
References: <96d3gs$rgi$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214061658.17693.00000939@ng-da1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 17:15:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.252
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net

X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982170932 209.86.206.252 (Wed, 14 Feb
2001 09:15:32 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:15:32 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15999

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>The FCC knows full well how question pools work.

The FCC probably believes as just as you do. Today's tests are a good
as they can be with today's restrictions: No money to properly create
and administer FCC monitored tests, and no secrecy due to Bash like
Internet web sites.

>They [FCC] apparently don't believe that vast hordes of hams are
>memorizing over a thousand questions

I'm sure the FCC knows exactly what's going on. How could they not
know what's going on? These get your Technician license in one day
schools should be a clue...

>without learning something in the process.

You do learn "something" by memorizing the tests. But not a reasonable
percentage of the tested material...

From -729250695187835485
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:16:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <gqel8tk69mlfolp2jfg45vd3stjvvjblsp@4ax.com>
References: <20010213171235.17237.00001964@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<20010214064419.17693.00000943@ng-da1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 17:15:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.252
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982170934 209.86.206.252 (Wed, 14 Feb
2001 09:15:34 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:15:34 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16000

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
>>And just how did they learn anything, let alone Basic skills by Memorizing a
>>bunch of Questions which meaN NOTHING to them.
>
>Another "can't do" in operation.

Stating the truth doesn't mean "can't do". Kim and others here have
told you that they used memorization to pass the exam and didn't
understand it. Why won't you admit the obvious... :-)

From 2168777435019784163
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:16:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <ipel8tg5om7aupj35f4i2iprcc58oret7m@4ax.com>
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214065449.17693.00000947@ng-
da1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 17:15:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.252
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982170936 209.86.206.252 (Wed, 14 Feb
2001 09:15:36 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:15:36 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16002

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>It is easier to learn a few simple formulas and apply
>grammar-school math to solve them

Agreed. Learning formulas is easier than memorization...

>than it is to memorize over 1000 questions without understanding.

Disagree, unless you're already an engineer. Electronics questions
that would take months to learn are easier to pass by using
memorization. And you really don't have to memorize a 1000 questions
word for word like a school play. You just have to be able to
recognize the correct answers, a much easier thing to do...

From -3683440179452352098
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:16:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <6qel8tc2p33cvf3o7eecpga531qnm9ollc@4ax.com>
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214065336.17693.00000946@ng-da1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 17:15:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.252
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982170935 209.86.206.252 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:15:35 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:15:35 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16001

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>People are not becoming hams because they don't want to learn anything.

People are becoming hams because they want to get on the air.
They can do all the learning they want without a license... :-)

From 7162138723368696199
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:19:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-xfer.siscom.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8ABF84.47A1@bellsouth.net>

From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net> <t8ku2j3ncfflb4@corp.supernews.com>
<96dvmc$9k7$0@dosa.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 76
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:25:24 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.84
X-Trace: news1.atl 982171180 209.214.185.84 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:19:40 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:19:40 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12521
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16003

Homophobia?

I don't believe that I, or anyone else that frequents this newsgroup have "fear" of queers. I, for one, don't happen to believe that homos are discriminated against. Yeah, that's right, NOT discriminated against. You can hold a job, you can do what you like in private, you have freedom of speech--all the rights of any other American. What the "gays" ARE doing is trying to push a filthy, perverted, Godless, and WRONG lifestyle onto the public. But again you have the right to believe what you want, and I have the same right. One of the things that let this particular "cat" out of the bag was the trend in society to blame somebody else for their own actions--relegating responsibility to "others", or some "factor" in their past. The thing here that is missing is personal R-E-S-P-O-N-S-I-B-I-L-I-T-Y. Regardless of one's so-called "orientation", one can CHOOSE how one will act in and towards the public. The simple fact is, normal people, that is, Heterosexual people (the way GOD intended) will NEVER accept sodomy. It is not so much the fact that homos have been around forever, but the fact that they try to ram it down our throats where our gut reaction is similar to coming across a rotted corpse in the woods. It is a NATURAL reaction and will not be changed.

The thing that separates so-called homosexual discrimination, or homophobia, as you put it, from racial, female, or age discrimination is that people subject to that kind of discrimination can't CHOOSE their race, or gender, or age. These things are natural, homosexuality is not. Homosexuals may, or may not, "choose" their orientation, BUT they CAN choose whether to engage in sodomy, or whether to try to ram it in the public's face. As to the Boy Scout issue, they are a private organization, and private organizations still have the right to freedom of association--as do individuals. A heterosexual has to wonder WHY it was so important for gays to have access to BSA--especially as it pertains to Scoutmasters. Sorry, we can't help but wonder if it was the temptation of those tender young "sweet" virgin boys that made such access so important. Again, the issue is, not one's orientation, but the attempt to force it upon others. OK, so you're gay. Fine. Just keep it to yourself. People will likely "know" you are gay anyhow. But you are less likely to have trouble if you keep it to yourself. That's ALL. I would not harm a "gay" person just because he is that way--only if he attempts to make a "move" on me might I knock hell out of him.

The Bible says this is wrong. As with everything else, each person can choose to believe this Book or not. But for a quite convincing discourse against it, read the book of Romans, Chapter 1, starting about verse 18. After reading that, I cannot help that the "diet" related to homosexuality IS related--you know, the one that guarantees to make one slim. Trouble is, you just don't survive it.

Jerry
K4KWH

Bruce W wrote:
>
> On Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:22:36 -0500, J. Duffy Beischel <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote:
> : Unless the discussion is how well an HT works stuck up some homosexual's
> : ass, I fail to see the relevance in discussing homosexualty, destroying the
> : fine reputation of the Boy Scouts, etc., in a ham radio newsgroup.
> :
> : Let's discuss the hobby, communication methods, etc. The hobby is the
> : purpose of this newsgroup.
>
> Sorry, you don't get to decide for everyone just what is the purpose of
> "this" newsgroup (Which news group, BTW, since two are involved?)
>
> It has long ago been claimed by members of both news groups that hams
> are political, and therefore it's okay to discuss political issues like
> the last elections which were discussed ad naseum here.
>
> Well, guess what Duffy: Hams also have varying sexual orientations.
>
> Lambda has a ham club, for example, and homosexual issues are important
> to a subset of hams, just like packet radio is important to some subset
> of the larger population of amateurs.
>
> So take your thinly disguised homophobia and peddle it elsewhere.

From 9031259076310841772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:36:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 17:36:50 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <96efni$ghg$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A8ABC4A.15644C3F@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982172210 16944 149.174.88.240 (14
Feb 2001 17:36:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 17:36:50 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16004

>In other words, decisions that Congress doesn't feel like
>overturning. Ultimately, Congress has the power, and the FCC
>knows it.

Not at all. Congress only gets into thinking about getting
involved when they rule that FCC acted improperly on one issue or
another, as they did re: the 220 MHz band.

>> Your first and second statements are at odds, and implies
>> confusion. The second statement in itself again is somewhat
>> at odds: being "independent" and "responsible to" doesn't
>> actually mesh. Then again, such sentence construction doesn't
>> surprise me when it comes to politics.

>That's only because you fail to put the sentence in context.
>"Independent" means "independent of the executive branch." With
>that in mind, the sentence makes perfect sense.

It's not a matter of putting the sentence in context; it's a
matter of the difficulties of expressing the written word in
English, often combined with bureaucratic legalese.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG


From 81777081171124244
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:37:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <1hgl8tou13ljgabatdhb86fids3nlro481@4ax.com>
```

```
References: <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu> <9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<GpVh6.198$HH5.1162800@news.ntplx.net> <96a69g$p9l$1@208.207.71.146>
<96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com> <ebsi8t8a4ludib3av9qjulmku256br0mtv@4ax.com>
<96ccd141tbj@enews2.newsguy.com> <96cq0i02s48@enews1.newsguy.com>
<6o8k8tcsf1hp8c2nk0s4m041bc9vn1m2e3@4ax.com> <96e81332q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 17:37:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.4
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982172224 209.86.209.4 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001
09:37:04 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:37:04 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16005
```

tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:

>My point is that greatness is not always reached by following the path that
>everyone says you must follow.

Nobody says that you *have* to go to college. And that's a good thing.
Who would pick up my garbage, clean my streets, and do my landscaping
if everybody were highly educated... :-)

```
From 824103422141553809
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 09:41:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 17:41:31 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <96eg0b$ghg$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A8ABC4A.15644C3F@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982172491 16944 149.174.88.240 (14
Feb 2001 17:41:31 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 17:41:31 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16006
```

>I'm not always comfortable with the degree to which business
>interests are promoted by the FCC, but while they are promoting
>them there is nothing secretive about it.

Who said they were? I'm on the record not only on the point that they gave us 20 years notice, but that the decision was based on economics, as hams just abdicated their position as a technical learning ground.

"And the FCC cheerfully furnished the required rope."

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

```
From -4539280186487641231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:02:25 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:01:46 GMT
Message-ID: <3a8aaf33.81207436@news2.dvol.com>
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <3A86F06A.14C7B866@arrl.org>
<3a881cbb.320127373@news2.dvol.com> <7XZh6.205$HH5.1180218@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.90
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.90
X-Trace: 14 Feb 2001 12:00:19 -0600, 64.24.17.90
Lines: 51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16007
```

On Mon, 12 Feb 2001 18:00:19 -0500, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
wrote:

>Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol> wrote:
>
>. . Those changes would be in the direction of making cw
>> operations more difficult. Certainly there's an agenda.
>
>Yes, there is, but the agenda is toward promoting those modes, not in ending
>CW use.
>
We're talking about Squiggy's clear-cut agenda . . .
>

```
>The fact that CW use would be impacted signficantly (along with
>other narrowband modes) by some of what he proposes is secondary to his
>belief that it is more important that we integrate such modes into amateur
>radio than to preseve the older modes.
>
If he can't integrate new modes into ham radio without negatively
impacting other's use of other modes it simply ain't gonna happen. The
FCC won't let it happen. The only old mode which has completely
disappered is spark and that fell into disuse as a result of the much
more effective cw technology. Ditto (most) HF AM when ssb became the
standard. Neither of those modes posed operational problems for users
of other modes or required rules changes. That's how ham radio works.
His lack of understanding of the fundamentals of what makes ham radio
tick is appalling. Never mind a Tech would "re-invent" HF ham radio by
fiat given the opportunity.
>
>He and I disagree; I believe that our diversity is important.  I don't offer
>that adding new modes will not have any impact on other operation, but I
>believe that it is important to do so in a way that balances the old vs the
>new.  Where that balance will come out will be determined in part by the
>operation permitted under the present rules, when and if that occurs.
>
Somebody better have really sold wideband modes by demonstration where
they are currently permitted before they will be allowed down the
spectrum where the impacts would become international in scope.
>
> If
>not, maybe those new modes are not so suited for the Amateur Radio Service
>after all.
>
They're suitable up the spectrum, whether they attract a following is
a question. They're entirely unsuitable for use on the HF bands which
is where Dick and I are coming from.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv


From 1472877143439805260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:03:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8AC85B.3866413B@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8ABC4A.15644C3F@arrl.org> <96eg0b$ghg$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:03:07 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982173799 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:03:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:03:19 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16008

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> The Commission will do what
> it wants, say what it wants,

Then:
> I'm on the record not only on the point that
> they gave us 20 years notice, but that the decision was based on
> economics, as hams just abdicated their position as a technical
> learning ground.

So they will do what they want, but 20 years after deciding to eliminate
the amateur service they have yet to get it eliminated? That makes no
sense at all.

Jon

From -6416197840514684461
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:17:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!uunet!dca.uu.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8ACBA4.96E2D816@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-cu1.aol.com> <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <3A86F06A.14C7B866@arrl.org> <3a881cbb.320127373@news2.dvol.com> <7XZh6.205$HH5.1180218@news.ntplx.net> <3a8aaf33.81207436@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 35
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:17:08 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982174640 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:17:20 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:17:20 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16009

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> We're talking about Squiggy's clear-cut agenda . . .

Well, we *were* talking about Ed's and my "Agenda" -- at least, Dick was. Do you think Carl's agenda is also ours?

> If he can't integrate new modes into ham radio without negatively impacting other's use of other modes it simply ain't gonna happen. The FCC won't let it happen.

One hopes.

> The only old mode which has completely disappered is spark and that fell into disuse as a result of the much more effective cw technology. Ditto (most) HF AM when ssb became the standard. Neither of those modes posed operational problems for users of other modes or required rules changes.

That's true in the AM case but was not true in the case of spark. There *was* a rule change that made it illegal to use spark. It was necessary because spark was incompatible with CW modes (for reasons similar to our concerns about SS, actually) and because although the vast majority of hams eagerly adopted CW, it would take only a few diehard spark operators to cause real problems.

> They're suitable up the spectrum, whether they attract a following is a question. They're entirely unsuitable for use on the HF bands which is where Dick and I are coming from.

But do all those who think there may be a place for HF SS have the intention of ridding the world of CW? Unless you agree with that, you and Dick are not coming from the same place at all.

Jon

From 1846372632765220730
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:18:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!

not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <96d3gs$rgi$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214061658.17693.00000939@ng-da1.aol.com> <4rel8tk05u0ovnelj9sed2v4icmes30d9m@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <MZzi6.233$HH5.1291682@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:17:17 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982174700 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:18:20 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:18:20 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16010

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> I'm sure the FCC knows exactly what's going on. How could they not
> know what's going on? These get your Technician license in one day
> schools should be a clue...

I could also have probably obtain the license I used to enter ham radio in
one day of studying, too.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9075129187896584788
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:19:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214065336.17693.00000946@ng-da1.aol.com> <96eaqd$7d3$0@208.207.71.170>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <7%zi6.234$HH5.1291673@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:18:44 -0500

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982174787 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:19:47 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:19:47 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16011
```

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > People are not becoming hams because they don't want to learn anything.

> That;s your story, and you're sticking to it.
> But that's right- a large percentage of them become hams because they
> want to talk on the radio, and not much else.

And your story is that people are memorizing over a thousand questions so
they won't learn anything.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 2709699755728683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:22:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214065746.17693.00000948@ng-
da1.aol.com> <96eb1h$8et$0@208.207.71.170>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <O1Ai6.235$HH5.1291984@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:21:34 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982174958 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:22:38 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:22:38 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16012
```

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Ed, I have never considered you a smartass, but I do believe you're
> about to get there. Most of my information on the subject has been

> gleaned not from my qso's but from listening to that of others.
> You really are ignoring reality, and I'm far from the only other ham to
> know it.

I have never overheard a QSO where the topic of discussion was that people are memorizing over a thousand questions without learning anything.

You didn't answer my question about what percentage of your QSOs had that as the subject of the QSO, so perhaps you would be willing to tell us what percentage of the QSOs that you have overheard have had this subject come up during the contact?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2108555388181669880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:25:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <20010212060521.24681.00000736@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9691me$jih$0@208.206.142.98> <icVh6.196$HH5.1161580@news.ntplx.net> <96a5u6$ohf$0@208.207.71.146> <uQhi6.219$HH5.1237757@news.ntplx.net> <96d8k7$auc$0@208.207.71.136> <3A8A7FA3.5CBB29F7@arrl.org> <96ecbl$bhd$0@208.207.71.170>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <P4Ai6.236$HH5.1291991@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:24:46 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982175151 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:25:51 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:25:51 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16013

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> So you Great Leaders at Newington carry on. I believe we're finally
> beginning to see where you really are coming from.
> (We know, you're posting here "personally")

If you "know" that, Dick, you aren't acting as if you do.

Do you now believe that ARRL makes policy by having Ed Hare and Jon Bloom
write posts for rec.radio.amateur.policy?

Do you think I am lying to you when I tell you that I am posting my personal
views?

Do you believe that the chief ARRL Lab Rat is the one who makes such ARRL
policy?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8754241244244039121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:27:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu> <9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <96cb4411tbj@enews2.newsguy.com> <96d9i8$d15$0@208.207.71.136> <3A8A8307.F9EC64CF@arrl.org> <96ecib$bul$0@208.207.71.170>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <X5Ai6.237$HH5.1291984@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:26:00 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982175223 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:27:03 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:27:03 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16014

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Hell no, man, a Real Ham� is one who has a piece of paper in his pocket

> and is smart enough to find an AC plug for the "radio" and, maybe, to
> plug a PL259 into it. Just don't ask him to solder on the PL259.

If you had your way, would that "can't do" philosophy be the driving force behind Amateur Radio?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1024157630109705618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:28:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu> <9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <96a5ba$n5r$0@208.207.71.146> <CHhi6.218$HH5.1237593@news.ntplx.net> <3A89C548.14A252@IEEE.org> <96d9r7$d15$2@208.207.71.136> <3A8A9F03.4DF20037@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <i7Ai6.238$HH5.1291879@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:27:26 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982175310 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:28:30 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:28:30 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16015

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3A8A9F03.4DF20037@IEEE.org...

> Dick Carroll wrote:

> > Just like incorporating a reference then denying that you meant to use
> > it?

> I have no idea on earth what this means, Dick.

I don't either, Dick. Could you repost the post where someone denied that they meant to use a reference, whatever that means?

Thanks.

Ed

From -3412993003943315500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:30:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <96a5ba$n5r$0@208.207.71.146>
<CHhi6.218$HH5.1237593@news.ntplx.net> <3A89C548.14A252@IEEE.org>
<cZji6.230$HH5.1245250@news.ntplx.net> <96da3v$d15$3@208.207.71.136>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <%8Ai6.239$HH5.1291841@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:29:15 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982175419 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:30:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:30:19 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16016

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> You err if you believe I deliberately misrepressnted anything you said.

In several cases, Dick, you have mispresented what I have said, then after I clarified what I meant, you later repeated the same misrepresentation. Was that not deliberate?

> I assumed that your use of the references of FCC officials statements
> meant the same thing to you they did to me.

I explained what they meant to me. You explained what they meant to you.

73,

```
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 2565921209311042232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:32:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:22:03 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A8ACCCB.48A39A1F@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8A975B.62BE@IEEE.org> <96ed98$e70$2@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.86
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 18:32:05 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16017

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> ..why do they "have to keep quiet" (your words)
>
> Not my words or context. Read it again.

Of course those are your words, Vince. Here's what you said:

> One thing you can hand the Commission---
> after getting nailed, they know enough to keep quiet,
> simply to avoid getting in deeper.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -5308783756319204658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:34:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
```

not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010213171235.17237.00001964@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<20010214064419.17693.00000943@ng-da1.aol.com>
<gqel8tk69mlfolp2jfg45vd3stjvvjblsp@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <ScAi6.240$HH5.1292617@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:33:23 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982175666 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:34:26 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:34:26 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16018

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> >>And just how did they learn anything, let alone Basic skills by
Memorizing a
> >>bunch of Questions which meaN NOTHING to them.

> >Another "can't do" in operation.

> Stating the truth doesn't mean "can't do". Kim and others here have
> told you that they used memorization to pass the exam and didn't
> understand it. Why won't you admit the obvious... :-)

If anyone ever says that a handful of hams memorize without understanding, I will agree that a handful of hams memorized without understanding.

I believe that most hams pass their tests the same way that I did, with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain skipping a topic or two, hoping there won't be a lot of questions about it on the test. That seems a lot more obvious to me than the alternative theories based on extremes. I guess we are each seeing the world through our own experiences. Can I offer you some help understanding some of the theory?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3826527975073032805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:40:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <963mc6$cp1$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211033649.21689.00000464@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <t8iqqrq0d3u8fc@news.supernews.com>
<nRfi6.215$HH5.1232473@news.ntplx.net> <t8ldubpio1bc8f@news.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <KiAi6.241$HH5.1292471@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:39:39 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982176042 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:40:42 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:40:42 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16019

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote:

> The ham bands have gotten worse since April 2000. You tell me what's
> changed.

I have not heard that the bands have gotten worse, Vince. I have heard a few more "interim" calls than before, and a few more questions from newcomers, but the stepped up enforcment has actually improved the bands. A few months ago, I tuned up to 14.313 and heard a maritime net. There is still a lot of work to do, but the work will be in the area of FCC enforcement, not testing.

IMHO, it is a false hope to expect that the problems facing Amateur Radio will go away if only we could find exactly the right tests for incoming hams.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6074205553010678778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:46:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-

master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 18:45:59 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <96ejp7$jrj$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A8AC85B.3866413B@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982176359 20339 149.174.88.251 (14 Feb 2001 18:45:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 18:45:59 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16020

>So they will do what they want, but 20 years after deciding to
>eliminate the amateur service they have yet to get it
>eliminated? That makes no sense at all.

After the Commission's R&O, I'm not in agreement we have an
amateur service, Jon. Just a personal radio service.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 450801080860848487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:46:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:36:25 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A8AD029.1AC5A911@IEEE.org>
References: <20010214003326.00445.00001951@nso-bj.aol.com>
<20010214061511.17693.00000938@ng-da1.aol.com>
<p7fl8tcnah6uivodd6nltec9jgbc0kuebo@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.86
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 18:46:12 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16021

Aaron Jones wrote:
> My Lucent stock dropped another 10% yesterday after their debt rating
> was lowered.

My Lucent puts rose. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3687920703069622379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:51:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 18:51:15 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <96ek33$jrj$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A8ACCCB.48A39A1F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982176675 20339 149.174.88.251 (14 Feb 2001 18:51:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 18:51:15 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16022

You've been demoted to debater 15th class, Cecil.
"Have to keep quiet" does not mean "know enough
to keep quiet." Context changed. Kindly desist
trolling.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

Cecil Moore wrote:
> ..why do they "have to keep quiet" (your words)
>
> Not my words or context. Read it again.

Of course those are your words, Vince. Here's what you said:

> One thing you can hand the Commission---
> after getting nailed, they know enough to keep quiet,
> simply to avoid getting in deeper.

```
From 2948740755994496157
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:52:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:41:18 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A8AD14E.E5228AF6@IEEE.org>
References: <B6AFB491.20A0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010214074603.13040.00001849@ng-cg1.aol.com> <3A8AA646.5E14591F@IEEE.org>
<3A8AAB6C.8D76EA09@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.86
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 18:51:20 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16023

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Yep, from Webster's: "service - an administrative division" The "service"
> > is actually a service being performed *for* the citizens *by* the FCC.

> The Webster's definition that *is* applicable is:
> service - a facility supplying some public demand <telephone ~><bus ~>

I fail to see a substantial difference between "an administrative division"
and "a facility supplying some public demand". The ARS is an administrative
division which supplies some public demand. Like I said, the service is
actually a service being performed for the citizens by the FCC.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 5183500115665296218
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 10:55:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!
```

```
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:45:10 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A8AD236.FAA5D3A3@IEEE.org>
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net> <t8ku2j3ncfflb4@corp.supernews.com>
<96dvmc$9k7$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A8ABF84.47A1@bellsouth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.86
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 18:54:55 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12523
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16024

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> The simple fact is, normal people, that is, Heterosexual
> people (the way GOD intended) will NEVER accept sodomy.

On the contrary, Jerry, I'll bet that the majority of the world's
heterosexuals practice sodomy (as defined by the sodomy laws).
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 4289713558181846933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c47381e90e29be9e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c47381e90e29be9e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 11:00:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!
bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: k8cpa@arrl.net (chuck)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: This is TOO funny!
Organization: Amateur Radio Station K8CPA - Detroit, Mi
Reply-To: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3a79af5b.21052584@netnews.att.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.2/32.242
Lines: 49
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 19:00:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.76.67.202
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 982177231 12.76.67.202 (Wed, 14 Feb
2001 19:00:31 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 19:00:31 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12525
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16025
```

Hi Folks,

it seems the "Good Ol' Boy Group" AKA... The ARRL world never changes....

The history:

I was interested in becoming a OO, and I figured with my experience with Amateur Radio. I could offer my assistance.

This is the REAL deal... I was reading the paperwork in becoming a OO. and It seems, Me, a Licensed Amateur Radio Operator for 12 years, cannot be an OO for one stupid reason...... I haven't been a ARRL Member for at least 4 YEARS!

MY QUESTION TO THE ARRL BOARD...

WHY THE HECK SHOULD I BE A MEMBER OF A CLUB OR ORGANZATION TO BE A OO?????

I MEAN, WHY SHOULD I GREASE YOU PALMS WITH MY HARD EARNED CASH, JUST TO PROVE I'M ABLE TO BE A OO?????!?!?!?!?

WHEN IN ALL TRUTH, THE DAMN ARRL DOSEN'T GIVE A DAMN ABOUT IT'S MEMBERS, GEORGE RACE PROVED THAT WHEN HE TOLD ME AT A APPEARANCE HE MADE HERE IN DETROIT AND SAID THE FCC WAS GOING TO DO WHAT THEY WANTED, (ABOUT THE LICENSING CHANGES...) NOT MATTER WHAT THE PEOPLE WANTED AND THE ARRL DIDN'T DO ANYTHING ABOUT THIS....

BOTTOM LINE???

THE ARRL IS MORE INTERESTED IN THE BOTTOM LINE: MONEY!!!!

WE DON'T WANT YOUR HELP, UNLESS YOU ARE PAYING US MONEY!

SIGNED,

A SOON TO BE FORMER ARRL MEMBER!

73

-Chuck K8CPA
http://www.qsl.net/k8cpa
k8cpa@arrl.net
k8cpa@qsl.net

```
From -5210353775150435651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 11:01:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:50:40 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3A8AD380.B6574335@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8AC85B.3866413B@arrl.org> <96ejp7$jrj$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.86
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 18:58:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16026

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> After the Commission's R&O, I'm not in agreement we have an
> amateur service, Jon. Just a personal radio service.

Exactly how do you give yourself permission to believe those
delusions, Vince? I fail to see any detectable appreciable
change in the ARS because of the R&O. The Good Old Boys Net
is still in operation.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 6611023442900834196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 11:04:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:54:08 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A8AD450.20F2BCC@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8ACCCB.48A39A1F@IEEE.org> <96ek33$jrj$2@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.86
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 19:03:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16027

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> You've been demoted to debater 15th class, Cecil.
> "Have to keep quiet" does not mean "know enough
> to keep quiet." Context changed. Kindly desist
> trolling.

Of course it means the same thing, Vince. If they "know enough
to keep quiet" then they are having to choose to keep quiet for
*some reason*.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -7896971098919147888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 11:07:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:56:16 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3A8AD4D0.9A86E3AF@IEEE.org>
References: <963mc6$cp1$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211033649.21689.00000464@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <t8iqqrq0d3u8fc@news.supernews.com>
<nRfi6.215$HH5.1232473@news.ntplx.net> <t8ldubpio1bc8f@news.supernews.com>
<KiAi6.241$HH5.1292471@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.86
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 19:05:21 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16028

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> IMHO, it is a false hope to expect that the problems facing Amateur Radio
> will go away if only we could find exactly the right tests for incoming
> hams.

Seems to me, Vince's false hope is that the ARS has already gone away.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7220389303794652240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 11:17:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8AD9BE.11065B0C@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
References: <B6AFB491.20A0%stewartx@sccoast.net> <20010214074603.13040.00001849@ng-cg1.aol.com> <3A8AA646.5E14591F@IEEE.org> <3A8AAB6C.8D76EA09@arrl.org> <3A8AD14E.E5228AF6@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 14:17:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982178247 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 14:17:27 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 14:17:27 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16029

Cecil wrote:
>
> I fail to see a substantial difference between "an administrative division"
> and "a facility supplying some public demand".

Wow.

Jon

From 8237790158694911063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 11:22:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 19:22:18 GMT
References: <3A8AA49D.E5B69E7@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010214142218.17271.00002118@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16030

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 02/14/01 10:30 AM Eastern

>Cottonmouth, Coral, Copperhead, Rattlesnake, _Cecilous Grapeswizzoulous_!

From -4349692931803370026
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 11:29:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 19:29:00 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <96em9s$3c$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A8AD450.20F2BCC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982178940 108 149.174.88.240 (14 Feb 2001 19:29:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 19:29:00 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16031

>Of course it means the same thing, Vince. If they "know enough

>to keep quiet" then they are having to choose to keep quiet for
>*some reason*.

Keep praying for that break, Cecil.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 1870729670131893947
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c47381e90e29be9e
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c47381e90e29be9e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 11:29:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8ADC96.4476F8C0@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This is TOO funny!
References: <3a79af5b.21052584@netnews.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 33
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 14:29:26 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982178978 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 14:29:38 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 14:29:38 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12526
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16032

chuck wrote:
>
> I was interested in becoming a OO, and I figured with my experience
> with Amateur Radio. I could offer my assistance.
>
> This is the REAL deal... I was reading the paperwork in becoming a OO.
> and It seems, Me, a Licensed Amateur Radio Operator for 12 years,
> cannot be an OO for one stupid reason...... I haven't been a ARRL
> Member for at least 4 YEARS!

From http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/org/oo.html:

2.Must be an ARRL Full Member and have been a licensee of Technician
Class or higher for at least four years.

The four-year requirement applies to being licensed, not to ARRL membership. You need only be a current ARRL member, which is true for any ARRL field organization appointment.

> MY QUESTION TO THE ARRL BOARD...

...should probably be addressed to the Board.

> WHY THE HECK SHOULD I BE A MEMBER OF A CLUB OR ORGANZATION TO BE A
> OO?????

Nothing prevents anyone from notifying (politely, one hopes) a ham of a problem in his or her station operation. You just can't do so under the auspices of an ARRL appointment unless you are a member and have been appointed. That doesn't seem unreasonable to me. If you are still interested, your SM is the place to start.

Jon

From 8854664470169002634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 11:50:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.news.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 11:42:01 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3A8ADF89.ED22688F@uci.edu>
References: <3A89C470.1ED45C1C@uci.edu> <96cptf$1b8$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16033

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >Oh I'm sure they didn't mean to, Vince. It seemed to me they
> >intended that the petitioners should take a hike.
>
> >73, ac6xg
>
> Dream on. One thing you can hand the Commission---

> after getting nailed, they know enough to keep quiet,
> simply to avoid getting in deeper. "When in doubt, punt."

If anyone can be accused of employing imaginative fantasies in these discussions Vince, it is you. The FCC denied the petition, essentially telling the petitioners to take a hike. Doing so does not constitute telling the Amateur Radio Service to take a hike. That allegation is ludicrous.

ac6xg

```
From -5314616312396175056
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 12:09:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 20:09:21 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <96eolh$1o6$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A8ADF89.ED22688F@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982181361 1798 149.174.88.251 (14
Feb 2001 20:09:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 20:09:21 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16034
```

>If anyone can be accused of employing imaginative fantasies in
>these discussions Vince, it is you. The FCC denied the
>petition, essentially telling the petitioners to take a hike.
>Doing so does not constitute telling the Amateur Radio Service
>to take a hike. That allegation is ludicrous.

You'd like to believe that Jim, but history is against you. We've known what's been coming down for 20 years. Many said "bull" to comments regarding easing of code AND theory requirements, until FCC pulled the plug a year ago. What's happening now is fully consistent with what we said was coming.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

```
From -3165797707002392588
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 12:20:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 19:20:08 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <96elp809gl@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu> <9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<GpVh6.198$HH5.1162800@news.ntplx.net> <96a69g$p9l$1@208.207.71.146>
<96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com> <ebsi8t8a4ludib3av9qjulmku256br0mtv@4ax.com>
<96ccd141tbj@enews2.newsguy.com> <96cq0i02s48@enews1.newsguy.com>
<6o8k8tcsf1hp8c2nk0s4m041bc9vn1m2e3@4ax.com> <96e81332q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
<1hgl8tou13ljgabatdhb86fids3nlro481@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-581.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16035

In article <1hgl8tou13ljgabatdhb86fids3nlro481@4ax.com>, nomail@nomail.com
says...
>
>tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
>>My point is that greatness is not always reached by following the path that
>>everyone says you must follow.
>
>Nobody says that you *have* to go to college. And that's a good thing.
>Who would pick up my garbage, clean my streets, and do my landscaping
>if everybody were highly educated...  :-)

I'd almost be willing to bet that some of those people you've mentioned have
college degrees.




From 1102152807108876114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 12:30:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:24:39 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 154
Message-ID: <3A8AE986.21D4FD9C@uci.edu>
References: <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113> <20010210084900.21325.00000063@ng-fm1.aol.com> <963sod$qsa$1@208.206.142.95> <3A8837C7.A347FEF1@uci.edu> <96a7u2$fh$0@208.207.71.146> <3A88A8A8.A682D1D@uci.edu> <96ag6m$ps1$0@208.207.71.146> <96bq20$afa$1@news.service.uci.edu> <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16036

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:96ag6m$ps1$0@208.207.71.146...
> >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > > My only point (the one I didn't miss) was I wondered whether you'd
> > > > actually taken any of these tests that you seem to be such an authority
> > > > on.
> > >
> > > Not for license creditsince I took the Extra over 30 years ago. I
> > > *have* administered a lot of them, as you probably recall. You have too.
> >
> > I recall, Dick. I also know that you don't have to be able to answer the
> > questions in order to check the test paper. Let me suggest that you
> > actually take a few tests to get a better feel for that of which you speak.
> > A lot of things change in 30 years time.

> You're years too late. I imagine I've probably taken more ham radio
> exams than most anyone around. I mean the question pool variety. Just to
> see what they amounted to and to detect any errors.

Before, you said that you administered them, then you said you read
through the pools, and now in the third round you're claiming to take
the exams more often than anyone around. Well, I don't know what to
believe, but what I do know is that the material on the exams is NOT
trivial, and it takes a goodly amount of study in order to be familiar
enough with it to pass the exams.

> > >   Away from centers of technical education and expertise, out where the

> > > 'rubber meets the road' so to speak, and most ham radio aspriants have
> > > no technical background it's different.

> > I assume from your remarks that you had a technical background when you were
> > first licensed. Is that an accurate assumtion? Do you feel that should be
> > a prerequisite to obtaining an amateur radio license?

> No, I was a 14 year old high school freshman.

Okay, so you didn't have a technical background either. Just like the
folks you criticized above. That's hypocritical, Dick.

> > I'm also congnizent of the fact that this knowlege represents only the most
> > rudimentary aspects of amateur radio. But I feel that the balance is best
> > learned in practice - with experience.

> I suppose it was inevitable when they made the Extra class license
> available with no experience requirement and with a test system of given
> questions and answers, that inexperienced Novice type Extras would
> result. So there is really nothing extra about today's Extra class
> licensee.

Please elucidate these differences in greater detail. Give yourself as
an example of the 'good kind of Extra' if you like. And then contrast
that with say, me for example. One of the kind who didn't have to meet
the "experience requirement" you evidently did.

> No question that is probably the most important factor. With it one
> will learn what he needs to know, but he still misses much he should
> have gotten in study of material instead of just the pools. Sure, some
> applicants do study other things. Most have no need to. They can pass
> the tests, one at a time, by studying that element in the pools. You
> don't get much detailed information in the pools.

What kind of detailed information that's missing from the pools, should
be included in the pools? Since you're on top of this, it should be
simple for you to provide.
And, it would go a long way toward proving your point.

> > Since there were no cheet sheets brought into the exam, you can be confident
> > that the applicants had knowlege of the facts queried on the exam.

> You can if you think you should, based on your experiences. I never
> expected to come to the opposite conclusion, and it developed over
> several years time experience as a VE. I saw things that all finally
> summed up to it. All confirmed by the considerably lowered knowledge
> level demonstrated by so many new licensees.

It's an interesting point. Sure wish I could go back in time and see
how incredibly informed young Dick was as a 14 year old amateur radio
operator by comparison to todays new hams. From hearing you tell it,
you must have been a veritable electronics genious by comparison.

> These
> > facts are deemed to be those which are the most relevant to amateur radio
> > knowlege. If you feel the material covered by the question pools is
> > insufficient, then I'm sure everyone would be grateful if you were to offer
> > specific suggestions as to how that material should be supplemented.

> I imagine you're tired of hearing about it. Don't blame you, I'm tired
> of saying it, especially when I get accused of spreading falsehoods.

A more constructive change in tune would be welcome. If you're right,
then you should be able to recommend the specific necessary changes. If
you can't, well, it would seem more likely that you just like to hear
yourself complain about things.

> > Past tense. I think there are now roughly 1000 questions in the pool. Since
> > the question pool is a permanent reality, and since code proficiency is not
> > useful for demonstrating the kind of knowlege we're discussing here, your
> > efforts would be better focussed on ways of addressing your concerns within
> > the constraints of the current system.

> So, no whistleblowers allowed?

That's a non-sequitur.

> I did offer to Ed to use one of his new defective Handbooks to form
> some questions on new material, but he ignored me. I wasn't surprised.

You don't need Ed's permission to do anything, Dick. So that's not a
valid excuse.

> But I don't own a new handbook, though I started to buy one at a hamfest
> a month ago. But every copy each vendor had was shrinkwrapped in
> celophane. Not even a single sample was open for inspection. And I guess
> I'm just stubborn enough that I wouldn't buy one without being able to
> look it over first. There was another ham, an older fella there also
> looking at them. He turned to me and said, "That's like buying a pig in
> a poke", and we both walked away emptyhanded. I bought Moxon's "HF
> Antennas For All Locations" instead. ARRL would do well to send those
> "bent cover" issues out to vendors to use as samples if they have so
> much handling damage they have to shrinkwrap every copy. The new
> handbook must not be a very big seller.

So the reason you can't tell us about all the important technical
knowlege that should be on the exams but currently isn't; which results
in the hams of today not knowing as much as you were required to know
when you were first licensed, is contained in one book. And being that
you encountered some difficulty in purchasing this one book, you either
don't know what the material is, or cannot provide it for some other
reason.

Hmmm, sounds reasonable. Excuses being what they are.

Guess instead you could write 1000 or so of your own questions, based on

your own personal expertise on the subject of course, and then just have
somebody throw out the ones that are already in the pool.

> > Question for you: how did you first learn the resistor color code? Was it;
> > bad boys rape our young girls but violet gives willingly?

> That wasn't the way I first learned it as a ham, but it's how they
> taught it in military electronics school.

So when you first learned it, as a ham, you derived it from first
principles?
Or did you employ a word association method?

73, Jim AC6XG

From 1296914654166140357
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 12:40:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.news.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:37:46 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3A8AEC9A.C21AEDB1@uci.edu>
References: <3A89E33E.D887A397@uci.edu> <20010214064707.17693.00000944@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16037

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
> >We spoke in person at the SW Division Convention in San Diego - outside,
> >after the ARRL talk at the end of the day. I'll buy dinner if the
> >opportunity ever presents itself.
>
> Yes, I do remember that, Jim. As I recall, it was a nice old-hippie to
> old-hippie conversation. :-)

Yep. Now that you mention it, I think I was still wearing whats left of
my hair pretty long back then. :-)

> I may be in San Diego later this year, for an IEEE/ANSI C.63 "RFI" committee
> meeting. I will keep you posted. I will also be at Riverside this year, and
> possibly Pacificon.

I'll look for you at Riverside. Glad you'll be out here for that.

73, Jim AC6XG

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4541134705032274984
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d6a4f8b8b9132e24,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d6a4f8b8b9132e24,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 12:44:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: k8cpa@arrl.net (chuck)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Public Retraction (WAS: This is TOO FUNNY!)
Organization: Amateur Radio Station K8CPA - Detroit, Mi
Reply-To: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3a79c92e.2121454@netnews.att.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.2/32.242
Lines: 27
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 20:44:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.87.93.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 982183462 12.87.93.46 (Wed, 14 Feb
2001 20:44:22 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 20:44:22 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12530
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16038

Okay folks,

here's the deal...

I made a bit of a boo boo and was sort of misinformed.

It turns out, you don't have to be a league member for 4 years, to be
an OO. You just have to be a league member and at least a tech class

License holder for at least 4 years to be a OO.

So....

I made a mistake. sorry to all offended by my posting... Thanks to Don
N8NJE for calling me on the telephone to clear up the
misunderstanding.

73

73

-Chuck K8CPA
http://www.qsl.net/k8cpa
k8cpa@arrl.net
k8cpa@qsl.net

From -7844569719668421422
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 12:50:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:48:53 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A8AEF35.5A493DCD@uci.edu>
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net> <t8ku2j3ncfflb4@corp.supernews.com> <96dvmc$9k7$0@dosa.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16039

Bruce W wrote:

> Well, guess what Duffy: Hams also have varying sexual orientations.

And so naturally, you feel that hams should discuss other hams sexual orientations in this public forum.

Sounds like a good way to start a shouting match over something that's
everyones personal business, but by all means, have at it.

ac6xg

From -227901706894306749
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 13:01:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 12:58:15 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A8AF167.297A4B92@uci.edu>
References: <20010214003327.00445.00001952@nso-bj.aol.com>
<20010214121157.03673.00002246@nso-ck.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16040

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Newcomers to US amateur radio are going more to no-code.

Lessee, you gotta pass element 2 before you become a ham.
Or........ummm
What were the newcomers other choices again, Len?

From -6126610623635201405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 13:10:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 13:05:00 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine

```
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3A8AF2FC.892F83E8@uci.edu>
References: <3A8ADF89.ED22688F@uci.edu> <96eolh$1o6$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16041



Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >If anyone can be accused of employing imaginative fantasies in
> >these discussions Vince, it is you.  The FCC denied the
> >petition, essentially telling the petitioners to take a hike.
> >Doing so does not constitute telling the Amateur Radio Service
> >to take a hike.  That allegation is ludicrous.

> You'd like to believe that Jim, but history is against you.

The facts I just stated are exactly according to the record, Vince.
That's the only history that relates to my comment.

> Many said
> "bull" to comments regarding easing of code AND theory
> requirements, until FCC pulled the plug a year ago.

What plug?  You have a plug pulled last year, Vince?

> What's
> happening now is fully consistent with what we said was
> coming.

Whatever makes you happy, Vince.  But when I turned on the radio this
morning, there it was - still there on 40 meters; amateur radio.  You
must be thinking of something else.

ac6xg



From 2282195976147406893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 13:16:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
```

```
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 15:06:12 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A8AF344.964F6F61@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8ADF89.ED22688F@uci.edu> <96eolh$1o6$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.49
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 21:13:39 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16042

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> What's
> happening now is fully consistent with what we said was
> coming.

What's happening now? You figuratively said the sky was going
to fall. When is it going to fall?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -4280454280541008955
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 13:18:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 15:08:31 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A8AF3CF.6D923256@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8ADF89.ED22688F@uci.edu> <96eolh$1o6$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3A8AF2FC.892F83E8@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.49
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 21:15:51 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16043

Jim Kelley wrote:
> What plug? You have a plug pulled last year, Vince?

The plug that was pulled was the plug on Vince's petition.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3518171204572776489
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 13:25:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 15:15:47 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A8AF583.4026B7C4@IEEE.org>
References: <B6AFB491.20A0%stewartx@sccoast.net> <20010214074603.13040.00001849@ng-cg1.aol.com> <3A8AA646.5E14591F@IEEE.org> <3A8AAB6C.8D76EA09@arrl.org> <3A8AD14E.E5228AF6@IEEE.org> <3A8AD9BE.11065B0C@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.49
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Feb 2001 21:25:35 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16044

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > I fail to see a substantial difference between "an administrative division"
> > and "a facility supplying some public demand".
>
> Wow.

If the "facility supplying some public demand" is provided by the government, how is it going to be provided without "an administrative division"? The different radio services are certainly divided into "adminstrative divisions".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 504289159437099959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 13:31:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 21:31:30 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <96etfi$lek$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A8AF344.964F6F61@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982186290 21972 149.174.88.254 (14
Feb 2001 21:31:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 21:31:30 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16045

>What's happening now? You figuratively said the sky was going
>to fall. When is it going to fall?

Frankly, Cecil, I don't believe you've ever
had a grasp of what I've been saying, nor
have you cared.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG


From -4790977243840323051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 13:33:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 21:33:25 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <96etj5$lek$2@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3A8AF3CF.6D923256@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982186405 21972 149.174.88.254 (14
Feb 2001 21:33:25 GMT)
```

X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 21:33:25 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16046

>The plug that was pulled was the plug on Vince's petition.

Not mine, sorry to say. I would have liked to be a part of
it. Written with no way for the Commission to do anything
but avoid it.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -2324379264159150346
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 13:34:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 15:31:11 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A8AF91F.60F05D25@oio.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net> <3A8A0724.1DF545EC@oio.net>
<96dnig$b6m$0@pita.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 10
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12531
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16047

Bruce W,
I think I'm missing something here. I'm not the one who
has a problem with my sexual preference, I don't need your
acceptance of my sexual preferences, and I'm certainly not
demanding some mythical 'rights' concerning my sexual
preferences, but ~I'm~ the one who needs 'help'? Why does
that not make sense?
'Doc

```
From 6807639655016843721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 13:37:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone.tampabay.rr.com!
cyclone1.midsouth.rr.com!news-midsouth.rr.com!typhoon.midsouth.rr.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8B13BF.B3DD31CA@midsouth.rr.com>
From: Darren Shields <dshields@midsouth.rr.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio>
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="------------BD5E532B3B1ED428BD0624A2"
Lines: 49
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 21:15:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.165.201.117
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.midsouth.rr.com 982185353 24.165.201.117 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001
15:15:53 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 15:15:53 CST
Organization: RoadRunner - Midsouth
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9494
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12532 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16048 rec.radio.cb:26302
rec.radio.scanner:13836


--------------BD5E532B3B1ED428BD0624A2
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

I'll bet the bastards
an ARRL member too. Probably got in on the League discount membership plan. All this started me wondering, What make a ham a ham? Is a ham someone that's working to improve their knowledge of radio theory, electronics and operating skills?

Well guess what guy? All of us ham have different drives. Some of you might obsess with code practice and technical details but surprisingly there are those of us who just enjoy the friendly banter with folks just like us from around the world. You did recall that part of being a ham was being able to do that right? Yes, we do good work in times of disaster and we try to be upstanding citizens but the bottom line is we are human with human needs. Relax my friend and enjoy the hobby your way and others will enjoy it their way. A little tolerance goes a long way.

Darren

```
--------------BD5E532B3B1ED428BD0624A2
Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

<!doctype html public "-//w3c//dtd html 4.0 transitional//en">
<html>
&nbsp;I'll bet the bastards
<br>an ARRL member too. Probably got in on the League discount membership
plan.
<br>All this started me wondering,&nbsp; What make a ham a ham?&nbsp; Is
a ham someone
<br>that's working to improve their knowledge of radio theory, electronics
and
<br>operating skills?
<p>Well guess what guy?&nbsp; All of us ham have different drives.&nbsp;
Some of you might obsess with code practice and technical details but surprisingly
there are those of us who just enjoy the <b><i>friendly </i></b>banter
with folks just like us from around the world.&nbsp; You did recall that
part of being a ham was being able to do that right?&nbsp; Yes, we do good
work in times of disaster and we try to be upstanding citizens but the
bottom line is we are human with human needs.&nbsp; Relax my friend and
enjoy the hobby your way and others will enjoy it their way.&nbsp; A little
tolerance goes a long way.
<p>Darren</html>

--------------BD5E532B3B1ED428BD0624A2--
```

```
From 3543252245226188989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 13:49:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "George Jones" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 16:41:32 -0500
```

Organization: acme
Lines: 65
Message-ID: <96euju$bfj$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: news:t8ku2j3ncfflb4@corp.supernews.com
<982156129.3a8a8361df4f6@webmail.cotse.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-03-06.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 982187454 11763 209.43.66.118 (14 Feb 2001 21:50:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 21:50:54 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12535
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16051

Roger, don't be picking on your friends like that. Thats why you voted
Democratic this year isn't it. Because of the influence of your friends like
Bruce W. Of course, we all know that you are a Matthew Shepard supporter.

"KC8JBO" <kc8jbo-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:982156129.3a8a8361df4f6@webmail.cotse.com...
> "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote in message
> news:t8ku2j3ncfflb4@corp.supernews.com...
> > Unless the discussion is how well an HT works stuck up some homosexual's
> > ass,
>
> Bruce W. does that on a nightly basis.........
>
> > I fail to see the relevance in discussing homosexualty, destroying the
> > fine reputation of the Boy Scouts, etc., in a ham radio newsgroup.
> >
> > Let's discuss the hobby, communication methods, etc. The hobby is the
> > purpose of this newsgroup.
>
> Bruce W *has* no purpose......I doubt if he is even a ham.
>
>
> >Sperm gargler "Bruce W" <ham22@lambda.org> wrote in message
> > news:96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > A good article to show your local principal:
> > >
> > >
> > > 'Gay History' Advocated for Public Schools
> > >
> > >   NewsMax.com Wires
> > >   February 12, 2001
> > >
> > > (CNSNews.com) - A leading homosexual rights advocacy group Friday
called
> > > on the Boy Scouts of America to "end discrimination" against

```
homosexuals
> > > and called for the teaching of "gay history" in schools.
> > >
> > > At a breakfast briefing in Los Angeles, Bruce W, part of a drag queen
team
> from the
> > > Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund outlined what they consider to
> > > be leading discrimination problems facing homosexuals.  ...
> > >
> > > (read the full text at Newsmax.com)
>
> Maybe Bruce W. will end up like Freddie Mercury...........
>
>
> 73's de Roger/KC8JBO
> EM99 / ARRL /
> Yaesu FT-920/ Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90-R
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

```
From -7086239706600812690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 13:49:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "George Jones" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 16:39:07 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <96eufd$bfg$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net> <t8ku2j3ncfflb4@corp.supernews.com>
<96dvmc$9k7$0@dosa.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-03-06.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 982187309 11760 209.43.66.118 (14 Feb 2001 21:48:29
GMT)
```

X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 21:48:29 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12533
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16049

And the facts are that despite your attempts to normalize your perversions,
you are still a sodomite promoting unnatural sexual practices. You never
will be able to have kids of your own making but rather promote adoption of
children. One has to wonder why the selfish perverts want to adopt children,
because perhaps they can recruit more fawning fags into their movement. Keep
your perversions to yourself and your filthy friends. Sicko.

"Bruce W" <ham22@lambda.org> wrote in message
news:96dvmc$9k7$0@dosa.alt.net...
>
> On Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:22:36 -0500, J. Duffy Beischel <duffyb01@fuse.net>
wrote:
> : Unless the discussion is how well an HT works stuck up some homosexual's
> : ass, I fail to see the relevance in discussing homosexualty, destroying
the
> : fine reputation of the Boy Scouts, etc., in a ham radio newsgroup.
> :
> : Let's discuss the hobby, communication methods, etc. The hobby is the
> : purpose of this newsgroup.
>
> Sorry, you don't get to decide for everyone just what is the purpose of
> "this" newsgroup (Which news group, BTW, since two are involved?)
>
> It has long ago been claimed by members of both news groups that hams
> are political, and therefore it's okay to discuss political issues like
> the last elections which were discussed ad naseum here.
>
> Well, guess what Duffy: Hams also have varying sexual orientations.
>
> Lambda has a ham club, for example, and homosexual issues are important
> to a subset of hams, just like packet radio is important to some subset
> of the larger population of amateurs.
>
> So take your thinly disguised homophobia and peddle it elsewhere.

From -1064849189069131320
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 13:49:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "George Jones" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 16:35:52 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <96eu9b$bfe$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net> <3A8A0724.1DF545EC@oio.net> <96dnig$b6m$0@pita.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-03-06.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 982187115 11758 209.43.66.118 (14 Feb 2001 21:45:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 21:45:15 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12534 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16050

Hit the road faggot. We don't like your type of sodomite perverts running around teaching 13 year olds and younger that homosexuality is good. People are tired of the scum of your ilk trying to recruit young boys into your lifestyle. We can all see what a wicked pervert you really are.

"Bruce W" <ham22@lambda.org> wrote in message news:96dnig$b6m$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> On Tue, 13 Feb 2001 22:18:44 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
> : PS - I can't care less about your sexual preferences. I DO care
>
> Sure you do.
>
> :   when you get 'in my face' with them. You keep your preferences
>
> See there? You have a rationalization immediately handy. That is
> immediately followed by the prejudiced, homophobic statement below:
>
> :   to your self, and I'll keep how I feel about your 'type' of
> :   perversion to my self. Hows that?
>
> Your perversion is your complete dedication to preserving your
> own personal ignorance. You need help.

From 1201391776739164559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 14:04:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A8AFFC3.2596024D@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 21:59:31 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <3A8A0514.C369D3AA@ovis.net> <20010214121157.03673.00002247@nso-ck.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s19.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 14 Feb 2001 16:55:51 -0500, s19.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 39
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s19.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16052

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A8A0514.C369D3AA@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >Clerks at convenience stores sell cigarettes but not all of them smoke.
> >
> >Are you trying to gain a seat on the commission or would you like to be
> >a ham?
>
> Clerks at service meat counters in supermarkets sell hams but
> they don't have to be one.
>
> Dave, you make truly stupid analogies...sort of like your stated
> CW order-wire for RTTY which you say (now) was some kind of
> "State Department procedure."   :-)

Well, Leonard H. Anderson, I think the analogy is a good one. It beats
tipping scales which really aren't tipping by a mile. My story of the
State Dept. CW order wire (which I never ever said I instituted) was not
an analogy but a statement of fact in response to your guff about
government no longer using CW (but you already knew that).

> No one has to be accountable to your judgement, certainly not
> all the newcomers to US amateur radio who chose non-code-test
> licenses over code-tested ones by a large margin. That will
> continue if past is prologue...and it does indeed look like that.

Everything in the present will be in the past in a second or so.

You've dodged the questions again. The licensing procedure has now been
made much easier. Are you waiting for the modernization which you spoke
of (apparently the recent modernization did not suit you) in order to
deign amateur radio with your presence? Do you actually intend to
obtain a license or are you a perpetual procrastinator? Do you have any
real interest in amateur radio or does this newsgroup posting fetish of
yours represent a means for you to pass the idle hours?

Dave K8MN

From 2339983849625989248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 14:07:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8B018E.96BB3F7F@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
References: <B6AFB491.20A0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010214074603.13040.00001849@ng-cg1.aol.com> <3A8AA646.5E14591F@IEEE.org>
<3A8AAB6C.8D76EA09@arrl.org> <3A8AD14E.E5228AF6@IEEE.org>
<3A8AD9BE.11065B0C@arrl.org> <3A8AF583.4026B7C4@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 17:07:10 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982188442 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 17:07:22 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 17:07:22 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16053

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > I fail to see a substantial difference between "an administrative division"
> > > and "a facility supplying some public demand".
> >
> > Wow.
>
> If the "facility supplying some public demand" is provided by the government,

It is not.

> how is it going to be provided without "an administrative division"? The
> different radio services are certainly divided into "adminstrative divisions".

A "bus service" facility consists of the whole of the activities of the
bus line (busses, mechanics, drivers, etc) not just the administration
of it.

The "Amateur Radio Service" facility consists of the whole of the
activities of the amateurs that comprise it, not just the FCC
administration of it.

Jon

From -6941639439072522894
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c47381e90e29be9e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 14:31:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!peer.news.opaltelecom.net!
londen1-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspeer.clara.net!news.clara.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 22:29:48 GMT
References: <3a79af5b.21052584@netnews.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This is TOO funny!
Message-ID: <20010214172948.05812.00002359@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16054

>Subject: This is TOO funny!
>From: k8cpa@arrl.net (chuck)
>Date: 02/14/01 2:00 PM Eastern Standard Time

>WHY THE HECK SHOULD I BE A MEMBER OF A CLUB OR ORGANZATION TO BE A
>OO?????

Because you will be representing the ARRL as an official appointee. Don't
you think the ARRL has the right, as would any other organization, to be sure
that you meet certain criteria before letting you loose with a pad of OO forms?

>Subject: Re: This is TOO funny!
>From: Jon Bloom jbloom@arrl.org
>Date: 02/14/01 2:29 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3A8ADC96.4476F8C0@arrl.org>

>2.Must be an ARRL Full Member and have been a licensee of Technician
>Class or higher for at least four years.

Considering you threw this blaring rant out without investigating the "REAL deal", (see Jon's post response above) I doubt that you are a viable candidate to be an OO anyway.

An OO is a person who, technical qualifications notwithstanding, must be able to demonstrate significant restraint and tact. Your use of the CAPS key to holler at us and make a fool of yourself is sufficient evidence that you don't have those traits.

>SIGNED,

>A SOON TO BE FORMER ARRL MEMBER!

You know where to not let the door hit, don't you?

Signed,

A Soon To be Life Member

Steve, K4YZ

```
From -777471473132286523
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 14:49:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "George Jones" <lightnin9@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 17:00:22 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <96evn9$bhj$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net> <3A8A0724.1DF545EC@oio.net>
<96dnig$b6m$0@pita.alt.net> <96eu9b$bfe$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-slm-03-06.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 982188585 11827 209.43.66.118 (14 Feb 2001 22:09:45
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Feb 2001 22:09:45 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12538
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16056

Yes it just shows that Bruce W is supporting and promoting his homosexual
lifestyle on others. Perhaps he is also promoting his lifestyle to young men
and very impressionable kids. Its not natural to be queer but rather it is a
lifestyle choice. There is no gay gene even though the homosexual lobby
desperately grasps for legitimacy.
That is why they have to get the politicians on their side so they can give
homos special treatment

"George Jones" <lightnin9@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:96eu9b$bfe$1@dagobah.blueriver.net...
> Hit the road faggot. We don't like your type of sodomite perverts running
> around teaching 13 year olds and younger that homosexuality is good.
People
> are tired of the scum of your ilk trying to recruit young boys into your
> lifestyle. We can all see what a wicked pervert you really are.
>
> "Bruce W" <ham22@lambda.org> wrote in message
> news:96dnig$b6m$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > On Tue, 13 Feb 2001 22:18:44 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
> >
> > : PS - I can't care less about your sexual preferences.  I DO care
> >
> > Sure you do.
> >
> > :      when you get 'in my face' with them.  You keep your preferences
> >
> > See there?  You have a rationalization immediately handy.  That is
> > immediately followed by the prejudiced, homophobic statement below:
> >
> > :      to your self, and I'll keep how I feel about your 'type' of
> > :      perversion to my self.  Hows that?
> >
> > Your perversion is your complete dedication to preserving your
> > own personal ignorance.  You need help.
>
>




From -2598518552402938313
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 15:08:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
skynet.be!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
```

Message-ID: <3A8B0FE1.9412EE0B@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <96cb4411tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96d9i8$d15$0@208.207.71.136> <3A8A8307.F9EC64CF@arrl.org>
<96ecib$bul$0@208.207.71.170>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Lines: 27
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:08:17 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982192106 209.140.206.201 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:08:26 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:08:26 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16057

Dick Carroll wrote:
> =

> Jon Bloom wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > Did he happen to mention that only those hams who passed a "real" exa=
m
> > -- not the ones given during the last two decades -- are contributing=
 to
> > the success of the NWS activities? If not, maybe the NWS can't tell t=
he
> > difference between the "real"
> > hams and the others. Maybe there is no difference.
> =

> Hell no, man, a Real Ham=AE is one who has a piece of paper in his poc=
ket
> and is smart enough to find an AC plug for the "radio" and, maybe, to
> plug a PL259 into it. Just don't ask him to solder on the PL259.

I can see why you dodged the question; a truthful answer would
demonstrate the absurdity of your oft-repeated claim that the current
testing system is destroying ham radio.

Jon

```
From 8761972638877081036
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 15:21:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <3A8B13BF.B3DD31CA@midsouth.rr.com>
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <ApEi6.243$HH5.1313135@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:19:52 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982192864 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:21:04 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:21:04 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9499
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12539 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16058 rec.radio.cb:26305
rec.radio.scanner:13848
```

Darren Shields <dshields@midsouth.rr.com> wrote:

< Well guess what guy? All of us ham have different drives. Some of you might obsess with code practice and technical details but surprisingly there are those of us who just enjoy the friendly banter with folks just like us from around the world. You did recall that part of being a ham was being able to do that right? Yes, we do good work in times of disaster and we try to be upstanding citizens but the bottom line is we are human with human needs. Relax my friend and enjoy the hobby your way and others will enjoy it their way. A little tolerance goes a long way. >

Well said, Darren. My personal interests are technical, CW and QRP. That is what makes me a ham today, but who knows, my interests could change tomorrow. What makes the Amateur Radio Service GREAT is that we all are permitted to participate in our own way, but somehow, as a group, we meet the goals of the Service.

Gotta love it!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7296667160392533783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 15:25:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <cv4m8tkbnr34ine76c0g29ekbjaf92gqq6@4ax.com>
References: <96d3gs$rgi$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214061658.17693.00000939@ng-da1.aol.com> <4rel8tk05u0ovnelj9sed2v4icmes30d9m@4ax.com> <MZzi6.233$HH5.1291682@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 23:25:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.152
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982193102 209.86.211.152 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 15:25:02 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 15:25:02 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16059

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

>Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>> I'm sure the FCC knows exactly what's going on. How could they not
>> know what's going on? These get your Technician license in one day
>> schools should be a clue...
>
>I could also have probably obtain the license I used to enter ham radio in
>one day of studying, too.

Are you saying that your 1963 Novice exam and today's Technician exam
are equivalent in technical material? No? Then memorization must
balance the scale to allow you to pass either in a day...

From 5511028462046505285
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 15:25:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <bt4m8toojchcaes152pn3mbflfi6ksjlgf@4ax.com>
References: <20010213171235.17237.00001964@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<20010214064419.17693.00000943@ng-da1.aol.com>
<gqel8tk69mlfolp2jfg45vd3stjvvjblsp@4ax.com>
<ScAi6.240$HH5.1292617@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 23:25:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.152
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982193104 209.86.211.152 (Wed, 14 Feb
2001 15:25:04 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 15:25:04 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16060

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
>If anyone ever says that a handful of hams memorize without understanding, I
>will agree that a handful of hams memorized without understanding.

If you say that *anybody* can pass the test without understanding the
material, how then can you deny that it is a bad test? A good test
would only allow people to pass who understand the material...

>I believe that most hams pass their tests the same way that I did...

But of course most people are not engineers. I believe that most
people do things the easiest way. For a layman the easiest way to go
is to memorize the questions and answers. The only other way to go is
to do months of study...

>That seems a lot more obvious to me than the alternative theories
>based on extremes.

There are no extremes in what I'm saying about the ham tests. It's a
simple truth. They can be passed without knowing the material...

>I guess we are each seeing the world through our own experiences.

Welcome back to the marble game... :-)

From 8631537813408692204
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 15:25:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <cr4m8tkor5433dolhh489cj76qr4f1eatt@4ax.com>
References: <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <GpVh6.198$HH5.1162800@news.ntplx.net>
<96a69g$p9l$1@208.207.71.146> <96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com>
<ebsi8t8a4ludib3av9qjulmku256br0mtv@4ax.com> <96ccd141tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96cq0i02s48@enews1.newsguy.com> <6o8k8tcsf1hp8c2nk0s4m041bc9vn1m2e3@4ax.com>
<96e81332q9l@enews3.newsguy.com> <1hgl8tou13ljgabatdhb86fids3nlro481@4ax.com>
<96elp809gl@enews3.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 23:25:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.211.152
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982193106 209.86.211.152 (Wed, 14 Feb
2001 15:25:06 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 15:25:06 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16061

tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:

>Aaron wrote:
>>Nobody says that you *have* to go to college. And that's a good thing.
>>Who would pick up my garbage, clean my streets, and do my landscaping
>>if everybody were highly educated... :-)
>
>I'd almost be willing to bet that some of those people you've mentioned have
>college degrees.

They're engineers moonlighting for a few extra bucks... :-)

```
From 9171422447681417707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c47381e90e29be9e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 15:39:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a79af5b.21052584@netnews.att.net> <20010214172948.05812.00002359@ng-
md1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: This is TOO funny!
Lines: 51
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <dHEi6.244$HH5.1314685@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:38:41 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982193993 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:39:53 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:39:53 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16062
```

Steve Robeson <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> >A SOON TO BE FORMER ARRL MEMBER!

>   You know where to not let the door hit, don't you?

Actually, he does not need to know where to have the door hit him. Speaking as an ARRL HQ employee, I want to point out that his being displeased with something about ARRL does not mean that the ARRL will be better off without him. To the contrary, those who see things about ARRL that should be improved can be among its most valuable members, because those are the people whose ideas can improve the organization. If someone disagrees very strongly with one issue, I can understand why they might choose to leave, but in doing so, ARRL will not slam the door behind them. There are usually a lot more things to consider than one issue, and I usually consider a few of them myself after each ARRL Board meeting.

In this case, Chuck had made the very minor mistake of misreading a requirement. Jon Bloom corrected the info, and I see where Chuck acknowledged that correction in another post. I don't think that any of that is reason to hit him in his backside with a door.

I agree with Jon and you that those who want to operate within the ARRL's

Field Organization need to be ARRL members. After all, the League spends the members' money when it funds those activities. People who volunteer as OOs are not doing more of a service for Amateur Radio than they are for the ARRL, a job that is very much appreciated by most members and non-members alike. The administrative support for an individual OO is not apt to be a fortune, but some cases that the OOs become involved in cost quite a bit of your money to resolve. The fact that ARRL has to consider how it will fund the things it sets out to do should be important to us all. I can tell you exactly what the bottom line is, it is $0.00. In the long run, revenue and expenditures are exactly balanced. This year, it is actually a bit less; the League is funding some new initiatives that it believes are important to the long-term health of Amateur Radio and to the long-term goal of continuing to keep dues increases at a level below inflation.

At any rate, Chuck, I am glad that you were able to work things out. For future reference, you can address ARRL policy matters directly with your ARRL Division Director. See http://www.arrl.org/divisions. As an aside, for those who may not know, the bottom line for the ARRL Directors, Vice Directors, President, Vice Presidents and Treasurer are exactly zero every year. Those that set ARRL policy are, by its bylaws, not permitted to have any financial interest in Amateur Radio. That's why I can't run for Director. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2334664215664903448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 15:56:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010213210822.01651.00001493@nso-fp.aol.com> <20010214064130.17693.00000941@ng-da1.aol.com> <96eaia$7d0$0@208.207.71.170>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 93
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <sWEi6.246$HH5.1316177@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:54:55 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982194968 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:56:08 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:56:08 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16063

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > The number of topics that SHOULD be known (not by individual amateurs,
Dick --
> > by the Service as a whole) is greater than nearly any individual would
be
> > expected to accomplish. The depth of knowledge that could be known
(Dick, this
> > is not forcing you to have to learn anything, I promise) is greater than
most
> > hams would ever learn across the board.

> Aw, shucks...no opportunity for a comeback?

I am not sure what that means. Can you explain? If you are asking if you
have an opportunity to respond to my post, the answer is yes. I would like
to see your answer.

> IMHO, once hams have met the
> > requirements of our licensing structure, they are free to learn, or not
learn,
> > as they will. Look at the reaction here by one individual just because I
said
> > that hams should (not must) learn modern radio technology.

> Thats what you think you said, but youi forgot that you incoirporated
> the output of two FCC officials on the same subject, and that was
> included with your opinion.

Yes, I did include the comments of two FCC staffers one one or two occasions
when I stated my opinion. I felt that doing so better explained some of the
reasons I hold my opinion. I guess I didn't expect that anyone would feel
that the words of another would change the meaning of my words.

> You already know, if you want to recognize it, my beliefs on hte subjects
of what hams should know.

I think that you and I are not nearly as far apart on that as you might
think. If you are able to let go of your belief that I am adovocating that
hams must stop what they are doing in ham radio and must take up new
technologies instead, would you agree with my premise that it is important
that Amateur Radio, as a whole, continue to learn about modern
communications technologies in use in many other radio Services?

> Which makes the validity and quality of the tests even more imppotant.

I agree, Dick; I believe that improvements can and should be made in the
tests. I don't believe that the method used to test hams is so flawed,
though, that such improvements cannot be made.

> It does no good to have all these high flown beliefs if the

> implementation of testing is inadequately poor. I believe it is. You say
> you don't. The knowledge level of the "average ham", or lack of it, is
> the proof.

I don't see that the knowledge level of today's average ham is significantly different than it was when I was a General in 1963, at least not the knowledge that I used to pass that test. ALL I studied for the General was the ARRL study guide -- 16 pages of operating, rules and technical material. What IS different is the RELATIVE knowledge level of the hams of today wrt the significant changes in technology that have taken place since 1963. And that difference is not due to the fact that we now require hams to study over 1200 questions that take up over 100 pages to print instead of asking them to study 16 pages of material. The difference is there because technology has changed and it may no longer be likely that any one ham will know it all. But I bet the average ham of today knows more about RFI than I knew as a General. He or she knows more about digital electronics than I knew as a General. The number of Web pages on technical topics are legion; the ARRL Technical Information Service pages get tens of thousands of hits. These things don't happen because hams don't want to learn things.

All of the available evidence I have tells me that ham radio is not lacking in technical learning. I see only a handful of people who say they memorized the tests without learning, and, frankly, I think it likely that they learned more than they think they did. When I compare that handful to the people who I see who read web pages and articles and who are generally interested in learning things, I just can't let my opinion about what "vast hordes" of people must be doing be made from that handful.

I think, Dick, that the biggest difference between us is that I still believe in the present, and the future, of ham radio and want to take positive steps to make it happen the way I see it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3293664714212218058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 16:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A8ABC4A.15644C3F@arrl.org> <96eg0b$ghg$2@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3A8AC85B.3866413B@arrl.org>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <kZEi6.247$HH5.1316020@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:57:59 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982195152 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:59:12 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:59:12 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16064

Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:

> > The Commission will do what
> > it wants, say what it wants,

> > I'm on the record not only on the point that
> > they gave us 20 years notice, but that the decision was based on
> > economics, as hams just abdicated their position as a technical
> > learning ground.

> So they will do what they want, but 20 years after deciding to eliminate
> the amateur service they have yet to get it eliminated? That makes no
> sense at all.

But they are going to, any moment now. The Time of the End In Near! And
the proof is that they said they won't. Anyone knows that.   :-), of course.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
> Jon

From -8208458708974813961
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 16:00:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.news.ucla.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 15:58:00 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A8B1B88.60101EC9@uci.edu>
References: <3A8A0514.C369D3AA@ovis.net> <20010214121157.03673.00002247@nso-ck.aol.com> <3A8AFFC3.2596024D@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16065

Dave Heil wrote:
> Are you waiting for the modernization which you spoke
> of (apparently the recent modernization did not suit you) in order to
> deign amateur radio with your presence? Do you actually intend to
> obtain a license or are you a perpetual procrastinator?

He's probably afraid that if he got on the air, nobody would want to
talk to him. Actually, he'd probably be a real interesting guy on the
air if he'd ever get off his amateur radio defamation binge.

ac6xg

From 7964529351464530178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 16:01:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A8AC85B.3866413B@arrl.org> <96ejp7$jrj$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <S_Ei6.248$HH5.1316560@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 18:59:36 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982195250 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 19:00:50 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 19:00:50 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16066

Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

> >So they will do what they want, but 20 years after deciding to
> >eliminate the amateur service they have yet to get it
> >eliminated? That makes no sense at all.

> After the Commission's R&O, I'm not in agreement we have an
> amateur service, Jon. Just a personal radio service.

I am still able to do 100% of what I was able to do before the restructuring
Report and Order. I do exactly that. What did the Commission take away
from you that makes you feel like it is no longer the Amateur Radio Service?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7654772237368914866
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 16:05:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A8AC85B.3866413B@arrl.org> <96ejp7$jrj$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <t2Fi6.249$HH5.1316773@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 19:03:28 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982195481 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 19:04:41 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 19:04:41 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16067

Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

> >So they will do what they want, but 20 years after deciding to
> >eliminate the amateur service they have yet to get it
> >eliminated? That makes no sense at all.

> After the Commission's R&O, I'm not in agreement we have an
> amateur service, Jon. Just a personal radio service.

Are you now operating on Amateur frequencies using all of the provisions and rules of the personal radio services or are you using amateur power levels, amateur modes, amateur frequencies, amateur rules and amateur call signs?

If the former, I expect that you will, sooner or later, get to find out just what the FCC intends to accomplish within the Amateur Radio Service.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1290132965782436686
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 16:28:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010213171235.17237.00001964@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<20010214064419.17693.00000943@ng-da1.aol.com>
<gqel8tk69mlfolp2jfg45vd3stjvvjblsp@4ax.com>
<ScAi6.240$HH5.1292617@news.ntplx.net>
<bt4m8toojchcaes152pn3mbflfi6ksjlgf@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <1mFi6.250$HH5.1318144@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 19:24:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982196733 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 19:25:33 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 19:25:33 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16068

Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

> >I believe that most hams pass their tests the same way that I did...

> But of course most people are not engineers.

I was 14 years old at the time.

> I believe that most people do things the easiest way.

I did. I studied the ARRL 16-page General study guide for about a month
part time before I took my General.

> For a layman the easiest way to go is to memorize the questions and
answers. The only other way to go is
> to do months of study...

It would take me more than months to memorize all of those questions without
understanding. The most time consuming part would be avoiding the
understanding.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6855576162401823068
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 16:52:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 23:49:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <96f5hq02iaf@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <GpVh6.198$HH5.1162800@news.ntplx.net>
<96a69g$p9l$1@208.207.71.146> <96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com>
<ebsi8t8a4ludib3av9qjulmku256br0mtv@4ax.com> <96ccd141tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96cq0i02s48@enews1.newsguy.com> <6o8k8tcsf1hp8c2nk0s4m041bc9vn1m2e3@4ax.com>
<96e81332q9l@enews3.newsguy.com> <1hgl8tou13ljgabatdhb86fids3nlro481@4ax.com>
<96elp809gl@enews3.newsguy.com> <cr4m8tkor5433dolhh489cj76qr4f1eatt@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-170.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16069

In article <cr4m8tkor5433dolhh489cj76qr4f1eatt@4ax.com>, nomail@nomail.com
says...
>
>tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
>
>>Aaron wrote:

>>>Nobody says that you *have* to go to college. And that's a good thing.
>>>Who would pick up my garbage, clean my streets, and do my landscaping
>>>if everybody were highly educated... :-)
>>
>>I'd almost be willing to bet that some of those people you've mentioned have
>>college degrees.
>
>They're engineers moonlighting for a few extra bucks... :-)

That just goes to show how much their chosen field of study pays if they have to moonlight.

```
From 3769249677660427555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 18:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 02:00:28 GMT
References: <3A86E265.E414650A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214210028.16594.00007025@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16071
```

In article <3A86E265.E414650A@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Nocoders have no code experience so their opinions about Morse code don't
>matter.

True.

>Non-Extras are not fast enough at Morse code to have a valid opinion.

At least not when it comes to code testing at speeds beyond the minimum (5 WPM) level.

>Post April 15 Extras didn't prove their Morse code skill so they also have no
>right to post their personal opinions.

They have a right to post their opinions, but those opinions do not carry any weight among those of us who met the Pre-April 15 requirements.

>Members of NCI shouldn't post their
>personal opinions. ARRL employees shouldn't post their personal opinions. See
>the pattern? Anyone who disagrees with Larry on the subject of Morse code
>testing should not post their personal opinions. :-)

Cecil, I don't recall ever stating that anyone who may disagree with
me should not post their opinions. Show me where I've done so, and
I will offer an immediate retraction and apology. If you can't, then keep
your damn opinions about me to yourself!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 8874266431940714035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 18:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
feeder.kornet.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 02:00:29 GMT
References: <3A874502.810B6040@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214210029.16594.00007027@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16070
```

In article <3A874502.810B6040@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>> So how many more contradictions can we expect to see from you?
>
>Another one today. Larry previously said that I was annoying.
>Today he said no way could I do anything that would annoy him.

Cecil:

Let's just say that I recognize people who are trying to be annoying,
but my own tolerance for annoyance is unusually high. Therefore,
I can recognize you as being annoying, without actually feeling the
annoyance and experiencing the physical and emotional symptoms
of the annoyance. So, go ahead, and be just as annoying as you
care to be. Don't change a hair for me, not if you care for me!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7818616470859369669
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 18:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net.MISMATCH!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 02:00:29 GMT
References: <20010211195834.17629.00000404@ng-da1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214210029.16594.00007026@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16072

In article <20010211195834.17629.00000404@ng-da1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>I would think that a 23db power advantage to the other mode might do
>>it. (5 watts vs 1500).
>
>I agree, Dick. Larry was saying that if a CW signal goes up against an SSB
>signal, the CW signal will lose. I believe he is incorrect.

Ed:

Keep in mind, I was assuming a QRP-level CW signal in the presence of QRO-level SSB signals. When power levels are equalized, then CW signals will predominate. However, my experience has been that multiple high-power SSB signals will tend to overwhelm weak CW signals under most circumstances.

>The 23 dB might be a bit optimistic, though, unless the CW receiver really is
>using minimum necessary bandwidth. Although in wider bandwidths, some CW ops
>have a decent "mental" filter that can gain back some of what a measurement
>would say isn't there.

My mental CW filter is responsible for my completing many CW QSO's under very adverse conditions, but those conditions involved atmospheric noise and other QRN, not the deliberate QRM of multiple, closely-spaced SSB signals.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2340392927366429022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 18:04:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 02:00:31 GMT
References: <3A882409.21A61D16@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Engineers, Technicians, and Appliance Operators
Message-ID: <20010214210031.16594.00007029@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16073

In article <3A882409.21A61D16@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>>
>> (gotta' have that capitalization, Jon)
>
>So you really ARE a biased hypocrite. You attack Len for using
>capitalization and then attack others for not using it. It's perfectly
>clear that you are anti-Capitals. (I know you sometimes use capitals in
>your postings but that is OBVIOUSLY just Politically Correct
>wishy-washiness and doesn't reflect your true anti-Capitals Agenda.) I'm
>tired of you folks in Newington trying to tell the rest of us how to use
>our SHIFT keys.
>
>Jon

I hereby propose a capitalization test be given to any individual wishing to have the privilege of posting to this newsgripe. I also believe that in order to incentivize proper capitalization, that these tests be given in at least three levels of difficulty, with the incentive being a higher limit of messages that can be posted per day for each successive level. I believe that capitalization is a uniquely practical, effective, efficient, and universal method of inferring the relative importance of a word, in addition to the required use with proper nouns, words that begin a sentence, and acronyms. Obviously, anyone who doesn't use capitals correctly is a knuckle-dragging, slack-jawed, drooling, toothless client of the welfare state.

BTW -- anyone who doesn't believe in the use of capitalization
can leave now...but don't let the door hit you in the ass on the
way out!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4284993967640441078
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bb47b75117603460
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 18:04:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 02:00:30 GMT
References: <ptdg8tg7rh5i1jjhbv35ptadujp05sur4l@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who is What?
Message-ID: <20010214210030.16594.00007028@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16074

In article <ptdg8tg7rh5i1jjhbv35ptadujp05sur4l@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>
>Well let's spot check the content of your last ten posts:
>
>1- Posting Ed's views on code testing
>2- Calling Ed a biased hypocrite
>3-Newsgroup hams are bad hams
>4-Dick is an extremist
>5-Commenting on Dick's "diatribe"
>6-Criticism of Brian's post to Ed
>7-"Lazy ass?" Hmmm, should we replace QLF with QLC(heek)?"
>8-"They had doors way back then? :-)"
>9-Dick is biased on what hams think
>10-A list making fun of Larry's views
>
>>Some I find worth coming back for, some not.
>
>Your posts don't seem any more high brow than the
> rest of us Jon...   :-)

Aaron:

I think you just wasted your time. I don't think anyone expects particularly "high brow" posts from ARRL HQ employees! At least, that's not been my experience! Admittedly, Ed does better than most at holding his fingertips, but Jon's posts are right in line with what I've come to expect. Too bad we can't get K1ZZ to post here -- it would be fun to be riduculed in public by the Executive V.P. of the ARRL -- just like I was in private correspondence from him! Of course, the worst abuse I took was from a former ARRL HQ employee who is now a silent key, so I don't think it would be right to give the details in the NG. However, if anyone's interested, E-mail me and I'll fill you in -- naming names and all!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6127050202980201347
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 18:07:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "*" <*@*.*>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <3A8B13BF.B3DD31CA@midsouth.rr.com>
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <DNGi6.978$v01.341053@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /
bCCZEf39rtgD1pO6ioObVHM5DuVqYml1qLNA050CE+HDJvTR33ltD2ixyoE6amQFdTaNyrsrWjx!
fFlfQHyEWI5yLM8oJsLf0rKyLAvQJ9KOvGunaNJD190fqT18B3W4P/J5Zqg2efecmpxTC2HnuPQh!
LzEeXg==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 02:03:15 GMT

Distribution: world
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 02:03:15 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9506
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12543 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16075 rec.radio.cb:26320
rec.radio.scanner:13855

I don't think HAM requires us to be upstanding citizens, just that we are
available for emergencies
and might possibly add a little international good will.
I suggest all upstanding citizens get in the slow lane and get out of my way
or I'll run you into a ditch
on the freeway. :)

"Darren Shields" <dshields@midsouth.rr.com> wrote in message
news:3A8B13BF.B3DD31CA@midsouth.rr.com...
> I'll bet the bastards
> an ARRL member too. Probably got in on the League discount membership
plan.
> All this started me wondering, What make a ham a ham? Is a ham someone
> that's working to improve their knowledge of radio theory, electronics and
> operating skills?
>
> Well guess what guy? All of us ham have different drives. Some of you
might
> obsess with code practice and technical details but surprisingly there are
those
> of us who just enjoy the friendly banter with folks just like us from
around the
> world. You did recall that part of being a ham was being able to do that
right?
> Yes, we do good work in times of disaster and we try to be upstanding
citizens but
> the bottom line is we are human with human needs. Relax my friend and
enjoy the
> hobby your way and others will enjoy it their way. A little tolerance
goes a long
> way.
>
> Darren
>

From -1163248122493321899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c47381e90e29be9e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 18:11:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
204.127.161.3!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!
bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail

From: "Gary McAdams" <g.m.mcadams@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3a79af5b.21052584@netnews.att.net> <20010214172948.05812.00002359@ng-md1.aol.com> <dHEi6.244$HH5.1314685@news.ntplx.net>
Subject: Re: This is TOO funny!
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2014.211
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2014.211
Message-ID: <ISGi6.7462$Pg3.595750@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 02:08:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.82.163.34
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 982202920 12.82.163.34 (Thu, 15 Feb 2001 02:08:40 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 02:08:40 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16076

Ed,

Possibly you might want to do a quick
Deja-news search on Chuck. Especially
his numerous postings to rec.radio.cb.

That might help explain the reaction that
some had to Chuck's post.

73

Gary WG7X

Ed Hare, W1RFI <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:dHEi6.244$HH5.1314685@news.ntplx.net...
>
> In this case, Chuck had made the very minor mistake of
misreading a
> requirement. Jon Bloom corrected the info, and I see where
Chuck
> acknowledged that correction in another post. I don't think
that any of
> that is reason to hit him in his backside with a door.
>
>

From 3840968159267310032
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 18:24:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8B3CF4.DDA9A29A@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A86E265.E414650A@IEEE.org> <20010214210028.16594.00007025@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 21:20:36 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982203646 209.140.206.201 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 21:20:46 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 21:20:46 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16077

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3A86E265.E414650A@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Post April 15 Extras didn't prove their Morse code skill so they also have no
> >right to post their personal opinions.
>
> They have a right to post their opinions, but those opinions do not
> carry any weight among those of us who met the Pre-April 15
> requirements.

Some of us who met the pre-April 15 requirements disagree.

Jon

From -1862817215721113807
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 18:35:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 02:31:29 GMT

References: <20010213062751.02903.00000758@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214213129.16594.00007033@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16078

In article <20010213062751.02903.00000758@ng-fy1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>When I state that it takes time, effort, and motivation
>>to learn the Morse code, all I'm doing is simply stating the truth.
>
>Part of the truth, Larry. I don't think I have ever seen you tell people how
>much fun it is to use. Your technique as an ambassador of good will for the
>use of the mode leaves a lot to be desired.

Ed:

Of course it's fun -- but the fun part doesn't commence until the determination to put forth the effort to master the skill of Morse code is made, along with a committment to stick with it. The problem is, most people don't get past their fear of failure or their antipathy once they see for themselves that it *does* require a dedicated effort on their part.

>> they can simply set
>>it aside, since they'll already have their HF phone privileges handed
>>over on a silver platter at the meager 5 WPM level.
>
>Are these words intended to encourage people to try their code priveleges, or
>to discourage them to prove that you are right after all?

It isn't intended to encourage or discourage anyone, Ed. I'm simply stating the truth about the reality of learning to use the code. What part of this reality is untrue?

>>Restructuring will,
>>indeed, cause a decline in the total numbers of CW operators -- and
>>no amount of "positive thinking" will blow enough sunshine up the
>>asses of ham radio operators to make the numbers of CW operators
>>hold steady -- it won't even slow down the rate of decline!
>
>Our ambassador of "can't do."

The "can't do" part is largely because a great many people who failed at meeting the previous code requirements simply decided that they "wouldn't do" since it was easier to whine and complain until the requirement was finally reduced/eliminated. Again, I ask -- where have I lied?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 2551943696398431215
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 18:36:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 02:31:28 GMT
References: <20010213063302.02903.00000761@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Message-ID: <20010214213128.16594.00007032@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16079

In article <20010213063302.02903.00000761@ng-fy1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Because the wood stove is a practical, effective, efficient, and universal
>>backup to your oil-fired furnace!  However, only those who have learned
>>and gained useful proficiency at splitting logs can effectively employ
>>a wood stove.
>
>Not true, Larry; there are people who will sell wood cut, split and ready to
>go.  Those who want to split wood will do so.

Ahhh, I see.  Welfare for the slackers who couldn't be bothered to
pick up a chainsaw and an axe.  I should have known you'd come
rushing to their defense, Ed!

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 2234787888390966399
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3e87ad381442fe2d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 18:36:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 02:31:28 GMT
References: <20010213184722.02997.00002304@nso-mg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Requirement
Message-ID: <20010214213128.16594.00007031@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16080

In article <20010213184722.02997.00002304@nso-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>
>
>PS: When I was young we whittled our own ICs out of wood!
>

I carved mine out of a bar of Kirk's Hardwater Castile. We called
them "soap chips."

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6147468066097807923
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 19:27:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 22:20:56 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t8mimvr5rlb12@corp.supernews.com>
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net> <t8ku2j3ncfflb4@corp.supernews.com>
<96dvmc$9k7$0@dosa.alt.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 52
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12547
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16083

Typical homosexual/Lambda response. Anybody that says anything about
homosexuals that does not support there choice in life is automatically a

"homophobe". Does that then make every homosexual a "heterophobe"? Homosexuals try to take every opportunity to shove their choice on everyone else with the purpose of trying to get everyone to accept the choice as natural. It's not. And the discussion of it does not belong here. You're right Bruce, this is not my choice, but it is the decision of the folks that started the newsgroup and I can find nothing that says this newsgroup is for the discussion of anything but amateur radio, not people's political beliefs or their sexual preferences or choices.

Furthermore, you criticize me for making proclamations, then you make a proclamation "it has been long claimed by members of both news groups that hams are political....yada, yada, yada. Well it has been long claimed by these same hams that discussions on homosexuality and other sexual choices do not belong here.

Taking your absurd position, any topic should be discussed in the ham newsgroup. Because hams have pets, we should discuss pets. Because hams drives cars, we should discuss cars here. Keep the homosexual discussions in homosexual newsgroups where it belongs. Keep the radio discussions here.

"Bruce W" <ham22@lambda.org> wrote in message
news:96dvmc$9k7$0@dosa.alt.net...
>
> On Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:22:36 -0500, J. Duffy Beischel <duffyb01@fuse.net>
wrote:
> : Unless the discussion is how well an HT works stuck up some homosexual's
> : ass, I fail to see the relevance in discussing homosexualty, destroying
the
> : fine reputation of the Boy Scouts, etc., in a ham radio newsgroup.
> :
> : Let's discuss the hobby, communication methods, etc. The hobby is the
> : purpose of this newsgroup.
>
> Sorry, you don't get to decide for everyone just what is the purpose of
> "this" newsgroup (Which news group, BTW, since two are involved?)
>
> It has long ago been claimed by members of both news groups that hams
> are political, and therefore it's okay to discuss political issues like
> the last elections which were discussed ad naseum here.
>
> Well, guess what Duffy: Hams also have varying sexual orientations
(choices, you mean).
>
> Lambda has a ham club, for example, and homosexual issues are important
> to a subset of hams, just like packet radio is important to some subset
> of the larger population of amateurs.
>
> So take your thinly disguised homophobia and peddle it elsewhere.

From -7364337371733378137

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 19:27:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 02:47:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <96ffvf02ll8@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3A874502.810B6040@IEEE.org> <20010214210029.16594.00007027@nso-
cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-776.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16084

In article <20010214210029.16594.00007027@nso-cg.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...
>
>In article <3A874502.810B6040@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:
>
>>
>>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>> So how many more contradictions can we expect to see from you?
>>
>>Another one today. Larry previously said that I was annoying.
>>Today he said no way could I do anything that would annoy him.
>
>Cecil:
>
>Let's just say that I recognize people who are trying to be annoying,
>but my own tolerance for annoyance is unusually high.  Therefore,
>I can recognize you as being annoying, without actually feeling the
>annoyance and experiencing the physical and emotional symptoms
>of the annoyance.  So, go ahead, and be just as annoying as you
>care to be.  Don't change a hair for me, not if you care for me!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Does that mean we won't hear anything from you anymore on this subject?
Probably not.



From -7411349549150015978
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:02:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!newsfeed.infoave.net.MISMATCH!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 23:00:53 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <B6B0BEA5.2253%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-cu1.aol.com> <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <3A86F06A.14C7B866@arrl.org> <3a881cbb.320127373@news2.dvol.com> <B6AE2FD9.1F06%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3a8a15bd.41917529@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-90.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982209617 20189 206.74.49.90 (15 Feb 2001 04:00:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 04:00:17 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16085

Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

> The U.S. Unhindered have been on the air for a decade. Where are their "altenative technologies"?? The only "alternative technologies (modes)" I've seen in the past decade are the plethora of new and rapidly emerging HF digital modes cobbled together by a multinational collection of 12/13/20 wpm code-tested "dinosaurs".

Stop thinking in such limited terms. These contributions, that you seem to find so necessary, does not have to be limited to just ham radio. My interest in ham radio came from my interests outside of ham radio, and much of my experience gained through ham radio is used outside this service.

However, having said that, I will go back to what I said once before - no person has ever been judged worthy, or not worthy, of being a ham based on his or her ability to produce "alternative technologies." If that is the primary requirement, than I dare say that 99 percent of current hams would have to turn in their license (including me and most likely you).

Furthermore, you're not trying to rush things, are you? It took ham radio almost 90 years to produce the "alternative technologies" it has been credited with so far. The no-code hams have had less than 10 years, and you're already ready to throw them out because they haven't produced to the level you desire.

> You run EME with packet or what?

The last time I messed with EME (at a friend's station in Italy), it was limited to SSB voice. I don't have EME capabilities at the moment (no place to put the antennas), but when I do it will be SSB voice.

> Tune 14.000-14.050 Mhz this coming
> Sat/Sun mornings, stand back, then
> and get back to me about the "death"
> of HF and CW. Heh-heh . . !

I have never said a thing about the "death" of HF or CW. Emphasis on one part of the radio spectrum does not mean the "death" of another.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2281671735983248743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 23:09:46 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <B6B0C0B9.2254%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu> <9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96caga01tbj@enews2.newsguy.com> <B6AF950B.209B%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96e8h352q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-90.gwy.scscon.infoave.net

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982210149 20466 206.74.49.90 (15 Feb 2001 04:09:09 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 04:09:09 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16086

T. L. Bryant wrote:

> stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>>
>> T. L. Bryant wrote:
>>
>>> To some, ham radio is a religion, and
>>> religious fanatics will never quit
>>> perpetuating a myth.
>>
>> You're probably right, but every so often
>> the heretic has to come along
>> and shake things up a bit.  :-)
>>
> Too bad for some of them that burning at
> the stake was outlawed 200 years ago.


  Ah, its never too late to bring back those wonderful traditions. ;-)


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net




From -7106734206429361910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:18:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!64.152.100.70!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 23:15:48 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <B6B0C224.2255%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
```

<20010213171235.17237.00001964@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<B6AF9FFB.209E%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96e9q982q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-90.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982210513 20652 206.74.49.90 (15 Feb 2001 04:15:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 04:15:13 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16087

T. L. Bryant wrote:

> WA8ULX should pay more attention to
> the rules and regulations part of the
> exams. He lived and operated from his
> current QTH for roughly two years before
> changing his address with the FCC.

I can't criticise him too much for that - I've done it myself (though not for two years). When you move 7 times in a three year period, it gets to be a real pain to constantly file change of address data with the FCC. After that, I've become almost an "expert" on the FCC's online system.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8594001132128745364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:21:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 04:20:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <96flf7$710$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214065449.17693.00000947@ng-
da1.aol.com> <ipel8tg5om7aupj35f4i2iprcc58oret7m@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16088

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >It is easier to learn a few simple formulas and apply
> >grammar-school math to solve them
>
> Agreed. Learning formulas is easier than memorization...
>
> >than it is to memorize over 1000 questions without understanding.
>
> Disagree, unless you're already an engineer. Electronics questions that would take months to learn are easier to pass by using memorization. And you really don't have to memorize a 1000 questions word for word like a school play. You just have to be able to recognize the correct answers, a much easier thing to do...

Not only that, the tests are taken one at a time. That means a third of the pool is studied for each. BIG difference

I guess I'm gonna have to go get a question and show in detail how they go about doing the word association bit, explain it in lurid detail for all the world to see, right here on rrap, before he gets the point. I didn't want to do that, but.....
It was years into my VE career before I realized how they do it. I say again, the less one knows about the subject matter, the easier it is to pair the question and its answer, since some knowledge of details will only confuse the memorization of the question and it's answer. When one reads the question with ONLY the correct answer, as pairs, (you discard all distractors before you ever begin) several times over, your memory naturally pairs them so that when you take the test, the answer you have repeatedly read in conjunction with that question literally leaps out at you. It is the only one of the choices given that you've seen before and it is easily recognized. The *less* you know about the subject, the easier it is!

From -2917016387134946467
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:35:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!206.252.192.28!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning

Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 23:35:09 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <B6B0C6AC.2256%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6AFB491.20A0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010214074603.13040.00001849@ng-cg1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-90.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982211677 21174 206.74.49.90 (15 Feb 2001 04:34:37 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 04:34:37 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16089

Steve Robeson wrote:

> Ham radio in the United States is a
> SERVICE that is ALSO a hobby. [snip]

No, it is a hobby that is also a service. The hobby part comes first, because without the people engaged in this hobby, there would be no service.

Of course, before you (or someone else) go crazy, I didn't say this is "just" a hobby. For more on this, see my comments in another current thread ("Pride").

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 1431965168974116978
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 04:39:26 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3a8b5cf4.1418918@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010213070754.02903.00000767@ng-fy1.aol.com> <96baea$bg4$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>

```
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.74.1d
X-Server-Date: 15 Feb 2001 04:39:49 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16090

On 13 Feb 2001 12:48:10 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>
>>Do you agree with them?  In saying that, they restructured the
>>service in a way that they feel it is fundamentally technical.
>
>No they didn't, Ed. What they engaged in was governmental double
>speak as they deregulated the Service further.

So sayeth that great knower of all FCC dealings and
behind the scenes goings on.

>That's why there
>was a petition for reconsideration that basically picked the
>Commission apart, like a John Unitas two-minute drill.

And the current status of that petition is what?

>That's
>also why ARRL instituted their idea for a technical competence
>program, even before FCC's decision came down the pike. They knew
>exactly what was going on.

The cause/effect relationship here being what?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 2263087554693731066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:45:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 04:41:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <96fmls$agq$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <3A86F06A.14C7B866@arrl.org>
```

<3a881cbb.320127373@news2.dvol.com> <7XZh6.205$HH5.1180218@news.ntplx.net>
<3a8aaf33.81207436@news2.dvol.com> <3A8ACBA4.96E2D816@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16091

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> But do all those who think there may be a place for HF SS have the
> intention of ridding the world of CW? Unless you agree with that, you
> and Dick are not coming from the same place at all.
>

Which means you don't know where Dick was coming from. When you consider the entire "modernize" movement, you see little support for CW and what there is is lukewarm at best. It is pretty clear that most of them would dump CW entirely if the opportunity presented. As it did to NCI when ARRL sat on its hands the last time round. I still wonder if the FCC isn't still pissed because of the lawsuit ARRL filed against it years ago. That caused me to drop my membership for a couple years. The reason they sued I don't remember, it was so trivial, but the whole scheme was ridiculous. What did they hope to gain by suing the FCC?

The BOD voted last month to "Not change its policy of supporting a CW qualification *at this time*", on a voice vote, then voted down a motion to poll the BOD for individual votes. Why? Rather simple. No one, member or not, can find out how any BOD member voted, either for or against the motion, thus no individual member can be held accountable by either side of the code test issue for his vote. Since the entire BOD is not voted on, only as individuals, they're ALL safe! That's straddling the fence if ever it happened!

From 1129986851177315754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 04:40:36 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises

```
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3a8b5da1.1592585@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010213084516.03242.00000126@ng-fc1.aol.com> <96beki$pau$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3A89459B.C7B94D5@arrl.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.74.1d
X-Server-Date: 15 Feb 2001 04:41:00 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16092

On Tue, 13 Feb 2001 09:32:59 -0500, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:

>Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>>
>> >Vince, I didn't say that the FCC restructured the Amateur Radio
>> >Service in a way that you and I felt was fundamentally
>> >technical; I said that they restructured it in the way that the
>> >FCC felt was fundamentally technical.
>>
>> No they didn't, Ed. They engaged in governmental double speak to
>> wash their hands of the Service. Trivializing the Service was
>> the way to go, an economic decision.
>
>No doubt the FCC is just doing as they were ordered to by the Bilderberg
>Group. Or was it the Trilateral Commission?
>
>Jon

Probably the one world government conspiracy.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 7902177710865220276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:48:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 04:45:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <96fmtj$agq$1@208.206.142.91>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
```

```
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <96cb4411tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96d9i8$d15$0@208.207.71.136> <3A8A8307.F9EC64CF@arrl.org>
<96ecib$bul$0@208.207.71.170> <X5Ai6.237$HH5.1291984@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16093



"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > Hell no, man, a  Real Ham� is one who has a piece of paper in his pocket
> > and is smart enough to find an AC plug for the "radio" and, maybe, to
> > plug a PL259 into it.  Just don't ask him to solder on the PL259.
>
> If you had your way, would that "can't do" philosophy be the driving force
> behind Amateur Radio?


Don't you think anyone reading here besides me realizes that you are
accusing me of the very thing I am speaking out against?


From 1904297108952294798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:48:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 04:46:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <96fmur$agq$2@208.206.142.91>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <96a5ba$n5r$0@208.207.71.146>
<CHhi6.218$HH5.1237593@news.ntplx.net> <3A89C548.14A252@IEEE.org>
<96d9r7$d15$2@208.207.71.136> <3A8A9F03.4DF20037@IEEE.org>
<i7Ai6.238$HH5.1291879@news.ntplx.net>
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16094



"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3A8A9F03.4DF20037@IEEE.org...
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > >  Just like incorporating a reference then denying that you meant to use
> > > it?
>
> > I have no idea on earth what this means, Dick.
>
> I don't either, Dick.  Could you repost the post where someone denied that
> they meant to use a reference, whatever that means?
>
> Thanks.


 Try Hatfield and Hollingsworth


From 4308189694364260106
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:52:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 04:46:22 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3a8b5ee2.1913371@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A8AC85B.3866413B@arrl.org> <96ejp7$jrj$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <t2Fi6.249$HH5.1316773@news.ntplx.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.74.1d
X-Server-Date: 15 Feb 2001 04:46:50 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16095
```

On Wed, 14 Feb 2001 19:03:28 -0500, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
wrote:

>Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:
>
>> >So they will do what they want, but 20 years after deciding to
>> >eliminate the amateur service they have yet to get it
>> >eliminated? That makes no sense at all.
>
>> After the Commission's R&O, I'm not in agreement we have an
>> amateur service, Jon. Just a personal radio service.
>
>Are you now operating on Amateur frequencies using all of the provisions and
>rules of the personal radio services or are you using amateur power levels,
>amateur modes, amateur frequencies, amateur rules and amateur call signs?

Now Ed, there you go and bring facts into the picture.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 7771021306740393085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 04:48:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <96fn2r$agq$3@208.206.142.91>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <96a5ba$n5r$0@208.207.71.146>
<CHhi6.218$HH5.1237593@news.ntplx.net> <3A89C548.14A252@IEEE.org>
<cZji6.230$HH5.1245250@news.ntplx.net> <96da3v$d15$3@208.207.71.136>
<%8Ai6.239$HH5.1291841@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16096

```
"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >  You err if you believe I deliberately misrepressnted anything you said.
>
> In several cases, Dick, you have mispresented what I have said, then after I
> clarified what I meant, you later repeated the same misrepresentation.  Was
> that not deliberate?
>
> > I assumed that your use of the references of FCC officials statements
> > meant the same thing to you they did to me.
>
> I explained what they meant to me.  You explained what they meant to you.


 Yes, *after* you had already accused me of misrepresnting your
statements.
As I said before, your mention of Hatfield and Hollingworth's comments
was taken to mean that I understood their meaning to be as you did.
Evidently you just threw them in for fun.



From 6727907401678691733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:54:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 04:49:40 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3a8b5fb3.2121995@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A8AF344.964F6F61@IEEE.org> <96etfi$lek$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.74.1d
X-Server-Date: 15 Feb 2001 04:50:10 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16097

On 14 Feb 2001 21:31:30 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>What's happening now? You figuratively said the sky was going
```

>>to fall. When is it going to fall?
>
>Frankly, Cecil, I don't believe you've ever
>had a grasp of what I've been saying, nor
>have you cared.

If there is ANYONE out there that has any idea
or grasp about what Vince is saying, please let us
know.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 518213025145303524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 20:56:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 22:46:01 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A8B5F09.BF21368F@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8AF344.964F6F61@IEEE.org> <96etfi$lek$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Feb 2001 04:55:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16098

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >What's happening now? You figuratively said the sky was going
> >to fall. When is it going to fall?
>
> Frankly, Cecil, I don't believe you've ever
> had a grasp of what I've been saying, nor
> have you cared.

You have figuratively said the sky is falling on the ARS. I haven't
seen it falling. When is it going to fall?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1703447021024743385
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 21:00:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 22:43:21 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A8B5E69.B6E4851@IEEE.org>
References: <20010213062751.02903.00000758@ng-fy1.aol.com>
<20010214213129.16594.00007033@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Feb 2001 04:53:01 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16099

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> It isn't intended to encourage or discourage anyone, Ed. I'm simply
> stating the truth about the reality of learning to use the code. What
> part of this reality is untrue?

The part where you assume that everyone is like you. Everyone is NOT
like you, Larry.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7397305340502434572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 21:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8B64BD.C804B4F5@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <3A86F06A.14C7B866@arrl.org>
<3a881cbb.320127373@news2.dvol.com> <7XZh6.205$HH5.1180218@news.ntplx.net>
<3a8aaf33.81207436@news2.dvol.com> <3A8ACBA4.96E2D816@arrl.org>
<96fmls$agq$0@208.206.142.91>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 00:10:21 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982213832 209.140.206.201 (Thu, 15 Feb 2001 00:10:32 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 00:10:32 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16100

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> >
> > But do all those who think there may be a place for HF SS have the
> > intention of ridding the world of CW? Unless you agree with that, you
> > and Dick are not coming from the same place at all.
> >
>
>  Which means you don't know where Dick was coming from. When you
> consider the entire "modernize" movement, you see little support for CW
> and what there is is lukewarm at best. It is pretty clear that most of
> them would dump CW entirely if the opportunity presented.

Sounds to me like you're coming from exactly where I said.

Jon


From -9199657232422935051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 21:22:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A8B6629.6BBF4307@ovis.net>
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 05:16:25 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
References: <3A8A0514.C369D3AA@ovis.net> <20010214121157.03673.00002247@nso-
ck.aol.com> <3A8AFFC3.2596024D@ovis.net> <3A8B1B88.60101EC9@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: s2.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 15 Feb 2001 00:13:37 -0500, s2.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 24
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s2.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16101

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Are you waiting for the modernization which you spoke
> > of (apparently the recent modernization did not suit you) in order to
> > deign amateur radio with your presence?  Do you actually intend to
> > obtain a license or are you a perpetual procrastinator?
>
> He's probably afraid that if he got on the air, nobody would want to
> talk to him.  Actually, he'd probably be a real interesting guy on the
> air if he'd ever get off his amateur radio defamation binge.
>
> ac6xg

He might be interesting save for the pontificating and the sour grapes
attitude.  You'd think that a guy who is interested enough in amateur
radio to haunt a newsgroup for years would make some attempt to obtain a
license of any class.  If he did that he wouldn't be able to make
statements about not being given entrance to the lodge hall.

Until he obtains a license from the FCC (the only agency to grant
amateur radio licenses), he is an SWL.

Dave K8MN



From 7477902286473121618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 21:30:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!newsfeed.icl.net!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 23:16:51 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A8B6643.D2D5860D@IEEE.org>
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214065449.17693.00000947@ng-
da1.aol.com> <ipel8tg5om7aupj35f4i2iprcc58oret7m@4ax.com>
<96flf7$710$0@208.206.142.91>
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.81
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Feb 2001 05:26:40 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16102

Dick Carroll wrote:
>   I guess I'm gonna have to go get a question and show in detail how
> they go about doing the word association bit, explain it in lurid detail
> for all the world to see, right here on rrap, before he gets the point.

Don't bother, Dick. That's exactly how I got my Conditional license
50 years ago.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -3479113662064426997
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 21:36:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 23:24:25 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A8B6809.9E150CB2@IEEE.org>
References: <3A86E265.E414650A@IEEE.org> <20010214210028.16594.00007025@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.81
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 15 Feb 2001 05:33:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16103

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Cecil, I don't recall ever stating that anyone who may disagree with
> me should not post their opinions.

You don't have to state it, Larry. Everytime someone disagrees with you,
you tell them their opinion doesn't count, i.e. carry any weight, for one
reason or another.

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 7090240436747370556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 21:43:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 05:43:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 227
Message-ID: <96fq9d$jjp$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113> <20010210084900.21325.00000063@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <963sod$qsa$1@208.206.142.95> <3A8837C7.A347FEF1@uci.edu>
<96a7u2$fh$0@208.207.71.146> <3A88A8A8.A682D1D@uci.edu>
<96ag6m$ps1$0@208.207.71.146> <96bq20$afa$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136> <3A8AE986.21D4FD9C@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16104



Jim Kelley wrote:
>
  You're years too late. I imagine I've probably taken more ham radio
> > exams than most anyone around. I mean the question pool variety. Just to
> > see what they amounted to and to detect any errors.
>
> Before, you said that you administered them, then you said you read
> through the pools, and now in the third round you're claiming to take
> the exams more often than anyone around.  Well, I don't know what to
> believe,

 So you want me to make full disclosure each and every time?
Yes, I  - 1.) administered tests,  2.) took each new test as a practice
exam as new ones arrived to screen them for accuracy and also to see
what was on them. That means a took a lot of test, unofficially of
course since I had long held an Extra class. Clear now?


 but what I do know is that the material on the exams is NOT
> trivial, and it takes a goodly amount of study in order to be familiar
```

> enough with it to pass the exams.

IF and only if one studies to learn the material, and not just to be
able to match a certain answser with it's matching question, which can
be done without learning the subject material, and often is done.

> > > I assume from your remarks that you had a technical background when you were
> > > first licensed. Is that an accurate assumtion? Do you feel that should be
> > > a prerequisite to obtaining an amateur radio license?
>
> > No, I was a 14 year old high school freshman.
>
> Okay, so you didn't have a technical background either. Just like the
> folks you criticized above. That's hypocritical, Dick.

What is it with you guys anyway? Your understanding has evaporated.
NO, I had no technical background when I becaeme a Novice. And not a
whole lot more a few months later when I became a General, though I had
learned considerably. But 13 years later when I took all the tests for
Extra, I had been through five years of military electronics including a
6 month comprehensive course where were started at the beginning and
went through color Television, transmitters and microwave. Even spent a
couple weeks on solid state, back in the transition days when most gear
still used tubes.
And I had studied for, taken and passed the Second class radiotelepone,
first class radiotelephone operators licenses, plus the Ship Radar
endorsement.
So, Yes, I did have a technical background when I took the Extra exam.
Clear now?

Besudes that, damn it, how many times do I have to say it?

***IT IS NOT THE FAULT OF THE ASPPLICANTS THAT THEY CAN PASS THE TESTS
WITHOUT KNOWING ANYTHING ABOUT THE MATERIAL, RATHER IT IS THE FAULT OF
THE TESTING SYSTEM**

Clear now?

> > > I'm also congnizent of the fact that this knowlege represents only the most
> > > rudimentary aspects of amateur radio. But I feel that the balance is best
> > > learned in practice - with experience.
>
> > I suppose it was inevitable when they made the Extra class license
> > available with no experience requirement and with a test system of given
> > questions and answers, that inexperienced Novice type Extras would
> > result. So there is really nothing extra about today's Extra class
> > licensee.
>
> Please elucidate these differences in greater detail. Give yourself as

> an example of the 'good kind of Extra' if you like. And then contrast
> that with say, me for example. One of the kind who didn't have to meet
> the "experience requirement" you evidently did.

Simple enough. When someone with no technical knowledge can use the
question pools to pass the license tests through Extra class, while
learning nothing oif consequence about the technical material involved,
there is nothing
extra about the Extra class license.

>
> > No question that is probably the most important factor. With it one
> > will learn what he needs to know, but he still misses much he should
> > have gotten in study of material instead of just the pools. Sure, some
> > applicants do study other things. Most have no need to. They can pass
> > the tests, one at a time, by studying that element in the pools. You
> > don't get much detailed information in the pools.
>
> What kind of detailed information that's missing from the pools, should
> be included in the pools? Since you're on top of this, it should be
> simple for you to provide.

You bet it is. You prpobably remember that Ed Hare posted four or five
questisons and answers from the new pools a week or so ago, questions
which were constructed such that associating a particular word pattern
set with the question without actually knowing the material, as is
normally done, would be very difficult, and it would indeed be much
easier to learn how to work the problem. THAT is the answer, to have
most of the questions constructed in that manner. Simply make it
impossible to do what has been done since 1985 by people who found it
easier to study testing techniques instead of the material.

> And, it would go a long way toward proving your point.
>
> > > Since there were no cheet sheets brought into the exam, you can be confident
> > > that the applicants had knowlege of the facts queried on the exam.
>
> > You can if you think you should, based on your experiences. I never
> > expected to come to the opposite conclusion, and it developed over
> > several years time experience as a VE. I saw things that all finally
> > summed up to it. All confirmed by the considerably lowered knowledge
> > level demonstrated by so many new licensees.
>
> It's an interesting point. Sure wish I could go back in time and see
> how incredibly informed young Dick was as a 14 year old amateur radio
> operator by comparison to todays new hams. From hearing you tell it,
> you must have been a veritable electronics genious by comparison.

>

Would you be kind enough to let us know what you read that led you to form that opinion?

> > These
> > > facts are deemed to be those which are the most relevant to amateur radio
> > > knowlege. If you feel the material covered by the question pools is
> > > insufficient, then I'm sure everyone would be grateful if you were to offer
> > > specific suggestions as to how that material should be supplemented.

It isn't a matter of sufficiency, rather of question construction as noted above.

> > I imagine you're tired of hearing about it. Don't blame you, I'm tired
> > of saying it, especially when I get accused of spreading falsehoods.
>
> A more constructive change in tune would be welcome. If you're right,
> then you should be able to recommend the specific necessary changes. If
> you can't, well, it would seem more likely that you just like to hear
> yourself complain about things.

Done, above.

>
> > I did offer to Ed to use one of his new defective Handbooks to form
> > some questions on new material, but he ignored me. I wasn't surprised.
>
> You don't need Ed's permission to do anything, Dick. So that's not a
> valid excuse.

No excuse involved. I don't own a new copy of the Handbook, as noted earlier, and thenew modes aren't coivered in my 96 edition. Ed offered Aaron Jones a defective copy from the scrap bin if he would give his mailing address, an obvious ploy to draw out the anonymous Jones. Of course it was denied, and I decided to let Ed put his money where his mouth was- I would use one of the damgaged Handbooks to make up 10 questions on the new material if he would send it to me. I really didn't expect him to do it, of course, but it was a chance for him to show how serious he was about promoting hams to construct new questions. But I don't worry about the new modes, what I want is for the tests to correctly evaluate the applicants learning level at test time. I don't believe that the tests should be hard, if the material already covered was tested accurately it would cover more than enough material. But as it is, you as an engineeer or whatever might make no better score than one who knows nothing whatever about electronics. That's what you want in a testing system?

>
> So the reason you can't tell us about all the important technical
> knowlege that should be on the exams but currently isn't; which results
> in the hams of today not knowing as much as you were required to know
> when you were first licensed, is contained in one book. And being that
> you encountered some difficulty in purchasing this one book, you either
> don't know what the material is, or cannot provide it for some other
> reason.
>
> Hmmm, sounds reasonable. Excuses being what they are.
>

I assume you have it straightened out in your mind now.

> > > Question for you: how did you first learn the resistor color code? Was it;
> > > bad boys rape our young girls but violet gives willingly?
>
> > That wasn't the way I first learned it as a ham, but it's how they
> > taught it in military electronics school.
>
> So when you first learned it, as a ham, you derived it from first
> principles?
> Or did you employ a word association method?

BIG difference. When I word associated the color codes, it was for the purpose of relating them to something that I UNDERSTOOD. As done for the tests, with the question pools, the applicant does it merely to match two things accurately, neither of which he has any understanding.

Ed is wrong. People DO get ham radio licenses to "Not learn". Mostly they get them to talk on radios. And then they learn what they find they must learn as they go, if they can. Frequently that learning is embarassinly inadequate.

No case covers anywhere nearly all, of course. But the point is that far too many licensees have made it to Extra class with far too little knowledge. That has seriously degraded the license, and it shows. Ed evidently doesn't, but I hear about it all the time on the air, Extras who know virtually nothing technical.

From 5323018433352947685
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 21:55:40 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!sdd.hp.com!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 21:50:16 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <96fqji$g7a$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A8AC85B.3866413B@arrl.org> <96ejp7$jrj$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <S_Ei6.248$HH5.1316560@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-72.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16105
```

Ed Hare, W1RFI <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:S_Ei6.248$HH5.1316560@news.ntplx.net...
> Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:
> > After the Commission's R&O, I'm not in agreement we have an
> > amateur service, Jon. Just a personal radio service.

> I am still able to do 100% of what I was able to do before the
restructuring
> Report and Order. I do exactly that. What did the Commission take away
> from you that makes you feel like it is no longer the Amateur Radio
Service?

No, that's not what Vince is saying at all. He's saying that you don't know
Ohms law any more - nor does anyone else in amateur radio. Everyone forgot
it 20 years ago. Or was it on April 15th last year. Anyway, remember when
that happened? ;-)

de ac6xg

```
From -7919053541874134103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 21:57:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
```

Date: 15 Feb 2001 05:54:00 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <96fqto$l3s$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu> <9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <96cb4411tbj@enews2.newsguy.com> <96d9i8$d15$0@208.207.71.136> <3A8A8307.F9EC64CF@arrl.org> <96ecib$bul$0@208.207.71.170> <3A8B0FE1.9412EE0B@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16106

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Jon Bloom wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > Did he happen to mention that only those hams who passed a "real" exam
> > > -- not the ones given during the last two decades -- are contributing to
> > > the success of the NWS activities? If not, maybe the NWS can't tell the
> > > difference between the "real"
> > > hams and the others. Maybe there is no difference.
> >
> > Hell no, man, a Real Ham� is one who has a piece of paper in his pocket
> > and is smart enough to find an AC plug for the "radio" and, maybe, to
> > plug a PL259 into it. Just don't ask him to solder on the PL259.
>
> I can see why you dodged the question; a truthful answer would
> demonstrate the absurdity of your oft-repeated claim that the current
> testing system is destroying ham radio.

So you believe it doesn't matter at all that many Extra class
licensees
became licensed on the question pools without learning anything
meaningful in the process - that doesn't damage ham radio? Somehow I
don't think your answer will surprise me.

From -229370886286102833
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 22:18:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 06:15:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 80
Message-ID: <96fs5m$oc2$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <20010213210822.01651.00001493@nso-fp.aol.com>
<20010214064130.17693.00000941@ng-da1.aol.com> <96eaia$7d0$0@208.207.71.170>
<sWEi6.246$HH5.1316177@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16107

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> I think that you and I are not nearly as far apart on that as you might
> think. If you are able to let go of your belief that I am adovocating that
> hams must stop what they are doing in ham radio and must take up new
> technologies instead, would you agree with my premise that it is important
> that Amateur Radio, as a whole, continue to learn about modern
> communications technologies in use in many other radio Services?
>

Yes indeed. But it must be understood that we force no abandonment of
our older modes, even unintentionally, in the process. I think we agree
on that.

> > Which makes the validity and quality of the tests even more imppotant.
>
> I agree, Dick; I believe that improvements can and should be made in the
> tests. I don't believe that the method used to test hams is so flawed,
> though, that such improvements cannot be made.

I can even agree here, given the few questions you posted last week. If

most of the test questions were configured as those were, there'd be no
proble and my dissatisfaction with the tests would completely evaporate.

>
> I don't see that the knowledge level of today's average ham is significantly
> different than it was when I was a General in 1963, at least not the
> knowledge that I used to pass that test.

The most glaring examples are Extras, I'm afraid. They are expected to
be at least modestly knowledgable, and far too many are not.

ALL I studied for the General was
> the ARRL study guide -- 16 pages of operating, rules and technical material.
> What IS different is the RELATIVE knowledge level of the hams of today wrt
> the significant changes in technology that have taken place since 1963. And
> that difference is not due to the fact that we now require hams to study
> over 1200 questions that take up over 100 pages to print instead of asking
> them to study 16 pages of material. The difference is there because
> technology has changed and it may no longer be likely that any one ham will
> know it all. But I bet the average ham of today knows more about RFI than I
> knew as a General. He or she knows more about digital electronics than I
> knew as a General. The number of Web pages on technical topics are legion;
> the ARRL Technical Information Service pages get tens of thousands of hits.
> These things don't happen because hams don't want to learn things.
>
> All of the available evidence I have tells me that ham radio is not lacking
> in technical learning. I see only a handful of people who say they memorized
> the tests without learning, and, frankly, I think it likely that they
> learned more than they think they did. When I compare that handful to the
> people who I see who read web pages and articles and who are generally
> interested in learning things, I just can't let my opinion about what "vast
> hordes" of people must be doing be made from that handful.
>
> I think, Dick, that the biggest difference between us is that I still
> believe in the present, and the future, of ham radio and want to take
> positive steps to make it happen the way I see it.

We're not that far apart, although I believe I've seen things in the
field,as a VE, and on the air, that you have missed. (I do work and
listen to quite a lot of SSB on HF as well as CW). And the years as a VE
was an eye opener.
I know that no one is expected to be schooled in electronics just to get
a ham radio license, but it should be at least moderately difficult to
get to the Extra class, if it is to mean anything more than lip service.
Fifteen years of question pool exams have about trashed it. With the
pool situation as it has been it isn't. I think that can be improved,
not by adding material necessarily, but by rewriting many questions so
as to make them more difficult to pair answers without learning, as
noted earlier.

From -4538547994149676773
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bb47b75117603460
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 22:20:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who is What?
Message-ID: <p4tm8tcbhjqr1345ulnjpm36qhipk6losi@4ax.com>
References: <ptdg8tg7rh5i1jjhbv35ptadujp05sur4l@4ax.com>
<20010214210030.16594.00007028@nso-cg.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 06:18:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.95
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982217900 209.86.209.95 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 22:18:20 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 22:18:20 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16108

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
>Jon's posts are right in line with what I've come to expect.

I was just trying to point out that after Jon kind of smirked at the rrap group's antics, he was doing the same kind of antics... :-)

>I don't think anyone expects particularly "high brow"
>posts from ARRL HQ employees!

I think that both Ed and Jon add a lot of interesting info to the group. I would be sorry to see either go, and don't blame them for reacting to some inflammatory posts as most normal human beings would. I have always found the antics of this group interesting. If everybody was a perfect gentleman here it would be somewhat boring... :-)

>the worst abuse I took was from a former ARRL HQ
>employee who is now a silent key..

So why don't you let your animosity for this person die as well...

From -394349287723403320
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 22:20:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 06:19:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <96fse1$oc2$2@208.206.142.91>
References: <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <GpVh6.198$HH5.1162800@news.ntplx.net>
<96a69g$p9l$1@208.207.71.146> <96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com>
<ebsi8t8a4ludib3av9qjulmku256br0mtv@4ax.com> <96ccd141tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96cq0i02s48@enews1.newsguy.com> <6o8k8tcsf1hp8c2nk0s4m041bc9vn1m2e3@4ax.com>
<96e81332q9l@enews3.newsguy.com> <1hgl8tou13ljgabatdhb86fids3nlro481@4ax.com>
<96elp809gl@enews3.newsguy.com> <cr4m8tkor5433dolhh489cj76qr4f1eatt@4ax.com>
<96f5hq02iaf@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16109



"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <cr4m8tkor5433dolhh489cj76qr4f1eatt@4ax.com>, nomail@nomail.com
> says...
> >
> >tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
> >
> >>Aaron wrote:
> >>>Nobody says that you *have* to go to college. And that's a good thing.
> >>>Who would pick up my garbage, clean my streets, and do my landscaping
> >>>if everybody were highly educated...  :-)
> >>
> >>I'd almost be willing to bet that some of those people you've mentioned have
> >>college degrees.
> >
> >They're engineers moonlighting for a few extra bucks...  :-)
>
> That just goes to show how much their chosen field of study pays if they have
> to moonlight.


Actually they're just puting to good use skills they learned when they
were working their way through college
```

```
From -4341982064181670569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 22:20:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <71tm8tsjuba2gl48rnftu2mmo12g4g5rbu@4ax.com>
References: <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010213171235.17237.00001964@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<B6AF9FFB.209E%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96e9q982q9l@enews3.newsguy.com>
<B6B0C224.2255%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 06:18:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.95
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982217898 209.86.209.95 (Wed, 14 Feb
2001 22:18:18 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 22:18:18 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16110
```

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> When you move 7 times in a three year period, it gets to be
> a real pain to constantly file change of address data with the FCC. After
> that, I've become almost an "expert" on the FCC's online system.

Not to worry Dwight because it apparently does no good. I changed my address last September when I moved and it took because the FCC database was updated within a few weeks. However when I got official correspondence from the FCC a couple of days ago (about my password notification for CORES) it was, you guessed it, sent to my old address...   :-)

```
From -2896014808171906179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 22:21:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
```

newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <m2tm8tco8iiqc6r82s2b6sjfolob7objhd@4ax.com>
References: <20010213171235.17237.00001964@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<20010214064419.17693.00000943@ng-da1.aol.com>
<gqel8tk69mlfolp2jfg45vd3stjvvjblsp@4ax.com>
<ScAi6.240$HH5.1292617@news.ntplx.net>
<bt4m8toojchcaes152pn3mbflfi6ksjlgf@4ax.com>
<1mFi6.250$HH5.1318144@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 06:18:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.95
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982217896 209.86.209.95 (Wed, 14 Feb 2001 22:18:16 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 22:18:16 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16111

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

>Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>> >I believe that most hams pass their tests the same way that I did...
>
>> But of course most people are not engineers.
>
>I was 14 years old at the time.

You were an *engineer* when you got your Extra, were you not? Didn't that 4 years of technical schooling help you a bit with the test... :-)

>I did. I studied the ARRL 16-page General study guide for about a month
>part time before I took my General.

That was then, this is now. I'm talking about today's ham test. The one with the exact questions and answers published and available for memorization...

>It would take me more than months to memorize all of those questions without
>understanding. The most time consuming part would be avoiding the
>understanding.

It would take a layman a year or more of technical school to really learn the electronics on the Extra test. He can memorize it in a week...

From 1134056777649010070
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 22:21:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 06:18:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <96fsc5$oc2$1@208.206.142.91>
References: <20010213171235.17237.00001964@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<20010214064419.17693.00000943@ng-da1.aol.com>
<gqel8tk69mlfolp2jfg45vd3stjvvjblsp@4ax.com>
<ScAi6.240$HH5.1292617@news.ntplx.net>
<bt4m8toojchcaes152pn3mbflfi6ksjlgf@4ax.com>
<1mFi6.250$HH5.1318144@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16112

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> It would take me more than months to memorize all of those questions without
> understanding. The most time consuming part would be avoiding the
> understanding.

Ed, It IS much easier to do when you know nothing about the subject
matter.
These are things I learned from the years as a VE. Rewriting questions
can fix that.

From 7411590585395627945
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 22:32:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet

```
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 06:32:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <96ft68$qs7$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214065449.17693.00000947@ng-
da1.aol.com> <ipel8tg5om7aupj35f4i2iprcc58oret7m@4ax.com>
<96flf7$710$0@208.206.142.91> <3A8B6643.D2D5860D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16113



Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >   I guess I'm gonna have to go get a question and show in detail how
> > they go about doing the word association bit, explain it in lurid detail
> > for all the world to see, right here on rrap, before he gets the point.
>
> Don't bother, Dick. That's exactly how I got my Conditional license
> 50 years ago.


     Impossible. There were no exact question/answer pairs to study.


From 6677595494260686558
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 22:44:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!enews.sgi.com!sdd.hp.com!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 22:41:18 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <96ftja$hnu$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A8ACCCB.48A39A1F@IEEE.org> <96ek33$jrj$2@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-72.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
```

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16114

Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote in message
news:96ek33$jrj$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com...

> "Have to keep quiet" does not mean "know enough
> to keep quiet." Context changed. Kindly desist
> trolling.

Oh now I get it.   They "know enough to keep quiet" so as to keep from getting "nailed" because Congress has a "history" of wanting to "dumb down" amateur radio operators until they can no longer fix or even use their radios. And by then they'll be so stupid that the radio fairies can come right down and take away all the radios. And the FCC can finally sell the spectrum to Kenwood. And Vince can finish smoking the rope the FCC supplied.

The End.

So let it be written, so let it be done, thus spoke Biancomano.

From -880264313278200170
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 22:47:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 06:44:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <96ftsu$s56$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136> <20010214065919.17693.00000949@ng-
da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16115

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >They can pass
> >the tests, one at a time, by studying that element in the pools. You
> >don't get much detailed information in the pools.
>
> There are more correct answers in the General pool than there were sentences in
> the 16-page General study guide I read to get my General license.

Many of which are on non-technical subjects.

```
From -7129142040924766770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 22:53:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8B7BCD.43FB185E@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <96cb4411tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
<96d9i8$d15$0@208.207.71.136> <3A8A8307.F9EC64CF@arrl.org>
<96ecib$bul$0@208.207.71.170> <3A8B0FE1.9412EE0B@arrl.org>
<96fqto$l3s$0@208.206.142.91>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 29
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 01:48:45 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982219738 209.140.206.201 (Thu, 15 Feb 2001 01:48:58 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 01:48:58 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16116
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> So you believe it doesn't matter at all that many Extra class
> licensees
> became licensed on the question pools without learning anything
> meaningful in the process

I don't accept your premise.

> - that doesn't damage ham radio?

I want to see specific examples of the damage, Dick. What can you no longer do in ham radio due to the damage caused by recent licensees? Are these licensees keeping ham radio from fulfilling its public service role? Are they inhibiting interested people from learning radio technology and technique? In short, in what specific ways are these licensees diminishing ham radio? Mind you, I'm not looking for vague assertions that they don't know enough, I'm looking for specific information about what they are doing that is causing damage. If you can provide such specifics, it will help make your case. If you cannot, then your belief that ham radio is being damaged is mere speculation.

> Somehow I
> don't think your answer will surprise me.

Somehow, I don't think yours will surprise me, either. But I'd be delighted to be proven wrong about that.

Jon

From 6472335168129431303
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bb47b75117603460
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 22:59:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8B7D2E.286BD448@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who is What?
References: <ptdg8tg7rh5i1jjhbv35ptadujp05sur4l@4ax.com>
<20010214210030.16594.00007028@nso-cg.aol.com>
<p4tm8tcbhjqr1345ulnjpm36qhipk6losi@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 01:54:38 -0500

NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982220090 209.140.206.201 (Thu, 15 Feb 2001 01:54:50 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 01:54:50 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16117

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> I think that both Ed and Jon add a lot of interesting info to the
> group.

"Interesting info"? Careful, Aaron, you're in danger of violating your
principles by taking some of this seriously! :-)

Jon

From -6248144565717919022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 23:11:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Faggots, Homos, & Queers was: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 07:03:56 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <t8mvqsmm4jlaa5@news.supernews.com>
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net> <t8ku2j3ncfflb4@corp.supernews.com>
<96dvmc$9k7$0@dosa.alt.net> <3A8ABF84.47A1@bellsouth.net>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 14
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12554
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16118

I don't believe we need to discuss Fudge Packers & Colon Cappers in this
newgroup, they're off-topic. We should be discussing the decline of the
ARRL and why they don't want to petition the FCC to help improve amateur
radio operators and their operating practices.

Just a thought.

KB7ADL

joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine) wrote in
<3A8ABF84.47A1@bellsouth.net>:

>Homophobia?

>

From 2543069061298689793
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 00:04:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cyclone.bc.net!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 23:52:36 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 173
Message-ID: <96g1tl$k29$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113> <20010210084900.21325.00000063@ng-fm1.aol.com> <963sod$qsa$1@208.206.142.95> <3A8837C7.A347FEF1@uci.edu>
<96a7u2$fh$0@208.207.71.146> <3A88A8A8.A682D1D@uci.edu>
<96ag6m$ps1$0@208.207.71.146> <96bq20$afa$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136> <3A8AE986.21D4FD9C@uci.edu>
<96fq9d$jjp$0@208.206.142.91>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-75.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16119

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:96fq9d$jjp$0@208.206.142.91...
> So you want me to make full disclosure each and every time?
> Yes, I - 1.) administered tests, 2.) took each new test as a practice
> exam as new ones arrived to screen them for accuracy and also to see
> what was on them. That means a took a lot of test, unofficially of
> course since I had long held an Extra class. Clear now?

Do you think you would have passed many them? ;-)

> *IF and only if* one studies to learn the material, and not just to be
> able to match a certain answser with it's matching question, which can
> be done without learning the subject material, and often is done.

The answer contains the words which are the correct answer to the words
contained in the question. I wonder how somebody could know the words to
the question and the words to the answer, know that the two correspond to
each other, and not know anything about subject of the sentences. Doesn't
make a lot of sense, Dick. Certainly isn't worth losing any sleep over.

> > > No, I was a 14 year old high school freshman.

> >
> > Okay, so you didn't have a technical background either. Just like the
> > folks you criticized above. That's hypocritical, Dick.
>
> What is it with you guys anyway?

What guys? Have I been using the royal "we" again? :-)

> NO, I had no technical background when I becaeme a Novice. And not a
> whole lot more a few months later when I became a General, though I had
> learned considerably.

But that would be impossible for anyone to do nowadays, right? I'd like to
suggest that you were probably just as "stupid" then as you claim so many of
your applicants are today.

> So, Yes, I did have a technical background when I took the Extra exam.
> Clear now?

I had more than 25 years of electronics background when I took my Extra
exam.

> ***IT IS NOT THE FAULT OF THE ASPPLICANTS THAT THEY CAN PASS THE TESTS
> WITHOUT KNOWING ANYTHING ABOUT THE MATERIAL, RATHER IT IS THE FAULT OF
> THE TESTING SYSTEM**

Error. Error. Error. What proof do you have that the applicants don't know
any of the material? I claim their CSCE is proof they know the material.
If you don't, why the heck did you sign them?

> Simple enough. When someone with no technical knowledge can use the
> question pools to pass the license tests through Extra class, while
> learning nothing oif consequence about the technical material involved,
> there is nothing
> extra about the Extra class license.

What it really sounds like to me, is that you need that piece of paper to
prove something about you. Well, that's fine. If it took a great deal of
effort for you, then you can be proud of your accomplishment. What somebody
else does has no affect on that. I've noticed that once I've accomplished
something, and then years later I go back and look at my work, it doesn't
seem as significant a task. It seems to me that you're judging the amount
of work required for Extra now in kind of the same way. Now it would be
easy for you, but when you first did it, it was quite an accomplishment. Ya
oughta give the young fellers more of a break there, Dick.

> > What kind of detailed information that's missing from the pools, should
> > be included in the pools? Since you're on top of this, it should be
> > simple for you to provide.

> You bet it is.

You mean it's easy as long as somebody else (like Ed) does it.

> > From hearing you tell it, you must have been a veritable electronics genious by comparison.

> Would you be kind enough to let us know what you read that led you to form that opinion?

Like I said, I read your opinion that nobody learns anything anymore - not like you did when you were just starting. Your evidence was based on your observations of these folks. I claim that you would have probably sounded just as dumb back then. By the time you got your Extra, you were a trained electronics something or other. That's an advantage over guys who aren't. But no one is required to have that kind of background. I'd claim that a guy who gets his Extra without that has accomplished more.

> It isn't a matter of sufficiency, rather of question construction as noted above.

Well then, since you know exactly how to fix things, what's stopping you?

> > A more constructive change in tune would be welcome. If you're right, then you should be able to recommend the specific necessary changes.

> Done, above.

Searching.......

> > You don't need Ed's permission to do anything, Dick. So that's not a valid excuse.

> No excuse involved.

You provided nothing but a list of excuses for why you couldn't write exam questions.

> I don't own a new copy of the Handbook, as noted earlier, and thenew modes aren't coivered in my 96 edition.

I thought you said the problem was with the way the questions were written. Why not just go through and edit the question pool? Too much work, maybe? Easier to just blast the QPC here on the newsgroup? I'm sure those guys have other things they could be doing as well, Dick. But please, leave the questions about the new modes to someone with more experience with that. ;-)

> But as it is, you as an engineeer or whatever might make no better score than one who knows nothing whatever about electronics. That's what you want in a testing system?

Hey, if I don't know more of the answers than somebody else, then I think that's the way it's supposed to work. As you've said, being an engineer doesn't necessarily make one an amateur radio operator. Passing the FCC exams does however.

> > So when you first learned it, as a ham, you derived it from first
> > principles?
> > Or did you employ a word association method?

> BIG difference. When I word associated the color codes, it was for the
> purpose of relating them to something that I UNDERSTOOD.

What's there to understand about a color code? bad=black boys=brown,
rape=red, our=orange.........

>As done for the
> tests, with the question pools, the applicant does it merely to match
> two things accurately, neither of which he has any understanding.

You mean like matching the words '20 meter amateur band limits' to '14.000
MHz - 14.350 MHz'?

> Ed is wrong. People DO get ham radio licenses to "Not learn". Mostly
> they get them to talk on radios.

You need an amateur radio license to talk on amateur radios. Isn't that
what most hams do with theirs?

>And then they learn what they find
> they must learn as they go, if they can. Frequently that learning is
> embarassinly inadequate.

Who's they? Those guys who just got their license? Yes, we all know that
you were an electronics genious when you first got your ticket, Dick.

>   No case covers anywhere nearly all, of course. But the point is that
> far too many licensees have made it to Extra class with far too little
> knowledge. That has seriously degraded the license, and it shows. Ed
> evidently doesn't, but I hear about it all the time on the air, Extras
> who know virtually nothing technical.

I think you overstate things a bit. But I'll give you one thing. Chances
are a guy who gets his Extra after 4 years (or 2, like I did), is not going
to know as much amateur radio as a guy who gets it after 20 years of
operating. On the other hand, what took him so long? ;-)

73, Jim AC6XG

From -5024744903037365537
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 02:11:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!

news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 10:08:09 GMT
References: <B6B0BEA5.2253%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010215050809.21862.00001226@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16120

>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>I don't have EME capabilities at the moment (no place
>to put the antennas), but when I do it will be SSB voice.

Why not use a digital mode? With a slow data rate, FEC and some long-term integration and similar processing techniques, it should be possible to do 2X EME with modest stations.

>I have never said a thing about the "death" of HF or CW. Emphasis on one
>part of the radio spectrum does not mean the "death" of another.

Especially when there is nothing about the rules changes that takes away any personal emphasis any individual ham may have been placing on mode or spectrum.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4678039504810410010
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 02:22:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 98
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 10:19:50 GMT
References: <96bmeb$pi$0@208.207.71.143>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010215051950.21862.00001227@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16121

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>It wouldn't exactly be a huge project for some good writer who is well
>familiar with the modes in question to put together a clear, basic
>tutorial with good graphics which would clearly describe to laymen,
>which evidently will constitute a vast majority of ham radio in the
>future, can at least get some basic exposure without the haphazard,
>peacemeal approach thus far.

What is wrong with the several tutorials in the URLs I posted earlier?

>The subuect of course should be both SS techniques and DSP. But no, all
>the techies want to do is insist that "everyone should know it."

Other than write the tutorials at the URLs I posted earlier, of course.

>

> So when did FCC change their minds? As late as the Dec 99 R&O they
>stated that they consider the AR to be a "fundamentally technical
>service". The classes of licensing are theirs, with graduated ascending
>technical requirements. Have you ran your opinions past the FCC? OR is
>this just another method of easing us all out of a *real* ham radio into
>a purely hobby-CB sort of "service", as Dwigbt Stewart so clearly
>described a few posts back? Is that the actual goal of ARRL? It doesn't
>surprise me in the least.

You asked a question, then were suprised at the answer before it was given?
Odd.

To answer your question, no, that is not the goal and Dwight's posts on rrap
don't set ARRL policy either. I know you know this.

> What you have just said is that nothing is important so long as no one rocks
> the boat for any reason.

That is not what Jon said; you are twisting his words again into the most
extreme possible interpretation. It is not useful.

> We MUST license anyone who shows the mildest interest.

Your opinion, if you say so, but I strongly disagree.

> Quantithy, never mind any concern of quality.

Your opinion, if you say so, but I strongly disagree.

> And tests just get in the way.

Your opinion if I say so, but I strongly disagree.

>
>

>> No, I suppose no such thing. But one thing I *am* sure of is that ham
>> radio can embrace a wider variety of interests than those of any one
>> individual.

> That's news??? It's been that way since long before I came along.

Then you believe it is also true of those who take tests today? If so, I
agree.

> Nonsense, and you know it. The difference is in bottom line
>qualifications. The drift, which has turned into a fulscale slide, is
>toward NO basic qualifications at all. And it is very close to it at
>this writing.

I disagree. The qualifications of hams today are greater than they were when I
studied a 16-page study guide to get my General and all amateur privileges.

> Damn it, ham radio was never meant to be an all-inclusive passtime.

Why would you want to damn ham radio?

> It has always been for the technically ivolved.

At least those technically involved enough to learn/memorize/guess on a test
covered by a 16-page study guide.

>So now you advocate that all be as one, the LEAST qualified one. I don't
>think you could have made it more clear.

Jon did not say that, you did, so that makes it your opinion. I strongly
disagree.

> They sure do, and when they happen to be code haters anything they say
>is accepted as command, and the beliefs of OTs are cast aside.

Jon didn't say that either.   You did, so it is your opinion. I strongly
disagree.

It is very difficult to discuss things with you when you make things up from
your own opinion and then take issue with others for saying them.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5339722194546598l8
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 02:25:46 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 118
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 10:22:28 GMT
References: <3a8a92d3.73942348@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010215052228.21862.00001228@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16122

>From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>And if you
>>search the NG archives (once Google brings Deja back online with the
>>full 1995-and-later archive), you'll find that both Ed and I have
>>repeatedly challenged Carl's views on just that point.

>Ed is in here supporting HF spread spectrum ops.

Ed made a statement that it should be possible to accomodate some HF SS, to enable amateur experimentation to determine what value HF SS could have to the Amateur Radio Service. Jon offered a suggestion on how you could refresh your memory on the scope of my disagreement with Carl that SS should be given absolute free reign on HF or other.

Your statement is inaccurate in that context.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>>
>>Dick's mistake,
>>yours too, I guess, is to think that just because we want to see new
>>technology brought into Amateur Radio that we buy the rest of Carl's
>>agenda. We don't.
>>
>I didn't state that Jon, I said that there is a well-defined
>multi-point agenda out there which is spearheaded by Stevenson. We all
>know what those points are and those are my squawks.
>>
>>Nor is Carl's agenda an inevitable consequence of
>>adopting new technology. Carl and a few others want to give wideband
>>modes carte blanche; I don't think that's necessary in order to make use
>>of those technologies,
>>
>I'm having a very difficult time believing that a mode which scatters
>bits and bytes all over some significant HF bandwidth is not gonna
>materially degrade the noise level for other users of that bandwidth.
>That's my whole and only problem with HF wideband modes.

>>
>>and I think that existing narrowband modes should
>>have some protection from wideband (as they do). That's particularly
>>true on HF.
>>
>Good.
>>
>>> One of The Agenda's favorite hype points for justifying the abolition
>>> of the code tests was and is to permit all the technical geniuses who
>>> were gonna flood the bands with new technologies to get on the air
>>> without being "hindered" by the code tests.
>>
>>But did I say that? Did Ed?
>>
>No, but Squiggy and plenty of others certainly have used that line
>which was my point.
>>
>>
>>I agree that most hams aren't chomping at the bit to see SS implemented.
>>But do you think most hams are *against* it ever being implemented?
>>
>First off some huge percentage of all hams have no idea what ss is let
>alone it's potential upsides and downsides. I'm quite convinced that
>if they did understand ss and there was a proposal on the table to
>implement HF ss there would be a major uproar in opposition.
>>
>Second, I'm not opposed to ss as a mode if used in appropriate chunks
>of ham spectrum space. Which does NOT include 7.000-7.300 Mhz . .
>>
>>Also, do you think that those who are interested in bringing modern
>>technologies into ham radio are necessarily anti-CW and thus need to be
>>suppressed?
>>
>Of course not. I'm out here applauding the work being done in the
>field of HF narrowband digital modes. This work is gonna lead to
>something very big one of these days. Note that for the most part
>those contributers are not playing the lame old "catch up with the
>commercials" game ss proponents are playing.
>>
>>I agree that the historical model of amateur adoption of technology
>>probably continues to be the best path. I see no reason why FHSS or any
>>other technology that confers real benefits should not follow that path.
>>I don't think the HF amateur bands are best suited to that particular
>>technology, nor that the potential benefits of that technology are
>>maximized at HF. But I think there are real potential benefits at VHF
>>and UHF, I think those benefits can begin to be realized without unduly
>>bothering existing narrowband users,
>>
>We're 100% agreed here. There's plenty of absolutely dead VHF/UHF ham
>spectrum space, use it or lose it, go for it. Or make it happen or
>quit babbling about it.
>>
>>and I'm disappointed that we

```
>>haven't made more progress along those lines.
>>
>The question is "Why hasn't more  progress been made?". I suspect the
>answer lies in the realities of Marketing 101. "I already have a 56.6
>kbs connection", etc. My own bottom line is that if ss stays above 30
>Mhz where it belongs I have no problem with or particular interest in
>ss.
>>
>>I don't perceive one iota
>>of anti-CW agenda in that.
>>
>My comments were aimed at Squiggy's agenda which is a rabid anti-cw
>stance from top to bottom.
>>
>>Jon
>>
>w3rv
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>




From 7090037155247483536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 02:28:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!nntp.msen.com!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 10:25:33 GMT
References: <3a8a92d3.73942348@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010215052533.21862.00001229@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16123

>From: kelly@dvol  (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>>I don't perceive one iota
>>of anti-CW agenda in that.
```

>My comments were aimed at Squiggy's agenda which is a rabid anti-cw
>stance from top to bottom.

I think Jon got a bit confused when you disagreed with Carl's point of view by responding to what I had said. A natural error, considering you kept quoting me and disagreeing with Carl without actually naming him until later in the thread.

At least it is not as bad as others who disagree with themselves in response to my posts. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2689149880331501129
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 02:36:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 10:34:33 GMT
References: <20010214210029.16594.00007026@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010215053433.21862.00001230@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16124

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>I agree, Dick. Larry was saying that if a CW signal goes up against an SSB
>>signal, the CW signal will lose. I believe he is incorrect.

>Keep in mind, I was assuming a QRP-level CW signal in the presence
>of QRO-level SSB signals.

Did you stack the deck to help make your point? The point will be diminished by those who note that you stacked the deck.

>However, my experience has been that
>multiple high-power SSB signals will tend to overwhelm weak CW
>signals under most circumstances.

It is my experience that multiple high-power SSB signal will tend to overwhelm other high-power SSB signals. I would say that 90% of the time, there is one SSB signal per 2500 Hz bandwidth. If there are "dozens," no one is communicating.

>My mental CW filter is responsible for my completing many CW QSO's
>under very adverse conditions, but those conditions involved atmospheric
>noise and other QRN, not the deliberate QRM of multiple, closely-spaced
>SSB signals.

I would imagine not. I have never been deliberately QRM'ed by multiple, closely-spaced SSB signals in the CW portion of the band. A few Canadian ops have caused my 5 W CW signal some grief on 40 M, but I expect that they heard me very weakly, so just assumed they would be weak to me, too.

On how many occasions have you been deliberately QRMed on your CW QSOs by multiple closely spaced SSB signals? What percentage of your CW QSOs have been subject to such QRM?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 3341009419298845047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 02:38:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 10:36:12 GMT
References: <20010214210028.16594.00007025@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010215053612.21862.00001231@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16125
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>> Post April 15 Extras didn't prove their Morse code skill so they also have
>> no right to post their personal opinions.

>They have a right to post their opinions, but those opinions do not
>carry any weight among those of us who met the Pre-April 15
>requirements.

On what basis do you feel you can speak for "those of us?"

What could post-4/15 Extras do that would make you take their opinions carry weight?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8560744992412408640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 02:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 10:37:14 GMT
References: <20010214210028.16594.00007025@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010215053714.21862.00001232@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16126
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Show me where I've done so, and
>I will offer an immediate retraction and apology. If you can't, then keep
>your damn opinions about me to yourself!

A smiley would have helped. If it wasn't a joke, you should offer a retraction and an apology. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 2112935323939714189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 02:41:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 10:38:49 GMT
References: <3A8B6809.9E150CB2@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010215053849.21862.00001233@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16127

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>You don't have to state it, Larry. Everytime someone disagrees with you,
>you tell them their opinion doesn't count, i.e. carry any weight, for one
>reason or another.

Or he tells them to keep their opinion to themselves. That must be Larry's
cute way of telling them that he is not saying that they should not post it.
:-)

A bundle of self-contradiction, eh?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2968222359277756742
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 02:47:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 10:45:32 GMT
References: <96fmur$agq$2@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010215054532.21862.00001234@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16128

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I don't either, Dick. Could you repost the post where someone denied that
>> they meant to use a reference, whatever that means?

> Try Hatfield and Hollingsworth

I did a search on Hatfield and Hollingsworth and didn't find that they had done
any posts to rrap.

Could you repost the post where someone denied that they meant to use a
reference, whatever that means?

Thanks.

```
73,
Ed, W1RFI




From 4674098607613OOO75
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 02:50:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 10:48:43 GMT
References: <96fqto$l3s$0@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010215054843.21862.00001236@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16129

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I can see why you dodged the question; a truthful answer would
>> demonstrate the absurdity of your oft-repeated claim that the current
>> testing system is destroying ham radio.

> So you believe it doesn't matter at all that many Extra class
>licensees
>became licensed on the question pools without learning anything
>meaningful in the process - that doesn't damage ham radio? Somehow I
>don't think your answer will surprise me.

Jon didn't say that; you did. If what you say is your opinion, I strongly
disagree.

Ed Hare, W1RFI




From -935263451902359180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 02:51:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Feb 2001 10:47:27 GMT
References: <96fn2r$agq$3@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010215054727.21862.00001235@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16130

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>As I said before, your mention of Hatfield and Hollingworth's comments
>was taken to mean that I understood their meaning to be as you did.
>Evidently you just threw them in for fun.

I didn't say what I believed their meaning to be. I asked you what you thought it was. Your answer is "what you said?"

This is getting really hard to follow.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2939762664042784487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f5dedcbb4e62c135,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,f5dedcbb4e62c135,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 03:10:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc1.il.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "David Feustel" <dfeustel@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Texas HB 1148 Will Totally Regulate Antenna Towers
Lines: 6
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6700
Message-ID: <NMOi6.127453$P5.2768744@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 11:08:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.17.125.28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.il.home.com 982235309 24.17.125.28 (Thu, 15 Feb 2001 03:08:29 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 03:08:29 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9523 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16131

HB 1148 as introduced in Texas Legislature:

http://www.capitol.state.tx.us/cgi-bin/tlo/textframe.cmd?LEG=77&SESS=R&CHAMBER=H&BILLTYPE=B&BILLSUFFIX=01148&VERSION=1&TYPE=B

From 5689283079663938423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 04:28:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 12:27:39 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <96ghvr$kpb$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <t2Fi6.249$HH5.1316773@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982240059 21291 149.174.88.240 (15 Feb 2001 12:27:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Feb 2001 12:27:39 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16134

>Are you now operating on Amateur frequencies using all of the
>provisions and rules of the personal radio services or are you
>using amateur power levels, amateur modes, amateur frequencies,
>amateur rules and amateur call signs?

You've missed the point entirely. Conversing on 'amateur frequencies' within an 'amateur service' does not an Amateur Service make. Without a technical learning infrastructure (and we no longer have that), we no longer have Amateur Radio, as defined. That's what the petition for reconsideration is about. And FCC is still frozen in place with it, not knowing how to handle it.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 5961233847132298437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,50dd929c6525e8fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9535433577fba2cc

X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9535433577fba2cc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 04:28:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Mr. Roboto" <663jlm@vei.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Re: I heard a CB'er on 20 meters
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 07:29:22 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t8nipdc7lvo7a8@corp.supernews.com>
Reply-To: "Mr. Roboto" <delete663jlm@vei.net>
References: <wouff.hong@goodRadio> <3A8B13BF.B3DD31CA@midsouth.rr.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 37
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9525 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12559 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16133 rec.radio.cb:26336 rec.radio.scanner:13880

Who are you talking to, Darren?
--
Mr. Roboto
delete663jlm@vei.net

"Darren Shields" <dshields@midsouth.rr.com> wrote in message news:3A8B13BF.B3DD31CA@midsouth.rr.com...
> I'll bet the bastards
> an ARRL member too. Probably got in on the League discount membership plan.
> All this started me wondering, What make a ham a ham? Is a ham someone
> that's working to improve their knowledge of radio theory, electronics and
> operating skills?
>
> Well guess what guy? All of us ham have different drives. Some of you might
> obsess with code practice and technical details but surprisingly there are those
> of us who just enjoy the friendly banter with folks just like us from around the

```
> world.  You did recall that part of being a ham was being
able to do that right?
> Yes, we do good work in times of disaster and we try to be
upstanding citizens but
> the bottom line is we are human with human needs.  Relax
my friend and enjoy the
> hobby your way and others will enjoy it their way.  A
little tolerance goes a long
> way.
>
> Darren
>
```

```
From -1079623790028555805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 04:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Feb 2001 12:33:15 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <96giab$kpb$2@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <96ftja$hnu$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 982240395 21291 149.174.88.240 (15
Feb 2001 12:33:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Feb 2001 12:33:15 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16135
```

>The End.

For the Amateur Service, unfortunately.
It could take two decades to restore it.
The fact that the Commission still has the
petition for reconsideration in hand a
year after filed, still trying to figure out
how to address it, is proof positive they've
created a monster of their own making.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

```
From -2565657288275896379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-15 04:36:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A8BCCF9.D879225@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <t2Fi6.249$HH5.1316773@news.ntplx.net> <96ghvr$kpb$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 07:35:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982240509 209.140.206.201 (Thu, 15 Feb 2001 07:35:09 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Feb 2001 07:35:09 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16136
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

> You've missed the point entirely. Conversing on 'amateur frequencies' within an 'amateur service' does not an Amateur Service make. Without a technical learning infrastructure (and we no longer have that), we no longer have Amateur Radio, as defined. That's what the petition for reconsideration is about. And FCC is still frozen in place with it, not knowing how to handle it.

The world according to Vince: The FCC has no allegiance to anyone, is on a 20-year mission to destroy ham radio, and is unable to figure out how to write a response that says: "Nothing new here. Dismissed."

Jon

```
From -3456307360689381351
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 20:58:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 04:55:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <96d34q$q91$0@208.207.71.136>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15880



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@ovis.net
>
> >Cub Scouts here...age ten.  Didn't find out about amateur radio until
> >age twelve and wasn't licensed until age 14.
>
> Now, Dave, you must be mistaken.  Some of our self-proclaimed experts here
> assure us all that no one would learn Morse code except to get their SSB and/or
> FM priveleges. :-)
>

I'm sure Dave knows, as do you and I, that there is considerable
difference in learning to recognize the characters and in acquiring the
skill level needed to pass a ham radio test.


From -7178480294304970105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 21:07:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 05:02:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <96d3gs$rgi$0@208.207.71.136>
References: <96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <20010213070754.02903.00000767@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
```

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15881



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > <The FCC> still considered(s) the ARS to be a "fundamentally technical
> service"
>
> Do you agree with them?  In saying that, they restructured the service in a way
> that they feel it is fundamentally technical.
>
> Can't have it both ways, Dick.
>
> > So you believe that the Extra class license is 'just an entrance exam'?
> > I don't.
>
> If you used your license to go on an learn many more things than you learned to
> pass your Extra, it was an entrance exam. If you felt that learning the
> material for an Extra was all you intended to do, it was a graduation
> certificate.
>
> The FCC believes it is a license.
>

Sure they do. One representing a certain level of accomplishment. So
when the license can be issued without the licensee having reached that
minimal level of accompliahment......????


From -9089735549517055440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 21:24:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 14 Feb 2001 04:26:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <96d1dl01ncf@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <95l83f$9kq$0@208.207.71.165>
```

```
<16220-3A80244A-12@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<95qfbq$7o9$0@208.207.71.168> <96c5ik$7fv$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A89FA8D.4781B2A0@erols.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-377.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15882

It was posted as a joke. Maybe if you ask nicely, Hans will explain it to you,
since you obviously haven't got a clue.


In article <3A89FA8D.4781B2A0@erols.com>, ik7565@erols.com says...
>
>There is no 97.102(c).
>
>73 de N8IK
>
>
>
>K0HB@arrl.org wrote:
>>
>> Richard Harrison wrote:
>>
>> > Creative individuals such as Mr. Carroll try to read more into it to
>> > suit their own purposes, but if it isn`t written in the rules, it isn`t
>> > a mandate.
>>
>> Sure it is.
>>
>> "97.102(c) Everything not specifically prohibited in these rules is
>> mandatory."
>>
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB




From 2759426462251181761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,835fa4d222ae8f46
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 21:36:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 67
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 05:33:27 GMT
References: <20010213184720.02997.00002302@nso-mg.aol.com>
```

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who Is What?
Message-ID: <20010214003327.00445.00001952@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15884

In article <20010213184720.02997.00002302@nso-mg.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010213003313.02997.00002284@nso-mg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>I have no idea what that is supposed to mean. All I did was point out your
>>mistakes and how to fix them. Why does that bother you so much?
>
>No, you wanted to make a case of of it, changing the original
>conditions to suit your contention that errors existed.
>
>
>>>You can calculate that yourself. The raw data is available
>>>to everyone with Internet access to the FCC website.
>>
>>Why should I do that? I'm not the one making the errors.
>
>Yes you are. You've changed the original conditions and
>then make a big case out of "errors."

How did I "change the original conditions"? Your first post labeled all
Technician licensees as "noncodetested" (which I have proved to be not the case
at all) and all other licensees as "codetested".

It is this mislabeling that I have contested. That's all.
>
>You avoided the pre-April 15 period counting in detail yet you
>HAD to make a big thing out of post-April 15 numbers.

You did not post the pre-April 15 numbers until later. You, not I changed the
"original conditions".
>
>Too bad, all that "pointing out 'errors'" for nothing.

Not for nothing. Any reasonable person reading my posts will recognize and
agree that you mislabeled several thousand "code-tested" licensees as
"noncodetested". To be exact, you mislabled at least 3303 of them out of less
than 14,000. That's a prettty big error.

> The
>newcomers are going for the no-code-test Technician
>license class and have been for years.

And I have agreed with that in every post. Why do you keep repeating the
obvious?

>They are still doing
>it after restructuring.

Of course. The Technician was the easiest US ham license to get before restructuring and now it's even easier to get. And the privileges granted are extensive. So extensive, in fact, that the only reason to upgrade beyond Technician is to get on the HF/MF ham bands.

>Try to understand where US amateur radio is going for the future.

I thought you claimed that I could not predict the future, Len. Are you predicting the future?
>
>Denial of the obvious is not a good thing, benefits no one,
>cannot change official positions.

Then why do you keep denying the obvious errors you have made in your posts?

N2EY

From -1952737933368542981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 21:36:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 05:33:25 GMT
References: <966bk6$43g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010214003325.00445.00001950@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15883

In article <966bk6$43g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> writes:

>In article <20010211082757.16173.00000424@ng-cu1.aol.com>,
> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>> In article <3a861468.186914703@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol says...
>>
>> >Squiggy doesn't have a fist, he couldn't communicate with dots &
>> >dashes if his life or anybody else's life depended on that ability
>>

>> Actually, at one point, Carl was approaching 20 wpm. He could
>certainly manage
>> a code QSO today, though he has not accepted my invite to do so.
>
>Perhaps Jim needs to talk to the USCG about people's lives depending on
>one's ability to send/receive dots and dashes.

Jim who?

>Once he clears that
>little hurdle, perhaps he can convince the FCC to restructure yet
>again, and ingnore the upcoming WRC-2003. Kick the requirement back up
>to 20wpm AND mandate 20hrs of CW use per month to keep your skills
>current.
>
>That will ensure that the ARS will be prepared to be the life saving
>service that all of the other professional life saving services aren't.
>
>Furthermore, I don't think that whatever Jim had in mind that would
>prevent Carl from making it back ("Don't bring that sumbitch to
>Bookbinders for the RV/EY lobsterfest, he'll never make it back to
>Allentown") is appropriate behavior between hams whether on the air or
>here!

Jim who? If you mean me, please note that nothing you quoted in this post was
written by me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -3719648050509493088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 21:37:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 110
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 05:33:26 GMT
References: <20010211082757.16173.00000424@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010214003326.00445.00001951@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15885

In article <20010211082757.16173.00000424@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>In article <3a861468.186914703@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol says...
>
>>Squiggy doesn't have a fist, he couldn't communicate with dots &
>>dashes if his life or anybody else's life depended on that ability
>
>Actually, at one point, Carl was approaching 20 wpm.

Maybe. 5 wpm is "approaching 20 wpm". ;-)

>He could certainly
>manage
>a code QSO today, though he has not accepted my invite to do so.

I wonder why not? More than a year ago I recall him posting that he was going for Extra, had a new house with no antenna restrictions, the works. None of it has happened. No articles on SS or anything else that I've seen, either.

>
>Maybe I will have to be him a lobster dinner that he can't. :-)
>
>>he advocates elimination of all subands,
>
>The US is one of few countries that has actual sub-band allocations;

How many other countries have as many hams as the USA with HF privileges and power greater than QRP? Think about WHY the USA has subbands.

>they sort
>themselves out by band plan.

They are supposed to - but the foreign 'phones are now down below 7050, for example.

There is also a growing philosophy of "if it's not prohibited by the FCC, I'm gonna do it, don't tell me about no steenking bandplans". I have seen it here and elsewhere.

>His basic recommendation is that the rules say
>"these are your bands; stay within them."

That's not the end of it. He wants NO "support for legacy modes". Translation: If your QRP CW signal gets buried under his highpower DSSS signal, too bad, you should get with the program and stop using the legacy mode.

>Unfortunately, what works in some
>countries would possibly not work in the US. On 160 M, for example, the band
>plan for CW operation is regularly stomped on by phone operators, some who
>know
>better; some who do not.

Agreed. The best system I can think of is to have subbands-by-mode, not by license class.

> I think the same mentality that drives the Know Code
>=
>no Ham spams would show up with a small, but very noisy, group, who could
>make
>it rapidly unworkable.

It is the phone boys who stomp on the CW folks in your example, though.

>Why, even our Cecil wanted to engage someone in a 5
>wpm
>QSO in the DX windows, just because he can.

Maybe. One never knows. Except for myself, W3RV and K4YZ, I have no knowledge that any of the regular posters here ever actually get on the air at all. (I know about those two because I've worked 'em.)
>
>>he advocates the use or wideband
>>digital modes on the HF ham bands
>
>I think that some FHSS needs to be permitted on HF.

Why? What are the advantages?

>He wants to give it carte
>blanche, however. Is there room for it on 80 M? How about 160M? How about
>30M, where some commercial stations could be already using it? How about 10
>M?

Doesn't matter. He wants a "free market of modes" - and that includes DSSS, not just FHSS. No limits, and if the existing narrow-band users are interfered with, tough.
>
>>and he goes off on anti-cw rants at
>>neighborhood ham club meetings
>
>He has said here a number of times that he doesn't believe that CW use should
>be abolished at all.

Then he proposes things that will make its use impractical or impossible.

> Does he say different things at club meetings? He may
>be
>swept up in the easy trap of having to tear something down completely in
>order
>to justify change.

Glad you recognize it as a trap.

> There are some here who say some pretty bad things about
>CW
>to justify keeping it.

Lemme at 'em!!!! ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 8288246564468948081
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 05:59:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 169
Message-ID: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136>
References: <961efc$6f3$0@208.206.142.113> <20010210084900.21325.00000063@ng-fm1.aol.com> <963sod$qsa$1@208.206.142.95> <3A8837C7.A347FEF1@uci.edu> <96a7u2$fh$0@208.207.71.146> <3A88A8A8.A682D1D@uci.edu> <96ag6m$ps1$0@208.207.71.146> <96bq20$afa$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15886

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:96ag6m$ps1$0@208.207.71.146...
>
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > > My only point (the one I didn't miss) was I wondered whether you'd
> > > actually taken any of these tests that you seem to be such an authority
> > > on.
> >
> > Not for license creditsince I took the Extra over 30 years ago. I
> > *have* administered a lot of them, as you probably recall. You have too.
>
> I recall, Dick. I also know that you don't have to be able to answer the
> questions in order to check the test paper. Let me suggest that you
> actually take a few tests to get a better feel for that of which you speak.
> A lot of things change in 30 years time.

You're years too late. I imagine I've probably taken more ham radio exams than most anyone around. I mean the question pool variety. Just to see what they amounted to and to detect any errors.

> > But I'd ecpect the crowd you administer to is a different sort of
> > applicant, and many of yours are already technically trained people. Is
> > that not correct?
>
> We administer exams to people who are interested in radio. That's about the
> only way I can characterize them as a group. I'd be surprised if your group
> couldn't be characterized in the same way.

Of course they could, but of course that's not the only way they can be characterized.

> >   Away from centers of technical education and expertise, out where the
> > 'rubber meets the road' so to speak, and most ham radio aspriants have
> > no technical background it's different.
>
> I assume from your remarks that you had a technical background when you were
> first licensed. Is that an accurate assumtion? Do you feel that should be
> a prerequisite to obtaining an amateur radio license?

No, I was a 14 year old high school freshman. And No, I do not. I merely point out what Ed denies - that is easier to word-associate most questions and answers than it is to learn the material. That is exactly what Dick Bash had going in the 70's.

> As a VE and fellow radio amateur, I'm less concerned with how they learn the
> material than with whether they've learned the material. I'm in agreement
> with the FCC and the VECs that when someone passes the tests, they have
> demonstrated the requisite knowlege for obtaining an amateur radio license.

We all agree that is the objective. But it is clear to me that it frequently is not met. I don't suppose it really matters, but what it has done over time is to cause the validity of the testing system- and many of its licensees to come under serious ridicule-from many other hams. And I don't mean me, either. I frequently hear it on the air.

> I'm also congnizent of the fact that this knowlege represents only the most
> rudimentary aspects of amateur radio. But I feel that the balance is best

> learned in practice - with experience.

I suppose it was inevitable when they made the Extra class license
available with no experience requirement and with a test system of given
questions and answers, that inexperienced Novice type Extras would
result. So there is really nothing extra about today's Extra class
licensee.

I don't feel that the exams can be
> expected to determine who is going to make a good radio amateur because that
> is a matter principally of attitude. But, in my limited experience I have
> found that the vast majority of people who go to the trouble of studying for
> the exams have the proper attitude to make good radio amateurs.

No question that is probably the most important factor. With it one
will learn what he needs to know, but he still misses much he should
have gotten in study of material instead of just the pools. Sure, some
applicants do study other things. Most have no need to. They can pass
the tests, one at a time, by studying that element in the pools. You
don't get much detailed information in the pools.

>
> > Ed doesn't think that any significant amount of hams do it that way.
> > I'm sure they do. But it doesn't matter, as a VE if they turn in a
> > passing score your obligation is to see that they get the license, and
> > of course we did.
>
> Since there were no cheet sheets brought into the exam, you can be confident
> that the applicants had knowlege of the facts queried on the exam.

You can if you think you should, based on your experiences. I never
expected to come to the opposite conclusion, and it developed over
several years time experience as a VE. I saw things that all finally
summed up to it. All confirmed by the considerably lowered knowledge
level demonstrated by so many new licensees. As I said, it's not their
fault and I don't intend that they should suffer for it. But improvement
in the tests is way overdue. Problem is the VE QPC is also voluntary,
and effective changes to questions to make them so that they can't
easily be memory associated, as the few that Ed posted, takes a lot
scarce volunteer time, thus is unlikely.

These
> facts are deemed to be those which are the most relevant to amateur radio
> knowlege. If you feel the material covered by the question pools is
> insufficient, then I'm sure everyone would be grateful if you were to offer
> specific suggestions as to how that material should be supplemented.

I imagine you're tired of hearing about it. Don't blame you, I'm tired of saying it, especially when I get accused of spreading falsehoods.

> > Just for practice, and to try to catch flaws in the questions and
> > anwers,(and I did find a few errors) I used to "take" the written tests
> > as new ones came out. I was the keeper of the test material and the
> > contact VE for the team, and handled all the paperwork before and after
> > the sessions, after team had finished. So I know what the tests and
> > material amounted to.
>
> Past tense. I think there are now roughly 1000 questions in the pool. Since
> the question pool is a permanent reality, and since code proficiency is not
> useful for demonstrating the kind of knowlege we're discussing here, your
> efforts would be better focussed on ways of addressing your concerns within
> the constraints of the current system.

So, no whistleblowers allowed?

I did offer to Ed to use one of his new defective Handbooks to form some questions on new material, but he ignored me. I wasn't surprised. But I don't own a new handbook, though I started to buy one at a hamfest a month ago. But every copy each vendor had was shrinkwrapped in celophane. Not even a single sample was open for inspection. And I guess I'm just stubborn enough that I wouldn't buy one without being able to look it over first. There was another ham, an older fella there also looking at them. He turned to me and said, "That's like buying a pig in a poke", and we both walked away emptyhanded. I bought Moxon's "HF Antennas For All Locations" instead. ARRL would do well to send those "bent cover" issues out to vendors to use as samples if they have so much handling damage they have to shrinkwrap every copy. The new handbook must not be a very big seller.

> Question for you: how did you first learn the resistor color code? Was it;
> bad boys rape our young girls but violet gives willingly?

That wasn't the way I first learned it as a ham, but it's how they taught it in military electronics school.

73, Dick W0EX

From 6547587275612262212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:14:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 06:13:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <96d7n0$8gj$0@208.207.71.136>
References: <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <20010212060521.24681.00000736@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9691me$jih$0@208.206.142.98> <3A8987FE.CFE8C73E@firstinter.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15887

"R.L. Tannehill, P.E." wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > >
> > > This discussion did not start with "high tech for the masses," Dick; it
started
> > > with my statement that I have talked to lots of hams and I don't think that
> > > most share your "can't do" attitude. I will say it again for you, I am
> > > offering that hams should learn more about the fundamentals of modern radio
> > > communications technology. If you have any suggestions for how I can say
that
> > > in a way that you will not misunderstand, please offer them here.
> >
> > For one you could refrain from invoking FCC officials statements which
> > clearly -at least in any reasonable reading- say much more.
> > When I'm told that I need to "modernize", and I consider my amateur
> > operation and how it has changed over the years, it is clear to me that
> > I
> > HAVE modernized, regularly over the years. I don't operate on spread
> > spectrm, aside from my cordless telephone, and find no reason to want
> > to do so.
> > Neither does the state Public Safety agency I used to work for. Neither
> > does any serious percentage of that of other states, so far as I can
> > determine.
>
> Boy are you behind the power curve on this one Dick. How long have you
> been retired? Many states have started programs to build statewide
> interoperable trunked radio networks at 800MHz/700 MHz using the APCO25
> (TIA/EIA 102a) digital standard. States already in the construction
> stage include Michigan, Wisconsin, Florida, Colorado, and Pennsylvania.
> Several dozen more, including Arizona are in the planning stages. (Part
> of my job) In addition, many statewide public safety systems are
> planning upgrades of their mobile data terminal (MDT) networks to become
> high-speed Mobile Data Computer (MDC) links in the 700 MHz band running

> up to 384 Kbt over-the-air. (Aggregated channels up to 150 KHz wide are
> available in the 700 MHz band) In short, the days of simple analog FM
> repeater-linked-by-microwave operation are rapidly coming to an end.
> The future is here, now, and I see it daily, coming like a freight
> train. We will all either jump on, or be run over by it. :) I am
> encouraging the League to encourage amateur use of the APCO25 digital
> voice technology as a symbiotic relationship with the public safety
> world. This would be easy to do as the League already has an MOU with
> APCO.

Rick, I can only offer you my sympathies and remind you about the "best laid plans...". Don't plan any vacations for the rest of your career.

Dick W0EX

From -6418783241568276846
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:18:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <6o8k8tcsf1hp8c2nk0s4m041bc9vn1m2e3@4ax.com>
References: <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu> <9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <GpVh6.198$HH5.1162800@news.ntplx.net> <96a69g$p9l$1@208.207.71.146> <96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com> <ebsi8t8a4ludib3av9qjulmku256br0mtv@4ax.com> <96ccd141tbj@enews2.newsguy.com> <96cq0i02s48@enews1.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 06:17:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.188
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982131423 209.86.207.188 (Tue, 13 Feb 2001 22:17:03 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Feb 2001 22:17:03 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15888

tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
>Never mind. I think I will list some more. I'm sure you'll recognize these
>names, with some comments on some of them.
>Paul Allen and Bill Gates (Founders of Microsoft)
>Frank Lloyd Wright (Architect)
>Arthur C. Clarke (Author of "2001: A Space Odyssey")
>Walt Disney (Animator)
>William Faulkner (Author, Nobel prize winner. He received no higher than a "D"
>in college English)
>Peter Jennings (News Anchor)
>Steve Jobs (Founder of Apple Computer)
>Stanley Kubrick (Film director. He didn't even pass his admissions tests to
>college)
>Louis L'Amour (Author)
>Chuck Yeager (Aviator)
>Michael Dell (Founder of Dell Computer)
>I could list more from the history books, but I think I've made my point.

If your point is that most people will be better off with a library
card instead of getting a college degree, I think you're missing the
big picture... :-)

From -3437347717086415104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <0o8k8t84n1a2e37hta0bb6rpu0eprmrgag@4ax.com>
References: <8nvd8ts0lsk5j540gt19k0du0v9tsppckl@4ax.com>
<20010213210821.01651.00001492@nso-fp.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 06:17:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.188
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982131420 209.86.207.188 (Tue, 13 Feb 2001 22:17:00 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Feb 2001 22:17:00 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15889

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>I remember Phoenix (Tempe, actually)

I grew up in Tempe. It was about 5000 population then.
Now I think it's around 150,000...

> Was amazed to find out how far away the mountains were.

You need new glasses Jim. One of those mountains is
right in downtown Tempe...   :-)

>[The good stuff is on cable...]
>Depends on how you define "good stuff".

History Channel, Discovery Channel, American Movie Classics, CNBC,
Headline News, CNN, MSNBC, Cspan 1&2, Turner Classic Movies, The
Learning Channel, The Travel Channel, ect...

>If you told the folks who came out with the NTSC
>B&W standard in 1946 and the NTSC color standard in 1954 that those ancient TVs
>would still be usable in 2001, they'd have probably thought you were nuts.

Imagine what Morse would have thought...   :-)

From 7628206748090069265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:22:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 06:20:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <96d848$8gj$1@208.207.71.136>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu> <9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <96a5ba$n5r$0@208.207.71.146> <CHhi6.218$HH5.1237593@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15890

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > They say that hams should learn the basics of modern communications, to
> > meet the objectives laid out by FCC luminaries, yet they believe that
> > little to no real knowledge is necessary for licensing, and never
> > actually was, to hear some tell it.
>
> Who at ARRL HQ said that, Dick? It is another of your exaggerations to try
> to make your point.
>
> It is very difficult to discuss things with you when you make up things that
> you claim other people said then take issue with them for having said it.

If that is not the clear meaning of your postings to date you have some explaining to do.

From -6106513988030406772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:24:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 01:22:09 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <B6AF8E41.2099%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu> <9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-262.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982131711 179877 206.74.233.232 (14 Feb 2001 06:21:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 06:21:51 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15891

Dick Carroll wrote:

>>> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>>>
>>>> By definition, this is a hobby radio service
>>>> for amateurs.
>
> Earth to Dwight: Sure it's a hobby. **But it
> IS NOT 'JUST' A HOBBY!**
>
> THEY call it the Amateur Radio Service. Emphasis on
> Service. The day that FCC considers it, as you
> clearly have stated you do, as "Just a Hobby" is the
> day spectrum falls away on demand like so many
> leaves in October.

Read my sentence above, Dick. Obviously, I never denigrated ham radio by saying that it is "just" a hobby. Because, like you said, it is more than just a hobby - it is a hobby that also offers a service to others (public service, etc).

But, since most hams already know that, I really didn't see the need to spell it out again. Furthermore, that aspect simply didn't relate to the context of the discussion (experts versus amateurs - which is what my sentence above was addressing).

By the way, several people (not Dick) have privately voiced dismay that defining ham radio as a hobby somehow takes away from its value, or the value of the effort they've put into it. Since others may feel the same, let me state here that I strongly disagree.

Much of the best aspects of culture has its roots in hobbies (art, writings, music, etc). And we can all be proud of our efforts to obtain that license (because of it, we know far more about radio and electronics than the average joe on the street today). And, finally, we can all most certainly be proud of our service to the public (very few people today do half as much).

The only thing taken away, by constantly reminding people that this is a hobby, is the elitist attitudes and behavior that so often drives people away (from anything - including ham radio).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 7951512408230175307
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:33:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 06:29:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 84
Message-ID: <96d8k7$auc$0@208.207.71.136>
References: <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <20010212060521.24681.00000736@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9691me$jih$0@208.206.142.98> <icVh6.196$HH5.1161580@news.ntplx.net> <96a5u6$ohf$0@208.207.71.146> <uQhi6.219$HH5.1237757@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15892

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > I understood you to quote Riley Hollingworth and Dale
> > Hatfield's comments which seemed clear enough to me. "Modernizing" I
> > took to mean something more than just learning bare basics of a couple
> > late technologies. It made me think that we were being shunted toward
> > abandoning some of our present much-uses modes in favor of later things,
> > as Cecil and others have strongly insisted. Sorry if I assumed the same
> > for you.
>
> In quoting those words, I felt that I was quoting something that hams needed
> to read. When the FCC says those sorts of things to us, we really should
> pay attention. IMHO, Hatfield and Hollingsworth are sending us a message
> that Amateur Radio needs to make effective use of the frequencies we have
> been given. How many QSOs have you had on 2.4 GHz?

Ed: I have never owned equipment useful on any frequency above 440 mhz and I traded off my 440 rig because there was no use for it here. It would shake me not at all if FCC took most of 440 and everything above it. As I do believe will eventually happen, less the possibility of some sharing.

IMO, they are also
> telling us that Amateur Radio needs to continue to make technical

> contributions and to ensure that Amateur Radio continues as a valuable
> educational tool so that people who would otherwise be ignorant of radio
> technology would learn about the technology that is in use all around them.
> I believe that Amateur Radio should be as connected to the technology of
> today as it was connected to the technology of the 1940s during the 1940s.
>
> I have never said that I believed that we need to abandon anything. I
> couldn't figure out for the life of me why you kept holding on to that no
> matter how many times I told you that I felt that new technology should be
> added to what we are doing.

"Modernize" doming with "Adopt new technologies" and "Luddite" sort of
added up.

> > I know of nothing that I "fear". I lament the departure of an Amateur
> > Radio that is time tested and proven, open and valued for what it is and
> > was by virtually all who participate.
>
> The amateurs of the days you lament took pride in learning and doing things
> with what was then modern radio technology.

I can only say that the technology sitting on my desk is more modern
than that of most hams.

> > It has become clear to me that malcontents who seem to believe that anyone
> who willingly and even
> > eagerly met the requirements for all the available licenses are in some
> way their enemies, are pushing
> > hard to remake the ARS to their own specifications.
>
> And just who made Amateur Radio to those specifications? The ones who, when
> those specifications were made, brought the technology of the day into
> Amateur Radio. You were there and part of that, Dick; did the old timers of
> the day lament the loss of what had gone before and discourage you from
> doing so?

That's nonsense! To a man they would have ridiculed upheaving change
for its own sake when no demonstrated need for it was anywhere apparent.

> > That is what I abhor, and I don't see much chance of that changing.
>
> You abhor those that want to bring new technology into Amateur Radio?

Reread it, Ed. You clearly missed the first time through

From 1400348973992933981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:35:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Date: 14 Feb 2001 06:33:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <96d8ri$auc$1@208.207.71.136>
References: <3A835106.1E1EFC66@uci.edu> <20010209072518.16867.00000162@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <3A84554F.D0CEF1C5@uci.edu> <63_g6.153$HH5.964670@news.ntplx.net>
<3A847791.7E3C983D@uci.edu> <3A848A70.441BBC83@arrl.org>
<3A882503.3E7DF163@uci.edu> <3A882904.130DD614@arrl.org>
<3A882D45.27A83267@uci.edu> <3A882EAE.D3622E1A@arrl.org>
<3A885FDC.382677C5@uci.edu> <3A886BC4.21EF627@arrl.org>
<3A889F82.B1CE9B4F@uci.edu> <WBhi6.216$HH5.1237438@news.ntplx.net>
<3A89B2A0.F70F7E70@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15893

Jim Kelley wrote:

> So what's an IIRC?

It's either "if I remember correctly" or an "international intenational
reply coupon".

From -1665289190467032207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:38:44 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 06:33:12 GMT
Message-ID: <3a8a15bd.41917529@news2.dvol.com>

References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-cu1.aol.com> <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <3A86F06A.14C7B866@arrl.org> <3a881cbb.320127373@news2.dvol.com> <B6AE2FD9.1F06%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.169
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.169
X-Trace: 14 Feb 2001 00:31:45 -0600, 64.24.17.169
Lines: 61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15894

On Tue, 13 Feb 2001 00:27:08 -0500, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>
> That is not the justification I heard, Brian. As far as I know, no person
>has ever been judged worthy, or not worthy, of being a ham based on his or
>her ability to "flood the bands with new technologies."
>

I'm not talking about "worthiness" or any lack thereof. I'm
specifically talking about one of of "justifications"which were posted
in this NG on inumerable occasions in support of the reduction and/or
elimination of the code tests. Those comments are carved in stone in
Deja. As history has clearly demonstrated it's miserably failed
excuse. Bogus to the core.

>
>What I heard was a desire to allow those interested in alternative
>technologies to enter the ham bands without being "hindered" by the code
>tests.
>

The U.S. Unhindered have been on the air for a decade. Where are their
"altenative technologies"?? The only "alternative technologies
(modes)" I've seen in the past decade are the plethora of new and
rapidly emerging HF digital modes cobbled together by a multinational
collection of 12/13/20 wpm code-tested "dinosaurs".

>
>For example, there is very little use for CW in the VHF/UHF
>frequencies.
>

You run EME with packet or what?

>
>Why then should those mainly interested in technologies
>relating to VHF/UHF, because of employment or whatever, be required to know
>code?
>

I don't have a problem in this world with the nocodes so let's get
past it Dwight. My position is that the nocodes are on the air in the
most endangered of our spectrum spaces in profusion and are certainly
doing more to preserve those spaces than I am and God bless 'em. But
cut the crap about any technical contributions they make, ain't

happened yet.
>
> Technology has changed over the years. Once, HF (complete with it's large
>antennas, Morse Code, etc) dominated what was known as radio. That
>situation has changed today.
>
Tune 14.000-14.050 Mhz this coming Sat/Sun mornings, stand back, then
and get back to me about the "death" of HF and CW. Heh-heh . . !
>
>Today the emphasis is on computers,
>satellites, digital modes, and so forth. With that, the necessary skills
>have changed also. And CW is simply not one of those necessary skills.
>
> The license changes to reduce the emphasis on code reflects those changes
>in radio.
>
Uh-huh. G'Nite Dwight.
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>
w3rv

From 875309841707193424
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:45:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 06:45:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <96d9i8$d15$0@208.207.71.136>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu> <9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <96cb4411tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15895

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
> > Earth to Dwight: Sure it's a hobby. **But it IS NOT 'JUST' A HOBBY!**
> >
> > Who says so? The FCC, is who, and in case you still don't understand,
> >they trump Funk and Wagnall's every time on the subject of radio law in
> >the USA.
> >THEY call it the Amateur Radio Service. Emphasis on Service.
> > The day that FCC considers it, as you clearly have stated you do, as
> >"Just a Hobby" is the day spectrum falls away on demand like so many
> >leaves in October.
>
> What is the motivation for most people to want to obtain an amateur license? Do
> you think it's because the want to provide and be a part of a service, or do
> you think it might be a nice hobby to persue? Listen to the conversations on
> the air. With a few (very few) exceptions, do you really believe most of these
> conversations are providing a service?

I spent the evening today at our monthly RACES meeting. With Spring on the way, it was also the annual "Storm Spotters Training Session", with the house filled with non-ham sheriff's deputies, local police, firemen, EMT's and other volunteer storm spotters. (We have lots of foul Spring weather in the Midwest including your share of tornados).

The training session was conducted by a very competent young meteoroligist from the National Weather Service. One of his points was that ham radio operators are invaluable in the conduct of this program. He noted that the regional NWS HQ where the regional doppler radar is located has a ham radio station with at least one operator active each time severe storms are anticipated, along with the many trained hams who are weather spotters in the field, and that they consider this effort to be critical to the success of effective weather warning.

You can regard that as hobby if you wish. I call it service.

From -5020773460868622654
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:45:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!64.152.100.70!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 01:45:41 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <B6AF93C2.209A%stewartx@sccoast.net>

References: <963n1d$e9v$0@208.206.142.95> <20010211085300.16173.00000428@ng-cu1.aol.com> <966iph$jod$0@208.206.142.85> <3A86F06A.14C7B866@arrl.org> <96a3mm$iu2$0@208.207.71.146> <3A88D214.FF68AD24@arrl.org> <96bmeb$pi$0@208.207.71.143>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-262.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982133128 180351 206.74.233.232 (14 Feb 2001 06:45:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 06:45:28 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15896

Dick Carroll wrote:

> [snip] OR is this just another method of easing
> us all out of a *real* ham radio into a purely
> hobby-CB sort of "service", as Dwigbt Stewart
> so clearly described a few posts back? [snip]

Dick, you must have a reading comprehension problem, because I never described any such thing. I was addressing a very specific issue in that message - "expert" hams in a hobby radio service as those relate to license exams.

Beyond that, my comments neither addressed, or were intended to address, a description of any radio service. And, after reading my message again, I still have absolutely no idea where you came up with this CB nonsense.

Since you have agreed with me in this very thread that ham radio is a hobby, and every ham already knows that service is a part of ham radio, would you please explain to me what the heck you're talking about?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 5533367136928062388
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:50:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride

```
Date: 14 Feb 2001 06:48:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <96d9ne$d15$1@208.207.71.136>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <GpVh6.198$HH5.1162800@news.ntplx.net>
<96a69g$p9l$1@208.207.71.146> <96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com>
<96bnp1$41d$0@208.207.71.143> <96cc4i31tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15897



"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <96bnp1$41d$0@208.207.71.143>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
> >And that, dear friend, is the reason that education is "structured". The
> >novice who sets out to learn *may* have some vague idea what he 'wants'
> >to know but he rarely has any idea what it is that he needs to know. So
>
> ...and why should anyone be put in a position to decide that for someone else?
> Most students don't use any more than 10% of what they learned in school once
> they reach adulthood. This goes for college as well.
>
> >it is with ham radio, despite all the squawking and verbiage to the
> >contrary. Even if it truly becomes "only a hobby". They, too, have some
> >level of necessary knowledge.
>
> So then why should you or anyone else decide what *I* want to get out of ham
> radio?

 It's  for your own protection, T L, so you won't get ran over when you
get in the way.


From -956437597877001349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:51:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!206.252.192.28!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 01:51:07 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <B6AF950B.209B%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96caga01tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-262.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982133455 180588 206.74.233.232 (14 Feb 2001 06:50:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 06:50:55 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15898

T. L. Bryant wrote:

> To some, ham radio is a religion, and
> religious fanatics will never quit
> perpetuating a myth.

You're probably right, but every so often the heretic has to come along and shake things up a bit. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6384842495451388296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:54:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 06:50:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14

Message-ID: <96d9r7$d15$2@208.207.71.136>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <96a5ba$n5r$0@208.207.71.146>
<CHhi6.218$HH5.1237593@news.ntplx.net> <3A89C548.14A252@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15899

Cecil wrote:
>
> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> > It is very difficult to discuss things with you when you make up things that
> > you claim other people said then take issue with them for having said it.
>
> It's called a straw man, Ed. It's one of about ten well known
> diversionary tactics that people tend to use when they are losing
> an argument.

Just like incorporating a reference then denying that you meant to use it?

From 4325589294083060317
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 22:57:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Feb 2001 06:54:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <96da3v$d15$3@208.207.71.136>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <96a5ba$n5r$0@208.207.71.146>
<CHhi6.218$HH5.1237593@news.ntplx.net> <3A89C548.14A252@IEEE.org>
<cZji6.230$HH5.1245250@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15900



"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> > "Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
> > > It is very difficult to discuss things with you when you make up things
> that
> > > you claim other people said then take issue with them for having said
> it.
>
> > It's called a straw man, Ed. It's one of about ten well known
> > diversionary tactics that people tend to use when they are losing
> > an argument.
>
> Strawman ideas are generally presented as tentative and are expected to be
> battered about.
>
> I don't think that the term "strawman" applies to deliberate
> misinterpretation of what someone says.


 You err if you believe I deliberately misrepressnted anything you said.
I assumed that your use of the references of FCC officials statements
meant the same thing to you they did to me.


From 1778160238222833575
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 23:14:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 02:11:30 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <B6AF99D0.209C%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org>
<95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
```

<95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu>
<9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96agvv$s4q$0@208.207.71.146> <B6AEBFC7.1FF2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<96c8lr$kpi$0@208.206.142.108> <96cb4411tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-262.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982134683 181112 206.74.233.232 (14 Feb 2001 07:11:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:11:23 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15901

T. L. Bryant wrote:

> What is the motivation for most people
> to want to obtain an amateur license?
> Do you think it's because the want to
> provide and be a part of a service, or do
> you think it might be a nice hobby to
> persue? Listen to the conversations on
> the air. With a few (very few) exceptions,
> do you really believe most of these
> conversations are providing a service?

Since your question is a derivative of my comments, let me add my two cents worth. I think a lot of people do it for both reasons. When I got into ham radio, I did so with visions of contacting people in far off lands and was also very interested in the MARS program. Of course, since I went to Italy shortly thereafter, contacting people in far off lands was a lot easier than I expected. And, because of that extended trip overseas, I never really did anything about my interest in MARS (by the time I got back, the C.G. Aux had my interest instead).

And you might be amazed at how many of those people conversing on the radio are involved with public service. Ninety-five percent of the C.G. Aux communications personnel are hams. And good number of hams in this area are registered with civil defense. Of course, there is never enough people involved in public service, but there is still a respectable number of hams involved.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 746961950374549330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 23:20:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 02:15:13 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <B6AF9AB1.209D%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <95aa2v$d1e$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <20010201063148.15050.00000244@ng-ff1.aol.com> <95g2eu$c1j$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7B92D8.DDD2EB7F@IEEE.org> <95lfsi$34m$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <95momp$2cc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <95npah$40k$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <3A7F6DF3.3A87199@uci.edu> <9695sq$9tr$1@nnrp1.deja.com> <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net> <96ahol$18c$0@208.207.71.146> <96cbd721tbj@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-262.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982134907 181147 206.74.233.232 (14 Feb 2001 07:15:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:15:07 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15902

T. L. Bryant wrote:

> dixie@townsqr.com says...
>>
>> **Is there anyone out there who truly
>> believes that REAL ham radio is not
>> in its final throes after reading this
>> masterpiece?????***
>
> I fail to see ANY correlation between
> Dwight trying to dispel some myths and
> the demise of "real" ham radio. Please
> post one of your usual 15,000 word
> essays explaining your reasoning.

I'd like to hear that myself. I'm still trying to figure out how he arrived at those opinions after reading my comments. His opinions about my comments and my actual comments don't seem to relate at all.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 1377202846521432763
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 23:36:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 07:33:37 GMT
References: <3A89E0B5.B2B234FF@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010214023337.03673.00002227@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15903
```

In article <3A89E0B5.B2B234FF@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>If you'd looked, you would have found articles that answer those
>questions in QST.

I'm not a subscriber to QST. Please tell all for the benefit of those
who haven't been through one.

>Things are not at all how you try to portray them.

Sorry, but a rather large press corps and broadcasting covered
the event. I'm not stating anything that wasn't already reported
or seen by the administration.

>If you were at all
>personally involved in emergency preparedness you would know the extent
>to which police and fire have improved their ability to respond since
>the Oklahoma City disaster.

I was talking about the Northridge Earthquake of January 17, 1994.
The Muraugh Building bombing in Oklahoma City happend April
19, 1995, over a year later. Were you referring to "another"
Oklahoma City disaster?

> And you would also know the extent to which
>amateur radio is an integral part of the response plan in California.

I know of the California Office of Emergency Services and the
Auxilliary Communications Service, RIMS, SEMS, and the
federal SHARES. I have some unknown-currency information

on the activity of the California National Guards. Is there more?
Please, tell all so that everyone will benefit.

>But you don't know, and so you just make stupid comments about it in an
>attempt to vilify amateur radio. Who needs you?

No one needs someone who cannot argue, discuss, or even
debate a subject without trying to attack the person.

The nation of India has seen a truly terrible disaster in an
earthquake ten times and more stronger than the Northridge
Earthquake of 1994. That was seven years ago for the Los
Angeles area, plenty of time for all truly concerned to begin
planning for a similar real emergency. The Los Angeles area
was already so planned, drilled, exercised and did its task
well. How did amateur radio licensees help in this effort?

If there is anyone "villifying" something it is Jim Kelley who
seems to imagine more than what was ever said.

didit

```
From -8346938113853684323
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 23:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 07:33:36 GMT
References: <3A89D433.EA7B644@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214023336.03673.00002226@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15904
```

In article <3A89D433.EA7B644@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>> Strawman ideas are generally presented as tentative and are expected to be
>> battered about.
>>
>> I don't think that the term "strawman" applies to deliberate
>> misinterpretation of what someone says.
>

>From Webster's: "straw man - an imaginary opposition set up only
>to be easily confuted" Dick uses his imagination on what you
>posted and then he confutes the imagined result.

...he has a Confuter Program that generates them... :-)

didit

From -175801983130805141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 23:38:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 248
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 07:33:41 GMT
References: <3A89EE81.7992@bellsouth.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010214023341.03673.00002228@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15905

In article <3A89EE81.7992@bellsouth.net>, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>Mr Len,
>
>Just as stated in Jim Kelley's response to your post, I can state that
>without a doubt, *most* of your posts including this one are without
>merit.

Why? Because they don't go into virtual hysterics on giving High
Fives to all licensed radio amateurs?

For your benefit, my name is Leonard H. Anderson, not "Mr. Len."
My mailing address is 10048 Lanark Street, Sun Valley, CA,
91352...located in the eastern part of the San Fernando Valley
area of Los Angeles.

>You seem to forget that, while it is absolutely correct for
>professional emergency response teams to be ready for any event, most
>volunteers ARE involved and neither ask for, nor receive, much credit
>for what they do.

That was not a subject of my response. As a citizen-volunteer myself on various occaisions, I've never asked nor demanded "credit" or anything else. That was not the subject or intent (not even implicit) of my posting.

>If, as you state, the usual public communications
>media are not damaged, then there is not as much need for assistance
>as there would be if the reverse were true.

The state of California has an Office of Emergency Services under the governor and specifically for communications, the Auxilliary Communications Service (ACS). The ACS has its origins in RACES but has been expanded to include unlicensed persons in the event of a real emergency. The California OES is also involved with SHARES, the federal HF network...which can tie in the state National Guard and the US military.

The standard argument from amateurs for Real emergency communications is that "cell phones will be useless" as well as the landline telephone system. That may happen in small sections of the country during any emergency...but it also presumes that the telephone system is the only communications means. That isn't so, but it is convenient for the purpose of achieving message points in a newsgroup. There are many and varied ways to communicate in Real emergencies since there exist many and varied radio services in addition to amateur radio.

The question to ask in REAL emergencies is whether or not any individual radio amateur's station or even part of radio equipment will survive. Such equipment is usually ready-built, purchased and not designed for emergency environments. Much of the professional/commercial equipment is designed for harsher environments. This includes antennas and towers.

To find out more about the California OES and ACS, link to

    http://www.state.ca.us.

and look around the OES home page. [there's also a lot on the California energy problem]

> DUH! Every situation is
>not the same. In 1989 my area experienced a full blown hurricane called
>Hugo.

It is realized that REAL emergencies vary by region, locality, and with many variations of disaster. My comment to K4YZ emotional plea about the India-Pakistan earthquake concerned another earthquake that happened uncomfortably close to my home on 17 January 1994 at roughly a quarter to five AM. That particular earthquake killed 57 people, injured 11,846 others and caused over 40 billion dollars in property damage. One MHV power line tower toppled, cutting off ALL primary electrical power to about ten million of us scattered over an urban area of roughly 20 by

40 miles (estimate by self).

> ALL power lines were down. NOBODY had power--some for as much as a month. Repeaters, both commercial and amateur, were off the air. Suddenly that service you denigrate as "just a hobby" became VERY important. Police and fire comms were either down or greatly hampered. Who filled in? The HAMS, that's who! THEY provided both backup comms and spare generators to the emergency authorities. Where generators were not available due to the vast destruction, and the huge amount of traffic, hams used their mobile HF radios (me included)to augment what comms there were.

Yes, the amateurs came to the aid of the communities even though their repeaters were down (your statement). My comment concerning the Northridge Earthquake of 1994 did not state that radio amateurs were not helping. I asked simply "where were they?" They were not in evidence, either observed or on broadcast radio and television. Note: The tower causing the total electrical power outage was jury-rigged upright within four hours by utility workers but the entire area had to come back up through a "black start" (power term denoting putting the electric power grid up from a total absence of input). Electrical power was restored to about 90% of the area before midnight. Radio and TV broadcast stations were back on the air as soon as their emergency power generators could be started.

Meanwhile, hospitals and medical centers had their emergency power generators keeping health facilities working, the various Fire Departments were never out of order, the various Police Departments were never out of order (except for two patrol cars caught on collapsed overpasses). Utility crews were mobilized for repairs using their own radio system, as were many different combined city emergency services. There is an emergency communications center in Los Angeles that coordinates such emergency work and it rode out the earthquake along with many aftershocks.

> And if you think that the local governments and emergency management teams weren't grateful, you are mistaken.

You are trying to imply that I said bad things about radio amateurs. I did not do so.

> If you could truly know how little you know, you would not post such drivel.

I know more than many radio amateurs about communications in both regular services as well as REAL emergencies. The California Office of Emergency Services is not in the "drivel" business nor is the California ACS communicating "drivel."

I repeatedly asked the same question about a devestating local earthquake big enough to kill 57 outright, hurting several thousand others, and causing widespread destruction. It was simply "Where were the radio amateurs?"

That was 7 years ago. I've been directed to a certain copy of QST to "read all about it." Thank you, I was in the middle of it, and got quite a bit of information from the local press and broadcasting.

>Like it or lump it, sir, Amateur Radio is here to stay and enjoys the
>respect of governments throughout the world, as well as the populations
>that have benefitted from hams' volunteer service.

You have inferred far too much from my posting. I was replying to an emotionally-fired posting concerning the very REAL devestating earthquake centered in the nation of India. While the Northridge Earthquake was not on the scale of the Indian one, it nonetheless killed human beings, injured many, and caused billions of dollars in damage. Why go into ranting of an India quake when the USA has already had more than one in the last seven years?

Are radio amateurs REALLY equipped, trained, and ready-to-go at every emergency, local, state, or federal? The California plan for ACS allows not-licensed-in-amateur-radio to participate in REAL emergencies. I've not seen that in RACES' plans. Perhaps you can fill me in to avoid "drivel" repetition.

> As a volunteer, both
>as a ham and in other organizations, I resent your ignorant ramblings
>about ham radio, volunteers, and their contributions to the well-being
>of our communities, states, and Nation.

I've never ranted about any volunteer work by anyone...but I have ranted about flag-waving, phrase-making repetitions of those who were NOT in REAL emergencies...I have ranted about the chest-puffers, the medal-wearers of non-accomplishment who have no evidence of their volunteerism other than repeating the same trite phrases of "value to the community." There's a BIG difference between TRUE volunteerism and the bragging seen too often in here.

The problems many radio amateurs face is an ignorance of the existing infrastructure of both landline and radio communications. They do not join in any organization as a general rule, do not train because they are not members. They, as a general rule, simply say "I am ready!" and don't understand that such chest-beating is not enough. "Radio" is more than ham stuff, CB, cell phones, and broadcasting. There is much more to the entire radio community available for REAL emergencies.

>I am both proud and willing to serve when needed.

My US Army serial number was RA 16 408 336. If you know the old system of the US military, the "RA" denotes a volunteer. That was in 1952 to 1960. Can one volunteer MORE than one's life for one's country? I don't think anyone can.

>Further, I have rarely heard one word of support for
>hams in your posts, rather your posts consist of whining and belittling
>subjects you so obviously know NOTHING about.

Translation: I don't stand up and cheer wildly when someone mentions amateur radio...I don't repeat trite phrases of self-praise for the "good of the service," nor have I memorized all the self-promotional mantras of the amateur organizations. REAL emergencies are unaffected by anyone's propaganda.

Sorry, I'm still a professional in radio who got started legally in 24/7 HF communications in 1953.

My main purpose on getting into this newsgroup was to argue the elimination of the morse code test for the amateur radio license test. Since that time a bit over 3 years ago, I have been a target of personal abuse by those who cannot defend the sanctity or need of the morse code test for an amateur radio license. Since they cannot logically support the code test as it applies to newcomers, they attempt abusing those persons who wish to remove it.

>I don't have to get into
>it with you to make my point; you have made it already.

You must. You imagine that someone tried to tear down amateur radio as, apparently, YOU KNOW IT, and want a pound of flesh. Sorry, I cannot imagine what your imagination conjurs up, only that you want to hurt someone physically for imagined insults.

>My own hat is
>off to volunteers EVERYWHERE who do so much with so little, and receive
>barely a word of acknowledgement for their efforts. If I had to put up
>with that crap in person after I have slogged around in the mud dodging
>poisonous snakes for 2 days (like hurricane Floyd), I'd punch the a**
>hole in the mouth!!!!!!!! GRRRRRRRRR!

Just exactly who "slighted volunteers?" I volunteered to help in searching the Veteran's Hospital collapse in the Sylmar Earthquake of 1971. Nobody gave me any praise, coffee, certificate of appreciation or anything else beside a handshake and that was enough for me. I spent three days at the Sylmar Veteran's Hospital rubble. You are free to call me all the names you want, imagine whatever you wish (quite a bit), but physical violence is going to be more difficult to accomplish than you think. It won't make your imaginary case.

I'll ask again and expect something besides a reference to a

QST article. In the 1994 Northridge Earthquake where were the
radio amateurs? That was 7 years ago (plus almost a month).
I know where the professionals and commercial people were,
what they were doing.

Has anyone briefed themselves on the California ACS or the
OES, do they know anything about SHARES? Or is everyone
just reading what is written in ham organization literature and
then imagining what real emergencies are like, how they feel,
all the while sitting safe in their ham shacks...?

didit

```
From -2696236903564977345
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-13 23:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 02:37:49 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <B6AF9FFB.209E%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010213171235.17237.00001964@ng-fi1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-262.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982136267 181468 206.74.233.232 (14 Feb 2001 07:37:47
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:37:47 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15906
```

WA8ULX wrote:

```
>> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>>
>> Instead, the license class only shows
>> that the person has demonstrated to the
>> FCC that he/she has learned the basic
>> skills necessary to operate properly and
>> safely in the frequencies used by that
>> license class.
>
```

> And just how did they learn anything, let
> alone basic skills by memorizing a bunch
> of questions which mean NOTHING to them.

Gee, I know you're so much smarter than the average human being, WA8ULX, but I know I learned something by memorizing those questions and answers. And I learned even more by reading the rest of the book that contained those questions and answers. And I learned still more by further reading not associated with that license exam. And I learned still more from my experiences since obtaining that license.

A large part of learning is memorization, WA8ULX. I assume even you had to once memorize things as part of your learning process (but maybe you were too smart to need that). When we're children, we learn to speak by memorizing what others have said. Later, we memorize rules for spelling, shapes for writing, dates in history, formulas for math, theories for science, and so forth.

After we memorize all those things, we can then go forward to apply those memories to the real world (and perhaps if we remember how we applied them, we might learn still more).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 7549525272679614492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 01:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 03:56:44 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <B6AFB27A.209F%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <20010213134619.13030.00001617@ng-cg1.aol.com>
<20010213184722.02997.00002303@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-262.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982141014 183174 206.74.233.232 (14 Feb 2001 08:56:54
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 08:56:54 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15907

Len Over 21 wrote:

> The question still remains - Where
> were the radio amateurs here and a
> few years earlier in the Bay Area
> of middle California who have
> constantly kept the mantra of
> "radio amateurs 'always come
> through' during emergencies?"

Actually, there were articles in several ham magazines describing the efforts of hams during both of these incidents. No, hams don't "always" come through in every circumstance, Len. But large numbers do at least try.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2110565281640296368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 01:10:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 04:05:37 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <B6AFB491.20A0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <20010213134619.13030.00001617@ng-cg1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-262.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982141548 183383 206.74.233.232 (14 Feb 2001 09:05:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:05:48 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15908

Steve Robeson wrote:

> "According to one Indian media account,
> however, hams in India have complained
> that because ham radio is considered a
> hobby, they are not taken seriously by
> the politicians"

Well, since ham radio is a hobby (and everyone knows that), the way to change that impression is to become involved in public service activities and perform those services in a manner that convinces people to take those efforts seriously.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 4576912945539286337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 01:35:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 04:34:48 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <B6AFBB65.20A1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <20010213134619.13030.00001617@ng-cg1.aol.com>
<20010213184722.02997.00002303@nso-mg.aol.com> <3A89F12D.78C8@bellsouth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-262.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982143303 183654 206.74.233.232 (14 Feb 2001 09:35:03
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 09:35:03 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15909
```

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> [snip] Definition of Cottonmouth Water Moccasin:
> a venomous snake characterized by the white
> color of its mouth, usually dark mottled gray
> or near black. Usually around 3 1/2 feet to 4

> feet long. Very irritable and bites readily
> (kinda like me right now!), normally found in
> low lying creeks, ponds, amd swamps. Toxic
> venom slightly more so than the Copperhead
> commonly found in the same areas. Use caution
> in known habitats (especially if area has suf-
> fered a flood [My addition].

Ah, Cottonmouths are not that bad, Jerry. I have a whole hurd of them in the creek behind my house. In fact, the snakes and the snapping turtles seem to have a feud going on since the first part of last summer. And, before the cold weather hit, the snapping turtles were winning - they attack the snakes from below as the snakes swim across the surface. A few strikes from the two to three foot snapping turtles and it is pretty much over for the snakes. At that point, a number of turtles move in to finish off the meal. It is amazing to watch.

To be honest with you, I'm not used to all this. While I have spent some time on the farm during my childhood, I'm mostly a city person. I never knew that snapping turtles grew this large. I never knew that snapping turtles ate meat and would attack living things in the water. And I darn sure don't like all the snakes in the area. In other words, this is way too much country for me.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -3105944270455030709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a4a86c2345f463bd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:04:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:03:48 GMT
References: <3A8A0975.506EDB70@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: > Sign "BOYCOTT KENWOOD" after your call!!!! <
Message-ID: <20010214060348.17693.00000937@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15911
```

>From: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>CW not CB wrote:

>> Kenwood -- proven anti-coders.

>Kenwood rigs won't send CW?

Cecil, you really didn't expect logic from someone who posts and reposts the same mantra year after year did you?

The no-code folks love him; he supports their cause by making the pro-code folks appear bad.

Either that or he just figured that choosing that "side" would be the most fun and stir up the most trouble.

Either way, logic will not play any part of what he posts or any responses.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7415180954063011033
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec2419f219d75bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:15:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 78
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:15:11 GMT
References: <20010214003326.00445.00001951@nso-bj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Morse Code replacement? Bigtime flame war article!!
Message-ID: <20010214061511.17693.00000938@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15912
```

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>He could certainly manage a code QSO today, though he has not accepted
>>my invite to do so.

>I wonder why not?

He told me that he simply doesn't want to because he didn't think he would enjoy it at all.

> More than a year ago I recall him posting that he was going

> for Extra, had a new house with no antenna restrictions, the works.
> None of it has happened.

I can give you a list of things that I wanted to get done in the past year that have not happened either.

>No articles on SS or anything else that I've seen, either.

That is, IMHO, much more unfortunate than his not getting an Extra. He certainly has the ability to design that SS transceiver that seems to be missing from Amateur Radio. Considering his technical talents, IMHO, that would be a more unique contribution to Amateur Radio than his leadership of NCI. Bill Sohl could take over, with his skills at politics, leaving Carl free to make technical contributions. :-)

>How many other countries have as many hams as the USA with HF privileges and
>power greater than QRP? Think about WHY the USA has subbands.

The reasons I think the US has subbands have nothing to do with power level. Most countries that have HF priveleges have power limits >> 5 W.

>They are supposed to - but the foreign 'phones are now down below 7050, for
>example.

And the US digital folks are now above 7050, for example.

>There is also a growing philosophy of "if it's not prohibited by the FCC, I'm
>gonna do it, don't tell me about no steenking bandplans". I have seen it here
and elsewhere.

That is probably the reason we have mode allocations.

>That's not the end of it. He wants NO "support for legacy modes".
>Translation:
>If your QRP CW signal gets buried under his highpower DSSS signal, too bad,
>you should get with the program and stop using the legacy mode.

That is pretty much his view. I disagree with him (Dick, please take note for future reference.)

>>I think that some FHSS needs to be permitted on HF.

>Why? What are the advantages?

The only reason I suggest that is that I believe that Amateur Radio should be engaging in as much experimentation as possible. That is one of many. Note my use of "some." Now, the way to do that could be via STA, but those that were doing anything with the last STA, if any, were not reporting their results widely.

>Doesn't matter. He wants a "free market of modes" - and that includes DSSS,
>not just FHSS. No limits, and if the existing narrow-band users are
>interfered with, tough.

That was pretty much his view the last time he posted here. Of course, when it comes to SS on UHF and above, the rules are very clear about interference.

>> There are some here who say some pretty bad things about
>>CW to justify keeping it.

>Lemme at 'em!!!! ;-)

You are quite welcome to join the "tag team." :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2010655558227281935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:17:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:16:58 GMT
References: <96d3gs$rgi$0@208.207.71.136>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214061658.17693.00000939@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15913

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Sure they do. One representing a certain level of accomplishment. So
>when the license can be issued without the licensee having reached that
>minimal level of accompliahment......????

The FCC knows full well how question pools work. They apparently don't believe that vast hordes of hams are memorizing over a thousand questions without learning something in the process.

Today's licencees meet all of the accomplishments stipulated by law. What more are you asking them to do?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2945429104963527792

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bb47b75117603460
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:19:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:18:34 GMT
References: <3A8A0737.F8C8921E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Who is What?
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010214061834.17693.00000940@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15914

>rom: Cecil Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> > Every Real Texan� knows the best people drive a pickup.

>> And the best ones drive a CHEVY! LOL!

>On a quiet night, you can hear the Fords rusting. :-)

My GMC makes a bit of noise now and again.  I listen to it carefully, and if
any noise stops, I stop the truck and go back and pick up whatever just fell
off.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
>
>
>
>
>




From -8166926305297256800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:42:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 106
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:41:30 GMT
References: <20010213210822.01651.00001493@nso-fp.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214064130.17693.00000941@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15915

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>Not the Novice I took.

>You didn't specify which Novice.

1963, the same Novice I have talked about the many other times I have cited this tale. :-)

>The test I took required knowing stuff like Ohm's Law. The current tech does
>not.

Ohm's law was on the 1963 Novice, but that really was just about it for techncial content. No questions about antennas, feed lines, SWR, propagation and a host of others that are on today's Tech test.

Ohm's law most certainly IS on today's Tech, Jim. The pool even has questions about the formula for Ohm's law, expressed both as E=I*R and in plain English.

>>I studied 4 pages and passed easily.

>I very much doubt you started out knowing nothing about radio before those
>four pages, Ed.

I had dabbled in Electronics some, as a kid. But I don't recall anything on the test that was not virtually word for word what was on the study guide.

>And the number of pages is no indicator of the level of the material.

It was in this case. I had posted the entire study guide to this NG a month or so back.

>3) Because you were interested.

> That leaves 3). And the end result is an ARS with a few
> knowledgeable folks. Perhaps that is unavoidable.

I don't believe that every ham is interested in technology, Jim, but I think the interest level is higher than you think. People don't become hams because they don't want to learn. I would agree that the depth of technical testing may be less than it was, although that General required me to study 16 pages of study guide for a few weeks, so you tell me.

But that mile-wide river is a LOT wider than the river I had to cross when I got my General, and the entry level tests cover territory I didn't even know existed when I was first licensed. I found myself a year ago teaching the RF safety material to a Tech class and when I was teaching them the differences between the reactive near field, the radiating near field and far field conditions, so they could better understand how to answer certain questions, I was amazed that this is what we require of beginners.

When I was a Novice, old Hub, W1BNB, handed me those 4 pages and told me to study them. Today, we hand people a Now You're Talking and tell them that THIS is what they need to know to be a beginner. I gotta' tell you, if I had been handed that book when I was 13 instead of those 4 pages, I wouldn't have become a ham.   If we wonder why there are not as many kids in ham radio as there once were, compare the 4 pages to NYT and give me an analysis. The published question pools along take up about 90 pages in the book.

Add to that all of this bitterness about "dumbing down" that some are posting and reposting on newsgroups and elsewhere. Now, if someone had handed me the NYT book, but then handed me a General and an Extra and told me that NYT was a really easy accomplishment and if I wanted to be a "real ham" I would also have to learn that, too, and THEN said that it was all dumbed down from the 16 pages he had to study to get all amateur priveleges, I would have thought that hams were totally whacko and simply walked away. Some newcomers are subjected to exactly that treatment by some who probably honestly believe that they are doing Great Good for the Service by doing so.

>What W2ILP and many others are concerned about is that as the
>"average ham" becomes less operationally skilled and
> technically knowledgeable, our future is threatened.

I share those concerns. I just don't think that any licensing process could properly address them. The only way it could have meaning is if it were all incentivized, and I see no value into further compartmentalizing Amatuer Radio by license class.

The number of topics that SHOULD be known (not by individual amateurs, Dick -- by the Service as a whole) is greater than nearly any individual would be expected to accomplish. The depth of knowledge that could be known (Dick, this is not forcing you to have to learn anything, I promise) is greater than most hams would ever learn across the board. IMHO, once hams have met the requirements of our licensing structure, they are free to learn, or not learn, as they will. Look at the reaction here by one individual just because I said that hams should (not must) learn modern radio technology. Would you really want to see that reaction multiplied by encoding that Hare-brained idea into a license structure? I wouldn't.

I think that the best way to accomplish learning is to lay the foundation with testing and then rely on the very nature of the Service to take care of the rest. Things like ARRL's education and certification program need to be expanded past the one course they offer now on emergency communication. Tutorials in books or free on web pages abound on various technical topics, so hams can learn and do more.

Maybe what is needed is for folks to take steps to foster the development of a "can do" culture in ham radio (again?). I think some of what I have seen said here has had just the opposite effect.

Just another "whimper" from one of the gang in Newington. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2801731384220722146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:43:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:42:41 GMT
References: <20010213210818.01651.00001491@nso-fp.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010214064241.17693.00000942@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15916

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>Even then it is likely that the FCC will ask that the applicant be retested
>>by
>>a VEC, either by specifying the VEC or by making a stipulation that it be a
>>different VEC than coordinated a questionable test session.

>Precisely! The FCC's involvement in testing is that limited.

The FCC also does approve the question pools before they are official.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -6129028438309361 58
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:44:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:44:19 GMT
References: <20010213171235.17237.00001964@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214064419.17693.00000943@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15917

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)

>And just how did they learn anything, let alone Basic skills by Memorizing a
>bunch of Questions which meaN NOTHING to them.

Another "can't do" in operation.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 1944136189217357295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:47:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:47:07 GMT
References: <3A89E33E.D887A397@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010214064707.17693.00000944@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15918

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>We spoke in person at the SW Division Convention in San Diego - outside,
>after the ARRL talk at the end of the day.  I'll buy dinner if the
>opportunity ever presents itself.

Yes, I do remember that, Jim.  As I recall, it was a nice old-hippie to
old-hippie conversation. :-)

I may be in San Diego later this year, for an IEEE/ANSI C.63 "RFI" committee
meeting.  I will keep you posted.  I will also be at Riverside this year, and
possibly Pacificon.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 5146911798467838888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:51:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:50:23 GMT
References: <3A899535.77705B8C@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214065023.17693.00000945@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15919

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>Almost all the colors look the same to me on those blue colored film
>resistors.

And we don't even want to talk about chip resistors.  The only one in the Lab
that can read the values without a magnifying glass is Zack.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -2373535146338889l3
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:54:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
```

Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:53:36 GMT
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214065336.17693.00000946@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15920

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> We administer exams to people who are interested in radio. That's about
>> the only way I can characterize them as a group. I'd be surprised if
>> your group couldn't be characterized in the same way.

> Of course they could, but of course that's not the only way they can be
>characterized.

I have seen some of your characterization, Dick. I see it as an extremist view and do not believe that it represents a characterization of most people taking tests.

People are not becoming hams because they don't want to learn anything.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8189886067516150537
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:55:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:54:49 GMT
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214065449.17693.00000947@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15921

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>I merely point out what Ed denies - that is easier to word-associate most

>questions and answers than it is to learn the material.

It is easier to learn a few simple formulas and apply grammar-school math to
solve them than it is to memorize over 1000 questions without understanding.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8869915976490868682
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:58:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:57:46 GMT
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214065746.17693.00000948@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15922

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>We all agree that is the objective. But it is clear to me that it
>frequently is not met. I don't suppose it really matters, but what it
>has done over time is to cause the validity of the testing system- and
>many of its licensees to come under serious ridicule-from many other
>hams. And I don't mean me, either. I frequently hear it on the air.

I don't believe that I have ever been in a QSO where the op on the other end
told me that he thought the current spate of amateur tests was dumbed down and
that vast hordes of people were doing no more than memorizing them. What
percentage of your QSOs contained this content? Did the op on the other end
bring it up on his own, or did you initiate that discussion. Did his wife call
him to dinner very soon after? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7369815811580463823
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 03:59:23 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 11:59:19 GMT
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214065919.17693.00000949@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15923

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>They can pass
>the tests, one at a time, by studying that element in the pools. You
>don't get much detailed information in the pools.

There are more correct answers in the General pool than there were sentences in the 16-page General study guide I read to get my General license.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5658273132053117915
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 04:13:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 79
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 12:12:33 GMT
References: <96d6s8$6ce$0@208.207.71.136>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214071233.17693.00000950@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15924

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Sure, some applicants do study other things. Most have no need to.

Dick, you have no personal knowledge of what 99% of those who took tests before you studied. Your view of what they studied is speculation. You have often here offered the worst possible interpretation of people's words and then built a whole house of cards about what it meant. I am sure you are doing the same. Jim is apparently a VE, too, and he is not prone to wild speculation; his view appears to be more balanced and reasoned to me than yours.

>I never
>expected to come to the opposite conclusion, and it developed over
>several years time experience as a VE. I saw things that all finally
>summed up to it.

Just like your summing up of the things I didn't actually say here, Dick?

>Problem is the VE QPC is also voluntary,
>and effective changes to questions to make them so that they can't
>easily be memory associated, as the few that Ed posted, takes a lot
>scarce volunteer time, thus is unlikely.

I am sure that all of the questions that you have been sending to this group of volunteers you have oft' soundly criticized will help. Could you post the things you have sent to the QPC here so we can discuss them?

I also suggest that you re-read the question pool, Dick. The example I posted was about the best of them, but there are many groups of questions with very similar answers that cannot be word-association memorized, IMHO. If you will re-read it, I won't have to do another edit job to cut and past the relevant questions. Would you mind?

>If you feel the material covered by the question pools is
>> insufficient, then I'm sure everyone would be grateful if you were to offer
>> specific suggestions as to how that material should be supplemented.

> I imagine you're tired of hearing about it. Don't blame you, I'm tired
>of saying it, especially when I get accused of spreading falsehoods.

Is that really your answer to his question? It looks like a total non-sequitor to me. Did you cut and paste the wrong answer in composing the email?

> So, no whistleblowers allowed?
> I did offer to Ed to use one of his new defective Handbooks to form
>some questions on new material, but he ignored me. I wasn't surprised.

I was off the ng for several weeks, Dick; I do not read every post. Of course, with all of the griping you have been doing about the QPC and all of the errors you say you have found in the pools, you have been sending them questions all along, right? Could you post them here so we can discuss them?

>I bought Moxon's "HF Antennas For All Locations" instead.

I am sure you can write some excellent questions from that.

>I'm just stubborn enough that I wouldn't buy one without being able to
>look it over first.

I'll tell you what, Dick, if you buy the Handbook and don't like it, I will personally buy it back from you.

>ARRL would do well to send those
>"bent cover" issues out to vendors to use as samples if they have so
>much handling damage they have to shrinkwrap every copy.

Most dealers open one up and put it on display. The ARRL Lobby does the same. Of course, it does get a bit dogeared from the few who sit in the Lobby and read it so they don't have to buy one.

> The new handbook must not be a very big seller.

Seems to sell about as well as it ever did, according to my inside sources. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3407802763820295483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7011e6e588104bf
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 04:21:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Gay History should be taught by schools
Date: Wed, 14 Feb 2001 07:22:36 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t8ku2j3ncfflb4@corp.supernews.com>
References: <96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 29
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12508 rec.radio.amateur.policy:15925

Unless the discussion is how well an HT works stuck up some homosexual's ass, I fail to see the relevance in discussing homosexualty, destroying the fine reputation of the Boy Scouts, etc., in a ham radio newsgroup.

Let's discuss the hobby, communication methods, etc. The hobby is the purpose of this newsgroup.

```
"Bruce W" <ham22@lambda.org> wrote in message
news:96cteg$qo4$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> A good article to show your local principal:
>
>
> 'Gay History' Advocated for Public Schools
>
>      NewsMax.com Wires
>      February 12, 2001
>
> (CNSNews.com) - A leading homosexual rights advocacy group Friday called
> on the Boy Scouts of America to "end discrimination" against homosexuals
> and called for the teaching of "gay history" in schools.
>
> At a breakfast briefing in Los Angeles, top legal strategists from the
> Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund outlined what they consider to
> be leading discrimination problems facing homosexuals.  ...
>
> (read the full text at Newsmax.com)




From 655392391158445136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 04:41:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 12:41:17 GMT
References: <96beki$pau$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214074117.17693.00000953@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15926

>From: Vincent Biancomano

>No they didn't, Ed. They engaged in governmental double speak to
>wash their hands of the Service. Trivializing the Service was
>the way to go, an economic decision.

Why do you feel it would be in the best interest of specific FCC staff to lie
about it rather than address it head on by making whatever rules changes they
felt were in the public interest?
```

I have never met any FCC staff that I felt would do that. Can you describe to us what dealings you have had with the FCC that leads you to that conclusion.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4625203860348745106
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 04:43:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 12:42:35 GMT
References: <96cc99$hto$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214074235.17693.00000954@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15927

>From: Vincent Biancomano 103520.355@CompuServe.COM

>That aside, the Commission has essentially told
>the Service to "take a hike."

I didn't see that in the Report and Order. What paragraph do you feel the Commission intends us to perceive as "take a hike?"

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4896981987115203459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 04:44:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 14 Feb 2001 12:44:15 GMT
References: <96cptf$1b8$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214074415.17693.00000955@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15928

>From: Vincent Biancomano 103520.355@CompuServe.COM

>Dream on. One thing you can hand the Commission---
>after getting nailed, they know enough to keep quiet,
>simply to avoid getting in deeper. "When in doubt, punt."

In what way would the commission get in trouble for what decisions about Amateur Radio, and who would bring that trouble down upon them?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1281856147703254587
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 04:46:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 12:46:03 GMT
References: <B6AFB491.20A0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010214074603.13040.00001849@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15929

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 02/14/01 4:05 AM Eastern Standard Time

> Well, since ham radio is a hobby (and everyone knows that),...(SNIP)

Ham radio in the United States is a SERVICE that is ALSO a hobby. It has specific basic criteria that it must meet in order to fulfil it's "Basis and Purpose".

That a great number of Hams do NOT participate in Emergency Services is a given, but those that DO help carry the day.

There are other aspects of Amateur Radio that meet the B&P splendidly, and it is the combination of all those factors that routinely save out bacon from the spectrum guzzlers.

>the way to change that impression is to become involved in public service activities
>and perform those services in a manner that convinces people to take those
>efforts seriously.

The problem is not here. It's there. But the "it's just a hobby" crowd are teh ones who undermine our foundation with the policy makers. You can build the greatest bridge, but pull the one keystone out, and all you have is a pile of rubble. Doesn't matter how well the rest of it was put together.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 5580893435550678223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-14 04:50:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Feb 2001 12:49:34 GMT
References: <96d8k7$auc$0@208.207.71.136>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010214074934.17693.00000956@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:15930

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I have never said that I believed that we need to abandon anything. I
>> couldn't figure out for the life of me why you kept holding on to that no
>> matter how many times I told you that I felt that new technology should be
>> added to what we are doing.

>"Modernize" doming with "Adopt new technologies" and "Luddite" sort of
>added up.

Except that I never used the term "Luddite," Dick. If you unimagine that I called you a "Luddite," does it still add up to you that if I say that Amateur Radio should modernize and that hams should learn more about modern radio communications technologies but that I feel mode diversity is important to preserve that I am telling you that hams need to abandon everything they are doing?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1718272079839315086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 01:12:02 PST
From: "lak" <lak@radioland.net>
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 07:43:59 -0500
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <#GJWuzMnAHA.269@cpmsnbbsa07>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1Cust58.tnt13.det3.da.uu.net 63.27.241.58
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpmsnbbsb04!cpmsnbbsa07
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16995
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2192
```

I agree with Darryl on this, in fact my correspondance with the ARRL regarding E-QSL revealed they are looking into this method. What is needed is actually an organization rather than individual. Historically, individuals will eventually quit or pass-on thus leaving the system "dead-in-the-water".

On the topic of actual "wall paper" nothing should prevent the use of these cards. In fact, if modeled after the currency systems of the world paper QSLs equate to paper money and E-QSLs equate to wire transfers. I like to collect paper QSLs but right now I have several countries on E-QSL that are worthless toward DXCC (the initial 100) that are badly nedded. In fact, one overseas ham was not aware of the ARRL stand and had actually sent me an E-QSL plus one through the slow and antiquated QSL Bureau System. (On that topic I have at least 3 envelopes on file - one for each postage change but nothing comes out. In fact the Bureau assistant manager for my call group will not respond to email inquires.)

The cavet for the organization accepting this responsibility is they cannot require membership to access. They could charge a fee for registration maintenance to recover costs.

kal

"Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON" <darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com> wrote in message
news:904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100...
> Greetings,
>
> I believe in E-QSL, but nothing I have seen so fair fills the bill.
>
> I think the solution should be open source so that we don't get
> locked in to one provider of trusted E-QSLs.
>

From -7872654393416056525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 02:36:03 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 10:29:31 GMT
Message-ID: <3a963b5e.40103093@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3A9507B9.C1FD3DC6@arrl.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.142
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.142
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 04:27:52 -0600, 64.24.17.142
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16996

On Thu, 22 Feb 2001 07:36:09 -0500, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:

>Here's something interesting:
>
>HANSSEN, ROBERT P, K9QVL (General)

>
>Same name and address as the FBI agent arrested for spying.
>
. . . just the kinda PR we *don't* need . .
>
>Jon

>
w3rv

From -9170450491203865668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 04:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:31:07 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t9clu91gv5ek66@corp.supernews.com>
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<970ktq$om6@r02n01.cac.psu.edu> <970moo$qph$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 136
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16997
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2193

I agree with John. I like getting cards in the mail and I like to see REAL
QSL cards that have some creativity. Some of the DX cards have beautiful
pictures of their homelands, station, etc. Yes, they may cost us more
(greenstamps to the DX stations) but there is a great thrill in getting
overseas mail with the QSL.

Also, I think the ARRL should sponsor an award(s) the promotes real QSLing
beyond what we have today with WAS, WAC, and DXCC - both stateside and
international.

Regards,

Duffy - WB8NUT
"WW Warren" <kb9tmp@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:970moo$qph$1@dagobah.blueriver.net...
> John
> Why not upload those E-QSL 'Cards' to a free web page provider like
QSL.net, Angelfire or Geocities
> and put them on a web page so not just you but EVERYONE could see them? It
would also save space on
> your computer and wall for that matter! :^)
>

```
> --
> ---------------------------------------------------------------
> "73" from the cornfields of Orange County Indiana!
>  <'}}}><   <'}}}>< WW - KB9TMP <'}}}><   <'}}}><
>       on the web at http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp/
>     Orange Co. A.R.C. http://www.qsl.net/kb9ohy/
>
>
>
> "John Passaneau" <jxp16@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:970ktq$om6@r02n01.cac.psu.edu...
> > Hi all:
> > The problem I have with E-QSL's is that their boring. There is nothing
to
> >
> > display on wall. The ones I've received are not very well done and if I
do
> >
> > print them they don't look very good and I have to pay for the cost of
> >
> > printing. They take up a lot of room on the hard drive if you don' print
> >
> > them. So I find little to like about E-QSL's. I saw that the ARRL was
> >
> > looking into a system that would, as I read it, keep a trusted list of
> >
> > stations you have worked, and conformations from those stations which
would
> >
> > be good for awards. I guess that this would be OK, but not much fun. I
can
> >
> > see no way that E-QSL's can ever be as much fun as getting a bunch of
cards
> >
> > in the mail. I went to the E-QSL site and I had a bunch of "cards"
waiting
> >
> > for me, I looked at them and down loaded them, but after a bit I dumped
them
> >
> > off the computer, no magic, no fun, no interest.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > John Passaneau
> > Penn State University, State College Pa.
> > W3JXP
> > w3jxp@arrl.net
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON" <darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com> wrote in message
> > news:904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100...
> > > Greetings,
> > >
> > > I believe in E-QSL, but nothing I have seen so fair fills the bill.
> > >
> > > I think the solution should be open source so that we don't get
> > > locked in to one provider of trusted E-QSLs.
> > >
> > > Here is the idea:
> > >
> > > The system would use digital signatures based upon the RSA
> > > encryption standard.  The public key would be signed by a
> > > trusted third party.  Once the key has been signed, it can
> > > be transmitted with the E-QSL or looked up in a trusted public
> > > key server.  The details is a little hard to explain, but
> > > the jest is once a amateur has a signed public key, anyone
> > > can validate their e-qsl information without using a active
> > > protocol with a third part.  This means that my log program
> > > can email a qsl information almost in real time.
> > >
> > > Everything needed to do this is public and free to use.  We
> > > will need the following functions:
> > >
> > >   1. Certification Authority software and libraries.
> > >   2. Signing libraries.
> > >   3. validation libraires.
> > >
> >
> >
> > sniped text to pacify the universities stupid mailer system
> >
> >
> >
> > > TQSL to be:
> > >
> > >   1.  A trusted system
> > >   2.  Free and open standards
> > >   3.  Easy to use and implement.  ie:  Users pushs a button on
> > >       his/her logging program and a TQSL is created.  This eqsl
> > >     could be sent via email, to a e-buro, etc.  The important
> > >     thing is once created it can be authenicated at any point
> > >       by anyone, just by point software at it.
> > >
> > > Any takers?
> > >
> > > Darryl Wagoner WA1GON
> > > darryl@shecora.com
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
```

```
From -324675925730467184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e3500-chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A965EAE.6D5F0DFE@berkshire.net>
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@berkshire.net>
X-Sender: "David Robbins" <@mail.berkshire.net> (Unverified)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-gatewaynet  (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 33
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:57:44 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp  http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 12:59:26 +0000
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16998
```

truckers from 'out west' are on various frequencies almost every day from here in New England. 28085 and 28535 are the most common frequencies. references in their chatter have placed them anywhere from mississippi to chicago and west into idaho and new mexico. they don't hear ham qrm most of the time because they are always running in close groups probably with squelches turned way up. they also show up on 28005, 28035, 28135, 28235, 28295, 28545, 29260, and 29295 regularly, though i have heard them on almost any 10kc channel from 28005 to 28295. they do seem to avoid 28300-28500. except for the frequencies above they only rarely seem to go above 28500, but that probably won't last.

as the arrl's recent washington mailbox column says there isn't much anyone can do about them unless you get the license plates and hand them to the fcc. i have given this info to riley and am hoping they can use their df network to at least narrow down some of these guys to particular interstates or areas so local df work can get started to track them down... the real question is who can do the ground work? the arrl doesn't seem to think they can do anything, though i have suggested they send their transmitter hunting book to all the oo's and see if kenwood will give them discount coupons for mobile hf rigs (to help their image after the truck stop stories). i would expect it will only take a few busts to get the word out that going about 28000 is a bad idea.

Ken Diaz wrote:
>
> Truckers from "back east" are S-7 to S-9 here in Southern California. Hope
> Reilly knows about this. This posting is so we can assist in trying to
> locate these guys (Texas?). These guys are now appearing daily.
> Thanks, Ken, WB9YCJ/6

--
David Robbins K1TTT
e-mail: mailto://k1ttt@berkshire.net
web: http://www.berkshire.net/~robbins/k1ttt.html or http://www.k1ttt.net
AR-Cluster node: 145.69MHz or telnet://k1ttt.net

From -6218481201305089513
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:10:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A96606F.3C03F821@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 13:06:55 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org> <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net>
<3A951ACC.13DB3275@IEEE.org> <3A95EFC3.48A647F3@ovis.net>
<3A95F55E.2600EA2C@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 08:02:35 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 22
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16999

Cecil wrote:

>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > The argument I have is with your improperly selected definition.
>
> That simply cannot be, Dave. I very carefully selected the definition
> directly out of the dictionary to convey the exact concept that I wanted
> to convey. I chose to use the word. You are not God-like enough to
> choose my words for me.

It is simple, can be and is. You selected a definition from your dictionary. It was incorrect for the situation. Now you're stuck with trying to defend it. As usual, you are now adding various clauses to add to the original definition you provided in order to attempt to make your case.

While I'm not generally god-like, it doesn't take much of a god to see through your flimsy attempt.

Twist in the wind.

Dave K8MN

From 6185534367775465461
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:16:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A9661D4.BD6A4189@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 13:12:52 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net>
<3A95F6E5.E5233886@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 08:08:32 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 40
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17000

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > That's a straw man argument because no one said a ham ticket is
> > > mandatory. Morse code *is* mandatory for an HF ham ticket. It is
> > > "required by circumstances" which is the definition of "forced".
> >
> > Wait a minute. "required by circumstances" wasn't part of the
> > definition you provided earlier. Are you amending your definition?
>
> Amending? Not really. I just have two dictionaries. "Required by
> circumstances" is the definition of "forced" from my unabridged
> dictionary. I get a hernia every time I pick it up so I don't open
> it very often.

Let me get this straight. Your excuse for adding a second definition
well after you provided the first is that your dictionary is too heavy
to lift? You're a yuk a minute, Cecil. Bill Clinton couldn't have done
better.

> > It is not a forced test, Cecil. It is not mandatory to obtain an
> > amateur radio license or a driver's license.
>
> Nobody said it was mandatory to obtain an amateur radio license or a
> driver's license. To imply that someone did say that is simply a strawman
> argument because you have run out of logical arguments. In order to
> obtain a driver's license, a driver is forced to take a driver's
> test. That is a perfectly correct English language sentence, Dave.
> Your disagreement simply shows your ignorance.

The sentence is properly constructed but it is inaccurate. If it is not
mandatory to obtain either a driving license or an amateur radio
license, no one is forced to obtain one any more than one is forced to
take a morse exam. If you have options, you are not forced.

You've stumbled on this one and now you are *forced* to live with it.

Dave K8MN

From -2620331925834749017
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:16:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A966229.7FF37997@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 13:14:17 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <3A950BBB.70C44680@ovis.net>
<3A951CF5.36AD78EF@IEEE.org> <3A95F15F.FE210F74@ovis.net>
<3A95F786.3C6DF7B4@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 08:09:57 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 19
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17001

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > We cannot communicate because you and Dick will not accept the
> > > Webster's dictionary definition of "forced - required by
> > > circumstances".
> >
> > Nah, that's not the reason, Cecil.  We can't communicate because, 1) you
> > haven't settled on a definition of the word "forced" yet ...
>
> What's wrong with "required by circumstances"?

What happened?  Did the one you originally chose *force* you to remove
the egg from your face?  Why the amendment (or are you attempting to use
the new one as an addendum)?

Dave K8MN


From 2163829494733930625
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:24:01 PST
Message-ID: <3A966301.26BC1F2B@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 13:17:53 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95F898.78BFE16C@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 08:13:33 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 26
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17002

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > Evidently you don't comprehend that the person who makes the statement
> > > gets to choose the definition of the words he uses. Rail and whine all
> > > you wish. The following is a fact: >>In order to obtain HF ham privileges,<<
> > > a ham is forced to pass a Morse code exam, i.e. it is "required by the
> > > circumstances" (the FCC rules). "forced - required by circumstances"
> >
> > When you can accurately say it without the prepositional phrase it will
> > have some meaning.
>
> It already has meaning, Dick, and the prepositional phrase is part of
> the meaning. Are you ignorant of conditional statements? To be more
> precise: If a ham is to obtain HF ham privileges then he is forced to
> pass a Morse code exam. That is an absolutely true statement.

You're now attempting another game of adding material to your original
lame statement in an attempt to make it valid. That "if" you're now
using wasn't there initially. Neither was the "required by
circumstances" portion. No one is forced to learn morse.

Dave K8MN

From 4467091647884448611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:24:01 PST

Message-ID: <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 13:20:23 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 08:16:03 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 14
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17003

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil.
>
> That is a false statement, Dave. If a ham is to obtain HF ham
> privileges then he is forced to learn Morse code. That is a
> true statement.

Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil. I am forced to endure your obtuse nature. What? I don't have to put up with it? I have options? I guess I'm not forced after all.

Dave K8MN

From 2544549997947476104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:24:07 PST
Message-ID: <3A96620D.64510920@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:13:49 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?

References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982934804 64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 07:26:44 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17004

Ken Diaz wrote:
> Truckers from "back east" are S-7 to S-9 here in Southern California. Hope
> Reilly knows about this. This posting is so we can assist in trying to
> locate these guys (Texas?).

A Californian saying that Texas is "back east"? I would advise you not
to set foot in Texas ever again. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5088394146510491144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:28:03 PST
Message-ID: <3A9662AF.E34EA723@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:16:31 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal

```
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<970ktq$om6@r02n01.cac.psu.edu> <970moo$qph$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
<t9clu91gv5ek66@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982934964
64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 07:29:24 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17005
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2194

"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:
> I agree with John.  I like getting cards in the mail and I like to see REAL
> QSL cards that have some creativity.

There's no reason why the exact same QSL card cannot be sent as an
email GIF file.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 5825289975496451276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:30:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A9664E4.39420E92@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 13:25:56 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
```

References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A95F737.7B7BC45E@ovis.net>
<3A95FBB5.1342F5CE@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 08:21:36 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 19
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17007

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > Why can't the Morse code requirement be handled the same way?
> >
> > It already is. Neither is forced.
>
> Of course they are, Dave. If I want to operate a motorcycle legally
> in Texas then I am forced (required by circumstances) to take a
> motorcycle test. If you say that is not a true statement then you
> are simply lying.

You write "Of course" as if that somehow makes it fact. Of course you
are less capable as a wordsmith than as an engineer. You are simply out
of your league. To state otherwise makes you a liar.

Dave K8MN

From -6443473736896003377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:30:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 13:24:04 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-

fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
<3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 08:19:43 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 22
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17006

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > You can't even stick to a single definition. "required by
> > circumstances"; is that your final answer?
>
> Every definition that I have used means essentially the same
> thing. "Done or produced with effort" means essentially the
> same thing as "required by circumstances". Both definitions
> are equally valid. One is from one dictionary and one is
> from another. Do you object to all dictionaries or just some
> of them?

That is a straw man. We're not debating whether I object to
dictionaries. I object to you selecting incorrect definitions of a
word. "I forced the door open." does not mean the same things as "I was
forced to endure Cecil's endless games". You selected the wrong
definition initially and are attempting to rectify that now.

It makes you look small.

Dave K8MN

From 6004096736569O5786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:30:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A966355.68E00E3E@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:19:17 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride

```
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org> <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net>
<3A951ACC.13DB3275@IEEE.org> <3A95EFC3.48A647F3@ovis.net>
<3A95F55E.2600EA2C@IEEE.org> <3A96606F.3C03F821@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982935130
64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 07:32:10 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17009

Dave Heil wrote:
> You selected a definition from your
> dictionary.  It was incorrect for the situation.

That's your opinion, Dave. It is wrong. Your opinion and a buck
fifty will get you a cup of coffee. I very carefully selected
exactly the definition that matched the concept I wanted to
convey. That it chapped your a$$ is irrelevant.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3116374534903752392
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:30:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A966534.4A1F372A@ovis.net>
```

```
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 13:27:16 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>
<3A95FC6A.4AF37F8C@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 08:22:55 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 21
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17008

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > T. L. Bryant wrote:
> > > Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
> > > intention of ever owning or riding one?
> >
> > Nope.  It has not made obtaining a motorcycle endorsement any more
> > mandatory than an amateur radio license.
>
> You have completely missed the point so far, Dave. If one is to operate
> a motorcycle legally it is mandatory that one take a motorcycle test, i.e.
> one is forced (required by circumstances) to take a motorcycle test in
> order to avoid illegal operation.

...and *if* a frog had wings he wouldn't bump his ass when he jumped.
*If* we had some meat, we could make some sandwiches....*if* we had some
bread.

Dave K8MN


From 5998152607041472463
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:36:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A96648C.18C1678@IEEE.org>
```

Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:24:28 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com> <3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net> <3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net> <3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net> <3A95F6E5.E5233886@IEEE.org> <3A9661D4.BD6A4189@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982935442 64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 07:37:22 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17010

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote: In order to
> > obtain a driver's license, a driver is forced to take a driver's test.
>
> The sentence is properly constructed but it is inaccurate.

You are wrong, Dave. That sentence is obviously accurate as any
ordinary prudent man can see from reading it. The definition of
"forced - required by circumstances" is directly out of "Webster's
New Universal Unabridged Dictionary", Barnes & Noble, �1996.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1348658079046636881
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:36:03 PST
Message-ID: <3A9665EF.59858B0A@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 13:30:23 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <3A95F877.7ABB0E8E@ovis.net>
<3A95FD92.205AEF27@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 08:26:03 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 33
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17011

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > More pap.  I am:
> > forced to obtain a job.
>
> Yes, if you want to support yourself financially, you are forced to
> obtain a job.
>
> > forced to go grocery shopping.
>
> Yes, if you are out of food, you are forced to go grocery shopping.
>
> > forced to feed the dog.
>
> Yes, if you want your dog to survive, you are forced to feed the dog.
>
```

> > forced to go out and pick up the newspaper.
>
> Yes, if you want to read the newspaper, you are forced to pick it up.
>
> > forced to learn morse code.
>
> Yes, if you want to legally operate on the HF ham bands, you are
> forced to learn Morse code.
>
> By Jove, I think you've got it!

Darn! I count only five *if*s in there. What do you suppose they mean?
I'd say that they imply options. I think you'd better regroup and
ponder this a while.

Dave K8MN

```
From -7068719563509019633
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:46:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A966726.C0ED458@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:35:34 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <3A950BBB.70C44680@ovis.net>
<3A951CF5.36AD78EF@IEEE.org> <3A95F15F.FE210F74@ovis.net>
<3A95F786.3C6DF7B4@IEEE.org> <3A966229.7FF37997@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982936109
64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 07:48:29 -0600)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17012

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > What's wrong with "required by circumstances"?
>
> What happened? Did the one you originally chose *force* you to remove
> the egg from your face?

Nope, "Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary" gives the definition
as "forced - done or produced with effort". That overlaps the
definition of "forced - required by circumstances" which appears
in my unabridged dictionary which I rarely open because it is so large.
You don't have to be beaten with a stick to have force used against
you. Rules, regulations, and laws are almost always backed up by the
force of the issuing authority.

> Why the amendment (or are you attempting to use
> the new one as an addendum)?

Why the attempted diversion, Dave? Diversions are invariably employed
by people who are losing the argument. Please stick to the subject.
If a ham is to have legal HF ham privileges then he is forced
(required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code exam. You do
understand if/then statement logic, don't you?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5173365552004273746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 05:58:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A9669ED.B81EB112@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:47:25 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A95F737.7B7BC45E@ovis.net>
<3A95FBB5.1342F5CE@IEEE.org> <3A9664E4.39420E92@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982936820
64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 08:00:20 -0600)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17013

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Of course they are, Dave. If I want to operate a motorcycle legally
> > in Texas then I am forced (required by circumstances) to take a
> > motorcycle test. If you say that is not a true statement then you
> > are simply lying.
>
> You write "Of course" as if that somehow makes it fact. Of course you
> are less capable as a wordsmith than as an engineer. You are simply out
> of your league. To state otherwise makes you a liar.

People who consider themselves so expert that they don't ever have to open a dictionary often get caught with their pants down. How does it feel to have your omniscient opinion of yourself dashed by the simple act of a dumb engineer opening a dictionary and proving you wrong?

The force of the State of Texas is behind the motorcycle test requirement. If I want to operate a motorcycle legally in Texas, then I am forced by the state to pass a motorcycle test. If I choose to ignore what is "required by circumstances" then I will be forced to accept a traffic ticket or worse.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5720799505405081254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 06:02:08 PST
Message-ID: <3A966AE5.AF6838B@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:51:33 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>
<3A95FC6A.4AF37F8C@IEEE.org> <3A966534.4A1F372A@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982937068
64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 08:04:28 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17014
```

Dave Heil wrote:
>

> Cecil wrote:
> > You have completely missed the point so far, Dave. If one is to operate
> > a motorcycle legally it is mandatory that one take a motorcycle test, i.e.
> > one is forced (required by circumstances) to take a motorcycle test in
> > order to avoid illegal operation.
>
> ...and *if* a frog had wings he wouldn't bump his ass when he jumped.
> *If* we had some meat, we could make some sandwiches....*if* we had some
> bread.

Those are true statements, Dave, just like my if/then statements are true.
In case you have forgotten your logic, if the 'if' part of an if/then
statement is false, then the entire statement is true. Thus, "if a frog
had wings he wouldn't bump his ass when he jumped", is a true statement.
So is, "If a ham is to have legal HF ham privileges, he is forced to take
a Morse code exam."
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8388267342637770213
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 06:14:01 PST
Message-ID: <3A966D56.8BD0312C@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 08:01:58 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95F898.78BFE16C@IEEE.org> <3A966301.26BC1F2B@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982937692
64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 08:14:52 -0600)
Lines: 26

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!enews.sgi.com!newspeer.cwnet.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17015

Dave Heil wrote:
> You're now attempting another game of adding material to your original
> lame statement in an attempt to make it valid. That "if" you're now
> using wasn't there initially. Neither was the "required by
> circumstances" portion. No one is forced to learn morse.

Dave, I am trying to simplify the concept down to a point where even you can understand it. There are many ways to state an 'if' function that don't use the word 'if'. "In order to" is one way. If you had simply accepted my original statement as true, then I would not be forced to mount a detailed defense. I am not changing anything. I am expanding on the discussion so you will eventually understand it. Your objections required me to expand on my original statement and then you rag on me for expanding on my original statement. Tsk, Tsk. Hope you don't treat your children that way.

Hams with HF privileges are forced to learn Morse. They are "required by circumstances" to learn Morse code. The "circumstances" is the FCC's Morse code requirement.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1752920161501957627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 06:20:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A966EFA.4FEC7E3F@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 08:08:58 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <3A95F877.7ABB0E8E@ovis.net>
<3A95FD92.205AEF27@IEEE.org> <3A9665EF.59858B0A@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982938112
64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 08:21:52 -0600)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17016
```

Dave Heil wrote:

> Darn! I count only five *if*s in there. What do you suppose they mean?
> I'd say that they imply options. I think you'd better regroup and
> ponder this a while.

You obviously don't understand the rules of logic, Dave. I suggest you stop considering yourself to be omniscient and open up a good logic book. If the 'if' portion of an if/then statement is false then the entire statement is true. If the 'if' portion of an if/then statement is true and the 'then' portion is true, then the entire statement is true. The only way an if/then statement can be false is for the 'if' portion to be true and the 'then' portion to be false. Every if/then statement I have made has been true. You were mistaken when you asserted that they were false.

If a ham wants legal HF ham privileges then he is forced (required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code exam. That's a true statement, Dave. There's just no getting around it. The force of the FCC is behind the

Morse code requirement.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2202000444414235303
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 06:36:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A967295.8079A954@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 08:24:21 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982939036
64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 08:37:16 -0600)
Lines: 38
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.icl.net!
newspeer.clara.net!news.clara.net!newsfeed.online.be!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17017

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > That is a false statement, Dave. If a ham is to obtain HF ham
> > privileges then he is forced to learn Morse code. That is a
> > true statement.
>
> Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil.

I made an if/then statement which follows the rules of logic.
That if/then statement is true. According to the rules of classical
logic, your statement is actually false because HF hams are forced
(required by circumstances) to learn Morse code. There is an implied
'if' function in that statement.

I can make the same conditional statement without using the words,
"if" and "then".

In order to obtain HF privileges, a ham is forced (required by
circumstances) to pass a Morse code test.

It can be stated another way with the 'if' portion of the conditional
statement only implied.

HF hams are forced (required by circumstances) to pass a
Morse code test.

The implied 'if' portion are the HF privileges. If they don't pass the
Morse code exam, they are not HF hams. Thus the implied 'if' portion
of the implied conditional statement is false and therefore the entire
statement is true, following the accepted rules of classical logic.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3642352535373610313
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 06:44:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 08:34:09 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride

References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
<3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982939624
64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 08:47:04 -0600)
Lines: 37
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17018

Dave Heil wrote:
> "I forced the door open." does not mean the same things as "I was
> forced to endure Cecil's endless games". You selected the wrong
> definition initially and are attempting to rectify that now.

Nope, both those definitions are valid dictionary definitions, Dave. I correctly selected the one I wanted to use. You objected to my selection. Now you are trying to convince us that you are an omniscient guru when it comes to dictionaries and definitions. You are not. You have made a simple logic mistake. Many people make the same mistake.

"I am forced to endure Cecil's endless games" is a true statement given some implied assumptions. The implied assumption is:

If I choose to argue with Cecil on r.r.a.p, then I am forced to endure his endless games.

That is a true statement whether you choose to argue with me on r.r.a.p or not. That is the rule of logic about which you are mistaken. If you do not choose to argue with me on r.r.a.p, the statement is still true according to the rules of classical logic. That's why you are mistaken when you assume my if/then statements are false. If the 'if' portion of an if/then statement is false, then the entire statement is true, by definition.

If a ham is to obtain HF privileges then he is forced (required by circumstances) to learn Morse code.

Sorry, Dave, that is a true statement.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4427845056567745661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 06:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!
not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Feb 2001 14:34:00 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <975sco$6hn$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3A9507B9.C1FD3DC6@arrl.org> <3a95b710.6229250@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 982938840 6711 205.139.138.14 (23 Feb 2001 14:34:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Feb 2001 14:34:00 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17019

In article <3a95b710.6229250@news2.dvol.com>, Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol> writes:

>.. . . we don't need that kinda "PR".

Obviously they have the wrong guy. This fellow knows Morse code, and we all know that the bad guys wear black hats and never know the code.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From -6769189764784740713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 06:58:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!
cyclone-west.rr.com!news.rr.com!news-west.rr.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!
news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A967926.1C7A246C@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <9728ib$9n7$1@208.206.142.93> <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104> <3A95DF18.34DF107E@IEEE.org>
<974pb8$6vq$4@208.206.142.104> <3A95F447.36021D10@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 08:52:22 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17020
```

Cecil wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Cecil wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > The FIRST harmonic is the fundamental frequency.
> > >
> > > What's the FIRST overtone?
> >
> > Overtone is not the same term as harmonic.
>
> I know that but you didn't answer my question.

The first overtone is the second harmonic. Both the fundamental and all the harmonics are partial tones (at least in audio work). I've never heard the term 'first harmonic' before, althought the meaning is unambiguous.

john

From -3125884061985084659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 07:04:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Gary McAdams" <g.m.mcadams@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09>
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Lines: 57
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2014.211
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2014.211
Message-ID: <AYul6.1779$rL4.148796@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 15:02:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.82.138.21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 982940576 12.82.138.21 (Fri, 23 Feb 2001 15:02:56 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 15:02:56 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17021

Ken Diaz <kenjd@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09...
> Truckers from "back east" are S-7 to S-9 here in Southern
California. Hope
> Reilly knows about this. This posting is so we can assist in
trying to
> locate these guys (Texas?). These guys are now appearing daily.
> Thanks, Ken, WB9YCJ/6
>
>

Ken,

This problem is like a cancer that has spread
through the body. The FCC cannot help, and

there is not a lot that you and I can do about it either. If the intruders were stationary, there might be a chance, but these guys are running mobile, nose-to-tail. We have no chance to break in, and they will never hear us on cw or rtty.

It gives me no satisfaction, but I saw this coming a long time ago. During the latter stages of the last sunspot cycle, intruders began to appear on the ten meter band. Export radios first showed up in East Asia, especially Indonesia and Taiwan. These radios spread to our shores during the sunspot minimum, and now show up every where.

It really hacks me off personally, but I really have no idea what we can do. The FCC is no help. because they would have to have personnel in mobile DF vans in every truck stop to even begin to effect a cure. I'm open to any reasonable idea, but bitching to Mr. Hollingsworth is simply preaching to the choir. I know that it is stooping to their level, but I always try to operate on frequencies ending in five. 28.085, 28.535 etc... I persevere and possibly operating there through the QRM might help prove a point to the intruders, and the point is simply that there are already authorized users of their new "company" channel. I also have a HTX-10 and will do as another ham suggested, that is, to monitor the most popular frequencies in an attempt to catch an operator "in the act".

At any rate, I'm not going to simply concede the bands to the illegals. If we give up, where will it end? Will the next "company channel" be on 14.085, 7.085, 3.585?

Any reasonable suggestions welcomed.

Gary, WG7X

From -5876631615447407855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 08:11:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket

Date: 23 Feb 2001 16:11:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <976241$kgi$0@208.206.142.76>
References: <9728ib$9n7$1@208.206.142.93> <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-mo1.aol.com> <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104> <3A95DF18.34DF107E@IEEE.org> <974pb8$6vq$4@208.206.142.104> <3A95F447.36021D10@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.76
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17022

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > The FIRST harmonic is the fundamental frequency.
> > >
> > > What's the FIRST overtone?
> >
> > Overtone is not the same term as harmonic.
>
> I know that but you didn't answer my question.

Un-huh. And the man didn't say overtone, he said harmonic.

From -8258561596870386411
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 08:18:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 16:16:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9762ci$mc9$0@208.206.142.76>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>

<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net>
<3A95F6E5.E5233886@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.76
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17023

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > That's a straw man argument because no one said a ham ticket is
> > > mandatory. Morse code *is* mandatory for an HF ham ticket. It is
> > > "required by circumstances" which is the definition of "forced".

Cecil, ever Mister Twister the wordmeister, insists on replacing the
proper word which is "required" with the word of quite different
meaning, "required".

For sure not the first time we've seen him do this.

From 7567155986542167728
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 08:24:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 16:22:00 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9762n8$n9r$0@208.206.142.76>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>

```
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95F898.78BFE16C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.76
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17024
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > Evidently you don't comprehend that the person who makes the statement
> > > gets to choose the definition of the words he uses. Rail and whine all
> > > you wish. The following is a fact: >>In order to obtain HF ham privileges,<<
> > > a ham is forced to pass a Morse code exam, i.e. it is "required by the
> > > circumstances" (the FCC rules). "forced - required by circumstances"
> >
> > When you can accurately say it without the prepositional phrase it will
> > have some meaning.
>
> It already has meaning, Dick, and the prepositional phrase is part of
> the meaning. Are you ignorant of conditional statements? To be more
> precise: If a ham is to obtain HF ham privileges then he is forced to
> pass a Morse code exam. That is an absolutely true statement.

I suppose that everyone sees what you're doing, and of course you know exactly. Your conditional statement eliminates "forced" from possibility of being the appropriate word. The conditional statement makes it a choice, not a mandatory action, thus a "requirement" and not a forced action. The individual chooses whether or not to meet the stated requirement. It's his choice.

```
From -7341951873691857736
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 08:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 16:24:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
```

Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9762rh$n9r$1@208.206.142.76>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net> <3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net> <3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net> <3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.76
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17025

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil.
>
> That is a false statement, Dave. If a ham is to obtain HF ham
> privileges then he is forced to learn Morse code. That is a
> true statement.

It is not a true statemtment. If wants to obtain HF privileges he is REQUIRED to learn Morse code. No one was ever forced to learn if. Nor is he required -nor forced- to gain HF privileges.

From 2152082665021654757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 08:28:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Date: 23 Feb 2001 16:26:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <976306$n9r$2@208.206.142.76>
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.76
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17026

Ken Diaz wrote:
>
> Truckers from "back east" are S-7 to S-9 here in Southern California. Hope
> Reilly knows about this. This posting is so we can assist in trying to
> locate these guys (Texas?). These guys are now appearing daily.
> Thanks, Ken, WB9YCJ/6

Tape 'em and send the tape to Riley.

From 7057952014206105570
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 08:38:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 16:36:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9763if$oj4$0@208.206.142.76>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>
<3A95FC6A.4AF37F8C@IEEE.org> <3A966534.4A1F372A@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.76
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17027

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >

> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > T. L. Bryant wrote:
> > > > Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
> > > > intention of ever owning or riding one?
> > >
> > > Nope. It has not made obtaining a motorcycle endorsement any more
> > > mandatory than an amateur radio license.
> >
> > You have completely missed the point so far, Dave. If one is to operate
> > a motorcycle legally it is mandatory that one take a motorcycle test, i.e.
> > one is forced (required by circumstances) to take a motorcycle test in
> > order to avoid illegal operation.
>
> ...and *if* a frog had wings he wouldn't bump his ass when he jumped.
> *If* we had some meat, we could make some sandwiches....*if* we had some
> bread.

By Cecil's definition that frog is FORCED to grow wings IF he doesn't
want to bump his ass, and by gard you're FORCED to go to the store IF
you want to eat.

From -2590178101668813655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 08:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 08:38:15 -0800
Organization: RST Engineering
Message-ID: <cc4d9t4ef62sub1e5t7sp6joahlm3lg9ul@4ax.com>
Reply-To: jim@rst-engr.com
References: <9728ib$9n7$1@208.206.142.93> <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-mo1.aol.com> <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104> <3A95DF18.34DF107E@IEEE.org> <974pb8$6vq$4@208.206.142.104> <3A95F447.36021D10@IEEE.org> <3A967926.1C7A246C@toast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 17
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17028

John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->The first overtone is the second harmonic. Both the fundamental and all
->the harmonics are partial tones (at least in audio work). I've never
->heard the term 'first harmonic' before, althought the meaning is
->unambiguous.

I respectfully disagree. When I operate a crystal on third overtone, I'm
operating on the third resonance of the fundamental, or the third harmonic.
That is, a crystal operated at 30 MHz. on the third overtone is operating a
fundamental 10 MHz. crystal with the plate buckling three times instead of once
per cycle.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.   WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

From -2073162434697827981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 08:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 16:39:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9763nf$oj4$1@208.206.142.76>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com> <3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net> <973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu> <3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A95F737.7B7BC45E@ovis.net> <3A95FBB5.1342F5CE@IEEE.org> <3A9664E4.39420E92@ovis.net> <3A9669ED.B81EB112@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.76
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17029

Cecil wrote:
>

> People who consider themselves so expert that they don't ever have to
> open a dictionary often get caught with their pants down.

Not nearly so often as those who insist on twisting definitions to suit their own purposes and get caught at it.

From 5949153379417713337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 08:49:27 PST
Message-ID: <3A96923B.252EE5AF@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 10:39:23 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net>
<3A95F6E5.E5233886@IEEE.org> <9762ci$mc9$0@208.206.142.76>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982947137 64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 10:52:17 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17030

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Cecil, ever Mister Twister the wordmeister, insists on replacing the

> proper word which is "required" with the word of quite different
> meaning, "required".

Ok, Dick, have it your way. "Required" does not mean "required".
(Somebody get the net)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5126987505474616141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 08:52:13 PST
Message-ID: <3A96929E.CD30427@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 10:41:02 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A95F737.7B7BC45E@ovis.net>
<3A95FBB5.1342F5CE@IEEE.org> <3A9664E4.39420E92@ovis.net>
<3A9669ED.B81EB112@IEEE.org> <9763nf$oj4$1@208.206.142.76>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982947236 64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 10:53:56 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17031

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > People who consider themselves so expert that they don't ever have to
> > open a dictionary often get caught with their pants down.
>
> Not nearly so often as those who insist on twisting definitions to suit
> their own purposes and get caught at it.

The definition I used is the definition directly from the dictionary.
That it chaps your a$$ is irrelevant.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6058314688527893831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 08:54:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Rich@iwantnospam.org (Rich)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100> <970ktq$om6@r02n01.cac.psu.edu> <970moo$qph$1@dagobah.blueriver.net> <t9clu91gv5ek66@corp.supernews.com>
Reply-To: Rich@iwantnospam.org
Message-ID: <slrn99d507.q55.Rich@zippy.aa2ys.ampr.org>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.5.7 (UNIX)
Lines: 29
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 16:50:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.24.60.56
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rochester.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 982947006 24.24.60.56 (Fri, 23 Feb 2001 11:50:06 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 11:50:06 EST
Organization: Time Warner Road Runner - Rochester NY
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17032

rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2195

On Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:31:07 -0500, J. Duffy Beischel <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote:
>I agree with John. I like getting cards in the mail and I like to see REAL
>QSL cards that have some creativity. Some of the DX cards have beautiful
>pictures of their homelands, station, etc. Yes, they may cost us more
>(greenstamps to the DX stations) but there is a great thrill in getting
>overseas mail with the QSL.
>
>Also, I think the ARRL should sponsor an award(s) the promotes real QSLing
>beyond what we have today with WAS, WAC, and DXCC - both stateside and
>international.

I suspect that some of the reported decline is QSLing, besides the cost,
is simply that for younger people, there is no longer anything particularly
exotic about communicating with people in far-off places. The world
has turned into such a small place that there is nothing special about
seeing images, or getting things from the other side of the planet.

For example, my enjoyment of radio comes more from playing with the
technology, and not really from "Hey! I just talked with a guy living
in Denmark!" I suspect I'm not alone in that.

- Rich

--
Catapultam habeo. Nisi pecuniam omnem mihi dabis, ad caput tuum saxum
immane mittam.

From 1591014536514824100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 08:56:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A96938B.87FC1DCD@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 10:44:59 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>

<3A95FC6A.4AF37F8C@IEEE.org> <3A966534.4A1F372A@ovis.net>
<9763if$oj4$0@208.206.142.76>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982947476
64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 10:57:56 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17033

Dick Carroll wrote:
> By Cecil's definition ... you're FORCED to go to the store IF
> you want to eat.

It's not *my* definition, Dick. It is the definition of a word
in the English language directly from the dictionary. Ignoring
other solutions to your hunger problem, if you want to eat then
you are forced (required by circumstances) to go to the store.
That is a perfectly valid use of the word "forced". If you would
just open a dictionary once in awhile before opening your mouth,
you would not appear to be so ignorant.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5422207406525469806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 09:02:14 PST
Message-ID: <3A9694FB.E77FD4B4@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 10:51:07 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95F898.78BFE16C@IEEE.org> <9762n8$n9r$0@208.206.142.76>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982947844
64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 11:04:04 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!isdnet!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17034

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I suppose that everyone sees what you're doing, and of course you know
> exactly. Your conditional statement eliminates "forced" from possibility
> of being the appropriate word. The conditional statement makes it a
> choice, not a mandatory action, thus a "requirement" and not a forced
> action. The individual chooses whether or not to meet the stated
> requirement. It's his choice.

I didn't say a person had no choice so you are trying to erect another strawman. The Morse code requirement is backed by the force of the federal government. HF hams are forced (required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code exam. Non-HF hams are not forced to pass a Morse code exam. What is it about simple English that you do not understand?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8034626495826337340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 09:08:03 PST
Message-ID: <3A969635.FD8E9CA@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 10:56:21 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net> <3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net> <3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net> <3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <9762rh$n9r$1@208.206.142.76>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982948157 64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 11:09:17 -0600)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17035

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil.
> >
> > That is a false statement, Dave. If a ham is to obtain HF ham
> > privileges then he is forced to learn Morse code. That is a

```
> > true statement.
>
> It is not a true statemtment. If wants to obtain HF privileges he is
> REQUIRED to learn Morse code. No one was ever forced to learn if. Nor is
> he required -nor forced- to gain HF privileges.

Uhhhhh Dick, "required" is part of the definition of "forced". An HF
ham is certainly "required by circumstances", i.e. "forced", to learn
Morse code. If you don't like the definition of "forced", I suggest
that you take it up with Webster's. I will continue to use my Webster's
as the reference book for the words in the English language.

HF hams are forced (required by circumstances) to learn Morse code.
If they don't learn Morse code then they are not HF hams. What is it
about simple English language sentences that you don't understand?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7340314248353768314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 09:10:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A9696AE.43DCC5F6@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 10:58:22 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <9728ib$9n7$1@208.206.142.93> <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104> <3A95DF18.34DF107E@IEEE.org>
<974pb8$6vq$4@208.206.142.104> <3A95F447.36021D10@IEEE.org>
<976241$kgi$0@208.206.142.76>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982948277
64.240.47.79 (23 Feb 2001 11:11:17 -0600)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
```

```
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!teaser.fr!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!grolier!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!colt.net!ams-
newsfeed.speedport.net!newsfeed.speedport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17036

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Cecil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > > The FIRST harmonic is the fundamental frequency.
> > > >
> > > > What's the FIRST overtone?
> > >
> > > Overtone is not the same term as harmonic.
> >
> > I know that but you didn't answer my question.
>
>  Un-huh. And the man didn't say overtone, he said harmonic.

Do you know the difference or not?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7220825677907083172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 09:10:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 17:09:59 -0000
Organization: Shecora
```

Message-ID: <90517AA80darrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<#GJWuzMnAHA.269@cpmsnbbsa07>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 37
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17037
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2196

lak@radioland.net (lak) wrote in <#GJWuzMnAHA.269@cpmsnbbsa07>:

>I agree with Darryl on this, in fact my correspondance with the ARRL
>regarding E-QSL revealed they are looking into this method. What is
>needed is actually an organization rather than individual. Historically,
>individuals will eventually quit or pass-on thus leaving the system
>"dead-in-the-water".
....
>The cavet for the organization accepting this responsibility is they
>cannot require membership to access. They could charge a fee for
>registration maintenance to recover costs.

Sure they could. That is one of the great things about this
model. It isn't one enity that is responsible. The CA (Certification
Authority) is free to put any requirements they wish. What
the awards sponsers can not be allowed to do is unreasonably
restrict the use of a CA for validating public keys. This
could mean that your local radio club could and should become
a CA. They would have the burden of proof that they should
be consider a trusted CA. If a CA does become "untrusted"
their certificates would not be honored.

By using this model it creates a open market for CA and reduces
the chances that one enity will end of with control over
the whole thing. That is why I started this effort. To
prevent a single enity from becoming the master of eqsls.

I just created a reflect on yahoo groups. If you are interest
in this please join. thanks

Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
Unsubscribe: TrustedQSL-unsubscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com

Darryl WA1GON

From 7727035529851181698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 09:14:04 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.sttls1.wa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Keith <kewyatt@apexmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
User-Agent: Forte 1.8/32.548 {modified}
X-PGP-ID: 0x28E3ABE5  Print 329D 5AD2 0998 400F  9565 8635 C873 B393
Organization: Find Freeware @ http://strongsignals.com/
Reply-To: "Keith" <nospam@strongsignals.com>
Expires: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 11:42:11 GMT
Message-ID: <rn5d9t0t6b0rtargc8214aipuhreu0ddgo@news>
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09> <AYul6.1779$rL4.148796@bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 40
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 17:12:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.0.43.125
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 982948373 65.0.43.125 (Fri, 23 Feb 2001 09:12:53
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 09:12:53 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17038
```

On Fri, 23 Feb 2001 15:02:56 GMT, Gary McAdams
<AYul6.1779$rL4.148796@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>At any rate, I'm not going to simply concede the bands
>to the illegals. If we give up, where will it end? Will the
>next "company channel" be on 14.085, 7.085, 3.585?
>
>Any reasonable suggestions welcomed.
>
>Gary, WG7X

I posted this yesterday. If hams find a person that is using a Radio on Ham Frequencies while in the employment of another then the law can be used to prevent employees of companies from illegal use of frequencies. Let say that all the truckers from ABC company jumped on the Frequency of 460.500 across the US. Then they would be prevented from doing so by Federal law. Now the same law applies to ham frequencies. The way to deter this type of activity is to start finding out who is the employer and then with evidence contact the FCC. The FCC would then notifier the employer that there employee is breaking federal law while in their employment. Recordings, pictures and license plate numbers would help identify the employees that are violating federal law.

It is no different then if you see a trucker driving reckless. You call the police and tell them who, what and where and they issue the ticket. We are gathering evidence of criminal activity and turning it over to the FCC for enforcement proceedings.

I am positive if that enough employers are notified that their employees

```
are committing federal crimes they will put a stop to it. After all these
people need a paycheck.

--
Best Regards,

Keith         (Use Reply-to for email)
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
http://Find_Free_Software@Strongsignals.com/
"Where would Christianity be if Jesus got eight to fifteen years with
time off for good behavior?" NY State Senator James Donovan, speaking
in support of capital punishment.
----------------------------------------------------------------------------



From 5002642407468925579
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 10:16:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g97toc0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09> <3A96620D.64510920@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Lines: 17
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 18:15:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 982952123 206.58.44.46 (Fri, 23 Feb 2001 18:15:23
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 18:15:23 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17039

On Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:13:49 -0600, Cecil wrote:

>A Californian saying that Texas is "back east"? I would advise you not
>to set foot in Texas ever again. :-)

  A lot of Californians consider Colorado "back east", and I
  know a group of Ohioians (or is that "Ahiya-ins") for whom "out
  west" is Illinois and Kansas.
```

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 6215133534219683961
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 10:24:11 PST
From: "lak" <lak@radioland.net>
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:42:49 -0500
Lines: 46
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <#WHxtXZnAHA.338@cpmsnbbsa09>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.shortwave
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1Cust110.tnt13.det3.da.uu.net 63.27.241.110
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!cpmsnbbsb04!cpmsnbbsa09
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2197
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13053 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17040
rec.radio.shortwave:28249

Apparently Mr. Cotter Pin, you have never sent a DX QSL, they cost of "one Hellva" (using red neck vernacular) more than $0.34 to send. In fact it is now for just a basic DX QSL as follows:

sending envelope	$0.05
SASE	$3.05 (up to)
QSL	$0.15 (up to)
Total Cost	$3.25 (up to)

Now to qualify this "up to" amount, this card was sent to a German QSL manager. I would guess the average cost is around $2.00 per card, if an

American Ham is being nice and trying to pay their way.

What I cannot understand is this issue "pisses you off" so much. It just people putting ideas up for evaluation and process improvement. Personally the cheapest people in Ham Radio I have met are the ones who show up to Hamfests and want to give one $5.00 for a piece of equipment that really worth several hundred. These people usually are trying to buy low and then in turn sell high at another Hamfest. This does not have one damn thang (using neck vernacular again) with the cost of QSLing.

I dont know what exactly your problem is but dont take it out on the rest of us.

lak

"cotter pins" <thisiscream@aol.com> wrote in message
news:tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Now let me get this straight Darryl....
>
> We now need a system of "E-QSL's" because (really) hams are
> too stinking *cheap* to spend 34 cents postage to put their QSL
> in a envelope..??
>
> This is the root cause (above) which is REALLY driving this issue!
> Is it no? Be honest now. What a bunch of tightwads you
> hams are. When's the last time GW saw sunlight opening up your moldy
> wallets? And I thought all these guys were tighter than a crab's asshole
> at hamfests - hoy.
>

From -7371008572116066352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 10:26:01 PST
From: "lak" <lak@radioland.net>
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3A9562D8.760DD83A@shecora.com> <973rth$hgo$1@news.netmar.com>
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 07:50:42 -0500
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600

```
Message-ID: <eN4qHcZnAHA.314@cpmsnbbsa09>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1cust110.tnt13.det3.da.uu.net 63.27.241.110
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!cpmsnbbsb04!cpmsnbbsa09
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17041


<K0HB@qwest.net> wrote in message news:973rth$hgo$1@news.netmar.com...
> In article <3A9562D8.760DD83A@shecora.com>, Darryl Wagoner
> <dwagoner@shecora.com> writes:

Stuff deleted before and after this......

> There are four reasons (at least) why this idea will not be widely
adopted.
>
> #1)  Traditionalists (like me) who place high value on paper QSLs will not
> participate in an eQSL scheme.
>
> #2)  Most major DXpeditions derive much of their funding from donations
> received in the QSL process.  They are unlikely to participate in scheme
> which diminishes that funding channel.
>
right out of the box.
>
> 73, Pariah, K0HB



#1) No one says traditional cards would go away.

#2) If DXpeditions have this monetary need why dont they accept "Plastic
Money" donation via secure transactions on the internet or dial-in. (May be
this is why even after sending SASEs they still wont send their cards, man
gotta remember the basic financial law Money Talks BS Walks).


lak




From 3634196581128797639
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 10:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 183
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Feb 2001 18:39:49 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010223133949.01278.00000514@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17043

Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Date: Tue, 20 Feb 2001 13:21:24 -0500

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> No nation is "REQUIRED" to obey international agreements but it is considered good relations to abide by them. The International Telecommunications Union has a number of different radio services to consider. Amateur radio is not a significant part of the total radio picture nor does it matter in the real world of radio whether or not amateurs perform a service (non-regulatory term) to anyone but themselves.

>BUT!~ The AGREEMENTS STILL require morse. PERIOD!

No. The only thing in S25 (amateur radio standards) of the ITU-R is S25.5 which specifies that amateur radio license testing for any license having below-30-MHz-privileges is manual international morse code skill ("sending by hand and receiving by ear"). A singular standard, not any sort of plural agreements.

Each and every ITU standard and recommendation is always subject to review, study, and voting by ITU member nation administrations. Resolutions obtained by such voting are carried through by each member nation administration as Good Faith. There is no specific "law" which requires strict obedience to such agreements nor is there any "enforcement" agency to prosecute those who do not abide by agreements.

>And the US has
>signed and, in so doing AGREED, to abide by that agreement.

The administration of the USA (Department of State, FCC, and NTIA) voted on S25, the entire set of ITU-R amateur radio standards. They did not "sign" some specific agreements on amateur radio but rather accepted the ENTIRE set of standards and resolutions of the ITU, not just ITU-R but ITU-T, the "telephone" part of international communications in regards to tariffs, connections, standards for signalling, etc.

>What part of this is so hard for you to understand?

Only those documents of the ITU which are not in English.

>And, since such agreements
>have been around for so many years, AND the US has abided by said agree-
>ment(s) in the past, it IS reasonable to assume we will abide by the
>current one until it expires or is replaced.

You may wish to review the radio laws of the nation of Japan. They are a member nation of the ITU and they have a license class which does permit amateur radio license granting below 30 MHz which does not require a code test.

I have no problems with agreements. I have no problem with recognizing that agreements are NOT ABSOLUTE LAW. They are simply statements of Good Faith by government administrations. It is considered good practice to abide by agreements, but those agreements are not absolutes nor are they "enforced" by any supra-law enforcement organization (there is none).

>We KNOW changes are in the
>works, It will remain until 2003 to see what these changes are...at
>which time, we can agree to it or not.

I know only that some nation administrations have stated they will seek to change S25.5 at some time. That is public record, but is "known" only by those who get such information. For that matter, RS 8 (Radio Study group 8) of the ITU-R will take up S25, is taking up S25, as a whole but what is not yet public is the particular part of S25 which will be studied for change. Such studies are done before a WRC.

Actions of the future are generally indeterminate. There is no evidence than any human being has any power to "look into the future" to know exactly what will happen. At best, one can only presume certain trends in activities to carry on into the near future based on near-past events and conditions.

>All this ballyhoo about Amateur Radio's status as a Service, hobby, or
>whatever, is achieving nothing. It's like sitting in your car which is
>on jack stands. Your engine is running, your speedo is indicting 45 MPH
>and you are going nowhere.

Strange analogy that goes nowhere.

>It is a FACT, Lennie, that Amateur Radio HAS
>rendered service to the community, state, and Nation.

Is US amateur radio defined principally on its service (non-regulatory term)? Is it a (non-regulatory term) service or is it just one of many radio services of US civil radio? It is the only one which is defined specifically as a radio activity having non-pecuniary interests (that defining "amateur").

The discussion seems to concern itself on the word "service." You

have to differentiate between a type and kind of radio defined in
Title 47 CFR ("radio services"), a military service, or some public
service rendered to the nation and citizens by its citizens.

>It is ALSO a fact that it is a HOBBY. Some hobbiests like to
>render public service when they can.

Part 97, Title 47 CFR, does not specifically state that amateur
radio is a public service to the nation...it is a radio activity engaged
in by individuals for non-pecuniary interests. The word "service" as
in "radio service" used throughout Title 47 is a regulatory term
denoting type and kind of radio regulated.

Individuals in amateur radio, just like individual citizens in the
nation, can always be of (non-regulatory term) service. That is
highly commendable. What is not of commendation is the
self-enoblement of individuals who are NOT of (non-regulatory
term) of service but use the panoply and patriotic bunting to
label themselves as being of (non-regulatory term) of service.

>I would like you to tell the local county ES officer that Amateur Radio
>wasn't a service during Hurricane Hugo. You have earthquakes, we *some-
>times* have hurricanes. During the floods in the Mississippi Valley, you
>are trying to tell me that Amateur Radio WASN'T involved? HORSE hockey!

You seem to be very, very sensitive to self-perceived negativisms.
Such is your particular problem, not mine. I mentioned nothing of
hurricanes or floods. The original posting of this thread was an
emotional tirade of an extra class radio amateur berating all other
radio amateurs for not doing enough for emergencies, such as
recently happened in the nations of India and Pakistan. I replied
with public information on earthquakes that already happened over
7 years ago in the state of California. I also gave a website for the
California OES and Auxilliary Communications Service (ACS).
I asked a question of "where were the radio amateurs during the
Northridge Earthquake of 1994?" Only one radio amateur in this
newsgroup gave a specific-detail source of where those amateurs
were...a few others seemed to explode with "outrage" even
though none of them had participated in that earthquake. None
of those listed any specifics in public view, only made generalities
and self-serving "patriotic" statements.

Now go back to the original posting under this thread and judge for
yourself just who is berating who.

>I asked this once before and I now ask it again: Can we agree that
>Amateur Radio is a R-E-S-O-U-R-C-E which has potential for assistance
>in local, state, and international disasters? Can we call it a
>"RESOURCE" and let it go at that?

US amateur radio is already defined by regulation. What you seem
to be seeking is self-glorification and enoblement courtesy of a
federally-issued amateur radio license. US amateur radio is

basically a hobby and those engaging in that radio activity not for pecuniary interest are hobbyists.

>Lastly, IF, in your ever-so-knowledgable opinion, Amateur Radio is JUST
>a "hobby", why does FCC designate certain HF frequencies as EMERGENCY
>frequencies during disasters? Hmmmmm? This CAN be documented by contact
>ing FCC (1-800-CALL FCC) and ASKING them.

All US allocated emergency frequencies are given in Part 2, Title 47 CFR. That and all other Parts of Title 47 (to about October 1998) are free for download from the FCC website (under OET page documents) or the US Government Printing Office website. Printed copies of US law or regulations may be obtained from USGPO branch offices in over a dozen major cities or by mail order, but those cost money. Public-cleared military doctuments may also be obtained from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS). NTIS offers over 14,000 Army and similar publications for sale. I recommend NTIS TM 10-412INQ for large groups with appetites ($79 to US postal addresses). Others may have different tastes.

Under certain conditions the FCC will request certain frequencies (not just HF) in amateur radio bands be used for certain emergency conditions. That is NOT under discussion, was never intended to be under any discussion. Your question in that regard seems to serve nothing but an attempt at misdirection.

Now you go back to the first post in this thread and judge who was berating who when the USA has already had much experience with earthquakes and other natural disasters. Hint: It was done by someone who is an example of a licensee who holds the "highest" class lincense in US amateur radio.

From -6132611648170916800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 10:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 93
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Feb 2001 18:39:51 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010223133951.01278.00000515@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17042

Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Date: Wed, 21 Feb 2001 18:33:11 -0500

Mr. "Last Word" Lennie,

>Here is a question. Have you ever heard of Military Affiliate Radio
>System (MARS)?

Yes, ever since 1952. Between 1953 and 1955 I was one of the operators of a transmitter at Army radio station ADA which had 3rd priority use for MARS single-channel RTTY. The MARS "station" for the US Far East Headquarters was an office at the Pershing Heights main building. Receivers were at the remote Receiver Site shared by USA and USAF NW of Tokyo. [ADA had 36 to 40 transmitters serving the Far East Command]

>Who makes up the majority of the member stations of this system?

5000 members who are over 17 and hold valid US amateur radio licenses *PLUS* the sponsoring agencies of the U.S. Army, U.S. Air Force, and the U.S. Navy and their personnel. The Military Affiliate Radio System is sponsored by the Department of Defense and is the overall command agency of MARS activities.

>I'll fill you in, ole "Last Word" Lennie. It is HAMS.

See the acronym words: MILITARY Affilicate Radio System. The three principal military branches do their own daily command and control for their respective MARS functions. More on this can be seen on -

http://www.asc.army.mil/mars/ (Ft.Huachuca, AZ)

http://public.afcp.scott.af.mil/public/mars1.html (Scott AFB, IL)

http://navymars.org/ (USN, USMC, USCG)

>They pass traf-
>fic from overseas personnel, provide phone patches for service people
>and their families, and deliver messages and greetings.

Licensed radio amateurs can assist MARS in such public service roles. 5000 do so. However, MARS is essentially a secondary backup radio system to existing military branch radio communications plus existing to provide emergency communications in the USA and territories. Unlike non-member radio amateurs, MARS can utilize spectra other than the allocated amateur bands since MARS exists under the DoD.

>They do this
>on a volunteer basis. During Desert Storm, I myself passed a number of

>messages from local servicemen/women here serving there to their
>families here at home.

That is commendable.

Since the Gulf War of 1990-1991, Internet connections have proliferated in all branches of the US military. It is now possible for most members of the US military to communicate directly with family and friends using Internet facilities.

>NOW. I want you to make more of a fool of your-
>self by telling me that hams do NOT provide service to our Nation and
>its citizens.

Why do you want others to make fools of themselves? Is that the only way you can "win" message arguments?

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <20010218182452.17624.00001497@ng-cm1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>>(Steve Robeson) writes:
>>
>> >   Here's MORE of the "foolish" part of Lennie. Keeps repeating over and
>> >over tha same "rank etc" silliness.
>>
>> >   No. It's the truth. You ARE a fool...although after giving it some
>> >deep consideration, I am probably wrong about you "acting" the fool.
>>
>> >   Things are only "general" or "unspecific" when they unravel your
rants,
>> >Lennie. Which is pretty often.
>>
>> Gratuitous name-calling doesn't make Robeson's point.
>> Robeson's words here are an example of amateur radio's highest
>> class.

How does an example of amateur radio's highest class equate to "foolishness" about the Military Affiliate Radio System? How does repetition of an example of amateur radio's highest class using personal insults serve any point in Oxendine's message?

From 6496660485673878792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 10:46:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Pride
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Feb 2001 18:42:08 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <976au0$30d$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>
<3A95FC6A.4AF37F8C@IEEE.org> <3A966534.4A1F372A@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 982953728 3085 205.139.138.14 (23 Feb 2001 18:42:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Feb 2001 18:42:08 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: k8mn@ovis.net
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17046

In article <3A966534.4A1F372A@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>Cecil wrote:

>....and *if* a frog had wings he wouldn't bump his ass when he jumped.
>*If* we had some meat, we could make some sandwiches....*if* we had some
>bread.

You missed one....   *if* my aunt had balls she'd be my uncle.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

---

From 7368858106330769301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 10:46:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Feb 2001 18:39:15 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <976aoj$2n5$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 982953555 2789 205.139.138.14 (23 Feb 2001 18:39:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Feb 2001 18:39:15 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17045

In article <3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil.
>
>That is a false statement, Dave. If a ham is to obtain HF ham
>privileges then he is forced to learn Morse code. That is a
>true statement.

Dave's statement is absolutely true. You're adding the if/then qualifiers, which may also make your statement true, but Dave's statement has no such qualifiers and is also absolutely true.

And I thought you had a logical mind -- silly me!!!!!!

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----

http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 8854134290357852558
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 10:46:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Feb 2001 18:32:27 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <976abr$21p$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 982953147 2105 205.139.138.14 (23 Feb 2001 18:32:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Feb 2001 18:32:27 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: kenjd@email.msn.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17044

In article <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09>, Ken Diaz <kenjd@email.msn.com>
writes:

>Truckers from "back east" are S-7 to S-9 here in Southern California. Hope
>Reilly knows about this. This posting is so we can assist in trying to
>locate these guys (Texas?). These guys are now appearing daily.
>Thanks, Ken, WB9YCJ/6

Put a 10M receiver in your car -- find them -- read the company name off the door of the 18-wheeler -- send it to the FCC.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From 7958218343103929604
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 10:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 10:50:14 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A96B0E6.B3576312@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17047



Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > > Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
> > > intention of ever owning or riding one?
> >
> > Probably not.  Not doubt they are more firm about requiring a motorcycle
> > test if you want a motorcycle license however.
>
> Why can't the Morse code requirement be handled the same way?

It kinda already is handled that way.  The license that permits you to
operate Morse on HF requires you to know some Morse code.

73, Jim AC6XG


From -3317009731449390907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 10:52:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Feb 2001 18:50:26 GMT
References: <3a963b5e.40103093@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010223135026.06490.00000163@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17048

In article <3a963b5e.40103093@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
writes:

>>Same name and address as the FBI agent arrested for spying.
>>
>. . . just the kinda PR we *don't* need . .
>>
>>Jon
>>
>w3rv

Posting a second time within 9 hours doesn't make it go away.

I downloaded Jon's link to the Hanssen Affidavit and found the
112 pages rather fascinating. Thank you, Jon.

Nowhere does the document mention any amateur radio
license call sign. Why are you disturbed?

From -6023058071576288436
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 11:02:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 10:59:47 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-

```
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17049



Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil.
> >
> > That is a false statement, Dave. If a ham is to obtain HF ham
> > privileges then he is forced to learn Morse code. That is a
> > true statement.
>
> Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil.  I am forced to endure your
> obtuse nature.  What?  I don't have to put up with it?  I have options?
> I guess I'm not forced after all.

Hey Dave, I've no doubt that you've forced yourself to endure in this
debate.  I think that's what may the applicable use of the word 'force'
here.  I forced myself to learn code.  I wanted to, but I nevertheless
met the conditions of Cecils definition of force.  I had to make myself
sit down and practice instead of sitting down and watching TV.

73, Jim AC6XG



From -2905633074584046985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 11:02:19 PST
Message-ID: <3A96B152.947FE8C9@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 12:52:02 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
```

References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A96B0E6.B3576312@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.64
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982955097 64.240.47.64 (23 Feb 2001 13:04:57 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17050

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Why can't the Morse code requirement be handled the same way?
>
> It kinda already is handled that way. The license that permits you to
> operate Morse on HF requires you to know some Morse code.

And kinda not handled that way. The license that permits you to
operate SSB on HF requires you to know some Morse code.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3810321946435194198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 11:05:16 PST

Message-ID: <3A96B215.76D5725@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 12:55:17 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net> <3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net> <3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net> <3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <976aoj$2n5$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.64
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982955293 64.240.47.64 (23 Feb 2001 13:08:13 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17051

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:
>
> In article <3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil.
> >
> >That is a false statement, Dave. If a ham is to obtain HF ham
> >privileges then he is forced to learn Morse code. That is a
> >true statement.
>
> Dave's statement is absolutely true.

Nope it's not, Hams. HF hams *are* forced (required by circumstances)
to learn Morse code. Why do so many of you guys have reading problems?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4152090277623385682
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 11:08:08 PST
Message-ID: <3A96B2B3.FD8DFCE1@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 12:57:55 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.64
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982955450
64.240.47.64 (23 Feb 2001 13:10:50 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17052
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Hey Dave, I've no doubt that you've forced yourself to endure in this
> debate. I think that's what may the applicable use of the word 'force'
> here. I forced myself to learn code. I wanted to, but I nevertheless

> met the conditions of Cecils definition of force. I had to make myself
> sit down and practice instead of sitting down and watching TV.

You were "required by circumstances" to learn Morse code. That's
the definition of being "forced" to do it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7634798839299591653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 11:22:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 11:17:16 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3A96B73C.44B72D80@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3a95e777.5470938@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17053

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Thu, 22 Feb 2001 16:31:31 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
>
> >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >> Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no

```
> >> intention of ever owning or riding one?
> >
> >Probably not.  Not doubt they are more firm about requiring a motorcycle
> >test if you want a motorcycle license however.

> Does your state require a license endorsement to drive a
> manual gearbox vehicle even though you take and pass
> the test using a car with an automatic transmission?

I think we were discussing motorcycles.

So I find myself lead by this inescapable logic to the conclusion that
since carbuerator repair isn't required for a drivers license, Morse
code shouldn't be required for any other license. :-)

ac6xg



From -4771554470393514677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 11:32:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 11:30:27 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A96BA53.8070DB3A@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu> <3A96B2B3.FD8DFCE1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17054



Cecil wrote:
>
```

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Hey Dave, I've no doubt that you've forced yourself to endure in this
> > debate. I think that's what may the applicable use of the word 'force'
> > here. I forced myself to learn code. I wanted to, but I nevertheless
> > met the conditions of Cecils definition of force. I had to make myself
> > sit down and practice instead of sitting down and watching TV.

> You were "required by circumstances" to learn Morse code. That's
> the definition of being "forced" to do it.

Not the definition I was referring to, but also true.

ac6xg

```
From 7328932249551795056
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 11:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 11:32:22 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A96BAC6.5E753316@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A96B0E6.B3576312@uci.edu>
<3A96B152.947FE8C9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17055
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >

> > Cecil wrote:
> > > Why can't the Morse code requirement be handled the same way?
> >
> > It kinda already is handled that way. The license that permits you to
> > operate Morse on HF requires you to know some Morse code.
>
> And kinda not handled that way. The license that permits you to
> operate SSB on HF requires you to know some Morse code.

One is forced to meet ALL of the requirements, because only one type of
license is offered.

ac6xg

From 77118553258954105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 11:46:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Feb 2001 19:41:42 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <976edm$9b4$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09> <AYul6.1779$rL4.148796@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 982957302 9572 205.139.138.14 (23 Feb 2001 19:41:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Feb 2001 19:41:42 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: g.m.mcadams@worldnet.att.net
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17056

In article <AYul6.1779$rL4.148796@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, Gary
McAdams <g.m.mcadams@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>
>Any reasonable suggestions welcomed.
>

Send your reports, with as much detail as possible, to:

HAMBAND-INTRUDERS@YAHOOGROUPS.COM

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 658286930528034408
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 12:52:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e3500-chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A96CD90.86C5AC96@berkshire.net>
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@berkshire.net>
X-Sender: "David Robbins" <@mail.berkshire.net> (Unverified)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-gatewaynet (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09> <AYul6.1779$rL4.148796@bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <976edm$9b4$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 15:50:39 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 20:52:32 +0000
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17057

are you going to create that group and do anything with the reports, or is it
just a bit bucket??? i don't see it in the group list now.

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:
>
> In article <AYul6.1779$rL4.148796@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, Gary
> McAdams <g.m.mcadams@worldnet.att.net> writes:

```
>
> >
> >Any reasonable suggestions welcomed.
> >
>
> Send your reports, with as much detail as possible, to:
>
>         HAMBAND-INTRUDERS@YAHOOGROUPS.COM
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>  -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
>   http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
>    NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

--
David Robbins K1TTT
e-mail: mailto://k1ttt@berkshire.net
web: http://www.berkshire.net/~robbins/k1ttt.html or http://www.k1ttt.net
AR-Cluster node: 145.69MHz or telnet://k1ttt.net
```

```
From -7805468933344990270
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 13:20:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 20:28:49 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-944.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17058
```

```
In article <3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>
>> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>> > Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
>> > intention of ever owning or riding one?
>>
>> Probably not.  Not doubt they are more firm about requiring a motorcycle
>> test if you want a motorcycle license however.
>
>Why can't the Morse code requirement be handled the same way?


I would have no problem with that, but the pro-code group might think there's
still a reason to know it if you want to use HF phone. I've yet to see any good
reason.




From -2400388526556684300
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 13:20:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 20:22:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <976gq9029gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <3A941FE5.2A0DCA8D@uci.edu>
<971b6g31sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A944744.C5A07091@uci.edu>
<971po402m88@enews2.newsguy.com> <972088$84g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<973s3k0sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <974jg7$l6u$1@208.206.142.104>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-899.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17059

In article <974jg7$l6u$1@208.206.142.104>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
```

```
>>
>>
>> Like an umpire, I call 'em as I see 'em.
>
>
>Be glad yer not. The player's wud toss you outta the game.

You only wish. Players and managers don't have that authority.



From -5354448441204867373
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 13:20:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!216.218.192.242!
news.he.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 20:33:54 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <976hfi229gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <971u7t$7bk$1@208.207.71.169>
<973son1sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <974oll$6vq$1@208.206.142.104>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-981.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17060

In article <974oll$6vq$1@208.206.142.104>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>> Gee, TL I'm sure sorry you couldn't "force" yourself to do it. Maybe you
>> >should exercise a little better control over yourself?
>>
>> If that's intended to mean that I haven't passed any amateur licensing
exams,
>I
>> suggest you learn how to use an archive service, such as deja.com.
>
>
> I'm not concerned about you, TL. You is what you is. And if you walk
```

>talk and squawk like a .... then one must assume that is what you
>are.

It's strange that you should make such an insinuation if you're not concerned
about me.

```
From 4402776673197453548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 13:20:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
cyclone-sf.pbi.net!165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 20:38:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <976hoi329gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3a95e777.5470938@news.mindspring.com> <3A96B73C.44B72D80@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-019.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17061
```

In article <3A96B73C.44B72D80@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...

>> Does your state require a license endorsement to drive a
>> manual gearbox vehicle even though you take and pass
>> the test using a car with an automatic transmission?
>
>I think we were discussing motorcycles.
>
>So I find myself lead by this inescapable logic to the conclusion that
>since carbuerator repair isn't required for a drivers license, Morse
>code shouldn't be required for any other license. :-)
>
>ac6xg

..and here we have a perfect example someone trying to be "cute" when they can't come up with a decent rebuttle.

From -485440102826514163
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b66ff350d7455e50,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 13:29:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!intgwpad.nntp.telstra.net!
newsfeeds.bigpond.com!not-for-mail
From: "Pete" <petejen@hotmail.net.au>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: this is a test
Lines: 3
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Message-ID: <LqAl6.1883$v5.6802@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 08:28:55 +1100
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.54.68.129
X-Trace: newsfeeds.bigpond.com 982962987 203.54.68.129 (Sat, 24 Feb 2001 08:16:27 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 08:16:27 EST
Organization: Telstra BigPond Internet Services (http://www.bigpond.com)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17062

Hi guys..new at this..so just testing to see if it works

From 1095086361867153302
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 13:50:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 21:09:21 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <976ji1729gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>

```
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>
<3A95FC6A.4AF37F8C@IEEE.org> <3A966534.4A1F372A@ovis.net>
<976au0$30d$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-232.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17063

In article <976au0$30d$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org says...
>
>In article <3A966534.4A1F372A@ovis.net>, Dave Heil  <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>>Cecil wrote:
>
>
>>....and *if* a frog had wings he wouldn't bump his ass when he jumped.
>>*If* we had some meat, we could make some sandwiches....*if* we had some
>>bread.
>
>You missed one....   *if* my aunt had balls she'd be my uncle.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB


Freud's assertations are once again proven.




From 5337635730020301193
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 13:50:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 20:52:19 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <976ii3429gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A94A29B.6A9C8F35@ovis.net>
<973vak5sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F547.BF1FEA3A@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-116.newsdawg.com
```

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17064
```

In article <3A95F547.BF1FEA3A@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...

```
>> >Please don't start that "hazing ritual" crap again.  The same penalty is
>> >imposed if someone blows the  almighty written exam: no license.
>> >Don't you just hate that written "hazing ritual"?
>> >
>> >Dave K8MN
>>
>> Go back and read the part where I talked about criteria having relevance,
and
>> stop twisting my words to suit your own bias.
>
>Your words weren't twisted.  They were quoted.  Your own bias is
>evident.  How is it any more valueable than mine?
>
>Dave K8MN
```

Then you should read what you quote. I mentioned criteria having relevance. You then make a comment about "written hazing ritual" when you could read for yourself that was not what I meant. I see that as twisting my words. As for our respective "biases", it's obvious that you have a pre-conceived conclusion as to how things ought to be, and will only allow facts that suport that conclusion, excluding all others which may disprove it.

```
From -4765900667273832227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 13:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 13:47:28 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3A96DA70.F59CA7F5@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3a95e777.5470938@news.mindspring.com> <3A96B73C.44B72D80@uci.edu>
```

```
<976hoi329gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17065

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <3A96B73C.44B72D80@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>
> >> Does your state require a license endorsement to drive a
> >> manual gearbox vehicle even though you take and pass
> >> the test using a car with an automatic transmission?
> >
> >I think we were discussing motorcycles.
> >
> >So I find myself lead by this inescapable logic to the conclusion that
> >since carbuerator repair isn't required for a drivers license, Morse
> >code shouldn't be required for any other license. :-)
> >
> >ac6xg

> ..and here we have a perfect example someone trying to be "cute" when they
> can't come up with a decent rebuttle.

So apparently you think that citing carburator repair rather than manual
gearbox testing makes a big difference in Mr. Sohls proof about Morse
code and amateur radio licenses?  I guess I shouldn't be surprised.

ac6xg


From 8679222685348987510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 13:52:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 21:06:40 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <976jd0629gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
```

<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-213.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17067

In article <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...

>> Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
>> intention of ever owning or riding one?
>
>Nope. It has not made obtaining a motorcycle endorsement any more
>mandatory than an amateur radio license.
>
>Dave K8MN

You just don't get it, do you? No one said that it was mandatory to obtain an
amateur license. The subject is the relevance of certain test requirements to
obtain a license. Why is it so hard for you to stay on that?

From -9184899513043211960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 13:52:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 21:01:15 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <976j2r529gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <96ubkc$79v$1@news.netmar.com>
<88569tcarc4em44kf1vq086r7gjrtr28b9@4ax.com> <3A93C356.4E5DA629@ovis.net>
<970rc10u0e@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A949DED.97503BF6@ovis.net>
<973uoc4sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F4ED.260770B0@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-179.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17066

In article <3A95F4ED.260770B0@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
>

>> But since you want examples that are a little more up to date, I might also
>> point out that there is nothing on a driver's license test that requires you
t
>o
>> know how to drive a standard shift vehicle in order to obtain a standard
>> driver's license. This is a matter of choice.
>
>There's no practical or written exam required for a marriage license
>either.

...other than the fact that you have to swear that you don't have any STDs.

>> All that matters to you and certain others in this newsgroup, is that you'd
>> rather argue semantics over the usage of the word "forced" in a sentence,
than
>> the reasons why high-speed code testing should have been retained for access
t
>o
>> HF phone portions.
>
>How the hell do you know what matters to me? I don't consider 5 wpm to
>be high speed morse. Are you now telling us that you consider it to be?
>
>
>Dave K8MN

Please point out *specifically*, in the quoted material above, where I said
anything about 5 WPM being high speed. You've got a serious comprehension
problem.

```
From -6515925233015806428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 14:02:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 13:51:29 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
```

<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17068

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
> >
> >Jim Kelley wrote:
> >>
> >> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >> > Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
> >> > intention of ever owning or riding one?
> >>
> >> Probably not. Not doubt they are more firm about requiring a motorcycle
> >> test if you want a motorcycle license however.
> >
> >Why can't the Morse code requirement be handled the same way?

> I would have no problem with that, but the pro-code group might think there's
> still a reason to know it if you want to use HF phone. I've yet to see any good
> reason.

The point is that what someone is going to do after their license is
granted is irrelevant. The requirements are based upon the privileges
which are granted by the license, not the desires of the applicant.

73, ac6xg

From -994962609828206551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,5868104fc9089d9d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 14:21:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!stl-
feed.news.verio.net!mozo.cc.purdue.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Walton Hackman" <Walton205@hotmail.com>

Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: How do I cancel my League membership?
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 17:10:08 -0500
Organization: Hatfield Water
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <976n41$a1d$1@mozo.cc.purdue.edu>
References: <goodbye@goodbye> <3a944c65_2@news2.cwnet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-024-e.resnet.purdue.edu
X-Trace: mozo.cc.purdue.edu 982966209 10285 128.211.161.118 (23 Feb 2001 22:10:09 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@news.purdue.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 22:10:09 +0000 (UTC)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18112
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9907 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13064
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17069 rec.radio.shortwave:28266

Write to the ARRL and tell them you are willing to make a deal. Offer them
$100 if they will remove your name from the membership rolls.
Walton, W3NYT
==============
"smiley o'riley" <bummer-radio@licensing.org> wrote in message
news:goodbye@goodbye...
>
> I find I can no longer support the ARRL by being a member. What's the best
> way to cancel a membership?
>
> TNX 73 de Smiley

From 4459833447152044500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 14:40:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A96E5B0.4610718A@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 22:35:28 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>

<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net>
<3A95F6E5.E5233886@IEEE.org> <3A9661D4.BD6A4189@ovis.net>
<3A96648C.18C1678@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 17:31:06 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 22
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17071

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote: In order to
> > > obtain a driver's license, a driver is forced to take a driver's test.
> >
> > The sentence is properly constructed but it is inaccurate.
>
> You are wrong, Dave. That sentence is obviously accurate as any
> ordinary prudent man can see from reading it. The definition of
> "forced - required by circumstances" is directly out of "Webster's
> New Universal Unabridged Dictionary", Barnes & Noble, �1996.

Your writing that I am wrong does not make it so. What the hell does a
prudent man have to do with this? What happened to the original
definition you brought forth. Have you now abandoned it in favor of
this "johnny-come-lately" one you are now using?

You are a genuine homespun BSer, Cecil.

Dave K8MN

From -6653267230519661173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 14:40:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A96E4F8.414681F6@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 22:32:24 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org> <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net>
<3A951ACC.13DB3275@IEEE.org> <3A95EFC3.48A647F3@ovis.net>
<3A95F55E.2600EA2C@IEEE.org> <3A96606F.3C03F821@ovis.net>
<3A966355.68E00E3E@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 17:28:03 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 18
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17070

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > You selected a definition from your
> > dictionary. It was incorrect for the situation.
>
> That's your opinion, Dave. It is wrong. Your opinion and a buck
> fifty will get you a cup of coffee. I very carefully selected
> exactly the definition that matched the concept I wanted to
> convey. That it chapped your a$$ is irrelevant.

You mean you screwed up and chose the wrong definition and now are
forced to take your lumps. That it chafes you to be called on being
wrong doesn't bother me.

I often get free coffee for my opinion. You mean you don't?

Dave K8MN

From -1886429596017020579
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 14:46:03 PST
Message-ID: <3A96E7D2.3B7B5F2F@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 22:44:34 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride

References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com> <3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93> <3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <3A950BBB.70C44680@ovis.net> <3A951CF5.36AD78EF@IEEE.org> <3A95F15F.FE210F74@ovis.net> <3A95F786.3C6DF7B4@IEEE.org> <3A966229.7FF37997@ovis.net> <3A966726.C0ED458@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 17:40:13 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 44
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17072

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > What's wrong with "required by circumstances"?
> >
> > What happened? Did the one you originally chose *force* you to remove
> > the egg from your face?
>
> Nope, "Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary" gives the definition
> as "forced - done or produced with effort". That overlaps the
> definition of "forced - required by circumstances" which appears
> in my unabridged dictionary which I rarely open because it is so large.

It overlaps? How does that take place, pray tell. Did you have the
lighter book under the one which is too large (formerly heavy) to open?
Why didn't you introduce the second definition at the same time as the
first? Was it because you were beaten over the head with the first one?

> You don't have to be beaten with a stick to have force used against
> you. Rules, regulations, and laws are almost always backed up by the
> force of the issuing authority.

Yeah and your attitude is now *forced*-strained, unnatural or affected
(Random House College Dictionary).

> > Why the amendment (or are you attempting to use
> > the new one as an addendum)?
>
> Why the attempted diversion, Dave? Diversions are invariably employed
> by people who are losing the argument. Please stick to the subject.

> If a ham is to have legal HF ham privileges then he is forced
> (required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code exam. You do
> understand if/then statement logic, don't you?

There was no diversion. You simply switched definitions after choosing
the wrong one. Then you added the *if* portion. You can't debate
without injecting new information, used selectively.

Twist in the wind.

Dave K8MN

From -5235290278573712093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 14:52:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!
worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
In-Reply-To: <3A96620D.64510920@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10102232251020.12558-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09> <3A96620D.64510920@IEEE.org>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 12
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 22:50:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 982968657 12.80.44.15 (Fri, 23 Feb
2001 22:50:57 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 22:50:57 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17073

On Fri, 23 Feb 2001, Cecil wrote:
> Ken Diaz wrote:
> > Truckers from "back east" are S-7 to S-9 here in Southern California. Hope
> > Reilly knows about this. This posting is so we can assist in trying to
> > locate these guys (Texas?).
>
> A Californian saying that Texas is "back east"? I would advise you not
> to set foot in Texas ever again. :-)

Do you have a problem with geography? Texas is east of California, unless
you're looking for a "long path" route.

```
From 5115645896033411324
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 14:54:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A96E8E4.974FD36@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 22:49:08 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A95F737.7B7BC45E@ovis.net>
<3A95FBB5.1342F5CE@IEEE.org> <3A9664E4.39420E92@ovis.net>
<3A9669ED.B81EB112@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 17:44:47 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 38
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17074

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > Of course they are, Dave. If I want to operate a motorcycle legally
> > > in Texas then I am forced (required by circumstances) to take a
> > > motorcycle test. If you say that is not a true statement then you
> > > are simply lying.
> >
> > You write "Of course" as if that somehow makes it fact.  Of course you
> > are less capable as a wordsmith than as an engineer.  You are simply out
> > of your league. To state otherwise makes you a liar.
>
> People who consider themselves so expert that they don't ever have to
> open a dictionary often get caught with their pants down. How does it
> feel to have your omniscient opinion of yourself dashed by the simple
> act of a dumb engineer opening a dictionary and proving you wrong?
```

...a dumb engineer who can't tell the difference between one useage of a word and another. You haven't proven anything.

> The force of the State of Texas is behind the motorcycle test requirement.
> If I want to operate a motorcycle legally in Texas, then I am forced
> by the state to pass a motorcycle test. If I choose to ignore what is
> "required by circumstances" then I will be forced to accept a traffic
> ticket or worse.

The *if* implies options. No one forces you to operate a motorcycle. No one forces you to to take an exam for a motorcycle endorsement. No one forced you to take a morse exam.

I can hear it now (Cecil to state police), "I was required by circumstances to ride this motorcycle without insurance".

You should do comedy clubs, Cecil.

Dave K8MN

From 7728308172844937318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 14:54:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A96E995.4AF630BC@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 22:52:05 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>
<3A95FC6A.4AF37F8C@IEEE.org> <3A966534.4A1F372A@ovis.net>
<3A966AE5.AF6838B@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 17:47:44 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 32
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!newsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!
pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17075

Cecil wrote:

>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > You have completely missed the point so far, Dave. If one is to operate
> > > a motorcycle legally it is mandatory that one take a motorcycle test, i.e.
> > > one is forced (required by circumstances) to take a motorcycle test in
> > > order to avoid illegal operation.
> >
> > ...and *if* a frog had wings he wouldn't bump his ass when he jumped.
> > *If* we had some meat, we could make some sandwiches....*if* we had some
> > bread.
>
> Those are true statements, Dave, just like my if/then statements are true.
> In case you have forgotten your logic, if the 'if' part of an if/then
> statement is false, then the entire statement is true. Thus, "if a frog
> had wings he wouldn't bump his ass when he jumped", is a true statement.

...not necessarily. There are numerous circumstances in which the frog
could still bump his ass. There are a number of circumstances where,
even if we had meat and bread, we couldn't make sandwiches. Yet you
blindly state that the statements are true. Tsk, tsk.

> So is, "If a ham is to have legal HF ham privileges, he is forced to take
> a Morse code exam."

Tsk, tsk, tsk. More false conclusions.

Dave K8MN

From 3589026135097413800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 15:08:03 PST
Message-ID: <3A96EC57.1BC55C01@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:03:51 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <3A95F877.7ABB0E8E@ovis.net>

<3A95FD92.205AEF27@IEEE.org> <3A9665EF.59858B0A@ovis.net>
<3A966EFA.4FEC7E3F@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s27.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 17:59:32 -0500, s27.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 31
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s27.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17077

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Darn! I count only five *if*s in there. What do you suppose they mean?
> > I'd say that they imply options. I think you'd better regroup and
> > ponder this a while.
>
> You obviously don't understand the rules of logic, Dave. I suggest you
> stop considering yourself to be omniscient and open up a good logic book.
> If the 'if' portion of an if/then statement is false then the entire
> statement is true. If the 'if' portion of an if/then statement is true
> and the 'then' portion is true, then the entire statement is true. The
> only way an if/then statement can be false is for the 'if' portion to
> be true and the 'then' portion to be false. Every if/then statement I
> have made has been true. You were mistaken when you asserted that they
> were false.

And you are stating that there is no way that the "then" portion is
false. I strongly disagree. I further state that the if implies
options.

> If a ham wants legal HF ham privileges then he is forced (required by
> circumstances) to pass a Morse code exam. That's a true statement, Dave.
> There's just no getting around it. The force of the FCC is behind the
> Morse code requirement.

Your statement does not become true because you insist that it is.
No one is required to learn morse or to take an FCC exam. Both are
entirely optional.

Dave K8MN

From -7923885009816227587
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 15:08:03 PST
Message-ID: <3A96EB91.DF3635CF@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:00:33 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95F898.78BFE16C@IEEE.org> <3A966301.26BC1F2B@ovis.net>
<3A966D56.8BD0312C@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s27.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 17:56:16 -0500, s27.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 38
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s27.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17076

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > You're now attempting another game of adding material to your original
> > lame statement in an attempt to make it valid. That "if" you're now
> > using wasn't there initially. Neither was the "required by
> > circumstances" portion. No one is forced to learn morse.
>
> Dave, I am trying to simplify the concept down to a point where even
> you can understand it. There are many ways to state an 'if' function
> that don't use the word 'if'. "In order to" is one way. If you had
> simply accepted my original statement as true, then I would not be
> forced to mount a detailed defense. I am not changing anything. I
> am expanding on the discussion so you will eventually understand it.
> Your objections required me to expand on my original statement and
> then you rag on me for expanding on my original statement. Tsk, Tsk.
> Hope you don't treat your children that way.

I hope you don't continue to drink to excess, Cecil. You've certainly
changed the definition from the incorrect one you initially brought
forth. That isn't expanding. It is changing the definition. I rag on
you for that.

You were not forced to learn morse code. I was not forced to learn
morse code. Leonard H. Anderson certainly hasn't been forced to learn
morse code. "Forced - enforced or compulsory" (Random House College
Dictionary)

> Hams with HF privileges are forced to learn Morse. They are "required
> by circumstances" to learn Morse code. The "circumstances" is the FCC's

```
> Morse code requirement.


What's the matter, Cecil.  Don't you like your first definition any
more?  I'd really enjoy hearing tales of how you were compelled to learn
morse.

Dave K8MN



From 8917947725406902008
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 15:14:07 PST
Message-ID: <3A96EDDD.88119A70@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:10:21 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A967295.8079A954@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s27.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 18:06:00 -0500, s27.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 40
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s27.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17078

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > That is a false statement, Dave. If a ham is to obtain HF ham
> > > privileges then he is forced to learn Morse code. That is a
> > > true statement.
> >
> > Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil.
>
> I made an if/then statement which follows the rules of logic.
> That if/then statement is true. According to the rules of classical
```

> logic, your statement is actually false because HF hams are forced
> (required by circumstances) to learn Morse code. There is an implied
> 'if' function in that statement.
>
> I can make the same conditional statement without using the words,
> "if" and "then".
>
>   In order to obtain HF privileges, a ham is forced (required by
>   circumstances) to pass a Morse code test.
>
> It can be stated another way with the 'if' portion of the conditional
> statement only implied.
>
>   HF hams are forced (required by circumstances) to pass a
>   Morse code test.
>
> The implied 'if' portion are the HF privileges. If they don't pass the
> Morse code exam, they are not HF hams. Thus the implied 'if' portion
> of the implied conditional statement is false and therefore the entire
> statement is true, following the accepted rules of classical logic.

Neat logic lesson, Cecil. The following statement is also true:
"No person is forced to obtain an HF ham ticket". So is, "If a person
does not desire an HF amateur radio license, then he need not learn
morse code."

Dave K8MN

From 8154166636525470570
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 15:20:09 PST
Message-ID: <3A96EF23.9B7E5688@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:15:47 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
<3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net>
<3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s27.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 18:11:28 -0500, s27.pm1.ovis.net

Lines: 45
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s27.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17079

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > "I forced the door open." does not mean the same things as "I was
> > forced to endure Cecil's endless games". You selected the wrong
> > definition initially and are attempting to rectify that now.
>
> Nope, both those definitions are valid dictionary definitions, Dave.
> I correctly selected the one I wanted to use. You objected to my
> selection. Now you are trying to convince us that you are an
> omniscient guru when it comes to dictionaries and definitions. You
> are not. You have made a simple logic mistake. Many people make the
> same mistake.

Sure, both are valid but their meaning is not the same. You chose the incorrect definition and have since abandoned it for one more to your liking. No one has to be omniscient or a guru to see what transpired.

> "I am forced to endure Cecil's endless games" is a true statement
> given some implied assumptions. The implied assumption is:
>
> If I choose to argue with Cecil on r.r.a.p, then I am forced to
> endure his endless games.
>
> That is a true statement whether you choose to argue with me on
> r.r.a.p or not. That is the rule of logic about which you are
> mistaken. If you do not choose to argue with me on r.r.a.p, the
> statement is still true according to the rules of classical logic.
> That's why you are mistaken when you assume my if/then statements
> are false. If the 'if' portion of an if/then statement is false,
> then the entire statement is true, by definition.

...and you mean that the use of the "if" word implies options to do or not do something. I have not stated that your "if" statements are false, only that, in typical Cecil fashion, you added them after making a blanket statement.

> If a ham is to obtain HF privileges then he is forced (required by
> circumstances) to learn Morse code.
>
> Sorry, Dave, that is a true statement.

Sorry, Cecil. I don't buy the "then" portion of your statement.

Dave K8MN

From 5760930920360571722
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 15:22:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 15:19:36 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A96F008.9F0BA154@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <3A95F877.7ABB0E8E@ovis.net>
<3A95FD92.205AEF27@IEEE.org> <3A9665EF.59858B0A@ovis.net>
<3A966EFA.4FEC7E3F@IEEE.org> <3A96EC57.1BC55C01@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17080

Dave Heil wrote:
> I strongly disagree. I further state that the if implies
> options.

Could the word 'when' be used instead of 'if'?

Jim AC6XG

From -6568825563968234192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 15:22:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g987yy0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010223133949.01278.00000514@nso-fa.aol.com>

Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Lines: 61
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:20:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.2
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 982970425 206.58.44.2 (Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:20:25 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:20:25 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17081

On 23 Feb 2001 18:39:49 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>I have no problems with agreements. I have no problem with
>recognizing that agreements are NOT ABSOLUTE LAW. They are
>simply statements of Good Faith by government administrations.
>It is considered good practice to abide by agreements, but those
>agreements are not absolutes nor are they "enforced" by any
>supra-law enforcement organization (there is none).

International treaties such as the Radio Regulations have the force of law in the United States.

If one is found violating a provision of same which does not have a counterpart in FCC Regs thw individual will receive an FCC Notice of Violation (FCC Form 793 may be used) that instead of starting with "You appear to be in violation of Section xx.xxx of the FCC Rules" will start with "You appear to be in violation of Section XXX of the International Radio Regulations". The Communications Act does, in fact, obligate compliance with treaty requirements.

As you know, US Government stations and foreign radio stations are not subject to FCC Rules, and when one is found in apparent violation of standards derived from the International Radio Regs, they are cited (FCC Form 74) as apparent violations of Section XXX of the International Radio Regulations. The Form 74 is sent to the U S Government agency frequency manager or the foreign government Adminstration, as appropriate.

If the FCC receives an equivalent notice from a foreign Administration that an FCC-regulated station was found in violation of the Radio Regs, the FCC turns around and issues a Form 793 to the licensee citing the section of the Radio Regs as reported by the foreign Administration.

When a specific Administration files a complaint with the ITU that another Administration is intentionally disregarding or

```
  violating some provisions of the International Telecommunications
  Convention, the Radio Regulations, the Telegraph and Telephone
  Regulations, a specific Agreement, or a ruling of the IFRB,
  where an exception was not taken at the time of the signing of
  the treaty or Agreement in question, the matter is referred to
  mandatory arbitration under the auspices of the ITU Secretary-General,
  per all such agreements.

  That was the route we had to take when Radio Omdurman (Sudan)
  was intentionally causing harmful interference (Middle Eastern
  politics at work) by operating 2 kHz offset from a MF broadcast
  co-channel assignment with Kol Yisrael (Israel) while I was the
  latter's ITU liaison engineer at the Israeli Ministry of
  Communications (equivalent of the FCC) in the mid-60s.  It took
  about 2 years but Omdurman was eventually brought into compliance
  by order of the ITU Secretary-General.

  The Radio Regs are, in fact, enforceable treaty.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -4974943415938360118
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 15:22:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g988dg1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References:  <20010223133951.01278.00000515@nso-fa.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Lines: 30
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:20:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.2
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 982970426 206.58.44.2 (Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:20:26
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:20:26 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17082
```

On 23 Feb 2001 18:39:51 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>See the acronym words: MILITARY Affilicate Radio System.

News for you Lennie -- in "your time" in the 50s, the "A" in
the acronym was AMATEUR, not "affiliate" - certainly not
"affilicate" (yeah, cheap shot....) It was changed
sometime later. In that era, what is now known as "Navy MARS"
was known as "Naval Reserve Amateur Radio". See old copies of
QST.

>Licensed radio amateurs can assist MARS in such public service
>roles. 5000 do so. However, MARS is essentially a secondary
>backup radio system to existing military branch radio
>communications plus existing to provide emergency
>communications in the USA and territories. Unlike non-member
>radio amateurs, MARS can utilize spectra other than the
>allocated amateur bands since MARS exists under the DoD.

IIRC one must be a licensed amateur to get authorization to
operate one's station with a MARS callsign in MARS activities.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -6593721343781473504
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 16:28:49 PST
Message-ID: <3A96F0A7.BD5ABAA@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:22:15 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A94A29B.6A9C8F35@ovis.net>
<973vak5sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F547.BF1FEA3A@ovis.net>
<976ii3429gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s27.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 18:17:56 -0500, s27.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 31
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s27.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17083

T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
> In article <3A95F547.BF1FEA3A@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
>
> >> >Please don't start that "hazing ritual" crap again.  The same penalty is
> >> >imposed if someone blows the  almighty written exam: no license.
> >> >Don't you just hate that written "hazing ritual"?
> >> >
> >> >Dave K8MN
> >>
> >> Go back and read the part where I talked about criteria having relevance,
> and
> >> stop twisting my words to suit your own bias.
> >
> >Your words weren't twisted.  They were quoted.  Your own bias is
> >evident.  How is it any more valueable than mine?
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> Then you should read what you quote. I mentioned criteria having relevance. You
> then make a comment about "written hazing ritual" when you could read for
> yourself that was not what I meant. I see that as twisting my words. As for our
> respective "biases", it's obvious that you have a pre-conceived conclusion as
> to how things ought to be, and will only allow facts that suport that
> conclusion, excluding all others which may disprove it.


...and this differs from your own bias in what way?  Do you mean that I
should give up my view, be reasonable and see it your way?

Dave K8MN



From 7559587408453292972
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 16:30:01 PST
Message-ID: <3A96F128.51094668@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:24:24 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>
<976jd0629gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s27.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 18:20:04 -0500, s27.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 21
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s27.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17084

T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
> In article <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
>
> >> Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
> >> intention of ever owning or riding one?
> >
> >Nope.  It has not made obtaining a motorcycle endorsement any more
> >mandatory than an amateur radio license.
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> You just don't get it, do you? No one said that it was mandatory to obtain an
> amateur license. The subject is the relevance of certain test requirements to
> obtain a license. Why is it so hard for you to stay on that?

That was the subject?  How many posting generations back?
I thought you were asking me about my state's motorcycle endorsement
regs.

Dave K8MN



From -8598345177490889723
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 16:30:01 PST
Message-ID: <3A96F1AA.C9CA48A1@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:26:34 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <96ubkc$79v$1@news.netmar.com>
<88569tcarc4em44kf1vq086r7gjrtr28b9@4ax.com> <3A93C356.4E5DA629@ovis.net>
<970rc10u0e@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A949DED.97503BF6@ovis.net>
<973uoc4sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F4ED.260770B0@ovis.net>
<976j2r529gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s27.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 18:22:15 -0500, s27.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 39
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s27.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17085

T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
> In article <3A95F4ED.260770B0@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
> >
> >> But since you want examples that are a little more up to date, I might also
> >> point out that there is nothing on a driver's license test that requires you
> t
> >o
> >> know how to drive a standard shift vehicle in order to obtain a standard
> >> driver's license. This is a matter of choice.
> >
> >There's no practical or written exam required for a marriage license
> >either.
>
> ...other than the fact that you have to swear that you don't have any STDs.
>
>
> >> All that matters to you and certain others in this newsgroup, is that you'd
> >> rather argue semantics over the usage of the word "forced" in a sentence,
> than
> >> the reasons why high-speed code testing should have been retained for access
> t
> >o
> >> HF phone portions.
> >
> >How the hell do you know what matters to me?  I don't consider 5 wpm to
> >be high speed morse.  Are you now telling us that you consider it to be?
> >
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> Please point out *specifically*, in the quoted material above, where I said
> *anything* about 5 WPM being high speed. You've got a serious comprehension
> problem.
```

Okay. "...reasons why high speed code testing should have been retained
for access...". That satisfy you?

Dave K8MN

From -7459310860556766O5
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 17:09:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 54
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 01:07:47 GMT
References: <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010223200747.09323.00002484@ng-mm1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17086

Wow!,

You guys are a tough crowd. At first, I was little surprised at you, Dick. But
then I realized that you weren't the Dick I thought you were. No pun intended.

Harmonics are simply signals from a transmitter or oscillator occurring on
whole-number multiples of the desired operating frequency. End of story.

If you look at the title of this particular thread, you'll notice the word
"freebanding" contained therein. It's easy to forget that freebanding also
includes operation on the frequencies directly below the lower limit of the
citizens band, 26.9650MHz. I'm sure that all of you "seasoned" amateurs are
aware that the 6 meter band, 50 thru 54MHz is open to US operators holding
Technician class licenses and above. I'm not sure what all the excitement is
about? Is it because RM called it the first harmonic? That's just symantics, I
don't think there's anybody in this group, with an ounce of gray matter, that
doesn't understand the implied meaning of his statement...whether technically
correct or not. I refuse to believe that a group of grown adults could be this
petty, I'll just assume then that it was merely a "misunderstanding."

Now back to Dick,

>So, then he is deliberately a very willing renegade, an absolute radio
>outlaw, and has no desire nor intent to change his stripes. We see.

Well Dick, I'm not sure if you're referring to me n the previous post or Mark,
who made the original proposal. That first sentence describes neither of us, so
I don't really see the connection there. I'm NOT a freebander and from what

I've read of Mark's original post, he HAS changed his stripes...and encourages others to do the same. I respectfully suggest you reread his post.

>And we also see that the FCC is not particularilary interested, and is
>MORE interested in giving him a freebee ham radio license or upgrade,
>and ARRL is salivating to sign him up. Neat.

I'll agree with you on the FCC's disinterest. HOWEVER, nobody gave me a freebee ham radio license and I was a member of the ARRL before I became a licensed amateur. I "earned" my GROL long before that and my previous involvement with 11 meter radio laid a nice rudimentary foundation, thank you. As for Mark, I'm pretty sure he too is already an ARRL member and quite frankly, I personally wouldn't be too surprised if he were already a licensed amateur. Just a gut feeling.

I did attempt to satisfactorily answer a statement re. how the ARRL has "attacked" CB. If calling it like it is makes me a renegade, then I accept the title with pride. If you re-read the thread perhaps you'll get a better feel for the context in which some of these posts are worded.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

P.S. Thanks RM for the defensive support, but in the future don't bother replying. Statements like these are merely a con"troll"ed effort to fan the flames. Thanks again.

From -6531191663007374598
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c1c9f2a2d0d396ca
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 17:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 1
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 01:09:26 GMT
References: <20010221230803.16131.00002440@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<
Message-ID: <20010223200926.09323.00002485@ng-mm1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17087

Nicely put, Mark. Class act!

From -6953151702966509316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 17:33:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!feedeast.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 01:32:54 GMT
References: <20010221223210.26080.00002145@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010223203254.01718.00000073@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17088

In article <20010221223210.26080.00002145@ng-mo1.aol.com>, rmoretta@aol.com (RMoretta) writes:

>Go reread the ORIGINAL post. The one concerning RM-9807. By "attacking"
>RM-9807, the ARRL really stuck its "two cents" where it didn't belong.

Hold on a second there.

Why doesn't the ARRL (or any other interested party) have the right to comment to the FCC? Would you say the same thing about a cb group or individual commenting on an RM about the amateur radio service?

> And
>that
>concerned "legal" 11 meters.

It is about changing the definition of what is legal in that service.

>That was an an attempt by CBer's to address its
>own "concerns" in DC. Perhaps it would have died all on its own, but disagree
>or not...the ARRL's concerns should be confined to amateur radio.

They are! Legalizing long distance communication on cb would affect hams.

>Don't like
>a
>service paralleling the amateur service?(As meritless an arguement as that
>is!)...let the ITU agreement handle it, NOT the ARRL!

The citizens radio service is not meant to parallel the amateur radio service - never was.
>
>You'll probably find that many of us agree with the illegal operators on 10
>meters.

Agree with them how?

>To call them "11 meter guys" is a perfect example of why Mark's idea
>has come about.

They are not hams. Why are they operating illegally?

>This combined with the league's self "involvement" has
>brought
>us to this point. The "concern" is very accurately placed. You can rest
>assured
>that before I cast my next vote, I'll ask my division(Hudson) director and
>vice
>director their position on league involvement in 11 meter "concerns." Perhaps
>the next incarnation of "RM-9807" will not be met with the same shenanigans,
>particularly after the ITU does away with the code requirements for int'l
>comms
>under 30MHz. Good day.

All interested parties have the right to comment to the FCC on proposed actions. Freedom of speech and all that. Why would you change that basic right?

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 507216677996829965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 17:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 01:32:54 GMT
References: <96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010223203254.01718.00000074@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17091
```

In article <96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>It reminds me of that scene in the movie "Good Will Hunting"
>where Matt Damon's character was critical of a Harvard student who was
>spending
>all of his money for college, when everything he wanted to know could be
>obtained for the price of a library card.

>
"Will Hunting" is a fictional character, not a real person. He is portrayed as a super-genius who has taught himself the equivalent of several doctorates in a variety of subjects, but who works as a janitor and gets in trouble with the law. He may have lots of knowledge, but he doesn't use it. He avoids the responsibility of actually DOING anything constructive besides custodial work until the very end of the movie.

He knew the price of everyhting and the value of nothing. (Shaw's definition of a cynic).

Besides, how smart can a guy be who almost let a gal like Minnie Driver get away?

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 8180551791456720804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 17:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 01:32:53 GMT
References: <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010223203253.01718.00000072@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17089
```

In article <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-mo1.aol.com>, rmoretta@aol.com (RMoretta) writes:

>I think we can safely assume that YOU do NOT operate on 6 meters. Guess where
>the first harmonic of some high powered CB transmissions fall? Do your
>homework
>before posting...please.

Umm - it's the SECOND harmonic.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 8184217355958800762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 17:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 01:32:55 GMT
References: <t9b2du5nt6ar97@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Jim - Off topic - Interesting info on the Titanic
Message-ID: <20010223203255.01718.00000075@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17092

In article <t9b2du5nt6ar97@corp.supernews.com>, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> writes:

>> But the main point remains that the Titanic disaster was the result of a
>whole
>> series of mistakes.
>
>No doubt about that. The issue with the steel quality only came about once
>the wreck was located a few years ago, and some samples were retrieved for
>analysis.

Yup.

>> It did not have a single cause. The list of things that
>> could have prevented the disaster is a long one.
>
>Now everyone can have fun debating which "cause" was the most significant
>single one, other than not hitting the iceberg, which could have prevent the
>ship from going down.

Why limit it? We can have two debates: one on things that would have prevented
the collision in the first place, and one on things that would have prevented
the loss of life if the collision had occurred anyway.

For example, a bigger rudder would have allowed the ship to turn slightly
faster, and avoided the iceberg completely. Better steel might have reduced the
damage enough so that rescue ships would have gotten there before she sank.
(Almost all of those lost died of hypothermia from being in the cold water.)

>This sure beats debating the CW testing, and exam
>issues, at least for a while. 8-))

Those are dead issues, anyway. The code test in the US is reduced to the minimum, other countries are rapidly following suit, and not much else can be expected before the treaty requirement changes. The writtens won't change much because the FCC doesn't want them to - best we hams can do is make the question pools bigger and better.

> Take care.
>
You too! The lessons of Titanic need to be learned by each generation. The biggest single cause was plain old carelessness - lack of attention to detail.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1886326255666410069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 17:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 01:32:52 GMT
References: <3A967926.1C7A246C@toast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010223203252.01718.00000071@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17090

In article <3A967926.1C7A246C@toast.net>, John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net> writes:

>The first overtone is the second harmonic.

Not in radio work.

>Both the fundamental and all
>the harmonics are partial tones (at least in audio work).

In music, yes.

>I've never
>heard the term 'first harmonic' before, althought the meaning is
>unambiguous.

>
You never heard it because it's not used.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -6975432886126293509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 17:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 24 Feb 2001 01:57:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9774dr$p3e$0@208.206.142.90>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17093

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil.
> > >
> > > That is a false statement, Dave. If a ham is to obtain HF ham
> > > privileges then he is forced to learn Morse code. That is a
> > > true statement.
> >
> > Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil. I am forced to endure your

> > obtuse nature. What? I don't have to put up with it? I have options?
> > I guess I'm not forced after all.
>
> Hey Dave, I've no doubt that you've forced yourself to endure in this
> debate. I think that's what may the applicable use of the word 'force'
> here. I forced myself to learn code. I wanted to, but I nevertheless
> met the conditions of Cecils definition of force. I had to make myself
> sit down and practice instead of sitting down and watching TV.
>

Far as I can tell that's where Cecil's argument breaks down. When one forces himself to do something is he really being forced? Not at all. He has a choice to not force himself, but he declines.That's self inducement instead of force.

```
From 4594372031263261997
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 24 Feb 2001 01:59:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9774h9$p3e$1@208.206.142.90>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A96B0E6.B3576312@uci.edu>
<3A96B152.947FE8C9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17094
```

Cecil wrote:

The license that permits you to
> operate SSB on HF requires you to know some Morse code.

Yep. And if you only want to operate Pactor you've got to learn both
Morse code and all that other non-applicable stuff.

```
From 7804256465544072000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 18:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 02:39:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16945
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > Hams who want to legally operate a
> > > transmitter on HF ham frequencies are forced to learn Morse code.
> >
> > Which is just fine so long as they have the option of declining to get a
> > ham license. Ham radio is just not a suitable hobby for everyone, you
> > now...Don't you?
>
> I never said otherwise. This argument is over the definition of the
> word "forced". Either you accept the dictionary or you don't. If you
> don't accept the dictionary then we cannot communicate.

Evidently you don't accept that dictionaries have multiple definitions of many words because they apply differently in different circumstances. I do.

I also know from years of experience that one of your favorite ploys is to choose one that is totally inappropriate for the circumstances in attempting to make a ppoint that is totally wrong. Just as you are trying to do here. Won't work.

```
From 8827220027564978821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 18:43:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 02:43:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <974ip4$jhf$2@208.206.142.104>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <3A950BBB.70C44680@ovis.net>
<3A951CF5.36AD78EF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16946
```

Cecil wrote:

> Dave Heil wrote:
> > We obviously cannot communicate, Cecil, even if we accept the
> > dictionary.
>
> We cannot communicate because you and Dick will not accept the
> Webster's dictionary definition of "forced - required by
> circumstances".

Which means he was NOT forced. Circumstances do not force him go get a ham radio license. Some people decide that exactly and don't get one. So your point (that you are wearing on hour head) is moot.

From -2859318942525377672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 18:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 02:42:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <974im4$jhf$1@208.206.142.104>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com> <3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net> <3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net> <3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16947

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Obtaining a ham ticket is not mandatory.
>
> That's a straw man argument because no one said a ham ticket is
> mandatory. Morse code *is* mandatory for an HF ham ticket. It is
> "required by circumstances" which is the definition of "forced".
>
> > Now what do we do about that darned "forced" written test?
>
> Nothing. Some forced tests are reasonable, e.g. a driver's test.

Oh. So you know what is reasonable and no one else, including the FCC nor governments who make treaties, does. We see. Right.

```
From -3033393240036444811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 18:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 02:45:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <974irt$jhf$3@208.206.142.104>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16948



Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > You were wrong the last time you trotted out this crap and you're wrong
> > now.
>
> So you assert the Webster's dictionary definition of "forced - required
> by circumstances", is incorrect.

 Not at all. We KNOW that you routinely misuse such dictionary
definitions incorrectly to suit your own purposes.


From 8571742288163830330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 18:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 02:50:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <974j67$l6u$0@208.206.142.104>
References: <r6n39toqve41m1dh9pvq2mi3j3nvai96oa@4ax.com>
<20010220054340.02845.00001963@ng-fy1.aol.com>
<ua859t4o3baav0lpspcssg1f34sr8d5dv7@4ax.com>
<_%zk6.308$HH5.1662247@news.ntplx.net>
<2a569t8g522ej6rr3rf0f3scq1dderfali@4ax.com> <3A93B20B.77FF1F9B@arrl.org>
<tdu79tsb4b8fhumkso16gjg75m7ncakeff@4ax.com> <3A940C15.67018528@arrl.org>
<atv89tckqr1mncr687pv1tnpr72ilaaqc3@4ax.com> <3A948E7B.354451A8@arrl.org>
<9729lm$btm$0@208.206.142.93> <3A95220F.638C513B@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16949

Jon Bloom wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > I would have expected you or Ed to catch that Jones exposed a flaw in
> > the
> > "hand in pocket" safety theme...it doesn't always work. But what newbie
> > could be expected to know it?
>
> I see you're again reading things that weren't written.

Sometime, when you finally realize that all knowledge isn't between your ears, you will understand that everything pertaining to most subjects isn't required to be recorded in each post. When Jones said "when you touch the anode contact of a TV picture tube it doesm't matter where your other hand is" that is an excellent example. It DOESN'T matter, yet it was YOU who read something into that statement that wasn't there, and now you blame me for knowing what he was talking about.

Is there anything the you and Newington doesn't know all about? FRhetorical question, of course, since we already know the answer.

From 356572178883264055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 18:58:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 02:56:07 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <974jg7$l6u$1@208.206.142.104>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <3A941FE5.2A0DCA8D@uci.edu>
<971b6g31sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A944744.C5A07091@uci.edu>
<971po402m88@enews2.newsguy.com> <972088$84g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<973s3k0sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16950
```

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:

>
>
> Like an umpire, I call 'em as I see 'em.

Be glad yer not. The player's wud toss you outta the game.

```
From 8341973945999377061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 19:22:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 02:20:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <974he202k5g@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
```

<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-366.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16951

In article <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>> Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
>> intention of ever owning or riding one?
>
>Probably not. Not doubt they are more firm about requiring a motorcycle
>test if you want a motorcycle license however.

This is true, and it also shows how ridiculous Dave's "endorsement" argument
is.

From -5304552856900144357
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 19:41:22 PST
Message-ID: <3A95D94D.9A683FA4@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 21:30:21 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <974irt$jhf$3@208.206.142.104>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982899793
64.240.47.66 (22 Feb 2001 21:43:13 -0600)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16952

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > You were wrong the last time you trotted out this crap and you're wrong
> > > now.
> >
> > So you assert the Webster's dictionary definition of "forced - required
> > by circumstances", is incorrect.
>
> Not at all. We KNOW that you routinely misuse such dictionary
> definitions incorrectly to suit your own purposes.

How can I possibly misuse something that is down in black and white
in the dictionary? Seems your ignorance is showing.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3870897666355691975
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 19:47:38 PST
Message-ID: <3A95DB0C.30C70C1D@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 21:37:48 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>

```
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <974im4$jhf$1@208.206.142.104>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982900241
64.240.47.66 (22 Feb 2001 21:50:41 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16953

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Nothing. Some forced tests are reasonable, e.g. a driver's test.
>
>  Oh. So you know what is reasonable and no one else, including the FCC
> nor governments who make treaties, does. We see. Right.

Some forced tests are obviously reasonable, e.g. pilot's tests.
Some forced tests are obviously unreasonable, e.g. the requirement
for a deaf person to copy Morse code by ear per S25.5. Some forced
tests are debatable, e.g. Morse code tests for all HF hams.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 197163821476536972
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 19:50:06 PST
```

Message-ID: <3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 21:38:56 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com> <3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net> <973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982900308 64.240.47.66 (22 Feb 2001 21:51:48 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16954

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
> > intention of ever owning or riding one?
>
> Probably not. Not doubt they are more firm about requiring a motorcycle
> test if you want a motorcycle license however.

Why can't the Morse code requirement be handled the same way?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8212006849257034645
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 19:54:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 23 Feb 2001 03:52:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104>
References: <9728ib$9n7$1@208.206.142.93> <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16955

RMoretta wrote:
>
> I think we can safely assume that YOU do NOT operate on 6 meters. Guess where
> the first harmonic of some high powered CB transmissions fall?

Like I said, you evidently are not informed on the subject of harmonics. The FIRST harmonic is the fundamental frequency. It's the *second* harmonic that is twice the fundamental. And twice 27 mHz is 54 mHz. Exactly the Top End of the 6 meter band. Neither I nor any other ham hangs out up there.
And why is it the first anyone hears of harmonic problems on 6 meters from high powered CB comes from a freebander?

> Do your homework
> before posting...please.

yuk yuk

>
> P.S. The guy your referring to holds a FCC GROL, is an avionics tech, and a
> licensed amateur. So much for your "assumptions." 73.

So, then he is deliberately a very willing renegade, an absolute radio outlaw, and has no desire nor intent to change his stripes. We see.

And we also see that the FCC is not particularily interested, and is MORE interested in giving him a freebee ham radio license or upgrade, and ARRL is salivating to sign him up. Neat.

```
From -5569948326628163679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 19:55:55 PST
Message-ID: <3A95DCA5.B5B039BB@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 21:44:37 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <3A950BBB.70C44680@ovis.net>
<3A951CF5.36AD78EF@IEEE.org> <974ip4$jhf$2@208.206.142.104>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982900650
64.240.47.66 (22 Feb 2001 21:57:30 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16956
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> Cecil wrote:
> > We cannot communicate because you and Dick will not accept the

> > Webster's dictionary definition of "forced - required by
> > circumstances".
>
> Which means he was NOT forced. Circumstances do not force him go get a
> ham radio license.

Nobody has ever said he was forced to get a ham radio license so your
statement is just a strawman diversionary argument. What was said was:
In order to obtain legal HF ham privileges, he is forced to pass a Morse
code exam. Whine all you want about it, that statement is true and is
correct English.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1881733400908377738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 19:59:54 PST
Message-ID: <3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 21:49:57 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982900970
64.240.47.66 (22 Feb 2001 22:02:50 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16957

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Evidently you don't accept that dictionaries have multiple definitions
> of many words because they apply differently in different circumstances.

Evidently you don't comprehend that the person who makes the statement gets to choose the definition of the words he uses. Rail and whine all you wish. The following is a fact: In order to obtain HF ham privileges, a ham is forced to pass a Morse code exam, i.e. it is "required by the circumstances" (the FCC rules). "forced - required by circumstances"
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2710511483832890967
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 20:03:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com (MailfrmPA)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Feb 2001 04:01:16 GMT
References: <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010222230116.04527.00001322@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16958

> The FIRST harmonic is the fundamental frequency.

BZZZZZZZZ! Wrong Answer.
The fundamental is not a "harmonic" of any kind!

From -4152600411163563115

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 20:06:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A95DF18.34DF107E@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 21:55:04 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <9728ib$9n7$1@208.206.142.93> <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-mo1.aol.com> <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982901275 64.240.47.66 (22 Feb 2001 22:07:55 -0600)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16959

Dick Carroll wrote:

> The FIRST harmonic is the fundamental frequency.

What's the FIRST overtone?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6620739932090354178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 20:22:26 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Learning Stuff (Was: 11 meters...)
Date: 23 Feb 2001 04:21:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <974ogh$6vq$0@208.206.142.104>
References: <mGIk6.432$K37.158797@nnrp3.sbc.net>
<20010221080911.16096.00002371@ng-cu1.aol.com> <970j1d$1nh$0@208.207.71.159>
<50r79t09jhtkfi450jftb3v2mfcn2s6ihj@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16960

Jim Weir wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
> ->RMoretta wrote:
> There is a really nice
> ->> feature on www.QRZ.com called practice exams. It creates a 35 question exam
> ->> from the exact test pool, so there are no surprises.
> ->
> ->Yeah, and yuo *learn* the stuff, too. Right.
>
> Most professional educators will tell you that there is as much "learning" going
> on during a non-stressed quiz or sample test as there is during a regular
> classroom structured learning period of the same length. This is especially
> true when the student is given the correct answer

So "sound byte" learning is as effective as detailed reading on the
subject? That *is* news, and I majored in education.

> and the reason for the correc answer.

Which of course is NOT included on the question pools.

> I don't know about the QRZ site exams, but the NuTest exams do give you

> lengthy explanations why one answer or the other is correct. I find that my
> students that use one of these "sample test" programs on a regular basis do far,
> far better than students who try and get it through classroom regimen alone.
> This is true for my engineering students as well as my amateur classes.

Sure, a well designed and written test can be a very effective learning tool, assuming that it is designed to TEACH, not just to provide a method of licensing with little or no intent of anything else.

Nowhere I know is merely passing a test in itself indicative of anything more than passing a test, with no further detailed description of how it was done. Ham radio is the most glaring example with which I currently am familiar.

```
From 2910004816604179684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 20:24:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A95E591.AE6F8C2A@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104> <20010222230116.04527.00001322@ng-
cc1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:22:41 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982902172 209.140.206.201 (Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:22:52 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:22:52 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16961
```

MailfrmPA wrote:

>
> > The FIRST harmonic is the fundamental frequency.
>
> BZZZZZZZZ! Wrong Answer.
> The fundamental is not a "harmonic" of any kind!

BZZZZZZ yourself. The fundamental is the first harmonic both by definition and by common usage.

Jon

```
From 2188457339627187519
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 20:26:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 04:24:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <974oll$6vq$1@208.206.142.104>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <971u7t$7bk$1@208.207.71.169>
<973son1sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16962
```

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:

> Gee, TL I'm sure sorry you couldn't "force" yourself to do it. Maybe you
> >should exercise a little better control over yourself?
>
> If that's intended to mean that I haven't passed any amateur licensing exams, I
> suggest you learn how to use an archive service, such as deja.com.

I'm not concerned about you, TL. You is what you is. And if you walk
talk and squawk like a .... then one must assume that is what you
are.

```
From -6385977211921626423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 20:28:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
```

From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 04:24:27 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 102
Message-ID: <3a95e375.4444517@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.76.8f
X-Server-Date: 23 Feb 2001 04:24:35 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16963

On Thu, 22 Feb 2001 13:05:52 +0000, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On Thu, 22 Feb 2001 05:31:09 +0000, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Cecil wrote:
>> >> If someone has to expend unwanted effort to achieve a requirement,
>> >> they have, by definition, been forced to do so by the requirement.
>> >> That's one of the Webster's definitions of "forced". There's nothing
>> >> said or implied one way or another about the social value of being
>> >> forced. People who want to legally operate a vehicle are forced to
>> >> obtain a driver's license. Hams who want to legally operate a
>> >> transmitter on HF ham frequencies are forced to learn Morse code.
>> >
>> >Nobody in the history of the world has ever been forced to obtain an
>> >amateur radio license. If one can't be forced to obtain a license, then
>> >one cannot be forced to learn morse in order to obtain one.
>>
>> That is true of the license itself, but once anyone decides to
>> obtain a license, the requirements become mandatory. THAT
>> is the issue...the reuirements of government imposed on individuals
>> to obtain ANY license MUST bear relavence and justification to
>> the license being obtained. Those of us on the No Code Test side
>> believe (as does the FCC IMHO) that a code test is NO LONGER
>> a valid requirement to get a ham license. The ONLY rational that
>> sustained even a 5 wpm test for HF was and still is the international
>> treaty agreement.
>
>"Once one decides" is a really important clause, Bill. It certainly
>implies choice. Obtaining a ham ticket is not mandatory. If one
>*decides* for himself to obtain one, he meets the requirements for
>obtaining it.

>
>I know what your side believes and you know that I do not agree with
>your premise, IMNSHO.

Lucky then that the FCC does see it as an unjustifiable
requirement. The issue of forced, mandatory, etc. is just
a semantics diversion anyway since I dare say no one has
ever raised that arguement in the FCC responses. I'm
sure the FCC wouldn't give much, if any, consideration to a
commentor that argued...No one is forced to get a ham license
so if they don't like a requirment they have the choice not to be
a ham.

>Now what do we do about that darned "forced"
>written test? Think we should take out all of those pesky technical
>questions? After all, if we simply know the regs and a few safety
>rules, we can always send our equipment back for factory service.

YOU are the one saying that..NOT me. Anyone can make whatever
argument or comment they want...BUT it is always the pro-code test
folks like yourself that run the No theory up the flagpole.

>> >My state requires a motorcycle endorsement in order to ride a motorcyle.
>> >That includes a written exam and a driving exam. I'm not tickled about
>> >taking either but I want to drive a motorcycle. I don't feel forced at
>> >all.
>>
>> That is because those requirements are VALID in relation to the
>> license you will be issued by the state. Would you have such
>> a generous viewpoint if one of those requirements was
>> to pass a CPR or first aid test to get the motorcycle license?
>
>CPR relates to motorcycle as morse code relates to ham radio? I'm not
>buying.

The point is that neither is a valid requirement for the license
in question. You may disagree regarding code for HF ham
licenses, but I don't see your position being supported by
the FCC.

>> >Riding a motorcycle is not mandatory in West Virginia.
>> >Your argument is as invalid now as it was the last time this went
>> >around.
>>
>> Actually, the argument IS valid because that is the perspective
>> that the FCC clearly has with regard to ALL the requirements.
>> The individual requirements for any license ARE mandatory
>> to get that license and it has been and still is the FCC's job
>> to JUSTIFY any such license requirements.
>
>...and it would be a state's "job" in ensure that motor vehicle regs for
>licensing are justifiable. I'm still not forced to obtain an amateur
>license or a motorcycle endorsement. I'm not willing to assist you in

>creating a new class of victim.

No victim needed, no help needed to make the point
with the FCC that code is passed its prime. The FCC knows
it is and only the treaty is keeping the code test requirement
going now.

>It is my observation that things which are deregulated or less regulated
>seldom work as well as they did under regulation.

A liberal mindset to be sure. Only the government can be trusted
to know what everyone should be doing.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2590499488544194085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 20:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 04:26:44 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3a95e613.5114480@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <974im4$jhf$1@208.206.142.104>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.76.8f
X-Server-Date: 23 Feb 2001 04:26:51 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16964

On 23 Feb 2001 02:42:12 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Cecil wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > Obtaining a ham ticket is not mandatory.

>>
>> That's a straw man argument because no one said a ham ticket is
>> mandatory. Morse code *is* mandatory for an HF ham ticket. It is
>> "required by circumstances" which is the definition of "forced".
>>
>> > Now what do we do about that darned "forced" written test?
>>
>> Nothing. Some forced tests are reasonable, e.g. a driver's test.
>
> Oh. So you know what is reasonable and no one else, including the FCC
>nor governments who make treaties, does. We see. Right.

Everyone is free to offer their opinion and arguments as
to what is reasonable. The FCC ends up making the decision
in the end based on their analysis. Do you have any doubt
as to the position that the FCC has regarding the value or
need for continued code testing?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 3172865356107232770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 20:30:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 04:29:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <974ovt$6vq$2@208.206.142.104>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <974irt$jhf$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95D94D.9A683FA4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16965
```

```
Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > You were wrong the last time you trotted out this crap and you're wrong
> > > > now.
> > >
> > > So you assert the Webster's dictionary definition of "forced - required
> > > by circumstances", is incorrect.
> >
> >  Not at all. We KNOW that you routinely misuse such dictionary
> > definitions incorrectly to suit your own purposes.
>
> How can I possibly misuse something that is down in black and white
> in the dictionary?


 Could it be that you deliberately chose a definition that didn't fit
the context?

>Seems your ignorance is showing.


 What else can you say when you're so far off base?


From -4226732057566382872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 20:34:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 04:30:14 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3a95e69f.5254787@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <9735p3$ki5$0@208.207.71.146>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.76.8f
X-Server-Date: 23 Feb 2001 04:30:22 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16966

On 22 Feb 2001 13:55:47 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
> once anyone decides to
>> obtain a license, the requirements become mandatory.
>
> And that is the point at which one must decide whether or not he will
>FORCE HIMSELF to comply with the requirements.

True at that point in time. It does not, however, forclose
the person attempting to get the requirements changed.
That is the point I believe many PCTA folks want...i.e.
accept the requiremnts the way they are...after all, I did
it, so should you. If that mindset made any sense at all,
the diver's license tests would also require knowledge and
skill driving a vehicle with a manual gearbox.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5218930858673404578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 20:36:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 04:31:54 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3a95e777.5470938@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.76.8f
X-Server-Date: 23 Feb 2001 04:32:04 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16967

On Thu, 22 Feb 2001 16:31:31 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>> Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
>> intention of ever owning or riding one?
>
>Probably not.  Not doubt they are more firm about requiring a motorcycle
>test if you want a motorcycle license however.

Does your state require a license endorsement to drive a
manual gearbox vehicle even though you take and pass
the test using a car with an automatic transmission?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -3841351777310881396
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 20:36:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 23 Feb 2001 04:34:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16968
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Evidently you don't accept that dictionaries have multiple definitions
> > of many words because they apply differently in different circumstances.
>
> Evidently you don't comprehend that the person who makes the statement
> gets to choose the definition of the words he uses. Rail and whine all
> you wish. The following is a fact: >>In order to obtain HF ham privileges,<<
> a ham is forced to pass a Morse code exam, i.e. it is "required by the
> circumstances" (the FCC rules). "forced - required by circumstances"
>

When you can accurately say it without the prepositional phrase it will
have some meaning.

From -8626313677378113641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 20:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 23 Feb 2001 04:35:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <974pb8$6vq$4@208.206.142.104>
References: <9728ib$9n7$1@208.206.142.93> <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-mo1.aol.com> <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104> <3A95DF18.34DF107E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16969

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The FIRST harmonic is the fundamental frequency.
>
> What's the FIRST overtone?

Overtone is not the same term as harmonic.

From -5370501053721447720
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:04:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9728ib$9n7$1@208.206.142.93> <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-mo1.aol.com> <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104>
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 44
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <L9ml6.4720$v_1.458811@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 05:02:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.163.219.108
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 982904555 24.163.219.108 (Fri, 23 Feb 2001 00:02:35 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 00:02:35 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16970

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104...

> And why is it the first anyone hears of harmonic problems on 6 meters
> from high powered CB comes from a freebander?

Freebanders operating above channel 40 (27.405 MHz) would put any harmonic in the passband for TV channel 2. It would show up as noise on the video, and would be above 54.810 MHz. The video lower vestigial sideband crap from a local TV channel 2 station does far more to garbage up the 6m band than anything else I have heard. The upper 2 to 3 MHZ near the station is unusable, particularly on FM. The sideband sub-band section of 6m is normally not to bad, as long as you don't point your antenna at the TV station.

The video carrier for channel 2 is located at 1.25 MHz above the bottom of the channel so that puts it at 55.125 MHZ. The maximum power that can be used is 100 KW on channels 2 to 6. The spec's I have requires any video signal 1.25 MHz or greater, the lower sideband radiation must be 20 db below the carrier level or more. This means you can still have a huge signal at the top of the 6m band, and falling off as you go lower.

Frankly I think the local TV channel 2 station is much more of a problem on 6m than anything coming from a CBer's splatter box special foot-warmer, or a crappy cheap 10m export radio.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -6294951893043533459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:12:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A95EFC3.48A647F3@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 05:06:11 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org> <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net>
<3A951ACC.13DB3275@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 00:02:16 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 19
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-

```
out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.34.3!newsfeed.cwix.com!
pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16971

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Learning morse is not "compulsory" or "forced".
>
> Yes, it is if one wants to operate legally on the HF ham frequencies.
> From Webster's: "forced - enforced or compulsory - required by
> circumstances" The FCC enforces the Morse code requirement for HF hams.

"if one wants"?  Not forced.  Voluntary.

> > I have no argument with the dictionary definition.
>
> Of course you do, Dave. That's the only argument you have.

I've stated that I do not.  The argument I have is with your improperly
selected definition.

Dave K8MN


From 4903579281208520743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:15:17 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 05:10:04 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 00:06:08 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 23
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16972
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Obtaining a ham ticket is not mandatory.
>
> That's a straw man argument because no one said a ham ticket is
> mandatory. Morse code *is* mandatory for an HF ham ticket. It is
> "required by circumstances" which is the definition of "forced".

Wait a minute. "required by circumstances" wasn't part of the
definition you provided earlier. Are you amending your definition?
An HF amateur license is not mandated. Once chooses to obtain one.

> > Now what do we do about that darned "forced" written test?
>
> Nothing. Some forced tests are reasonable, e.g. a driver's test.

It is not a forced test, Cecil. It is not mandatory to obtain an
amateur radio license or a driver's license.

I'm still not buying either the definition or the premise.

Dave K8MN

```
From 8471834034966236926
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:15:36 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F15F.FE210F74@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 05:13:03 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <3A950BBB.70C44680@ovis.net>
<3A951CF5.36AD78EF@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 00:09:08 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 18
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16973

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > We obviously cannot communicate, Cecil, even if we accept the
> > dictionary.
>
> We cannot communicate because you and Dick will not accept the
> Webster's dictionary definition of "forced - required by
> circumstances".

Nah, that's not the reason, Cecil. We can't communicate because, 1) you haven't settled on a definition of the word "forced" yet (let me know when you've settled on one definition and wish to stick with it) and 2) you are intent on playing word games.

I'm tiring of this silliness.

Dave K8MN

From -6146970791100726228
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:24:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 05:15:29 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 00:11:36 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 18
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16974

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > You were wrong the last time you trotted out this crap and you're wrong

```
> > now.
>
> So you assert the Webster's dictionary definition of "forced - required
> by circumstances", is incorrect. Take it up with the publishers. I, for
> one, will continue to honor the conventions of the English language. To
> do otherwise is to try to confound the language for ulterior purposes.
>
> The *fact* remains - in order to gain legal access to the HF ham bands,
> one is forced to learn Morse code, if one doesn't already know it.

You can't even stick to a single definition.  "required by
circumstances"; is that your final answer?

Dave K8MN



From 574199100534095544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:24:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 05:21:19 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 00:17:29 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 36
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16975

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Several times you've given a dictionary definition chosen
> > from one of several meanings.  Several times you've chosen the wrong
> > definition to suit the situation.  I say that you HAVE chosen
> > definitions which suit your purpose and ignore definitions which do not.
>
> Of course, Dave, that's what people do. Does a computer bus have wheels?
> Of course not. When I choose the word "bus" for something inside a
```

> computer, do you object to that usage because it doesn't have wheels?
> Who died and made you the great white father of definitions? When I
> use the word "forced", I am using it correctly and in context. I chose
> the usage of the word. Trying to dictate the contextual definition of
> another person's statement is just the despicable act of a bully.

I think it must have been my elementary school teachers, the ones who instructed me in the use of a dictionary who died and left me in charge of definitions. If I found you trying to use the definition of a computer bus to define the kind which drives down the block discharging passengers, I'd laugh, call you a goofus and point out that it is as silly as your first choice of definition for the word "forced".

> The fact remains: In order to gain legal access to the HF ham bands,
> one is forced (required by circumstances) to learn Morse code, if one
> doesn't already know it.

...more panicky wordsmithing? We've now added the clause, "if one doesn't already know it" to your second definition (not the one you originally trotted out) of the word "forced".

Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil. You're looking sillier with each post.

Dave K8MN

From -5007743601296410578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:36:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 05:34:00 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 00:30:09 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 25
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!

```
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16976

T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
> In article <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
>
> >Nobody in the history of the world has ever been forced to obtain an
> >amateur radio license.  If one can't be forced to obtain a license, then
> >one cannot be forced to learn morse in order to obtain one.
> >
> >My state requires a motorcycle endorsement in order to ride a motorcyle.
> >That includes a written exam and a driving exam.  I'm not tickled about
> >taking either but I want to drive a motorcycle.  I don't feel forced at
> >all.  Riding a motorcycle is not mandatory in West Virginia.
> >
> >Your argument is as invalid now as it was the last time this went
> >around.
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
> intention of ever owning or riding one?

Nope.  It has not made obtaining a motorcycle endorsement any more
mandatory than an amateur radio license.

Dave K8MN


From -17311686487730145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:37:46 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F5C6.8065081@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 05:31:50 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <97214o$8m7$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3A94A4C5.5C7FEF4B@ovis.net> <973u053sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 00:27:59 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 28
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16977

T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
> In article <3A94A4C5.5C7FEF4B@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
> >
> >Jim Kelley wrote:
> >>
> >> Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com...
> >>
> >> > You are right Dave. I was using the word "forced" for editorial
> >> > purposes (smokescreen?) but I will stop. However I think you would
> >> > have to agree that if you want to be a HF ham you are *required* to
> >> > learn the code...
> >>
> >> TL says that somebody had to blackmail him to get him to learn the code.
> >> Must have been some photos of him caught in a compromising situation or
> >> something.
> >
> >...hand in pocket, not working around high voltage.
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> Freud said that people who resort to sexual inuendo, for lack of an argument,
> do so to compensate for their own shortcomings. (Pun intended)

Freud probably didn't get as many laughs.

Dave K8MN

From 3658032104848562911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:38:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F4ED.260770B0@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 05:28:13 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <96ubkc$79v$1@news.netmar.com>
<88569tcarc4em44kf1vq086r7gjrtr28b9@4ax.com> <3A93C356.4E5DA629@ovis.net>
<970rc10u0e@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A949DED.97503BF6@ovis.net>
<973uoc4sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 00:24:21 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 35
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.34.3!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16978

T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
> In article <3A949DED.97503BF6@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
>
> >
> >
> >I don't think buggy whips or buggies are much used anymore. Morse is in
> >current use. That you don't care for it doesn't mean it ceases to
> >exist.
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> I guess you don't live close to a large Amish community, do you? Such items are
> still very much in use there.

I guess you'd be wrong.

> But since you want examples that are a little more up to date, I might also
> point out that there is nothing on a driver's license test that requires you to
> know how to drive a standard shift vehicle in order to obtain a standard
> driver's license. This is a matter of choice.

There's no practical or written exam required for a marriage license
either.

> All that matters to you and certain others in this newsgroup, is that you'd
> rather argue semantics over the usage of the word "forced" in a sentence, than
> the reasons why high-speed code testing should have been retained for access to
> HF phone portions.

How the hell do you know what matters to me? I don't consider 5 wpm to
be high speed morse. Are you now telling us that you consider it to be?

Dave K8MN

From -7050373780592837081
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:38:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F447.36021D10@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:25:27 -0600

```
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <9728ib$9n7$1@208.206.142.93> <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-mo1.aol.com> <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104> <3A95DF18.34DF107E@IEEE.org> <974pb8$6vq$4@208.206.142.104>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982906699 64.240.47.101 (22 Feb 2001 23:38:19 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16979

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > The FIRST harmonic is the fundamental frequency.
> >
> > What's the FIRST overtone?
>
> Overtone is not the same term as harmonic.

I know that but you didn't answer my question.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

```
From -8759136416615564327
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:38:52 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F547.BF1FEA3A@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 05:29:43 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A94A29B.6A9C8F35@ovis.net> <973vak5sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 00:25:52 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 32
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16980

T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
> In article <3A94A29B.6A9C8F35@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
> >
>
> >> It's not a question of whether or not certain criteria are met. It's of
> whethe
> >r
> >> that criteria has relevance when it serves nothing more than having a
> >> recreational value as a communications mode. You can sit and argue symantics
> >> and word definitions as much as you want, but many perceived the high-speed
> >> morse testing of the past as being a hazing ritual that they were "forced"
> to
> >> take in order to have HF phone privileges. An applicant may have meet all
> othe
> >r
> >> criteria, but most definately *is* penalized if that all-mighty code test is
> >> not passed.
> >
> >Please don't start that "hazing ritual" crap again. The same penalty is
> >imposed if someone blows the almighty written exam: no license.
> >Don't you just hate that written "hazing ritual"?
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> Go back and read the part where I talked about criteria having relevance, and
> stop twisting my words to suit your own bias.

Your words weren't twisted. They were quoted. Your own bias is evident. How is it any more valueable than mine?

Dave K8MN

From -4771378755571378889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:42:08 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F55E.2600EA2C@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:30:06 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com> <3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net> <3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org> <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net> <3A951ACC.13DB3275@IEEE.org> <3A95EFC3.48A647F3@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982906979 64.240.47.101 (22 Feb 2001 23:42:59 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16981

Dave Heil wrote:

> The argument I have is with your improperly selected definition.

That simply cannot be, Dave. I very carefully selected the definition

directly out of the dictionary to convey the exact concept that I wanted
to convey. I chose to use the word. You are not God-like enough to
choose my words for me.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5072303804170157254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:43:35 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F737.7B7BC45E@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 05:37:59 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 00:34:08 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 16
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16982
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > > Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
> > > intention of ever owning or riding one?
> >
> > Probably not. Not doubt they are more firm about requiring a motorcycle
> > test if you want a motorcycle license however.
>
> Why can't the Morse code requirement be handled the same way?

It already is. Neither is forced.

Dave K8MN

From 4727127698621638548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:48:03 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F6E5.E5233886@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:36:37 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982907370
64.240.47.101 (22 Feb 2001 23:49:30 -0600)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16983

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > That's a straw man argument because no one said a ham ticket is

> > mandatory. Morse code *is* mandatory for an HF ham ticket. It is
> > "required by circumstances" which is the definition of "forced".
>
> Wait a minute. "required by circumstances" wasn't part of the
> definition you provided earlier. Are you amending your definition?

Amending? Not really. I just have two dictionaries. "Required by circumstances" is the definition of "forced" from my unabridged dictionary. I get a hernia every time I pick it up so I don't open it very often.

> It is not a forced test, Cecil. It is not mandatory to obtain an
> amateur radio license or a driver's license.

Nobody said it was mandatory to obtain an amateur radio license or a driver's license. To imply that someone did say that is simply a strawman argument because you have run out of logical arguments. In order to obtain a driver's license, a driver is forced to take a driver's test. That is a perfectly correct English language sentence, Dave. Your disagreement simply shows your ignorance.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 9085935255198254224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:52:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F786.3C6DF7B4@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:39:18 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <3A950BBB.70C44680@ovis.net>
<3A951CF5.36AD78EF@IEEE.org> <3A95F15F.FE210F74@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101

X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982907530 64.240.47.101 (22 Feb 2001 23:52:10 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16984

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > We cannot communicate because you and Dick will not accept the
> > Webster's dictionary definition of "forced - required by
> > circumstances".
>
> Nah, that's not the reason, Cecil. We can't communicate because, 1) you
> haven't settled on a definition of the word "forced" yet ...

What's wrong with "required by circumstances"?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2605774253558410809
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:52:53 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F877.7ABB0E8E@ovis.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 05:43:19 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>

```
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 23 Feb 2001 00:39:31 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 25
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16985

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  Evidently you don't accept that dictionaries have multiple definitions
> > of many words because they apply differently in different circumstances.
>
> Evidently you don't comprehend that the person who makes the statement
> gets to choose the definition of the words he uses. Rail and whine all
> you wish. The following is a fact: In order to obtain HF ham privileges,
> a ham is forced to pass a Morse code exam, i.e. it is "required by the
> circumstances" (the FCC rules). "forced - required by circumstances"

More pap.  I am:

forced to obtain a job.
forced to go grocery shopping.
forced to feed the dog.
forced to go out and pick up the newspaper.
forced to learn morse code.

Damn all this endless torment!

Find a definition which suits you yet or are you still finalizing it?

Dave K8MN



From -8965129045190388608
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 21:55:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F898.78BFE16C@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:43:52 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982907805 64.240.47.101 (22 Feb 2001 23:56:45 -0600)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16986

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Evidently you don't comprehend that the person who makes the statement
> > gets to choose the definition of the words he uses. Rail and whine all
> > you wish. The following is a fact: >>In order to obtain HF ham privileges,<<
> > a ham is forced to pass a Morse code exam, i.e. it is "required by the
> > circumstances" (the FCC rules). "forced - required by circumstances"
>
> When you can accurately say it without the prepositional phrase it will
> have some meaning.

It already has meaning, Dick, and the prepositional phrase is part of
the meaning. Are you ignorant of conditional statements? To be more
precise: If a ham is to obtain HF ham privileges then he is forced to
pass a Morse code exam. That is an absolutely true statement.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1840016906412233659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 22:00:41 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:46:32 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net> <3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net> <3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982907965 64.240.47.101 (22 Feb 2001 23:59:25 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16987

Dave Heil wrote:
> Nobody is forced to learn morse code, Cecil.

That is a false statement, Dave. If a ham is to obtain HF ham privileges then he is forced to learn Morse code. That is a true statement.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4367877318112697214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 22:01:41 PST
Message-ID: <3A95F99A.F9D9EB53@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:48:10 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <974irt$jhf$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95D94D.9A683FA4@IEEE.org> <974ovt$6vq$2@208.206.142.104>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982908064
64.240.47.101 (23 Feb 2001 00:01:04 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16988
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

```
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > How can I possibly misuse something that is down in black and white
> > in the dictionary?
```

```
>
>  Could it be that you deliberately chose a definition that didn't fit
> the context?

Nope, I deliberately chose a definition that exactly fits my context.
Sorry if it chaps your a$$.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 908991175535987728
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 22:06:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:51:30 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982908265
64.240.47.101 (23 Feb 2001 00:04:25 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!telocity-
west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16989

Dave Heil wrote:
> You can't even stick to a single definition. "required by
> circumstances"; is that your final answer?

Every definition that I have used means essentially the same
thing. "Done or produced with effort" means essentially the
same thing as "required by circumstances". Both definitions
are equally valid. One is from one dictionary and one is
from another. Do you object to all dictionaries or just some
of them?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2903786541925354602
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 22:07:22 PST
Message-ID: <3A95FAEE.D66F28D6@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:53:50 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <96ubkc$79v$1@news.netmar.com>
<88569tcarc4em44kf1vq086r7gjrtr28b9@4ax.com> <3A93C356.4E5DA629@ovis.net>
<970rc10u0e@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A949DED.97503BF6@ovis.net>
<973uoc4sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F4ED.260770B0@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982908406 64.240.47.101 (23 Feb 2001 00:06:46 -0600)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body

```
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16990

Dave Heil wrote:
> I don't consider 5 wpm to be high speed morse.

It's the highest speed Morse code exam we have.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7065923110208714920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 22:10:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A95FBB5.1342F5CE@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:57:09 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A95F737.7B7BC45E@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982908611
64.240.47.101 (23 Feb 2001 00:10:11 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
```

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.bc.net!
earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16991

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Why can't the Morse code requirement be handled the same way?
>
> It already is. Neither is forced.

Of course they are, Dave. If I want to operate a motorcycle legally
in Texas then I am forced (required by circumstances) to take a
motorcycle test. If you say that is not a true statement then you
are simply lying.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5787429099851300921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 22:12:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A95FC6A.4AF37F8C@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 00:00:10 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101

```
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982908798
64.240.47.101 (23 Feb 2001 00:13:18 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16992

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> T. L. Bryant wrote:
> > Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
> > intention of ever owning or riding one?
>
> Nope.  It has not made obtaining a motorcycle endorsement any more
> mandatory than an amateur radio license.

You have completely missed the point so far, Dave. If one is to operate
a motorcycle legally it is mandatory that one take a motorcycle test, i.e.
one is forced (required by circumstances) to take a motorcycle test in
order to avoid illegal operation.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 6027283650021467274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 22:20:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A95FD92.205AEF27@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 00:05:06 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
```

References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com> <3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93> <3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104> <3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <3A95F877.7ABB0E8E@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982909095 64.240.47.101 (23 Feb 2001 00:18:15 -0600)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16993

Dave Heil wrote:
> More pap. I am:
> forced to obtain a job.

Yes, if you want to support yourself financially, you are forced to obtain a job.

> forced to go grocery shopping.

Yes, if you are out of food, you are forced to go grocery shopping.

> forced to feed the dog.

Yes, if you want your dog to survive, you are forced to feed the dog.

> forced to go out and pick up the newspaper.

Yes, if you want to read the newspaper, you are forced to pick it up.

> forced to learn morse code.

Yes, if you want to legally operate on the HF ham bands, you are forced to learn Morse code.

By Jove, I think you've got it!
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1883176686600939285
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 00:48:05 PST
From: "Ken Diaz" <kenjd@email.msn.com>
Subject: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Date: Wed, 21 Feb 2001 14:04:28 -0800
Lines: 6
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.25.84.174
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!nntp.flash.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpmsnbbsb04!cpmsnbbsa09
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16994

Truckers from "back east" are S-7 to S-9 here in Southern California. Hope Reilly knows about this. This posting is so we can assist in trying to locate these guys (Texas?). These guys are now appearing daily.
Thanks, Ken, WB9YCJ/6

From 406649591800694734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 04:38:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A9507B9.C1FD3DC6@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: FBI spy
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 07:36:09 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982845376 209.140.206.201 (Thu, 22 Feb 2001 07:36:16 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 07:36:16 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16895

Here's something interesting:

HANSSEN, ROBERT P, K9QVL (General)
9414 TALISMAN DR
VIENNA, VA 22182
Issue Date: Sep 15, 1997
Expire Date: Sep 15, 2007
Date of last Change: Sep 15, 1997

Same name and address as the FBI agent arrested for spying. See:
http://news.findlaw.com/cnn/docs/hanssen/hanssenaff022001.pdf (600+ kB).

Jon

From 6277673023430162025
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 04:50:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 12:46:49 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Feb 2001 07:42:33 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 16
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16897

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Nowhere did I disagree with Webster. I disagree with the improper use
> > of the word "forced" and I disagree with your use of the improper
> > definition in an effort to make a word what you'd like it to mean.
>
> I don't have any choice in the matter. A word means what the dictionary
> says it means, not what you or I would like for it to mean.

Sure you do. Several times you've given a dictionary definition chosen
from one of several meanings. Several times you've chosen the wrong
definition to suit the situation. I say that you HAVE chosen
definitions which suit your purpose and ignore definitions which do not.

Dave K8MN

From 7218409244170728423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 04:50:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A9509B0.63EB3038@ovis.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 12:44:32 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3a8f8fa9.39581656@news2.dvol.com>
<l3vv8ts9g3lnovbb5q46inl65nudilirb9@4ax.com> <3a909751.15834113@news2.dvol.com>
<uta19tg4h06q5r5n3ha3bu9k020ponko7t@4ax.com> <3a91a052.83680252@news2.dvol.com>
<9so39tc9c1j57seppplonaulll5h9bp5d1@4ax.com> <3a92ade7.152699283@news2.dvol.com>
<q8569t0m4sdoapds1hag59m36mg11h1jvm@4ax.com>
<pv669ts32gcdefd9s2rlfquojogipjg6p8@4ax.com> <3A93C63B.A185984E@ovis.net>
<9eu79t0o48bj8690gjmv1jhgco68isfcij@4ax.com> <3A94A183.6C279186@ovis.net>
<hka99tg3jf9aqcovgc774na1vuv2vp999m@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Feb 2001 07:40:14 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 22
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16896

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> >I don't know of any contests which require an *accurate* signal
> >report be given.

>
> Do you agree that it's kind of silly to have a signal report
> requirement for a contest if it means nothing?

Call it semantics again if you like, I'd use the word "pointless" rather
than "silly". Since you've told us that you're not a contester, it's
really no skin off your nose.

> >My Sunday evenings were always reserved for my several hour chats with
> >my QSL manager and some pals. DXers, as a general rule, observed our
> >desire to rag chew during those times.
>
> When you are the DX, you make your own ragchewing rules... :-)

Exactly!

Dave K8MN

From -1802832247423132841
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 04:50:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 12:48:07 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Feb 2001 07:43:51 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 14
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16898

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > I don't know about its being more neutral but it is correct. One of the
> > requirements for an HF ticket is the passage of a morse exam.
>
> And, according to the dictionary, in order to meet the requirements

> for an HF ticket, one is forced to learn Morse code (if one doesn't
> already know it).

You were wrong the last time you trotted out this crap and you're wrong
now.

Dave K8MN

```
From -3366815420594078599
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 04:56:04 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 12:54:45 GMT
Message-ID: <3a950c0f.98992112@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3a8e621f.220286661@news2.dvol.com> <3A8E8986.6A8DC2B5@arrl.org>
<3a8f8fa9.39581656@news2.dvol.com> <l3vv8ts9g3lnovbb5q46inl65nudilirb9@4ax.com>
<3a909751.15834113@news2.dvol.com> <uta19tg4h06q5r5n3ha3bu9k020ponko7t@4ax.com>
<3a91a052.83680252@news2.dvol.com> <9so39tc9c1j57seppplonaulll5h9bp5d1@4ax.com>
<3a92ade7.152699283@news2.dvol.com> <q8569t0m4sdoapds1hag59m36mg11h1jvm@4ax.com>
<pv669ts32gcdefd9s2rlfquojogipjg6p8@4ax.com> <3a93cacd.16745274@news2.dvol.com>
<dmu79tcfooabps84f12r6isajg8lfp5uou@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.23
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.23
X-Trace: 22 Feb 2001 06:53:05 -0600, 64.24.16.23
Lines: 55
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16899
```

On Wed, 21 Feb 2001 17:40:41 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
wrote:

>kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote:
>>There is no such thing as an "honest signal report".
>
>I give honest signal reports with *every* QSO...
>

When was it that you last calibrated yer s-meter against traceable
standards? Having done that who cares??

>
>>Giving everybody a 599 saves messing with bookeeping details
>>nobody actually cares about.
>
>So why not get rid of the apparently worthless piece of info...

>
(1) It's in the rules, part of the game.
(2) There's a lot more than just the signal report in the exchange.
Make a few percent copying errors and the sponser's computers will
bounce you out of the contest.
>
>>You only have to program one channel in the memory keyer or one
>>function key on the keyboard to ship the whole exchange.
>
>No much manual skill involved anymore is there...
>
Any idiot, even you can send 45wpm with a computer or a memory keyer.
Try copying 45wpm in a contest with a computer.
>
>>[Aaron: I think I could "dredge" up a QSO just about anytime.]
>>I sed dx qso.
>
>I know, but I don't do DX.
>
No surprise there. You yak with Burke all day all night or what?
>
>>The boat got bigger, 3 bands bigger, they're spread around more, lotta
>>of 'em doing PSK31, etc.
>
>Ok, I'll modify my original statement. Contesters go back to their
>mikes *and* PSK31 after the contests...
>
Name one.
>
>>Yeah, activity is down a bit from 25 years ago. At the rate cw is
>>"dying" it looks like it'll take another 25 years to drop down another
>>noticeable increment. Hold yer breath.
>
>Yep, I hope that I can keep my breath for
>at least another 25 years... :-)
>
. . . duhhhh
>
w3rv

From -8074453657840238217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 04:57:42 PST
Message-ID: <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 12:52:10 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Feb 2001 07:47:53 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 33
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16900

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Nobody in the history of the world has ever been forced to obtain an
> > amateur radio license.  If one can't be forced to obtain a license, then
> > one cannot be forced to learn morse in order to obtain one.
>
> You obviously do not understand the definition of "forced", Dave.
> From Webster's: "forced - done or produced with effort, ...". In
> order to obtain an HF ham license, one is forced to learn Morse
> code, if one doesn't already know it.

I understand the definition to be applied to the situation, Cecil.
Learning morse is not "compulsory" or "forced". Either you are unable to
properly use a dictionary or you are trolling.  There can be no other
explanation.

> > My state requires a motorcycle endorsement in order to ride a motorcyle.
> > That includes a written exam and a driving exam.  I'm not tickled about
> > taking either but I want to drive a motorcycle.  I don't feel forced at
> > all.
>
> Your feelings are irrelevant. To be able to legally ride a motorcycle,
> one is forced to take the motorcycle exams. That's completely consistent
> with the definition of "forced". Your argument is with the dictionary,
> not with me.

I have no argument with the dictionary definition.  I am not forced to
obtain a motorcycle endorsement.  It is my choice to obtain one.  Riding
a motorcycle is no more mandatory than obtaining an amateur radio
license.  No force is involved.

Dave K8MN


From 3186575886701540742
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 04:58:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A950BBB.70C44680@ovis.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 12:53:15 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Feb 2001 07:48:57 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 20
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16901

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > Hams who want to legally operate a
> > > transmitter on HF ham frequencies are forced to learn Morse code.
> >
> > Which is just fine so long as they have the option of declining to get a
> > ham license. Ham radio is just not a suitable hobby for everyone, you
> > now...Don't you?
>
> I never said otherwise. This argument is over the definition of the
> word "forced". Either you accept the dictionary or you don't. If you
> don't accept the dictionary then we cannot communicate.

We obviously cannot communicate, Cecil, even if we accept the
dictionary.

Dave K8MN

From -3426196013752469147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 05:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!xmission!
news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 13:01:02 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A950D8E.48FCE8F3@bctv.com>
References: <3A9507B9.C1FD3DC6@arrl.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 982846988 5446 63.87.116.71 (22 Feb 2001 13:03:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Feb 2001 13:03:08 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16902
```

Espionage at 13WPM?

Jon Bloom wrote:

>
> Here's something interesting:
>
> HANSSEN, ROBERT P, K9QVL (General)
> 9414 TALISMAN DR
> VIENNA, VA 22182
> Issue Date: Sep 15, 1997
> Expire Date: Sep 15, 2007
> Date of last Change: Sep 15, 1997
>
> Same name and address as the FBI agent arrested for spying. See:
> http://news.findlaw.com/cnn/docs/hanssen/hanssenaff022001.pdf (600+ kB).
>
> Jon

```
From -4138517837203206778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 05:10:01 PST
Message-ID: <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 13:05:52 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com> <3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net> <3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 22 Feb 2001 08:01:35 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 71
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16903

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Thu, 22 Feb 2001 05:31:09 +0000, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>
> >Cecil wrote:
> >> If someone has to expend unwanted effort to achieve a requirement,
> >> they have, by definition, been forced to do so by the requirement.
> >> That's one of the Webster's definitions of "forced". There's nothing
> >> said or implied one way or another about the social value of being
> >> forced. People who want to legally operate a vehicle are forced to
> >> obtain a driver's license. Hams who want to legally operate a
> >> transmitter on HF ham frequencies are forced to learn Morse code.
> >
> >Nobody in the history of the world has ever been forced to obtain an
> >amateur radio license. If one can't be forced to obtain a license, then
> >one cannot be forced to learn morse in order to obtain one.
>
> That is true of the license itself, but once anyone decides to
> obtain a license, the requirements become mandatory. THAT
> is the issue...the reuirements of government imposed on individuals
> to obtain ANY license MUST bear relavence and justification to
> the license being obtained. Those of us on the No Code Test side
> believe (as does the FCC IMHO) that a code test is NO LONGER
> a valid requirement to get a ham license. The ONLY rational that
> sustained even a 5 wpm test for HF was and still is the international
> treaty agreement.

"Once one decides" is a really important clause, Bill. It certainly
implies choice. Obtaining a ham ticket is not mandatory. If one
decides for himself to obtain one, he meets the requirements for
obtaining it.

I know what your side believes and you know that I do not agree with

your premise, IMNSHO. Now what do we do about that darned "forced"
written test? Think we should take out all of those pesky technical
questions? After all, if we simply know the regs and a few safety
rules, we can always send our equipment back for factory service.

> >My state requires a motorcycle endorsement in order to ride a motorcyle.
> >That includes a written exam and a driving exam. I'm not tickled about
> >taking either but I want to drive a motorcycle. I don't feel forced at
> >all.
>
> That is because those requirements are VALID in relation to the
> license you will be issued by the state. Would you have such
> a generous viewpoint if one of those requirements was
> to pass a CPR or first aid test to get the motorcycle license?

CPR relates to motorcycle as morse code relates to ham radio? I'm not
buying.

> >Riding a motorcycle is not mandatory in West Virginia.
> >Your argument is as invalid now as it was the last time this went
> >around.
>
> Actually, the argument IS valid because that is the perspective
> that the FCC clearly has with regard to ALL the requirements.
> The individual requirements for any license ARE mandatory
> to get that license and it has been and still is the FCC's job
> to JUSTIFY any such license requirements.

...and it would be a state's "job" in ensure that motor vehicle regs for
licensing are justifiable. I'm still not forced to obtain an amateur
license or a motorcycle endorsement. I'm not willing to assist you in
creating a new class of victim.

It is my observation that things which are deregulated or less regulated
seldom work as well as they did under regulation.

Dave K8MN

From 6078692563561652352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 05:14:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Date: 22 Feb 2001 13:12:16 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010222081216.12258.00002240@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16904
```

>From: Gary Danaher

>I vote for
>sending all those crappy import radios to a smelter in Pennylvania.
>

Amen!

I recently reinstalled my 10 meter rig in the car and I have been monitoring 28.085 (AM mode) while driving around. It is astounding to hear how many truckers are using this as a "company" channel.

I hear RTTY and voice announcements being made, but it appears that the truck drivers are chatting while going down the road within one mile of each other. Therefore, it is difficult to jam them or to get their attention. They probably have their radios at full squelch.

The latest QST addresses this issue in a 2-page article. If hams are interested in defending 10 meters against intruders (and want to do it "by the book" as the ARRL recommends), it will take a lot of stations monitoring to document illegal activity and help locate it.

A couple of years ago, I nearly had two of the truckers in sight. They were coming toward me and the signals were getting quite strong. They stopped transmitting just before reaching my location. I was going to get the names of the company and write the president. (This has worked occasionally in the past. Typically, the company president confers with his legal department and then sends the supervisors into the yards to check for FCC Type Approval on the radios).

Now, a question.......do we need a central clearing house for information so it can be tabulated by computer to look for patterns?

QRM

```
From -7204082398370599045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 05:52:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 22 Feb 2001 13:51:24 GMT
```

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9735gs$jok$0@208.207.71.146>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.146
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16905

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Nowhere did I disagree with Webster. I disagree with the improper use
> > of the word "forced" and I disagree with your use of the improper
> > definition in an effort to make a word what you'd like it to mean.
>
> I don't have any choice in the matter. A word means what the dictionary
> says it means, not what you or I would like for it to mean.

Dave, don't blame Cecil because he can't stay on subject and in
context.

From -5677665628733326021
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 05:56:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 22 Feb 2001 13:55:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9735p3$ki5$0@208.207.71.146>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>

<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.146
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16906

Bill Sohl wrote:
>

once anyone decides to
> obtain a license, the requirements become mandatory.

And that is the point at which one must decide whether or not he will
FORCE HIMSELF to comply with the requirements.

From -2850597228757672909
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 06:08:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Date: 22 Feb 2001 14:07:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9736f0$ln0$0@208.207.71.146>
References: <3A9507B9.C1FD3DC6@arrl.org> <3A950D8E.48FCE8F3@bctv.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.146
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16907

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> Espionage at 13WPM?
>

Why not? Wouldn't be the first time, you know.

From 393930223594763372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 06:10:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 07:57:32 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A951ACC.13DB3275@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org> <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.c9
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Feb 2001 14:07:31 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16908

Dave Heil wrote:
> Learning morse is not "compulsory" or "forced".

Yes, it is if one wants to operate legally on the HF ham frequencies.
From Webster's: "forced - enforced or compulsory - required by
circumstances" The FCC enforces the Morse code requirement for HF hams.

> I have no argument with the dictionary definition.

Of course you do, Dave. That's the only argument you have.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7806601732517958667
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 06:16:01 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 08:03:39 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.c9
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Feb 2001 14:14:13 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16909
```

Dave Heil wrote:
> Obtaining a ham ticket is not mandatory.

That's a straw man argument because no one said a ham ticket is
mandatory. Morse code *is* mandatory for an HF ham ticket. It is
"required by circumstances" which is the definition of "forced".

> Now what do we do about that darned "forced" written test?

Nothing. Some forced tests are reasonable, e.g. a driver's test.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -2548027620022128766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 06:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 08:05:09 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
```

Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A951C95.7F454572@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <9735p3$ki5$0@208.207.71.146>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.c9
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Feb 2001 14:15:39 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16910

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > once anyone decides to
> > obtain a license, the requirements become mandatory.
>
> And that is the point at which one must decide whether or not he will
> FORCE HIMSELF to comply with the requirements.

If he wants an HF ham license, he is forced to learn Morse code. From
Webster's: "forced - required by circumstances".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -5366809409892558736
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 06:18:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 08:06:45 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A951CF5.36AD78EF@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>

```
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <3A950BBB.70C44680@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.c9
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Feb 2001 14:17:15 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16911

Dave Heil wrote:
> We obviously cannot communicate, Cecil, even if we accept the
> dictionary.

We cannot communicate because you and Dick will not accept the
Webster's dictionary definition of "forced - required by
circumstances".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -6317888220009695564
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 06:22:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 08:11:38 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.c9
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Feb 2001 14:21:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16912

Dave Heil wrote:
> You were wrong the last time you trotted out this crap and you're wrong
```

> now.

So you assert the Webster's dictionary definition of "forced - required by circumstances", is incorrect. Take it up with the publishers. I, for one, will continue to honor the conventions of the English language. To do otherwise is to try to confound the language for ulterior purposes.

The *fact* remains - in order to gain legal access to the HF ham bands, one is forced to learn Morse code, if one doesn't already know it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5518097629831806001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 06:30:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A95220F.638C513B@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (X11; I; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <r6n39toqve41m1dh9pvq2mi3j3nvai96oa@4ax.com>
<20010220054340.02845.00001963@ng-fy1.aol.com>
<ua859t4o3baav0lpspcssg1f34sr8d5dv7@4ax.com>
<_%zk6.308$HH5.1662247@news.ntplx.net>
<2a569t8g522ej6rr3rf0f3scq1dderfali@4ax.com> <3A93B20B.77FF1F9B@arrl.org>
<tdu79tsb4b8fhumkso16gjg75m7ncakeff@4ax.com> <3A940C15.67018528@arrl.org>
<atv89tckqr1mncr687pv1tnpr72ilaaqc3@4ax.com> <3A948E7B.354451A8@arrl.org>
<9729lm$btm$0@208.206.142.93>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 09:28:31 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982852121 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 22 Feb 2001 09:28:41 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 09:28:41 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16913

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> I would have expected you or Ed to catch that Jones exposed a flaw in
> the
> "hand in pocket" safety theme...it doesn't always work. But what newbie
> could be expected to know it?

I see you're again reading things that weren't written.

Jon

From -609070992105261062
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 06:32:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 08:19:32 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net> <3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.c9
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Feb 2001 14:26:56 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16914

Dave Heil wrote:
> Several times you've given a dictionary definition chosen
> from one of several meanings. Several times you've chosen the wrong
> definition to suit the situation. I say that you HAVE chosen
> definitions which suit your purpose and ignore definitions which do not.

Of course, Dave, that's what people do. Does a computer bus have wheels? Of course not. When I choose the word "bus" for something inside a computer, do you object to that usage because it doesn't have wheels? Who died and made you the great white father of definitions? When I use the word "forced", I am using it correctly and in context. I chose the usage of the word. Trying to dictate the contextual definition of another person's statement is just the despicable act of a bully.

The fact remains: In order to gain legal access to the HF ham bands, one is forced (required by circumstances) to learn Morse code, if one doesn't already know it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 4959305870393142342
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 07:31:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Feb 2001 15:24:21 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <973av5$alk$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3a8f8fa9.39581656@news2.dvol.com>
<l3vv8ts9g3lnovbb5q46inl65nudilirb9@4ax.com> <3a909751.15834113@news2.dvol.com>
<uta19tg4h06q5r5n3ha3bu9k020ponko7t@4ax.com> <3a91a052.83680252@news2.dvol.com>
<9so39tc9c1j57seppplonaulll5h9bp5d1@4ax.com> <3a92ade7.152699283@news2.dvol.com>
<q8569t0m4sdoapds1hag59m36mg11h1jvm@4ax.com>
<pv669ts32gcdefd9s2rlfquojogipjg6p8@4ax.com> <3A93C63B.A185984E@ovis.net>
<9eu79t0o48bj8690gjmv1jhgco68isfcij@4ax.com> <3A94A183.6C279186@ovis.net>
<hka99tg3jf9aqcovgc774na1vuv2vp999m@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 982855461 10932 205.139.138.14 (22 Feb 2001 15:24:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Feb 2001 15:24:21 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: nomail@nomail.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16915

Aaron Jones said:

>Do you agree that it's kind of silly to have a signal report
>requirement for a contest if it means nothing?

No.

>When you are the DX, you make your own ragchewing rules... :-)

Everybody makes their own ragchewing rules. (Unless they're some
sort of sheep following what "everyone else" does.)

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -8474823904002219535
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 07:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.sttls1.wa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Keith <kewyatt@apexmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
User-Agent: Forte 1.8/32.548 {modified}
X-PGP-ID: 0x28E3ABE5 Print 329D 5AD2 0998 400F 9565 8635 C873 B393
Organization: Find Freeware @ http://strongsignals.com/
Reply-To: "Keith" <nospam@strongsignals.com>
Expires: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 11:42:11 GMT
Message-ID: <pbca9ts2f3kckfthdpej8qpsq1r6og128t@news>
References: <20010222081216.12258.00002240@ng-fz1.aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 15:38:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.0.43.125
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 982856331 65.0.43.125 (Thu, 22 Feb 2001 07:38:51 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 07:38:51 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16916

On 22 Feb 2001 13:12:16 GMT, Qrm2000
<20010222081216.12258.00002240@ng-fz1.aol.com> wrote:

>I hear RTTY and voice announcements being made, but it appears that the truck
>drivers are chatting while going down the road within one mile of each other.
>Therefore, it is difficult to jam them or to get their attention. They
>probably have their radios at full squelch.

One of the ways to combat this is to find out who the driver works for. If it is an independent driver there isn't much you can do, but if it is a UPS or other company driver a prompt letter to the company headquarters about the use of their equipment in the commission in a federal crime will certainly get their attention. Make sure you send a copy to Hollingsworth.

```
--
Best Regards,

Keith         (Use Reply-to for email)
---------------------------------------------------------------------------
Where do you discover free software for Windows? Strongsignals DOT COM is a
great place to start: http://Strongsignals.com  "Where would Christianity be
if Jesus got eight to fifteen years with time off for good behavior?" NY
State Senator James Donovan, speaking in support of capital punishment.
--------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

```
From -2695456642209870337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,14a5251fb4729f61,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,14a5251fb4729f61,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,14a5251fb4729f61,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 10:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "cotter pins" <thisiscream@aol.com>
From: "cotter pins" <thisiscream@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc
,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Lines: 72
Organization: union suit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 18:35:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.192.71.80
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982866905 216.192.71.80 (Thu, 22 Feb
2001 10:35:05 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 10:35:05 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9742
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2181 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12985
```

rec.radio.amateur.policy:16917 rec.radio.shortwave:28122

Now let me get this straight Darryl....

We now need a system of "E-QSL's" because (really) hams are
too stinking *cheap* to spend 34 cents postage to put their QSL
in a envelope..??

This is the root cause (above) which is REALLY driving this issue!
Is it no? Be honest now. What a bunch of tightwads you
hams are. When's the last time GW saw sunlight opening up your moldy
wallets? And I thought all these guys were tighter than a crab's asshole
at hamfests - hoy.

"Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON" <darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com> wrote in message
news:904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100...
> Greetings,
>
> I believe in E-QSL, but nothing I have seen so fair fills the bill.
>
> I think the solution should be open source so that we don't get
> locked in to one provider of trusted E-QSLs.
>
> Here is the idea:
>
> The system would use digital signatures based upon the RSA
> encryption standard. The public key would be signed by a
> trusted third party. Once the key has been signed, it can
> be transmitted with the E-QSL or looked up in a trusted public
> key server. The details is a little hard to explain, but
> the jest is once a amateur has a signed public key, anyone
> can validate their e-qsl information without using a active
> protocol with a third part. This means that my log program
> can email a qsl information almost in real time.
>
> Everything needed to do this is public and free to use. We
> will need the following functions:
>
>   1. Certification Authority software and libraries.
>   2. Signing libraries.
>   3. validation libraires.
>
> The goal is to create a set of libraries and software which
> will be licensed using LGPL (Lessier Gnu Public Licenses) or
> open software licenses. This will allow trusted groups such
> as ARRL to have a revenue on create certifications (signing
> public keys) but no one will have a lock on the software.
> This we allow logging software authors to incorporate digital
> signature functions into their logging program without having
> to pay a license fee.
>

```
> I can do most of the design and some of the program, but I
> can't do it by myself.  Most important I DO NOT want to OWN IT.
> For this to work it must be a free standard, with no strings
> attached.
>
> TQSL to be:
>
>   1.  A trusted system
>   2.  Free and open standards
>   3.  Easy to use and implement.  ie:  Users pushs a button on
>       his/her logging program and a TQSL is created.  This eqsl
>     could be sent via email, to a e-buro, etc.  The important
>     thing is once created it can be authenicated at any point
>       by anyone, just by point software at it.
>
> Any takers?
>
> Darryl Wagoner WA1GON
> darryl@shecora.com
```

```
From 5033859364719764449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,6a9de8a83229e66,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,6a9de8a83229e66,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6a9de8a83229e66,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 10:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: kb9tmp@my-deja.com
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew
Subject: Re: Don`t say you haven`t been
warned                                                              .  1590
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Feb 2001 18:42:51 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <973mjb$73g$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3a8f8f7f$33_1@plato.netscapeonline.co.uk>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 982867371 7280 205.139.138.14 (22 Feb 2001 18:42:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Feb 2001 18:42:51 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: diywbt@safesurfers.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: bridge-209-43-90-61.iquest.net
```

```
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 209.43.90.61
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16918
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12987 rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:4230

In article <3a8f8f7f$33_1@plato.netscapeonline.co.uk>,
<diywbt@safesurfers.org> writes:
>Watch out you, are YOU being checked.............
>
>Have you viewed illegal pictures
>
>You're in Serious Trouble - It's a Proven Fact!
>
>Deleting "Internet Cache and History" will NOT protect you
>because any of the Web Pages, Pictures, Movies, Videos, Sounds,
>E-mail, Chat Logs and Everything Else you see or do could easily be
recovered
>
>This is the answer..................
http://www.evidence-eliminator.com/go.shtml?A653704
>
>Surf safely folks!
>sfphhefrzpkrotspiusnwlgvnxpjlehntbpbbczmbkgk
>

Or you could go to http://www.completelyfreesoftware.com/ and download
Eraser version 4.1 for FREE and save the $74.95(US) to spend on something
else like maybe radio equipment ;)



 -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net


From 3250714219086524567
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 11:05:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Darryl Wagoner <dwagoner@shecora.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.shortwave
```

Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 14:04:56 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A9562D8.760DD83A@shecora.com>
Reply-To: darryl@shecora.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.16-22enterprise i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 32
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2182
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12989 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16919
rec.radio.shortwave:28128

cotter pins wrote:

> Now let me get this straight Darryl....
>
> We now need a system of "E-QSL's" because (really) hams are
> too stinking *cheap* to spend 34 cents postage to put their QSL
> in a envelope..??
>
> This is the root cause (above) which is REALLY driving this issue!
> Is it no? Be honest now. What a bunch of tightwads you
> hams are. When's the last time GW saw sunlight opening up your moldy
> wallets? And I thought all these guys were tighter than a crab's asshole
> at hamfests - hoy.

I really think cost is a secondary factor. The main factor is that e-QSLs
are
easy and fast. I known hams who have waited over a year for a QSL card.
Cost is important as well. There is the cost of printing and the cost
of mailing. $0.34 isn't much until you have to mail out 30,000 cards, then
we are talking real money. Even someone like me who only makes
a few thousand contacts per year it still adds up.

The other issue is Trusted E-QSLs will help streamline the awards process.
Not only in the amount of time it takes to process the award, but in
the time required to collect the QSL cards.

I have been getting a lot of interest in email about this concept.

Later
Darryl WA1GON

From -6023264605106680517

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 11:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <ocha9tgcuqlecgeer2ali0sn8je6qfc84k@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 19:10:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.119
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982869022 209.86.208.119 (Thu, 22 Feb
2001 11:10:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 11:10:22 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16920

Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> I'd use the word "pointless" rather than "silly".
>Since you've told us that you're not a contester, it's
>really no skin off your nose.

 Actually if they did away with all of ham radio except ragchewing on
CW it would be no skin off my nose. But I guess what you're really
saying is why should I care about false signal reports in a contest if
I'm not a contester. I don't. I was just interested to see if there
was any logical explanation for this "pointless" practice. Apparently
not, and judging from some of the abrasive responses (by others) it is
a rather sensitive subject...  :-)


From 1519369829598354220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 11:36:02 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc
,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 14:33:34 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 96
Message-ID: <973pif$bnf$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 9e.fc.26.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 22 Feb 2001 19:33:35 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express Macintosh Edition - 4.5 (0410)
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9744
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2183 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12991
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16921 rec.radio.shortwave:28134

Oy vey, what bullsnot! You are definitely on the right track concerning e-QSLs but all this damn bureaucratic rigamarole red tape nonsense over them is preposterous.

You work a guy and he sends a plain and simple e-mail conformation. "This is to acknowledge my SSB contact with WX56Fink on 14.279 at 0046 UTC, Feb.20,2001. Ur sig 5/7 and so forth and so on." You then print it out and if need be send it to the ARRL for your WAS or WAC or whatever. If they accept it,fine. If not, they're cutting off their nose to spite their face. Why my cavalier attitude?

One has to be just plain stupid not to know that computers are overwhelming ham radio in interest/popularity. The ARRL wants to do everything possible to keep their own tuchuses afloat so why would they reject such down to earth e-QSLs? You think they're out to make it tougher on Joe Ham? And besides, we are a cut above the rest, aren't we?

By nature, QSL collectors are Boy Scouts except of course if they nowadays lean toward homosexuality which is taboo. At any rate this whole concept of e-QSLs is excellent. The price of postage keeps rising and so do QSL cards. Naturally if the ARRL goes along with the idea, their QSL printer advertisers will go crazy and try to convince them of the fact that every e-one will be bogus. But in the long run they'll shut up because it's a losing battle for them.

"Wags" you're a flaming genius but enough with all this pomp and circumstance regarding the formalization and authenticity of those cards. Want to work the country of "Goochaboola." Nowadays one can either listen for it on the bands and send a signal at 186,000 miles per second to snag

it or look for a newsgroup, alt.goochaboola.politics and work it that way.
Want to keep ham radio alive? INCORPORATE computer QSLs into it instead of
scoffing at the idea. How about an autograph, "Wags?" You're a mover and
shaker.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "cotter
pins" <thisiscream@aol.com> wrote:

> "Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON" <darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com> wrote in message
> news:904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100...
>> Greetings,
>>
>> I believe in E-QSL, but nothing I have seen so fair fills the bill.
>>
>> I think the solution should be open source so that we don't get
>> locked in to one provider of trusted E-QSLs.
>>
>> Here is the idea:
>>
>> The system would use digital signatures based upon the RSA
>> encryption standard. The public key would be signed by a
>> trusted third party. Once the key has been signed, it can
>> be transmitted with the E-QSL or looked up in a trusted public
>> key server. The details is a little hard to explain, but
>> the jest is once a amateur has a signed public key, anyone
>> can validate their e-qsl information without using a active
>> protocol with a third part. This means that my log program
>> can email a qsl information almost in real time.
>>
>> Everything needed to do this is public and free to use. We
>> will need the following functions:
>>
>>   1. Certification Authority software and libraries.
>>   2. Signing libraries.
>>   3. validation libraires.
>>
>> The goal is to create a set of libraries and software which
>> will be licensed using LGPL (Lessier Gnu Public Licenses) or
>> open software licenses. This will allow trusted groups such
>> as ARRL to have a revenue on create certifications (signing
>> public keys) but no one will have a lock on the software.
>> This we allow logging software authors to incorporate digital
>> signature functions into their logging program without having
>> to pay a license fee.
>>
>> I can do most of the design and some of the program, but I
>> can't do it by myself. Most important I DO NOT want to OWN IT.
>> For this to work it must be a free standard, with no strings
>> attached.

>>
>> TQSL to be:
>>
>>   1. A trusted system
>>   2. Free and open standards
>>   3. Easy to use and implement. ie: Users pushs a button on
>>   his/her logging program and a TQSL is created. This eqsl
>>   could be sent via email, to a e-buro, etc. The important
>>   thing is once created it can be authenicated at any point
>>   by anyone, just by point software at it.
>>
>> Any takers?
>>
>> Darryl Wagoner WA1GON

From -1422649320932728027
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 12:10:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 15:09:05 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t9asejie0o3p6b@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3A9469F3.2860504@home.com> <20010221223210.26080.00002145@ng-mo1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 20
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16922

"RMoretta" <rmoretta@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010221223210.26080.00002145@ng-mo1.aol.com...
> Don't like a
> service paralleling the amateur service?(As meritless an arguement as
that
> is!)...let the ITU agreement handle it, NOT the ARRL!

If I remember reading the FCC's reasons for denying the petition correctly,
it was the FCC that made the comment, about not creating a service
paralleling the Amateur service, not the ARRL. The ARRL's concern, again
from what I remember reading, was allowing CBers to legally work DX would
only encourage further use of amplifiers, a practice already out of control
on 11m as it is among other things.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From 8559592504212056805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 12:16:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Feb 2001 20:02:41 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <973r91$g7d$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3A9562D8.760DD83A@shecora.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 982872161 16621 205.139.138.14 (22 Feb 2001 20:02:41 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Feb 2001 20:02:41 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: dwagoner@shecora.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16924
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2185 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12997
rec.radio.shortwave:28140

In article <3A9562D8.760DD83A@shecora.com>, Darryl Wagoner
<dwagoner@shecora.com> writes:
>cotter pins wrote:
>
>> Now let me get this straight Darryl....
>>
>> We now need a system of "E-QSL's" because (really) hams are

>> too stinking *cheap* to spend 34 cents postage to put their QSL
>> in a envelope..??
>>
>> This is the root cause (above) which is REALLY driving this issue!
>> Is it no? Be honest now. What a bunch of tightwads you
>> hams are. When's the last time GW saw sunlight opening up your moldy
>> wallets? And I thought all these guys were tighter than a crab's asshole
>> at hamfests - hoy.
>
>I really think cost is a secondary factor. The main factor is that e-QSLs
>are
>easy and fast. I known hams who have waited over a year for a QSL card.
>Cost is important as well. There is the cost of printing and the cost
>of mailing. $0.34 isn't much until you have to mail out 30,000 cards, then
>we are talking real money. Even someone like me who only makes
>a few thousand contacts per year it still adds up.
>
>The other issue is Trusted E-QSLs will help streamline the awards process.
>Not only in the amount of time it takes to process the award, but in
>the time required to collect the QSL cards.
>
>I have been getting a lot of interest in email about this concept.
>
>Later
>Darryl WA1GON
>
>

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 9169203478878261500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 12:16:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec
.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal

Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Feb 2001 20:13:37 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <973rth$hgo$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3A9562D8.760DD83A@shecora.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 982872817 17944 205.139.138.14 (22 Feb 2001 20:13:37 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Feb 2001 20:13:37 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: dwagoner@shecora.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16923
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2184 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12996
rec.radio.shortwave:28139

In article <3A9562D8.760DD83A@shecora.com>, Darryl Wagoner
<dwagoner@shecora.com> writes:

>The other issue is Trusted E-QSLs will help streamline the awards process.
>Not only in the amount of time it takes to process the award, but in
>the time required to collect the QSL cards.

I've already been notified I'd be a pariah if I opposed this idea, so my continued opposition can't hurt me anymore, I guess.

There are four reasons (at least) why this idea will not be widely adopted.

#1) Traditionalists (like me) who place high value on paper QSLs will not participate in an eQSL scheme.

#2) Most major DXpeditions derive much of their funding from donations received in the QSL process. They are unlikely to participate in scheme which diminishes that funding channel.

#3) Perhaps less than 50% of the DXCC 'entities' have the required telecom infrastructure to support routine participation by the average resident.

#4) ARRL is well down the path of deploying LOTW which will become the DXCC "eLog", and thus the 'solution of choice' among serious DX players. Any other scheme is second-tier right out of the box.

73, Pariah, K0HB

Good luck on this one now!

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 3008805259303324565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 12:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3A9562D8.760DD83A@shecora.com> <973rth$hgo$1@news.netmar.com>
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <2Wel6.319$HH5.1784906@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 15:46:11 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 982874878 209.140.206.200 (Thu, 22 Feb 2001 15:47:58 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 15:47:58 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16925

<K0HB@qwest.net> wrote:

> #4) ARRL is well down the path of deploying LOTW which will become the
DXCC
> "eLog", and thus the 'solution of choice' among serious DX players. Any
> other scheme is second-tier right out of the box.

http://www.arrl.org/announce/reports-01/msd.html

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2347360550327241618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 12:52:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 22 Feb 2001 20:16:52 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <973s3k0sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <3A941FE5.2A0DCA8D@uci.edu>
<971b6g31sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A944744.C5A07091@uci.edu>
<971po402m88@enews2.newsguy.com> <972088$84g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-726.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16926

In article <972088$84g$1@news.service.uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>
>
>T. L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
>news:971po402m88@enews2.newsguy.com...
>> I can follow discussion when a relative and logical comparison is made.
>It's
>> not so easy when you pull something out of your colon that supposed to
>make me
>> understand what you're talking about.
>
>Did you force yourself to write your reply, or do you write on autopilot?

Like an umpire, I call 'em as I see 'em.

From -1547363917203316588
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 13:22:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 22 Feb 2001 20:28:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <973son1sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <971u7t$7bk$1@208.207.71.169>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-790.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16927

In article <971u7t$7bk$1@208.207.71.169>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>> It's not a question of whether or not certain criteria are met. It's of
whethe
>r
>> that criteria has relevance when it serves nothing more than having a
>> recreational value as a communications mode. You can sit and argue symantics
>> and word definitions as much as you want, but many perceived the high-speed
>> morse testing of the past as being a hazing ritual that they were "forced"
to
>> take in order to have HF phone privileges. An applicant may have meet all
othe
>r
>> criteria, but most definately *is* penalized if that all-mighty code test is
>> not passed.
>
>
>Gee, TL I'm sure sorry you couldn't "force" yourself to do it. Maybe you
>should exercise a little better control over yourself?

If that's intended to mean that I haven't passed any amateur licensing exams, I suggest you learn how to use an archive service, such as deja.com. You'll find my callsign postings I've made within the past year. When you do find it, you'll also find that I have been licensed as an Extra since 1997, well before the current re-structuring was put into place. I quit posting my callsign to prove a point, and that is that no matter what your opinion is, it seems that if no one posts a callsign that shows you've passed a hazing ritual of high-speed morse code testing, some people think that you're opinion doesn't mean jack shit. Thanks for proving my point.

From 7264064792235845661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 13:22:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 22 Feb 2001 20:31:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <973suo2sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <r6n39toqve41m1dh9pvq2mi3j3nvai96oa@4ax.com>
<20010220054340.02845.00001963@ng-fy1.aol.com>
<ua859t4o3baav0lpspcssg1f34sr8d5dv7@4ax.com>
<_%zk6.308$HH5.1662247@news.ntplx.net>
<2a569t8g522ej6rr3rf0f3scq1dderfali@4ax.com> <3A93B20B.77FF1F9B@arrl.org>
<tdu79tsb4b8fhumkso16gjg75m7ncakeff@4ax.com> <3A940C15.67018528@arrl.org>
<atv89tckqr1mncr687pv1tnpr72ilaaqc3@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-810.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16928

In article <atv89tckqr1mncr687pv1tnpr72ilaaqc3@4ax.com>, nomail@nomail.com
says...
>
>Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:
>>And I'm still wondering.
>
> That apology doesn't sound very heartfelt... :-)
>
>BTW is there a "hand in the pocket" safety
> question on any of the ham tests?

Yes. It's called safe sex for hams.

From 3585752417167358119
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 13:24:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: Darryl Wagoner <dwagoner@shecora.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 16:22:56 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3A958330.E328B297@shecora.com>

Reply-To: darryl@shecora.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.16-22enterprise i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
CC: darryl@shecora.com
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3A9562D8.760DD83A@shecora.com> <973rth$hgo$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 56
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16929

K0HB@qwest.net wrote:

>
> #1) Traditionalists (like me) who place high value on paper QSLs will not
> participate in an eQSL scheme.

I like paper as well. That is one of the reasons I don't want to send my
paper QSL cards off. They do not exclude each other.   In fact paper
QSL can also be trustedQSL with a bar code signature.

> #2) Most major DXpeditions derive much of their funding from donations
> received in the QSL process. They are unlikely to participate in scheme
> which diminishes that funding channel.

There may be a funding source from e-QSLs. Then again they maybe
paper only for DXpeditions and even if not paper only I think
people will still donate.

> #3) Perhaps less than 50% of the DXCC 'entities' have the required telecom
> infrastructure to support routine participation by the average resident.

Hmmm? This is where a system like LOTW and eQSL.cc breaks down. It
requires a connection. Trusted QSLs do not, it only requires a computer.
We could even set up e-buros which would collect Trusted Eqsl and send
them via snail mail to an upload point. That would still be faster and cheaper
than standard paper buros. Thanks for the idea!

Or how about this packet networks to send Trusted QSL? Is this legal?
It would be signing the plain text data, so there would be no information hiding.

> #4) ARRL is well down the path of deploying LOTW which will become the DXCC
> "eLog", and thus the 'solution of choice' among serious DX players. Any
> other scheme is second-tier right out of the box.

In the ARRL report the HQ staff was only task with doing it in Jan 01. They
are still review options. I hope one of those options will be a PK Trusted
QSL system. The problem with having a hugh database is that the
person sending and validating the QSL has to be connected. Trusted
QSL that isn't the case. They can be validate at anytime.   Of course

what will happen is LOTW will start off as a free service then they
will charge $5.00 then $10.00, $15.00, etc. But now you are locked in
and they have you right where they want you. With a Trusted Open
Source/Standard system no one group will have total control. Which
is the way I like it.

I had thought of an idea to have instantQSL, where the sending would
give you a 6 or 7 character signature, but it turns out it would be
to easy to fake, but it was a great idea while it lasted!

Happy DXings and 73
Darryl WA1GON

From -7321525266699265941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8034bec37c19d783
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 13:36:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Feb 2001 21:32:57 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g94ruq0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Intruder Alert - 29.260 A.M. 2230 Z - 2400 Z
Message-ID: <20010222163257.01736.00000450@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16930

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g94ruq0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>On 22 Feb 2001 01:33:03 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>A hundred of us swearing on a stack of Bibles that we heard
>>these guys on 29.260 won't do as much as one tape of them. I
>>don't know why, but that's how the FCC works these things today.
>
> That's how it worked 30 years ago as well.....no tape, no cite.
>
> It's called "probative evidence".

Thanks, Phil. I didn't know that term or the history before.

I wonder how many complaints of "I reported it to the FCC but they never did a thing" can be traced to lack of evidence.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1095991117136663048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 13:36:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 133
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Feb 2001 21:32:57 GMT
References: <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010222163257.01736.00000449@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16931

In article <B6AE2877.1F05%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>> Can anyone logically go from being
>> a beginner ham to being an expert
>> ham (who is progressive and abreast
>> with modern science and technology)
>> by passing only THREE multiple
>> choice exams?
>
>
> Good grief! It this myth ever going to go away?

Which myth?
>
> 1. There is no expert ham.

Sure there are - lots of them, on many different subjects. Usually they don't go around claiming to be experts, though.

I think what you're saying is that the tests don't make one an expert, and never have. That I can agree with.

>By definition, this is a hobby radio service for amateurs.

Nope. Nowhere in the definition (Part 97) does the word "hobby" appear. What
makes ham radio "amateur" is the prohibition against "pecuniary interest".
>
> 2. The license exam is an entrance exam, not a final exam.

I agree 100%. The exams are by no means comprehensive - and never were.

>It is after
>you get the license that one begins to really learn about radio.

I'm surprised that nobody has challenged this with the "friend who has a Ph.D
in EE" claims!

>The
>license exam is designed ONLY to introduce the basics.

Actually, there's a bit more to it. See below.
>
> 3. The license is a permit to learn about radio, not a graduation diploma
>granted because one passes the license exams.

It's a permit to USE radio. That's important - our licenses are for operating.
Learning is one of the goals, of course.
>
> 4. The various license classes were not designed to endorse skill or
>knowledge. Instead, the license class only shows that the person has
>demonstrated to the FCC that he/she has learned the basic skills necessary
>to operate properly and safely in the frequencies used by that license
>class.

Close, but again there's more to it. The license classes were meant to
incentivize learning - give hams a reward for learning more.
>
> 5. License class is not a gauge of knowledge or skill. A Novice could be
>very knowledgable when it comes to communications technology and electronics
>(complete with an engineering degree to back it up), and the Expert, by
>license class, could be a total idiot when it comes to both of these.

Then you are saying that the tests do not measure radio knowledge at all.
>
> 6. All of the above was as true twenty years ago as it is today.

I disagree. 20 years ago the tests were not the same, and neither was the
license structure nor the exam procedures.

> In fact,
>the recent changes in the license exam structure only emphasizes these
>truths even more.

To an extent, yes.
>

> 7. Recent changes in radio emphasis has reduced the desire for license
>upgrades.

I think it has more to do with saving the FCC a pile of administrative work.

> For example, those only interested in VHF/UHF technology (because
>of employment or whatever), will see little gain from the license classes
>above Technician.

Agree 100%. The Tech was created for just this purpose 50 years ago.

>This further reduces the claim that higher license
>classes yields higher knowledge (different knowledge, yes, but not higher
>knowledge).
>
> Lets put this "expert" ham through license exam, and license class, myth
>to rest, people. It has never been true, and it is even less true today.

Ever see the study guide for the Extra of 1963? I have - it required a bit of knowledge to pass.

--

Testing actually serves several purposes to the FCC.

The first, as you have correctly noted, is to determine that the licensee knows the basics. How well the tests do this is another question, of course.

The second purpose is to address problems in the ARS. For example, in the old days (>30 years ago), the exams were full of questions about the band edges, frequency measurement, accuracy of various methods of determining frequency, how to order a crystal, etc. The reason for this focus was that there had been problems with hams straying outside the bands, usually because of ignorance of the limits of the technology they used. Today you see less emphasis on such things because they are not much of a problem anymore, and more emphasis on other areas which are perceived problems.

The third purpose is to promote learning by rewarding it with more privileges. ("Incentives")

The fourth purpose is to promote certain technologies by introducing them to hams. That's why the questions on things like spread spectrum and EME, whcih are used by a tiny minority of hams but which are a significant part of the written tests.

There are other purposes, too, but those are the ones I can think of right now.

It is important to note that before 1953 there was an "incentive system" by which hams got more phone privileges by passing a more advanced written exam. This system was reduced in scope in 1953, when the FCC gave Generals and above all amateur privileges. But by 1958 the FCC was talking about reviving this system because they were unhappy about the perceived lack of technical knowledge of many hams of that time. It took ten years of proposals and

counter-proposals before the changes known as "incentive licensing" were
instituted.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 294382964356490900
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffb140083fd00a09
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 13:52:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Jim - Off topic - Interesting info on the Titanic
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 16:51:07 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <t9b2du5nt6ar97@corp.supernews.com>
References: <t92tjjft57n43c@corp.supernews.com>
<20010222003248.05358.00000061@nso-mh.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 25
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16932

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010222003248.05358.00000061@nso-mh.aol.com...
> But the main point remains that the Titanic disaster was the result of a
whole
> series of mistakes.

No doubt about that. The issue with the steel quality only came about once the wreck was located a few years ago, and some samples were retrieved for analysis.

> It did not have a single cause. The list of things that
> could have prevented the disaster is a long one.

Now everyone can have fun debating which "cause" was the most significant single one, other than not hitting the iceberg, which could have prevent the ship from going down. This sure beats debating the CW testing, and exam issues, at least for a while. 8-)) Take care.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From 2896564076509257711
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 15:22:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 22 Feb 2001 21:02:04 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <973uoc4sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <96ubkc$79v$1@news.netmar.com> <88569tcarc4em44kf1vq086r7gjrtr28b9@4ax.com> <3A93C356.4E5DA629@ovis.net> <970rc10u0e@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A949DED.97503BF6@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-264.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16933

In article <3A949DED.97503BF6@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...

>
>
>I don't think buggy whips or buggies are much used anymore. Morse is in
>current use. That you don't care for it doesn't mean it ceases to
>exist.
>
>Dave K8MN

I guess you don't live close to a large Amish community, do you? Such items are still very much in use there.

But since you want examples that are a little more up to date, I might also point out that there is nothing on a driver's license test that requires you to know how to drive a standard shift vehicle in order to obtain a standard driver's license. This is a matter of choice.

All that matters to you and certain others in this newsgroup, is that you'd rather argue semantics over the usage of the word "forced" in a sentence, than the reasons why high-speed code testing should have been retained for access to HF phone portions.

```
From 4180103144701844666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 15:22:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 22 Feb 2001 20:49:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <973u053sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <97214o$8m7$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3A94A4C5.5C7FEF4B@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-261.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16935

In article <3A94A4C5.5C7FEF4B@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
>
>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>
>> Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message
>> news:3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com...
>>
>> > You are right Dave. I was using the word "forced" for editorial
>> > purposes (smokescreen?) but I will stop. However I think you would
>> > have to agree that if you want to be a HF ham you are *required* to
>> > learn the code...
>>
>> TL says that somebody had to blackmail him to get him to learn the code.
>> Must have been some photos of him caught in a compromising situation or
>> something.
>
>...hand in pocket, not working around high voltage.
>
>Dave K8MN

Freud said that people who resort to sexual inuendo, for lack of an argument,
do so to compensate for their own shortcomings. (Pun intended)



From 5084536315387238592
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 15:22:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 22 Feb 2001 21:11:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <973vak5sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A94A29B.6A9C8F35@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-283.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16934

In article <3A94A29B.6A9C8F35@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
>

>> It's not a question of whether or not certain criteria are met. It's of
whethe
>r
>> that criteria has relevance when it serves nothing more than having a
>> recreational value as a communications mode. You can sit and argue symantics
>> and word definitions as much as you want, but many perceived the high-speed
>> morse testing of the past as being a hazing ritual that they were "forced"
to
>> take in order to have HF phone privileges. An applicant may have meet all
othe
>r
>> criteria, but most definately *is* penalized if that all-mighty code test is
>> not passed.
>
>Please don't start that "hazing ritual" crap again.  The same penalty is
>imposed if someone blows the  almighty written exam: no license.
>Don't you just hate that written "hazing ritual"?
>
>Dave K8MN


Go back and read the part where I talked about criteria having relevance, and
stop twisting my words to suit your own bias.
```

From 4653685480655642111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 15:22:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 22 Feb 2001 21:19:55 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-322.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16936

In article <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...

>Nobody in the history of the world has ever been forced to obtain an
>amateur radio license. If one can't be forced to obtain a license, then
>one cannot be forced to learn morse in order to obtain one.
>
>My state requires a motorcycle endorsement in order to ride a motorcycle.
>That includes a written exam and a driving exam. I'm not tickled about
>taking either but I want to drive a motorcycle. I don't feel forced at
>all. Riding a motorcycle is not mandatory in West Virginia.
>
>Your argument is as invalid now as it was the last time this went
>around.
>
>Dave K8MN

Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
intention of ever owning or riding one?

From 8108727804666669836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 15:32:19 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!grolier!
dispose.news.demon.net!news.demon.co.uk!demon!g1gyc.demon.co.uk!not-for-mail
From: "Martin John Hallsworth" <Martin@g1gyc.demon.co.uk>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:16:05 -0000
Organization: Aurora
Message-ID: <982884603.29584.2.nnrp-09.9e98acde@news.demon.co.uk>
References: <mGIk6.432$K37.158797@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Reply-To: "Martin John Hallsworth" <Martin@_NOSPAM_FOR_ME_g1gyc.demon.co.uk>
NNTP-Posting-Host: g1gyc.demon.co.uk
X-NNTP-Posting-Host: g1gyc.demon.co.uk:158.152.172.222
X-Trace: news.demon.co.uk 982884603 nnrp-09:29584 NO-IDENT
g1gyc.demon.co.uk:158.152.172.222
X-Complaints-To: abuse@demon.net
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3612.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3719.2500
Lines: 16
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16937

What is FREEBANDING ?
I am not familiar with this term.

JohnnieWalker wrote in message ...
>I have decided to join the ARRL as a licensed no code tech.
>I want a voice in Washington too....and the American Radio Relay League is
>my new voice.
>
>Death to the old guard and long live the "new" ham radio!
>
>ps- all disgruntled ARRL members are free to cancel their memberships now.
>:-)
>
>

From -3732303402909577668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8034bec37c19d783
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 15:58:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g96fhe0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g94ruq0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010222163257.01736.00000450@nso-bd.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2

```
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Intruder Alert - 29.260 A.M. 2230 Z - 2400 Z
Lines: 34
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:57:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 982886266 206.58.44.26 (Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:57:46
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 23:57:46 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16938

On 22 Feb 2001 21:32:57 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>>>A hundred of us swearing on a stack of Bibles that we heard
>>>these guys on 29.260 won't do as much as one tape of them. I
>>>don't know why, but that's how the FCC works these things today.
>>
>>  That's how it worked 30 years ago as well.....no tape, no cite.
>>
>>  It's called "probative evidence".
>
>Thanks, Phil. I didn't know that term or the history before.
>
>I wonder how many complaints of "I reported it to the FCC but they never did a
>thing" can be traced to lack of evidence.

  In all fairness to the complainants, a lot had to be put on the
  back burner over the years because "lack of manpower" was real
  (one of the negative supports which led to many of us retiring).

  If the "hot topic of the month" was cable leakage, 2-m carrier
  throwing took a back seat.  When the "throwing" progressed to
  cross-banding into the Public Safety channels, the priority was
  put into the foreground.  I was one of several "pendulum
  disturbers" who wanted my investigators to work ham radio cases
  despite regional and headquarters policy, and I took my lumps
  because of that in the early 90s.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From 7907371225809913755
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 16:32:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 16:28:20 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A95AEA4.4D64CEA9@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <96ubkc$79v$1@news.netmar.com> <88569tcarc4em44kf1vq086r7gjrtr28b9@4ax.com> <3A93C356.4E5DA629@ovis.net> <970rc10u0e@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A949DED.97503BF6@ovis.net> <973uoc4sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16939

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> All that matters to you and certain others in this newsgroup, is that you'd
> rather argue semantics over the usage of the word "forced" in a sentence, than
> the reasons why high-speed code testing should have been retained for access to
> HF phone portions.

Seems like six of one, half a dozen of the other to me.

From -3921644575093836724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 16:41:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 22 Feb 2001 16:31:31 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>

<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16940

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
> intention of ever owning or riding one?

Probably not. Not doubt they are more firm about requiring a motorcycle
test if you want a motorcycle license however.

From 778299578948377904
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 17:08:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: rmoretta@aol.com (RMoretta)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Feb 2001 01:06:12 GMT
References: <9728ib$9n7$1@208.206.142.93>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16941

I think we can safely assume that YOU do NOT operate on 6 meters. Guess where
the first harmonic of some high powered CB transmissions fall? Do your homework
before posting...please.

P.S. The guy your referring to holds a FCC GROL, is an avionics tech, and a
licensed amateur. So much for your "assumptions." 73.

From -8249902706905040477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 17:17:07 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 01:06:52 GMT
Message-ID: <3a95b710.6229250@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3A9507B9.C1FD3DC6@arrl.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.126
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.126
X-Trace: 22 Feb 2001 19:05:12 -0600, 64.24.16.126
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16942

On Thu, 22 Feb 2001 07:36:09 -0500, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote:

>Here's something interesting:
>
>HANSSEN, ROBERT P, K9QVL (General)

>
>Same name and address as the FBI agent arrested for spying.
>
. . . we don't need that kinda "PR".
>
>Jon
>
w3rv
```

```
From 5940029885863730269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 17:34:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Feb 2001 01:32:51 GMT
References: <970rt52u0e@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: No-code kills
Message-ID: <20010222203251.01617.00000480@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16943
```

In article <970rt52u0e@enews1.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>>>How 'bout Mastaka Noda? Where is Masa these days?
>>>
>>
>>I dunno. But I'm waiting for April 1, 2003 because of what he promised to do
>>then if the number of hams was great enough.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>The only thing I remember him promising is that he would "crap his hands".
>
BINGO!! That was back on April 1 - 1998, I think. I remember something about how if the number of hams was greater 5 years from that date, he would...you know the rest.

Shades of Hashafisti Scratchi.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -8991811560667323804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-22 17:54:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 23 Feb 2001 00:52:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <974c8n02f2o@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <mGIk6.432$K37.158797@nnrp3.sbc.net>
<982884603.29584.2.nnrp-09.9e98acde@news.demon.co.uk>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-459.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16944

In article <982884603.29584.2.nnrp-09.9e98acde@news.demon.co.uk>, Martin@g1gyc.demon.co.uk says...
>
>What is FREEBANDING ?
>I am not familiar with this term.

"Freebanding" is a colloquialism. It is actually unauthorized, 2-way transmissions on frequencies that are reserved for other radio services. These transmissions usually take place above the upper portion of the U.S. citizens band, and often in the 10 meter amateur band.

```
From 5812457991265084349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 24 Feb 2001 02:01:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9774lj$p3e$2@208.206.142.90>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu> <3A96B2B3.FD8DFCE1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17095
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Hey Dave, I've no doubt that you've forced yourself to endure in this
> > debate. I think that's what may the applicable use of the word 'force'
> > here. I forced myself to learn code. I wanted to, but I nevertheless
> > met the conditions of Cecils definition of force. I had to make myself
> > sit down and practice instead of sitting down and watching TV.
>
> You were "required by circumstances" to learn Morse code. That's
> the definition of being "forced" to do it.

Circumstances forced you to MAKE A CHOICE whether or not you wanted to learn Morse code in order to meet the reqiurements of a HF ham radio license. That's the only force involved.

```
From 6279373071345550981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:07:29 PST
Message-ID: <3A9714FF.EEA3A581@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 19:57:19 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org> <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net>
<3A951ACC.13DB3275@IEEE.org> <3A95EFC3.48A647F3@ovis.net>
<3A95F55E.2600EA2C@IEEE.org> <3A96606F.3C03F821@ovis.net>
<3A966355.68E00E3E@IEEE.org> <3A96E4F8.414681F6@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982980614
64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 20:10:14 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17096
```

Dave Heil wrote:

> You mean you screwed up and chose the wrong definition and now are
> *forced* to take your lumps. That it chafes you to be called on being
> wrong doesn't bother me.

Delude yourself all you choose, Dave. You have been exposed as being ignorant of the definition of a word in the English dictionary. There's no way for you to recover.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8163758263480924675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:14:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A971667.21728417@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 20:03:19 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net>
<3A95F6E5.E5233886@IEEE.org> <3A9661D4.BD6A4189@ovis.net>
<3A96648C.18C1678@IEEE.org> <3A96E5B0.4610718A@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982980974 64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 20:16:14 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!

```
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17097
```

Dave Heil wrote:
> What the hell does a prudent man have to do with this?

That you don't know speaks volumes about your ignorance.

> What happened to the original
> definition you brought forth. Have you now abandoned it in favor of
> this "johnny-come-lately" one you are now using?

The two definitions overlap but the second one is a tad closer to
the concept I wanted to convey. Does your self proclaimed omniscience
limit me to only one definition?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8808159549429467173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:18:50 PST
Message-ID: <3A9717B5.EDFA2B63@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 20:08:53 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A95F737.7B7BC45E@ovis.net>
<3A95FBB5.1342F5CE@IEEE.org> <3A9664E4.39420E92@ovis.net>
<3A9669ED.B81EB112@IEEE.org> <3A96E8E4.974FD36@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982981308
64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 20:21:48 -0600)
Lines: 22
```

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17098

Dave Heil wrote:
> No one forces you to operate a motorcycle.

I didn't say anyone forces me to operate a motorcycle so your statement is an irrelevant strawman.

> No one forces you to to take an exam for a motorcycle endorsement. No
> one forced you to take a morse exam.

You have missed the point entirely, Dave. Texas motorcycle riders are forced (required by circumstances) to pass a motorcycle test. HF hams are forced (required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code exam. Non-motorcycle riders are not forced to pass a motorcycle test. Non-HF hams are not forced to pass a Morse code exam. How can you possibly not comprehend such a simple concept.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8751472380455835910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:21:42 PST
Message-ID: <3A97182E.2DEDF2EF@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 20:10:54 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-

fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A96B0E6.B3576312@uci.edu>
<3A96B152.947FE8C9@IEEE.org> <3A96BAC6.5E753316@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982981428
64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 20:23:48 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17099

Jim Kelley wrote:
> One is forced to meet ALL of the requirements, because only one type of
> license is offered.

Which brings us back to the question. Why is Morse code skill required of
people who don't use it while manual transmission skill is not required of
people who don't use it?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3473647333092482830
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:22:07 PST
Message-ID: <3A97186D.FC960E33@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 20:11:57 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A96B0E6.B3576312@uci.edu>
<3A96B152.947FE8C9@IEEE.org> <9774h9$p3e$1@208.206.142.90>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982981491
64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 20:24:51 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17100
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> Cecil wrote:
>
> The license that permits you to
> > operate SSB on HF requires you to know some Morse code.
>
> Yep. And if you only want to operate Pactor you've got to learn both
> Morse code and all that other non-applicable stuff.

So we are back to the original question. Why cannot Morse code be handled like manual transmissions are handled?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3218957646903129776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:30:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A96B0E6.B3576312@uci.edu>
<3A96B152.947FE8C9@IEEE.org> <9774h9$p3e$1@208.206.142.90>
<3A97186D.FC960E33@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <CZEl6.1561$794.660764@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 20:27:21 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.153.216.141
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 982981602 206.153.216.141 (Fri, 23 Feb 2001 21:26:42 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 21:26:42 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17101

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A97186D.FC960E33@IEEE.org...
>
> So we are back to the original question. Why cannot Morse code be
> handled like manual transmissions are handled?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

This may be the only thread in the history of rrap that not only stayed on topic but returned to it.

From -8737110158460895861
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:32:00 PST
Message-ID: <3A971AAA.2C793586@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 20:21:30 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com> <3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93> <3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <3A950BBB.70C44680@ovis.net> <3A951CF5.36AD78EF@IEEE.org> <3A95F15F.FE210F74@ovis.net> <3A95F786.3C6DF7B4@IEEE.org> <3A966229.7FF37997@ovis.net> <3A966726.C0ED458@IEEE.org> <3A96E7D2.3B7B5F2F@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982982065 64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 20:34:25 -0600)
Lines: 36
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17102

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Nope, "Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary" gives the definition
> > as "forced - done or produced with effort". That overlaps the
> > definition of "forced - required by circumstances" which appears
> > in my unabridged dictionary which I rarely open because it is so large.
>

> It overlaps? How does that take place, pray tell.

Easy, oh clueless one. Something that is required by circumstances
normally is produced with some effort.

> Did you have the
> lighter book under the one which is too large (formerly heavy) to open?
> Why didn't you introduce the second definition at the same time as the
> first? Was it because you were beaten over the head with the first one?

It is because I have arthritis and the big dictionary is painful to
pick up. The two definitions are essentially the same.

> There was no diversion. You simply switched definitions after choosing
> the wrong one. Then you added the *if* portion. You can't debate
> without injecting new information, used selectively.

You are forcing me to inject new information, Dave, in an effort to overcome
your ignorance. You cannot have your cake and eat it too. You cannot criticize
me both for your requirement that I expand my explaination because of your
ignorance and rag on me at the same time for that very explaination.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5356604592876147500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:36:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A971BB3.7AFCA55@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 20:25:55 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95F898.78BFE16C@IEEE.org> <3A966301.26BC1F2B@ovis.net>
<3A966D56.8BD0312C@IEEE.org> <3A96EB91.DF3635CF@ovis.net>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982982329 64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 20:38:49 -0600)
Lines: 37
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.icl.net!news.tele.dk!195.161.0.203!newsfeed.rt.ru!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17103

Dave Heil wrote:
> I hope you don't continue to drink to excess, Cecil.

I'm on a diet and haven't had a drink in weeks.

> You've certainly
> changed the definition from the incorrect one you initially brought
> forth. That isn't expanding. It is changing the definition. I rag on
> you for that.

But I did it for you, Dave, because of your ignorance. Why do you object
to a second definition from a Webster's dictionary that helps to alleviate
your ignorance?

> You were not forced to learn morse code. I was not forced to learn
> morse code.

We are HF hams, Dave. Therefore, we were forced (required by circumstances)
to learn Morse code. Non-HF hams are not forced to learn Morse code. HF
hams are.

> > Hams with HF privileges are forced to learn Morse. They are "required
> > by circumstances" to learn Morse code. The "circumstances" is the FCC's
> > Morse code requirement.
>
> What's the matter, Cecil. Don't you like your first definition any
> more? I'd really enjoy hearing tales of how you were compelled to learn
> morse.

You don't think the FCC has the power to compel HF hams to learn Morse?
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1760409345353146801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:47:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 216
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 02:45:19 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010223214519.01278.00000545@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17104
```

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g988dg1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>On 23 Feb 2001 18:39:51 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>See the acronym words: MILITARY Affilicate Radio System.
>
> News for you Lennie -- in "your time" in the 50s, the "A" in
> the acronym was AMATEUR, not "affiliate" - certainly not
> "affilicate" (yeah, cheap shot....) It was changed
> sometime later. In that era, what is now known as "Navy MARS"
> was known as "Naval Reserve Amateur Radio". See old copies of
> QST.

News for you, "Phillie," the MARS acronym has meant
Military Affiliate Radio System for 38 years...since 1962
or thereabouts. Please see the history of MARS as given
on the USAF MARS home page given following.

If you wish to use cutesy nicknames for others, then you
will be subject to the same regardless of Ed Hare's
wishes...

>>Licensed radio amateurs can assist MARS in such public service
>>roles. 5000 do so. However, MARS is essentially a secondary
>>backup radio system to existing military branch radio

>>communications plus existing to provide emergency
>>communications in the USA and territories. Unlike non-member
>>radio amateurs, MARS can utilize spectra other than the
>>allocated amateur bands since MARS exists under the DoD.
>
> IIRC one must be a licensed amateur to get authorization to
> operate one's station with a MARS callsign in MARS activities.

The following is a reproduction of the USAF MARS home page, converted from HTML to conventional ASCII text as near as possible to the original format -

===

United States Air Force Military Affiliate Radio System (MARS)

The Air Force MARS office is located at the Headquarters, Air Force Communications Agency (AFCA), Scott AFB, Illinois. This office is a headquarters staff function, serving as the primary point of contact, systems manager, and focal point for all matters pertaining to Air Force MARS activities, functions and management initiatives in support of DOD objectives. Governing directives for Air Force MARS: Air Force Instruction (AFI) 33-106, Managing High Frequency Radios, Land mobile Radios, Cellular Telephones, and the Military Affiliate Radio System; Department of Defense Directive (DoDD) 4650.2, Military Affiliate Radio System. The Chief of MARS is responsible for the dissemination of policies, procedures and directives concerning administration, operations, training, frequency management, equipment and logistical matters for the worldwide USAF MARS program. Represents HQ AF, HQ AFCA, Air Force MAJCOMs, and FOAs interests at meetings and conferences at the Joint Services MARS Panel, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for command, control, communications and intelligence (OASD/C3I), National Communications System (NCS - SHARES), Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), and other federal, national and state entities. Administers to an affiliate volunteer population of approximately 3,000 members and 300 contingency military stations consisting of active, reserve and Air National Guard unit MARS stations. Establishes and maintains procedures to manage, control and account for surplus government property used to support the affiliate portion of the Air Force MARS program. Coordinates closely with the AF Frequency Management Agency. Maintains an active liaison with the Army and Navy-Marine Corps MARS Chiefs. Promotes Air Force and public interest in MARS and amateur radio. Attends and participates in national and regional conventions of amateur radio groups; attends regional MARS conferences. The point of contact for all USAF MARS matters is:

Hq AFCA/GCGS (MARS)

203 West Losey Street, Room 3065
Scott AFB IL 62225-5234
Phones: (DSN) 576-5552; Comml (618) 256 5552

What is MARS?

The Military Affiliate Radio System is a Department of Defense sponsored program, established as separate managed and operated programs by the Army, Navy, and Air Force. The program consists of licensed amateur radio operators who are interested in military communications. They contribute to the MARS mission providing auxiliary or emergency communications on a local, national, and international basis as an adjunct to normal communications.

MARS History?

In November 1925, the Army Amateur Radio System (AARS) was formed by a few dedicated pioneers in the United States Army Signal Corps. This organization continued until the start of World War II when operations were suspended. Army amateur radio was authorized to resume operations in 1946 and the Army Amateur Radio System was reactivated and functioned as such until 1948 when the Army and Air Force established the Military Amateur Radio System, later renamed the Military Affiliate Radio System (MARS). In 1962, the Navy-Marine Corps MARS program was launched making MARS a joint service program.

MARS Mission

Provide Department of Defense sponsored emergency communications on a local, national, and international basis as an adjunct to normal communications.

Provide auxiliary communications for military, civil, and/or disaster officials during periods of emergency.

Assist in effecting normal communications under emergency conditions.

Create interest, and furnish a means of training members in military communications procedures.

Provide a potential reserve of trained radio communications personnel.

Handle moral and quasi-official record and voice communications traffic for Armed Forces and authorized U.S. Government civilian personnel stationed throughout the world.

Conduct an appropriate Amateur Radio program as a part of the annual celebration of Armed Forces Day.

Eligibility to Join MARS

The applicant must:
Be 18 years of age or older.
Be a United States citizen or resident alien.

Possess a valid amateur radio license issues by the Federal Communications Commission.
Have digital capability, i.e., Packet, Amtor, Pactor, or G-TOR.
Possess a station capable of operating on MARS HF frequencies.

MARS members must agree to operate in accordance with the rules and regulations governing MARS as follow:
A Minimum of 12 hours participation per calendar quarter with 6 hours in their primary HF assignment.

Benefits of MARS Membership

Add to the enjoyment of your, amateur radio hobby through the expanded horizon of MARS.
Become part of the Air Force MARS worldwide communications system.
Increase your communications skills and capabilities. Selected correspondence courses in communications-electronics subjects are available free to MARS members from their respective affiliated serve after completion of six months active membership.
Operate on specially assigned military radio frequencies in voice, teletype, PACKET and other digital modes of communications.
Join a group of dedicated fellow radio amateurs participating in meaningful public service.
Gain a feeling of being associated with a military mission and contributing to the welfare and preparedness of the nation.
Participate in regulated, disciplined radio nets with structured lines of organization and very specific operating rules.
Participate in the MARS Excess/Surplus Equipment Program after 6 months active membership. Issue of equipment is based on availability of equipment, and possible assigned mission of individual activity. The granting of MARS membership to an individual or activity does not in itself convey an automatic right or entitlement of the recipient to receive or demand MARS property.

Typical MARS Frequencies

MARS members stations meet periodically in scheduled networks on military frequencies outside of the amateur bands. There are various types of networks and each accomplishes a specific goal. For example, administrative networks to take care of much of the day-to-day management of the program; traffic networks which exist solely to pass third party traffic; and of course, emergency networks which are established to provide for communication needs during periods of emergency. There are also technical nets and training nets. MARS nets operate in different modes. Although high frequency (HF) single sideband (SSB) voice is predominant, there are RTTY, VHF, PACKET, other digital modes and even slow scan TV nets. You may not join or belong to more than one MARS service at the same time.

How to Join MARS

To join, send a request for application forms to:
E-mail: USAF.MARS@scott.af.mil
or,
(Conventional mail)
Chief Air Force MARS
HQ AFCA/GCGS (MARS)
203 W Losey St, Room 3065
Scott AFB, IL 62225-5222
May 2000

Return to top

===

I would requrest that any comments, critiques, or name-calling of any kind concerning USAF MARS to be directed to Chief, Air Force MARS, at Scott AFB rather than myself.

In "my day" in radio, being the 1950s, 1960s, 1970s, 1980s and 1990s, inclusive, I had little contact with MARS activities. I can only comment on what the MARS chiefs of the respective military branches have stated publicly. Any "behind the scenes" or hidden descriptions of "insider" experiences or definitions are inadmissible as inaccurate and irrelevant hearsay.

```
From -4196396796974890647
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:47:59 PST
Message-ID: <3A971E8A.B254619C@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 20:38:02 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
```

<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <3A95F877.7ABB0E8E@ovis.net>
<3A95FD92.205AEF27@IEEE.org> <3A9665EF.59858B0A@ovis.net>
<3A966EFA.4FEC7E3F@IEEE.org> <3A96EC57.1BC55C01@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982983058
64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 20:50:58 -0600)
Lines: 40
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17105

Dave Heil wrote:
> And you are stating that there is no way that the "then" portion is
> false. I strongly disagree.

Take the logic statement If A then B. If A is false then the entire
statement is true. If A is true and B is true then the entire statement
is true. If A is true and B is false then the entire statement is false.

If a ham is to obtain HF privileges, he is forced (required by circumstances)
to pass a Morse code exam.

If a ham doesn't have HF privileges, the statement is true.

If a ham has HF privileges and has passed a Morse code exam, the
statement is true.

If a ham has HF privileges and hasn't passed a Morse code exam, the
statement is false because that outcome is impossible (outside of fraud).

So yes, it is impossible for the 'then' portion to be false because,
neglecting fraud, it is impossible for an HF ham not to have passed
a Morse code exam.

The logic is completely valid and consistent.

> Your statement does not become true because you insist that it is.
> No one is required to learn morse or to take an FCC exam. Both are
> entirely optional.

```
You continue to be confused. HF hams are required to learn Morse. Hams
are required to take an FCC exam. Neither is optional for hams. Please
show me a ham who has not passed an FCC exam. Please show me an HF ham
who has not passed a Morse code exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -2911233973352668789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:48:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 02:45:19 GMT
References: <3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010223214519.01278.00000546@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17106

In article <3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>The point is that what someone is going to do after their license is
>granted is irrelevant.  The requirements are based upon the privileges
>which are granted by the license, not the desires of the applicant.

Is that the point?  All along I thought the requirements were
established by the licensing authority.

As far as US amateur radio is concerned, an amateur license
is granted by the FCC, not the desires of the already-licensed.


From -8857488779875998338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:48:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
```

howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 64
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 02:45:20 GMT
References: <976j2r529gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010223214520.01278.00000547@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17107

In article <976j2r529gj@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>In article <3A95F4ED.260770B0@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
>>
>>> But since you want examples that are a little more up to date, I might
>also
>>> point out that there is nothing on a driver's license test that requires
>you
>t
>>o
>>> know how to drive a standard shift vehicle in order to obtain a standard
>>> driver's license. This is a matter of choice.
>>
>>There's no practical or written exam required for a marriage license
>>either.
>
>...other than the fact that you have to swear that you don't have any STDs.

...not required any more in Los Angeles County.

All of the "license" arguments well away from the fact do
nothing for the conversation except to misdirect it.

To get a dog license in Los Angeles, one does not have to
be a dog or live like one. One may be in a dog's abode by
doing nasty after a marriage agreement, however... :-)

>>> All that matters to you and certain others in this newsgroup, is that
>you'd
>>> rather argue semantics over the usage of the word "forced" in a sentence,
>than
>>> the reasons why high-speed code testing should have been retained for
>access
>t
>>o
>>> HF phone portions.
>>
>>How the hell do you know what matters to me? I don't consider 5 wpm to

>>be high speed morse. Are you now telling us that you consider it to be?
>>
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>Please point out *specifically*, in the quoted material above, where I said
>*anything* about 5 WPM being high speed. You've got a serious comprehension
>problem.

Heil goes after misdirection a great deal. Irrelevancies are cited
well off the mark due to an inability to rationalize His having to
take a 20 WPM morse test (do we know if he got a waiver?).

So far, the PCTAs have misdirected by citing: (1) Pilot licenses
(not applicable); (2) marriage licenses (not applicable, no test);
(3) rodeo cowboys supposedly licensed by FCC (none, not
applicable, implied by Heil). Those that do not use rationales
for continuation of "hazing rituals" will bring out misdirection by
trying for English mis-use.   :-)

Heil seems to insist that all do as He did or be thought
"inferior." He has already said He is "superior." He has further
stated that only His, and His alone, definitions (of anything)
shall be considered suitable, correct, and relevant for any sort
of discussion. That should end any discussion.

From 6177477495958547400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 18:56:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A97204D.F5E7D10E@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 20:45:33 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <3A95F877.7ABB0E8E@ovis.net>
<3A95FD92.205AEF27@IEEE.org> <3A9665EF.59858B0A@ovis.net>
<3A966EFA.4FEC7E3F@IEEE.org> <3A96EC57.1BC55C01@ovis.net>
<3A96F008.9F0BA154@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982983508 64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 20:58:28 -0600)
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17108

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > I strongly disagree. I further state that the if implies
> > options.
>
> Could the word 'when' be used instead of 'if'?

Any number of word combinations logically equate to the 'if' function of an if/then statement. The 'if' function is often implied in the syntax. The following statement:

An HF ham is forced (required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code exam.

has the 'if' function implied. This is what Dave is missing or ignoring. An HF ham has HF privileges. How did he get them? He passed a Morse code exam. He cannot have HF privileges without passing a Morse code exam. Therefore, if a ham has HF privileges he was forced (required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code exam. There is no other way the FCC will legally allow a ham on HF. The Morse code requirement is required by the circumstances which exist at the FCC. That's the definition of "forced".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8358346917540512915
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 19:01:15 PST
Message-ID: <3A9721A8.458C866D@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 20:51:20 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net> <3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net> <3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net> <3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net> <3A967295.8079A954@IEEE.org> <3A96EDDD.88119A70@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982983855 64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 21:04:15 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17109

Dave Heil wrote:
> Neat logic lesson, Cecil. The following statement is also true:
> "No person is forced to obtain an HF ham ticket".

Of course it's true, Dave. But since nobody has asserted that anyone
is forced to obtain an HF ham ticket, it is also irrelevant and can
simply be considered as your strawman diversionary tactic. You continue
to miss the point. HF hams are forced (required by circumstances) to pass
a Morse code exam. The Morse code requirement is certainly required by the
circumstances which exist at the FCC. That, my friend, is the definition
of "forced", straight from Webster's.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4690053664829467741
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 19:10:03 PST
Message-ID: <3A97235B.9B29097E@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 20:58:35 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu> <9774dr$p3e$0@208.206.142.90>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982984290
64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 21:11:30 -0600)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17110
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Far as I can tell that's where Cecil's argument breaks down. When one

> forces himself to do something is he really being forced? Not at all. He
> has a choice to not force himself, but he declines.That's self
> inducement instead of force.

But that's not my argument, Dick, that is Jim's statement. Of course,
since you cannot refute my argument, you choose to divert attention
away from my argument to Jim's statement.

I have been very careful with my syntax. I have used passive voice.
HF hams are forced (required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code
exam. Non-HF hams are not forced to pass a Morse code exam. The
Morse code requirement is "required" by the "circumstances" existing
at the FCC. That's the definition of "forced". Would Jim have forced
himself to learn Morse code if he had not been forced (required by
circumstances)?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 6048807399556190289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 19:21:10 PST
Message-ID: <3A972620.A3BA4906@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 21:10:24 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
<3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net>
<3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org> <3A96EF23.9B7E5688@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982984999 64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 21:23:19 -0600)
Lines: 37
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!news.tele.dk!195.158.233.21!news1.ebone.net!news.ebone.net!newsfeed2.news.nl.uu.net!sun4nl!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17111

Dave Heil wrote:
> Sure, both are valid but their meaning is not the same.

Their meanings certainly overlap and their meanings are the same in the overlap area. "Produced with effort" and "required by circumstances" can certainly mean that the effort required was required by the circumstances. Can you think of a case where the effort required was not required by the circumstances.

> ...and you mean that the use of the "if" word implies options to do or
> not do something.

The 'if' word implies conditions. Those same conditions are often implied without the existence of an 'if' word. That is what you are missing or ignoring. What is implied by "HF ham"? The implication is clear. An HF ham was forced (required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code exam. Non-HF hams are not forced to pass a Morse code exam. You have painted yourself into a logical corner and are arguing an impossibility. Neglecting fraud, it is not possible for an HF ham to exist without passing a Morse code exam.

> > If a ham is to obtain HF privileges then he is forced (required by
> > circumstances) to learn Morse code.
>
> Sorry, Cecil. I don't buy the "then" portion of your statement.

You don't buy that the Morse code requirement is required by the circumstances existing at the FCC????? Not to buy that, Dave, is not to buy reality. Please produce an HF ham who was not forced (required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code exam.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3109345163865084299
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 19:28:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A972794.D4D87C1A@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 21:16:36 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09> <3A96620D.64510920@IEEE.org> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10102232251020.12558-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982985371 64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 21:29:31 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!torn!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newspeer.cwnet.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17112

"D. Stussy" wrote:
> Do you have a problem with geography? Texas is east of California, unless
> you're looking for a "long path" route.

Nope, just have a problem being associated with Eastern liberals.
Why do you think they differentiate the Far East from the Near East?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3250966457137364529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 19:31:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k5pf@aol.comPaul (K5pf)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 03:30:04 GMT
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Message-ID: <20010223223004.02128.00001358@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17113

>
>Truckers from "back east" are S-7 to S-9 here in Southern California.

I agree with the Texas location. These folks were heard in North Carolina, at a time when Texas beacons were also coming in.

One gave a mile marker of 869. It takes a big state to have that many miles on a given highway!

Is there a central place where data is funneled, prior to sending it to FCC?

K5PF

From 6232543646854674476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 19:32:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 126
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 03:30:42 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g987yy0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010223223042.01758.00000451@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17114

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g987yy0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"

<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>On 23 Feb 2001 18:39:49 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>I have no problems with agreements. I have no problem with
>>recognizing that agreements are NOT ABSOLUTE LAW. They are
>>simply statements of Good Faith by government administrations.
>>It is considered good practice to abide by agreements, but those
>>agreements are not absolutes nor are they "enforced" by any
>>supra-law enforcement organization (there is none).
>
> International treaties such as the Radio Regulations have the
> force of law in the United States.

> If one is found violating a provision of same which does not
> have a counterpart in FCC Regs thw individual will receive an
> FCC Notice of Violation (FCC Form 793 may be used) that instead
> of starting with "You appear to be in violation of Section
> xx.xxx of the FCC Rules" will start with "You appear to be in
> violation of Section XXX of the International Radio
> Regulations". The Communications Act does, in fact, obligate
> compliance with treaty requirements.

What is the specific codification of US Law in regards to "violation
of international treaties?" Or agreements? Or memberships for
some international purpose? How is membership by the USA
administration in the ITU regarded as "law?"

Those questions are asked for the purpose of clarification since
they are not easily seen by ordinary layperson citizens of the US.

Not having violated any FCC regulation, I have never seen any
such FCC Notice of Violation. How is that Notice of Violation
applicable to "international law?" As far as I am concerned as a
US citizen within the borders of the USA, I am bound only to US
law.

> As you know, US Government stations and foreign radio stations
> are not subject to FCC Rules, and when one is found in apparent
> violation of standards derived from the International Radio
> Regs, they are cited (FCC Form 74) as apparent violations of Section
> XXX of the International Radio Regulations. The Form 74 is
> sent to the U S Government agency frequency manager or the
> foreign government Adminstration, as appropriate.

I do NOT "know the above." How is that applicable to international
standards agreed upon by a UN body? If there is violation of an
"international law" then why is an FCC form used? What is the
importance of an FCC form number?

> If the FCC receives an equivalent notice from a foreign
> Administration that an FCC-regulated station was found in
> violation of the Radio Regs, the FCC turns around and issues

> a Form 793 to the licensee citing the section of the Radio
> Regs as reported by the foreign Administration.

What are you trying to say there? Is the USA's FCC some
kind of supra-national radio enforcement agency? Or is that
Form simply issued by a US agency citing a US citizen?
If that is the case, why is this so applicable to "international
law?"

> When a specific Administration files a complaint with the ITU
> that another Administration is intentionally disregarding or
> violating some provisions of the International Telecommunications
> Convention, the Radio Regulations, the Telegraph and Telephone
> Regulations, a specific Agreement, or a ruling of the IFRB,
> where an exception was not taken at the time of the signing of
> the treaty or Agreement in question, the matter is referred to
> mandatory arbitration under the auspices of the ITU Secretary-General,
> per all such agreements.

How is some "international law" enforced in that regard? Do
specific Radio Forces from complaining countries invade the
radiospace of the "offending" country? :-)

Let's cut to the chase there. What I am saying is that
international agreements or treaties are only "enforceable" as
the member nations want to make them, enforced by
administrations of specific countries internally. As such they
are handled "in-country" by a country's regulating agency.

It's fairly obvious that treaties and agreements should be
handled in Good Faith by all nations involved in such treaties
or agreements. There is NO supra-national agency that can
enforce any treaty or agreement violations. The closest the
earth has to such a body is the United Nations which can,
and has, made resolutions "allowing" the use of force against
certain countries who have violated any treaty or agreement.
That force is enacted by member nations, not by the UN
itself. The UN has no specific "international law" enforcement
body, only various administrations who agree to enact force
after a resolution passed by the UN.

> That was the route we had to take when Radio Omdurman (Sudan)
> was intentionally causing harmful interference (Middle Eastern
> politics at work) by operating 2 kHz offset from a MF broadcast
> co-channel assignment with Kol Yisrael (Israel) while I was the
> latter's ITU liaison engineer at the Israeli Ministry of
> Communications (equivalent of the FCC) in the mid-60s. It took
> about 2 years but Omdurman was eventually brought into compliance
> by order of the ITU Secretary-General.

That's all very interesting, but how does a Sudan-Israel conflict
over MF broadcasting involve US amateur radio?

Lastly, how is it that one "highest" rated US radio amateur can berate other US radio amateurs for "not doing enough" on natural disaster aid when there is ample evidence of the USA having already suffered natural disasters in the near past?

> The Radio Regs are, in fact, enforceable treaty.

As far as I am concerned, US law and regulations apply to US citizens. Since when do US citizens "sign treaties" to "agree" to US law and regulations? Or are they "signing international treaties" or something just to be subject to US law within US borders? If the US administration wants to set US law and regulations according to some international resolutions and standards, fine with me. I've supported that in the past and see no problem with that. However, if you want me to abide by some "international law" within the boundaries of the USA, then I have to ask some deep specifics and do a lot of questioning, some of it possibly taken negatively by those whose agendas involve newsgroup message points.

```
From -7069771660598108604
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 19:36:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A9729BD.E1484292@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 21:25:49 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu> <3A96B2B3.FD8DFCE1@IEEE.org>
<9774lj$p3e$2@208.206.142.90>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 982985924
64.240.47.132 (23 Feb 2001 21:38:44 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

```
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17115

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Circumstances forced you to MAKE A CHOICE whether or not you wanted to
> learn Morse code in order to meet the reqiurements of a HF ham radio
> license. That's the only force involved.

Nope, the fact remains - HF hams are forced (required by circumstances)
to pass a Morse code exam. Non-HF hams are not forced to pass a Morse
code exam. The Morse code requirement is required by the circumstances
existing at the FCC. That's the Webster's definition of "forced".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 2110354097708541579
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 19:56:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A973142.7BF9AD2A@arrl.org>
From: Hans K0HB <k0hb@arrl.org>
Reply-To: K0HB@ARRL.ORG
Organization: K0HB
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09> <AYul6.1779$rL4.148796@bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <976edm$9b4$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A96CD90.86C5AC96@berkshire.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 03:57:54 +0000
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.38.217
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 982986884 216.160.38.217 (Fri, 23 Feb 2001 21:54:44 CST)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 21:54:44 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17116

David Robbins wrote:

> are you going to create that group and do anything with the reports, or is it
> just a bit bucket??? i don't see it in the group list now.

The address is QRV. Traffic will be collated and sent to FCC and ARRL
three times a week.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -7017586421637045717
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 20:21:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 24 Feb 2001 03:31:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9779v612pej@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3a95e777.5470938@news.mindspring.com> <3A96B73C.44B72D80@uci.edu>
<976hoi329gj@enews4.newsguy.com> <3A96DA70.F59CA7F5@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-909.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17117

In article <3A96DA70.F59CA7F5@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>
>> In article <3A96B73C.44B72D80@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>>
>> >> Does your state require a license endorsement to drive a
>> >> manual gearbox vehicle even though you take and pass

>> >> the test using a car with an automatic transmission?
>> >
>> >I think we were discussing motorcycles.
>> >
>> >So I find myself lead by this inescapable logic to the conclusion that
>> >since carbuerator repair isn't required for a drivers license, Morse
>> >code shouldn't be required for any other license. :-)
>> >
>> >ac6xg
>
>> ..and here we have a perfect example someone trying to be "cute" when they
>> can't come up with a decent rebuttle.
>
>So apparently you think that citing carburator repair rather than manual
>gearbox testing makes a big difference in Mr. Sohls proof about Morse
>code and amateur radio licenses? I guess I shouldn't be surprised.
>
>ac6xg

I know of no state that requires knowledge of either in order to obtain a
driver's license. Too many people are going off on a tangent and making
comparisons that are totally without thought or reason.

From -8663755908512322955
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 20:22:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 24 Feb 2001 03:26:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9779kq02pej@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-772.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17118

```
In article <3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>

>> I would have no problem with that, but the pro-code group might think
there's
>> still a reason to know it if you want to use HF phone. I've yet to see any
goo
>d
>> reason.
>
>The point is that what someone is going to do after their license is
>granted is irrelevant.  The requirements are based upon the privileges
>which are granted by the license, not the desires of the applicant.
>
>73, ac6xg

IOW, regardless of the relevancy of the requirement, as long as they show
that they can beep, they can do whatever they want afterwords.




From 1619252204307453664
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 20:22:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 24 Feb 2001 03:34:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <977a3b22pej@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A94A29B.6A9C8F35@ovis.net>
<973vak5sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F547.BF1FEA3A@ovis.net>
<976ii3429gj@enews4.newsguy.com> <3A96F0A7.BD5ABAA@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-942.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17119

In article <3A96F0A7.BD5ABAA@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...

>> Then you should read what you quote. I mentioned criteria having relevance.
Yo
```

```
>u
>> then make a comment about "written hazing ritual" when you could read for
>> yourself that was not what I meant. I see that as twisting my words. As for
ou
>r
>> respective "biases", it's obvious that you have a pre-conceived conclusion
as
>> to how things ought to be, and will only allow facts that suport that
>> conclusion, excluding all others which may disprove it.
>
>
>...and this differs from your own bias in what way?  Do you mean that I
>should give up my view, be reasonable and see it your way?
>
>Dave K8MN

That would be a start, since your reasoning is flawed.



From 6009236327452101758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 20:22:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!headwall.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 24 Feb 2001 03:46:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <977aqt42pej@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>
<976jd0629gj@enews4.newsguy.com> <3A96F128.51094668@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-220.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17120

In article <3A96F128.51094668@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
>
>T. L. Bryant wrote:
>>
```

```
>> In article <3A95F648.2DBC31CA@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
>>
>> >> Does your state require you to have a motorcycle endorsement if have no
>> >> intention of ever owning or riding one?
>> >
>> >Nope.  It has not made obtaining a motorcycle endorsement any more
>> >mandatory than an amateur radio license.
>> >
>> >Dave K8MN
>>
>> You just don't get it, do you? No one said that it was mandatory to obtain
an
>> amateur license. The subject is the relevance of certain test requirements
to
>> obtain a license. Why is it so hard for you to stay on that?
>
>That was the subject?  How many posting generations back?
>I thought you were asking me about my state's motorcycle endorsement
>regs.
>
>Dave K8MN

That's always been the subject. You're comparisons and miscomprehensions are
not my fault. I've pointed them out, but you seem more obsessed with arguing
about what the definition of "is" (read: "forced") is.



From -6555644472537213822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 20:22:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 24 Feb 2001 03:39:18 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <977ad632pej@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <96ubkc$79v$1@news.netmar.com>
<88569tcarc4em44kf1vq086r7gjrtr28b9@4ax.com> <3A93C356.4E5DA629@ovis.net>
<970rc10u0e@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A949DED.97503BF6@ovis.net>
<973uoc4sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F4ED.260770B0@ovis.net>
<976j2r529gj@enews4.newsguy.com> <3A96F1AA.C9CA48A1@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-065.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
```

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17121

In article <3A96F1AA.C9CA48A1@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...

>> >> All that matters to you and certain others in this newsgroup, is that
you'd
>> >> rather argue semantics over the usage of the word "forced" in a sentence,
>> than
>> >> the reasons why high-speed code testing should have been retained for
acces
>s
>> t
>> >o
>> >> HF phone portions.
>> >
>> >How the hell do you know what matters to me?  I don't consider 5 wpm to
>> >be high speed morse.  Are you now telling us that you consider it to be?
>> >
>> >
>> >Dave K8MN
>>
>> Please point out *specifically*, in the quoted material above, where I said
>> *anything* about 5 WPM being high speed. You've got a serious comprehension
>> problem.
>
>Okay. "...reasons why high speed code testing should have been retained
>for access...".  That satisfy you?
>
>Dave K8MN


Yeah? So, how does that prove I claimed 5 WPM is a high speed? Would you care
to elaborate on how you came to this conclusion?




From -8386546106281552734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 20:47:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 23:47:05 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <B6BCA6F9.3642%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-18.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
```

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 982989988 133745 206.74.49.18 (24 Feb 2001 04:46:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 04:46:28 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17122

Ken Diaz wrote:

> Truckers from "back east" are S-7 to S-9
> here in Southern California. Hope
> Reilly knows about this. This posting
> is so we can assist in trying to
> locate these guys (Texas?). These
> guys are now appearing daily.

A person I met through a co-worker bragged about using a ham radio and ham frequencies to talk to other drivers from the same company on the road (it seems one of the drivers at the company started it, bragged about it, and other drivers followed). He even showed me the radio in his truck (one of those "imported" CB rigs). Neither of them (the co-worker or this driver) knew about my ham radio involvement.

The following Monday, I contacted a manager at the company, explained that this person was violating FCC rules, and suggested that I might file a complaint with the FCC. I followed up with a letter, complete with quotes from FCC regs.

About two weeks later, I asked the co-worker about the driver and was told that he had been fired. As I understand it, the company put out a notice to remove all illegal radio equipment from their trucks and later inspected the trucks to see if employees complied. When a radio was still found in the driver's truck after the notice, he was fired.

The purpose of this is to point out that the company itself, not the FCC, may be the best place to start if you discover a driver operating without a license in the ham bands. Many companies probably would not want this type of trouble, so may take more immediate action. Remember, even the independant drivers work for companies (the names on the trailers), so this may even work for them also.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2706803960788762743

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 20:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 24 Feb 2001 03:57:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <977bfe52pej@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010223203254.01718.00000074@nso-
fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-558.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17123

In article <20010223203254.01718.00000074@nso-fo.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com says...


>In article <96b8p4113q9@enews1.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
>Bryant) writes:
>
>>It reminds me of that scene in the movie "Good Will Hunting"
>>where Matt Damon's character was critical of a Harvard student who was
>>spending
>>all of his money for college, when everything he wanted to know could be
>>obtained for the price of a library card.
>>
>"Will Hunting" is a fictional character, not a real person. He is portrayed as
>a super-genius who has taught himself the equivalent of several doctorates in
a
>variety of subjects, but who works as a janitor and gets in trouble with the
>law. He may have lots of knowledge, but he doesn't use it. He avoids the
>responsibility of actually DOING anything constructive besides custodial work
>until the very end of the movie.
>
>He knew the price of everyhting and the value of nothing. (Shaw's definition
of
>a cynic).
>
>Besides, how smart can a guy be who almost let a gal like Minnie Driver get
>away?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY


I posted this nearly two weeks ago (give or take) and you're just now
responding to it?
```

While "Good Will Hunting" may be a work of fiction, the names of people that I posted along this thread are not fictional characters. They were all successful, and none held a college degree. It's interesting how you ignored that part of the discussion.

From -2637530097191060444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 21:01:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 04:59:22 GMT
References: <20010223133951.01278.00000515@nso-fa.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010223235922.20346.00002436@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17124

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 2/23/01 10:39 AM Pacific Standard Time

Jerry asked:

>>Who makes up the majority of the member stations of this system?

Lennie tries to make an ignorant point:

>See the acronym words: MILITARY Affilicate Radio System.
>The three principal military branches do their own daily
>command and control for their respective MARS functions.
>More on this can be seen on -

Maybe, Oh Snide One, that's why he saud "majority"...?!?!

Guess reading a bit woulda solved that, but YOU are on a rant again.

From 1125309615914735764
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 21:09:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 05:08:46 GMT
References: <20010223133949.01278.00000514@nso-fa.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010224000846.20346.00002437@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17125

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 2/23/01 10:39 AM Pacific Standard Time
>Message-id: <20010223133949.01278.00000514@nso-fa.aol.com>

>>What part of this is so hard for you to understand?

>Only those documents of the ITU which are not in English.

Jerry, Lennie is a dyed-in-the-wool Clintonian democrat. Promises, treaties and vows are for "the other guy". That we Americans profess a love of laws and judicial process is just an annoyance to creeps like him.

>Part 97, Title 47 CFR, does not specifically state that amateur
>radio is a public service to the nation...it is a radio activity engaged
>in by individuals for non-pecuniary interests. The word "service" as
>in "radio service" used throughout Title 47 is a regulatory term
>denoting type and kind of radio regulated.

Lennie completely ignores the the REALITIES of Amatuer Radio. If it weren't for the service we DO provide, we'd have NOTHING to take to the table when defending OUR allocations.

Of course, Lennie has NO license, ergo nothing to lose.

More Andersonian hate, tripe and rhetoric.

Nothing we didn't expect.

From -7093575592975315214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 21:28:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 83
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 05:27:04 GMT
References: <20010223223042.01758.00000451@nso-bh.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010224002704.20346.00002439@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17126

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 2/23/01 7:30 PM Pacific Standard Time

>What is the specific codification of US Law in regards to "violation
>of international treaties?" Or agreements? Or memberships for
>some international purpose? How is membership by the USA
>administration in the ITU regarded as "law?"

Geez, Lennie...something you DON'T know...?!?!

Don't like it when someone holds YOUR heels to the flame, huh...?!?!

>As far as I am concerned as a
>US citizen within the borders of the USA, I am bound only to US law.

And as the Gentleman told you, Your Geezerness, Treaties ratified by the United States ARE "law" in the United States!

In what shade of black and white do you need that?

> If there is violation of an
>"international law" then why is an FCC form used? What is the
>importance of an FCC form number?

Run, Lennie..RUN!

>Let's cut to the chase there. What I am saying is that
>international agreements or treaties are only "enforceable" as
>the member nations want to make them, enforced by
>administrations of specific countries internally. As such they
>are handled "in-country" by a country's regulating agency.

OK, here's the Brass Ring. The State Department has told the FCC that it has ratified ITU treaties. The FCC will therefore enforce those provisions. Need it any simpler, Lennie?

>It's fairly obvious that treaties and agreements should be
>handled in Good Faith by all nations involved in such treaties
>or agreements. There is NO supra-national agency that can
>enforce any treaty or agreement violations.

Saddam Hussein tried the "Maybe I Will...Maybe I Won't" policy. It didn't work for him, either.

And there IS an agency..It's called the World Court in The Hague, The Netherlands.

>The UN has no specific "international law" enforcement
>body, only various administrations who agree to enact force
>after a resolution passed by the UN.

Sorry. Wrong.

>Lastly, how is it that one "highest" rated US radio amateur can
>berate other US radio amateurs for "not doing enough" on
>natural disaster aid when there is ample evidence of the USA
>having already suffered natural disasters in the near past?

US Amateurs are licensed, not rated. However I have a Private Pilot's license with a Single Engine Land "rating" on that.

>As far as I am concerned, US law and regulations apply to US
>citizens. Since when do US citizens "sign treaties" to "agree"
>to US law and regulations?

Since they elect officials to act on thier behalf in such matters.

>....then I have to ask some deep specifics and do a lot of questioning, >some
of it possibly taken negatively by those whose agendas involve
>newsgroup message points.

No, you just can't stand having your know-it-all attitude hung out to dry by someone who is obviously far better suited to comment on it than you, Lennie.

I think Phil's credentials are impeccable. Unless you care to call them into question?

```
From -5062379573354461376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 21:34:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
```

```
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 05:33:07 GMT
References: <976abr$21p$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Message-ID: <20010224003307.01469.00000119@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17127

In article <976abr$21p$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@qwest.net writes:

>Put a 10M receiver in your car -- find them -- read the company name off the
>door of the 18-wheeler -- send it to the FCC.
>
And TAPE THEIR TRANSMISSIONS.

73 de Jim, N2EY
```

```
From 9194660933025380142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 21:34:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 05:33:07 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g97toc0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Message-ID: <20010224003307.01469.00000118@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17128

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g97toc0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>A lot of Californians consider Colorado "back east", and I
>  know a group of Ohioians (or is that "Ahiya-ins") for whom "out
>  west" is Illinois and Kansas.
>
Ohioans prefer the term "Buckeyes"
```

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 6747965746367380948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 21:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!
spamz.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 05:33:07 GMT
References: <975sco$6hn$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010224003307.01469.00000120@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17129

In article <975sco$6hn$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:

>n article <3a95b710.6229250@news2.dvol.com>, Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol>
>writes:
>
>
>>.. . . we don't need that kinda "PR".
>
>Obviously they have the wrong guy. This fellow knows Morse code, and we all
>know that the bad guys wear black hats and never know the code.

How do you know that this guy knows the code, Hans?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4441010989606107029
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 21:34:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 05:34:03 GMT
References: <20010223214519.01278.00000545@nso-fa.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010224003403.20346.00002440@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17130

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 2/23/01 6:45 PM Pacific Standard Time

>In "my day" in radio, being the 1950s, 1960s, 1970s, 1980s
>and 1990s, inclusive, I had little contact with MARS
>activities.  I can only comment on what the MARS chiefs
>of the respective military branches have stated publicly.
>Any "behind the scenes" or hidden descriptions of
>"insider" experiences or definitions are inadmissible as
>inaccurate and irrelevant hearsay.

     Had you been a licensed Amateur Radio operator instead of the hateful,
lying antagonist that you ahve become, you MIGHT have had some better insight
as to the true working relationships, rather than a cut-and-paste from a
website.

     Of course, this kind of thing allows you to wallow in your mediocrity,
able to dispaly only your ability to surf the net for ANY piece that can
"prove" your newsgroup message point tally.

Repeated for futher comment:

>Any "behind the scenes" or hidden descriptions of
>"insider" experiences or definitions are inadmissible as
>inaccurate and irrelevant hearsay.

    Lennie-to-English:  "I don't want to hear the truth".

     Of COURSE you don't want to hear it, Lennie.  Nothing like the
testimonials of LICENSED Hams who have "been there, done that" to ruin (again)
your rants.

     Putz.




From 1839976138304432911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 22:04:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
```

newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <hoje9t8ad7bl1e7mshc619lckcm0v5k51c@4ax.com>
References: <20010223214519.01278.00000545@nso-fa.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 06:04:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 982994683 209.86.209.171 (Fri, 23 Feb 2001 22:04:43 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Feb 2001 22:04:43 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17131

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>>Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:
>> News for you Lennie -- in "your time" in the 50s, the "A" in
>> the acronym was AMATEUR, not "affiliate"

>News for you, "Phillie," the MARS acronym has meant
>Military Affiliate Radio System for 38 years...since 1962
>or thereabouts.

My February 1953 QST page 50 has an article about the
"Military Affiliate Radio System" so apparently the name dates at
least that far back...

From 8845365999660596374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-23 23:55:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A976817.BA4054D7@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket

References: <9728ib$9n7$1@208.206.142.93> <20010222200612.26108.00002409@ng-mo1.aol.com> <974mp8$21a$0@208.206.142.104> <3A95DF18.34DF107E@IEEE.org> <974pb8$6vq$4@208.206.142.104> <3A95F447.36021D10@IEEE.org> <3A967926.1C7A246C@toast.net> <cc4d9t4ef62sub1e5t7sp6joahlm3lg9ul@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 01:51:51 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17132

Jim Weir wrote:

> John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
> shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
> ->The first overtone is the second harmonic. Both the fundamental and all
> ->the harmonics are partial tones (at least in audio work). I've never
> ->heard the term 'first harmonic' before, althought the meaning is
> ->unambiguous.
>
> I respectfully disagree. When I operate a crystal on third overtone, I'm
> operating on the third resonance of the fundamental, or the third harmonic.
> That is, a crystal operated at 30 MHz. on the third overtone is operating a
> fundamental 10 MHz. crystal with the plate buckling three times instead of once
> per cycle.

I see that I was wrong about the actual usage of the term 'overtone', and that the third overtone is the third harmonic of the fundamental, or the fundamental times three. Still, 'first overtone' doesn't sound right to me, because there's nothing 'over' about it, and the word 'fundamental' already means that.

john

From -2430384094087538520
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-24 04:35:13 PST
Message-ID: <3A97AA07.20D9C741@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 12:33:11 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>

```
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org> <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net>
<3A951ACC.13DB3275@IEEE.org> <3A95EFC3.48A647F3@ovis.net>
<3A95F55E.2600EA2C@IEEE.org> <3A96606F.3C03F821@ovis.net>
<3A966355.68E00E3E@IEEE.org> <3A96E4F8.414681F6@ovis.net>
<3A9714FF.EEA3A581@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s14.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 24 Feb 2001 07:29:22 -0500, s14.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 17
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s14.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17133

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > You mean you screwed up and chose the wrong definition and now are
> > *forced* to take your lumps.  That it chafes you to be called on being
> > wrong doesn't bother me.
>
> Delude yourself all you choose, Dave. You have been exposed as being
> ignorant of the definition of a word in the English dictionary. There's
> no way for you to recover.

You write like a man who is becoming desperate, Cecil.  You've painted
yourself into a corner.  Now you've become shrill because I've pointed
it out.  Maybe you can find a new way to sell your idea that learning
morse is compulsory.  Good luck on your quest.

Dave K8MN


From 7631243640736012985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-24 04:41:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A97AB43.60EBE506@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 12:38:27 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
```

<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net>
<3A95F6E5.E5233886@IEEE.org> <3A9661D4.BD6A4189@ovis.net>
<3A96648C.18C1678@IEEE.org> <3A96E5B0.4610718A@ovis.net>
<3A971667.21728417@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s14.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 24 Feb 2001 07:34:37 -0500, s14.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 25
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s14.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17134

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > What the hell does a prudent man have to do with this?
>
> That you don't know speaks volumes about your ignorance.

Neat dodge but you did not reply to the question. What does being
prudent have to do with the matter at hand?

> > What happened to the original
> > definition you brought forth. Have you now abandoned it in favor of
> > this "johnny-come-lately" one you are now using?
>
> The two definitions overlap but the second one is a tad closer to
> the concept I wanted to convey. Does your self proclaimed omniscience
> limit me to only one definition?

Perhaps you can trot out several additional definitions in an attempt to
make your case. Certainly the first one wasn't applicable at all.
Since I've already stated that I claim no omniscience, why do you
persist in using that term. Are you omniscient in your ability to
choose the correct definition from a list of several? Apparently not.

Dave K8MN

From -565240386221803989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-24 04:46:50 PST
Message-ID: <3A97AC48.17CCA9F1@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 12:42:48 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A95F737.7B7BC45E@ovis.net>
<3A95FBB5.1342F5CE@IEEE.org> <3A9664E4.39420E92@ovis.net>
<3A9669ED.B81EB112@IEEE.org> <3A96E8E4.974FD36@ovis.net>
<3A9717B5.EDFA2B63@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s14.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 24 Feb 2001 07:38:58 -0500, s14.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 27
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s14.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17135

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > No one forces you to operate a motorcycle.
>
> I didn't say anyone forces me to operate a motorcycle so your
> statement is an irrelevant strawman.
>
> > No one forces you to to take an exam for a motorcycle endorsement. No
> > one forced you to take a morse exam.
>
> You have missed the point entirely, Dave. Texas motorcycle riders are
> forced (required by circumstances) to pass a motorcycle test. HF hams
> are forced (required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code exam.
> Non-motorcycle riders are not forced to pass a motorcycle test.
> Non-HF hams are not forced to pass a Morse code exam. How can you
> possibly not comprehend such a simple concept.

...because force is not involved. Taking a motorcycle exam is not compulsory. Taking an amateur exam is not compulsory. Why can't you understand this? It must be the Libertarian leaning toward anarchy--the view that anything which is regulated is "forced".

Has it dawned on you that you are probably not going to succeed in swaying me toward your view on this?

Dave K8MN

From 2391453656598150872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-24 04:58:43 PST
Message-ID: <3A97AF3F.7A5379DC@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 12:55:27 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <3A950BBB.70C44680@ovis.net>
<3A951CF5.36AD78EF@IEEE.org> <3A95F15F.FE210F74@ovis.net>
<3A95F786.3C6DF7B4@IEEE.org> <3A966229.7FF37997@ovis.net>
<3A966726.C0ED458@IEEE.org> <3A96E7D2.3B7B5F2F@ovis.net>
<3A971AAA.2C793586@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s14.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 24 Feb 2001 07:51:38 -0500, s14.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 47
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s14.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17136

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > Nope, "Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary" gives the definition
> > > as "forced - done or produced with effort". That overlaps the
> > > definition of "forced - required by circumstances" which appears
> > > in my unabridged dictionary which I rarely open because it is so large.
> >
> > It overlaps? How does that take place, pray tell.
>
> Easy, oh clueless one. Something that is required by circumstances
> normally is produced with some effort.

Really. I suppose you'd then have to define the quantity of effort.
If I'm *forced* to pick up the TV Guide, I'm not really putting forth
effort.

> > Did you have the
> > lighter book under the one which is too large (formerly heavy) to open?
> > Why didn't you introduce the second definition at the same time as the

> > first? Was it because you were beaten over the head with the first one?
>
> It is because I have arthritis and the big dictionary is painful to
> pick up. The two definitions are essentially the same.

I had no idea that health problems would enter the discussion. I
wouldn't want to cause you pain. The definitions are assuredly not the
same.

> > There was no diversion. You simply switched definitions after choosing
> > the wrong one. Then you added the *if* portion. You can't debate
> > without injecting new information, used selectively.
>
> You are forcing me to inject new information, Dave, in an effort to overcome
> your ignorance. You cannot have your cake and eat it too. You cannot criticize
> me both for your requirement that I expand my explaination because of your
> ignorance and rag on me at the same time for that very explaination.

I'm not *forcing* you to do anything, Cecil. You're doing it because
you can't stand to be bested in an argument. It is your free will which
causes your continued responses. It is not compulsory to reply.
It would be refreshing for you to not to take us down the path of
substitution, weaving and whirling, in attempting to make your point.

Dave K8MN

From -3270846176499653119
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-24 05:02:41 PST
Message-ID: <3A97B0C0.DB1AA78@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 13:01:52 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95F898.78BFE16C@IEEE.org> <3A966301.26BC1F2B@ovis.net>
<3A966D56.8BD0312C@IEEE.org> <3A96EB91.DF3635CF@ovis.net>
<3A971BB3.7AFCA55@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s14.pm1.ovis.net

X-Trace: 24 Feb 2001 07:58:05 -0500, s14.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 46
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s14.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17137

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > I hope you don't continue to drink to excess, Cecil.
>
> I'm on a diet and haven't had a drink in weeks.
>
> > You've certainly
> > changed the definition from the incorrect one you initially brought
> > forth. That isn't expanding. It is changing the definition. I rag on
> > you for that.
>
> But I did it for you, Dave, because of your ignorance. Why do you object
> to a second definition from a Webster's dictionary that helps to alleviate
> your ignorance?

That's kind of you. You're obviously a superior being. I'll be
eternally grateful that you saw fit to assist me in my struggle for
understanding. I'm really having trouble understanding why that first
definition was abandoned in favor of this new one. I also have trouble
understanding why you've totally ignored the definition which I provided
you. Did you have trouble understanding it?

> > You were not forced to learn morse code. I was not forced to learn
> > morse code.
>
> We are HF hams, Dave. Therefore, we were forced (required by circumstances)
> to learn Morse code. Non-HF hams are not forced to learn Morse code. HF
> hams are.

Please explain how we were forced to become HF hams, Cecil. All of
these years I was absolutely certain that I chose to become a ham.

> > > Hams with HF privileges are forced to learn Morse. They are "required
> > > by circumstances" to learn Morse code. The "circumstances" is the FCC's
> > > Morse code requirement.
> >
> > What's the matter, Cecil. Don't you like your first definition any
> > more? I'd really enjoy hearing tales of how you were compelled to learn
> > morse.
>
> You don't think the FCC has the power to compel HF hams to learn Morse?

I don't think the FCC has the power to force me to become a ham at all.

Dave K8MN

From -1632784007797796645
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-24 05:33:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 13:32:59 GMT
References: <977bfe52pej@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010224083259.01718.00000098@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17138

In article <977bfe52pej@enews1.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>I posted this nearly two weeks ago (give or take) and you're just now
>responding to it?

I just came across it. Is there a time limit on responding to posts?
>
>While "Good Will Hunting" may be a work of fiction, the names of people that
>I
>posted along this thread are not fictional characters. They were all
>successful, and none held a college degree. It's interesting how you ignored
>that part of the discussion.

I haven't gotten that far yet.

Of course some people are successful without degrees. And others with degrees are not successful. No degree or other qualification is a guarantee of success.

But if you or a loved one needed to have an operation, would you want a surgeon who had been trained in a good medical school and who had a medical degree, or one who was self-taught from books in the library?
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

From -5142325913357590544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,160400979c87d49b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-24 05:33:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Feb 2001 13:32:59 GMT
References: <20010219155424.03195.00001078@ng-fa1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: League needs to straighten up
Message-ID: <20010224083259.01718.00000097@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17140
```

In article <20010219155424.03195.00001078@ng-fa1.news.cs.com>, ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D) writes:

>Just reread Mark's proposal. It's calling for 11 meter ops to take the
>ridiculously easy Technician exam. A 35 question multiple choice exam for
>which
>the 384 question pool is published.

Not only published, but available at many sites on the web. You can also take practice exams at a several websites, so you don't have to guess about whether you'll pass.

>
>I recently took this exam and it took me approx. 5 to 6 minutes to "blow"
>through it, including the filling out of the associated paperwork.

Congratulations and welcome!

> I
>personally
>want to progress further, however, the point is that many 11 meter ops are a
>mere 35 questions and $39.00 away from full voting privileges. It IS a good
>LEGAL alternative.

Plus the VE fee and a trip to a testing session.

The FCC WANTS cbers and "freebanders" to get ham licenses and go legal. That's why the test requirements have been changed over the years. It's much easier to enforce the regs on licensed operators than on unlicensed ones.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -7059743040274066680
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-24 05:33:09 PST
Message-ID: <3A97B717.4FF4A1E2@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 13:28:55 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <3A95F877.7ABB0E8E@ovis.net>
<3A95FD92.205AEF27@IEEE.org> <3A9665EF.59858B0A@ovis.net>
<3A966EFA.4FEC7E3F@IEEE.org> <3A96EC57.1BC55C01@ovis.net>
<3A971E8A.B254619C@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s14.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 24 Feb 2001 08:25:13 -0500, s14.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 59
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s14.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17139

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > And you are stating that there is no way that the "then" portion is
> > false. I strongly disagree.
>
> Take the logic statement If A then B. If A is false then the entire
> statement is true. If A is true and B is true then the entire statement
> is true. If A is true and B is false then the entire statement is false.
>
> If a ham is to obtain HF privileges, he is forced (required by circumstances)
> to pass a Morse code exam.

> If a ham doesn't have HF privileges, the statement is true.
>
> If a ham has HF privileges and has passed a Morse code exam, the
> statement is true.
>
> If a ham has HF privileges and hasn't passed a Morse code exam, the
> statement is false because that outcome is impossible (outside of fraud).

>
> So yes, it is impossible for the 'then' portion to be false because,
> neglecting fraud, it is impossible for an HF ham not to have passed
> a Morse code exam.

If a ham is to obtain HF privileges, he is forced (required by circumstances)to pass a Morse code exam.

So if the B portion relating to being forced to pass a morse exam is false, the entire statement is false. So "he is forced (compulsory) to pass a morse exam." can be a false statement. That makes the entire statement false. Apparently the whole thing hinges on that definition of the word forced.

How about this one: If obtaining an HF amateur radio license is not mandatory then no person is forced (compulsory) to pass a morse exam.

Does that meet your logical requirements? It sounds pretty good to me.

> The logic is completely valid and consistent.

See my logical response above.

> > Your statement does not become true because you insist that it is.
> > No one is required to learn morse or to take an FCC exam. Both are
> > entirely optional.
>
> You continue to be confused. HF hams are required to learn Morse. Hams
> are required to take an FCC exam. Neither is optional for hams. Please
> show me a ham who has not passed an FCC exam. Please show me an HF ham
> who has not passed a Morse code exam.

The false part is that no one is required to obtain an HF ham ticket. While a morse exam is part of becoming an HF ham, nobody is forced to obtain such license. I've never argued that there are HF hams who have not passed a morse exam, I've argued that morse exams are not forced. Please stick with the discussion at hand.

Dave K8MN

From -5883329005201950877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-24 05:35:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!xmission!
news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 13:32:42 +0000

Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3A97B7FA.F27B005C@bctv.com>
References: <975sco$6hn$1@news.netmar.com> <20010224003307.01469.00000120@nso-fk.aol.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 983021701 36510 63.87.116.71 (24 Feb 2001 13:35:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Feb 2001 13:35:01 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17141

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <975sco$6hn$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:
>
> >n article <3a95b710.6229250@news2.dvol.com>, Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol>
> >writes:
> >
> >
> >>.. . . we don't need that kinda "PR".
> >
> >Obviously they have the wrong guy. This fellow knows Morse code, and we all
> >know that the bad guys wear black hats and never know the code.
>
> How do you know that this guy knows the code, Hans?

He was a General in the 1990s.

But the real proof is in all of those "No code - no ham" messages that
he posted.

In Russki.

From 2122515471759579782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-24 05:38:59 PST
Message-ID: <3A97B8B0.B211F431@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 13:35:44 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A967295.8079A954@IEEE.org> <3A96EDDD.88119A70@ovis.net>
<3A9721A8.458C866D@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s14.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 24 Feb 2001 08:32:03 -0500, s14.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 24
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!
pluto.ovis.net!s14.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17142

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Neat logic lesson, Cecil. The following statement is also true:
> > "No person is forced to obtain an HF ham ticket".
>
> Of course it's true, Dave. But since nobody has asserted that anyone
> is forced to obtain an HF ham ticket, it is also irrelevant and can
> simply be considered as your strawman diversionary tactic. You continue
> to miss the point. HF hams are forced (required by circumstances) to pass
> a Morse code exam. The Morse code requirement is certainly required by the
> circumstances which exist at the FCC. That, my friend, is the definition
> of "forced", straight from Webster's.

But, Cecil, if no one is required to obtain an amateur ticket, how can one be forced to learn morse code? It is certainly an integral part of the equation. Your definition (in this latest incarnation) only fits if someone is desirous of becoming an HF ham. Your earlier "produced by effort" definition did not fit at all and has since been abandoned by you. I'm happy that you've found one which suits you better. This said, one is not forced to learn morse code. There is an option of learning it or not learning it.

Dave K8MN

From 4846021228758127653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-24 05:44:20 PST
Message-ID: <3A97BA3E.33207E07@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 13:42:22 +0000

From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net> <3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net> <3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net> <3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net> <3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org> <3A96EF23.9B7E5688@ovis.net> <3A972620.A3BA4906@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s14.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 24 Feb 2001 08:38:45 -0500, s14.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 45
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s14.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17143

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Sure, both are valid but their meaning is not the same.
>
> Their meanings certainly overlap and their meanings are the same
> in the overlap area. "Produced with effort" and "required by
> circumstances" can certainly mean that the effort required was
> required by the circumstances. Can you think of a case where
> the effort required was not required by the circumstances.

"I forced the door open" and "I was forced to surrender my money" do not use the same meaning of the word "forced". In the second, no effort is implied.

> > ...and you mean that the use of the "if" word implies options to do or
> > not do something.
>
> The 'if' word implies conditions. Those same conditions are often
> implied without the existence of an 'if' word. That is what you are
> missing or ignoring. What is implied by "HF ham"? The implication
> is clear. An HF ham was forced (required by circumstances) to pass
> a Morse code exam. Non-HF hams are not forced to pass a Morse code
> exam. You have painted yourself into a logical corner and are
> arguing an impossibility. Neglecting fraud, it is not possible for
> an HF ham to exist without passing a Morse code exam.

The word "if" implies options. The "if" tells us that the individual has choices open to him.

> > > If a ham is to obtain HF privileges then he is forced (required by
> > > circumstances) to learn Morse code.
> >
> > Sorry, Cecil. I don't buy the "then" portion of your statement.
>
> You don't buy that the Morse code requirement is required by the
> circumstances existing at the FCC????? Not to buy that, Dave, is
> not to buy reality. Please produce an HF ham who was not forced
> (required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code exam.

No, I do not accept "then he is forced to learn morse code". That is
what is being debated. You have not proven that anyone is forced to
learn morse code.

Dave K8MN

```
From 8799933370835499597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,9ed50ca33b5cfe28,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ed50ca33b5cfe28,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,9ed50ca33b5cfe28,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-24 05:48:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Johnnie Code-cut" <nfinelli9000@aol.com>
From: "Johnnie Code-cut" <nfinelli9000@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Wayne Green and Richard Hoagland on Art Bell Show
Lines: 7
Organization: CW
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <vYOl6.263$ju2.50967@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 13:48:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.192.77.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983022491 216.192.77.61 (Sat, 24 Feb
2001 05:48:11 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Feb 2001 05:48:11 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9772
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13088 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17144
```

Go to the URL below to hear an interesting

debate between Wayne Green and Richard
Hoagland - moderated by Art Bell. It's a "good un!"

http://www.artbell.com/sound.html

```
From 6304145930008011237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 22:34:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 27 Feb 2001 06:29:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <97fhg5$pcm$0@208.207.71.129>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A94A29B.6A9C8F35@ovis.net>
<973vak5sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F547.BF1FEA3A@ovis.net>
<976ii3429gj@enews4.newsguy.com> <3A96F0A7.BD5ABAA@ovis.net>
<977a3b22pej@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A97BB9E.2DB69C56@ovis.net>
<978g2002rih@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A9836CF.25B74C27@ovis.net>
<97chsd05j2@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A99FD33.3212191B@ovis.net>
<97f6eq01nt7@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.129
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17545
```

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> No one has ever made such a statement that ham radio would have an "explosion
> in growth" with professionals and technical types. This is your distortion of
> the facts. But I suppose if there was such an "explosion" they wouldn't count
> because they didn't pass the "real" code test.

So you haven't been paying attention again.

```
From 6114593408756549930
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 22:35:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!wesley.videotron.net!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 27 Feb 2001 06:33:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <97fhn5$prp$0@208.207.71.129>
References: <9793ue0vq9@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010224173126.03249.00002147@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <979uu9$en5$0@208.206.142.68> <97cgie11ee5@enews3.newsguy.com>
<97cn2v$336$0@208.207.71.174> <97f6n611nt7@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.129
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17546
```

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <97cn2v$336$0@208.207.71.174>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
> > Why should they stay unlicensed when it's so easy to get licensed? Then
> >they have access to the ham bands as well as whatever they're doing
> >outside the law-they use ham rigs and amps anyway, for the most part-
> >and don't think they feel constrained to operating legally within the
> >ham bands, either.
> > Plus as licensed hams they now have access to voting membership in ARRL
> >and hey, we can run THAT, too.....You read his post, didn't you? This is
> >not news. There is and has always been a large element of them who will
> >never conform. They "just don't want to". (Where have we heard that
> >before?)
>
> This shows that you don't know the difference between flagrantly violating the
> law, and working through proper legal means in an effort to change the law.

TL, you're sshowing yourself to be more and more lacking in your
ability to see what's there. There is a fair sized segment of
freebanders who now hold ham licenses but continue to do their nefarous
deeds outside the bands. If you don't acknowledge that don't assume we

will automatically presume you aren't familiar with it. Possibly very
familiar.

From -3179623549591147226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 22:36:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 27 Feb 2001 06:34:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <97fhpl$prp$1@208.207.71.129>
References: <3A9894FA.A403FF14@IEEE.org> <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-fp.aol.com> <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com> <97cn4l$336$1@208.207.71.174> <97f6t821nt7@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.129
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17547

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <97cn4l$336$1@208.207.71.174>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
> >> >One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
> >> license,
> >> >and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is this: They
> >> want
> >> >the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham licenses.
> >> >
> >> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
> >
> >
> >Whatta ya want, a notarized statement from the Chairman?
>
> Posting an opinion is one thing. Posting an opinion under the guise of it being
> an actual fact is quite another. In such cases, I expect to see some proof.

If you had been paying attention you would have seen a good amount of
evidence.
So far there has been no trial nor conviction.

```
From 6870768402011427061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b95fe0c0c2f3444d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 22:41:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Thanks and Good Bye re. 11m, Tech Ticket, & The ARRL.
Date: 27 Feb 2001 06:39:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <97fi3f$qk3$0@208.207.71.129>
References: <B6BE041A.3781%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010226232127.23759.00000162@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.129
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17548
```

SSB411D wrote:
>
> >In other words, the resistence in the ham community towards CB'ers is
> >nothing more than similar efforts in "real" communities to keep the >criminal
> element out.
>
> In other words, you're an rf bigot.

Now this is novel. A ham who insists that radio operators should follow
the regulations, get licensed and operate legally are condemned as
"bigots". Not too susrprising, considering the source.

> >We have a nice community and we don't want to see the streets
> >(frequencies) in that community taken over by criminals (freebanders, >linear
> freaks, rude operators, or whatever).
>
> In other words, you're an rf bigot.

You sound just like some radial zealots who insist that decrying the rampant illegality by members of some minority groups is 'bigotry'. Same lame claim.

```
From -7074468620960675261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 22:46:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 27 Feb 2001 06:41:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <97fi64$qk3$1@208.207.71.129>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <9779kq02pej@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3A9AB291.C51988F0@uci.edu> <97f7f131nt7@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.129
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17549
```

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <3A9AB291.C51988F0@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>
> >> IOW, regardless of the relevancy of the requirement, as long as they show
> >> that they can beep, they can do whatever they want afterwords.
> >
> >Well, not exactly. We have to show quite a number of other things as
> >well (as I'm sure you're aware, but have conveniently forgotten.)
> >
> >ac6xg
>

> I'm quite aware of the other requirements. I'm also quite aware, evidenced by
> the subtle postings of many in this newsgroup, of the caste system that exists
> with in amateur radio, with regards to newer licensees.

WHERE'S YOUR PROOF?????

From 7362579197545829683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 00:45:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!news.mindspring.net!howland.erols.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 00:37:45 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <97fot8$ka9$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com> <3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net> <973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu> <3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com> <3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com> <97e4gv$8o0$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9A9991.A5E7CB96@IEEE.org> <3A9B06F2.35D6078F@uci.edu> <3A9B0938.D883EC66@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-34.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16399

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A9B0938.D883EC66@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Be kinda silly for a federal agency to write a rule prohibiting someone
> > from doing something they were unable to do, doncha think?
>
> Not at all. That's why they have rules prohibiting someone from
> flying an airplane when they are unable to do so.

I flew an airplane when I was 12. But I guess they weren't talking about
me. I was able to fly it.

Just glad I didn't have to land it. :-)

73, jim

```
From 8475262398883595225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 00:45:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 00:41:00 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <97fp3b$kbr$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
<3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net>
<3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org> <3A96EF23.9B7E5688@ovis.net>
<3A972620.A3BA4906@IEEE.org> <3A97BA3E.33207E07@ovis.net>
<3A97D703.69FEBC05@IEEE.org> <3A983D52.4DE6B32C@ovis.net>
<3A984895.12A12741@IEEE.org> <3A988A40.BCCC67D1@ovis.net>
<3A989AA4.25862393@IEEE.org> <3A9948A8.892759A0@ovis.net>
<3A99CC19.8485834F@IEEE.org> <3A99FE29.9B5BEACD@ovis.net>
<3A9A6FC5.D5E598FE@IEEE.org> <3A9AB8FF.7323CE24@uci.edu>
<3A9AD12C.72BBD8A8@IEEE.org> <3A9AD68E.6BEFE7B@uci.edu>
<3A9B0A8F.93F29DFB@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-34.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16398
```

Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A9B0A8F.93F29DFB@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:

> > Amateur radio users of 7.010 MHz are HF hams, are they not?
>
> Yes, but not all HF hams are allowed on 7.010 MHz. Only the HF hams
> authorized to operate on 7.010 MHz were required by circumstances
> to pass an Extra exam.

Yes. I seem to recall hearing something about that.

> One has to be accurate about such matters.

:-)

jim

From 2005019396707734479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 01:04:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 00:57:22 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <97fq21$lcv$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu> <9774dr$p3e$0@208.206.142.90>
<3A9AB3B4.B210E95@uci.edu> <97fg4n$jbg$0@208.207.71.129>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-34.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16400

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:97fg4n$jbg$0@208.207.71.129...

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:

```
> > >  Far as I can tell that's where Cecil's argument breaks down. When one
> > > forces himself to do something is he really being forced?
> >
> > I think so.  One of his definitions said somthing about "requires
> > effort".  It required effort to learn code.  I have to force the chair
> > away from my desk if I want to get up, etc, etc,.

> Yes, but the necesary force requires trivial effort.

You're acting like he insulted your mother or something.  What's so bad
about saying that learning code requires a trivial effort?  I think that's a
good thing.  Though Cecil is now pretending he didn't say that.

73, Jim AC6XG






From -2100589333480182216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 01:04:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 01:01:32 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <97fq9q$ln3$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A9AB05E.842EBC3@uci.edu> <20010226233558.21405.00003249@nso-
mj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-34.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16401

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010226233558.21405.00003249@nso-mj.aol.com...
> In article <3A9AB05E.842EBC3@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:
>
> >> As far as US amateur radio is concerned, an amateur license
> >> is granted by the FCC, not the desires of the already-licensed.
> >
> >Right.  Neither the desires of the applicant, nor any third party (such
> >as yourself) are relevant.  :-)
>
```

> On the contrary, the FCC welcomes comment from any citizen
> on FCC regulations for any radio service, does not exclude
> anyone.

Not on the 610 they don't. You know, as in the subject of this discussion?

ac6xg

```
From -2862394321558388061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 02:13:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 10:10:22 GMT
References: <3A9B17EE.D6E1CBE9@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Forced code exams? was Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227051022.02102.00000921@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16402
```

In article <3A9B17EE.D6E1CBE9@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Please produce just one HF ham who used his free will NOT to take
>a Morse code exam, Larry. That's the only way you can prove that
>you are right.

Cecil:

I can't because there aren't any. That is not an issue with me. The issue at hand is whether or not anyone was actually "forced" to take a Morse code test in order to gain HF amateur radio privileges. I contend that they were not. You contend that they were. Prove to me that you're right -- you have NOT done that yet.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 1855084685624943710
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 02:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 10:31:17 GMT
References: <3A9B0F29.5891F5A4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227053117.01469.00000236@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16403

In article <3A9B0F29.5891F5A4@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

> All HF hams were *required
>by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam.

Not in Japan. 4th class JA hams don't pass a code test.

It's important to be acurate  in such matters.

73 de Jim, N2EY


From 6865816061597474186
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 02:59:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3A9B0F29.5891F5A4@IEEE.org> <20010227053117.01469.00000236@nso-
fk.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <BFLm6.1586$qh5.3954683@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 04:52:30 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.202
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
```

```
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 983271137 205.138.126.202 (Tue, 27 Feb 2001 05:52:17
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 05:52:17 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16404
```

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010227053117.01469.00000236@nso-fk.aol.com...

> In article <3A9B0F29.5891F5A4@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> > All HF hams were *required
> >by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam.
>
> Not in Japan. 4th class JA hams don't pass a code test.
>
> It's important to be acurate in such matters.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Our hearts, they'll be quickenin',
With each drop of strichinin,
We're poisoning the pigeons in the park.

```
From 3419554139941552326
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b95fe0c0c2f3444d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 03:28:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <B6BE041A.3781%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010226232127.23759.00000162@ng-mg1.news.cs.com> <97fi3f$qk3$0@208.207.71.129>
Subject: Re: Thanks and Good Bye re. 11m, Tech Ticket, & The ARRL.
Lines: 50
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <96Mm6.436$K66.305943@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 05:21:55 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.194
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 983272965 208.154.158.194 (Tue, 27 Feb 2001 06:22:45
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 06:22:45 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16405
```

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:97fi3f$qk3$0@208.207.71.129...
>
>
> SSB411D wrote:
> >
> > >In other words, the resistence in the ham community towards CB'ers is
> > >nothing more than similar efforts in "real" communities to keep the
>criminal
> > element out.
> >
> > In other words, you're an rf bigot.
>
>
> Now this is novel. A ham who insists that radio operators should follow
> the regulations, get licensed and operate legally are condemned as
> "bigots". Not too susrprising, considering the source.
>
>
>
>
> > >We have a nice community and we don't want to see the streets
> > >(frequencies) in that community taken over by criminals (freebanders,
>linear
> > freaks, rude operators, or whatever).
> >
> > In other words, you're an rf bigot.
>
>
> You sound just like some radial zealots who insist that decrying the
> rampant illegality by members of some minority groups is 'bigotry'. Same
> lame claim.

Now, Dick. You just ain't giving them the respect their neat hardware
deserves. Kind of like the kids with the Tommy shirts, the latest Air
Jordan shoes, the Nike hat on backwards and little wisps of what looks
like pubic hair on the chin. I'm cool man, why don't you think I'm cool.
See my Chevy Blazer -- cool man. It is all a status game and us nasty old
hams don't give them status for all that money they spent. Bigot, man,
bigot.

My own experience is that a lot of freebanders, almost universally the
sidebanders, make pretty good amateurs. Guess it depends on whether a
convict who does his time is entitled to a pardon -- or whether you are a
good Baptist and believe in deathbed conversions. :-)

Dick N�BK

From 8188429384209945199
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 05:01:55 PST
Message-ID: <3A9BA22B.92DDEFCA@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 06:48:43 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <9793ue0vq9@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010224173126.03249.00002147@ng-fc1.aol.com> <979uu9$en5$0@208.206.142.68> <97cgie11ee5@enews3.newsguy.com> <97cn2v$336$0@208.207.71.174> <97f6n611nt7@enews2.newsguy.com> <97fhn5$prp$0@208.207.71.129>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983278899 64.240.47.89 (27 Feb 2001 07:01:39 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!skynet.be!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16406

Dick Carroll wrote:
> TL, you're sshowing yourself to be more and more lacking in your
> ability to see what's there. There is a fair sized segment of
> freebanders who now hold ham licenses but continue to do their nefarous
> deeds outside the bands. If you don't acknowledge that don't assume we
> will automatically presume you aren't familiar with it. Possibly very
> familiar.

If they "continue to do their defarous? deeds outside the (ham) bands" then nothing has changed. Why should what continues to happen "outside the (ham) bands" be of concern to or have an effect on hams? Seems to me, the real problem for hams is when those guys, for instance, use AM/SSB in the CW/digital portion of the 10m ham band. Then they are violating the conditions of their ham license and having an effect on hams.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3474763417475362852
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 05:04:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc1.il.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Gilbert Baron" <gbaron@mailandnews.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc
,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<97ehj6$nnk@dispatch.concentric.net> <3A9B2C9F.648F3406@arrl.org>
<D0Gm6.23096$t67.380587@news1.rdc1.il.home.com> <3A9B3002.70D107C9@coqui.net>
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Lines: 41
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <_yNm6.25366$t67.394580@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 13:01:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.178.209.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.il.home.com 983278906 24.178.209.61 (Tue, 27 Feb 2001 05:01:46
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 05:01:46 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9606
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2138 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12628
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16407 rec.radio.shortwave:27589
```

"Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message

```
news:3A9B3002.70D107C9@coqui.net...
> Gilbert Baron wrote:
> >
> > "Hans K0HB" <k0hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
> > news:3A9B2C9F.648F3406@arrl.org...
> > > JT wrote:
> > >
> > > > In order to get a QSL card from Germany, I've got to put 80 cents
> > > > worth of postage on the envelope and 2 dollars inside. $2.80 for one
> > > > QSL card.
> > >
> > > Naw, just wait 3 months, and you'll get 15 cards from Germany in
> > > your "Buro" envelope, 34cents for the whole stack.  You're just too
> > > eager to spend money.
> > >
> >
> > And it takes too damn long and Germany is an easy one to work. The hard
ones
> > WANT DEMAND more. The whole thing is a racket. EQsl Must and soon WILL
> > exist. If ARRL does not do it soon some will and start independent
awards
> > that will destroy the ARRL monopoly. Yes the Dxers will have to find
another
> > way to make money, BUT , they will save a LOT  by not printing cards.
> >
> > > 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>
> A lot of those rare dx-peditions might not wanna use a freebie
> service because some of the excess 'green stamp' proceeds aid in
> defraying the cost of the expedition.

Yes, that is true and the MAIN reason it does not exist.


> -BM, WX4A
>




From 3141188095806096198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 05:05:12 PST
Message-ID: <3A9BA2AE.372BCA9C@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 06:50:54 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9B0F29.5891F5A4@IEEE.org> <20010227053117.01469.00000236@nso-fk.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983279028 64.240.47.89 (27 Feb 2001 07:03:48 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16408

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3A9B0F29.5891F5A4@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> > All HF hams were *required
> >by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam.
>
> Not in Japan. 4th class JA hams don't pass a code test.
>
> It's important to be acurate in such matters.

I said a couple of postings ago that I was talking about U.S. hams.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7847141871816026579
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 05:10:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A9BA421.B7AF8124@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 06:57:05 -0600

From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A99DB36.5EAAB447@IEEE.org> <20010226211832.29597.00009532@nso-mi.aol.com> <scgm9tc6pjgkksklfincdfjgsvmsku0ocr@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983279401 64.240.47.89 (27 Feb 2001 07:10:01 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!newsxfer.interpacket.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16409

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> >I'll gladly take one [code exam] for him, any time -- as long
> >as he can create circumstances which require me to do so! If he
> >does, I'll take the exam voluntarily --
>
> Makes sense. And if I ever do anything that makes a cop want to give
> me a ticket, I'll of course take it voluntarily...   :-)

:-) Yep, and the point is that "voluntarily" doesn't matter. U.S.
HF hams are required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam.
Whether it is voluntary or involuntary is irrelevant. 100% of U.S.
HF hams who don't voluntarily take the Morse code exam are *required
by circumstances* to take it involuntarily.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8937434492998472773
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 05:12:19 PST
Message-ID: <3A9BA50D.6BEA378B@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 07:01:01 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org> <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net>
<3A951ACC.13DB3275@IEEE.org> <3A95EFC3.48A647F3@ovis.net>
<3A95F55E.2600EA2C@IEEE.org> <3A96606F.3C03F821@ovis.net>
<3A966355.68E00E3E@IEEE.org> <3A96E4F8.414681F6@ovis.net>
<3A9714FF.EEA3A581@IEEE.org> <3A97AA07.20D9C741@ovis.net>
<3A97BBA3.6AF6AD7F@IEEE.org> <3A982E20.145F2625@ovis.net>
<3A9834EE.6C303B5D@IEEE.org> <3A986DA1.C9B1F039@ovis.net>
<3A987ADF.C58FE8E4@IEEE.org> <3A98944D.C8DF6048@arrl.org>
<3A989872.4DFE27CF@IEEE.org> <3A9ABCE2.19AD3063@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983279637
64.240.47.89 (27 Feb 2001 07:13:57 -0600)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16410
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:

> > I'm naturally talking about U.S. HF hams, Hans. Where are the U.S. HF
> > hams who used their free will to decide not to take a Morse code exam?
> > The fact that there is not a single one should raise a red flag that
> > taking a Morse code exam is NOT a voluntary act for HF hams.
>
> Wouldn't this mean that taking written FCC exams is also NOT a voluntary
> act, and therefore there's no difference between the Morse test and the
> written test in this regard?

Yep, that's true. All U.S. hams are required by circumstances to pass a written ham exam. U.S. hams who don't voluntarily take the exams are required by circumstances to take them involuntarily. Whether the exam is taken voluntarily or involuntarily is irrelevant to the FCC. Either way, it is required by circumstances.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From 8490395949413557325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 13:16:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A9C1306.511A3A@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 14:50:14 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <9793ue0vq9@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010224173126.03249.00002147@ng-fc1.aol.com> <979uu9$en5$0@208.206.142.68> <97cgie11ee5@enews3.newsguy.com> <97cn2v$336$0@208.207.71.174> <97f6n611nt7@enews2.newsguy.com> <97fhn5$prp$0@208.207.71.129> <97gbtg0qfn@enews4.newsguy.com> <97gq93$12u$0@208.206.142.94> <97gujd012b7@enews3.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.154
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983307791 64.240.47.154 (27 Feb 2001 15:03:11 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16411

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> You can make light of it all you want, but the fact remains that if you're
> going to accuse someone of an illegal act, you'd best be prepared to back up
> such statements. Most people don't like to be accused of something they
> didn't do. All it takes is one ambitious lawyer to see your words and interpret
> them as being malicious.

It's very difficult to prove anything about netnews. It appears that
half the time, Dick's bratty 8-year old idiot nephew is doing the
posting to his internet account.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2259783676704160968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 13:16:37 PST
Message-ID: <3A9C11D9.96D98933@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 14:45:13 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com>
<97e4gv$8o0$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9A9991.A5E7CB96@IEEE.org>
<3A9B06F2.35D6078F@uci.edu> <3A9B0938.D883EC66@IEEE.org>
<97fot8$ka9$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9BA7E0.D159013@IEEE.org>

<3A9C0AF4.460AF9BE@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.154
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983307490
64.240.47.154 (27 Feb 2001 14:58:10 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16412

Jim Kelley wrote:
> You said they have rules against doing something you're _unable_ to do.
> I was able to fly the plane, so it must have been legal. ;-)

The government finds out if one is unable to fly a plane by giving
him/her a set of exams.

> So I wondering if you think the FCC should outlaw the use of CW by those
> who don't know how to use CW as exemplified by the many other examples
> in law?

Since it is not a life and death situation, I don't see any reason why
nocode techs shouldn't use the only CW-only frequencies in US amateur
radio for the purpose of learning Morse code.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8684409234120297624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 13:19:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 12:41:23 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A9C10F3.327C80E8@uci.edu>
References: <3A99DA11.69926875@IEEE.org> <20010226211831.29597.00009531@nso-mi.aol.com> <3A9B0F29.5891F5A4@IEEE.org> <97gb8b$66t$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3A9BC7DD.6AC54A03@IEEE.org> <97gp98$ena$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9BECF4.96616F5E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16413

Cecil wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:

> > Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message

> > > The US government forces amateur radio operators to obtain
> > > an amateur radio operators license. The US government forces HF amateur
> > > radio operators to pass a Morse code exam. That's what governments do.

> > Right. Is this is a bad thing?

> Nope,

Ok good. Glad to hear it. Back 3 years ago, you sure made it sound like
it was a bad thing. So the bad thing now is that some US amateur radio
operators don't think there is force involved?

73, Jim AC6XG

From 16539196539314324 20
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 13:20:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 20:58:34 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/

Lines: 28
Message-ID: <97h4dq$lar$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <4kfl9tssmmmb00k0592ppo3djka2h2k9vt@4ax.com>
<20010226233559.21405.00003250@nso-mj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 983307514 21851 205.139.138.14 (27 Feb 2001 20:58:34 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 Feb 2001 20:58:34 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: lenover21@aol.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16414

In article <20010226233559.21405.00003250@nso-mj.aol.com>, Len Over 21
<lenover21@aol.com> writes:

>Do I need a Charles Atlas course? In Internet terms I am
>8' 4" and 560 pounds with 3% body fat and can bench-
>press a Schwarzenegger.

Just because you like to growl, posture, and piss in all the corners, it doesn't necessarily prove that you're a tough-minded king of the newsgroup: On the Internet, no one can tell you're a chihuahua.

dit dit

Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -3322364235876355015
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 14:03:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 13:44:41 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3A9C1FC9.ED1DD4FC@uci.edu>
References: <3A9AB05E.842EBC3@uci.edu> <20010226233558.21405.00003249@nso-mj.aol.com> <97fq9q$ln3$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16415

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010226233558.21405.00003249@nso-mj.aol.com...
> > In article <3A9AB05E.842EBC3@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> writes:
> >
> > >> As far as US amateur radio is concerned, an amateur license
> > >> is granted by the FCC, not the desires of the already-licensed.

> > >Right. Neither the desires of the applicant, nor any third party (such
> > >as yourself) are relevant. :-)

> > On the contrary, the FCC welcomes comment from any citizen
> > on FCC regulations for any radio service, does not exclude
> > anyone.

Did anyone else notice that I agreed with Len, and then he came back
contradicted himself in order to disagree with me?

73, ac6xg

From 1303317950767459406
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 14:04:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 13:35:07 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine

Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3A9C1D8B.C6660441@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com>
<97e4gv$8o0$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9A9991.A5E7CB96@IEEE.org>
<3A9B06F2.35D6078F@uci.edu> <3A9B0938.D883EC66@IEEE.org>
<97fot8$ka9$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9BA7E0.D159013@IEEE.org>
<3A9C0AF4.460AF9BE@uci.edu> <3A9C11D9.96D98933@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16416

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > You said they have rules against doing something you're _unable_ to do.
> > I was able to fly the plane, so it must have been legal. ;-)

> The government finds out if one is unable to fly a plane by giving
> him/her a set of exams.

> > So I wondering if you think the FCC should outlaw the use of CW by those
> > who don't know how to use CW as exemplified by the many other examples
> > in law?

> Since it is not a life and death situation, I don't see any reason why
> nocode techs shouldn't use the only CW-only frequencies in US amateur
> radio for the purpose of learning Morse code.

Right. But the fact remains that the test requirements are based at
least in part, on the privileges afforded to radio amateurs. There is
no presupposition that everything must be covered in element 2.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 7126861459498961050
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 14:07:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9fjij4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <#yahnTFnAHA.341@cpmsnbbsa09> <B6BCA6F9.3642%stewartx@sccoast.net> <978pii$4ue$0@208.207.71.154> <B6BDE9D1.377E%stewartx@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9ak5h4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <B6BF383B.3945%stewartx@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9cbt50.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <B6C125BD.3B33%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Lines: 15
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 22:03:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.77
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983311417 206.58.44.77 (Tue, 27 Feb 2001 22:03:37 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 22:03:37 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16417

On Tue, 27 Feb 2001 09:37:04 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Geesh, if I asked my congressman about his position on radio related
>issues, he would probably start talking about Rush (or conservative talk
>radio in general). In other words, communications radio is not exactly high
>on his agenda.

You have hit the nail on the head.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 4407674267439353933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 14:32:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!

```
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 14:29:15 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A9C2A3B.1C99C159@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com>
<97e4gv$8o0$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9A9991.A5E7CB96@IEEE.org>
<3A9B06F2.35D6078F@uci.edu> <3A9B0938.D883EC66@IEEE.org>
<97fot8$ka9$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9BA7E0.D159013@IEEE.org>
<3A9C0AF4.460AF9BE@uci.edu> <3A9C11D9.96D98933@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16418
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > You said they have rules against doing something you're _unable_ to do.
> > I was able to fly the plane, so it must have been legal. ;-)

> The government finds out if one is unable to fly a plane by giving
> him/her a set of exams.

So to be accurate, one might say that the government has rules against
doing things one is unlicensed to do?

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From 3960513820953954478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 14:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
```

not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 22:42:35 GMT
References: <3a9a84fa.2134181@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010227174235.01707.00000277@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16419

In article <3a9a84fa.2134181@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>On 26 Feb 2001 13:33:23 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>In article <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
>>Bryant) writes:
>>
>>>In article <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>>says...
>>>>One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
>>>>license and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is
>this:
>>>>They want the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham
>licenses.   Y
>>>
>>>Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
>>
>>Their actions speak louder than any words could.
>
>In what way do you make that assessment?

I looked over how the FCC has changed the license requirements in the last 30
years. And taking a broad view of the problems the FCC faces in enforcing the
rules on unlicensed stations.
>
>Just what actions by the FCC support your conclusion?
>
Compare the requirements for a US ham license in 1971 and in 2001. Pay
particular attention to what was and is required for a license that allows lots
of HF/MF privileges on a variety of modes. Also look at the requirements for a
full-privileges license. I can post a detailed list, if you want.

Please understand, I'm not saying this was the ONLY reason for the changes -
just that it was one of them. And I'm not saying that these changes were all
bad, either. I'm just explaining my view of part of the FCC's motivation and
thinking on the subject, and how it has changed from the heyday of "incentive
licensing" to now.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 8894390074049125840
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 14:44:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 22:42:37 GMT
References: <3A9BA2AE.372BCA9C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227174237.01707.00000280@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16420

In article <3A9BA2AE.372BCA9C@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3A9B0F29.5891F5A4@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>>
>> > All HF hams were *required
>> >by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam.
>>
>> Not in Japan. 4th class JA hams don't pass a code test.
>>
>> It's important to be acurate  in such matters.
>
>I said a couple of postings ago that I was talking about U.S. hams.

But you didn't write it in the post I quoted. Maybe you changed your mind
between posts.

73 de Jim, N2EY


From -3962350783957022541
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 14:44:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
```

```
spamz.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 22:42:37 GMT
References: <3A9BA56C.706EF156@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227174237.01707.00000279@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16421

In article <3A9BA56C.706EF156@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> > I've never heard you
>> >on any mode so it has had absolutely no effect on me.
>>
>> You must not be on 40 CW much, then.
>
>I am often on or around 7122.

Maybe. Or maybe you're just kidding about that.

How about posting a log of your last 5 QSOs on 40 CW?

> Never heard you there.

I'm there sometimes. Usually I'm down lower in the band, ragchewing around
7040, BA'ing around 7050 or chasing DX on the low end.

Besides, you probably wouldn't know it was me if you did hear me because of the
code speed used.

73 de Jim, N2EY


From 2341136949056039677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 14:44:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
spamz.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 95
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 22:42:37 GMT
References: <7nuk9tk99jmgdardcrtlu5duihghfemrot@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010227174237.01707.00000278@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16422

In article <7nuk9tk99jmgdardcrtlu5duihghfemrot@4ax.com>, AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
writes:

>On 26 Feb 2001 04:47:17 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>>
>>> In article <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>says...
>>>
>>> >
>>> >--
>>> >
>>> >One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
>>> license,
>>> >and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is this:
>They
>>> want
>>> >the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham licenses.
>>> >
>>> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>> Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
>>
>>
>>Whatta ya want, a notarized statement from the Chairman?
>
>Personally, I don't think the FCC gives a flying fig WHO gets a ham
>license.

In general, I agree - just pass the tests and the license is yours. There are,
however, certain notable exceptions, where the prospective licensee has
demonstrated (in the opinion of the FCC) that he/she is not trustworthy of the
license.

> They simplified the structure because the old 6-tiered
>system was difficult to administer and a pain in the arse to enforce.

That's another reason to simplify the license structure (note that I didn't say
that
getting the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham licenses was
the ONLY reason, just that it was a big reason).

But the enforcement issue isn't part of it, I think. FCC enforcement of the ham
bands is mostly complaint-driven nowadays.

>The FCC's only interests in the ham bands are the efficient enforcement of existing regulations, modifying existing regulations to make enforcement easier and more efficient, and to farm out, sell, rent and other wise create revenue from under utilized portions of the spectrum that just happen to be allocated to the amateur service on a secondary (and sometimes primary) basis. The FCC is a governmental agency charged with regulating the use of the RF spectrum. They have no interest at all in the status of the Amateur Radio Service.

I agree with most of what you're saying - but add into that thought the following: There are an unknown number of "freebanders" and cbers who operate illegally on the air. Some of these operations are a source of interference and complaints to the FCC, who don't have nearly enough resources to adequately enforce the rules against such activity.

It's much easier to enforce the rules on a licensed station than an unlicensed one, if for no reason other than you know who the licensees are, and where they are. So making the ARS more attractive to "freebanders" and non-commercial cbers makes them easier to control. FCC cannot admit that maybe 27 MHz was not the best place to put cb 40+ years ago, and they certainly can't just shut down the service or move it. The "freebanders" don't even limit themselves to the 40 allocated channels, too.

By offering an alternative, the problem is partly solved.

>
>The ARRL, on the other hand, IS an advocancy (sp?) for the service, and they certainly DO have some interest in what happens to the hobby.

Agreed! Which is why some folks hate the ARRL so badly.

>I can assure you, as a member of the ARRL, that I do NOT look forward to seeing freebanders, pirates, nor anyone else with an "outlaw" attitude joining the hobby in ANY capacity. This is not official dogma from the ARRL, just the opinion of ONE member...

If they can leave their "addytood" (Philadelphia lingo) behind, they are welcome! But if not, they are big trouble for the ARS. But as you said, the FCC doesn't care all that much. Main thing is that it makes the FCC's life easier, because going after a ham with an addytood problem is easier than going after an unlicensed person with one.

In general, most of the new hams I know don't have addytood problems, regardless of their background. It's only a few who cause problems.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -794358948324083869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 14:53:49 PST
Message-ID: <3A9C2DA9.65349F27@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 16:43:53 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A99DA11.69926875@IEEE.org> <20010226211831.29597.00009531@nso-mi.aol.com> <3A9B0F29.5891F5A4@IEEE.org> <97gb8b$66t$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3A9BC7DD.6AC54A03@IEEE.org> <97gp98$ena$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9BECF4.96616F5E@IEEE.org> <3A9C10F3.327C80E8@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.184
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983314608 64.240.47.184 (27 Feb 2001 16:56:48 -0600)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16423

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Back 3 years ago, you sure made it sound like it was a bad thing.

3 years ago, we didn't have a 5wpm only Morse code exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7073098814377517369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 15:03:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A9C2FC7.288E2178@IEEE.org>

Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 16:52:55 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BA56C.706EF156@IEEE.org> <20010227174237.01707.00000279@nso-fp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.184
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983315154 64.240.47.184 (27 Feb 2001 17:05:54 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16424

N2EY wrote:
> How about posting a log of your last 5 QSOs on 40 CW?

Sorry, I don't keep a log. On my scratch paper I have KB5WZI and KB1EVA
but I can't remember if I QSOed them or not.

> Besides, you probably wouldn't know it was me if you did hear me because of the
> code speed used.

I can copy call letters at 25wpm. It's just ten character words that
I have trouble with. By the time the seventh character rolls by, I've
forgotten the first three characters. Something to do with my short
term memory or lack thereof.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1092259290248448317

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 15:06:08 PST
Message-ID: <3A9C3065.5268B572@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 16:55:33 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com>
<97e4gv$8o0$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9A9991.A5E7CB96@IEEE.org>
<3A9B06F2.35D6078F@uci.edu> <3A9B0938.D883EC66@IEEE.org>
<97fot8$ka9$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9BA7E0.D159013@IEEE.org>
<3A9C0AF4.460AF9BE@uci.edu> <3A9C11D9.96D98933@IEEE.org>
<3A9C2A3B.1C99C159@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.184
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983315308
64.240.47.184 (27 Feb 2001 17:08:28 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16425
```

Jim Kelley wrote:

> So to be accurate, one might say that the government has rules against
> doing things one is unlicensed to do?

Yep and governments use force to stop unlicensed people from doing whatever.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 909459104460048179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 15:32:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 15:21:15 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A9C366B.8D8FF9A2@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com>
<97e4gv$8o0$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9A9991.A5E7CB96@IEEE.org>
<3A9B06F2.35D6078F@uci.edu> <3A9B0938.D883EC66@IEEE.org>
<97fot8$ka9$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9BA7E0.D159013@IEEE.org>
<3A9C0AF4.460AF9BE@uci.edu> <3A9C11D9.96D98933@IEEE.org>
<3A9C2A3B.1C99C159@uci.edu> <3A9C3065.5268B572@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16426
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > So to be accurate, one might say that the government has rules against
> > doing things one is unlicensed to do?

> Yep and governments use force to stop unlicensed people from doing
> whatever.

So then would force also be needed to stop people from doing what they
are unable to do? :-)

ac6xg

From 3521264532046837960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 16:02:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.tufts.edu!uunet!dca.uu.net!ash.uu.net!dfw.uu.net!sea.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Forced code exams? was Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 18:58:50 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <97hf1v$hsb$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A9B17EE.D6E1CBE9@IEEE.org> <20010227051022.02102.00000921@nso-fi.aol.com> <3A9BAF66.8F65B4DF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.12.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 983318399 18315 166.102.250.12 (27 Feb 2001 23:59:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 Feb 2001 23:59:59 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16427

And don't forget the newest reference book, now available from your local
bookseller -

"Picking fly shit out of pepper"
By Cecil A. Moore

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A9BAF66.8F65B4DF@IEEE.org...
>
> Axiom/definition: From "Webster's New Universal Unabridged Dictionary",
> Barnes & Noble/Random House, �1966 "forced - required by circumstances"
>
> Premise: U.S. HF hams are required by circumstances to pass a Morse
> code exam.

>
> Proof: U.S. HF hams must meet the Morse code testing requirements
> defined by the circumstances of an FCC regulation.
>
> "Required by circumstances" is the definition of "forced".
>
> The requirement circumstances indeed do exist at the FCC.
>
> Conclusion: U.S. HF hams are forced to pass a Morse code exam.
>
> Larry, you have previously admitted that you were forced by
> circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. You have previously
> admitted that if it were not for those required circumstances
> that you would not have learned Morse code. That was the reason
> you gave for wanting to continue with the Morse code requirement.
> If you had not been required by circumstances to learn Morse code,
> you would not have learned it. My argument was (is) that hams
> will learn Morse code without it being required by the FCC.
> Remember?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3263653036226418191
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b95fe0c0c2f3444d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 16:58:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 57
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 00:56:48 GMT
References: <B6C15FE8.3B38%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Thanks and Good Bye re. 11m, Tech Ticket, & The ARRL.
Message-ID: <20010227195648.23617.00000273@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16428

Hello Dwight

>Bert, are you really going to sit there and tell >me that the majority of CB'ers don't use >linears, modified radios, noise toys, "foul" >language, or

occasionally get into "cat fights" >with others on the radio?

No Dwight, I'm standing up and telling you.

>I doubt you could find more than a small >percentage of CB'ers who have not done at >least one, or more, of those things on a >relatively routine basis.

Dwight, I HAVE..."been there, done that," and I'm not talking about the illegal things either.(lest I arouse your fetish for zoning in on the illegal aspect) I HAVE operated on 11 meters since 1977...I believe that's one year less than you, but I'd bet the farm that I've "actually operated" there far far more than you have. I do monitor the "freeband" on a regular basis for the reason mentioned earlier...you find pretty darn good sideband operators there and they're pretty interesting to listen too. Don't hear much from you regarding the "Extra" lids on 75m.

>And, I'm sorry, there is no animosity towards >CB'ers.

Don't be sorry. I'll stand by my assertions. I've seen it, heard it, and have tasted it. When it's applied in a general manner "across the board," much like you do...it's no longer about the doing, it's just about who.

>Didn't anyone ever tell you that name
>calling is nothing more than the weapon of choice for the person who has no
>true defense of his position?

Uh, Dwight...that wasn't you that called that poor kid a troll just because he posted his reply at the end of the thread...hmmm???!!!

>Bigotry has to do with an extreme
>intolerance to another person's creed, >belief, or opinion.   Since crime, or >criminal behavor, is not a creed, belief, or >opinion, it is not bigotry to want to keep the >criminal element out of your community

You dropped your pants here, Pvt. Stewart...give me twenty! The fact that you apply your blanket of guilt to all CBer's and even mention "guilt by association" in one of your replies demonstrates your intolerance to the opinion of even legal CBer's. Geez, Dwight, because even the legal CBer's have operated in close proximity with the "criminals," they to should be treated with suspicion until they demonstrate that they are "good hams." Sounds like you're guilty until proven innocent. You blew that one.

Lastly, let me assure you that although the issues discussed herein are serious in nature...I do mean for our discussion never to get too serious or heavy as to offend one another. If the term RF bigot offended you, I do offer you my apology. That certainly was not my intent. Also, if it makes you feel any better...I think I know what a Tommy shirt is, but it'd be supposition at best. I think it's the shirt the lads are wearing that are 22 sizes too big...sue me, I saw this in a local mall, crazy.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

From 8446250776285155499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 17:08:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 28 Feb 2001 01:07:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <97hj10$51q$0@208.207.71.166>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A94A29B.6A9C8F35@ovis.net>
<973vak5sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F547.BF1FEA3A@ovis.net>
<976ii3429gj@enews4.newsguy.com> <3A96F0A7.BD5ABAA@ovis.net>
<977a3b22pej@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A97BB9E.2DB69C56@ovis.net>
<978g2002rih@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A9836CF.25B74C27@ovis.net>
<97chsd05j2@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A99FD33.3212191B@ovis.net>
<97f6eq01nt7@enews2.newsguy.com> <97fhg5$pcm$0@208.207.71.129>
<3A9BA9A9.9698B2E9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16429

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > >
> > > No one has ever made such a statement that ham radio would have an
"explosion
> > > in growth" with professionals and technical types. This is your distortion
of
> > > the facts. But I suppose if there was such an "explosion" they wouldn't
count
> > > because they didn't pass the "real" code test.
> >
> > So you haven't been paying attention again.

>
> Guess you haven't been paying attention, Dick. For years, I held nocode
> tech classes at Intel in Chandler, AZ. Of the more than 100 new techs,
> the great majority were professional and technical types. Multiply that
> one campus of one company by thousands of companies and you will see
> that there was indeed an "explosion in growth" with professionals and
> technical types. Dr. Williamson, PhD in EE, was one of them. Many of
> my original tech students have gone on to obtain higher licenses all
> the way to extra.
>

Oh, I've been paying attention, and about all I've seen is the reams of
BS from you. If you're not truly full of fecal stuff the world has yet
to see one who is. You've worked poor tired old Dr. Williamson so hard
he's surely way past ready for retirement. Don't you have at least ONE
other example??

What we've really been waiting for is the technical output from all
your hordes of techies. But Nothin' has been forthcoming whatever.

--
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2041322302259915457
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 17:14:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 28 Feb 2001 01:12:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <97hj9r$51q$1@208.207.71.166>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org> <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net>
<3A951ACC.13DB3275@IEEE.org> <3A95EFC3.48A647F3@ovis.net>

```
<3A95F55E.2600EA2C@IEEE.org> <3A96606F.3C03F821@ovis.net>
<3A966355.68E00E3E@IEEE.org> <3A96E4F8.414681F6@ovis.net>
<3A9714FF.EEA3A581@IEEE.org> <3A97AA07.20D9C741@ovis.net>
<3A97BBA3.6AF6AD7F@IEEE.org> <3A982E20.145F2625@ovis.net>
<3A9834EE.6C303B5D@IEEE.org> <3A986DA1.C9B1F039@ovis.net>
<3A987ADF.C58FE8E4@IEEE.org> <3A98944D.C8DF6048@arrl.org>
<3A989872.4DFE27CF@IEEE.org> <3A9ABCE2.19AD3063@uci.edu>
<3A9BA50D.6BEA378B@IEEE.org> <97gpb4$enj$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3A9BEE88.52D6A837@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16430
```

Cecil wrote:
>

 Some hams have said that US HF hams are NOT required by
> circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. I say they are. "Required
> by circumstances" is the dictionary definition of "forced".

 And you are STILL spouting untruths. You are NOT required by circumstances to get a ham radio license, whatever the qualifications, even if there are no requirements to meet.

The
> federal government forces amateur radio operators to meet the
> requirements for an amateur radio operator's license.

 But you already said that no government requires you to get that license. So your ass-ertion is still wrong on its face.

```
From -1492491305017101873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 17:24:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 28 Feb 2001 01:22:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
```

```
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <97hjs6$857$0@208.207.71.166>
References: <9793ue0vq9@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010224173126.03249.00002147@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <979uu9$en5$0@208.206.142.68> <97cgie11ee5@enews3.newsguy.com>
<97cn2v$336$0@208.207.71.174> <97f6n611nt7@enews2.newsguy.com>
<97fhn5$prp$0@208.207.71.129> <97gbtg0qfn@enews4.newsguy.com>
<97gq93$12u$0@208.206.142.94> <97gujd012b7@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16431



"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <97gq93$12u$0@208.206.142.94>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
> >> >deeds outside the bands. If you don't acknowledge that don't assume we
> >> >will automatically presume you aren't familiar with it. Possibly very
> >> >familiar.
> >>
> >> Making libelous insinuations about someone being involved in illegal
> activity,
> >> especially in a public forum, and without proof, can get you into a lot of
> >> trouble.
> >
> >
> >I guess to be perfectly safe I should have said "You may fully
> >assume...."?
> >
> >You all THAT libel? YOU need a better dictionary.
>
> You can make light of it all you want, but the fact remains that if you're
> going to accuse someone of an illegal act, you'd best be prepared to back up
> such statements. Most people don't like to be accused of something they
> didn't do. All it takes is one ambitious lawyer to see your words and interpret
> them as being malicious.


 A little touchy are we TL? Wonder what might be behind all that
sensitivity to the merest suggestion that your activities might not be
assumed to be pure as the morning sun, given your attitudes that distill
out as in sympathetic leaning toward a certain cause.
 In any event, you'd best brush up on your tort law. Threatening to sue
someone for some imagined slight can get you more action than you
bargained for.


From -547653331397183574
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 17:36:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 01:34:23 GMT
References: <3A99CF6A.E5210295@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010227203423.01718.00000253@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16432

In article <3A99CF6A.E5210295@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> I'm not passing judgement on any of this. I'm just saying it's part of the
>> FCC's agenda.
>
>They should use a similar agenda on the non-violent users of drugs.
>--
What does the FCC have to do with drug users?

73 de Jim, N2EY



From 1633197412894992771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 17:36:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 01:34:25 GMT
References: <3A9BECF4.96616F5E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227203425.01718.00000256@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16435
```

In article <3A9BECF4.96616F5E@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>
>> Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>> news:3A9BC7DD.6AC54A03@IEEE.org...
>> > The US government forces amateur radio operators to obtain
>> > an amateur radio operators license. The US government forces HF amateur
>> > radio operators to pass a Morse code exam. That's what governments do.
>>
>> Right. Is this is a bad thing?
>
>Nope,

Ah - so you think requiring code tests for an amateur licenses is not a bad thing.

>some requirements are good,

Agreed..

> but some hams say the US government
>doesn't force HF amateur radio operators to pass a Morse code exam.

They don't. The US government doesn't do anything to Japanese HF amateur radio operators who have not passed a Morse code exam.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1242787101287159672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 17:36:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 01:34:25 GMT
References: <97gauk153u@enews3.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227203425.01718.00000255@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16434

In article <97gauk153u@enews3.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>Twixt the pessimist and the optimist,
>the difference is droll.
>The optimist sees the donut,
>the pessimist sees the hole.
>
Enough! You want poetry, I got yer poetry right here...

(ahem)
>
A poem about something you may have experienced....

He laid her on the table
So white, so clean and bare.
His forehead wet with beads of sweat,
He rubbed her here and there.
He touched her neck and then her breast,
And then, drooling, felt her thigh.
The slit was wet and all was set, He gave a joyous cry.
The hole was wide...he looked inside,
All was dark and murky.
He rubbed his hands, stretched out his arms,

And then..........

he stuffed the turkey!

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4488682119672403606
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 17:36:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 01:34:26 GMT
References: <97gnqj$qhl$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227203426.01718.00000257@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16433

In article <97gnqj$qhl$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:

>>> All HF hams were *required
>>>by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam.
>>
>>Not in Japan. 4th class JA hams don't pass a code test.
>>
>
>Cecil is ignoring them because they ruin his rant. After all, there have
>only
>been about 2.7 million of those HF licenses issued without "forced" Morse
>testing!

Exactly, Hans. In fact they probably outnumber all other ham licenses, in all other countries, combined.

But look at the numbers of JA ham stations since 1995. Not a pretty picture.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 6436010837693249330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 17:36:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 01:34:23 GMT
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010227203423.01718.00000252@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16436

In article <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>Most of the stuff you post, Cecil, involves stuff that is
>>unverifiable by anyone other than you.
>
>That's true of much of the stuff anyone posts here including you...

Some of what I post is not independently verifiable. But most of it is. Check
out my articles in QST and Electric Radio, my contest results in QST since
1968, my posts to other newsgroups. Set up a sked with me on-the-air or drop by
for a shack visit. Ask other hams who know me personally.
>
>>I'm not concerned about being retested - I'll easily pass any
>>test for a ham license the FCC has used for the past 50 years.
>
>I know you could challenge us to come give you a test,
>but in reality that piece of info is just as unverifiable for
>most of us as is Cecil's...

But it IS verifiable if someone wants to take the trouble.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -5489823779983364405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 17:36:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 52
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 01:34:24 GMT
References: <3a99f157.1593587@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010227203424.01718.00000254@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16437

In article <3a99f157.1593587@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>>Let me clarify, Bill.

>>
>>I know plenty of hams who were "radio outlaws" at one time. Then they got
>ham
>>licenses, and most of them cleaned up their acts and became legal. A few
>>brought their "bad habits" with them - but only a few.
>
>Sounds like a good thing to me.

It would be a better thing if nobody developed those "bad habits" in the first place.

>Even the "non-prior outlaw" group
>of hams has it share of "bad-habit" characters.

Agreed! But I think they are very rare.
>
>>Many of these folks would have become hams straightaway, but they perceived
>the
>>license requirements as being too difficult. So they did their outlaw thing
>for
>>a time. Then the requirements for a ham license were eased, and they got ham
>>licenses.
>
>Sounds like a good thing to me.

IF they leave their "bad habits" behind, I agree 100%.
>
>>I'm not passing judgement on any of this - just reporting what has happened.
>
>The impression you gave originally implied bad things.

How? I simply reported the idea and the FCC's actions. Nowhere did I say it was a bad thing - in fact, I repeatedly stated that I wasn't passing judgement on this course of action.

The only possible bad thing I see is those who don't leave their bad habits behind.

>Your clarification indicates pretty good results.
>
I would think most hams would agree on them, too.

--

So, Bill, does this whole idea sound reasonable to you now?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -839900109484737177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 18:08:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 02:02:44 GMT
References: <97fq9q$ln3$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227210244.01283.00000916@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16438

In article <97fq9q$ln3$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>> >> As far as US amateur radio is concerned, an amateur license
>> >> is granted by the FCC, not the desires of the already-licensed.
>> >
>> >Right. Neither the desires of the applicant, nor any third party (such
>> >as yourself) are relevant. :-)
>>
>> On the contrary, the FCC welcomes comment from any citizen
>> on FCC regulations for any radio service, does not exclude
>> anyone.
>
\>Not on the 610 they don't. You know, as in the subject of this discussion?

Are you now ordering all discussion to narrow in on a FORM?

Is the discussion to be about the appearance of the FORM, the typeface used, arrangement of words, fancy scrolling around the edges, artwork/engraving illustration, background color?

The FCC said openly that it welcomes comment from anyone. The FCC regulates US amateur radio. Ergo, the FCC chooses the various license forms. Comments on the FORM are just as welcome to the FCC as anything else...from anyone...

Problem is, you have suddenly become petty and narrowminded and wish to control discussion and topics. Talking about "papers" sounds like the beginning of a bad WW2 movie scene...

A "license" exists as a permission/grant to emit RF in a regulated manner. The license FORM is a certificate attesting to a permission/grant but the FORM itself is not the "license." A licensed amateur might have his/her 610 (or whatever number) destroyed and such will not affect their legality or permission or grant from the regulating agency.

From -6867078702212285932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 18:24:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 28 Feb 2001 01:32:47 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <97hkfv02ed4@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <9793ue0vq9@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010224173126.03249.00002147@ng-fc1.aol.com> <979uu9$en5$0@208.206.142.68> <97cgie11ee5@enews3.newsguy.com> <97cn2v$336$0@208.207.71.174> <97f6n611nt7@enews2.newsguy.com> <97fhn5$prp$0@208.207.71.129> <97gbtg0qfn@enews4.newsguy.com> <97gq93$12u$0@208.206.142.94> <97gujd012b7@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A9C1306.511A3A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-988.newsdawg.com
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16439

In article <3A9C1306.511A3A@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>> You can make light of it all you want, but the fact remains that if you're
>> going to accuse someone of an illegal act, you'd best be prepared to back up
>> such statements. Most people don't like to be accused of something they
>> didn't do. All it takes is one ambitious lawyer to see your words and interpre
>t
>> them as being malicious.
>
>It's very difficult to prove anything about netnews. It appears that
>half the time, Dick's bratty 8-year old idiot nephew is doing the
>posting to his internet account.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

This is true. In reality, all one has to say is, "I didn't write that. Someone must have hacked my account". I was merely speaking from the standpoint of having worked at a newspaper 20 years ago, when an article was published accusing a local high school football coach of recruiting violations. (The town takes it's HS football way too seriously) The article was based on one family's hearsay. This lead to an investigation, state play-off suspensions, firings, fines, and a lawsuit against the paper by the school district.

While the net is different, someone somewhere will eventually test the limits of this medium of free speech. It's just a matter of time.

```
From -1895091330611488132
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 18:54:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.94.211.44!
enews.sgi.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 28 Feb 2001 02:16:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <97hn19024da@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <9793ue0vq9@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010224173126.03249.00002147@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <979uu9$en5$0@208.206.142.68> <97cgie11ee5@enews3.newsguy.com>
<97cn2v$336$0@208.207.71.174> <97f6n611nt7@enews2.newsguy.com>
<97fhn5$prp$0@208.207.71.129> <97gbtg0qfn@enews4.newsguy.com>
<97gq93$12u$0@208.206.142.94> <97gujd012b7@enews3.newsguy.com>
<97hjs6$857$0@208.207.71.166>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-587.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16440
```

In article <97hjs6$857$0@208.207.71.166>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>

>> You can make light of it all you want, but the fact remains that if you're
>> going to accuse someone of an illegal act, you'd best be prepared to back up
>> such statements. Most people don't like to be accused of something they
>> didn't do. All it takes is one ambitious lawyer to see your words and
interpre
>t
>> them as being malicious.
>
>
> A little touchy are we TL? Wonder what might be behind all that
>sensitivity to the merest suggestion that your activities might not be
>assumed to be pure as the morning sun, given your attitudes that distill
>out as in sympathetic leaning toward a certain cause.

So tell me what "sympathetic leanings" do you think I have? Do you think it's for freebanders? I believe I've already accused them of being criminals, so it couldn't be that. Please enlighten me as to where you think my loyalties are.

> In any event, you'd best brush up on your tort law. Threatening to sue
>someone for some imagined slight can get you more action than you
>bargained for.

A statement of facts does not constitute a threat

From -1027656675872749696
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 18:55:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!ptdnetP!newsgate.ptd.net!newsfeed.fast.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 02:53:26 GMT
References: <20010227003340.12119.00000407@nso-mg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227215326.09821.00001475@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16441

In article <20010227003340.12119.00000407@nso-mg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>Larry,
>
>Thanks for the info! Looks like I can drive more vehicles than I thought.
>
>So I'll rephrase my rhetorical question: What happens at 26,000 pounds that
>suddenly makes me unsafe to drive? Why am I safe for 26,000 but unsafe for
>26,001? Why am I qualified to carry 15 passengers but not 16?
>
>Answer: Because the DMV had to set the dividing line somewhere.

Jim:

The dividing line seems pretty logical to me. The CDL general knowledge test covers topics which are specific to larger, heavier vehicles -- covering differences in handling, braking, and the nature of the payload (cargo, passengers). The endorsements require additional knowledge on special vehicle characteristics such as passenger-carrying, hazardous material, air brakes, etc. The dividing line seems well geared toward excluding the type of vehicles which would normally be operated by non-commercial entities such as automobiles, light truck/trailer combinations with a GVWR less than 26,000 lbs, and smaller cargo-carrying vehicles (such as the U-Haul/Ryder/Penske etc. rental moving vans).

>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>>CDL-B with Passenger and Air Brake Endorsements
>>
>Betcha he aced the exams for 'em, too.

I wish I could make that claim, but I can't! Let's just say I scored very high on them all, but I didn't "ace" them, unfortunately!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3633322041545943805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 19:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <01to9tsi497t0p14p9cbv0776e8rhbtm6r@4ax.com>
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<20010227203423.01718.00000252@nso-fo.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 03:43:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.123
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983331794 209.86.208.123 (Tue, 27 Feb 2001 19:43:14 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 19:43:14 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16442

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>>>I'm not concerned about being retested - I'll easily pass any
>>>test for a ham license the FCC has used for the past 50 years.
>>
>>I know you could challenge us to come give you a test,
>>but in reality that piece of info is just as unverifiable for
>>most of us as is Cecil's...
>
>But it IS verifiable if someone wants to take the trouble.

Where would we find "any test for a ham license that the FCC has used for the past 50 years"? I am pretty sure that most of the tests are unavailable and you know it. Who is buying the plane tickets for us to come give you the tests for verification of your claim? I'm betting you are pretty sure we won't, so no worries on that account. And even if you did pass, how would we know that it was "easily" passed? Maybe it was hard for you, but you wouldn't admit it. How could we tell for sure? No Jim, I'd say that you (and the rest of us, especially me...) are pretty safe from any verification when posting personal stories and claims, and we *all* know it...... :-)

From 8798928243543080192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 14:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cyclone.bc.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 14:19:58 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3A9AD68E.6BEFE7B@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
<3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net>
<3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org> <3A96EF23.9B7E5688@ovis.net>
<3A972620.A3BA4906@IEEE.org> <3A97BA3E.33207E07@ovis.net>
<3A97D703.69FEBC05@IEEE.org> <3A983D52.4DE6B32C@ovis.net>
<3A984895.12A12741@IEEE.org> <3A988A40.BCCC67D1@ovis.net>
<3A989AA4.25862393@IEEE.org> <3A9948A8.892759A0@ovis.net>
<3A99CC19.8485834F@IEEE.org> <3A99FE29.9B5BEACD@ovis.net>
<3A9A6FC5.D5E598FE@IEEE.org> <3A9AB8FF.7323CE24@uci.edu>
<3A9AD12C.72BBD8A8@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17495



Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> >
> > > HF hams who didn't already know Morse code were forced by circumstances
> > > to learn Morse code. Please switch to the "Forced code exams?" thread.
> >
> > Even now as we speak, HF hams are being forced to study the element 4
> > question pool. ;-)

> Is element 4 the Extra question pool? If so, your statement is not
> entirely correct. It should read: Amateur radio users of 7.010 MHz
> were required by circumstances (forced) to pass an Extra exam.

Amateur radio users of 7.010 MHz are HF hams, are they not?  The point I
make is correct nevertheless.  Thank you for making the statement more
accurately than I.

ac6xg


From -5413030160099646287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 14:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 14:17:30 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A9AD5FA.2D2A2C2D@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
```

<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A96B0E6.B3576312@uci.edu>
<3A96B152.947FE8C9@IEEE.org> <3A96BAC6.5E753316@uci.edu>
<3A97182E.2DEDF2EF@IEEE.org> <3A9AAFB0.44EE134F@uci.edu>
<3A9ACEB3.76DD5A46@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17496

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > You will now state your views about the qualification requirements.
>
> You're wrong. :-)

Well, that's what matters after all. :-)

I'm going to take the tax refund to Vegas. Been winning roulette on the computer long enough. I'll be betting that I can avoid those 4 sigma events long enough to get out of town with some cash. If not, it'll be fun while it lasts. I showed the system to my dad (he's a blackjack player) and he was pretty impressed with how much he could win on the computer. I can't think of the name of the program now - it's one of those casino games you can buy. Looks just like the video games in Vegas.

73, Jim

From 1337616217027691215
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 14:32:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 14:22:11 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3A9AD713.2B803FFE@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>

<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu> <9774dr$p3e$0@208.206.142.90>
<3A97235B.9B29097E@IEEE.org> <3A9AADFE.7B355D6D@uci.edu>
<3A9AD007.9A18C9C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17497

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > As much as I'd like to take credit for it, Cecil, you were the one who
> > first introduced me to the idea. And I agree. I had to force myself to
> > learn code instead of watch TV or talk on the radio. Do you now
> > disagree with the first definition you used?

> Nope, but I have fine tuned the argument since then.

Then quit palming your argument off on me. e.g.

"But that's not my argument, Dick, that is Jim's statement. Of course,
since you cannot refute my argument, you choose to divert attention away
from my argument to Jim's statement."

From -1552182182570657243
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 14:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 14:31:39 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3A9AD94B.47AF9631@uci.edu>
References: <3A989C52.4EDE20D9@IEEE.org> <20010225212757.05499.00000658@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A99DF01.23ACDD3@IEEE.org> <3A9AAC41.EE880322@uci.edu>
<3A9ACFC2.A4F86034@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17498

Cecil wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > Hams are *required by circumstances* to pass a ham exam.
> > > HF hams are *required by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam.
> >
> > So what?

> So Dave says they are not.

No. I mean what's the big deal?  Dave isn't making your point.  You are.

> Please reference the "Forced code exams?"
> thread.

Set your follow-ups to "Forced code exams" if that's where you want the
follow-ups to go, or start a new thread.  It's your idea, not mine.

73 ac6xg


From -7648321922473889426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 15:54:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
spamz.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 23:53:01 GMT
References: <20010226135544.01731.00000227@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226185301.03023.00000154@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17499

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 02/26/01 1:55 PM Eastern Standard Time

>...they are if they post in this newsgroup... :

     Name names, Lennie.  WHO has been forced to do ANYTHING?
```

```
From 9029982706660986221
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 15:58:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 23:55:51 GMT
References: <4kfl9tssmmmb00k0592ppo3djka2h2k9vt@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226185551.03023.00000157@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17500

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>Date: 02/26/01 3:38 PM Eastern Standard Time

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>Why should anyone enter a hobby where they are
>>abused verbally by self-professed "experts" in amateur
>>radio?

     Sure, when we can be verbally abused by self-professed "experts" in
commercial radio.

>Don't let them bullies kick sand in your face Len,
> take a Charles Atlas course and you can lick em..

    He doesn't need Charles Atlas' course to like what I have in mind for him.

=0




From -4925253520086123992
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 16:02:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
```

Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 15:58:38 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3A9AEDAE.5D8C37A4@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
<3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net>
<3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org> <3A96EF23.9B7E5688@ovis.net>
<3A972620.A3BA4906@IEEE.org> <3A97BA3E.33207E07@ovis.net>
<3A97D703.69FEBC05@IEEE.org> <3A983D52.4DE6B32C@ovis.net>
<3A984895.12A12741@IEEE.org> <3A988A40.BCCC67D1@ovis.net>
<3A989AA4.25862393@IEEE.org> <3A9948A8.892759A0@ovis.net>
<3A99CC19.8485834F@IEEE.org> <3A99FE29.9B5BEACD@ovis.net>
<3A9A6FC5.D5E598FE@IEEE.org> <3A9AB8FF.7323CE24@uci.edu>
<3A9AD12C.72BBD8A8@IEEE.org> <3A9AD68E.6BEFE7B@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17501

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:

> > > > HF hams who didn't already know Morse code were forced by circumstances
> > > > to learn Morse code. Please switch to the "Forced code exams?" thread.

> > > Even now as we speak, HF hams are being forced to study the element 4
> > > question pool. ;-)

> > Is element 4 the Extra question pool? If so, your statement is not
> > entirely correct. It should read: Amateur radio users of 7.010 MHz
> > were required by circumstances (forced) to pass an Extra exam.

> Amateur radio users of 7.010 MHz are HF hams, are they not? The point I
> make is correct nevertheless. Thank you for making the statement more
> accurately than I.

The point in making that statement is to illustrate that singling out
Morse code in this debate is silly. If it's true that hams are forced
to learn code, then by extension of the same logic they are likewise
forced to learn the rest of the material that fulfills the

requirements.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From 6871832738255494120
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 16:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 16:05:18 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3A9AEF3E.C554A184@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A96B0E6.B3576312@uci.edu>
<3A96B152.947FE8C9@IEEE.org> <3A96BAC6.5E753316@uci.edu>
<3A97182E.2DEDF2EF@IEEE.org> <3A9AAFB0.44EE134F@uci.edu>
<3A9ACEB3.76DD5A46@IEEE.org> <3A9AD5FA.2D2A2C2D@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17502
```

apologies to the group for this QRM. message was intended as private
email.

jim

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > You will now state your views about the qualification requirements.
> >
> > You're wrong. :-)
>
> Well, that's what matters after all. :-)

```
>
> I'm going to take the tax refund to Vegas.  Been winning roulette on the
> computer long enough.  I'll be betting that I can avoid those 4 sigma
> events long enough to get out of town with some cash.  If not, it'll be
> fun while it lasts.  I showed the system to my dad (he's a blackjack
> player) and he was pretty impressed with how much he could win on the
> computer.  I can't think of the name of the program now - it's one of
> those casino games you can buy.  Looks just like the video games in
> Vegas.
>
> 73, Jim


From -6576249010941733220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 17:52:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 17:46:26 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A9B06F2.35D6078F@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com>
<97e4gv$8o0$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9A9991.A5E7CB96@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17503



Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > The FCC doesn't expect folks who don't know the code to use the code.

> Neither do they prohibit it since nocode techs are allowed to use
```

> the only CW-only frequencies in ham radio.

Be kinda silly for a federal agency to write a rule prohibiting someone
from doing something they were unable to do, doncha think? Their
purpose for creating the Tech license was to allow people to get on the
bands without having to learn, or operate, code.

ac6xg

From -8692149911618868922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:08:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A9B0938.D883EC66@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 19:56:08 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com>
<97e4gv$8o0$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9A9991.A5E7CB96@IEEE.org>
<3A9B06F2.35D6078F@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.149
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983239743
64.240.47.149 (26 Feb 2001 20:09:03 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17504

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Be kinda silly for a federal agency to write a rule prohibiting someone
> from doing something they were unable to do, doncha think?

Not at all. That's why they have rules prohibiting someone from
flying an airplane when they are unable to do so.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4867965689404680130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:12:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A9B09F1.A0352EEB@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 19:59:13 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu> <9774dr$p3e$0@208.206.142.90>
<3A97235B.9B29097E@IEEE.org> <3A9AADFE.7B355D6D@uci.edu>
<3A9AD007.9A18C9C@IEEE.org> <3A9AD713.2B803FFE@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.149
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983239928 64.240.47.149 (26 Feb 2001 20:12:08 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17505

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Nope, but I have fine tuned the argument since then.
>
> Then quit palming your argument off on me. e.g.
>
> "But that's not my argument, Dick, that is Jim's statement. Of course,
> since you cannot refute my argument, you choose to divert attention away
> from my argument to Jim's statement."

Well, that *was* your argument. I haven't argued that someone forces
himself to learn. You said you forced yourself to learn. I've argued
that *circumstances* require hams to learn (or already know).
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 772122204304940973
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:14:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A9B0A8F.93F29DFB@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 20:01:51 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
<3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net>
<3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org> <3A96EF23.9B7E5688@ovis.net>

```
<3A972620.A3BA4906@IEEE.org> <3A97BA3E.33207E07@ovis.net>
<3A97D703.69FEBC05@IEEE.org> <3A983D52.4DE6B32C@ovis.net>
<3A984895.12A12741@IEEE.org> <3A988A40.BCCC67D1@ovis.net>
<3A989AA4.25862393@IEEE.org> <3A9948A8.892759A0@ovis.net>
<3A99CC19.8485834F@IEEE.org> <3A99FE29.9B5BEACD@ovis.net>
<3A9A6FC5.D5E598FE@IEEE.org> <3A9AB8FF.7323CE24@uci.edu>
<3A9AD12C.72BBD8A8@IEEE.org> <3A9AD68E.6BEFE7B@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.149
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983240086
64.240.47.149 (26 Feb 2001 20:14:46 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17506
```

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Amateur radio users of 7.010 MHz are HF hams, are they not?

Yes, but not all HF hams are allowed on 7.010 MHz. Only the HF hams
authorized to operate on 7.010 MHz were required by circumstances
to pass an Extra exam. One has to be accurate about such matters.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4043536036921930471
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!grolier!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 20
```

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 02:18:32 GMT
References: <3A99DD3A.EF87447F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226211832.29597.00009533@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17507

In article <3A99DD3A.EF87447F@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I don't give a damn whether both statements are true or not.
>> Why don't you come up with something intelligent to discuss, instead
>> of playing your silly, childish, logic-trap games?
>
>That's the whole problem with our society. Nobody gives a damn
>anymore. All they do is sit around and whine about postings that
>they don't comprehend.

Cecil:

That's obviously the pot calling the kettle black.  You can't comprehend
the fact that no radio amateurs are "forced" to take Morse code (or any
other) exams.  They do so on a purely voluntary basis.  End of story.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 4191007141096801155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:21:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 02:18:34 GMT
References: <3A9A6A96.7C7E2743@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226211834.29597.00009536@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17508
```

In article <3A9A6A96.7C7E2743@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Being ordered by a judge is not the only way to be required by
>circumstances, Dave. I'm sure you realize that.
>
>> No one is forced to enter amateur radio....absolutely no one.
>
>I never said anyone is forced to enter amateur radio so your
>statement is just another of your strawmen diversions.

Cecil:

It is only by attempting to enter the ARS that one becomes obliged to meet the licensing requirements. However, this entry itself is entirely voluntarily; and the decision to enter the ARS can be unilaterally rescinded by the prospective ham without any consequences which would affect his ability to lead a normal, happy, healthy life. Therefore, he is never "forced" (required by circumstances) to do anything he doesn't voluntarily agree to do.

>> Produce a person who was forced to learn morse.
>
>If I remember right, Larry was required by circumstances to learn
>Morse code. Please switch the discussion to the premise/conclusion thread.

I may have been "required by circumstances" to learn the Morse code, but being in those circumstances was entirely my own choice. Therefore, in spite of the fact that I was, admittedly, "required by circumstances" to learn the Morse code, I was not at all "forced." I think (methinks?) your Webster's Unabridged Dictionary may be defective...

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3407237780226424502
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:22:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!
rcn!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 02:18:33 GMT
References: <3A99DFF3.73B7C1A1@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

```
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226211833.29597.00009535@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17509

In article <3A99DFF3.73B7C1A1@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I've often thought about getting into long-haul
>> trucking, but adopting the "trucker culture," which is the culture of
>> slack-jawed, toothless, knuckle-dragging, CB-lingo spewing
>> "country" types, is the only factor getting in the way.
>
>If all those guys whom you are insulting were to go on an indefinite
>strike, it would be no time at all until you were on your knees
>begging them to truck in your required necessities of life.

They can very easily be replaced by intelligent, educated,
cultured, and dignified people willing to do the job as well or
better than it is being done now.  Anyway, as usual, it is
just a small minority of all truckers who give the rest of the
lot a poor image.  Those who don't fit the negative stereotype
should exert some influence to clean up the overall image
of truck drivers.

These days, truck driving is a complicated, demanding
business which requires more out of the individual than just
the ability to sit behind the wheel for extended periods of
time.  Here in Delaware, we've recently had a spate of
fatal accidents involving truck drivers who were obviously
operating outside the limits of ICC regulations and common
sense.  I blame it on the fact that the drivers involved were
not intelligent enough to recognize the importance of
operating within their limits, and exceeded them in the
interest of making themselves look good among their
peers and superiors, giving no thought to the consequences.
This is pure ignorance born of low intelligence.  Not all
truckers are like that, but unfortunately, enough are to
cause grave concern among the motoring public.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -8265813005995506933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:24:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 02:18:32 GMT
References: <3A99DB36.5EAAB447@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226211832.29597.00009532@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17511

In article <3A99DB36.5EAAB447@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> >I'm naturally talking about U.S. HF hams, Hans. Where are the U.S. HF
>> >hams who used their free will to decide not to take a Morse code exam?
>> >The fact that there is not a single one should raise a red flag that
>> >taking a Morse code exam is NOT a voluntary act for HF hams.
>>
>> Here's one, in the flesh!
>
>Careful, Larry. You are admitting that you are an HF ham who used
>his free will to decide NOT to take any Morse code exam. Since you have
>an HF ham license and have never taken a Morse code exam, you are a
>criminal. Hollingsworth would be very interested in your confession.

Cecil:

I've confessed to no such thing -- that's merely a figment of your
psychosis. Mr. Hollingsworth can examine my license credentials
to his satisfaction, at his convenience, and he will find nothing
wrong with them. And if he doubts my ability to pass a 20 WPM
Morse code exam, I'll gladly take one for him, any time -- as long
as he can create circumstances which require me to do so! If he
does, I'll take the exam voluntarily -- so I won't be being "forced!"

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2930009439080490588
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:24:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 02:18:31 GMT
References: <3A99DA11.69926875@IEEE.org>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226211831.29597.00009531@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17510

In article <3A99DA11.69926875@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Well Larry, if what you say is true, then there are some HF hams who
>weren't *required by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam. Those
>guys would not have anything to whine about so why are they whining?
>
>I sure would like to talk to any HF ham who wasn't *required by
>circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam. Can you give me the
>name of one of them?

Glad to. Cecil Moore, W6RCecilA. BTW -- is it pronounced
"Seecil" or "Cessil?"

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8545808741936692871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 02:18:33 GMT
References: <3A99DF01.23ACDD3@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226211833.29597.00009534@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17512

In article <3A99DF01.23ACDD3@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Just what *is* the concept you're trying to convey in your postings?
>> What is all this quibbling over "force" supposed to prove, IYHO?
>
>Here's what it has proven:
>

>Hams are *required by circumstances* to pass a ham exam.
>HF hams are *required by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam.
>
>From Webster's: "forced - required by circumstances"
>
>Conclusion: Hams are forced to pass a ham exam.
>HF hams are forced to pass a Morse code exam.

Cecil:

Well, that may be comforting to you, but here's the monkey wrench in the works: I'm an "HF ham," and nobody "forced" me to do a damn thing! I met the licensing requirements purely as a volunteer! It was my choice, my decision, my effort involved. Nobody else influenced me, cajoled me, and certainly, nothing I would define as "force" was applied at any time. Therefore, I must logically conclude that the definition of force, i.e. "required by circumstances," is somehow defective.

I would think that the definition "required by circumstances" would better fit a word such as "inevitable." I would define "forced" as "motivated by coercive influence or threat of negative consequences."

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6905759604854638819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:32:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A9B0F29.5891F5A4@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 20:21:29 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A99DA11.69926875@IEEE.org> <20010226211831.29597.00009531@nso-mi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.149
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983241265 64.240.47.149 (26 Feb 2001 20:34:25 -0600)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17513

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >I sure would like to talk to any HF ham who wasn't *required by
> >circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam. Can you give me the
> >name of one of them?
>
> Glad to. Cecil Moore, W6RCecilA.

I was *required by circumstances* to pass two Morse code exams, Larry.
The circumstances were the FCC requirements. All HF hams were *required
by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam. Only nocode techs and
non-hams were not *required by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam.
You, as an HF ham, were required by the FCC requirements to pass a Morse
code exam. Otherwise, you wouldn't be an HF ham.

> BTW -- is it pronounced "Seecil" or "Cessil?"

In Texas, it's pronounced "SEE'sul". In Japan, it's pronounced "SEE'saw".
The native Japanese don't handle 'L's very well.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 9186943088151798758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:38:03 PST
Message-ID: <3A9B0FCE.173A0B6F@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 20:24:14 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride

References: <3A99DB36.5EAAB447@IEEE.org> <20010226211832.29597.00009532@nso-mi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.149
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983241429 64.240.47.149 (26 Feb 2001 20:37:09 -0600)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17514

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3A99DB36.5EAAB447@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >> >I'm naturally talking about U.S. HF hams, Hans. Where are the U.S. HF
> >> >hams who used their free will to decide not to take a Morse code exam?
> >> >The fact that there is not a single one should raise a red flag that
> >> >taking a Morse code exam is NOT a voluntary act for HF hams.
> >>
> >> Here's one, in the flesh!
> >
> >Careful, Larry. You are admitting that you are an HF ham who used
> >his free will to decide NOT to take any Morse code exam. Since you have
> >an HF ham license and have never taken a Morse code exam, you are a
> >criminal. Hollingsworth would be very interested in your confession.
>
> I've confessed to no such thing --

Yes you did, Larry. Read it again. You confessed to being an HF ham
who used his free will to decide NOT to take a Morse code exam. Being
on HF ham bands without taking a Morse code exam is a crime. Therefore,
you are a confessed criminal.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5255698538418371861
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:46:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!jussieu.fr!opentransit.net!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 02:39:26 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9df2z0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CDL
Message-ID: <20010226213926.29597.00009541@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17515

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g9df2z0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>
> Hams who live in glass houses
> shouldn't throw carriers.....
>

I thought that was hams who lived in houses with aluminum siding!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2786000913628904825
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:46:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 02:39:26 GMT
References: <3A9A7B9A.DA693214@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler

```
Subject: Re: Forced code exams? was Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226213926.29597.00009540@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17516
```

In article <3A9A7B9A.DA693214@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

```
>> > Conclusion: Hams are forced to pass a ham exam.
>> > HF hams are forced to pass a Morse code exam.
>>
>> Just another in your long string of inaccurate conclusions.
>
>Your feelings are irrelevant, Dick. Please present a valid
>rebuttal argument to the above conclusions.
```

Cecil:

Dick and I have already done that. BTW -- Dick didn't express a feeling, he made a statement of fact. If you can't discern the difference, you should discontinue your participation in this discussion, as you are obviously way out of your intellectual depth.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 6592214040998015118
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:52:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A9B12CF.F165AD36@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 20:37:03 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9A6A96.7C7E2743@IEEE.org> <20010226211834.29597.00009536@nso-
mi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.149
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983242199
64.240.47.149 (26 Feb 2001 20:49:59 -0600)
Lines: 43
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
```

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17517

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> It is only by attempting to enter the ARS that one becomes obliged to meet the licensing requirements. However, this entry itself is entirely voluntarily;

The attempt is voluntary, Larry, but the entry is not. All HF hams are required by circumstances (existing at the FCC) to pass a Morse code exam. What is it about "required" that you don't understand?

Requirements are not voluntary. Requirements MUST be met. Voluntary implies a choice. HF hams have no choice when it comes to passing a Morse code exam. HF hams cannot volunteer NOT to meet the Morse code requirements. Only non-HF hams and non-hams can volunteer NOT to meet the Morse code requirements. HF hams have absolutely no choice. Please tell me the name of just one HF ham who volunteered NOT to pass a Morse code exam.

> Therefore, he is never "forced" (required by circumstances) to do anything he doesn't voluntarily agree to do.

If that is true, there has to exist some HF hams who weren't required by circumstances (existing at the FCC) to pass a Morse code exam. Where are those guys, Larry? I don't know of any. HF hams who volunteered NOT to take a Morse code exam are criminals.

> >> Produce a person who was forced to learn morse.
> >
> >If I remember right, Larry was required by circumstances to learn
> >Morse code. Please switch the discussion to the premise/conclusion thread.
>
> I may have been "required by circumstances" to learn the Morse code,

Thanks, Larry. "Required by circumstances" is the Webster's definition of "forced". You have earlier said that your Morse code skill was "produced with effort". That's another Webster's definition of "forced". That one is in your cheap dictionary.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6341829223782210102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 18:52:28 PST
Message-ID: <3A9B1315.58534463@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 20:38:13 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A99DD3A.EF87447F@IEEE.org> <20010226211832.29597.00009533@nso-mi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.149
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983242267 64.240.47.149 (26 Feb 2001 20:51:07 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17518
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >That's the whole problem with our society. Nobody gives a damn
> >anymore. All they do is sit around and whine about postings that
> >they don't comprehend.
>
> That's obviously the pot calling the kettle black.

That's obviously a joke, Larry. Go buy yourself a sense of humor.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1621147820707736614
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 19:02:51 PST
Message-ID: <3A9B165F.D21661CD@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 20:52:15 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A99DF01.23ACDD3@IEEE.org> <20010226211833.29597.00009534@nso-mi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.149
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983243110 64.240.47.149 (26 Feb 2001 21:05:10 -0600)
Lines: 48
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17519

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Well, that may be comforting to you, but here's the monkey
> wrench in the works: I'm an "HF ham," and nobody "forced" me
> to do a damn thing!

You have already admitted that you were *required by circumstances* (FCC regulations) to take a Morse code exam. "Required by circumstances" is the Webster's definition of "forced". You previously admitted that your Morse code skill was *produced with effort*. "Produced with effort" is another definition of "forced". You as much as admitted that you had to *force* yourself to learn Morse code.

> I met the licensing requirements purely as a volunteer!

As an HF ham, could you have volunteered NOT to take the Morse code exam? Nope, you couldn't, Larry. Nocode techs and non-hams are the only ones who can volunteer NOT to take the Morse code exam. Sorry, but HF hams have no choice except to yield to the conditions required by circumstances (FCC regulations).

> Therefore, I
> must logically conclude that the definition of force, i.e. "required
> by circumstances," is somehow defective.

Then your argument is not with me, it is with the Webster's dictionary. Please take it up with Barnes & Noble.

> I would define "forced" as
> "motivated by coercive influence or threat of negative consequences."

That's one definition of "forced" but certainly not the only one. English words often have multiple definitions all of which are valid.

You can say, "I was not motivated by threat of negative consequences when I became a ham".

I can say, "You were required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam".

Both statement can be true because of the different definitions of "forced".

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4567333078245823855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 19:12:56 PST
Message-ID: <3A9B17EE.D6E1CBE9@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 20:58:54 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Forced code exams? was Re: Pride
References: <3A9A7B9A.DA693214@IEEE.org> <20010226213926.29597.00009540@nso-mi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.149
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983243509 64.240.47.149 (26 Feb 2001 21:11:49 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17520

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Dick and I have already done that. BTW -- Dick didn't express
> a feeling, he made a statement of fact. If you can't discern the
> difference, you should discontinue your participation in this
> discussion, as you are obviously way out of your intellectual
> depth.

I have graphically diagrammed my argument using symbolic logic and
the rules of classical logic. There is nothing wrong with it.

Please produce just one HF ham who used his free will NOT to take
a Morse code exam, Larry. That's the only way you can prove that
you are right.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6536464598890469296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b95fe0c0c2f3444d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 19:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!nntp.flash.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 03:35:01 GMT
References: <20010224135634.21814.00002534@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Thanks and Good Bye re. 11m, Tech Ticket, & The ARRL.
Message-ID: <20010226223501.23759.00000151@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17521

Well put Mark,

I see nothing wrong with a friendly e-mail to your particular area(division) representative(director) to inquire about their position re. 11 meters. Should they respond that there is no ARRL position; Politely refer them to RM-9807 and let them know that this is considered by many 11 meter interests to have been league involvement in an issue for which they claim to have "no position." This is no longer acceptable and they now have another aspect of "league involvment" to consider for your vote.

I do NOT, however, agree with trying to get the ARRL to lobby the FCC on behalf of 11 meter interests, merely NOT to involve themselves in 11 meter rule making proposals by and of legal 11 meter interest groups/individuals.

Other than that, good luck and BRAVO on a "heads up" call.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

From -3109363894042241665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:20:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A9B2AAF.C8F76279@arrl.org>
From: Hans K0HB <k0hb@arrl.org>
Reply-To: K0HB@ARRL.ORG
Organization: K0HB
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
References: <20010225203343.06490.00000293@nso-fz.aol.com>
<20010225214901.05358.00000436@nso-mh.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 04:18:55 +0000
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.225.146.174
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 983247336 207.225.146.174 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 22:15:36 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 22:15:36 CST

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17522
```

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Are you suggesting a major overhaul of the US amateur radio
> regulations in license requirements?!?

I think submitting a home-built receiver for examination is
a much more appropriate measure of ability, rather than demonstrating
the ability to deconde long and short beeps at some arbitrary speed.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

```
From 8710120620504722974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:22:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 27 Feb 2001 03:20:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <97f6eq01nt7@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A94A29B.6A9C8F35@ovis.net>
<973vak5sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F547.BF1FEA3A@ovis.net>
<976ii3429gj@enews4.newsguy.com> <3A96F0A7.BD5ABAA@ovis.net>
<977a3b22pej@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A97BB9E.2DB69C56@ovis.net>
<978g2002rih@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A9836CF.25B74C27@ovis.net>
<97chsd05j2@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A99FD33.3212191B@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-947.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17523
```

In article <3A99FD33.3212191B@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...

>> All this shows is that you like using morse as a means of communication. It
>> proves nothing.
>
>How do you know what my views of morse testing or use were during those
>years? Pretty dismissive comment coming from a fellow armed with few

>facts.

You views have been stated here several times. Is your memory so short that you
can't remember what you've posted over the past couple of months?

>> >During the period of the debate over whether morse testing should be
>> >continued, I've weighed the evidence and found the views of your side to
>> >be wanting. I've observed that generally, things which are deregulated
>> >do not improve whether the things are telephone service, airline service
>> >or amateur radio.
>>
>> That is a blanket assumption, that cannot be proven. After one year, I've
not
>> seen any changes, for better or worse.
>
>Telephones, cable service, airlines and the like have been deregulated

Personally, I'm satisfied with my telephone and cable service. They're far from
perfect, but in all honesty I can say that they're better than they were five
and ten years ago. As for airlines, I can't comment, since I haven't flown
anywhere for about 15 years.

>for some time. Prices continue to go up; service goes down. As far as
>amateur radio, I've noted a number of newer ops, mostly using voice
>modes who have some pretty bad operating habits and a pronounced
>"accent". It hasn't kept me from working some of 'em.

So now you're attacking accents? That is a very veiled and subtle racist
statement. The fact that you work such stations dosen't change this.

>> >I don't share your view of ham radio utopia and probably never will.
>> >Live with it.
>> >
>> >Dave K8MN
>>
>> All that I hae to say to that is 5 WPM is here to stay. Live with it.
>
>I've already accepted that and I've been living with it. I'm still
>looking for the explosion in growth. According to some, many of the
>newcomers will be professionals who will dazzle us with technological
>advances. I'm waiting for that too.
>
>Dave K8MN

No one has ever made such a statement that ham radio would have an "explosion
in growth" with professionals and technical types. This is your distortion of
the facts. But I suppose if there was such an "explosion" they wouldn't count
because they didn't pass the "real" code test.

```
From -3207033857306632729
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:24:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A9B2BF2.8F5B716A@arrl.org>
From: Hans K0HB <k0hb@arrl.org>
Reply-To: K0HB@ARRL.ORG
Organization: K0HB
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3A9562D8.760DD83A@shecora.com> <973rth$hgo$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A958330.E328B297@shecora.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 04:24:18 +0000
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.225.146.174
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 983247660 207.225.146.174 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 22:21:00 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 22:21:00 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17524
```

Darryl Wagoner wrote:

> Hmmm? This is where a system like LOTW and eQSL.cc breaks down.
> It requires a connection.

LOTW could be implemented without a connection.

```
From 4310279068751625888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b95fe0c0c2f3444d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:25:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 57
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 04:21:27 GMT
References: <B6BE041A.3781%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Thanks and Good Bye re. 11m, Tech Ticket, & The ARRL.
Message-ID: <20010226232127.23759.00000162@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17525

Dwight, Dwight, Dwight...,

I have to go easy on you because you were a coastie...well, sort of anyway. This'll get flamed something terrible by the "Dick & Dwight Comedy Show" but here we go.

>There is no animosity towards CB'ers. Instead, there is animosity >towards what some in the CB community do (and, yes, those people are >very much the majority).

You, Dwight are as wrong as two left feet! Yes, there IS animosity toward CBer's. Yes, SOME engage in behaviour that is offensive to MOST hams as well as MOST CBer's. NO, NO, NO, Dwight...these people are very much NOT the majority. Your broad generalizations bear out that you have NOT the slightest idea of what you're talking about re. 11 meters. You may cite your 25 years of experience and allude to how you "know of" and have monitored the "whole" band...but your inaccuracy shows that you really haven't got a clue.

>In other words, the resistence in the ham community towards CB'ers is >nothing more than similar efforts in "real" communities to keep the >criminal element out.

In other words, you're an rf bigot.

>We have a nice community and we don't want to see the streets >(frequencies) in that community taken over by criminals (freebanders, >linear freaks, rude operators, or whatever).

In other words, you're an rf bigot.

>Of course, just like in "real" communities, "decent" people are always >welcome (including those from the CB community). However, just like in >"real" communities, that CB'er will not be immediately accepted just >because he expresses an interest in joining this community. Since even >the "decent" CB'er has spent time hanging around bad people, he is >going to viewed with suspicion, and mistrust, until he has proven himself >(has that license, acts like a ham, etc).

MORE RF BIGOTRY!!!, but at least you threw in:

>"decent" people are always welcome (including those from the CB >community).

......but felt it necessary to qualify it with......

>Since even the "decent" CB'er has spent time hanging around bad >people, he is going to viewed with suspicion, and mistrust, until he has >proven himself (has that license, acts like a ham, etc).

Yeah and some of my best friends are CBer's...yada, yada, yada...! I've gotta tell you though, you two make a good tag team! Your neat little explanation of the "imagined" animosity and your "expert" knowledge on the behavioural habits of the "general" CB populace may fly with those who want to believe that. But to those who have "been there and done that," your know-it-all claims are as transparant as saran wrap.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

From -6150887990223945853
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:26:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 27 Feb 2001 03:25:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <97f6n611nt7@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <9793ue0vq9@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010224173126.03249.00002147@ng-fc1.aol.com> <979uu9$en5$0@208.206.142.68> <97cgie11ee5@enews3.newsguy.com> <97cn2v$336$0@208.207.71.174>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-042.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17526

In article <97cn2v$336$0@208.207.71.174>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

> Why should they stay unlicensed when it's so easy to get licensed? Then
>they have access to the ham bands as well as whatever they're doing
>outside the law-they use ham rigs and amps anyway, for the most part-
>and don't think they feel constrained to operating legally within the
>ham bands, either.
> Plus as licensed hams they now have access to voting membership in ARRL
>and hey, we can run THAT, too.....You read his post, didn't you? This is
>not news. There is and has always been a large element of them who will
>never conform. They "just don't want to". (Where have we heard that
>before?)

This shows that you don't know the difference between flagrantly violating the law, and working through proper legal means in an effort to change the law.

From -193564604727641130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:27:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!206.165.3.11!
nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 27 Feb 2001 03:28:40 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <97f6t821nt7@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3A9894FA.A403FF14@IEEE.org> <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-
fp.aol.com> <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com> <97cn4l$336$1@208.207.71.174>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-078.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17527

In article <97cn4l$336$1@208.207.71.174>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>> >One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
>> license,
>> >and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is this: They
>> want
>> >the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham licenses.
>> >
>> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
>
>
>Whatta ya want, a notarized statement from the Chairman?

Posting an opinion is one thing. Posting an opinion under the guise of it being
an actual fact is quite another. In such cases, I expect to see some proof.



From 2075379897200593247
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:28:05 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc1.il.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Gilbert Baron" <gbaron@mailandnews.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc
,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<97ehj6$nnk@dispatch.concentric.net> <3A9B2C9F.648F3406@arrl.org>
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <D0Gm6.23096$t67.380587@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 04:27:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.178.209.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.il.home.com 983248035 24.178.209.61 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 20:27:15
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 20:27:15 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9850
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2222 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13267
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17528 rec.radio.shortwave:28547
```

"Hans K0HB" <k0hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:3A9B2C9F.648F3406@arrl.org...

> JT wrote:
>
> > In order to get a QSL card from Germany, I've got to put 80 cents
> > worth of postage on the envelope and 2 dollars inside. $2.80 for one
> > QSL card.
>
> Naw, just wait 3 months, and you'll get 15 cards from Germany in
> your "Buro" envelope, 34cents for the whole stack. You're just too
> eager to spend money.
>

And it takes too damn long and Germany is an easy one to work. The hard ones
WANT DEMAND more. The whole thing is a racket. EQsl Must and soon WILL
exist. If ARRL does not do it soon some will and start independent awards
that will destroy the ARRL monopoly. Yes the Dxers will have to find another
way to make money, BUT , they will save a LOT by not printing cards.

> 73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 7491300252971572632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:28:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-
w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 27 Feb 2001 03:38:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <97f7f131nt7@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <9779kq02pej@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3A9AB291.C51988F0@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-366.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17529

In article <3A9AB291.C51988F0@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...

>> IOW, regardless of the relevancy of the requirement, as long as they show
>> that they can beep, they can do whatever they want afterwords.
>
>Well, not exactly.  We have to show quite a number of other things as
>well (as I'm sure you're aware, but have conveniently forgotten.)
>
>ac6xg

I'm quite aware of the other requirements. I'm also quite aware, evidenced by
the subtle postings of many in this newsgroup, of the caste system that exists
with in amateur radio, with regards to newer licensees.



From -1848367827216424857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
```

X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:29:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news-out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A9B2C9F.648F3406@arrl.org>
From: Hans K0HB <k0hb@arrl.org>
Reply-To: K0HB@ARRL.ORG
Organization: K0HB
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc
,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<97ehj6$nnk@dispatch.concentric.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 04:27:11 +0000
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.225.146.174
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 983247832 207.225.146.174 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 22:23:52 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 22:23:52 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9851
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2223 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13268
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17530 rec.radio.shortwave:28548

JT wrote:

> In order to get a QSL card from Germany, I've got to put 80 cents
> worth of postage on the envelope and 2 dollars inside. $2.80 for one
> QSL card.

Naw, just wait 3 months, and you'll get 15 cards from Germany in
your "Buro" envelope, 34cents for the whole stack. You're just too
eager to spend money.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -3268685498294602534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:40:05 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 04:35:59 GMT
References: <4kfl9tssmmmb00k0592ppo3djka2h2k9vt@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226233559.21405.00003250@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17531
```

In article <4kfl9tssmmmb00k0592ppo3djka2h2k9vt@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>Don't let them bullies kick sand in your face Len,
> take a Charles Atlas course and you can lick em...   :-)

Ahem...you might want to amend one word in the "advice."

Once a pugnacious drunk wandered into a gay bar and announced "I can lick anyone in the house!" You can guess the rest... :-)

Do I need a Charles Atlas course? In Internet terms I am 8' 4" and 560 pounds with 3% body fat and can bench-press a Schwarzenegger. Almost as strong as others claim they are.   :-)

Please let it be without music...no violins. :-)

Meanwhile, back to accepted amateur radio policy subjects like Commercial Drivers Licenses, politics, drugs, firearms, and espionage...all approved by amateurs for amateur radio policy discussion.   :-)

```
From -2873979314853147222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:40:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 04:35:58 GMT
References: <3A9AB05E.842EBC3@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226233558.21405.00003249@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17532

In article <3A9AB05E.842EBC3@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>> As far as US amateur radio is concerned, an amateur license
>> is granted by the FCC, not the desires of the already-licensed.
>
>Right. Neither the desires of the applicant, nor any third party (such
>as yourself) are relevant. :-)

On the contrary, the FCC welcomes comment from any citizen
on FCC regulations for any radio service, does not exclude
anyone. They say so in their "FCC Manual." Check it out...free
download on the Internet or pay a small fee to the US GPO for a
printed copy.

From 347866650370425470
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:41:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 04:35:59 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9df2z0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CDL
Message-ID: <20010226233559.21405.00003251@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17533

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g9df2z0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>On Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:40:33 -0600, Richard McCollum wrote:
>
>>You haven't lived until you follow Methusala's nephew down the road as he
>>bops along in a moose Winnebago on his Class D. I would mention
>>coke-bottle glasses but all that means is that he is one who got his

>>cataracts fixed.
>
> You're thinking,of glaucoma. When I got my cataract "fixed" I
> got 20/25 vision with no glasses.....

Glaucoma seldom results in "coke bottle" eyeglasses. Glaucoma is an increase in internal eye pressure resulting in eventual retinal damage. While there is some defocussing of the lens, it is not to the extent of needing extreme correction via "coke bottle" glasses. Usual correction procedure is a topical liquid medication to reduce internal eye pressure. That is what I have.

There are no symptoms of the onset of glaucoma. A simple painless pressure check will determine it. No check may result in advanced glaucoma that can result in blindness.

From -6481352445247311947
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 20:42:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A9B3002.70D107C9@coqui.net>
From: Bill Meacham <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net>
Reply-To: exray@coqui.net
Organization: http://www.sparkbench.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en,bg
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100> <tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <97ehj6$nnk@dispatch.concentric.net> <3A9B2C9F.648F3406@arrl.org> <D0Gm6.23096$t67.380587@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers

X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 23:37:29 EST
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 00:41:38 -0400
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9852
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2225 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13269
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17534 rec.radio.shortwave:28551

Gilbert Baron wrote:
>
> "Hans K0HB" <k0hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
> news:3A9B2C9F.648F3406@arrl.org...
> > JT wrote:
> >
> > > In order to get a QSL card from Germany, I've got to put 80 cents
> > > worth of postage on the envelope and 2 dollars inside. $2.80 for one
> > > QSL card.
> >
> > Naw, just wait 3 months, and you'll get 15 cards from Germany in
> > your "Buro" envelope, 34cents for the whole stack. You're just too
> > eager to spend money.
> >
>
> And it takes too damn long and Germany is an easy one to work. The hard ones
> WANT DEMAND more. The whole thing is a racket. EQsl Must and soon WILL
> exist. If ARRL does not do it soon some will and start independent awards
> that will destroy the ARRL monopoly. Yes the Dxers will have to find another
> way to make money, BUT , they will save a LOT by not printing cards.
>
> > 73, Hans, K0HB

A lot of those rare dx-peditions might not wanna use a freebie
service because some of the excess 'green stamp' proceeds aid in
defraying the cost of the expedition.
-BM, WX4A

From 5143577302034973465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 21:19:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 27 Feb 2001 05:17:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 77
Message-ID: <97fd94$9dl$0@208.207.71.129>
References: <3A9894FA.A403FF14@IEEE.org> <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-

```
fp.aol.com> <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com> <97cn4l$336$1@208.207.71.174>
<7nuk9tk99jmgdardcrtlu5duihghfemrot@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.129
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17535



AB2HR wrote:
>
> On 26 Feb 2001 04:47:17 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
says...
> >>
> >> >
> >> >--
> >> >
> >> >One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
> >> license,
> >> >and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is this: They
> >> want
> >> >the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham licenses.
> >> >
> >> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >> Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
> >
> >
> >Whatta ya want, a notarized statement from the Chairman?
>
> Personally, I don't think the FCC gives a flying fig WHO gets a ham
> license.

  With the morass that CB becams, spawning the "freeband" movement that
has continuously boten more and more brazen as time advances, you don't
see any reason for FCC to wish that most of them would give it up in
favor of a easy ham license? It's only logical and certainly an easy way
out of difficult enforcement headaches. Or wishful thinking.



  They simplified the structure because the old 6-tiered
> system was difficult to administer and a pain in the arse to enforce.
> The FCC's only interests in the ham bands are the efficient
```

> enforcement of existing regulations, modifying existing regulations to
> make enforcement easier and more efficient,

Anything to make life as an FCC staffer more accomodating in the face of
a recaltricant congress...and after while thiniking more of those issues
instead of focusing on the work at hand naturally causes that work to
suffer from neglect.

and to farm out, sell,
> rent and other wise create revenue from under utilized portions of the
> spectrum that just happen to be allocated to the amateur service on a
> secondary (and sometimes primary) basis. The FCC is a governmental
> agency charged with regulating the use of the RF spectrum. They have
> no interest at all in the status of the Amateur Radio Service.

Naw, it has been made pretty clear by them that anything they can do to
eliminate need for direct oversight of the ARS is beneficial to them and
likely to becomne fact. Regardless of the possibility, even liklihood,
of negative effects resulting.

>
> The ARRL, on the other hand, IS an advocancy (sp?) for the service,
> and they certainly DO have some interest in what happens to the hobby.
> I can assure you, as a member of the ARRL, that I do NOT look forward
> to seeing freebanders, pirates, nor anyone else with an "outlaw"
> attitude joining the hobby in ANY capacity. This is not official
> dogma from the ARRL, just the opinion of ONE member...

But don't bet the farm on the ARRL heirarchy sharing your view.

From 1751578386206783909
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 21:27:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 05:21:50 GMT
References: <3A9B2AAF.C8F76279@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler

Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010227002150.21405.00003252@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17536

In article <3A9B2AAF.C8F76279@arrl.org>, Hans K0HB <k0hb@arrl.org> writes:

>> Are you suggesting a major overhaul of the US amateur radio
>> regulations in license requirements?!?
>
>I think submitting a home-built receiver for examination is
>a much more appropriate measure of ability, rather than demonstrating
>the ability to deconde long and short beeps at some arbitrary speed.

That is an example of construction-assembly ability and
would pose an inordinate burden on the VECs, both for
time and capital equipment for testing.

All the PCTAs have posted that the US amateur radio license
is for an OPERATOR so no assembly or construction skills
are needed. :-)

From -7919763233247825394
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 21:34:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 27 Feb 2001 05:30:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <97fe2j$btu$0@208.207.71.129>
References: <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com> <20010226083323.01380.00000143@nso-
fj.aol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9dfux1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.129
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17537

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 26 Feb 2001 13:33:23 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>

> >> One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements
> >> for a ham license, and simplified the license structure, over
> >> the past 25 years is this: They want the "freebanders" and the
> >> non-commercial cbers to get ham licenses.
>
> >>Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
> >
> >Their actions speak louder than any words could.
>
>   Very true, but I do remember several Notices over the years
>   that dealt with the desire of the FCC to persuade violators
>   whose only crime was lack of license to "straighten up and fly
>   right" and get licensed.
>
>   The analogy was always made to someone who drives a car without
>   a license. We always lived in fear of some judge remarking to
>   a "pirate" whom we would catch and finally drag into court:
>   "OK, you operated a radio transmitter without a required
>   license. I sentence you to obey the law and get a license...."
>
>   Fortunately it never happened - the judges were more "with
>   the program" than most of the big-city Federal prosecutors of
>   that era.
>
>   We did have one case where a "big bad CBer" that we caught saw
>   the light and studied and took the test for his ham license.
>   His application came up for hearing before issuance, and he
>   claimed (rightfully) that since the time he was caught he
>   didn't commit any more violations. He got a one-year
>   probationary period on his license, and he turned out to be one
>   of the most responsible hams in the community.
>
>   The door can swing two ways.

I absolutely agree and have seen it work many times.Its a shame all of
they don't and won't.

From 5018290810215785575
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 21:37:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 05:33:40 GMT
References: <20010226112248.18626.00000907@nso-md.aol.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227003340.12119.00000407@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17538

In article <20010226112248.18626.00000907@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>Jim:
>
>If you have an ordinary (Class D) driver's license, you are authorized
>to operate vehicles up to 26,000 lbs. GVW and carrying up to 15
>passengers (yourself, the driver, included in the count). To carry the
>16th passenger, you would require at least a Class C CDL with
>passenger endorsement; to carry the 26,001 st. pound, a Class B
>CDL would be required. Of course, PA requirements may vary; but
>I think CDL's are supposed to be uniform in requirements throughout
>the 50 states.

Larry,

Thanks for the info! Looks like I can drive more vehicles than I thought.

So I'll rephrase my rhetorical question: What happens at 26,000 pounds that
suddenly makes me unsafe to drive? Why am I safe for 26,000 but unsafe for
26,001? Why am I qualified to carry 15 passengers but not 16?

Answer: Because the DMV had to set the dividing line somewhere.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>CDL-B with Passenger and Air Brake Endorsements
>
Betcha he aced the exams for 'em, too.

From -5042100646593254651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 21:37:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.textport.net!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Feb 2001 05:33:40 GMT

```
References: <3A9A6663.40F460BB@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227003340.12119.00000406@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17539

In article <3A9A6663.40F460BB@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> If I use Morse, I use far less spectrum than any voice mode. That leaves
>lots
>> more room for other hams.
>>
>> If I use Morse, I can run far less power and still have the same S/N ratio
>at
>> the receiving end. Less QRM for other hams.
>>
>> Those are good things.
>
>I agree that those are good things but one single ham choosing one single
>mode is not a BIG effect on the other 600,000 hams.

It's a BIG effect on those hams who decide to use the same band as me.

> I've never heard you
>on any mode so it has had absolutely no effect on me.

You must not be on 40 CW much, then.
>
>Methinks you should change your statement from "my use of CW has a BIG
>effect ..." to "thousands of ham's using CW has a BIG effect ..."

Every ham's mode choice has an effect. Listen to 7035-7040 some evening.

73 de Jim, N2EY




From -2037833085084489373
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 21:39:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 27 Feb 2001 05:33:39 GMT
References: <3A9A745F.58250F3F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010227003339.12119.00000405@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17540

In article <3A9A745F.58250F3F@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Well, I proved I could score 100% on the Extra exam in March. :-)
>> >--
>> Maybe. The smiley tells me you're "not serious" about that....
>
>Oh, it's the truth,

How do we know that? Maybe you are "just kidding" - like about the Prozac.

>Dave,

Who is Dave? Dave's not here, man.

> but you're right, I wasn't very serious
>about it.

Uh huh.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -4227589216055284534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 22:02:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <scgm9tc6pjgkksklfincdfjgsvmsku0ocr@4ax.com>
References: <3A99DB36.5EAAB447@IEEE.org> <20010226211832.29597.00009532@nso-
mi.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7

Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 05:57:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.200.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983253442 209.86.200.78 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 21:57:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 21:57:22 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17541

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
>I'll gladly take one [code exam] for him, any time -- as long
>as he can create circumstances which require me to do so! If he
>does, I'll take the exam voluntarily --

Makes sense. And if I ever do anything that makes a cop want to give
me a ticket, I'll of course take it voluntarily...   :-)

From 2350278538170334461
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 22:10:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 27 Feb 2001 06:06:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <97fg4n$jbg$0@208.207.71.129>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu> <9774dr$p3e$0@208.206.142.90>
<3A9AB3B4.B210E95@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.129
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17542

Jim Kelley wrote:

>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Far as I can tell that's where Cecil's argument breaks down. When one
> > forces himself to do something is he really being forced?
>
> I think so. One of his definitions said somthing about "requires
> effort". It required effort to learn code. I have to force the chair
> away from my desk if I want to get up, etc, etc,.

Yes, but the necesary force requires trivial effort. Now Cecil will
analyze that aspect of it all.

```
From 5467717634063012102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 22:10:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <53hm9to9i4a6k5i231ma30h1dv9u0406jp@4ax.com>
References: <4kfl9tssmmmb00k0592ppo3djka2h2k9vt@4ax.com>
<20010226233559.21405.00003250@nso-mj.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 06:08:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.200.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983254099 209.86.200.78 (Mon, 26 Feb
2001 22:08:19 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 22:08:19 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17543
```

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>Do I need a Charles Atlas course? In Internet terms I am
>8' 4" and 560 pounds with 3% body fat and can bench-
>press a Schwarzenegger. Almost as strong as others
>claim they are.   :-)

Well then, you shouldn't have any problems
 taking on those abusive hams after you
get your license... :-)

```
From -1943043564978141731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 22:17:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!64.152.100.70!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 27 Feb 2001 06:12:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <97fgh6$jbg$1@208.207.71.129>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
<3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net>
<3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org> <3A96EF23.9B7E5688@ovis.net>
<3A972620.A3BA4906@IEEE.org> <3A97BA3E.33207E07@ovis.net>
<3A97D703.69FEBC05@IEEE.org> <3A983D52.4DE6B32C@ovis.net>
<3A984895.12A12741@IEEE.org> <3A988A40.BCCC67D1@ovis.net>
<3A989AA4.25862393@IEEE.org> <3A9948A8.892759A0@ovis.net>
<3A99CC19.8485834F@IEEE.org> <3A99FE29.9B5BEACD@ovis.net>
<3A9A6FC5.D5E598FE@IEEE.org> <3A9AB8FF.7323CE24@uci.edu>
<3A9AD12C.72BBD8A8@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.129
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17544
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Cecil wrote:
> >
> > > HF hams who didn't already know Morse code were forced by circumstances
> > > to learn Morse code. Please switch to the "Forced code exams?" thread.
> >
> > Even now as we speak, HF hams are being forced to study the element 4
> > question pool. ;-)
>

> Is element 4 the Extra question pool? If so, your statement is not
> entirely correct. It should read: Amateur radio users of 7.010 MHz
> were required by circumstances (forced) to pass an Extra exam.
>

Bottom line: You have totally trivialized the term "forced" into
something much less 'forceful', indeed very ordinary. You have made it
the exact equal of "required". In so doing, you have lost the entire
impetus of your argument.

From 476233566991818168
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 22:00:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 05:57:40 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3a99ef94.1142339@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a97cea2.43470735@news.mindspring.com>
<20010225203342.06490.00000291@nso-fz.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.70.32
X-Server-Date: 26 Feb 2001 05:56:40 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17395

On 26 Feb 2001 01:33:42 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3a97cea2.43470735@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>>>Of course a car that has an automatic transmission does not take up more
>>road
>>>space, use lots more fuel or emit lots more pollution than a similar manual
>>>transmission car. The same cannot be said of voice modes vs. Morse.
>>
>>That has ZERO bearing on the need for a code test or
>>knowledge of code as a skill.
>
>It has all the bearing in the world, Bill. My use of a manual transmission car
>vs. a similar model with automatic transmission has very little effect on other
>drivers, the environment or resource depletion. My use of Morse vs. voice on
>the ham bands has a BIG effect on other hams.

From what you have said now, it appears YOU want the
code test as a means to draft new code users...which

is not the purpose of the requirement. If someone sends
poor code does that have a BIG effect on other hams...or
only an effect on the other person trying to copy code.

If you want to hype the narrowbandwidth value of morse
over voice, that's fine, but the FCC sure hasn't bought
that argument as a justification for code testing.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -4526774137231377461
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 22:06:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 06:04:24 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <3a99f157.1593587@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a994ac3.2917577@news.mindspring.com>
<20010225203343.06490.00000292@nso-fz.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.70.32
X-Server-Date: 26 Feb 2001 06:05:34 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17396

On 26 Feb 2001 01:33:43 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3a994ac3.2917577@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>On 25 Feb 2001 13:33:24 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <3A9894FA.A403FF14@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>>writes:
>>>
>>>>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>>>> ... then FCC lowered the license requrements
>>>>> till anyone who wanted could easily and effortlessy get a ham radio
>>>>> license,and they showed up in the ham bands with even more brazen
>>>>> attitudes, ...
>>>>
>>>>Let me get this straight, Dick. Lowering the license requirements

>>>>caused more radio outlaws to show up on the ham bands?
>>>
>>>Yup. Along with lots of other people, of course.
>>
>>On what basis do you make that claim?
>
>Observation of the amateur bands over the past 35 years. Knowing many hams who
>got their licenses in that time, and the paths they took to get them.
>
>> Please provide
>>both your definition of what constitutes a "radio
>>outlaw"
>
>Anyone (in the USA) who operates an unlicensed radio transmitter outside of the
>provisions of FCC Part 15 and Part 95. Specifically, the activities of
>"freebanders", and cbers who violate FCC regs are examples of "radio outlaws".
>
>> and what proofs/evidence that "loering ham radio
>>qualifications" has brought more of such "radio outlaws"
>>to the airwaves (either in amateur bands, or not).
>>
>Let me clarify, Bill.
>
>I know plenty of hams who were "radio outlaws" at one time. Then they got ham
>licenses, and most of them cleaned up their acts and became legal. A few
>brought their "bad habits" with them - but only a few.

Sounds like a good thing to me. Even the "non-prior outlaw" group
of hams has it share of "bad-habit" characters.

>Many of these folks would have become hams straightaway, but they perceived the
>license requirements as being too difficult. So they did their outlaw thing for
>a time. Then the requirements for a ham license were eased, and they got ham
>licenses.

Sounds like a good thing to me.

.....
>I'm not passing judgement on any of this - just reporting what has happened.

The impression you gave originally implied bad things.
Your clarification indicates pretty good results.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5867293576817031623
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 22:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 06:06:20 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3a99f214.1782202@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A9894FA.A403FF14@IEEE.org> <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-
fp.aol.com> <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com> <97cn4l$336$1@208.207.71.174>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.70.32
X-Server-Date: 26 Feb 2001 06:07:31 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17397

On 26 Feb 2001 04:47:17 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>
>> In article <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com says...
>> ---
>> >One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
>> license,
>> >and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is this: They
>> want
>> >the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham licenses.
>> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
>
>
>Whatta ya want, a notarized statement from the Chairman?

How about just a quote from any FCC staffer or from any
prior FCC documentation?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -2293267757377074951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 22:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!typhoon.sonic.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!
```

```
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 01:08:03 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <97crtd$87g$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <3A989C52.4EDE20D9@IEEE.org> <20010225212757.05499.00000658@nso-
ch.aol.com> <97ck54$ki3$0@208.207.71.174> <3A99DF5C.220942E7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.227.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 983167725 8432 166.102.250.227 (26 Feb 2001 06:08:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 26 Feb 2001 06:08:45 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17398

This is about Cecil's daily mental masturbation...

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A99DF5C.220942E7@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  Don't you know, Larry? When Cecil gets on one of his sensational
> > wild-assed 'logical' debate tangents, subject matter loses all
> > importance. What is important then is that he "wins".
>
> This is not about winning, Dick. This is about truth, logic, and
> the American way of life.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca




From -7074555583887551820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 22:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3A99F4A6.366642A3@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
```

```
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net>
<3A95F6E5.E5233886@IEEE.org> <3A9661D4.BD6A4189@ovis.net>
<3A96648C.18C1678@IEEE.org> <3A96E5B0.4610718A@ovis.net>
<3A971667.21728417@IEEE.org> <3A97AB43.60EBE506@ovis.net>
<3A97BE25.9F930EA1@IEEE.org> <3A982F27.2C42D6A1@ovis.net>
<3A98365A.C8376268@IEEE.org> <3A986ED6.AC2BFE70@ovis.net>
<3A987F3C.375C12F6@IEEE.org> <3A9942AC.507DF367@ovis.net>
<3A99B76F.492B33D5@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 56
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 06:16:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983168201 207.0.147.44 (Sun, 25 Feb 2001
22:16:41 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Feb 2001 22:16:41 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17399
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Let's play turnabout. Are you so omniscient as to dismiss my statements
> > as irrelevant? Who made you the relevance god?
>
> It is my right to dismiss anything as irrelevant to me. It is your right
> to dismiss anything as irrelevant to you. It is not your right to dismiss
> anything as irrelevant to me.
>
> > We haven't settle the point unless I agree to it. Consider it
> > unsettled.
>
> It is settled, Dave. I have proven it beyond a shadow of a doubt. That
> you don't accept it is your personal problem, not mine. I have used a
> valid definition from a Webster's unabridged dictionary. I have graphically
> diagrammed the arguments using symbolic logic. There are no holes in my
> logic.

There are enough holes in it to fly a 747 through. But then again, you
can dismiss anything as irrelevant and change both conditions and
definitions to suit your argument. I don't have a personal problem in
this. I don't accept your view. Nothing can be settled unless you and
I agree that it is settled. I have not agreed.

No one is forced to learn morse code.

> I think we can reach agreement on what we are both saying if we replace
> the word "forced" with the definition of "forced" that we are each using.
>
> When you say: "No one forces a ham to learn Morse code" and you replace
> "forces" thusly: "No one holds a gun to a ham's head and makes him learn
> Morse code", I will agree that is a true statement given your very narrow
> definition of "forces".

You mean, my narrow definition when compared to your, "everything I do
is required by circumstance" definition? I surely wish I could take you
seriously, Mr. Mensa.

> > Under your peculiar supposition, we are all required by circumstance to
> > do everything we undertake.
>
> Not everything, but lots of things are like that. The circumstances are that
> if you don't eat, you die. To continue living, you are required by those
> circumstances to continue eating. No person is holding a gun to your head but
> Mother Nature might as well be holding a gun to your head.

I gave you a fairly lengthy list of items, all of which you described as
"required by circumstance". These were the kinds of things which a
normal person would never say are forced on him like picking up a
newspaper. But to you they all were. It is apparent that you do not
believe in free will or choices open to man.

Mother nature, by the way, is a ficticious character.

Dave K8 Mother Nature

From -5016460791984371809
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 22:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A99F5EA.FC302CC1@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>

<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A95F737.7B7BC45E@ovis.net>
<3A95FBB5.1342F5CE@IEEE.org> <3A9664E4.39420E92@ovis.net>
<3A9669ED.B81EB112@IEEE.org> <3A96E8E4.974FD36@ovis.net>
<3A9717B5.EDFA2B63@IEEE.org> <3A97AC48.17CCA9F1@ovis.net>
<3A97C3E0.D66CB37A@IEEE.org> <3A98308C.7B085FD2@ovis.net>
<3A98395F.B58DD138@IEEE.org> <3A987053.368BE16D@ovis.net>
<3A988291.5401C6CC@IEEE.org> <3A994415.9C1889BA@ovis.net>
<3A99BA76.4C8FE3D2@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 53
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 06:21:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983168518 207.0.147.44 (Sun, 25 Feb 2001 22:21:58 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Feb 2001 22:21:58 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17400

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > But you were, at some point according to your rather twisted view,
> > forced (required by circumstance) to learn morse at some point.
>
> Nope Dave, the fact is I was never forced to learn Morse code. I wish
> I had been forced because it would make my argument easier. I learned
> Morse code in cub scouts with absolutely no reward. There was a potential
> reward because Boy Scouts could earn a merit badge by learning Morse
> code but I never made it to the Boy Scouts.

Well then, I sincerly thank you for accepting my view. If you were not
"required by circumstance" to learn morse code, you'd be hard pressed to
state that others were given that all had options to undertake it.

> The people who knew Morse code before they became interested in getting
> a ham license were not forced by the FCC regulations to learn Morse code
> because they already knew it.
>
> > Gee, you seem to have snipped the portion of your statement I responded
> > to. My answer fit your comment.
>
> Sorry, I'm having trouble understanding your point.

I believe you.

>
> > You are not allowed (even with all caps) to tell me what I am allowed or
> > not allowed to do.
>
> Choosing definitions for my words is something you are not allowed to do
> because I am 100% in control of that particular function.

>
> > ... but you were, according to your own earlier postings, required by
> > another circumstance to learn morse. You apparently have no free will
> > open to you.
>
> Nope, I was not required by any circumstances to learn Morse code. It
> was a 100% fun thing to do. However, there are a lot of people who
> believe in predestination which gives a person no choice. Could be
> I was forced by predestination to learn Morse code.

I take this as pretty much of an admission that your view of "forced
learning of morse" are a Texas tall tale.

> > I surely wish I could take you seriously.
>
> How many postings would you make if you took me seriously?

As many as I'd care to make...

Dave K8MN

From -3540632274460620236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 22:36:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A99F80A.B33A72AD@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95F898.78BFE16C@IEEE.org> <3A966301.26BC1F2B@ovis.net>
<3A966D56.8BD0312C@IEEE.org> <3A96EB91.DF3635CF@ovis.net>
<3A971BB3.7AFCA55@IEEE.org> <3A97B0C0.DB1AA78@ovis.net>
<3A97CC01.32DF805D@IEEE.org> <3A9834EC.A9CBAEB8@ovis.net>
<3A983D5D.4CD243D8@IEEE.org> <3A9872C9.543F301E@ovis.net>
<3A9884D3.47E893A6@IEEE.org> <3A9944C9.37AA7D5C@ovis.net>
<3A99BCC6.758F7BB2@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 44
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 06:31:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983169087 207.0.147.44 (Sun, 25 Feb 2001 22:31:27 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Feb 2001 22:31:27 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17401

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > By your own view, SOME circumstance forced you to learn morse.
> > *chortle*
>
> Eat the *chortle*, Dave. I have refuted that in another thread.

But you have insisted that even the most mundane and ordinary daily
activity is, in some manner, "required by circumstance". Are you now
stating that this isn't necessarily true?

> > > Morse code is compulsory for HF hams, Dave. What is it about "requirement"
> > > that you don't understand. For all HF hams, requirements are compulsory.
> > > If they were not compulsory, there would exist some lazy HF hams who
> > > weren't compelled to know Morse code. Where are they, Dave? I don't
> > > know any.
> >
> > Easy. They are not HF hams. They chose not to meet the requirements.
>
> That proves my argument and blows yours completely out of the water.
> Diagram it using symbolic logic and you will see.
>
> > Easy. They are not HF hams. They chose not to meet the requirements.
> > No one is forced to learn morse code.
>
> Use symbolic logic to diagram the argument, Dave. You will see that
> you just lost. Most HF hams are forced to learn Morse code.
> The people who chose not to learn Morse code are not HF hams so WHERE
> ARE THE HF HAMS WHO CHOSE NOT TO LEARN MORSE CODE? Could it be that
> they had no choice? If there are no HF hams who chose not to learn
> Morse code, that 100% proves my argument.

...not unless these characters were ordered by a judge to become HF
hams. Amateur radio is not a mandatory activity any more than newsgroup
posting is.

You're writing more Bravo Sierra, Cecil. My statement was: No one is
forced to learn morse code.

No one is forced to enter amateur radio....absolutely no one. Produce a
person who was forced to learn morse.

```
Dave K8MN


From -7534570613431000047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 22:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A99FA55.DC6CE8C@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <3A95F877.7ABB0E8E@ovis.net>
<3A95FD92.205AEF27@IEEE.org> <3A9665EF.59858B0A@ovis.net>
<3A966EFA.4FEC7E3F@IEEE.org> <3A96EC57.1BC55C01@ovis.net>
<3A971E8A.B254619C@IEEE.org> <3A97B717.4FF4A1E2@ovis.net>
<3A97D259.2D315303@IEEE.org> <3A983A82.7CB8B21F@ovis.net>
<3A984537.2F0819D1@IEEE.org> <3A987430.1D123180@ovis.net>
<3A988675.92CF5147@IEEE.org> <3A99460C.A1CCEDCD@ovis.net>
<3A99C6BD.10790CE4@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 46
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 06:40:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983169659 207.0.147.44 (Sun, 25 Feb 2001
22:40:59 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Feb 2001 22:40:59 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17402

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > You must be having a senior moment.  By your own twisted view, SOME
> > CIRCUMSTANCE must have forced you to learn morse. *giggle*
>
> Well, of course, it could have been predestination. I was in cub scouts
```

> and was introduced to Morse code. It looked like fun so I learned it.
> It's possible that destiny or God dictated the outcome but I am not
> aware of any circumstances that forced me to learn Morse code. Are you?

I am aware of none. I'm the one who maintains that no one is forced to learn morse code. It is only within the last few posts that you've come around to my way of thinking. I believe that we have choices open to us in undertaking any action. Of course there can be consequences to our choices but we still have the choices open to us.

> > A statement does not become irrelevant because you say it is irrelevant.
>
> I don't say it's irrelevant unless I have tested it using symbolic logic.
> If it falls outside the areas of argument, then it is, by definition,
> irrelevant.

...because YOU state that it is outside the area of argument. I haven't agreed to your terms.

> > > ALL HF hams who don't already know Morse code are required by circumstances
> > > to learn Morse code. If what you say is true, where are the HF hams who
> > > didn't learn Morse code?
> >
> > Easy. They aren't HF hams because, of their own volition, the chose not
> > to meet the requirements for licensing.
>
> So you are saying the only people who had a choice are not hams. HF hams
> had no choice except to know/learn Morse code. That's what your answer
> implies. You seem to be wrestling with a logical conundrum. Try again.

If a guy sez, "Ham radio still requires passage of a morse code exam. I'm not going to learn any stinking morse code", that is his choice. He had options. He was not forced to learn morse code and he was not forced to enter amateur radio. Then again, he is not going to become an HF ham.

I just pinned your conundrum.

Dave K8MN

From 7439340750796153586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 22:57:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A99FD33.3212191B@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A94A29B.6A9C8F35@ovis.net>
<973vak5sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F547.BF1FEA3A@ovis.net>
<976ii3429gj@enews4.newsguy.com> <3A96F0A7.BD5ABAA@ovis.net>
<977a3b22pej@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A97BB9E.2DB69C56@ovis.net>
<978g2002rih@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A9836CF.25B74C27@ovis.net>
<97chsd05j2@enews2.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 64
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 06:53:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983170388 207.0.147.44 (Sun, 25 Feb 2001
22:53:08 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Feb 2001 22:53:08 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17403
```

T. L. Bryant wrote:

>
> In article <3A9836CF.25B74C27@ovis.net>, k8mn@ovis.net says...
>
> >> If my ideas are preconceived, I'd appreciate you showing me how you think it
> i
> >s
> >> so. FYI, I used pro-code, until I started digging into the facts about why
> >> continued testing of code at 13 and 20 WPM, served no purpose other than a
> >> hazing ritual for those who only wished to have HF phone privileges. It's
> >> called objective reasoning. Your reasoning is subjective, and as such, is
> >> always flawed.
> >
> >You used pro-code? I've never tried it.
>
> Sometimes my thoughts get ahead of my fingers, and I hit "send" before
> proofreading. It's a sign of a genius.

Yeah, not proofreading before sending sounds pretty bright.

>
> >Let's see...at one point I didn't know morse. Then I learned it. Later
> >yet I passed the Novice exam. Through the years, I increased my code
> >speed until I passed the Extra. The period from not knowing morse to
> >passing the Amateur Extra was about seventeen years. After passing the
> >Extra, I increased my speed because of desire and through much
> >practice. That's hardly shows that I had any preconceived ideas about
> >morse testing.

>
> All this shows is that you like using morse as a means of communication. It
> proves nothing.

How do you know what my views of morse testing or use were during those
years? Pretty dismissive comment coming from a fellow armed with few
facts.

> >During the period of the debate over whether morse testing should be
> >continued, I've weighed the evidence and found the views of your side to
> >be wanting. I've observed that generally, things which are deregulated
> >do not improve whether the things are telephone service, airline service
> >or amateur radio.
>
> That is a blanket assumption, that cannot be proven. After one year, I've not
> seen any changes, for better or worse.

Telephones, cable service, airlines and the like have been deregulated
for some time. Prices continue to go up; service goes down. As far as
amateur radio, I've noted a number of newer ops, mostly using voice
modes who have some pretty bad operating habits and a pronounced
"accent". It hasn't kept me from working some of 'em.
>
> >I don't share your view of ham radio utopia and probably never will.
> >Live with it.
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> All that I hae to say to that is 5 WPM is here to stay. Live with it.

I've already accepted that and I've been living with it. I'm still
looking for the explosion in growth. According to some, many of the
newcomers will be professionals who will dazzle us with technological
advances. I'm waiting for that too.

Dave K8MN

From -4164484509311492233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 23:02:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A99FE29.9B5BEACD@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride

References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
<3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net>
<3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org> <3A96EF23.9B7E5688@ovis.net>
<3A972620.A3BA4906@IEEE.org> <3A97BA3E.33207E07@ovis.net>
<3A97D703.69FEBC05@IEEE.org> <3A983D52.4DE6B32C@ovis.net>
<3A984895.12A12741@IEEE.org> <3A988A40.BCCC67D1@ovis.net>
<3A989AA4.25862393@IEEE.org> <3A9948A8.892759A0@ovis.net>
<3A99CC19.8485834F@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 06:57:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983170659 207.0.147.44 (Sun, 25 Feb 2001 22:57:39 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Feb 2001 22:57:39 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17404

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Nope. Not until you agree that becoming a ham is an entirely voluntary
> > undertaking and that it bears relevance to the discussion at hand.

> If anyone who volunteers to be an ham is not allowed to become a ham
> then the process is NOT "entirely voluntary" and your so-called
> volunteer is *required by circumstances* to pass an exam.

No one who desires to become a ham is turned away at the gate--not even
Leonard H. Anderson. Allowed to become a ham? Is this your pet phrase.
I acknowledge that there are examination elements required. That still
doesn't mean that anyone is forced to undertake them.

No one is forced to learn morse code. No one is forced to become a
radio amateur.

> I will meet you halfway. I will agree that no one holds a gun to the
> head of a prospective ham in the act of forcing him take a ham exam.

That's awfully kind of you.

Dave K8MN

From -6633866821138600700
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 23:04:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A99FF13.B0B39406@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A967295.8079A954@IEEE.org> <3A96EDDD.88119A70@ovis.net>
<3A9721A8.458C866D@IEEE.org> <3A97B8B0.B211F431@ovis.net>
<3A97D4CD.1CA1575E@IEEE.org> <3A983C29.E58DD49@ovis.net>
<3A984995.8D03C159@IEEE.org> <3A988C08.A9244836@ovis.net>
<3A989C52.4EDE20D9@IEEE.org> <3A9949FA.456B0485@ovis.net>
<3A99CD9D.522A4B79@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 35
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 07:01:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983170879 207.0.147.44 (Sun, 25 Feb 2001 23:01:19 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Feb 2001 23:01:19 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17405

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > You can't lose with the
> > ability to set any conditions you like on a discussion while retaining
> > the ability to dismiss any of your opponent's statements as irrelevant.
>
> That's what I have been telling you all this time, Dave. I choose the
> valid definitions for the words I use to argue with. I verify my
> premises against reality. I can't lose as long as I don't violate
> any rules of logic.

You can't lose when you change the statement, dictate the definitions
and set the conditions. Of course you'd have to have the aquiesence of
your opponent. Unfortunately for you, I'm not agreeing to that.

> > Doesn't win you many points though.
>
> I didn't know we were playing for points. I thought we were trying to
> reach a meeting of the minds. You should have told me you were just
> playing a silly game.

Any time someone gets into a discussion with you here, he'd better be
aware that he's going to end up playing a silly game. I've not forgotten
that you've said that nothing you post here is to be taken seriously.
You're never hear for real debate or discussion.

> > Do whatever you need to do in order to think yourself a winner.
>
> Likewise, I'm sure. It's a pity that one has to comprehend the subject
> before knowing one has lost.

Keep working on it. It may come to you.

Dave K8MN

From 5125887712749291027
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 23:05:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3A99FFE7.6FB53EBF@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95F898.78BFE16C@IEEE.org> <3A966301.26BC1F2B@ovis.net>
<3A966D56.8BD0312C@IEEE.org> <3A96EB91.DF3635CF@ovis.net>
<3A971BB3.7AFCA55@IEEE.org> <3A97B0C0.DB1AA78@ovis.net>
<3A97CC01.32DF805D@IEEE.org> <3A9834EC.A9CBAEB8@ovis.net>
<3A983D5D.4CD243D8@IEEE.org> <3A9872C9.543F301E@ovis.net>
<3A9884D3.47E893A6@IEEE.org> <3A9944C9.37AA7D5C@ovis.net>
<3A99BCC6.758F7BB2@IEEE.org> <97cjup$jer$0@208.207.71.174>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 07:04:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983171093 207.0.147.44 (Sun, 25 Feb 2001 23:04:53 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Feb 2001 23:04:53 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17406

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > By your own view, SOME circumstance forced you to learn morse.
> > > *chortle*
> >
> > Eat the *chortle*, Dave. I have refuted that in another thread.
> >
> > > > Morse code is compulsory for HF hams, Dave. What is it about "requirement"
> > > > that you don't understand. For all HF hams, requirements are compulsory.
> > > > If they were not compulsory, there would exist some lazy HF hams who
> > > > weren't compelled to know Morse code. Where are they, Dave? I don't
> > > > know any.
> > >
> > > Easy. They are not HF hams. They chose not to meet the requirements.
> >
> > That proves my argument and blows yours completely out of the water.
> > Diagram it using symbolic logic and you will see.
> >
> > > Easy. They are not HF hams. They chose not to meet the requirements.
> > > No one is forced to learn morse code.
> >
> > Use symbolic logic to diagram the argument, Dave. You will see that
> > you just lost. Most HF hams are forced to learn Morse code.
> > The people who chose not to learn Morse code are not HF hams so WHERE
> > ARE THE HF HAMS WHO CHOSE NOT TO LEARN MORSE CODE? Could it be that
> > they had no choice? If there are no HF hams who chose not to learn
> > Morse code, that 100% proves my argument.
>
> Well, dave, that proves it, Right? Cecil has said some half-dozen times
> in one post that YOU lost the "argument". Doncha feel bad? <BSEG>

Yeah, declares victory enough times so that even HE might start to
believe it.

Dave K8MN

From -8512680977835281353
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 23:07:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 07:03:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983171017 207.0.147.44 (Sun, 25 Feb 2001
23:03:37 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Feb 2001 23:03:37 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17407

Cecil wrote:
>
> Aaron Jones wrote:
> > I know you could challenge us to come give you a test,
> > but in reality that piece of info is just as unverifiable for
> >  most of us as is Cecil's...
>
> Well, I proved I could score 100% on the Extra exam in March. :-)

As with your arguments, there had to be a change in the conditions
before it could happen.  Weren't the you the guy who told us that you
would not ever take the Extra exam?

Man, I wish I could take you seriously.

Dave K8MN


From 6768816307331689331
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 23:11:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
```

```
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A9A0110.28C55240@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A988DD9.5C73D2B7@IEEE.org> <20010225212756.05499.00000656@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A99DD3A.EF87447F@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 07:09:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983171383 207.0.147.44 (Sun, 25 Feb 2001
23:09:43 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Feb 2001 23:09:43 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17408
```

Cecil wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > I don't give a damn whether both statements are true or not.
> > Why don't you come up with something intelligent to discuss, instead
> > of playing your silly, childish, logic-trap games?
>
> That's the whole problem with our society. Nobody gives a damn
> anymore. All they do is sit around and whine about postings that
> they don't comprehend.
>
> Let me summarize my present position:
>
> All Hams are *required by circumstances* to take exams.
> All HF hams are *required by circumstances* to know/learn Morse code.

That's his present position. Stay tuned. It could change in a heart
beat.

Note that it is no way the original statement I made.

Dave K8MN

```
From 837937005123987034
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 23:36:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
```

```
for-mail
Message-ID: <3A9A069B.79D70EB8@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
References: <20010225233725.01718.00000174@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 156
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 07:34:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983172893 207.0.147.44 (Sun, 25 Feb 2001
23:34:53 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Feb 2001 23:34:53 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17409

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A99B2EA.1BCBC2C8@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3A97C059.4C35B759@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >> In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g988dg1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil
> >Kane"
> >> >> <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:
> >> >>
> >> >> >On 23 Feb 2001 18:39:51 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

> >> Not at all.  Why does Herr Oberst Heil have to jump in waving
> >> his swagger stick?
> >
> >Are you posting material in a newsgroup or having a private e-mail
> >exchange with Phil?  Have you ever commented on an item not when not
> >being directly addressed?
>
> Gosh, I thought all these public messages were public.
> Seems to me that anyone can "jump in" on public
> postings.  Guess that isn't "supposed" to happen in
> the good Oberst's orderly little world.  :-)

You're having a senior moment, Leonard H.  That was precisely my point.
You were giving me hell about jumping in.  Try to stay with the flow.

> >> >What the man told you was factual.
> >>
> >> Was it more factual than a statement of one military branch's
> >> MARS headquarters?
```

> >
> >It was no less factual and already provided once without posting an
> >entire article.
>
> Ah, so the Oberst Gives Orders on what is acceptible for
> posting and what is not acceptible. Sehr gutt.

How old are you?

> So...information on a MARS branch "shall be interpretted"
> by a communications attorney and accepted carte blanche?

The man provided you with the information. Did you think he was packing
your ass?

> Why is the good Oberst so afraid of direct input from some
> ancilliary amateur activity that is controlled by the DoD?

Fear? What fear, Leonard H.?

> Does the good Oberst think that "lower classes" cannot
> understand a MARS Hq statement in English?

Are you making a point here?

> >What you are doing above is what you have repeatedly accused others of
> >doing. Grow up.
>
> The good Oberst has a decided lack of humor. He "knows what
> is best for everyone." Information shall be cleared or uncleared
> only over His desk. Ach zo!   :-)

So when you do these things--using the German military terms, assigning
ranks and coming up with the droll names, that is humor. When someone
else does it to you, it is an attack or denigration. You certainly have
a dual standard.

> Quit acting like some bad stereotypical Oberst. This is not the
> Waffen SS. You try but cannot cow anyone with all the Orders,
> cowboy.

...sideways with a hot Weller gun.

> >You come off like an eight year old on the playground, Leonard H.
> >Act your age.
>
> This is not a playground to act out your control-freak fantasies.
> I know that is disturbing news to you but try to accept it.
>
> >And your posting of material in its entirety from a MARS web page does
> >what about that?
>
> Ach zo...everyone is to Follow Orders in a Linear line of

> messaging? Did the good Oberst read the first post of this
> particular thread? He did not? Why not?
>
> > Are you a MARS official?
>
> No, that's why a reposting of a MARS home page was
> thought informative. Of course, you knew all this so "it
> wasn't needed." :-)

The posting of an entire article from a web page was needed because you are not a MARS official. That defies belief!

> > Are you a MARS member?
>
> No. Are you?   :-)

I was from 1968-70 and from 1980-85. You may recall that I've been overseas for fifteen years.
>
> The USAF MARS home page gave membership requirements
> and how to apply. Was that Against Orders or something?

...and posting the URL would have been too easy.

> >Are you a radio amateur? Are you a sidewalk superintendant?
>
> Does the FCC require Commissioners or FCC Staff to have
> amateur radio licenses in order to regulate US amateur radio?

I can sell beef and be a vegetarian. You aren't an FCC commissioner or on the FCC staff and don't regulate amateur or any other radio. You aren't even a participant in amateur radio.

> Why are you jumping in on a thread Barking Orders? Aren't
> you retired from government service? Do you need some
> pet whipping boys or something? Sorry Herr Oberst, I won't
> be your bondage victim. No one should. Now be a good
> sort and put away the leather, whips, and chains...you are
> starting to look very foolish.

Anyone who wants to see foolish need only read the paragraph above.

> Tell you what, why don't you get some kind of Revolution
> going on divorcing the US amateur radio service from FCC
> control. You obviously don't think anyone can become a
> new amateur unless they've already had amateur radio
> experience. Since they don't know anything about amateur
> radio they can't pass any tests and therefore won't become
> licensed. Maybe you could start a "Waffen ARS" and run
> all newcomers through some Basic Training to "properly
> instill amateur radio values (as you see them)" and especially
> to insure "discipline" so as to never give "higher officers" any
> back-talk...from anyone. To hell with all this "free speech"

> about federal regulations, ey Herr Oberst? Everyone has to
> Obey You, right? Right, only You "know what is best" and
> all others should shut up.   :-)
>
> Wow, this amateur radio activity is sure tough as a hobby!

What's your hold up in getting your license, Leonard H.? You've been shedding skin cells on this planet for seven decades. What is it you are waiting for? It may be tough for you to swallow but until you go ahead and take the plunge, you are an onlooker, a bystander, a sidewalk superintendant, and SWL, a wannabe. If you had any serious interest in obtaining an amateur license, you could have had it in the several years you've wasted blathering here. Study material is available.

Good luck with that 5 wpm!

Dave K8MN

From -5885369210184225646
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-25 23:40:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A9A07C3.4390020B@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A989C52.4EDE20D9@IEEE.org> <20010225212757.05499.00000658@nso-ch.aol.com> <97ck54$ki3$0@208.207.71.174> <3A99DF5C.220942E7@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 07:38:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983173123 207.0.147.44 (Sun, 25 Feb 2001 23:38:43 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Feb 2001 23:38:43 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17410

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Don't you know, Larry? When Cecil gets on one of his sensational
> > wild-assed 'logical' debate tangents, subject matter loses all

> > importance. What is important then is that he "wins".
>
> This is not about winning, Dick. This is about truth, logic, and
> the American way of life.

Truth? You've boasted that nothing you post here can be taken
seriously! Logic? When you change the conditions, call any statement
made by your opponent irrelevant and make the definitions? The American
Way of Life? Maybe some Texas rustic Libertarian variant...

Dave K8MN

From 8487719267955097673
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 01:08:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!207.172.3.44.MISMATCH!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 82
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 09:06:34 GMT
References: <20010225233725.01718.00000174@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010226040634.04492.00002178@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17411

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 2/25/01 8:37 PM Pacific Standard Time
>Message-id: <20010225233725.01718.00000174@nso-fo.aol.com>

>Gosh, I thought all these public messages were public.
>Seems to me that anyone can "jump in" on public
>postings. Guess that isn't "supposed" to happen in
>the good Oberst's orderly little world

Nor in yours, Lennie the Undecided. YOU have chastised ME for having the temerity to comment on your "comments" to third parties as if I had tapped your private e mail.

Of course, I expect no less from you.

>Ah, so the Oberst Gives Orders on what is acceptible for
>posting and what is not acceptible.

Gee...I WONDER from whom he got THAT idea...???

>Quit acting like some bad stereotypical Oberst.

Ahhh...but LENNIE! Why should YOU be the only one to have some play-fun time?

>This is not the
>Waffen SS. You try but cannot cow anyone with all the Orders,
>cowboy.

What's WITH you and the NEED to assert that anything stated contrary to The Word of Lennie MUST be Nazi? In this post and others you have been riding Dave with the same neo-Nazi crap that you pummeled ME with?

Of course, you have since denied DOING those things, yet here you are, once again, caught in ANOTHER lie. But don't worry, we weren't surprised.

>This is not a playground to act out your control-freak fantasies.
>I know that is disturbing news to you but try to accept it.

You should read what you write, Lennie. Seems YOU are the one with the comprehension problems here.

>Does the FCC require Commissioners or FCC Staff to have
>amateur radio licenses in order to regulate US amateur radio?

So you perceive that since YOU don't have a license you have the RIGHT to be foul, lying and obnoxious?

>Sorry Herr Oberst, I won't be your bondage victim.

As much as I am sure that disappoints him, Dave...(Mind's eye view of Lennie in leather with rubber ball in his mouth, tied to the ottoman...Mrs. A in Pointed black leather bra and nazi officers cap with cat-o-nine...Lennie crying for more as she drags lashes accross his butt.....)

>Now be a good
>sort and put away the leather, whips, and chains...you are
>starting to look very foolish.

Having been disarmed of another rant, YOU are the one looking foolish, Lennie.....

Again, I might add........

>Everyone has to
>Obey You, right? Right, only You "know what is best" and
>all others should shut up.

I am sure you'd LOVE for people to allow you to run rampant, spewing your hate and sludge without impedement. Sorry. Won't happen.

>Wow, this amateur radio activity is sure tough as a hobby!

THIS isn't Amatuer Radio.

YOU will NEVER know Amatuer Radio. You can talk about it...you can criticize it...you can dream about it...but it will always elude you.

Because YOU, Lennie the Liar, just can't HANDLE it!

From -8517476969576159117
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,699b153da0fe2135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 01:12:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 09:11:48 GMT
References: <3A9A069B.79D70EB8@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
Message-ID: <20010226041148.04492.00002179@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17412

>Subject: Re: This Should Be A Warning
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@ovis.net
>Date: 2/25/01 11:34 PM Pacific Standard Time
>Message-id: <3A9A069B.79D70EB8@ovis.net>

>So when you do these things--using the German military terms, >assigning ranks and coming up with the droll names, that is humor. >When someone else does it to you, it is an attack or denigration. You >certainly have a dual standard.

Yes..He does. A double standard as wide as the 405 in Long Beach.

>I can sell beef and be a vegetarian. You aren't an FCC commissioner >or on the FCC staff and don't regulate amateur or any other radio. You
>aren't even a participant in amateur radio.

Nor any OTHER kind of radio, acutally, Dave. He let his "lifetime" GROL "expire" last October...remember?

>Good luck with that 5 wpm!

I'll be appointed Duke of Earl before THAT happens!

From -1005763040360205149
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbc821e94c7f66f8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 01:22:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 09:18:27 GMT
References: <20010226001154.01278.00000615@nso-fa.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: MARS
Message-ID: <20010226041827.04492.00002180@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17413

>Subject: Re: MARS
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 2/25/01 9:11 PM Pacific Standard Time

I find it amusing that this was posted at 9:11...mostly because all of Lennie's stuff is in dire need of assistance...like some TRUTH.

>I guess all that stuff about the Tennessee STATE Guard is
>"not accurate.

There are some CURRENT changes that are NOT reflected on the Web Page, Lennie...which only PROVES my assertion that you CANNOT take what you read on ANY web page as verbatim fact.

>>You ASSUME the webpage contains all the information that is
>"essential".

>You betcha.

And proof positive that you assume the worst...the worst since you think this stuff is essential or even current.

>You seem to be claiming some webpage is "inaccurate" just
>because a newsgroup opponent presented it...while anything
>Robeson presents via webpage is absolutely truthful.

No...it's inaccurate because it's neither timely nor is it necessarily complete.

You assume it is both and present it as absolute fact.

An engineer should know better. But then, it is YOU we are talking about.....

>This is getting to be in the area of Oberst Heil's "definitions"
>where he and only he defines the "truth" in messaging.

Nope...just once again laying your rants to waste.

```
From 1012475863659113426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbc821e94c7f66f8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 01:30:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!europa.netcrusader.net!
205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 09:25:21 GMT
References: <B6BF4C9D.3946%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: MARS  (the end of...)
Message-ID: <20010226042521.04492.00002181@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17414
```

>Subject: Re: MARS (the end of...)
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 2/25/01 8:58 PM Pacific Standard Time

> If the current situation continues, I think DoD will eliminate the MARS
>program very soon. The organization is simply not meeting its >obligation. Most overseas military installations, even the largest ones, >have no MARS operation in place. Of those which do, most have a >difficult time staffing those facilities.

Agreed on the staffing, Dwight, but not the rest.

In most cases, the mission of MARS has shifted significantly. In the case of Air Force MARS, it is no longer centralized around H&W traffic, but in supporting various installations with "Base Support Teams", groups of Amatuers who can help with ancilliary communications ON the facility.

That, obviously, is almost impossible to do on an overseas facility.

> If you live near a military installation, consider conducting classes, >and exam sessions, targeted towards military personnel. Make sure to >introduce MARS during those classes. Offering classes to military >ROTC programs is also a good idea.

Excellent ideas.

Another program being used by NAVMARCORPS MARS and AFMARS is to incorporate the activities of the USCGAUX and the Civil Air Patrol. Army MARS is being used by various State's Guards and Defense Forces.....contrary to what Lennie might tell you......

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From -6487981646229972631
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 05:34:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 13:33:21 GMT
References: <20010225214901.05358.00000436@nso-mh.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010226083321.01380.00000140@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17415
```

In article <20010225214901.05358.00000436@nso-mh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010225203343.06490.00000293@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>Could be. But - did you ever see the requirements for getting a Soviet ham
>>license? Makes us look like a bunch of weenies.
>>
>>Things like building a receiver (from scratch) of at least a certain

>>complexity, and present it for examination by a panel who will pass
>judgement
>>on its design and construction. You may be asked almost any question the
>>panel
>>can think up about it. After the receiver is approved, you have to use it
>to
>>receive a certain number of different stations and get confirmation (QSLs)
>of
>>same. Then you get to try for a transmitting license.
>
>Are you suggesting a major overhaul of the US amateur radio
>regulations in license requirements?!?

I'm just pointing out that the old Soviet requirements were much tougher than
anything US hams have been required to do.
>
>Why would you impose so many things on newcomers that
>you did not have to do?

Did I write that I wanted this sort of thing for a US ham license? No.

And how do you know what I had to do? As a matter of fact, I built my first SW
receiver and amateur transmitter. I also built many since then, including the
setup I use now.

I DON'T ask others to do what I haven't done.

>Are you trying to make US amateur
>radio more exclusive by excluding some newcomers?

Nope. Why do you think that?
>
>Why do you want ot make an avocation into some sort of
>Guild or Tradesman's occupation requirement?

Where do you get such ideas, Len?
>
>Is that all to keep US amateur radio from appearing to be
>"weenies?"
>
Is building one's own radio gear a bad thing?

N2EY

From 1097647493517б1972
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 05:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 13:33:22 GMT
References: <3A99CE55.472A7448@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226083322.01380.00000141@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17417

In article <3A99CE55.472A7448@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> My use of Morse vs. voice on the ham bands has a BIG effect on other hams.
>
>Assuming you are following the rules, how do you, as one ham out of
>hundreds of thousands of hams, manage to have a BIG effect on other hams?
>--

Simple:

If I use Morse, I use far less spectrum than any voice mode. That leaves lots more room for other hams.

If I use Morse, I can run far less power and still have the same S/N ratio at the receiving end. Less QRM for other hams.

Those are good things.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 326351214166407373
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 05:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 13:33:23 GMT
References: <3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

```
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010226083323.01380.00000144@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17416

In article <3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Aaron Jones wrote:
>> I know you could challenge us to come give you a test,
>> but in reality that piece of info is just as unverifiable for
>>  most of us as is Cecil's...
>
>Well, I proved I could score 100% on the Extra exam in March. :-)
>--
Maybe. The smiley tells me you're "not serious" about that....

73 de Jim, N2EY
```

```
From 4906426182064381246
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 05:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 13:33:18 GMT
References: <3A99D344.D2FCA281@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010226083318.01380.00000137@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17420

In article <3A99D344.D2FCA281@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Well, my parents can verify it and ex-W5FQC, who is now a preacher
>> >can verify it.
>>
>> They are not independent verification.
>
>Exactly what would constitute independent verification?

Something you had no control over.
```

```
>I was living
>closer than 125 miles to the FCC office at the time. Does that count?

Nope. You might not be "serious" about it.
>
>> >How do you know how fast I type before I hit the send key?
>>
>> By the sheer volume of your posts.
>
>You've got to remember that, unlike the average poster, I have 120+
>hours per week available for posting. However, last week I started
>day-trading so that number will decrease.

My Auto-AOL downloads every four hours, usually. Your volume of posting in four
hours is an indication of high typing speed.
>
>> So you say. Maybe you're serious, maybe not. You have repeatedly said you
>don't
>> post seriously to rrap.
>
>Wouldn't you agree that a CW spellchecker is fairly non-serious?

Yup. That's why I don't put much faith in anything you post.
>
>> You didn't call them at a specified time and frequency?
>
>Yep I did, Jim. I heard no answers.
>--
Maybe you need an antenna that works.

Wednesday, 0300Z, 7037 plus/minus. W3RV will be there. I will try to be.

73 de Jim, N2EY




From -6029564899180417095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 05:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 13:33:23 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9c5bx0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
```

Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010226083323.01380.00000142@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17421

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g9c5bx0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>On 26 Feb 2001 01:33:43 GMT, N2EY wrote:
>
>>> Please provide both your definition of what constitutes a "radio
>>>outlaw"
>>
>>Anyone (in the USA) who operates an unlicensed radio transmitter outside of
>the
>>provisions of FCC Part 15 and Part 95.
>
> There are other radio services which do not require specific
> FCC licenses and are covered by "blanket" authorizations
> contained in other parts of the FCC Rules.   Examples are
> domestic-waters pleasure craft (Part 80), domestic-airspace
> private aircraft (Part 87), and cellular and other
> radiotelephones (Parts 22 and 24).

Thanks for the info, Phil. I wrote that part too fast.
>
> A better definition would be anyone who operates a radio
> transmitter not authorized by a specific or blanket rule
> authorization.
>
Agreed! I'll go with this definition:

"A 'radio outlaw' is anyone who operates a radio transmitter not authorized by
a specific or blanket rule authorization."

Always better to be accurate.

>>Specifically, the activities of "freebanders", and cbers who
>>violate FCC regs are examples of "radio outlaws".
>
>>I know plenty of hams who were "radio outlaws" at one time. Then
>>they got ham licenses, and most of them cleaned up their acts and
>>became legal. A few brought their "bad habits" with them - but
>>only a few.
>
> "Pirates" (a.k.a. "bootleggers") are an old amateur radio
> tradition, some will say. That doesn't make it proper.
>
Agreed!

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -5008879868695575718
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 05:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 13:33:21 GMT
References: <3A99C55E.41CFF9C4@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010226083321.01380.00000139@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17419

In article <3A99C55E.41CFF9C4@arrl.org>, Hans K0HB <k0hb@arrl.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> And the claim is that this K9 guy "knows" the code, not that
>> he knew it at some time in the past.
>
>Whatever!
>
>It was a lighthearted comment, not a "claim".

So were my comments, Hans.
>
>I guess some people just like to pick fly shit out of the pepper pot.

Not me.
>
>I really don't care if the treasonous son of a bitch can copy code or not.

>Do you?

Nope. HE faces the death penalty, y'know.

But on a serious note, consider this: A while back the guy in Massachusetts who
shot up his workplace and killed seven innocent people was mistakenly
identified as a ham. Turned out there were two people with exactly the same
name and similar descriptions living in the same town.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -2457352402555844942
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 05:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 250
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 13:33:20 GMT
References: <20010225214900.05358.00000435@nso-mh.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226083320.01380.00000138@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17422

In article <20010225214900.05358.00000435@nso-mh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>n article <20010225203342.06490.00000291@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>In article <3a97cea2.43470735@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>>
>>>On 24 Feb 2001 14:39:10 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>>
>>>>In article <3a95e69f.5254787@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>>>>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>>>>
>>>>> It does not, however, forclose
>>>>>the person attempting to get the requirements changed.
>>>>
>>>>Of course! That's what a representative democracy is all about.
>>>
>>>Absolutely agee.
>>>
>>>>But it also means that other people have the right to try to keep the
>>>>requirements, or change them in other ways.
>>>
>>>Agree again.
>>>
>>>>>That is the point I believe many PCTA folks want...i.e.
>>>>>accept the requiremnts the way they are..
>>>>
>>>>Sure. Nothing wrong with wanting to keep the good things, just because
>they
```

>>>are
>>>>old.
>>>>
>>>>>.after all, I did it, so should you.
>>>>
>>>>Nope. That's not it, Bill. It's more like this:
>>>>
>>>>"If it's not broken, don't fix it".
>>>
>>>Seems you're not doing too well convincing the FCC of that.
>>
>>We all had our say. Just because the FCC took a certain action doesn't mean
>>it's logical or the best thing for the ARS.
>
>Do you know "what is best for the [US] ARS?"   :-)

Of course.
>
>Hint: The FCC regulates US amateur radio.

We KNOW, Len. Is the FCC infallible in its regulatory decisions?

>It has done that since
>1934.

And before them, it was the FRC. Before the FRC, it was the DOC.

Doesn't mean they are infallible.

>The FCC doesn't require Commissioners or FCC Staff to
>hold amateur radio licenses in order to regulate US amateur
>radio.

So? What's your point?
>
>>My point was not to rehash the old arguments for or against code testing,
>but
>>simply to point out that the motivation of "after all, I did it, so should
>>you" was NOT part of my support for code testing. And there are plenty of
>folks
>>who agree with me.
>
>There are many in here who rationalize about all newcomers
>having to do just like they did.

Who? Not me. My point was that it's not one of MY reasons.

Show me where I've posted "I did it, so you must do it too" as a reason for
keeping code tests. The only place I've seen it is in the posts of nocodetest
folks who claim to know the motivations of others.

> In another post you seemed to
>want all newcomer to do Much More than you had to do...

That's YOUR misinterpretation. Show me where I wrote that American hams should have to do what used to be required of Soviet amateurs.

And by the way, I did all of those things. And I can still do them. Not only did I design and build my current HF ham station, and many of its predecesors, I can explain its operation in detail. And it wasn't a copy of any published design.

>Which is it?

Read my posts and set your biases aside, Len.
>
>   <snip>
>
>>>Yet you readily admit that isn't the case for a passenger vehicle.
>>
>>Of course! But a passenger vehicle driver's license does not convey full
>>driving privileges by any means.
>
>When the FCC starts testing drivers, we can talk about
>that new development. The FCC has not done that since
>it started in 1934.

It's an analogy, Len.
>
>   <snip>
>
>>>>The regular passenger driver's license is like the Technician license. The
>>>>various forms of CDL are like the General and Extra licenses.
>>>
>>>Sorry, no such equivalency exists.
>>
>>Sure it does.
>
>...only in an over-reaching imagination. :-)

The over-reaching imagination here is yours. Not mine.

>>I think my PA driver's license allows me to operate vehicles up to 10,000
>>pouinds GVWR. Beyond that, I need a CDL.(Weight is not the only criteria, of
>>course, but it is one of them). What happens at 10,000 pounds that suddenly
>>makes me unsafe to drive? Why am I safe for 9,500 but unsafe for 10,500?
>>
>>> No such similararity
>>>exists regarding the privileges between tech/gen/extra.
>>
>>Sure it does. Listen to the HF ham bands and see how much Morse you hear.
>
>Why not listen to ham bands above 10 m? Tell us how
>much morse code you hear there.

Morse is used far more on the HF and MF ham bands than on VHF and UHF.

>
>Commercial Driver Licenses are COMMERCIAL.

In the context of driver's licenses, the word does not mean "for money". To
legally drive a motor vehicle over 10,000 pounds GVWR in PA requires a CDL,
even if I drive it as an "amateur". But I can be paid to drive my car without
one.

>US amateur
>radio is defined as "a radio activity not having pecuniary
>interests." That's "amateur" in any definition.

Driving many vehicles "without pecuniary interest" still requires a CDL,
though.
>
>Do you want all amateurs to become commercial operators?

I want them to have the basic skills and knowledge of the amateur radio
service.
>
>>>Indeed,
>>>the lowest license (Tech) can build and play with the highest power
>>>levels at frequencies ANSI considers more dangerous than HF
>>>at the same power levels.
>>
>>Does that mean it's right? In other words, just because the FCC allows it,
>>does that mean noone should question it?
>
>Seems to me that was the PCTA position before the 98-143
>NPRM.

Not me. I suggested many chnages to the FCC. You didn't even bother to file
comments, Len - just "reply comments".

> A very strong position. "No one should question
>the Law" was a common PCTA comment then.   :-)

By whom?
>
>>>That has ZERO bearing on the need for a code test or
>>>knowledge of code as a skill.
>>
>>It has all the bearing in the world, Bill. My use of a manual transmission
>>car vs. a similar model with automatic transmission has very little effect
>on
>>other drivers, the environment or resource depletion. My use of Morse vs.
>voice on
>>the ham bands has a BIG effect on other hams.
>
>Ah yes, "exclusivity!" :-)

Where do you see that? Show me where I advocate excluding anyone who has the
necessary qualifications.

>
>By keeping the morse code test for HF privileges, it insures
>that SOME newcomers will know morse (your favorite mode).

So? Is that a bad thing?

>It also insures that many newcomers will not accept or
>bother with HF-privilege class license testing, thereby insuring
>a minimum of operators.

How does it do that?

>Exclusivity.

Qualification.

> All for a "better" IN-
>group...to your personal liking.

To my judgement.
>
>Seems a pretty clear thing to me, old-timer.

Nope. You're projecting your biases onto others.

> It's not an
>"environmental" or "resource" thing at all..

Sure it is. Morse signals use less spectrum than voice signals.

>.just another
>manifestation of "that's the way you had to test and therefore
>every newcomer has to test the same way."
>
In your imagination, maybe. Not in fact.

I tested in front of the FCC. I didn't advocate going back to that because it's both inconvenient and impractical. I took 13 wpm to get a General, and the General written was one element. I didn't advocate that, either.

>Rationalize all you want.

I'm just telling it like it is. Why does that bother you so much?

> Keep on insuring that US amateur
>radio turns into the "US Amateur Radiotelegraphy Service"
>to keep the 1930s alive and well in a living radio museum
>of the air.
> Keep that "morse resource" alive long after its
>usefulness to the nation.

What ARE you talking about?

I recall that way back on January 19, 2000, you told us you were going for an Extra "right out of the box". Hasn't happened yet, though. Guess those new writtens are too tough, huh?

N2EY

```
From -923334312400055370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 05:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 13:33:23 GMT
References: <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010226083323.01380.00000143@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17418
```

In article <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>In article <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>says...

>>
>>One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
>license,
>>and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is this: They
>want
>>the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham licenses.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?

Their actions speak louder than any words could.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

From 6443288680084720966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 06:26:07 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A651B.35867119@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 08:15:56 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net>
<3A95F6E5.E5233886@IEEE.org> <3A9661D4.BD6A4189@ovis.net>
<3A96648C.18C1678@IEEE.org> <3A96E5B0.4610718A@ovis.net>
<3A971667.21728417@IEEE.org> <3A97AB43.60EBE506@ovis.net>
<3A97BE25.9F930EA1@IEEE.org> <3A982F27.2C42D6A1@ovis.net>
<3A98365A.C8376268@IEEE.org> <3A986ED6.AC2BFE70@ovis.net>
<3A987F3C.375C12F6@IEEE.org> <3A9942AC.507DF367@ovis.net>
<3A99B76F.492B33D5@IEEE.org> <3A99F4A6.366642A3@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983197731
64.240.47.115 (26 Feb 2001 08:28:51 -0600)
Lines: 35
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17423

Dave Heil wrote:
> There are enough holes in it to fly a 747 through.

Let's reduce the scope to keep it simple. Exactly where are the holes
in the following?

Premise:
All HF hams are required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam.

From Webster's: "forced - required by circumstances".

Conclusion:
All HF hams are forced to pass a Morse code exam.

Please show me the holes in the above (and only the above) without muddying the waters by resorting to diversionary tactics. I'm not going to respond to any of your diversions. I will respond to any holes you find in the above premise/conclusion.

> I gave you a fairly lengthy list of items, all of which you described as
> "required by circumstance". These were the kinds of things which a
> normal person would never say are forced on him like picking up a
> newspaper. But to you they all were. It is apparent that you do not
> believe in free will or choices open to man.

The reason you are unable to change your mind in the face of overwhelming evidence is that you are predestined to have a closed mind that believes in free will.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8004238997663342911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 06:32:19 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A6663.40F460BB@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 08:21:23 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A99CE55.472A7448@IEEE.org> <20010226083322.01380.00000141@nso-fj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115

X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983198058
64.240.47.115 (26 Feb 2001 08:34:18 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17424

N2EY wrote:
> If I use Morse, I use far less spectrum than any voice mode. That leaves lots
> more room for other hams.
>
> If I use Morse, I can run far less power and still have the same S/N ratio at
> the receiving end. Less QRM for other hams.
>
> Those are good things.

I agree that those are good things but one single ham choosing one single
mode is not a BIG effect on the other 600,000 hams. I've never heard you
on any mode so it has had absolutely no effect on me.

Methinks you should change your statement from "my use of CW has a BIG
effect ..." to "thousands of ham's using CW has a BIG effect ..."

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4306130550703369591
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 06:40:54 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A688B.DF51A44F@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 08:30:35 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A95F737.7B7BC45E@ovis.net>
<3A95FBB5.1342F5CE@IEEE.org> <3A9664E4.39420E92@ovis.net>
<3A9669ED.B81EB112@IEEE.org> <3A96E8E4.974FD36@ovis.net>
<3A9717B5.EDFA2B63@IEEE.org> <3A97AC48.17CCA9F1@ovis.net>
<3A97C3E0.D66CB37A@IEEE.org> <3A98308C.7B085FD2@ovis.net>
<3A98395F.B58DD138@IEEE.org> <3A987053.368BE16D@ovis.net>
<3A988291.5401C6CC@IEEE.org> <3A994415.9C1889BA@ovis.net>
<3A99BA76.4C8FE3D2@IEEE.org> <3A99F5EA.FC302CC1@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983198625
64.240.47.115 (26 Feb 2001 08:43:45 -0600)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17425

Dave Heil wrote:
> Well then, I sincerly thank you for accepting my view. If you were not
> "required by circumstance" to learn morse code, you'd be hard pressed to
> state that others were given that all had options to undertake it.

I am not accepting your view, Dave. Just because I and a few others are
exceptions to the rule does not change the fact that MOST HF hams are
required by circumstances to learn Morse code. I never said that ALL
HF hams are required by circumstances to learn Morse code. I've said
that most or the majority of HF hams are required by circumstances to
learn Morse code. However, ALL HF hams are required by circumstances
to pass a Morse code exam. Since you keep diverting away from that
statement, you must believe it to be true.

> I take this as pretty much of an admission that your view of "forced

> learning of morse" are a Texas tall tale.

You take wrong. If they don't already know Morse code, HF hams are required by circumstances to learn Morse code. That there are a few people who already know Morse code is irrelevant.

On another thread, I presented a premise and a conclusion for you to use to indicate holes in my argument. Let's focus our discussion into one branch of a thread for obvious reasons. You can have the last word in all the threads except the premise/conclusion thread.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1870626127183961142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 06:50:08 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A6A96.7C7E2743@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 08:39:18 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95F898.78BFE16C@IEEE.org> <3A966301.26BC1F2B@ovis.net>
<3A966D56.8BD0312C@IEEE.org> <3A96EB91.DF3635CF@ovis.net>
<3A971BB3.7AFCA55@IEEE.org> <3A97B0C0.DB1AA78@ovis.net>
<3A97CC01.32DF805D@IEEE.org> <3A9834EC.A9CBAEB8@ovis.net>
<3A983D5D.4CD243D8@IEEE.org> <3A9872C9.543F301E@ovis.net>
<3A9884D3.47E893A6@IEEE.org> <3A9944C9.37AA7D5C@ovis.net>
<3A99BCC6.758F7BB2@IEEE.org> <3A99F80A.B33A72AD@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.182
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983199134 64.240.47.182 (26 Feb 2001 08:52:14 -0600)
Lines: 32

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17426

Dave Heil wrote:
> But you have insisted that even the most mundane and ordinary daily activity is, in some manner, "required by circumstance". Are you now stating that this isn't necessarily true?

Just because something is mundane and ordinary doesn't mean they are not required by circumstances. Eating is an example. Seems more things are required by circumstances than you realize. But some things are not required by circumstances.

> ...not unless these characters were ordered by a judge to become HF hams.

Being ordered by a judge is not the only way to be required by circumstances, Dave. I'm sure you realize that.

> No one is forced to enter amateur radio....absolutely no one.

I never said anyone is forced to enter amateur radio so your statement is just another of your strawmen diversions.

> Produce a person who was forced to learn morse.

If I remember right, Larry was required by circumstances to learn Morse code. Please switch the discussion to the premise/conclusion thread.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3047085026437606624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 06:57:08 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A6C61.7D36D8BB@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 08:46:57 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
References: <3A988DD9.5C73D2B7@IEEE.org> <20010225212756.05499.00000656@nso-ch.aol.com> <3A99DD3A.EF87447F@IEEE.org> <3A9A0110.28C55240@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.182
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983199593 64.240.47.182 (26 Feb 2001 08:59:53 -0600)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17427

Dave Heil wrote:
> > All HF hams are *required by circumstances* to know/learn Morse code.
>
> That's his present position. Stay tuned. It could change in a heart beat.

So you agree with my above position?

The sheer number of parallel threads have gotten out of hand. I am starting a new thread entitled "Forced code exams?". You can have the last word on the multitudes of "Pride" threads.

To simplify things, here is a premise and a conclusion. Please point out any holes in it.

Premise: All HF hams are required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam.

Quote from Webster's: "forced - required by circumstances"

Conclusion: All HF hams are forced to pass a Morse code exam.

Please don't continue to muddy the waters with your plethora of diversionary tactics.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7211556035569973752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:06:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A6E7D.5E945D88@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 08:55:57 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <3A95F877.7ABB0E8E@ovis.net>
<3A95FD92.205AEF27@IEEE.org> <3A9665EF.59858B0A@ovis.net>
<3A966EFA.4FEC7E3F@IEEE.org> <3A96EC57.1BC55C01@ovis.net>
<3A971E8A.B254619C@IEEE.org> <3A97B717.4FF4A1E2@ovis.net>
<3A97D259.2D315303@IEEE.org> <3A983A82.7CB8B21F@ovis.net>
<3A984537.2F0819D1@IEEE.org> <3A987430.1D123180@ovis.net>
<3A988675.92CF5147@IEEE.org> <3A99460C.A1CCEDCD@ovis.net>
<3A99C6BD.10790CE4@IEEE.org> <3A99FA55.DC6CE8C@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.182
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983200132 64.240.47.182 (26 Feb 2001 09:08:52 -0600)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17428

Dave Heil wrote:
> I'm the one who maintains that no one is forced to
> learn morse code. It is only within the last few posts that you've come
> around to my way of thinking.

Read my lips, Dave. I have not come around to your way of thinking. A few
HF hams were not forced to learn Morse code because they already knew it.
MOST HF hams are indeed required by circumstances to learn Morse code.
Please switch to the "Forced code exams?" thread.

> If a guy sez, "Ham radio still requires passage of a morse code exam.
> I'm not going to learn any stinking morse code", that is his choice.

That's true, Dave, but irrelevant. That guy is not an HF ham so my
statement doesn't apply to him. HF hams who didn't already know
Morse code were required by circumstances to learn Morse code. The
reason that the above guy was not forced by circumstances to learn
Morse code is that he is not an HF ham. Please switch to the "Forced
code exams?" thread.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7261724527599208109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:10:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A6F35.D29A9035@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 08:59:01 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>

```
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A967295.8079A954@IEEE.org> <3A96EDDD.88119A70@ovis.net>
<3A9721A8.458C866D@IEEE.org> <3A97B8B0.B211F431@ovis.net>
<3A97D4CD.1CA1575E@IEEE.org> <3A983C29.E58DD49@ovis.net>
<3A984995.8D03C159@IEEE.org> <3A988C08.A9244836@ovis.net>
<3A989C52.4EDE20D9@IEEE.org> <3A9949FA.456B0485@ovis.net>
<3A99CD9D.522A4B79@IEEE.org> <3A99FF13.B0B39406@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.182
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983200316
64.240.47.182 (26 Feb 2001 09:11:56 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newspeer.cwnet.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17429

Dave Heil wrote:
> You can't lose when you change the statement, dictate the definitions
> and set the conditions.  Of course you'd have to have the aquiesence of
> your opponent.  Unfortunately for you, I'm not agreeing to that.

Of course if you do not allow your opponent to fine tune his argument
you will usually win. But that's not a fair way to debate, Dave.
Please switch to the "Forced code exams?" thread.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 5605059612015477358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:12:09 PST
```

Message-ID: <3A9A6FC5.D5E598FE@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 09:01:25 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net> <3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net> <3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net> <3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net> <3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org> <3A96EF23.9B7E5688@ovis.net> <3A972620.A3BA4906@IEEE.org> <3A97BA3E.33207E07@ovis.net> <3A97D703.69FEBC05@IEEE.org> <3A983D52.4DE6B32C@ovis.net> <3A984895.12A12741@IEEE.org> <3A988A40.BCCC67D1@ovis.net> <3A989AA4.25862393@IEEE.org> <3A9948A8.892759A0@ovis.net> <3A99CC19.8485834F@IEEE.org> <3A99FE29.9B5BEACD@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.182
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983200460 64.240.47.182 (26 Feb 2001 09:14:20 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17430

Dave Heil wrote:
> No one is forced to learn morse code. No one is forced to become a
> radio amateur.

Nobody has ever said that anybody is forced to become a radio amateur.
Why do you insist in reposting that red herring?

HF hams who didn't already know Morse code were forced by circumstances
to learn Morse code. Please switch to the "Forced code exams?" thread.
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8967828821545588323
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:20:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A7364.65294D49@ovis.net>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 15:16:52 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net>
<3A95F6E5.E5233886@IEEE.org> <3A9661D4.BD6A4189@ovis.net>
<3A96648C.18C1678@IEEE.org> <3A96E5B0.4610718A@ovis.net>
<3A971667.21728417@IEEE.org> <3A97AB43.60EBE506@ovis.net>
<3A97BE25.9F930EA1@IEEE.org> <3A982F27.2C42D6A1@ovis.net>
<3A98365A.C8376268@IEEE.org> <3A986ED6.AC2BFE70@ovis.net>
<3A987F3C.375C12F6@IEEE.org> <3A9942AC.507DF367@ovis.net>
<3A99B76F.492B33D5@IEEE.org> <3A99F4A6.366642A3@ovis.net>
<3A9A651B.35867119@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s0.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 26 Feb 2001 10:12:28 -0500, s0.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 39
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s0.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17432

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > There are enough holes in it to fly a 747 through.
>
> Let's reduce the scope to keep it simple. Exactly where are the holes
> in the following?

> ----------------
> Premise:
> All HF hams are required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam.
>
> From Webster's: "forced - required by circumstances".
>
> Conclusion:
> All HF hams are forced to pass a Morse code exam.
> ----------------
> Please show me the holes in the above (and only the above) without muddying
> the waters by resorting to diversionary tactics. I'm not going to respond
> to any of your diversions. I will respond to any holes you find in the
> above premise/conclusion.

Your entire premise is diversionary. My statement was: No one is forced
to learn morse. Please try to stay on track.

> > I gave you a fairly lengthy list of items, all of which you described as
> > "required by circumstance". These were the kinds of things which a
> > normal person would never say are forced on him like picking up a
> > newspaper. But to you they all were. It is apparent that you do not
> > believe in free will or choices open to man.
>
> The reason you are unable to change your mind in the face of overwhelming
> evidence is that you are predestined to have a closed mind that believes in
> free will.

Diversionary. You did not respond to what I wrote. You have told me
that even the most ordinary daily event is required by circumstance.
I disagree.

Dave K8MN

From -4936781801085271487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:20:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A71EB.1AD6AFBE@ovis.net>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 15:10:35 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
References: <3A988DD9.5C73D2B7@IEEE.org> <20010225212756.05499.00000656@nso-ch.aol.com> <3A99DD3A.EF87447F@IEEE.org> <3A9A0110.28C55240@ovis.net> <3A9A6C61.7D36D8BB@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s0.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 26 Feb 2001 10:06:11 -0500, s0.pm1.ovis.net

Lines: 33
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s0.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17431

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > > All HF hams are *required by circumstances* to know/learn Morse code.
> >
> > That's his present position. Stay tuned. It could change in a heart
> > beat.
>
> So you agree with my above position?

It is a diversionary tactic. No one is forced to learn morse code.

> The sheer number of parallel threads have gotten out of hand. I am
> starting a new thread entitled "Forced code exams?". You can have
> the last word on the multitudes of "Pride" threads.
>
> To simplify things, here is a premise and a conclusion. Please point
> out any holes in it.
>
> Premise: All HF hams are required by circumstances to pass a Morse
> code exam.
>
> Quote from Webster's: "forced - required by circumstances"
>
> Conclusion: All HF hams are forced to pass a Morse code exam.
>
> Please don't continue to muddy the waters with your plethora of
> diversionary tactics.

It is diversionary. My statement was: No one is forced to learn morse
code. Please try to stay on track.

Dave K8MN

From -4105160921814762717
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:28:36 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 09:18:28 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.182
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983201482
64.240.47.182 (26 Feb 2001 09:31:22 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17433

Dave Heil wrote:
> Weren't the you the guy who told us that you
> would not ever take the Extra exam?

Yes, but I was wrong. I can see the problem you are having if you
consider yourself to be so omniscient that you cannot ever be
wrong and therefore you never change your mind for any reason
whatsoever.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -965063427668318333
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:30:06 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A7469.DAB2C1FA@ovis.net>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 15:21:13 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride

References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A95F737.7B7BC45E@ovis.net>
<3A95FBB5.1342F5CE@IEEE.org> <3A9664E4.39420E92@ovis.net>
<3A9669ED.B81EB112@IEEE.org> <3A96E8E4.974FD36@ovis.net>
<3A9717B5.EDFA2B63@IEEE.org> <3A97AC48.17CCA9F1@ovis.net>
<3A97C3E0.D66CB37A@IEEE.org> <3A98308C.7B085FD2@ovis.net>
<3A98395F.B58DD138@IEEE.org> <3A987053.368BE16D@ovis.net>
<3A988291.5401C6CC@IEEE.org> <3A994415.9C1889BA@ovis.net>
<3A99BA76.4C8FE3D2@IEEE.org> <3A99F5EA.FC302CC1@ovis.net>
<3A9A688B.DF51A44F@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s0.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 26 Feb 2001 10:16:49 -0500, s0.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 43
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s0.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17434

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Well then, I sincerly thank you for accepting my view. If you were not
> > "required by circumstance" to learn morse code, you'd be hard pressed to
> > state that others were given that all had options to undertake it.
>
> I am not accepting your view, Dave. Just because I and a few others are
> exceptions to the rule does not change the fact that MOST HF hams are
> required by circumstances to learn Morse code. I never said that ALL
> HF hams are required by circumstances to learn Morse code. I've said
> that most or the majority of HF hams are required by circumstances to
> learn Morse code. However, ALL HF hams are required by circumstances
> to pass a Morse code exam. Since you keep diverting away from that
> statement, you must believe it to be true.

...except it is not the statement you originally took issue with.
You can't win unless you can change the statement, provide the only
acceptable definition and are free to dismiss any statement provided by
me as irrelevant or diversionary. Sure wish I could take you seriously!

> > I take this as pretty much of an admission that your view of "forced
> > learning of morse" are a Texas tall tale.
>
> You take wrong. If they don't already know Morse code, HF hams are required
> by circumstances to learn Morse code. That there are a few people who already
> know Morse code is irrelevant.

That obtaining an HF ham ticket is not mandatory is certainly relevant.

> On another thread, I presented a premise and a conclusion for you to use
> to indicate holes in my argument. Let's focus our discussion into one
> branch of a thread for obvious reasons. You can have the last word in
> all the threads except the premise/conclusion thread.

That's awfully big of you in that it isn't yours to give.
Tell you what: I'm going to Cincinnati this morning and will return on
Thursday evening. That'll give you some time to stew about the issue.

No one is forced to learn morse code.

Dave K8MN

From 5579209443988021714
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:30:08 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A75A0.55929403@ovis.net>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 15:26:25 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104>
<3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <974p9f$6vq$3@208.206.142.104>
<3A95F898.78BFE16C@IEEE.org> <3A966301.26BC1F2B@ovis.net>
<3A966D56.8BD0312C@IEEE.org> <3A96EB91.DF3635CF@ovis.net>
<3A971BB3.7AFCA55@IEEE.org> <3A97B0C0.DB1AA78@ovis.net>
<3A97CC01.32DF805D@IEEE.org> <3A9834EC.A9CBAEB8@ovis.net>
<3A983D5D.4CD243D8@IEEE.org> <3A9872C9.543F301E@ovis.net>
<3A9884D3.47E893A6@IEEE.org> <3A9944C9.37AA7D5C@ovis.net>
<3A99BCC6.758F7BB2@IEEE.org> <3A99F80A.B33A72AD@ovis.net>
<3A9A6A96.7C7E2743@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s0.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 26 Feb 2001 10:22:03 -0500, s0.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 45
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!

newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s0.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17435

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > But you have insisted that even the most mundane and ordinary daily
> > activity is, in some manner, "required by circumstance". Are you now
> > stating that this isn't necessarily true?
>
> Just because something is mundane and ordinary doesn't mean they are
> not required by circumstances. Eating is an example. Seems more things
> are required by circumstances than you realize. But some things are
> not required by circumstances.

Which way is it now? Let's see--you were not required by circumstances
to learn morse in the cub scouts but you were required to respond to my
posts. I think I see a Cecilian pattern emerging. If you and I had
options in learning morse code, we were not forced. I had options and
you've told me that you have options. It would seem that everyone has
options. No one is forced to learn morse code.

> > ...not unless these characters were ordered by a judge to become HF
> > hams.
>
> Being ordered by a judge is not the only way to be required by
> circumstances, Dave. I'm sure you realize that.

...nor did I say it was. I'm saying that I've never heard of such a
thing and that we all have options to do or not do something.

> > No one is forced to enter amateur radio....absolutely no one.
>
> I never said anyone is forced to enter amateur radio so your
> statement is just another of your strawmen diversions.

Use that spell checker. It is "straw man" or "straw men". If you
weren't forced to enter amateur radio, you weren't forced to learn
morse.

> > Produce a person who was forced to learn morse.
>
> If I remember right, Larry was required by circumstances to learn
> Morse code. Please switch the discussion to the premise/conclusion thread.

I don't think you remember right.

Dave K8MN

From -1052850906445267838
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:32:16 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A745F.58250F3F@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 09:21:03 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <20010226083323.01380.00000144@nso-fj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.182
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983201637 64.240.47.182 (26 Feb 2001 09:33:57 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.tele.dk!195.54.122.107!newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17436

N2EY wrote:
>
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Well, I proved I could score 100% on the Extra exam in March. :-)
> >--
> Maybe. The smiley tells me you're "not serious" about that....

Oh, it's the truth, Dave, but you're right, I wasn't very serious
about it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1747942570729996412

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:42:08 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A76F1.F14AEC9C@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 09:32:01 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
References: <3A99D344.D2FCA281@IEEE.org> <20010226083318.01380.00000137@nso-fj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.182
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983202297 64.240.47.182 (26 Feb 2001 09:44:57 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17437

N2EY wrote:
> Maybe you need an antenna that works.

I have a 40m EDZ pointed toward AZ and QSO with my numerous friends
around Phoenix. It works great in that direction. Unfortunately, that
puts you off the end of my 40m EDZ. I have a 40m vertical with eight
buried radials but it is worse than the EDZ even toward your area.

> Wednesday, 0300Z, 7037 plus/minus. W3RV will be there. I will try to be.

Just to be sure - Wed 0300Z = Tues 10pm EST = Tues 9pm CST
When Brian said Wed 0230Z, I converted 0230Z to 8:30pm CST
but didn't convert from Wed to Tues.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5524359861189715400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:44:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 15:42:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <97dth1$c41$0@208.206.142.112>
References: <3A982E7D.97040592@arrl.org> <20010225003512.08010.00002117@nso-
mb.aol.com> <3A995082.9F527080@bctv.com> <97c7hn$rd0$0@208.207.71.174>
<3A99D659.F56E43B5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.112
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17438
```

Cecil wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Last action on his license was in 1997. That means he passed at least
> > > 13WPM.
> >
> > ******SO WHAT????*****
>
> Didn't you say that Morse code skill supposed to transform individuals
> into fine, upstanding citizens? :-)

No, I didn't and of course you know it, you just can't pass an
opportunity to twist words to suit your intentions.

```
From 5608441585727470468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:46:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
```

```
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 26 Feb 2001 15:44:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <97dtkc$c41$1@208.206.142.112>
References: <3A989C52.4EDE20D9@IEEE.org> <20010225212757.05499.00000658@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A99DF01.23ACDD3@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.112
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17439



Cecil wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Just what *is* the concept you're trying to convey in your postings?
> > What is all this quibbling over "force" supposed to prove, IYHO?
>
> Here's what it has proven:
>
> Hams are *required by circumstances* to pass a ham exam.
> HF hams are *required by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam.
>
> From Webster's: "forced - required by circumstances"
>
> Conclusion: Hams are forced to pass a ham exam.
> HF hams are forced to pass a Morse code exam.


Just another in your long string of inaccurate conclusions.


From -5119594314687741298
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:46:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Organization: ARRL
```

```
Message-ID: <hjuk9tks6qqdqph94i64kt93v5mrfnh5f4@4ax.com>
References: <3A991338.D46A2A9@IEEE.org> <20010225163331.03666.00000261@nso-
ma.aol.com> <97c860$12u$0@208.207.71.174> <3A99CFD5.D179737A@IEEE.org>
<97clnd$qkd$0@208.207.71.174> <3A99E089.3622BC08@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 15:41:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 983202096 24.95.131.236 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 10:41:36
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 10:41:36 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17440

On Sun, 25 Feb 2001 22:50:17 -0600, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> Cecil wrote:
>> > Being an outlaw half the time VS being an outlaw all the time
>> > sounds to me like a first step in the right direction.
>>
>>  Cecil, your logic never ceases to amaze.
>>  Not to make it sound equivalent, but bank robbers don't rob banks all
>> the time, either, they try to look and act as normal as possible - to
>> keep from drawing attention, of course.
>
>So you are saying that robbing two banks is no worse than robbing
>one bank? I think that second bank manager would disagree with you.

...and I think that the first bank manager would tell you that it
doesn't make any difference at all...



From -2023028878332319118
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:46:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 26 Feb 2001 15:45:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <97dtmb$c41$2@208.206.142.112>
```

References: <3A989C52.4EDE20D9@IEEE.org> <20010225212757.05499.00000658@nso-ch.aol.com> <97ck54$ki3$0@208.207.71.174> <3A99DF5C.220942E7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.112
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17441

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Don't you know, Larry? When Cecil gets on one of his sensational
> > wild-assed 'logical' debate tangents, subject matter loses all
> > importance. What is important then is that he "wins".
>
> This is not about winning, Dick. This is about truth, logic, and
> the American way of life.
>

And now he dives headfirst into politics.

From 7364149151640473693
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:46:10 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A77DA.493A38C3@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 09:35:54 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Forced code exam? was Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3a950017.1362100@news.mindspring.com> <3A950EB0.589992FD@ovis.net>
<3A951C3B.EB16584F@IEEE.org> <3A95F0AC.CC8DFD89@ovis.net>
<3A95F6E5.E5233886@IEEE.org> <3A9661D4.BD6A4189@ovis.net>
<3A96648C.18C1678@IEEE.org> <3A96E5B0.4610718A@ovis.net>
<3A971667.21728417@IEEE.org> <3A97AB43.60EBE506@ovis.net>
<3A97BE25.9F930EA1@IEEE.org> <3A982F27.2C42D6A1@ovis.net>
<3A98365A.C8376268@IEEE.org> <3A986ED6.AC2BFE70@ovis.net>
<3A987F3C.375C12F6@IEEE.org> <3A9942AC.507DF367@ovis.net>

```
<3A99B76F.492B33D5@IEEE.org> <3A99F4A6.366642A3@ovis.net>
<3A9A651B.35867119@IEEE.org> <3A9A7364.65294D49@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.182
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983202529
64.240.47.182 (26 Feb 2001 09:48:49 -0600)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17442

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Let's reduce the scope to keep it simple. Exactly where are the holes
> > in the following?
> > ----------------
> > Premise:
> > All HF hams are required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam.
> >
> > From Webster's: "forced - required by circumstances".
> >
> > Conclusion:
> > All HF hams are forced to pass a Morse code exam.
> > ----------------
> > Please show me the holes in the above (and only the above) without muddying
> > the waters by resorting to diversionary tactics. I'm not going to respond
> > to any of your diversions. I will respond to any holes you find in the
> > above premise/conclusion.
>
> Your entire premise is diversionary.  My statement was: No one is forced
> to learn morse.  Please try to stay on track.

That must mean that you agree with the above. Please switch this subject
to "Forced code exams?".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
```

------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6446481857220887110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:51:52 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A7926.8A2498D8@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 09:41:26 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3A991338.D46A2A9@IEEE.org> <20010225163331.03666.00000261@nso-
ma.aol.com> <97c860$12u$0@208.207.71.174> <3A99CFD5.D179737A@IEEE.org>
<97clnd$qkd$0@208.207.71.174> <3A99E089.3622BC08@IEEE.org>
<hjuk9tks6qqdqph94i64kt93v5mrfnh5f4@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.182
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983202860
64.240.47.182 (26 Feb 2001 09:54:20 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17443
```

AB2HR wrote:

>
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >So you are saying that robbing two banks is no worse than robbing
> >one bank? I think that second bank manager would disagree with you.
>
> ...and I think that the first bank manager would tell you that it
> doesn't make any difference at all...

So averaging the attitudes of the two bank managers yields 50%
difference. Why am I not surprised that the math works? The
FBI certainly wishes there were 50% fewer bank robberies.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -956844698796O7235
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 07:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!dca1-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <7nuk9tk99jmgdardcrtlu5duihghfemrot@4ax.com>
References: <3A9894FA.A403FF14@IEEE.org> <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-fp.aol.com> <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com> <97cn4l$336$1@208.207.71.174>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 46
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 15:48:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 983202484 24.95.131.236 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 10:48:04 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 10:48:04 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17444

On 26 Feb 2001 04:47:17 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>
>> In article <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com says...
>>
>> >
>> >--
>> >
>> >One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
>> license,
>> >and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is this: They

>> want
>> >the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham licenses.
>> >
>> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
>
>
>Whatta ya want, a notarized statement from the Chairman?

Personally, I don't think the FCC gives a flying fig WHO gets a ham
license. They simplified the structure because the old 6-tiered
system was difficult to administer and a pain in the arse to enforce.
The FCC's only interests in the ham bands are the efficient
enforcement of existing regulations, modifying existing regulations to
make enforcement easier and more efficient, and to farm out, sell,
rent and other wise create revenue from under utilized portions of the
spectrum that just happen to be allocated to the amateur service on a
secondary (and sometimes primary) basis. The FCC is a governmental
agency charged with regulating the use of the RF spectrum. They have
no interest at all in the status of the Amateur Radio Service.

The ARRL, on the other hand, IS an advocancy (sp?) for the service,
and they certainly DO have some interest in what happens to the hobby.
I can assure you, as a member of the ARRL, that I do NOT look forward
to seeing freebanders, pirates, nor anyone else with an "outlaw"
attitude joining the hobby in ANY capacity. This is not official
dogma from the ARRL, just the opinion of ONE member...

73 de

Ray / AB2HR

```
From -9024753182218197295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 20:00:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 03:57:05 GMT
References: <3a9bbb16.75428053@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010227225705.00597.00000267@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16443
```

In article <3a9bbb16.75428053@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 27 Feb 2001 05:21:50 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>That is an example of construction-assembly ability
>>
>Gawd forbid a ham should have to be able to solder.

Why?

>>and
>>would pose an inordinate burden on the VECs, both for
>>time and capital equipment for testing.
>>
>"Test equipment"?? What test equipment? I had to build a rcvr to get
>my Radio Merit Badge. I showed up with a two-tube regen, the counselor
>plugged it into one of hs antennas and the radio worked. Took 30
>seconds, a pair of ears and a hunk of wire.

You are a credit to metrologists everywhere. You should do
consulting for NIST on developing primary standards.

You have now equated US amateur radio to the Boy Scouts.

Here, have a Brownie...

From 4311732317447856030
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 20:02:08 PST
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 03:57:07 GMT
References: <97gpk9$f4s$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227225707.00597.00000270@nso-fy.aol.com>
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!ac-versailles.fr!teaser.fr!isdnet!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16444

In article <97gpk9$f4s$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>I get flack from everybody. It's because I go looking for trouble I guess.

```
>;-)

Quite true.


From -2493498076536507970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 20:02:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 03:57:06 GMT
References: <3A9C1FC9.ED1DD4FC@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227225706.00597.00000268@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16445

In article <3A9C1FC9.ED1DD4FC@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>> > >> As far as US amateur radio is concerned, an amateur license
>> > >> is granted by the FCC, not the desires of the already-licensed.
>
>> > >Right.  Neither the desires of the applicant, nor any third party (such
>> > >as yourself) are relevant.  :-)
>
>> > On the contrary, the FCC welcomes comment from any citizen
>> > on FCC regulations for any radio service, does not exclude
>> > anyone.
>
>Did anyone else notice that I agreed with Len, and then he came back
>contradicted himself in order to disagree with me?

Did anyone else notice that Jimmie likes to confuse the
issues by nit-picking, phrase-arguing, and general trolling?

Tell us, oh Lawgiver, that the FCC does not welcome comment
from any citizen on anything, amateur radio included.

Tell us that only already-licensed US radio amateurs are
allowed to judge, rule, and otherwise establish all criteria
on and about amateur radio.


From 7697676226119476l8
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b95fe0c0c2f3444d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 20:04:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!
news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 03:57:05 GMT
References: <3A9BD3A4.10072315@toast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Thanks and Good Bye re. 11m, Tech Ticket, & The ARRL.
Message-ID: <20010227225705.00597.00000266@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16446

In article <3A9BD3A4.10072315@toast.net>, John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
writes:

>>  You sound just like some radial zealots who insist that decrying the
>> rampant illegality by members of some minority groups is 'bigotry'. Same
>> lame claim.
>
>These would be the people that insist on burying wires in the ground?

Wayyyy too sneaky a comment...this group is humor
challenged....  :-)


From 7739583945116810413
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 20:04:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 03:57:06 GMT
References: <97h4dq$lar$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010227225706.00597.00000269@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16447

In article <97h4dq$lar$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@qwest.net writes:
```

>>Do I need a Charles Atlas course? In Internet terms I am
>>8' 4" and 560 pounds with 3% body fat and can bench-
>>press a Schwarzenegger. [Almost as big as some who
post in here...you forgot to quote the last part...:-)]
>
>Just because you like to growl, posture, and piss in all the
>corners, it doesn't necessarily prove that you're a tough-minded
>king of the newsgroup: On the Internet, no one can tell you're a
>chihuahua.

Yo quiero, super chief, you are singularly without humor this
day. :-)

Or....(!)....maybe YOU were the drunk who wandered into
the gay bar?!?!?

Lighten up, massa, yo is startin to resemble dem ice holes
yo is fishin from... :-)

From -6507812007911454444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 20:39:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 22:24:52 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A9C7D93.CA4DF553@IEEE.org>
References: <3A9BECF4.96616F5E@IEEE.org> <20010227203425.01718.00000256@nso-
fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.d8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 04:34:39 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16448

N2EY wrote:
> Ah - so you think requiring code tests for an amateur licenses is not a bad
> thing.

I'm satisfied with the present requirements.
--

```
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 1396494236844989721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 20:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 04:39:14 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <3a9c805f.1917380@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a9a84fa.2134181@news.mindspring.com>
<20010227174235.01707.00000277@nso-fp.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.87
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 04:42:51 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16450

On 27 Feb 2001 22:42:35 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3a9a84fa.2134181@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>On 26 Feb 2001 13:33:23 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
>>>Bryant) writes:
>>>
>>>>In article <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>>>says...
>>>>>One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
>>>>>license and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is
>>this:
>>>>>They want the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham
>>licenses.   Y
>>>>
>>>>Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
>>>
>>>Their actions speak louder than any words could.
>>
>>In what way do you make that assessment?
>
>I looked over how the FCC has changed the license requirements in the last 30
>years. And taking a broad view of the problems the FCC faces in enforcing the
>rules on unlicensed stations.
```

Fair enough. Differnet people may conclude otherwise.

>>Just what actions by the FCC support your conclusion?
>>
>Compare the requirements for a US ham license in 1971 and in 2001. Pay
>particular attention to what was and is required for a license that allows lots
>of HF/MF privileges on a variety of modes. Also look at the requirements for a
>full-privileges license. I can post a detailed list, if you want.

Look at the General (full HF) requirements for the 50s and 60s
and tell us how that compares.

>Please understand, I'm not saying this was the ONLY reason for the changes -
>just that it was one of them. And I'm not saying that these changes were all
>bad, either. I'm just explaining my view of part of the FCC's motivation and
>thinking on the subject, and how it has changed from the heyday of "incentive
>licensing" to now.

As above, different people see different reasons behind
FCC actions.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -6258013425415307450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 20:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
portc03.blue.aol.com!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 04:41:52 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <3a9c818d.2219256@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a9a84fa.2134181@news.mindspring.com>
<20010227174235.01707.00000277@nso-fp.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.87
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 04:42:42 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16449

On 27 Feb 2001 22:42:35 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3a9a84fa.2134181@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com

>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>On 26 Feb 2001 13:33:23 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
>>>Bryant) writes:
>>>
>>>>In article <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>>>says...
>>>>>One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
>>>>>license and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is
>>this:
>>>>>They want the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham
>>licenses.   Y
>>>>
>>>>Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
>>>
>>>Their actions speak louder than any words could.
>>
>>In what way do you make that assessment?
>
>I looked over how the FCC has changed the license requirements in the last 30
>years. And taking a broad view of the problems the FCC faces in enforcing the
>rules on unlicensed stations.

Fair enough. Differnet people may conclude otherwise.

>>Just what actions by the FCC support your conclusion?
>>
>Compare the requirements for a US ham license in 1971 and in 2001. Pay
>particular attention to what was and is required for a license that allows lots
>of HF/MF privileges on a variety of modes. Also look at the requirements for a
>full-privileges license. I can post a detailed list, if you want.

Look at the General (full HF) requirements for the 50s and 60s
and tell us how that compares.

>Please understand, I'm not saying this was the ONLY reason for the changes -
>just that it was one of them. And I'm not saying that these changes were all
>bad, either. I'm just explaining my view of part of the FCC's motivation and
>thinking on the subject, and how it has changed from the heyday of "incentive
>licensing" to now.

As above, different people see different reasons behind
FCC actions.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -4492650850145884538
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 20:47:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 22:33:06 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3A9C7F82.E73342D4@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org> <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net>
<3A951ACC.13DB3275@IEEE.org> <3A95EFC3.48A647F3@ovis.net>
<3A95F55E.2600EA2C@IEEE.org> <3A96606F.3C03F821@ovis.net>
<3A966355.68E00E3E@IEEE.org> <3A96E4F8.414681F6@ovis.net>
<3A9714FF.EEA3A581@IEEE.org> <3A97AA07.20D9C741@ovis.net>
<3A97BBA3.6AF6AD7F@IEEE.org> <3A982E20.145F2625@ovis.net>
<3A9834EE.6C303B5D@IEEE.org> <3A986DA1.C9B1F039@ovis.net>
<3A987ADF.C58FE8E4@IEEE.org> <3A98944D.C8DF6048@arrl.org>
<3A989872.4DFE27CF@IEEE.org> <3A9ABCE2.19AD3063@uci.edu>
<3A9BA50D.6BEA378B@IEEE.org> <97gpb4$enj$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3A9BEE88.52D6A837@IEEE.org> <97hj9r$51q$1@208.207.71.166>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.d8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 04:42:55 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16451
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> You are NOT required by circumstances to get a ham radio license, whatever the qualifications, even if there are no requirements to meet.

All amateur radio operators are required by circumstances to qualify for an amateur radio license. Are you saying hams don't have to satisfy any requirements? Hams have to satisfy the requirements, Dick. "Have to" is another way of saying "forced".

> > The federal government forces amateur radio operators to meet the requirements for an amateur radio operator's license.
>

> But you already said that no government requires you to get that
> license. So your ass-ertion is still wrong on its face.

One more time, Dick. Read it slowly this time. Amateur radio operators
are forced by the federal government to meet the requirements for
an amateur radio operator's license. That's what governments do.
Please list the names of any hams who were not forced by the FCC to
meet the requirements for entry into the amateur radio service. The
only people who are not required to meet those requirements are not
hams.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 2434494640025591227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 20:52:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 22:36:36 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A9C8054.2518ABCD@IEEE.org>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<9714ql11sr9@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A94A29B.6A9C8F35@ovis.net>
<973vak5sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95F547.BF1FEA3A@ovis.net>
<976ii3429gj@enews4.newsguy.com> <3A96F0A7.BD5ABAA@ovis.net>
<977a3b22pej@enews1.newsguy.com> <3A97BB9E.2DB69C56@ovis.net>
<978g2002rih@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A9836CF.25B74C27@ovis.net>
<97chsd05j2@enews2.newsguy.com> <3A99FD33.3212191B@ovis.net>
<97f6eq01nt7@enews2.newsguy.com> <97fhg5$pcm$0@208.207.71.129>
<3A9BA9A9.9698B2E9@IEEE.org> <97hj10$51q$0@208.207.71.166>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.d8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 04:46:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16452

Dick Carroll wrote:
> What we've really been waiting for is the technical output from all
> your hordes of techies. But Nothin' has been forthcoming whatever.

They're just following the example that you set for them.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5018535860908405407
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb82d25bffe23b22,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 20:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: First official RRAP CW net on 7038.7 kHz
Date: 28 Feb 2001 04:51:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <97i04r$oa0$0@208.207.71.166>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16453

Hey, that was fun! Been a long time since I've participated in a CW "roundtable". We gotta do that again some time.

At 1010PM EST Feb 27 W3RV called the first session of the RRAP CW Net.

Checking in were;
W3RV Brian- NCS
W0EX Dick
AC6XG Jim
K0HB Hans
N2EY Jim
W6RCA Cecil

All signals were good in spite of considerable QRM and some QRN from early spring thunderstorms in the South. Cecil W6RCA reported thunderstorms in his area (southeast Texas), with sparks in the hamshack! That had to be noisy, and risky too. Gutsy guy, our Cecil. None of that for me!

Jim AC6XG initially was weak (549 here) and W6RCA wasn't able to copy through the storm static, but the skip distance on 40 meters changed and his signals improved (to 569 here) and all stations made direct contact with each other.

The same band change caused N2EY and W3RV (both in E.Pa.) to shift signal levels. Initially W3RV had been considerably stronger than N2EY but changing band conditions nearly reversed their signal levels as received in Missouri. That's a phenomena that occurrs on 40 meters when two close stations work a distant station and propagation shifts occur.

Anyone who thinks that "CW is dead" should have heard the clamor between 7035 and 7040, with a PACTOR signal occupying 7038-7040 for a time. I wondered for awhile, before the announced time, whether or not we would be able to find a free slot in the activity. Fortunately the PACTOR station left the air and we took that frequency.

Hey Jones, why didn't you check in??? <g>

From -352835532496878725
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 20:56:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!feed.textport.net!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 20:46:58 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <97hvog$f7i$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A9C1FC9.ED1DD4FC@uci.edu> <20010227225706.00597.00000268@nso-fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-30.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16454

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010227225706.00597.00000268@nso-fy.aol.com...

> Tell us that only already-licensed US radio amateurs are
> allowed to judge, rule, and otherwise establish all criteria
> on and about amateur radio.

We're certainly in a decent position to be able to comment on it from first hand experience.

ac6xg

```
From 4631123303326170966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:03:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!newshub2.home.com!
news.home.com!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-
for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 22:50:45 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A9C83A5.5CE3114E@IEEE.org>
References: <97gauk153u@enews3.newsguy.com> <20010227203425.01718.00000255@nso-
fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.7d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 05:00:32 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16455

N2EY wrote:
> And then..........
> he stuffed the turkey!

That's enough to turn one into a vegetarian.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -2273462012368441893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:08:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A9C884A.B8E842EA@arrl.org>
From: Hans K0HB <k0hb@arrl.org>
Reply-To: K0HB@ARRL.ORG
Organization: K0HB
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
```

References: <3A982E7D.97040592@arrl.org> <20010225003512.08010.00002117@nso-
mb.aol.com> <3A989E06.7FA29D9C@IEEE.org> <3a9961bb.14030268@news2.dvol.com>
<3A99D522.55D1BA56@IEEE.org> <3a9a9c0e.1942441@news2.dvol.com>
<3A9AD301.90405139@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 05:10:34 +0000
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.225.142.242
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 983336833 207.225.142.242 (Tue, 27 Feb 2001 23:07:13 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 23:07:13 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16456

Cecil wrote:
>
> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> > Addendum to previous post: I'll start beeping "cq rrapnet" around
> > 03:10Z somewhere between 7035 and 7040 and listen for check-ins.
>
> That'll be my first CW net.

Nice to put a "fist" to all the calls/names here on rrap. We ought to make
it a regular thing. K0CKB tried to check in at end, but Brian had to go
get a drink. She thinks he's stuck up.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

From -5386902291403636921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:08:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 22:53:01 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A9C842D.3454CD48@IEEE.org>
References: <3A99CF6A.E5210295@IEEE.org> <20010227203423.01718.00000253@nso-
fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.7d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 05:02:57 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16457

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3A99CF6A.E5210295@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> I'm not passing judgement on any of this. I'm just saying it's part of the
> >> FCC's agenda.
> >
> >They should use a similar agenda on the non-violent users of drugs.

> What does the FCC have to do with drug users?

They (the feds) should use a similar agenda on the non-violent users
of drugs.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 1565883861745881219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b95fe0c0c2f3444d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:18:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Thanks and Good Bye re. 11m, Tech Ticket, & The ARRL.
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 23:05:18 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A9C870E.1A94A46B@IEEE.org>
References: <B6BE041A.3781%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010226232127.23759.00000162@ng-mg1.news.cs.com> <97fi3f$qk3$0@208.207.71.129>
<3A9BB64D.C53F80B3@IEEE.org> <97gpss$r07$1@208.206.142.94>
<3A9BEC66.2E849765@IEEE.org> <97gs64$5sm$0@208.206.142.94>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.7d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 05:15:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16458

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Dick, you rag on CBers all the time. You rarely say "a few CBers". You
> > just say "CBers" implying that you are talking about all or most of them.
```

>
> They know who is who. So do you.

Poll some of the readers of r.r.a.p, Dick. I'll bet they agree that
you rag on CBers in general.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 6056386462313398617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb82d25bffe23b22
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: First official RRAP CW net on 7038.7 kHz
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 23:16:57 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3A9C89C9.714D3D18@IEEE.org>
References: <97i04r$oa0$0@208.207.71.166>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.96.7d
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 05:26:45 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16459
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Cecil W6RCA reported
> thunderstorms in his area (southeast Texas), with sparks in the
> hamshack! That had to be noisy, and risky too.

And I don't understand what the sparks are all about. I have a
set of knife switches mounted on a piece of plexiglas in my
hamshack window. Those switches arc like crazy during a
thunderstorm. During the roundtable, I could hear a flock
of Canadian Geese flying around in the storm in the dark.
The actual thunder was so loud it drowned out some of the
signals.

That was my first CW net. I use a brickwall filter and everyone
wasn't on the same frequency. I had to keep tuning everyone in
which changed my TX frequency. How is that problem handled on
real life nets?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -4053256884344522908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:39:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 05:34:25 GMT
References: <3A9C2FC7.288E2178@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010228003425.01469.00000293@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16460

In article <3A9C2FC7.288E2178@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> How about posting a log of your last 5 QSOs on 40 CW?
>
>Sorry, I don't keep a log.

Uh huh.

>On my scratch paper I have KB5WZI and KB1EVA
>but I can't remember if I QSOed them or not.

I doubt you worked them on 40 CW.
>
>> Besides, you probably wouldn't know it was me if you did hear me because of
>the
>> code speed used.
>
>I can copy call letters at 25wpm.

Maybe. Or maybe you're just pulling my leg.

>It's just ten character words that
>I have trouble with. By the time the seventh character rolls by, I've
>forgotten the first three characters. Something to do with my short
>term memory or lack thereof.

I understand how 300,000 ft tall space aliens could have that trouble ;-)
That's why all the abbreviations on CW.
>--

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 5721766321049937447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:39:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!ptdnetP!newsgate.ptd.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 110
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 05:34:24 GMT
References: <20010226135542.01731.00000224@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010228003424.01469.00000290@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16462
```

In article <20010226135542.01731.00000224@nso-fm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

```
>>>In article <20010225203343.06490.00000293@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>>(N2EY) writes:
>>>
>>>>Could be. But - did you ever see the requirements for getting a Soviet ham
>>>>license? Makes us look like a bunch of weenies.
>>>>
>>>>Things like building a receiver (from scratch) of at least a certain
>>>>complexity, and present it for examination by a panel who will pass
>>>judgement
>>>>on its design and construction. You may be asked almost any question the
>>>>panel
>>>>can think up about it.  After the receiver is approved, you have to use it
>>>to
>>>>receive a certain number of different stations and get confirmation (QSLs)
>>>of
>>>>same. Then you get to try for a transmitting license.
>>>
>>>Are you suggesting a major overhaul of the US amateur radio
>>>regulations in license requirements?!?
>>
>>I'm just pointing out that the old Soviet requirements were much tougher
>than
>>anything US hams have been required to do.
>
>Where are you going with that?
```

General information for rrap readers. Admiration of the skill, knowledge and determination of radio amateurs who persevere in order to get on the air, regardless of where they happen to live.

Nowhere do I propose making such requirements a part of any US radio licensing process.
>
>>>Why would you impose so many things on newcomers that
>>>you did not have to do?
>>
>>Did I write that I wanted this sort of thing for a US ham license? No.
>
>Then why post it?

See above. Did YOU know about those requirements before I posted them?
>
>>And how do you know what I had to do? As a matter of fact, I built my first
>>SW receiver and amateur transmitter. I also built many since then, including
>the
>>setup I use now.
>
>I am familiar with FCC radio operator test requirements. None
>have required "bulding any equipment as test proof" for any
>radio operator license in the last half century...

Never been required in this country at all. I find it admirable that hams build things, that's all.
>
>You are trying to equate a personal situation with something
>not required in the USA.

I am simply stating that I don't say others should do what I have not done. I think hams should build their own gear if possible. I have done so myself since before I got a license. You seem to be bent on minimizing the accomplishments of others.

>Bad equation.

Why? Is it "bad" to build radio equipment for one's own use?

> Bad, bad, sit in a corner...

HAH! That's a good one!
>
>>I DON'T ask others to do what I haven't done.
>
>OK. Keep that sentence in mind... :-)

Why?
>
>>>Are you trying to make US amateur
>>>radio more exclusive by excluding some newcomers?

>>
>>Nope. Why do you think that?
>
>Because you mentioned an old test in a foreign country,
>tried a faulty equation to justify mentioning it...

Nope. You simply don't like the idea that hams are not all "appliance
operators".
>
>>Is building one's own radio gear a bad thing?
>
>No.

Then why do you criticize it so much?

>Why don't you broach the VEC QPC on making such
>an amateur radio test condition?

Maybe I should. I doubt very much you could pass such a test. I know I could.
;-)

> Otherwise, why post a
>condition of past testing that isn't applicable today?

See above. General information.

N2EY

```
From -1009466911672272918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:39:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 54
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 05:34:25 GMT
References: <20010226135543.01731.00000225@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010228003425.01469.00000291@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16461
```

In article <20010226135543.01731.00000225@nso-fm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010226083321.01380.00000139@nso-fj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>
>>>I really don't care if the treasonous son of a bitch can copy code or not.
>>
>>>Do you?
>>
>>Nope. HE faces the death penalty, y'know.
>
>In peacetime?

Yes, in peacetime. See Phil Kane's excellent post on this very subject. Does
Phil know whereof he writes or not?

> See another treasonous son of a bitch still
>alive in a federal prison who, unfortunately, was a USN
>warrant officer when he committed treason.

You mean Walker, who sold sub secrets to the Russkies?
>
>>But on a serious note, consider this: A while back the guy in Massachusetts
>>who
>>shot up his workplace and killed seven innocent people was mistakenly
>>identified as a ham. Turned out there were two people with exactly the same
>>name and similar descriptions living in the same town.
>
>...Living at the exact same address?!?

Not the Massachusetts guy. But it could be a mistake, or a relative, or a
coincidence. Nobody thought there were two people with exactly the same name,
approximate age and general description in a small burg in Massachusetts, but
there were.

>Try reading the Affidavit
>and the FCC database for addresses.

Does the affidavit say the alleged spy and the ham in the database are one and
the same person?
>
>Denial is a strong emotion that defies logic and rational
>thought.

Then why do you do it so much, Len.

>Try not to practice it.
>
I don't. I was simply advising caution before jumping to conclusions.

N2EY

```
From 2971309571285240671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!grolier!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 05:34:23 GMT
References: <9rlj9to9u60cq6ricvjkcb13tfs5ss1smb@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010228003423.01469.00000288@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16465

In article <9rlj9to9u60cq6ricvjkcb13tfs5ss1smb@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>did you ever see the requirements for getting a Soviet ham license?
>
>That would be no problem for our spy if he had been able to defect. He
>could have just traded a few more double agents for a ham license. I'm
>sure the KGB would have issued him one...   :-)
>
One thing about double agents - they are never really trusted by the "other
side". If he had managed to escape to Russia, they would watch him very
carefully.

73 de Jim, N2EY




From 4885966551636932735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 05:34:23 GMT
References: <n1el9t0r8juhr2srif6tq340rhc1s5o1b5@4ax.com>
```

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010228003423.01469.00000289@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16464

In article <n1el9t0r8juhr2srif6tq340rhc1s5o1b5@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>Your [Cecil's] volume of posting in four hours
>> is an indication of high typing speed.
>
>What typing speed do I use when I post??

I don't know. Your posts are not nearly so numerous as Cecil's.

They also contain lots of evidence of being well-thought-out, which requires
time.
>
>Just testing your telepathy...   :-)

7035-7040....

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -6662352567722179024
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 05:34:25 GMT
References: <3A9C1FC9.ED1DD4FC@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010228003425.01469.00000292@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16463

In article <3A9C1FC9.ED1DD4FC@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Did anyone else notice that I agreed with Len, and then he came back
>contradicted himself in order to disagree with me?

Of course. SOP. Or should I say, sophistry.

See Python, Monty: "Argument Clinic"

To quote K0HB: "On the internet, nobody knows you're a chihuahua".

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 8129344133725961206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 05:34:26 GMT
References: <3A9C2DA9.65349F27@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010228003426.01469.00000294@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16466
```

In article <3A9C2DA9.65349F27@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Jim Kelley wrote:
>> Back 3 years ago, you sure made it sound like it was a bad thing.
>
>3 years ago, we didn't have a 5wpm only Morse code exam.

But we did have medical waivers for those who had trouble with speeds higher than 5 wpm.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -3632511456999158135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb82d25bffe23b22
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:42:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: First official RRAP CW net on 7038.7 kHz
Message-ID: <8o3p9tcittv47tt442cisvh2cmpbeoj4fn@4ax.com>
References: <97i04r$oa0$0@208.207.71.166>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 4
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 05:38:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.126
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983338697 209.86.209.126 (Tue, 27 Feb 2001 21:38:17 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 21:38:17 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16467

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> Hey Jones, why didn't you check in??? <g>

I forgot. Maybe next week...   :-)

From -5442160704877953838
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 21:58:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 23:42:57 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A9C8FE1.15450A91@IEEE.org>
References: <3A9C2DA9.65349F27@IEEE.org> <20010228003426.01469.00000294@nso-fk.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.d7
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 05:52:44 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16468

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3A9C2DA9.65349F27@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:

```
>
> >Jim Kelley wrote:
> >> Back 3 years ago, you sure made it sound like it was a bad thing.
> >
> >3 years ago, we didn't have a 5wpm only Morse code exam.
>
> But we did have medical waivers for those who had trouble with speeds higher
> than 5 wpm.

We didn't have them soon enough.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 8462359487275562816
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b95fe0c0c2f3444d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 22:06:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010224135634.21814.00002534@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<20010226223501.23759.00000151@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
Subject: Re: Thanks and Good Bye re. 11m, Tech Ticket, & The ARRL.
Lines: 56
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <1v0n6.26132$t26.848634@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 06:01:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 983340093 24.131.233.143 (Wed, 28 Feb 2001
01:01:33 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 01:01:33 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16469


"SSB411D" <ssb411d@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010226223501.23759.00000151@ng-mg1.news.cs.com...
> I do NOT, however, agree with trying to get the ARRL to lobby the FCC on
behalf
> of 11 meter interests, merely NOT to involve themselves in 11 meter rule
```

making
> proposals by and of legal 11 meter interest groups/individuals.

It should be pointed out that groups other than the ARRL, or Ham Radio related organizations, often get involved with Ham Radio issues. It happens all the time. Whether it has to do with the desire to get more spectrum for a commercial application, or public agencies like the LA police looking for spectrum for aerial TV surveillance, and so on. Likewise the ARRL gets involved with issues such as Part 15 and Part 95 devices, whose operation, or future potential operation, poses an interference issue for Hams, and protecting our spectrum from intruders.

As far as the ARRL getting involved in the 11m band issues, it is nothing more than business as usual to watch out for the interest of Ham Radio. Let me refresh your memory a little bit, it was due to the use of linear amplifiers, illegally on the 11m band, that prompted the FCC to enact the rules which crippled or eliminated the commercial sale of any amplifier that will function over the range of 12m to 10m. Granted that most of those amplifiers never operated on the Ham bands by a CBer bootlegging, it never the less impacted the Ham Radio community. And this is an activity that occurs on the 11m band, almost exclusively for all practical purposes. The argument is valid because it DID affect the Ham Radio community, while to what degree is not really the point. Nor is it the point about how easy, or difficult, it is to modify the amplifier to restore the ability to function on those bands either.

That in a nut shell is why I think the ARRL has a legitimate interest in what goes on in the 11m band, even if the immediate view appears not to affect Ham Radio. It's like the nose of the camel in the tent. If you don't watch out, and act quick, you end up with the rest of the camel inside. Then it's too late.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 5815735210369987951

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb82d25bffe23b22
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 22:42:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: First official RRAP CW net on 7038.7 kHz
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 22:34:18 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <97i61p$k1i$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <97i04r$oa0$0@208.207.71.166> <3A9C89C9.714D3D18@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-82.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16470

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3A9C89C9.714D3D18@IEEE.org...

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Cecil W6RCA reported thunderstorms in his area (southeast Texas), with sparks in the hamshack! That had to be noisy, and risky too.

> And I don't understand what the sparks are all about. I have a set of knife switches mounted on a piece of plexiglas in my hamshack window. Those switches arc like crazy during a thunderstorm. During the roundtable, I could hear a flock of Canadian Geese flying around in the storm in the dark. The actual thunder was so loud it drowned out some of the signals.

I switched in my amp so Cecil could hear me. I heard everybody Q5. Had some QSB on the East coast stations. Hans was loudest. Lots of signals on the band. Somebody at 20 over came up a few hundred Hz above us and I've just got the stock filter in there right now. I ran the PBT all the way to the peg and got rid of him. I think I need Pa. so I'll QSL. I enjoyed hearing all the familiar calls checking in. Pretty neat. I'm the least experienced op in the group - and it probably showed a bit. Sorry if I was too long winded, guys. Anybody hear any gravel on my CW note?

> That was my first CW net. I use a brickwall filter and everyone wasn't on the same frequency. I had to keep tuning everyone in which changed my TX frequency. How is that problem handled on real life nets?

RIT? ;-) I turned off my DSP so I wouldn't miss anybody, but I think we were probably all within 150-200 Hz or so of each other. The difference in offset from one rig to another can run that much.

Great job running the net, Brian. Thanks very much. I hope we give it another shot in the future. Forty worked great for us.

73 to all

AC6XG

```
From -3310574720875296703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 23:05:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 22:55:43 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <97i79u$kv5$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A982E7D.97040592@arrl.org> <20010225003512.08010.00002117@nso-
mb.aol.com> <3A989E06.7FA29D9C@IEEE.org> <3a9961bb.14030268@news2.dvol.com>
<3A99D522.55D1BA56@IEEE.org> <3a9a9c0e.1942441@news2.dvol.com>
<3A9AD301.90405139@IEEE.org> <3A9C884A.B8E842EA@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-82.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16471
```

Hans K0HB <k0hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:3A9C884A.B8E842EA@arrl.org...
> Cecil wrote:
> >
> > "Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> > > Addendum to previous post: I'll start beeping "cq rrapnet" around
> > > 03:10Z somewhere between 7035 and 7040 and listen for check-ins.
> >
> > That'll be my first CW net.

> Nice to put a "fist" to all the calls/names here on rrap. We ought to
make
> it a regular thing. K0CKB tried to check in at end, but Brian had to go
> get a drink. She thinks he's stuck up.

Was that you tuning up on top of us at the beginning of the net, Hans? ;-)
I could hardly hear Brian with all that racket. I could tell Jim had his
bug set for about 30 WPM at first. Thanks for not using any of that swing
we talked about, Hans. Cecil's got a pretty steady fist with that straight
key, don't you think? I appreciate being invited to be a part of the
notorious group. Haven't been on CW much since Field Day. Thanks for the
excuse to get on the air again.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 9137136367660064726
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-27 23:18:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <na9p9tgo2krr6dr9565jtski64l2rtovs3@4ax.com>
References: <9rlj9to9u60cq6ricvjkcb13tfs5ss1smb@4ax.com>
<20010228003423.01469.00000288@nso-fk.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 07:13:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.126
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983344387 209.86.209.126 (Tue, 27 Feb
2001 23:13:07 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Feb 2001 23:13:07 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16472

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com> writes:
>>That would be no problem for our spy if he had been able to defect. He
>>could have just traded a few more double agents for a ham license. I'm
>>sure the KGB would have issued him one...   :-)
>>
>One thing about double agents - they are never really trusted by the "other
>side". If he had managed to escape to Russia, they would watch him very
>carefully.

Well they wouldn't have to worry about trusting him with a ham license. He certainly wouldn't use outdated CW to send secrets. He was much more sophisticated than that...   :-)

From Tucows PDA News:
Quoted: Hanssen allegedly tried to sell the Russians on using handheld Palm VII organizers. According to the FBI affidavit, Hanssen wrote his handlers, "we do need a better form of secure communication -- faster." "The VII version comes with wireless internet capability built in," Hanssen allegedly wrote. "It can allow the rapid transmission of encrypted messages, which if used on an infrequent basis, could be quite effective in preventing confusions if the existence [sic] of the accounts could be appropriately hidden as well as the existance [sic] of the devices themselves. Such a device might even serve for rapid transmittal of substantial material in digital form."

From -3889305384295076503
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 03:06:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 11:03:49 GMT
References: <B6C13EAA.3B35%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CDL
Message-ID: <20010228060349.18626.00001144@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16473

In article <B6C13EAA.3B35%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

(The grim tale of Dwight's trip in his mom's motorhome clipped)

> By the way, since her last trip, she now has a cellular phone. My mother,
>a motorhome, and a cellular phone, is not a pretty picture. God help the
>people who try to pass her during the next trip.

Dwight:

I would not have endured that kind of dangerous driving for one mile longer than was absolutely necessary. I would have insisted that she let me do the driving -- or I would have removed myself from that motorhome at the nearest safe place. The fact that you obviously did not shows callous disregard for the safety of your fellow human beings. Your mother's feelings be damned -- she's going to feel a lot worse if she ever injures or kills someone with her horrific driving.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4700504665681971672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 03:07:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 11:03:51 GMT
References: <97gb8b$66t$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010228060351.18626.00001147@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16475

In article <97gb8b$66t$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>, "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com> writes:

>
>The fact that the US government is involved at all means that every aspect
>of the process is 'forced'. That's what governments do - force everyone
>and everything into prescribed behaviors. It's political science 001, folks.
>
>Get real.
>
>
>Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
>Glendale, Arizona

Damnit, Jim -- I'm an amateur, not a professional! This is a voluntary hobby, and just because there are government regulations that must be obeyed in order to practice this hobby, doesn't mean I'm being forced to obey them. I do so voluntarily, gladly, willingly. I totally agree with what little regulation still exists over this hobby -- I wish there were more. Government does have a legitimate role, particularly

when managing finite, shared resources such as the radio spectrum.
For the government to de-regulate this hobby and give away full
privileges to as many people as want them with dumbed-down
licensing requirements doesn't make a bit of sense to me! So, with
all due respect, Jim -- "get real" yourself!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4330511563771777565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 03:07:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 11:03:50 GMT
References: <3A9BAF66.8F65B4DF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Forced code exams? was Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010228060350.18626.00001145@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16474

In article <3A9BAF66.8F65B4DF@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry, you have previously admitted that you were forced by
>circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. You have previously
>admitted that if it were not for those required circumstances
>that you would not have learned Morse code. That was the reason
>you gave for wanting to continue with the Morse code requirement.
>If you had not been required by circumstances to learn Morse code,
>you would not have learned it. My argument was (is) that hams
>will learn Morse code without it being required by the FCC.
>Remember?

Cecil:

The term "forced" implies an external influence. How can one be
"forced" to do something which he MUST volunteer to do of his own
accord? Nobody gives a rats ass whether or not I obtain or upgrade
a ham ticket. Therefore, nobody is "forcing" me to meet the
requirements. I do that strictly on my own volition, free of external
influence. That the requirement exists and must be achieved in order
to obtain the goal is a mere fact; it is not "force."

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 6935712672727160695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 03:07:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 11:03:50 GMT
References: <3A9BAC08.51724D1C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010228060350.18626.00001146@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16476
```

In article <3A9BAC08.51724D1C@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>You have admitted that you were "required by circumstances" to pass
>a Morse code exam. "Required by circumstances" is the dictionary
>definition of "forced". You have admitted that your Morse code skill
>was "produced with effort" which is another definition of "forced".
>You have admitted that you didn't like Morse code until you had
>forced yourself to learn it. It doesn't matter if you did that
>voluntarily or involuntarily. The result is the same either way.

Cecil:

If any force was applied to me to learn the Morse code, I applied it to myself. I did, admittedly, disagree with the requirement at one time. However, at that time I was looking at the situation through the eyes of a selfish and immature individual. Once I grew out of that particular mental state, and began to accept responsibility for my own personal growth, I realized that the Morse learning/testing requirement was valid, essential, and eminently practical. Not surprisingly, it was at that time that I realized that nobody was "forcing" me to take the Morse code tests. They became a rite of passage which granted me entree into a worthwhile activity, and as such, I was more than eager not only to meet these requirements, but to excel at them. Go figure.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8950019378461461366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 04:08:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 12:04:14 GMT
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010228070414.18626.00001153@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16477

In article <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Earth to Dick: Forcing their citizens to obey regulations is one
>of the things that governments do for a living.

Earth to Cecil:

I am "forced" (required be the presence of negative consequences) by the government to pay my taxes. If I don't pay them, I can receive stiff fines and even a jail sentence. That's quite a gun to my head -- and to keep it from firing, I keep sending a totally unfair portion of my income to the government every April 15th.

I am not "forced" (required by any damn thing whatsoever) to take a Morse code test for an amateur radio license. If I choose not to do so, there is no negative consequence, apart from simply not being granted permission to do something that I could already live without doing. Trust me, if I "choose" not to pay my taxes, the consequences are not nearly as benign. I can't live very well without my freedom, or if I lose my financial independance due to being "required by circumstances" to pay heavy fines to the IRS.

For there to be "force," there has to be some sort of gun to your head. Not being granted a ham radio license isn't even a straw with a spit-ball in it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 1299400290507498772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 04:09:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news-hog.berkeley.edu�-c!ucbebkeley!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 12:04:14 GMT
References: <3A9C7D93.CA4DF553@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010228070414.18626.00001154@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16478
```

In article <3A9C7D93.CA4DF553@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>N2EY wrote:
>> Ah - so you think requiring code tests for an amateur licenses is not a bad
>> thing.
>
>I'm satisfied with the present requirements.

Jim:

Whining no-coders won't be satisfied until the code testing requirements are eliminated.

The present code testing requirements are dumbed-down to insignificance, and now, Cecil is satisfied with them. I'm sure that when they are totally eliminated, he will be even more satisified with the "code requirements."

Cecil is just another whining no-coder.

Cecil: Type your way out of that one, logic boy!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -3420421982612107895
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 04:10:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 12:04:13 GMT
References: <3A9A651B.35867119@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010228070413.18626.00001152@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16479

In article <3A9A651B.35867119@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>> There are enough holes in it to fly a 747 through.
>
>Let's reduce the scope to keep it simple. Exactly where are the holes
>in the following?
>----------------
>Premise:
>All HF hams are required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam.
>
>From Webster's: "forced - required by circumstances".
>
>Conclusion:
>All HF hams are forced to pass a Morse code exam.
>----------------
>Please show me the holes in the above (and only the above) without muddying
>the waters by resorting to diversionary tactics. I'm not going to respond
>to any of your diversions. I will respond to any holes you find in the
>above premise/conclusion.

Cecil:

Tell you what -- if you want to call it "force," then knock yourself right
flat out. You're just not going to convince any of the rest of us that we
were "forced" to take Morse code tests.

>> I gave you a fairly lengthy list of items, all of which you described as
>> "required by circumstance". These were the kinds of things which a
>> normal person would never say are forced on him like picking up a
>> newspaper. But to you they all were. It is apparent that you do not
>> believe in free will or choices open to man.
>
>The reason you are unable to change your mind in the face of overwhelming

>evidence is that you are predestined to have a closed mind that believes in
>free will.

"A closed mind that believes in free will?" If it takes a "closed mind"
to believe in free will, than I hope my mind is permanently welded
shut!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5812196718918713067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 05:38:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 13:34:22 GMT
References: <3A9C8FE1.15450A91@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010228083422.01707.00000288@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16480

In article <3A9C8FE1.15450A91@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3A9C2DA9.65349F27@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Jim Kelley wrote:
>> >> Back 3 years ago, you sure made it sound like it was a bad thing.
>> >
>> >3 years ago, we didn't have a 5wpm only Morse code exam.
>>
>> But we did have medical waivers for those who had trouble with speeds
>higher
>> than 5 wpm.
>
>We didn't have them soon enough.

1990 wasn't soon enough? Why not?

Besides, in 3 years or so we probably won't have ANY Morse code exams.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -3997324693154216194
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 05:38:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 13:34:23 GMT
References: <3A972794.D4D87C1A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Message-ID: <20010228083423.01707.00000289@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16481
```

In article <3A972794.D4D87C1A@IEEE.org>, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> Do you have a problem with geography? Texas is east of California, unless
>> you're looking for a "long path" route.
>
>Nope, just have a problem being associated with Eastern liberals.

What about Texas liberals? They're the worst, by far. Remember that it was a Texas liberal (LBJ) who got us mired in Vietnam, started the whole US welfare state setup with his "Great Society" programs, and funded the whole mess with deficits. And all the while the real problems of society (like overdependence on foreign energy, and an aging industrial infrastructure) were ignored, setting the stage for the staglfation of the 1970s and the huge national debt that we are only now beginning to pay off.

LBJ was so awful he makes Richard Nixon look good.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -7688138172026005225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 05:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
```

```
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Feb 2001 13:34:22 GMT
References: <3A9C7D93.CA4DF553@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010228083422.01707.00000287@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16482
```

In article <3A9C7D93.CA4DF553@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Ah - so you think requiring code tests for an amateur licenses is not a bad
>> thing.
>
>I'm satisfied with the present requirements.

What will you say when they are reduced still further?

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 6796198231792350366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 06:46:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 08:30:56 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A9D0BA0.104FC476@IEEE.org>
References: <3A9C8FE1.15450A91@IEEE.org> <20010228083422.01707.00000288@nso-
fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 14:41:39 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16483
```

N2EY wrote:
> >We didn't have them (waivers) soon enough.
>
> 1990 wasn't soon enough? Why not?

Because a competent ham who got his technician license in the 50s,
who has an engineering degree, who had a commercial radio license,
who for years was chief engineer at an AM broadcast station, and
who tried for hundreds of hours to get his code speed up to 13wpm,
was not allowed reasonable privileges on HF ham bands until the 90s.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -2835718844417293733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 06:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!teaser.fr!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 08:37:50 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3A9D0D3E.CF97C29@IEEE.org>
References: <3A9C7D93.CA4DF553@IEEE.org> <20010228070414.18626.00001154@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 14:47:43 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16484

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >I'm satisfied with the present requirements.
>
> Whining no-coders won't be satisfied until the code testing
> requirements are eliminated.
>
> Cecil is just another whining no-coder.

Here's Larry logic in action:
Cecil is satisfied with the present requirements.
Whining no-coders are not satisfied with the present requirements.

Therefore: Cecil is a whining no coder.

Why am I not surprised at your continued use of invalid logic?

> Cecil: Type your way out of that one, logic boy!

I just did, Larry, where were you when we had the r.r.a.p
CW net last night? Does joining a CW net in the middle of
a severe lightning storm sound like a no-coder to you?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 1697809513129428869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 07:04:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 08:49:21 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3A9D0FF1.86E95D2B@IEEE.org>
References: <3A9C7D93.CA4DF553@IEEE.org> <20010228083422.01707.00000287@nso-
fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.99
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 14:59:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16485

N2EY wrote:
>
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >I'm satisfied with the present requirements.
>
> What will you say when they are reduced still further?

Depends on how and how much they are reduced. For instance,
I don't see much utility in requiring the examinee to know,
off the top of his head, the answer to the following:

"What should be the approximate capacitance of the resonating
capacitor in a gamma matching circuit on a 1/2WL dipole antenna
for the 20-meter wavelength band?"

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7706380679201175168
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 07:18:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 09:06:43 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3A9D1403.F0F91828@IEEE.org>
References: <3A9BAC08.51724D1C@IEEE.org> <20010228060350.18626.00001146@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.99
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 15:14:12 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16486

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> If any force was applied to me to learn the Morse code, I applied
> it to myself. I did, admittedly, disagree with the requirement at one
> time.

Then you are admitting, as you have previously admitted, that you were required by circumstances (at the FCC), to learn Morse code. "Required by circumstances" is the dictionary definition of forced.

> ... it was at that time that I realized that nobody was
> "forcing" me to take the Morse code tests.

It was at that time that you figured out how to rationalize the requirements. Governments create and enforce requirements. That's what governments do.

Here's a little logic exercise for you. Take a piece of paper and draw a circle. Label that circle, "the set of all US HF hams". Now how many individuals in that set were not required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam? "Required by circumstances" is the dictionary definition of "forced". Larry, ALL US HF hams, including you, were required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. Only people outside the circle are not required by circumstances

```
to pass a Morse code exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -3017393476168325367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 07:52:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 09:37:50 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3A9D1B4E.981DE67D@IEEE.org>
References: <97gb8b$66t$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <20010228060351.18626.00001147@nso-
md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 15:47:47 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16487

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> This is a voluntary
> hobby, and just because there are government regulations that must
> be obeyed in order to practice this hobby, doesn't mean I'm being
> forced to obey them.

It is irrelevant how you feel about the regulations. The truth is
that you, as a licensed amateur radio operator are forced to
obey the regulations. If you don't believe that, please try
contacting the foreign hams in the US CW/digital portion of
40m. See how long it is until you are forced to obey the
regulations.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 5241000089147648875
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 08:01:23 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 09:45:26 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <20010228070414.18626.00001153@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 15:55:32 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16488

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I am not "forced" (required by any damn thing whatsoever) to take a
> Morse code test for an amateur radio license.

Taxes are what you pay for the privilege of living in this country. Morse code tests are the "taxes" you pay for the privilege of being a US HF amateur radio operator. All US HF amateur radio operators have been required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. You are a US HF amateur radio operator. Therefore, you were required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. How you feel about being forced is irrelevant. Is this a case of relax and enjoy it and then it's not rape?

> If I choose not to do so,
> there is no negative consequence, apart from simply not being granted
> permission to do something that I could already live without doing.

There is no way a US HF amateur radio operator can choose not to take a Morse code exam. Obviously, there is no way you can change your mind and not have taken a Morse code exam.

> For there to be "force," there has to be some sort of gun to your head.

That's a false statement according to Webster's dictionary. Even your cheap dictionary says: "forced - produced with effort". You have already admitted that your Morse code skill was "produced with effort". Therefore, your Morse code skill was "forced".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -6145800285604483723
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 08:08:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!proxad.net!codeine.org!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 09:50:30 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3A9D1E46.37164C98@IEEE.org>
References: <3A9A651B.35867119@IEEE.org> <20010228070413.18626.00001152@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 16:00:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16489

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Tell you what -- if you want to call it "force," then knock yourself right
> flat out. You're just not going to convince any of the rest of us that we
> were "forced" to take Morse code tests.

So you seem to be admitting that you cannot refute my logical argument.
It doesn't matter what you feel, Larry. Your feelings are irrelevant.
What is relevant is the dictionary definition of "forced". You met that
definition of "forced" when you took your Morse code exam. I'm sorry
that facts upset you, Larry, but you getting upset and denying reality
is irrelevant.

> "A closed mind that believes in free will?"

A closed mind that believes in free will is predestined to believe
in free will and has no choice in the matter. Only an open mind that
questions the existence of predestination VS free will is actually
open.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -8577591791468102806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb82d25bffe23b22
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 08:12:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!

falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: First official RRAP CW net on 7038.7 kHz
Message-ID: <vl8q9t0aq63h2ge9gf3s7ddh2ccrn0pmmp@4ax.com>
References: <97i04r$oa0$0@208.207.71.166>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 16:08:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.200.206
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983376519 209.86.200.206 (Wed, 28 Feb 2001 08:08:39 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 08:08:39 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16490

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> At 1010PM EST Feb 27 W3RV called the first session of the RRAP CW Net.
>Checking in were;
>W3RV Brian- NCS
>W0EX Dick
>AC6XG Jim
>K0HB Hans
>N2EY Jim
>W6RCA Cecil

Although I didn't listen to the net, I'll wager that none of you folks
called each other a name, said a cross word, or ran each other down
during this QSO/Net. Why was that? You folks often do one or more of
those things to each other here on rrap. Is this the gentleman's
effect of the CW mode at work??

> Hey, that was fun! Been a long time since I've participated in a CW
>"roundtable". We gotta do that again some time.

Yep. Hams were actually treating each other like hams...

From 4183139171141036677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 08:16:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!192.148.253.68!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 10:00:22 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3A9D2096.AC3DE33D@IEEE.org>
References: <3A982E7D.97040592@arrl.org> <20010225003512.08010.00002117@nso-mb.aol.com> <3A989E06.7FA29D9C@IEEE.org> <3a9961bb.14030268@news2.dvol.com> <3A99D522.55D1BA56@IEEE.org> <3a9a9c0e.1942441@news2.dvol.com> <3A9AD301.90405139@IEEE.org> <3A9C884A.B8E842EA@arrl.org> <97i79u$kv5$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 16:10:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16491

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Cecil's got a pretty steady fist with that straight key, don't you think?

Actually, last night I was wondering if it's time to give up on physically being able to send CW without a keyboard. My familial tremors are getting worse. Sometimes I press down and nothing happens and sometimes I press down and two things happen.

Strangely enough, last night I realized that I had not paid enough attention to call signs on this newsgroup to recognize them on the air. When Brian said to give AC6XG a call, I thought, "Who's that?" :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 3772998586378134099
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e425e2d8da6098d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-28 08:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 28.085 New channel 19 ?
Date: Wed, 28 Feb 2001 10:04:54 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3A9D21A6.F8888DFB@IEEE.org>
References: <3A972794.D4D87C1A@IEEE.org> <20010228083423.01707.00000289@nso-fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.e6

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Feb 2001 16:12:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16492

N2EY wrote:
> LBJ was so awful he makes Richard Nixon look good.

I agree, Jim. I wish LBJ had not been a Texan. There's
a historical pocket of corruption down around Duval and
Jim Wells Counties. When LBJ went to Congress, dead
people had voted for him and lots votes were cast for
him in alphabetical order. LBJ and Clinton were out of
somewhat the same mold. Anything goes as long as they
don't get caught.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6439159814770025591
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 08:02:28 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A7B9A.DA693214@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 09:51:54 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Forced code exams? was Re: Pride
References: <3A989C52.4EDE20D9@IEEE.org> <20010225212757.05499.00000658@nso-ch.aol.com> <3A99DF01.23ACDD3@IEEE.org> <97dtkc$c41$1@208.206.142.112>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.182
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983203488 64.240.47.182 (26 Feb 2001 10:04:48 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17445

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Hams are *required by circumstances* to pass a ham exam.
> > HF hams are *required by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam.
> >
> > From Webster's: "forced - required by circumstances"
> >
> > Conclusion: Hams are forced to pass a ham exam.
> > HF hams are forced to pass a Morse code exam.
>
> Just another in your long string of inaccurate conclusions.

Your feelings are irrelevant, Dick. Please present a valid
rebuttal argument to the above conclusions.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8762436607920056909
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 08:04:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A7BED.EB8DA336@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 09:53:18 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
References: <3A982E7D.97040592@arrl.org> <20010225003512.08010.00002117@nso-
mb.aol.com> <3A995082.9F527080@bctv.com> <97c7hn$rd0$0@208.207.71.174>
<3A99D659.F56E43B5@IEEE.org> <97dth1$c41$0@208.206.142.112>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.182
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983203572
64.240.47.182 (26 Feb 2001 10:06:12 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17446

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Didn't you say that Morse code skill is supposed to transform individuals
> > into fine, upstanding citizens? :-)
>
> No, I didn't and of course you know it, you just can't pass an
> opportunity to twist words to suit your intentions.

I just can't pass an opportunity to pull your leg.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -3103166210050904192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 08:10:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 16:09:01 GMT
References: <20010225214900.05358.00000435@nso-mh.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226110901.19072.00000033@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17447

>Subject: Re: Pride
```

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 02/25/01 9:49 PM Eastern Standard Time

>Do you know "what is best for the [US] ARS?"

I am sure you will tell us.

>Hint: The FCC regulates US amateur radio. It has done that since
>1934. The FCC doesn't require Commissioners or FCC Staff to
>hold amateur radio licenses in order to regulate US amateur
>radio.

Which is NOT germane to ANY discussion in ANY thread vis-a-vis Amateur regulations. It is your ONLY "defense" against your putrid spewings.

>Why not listen to ham bands above 10 m? Tell us how
>much morse code you hear there.

Depends on where you listen...just like HF. There's a lot more than you'd think all the way up to 23cm. Of course, not being an active Amatuer Radio Operator yourself, nor being involved in any Amatuer programs, you wouldn't know this.

>Do you want all amateurs to become commercial operators?

Why not? You do.

>Seems a pretty clear thing to me, old-timer. It's not an
>"environmental" or "resource" thing at all...just another
>manifestation of "that's the way you had to test and therefore
>every newcomer has to test the same way."

Gratuitous name calling, Lennie? Besides THAT, there was nothing factual here.

>Rationalize all you want. Keep on insuring that US amateur
>radio turns into the "US Amateur Radiotelegraphy Service"
>to keep the 1930s alive and well in a living radio museum
>of the air. Keep that "morse resource" alive long after its
>usefulness to the nation

Morse's usefulless will outlive you, Lennie.

From 7137411341364119389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 08:24:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail

```
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 16:22:48 GMT
References: <97bjf6$93e$0@208.207.71.167>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010226112248.18626.00000906@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17448

In article <97bjf6$93e$0@208.207.71.167>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>
> Someone on Fox news made the statement the other day (didn't quote a
>source) that his idol since age 15 was the the Briton who spied for
>Russia for decades, was never caught and would up going there (Philbin?)
>and this character had wanted to emulate him.
> Definitly a loose screw.

Dick:

Philby, actually.  Philbin is the guy from "Who Wants To Be A
Millionaire."

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -6201802485896106379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 08:24:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 16:22:48 GMT
References: <20010225203342.06490.00000291@nso-fz.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226112248.18626.00000907@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17449

In article <20010225203342.06490.00000291@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:
```

>
>I think my PA driver's license allows me to operate vehicles up to 10,000
>pouinds GVWR. Beyond that, I need a CDL.(Weight is not the only criteria, of
>course, but it is one of them). What happens at 10,000 pounds that suddenly
>makes me unsafe to drive? Why am I safe for 9,500 but unsafe for 10,500?
>

Jim:

If you have an ordinary (Class D) driver's license, you are authorized to operate vehicles up to 26,000 lbs. GVW and carrying up to 15 passengers (yourself, the driver, included in the count). To carry the 16th passenger, you would require at least a Class C CDL with passenger endorsement; to carry the 26,001 st. pound, a Class B CDL would be required. Of course, PA requirements may vary; but I think CDL's are supposed to be uniform in requirements throughout the 50 states.

73 de Larry, K3LT
CDL-B with Passenger and Air Brake Endorsements

```
From 1342108939815325302
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 08:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 16:33:45 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3a9a84fa.2134181@news.mindspring.com>
References: <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com> <20010226083323.01380.00000143@nso-fj.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.70.3c
X-Server-Date: 26 Feb 2001 16:34:16 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17450
```

On 26 Feb 2001 13:33:23 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
>Bryant) writes:
>
>>In article <20010225083324.01707.00000190@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com

```
>>says...
>>>One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
>>>license and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is this:
>>>They want the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham licenses.
Y
>>
>>Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
>
>Their actions speak louder than any words could.

In what way do you make that assessment?

Just what actions by the FCC support your conclusion?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 557260716671525195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 08:40:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 16:36:35 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3a9a858d.2281450@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <20010226083323.01380.00000144@nso-
fj.aol.com> <3A9A745F.58250F3F@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.70.3c
X-Server-Date: 26 Feb 2001 16:37:08 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17451

On Mon, 26 Feb 2001 09:21:03 -0600, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>N2EY wrote:
>> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Well, I proved I could score 100% on the Extra exam in March. :-)
>> >--
>> Maybe. The smiley tells me you're "not serious" about that....
>
>Oh, it's the truth, Dave, but you're right, I wasn't very serious
>about it.
```

And why should anyone take it seriously anyway?
There is NO score attached to anyone's license. You pass
with a perfect score and you pass with just a 75% score
and no one ever has the details as part of the license
then issued.

The rubber meets the rode in what and how each person
does with the license granted.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -7784921580421837223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 08:50:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 26 Feb 2001 16:49:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <97e1f4$kms$0@208.206.142.112>
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.112
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17452

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Weren't the you the guy who told us that you
> > would not ever take the Extra exam?
>
> Yes, but I was wrong.

Isn't this guy something? The only time he's ever wrong is when it helps make a point to help him prove he's right!

```
From 7468744119037527776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 08:56:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A880B.1B9ECF06@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 10:44:59 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <97e1f4$kms$0@208.206.142.112>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.133
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983206674
64.240.47.133 (26 Feb 2001 10:57:54 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.bc.net!
earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17453

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Isn't this guy something? The only time he's ever wrong is when it helps
> make a point to help him prove he's right!

Dick, unlike you, I am not omniscient. Being a mere mortal,
I sometimes make mistakes.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From 4430865029995003037
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 09:42:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010225203342.06490.00000291@nso-fz.aol.com>
<20010226112248.18626.00000907@nso-md.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CDL
Lines: 40
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <5xwm6.137$K66.70665@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:40:33 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.151
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 983209153 205.138.126.151 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 12:39:13
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 12:39:13 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17454


"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010226112248.18626.00000907@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <20010225203342.06490.00000291@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
(N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >
> >I think my PA driver's license allows me to operate vehicles up to
10,000
> >pouinds GVWR. Beyond that, I need a CDL.(Weight is not the only
criteria, of
> >course, but it is one of them). What happens at 10,000 pounds that
suddenly
> >makes me unsafe to drive? Why am I safe for 9,500 but unsafe for
10,500?
> >
>
> Jim:
>
> If you have an ordinary (Class D) driver's license, you are authorized
> to operate vehicles up to 26,000 lbs. GVW and carrying up to 15
> passengers (yourself, the driver, included in the count).  To carry the
> 16th passenger, you would require at least a Class C CDL with
```

> passenger endorsement; to carry the 26,001 st. pound, a Class B
> CDL would be required. Of course, PA requirements may vary; but
> I think CDL's are supposed to be uniform in requirements throughout
> the 50 states.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> CDL-B with Passenger and Air Brake Endorsements

Dead on Nebraska's

You haven't lived until you follow Methusala's nephew down the road as he bops along in a moose Winnebago on his Class D. I would mention coke-bottle glasses but all that means is that he is one who got his cataracts fixed.

From -8281456034941021500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 09:51:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 09:43:44 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <97e4gv$8o0$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com> <3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net> <973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu> <3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com> <3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-39.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17455

Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com...
> On Fri, 23 Feb 2001 13:51:29 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>

> wrote:
> >The point is that what someone is going to do after their license is
> >granted is irrelevant. The requirements are based upon the privileges
> >which are granted by the license, not the desires of the applicant.
>
> That is NOT the way it is. If that were the case, then
> Techs would be forbidden to use code because they haven't
> passed a code test...yet we all know that Techs are all allowed to
> use code even thogh they never were tested for it.

That's the way it is for those of us who have passed all of the tests, Mr. Sohl.
The FCC doesn't expect folks who don't know the code to use the code. Do you?

Who was it that recorded the song Mr. Soul. Was it the Byrds? ;-)

73 de ac6xg

From 5099459441793953321
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 09:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 09:47:12 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <97e4ng$8tm$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3a95e777.5470938@news.mindspring.com> <3A96B73C.44B72D80@uci.edu>
<3a97d0f6.44066766@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-39.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17456

Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3a97d0f6.44066766@news.mindspring.com...
> On Fri, 23 Feb 2001 11:17:16 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
> >So I find myself lead by this inescapable logic to the conclusion that
> >since carbuerator repair isn't required for a drivers license, Morse
> >code shouldn't be required for any other license. :-)
>
> Let's be very clear...the issue is the separate morse code
> test as a pass/fail element. I have said many times that code
> related questions code be integrated into the testing on the
> same basis that other subjects are now.

So have I. But your arguments never make any such distinction.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -4015867748850568446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:08:07 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 18:05:49 GMT
Message-ID: <3a9a95ea.370441@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3A982E7D.97040592@arrl.org> <20010225003512.08010.00002117@nso-mb.aol.com> <3A989E06.7FA29D9C@IEEE.org> <3a9961bb.14030268@news2.dvol.com> <3A99D522.55D1BA56@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.78
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.78
X-Trace: 26 Feb 2001 12:04:04 -0600, 64.24.17.78
Lines: 38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17457

On Sun, 25 Feb 2001 22:01:38 -0600, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

```
>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>> Time to cut the crap CecilPoo, 02:30Z this coming Wednesday, you pick
>> the 40m cw freq +/- 2 and be just be there with your engine warmed up.
>
>I anchor the bass section in the First Baptist Church choir and I won't
>be back from choir practice by 02:30Z. I'll probably be back by 03:00Z.
>Jim's frequencies are as good as any, 7035-7040
>
To keep it on the safe side we'll make it 03:15Z on 7035-7040
Wednesday calling until 03:30Z.
>
>> I don't care how fast you send but you better be able to copy 13 wpm
>> and I get to define "13 wpm", whining and dictionary definitions are
>> disallowed.
>
>Please don't send me any QRP. My hearing is so bad I need pretty strong
>audio with a low S/N ratio.
>
No games. I'm setting up in a temporary QTH at the moment with a
weenie TS-50 ssb mobile rig but it kicks out 100w and the antenna is
decent. I worked eastern Siberia on 7.012 last nite, Texas should be a
pizza cake. Caveat on my end is S8 electrical noise which comes for
hours and stays for hours before it peters out. I can usually copy
thru it, though. Despite the barn-door ssb flter and the #@!**^! AGC.


>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
. . . seeya on the airwaves . . .
>
w3rv





From 3963262029492258885
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:10:08 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A9991.A5E7CB96@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:59:45 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
```

```
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com>
<97e4gv$8o0$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.192
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983211160
64.240.47.192 (26 Feb 2001 12:12:40 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17458
```

Jim Kelley wrote:

> The FCC doesn't expect folks who don't know the code to use the code.

Neither do they prohibit it since nocode techs are allowed to use
the only CW-only frequencies in ham radio.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7690426775341871235
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,47e1709e7483fd74,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,47e1709e7483fd74,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,47e1709e7483fd74,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,47e1709e7483fd74,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47e1709e7483fd74,start
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:13:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!news-peer-west.sprintlink.net!news.sprintlink.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.sttls1.wa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
From: "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <DD494B5A89DB5237.F9DFD5BC2CBA1351.F3DF1034131A1E45@lp.airnews.net> <97e5g3$oi1i6$1@ID-31.news.dfncis.de>
Subject: Re: Visit today - www.SPAM.com -
Lines: 35
Organization: We don't nned no stink'in organization
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <i1xm6.477037$U46.14251745@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 18:13:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.176.5.208
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 983211214 24.176.5.208 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 10:13:34 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 10:13:34 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18248 rec.radio.amateur.dx:1970 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10065 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13243 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17459

Peter,

Are you free to type? Are your kidnappers out of the room? How often do they have a gun to your head forcing you to read and reply to Charles Johnston's messages? It must be awful. It makes me cherish my freedom even more knowing I can killfile or just plain ignore a poster. I feel sorry for you comrade. I have watched you for a long time forced to read and comment on so many of Charles Johnston's messages. It must be hell for you. Be strong!

Glenn

USA

"Peter Lemken" <plemken@gmx.de> wrote in message news:97e5g3$oi1i6$1@ID-31.news.dfncis.de...
> Charles Johnston wrote:
> >
>
> Don't you ever get tired of it? Usent is an information medium, not a TV

> station.
>
> Put the URL into a correctly separated .sig and be done with it.
>
> Peter Lemken
> Berlin
>
> --
> DeeeeeeeX'ing in the new Millenium. Scream for an hour and go
> check the online logs to see if you gotta scream for an hour again
> tomorrow nite.   [KH2D in rec.radio.amateur.dx]

```
From -1613991394731202532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:14:08 PST
Message-ID: <3A9A9A72.F2CA178@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 12:03:30 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
References: <3A982E7D.97040592@arrl.org> <20010225003512.08010.00002117@nso-
mb.aol.com> <3A989E06.7FA29D9C@IEEE.org> <3a9961bb.14030268@news2.dvol.com>
<3A99D522.55D1BA56@IEEE.org> <3a9a95ea.370441@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.192
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983211385
64.240.47.192 (26 Feb 2001 12:16:25 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17460
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> To keep it on the safe side we'll make it 03:15Z on 7035-7040
> Wednesday calling until 03:30Z.

Just to be safe, is that 10:15pm - 10:30pm EST on Tuesday?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -9098976574390747988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:28:45 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 18:24:38 GMT
Message-ID: <3a9a9c0e.1942441@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3A982E7D.97040592@arrl.org> <20010225003512.08010.00002117@nso-mb.aol.com> <3A989E06.7FA29D9C@IEEE.org> <3a9961bb.14030268@news2.dvol.com> <3A99D522.55D1BA56@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.34
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.34
X-Trace: 26 Feb 2001 12:22:53 -0600, 64.24.16.34
Lines: 13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17461

On Sun, 25 Feb 2001 22:01:38 -0600, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> . . I'll probably be back by 03:00Z.
>Jim's frequencies are as good as any, 7035-7040
>
Addendum to previous post: I'll start beeping "cq rrapnet" around
03:10Z somewhere between 7035 and 7040 and listen for check-ins.
>
w3rv NCS

```
From -3404853681691826741
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:52:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9df2z0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010225203342.06490.00000291@nso-fz.aol.com>
<20010226112248.18626.00000907@nso-md.aol.com>
<5xwm6.137$K66.70665@nntp1.onemain.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CDL
Lines: 18
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 18:51:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.37
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983213518 206.58.44.37 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 18:51:58
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 18:51:58 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17462

On Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:40:33 -0600, Richard McCollum wrote:

>You haven't lived until you follow Methusala's nephew down the road as he
>bops along in a moose Winnebago on his Class D.  I would mention
>coke-bottle glasses but all that means is that he is one who got his
>cataracts fixed.

  You're thinking,of glaucoma.  When I got my cataract "fixed" I
  got 20/25 vision with no glasses.....

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP

  Hams who live in glass houses
  shouldn't throw carriers.....




From -8981100991788897349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:54:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9dfux1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <97cglh21ee5@enews3.newsguy.com> <20010226083323.01380.00000143@nso-fj.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 41
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 18:51:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.37
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983213519 206.58.44.37 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 18:51:59 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 18:51:59 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17463

On 26 Feb 2001 13:33:23 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>> One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements
>> for a ham license, and simplified the license structure, over
>> the past 25 years is this: They want the "freebanders" and the
>> non-commercial cbers to get ham licenses.

>>Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
>
>Their actions speak louder than any words could.

Very true, but I do remember several Notices over the years that dealt with the desire of the FCC to persuade violators whose only crime was lack of license to "straighten up and fly right" and get licensed.

The analogy was always made to someone who drives a car without a license. We always lived in fear of some judge remarking to a "pirate" whom we would catch and finally drag into court: "OK, you operated a radio transmitter without a required license. I sentence you to obey the law and get a license...."

Fortunately it never happened - the judges were more "with the program" than most of the big-city Federal prosecutors of that era.

We did have one case where a "big bad CBer" that we caught saw the light and studied and took the test for his ham license. His application came up for hearing before issuance, and he claimed (rightfully) that since the time he was caught he didn't commit any more violations. He got a one-year probationary period on his license, and he turned out to be one of the most responsible hams in the community.

The door can swing two ways.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -7544369892170933563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:58:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 18:55:44 GMT
References: <3A9A7469.DAB2C1FA@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226135544.01731.00000227@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17464
```

In article <3A9A7469.DAB2C1FA@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>Cecil

>No one is forced to learn morse code.

...they are if they post in this newsgroup... :-)

```
From 4355800632025060048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:58:03 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 18:55:47 GMT
References: <3A99FD33.3212191B@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226135547.01731.00000229@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17467

In article <3A99FD33.3212191B@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>I've already accepted that and I've been living with it. I'm still
>looking for the explosion in growth. According to some, many of the
>newcomers will be professionals who will dazzle us with technological
>advances. I'm waiting for that too.

The "explosion in growth" already happened, but some are deaf to plosive conditions. US amateur radio licensees are now a third of all US amateur licensees, exclusive of the Tech-Plus "transfers." To have the total licensees grow by 50 percent in only 11 years sounds like growth to anyone reasonable.

Who insisted that there "must" be an explosion in growth? Seems like that was the PCTAs who were looking for any excuse to denigrate anyone not taking a code test. Such denigration was common in this newsgroup about the FCC 98-143 NPRM. It is still continuing long after the FCC 99-412 Report and Order.

It must be that no-code-test Technicians aren't "real hams" and therefore they "cannot be counted," hence there is "no" explosion in growth.

As to "professionals advancing the state of the art," there are plenty of examples in QST, QEX, even a few in 73 and CQ magazines. G3PLX's innovative PSK31 (lots of good thinking on devising that) is a shining example, but of course Peter is a Brit and probably doesn't count? It isn't about morse code so it shouldn't count?

Of course you will discount this entire message since it was written by someone not licensed in amateur radio.
:-)

From 6306181107361488228
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:58:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 179
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 18:55:46 GMT
References: <20010226083320.01380.00000138@nso-fj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226135546.01731.00000228@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17469

In article <20010226083320.01380.00000138@nso-fj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>In article <20010225214900.05358.00000435@nso-mh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>n article <20010225203342.06490.00000291@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>
>>>In article <3a97cea2.43470735@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>>>(Bill Sohl) writes:

<snip>

>>Do you know "what is best for the [US] ARS?"   :-)
>
>Of course.

It is difficult to converse with a god...

>>Hint: The FCC regulates US amateur radio.
>
>We KNOW, Len. Is the FCC infallible in its regulatory decisions?

To nearly every PCTA prior to 99-412 the FCC was absolutely infallible in having the three-speed morse tier tied in with the "incentive licensing plan."   :-)

>Doesn't mean they are infallible.

If the FCC requires a code exam for a license they are

"infallible" according to the PCTA premise. Is that
premise "infallible?"   :-)

>>The FCC doesn't require Commissioners or FCC Staff to
>>hold amateur radio licenses in order to regulate US amateur
>>radio.
>
>So? What's your point?

Somehow I just knew you were going to come up with "you
know what is best for [US] ham radio."   :-)

You are licensed as a US radio amateur, at the "highest"
class...ergo, "you know what is best" and no one else
with a "lower" class (and certainly not anyone not having
a US amateur radio license) can "know what is best." :-)

>>There are many in here who rationalize about all newcomers
>>having to do just like they did.
>
>Who? Not me. My point was that it's not one of MY reasons.

My apologies...but be careful what you write in the future,
such may come along to haunt you later.

>Show me where I've posted "I did it, so you must do it too" as a reason for
>keeping code tests. The only place I've seen it is in the posts of nocodetest
>folks who claim to know the motivations of others.

The "only" place?!? Look at all the "real ham" mentions...
"real hams" know/tested-for morse code. For an
extreme, see the idiotic postings that go only
"Know code = know ham   No code = no ham."

Do you need that sign illuminated to see it?

>>>Sure it does. Listen to the HF ham bands and see how much Morse you hear.
>>
>>Why not listen to ham bands above 10 m? Tell us how
>>much morse code you hear there.
>
>Morse is used far more on the HF and MF ham bands than on VHF and UHF.

Oh? Why is that?   :-)

>In the context of driver's licenses, the word does not mean "for money". To
>legally drive a motor vehicle over 10,000 pounds GVWR in PA requires a CDL,
>even if I drive it as an "amateur". But I can be paid to drive my car without

>one.

How about leaving US civil radio regulation to the FCC and
driving licensing up to the individual states? I still can't see
any similarity between the two.

>>Do you want all amateurs to become commercial operators?
>
>I want them to have the basic skills and knowledge of the amateur radio
>service.

Then the "fallible" FCC gave that power to the VECs as part of
99-412. The VEC Question Pool Committee can decide "the
basic skills and knowledge of amateur radio" through selection
of the appropriate questions. The VECs are composed of
licensed US radio amateurs. If you want to comment on that,
please address the VEC QPC, not me.

>>Seems to me that was the PCTA position before the 98-143
>>NPRM.
>
>Not me. I suggested many chnages to the FCC. You didn't even bother to file
>comments, Len - just "reply comments".

Ah, so 14 pages of text single-spaced (wrong format) was "not
bothering?" Insignificant?

There's over 2600 documents in the NPRM 98-143 public file.
Perhaps you could go through all of them and note which ones
are "significant" or "insignificant."

>>By keeping the morse code test for HF privileges, it insures
>>that SOME newcomers will know morse (your favorite mode).
>
>So? Is that a bad thing?

Yes, to newcomers. The FCC has gone on public record in
1990 and again in 1999 that it does not consider a morse
code test to be relevant to its task of determining who should
or should not get an amateur radio license. It must continue
to do so for external factors, namely ITU-R S25.5.

>>Seems a pretty clear thing to me, old-timer.
>
>Nope. You're projecting your biases onto others.

You can project your biases freely but others cannot?   :-)

>I recall that way back on January 19, 2000, you told us you were going for an
>Extra "right out of the box". Hasn't happened yet, though. Guess those new
>writtens are too tough, huh?

Heh, I don't recall that. What I recall saying is that I *MIGHT* do that. I don't recall any promise no guarantee to do that.

Since 13 months ago, I've seen no lessening of the "superior" attitude of many already-licensed individuals who depreciate, denigrate, and insult those not having amateur radio licenses. Why should any professional bother with testing for a hobby where the existing hobbyists have such a "superior" attitude?

I don't consider the US amateur radio written test elements "too tough." I have them on file, have done practice tests. The point is WHY BOTHER to seek self-glory in title, rank, status, something that far too many display to others?

Amateur radio is a hobby, not a profession, not a (non-regulatory term) service to anyone but the licensee. Amateur radio activities radiate RF, hence such activities need regulation. As part of that regulation, the regulatory agency issues licenses. The viewpoint of many who turn down amateur radio is that too many within the hobby make far more of their license than the activities...they make themselves far more than they really are...and they seem to spend an inordinate amount of time defending their position due to delusions of self-grandeur.

I have no quarrel with the "writtens" for any class of license. I've faced far, far worse "exams" in my career and "passed" all of them...including an FCC-given First Class Radiotelephone license test that so many denigrate and dismiss as "nothing" or "irrelevant."

Why should anyone enter a hobby where they are abused verbally by self-professed "experts" in amateur radio?

From 8318553283983989460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:58:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Date: 26 Feb 2001 18:55:44 GMT
References: <3A9A71EB.1AD6AFBE@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010226135544.01731.00000226@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17465

In article <3A9A71EB.1AD6AFBE@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>> Please don't continue to muddy the waters with your plethora of
>> diversionary tactics.
>
>It is diversionary.  My statement was:  No one is forced to learn morse
>code.  Please try to stay on track.

You could try the "rodeo cowboy" ploy again -

>You'd probably have a tough time becoming a voting member of an
>organization of rodeo cowboys too unless you became a rodeo cowboy.
>Of course you could lobby the Federal government for changes in
>regulations dealing with rodeo cowboys.

...and Heil says others should "keep on track"...yee-haw!     :-)


From -2196796526843842077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:58:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 18:55:43 GMT
References: <20010226083321.01380.00000139@nso-fj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010226135543.01731.00000225@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17466

In article <20010226083321.01380.00000139@nso-fj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <3A99C55E.41CFF9C4@arrl.org>, Hans K0HB <k0hb@arrl.org> writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
```

>>> And the claim is that this K9 guy "knows" the code, not that
>>> he knew it at some time in the past.
>>
>>Whatever!
>>
>>It was a lighthearted comment, not a "claim".
>
>So were my comments, Hans.

...strange sense of "lightheartedness"...

>>I guess some people just like to pick fly shit out of the pepper pot.
>
>Not me.

...see below...

>>I really don't care if the treasonous son of a bitch can copy code or not.
>
>>Do you?
>
>Nope. HE faces the death penalty, y'know.

In peacetime? See another treasonous son of a bitch still
alive in a federal prison who, unfortunately, was a USN
warrant officer when he committed treason.

>But on a serious note, consider this: A while back the guy in Massachusetts
>who
>shot up his workplace and killed seven innocent people was mistakenly
>identified as a ham. Turned out there were two people with exactly the same
>name and similar descriptions living in the same town.

...Living at the exact same address?!? Try reading the Affidavit
and the FCC database for addresses.

Denial is a strong emotion that defies logic and rational
thought. Try not to practice it.

From -1195205849556096355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 10:58:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 73
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Feb 2001 18:55:42 GMT
References: <20010226083321.01380.00000140@nso-fj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010226135542.01731.00000224@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17468

In article <20010226083321.01380.00000140@nso-fj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20010225214901.05358.00000436@nso-mh.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20010225203343.06490.00000293@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY) writes:
>>
>>>Could be. But - did you ever see the requirements for getting a Soviet ham
>>>license? Makes us look like a bunch of weenies.
>>>
>>>Things like building a receiver (from scratch) of at least a certain
>>>complexity, and present it for examination by a panel who will pass
>>judgement
>>>on its design and construction. You may be asked almost any question the
>>>panel
>>>can think up about it. After the receiver is approved, you have to use it
>>to
>>>receive a certain number of different stations and get confirmation (QSLs)
>>of
>>>same. Then you get to try for a transmitting license.
>>
>>Are you suggesting a major overhaul of the US amateur radio
>>regulations in license requirements?!?
>
>I'm just pointing out that the old Soviet requirements were much tougher than
>anything US hams have been required to do.

Where are you going with that?

>>Why would you impose so many things on newcomers that
>>you did not have to do?
>
>Did I write that I wanted this sort of thing for a US ham license? No.

Then why post it?

>And how do you know what I had to do? As a matter of fact, I built my first
>SW receiver and amateur transmitter. I also built many since then, including
the
>setup I use now.

I am familiar with FCC radio operator test requirements. None

have required "bulding any equipment as test proof" for any
radio operator license in the last half century...

You are trying to equate a personal situation with something
not required in the USA. Bad equation. Bad, bad, sit in a
corner...

>I DON'T ask others to do what I haven't done.

OK. Keep that sentence in mind... :-)

>>Are you trying to make US amateur
>>radio more exclusive by excluding some newcomers?
>
>Nope. Why do you think that?

Because you mentioned an old test in a foreign country,
tried a faulty equation to justify mentioning it...

>Is building one's own radio gear a bad thing?

No. Why don't you broach the VEC QPC on making such
an amateur radio test condition? Otherwise, why post a
condition of past testing that isn't applicable today?
Especially so in a case of treason against the USA?

```
From -6512896154237847865
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 11:12:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newspeer1.nac.net!news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!
news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!easynews!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9dgu73.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com>
<97e4gv$8o0$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9A9991.A5E7CB96@IEEE.org>
```

```
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Pride
Lines: 21
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 19:11:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.37
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983214664 206.58.44.37 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 19:11:04
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 19:11:04 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17470

On Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:59:45 -0600, Cecil wrote:

>Jim Kelley wrote:
>> The FCC doesn't expect folks who don't know the code to use the code.
>
>Neither do they prohibit it since nocode techs are allowed to use
>the only CW-only frequencies in ham radio.

  In all other services where manual Morse is permitted,
  operators using that mode must hold commercial Radiotelegraph
  Operators' licenses (20 wpm text, 16 wpm code groups minimum).

  Ham radio IS different.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP







From -6508613543955240905
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 11:22:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:19:29 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3A9AAC41.EE880322@uci.edu>
```

References: <3A989C52.4EDE20D9@IEEE.org> <20010225212757.05499.00000658@nso-ch.aol.com> <3A99DF01.23ACDD3@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17471

Cecil wrote:
> Hams are *required by circumstances* to pass a ham exam.
> HF hams are *required by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam.

So what?

ac6xg

From -7732709068978779069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 11:28:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9dhj84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010226083321.01380.00000139@nso-fj.aol.com>
<20010226135543.01731.00000225@nso-fm.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Lines: 28
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 19:27:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.37
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983215669 206.58.44.37 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 19:27:49 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 19:27:49 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17472

On 26 Feb 2001 18:55:43 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>>>I really don't care if the treasonous son of a bitch can copy code or not.
>>
>>>Do you?

```
>>
>>Nope. HE faces the death penalty, y'know.
>
>In peacetime?

  The law was amended that if the info that is passed results in
  the death of someone who is exposed as a double agent, he is
  liable for the death penalty.  Apparently two such doubles were
  executed by Russia, so that liabiulity does exist in this case.

>See another treasonous son of a bitch still
>alive in a federal prison who, unfortunately, was a USN
>warrant officer when he committed treason.

  His knowledge of Morse will serve him well for the time while
  he sits in K-Block at Marion where Mister Walker is also
  confined.....they can knock-beep at each other.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From 6154443382231763766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 11:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:26:54 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3A9AADFE.7B355D6D@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu> <9774dr$p3e$0@208.206.142.90>
<3A97235B.9B29097E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17474

Cecil wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Far as I can tell that's where Cecil's argument breaks down. When one
> > forces himself to do something is he really being forced? Not at all. He
> > has a choice to not force himself, but he declines.That's self
> > inducement instead of force.
>
> But that's not my argument, Dick, that is Jim's statement. Of course,
> since you cannot refute my argument, you choose to divert attention
> away from my argument to Jim's statement.

As much as I'd like to take credit for it, Cecil, you were the one who first introduced me to the idea. And I agree. I had to force myself to learn code instead of watch TV or talk on the radio. Do you now disagree with the first definition you used?

de ac6xg

From -4596155932790110982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 11:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:22:29 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3A9AACF5.E44C5336@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net> <bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net> <3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159> <3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com> <3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <9728cg$9n7$0@208.206.142.93> <3A94B929.7A85DBC9@IEEE.org> <974ih8$jhf$0@208.206.142.104> <3A95DDE5.184449E4@IEEE.org> <3A95F877.7ABB0E8E@ovis.net> <3A95FD92.205AEF27@IEEE.org> <3A9665EF.59858B0A@ovis.net> <3A966EFA.4FEC7E3F@IEEE.org> <3A96EC57.1BC55C01@ovis.net> <3A96F008.9F0BA154@uci.edu> <3A97204D.F5E7D10E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17473

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > I strongly disagree. I further state that the if implies
> > > options.
> >
> > Could the word 'when' be used instead of 'if'?
>
> Any number of word combinations logically equate to the 'if'
> function of an if/then statement. The 'if' function is often
> implied in the syntax. The following statement:
>
> An HF ham is forced (required by circumstances) to pass a
> Morse code exam.
>
> has the 'if' function implied. This is what Dave is missing or
> ignoring. An HF ham has HF privileges. How did he get them? He
> passed a Morse code exam. He cannot have HF privileges without
> passing a Morse code exam. Therefore, if a ham has HF privileges
> he was forced (required by circumstances) to pass a Morse code
> exam. There is no other way the FCC will legally allow a ham
> on HF. The Morse code requirement is required by the circumstances
> which exist at the FCC. That's the definition of "forced".

So the word 'when' could be used instead of the word 'if'?

ac6xg

From -6537699406386705077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 11:40:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A9AB03D.70A26368@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3A991338.D46A2A9@IEEE.org> <20010225163331.03666.00000261@nso-
ma.aol.com> <97c860$12u$0@208.207.71.174> <3A99CFD5.D179737A@IEEE.org>
<97clnd$qkd$0@208.207.71.174>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 13:36:29 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17475

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Cecil wrote:
> >
>
> > >  Add: 5) They can always "double dip",revertint to type to return to
> > > their old "haunts" -incognito- anytune they want. 'Bipolar' has a who
> > > new meaning.
> >
> > Being an outlaw half the time VS being an outlaw all the time
> > sounds to me like a first step in the right direction.
>
>  Cecil, your logic never ceases to amaze.
>
>  Not to make it sound equivalent, but bank robbers don't rob banks all
> the time, either, they try to look and act as normal as possible - to
> keep from drawing attention, of course.

You know, you're right. They're just trying to be efficient by robbing the
bank. I saves them from having to steal a burger from Macdonald's, and then
having to steal a Pepto-Bismol from the drug store.

john




From 5289173070712020168
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 11:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:34:08 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3A9AAFB0.44EE134F@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
```

fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A96B0E6.B3576312@uci.edu>
<3A96B152.947FE8C9@IEEE.org> <3A96BAC6.5E753316@uci.edu>
<3A97182E.2DEDF2EF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17476

Cecil wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > One is forced to meet ALL of the requirements, because only one type of
> > license is offered.

> Which brings us back to the question. Why is Morse code skill required of
> people who don't use it while manual transmission skill is not required of
> people who don't use it?

I think the question is irrelevant, because whether a person even wants
to get on the radio is irrelevant at test time. No one is asking what
somebody intends to do with their license. The only question being
asked is whether they meet the qualifications for licensure.

You will now state your views about the qualification requirements.

73, ac6xg

From 1435588481267656086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 11:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:40:52 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3A9AB144.358ECEA4@uci.edu>

```
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <3a97d053.43903599@news.mindspring.com>
<97e4gv$8o0$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3A9A9991.A5E7CB96@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9dgu73.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17477



Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:59:45 -0600, Cecil wrote:
>
> >Jim Kelley wrote:
> >> The FCC doesn't expect folks who don't know the code to use the code.
> >
> >Neither do they prohibit it since nocode techs are allowed to use
> >the only CW-only frequencies in ham radio.
>
>   In all other services where manual Morse is permitted,
>   operators using that mode must hold commercial Radiotelegraph
>   Operators' licenses (20 wpm text, 16 wpm code groups minimum).
>
>   Ham radio IS different.

It's different in that we are licensed generally according to frequency
restrictions, rather than by mode restrictions. The license requirements
are based however on, among other things, the modes we can use.

73, Jim aC6XG


From -7404355421472384403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 11:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:37:02 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3A9AB05E.842EBC3@uci.edu>
References: <3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <20010223214519.01278.00000546@nso-fa.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17478

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >The point is that what someone is going to do after their license is
> >granted is irrelevant. The requirements are based upon the privileges
> >which are granted by the license, not the desires of the applicant.
>
> Is that the point? All along I thought the requirements were
> established by the licensing authority.

The two points are coincident, Len.

> As far as US amateur radio is concerned, an amateur license
> is granted by the FCC, not the desires of the already-licensed.

Right. Neither the desires of the applicant, nor any third party (such
as yourself) are relevant. :-)

Jim

From -5104675467710221753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 11:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3A9AB2AD.9469DFA2@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9a8md1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010225083325.01707.00000191@nso-fp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 27
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 13:46:53 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17479

N2EY wrote:

> In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g9a8md1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
> <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:
>
> >On 24 Feb 2001 01:32:52 GMT, N2EY wrote:
> >
> >>>I've never
> >>>heard the term 'first harmonic' before, althought the meaning is
> >>>unambiguous.
> >>>
> >>You never heard it because it's not used.
> >
> >  My son is my first harmonic, my daughter is my second
> >  harmonic, and then the harmonica store was closed.....  <ggg>
> >
> >
> HAR!! That's a good one!
>
> But in purely technical terms, shouldn't we refer to them as "heterodynes"
> rather than "harmonics" because of the process that produces them?

Those from extracurricular activity could be called spurs.

john




From -4472954333158975026
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 11:52:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:46:25 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
```

```
Message-ID: <3A9AB291.C51988F0@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <976h61129gj@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu> <9779kq02pej@enews1.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17480

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <3A96DB61.8E3E4274@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
> >
>
> >> I would have no problem with that, but the pro-code group might think
> there's
> >> still a reason to know it if you want to use HF phone. I've yet to see any
> goo
> >d
> >> reason.
> >
> >The point is that what someone is going to do after their license is
> >granted is irrelevant.  The requirements are based upon the privileges
> >which are granted by the license, not the desires of the applicant.
> >
> >73, ac6xg
>
> IOW, regardless of the relevancy of the requirement, as long as they show
> that they can beep, they can do whatever they want afterwords.

Well, not exactly.  We have to show quite a number of other things as
well (as I'm sure you're aware, but have conveniently forgotten.)

ac6xg


From 1255876116190656891
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 11:54:06 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
```

```
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 19:46:57 GMT
Message-ID: <3a9ab135.7357741@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3A982E7D.97040592@arrl.org> <20010225003512.08010.00002117@nso-
mb.aol.com> <3A989E06.7FA29D9C@IEEE.org> <3a9961bb.14030268@news2.dvol.com>
<3A99D522.55D1BA56@IEEE.org> <3a9a95ea.370441@news2.dvol.com>
<3A9A9A72.F2CA178@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.60
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.60
X-Trace: 26 Feb 2001 13:45:12 -0600, 64.24.18.60
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17481

On Mon, 26 Feb 2001 12:03:30 -0600, Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>
>Just to be safe, is that 10:15pm - 10:30pm EST on Tuesday?
>
Correct. Unless you're close to or in El Paso it would be 9:30pm CST
by your watch.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv


From -6872018917332714365
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 12:02:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 11:51:16 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A9AB3B4.B210E95@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
```

<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu> <9774dr$p3e$0@208.206.142.90>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17482

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Far as I can tell that's where Cecil's argument breaks down. When one
> forces himself to do something is he really being forced?

I think so. One of his definitions said somthing about "requires
effort". It required effort to learn code. I have to force the chair
away from my desk if I want to get up, etc, etc,.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 9195415927839382852
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 12:14:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <n1el9t0r8juhr2srif6tq340rhc1s5o1b5@4ax.com>
References: <3A99D344.D2FCA281@IEEE.org> <20010226083318.01380.00000137@nso-fj.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 20:12:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.195
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983218377 209.86.206.195 (Mon, 26 Feb 2001 12:12:57 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 12:12:57 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17483

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>Your [Cecil's] volume of posting in four hours
> is an indication of high typing speed.

What typing speed do I use when I post??

Just testing your telepathy...   :-)

```
From 6215228823368060166
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 12:22:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 12:13:51 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3A9AB8FF.7323CE24@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
<3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net>
<3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org> <3A96EF23.9B7E5688@ovis.net>
<3A972620.A3BA4906@IEEE.org> <3A97BA3E.33207E07@ovis.net>
<3A97D703.69FEBC05@IEEE.org> <3A983D52.4DE6B32C@ovis.net>
<3A984895.12A12741@IEEE.org> <3A988A40.BCCC67D1@ovis.net>
<3A989AA4.25862393@IEEE.org> <3A9948A8.892759A0@ovis.net>
<3A99CC19.8485834F@IEEE.org> <3A99FE29.9B5BEACD@ovis.net>
<3A9A6FC5.D5E598FE@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17484
```

Cecil wrote:

> HF hams who didn't already know Morse code were forced by circumstances
> to learn Morse code. Please switch to the "Forced code exams?" thread.

Even now as we speak, HF hams are being forced to study the element 4
question pool. ;-)

ac6xg

```
From -6635601999457898003
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 12:32:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 12:30:26 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3A9ABCE2.19AD3063@uci.edu>
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<3A94B851.B1E7FC97@IEEE.org> <3A950B7A.48864340@ovis.net>
<3A951ACC.13DB3275@IEEE.org> <3A95EFC3.48A647F3@ovis.net>
<3A95F55E.2600EA2C@IEEE.org> <3A96606F.3C03F821@ovis.net>
<3A966355.68E00E3E@IEEE.org> <3A96E4F8.414681F6@ovis.net>
<3A9714FF.EEA3A581@IEEE.org> <3A97AA07.20D9C741@ovis.net>
<3A97BBA3.6AF6AD7F@IEEE.org> <3A982E20.145F2625@ovis.net>
<3A9834EE.6C303B5D@IEEE.org> <3A986DA1.C9B1F039@ovis.net>
<3A987ADF.C58FE8E4@IEEE.org> <3A98944D.C8DF6048@arrl.org>
<3A989872.4DFE27CF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17485

Cecil wrote:
> I'm naturally talking about U.S. HF hams, Hans. Where are the U.S. HF
> hams who used their free will to decide not to take a Morse code exam?
> The fact that there is not a single one should raise a red flag that
> taking a Morse code exam is NOT a voluntary act for HF hams.

Wouldn't this mean that taking written FCC exams is also NOT a voluntary
act, and therefore there's no difference between the Morse test and the
written test in this regard?

73, Jim AC6XG

From 3439364504491638941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 12:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <4kfl9tssmmmb00k0592ppo3djka2h2k9vt@4ax.com>
References: <20010226083320.01380.00000138@nso-fj.aol.com>
<20010226135546.01731.00000228@nso-fm.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 20:38:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.106
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983219920 209.86.204.106 (Mon, 26 Feb
2001 12:38:40 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 12:38:40 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17486

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>Why should anyone enter a hobby where they are
>abused verbally by self-professed "experts" in amateur
>radio?

Don't let them bullies kick sand in your face Len,
 take a Charles Atlas course and you can lick em...    :-)



From -7944788347459785423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,14a5251fb4729f61
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,eb70dfee69269a5d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 13:26:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-master
From: "JT" <nobody@nospam.edu>
Newsgroups:
```

alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.misc
,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Open Source Trusted E-QSL System proposal
Date: 26 Feb 2001 21:24:54 GMT
Organization: Concentric Internet Services
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <97ehj6$nnk@dispatch.concentric.net>
References: <904F5E29CdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.177.123.199
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9839
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2220 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13248
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17487 rec.radio.shortwave:28512

"cotter pins" <thisiscream@aol.com> wrote in message
news:tZcl6.117$oX3.6293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Now let me get this straight Darryl....
>
> We now need a system of "E-QSL's" because (really) hams are
> too stinking *cheap* to spend 34 cents postage to put their QSL
> in a envelope..??
>

No, it's because the foreign QSL cards now cost so much. In order to get
a QSL card from Germany, I've got to put 80 cents worth of postage on
the envelope and 2 dollars inside. $2.80 for one QSL card. Yeah, I know
a radio costs a thousand bucks, blah blah blah. Still, 2-3 dollars for
each QSL card that I want from a DX station gets expensive. And these
days, 90% of these guys have email!

JT

From 1226329078036099551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 13:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 13:29:34 -0800

Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3A9ACABE.2EF2D270@uci.edu>
References: <20010226083320.01380.00000138@nso-fj.aol.com>
<20010226135546.01731.00000228@nso-fm.aol.com>
<4kfl9tssmmmb00k0592ppo3djka2h2k9vt@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17488

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
> >Why should anyone enter a hobby where they are
> >abused verbally by self-professed "experts" in amateur
> >radio?
>
> Don't let them bullies kick sand in your face Len,
> take a Charles Atlas course and you can lick em...   :-)

A Dale Carnegie course wouldn't hurt either. ;-)

ac6xg

From 1009356511280106946
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 13:58:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A9ACEB3.76DD5A46@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 15:46:27 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <970pae$hml$0@208.207.71.159>
<3A941056.184210C9@IEEE.org> <9716r3$9rp$1@news.netmar.com>
<3A944FF3.493858D7@IEEE.org> <3A94A41D.A32E6E38@ovis.net>
<973vpr6sqq@enews3.newsguy.com> <3A95AF63.8F41EE4E@uci.edu>
<3A95DB50.402CA417@IEEE.org> <3A96B0E6.B3576312@uci.edu>
<3A96B152.947FE8C9@IEEE.org> <3A96BAC6.5E753316@uci.edu>

```
<3A97182E.2DEDF2EF@IEEE.org> <3A9AAFB0.44EE134F@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983224762
64.240.47.127 (26 Feb 2001 15:59:22 -0600)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17489
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
> You will now state your views about the qualification requirements.

You're wrong. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 883752923660561995
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 14:01:50 PST
Message-ID: <3A9ACFC2.A4F86034@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 15:50:58 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A989C52.4EDE20D9@IEEE.org> <20010225212757.05499.00000658@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3A99DF01.23ACDD3@IEEE.org> <3A9AAC41.EE880322@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
```

```
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983225032
64.240.47.127 (26 Feb 2001 16:03:52 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17490

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > Hams are *required by circumstances* to pass a ham exam.
> > HF hams are *required by circumstances* to pass a Morse code exam.
>
> So what?

So Dave says they are not. Please reference the "Forced code exams?"
thread.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 1719202913419007434
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 14:04:02 PST
Message-ID: <3A9AD007.9A18C9C@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 15:52:07 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
```

<3A93D895.AE3027F0@IEEE.org> <3A949D62.638218CC@ovis.net>
<3A94B5F5.D58A67EA@IEEE.org> <3A950A39.85B16C36@ovis.net>
<3A951FF4.1121C82E@IEEE.org> <3A95F34F.86480CFA@ovis.net>
<3A95F938.4FE2D643@IEEE.org> <3A966397.85E71E6@ovis.net>
<3A96B323.9101D3F3@uci.edu> <9774dr$p3e$0@208.206.142.90>
<3A97235B.9B29097E@IEEE.org> <3A9AADFE.7B355D6D@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983225101 64.240.47.127 (26 Feb 2001 16:05:01 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17491

Jim Kelley wrote:
> As much as I'd like to take credit for it, Cecil, you were the one who
> first introduced me to the idea. And I agree. I had to force myself to
> learn code instead of watch TV or talk on the radio. Do you now
> disagree with the first definition you used?

Nope, but I have fine tuned the argument since then.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2570447895350909374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 14:08:08 PST
Message-ID: <3A9AD12C.72BBD8A8@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 15:57:00 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A8868AA.3C0B33B8@ovis.net> <20010213065955.02903.00000765@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3A895D17.5C8B7725@ovis.net>
<bi959to49sbdqbmuua5u6e7dk8ftc1phat@4ax.com> <3A93C07A.773666E0@ovis.net>
<3eu79t4btpp6dagemdorlkmd3skcegqok4@4ax.com> <3A949FB9.DA435DAB@ovis.net>
<3A94B6C0.61CF4212@IEEE.org> <3A950A87.D77996E6@ovis.net>
<3A951E1A.1114CA48@IEEE.org> <3A95F1F1.10E571B5@ovis.net>
<3A95FA62.C66BBD6E@IEEE.org> <3A966474.49170723@ovis.net>
<3A9674E1.6356D31A@IEEE.org> <3A96EF23.9B7E5688@ovis.net>
<3A972620.A3BA4906@IEEE.org> <3A97BA3E.33207E07@ovis.net>
<3A97D703.69FEBC05@IEEE.org> <3A983D52.4DE6B32C@ovis.net>
<3A984895.12A12741@IEEE.org> <3A988A40.BCCC67D1@ovis.net>
<3A989AA4.25862393@IEEE.org> <3A9948A8.892759A0@ovis.net>
<3A99CC19.8485834F@IEEE.org> <3A99FE29.9B5BEACD@ovis.net>
<3A9A6FC5.D5E598FE@IEEE.org> <3A9AB8FF.7323CE24@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983225395 64.240.47.127 (26 Feb 2001 16:09:55 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17492

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
>
> > HF hams who didn't already know Morse code were forced by circumstances
> > to learn Morse code. Please switch to the "Forced code exams?" thread.
>
> Even now as we speak, HF hams are being forced to study the element 4
> question pool. ;-)

Is element 4 the Extra question pool? If so, your statement is not
entirely correct. It should read: Amateur radio users of 7.010 MHz
were required by circumstances (forced) to pass an Extra exam.
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7018405245609821529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 14:14:05 PST
Message-ID: <3A9AD2A9.7F0C499A@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 16:03:21 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
References: <3A982E7D.97040592@arrl.org> <20010225003512.08010.00002117@nso-
mb.aol.com> <3A989E06.7FA29D9C@IEEE.org> <3a9961bb.14030268@news2.dvol.com>
<3A99D522.55D1BA56@IEEE.org> <3a9a95ea.370441@news2.dvol.com>
<3A9A9A72.F2CA178@IEEE.org> <3a9ab135.7357741@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983225777
64.240.47.127 (26 Feb 2001 16:16:17 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17493
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

```
>
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Just to be safe, is that 10:15pm - 10:30pm EST on Tuesday?
> >
> Correct. Unless you're close to or in El Paso it would be 9:30pm CST
> by your watch.
```

Actually, El Paso is on MST. We are in the CST zone in East Texas so it will be 9:30pm for me. I have made schedules before where the guy showed up at the correct Zulu time but not on the correct Zulu day. Just wanted to be sure.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2337377979837924517
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-02-26 14:16:04 PST
Message-ID: <3A9AD301.90405139@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Feb 2001 16:04:49 -0600
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
References: <3A982E7D.97040592@arrl.org> <20010225003512.08010.00002117@nso-
mb.aol.com> <3A989E06.7FA29D9C@IEEE.org> <3a9961bb.14030268@news2.dvol.com>
<3A99D522.55D1BA56@IEEE.org> <3a9a9c0e.1942441@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983225863
64.240.47.127 (26 Feb 2001 16:17:43 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17494
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> Addendum to previous post: I'll start beeping "cq rrapnet" around

> 03:10Z somewhere between 7035 and 7040 and listen for check-ins.

That'll be my first CW net.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8014081003773528208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,22e4f6a0921a5d2c
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,22e4f6a0921a5d2c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,22e4f6a0921a5d2c
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,22e4f6a0921a5d2c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,22e4f6a0921a5d2c
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 18:12:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!fu-berlin.de!uni-berlin.de!f-90-194.cvx-berlin.ipdial.viaginterkom.DE!not-for-mail
From: Peter Lemken <plemken@gmx.de>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Visit today - www.SPAM.com -   check it out.....
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 03:10:27 +0100
Organization: Completely Disorganized Inc.
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <981gug$qudfb$1@ID-31.news.dfncis.de>
References: <956F3F3BE249CAFD.6CAAC4B640FA2809.9E42A165220DABA8@lp.airnews.net>
<MuUo6.1875$AG3.381450@news.uswest.net>
<9A619F3DDE82B77E.911BF6C30FA9B89B.367E0285027C11ED@lp.airnews.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: f-90-194.cvx-berlin.ipdial.viaginterkom.de (62.180.194.90)
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 983844624 28259819 62.180.194.90 (16 [31])
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:17923
rec.radio.amateur.dx:1910 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9935
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12977 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16896

Charles Johnston wrote:
>

> You are sadly mistaken ...none of that nonsense at my site ....

Just good old SPAM.

--
DeeeeeeeX'ing in the new Millenium. Scream for an hour and go
check the online logs to see if you gotta scream for an hour again
tomorrow nite.   [KH2D in rec.radio.amateur.dx]

From 3481248888368974801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 18:16:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 1
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Mar 2001 02:15:03 GMT
References: <3aa422bf.48673782@news.idsi.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010305211503.12138.00000239@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16897

Uh huh. ;-)

From -7982450461256003627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 18:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: As Threatened
Lines: 59
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 20:09:43 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.247
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 983845171 208.154.158.247 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 21:19:31

EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 21:19:31 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16898

Several comments on this group of late got me thinking. Bad Mistake.

Larry notes that truck driving is more these days than bladder capacity. Jim N2EY notes that most of the interlopers he has heard are truckers (also true in the I-80 corridor where I live) at short distance from each other. Skip-shooting from fixed stations becomes an issue on several newsgripes -- which truckers could generally care less about. And I recall that there has been babble about a truckers' band virtually forever. Might it be that they are trying to get away from the rest of CB???

So perhaps it is time to give it to them and where else but below the present 40? It now being nearly 50 years since CB was invented and 30 since the Fuel Crisis and Double Nickels that started the whole cesspool, maybe we can do it right this time. The sense of isolation from driving a big rig is pretty good motivation for a lot of things and perhaps protecting their terrain is one of them. So you need to give them a fighting chance.

So here is my specs for you to shoot holes in.

20 Channels on some bizarre channel spacing. I used 12.9 kHz
FM with M1-M7 selectable but required PL. A 200 Hz buzz should keep interlopers down to a belch.
Five and 25 watt selectable.
Channel 1, M1 is a calling channel. M1 selected automatically on Channel 1.
Two timer circuits: ten-second transmit on channel 1 and a 5 minute reversion to Ch1 M1

The FM, PL, and odd spacing should allow some privacy. Having done about all that is possible technically, what can you do with regulation? It would be nice to limit sales to holders of Class A CDLs but this is really not practical. The band should be mobile only, no fixed stations of any kind including dispatchers, customers, nada. The whole idea is a truck-to-truck band, period.

As the area is basic garbage frequencies to begin with, certainly with the skip shooters, there is not a hell of a lot of risk in devoting some of it to the truckers. From an enforcement perspective, it is really the old police trick of establishing one saloon two blocks from the station to attract all the Noids to one bar. One hopes to accomplish two things: get the truckers off Channel 19 two up (28.085) or three up (28.535) and make it readily apparent that anyone on AM from about Channel 15 to 40 one down will be strangled.

I kind of like it.

OK Policy wonks, let me have it.

Dick N�BK

From -5724999089989861730
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 18:35:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 6 Mar 2001 02:35:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16899

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> When we asked the FCC for an amateur radio license, we weren't offered
> testing as an optional. The didn't ask us whether we'd like to take a
> test before they mailed the license to us. They gave us their terms,
> and it wasn't open to discussion. Given that it was our intent to
> obtain a license, and given that we are required by law to be qualified
> to have a license, the circumstances dictate that we must pass the
> appropriate examinations. That's all Cecil is saying guys.

Of course. I think we all know that but, typically, Cecil attempts to make his points by using twisted language that doesn't mean what it really says at all.

He's not
> saying the government forces us to be ham operators.

Evidently he doesn't mean that at all, but that's sure what he has been
saying, loudly and often. And incorrectly.

We're not forced
> to pass exams ***UNLESS*** we want a ham license. Under THAT
> circumstance we have no option as to whether or not we must pass a
> test. It's a simple idea, and has virtually no implied significance.

Like so much that he has to say here.

From -6494480420925956023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 18:47:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 02:46:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <981j20$5un$0@208.207.71.173>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
<3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16900

Hans K0HB wrote:
>
> JR wrote:
> >
> > And I will continue to operate on frequencies I hear these illegal morons and

```
> > interfere with them, and encourage others to do the same, even if it does chap
> > you behind.
>
> I was wrong!  THIS is the DADHPOTYA (Dumb Assed Dump Huck Post of The Year
> Award) winner!
>
> I kinda hope I hear you doing that, so I can personally send the tape to
> Hollingsworth.
>

 You'd heighten the height of the ridicuous? Have a legally licensed ham
cited for QRMing an illigal Freebander who deliberately, brazenly and
pugnaciously sets up shop inside a ham band?

 How far can we go from the 'good old days' when FCC had teeth and most
anyone who intentionally violated soon felt the bite? And when virtually
all hams supported that?


From 7935326335739829075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 18:52:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 01:54:14 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <981g0601p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-232.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16901

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
Phil.Kane@nospam.spam says...
>
>On Mon, 05 Mar 2001 04:15:01 GMT, JR wrote:
>
>>Yes you can, and should, interfere with an illegal station
>
>  Are you aiding and abetting by urging someone to commit a
>  criminal act (Willful interference - Sect 333 of the Comm
>  Act).
>
```

```
>>and as far as the FCC is concerned
>
>  Are you authorized to speak for the FCC?
>
>>you are not breaking any rules by interfering with these cb morons as
>>they are not licensed.
>
>  Are you a communications attorney who has training and
>  experience in interpreting communications law?
>
>>The FCC isn't going to do anything to a ham station that
>>interferes with these illegal bootleggers, so jam them all you want.
>
>  Are you authorized to speak for the FCC?
>
>  Are you willing to  pay thr penalty (monetary or time or loss of
>  privileges) for anyone who follows your "advice"?
>
>--
>  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP


The FCC will tell you that it is impossible to interfere with unauthorized
transmissions. Call them up yourself and ask them.




From 9168372391072002242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 18:52:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 02:00:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <981gbt11p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-304.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16902

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
Phil.Kane@nospam.spam says...
```

```
>  What was said was that the  operator of said unlicensed
>  communication has no protection against harmful interference
>  from a station operating within the terms of its license.
>
>  That doesn't put teflon coating on an "interferer" who is doing
>  so willfully.


It is impossible to interfere with an unauthorized transmission.



From 2207694403357242338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 18:52:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 02:04:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <981gj621p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3A948.3CFC5D5B@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qe3g2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-366.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16903

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qe3g2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
Phil.Kane@nospam.spam says...

>>That is plain stupid. The FCC has stated that it is not considered
>>interference.
>
>  Gee, that's strange.  In the legal seminars that I have
>  taught for FCC personnel on that subject it was definitely
>  deemed to be a violation of Sect. 333.
>
>>> >and as far as the FCC is concerned
>>>
>>>   Are you authorized to speak for the FCC?
>>
>>I have spoken to FCC engineers at monitoring stations and they agree that
>>such is not considered interference.
```

```
>
>  Your next door neighbor is a doctor.  Does that make you
>  qualified to do brain surgery?


Why don't you just call the FCC yourself? It's obvious you won't believe anyone
else. Then we can ask you if you like your crow baked or fried.




From 3381409986558135578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 18:52:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 02:08:47 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-436.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16904

In article <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org says...
>
>In article <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>, JR  <jr@some.net> writes:
>
>>Yes you can, and should, interfere with an illegal station .....
>
>Your statement has got to be in the running for the DAPOTYACAP (Dumb
>Assed Post Of The Year Award Certificate And Plaque)!
>
>First, I've got better ways to spend my time than transmitted deliberate
>interference on top of some dump huck CBer.
>
>Two, the dump huck CBer probably doesn't hear you anyhow.
>
>Three, your interference masks the interlopers signal during DF efforts.
>
>Four, deliberate interference is deliberate interference -- you're nothing
>more than another rules violator, no better than the dump huck CBer that
>you're jamming.
>
```

>73, Hans, K0HB

How many times do I have to say this?

With regards to unauthorized signals, there is no such thing as deliberate interference. It is *impossible* to interfere with an unauthorized signal. These are the FCCs words not mine. Call up the FCC and they will tell you the same thing.

From 2331261039038180317
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 18:52:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 02:11:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <981h1241p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-497.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16905

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
Phil.Kane@nospam.spam says...

> But JR wrote:
>
>>Wrong! the FCC doesn't consider it interference since the dump
>>huck cb is illegal, so if I transmit on the same frequency I am
>>not violating any rules.
>
> Hans, don't you know that Mister JR knows more about this
> subject than FCC enforcement professionals do?
>
>--
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

Maybe he called th FCC (as should you) and they told him the same thing I've been telling you for the past 4 to 5 posts. It's impossible to interfere with an unauthorized transmission.

```
From 8826454994638077413
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 18:52:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 02:15:57 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <981h8t51p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <97v7ui$rn3$0@208.207.71.166>
<980u4g$i7g$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-561.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16906
```

```
In article <980u4g$i7g$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org says...
>
>In article <97v7ui$rn3$0@208.207.71.166>, Dick Carroll  <dixie@townsqr.com>
>writes:
>
>
>> The FCC has clearly stated that an illegal signal, that
>> has no statutory right to use a frequency cannot be interfered
>> with by a legal station.
>
>Sorry Dick, but this is an urban legend, right up there with the
>"Good luck, Mr. Gorsky" statement during Neil Armstrong's Apollo
>11 EVA.
>
>Good luck on this one now!
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
```

If it's an urban legend, then the FCC themselves are the ones who are spreading it. I called them up and they told me the same thing.

```
From 4174755990634166638
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 19:02:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Date: 6 Mar 2001 03:01:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 69
Message-ID: <981ju4$80d$0@208.207.71.173>
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16907

A noble proposal, Dick. But---how long do you think it'd be till the wandering jackals would chew it apart, like they have the rest of that part of the spectrum.....just because "it was there" and they "could"?

They might not be able to hurt the close-in intertruck comms much.. but you an be sure that some illegal(under your proposal) base stations would get into the act. Lots of truckers used to have base station contacts accross the country they'd talk to regularly (I haven't had exposure there for a LONG time, fortunately). I'm afraid a whole new set of "violations" and violators would result.

What puzzles me is why the truckers would choose to land within a ham band to do their thing. Don't they know that stirring up a lot of ill will among hams is much more likely to get the Feds AND the hams down on them than if they used some obscure unused frequency outside a ham band?

Dick

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> Several comments on this group of late got me thinking. Bad Mistake.
>
> Larry notes that truck driving is more these days than bladder capacity.
> Jim N2EY notes that most of the interlopers he has heard are truckers
> (also true in the I-80 corridor where I live) at short distance from each
> other. Skip-shooting from fixed stations becomes an issue on several
> newsgripes -- which truckers could generally care less about. And I
> recall that there has been babble about a truckers' band virtually
> forever. Might it be that they are trying to get away from the rest of
> CB???
>
> So perhaps it is time to give it to them and where else but below the
> present 40? It now being nearly 50 years since CB was invented and 30
> since the Fuel Crisis and Double Nickels that started the whole cesspool,

> maybe we can do it right this time. The sense of isolation from driving a
> big rig is pretty good motivation for a lot of things and perhaps
> protecting their terrain is one of them. So you need to give them a
> fighting chance.
>
> So here is my specs for you to shoot holes in.
>
> 20 Channels on some bizarre channel spacing. I used 12.9 kHz
> FM with M1-M7 selectable but required PL. A 200 Hz buzz should keep
> interlopers down to a belch.
> Five and 25 watt selectable.
> Channel 1, M1 is a calling channel. M1 selected automatically on Channel
> 1.
> Two timer circuits: ten-second transmit on channel 1 and a 5 minute
> reversion to Ch1 M1
>
> The FM, PL, and odd spacing should allow some privacy. Having done about
> all that is possible technically, what can you do with regulation? It
> would be nice to limit sales to holders of Class A CDLs but this is really
> not practical. The band should be mobile only, no fixed stations of any
> kind including dispatchers, customers, nada. The whole idea is a
> truck-to-truck band, period.
>
> As the area is basic garbage frequencies to begin with, certainly with the
> skip shooters, there is not a hell of a lot of risk in devoting some of it
> to the truckers. From an enforcement perspective, it is really the old
> police trick of establishing one saloon two blocks from the station to
> attract all the Noids to one bar. One hopes to accomplish two things:
> get the truckers off Channel 19 two up (28.085) or three up (28.535) and
> make it readily apparent that anyone on AM from about Channel 15 to 40 one
> down will be strangled.
>
> I kind of like it.
>
> OK Policy wonks, let me have it.
>
> Dick N�BK

From -8265666982478608749
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 19:10:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AA45404.42A39164@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 03:05:40 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>

<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
<3AA250A8.698546B1@IEEE.org> <3AA283FF.FA1D31A4@ovis.net>
<3AA2A4A4.668C5CFF@IEEE.org> <3AA309BD.79B9CA4D@ovis.net>
<3AA31F76.A3A52524@IEEE.org> <3AA39097.69935BB1@ovis.net>
<3AA39CDD.D3ED085D@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s18.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 5 Mar 2001 22:02:16 -0500, s18.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 32
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s18.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16908

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Dave, I'll bet you $100 that I didn't swear not to get an Extra license.
> > > Put your money where your mouth is.
> >
> > Since we all know (you've told us) that you can't be taken seriously in
> > anything you post here, that would be a chump bet and totally
> > pointless.
>
> Translation: I know I would lose the $100 bet because Cecil never swore
> that he wouldn't get an extra license.

Translation: Since we all know (you've told us) that you can't be taken
seriously in anything you post here, that would be a chump bet and
totally pointless.

> Even if you weren't lying,

and I'm not...

> there's nothing to prohibit me from changing
> my mind. Have you ever said you weren't going to do something and then
> changed your mind?

Sure I have. I didn't swear not to eat cake though and I already had
the Extra.

Dave K8MN

From -6214009828715391963
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 19:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 03:08:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <981kcb$901$0@208.207.71.173>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16909

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org says...
> >
> >In article <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>, JR <jr@some.net> writes:
> >
> >>Yes you can, and should, interfere with an illegal station .....
> >
> >Your statement has got to be in the running for the DAPOTYACAP (Dumb
> >Assed Post Of The Year Award Certificate And Plaque)!
> >
> >First, I've got better ways to spend my time than transmitted deliberate
> >interference on top of some dump huck CBer.
> >
> >Two, the dump huck CBer probably doesn't hear you anyhow.
> >
> >Three, your interference masks the interlopers signal during DF efforts.
> >
> >Four, deliberate interference is deliberate interference -- you're nothing
> >more than another rules violator, no better than the dump huck CBer that
> >you're jamming.
> >
> >73, Hans, K0HB
>
> How many times do I have to say this?
>
> With regards to unauthorized signals, there is no such thing as deliberate
> interference. It is *impossible* to interfere with an unauthorized signal.
> These are the FCCs words not mine. Call up the FCC and they will tell you the

> same thing.

Hey, Phil, and Hans, I don't think this is the same FCC that Phil used
to work for and the rest of us used to know so well.

I agree the very concept of deliberate interference, regardless, seems
to be anathema. But that was then. This is now.

Dick

From 7939618034911182520
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 19:22:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 02:21:05 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <981hih61p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
<20010305130739.02334.00001475@ng-mq1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qf505.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-674.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16910

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qf505.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
Phil.Kane@nospam.spam says...

> Better yet, let a third party point it out, or more
> realistically, the FCC observes it while in the process of
> investigating the illegal operation, and guess who the
> mousetrap springs on...two for one is not a bad deal.
>
>--
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

If a ham is licensed for a frequency, and conducts her/himself in a manner
appropriate for any other type of QRN or QRM, what do you think the FCC will
do?

From 6400027046008241571
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 20:48:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
<981ju4$80d$0@208.207.71.173>
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Lines: 67
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <lVZo6.1116$pQ3.3839023@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 22:44:27 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.53
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 983853777 206.29.242.53 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 23:42:57 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 23:42:57 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16911

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:981ju4$80d$0@208.207.71.173...
> A noble proposal, Dick. But---how long do you think it'd be till the
> wandering jackals would chew it apart, like they have the rest of that
> part of the spectrum.....just because "it was there" and they "could"?
>   They might not be able to hurt the close-in intertruck comms much..
> but you an be sure that some illegal(under your proposal) base stations
> would get into the act. Lots of truckers used to have base station
> contacts accross the country they'd talk to regularly (I haven't had
> exposure there for a LONG time, fortunately). I'm afraid a whole new set
> of "violations" and violators would result.
> What puzzles me is why the truckers would choose to land within a ham
> band to do their thing. Don't they know that stirring up a lot of ill
> will among hams is much more likely to get the Feds AND the hams down on
> them than if they used some obscure unused frequency outside a ham band?
>
> Dick
>

I would agree with you, Dick, if I believed that they even knew they were in a ham band. Those that do either don't care but more likely have simply found there ain't nothing there -- certainly the case with most of the 25-30 range. If the object of the game is to talk 2 miles in relative peace, why not. The biggest thing to overcome is Truckstop Annies and Andrews.

The way most of these rigs work, the PLL is expanded in 450 kHz increments. This is how Channel 19 (27.185) turns into 28.085 and 28.535. Six ranges, including the legal ones, seems to be the norm. Not a lot different than the people who futz their 2 meter rigs to talk to the nice lady at McDonalds. That is why I specced a weird spacing

As a practical matter, enforcement is not the answer. There is the old story of the company which was losing inventory in the toolroom at an amazing rate. So it put a man near retirement age in charge with the idea that his retirement fund got a third of any reduced shrinkage. On Monday, Big Louie came up for a tool and was handed a requisition and asked for the broken tool. Big Louie reached thru the cage and grabbed our hero by the throat making it known he did not do such things. Louie's attitude changed dramatically when he saw the .357 at his nose. Such is the difference between an owner and a user.

The odds? Probably about even among reasonable success, screwing up by the reasons you suggest, and finding a new and different way to crap in the nest. On the other hand, what the hell do you have to lose? Garbage frequencies get different pollution, BFD. Seems worth the try to me and a lot less expensive than a monumental enforcement action.

The underlying assumption here is that the motivation for interloping on ten is the desire to talk with the fellow rig-jockeys on the road in relative calmnity and dignitude. The search for the clear or private or company channel is not limited to truckers or CB, the fishing fleet is equally notorious. So give it to them, WTH.

Yes, rigs will show up in fixed locations. The idea of the mobile-only is to nix the idea of running one's fleet of garbage trucks that way. It is more likely that jitney cabs and a few other less-than-reputable operations will stake a claim before the OTR boys do. There are any number of ways it can bomb out. My hope is that the sense of ownership will prevail and being able to have it squelched with a range beyond 50 yards, a lack of screaming hetrodynes, and some hope of relative privacy will prevail.

Dick N�BK

From -5340052406409578782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 20:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Mar 2001 04:48:21 GMT
References: <3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010305234821.20286.00001508@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16912

>I kinda hope I hear you doing that, so I can personally send the tape to
>Hollingsworth.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB

WhAT a waste of Tape, the FCC isnt doing anything about it now, and Im sure
they will not in the Future, if they were the Illegal would not be there now


From 3263183512352248853
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 20:58:27 PST
Message-ID: <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 22:48:22 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983854312
64.240.47.63 (5 Mar 2001 22:51:52 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16913
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > He's not
> > saying the government forces us to be ham operators.
>
> Evidently he doesn't mean that at all, but that's sure what he has been
> saying, loudly and often. And incorrectly.

Please stop lying about what I said, Dick. I never said the government
forces us to be ham operators. What I've said is that before citizens
are granted amateur radio privileges, they are required by circumstances
(forced) to meet the requirements for a ham license. Any ham who didn't
meet those requirements is a criminal.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 687364838790863503
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newscon04-ext.news.prodigy.com.MISMATCH!
newscon04.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!
newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA45E44.3FFAFF67@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: As Threatened
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
<981ju4$80d$0@208.207.71.173>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.43.135
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 983854687 6004826 208.188.43.135 (Mon, 05
Mar 2001 23:58:07 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 23:58:07 EST
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 04:58:07 GMT

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16914

Often they don't even know what frequency or band they are on. Most have no clue that it is an amateur band and have no clue as to what amateur radio is. All they know is they have a radio with all these channels and these upper channels are free and clear so they assume the can use them. Even for those few who do know it is a ham band couldn't care less that they are stirring up any ill will among the hams. The FCC isn't going to do anything about these illegal ops, at least anytime soon, the only recourse for hams is to use the frequencies and attempt cause enough interference so they go somewhere else. And in spite of what some on the group would like to have you believe, the FCC isn't going to come after any ham for transmitting on top of these morons. What the FCC needs to do is catch a few, and it wouldn't be very hard, and fine the heck out of the trucker AND the company they are driving for. If a few of the trucking companies get some heavy fines for their drivers operating illegal radios, things might change.

Dick Carroll wrote:

>   What puzzles me is why the truckers would choose to land within a ham
> band to do their thing. Don't they know that stirring up a lot of ill
> will among hams is much more likely to get the Feds AND the hams down on
> them than if they used some obscure unused frequency outside a ham band?
>
> Dick
>

From 7564786805708371162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com (MailfrmPA)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Mar 2001 04:58:54 GMT
References: <20010305130739.02334.00001475@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010305235854.26813.00000602@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16915

>No Problem let the Illegal Station complain to the FCC

He does need to- Marshall Hans Dillon will do it on his behalf!

K�MB

From -1287745498308238016
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:02:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AA46CB0.444192A6@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 22:50:57 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org> <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA3E113.17ECF03F@IEEE.org> <3AA3FB98.99A719A0@uci.edu>
<3AA3FDFB.3A745C5D@IEEE.org> <3AA40709.D19000F4@uci.edu>
<3AA42716.DE219767@IEEE.org> <3AA43215.5D160B51@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983854466
64.240.47.63 (5 Mar 2001 22:54:26 -0600)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16916

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > Jim Kelley wrote:

> > > So do you agree that the reason you might be meeting some of this
> > > resistance to the idea that the government forces people to take code
> > > tests is that you've argued in the past that the government _shouldn't_
> > > force people to take code tests?
>
> > The two arguments are separate with possibly different answers.
>
> But my question regarding your opinion has only one answer. That answer
> is either yes, that might be the case, or no, that isn't the case. It's
> apparent to me that, in the minds of some folks here, the two arguments
> are not divorced from one another.

That some participants on this newsgroup are not capable of considering
two separate concepts is not my problem. The answer to your question
is maybe, maybe not.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2343570917459820850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:06:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AA46DCF.B4E83339@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 22:55:43 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <981gbt11p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983854752
64.240.47.63 (5 Mar 2001 22:59:12 -0600)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16917

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
> Phil.Kane@nospam.spam says...
>
> > What was said was that the operator of said unlicensed
> > communication has no protection against harmful interference
> > from a station operating within the terms of its license.
> >
> > That doesn't put teflon coating on an "interferer" who is doing
> > so willfully.
>
> It is impossible to interfere with an unauthorized transmission.

Well, it's obviously physically possible to interfere with an
unauthorized transmission. Question is, is it legally possible?
Phil says it is a violation of sect. 333.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7250439929304687335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:08:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AA46E42.32626E9D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 22:57:38 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com> <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net> <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <981h1241p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983854867
64.240.47.63 (5 Mar 2001 23:01:07 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16918

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> Maybe he called th FCC (as should you) and they told him the same thing I've
> been telling you for the past 4 to 5 posts. It's impossible to interfere with
> an unauthorized transmission.

Talking to an individual at the FCC is not good enough. Where is it
officially published?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8981796755784289556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:10:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 22:58:23 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983854912 64.240.47.63 (5 Mar 2001 23:01:52 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16920

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> With regards to unauthorized signals, there is no such thing as deliberate
> interference. It is *impossible* to interfere with an unauthorized signal.
> These are the FCCs words not mine.

Exactly where are those words officially published?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8387737464744165811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:10:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!nntp.flash.net!newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA46066.259ABA6C@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
<3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.43.135
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 983855231 6004826 208.188.43.135 (Tue, 06
Mar 2001 00:07:11 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 00:07:11 EST
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 05:07:11 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16919
```

I am sure Riley will really be excited if you do that. Just how will you know it
is
me and what are you going to cry to Riley? "Here Mr. H this licensed ham is
interfering with these poor truckers operating illegally on 10 meters. Please make
him stop sending his cw on top of them, oh please Mr. H. he is violating the rules
concerning interference." But never mind about the illegal truckers I guess.
Your
going to really be busy, because I hear lots of hams everyday on 10 attempting to
interfere with the illegal bootleggers. Hope you have a lot of time and tape.

Hans K0HB wrote:

> I kinda hope I hear you doing that, so I can personally send the tape to
> Hollingsworth.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 7623243833740834765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:12:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AA46EEF.7CC55BB7@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 23:00:32 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
```

```
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
<3AA250A8.698546B1@IEEE.org> <3AA283FF.FA1D31A4@ovis.net>
<3AA2A4A4.668C5CFF@IEEE.org> <3AA309BD.79B9CA4D@ovis.net>
<3AA31F76.A3A52524@IEEE.org> <3AA39097.69935BB1@ovis.net>
<3AA39CDD.D3ED085D@IEEE.org> <3AA45404.42A39164@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983855042
64.240.47.63 (5 Mar 2001 23:04:02 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16921

Dave Heil wrote:
> I didn't swear not to eat cake though and I already had
> the Extra.

I did a dejanews search for "swear" in any of my postings.
I have never used the word before this week. You lose, Dave.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 5570224138892631820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: As Threatened
```

Date: 6 Mar 2001 05:28:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 72
Message-ID: <981sh8$vf$0@208.207.71.173>
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
<981ju4$80d$0@208.207.71.173> <lVZo6.1116$pQ3.3839023@nntp3.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16922

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:981ju4$80d$0@208.207.71.173...
> > A noble proposal, Dick. But---how long do you think it'd be till the
> > wandering jackals would chew it apart, like they have the rest of that
> > part of the spectrum.....just because "it was there" and they "could"?
> >   They might not be able to hurt the close-in intertruck comms much..
> > but you an be sure that some illegal(under your proposal) base stations
> > would get into the act. Lots of truckers used to have base station
> > contacts accross the country they'd talk to regularly (I haven't had
> > exposure there for a LONG time, fortunately). I'm afraid a whole new set
> > of "violations" and violators would result.
> > What puzzles me is why the truckers would choose to land within a ham
> > band to do their thing. Don't they know that stirring up a lot of ill
> > will among hams is much more likely to get the Feds AND the hams down on
> > them than if they used some obscure unused frequency outside a ham band?
> >
> > Dick
> >
>
> I would agree with you, Dick, if I believed that they even knew they were
> in a ham band. Those that do either don't care but more likely have
> simply found there ain't nothing there -- certainly the case with most of
> the 25-30 range. If the object of the game is to talk 2 miles in relative
> peace, why not. The biggest thing to overcome is Truckstop Annies and
> Andrews.
>
> The way most of these rigs work, the PLL is expanded in 450 kHz
> increments. This is how Channel 19 (27.185) turns into 28.085 and 28.535.
> Six ranges, including the legal ones, seems to be the norm. Not a lot
> different than the people who futz their 2 meter rigs to talk to the nice
> lady at McDonalds. That is why I specced a weird spacing
>
> As a practical matter, enforcement is not the answer. There is the old
> story of the company which was losing inventory in the toolroom at an
> amazing rate. So it put a man near retirement age in charge with the idea

> that his retirement fund got a third of any reduced shrinkage. On Monday, Big Louie came up for a tool and was handed a requisition and asked for the broken tool. Big Louie reached thru the cage and grabbed our hero by the throat making it known he did not do such things. Louie's attitude changed dramatically when he saw the .357 at his nose. Such is the difference between an owner and a user.
>
> The odds? Probably about even among reasonable success, screwing up by the reasons you suggest, and finding a new and different way to crap in the nest. On the other hand, what the hell do you have to lose? Garbage frequencies get different pollution, BFD. Seems worth the try to me and a lot less expensive than a monumental enforcement action.
>
> The underlying assumption here is that the motivation for interloping on ten is the desire to talk with the fellow rig-jockeys on the road in relative calmnity and dignitude. The search for the clear or private or company channel is not limited to truckers or CB, the fishing fleet is equally notorious. So give it to them, WTH.
>
> Yes, rigs will show up in fixed locations. The idea of the mobile-only is to nix the idea of running one's fleet of garbage trucks that way. It is more likely that jitney cabs and a few other less-than-reputable operations will stake a claim before the OTR boys do. There are any number of ways it can bomb out. My hope is that the sense of ownership will prevail and being able to have it squelched with a range beyond 50 yards, a lack of screaming hetrodynes, and some hope of relative privacy will prevail.

You've made a pretty good case for it. Anything moving in that direction that you know of?

Dick

From 8242472998692902874
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 6 Mar 2001 05:29:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu> <981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16923

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > He's not
> > > saying the government forces us to be ham operators.
> >
> > Evidently he doesn't mean that at all, but that's sure what he has been
> > saying, loudly and often. And incorrectly.
>
> Please stop lying about what I said, Dick. I never said the government
> forces us to be ham operators. What I've said is that before citizens
> are granted amateur radio privileges, they are required by circumstances
> (forced) to meet the requirements for a ham license. Any ham who didn't
> meet those requirements is a criminal.

There you go again, talking in circles. Any ham who hasn't isn't a ham.

From -2607165048352173174
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:33:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 6 Mar 2001 05:33:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <981sqs$vf$2@208.207.71.173>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org> <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA3E113.17ECF03F@IEEE.org> <3AA3FB98.99A719A0@uci.edu>
<3AA3FDFB.3A745C5D@IEEE.org> <3AA40709.D19000F4@uci.edu>
<3AA42716.DE219767@IEEE.org> <3AA43215.5D160B51@uci.edu>

```
<3AA46CB0.444192A6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16924



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > So do you agree that the reason you might be meeting some of this
> > > > resistance to the idea that the government forces people to take code
> > > > tests is that you've argued in the past that the government _shouldn't_
> > > > force people to take code tests?
> >
> > > The two arguments are separate with possibly different answers.
> >
> > But my question regarding your opinion has only one answer.  That answer
> > is either yes, that might be the case, or no, that isn't the case.  It's
> > apparent to me that, in the minds of some folks here, the two arguments
> > are not divorced from one another.
>
> That some participants on this newsgroup are not capable of considering
> two separate concepts is not my problem. The answer to your question
> is maybe, maybe not.
>

 Of course, you use dual concepts so that you can switch at will, making
the last answer of any respondant incorrect, in the now-switched
different rendition of 'concept'.
 You've been doing this sort of crapola for all the years I've been
reading your stuff here.


From 2104333452689274473
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 05:38:26 GMT
```

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <981t4i$2e8$0@208.207.71.173>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
<3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org> <3AA46066.259ABA6C@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16925

JR wrote:
>
> I hear lots of hams everyday on 10 attempting to
> interfere with the illegal bootleggers.

This is the main reason why I despise the freebooters who have for so long so blatantly disregarded all rule and order. They have been primarily responsible for promoting the idea that outlawry is the answer, and all too often the only working answer. They've made illegality the norm, have gotten away for much for so long that it just looks like it doean't matter any more, even on ham radio. I blame them and that attitude for most of the slippage we see on the ham bands. It's actually amazing that it isn't a lot worse than it is.

From -6385073910025774721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 21:40:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Mar 2001 05:38:56 GMT
References: <981hih61p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010306003856.12138.00000283@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16926

>what do you think the FCC will
>do?

Nut-n-Honey

SNAFU

```
From -4642111207999362425
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 22:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "tcrpe" <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
From: "tcrpe" <tcrpe@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Oj%o6.1751$OS1.1413577@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 22:22:40 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.165.2.211
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 983859566 209.165.2.211 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:19:26 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:19:26 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9830
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12987 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16927 rec.radio.cb:27135
rec.radio.scanner:14419 rec.radio.shortwave:28117
```

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net...

<snip>

>   What a wonderful time we live in. What type of monster would sit around
> thinking of ways to heat people up in an effort to control them?

The US Government.

> I guess
> madmen like this will never be happy until they either kill us all off or
> are able to fully control us with their technology.

That's the US Government for you!

> If these people are not
> stopped, can you even imagine what our future will be like?

Stop voting for them.

> Concepts like
> freedom, individual rights, and self-determination, will cease to exist.

The US Government and our career politicians already ignore them.

Stop voting for them.

>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>

```
From -6081568825028183603
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 00:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!wesley.videotron.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!
natasha.rmi.net!den-news1.rmi.net!not-for-mail
From: "comm" <tripplemocha@yahoo.com>
```

Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$
%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<97uc94$gc5$1@news.monmouth.com> <gks5ato4ovil6bn6u8u410pstudn4uoj5l@4ax.com>
<B6C8D105.45E8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon (V-MADS is here!)
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Am1p6.2068$ln2.404266057@den-news1.rmi.net>
Date: Tue, 6 Mar 2001 00:37:14 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.159.18.60
X-Complaints-To: news@rmi.net
X-Trace: den-news1.rmi.net 983867936 206.159.18.60 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:38:56 MST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:38:56 MST
Organization: RMI.NET
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9831
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12989 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16928 rec.radio.cb:27136
rec.radio.scanner:14421 rec.radio.shortwave:28121

its funny because many media outlets took some very good shots of this unit
on video. one could simply measure the waveguide and resonance chamber and
easily figure out the frequency. of course, the right amount of power is
another issue, but 20 rats and an assortment of different gain dishes would
fix that problem. the $50 million? inflated salaries for the last ten
years..any good geek could do it under $10k easy.

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6C8D105.45E8%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> Bob Brock wrote:
>
> > [snip] Plus, do you really think that
> > the only ones to use this technology
> > will be the US military?
>
>
>   Agreed. In fact, they let the cat out of the bag with the press release
> (its based on RF). Since we're talking about radio here, it should not be
> that difficult to figure out - almost anybody familiar with radio could
come
> up with the frequency used with little experimenting and the equipment
> should certainly not be that difficult to build. Somehow, I suspect we're
> seeing the beginnings of a whole new arms race.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

>

```
From -332335291027174121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 00:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!
natasha.rmi.net!den-news1.rmi.net!not-for-mail
From: "comm" <tripplemocha@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3aa113bc.3261887@news.prodigy.net> <3AA13068.56A570BA@IEEE.org>
<97rli9$m59$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Oo1p6.2069$lq2.404975822@den-news1.rmi.net>
Date: Tue, 6 Mar 2001 00:39:44 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.159.18.60
X-Complaints-To: news@rmi.net
X-Trace: den-news1.rmi.net 983868078 206.159.18.60 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:41:18 MST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:41:18 MST
Organization: RMI.NET
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9832
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12990 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16929 rec.radio.cb:27137
rec.radio.scanner:14422 rec.radio.shortwave:28122
```

quick! before going to the demonstration,everyone make your own chaff with
tin foil!

W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message
news:97rli9$m59$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...

```
> Seems to me a handfull of aluminum cut into strips would end the problem.
Or
> a metalic reflective dish.  Stick it in front of you and point it back at
> them.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3AA13068.56A570BA@IEEE.org...
> > datzr@DELETETHESECAPSFIRSTyahoo.com wrote:
> > > Bet the guy that came up with this was one of those Dumb-A** people
> > > that stuffed a Poodle in a microwave to dry it off!!!
> > > Doh!!! =---> Poooffff!!
> >
> > Seems to me, a sonic boom might be more effective.
> > --
> > http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>




From 6962308201654755540
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 00:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!natasha.rmi.net!den-news1.rmi.net!not-for-mail
From: "comm" <tripplemocha@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<00.1228509346.4069703@corp.supernews.com>
<3aa1bf94$0$25505$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
```

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <es1p6.2070$2v2.405621816@den-news1.rmi.net>
Date: Tue, 6 Mar 2001 00:43:17 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.159.18.60
X-Complaints-To: news@rmi.net
X-Trace: den-news1.rmi.net 983868298 206.159.18.60 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:44:58 MST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:44:58 MST
Organization: RMI.NET
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9833
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12991 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16930 rec.radio.cb:27138
rec.radio.scanner:14423 rec.radio.shortwave:28123

check this out...http://zapatopi.net/afdb.html --- Aluminum Foil Deflector
Beanie homepage!

"The corrosive force of the mighty Pacific Ocean is no match for the AFDB.
As an added bonus, electric fields generated by the interaction of aluminum
and salt water may act as a shark deterrent."


��g�t�|���|� <digitalebola@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:3aa1bf94$0$25505$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> maybe all those "Freaks" and "Fruitloops" covered in tin foil were really
> the smart ones eh?
>
> *shudder*
>
>
> --
> Regards,
>
> Anthony Martin
> Point and Click Business Systems
>
> WEB: Http://pointandclick.cjb.net
>        or Http://victorianscanner.cjb.net
>
> E-mail: digitalebola@dingoblue.net.au
> "btpost" <btpost@eagle.ptialaska.net> wrote in message
> news:00.1228509346.4069703@corp.supernews.com...
> > Time to get out your tinfoil and cover yourself up!!!!
> > --
> >   Bruce (semiretired powderman & exFCC Field Inspector for Southeastern
> > Alaska)
> >
> >   Bruce Gordon * Debora Gordon R.N.       Bruce's Trading Post
> >         P.O. Box EXI                      Excursion Inlet South
> >      Juneau, Alaska 99850               Excursion Inlet, Alaska 99850
> >             www.btpost.net   bruce@btpost.net
> >     University of Alaska           Remote Weather Data Collection Site
> >      fnbeg@aurora.alaska.edu          KWO-70 * WDT-59 * KNEG-586
> >       wcovexip@ptialaska.net            Wards Cove Packing Co.
> >   btpost@eagle.ptialaska.net          AL7AQ@KL7HFI * KL7WJ@KL7HFI
```

```
>
>




From -1150915808693439927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 02:06:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Mar 2001 10:03:33 GMT
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010306050333.16620.00000659@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16931

In article <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:

>
>First, I've got better ways to spend my time than transmitted deliberate
>interference on top of some dump huck CBer.
>
>Two, the dump huck CBer probably doesn't hear you anyhow.
>
>Three, your interference masks the interlopers signal during DF efforts.
>
>Four, deliberate interference is deliberate interference -- you're nothing
>more than another rules violator, no better than the dump huck CBer that
>you're jamming.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB

Right on, Hansl!

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -7810548574047036180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 02:06:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Mar 2001 10:03:34 GMT
References: <3AA29B50.C4BFEA85@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010306050334.16620.00000660@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16932

In article <3AA29B50.C4BFEA85@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>It doesn't matter how you felt about it, Larry. If you wanted to be a ham,
>you were required by circumstances (forced) to meet the requirements. If
>you didn't honor those requirements, you are a criminal.

Cecil:

How could I be a criminal if I didn't meet the requirements, Logic Boy? To make that statement, you'd have to ass-u-&-me that I would have been operating HF without the requisite license -- which I've never done! And don't look now, but neither does the vast majority of other unlicensed citizens. There are a few of your "criminals" out there, to be sure, but I'm not one of them!

>Once more, please point out the faulty logic:
>
>Axiom/definition: From Webster's: "forced - required by circumstances"

Regardless of whether or not the logic is faulty, that particular definition is not germaine to the argument.

>Premise: US HF hams were forced to pass a Morse code exam.

False premise. Try again, Logic Boy.

>Proof: US HF hams were required by circumstances (FCC regulations) to
>pass a Morse code exam.

Only if they voluntarily consent to meet this "requirement." There is absolutely nothing recognizable as "force" being applied to them.

>Conclusion: US HF hams were forced to pass a Morse code exam.

Only in your twisted, illogical mind, Cecil.

>It doesn't matter whether they felt good or bad about it, Larry.
>Their feelings were irrelevant.

That's one of the few true things you've said in the past two weeks,
Cecil. Feelings have nothing to do with it. Just the facts, Ma'am.
And the fact is, nobody was "forced" to take a Morse Code exam
to gain HF privileges. They were, indeed, required to do so, but
they met this requirement by voluntary consent, not force.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -8630660357373919760
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 04:04:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
<981ju4$80d$0@208.207.71.173> <lVZo6.1116$pQ3.3839023@nntp3.onemain.com>
<981sh8$vf$0@208.207.71.173>
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <Ii4p6.265$GF4.184969@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 6 Mar 2001 06:01:31 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.174
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 983879976 205.138.126.174 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 06:59:36
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 06:59:36 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16933
```

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:981sh8$vf$0@208.207.71.173...
>
>
>
> You've made a pretty good case for it. Anything moving in that
> direction that you know of?
>
> Dick

Not a thing I know of. This might require some one to actually take
responsibility; Phil only confirms the last of those creatures left the
FCC years ago.

```
From -8576890647039836957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 05:11:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e3500-chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA4D9D5.477B@worldlynx.net>
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Organization: Spew Radio Inc.
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04 (WinNT; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AA06279.F9847291@bctv.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 49
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 08:08:40 EST
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 07:36:37 -0500
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9844
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12996 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16934 rec.radio.cb:27145
rec.radio.scanner:14431 rec.radio.shortwave:28136
```

Keith Wood wrote:

>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >

```
> > oxytone notion wrote:
> >
> > > The Pentagon unveiled its latest weapon yesterday,
> > > a non-lethal beam that  fires electromagnetic
> > > waves at the skin, heating it instantly to painful
> > > levels without internal or permanent damage.
> > >
> > > Touted by enthusiasts as the biggest military
> > > development since the atomic bomb, the Vehicle
> > > Mounted Active Denial System (V-MADS) is easily
> > > transportable and is considered ideal for "crowd
> > > control."
> > >
> > > By using certain portions of the electromagnetic
> > > spectrum, it penetrates the skin to a depth of
> > > about 1/64th of an inch.
> > >
> > >  [snip]
> > >
> > > Project officials said the human body begins to
> > > feel pain at about 113 degrees Fahrenheit. The
> > > V-MADS weapon heats the skin to about 130 degrees
> > > Fahrenheit in less than three seconds. [snip]
> >
> >   What a wonderful time we live in.  What type of monster would sit around
> > thinking of ways to heat people up in an effort to control them?  I guess
> > madmen like this will never be happy until they either kill us all off or
> > are able to fully control us with their technology.  If these people are not
> > stopped, can you even imagine what our future will be like?  Concepts like
> > freedom, individual rights, and self-determination, will cease to exist.
>
> Three seconds is a long time to hold a narrow beam on a moving target.
>
> Especially if that target is merrily cranking off lead in the direction
> of the one with the scrooch gun.
```

And of course, nothing was mentioned about the effects if the potential "victim" were wearing a suit made with metallic fibers. Metal reflects or shields RF, so a prepared adversary would most likely be immune to the effects.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

```
From -7998998530245791047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 05:20:57 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 12:26:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <982l0q017fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <981h1241p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E42.32626E9D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-418.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16935

In article <3AA46E42.32626E9D@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>> Maybe he called th FCC (as should you)  and they told him the same thing
I've
>> been telling you for the past 4 to 5 posts. It's impossible to interfere
with
>> an unauthorized transmission.
>
>Talking to an individual at the FCC is not good enough. Where is it
>officially published?
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


Since you're such a stickler for definitions, look up the definition of QRM,
and tell me how it is possible to interfere with interference.




From 4817857418650277839
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 05:21:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 12:29:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
```

```
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-477.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16936

In article <3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>> With regards to unauthorized signals, there is no such thing as deliberate
>> interference. It is *impossible* to interfere with an unauthorized signal.
>> These are the FCCs words not mine.
>
>Exactly where are those words officially published?
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

I called the FCC about this before. I was satisfied with their answer. I can't
help it if you want everything in writing.




From -3079612648451846977
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 05:33:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Mar 2001 13:33:07 GMT
References: <3AA46EEF.7CC55BB7@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010306083307.14891.00000190@nso-mp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16937

In article <3AA46EEF.7CC55BB7@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> I didn't swear not to eat cake though and I already had
```

>> the Extra.
>
>I did a dejanews search for "swear" in any of my postings.
>I have never used the word before this week. You lose, Dave.
>--
Can't prove a negative, Cecil.

Deja is defunct. Google doesn't go back very far at the present time, and its archive is still in development. All you proved is that your search didn't turn up a certain word in the limited search time.

So the proposed bet is in limbo. Cecil cannot prove he didn't swear, Dave hasn't yet proven Cecil did swear.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 3939289991301762400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 05:33:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Mar 2001 13:33:08 GMT
References: <3AA45404.42A39164@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010306083308.14891.00000191@nso-mp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16938
```

In article <3AA45404.42A39164@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >
>> > W6RCecilA wrote:
>> > > Dave, I'll bet you $100 that I didn't swear not to get an Extra
>license.
>> > > Put your money where your mouth is.
>> >
>> > Since we all know (you've told us) that you can't be taken seriously in
>> > anything you post here, that would be a chump bet and totally
>> > pointless.
>>

>> Translation: I know I would lose the $100 bet because Cecil never swore
>> that he wouldn't get an extra license.
>
>
>Translation: Since we all know (you've told us) that you can't be taken
>seriously in anything you post here, that would be a chump bet and
>totally pointless.

Actually, Cecil has repeatedly pointed out that you can't prove a negative. So
he cannot prove he did not swear something.

Translation: tug, tug....

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 2706411010918609033
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 06:06:22 PST
Message-ID: <3AA4EE44.8FBCD4F9@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 14:03:48 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3AA45404.42A39164@ovis.net> <20010306083308.14891.00000191@nso-mp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 6 Mar 2001 08:59:20 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 40
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16939

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3AA45404.42A39164@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >W6RCecilA wrote:
> >>
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >
> >> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> >> > > Dave, I'll bet you $100 that I didn't swear not to get an Extra
> >license.
> >> > > Put your money where your mouth is.
> >> >
> >> > Since we all know (you've told us) that you can't be taken seriously in

```
> >> > anything you post here, that would  be a chump bet and totally
> >> > pointless.
> >>
> >> Translation: I know I would lose the $100 bet because Cecil never swore
> >> that he wouldn't get an extra license.
> >
> >
> >Translation:  Since we all know (you've told us) that you can't be taken
> >seriously in anything you post here, that would be a chump bet and
> >totally pointless.
>
> Actually, Cecil has repeatedly pointed out that you can't prove a negative. So
> he cannot prove he did not swear something.
>
> Translation: tug, tug....
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

We don't actually know that he did a search since he can't be taken
seriously.  My claim was never that Cecil used the actual word "swear"
at any rate.  One can make an oath without using that word.  He could
have said, "If I eat a piece of cake today, I'll kiss your *** in the
middle of Main Street!"  He could then change his mind and forget the
smooch.

Dave K8MN


From -2581175833213612027
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 06:06:22 PST
Message-ID: <3AA4EEC5.A0B9705@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 14:05:57 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
<3AA250A8.698546B1@IEEE.org> <3AA283FF.FA1D31A4@ovis.net>
<3AA2A4A4.668C5CFF@IEEE.org> <3AA309BD.79B9CA4D@ovis.net>
<3AA31F76.A3A52524@IEEE.org> <3AA39097.69935BB1@ovis.net>
<3AA39CDD.D3ED085D@IEEE.org> <3AA45404.42A39164@ovis.net>
<3AA46EEF.7CC55BB7@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
```

X-Trace: 6 Mar 2001 09:01:28 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 15
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16940

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > I didn't swear not to eat cake though and I already had
> > the Extra.
>
> I did a dejanews search for "swear" in any of my postings.
> I have never used the word before this week. You lose, Dave.

I wouldn't trust Al Gore to undertake the Florida recount and then
declare himself the winner. You may not have conducted such a search.
After all, nothing you hear can be taken seriously--unless you weren't
serious about that statement either.

Dave K8MN

From -2319771110831938860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 06:45:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 6 Mar 2001 14:32:23 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <982sdn$aog$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com> <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net> <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 983889143 11024 205.139.138.14 (6 Mar 2001 14:32:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Mar 2001 14:32:23 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: tlbryant@my-deja.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16941

In article <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>, T. L. Bryant

<tlbryant@my-deja.com> writes:

>
>How many times do I have to say this?
>

Saying it many times does not make it true.

Good luck on this one now!

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 6946060525612095077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 06:58:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 08:48:01 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu> <981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org> <981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.177
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983890290 64.240.47.177 (6 Mar 2001 08:51:30 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or

copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16942

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Any ham who didn't
> > meet those requirements is a criminal.
>
> There you go again, talking in circles. Any ham who hasn't isn't a ham.

On the contrary, Dick, your statement that a ham isn't a ham is absolute nonsense. A ham is an individual who holds an amateur radio license. My statement is true. Any ham who didn't meet the requirements for a ham license is a criminal. If you, as you contend, weren't required by circumstances (forced) to meet those requirements then you are a criminal.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1624504981656729459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 07:02:00 PST
Message-ID: <3AA4F989.31A72CBE@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 08:51:53 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org> <97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org> <97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71> <3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org> <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA3E113.17ECF03F@IEEE.org> <3AA3FB98.99A719A0@uci.edu>

<3AA3FDFB.3A745C5D@IEEE.org> <3AA40709.D19000F4@uci.edu>
<3AA42716.DE219767@IEEE.org> <3AA43215.5D160B51@uci.edu>
<3AA46CB0.444192A6@IEEE.org> <981sqs$vf$2@208.207.71.173>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.177
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983890523
64.240.47.177 (6 Mar 2001 08:55:23 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16943

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Of course, you use dual concepts so that you can switch at will, making
> the last answer of any respondant incorrect, in the now-switched
> different rendition of 'concept'.

Human beings often change contexts, Dick. It is up to the listener to
comprehend the changes in context. That you are too slow to do so is
a problem you need to solve.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5638581588822834071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 07:06:51 PST
Message-ID: <3AA4FAB4.5B7CE4E8@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 08:56:52 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <981h1241p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E42.32626E9D@IEEE.org> <982l0q017fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.177
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983890823
64.240.47.177 (6 Mar 2001 09:00:23 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16944

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
> >Talking to an individual at the FCC is not good enough. Where is it
> >officially published?
>
> Since you're such a stickler for definitions, look up the definition of QRM,
> and tell me how it is possible to interfere with interference.

Why don't you just say you don't know where it's officially published?
Why the diversion? As you know, talking to one Supreme Court Justice
is not good enough. Only officially published material is binding. Why
do you think that talking to one person at the FCC (probably not even
a commissioner) is good enough?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1736834343218187915
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 07:10:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 09:00:04 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.177
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983891014
64.240.47.177 (6 Mar 2001 09:03:34 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16945
```

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:

>
> Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
> > Exactly where are those words officially published?
>
> I called the FCC about this before. I was satisfied with their answer. I can't help it if you want everything in writing.

Did you talk to a real commissioner? Did you record the conversation? Getting the verbal opinion of one Supreme Court Justice is meaningless. What makes you think an opinion by an obscure person employed by the FCC carries any weight? Why is that person's opinion any more valid than Phil's? Note, these are simple questions with no hidden meanings.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4237723609341699113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 05:20:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AA39097.69935BB1@ovis.net>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 13:11:51 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
<3AA250A8.698546B1@IEEE.org> <3AA283FF.FA1D31A4@ovis.net>
<3AA2A4A4.668C5CFF@IEEE.org> <3AA309BD.79B9CA4D@ovis.net>
<3AA31F76.A3A52524@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s12.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 5 Mar 2001 08:07:57 -0500, s12.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 15
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s12.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16846

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > The posting is available in archives for anyone who cares to check it out.
>
> Dave, I'll bet you $100 that I didn't swear not to get an Extra license.
> Put your money where your mouth is.

Since we all know (you've told us) that you can't be taken seriously in anything you post here, that would be a chump bet and totally pointless.

I wish I could take you seriously.

Dave K8MN

From 1882820595045271683

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 05:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-
for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 13:30:18 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 92
Message-ID: <3AA394EA.28B4B214@bctv.com>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AA1190C.45ECB26A@bctv.com> <97s3e6$1v7j$1@heap.juniper.net>
<3AA1B273.CF9603FE@bctv.com> <97vcju$1t31$1@heap.juniper.net>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 983799163 37873 63.87.116.71 (5 Mar 2001 13:32:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Mar 2001 13:32:43 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16847



Dennis Ferguson wrote:
>
> Keith Wood  <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >Dennis Ferguson wrote:
> >>
> >> Keith Wood  <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >> >Dennis Ferguson wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>  Also, no where did it say the
> >> >> beam is "narrow", no EM beam can be perfectly collimated in any case
> >> >> and how big it is at any particular distance is a matter of adjusting
> >> >> the focus and having sufficient power available.
> >> >
> >> >Power is the problem.  The beam MUST be narrow or it will have no effect
> >> >whatsoever.  Even the report says that the beam must be held against a
> >> >"spot on the skin for 3 seconds."
> >>
> >> So, what is the power density required to heat an area of skin to 130
> >> degrees to a depth of 1/64" in less than three seconds?  I can guesstimate
> >> it from the specific heat of water, but you must know more precisely to
> >> make the above assertion with such authority so I'd like to know your
> >> answer instead.
```

> >
> >You are looking at the problem from the wrong end.
>
> I'm not sure there is another end.

This is why you didn't see it.

> >It is not important to know power levels -- only that, for use as a weapon, they would swap anything for speed. A wider beam will require more power to get the same depth of damage in the same time frame. Thus, the beam is narrow to get more depth (and speed) from the same power.
>
> ?? I think you missed the point of the weapon. It is for crowd control, so the whole idea is to cause people pain without doing damage to them. This makes the shallow penetration depth a feature, since all the nerves are located near the surface of the skin. If you want to cause people more pain you actually want the beam to be bigger, with the power density constant, so that you heat more skin and more nerves.

If you don't provide sufficient signal density, your weapon isn't a weapon. Essentially, this thing is a point-and-shoot microwave oven. There are a number of things which can prevent it from working as intended, and the only solution is to boost the effective power. That can be done by more watts or more antenna gain. Watts are expensive. You already need an antenna system, so making this more effective is the reasonable solution.

> This is a bit beside the point, however, since they give the specifications in the article (increase skin temperature to a depth of 1/64" to 130 degrees F in under 3 seconds) and all you really do by varying the width of the beam is to vary the power density. So what power density is required to achieve that effect, and how wide a beam having that power density can you generate with a power supply that is carried in a truck?

Theoretical power is beside the point. There are several lines on the graph, including duty cycle and reliability.

> >Unless, of course, you plan to require the targets to stand absolutely still for 9 seconds, just so you can double the beamwidth and get the same results . . .
>
> If you double the beamwidth while keeping total power constant you'll reduce the power density be 4 and it will take 4 times as long to get the same effect (I think this question might be on the Technician exam),

Beamwidth is on the "cube-square" rule. What takes 3 seconds at one width will take the cube of 3 seconds (9 seconds) for the same power distribution on a bean double the width but the same power.

> so let's figure out the power density required to do it in the time they specify. If skin has the same specific heat as water then

> to raise a 1/64" thick chunk of it by 30 Celsius in 2.5 seconds should
> require about 2 W/cm^2, or 20 kW/m^2, assuming 100% of the RF is
> absorbed. I've seen pictures of mobile CB linear amplifiers which
> produce enough output to support a beam 2 meters in diameter at this
> power density, so I assume the military might be able to do at least
> this well too. To fit this power supply in a truck assumes that masers
> have gotten a lot more efficient then they were 20 years ago, but lasers
> have gotten a lot better since then so I assume masers could have as well.
>
> A 2 meter diameter beam just doesn't seem all that hard to aim from the
> comfort of an armored vehicle. Are you sure there is a problem?
>
> Dennis Ferguson

I'm sure.

From -894965477957034966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 05:34:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 245
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 13:32:50 GMT
References: <3aa30b43.5755357@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010305083250.12822.00000153@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16848

In article <3aa30b43.5755357@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

(N2EY wrote):

>>I would say that the General of the mid-60s, compared to the technology and
>>regs of its time, required at least as much as the Extra of today. Probably
>>more. Of course the areas covered in the exams, and the exam process, are
>quite
>>different.
>
>Thanks, I didn't think so myself having passed that general
>test as a teenager (1958-9) with a good memory.

All sorts of people passed both the old and new tests. I passed the 1968

General and Advanced at the age of 14 and the 1970 Extra at 16. I was surprised
at how easy they were.

>Didn't know why things
>were what they were, but had no problem memorizing
>enough to pass.

Much of ANY ham exam is memorization - the band edges, for example. Much of
what is on the tests, then as now, is regulatory stuff like that.
>
>>I specifically chose 1971 because that was about the "high water mark" for
>test
>>requirements vs. privileges granted. In 1971, the Novice had no voice
>>privileges and was a 2 year, one-time license. Technician had no HF/MF at
>all -
>>they didn't even get all of amateur VHF/UHF, yet the Tech required 5 wpm and
>>the same written as General. The privileges of a General or Conditional were
>at
>>their lowest point compared to the full privileges available to a US ham.
>
>So you are validating the dis-satisfaction that generals
>of the 60s had when they lost privaleges.

All of the Generals and Advanceds that I knew who were ticked off by the loss
of privileges thought they had "earned the right" to all privileges, and did
not want to have to take another test to retain them. The FCC disagreed.

>You note
>that they (the pre-incentive generals) took a tougher
>test than Extras do now.

In my opinion, the old General test required morebasic radio knowledge than
today's Extra does. Just my opinion. But ham radio, radio technology in
general, and the test process were VERY different back then.
>
>>There was still a 2 year waiting period to even take the Extra test. For a
>>General or higher, you had to go to an FCC exam point unless you were way
>out
>>in the boonies or physically disabled (and the area that qualified as "way
>out
>>in the boonies" was very limited). Novices and Techs had to take their exams
>by
>>mail, using a process that took about three months from start to finish if
>you
>>didn't fail anything.
>>
>>No Bash books, no CSCEs, no VECs or test sessions at hamfests, all test
>>questions were secret and you had to wait 30 days minimum to retest. Because
>of
>>all the effort one had to expend just to get to a test session, most hams
>back
>>then tended to overprepare for the exams.
>

>ARRL license manuals, AMECO study guides, and others
>gave the same info, often with "sample" test questions that
>amazingly were indeed on the test in sometimes, exact
>format.

But you did not KNOW ahead of time that the questions and answers would be so
similar. Today, a prospective ham knows that the exact Q&A in the study guide
will be on the test.

The ARRL LM study guides were just reprints of the official FCC study guides. I
always thought it was odd that the study guides were in essay format while the
actual tests were multiple choice. The reason is now obvious: it added the step
of translation to the testing process.
>
>>Since about the mid '70s, the trend has all been toward making the licenses
>>easier to get. In many ways, I think that's a good thing. For example, being
>>able to take exams at a hamfest on a weekend sure beats having to travel
>long
>>distances to an FCC office to take the same exam on a weekday.
>
>Absolutely agree.

One can even imagine that the old difficulty of access could be challenged in
court. After all, the amateur license is not a commercial license, and the FCC
had a long history of mail order license testing. A prospective ham who lived
174 miles "air line" from the nearest FCC quarterly exam point might have to
travel a lot more than 174 actual miles to get there. For school kids like me,
getting out of school for an FCC exam was out of the question, so the
opportunities to take the test were severly limited - only sessions in the
summer or Christmas break were possible, and Christmas sometimes fell on exam
day.
>
>>The FCC's ideas on ham license requirements have wandered all over the map
>in
>>the past 50 years. Before 1953, the license requirements for full privileges
>>(Class A) were very tough, particularly the writtens. In 1953, Generals,
>>Conditionals and above got all privileges in a surprise move by the FCC. But
>>after a few years, the FCC rethought its decision and the whole process that
>>led up to the 1968 "incentive licensing" changes came to be.
>
>What the FCC failed to see (IMHO) in their perspective was
>the ever increasing knowledge base that a person needed
>to be viewed by industry as technically competent.

EXACTLY! Excellent point!!!

I think they DID see it in the early '60s, and that is what drove the
"incentive licensing" changes. But by the 1970s that idea began to be
abandoned.
>
>>The FCC thought as early as 1958 that the requirements for full privileges
>>(General/Conditional) were way too easy. And they were primarily concerned
>with

>>the written test requirements, not the code tests. The code test stuff came
>>later - and it was not the ARRL's idea.
>
>Except for 20 wpm, what changed on code tests?

FCC proposed demoting existing Advanceds to General, then creating the "Amateur
First Class" license to replace it. A "First" license would have required a 16
wpm code test and a new written. ARRL and others opposed it so vigorously that
the idea was eventually dropped.

> Indeed, the
>FCC added the Advanced which only required a written test
>to upgrade to.

They reactivated the Advanced - there were about 40,000 existing Advanceds in
1967. The original 1963 ARRL proposal (made in response to an FCC inquiry) was
simple: Reopen the Advanced and require either an Advanced or Extra for full
privs. Simple and NO new code test for anybody to retain full privileges. FCC
didn't go for it.

> For years the majority of generals didn't bother.

Unless you wanted to operate 'phone, there was no reason to. For serious CW,
you needed an Extra.
>
>>The period of "Generals get it all" was actually quite short - 15 years and
>9
>>months. It was the exception, not the rule. The people who were running the
>>FCC back then are almost certainly all gone now. The philosophy has come
>almost
>>full circle.
>>
>>Ask Phil Kane - he was there.
>>
>>Make no mistake - the FCC is quite clearly acting to reduce illegal
>operation
>>by making legal operation easier.
>
>Again, that has never been stated anywhere.

Of course not. They aren't going to admit a thing like that in public. It may
not even be a "conscious" effort.

> That is
>only your own perspective. We obvioulsy disagree.

But you must agree that the idea is reasonable.
>
>>The basic question is this: Why operate cb illegally? Why "freeband" when
>ham
>>radio offers so much more? As I see it, there are only three explanations:
>>
>>1) To do things that ham radio does not allow legally. Examples are

>commercial
>>uses, jamming, music, operation without proper ID, superpower,
>>obscenity/profanity, etc.
>>
>>2) To be part of a subculture that supports the activities of 1)
>>
>>3) To avoid the test and license requirements for a ham license.
>>
>>Making ham licenses easier to get won't address 1) or 2), but will affect
>those
>>people primarily motivated by 3).
>
>And I don't see any mass influx of former freebanders
>to validate your analysis.

Don't need them. I'm not saying this idea will work! If most "freebanders" are
motivated by 1) or 2), changing the licenses tests will have no effect on them
because they WANT to be illegal.
>
>>>>Please understand, I'm not saying this was the ONLY reason for the changes
>-
>>>>just that it was one of them. And I'm not saying that these changes were
>all
>>>>bad, either. I'm just explaining my view of part of the FCC's motivation
>and
>>>>thinking on the subject, and how it has changed from the heyday of
>>>"incentive
>>>>licensing" to now.
>>>
>>>As above, different people see different reasons behind
>>>FCC actions.
>>
>>Of course. And there are almost certainly multiple reasons behind their
>>actions. Reducing the drain on FCC resources is a BIG factor, too, and has
>been
>>for a long time.
>
>Yet we finally see an actual increase and commitment
>of such resources for enforcement in the last 2
>years.

The big commitment of resources is in enforcement, not license testing. And
that commitment came because of endless requests and complaints from the ARRL,
other ham groups and individuals. The R&O changes created a short-term flurry
of activity, but will result in long-term savings because the whole structure
is simplified.

One interesting side effect is the number of Novices who have renewed since
April 2000. Maybe their interest has been renewed, too.
>
>>Think about that question, though. Why would anyone operate illegally when
>so
>>much is available legally?

>
>The tech with 5 wpm has been around long enough to
>counter that argument.
>

The Tech with 5 wpm only gets you limited-power 10 meter SSB in the busiest part of the band, plus the Novice CW stuff. No 'phone or data anywhere else on HF/MF. If you don't want those limited privileges, you need at least a General. Pre-R&O General meant 3 written tests totalling 100 questions and 13 wpm code (or 5 wpm and a medical waiver). Now, a General requires just two writtens totalling 70 questions and 5 wpm code. You tell me which one is a bigger "investment" to get the same privileges.

The "price" of full privileges has been reduced even more. But remember, the FCC's idea of the appropriate requirements has wandered all over the map in the past.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 6981370939030027515
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 05:42:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 13:38:16 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3AA396C8.84978DCC@bctv.com>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net> <97uc94$gc5$1@news.monmouth.com> <gks5ato4ovil6bn6u8u410pstudn4uoj5l@4ax.com> <B6C8D105.45E8%stewartx@sccoast.net>

```
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 983799642 38288 63.87.116.71 (5 Mar 2001 13:40:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Mar 2001 13:40:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9802
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12942 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16849 rec.radio.cb:27095
rec.radio.scanner:14343 rec.radio.shortwave:28058
```

```
Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Bob Brock wrote:
>
> > [snip] Plus, do you really think that
> > the only ones to use this technology
> > will be the US military?
>
>   Agreed.  In fact, they let the cat out of the bag with the press release
> (its based on RF).  Since we're talking about radio here, it should not be
> that difficult to figure out - almost anybody familiar with radio could come
> up with the frequency used with little experimenting and the equipment
> should certainly not be that difficult to build.  Somehow, I suspect we're
> seeing the beginnings of a whole new arms race.

The interesting thing is that pretty much anyone can build this thing --
and, if the government is claiming that it's NOT a dangerous weapon,
then if the intended victims "do unto others before they are done unto,"
there isn't a lot of room for the Feds to complain, is there?

Wouldn't you love to be in THAT courtroom?

ATTY:  "Officer, you testified that the defendant was using a Scrooch
Gun on you.  Yet we have heard testimony that the defendant had an
Amateur Radio license, and was transmitting at legal power and frequency
. . ."
```

```
From -4672561743603019138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 05:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!
news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 13:40:14 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AA3973E.38C59CAB@bctv.com>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$
%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<97uc94$gc5$1@news.monmouth.com> <B6C8C442.45B0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 983799758 38288 63.87.116.71 (5 Mar 2001 13:42:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Mar 2001 13:42:38 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9803
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12943 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16850 rec.radio.cb:27096
rec.radio.scanner:14344 rec.radio.shortwave:28059
```

Doggit wrote:

>
> > What kind of anti American rock did you
> > crawl out from under? This weapon is a
> > NON lethal tactical weapon. I suggest you
> > put your sorry ass in a "peace keeping",
> > or "law enforcement" role that US
> > military forces deployed on in the past

Hmmmm . . .my copy of the Constitution of the United States of America
seems to be missing the page that authorizes the use of American troops
in "peacekeeping" missions . . .

From 3836111906701365577
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 05:56:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 07:44:35 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983800086
64.240.47.134 (5 Mar 2001 07:48:06 -0600)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16851

Jim Kelley wrote:
> The problem I see here is that your argument carries with it some baggage
> from the earlier discussion, when you implied that the government should not
> force radio amateurs to do certain things.

Don't you believe that the government should not force radio amateurs
to do certain things? (Like dunk a basketball?)

> From what you've said in this
> latest round, it seems as though you're using the word force to describe the
> simple fact that the government has requirements that must be met for

> obtaining an amateur license. This time without commentary as to the merit
> of those qualifications. Is this an accurate summary?

The present argument is limited to the definition of the word "forced".
K7JEB put it wisely when he said, in effect, that governments force
citizens to obey laws and meet requirements. That's what governments do.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 71601365016506241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 06:16:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AA39CDD.D3ED085D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 08:04:13 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
<3AA250A8.698546B1@IEEE.org> <3AA283FF.FA1D31A4@ovis.net>
<3AA2A4A4.668C5CFF@IEEE.org> <3AA309BD.79B9CA4D@ovis.net>
<3AA31F76.A3A52524@IEEE.org> <3AA39097.69935BB1@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983801263
64.240.47.134 (5 Mar 2001 08:07:43 -0600)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
```

```
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!
sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cyclone.bc.net!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16852

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Dave, I'll bet you $100 that I didn't swear not to get an Extra license.
> > Put your money where your mouth is.
>
> Since we all know (you've told us) that you can't be taken seriously in
> anything you post here, that would  be a chump bet and totally
> pointless.

Translation: I know I would lose the $100 bet because Cecil never swore
that he wouldn't get an extra license.

Even if you weren't lying, there's nothing to prohibit me from changing
my mind. Have you ever said you weren't going to do something and then
changed your mind?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -4324927667010257906
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 06:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Who Knows" <who@knows.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 08:20:42 -0600
```

```
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <ta78219tlm3b20@corp.supernews.com>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$
%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<97uc94$gc5$1@news.monmouth.com> <gks5ato4ovil6bn6u8u410pstudn4uoj5l@4ax.com>
<B6C8D105.45E8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 28
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9804
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12947 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16853 rec.radio.cb:27098
rec.radio.scanner:14348 rec.radio.shortwave:28061

They would simply ban the frequencies use for the general public and make it
a federal penalty to even possess it.
Who Knows or the fake who knows, I'm not sure

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6C8D105.45E8%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> Bob Brock wrote:
>
> > [snip] Plus, do you really think that
> > the only ones to use this technology
> > will be the US military?
>
>
>   Agreed.  In fact, they let the cat out of the bag with the press release
> (its based on RF).  Since we're talking about radio here, it should not be
> that difficult to figure out - almost anybody familiar with radio could
come
> up with the frequency used with little experimenting and the equipment
> should certainly not be that difficult to build.  Somehow, I suspect we're
> seeing the beginnings of a whole new arms race.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>




From -1020355816306310590
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 06:32:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
```

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3aa30b43.5755357@news.mindspring.com>
<20010305083250.12822.00000153@nso-fj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 37
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 09:30:02 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 983802605 209.140.206.201 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 09:30:05 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 09:30:05 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16854
```

N2EY wrote:

```
>
> In article <3aa30b43.5755357@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> (Bill Sohl) writes:
>
> (N2EY wrote):
>
> >>Make no mistake - the FCC is quite clearly acting to reduce illegal
> >operation
> >>by making legal operation easier.
> >
> >Again, that has never been stated anywhere.
>
> Of course not. They aren't going to admit a thing like that in public. It may
> not even be a "conscious" effort.
>
> > That is
> >only your own perspective.  We obvioulsy disagree.
>
> But you must agree that the idea is reasonable.
```

It might be if there were direct evidence to support it, or at least if there were not direct evidence to dispute it. Each of the changes the FCC has made to licensing requirements has been accompanied by explanations of the rationale behind the change. I'm not aware of anything the FCC has said in those documents that supports your idea. You've suggested that the FCC either didn't know that was why they were taking the actions they did or that they lied about it. Neither is "reasonable," particularly when you consider that the changes took place over a span of about 30 years, under the auspices of a number of different FCC commissioners and staff members. To accept your thesis requires accepting that all of those people have, for 30 years, been acting unconsciously, or that there has been a 30-year conspiracy to

commit misfeasance. Unless someone can adduce credible evidence to
support your thesis, I think it's just blather.

Jon

```
From -7865094743312392254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 07:18:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 5 Mar 2001 15:16:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <980ake$nok$0@208.207.71.152>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<YgKo6.1467$OS1.1222045@nntp2.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.152
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16855
```

Richard McCollum wrote:

> Legal or otherwise, how much good can come of doing Blah, Blah, Blooie on
> AM at 28.085? My interpretation is that we no not need to respect the
> pirate for purposes of malicious interference but this does not mean rules
> are suspended.

Oh, absolutely right, Dick. Certainly no one is authorized to break the
rules just to 'punish' another rule breaker. I abhor the idea that we
find that we should feel the need to "drive him off our band" but that's
about what we're left with. No proper means of dealing with that sort of
problem is in the offing.

>

Time to

> revive wide-shift RTTY; catch the BBC at 12.090 to see what that does to
> listening pleasure.

A nice long PACTOR CQ would prety well do it, too.

Dick

From -3489015959669557719
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 08:10:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr16.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA3A948.3CFC5D5B@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com> <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 52
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.62.119.222
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr16.news.prodigy.com 983808448 6004826 216.62.119.222 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 11:07:28 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 11:07:28 EST
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 16:07:28 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16856

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Mon, 05 Mar 2001 04:15:01 GMT, JR wrote:
>
> >Yes you can, and should, interfere with an illegal station
>
>   Are you aiding and abetting by urging someone to commit a
>   criminal act (Willful interference - Sect 333 of the Comm
>   Act).

That is plain stupid. The FCC has stated that it is not considered
interference.

>

>
> >and as far as the FCC is concerned
>
>   Are you authorized to speak for the FCC?

I have spoken to FCC engineers at monitoring stations and they agree that
such is not considered interference.

>
>
> >you are not breaking any rules by interfering with these cb morons as
> >they are not licensed.
>
>   Are you a communications attorney who has training and
>   experience in interpreting communications law?

Ask the FCC

>
>
> >The FCC isn't going to do anything to a ham station that
> >interferes with these illegal bootleggers, so jam them all you want.

Ask the FCC

>
>
>   Are you authorized to speak for the FCC?
>
>   Are you willing to pay thr penalty (monetary or time or loss of
>   privileges) for anyone who follows your "advice"?
>

No one is going to get fined, period!

From 1940630358601759394
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 08:32:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 08:22:21 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu>

References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-50.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16857

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > From what you've said in this
> > latest round, it seems as though you're using the word force to describe
the
> > simple fact that the government has requirements that must be met for
> > obtaining an amateur license. This time without commentary as to the
merit
> > of those qualifications. Is this an accurate summary?

> The present argument is limited to the definition of the word "forced".
> K7JEB put it wisely when he said, in effect, that governments force
> citizens to obey laws and meet requirements.

You forgot to answer my question.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -2111219288271341504
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 09:32:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 5 Mar 2001 17:28:24 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>

NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 983813304 25870 205.139.138.14 (5 Mar 2001 17:28:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Mar 2001 17:28:24 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: jr@some.net
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16858

In article <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>, JR <jr@some.net> writes:

>Yes you can, and should, interfere with an illegal station .....

Your statement has got to be in the running for the DAPOTYACAP (Dumb Assed Post Of The Year Award Certificate And Plaque)!

First, I've got better ways to spend my time than transmitted deliberate interference on top of some dump huck CBer.

Two, the dump huck CBer probably doesn't hear you anyhow.

Three, your interference masks the interlopers signal during DF efforts.

Four, deliberate interference is deliberate interference -- you're nothing more than another rules violator, no better than the dump huck CBer that you're jamming.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 8984410294084398358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 09:40:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
spamz.news.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 109

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 17:39:02 GMT
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16859

>Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
>From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
>Date: Mon, Mar 5, 2001 09:30 EST
>Message-id: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3aa30b43.5755357@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>> (Bill Sohl) writes:
>>
>> (N2EY wrote):
>>
>> >>Make no mistake - the FCC is quite clearly acting to reduce illegal
>> >operation
>> >>by making legal operation easier.
>> >
>> >Again, that has never been stated anywhere.
>>
>> Of course not. They aren't going to admit a thing like that in public. It
>may
>> not even be a "conscious" effort.
>>
>> > That is
>> >only your own perspective. We obvioulsy disagree.
>>
>> But you must agree that the idea is reasonable.
>
>It might be if there were direct evidence to support it, or at least if
>there were not direct evidence to dispute it.

The direct evidence is the changes in licensing procedures for the past 30
years.

> Each of the changes the
>FCC has made to licensing requirements has been accompanied by
>explanations of the rationale behind the change.

Of course. As I have stated before, there are a number of reasons for each
change that has been made. For example, the change from FCC-run tests to VECs
wasn't just to save the FCC some money. It also made the test procedure much
more accessible to prospective hams.

> I'm not aware of

>anything the FCC has said in those documents that supports your idea.

Neither am I. But the pattern of changes is still there. Can you name any changes since 1971 that have made licenses harder to get?

>You've suggested that the FCC either didn't know that was why they were
>taking the actions they did or that they lied about it.

No, I did not say they lied. I don't think they lied, either. Not putting every possible reason for something in their report is not lying, in my book.

And government agencies, in general, use language that can support a variety of ideas and interpretations.

> Neither is
>"reasonable," particularly when you consider that the changes took place
>over a span of about 30 years, under the auspices of a number of
>different FCC commissioners and staff members. To accept your thesis
>requires accepting that all of those people have, for 30 years, been
>acting unconsciously, or that there has been a 30-year conspiracy to
>commit misfeasance.

I don't think they were conspiring or commiting misfeasance.

> Unless someone can adduce credible evidence to
>support your thesis, I think it's just blather.

Your opinion noted. Mine's different.

Consider this analogy:

Back in the '70s the Feds imposed a national speed limit of 55 mph. (As I understand it, they made compliance by the states "voluntary", but a state that didn't comply lost Federal highway funds in a big way). This change was reportedly done to save fuel and to encourage alternate means of transportation. It also was credited with reducing pollution and saving tens of thousands of lives.

Enforcing this limit was tough, because many people felt it was their "right" to go faster. "I can't drive 55" was a popular phrase....;-)

Once the fuel shortages of the '70s ended and the price of fuel stabilized, pressure to raise the speed limits increased. So did wholesale violations - in fact, one excuse used by many speeders was "If I go 55 I'll get rear-ended!!!"

Many drivers felt that the 55 mph rule was overly restrictive. Respect for speed limits of ALL types decreased among some drivers.

So a few years ago the rules were changed, and now we have higher speed limits on many roads. Did the regulatory agencies say "55 was a mistake" or "we know that nobody is obeying the speed limit on many roads, so we're changing the

law"? I doubt it. Can we say that the wholesale violation of the 55 speed limit
on many roads had no effect whatsoever on the regulatory agencies' decision?
You tell me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

From 158086154076637067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 09:52:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newscon01.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr16.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com> <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net> <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.43.120
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr16.news.prodigy.com 983814626 6004826 208.188.43.120 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 12:50:26 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 12:50:26 EST
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:50:26 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16860

Wrong! the FCC doesn't consider it interference since the dump huck cb is illegal,
so if I transmit on the same frequency I am not violating any rules.

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

>
>

```
> Four, deliberate interference is deliberate interference -- you're nothing
> more than another rules violator, no better than the dump huck CBer that
> you're jamming.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB



From -8284832951666670832
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 10:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 18:07:39 GMT
References: <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010305130739.02334.00001475@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16861

>That would be willful and malicious interference. It's in Part 97, look it
>up.
>(and yes, you can't even interfere with an illegal station...crazy, eh?

No Problem let the Illegal Station complain to the FCC


From 6375756295973775367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 10:52:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qczr0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9pc4i3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010305040225.29440.00000609@ng-mm1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Lines: 17
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 18:51:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983818297 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 18:51:37 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 18:51:37 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16862

On 05 Mar 2001 09:02:25 GMT, SSB411D wrote:

>Hi Phil,
>
>Thanks for the info. Do you know what the penalties were,(are) kinda curious?
>Thanks again.

Starts at $2000 per occurrence.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -8934623134062152776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 10:52:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com> <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 36
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 18:51:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983818299 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 18:51:39
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 18:51:39 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16863

On 5 Mar 2001 06:44:30 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>
>>   Are you aiding and abetting by urging someone to commit a
>>   criminal act (Willful interference - Sect 333 of the Comm
>>   Act).
>>
> I clearly remember that it was reported in the ham press a few years
>ago that the FCC said, in response to a specific inquiry, that it was
>not possible to interfere with a communication that was illegal.

  What was said was that the  operator of said unlicensed
  communication has no protection against harmful interference
  from a station operating within the terms of its license.

  That doesn't put teflon coating on an "interferer" who is doing
  so willfully.

> I also remember that the District Director for the San Diego district
>was reported to have said that it was illigal for amateurs to operat
>outside the ham bands under any circumstances, even in an emergency.
>This statement seems clearly contrary to FCC regulatons. So it seems
>clear that the right hand doesn't always know what the left is up to
>within the commission.

  There was a lot  of misunderstanding on that....which was
  cleared up with the individual.  We discussed this a while
  back, IIRC.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From -4282333700518330179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 10:52:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qed83.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 14
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 18:51:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983818300 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 18:51:40 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 18:51:40 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16865

On 05 Mar 2001 17:39:02 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>Neither am I. But the pattern of changes is still there. Can you name any
>changes since 1971 that have made licenses harder to get?

Yeah...it was easier for me to take the test at the FCC office
(all four tests that I have taken were done so) rather than
scout up a VE session and go there. <ggg>

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -1162173376920649156
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 10:52:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qe3g2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3A948.3CFC5D5B@some.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 48
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 18:51:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983818299 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 18:51:39
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 18:51:39 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16864

On Mon, 05 Mar 2001 16:07:28 GMT, JR wrote:

>> >Yes you can, and should, interfere with an illegal station
>>
>>   Are you aiding and abetting by urging someone to commit a
>>   criminal act (Willful interference - Sect 333 of the Comm
>>   Act).
>
>That is plain stupid. The FCC has stated that it is not considered
>interference.

  Gee, that's strange.  In the legal seminars that I have
  taught for FCC personnel on that subject it was definitely
  deemed to be a violation of Sect. 333.

>> >and as far as the FCC is concerned
>>
>>   Are you authorized to speak for the FCC?
>
>I have spoken to FCC engineers at monitoring stations and they agree that
>such is not considered interference.

  Your next door neighbor is a doctor.  Does that make you
  qualified to do brain surgery?

>>   Are you a communications attorney who has training and
>>   experience in interpreting communications law?

>Ask the FCC

  Ask the FCC what?  Whether you are a communications attorney
  who has training and experience in interpreting communications
  law?  They've never heard of you......

>>  Are you willing to  pay the penalty (monetary or time or loss of
>>   privileges) for anyone who follows your "advice"?

>No one is going to get fined, period!

  You're sure of that?  On what basis?
  That "advice" in itself is reckless......answer the question.

  "Know before whom you stand"

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From -1435000318634604048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 10:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA3E05F.6028837F@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 67
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 13:52:15 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 983818342 209.140.206.201 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 13:52:22 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 13:52:22 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16866

N2EY wrote:
>
> The direct evidence is the changes in licensing procedures for the past 30
> years.

Just because a change may have had a certain effect (which is arguable
in the first place) does not imply that the effect was intended. The law
of unintended consequences is called a "law" because unintended
consequences are common. Direct evidence of the FCC's motives cannot be
gleaned from the effects. It can only come from those who knew the
motives.

> Of course. As I have stated before, there are a number of reasons for each
> change that has been made. For example, the change from FCC-run tests to VECs
> wasn't just to save the FCC some money. It also made the test procedure much
> more accessible to prospective hams.
```

But was the intent of the change to minimize illegal operation?

> > I'm not aware of
> >anything the FCC has said in those documents that supports your idea.
>
> Neither am I. But the pattern of changes is still there. Can you name any
> changes since 1971 that have made licenses harder to get?

Irrelevant. I don't question that fewer impediments to getting a license exist than in 1971. I do question your assertion that the changes were made in order to convert illegal operation to legal operation. But I'm willing to believe it if the evidence is forthcoming.

> No, I did not say they lied. I don't think they lied, either. Not putting every
> possible reason for something in their report is not lying, in my book.

I don't want to quibble about definitions. Can we agree that your claim is that the FCC either did not know (!) or did not tell a substantial part of the truth about their reasons for taking the actions they did?

> And government agencies, in general, use language that can support a variety of
> ideas and interpretations.

No more so than rrap posters. :-) But if you know of language from the FCC that can support the interpretation that they were making changes to amateur licensing in order to reduce illegal operation, I'd be interested to know of it.

> I don't think they were conspiring or commiting misfeasance.

Again, let's set the definitions aside. Do you think they have withheld substantive information about their intent?

> Consider this analogy:

Analogies are irrelevant. If you're going to claim that someone's -- or some agency's -- motives are different from what they state them to be, I think you have a moral obligation to support your accusation with something more substantial than analogies.

> So a few years ago the rules were changed, and now we have higher speed limits
> on many roads. Did the regulatory agencies say "55 was a mistake" or "we know
> that nobody is obeying the speed limit on many roads, so we're changing the
> law"? I doubt it.

They did in Connecticut. The legislature said, in essence, that Nutmeggers had voted with their accelerator pedals so may as well acknowledge the fact and raise the limit.

Jon

```
From 5553012226814714172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 11:04:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 21
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 19:03:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983819017 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 19:03:37
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 19:03:37 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16867
```

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

>> Four, deliberate interference is deliberate interference -- you're nothing
>> more than another rules violator, no better than the dump huck CBer that
>> you're jamming.
>>
>> 73, Hans, K0HB

But JR wrote:

>Wrong! the FCC doesn't consider it interference since the dump
>huck cb is illegal, so if I transmit on the same frequency I am
>not violating any rules.

Hans, don't you know that Mister JR knows more about this
subject than FCC enforcement professionals do?

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 3527353123467390698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 11:04:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qf505.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
<20010305130739.02334.00001475@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 18
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 19:03:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983819018 206.58.44.61 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 19:03:38
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 19:03:38 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16868
```

On 05 Mar 2001 18:07:39 GMT, WA8ULX wrote:

```
>>That would be willful and malicious interference. It's in Part 97, look it
>>up.
>>(and yes, you can't even interfere with an illegal station...crazy, eh?
>
>No Problem let the Illegal Station complain to the FCC
```

Better yet, let a third party point it out, or more
realistically, the FCC observes it while in the process of
investigating the illegal operation, and guess who the
mousetrap springs on...two for one is not a bad deal.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 4591459592440953896
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 11:06:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AA3E113.17ECF03F@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 12:55:15 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org> <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983818724
64.240.47.49 (5 Mar 2001 12:58:44 -0600)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16869

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org...
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > From what you've said in this
> > > latest round, it seems as though you're using the word force to describe
> the
> > > simple fact that the government has requirements that must be met for
> > > obtaining an amateur license.  This time without commentary as to the
> merit
> > > of those qualifications.  Is this an accurate summary?
>
> > The present argument is limited to the definition of the word "forced".
> > K7JEB put it wisely when he said, in effect, that governments force
```

> > citizens to obey laws and meet requirements.
>
> You forgot to answer my question.

Nope, I didn't. Read it again.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5567824365167790842
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 12:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 20:19:23 GMT
References: <97v5cl$iv4$1@208.207.71.166>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010305151923.22834.00000656@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16870

Hey there Dick,

Once again, you seem to be the grand prize winner! You took an innocent question presented for no other reason other than curiosity and despite a request for civility, you found it necessary to interject with a sarcastic quip.

Dick, what could've possibly happenned in your life to make it impossible to respond to people in a nice manner. I've read a great deal of really informative information here, much of it presented by some that I don't necessarily agree with...but they posted with good intent. I've just about never see you agree with anybody unless they've joined you in attacking somebody else whose views differ from your own.

>Could it be that he is trying to make us believe it's what he actually
>uses?

Gee whiz Dick, I thought I answered this adequately when I responded to Richard. The issue is not about whether or not I wan't you to believe that this is actually my gear. It's about why you feel it necessary to question my honesty or attack my integrity. Didn't mum ever teach you that "If you don't have anything nice to say..." You know the rest, don't you?...don't you?! I'd understand if you were part of the "me" generation, but The Amazing Kreskin senses that this is not the case. Is it because I'm "just" an 11 meter op, would you fell better if I listed my call? I don't think so since you seem to attack everybody...even your contemporaries.

Anyway Dick, you take care now and I hope whatever is eating at you subsides. There's much fun to be had if the bag of anger is checked at the door. By the way Glenn Miller played, those that made the hit parraaaaade; Guys like us, wehad it made...those were the days. "Ya dun good, Edit!" 73.

Bert

P.S. Dis I mention the Bencher LPF. Oh, I forgot...you probably wouldn't believ that an 1 meter operator would use one of those. Take care, Dick.

From 8044134696940850429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 12:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 12:48:24 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3AA3FB98.99A719A0@uci.edu>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org> <97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org> <97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71> <3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org> <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA3E113.17ECF03F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16871

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > news:3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org...

> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > From what you've said in this
> > > > latest round, it seems as though you're using the word force to describe
> > the
> > > > simple fact that the government has requirements that must be met for
> > > > obtaining an amateur license. This time without commentary as to the
> > merit
> > > > of those qualifications. Is this an accurate summary?
> >
> > > The present argument is limited to the definition of the word "forced".
> > > K7JEB put it wisely when he said, in effect, that governments force
> > > citizens to obey laws and meet requirements.
> >
> > You forgot to answer my question.
>
> Nope, I didn't. Read it again.

Neither the word 'yes' nor the word 'no' are to be found anywhere in
there. Is your answer yes?

ac6xg

From -700921789272641524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 13:02:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 5 Mar 2001 20:49:20 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <980u4g$i7g$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <97v7ui$rn3$0@208.207.71.166>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 983825360 18672 205.139.138.14 (5 Mar 2001 20:49:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Mar 2001 20:49:20 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: dixie@townsqr.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16872

In article <97v7ui$rn3$0@208.207.71.166>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> The FCC has clearly stated that an illegal signal, that
> has no statutory right to use a frequency cannot be interfered
> with by a legal station.

Sorry Dick, but this is an urban legend, right up there with the
"Good luck, Mr. Gorsky" statement during Neil Armstrong's Apollo
11 EVA.

Good luck on this one now!

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From -7510823279975931746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 13:10:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AA3FDFB.3A745C5D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 14:58:35 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org> <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA3E113.17ECF03F@IEEE.org> <3AA3FB98.99A719A0@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983826126
64.240.47.70 (5 Mar 2001 15:02:06 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
```

```
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!enews.sgi.com!newspeer.cwnet.com!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16873

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Neither the word 'yes' nor the word 'no' are to be found anywhere in
> there.  Is your answer yes?

If my present argument is limited to a definition, then it is without
commentary as to merit. It is limited to quoting the dictionary.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -1952140963481454512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 13:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 13:37:13 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AA40709.D19000F4@uci.edu>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org> <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA3E113.17ECF03F@IEEE.org> <3AA3FB98.99A719A0@uci.edu>
<3AA3FDFB.3A745C5D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16874

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Neither the word 'yes' nor the word 'no' are to be found anywhere in
> > there. Is your answer yes?
>
> If my present argument is limited to a definition, then it is without
> commentary as to merit. It is limited to quoting the dictionary.

So do you agree that the reason you might be meeting some of this resistance to the idea that the government forces people to take code tests is that you've argued in the past that the government _shouldn't_ force people to take code tests?

I'm just curious about that.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -6570706736366177866
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 14:09:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 5 Mar 2001 22:09:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9812q4$mef$0@208.207.71.171>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org> <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.171
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16875



Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org...
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > From what you've said in this
> > > latest round, it seems as though you're using the word force to describe
> the
> > > simple fact that the government has requirements that must be met for
> > > obtaining an amateur license.  This time without commentary as to the
> merit
> > > of those qualifications.  Is this an accurate summary?
>
> > The present argument is limited to the definition of the word "forced".
> > K7JEB put it wisely when he said, in effect, that governments force
> > citizens to obey laws and meet requirements.
>
> You forgot to answer my question.
>

 I don't think he forgot, Jim.  :-0


From -5687801250319546269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 14:11:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newscon02.news.prodigy.com!
newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!
newssvr16.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.40.74
```

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr16.news.prodigy.com 983830149 6004826 208.188.40.74 (Mon, 05 Mar
2001 17:09:09 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:09:09 EST
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 22:09:09 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16876
```

And I will continue to operate on frequencies I hear these illegal morons and interfere with them, and encourage others to do the same, even if it does chap you behind.

Phil Kane wrote:

```
>   K0HB@arrl.org wrote:
>
> >> Four, deliberate interference is deliberate interference -- you're nothing
> >> more than another rules violator, no better than the dump huck CBer that
> >> you're jamming.
> >>
> >> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>   But JR wrote:
>
> >Wrong! the FCC doesn't consider it interference since the dump
> >huck cb is illegal, so if I transmit on the same frequency I am
> >not violating any rules.
>
>   Hans, don't you know that Mister JR knows more about this
>   subject than FCC enforcement professionals do?
>
> --
```

```
From -7505923191772470779
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 14:13:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 22:11:54 GMT
References: <20010305130739.02334.00001475@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010305171154.01012.00001259@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16877
```

>Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 03/05/01 1:07 PM Eastern Standard Time

>
>No Problem let the Illegal Station complain to the FCC

There ya go! And while they are at it, give em' two pink QSL's....one for the bootlegging and one for being really, REALLY stupid!

=)

73 de K4YZ

From -3519218124473882610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 14:16:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 22:15:20 GMT
References: <3aa34469.172622884@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010305171520.01012.00001260@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16878

>Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
>From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>Date: 03/05/01 2:56 AM Eastern Standard Time

>You bet. Any individual is automatically "licensed" to use any and all
>means of communication on any frequency in a life-and-death emergency,
>goes far beyond just ham radio. There's an equivalent provision in the
>aviation regs.

You bet there is!...And there were MANY days I wondered WHAT kind of emergency I could declare that validated flying under a bridge or buzzing Naughty Nancy's Nudist Camp!

=)

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 9006359116500924490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 14:24:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 5 Mar 2001 22:22:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9813k3$phn$0@208.207.71.171>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3AA3E05F.6028837F@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.171
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16879

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > The direct evidence is the changes in licensing procedures for the past 30
> > years.
>
> Just because a change may have had a certain effect (which is arguable
> in the first place) does not imply that the effect was intended. The law
> of unintended consequences is called a "law" because unintended
> consequences are common.

And a predictable consequence doesn't have to be stated to be involved in the planning. It can be realized to be a likely beneficial consequence and never be noted in the stated reasons for regulation changes. I, too, believe that this has been the case.
If the FCC had the resources to fully deal with the illegals they would do so. Since they don't it's easy to adopt regulations which can be incentives for them to straighten up their act. Clearly easier access to ham radio licenses does just that, with no notice necessary. Notice would only be seen as a form of capitulation.

Dick

From -4070891203447020645
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 14:26:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 22:24:38 GMT
References: <97v7ui$rn3$0@208.207.71.166>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010305172438.22901.00000583@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16880

>WRONRO, Bozo.

And still champon!

>Sure you do. And the moon is made of green cheese.

Ouch! (I have violated that 250Km rule once or twice though;-p)

Dick, I've been informed that you're ret. LE. I come from a family of law enforcement, so I have a soft spot for cops...but you're my first exception. JUST KIDDING...I've actually been told that you're a good egg underneath it all. I don't necessarily agree, (yet) but I regard my source with high respect so I'll give you the benefit of the doubt.

I really do follow the rules. I won't lie and tell you it's always been that way, but it's been that way for over fifteen years now so I think the statute of limitations has passed. We all make mistakes...and then some of us grow up. I don't want to jeopardize my ticket so yes, I play by the rules. I may not agree with them all, but I follow them. Take it easy, Dick...& 73. (I mean that.)

Bert

From 5676705129347133135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 14:46:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 144

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 22:45:11 GMT
References: <3AA3180D.29A34770@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010305174511.26896.00000170@nso-ff.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16881

In article <3AA3180D.29A34770@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>Yes, you made the statement. If you are now reversing yourself, that's
>fine with me. Your "distorting things again" comment bothers me. I
>haven't distorted things this time and I don't know which other occasion
>you refer to since you saw fit to add the "again" term.

You distort by accusation without evidence. You distort by taking things out of context. You've done it again above.

>That's why I recommend that anyone interested look up the archived
>material himself so no one can be accused of cutting and pasting.

Fine by me. Are you now going to play another distortion game by saying I "will accuse you in the future about cutting and pasting?!?" Interesting paranoia there.   :-)

>I don't care if the model hobbiest organization is active or not. I was
>not referring to it.

I was. And the spelling is "hobbyist."   :-)

>How do you know about who cares and how much? You tried to shift my
>disagreement with what you posted into a disagreement between me and the
>League's by-laws. It was never that.

You were manufacturing a conflict. I was not in any argument with ARRL by-laws.

>Anyone who cares to see what you actually said can check Google.
>Otherwise, you'd accuse me of doing a cut and paste job on it to make it
>look like something other than what you'd actually said.

Tsk, tsk. More accusatory "what I will do in the future" nonsense.

>I'm not obsessed, Len. There have been no distortions and untruths.
>Anyone can look up the statements you made--the most recent and the past

>League bashing.

I have spoken against the ARRL's policies and I've also praised
ARRL services. Your distortion is pulling out only those items
which suit your purpose.

>No one who isn't interested in this need review any archives. That way,
>no one gets bored or has his time wasted. The "vendetta" outcome is
>sort of like oral sex, Len. It depends one whether you're kicking off
>or receiving.

To me this is as far from sexuality subjects as it can get.
However, this newsgrouping stuff must be sexy as hell to
you... :-)

>> Yes. I've also made some positive comments. The ARRL is not
>> divine, it's policies are made by men not gods.
>
>Sort of like those FCC folks you keep bringing up...

The FCC regulates US amateur radio. The ARRL does not.

>If this has been painful for you, Len, and you're getting tired, don't
>bother to respond. I think most folks here knew that unlicensed ARRL
>members can't vote. It was only you who seemed to think this unfair.

I don't recall any "unfair" statement. Maybe you are "recalling
for me" or something like that?

Davie boy, this is NOT about ARRL membership voting
privileges. Your post is all about manufacturing some
dispute which did not happen...and you "need" some kind
of dispute to win your message points.   Tsk, tsk.

>No one can refute something which exists and can't be taken back because
>it is archived either.

Bad sentence structure. I said "no one can refute something
which wasn't said." You can't archive something that doesn't
exist.

>Wrongdoing? Did you do wrong or did you just espouse an opinion which
>almost nobody would support?

What are you trying to say there? You've managed to construct
a sort of double loaded question in one sentence.

>Is poor Len getting picked on in the manner he picks on others?

Awww...did you feel "picked" on, big davie?

I think you've been taking lessons from Jim Kehler. Too bad you
aren't as sarcastic or ascerbic as he is... :-)

>Aside from a couple of concessions by you
>that the ARRL does some good, you've blasted the League on numerous
>occasions.

"Blasted?!?" I have disagreed with the ARRL's past policy
statements. Last time I checked, it was not against any
freedom or law to disagree with a Special Interest Group.

I have agreed with their recent policy on international code
testing...as far as it went. I thought they should go farther,
but, if I said that, you would make a Big Thing about
"blasting" the League.   :-)

>> Remember, you are an example of the "highest" class license in
>> US amateur radio.
>
>This isn't the ham bands. As you are fond of pointing out, this is
>usenet. You are a representative of no license class in U.S. amateur
>radio.

I've not pointed out that this is "usenet." I've pointed out this is
the Internet...and had a public argument on that with a two-mail-
addressed, multiple-club-call individual who was once a regular.
[see? you are screwing up quoting through mistakes]

Of course you are a "representative" of the "highest" class license
in US amateur radio...on or off the radios. Whatever statement you
make on amateur radio reflects off that shining set of dented armor
you wear. :-)

Anyone interested in amateur radio but not yet licensed in that
radio activity is a potential licensee. Is that wrong? If it is
wrong, then point out why you should be an "elite" controller of
the hobby and why you have the power to push others around.

Davie, you don't "own" amateur radio any more than another
citizen. You can have 38 years or whatever "tenure" you claim
but it doesn't make your word "more correct" than anyone else.
If it makes you happy to wave your legal ham license and go
"nyah nyah, I got one and you ain't," go ahead. I'm trying to
address the subject of licensing requirements for any newcomer
but you take that soooooo personal. Tsk, tsk. Get over it.

From -2119670647414491603
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 15:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 22:57:15 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 125
Message-ID: <3aa41812.6319790@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.73.4a
X-Server-Date: 5 Mar 2001 22:56:16 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16882

On 05 Mar 2001 17:39:02 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
>>From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
>>Date: Mon, Mar 5, 2001 09:30 EST
>>Message-id: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org>
>>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>> In article <3aa30b43.5755357@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>>> (Bill Sohl) writes:
>>>
>>> (N2EY wrote):
>>>
>>> >>Make no mistake - the FCC is quite clearly acting to reduce illegal
>>> >operation
>>> >>by making legal operation easier.
>>> >
>>> >Again, that has never been stated anywhere.
>>>
>>> Of course not. They aren't going to admit a thing like that in public. It
>>may
>>> not even be a "conscious" effort.
>>>
>>> > That is
>>> >only your own perspective.  We obvioulsy disagree.
>>>
>>> But you must agree that the idea is reasonable.
>>
>>It might be if there were direct evidence to support it, or at least if
>>there were not direct evidence to dispute it.
>
```

>The direct evidence is the changes in licensing procedures for the past 30
>years.
>
>> Each of the changes the
>>FCC has made to licensing requirements has been accompanied by
>>explanations of the rationale behind the change.
>
>Of course. As I have stated before, there are a number of reasons for each
>change that has been made. For example, the change from FCC-run tests to VECs
>wasn't just to save the FCC some money. It also made the test procedure much
>more accessible to prospective hams.
>
>> I'm not aware of
>>anything the FCC has said in those documents that supports your idea.
>
>Neither am I. But the pattern of changes is still there. Can you name any
>changes since 1971 that have made licenses harder to get?
>
>>You've suggested that the FCC either didn't know that was why they were
>>taking the actions they did or that they lied about it.
>
>No, I did not say they lied. I don't think they lied, either. Not putting every
>possible reason for something in their report is not lying, in my book.
>
>And government agencies, in general, use language that can support a variety of
>ideas and interpretations.
>
>> Neither is
>>"reasonable," particularly when you consider that the changes took place
>>over a span of about 30 years, under the auspices of a number of
>>different FCC commissioners and staff members. To accept your thesis
>>requires accepting that all of those people have, for 30 years, been
>>acting unconsciously, or that there has been a 30-year conspiracy to
>>commit misfeasance.
>
>I don't think they were conspiring or commiting misfeasance.
>
>> Unless someone can adduce credible evidence to
>>support your thesis, I think it's just blather.
>
>Your opinion noted. Mine's different.
>
>---
>Consider this analogy:
>Back in the '70s the Feds imposed a national speed limit of 55 mph. (As I
>understand it, they made compliance by the states "voluntary", but a state that
>didn't comply lost Federal highway funds in a big way). This change was
>reportedly done to save fuel and to encourage alternate means of
>transportation. It also was credited with reducing pollution and saving tens of
>thousands of lives.
>
>Enforcing this limit was tough, because many people felt it was their "right"
>to go faster. "I can't drive 55" was a popular phrase....;-)

>
>Once the fuel shortages of the '70s ended and the price of fuel stabilized,
>pressure to raise the speed limits increased. So did wholesale violations - in
>fact, one excuse used by many speeders was "If I go 55 I'll get rear-ended!!!"
>
>Many drivers felt that the 55 mph rule was overly restrictive. Respect for
>speed limits of ALL types decreased among some drivers.
>
>So a few years ago the rules were changed, and now we have higher speed limits
>on many roads. Did the regulatory agencies say "55 was a mistake" or "we know
>that nobody is obeying the speed limit on many roads, so we're changing the
>law"?

Actually, that was addressed in many respects with regard
to the various federal discussions that took place. The "mistake"
in the original legislation was a failure to have the 55 National
limit end automatically when the oil embargo ended.
In hindsight, the safety nazis got the better of everyone because
to oppose 55 after the embargo ended lead to being
labeled a speed freak, anti-safety, ad nauseum...

The other strong supporter of 55 was the insurance industry which
just loved giving higher rates to people being
ticked for driving over 55 on roads designed for 70+.

> I doubt it. Can we say that the wholesale violation of the 55 speed limit
>on many roads had no effect whatsoever on the regulatory agencies' decision?

The failure of your analogy is that there is NOT any
equivalent wholesale disregard for FCC rules by the vast
body of the populace. In contrast, even those that
said they wanted to keep 55 were usually in gross violation
of 55 when they drove on the highway.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
also a member of National Motorists Assoc. which played
a lead roll in ending the 55 mph farce.

From -6781599637075489862
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 15:02:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 22:58:53 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 286
Message-ID: <3aa3be40.10974258@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aa30b43.5755357@news.mindspring.com>
<20010305083250.12822.00000153@nso-fj.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.73.4a
X-Server-Date: 5 Mar 2001 22:57:57 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16883

On 05 Mar 2001 13:32:50 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3aa30b43.5755357@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>In my opinion, the old General test required morebasic radio knowledge than
>today's Extra does. Just my opinion. But ham radio, radio technology in
>general, and the test process were VERY different back then.

I agree that ham radio and general radio technology
was very different then, but how much different was
the testing? General test was already multiple choice
(except for those "draw a diagram of..." questions).

.....
>>>No Bash books, no CSCEs, no VECs or test sessions at hamfests, all test
>>>questions were secret and you had to wait 30 days minimum to retest. Because
>>of
>>>all the effort one had to expend just to get to a test session, most hams
>>back
>>>then tended to overprepare for the exams.
>>
>>ARRL license manuals, AMECO study guides, and others
>>gave the same info, often with "sample" test questions that
>>amazingly were indeed on the test in sometimes, exact
>>format.
>
>But you did not KNOW ahead of time that the questions
>and answers would be so similar.

Sure we did. The testing on which those manuals was
based didn't change dramatically from month to month.
Did we know the exact questions? No, but clearly the
license manual publishers had a solid track record of
providing more than enough material in an abbreviated
form (the license manual) to enable people to use
the manual and pass the tests.

>Today, a prospective ham knows that the exact Q&A in the study guide
>will be on the test.

Yet the syllabus of those questions being used takes more
pages to print than any of the old license manuals did.

>The ARRL LM study guides were just reprints of the official FCC study guides. I
>always thought it was odd that the study guides were in essay format while the
>actual tests were multiple choice. The reason is now obvious: it added the step
>of translation to the testing process.

If I memorized the fact that AMPS is the unit of electrical current,
the detractors (wrong answers) make little difference. Any good
test taker knows that.

<SNIP>

>>>The FCC's ideas on ham license requirements have wandered all over the map
>>in
>>>the past 50 years. Before 1953, the license requirements for full privileges
>>>(Class A) were very tough, particularly the writtens. In 1953, Generals,
>>>Conditionals and above got all privileges in a surprise move by the FCC. But
>>>after a few years, the FCC rethought its decision and the whole process that
>>>led up to the 1968 "incentive licensing" changes came to be.
>>
>>What the FCC failed to see (IMHO) in their perspective was
>>the ever increasing knowledge base that a person needed
>>to be viewed by industry as technically competent.
>
>EXACTLY! Excellent point!!!
>
>I think they DID see it in the early '60s, and that is what drove the
>"incentive licensing" changes. But by the 1970s that idea began to be
>abandoned.

Possibly so, and the reason being (IMHO) is that the
knowledge level needed to be competent by industry
was still growing...as it is today. The ironic part is that
while the complexity has increased by many orders
of magnitude, the quality and reliability have also.

Likewise the cost of production has plummeted to a point
that we can buy mid-range size (19-27") color TVs with
remotes and stereo for under $300 and VCRs for
less than $100. That puts such consumer electronics
into the "dispossable" catagory. Assuming any
consumer electronic device doesn't fail during the
warranty period, odds are it'll last 10 or more years
(I had an old 13" Sony that went 20 years, we have a
19" color set that is over 10, VCRs, etc.) Few
peple will "risk" repair costs of $40 an hour on a
consumer product they can buy brand new for
under $300. That's especially true since technology
advances offer marked improvements in
products over every few years (e.g. TVs now have

composite video inputs, stereo output/input jacks,
etc.

Several years ago I read marketing article that
stated the price point for "impulse purchase" was
about $300. That is, if something costs 300 or
less, most consumers don't consider such a purchase
as requiring considerable thought, planning, or
justification...they just buy it.

>>>The FCC thought as early as 1958 that the requirements for full privileges
>>>(General/Conditional) were way too easy. And they were primarily concerned
>>with
>>>the written test requirements, not the code tests. The code test stuff came
>>>later - and it was not the ARRL's idea.
>>
>>Except for 20 wpm, what changed on code tests?
>
>FCC proposed demoting existing Advanceds to General, then creating the "Amateur
>First Class" license to replace it. A "First" license would have required a 16
>wpm code test and a new written. ARRL and others opposed it so vigorously that
>the idea was eventually dropped.

So what justified the 20 wpm tests? By the late 60s,
commercial use of morse (as well as military) was well
on the wane.

>> Indeed, the
>>FCC added the Advanced which only required a written test
>>to upgrade to.
>
>They reactivated the Advanced - there were about 40,000 existing Advanceds in
>1967. The original 1963 ARRL proposal (made in response to an FCC inquiry) was
>simple: Reopen the Advanced and require either an Advanced or Extra for full
>privs. Simple and NO new code test for anybody to retain full privileges. FCC
>didn't go for it.
>
>> For years the majority of generals didn't bother.
>
>Unless you wanted to operate 'phone, there was no reason to.

Why? The General had significant HF phone
bandwidth.

>For serious CW, you needed an Extra.

Why?

>>>The period of "Generals get it all" was actually quite short - 15 years and
>>9
>>>months. It was the exception, not the rule. The people who were running the
>>>FCC back then are almost certainly all gone now. The philosophy has come
>>almost

>>>full circle.
>>>
>>>Ask Phil Kane - he was there.
>>>
>>>Make no mistake - the FCC is quite clearly acting to reduce illegal
>>operation
>>>by making legal operation easier.
>>
>>Again, that has never been stated anywhere.
>
>Of course not. They aren't going to admit a thing like that in public. It may
>not even be a "conscious" effort.

ROTFLMAO! So if it isn' some big internal
FCC secret, then the FCC is doing it without
even knowing that is what they intended?

>> That is
>>only your own perspective. We obvioulsy disagree.
>
>But you must agree that the idea is reasonable.

I can create many scenarios that explain actions as
viewed from my perspective. In doing so, that is all
they are (i.e. personal perspectives).

>>>The basic question is this: Why operate cb illegally? Why "freeband" when
>>ham
>>>radio offers so much more? As I see it, there are only three explanations:
>>>
>>>1) To do things that ham radio does not allow legally. Examples are
>>commercial
>>>uses, jamming, music, operation without proper ID, superpower,
>>>obscenity/profanity, etc.
>>>
>>>2) To be part of a subculture that supports the activities of 1)
>>>
>>>3) To avoid the test and license requirements for a ham license.
>>>
>>>Making ham licenses easier to get won't address 1) or 2), but will affect
>>those
>>>people primarily motivated by 3).
>>
>>And I don't see any mass influx of former freebanders
>>to validate your analysis.
>
>Don't need them. I'm not saying this idea will work! If most "freebanders" are
>motivated by 1) or 2), changing the licenses tests will have no effect on them
>because they WANT to be illegal.

Which the FCC should, by now, have a clear understanding of
since the no-code tech license has been available for 13 years
and even before that, coded techs had access to 10m phone.

If 5 wpm is soooo easy and the tech license written is soooo
easy, why hasn't there been any great drop in illegal CB
activity? You'd think the FCC or anyone else could make the
determination that most illegal CBers have no desire to
become "legal" via the ham radio route.

<SNIP>

>>Yet we finally see an actual increase and commitment
>>of such resources for enforcement in the last 2
>>years.
>
>The big commitment of resources is in enforcement, not license testing.

No resource commitment is needed in license testing. The
system appears to work quite well...or do you think
otherwise?

>And
>that commitment came because of endless requests and complaints from the ARRL,
>other ham groups and individuals.

I care not why or how it came about, I am simply happ
to see the enforcement.

>The R&O changes created a short-term flurry
>of activity, but will result in long-term savings because the whole structure
>is simplified.

Savings for who? On what basis?

>One interesting side effect is the number of Novices who have renewed since
>April 2000. Maybe their interest has been renewed, too.

The novice numbers, however, are dwindling rapidly.

>>>Think about that question, though. Why would anyone operate illegally when
>>>so much is available legally?
>>
>>The tech with 5 wpm has been around long enough to
>>counter that argument.
>>
>The Tech with 5 wpm only gets you limited-power 10 meter SSB in the busiest
>part of the band, plus the Novice CW stuff.

Yet that limited power is way above legal CB limits.
As to the "busiest" part of the band, so what, it still is
rarely wall-to-wall (28.3 to 28.5) filled with phone
signals.

>No 'phone or data anywhere else on
>HF/MF. If you don't want those limited privileges, you need at least a General.

But you were talking about those pirate and bootleg CBers
who operate 11m...are you now addressing some
unknown pirate operations in the lower HF segments?

>Pre-R&O General meant 3 written tests totalling 100 questions and 13 wpm code
>(or 5 wpm and a medical waiver). Now, a General requires just two writtens
>totalling 70 questions and 5 wpm code. You tell me which one is a bigger
>"investment" to get the same privileges.

If you wish to measure the "difficulty" or the need
to invest study time based on the number of
questions, then your method "appears" to suggest
the former.   If you look at the total volume of
questions which can be asked, then I would suggest
the later may be. In the end it makes no
difference. On my trip to Extra I took and passed
6 tests (Novice, Tech/General, Advanced, Extra
and both 5 wpm and 13 wpm). I care not one iota
that others can do the same thing now via only
four tests, nor that some others took 8 tests to
do it.

>The "price" of full privileges has been reduced even more. But remember, the
>FCC's idea of the appropriate requirements has wandered all over the map in the
>past.

Because the purpose of that testing has changed to reflect
both ham radio in general and the global aspects
of radio theory and individual mode usage (i.e. the
role of CW in today's world).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 466002857814590850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4888c5f871721826
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 15:04:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wz8a@aol.commie.NOT (AK)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 23:01:35 GMT
References: <3A9E43F8.17EB3366@berkshire.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 10m Intruders REWARD!
Message-ID: <20010305180135.26981.00000581@ng-bh1.aol.com>

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16884

>Subject: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters

No need to get upset about the bootleggers - just make 28.085 MHz the national
CW rag chew and calling frequency. When the ssb stations move, move with them.

In my younger days as a HAM - when 11 meters was one of "my" bands - we thought
it was bad when the FCC turned the frequencies over to CB. One of the plus
factors turned out to be that most of the bootleggers back then switched over
to CB, and the bootleg problem went to NIL. Just like "incentive licensing",
things seem to have gone full circle.

The good news on 10M bootleggers is that find there is usually some ham
monitoring soon after a phone station pops up deep in the 10M CW section. Once
I'm sure it's not a legit DX station, I start my CW CQ-caller running, turn my
sharp filter on, and look for a QSO - as long as it may take. Usually get a
contact pretty fast, and seldom find the bootlegger on frequency by the time
the CW contact is over. Just one more reason to keep CW around. HI HI 73, AK

"Pay-to-Pay" (e-file) is not the smart way.
If only IRS were really a "service" !   AK

From -1829076511830692175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,22e4f6a0921a5d2c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,22e4f6a0921a5d2c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,22e4f6a0921a5d2c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,22e4f6a0921a5d2c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,22e4f6a0921a5d2c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 15:06:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!cyclone-west.rr.com!
news.rr.com!news-west.rr.com!cabal10.airnews.net!news.airnews.net!
cabal1.airnews.net!news-f.iadfw.net!usenet
From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.rad
io.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Visit today - www.ab7sl.com -   check it out.....
Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 16:03:34 -0700
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9A619F3DDE82B77E.911BF6C30FA9B89B.367E0285027C11ED@lp.airnews.net>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <9815te$v8k@library2.airnews.net>

```
References: <956F3F3BE249CAFD.6CAAC4B640FA2809.9E42A165220DABA8@lp.airnews.net>
<MuUo6.1875$AG3.381450@news.uswest.net>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library2.airnews.net
NNTP-Posting-Time: Mon Mar  5 17:02:07 2001
NNTP-Posting-Host: !dtb.1k-W]45fToA9`/1@W>r3 (Encoded at Airnews!)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:17912
rec.radio.amateur.dx:1908 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:9925
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12961 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16885
```

"Anonymous" <alias@microsoft.com> wrote in message
news:MuUo6.1875$AG3.381450@news.uswest.net...

> Getting your computer infected with Spyware, malicious cookies, and/or
web-bugs
> that collect your personal/private info and tracks your every move in the
> internet... all for free :-)

You are sadly mistaken ...none of that nonsense at my site ....

Just good informative Ham Radio pages ......

--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

```
From 7527152704388138060
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 15:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!dispose.news.demon.net!news.demon.co.uk!demon!g1gyc.demon.co.uk!
not-for-mail
From: "Martin John Hallsworth" <_NOSPAM_FOR_ME_Martin@g1gyc.demon.co.uk>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec
.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 23:51:41 -0000
Organization: Aurora
Message-ID: <983836561.694.0.nnrp-02.9e98acde@news.demon.co.uk>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AA06279.F9847291@bctv.com>
<vd14atk7fhbgi2asv5e342jkq7f8co22cu@4ax.com>
<atl4atofqrvbqs44nepvi727ss4tntfpkl@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "Martin John Hallsworth" <Martin@_NOSPAM_FOR_ME_g1gyc.demon.co.uk>
NNTP-Posting-Host: g1gyc.demon.co.uk
X-NNTP-Posting-Host: g1gyc.demon.co.uk:158.152.172.222
X-Trace: news.demon.co.uk 983836561 nnrp-02:694 NO-IDENT
g1gyc.demon.co.uk:158.152.172.222
X-Complaints-To: abuse@demon.net
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3612.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3719.2500
Lines: 50
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12964
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16886 rec.radio.cb:27113 rec.radio.scanner:14379
rec.radio.shortwave:28101

>>Since it's stated purpose is "crowd control," I don't think that the
>>beam on the fully functional unit will be that narrow. Since it's
>>vehicle mounted, one could sit inside an armored vehicle, or in a
>>concealed position, to use it.

Sounds like the perfect battlefield wepon
incapcitate your eniemy then round them up like sheep.....
no casualaties on either side, a nice clean political solution
There are some SAD BASTARDS in the Pentagon !

>>Just for kicks, what happens if it is left on for say 2 minutes
>>instead of the recommended 3 seconds. Do you come out looking like a
>>cup of coffee hot from the microwave? What if you put it in a
>>storeroom in a skyscraper, preset a timer, and just left it on?

>>The possibilities are endless...and a little scary.
>

Ooooh it is a bit warm in here !!!

>You are precisely correct. This weapon was never designed for
>use in a military setting, it was designed for use against US. I

>cannot think of a weapon that would have a more limited service
>life in a military situation than this one. It's usefulness in crowd
>control, hostage rescue and building entry is un-deniable, not to
>mention private sector use as you suggest. BTW, the military
>would never announce a new strategic weapon, unless civilians
>are it's sole target.
>
>Makes you feel kind of all warm and fuzzy inside doesn't it? Anybody
>have any ideas on rapidly raising the SWR on this thingy?
>

How about some kind of chain mail suit or at least a carbon fibre one that
is grounded electrically and for RF !!???
a modified NBC outfit should provide some protection
(NBC = Nuclear Biological Chemical)

The British army are already using heat absorbing camouflage so I see no
problem in a minor development to give protection against the sort of
power levels that are being suggested for this device....

give it 5 to 10 years and you can buy one in the Army surplus !!!
Right where is that anarchist's manual ...... hi hi

```
From -2532773438761303278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 16:04:10 PST
Message-ID: <3AA42716.DE219767@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:53:58 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org> <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA3E113.17ECF03F@IEEE.org> <3AA3FB98.99A719A0@uci.edu>
<3AA3FDFB.3A745C5D@IEEE.org> <3AA40709.D19000F4@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983836649
```

64.240.47.128 (5 Mar 2001 17:57:29 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16887

Jim Kelley wrote:
> So do you agree that the reason you might be meeting some of this
> resistance to the idea that the government forces people to take code
> tests is that you've argued in the past that the government _shouldn't_
> force people to take code tests?

The two arguments are separate with possibly different answers.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 9044449707609927479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 16:06:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AA42761.4F49ED8F@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:55:13 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org> <97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org> <97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71> <3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org> <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu> <9812q4$mef$0@208.207.71.171>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983836723 64.240.47.128 (5 Mar 2001 17:58:43 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16888

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > You forgot to answer my question.
>
> I don't think he forgot, Jim. :-0

Again we agree, Dick. I did answer it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2910516169713623628
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 16:14:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: TR@sky.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 00:13:08 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3aa422bf.48673782@news.idsi.net>

References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 17
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16889

On 05 Mar 2001 02:02:31 GMT, ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D) wrote:

>That would be willful and malicious interference. It's in Part 97, look it up.

Wrongo! The FCC says you can't interfere with an unlicensed station.
He is perfectly legal. The FCC says so.

>(and yes, you can't even interfere with an illegal station...crazy, eh?) The
>correct thing to do is tape the QSO, record the date, time, & frequency on the
>label and send same to uncle Riley.
>
>Don't shoot the messenger. I don't make the rules, I just follow them. Good
>luck.
>
>73 de Bert
>SSB411D

From 5837644026454257167
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 16:22:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 16:16:31 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16890

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > In order to be "forced" to do something, one must be influenced by
> > threat of negative consequences to do the "required" action against
> > his free will.
>
> That's but one definition of "forced". Another is "required by
> circumstances". You and Dick were certainly required by circumstances
> to meet the requirements for an amateur radio license. If you did
> not meet those requirements, then you are a criminal.

When we asked the FCC for an amateur radio license, we weren't offered
testing as an optional. The didn't ask us whether we'd like to take a
test before they mailed the license to us. They gave us their terms,
and it wasn't open to discussion. Given that it was our intent to
obtain a license, and given that we are required by law to be qualified
to have a license, the circumstances dictate that we must pass the
appropriate examinations. That's all Cecil is saying guys. He's not
saying the government forces us to be ham operators. We're not forced
to pass exams ***UNLESS*** we want a ham license. Under THAT
circumstance we have no option as to whether or not we must pass a
test. It's a simple idea, and has virtually no implied significance.

73, Jim AC6XG

> > HF hams do NOT take Morse code exams unless they voluntarily consent to do so.
>
> How they feel about it is irrelevant. Pre-HF hams take Morse code exams
> because they are required by circumstances to do so. That's the
> dictionary definition of "forced", Larry.
>
> > The license is not granted unless the
> > individual voluntarily takes and passes the tests. No "force" is applied
> > other than the FCC's observence of its own rules and regulations,
> > which are public law.
>
> But that is exactly the force we are discussing, Larry. The force of laws
> and regulations dictate that amateur radio operators are required by
> circumstances to meet the requirements. That's the dictionary definition
> of "forced", Larry.
>
> > Again, I ask you to give up your foolish quest to prove the unprovable.
> > Nobody is "forced" to take Morse code tests. "Required by circumstances"
> > is obviously a very distorted and false definition of the word "forced,"
> > at least in this context.
>
> Your feelings don't matter, Larry. Governments force their citizens to
> obey laws and meet regulations. That's what governments do. That you
> suffer from the Stockholm Syndrome is irrelevant. If you don't like
> that definition of "force" take it up with Barnes & Noble/Random House.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6986896649118831398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 16:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 16:40:53 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AA43215.5D160B51@uci.edu>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org> <97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org> <97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71> <3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org> <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA3E113.17ECF03F@IEEE.org> <3AA3FB98.99A719A0@uci.edu> <3AA3FDFB.3A745C5D@IEEE.org> <3AA40709.D19000F4@uci.edu> <3AA42716.DE219767@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16891

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > So do you agree that the reason you might be meeting some of this
> > resistance to the idea that the government forces people to take code
> > tests is that you've argued in the past that the government _shouldn't_
> > force people to take code tests?

> The two arguments are separate with possibly different answers.

But my question regarding your opinion has only one answer. That answer is either yes, that might be the case, or no, that isn't the case. It's apparent to me that, in the minds of some folks here, the two arguments are not divorced from one another.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From 5792284951613787592
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 17:08:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 86
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Mar 2001 01:06:05 GMT
References: <3aa3098c.5316601@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010305200605.14671.00000203@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16892
```

In article <3aa3098c.5316601@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>>>From what you have said now, it appears YOU want the
>>>code test as a means to draft new code users...
>>
>>Nope. I'm just pointing out that the auto transmission analogy isn't
>perfect.
>>Choice of transmission doesn't have much effect on other drivers. Choice of
>>mode does.
>
>Then do your pitch for using one mode over another as a "sales"
>effort. It shouldn't require a test element to get those new
>converts.

In case you hadn't noticed, Bill, the whole code test issue is a dead duck. The FCC is clearly come to the conclusion that the ONLY reason for a code test is to meet the requirements of S25.5. They eliminated all but the minimum test that they think meets that treaty provision. They can't do any more reduction until the treaty changes.

The ARRL BOD decided at their January meeting to simply step aside on the treaty issue. They're not going to push for keeping S25.5 - and neither will a whole bunch of other countries. The final wake-up call was the Region 3 ITU meeting last fall, where ALL of the other national societies in attendance voted to support elimination of S25.5.

Sure, the ARRL BOD sez they will support keeping a code test without S25.5. Do

you really think the FCC will follow that recommendation for access to 'phone
and digital modes? I don't.
>
>>>which
>>>is not the purpose of the requirement.
>>
>>The test requirements have a variety of purposes. They vary over time, too.
>>What those purposes should be has long been a subject of debate.
>
>Tests should only have requirements which can
>rationally be defended. The code test no longer
>meets that standard.

Your opinion, sir. Mine's different. The FCC's is the one that matters. Theirs
seems pretty clear to me.
>
>>> If someone sends
>>>poor code does that have a BIG effect on other hams...or
>>>only an effect on the other person trying to copy code.
>>
>>Sending isn't tested today....
>
>Bingo! So why does how well someone can copy
>code have anything to do with having a big effect
>on other hams?

Because inability to copy means they almost certainly won't use the mode. And
for most people, learning to send is far easier than learning to receive.
>
>>>If you want to hype the narrowbandwidth value of morse
>>>over voice, that's fine,
>>
>>It's not "hype" - it's fact.
>
>Hype, advertise, spin, whatever...it is all about
>convincing others to use the mode.

Is that a bad thing?

>If it is so
>efficient, then why are some people worried that
>it will cease to be used if there is no test requirement?

Because before it can be used effectively, some skill is required. Like manual
transmissions....
>
>>> but the FCC sure hasn't bought
>>>that argument as a justification for code testing.
>>
>>Agreed. They are into minimum requirements now.
>
>Which is ALL they should be.
>

Minimum requirements often lead to minimum performance. And the real question is - who decides what "minimum" is?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4970961208957625717
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 17:30:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:29:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.82
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983842158 209.86.206.82 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:29:18 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:29:18 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16893

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>Can we say that the wholesale violation of the 55 speed limit
>on many roads had no effect whatsoever on the regulatory agencies' decision?

The speed limits on most of the major (non-freeway) city streets in Phoenix have been set for many years by the citizens. Traffic Engineering checks the speed of motorists on a particular street over a period of weeks and then sets the speed limit at or near the average speed...

Further the state (non-freeway) speed law 28-701A is not an absolute law. It says that you can go no faster than what is reasonable and prudent. This may be above or below the posted speed limit depending on the conditions (road-weather-traffic) at the time.

We gutted our freeway 55 mph speed limit law some years back. If you were going up to 15 mph over the limit you would be cited for misusing a natural resource, not speeding. The fine was very low and there were no points given to your drivers license. But we still got our highway funds...

We are just now getting some of our outlying city freeway limits raised to 65 mph. However the downtown areas are still 55 mph. I was coming through one of those downtown areas a few days ago and keeping pace with all the traffic around me. I was going 75 mph...

```
From 5974452799998224876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 17:31:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-
for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:28:01 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16894
```

Phil Kane wrote:

>
> On 5 Mar 2001 06:44:30 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> >>   Are you aiding and abetting by urging someone to commit a
> >>   criminal act (Willful interference - Sect 333 of the Comm
> >>   Act).
> >>
> > I clearly remember that it was reported in the ham press a few years
> >ago that the FCC said, in response to a specific inquiry, that it was
> >not possible to interfere with a communication that was illegal.
>
>   What was said was that the operator of said unlicensed
>   communication has no protection against harmful interference

> from a station operating within the terms of its license.

> That doesn't put teflon coating on an "interferer" who is doing
> so willfully.

Is the distintion here between that of 'throwing' and unidentified carrier, and legally calling CQ or having a legitimate QSO? It seems to me that if there were an interference issue between two truckers having a conversation and two hams having a CW QSO on the same 10 meter frequency, the interference issue would be easily resolved - in favor of the hams. Is this not true?

Otherwise I agree with your point about malicious interference.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From -2983488518873282640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 17:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org>
From: Hans K0HB <k0hb@arrl.org>
Reply-To: K0HB@ARRL.ORG
Organization: K0HB
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:50:19 +0000
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.35.72
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 983843197 216.160.35.72 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 19:46:37 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 19:46:37 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16895
```

JR wrote:
>
> And I will continue to operate on frequencies I hear these illegal morons and
> interfere with them, and encourage others to do the same, even if it does chap
> you behind.

I was wrong! THIS is the DADHPOTYA (Dumb Assed Dump Huck Post of The Year Award) winner!

I kinda hope I hear you doing that, so I can personally send the tape to Hollingsworth.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 4562185873765495358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb82d25bffe23b22
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 18:22:05 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: First official RRAP CW net on 7038.7 kHz
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 02:19:45 GMT
Message-ID: <3aa17945.55073092@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3A9E5E91.7E5A9DBE@IEEE.org> <20010301204744.13524.00000024@nso-
fp.aol.com> <97omdj$8cm$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3aa0a49d.630044@news2.dvol.com>
<3AA0F7E3.F1911A1F@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.96
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.96
X-Trace: 3 Mar 2001 20:17:50 -0600, 64.24.19.96
Lines: 18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
4.24.21.218.MISMATCH!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16745
```

On Sat, 03 Mar 2001 07:55:47 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:
>
>More of a reflection on my ears than on CW, but I can fulfill
>a PACTOR II schedule when I cannot even hear the signals. 50w is
>all it takes to fulfill a German PACTOR II schedule at night on 20m.
>

It ain't yer ears Cecil, it's well-known fact that several of the digital modes can outperform cw with respect to weak signal performance and Pactor II is at or near the top of that list. But particularly in the case of Pactor II there are a lot of hardware dollars involved beyond just the radio. Not a show stopper but ya can buy a decent kit qrp cw xcvr for less dinero than ya hafta pop just for the Pactor modem.

>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

w3rv

```
From 990448395726969704
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb82d25bffe23b22
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 18:44:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AA1A92E.EA83563@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001 20:32:14 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: First official RRAP CW net on 7038.7 kHz
References: <3A9E5E91.7E5A9DBE@IEEE.org> <20010301204744.13524.00000024@nso-
fp.aol.com> <97omdj$8cm$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3aa0a49d.630044@news2.dvol.com>
<3AA0F7E3.F1911A1F@IEEE.org> <3aa17945.55073092@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.130
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983673350
64.240.47.130 (3 Mar 2001 20:35:50 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16746

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> But particularly in the case of Pactor II there are a
> lot of hardware dollars involved beyond just the radio.

A PACTOR II modem only costs 15% as much as an IC-775. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -467863703393052155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 19:16:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 03:11:47 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3AA1B273.CF9603FE@bctv.com>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AA06279.F9847291@bctv.com> <97puc7$uuj$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA1190C.45ECB26A@bctv.com> <97s3e6$1v7j$1@heap.juniper.net>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 983675643 47862 63.87.116.71 (4 Mar 2001 03:14:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 4 Mar 2001 03:14:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16747

Dennis Ferguson wrote:
>
> Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >Dennis Ferguson wrote:
> >>
> >> Also, no where did it say the
> >> beam is "narrow", no EM beam can be perfectly collimated in any case
> >> and how big it is at any particular distance is a matter of adjusting
> >> the focus and having sufficient power available.
> >
> >Power is the problem. The beam MUST be narrow or it will have no effect
> >whatsoever. Even the report says that the beam must be held against a
> >"spot on the skin for 3 seconds."
>
> So, what is the power density required to heat an area of skin to 130
> degrees to a depth of 1/64" in less than three seconds? I can guesstimate
> it from the specific heat of water, but you must know more precisely to
> make the above assertion with such authority so I'd like to know your
> answer instead.

You are looking at the problem from the wrong end.

It is not important to know power levels -- only that, for use as a weapon, they would swap anything for speed. A wider beam will require more power to get the same depth of damage in the same time frame. Thus, the beam is narrow to get more depth (and speed) from the same power.

Unless, of course, you plan to require the targets to stand absolutely still for 9 seconds, just so you can double the beamwidth and get the same results . . .

From -2253272745513737604
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 20:02:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-hub.cableinet.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!news.juniper.net!not-for-mail
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 4 Mar 2001 03:30:35 GMT
Organization: Juniper Networks, Inc.
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <97scsr$2eo3$1@heap.juniper.net>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3AA07A59.F451E52E@IEEE.org> <97rt38$1kma$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA19AC8.2F4C24EF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: wawa.juniper.net
X-Trace: heap.juniper.net 983676635 80643 172.17.20.55 (4 Mar 2001 03:30:35 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@juniper.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 4 Mar 2001 03:30:35 GMT
X-Newsreader: trn 4.0-test72 (19 April 1999)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16748

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Dennis Ferguson wrote:
>> Somehow you have the results of the test effecting the circumstances
>> that required the test takers to take the test. You should patent this,
>> it seems to require FTL information transfer.
>
>All it is, is hind sight. Everything in the past is 100% probable.

Still, having the cause occur after the effect is quite clever. I've seen worse patents.

>> Governments force you to do many things whether or not the circumstances
>> are that you wish to avail yourself of the privileges. The government
>> forced you to pay social security, but doesn't force you to cash the
>> checks. For other things the only circumstances that require it are your
>> own personal desires or whims. I think there's a difference.
>
>Aha, the Stockholm Syndrome again. Your personal whims and desires don't
>matter. You are forced by the government to obey the laws and regulations

>whether you like them or not.

You're the one who asserts that people who are not amateur radio operators are not forced (required by circumstance) to take a code test even if their circumstance is a personal whim or desire is to become a ham, so it obviously matters to you despite your protest that it doesn't. What do you have against personal whims and desires, that this particular circumstance entirely precludes one from being "forced (required by circumstance)"?

Dennis Ferguson

```
From 1455706854322753238
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 20:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!newsfeed.ozemail.com.au!
news1.optus.net.au!optus!news1.mpx.com.au.MISMATCH!news01.syd.optusnet.com.au!
nnrp01.syd.optusnet.com.au!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "��g�t�|���|�" <digitalebola@dingoblue.net.au>
From: "��g�t�|���|�" <digitalebola@dingoblue.net.au>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<00.1228509346.4069703@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Sun, 4 Mar 2001 15:11:38 +1100
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3aa1bf94$0$25505$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.142.36.173
X-Trace: 983678879 news01.syd.optusnet.com.au 25505 198.142.36.173
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9734
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12821 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16749 rec.radio.cb:26950
rec.radio.scanner:14263 rec.radio.shortwave:27942
```

```
maybe all those "Freaks" and "Fruitloops" covered in tin foil were really
the smart ones eh?

*shudder*



--
Regards,

Anthony Martin
Point and Click Business Systems

WEB: Http://pointandclick.cjb.net
       or Http://victorianscanner.cjb.net

E-mail: digitalebola@dingoblue.net.au
"btpost" <btpost@eagle.ptialaska.net> wrote in message
news:00.1228509346.4069703@corp.supernews.com...
> Time to get out your tinfoil and cover yourself up!!!!
> --
>   Bruce (semiretired powderman & exFCC Field Inspector for Southeastern
> Alaska)
>
>   Bruce Gordon * Debora Gordon R.N.       Bruce's Trading Post
>         P.O. Box EXI                      Excursion Inlet South
>      Juneau, Alaska 99850               Excursion Inlet, Alaska 99850
>             www.btpost.net   bruce@btpost.net
>     University of Alaska           Remote Weather Data Collection Site
>      fnbeg@aurora.alaska.edu          KWO-70 * WDT-59 * KNEG-586
>       wcovexip@ptialaska.net            Wards Cove Packing Co.
>   btpost@eagle.ptialaska.net          AL7AQ@KL7HFI * KL7WJ@KL7HFI




From 1867142823413044494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,566e7a1f3ca2c94c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 20:29:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Launches Review of LA Area Repeater
Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001 22:28:05 -0600
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3AA1C455.82C369CA@ix.netcom.com>
References: <ogs0at0bp87d9qguclv22bclj5rduoh6ts@news>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0e.8e.2e
```

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 Mar 2001 04:28:22 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16750

I always wondered how long it'd be before the FCC got around
to this repeater......

> FCC Launches Review of LA Area Repeater
> NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 2, 2001--The FCC has launched a review into the operation
> of the W6NUT repeater in the Los Angeles area.

From -3616454793864211047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 20:32:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AA1C2D9.5423D4E2@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001 22:21:45 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3AA07A59.F451E52E@IEEE.org>
<97rt38$1kma$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA19AC8.2F4C24EF@IEEE.org>
<97scsr$2eo3$1@heap.juniper.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.107
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983679919
64.240.47.107 (3 Mar 2001 22:25:19 -0600)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16751

Dennis Ferguson wrote:
> You're the one who asserts that people who are not amateur radio operators
> are not forced (required by circumstance) to take a code test even if their
> circumstance is a personal whim or desire is to become a ham, so it
> obviously matters to you despite your protest that it doesn't.

Whether it matters to me or not is irrelevant. Everyone is forced to
meet the requirements no matter how he/she feels. Happy people and
angry people alike are required by circumstances to meet the same
requirements.

> What do
> you have against personal whims and desires, that this particular
> circumstance entirely precludes one from being "forced (required by
> circumstance)"?

I don't have anything against personal whims and desires. But when
it comes to governmental requirements, personal whims and desires
are simply irrelevant. Are you saying, "Relax and enjoy it and
then it's not rape."?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8789145683377954611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 20:35:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AA1C3A9.EF356286@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001 22:25:13 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3a994ac3.2917577@news.mindspring.com>
<20010225203343.06490.00000292@nso-fz.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.107
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983680127
64.240.47.107 (3 Mar 2001 22:28:47 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16752

N2EY wrote:
> "Novice Enhancement" and the 1987 Tech/General element split changed all that.
> Folks who were on 11 meters or thereabouts could get Technicians and go on 10
> meters - often with the same antennas they'd been using.

I wonder if the FCC planned it that way on purpose?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5320809235693305l5
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 21:52:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 4 Mar 2001 05:50:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <97sl3d$43i$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org> <97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org> <97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA07A59.F451E52E@IEEE.org> <97rijh$2h5$0@208.206.142.90> <3AA19853.B21EBF6E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16753

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dennis Ferguson wrote:
> > > > Only people who are not US HF amateur radio operators take
> > > > the US Morse code exam.
> > >
> > > To what purpose?
> >
> > Cecil! to *become* HF hams, why else??
>
> And if they become HF hams, they have by definition, been forced
> to meet the requirements for an HF amateur radio license. If they
> don't meet the requirements they are forced to stay out of amateur
> radio.
>

But your statement is incorrect. HF hams are NOT forced to learn and
pass a test.
All citizen applicants are required by law to pass the tests required.
Once they do that, then and only then are they HF hams.

From 966292031145829043
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 21:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <a7m3at8k7qtm2sp1mk7hpl5pf921ise8ft@4ax.com>
References: <90c2atcscjlq5ebufg21r3ip6p5bfgv07n@4ax.com>
<20010303160026.24780.00000110@nso-bh.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 05:56:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.126

X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983685402 209.86.207.126 (Sat, 03 Mar 2001 21:56:42 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 03 Mar 2001 21:56:42 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16754

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>> I found your article in 1995 QST
>
>The Field Day story in June, 1994, or the one about the time delay relays?

Relays...

From -7556518183615592453
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 22:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 4 Mar 2001 06:07:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <97sm3e$78u$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org> <97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org> <97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71> <3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16755

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > news:3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org...

> > > A government forces citizens to meet
> > > requirements in return for certain privileges. That's what governments
> > > do.
> >
> > I was forced to concede this point three weeks ago. :-)
>
> Would you explain it to Dick? He is having trouble grasping the concept.
>

Up till this point no govermemt has ever forced me to take any radio
test whatever. If your has, you should be be protesting to your
congresspeson.

```
From 6511803703616917288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 22:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 4 Mar 2001 06:10:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <97sm8g$78u$1@208.207.71.174>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3AA07A59.F451E52E@IEEE.org>
<97rt38$1kma$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA19AC8.2F4C24EF@IEEE.org>
<97scsr$2eo3$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA1C2D9.5423D4E2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16756
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dennis Ferguson wrote:
> > You're the one who asserts that people who are not amateur radio operators
> > are not forced (required by circumstance) to take a code test even if their
> > circumstance is a personal whim or desire is to become a ham, so it
> > obviously matters to you despite your protest that it doesn't.
>
> Whether it matters to me or not is irrelevant. Everyone is forced to
> meet the requirements no matter how he/she feels.

How is it than that so many people have never heard of ham radio if they
were all forced to take tests?

```
From -8637824093422407606
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 22:18:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 06:15:52 GMT
References: <20010303135557.02733.00000115@nso-da.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010304011552.16620.00000385@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16758
```

In article <20010303135557.02733.00000115@nso-da.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>
>Tsk, tsk. The Academy of Model Aeronautics was/is (don't know
>if it still exists) a national association of model aircraft builders
>and flyers. AMA members didn't get a magazine subscription,
>just regular bulletins. Voting privileges were part of membership.
>One's membership number was used as identification on each
>model aircraft.

Lennie:

The AMA still exists, and it is bigger than ever. With your membership
comes a subscription to their journal, _Model Aviation_. You can
also purchase it on newsstands, if you want to get a copy and
catch up on the latest that aeromodelling has to offer.

73 de Larry, K3LT
AMA #63931 (inactive)

```
From -2279592568806979222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 22:18:03 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 06:15:53 GMT
References: <3aa11fbd.32151893@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010304011553.16620.00000386@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16757

In article <3aa11fbd.32151893@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
writes:

>
>On 03 Mar 2001 05:34:22 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>
>>I am not a medical doctor yet I was a member of the AMA for
>>five years.  My membership number was 19700.
>>
>Big deal. It's called a magazine subscription. You can become an ARRL
>member too. Ya can't vote though, just like ya couldn't vote in AMA
>affairs.

I was also a long-time member of the AMA.  My membership
number was 63931.  Ever wonder why people call me "Doc?"

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 8477450834708389138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 23:08:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-
```

```
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 02:06:14 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$
%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-197.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 983689567 108143 206.74.49.197 (4 Mar 2001 07:06:07
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 4 Mar 2001 07:06:07 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9738
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12832 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16759 rec.radio.cb:26961
rec.radio.scanner:14275 rec.radio.shortwave:27948
```

oxytone notion wrote:

>
> "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
>
>> What a wonderful time we live in. What type
>> of monster would sit around thinking of ways
>> to heat people up in an effort to control them?
>
> Dwight, I am 96% sure that hams were involved
> in the design of this project. [snip]
>
> [snip] There back and Busch Jr. is in the white
> house - scary.

Lets not make this a political issue, or divide ourselves by trying to blame individual groups. Its not about Democrats or Republicans (the research had to have started while Clinton was in the White House, but I don't doubt Bush will love it).

Instead, this is about everybody outside of the power elite, and _ALL_ of us will have to live with this stuff in the future.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3949877074685151612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-03 23:18:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 02:17:14 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <B6C75629.44C2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <97rppt$4kv$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-197.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 983690228 123856 206.74.49.197 (4 Mar 2001 07:17:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 4 Mar 2001 07:17:08 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9739 rec.radio.amateur.misc:12833 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16760 rec.radio.cb:26962 rec.radio.scanner:14276 rec.radio.shortwave:27951

W4JLE wrote:

>> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
>> in message
>> news:B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net...
>>
>> More like big profits for some contractor

> > Dwight, who'll sell this equipment to the
> > military for a 50,000% inflated price
> > - remember the $1500.00 toilet seats? There
> > back and Busch Jr. is in the white house
> > - scary.
>
> Come on, there were never $1500 toilet seats,
> this is the standard method to hide black
> projects, inflating prices for authorized non
> classified projects. At least Bush won't be
> selling the classified stuff to the Chinese.

Your attributed the above to the wrong person, W4JLE. I didn't write the material you quoted - it was written by someone else in reply to something I had written earlier. And you may want to read what I wrote in reply to that (about not turning this into a political debate between the two parties).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 3936542352748846767
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 01:04:03 PST
From: Bob Brock <bbrock@i-america.net>
Newsgroups: 
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 04:13:29 -0500
Message-ID: <vd14atk7fhbgi2asv5e342jkq7f8co22cu@4ax.com>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> 
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AA06279.F9847291@bctv.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 47-99.i-america.net
X-Trace: 4 Mar 2001 04:01:58 -0500, 47-99.i-america.net
Organization: Heller Information Services
Lines: 53
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!feeder1.proxad.net!
enews.sgi.com!outfeed2.news.cais.net!216.200.68.4.MISMATCH!news1.his.com!
vienna7.his.com!47-99.i-america.net
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9741
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12840 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16761 rec.radio.cb:26971
rec.radio.scanner:14278 rec.radio.shortwave:27954

On Sat, 03 Mar 2001 03:18:17 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:

>
>
>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>
>> oxytone notion wrote:
>>
>> > The Pentagon unveiled its latest weapon yesterday,
>> > a non-lethal beam that  fires electromagnetic
>> > waves at the skin, heating it instantly to painful
>> > levels without internal or permanent damage.
>> >
>> > Touted by enthusiasts as the biggest military
>> > development since the atomic bomb, the Vehicle
>> > Mounted Active Denial System (V-MADS) is easily
>> > transportable and is considered ideal for "crowd
>> > control."
>> >
>> > By using certain portions of the electromagnetic
>> > spectrum, it penetrates the skin to a depth of
>> > about 1/64th of an inch.
>> >
>> >  [snip]
>> >
>> > Project officials said the human body begins to
>> > feel pain at about 113 degrees Fahrenheit. The
>> > V-MADS weapon heats the skin to about 130 degrees
>> > Fahrenheit in less than three seconds. [snip]
>>
>>   What a wonderful time we live in.  What type of monster would sit around
>> thinking of ways to heat people up in an effort to control them?  I guess
>> madmen like this will never be happy until they either kill us all off or
>> are able to fully control us with their technology.  If these people are not
>> stopped, can you even imagine what our future will be like?  Concepts like
>> freedom, individual rights, and self-determination, will cease to exist.
>
>Three seconds is a long time to hold a narrow beam on a moving target.
>
>Especially if that target is merrily cranking off lead in the direction
>of the one with the scrooch gun.
```

Since it's stated purpose is "crowd control," I don't think that the beam on the fully functional unit will be that narrow. Since it's vehicle mounted, one could sit inside an armored vehicle, or in a concealed position, to use it.

Just for kicks, what happens if it is left on for say 2 minutes instead of the recommended 3 seconds. Do you come out looking like a cup of coffee hot from the microwave? What if you put it in a storeroom in a skyscraper, preset a timer, and just left it on?

The possibilities are endless...and a little scary.

```
From -1238489386832664210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 05:34:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 13:31:01 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s4.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 4 Mar 2001 08:27:45 -0500, s4.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 17
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s4.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16762
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > You do have to admire the man, Dave, for figuring out how to turn
> > > upgrading into a criticizable offense.
> >
> > He's a natural...
>
> Note that the "criticizable offense" is a characteristic of your
> personality, Dave, not mine.

If you'd like to read the sentence in that manner, go ahead. I don't
think it makes any sense that way, but you're the Mensa member.

Dave K8MN

From -8026179577502535822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 05:34:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 13:32:23 GMT
References: <a7m3at8k7qtm2sp1mk7hpl5pf921ise8ft@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010304083223.23316.00000270@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16763

In article <a7m3at8k7qtm2sp1mk7hpl5pf921ise8ft@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> writes:
>
>>> I found your article in 1995 QST
>>
>>The Field Day story in June, 1994, or the one about the time delay relays?
>
>Relays...
>
Check out QST for June, 1994. Page 55, I think. True story - but the rig
described is the predecessor to what I use now. The cartoon is from the cover
of a 1951 QST.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1566177965246003532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 05:34:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-

```
out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 13:32:24 GMT
References: <3A9D0BA0.104FC476@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010304083224.23316.00000272@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16765
```

In article <3A9D0BA0.104FC476@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

```
>N2EY wrote:
>> >We didn't have them (waivers) soon enough.
>>
>> 1990 wasn't soon enough? Why not?
>
>Because a competent ham who got his technician license in the 50s,
>who has an engineering degree, who had a commercial radio license,
>who for years was chief engineer at an AM broadcast station, and
>who tried for hundreds of hours to get his code speed up to 13wpm,
>was not allowed reasonable privileges on HF ham bands until the 90s.
```

By the definitions set forth by the FCC, a pre-1990 "competent ham" had to pass the 13 wpm code test for what you call "reasonable privileges". Also had to pass 20 wpm for full privileges after 1968.

The FCC's definition of "competent ham" has wandered all over the map. For the past 30 years or so they have been gradually reducing the test requirements that a person has to meet to qualify as a "competent ham". For several years before that, they increased the requirements for that same definition.

How many hours a person has to spend studying something to learn it is often a reflection of poor study methods. Many of the code study methods used are very inefficient.

For a good book on the subject, see:

http://www.raes.ab.ca/book/index.html

73 de Jim, N2EY

>--

From -1460083718142011299
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 05:34:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 13:32:23 GMT
References: <3AA1A304.7121CA03@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010304083223.23316.00000269@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16764

In article <3AA1A304.7121CA03@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> One thing the antenna modeling programs usually don't tell you is the
>effect of
>> all the random metal objects around.
>
>I'll bet my 30ft tower 40ft away from my 40m vertical has an effect
>on the radiation pattern.

Oh heck yes.

>I'm considering putting up a 40m phased
>vertical on top of my house and using elevated radials. 1/4WL
>spacing with 90/270 deg. A/B switched phasing should work for me.

Absolutely. Or, you could gamma-match the 30 ft tower and feed it on 80/40 meters. Probably need some radials, too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -2909860979797261768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 05:34:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 13:32:24 GMT
References: <3AA1C3A9.EF356286@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010304083224.23316.00000271@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16766

In article <3AA1C3A9.EF356286@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> "Novice Enhancement" and the 1987 Tech/General element split changed all
>that.
>> Folks who were on 11 meters or thereabouts could get Technicians and go on
>10
>> meters - often with the same antennas they'd been using.
>
>I wonder if the FCC planned it that way on purpose?
>--
I think they did. Why do something illegally if you can do it legally with just a small investment?

There was also the purpose of repelling illegal stations by increasing ham use of 10 meters, even during sunspot minima.

Ham activity on 10 meters used to rise and fall with the sunspot cycle. Before "Novice Enhancement", almost all hams who had 10 meter priviliges also had lots of privs on all the other ham bands, so there was no compelling reason to use 10. Novices and Techs were limited to tiny slices of a few HF bands, and were allowed only Morse on HF.   "Freebanders" or "HFers" (or whatever you want to call illegal unlicensed folks) started showing up in the 10 meter band, using modified CB gear or old ham gear. This was going on as long ago as the '70s. By filling the band up with hams, the logic went, those illegal stations would be pressured to go elsewhere.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 3904984048839719594
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 05:34:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 113
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 13:32:22 GMT
References: <20010303203939.17061.00000151@nso-mb.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010304083222.23316.00000268@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16767

In article <20010303203939.17061.00000151@nso-mb.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010303071916.20431.00000094@nso-bd.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>
>>>>In orher words, the fact that some hams build their own gear bothers Len
>>>>Anderson. He'd be a lot happier if all hams simply bought their gear and
>>>>never built a thing.
>>>
>>>A lie plus a distortion of what has been publicly posted.
>>
>>What lie? What distortion?
>
>The lie plus distortion is in saying that my undisclosed motives
>are whatever you say they are. You cannot know this, yet you
>persist in "knowing" what they are.

No, Len. You are way off base on this whole thing.

What I wrote (above) is a logical conclusion derived from things YOU wrote.

I previously wrote:

"You simply don't like the idea that hams are not all "appliance operators"."

And you replied:

"Quite true",

Those are your EXACT words. Where's the lie? Where's the distortion?

You also wrote, in that same sentence:

"but, under FCC regulations for a long, long time, US radio amateurs can be ONLY appliance operators."

This phrase does not change the meaning of the "quite true" part.

You have agreed that you don't like the idea that all hams are not appliance operators. By basic logic, you would like it if all hams WERE appliance operators, and none of them built anything.

No lie. No distortion. Just basic logic applied to your own words.

Now maybe you didn't mean to write "quite true" in response to my statement. Maybe you like the idea of hams building their own gear. If so, why not clarify the situation?

>

>You are fabricating a false condition without knowledge of
>another, then arguing against that false condition.

No, I'm not. I'm simply analyzing what YOU wrote. Refute the analysis, if it's not correct. Clarify your statement - don't shoot the messenger.

>You are
>really arguing against yourself.

Not me. But I'm beginning to think that there is no point in responding to your posts.

>It would be better for you to
>have another account-and-handle,

That's what YOU do, Len. Since I've been reading newsgroups, you've had at least four AOL screen names: "nocwtest", "lenof21", "averyfine" and "lenover21". All that time I've just been myself. When I had a deja account I purposely chose a screen name as close to my AOL one so there would be no confusion.

You're the one who keeps changing IDs.

>then you could send
>yourself or rather yourselves messages "debating" something.
>[hopefully in private e-mail to avoid large storage space]

It's clear to me that you don't want to discuss any thing here.

>

>You have done a lot of such fabrication of false conditions

Where?

>and (in a very long post) insist on debate of single sentences.

Is that not allowed? Who made you god?

If my analysis of the quoted sentecne and your reply is not correct, then refute the analysis.

>That's a useless exercise, wastes time just to gain message
>points.

What are "message points"? I have never heard the term used by anyone other than you.

> If you think you gain points by taking so much time,
>feel free to call yourself a "victor" and give yourself a high-five.
>You've not won anything. :-)

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.

Looks to me like you realize that I've argued you into a logical corner. Rather than refute the argument or maybe clarify one of your statements, you start the name-calling game. Doesn't work on me, Len.

You claim to see in others the very behaviors you demonstrate. The psych types call that "projection", I think. Well, have fun with it.

N2EY

From -5850938956010304200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb82d25bffe23b22
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 05:40:37 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: First official RRAP CW net on 7038.7 kHz
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 13:39:20 GMT
Message-ID: <3aa24540.107297492@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3A9E5E91.7E5A9DBE@IEEE.org> <20010301204744.13524.00000024@nso-fp.aol.com> <97omdj$8cm$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3aa0a49d.630044@news2.dvol.com> <3AA0F7E3.F1911A1F@IEEE.org> <3aa17945.55073092@news2.dvol.com> <3AA1A92E.EA83563@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.114
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.114
X-Trace: 4 Mar 2001 07:37:24 -0600, 64.24.240.114
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!panix!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16768

On Sat, 03 Mar 2001 20:32:14 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>> But particularly in the case of Pactor II there are a
>> lot of hardware dollars involved beyond just the radio.
>
>A PACTOR II modem only costs 15% as much as an IC-775. :-)
>

```
PSK-31, MFSK-16 and cw are FREE!
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv


From 7382269707564709685
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 05:58:30 PST
Message-ID: <3AA24850.119B180@ovis.net>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 13:51:12 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
References: <3AA131A7.172B9C0A@ovis.net> <20010303203939.17061.00000150@nso-
mb.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s4.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 4 Mar 2001 08:48:07 -0500, s4.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 118
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!
pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s4.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16769

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AA131A7.172B9C0A@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >...so you've started again.
> >
> >> >The rodeo cowboy thing was for you.  You could choose any organization
> >> >which requires participation in order to become a voting member.  Yet
> >> >you chose to take issue with the ARRL because you, an unlicensed
> >> >individual, cannot cast a vote in League elections.
> >>
> >> No, Davie, I take issue with the ARRL for some of its policies.
> >
> >Okay Lennie, Len Over, Len or whatever.  You complained that if you, an
> >unlicensed individual joined the ARRL, you would not be permitted to
> >vote.  Whether or not you take issue with League policies is beside the
> >point.
>
> Davie, you did it again...lying and distorting things.  Tsk, tsk.
>
> I've not "complained" about joining the ARRL.  I have stated that,
> among all licensed US radio amateurs, only about a quarter of
```

> them are ARRL members. Based on data on the ARRL website
> and in their required QST Statement, that is very close to
> correct. I have said that only ARRL members with valid US
> amateur radio licenses can vote in ARRL matters. Unless the
> ARRL has stated something different lately, that is still true.

Tsk, tsk indeed. C'mon Lennie, fess up! It wasn't very long ago that
in an exchange with Ed Hare, you made the statement I attribute to you
after Ed suggested that you join the League.

> >Which particular falsehoods, lies, innuendo and other nonsense would
> >that be, Leonard H., Lennie, Len, Len Over 21 (at very least) or
> >whatever? Are you now denying that you made the statement regarding
> >ARRL membership?
>
> I have stated what I wrote about the ARRL. That can be checked
> against archived newsgroup messages. What I said and what you
> say I said are different. Quite a bit different. So different that all
> your descriptions are distortions and untruths.

You've said much, much more about the ARRL over the period of several
years. Your "right to comment" has been used to lambast the League on
more than one occasion. The statement you made in the exchange with Ed
Hare is archived too. Anyone who cares can check out your numerous
posts and see if your "falsehoods, lies and innuendo" charge is true.

> >> >I doubt the AMA
> >> >would permit you to vote in its organizational elections unless you
> >> >happened to be a doctor.
> >>
> >> I am not a medical doctor yet I was a member of the AMA for
> >> five years. My membership number was 19700.
> >
> >Tell us about your votes in AMA elections.
>
> :-) Since the original mention of "AMA" did not explain that only
> the American Medical Association was to be interpreted from the
> acronym, I used another "AMA" and claimed rightly and correctly
> that I was a member of that one, the Academy of Model Aeronautics,
> had the membership number of 19700, and did vote in
> that one. No lies there. :-)

Right. Bring up the term "AMA" in a room full of people and see how
many believe that you mean the Academy of Model Aeronautics. I suppose
that you once worked for the FBI--"Finnegan's Bottled Ink".

> >Which lies and fabrications, Len, Lennie, Len Over or whatever? You are
> >on the record with your comments about unlicensed individuals not being
> >granted a vote under the League's by-laws.
>
> Correction...the ARRL is on the record by saying unlicensed (in the
> amateur radio service) members cannot vote in ARRL elections. As
> far as I know, that is still true. If it is not true, point out when that

> was changed.

I know what the ARRL by-laws state, Len. I also know what YOU stated.
My disagreement is not with the League but with you.

> Davie boy, you are reaching way too far to try to develop some kind
> of "issue" here. Don't go there, it leads to nowhere.

Changing your tune? You've made some charges of "falsehoods, lies and
innuendo" in a strongly worded post. You've now soft pedalled and back
pedalled.

> >Time for you to fess up...
>
> Time for you to put away your uniform and quit acting like a Dill
> Instructor. Another in here has assumed that task, warts and
> warrants aplenty. :-)

Time for you to go easy on accusations of untruth unless you are sure
that your record on the matter is clean.

> For the record: I've written in here that the ARRL offers many
> good services for its members and also several good things
> for non-members. I've written in here that I am in difference with
> some of the ARRL policies in regards to amateur radio licensing.
> I've never written that I've "wanted to become an ARRL member."

While that's not exactly what you've written, you have made numerous
negative comments about the League. I've never said that you wrote that
you wanted to become a League member. I wrote that you said you refused
to become a League member because you would not have a vote in League
affairs.

> You might need to print that out, Davie. Maybe off-line reading
> of a hardcopy can make understanding better for you. If you
> persist in saying I've written something that I never wrote, you
> will be wasting everyone's time, including yours.

Izzat right? I think I understand you pretty well, Len. You're
currently not doing a very good job of negating your prior statements.
I've not attributed anything to you which you didn't state here.

Dave K8MN

From -597178518870981635
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 06:04:17 PST
Message-ID: <3AA249C0.54923669@ovis.net>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 13:57:20 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA08C1E.44B1EC6D@ovis.net>
<97rdb3$qfc$1@heap.juniper.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s4.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 4 Mar 2001 08:54:17 -0500, s4.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 53
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s4.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16770

Dennis Ferguson wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> >Dennis Ferguson wrote:
> >
> >> This is incorrect, at least for you and Larry. To determine this,
> >> try taking up residence in another country and then asking the IRS
> >> if you need to continue filing tax returns. Or, try taking up
> >> residence in another country, giving up your US citizenship and
> >> then asking the IRS if you need to continue filing tax returns
> >> (this loophole was closed in the 80's).
> >
> >...not quite true. If I renounce my U.S citizenship and reside in
> >another country, I don't need to ask the IRS anything or pay U.S. taxes
> >unless my money was earned in the U.S.
>
> This is the law for non-citizens in general, but there is a catch 22
> if you have had a substantial connection to the U.S., like citizenship.
> If you are a former U.S. citizen, or have resided in the U.S. for 8 years
> with a green card, the IRS has the discretion to declare that you
> have left the country for the purpose of avoiding your taxes and
> can still require you to file tax returns for your world-wide income.
> You need to ask. I know a long-time green card holder who went home to
> Australia after retirement who is required to continue to file U.S.
> tax returns on his entire income, and to have a U.S. estate trustee
> to ensure the U.S. will receive their cut when he dies. I've also
> had tax lawyers drone on to me incessantly about this same topic,
> in fact, but since the U.S. offers opportunities unavailable
> anywhere else in the world I'm inclined towards not whining about the
> taxes.

Dennis, I commented that this is true if the income is from U.S.
sources. A non-U.S. citizen who has no income from U.S. sources is
quite free to totally ignore the IRS no matter what it says it requires.

> >   Further, as an American abroad

> >(non-Federal employee), I can earn $80,000 (I believe that figure to be
> >current) per year and pay no American taxes on it providing that I am
> >out of the country a certain minimum period. The catch is that I'll
> >become liable for paying host country taxes on the amount. I'd still
> >have to file a U.S. return.
>
> Yes. In fact, in countries with which the U.S. has a tax treaty I'm
> pretty sure you can deduct host country taxes directly from your
> bottom line U.S. tax bill as well. Since U.S. taxes are actually quite
> reasonable compared to many places this will sometimes mean that you
> end up paying no U.S. taxes at all no matter what your income. You
> still need to file the returns, though.

I stated that you would need to file such a return.

Dave K8MN

From -4720427556986011288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 06:20:48 PST
Message-ID: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 08:09:57 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97sm3e$78u$0@208.207.71.174>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.56
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983715210
64.240.47.56 (4 Mar 2001 08:13:30 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!

newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16771

Dick Carroll wrote:
>   Up till this point no govermemt has ever forced me to take any radio
> test whatever. If your has, you should be be protesting to your
> congresspeson.

You seem to be admitting that you fraudulently obtained your Extra
license. Please tell us exactly how you got an Extra class license
without being required by circumstances to pass the Extra class exam.
The government forces everyone to meet the requirements for Extra
before awarding the Extra class license. Exactly how did you get out
of meeting those requirements?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5240716517460555492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 06:26:47 PST
Message-ID: <3AA24DFB.70FA6EB5@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 08:15:23 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA07A59.F451E52E@IEEE.org>
<97rijh$2h5$0@208.206.142.90> <3AA19853.B21EBF6E@IEEE.org>
<97sl3d$43i$0@208.207.71.174>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.56
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983715535
64.240.47.56 (4 Mar 2001 08:18:55 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16772

Dick Carroll wrote:
> But your statement is incorrect. HF hams are NOT forced to learn and
> pass a test.
> All citizen applicants are required by law to pass the tests required.
> Once they do that, then and only then are they HF hams.

Your two sentences contradict each other, Dick. Which is it? If someone
is required by law (required by circumstances) to pass a test then they,
by definition, have been forced (required by circumstances) to pass a test.
"Required by law" is just another way of saying "required by circumstances"
and is the dictionary definition of "forced". You simply cannot have it
both ways.

Please explain to us how you became a ham without meeting the requirements.
Governmental requirements are prima facie evidence of force.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8479620454764661439
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 06:28:23 PST
Message-ID: <3AA24E6C.E100B1AA@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 08:17:16 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3AA07A59.F451E52E@IEEE.org>
<97rt38$1kma$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA19AC8.2F4C24EF@IEEE.org>
<97scsr$2eo3$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA1C2D9.5423D4E2@IEEE.org>
<97sm8g$78u$1@208.207.71.174>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.56
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983715647 64.240.47.56 (4 Mar 2001 08:20:47 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16773

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dennis Ferguson wrote:
> > > You're the one who asserts that people who are not amateur radio operators
> > > are not forced (required by circumstance) to take a code test even if their
> > > circumstance is a personal whim or desire is to become a ham, so it
> > > obviously matters to you despite your protest that it doesn't.
> >
> > Whether it matters to me or not is irrelevant. Everyone is forced to
> > meet the requirements no matter how he/she feels.
>
> How is it than that so many people have never heard of ham radio if they
> were all forced to take tests?

Earth to Dick! We are talking about amateur radio operators. Everyone
within amateur radio is forced to meet the amateur radio requirements.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7576974367862900792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 06:34:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AA24FBE.DF681640@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 08:22:54 -0600

From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9D0BA0.104FC476@IEEE.org> <20010304083224.23316.00000272@nso-ck.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.56
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983715985 64.240.47.56 (4 Mar 2001 08:26:25 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16774

N2EY wrote:
> How many hours a person has to spend studying something to learn it is often a
> reflection of poor study methods.

In his case, it was a physiological problem, the kind of problem that
the waiver was designed to solve. Unfortunately, that solution came 30
years too late for him.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6547422967324779420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 06:38:24 PST
Message-ID: <3AA250A8.698546B1@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 08:26:48 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.56
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983716220
64.240.47.56 (4 Mar 2001 08:30:20 -0600)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16775

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > > You do have to admire the man, Dave, for figuring out how to turn
> > > > upgrading into a criticizable offense.
> > >
> > > He's a natural...
> >
> > Note that the "criticizable offense" is a characteristic of your
> > personality, Dave, not mine.
>
> If you'd like to read the sentence in that manner, go ahead.

You're the one doing the criticizing. If you never change your
mind, then that could be the root of your problems.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2598288126343175262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 07:40:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 15:38:30 GMT
References: <3AA1C2D9.5423D4E2@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010304103830.10659.00000507@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16777

In article <3AA1C2D9.5423D4E2@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>I don't have anything against personal whims and desires. But when
>it comes to governmental requirements, personal whims and desires
>are simply irrelevant. Are you saying, "Relax and enjoy it and
>then it's not rape."?

Cecil:

If a woman "relaxed and enjoyed" an initially unwanted sexual act
and then admitted to doing so, she would have a difficult, if not
impossible task ahead of her proving that she was raped.

After I gained the intellectual and emotional intelligence and maturity
to accept the fact that I needed to learn the Morse code in order to
be an amateur radio operator with HF privileges, I found meeting that
particular requirement to be fairly easy, enjoyable, and in the whole,
a highly satisfying experience. You, on the other hand, have gone
on for days, whinging about being "forced" to do it. Again I ask, for
you have not yet answered, what is the point of this constant, tiring,
pedantic, and boring discussion of "force" re: the Morse code testing
requirements? Is there a point at all, or are you just trying to prove,
beyond a shadow of a doubt, just how big a jerk you can be?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6323169507947962400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 07:44:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 15:43:07 GMT
References: <20010303203939.17061.00000151@nso-mb.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010304104307.02020.00000022@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16778

>Subject: Re: FBI spy
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/3/01 7:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20010303203

>You are fabricating a false condition without knowledge of
>another, then arguing against that false condition. You are
>really arguing against yourself. It would be better for you to
>have another account-and-handle, then you could send
>yourself or rather yourselves messages "debating" something.
>[hopefully in private e-mail to avoid large storage space]

I hope and pray that when I get to Lennie's age that I have prepared a REAL retirement, and that I don't have to waste my waning years demonstratin my "Mobious Loop Logic".

>You have done a lot of such fabrication of false conditions
>and (in a very long post) insist on debate of single sentences.

Yeah, Jim...how dare you try to keep it simple and avoid obfuscating your points in rambling doublespeak.

>That's a useless exercise, wastes time just to gain message
>points.

You still have yet to tell us who issues these points and who's keeping score.

>You've not won anything.

And YOU have?

Right.

```
From -1862125729940803232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 07:46:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 4 Mar 2001 15:44:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <97tnse$38$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16779
```

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > > You do have to admire the man, Dave, for figuring out how to turn
> > > > upgrading into a criticizable offense.
> > >
> > > He's a natural...
> >
> > Note that the "criticizable offense" is a characteristic of your
> > personality, Dave, not mine.
>
> If you'd like to read the sentence in that manner, go ahead. I don't
> think it makes any sense that way, but you're the Mensa member.

Which, to him, means that he has full authority to interrpet anything
any way he wishes.

```
From 7772173404144196056
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 07:54:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 4 Mar 2001 15:52:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <97tocb$38$1@208.206.142.72>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97sm3e$78u$0@208.207.71.174>
<3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16780
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >   Up till this point no govermemt has ever forced me to take any radio
> > test whatever. If your has, you should be be protesting to your
> > congresspeson.
>
> You seem to be admitting that you fraudulently obtained your Extra
> license. Please tell us exactly how you got an Extra class license
> without being required by circumstances to pass the Extra class exam.
> The government forces everyone to meet the requirements for Extra
> before awarding the Extra class license. Exactly how did you get out
> of meeting those requirements?

Hey, genius, no one FORCED nor demanded that I meet the requirements -
I did it willingly! Sorry about you!

From 2030032092059930822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 07:58:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 15:55:55 GMT
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010304105555.09041.00000447@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16781

Hi everyone,

I have a qustion for you. It's friendly in nature so please check your judicial robes at the door, thanks. I'm posting it here because I truly believe that this group is more knowlegeable re. rules, regs, and the FCC in general.

Does any body know if the 155 mi rule has ever been enforced and what the "realistic" penalty is?

Please leave linear amplifiers, non class D type-accepted radios, export radios, modified radios, and other violation out of this picture. I mean an unmodified (except for increased SSB receive filtering) 12 watt Uniden Grant XL feeding a four element wire quad at a height of approx. 65 ft. (legally suspended in trees) fed by true ladder line via a Palstar AT1500C tuner. (what a beauty...what a brute!) The mic's a D-104 TUG-8 (modified for fuller base) and the receive audio is routed through a DSP-599zx. Thanks in advance for your replies and please, let's try to keep it nice. Thanks again.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

From -503288221504576578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 07:58:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 15:56:45 GMT
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16782

In article <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>   Up till this point no govermemt has ever forced me to take any radio
>> test whatever. If your has, you should be be protesting to your
>> congresspeson.
>
>You seem to be admitting that you fraudulently obtained your Extra
>license. Please tell us exactly how you got an Extra class license
>without being required by circumstances to pass the Extra class exam.
>The government forces everyone to meet the requirements for Extra
>before awarding the Extra class license. Exactly how did you get out
>of meeting those requirements?

Cecil:

In order to be "forced" to do something, one must be influenced by threat of negative consequences to do the "required" action against his free will. HF hams do NOT take Morse code exams unless they voluntarily consent to do so. Without such voluntary consent, the FCC has no way to levy this testing upon the individual; however, they will refuse to grant the license. The license is not granted unless the individual voluntarily takes and passes the tests. No "force" is applied other than the FCC's observence of its own rules and regulations, which are public law.

Again, I ask you to give up your foolish quest to prove the unprovable. Nobody is "forced" to take Morse code tests. "Required by circumstances" is obviously a very distorted and false definition of the word "forced," at least in this context.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7203922021761184800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 08:02:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!

not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 16:00:04 GMT
References: <20010304011552.16620.00000385@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010304110004.02020.00000023@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16783

>Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 3/4/01 12:15 AM Central Standard Time

>You can
>also purchase it on newsstands, if you want to get a copy and
>catch up on the latest that aeromodelling has to offer.

Larry, you should know by now that Lennie has NO desire to offer ANY opinion, comment or assertion from an "informed" position.

Logic that even the fictional Mr. Spock would find too simplistic would have suggested that "Mr. I Have All The Time In The World" could have spent 5 minutes surfing the web to find out that which you already knew...but hey, then he wouldn't have had anything to make his smart alec remarks over.

Nothing like facts to ruin a LennieRant.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -1787991562378387329
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 09:35:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 55
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 17:32:50 GMT
References: <3a99ef94.1142339@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010304123250.17186.00000144@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16784

In article <3a99ef94.1142339@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>On 26 Feb 2001 01:33:42 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>In article <3a97cea2.43470735@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>>>>Of course a car that has an automatic transmission does not take up more
>>>road
>>>>space, use lots more fuel or emit lots more pollution than a similar
>manual
>>>>transmission car. The same cannot be said of voice modes vs. Morse.
>>>
>>>That has ZERO bearing on the need for a code test or
>>>knowledge of code as a skill.
>>
>>It has all the bearing in the world, Bill. My use of a manual transmission
>car
>>vs. a similar model with automatic transmission has very little effect on
>other
>>drivers, the environment or resource depletion. My use of Morse vs. voice on
>>the ham bands has a BIG effect on other hams.
>
>From what you have said now, it appears YOU want the
>code test as a means to draft new code users...

Nope. I'm just pointing out that the auto transmission analogy isn't perfect.
Choice of transmission doesn't have much effect on other drivers. Choice of
mode does.

>which
>is not the purpose of the requirement.

The test requirements have a variety of purposes. They vary over time, too.
What those purposes should be has long been a subject of debate.

> If someone sends
>poor code does that have a BIG effect on other hams...or
>only an effect on the other person trying to copy code.

Sending isn't tested today....
>
>If you want to hype the narrowbandwidth value of morse
>over voice, that's fine,

It's not "hype" - it's fact.

> but the FCC sure hasn't bought

>that argument as a justification for code testing.

Agreed. They are into minimum requirements now.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 5024266714706901783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 10:10:34 PST
Message-ID: <3AA283FF.FA1D31A4@ovis.net>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 18:05:51 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
<3AA250A8.698546B1@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s15.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 4 Mar 2001 13:01:29 -0500, s15.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 31
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s15.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16785

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > > > You do have to admire the man, Dave, for figuring out how to turn
> > > > > upgrading into a criticizable offense.
> > > >
> > > > He's a natural...
> > >
> > > Note that the "criticizable offense" is a characteristic of your
> > > personality, Dave, not mine.

> >
> > If you'd like to read the sentence in that manner, go ahead.
>
> You're the one doing the criticizing. If you never change your
> mind, then that could be the root of your problems.

Let's take the sentence as written. We'd have to take "the man"--that
would be you, for (here comes the verb) "figuring" and what did you
figure?--"how to turn upgrading into..."--into what?--"a criticible
offense". You, Cecil, have turned something into a criticizable
offense. Yep, I criticized you for being two faced because you did
something you swore you'd never do. There's your free English lesson
for this week.

Dave K8MN

From -5770210765434089886
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 11:00:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!HSNX.atgi.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CDL
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 13:59:15 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <B6C7FAB2.4546%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6C2F041.3E48%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010303070513.20978.00000333@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-35.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 983732318 20328 207.144.64.165 (4 Mar 2001 18:58:38
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 4 Mar 2001 18:58:38 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16786

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> You have a legal and moral responsibility
> to prevent an unsafe situation. If this
> woman were my own mother, I would physically
> restrain her, if necessary, to prevent her
> from driving. I would go to the police
> and file a complaint against her, have her

> arrested, if necessary, and do whatever was
> necessary to keep this deadly dangerous
> person out from behind the wheel. If you
> fail to do this, and your mother manages
> to injure or kill someone with her
> motorhome, you can feel free to consider
> yourself a willing accomplice to the crime.

Larry, I'm no more responsible for my mother's driving than I am of your driving. She is a free individual, with a legal right to drive that vehicle. And, as long as the state issues her that license (and they are already aware of her driving record), she has a right to drive and there is not a thing I can do about it.

Now, I can talk to her, and even urge her not to drive, but that is as far as I can legally go (restraining her would be a crime).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8127726658581389319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 11:34:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9okfk0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304105555.09041.00000447@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Lines: 19
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 19:33:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983734380 206.58.44.11 (Sun, 04 Mar 2001 19:33:00 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 19:33:00 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16787

On 04 Mar 2001 15:55:55 GMT, SSB411D wrote:

>Does any body know if the 155 mi rule has ever been enforced and what the
>"realistic" penalty is?

Yes. "Working skip" sweeps are done periodically, and if there is an indication that the station might be running power, an inspection is made (or at least attempted).

Violation penalties are in the $2000 per violation range for first offense and it goes uphill from there.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
('Sposed to know about these things)

```
From 8312289840433700466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 11:34:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9oknt1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3a994ac3.2917577@news.mindspring.com>
<20010225203343.06490.00000292@nso-fz.aol.com> <3AA1C3A9.EF356286@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 17
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 19:33:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983734380 206.58.44.11 (Sun, 04 Mar 2001 19:33:00
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 19:33:00 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16788
```

On Sat, 03 Mar 2001 22:25:13 -0600, W6RCecilA wrote:

>> "Novice Enhancement" and the 1987 Tech/General element split changed all that.
>> Folks who were on 11 meters or thereabouts could get Technicians and go on 10
>> meters - often with the same antennas they'd been using.
>
>I wonder if the FCC planned it that way on purpose?

Don't give "them" (more accurately "him") more credit for
foresight than is really due....

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -5858996998586918490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 11:34:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 116
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 19:32:28 GMT
References: <3AA24850.119B180@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010304143228.17061.00000173@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16789
```

In article <3AA24850.119B180@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>> Davie, you did it again...lying and distorting things. Tsk, tsk.
>>
>> I've not "complained" about joining the ARRL. I have stated that,
>> among all licensed US radio amateurs, only about a quarter of
>> them are ARRL members. Based on data on the ARRL website
>> and in their required QST Statement, that is very close to
>> correct. I have said that only ARRL members with valid US
>> amateur radio licenses can vote in ARRL matters. Unless the
>> ARRL has stated something different lately, that is still true.
>
>Tsk, tsk indeed. C'mon Lennie, fess up! It wasn't very long ago that

>in an exchange with Ed Hare, you made the statement I attribute to you
>after Ed suggested that you join the League.

No. Either you misunderstood or misread what was written or
you are just distorting things again.

>You've said much, much more about the ARRL over the period of several
>years. Your "right to comment" has been used to lambast the League on
>more than one occasion. The statement you made in the exchange with Ed
>Hare is archived too. Anyone who cares can check out your numerous
>posts and see if your "falsehoods, lies and innuendo" charge is true.

Anyone is free to check out archives. Archived posts can be cut
and pasted in here...to form another endless non-debate where you
"analyze" the archives to "prove" some personal obsession of yours.

Yawn.

>Right. Bring up the term "AMA" in a room full of people and see how
>many believe that you mean the Academy of Model Aeronautics.

I don't expect anyone to know about the Academy of Model
Aeronautics...except the number of model hobbyists in the USA
who are members, their families and friends. According to Larry
Roll, the (model hobbyist) AMA is still active.

I used to know a medical doctor who liked model aircraft building
and flying. He used to joke about being a member of two AMAs.
He had a sense of humor. You should try it sometime, you
might like it...

>I know what the ARRL by-laws state, Len. I also know what YOU stated.
>My disagreement is not with the League but with you.

That disagreement is quite evident. Few care about that.

You keep arguing about "what I (supposedly) wrote" but you don't
show any quotes of it, don't refer to it except in vague generalities.
If you are unable to quote it, then refer to some disinterested
third-party reference where others can go look for it...please use
definite dates, newsgroup, screen name, and other details.

>> Davie boy, you are reaching way too far to try to develop some kind
>> of "issue" here. Don't go there, it leads to nowhere.
>
>Changing your tune? You've made some charges of "falsehoods, lies and
>innuendo" in a strongly worded post. You've now soft pedalled and back
>pedalled.

No. You're becoming obsessed with proving another's Wrongdoing. You haven't really presented any case yet except some personal opinions which have been distortions and untruths.

If you wish to create a long and boring thread in the form of a kangaroo court where you want to be judge, jury, and executioner, feel free. That wastes everyone's time and is boring. Hardly anyone cares for any outcome of a personal vendetta.

>Time for you to go easy on accusations of untruth unless you are sure that your record on the matter is clean.

Quit stowing your throne in a glass house.

You are now implying badness in the past...based on nothing but some vague statements of your (very biased) opinion.

>While that's not exactly what you've written, you have made numerous negative comments about the League.

Yes. I've also made some positive comments. The ARRL is not divine, it's policies are made by men not gods.

>I've never said that you wrote that you wanted to become a League member. I wrote that you said you refused to become a League member because you would not have a vote in League affairs.

Put it away, Dave. You are getting tiresome. Unlicensed members of the ARRL do not have voting privileges. That was what I've written. I've never written "why I will not join the ARRL."

You state I've written something. You have no evidence to present in this kangaroo court of yours and yet you "challenge" me to refute something. No one can refute something that doesn't exist.

>Izzat right? I think I understand you pretty well, Len. You're currently not doing a very good job of negating your prior statements. I've not attributed anything to you which you didn't state here.

I cannot "refute" something that doesn't exist. You cannot "charge" anyone of "wrongdoing" without valid evidence. If you have evidence, show it. If not, shut the hell up and go look for others who can be pushed around by your Dill Instructor attitudes.

Remember, you are an example of the "highest" class license in US amateur radio.

From -3689841543548506538
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 11:34:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 19:32:28 GMT
References: <20010304083222.23316.00000268@nso-ck.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010304143228.17061.00000174@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16790

In article <20010304083222.23316.00000268@nso-ck.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

<snip>

>>and (in a very long post) insist on debate of single sentences.
>
>Is that not allowed? Who made you god?

Startling question. When did theology enter into this?

The technique of "analyzing" single sentences by taking them
out of context is done by a few who have: (1). A lot of time to
play on the screen; (2). An insistence on "being right" any which
way they can in an exchange.

That isn't any theology but just an observation of BBSs, ARPANET,
USENET, INTERNET behavior over the years as practiced by some
who have a compelling obsession to have the Last Word.   :-)

>If my analysis of the quoted sentecne and your reply is not correct, then
>refute the analysis.

When I find a "sentecne" I'll look at it and analyze it. Other than that
I believe we were talking about "sentences."

"Sentence analysis" has already been done. You don't accept it. Have
you accepted any "analysis" of your words done by anyone else?   :-)

From -8988773020955883494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 11:34:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 19:32:26 GMT
References: <20010304011552.16620.00000385@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010304143226.17061.00000172@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16791

In article <20010304011552.16620.00000385@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>The AMA still exists, and it is bigger than ever.  With your membership
>comes a subscription to their journal, _Model Aviation_.  You can
>also purchase it on newsstands, if you want to get a copy and
>catch up on the latest that aeromodelling has to offer.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>AMA #63931 (inactive)

Thank you for the information...one more excuse to cruise Borders
and Barnes and Nobel stores.  :-)


From -4405816664357472350
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 11:42:34 PST
Message-ID: <3AA297E9.D8441923@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 13:30:49 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97sm3e$78u$0@208.207.71.174>
<3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <97tocb$38$1@208.206.142.72>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.125
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983734460 64.240.47.125 (4 Mar 2001 13:34:20 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16792

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Hey, genius, no one FORCED nor demanded that I meet the requirements -
> *I* did it willingly! Sorry about you!

If you met the requirements, Dick, you were required by circumstances to meet them. Governments force requirements upon citizens in return for privileges granted. That's what governments do. If you were not required by circumstances to pass the ham exams then you never passed them and are therefore a criminal. So which is it, Dick? Did you meet the requirements or not?

It doesn't matter if you willingly or unwillingly met the requirments. Either way, you were required by circumstances (forced) to meet the requirements. Your fuzzy feelings about it just don't matter.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5109109484687673975
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 11:44:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010304105555.09041.00000447@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>

Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Lines: 43
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <KRwo6.1318$OS1.1087548@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 4 Mar 2001 13:41:25 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.213
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 983734762 208.154.158.213 (Sun, 04 Mar 2001 14:39:22 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 14:39:22 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16793

"SSB411D" <ssb411d@cs.com> wrote in message news:20010304105555.09041.00000447@ng-mp1.news.cs.com...

> Hi everyone,
>
> I have a qustion for you. It's friendly in nature so please check your judicial
> robes at the door, thanks. I'm posting it here because I truly believe that
> this group is more knowlegeable re. rules, regs, and the FCC in general.
>
> Does any body know if the 155 mi rule has ever been enforced and what the
> "realistic" penalty is?
>
> Please leave linear amplifiers, non class D type-accepted radios, export
> radios, modified radios, and other violation out of this picture. I mean an
> unmodified (except for increased SSB receive filtering) 12 watt Uniden Grant XL
> feeding a four element wire quad at a height of approx. 65 ft. (legally
> suspended in trees) fed by true ladder line via a Palstar AT1500C tuner. (what
> a beauty...what a brute!) The mic's a D-104 TUG-8 (modified for fuller base)
> and the receive audio is routed through a DSP-599zx. Thanks in advance for your
> replies and please, let's try to keep it nice. Thanks again.
>
> 73 de Bert
> SSB411D

The distance rule (250 km) was necessary to make it fit the definition of Land Mobile. To my knowledge, no one has cared before or since. If you were picked out in some bungle, my guess is about a $300 forfeiture at worst given it was in the legal 40. If not, skip is the least of your worries.

Why do you feel it necessary to recite your equipment list?

Dick N�BK

```
From -5560344186284009270
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 11:50:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 13:38:07 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-
mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.125
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983734898
64.240.47.125 (4 Mar 2001 13:41:38 -0600)
Lines: 42
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16794
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> In order to be "forced" to do something, one must be influenced by
> threat of negative consequences to do the "required" action against
> his free will.

That's but one definition of "forced". Another is "required by circumstances". You and Dick were certainly required by circumstances to meet the requirements for an amateur radio license. If you did not meet those requirements, then you are a criminal.

> HF hams do NOT take Morse code exams unless they voluntarily consent to do so.

How they feel about it is irrelevant. Pre-HF hams take Morse code exams
because they are required by circumstances to do so. That's the
dictionary definition of "forced", Larry.

> The license is not granted unless the
> individual voluntarily takes and passes the tests. No "force" is applied
> other than the FCC's observence of its own rules and regulations,
> which are public law.

But that is exactly the force we are discussing, Larry. The force of laws
and regulations dictate that amateur radio operators are required by
circumstances to meet the requirements. That's the dictionary definition
of "forced", Larry.

> Again, I ask you to give up your foolish quest to prove the unprovable.
> Nobody is "forced" to take Morse code tests. "Required by circumstances"
> is obviously a very distorted and false definition of the word "forced,"
> at least in this context.

Your feelings don't matter, Larry. Governments force their citizens to
obey laws and meet regulations. That's what governments do. That you
suffer from the Stockholm Syndrome is irrelevant. If you don't like
that definition of "force" take it up with Barnes & Noble/Random House.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5796801458516168196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 11:56:36 PST
Message-ID: <3AA29B50.C4BFEA85@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 13:45:20 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA1C2D9.5423D4E2@IEEE.org> <20010304103830.10659.00000507@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.125
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983735331

64.240.47.125 (4 Mar 2001 13:48:51 -0600)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16795

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> After I gained the intellectual and emotional intelligence and maturity
> to accept the fact that I needed to learn the Morse code in order to
> be an amateur radio operator with HF privileges, I found meeting that
> particular requirement to be fairly easy, enjoyable, and in the whole,
> a highly satisfying experience.

It doesn't matter how you felt about it, Larry. If you wanted to be a ham, you were required by circumstances (forced) to meet the requirements. If you didn't honor those requirements, you are a criminal.

Once more, please point out the faulty logic:

Axiom/definition: From Webster's: "forced - required by circumstances"

Premise: US HF hams were forced to pass a Morse code exam.

Proof: US HF hams were required by circumstances (FCC regulations) to pass a Morse code exam.

Conclusion: US HF hams were forced to pass a Morse code exam.

It doesn't matter whether they felt good or bad about it, Larry.
Their feelings were irrelevant.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From -7464407474307081027
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 07:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
wn4feed!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!207.115.63.142!newscon02.news.prodigy.com!
newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!
newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA4F243.325B91ED@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 30
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.43.16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 983892569 6004826 208.188.43.16 (Tue, 06
Mar 2001 10:29:29 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 10:29:29 EST
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 15:29:29 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16947

I spoke with monitoring engineers at two different FCC monitoring facilities and
both agreed that hams interfering with illegal cbers on the 10 meter bands was OK
by
them, that is did not constitute illegal interference on the ham's part since the
interlopers were not licensed. They are the ones at the monitoring facilities who
will be listening and making the decision, not any commissioner. It doesn't have
to
be in writing, the monitoring stations will make the call, not the commissioners.
Note, this is a simple explanation, no hidden meaning.

W6RCecilA wrote:

> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >
> > Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
> > >Exactly where are those words officially published?
> >
> > I called the FCC about this before. I was satisfied with their answer. I can't
> > help it if you want everything in writing.
>
> Did you talk to a real commissioner? Did you record the conversation?

> Getting the verbal opinion of one Supreme Court Justice is meaningless.
> What makes you think an opinion by an obscure person employed by the
> FCC carries any weight? Why is that person's opinion any more valid
> than Phil's? Note, these are simple questions with no hidden meanings.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1430713958835793095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 07:30:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!
newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 6 Mar 2001 15:16:26 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <982v0a$gbi$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 983891786 16754 205.139.138.14 (6 Mar 2001 15:16:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Mar 2001 15:16:26 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16948

On one hand, a nameless anonymous whanker "JR <jr@some.net>" writes:

>Yes you can, and should, interfere with an illegal station and as far
>as the FCC is concerned you are not breaking any rules by interfering
>with these cb morons as they are not licensed. The FCC isn't going to
>do anything to a ham station that interferes with these illegal
>bootleggers, so jam them all you want.

On the other hand, Phil Kane, a communications lawyer and ex-FCC
employee says it's illegal.

Who are you guys gonna trust with your license?

Good luck on this one now!

73, de Hans, K0HB

 ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -1637904713250208428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 07:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!207.115.63.142!newscon02.news.prodigy.com!
newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!
newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA4F47F.905BEA8E@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
<3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.43.16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 983893144 6004826 208.188.43.16 (Tue, 06
Mar 2001 10:39:04 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 10:39:04 EST
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 15:39:04 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16949

Hey Hans, I ran some cbers off of 10 meters late yesterday. They were 'shooting
skip' so I turned the linear on and got right on top of them with some cw. Chased
them to three different frequencies before they finally gave up cussin that Morse
code and moved below 28 MHz. Did you get it taped? I certainly hope so. Riley
would
really be pleased to hear that. Be sure and tell him JR did it.

73 de JR

Hans K0HB wrote:

> JR wrote:
> >
> > And I will continue to operate on frequencies I hear these illegal morons and
> > interfere with them, and encourage others to do the same, even if it does chap
> > you behind.
>
> I was wrong! THIS is the DADHPOTYA (Dumb Assed Dump Huck Post of The Year
> Award) winner!
>
> I kinda hope I hear you doing that, so I can personally send the tape to
> Hollingsworth.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

From 3925062948815737330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 07:48:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!
news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 6 Mar 2001 08:43:53 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9830ri$bhv$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-29-191.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net

X-Posted-By: @64.212.29.191 (w5gyj)
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16950

> > "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > With regards to unauthorized signals, there is no such thing as deliberate
> > interference. It is *impossible* to interfere with an unauthorized signal.
> > These are the FCCs words not mine.

> W6RCecilA replied:
> Exactly where are those words officially published?

In Part 15. If the signal emitted is not coming from a licensed station,
then it has to be coming from a Part 15 device. Part 15 devices must not
cause interference with licensed operations and must accept interference
caused by licensed operations. It's just like talking over some spur
coming from the neighbor's kid's video game, even if that screws up the
kid's new high score for Super Mario Bros.

Disclaimer: I am not a Communications Attorney. I tried to play one
on TV, but no one tuned in.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From -3125499867146248414
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 07:54:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AA505B7.69631348@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 09:43:52 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org> <3AA4F243.325B91ED@some.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.177
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983893641

64.240.47.177 (6 Mar 2001 09:47:21 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!headwall.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16951

JR wrote:
> I spoke with monitoring engineers at two different FCC monitoring facilities and
> both agreed that hams interfering with illegal cbers on the 10 meter bands was
OK by
> them, that is did not constitute illegal interference on the ham's part since
the
> interlopers were not licensed. They are the ones at the monitoring facilities
who
> will be listening and making the decision, not any commissioner. It doesn't have
to
> be in writing, the monitoring stations will make the call, not the
commissioners.

If enforcing the law depends upon the individual monitoring engineers' personal opinions, that is an unhealthy situation. Where is the *official* policy?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -875759161041796144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 07:56:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AA50665.7F6C6A71@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 09:46:45 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <9830ri$bhv$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.177
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983893813
64.240.47.177 (6 Mar 2001 09:50:13 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16952
```

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:

>
> > W6RCecilA replied:
> > Exactly where are those words officially published?
>
> In Part 15. If the signal emitted is not coming from a licensed station,
> then it has to be coming from a Part 15 device. Part 15 devices must not
> cause interference with licensed operations and must accept interference
> caused by licensed operations.

Thanks, Jim.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2978057047727845930
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 08:03:56 PST
```

Message-ID: <3AA50812.62C6EE4E@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 09:53:54 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
<3AA250A8.698546B1@IEEE.org> <3AA283FF.FA1D31A4@ovis.net>
<3AA2A4A4.668C5CFF@IEEE.org> <3AA309BD.79B9CA4D@ovis.net>
<3AA31F76.A3A52524@IEEE.org> <3AA39097.69935BB1@ovis.net>
<3AA39CDD.D3ED085D@IEEE.org> <3AA45404.42A39164@ovis.net>
<3AA46EEF.7CC55BB7@IEEE.org> <3AA4EEC5.A0B9705@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.177
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983894245
64.240.47.177 (6 Mar 2001 09:57:25 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16953

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I did a dejanews search for "swear" in any of my postings.
> > I have never used the word before this week. You lose, Dave.
>
> You may not have conducted such a search.

Yep, I did the search but Jim, N2EY, says the archives are
non-functional. But "swear" is not a word I normally use.
My parents taught me never to swear. It stuck and I still
have an aversion to using the word "swear".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7012635434220373649
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 08:10:58 PST
Message-ID: <3AA509B9.31F72680@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 10:00:57 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3AA45404.42A39164@ovis.net> <20010306083308.14891.00000191@nso-mp.aol.com> <3AA4EE44.8FBCD4F9@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.177
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983894667 64.240.47.177 (6 Mar 2001 10:04:27 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16954

Dave Heil wrote:

> My claim was never that Cecil used the actual word "swear"
> at any rate. One can make an oath without using that word.

How can one swear without using the word "swear"? How can one take an oath without using the word "oath"? A simple statement like, "I will never touch another drop of alcohol" is not swearing or an oath. I've made that statement dozens of times and always changed my mind later after I got to feeling better.

Do you not allow your wife to ever change her mind? If not, do
you still have a wife?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3730670619173772615
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,b2102c53839852da,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2102c53839852da,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b2102c53839852da,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 08:34:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: TrustedQSL Progress report. Need C/C++ programmers
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:34:32 -0000
Organization: Shecora
Message-ID: <905C77B2FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 72
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:13008 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16955 rec.radio.shortwave:28144

Greetings,

It has been less than 2 weeks since I posted my announcement about the TrustedQSL project. When I start I only knew what I wanted to do and kind of how to do it. Things are a little more clear now. The goals of the project are fairly simple:

1. Create a digital signature SDK/system that can used by anyone with a 32 bit computer.

2. Open Source which means that anyone can have access to the source code and use it in their programs. Without cost or other restrictions, except maybe given credit where credit is due.

3. Easy for software developers to program.

4. Easy for end users and CAs (Certifying Authority)to use

5. Easy for awards sponsors to validate E-QSLs offline

6. Create a project space on www.sourceforge.net for easy access to source. This has been done.

7. Create a web site.

I now have the following programs working on Linux and Cygnus Win32 G++:

qgenkey - create a key pair for amateurs.
gencert - for CAs to sign a public key of amateurs
chkcert - validate a amateur/CA certificate.

What is left to do is to write the docs, clean up the code, port it to wintel32, create Trusted QSL formats and test it well. The current size of a cert which includes the public key is 358 bytes. The size of a signedQSL is around 1k. This means that more than a 1000 signed trusted QSL could be stored on a single floppy. I think using a different encode scheme we can get the size down to less than 300 bytes (current uses ascii hex encoding). I haven't found the source to one yet, and haven't had time to write it.

The process of using creating a TrustedQSL is:

1. qgenkey call-sign

2. Have a CA sign your public key which is the certificate. This will prove that it was you that signed the QSL

3. Then either a logging program or a free standing TrustedQSL program will create a signed QSL which with the cert. can be validated anywhere anytime.

Special servers can be set up as key servers which will store certs and revoked cert list. Natural place for this would be web sites like www.vqsl.com, www.qrz.com, www.eqsl.cc, www.qsl.net, etc.

I have set up an area on www.sourceforge.net for trustedQSL. This area will handle source code control, bug tracking, forums, release management and many other things related to this project. To find information on this project go to www.sourceforge.net and do a search on trustedQSL and it will take you to the project page.

I don't program in Microsoft C/C++ so I really need MS C++ programers to help with this project.

From 2968469939716185818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 08:44:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:43:16 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 132
Message-ID: <3aa50f79.1607018@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aa3098c.5316601@news.mindspring.com>
<20010305200605.14671.00000203@nso-ma.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.93
X-Server-Date: 6 Mar 2001 16:41:19 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16956

On 06 Mar 2001 01:06:05 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3aa3098c.5316601@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
...
>>Then do your pitch for using one mode over another as a "sales"
>>effort. It shouldn't require a test element to get those new
>>converts.
>
>In case you hadn't noticed, Bill, the whole code test issue is a dead duck. The
>FCC is clearly come to the conclusion that the ONLY reason for a code test is
>to meet the requirements of S25.5. They eliminated all but the minimum test
>that they think meets that treaty provision. They can't do any more reduction
>until the treaty changes.

Agreed.

>The ARRL BOD decided at their January meeting to simply step aside on the
>treaty issue. They're not going to push for keeping S25.5 - and neither will a
>whole bunch of other countries. The final wake-up call was the Region 3 ITU
>meeting last fall, where ALL of the other national societies in attendance
>voted to support elimination of S25.5.

Actually, the ARRL went further than you state, they decided
to SUPPORT removal of S25.5. In the IARU they will now
vote YES to end S25.5.

>Sure, the ARRL BOD sez they will support keeping a code test without S25.5. Do
>you really think the FCC will follow that recommendation for access to 'phone
>and digital modes? I don't.

Nor do I. Indeed, by the time S25.5 is actually ended (2003),
the support for keeping a CW test in the US will liky have
dwindled also (IMHO).

>>>>which is not the purpose of the requirement.
>>>
>>>The test requirements have a variety of purposes. They vary over time, too.
>>>What those purposes should be has long been a subject of debate.
>>
>>Tests should only have requirements which can
>>rationally be defended. The code test no longer
>>meets that standard.
>
>Your opinion, sir. Mine's different. The FCC's is the one that matters.
>Theirs seems pretty clear to me.

I agree, and theirs (the FCC) is very nicely aligned with
my viewpoint.

>>>> If someone sends
>>>>poor code does that have a BIG effect on other hams...or
>>>>only an effect on the other person trying to copy code.
>>>
>>>Sending isn't tested today....
>>
>>Bingo! So why does how well someone can copy
>>code have anything to do with having a big effect
>>on other hams?
>
>Because inability to copy means they almost certainly won't use the mode.

Who cares, so what? Where in part 97 is there any
requirement as a ham to EVER use any specific mode?

>And
>for most people, learning to send is far easier than learning to receive.

That's just the justification to only test recieveing, it
doesn't justify any need for the requirement to begin with.

>>>>If you want to hype the narrowbandwidth value of morse
>>>>over voice, that's fine,
>>>
>>>It's not "hype" - it's fact.
>>
>>Hype, advertise, spin, whatever...it is all about
>>convincing others to use the mode.
>
>Is that a bad thing?

Not at all. I applaud you if you take it as a challange
to do so without requiring mandatory CW testing.

>>If it is so
>>efficient, then why are some people worried that
>>it will cease to be used if there is no test requirement?
>
>Because before it can be used effectively, some skill is required. Like manual
>transmissions....

And, there seems to be a good following of manual
transmission users even though there is absolutely
no laws requiring such knowledge or preferences.
If there is NO morse test at all, would you have some
fear that "unskilled" CW users will ruin the non-phone
bands?

>>>> but the FCC sure hasn't bought
>>>>that argument as a justification for code testing.
>>>
>>>Agreed. They are into minimum requirements now.
>>
>>Which is ALL they should be.
>>
>Minimum requirements often lead to minimum performance.

Minimum requirements set a threshold. Will some people never
go beyond that? Sure, that's human nature and the
population of hams has always had such people. Likewise,
the history of ham radio abounds with many people that
go well beyond the boundary of that minimum threshold.

The problem, as I see it, is that you and many others
want EVERY ham to meet some ongoing learning
process. Frankly, that is absolutely not going to happen
nor has it likly been so since the first piece of
commercial ham gear became available. I believe
we'd be better off if we worked on "making it happen"
with newly licensed hams by being role models
ourselves rather than demanding continued testing
at higher levels beyond where we are now.

>And the real question
>is - who decides what "minimum" is?

The FCC decides. We are offered the opportunity to
influence that decision by coment.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 3781848248840682864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 08:48:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:44:47 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3aa513ce.2716887@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.93
X-Server-Date: 6 Mar 2001 16:42:51 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16957

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:29:18 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
wrote:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>Can we say that the wholesale violation of the 55 speed limit
>>on many roads had no effect whatsoever on the regulatory agencies' decision?
>
>The speed limits on most of the major (non-freeway) city streets in
>Phoenix have been set for many years by the citizens. Traffic
>Engineering checks the speed of motorists on a particular street over
>a period of weeks and then sets the speed limit at or near the average
>speed...
>
>Further the state (non-freeway) speed law 28-701A is not an absolute
>law. It says that you can go no faster than what is reasonable and
>prudent. This may be above or below the posted speed limit depending
>on the conditions (road-weather-traffic) at the time.
>
>We gutted our freeway 55 mph speed limit law some years back. If you
>were going up to 15 mph over the limit you would be cited for misusing
>a natural resource, not speeding. The fine was very low and there were
>no points given to your drivers license. But we still got our highway
>funds...
>
>We are just now getting some of our outlying city freeway limits
>raised to 65 mph. However the downtown areas are still 55 mph. I was
>coming through one of those downtown areas a few days ago and keeping
>pace with all the traffic around me. I was going 75 mph...
```

The same is true in NJ on I-287, eastern end of I-80, and others
that still retain the sham 55 limit.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6241627447867937662
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,b2102c53839852da
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2102c53839852da
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b2102c53839852da
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 08:48:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: TrustedQSL Progress report. Need C/C++ programmers
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:47:18 -0000
Organization: Shecora
Message-ID: <905C78B3BdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
References: <905C77B2FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 22
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:13009
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16958 rec.radio.shortwave:28146

darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON) wrote in
<905C77B2FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>:

Sorry didn't get my signature in.

--

Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON

Join the Trusted QSL mailing list.

Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com

Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com

From 4156668811726996888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 08:50:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:49:22 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <3aa5144d.2843716@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.93
X-Server-Date: 6 Mar 2001 16:47:31 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16959

On Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:28:01 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>> On 5 Mar 2001 06:44:30 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> >>   Are you aiding and abetting by urging someone to commit a
>> >>   criminal act (Willful interference - Sect 333 of the Comm
>> >>   Act).
>> >>
>> > I clearly remember that it was reported in the ham press a few years
>> >ago that the FCC said, in response to a specific inquiry, that it was
>> >not possible to interfere with a communication that was illegal.
>>
>>   What was said was that the operator of said unlicensed
>>   communication has no protection against harmful interference
>>   from a station operating within the terms of its license.
>
>>   That doesn't put teflon coating on an "interferer" who is doing
>>   so willfully.
>
>Is the distintion here between that of 'throwing' and unidentified
>carrier, and legally calling CQ or having a legitimate QSO? It seems to

>me that if there were an interference issue between two truckers having
>a conversation and two hams having a CW QSO on the same 10 meter
>frequency, the interference issue would be easily resolved - in favor of
>the hams. Is this not true?

I agree also. Indeed, from a practical perspective,
who would actually appear to be the interferer anyway?
Also, who would likly issue any citation based on what they
heard? Would an OO cite the hams for interfering
with the illegal trucker QSO? Would the FCC?
While this may make for great hypothetical pondering,
the reality (IMHO) is that the hams wouldn't be
cited for anything given the presence of a pirate/bootleg
signal on the same frequency.

Your mileage may vary :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3864134607696780763
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 08:53:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:52:18 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3aa51572.3136142@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
<3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org> <981j20$5un$0@208.207.71.173>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.93
X-Server-Date: 6 Mar 2001 16:50:29 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16960

On 6 Mar 2001 02:46:24 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Hans K0HB wrote:
>>
>> JR wrote:

>> >
>> > And I will continue to operate on frequencies I hear these illegal morons and
>> > interfere with them, and encourage others to do the same, even if it does
chap
>> > you behind.
>>
>> I was wrong! THIS is the DADHPOTYA (Dumb Assed Dump Huck Post of The Year
>> Award) winner!
>>
>> I kinda hope I hear you doing that, so I can personally send the tape to
>> Hollingsworth.
>
> You'd heighten the height of the ridicuous? Have a legally licensed ham
>cited for QRMing an illigal Freebander who deliberately, brazenly and
>pugnaciously sets up shop inside a ham band?

Hey Dick, better sit down...we agree on this one :-)

I personally doubt that the FCC would do anything
under such a scenario.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 8969615734392430238
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 08:59:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:57:53 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3aa51632.3328396@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org> <3AA4F243.325B91ED@some.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.93
X-Server-Date: 6 Mar 2001 16:58:40 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16961

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 15:29:29 GMT, JR <jr@some.net> wrote:

>I spoke with monitoring engineers at two different FCC monitoring facilities and
>both agreed that hams interfering with illegal cbers on the 10 meter bands was OK
by
>them, that is did not constitute illegal interference on the ham's part since the
>interlopers were not licensed.

I tend to believe this. The "practical" aspect is that the
FCC won't do anything against the hams in that scenario...
regardless of any legal technicalities. By analogy,
it's somewhat like the police looking the other way when
citizens have sometimes taken the law into their own
hands against particularly loathsome criminals.

>They are the ones at the monitoring facilities who
>will be listening and making the decision, not any commissioner. It doesn't have
to
>be in writing, the monitoring stations will make the call, not the commissioners.
>Note, this is a simple explanation, no hidden meaning.

It is, also, more likly the truth of what will happen
regardless of the technical legalities.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 7722691417991019377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 09:01:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!199.60.229.5!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:59:56 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3aa5172d.3579320@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org> <3AA4F243.325B91ED@some.net>
<3AA505B7.69631348@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.93
X-Server-Date: 6 Mar 2001 17:00:44 GMT

```
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16962

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 09:43:52 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>JR wrote:
>> I spoke with monitoring engineers at two different FCC monitoring facilities
and
>> both agreed that hams interfering with illegal cbers on the 10 meter bands was
OK by
>> them, that is did not constitute illegal interference on the ham's part since
the
>> interlopers were not licensed. They are the ones at the monitoring facilities
who
>> will be listening and making the decision, not any commissioner. It doesn't
have to
>> be in writing, the monitoring stations will make the call, not the
commissioners.
>
>If enforcing the law depends upon the individual monitoring engineers'
>personal opinions, that is an unhealthy situation. Where is the *official*
>policy?

Cecil, have you never had the benefit of an individual
police officer's discretion to issue a ticket or not even
though you were absolutely quilty of some traffic infringement?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 1855274189399486003
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,25f5d6f3fa491a42,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 09:10:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.sttls1.wa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Keith <kewyatt@apexmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: FCC Commences Hearing To Revoke Amateur Radio License
User-Agent: Forte 1.8/32.548 {modified}
X-PGP-ID: 0x28E3ABE5  Print 329D 5AD2 0998 400F  9565 8635 C873 B393
Organization: Find Freeware @ http://strongsignals.com/
Reply-To: "Keith" <nospam@strongsignals.com>
Expires: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 11:42:11 GMT
Message-ID: <696aat4e2fqvm84o356oko7f30r25bess7@news>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
```

```
Lines: 24
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 17:10:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.0.43.125
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 983898624 65.0.43.125 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 09:10:24
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 09:10:24 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16963

FCC COMMENCES HEARING TO REVOKE AMATEUR RADIO AND GMRS LICENSES OF TAMPA,
FLORIDA PIRATE RADIO OPERATOR LESLIE D. BREWER. News Release ENF. Contact John
Winston 202-418-7450 or Gary Schonman 202-418-1420

Callsign: KC4HAZ   Class: General   Codes: HAI   USA
Name: LESLIE D. BREWER
Addr1: 6xxx LIBERTY AVE
Addr2: TAMPA, FL 33617
Country: USA
Effective: 06 Nov 1998   Expires: 06 Nov 2008
Coordinates: 28� 2' 11'' N,    82� 23' 28'' W
County: Hillsborough County


--
Best Regards,

Keith         (Use Reply-to for email)
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
http://Find_Free_Software@Strongsignals.com/
"Where would Christianity be if Jesus got eight to fifteen years with
time off for good behavior?" NY State Senator James Donovan, speaking
in support of capital punishment.
----------------------------------------------------------------------------



From -4025279616901026523
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 09:10:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 6 Mar 2001 09:07:49 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9835da$6u7$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
```

<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA39843.FB9F671F@IEEE.org> <980ec5$t8r$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA3E113.17ECF03F@IEEE.org> <3AA3FB98.99A719A0@uci.edu>
<3AA3FDFB.3A745C5D@IEEE.org> <3AA40709.D19000F4@uci.edu>
<3AA42716.DE219767@IEEE.org> <3AA43215.5D160B51@uci.edu>
<3AA46CB0.444192A6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-74.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16964

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AA46CB0.444192A6@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > So do you agree that the reason you might be meeting some of this
> > > > resistance to the idea that the government forces people to take
code
> > > > tests is that you've argued in the past that the government
shouldn't
> > > > force people to take code tests?
> >
> > > The two arguments are separate with possibly different answers.

> > But my question regarding your opinion has only one answer. That answer
> > is either yes, that might be the case, or no, that isn't the case. It's
> > apparent to me that, in the minds of some folks here, the two arguments
> > are not divorced from one another.

> That some participants on this newsgroup are not capable of considering
> two separate concepts is not my problem.

I'm just wondering why you feel so strongly about this point if not for the
reason that it is somehow tied to the other. You haven't commented on your
view of the underlying importance of this force issue. IOW, what's the big
deal?

73, Jim AC6XG

From 2890972201988051042
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 09:15:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 6 Mar 2001 17:01:19 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <98354v$tmr$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com> <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net> <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com> <3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <9830ri$bhv$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AA50665.7F6C6A71@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 983898079 30427 205.139.138.14 (6 Mar 2001 17:01:19 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Mar 2001 17:01:19 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: k7jeb@arrl.net
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16965

K7JEB@arrl.net wrote:

> > W6RCecilA replied:
> > Exactly where are those words officially published?
>
> In Part 15. If the signal emitted is not coming from a licensed station, then it has to be coming from a Part 15 device. Part 15 devices must not cause interference with licensed operations and must accept interference caused by licensed operations.

Nice try Jim, but no cigar. CB radios (bootlegging or otherwise) are not Part 15 devices.

Good luck on this one now!

73, de Hans, K0HB

.

```
 -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net
```

```
From 2972093190387288226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 09:23:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!
spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 16:40:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9833t902265@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <981h1241p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E42.32626E9D@IEEE.org> <982l0q017fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FAB4.5B7CE4E8@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-395.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16966

In article <3AA4FAB4.5B7CE4E8@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>
>> Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>> >Talking to an individual at the FCC is not good enough. Where is it
>> >officially published?
```

>>
>> Since you're such a stickler for definitions, look up the definition of QRM,
>> and tell me how it is possible to interfere with interference.
>
>Why don't you just say you don't know where it's officially published?
>Why the diversion? As you know, talking to one Supreme Court Justice
>is not good enough. Only officially published material is binding. Why
>do you think that talking to one person at the FCC (probably not even
>a commissioner) is good enough?
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Look, Cecil, I called the FCC with a question, and they gave me an answer. It's as simple as that. It's not like I had some major lawsuit that required a ruling of a supreme court justice. I asked them how I, as an licensed amateur, handle bootleggers, pirates, freebanders, (pick any other synonym) that are transmitting in the lower portion of the 10 meter band that is reserved for CW and digital transmissions. Their answer was that if they are not authorized, the FCC doesn't recognize them. He gave the example of the Russian woodpecker that was so annoying. How did amateurs handle that? I don't see any offically published FCC documents on how to handle the Russian Woodpecker. Why should bootleggers on 28.085Mhz be handled any differently on our part?

From -5518040303402823089
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 09:23:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 16:46:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <98349m12265@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-471.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16967

In article <3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...

>Did you talk to a real commissioner? Did you record the conversation?
>Getting the verbal opinion of one Supreme Court Justice is meaningless.
>What makes you think an opinion by an obscure person employed by the
>FCC carries any weight? Why is that person's opinion any more valid
>than Phil's? Note, these are simple questions with no hidden meanings.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

No, Cecil, these are not-so simple questions. This is another attempt on your part to start another long, drawn-out thread about what the definition of "forced" is.

If you can prove the opinion of the FCC is other than that of the conversation I had with them, (and am satisfied with) do so. I'm not doing homework assignments from you.

From -6589597753527803810
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 09:30:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 6 Mar 2001 09:24:58 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <9836dh$7qj$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<3aa5144d.2843716@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-74.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16968

Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3aa5144d.2843716@news.mindspring.com...
> On Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:28:01 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:

>
> >Phil Kane wrote:
> >>
> >> On 5 Mar 2001 06:44:30 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
> >>
> >> >>   Are you aiding and abetting by urging someone to commit a
> >> >>   criminal act (Willful interference - Sect 333 of the Comm
> >> >>   Act).
> >> >>
> >> > I clearly remember that it was reported in the ham press a few years
> >> >ago that the FCC said, in response to a specific inquiry, that it was
> >> >not possible to interfere with a communication that was illegal.
> >>
> >>   What was said was that the operator of said unlicensed
> >>   communication has no protection against harmful interference
> >>   from a station operating within the terms of its license.
> >
> >>   That doesn't put teflon coating on an "interferer" who is doing
> >>   so willfully.
> >
> >Is the distintion here between that of 'throwing' and unidentified
> >carrier, and legally calling CQ or having a legitimate QSO? It seems to
> >me that if there were an interference issue between two truckers having
> >a conversation and two hams having a CW QSO on the same 10 meter
> >frequency, the interference issue would be easily resolved - in favor of
> >the hams. Is this not true?
>
> I agree also. Indeed, from a practical perspective,
> who would actually appear to be the interferer anyway?
> Also, who would likly issue any citation based on what they
> heard? Would an OO cite the hams for interfering
> with the illegal trucker QSO? Would the FCC?
> While this may make for great hypothetical pondering,
> the reality (IMHO) is that the hams wouldn't be
> cited for anything given the presence of a pirate/bootleg
> signal on the same frequency.

Sorry for all the typos in my post. There's no question that jamming the truckers with a unidentified carrier is NOT a legal activity for hams to conduct. The rules explicitly prohibit us from making such transmissions. The best idea I've heard is to try to conduct amateur communications on those frequencies in order to increase the use of that part of the band and also to discourage unlicensed people from trying to homestead.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -6239722735448124717
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 09:30:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Mar 2001 17:29:44 GMT
References: <3AA50812.62C6EE4E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010306122944.24271.00000063@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16969

>Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
>From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>Date: Tue, Mar 6, 2001 10:53 EST
>Message-id: <3AA50812.62C6EE4E@IEEE.org>
>
>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>> W6RCecilA wrote:
>> > I did a dejanews search for "swear" in any of my postings.
>> > I have never used the word before this week. You lose, Dave.
>>
>> You may not have conducted such a search.
>
>Yep, I did the search but Jim, N2EY, says the archives are
>non-functional.

No, I wrote that Deja is defunct and that Google (which took over from Deja)
only goes back a few months at the present time. Last time I looked, anyway.
And its search functions are still being developed.

>But "swear" is not a word I normally use.

Would you swear to that?

>My parents taught me never to swear.

Cross your heart and hope to die?

> It stuck and I still
>have an aversion to using the word "swear".

Except in this post, where you have used the word four times.

The easy way to settle this is for each of you to send $100 to me. That way you
can both claim victory because you can both say in all honesty that the other
party to the bet lost because he had to pay $100.

In fact you could swear to it.

Send all funds (no personal checks, foreign currency or perishable pastries, please) to:

Southgate Contest Fund
c/o N2EY, Grand Poobah, BIT #0001, FISTS #4360

QRZ database address is fine.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -5785341394311816778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 09:35:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 65
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Mar 2001 17:34:58 GMT
References: <3AA4EE44.8FBCD4F9@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010306123458.24271.00000065@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16970

>Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
>From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
>Date: Tue, Mar 6, 2001 09:03 EST
>Message-id: <3AA4EE44.8FBCD4F9@ovis.net>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3AA45404.42A39164@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>>
>> >W6RCecilA wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > W6RCecilA wrote:
>> >> > > Dave, I'll bet you $100 that I didn't swear not to get an Extra
>> >license.
>> >> > > Put your money where your mouth is.
>> >> >
>> >> > Since we all know (you've told us) that you can't be taken seriously
>in
>> >> > anything you post here, that would  be a chump bet and totally
```

>> >> > pointless.
>> >>
>> >> Translation: I know I would lose the $100 bet because Cecil never swore
>> >> that he wouldn't get an extra license.
>> >
>> >
>> >Translation: Since we all know (you've told us) that you can't be taken
>> >seriously in anything you post here, that would be a chump bet and
>> >totally pointless.
>>
>> Actually, Cecil has repeatedly pointed out that you can't prove a negative.
>So
>> he cannot prove he did not swear something.
>>
>> Translation: tug, tug....
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>We don't actually know that he did a search since he can't be taken
>seriously.

I agree 100%.

> My claim was never that Cecil used the actual word "swear"
>at any rate.

But he proposed the bet under those conditions.

>One can make an oath without using that word.

G********n right!!

> He could
>have said, "If I eat a piece of cake today, I'll kiss your *** in the
>middle of Main Street!"

>He could then change his mind and forget the
>smooch.

Of course. Or claim that *** means "ear".

Translation: tug....tug....tug....

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4734108234952255643
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 09:47:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!

not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Mar 2001 17:47:20 GMT
References: <20010306122944.24271.00000063@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010306124720.24173.00000040@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16972

(followup to previous post)

I previously wrote:

>Deja is defunct and that Google (which took over from Deja)
>only goes back a few months at the present time.

Google currently goes back to August, 2000. Cecil upgraded in May of 2000, so any promise, oath or swearing on the subject by him would have occurred before May 2000.

Seriously.

73 de Jim, N2EY
Grand Poobah, Southgate Contest Fund
BIT #0001
FISTS #4360

From -7681704005337025473
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 10:16:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 6 Mar 2001 18:02:33 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9838np$5sf$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
<3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 983901753 6031 205.139.138.14 (6 Mar 2001 18:02:33 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Mar 2001 18:02:33 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: k0hb@arrl.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16973

Dick Carroll asked:

>>I kinda hope I hear you doing that, so I can personally send the tape to
>>Hollingsworth.
>>
>>73, Hans, K0HB

> You'd heighten the height of the ridicuous? Have a legally
> licensed ham cited for QRMing an illigal Freebander who
> deliberately, brazenly and pugnaciously sets up shop inside
> a ham band?

Yup, I'd PROUDLY narc on 'em both in a New York second, and *especially* the ham who damned well knows better. When the time comes that we abandon the idea of "policing our own", or "looking the other way" when a fellow ham engages in vigilante justice, that's the day that the amateur bands become uninhabitable.

73, Hans, K0HB

.

 ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 2886591770739348621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 12:12:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9s9jl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <981hih61p6t@enews3.newsguy.com> <20010306003856.12138.00000283@ng-
mg1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 16
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983909505 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:45
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:45 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16975
```

On 06 Mar 2001 05:38:56 GMT, SSB411D wrote:

```
>>what do you think the FCC will
>>do?
>
>Nut-n-Honey
```

And what will your defense be if they DO do "sum-thin" ?

```
--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -6225714436096770511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 12:12:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 32
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983909502 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:42
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:42 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16974
```

On Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:28:01 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:

>Is the distintion here between that of 'throwing' and unidentified
>carrier, and legally calling CQ or having a legitimate QSO? It seems to
>me that if there were an interference issue between two truckers having
>a conversation and two hams having a CW QSO on the same 10 meter
>frequency, the interference issue would be easily resolved - in favor of
>the hams. Is this not true?
>
>Otherwise I agree with your point about malicious interference.

Two hams attempting to have a legitimate QSO on a frequency that they are both authorized on may not be considered malicious interference to "bootleggers" occupying the frequency if the intent of the QSO isnot to annoy the bootleggers.

Throwing a carrier or calling an extensive "CQ" with no intention of establishing two-way communications is arguably malicious.

There is case law concerning when interference which appears to be authorized transmissions is considered "malicious", so determination of when interference is "malicious" is easily made from the case facts by a trained enforcement attorney.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -8673041456950895669
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 12:12:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9s91r3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<981kcb$901$0@208.207.71.173>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 25
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983909505 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:45
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:45 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16976

On 6 Mar 2001 03:08:59 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>  Hey, Phil, and Hans, I don't think this is the same FCC that Phil used
>to work for and the rest of us used to know so well.

  But give them a good case with proper pressure in the right
  places (a-hem) and watch them go.  As I always say to clients,
  indifference to enforcement is no defense when they decide to
  nail someone.....

> I agree the very concept of deliberate interference, regardless, seems
>to be  anathema. But that was then. This is now.

  And Section 97.101(d) still applies (see my other rant).

  We need a good, juicy case, preferably in Northern California
  when a certain party is on vacation....go to it....
```

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 4163371324023517408
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 12:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9s8r52.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
<20010305130739.02334.00001475@ng-mq1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qf505.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <981hih61p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 43
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983909503 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:43
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:43 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16977
```

On 6 Mar 2001 02:21:05 GMT, T. L. Bryant wrote:

>Phil.Kane@nospam.spam says...
>
>> Better yet, let a third party point it out, or more
>> realistically, the FCC observes it while in the process of
>> investigating the illegal operation, and guess who the
>> mousetrap springs on...two for one is not a bad deal.
>>
>If a ham is licensed for a frequency, and conducts her/himself in a manner
>appropriate for any other type of QRN or QRM, what do you think the FCC will
>do?

  Section 97.101(d) of the Rules is very clear:

> (d) No amateur operator shall willfully or maliciously
> interfere with or cause interference to any radio communication
> or signal.

Notice that the text CLEARLY says "any radio communication", not "licensed communication(s)" as other rules and statutes dealing with other aspects of interference do. There is no reported case (binding interpretation) holding that unlicensed communications do not fall into this "any" category, and in the absence of such, the "plain language" interpretation of "any" holds.

If the ham conducts him/herself in violation of the rules, who do you think the mousetrap will spring on?

If whomever you spoke to at "the FCC" is not picking up on this specific wording and nuance when giving you that interpretation, have them call me. They have my number in the private telephone book under the heading of "District Director (Retired)" and "Communications Attorney (Active)".

It's so hard to get trained help nowadays.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 207796211054610985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 12:20:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9s9zc6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <981h1241p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E42.32626E9D@IEEE.org> <982l0q017fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FAB4.5B7CE4E8@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 30
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:19:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983909999 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:19:59
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:19:59 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16978

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 08:56:52 -0600, W6RCecilA wrote:

>Why don't you just say you don't know where it's officially published?
>Why the diversion? As you know, talking to one Supreme Court Justice
>is not good enough. Only officially published material is binding. Why
>do you think that talking to one person at the FCC (probably not even
>a commissioner) is good enough?

 In my experience, Commissioners may know policy that they have
 worked and spoken on but they are not necessarily knowledgeable
 about the detailed black letter law underlying same.  And rarely
 are they aware of the nuances or processes of enforcement if it
 does not involve some national policy such as cable must-carry,
 low-power FM, or broadcast indecency.

 Do not forget that the Commissioners are appointed for their
 political policy-making posture, not for their detailed
 techanical or legal knowledge of the communications field.

 That's why they have Legal Assistants, whose job it is to go to
 the Bureau responsible for a specific matter and get the info,
 then report back to their boss.  AFAIK, no current (or recent
 past) Commissioner has an Engineering Assistant although years
 ago some of them did.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP





From 1620878303728587830
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 12:20:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9saqe8.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 20
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983910001 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:01 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:01 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16979

On 6 Mar 2001 12:29:58 GMT, T. L. Bryant wrote:

>I called the FCC about this before. I was satisfied with their answer.
>I can't help it if you want everything in writing.

Oral information is worth less than written information,
and even if it is written, it is worthless if it is does not
agree with what the law provides.

So says the US Supreme Court in _OPM v Richmond_, where
Charlie Richmond relied on a letter that the Navy sent to him
about retirement benefits which had incorrect information in
it, Charlie relied on the information in letter, and his
retirement benefits were reduced as a result.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 3555553790465344692
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,25f5d6f3fa491a42
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 12:22:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-

```
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbl7a.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References:  <696aat4e2fqvm84o356oko7f30r25bess7@news>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Subject: Re: FCC Commences Hearing To Revoke Amateur Radio License
Lines: 28
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983910003 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:03
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:03 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16980

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 17:10:24 GMT, Keith wrote:

>FCC COMMENCES HEARING TO REVOKE AMATEUR RADIO AND GMRS LICENSES OF TAMP=
A,
>FLORIDA PIRATE RADIO OPERATOR LESLIE D. BREWER. News Release ENF. Conta=
ct John
>Winston 202-418-7450 or Gary Schonman 202-418-1420
>
>Callsign: KC4HAZ   Class: General   Codes: HAI   USA
>Name: LESLIE D. BREWER
>Addr1: 6xxx LIBERTY AVE
>Addr2: TAMPA, FL 33617
>Country: USA
>Effective: 06 Nov 1998   Expires: 06 Nov 2008
>Coordinates: 28=B0 2' 11'' N,    82=B0 23' 28'' W
>County: Hillsborough County

  Of course, this is after Doug's conviction in Federal District
  Court (upheld on appeal) on 11 counts of operating a pirate FM
  radio station without a license, and other assorted criminal
  stuff.  He was a hardball case of "FCC go **** yourself". Guess
  who won?

  What goes around comes around.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP



From -698409106766010925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 12:22:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 19
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983910003 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:03 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:03 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16981

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:29:18 GMT, Aaron Jones wrote:

>We are just now getting some of our outlying city freeway limits
>raised to 65 mph. However the downtown areas are still 55 mph. I was
>coming through one of those downtown areas a few days ago and keeping
>pace with all the traffic around me. I was going 75 mph...

What would your defense be when my "baby" brother, the lead prosecutor in Maricopa County's Vehicular Crimes Unit (and a ham, BTW), decides to make you the poster boy for his speed enforcement cases?

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -7014505862858532773
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 12:22:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9s9uo5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com> <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net> <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com> <3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <9830ri$bhv$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 29
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:19:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983909999 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:19:59 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:19:59 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16982

On Tue, 6 Mar 2001 08:43:53 -0700, Jim Bromley, K7JEB wrote:

>In Part 15. If the signal emitted is not coming from a licensed station,
>then it has to be coming from a Part 15 device.

Not true at all. "Part 15" transmissions are considered to be authorized (licensed) transmissions for the purposes of the Comm Act.

Unlicensed ("pirate"/"bootleg") transmissions are not.

>>Part 15 devices must not
>cause interference with licensed operations and must accept interference
>caused by licensed operations. It's just like talking over some spur
>coming from the neighbor's kid's video game, even if that screws up the
>kid's new high score for Super Mario Bros.

Not applicable at all to this discussion.

>Disclaimer: I am not a Communications Attorney. I tried to play one
>on TV, but no one tuned in.

That's obvious. <ggg>

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 3609873231908074762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 12:22:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sa8x7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org> <3AA4F243.325B91ED@some.net>
<3AA505B7.69631348@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 32
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983910001 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:01 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:01 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16983

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 09:43:52 -0600, W6RCecilA wrote:

>JR wrote:
>> I spoke with monitoring engineers at two different FCC monitoring facilities and
>> both agreed that hams interfering with illegal cbers on the 10 meter bands was OK by
>> them, that is did not constitute illegal interference on the ham's part since the
>> interlopers were not licensed. They are the ones at the monitoring facilities who
>> will be listening and making the decision, not any commissioner. It doesn't have to
>> be in writing, the monitoring stations will make the call, not the

commissioners.
>
>If enforcing the law depends upon the individual monitoring engineers'
>personal opinions, that is an unhealthy situation. Where is the *official*
>policy?

Where are there two monitoring stations with manned staff any more? (I only know of one and they don't answer questions from licensees or the general public.)

And to add more kerosene to the bonfire - even if the FCC decided that they won't enforce the rule (for whatever reason), violations of the rule are still violations, and if they want to make an example of the violator, they can.

Remember that Al Capone went to Alcatraz over less than a dollar of income tax evasion.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 3373431270795658743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 12:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 6 Mar 2001 20:42:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu> <981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org> <981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.169
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16984

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Any ham who didn't
> > > meet those requirements is a criminal.
> >
> >  There you go again, talking in circles. Any ham who hasn't isn't a ham.
>
> On the contrary, Dick, your statement that a ham isn't a ham is absolute
> nonsense. A ham is an individual who holds an amateur radio license. My
> statement is true. Any ham who didn't meet the requirements for a ham
> license is a criminal.


  Cecil, it really looks like you're losing it, fella. You can't
understand that an individual who hasn't passed the FCC testing for an
amateur license is not a ham. Only those who have passed, and been
issued that license, are hams.


From -3450800095683665779
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 13:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 6 Mar 2001 21:14:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <983jv8$slj$0@208.207.71.133>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
<3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org> <981j20$5un$0@208.207.71.173>
<3aa51572.3136142@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.133
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16985
```

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 6 Mar 2001 02:46:24 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >Hans K0HB wrote:
> >>
> >> JR wrote:
> >> >
> >> > And I will continue to operate on frequencies I hear these illegal morons
and
> >> > interfere with them, and encourage others to do the same, even if it does
chap
> >> > you behind.
> >>
> >> I was wrong!  THIS is the DADHPOTYA (Dumb Assed Dump Huck Post of The Year
> >> Award) winner!
> >>
> >> I kinda hope I hear you doing that, so I can personally send the tape to
> >> Hollingsworth.
> >
> > You'd heighten the height of the ridicuous? Have a legally licensed ham
> >cited for QRMing an illigal Freebander who deliberately, brazenly and
> >pugnaciously sets up shop inside a ham band?
>
> Hey Dick, better sit down...we agree on this one :-)
>
> I personally doubt that the FCC would do anything
> under such a scenario.
>


 And I agree that is is not good policy to condone deliberate
interference whatever any reason.


From -3369595169334293609
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 13:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: ��g�t�|���|�@africa.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 6 Mar 2001 21:15:35 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <983k1n$svj$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
```

```
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <981h1241p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E42.32626E9D@IEEE.org> <982l0q017fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FAB4.5B7CE4E8@IEEE.org> <9833t902265@enews1.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 983913335 29683 205.139.138.14 (6 Mar 2001 21:15:35 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Mar 2001 21:15:35 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: tlbryant@my-deja.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16986
```

In article <9833t902265@enews1.newsguy.com>, T. L. Bryant
<tlbryant@my-deja.com> writes:

> He gave the example of the Russian woodpecker that was so annoying.
> How did amateurs handle that?

They ignored it, since they couldn't do a freaking thing about it.

��g�t�|���|�

```
 -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net
```

```
From -1121948546071029532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 13:41:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
shale.ftech.net!news.ftech.net!colt.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 13:39:20 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3AA55908.5F9D1599@uci.edu>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
```

```
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16987

Thanks very much for your reply and for the info, Phil.  Makes sense.

In follow-up, how is it possible to determine whether a ham is calling
CQ maliciously?

73, Jim AC6XG

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:28:01 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >Is the distintion here between that of 'throwing' and unidentified
> >carrier, and legally calling CQ or having a legitimate QSO?  It seems to
> >me that if there were an interference issue between two truckers having
> >a conversation and two hams having a CW QSO on the same 10 meter
> >frequency, the interference issue would be easily resolved - in favor of
> >the hams.  Is this not true?
> >
> >Otherwise I agree with your point about malicious interference.
>
>   Two hams attempting to have a legitimate QSO on a frequency
>   that they are both authorized on may not be considered
>   malicious interference to "bootleggers" occupying the frequency
>   if the intent of the QSO isnot to annoy the bootleggers.
>
>   Throwing a carrier or calling an extensive "CQ" with no
>   intention of establishing two-way communications is arguably
>   malicious.
>
>   There is case law concerning when interference which appears
>   to be authorized transmissions is considered "malicious", so
>   determination of when interference is "malicious" is easily made
>   from the case facts by a trained enforcement attorney.
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP


From 1531006871139297137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:02:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 13:52:39 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3AA55C27.BD4CB4A7@uci.edu>
References: <981hih61p6t@enews3.newsguy.com> <20010306003856.12138.00000283@ng-
mg1.news.cs.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9s9jl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16988

Phil Kane wrote:
> And what will your defense be if they DO do "sum-thin" ?

I was looking for 10-10 numbers. I was trying to work DX. I wanted to
see if the band was open. I had a sched with W0NT. I was testing a new
antenna. I didn't hear any CB stations on the frequency.

If he was operating properly, I'll bet that dog won't hunt.

ac6xg

From -2653917031227011
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:08:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!nntp.flash.net!newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!
newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA54F39.16698760@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>

```
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<3aa5144d.2843716@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 42
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.43.174
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 983916369 6004826 208.188.43.174 (Tue, 06
Mar 2001 17:06:09 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 17:06:09 EST
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:06:09 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16989
```

Bill Sohl wrote:

> >Is the distintion here between that of 'throwing' and unidentified
> >carrier, and legally calling CQ or having a legitimate QSO? It seems to
> >me that if there were an interference issue between two truckers having
> >a conversation and two hams having a CW QSO on the same 10 meter
> >frequency, the interference issue would be easily resolved - in favor of
> >the hams. Is this not true?

Absolutely

>
>
> I agree also. Indeed, from a practical perspective,
> who would actually appear to be the interferer anyway?
> Also, who would likly issue any citation based on what they
> heard? Would an OO cite the hams for interfering
> with the illegal trucker QSO? Would the FCC?
> While this may make for great hypothetical pondering,
> the reality (IMHO) is that the hams wouldn't be
> cited for anything given the presence of a pirate/bootleg
> signal on the same frequency.
>
> Your mileage may vary :-)
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Your right on Bill, as far as the 'letter of the law' about interference that some on hear want to bandy about and play lawyer, it doesn't matter what the 'law' says or what any commissioner thinks, if any one at the FCC station hears any such interference it will be the engineers at the monitoring stations, or maybe Riley scanning around, and no one is going to be cited by the FCC unless these folks pursue it. I seriously doubt they are going report any hams who may be interfering with illegal stations operating on 10 meters. So the fine point of the law is moot, spout the rule all you want wannabe lawyers, when a ham interferes with an illegal cber on 10 meters and an FCC monitoring stations happens to hear, they are going to simply ignore it, period.

```
From 7622860888376572969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:10:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newscon02.news.prodigy.com!
newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!
newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA54FA4.85C8F4F8@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.43.174
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 983916471 6004826 208.188.43.174 (Tue, 06
Mar 2001 17:07:51 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 17:07:51 EST
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:07:51 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16990
```

You can't interfere with an illegal signal period.

Phil Kane wrote:

>
> Two hams attempting to have a legitimate QSO on a frequency
> that they are both authorized on may not be considered
> malicious interference to "bootleggers" occupying the frequency
> if the intent of the QSO isnot to annoy the bootleggers.
>
> Throwing a carrier or calling an extensive "CQ" with no
> intention of establishing two-way communications is arguably
> malicious.
>

```
>   There is case law concerning when interference which appears
>   to be authorized transmissions is considered "malicious", so
>   determination of when interference is "malicious" is easily made
>   from the case facts by a trained enforcement attorney.
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP



From -1074481341987862078
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:11:42 PST
Message-ID: <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:00:46 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.51
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983916256
64.240.47.51 (6 Mar 2001 16:04:16 -0600)
Lines: 36
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16991

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
```

> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > Any ham who didn't
> > > > meet those requirements is a criminal.
> > >
> > > There you go again, talking in circles. Any ham who hasn't isn't a ham.
> >
> > On the contrary, Dick, your statement that a ham isn't a ham is absolute
> > nonsense. A ham is an individual who holds an amateur radio license. My
> > statement is true. Any ham who didn't meet the requirements for a ham
> > license is a criminal.
>
>   Cecil, it really looks like you're losing it, fella. You can't
> understand that an individual who hasn't passed the FCC testing for an
> amateur license is not a ham. Only those who have passed, and been
> issued that license, are hams.

Earth to Dick. Your statement is true but irrelevant. We are talking about
HAMS, you know the individuals who possess amateur radio licenses?
Any ***HAM***, i.e. one who possesses an amateur radio license, who didn't
meet the requirements for an amateur radio license, is a criminal.

There are ***HAMs*** who didn't meet the amateur radio requirements. Those
guys are criminals. Are you one?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4724775742898288274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!falcon.america.net!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA45F22.7A20@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>

<3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 40
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 22:53:06 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.81
X-Trace: news2.atl 983916602 209.214.185.81 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 17:10:02 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 17:10:02 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16992

Hans,

Well, you might as well tape me, too. You see, Hollingsworth already addressed this issue in regards to the New York taxicabs that were operating on the low end of 10M. The local hams started running CW QSOs and CW practice right over the top of the illegals. Mr. H. made the comment that as long as the stations that are LICENSED to use a particular frequency do so within the terms of their license, then NO interference occurs as to the illegal stations. They have NO "rights" under these circumstances since they are not supposed to be there to begin with.

NOW. If one starts cussin' the truckers out on AM phone, playing music, or playing a tape of CQ, CQ over and over, then the ham station HAS committed a violation--not of malicious interference--but of using a mode not authorized for that portion of the band, playing music (broadcasting), or one-way transmissions with no intent of receiving an answer back. But a ham can send CQ, CQ, CQ De K4XXX right over the truckers if he wants to, but I'd be at the key doing it myself. Now I can't help it if "no one" is listening, can I?

Jerry
K4KWH
Hans K0HB wrote:
>
> JR wrote:
> >
> > And I will continue to operate on frequencies I hear these illegal morons and
> > interfere with them, and encourage others to do the same, even if it does chap
> > you behind.
>
> I was wrong! THIS is the DADHPOTYA (Dumb Assed Dump Huck Post of The Year
> Award) winner!
>
> I kinda hope I hear you doing that, so I can personally send the tape to
> Hollingsworth.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

From 8984039626944204673

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:16:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AA55EFE.37B13F67@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:04:46 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <981h1241p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E42.32626E9D@IEEE.org> <982l0q017fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FAB4.5B7CE4E8@IEEE.org> <9833t902265@enews1.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.51
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983916495
64.240.47.51 (6 Mar 2001 16:08:15 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16993

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> Look, Cecil, I called the FCC with a question, and they gave me an answer. It's
> as simple as that.

I called Southwestern Bell with a question, and they gave me an answer.
Their answer was incorrect.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5525729422937704198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:22:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 14:19:29 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3AA56271.4719E4B1@uci.edu>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA54FA4.85C8F4F8@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16994

JR wrote:
>
> You can't interfere with an illegal signal period.

Just try and behave yourself on the air, JR. We don't need to create
the kind of reputation CB has by lowering ourselves to that level. Use
the frequency for communications - not for jamming.

73, Jim AC6XG

> Phil Kane wrote:
>
> >
> >   Two hams attempting to have a legitimate QSO on a frequency
> >   that they are both authorized on may not be considered
> >   malicious interference to "bootleggers" occupying the frequency
> >   if the intent of the QSO isnot to annoy the bootleggers.
> >
> >   Throwing a carrier or calling an extensive "CQ" with no
> >   intention of establishing two-way communications is arguably
> >   malicious.

```
> >
> >   There is case law concerning when interference which appears
> >   to be authorized transmissions is considered "malicious", so
> >   determination of when interference is "malicious" is easily made
> >   from the case facts by a trained enforcement attorney.
> >
> > --
> >   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP


From 8978676824800930688
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:24:10 PST
Message-ID: <3AA56111.74977F54@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:13:37 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org> <3AA4F243.325B91ED@some.net>
<3AA505B7.69631348@IEEE.org> <3aa5172d.3579320@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.51
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983917027
64.240.47.51 (6 Mar 2001 16:17:07 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16995

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Cecil, have you never had the benefit of an individual
> police officer's discretion to issue a ticket or not even
```

> though you were absolutely quilty of some traffic infringement?

Yes I have, Bill. I was recently caught drinking while driving
and not wearing a seat belt. The Highway Patrolman let me go
with a warning. If I had been 21 years old and/or black, he
probably would have arrested me. Selective enforcement of the law
is a bad thing even if it worked to my favor that time.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7687228711456724741
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:32:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 14:23:28 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3AA56360.118DADC3@uci.edu>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu> <981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org> <981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org> <983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16996

W6RCecilA wrote:

> There are ***HAMs*** who didn't meet the amateur radio requirements.

Now you got me confused, Cecil. How are you defining "ham"? My idea of
a ham is a guy who received a license because he met the amateur radio
requirements. What kind of ham are you talking about?

73, AC6XG

From 270945369388637262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:32:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AA562B8.563162F6@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:20:40 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org> <3AA4F243.325B91ED@some.net>
<3AA505B7.69631348@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sa8x7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.51
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983917450
64.240.47.51 (6 Mar 2001 16:24:10 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeds.belnet.be!
news.belnet.be!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!
news.csl-gmbh.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16997

Phil Kane wrote:
> And to add more kerosene to the bonfire - even if the FCC
> decided that they won't enforce the rule (for whatever reason),
> violations of the rule are still violations, and if they want to
> make an example of the violator, they can.

We have so many laws that it's hard not to break any. Selective
enforcement means that all citizens are not equal under the law.
We might as well have a Bill of Attainder. It's not an honest

situation and it seems to be getting worse. As a 63 year old
white man in Texas, I can get away with almost anything.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3382883256886692106
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 12:10:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AA29EA4.A523BCE0@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 13:59:32 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
<97tnse$38$0@208.206.142.72>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.157
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983736182
64.240.47.157 (4 Mar 2001 14:03:02 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16796
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Which, to him, means that he has full authority to interrpet anything
> any way he wishes.

Dick, it more than obvious that you have full authority to interpret
anything any way you wish. Why should I not have equal rights?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3663224953864749248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 12:34:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 81
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 20:32:00 GMT
References: <20010304143228.17061.00000173@nso-mb.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010304153200.27939.00000393@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16797

>Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/4/01 1:32 PM Central Standard Time

>No. Either you misunderstood or misread what was written or
>you are just distorting things again.

Again, such an "admonition" coming from Lennie the Obfuscator Supreme is a joke.

>Anyone is free to check out archives. Archived posts can be cut
>and pasted in here...to form another endless non-debate where you
>"analyze" the archives to "prove" some personal obsession of yours.

And if they do, they will (again) prove you a liar.

WHY do you insist on making SUCH A FOOL out of yourself, Lennie?

>I don't expect anyone to know about the Academy of Model
>Aeronautics...except the number of model hobbyists in the USA

>who are members, their families and friends. According to Larry
>Roll, the (model hobbyist) AMA is still active.

Yet you attempted to use the (hobbyist) AMA as an analogy vis-a-vis the ARRL based upon 20 year old information

>>I know what the ARRL by-laws state, Len. I also know what YOU stated. My
disagreement is not with the League but with you.

>That disagreement is quite evident. Few care about that.

Your definition of "few" is unique.

>If you are unable to quote it, then refer to some disinterested
>third-party reference where others can go look for it...please use
>definite dates, newsgroup, screen name, and other details.

Deja (cum Google), almost eveyday you post, RRAP, Lenover21, Lenof21, AveryFine, NoCWTest.

We could cut and paste your lies all day and all night. Those that want to search it out, will.

Outside of matters technical, you have no respect here, Lennie. You have YEARS of DOCUMENTED lying in this forum. Even those who essentially agree with your positions would rather you didn't address them in public. Guilty by association, as it were.

>You haven't really presented any case yet except some personal
>opinions which have been distortions and untruths.

No, he hasn't.

>If you wish to create a long and boring thread in the form of a
>kangaroo court where you want to be judge, jury, and executioner,
>feel free. That wastes everyone's time and is boring. Hardly
>anyone cares for any outcome of a personal vendetta.

Yeah, Dave. Don't butt in on Lennie's gig.

>You are now implying badness in the past...based on nothing but
>some vague statements of your (very biased) opinion.

Judging by the comments of several others here (excluding Dave and myself), those opinions are more widely held than I am sure you'd care to admit.

> I've never written "why I will not join the ARRL."

Yes you have, and no, I am not going wading through 10,000 posts by Lennie the Liar Anderson is one of your many permeations.

>Remember, you are an example of the "highest" class license in

>US amateur radio.

And I am sure you'd LOVE for us to just step aside and allow you to continue your hate-mongering unchecked.

Sorry.

```
From 8201513968802930325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 12:36:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!
rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 14:25:08 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AA2A4A4.668C5CFF@IEEE.org>
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
<3AA250A8.698546B1@IEEE.org> <3AA283FF.FA1D31A4@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.04
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 Mar 2001 20:35:25 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16798
```

Dave Heil wrote:
> You, Cecil, have turned something into a criticizable
> offense.

Not unless there is some pathological person hanging around who lacks the ability to exercise self control. Know anyone like that, Dave?

> Yep, I criticized you for being two faced because you did
> something you swore you'd never do.

Saying something and swearing to something are very different. If you cannot produce the posting where I swore I would never

```
upgrade, then we will all know that you are lying. I couldn't
think of a good reason to upgrade. Someone gave me one. No big
deal. Just yesterday, I changed my mind about having cake for
lunch.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -3498039066127906330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 12:40:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 20:37:17 GMT
References: <20010304143228.17061.00000174@nso-mb.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010304153717.27939.00000395@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16799

>Subject: Re: FBI spy
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/4/01 1:32 PM Central Standard Time

>That isn't any theology but just an observation of BBSs, ARPANET,
>USENET, INTERNET behavior over the years as practiced by some
>who have a compelling obsession to have the Last Word.

    Oh, Lennie..THIS IS PRICELESS!

     OBVIOUSLY you have been admiring yourself in the mirror again.

>When I find a "sentecne" I'll look at it and analyze it.  Other than that
>I believe we were talking about "sentences."

     Nice dodge.  Juvenile, but not unexpected.

    What a P U T Z ! ! ! ! ! ! !


From 2139119085409207464
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2be7681f89bf7023,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 12:51:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
```

europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: 28.085 New Channel 19
In-Reply-To: <983670737.3aa19fd1db789@webmail.cotse.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103042048490.24655-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <983670737.3aa19fd1db789@webmail.cotse.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 7
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 20:49:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 983738961 12.80.44.44 (Sun, 04 Mar 2001 20:49:21 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 20:49:21 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16800

On Sat, 3 Mar 2001 jupiter1-no-spam@cotse.com wrote:
> So what does any of this have to do with 28.085? Can't you numbskulls even
> stay on topic?

After several months of trying to teach that to the others here, I have given up on such. Perhaps you should too.

From 7387383297732049087
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,566e7a1f3ca2c94c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 12:58:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: FCC Launches Review of LA Area Repeater
In-Reply-To: <3AA1C455.82C369CA@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103042049560.24655-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <ogs0at0bp87d9qguclv22bclj5rduoh6ts@news> <3AA1C455.82C369CA@ix.netcom.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 18

Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 20:55:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 983739302 12.80.44.44 (Sun, 04 Mar 2001 20:55:02 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 20:55:02 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16801

On Sat, 3 Mar 2001, Robert Casey wrote:
> > FCC Launches Review of LA Area Repeater
> > NEWINGTON, CT, Mar 2, 2001--The FCC has launched a review into the operation
> > of the W6NUT repeater in the Los Angeles area.

All I can say is: Too little, too late. This repeater and the majority of its usergroup has been a problem for over 10 years. The only reason why the Southern California amateur community tolerates it is because it keeps all the misfits in one place.

I hope Riley realizes that he is going to have to deal with all these misfits first. Should he order the repeater itself off (and the one on 145.460- as well), the "group" will end up trashing all the other repeaters in the area, just like they did in 1993, around the time of the Malibu fires and before they settled on harassing the 145.220- repeater sponsored by CLARA. What I'm saying is that if Riley doesn't take his enforcement steps in the correct order, he may cause more damage than good in the region.

From 2719257733608190409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 13:32:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!feedeast.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.monmouth.com!not-for-mail
From: "Doggit" <Doggit@xfile.org>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Sun, 4 Mar 2001 16:26:44 -0500

Organization: NOYB
Lines: 77
Message-ID: <97uc94$gc5$1@news.monmouth.com>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$
%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: bg-max-ppp772.monmouth.com
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9771
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12876 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16802 rec.radio.cb:27023
rec.radio.scanner:14296 rec.radio.shortwave:27982

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> oxytone notion wrote:
>
> >
> > "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
> >
> >> What a wonderful time we live in. What type
> >> of monster would sit around thinking of ways
> >> to heat people up in an effort to control them?
> >
> > Dwight, I am 96% sure that hams were involved
> > in the design of this project. [snip]
> >
> > [snip] There back and Busch Jr. is in the white
> > house - scary.
>
>
>   Lets not make this a political issue, or divide ourselves by trying to
> blame individual groups. Its not about Democrats or Republicans (the
> research had to have started while Clinton was in the White House, but I
> don't doubt Bush will love it).
>
>   Instead, this is about everybody outside of the power elite, and _ALL_
of
> us will have to live with this stuff in the future.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

What kind of anti American rock did you crawl out from
under ? This weapon is a NON lethal tactical weapon. I
suggest you put your sorry ass in a "peace keeping", or

"law enforcement" role that US military forces deployed on in the past - and then when a hostile crowd (a crowd including both military and civilians), is intent on decaptitating your head and carrying it around on a pole, you come back here and report how you shot and killed all the women and children brandishing knives... or would you rather hold your fire and give up your life - because you have such moral ideals.

If my men are under fire by civilians, and if I have a non lethal force option to employ, i will use it, but, given the absence of one, escalating to lethal force is the last alternative.

Any professional soldier will tell you they do not wish to harm civilians, this is the impetus for this new technology. It is an effective deterrent, publicity not withstanding.

And before you shoot your socialist mouth off, I suggest you remember the incident in the streets of Mogadishu, Somalia back in 1993. Where the body of a US Army Ranger was being dragged naked thru the streets, an angry mob, including women and children - cheering at the sight. I suggest you read the book "Black Hawk Down".

People like you would prefer my comrades and I in arms, simply ask the enemy really nicely "oh would you please not hurt us?". While they cut our throats and spit on, and burn the American flag for the anti western crowds that have not so friendly intentions for the U.S.

This technology is another tool, another option for our commanders in the field to accomplish their missions. The ultimate tactical applications, and ROE's regarding the technology would no doubt have to be worked out, but having it is better than not having it.

From 4288545934883937369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 13:34:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!feedeast.us.telia.net!us.telia.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 124
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 04 Mar 2001 21:32:54 GMT
References: <3a9c805f.1917380@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010304163254.16358.00000155@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16803

In article <3a9c805f.1917380@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

(old stuff snipped)

>>>>>>One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
>>>>>>license and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is
>>>this:
>>>>>>They want the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham
>>>licenses.   Y
>>>>>
>>>>>Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
>>>>
>>>>Their actions speak louder than any words could.
>>>
>>>In what way do you make that assessment?
>>
>>I looked over how the FCC has changed the license requirements in the last
>30
>>years. And taking a broad view of the problems the FCC faces in enforcing
>the
>>rules on unlicensed stations.
>
>Fair enough. Differnet people may conclude otherwise.

Agreed. But I think this concept has played a big role in the FCC's decisions
towards licensing requirements for 20-25 years
>
>>>Just what actions by the FCC support your conclusion?
>>>
>>Compare the requirements for a US ham license in 1971 and in 2001. Pay
>>particular attention to what was and is required for a license that allows
>lots
>>of HF/MF privileges on a variety of modes. Also look at the requirements for
>a
>>full-privileges license. I can post a detailed list, if you want.
>
>Look at the General (full HF) requirements for the 50s and 60s
>and tell us how that compares.

I would say that the General of the mid-60s, compared to the technology and
regs of its time, required at least as much as the Extra of today. Probably
more. Of course the areas covered in the exams, and the exam process, are quite
different.

I specifically chose 1971 because that was about the "high water mark" for test requirements vs. privileges granted. In 1971, the Novice had no voice privileges and was a 2 year, one-time license. Technician had no HF/MF at all - they didn't even get all of amateur VHF/UHF, yet the Tech required 5 wpm and the same written as General. The privileges of a General or Conditional were at their lowest point compared to the full privileges available to a US ham.

There was still a 2 year waiting period to even take the Extra test. For a General or higher, you had to go to an FCC exam point unless you were way out in the boonies or physically disabled (and the area that qualified as "way out in the boonies" was very limited). Novices and Techs had to take their exams by mail, using a process that took about three months from start to finish if you didn't fail anything.

No Bash books, no CSCEs, no VECs or test sessions at hamfests, all test questions were secret and you had to wait 30 days minimum to retest. Because of all the effort one had to expend just to get to a test session, most hams back then tended to overprepare for the exams.

Since about the mid '70s, the trend has all been toward making the licenses easier to get. In many ways, I think that's a good thing. For example, being able to take exams at a hamfest on a weekend sure beats having to travel long distances to an FCC office to take the same exam on a weekday.

The FCC's ideas on ham license requirements have wandered all over the map in the past 50 years. Before 1953, the license requirements for full privileges (Class A) were very tough, particularly the writtens. In 1953, Generals, Conditionals and above got all privileges in a surprise move by the FCC. But after a few years, the FCC rethought its decision and the whole process that led up to the 1968 "incentive licensing" changes came to be.

The FCC thought as early as 1958 that the requirements for full privileges (General/Conditional) were way too easy. And they were primarily concerned with the written test requirements, not the code tests. The code test stuff came later - and it was not the ARRL's idea.

The period of "Generals get it all" was actually quite short - 15 years and 9 months. It was the exception, not the rule. The people who were running the FCC back then are almost certainly all gone now. The philosophy has come almost full circle.

Ask Phil Kane - he was there.

Make no mistake - the FCC is quite clearly acting to reduce illegal operation by making legal operation easier.

The basic question is this: Why operate cb illegally? Why "freeband" when ham radio offers so much more? As I see it, there are only three explanations:

1) To do things that ham radio does not allow legally. Examples are commercial uses, jamming, music, operation without proper ID, superpower, obscenity/profanity, etc.

2) To be part of a subculture that supports the activities of 1)

3) To avoid the test and license requirements for a ham license.

Making ham licenses easier to get won't address 1) or 2), but will affect those people primarily motivated by 3).

>>Please understand, I'm not saying this was the ONLY reason for the changes -
>>just that it was one of them. And I'm not saying that these changes were all
>>bad, either. I'm just explaining my view of part of the FCC's motivation and
>>thinking on the subject, and how it has changed from the heyday of
>"incentive
>>licensing" to now.
>
>As above, different people see different reasons behind
>FCC actions.

Of course. And there are almost certainly multiple reasons behind their actions. Reducing the drain on FCC resources is a BIG factor, too, and has been for a long time.

Think about that question, though. Why would anyone operate illegally when so much is available legally?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -5196234309058954322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 14:28:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4JLE" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Sun, 4 Mar 2001 17:21:48 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers

```
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <97uf68$o2p$1@iac5.navix.net>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$
%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <97rppt$4kv$1@iac5.navix.net>
<B6C75629.44C2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-250.136.alltel.net
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 983744520 24665 166.102.250.136 (4 Mar 2001 22:22:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 4 Mar 2001 22:22:00 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9773
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12879 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16804 rec.radio.cb:27030
rec.radio.scanner:14297 rec.radio.shortwave:27991

Mea Culpa, Mea Culpa!

I do apologize for the error Dick.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6C75629.44C2%stewartx@sccoast.net...
>
>   Your attributed the above to the wrong person, W4JLE.  I didn't write
the
> material you quoted - it was written by someone else in reply to something
I
> had written earlier.  And you may want to read what I wrote in reply to
that
> (about not turning this into a political debate between the two parties).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>




From -3937337032910472284
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,566e7a1f3ca2c94c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 14:32:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 22:28:17 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103042049560.24655-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC Launches Review of LA Area Repeater
Message-ID: <20010304172817.27762.00000453@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16805

>Subject: Re: FCC Launches Review of LA Area Repeater
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org

>All I can say is: Too little, too late. This repeater and the majority of
>its usergroup has been a problem for over 10 years.

Unfortunately it's history goes back even farther. I was stationed there in '79 and it was a roaring mess then. I know for a fact that ove 10 hours of tapes were hand delivered to the FCC office in LB, to a "Polish Salute" and a "sorry...we're broke". response.

I am glad to see it's going to be under the gun.....I just hope Riley drops the hammer and drops it hard.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -1144867172241449421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21e46979f043e694,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 15:06:13 PST
From: "Johnny Lee" <lee41@hallowss.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: CB on 10 meters
Date: Sun, 4 Mar 2001 18:02:54 -0500
Distribution: x-no-archive: yes
Lines: 4
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 172.166.196.111
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 172.166.196.111
Message-ID: <3aa2c184@post.usenet.com>
X-Trace: 4 Mar 2001 16:28:20 -0600, 172.166.196.111
Organization: usenet.com http://www.usenet.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups. The #1 Usenet Service on the Planet!
X-Comments: This message was posted through Usenet.com's, FREE publicly accessible Usenet Server   - "post.usenet.com"
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Usenet.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.

```
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@usenet.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
post.usenet.com!172.166.196.111
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16806
```

**** Post for FREE via your newsreader at post.usenet.com ****

It would appear that renegade CB operators are slowly
but steadily taking over 10 meters.

```
-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
 *** Usenet.com - The #1 Usenet Newsgroup Service on The Planet! ***
                      http://www.usenet.com
-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
```

```
From 6207442255565730523
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 15:40:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!feedwest.us.telia.net!
us.telia.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$
%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<97uc94$gc5$1@news.monmouth.com>
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
Lines: 120
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <BnAo6.3396$u04.883487@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /whzGF/ne3AIuX87MIt7N2ciYBMf/HpstcY00ocO8SLFxLqLiJ1jHmqP/
2Ig78YCj6GZidHAa+4G!UqsfvF3qdhBrFUOXVB32l7gFsRrVkkrSq6VMc5YYbv9tVSb8J43Kzj1rK0g/
+fefmhcH9cw2AxW1!Khg0cuqCxR4=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 23:40:17 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 23:40:17 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:12888
rec.radio.amateur.policy:16807
```

```
"Doggit" <Doggit@xfile.org> wrote in message
news:97uc94$gc5$1@news.monmouth.com...
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> > oxytone notion wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
> > >
> > >> What a wonderful time we live in.  What type
> > >> of monster would sit around thinking of ways
> > >> to heat people up in an effort to control them?
> > >
> > > Dwight, I am 96% sure that hams were involved
> > > in the design of this project. [snip]
> > >
> > > [snip] There back and Busch Jr. is in the white
> > > house - scary.
> >
> >
> >   Lets not make this a political issue, or divide ourselves by trying
to
> > blame individual groups.  Its not about Democrats or Republicans (the
> > research had to have started while Clinton was in the White House, but
I
> > don't doubt Bush will love it).
> >
> >   Instead, this is about everybody outside of the power elite, and
_ALL_
> of
> > us will have to live with this stuff in the future.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>
>
>
> What kind of anti American rock did you crawl out from
> under ? This weapon is a NON lethal tactical weapon.

How do you know that? Maybe you would be willing to stand in it's beam for
```

a few minutes and test your theory?

> I suggest you put your sorry ass in a "peace keeping", or
> "law enforcement" role that US military forces deployed
> on in the past - and then when a hostile crowd (a crowd
> including both military and civilians), is intent on
> decaptitating your head and carrying it around on a pole,
> you come back here and report how you shot and killed all
> the women and children brandishing knives... or would you
> rather hold your fire and give up your life - because you
> have such moral ideals.

A good Commander in Chief, and proper rules of engagement, will correct this problem. If the enemy is presenting an immeadiate threat to the lives of our soldiers, they should be taken out. Period. Man, women, child, black, brown, etc. makes no difference once they take up arms and try to attack our soldiers, they become the enemy.

>
> If my men are under fire by civilians, and if I have a
> non lethal force option to employ, i will use it, but,
> given the absence of one, escalating to lethal force is
> the last alternative.

If it were the first option, there would be a lot less incidents to start with.

>
> Any professional soldier will tell you they do not wish
> to harm civilians, this is the impetus for this new
> technology. It is an effective deterrent, publicity not
> withstanding.

When they take up arms against you, they are no longer civilians, they are the enemy.

>
> And before you shoot your socialist mouth off, I suggest
> you remember the incident in the streets of Mogadishu,
> Somalia back in 1993. Where the body of a US Army Ranger
> was being dragged naked thru the streets, an angry mob,
> including women and children - cheering at the sight. I
> suggest you read the book "Black Hawk Down".

Again, this would never have happened given a proper Commander and correct rules of engagement.

>
> People like you would prefer my comrades and I in arms,
> simply ask the enemy really nicely "oh would you please
> not hurt us?". While they cut our throats and spit on,
> and burn the American flag for the anti western crowds
> that have not so friendly intentions for the U.S.

Personally, I would say to run up a few Blackhawks and test out the mini-guns. Funny thing about a riot, when you shoot a few at the front, the rest go home.

>
> This technology is another tool, another option for our
> commanders in the field to accomplish their missions.
> The ultimate tactical applications, and ROE's regarding
> the technology would no doubt have to be worked out, but
> having it is better than not having it.
>

The only problem is that this tool is targeted for domestic use, and the military has not disclosed the whole truth about this weapons' real capabilities. Couple this device with the testing of HAARP's mass mind altering potential, and you start to wonder what these devices are really being developed for...

73 deW6DKN

From 3561190298107864811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 15:50:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wz8a@aol.commie.NOT (AK)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 23:46:34 GMT
References: <20010222081216.12258.00002240@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16808

>Subject: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters

<< I recently reinstalled my 10 meter rig in the car and I have been monitoring 28.085 (AM mode) while driving around. It is astounding to hear how many truckers are using this as a "company" channel. >>

In my younger days as a HAM - when 11 meters was one of "my" bands - we thought it was terrible when the FCC turned the frequencies over to CB. One of the plus factors turned out to be that most of the bootleggers back then switched over to CB, and the bootleg problem went to NIL. Just like "incentive licensing", things seem to have gone full circle.

The good news on 10M bootleggers is that find there is usually some ham monitoring soon after a phone station pops up deep in the 10M CW section. Once I'm sure it's not a legit DX station, I start my CW CQ-caller running, turn my sharp filter on, and look for a QSO - as long as it may take. Usually get a contact pretty fast, and seldom find the bootlegger on frequency by the time the CW contact is over. Just one more reason to keep CW around. HI HI 73, AK

"Pay-to-Pay" (e-file) is not the smart way.
If only IRS were really a "service" ! AK

From 428275032263591869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,30d5d097a02c1add
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 15:57:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wz8a@aol.commie.NOT (AK)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 23:52:32 GMT
References: <975sco$6hn$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FBI spy
Message-ID: <20010304185232.22936.00000581@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16809

<<Obviously they have the wrong guy. This fellow knows Morse code, and we all know that the bad guys wear black hats and never know the code.>>

He probably doesn't know it - just memorized the right answers the BASH way. He might also have one of those special forces gadgets you key in the code real slow, and it blasts out the transmission faster than the VC and df you. Just what every spy needs.

"Pay-to-Pay" (e-file) is not the smart way.
If only IRS were really a "service" ! AK

From 3249986544802061758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 16:00:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Mar 2001 23:54:27 GMT
References: <KRwo6.1318$OS1.1087548@nntp2.onemain.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010304185427.22882.00000471@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16810

Thanks Dick,

I really appreciate the reply. I was just curious. It just happened to come to mind and when I gave it some serious thought, I couldn't come up with even one instance.

>Why do you feel it necessary to recite your equipment list?
>

My apologies, Dick. I guess I just wanted to reinforce the fact that there's no "monkey business" going on between the mic and the antenna, so I traced it in my mind while I typed. That resulted in the list. Upon reading it now, I see that it appears silly. I didn't mean for it to seem that way, some of the items are shared with my ham gear...sorry. Thanks again.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

From -7373393705191125340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f5dedcbb4e62c135
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 16:24:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!nntp.flash.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wz8a@aol.commie.NOT (AK)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 00:12:56 GMT
References: <3A92E9CC.C433A35F@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Texas HB 1148 Will Totally Regulate Antenna Towers
Message-ID: <20010304191256.22936.00000586@ng-bd1.aol.com>

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16811

<< Case in point. I wanted to build a ground mounted vertical. When I piped 100 watts through it, my neighbor could hear my audio. I know it is not necessarily my fault, however, I went ahead and called the cable company to check it out. >>

My 5-watt packet transmissions were getting into Warner's CH 18 (dirty movie) channel, and being amplified up the line. I was getting blamed not only for that, but every outage problem the cable company had. I called Warner about their line's "leakage" and was told that the problem was my antenna was "leaking". I tried to explain that "leaking" is what antennae are for, but I couldn't get the message through. However, the FCC did get the message through and one Saturday - with five cable managers and one technician at my front door - the one tech worker took a couple of hours to fix the leaks. Meanwhile, I had a long discussion with the supervisors about why they had better stop blaming me every time their equipment failed. That fixed things short term, and the cable switchover to fiber seems to have taken care of things long term.
AK

"Pay-to-Pay" (e-file) is not the smart way.
If only IRS were really a "service" ! AK

From 1621972323128629100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 17:21:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <KRwo6.1318$OS1.1087548@nntp2.onemain.com> <20010304185427.22882.00000471@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <JLBo6.778$pQ3.2468943@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 4 Mar 2001 19:16:53 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.206
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 983754857 206.29.242.206 (Sun, 04 Mar 2001 20:14:17 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 20:14:17 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16812

"SSB411D" <ssb411d@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010304185427.22882.00000471@ng-bd1.news.cs.com...
> Thanks Dick,
>
> I really appreciate the reply. I was just curious. It just happened to
come to
> mind and when I gave it some serious thought, I couldn't come up with
even one
> instance.
>
> >Why do you feel it necessary to recite your equipment list?
> >
>
> My apologies, Dick. I guess I just wanted to reinforce the fact that
there's no
> "monkey business" going on between the mic and the antenna, so I traced
it in
> my mind while I typed. That resulted in the list. Upon reading it now, I
see
> that it appears silly. I didn't mean for it to seem that way, some of
the items
> are shared with my ham gear...sorry. Thanks again.
>
> 73 de Bert
> SSB411D

No big deal. Read Phil Kane's reply for much more definitive poop. As we
used to say in my much younger days, when you hear it from Phil you are
talking to the moose.

Dick

From 6754684567030592821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 18:08:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!
news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 02:02:31 GMT
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters

Message-ID: <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16813

That would be willful and malicious interference. It's in Part 97, look it up. (and yes, you can't even interfere with an illegal station...crazy, eh?) The correct thing to do is tape the QSO, record the date, time, & frequency on the label and send same to uncle Riley.

Don't shoot the messenger. I don't make the rules, I just follow them. Good luck.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

From 412437869296783007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 18:10:24 PST
From: Bob Brock <bbrock@i-america.net>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 21:20:04 -0500
Message-ID: <gks5ato4ovil6bn6u8u410pstudn4uoj5l@4ax.com>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$
%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<97uc94$gc5$1@news.monmouth.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 47-87.i-america.net
X-Trace: 4 Mar 2001 21:08:03 -0500, 47-87.i-america.net
Organization: Heller Information Services
Lines: 106
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.94.211.44!
enews.sgi.com!outfeed2.news.cais.net!216.200.68.4.MISMATCH!news1.his.com!
vienna7.his.com!47-87.i-america.net
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9793

rec.radio.amateur.misc:12910 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16814 rec.radio.cb:27059
rec.radio.scanner:14317 rec.radio.shortwave:28017

On Sun, 4 Mar 2001 16:26:44 -0500, "Doggit" <Doggit@xfile.org> wrote:

>
>"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
>news:B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net...
>> oxytone notion wrote:
>>
>> >
>> > "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
>> >
>> >> What a wonderful time we live in. What type
>> >> of monster would sit around thinking of ways
>> >> to heat people up in an effort to control them?
>> >
>> > Dwight, I am 96% sure that hams were involved
>> > in the design of this project. [snip]
>> >
>> > [snip] There back and Busch Jr. is in the white
>> > house - scary.
>>
>>
>>   Lets not make this a political issue, or divide ourselves by trying to
>> blame individual groups. Its not about Democrats or Republicans (the
>> research had to have started while Clinton was in the White House, but I
>> don't doubt Bush will love it).
>>
>>   Instead, this is about everybody outside of the power elite, and _ALL_
>of
>> us will have to live with this stuff in the future.
>>
>>
>> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>>
>> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>
>
>
>What kind of anti American rock did you crawl out from
>under ? This weapon is a NON lethal tactical weapon. I
>suggest you put your sorry ass in a "peace keeping", or
>"law enforcement" role that US military forces deployed
>on in the past - and then when a hostile crowd (a crowd
>including both military and civilians), is intent on
>decaptitating your head and carrying it around on a pole,
>you come back here and report how you shot and killed all
>the women and children brandishing knives... or would you
>rather hold your fire and give up your life - because you
>have such moral ideals.

That's right, anytime we are victims of our own failed foreign policy,

we will just turn up the microwave for a few minutes and everything will be all right. Just kind of look at it a Napalm that doesn't destroy property. Neat stuff huh?
>
>If my men are under fire by civilians, and if I have a non lethal force option to employ, i will use it, but, given the absence of one, escalating to lethal force is the last alternative.
>
>Any professional soldier will tell you they do not wish to harm civilians, this is the impetus for this new technology. It is an effective deterrent, publicity not withstanding.
>
>And before you shoot your socialist mouth off, I suggest you remember the incident in the streets of Mogadishu, Somalia back in 1993. Where the body of a US Army Ranger was being dragged naked thru the streets, an angry mob, including women and children - cheering at the sight. I suggest you read the book "Black Hawk Down".

I would suggest that you look at the history of modern warfare before you shoot your mouth off about the desires of the "professional soldier). Try looking at how many civilians were killed at the bombings in Germany. Try looking at the collateral damage from our effective use of nuclear weapons in modern warfare. Were any civilians killed in the bombing of Iraq? How about Bosnia? We never killed civilians in Vietnam.

What happened to the soldiers in the Blackhawk was the result of failed foreign policy and piss poor tactical planning. Cooking every person within 700 yards would have made the outcome much different.
>
>People like you would prefer my comrades and I in arms, simply ask the enemy really nicely "oh would you please not hurt us?". While they cut our throats and spit on, and burn the American flag for the anti western crowds that have not so friendly intentions for the U.S.
>
>This technology is another tool, another option for our commanders in the field to accomplish their missions. The ultimate tactical applications, and ROE's regarding the technology would no doubt have to be worked out, but having it is better than not having it.

The option of cooking your enemies is another option available to the field commander. Plus, who says that is the only way that the technology can be deployed? Could a bigger one be put aboard a plane? Cook the people and keep the property.

Much better than a neutron bomb. Of course, the military never thought about that because they stay up all night worrying about hurting civilians....yeah right. Plus, do you really think that the only ones to use this technology will be the US military?

Are you that naive?

From 4893465592718030429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 19:24:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <KRwo6.1318$OS1.1087548@nntp2.onemain.com>
<20010304185427.22882.00000471@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Lines: 101
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <szDo6.1418$OS1.1173392@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 4 Mar 2001 21:20:03 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 983762264 206.29.242.101 (Sun, 04 Mar 2001 22:17:44 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 22:17:44 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16815

"SSB411D" <ssb411d@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010304185427.22882.00000471@ng-bd1.news.cs.com...

Bert, I am a tad concerned just what you are getting at so at the risk of chasing the wild goose I think a bit of history needs discussion.

There has been a lot of discussion on the CB newsgripe about why a sane FCC put a Citizens Band on 27 MHz where both E and F layer skip are common and then prohibited working it.
The short answer is that there was not a hell of a lot of choice.

Business band channels were scarce owing to the idea that the Modulation Index, the deviation divided by the maximum modulating frequency had to be at least five. This is why FM is 75 kHz deviation in a 200 kHz channel. So for voice 15 kHz was considered standard which produced 50 and 60 kHz channel spacing. This is why CB is AM by the way. If you have ever seen a 'coffin box' Motorola you know that the equipment of the vintage was large, heavy, and expensive. Another reason is that frequency tolerance after a 12 MHz crystal, about the highest primary freq available, is multiplied at least 12 times for VHF and 36 for UHF was stinko by modern standards.

To get some relief to the business people, several steps were taken including splitting commercial channels to 25-30 kHz (in in the process putting enough equipment on the market to start the 2 meter repeater caper) and starting CB as a low-cost shared business band. So there was method to the madness and to its credit, we had at the time an FCC more interested in fostering communication than preventing it -- the norm in a lot of countries. Now in a lot of ways the execution resembled the class project of a lot of summer interns. To this day, I have trouble believing the call sign fiasco when CB began.

The state of the art was such that the UHF Class A CB was not reachable for many people. You have to remember that the cascode 6BQ7 dual triode was the front-end tube at the time. It had only been 10-15 years since the tuner of a 1949 TV set would have been top secret. Judge ye not by 21st Century standards.

Early TV sets had their video intermediate frequency at 25.75 (now 45.75) so operation at that frequency took cast iron cajones. Hence the 5 watt power limit.

It was a noble experiment which time has passed by. It almost worked. The current FCC approach of denial in general and denial of paternity in particular is not heartening but they have nothing to apologize for in the history.

Transistors, of course, made it a natural mobile. Then comes the fuel crisis and C.W. McCall (my sister went to school with him) and Convoy and all hell breaks loose. If we start to see the threatened 36 volt, actually 42 volt, systems from the car folks, the FET module will make the building of line-e-ars trivial although perhaps cleaner.

There are a number of sub-groups in CB and sorting out how to best serve them is a monumental bitch; there are some you couldn't serve with anything smaller than 9mm. The cry for separate trucking freqs has been around since the 70s and the conventional wisdom (don't ask me to prove it) is that the 220-221 assignment was for UPS to do just that. They have not ever used it that I know of. I have long advocated giving them a band which I will cover here if anyone gives a damn. I suspect getting them into a specially-designed FM band right below CB would make a major dent in 10 meter encroachment. That awaits another missive.

You seem to be of the hobbyist group and that's fine. Shooting skip is a fairly common passtime among VHF dispatchers when the tropo is in so welcome to the human race. Some foreign countries do not make getting an Amateur ticket nearly so attractive as the US does so there is a lot of skip to shoot among people who have chosen to do so outside the law. No sermons here.

But it appears you want this practice legalized or decriminalized and here is where you enter the jungle. It is effectively decriminalized now so

doing it in the manner the Dutch handle pot would not be a major step.
Stay off AM and I sure as hell wouldn't bother you.

One of the ways you handle regulatory organizations is to do their work for them but at the very least don't ask them to fall on their swords for you. Legalization of skip shooting has two problems (at least):

1. It is hardly in the spirit of Land Mobile operation and that is what CB is. It is for plumbers and garbage trucks, not hobbyists, at least from the ITU perspective.

2. The world already has a hobby service, Amateur Radio. Selling another one is like selling a second navel, one is decorative but two is a bit redundant.

Given the history of the use of radio in military or political affairs, it would not be too surprising if the US was getting a bit of international heat over everything from smuggling, drug running, financing of terrorists etc. in this range of frequencies while hiding under calls to San Glockenspiel.

I am afraid, Bert, that selling any idea to legalize skip shooting will be one hard sell.

Dick N�BK

From 1279994969517023456
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 19:46:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 03:40:27 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 76
Message-ID: <3aa3098c.5316601@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a99ef94.1142339@news.mindspring.com>
<20010304123250.17186.00000144@nso-bk.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.da
X-Server-Date: 5 Mar 2001 03:40:19 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16816

On 04 Mar 2001 17:32:50 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3a99ef94.1142339@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>On 26 Feb 2001 01:33:42 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>>In article <3a97cea2.43470735@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>>>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>>>>>Of course a car that has an automatic transmission does not take up more
>>>>road
>>>>>space, use lots more fuel or emit lots more pollution than a similar
>>manual
>>>>>transmission car. The same cannot be said of voice modes vs. Morse.
>>>>
>>>>That has ZERO bearing on the need for a code test or
>>>>knowledge of code as a skill.
>>>
>>>It has all the bearing in the world, Bill. My use of a manual transmission
>>car
>>>vs. a similar model with automatic transmission has very little effect on
>>other
>>>drivers, the environment or resource depletion. My use of Morse vs. voice on
>>>the ham bands has a BIG effect on other hams.
>>
>>From what you have said now, it appears YOU want the
>>code test as a means to draft new code users...
>
>Nope. I'm just pointing out that the auto transmission analogy isn't perfect.
>Choice of transmission doesn't have much effect on other drivers. Choice of
>mode does.

Then do your pitch for using one mode over another as a "sales"
effort. It shouldn't require a test element to get those new
converts.

>>which
>>is not the purpose of the requirement.
>
>The test requirements have a variety of purposes. They vary over time, too.
>What those purposes should be has long been a subject of debate.

Tests should only have requirements which can
rationally be defended. The code test no longer
meets that standard.

>> If someone sends
>>poor code does that have a BIG effect on other hams...or
>>only an effect on the other person trying to copy code.
>
>Sending isn't tested today....

Bingo! So why does how well someone can copy

code have anything to do with having a big effect
on other hams?

>>If you want to hype the narrowbandwidth value of morse
>>over voice, that's fine,
>
>It's not "hype" - it's fact.

Hype, advertise, spin, whatever...it is all about
convincing others to use the mode. If it is so
efficient, then why are some people worried that
it will cease to be used if there is no test requirement?

>> but the FCC sure hasn't bought
>>that argument as a justification for code testing.
>
>Agreed. They are into minimum requirements now.

Which is ALL they should be.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 8225541703234245898
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 19:47:43 PST
Message-ID: <3AA309BD.79B9CA4D@ovis.net>
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 03:36:29 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
<3AA250A8.698546B1@IEEE.org> <3AA283FF.FA1D31A4@ovis.net>
<3AA2A4A4.668C5CFF@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s16.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 4 Mar 2001 22:32:01 -0500, s16.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 30
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s16.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16817

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > You, Cecil, have turned something into a criticizable
> > offense.
>
> Not unless there is some pathological person hanging around who
> lacks the ability to exercise self control. Know anyone like that,
> Dave?

In this group? Take your pick! You could be describing yourself or Len
for all I know.

> > Yep, I criticized you for being two faced because you did
> > something you swore you'd never do.
>
> Saying something and swearing to something are very different.
> If you cannot produce the posting where I swore I would never
> upgrade, then we will all know that you are lying. I couldn't
> think of a good reason to upgrade. Someone gave me one. No big
> deal. Just yesterday, I changed my mind about having cake for
> lunch.

Did you swear not to have cake for lunch? Maybe it was your inability
to excercise self control. The posting is available in archives for
anyone who cares to check it out. Apparently you, like Len, have
written so many things that you're starting to forget which position
you've taken when.

Dave K8MN

From 2101879759125807285
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 20:06:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 03:57:43 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 167
Message-ID: <3aa30b43.5755357@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3a9c805f.1917380@news.mindspring.com>
<20010304163254.16358.00000155@nso-mh.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.da

X-Server-Date: 5 Mar 2001 03:59:55 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16818

On 04 Mar 2001 21:32:54 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3a9c805f.1917380@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>
>(old stuff snipped)
>
>>>>>>>One of the main reasons the FCC has lowered the requirements for a ham
>>>>>>>license and simplified the license structure, over the past 25 years is
>>>>this:
>>>>>>>They want the "freebanders" and the non-commercial cbers to get ham
>>>>licenses.   Y
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Do you have any quotes from any FCC that proves this?
>>>>>
>>>>>Their actions speak louder than any words could.
>>>>
>>>>In what way do you make that assessment?
>>>
>>>I looked over how the FCC has changed the license requirements in the last
>>30
>>>years. And taking a broad view of the problems the FCC faces in enforcing
>>the
>>>rules on unlicensed stations.
>>
>>Fair enough. Differnet people may conclude otherwise.
>
>Agreed. But I think this concept has played a big role in the FCC's decisions
>towards licensing requirements for 20-25 years
>>
>>>>Just what actions by the FCC support your conclusion?
>>>>
>>>Compare the requirements for a US ham license in 1971 and in 2001. Pay
>>>particular attention to what was and is required for a license that allows
>>lots
>>>of HF/MF privileges on a variety of modes. Also look at the requirements for
>>a
>>>full-privileges license. I can post a detailed list, if you want.
>>
>>Look at the General (full HF) requirements for the 50s and 60s
>>and tell us how that compares.
>
>I would say that the General of the mid-60s, compared to the technology and
>regs of its time, required at least as much as the Extra of today. Probably
>more. Of course the areas covered in the exams, and the exam process, are quite
>different.

Thanks, I didn't think so myself having passed that general

test as a teenager (1958-9) with a good memory.
Didn't know why things
were what they were, but had no problem memorizing
enough to pass.

>I specifically chose 1971 because that was about the "high water mark" for test
>requirements vs. privileges granted. In 1971, the Novice had no voice
>privileges and was a 2 year, one-time license. Technician had no HF/MF at all -
>they didn't even get all of amateur VHF/UHF, yet the Tech required 5 wpm and
>the same written as General. The privileges of a General or Conditional were at
>their lowest point compared to the full privileges available to a US ham.

So you are validating the dis-satisfaction that generals
of the 60s had when they lost privaleges. You note
that they (the pre-incentive generals) took a tougher
test than Extras do now.

>There was still a 2 year waiting period to even take the Extra test. For a
>General or higher, you had to go to an FCC exam point unless you were way out
>in the boonies or physically disabled (and the area that qualified as "way out
>in the boonies" was very limited). Novices and Techs had to take their exams by
>mail, using a process that took about three months from start to finish if you
>didn't fail anything.
>
>No Bash books, no CSCEs, no VECs or test sessions at hamfests, all test
>questions were secret and you had to wait 30 days minimum to retest. Because of
>all the effort one had to expend just to get to a test session, most hams back
>then tended to overprepare for the exams.

ARRL license manuals, AMECO study guides, and others
gave the same info, often with "sample" test questions that
amazingly were indeed on the test in sometimes, exact
format.

>Since about the mid '70s, the trend has all been toward making the licenses
>easier to get. In many ways, I think that's a good thing. For example, being
>able to take exams at a hamfest on a weekend sure beats having to travel long
>distances to an FCC office to take the same exam on a weekday.

Absolutely agree.

>The FCC's ideas on ham license requirements have wandered all over the map in
>the past 50 years. Before 1953, the license requirements for full privileges
>(Class A) were very tough, particularly the writtens. In 1953, Generals,
>Conditionals and above got all privileges in a surprise move by the FCC. But
>after a few years, the FCC rethought its decision and the whole process that
>led up to the 1968 "incentive licensing" changes came to be.

What the FCC failed to see (IMHO) in their perspective was
the ever increasing knowledge base that a person needed
to be viewed by industry as technically competent.

>The FCC thought as early as 1958 that the requirements for full privileges

>(General/Conditional) were way too easy. And they were primarily concerned with
>the written test requirements, not the code tests. The code test stuff came
>later - and it was not the ARRL's idea.

Except for 20 wpm, what changed on code tests? Indeed, the
FCC added the Advanced which only required a written test
to upgrade to. For years the majority of generals didn't bother.

>The period of "Generals get it all" was actually quite short - 15 years and 9
>months. It was the exception, not the rule. The people who were running the
>FCC back then are almost certainly all gone now. The philosophy has come almost
>full circle.
>
>Ask Phil Kane - he was there.
>
>Make no mistake - the FCC is quite clearly acting to reduce illegal operation
>by making legal operation easier.

Again, that has never been stated anywhere. That is
only your own perspective. We obvioulsy disagree.

>The basic question is this: Why operate cb illegally? Why "freeband" when ham
>radio offers so much more? As I see it, there are only three explanations:
>
>1) To do things that ham radio does not allow legally. Examples are commercial
>uses, jamming, music, operation without proper ID, superpower,
>obscenity/profanity, etc.
>
>2) To be part of a subculture that supports the activities of 1)
>
>3) To avoid the test and license requirements for a ham license.
>
>Making ham licenses easier to get won't address 1) or 2), but will affect those
>people primarily motivated by 3).

And I don't see any mass influx of former freebanders
to validate your analysis.

>>>Please understand, I'm not saying this was the ONLY reason for the changes -
>>>just that it was one of them. And I'm not saying that these changes were all
>>>bad, either. I'm just explaining my view of part of the FCC's motivation and
>>>thinking on the subject, and how it has changed from the heyday of
>>"incentive
>>>licensing" to now.
>>
>>As above, different people see different reasons behind
>>FCC actions.
>
>Of course. And there are almost certainly multiple reasons behind their
>actions. Reducing the drain on FCC resources is a BIG factor, too, and has been
>for a long time.

Yet we finally see an actual increase and commitment

of such resources for enforcement in the last 2
years.

>Think about that question, though. Why would anyone operate illegally when so
>much is available legally?

The tech with 5 wpm has been around long enough to
counter that argument.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 904325363737838160
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 20:20:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!nntp.flash.net!newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!
newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.42.76
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 983765701 6004826 208.188.42.76 (Sun, 04
Mar 2001 23:15:01 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 23:15:01 EST
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 04:15:01 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16819
```

Yes you can, and should, interfere with an illegal station and as far as the FCC is concerned you are not breaking any rules by interfering with these cb morons as they are not licensed. The FCC isn't going to do anything to a ham station that interferes with these illegal bootleggers, so jam them all you want.

SSB411D wrote:

> That would be willful and malicious interference. It's in Part 97, look it up.
> (and yes, you can't even interfere with an illegal station...crazy, eh?) The

> correct thing to do is tape the QSO, record the date, time, & frequency on the
> label and send same to uncle Riley.
>
> Don't shoot the messenger. I don't make the rules, I just follow them. Good
> luck.
>
> 73 de Bert
> SSB411D

From 2160267100342987821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 20:24:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 04:22:49 GMT
References: <B6C7FAB2.4546%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CDL
Message-ID: <20010304232249.02654.00000509@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16820

In article <B6C7FAB2.4546%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> Now, I can talk to her, and even urge her not to drive, but that is as far
>as I can legally go (restraining her would be a crime).

Dwight:

Using minimal force to physically restrain a person to prevent them from committing a crime is permitted under the powers of citizen's arrest. An ordinary citizen can also use deadly force to protect his own life or the life of a third party -- but he would have to deal with the natural consequences of a legal system which would closely examine the situation in the aftermath. However, the kind of "restraint" I'm talking about in your mother's case is the hiding-her-keys variety.

If your mother's poor driving truly presents a clear and present danger to herself and others, you should be able to have something done about it. At the very least, if I were you, I would not ride with her -- ever -- and discourage others from doing so.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -943486700606978211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 20:25:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!206.252.192.28!news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 04:22:49 GMT
References: <20010304105555.09041.00000447@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010304232249.02654.00000510@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16821

In article <20010304105555.09041.00000447@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>, ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D) writes:

>Does any body know if the 155 mi rule has ever been enforced and what the
>"realistic" penalty is?

Good Buddie:

I am not aware of the 155 mi. rule (I always thought it was 150 miles) ever being enforced. The original reason for the rule was to make sure that the Citizen's Radio Service fell within the confines of the "land mobile" definition, and to discourage "hobbyist" use of the service for routine DX chatting. CB was originally intended for short-range dispatching of small businesses (trucks at shipping terminals, mainly) and the communications were intended to be "strictly business-like." Personal (non-business) use was also allowed, as long as the transmissions remained short, to the point, fully identified, and within the rules in every respect. From the first time a trucker in Waco, Texas "worked" a colleague in Cleveland, this has to be one of the most universally ignored regulations ever placed into public law. Add to that the fact that it was dead-easy to add a "foot warmer" to a barefoot CB rig, and the ready available of high-gain antenna designs, DX on CB was was a natural outcome. I would be astonished to find out that it had ever been enforced.

73 de Larry, K3LT
Ex CB'er (for 6 months): KHM7772

```
From -4291843483240760701
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 20:38:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA3180D.29A34770@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Forced code exams?, was Re: Pride
References: <3AA24850.119B180@ovis.net> <20010304143228.17061.00000173@nso-
mb.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 172
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 04:37:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.52
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983767078 207.0.147.52 (Sun, 04 Mar 2001
20:37:58 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 20:37:58 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16822

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AA24850.119B180@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >> Davie, you did it again...lying and distorting things.  Tsk, tsk.
> >>
> >> I've not "complained" about joining the ARRL.  I have stated that,
> >> among all licensed US radio amateurs, only about a quarter of
> >> them are ARRL members.  Based on data on the ARRL website
> >> and in their required QST Statement, that is very close to
> >> correct.  I have said that only ARRL members with valid US
> >> amateur radio licenses can vote in ARRL matters.  Unless the
> >> ARRL has stated something different lately, that is still true.
> >
> >Tsk, tsk indeed.  C'mon Lennie, fess up!  It wasn't very long ago that
> >in an exchange with Ed Hare, you made the statement I attribute to you
> >after Ed suggested that you join the League.
>
> No.  Either you misunderstood or misread what was written or
> you are just distorting things again.
```

Yes, you made the statement. If you are now reversing yourself, that's
fine with me. Your "distorting things again" comment bothers me. I
haven't distorted things this time and I don't know which other occasion
you refer to since you saw fit to add the "again" term.

> >You've said much, much more about the ARRL over the period of several
> >years. Your "right to comment" has been used to lambast the League on
> >more than one occasion. The statement you made in the exchange with Ed
> >Hare is archived too. Anyone who cares can check out your numerous
> >posts and see if your "falsehoods, lies and innuendo" charge is true.
>
> Anyone is free to check out archives. Archived posts can be cut
> and pasted in here...to form another endless non-debate where you
> "analyze" the archives to "prove" some personal obsession of yours.

That's why I recommend that anyone interested look up the archived
material himself so no one can be accused of cutting and pasting.

> Yawn.

Maybe you need more sleep, Len.

> >Right. Bring up the term "AMA" in a room full of people and see how
> >many believe that you mean the Academy of Model Aeronautics.
>
> I don't expect anyone to know about the Academy of Model
> Aeronautics...except the number of model hobbyists in the USA
> who are members, their families and friends. According to Larry
> Roll, the (model hobbyist) AMA is still active.

I don't care if the model hobbiest organization is active or not. I was
not referring to it.

> I used to know a medical doctor who liked model aircraft building
> and flying. He used to joke about being a member of two AMAs.
> He had a sense of humor. You should try it sometime, you
> might like it...

I should join two AMAs? I'll pass.

> >I know what the ARRL by-laws state, Len. I also know what YOU stated.
> >My disagreement is not with the League but with you.
>
> That disagreement is quite evident. Few care about that.

How do you know about who cares and how much? You tried to shift my
disagreement with what you posted into a disagreement between me and the
League's by-laws. It was never that.

> You keep arguing about "what I (supposedly) wrote" but you don't
> show any quotes of it, don't refer to it except in vague generalities.
> If you are unable to quote it, then refer to some disinterested
> third-party reference where others can go look for it...please use

> definite dates, newsgroup, screen name, and other details.

Anyone who cares to see what you actually said can check Google.
Otherwise, you'd accuse me of doing a cut and paste job on it to make it
look like something other than what you'd actually said.

> >> Davie boy, you are reaching way too far to try to develop some kind
> >> of "issue" here. Don't go there, it leads to nowhere.
> >
> >Changing your tune? You've made some charges of "falsehoods, lies and
> >innuendo" in a strongly worded post. You've now soft pedalled and back
> >pedalled.
>
> No. You're becoming obsessed with proving another's Wrongdoing.
> You haven't really presented any case yet except some personal
> opinions which have been distortions and untruths.

I'm not obsessed, Len. There have been no distortions and untruths.
Anyone can look up the statements you made--the most recent and the past
League bashing.

> If you wish to create a long and boring thread in the form of a
> kangaroo court where you want to be judge, jury, and executioner,
> feel free. That wastes everyone's time and is boring. Hardly
> anyone cares for any outcome of a personal vendetta.

No one who isn't interested in this need review any archives. That way,
no one gets bored or has his time wasted. The "vendetta" outcome is
sort of like oral sex, Len. It depends one whether you're kicking off
or receiving.

> >Time for you to go easy on accusations of untruth unless you are sure
> >that your record on the matter is clean.
>
> Quit stowing your throne in a glass house.

That line wasn't really funny when I heard it in '66 or thereabout.

> You are now implying badness in the past...based on nothing but
> some vague statements of your (very biased) opinion.

Badness? Have you been bad again, Len? It's funny that my opinion is
biased but you don't see your own opinions as biased. Don't you find
that peculiar?

> >While that's not exactly what you've written, you have made numerous
> >negative comments about the League.
>
> Yes. I've also made some positive comments. The ARRL is not
> divine, it's policies are made by men not gods.

Sort of like those FCC folks you keep bringing up...

> >I've never said that you wrote that
> >you wanted to become a League member. I wrote that you said you refused
> >to become a League member because you would not have a vote in League
> >affairs.
>
> Put it away, Dave. You are getting tiresome. Unlicensed members
> of the ARRL do not have voting privileges. That was what I've
> written. I've never written "why I will not join the ARRL."

If this has been painful for you, Len, and you're getting tired, don't
bother to respond. I think most folks here knew that unlicensed ARRL
members can't vote. It was only you who seemed to think this unfair.

> You state I've written something. You have no evidence to present
> in this kangaroo court of yours and yet you "challenge" me to
> refute something. No one can refute something that doesn't exist.

No one can refute something which exists and can't be taken back because
it is archived either.

> >Izzat right? I think I understand you pretty well, Len. You're
> >currently not doing a very good job of negating your prior statements.
> >I've not attributed anything to you which you didn't state here.
>
> I cannot "refute" something that doesn't exist. You cannot "charge"
> anyone of "wrongdoing" without valid evidence. If you have evidence,
> show it. If not, shut the hell up and go look for others who can be
> pushed around by your Dill Instructor attitudes.

Wrongdoing? Did you do wrong or did you just espouse an opinion which
almost nobody would support? Is poor Len getting picked on in the
manner he picks on others? Aside from a couple of concessions by you
that the ARRL does some good, you've blasted the League on numerous
occasions. Folks might need to include the AveryFine, NoCWtest, LenOf21
as well as LenOver21 screen names to come up with the complete works of
Leonard H. Anderson in various archives.

> Remember, you are an example of the "highest" class license in
> US amateur radio.

This isn't the ham bands. As you are fond of pointing out, this is
usenet. You are a representative of no license class in U.S. amateur
radio.

Dave K8MN

From 2091690706414754049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 20:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!

newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Date: 5 Mar 2001 04:40:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <97v5cl$iv4$1@208.207.71.166>
References: <20010304105555.09041.00000447@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
<KRwo6.1318$OS1.1087548@nntp2.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16823

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
>
> Why do you feel it necessary to recite your equipment list?

Could it be that he is trying to make us believe it's what he actually uses?

From 3167362221813873589
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 20:42:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 5 Mar 2001 04:38:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <97v58e$iv4$0@208.207.71.166>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97sm3e$78u$0@208.207.71.174>
<3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <97tocb$38$1@208.206.142.72>
<3AA297E9.D8441923@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16824

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Hey, genius, no one FORCED nor demanded that I meet the requirements -
> > *I* did it willingly! Sorry about you!
>
> If you met the requirements, Dick, you were required by circumstances
> to meet them.

Like hell I was! I EAGERLY took the tests and met the requiremtents!
You sound like a real mental deficient with all this nonsense. No one in
history has ever been forced by any circumstance to get a ham radio
license. Your entire argument is idiotic and everyone except you knows
it.

From 2129270343476676384
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 20:52:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 04:45:05 GMT
References: <szDo6.1418$OS1.1173392@nntp2.onemain.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010304234505.29508.00000517@ng-mm1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16825

Thanks Dick,

No need to be concerned, there's no "sale" going on here. As far as AM goes, I
haven't transmitted on AM since...ummm, about 1986 or so to ask for traffic
conditions on ch. 10. So there'll be no "wild goose" to chase here, it was just
plain old curiosity. After twenty plus years, I don't particularly need to see
such a rule/reg. "decriminalized." It was humourous back then and, after all

these years, it's just...well, old and tired. (kinda like me:-))That's why I
added the "disclaimer" to my original post, to avoid any inaccurate
speculation. Thanks again, the info was truly interesting.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

P.S. I'll wear the "hobbyist" badge proudly, on both sides of the fence. I
just wish the fence wasn't so necessary. Take care now.

From -1060206864866810221
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 21:14:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9pbu02.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <KRwo6.1318$OS1.1087548@nntp2.onemain.com>
<20010304185427.22882.00000471@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
<szDo6.1418$OS1.1173392@nntp2.onemain.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Lines: 19
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:10:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983769039 206.58.44.46 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:10:39
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:10:39 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16826

On Sun, 4 Mar 2001 21:20:03 -0600, Richard McCollum wrote:

  A good historical explanation, Dick. Thanks.

>Early TV sets had their video intermediate frequency at 25.75 (now 45.75)
>so operation at that frequency took cast iron cajones.

  The early IF was 21.25 MHz, before there was a 15-meter band.
  When the latter came into use, the TV manufacturers moved the
  IF to 45 MHz. For a long time there was a horrendous TV
  interference problem because the older sets had direct pickup
  in the IF strips.

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 1900386123415O4541
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 21:14:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com> <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 32
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:10:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983769043 206.58.44.46 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:10:43 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:10:43 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16827

On Mon, 05 Mar 2001 04:15:01 GMT, JR wrote:

>Yes you can, and should, interfere with an illegal station

  Are you aiding and abetting by urging someone to commit a
  criminal act (Willful interference - Sect 333 of the Comm
  Act).

>and as far as the FCC is concerned

  Are you authorized to speak for the FCC?

>you are not breaking any rules by interfering with these cb morons as
>they are not licensed.

Are you a communications attorney who has training and
experience in interpreting communications law?

>The FCC isn't going to do anything to a ham station that
>interferes with these illegal bootleggers, so jam them all you want.

Are you authorized to speak for the FCC?

Are you willing to pay thr penalty (monetary or time or loss of
privileges) for anyone who follows your "advice"?

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -2292007271935970855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 21:14:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9pc4i3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304105555.09041.00000447@ng-mp1.news.cs.com> <20010304232249.02654.00000510@nso-md.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Lines: 24
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:10:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983769041 206.58.44.46 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:10:41 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:10:41 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16828

On 05 Mar 2001 04:22:49 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>>Does any body know if the 155 mi rule has ever been enforced and what the
>>"realistic" penalty is?
>

>I am not aware of the 155 mi. rule (I always thought it was 150 miles)

It certainly was (and still is) enforced. I had plenty of
"seat time" doing "skip sweeps" and the paperwork thereof.

It originally was 150 miles, but when the FCC's distance limits
went metric, it went to 250 KM, which rounded is 155 miles.

>I would be astonished to find out that it had ever been enforced.

It certainly was. I even nailed one guy working Italy from
California when the band had a brief opening in the 1980s. The
usual "hop" was Californa to the Mississippi Valley.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 7225024338835147051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 21:16:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AA31E4C.150A80AB@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 23:04:12 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org>
<97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org>
<97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71>
<3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org> <97sm3e$78u$0@208.207.71.174>
<3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <97tocb$38$1@208.206.142.72>
<3AA297E9.D8441923@IEEE.org> <97v58e$iv4$0@208.207.71.166>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.173
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983768863
64.240.47.173 (4 Mar 2001 23:07:43 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>

```
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!spacestar!
newsfeed.frii.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16829

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > If you met the requirements, Dick, you were required by circumstances
> > to meet them.
>
>  Like hell I was!

In return for granting amateur radio privileges, the federal government
requires every amateur radio operator to met the requirements for an amateur
radio license. That's what governments do, Dick. That you enjoyed being
required to meet the requirements is irrelevant. You were still required
by circumstances to meet the requirements. If you obtained your amateur
radio license without meeting the requirements, you are a criminal.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -6579576524909180666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 21:20:13 PST
Message-ID: <3AA31F76.A3A52524@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 23:09:10 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <v5ei9t8gdt02kqsrcue1s55smjnd56h2sl@4ax.com>
<3A99D0B1.3D7226C7@IEEE.org> <3A99FFA0.EDA8EF16@ovis.net>
<3A9A73C4.80FBAC34@IEEE.org> <3A9F1112.E4DD1665@ovis.net>
<5RKn6.1389$K66.1239854@nntp1.onemain.com> <3AA0865C.B3166814@ovis.net>
<3AA0FA46.A9D945CC@IEEE.org> <3AA24395.993756B@ovis.net>
<3AA250A8.698546B1@IEEE.org> <3AA283FF.FA1D31A4@ovis.net>
<3AA2A4A4.668C5CFF@IEEE.org> <3AA309BD.79B9CA4D@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.173
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983769161 64.240.47.173 (4 Mar 2001 23:12:41 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cyclone.bc.net!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16830

Dave Heil wrote:
> The posting is available in archives for anyone who cares to check it out.

Dave, I'll bet you $100 that I didn't swear not to get an Extra license.
Put your money where your mouth is.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2618719890168452135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 21:22:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 05:20:32 GMT
References: <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010305002032.29411.00000658@ng-mm1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16831

Ummm Hmmm.;-)

Cuidado amogos.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

From 8576577143635764353
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 21:28:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
enews.sgi.com!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 5 Mar 2001 05:24:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <97v7ui$rn3$0@208.207.71.166>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16832

SSB411D wrote:
>
> That would be willful and malicious interference. It's in Part 97, look it up.
> (and yes, you can't even interfere with an illegal station...crazy, eh?)

WRONRO, Bozo. The FCC has clearly stated that an illegal signal, that
has no statutory right to use a frequency cannot be interfered with by a
legal station.
Of course the universal prohibitation against interfering with
emergency communications applies, since anyone transmitting due to a
bona fide emergency is not then illegal.

The
> correct thing to do is tape the QSO, record the date, time, & frequency on the
> label and send same to uncle Riley.
>

> Don't shoot the messenger. I don't make the rules, I just follow them. Good
> luck.
>

Sure you do. And the moon is made of green cheese.

> 73 de Bert
> SSB411D

From -2145983832895323651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 22:47:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 5 Mar 2001 06:44:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16833

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Mon, 05 Mar 2001 04:15:01 GMT, JR wrote:
>
> >Yes you can, and should, interfere with an illegal station
>
>   Are you aiding and abetting by urging someone to commit a
>   criminal act (Willful interference - Sect 333 of the Comm
>   Act).
>

I clearly remember that it was reported in the ham press a few years

ago that the FCC said, in response to a specific inquiry, that it was
not possible to interfere with a communication that was illegal.
 I also remember that the District Director for the San Diego district
was reported to have said that it was illigal for amateurs to operat
outside the ham bands under any circumstances, even in an emergency.
This statement seems clearly contrary to FCC regulatons. So it seems
clear that the right hand doesn't always know what the left is up to
within the commission.

```
From 7220153268286810873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 23:04:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!
news.juniper.net!not-for-mail
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
Date: 5 Mar 2001 06:44:14 GMT
Organization: Juniper Networks, Inc.
Lines: 68
Message-ID: <97vcju$1t31$1@heap.juniper.net>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AA1190C.45ECB26A@bctv.com> <97s3e6$1v7j$1@heap.juniper.net>
<3AA1B273.CF9603FE@bctv.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: wawa.juniper.net
X-Trace: heap.juniper.net 983774654 62561 172.17.20.55 (5 Mar 2001 06:44:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@juniper.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Mar 2001 06:44:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: trn 4.0-test72 (19 April 1999)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16834

Keith Wood  <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>Dennis Ferguson wrote:
>>
>> Keith Wood  <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>> >Dennis Ferguson wrote:
>> >>
>> >>  Also, no where did it say the
>> >> beam is "narrow", no EM beam can be perfectly collimated in any case
>> >> and how big it is at any particular distance is a matter of adjusting
>> >> the focus and having sufficient power available.
>> >
>> >Power is the problem.  The beam MUST be narrow or it will have no effect
>> >whatsoever.  Even the report says that the beam must be held against a
>> >"spot on the skin for 3 seconds."
>>
>> So, what is the power density required to heat an area of skin to 130
>> degrees to a depth of 1/64" in less than three seconds?  I can guesstimate
>> it from the specific heat of water, but you must know more precisely to
```

>> make the above assertion with such authority so I'd like to know your
>> answer instead.
>
>You are looking at the problem from the wrong end.

I'm not sure there is another end.

>It is not important to know power levels -- only that, for use as a
>weapon, they would swap anything for speed. A wider beam will require
>more power to get the same depth of damage in the same time frame.
>Thus, the beam is narrow to get more depth (and speed) from the same
>power.

?? I think you missed the point of the weapon. It is for crowd control, so the whole idea is to cause people pain without doing damage to them. This makes the shallow penetration depth a feature, since all the nerves are located near the surface of the skin. If you want to cause people more pain you actually want the beam to be bigger, with the power density constant, so that you heat more skin and more nerves.

This is a bit beside the point, however, since they give the specifications in the article (increase skin temperature to a depth of 1/64" to 130 degrees F in under 3 seconds) and all you really do by varying the width of the beam is to vary the power density. So what power density is required to achieve that effect, and how wide a beam having that power density can you generate with a power supply that is carried in a truck?

>Unless, of course, you plan to require the targets to stand absolutely
>still for 9 seconds, just so you can double the beamwidth and get the
>same results . . .

If you double the beamwidth while keeping total power constant you'll reduce the power density be 4 and it will take 4 times as long to get the same effect (I think this question might be on the Technician exam), so let's figure out the power density required to do it in the time they specify. If skin has the same specific heat as water then to raise a 1/64" thick chunk of it by 30 Celsius in 2.5 seconds should require about 2 W/cm^2, or 20 kW/m^2, assuming 100% of the RF is absorbed. I've seen pictures of mobile CB linear amplifiers which produce enough output to support a beam 2 meters in diameter at this power density, so I assume the military might be able to do at least this well too. To fit this power supply in a truck assumes that masers have gotten a lot more efficient then they were 20 years ago, but lasers have gotten a lot better since then so I assume masers could have as well.

A 2 meter diameter beam just doesn't seem all that hard to aim from the comfort of an armored vehicle. Are you sure there is a problem?

Dennis Ferguson

From 1848459853605582476

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 23:07:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <jle6at0maqed4ce6h32nq0ek95hlhvfq0b@4ax.com>
References: <KRwo6.1318$OS1.1087548@nntp2.onemain.com>
<20010304185427.22882.00000471@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
<szDo6.1418$OS1.1173392@nntp2.onemain.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 135
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 07:06:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.229
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983775991 209.86.207.229 (Sun, 04 Mar 2001 23:06:31 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 23:06:31 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16835

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>There has been a lot of discussion on the CB newsgripe about why a sane
>FCC put a Citizens Band on 27 MHz...

From September 1958 QST:

Excerpts from FCC comments for removal of the 11 meter amateur band:

Reallocation of frequencies in the range 26.96-27.23 Mc.
The Notice of Proposed Rule Making in this proceeding recognized a need for additional spectrum space for personal use by any individual, especially those persons now holding authorizations for Class A stations in the Citizens Radio Service who will not be able to establish eligibility in any of the Industrial or Land Transportation Radio Services, as well as a substantial need for additional frequencies in the 28 Mc. range for use in the remote control of such objects or devices as model aircraft. Accordingly, it was proposed to reallocate frequencies in the band 26.96-27.23 Mc. from the Amateur Radio Service to the Citizens Radio Service for use by Class A stations for general purposes and, in addition, provide certain other frequencies, to Class C stations exclusively for the purpose of remote control. The Commission stated that this reallocation appeared appropriate because the frequencies in this band are a part of a larger frequency band within which interference may normally be

expected and must be accepted from industrial, scientific and medical (1SM) devices and because, as a result of the foregoing, only limited use of this band has been made by the amateurs. In addition, it was pointed out that amateurs, as individuals, would be able to obtain licenses in the Citizens Radio Service for either radio control or voice communication in this band.

In connection with this proposal, the Commission received a very large number of comments from both individual amateurs and modelers, as well as clubs and organizations representing persons active in each of these hobbies. In general, it may be said that all but a few comments from modelers enthusiastically supported the proposal and most of those from persons who are both amateurs and modelers also supported the reallocation. Most of the comments from other amateurs were in complete opposition to any use by the Citizens Radio Service of frequencies in this band. However, a substantial number suggested that the band be shared by the Amateur and Citizens Radio Services. A few amateurs suggested that other amateur frequencies be substituted in lieu of those proposed, or concurred in tile proposed reallocation.

Typical of the reasons set forth by the amateurs opposing deletion of the availability of frequencies in the 26.96-27.23 Mc. range to the Amateur Radio Service are:

(a) The adoption of the Commission a proposal would constitute a violation of the Atlantic City Radio Regulations to which tile United States is a signatory nation.

This band is the only one in the lower frequency ranges open to the amateurs where the Commission permits certain types of emissions and operations, and accordingly where the amateurs may engage in experimentation in facsimile, continuous carrier, and duplex operations.

(b) The characteristic which make these frequencies particularly good for long range and international communications will cause too much interference from skip, and prevent the widespread use of this band for short range communications and remote control.

(c) The recent non-use of this band by amateurs is due to cyclical "sun spots".

(d) Other amateur bands are overcrowded, the service is expanding at a rapid rate, and the 26.96-27.23 Mc. band is the only area left for expansion.

(e) The fact that an amateur might obtain a Citizens Radio Service authorization is not an adequate substitute because some amateurs could not meet the age requirement of that service, and in addition, amateurs would not be permitted to make their own adjustments to a citizens radio station.

On tile other hand, the modelers point out their dire and immediate need for additional frequencies, stating that the situation has been aggravated by recent authorizations of high-powered stations in other radio services on the frequency 27.255 Mc. for such purposes as traffic controls and remote radio paging. They further state that the use of frequencies in the 26.96-27.23 Mc. band for control of models is feasible and is similar to allocation practices found practical by other governments. The Commission is well aware of the history of the Amateur Radio Service in the development of the radio art and in providing a springboard of interest for future engineers and scientists. However, it must also be remembered that the remote control of models fosters a similar interest in young people and is a hobby in which young people are able to participate. The Commission is aware of the Amateurs outstanding record of assistance in local and national disasters. The Commission also recognizes that the Amateur Radio Service is a rapidly expanding service, but then, so is tile number of persons engaged in the remote control of models expanding at an ever increasing rate. While both amateurs and modelers suggest that spot frequencies located in different portions of the spectrum might be more desirable for remote control, such allocations are simply not possible at this time with the present scarcity of spectrum space.

In addition to filling the need for additional frequencies for remote control purposes, the proposed reallocation would also fill all urgent need for additional frequencies for voice communications by persons who will be unable to establish their eligibility in any of the Land Transportation or Industrial Radio Services, Although the Commission may have originally underestimated the use of the 26.96-27.23 Mc. band by amateurs, the use of that band is still considerably less than in other bands available to the amateurs, a fact which is admitted by many amateurs and established by monitoring observations of tile Commission. As to whether the proposed reallocation would be in violation of the Atlantic City Radio Regulations, the Commission considers that no violation is involved. The primary world-wide allocation (if that frequency band is to the Fixed and Mobile Services and the footnote permitting its use by amateurs is merely permissive. Therefore, the reallocation ordered herein and in the companion proceeding in Docket No. 11959 from the Amateur Radio Service to the Citizens Radio Service is not in violation of the Regulations.

.As stated above, the 26.96-27.23 Mr. band is a part of a larger band in which interference may normally be expected from ISM devices. Most of the reasons presented in opposition to the proposed reallocation of that band to the, Citizens Radio Service were based upon potential use of this band in tile future by the Amateur Radio Service instead of actual need or existing use of the band. Monitoring records indicate that this band is not heavily used by the Amateur Radio Service, due obviously to the interference hazard presented by the operation of ISM devices on 27.12 Ale.

The Commission feels that substantially greater use can be made of this band by the Citizens Radio Service and that the loss to the Amateur Radio Service is negligible. While it is true that certain operations have been possible in this band which were not permitted on lower frequencies available to the Amateur Radio Service, such operations are still possible on frequencies above 51 Mc. and frequencies in those ranges appear to be coming into even greater usage by the amateurs than the band here under consideration. Although the Commission realizes that, in many cases an authorization in the Citizens Radio Service may not be an adequate substitute for the Privileges lost in this band; nevertheless, the Commission finds that public interest, convenience and necessity requires the reallocation of the 26.96-27.23 Mc. band to the Citizens Radio Service for the purposes proposed. The recommendation that this band be shared by the two services involved is not adopted, since that action would be inconsistent with the Commission's position in international affairs regarding the shared use of amateur bands.

```
From 7909713334834386130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-04 23:48:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!ragnarok.cts.com!
thoth.cts.com!not-for-mail
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon  (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Sun, 04 Mar 2001 23:40:53 -0800
Organization: COMNAVSURFPAC
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3AA34304.37C52789@cts.com>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$
%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <97rppt$4kv$1@iac5.navix.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: putc12161208100.cts.com
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: thoth.cts.com 983778141 27199 216.120.8.100 (5 Mar 2001 07:42:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@cts.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 07:42:21 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9795
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12928 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16836 rec.radio.cb:27087
rec.radio.scanner:14330 rec.radio.shortwave:28046
```

W4JLE wrote:

> Come on, there were never $1500 toilet seats, this is the standard method to
> hide black projects, inflating prices for authorized non classified
> projects. At least Bush won't be selling the classified stuff to the
> Chinese.

hey...
I just came back from Bejing...great toilet seats!

```
From 2701698424578039802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 00:00:11 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 07:56:00 GMT
Message-ID: <3aa34469.172622884@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.78
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.78
X-Trace: 5 Mar 2001 01:54:02 -0600, 64.24.16.78
Lines: 21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16837
```

On 5 Mar 2001 06:44:30 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
> I also remember that the District Director for the San Diego district
>was reported to have said that it was illigal for amateurs to operat
>outside the ham bands under any circumstances, even in an emergency.
>This statement seems clearly contrary to FCC regulatons.
>
You bet. Any individual is automatically "licensed" to use any and all
means of communication on any frequency in a life-and-death emergency,
goes far beyond just ham radio. There's an equivalent provision in the
aviation regs.
>
> So it seems
>clear that the right hand doesn't always know what the left is up to
>within the commission.

>
I doubt that Phil will dispute that.
>
w3rv

From -6418284098530433805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 01:04:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 09:02:25 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9pc4i3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010305040225.29440.00000609@ng-mm1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16838

Hi Phil,

Thanks for the info. Do you know what the penalties were,(are) kinda curious?
Thanks again.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

From 6635613590092933771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 01:24:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-feed.fnsi.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail

From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 04:19:14 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 139
Message-ID: <B6C8C442.45B0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<97uc94$gc5$1@news.monmouth.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-254.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 983783917 68517 206.74.49.254 (5 Mar 2001 09:18:37 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 09:18:37 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9796
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12931 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16839 rec.radio.cb:27091
rec.radio.scanner:14337 rec.radio.shortwave:28051

Doggit wrote:

> What kind of anti American rock did you
> crawl out from under? This weapon is a
> NON lethal tactical weapon. I suggest you
> put your sorry ass in a "peace keeping",
> or "law enforcement" role that US
> military forces deployed on in the past
> - and then when a hostile crowd (a crowd
> including both military and civilians),
> is intent on decaptitating your head and
> carrying it around on a pole, you come
> back here and report how you shot and
> killed all the women and children
> brandishing knives... or would you rather
> hold your fire and give up your life -
> because you have such moral ideals.

Well, thats nice rhetoric, Mr. Professional Soldier, but that nonsense is not fooling me. I'm fully aware of the military training scenarios featuring American citizens as the target (so-called terrorist groups - often conservative right-wing groups defined as "terrorist" groups). As any truthful professional soldier will tell you, this type of training has become commonplace within the military over the last few years.

And this mission (control of "terrorist" groups in the US) is certainly one of the primary factors driving the development of "crowd control" weapons in the military.

As for the "non-lethal" nonsense, let me remind you that just about everytime the military has been used for "law enforcement," the situation has become lethal.

Now you want to hand soldiers (and eventually police departments) a weapon touted as "non-lethal" if used correctly, when those very soldiers have shown that they cannot be trusted to use a gun correctly in those situations (remember, thats why the military does not give bullets to soldiers on guard duty).

Somehow, your claim that this is "non-lethal" technology does not really comfort me that much.

> If my men are under fire by civilians,
> and if I have a non lethal force option
> to employ, i will use it, but, given
> the absence of one, escalating to lethal
> force is the last alternative.
>
> Any professional soldier will tell you
> they do not wish to harm civilians, this
> is the impetus for this new technology.
> It is an effective deterrent, publicity
> not withstanding.

Ah, judging from the first paragraph directly above, I see you're also aware of the military training scenarios featuring so-called "terrorist" groups as the target (or at least aware of the mentality behind that training).

Anyway, the two paragraphs above don't add up when taken together. If "your men" are under fire, are you going to sit around waiting for this V-MADS weapon to be deployed? Of course not. You're going to shoot first and let God sort out the dead afterwards. So obviously, protection of civilians is not the real impetus for this new technology like you claimed in the second paragraph. Instead, this technology is obviously designed to control non-violent crowds (crowds that pose no lethal threat to your soldiers).

> And before you shoot your socialist
> mouth off, I suggest you remember
> the incident in the streets of
> Mogadishu, Somalia back in 1993.
> Where the body of a US Army Ranger
> was being dragged naked thru the
> streets, an angry mob, including
> women and children - cheering at
> the sight. I suggest you read the

> book "Black Hawk Down".

V-MADS technology would not have made any difference in Somalia, my friend. Thanks to the overly aggressive tactics used, our soldiers succeeded in turning the entire population of that country against us in just a matter of days (even groups that initially supported our involvement). V-MADS technology would not have changed that at all.

Even that specific incident (the crowd dragging the soldier) would not have changed with V-MADS technology. Since that incident was totally unexpected, V-MADS technology would not have been immediately available to use. By the time it would have reached the location, the incident would have been over.

> People like you would prefer my
> comrades and I in arms, simply ask
> the enemy really nicely "oh would
> you please not hurt us?". While
> they cut our throats and spit on,
> and burn the American flag for
> the anti western crowds that have
> not so friendly intentions for
> the U.S.

Don't give me that "comrades in arms" fighting the enemy nonsense, because it just doesn't fly. This technology, as advertised, is not about fighting the enemy . This weapon is being advertised as a crowd control tool. And, as far as I know, the military has not yet defined non-violent crowds as the enemy (yes, since you've already said you would use conventional weapons against violent crowds that threatened your soldiers, we are talking about non-violent crowds).

And, if you're fighting an enemy, you're not going to use weapons like this in a non-lethal manner. If this type of weapon is used at all, it will be used to kill the enemy. As such, it becomes nothing more than another weapon to kill with (so drop the high moral facade, my friend).

And, finally, I strongly object to your attempts to paint me as anti-American or anti-military. Throughout this entire discussion, my comments have been solely directed at the use of this technology as a crowd control tool. Not once have I commented on the use of this technology against a real enemy in a warfare situation.

Furthermore, I don't see how one can be painted as anti-American because of opposition to a weapon anyway. The United States has huge chemical weapons stockpiles. Is it anti-American to be opposed to chemical weapons? What about biological weapons (we have a stockpile of those also)? What other weapon must I not object to if I want to avoid being called anti-American?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 1221590740309630073
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 01:53:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!HSNX.atgi.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CDL
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 04:49:58 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <B6C8CB74.45E7%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6C7FAB2.4546%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010304232249.02654.00000509@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-254.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 983785768 70270 206.74.49.254 (5 Mar 2001 09:49:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 09:49:28 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16840

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> If your mother's poor driving truly
> presents a clear and present danger
> to herself and others, you should
> be able to have something done about
> it. At the very least, if I were
> you, I would not ride with her --
> ever -- and discourage others from
> doing so.

I agree, Larry. I don't ride with her (as you will note, I said the described ride was rare - somewhat necessary at the time).

Actually, I have told her on many occasions that I think her driving sucks, that she needs to slow down, and that she needs to pay much more attention to the road. And I'm not the only one - my grandmother flat refuses to ride with her (and my grandmother is dependant on others for transportation since she no longer drives). So does the rest of the family (it only takes one ride with her to learn not to do that again).

But, I doubt I could do much more than what I have already done. We are not exactly close (I see her about once a month - she spends a lot of time out of town, as do I), so there is no way to watch her everyday. And she is determined to drive.

Anyway, enough of this. I understand your feelings (I share those same feelings about bad drivers - more so in this case because I'm directly involved with this one). Take care.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -108993454466589619
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 02:16:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 4 Mar 2001 09:53:35 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <97tvb8$pg$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3A9BB428.E960F7D6@IEEE.org> <3A9D1D16.B06040FB@IEEE.org> <97p7q2$v7g$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AA04657.7522EC59@IEEE.org> <97pjb0$bbn$1@heap.juniper.net> <97pq9l$e9o$0@208.206.142.71> <3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org> <97s6if$k5e$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-48.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16841

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3AA1A39A.2777D656@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message

> > news:3AA07B16.F1928C56@IEEE.org...
> > > A government forces citizens to meet
> > > requirements in return for certain privileges. That's what governments
> > > do.
> >
> > I was forced to concede this point three weeks ago. :-)
>
> Would you explain it to Dick? He is having trouble grasping the concept.

The problem I see here is that your argument carries with it some baggage from the earlier discussion, when you implied that the government should not force radio amateurs to do certain things. From what you've said in this latest round, it seems as though you're using the word force to describe the simple fact that the government has requirements that must be met for obtaining an amateur license. This time without commentary as to the merit of those qualifications. Is this an accurate summary?

73, Jim AC6XG

From 9074692252211095685
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 02:16:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-feed.fnsi.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:13:42 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <B6C8D105.45E8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <1f6o6.10897$%4.1167395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C75395.44C0%stewartx@sccoast.net>

<97uc94$gc5$1@news.monmouth.com> <gks5ato4ovil6bn6u8u410pstudn4uoj5l@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-254.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 983787194 71380 206.74.49.254 (5 Mar 2001 10:13:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 10:13:14 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9797
rec.radio.amateur.misc:12932 rec.radio.amateur.policy:16842 rec.radio.cb:27092
rec.radio.scanner:14341 rec.radio.shortwave:28052

Bob Brock wrote:

> [snip] Plus, do you really think that
> the only ones to use this technology
> will be the US military?

Agreed. In fact, they let the cat out of the bag with the press release (its based on RF). Since we're talking about radio here, it should not be that difficult to figure out - almost anybody familiar with radio could come up with the frequency used with little experimenting and the equipment should certainly not be that difficult to build. Somehow, I suspect we're seeing the beginnings of a whole new arms race.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -599668944573622277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 02:56:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <KRwo6.1318$OS1.1087548@nntp2.onemain.com>
<20010304185427.22882.00000471@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
<szDo6.1418$OS1.1173392@nntp2.onemain.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pbu02.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <m9Ko6.4$GF4.3429@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 04:49:53 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.155
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 983789266 205.138.126.155 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:47:46 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:47:46 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16843

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.g9pbu02.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Sun, 4 Mar 2001 21:20:03 -0600, Richard McCollum wrote:
>
>   A good historical explanation, Dick. Thanks.
>
> >Early TV sets had their video intermediate frequency at 25.75 (now 45.75)
> >so operation at that frequency took cast iron cajones.
>
>   The early IF was 21.25 MHz, before there was a 15-meter band.
>   When the latter came into use, the TV manufacturers moved the
>   IF to 45 MHz. For a long time there was a horrendous TV
>   interference problem because the older sets had direct pickup
>   in the IF strips.
>
> --
>   73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

Not wishing to beat a dead horse, wasn't 21.25 the audio? The separation is the familiar 4.5 MHz and the NTSC (Not the same color???) signal is inverted by the tuner. I'm not even sure that they didn't use separate i.f. strips in the real early days. Had to be some explanation for all the tubes in a DuMont.

Some of those early sets could detect someone using his Zippo from six city blocks.

Dick

From 1827560599933892931
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 03:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 40
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <YgKo6.1467$OS1.1222045@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 5 Mar 2001 04:58:17 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.155
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 983789752 205.138.126.155 (Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:55:52
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 05 Mar 2001 05:55:52 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16844
```

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166...

```
>
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > On Mon, 05 Mar 2001 04:15:01 GMT, JR wrote:
> >
> > >Yes you can, and should, interfere with an illegal station
> >
> >   Are you aiding and abetting by urging someone to commit a
> >   criminal act (Willful interference - Sect 333 of the Comm
> >   Act).
> >
>
>
>  I clearly remember that it was reported in the ham press a few years
> ago that the FCC said, in response to a specific inquiry, that it was
> not possible to interfere with a communication that was illegal.
>  I also remember that the District Director for the San Diego district
> was reported to have said that it was illigal for amateurs to operat
> outside the ham bands under any circumstances, even in an emergency.
> This statement seems clearly contrary to FCC regulatons. So it seems
> clear that the right hand doesn't always know what the left is up to
> within the commission.
```

Legal or otherwise, how much good can come of doing Blah, Blah, Blooie on AM at 28.085? My interpretation is that we no not need to respect the pirate for purposes of malicious interference but this does not mean rules are suspended.

Besides, all it really accomplishes is that Channel 19 two up sounds like Channel 19 zero up. The dumb bastard hasn't a clue anyway. Time to revive wide-shift RTTY; catch the BBC at 12.090 to see what that does to listening pleasure.

Dick BK

From -2974324504410846670
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-05 04:30:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Mar 2001 12:26:44 GMT
References: <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010305072644.02341.00001552@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16845

>Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
>From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
>Date: 03/04/01 9:02 PM Eastern Standard Time

>That would be willful and malicious interference.

No, it's not.

"Malicious interference" only occurs when one station willfully disrupts the communicaitons of an otherwise lawfully oerating station, een if it's not in the Amatuer Service. An example are the point-to-point RTTY stations operating at 30meters.

The only other exception is when the communications are of a bonafide emergency nature. In that case any person may use any mode, band, or method of signalling in order to summon assistance.

And I won't shoot.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 5898278014745541451
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:38:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AA56427.71BBC388@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:26:47 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org> <98349m12265@enews1.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.51
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983917817
64.240.47.51 (6 Mar 2001 16:30:17 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16998

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> No, Cecil, these are not-so simple questions. This is another attempt on your
> part to start another long, drawn-out thread about what the definition of
> "forced" is.

Nope, it's not. I'm serious about this one.

> If you can prove the opinion of the FCC is other than that of the conversation
> I had with them, (and am satisfied with) do so. I'm not doing homework
> assignments from you.

Negatives are generally impossible to prove unless a mutually exclusive
condition exists. Phil, an expert in the field, disagrees with you. That
fact behooves you to produce some valid evidence. Note that I asked for
proof when Phil related his tale about Pres. Bush and JY1.
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2325871631736496702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:44:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AA565AB.39C4F41F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:33:15 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3AA50812.62C6EE4E@IEEE.org> <20010306122944.24271.00000063@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.51
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983918205 64.240.47.51 (6 Mar 2001 16:36:45 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:16999

N2EY wrote:
>
> ><Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> > It stuck and I still
> >have an aversion to using the word "swear".
>
> Except in this post, where you have used the word four times.

It's OK to use the word negatively as in, "I don't swear", and it's

OK to talk about the word itself, e.g. "swear". It is not OK to say, "I swear to <something>". Dave claimed that I swore never to get an Extra license. He is lying.

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6581693578998103484
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:52:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
newscon04-ext.news.prodigy.com.MISMATCH!newscon04.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!
newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA55995.F111D220@flash.net>
From: BH <billharx@flash.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA54FA4.85C8F4F8@some.net>
<3AA56271.4719E4B1@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.43.174
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 983919016 6004826 208.188.43.174 (Tue, 06
Mar 2001 17:50:16 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 17:50:16 EST
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:50:16 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17000
```

I don't think calling cq on top of an illegal station could be considered jamming. And if another legal station answers, I answer back. Now, is that illegal?

Jim Kelley wrote:

> JR wrote:
> >
> > You can't interfere with an illegal signal period.
>
> Just try and behave yourself on the air, JR. We don't need to create
> the kind of reputation CB has by lowering ourselves to that level. Use
> the frequency for communications - not for jamming.
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG
>

From 3859064010405245902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:58:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9skje1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<3aa5144d.2843716@news.mindspring.com> <3AA54F39.16698760@some.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 16
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:56:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983919401 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:56:41 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:56:41 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17002

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:06:09 GMT, JR wrote:

>So the fine point of the law is moot, spout the rule all you want wannabe
>lawyers, when a ham interferes with an illegal cber on 10 meters and an FCC
>monitoring stations happens to hear, they are going to simply ignore it,
>period.

Not on my watch, buddy......

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
FCC Enforcement Manager (Ret)
Real Comm Lawyer (still)>

From 7430040438281279900
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 14:58:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sk6m0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com> <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA55908.5F9D1599@uci.edu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 42
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:56:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983919382 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:56:22 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:56:22 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17001

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 13:39:20 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:

>Thanks very much for your reply and for the info, Phil. Makes sense.
>
>In follow-up, how is it possible to determine whether a ham is calling
>CQ maliciously?

A licensee was ordered to show cause why its private radio and common carrier paging licenses should not be revoked after a Commission investigation revealed that

> one of its PCP stations had repeatedly and willfully transmitted in a manner that caused harmful interference to the transmissions of a competing PCP station. Monitoring of the licensee's PCP station by Commission staff suggested that transmissions made by the licensee on certain days were not for the purpose of conveying actual pages for subscribers. Rather, the licensee was apparently attempting to degrade the quality of service provided by its competitor so that the competitor's customers would take its paging service. In addition, information before the Commission suggested that "test" transmissions conducted by the licensee on several occasions were not kept to a minimum and did not employ sufficient measures to avoid harmful interference, and that the licensee retransmitted previously completed common carrier and PCP pages at times in an attempt to reduce the amount of time available for its competitor to complete its legitimate pages. Finally, it appeared that the licensee had made misrepresentations to the Commission in its responses to inquiries about the interference to its competitor.
>
> Capitol Paging, 73 RR 2d 1381 [1993].
> [P&F Comm Digest, 2001]

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 4232471992465556849
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 15:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:58:18 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com

NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.29
X-Server-Date: 6 Mar 2001 22:56:05 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17003

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:20:03 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 01:29:18 GMT, Aaron Jones wrote:
>
>>We are just now getting some of our outlying city freeway limits
>>raised to 65 mph. However the downtown areas are still 55 mph. I was
>>coming through one of those downtown areas a few days ago and keeping
>>pace with all the traffic around me. I was going 75 mph...
>
> What would your defense be when my "baby" brother, the lead
> prosecutor in Maricopa County's Vehicular Crimes Unit (and a
> ham, BTW), decides to make you the poster boy for his speed
> enforcement cases?

I don't know many people that have any favorable
attitude towards such "poster boy" enforcement of
speed limits. Few would tolerate speeding in residential
areas, but everyone (yes everyone) pretty much
believes that 55 on the highway is a joke.

By the way...when did speeding become a vehicular
crime?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 3998529312031650826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 15:04:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9skxn2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org> <3AA4F243.325B91ED@some.net>
<3AA505B7.69631348@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sa8x7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3AA562B8.563162F6@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>

```
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 15
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:03:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983919792 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:03:12
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:03:12 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17004

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:20:40 -0600, W6RCecilA wrote:

>As a 63 year old
>white man in Texas, I can get away with almost anything.

  As a 64 year old white man in Oregon, I can't get away with
  anything....especially with my wife  <ggg>

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -8306986054528614l4
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 15:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:06:52 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <3aa56c50.1538750@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.29
X-Server-Date: 6 Mar 2001 23:07:17 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17005

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:11:42 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>On Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:28:01 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:
>
>>Is the distintion here between that of 'throwing' and unidentified
>>carrier, and legally calling CQ or having a legitimate QSO? It seems to
>>me that if there were an interference issue between two truckers having
>>a conversation and two hams having a CW QSO on the same 10 meter
>>frequency, the interference issue would be easily resolved - in favor of
>>the hams. Is this not true?
>>
>>Otherwise I agree with your point about malicious interference.
>
> Two hams attempting to have a legitimate QSO on a frequency
> that they are both authorized on may not be considered
> malicious interference to "bootleggers" occupying the frequency
> if the intent of the QSO isnot to annoy the bootleggers.

ROTFLMAO! Proving intent is always good for
a few laughs unless there is explicit statements by
the individual admitting to such intent.

> Throwing a carrier or calling an extensive "CQ" with no
> intention of establishing two-way communications is arguably
> malicious.

Throughing the carrier maybe, calling CQ is a reach.

> There is case law concerning when interference which appears
> to be authorized transmissions is considered "malicious", so
> determination of when interference is "malicious" is easily made
> from the case facts by a trained enforcement attorney.

Does that case law apply to interference with an
identified "pirate" or bootleg station?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2172861062014481432
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 15:10:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AA56BC2.10400090@IEEE.org>

Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 16:59:14 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu> <981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org> <981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org> <983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org> <3AA56360.118DADC3@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.51
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983919764 64.240.47.51 (6 Mar 2001 17:02:44 -0600)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!news.he.net!news.keyway.net!newsxfer.interpacket.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17006

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > There are ***HAMs*** who didn't meet the amateur radio requirements.
>
> Now you got me confused, Cecil. How are you defining "ham"? My idea of
> a ham is a guy who received a license because he met the amateur radio
> requirements. What kind of ham are you talking about?

I defined it in the part that you cut out, Jim. Hams are individuals who possess an amateur radio license. Some hams possess amateur radio licenses who never met the requirements. This happened a lot during the time only one General ham was all that was required to certify a Conditional ham. A friend of mine flunked the 5wpm code exam at the FCC when I got my Novice license. Next rattle out of the box, he was a Conditional and he still couldn't do 5wpm.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -8202804857001235765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 15:10:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!news-hog.berkeley.edu!
ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:08:21 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3aa56da9.1884448@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA54FA4.85C8F4F8@some.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.29
X-Server-Date: 6 Mar 2001 23:08:48 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17007
```

>You can't interfere with an illegal signal period.

That "assumes" you can actually hear that illegal
signal :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -1129409020008813738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 15:14:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
```

```
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 32
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:13:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983920401 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:13:21
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:13:21 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17008

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:58:18 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>>  What would your defense be when my "baby" brother, the lead
>>  prosecutor in Maricopa County's Vehicular Crimes Unit (and a
>>  ham, BTW), decides to make you the poster boy for his speed
>>  enforcement cases?
>
>I don't know many people that have any favorable
>attitude towards such "poster boy" enforcement of
>speed limits.  Few would tolerate speeding in residential
>areas, but everyone (yes everyone) pretty much
>believes that 55 on the highway is a joke.

  As does my brother (and me and most of us).  But consider my
  postulation...IF (or WHEN) it is decided to make an exampleof
  someone.....what defense is available?  That it's a joke?  Won't
  fly.

>By the way...when did speeding become a vehicular
>crime?

  The "Vehicular Crimes Unit" deals with any prosecution
  (misdemeanor or felony) where operation of a vehicle is the
  cause in fact of the violation.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

From -8020251797385617596
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 15:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:19:24 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.29
X-Server-Date: 6 Mar 2001 23:20:07 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17009

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:13:21 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:58:18 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>>> What would your defense be when my "baby" brother, the lead
>>> prosecutor in Maricopa County's Vehicular Crimes Unit (and a
>>> ham, BTW), decides to make you the poster boy for his speed
>>> enforcement cases?
>>
>>I don't know many people that have any favorable
>>attitude towards such "poster boy" enforcement of
>>speed limits. Few would tolerate speeding in residential
>>areas, but everyone (yes everyone) pretty much
>>believes that 55 on the highway is a joke.
>
> As does my brother (and me and most of us). But consider my
> postulation...IF (or WHEN) it is decided to make an exampleof
> someone.....what defense is available? That it's a joke? Won't
> fly.

Agreed, but I still don't see it happening in the first
place. Your postulation may be accurate as an
academic discussion, but the practical reality is
not likly (IMHO).

>>By the way...when did speeding become a vehicular
>>crime?
>
> The "Vehicular Crimes Unit" deals with any prosecution
> (misdemeanor or felony) where operation of a vehicle is the
> cause in fact of the violation.

Which sounds to me ten that the vehicular crimes unit
doesn't get involved in plain vanilla speed enforcement
then. Is that correct?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3907651152423169844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 15:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:23:09 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <3aa570df.2706532@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA55908.5F9D1599@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sk6m0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.29
X-Server-Date: 6 Mar 2001 23:23:56 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17010

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:56:22 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 13:39:20 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:
>
>>Thanks very much for your reply and for the info, Phil. Makes sense.
>>
>>In follow-up, how is it possible to determine whether a ham is calling
>>CQ maliciously?
>

> A licensee was ordered to show cause why its private
> radio and common carrier paging licenses should not be
> revoked after a Commission investigation revealed that
> one of its PCP stations had repeatedly and willfully
> transmitted in a manner that caused harmful interference
> to the transmissions of a competing PCP station.
> Monitoring of the licensee's PCP station by Commission
> staff suggested that transmissions made by the licensee
> on certain days were not for the purpose of conveying
> actual pages for subscribers. Rather, the licensee was
> apparently attempting to degrade the quality of service
> provided by its competitor so that the competitor's
> customers would take its paging service. In addition,
> information before the Commission suggested that "test"
> transmissions conducted by the licensee on several
> occasions were not kept to a minimum and did not employ
> sufficient measures to avoid harmful interference, and
> that the licensee retransmitted previously completed
> common carrier and PCP pages at times in an attempt to
> reduce the amount of time available for its competitor to
> complete its legitimate pages. Finally, it appeared that
> the licensee had made misrepresentations to the
> Commission in its responses to inquiries about the
> interference to its competitor.
>
> Capitol Paging, 73 RR 2d 1381 [1993].
> [P&F Comm Digest, 2001]

Thanks for the cite Phil. My one comment is
that this case reflects a clear "ongoing" set of
incidents as opposed to a single interference
situation. The single incidient is really the more likly scenario
we have been discussing.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -2379102704991453188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 15:42:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 6 Mar 2001 16:16:47 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International

```
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <983shq$emg$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <9830ri$bhv$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s9uo5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-29-80.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.29.80 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17011
```

I wrote:

> >In Part 15. If the signal emitted is not coming from a licensed station,
> >then it has to be coming from a Part 15 device.

Phil Kane, K2ASP, answered:

>   Not true at all. "Part 15" transmissions are considered to be
>   authorized (licensed) transmissions for the purposes of the
>   Comm Act.
>
>   Unlicensed ("pirate"/"bootleg") transmissions are not.

OK, so maybe I ought to grab a fresh tire iron and cruise
through the truck stops down on I-10 to make sure all the
guys there on 28.085 are *really* unlicensed?

> >Disclaimer: I am not a Communications Attorney. I tried to play one
> >on TV, but no one tuned in.
>
>   That's obvious. <ggg>
>   73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

That's the response I was trolling for ;-)

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From 5588864080436685411
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 15:48:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9smb34.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56c50.1538750@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 48
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:46:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983922387 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:46:27 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:46:27 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17012

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:06:52 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>> Two hams attempting to have a legitimate QSO on a frequency
>> that they are both authorized on may not be considered
>> malicious interference to "bootleggers" occupying the frequency
>> if the intent of the QSO isnot to annoy the bootleggers.
>
>ROTFLMAO! Proving intent is always good for
>a few laughs unless there is explicit statements by
>the individual admitting to such intent.

Proving intent was the fun part of the drudgery of stakeouts, surveillance, and monitoring. We had one old-timer who could sit in the seat for hours without getting bored and get reasonably accurate intercepts but who had no feeling for intent. We had another who could make a snap decision in five minutes and be right on the mark every time.

>> Throwing a carrier or calling an extensive "CQ" with no

>> intention of establishing two-way communications is arguably
>> malicious.
>
>Throughing the carrier maybe, calling CQ is a reach.

That's why we sharks use terms such as "arguably"... <ggg>

>> There is case law concerning when interference which appears
>> to be authorized transmissions is considered "malicious", so
>> determination of when interference is "malicious" is easily made
>> from the case facts by a trained enforcement attorney.
>
>Does that case law apply to interference with an
>identified "pirate" or bootleg station?

I did post the Capitol Paging case digest. Although that case
involved interference to other licensed stations, the criteria
for determining whether transmissions are malicious interference
would still apply, and the question then is whether causing said
malicious interference is a violation or not.

The "any radio communication" wording of Sect. 97.101(d) would
make it a rule violation. Whether the Commission agent(s) -
the first line of decison - would take any action is not the
issue in question.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -1254991422029669028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 15:48:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9smo15.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA54FA4.85C8F4F8@some.net>
<3aa56da9.1884448@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 29
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:46:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983922386 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:46:26 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:46:26 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17013

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:08:21 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>
>>You can't interfere with an illegal signal period.
>
>That "assumes" you can actually hear that illegal
>signal :-)

It also "assumes" that the carrier-thrower has the qualifications to make the decision that the station being thrown upon is illegal.

Only the Commission can make that decision. The bozo operating AM on 28.085 and using a "CB" handle or otherwise failing to identify can very well be a licensed operator, at which time we have a rule violation by a licensee rather than an unlicened transmission.

Sure, most hams here and elsewhere can make an accurate guess, but it's up to the professionals to make a qualified determination.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -5744842960400464246
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 16:00:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9snix8.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 27
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:58:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983923086 206.58.44.45 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:58:06 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:58:06 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17014

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:19:24 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>> The "Vehicular Crimes Unit" deals with any prosecution
>> (misdemeanor or felony) where operation of a vehicle is the
>> cause in fact of the violation.
>
>Which sounds to me ten that the vehicular crimes unit
>doesn't get involved in plain vanilla speed enforcement
>then. Is that correct?

If someone wants to fight the ticket, there has to be SOME Deputy DA assigned to the prosecution......depends on who's free. Probability is that it would be a junior in the unit, (not all DA offices have separate misdemeanor units) but you never know.....Lyn gets his kicks out of courtroom litigation at all levels.

His non-vanity call is KD7DX, BTW -- a lucky break.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 2162670426781675616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 16:44:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AA583E1.56995DE5@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:42:09 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3AA50812.62C6EE4E@IEEE.org> <20010306122944.24271.00000063@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <3AA565AB.39C4F41F@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s2.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 6 Mar 2001 19:37:48 -0500, s2.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 18
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s2.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17016

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > ><Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> > > It stuck and I still
> > >have an aversion to using the word "swear".
> >
> > Except in this post, where you have used the word four times.
>
> It's OK to use the word negatively as in, "I don't swear", and it's
> OK to talk about the word itself, e.g. "swear". It is not OK to say,
> "I swear to <something>". Dave claimed that I swore never to get an
> Extra license. He is lying.

For a guy who claims Mensa membership, you're certainly a clown.

Dave K8MN


From -4537530991641143794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 16:44:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AA58355.A161486F@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:39:49 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3AA45404.42A39164@ovis.net> <20010306083308.14891.00000191@nso-mp.aol.com> <3AA4EE44.8FBCD4F9@ovis.net> <3AA509B9.31F72680@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s2.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 6 Mar 2001 19:35:27 -0500, s2.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 25
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s2.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17015

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > My claim was never that Cecil used the actual word "swear"
> > at any rate. One can make an oath without using that word.
>
> How can one swear without using the word "swear"? How can one
> take an oath without using the word "oath"? A simple statement
> like, "I will never touch another drop of alcohol" is not swearing
> or an oath.

...if you say so.

> I've made that statement dozens of times and always
> changed my mind later after I got to feeling better.

If not for the fact that you can't be taken seriously, I'd probably
believe you on this one.

> Do you not allow your wife to ever change her mind? If not, do
> you still have a wife?

Allow? Are you married?

Dave K8MN

From 35925493245382920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 16:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 7 Mar 2001 00:47:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9840ek$t1q$0@208.207.71.133>
References: <981hih61p6t@enews3.newsguy.com> <20010306003856.12138.00000283@ng-mg1.news.cs.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9s9jl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA55C27.BD4CB4A7@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.133
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17017

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >   And what will your defense be if they DO do "sum-thin" ?
>
> I was looking for 10-10 numbers. I was trying to work DX. I wanted to
> see if the band was open. I had a sched with W0NT. I was testing a new
> antenna. I didn't hear any CB stations on the frequency.
>
> If he was operating properly, I'll bet that dog won't hunt.

Ditto, Jim. Ya gotta remember, Phil is also a lawyer. :-) I'd imagine
that most FCC monitor personnel might take a more naturally broad view
of the proceedings.

From -9183287794993983934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 16:50:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Mar 2001 00:49:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <9840ji$t1q$1@208.207.71.133>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu> <981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org> <981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org> <983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.133
Mime-Version: 1.0

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17018



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > > Any ham who didn't
> > > > > meet those requirements is a criminal.
> > > >
> > > >  There you go again, talking in circles. Any ham who hasn't isn't a ham.
> > >
> > > On the contrary, Dick, your statement that a ham isn't a ham is absolute
> > > nonsense. A ham is an individual who holds an amateur radio license. My
> > > statement is true. Any ham who didn't meet the requirements for a ham
> > > license is a criminal.
> >
> >   Cecil, it really looks like you're losing it, fella. You can't
> > understand that an individual who hasn't passed the FCC testing for an
> > amateur license is not a ham. Only those who have passed, and been
> > issued that license, are hams.
>
> Earth to Dick. Your statement is true but irrelevant. We are talking about
> ***HAMS***, you know the individuals who possess amateur radio licenses?
> Any ***HAM***, i.e. one who possesses an amateur radio license, who didn't
> meet the requirements for an amateur radio license, is a criminal.
>
> There are ***HAMs*** who didn't meet the amateur radio requirements. Those
> guys are criminals. Are you one?


 No, but you said that you didn't meet the requirements when your
buddies skipped your code test way back in the early 50's. So maybe
you're talking about yourself.


From -3409827306597566013
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 16:54:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 7 Mar 2001 00:52:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9840o7$17k$0@208.207.71.133>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA54FA4.85C8F4F8@some.net>
<3AA56271.4719E4B1@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.133
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17019

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> JR wrote:
> >
> > You can't interfere with an illegal signal period.
>
> Just try and behave yourself on the air, JR. We don't need to create
> the kind of reputation CB has by lowering ourselves to that level. Use
> the frequency for communications - not for jamming.

Yes, and not to communicate with THEM, either. Hams are prohibited from communicating on the air with unlicensed stations. If you yell at them the FCC could decide to cite you instead of them.

Dick

From -3556070538210168700
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 16:56:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Mar 2001 00:54:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9840ra$17k$1@208.207.71.133>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
<3AA56360.118DADC3@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.133
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17020

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > There are ***HAMs*** who didn't meet the amateur radio requirements.
>
> Now you got me confused, Cecil. How are you defining "ham"? My idea of
> a ham is a guy who received a license because he met the amateur radio
> requirements. What kind of ham are you talking about?

I always called 'em bootleggers. Cecil calls 'em hams. Wonder what that
means.

Dick

From 5161618013712555O3
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 16:56:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 7 Mar 2001 00:55:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9840t9$17k$2@208.207.71.133>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com>

```
<3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org> <3AA4F243.325B91ED@some.net>
<3AA505B7.69631348@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sa8x7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3AA562B8.563162F6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.133
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17021



W6RCecilA wrote:
>

 As a 63 year old
> white man in Texas, I can get away with almost anything.


 Sir, Where Is Your Selfcontrol???


From 1779476033016987890
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 17:00:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 7 Mar 2001 00:58:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <98414c$17k$3@208.207.71.133>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA55908.5F9D1599@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sk6m0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.133
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17022
```

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 13:39:20 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >Thanks very much for your reply and for the info, Phil. Makes sense.
> >
> >In follow-up, how is it possible to determine whether a ham is calling
> >CQ maliciously?
>
>   A licensee was ordered to show cause why its private
>   radio and common carrier paging licenses should not be
>   revoked after a Commission investigation revealed that
>   one of its PCP stations had repeatedly and willfully
>   transmitted in a manner that caused harmful interference
>   to the transmissions of a competing PCP station.
>   Monitoring of the licensee's PCP station by Commission
>   staff suggested that transmissions made by the licensee
>   on certain days were not for the purpose of conveying
>   actual pages for subscribers. Rather, the licensee was
>   apparently attempting to degrade the quality of service
>   provided by its competitor so that the competitor's
>   customers would take its paging service. In addition,
>   information before the Commission suggested that "test"
>   transmissions conducted by the licensee on several
>   occasions were not kept to a minimum and did not employ
>   sufficient measures to avoid harmful interference, and
>   that the licensee retransmitted previously completed
>   common carrier and PCP pages at times in an attempt to
>   reduce the amount of time available for its competitor to
>   complete its legitimate pages. Finally, it appeared that
>   the licensee had made misrepresentations to the
>   Commission in its responses to inquiries about the
>   interference to its competitor.
>
>   Capitol Paging, 73 RR 2d 1381 [1993].
>   [P&F Comm Digest, 2001]
>

So Jim, if you keep calling CQ and someone keeps answering you, and
you keep calling CQ......

> --
>   73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -5198438890420270763
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 17:06:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-

out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 7 Mar 2001 01:05:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.133
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17023

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:13:21 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
> wrote:
>
> >On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:58:18 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> >>> What would your defense be when my "baby" brother, the lead
> >>> prosecutor in Maricopa County's Vehicular Crimes Unit (and a
> >>> ham, BTW), decides to make you the poster boy for his speed
> >>> enforcement cases?
> >>
> >>I don't know many people that have any favorable
> >>attitude towards such "poster boy" enforcement of
> >>speed limits. Few would tolerate speeding in residential
> >>areas, but everyone (yes everyone) pretty much
> >>believes that 55 on the highway is a joke.
> >
> > As does my brother (and me and most of us). But consider my
> > postulation...IF (or WHEN) it is decided to make an exampleof
> > someone.....what defense is available? That it's a joke? Won't
> > fly.
>
> Agreed, but I still don't see it happening in the first
> place. Your postulation may be accurate as an
> academic discussion, but the practical reality is
> not likly (IMHO).

Don't bet on it. I knew a young trooper who cited a motorist for doing
57 in a 55 zone. The officer was cruising at 55 when the guy passed him,
and he saw something he didn't like. Redlight time.

```
From 2404440662828371721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 17:14:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 7 Mar 2001 01:12:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9841u8$17k$5@208.207.71.133>
References: <3AA45404.42A39164@ovis.net> <20010306083308.14891.00000191@nso-
mp.aol.com> <3AA4EE44.8FBCD4F9@ovis.net> <3AA509B9.31F72680@IEEE.org>
<3AA58355.A161486F@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.133
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17024
```

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > My claim was never that Cecil used the actual word "swear"
> > > at any rate. One can make an oath without using that word.
> >
> > How can one swear without using the word "swear"? How can one
> > take an oath without using the word "oath"? A simple statement
> > like, "I will never touch another drop of alcohol" is not swearing
> > or an oath.
>
> ...if you say so.
>
> > I've made that statement dozens of times and always
> > changed my mind later after I got to feeling better.
>
> If not for the fact that you can't be taken seriously, I'd probably
> believe you on this one.
>

> > Do you not allow your wife to ever change her mind? If not, do
> > you still have a wife?
>
> Allow? Are you married?

As I understand, he is no longer. Reckon this could be why?

From 8082756084817420775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 17:48:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Mar 2001 01:43:28 GMT
References: <3AA40709.D19000F4@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010306204328.16620.00000811@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17025

In article <3AA40709.D19000F4@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>So do you agree that the reason you might be meeting some of this
>resistance to the idea that the government forces people to take code
>tests is that you've argued in the past that the government _shouldn't_
>force people to take code tests?
>
>I'm just curious about that.
>

Jim:

Cecil's "argument" (more like a whining, foot-stomping rant) about hams being "forced" to take Morse code tests is simply an extension of the same objections to learning and being tested on a useful communications skill which has been the trademark of no-coders since they emerged on the scene. It is all about the laziness of people who see something they want (talking into an HF microphone) but don't want to exert themselves in order to gain that privilege. It is welfare state mentality. If Cecil had never been frustrated by the code testing requirements in his ham career, he wouldn't be engaged in this pedantic, churlish quibbling over the word "force." This is all about Cecil's emotional immaturity, and little else. It is truly pathetic.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7527476806796219639
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 17:48:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Mar 2001 01:43:27 GMT
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Message-ID: <20010306204327.16620.00000810@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17026

In article <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> writes:

>
>OK Policy wonks, let me have it.

If I were to establish a "Trucker's Band," I certainly wouldn't place it anywhere in the HF range. It should ideally be UHF, to limit range to line-of-sight and sanction illegal power amplifier owners with fried brains. I'd also permit base-to-mobile comms between dispatchers, truck stops, police, etc. 25 channels, 1-5-10-25 watts power selectable, licenses (with significant license fee) and use of call signs required, also channels dedicated to data modes and an APRS-like GIS-based service.

Just my $.02.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6870449335919505143
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public

X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,d58c4aa5a9ab323
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 17:54:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!isdnet!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: RF as a Battlefield Weapon (V-MADS is here!)
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:48:43 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <B6CAFDAB.4887%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <CyWn6.8977$7Y1.950459@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <B6C5C0BC.4147%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AA06279.F9847291@bctv.com> <3AA4D9D5.477B@worldlynx.net> <ce8aatg8fk1ettfk1imilftk2ec7vuvbfq@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-60.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 983929671 184064 204.116.70.61 (7 Mar 2001 01:47:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 7 Mar 2001 01:47:51 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9857 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13026 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17027 rec.radio.cb:27204 rec.radio.scanner:14469 rec.radio.shortwave:28184

Gold Pan wrote:

>
> That's true, of course. For the latest thing in modern
> riot apparel see;
>
> http://www.lessemf.com/personal.html

I kind of like the Full Body Shield Suit. It has STYLE! And it makes a bold fashion statement that is sure to attract the ladies. And to round it all off, I simply must have one of the EMF proof wallets (imagine flipping one of those babies open to impress the ladies when it comes time to pay for the dinner). Ah, the future is looking better all the time.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -6753368992478259053
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 18:28:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
<20010306204327.16620.00000810@nso-ch.aol.com>
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <jYgp6.124$x7.480151@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 6 Mar 2001 20:23:37 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.60
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 983931791 206.29.242.60 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 21:23:11 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 21:23:11 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17028

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010306204327.16620.00000810@nso-ch.aol.com...
> In article <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>, "Richard
McCollum"
> <rmccoll@radiks.net> writes:
>
> >
> >OK Policy wonks, let me have it.
>
> If I were to establish a "Trucker's Band," I certainly wouldn't place it
> anywhere in the HF range. It should ideally be UHF, to limit range to
> line-of-sight and sanction illegal power amplifier owners with fried
> brains. I'd also permit base-to-mobile comms between dispatchers,
> truck stops, police, etc. 25 channels, 1-5-10-25 watts power
> selectable, licenses (with significant license fee) and use of
> call signs required, also channels dedicated to data modes and an
> APRS-like GIS-based service.
>
> Just my $.02.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

>

If I had a clean sheet of paper I wouldn't put it on Lo-VHF either. There are however facts on the ground today. The idea is not to put obstacles -- and you propose some doozies -- in the way of acceptance of a superior but low risk approach. This may be wierd but it does have the advantage of using existing antennas and power plugs. A lot of company fleets are loaded with gear to locate the truck and communicate with it; talking to his dispatcher is the least of his worries. The same problem of a 45 foot box that produce co-phased mirror mounts also exists at UHF.

I guess the point of debate is why it is truckers, who are as a group among the 'opinion leaders' of CB if you can stomach the term, are leading the sheep further afield and into 10 meters. If the truckers get away with it, the fixed power jocks are sure to follow. And they will -- both get away with it and develop a following. I am not guaranteeing results but not much changes if it turned into a fiasco.

In the early days of telephones, the phone companies did not support the use of their lines for chatter and could not for the life of them understand why a farmer needed a phone. They were wrong. Such data as exists would suggest that trucking use of CB is short range and pretty much restricted to inter-truck usage. Are we making the same mistake?

Opening it up to the company, the law, and dispatchers either duplicates existing gear or defeats the whole purpose. Remember when CB was largely truckers and became chic in the fuel crisis and double nickels era. Are we making the same mistake?

Your two cents is worth every bit as much as mine. Thanks.

Dick

```
From -1764616705920998640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 18:53:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-
for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 6 Mar 2001 18:51:20 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 31
```

```
Message-ID: <9847jj$3l9$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA54FA4.85C8F4F8@some.net>
<3AA56271.4719E4B1@uci.edu> <3AA55995.F111D220@flash.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-66.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17029


BH <billharx@flash.net> wrote in message news:3AA55995.F111D220@flash.net...
> I don't think calling cq on top of an illegal station could be considered
> jamming. And if another legal station answers, I answer  back. Now, is
that
> illegal?

BH?  I thought you were JR.  Make up your mind.  :-)

Don't know if it's "legal", but I would consider it "behaving yourself".  I
think I explained in another post that, in my way of thinking, transmitting
a carrier for the purpose of creating interference would be illegal.  But
maybe the difference between that and having a QSO is a bit too subtle for
you to discern.

ac6xg
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > JR wrote:
> > >
> > > You can't interfere with an illegal signal period.
> >
> > Just try and behave yourself on the air, JR.  We don't need to create
> > the kind of reputation CB has by lowering ourselves to that level.  Use
> > the frequency for communications - not for jamming.
> >
> > 73, Jim AC6XG
> >
>




From -2819824282780085276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 19:04:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
```

newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <n09batclma2794632dgqdvjbtgl03h309h@4ax.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 02:59:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.212
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983933972 209.86.206.212 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 18:59:32 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 18:59:32 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17030

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> What would your defense be when my "baby" brother, the lead
> prosecutor in Maricopa County's Vehicular Crimes Unit (and a
> ham, BTW), decides to make you the poster boy for his speed
> enforcement cases?

According to:

http://www.maricopa.gov/attorney/1majorcri.asp

Vehicular Crimes prosecuted by the Maricopa County Attorney are:
Vehicular homicide, aggravated assault and aggravated driving under the influence cases are handled by the Vehicular Crimes Bureau.

So I doubt your baby brother will be making me a poster boy anytime soon... :-)

BTW the prosecuting of speeding tickets is done mostly by rookie attorneys and those who can't make it on the outside. It's real grunt work, but I'm sure you knew that... :-)

From 5451521188098362915
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 19:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!news.he.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!

```
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sx340.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<n09batclma2794632dgqdvjbtgl03h309h@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 37
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 03:23:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 983935423 206.58.44.45 (Wed, 07 Mar 2001 03:23:43
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 03:23:43 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17031
```

On Wed, 07 Mar 2001 02:59:32 GMT, Aaron Jones wrote:

>> What would your defense be when my "baby" brother, the lead
>> prosecutor in Maricopa County's Vehicular Crimes Unit (and a
>> ham, BTW), decides to make you the poster boy for his speed
>> enforcement cases?

>According to:
>
>http://www.maricopa.gov/attorney/1majorcri.asp
>
>Vehicular Crimes prosecuted by the Maricopa County Attorney are:
>Vehicular homicide, aggravated assault and aggravated driving under
>the influence cases are handled by the Vehicular Crimes Bureau.
>
>So I doubt your baby brother will be making me a poster boy anytime
>soon... :-)

>BTW the prosecuting of speeding tickets is done mostly by rookie
>attorneys and those who can't make it on the outside. It's real grunt
>work, but I'm sure you knew that...   :-)

He is as much maverick as I am. If he decides that it's time
to make an example out of someone, he'll make sure that even
though one of the grunts does it, he'll keep a sharp eye and a
guiding hand on it.

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 4442985478199949379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 19:30:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net.MISMATCH!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-
snf1!news.gtei.net!news.telebeam.net!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA56C56.1F91@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 39
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 18:01:42 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.217
X-Trace: news1.atl 983935752 209.214.186.217 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:29:12 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:29:12 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17032
```

Phil,

Not having the legal experience you do, I may be way off base. But I would think that it would be hard to prove one's "intent" when there are two legal stations on a given frequency holding a QSO, or even if someone sent a lengthy CQ. After all, that person cannot know if someone is going to answer, or he *might* not even be hearing the interlopers. To me, the greater weight of legitimacy would bear on the licensed station(s) as long as they were operating within the terms of their tickets. Unless they were using the wrong mode (AM, for example), playing music, or throwing carriers, "malicious" would be hard to prove.

Just MHO.

&3

Jerry
K4KWH

Phil Kane wrote:

>
> Two hams attempting to have a legitimate QSO on a frequency
> that they are both authorized on may not be considered
> malicious interference to "bootleggers" occupying the frequency
> if the intent of the QSO is not to annoy the bootleggers.
>
> Throwing a carrier or calling an extensive "CQ" with no
> intention of establishing two-way communications is arguably
> malicious.
>
>
> --
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 3321110353633609804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 19:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!falcon.america.net!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA56A49.4BFA@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
<3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org> <9838np$5sf$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 64

Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 17:52:57 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.217
X-Trace: news1.atl 983935750 209.214.186.217 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:29:10 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:29:10 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17033

Hans,

I just can't see your position on this. If I want to use 28.085 under the terms of my license for CW/digital and there happens to be a bootlegger there, I cannot see how you would want to paint me with a criminal brush. To me, it is quite simple. I am LICENSED for that frequency. As long as I use it in the manner for which it was intended, I cannot see your position of (seemingly) making the ham out to be engaging in deliberate interference. Thusly, if I send out a series of CQs on top of some idiot who has no business being there, I am perfectly legal. If I begin to play music, holler at the truckers on AM, set a dead key or a continuous tone, then I am operating outside of the terms of the use of that sub band. In that case, I AM operating my station illegally and the truckers don't really have anything to do with that--it's something I agreed to (that is, to operate within the regulations) when I obtained my license. If I send out CQs and no one answers, I can't help that. And my "intent" cannot be questioned.

The whole thing boils down to the fact that I have a perfect right to be on 28.085 operating with the modes allowed, the trucker bastard has NO right to be there, and if I "interfere" with his stinkin' export, roger-beepin' piece of sh** radio, all the better. I have no sympathy for the law-breaking "freebanders" and their illegal radios.

So, Hans, no hard feelings on my part, but if you hear K4KWH sending CQ on 28.085 go ahead and turn me in. LOL!

73

Jerry
K4KWH

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll asked:
>
> >>I kinda hope I hear you doing that, so I can personally send the tape to
> >>Hollingsworth.
> >>
> >>73, Hans, K0HB
>
> > You'd heighten the height of the ridicuous? Have a legally
> > licensed ham cited for QRMing an illigal Freebander who
> > deliberately, brazenly and pugnaciously sets up shop inside
> > a ham band?

>
> Yup, I'd PROUDLY narc on 'em both in a New York second, and *especially* the
> ham who damned well knows better. When the time comes that we abandon the
> idea of "policing our own", or "looking the other way" when a fellow ham
> engages in vigilante justice, that's the day that the amateur bands become
> uninhabitable.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
> .
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
>   http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
>   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 6283471579029000779
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 19:34:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 7 Mar 2001 03:27:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9849rm$rpe$0@208.207.71.136>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<n09batclma2794632dgqdvjbtgl03h309h@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17034

Aaron Jones wrote:

> BTW the prosecuting of speeding tickets is done mostly by rookie
> attorneys and those who can't make it on the outside. It's real grunt
> work, but I'm sure you knew that...   :-)

Most are handled by the court clerks as guilty pleas with fine mailed in. Fighting it is almost always folly and more costly than just paying up. Mostly you don't have to go to court, just mail in your plea form and fine, from the fine schedule you get with the ticket. In these parts, at least.

```
From 2266197786008034740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 19:34:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!howland.erols.net!nntp.abs.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA56620.606E@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
<3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org> <3AA4F47F.905BEA8E@some.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 35
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 17:35:12 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.217
X-Trace: news1.atl 983935749 209.214.186.217 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:29:09 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:29:09 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17035
```

WAY TO GO, JR!

Jerry
K4KWH

JR wrote:

> Hey Hans, I ran some cbers off of 10 meters late yesterday. They were 'shooting
> skip' so I turned the linear on and got right on top of them with some cw.
Chased
> them to three different frequencies before they finally gave up cussin that
Morse
> code and moved below 28 MHz. Did you get it taped? I certainly hope so. Riley
would

```
> really be pleased to hear that. Be sure and tell him JR did it.
>
> 73 de JR
>
> Hans K0HB wrote:
>
> > JR wrote:
> > >
> > > And I will continue to operate on frequencies I hear these illegal morons
and
> > > interfere with them, and encourage others to do the same, even if it does
chap
> > > you behind.
> >
> > I was wrong!  THIS is the DADHPOTYA (Dumb Assed Dump Huck Post of The Year
> > Award) winner!
> >
> > I kinda hope I hear you doing that, so I can personally send the tape to
> > Hollingsworth.
> >
> > 73, Hans, K0HB




From -8935141463862135102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 19:36:46 PST
Message-ID: <3AA5AA40.4256FF3A@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 21:25:52 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA40709.D19000F4@uci.edu> <20010306204328.16620.00000811@nso-
ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983935761
64.240.47.101 (6 Mar 2001 21:29:21 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

```
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17036

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> It is all about the laziness of
> people who see something they want (talking into an HF microphone)
> but don't want to exert themselves in order to gain that privilege.
> It is welfare state mentality.

Larry, "exerting themselves" is a lot like "produced with effort",
the dictionary definition of "forced". You have already admitted
that your Morse code skill was "produced with effort". Therefore,
according to your very own dictionary, you were forced.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 4770255454191573130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 19:38:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AA5A991.5D97EF09@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 21:22:57 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
<3AA56360.118DADC3@uci.edu> <9840ra$17k$1@208.207.71.133>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983935586
64.240.47.101 (6 Mar 2001 21:26:26 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
```

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17037

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I always called 'em bootleggers. Cecil calls 'em hams. Wonder what that
> means.

Why would you call people with ham licenses bootleggers? Are you
a bootlegger?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8919088612054183985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 19:44:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AA5ABFD.E6F691CC@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 21:33:17 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3AA50812.62C6EE4E@IEEE.org> <20010306122944.24271.00000063@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3AA565AB.39C4F41F@IEEE.org> <3AA583E1.56995DE5@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983936206 64.240.47.101 (6 Mar 2001 21:36:46 -0600)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17038

Dave Heil wrote:
> For a guy who claims Mensa membership, you're certainly a clown.

I'm not a member of Mensa.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6327964876162209013
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 19:46:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AA5ABA4.3217E0A6@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 21:31:48 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com> <20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net> <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <981grf31p6t@enews3.newsguy.com> <3AA46E6F.595CCD80@IEEE.org> <982l86117fv@enews1.newsguy.com> <3AA4FB74.DD31175@IEEE.org> <3AA4F243.325B91ED@some.net> <3AA505B7.69631348@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sa8x7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA562B8.563162F6@IEEE.org> <9840t9$17k$2@208.207.71.133>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 983936116 64.240.47.101 (6 Mar 2001 21:35:16 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17039
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > As a 63 year old
> > white man in Texas, I can get away with almost anything.
>
> Sir, Where Is Your Selfcontrol???

I use it all up while reading your postings.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7761878328878953259
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 20:23:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <tjdbatcnhscubrui9ca5i8vmh2mskvro0g@4ax.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<n09batclma2794632dgqdvjbtgl03h309h@4ax.com> <9849rm$rpe$0@208.207.71.136>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
```

Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 04:21:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983938904 209.86.210.28 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:21:44 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 20:21:44 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17040

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>Fighting it is almost always folly and more costly than just paying up.

Years ago the Arizona Highway Patrol, which has responsibility for the freeways running through Phoenix, cited violators into Phoenix City Court. But the judges there found *against* the officers all too often. They finally got fed up and changed to citing their violators into the county JP courts instead, where they got a more fair shake (according to them)...   :-)

BTW our county JP judges *don't* have to be lawyers,
 so they often have some common sense...   :-)

>Mostly you don't have to go to court, just mail in your plea form
>and fine, from the fine schedule you get with the ticket. In these
>parts, at least.

Here too. Also you have a school option which takes some time, costs as much money, but keeps the violation off your drivers license which is good for insurance rates...

From 1774403159520211978
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 20:34:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 04:30:20 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.25
X-Server-Date: 7 Mar 2001 04:29:18 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17041

On 7 Mar 2001 01:05:37 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 23:13:21 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 22:58:18 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >
>> >>>  What would your defense be when my "baby" brother, the lead
>> >>>  prosecutor in Maricopa County's Vehicular Crimes Unit (and a
>> >>>  ham, BTW), decides to make you the poster boy for his speed
>> >>>  enforcement cases?
>> >>
>> >>I don't know many people that have any favorable
>> >>attitude towards such "poster boy" enforcement of
>> >>speed limits.  Few would tolerate speeding in residential
>> >>areas, but everyone (yes everyone) pretty much
>> >>believes that 55 on the highway is a joke.
>> >
>> >  As does my brother (and me and most of us).  But consider my
>> >  postulation...IF (or WHEN) it is decided to make an exampleof
>> >  someone.....what defense is available?  That it's a joke?  Won't
>> >  fly.
>>
>> Agreed, but I still don't see it happening in the first
>> place.  Your postulation may be accurate as an
>> academic discussion, but the practical reality is
>> not likly (IMHO).
>
> Don't bet on it. I knew a young trooper who cited a motorist for doing
>57 in a 55 zone. The officer was cruising at 55 when the guy passed him,
>and he saw something he didn't like. Redlight time.

Most any decent court will throw that out as being insufficient
(i.e. a deminimus) difference to warrant a ticket to begin with.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -3018044222296685657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 20:38:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
```

```
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 04:32:51 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3aa5b998.1125497@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<n09batclma2794632dgqdvjbtgl03h309h@4ax.com> <9849rm$rpe$0@208.207.71.136>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.25
X-Server-Date: 7 Mar 2001 04:32:08 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17042

On 7 Mar 2001 03:27:50 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Aaron Jones wrote:
>
>> BTW the prosecuting of speeding tickets is done mostly by rookie
>> attorneys and those who can't make it on the outside. It's real grunt
>> work, but I'm sure you knew that...   :-)
>
>Most are handled by the court clerks as guilty pleas with fine mailed
>in. Fighting it is almost always folly and more costly than just paying
>up. Mostly you don't have to go to court, just mail in your plea form
>and fine, from the fine schedule you get with the ticket. In these
>parts, at least.

Yep,paid my dues several times that way.  Arizona was
the easiest as you could plead the equivalent of no
contest, pay the fine and the ticket never shows up
on your license.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -6458579186798947062
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 21:14:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <epgbator40jq1rpunfv2qed96cv2cq0tsp@4ax.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <n09batclma2794632dgqdvjbtgl03h309h@4ax.com> <9849rm$rpe$0@208.207.71.136> <3aa5b998.1125497@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 05:12:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983941952 209.86.210.28 (Tue, 06 Mar 2001 21:12:32 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 06 Mar 2001 21:12:32 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17043

billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:
>Yep,paid my dues several times that way. Arizona was
>the easiest as you could plead the equivalent of no
>contest, pay the fine and the ticket never shows up
>on your license.

It has never worked that way here Bill. You always got the points with
a no contest plea. The only way to avoid the points was to beat the
ticket or go to school...

From 3861927878020870299
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 21:38:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Mar 2001 05:33:13 GMT
References: <3AA565AB.39C4F41F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010307003313.13524.00000238@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17044

In article <3AA565AB.39C4F41F@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> ><Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>> > It stuck and I still
>> >have an aversion to using the word "swear".
>>
>> Except in this post, where you have used the word four times.
>
>It's OK to use the word negatively as in, "I don't swear",

Would you swear to that?

>and it's
>OK to talk about the word itself, e.g. "swear".

I see. So you don't swear but you may "swear". OK.

> It is not OK to say,
>"I swear to <something>".

The actual claim is that you "swore" NOT to do something. However, no actual evidence of such has been presented. Besides, we all know that any evidence presented from a rrap post would simply be dismissed as by the fact that you were "not serious".

>Dave claimed that I swore never to get an
>Extra license. He is lying.

No. He's simply mistaken. BIG difference.

Let's see - three uses of "swear" and one of "swore" in this post, not counting my use or quotes of old posts. Of course none of them were actual swearing, so they don't really count.

btw, which definition of "swear" are we using? The one that means "taking an oath or promise" (as in "I swear to tell the truth, the whole truth..." or the one that means profanity ("He swore like a drunken sailor...")?

--

I still think you guys should settle the proposed bet by sending the money to me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1295975954094339867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 21:56:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AA5CB3F.65222D58@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 05:46:39 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3AA50812.62C6EE4E@IEEE.org> <20010306122944.24271.00000063@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <3AA565AB.39C4F41F@IEEE.org> <3AA583E1.56995DE5@ovis.net>
<3AA5ABFD.E6F691CC@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s14.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 7 Mar 2001 00:44:26 -0500, s14.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 11
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s14.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17045

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > For a guy who claims Mensa membership, you're certainly a clown.
>
> I'm not a member of Mensa.

That's certainly what you lead us to believe.  I guess you weren't being
serious, huh?

Dave K8MN


From -7918726624503003375
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 22:56:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net>
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:54:15 -0600
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Reply-To: nm5k@wt.net
Organization: NM5K
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01 (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-240-35-93.ipset61.wt.net
X-Trace: 7 Mar 2001 00:53:32 -0600, 64-240-35-93.ipset61.wt.net
Lines: 36
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.isc.org!news.gnac.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!ash.uu.net!
dfw.uu.net!newsfeeder.wt.net!data.wt.net!64-240-35-93.ipset61.wt.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18056
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10037 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17046

A friend sent this to me today. Normally, I hate to clutter up the ham
NG's with this type of thing, but being as it's a day old, the quicker
the word gets out , the better. This is not a joke or a troll...This is
a plum ugly new virus that will ruin your day if you open it. I'm just
passing it on, so someone doesn't later say, well... why didn't you say
something... MK

> Subject:    NEW VIRUS!! READ IMMEDIATELY!!
>
> A new virus has just been discovered that has been  classified by
> Microsoft (www.microsoft.com) and by McAfee (www.mcafee.com) as the
> most destructive ever! This virus was discovered yesterday afternoon
> by McAfee and no vaccine has yet been developed.
>
> This virus simply destroys Sector Zero from the hard  disk,  where
> vital information for its functioning are stored. This  virus  sends
> itself automatically to all contacts on your list with the  title  "A
> Virtual Card for You". When  the supposed virtual card is  opened, the
> computer freezes so that the user has to reboot. When the
> ctrl+alt+del  keys or  the reset button are pressed, the virus
> destroys Sector Zero,  thus permanently destroying the hard disk.
>
> According to news broadcast by CNN (www.cnn.com). This  VIRUS received
> by an employee of Microsoft itself. So don't  open any mails with
> subject  "A Virtual Card for You". As soon  as you get the mail,
> delete it.
>
> Also: Intel announced that a new and very destructive  virus was
> discovered recently. If you receive an email called   "An Internet
> Flower For You", do not open it. Delete it right away!  It removes all
> dynamic link libraries(.dll files) from  your computer.   Your
> computer will not be able to boot up.


--
http://web.wt.net/~nm5k


From -9073030402093012293
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-06 23:16:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Denver Braughler <Braughler@bwcc.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly hoax..Beware!!
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 02:14:03 -0500
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3AA5DFBB.B1499DEF@bwcc.com>
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVa/p+xRUL7BjagMAqwt5zwE3HSlt41fw2sTbq5f65m/5fLovoukZm3S
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Mar 2001 07:13:59 GMT
X-Mailer:  Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language:  en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18057
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10039 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17047

Mark Keith wrote:
> A friend sent this to me today.
ex-friend

> Normally, I hate to clutter up the ham
> NG's with this type of thing,
Liar.

> but being as it's a day old,
It's a hoax!

> This is not a joke or a troll...
Liar.


From -5667227472063790902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 08:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 15:58:37 GMT
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17197

In article <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>, TR@sky.net writes:

>
>>I left CB radio 19 years ago when I got my novice ticket.  It felt good
>>communicating with people that knew what they were doing and were proud of
>>their accomplishments in getting licensed.  Over the past year things have
>>gotten shitty on the bands and operators are stupid.  It sounds just like
>the
>>CB I left 20 years ago except that the rig's readout is showing a ham
>>frequency.  To bad there isn't a better radio service I can go to now that
>the
>>bands are full of idiots. Thanks FCC, Thanks ARRL, I'm back to 11 meters in
>>the middle of a ham band.
>
>    0  2  4  6  8  10
>                       /
>                      /
>                     /
>                    /
>                   /
>                  /
>                 /
>                /
>         TROLL-O-METER


Your troll-o-meter is defective, or it has a huge preamplifier in the
detector circuit.  That post hardly budged the needle on mine!  What's
more, the Armenian Judges are snoring so loudly I can't hear my
Eagle's CD on the stereo!

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -469610561835006328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 08:02:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
```

Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 16:01:20 GMT
References: <20010307190650.19421.00000224@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010311110120.25716.00000796@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17199

Hey Bert,

Check out www.sugardelta.com, holy smoke! What a gorgeous 11 meter site. I'm glad to see the organizational skills rising among the 11 meter DX groups.

Let me know how you like that Alinco. Programming it is not all that much fun, but it's a solid little performer. I have an Alinco DX-77 too and I must say, they make reallty quality gear. Take care.

73 de Mark

From -7873881244013075990
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa656,18d9b3b0c19f9f7c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18d9b3b0c19f9f7c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 08:26:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.frii.net!easynews!cyclone.swbell.net!nnrp2.sbc.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "BD" <bodu@pobox.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <984294437.3aab242514a59@webmail.cotse.com>
<jXMq6.10520$5f.3138169@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Subject: Re: N8WWM No Code Doug Adairs strange behavior
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <eGNq6.20$DM6.3905@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 10:27:18 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.217.147.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@swbell.net
X-Trace: nnrp2.sbc.net 984327946 64.217.147.38 (Sun, 11 Mar 2001 10:25:46 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 10:25:46 CST
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:1180 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17200

"Some one spent some time cleaning up this NG"?..

```
And just how did they do that, Chet?... Care to explain, huh?
Educate yourself before making such statements...

Everything goes in cycles..
It came once and it'll come again...  It went once and it'll go again..

"Chet (KB1EHI)" <alena@mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:jXMq6.10520$5f.3138169@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Could you please take your comments to another place. Some one spent some
> time cleaning this NG up and it would be a shame for all that work to go
> down the drain.
> Thank You
> Chet Woods
```

```
From -3028078868118401462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 09:04:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AABD9D9.5DE2483C@ham.net>
From: price police <"price police"@ham.net>
Organization: earthlink
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 17:02:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 158.252.94.74
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984330137 158.252.94.74 (Sun, 11 Mar
2001 09:02:17 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 09:02:17 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18322
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10251 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13220
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17201 rec.radio.amateur.space:2214 rec.radio.cb:27442
rec.radio.scanner:14685 rec.radio.shortwave:28531 rec.radio.swap:25153

don t let the freq hit you in the ass get the hell out

ARRL wrote:
>
> I left CB radio 19 years ago when I got my novice ticket.  It felt good
> communicating with people that knew what they were doing and were proud of
> their accomplishments in getting licensed.  Over the past year things have
> gotten shitty on the bands and operators are stupid.  It sounds just like the
> CB I left 20 years ago except that the rig's readout is showing a ham
> frequency.  To bad there isn't a better radio service I can go to now that the
> bands are full of idiots. Thanks FCC, Thanks ARRL, I'm back to 11 meters in
> the middle of a ham band.


From 2083853483765555951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 09:10:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <kgcnato1ing2k76t1bguti7ogjbm7qvunh@4ax.com>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 42
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 17:06:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 984330383 24.95.131.236 (Sun, 11 Mar 2001 12:06:23
```

EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 12:06:23 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17202

On 11 Mar 2001 15:58:37 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
><stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
>>
>> Gee, it must be frustrating to be as intellegent as you think you are,
>>especially in a world filled with so many "dumbed-down" jerks. You sound
>>like a bitter old man when you say crap like this, Larry. When you get in
>>one of these moods, you need to remind yourself to climb down off that
>>self-rightious pedestal and stop acting like a pompus ass. Because, believe
>>me, what you're saying now says far more about your intellegence than what
>>he said says about his.
>>
>>
>>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
>Dwight:
>
>Typical response from a pony-tailed, multi-pierced, pot-smoking liberal.
>When someone emerges who supports traditional values in any form,
>you call him a "self-rightious (sic) pompus (sic) ass." Tell you what --
>if you're going to make a feeble attempt to debate me, can you at least
>run your postings through a spell checker? I compose all of mine on
>Microsoft Word '97, then paste 'em back into the AOL newsreader window.
>Is that beyond your technical capability?
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Actually Dwight, the fact that Larry here is using AOL as his ISP
speaks more about HIS intelligence and technical expertise than
anything he could post...   Of course, the fact that he composes
newsgroup posts on Word 97 and then pastes them into his AOL
newsreader window instead of just keeping a dictionary near his
computer indicates quite a bit about his level of common sense as
well.

From -1641551121354562l8
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 09:32:04 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: rmoretta@aol.com (RMoretta)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 17:29:12 GMT
References: <20010310223707.16620.00001586@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010311122912.02420.00000775@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17203

I think your points are valid, but it would've taken the same amount of effort(or less) on your part to kindly point him in the right direction. In other words, it wasn't the content, but rather the delivery.

I know this is usenet and not AR but it's a usenet group about AR, comprised primarily of ARO's who could be setting a good example for those who are considering becoming an ARO. I may check my callsign at the door when using usenet, but certainly not my manners. I didn't learn decent etiquette from a technical resourse, mom and dad taught me that. 73 and good day.

From -7458127956874043778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,709ab18a3a8313cb,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 09:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!proxad.net!grolier!btnet-peer0!btnet-feed5!btnet!
mendelevium.btinternet.com!not-for-mail
From: "Jeff Moran" <spam@wmrc.org.uk>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: AEA LA30E 1.2Kw Amp
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 17:28:36 -0000
Organization: BT Internet
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <98gco6$r6m$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: host62-7-51-198.btinternet.com
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6700
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17204

Would anyonew happen to have, or know where I can get a copy of the operating instructions for this Amp. Our club bought one last year, and someone has lost the instruction booklet.
Any help would be most appreciated.
www.wmrc.org.uk
jeff@wmrc.org.uk

```
From 1142108677085103986
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 09:36:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 17:35:14 GMT
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010311123514.02434.00000852@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17205
```

Well said Dwight!

Take care now and 73.

Mark

```
From 7934995750365718704
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 09:54:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 09:44:09 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <98ge26$17b$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org> <20010310223707.16620.00001585@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AAAFAA2.96250BA3@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-28-60.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
```

X-Posted-By: @64.212.28.60 (w5gyj)
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17206

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@nospam.IEEE.org> wrote:
> I try not to kill innocent creatures anymore, Larry. Right now,
> I am trying to figure out how to keep sparrows out of my purple
> martin house without killing them.

Cecil, the solution is obvious, thanks to RRAP: Permit entrance
only to officially licensed purple martins.
--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From 7253902786968885738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 10:26:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <ihgnatcqe8523967u25md5hack04lsrn0k@4ax.com>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 18:24:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.210.253
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984335046 209.86.210.253 (Sun, 11 Mar 2001 10:24:06 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 10:24:06 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17207

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
>if you're [Dwight] going to make a feeble attempt to debate me, can you at least
>run your postings through a spell checker? I compose all of mine on
>Microsoft Word '97, then paste 'em back into the AOL newsreader window.

>Is that beyond your technical capability?

Cmon Larry, if you used a *real* news reader (with a built in spell checker), you wouldn't have to go through all those cutting and pasting hoops. Is it beyond your technical ability to run something besides AOL... :-)

```
From -8958119699132139806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 11:07:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbellsucks.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 11:06:27 -0800
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tanj59680kfgec@news.supernews.com>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18341
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10258 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13226
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17208 rec.radio.amateur.space:2216 rec.radio.cb:27451
rec.radio.scanner:14693 rec.radio.shortwave:28541 rec.radio.swap:25171
```

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message

```
news:B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> TR@sky.net wrote:
>
> > Funny-0RG@arrl.org wrote:
> >
> >> I left CB radio [snip]
> >
> > 0  2  4  6  8  10
> >               /
> >              /
> >             /
> >            /
> >           /
> >          /
> >         /
> >        /
> > TROLL-O-METER
>
>
>   You need to get your meter checked, TR.  This message was way too
> transparent to rate a ten.  It also lacked the style and sophistication to
> be a highly rated troll.  My meter still shows it as less than a one (and
> its a generious meter).

Then you need to get a new meter. His meter detected a troll, period. Don't
you think that something as dumb as a meter would register a 10 on, as you
say, something as transparent as "ARRL's" post? Also, try using your spell
check. When a person flames another but in the process misspells a simple
word as "generous", they tend to lose all credibility.

Jeff

>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>




From -9059282459035939246
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 11:44:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AABD2B2.6C484F4E@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 13:32:02 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org> <20010310223707.16620.00001585@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AAAFAA2.96250BA3@IEEE.org> <98ge26$17b$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984339330 64.240.47.43 (11 Mar 2001 13:35:30 -0600)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17209

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@nospam.IEEE.org> wrote:
> > I try not to kill innocent creatures anymore, Larry. Right now,
> > I am trying to figure out how to keep sparrows out of my purple
> > martin house without killing them.
>
> Cecil, the solution is obvious, thanks to RRAP: Permit entrance
> only to officially licensed purple martins.

Isn't it illegal to refuse service to an individual based on race
or color? Speaking of which, I saw on the Discovery Channel where
a hundred thousand years ago, there were six different species
of man (hominoids) in competition with each other. Can you imagine
how complicated our laws would have been if all of those species
had survived instead of just the meanest one?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1408980419688719589
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 11:46:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AABD349.D68BA7C5@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 13:34:33 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <3AAAFB3D.FD55D473@IEEE.org> <20010311105836.10659.00001762@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984339480 64.240.47.43 (11 Mar 2001 13:38:00 -0600)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17210

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Whatever works. I just controlled your behavior, BTW.

Feel free to control my behavior by being wrong over and over, Larry.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 572987237009257113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 11:48:09 PST

Message-ID: <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 13:36:55 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984339621
64.240.47.43 (11 Mar 2001 13:40:21 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17211

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Typical response from a pony-tailed, multi-pierced, pot-smoking liberal.

Holy Mackerel, I had an altogether different image of Dwight.
I think he once called me a liberal.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 533494271968750077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 17:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Mar 2001 01:45:58 GMT
References: <20010310223707.16620.00001586@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010311204558.06381.00000953@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17212

Larry,

>This is not amateur radio; it's Usenet. If you don't know the difference,
>you'd may as well turn your ham ticket also -- assuming you have one.

You must be kidding. The issue is not whether ANYBODY knows the difference between amateur radio and a newsgroup. The issue is a bout a mean spirited person who choose to respond in a rude and obnoxious manner rather than give a chap(ham or not...a fellow human being) a "gentle" shove in the right direction. If YOU don't know the difference, you'd may as well turn in your birth certificate - assuming YOU have one. Feels nice when people are mean, eh? That's really NOT my style, I just want you to "catch a clue."

>Our society is dumbed-down, our hobby
>is dumbed-down, and I, for one, am sick of it!

Seek therapy, don't take it out on others.

73 de Bert

From -9046993128819266378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 18:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Mar 2001 02:08:00 GMT
References: <20010311110120.25716.00000796@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010311210800.06409.00000933@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17213

Hey Mark,

Yeah, it's a bute, eh? They're a pretty large group now, nice to see. Check ot the software section, as a programmer; This is where I "gravitated" first...really nice. I downloaded the logging program and fiddled with it for a while, good stuff.

The Alinco's really nice. As I said, I'm a fiddler so tackling the programming isn't too bothersome. The HTX-200's ok, but just that...ok. I like the fact that I can slip it in my shirt pocket though. Later.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

```
From -8603033610136543581
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 18:18:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010310223707.16620.00001586@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010311204558.06381.00000953@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Lines: 50
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <LhWq6.581$mD2.128081@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 20:15:52 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.159.242
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 984363243 208.154.159.242 (Sun, 11 Mar 2001 21:14:03
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 21:14:03 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17214
```

"KC2HMN" <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010311204558.06381.00000953@ng-mp1.news.cs.com...
> Larry,
>
> >This is not amateur radio; it's Usenet. If you don't know the
difference,
> >you'd may as well turn your ham ticket also -- assuming you have one.
>
> You must be kidding. The issue is not whether ANYBODY knows the
difference
> between amateur radio and a newsgroup. The issue is a bout a mean
spirited

> person who choose to respond in a rude and obnoxious manner rather than
give a
> chap(ham or not...a fellow human being) a "gentle" shove in the right
> direction. If YOU don't know the difference, you'd may as well turn in
your
> birth certificate - assuming YOU have one. Feels nice when people are
mean, eh?
> That's really NOT my style, I just want you to "catch a clue."
>
> >Our society is dumbed-down, our hobby
> >is dumbed-down, and I, for one, am sick of it!
>
> Seek therapy, don't take it out on others.
>
> 73 de Bert

There is a time and a place for everything. In this particular case, it
probably wasn't either. But step back a minute.

Everyone finds Yahoo. So type in 'FCC' to go the moose. You will be
thoroughly confused, dismayed, chagrined and all that good stuff. Now
type 'ARRL' which may or may not be helpful. This is not rocket science.
Now and only now can you go the newsgripe with a clear conscience and a
clear question.

Strikes me there is enough skunk shit available to smear everyone several
times over. Anyone in a supervisory position eventually develops a case
of the aggravated surlies in similar situations and Larry played Master
Sergeant which is not all that unbelievable as he was one not that long
ago.

In the long run of things a steady diet of pats on the back don't make it.
There is a place for a size 13 to the buns.

Dick N�BK

From 8862419292693305422
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 18:18:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Mar 2001 02:17:33 GMT
References: <3AAAF037.616B849E@IEEE.org>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010311211733.06058.00000035@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17215

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 3/10/01 9:25 PM Central Standard Time

>***STRAW MAN ALERT***

Nope. Not at all. It's textbook.

>If you don't believe that then you believe that
>some citizens should be granted the privileges without being forced to
>meet the requirements. That's not fair, Steve.

Life's not fair, but I guess you knew that.

>That "authoritarian structure" is what FORCES citizens to obey the >laws, rules, and regulations, Steve. You are making my argument for >me.

Citizens ARE the law. That's nothing I had (or could) make for you, Cecil.

>In order for citizens to obtain the privileges granted by government, they
>are forced to meet the requirements for those privileges

OK, Cecil....You say we are forced. The only person you are fooling is yourself, but if that's what you believe...have at it.

>An "authoritarian structure" cannot exist without force to back it up. >Why is that so hard for you to admit and accept?

There's nothing for me to "admit and accept". You "insist" that we are "forced" to do the things necessary to achieve what we want in life. I "accept" it as a challenge....YOU, on the otherhand, percieve it as "forced". I still think you consider yourself victimized.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 6740408862100375636
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 18:26:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 20:23:20 -0600
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3AAC3318.F35D352D@ix.netcom.com>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0e.8e.cd
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Mar 2001 02:23:33 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18377
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10283 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13274
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17216 rec.radio.amateur.space:2219 rec.radio.cb:27476
rec.radio.scanner:14728 rec.radio.shortwave:28582 rec.radio.swap:25231

> >> I left CB radio [snip]
> >
> > 0  2  4  6  8  10
> >          |
> >          |
> >          |
> >          |
> >          |
> >          |
> >          |
> >          |
> > TROLL-O-METER after recalibration!
>



From 7512097848813352134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 18:54:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "h" <not@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 21:55:48 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <taoehirn1q1a5e@corp.supernews.com>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 25
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18384
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10287 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13275
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17217 rec.radio.amateur.space:2220 rec.radio.cb:27482
rec.radio.scanner:14730 rec.radio.shortwave:28585 rec.radio.swap:25235

So your point?
If your gonna troll you gotta do better
```

```
--
Sincerely,
Ken
pwrguy@intergrafix.net
"ARRL" <Funny-0RG@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG...
> I left CB radio 19 years ago when I got my novice ticket.  It felt good
> communicating with people that knew what they were doing and were proud of
> their accomplishments in getting licensed.  Over the past year things have
> gotten shitty on the bands and operators are stupid.  It sounds just like
the
> CB I left 20 years ago except that the rig's readout is showing a ham
> frequency.  To bad there isn't a better radio service I can go to now that
the
> bands are full of idiots. Thanks FCC, Thanks ARRL, I'm back to 11 meters
in
> the middle of a ham band.
>
>
>
```

```
From 5104864411690603051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 21:22:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 17
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:43 GMT
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.53
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984374503 206.58.44.53 (Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:43 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:43 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17221

On Sat, 10 Mar 2001 19:14:07 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>Practicallity does enter the equation when dealing with attorney's time.

As a veteran attorney told me when I went to consult him about
a suit that I filed against a local school board, and I thanked
him for taking the time (at $60 per hour - this was a long time
ago) to teach me what I already knew, he said: "all I have is time
....I sell it"

Words of wisdom.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 8689219539554631386
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 21:22:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga2bms6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga1zo32.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 48
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.53
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984374505 206.58.44.53 (Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:45 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:45 GMT

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17219

On 10 Mar 2001 02:03:15 GMT, Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>>Both Section 2.1 of the FCC Rules and Section 333 of the
>>Communications Act refer to harmful interference to authorized
>>communications.
>
>And our Part 97 (S97.3) states that "harmful interference" can only
>be done to a "radiocommunication service operating in accordance with
>the Radio Regulations." Thus, no harmful interference is being wrought
>upon the outlaw operators on 10m; they are not part of any service.
>
>>HOWEVER, Section 97.101(d) of the Rules specifiy that no
>>amateur radio operator may cause interference to ANY (notice
>>that word) communications.
>
>But are these outlaws, who are operating in an illegal manner,
>conducting "communications" as legally defined by the government?
>No, they are not, so S97.101(d) is not being violated.

These outlaws are certainly conducting communications. Just
not "authorized" communications.

Note that there is a strong distinction between "harmful
interference" which can only be caused to authorized
communications and "any interference" which doesn't have this
"authorized" qualifier.

Section 97.101(d) doesn't refer to "harmful interference",
it plainly states "any interference". If the Commish' wanted
carrier throwing and music playing to apply only to
interfering with authorized communications the term "any" in
Section 97.101(d) would have been replaced with "harmful".

Did the Commish' miss this one? My private guess it yes, they
probably did, but until it is so corrected that's the way the
rule reads.

I wouldn't want to be the pigeon who gets caught by someone
who is astute enough to pick up on this distinction and is
inclined to make it stick.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -7297119597175161951

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 21:22:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga20zl3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <989pv2$kbf$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaa5ddc.9448266@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 46
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.53
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984374502 206.58.44.53 (Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:42 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:42 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17218

On Sat, 10 Mar 2001 17:02:20 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

>>According to Phil, Congress doesn't want the FCC to deal with them.
>>
>Most likely because Congress would have to pay the FCC to get involved
>in an endless turf war between two groups of radio hobbyists. Congress
>ain't about to spend money on anything like that.

You got it.

About seven years ago, a local REACT group asked me to sit in on a court hearing that they were going to have in a case involving a rival CB/GMRS group over who was going to handle "public service" in their area. This situation got so heated with threats and namecalling that they had each applied to the court for restraining orders against each other. I needed a good laugh at the time so I went and sat in on the hearing.

This case was heard in the court that hears domestic abuse orders, and in those cases typically one or both parties show up, sometimes with a lawyer, sometimes without, and it's almost a "done deal" by that time. These guys show up with a dozen witnesses each, complete with hats and HTs on the belt.

The judge, sensing the "uniqueness" of the case, puts it to the end of the calendar. When it was their turn, the folks on each side get up and continue the on-air and in-person bickering one against the other. Half way through the judge advised them that the court fee covered a one hour proceeding, and that if they ran ten seconds over the hour she would charge them a second fee. At the end of the hour the accusations were going on and on and on, and the judge finally said "OK. I've heard enough. I rule that each of you is restrained from dealing with the other, and if I see any of you back in here on this matter the results will be very unpleasant for all involved".

Although I don't agree with The Congress on this, I can certainly see why they don't want to spend two cents on problems involving the CB Radio Service.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -6733466401041174O2
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d954284d1d5d926e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 21:22:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga2bi95.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga1z051.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ID Speed question
Lines: 25
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.53
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984374504 206.58.44.53 (Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:44 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:44 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17220

On 10 Mar 2001 03:00:22 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>I'm pretty sure the maximum speed for a CW ID of 20 wpm applies to
>ANY automatic CW ID in ANY mode. IOW, you could *manually*
>key as fast as you like, but if the ID is an automated circuit, the
>maximum QRQ is 20 WPM. I'm too lazy to go thru my rulebook
>this late at night, but I recall having responded to this question in the
>past, and recall that the answer 20 WPM, max.
>
>Phil Kane -- please weigh in on this issue, if you haven't already!

200 pounds at last check.

You are correct on the automatic IDer speed in any radio
service is 20 wpm, which is the speed at which FCC enforcement
agents whose jobs include monitoring are required to qualify
although many of us could copy more.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 8654448307401978700
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 21:32:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:26:02 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.73.c8
X-Server-Date: 12 Mar 2001 05:29:38 GMT

X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17222

On Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:43 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>On Sat, 10 Mar 2001 19:14:07 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>>Practicallity does enter the equation when dealing with attorney's time.
>
> As a veteran attorney told me when I went to consult him about
> a suit that I filed against a local school board, and I thanked
> him for taking the time (at $60 per hour - this was a long time
> ago) to teach me what I already knew, he said: "all I have is time
> ....I sell it"
>
> Words of wisdom.

Exactly! Which is why cases are often NOT prosecuted
at all or plea'd down...the time value of the prosecutor
isn't worth the time to get the conviction.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -556329687316118533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 21:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-feed.fnsi.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad

```
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 00:39:06 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <B6D1CB29.52D1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tanj59680kfgec@news.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.93
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984375493 514621 207.144.64.93 (12 Mar 2001 05:38:13
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:38:13 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18395
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10297 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13288
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17223 rec.radio.amateur.space:2221 rec.radio.cb:27491
rec.radio.scanner:14740 rec.radio.shortwave:28594 rec.radio.swap:25254

Jeff Mayner wrote:

> "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
>>
>> TR@sky.net wrote:
>>
>>> Funny-0RG@arrl.org wrote:
>>>
>>>> I left CB radio [snip]
>>>
>>> 0  2  4  6  8  10
>>> /
>>> /
>>> /
>>> /
>>> /
>>> /
>>> /
>>> /
>>> TROLL-O-METER
>>
>>
>> You need to get your meter checked, TR.  This
>> message was way too transparent to rate a ten.
>> It also lacked the style and sophistication to
>> be a highly rated troll.  My meter still shows
>> it as less than a one (and its a generious meter).
>
> Then you need to get a new meter. His meter
> detected a troll, period. Don't you think that
> something as dumb as a meter would register a
```

> 10 on, as you say, something as transparent as
> "ARRL's" post? Also, try using your spell check.
> When a person flames another but in the process
> misspells a simple word as "generous", they tend
> to lose all credibility.

It was a joke, Jeff. There isn't a meter, and my message wasn't a flame. And I certainly wasn't seeking "credibility" with nonsense such as this.

As for the fake meter, it isn't an on/off meter (on for troll, off for no troll). It is a meter that rates a troll on a scale from one to ten. Since this troll was so obvious, it simply doesn't rate very high as a troll in my opinion.

However, since you control your own fake meter, you're free to rate the troll how you want. And I assure you that I will not hold your troll rating against you. [insert big grin here]

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 5575626535387797588
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 21:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 28
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:48:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.53
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984376116 206.58.44.53 (Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:48:36 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:48:36 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17224

On Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:26:02 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>Exactly! Which is why cases are often NOT prosecuted
>at all or plea'd down...the time value of the prosecutor
>isn't worth the time to get the conviction.

Which is why some US Attornies would not take our usual CB seizure/forfeiture cases because the linears to be seized weren't worth anything near what the dopers' Lexuses were.

Funny - my prosecutorial attitude was that I had all the time in the world once the defendant met the threshhold of "did he do it or did he not".

When my boss would ask "how long are you going to sit on that case" my answer was "until we catch him with his hand in the cookie jar". I had a monitoring tech who could literally sit in the car for eight hours straight waiting for someone to come on the air and not be bored at all.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -1591571229321391103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 22:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!news-feed.fnsi.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 01:10:11 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services

Lines: 41
Message-ID: <B6D1D271.52F7%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <989pv2$kbf$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaa5ddc.9448266@news2.dvol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.ga20zl3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.93
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984377364 516491 207.144.64.93 (12 Mar 2001 06:09:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 06:09:24 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17225

Phil Kane wrote:

> About seven years ago, a local REACT group
> asked me to sit in on a court hearing that
> they were going to have in a case involving
> a rival CB/GMRS group over who was going to
> handle "public service" in their area. This
> situation got so heated with threats and
> namecalling that they had each applied to
> the court for restraining orders against
> each other. I needed a good laugh at the
> time so I went and sat in on the hearing.

This wasn't in Washington State, was it, Phil? Many years ago, I saw this very thing in action (it would not surprise me one bit if the parties ended up in court eventually). There were two REACT groups, fighting over the same radio turf. They were even fighting over who had the right to the virtually identical club names they had selected.

On more than one occasion, operators from each group tried to handle the same radio call, which resulted in a long fight over who had the right to handle that call (these calls usually ended up unanswered).

Whenever the two groups got together (coffee breaks were common back then), it almost always ended with an exchange of words between several members of each group. Both groups were even asked to leave one resturant when the bickering got too loud. And I heard that on one occasion the "discussion" ended with an exchange of fists between two of the individuals (though I didn't see this myself).

To make matters even worse, two groups spun off from these groups and started still two more groups (again wanting to cover the same area). I left the area right after this, so I don't know how these four REACT groups eventually got along.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 1201313018048827738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 22:14:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AAC6678.330CE21C@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 00:02:32 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAAF037.616B849E@IEEE.org> <20010311211733.06058.00000035@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984377160 64.240.47.69 (12 Mar 2001 00:06:00 -0600)
Lines: 39
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17226

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >If you don't believe that then you believe that
> >some citizens should be granted the privileges without being forced to
> >meet the requirements. That's not fair, Steve.
>
>   Life's not fair, but I guess you knew that.

Sounds like you indeed do believe that some people deserve to be granted special privileges without being forced to meet the requirements. Sorry, but I disagree. Every citizen who is granted the privileges should be forced to meet the requirements. There should be no exceptions.

> Citizens ARE the law.

Dream on, Steve. Try robbing a bank and see if you, a citizen, are the law.

> >An "authoritarian structure" cannot exist without force to back it up. >Why is
> that so hard for you to admit and accept?
>
>   There's nothing for me to "admit and accept". You "insist" that we are
> "forced" to do the things necessary to achieve what we want in life. I "accept"
> it as a challenge....YOU, on the otherhand, percieve it as "forced". I still
> think you consider yourself victimized.

Nope, I don't, Steve. That's just your straw man attempt at diverting the real issue which is: In return for certain privileges, our government forces its citizens to meet certain requirements. That's what governments do. Our government tries to be fair and enforce those requirements equally upon all citizens. Who, in your opinion, should be granted the privileges without being forced to meet the requirements?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7913962256888303091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 22:33:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-feed.fnsi.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 01:33:20 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <B6D1D7DD.52F8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.93
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984378759 516739 207.144.64.93 (12 Mar 2001 06:32:39 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 06:32:39 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17227

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Typical response from a pony-tailed,
> multi-pierced, pot-smoking liberal.
> When someone emerges who supports
> traditional values in any form,
> you call him a "self-rightious
> (sic) pompus (sic) ass." Tell you
> what -- if you're going to make a
> feeble attempt to debate me, can
> you at least run your postings
> through a spell checker? I
> compose all of mine on Microsoft
> Word '97, then paste 'em back
> into the AOL newsreader window.
> Is that beyond your technical
> capability?

I'm not trying to debate you, Larry. I'm just trying to point out how outrageous you sound when you post negative messages. First, you fail to gain any positive results from such negativity. And, second, you degrade yourself through such behavior. I've seen enough of your messages to know that you're an intellegent person, so you don't need such stupidity to get your point across.

As for the spelling checker, I ended that a very long time ago. I don't want to spend my entire time in these newsgroups, so I've simplified the process as much as possible. Since my spelling mistakes are relatively rare (often the result of quick typing), the extra step of using a spell checker was eliminated.

By the way, I'm still laughing over the "pony-tailed, multi-pierced, pot-smoking liberal" nonsense. Absolutely wrong on each point.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 3578292070381606367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 22:58:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-

out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp-out.monmouth.com!
newspeer.monmouth.com!news-feed.fnsi.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 01:57:09 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <B6D1DD75.52F9%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com>
<kgcnato1ing2k76t1bguti7ogjbm7qvunh@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.93
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984380193 520025 207.144.64.93 (12 Mar 2001 06:56:33 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 06:56:33 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17228

AB2HR wrote:

> Actually Dwight, the fact that Larry here
> is using AOL as his ISP speaks more about
> HIS intelligence and technical expertise
> than anything he could post... [snip]

You know, I have never noticed that Larry uses AOL to access the internet. I seldom pay much attention to things like that. However, that may partly explain why he gets into these negative moods so often. It seems to be a relatively common thing among AOL users (perhaps resulting from the monthly bills they pay - somewhat like the PMS that some women experience on a monthly basis).   :-)

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -1077562491649588296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 23:50:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-feed.fnsi.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 02:49:48 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <B6D1E9CC.52FA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.93
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984383361 523214 207.144.64.93 (12 Mar 2001 07:49:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 07:49:21 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17229

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> Typical response from a pony-tailed,
>> multi-pierced, pot-smoking liberal.
>
> Holy Mackerel, I had an altogether
> different image of Dwight. I think
> he once called me a liberal.

Now you know, Cecil. I can't spell because all the pot I'm smoking has messed up my mind, and I can't sound out the words because the tongue ring gets in the way. Besides, being forced to spell correctly would harm my self-esteem (something every liberal knows is a bad thing). :-)

But, don't worry, I'm still calling you a liberal. Heck, even in the context of the description Larry gave of me above, you're still more of a liberal than me.

OBLIGATORY DISCLAIMER: THIS MESSAGE IS A JOKE. NOTHING SAID IN THIS MESSAGE IS ACCURATE OR MEANT TO BE TAKEN SERIOUSLY. READERS WHO FIND THIS MESSAGE ON DEJA.COM, OR GOOGLE.COM, IN THE FUTURE SHOULD NOT USE QUOTES FROM THIS MESSAGE IN AN FALSE ATTEMPT TO DISCREDIT ME OR ANY OTHER PERSON MENTIONED IN THIS MESSAGE (WELL, YOU CAN DISCREDIT CECIL IF YOU WANT). GOD, WHAT A WORLD WE LIVE IN - SOME PEOPLE JUST HAVE NO SENSE OF HUMOR (OR SENSE ENOUGH TO RECOGNIZE A JOKE WHEN THEY SEE ONE). END OF DISCLAIMER.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -6236662961175422147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 02:01:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 09:22:31 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <98gc4s$nk6$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AA8DC52.9C3A9E65@IEEE.org> <20010310003232.24780.00000326@nso-
bh.aol.com> <3AAA38B2.E95CFF1A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-43.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17230
```

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AAA38B2.E95CFF1A@IEEE.org...

> You can add to my list of "violations" when I was 14 that I played doctor
> with my 12 year old sister. I still feel guilty about that one.

TMI, Cecil.

Jim

```
From 4157052349538321294
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 02:02:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 09:39:16 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <98gd47$o6g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
```

References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-43.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17231

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com...

> Freebanders were using 300 watt 125% plate modulated Johnson Viking
> Valiant boomers and Collins 75A series rcvrs (among many others) on
> the 11 & 10 meter bands back in the CB craze days of the 70s. This
> crap did not start yesterday. I'd sure as hell rather have to work
> thru or around one of their current weenie diode-snipped Radio Slack
> ssb xcvrs than the beasts the illegals had back then.

Well, more like FT 1000MPs. You don't have to have a license to buy one.
Many of the rigs being used for mobile CB nowadays go up through 2 meters
and even 440. It wouldn't take too much figuring out that VHF/UHF FM sounds
much better than 10 meter AM along the highways.

> Have fun "addressing", you can take up my slack. The 10m illegals are
> a minor annoyance, they are not a hand-wringing threat to ham radio or
> even the 10m band. It's a matter of being realistic, getting 'em off
> 10m is entirely in the hands of the FCC and we all know where that
> leaves us.

SOL?

73, AC6XG

From -8336035937690480362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 04:16:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Mar 2001 12:14:40 GMT
References: <3AAC6678.330CE21C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010312071440.03162.00001375@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17232

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 03/12/01 1:02 AM Eastern Standard Time

>Sounds like you indeed do believe that some people deserve to be granted
>special privileges without being forced to meet the requirements. Sorry,
>but I disagree.

Have you been taking correspondence classes from the Lennie the Liar School of Newsgroup Insinuation And Obfuscation?

>Every citizen who is granted the privileges should be forced
>to meet the requirements. There should be no exceptions.

I'll get my whips and chains and get right to work.

>Dream on, Steve. Try robbing a bank and see if you, a citizen, are the law.

Present day jurisprudence gives far greater protection to the accused than the victim.

>Nope, I don't, Steve. That's just your straw man attempt at diverting the
>real issue which is: In return for certain privileges, our government forces its
>citizens to meet certain requirements.

No "strawman" here Cecil. Call it "forced" if you want to. As I said, it's a matter of perception. You consider it forced, then who am I to argue with you?

>Who in your opinion, should be granted the privileges without being forced to
>meet the requirements?

Me, for one. I wasn't forced to do anything. As Lennie says, "Your mileage may vary".

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 8726898808231075 32
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 04:18:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!

```
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Mar 2001 12:17:10 GMT
References: <98gc4s$nk6$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010312071710.03162.00001376@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17233

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: "Jim Kelley" jwkelley@uci.edu
>Date: 03/11/01 12:22 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <98gc4s$nk6$1@news.service.uci.edu>

>W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>news:3AAA38B2.E95CFF1A@IEEE.org...
>> You can add to my list of "violations" when I was 14 that I played doctor
>> with my 12 year old sister. I still feel guilty about that one.

>TMI, Cecil.

     Can't say I am surprised.  Answers a lot of questions, though.




"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html





From 37600511156091353
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 05:04:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:54:30 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <98ihef$5oa$2@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
```

```
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-27-51.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.27.51 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17235
```

Dwight Stewart, W5NET wrote:

> You need to get your meter checked, TR. This message was way too
> transparent to rate a ten. It also lacked the style and sophistication to
> be a highly rated troll. My meter still shows it as less than a one (and
> its a generious meter).

I think we need a "special" troll-o-meter for RRAP. Here's my proposal:

```
    S9 +20 +40 +60 +80 +100 dB
                       /
                      /
                     /
                    /
                   /
                  /
                 /
                /
         TROLL-O-METER
```

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

```
From 2981103137068724925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 05:04:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
```

From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 04:55:45 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <98ihee$5oa$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org> <20010310223707.16620.00001585@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AAAFAA2.96250BA3@IEEE.org> <98ge26$17b$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AABD2B2.6C484F4E@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-27-51.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.27.51 (w5gyj)
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17234

>>> W6RCecilA wrote:
>>> I try not to kill innocent creatures anymore, Larry. Right now,
>>> I am trying to figure out how to keep sparrows out of my purple
>>> martin house without killing them.

>> I replied:
>> Cecil, the solution is obvious, thanks to RRAP: Permit entrance
>> only to officially licensed purple martins.

> W6RCecilA:
> Isn't it illegal to refuse service to an individual based on race
> or color?...

It is, Cecil, but that's not what you would be doing. You would institute a *skills* test that would reserve choice real estate only for those birds that can catch 20 bugs per minute on the wing and eat 20 bugs per minute in the air. These birds would be licensed as purple martins. Inferior birds that can only catch and eat 13 bugs per minute would classified as sparrows and allowed to nest in the less desireable places under the eaves. Birds that failed that test would be classified as "no-bugs" and left to fend for themselves in the vast open areas where the commercial hawks roam. (It's allegory time, folks!)

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From 8533979830514374839
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 06:03:53 PST
Message-ID: <3AACD4BB.27CD6EB4@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 07:52:59 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAC6678.330CE21C@IEEE.org> <20010312071440.03162.00001375@ng-md1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.123
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984405385 64.240.47.123 (12 Mar 2001 07:56:25 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17236

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Pride
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 03/12/01 1:02 AM Eastern Standard Time
>
> >Sounds like you indeed do believe that some people deserve to be granted
> >special privileges without being forced to meet the requirements. Sorry,
> >but I disagree.
>
>   Have you been taking correspondence classes from the Lennie the Liar
> School of Newsgroup Insinuation And Obfuscation?

Well, let's backtrack. I said every citizen should be forced to meet the

requirements before receiving the privileges granted. You disagreed. What am I to assume from that disagreement except you think some citizens should NOT be forced to meet the requirements before receiving the privileges granted?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3619430807160607705
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 06:06:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AACD541.9F5A919C@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 07:55:13 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org> <20010310223707.16620.00001585@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AAAFAA2.96250BA3@IEEE.org> <98ge26$17b$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AABD2B2.6C484F4E@IEEE.org> <98ihee$5oa$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.123
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984405518 64.240.47.123 (12 Mar 2001 07:58:38 -0600)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17237

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
> > Isn't it illegal to refuse service to an individual based on race
> > or color?...

>
> It is, Cecil, but that's not what you would be doing. You would
> institute a *skills* test that would reserve choice real estate
> only for those birds that can catch 20 bugs per minute on the
> wing and eat 20 bugs per minute in the air. These birds would
> be licensed as purple martins. Inferior birds that can only catch
> and eat 13 bugs per minute would classified as sparrows and allowed
> to nest in the less desireable places under the eaves. Birds
> that failed that test would be classified as "no-bugs" and left
> to fend for themselves in the vast open areas where the commercial
> hawks roam.

I understand now, Jim. What should I do with the hungry birds?
Let them eat cake?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 709693344182937474
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 06:16:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AACD7B7.9FB60B70@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 08:05:43 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
<B6D1E9CC.52FA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.123
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984406149
64.240.47.123 (12 Mar 2001 08:09:09 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)

Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17238

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   But, don't worry, I'm still calling you a liberal. Heck, even in the
> context of the description Larry gave of me above, you're still more of a
> liberal than me.

Well, Libertarians are half liberal. We are social liberals and
economic conservatives. That follows directly from believing in the
least amount of laws (increasing freedom) and the least amount of
goverment (decreasing taxpayer cost) that is necessary and sufficient.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5321226538236589377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 06:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Mar 2001 14:34:47 GMT
References: <kgcnato1ing2k76t1bguti7ogjbm7qvunh@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010312093447.10659.00001865@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17239

In article <kgcnato1ing2k76t1bguti7ogjbm7qvunh@4ax.com>, AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
writes:

>
>Actually Dwight, the fact that Larry here is using AOL as his ISP
>speaks more about HIS intelligence and technical expertise than
>anything he could post...   Of course, the fact that he composes
>newsgroup posts on Word 97 and then pastes them into his AOL

>newsreader window instead of just keeping a dictionary near his
>computer indicates quite a bit about his level of common sense as
>well.

You're talking about a process that I can do so quickly and
easily, it takes longer to explain how to do it than to *do* it.
I do the selecting, cutting, and pasting with Ctrl-key sequences;
it is much easier to take advantages of the spell-checking,
dictionary, and thesaurus functions of a fully-functional word
processor than it is to thumb thru the pages of my fairly large
and heavy Merriam-Webster hardcover dictionary -- even though
I do use it quite often.

As to using AOL as my ISP, you're wrong about that. My ISP
is Magpage.com; I only access AOL thru it. I like AOL's
newsreader because it eliminates a lot of unnecessary crap
found in other newsreaders; and besides, when accessing AOL
thru my ISP, its only 9.95/mo. for unlimited use, and gives me
access to other forums and chat rooms I've been using literally
from the beginning of AOL service (I was one of the first 1,000
customers).

73 de Larry, K3LT
yodoc@aol.com
k3lt@magpage.com

From 720499433567735370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 06:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!
typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <a6opat4dppqaomun5pfmki2ts0crq1ds5n@4ax.com>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com>
<kgcnato1ing2k76t1bguti7ogjbm7qvunh@4ax.com> <B6D1DD75.52F9%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 31
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 14:37:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 984407860 24.95.131.236 (Mon, 12 Mar 2001 09:37:40

```
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 09:37:40 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17240

On Mon, 12 Mar 2001 01:57:09 -0500, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>AB2HR wrote:
>
>> Actually Dwight, the fact that Larry here
>> is using AOL as his ISP speaks more about
>> HIS intelligence and technical expertise
>> than anything he could post...  [snip]
>
>
>  You know, I have never noticed that Larry uses AOL to access the internet.
>I seldom pay much attention to things like that.  However, that may partly
>explain why he gets into these negative moods so often.  It seems to be a
>relatively common thing among AOL users (perhaps resulting from the monthly
>bills they pay - somewhat like the PMS that some women experience on a
>monthly basis).   :-)
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net

Can't quite subscribe to that theory Dwight, as I pay top-notch rates
for my high bandwidth ISP, quite a bit more than the typical AOL
jockey.  AOL does, however, seem to attract what may be called (with
tongue placed firmly in cheek) the lowest common demeanour....  ;)

73!

Ray / AB2HR


From 861670797701725817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 06:42:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
<B6D1E9CC.52FA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AACD7B7.9FB60B70@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
```

```
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <Va5r6.474$7R3.1056812@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 08:40:23 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.3.67.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 984407861 207.3.67.24 (Mon, 12 Mar 2001 09:37:41 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 09:37:41 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17241


"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AACD7B7.9FB60B70@IEEE.org...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >   But, don't worry, I'm still calling you a liberal.  Heck, even in
the
> > context of the description Larry gave of me above, you're still more
of a
> > liberal than me.
>
> Well, Libertarians are half liberal. We are social liberals and
> economic conservatives. That follows directly from believing in the
> least amount of laws (increasing freedom) and the least amount of
> goverment (decreasing taxpayer cost) that is necessary and sufficient.

Perhaps part of the problem is that with that approach in any other
country in the world you would be called a 'liberal'.

I often describe this position as being a 'Goldwater liberal'.
Unfortunately you have to be of a certain age to grok the meaning.

Like so many other things, the result is the product of one's assumptions
about people and the proper role of government.  We both, I suspect,
harbor a similar outlook toward drugs and in doing so incur the wrath of
both the moralists and the goo-goos.  Some days it don't pay to get out of
bed.

Dick N�BK





From 7893325920227772725
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 07:16:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AACE5A8.AF92731D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 09:05:12 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <kgcnato1ing2k76t1bguti7ogjbm7qvunh@4ax.com>
<20010312093447.10659.00001865@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.78
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984409717
64.240.47.78 (12 Mar 2001 09:08:37 -0600)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17242
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> (I was one of the first 1,000 [AOL] customers).

And it shows! :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2309450044848297815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 07:28:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AACE851.990A75D6@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 09:16:33 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
```

References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
<B6D1E9CC.52FA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AACD7B7.9FB60B70@IEEE.org>
<Va5r6.474$7R3.1056812@nntp3.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.78
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984410399
64.240.47.78 (12 Mar 2001 09:19:59 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17243

Richard McCollum wrote:
> Perhaps part of the problem is that with that approach in any other
> country in the world you would be called a 'liberal'.

Trouble is, liberals changed their tune down through history. They
once wanted to *liberate* the masses from governmental oppression.
Now they want to use the welfare state to enslave the producers AND
to enslave the masses and breed more welfare state liberal voters.
What happens when the majority of voters are on welfare?

> I often describe this position as being a 'Goldwater liberal'.
> Unfortunately you have to be of a certain age to grok the meaning.

You have to be of a certain age to grok. :-)

> Some days it don't pay to get out of bed.

Saturday was one of those days. I just stayed in bed.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2185683208694709498

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 07:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 07:39:33 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <98iqfp$lcl$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-
bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <989pv2$kbf$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aaa5ddc.9448266@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga20zl3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-62.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17244

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.ga20zl3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> Although I don't agree with The Congress on this, I can
> certainly see why they don't want to spend two cents on
> problems involving the CB Radio Service.

Or, in this case the Amateur Radio Service, apparently.

ac6xg

From 9151370707674186349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 08:17:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
<B6D1E9CC.52FA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AACD7B7.9FB60B70@IEEE.org>
<Va5r6.474$7R3.1056812@nntp3.onemain.com> <3AACE851.990A75D6@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject

```
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <cA6r6.485$7R3.1081350@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 10:14:45 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.3.67.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 984413576 207.3.67.24 (Mon, 12 Mar 2001 11:12:56 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 11:12:56 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17245


"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AACE851.990A75D6@IEEE.org...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> > Perhaps part of the problem is that with that approach in any other
> > country in the world you would be called a 'liberal'.
>
> Trouble is, liberals changed their tune down through history. They
> once wanted to *liberate* the masses from governmental oppression.
> Now they want to use the welfare state to enslave the producers AND
> to enslave the masses and breed more welfare state liberal voters.
> What happens when the majority of voters are on welfare?

If one is the dispenser of loaves and fishes it is one hell of a lot
easier to be re-elected.  Worked for every machine politician since
Tammany Hall.

There is the classic story of the man running for township road
commissioner.  In a speech he pointed out that his incumbent opponent had
the best road in the township going right past his farm.  One of the
spectators, taking his thumbs out of his overalls, shouted out, " Then why
in hell should we elect you.  He already has HIS road."

We get the government we deserve.






From 2123863509215192120
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 08:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 08:37:38 -0800
```

```
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <98itsn$nvt$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <98gc4s$nk6$1@news.service.uci.edu> <20010312071710.03162.00001376@ng-
md1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-62.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17246


Steve Robeson LPN <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010312071710.03162.00001376@ng-md1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Pride
> >From: "Jim Kelley" jwkelley@uci.edu
> >Date: 03/11/01 12:22 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <98gc4s$nk6$1@news.service.uci.edu>
>
> >W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> >news:3AAA38B2.E95CFF1A@IEEE.org...
> >> You can add to my list of "violations" when I was 14 that I played
doctor
> >> with my 12 year old sister. I still feel guilty about that one.

> >TMI, Cecil.

>      Can't say I am surprised.  Answers a lot of questions, though.

First stone now cast.





From -7332890385752763O7
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 08:50:14 PST
Message-ID: <3AACFB98.954903DF@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 10:38:48 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <98gc4s$nk6$1@news.service.uci.edu> <20010312071710.03162.00001376@ng-
md1.aol.com> <98itsn$nvt$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984415333
64.240.47.72 (12 Mar 2001 10:42:13 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!
diablo.netcom.net.uk!netcom.net.uk!news1.ebone.net!news.ebone.net!
newsfeed.freenet.de!news.space.net!news.csl-gmbh.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!
news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17247
```

Jim Kelley wrote:

> First stone now cast.

I still like to play doctor, but now only with someone else's sister
who is two years younger than I.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1509776619677475583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 00:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
206.13.28.143!news.pacbell.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From:   Tony <tdowden@pacbell.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
Message-ID: <4oqbatg18b33n6jpqpq31gfv7244gf41pq@4ax.com>
```

```
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 50
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:08:24 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.201.80.227
X-Complaints-To: abuse@pacbell.net
X-Trace: news.pacbell.net 983952536 63.201.80.227 (Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:08:56 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:08:56 PST
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18059
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10042 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17048



I'm sure your intention was good, but if you go to the mcafee site
(the one your message references) and look under hoaxes you'll find
this message, and it's represented as a hoax.  The text is only
slightly different.  So what your friend sent you was possibly in
error.  I make no judgement of him or you.  However, there are a lot
of hoaxes as well as real viruses going around.  You might go there
and check it out.  It's interesting.

Just thought you'd like to know.

Tony


On Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:54:15 -0600, Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:

>A friend sent this to me today. Normally, I hate to clutter up the ham
>NG's with this type of thing, but being as it's a day old, the quicker
>the word gets out , the better. This is not a joke or a troll...This is
>a plum ugly new virus that will ruin your day if you open it. I'm just
>passing it on, so someone doesn't later say, well... why didn't you say
>something... MK
>
>> Subject:    NEW VIRUS!! READ IMMEDIATELY!!
>>
>> A new virus has just been discovered that has been  classified by
>> Microsoft (www.microsoft.com) and by McAfee (www.mcafee.com) as the
>> most destructive ever! This virus was discovered yesterday afternoon
>> by McAfee and no vaccine has yet been developed.
>>
>> This virus simply destroys Sector Zero from the hard  disk,  where
>> vital information for its functioning are stored. This  virus  sends
>> itself automatically to all contacts on your list with the  title  "A
>> Virtual Card for You". When  the supposed virtual card is  opened, the
>> computer freezes so that the user has to reboot. When the
>> ctrl+alt+del  keys or  the reset button are pressed, the virus
>> destroys Sector Zero,  thus permanently destroying the hard disk.
```

```
>>
>> According to news broadcast by CNN (www.cnn.com). This  VIRUS received
>> by an employee of Microsoft itself. So don't  open any mails with
>> subject  "A Virtual Card for You". As soon  as you get the mail,
>> delete it.
>>
>> Also: Intel announced that a new and very destructive  virus was
>> discovered recently. If you receive an email called   "An Internet
>> Flower For You", do not open it. Delete it right away!  It removes all
>> dynamic link libraries(.dll files) from  your computer.   Your
>> computer will not be able to boot up.
```

```
From -2544544372799061253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 03:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.sttls1.wa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: Keith <kewyatt@apexmail.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
User-Agent: Forte 1.8/32.548 {modified}
X-PGP-ID: 0x28E3ABE5  Print 329D 5AD2 0998 400F  9565 8635 C873 B393
Organization: Find Freeware @ http://strongsignals.com/
Reply-To: "Keith" <nospam@strongsignals.com>
Expires: Wed, 6 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
Message-ID: <0p4cat8em2cf2ppqd927emog5nva9d07nu@N6JPA.OR.SALEM.NOAM>
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 10:55:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.0.43.125
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.sttls1.wa.home.com 983962502 65.0.43.125 (Wed, 07 Mar 2001 02:55:02
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 02:55:02 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18060
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10047 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17049
```

On Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:54:15 -0600, Mark Keith
 <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net> wrote:

```
>A friend sent this to me today. Normally, I hate to clutter up the ham
>NG's with this type of thing, but being as it's a day old,

Then don't plug up newsgroups unless the newsgroups name is *.virus.*

--
Best Regards,

Keith         (Use Reply-to for email)
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
I've walked these streets in the mad house asylum they can be where a wild
eyed misfit prophet on a traffic island stopped and he raved of saving me,
have I been blind, have I been lost, inside my self and my own mind
hypnotized mesmerized by what my eyes have seen?  ~N. Merchant, "Carnival"
----------------------------------------------------------------------------



From 6963312330574548297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 04:02:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 12:00:19 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3aa6229a.2698306@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<n09batclma2794632dgqdvjbtgl03h309h@4ax.com> <9849rm$rpe$0@208.207.71.136>
<3aa5b998.1125497@news.mindspring.com>
<epgbator40jq1rpunfv2qed96cv2cq0tsp@4ax.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ec.e8
X-Server-Date: 7 Mar 2001 11:59:36 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17050

On Wed, 07 Mar 2001 05:12:32 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
wrote:

>billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:
>>Yep,paid my dues several times that way.  Arizona was
>>the easiest as you could plead the equivalent of no
>>contest, pay the fine and the ticket never shows up
>>on your license.
```

>
>It has never worked that way here Bill. You always got the points with
>a no contest plea. The only way to avoid the points was to beat the
>ticket or go to school...

au contrarie, that was certainly not the case about 1983
when I was tagged in AZ (NJ license).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -2979724201471981933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 07:02:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 7 Mar 2001 14:54:10 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <985i2i$dbv$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
<3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org> <9838np$5sf$1@news.netmar.com>
<3AA56A49.4BFA@bellsouth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 983976850 13695 205.139.138.14 (7 Mar 2001 14:54:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Mar 2001 14:54:10 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17051

In article <3AA56A49.4BFA@bellsouth.net>, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>I just can't see your position on this.

And I just can't see yours. That makes us even.

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From 9035243912575812612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 08:26:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!
rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 10:14:42 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3AA65E72.65427C7B@IEEE.org>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
<9840ji$t1q$1@208.207.71.133>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.75
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 7 Mar 2001 16:24:39 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17052
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> No, but you said that you didn't meet the requirements when your
> buddies skipped your code test way back in the early 50's. So maybe
> you're talking about yourself.

As usual, you have things screwed up. One ham gave me my Morse code

```
exam and another ham gave me my written exam. Nobody skipped my
code test.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -2178320115530690771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 08:30:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 10:17:34 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AA65F1E.A45F8414@IEEE.org>
References: <3AA50812.62C6EE4E@IEEE.org> <20010306122944.24271.00000063@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <3AA565AB.39C4F41F@IEEE.org> <3AA583E1.56995DE5@ovis.net>
<3AA5ABFD.E6F691CC@IEEE.org> <3AA5CB3F.65222D58@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.75
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 7 Mar 2001 16:27:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17053

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > For a guy who claims Mensa membership, you're certainly a clown.
> >
> > I'm not a member of Mensa.
>
> That's certainly what you lead us to believe.  I guess you weren't being
> serious, huh?

I haven't been a member of MENSA since 2000. The dues went up to $45.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -2221900529653384996
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
```

X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 08:54:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.30
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Wed, 7 Mar 2001 11:40:57 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC807894420@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net>
Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
Lines: 44
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18074 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10057 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17054

Although this one is a hoax, there is a very nasty one that is NOT a hoax - it has"nakedwife.exe" as an attachment. It does not seem to be spreading as wildly as predicted. Perhaps everyone is beginning to learn not to open mysterious mail messages.

"Mark Keith" <nm5k@wt.net> wrote in message news:3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net...
> A friend sent this to me today. Normally, I hate to clutter up the ham
> NG's with this type of thing, but being as it's a day old, the quicker
> the word gets out , the better. This is not a joke or a troll...This is
> a plum ugly new virus that will ruin your day if you open it. I'm just
> passing it on, so someone doesn't later say, well... why didn't you say
> something... MK
>
> > Subject: NEW VIRUS!! READ IMMEDIATELY!!
> >
> > A new virus has just been discovered that has been classified by
> > Microsoft (www.microsoft.com) and by McAfee (www.mcafee.com) as the
> > most destructive ever! This virus was discovered yesterday afternoon
> > by McAfee and no vaccine has yet been developed.
> >
> > This virus simply destroys Sector Zero from the hard disk, where
> > vital information for its functioning are stored. This virus sends
> > itself automatically to all contacts on your list with the title "A
> > Virtual Card for You". When the supposed virtual card is opened, the
> > computer freezes so that the user has to reboot. When the
> > ctrl+alt+del keys or the reset button are pressed, the virus
> > destroys Sector Zero, thus permanently destroying the hard disk.
> >
> > According to news broadcast by CNN (www.cnn.com). This VIRUS received

> > by an employee of Microsoft itself. So don't open any mails with
> > subject "A Virtual Card for You". As soon as you get the mail,
> > delete it.
> >
> > Also: Intel announced that a new and very destructive virus was
> > discovered recently. If you receive an email called   "An Internet
> > Flower For You", do not open it. Delete it right away! It removes all
> > dynamic link libraries(.dll files) from your computer.   Your
> > computer will not be able to boot up.
>
>
> --
> http://web.wt.net/~nm5k

From 7126729918749336198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 09:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!
sdd.hp.com!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Wed, 7 Mar 2001 09:04:11 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <985pim$asm$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA55908.5F9D1599@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sk6m0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-05.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17055

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.g9sk6m0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 13:39:20 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >Thanks very much for your reply and for the info, Phil. Makes sense.
> >
> >In follow-up, how is it possible to determine whether a ham is calling

```
> >CQ maliciously?
>
>         A licensee was ordered to show cause why its private
>         radio and common carrier paging licenses should not be
>         revoked after a Commission investigation revealed that
>         one of its PCP stations had repeatedly and willfully
>         transmitted in a manner that caused harmful interference
>         to the transmissions of a competing PCP station.
>         Monitoring of the licensee's PCP station by Commission
>         staff suggested that transmissions made by the licensee
>         on certain days were not for the purpose of conveying
>         actual pages for subscribers.  Rather, the licensee was
>         apparently attempting to degrade the quality of service
>         provided by its competitor so that the competitor's
>         customers would take its paging service.  In addition,
>         information before the Commission suggested that "test"
>         transmissions conducted by the licensee on several
>         occasions were not kept to a minimum and did not employ
>         sufficient measures to avoid harmful interference, and
>         that the licensee retransmitted previously completed
>         common carrier and PCP pages at times in an attempt to
>         reduce the amount of time available for its competitor to
>         complete its legitimate pages.  Finally, it appeared that
>         the licensee had made misrepresentations to the
>         Commission in its responses to inquiries about the
>         interference to its competitor.
>
>           Capitol Paging, 73 RR 2d 1381 [1993].
>                 [P&F Comm Digest, 2001]
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP

Interresting case.  Fairly clear cut though.  Didn't seem to involve a lack
of FCC enforcement of Part 95 rules.

73, Jim AC6XG
>
>
>
>




From 4237590002371603450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 09:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Wed, 7 Mar 2001 09:40:13 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <985rmd$cit$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-05.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17056

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Mon, 05 Mar 2001 17:28:01 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >Is the distintion here between that of 'throwing' and unidentified
> >carrier, and legally calling CQ or having a legitimate QSO? It seems to
> >me that if there were an interference issue between two truckers having
> >a conversation and two hams having a CW QSO on the same 10 meter
> >frequency, the interference issue would be easily resolved - in favor of
> >the hams. Is this not true?
> >
> >Otherwise I agree with your point about malicious interference.
>
>   Two hams attempting to have a legitimate QSO on a frequency
>   that they are both authorized on may not be considered
>   malicious interference to "bootleggers" occupying the frequency
>   if the intent of the QSO isnot to annoy the bootleggers.
>
>   Throwing a carrier or calling an extensive "CQ" with no
>   intention of establishing two-way communications is arguably
>   malicious.
>
>   There is case law concerning when interference which appears
>   to be authorized transmissions is considered "malicious", so
>   determination of when interference is "malicious" is easily made
>   from the case facts by a trained enforcement attorney.

I noted your comment about the 'two for one' deal. I suppose that may be
the price for getting the FCC to take notice of the illegal incursions into
the ham bands, and begin to enforce their rules. Why are the "properly
trained enforcement attorneys" not interested in these particular rules
violations?

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From -5476552171311684515
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 09:42:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 7 Mar 2001 09:34:14 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <985rb4$c8h$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
<3AA56360.118DADC3@uci.edu> <3AA56BC2.10400090@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-05.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17057

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AA56BC2.10400090@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > There are ***HAMs*** who didn't meet the amateur radio requirements.
> >
> > Now you got me confused, Cecil.  How are you defining "ham"?  My idea of
> > a ham is a guy who received a license because he met the amateur radio
> > requirements.  What kind of ham are you talking about?
>
> I defined it in the part that you cut out, Jim. Hams are individuals
> who possess an amateur radio license. Some hams possess amateur radio
> licenses who never met the requirements. This happened a lot during
> the time only one General ham was all that was required to certify a
> Conditional ham. A friend of mine flunked the 5wpm code exam at the FCC
> when I got my Novice license. Next rattle out of the box, he was a
> Conditional and he still couldn't do 5wpm.
```

The presumtion is that anyone who has been issued an amateur license has met the requirements to the FCCs satisfaction. It's not clear why the validity of the logic underlying this presumtion should now rely upon a rare exception you might happen to cite. Because it is true that all hams were required by law to demonstrate their qualifications to hold an amateur license.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -9054123921647670552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 10:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!uunet!dca.uu.net!ash.uu.net!spool1.news.uu.net!spool0.news.uu.net!reader2.news.uu.net!not-for-mail
From: QSB@QRM-QRN.net (Allodoxaphobia)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net> <0p4cat8em2cf2ppqd927emog5nva9d07nu@N6JPA.OR.SALEM.NOAM>
Reply-To: If You Reply <use_the_adr_in_my_sig@the.bottom>
Message-ID: <slrn9actt7.4si.QSB@animas.frontier.net>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Date: 07 Mar 2001 18:02:15 GMT
Lines: 19
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.105.232.12
X-Trace: reader2.news.uu.net 983988135 21320 63.105.232.12
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18075 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10058 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17058

On Wed, 07 Mar 2001 10:55:02 GMT, Keith scribbled:
>On Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:54:15 -0600, Mark Keith
> <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net> wrote:
>
>>A friend sent this to me today. Normally, I hate to clutter up the ham
>>NG's with this type of thing, but being as it's a day old,
>
>Then don't plug up newsgroups unless the newsgroups name is *.virus.*

And, *please* put "virus" in the !&$#^%# Subject: of these pathetic postings so that those of us with the good sense *not* to 'operate' micro$oft software can have our filters kill it.

tnx
Jonesy
--
```
  | Marvin L Jones       | jonz           |  W3DHJ   |  OS/2
  |  Gunnison, Colorado  |  @             |  Jonesy  |  linux   __
  |   7,703' -- 2,345m   |   frontier.net |  DM68mn             SK
```

From 2634043104026678109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 10:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <ucucatofb599gl08fe2so1q5mshlvb96pp@4ax.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<n09batclma2794632dgqdvjbtgl03h309h@4ax.com> <9849rm$rpe$0@208.207.71.136>
<3aa5b998.1125497@news.mindspring.com>
<epgbator40jq1rpunfv2qed96cv2cq0tsp@4ax.com>
<3aa6229a.2698306@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 44
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 18:10:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.137
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983988656 209.86.205.137 (Wed, 07 Mar
2001 10:10:56 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 10:10:56 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17059

billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:

>Aaron Jones wrote:
>
>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>Yep,paid my dues several times that way. Arizona was
>>>the easiest as you could plead the equivalent of no
>>>contest, pay the fine and the ticket never shows up
>>>on your license.
>>
>>It has never worked that way here Bill. You always got the points with

>>a no contest plea. The only way to avoid the points was to beat the
>>ticket or go to school...
>
>au contrarie, that was certainly not the case about 1983
>when I was tagged in AZ (NJ license).

If points didn't show up on your license in NJ because you got a
ticket in AZ there could be several reasons:

-The ticket was a non-point offense.
-AZ possibly didn't have reciprocity with NJ at the time.
-The system screwed up (most likely).

But it was *not* because you pleaded no contest.

From the Phoenix Municipal Court web site:
" Plea Of No Contest - This plea, also known as nolo contendere,
means that you are not admitting guilt and not denying it. You are
saying that you do not wish to contest the state's charge against you.
Upon a plea of no contest, the Judge will enter a judgement of guilt.
Unlike a plea of guilty, a plea of no contest cannot be used against
you in a civil suit."

As you can see a plea of no contest is entered as a *guilty* plea by
the judge. This is what produces the points. The reason for a no
contest plea is simply to avoid having the evidence of a guilty plea
used against you in a civil lawsuit, which normally results only from
traffic accident related citations. I spent many hours in that court
from 1968 to 1992 and I did see many misguided citizens plead no
contest in non-accident tickets because they thought that they were
innocent, but didn't want to go to trial. The judge didn't care, but
carefully explained to them that the fine would be the same and points
would be issued...

From -6053150049670229275
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 10:28:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 7 Mar 2001 18:27:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>

```
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17060
```

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
 I knew a young trooper who cited a motorist for doing
> >57 in a 55 zone. The officer was cruising at 55 when the guy passed him,
> >and he saw something he didn't like. Redlight time.
>
> Most any decent court will throw that out as being insufficient
> (i.e. a deminimus) difference to warrant a ticket to begin with.

Its a rare court in these parts that will toss out a complaint by an officer without some really strong evidence against it. I don't know the outcome of that case but most likely he mailed in his fine. That way he doesn't have to take az day off from work to go to court, then probably have to pay just as much fine, or more, than if he had gone ahead and mailed it in. Nothin' says the judge has to stick with the published fine schedule if he finds reason not to....you standing there arguing how innocent you are might do it.

```
From 999134158025629026
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 10:32:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Mar 2001 18:30:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <985unc$4c3$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
<3AA56360.118DADC3@uci.edu> <9840ra$17k$1@208.207.71.133>
```

<3AA5A991.5D97EF09@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17061

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I always called 'em bootleggers. Cecil calls 'em hams. Wonder what that
> > means.
>
> Why would you call people with ham licenses bootleggers? Are you
> a bootlegger?

Cecil, you have managed to reduce yourself to the point that you are nothing more than a simple pain in the ass.

From 6185279161791874555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 10:34:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 7 Mar 2001 18:34:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <985uv8$4c3$2@208.207.71.157>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
<9840ji$t1q$1@208.207.71.133> <3AA65E72.65427C7B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17062

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > No, but you said that you didn't meet the requirements when your
> > buddies skipped your code test way back in the early 50's. So maybe
> > you're talking about yourself.
>
> As usual, you have things screwed up. One ham gave me my Morse code
> exam and another ham gave me my written exam. Nobody skipped my
> code test.

 Thats your story now that no one can retrieve the original from
dejanews.

From -6175245338593423836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 10:38:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
Date: 7 Mar 2001 18:37:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <985v5i$5od$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC807894420@www.premiersi.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18077
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10063 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17063

jimmy john wrote:
>
> Although this one is a hoax, there is a very nasty one that is NOT a hoax -

> it has"nakedwife.exe" as an attachment. It does not seem to be spreading as
> wildly as predicted. Perhaps everyone is beginning to learn not to open
> mysterious mail messages.

Another one I received a couple times is an email message with no address, no signature, no text, nothing except an attachment titled "Norton Antivirus Report". My Nortom antivirus program grabbed it, Id'ed it as a virus and quarantined it. It wouldn't be smart to open any attachments.

From 2107347476486217960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 10:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <7e0datc19kdtf7tq1oceujfi6jqf4b15jv@4ax.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <n09batclma2794632dgqdvjbtgl03h309h@4ax.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9sx340.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 18:52:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.221
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 983991129 209.86.206.221 (Wed, 07 Mar 2001 10:52:09 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 10:52:09 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17064

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> He is as much maverick as I am. If he decides that it's time
> to make an example out of someone, he'll make sure that even
> though one of the grunts does it, he'll keep a sharp eye and a
> guiding hand on it.

Do you suppose that Lyn's boss County Attorney Rick Romley would approve of one of his top attorneys taking the county's time and money to make an example of a newsgroup freeway speeder? Our local newspaper The Arizona Republic would love such a story considering how the public feels about our freeway speed limits and wasted tax dollars. Thank God for the press to control those maverick attorneys...   :-)

```
From -6045149836282314219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 11:28:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Mar 2001 19:25:38 GMT
References: <20010304105555.09041.00000447@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010307142538.14057.00000191@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17065
```

Hi Bert,

I'm sure that the law of averages says that somewhere, someone's been pinched by this rule. Common sense would similarly dictate that it would likely have been in conjunction with a more conspicously egregious offense. (A couple of hundred watts worth...perhaps?) I went for a solid ten years or so with 30 watts and I've never attracted any unwanted attention or actually heard of anybody else even receiving so much as a warning.

You know my credo though, be good. If you can't be good, then be careful. It's far better to be good though. I just picked up an Alinco DJ-V5TDC, good little h/t at a reasonable price. Bert, weren't you looking for a good 2m h/t? Here it is. I got the clear model, kinda nice actually. I wanted something small and unobtrusive for my desk at work, this fits the bill perfectly. I though about the Radio Shack HTX-200. You know what happened there...and so does Radio Shack.;-) Take care.

73 de Mark

```
From 4926667339684117762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 11:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

```
dc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Mar 2001 19:27:23 GMT
References: <97v5cl$iv4$1@208.207.71.166>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010307142723.14057.00000193@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17066

>Could it be that he is trying to make us believe it's what he actually
>uses?

Ah, an optimist.:-)



From 1914001857385710974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 11:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Mar 2001 19:32:24 GMT
References: <20010305151923.22834.00000656@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010307143224.14057.00000194@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17067

Bert, take it easy. You got trolled. (That's a verb, not a label...remember, no
name calling.) Don't sweat it, happens to the best of us. Bye.

Mark



From 7395031657462582483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 13:36:07 PST
```

Message-ID: <3AA6A8BC.58CE@wt.net>
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 15:31:40 -0600
From: Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net>
Reply-To: nm5k@wt.net
Organization: NM5K
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01 (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-240-35-48.ipset61.wt.net
X-Trace: 7 Mar 2001 15:31:03 -0600, 64-240-35-48.ipset61.wt.net
Lines: 9
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feed.newsreader.com!uunet!
ash.uu.net!dfw.uu.net!newsfeeder.wt.net!data.wt.net!64-240-35-48.ipset61.wt.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18087
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10069 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17068

Mark Keith wrote:

Note...I've had a couple of e-mails suggesting that this might be a
hoax. Dunno. Could very well be. But I did get it from a fairly reliable
source. I just passed it on as a note. If it's a hoax, so much the
better. MK
--
http://web.wt.net/~nm5k

From -589973986070467430
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 14:36:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Denver Braughler <Braughler@bwcc.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 17:36:00 -0500
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3AA6B7D0.212325E1@bwcc.com>
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net> <3AA6A8BC.58CE@wt.net>

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVYbO5qq4KeMO+BjrGMntqR1y6/gzxhfCE3a+XXcomMN+2mydtQZjoqf
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Mar 2001 22:35:57 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18091
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10071 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17069

Mark Keith wrote:
> Note...I've had a couple of e-mails suggesting that this might be a
> hoax.

I didn't suggest that it might be a hoax.
I flatly declared that it is undeniably a hoax.

> I did get it from a fairly reliable source.

Formerly reliable source.

> I just passed it on as a note.

You could easily have checked the facts on any of many websites which list this prevalent hoax.
Though it contained so many tale tell signs of being a hoax, that only a novice would be fooled by it.

From 5821956449777789724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 15:36:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: ccom2@socket.net
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 23:38:09 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3aa6c5c4.8341614@news.socket.net>
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 46
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18093
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10074 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17070

My brother got it yesterday was notified by his norton antivirus that

```
his boot sector was trying to be changed.
if it is a hoax why is it being detected by Norton antivirus

Mike



Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:

>A friend sent this to me today. Normally, I hate to clutter up the ham
>NG's with this type of thing, but being as it's a day old, the quicker
>the word gets out , the better. This is not a joke or a troll...This is
>a plum ugly new virus that will ruin your day if you open it. I'm just
>passing it on, so someone doesn't later say, well... why didn't you say
>something... MK
>
>> Subject:    NEW VIRUS!! READ IMMEDIATELY!!
>>
>> A new virus has just been discovered that has been  classified by
>> Microsoft (www.microsoft.com) and by McAfee (www.mcafee.com) as the
>> most destructive ever! This virus was discovered yesterday afternoon
>> by McAfee and no vaccine has yet been developed.
>>
>> This virus simply destroys Sector Zero from the hard  disk,  where
>> vital information for its functioning are stored. This  virus  sends
>> itself automatically to all contacts on your list with the  title  "A
>> Virtual Card for You". When  the supposed virtual card is  opened, the
>> computer freezes so that the user has to reboot. When the
>> ctrl+alt+del  keys or  the reset button are pressed, the virus
>> destroys Sector Zero,  thus permanently destroying the hard disk.
>>
>> According to news broadcast by CNN (www.cnn.com). This  VIRUS received
>> by an employee of Microsoft itself. So don't  open any mails with
>> subject  "A Virtual Card for You". As soon  as you get the mail,
>> delete it.
>>
>> Also: Intel announced that a new and very destructive  virus was
>> discovered recently. If you receive an email called   "An Internet
>> Flower For You", do not open it. Delete it right away!  It removes all
>> dynamic link libraries(.dll files) from  your computer.   Your
>> computer will not be able to boot up.
>
>
>--
>http://web.wt.net/~nm5k




From 7859265802365208042
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 16:04:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
```

63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Mar 2001 00:03:29 GMT
References: <20010307142538.14057.00000191@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010307190329.19421.00000223@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17071

Thanks MP,

>Common sense

;-D!!!

>I just picked up an Alinco DJ-V5TDC, good little
>h/t at a reasonable price. Bert, weren't you looking for a good 2m h/t? Here
>it
>is. I got the clear model, kinda nice actually. I wanted something small and
>unobtrusive for my desk at work, this fits the bill perfectly. I thought about
>the Radio Shack HTX-200.

Too late, I beat you. I got em' both. I use the HTX-200 to "bat about" at work,
and the Alinco I handle with more "kid gloves." Thanks for the advice though.
My XYL is taking her Technician exam soon so she'll inherit the HTX-200. Thanks
again and take it easy.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

From -3866811160324059646
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf2799ae7e1cd3e2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 16:08:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Mar 2001 00:06:50 GMT
References: <20010307143224.14057.00000194@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: A Friendly Question re. The 155 mi. Rule on 27MHz?
Message-ID: <20010307190650.19421.00000224@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17072

>Bert, take it easy. You got trolled. (That's a verb, not a label...remember,
>no
>name calling.) Don't sweat it, happens to the best of us.

Wouldn't be the first time. QSL re. the "verb" troll...but the visual I have is pretty darn close though.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

From -5899341030449460381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 16:46:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc1.ct.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net> <4oqbatg18b33n6jpqpq31gfv7244gf41pq@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
Lines: 68
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <uAAp6.14918$Ok4.1961444@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 00:43:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.23.12.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.ct.home.com 984012186 24.23.12.101 (Wed, 07 Mar 2001 16:43:06 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 16:43:06 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18100 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10076 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17073

You really sure, Tony?? This is direct cut-and-paste from the McAfee site:

W32/Naked@MM (NakedWife Virus) Help Center
W32/Naked@MM is a HIGH RISK virus that is spread using the Windows email program Outlook. The infected email can come from addresses that you recognize. Attached is a file named NakedWife.exe, which poses as a Flash movie. When run, it copies itself to a TEMP directory and displays a window

entitled "Flash" which reads "JibJab loading".

Moral of story: Don't open .exe files attached to email.

Pete, K1ZJH

"Tony" <tdowden@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:4oqbatg18b33n6jpqpq31gfv7244gf41pq@4ax.com...
>
>
> I'm sure your intention was good, but if you go to the mcafee site
> (the one your message references) and look under hoaxes you'll find
> this message, and it's represented as a hoax. The text is only
> slightly different. So what your friend sent you was possibly in
> error. I make no judgement of him or you. However, there are a lot
> of hoaxes as well as real viruses going around. You might go there
> and check it out. It's interesting.
>
> Just thought you'd like to know.
>
> Tony
>
>
> On Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:54:15 -0600, Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:
>
> >A friend sent this to me today. Normally, I hate to clutter up the ham
> >NG's with this type of thing, but being as it's a day old, the quicker
> >the word gets out , the better. This is not a joke or a troll...This is
> >a plum ugly new virus that will ruin your day if you open it. I'm just
> >passing it on, so someone doesn't later say, well... why didn't you say
> >something... MK
> >
> >> Subject: NEW VIRUS!! READ IMMEDIATELY!!
> >>
> >> A new virus has just been discovered that has been classified by
> >> Microsoft (www.microsoft.com) and by McAfee (www.mcafee.com) as the
> >> most destructive ever! This virus was discovered yesterday afternoon
> >> by McAfee and no vaccine has yet been developed.
> >>
> >> This virus simply destroys Sector Zero from the hard disk, where
> >> vital information for its functioning are stored. This virus sends
> >> itself automatically to all contacts on your list with the title "A
> >> Virtual Card for You". When the supposed virtual card is opened, the
> >> computer freezes so that the user has to reboot. When the
> >> ctrl+alt+del keys or the reset button are pressed, the virus
> >> destroys Sector Zero, thus permanently destroying the hard disk.
> >>
> >> According to news broadcast by CNN (www.cnn.com). This VIRUS received
> >> by an employee of Microsoft itself. So don't open any mails with
> >> subject "A Virtual Card for You". As soon as you get the mail,
> >> delete it.
> >>

> >> Also: Intel announced that a new and very destructive virus was
> >> discovered recently. If you receive an email called   "An Internet
> >> Flower For You", do not open it. Delete it right away! It removes all
> >> dynamic link libraries(.dll files) from your computer.   Your
> >> computer will not be able to boot up.
>

From -963704368971001597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 17:49:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Denver Braughler <Braughler@bwcc.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly virus hoax.. confusion!!
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 20:45:12 -0500
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3AA6E428.262DC30C@bwcc.com>
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net> <4oqbatg18b33n6jpqpq31gfv7244gf41pq@4ax.com> <uAAp6.14918$Ok4.1961444@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVZBbMn64G41M8YkNc/+P3rPDZMGZBtX71OeGNasaNJfQcTy36DEBlUK
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Mar 2001 01:45:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18108 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10079 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17074

"Peter J. Bertini" wrote:
> You really sure, Tony??
Yes.

> This is direct cut-and-paste from the McAfee site:

And if you read it, you will see that it has NOTHING to do with the hoax that was posted here which was about "A Virtual Card for You" and "An Internet Flower For You".

So when you get unmixed up, you will see that Tony was right and you were confused.

> > On Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:54:15 -0600, Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:
> > >> This virus simply destroys Sector Zero from the hard disk, where
> > >> vital information for its functioning are stored. This virus sends
> > >> itself automatically to all contacts on your list with the title "A
> > >> Virtual Card for You". When the supposed virtual card is opened, the
> > >> computer freezes so that the user has to reboot. When the
> > >> ctrl+alt+del keys or the reset button are pressed, the virus
> > >> destroys Sector Zero, thus permanently destroying the hard disk.
> > >>
> > >> According to news broadcast by CNN (www.cnn.com). This VIRUS received
> > >> by an employee of Microsoft itself. So don't open any mails with
> > >> subject "A Virtual Card for You". As soon as you get the mail,
> > >> delete it.
> > >>
> > >> Also: Intel announced that a new and very destructive virus was
> > >> discovered recently. If you receive an email called   "An Internet
> > >> Flower For You", do not open it. Delete it right away! It removes all
> > >> dynamic link libraries(.dll files) from your computer.   Your
> > >> computer will not be able to boot up.

```
From 7742488922253829990
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 17:53:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-
for-mail
From: Denver Braughler <Braughler@bwcc.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly virus hoax..confusion!!
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 20:51:44 -0500
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3AA6E5B0.9BCA242A@bwcc.com>
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net> <3aa6c5c4.8341614@news.socket.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVYTq5HYuKF++U87iYGrfwMyJTWLORnXruQBPas7j0vb7CWBUolmVDaw
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Mar 2001 01:51:47 GMT
X-Mailer:  Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language:  en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18109
```

rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10080 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17075

ccom2@socket.net wrote:
> My brother got it yesterday was notified by his norton antivirus that
> his boot sector was trying to be changed.
> if it is a hoax why is it being detected by Norton antivirus

Your brother is confused, mistaken, misunderstood, or non-existent.
"Virtual Card" is a hoax.

```
From -6807976468020847818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 18:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!diablo.netcom.net.uk!netcom.net.uk!newsfeed.icl.net!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9unng1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA55908.5F9D1599@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sk6m0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<985pim$asm$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 19
Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 02:20:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.31
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984018057 206.58.44.31 (Thu, 08 Mar 2001 02:20:57
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 02:20:57 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17076
```

On Wed, 7 Mar 2001 09:04:11 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:

>>   Capitol Paging, 73 RR 2d 1381 [1993].
>
>Interresting case. Fairly clear cut though. Didn't seem to involve a lack
>of FCC enforcement of Part 95 rules.

```
  The value of the case is not whether it involves CB, but what
  factors are or must be taken into account in determining when
  an otherwise legal transmission in any radio service becomes
  malicious interference.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -8522649232338822135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 18:25:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9uoge3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<985rmd$cit$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 37
Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 02:21:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.31
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984018062 206.58.44.31 (Thu, 08 Mar 2001 02:21:02
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 02:21:02 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17077
```

On Wed, 7 Mar 2001 09:40:13 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:

```
>Why are the "properly
>trained enforcement attorneys" not interested in these particular rules
>violations?
```

1. The Congress wants CB and its problems to go away without any effort or attention on the part of The Government (read: "money" for "effort"). See what's happening now with the OSHA ergonomic rules, where The Congress is substituting its political judgment for the agency's technical judgment (in apparent violation of a long line of Federal Appellate Court rulings).

2. The powers that be at the FCC do not have the backbone to confront The Congress on enforcement issues.

3. Those qualified agents and attorneys who are left are directed not to deal with it by their superiors, the responsibility for such decisions eventually reaching up to a level where the individual has no knowledge of the problems in the various radio services and may care even less, thus fulfilling The Congress' desires.

The theory of Chekhov's Dog applies (note well -I will refer to it from now on) -- the prince kicks the duke, the duke kicks the next lower nobleman, eventually the janitor gets kicked and turns around and kicks his dog.....

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -4370519461758258416
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 18:52:59 PST
Message-ID: <3AA6F0C1.8D5E4092@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 20:38:57 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly virus hoax..confusion!!
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net> <3aa6c5c4.8341614@news.socket.net>
<3AA6E5B0.9BCA242A@bwcc.com>

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.87
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984019345
64.240.47.87 (7 Mar 2001 20:42:25 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18111
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10084 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17078

Denver Braughler wrote:
>
> ccom2@socket.net wrote:
> > My brother got it yesterday was notified by his norton antivirus that
> > his boot sector was trying to be changed.
> > if it is a hoax why is it being detected by Norton antivirus
>
> Your brother is confused, mistaken, misunderstood, or non-existent.
> "Virtual Card" is a hoax.

Now that everyone knows it is a hoax, wouldn't that be a perfect
title for a real virus?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -5811631755720377354
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 18:56:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!64.86.63.5.MISMATCH!
nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-
east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
```

Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wz8a@aol.commie.NOT (AK)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Mar 2001 02:50:22 GMT
References: <3AA56A49.4BFA@bellsouth.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010307215022.18785.00000172@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17079

<<I just can't see your position on this. If I want to use 28.085 under the terms of my license for CW/digital and there happens to be a bootlegger there, I cannot see how you would want to paint me with a criminal brush. To me, it is quite simple. I am LICENSED for that frequency. As long as I use it in the manner for which it was intended, I cannot see your position of (seemingly) making the ham out to be engaging in deliberate interference. Thusly, if I send out a series of CQs on top of some idiot who has no business being there, I am perfectly legal. If I begin to play music, holler at the truckers on AM, set a dead key or a continuous tone, then I am operating outside of the terms of the use of that sub band. In that case, I AM operating my station illegally and the truckers don't really have anything to do with that--it's something I agreed to (that is, to operate within the regulations) when I obtained my license. If I send out CQs and no one answers, I can't help that. And my "intent" cannot be questioned. 73 Jerry K4KWH >>

I agree and like the way you expressed that, Jerry. I spoke briefly with Mr. Hollingsworth at Dayton last year (he seems a reasonable man), and should he have any problem with what you have said here - I would like to speak with him again this year on just this subject. Hope he attends Dayton again; he received lots of applause last year.

"Pay-to-Pay" (e-file) is not the smart way.
If only IRS were really a "service" !   AK

From -1509462757722570769
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4120de52c05aa866,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 19:02:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9uql70.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Bootleggers & Etc.
Lines: 28
Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 02:58:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.31
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984020304 206.58.44.31 (Thu, 08 Mar 2001 02:58:24 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 02:58:24 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17080

On Wed, 07 Mar 2001 18:52:09 GMT, Aaron Jones wrote:

>Do you suppose that Lyn's boss County Attorney Rick Romley would
>approve of one of his top attorneys taking the county's time and money
>to make an example of a newsgroup freeway speeder?

I would hope that Rick respects and agrees with Lyn's judgment on what is worthwhile to pursue and why.... <ggg> Lyn stepped down from being the Bureau chief because he really enjoyed trial work more than he enjoyed the administrative BS, but he's still listened to very much. He's ready to retire, anyhow (aren't we all....)

I, OTOH, enjoy pursuing the nitpicking/picayune cases "just because".... <ggg>

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -6915415674453041702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 19:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!

```
logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!newsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news.nyc.globix.net!
cluster2.nbank.net!dana
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
X-Sender: dana@cluster2
Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
In-Reply-To: <3aa6c5c4.8341614@news.socket.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103072207480.22803-100000@cluster2>
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net> <3aa6c5c4.8341614@news.socket.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 60
Date: Wed, 7 Mar 2001 22:08:32 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 130.205.85.40
X-Complaints-To: news@globix.net
X-Trace: news.nyc.globix.net 984021540 130.205.85.40 (Wed, 07 Mar 2001 22:19:00
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 22:19:00 EST
Organization: Globix Corp.
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18117
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10087 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17081

This virus doesn't screw up your boot sector, it messes up your outlook
express.

On Wed, 7 Mar 2001 ccom2@socket.net wrote:

> Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 23:38:09 GMT
> From: ccom2@socket.net
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
>     rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
>
> My brother got it yesterday was notified by his norton antivirus that
> his boot sector was trying to be changed.
> if it is a hoax why is it being detected by Norton antivirus
>
> Mike
>
>
> Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:
>
> >A friend sent this to me today. Normally, I hate to clutter up the ham
> >NG's with this type of thing, but being as it's a day old, the quicker
> >the word gets out , the better. This is not a joke or a troll...This is
> >a plum ugly new virus that will ruin your day if you open it. I'm just
> >passing it on, so someone doesn't later say, well... why didn't you say
> >something... MK
> >
> >> Subject:    NEW VIRUS!! READ IMMEDIATELY!!
> >>
> >> A new virus has just been discovered that has been  classified by
> >> Microsoft (www.microsoft.com) and by McAfee (www.mcafee.com) as the
> >> most destructive ever! This virus was discovered yesterday afternoon
> >> by McAfee and no vaccine has yet been developed.
> >>
> >> This virus simply destroys Sector Zero from the hard  disk,  where
> >> vital information for its functioning are stored. This  virus  sends
> >> itself automatically to all contacts on your list with the  title  "A
> >> Virtual Card for You". When  the supposed virtual card is  opened, the
> >> computer freezes so that the user has to reboot. When the
> >> ctrl+alt+del  keys or  the reset button are pressed, the virus
> >> destroys Sector Zero,  thus permanently destroying the hard disk.
> >>
> >> According to news broadcast by CNN (www.cnn.com). This  VIRUS received
> >> by an employee of Microsoft itself. So don't  open any mails with
> >> subject  "A Virtual Card for You". As soon  as you get the mail,
> >> delete it.
> >>
> >> Also: Intel announced that a new and very destructive  virus was
> >> discovered recently. If you receive an email called   "An Internet
> >> Flower For You", do not open it. Delete it right away!  It removes all
> >> dynamic link libraries(.dll files) from  your computer.   Your
> >> computer will not be able to boot up.
> >
> >
> >--
> >http://web.wt.net/~nm5k
>
>
>



From 7990972727991698820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 19:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news.nyc.globix.net!
cluster2.nbank.net!dana
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
X-Sender: dana@cluster2
Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
In-Reply-To: <uAAp6.14918$Ok4.1961444@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103072209450.22803-100000@cluster2>
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net> <4oqbatg18b33n6jpqpq31gfv7244gf41pq@4ax.com>
<uAAp6.14918$Ok4.1961444@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
```

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 82
Date: Wed, 7 Mar 2001 22:10:24 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 130.205.85.40
X-Complaints-To: news@globix.net
X-Trace: news.nyc.globix.net 984021653 130.205.85.40 (Wed, 07 Mar 2001 22:20:53 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 22:20:53 EST
Organization: Globix Corp.
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18118 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10088 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17082

That is just what I said in my last post. I don't have to worry about that, I am using linux.

On Thu, 8 Mar 2001, Peter J. Bertini wrote:

> Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 00:43:06 GMT
> From: Peter J. Bertini <commquart@home.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
>   rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!!
>
> You really sure, Tony?? This is direct cut-and-paste from the McAfee site:
>
> W32/Naked@MM (NakedWife Virus) Help Center
> W32/Naked@MM is a HIGH RISK virus that is spread using the Windows email
> program Outlook. The infected email can come from addresses that you
> recognize. Attached is a file named NakedWife.exe, which poses as a Flash
> movie. When run, it copies itself to a TEMP directory and displays a window
> entitled "Flash" which reads "JibJab loading".
>
> Moral of story: Don't open .exe files attached to email.
>
> Pete, K1ZJH
>
>
> "Tony" <tdowden@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:4oqbatg18b33n6jpqpq31gfv7244gf41pq@4ax.com...
> >
> >
> > I'm sure your intention was good, but if you go to the mcafee site
> > (the one your message references) and look under hoaxes you'll find
> > this message, and it's represented as a hoax. The text is only
> > slightly different. So what your friend sent you was possibly in
> > error. I make no judgement of him or you. However, there are a lot
> > of hoaxes as well as real viruses going around. You might go there
> > and check it out. It's interesting.
> >
> > Just thought you'd like to know.
> >
> > Tony

```
> >
> >
> > On Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:54:15 -0600, Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:
> >
> > >A friend sent this to me today. Normally, I hate to clutter up the ham
> > >NG's with this type of thing, but being as it's a day old, the quicker
> > >the word gets out , the better. This is not a joke or a troll...This is
> > >a plum ugly new virus that will ruin your day if you open it. I'm just
> > >passing it on, so someone doesn't later say, well... why didn't you say
> > >something... MK
> > >
> > >> Subject:    NEW VIRUS!! READ IMMEDIATELY!!
> > >>
> > >> A new virus has just been discovered that has been  classified by
> > >> Microsoft (www.microsoft.com) and by McAfee (www.mcafee.com) as the
> > >> most destructive ever! This virus was discovered yesterday afternoon
> > >> by McAfee and no vaccine has yet been developed.
> > >>
> > >> This virus simply destroys Sector Zero from the hard  disk,  where
> > >> vital information for its functioning are stored. This  virus  sends
> > >> itself automatically to all contacts on your list with the  title  "A
> > >> Virtual Card for You". When  the supposed virtual card is  opened, the
> > >> computer freezes so that the user has to reboot. When the
> > >> ctrl+alt+del  keys or  the reset button are pressed, the virus
> > >> destroys Sector Zero,  thus permanently destroying the hard disk.
> > >>
> > >> According to news broadcast by CNN (www.cnn.com). This  VIRUS received
> > >> by an employee of Microsoft itself. So don't  open any mails with
> > >> subject  "A Virtual Card for You". As soon  as you get the mail,
> > >> delete it.
> > >>
> > >> Also: Intel announced that a new and very destructive  virus was
> > >> discovered recently. If you receive an email called   "An Internet
> > >> Flower For You", do not open it. Delete it right away!  It removes all
> > >> dynamic link libraries(.dll files) from  your computer.   Your
> > >> computer will not be able to boot up.
> >
>
>
>
>




From 2669737554620109675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 19:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!news-hog.berkeley.edu!
ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Wed, 7 Mar 2001 19:36:04 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <986ujt$b9j$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA55908.5F9D1599@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sk6m0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<985pim$asm$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9unng1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-68.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17083

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.g9unng1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Wed, 7 Mar 2001 09:04:11 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >>   Capitol Paging, 73 RR 2d 1381 [1993].
> >
> >Interresting case. Fairly clear cut though. Didn't seem to involve a
lack
> >of FCC enforcement of Part 95 rules.
>
>   The value of the case is not whether it involves CB, but what
>   factors are or must be taken into account in determining when
>   an otherwise legal transmission in any radio service becomes
>   malicious interference.

Of course. My point was that the case did not involve someone who was
operating without operating privileges. I'm sure other case precidents
would enter significantly into the matter, and the outcome would probably be
different than the one in the case you cited.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -4509402983998774675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 19:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!news-hog.berkeley.edu!
ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail

From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Wed, 7 Mar 2001 19:40:18 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <986urs$bap$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9pci84.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <97vcke$ar0$0@208.207.71.166>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qdiw1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA43D21.18D2B50D@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9s6ih1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<985rmd$cit$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9uoge3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-68.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17084

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.g9uoge3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Wed, 7 Mar 2001 09:40:13 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >Why are the "properly
> >trained enforcement attorneys" not interested in these particular rules
> >violations?
>
>   1.   The Congress wants CB and its problems to go away without
>   any effort or attention on the part of The Government (read:
>   "money" for "effort"). See what's happening now with the OSHA
>   ergonomic rules, where The Congress is substituting its
>   political judgment for the agency's technical judgment (in
>   apparent violation of a long line of Federal Appellate Court
>   rulings).
>
>   2. The powers that be at the FCC do not have the backbone to
>   confront The Congress on enforcement issues.
>
>   3. Those qualified agents and attorneys who are left are directed
>   not to deal with it by their superiors, the responsibility for
>   such decisions eventually reaching up to a level where the
>   individual has no knowledge of the problems in the various
>   radio services and may care even less, thus fulfilling The
>   Congress' desires.

That's a pretty good list of excuses there, Phil. Now go to work on a list
for why hams shouldn't be blamed for the interfernce they are caused by
truckers using their bands, and maybe you'll have made a difference.

>   The theory of Chekhov's Dog applies (note well -I will refer to
>   it from now on) -- the prince kicks the duke, the duke kicks

>   the next lower nobleman, eventually the janitor gets kicked and
>   turns around and kicks his dog.....

So the dog is whom - the trucker, or the ham?

73, Jim AC6XG

From 5142673288782974405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 19:54:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Denver Braughler <Braughler@bwcc.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly virus hoax..confusion!!
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 22:50:47 -0500
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3AA70197.58AC3EEB@bwcc.com>
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net> <3aa6c5c4.8341614@news.socket.net>
<3AA6E5B0.9BCA242A@bwcc.com> <3AA6F0C1.8D5E4092@IEEE.org>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVaDsO8QZrA5zCbMxD09EwkIXOjnrde7RkGmyXZFW5BNlhr/y4YLTuDZ
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Mar 2001 03:51:12 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18121
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10090 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17085

W6RCecilA wrote:
> Now that everyone knows it is a hoax, wouldn't that be a perfect
> title for a real virus?

Have at it.
I don't use MS Outlook or IE.
I don't run attachments.
Hoax or not, I am not susceptible.

From 4306227107835584184

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 19:56:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AA6FF79.4FEDF47C@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 21:41:45 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
<3AA56360.118DADC3@uci.edu> <3AA56BC2.10400090@IEEE.org>
<985rb4$c8h$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984023114
64.240.47.101 (7 Mar 2001 21:45:14 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17086

Jim Kelley wrote:
> The presumtion is that anyone who has been issued an amateur license has met
> the requirements to the FCCs satisfaction. It's not clear why the validity
> of the logic underlying this presumtion should now rely upon a rare
> exception you might happen to cite. Because it is true that all hams were
> required by law to demonstrate their qualifications to hold an amateur
> license.

"Required by law" is the same thing as "required by circumstances" where the circumstances are the law. "Required by circumstances" is the definition of "forced". Yet Dick says he was not forced to pass a Morse code exam, i.e. he was not required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. If he was not required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam then he is admitting that he didn't meet the requirements. It's all perfectly logical.

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From -2411055319745825477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 20:00:57 PST
Message-ID: <3AA7009A.649A0A60@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 21:46:34 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
<3AA56360.118DADC3@uci.edu> <9840ra$17k$1@208.207.71.133>
<3AA5A991.5D97EF09@IEEE.org> <985unc$4c3$1@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984023403
64.240.47.101 (7 Mar 2001 21:50:03 -0600)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17087
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

```
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >  I always called 'em bootleggers. Cecil calls 'em hams. Wonder what that
> > > means.
> >
> > Why would you call people with ham licenses bootleggers? Are you
> > a bootlegger?
>
>  Cecil, you have managed to reduce yourself to the point that you are
> nothing more than a simple pain in the ass.

Let's summarize, Dick. A person with an amateur radio license was
required by circumstances (forced) to meet the requirements for an
amateur radio license. Any person with an amateur radio license who
didn't meet those requirements is a criminal. If you were not required
by circumstances (forced) to meet the amateur radio requirements then
you obtained your amateur radio license through fraud.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -9170967093295232783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 20:05:57 PST
Message-ID: <3AA701FE.31C6D913@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 21:52:30 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
<9840ji$t1q$1@208.207.71.133> <3AA65E72.65427C7B@IEEE.org>
<985uv8$4c3$2@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984023760
64.240.47.101 (7 Mar 2001 21:56:00 -0600)
```

```
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.icl.net!news.tele.dk!
213.24.141.54!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!Radio-MSU.net!news2.aha.ru!
news.rosprint.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17088

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >  No, but you said that you didn't meet the requirements when your
> > > buddies skipped your code test way back in the early 50's. So maybe
> > > you're talking about yourself.
> >
> > As usual, you have things screwed up. One ham gave me my Morse code
> > exam and another ham gave me my written exam. Nobody skipped my
> > code test.
>
>  Thats your story now that no one can retrieve the original from
> dejanews.

Here's what I said in the original: I took my 13wpm code exam and
passed it but flunked the written exam for Conditional. A month
later, I retook the written exam without taking another 13wpm code
exam. The second ham called the first ham who verified that I had
passed the 13wpm exam a month before and he assumed that I had
not forgotten the code over the last month. The posting was designed
to get your dander up and it certainly did. Your dander is still up.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

```
From -9088330368310273821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 108122,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,10bdfaf7baa56624
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 21:27:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
206.13.28.33!news.pacbell.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From:   Tony <tdowden@pacbell.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A plum ugly bug..Beware!! <OT - virus>
Message-ID: <s95eat0c124gftkoikilb3rdsg4p8n45kj@4ax.com>
References: <3AA5DB17.47F4@wt.net> <4oqbatg18b33n6jpqpq31gfv7244gf41pq@4ax.com>
<uAAp6.14918$Ok4.1961444@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 83
Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 21:23:23 -0800
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.198.207.184
X-Complaints-To: abuse@pacbell.net
X-Trace: news.pacbell.net 984029031 63.198.207.184 (Wed, 07 Mar 2001 21:23:51 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 07 Mar 2001 21:23:51 PST
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18126
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10093 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17089
```

I believe that the "NakedWife" virus that you refer to might well be real, but I was referring to the "VirtualCard" one that he referenced. I thought my message was pretty clear - maybe not. Notice what I had in parenthesis.

But I agree totally with your moral. I even carry it a bit further, I don't open *any* attachments without careful steps, and running a virus protection program. And I still got hit once.

Tony

On Thu, 08 Mar 2001 00:43:06 GMT, "Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com> wrote:

>You really sure, Tony?? This is direct cut-and-paste from the McAfee site:
>
>W32/Naked@MM (NakedWife Virus) Help Center
>W32/Naked@MM is a HIGH RISK virus that is spread using the Windows email
>program Outlook. The infected email can come from addresses that you
>recognize. Attached is a file named NakedWife.exe, which poses as a Flash

```
>movie. When run, it copies itself to a TEMP directory and displays a window
>entitled "Flash" which reads "JibJab loading".
>
>Moral of story:  Don't  open .exe files attached to email.
>
>Pete, K1ZJH
>
>
>"Tony" <tdowden@pacbell.net> wrote in message
>news:4oqbatg18b33n6jpqpq31gfv7244gf41pq@4ax.com...
>>
>>
>> I'm sure your intention was good, but if you go to the mcafee site
>> (the one your message references) and look under hoaxes you'll find
>> this message, and it's represented as a hoax.  The text is only
>> slightly different.  So what your friend sent you was possibly in
>> error.  I make no judgement of him or you.  However, there are a lot
>> of hoaxes as well as real viruses going around.  You might go there
>> and check it out.  It's interesting.
>>
>> Just thought you'd like to know.
>>
>> Tony
>>
>>
>> On Wed, 07 Mar 2001 00:54:15 -0600, Mark Keith <nm5k@wt.net> wrote:
>>
>> >A friend sent this to me today. Normally, I hate to clutter up the ham
>> >NG's with this type of thing, but being as it's a day old, the quicker
>> >the word gets out , the better. This is not a joke or a troll...This is
>> >a plum ugly new virus that will ruin your day if you open it. I'm just
>> >passing it on, so someone doesn't later say, well... why didn't you say
>> >something... MK
>> >
>> >> Subject:    NEW VIRUS!! READ IMMEDIATELY!!
>> >>
>> >> A new virus has just been discovered that has been  classified by
>> >> Microsoft (www.microsoft.com) and by McAfee (www.mcafee.com) as the
>> >> most destructive ever! This virus was discovered yesterday afternoon
>> >> by McAfee and no vaccine has yet been developed.
>> >>
>> >> This virus simply destroys Sector Zero from the hard  disk,  where
>> >> vital information for its functioning are stored. This  virus  sends
>> >> itself automatically to all contacts on your list with the  title  "A
>> >> Virtual Card for You". When  the supposed virtual card is  opened, the
>> >> computer freezes so that the user has to reboot. When the
>> >> ctrl+alt+del  keys or  the reset button are pressed, the virus
>> >> destroys Sector Zero,  thus permanently destroying the hard disk.
>> >>
>> >> According to news broadcast by CNN (www.cnn.com). This  VIRUS received
>> >> by an employee of Microsoft itself. So don't  open any mails with
>> >> subject  "A Virtual Card for You". As soon  as you get the mail,
>> >> delete it.
```

>> >>
>> >> Also: Intel announced that a new and very destructive virus was
>> >> discovered recently. If you receive an email called   "An Internet
>> >> Flower For You", do not open it. Delete it right away! It removes all
>> >> dynamic link libraries(.dll files) from your computer.   Your
>> >> computer will not be able to boot up.
>>
>

From 7698606777359076596
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 21:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Mar 2001 05:33:11 GMT
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17090

In article <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:

>Your statement has got to be in the running for the DAPOTYACAP (Dumb
>Assed Post Of The Year Award Certificate And Plaque)!
>
>First, I've got better ways to spend my time than transmitted deliberate
>interference on top of some dump huck CBer.
>
>Two, the dump huck CBer probably doesn't hear you anyhow.
>
>Three, your interference masks the interlopers signal during DF efforts.
>
>Four, deliberate interference is deliberate interference -- you're nothing
>more than another rules violator, no better than the dump huck CBer that
>you're jamming.
>
Five, if you don't identify, you're breaking FCC rules. If you do dentify,
you're giving away your identity.

The answer is to use and occupy 28.085 legally BEFORE the truckers do, not
after. Then they are interfering with you, not the other way around.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -8713980139805370280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 21:35:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Mar 2001 05:33:11 GMT
References: <980u4g$i7g$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010308003311.19495.00000318@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17091
```

In article <980u4g$i7g$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:

>Sorry Dick, but this is an urban legend, right up there with the
>"Good luck, Mr. Gorsky" statement during Neil Armstrong's Apollo
>11 EVA.
>
I love that story.

Other popular urban legends are the "Mexican rat/dog", the "baby formula savings bond", and the "JATO rocket car". Whole NG devoted to them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 8798942685399624046
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 21:36:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!oleane.net!oleane!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 468
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Mar 2001 05:33:10 GMT
```

References: <3aa3be40.10974258@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <20010308003310.19495.00000317@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17092

In article <3aa3be40.10974258@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>On 05 Mar 2001 13:32:50 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>In article <3aa30b43.5755357@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>>
>>In my opinion, the old General test required morebasic radio knowledge than
>>today's Extra does. Just my opinion. But ham radio, radio technology in
>>general, and the test process were VERY different back then.
>
>I agree that ham radio and general radio technology
>was very different then, but how much different was
>the testing? General test was already multiple choice
>(except for those "draw a diagram of..." questions).

The "draw a diagram" questions disappeared in the '50s.

The old tests were much closer to the technology in use by hams of the day than today's tests are. You did not know the exact Q&A beforehand, nor even the number of questions on the test. Sample test? No such thing - just the study guides.

>.....
>>>>No Bash books, no CSCEs, no VECs or test sessions at hamfests, all test
>>>>questions were secret and you had to wait 30 days minimum to retest.
>Because
>>>of
>>>>all the effort one had to expend just to get to a test session, most hams
>>>back
>>>>then tended to overprepare for the exams.
>>>
>>>ARRL license manuals, AMECO study guides, and others
>>>gave the same info, often with "sample" test questions that
>>>amazingly were indeed on the test in sometimes, exact
>>>format.
>>
>>But you did not KNOW ahead of time that the questions
>>and answers would be so similar.
>
>Sure we did.

Maybe you did, but most of the folks I knew taking ham exams in the '60s didn't. For example, we knew there would be Ohm's Law questions on the exam, but we didn't know what they would look like. So we learned Ohm's Law backwards and forwards, just in case. The problems turned out to be pretty simple, if you knew Ohm's Law.

>The testing on which those manuals was
>based didn't change dramatically from month to month.

We didn't know that either. Of course we know NOW that there weren't many different tests (that was part of the reason for the 30 day wait), But the hams I knew did not know that there were only a few different tests.

>Did we know the exact questions? No, but clearly the
>license manual publishers had a solid track record of
>providing more than enough material in an abbreviated
>form (the license manual) to enable people to use
>the manual and pass the tests.

The FCC provided a study guide. The ARRL manual simply reprinted it in the LM.
>
>>Today, a prospective ham knows that the exact Q&A in the study guide
>>will be on the test.
>
>Yet the syllabus of those questions being used takes more
>pages to print than any of the old license manuals did.

Because the new manuals explain the material in detail. They are meant as stand-alone guides. The old fifty-cent LMs urged the reader to read other books as well. Some folks managed to pass the tests using just the LM or AMECO books. Others used more extensive materials.
>
>>The ARRL LM study guides were just reprints of the official FCC study
>guides. I
>>always thought it was odd that the study guides were in essay format while
>the
>>actual tests were multiple choice. The reason is now obvious: it added the
>step
>>of translation to the testing process.
>
>If I memorized the fact that AMPS is the unit of electrical current,
>the detractors (wrong answers) make little difference. Any good
>test taker knows that.

For that question, yes. Many of the questions were a bit more involved than "what is the unit of electrical current".

The Extra questions in the old LMs were quite interesting. 279 study questions, all essays....

But an Extra was not needed for full privileges until 1968.
>
><SNIP>
>
>>>>The FCC's ideas on ham license requirements have wandered all over the map
>>>in
>>>>the past 50 years. Before 1953, the license requirements for full

>privileges
>>>>(Class A) were very tough, particularly the writtens. In 1953, Generals,
>>>>Conditionals and above got all privileges in a surprise move by the FCC.
>But
>>>>after a few years, the FCC rethought its decision and the whole process
>that
>>>>led up to the 1968 "incentive licensing" changes came to be.
>>>
>>>What the FCC failed to see (IMHO) in their perspective was
>>>the ever increasing knowledge base that a person needed
>>>to be viewed by industry as technically competent.
>>
>>EXACTLY! Excellent point!!!
>>
>>I think they DID see it in the early '60s, and that is what drove the
>>"incentive licensing" changes. But by the 1970s that idea began to be
>>abandoned.
>
>Possibly so, and the reason being (IMHO) is that the
>knowledge level needed to be competent by industry
>was still growing...as it is today.

The knowledge base is not so much larger than it used to be - it's very different. And the role of "radio" has changed. Time was, radio was a big part of electronics - in fact, radio and TV made up most of the electronics industry. Today, radio is but a small part of electronics, eclipsed by computers and other technologies. The cutting edge of communications isn't even electrical anymore - it's fiber optics.

>The ironic part is that
>while the complexity has increased by many orders
>of magnitude, the quality and reliability have also.

That has been a constant since the earliest days. A 1940 radio was far more reliable and used better components than a 1920 one. WW2 made an enormous difference in this regard, as mass production of highly reliable electronics was necessary to support the war effort. (The proximity fuse story alone is a case in point). After the war, both military and commercial demands kept pushing the quality/performance/reliability requirements. The computer and space industries got into the act, too.

The end result is that the definition of "component" began to change. In a PC, for example, the various boards are really components in most cases.
>
>Likewise the cost of production has plummeted to a point
>that we can buy mid-range size (19-27") color TVs with
>remotes and stereo for under $300 and VCRs for
>less than $100. That puts such consumer electronics
>into the "dispossable" catagory. Assuming any
>consumer electronic device doesn't fail during the
>warranty period, odds are it'll last 10 or more years
>(I had an old 13" Sony that went 20 years, we have a
>19" color set that is over 10, VCRs, etc.) Few

>peple will "risk" repair costs of $40 an hour on a
>consumer product they can buy brand new for
>under $300. That's especially true since technology
>advances offer marked improvements in
>products over every few years (e.g. TVs now have
>composite video inputs, stereo output/input jacks,
>etc.
>
Agreed. With inflation, these prices are even lower than they appear at first.
$300 was a lot more money to the average person 30 years ago.

>Several years ago I read marketing article that
>stated the price point for "impulse purchase" was
>about $300. That is, if something costs 300 or
>less, most consumers don't consider such a purchase
>as requiring considerable thought, planning, or
>justification...they just buy it.

I agree on the $300 number, but I would call it the "threshold price". When the
price of something gets down that low, the market for it expands tremendously.

But there aren't a lot of HF ham rigs for under $300. 'Specially ones with all
the latest features, multiple bands and modes, and ~100 watts.
>
>>>>The FCC thought as early as 1958 that the requirements for full privileges
>>>>(General/Conditional) were way too easy. And they were primarily concerned
>>>with
>>>>the written test requirements, not the code tests. The code test stuff
>came
>>>>later - and it was not the ARRL's idea.
>>>
>>>Except for 20 wpm, what changed on code tests?
>>
>>FCC proposed demoting existing Advanceds to General, then creating the
>"Amateur
>>First Class" license to replace it. A "First" license would have required a
>16
>>wpm code test and a new written. ARRL and others opposed it so vigorously
>that
>>the idea was eventually dropped.
>
>So what justified the 20 wpm tests?

The FCC thought they were needed. In fact, the FCC thought both 16 and 20 wpm
tests were needed.

> By the late 60s,
>commercial use of morse (as well as military) was well
>on the wane.

The FCC didn't see that as a concern.
>
>>> Indeed, the

>>>FCC added the Advanced which only required a written test
>>>to upgrade to.
>>
>>They reactivated the Advanced - there were about 40,000 existing Advanceds
>in
>>1967. The original 1963 ARRL proposal (made in response to an FCC inquiry)
>was
>>simple: Reopen the Advanced and require either an Advanced or Extra for full
>>privs. Simple and NO new code test for anybody to retain full privileges.
>FCC
>>didn't go for it.
>>
>>> For years the majority of generals didn't bother.
>>
>>Unless you wanted to operate 'phone, there was no reason to.
>
>Why? The General had significant HF phone
>bandwidth.

I was speaking of the old pre-1953 system, where Generals had only 160 and 10
meter 'phone on HF/MF. They could use all amateur frequencies, but the other HF
phone segments required a Class A (pre-1951) or an Advanced or Extra
(1951-1953).

After November 22, 1968, the amount of spectrum available to Generals in the
80/40/20/15 phone bands was cut almost in half. But starting in 1972 the phone
bands were widened, and as the years went by the available General spectrum
increased to about what it had been before. I can post exact numbers if you
want.
>
>>For serious CW, you needed an Extra.
>
>Why?

Here I'm talking only about after the 1968 changes. Sorry to be so unclear.

The "lower 25" are prized for a number of reasons, and "serious" ops want all
available privileges. Just my opinion.
>
>>>>The period of "Generals get it all" was actually quite short - 15 years
>and
>>>9
>>>>months. It was the exception, not the rule. The people who were running
>the
>>>>FCC back then are almost certainly all gone now. The philosophy has come
>>>almost
>>>>full circle.
>>>>
>>>>Ask Phil Kane - he was there.
>>>>
>>>>Make no mistake - the FCC is quite clearly acting to reduce illegal
>>>operation
>>>>by making legal operation easier.

>>>
>>>Again, that has never been stated anywhere.
>>
>>Of course not. They aren't going to admit a thing like that in public. It
>may
>>not even be a "conscious" effort.
>
>ROTFLMAO! So if it isn' some big internal
>FCC secret, then the FCC is doing it without
>even knowing that is what they intended?

I think Jon Bloom has the right idea.

It's more of a side effect of the changes than it is a driving force for them.
>
>>> That is
>>>only your own perspective. We obvioulsy disagree.
>>
>>But you must agree that the idea is reasonable.
>
>I can create many scenarios that explain actions as
>viewed from my perspective. In doing so, that is all
>they are (i.e. personal perspectives).

That's all I'm saying.
>
>>>>The basic question is this: Why operate cb illegally? Why "freeband" when
>>>ham
>>>>radio offers so much more? As I see it, there are only three explanations:
>>>>
>>>>1) To do things that ham radio does not allow legally. Examples are
>>>commercial
>>>>uses, jamming, music, operation without proper ID, superpower,
>>>>obscenity/profanity, etc.
>>>>
>>>>2) To be part of a subculture that supports the activities of 1)
>>>>
>>>>3) To avoid the test and license requirements for a ham license.
>>>>
>>>>Making ham licenses easier to get won't address 1) or 2), but will affect
>>>those
>>>>people primarily motivated by 3).
>>>
>>>And I don't see any mass influx of former freebanders
>>>to validate your analysis.
>>
>>Don't need them. I'm not saying this idea will work! If most "freebanders"
>are
>>motivated by 1) or 2), changing the licenses tests will have no effect on
>them
>>because they WANT to be illegal.
>
>Which the FCC should, by now, have a clear understanding of

>since the no-code tech license has been available for 13 years
>and even before that, coded techs had access to 10m phone.

All true. But ONLY 10 meter phone - no other HF phone bands.

>If 5 wpm is soooo easy and the tech license written is soooo
>easy, why hasn't there been any great drop in illegal CB
>activity?

Because many of them are motivated by 1) and/or 2), above, not by 3).

>You'd think the FCC or anyone else could make the
>determination that most illegal CBers have no desire to
>become "legal" via the ham radio route.

How?
>
><SNIP>
>
>>>Yet we finally see an actual increase and commitment
>>>of such resources for enforcement in the last 2
>>>years.
>>
>>The big commitment of resources is in enforcement, not license testing.
>
>No resource commitment is needed in license testing.

Sure there is. The FCC has to do the paperwork for every upgrade, approve the question pools, do a lot of admin work. By simplifying the regs, a lot of that is eliminated.

Under the old system, a ham could theoretically upgrade as many as 4 times. That meant a new ham who started as a Novice or Tech and worked up to Extra one step at a time would generate a total of 5 FCC transactions while doing so - the new license and 4 modifications. The new system cuts that almost in half - a new ham who starts as a Tech and works up to Extra generates only the new license and 2 modifications.

>The
>system appears to work quite well...or do you think
>otherwise?

Which system are you talking about?
>
>>And
>>that commitment came because of endless requests and complaints from the
>ARRL,
>>other ham groups and individuals.
>
>I care not why or how it came about, I am simply happ
>to see the enforcement.

Every ham should care how it came about, because without those who asked for it

(like the ARRL staff) it would not have happened. Without a strong national
organization, ham radio won't last very long.
>
>>The R&O changes created a short-term flurry
>>of activity, but will result in long-term savings because the whole
>structure
>>is simplified.
>
>Savings for who? On what basis?

See above. Every transaction costs the FCC resources. Reduce and simplify the
transactions, and the cost is reduced. That's why the license term was doubled
to 10 years - it saved the FCC at least a thousand person-hours per year back
in 1983. Today it's probably twice that - which means they have an entire staff
person free to do other work.
>
>>One interesting side effect is the number of Novices who have renewed since
>>April 2000. Maybe their interest has been renewed, too.
>
>The novice numbers, however, are dwindling rapidly.

Not much more rapidly than before April 2000. And since there are no new
Novices, that is to be expected.
>
>>>>Think about that question, though. Why would anyone operate illegally when
>>>>so much is available legally?
>>>
>>>The tech with 5 wpm has been around long enough to
>>>counter that argument.
>>>
>>The Tech with 5 wpm only gets you limited-power 10 meter SSB in the busiest
>>part of the band, plus the Novice CW stuff.
>
>Yet that limited power is way above legal CB limits.

And way below what many illegals use. Plus it's way below the amateur limit.

>As to the "busiest" part of the band, so what, it still is
>rarely wall-to-wall (28.3 to 28.5) filled with phone
>signals.

It's still a tiny piece of the pie.
>
>>No 'phone or data anywhere else on
>>HF/MF. If you don't want those limited privileges, you need at least a
>General.
>
>But you were talking about those pirate and bootleg CBers
>who operate 11m...are you now addressing some
>unknown pirate operations in the lower HF segments?

No, I'm simply pointing out that the spectrum and propagation that an "11
meter" op gets by going legal is not very different than what he/she is used

to. To get legal HF spectrum that has very different propagation requires a
General.
>
>>Pre-R&O General meant 3 written tests totalling 100 questions and 13 wpm
>code
>>(or 5 wpm and a medical waiver). Now, a General requires just two writtens
>>totalling 70 questions and 5 wpm code. You tell me which one is a bigger
>>"investment" to get the same privileges.
>
>If you wish to measure the "difficulty" or the need
>to invest study time based on the number of
>questions, then your method "appears" to suggest
>the former.   If you look at the total volume of
>questions which can be asked, then I would suggest
>the later may be. In the end it makes no
>difference.

I think it does.

> On my trip to Extra I took and passed
>6 tests (Novice, Tech/General, Advanced, Extra
>and both 5 wpm and 13 wpm).

I took 7 tests, over a much shorter time period. Novice, General, Advanced and
Extra written, 5, 13 and 20 wpm code, all within 3 years.

> I care not one iota
>that others can do the same thing now via only
>four tests, nor that some others took 8 tests to
>do it.

Nor do I. That's not the point. What matters is that prospective hams used to
be faced with taking and passing many more tests than they are today. Don't you
think some of them looked at the whole process and were discouraged by the
sheer number of tests?
>
>>The "price" of full privileges has been reduced even more. But remember, the
>>FCC's idea of the appropriate requirements has wandered all over the map in
>the
>>past.
>
>Because the purpose of that testing has changed to reflect
>both ham radio in general and the global aspects
>of radio theory and individual mode usage (i.e. the
>role of CW in today's world).

I think what has really changed is simply the FCC's opinion. They don't see the
need for extensive testing anymore. Their main focus is to have an orderly
service. That's a good thing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1405938469317949921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-07 22:25:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 7 Mar 2001 22:17:08 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <98782b$iaa$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
<3AA56360.118DADC3@uci.edu> <3AA56BC2.10400090@IEEE.org>
<985rb4$c8h$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA6FF79.4FEDF47C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-79.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17093

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AA6FF79.4FEDF47C@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > The presumtion is that anyone who has been issued an amateur license has
met
> > the requirements to the FCCs satisfaction. It's not clear why the
validity
> > of the logic underlying this presumtion should now rely upon a rare
> > exception you might happen to cite. Because it is true that all hams
were
> > required by law to demonstrate their qualifications to hold an amateur
> > license.
>
> "Required by law" is the same thing as "required by circumstances" where
> the circumstances are the law. "Required by circumstances" is the
definition
> of "forced". Yet Dick says he was not forced to pass a Morse code exam,
i.e.
> he was not required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. If he was
not
> required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam then he is admitting
> that he didn't meet the requirements. It's all perfectly logical.

He, also, was required by law to meet the requirements, whether he wanted to or not; whether he did or not. (And I'm sure he did.) All hams are presumed to have met the requirements owing to the fact that they have been licensed by the FCC..

73, Jim AC6XG

From -5007599088141387169
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 02:00:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Mar 2001 09:58:56 GMT
References: <3AA6FF79.4FEDF47C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010308045856.10659.00001190@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17094

In article <3AA6FF79.4FEDF47C@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>"Required by law" is the same thing as "required by circumstances" where
>the circumstances are the law. "Required by circumstances" is the definition
>of "forced". Yet Dick says he was not forced to pass a Morse code exam, i.e.
>he was not required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. If he was not
>required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam then he is admitting
>that he didn't meet the requirements. It's all perfectly logical.

Cecil:

Here's another definition of "forced;" from the Merriam-Webster Collegiate Dictionary:

forced (adjective)

First appeared circa 1537

1 : compelled by force or necessity : INVOLUNTARY <a ~ landing>

2 : done or produced with effort, exertion, or pressure <a ~ laugh>

-- forced*ly (adverb)

Note that the first definition states that in order for something to be "forced" upon something or someone, it must be an INVOLUNTARY act. Obtaining an amateur radio license is strictly a VOLUNTARY act. Therefore, there is no way it can be "forced" upon an individual. The FCC does not send agents into the field, gather up unsuspecting citizens, and hold their families hostage at gunpoint while they study and take examinations to become licensed radio amateurs.

Your insistence that hams are "forced" to take Morse code (or any other) tests is pure bovine fecal effluvium. Enjoy the stink.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3319827153885245362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 04:06:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Mar 2001 12:01:46 GMT
References: <3AA701FE.31C6D913@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010308070146.02087.00000088@nso-de.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17095

In article <3AA701FE.31C6D913@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Here's what I said in the original: I took my 13wpm code exam and
>passed it but flunked the written exam for Conditional. A month
>later, I retook the written exam without taking another 13wpm code
>exam. The second ham called the first ham who verified that I had
>passed the 13wpm exam a month before and he assumed that I had
>not forgotten the code over the last month.

That violated the procedure of that time. No credit was allowed back then for exam elements passed which did not result in a license or upgrade. In the mail-order-exam methods then used, if an applicant passed the code but failed the written, the whole process was supposed to start all over again. The volunteer examiner (no capitals) was not allowed to use his/her "judgement" on the matter.

Of course those rules no longer apply.

> The posting was designed
>to get your dander up and it certainly did.

Which means it might be a true story. Or it might be a tall tale, or it might be anything in between. The other people involved are probably not available to back up Cecil's story, or wouldn't remember it. I doubt very much that the FCC cares, because 5 wpm is all that's required now, and Cecil says he passed 5 wpm at an FCC office.

Note that Cecil wrote: "Here's what I said in the original". He doesn't say the story is what actually happened, only that it's what he wrote. That difference leaves him an escape hatch a mile wide.

Cecil doesn't like being called a yankee. He prefers being the yanker.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -3694378188226038665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 05:50:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AA78B15.491A577E@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 07:37:25 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
<3AA56360.118DADC3@uci.edu> <3AA56BC2.10400090@IEEE.org>
<985rb4$c8h$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA6FF79.4FEDF47C@IEEE.org>
<98782b$iaa$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.52
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984058854
64.240.47.52 (8 Mar 2001 07:40:54 -0600)
Lines: 18
```

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17096

Jim Kelley wrote:
> He, also, was required by law to meet the requirements, whether he wanted
> to or not; whether he did or not. (And I'm sure he did.) All hams are
> presumed to have met the requirements owing to the fact that they have been
> licensed by the FCC..

That's true Jim, and is unrelated to the question of whether a Morse code requirement is a good thing or not. Requirements are required by circumstances. The circumstances are the FCC rules. In return for certain privileges, the government forces citizens to meet the requirements. That's what governments do.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -442724075120725141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 05:59:00 PST
Message-ID: <3AA78D33.EEF6B1E9@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 07:46:27 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA6FF79.4FEDF47C@IEEE.org> <20010308045856.10659.00001190@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.52

X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984059396 64.240.47.52 (8 Mar 2001 07:49:56 -0600)
Lines: 49
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17097

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> forced (adjective)
> 1 : compelled by force or necessity : INVOLUNTARY <a ~ landing>
> 2 : done or produced with effort, exertion, or pressure <a ~ laugh>
>
> Note that the first definition states that in order for something to be
> "forced" upon something or someone, it must be an INVOLUNTARY
> act.

That's one definition of "forced", Larry. That is NOT the definition that I am using.

> Obtaining an amateur radio license is strictly a VOLUNTARY
> act. Therefore, there is no way it can be "forced" upon an individual.

STRAW MAN ALERT NOBODY has said that an amateur radio license is forced on ANYBODY. Please stop repeating that falsehood.

In return for granting certain privileges to citizens, the government requirements must be met. Those requirements are required by circumstances existing at the FCC. "Required by circumstances" is the dictionary definition of "forced". In return for certain privileges, the government forces citizens to meet certain requirements. That's what governments do. It doesn't matter if you feel good or bad about it. Either way, in return for the privileges granted, you are forced to meet the requirements.

> The FCC does not send agents into the field, gather up unsuspecting
> citizens, and hold their families hostage at gunpoint while they study
> and take examinations to become licensed radio amateurs.

Why do you insist on repeating such nonsense. NOBODY has said that anything like that happens. Please stop the diversions and come back to the subject.

> Your insistence that hams are "forced" to take Morse code (or any
> other) tests is pure bovine fecal effluvium. Enjoy the stink.

Larry, you yourself, have admitted in the past that your Morse code
skill was produced only with considerable effort. "Produced with
effort" is your very own definition of "forced" above. Therefore, you
have already admitted that you were "forced" to meet the Morse
code requirements. Denying it now is ridiculous.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8345864117441232280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 06:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AA9B266.BA40E7F6@IEEE.org> <20010310073015.16620.00001502@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AAA2D74.E20D514C@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Crime and Punishment
Lines: 8
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <qCqq6.829$Ll.169255@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 08:13:15 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.220
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 984233494 208.154.158.220 (Sat, 10 Mar 2001 09:11:34 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 09:11:34 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17148

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AAA2D74.E20D514C@IEEE.org...

Here we expect the good-hearted prostitute and all we get is some snot
nosed kid shooting birds :-)

From 2205800940860157724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 06:34:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AAA38B2.E95CFF1A@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 08:22:42 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA8DC52.9C3A9E65@IEEE.org> <20010310003232.24780.00000326@nso-bh.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.41
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984234369 64.240.47.41 (10 Mar 2001 08:26:09 -0600)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!teaser.fr!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!grolier!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!newsfeed.freenet.de!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17149

N2EY wrote:
> We're talking about the code test. For a test, the transmitter could be operated into a dummy load at minimum power, and the receiver operated at low gain with no antenna connected.

Nobody had a dummy load and there was no power control on the transmitter. The receiver was automatically muted during transmit. One-way transmissions were prohibited.

> AH HAH!!! So you DO agree that it was a violation!!! ;-)

Actually, I haven't told the whole story. Shorty started the Morse code exam by keying his VFO and it blew up after 16 characters. I copied those 16 characters perfectly. They were, "The Conditional Cl".

You can add to my list of "violations" when I was 14 that I played doctor
with my 12 year old sister. I still feel guilty about that one.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5513876420185331196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 06:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <e4fkatof3p55ack8ohrpsib1451s0saviu@4ax.com>
References: <6e2katsdjdo9hg9al6u2j6og70jmgmug6r@4ax.com> <20010310073014.16620.00001500@nso-ch.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 14:36:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 984234989 24.95.131.236 (Sat, 10 Mar 2001 09:36:29 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 09:36:29 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17150

On 10 Mar 2001 12:30:14 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <6e2katsdjdo9hg9al6u2j6og70jmgmug6r@4ax.com>, jim
><noname@hotmail.com> writes:
>
>>
>>Please tell me where I can down load rules and forms for renewing my
>>general license
>>I have been inactive for quite a while but want to maintain my
>>operator and statiojn licences.
>>Thanks

>>
>
>You obviously have a computer and can figure out how to post a
>message to a newsgripe. If you can't figure out how to find information
>on how to renew your general ticket, then please don't bother! You
>don't deserve it!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

That's not a very pleasant manner of replying Larry... It would have
taken less effort for you to type
http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/amateur/amrenw.html and let him read and learn
for himself how it'd done.

From -2843810453693217720
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 09:02:09 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 17:01:09 GMT
Message-ID: <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-de.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.46
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.46
X-Trace: 10 Mar 2001 10:59:01 -0600, 64.24.19.46
Lines: 26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!panix!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17151

On 09 Mar 2001 13:32:35 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>It's the old "camel's nose in the tent" thing. You give up one frequency to
>illegal operation, and pretty soon that one won't be adequate and they will
>take another. And another. Pretty soon the band will be full of them. And they
>will view themselves as having "squatter's rights" and will be much harder to
>dislodge.
>
Are you suggesting that we intentionally jam them as a mattter of
policy and/or as an acceptable and proper operating practice?
>
I've been operating on 10, yeah there are some illegals floating
around the band, mostly in the cw segment. I have yet to run into an

instance of their operations actually interfering with any of mine,
they simply are not a big problem, they're a minor annoyance at worst.
They've been doing their thing for years, if they were gonna overrun
the band they'd have done so a long time ago.
>
The topic is a helluva lot more of a "problem" in this NG than it is
on the air. As usual.
>
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv

From -114920524675324971
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 09:06:08 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 17:02:20 GMT
Message-ID: <3aaa5ddc.9448266@news2.dvol.com>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <989pv2$kbf$1@news.service.uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.46
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.46
X-Trace: 10 Mar 2001 11:00:12 -0600, 64.24.19.46
Lines: 17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17152

On Thu, 8 Mar 2001 21:35:26 -0800, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
>news:3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com...
>> Let the FCC deal with those dorks, we have the whole remaining 1,695
>> Khz wide 10m sandbox available to do our jollies.
>
>According to Phil, Congress doesn't want the FCC to deal with them.
>
Most likely because Congress would have to pay the FCC to get involved
in an endless turf war between two groups of radio hobbyists. Congress
ain't about to spend money on anything like that.
>

>73, Jim AC6XG
>
w3rv

From 4023338157074649970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 09:16:02 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 17:13:37 GMT
Message-ID: <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <3AA8E877.8C335776@some.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9xvoj2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.46
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.46
X-Trace: 10 Mar 2001 11:11:29 -0600, 64.24.19.46
Lines: 23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!panix!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17153

On Fri, 09 Mar 2001 19:58:14 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:

. . . .
>>hams make it hard for them to communicate on 10 meters, they will just keep
>>using it in bigger numbers and you won't have those 1,695 KHz any more.
>
> "Make it hard" for them by using the frequencies legally, not
> by throwing carriers or resorting to their own style of sleaze.
>
Agreed, the worst we could do to ourselves would be to take it down to
their level. I've heard hams fire up ssb below the phone band and
screech at freebanders, nice example of the pot calling the kettle
black.
>
Personally, I ignore 'em, they don't bother me, 10m is no place for
vigilante operations by The Righteous. If they do get in the way just
politely run over 'em.
>--
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
w3rv

From -928699930759489046
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,93cb13710d3ae865,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,93cb13710d3ae865,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 10:00:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AAA68E9.F07C3E98@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 11:48:25 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: N8WWM No Code Douglas Adairs Behavior
References: <984246540.3aaa690c442ad@webmail.cotse.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984246712 64.240.47.99 (10 Mar 2001 11:51:52 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:1163 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17154

fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com wrote:
> I have a couple questions for all you Cbers,
> Why do White American males try and emulate Black Americans when they get
> a CB microphone in their hands? Is "RAP" or "Jive-Talk" the norm???????????

Actually, if I remember right, CBers imitated a White Southern Trucker
when they started talking like that.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5735165945668428274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 11:16:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 19:14:07 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4f.88
X-Server-Date: 10 Mar 2001 19:13:38 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17155

On 7 Mar 2001 18:27:36 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
> I knew a young trooper who cited a motorist for doing
>> >57 in a 55 zone. The officer was cruising at 55 when the guy passed him,
>> >and he saw something he didn't like. Redlight time.
>>
>> Most any decent court will throw that out as being insufficient
>> (i.e. a deminimus) difference to warrant a ticket to begin with.
>
> Its a rare court in these parts that will toss out a complaint by an
>officer without some really strong evidence against it.

Last I checked, it was the officer that had to prove
guilt...not the defendent's job to prove not guity.

>I don't know the
>outcome of that case but most likely he mailed in his fine.
```

So be it. I wouldn't nder those circumstances.

>That way he
>doesn't have to take az day off from work to go to court, then probably
>have to pay just as much fine, or more, than if he had gone ahead and
>mailed it in. Nothin' says the judge has to stick with the published
>fine schedule if he finds reason not to....

It depends on the state and the system.

>you standing there arguing
>how innocent you are might do it.

I wouldn't argue inncoence or guilt, I'd simply not the state
had failed to suficently prove its case based on such a
minimal speed diferential. The other possibility is that
the prosecutor may not even want to pursue such a case
to avoid wasting time. Practicallity does enter the
equation when dealing with attorney's time.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6087003903756185603
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 13:34:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 57
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Mar 2001 21:33:10 GMT
References: <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010310163310.02416.00000137@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17156

In article <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> The rules and procedures for mail-order licenses were clearly explained in
>the

>> License Manual you allegedly studied.
>
>Maybe so, but I didn't read them.

I did.

>Shorty told me what to do and I
>did it.

Ignorance of the law is no excuse. Licensees and prospective licensees have the responsibility to know the applicable regs.

>> As you described it, it was against the rules of the time. If it really
>> happened that way, of course.
>
>Actually, I just read those rules and the person who gave the code exam
>and the person who gave the written exam didn't have to be the same
>person.

That was true, back then. However, that is not the issue.

>The person who gave the written exam didn't even have to be
>a ham.

Also true, and also not the issue.
>
>> No CW receiver? No key? No buzzer?
>
>No buzzer and the receiver was muted during transmit.

So un-mute it. Even a 12 year old Yankee pre-Novice like me knew how to do that.

>Shorty
>would have had to put a one-way signal on the air which was
>probably illegal.

Why would he have to put a signal on the air? No light bulbs in the house?

>I wasn't licensed at the time.

You let your license run out.
>
>> A tone of regret for past transgressions, perhaps?
>
>I regret shooting doves with a BB gun but not because it was
>illegal.

If any of this actually happened...

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -3840207214910232927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 13:34:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 68
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Mar 2001 21:33:09 GMT
References: <3AAA38B2.E95CFF1A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010310163309.02416.00000136@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17157

In article <3AAA38B2.E95CFF1A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> We're talking about the code test. For a test, the transmitter could be
>> operated into a dummy load at minimum power, and the receiver operated at
>low
>> gain with no antenna connected.
>
>Nobody had a dummy load

Light bulb.

>and there was no power control on the transmitter.

Loading control.

>The receiver was automatically muted during transmit.

Un-mute it.

>One-way transmissions
>were prohibited.
>
Not a problem with a little basic understanding.

>> AH HAH!!! So you DO agree that it was a violation!!! ;-)
>
>Actually, I haven't told the whole story.

You never do, it seems....

>Shorty started the Morse code
>exam by keying his VFO and it blew up after 16 characters.

I thought it was a crystal-controlled Harvey Wells...

> I copied those
>16 characters perfectly. They were, "The Conditional Cl".

You needed 65 consecutive characters correct back then.

Another solution was to tune in a station sending 13 per or faster and have you copy it.

The old regs required that one pass all of the elements for a particular license in sequence with no failures. You didn't even get a glance at the written until the code was passed, too. Passing the code and failing the written for a license or upgrade that required both meant you had to start all over again. FCC examiner or by mail, it made no difference.

Of course, none of that matters at all now. 5 per is the only code test, and you passed that one at the FCC office.

--

A former Navy radioman certified at 60 wpm but with no FCC license still had to pass the code and written tests for a ham license. And if he missed the written by even one question, it was "try again in 30 days" - ALL of it. Even if he'd known the FCC examiner all his life, had a Ph.D in EE, etc., etc. Same rules for everybody.
--

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -8789691525544505679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 13:46:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Mar 2001 21:42:39 GMT
References: <3AA7F03E.32C6627A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010310164239.05978.00000571@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17158

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 3/8/01 2:49 PM Central Standard Time

>It doesn't matter if you wanted to do it or not, Steve. The requirements
>are exactly the same whether you wanted to do it or not.

The "requirements" may be....the fact reamins that Iw as not "forced" to do anything.

>In return for amateur radio privileges, citizens are required by
>circumstances (forced) to meet the governmental requirements. That's >what governments do.

Argue YOUR application of "forced" all you want, Cecil. All of life requires effort of one kind or another. If you consider yourself "forced", then you were forced. I have no doubt that you consider yourself victimized by a great many aspects of life, Cecil.

There are those who "do it" and those who WHINE about "doing it".

>In return for certain privileges, governments force citizens to meet the
>necessary requirements.

The purpose of government is to provide for the mutual benefit of those whom it serves. The electromagnetic spectrum is a finite and highly valuable resource.

Furthermore, it's abuse by even one person can have grossly disasterous results to countless others. That the government requires some demonstration of knowledge in order to minimize that possibility is fundamentally sound application of governmental powers.

>Dick apparently obtained his privileges through
>fraud because he says he was never required by circumstances to >pass any of the amateur radio requirements.

Fine by me as long as he was sober when he drove to the FCC office to take the test.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -2431242500333906809
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 14:08:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AAAA2F7.AD3C6222@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 15:56:07 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAA38B2.E95CFF1A@IEEE.org> <20010310163309.02416.00000136@nso-ma.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984261574 64.240.47.115 (10 Mar 2001 15:59:34 -0600)
Lines: 33
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17159

N2EY wrote:
>
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Nobody had a dummy load
>
> Light bulb.

Light bulbs radiate RF. Someone reported a QSO on one. Doesn't seem
reasonable to violate one regulation in order to meet another one.

> >Shorty started the Morse code
> >exam by keying his VFO and it blew up after 16 characters.
>
> I thought it was a crystal-controlled Harvey Wells...

It *was* after he blew up his VFO. He didn't want to risk blowing up his
transmitter also. There didn't seem to be any way to reduce power without
increasing dissipation in the final.

> Passing the code and failing the
> written for a license or upgrade that required both meant you had to start all
> over again.

Madisonville hams apparently issued CSCEs. ;-) For all I know, there's
a possibility that Shorty could have corrected my written exam before
he sent it in. I wasn't sure that I had passed it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4472872759515719059
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 14:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Mar 2001 22:00:01 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <98e851$afb$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3AA790AB.29551761@IEEE.org> <3AA8DC52.9C3A9E65@IEEE.org>
<98cakv$e8k$1@208.207.71.135> <3AA9B266.BA40E7F6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 984261601 10731 128.171.44.55 (10 Mar 2001 22:00:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Mar 2001 22:00:01 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17160

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>Heck Dick, at 14, I was ignorant of the rules.

At 14, I was told by a county judge that "Ignorance of the law is
no excuse," then slapped with a fine for riding my motorcycle on
a county road with no license.   73, Jeff KH6O

From -7060237855602693887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 14:30:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AAAA863.DC06A17D@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 16:19:15 -0600

From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA7F03E.32C6627A@IEEE.org> <20010310164239.05978.00000571@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984262962 64.240.47.115 (10 Mar 2001 16:22:42 -0600)
Lines: 62
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!dfw-feed.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17161

Steve Robeson wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >It doesn't matter if you wanted to do it or not, Steve. The requirements
> >are exactly the same whether you wanted to do it or not.
>
> The "requirements" may be....the fact remains that I was not "forced" to
> do anything.

The fact remains that ***ALL*** amateur radio operators are required by circumstances (forced) to meet the requirements for an amateur radio operator's license. It doesn't matter how you felt about it. "Required by circumstances" is the dictionary definition of "forced". You were, by definition, forced to meet the requirements of an amateur radio license in return for the amateur radio privileges granted to you.

> If you consider yourself "forced",
> then you were forced. I have no doubt that you consider yourself victimized by
> a great many aspects of life, Cecil.

I'm NOT a victim, Steve. In this life, I have been lucky beyond my wildest dreams. The requirements for an amateur radio license are required by circumstances (forced) on ***ALL*** citizens who are granted amateur radio license privileges. That's just what governments do.

> The purpose of government is to provide for the mutual benefit of those
> whom it serves. The electromagnetic spectrum is a finite and highly valuable
> resource.

True and therefore, amateur radio operators are required by circumstances (forced) to meet the governmental requirements in return for the amateur radio privileges granted to them. That's what governments do.

> Furthermore, it's abuse by even one person can have grossly disasterous
> results to countless others. That the government requires some demonstration
> of knowledge in order to minimize that possibility is fundamentally sound
> application of governmental powers.

True and therefore, amateur radio operators are required by circumstances (forced) to meet the governmental requirements in return for the amateur radio privileges granted to them. That's what governments do. You are making my argument for me.

> >Dick apparently obtained his privileges through
> >fraud because he says he was never required by circumstances to >pass any of
> the amateur radio requirements.
>
> Fine by me as long as he was sober when he drove to the FCC office to take
> the test.

Ahhhh, but saying that he was never required by circumstances to pass any of the amateur radio requirements means that he didn't meet those requirements. ***ALL*** amateur radio operators who received their licenses legally were required by circumstances (forced) to meet the requirements before their amateur radio privileges were granted to them. That's what governments do.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From -4458848450360031 60
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 14:31:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!news0.de.colt.net!colt.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 10 Mar 2001 22:14:37 GMT

Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA8E877.8C335776@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9xvoj2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 984262477 11741 128.171.44.55 (10 Mar 2001 22:14:37 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Mar 2001 22:14:37 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17162

Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

>"Phil Kane" wrote:
>>"Make it hard" for them by using the frequencies legally, not
>>by throwing carriers or resorting to their own style of sleaze.

Has anyone even hinted at doing that?

>Agreed, the worst we could do to ourselves would be to take it down to
>their level. I've heard hams fire up ssb below the phone band and
>screech at freebanders, nice example of the pot calling the kettle
>black.

Did they ID? If not, how do you know they were licensed hams? No
speculation permitted -- either you can prove they were amateurs,
or you should retract that allegation. Any of them saying "This is
a ham band -- get lost," doesn't prove they themselves are licensed
amateurs.

>Personally, I ignore 'em, they don't bother me,

The same could be said of the illegals crossing the border from
Mexico; they certainly don't bother me out here in Hawaii, but
regardless, I'm all for plugging the holes in the border and even
back the landowners who conduct citizens' arrests on those criminals;
would you refer to those landowners as vigilantes?

>10m is no place for
>vigilante operations by The Righteous. If they do get in the way just
>politely run over 'em.

From what I read, that's exactly what's being done.

73, Jeff KH6O

From -5472872092384912318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 14:34:04 PST

Message-ID: <3AAAA938.BBED7F1B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 16:22:48 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA790AB.29551761@IEEE.org> <3AA8DC52.9C3A9E65@IEEE.org>
<98cakv$e8k$1@208.207.71.135> <3AA9B266.BA40E7F6@IEEE.org>
<98e851$afb$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984263175
64.240.47.115 (10 Mar 2001 16:26:15 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17163

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Heck Dick, at 14, I was ignorant of the rules.
>
> At 14, I was told by a county judge that "Ignorance of the law is
> no excuse," then slapped with a fine for riding my motorcycle on
> a county road with no license. 73, Jeff KH6O

What difference did it make whether the county road had a license or not?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From 3924043369366252114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 14:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
platform.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Mar 2001 22:35:56 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <98ea8c$cks$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3AA7F03E.32C6627A@IEEE.org> <20010310164239.05978.00000571@ng-
cf1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 984263756 12956 128.171.44.55 (10 Mar 2001 22:35:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Mar 2001 22:35:56 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17164

W6RCecilA wrote:
>In return for amateur radio privileges, citizens are required by
>circumstances (forced) to meet the governmental requirements. That's
>what governments do.

You're confusing cause and affect again, Cecil. Your statement
should instead read, "In return for amateur radio privileges,
citizens voluntarily meet the governmental requirements.

In 1976, I *voluntarily* took on a course of self-study to learn
about RF electronics, code, and the rules and regs; at any time
I could have quit -- no one forced me to continue. When I felt
ready, I *voluntarily* drove to the FCC's San Francisco office
to fill out an application; at any time I could have walked
out -- no one forced me to stay. I was then asked to proceed
into the testing room and I *voluntarily* went in; at any time
I could have walked out -- no one forced me to into that room.
Then the tests were administered; I *voluntarily* picked up
a pencil -- at any time I could have left -- no one forced to
me stay. Even after having been told that I passed, I could
have *voluntarily* left without completing the final paperwork.
At no time did I feel forced -- I *voluntarily* completed
each step of the process, and in return for my *voluntary*
cooperation, I was awarded a General class license. I never
perceived any force at any stage of the process.
73, Jeff KH6O


From 5542992039405230593
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 15:50:17 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Mar 2001 23:49:24 GMT
References: <20010310073014.16620.00001500@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010310184924.03132.00000511@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17165

Thank heavens, I know that you do NOT represent what amateur radio is about.
Good job, Larry! WAJ!!!

Bert

From -6393116515516087931
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 15:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 60
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Mar 2001 23:51:03 GMT
References: <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010310185103.14720.00000507@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17166

In article <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 09 Mar 2001 13:32:35 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>It's the old "camel's nose in the tent" thing. You give up one frequency to
>>illegal operation, and pretty soon that one won't be adequate and they will
>>take another. And another. Pretty soon the band will be full of them. And
>they
>>will view themselves as having "squatter's rights" and will be much harder
>to
>>dislodge.

>>
>Are you suggesting that we intentionally jam them as a mattter of
>policy and/or as an acceptable and proper operating practice?

Heck no!!

See K0HB's post and my reply for a half-dozen reasons why jamming is a bad idea.

Here's a worst-case scenario for ya: Coupla truckers are trying to use 28.085 and they get jammed by hams. They don't know their use is illegal - there's no license or test for cb, and they think they are in the right.

Now suppose an accident happens (say, a trailer-tire blowout that results in the load shifting) and it turns out that one trucker was trying to warn the other of the hazard, but couldn't do so because of the jamming. Suppose the accident results in fatalities, injuries and serious property damage. And suppose the FCC has tapes of the whole thing. How do you think that would play on the six oclock news?

What I'm suggesting is that if we keep those frequencies busy with amateur QSOs BEFORE the pirates ever show up, they will go elsewhere. And if we tape and report the intrusions to the FCC, there may be action taken.

But if the tapes show the pirates being jammed, the action taken may not be to our liking.
>>
>I've been operating on 10, yeah there are some illegals floating
>around the band, mostly in the cw segment. I have yet to run into an
>instance of their operations actually interfering with any of mine,
>they simply are not a big problem, they're a minor annoyance at worst.

When the band is wall-to-wall with them, you may feel different. Now is the time to push them out - legally.

>They've been doing their thing for years, if they were gonna overrun
>the band they'd have done so a long time ago.

Maybe.
>>
>The topic is a helluva lot more of a "problem" in this NG than it is
>on the air. As usual.

The solution is simple, too. As usual.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 5390179651791695741
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 16:06:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 00:04:48 GMT
References: <3AAAA863.DC06A17D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010310190448.24133.00000800@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17167

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 3/10/01 4:19 PM Central

>I'm NOT a victim, Steve. In this life, I have been lucky beyond my >wildest dreams. The requirements for an amateur radio license are >required by circumstances (forced) on ***ALL*** citizens who are >granted amateur radio license privileges. That's just what governments >do.

Then WHY do you insist on stressing "forced"...??? I think you ARE a victim...a victim of your own disatisfaction, ergo your need to insist that everyone is "forced", regardless of THIER perception of the circumstances.

>You are making my argument for me.

Hardly. YOU define "forced" as you will. You insist that Amateurs (therefore include me since there was no caveat to the contrary) were "forced" to do these things to become licensed.

As do all Liberterians, you dangle that spectre of "evil government" in front of everyone as if there was no government AT ALL, then we'd all be better off for it.

In a utopian world, that might be true. On THIS planet, "it ain't a happen' thing". Even in the most ancient and tribal of societies there exists some form of authortarian structure, and that structure exisits for good reason.

That you don't accept it is apparent, but that you don't accept it does not prevent it from being true.

>That's what governments do.

Thankfully in this country, for the most part, the government does what we tell it to do.

That's what PEOPLE do.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 3815433114891611477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 16:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: RyanCA <hass@aznet.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 15:56:56 -0800
Organization: AzNET
Message-ID: <3AAABF48.A0D75477@aznet.net>
Reply-To: hass@aznet.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <98cb4g$e8k$2@208.207.71.135> <20010310073015.16620.00001501@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 28
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17168

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> Dick:
>
> That's not the point. A regulated, licensed version of CB, on a skip-free
> VHF or UHF frequency allocation, would restore the utility of such a
> service to serious users who want to be free of the "country culture"
> and general mayhem of 27 MHz. LET the present day denizens of
> 11-meters stay there. I want to see a Personal Communications
> Service for motorists, truckers, and small businessmen that is
> everything CB was supposed to be if it wern't placed on an HF
> frequency allocation that propagates worldwide.

You mean like MURS? I believe the FCC at one time wanted to delicense all the personal radio services. :)

> Point of order: A $20 fee for 10 years isn't enough. License fees should
> be enough to ensure adequate enforcement of the rules of the new
> service. Users should be suspended for a period of time even for

> comparatively minor infractions such as failure to identify on time or
> using "unprofessional" language on-the-air. Operating during a
> suspension results in losing all privileges for 3 years, minimum. Let's
> control this thing, and give the users a proper fear of the enforcers.
> CB was turned to crap because the FCC gave up on it. If we start
> a new service, we must not repeat this mistake, or the permanent
> loss of even more valuable spectrum would result.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 6868235654319377789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 16:56:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 11 Mar 2001 00:56:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <98eigj$81$0@208.207.71.169>
References: <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <20010310185103.14720.00000507@nso-
fk.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.169
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17169
```

N2EY wrote:
>
> What I'm suggesting is that if we keep those frequencies busy with amateur QSOs
> BEFORE the pirates ever show up, they will go elsewhere. And if we tape and
> report the intrusions to the FCC, there may be action taken.
>

Of course that isn't really possible, given the typical conditions on
10 meters. Most of the time they won't hear any intereference at all and
hams won't hear them, when the sunspots recede again.
And there's a lot of real estate to occupy on lower 10 meters.

Dick

```
From -8616845948968369695
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 17:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 69
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 01:32:43 GMT
References: <3AAAA2F7.AD3C6222@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010310203243.14891.00000360@nso-mp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17170
```

In article <3AAAA2F7.AD3C6222@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Nobody had a dummy load
>>
>> Light bulb.
>
>Light bulbs radiate RF.

Light bulb in a tin can, then. Send the callsign of the station and call it a
code practice transmission. Certain one-way trqansmissions by hams ARE legal -
and they were back then, too.

> Someone reported a QSO on one.

People report all kinds of things.

>Doesn't seem
>reasonable to violate one regulation in order to meet another one.
>
If properly identified, no violation would occur.

>> >Shorty started the Morse code
>> >exam by keying his VFO and it blew up after 16 characters.
>>
>> I thought it was a crystal-controlled Harvey Wells...
>
>It *was* after he blew up his VFO.

I see.

>He didn't want to risk blowing up his
>transmitter also.

How would transmitting into a shielded dummy load do that?

> There didn't seem to be any way to reduce power without
>increasing dissipation in the final.

Sure there was. Easy, if ya know how. Plus with a shielded dummy load, there
was no reason to.

>> Passing the code and failing the
>> written for a license or upgrade that required both meant you had to start
>all
>> over again.
>
>Madisonville hams apparently issued CSCEs. ;-)

No such thing at the time. They were visionaries, those guys.

>For all I know, there's
>a possibility that Shorty could have corrected my written exam before
>he sent it in.

Well, we sure can't ask him now.

>I wasn't sure that I had passed it.

I'm not sure you did, either. Shall we go over that LM question about
negative-supply-lead keying again?

How many newsgroup goats do you want to collect, anyway?
>--
73 de Jim, N2EY

From -6107679813128330338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 17:52:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 20:52:26 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <B6D04489.4DE2%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AA993C3.54A9@mobiletel.com> <98cb4g$e8k$2@208.207.71.135>

```
<B6CF2A60.4CFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <98cijc$e54$0@208.207.71.135>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-166.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984275484 435036 204.116.70.167 (11 Mar 2001 01:51:24
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 01:51:24 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17171
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>>> Sounds great from a bureaucratic regulatory
>>> standpoint, but it does not much to move all
>>> those millions of transcievers off 27-28 mz.
>>> And they AIN'T goin' just because Sam said so.
>>
>> Child's play, Dick. Slap a pile of error
>> correcting, frequency hopping, digital data
>> transmissions on those frequencies, and people
>> will not be able to give away a CB radio in a
>> few months.
>
> So the FCC should violate their own rules by
> massive intentional jamming? That won't happen.
> They'd be more likely to just legalize it
> all first.

No, my comment assumes the current CB frequencies would be reallocated for a use other then CB first (the data transmissions, for example). Since CB would no longer be legal on those frequencies (moved elsewhere), it would not be jamming.

The intent of my data transmissions example was an acknowledgement that some CB'ers will not leave those frequencies even if those frequencies were reallocated to another service. Frequency hopping, error correcting, digital data transmissions would not be bothered much by the occasional illegal CB operator still using those freqeuncies, and the noise from those transmissions would eventually push the remaining operators off those frequencies.

Now, I'm not suggesting the FCC intends to do this, nor am I specifically advocating it. I'm just presenting one possible solution to your "they AIN'T goin' just because Sam said so" comment.

```
Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net



From 854171264549810696
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa656,75f5128bc0c790b2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75f5128bc0c790b2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 17:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: rhawk@megabits.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: N8WWM No Code Dog Adairs Behavior
Message-ID: <3aaadcae.2347437@news.megabits.net>
References: <984247392.3aaa6c60a9416@webmail.cotse.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.1/32.230
Lines: 16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 21:03:41 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp  http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 02:04:03 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:1166 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17172


Hey SFB did you momma have any kids that lived??


On Sat, 10 Mar 2001 18:09:21 GMT, fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com wrote:

>
>Hey fagboy, yer gettin ratted on!
>
>the above was dougs reply in rec.radio.cb to the ad below
>
>
>rx2077o@aol.com (Rx2077o) wrote:
>>I HAVE A MINT DAVE MADE FOR SALE VERY CHEAP WORKING GREAT $400..00( FIRM
>




From -56314902124247080
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 18:14:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 21:13:36 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-166.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984276759 437178 204.116.70.167 (11 Mar 2001 02:12:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 02:12:39 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17173

Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

> [snip] I've been operating on 10, yeah there
> are some illegals floating around the band,
> mostly in the cw segment. [snip] They've been
> doing their thing for years, if they were
> gonna overrun the band they'd have done so a
> long time ago.

I think you're being shortsighted, Brian. In my opinion, it's only a matter of time. Twenty years ago, CB radios with the capability of also operating on the 10 meter ham band were extremely rare. Ten years ago, radios with that capability were widely available (in even the smallest towns). And its only been in the last few years that a significant number of CB'ers have dared to use the 10 meter ham frequencies.

If that trend continues, in just a few more years, use of those frequencies by CB'ers will become as commonplace as the radios now with that capability. If we want to prevent that, I agree with N2EY that we have to address this problem now, before it gets out of control.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -800225743667071775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 19:36:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AAAF037.616B849E@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 21:25:43 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAAA863.DC06A17D@IEEE.org> <20010310190448.24133.00000800@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984281351 64.240.47.69 (10 Mar 2001 21:29:11 -0600)
Lines: 43
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!cyclone.bc.net!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17174

Steve Robeson wrote:
> Then WHY do you insist on stressing "forced"...???

I'm not stressing "forced". It's just happens to be the English language word that I am using. That you object to a common English word is irrelevant. Laws, rules, and regulations cannot succeed without governmental FORCE behind them.

> Hardly. YOU define "forced" as you will.

Nope, not as I will - simply as the dictionary says I should. The question is, why do you reject the dictionary definitions of words?

> As do all Liberterians, you dangle that spectre of "evil government" in
> front of everyone as if there was no government AT ALL, then we'd all be better

> off for it.

STRAW MAN ALERT I did no such thing. A couple of postings ago I said
that government was superior to anarchy. Why must you lie about what I said?
I believe any citizen should be forced to meet the requirements before being
granted the privileges. If you don't believe that then you believe that
some citizens should be granted the privileges without being forced to
meet the requirements. That's not fair, Steve.

> Even in the most ancient and tribal of societies there exists
> some form of authortarian structure, and that structure exisits for good
> reason.

That "authoritarian structure" is what FORCES citizens to obey the laws,
rules, and regulations, Steve. You are making my argument for me.

In order for citizens to obtain the privileges granted by government, they
are forced to meet the requirements for those privileges. That is indeed an
authoritarian structure and it indeed does exist for good reason. An
"authoritarian structure" cannot exist without force to back it up. Why
is that so hard for you to admit and accept?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6526323472957696318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 19:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 03:37:06 GMT
References: <3AAAA938.BBED7F1B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010310223706.16620.00001583@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17175

In article <3AAAA938.BBED7F1B@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>What difference did it make whether the county road had a license or not?

All the country roads in Delaware have licenses. The license
numbers are posted on signs at regular intervals. The license
number for the road I live on is 8.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6677125533802204276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 19:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 03:37:07 GMT
References: <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010310223707.16620.00001585@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17176

In article <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>I regret shooting doves with a BB gun but not because it was
>illegal.

Cecil:

If shooting the doves with a BB gun wasn't illegal, and you ate
the doves you shot, why would you regret it? I sure don't regret
shooting destructive crows in a cornfield with my Winchester
rifle.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3291922530728430962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 19:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 03:37:07 GMT
References: <20010310184924.03132.00000511@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010310223707.16620.00001586@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17178
```

In article <20010310184924.03132.00000511@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>, ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D) writes:

>
>Thank heavens, I know that you do NOT represent what amateur radio is about.
>Good job, Larry! WAJ!!!
>
>Bert
>

Bert:

This is not amateur radio; it's Usenet. If you don't know the difference, you'd may as well turn your ham ticket also -- assuming you have one.

Amateur radio is not for technically inept idiots who "just wanna talk on the radio." It is for reasonably intelligent people who are curious, ingenious, and willing to learn and discover and their own. I think we've taken the concept of "Elmering" way too far. We're no longer pointing the way, we're now telling prospective hams which button to push and when to push it, and not holding them responsible for so much as reading a few pages out of an equipment owner's manual written in what used to be third-grade English (but now is probably college level). Our society is dumbed-down, our hobby is dumbed-down, and I, for one, am sick of it!

Anyone who can get on the internet and post a message to a Usenet newsgroup should be able to figure out how to plug a few keywords into a web browser and get back plenty of information about virtually anything concerning amateur radio. I do not apologize for my response -- I just pity that poor dumbed-down jerk, but don't see any need for him to keep up the pretense of being a licensed radio amateur.

Now Cecil is going to ask a smart-assed rhetorical question about
his Technician-class Ph. D. friend.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1041820493955176665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 19:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 03:37:06 GMT
References: <3AAA38B2.E95CFF1A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010310223706.16620.00001584@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17177

In article <3AAA38B2.E95CFF1A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>You can add to my list of "violations" when I was 14 that I played doctor
>with my 12 year old sister. I still feel guilty about that one.

Cecil:

Why should you feel guilty about that -- unless you gave her the
wrong kind of "exam" and used the wrong kind of "probe." I only
played "doctor" with the cute little blonde girl next door. Too bad
her family had to leave town in such a hurry...

73 de Larry, K3LT

I was only KIDDING!!! Sheez!!!

```
From 4027794687407227406
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 20:02:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AAAF617.3DBF8B03@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 21:50:47 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA7F03E.32C6627A@IEEE.org> <20010310164239.05978.00000571@ng-
cf1.aol.com> <98ea8c$cks$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984282855
64.240.47.69 (10 Mar 2001 21:54:15 -0600)
Lines: 67
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17179
```

```
Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >In return for amateur radio privileges, citizens are required by
> >circumstances (forced) to meet the governmental requirements. That's
> >what governments do.
>
```

> You're confusing cause and affect again, Cecil. Your statement should instead read, "In return for amateur radio privileges, citizens voluntarily meet the governmental requirements.

You are still confused, Jeff. It is "cause and EFFECT", not affect.

"Affect", as a noun, means "the conscious subjective aspect of an emotion considered apart from bodily changes". Seems, when it comes to this particular subject, you are indeed suffering from an affect.

It doesn't matter how amateur radio operators feel about being forced to meet the requirements. Every citizen who is granted amateur radio privileges (effect) is forced to meet the requirements (cause) - no exceptions. The requirements are the cause. Without the requirements, citizens would not be forced to meet them. Citizens can volunteer to become CB operators. They cannot just volunteer to become amateur radio operators. They are first forced to meet the requirements (cause) for amateur radio privileges (effect).

> In 1976, I *voluntarily* took on a course of self-study to learn about RF electronics, code, and the rules and regs; at any time I could have quit -- no one forced me to continue.

If it wasn't a requirement then you are correct.

> When I felt
> ready, I *voluntarily* drove to the FCC's San Francisco office to fill out an application; at any time I could have walked out -- no one forced me to stay. I was then asked to proceed into the testing room and I *voluntarily* went in; at any time I could have walked out -- no one forced me to into that room. Then the tests were administered; I *voluntarily* picked up a pencil -- at any time I could have left -- no one forced to me stay. Even after having been told that I passed, I could have *voluntarily* left without completing the final paperwork. At no time did I feel forced -- I *voluntarily* completed each step of the process, and in return for my *voluntary* cooperation, I was awarded a General class license. I never perceived any force at any stage of the process.

Your perceptions are irrelevant, Jeff. That you didn't mind being forced to meet the requirements is irrelevant. In order to obtain amateur radio privileges, you were forced to meet the requirements for an amateur radio license. Those acts you performed were NOT OPTIONAL!!! You had no choice. If you were to become an amateur radio operator, you absolutely were forced to meet the same requirements as any other citizen. You cannot just volunteer to be an amateur radio operator. You are first forced to meet the requirements.

In exchange for certain privileges (effect), governments force their citizens to meet certain requirements (cause). That's what governments do.

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 5092481748142564602
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 20:10:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AAAF83F.690E5FD6@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 21:59:59 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAAA2F7.AD3C6222@IEEE.org> <20010310203243.14891.00000360@nso-
mp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984283406
64.240.47.69 (10 Mar 2001 22:03:26 -0600)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17180
```

N2EY wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Doesn't seem
> >reasonable to violate one regulation in order to meet another one.

> If properly identified, no violation would occur.

I don't know, Jim. That comes awfully close to broadcasting, something that is prohibited for amateurs.

> Sure there was. Easy, if ya know how. Plus with a shielded dummy load, there
> was no reason to.

After Shorty blew up his VFO, he was in no mood to continue with the code test.

> >Madisonville hams apparently issued CSCEs. ;-)
>
> No such thing at the time. They were visionaries, those guys.

Well, if they're OK now, there's no reason they shouldn't have been OK back then. It was a victimless crime. Shorty knew that I had not forgotten Morse code in one month.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1791723495655571796
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 20:22:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AAAFAA2.96250BA3@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 22:10:10 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org> <20010310223707.16620.00001585@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984284016 64.240.47.69 (10 Mar 2001 22:13:36 -0600)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>

```
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17181
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >I regret shooting doves with a BB gun but not because it was
> >illegal.
>
> If shooting the doves with a BB gun wasn't illegal, and you ate
> the doves you shot, why would you regret it? I sure don't regret
> shooting destructive crows in a cornfield with my Winchester
> rifle.

I try not to kill innocent creatures anymore, Larry. Right now,
I am trying to figure out how to keep sparrows out of my purple
martin house without killing them.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -872077853049228526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 20:24:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AAAFB3D.FD55D473@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 22:12:45 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <20010310184924.03132.00000511@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
<20010310223707.16620.00001586@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984284171
```

```
64.240.47.69 (10 Mar 2001 22:16:11 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17182
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Now Cecil is going to ask a smart-assed rhetorical question about
> his Technician-class Ph. D. friend.

Nope, you're wrong as usual, Larry.

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7982625951915305474
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 23:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
```

news.mindspring.net!network
From: Funny-0RG@arrl.org (ARRL)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 07:40:46 GMT
Organization: Amateur Radio Reaction League (ARRL)
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.35.bb.11
X-Server-Date: 11 Mar 2001 07:41:52 GMT
X-Newsreader: News Xpress 2.01
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18293
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10230 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13204
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17183 rec.radio.amateur.space:2208 rec.radio.cb:27426
rec.radio.scanner:14669 rec.radio.shortwave:28503 rec.radio.swap:25081

I left CB radio 19 years ago when I got my novice ticket. It felt good communicating with people that knew what they were doing and were proud of their accomplishments in getting licensed. Over the past year things have gotten shitty on the bands and operators are stupid. It sounds just like the CB I left 20 years ago except that the rig's readout is showing a ham frequency. To bad there isn't a better radio service I can go to now that the bands are full of idiots. Thanks FCC, Thanks ARRL, I'm back to 11 meters in the middle of a ham band.

From -3000612128630159305
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,da44aba5cc3f8e69,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 01:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.

Lines: 24
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <8jHq6.4880$1x.466785@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /
K0ZEEEhaIl6A2ABple6ci4NUC6o5rgP1cRF7yp1JBhUwXceKfXO0mrapSgQKgmlaUmNxMQCfg+j!
+z6oh4BvTdMICVx0FUFfgh8sRW6jRH2VlwAj5doPgV70vewfM3mUvqgyRiDNH9ZOD4KBeU6CuxLr!
FP7fERQ=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 09:11:32 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 09:11:32 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18297
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10231 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13206
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17184 rec.radio.swap:25083

Troll, troll, troll your post... And what do you mean "back to 11 meters",
you probably never left... :>)

<<< Dan >>>

"ARRL" <Funny-0RG@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG...
> I left CB radio 19 years ago when I got my novice ticket. It felt good
> communicating with people that knew what they were doing and were proud
of
> their accomplishments in getting licensed. Over the past year things
have
> gotten shitty on the bands and operators are stupid. It sounds just like
the
> CB I left 20 years ago except that the rig's readout is showing a ham
> frequency. To bad there isn't a better radio service I can go to now
that the
> bands are full of idiots. Thanks FCC, Thanks ARRL, I'm back to 11 meters
in
> the middle of a ham band.
>
>
>

From -7800718669513659305
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 01:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 09:32:47 GMT
References: <3AAAF83F.690E5FD6@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010311043247.13684.00000413@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17185

In article <3AAAF83F.690E5FD6@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Doesn't seem
>> >reasonable to violate one regulation in order to meet another one.
>
>> If properly identified, no violation would occur.
>
>I don't know, Jim. That comes awfully close to broadcasting, something
>that is prohibited for amateurs.

Broadcasting is not prohibited for amateurs if the material broadcast is of
primary interest to the amateur radio community. Code practice and bulletins by
hams have been perfectly legal since the very beginning.
>
>> Sure there was. Easy, if ya know how. Plus with a shielded dummy load,
>there
>> was no reason to.
>
>After Shorty blew up his VFO, he was in no mood to continue with
>the code test.

This tale gets taller with each telling.

Back in 1967, when I went to my volunteer examiner, I brought along my key and
homebrew code practice oscillator. Wasn't going to take a chance on any
unknowns.

>> >Madisonville hams apparently issued CSCEs. ;-)
>>
>> No such thing at the time. They were visionaries, those guys.
>
```

>Well, if they're OK now, there's no reason they shouldn't have
>been OK back then.

Sure there was. Lots of reasons. Plus, that's not the issue.

>It was a victimless crime.

It was a violation of the rules of that time. The standards were lowered for you.

>Shorty knew that
>I had not forgotten Morse code in one month.

How did he know that without a valid test? You were off the air.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 7351190429862381916
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 03:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 06:09:16 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <B6D0C709.4EAE%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-78.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984308895 458024 207.144.64.208 (11 Mar 2001 11:08:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 11:08:15 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18301 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10233 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13209 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17186 rec.radio.amateur.space:2209 rec.radio.cb:27429 rec.radio.scanner:14672 rec.radio.shortwave:28514 rec.radio.swap:25088

ARRL wrote:

> I left CB radio [snip]

Sure you did. The fact that you posted this message to nine newsgroups, used a fake identification, and made numerious false statements about ham radio, clearly shows this as an attempt to stir up trouble instead of voicing an honest complaint. If you want to stir up trouble, try not being so transparent next time. Until then, you rate less than a one on the old troll-o-meter.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 376276634285901721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 03:57:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!195.238.2.15!skynet.be!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 06:57:33 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <20010310184924.03132.00000511@ng-ma1.news.cs.com> <20010310223707.16620.00001586@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-78.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984311798 459641 207.144.64.208 (11 Mar 2001 11:56:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 11:56:38 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17187

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Amateur radio is not for technically inept
> idiots who "just wanna talk on the radio."
> It is for reasonably intelligent people who
> are curious, ingenious, and willing to
> learn and discover on their own. [snip]

Who said, Larry? That may be your idea what ham radio is, but you're not the one who decides such matters (and I see no requirement in the FCC rules that says a ham must be "curious, ingenious, and willing to learn and discover on their own").

> We're no longer pointing the way, we're now
> telling prospective hams which button to
> push and when to push it, and not holding
> them responsible for so much as reading a
> few pages out of an equipment owner's
> manual written [snip]

He didn't ask about anything in his owner's manual, Larry. He asked where he could download the rules and forms to renew his license. Since he's been "inactive for quite a while," he is probably not aware that the FCC now maintains a site for ham operators, and has most of it's forms online (he is going to be really thrilled when he discovers the Universal Licensing System).

> [snip] Our society is dumbed-down, our hobby
> is dumbed-down, and I, for one, am sick of it!
> [snip] I do not apologize for my response --
> I just pity that poor dumbed-down jerk, but
> don't see any need for him to keep up the
> pretense of being a licensed radio amateur.

Gee, it must be frustrating to be as intellegent as you think you are, especially in a world filled with so many "dumbed-down" jerks. You sound like a bitter old man when you say crap like this, Larry. When you get in one of these moods, you need to remind yourself to climb down off that self-rightious pedestal and stop acting like a pompus ass. Because, believe me, what you're saying now says far more about your intellegence than what he said says about his.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -6609299251804259648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 04:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: TR@sky.net
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 12:11:09 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 23
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18306
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10237 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13211
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17188 rec.radio.amateur.space:2210 rec.radio.cb:27430
rec.radio.scanner:14673 rec.radio.shortwave:28517 rec.radio.swap:25110

On Sun, 11 Mar 2001 07:40:46 GMT, Funny-0RG@arrl.org (ARRL) wrote:

>I left CB radio 19 years ago when I got my novice ticket. It felt good
>communicating with people that knew what they were doing and were proud of
>their accomplishments in getting licensed. Over the past year things have
>gotten shitty on the bands and operators are stupid. It sounds just like the

>CB I left 20 years ago except that the rig's readout is showing a ham
>frequency. To bad there isn't a better radio service I can go to now that the
>bands are full of idiots. Thanks FCC, Thanks ARRL, I'm back to 11 meters in
>the middle of a ham band.

```
    0  2  4  6  8  10
                       /
                      /
                     /
                    /
                   /
                  /
                 /
                /
         TROLL-O-METER
```

```
From 8762034132830906892
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 04:28:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 07:28:38 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-78.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984313670 460687 207.144.64.208 (11 Mar 2001 12:27:50
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 12:27:50 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18307
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10239 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13212
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17189 rec.radio.amateur.space:2211 rec.radio.cb:27432
rec.radio.scanner:14675 rec.radio.shortwave:28518 rec.radio.swap:25113

TR@sky.net wrote:

> Funny-0RG@arrl.org wrote:
>
>> I left CB radio [snip]
>
> 0  2  4  6  8  10
>               /
>              /
>             /
>            /
>           /
>          /
>         /
>        /
> TROLL-O-METER


  You need to get your meter checked, TR.  This message was way too
transparent to rate a ten.  It also lacked the style and sophistication to
be a highly rated troll.  My meter still shows it as less than a one (and
its a generious meter).


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net




From -7546231365012670402
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 04:40:04 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 12:35:47 GMT
Message-ID: <3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-
```

```
de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.47
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.47
X-Trace: 11 Mar 2001 06:33:38 -0600, 64.24.240.47
Lines: 26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17190

On Sat, 10 Mar 2001 21:13:36 -0500, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>
>  If that trend continues, in just a few more years, use of those
>frequencies by CB'ers will become as commonplace as the radios now with that
>capability.
>
Freebanders were using 300 watt 125% plate modulated Johnson Viking
Valiant boomers and Collins 75A series rcvrs (among many others) on
the 11 & 10 meter bands back in the CB craze days of the 70s. This
crap did not start yesterday. I'd sure as hell rather have to work
thru or around one of their current weenie diode-snipped Radio Slack
ssb xcvrs than the beasts the illegals had back then.
>
> If we want to prevent that, I agree with N2EY that we have to
>address this problem now, before it gets out of control.
>
Have fun "addressing", you can take up my slack. The 10m illegals are
a minor annoyance, they are not a hand-wringing threat to ham radio or
even the 10m band. It's a matter of being realistic, getting 'em off
10m is entirely in the hands of the FCC and we all know where that
leaves us.
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
w3rv


From 3945214316950221179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c935e8b11bcb632b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c935e8b11bcb632b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,c935e8b11bcb632b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 05:42:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
```

```
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Hambone" <mnjinfor2@aol.com>
From: "Hambone" <mnjinfor2@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Girl Friends for Ham Op's Inc
Lines: 11
Organization: Hambones emporium of Hamfest women
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <cgLq6.4770$R_6.494940@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 13:41:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.192.90.35
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984318088 216.192.90.35 (Sun, 11 Mar
2001 05:41:28 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 05:41:28 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:9965
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13214 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17191

Alot of Hams are lonely cloistered nerds. They have basements
full of useless electronic junk but no nookie......NOT ANYMORE!

http://www.fuqqer.com/fotm/

Click at the above for the catalog of carefully selected hamfest women
who will be featured at the ------- radio magazine booth at Dayton 2001.

What a deal ja can't go wrong !




From -978259957305904598
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 05:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!ptdnetP!ptdnetS!newsgate.ptd.net!nnrp1.ptd.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <3aab83f8.44025739@news.ptdprolog.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 20
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 14:05:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.229.51.122
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net
X-Trace: nnrp1.ptd.net 984319549 24.229.51.122 (Sun, 11 Mar 2001 09:05:49 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 09:05:49 EST
Organization: PenTeleData http://www.ptd.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18310
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10241 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13215
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17192 rec.radio.amateur.space:2212 rec.radio.cb:27437
rec.radio.scanner:14678 rec.radio.shortwave:28523 rec.radio.swap:25132

On Sun, 11 Mar 2001 07:40:46 GMT, Funny-0RG@arrl.org (ARRL) wrote:

>I left CB radio 19 years ago when I got my novice ticket.  It felt good
>communicating with people that knew what they were doing and were proud of
>their accomplishments in getting licensed.  Over the past year things have
>gotten shitty on the bands and operators are stupid.  It sounds just like the
>CB I left 20 years ago except that the rig's readout is showing a ham
>frequency.  To bad there isn't a better radio service I can go to now that the
>bands are full of idiots. Thanks FCC, Thanks ARRL, I'm back to 11 meters in
>the middle of a ham band.
>
>
>
oh, brother, what an hysterical over reaction. on the maritime net
late last year, hams saved the life of a boy who'd been shot by
pirates off the coast of honduras. i myself contacted the coast guard
to help save the life of a heart attack victim off the bahamas...

if thats your view of ham radio, good riddance to you. get a life.



From 5763486640821963104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 06:22:13 PST
```

From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 14:20:36 GMT
Message-ID: <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA8E877.8C335776@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9xvoj2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com> <98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.107
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.107
X-Trace: 11 Mar 2001 08:18:27 -0600, 64.24.19.107
Lines: 72
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17193

On 10 Mar 2001 22:14:37 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:
>
>>"Phil Kane" wrote:
>>>"Make it hard" for them by using the frequencies legally, not
>>>by throwing carriers or resorting to their own style of sleaze.
>
>Has anyone even hinted at doing that?
>
Are you kidding? When was the last time you actually tuned 10m?
>
>>Agreed, the worst we could do to ourselves would be to take it down to
>>their level. I've heard hams fire up ssb below the phone band and
>>screech at freebanders, nice example of the pot calling the kettle
>>black.
>
>Did they ID?
>
Of course not, duh? Which was my point.
>
> If not, how do you know they were licensed hams? No
>speculation permitted -- either you can prove they were amateurs,
>or you should retract that allegation.
>
"No speculation permitted'?? Really? Because you said so? Hold yer
breath waiting for me to "prove" anything to you Good Buddy. I listen,
I have a brain and it doesn't take any rocket science to conclude that
the harassers are hams illegally playing frequency cop.
>
> Any of them saying "This is
>a ham band -- get lost," doesn't prove they themselves are licensed

>amateurs.
>
You think maybe some freebanders go around spanking other freebanders
for being freebanders or what? Intellectual gridlock = ON.
>
>>Personally, I ignore 'em, they don't bother me,
>
>The same could be said of the illegals crossing the border from
>Mexico; they certainly don't bother me out here in Hawaii, but
>regardless, I'm all for plugging the holes in the border and even
>back the landowners who conduct citizens' arrests on those criminals;
>would you refer to those landowners as vigilantes?
>
Null, void and moot. The big hole in your analogy is that INS is
aggressively enforcing the law, the FCC obviously is not. A smaller
hole begs a rhetorical response: "How does a ham make an "arrest" of
an illegal radio operator?"
>
In the meanwhile we have some self-appointed vigilantes floating
around 10m who are part of the problem, not part of the solution.
>
Until such times as the FCC acts all hams can do is moan and groan and
I'm not of a mind to waste much time noodling "solutions" to a problem
which is outside ham radio's charter and ability to solve.
>
>>10m is no place for
>>vigilante operations by The Righteous. If they do get in the way just
>>politely run over 'em.
>
>From what I read, that's exactly what's being done.
>
In most cases yes. I suggest that you cut the academic-type pushups
and tune 10m (with a radio) and come back in here with some real-world
experience instead of coming to conclusions based on other posts.
>
>73, Jeff KH6O
>
w3rv

From 8898379301335168171
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 06:46:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AAB8D06.20E6C07@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 08:34:46 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAAF83F.690E5FD6@IEEE.org> <20010311043247.13684.00000413@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.64
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984321492 64.240.47.64 (11 Mar 2001 08:38:12 -0600)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17194

N2EY wrote:
> It was a violation of the rules of that time. The standards were lowered for
> you.

The rules of that time were arbitrary and the standards were not
lowered for me. Shorty already had knowledge of my code ability.
I know of a number of hams who signed off on code ability based on
on-the-air QSOs performed at 13wpm or higher by novices while the
examining ham listened in.

> >Shorty knew that
> >I had not forgotten Morse code in one month.
>
> How did he know that without a valid test? You were off the air.

Shorty had heard me on the air as little as two months previously.
Milton told him that I passed 13wpm with flying colors only one
month before. No healthy person forgets Morse code in one month.
It was a victimless crime with no harm done.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4563828515181492616

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18d9b3b0c19f9f7c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,18d9b3b0c19f9f7c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 07:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!chnws02.mediaone.net!chnws06.ne.mediaone.net!24.91.0.34!typhoon.ne.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Chet \(KB1EHI\)" <alena@mediaone.net>
From: "Chet \(KB1EHI\)" <alena@mediaone.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <984294437.3aab242514a59@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: N8WWM No Code Doug Adairs strange behavior
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <jXMq6.10520$5f.3138169@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 15:35:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.218.49.141
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.ne.mediaone.net 984324943 24.218.49.141 (Sun, 11 Mar 2001 10:35:43 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 10:35:43 EST
Organization: Road Runner
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:1178 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17195

Could you please take your comments to another place. Some one spent some time cleaning this NG up and it would be a shame for all that work to go down the drain.
Thank You
Chet Woods
<fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:984294437.3aab242514a59@webmail.cotse.com...
> GayScottiekins (69's dudez Units all over Chesapeake Bay area)"
cumlikk@twatmail.com
> sucked on his daddy's balls and typed:
> One key fact:
> CB'ers don't give a rat's ass about morse code, we like getting together
and blowing each othe a LOT more than anything having to do with learning.
That's why we are goofy ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS!
> Mens'Muff's licked by me, twistiefag, Dweebo and lotsa other ILLEGAL
FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS on this CB newsgripe...
>
>
>
>
> The above was posted to rec.radio.cb by amateur nocode n8wwm doug adair.
Care to have a go at where he may be, mate?
>

From -2399489915093305113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 08:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 15:58:36 GMT
References: <3AAAFB3D.FD55D473@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010311105836.10659.00001762@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17196

In article <3AAAFB3D.FD55D473@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Now Cecil is going to ask a smart-assed rhetorical question about
>> his Technician-class Ph. D. friend.
>
>Nope, you're wrong as usual, Larry.

Cecil:

Whatever works. I just controlled your behavior, BTW. Call it being "forced," if you will. You make a very good hand puppet.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 612565376073615268
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-11 08:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Mar 2001 15:58:37 GMT
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17198

In article <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> Gee, it must be frustrating to be as intellegent as you think you are,
>especially in a world filled with so many "dumbed-down" jerks. You sound
>like a bitter old man when you say crap like this, Larry. When you get in
>one of these moods, you need to remind yourself to climb down off that
>self-rightious pedestal and stop acting like a pompus ass. Because, believe
>me, what you're saying now says far more about your intellegence than what
>he said says about his.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

Dwight:

Typical response from a pony-tailed, multi-pierced, pot-smoking liberal.
When someone emerges who supports traditional values in any form,
you call him a "self-rightious (sic) pompus (sic) ass." Tell you what --
if you're going to make a feeble attempt to debate me, can you at least
run your postings through a spell checker? I compose all of mine on
Microsoft Word '97, then paste 'em back into the AOL newsreader window.
Is that beyond your technical capability?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -174778215934633763
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 06:14:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AA790AB.29551761@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 08:01:15 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA701FE.31C6D913@IEEE.org> <20010308070146.02087.00000088@nso-

de.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.52
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984060284 64.240.47.52 (8 Mar 2001 08:04:44 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17098

N2EY wrote:
> That violated the procedure of that time.

Yep, and that's why I posted it. At the time, Dick was being adamant on procedures. I wanted to yank his chain. Three years later, he's still stewing about it. :-)

The two Madisonville, TX hams were neighbors and good friends.
Milton had a 78rpm code record which he used to test my 13wpm ability.
Shorty had no way to send audible Morse code and no way to judge its speed. He asked Milton about my Morse code ability and he said I passed with flying colors. Shorty accepted the previous 78rpm record code test as being superior to any test that he could come up with.

That was 50 years ago. Both hams are SK now.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -9120445723037426827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 08:06:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 8 Mar 2001 16:06:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <988alk$frc$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17099

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:
>
> >Your statement has got to be in the running for the DAPOTYACAP (Dumb
> >Assed Post Of The Year Award Certificate And Plaque)!
> >
> >First, I've got better ways to spend my time than transmitted deliberate
> >interference on top of some dump huck CBer.
> >
> >Two, the dump huck CBer probably doesn't hear you anyhow.
> >
> >Three, your interference masks the interlopers signal during DF efforts.
> >
> >Four, deliberate interference is deliberate interference -- you're nothing
> >more than another rules violator, no better than the dump huck CBer that
> >you're jamming.
> >
> Five, if you don't identify, you're breaking FCC rules. If you do dentify,
> you're giving away your identity.
>
> The answer is to use and occupy 28.085 legally BEFORE the truckers do, not
> after. Then they are interfering with you, not the other way around.

One factor which hasn't been discussed here is HF skip propagation, which often shows that apparent interference is not. Often you can hear two stations who can't hear each other. So if one can't hear a station on the frequency, he can't deliberately interfere with hi. Happens all the time, and I'm sure FCC monitoring takes it into accouont.

Dick

```
From 3818542193869101263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 08:32:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 8 Mar 2001 16:30:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <988c2n$idc$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <3AA6FF79.4FEDF47C@IEEE.org> <20010308045856.10659.00001190@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3AA78D33.EEF6B1E9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17100
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> NOBODY has said that an amateur radio license
> is forced on ANYBODY. Please stop repeating that falsehood.

That's exactly what you've been saying three times a day for the last three weeks. And you've been denying saying it almost as often.

You're nothing but an ache in the posterior.

```
From 1781894671291857766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 09:22:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 09:17:00 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3AA7BE8C.C70CCA7A@uci.edu>
References: <3AA24CB5.2003A620@IEEE.org> <20010304105645.10659.00000509@nso-
mq.aol.com> <3AA2999F.553853A0@IEEE.org> <3AA42C5F.E282642D@uci.edu>
```

<981icv$447$0@208.207.71.173> <3AA46C16.65121F3C@IEEE.org>
<981sjt$vf$1@208.207.71.173> <3AA4F8A1.7AE2BC4F@IEEE.org>
<983i3m$ncv$0@208.207.71.169> <3AA55E0E.DA9828FB@IEEE.org>
<3AA56360.118DADC3@uci.edu> <3AA56BC2.10400090@IEEE.org>
<985rb4$c8h$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA6FF79.4FEDF47C@IEEE.org>
<98782b$iaa$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AA78B15.491A577E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17101

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > He, also, was required by law to meet the requirements, whether he wanted
> > to or not; whether he did or not. (And I'm sure he did.) All hams are
> > presumed to have met the requirements owing to the fact that they have been
> > licensed by the FCC..
>
> That's true Jim, and is unrelated to the question of whether a Morse
> code requirement is a good thing or not.

Right. But it is related to the discussion we had been having up until
now.

> Requirements are required
> by circumstances. The circumstances are the FCC rules. In return for
> certain privileges, the government forces citizens to meet the
> requirements. That's what governments do.

Thank you for explaining this to me. It comes as a real revelation.

ac6xg

From -3495051195119857290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 12:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 08 Mar 2001 20:37:44 GMT
References: <3AA78D33.EEF6B1E9@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010308153744.04531.00000662@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17102

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 03/08/01 8:46 AM Eastern Standard Time

>Larry, you yourself, have admitted in the past that your Morse code
>skill was produced only with considerable effort. "Produced with
>effort" is your very own definition of "forced" above. Therefore, you
>have already admitted that you were "forced" to meet the Morse
>code requirements. Denying it now is ridiculous.

Obtaining something by methodology of applying one's self to a task is NOT being "forced" under ANYONE'S definition.

Of course I see where Cecil is taking this, and I could respond that under such application of the definition "forced" we could also say we are "forced" to breathe, "forced" to eat ot "forced" to sleep.

The application of "forced" in those examples is ludicrous.

It took me some "effort" to overcome the 10wpm threshold too, but I was HARDLY forced to do it. I WANTED to do it in order to obtain the attached priviledges.

Absolutely TOO Liberterian, Cecil. Some common sense would be appropriate at this juncture.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 2337083120928696449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 12:56:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AA7EF2F.BFAEA2BE@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 14:44:31 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA6FF79.4FEDF47C@IEEE.org> <20010308045856.10659.00001190@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AA78D33.EEF6B1E9@IEEE.org> <988c2n$idc$0@208.207.71.172>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.144
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984084482 64.240.47.144 (8 Mar 2001 14:48:02 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17103

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > NOBODY has said that an amateur radio license
> > is forced on ANYBODY. Please stop repeating that falsehood.
>
> That's exactly what you've been saying three times a day for the last
> three weeks. And you've been denying saying it almost as often.
>
> You're nothing but an ache in the posterior.

Dick, please sober up enough to understand what I have been saying. Nobody forces anyone to become an amateur radio operator. But in return for granting amateur radio privileges, the government forces citizens to meet the amateur radio requirements. Therefore, anyone who has an amateur radio license was forced by the government to meet the requirements for an amateur radio license. That's what governments do.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5994210713763661095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 13:00:08 PST

Message-ID: <3AA7F03E.32C6627A@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 08 Mar 2001 14:49:02 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA78D33.EEF6B1E9@IEEE.org> <20010308153744.04531.00000662@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.144
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984084751 64.240.47.144 (8 Mar 2001 14:52:31 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17104

Steve Robeson wrote:

> It took me some "effort" to overcome the 10wpm threshold too, but I was HARDLY forced to do it. I WANTED to do it in order to obtain the attached priviledges.

It doesn't matter if you wanted to do it or not, Steve. The requirements are exactly the same whether you wanted to do it or not. In return for amateur radio privileges, citizens are required by circumstances (forced) to meet the governmental requirements. That's what governments do.

In return for certain privileges, governments force citizens to meet the necessary requirements. Dick apparently obtained his privileges through fraud because he says he was never required by circumstances to pass any of the amateur radio requirements.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1047293946775559851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 16:56:06 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 00:50:13 GMT
Message-ID: <3aa8226c.30393159@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010304184634.22936.00000579@ng-bd1.aol.com>
<20010304210231.22936.00000472@ng-bj1.news.cs.com> <3AA302AC.3325BE20@some.net>
<980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3AA3C1CB.2F8997D@some.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9qez14.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AA3FE70.4B3B1073@some.net>
<3AA4425B.C6001E61@arrl.org> <9838np$5sf$1@news.netmar.com>
<3AA56A49.4BFA@bellsouth.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.126
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.126
X-Trace: 8 Mar 2001 18:48:10 -0600, 64.24.19.126
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
newsfeed.cwix.com!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!4.24.21.218.MISMATCH!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17105

On Tue, 06 Mar 2001 17:52:57 -0500, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Hans,
>
>deliberate interference.  Thusly, if I send out a series of CQs on top
>of some idiot who has no business being there, I am perfectly legal.
>
You'd be legal but you'd also be inexcusably stupid and juvenile to do
any such thing.
>
>Jerry
>K4KWH
>
w3rv



From -3554942417382424331
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 18:20:08 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 02:14:57 GMT
Message-ID: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.115
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.115
X-Trace: 8 Mar 2001 20:12:52 -0600, 64.24.240.115
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.telebeam.net!washdc3-snf1!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17106

On 08 Mar 2001 05:33:11 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>
>The answer is to use and occupy 28.085 legally BEFORE the truckers do, not
>after. Then they are interfering with you, not the other way around.
>

Let the FCC deal with those dorks, we have the whole remaining 1,695
Khz wide 10m sandbox available to do our jollies.

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>

w3rv

From -3470132170100000137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 21:41:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Thu, 8 Mar 2001 21:35:26 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <989pv2$kbf$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-80.ppp.uci.edu

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17107

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com...
> Let the FCC deal with those dorks, we have the whole remaining 1,695
> Khz wide 10m sandbox available to do our jollies.

According to Phil, Congress doesn't want the FCC to deal with them.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 5512930784631102593
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-08 22:13:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 9 Mar 2001 05:58:42 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <989rei$gnj$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 984117522 17139 128.171.44.55 (9 Mar 2001 05:58:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Mar 2001 05:58:42 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17108

@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:
>Four, deliberate interference is deliberate interference --

I think the crux of this argument is understanding the government's definition of "interference." Go to www.access.gpo.gov and pull up the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 47 (Telecommunications), Chapter 1 (Federal Communications Commission), Part 2A (Terminology), Section 2.1 (Terms and Definitions). You'll see that interference can only be done to a "radiocommunication system." The question you need to pose is this: Do out-banders, operating in an illegal manner,

constitute a "radiocommunication system"? (Of course, that begs the
question of how such a system is defined; no definition in S2.1 is
given.)   73, Jeff KH6O

From 6368473045969131986
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 01:02:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 9 Mar 2001 08:47:07 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <98a5ab$qog$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3AA78D33.EEF6B1E9@IEEE.org> <20010308153744.04531.00000662@ng-
mq1.aol.com> <3AA7F03E.32C6627A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 984127627 27408 128.171.44.55 (9 Mar 2001 08:47:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Mar 2001 08:47:07 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17109

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>In return for certain privileges, governments force citizens to meet the
>necessary requirements.

Hi Cecil -- I think you're subtly reversing the roles of cause and
affect. A more accurate view of this is that in exchange of a citizen
voluntarily meeting certain requirements, the government grants various
privileges; if a citizen doesn't meet said voluntary requirements,
either through choice or neglect, no privileges are issued.
73, Jeff KH6O

From 753000818527457268
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 02:42:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Mar 2001 10:41:12 GMT
References: <98a5ab$qog$1@news.hawaii.edu>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010309054112.10659.00001389@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17110

In article <98a5ab$qog$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
writes:

>
>W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>In return for certain privileges, governments force citizens to meet the
>>necessary requirements.
>
>Hi Cecil -- I think you're subtly reversing the roles of cause and
>affect. A more accurate view of this is that in exchange of a citizen
>voluntarily meeting certain requirements, the government grants various
>privileges; if a citizen doesn't meet said voluntary requirements,
>either through choice or neglect, no privileges are issued.

Jeff:

The point that Cecil is trying to make, and won't own up to, is that
government imposed amateur radio licensing requirements are
inherently evil since they "force" people to do things that stand in
the way of their personal happiness and fulfillment. It's just another
version of the usual no-coder whine, that's all. Cecil would claim
to object to FCC licensing requirements on libertarian grounds,
but in reality, its just more whining from a person who is a moral
weakling, and an apologist for whining no-coders.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3427977255674231385
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 05:24:55 PST
Message-ID: <3AA8D74E.D3895DFD@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 07:14:54 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA78D33.EEF6B1E9@IEEE.org> <20010308153744.04531.00000662@ng-mq1.aol.com> <3AA7F03E.32C6627A@IEEE.org> <98a5ab$qog$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.126

```
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984143903
64.240.47.126 (9 Mar 2001 07:18:23 -0600)
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17111

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA  <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >In return for certain privileges, governments force citizens to meet the
> >necessary requirements.
>
> Hi Cecil -- I think you're subtly reversing the roles of cause and
> affect.

Don't you mean "cause and effect", Jeff?

> A more accurate view of this is that in exchange of a citizen
> voluntarily meeting certain requirements, the government grants various
> privileges; if a citizen doesn't meet said voluntary requirements,
> either through choice or neglect, no privileges are issued.

Aha, the Stockholm syndrome again. It doesn't matter whether you feel
good or bad about it. If you want or need privileges that the government
grants, you are required by circumstances (forced) to meet the requirements.
That's what governments do. The goal is not to meet the requirements. The
goal is to gain the privileges. Seems you are the one who is mixing up
cause and effect. Nobody goes around "voluntarily meeting certain
requirements" without a goal in mind.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -7326816229637900470
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 05:32:28 PST
Message-ID: <3AA8D913.DD7986D4@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 07:22:27 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <98a5ab$qog$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010309054112.10659.00001389@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.126
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984144355 64.240.47.126 (9 Mar 2001 07:25:55 -0600)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!ptdnetP!newsgate.ptd.net!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17112

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The point that Cecil is trying to make, and won't own up to, is that
> government imposed amateur radio licensing requirements are
> inherently evil since they "force" people to do things that stand in
> the way of their personal happiness and fulfillment.

Please stop the mind-fornicating, Larry. I never, never said or implied that it was evil. Seems if you cannot deal with the truth, you choose to start telling lies and setting up straw men.

You have already admitted that your Morse code skill was produced with effort. "Produced with effort" is your dictionary's definition of "forced". The fact that you felt good about being required by circumstances (forced) to meet the requirements is irrelevant. It doesn't mater whether you felt good or bad or indifferent about it.
In return for amateur radio privileges, you were still forced to meet the requirements.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4980860425369711660
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 05:32:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Mar 2001 13:32:35 GMT
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-de.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17113

In article <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 08 Mar 2001 05:33:11 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>
>>The answer is to use and occupy 28.085 legally BEFORE the truckers do, not
>>after. Then they are interfering with you, not the other way around.
>>
>Let the FCC deal with those dorks, we have the whole remaining 1,695
>Khz wide 10m sandbox available to do our jollies.

It's the old "camel's nose in the tent" thing. You give up one frequency to illegal operation, and pretty soon that one won't be adequate and they will take another. And another. Pretty soon the band will be full of them. And they will view themselves as having "squatter's rights" and will be much harder to dislodge.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -6153595882640644173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 05:32:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!

63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 55
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Mar 2001 13:32:36 GMT
References: <3AA790AB.29551761@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010309083236.02087.00000169@nso-de.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17114

In article <3AA790AB.29551761@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> That violated the procedure of that time.
>
>Yep, and that's why I posted it.

Maybe. Would you swear to it?

>At the time, Dick was being adamant on
>procedures.

50 years ago?

> I wanted to yank his chain.

So what else is new?

>Three years later, he's still
>stewing about it. :-)

I don't think he's "stewing".
>
>The two Madisonville, TX hams were neighbors and good friends.

FCC didn't care about that.

>Milton had a 78rpm code record which he used to test my 13wpm ability.

By playing it at 33-1/3? ;-)

>Shorty had no way to send audible Morse code and no way to judge its
>speed.

Real hotbed of skilled highspeed Morse ops, that Madisonville.

>He asked Milton about my Morse code ability and he said I

>passed with flying colors.

uh-huh.

>Shorty accepted the previous 78rpm record
>code test as being superior to any test that he could come up with.

Even though it was not acceptable by FCC standards of the time.

>
>That was 50 years ago. Both hams are SK now.

So we have only your version of the story. Might be true, might be a tall tale, might be anything in between.

Doesn't matter anymore, anyway, because 5 wpm is all the FCC cares about now.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 1595002668837545624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 05:46:22 PST
Message-ID: <3AA8DC52.9C3A9E65@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 07:36:18 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA790AB.29551761@IEEE.org> <20010309083236.02087.00000169@nso-
de.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.126
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984145187
64.240.47.126 (9 Mar 2001 07:39:47 -0600)
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17115

N2EY wrote:
> >Milton had a 78rpm code record which he used to test my 13wpm ability.
>
> By playing it at 33-1/3? ;-)

I had never seen a 33-1/3 rpm record in 1951. Milton's record player
didn't play 33-1/3 rpm or even 45 rpm. It only had one speed.

> Real hotbed of skilled highspeed Morse ops, that Madisonville.

That was before built-in side tones. Generally, the mute switch
was thrown on the receiver. I never had a sidetone until years
later. I sent code by listening to the clicks made by my hand
key and knowing which clicks were 'dit' clicks and which were
'dah' clicks. I can still send good handkey code without hearing
a side tone.

> >Shorty accepted the previous 78rpm record
> >code test as being superior to any test that he could come up with.
>
> Even though it was not acceptable by FCC standards of the time.

Yep, and after three years, Dick is still stewing about it. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1804566827153773557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3d245ca7184afcb,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 06:50:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: ID Speed question
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <b56q6.179$UJ5.28330@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 14:50:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 129.111.93.239
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984149447 129.111.93.239 (Fri, 09 Mar 2001 06:50:47 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 06:50:47 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17116

I know that the ID on a REPEATER is limited to 20wpm. I also know that ID during a CW qso is not limited in speed. How about using a CW ID during a voice QSO every 10 minutes for the regs. Is there a limitation to the speed of a CW id in that instance? I can't find any reference in the regs.

thanks

Kevin, WB5RUE
http://www.qsl.net/wb5rue
wb5rue@arrl.net

From -2109738625486985618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 07:38:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!207.115.63.138!newscon04.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr16.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AA8E877.8C335776@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.42.22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr16.news.prodigy.com 984152236 6004826 208.188.42.22 (Fri, 09 Mar 2001 10:37:16 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 10:37:16 EST
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 15:37:16 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17117

The problem is the FCC isn't dealing with them and 28.085 isn't the only

frequency they are using. Truckers can be found on a number of frequencies,
28.305 is also becoming popular and I have heard them up above 28.5. Unless the
hams make it hard for them to communicate on 10 meters, they will just keep
using it in bigger numbers and you won't have those 1,695 KHz any more.

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> On 08 Mar 2001 05:33:11 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >
> >The answer is to use and occupy 28.085 legally BEFORE the truckers do, not
> >after. Then they are interfering with you, not the other way around.
> >
> Let the FCC deal with those dorks, we have the whole remaining 1,695
> Khz wide 10m sandbox available to do our jollies.
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> w3rv

From 998025330649671652
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3d245ca7184afcb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 09:18:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <b56q6.179$UJ5.28330@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: ID Speed question
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <Eb8q6.46$Nq2.119519@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 9 Mar 2001 11:17:00 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.88
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 984158052 206.29.242.88 (Fri, 09 Mar 2001 12:14:12 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 12:14:12 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17118

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b56q6.179$UJ5.28330@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I know that the ID on a REPEATER is limited to 20wpm. I also know that
ID
> during a CW qso is not limited in speed. How about using a CW ID during

```
a
> voice QSO every 10 minutes for the regs.  Is there a limitation to the
speed
> of a CW id in that instance?  I can't find any reference in the regs.
>
> thanks
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
> http://www.qsl.net/wb5rue
> wb5rue@arrl.net
>
>
```

I would hold it to 20. Beyond that there may be a question of intent.
Besides what's the practical diff between taking 3 seconds at 20 or two
seconds at 30?

```
From -8357382769960535386
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 11:58:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9xvl01.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-
de.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 17
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 19:58:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984167893 206.58.44.26 (Fri, 09 Mar 2001 19:58:13
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 19:58:13 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17119
```

On 09 Mar 2001 13:32:35 GMT, N2EY wrote:

```
>It's the old "camel's nose in the tent" thing. You give up one frequency to
>illegal operation, and pretty soon that one won't be adequate and they will
```

>take another. And another. Pretty soon the band will be full of them. And they
>will view themselves as having "squatter's rights" and will be much harder to
>dislodge.

That's how the non-licensed MURS was carved out of the licensed
Business Radio Service, and how the upper 17 CB channels came
about.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -8970839166085955532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 11:58:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!cabal10.airnews.net!news.airnews.net!cabal11.airnews.net!news.airnews.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9xvoj2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <3AA8E877.8C335776@some.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 16
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 19:58:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984167894 206.58.44.26 (Fri, 09 Mar 2001 19:58:14 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 19:58:14 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17120

On Fri, 09 Mar 2001 15:37:16 GMT, JR wrote:

>The problem is the FCC isn't dealing with them and 28.085 isn't the only
>frequency they are using. Truckers can be found on a number of frequencies,
>28.305 is also becoming popular and I have heard them up above 28.5. Unless the
>hams make it hard for them to communicate on 10 meters, they will just keep
>using it in bigger numbers and you won't have those 1,695 KHz any more.

"Make it hard" for them by using the frequencies legally, not
by throwing carriers or resorting to their own style of sleaze.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 386446385778472399
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 11:58:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!64.154.60.72.MISMATCH!
cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g9xwg95.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-
bj.aol.com> <989rei$gnj$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 35
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 19:58:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984167896 206.58.44.26 (Fri, 09 Mar 2001 19:58:16
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 19:58:16 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17121
```

On 9 Mar 2001 05:58:42 GMT, Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>I think the crux of this argument is understanding the government's
>definition of "interference." Go to www.access.gpo.gov and pull up
>the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 47 (Telecommunications),
>Chapter 1 (Federal Communications Commission), Part 2A (Terminology),
>Section 2.1 (Terms and Definitions). You'll see that interference can
>only be done to a "radiocommunication system." The question you need
>to pose is this: Do out-banders, operating in an illegal manner,
>constitute a "radiocommunication system"? (Of course, that begs the
>question of how such a system is defined; no definition in S2.1 is
>given.)

Consider the following:

Both Section 2.1 of the FCC Rules and Section 333 of the Communications Act refer to harmful interference to authorized communications.

HOWEVER, Section 97.101(d) of the Rules specifiy that no amateur radio operator may cause interference to ANY (notice that word) communications.

This difference is why lawyers get into pizza contests.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 4756578101592912346
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3d245ca7184afcb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 12:30:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-hub.cableinet.net!uunet!
sac.uu.net!news.juniper.net!not-for-mail
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ID Speed question
Date: 9 Mar 2001 20:16:07 GMT
Organization: Juniper Networks, Inc.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <98bdm7$294p$1@heap.juniper.net>
References: <b56q6.179$UJ5.28330@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: wawa.juniper.net
X-Trace: heap.juniper.net 984168967 74905 172.17.20.55 (9 Mar 2001 20:16:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@juniper.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Mar 2001 20:16:07 GMT
X-Newsreader: trn 4.0-test72 (19 April 1999)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17122
```

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE <wb5rue@arrl.net> wrote:

>I know that the ID on a REPEATER is limited to 20wpm. I also know that ID
>during a CW qso is not limited in speed. How about using a CW ID during a
>voice QSO every 10 minutes for the regs. Is there a limitation to the speed
>of a CW id in that instance? I can't find any reference in the regs.

97.119 says:

(b) The call sign must be transmitted with an emission authorized for the transmitting channel in one of the following ways:

(1) By a CW emission. When keyed by an automatic device used only for identification, the speed must not exceed 20 words per minute;

I think I'd read that to mean that if you are only sending CW for identification, and you aren't keying it by hand, you need to limit it to 20 wpm. There are other ways to read it, I guess, but that seems to be the most conservative.

Dennis Ferguson

From 7123131894004416786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3d245ca7184afcb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 14:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: ID Speed question
In-Reply-To: <b56q6.179$UJ5.28330@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103092241430.1056-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <b56q6.179$UJ5.28330@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 17
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 22:45:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 984177904 12.80.44.12 (Fri, 09 Mar 2001 22:45:04 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 22:45:04 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17123

On Fri, 9 Mar 2001, Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE wrote:
> I know that the ID on a REPEATER is limited to 20wpm. I also know that ID
> during a CW qso is not limited in speed. How about using a CW ID during a
> voice QSO every 10 minutes for the regs. Is there a limitation to the speed
> of a CW id in that instance? I can't find any reference in the regs.

[After reading some other replies....]

If you can manually key your ID at 75wpm, go for it!

Remember, if your transmitter ID's for you, you don't have to. I find that convenient when working on a repeater at its site - just pick up the "god mike" (as one of my friends calls it) and talk. The machine ID's - and since that's the only transmitter, I don't have to. (Remember that when most users are using a repeater, their ID is for their HT or mobile radio's transmitter, not their repeated signal).

From -4803348819283744331
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 18:22:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 10 Mar 2001 02:03:15 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <98c213$t77$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com> <989rei$gnj$1@news.hawaii.edu> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9xwg95.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 984189795 29927 128.171.44.55 (10 Mar 2001 02:03:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Mar 2001 02:03:15 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17124

Phil Kane wrote:

> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>
>>I think the crux of this argument is understanding the government's
>>definition of "interference." Go to www.access.gpo.gov and pull up
>>the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 47 (Telecommunications),
>>Chapter 1 (Federal Communications Commission), Part 2A (Terminology),
>>Section 2.1 (Terms and Definitions). You'll see that interference can
>>only be done to a "radiocommunication system." The question you need
>>to pose is this: Do out-banders, operating in an illegal manner,
>>constitute a "radiocommunication system"? (Of course, that begs the
>>question of how such a system is defined; no definition in S2.1 is
>>given.)

>Consider the following:
>Both Section 2.1 of the FCC Rules and Section 333 of the
>Communications Act refer to harmful interference to authorized

>communications.

And our Part 97 (S97.3) states that "harmful interference" can only
be done to a "radiocommunication service operating in accordance with
the Radio Regulations." Thus, no harmful interference is being wrought
upon the outlaw operators on 10m; they are not part of any service.

>HOWEVER, Section 97.101(d) of the Rules specifiy that no
>amateur radio operator may cause interference to ANY (notice
>that word) communications.

But are these outlaws, who are operating in an illegal manner,
conducting "communications" as legally defined by the government?
No, they are not, so S97.101(d) is not being violated.

73, Jeff KH6O

From 2871865021811273521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 18:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 20:38:59 -0600
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 70
Message-ID: <3AA993C3.54A9@mobiletel.com>
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun10-port361.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 984192390 15029 206.251.168.107 (10 Mar 2001 02:46:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Mar 2001 02:46:30 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17125

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> Several comments on this group of late got me thinking. Bad Mistake.
>
> Larry notes that truck driving is more these days than bladder capacity.
> Jim N2EY notes that most of the interlopers he has heard are truckers
> (also true in the I-80 corridor where I live) at short distance from each
> other. Skip-shooting from fixed stations becomes an issue on several

> newsgripes -- which truckers could generally care less about. And I
> recall that there has been babble about a truckers' band virtually
> forever. Might it be that they are trying to get away from the rest of
> CB???
>
> So perhaps it is time to give it to them and where else but below the
> present 40? It now being nearly 50 years since CB was invented and 30
> since the Fuel Crisis and Double Nickels that started the whole cesspool,
> maybe we can do it right this time. The sense of isolation from driving a
> big rig is pretty good motivation for a lot of things and perhaps
> protecting their terrain is one of them. So you need to give them a
> fighting chance.
>
> So here is my specs for you to shoot holes in.
>
> 20 Channels on some bizarre channel spacing. I used 12.9 kHz
> FM with M1-M7 selectable but required PL. A 200 Hz buzz should keep
> interlopers down to a belch.
> Five and 25 watt selectable.
> Channel 1, M1 is a calling channel. M1 selected automatically on Channel
> 1.
> Two timer circuits: ten-second transmit on channel 1 and a 5 minute
> reversion to Ch1 M1
>
> The FM, PL, and odd spacing should allow some privacy. Having done about
> all that is possible technically, what can you do with regulation? It
> would be nice to limit sales to holders of Class A CDLs but this is really
> not practical. The band should be mobile only, no fixed stations of any
> kind including dispatchers, customers, nada. The whole idea is a
> truck-to-truck band, period.
>
> As the area is basic garbage frequencies to begin with, certainly with the
> skip shooters, there is not a hell of a lot of risk in devoting some of it
> to the truckers. From an enforcement perspective, it is really the old
> police trick of establishing one saloon two blocks from the station to
> attract all the Noids to one bar. One hopes to accomplish two things:
> get the truckers off Channel 19 two up (28.085) or three up (28.535) and
> make it readily apparent that anyone on AM from about Channel 15 to 40 one
> down will be strangled.
>
> I kind of like it.
>
> OK Policy wonks, let me have it.
>
> Dick N�BK

Simple Better idea:

Move Citizens Band usuage to 380 MHZ (UHF NTIA FREQ NOW) but could be
reallocated quite simply. 12.5 khz spacing, 200 Channels with power
upto
1/20/50 watts and repeaters. License the things quite simply-You could
acquire
your licnese at your nearby friendly POST OFFICE for the princely sum of

$20.00, just fill out the form, hand over the money and your call is
the serial number on the top (w-12345678) and voila, off you go!

Everybodys happy,

Just one little detail, program the call into the radio before usuage,
license is good for 10 yrs and off you go!

Martin N5PZJ

From 430637483625878802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c3d245ca7184afcb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 19:01:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Mar 2001 03:00:22 GMT
References: <b56q6.179$UJ5.28330@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ID Speed question
Message-ID: <20010309220022.12960.00001763@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17126

In article <b56q6.179$UJ5.28330@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kevin
Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net> writes:

>
>I know that the ID on a REPEATER is limited to 20wpm. I also know that ID
>during a CW qso is not limited in speed. How about using a CW ID during a
>voice QSO every 10 minutes for the regs. Is there a limitation to the speed
>of a CW id in that instance? I can't find any reference in the regs.
>
>thanks

Kevin:

I'm pretty sure the maximum speed for a CW ID of 20 wpm applies to
ANY automatic CW ID in ANY mode. IOW, you could *manually*
key as fast as you like, but if the ID is an automated circuit, the
maximum QRQ is 20 WPM. I'm too lazy to go thru my rulebook
this late at night, but I recall having responded to this question in the
past, and recall that the answer 20 WPM, max.

Phil Kane -- please weigh in on this issue, if you haven't already!

```
73 de Larry, K3LT



From -73553465381241991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 20:14:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AA993C3.54A9@mobiletel.com>
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Lines: 115
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <ZOhq6.502$eX.126430@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 9 Mar 2001 22:12:48 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.3.67.13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 984197433 207.3.67.13 (Fri, 09 Mar 2001 23:10:33 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 23:10:33 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17127


"Martin" <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
news:3AA993C3.54A9@mobiletel.com...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > Several comments on this group of late got me thinking.  Bad Mistake.
> >
> > Larry notes that truck driving is more these days than bladder
capacity.
> > Jim N2EY notes that most of the interlopers he has heard are truckers
> > (also true in the I-80 corridor where I live) at short distance from
each
> > other.  Skip-shooting from fixed stations becomes an issue on several
> > newsgripes  -- which truckers could generally care less about.  And I
> > recall that there has been babble about a truckers' band virtually
> > forever.  Might it be that they are trying to get away from the rest
of
> > CB???
> >
> > So perhaps it is time to give it to them and where else but below the
> > present 40?  It now being nearly 50 years since CB was invented and 30
> > since the Fuel Crisis and Double Nickels that started the whole
```

cesspool,
> > maybe we can do it right this time. The sense of isolation from
driving a
> > big rig is pretty good motivation for a lot of things and perhaps
> > protecting their terrain is one of them. So you need to give them a
> > fighting chance.
> >
> > So here is my specs for you to shoot holes in.
> >
> > 20 Channels on some bizarre channel spacing. I used 12.9 kHz
> > FM with M1-M7 selectable but required PL. A 200 Hz buzz should keep
> > interlopers down to a belch.
> > Five and 25 watt selectable.
> > Channel 1, M1 is a calling channel. M1 selected automatically on
Channel
> > 1.
> > Two timer circuits: ten-second transmit on channel 1 and a 5 minute
> > reversion to Ch1 M1
> >
> > The FM, PL, and odd spacing should allow some privacy. Having done
about
> > all that is possible technically, what can you do with regulation? It
> > would be nice to limit sales to holders of Class A CDLs but this is
really
> > not practical. The band should be mobile only, no fixed stations of
any
> > kind including dispatchers, customers, nada. The whole idea is a
> > truck-to-truck band, period.
> >
> > As the area is basic garbage frequencies to begin with, certainly with
the
> > skip shooters, there is not a hell of a lot of risk in devoting some
of it
> > to the truckers. From an enforcement perspective, it is really the
old
> > police trick of establishing one saloon two blocks from the station to
> > attract all the Noids to one bar. One hopes to accomplish two things:
> > get the truckers off Channel 19 two up (28.085) or three up (28.535)
and
> > make it readily apparent that anyone on AM from about Channel 15 to 40
one
> > down will be strangled.
> >
> > I kind of like it.
> >
> > OK Policy wonks, let me have it.
> >
> > Dick N�BK
> Simple Better idea:
>
> Move Citizens Band usuage to 380 MHZ (UHF NTIA FREQ NOW) but could be
> reallocated quite simply. 12.5 khz spacing, 200 Channels with power
> upto

> 1/20/50 watts and repeaters. License the things quite simply-You could
> acquire
> your licnese at your nearby friendly POST OFFICE for the princely sum of
> $20.00, just fill out the form, hand over the money and your call is
> the serial number on the top (w-12345678) and voila, off you go!
>
> Everybodys happy,
>
> Just one little detail, program the call into the radio before usuage,
> license is good for 10 yrs and off you go!
>
> Martin N5PZJ

Nice touch! I suspect we are going to need that space soon to hold the S and T band stuff that will almost have to get removed to get TV into a neat little box. I did not use standard spacing because it made PLL fiddling too easy.

My reasoning on using 27 was to use existing antennas simply because the cab is quickly filling with other wonderous electronics. Also I am not entirely sure what a 45 foot metal box does to UHF. ANI might not be politically salable but it makes a fine concept.

I had toyed with using a D/L number with a W in front but some states exceed the digits in the national debt. Besides, why give info for free.

The whole idea hinges on whether the encroachment is in fact a product of trying to get away from skip shooting. If that is the case, then some idea along this line works; if not, kaputski.

When a university puts in a new building it has to connect it to existing paths. It can specify them and plant land mines in paths the students build outside of them or it can provide a few obvious and basic paths and then pave what the students create in a couple of years. Kind of a choice between aesthetics at great cost and a much cheaper practical if not beautiful solution.

Dick BK

From 8351955813998708048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 20:26:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Mar 2001 04:24:04 GMT

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <98ca94$dd5$0@208.207.71.135>
References: <3AA78D33.EEF6B1E9@IEEE.org> <20010308153744.04531.00000662@ng-mq1.aol.com> <3AA7F03E.32C6627A@IEEE.org> <98a5ab$qog$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AA8D74E.D3895DFD@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.135
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17128

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Aha, the Stockholm syndrome again.

So just when were you and K7HEB captured by those gnostic gnasty Feds?

From -9191327826687276854
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 20:28:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 10 Mar 2001 04:27:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <98caft$e8k$0@208.207.71.135>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-de.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.135
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17129

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

> writes:
>
> >On 08 Mar 2001 05:33:11 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
> >
> >>
> >>The answer is to use and occupy 28.085 legally BEFORE the truckers do, not
> >>after. Then they are interfering with you, not the other way around.
> >>
> >Let the FCC deal with those dorks, we have the whole remaining 1,695
> >Khz wide 10m sandbox available to do our jollies.
>
> It's the old "camel's nose in the tent" thing. You give up one frequency to
> illegal operation, and pretty soon that one won't be adequate and they will
> take another. And another.

And that's exactly the way it has evolved over the past 30 years.

Pretty soon the band will be full of them. And they
> will view themselves as having "squatter's rights" and will be much harder to
> dislodge.
>

Exactly.
I believe that N2EY has it right. FCC would just as soon sign them up
as hams and be done with it.

From -2753036800876392752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 20:32:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newsfeed.frii.net!easynews!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Mar 2001 04:30:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <98cakv$e8k$1@208.207.71.135>
References: <3AA790AB.29551761@IEEE.org> <20010309083236.02087.00000169@nso-de.aol.com> <3AA8DC52.9C3A9E65@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.135
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17130

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > >Milton had a 78rpm code record which he used to test my 13wpm ability.
> >
> > By playing it at 33-1/3? ;-)
>
> I had never seen a 33-1/3 rpm record in 1951. Milton's record player
> didn't play 33-1/3 rpm or even 45 rpm. It only had one speed.
>
> > Real hotbed of skilled highspeed Morse ops, that Madisonville.
>
> That was before built-in side tones. Generally, the mute switch
> was thrown on the receiver. I never had a sidetone until years
> later. I sent code by listening to the clicks made by my hand
> key and knowing which clicks were 'dit' clicks and which were
> 'dah' clicks. I can still send good handkey code without hearing
> a side tone.
>
> > >Shorty accepted the previous 78rpm record
> > >code test as being superior to any test that he could come up with.
> >
> > Even though it was not acceptable by FCC standards of the time.
>
> Yep, and after three years, Dick is still stewing about it. :-)


 Do I really need to point out that you've held a fraudulant license all
this time?


From 3557458423697140804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 20:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Date: 10 Mar 2001 04:38:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <98cb4g$e8k$2@208.207.71.135>
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AA993C3.54A9@mobiletel.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.135
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
```

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17131



Martin wrote:
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> >
> > OK Policy wonks, let me have it.
> >
> > Dick N�BK
> Simple Better idea:
>
> Move Citizens Band usuage to 380 MHZ (UHF NTIA FREQ NOW) but could be
> reallocated quite simply.  12.5 khz spacing, 200 Channels with power
> upto
> 1/20/50 watts and repeaters.  License the things quite simply-You could
> acquire
> your licnese at your nearby friendly POST OFFICE for the princely sum of
> $20.00, just fill out the form, hand over the money and your call is
> the serial number on the top (w-12345678) and voila, off you go!
>
> Everybodys happy,
>
> Just one little detail, program the call into the radio before usuage,
> license is good for 10 yrs and off you go!


 Sounds great from a bureaucratic regulatory standpoint, but it does not
much to move all those millions of transcievers off 27-28 mz. And they
AIN'T goin' just because Sam said so.


From 7466135781086093851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 20:42:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AA9AE2B.DF89476F@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 22:31:39 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA78D33.EEF6B1E9@IEEE.org> <20010308153744.04531.00000662@ng-
mq1.aol.com> <3AA7F03E.32C6627A@IEEE.org> <98a5ab$qog$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3AA8D74E.D3895DFD@IEEE.org> <98ca94$dd5$0@208.207.71.135>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984198908
64.240.47.73 (9 Mar 2001 22:35:08 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!jussieu.fr!
opentransit.net!news.tele.dk!195.54.122.107!newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!
news000.worldonline.se!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17132

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Aha, the Stockholm syndrome again.
>
>  So just when were you and K7HEB captured by those gnostic gnasty Feds?

Government is an enemy of freedom, Dick, but unfortunately
anarchy is a worse enemy of freedom.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8637571700338687007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 21:00:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AA9B266.BA40E7F6@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2001 22:49:42 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA790AB.29551761@IEEE.org> <20010309083236.02087.00000169@nso-
```

```
de.aol.com> <3AA8DC52.9C3A9E65@IEEE.org> <98cakv$e8k$1@208.207.71.135>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984199992
64.240.47.73 (9 Mar 2001 22:53:12 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17133

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Yep, and after three years, Dick is still stewing about it. :-)
>
> Do I really need to point out that you've held a fraudulant license all
> this time?

Heck Dick, at 14, I was ignorant of the rules. If fraud was committed
it wasn't I who committed it. I'm still not sure what was done was against
the rules. I never saw and don't know the exact wording of the paper
signed by W5OLV when I passed my Conditional exam. I was ready and
willing to take another code exam like the one I had taken a month before.
Shorty just had no way to generate Morse code audio.

When I was in high school, I was also guilty of shooting doves out of
season with my BB gun. We can't all be perfect like you have been all
of your life.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -1620593099493872039
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 21:24:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Mar 2001 05:23:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <98cdp7$rti$0@208.207.71.135>
References: <3AA790AB.29551761@IEEE.org> <20010309083236.02087.00000169@nso-de.aol.com> <3AA8DC52.9C3A9E65@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.135
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17134

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > >Milton had a 78rpm code record which he used to test my 13wpm ability.
> >
> > By playing it at 33-1/3? ;-)
>
> I had never seen a 33-1/3 rpm record in 1951. Milton's record player
> didn't play 33-1/3 rpm or even 45 rpm. It only had one speed.
>
> > Real hotbed of skilled highspeed Morse ops, that Madisonville.
>
> That was before built-in side tones. Generally, the mute switch
> was thrown on the receiver.

Good Grief! How hard could it have been to key the oscillator with the
other transmitter stages shut off and copy the sidetone from it in the
station receiver? How did you guys 'spot' a frequency, anyway?
As Jim said, Madisonville sure wasn't a hotbed of CW activity.

> I never had a sidetone until years
> later.

I sure did, even with the old Command receiver. I used a double pole
double throw knife switch to switch the antenna from receive to
transmit. It grounded the antenna port of the receiver when on transmit,
and that gave just about the right amount of my transmitted signal for
the receive sidetone without overloading it.

From 1921255029956639348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 21:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 71
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Mar 2001 05:32:32 GMT
References: <3AA8DC52.9C3A9E65@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010310003232.24780.00000326@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17135

In article <3AA8DC52.9C3A9E65@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> >Milton had a 78rpm code record which he used to test my 13wpm ability.
>>
>> By playing it at 33-1/3? ;-)
>
>I had never seen a 33-1/3 rpm record in 1951. Milton's record player
>didn't play 33-1/3 rpm or even 45 rpm. It only had one speed.

What speed was that?
>
>> Real hotbed of skilled highspeed Morse ops, that Madisonville.
>
>That was before built-in side tones.

I didn't have a built-in sidetone in a rig until 1983.

>Generally, the mute switch
>was thrown on the receiver.

Not by hams who knew better.

>I never had a sidetone until years
>later.

We're talking about the code test. For a test, the transmitter could be operated into a dummy load at minimum power, and the receiver operated at low gain with no antenna connected.

>I sent code by listening to the clicks made by my hand

>key and knowing which clicks were 'dit' clicks and which were
>'dah' clicks. I can still send good handkey code without hearing
>a side tone.

I did the same for a short time, at the very beginning. Then I learned to
listen to the rise and fall of the power transformer hum.
>
My 1948 ARRL Handbook shows several lowcost methods of adding a sidetone to
almost any rig. There's the code-practice-oscillator-in-parallel-with-the-key
trick, the neon-bulb relaxation oscillator, and a few others. My favorite is to
mute the receiver by opening the grounded end of the RF gain control and
inserting another potentiometer. The added pot is shorted out on receive. It
gives a variable mute level so you can hear your own signal if the receiver is
tuned anywhere near the transmit frequency.

>> >Shorty accepted the previous 78rpm record
>> >code test as being superior to any test that he could come up with.

If he couldn't do any of the above, he wasn't qualified to give the test at
all. Accepting the previous "record test" was a violation of FCC rules. In
addition, it was possible that you memorized the record. After all, you've
often told us of your photographic memory, Cecil.
>>
>> Even though it was not acceptable by FCC standards of the time.
>
>Yep,

AH HAH!!! So you DO agree that it was a violation!!! ;-)

> and after three years, Dick is still stewing about it. :-)

I doubt he is "stewing" about anything you have to say. He's got far too much
good old Midwestern horse sense than to take seriously anything you post here.
;-)

73 de Jim "I may be ugly, but I'm not stupid" N2EY
>--

From -2832647043767626459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 21:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 00:48:32 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services

Lines: 17
Message-ID: <B6CF2A60.4CFB%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AA993C3.54A9@mobiletel.com> <98cb4g$e8k$2@208.207.71.135>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.215
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984203253 388765 207.144.227.215 (10 Mar 2001 05:47:33 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 05:47:33 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17136

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Sounds great from a bureaucratic regulatory
> standpoint, but it does not much to move all
> those millions of transcievers off 27-28 mz.
> And they AIN'T goin' just because Sam said so.

Child's play, Dick. Slap a pile of error correcting, frequency hopping, digital data transmissions on those frequencies, and people will not be able to give away a CB radio in a few months.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -8163881241897894804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-09 22:48:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Date: 10 Mar 2001 06:46:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <98cijc$e54$0@208.207.71.135>
References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AA993C3.54A9@mobiletel.com> <98cb4g$e8k$2@208.207.71.135>
<B6CF2A60.4CFB%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.135
Mime-Version: 1.0

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17137
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Sounds great from a bureaucratic regulatory
> > standpoint, but it does not much to move all
> > those millions of transcievers off 27-28 mz.
> > And they AIN'T goin' just because Sam said so.
>
>   Child's play, Dick. Slap a pile of error correcting, frequency hopping,
> digital data transmissions on those frequencies, and people will not be able
> to give away a CB radio in a few months.
>

So the FCC should violate their own rules by massive intentional
jamming? That won't happen. They'd be more likely to just legalize it
all first.

```
From -125694044812002381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 03:06:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: jim <noname@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: I hope this is not off subject
Organization: none
Reply-To: jim
Message-ID: <6e2katsdjdo9hg9al6u2j6og70jmgmug6r@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
X-Trace:
+5L7tHEIMjKRvrH7Cw+cMb3UjsGgqfsvSgMj8MadtMUztQFVi8asQipVHeIOovsQCaEqupPM4n6d!
bneCuEHiiekKkBQuCcV7p/6In5iT/oOxcP5fsybOLx5it+vtWes5ypj2IMpaN01Br2uzGHAUBkaY!/jI=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 11:04:35 GMT
```

Distribution: world
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 11:04:35 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17138

Please tell me where I can down load rules and forms for renewing my
general license
I have been inactive for quite a while but want to maintain my
operator and statiojn licences.
Thanks

From -2536045430250710680
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 04:31:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Mar 2001 12:30:15 GMT
References: <3AA9B266.BA40E7F6@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Crime and Punishment
Message-ID: <20010310073015.16620.00001502@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17139

In article <3AA9B266.BA40E7F6@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>When I was in high school, I was also guilty of shooting doves out of
>season with my BB gun. We can't all be perfect like you have been all
>of your life.

Cecil:

No, we can't all be perfect, but very few of us are admitted criminals!
Tell you what -- turn yourself in, pay your debt to society (without any
quibbling over Statutes of Limitation or any such bovine fecal effluvium),
and then we'll consider restoring your credibility.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 295836361809084859
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 04:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Mar 2001 12:30:14 GMT
References: <6e2katsdjdo9hg9al6u2j6og70jmgmug6r@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010310073014.16620.00001500@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17140

In article <6e2katsdjdo9hg9al6u2j6og70jmgmug6r@4ax.com>, jim
<noname@hotmail.com> writes:

>
>Please  tell me where I can down load rules and forms for renewing my
>general license
>I have been inactive for quite a while but want to maintain my
>operator and statiojn licences.
>Thanks
>

You obviously have a computer and can figure out how to post a
message to a newsgripe.  If you can't figure out how to find information
on how to renew your general ticket, then please don't bother!  You
don't deserve it!

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 2553360853495975361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 04:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Mar 2001 12:30:15 GMT
References: <98cb4g$e8k$2@208.207.71.135>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
```

Subject: Re: As Threatened
Message-ID: <20010310073015.16620.00001501@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17142

In article <98cb4g$e8k$2@208.207.71.135>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>
> Sounds great from a bureaucratic regulatory standpoint, but it does not
>much to move all those millions of transcievers off 27-28 mz. And they
>AIN'T goin' just because Sam said so.
>

Dick:

That's not the point. A regulated, licensed version of CB, on a skip-free VHF or UHF frequency allocation, would restore the utility of such a service to serious users who want to be free of the "country culture" and general mayhem of 27 MHz. LET the present day denizens of 11-meters stay there. I want to see a Personal Communications Service for motorists, truckers, and small businessmen that is everything CB was supposed to be if it wern't placed on an HF frequency allocation that propagates worldwide.

Point of order: A $20 fee for 10 years isn't enough. License fees should be enough to ensure adequate enforcement of the rules of the new service. Users should be suspended for a period of time even for comparatively minor infractions such as failure to identify on time or using "unprofessional" language on-the-air. Operating during a suspension results in losing all privileges for 3 years, minimum. Let's control this thing, and give the users a proper fear of the enforcers. CB was turned to crap because the FCC gave up on it. If we start a new service, we must not repeat this mistake, or the permanent loss of even more valuable spectrum would result.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7486177333119131549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 04:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Mar 2001 12:30:16 GMT
References: <3AA9AE2B.DF89476F@IEEE.org>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010310073016.16620.00001503@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17141

In article <3AA9AE2B.DF89476F@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>> W6RCecilA wrote:
>> > Aha, the Stockholm syndrome again.
>>
>> So just when were you and K7HEB captured by those gnostic gnasty Feds?
>
>Government is an enemy of freedom, Dick, but unfortunately
>anarchy is a worse enemy of freedom.

Cecil:

I agree. I also believe that there are certain things that the federal
govermnemt simply must control for the good of all -- and finite
resources, such as the RF spectrum, is a perfect example. Therefore,
government regulation of amateur radio -- including a provision for
multi-tiered Morse code exams up to 20 WPM, makes good sense
to me. It ensures that only the people most likely to go along with
the rules and regulations get to become full-privilege operators, and
ensures that our most practical, effective, efficient, and universal
mode of non-voice communications remains available for use as a
backup to more advanced, digital modes. Of course, this logic
escapes the no-coders, who are concerned only with their personal
ease of entry into the ARS. Such a lazy and selfish attitude now
permeates our culture, to the detriment of us all.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7606848472861647047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 04:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 10 Mar 2001 12:40:43 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <98d7cb$ect$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AA9AE2B.DF89476F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com

X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 984228043 14749 149.174.88.240 (10 Mar 2001 12:40:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Mar 2001 12:40:43 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17143

>Government is an enemy of freedom, Dick,

Correction, Cecil: Government CAN BE an
enemy of freedom. In other cases, it can
guarantee freedom.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 6805624524092164354
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 05:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Mar 2001 13:06:15 GMT
References: <3AA9B266.BA40E7F6@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010310080615.14891.00000344@nso-mp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17144

In article <3AA9B266.BA40E7F6@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> Do I really need to point out that you've held a fraudulant license all
>> this time?
>
>Heck Dick, at 14, I was ignorant of the rules.

The rules and procedures for mail-order licenses were clearly explained in the License Manual you allegedly studied.

> If fraud was committed
>it wasn't I who committed it.

You were a party to it.

> I'm still not sure what was done was against

>the rules.

As you described it, it was against the rules of the time. If it really happened that way, of course.

> I never saw and don't know the exact wording of the paper
>signed by W5OLV when I passed my Conditional exam.

The rules of the time are clear.

>I was ready and
>willing to take another code exam like the one I had taken a month before.

Same record played on the same player, you mean.

>Shorty just had no way to generate Morse code audio.

No CW receiver? No key? No buzzer?
>
>When I was in high school, I was also guilty of shooting doves out of
>season with my BB gun.

Shame, shame. ;-0

>We can't all be perfect like you have been all
>of your life.

A tone of regret for past transgressions, perhaps?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 8284783514946743686
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 05:46:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AAA2D74.E20D514C@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 07:34:44 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Crime and Punishment
References: <3AA9B266.BA40E7F6@IEEE.org> <20010310073015.16620.00001502@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.41
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984231491

```
64.240.47.41 (10 Mar 2001 07:38:11 -0600)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17145

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >When I was in high school, I was also guilty of shooting doves out of
> >season with my BB gun. We can't all be perfect like you have been all
> >of your life.
>
> No, we can't all be perfect, but very few of us are admitted criminals!
> Tell you what -- turn yourself in, pay your debt to society (without any
> quibbling over Statutes of Limitation or any such bovine fecal effluvium),
> and then we'll consider restoring your credibility.

Actually, I just remembered that shooting Doves out of season with my BB
gun was done in grammar school. I used a pellet gun while in high school.
And I've never figured out why it should ever be illegal to shoot a couple
of Doves for supper on my Dad's property. My Dad certainly liked Doves
better than Meadow Larks.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -5057331841818156362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 06:02:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 07:51:38 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA9B266.BA40E7F6@IEEE.org> <20010310080615.14891.00000344@nso-mp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.41
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984232506 64.240.47.41 (10 Mar 2001 07:55:06 -0600)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17146

N2EY wrote:
> The rules and procedures for mail-order licenses were clearly explained in the
> License Manual you allegedly studied.

Maybe so, but I didn't read them. Shorty told me what to do and I
did it.

> As you described it, it was against the rules of the time. If it really
> happened that way, of course.

Actually, I just read those rules and the person who gave the code exam
and the person who gave the written exam didn't have to be the same
person. The person who gave the written exam didn't even have to be
a ham.

> No CW receiver? No key? No buzzer?

No buzzer and the receiver was muted during transmit. Shorty
would have had to put a one-way signal on the air which was
probably illegal. I wasn't licensed at the time.

> A tone of regret for past transgressions, perhaps?

I regret shooting doves with a BB gun but not because it was
illegal.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6037843724195013365
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-10 06:08:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AAA32D0.26F91538@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 10 Mar 2001 07:57:36 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA790AB.29551761@IEEE.org> <20010309083236.02087.00000169@nso-de.aol.com> <3AA8DC52.9C3A9E65@IEEE.org> <98cdp7$rti$0@208.207.71.135>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.41
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984232864 64.240.47.41 (10 Mar 2001 08:01:04 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17147

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Good Grief! How hard could it have been to key the oscillator with the
> other transmitter stages shut off and copy the sidetone from it in the
> station receiver?

It was a Xtal controlled Harvey Wells. I don't think it keyed the oscillator. It might have cathode keyed the final. Wouldn't a one-way broadcast of a Morse code test session have been illegal?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5561563115526854486
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 08:52:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <t0vpato395lmsf5cq3l8lg7a7ljnjep19s@4ax.com>
References: <kgcnato1ing2k76t1bguti7ogjbm7qvunh@4ax.com>
<20010312093447.10659.00001865@nso-mq.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 16:51:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.167
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984415891 209.86.204.167 (Mon, 12 Mar
2001 08:51:31 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 08:51:31 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17248
```

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
>it is much easier to take {advantages}
>of the spell-checking dictionary,

Oh oh. Look at the word "advantages" above. It's used incorrectly in *your* sentence Larry. If you're going to play spelling cop to Dwight, don't you think that you should proof read your responses a bit better? Your spell checker won't save you from making stupid, knuckle dragging, dumbed down, idiot like, grammar mistakes...

>I like AOL's newsreader because it eliminates a lot of
>unnecessary crap found in other newsreaders

Translation: *real* newsreaders are too complicated for the technically dumbed down, knuckle dragging, idiots who are too stupid

```
and lazy to learn how to use a slightly complicated piece of software
and then like to whine about it in a newsgripe...  :-)


From -2979825685866652367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 09:16:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Mar 2001 17:11:14 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <98ivvi$o13$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <6e2katsdjdo9hg9al6u2j6og70jmgmug6r@4ax.com>
<20010310073014.16620.00001500@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 984417074 24611 205.139.138.14 (12 Mar 2001 17:11:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 12 Mar 2001 17:11:14 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17249

In article <20010310073014.16620.00001500@nso-ch.aol.com>, Larry Roll K3LT
<yodoc@aol.comnospam> writes:
>In article <6e2katsdjdo9hg9al6u2j6og70jmgmug6r@4ax.com>, jim
><noname@hotmail.com> writes:
>
>>
>>Please  tell me where I can down load rules and forms for renewing my
>>general license
>>I have been inactive for quite a while but want to maintain my
>>operator and statiojn licences.
>>Thanks
>>
>
>You obviously have a computer and can figure out how to post a
>message to a newsgripe.  If you can't figure out how to find information
>on how to renew your general ticket, then please don't bother!  You
>don't deserve it!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry,

A long time ago back on fidonet I decided that you were an offensive boor.
```

Thank you for periodically confirming/refreshing that opinion.

Hans, K0HB

 ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From -8400502045988835217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 10:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga3cmr1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-
bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <989pv2$kbf$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aaa5ddc.9448266@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga20zl3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D1D271.52F7%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 27
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 18:37:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.94
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984422251 206.58.44.94 (Mon, 12 Mar 2001 18:37:31
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 18:37:31 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17250
```

On Mon, 12 Mar 2001 01:10:11 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>
>> About seven years ago, a local REACT group
>> asked me to sit in on a court hearing that
>> they were going to have in a case involving
>> a rival CB/GMRS group over who was going to
>> handle "public service" in their area. This
>> situation got so heated with threats and
>> namecalling that they had each applied to
>> the court for restraining orders against
>> each other. I needed a good laugh at the
>> time so I went and sat in on the hearing.
>
>
> This wasn't in Washington State, was it, Phil?

This was in Santa Clara County, CA (the heart of Silly-Korn
Valley). I guess that there's really nothing new under the
sun.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -155929226287400880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 10:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Mar 2001 18:46:03 GMT
References: <3AACD4BB.27CD6EB4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010312134603.02999.00000802@ng-mi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17251

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 03/12/01 8:52 AM Eastern Standard Time

>Well, let's backtrack. I said every citizen should be forced to meet the

>requirements before receiving the privileges granted.

True.

>You disagreed

Not true. I said no one is FORCED to do anything.

>What
>am I to assume from that disagreement except you think some citizens
>should NOT be forced to meet the requirements before receiving the
>privileges granted?

After you gain a working knowledge of practical American English, come back and we will revisit the issue. Until then, I think the point is moot. YOU insist that we are FORCED to do something. I contend that no one not incarcerated is FORCED to do anything.

Just as God intended, we are creatures of free will. With that comes challenges to obtaining that which we covet, whether it is food, clothing, cars or an Amateur Radio license. If you want it, meet the challenge and move on.

Those who do, will...those who don't, won't.

It's not a conspiracy..it's life.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 3043858479066614207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 10:50:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 12 Mar 2001 18:50:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <98j5pt$7kr$0@208.206.142.113>
References: <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org> <20010310223707.16620.00001585@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AAAFAA2.96250BA3@IEEE.org> <98ge26$17b$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17252

So tell us Jim, just how can anyone on RRAP keep *anyone* out, or cause
anyone to do-or not do- anything??? Ever hear of the FCC? Seems
"keeping" is their job, not RRAP's.

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@nospam.IEEE.org> wrote:
> > I try not to kill innocent creatures anymore, Larry. Right now,
> > I am trying to figure out how to keep sparrows out of my purple
> > martin house without killing them.
>
> Cecil, the solution is obvious, thanks to RRAP: Permit entrance
> only to officially licensed purple martins.
> --
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona

From 2537281305149037002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 11:03:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: 12 Mar 2001 19:03:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <98j6hm$b1k$0@208.206.142.113>
References: <20010310223707.16620.00001586@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010311204558.06381.00000953@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
<LhWq6.581$mD2.128081@nntp2.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17253

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "KC2HMN" <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:20010311204558.06381.00000953@ng-mp1.news.cs.com...
> > Larry,
> >

> > >This is not amateur radio; it's Usenet. If you don't know the
> difference,
> > >you'd may as well turn your ham ticket also -- assuming you have one.
> >
> > You must be kidding. The issue is not whether ANYBODY knows the
> difference
> > between amateur radio and a newsgroup. The issue is a bout a mean
> spirited
> > person who choose to respond in a rude and obnoxious manner rather than
> give a
> > chap(ham or not...a fellow human being) a "gentle" shove in the right
> > direction. If YOU don't know the difference, you'd may as well turn in
> your
> > birth certificate - assuming YOU have one. Feels nice when people are
> mean, eh?
> > That's really NOT my style, I just want you to "catch a clue."
> >
> > >Our society is dumbed-down, our hobby
> > >is dumbed-down, and I, for one, am sick of it!
> >
> > Seek therapy, don't take it out on others.
> >
> > 73 de Bert
>
> There is a time and a place for everything. In this particular case, it
> probably wasn't either. But step back a minute.
>
> Everyone finds Yahoo. So type in 'FCC' to go the moose. You will be
> thoroughly confused, dismayed, chagrined and all that good stuff. Now
> type 'ARRL' which may or may not be helpful. This is not rocket science.
> Now and only now can you go the newsgripe with a clear conscience and a
> clear question.
>
> Strikes me there is enough skunk shit available to smear everyone several
> times over. Anyone in a supervisory position eventually develops a case
> of the aggravated surlies in similar situations and Larry played Master
> Sergeant which is not all that unbelievable as he was one not that long
> ago.
>
> In the long run of things a steady diet of pats on the back don't make it.
> There is a place for a size 13 to the buns.

Finally, a little dose of reality in the sea of "feel good" that has
surrounded ham radio for at least as long as the last R&O has been out.
I just saw on Fox News that applicants to the service academies are
down 50%. Guess a little discipline and self disclipline are too much
for too many these days. Wonder how long we can last as a nation of
pantywaists?

From 191967056274786081
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 11:04:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AAD1B1B.72D5CC8B@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 12:53:15 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AACD4BB.27CD6EB4@IEEE.org> <20010312134603.02999.00000802@ng-mi1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984423402 64.240.47.86 (12 Mar 2001 12:56:42 -0600)
Lines: 39
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17254

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Well, let's backtrack. I said every citizen should be forced to meet the
> >requirements before receiving the privileges granted.
>
>   True.
>
> >You disagreed
>
>   Not true. I said no one is FORCED to do anything.

Isn't that disagreeing with my statement?

> YOU insist that we are FORCED to do something. I contend that no one not
> incarcerated is FORCED to do anything.

In return for privileges granted by the government, citizens are required
by circumstances to meet the requirements set by the government. "Required

by circumstances" is the dictionary definition of "forced" so amateur radio operators are, by definition, forced to meet the amateur radio requirements. That's what governments do, Steve. They enFORCE the legal requirements. Please give an example of someone who voluntarily used their free will to obtain amateur radio privileges without being required by circumstances to meet the requirements.

>   It's not a conspiracy..it's life.

Seems to me the government officials at the FCC certainly conspired to set the requirements for an amateur radio operator's license. Those requirements are predominately arbitrarily set by the FCC, not by any force of nature.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2091418803080030177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 11:56:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 12 Mar 2001 19:55:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <98j9j1$jfd$0@208.206.142.113>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17255
```

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:43 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
> wrote:
>
> >On Sat, 10 Mar 2001 19:14:07 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> >>Practicallity does enter the equation when dealing with attorney's time.
> >
> > As a veteran attorney told me when I went to consult him about
> > a suit that I filed against a local school board, and I thanked
> > him for taking the time (at $60 per hour - this was a long time
> > ago) to teach me what I already knew, he said: "all I have is time
> > ....I sell it"
> >
> > Words of wisdom.
>
> Exactly! Which is why cases are often NOT prosecuted
> at all or plea'd down...the time value of the prosecutor
> isn't worth the time to get the conviction.

Yep. And it's the reason that there is a fine "range", say from $50 for a mailed in guilty plea to $500 for a full court hearing which is almost sure to result in a guilty finding, unless the defendent is ready and willing to fund a lengthy series of c involving lots of attorney time, expert witness fees, and all that involves. Sorta like an unwritten, unstated but no less true "You can have your day in court but don't expect to get out of paying for it". Seen it in action for many years.

Oh yes, court costs are separate and on top of whatever the fine is. Defendent pays those, too.

From 1499870794754601354
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 12:02:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Mar 2001 19:47:26 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <98j94e$aoa$1@news.netmar.com>

References: <3AAA316A.10DEC882@IEEE.org> <20010310223707.16620.00001585@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AAAFAA2.96250BA3@IEEE.org> <98ge26$17b$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <98j5pt$7kr$0@208.206.142.113>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 984426446 11018 205.139.138.14 (12 Mar 2001 19:47:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 12 Mar 2001 19:47:26 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: dixie@townsqr.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17256

"Holy birdshit, Batman!", Robin exclaimed. "The FCC is now into licensing bird house occupants, and me not having my secret Morse decoder ring handy, won't be able to qualify!"

Batman mashed on his "OnStar" button in desperation, as bootleg-jammers confounded his efforts to raise RRAP act FCC fighter, Phil Kane.

73, Hans, K0HB

In article <98j5pt$7kr$0@208.206.142.113>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:
> So tell us Jim, just how can anyone on RRAP keep *anyone* out, or cause
>anyone to do-or not do- anything??? Ever hear of the FCC? Seems
>"keeping" is their job, not RRAP's.
>
>"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>>
>> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@nospam.IEEE.org> wrote:
>> > I try not to kill innocent creatures anymore, Larry. Right now,
>> > I am trying to figure out how to keep sparrows out of my purple
>> > martin house without killing them.
>>
>> Cecil, the solution is obvious, thanks to RRAP: Permit entrance
>> only to officially licensed purple martins.
>> --
>> Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
>> Glendale, Arizona

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -1672663541232089009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 12:02:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!platform.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: 11 Mar 2001 23:40:55 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com> <98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 984354055 14028 128.171.44.55 (11 Mar 2001 23:40:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Mar 2001 23:40:55 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17257

Brian Kelly, wrote:
>(Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>>>"Phil Kane" wrote:

>>>>"Make it hard" for them by using the frequencies legally, not
>>>>by throwing carriers or resorting to their own style of sleaze.

>>Has anyone even hinted at doing that?

>Are you kidding? When was the last time you actually tuned 10m?

Daily. It's a mess out here in the Central Pacific, having been overrun by Philippino, Malaysian, and Indonesian taxi cabs and fishing boats. Jim, KH2D, in Guam can verify that. You should come out here to see how "well" your pacifism has "worked."

>Intellectual gridlock = ON.

Now Brian, don't get abusive like Len. Your speculation would not hold up in court.

>In most cases yes. I suggest that you cut the academic-type pushups
>and tune 10m (with a radio) and come back in here with some real-world
>experience instead of coming to conclusions based on other posts.

I tune in daily hoping and praying to find an open CW frequency; none exist. 10m has been completely lost to the outlaws here in the Pacific. (My 10m "real-world" experience comes from two 10m-converted CB radios, an HTX-100, and my trusty DX-60; antennas are at 100 feet just above the Ala Wai boat harbor and consist of a vertical dipole, and a horizontal dipole oriented E-W so that its lobes are N-S.)

73, Jeff KH6O

From 3591257901635287300
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 12:44:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 20:41:57 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.2f
X-Server-Date: 12 Mar 2001 20:39:56 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17258

On Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:48:36 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>On Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:26:02 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>>Exactly! Which is why cases are often NOT prosecuted
>>at all or plea'd down...the time value of the prosecutor
>>isn't worth the time to get the conviction.
>
> Which is why some US Attornies would not take our usual CB
> seizure/forfeiture cases because the linears to be seized
> weren't worth anything near what the dopers' Lexuses were.

I agree. It is also the reason that local enforcement of
CB violators won't be any sort of "high runner" with
either the local polie and/or the local prosecutors.
Too much time and effort needed to make any decent
case and to end up with what as a victory?

> Funny - my prosecutorial attitude was that I had all the time
> in the world once the defendant met the threshhold of "did he

> do it or did he not".

I find it hard to believe that no one was looking
at a bottom line cost/conviction.

> When my boss would ask "how long are you going to sit on that
> case" my answer was "until we catch him with his hand in the
> cookie jar". I had a monitoring tech who could literally sit
> in the car for eight hours straight waiting for someone to come
> on the air and not be bored at all.

How many non-productive days would your management
accept on a minor prospective violation?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 577776458222176180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 12:48:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 20:45:10 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <3aad34c0.2190333@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com> <98j9j1$jfd$0@208.206.142.113>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.2f
X-Server-Date: 12 Mar 2001 20:43:09 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17259
```

On 12 Mar 2001 19:55:13 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>

>> On Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:43 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >On Sat, 10 Mar 2001 19:14:07 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >
>> >>Practicallity does enter the equation when dealing with attorney's time.
>> >
>> > As a veteran attorney told me when I went to consult him about
>> > a suit that I filed against a local school board, and I thanked
>> > him for taking the time (at $60 per hour - this was a long time
>> > ago) to teach me what I already knew, he said: "all I have is time
>> > ....I sell it"
>> >
>> > Words of wisdom.
>>
>> Exactly! Which is why cases are often NOT prosecuted
>> at all or plea'd down...the time value of the prosecutor
>> isn't worth the time to get the conviction.
>
> Yep. And it's the reason that there is a fine "range", say from $50
>for a mailed in guilty plea to $500 for a full court hearing which is
>almost sure to result in a guilty finding, unless the defendent is ready
>and willing to fund a lengthy series of c involving lots of attorney
>time, expert witness fees, and all that involves. Sorta like an
>unwritten, unstated but no less true "You can have your day in court but
>don't expect to get out of paying for it". Seen it in action for many
>years.

Court costs in NJ and NY can not be used as a punitive
"extra" against people that plead not-guilty. The court
costs for pleading guilty or not guilty here are the same
(about $25 for a speeding ticket).

> Oh yes, court costs are separate and on top of whatever the fine is.
>Defendent pays those, too.

That assumes the end result is a finding of guilty.
If the defendent wins there's no court costs at all.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 13159909841252302
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 12:58:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 14:47:05 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.56
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984430233
64.240.47.56 (12 Mar 2001 14:50:33 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17260

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> I tune in daily hoping and praying to find an open CW frequency;
> none exist. 10m has been completely lost to the outlaws here in
> the Pacific.

Jeff, are you exaggerating? It's 3pm here and I just tuned from
28.0 to 28.3. I hears eight CW signals, one carrier, and one
SSB signal.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3850428686507151752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 13:00:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!

```
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga3j8a0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 28
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 20:59:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.94
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984430749 206.58.44.94 (Mon, 12 Mar 2001 20:59:09
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 20:59:09 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17261

On Mon, 12 Mar 2001 20:41:57 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>>  When my boss would ask "how long are you going to sit on that
>>  case" my answer was "until we catch him with his hand in the
>>  cookie jar".  I had a monitoring tech who could literally sit
>>  in the car for eight hours straight waiting for someone to come
>>  on the air and not be bored at all.
>
>How many non-productive days would your management
>accept on a minor prospective violation?

  Depends on whether the "minor prospective violator"'s neighbors
  were peppering the office daily with phone calls or whether The
  Congressman got involved......that modulates "minor" to "major"
  in a quick hurry.  We never ran out of those cases, just folks
  to work on them.

  Someone has just got to invent a 42 hour day ... these 36 hour
  days are just not long enough....
```

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -3660687778062760456
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 13:20:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AAD3ACD.ABAEB951@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 15:08:29 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3AA3A2EA.46F0BC92@arrl.org> <20010305123902.05557.00000518@ng-fy1.aol.com> <81f8atgt6m5fv2pjgbpk0irfdnvoduv7hm@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9sbcv9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com> <98j9j1$jfd$0@208.206.142.113>
<3aad34c0.2190333@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.56
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984431517 64.240.47.56 (12 Mar 2001 15:11:57 -0600)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!

127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17262

Bill Sohl wrote:
> That assumes the end result is a finding of guilty.
> If the defendent wins there's no court costs at all.

I've been to traffic court a dozen times or so. I beat the charge all except one time and for that one, the judge reduced my fine by 50%. It pays to go to court. The judge, wonder of wonders, actually considers one innocent until proven guilty. He/she figures anyone sincere enough to take time off the job to appear in court just might be innocent. About 1/3 of the time, the officer who gave me the ticket didn't appear in court - automatic dismissal.

What comes to mind is the ticket I got for going 120mph in the '60s. I very carefully explained to the lady judge that the harmonics from my 10m transmitter must have affected the radar readings. When I finished, she said, "Mr. Moore, I haven't understood a word you said but I don't believe a '49 Chevrolet can go 120 miles per hour. Case dismissed!" I didn't tell her about the GMC Truck V8, Pontiac transmission, and Packard rear end that the previous owner had installed. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2798245600136509427
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa656,cbb011de053896e6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cbb011de053896e6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 13:32:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!64.244.79.11!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!dca6-feed1.news.digex.net!dca6-feed2.news.digex.net!intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Drink" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <984246823.3aaa6a2770a74@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: N8WWM No Code Doug Adairs Behavior
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200

Message-ID: <qcbr6.66454$ML1.3122916@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 16:28:54 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 13:45:22 -0400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:1192 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17263

Hey, Would you knock it off? We're all tired of listening to this...

<fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:984246823.3aaa6a2770a74@webmail.cotse.com...
> I am just too damn funny. Classic textbook case of gayboyness everytime I
look in the mirror. presented my asshole, then got a butthumpin which was
executed practically flawlessly. After that, I took a long flexible straw
and sucked the jizz outta my colon. YUMMY! It is not easy to be entirely
unbiased when studying BJ technique,or practicing the science of faggotry.
The most significant stumbling block, is the fact that gayboys, like me, are
subject to same desires to suck peepees.. Nevertheless, my dissertation is
superior because I am the greatest cock sukka on this NG. I am ironically
hysterical, unoriginal, plagiarize any
> intelligent thought you see posted from me, and just sightly gay.
>
>
> the above was posted to rec.radio.cb by nocode doug adair n8wwm
>
>

From 5162987614196128477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa656,18d9b3b0c19f9f7c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18d9b3b0c19f9f7c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 13:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!chnws02.mediaone.net!chnws06.ne.mediaone.net!24.91.0.34!typhoon.ne.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Chet \(KB1EHI\)" <alena@mediaone.net>
From: "Chet \(KB1EHI\)" <alena@mediaone.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <984294437.3aab242514a59@webmail.cotse.com>
<jXMq6.10520$5f.3138169@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net> <eGNq6.20$DM6.3905@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Subject: Re: N8WWM No Code Doug Adairs strange behavior
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <bibr6.633$jF3.210715@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 21:35:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.218.49.141
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.ne.mediaone.net 984432903 24.218.49.141 (Mon, 12 Mar 2001
16:35:03 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 16:35:03 EST
Organization: Road Runner
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:1193 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17264

It just appeared that after a little bit all the bickering just disappeared.
It seemed as though some one had done some house keeping.(Don't know how
don't care to either just glad they did it. My point was that this was a
nice news group to read. Some one messed it up and now some one is messing
it up again. I was politely trying to ask them to move their complaints to a
more suitable location....like not here
"BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in message
news:eGNq6.20$DM6.3905@nnrp2.sbc.net...
> "Some one spent some time cleaning up this NG"?..
> And just how did they do that, Chet?... Care to explain, huh?
> Educate yourself before making such statements...
>
> Everything goes in cycles..
> It came once and it'll come again...  It went once and it'll go again..
>
> "Chet (KB1EHI)" <alena@mediaone.net> wrote in message
> news:jXMq6.10520$5f.3138169@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> > Could you please take your comments to another place. Some one spent
some
> > time cleaning this NG up and it would be a shame for all that work to go
> > down the drain.
> > Thank You
> > Chet Woods
>
>
>





From -5778833093964891803
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 14:30:04 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 22:27:41 GMT
Message-ID: <3aad4450.199528872@news2.dvol.com>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-
```

bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <989pv2$kbf$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aaa5ddc.9448266@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga20zl3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.136
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.136
X-Trace: 12 Mar 2001 16:25:28 -0600, 64.24.17.136
Lines: 28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.utk.edu!washdc3-snf1!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17265

On Mon, 12 Mar 2001 05:21:42 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

 . . . . .
> up, sometimes with a lawyer, sometimes without, and it's
> almost a "done deal" by that time. These guys show up with a
> dozen witnesses each, complete with hats and HTs on the belt.
>
. . . I think I have the visual . .
>
> enough. I rule that each of you is restrained from dealing
> with the other, and if I see any of you back in here on this
> matter the results will be very unpleasant for all involved".
>
Bravo Gawd I love American justice.
>
> Although I don't agree with The Congress on this, I can
> certainly see why they don't want to spend two cents on
> problems involving the CB Radio Service.
>
Fortunately their form of air pollution goes away when they let go of
the button and they don't leave any residues behind, no funding for
cleanups required.
>
>--
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
w3rv

From 6081106594417508976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 14:36:09 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters

Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 22:34:48 GMT
Message-ID: <3aad4427.199488481@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com> <98gd47$o6g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.136
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.136
X-Trace: 12 Mar 2001 16:32:36 -0600, 64.24.17.136
Lines: 53
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.utk.edu!washdc3-snf1!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17266

On Sun, 11 Mar 2001 09:39:16 -0800, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>
>> crap did not start yesterday. I'd sure as hell rather have to work
>> thru or around one of their current weenie diode-snipped Radio Slack
>> ssb xcvrs than the beasts the illegals had back then.
>
>Well, more like FT 1000MPs. You don't have to have a license to buy one.
>

Now yer talkin' deep pockets radios Jim. I guess some of 'em still do
potent radios but I haven't heard many of 'em with really big signals.

>
>Many of the rigs being used for mobile CB nowadays go up through 2 meters
>and even 440.
>It wouldn't take too much figuring out that VHF/UHF FM sounds
>much better than 10 meter AM along the highways.
>
>

Yup, the IC-706 does 160 thru 70cm except for 222. If they start
messing around on the VHF FM ham bands they'll have to do it operating
simplex because I expect they'd get chased off the repeaters. That
would cramp their style pretty quick. A control operator punches the
"off" button, etc.

>
>
>> Have fun "addressing", you can take up my slack. The 10m illegals are
>> a minor annoyance, they are not a hand-wringing threat to ham radio or
>> even the 10m band. It's a matter of being realistic, getting 'em off
>> 10m is entirely in the hands of the FCC and we all know where that
>> leaves us.
>
>SOL?
>

Yeah, as far as expecting the feds to do anything aboout it goes. But

the freebanders are just not a big qrm issue. Every time I've tuned
around 10m when the band is in any kind of decent shape the
ham-to-freebander population ratio is huge, 10:1, 20:1? And that's in
the cw segment. They'd get obliterated above 28.300 which is probably
why I've never heard one in the ham phone segment.
>
The way I see it the overall qrm level on 10 is Nirvana compared
compared with the qrm level on 40 for instance. If ya can deal with 40
at nite 10 is a snicker.
>
When the spots are down in a few years they'll be back to talking
across town again and it'll be over and forgotten for years. Again.
>
>73, AC6XG
>
w3rv

From 4698386830529917840
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 15:58:04 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 23:56:10 GMT
Message-ID: <3aad5dd2.206060052@news2.dvol.com>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
<B6D1E9CC.52FA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AACD7B7.9FB60B70@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.150
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.150
X-Trace: 12 Mar 2001 17:53:57 -0600, 64.24.19.150
Lines: 22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17267

On Mon, 12 Mar 2001 08:05:43 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Well, Libertarians are half liberal. We are social liberals and
>economic conservatives.
>
Yeah, like Throm Sturmond is a social liberal and an economic
conservative.

>
>That follows directly from believing in the
>least amount of laws (increasing freedom) and the least amount of
>goverment (decreasing taxpayer cost) that is necessary and sufficient.
>
Last Libertarian I ran into in these parts was a wifty broad who felt
that the Constitution did not grant the federal gummint the right to
own property and had no problem with the concept of selling
Yellowstone National Park to the highest bidder "to get it off the
taxpayers back". "Disneystone Park"?
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv

From 8729215919110952414
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 17:34:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 88
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Mar 2001 01:32:40 GMT
References: <3AAB8D06.20E6C07@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010312203240.29750.00000479@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17268

In article <3AAB8D06.20E6C07@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> It was a violation of the rules of that time. The standards were lowered
>for
>> you.
>
>The rules of that time were arbitrary

"Arbitrary" is simply a word used by those who don't agree with a particular
standard. The standards of today's license testing can be called that, too.
Almost any objective standard can be called "arbitrary". For example, why is
the Technician written test 35 questions, not 34 or 36? Why is the passing
grade a certain number of right answers (27, I think)? Why are all questions
weighted the same, even though some are clearly more important for all hams to
know than others?

The rules were bent for you, Cecil, and you are trying to rationalize it because, deep down inside, you know you got a free ride.

> and the standards were not
>lowered for me.

Yes, they were. The standard of the time was that if you failed the written after passing the code, you had to take BOTH over again. No credit for a previously passed test. No judgement calls by the volunteer examiner.

> Shorty already had knowledge of my code ability.

Doesn't matter. The standard was at least one minute solid copy out of five, and NO credit for past tests. A former Navy radio operator who could copy 60 wpm on a mill still had to pass the same 13 wpm test the same way.

>I know of a number of hams who signed off on code ability based on
>on-the-air QSOs performed at 13wpm or higher by novices while the
>examining ham listened in.

Two wrongs don't make a right. If they witnessed the Novice copying a solid minute of 13 wpm, that's fine, but anything else was a violation and a lowering of standards.
>
>> >Shorty knew that
>> >I had not forgotten Morse code in one month.
>>
>> How did he know that without a valid test? You were off the air.
>
>Shorty had heard me on the air as little as two months previously.

Doesn't matter. He heard you sending. The test was for both sending and receiving. And written copywas required.

>Milton told him that I passed 13wpm with flying colors only one
>month before.

Doesn't matter. The procedure of the time was clear. Violating it lowered the standards for you.

>No healthy person forgets Morse code in one month.

How do you know? Have you tested all healthy people who know Morse code?

>It was a victimless crime with no harm done.

Not true. Others who passed the code and failed the written had to do the code again before they were allowed to try the written again. Why didn't they get credit for passing the code? I know hams who had to go back several times before they were able to pass both tests at the same sitting. Sometimes many months passed before they could get to a test session. The rules were not bent for them. They should not have been bent for you.

It's interesting to note that the original distance requirement for a Conditional was 125 miles. Then it was reduced to 75 miles (1953?) until 1963, when it was extended to 175 miles. Why did the distance change? I suspect it was due in part to rule-bending like yours.

I recall very clearly how, in the mid-70s, a rumor circulated in these parts that the FCC was going to discontinue the Conditional and require all Conditionals to retest if they wanted to stay on the air. (The Conditional was discontinued, but no retest was ever required). The uproar among Conditionals around here (there were lots of them, even though Philly has long been an exam point - that's another story) was amazing and deafening. I was mystified because I could not imagine that any ham who had passed the test would have trouble passing it again, if only to please the FCC.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -8467218332477338492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa656,18d9b3b0c19f9f7c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18d9b3b0c19f9f7c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 17:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.frii.net!easynews!cyclone.swbell.net!
nnrp3.sbc.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "BD" <bodu@pobox.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <984294437.3aab242514a59@webmail.cotse.com>
<jXMq6.10520$5f.3138169@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net> <eGNq6.20$DM6.3905@nnrp2.sbc.net>
<bibr6.633$jF3.210715@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Subject: Re: N8WWM No Code Doug Adairs strange behavior
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <JVer6.51$Td4.101993@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 19:43:26 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.217.147.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@swbell.net
X-Trace: nnrp3.sbc.net 984447721 64.217.147.38 (Mon, 12 Mar 2001 19:42:01 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 19:42:01 CST
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:1195 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17269
```

My point is that no one did any cleaning... After you've been on the internet awhile, you'll see that such comes and goes.. on nearly all the ng's... I've seen posts such as yours, and a few weeks later.. same thing

starts over again..
The best thing to do (unless you can convince the persons' isp to cancel
their account or something, which I've seen happen) is to ignore it.. You
can extend the cycle by adding to it, iow.. ;)

"Chet (KB1EHI)" <alena@mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:bibr6.633$jF3.210715@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> It just appeared that after a little bit all the bickering just
disappeared.
> It seemed as though some one had done some house keeping.(Don't know how
> don't care to either just glad they did it. My point was that this was a
> nice news group to read. Some one messed it up and now some one is messing
> it up again. I was politely trying to ask them to move their complaints to
a
> more suitable location....like not here

From -679130383922096892
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 20:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 23:23:16 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <B6D30AE4.5402%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net> <98ihef$5oa$2@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.128
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984457333 63926 207.144.228.128 (13 Mar 2001 04:22:13
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 04:22:13 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17270

Jim Bromley, K7JEB wrote:

> I think we need a "special" troll-o-meter for RRAP. Here's my proposal:
>
>
> S9 +20 +40 +60 +80 +100 dB

```
>                    /
>                   /
>                  /
>                 /
>                /
>               /
>              /
>             /
>      TROLL-O-METER
```

Ha, ha! That's it. We need a standard to apply here. We're all using different meters, reading different things into the results. With the 1 through 10 meter, it could mean anything from a rating of quality to simply the presense of a troll. Since there is so much confusion with that system, lets clear up what your meter stands for now. Does the dB rating stand for the overall level of noise (if so, all meters would be pegged with the background noise on RRAM - and you thought this newsgroup was bad) or the loudness of the specific troll being read?

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -3181731025452240094
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 20:46:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AADA354.D6789E17@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 22:34:28 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAB8D06.20E6C07@IEEE.org> <20010312203240.29750.00000479@nso-
fa.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984458274
64.240.47.115 (12 Mar 2001 22:37:54 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
```

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17271

N2EY wrote:
> The standard of the time was that if you failed the written
> after passing the code, you had to take BOTH over again.

You obviously didn't have to. All the "VE" had to do was re-sign
the certification.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1227527336110331
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 20:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news-
hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AADA5BD.32EF76A8@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 22:44:45 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18483
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10337 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13320
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17272 rec.radio.amateur.space:2225 rec.radio.cb:27543
rec.radio.scanner:14777 rec.radio.shortwave:28668 rec.radio.swap:25359

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> TR@sky.net wrote:
>
> > Funny-0RG@arrl.org wrote:
> >
> >> I left CB radio [snip]
> >
> > 0  2  4  6  8  10
> >               /
> >              /
> >             /
> >            /
> >           /
> >          /
> >         /
> >        /
> > TROLL-O-METER
>
>   You need to get your meter checked, TR.  This message was way too
> transparent to rate a ten.  It also lacked the style and sophistication to
> be a highly rated troll.  My meter still shows it as less than a one (and
> its a generious meter).

Maybe that meter is still pegged from a previous use.

john



From -1067111265706215075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 20:56:03 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 23:55:17 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <B6D31263.5403%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
<B6D1E9CC.52FA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AACD7B7.9FB60B70@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.128
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984459257 66254 207.144.228.128 (13 Mar 2001 04:54:17
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 04:54:17 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17273

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Well, Libertarians are half liberal. We are
> social liberals and economic conservatives.
> That follows directly from believing in the
> least amount of laws (increasing freedom)
> and the least amount of goverment (decreasing
> taxpayer cost) that is necessary and sufficient.

Well, there you go again. The problem I see is that neither (reducing laws increase freedom or less government decreases taxes) is assured. Less laws could decrease the freedom of those protected by those laws, and even the smallest government can spend a lot of money.

The solution to this country's problems is not as simple as "less laws" or "less goverment." What we need are realistic laws and a responsive, financially responsible, goverment. And nothing the libertarians offer assures that (any more than the Democrats or Republicans assure it).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8803342036803263873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 20:56:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AADA5D9.C0E010B1@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 22:45:13 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA29B50.C4BFEA85@IEEE.org> <20010306050334.16620.00000660@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984458919 64.240.47.115 (12 Mar 2001 22:48:39 -0600)
Lines: 40
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17274

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> How could I be a criminal if I didn't meet the requirements, Logic Boy?

It is a crime to operate an amateur radio station without meeting the requirements, Larry. Those privileges are granted only after the requirements have been met. Anyone operating an amateur radio without meeting the requirements is a criminal.

> To make that statement, you'd have to ass-u-&-me that I would have
> been operating HF without the requisite license -- which I've never
> done!

That condition was assumed in my original statement.

> >Proof: US HF hams were required by circumstances (FCC regulations) to
> >pass a Morse code exam.
>
> Only if they voluntarily consent to meet this "requirement." There is
> absolutely nothing recognizable as "force" being applied to them.

It doesn't matter if they voluntarily consent or involuntarily consent. Feelings don't matter. In return for amateur radio operating privileges, all citizens are, by definition, forced to meet the requirements for an amateur radio license no matter how they feel about it.

> And the fact is, nobody was "forced" to take a Morse Code exam
> to gain HF privileges.

Yes, they were, Larry. They were, by definition, forced to pass a Morse code exam to gain HF privileges. Please provide the name of a person who gained HF amateur privileges without being required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. "Required by circumstances" is the dictionary definition of "forced".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2678119394644884233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 21:04:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AADA7C4.E5C669AC@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 22:53:24 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
<B6D1E9CC.52FA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AACD7B7.9FB60B70@IEEE.org>
<B6D31263.5403%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984459410
64.240.47.115 (12 Mar 2001 22:56:50 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)

Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.bc.net!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17275

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Less laws could decrease the freedom of those protected by those laws, ...

So you must be all for the welfare state. After all, the freedom to
be on welfare must be protected.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8627264409294878262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 21:16:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 00:16:04 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <B6D31741.5404%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com>
<kgcnato1ing2k76t1bguti7ogjbm7qvunh@4ax.com> <B6D1DD75.52F9%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<a6opat4dppqaomun5pfmki2ts0crq1ds5n@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.128
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984460512 63256 207.144.228.128 (13 Mar 2001 05:15:12 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 05:15:12 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17276

AB2HR wrote:

> Can't quite subscribe to that theory Dwight,

> as I pay top-notch rates for my high
> bandwidth ISP, quite a bit more than the
> typical AOL jockey. AOL does, however, seem
> to attract what may be called (with tongue
> placed firmly in cheek) the lowest common
> demeanour.... ;)

I was just looking through the messages in this thread. So far, we (the people in this thread) have talked about spelling checkers, "pony-tailed, multi-pierced, pot-smoking liberals," libertarians, AOL, Goldwater, governmental oppression, "grok," road commissioners, PMS, spelling cops, grammar mistakes, newsreaders, offensive boors, Fox News, service academies, pantywaists, the Constitution, Yellowstone National Park, and still more. Heck, with the subject line of this thread in mind, I don't think anything can be off subject in this thread at this point.

By the way, I do like your "lowest common demeaner" comment above. I'll plagiarize it if given an opportunity in the future.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2869423104144201645
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 21:18:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
References: <3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133> <3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157> <3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
Lines: 12
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 05:16:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.181
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984460582 209.86.206.181 (Mon, 12 Mar
2001 21:16:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 21:16:22 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17277
```

billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:

>It [cost] is also the reason that local enforcement of
>CB violators won't be any sort of "high runner" with
>either the local polie and/or the local prosecutors.

If the city were to handle CB radio violations, I would expect them to handle it like any neighborhood noise or dog complaint. Normally it's just a warning. But in aggravated situations, such as numerous complaints and/or complainants, the violator can be prosecuted. The cost of the prosecution is not usually a factor in such decisions. Solving the immediate neighborhood problem (and making the voters/taxpayers complaints go away) is...

```
From 2773072700227712628
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 23:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 23:07:51 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-56.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17279
```

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org...

> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > I tune in daily hoping and praying to find an open CW frequency;

> > none exist. 10m has been completely lost to the outlaws here in
> > the Pacific.

> Jeff, are you exaggerating? It's 3pm here and I just tuned from
> 28.0 to 28.3. I hears eight CW signals, one carrier, and one
> SSB signal.

Aren't you a good 4000 miles further away than he is? I can usually only
hear them in the mornings here on the West coast - all over the bottom of 40
meters.
It's a problem, fellers.

ac6xg

```
From 7434357056746810914
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-12 23:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 23:02:58 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <98kgj5$46c$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-
de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com> <98gd47$o6g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aad4427.199488481@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-56.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17278
```

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3aad4427.199488481@news2.dvol.com...
> On Sun, 11 Mar 2001 09:39:16 -0800, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >> crap did not start yesterday. I'd sure as hell rather have to work
> >> thru or around one of their current weenie diode-snipped Radio Slack
> >> ssb xcvrs than the beasts the illegals had back then.

> >
> >Well, more like FT 1000MPs. You don't have to have a license to buy one.
> >
> Now yer talkin' deep pockets radios Jim. I guess some of 'em still do
> potent radios but I haven't heard many of 'em with really big signals.

I have a friend who's knows the CB scene - he's also a ham. He says a lot
of the guys are running nice HF rigs for citizens band. Heck, they even
talk about it on the air.

> Yup, the IC-706 does 160 thru 70cm except for 222. If they start
> messing around on the VHF FM ham bands they'll have to do it operating
> simplex because I expect they'd get chased off the repeaters. That
> would cramp their style pretty quick. A control operator punches the
> "off" button, etc.

I don't think they usually know very much about operating repeaters.
Chances of us hearing them on VHF is probably less anyway due to the shorter
range.

> When the spots are down in a few years they'll be back to talking
> across town again and it'll be over and forgotten for years. Again.

Why do you figure they wouldn't just tune to another band that does have
propagation? This is a free-for-all after all.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 8994563760822350762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 04:04:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010310223707.16620.00001586@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010311204558.06381.00000953@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
<LhWq6.581$mD2.128081@nntp2.onemain.com> <98j6hm$b1k$0@208.206.142.113>
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Lines: 54
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <_Wnr6.662$%s3.315388@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 05:59:45 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.220

X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 984484666 205.138.126.220 (Tue, 13 Mar 2001 06:57:46
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 06:57:46 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17280

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:98j6hm$b1k$0@208.206.142.113...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > There is a time and a place for everything. In this particular case,
it
> > probably wasn't either. But step back a minute.
> >
> > Everyone finds Yahoo. So type in 'FCC' to go the moose. You will be
> > thoroughly confused, dismayed, chagrined and all that good stuff. Now
> > type 'ARRL' which may or may not be helpful. This is not rocket
science.
> > Now and only now can you go the newsgripe with a clear conscience and
a
> > clear question.
> >
> > Strikes me there is enough skunk shit available to smear everyone
several
> > times over. Anyone in a supervisory position eventually develops a
case
> > of the aggravated surlies in similar situations and Larry played
Master
> > Sergeant which is not all that unbelievable as he was one not that
long
> > ago.
> >
> > In the long run of things a steady diet of pats on the back don't make
it.
> > There is a place for a size 13 to the buns.
>
>
> Finally, a little dose of reality in the sea of "feel good" that has
> surrounded ham radio for at least as long as the last R&O has been out.
> I just saw on Fox News that applicants to the service academies are
> down 50%. Guess a little discipline and self disclipline are too much
> for too many these days. Wonder how long we can last as a nation of
> pantywaists?

I think it was the History Channel's series on the American Revolution but
somewhere lately was reference to bringing von Steuben et al to teach
'sergeanting', an apparently honorable trade, to the revolutionaries.
Kind of grabbed me.

When the half-made recruity goes out to the East

He acts like a babe and he drinks like a beast,
And he wonders because he is frequently deceased
ere he's fit for to serve as a soldier.

A bit of Kipling

From -5365147156850068895
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 04:37:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Mar 2001 12:31:04 GMT
References: <3AAD1B1B.72D5CC8B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010313073104.24278.00001259@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17281

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 03/12/01 1:53 PM Eastern

>They enFORCE the
>legal requirements. Please give an example of someone who voluntarily
>used their free will to obtain amateur radio privileges without being
>required by circumstances to meet the requirements.

No one exercising free will is forced to do anything, Cecil.

>Seems to me the government officials at the FCC certainly conspired to
>set the requirements for an amateur radio operator's license.

Anyone seen black helicopters flying around Gettysburg lately?

>Those
>requirements are predominately arbitrarily set by the FCC, not by
>any force of nature.

Then why burden themselves with the NPRM/R&O process?

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 3915161265706859799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 04:40:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Mar 2001 12:38:18 GMT
References: <3AADA5D9.C0E010B1@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010313073818.24278.00001260@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17282

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 03/12/01 11:45 PM Eastern Standard Time

>Anyone operating an amateur radio
>without meeting the requirements is a criminal.

Rethink this comment then come back and tell us why it's wrong, Cecil.

>In return for amateur radio operating privileges,
>all citizens are, by definition, forced to meet the requirements for an
>amateur radio license no matter how they feel about it.

No one exercising free will is forced to do anything.

>Please provide the name of
>a person who gained HF amateur privileges without being required
>by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. "Required by
>circumstances" is the dictionary definition of "forced".

And of course "dictionary definitions are ALWAYS right? They are ALWAYS applicable regardless of the circumstances?

For someone who has repeatedly flaunted a cavalier attitude on "the law", you seem awfully adamant about sticking to this "rule" in the application of the definition of "forced".

I for one wanted to do these things to obtain an Amatuer Radio license. Many others I know did the same thing. We were not forced by anyone to do anything we did not want to do.

Ergo we were NOT forced, per your definition.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -9001767019959946216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 04:48:10 PST
Message-ID: <3AAE1426.83FE5FD5@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 12:35:50 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA29B50.C4BFEA85@IEEE.org> <20010306050334.16620.00000660@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AADA5D9.C0E010B1@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s9.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 13 Mar 2001 07:32:32 -0500, s9.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 44
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s9.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17283

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > How could I be a criminal if I didn't meet the requirements, Logic Boy?
>
> It is a crime to operate an amateur radio station without meeting the
> requirements, Larry. Those privileges are granted only after the
> requirements have been met. Anyone operating an amateur radio
> without meeting the requirements is a criminal.
>
> > To make that statement, you'd have to ass-u-&-me that I would have
> > been operating HF without the requisite license -- which I've never
> > done!
>
> That condition was assumed in my original statement.

>
> > >Proof: US HF hams were required by circumstances (FCC regulations) to
> > >pass a Morse code exam.
> >
> > Only if they voluntarily consent to meet this "requirement." There is
> > absolutely nothing recognizable as "force" being applied to them.
>
> It doesn't matter if they voluntarily consent or involuntarily consent.
> Feelings don't matter. In return for amateur radio operating privileges,
> all citizens are, by definition, forced to meet the requirements for an
> amateur radio license no matter how they feel about it.
>
> > And the fact is, nobody was "forced" to take a Morse Code exam
> > to gain HF privileges.
>
> Yes, they were, Larry. They were, by definition, forced to pass a
> Morse code exam to gain HF privileges. Please provide the name of
> a person who gained HF amateur privileges without being required
> by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. "Required by
> circumstances" is the dictionary definition of "forced".

Still flogging this dead horse, Cecil? Your definition may be one
definition. My Random House College Dictionary and our massive
Webster's Unabridged downstairs don't show the definition you've
provided at all.

Nobody is forced to learn morse code.

Dave K8MN

From -3659049051809561030
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 05:04:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 07:59:41 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <B6D383EB.5506%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
<B6D1E9CC.52FA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AACD7B7.9FB60B70@IEEE.org>
<B6D31263.5403%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AADA7C4.E5C669AC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-77.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984488327 80888 204.116.70.78 (13 Mar 2001 12:58:47
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 12:58:47 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17284
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>> Less laws could decrease the freedom
>> of those protected by those laws, ...
>
> So you must be all for the welfare
> state. After all, the freedom to
> be on welfare must be protected.

I didn't say I supported those positions, Cecil. I was giving examples that might conflict with your comments (and I said "could decrease," not "would decrease").

Furthermore, I already answered that issue - the welfare system as it has existed conflicts with what I said in the second paragraph about a "financially responsible" government.

Furthermore, I'm not completely opposed to welfare. I think we do need some system in place to help those in real need (those with medical handicaps, etc). And I think we need a system for those who need a helping hand during a financial crisis.

Of course, like most other Americans, I'm immediately opposed to welfare benefits for those who have no real need, or endless benefits for those who refuse to do anything to help themselves out of their financial problems.

Does that answer your questions about my views on welfare?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 1268462632420814751
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 05:26:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!btnet-peer!btnet!newspeer.clara.net!
news.clara.net!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!diablo.netcom.net.uk!netcom.net.uk!
tank.news.pipex.net!pipex!tube.news.pipex.net!pipex!not-for-mail
From: "Gary Peach" <xcg34@dial.pipex.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 08:49:35 -0000
Organization: UUNET WorldCom server (post doesn't reflect views of UUNET WorldCom
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <98l6ld$9lo$4@lure.pipex.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AADA5BD.32EF76A8@toast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: userak93.uk.uudial.com
X-Trace: lure.pipex.net 984489453 9912 62.188.134.67 (13 Mar 2001 13:17:33 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@uk.uu.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Mar 2001 13:17:33 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18517
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10346 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13340
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17285 rec.radio.amateur.space:2226 rec.radio.cb:27550
rec.radio.scanner:14789 rec.radio.shortwave:28691 rec.radio.swap:25384


"John O'Flaherty" wrote ...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > TR@sky.net wrote:
> > > Funny-0RG@arrl.org wrote:
> > >> I left CB radio [snip]
> > >
> > > 0  2  4  6  8  10
> > >               /
> > >              /
> > >             /
> > >            /
> > >           /
> > >          /
```

> > >   /
> > >   /
> > > TROLL-O-METER
> >
> Maybe that meter is still pegged from a previous use.

Recently my fuel gauge on the car has been sticking.
I think that there is some moisture in the pivot or spring that is freezing
and producing this effect.
Do you know of a way of freeing it without removing the dashboard?
--
Gary7SLL
  51� 23' 50" N   1� 19' 58"W
www.gary.peach.dial.pipex.com/

From -7902161305029136573
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 05:38:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Mar 2001 13:33:45 GMT
References: <3AADA354.D6789E17@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010313083345.13524.00000516@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17286

In article <3AADA354.D6789E17@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> The standard of the time was that if you failed the written
>> after passing the code, you had to take BOTH over again.
>
>You obviously didn't have to.

I didn't fail the written. You did.

> All the "VE" had to do was re-sign
>the certification.

Not if he was doing things by the standards of the time. Resigning the

application without retesting broke the rules and lowered the standards for
you.

If it really happened that way.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>--

From 1138693480041405409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 06:09:59 PST
Message-ID: <3AAE26E7.A2E344FD@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 07:55:51 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAD1B1B.72D5CC8B@IEEE.org> <20010313073104.24278.00001259@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.90
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984491957 64.240.47.90 (13 Mar 2001 07:59:17 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17287

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>   No one exercising free will is forced to do anything, Cecil.

People who exercise their free will to decide to live and work
in this country are *forced8 to pay taxes. People are *forced*

to shoulder the responsibility for their free will decisions.

In return for privileges granted by the government, citizens are
required by circumstances to meet the requirements for those
privileges. "Required by circumstances" is the dictionary definition
of "forced". Therefore, in return for privileges granted by the
government, citizens are, by definition, forced to meet the requirements.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1262966320449589222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 06:36:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AAE2D6A.B79DAA2A@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 08:23:38 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AADA354.D6789E17@IEEE.org> <20010313083345.13524.00000516@nso-fp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.90
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984493623 64.240.47.90 (13 Mar 2001 08:27:03 -0600)
Lines: 45
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17288

N2EY wrote:

>
> In article <3AADA354.D6789E17@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> The standard of the time was that if you failed the written
> >> after passing the code, you had to take BOTH over again.

I obviously didn't have to. The *standard* at the time was to know
Morse code. I met that standard. If the *procedure* at the time was
to retake the test, then the procedure was violated, not the standards.

Incidentally, my 1957 ARRL License Manual
does not state that the code exam must be retaken 30 days later.
Speaking of passing the code exam and failing the written exam, it
says: "If you have failed (the written exam) study some more for the
examination and after another month or so try it again". No mention
of another code exam.

> > All the "VE" had to do was re-sign
> >the certification.
>
> Not if he was doing things by the standards of the time. Resigning the
> application without retesting broke the rules and lowered the standards for
> you.

Since I had already met the standards, the standards were not lowered.
It was a procedural violation, not a standards violation. I fully met
the standards of the time. Shorty was convinced that I met the standards,
I in fact did meet the standards, and he signed the paper.

A young looking person tries to buy a beer. The store owner asks him
for his ID. The young looking person is 21 so the store owner sells
him the beer. The same thing happens the next day. Have the standards
been lowered if the store owner doesn't ask for his ID the second time?
Can a person get younger as time passes? Can a healthy person who knew
Morse code 30 days ago not know Morse code today?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5152027427860776309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 06:46:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AAE300F.D3E18E45@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 08:34:55 -0600
```

From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA29B50.C4BFEA85@IEEE.org> <20010306050334.16620.00000660@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AADA5D9.C0E010B1@IEEE.org> <3AAE1426.83FE5FD5@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.90
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984494300 64.240.47.90 (13 Mar 2001 08:38:20 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17289

Dave Heil wrote:
> Nobody is forced to learn morse code.

Larry has already admitted that his Morse code skill was produced
with effort. "Produced with effort" is the definition of "forced"
even in your and Larry's cheap dictionaries.

In return for certain privileges granted to certain citizens by
governments, those citizens are forced to meet the requirements
for those granted privileges. Governments enFORCE those requirements.
That's what governments do.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7675821361682200847
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 06:52:51 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 14:51:20 GMT
Message-ID: <3aadae67.226690826@news2.dvol.com>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com> <98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com> <98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.138
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.138
X-Trace: 13 Mar 2001 08:49:05 -0600, 64.24.16.138
Lines: 54
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.utk.edu!washdc3-snf1!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17290

On 11 Mar 2001 23:40:55 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>
>Daily. It's a mess out here in the Central Pacific, having been
>overrun by Philippino, Malaysian, and Indonesian taxi cabs and
>fishing boats.
>

I find it rather odd that given the propagation characteristics of 10M when the band is open I don't hear any of that stuff here on the east coast. But never mind that, the discussions is amongst U.S. hams about freebanders which is obviously a complete waste of bandwidth if your particular problem is foreign illegals. Tell it to their consuls in Honolulu or try ITU Geneva, the FCC could care less about 'em, they're not within FCC jurisdiction.

>
>Jim, KH2D, in Guam can verify that. You should
>come out here to see how "well" your pacifism has "worked."
>

ME a PACIFIST?? That's almost an insult. I'm not a pacifist, I'm a pragmatist. As in the FCC isn't going to bother with tracking down and spanking the U.S. illegals and there ain't nothin' at all U.S. hams can do about 'em either so why the discussion? It's just qrm, welcome to ham radio Good Buddy.

>
>>Intellectual gridlock = ON.
>
>Now Brian, don't get abusive like Len. Your speculation would not
>hold up in court.
>

I'm either a Lenny or a pacifist, I sure as hell can't be both, make

up your mind.
>
>I tune in daily hoping and praying to find an open CW frequency;
>none exist. 10m has been completely lost to the outlaws here in
>the Pacific. (My 10m "real-world" experience comes from two
>10m-converted CB radios, an HTX-100, and my trusty DX-60;
>
There's your problem, they're all CB rcvrs. Gag. Get yourself at least
one real radio with a real cw filter. Then get on 40/20m cw and get
some practice with real qrm. You'll need that practice. In a couple
three years you'll be talking to yourself on 10m when the spots drop
and all those Asians magically go away and won't be ruffling your
feathers any longer. Mother Nature will fix the illegals on 10m.
>
>antennas
>are at 100 feet just above the Ala Wai boat harbor and consist of a
>vertical dipole, and a horizontal dipole oriented E-W so that its
>lobes are N-S.)
>
>73, Jeff KH6O
>
w3rv

From -7002410674257870536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 06:56:29 PST
Message-ID: <3AAE3287.F2192B4F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 08:45:27 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AADA5D9.C0E010B1@IEEE.org> <20010313073818.24278.00001260@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.90
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984494933 64.240.47.90 (13 Mar 2001 08:48:53 -0600)
Lines: 39
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17291

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> No one exercising free will is forced to do anything.

Exercise your free will to run 100 kW on the ham bands, Steve, and test your theory.

> And of course "dictionary definitions are ALWAYS right? They are ALWAYS
> applicable regardless of the circumstances?

Dictionary definitions are the standard for a language.

>   I for one wanted to do these things to obtain an Amatuer Radio license.
> Many others I know did the same thing. We were not forced by anyone to do
> anything we did not want to do.

It doesn't matter if you want to do it or not. It doesn't matter how you feel about it. EVERY citizen obtaining privileges granted by the government is forced to meet the requirements for gaining those privileges. Saying nobody is forced to meet the requirements implies that some amateur radio operator somewhere decided to use his free not to meet the requirements. Where is he? What is his name?

>   Ergo we were NOT forced, per your definition.

Sorry Steve, in return for obtaining certain privileges granted by the government, citizens receiving those privileges are forced to meet the requirements enforced by the government. That's just the way it is. How you feel about those enforced requirements is irrelevant.

You can win the argument by giving us the name of just one individual who gained amateur radio privileges while using his free will to decide NOT to meet the requirements.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1405105893808487223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 07:00:13 PST
Message-ID: <3AAE333A.B3682111@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 08:48:26 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
<98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.90
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984495111
64.240.47.90 (13 Mar 2001 08:51:51 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17292

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Aren't you a good 4000 miles further away than he is?  I can usually only
> hear them in the mornings here on the West coast - all over the bottom of 40
> meters.

Are you sure you are not just hearing foreign hams who have SSB
privileges in the lower frequency portion of 40m?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 116336537664023185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 07:02:54 PST
Message-ID: <3AAE3404.33B71BAC@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 08:51:48 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
<B6D1E9CC.52FA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AACD7B7.9FB60B70@IEEE.org>
<B6D31263.5403%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AADA7C4.E5C669AC@IEEE.org>
<B6D383EB.5506%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.90
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984495312
64.240.47.90 (13 Mar 2001 08:55:12 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17293
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >> Less laws could decrease the freedom
> >> of those protected by those laws, ...

> Of course, like most other Americans, I'm immediately opposed to welfare
> benefits for those who have no real need, or endless benefits for those who
> refuse to do anything to help themselves out of their financial problems.

Seems a contradiction between your two statements above.

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8164372851534995777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 07:30:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!natasha.rmi.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!nntp.primenet.com!
nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 08:20:40 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <98le64$hda$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net> <98ihef$5oa$2@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
<B6D30AE4.5402%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-26-223.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.26.223 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17294
```

I originally wrote:

> > I think we need a "special" troll-o-meter for RRAP. Here's my proposal...

Dwight Stewart, W5NET, wrote:

> ... Since there is so much confusion with that system,
> lets clear up what your meter stands for now. Does the dB rating stand for
> the overall level of noise (if so, all meters would be pegged with the
> background noise on RRAM - and you thought this newsgroup was bad) or the
> loudness of the specific troll being read?

Actually, it measures the *future* noise-to-signal ratio on the particular thread it is attached to on RRAP. It is *forced* to do this by mandatory acceptance of intermediate tachyometric bogons through its input port. This forced acceptance also means that it is willfully in violation of the light-speed restrictions of Einstein's Special Theory *and* the Entropy provisions of the Second Law of Thermodynamics. It is tempting to try to extend this future-measurement capability to more meaningful quanitities such as stock-market indices, but the incoherency properties of RRAP noise seemingly prohibit this. However, lest someone be so inclined, be advised that all rights for such troll-o-meter applications are patent-pendingly, copyrightmently and trade-markedably owned by K7JEB Enterprises Ink.

But to demonstrate the generous nature of K7JEB, a new and vastly improved troll-o-meter is made available below for the personal and very private use of fellow hams.

```
 N9 +20 +40 +60 +80 +100 dB
                    /
                   /
                  /
                 /
                /
               /
              /
             /
      TROLL-O-METER
```

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

```
From -3559820654745861303
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 08:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!
typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
References: <kgcnato1ing2k76t1bguti7ogjbm7qvunh@4ax.com>
<20010312093447.10659.00001865@nso-mq.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 15:55:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 984498955 24.95.131.236 (Tue, 13 Mar 2001 10:55:55 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 10:55:55 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17296

On 12 Mar 2001 14:34:47 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <kgcnato1ing2k76t1bguti7ogjbm7qvunh@4ax.com>, AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
>writes:
>
>>
>>Actually Dwight, the fact that Larry here is using AOL as his ISP
>>speaks more about HIS intelligence and technical expertise than
>>anything he could post...   Of course, the fact that he composes
>>newsgroup posts on Word 97 and then pastes them into his AOL
>>newsreader window instead of just keeping a dictionary near his
>>computer indicates quite a bit about his level of common sense as
>>well.
>
>You're talking about a process that I can do so quickly and
>easily, it takes longer to explain how to do it than to *do* it.
>I do the selecting, cutting, and pasting with Ctrl-key sequences;
>it is much easier to take advantages of the spell-checking,
>dictionary, and thesaurus functions of a fully-functional word
>processor than it is to thumb thru the pages of my fairly large
>and heavy Merriam-Webster hardcover dictionary -- even though
>I do use it quite often.
>
>As to using AOL as my ISP, you're wrong about that. My ISP
>is Magpage.com; I only access AOL thru it. I like AOL's
>newsreader because it eliminates a lot of unnecessary crap
>found in other newsreaders; and besides, when accessing AOL
>thru my ISP, its only 9.95/mo. for unlimited use, and gives me
>access to other forums and chat rooms I've been using literally
>from the beginning of AOL service (I was one of the first 1,000
>customers).
>

... and the distressing thing is, that you feel that after all you
have said that you have validated yourself. You feel vindicated, and
yet you have only gone even further to proving my original point...

From 8648424080464269911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 08:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-

```
out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <6bhsatsit09oubrldkbvqa8do96rebmodn@4ax.com>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com>
<kgcnato1ing2k76t1bguti7ogjbm7qvunh@4ax.com> <B6D1DD75.52F9%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<a6opat4dppqaomun5pfmki2ts0crq1ds5n@4ax.com> <B6D31741.5404%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 15:57:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 984499050 24.95.131.236 (Tue, 13 Mar 2001 10:57:30
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 10:57:30 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17297

On Tue, 13 Mar 2001 00:16:04 -0500, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>AB2HR wrote:
>
>> Can't quite subscribe to that theory Dwight,
>> as I pay top-notch rates for my high
>> bandwidth ISP, quite a bit more than the
>> typical AOL jockey.  AOL does, however, seem
>> to attract what may be called (with tongue
>> placed firmly in cheek) the lowest common
>> demeanour....  ;)
>
>
>  I was just looking through the messages in this thread.  So far, we (the
>people in this thread) have talked about spelling checkers, "pony-tailed,
>multi-pierced, pot-smoking liberals," libertarians, AOL, Goldwater,
>governmental oppression, "grok," road commissioners, PMS, spelling cops,
>grammar mistakes, newsreaders, offensive boors, Fox News, service academies,
>pantywaists, the Constitution, Yellowstone National Park, and still more.
>Heck, with the subject line of this thread in mind, I don't think anything
>can be off subject in this thread at this point.
>
>  By the way, I do like your "lowest common demeaner" comment above.  I'll
>plagiarize it if given an opportunity in the future.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net
```

Feel free Dwight! I failed to copyright the phrase (although I did
feel it was a clever turn of a phrase), it is therefore part of the
public domain... ;)

73 de

Ray / AB2HR

From -2625142299959054962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 10:03:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 13 Mar 2001 18:02:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <98lncc$4ba$0@208.207.71.152>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AADA5BD.32EF76A8@toast.net>
<98l6ld$9lo$4@lure.pipex.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.152
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18537
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10356 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13351
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17298 rec.radio.amateur.space:2228 rec.radio.cb:27564

```
rec.radio.scanner:14795 rec.radio.shortwave:28718 rec.radio.swap:25409
```

Gary Peach wrote:
>
> Recently my fuel gauge on the car has been sticking.
> I think that there is some moisture in the pivot or spring that is freezing
> and producing this effect.
> Do you know of a way of freeing it without removing the dashboard?

More likely it's the "sending unit" located in the tank.
Overfilling-"topping off"- the tank excessively can cause this.
Sometimes it has to be replaced to correct the problem.

Dick

```
From 9198659658908506159
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 10:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 10:36:28 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AAE68AC.710379F8@uci.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
<98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AAE333A.B3682111@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17299
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Aren't you a good 4000 miles further away than he is? I can usually only
> > hear them in the mornings here on the West coast - all over the bottom of 40
> > meters.

> Are you sure you are not just hearing foreign hams who have SSB
> privileges in the lower frequency portion of 40m?

I think I'm hearing the same thing everybody in the Pacific is hearing.
Foreign hams tend to be above 7.040 on phone and give callsigns.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 6491888396392839174
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,de0aa04320f109c2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 10:58:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "docky" <docky@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Heathkits FOR SALE
Lines: 5
Organization: Heathkits
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <c4ur6.8043$6p5.702870@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 18:57:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.211.241.134
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984509832 63.211.241.134 (Tue, 13 Mar 2001 10:57:12 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 10:57:12 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17300

I have about 30 Heathkits for sale at http://www.heathkits.com They are
selling fast but I am adding more. 73 Gary
SORRY ABOUT THE LAST POST, HIT THE WRONG BUTTON!

From -3838582344257649088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 11:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 11:31:39 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3AAE759B.147FD622@uci.edu>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
<B6D1E9CC.52FA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AACD7B7.9FB60B70@IEEE.org>
<B6D31263.5403%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AADA7C4.E5C669AC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17301

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Less laws could decrease the freedom of those protected by those laws, ...

> So you must be all for the welfare state. After all, the freedom to
> be on welfare must be protected.

Of course not. The freedom to rip people off should be guaranteed.
White collar crime should be decriminalized. Scam artists and con men
unite! Caveat Emptor, Carpe Diem!!

From -1643652330393241164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 13:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!newsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news.nyc.globix.net!cluster2.nbank.net!
dana
Newsgroups:

rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
X-Sender: dana@cluster2
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
In-Reply-To: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103131637180.4371-100000@cluster2>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 27
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 16:38:01 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 130.205.85.40
X-Complaints-To: news@globix.net
X-Trace: news.nyc.globix.net 984520111 130.205.85.40 (Tue, 13 Mar 2001 16:48:31 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 16:48:31 EST
Organization: Globix Corp.
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18557 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10366 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13372 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17303 rec.radio.amateur.space:2231 rec.radio.cb:27583 rec.radio.scanner:14818 rec.radio.shortwave:28743 rec.radio.swap:25433

I know that is right there. The 2 meter band sounds like a channel 19 cb now.

On Sun, 11 Mar 2001, ARRL wrote:

> Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 07:40:46 GMT
> From: ARRL <Funny-0RG@arrl.org>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
>   rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>   rec.radio.amateur.space, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
>   rec.radio.shortwave, rec.radio.swap
> Subject: Back to 11 Meters.
>
> I left CB radio 19 years ago when I got my novice ticket. It felt good
> communicating with people that knew what they were doing and were proud of
> their accomplishments in getting licensed. Over the past year things have
> gotten shitty on the bands and operators are stupid. It sounds just like the
> CB I left 20 years ago except that the rig's readout is showing a ham
> frequency. To bad there isn't a better radio service I can go to now that the
> bands are full of idiots. Thanks FCC, Thanks ARRL, I'm back to 11 meters in
> the middle of a ham band.
>
>
>
>
>

From 7080656271522167228
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 14:12:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AAE9C99.79D4@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com> <98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com> <98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org> <98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AAE333A.B3682111@IEEE.org> <3AAE68AC.710379F8@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 17:18:01 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.7
X-Trace: news1.atl 984521448 209.214.186.7 (Tue, 13 Mar 2001 17:10:48 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 17:10:48 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17304

Jim,

I just listened to the Rain Report, and Riley DID pop somebody bootleggin' on 10. Didn't give any names, tho. I just hope it's the first of MANY.

Jerry
K4KWH

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > Aren't you a good 4000 miles further away than he is? I can usually only
> > > hear them in the mornings here on the West coast - all over the bottom of 40
> > > meters.
>
> > Are you sure you are not just hearing foreign hams who have SSB
> > privileges in the lower frequency portion of 40m?
>
> I think I'm hearing the same thing everybody in the Pacific is hearing.
> Foreign hams tend to be above 7.040 on phone and give callsigns.
>

> 73, Jim AC6XG

From 188167810917770526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 14:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "h" <not@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 17:42:12 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tat8e76alggt30@corp.supernews.com>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tanj59680kfgec@news.supernews.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 56
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18560
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10369 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13373
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17305 rec.radio.amateur.space:2232 rec.radio.cb:27591
rec.radio.scanner:14820 rec.radio.shortwave:28747 rec.radio.swap:25443

When a person comments on spelling they admit they have lost the argument
and are looking to salvage a savaged ego.

--
Sincerely,
Ken
pwrguy@intergrafix.net

```
"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbellsucks.net> wrote in message
news:tanj59680kfgec@news.supernews.com...
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> > TR@sky.net wrote:
> >
> > > Funny-0RG@arrl.org wrote:
> > >
> > >> I left CB radio [snip]
> > >
> > > 0  2  4  6  8  10
> > >               /
> > >              /
> > >             /
> > >            /
> > >           /
> > >          /
> > >         /
> > >        /
> > > TROLL-O-METER
> >
> >
> >   You need to get your meter checked, TR.  This message was way too
> > transparent to rate a ten.  It also lacked the style and sophistication
to
> > be a highly rated troll.  My meter still shows it as less than a one
(and
> > its a generious meter).
>
> Then you need to get a new meter. His meter detected a troll, period.
Don't
> you think that something as dumb as a meter would register a 10 on, as you
> say, something as transparent as "ARRL's" post? Also, try using your spell
> check. When a person flames another but in the process misspells a simple
> word as "generous", they tend to lose all credibility.
>
> Jeff
>
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
> >
> > http://www.qsl.net/w5net
> >
>
>



From -1677949453754254311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 14:42:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "h" <not@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 17:43:56 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tat8hifkhkv85f@corp.supernews.com>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tanj59680kfgec@news.supernews.com>
<B6D1CB29.52D1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 71
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18561
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10370 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13374
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17306 rec.radio.amateur.space:2233 rec.radio.cb:27592
rec.radio.scanner:14821 rec.radio.shortwave:28748 rec.radio.swap:25444
```

A good troll gets people upset enough to respond. A great one gets complaints to various organizations and federal agencies.

--
Sincerely,
Ken
pwrguy@intergrafix.net
"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6D1CB29.52D1%stewartx@sccoast.net...

> Jeff Mayner wrote:
>

> > "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
> >>
> >> TR@sky.net wrote:
> >>
> >>> Funny-0RG@arrl.org wrote:
> >>>
> >>>> I left CB radio [snip]
> >>>
> >>> 0 2 4 6 8 10
> >>> /
> >>> /
> >>> /
> >>> /
> >>> /
> >>> /
> >>> /
> >>> /
> >>> TROLL-O-METER
> >>
> >>
> >> You need to get your meter checked, TR. This
> >> message was way too transparent to rate a ten.
> >> It also lacked the style and sophistication to
> >> be a highly rated troll. My meter still shows
> >> it as less than a one (and its a generious meter).
> >
> > Then you need to get a new meter. His meter
> > detected a troll, period. Don't you think that
> > something as dumb as a meter would register a
> > 10 on, as you say, something as transparent as
> > "ARRL's" post? Also, try using your spell check.
> > When a person flames another but in the process
> > misspells a simple word as "generous", they tend
> > to lose all credibility.
>
>
>   It was a joke, Jeff. There isn't a meter, and my message wasn't a
flame.
> And I certainly wasn't seeking "credibility" with nonsense such as this.
>
>   As for the fake meter, it isn't an on/off meter (on for troll, off for
no
> troll). It is a meter that rates a troll on a scale from one to ten.
Since
> this troll was so obvious, it simply doesn't rate very high as a troll in
my
> opinion.
>
>   However, since you control your own fake meter, you're free to rate the
> troll how you want. And I assure you that I will not hold your troll
rating
> against you. [insert big grin here]
>

>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>

```
From -7773602562203519867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 14:44:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "h" <not@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 17:45:32 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tat8kc4mu90s8f@corp.supernews.com>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103131637180.4371-100000@cluster2>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 43
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18562
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10371 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13375
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17307 rec.radio.amateur.space:2234 rec.radio.cb:27593
rec.radio.scanner:14822 rec.radio.shortwave:28749 rec.radio.swap:25445
```

That's impossible. Nothing can be as bad as ch19. But then again I drive a

```
truck into NYC each day.

--
Sincerely,
Ken
pwrguy@intergrafix.net
"Dana" <dana@randomc.com> wrote in message
news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10103131637180.4371-100000@cluster2...
> I know that is right there. The 2 meter band sounds like a channel 19 cb
> now.
>
> On Sun, 11 Mar 2001, ARRL wrote:
>
> > Date: Sun, 11 Mar 2001 07:40:46 GMT
> > From: ARRL <Funny-0RG@arrl.org>
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna, rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
> >     rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> >     rec.radio.amateur.space, rec.radio.cb, rec.radio.scanner,
> >     rec.radio.shortwave, rec.radio.swap
> > Subject: Back to 11 Meters.
> >
> > I left CB radio 19 years ago when I got my novice ticket.  It felt good
> > communicating with people that knew what they were doing and were proud
of
> > their accomplishments in getting licensed.  Over the past year things
have
> > gotten shitty on the bands and operators are stupid.  It sounds just
like the
> > CB I left 20 years ago except that the rig's readout is showing a ham
> > frequency.  To bad there isn't a better radio service I can go to now
that the
> > bands are full of idiots. Thanks FCC, Thanks ARRL, I'm back to 11 meters
in
> > the middle of a ham band.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>




From -1864453317516624437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 15:04:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 23:01:08 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aa56af6.1193314@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.g9slgn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.72
X-Server-Date: 13 Mar 2001 23:03:17 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17308

On Tue, 13 Mar 2001 05:16:22 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
wrote:

>billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:
>>It [cost] is also the reason that local enforcement of
>>CB violators won't be any sort of "high runner" with
>>either the local polie and/or the local prosecutors.
>
>*If* the city were to handle CB radio violations, I would expect them
>to handle it like any neighborhood noise or dog complaint. Normally
>it's just a warning. But in aggravated situations, such as numerous
>complaints and/or complainants, the violator can be prosecuted. The
>cost of the prosecution is not usually a factor in such decisions.
>Solving the immediate neighborhood problem (and making the
>voters/taxpayers complaints go away) is...

I agree with everything you said. The reality is that
the cost is the factor...too many complaining citizens
is a cost an administration doesn't want. The problem
would still come down to properly gaining the right
evidence to obtain a conviction...a factor which
I don't see the typical local law enforcement
agencies doing very well.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 3440691469560642543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 15:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 61
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Mar 2001 23:06:26 GMT
References: <3aadae67.226690826@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010313180626.02781.00000346@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17310

In article <3aadae67.226690826@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
writes:

>On 11 Mar 2001 23:40:55 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>wrote:
>>
>>Daily. It's a mess out here in the Central Pacific, having been
>>overrun by Philippino, Malaysian, and Indonesian taxi cabs and
>>fishing boats.
>>
>I find it rather odd that given the propagation characteristics of 10M
>when the band is open I don't hear any of that stuff here on the east
>coast. But never mind that, the discussions is amongst U.S. hams about
>freebanders which is obviously a complete waste of bandwidth if your
>particular problem is foreign illegals. Tell it to their consuls in
>Honolulu or try ITU Geneva, the FCC could care less about 'em, they're
>not within FCC jurisdiction.

Herman has his lobes N-S according to his station description.
If that is the case, his radio illegals are either local Hawaiian,
Alaskan, Antarcticans, or around on the other side of the globe.

>>Now Brian, don't get abusive like Len. Your speculation would not
>>hold up in court.
>>
>I'm either a Lenny or a pacifist, I sure as hell can't be both, make
>up your mind.

Poor Brian...I am quite a bit the pragmatist, too. :-)

Poor Jeffie, too, any time he isn't corroborated or pleased,
the "other person" has suddenly become "abusive."   :-)

>>I tune in daily hoping and praying to find an open CW frequency;
>>none exist. 10m has been completely lost to the outlaws here in

>>the Pacific. (My 10m "real-world" experience comes from two
>>10m-converted CB radios, an HTX-100, and my trusty DX-60;
>>
>There's your problem, they're all CB rcvrs. Gag. Get yourself at least
>one real radio with a real cw filter. Then get on 40/20m cw and get
>some practice with real qrm. You'll need that practice. In a couple
>three years you'll be talking to yourself on 10m when the spots drop
>and all those Asians magically go away and won't be ruffling your
>feathers any longer. Mother Nature will fix the illegals on 10m.

Herman must have faulty antennas or has "oriented" his
antennas in a direction not correctly stated.   :-)

On hardware, "CB receivers" should have equally good
performance to "real radios" (those used for amateur
communications). Since Herman can add to or modify those
receivers, even without a ham license, there should be no
problem making those evil "non-real-radio" receivers into
full-fledged "real radios." :-)

Maybe Herman's problems result from too much youthful
time listening to 500 KHz while in the Coasties...or maybe
he is still monitoring 500 KHz? Not stated. Odd that he
now claims 10 m experience ("looking for CW signals")
but a couple years ago was talking about "real radio"
operation "across the Pacific."

From -7961195235981926712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 15:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Mar 2001 23:06:25 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010313180625.02781.00000345@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17309

On 10 Mar 2001 17:01:09 GMT, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote:

>On 09 Mar 2001 13:32:35 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>>It's the old "camel's nose in the tent" thing. You give up one frequency to

>>illegal operation, and pretty soon that one won't be adequate and they will
>>take another. And another. Pretty soon the band will be full of them. And
they
>>will view themselves as having "squatter's rights" and will be much harder to
>>dislodge.
>
>Are you suggesting that we intentionally jam them as a mattter of
>policy and/or as an acceptable and proper operating practice?

Let's see...CB has been in existance for 42 years and illegal ops
were around longer than that. Seems to be a very slow-moving
camel...

>The topic is a helluva lot more of a "problem" in this NG than it is
>on the air. As usual.

I have to agree with that. There are too many control-freaks
visible who raise their righteous swords and wave them
mightily, seeking the spectrally-pure hamaryan whatever.

It still surprises me that there is so much anger and spite over
CB after 42 years of existance...including the bundling-in of
all "illegals" (radio and otherwise) in with the evil CB types.
Tsk, tsk. :-)

Perhaps it is like an old network tagline -

"Mankind invented language to satisfy his need to complain."

:-)

From 644677117598861227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 15:32:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!

newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103131637180.4371-100000@cluster2>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 14
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <W4yr6.2395$CW3.240679@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /w5MH9AKdZcMjh/
x7JVjRcB5+VdcSJDSCyCyWoamK5f5HPhId65FTP9T6msxqJSHjpRQujkJFoYe!
WsFQuCizfEpMF8SAETO+BfJ/To9TShWxoHoDax9aYjd9rcdr7xb69j0n6YOj4DAL2AOwSoo66uva!a9n/
8jwE
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 23:31:02 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 23:31:02 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18564
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10374 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13377
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17311 rec.radio.amateur.space:2235 rec.radio.cb:27598
rec.radio.scanner:14823 rec.radio.shortwave:28759 rec.radio.swap:25450

"Dana" <dana@randomc.com> wrote in message
news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10103131637180.4371-100000@cluster2...
> I know that is right there. The 2 meter band sounds like a channel 19 cb
> now.
>
>

Two meter repeaters are not representative of most hams - especially in any major city. Try working some two meter SSB, or better yet, spend some time on HF. You will find a completely different type of operator.

73 de W6DKN

From -5006006058736186863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 15:34:04 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 23:31:07 GMT
Message-ID: <3aaeab49.291430009@news2.dvol.com>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com> <98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com> <98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org> <98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.178
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.178
X-Trace: 13 Mar 2001 17:28:51 -0600, 64.24.16.178
Lines: 26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.utk.edu!washdc3-snf1!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17312

On Mon, 12 Mar 2001 23:07:51 -0800, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>
>> Jeff, are you exaggerating? It's 3pm here and I just tuned from
>> 28.0 to 28.3. I hears eight CW signals, one carrier, and one
>> SSB signal.
>

That's roughly what I hear on 10 cw during weekdays here on the east
coast. It gets much more lively on weekends. A big percentage of the
what I assume are freebanders on 10 here are ssb in Spanish. But they
might be hams who are allowed to operate ssb all the way down to
28.000.

>
>Aren't you a good 4000 miles further away than he is? I can usually only
>hear them in the mornings here on the West coast - all over the bottom of 40
>meters.
>It's a problem, fellers.
>

I'm confused. Are you talking about 10 or 40? Who/what/where are you
hearing on the bottom end of 40?? On 10??

>
>ac6xg
>

w3rv

From -8535452282425142461

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 15:42:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AAEAEC4.1BFA5B02@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 23:35:32 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA29B50.C4BFEA85@IEEE.org> <20010306050334.16620.00000660@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AADA5D9.C0E010B1@IEEE.org> <3AAE1426.83FE5FD5@ovis.net>
<3AAE300F.D3E18E45@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s1.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 13 Mar 2001 18:31:01 -0500, s1.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 30
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feeder.qis.net!nntp.abs.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s1.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17313
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Nobody is forced to learn morse code.
>
> Larry has already admitted that his Morse code skill was produced
> with effort. "Produced with effort" is the definition of "forced"

...one of many.

> even in your and Larry's cheap dictionaries.

I smell a troll a mile away. Your dictionary is expensive and mine is cheap. I guess you spent your limited loot on dicitionaries rather than Mensa dues.

> In return for certain privileges granted to certain citizens by
> governments, those citizens are forced to meet the requirements
> for those granted privileges. Governments enFORCE those requirements.

No one has the privileges forced on him. They are voluntarily undertaken. No one is forced to learn morse code.

> That's what governments do.

That pretty well sums it up in your opinion, huh? It's a shame you wasted all those hours in Civics and Government classes.

```
Dave K8MN


From -7192276350663199950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa656,18d9b3b0c19f9f7c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18d9b3b0c19f9f7c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 17:08:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
64.86.63.5.MISMATCH!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!
24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!chnws02.mediaone.net!
chnws06.ne.mediaone.net!24.128.8.70!typhoon.ne.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Chet \(KB1EHI\)" <alena@mediaone.net>
From: "Chet \(KB1EHI\)" <alena@mediaone.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <984294437.3aab242514a59@webmail.cotse.com>
<jXMq6.10520$5f.3138169@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net> <eGNq6.20$DM6.3905@nnrp2.sbc.net>
<bibr6.633$jF3.210715@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net> <JVer6.51$Td4.101993@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Subject: Re: N8WWM No Code Doug Adairs strange behavior
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <2vzr6.1770$mH4.977707@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 01:07:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.218.49.141
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.ne.mediaone.net 984532030 24.218.49.141 (Tue, 13 Mar 2001
20:07:10 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 20:07:10 EST
Organization: Road Runner
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:1206 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17314

Yeah that's true. Oh well at least I had the decency to do it politely. But
now THIS discussion is going off topic. Thanks for the advice.
"BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote in message
news:JVer6.51$Td4.101993@nnrp3.sbc.net...
> My point is that no one did any cleaning... After you've been on the
> internet awhile, you'll see that such comes and goes.. on nearly all the
> ng's...  I've seen posts such as yours, and a few weeks later.. same thing
> starts over again..
> The best thing to do (unless you can convince the persons' isp to cancel
> their account or something, which I've seen happen) is to ignore it.. You
> can extend the cycle by adding to it, iow.. ;)
>
> "Chet (KB1EHI)" <alena@mediaone.net> wrote in message
> news:bibr6.633$jF3.210715@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> > It just appeared that after a little bit all the bickering just
> disappeared.
> > It seemed as though some one had done some house keeping.(Don't know how
> > don't care to either just glad they did it. My point was that this was a
> > nice news group to read. Some one messed it up and now some one is
messing
> > it up again. I was politely trying to ask them to move their complaints
to
> a
> > more suitable location....like not here
>
>
>




From 4297984604132093730
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 17:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 108
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Mar 2001 01:33:11 GMT
References: <3AAE2D6A.B79DAA2A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010313203311.13684.00000522@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17315

In article <3AAE2D6A.B79DAA2A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3AADA354.D6789E17@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>> writes:
>>
>> >N2EY wrote:
>> >> The standard of the time was that if you failed the written
>> >> after passing the code, you had to take BOTH over again.
>
>I obviously didn't have to.

Because you didn't meet the standards of the time.

> The *standard* at the time was to know
>Morse code. I met that standard.
```

No.

The standard of the time was to demonstrate skill in Morse code sending and receiving at 13 wpm by passing the prescribed tests, using the standards mandated by the FCC for those tests. Among those standards were things like the "at least one solid minute of legible copy out of a maximum of five minutes" standard. And the "pass it all at one go" standard.

>If the *procedure* at the time was
>to retake the test, then the procedure was violated, not the standards.

The procedures are part of the standards. If the procedure was violated, so were the standards.
>
>Incidentally, my 1957 ARRL License Manual
>does not state that the code exam must be retaken 30 days later.

The test in question was in 1954.

>Speaking of passing the code exam and failing the written exam, it
>says: "If you have failed (the written exam) study some more for the
>examination and after another month or so try it again". No mention
>of another code exam.

It says elsewhere that no credit is given for passing an element that does not result in a license or upgrade.
>
>> > All the "VE" had to do was re-sign
>> >the certification.
>>
>> Not if he was doing things by the standards of the time. Resigning the
>> application without retesting broke the rules and lowered the standards for
>> you.
>
>Since I had already met the standards, the standards were not lowered.

Not true.

>It was a procedural violation, not a standards violation.

I'm not going to get involved in one of your word games.

>I fully met
>the standards of the time.

No, you did not. They were lowered for you.

> Shorty was convinced that I met the standards,
>I in fact did meet the standards, and he signed the paper.

Nope. He bent the rules.

If he was convinced that you met the standards, why did he start to give you another code test and then quit when his VFO failed? If another test was not needed, why did he start one?

>
>A young looking person tries to buy a beer. The store owner asks him
>for his ID. The young looking person is 21 so the store owner sells
>him the beer. The same thing happens the next day. Have the standards
>been lowered if the store owner doesn't ask for his ID the second time?

Not the same thing at all. Being "carded" is not a skills test.

And in many areas, sellers of alcohol must verify age at each sale if there is any doubt as to the age of the buyer. The standard of the law IS violated if that is not done.

>Can a person get younger as time passes?

In some ways, yes. Suppose the person really is under 21 but uses a phony ID the first time and gets away with it. Next time he might not be so lucky. Or the phony ID might be confiscated by police. By requiring the demonstration of age at each sale, the standard of enforcement is higher than if it is required only once.

> Can a healthy person who knew
>Morse code 30 days ago not know Morse code today?

That's not the question. The question is "can a "healthy" person who passed the prescribed Morse test one day fail it at some time in the future?" The answer is obviously YES.

The next step, Cecil, is for you to drag out the dictionary and start doing the definition wordgame thing. It's your standard procedure about now. I've got better things to do.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 3951759435465758O4
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,723f93673dba4b72
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 18:26:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: As Threatened
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 20:16:33 -0600
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <3AAED481.2C1C@mobiletel.com>

References: <TOXo6.1679$OS1.1371537@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AA993C3.54A9@mobiletel.com> <98cb4g$e8k$2@208.207.71.135>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun10-port237.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 984536650 4258 206.251.171.237 (14 Mar 2001 02:24:10
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Mar 2001 02:24:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17316

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Martin wrote:
> >
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > OK Policy wonks, let me have it.
> > >
> > > Dick N�BK
> > Simple Better idea:
> >
> > Move Citizens Band usuage to 380 MHZ (UHF NTIA FREQ NOW) but could be
> > reallocated quite simply. 12.5 khz spacing, 200 Channels with power
> > upto
> > 1/20/50 watts and repeaters. License the things quite simply-You could
> > acquire
> > your licnese at your nearby friendly POST OFFICE for the princely sum of
> > $20.00, just fill out the form, hand over the money and your call is
> > the serial number on the top (w-12345678) and voila, off you go!
> >
> > Everybodys happy,
> >
> > Just one little detail, program the call into the radio before usuage,
> > license is good for 10 yrs and off you go!
>
> Sounds great from a bureaucratic regulatory standpoint, but it does not
> much to move all those millions of transcievers off 27-28 mz. And they
> AIN'T goin' just because Sam said so.

Do it over a 10 to 15 year phaseout, once Radio Shack, Wal-Mart, K-Mart
and
the others run out of stock CBs (27MHz Variety) it will be a no-brainer,
the export business will be all there is left! 15 years down the road
with
no legal CB Sales (27MHZ) will thin out the ranks and since the existing
stuff will be allowed to continue during the planned phaseout and the
common stuff will be obsolete and hard to repair, nature and time
handles

the problem and UHF CB will be the rage since many more channels would
be available.

From 1251544936101684774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 18:52:17 PST
Message-ID: <3AAEDA67.F924CBAF@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 20:41:43 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAE2D6A.B79DAA2A@IEEE.org> <20010313203311.13684.00000522@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.148
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984537909 64.240.47.148 (13 Mar 2001 20:45:09 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17317

N2EY wrote:
> The question is "can a "healthy" person who passed the
> prescribed Morse test one day fail it at some time in the future?" The answer
> is obviously YES.

The answer is NOT obviously yes. Please name me one person who knew Morse
code one month and didn't know it the next month - no comas, please.

If it was evil to pass a Morse code exam one month and be given credit
for it a month later, doesn't that make the whole CSCE system evil?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5484860475647368716
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 18:58:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AAEDBC6.8ACB39EA@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 20:47:34 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA29B50.C4BFEA85@IEEE.org> <20010306050334.16620.00000660@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AADA5D9.C0E010B1@IEEE.org> <3AAE1426.83FE5FD5@ovis.net>
<3AAE300F.D3E18E45@IEEE.org> <3AAEAEC4.1BFA5B02@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.148
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984538260
64.240.47.148 (13 Mar 2001 20:51:00 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17318
```

Dave Heil wrote:
> No one has the privileges forced on him.

Nobody said they did. So why do you need to attempt such a diversion?

Anyone who obtains the privileges has had the requirements enforced by the government. Governments force citizens to meet the necessary requirements in return for privileges granted. That's what governments do.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6594360759109721905
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 20:48:04 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 04:46:53 GMT
Message-ID: <3aaeae08.292133133@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com> <98gd47$o6g$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aad4427.199488481@news2.dvol.com> <98kgj5$46c$1@news.service.uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.111
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.111
X-Trace: 13 Mar 2001 22:44:40 -0600, 64.24.16.111
Lines: 44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17319

>
>I have a friend who's knows the CB scene - he's also a ham. He says a lot
>of the guys are running nice HF rigs for citizens band.
>
Doesn't surprise me at all, that's also been SOP going back to the
70s.
>
> Heck, they even
>talk about it on the air.
>
Brilliant.
>
>I don't think they usually know very much about operating repeaters.
>Chances of us hearing them on VHF is probably less anyway due to the shorter
>range.
>
Don't underestimate what they might know, some of them are quite
technically competent. But I agree, I doubt that 2m would ring their

chimes, there's a lot more activity on 27Mhz and the range is a bit
better for local comms, they wouldn't gain anything by going to 6 or
2m.
>
>
>Why do you figure they wouldn't just tune to another band that does have
>propagation? This is a free-for-all after all.
>
Apparently there already is some freeabanding on much lower freqs.
People using HF ham xcvrs on boats on the west coast are operating
somewhere around 6.5 Mhz. I undertstand it's a pretty big group.
That's a gray area. There are a bunch of 100-150w marine ssb xcvrs
available which can operate on hundreds of channels everywhere from
1.6 to 30Mhz and it's all 100% legal.
>
I doubt that freebanders would find operating in the low ham bands a
very appetizing proposition, too much qrm. They'd be better off in the
quiet holes outside the ham bands.
>
In any event they've never bothered me and even if they did there's
nothing I can do about if.
>
>73, Jim AC6XG
>
w3rv

From 4578710034884573820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 20:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad

io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 23:53:59 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 19
Distribution: world
Message-ID: <B6D46397.5D2A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103131637180.4371-100000@cluster2>
<W4yr6.2395$CW3.240679@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-261.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984545600 121784 206.74.233.231 (14 Mar 2001 04:53:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 04:53:20 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18594
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10386 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13400
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17320 rec.radio.amateur.space:2237 rec.radio.cb:27610
rec.radio.scanner:14841 rec.radio.shortwave:28824 rec.radio.swap:25486

W6DKN wrote:

> Two meter repeaters are not representative
> of most hams - especially in any major city.
> Try working some two meter SSB, or better yet,
> spend some time on HF. You will find a
> completely different type of operator.

Sure you will, W6DKN.   Have you been to 3.860 MHz lately? The two-meter operators are not any better or worse than any other ham. I take that back, at least the two-meter operators don't usually go around promoting bigotry towards their fellow hams.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 5259191218747415680
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 21:04:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 00:02:43 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <B6D465A3.5D2B%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103131637180.4371-100000@cluster2>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-261.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984546125 122447 206.74.233.231 (14 Mar 2001 05:02:05
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 05:02:05 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18596
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10387 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13401
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17321 rec.radio.amateur.space:2238 rec.radio.cb:27611
rec.radio.scanner:14842 rec.radio.shortwave:28826 rec.radio.swap:25488
```

Dana wrote:

> I know that is right there. The 2 meter band
> sounds like a channel 19 cb now.

The entire 4 Mhz wide 2-meter band sounds like channel 19, Dana? Oh, come on, if you're going to post nonsense, at least try to stay somewhere in the realm of reality.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -1670781855915840537
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 21:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 00:23:25 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <B6D46A7D.5D55%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net> <98ihef$5oa$2@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
<B6D30AE4.5402%stewartx@sccoast.net> <98le64$hda$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-261.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984547373 122656 206.74.233.231 (14 Mar 2001 05:22:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 05:22:53 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17322

Jim Bromley, K7JEB wrote:

> Actually, it measures the *future*
> noise-to-signal ratio on the particular
> thread it is attached to on RRAP.

Wow, isn't technology wonderful? We can now measure the future. Perhaps with enough research, we can predict future troll patterns as reliably as we now predict the weather (it was supposed to be sunny today - it wasn't).

> It is *forced* to do this by mandatory
> acceptance of intermediate tachyometric
> bogons through its input port. This
> forced acceptance also means that it is
> willfully in violation of the light-
> speed restrictions of Einstein's Special
> Theory *and* the Entropy provisions of
> the Second Law of Thermodynamics.

Sounds like a lot of BS to me. You'll make millions. ;-)

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6644152630422003572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 21:42:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 00:41:05 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <B6D46EA0.5D56%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6D0D25D.4EC1%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010311105837.10659.00001763@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AABD3D7.86601149@IEEE.org>
<B6D1E9CC.52FA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AACD7B7.9FB60B70@IEEE.org>
<B6D31263.5403%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AADA7C4.E5C669AC@IEEE.org>
<B6D383EB.5506%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AAE3404.33B71BAC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-261.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984548437 121649 206.74.233.231 (14 Mar 2001 05:40:37 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 05:40:37 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17323

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>
>>> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>>> Less laws could decrease the freedom
>>>> of those protected by those laws, ...
>
>> Of course, like most other Americans,
>> I'm immediately opposed to welfare
>> benefits for those who have no real
>> need, or endless benefits for those who
>> refuse to do anything to help themselves
>> out of their financial problems.

>
> Seems a contradiction between your two
> statements above.

How do you figure that? Having realistic welfare law does not suggest less, or more, laws - just different laws that the majority would find more reasonable.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -5161019376022699536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 22:52:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Message-ID: <6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 06:50:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.205.108
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984552629 209.86.205.108 (Tue, 13 Mar 2001 22:50:29 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 13 Mar 2001 22:50:29 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17324

billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:

>The problem
>would still come down to properly gaining the right
>evidence to obtain a conviction...a factor which
>I don't see the typical local law enforcement
>agencies doing very well.

Since the city would be allowed to write the law, they could make it relatively non-technical and easy to prosecute. If the neighbors are willing to come to court and testify (as they must now do in dog barking violations), all they have to do is convince a judge beyond a reasonable doubt that the CBer is disturbing their electronic piece.

A similar situation happened to a ham recently (as discussed earlier here), and they didn't even need to make new law to take him to court.

As far as the legal equipment argument goes, the FCC can impose quiet hours on a *legal ham* now if he can't work things out with his neighbors. The city could easily make such a law to do the same thing to a CBer...

From -7421877132631732417
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 23:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news.nyc.globix.net!cluster2.nbank.net!dana
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
X-Sender: dana@cluster2
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
In-Reply-To: <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 7
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 02:30:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 130.205.85.40
X-Complaints-To: news@globix.net
X-Trace: news.nyc.globix.net 984555678 130.205.85.40 (Wed, 14 Mar 2001 02:41:18 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 02:41:18 EST
Organization: Globix Corp.
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17325

Sorry for the cross post. I will have to agree with the last post, the ham bands sounds just like the cb band. I have my extra ticket, and it makes me mad every time I listen to 2 meters, or 75 for that matter. All they say is "roger roger" before every transmission. And what all the truckers that is on 2 meters, sounds like channel 19. Braker braker 19 good buddy.

```
From -5337620261133179860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 23:48:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news.nyc.globix.net!cluster2.nbank.net!dana
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
X-Sender: dana@cluster2
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
In-Reply-To: <B6D1D271.52F7%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140235260.5106-100000@cluster2>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <989pv2$kbf$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aaa5ddc.9448266@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga20zl3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D1D271.52F7%stewartx@sccoast.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 55
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 02:36:56 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 130.205.85.40
X-Complaints-To: news@globix.net
X-Trace: news.nyc.globix.net 984556050 130.205.85.40 (Wed, 14 Mar 2001 02:47:30 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 02:47:30 EST
Organization: Globix Corp.
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17326
```

Talking about react, can we talk on channel 9 again on cb? There are some locals here in Griffin, Georgia that talk on channel 9 all the time. Can someone clear this rule up?

On Mon, 12 Mar 2001, Dwight Stewart wrote:

```
> Date: Mon, 12 Mar 2001 01:10:11 -0500
> From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
>
> > About seven years ago, a local REACT group
> > asked me to sit in on a court hearing that
> > they were going to have in a case involving
> > a rival CB/GMRS group over who was going to
> > handle "public service" in their area.  This
> > situation got so heated with threats and
> > namecalling that they had each applied to
> > the court for restraining orders against
> > each other.  I needed a good laugh at the
> > time so I went and sat in on the hearing.
>
>
>   This wasn't in Washington State, was it, Phil?  Many years ago, I saw this
> very thing in action (it would not surprise me one bit if the parties ended
> up in court eventually).  There were two REACT groups, fighting over the
> same radio turf.  They were even fighting over who had the right to the
> virtually identical club names they had selected.
>
>   On more than one occasion, operators from each group tried to handle the
> same radio call, which resulted in a long fight over who had the right to
> handle that call (these calls usually ended up unanswered).
>
>   Whenever the two groups got together (coffee breaks were common back
> then), it almost always ended with an exchange of words between several
> members of each group.  Both groups were even asked to leave one resturant
> when the bickering got too loud.  And I heard that on one occasion the
> "discussion" ended with an exchange of fists between two of the individuals
> (though I didn't see this myself).
>
>   To make matters even worse, two groups spun off from these groups and
> started still two more groups (again wanting to cover the same area).  I
> left the area right after this, so I don't know how these four REACT groups
> eventually got along.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>
>
>



From -4319419326453567384
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-13 23:58:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103131637180.4371-100000@cluster2>
<W4yr6.2395$CW3.240679@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<B6D46397.5D2A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 45
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <_tFr6.2086$Wg7.492777@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: +rhFIqYG6JicRQwNXF4FnTUmJ5JHOb8Vuuyb5fsBoC+DbH/g/sds48ENZ0w6pZROm/
FhyWtoafan!
9EJqN4SPRpmMBj3Uy2zTTkSaqo3O3+KpuCquOU48ooJBwk8UqxseaBbYEkRNuMWPpcfrFpijnEnn!
NnXzgygG
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 07:55:38 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 07:55:38 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18606
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10390 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13408
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17327 rec.radio.amateur.space:2239 rec.radio.cb:27615
rec.radio.scanner:14848 rec.radio.shortwave:28836 rec.radio.swap:25496

So, if you know where the jerks are, why waste your time there? At least they occupy a small, known piece of the band so the trash is easy to avoid - unless you're the type that likes this stuff. (Yes, I have heard about the crap on 3.860, but I have no desire to waste my time with it)

Personally I prefer to work DX and do very little stateside work (which seems to be where most of the problem operators are on HF). I work 12, 17, 20, and 40 almost every day and I have never had anything but great QSO's.

I do work VHF/UHF also, but I only do SSB or FM simplex and SATS. There are very few "garbage operators" in these modes.

At least on HF, the jerks stay in a small, relatively consistent spot and it is fairly common knowledge where they are, so they are very easy to avoid. Very different than the VHF repeaters in the big cities, where the jerks get their kicks from going from machine to machine to see what trouble they can cause (just like 11 meters).

73 de W6DKN

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6D46397.5D2A%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> W6DKN wrote:
>
> > Two meter repeaters are not representative
> > of most hams - especially in any major city.
> > Try working some two meter SSB, or better yet,
> > spend some time on HF. You will find a
> > completely different type of operator.
>
>
>   Sure you will, W6DKN.   Have you been to 3.860 MHz lately? The
two-meter
> operators are not any better or worse than any other ham. I take that
back,
> at least the two-meter operators don't usually go around promoting
bigotry
> towards their fellow hams.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>

From 2078619813500324226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 02:04:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!news.he.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Mar 2001 10:02:11 GMT

References: <t0vpato395lmsf5cq3l8lg7a7ljnjep19s@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010314050211.16620.00002083@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17328

In article <t0vpato395lmsf5cq3l8lg7a7ljnjep19s@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
<nomail@nomail.com> writes:

>
>>I like AOL's newsreader because it eliminates a lot of
>>unnecessary crap found in other newsreaders
>
>Translation: *real* newsreaders are too complicated for the
>technically dumbed down, knuckle dragging, idiots who are too stupid
>and lazy to learn how to use a slightly complicated piece of software
>and then like to whine about it in a newsgripe... :-)

Aaron:

As an AOL user from the very beginning, I have had to take a lot of
abuse from you whiney, never-a-life-having computer nerds just
because I have other things to do with my valuable time than to figure
out the unnecessary complexities of a piece of what is supposed to
be functonal software. Computers are supposed to simplify the
lives of their users, not further complicate them. And, I assure you,
there is nothing about any "newsreader" that I could not master -- I
would simply read the documentation and follow the instructions. I
got my introduction to newsgroups on AOL, and simply never found
any need to use a different "newsreader." I know, I know -- you were
hoping I'd hire someone like you to sit there and tell me which icons
to click. My brother does that for a living, and its good work if you
can get it -- but "real" hams and "real" computer users like me don't
need it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3393560778022120960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 02:04:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Mar 2001 10:02:12 GMT

References: <98ivvi$o13$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010314050212.16620.00002084@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17329

In article <98ivvi$o13$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@qwest.net writes:

>
>Larry,
>
>A long time ago back on fidonet I decided that you were an offensive boor.
>Thank you for periodically confirming/refreshing that opinion.
>
>Hans, K0HB

Hansl:

Right around the same time that I decided that you were an insufferable
egomaniac! But don't worry, Hans, I'll always like you -- so don't change
a hair for me, not if you care for me! After all, the weakest of the weaklings

in our ever dumber dumbed-down society need their apologists! And since
being on the side of the majority makes you powerful and popular among
dumbed-down weaklings, far be it from me to stand in the way of your
glory! Carry on, sailor!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2057526221174071868
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 02:17:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Mar 2001 10:14:55 GMT
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17330

In article <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>, AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
writes:

>>As to using AOL as my ISP, you're wrong about that. My ISP
>>is Magpage.com; I only access AOL thru it. I like AOL's
>>newsreader because it eliminates a lot of unnecessary crap
>>found in other newsreaders; and besides, when accessing AOL
>>thru my ISP, its only 9.95/mo. for unlimited use, and gives me
>>access to other forums and chat rooms I've been using literally
>>from the beginning of AOL service (I was one of the first 1,000
>>customers).
>>
>
>... and the distressing thing is, that you feel that after all you
>have said that you have validated yourself. You feel vindicated, and
>yet you have only gone even further to proving my original point...
>

Look, pal, *you're* the one who's stressed-out over the fact that I
use AOL -- not me! I don't require any "vindication" -- but I do sense
that you have a strong desire to feel superior to me based on your
choice of ISP! How immature is that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7045030718742136322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 03:24:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad

io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 06:18:54 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 44
Distribution: world
Message-ID: <B6D4BDCB.6A95%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103131637180.4371-100000@cluster2>
<W4yr6.2395$CW3.240679@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<B6D46397.5D2A%stewartx@sccoast.net> <_tFr6.2086$Wg7.492777@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.31
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984568771 133674 207.144.64.31 (14 Mar 2001 11:19:31 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 11:19:31 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18609
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10392 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13410
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17331 rec.radio.amateur.space:2243 rec.radio.cb:27623
rec.radio.scanner:14852 rec.radio.shortwave:28844 rec.radio.swap:25500

W6DKN wrote:

> So, if you know where the jerks are,
> why waste your time there? [snip] (Yes,
> I have heard about the crap on 3.860,
> but I have no desire to waste my time
> with it)

Like you, I've heard about it, but have never listened.

> [snip] Very different than the VHF
> repeaters in the big cities, where the
> jerks get their kicks from going from
> machine to machine to see what
> trouble they can cause (just like 11
> meters)

The point is that the 2-meter versus HF operator nonsense you mentioned earlier has absolutely nothing to do with it - the "jerks" are the same on both (the only difference between 2-meters and HF is the limited number of repeaters on 2-meters - harder to avoid the "jerks" if you stay mainly on the repeaters).

Therefore, you should not have said earlier that the "two meter repeaters are not representative of most hams" (you said "hams" here) and that he should "spend some time on HF" because he "will find a completely different type of operator." That is not true. There are no more "jerks" on 2 meters than on HF - they're only a little more difficult to avoid because of the limited number of repeaters.

If the "jerks" really disturb you, then I agree with your suggestion - simply avoid the repeaters. Its far easier to avoid them on the SSB frequencies (just like on HF).

Take care, W6DKN.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 4128214782605516690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 04:07:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103131637180.4371-100000@cluster2>
<W4yr6.2395$CW3.240679@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<B6D46397.5D2A%stewartx@sccoast.net> <_tFr6.2086$Wg7.492777@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<B6D4BDCB.6A95%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 73
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%8Jr6.707$5c.17332@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: +SbzLyosEFe31Lg7syjA49tStAuy9Yz5dUXyKVjah4L3aSKC4E2bIEQ2fnOjm+v9OF0Oh/
Ms6K3B!n37dAhh0CfSrwGBu7uFoqe5y1Dqfx0X2hdDMGqeltoaZ5B8TOEhgtdvrOLCY/
GOldOwB0dT82AUv!mORlzgM=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 12:06:19 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 12:06:19 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18611
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10396 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13413
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17332 rec.radio.amateur.space:2244 rec.radio.cb:27624
rec.radio.scanner:14853 rec.radio.shortwave:28848 rec.radio.swap:25502


"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6D4BDCB.6A95%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> W6DKN wrote:
>
> > So, if you know where the jerks are,
> > why waste your time there? [snip] (Yes,
> > I have heard about the crap on 3.860,
> > but I have no desire to waste my time
> > with it)
>
>
>   Like you, I've heard about it, but have never listened.
>
>
> > [snip] Very different than the VHF
> > repeaters in the big cities, where the
> > jerks get their kicks from going from
> > machine to machine to see what
> > trouble they can cause (just like 11
> > meters)
>
>
>   The point is that the 2-meter versus HF operator nonsense you mentioned
> earlier has absolutely nothing to do with it - the "jerks" are the same
on
> both (the only difference between 2-meters and HF is the limited number
of
> repeaters on 2-meters - harder to avoid the "jerks" if you stay mainly on
> the repeaters).
>
```

Lets take a survey of the number of jerks and lids out of 1000 QSO's on the
2 meter repeaters in Los Angeles, and do the same with 1000 HF QSO's on 17
meters. If you are correct, the ratios should be about the same...

> Therefore, you should not have said earlier that the "two meter
repeaters
> are not representative of most hams" (you said "hams" here) and that he
> should "spend some time on HF" because he "will find a completely
different
> type of operator." That is not true. There are no more "jerks" on 2
meters
> than on HF - they're only a little more difficult to avoid because of the
> limited number of repeaters.
>

It has certainly been my experience over the last several years in/around
the Los Angeles area of So CA. Maybe in your area things are different.
But, like most people, we base our opinions on our personal experiences.

And let's not forget that my original response was to a post stating that
from listening to 2 meters, the poster concluded that ham radio in general
was just like 11 meters.

> If the "jerks" really disturb you, then I agree with your suggestion -
> simply avoid the repeaters. Its far easier to avoid them on the SSB
> frequencies (just like on HF).

They don't disturb me - in fact they have no effect on me one way or the
other - because I choose not to pay any attention to them.

>
> Take care, W6DKN.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

73 de W6DKN

From -137804208688559087
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 04:55:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Mar 2001 12:50:15 GMT
References: <3AAE26E7.A2E344FD@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010314075015.04293.00002561@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17333

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 03/13/01 8:55 AM Eastern Standard Time

>In return for privileges granted by the government, citizens are
>required by circumstances to meet the requirements for those
>privileges.

And you still have the option of changing your circumstances to not be in that position.

>"Required by circumstances" is the dictionary definition
>of "forced". Therefore, in return for privileges granted by the
>government, citizens are, by definition, forced to meet the requirements.

Right, Cecil...OK...whatever you say....YOU can be "forced" all you want.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 8683494282193421366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 05:08:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Mar 2001 13:01:09 GMT
References: <3AAE3287.F2192B4F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010314080109.04293.00002564@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17334

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 03/13/01 9:45 AM Eastern Standard Time

>Exercise your free will to run 100 kW on the ham bands, Steve, and
>test your theory.

If I could afford a 100KW linear I sure wouldn't be wasting time slumming in here to be worried about it.

>Dictionary definitions are the standard for a language.

For the >language< itself. However the English language is frought with multiple implied meanings to words. You have selected one particular word and stuck to it as the SOLE meaning. Pretty narrow perception.

>Saying nobody is forced to meet the requirements implies that some amateur
>radio operator somewhere decided to use his free not to meet the
>requirements. Where is he? What is his name?

I think you are into the grapes again, Cecil. This is silliness.

>Sorry Steve, in return for obtaining certain privileges granted by the
>government, citizens receiving those privileges are forced to meet the
>requirements enforced by the government. That's just the way it is. How
>you feel about those enforced requirements is irrelevant.

Yeahyeahsuresure.

>You can win the argument by giving us the name of just one individual
>who gained amateur radio privileges while using his free will to decide
>NOT to meet the requirements.

Unlike Lennie who is constantly trying to run up his imaginary "message points' and denying that he is trying to win some joust with the windmills, I have no arguement to win nor points to collect, Cecil.

I met the requirements, and was NOT forced to do it.

Define it as you will.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -9843716105865484339
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 05:38:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Mar 2001 13:33:14 GMT
References: <3AAEDA67.F924CBAF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010314083314.02416.00000300@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17335

In article <3AAEDA67.F924CBAF@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> The question is "can a "healthy" person who passed the
>> prescribed Morse test one day fail it at some time in the future?" The
>answer
>> is obviously YES.
>
>The answer is NOT obviously yes.

Sure it is. If it happens, it must be possible.

> Please name me one person who knew Morse
>code one month and didn't know it the next month - no comas, please.

The question is: "can a "healthy" person who passed the prescribed Morse test one day fail it 30 days later?"

If the answer is "no", why did the FCC require retesting the code if someone failed the written?

I know of at least one ham who went back to the FCC office back in the 1970s several times before getting his General. I will not take the chance of embarrassing him by mentioning his call or name.

He went to the FCC, passed the code and flunked the written. Went home, studied the written and ignored the code, went back to FCC a month later and flunked the code. Went home and studied the code and ignored the written. went back to the FCC, passed the code and flunked the written by a single question. Finally got both of them at the same session on the fourth try.

>If it was evil to pass a Morse code exam one month and be given credit
>for it a month later, doesn't that make the whole CSCE system evil?

Who said anything about "evil"? Not me. We were talking about lowering standards and bending rules, which was done for you (but not for everyone else) back then by a volunteer examiner.

The CSCE system changed the standards for everyone. That's a completely different thing.The CSCE system was created in part to eliminate the problem of hams like my friend who had to keep going back to the FCC for retesting of elements already passed. It also reduced the FCC's admin workload.

If the code re-test was not required back then, why did Shorty do it (until his VFO failed)?

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 128291559553971397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 06:26:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AAF7C36.E7CEAA3@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 08:12:06 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAE26E7.A2E344FD@IEEE.org> <20010314075015.04293.00002561@ng-
fi1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.133
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984579331
64.240.47.133 (14 Mar 2001 08:15:31 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17336

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> And you still have the option of changing your circumstances to not be in
> that position.

You can prove what you are saying is true if you can produce one person with amateur radio operating privileges who changed his circumstances so he was not forced to meet the requirements for amateur radio privileges. What's his name, Steve? Exactly how did he get his amateur radio privileges without meeting the requirements?

People with amateur radio licenses had no choice except to meet the requirements. One cannot be an amateur radio operator while using his free will to ignore the requirements, rules, and regulations. "No choice" equals "required by circumstances" equals "forced".

In return for certain privileges, governments force citizens to meet the requirements for those privileges by enforcing the rules. That's what governments do.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 7684489398861601822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 06:50:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 08:37:11 -0600
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 68
Message-ID: <3AAF8217.5DB7@mobiletel.com>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com> <98gd47$o6g$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aad4427.199488481@news2.dvol.com> <98kgj5$46c$1@news.service.uci.edu>

<3aaeae08.292133133@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun10-port340.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 984581087 13818 206.251.168.86 (14 Mar 2001 14:44:47 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Mar 2001 14:44:47 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17337

Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:
>
> >
> >I have a friend who's knows the CB scene - he's also a ham. He says a lot
> >of the guys are running nice HF rigs for citizens band.
> >
> Doesn't surprise me at all, that's also been SOP going back to the
> 70s.
> >
> > Heck, they even
> >talk about it on the air.
> >
> Brilliant.
> >
> >I don't think they usually know very much about operating repeaters.
> >Chances of us hearing them on VHF is probably less anyway due to the shorter
> >range.
> >
> Don't underestimate what they might know, some of them are quite
> technically competent. But I agree, I doubt that 2m would ring their
> chimes, there's a lot more activity on 27Mhz and the range is a bit
> better for local comms, they wouldn't gain anything by going to 6 or
> 2m.
> >
> >
> >Why do you figure they wouldn't just tune to another band that does have
> >propagation? This is a free-for-all after all.
> >
> Apparently there already is some freeabanding on much lower freqs.
> People using HF ham xcvrs on boats on the west coast are operating
> somewhere around 6.5 Mhz. I undertstand it's a pretty big group.
> That's a gray area. There are a bunch of 100-150w marine ssb xcvrs
> available which can operate on hundreds of channels everywhere from
> 1.6 to 30Mhz and it's all 100% legal.
> >

Getting a marine license for a boat is simple procedure and no
"Operators" license is required UNLESS the boat makes international
voyages or communicates with foreign flag vessels or stations. Then you
only need a "restricted Operator's" license unless you are commercial,
then an element 1 MROP (Marine Radio Operator's Permit) is required.

Even getting a coast station license isn't a big hassle anymore which allows ship to shore comms on HF and VHF freqs.

> I doubt that freebanders would find operating in the low ham bands a
> very appetizing proposition, too much qrm. They'd be better off in the
> quiet holes outside the ham bands.

Most of the "quiet holes" are special use types such as aircraft, marine and business. Some fisherman in Louisiana decided to use an aircraft HF control freq (HF) since is was "quiet", little did they know about the duplex setup. A visit from FAA, FCC and FBI boys didn't exactly make their day when the Coast Guard rounded up the "HF Freebanders," and the riot act was read, even a local radio shop was raided and the techs given the third degree. Needless to say, a lot of ham gear came on the local market after that fiasco.

> >
> In any event they've never bothered me and even if they did there's
> nothing I can do about if.

Its not in my realm of influence, but then I don't encourage it either.

Martin N5PZJ
> >
> >73, Jim AC6XG
> >
> w3rv

```
From 3003759108145972572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 07:06:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AAF85BC.C61421A4@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 08:52:44 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAEDA67.F924CBAF@IEEE.org> <20010314083314.02416.00000300@nso-
ma.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.133
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984581769
64.240.47.133 (14 Mar 2001 08:56:09 -0600)
Lines: 37
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
```

illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17338

N2EY wrote:
> If the answer is "no", why did the FCC require retesting the code if someone failed the written?

From reading the license manual, I'm not sure that retesting was required.
If not retesting was evil then, why isn't it evil now?

> He went to the FCC, passed the code and flunked the written. Went home, studied the written and ignored the code, went back to FCC a month later and flunked the code.

Then he obviously did not meet the standards. My 13 wpm test was 5 minutes of solid copy with no errors. I was nowhere near the failure threshold as was the guy above.

> The CSCE system changed the standards for everyone.

13wpm Morse code skill continued to be the standard for Generals so the 13wpm code standard didn't change at all. The testing procedure paperwork associated with the unchanged standards is what changed. The code standard didn't change until 2000.

> If the code re-test was not required back then, why did Shorty do it (until his VFO failed)?

That was solution number one which failed. Solution number two was to contact the ham who had given me the code exam one month before and verify that I had copied 13wpm for five minutes with zero errors. I'm not even convinced that violated the rules. Can Shaq dunk a basketball? Does he have to prove it every new day?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4389800623366227007

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 07:14:40 PST
Message-ID: <3AAF8824.88C26E72@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 09:03:00 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAE3287.F2192B4F@IEEE.org> <20010314080109.04293.00002564@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.133
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984582384 64.240.47.133 (14 Mar 2001 09:06:24 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17339

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

> However the English language is frought with multiple implied meanings to words. You have selected one particular word and stuck to it as the SOLE meaning. Pretty narrow perception.

Actually, I am adopting the broad definition of "forced" while you are trying to use the narrow definition. So yours is the narrow perception. But since I made the original statement about three years ago, I got to choose the definition of the words I used.

>   I met the requirements, and was NOT forced to do it.

It doesn't matter how you feel about the requirements, Steve. All citizens who obtain amateur radio privileges are forced to meet the requirements. If that were not true, there would exist some citizens who used their free will to obtain amateur radio privileges without meeting the requirements. Who are those citizens, Steve? You remind me of Clinton. Oral sex is not sex. Enforced governmental requirements are not forced.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8626711618989202082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 07:17:29 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 15:13:04 GMT
Message-ID: <3aaf7c26.25351435@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aadae67.226690826@news2.dvol.com>
<20010313180626.02781.00000346@nso-mb.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.205
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.205
X-Trace: 14 Mar 2001 09:10:51 -0600, 64.24.16.205
Lines: 30
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17340

>
>Herman has his lobes N-S according to his station description.
>If that is the case, his radio illegals are either local Hawaiian,
>Alaskan, Antarcticans, or around on the other side of the globe.
>

Asian-sector Antarcticans. In the case of a high dipole one will notice maybe as much as a couple s-units attenuation of those signals at most. In the case of a low dipole (<half wave) the lobes are barely lobes, the things become close to being omnidirectional.

>
>On hardware, "CB receivers" should have equally good
>performance to "real radios" (those used for amateur
>communications).
>

There's no comparison between the rcvr performances of off-the-shelf CB and ham rcvrs particularly when it comes to listening to cw. The biggest difference is IF bandwidth, then they go downhill from there, front end overload, IMD, etc. What the hell, ya can buy a new Radio Slack CB xcvr for $49.99, that's the whole quality & performance story right there.

>
Back when I diddled with CB I switched between one of those clunkers
and my Collins rcvr and noted that I could only hear maybe 10% of the
signals on a given 27Mhz. freq with the Collins vs. all the "signals"
I could hear with the CB clunker. Heh.
>
w3rv

From -8745388014067290920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 07:30:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "On the Wall" <kevbolol@aol.com>
From: "On the Wall" <kevbolol@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Lines: 9
Organization: ha ha ha
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 15:28:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 4.48.174.116
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984583711 4.48.174.116 (Wed, 14 Mar 2001
07:28:31 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 07:28:31 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner:9205
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:10105 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13415
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17341 rec.radio.shortwave:28857

Really !

Go to   http://www.sesp.co.uk/   and see it for yourself!

Cell Phone Jamming equipment in a briefcase.

Right out of 007 and it can be yours !

From -8911724477137047307
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 07:58:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsxfer.interpacket.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!
typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com>
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 33
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 15:54:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 984585262 24.95.131.236 (Wed, 14 Mar 2001 10:54:22
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 10:54:22 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17342

On 14 Mar 2001 10:14:55 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>, AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
>writes:
>
>>>As to using AOL as my ISP, you're wrong about that. My ISP
>>>is Magpage.com; I only access AOL thru it. I like AOL's
>>>newsreader because it eliminates a lot of unnecessary crap
>>>found in other newsreaders; and besides, when accessing AOL
>>>thru my ISP, its only 9.95/mo. for unlimited use, and gives me
>>>access to other forums and chat rooms I've been using literally
>>>from the beginning of AOL service (I was one of the first 1,000
>>>customers).

>>>
>>
>>... and the distressing thing is, that you feel that after all you
>>have said that you have validated yourself. You feel vindicated, and
>>yet you have only gone even further to proving my original point...
>>
>
>Look, pal, *you're* the one who's stressed-out over the fact that I
>use AOL -- not me! I don't require any "vindication" -- but I do sense
>that you have a strong desire to feel superior to me based on your
>choice of ISP! How immature is that?
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry,
     It's not because of my choice of ISP that I feel superior to
you...

From 3143281557113191147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 08:05:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 08:01:11 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <98o4gd$ru6$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
<98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaeab49.291430009@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-40.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17343

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3aaeab49.291430009@news2.dvol.com...
>
> >Aren't you a good 4000 miles further away than he is? I can usually only
> >hear them in the mornings here on the West coast - all over the bottom of

40
> >meters.
> >It's a problem, fellers.
> >
> I'm confused. Are you talking about 10 or 40? Who/what/where are you
> hearing on the bottom end of 40?? On 10??

Sorry for the confusion, Brian. I hear unlicensed stations operating on 10, 30, and 40 meters. Where the stations originate from differs on each band due to the differing propagation characteristics. Jeff mentioned that he could hear Malasian taxicabs, and Cecil didn't believe him. I jumped in and observed that Cecil was considerably further away, offering that as an explanation for why he might not expect to be able to hear them. I added that I can hear these stations in the mornings on 40 meters. That was met with the same kind of skepticism. Just because you guys can't hear it from where you are doesn't mean it doesn't exist. I'm surprised that you're unaware of this activity. It has been widely reported.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From -7849616738806992500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 08:14:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.tele.dk!207.115.63.138!newscon04.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!
postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.42.69
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 984586236 6004826 208.188.42.69 (Wed, 14 Mar 2001 11:10:36 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 11:10:36 EST
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 16:10:36 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner:9206 alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:10108 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13417 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17344 rec.radio.shortwave:28867

Going to have to get one of those pocket models. Last two movies I went to there was some idiot with a cell phone ringing.

On the Wall wrote:

> Really !
>
> Go to   http://www.sesp.co.uk/   and see it for yourself!
>
> Cell Phone Jamming equipment in a briefcase.
>
> Right out of 007 and it can be yours !

From 3420744942023324411
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 09:26:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: 14 Mar 2001 17:22:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <98o9db$goh$0@208.207.71.139>
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com> <20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com> <vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17345

```
AB2HR wrote:
>
> >Look, pal, *you're* the one who's stressed-out over the fact that I
> >use AOL -- not me!  I don't require any "vindication" -- but I do sense
> >that you have a strong desire to feel superior to me based on your
> >choice of ISP!  How immature is that?
> >
> >73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> Larry,
>         It's not because of my choice of ISP that I feel superior to
> you...



Yeah, we know.....The date of your license tells it all.


From -5428027687638166289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 09:55:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Mar 2001 17:51:34 GMT
References: <3AAF8824.88C26E72@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010314125134.22062.00002102@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17347

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 03/14/01 10:03 AM Eastern Standard Time

>Actually, I am adopting the broad definition of "forced" while you
>are trying to use the narrow definition. So yours is the narrow
>perception. But since I made the original statement about three
>years ago, I got to choose the definition of the words I used.

     Yeahyeahsuresure...Who are you trying to convince, Cecil...Me or you?

>It doesn't matter how you feel about the requirements, Steve.

     Sure it does.
```

>All citizens who obtain amateur radio privileges are forced to meet the
requirements.

I wasn't forced to do anything Cecil.

>If that were not true, there would exist some citizens who used their
>free will to obtain amateur radio privileges without meeting the
>requirements.

"Meeting the requirements" and being "forced" are not synonomous.

>Who are those citizens, Steve? You remind me of Clinton. Oral sex is not
>sex. Enforced governmental requirements are not forced.

Yeahyeahsuresure. If you say so, Cecil.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 864680034543117879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 09:57:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Mar 2001 17:55:31 GMT
References: <3AAF7C36.E7CEAA3@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010314125531.22062.00002104@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17348

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 03/14/01 9:12 AM Eastern

>You can prove what you are saying is true if you can produce one person
>with amateur radio operating privileges who changed his circumstances
>so he was not forced to meet the requirements for amateur radio
>privileges. What's his name, Steve?

HRH Hussein ibn Kalal, The King of Jordan (SK), JY1

>Exactly how did he get his amateur radio privileges without meeting the
requirements?

He said "It is so", and so it was.

>In return for certain privileges, governments force citizens to meet
>the requirements for those privileges by enforcing the rules. That's
>what governments do.

```
From -5721255544000543869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 10:05:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-uk-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.co.uk!not-for-mail
From: "mbz07" <mbz07@lineone.net>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 18:06:04 -0000
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 18
```

Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner:9211
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:10110 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13420
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17349 rec.radio.shortwave:28881

JR <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net...
> Going to have to get one of those pocket models. Last two movies I went
> to there was some idiot with a cell phone ringing.

Wow! Cellphone war!!!

But wait, what if the cellphone user is aware of the jamming and just blast
out their ringtone anyway?,
Or turn the volume up high and keys morse code messages using the keypad...

How does the person with the cellphone jammer know for sure that the jammer
is only jamming frequencies only used by cellphones?

mbz

From 3097344142542500521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 10:06:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Mar 2001 17:58:16 GMT
References: <20010314125531.22062.00002104@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010314125816.22062.00002105@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17350

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
>Date: 03/14/01 12:55 PM Eastern Standard Time

> HRH Hussein ibn Kalal, The King of Jordan (SK), JY1

With Respects, I correct his name: Hussein ibn Talal

73

Stve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

From -825074461081181976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 10:20:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Mar 2001 18:16:08 GMT
References: <3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Message-ID: <20010314131608.22062.00002108@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17351

>Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
>From: JR jr@some.net
>Date: 03/14/01 11:10 AM Eastern Standard Time

>Going to have to get one of those pocket models. Last two movies I went
>to there was some idiot with a cell phone ringing.

Ditto. I work in an Emergency Department and I can't believe the IDIOTS who will call 9-1-1 for a ride to the ER, then yakkity-yak on thier cellphones.

Some frickin' emergency.

73

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 611932176887127539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 10:34:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!news.imp.ch!join.news.pipex.net!pipex!
grot.news.pipex.net!pipex!burn.news.pipex.net!pipex!drip.news.pipex.net!pipex!
drop.news.uk.uu.net!eyre.news.uk.uu.net!tube.news.pipex.net!pipex!not-for-mail
From: "Gary Peach" <xcg34@dial.pipex.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 10:52:35 -0000
Organization: UUNET WorldCom server (post doesn't reflect views of UUNET WorldCom
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <98od8k$rgn$3@lure.pipex.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AADA5BD.32EF76A8@toast.net>
<98l6ld$9lo$4@lure.pipex.net> <98lncc$4ba$0@208.207.71.152>
NNTP-Posting-Host: userak49.uk.uudial.com
X-Trace: lure.pipex.net 984594516 28183 62.188.134.23 (14 Mar 2001 18:28:36 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@uk.uu.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Mar 2001 18:28:36 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18633
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10412 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13421
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17352 rec.radio.amateur.space:2245 rec.radio.cb:27647
rec.radio.scanner:14870 rec.radio.shortwave:28888 rec.radio.swap:25521
```

```
"Dick Carroll"  wrote
> Gary Peach wrote:
> > Recently my fuel gauge on the car has been sticking.
> > I think that there is some moisture in the pivot or spring that is
freezing
> > and producing this effect.
> > Do you know of a way of freeing it without removing the dashboard?
>
>
>  More likely it's the "sending unit" located in the tank.
> Overfilling-"topping off"- the tank excessively can cause this.
> Sometimes it has to be replaced to correct the problem.

That thought had not eluded me, however the gauge remains pegged when the
ignition is switched off and thus power is removed from the instrumentation.

Thank you for you response.
--
Gary7SLL
  51� 23'  50" N   1� 19'  58"W
www.gary.peach.dial.pipex.com/





From 7465368730198355016
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 11:41:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
newscon04.news.prodigy.com!newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!
postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AAFB8B0.95804FB@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
```

<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.42.136
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 984598775 6004826 208.188.42.136 (Wed, 14 Mar 2001 14:39:35 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 14:39:35 EST
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 19:39:35 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner:9214 alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:10113 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13424 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17353 rec.radio.shortwave:28894

Any idiot that doesn't have sense enough to turn their cell phone off in a theater doesn't have enough brains to know Morse code.

mbz07 wrote:

> JR <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net...
> > Going to have to get one of those pocket models. Last two movies I went
> > to there was some idiot with a cell phone ringing.
>
> Wow! Cellphone war!!!
>
> But wait, what if the cellphone user is aware of the jamming and just blast
> out their ringtone anyway?,
> Or turn the volume up high and keys morse code messages using the keypad...

From 2294459247550977321
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 11:44:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AAFC74B.2D0BF157@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 13:32:27 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAF7C36.E7CEAA3@IEEE.org> <20010314125531.22062.00002104@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.151
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984598554 64.240.47.151 (14 Mar 2001 13:35:54 -0600)
Lines: 22

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17354

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >You can prove what you are saying is true if you can produce one person
> >with amateur radio operating privileges who changed his circumstances
> >so he was not forced to meet the requirements for amateur radio
> >privileges. What's his name, Steve?
>
>   HRH Hussein ibn Kalal, The King of Jordan (SK), JY1

You know and I know that we are speaking in context about U.S. amateur
radio operators licensed by the FCC. That you must resort to a foreign
king to produce the requested person must mean that you don't know an
amateur radio operator in the U.S. who changed his circumstances so he
was not forced to meet the requirements for amateur radio privileges.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8570679244039402744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 12:08:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AAFCCE7.6CFE515D@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 13:56:23 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAF8824.88C26E72@IEEE.org> <20010314125134.22062.00002102@ng-

ch1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.151
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984599991 64.240.47.151 (14 Mar 2001 13:59:51 -0600)
Lines: 67
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17355

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Actually, I am adopting the broad definition of "forced" while you
> >are trying to use the narrow definition. So yours is the narrow
> >perception. But since I made the original statement about three
> >years ago, I got to choose the definition of the words I used.
>
> Yeahyeahsuresure...Who are you trying to convince, Cecil...Me or you?

I accept all the wide ranging definitions of "forced", Steve. You do not. That means your acceptable range of definitions is more narrow than mine. Your narrow minded non-acceptance of a valid Webster's dictionary definition is what the argument is all about. If you don't accept the dictionary definitions of words, rational communication is impossible.

> >It doesn't matter how you feel about the requirements, Steve.
>
> Sure it does.

As far as meeting the requirements, it doesn't matter how you feel about the them. You may love them or you may hate them. You are still forced to meet the same requirements as everyone else. Anything short of meeting those requirements is fraud.

> >All citizens who obtain amateur radio privileges are forced to meet the
> requirements.
>
> I wasn't forced to do anything Cecil.

The best you can say is, "I didn't mind being forced to meet the requirements."

The requirements are enFORCED by the government. That's why you met them. You wouldn't have met them if they had not been governmental requirements. Therefore, by definition, you were forced to meet the same requirements as everyone else who were granted the same privileges as you. Those requirements are enforced no matter how anyone feels about it. The only way to keep from being forced to meet the same requirements for amateur radio privileges as everyone else is to engage in fraud.

When you say, I wasn't forced to meet the requirements, you are essentially admitting that you didn't meet the requirements, since the government enFORCES those requirements that you were not forced to meet.

> >If that were not true, there would exist some citizens who used their
> >free will to obtain amateur radio privileges without meeting the
> >requirements.
>
> "Meeting the requirements" and being "forced" are not synonomous.

When those requirements are enFORCED by the government, they are, by definition, synonomous. That you feel otherwise is irrelevant. Feelings don't matter.

Requirements enforced by the government in return for granted privileges are required by circumstances and therefore, by definition, citizens who are granted those privileges are forced to meet those requirements. NO EXCEPTIONS!!!
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6953993192001617430
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 12:10:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AAFCD62.4C3A3C0@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 13:58:26 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>

```
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com> <98o9db$goh$0@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.151
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984600112
64.240.47.151 (14 Mar 2001 14:01:52 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17356

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> AB2HR wrote:
> > It's not because of my choice of ISP that I feel superior to you...
>
> Yeah, we know.....The date of your license tells it all.

Yeah, we know. Idiots were conveniently invented after you got your license.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -2191539472453590197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 12:22:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 12:14:17 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
```

Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3AAFD119.C2B1F3CD@uci.edu>
References: <3AAF8824.88C26E72@IEEE.org> <20010314125134.22062.00002102@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3AAFCCE7.6CFE515D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17357

W6RCecilA wrote:
> The requirements are enFORCED by the government. That's why you met them.
> You wouldn't have met them if they had not been governmental requirements.
> Therefore, by definition, you were forced to meet the same requirements
> as everyone else who were granted the same privileges as you. Those
> requirements are enforced no matter how anyone feels about it. The only
> way to keep from being forced to meet the same requirements for amateur
> radio privileges as everyone else is to engage in fraud.

> When you say, I wasn't forced to meet the requirements, you are essentially
> admitting that you didn't meet the requirements, since the government enFORCES
> those requirements that you were not forced to meet.

> When those requirements are enFORCED by the government, they are, by
> definition, synonomous. That you feel otherwise is irrelevant. Feelings
> don't matter.

> Requirements enforced by the government in return for granted privileges
> are required by circumstances and therefore, by definition, citizens who
> are granted those privileges are forced to meet those requirements. NO
> EXCEPTIONS!!!

I think it's probably safe to say that you are now the worlds more
prolific author on this subject. :-)

73, Jim

From -8367918134066774320
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 12:26:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AAFD16E.4637660B@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 14:15:42 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAF8824.88C26E72@IEEE.org> <20010314125134.22062.00002102@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3AAFCCE7.6CFE515D@IEEE.org> <3AAFD119.C2B1F3CD@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.151
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984601147 64.240.47.151 (14 Mar 2001 14:19:07 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17358

Jim Kelley wrote:
> I think it's probably safe to say that you are now the worlds more
> prolific author on this subject. :-)

That you use "more" instead of "most" implies that you believe that
there are only two "prolific authors on this subject." Who's the
other one? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4354340551445811534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 12:28:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AAFD256.2E65CA25@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 20:19:34 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride

References: <3AA29B50.C4BFEA85@IEEE.org> <20010306050334.16620.00000660@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AADA5D9.C0E010B1@IEEE.org> <3AAE1426.83FE5FD5@ovis.net>
<3AAE300F.D3E18E45@IEEE.org> <3AAEAEC4.1BFA5B02@ovis.net>
<3AAEDBC6.8ACB39EA@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s3.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 14 Mar 2001 15:15:00 -0500, s3.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 29
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s3.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17359

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > No one has the privileges forced on him.
>
> Nobody said they did. So why do you need to attempt such a
> diversion?

It is not a diversion. If one can't be forced to obtain a license, one cannot be forced to learn morse code. You seem to have trouble with more than one idea linked to another.

> Anyone who obtains the privileges has had the requirements
> enforced by the government. Governments force citizens to
> meet the necessary requirements in return for privileges
> granted. That's what governments do.

My original statement was: No one is forced to learn morse code.

Neither government or individuals force anyone to learn morse code. My neighbor has never had anyone from the government show up to force him to learn it. His son lives across the road. No feds have arrived to force him to learn morse either. Neither cares a whit for amateur radio. I'm certain that they'd be keen to learn it on their own if they ever developed any interest in amateur radio.

Your insight into the work of government is nothing short of remarkable.

Dave K8MN

From 5509767522825894184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 12:28:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AAFD355.C36E639E@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 20:23:49 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAEDA67.F924CBAF@IEEE.org> <20010314083314.02416.00000300@nso-ma.aol.com> <3AAF85BC.C61421A4@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s3.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 14 Mar 2001 15:19:15 -0500, s3.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 14
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s3.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17360

W6RCecilA wrote:
> Can Shaq dunk a basketball?

At the moment, yes he can.

> Does he have to prove it every new day?

If he wants to stay employed, he does. Could you dunk one years ago? Can you do it now? Is it possible for you to pass a chemistry test one day and fail one tomorrow?

Stay tuned...

Dave K8MN

From -7374563511210102090
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 12:34:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AAFD468.8FED7180@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 20:28:24 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAF7C36.E7CEAA3@IEEE.org> <20010314125531.22062.00002104@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3AAFC74B.2D0BF157@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s3.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 14 Mar 2001 15:23:50 -0500, s3.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 29
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s3.pm1.ovis.net

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17361

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> >
> > >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > >You can prove what you are saying is true if you can produce one person
> > >with amateur radio operating privileges who changed his circumstances
> > >so he was not forced to meet the requirements for amateur radio
> > >privileges. What's his name, Steve?
> >
> >   HRH Hussein ibn Kalal, The King of Jordan (SK), JY1
>
> You know and I know that we are speaking in context about U.S. amateur
> radio operators licensed by the FCC. That you must resort to a foreign
> king to produce the requested person must mean that you don't know an
> amateur radio operator in the U.S. who changed his circumstances so he
> was not forced to meet the requirements for amateur radio privileges.

Damn, Cecil, I think you've been bested at your own word game. You certainly used the term "governments" in the plural and didn't specify the U.S. government. You used the all inclusive term.

It surely is peculiar that my unabridged dictionary doesn't use your "required by circumstances" term at all in any of the meanings listed for the word "forced". Despite that, until the day that HF amateur radio licenses in the U.S. become mandatory, no one is forced to learn morse code.

Dave K8MN

From 3517800182914504982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 13:20:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AAFDDAF.CF9C261D@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 15:07:59 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAF7C36.E7CEAA3@IEEE.org> <20010314125531.22062.00002104@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3AAFC74B.2D0BF157@IEEE.org> <3AAFD468.8FED7180@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.88
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984604286 64.240.47.88 (14 Mar 2001 15:11:26 -0600)

Lines: 43
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17362

Dave Heil wrote:

> Damn, Cecil, I think you've been bested at your own word game. You certainly used the term "governments" in the plural and didn't specify the U.S. government. You used the all inclusive term.

Well, governments do set requirements for privileges granted. That a king can violate his own laws is nothing new. The United States, OTOH, is a nation of laws, not of men who may be king. Your feeble attempt at a diversion is duely noted. Please name one US citizen who is capable of using his free will (like a king) to violate the requirements for a US amateur radio license. Are you implying that is what you did?

> It surely is peculiar that my unabridged dictionary doesn't use your "required by circumstances" term at all in any of the meanings listed for the word "forced". Despite that, until the day that HF amateur radio licenses in the U.S. become mandatory, no one is forced to learn morse code.

Morse code ***IS*** mandatory for US HF hams, Dave. Therefore, US HF Amateur Radio Operators are forced to learn Morse code. Else they wouldn't be HF Amateur Radio Operators, would they? How you can build such a contradiction directly into your argument is beyond belief.

Once a citizen of the US commits himself to becoming an HF ham, to succeed he has absolutely no choice. He is forced to pass a Morse code examination as part of the requirements enFORCED by the US government. If you did not submit to the enFORCED requirements, then you must have received your license through some sort of fraud.

The FCC enFORCES the US amateur radio requirements. Therefore, US hams are forced to meet the requirements. There is simply no other choice. That you enjoy being forced to meet the requirements is irrelevant as are ALL feelings where rigid governmental requirements are concerned. Nobody cares how you felt about being forced to meet the requirements. That you didn't feel forced is irrelevant. Try using your free will not to meet the requirements and see what happens to your privileges.

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2731983232537283730
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 13:22:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 13:16:17 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AAFDFA1.CD79E50C@uci.edu>
References: <3AAF8824.88C26E72@IEEE.org> <20010314125134.22062.00002102@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3AAFCCE7.6CFE515D@IEEE.org> <3AAFD119.C2B1F3CD@uci.edu>
<3AAFD16E.4637660B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17363

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > I think it's probably safe to say that you are now the worlds more
> > prolific author on this subject. :-)
>
> That you use "more" instead of "most" implies that you believe that
> there are only two "prolific authors on this subject." Who's the
> other one? :-)

Fat headed typo. Let me try again. You are unequivocably the worlds
most prolific author on the subject.

How's that?

Jim

From -2105108809090421011
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 13:26:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AAFDF54.A3189E31@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 15:15:00 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAEDA67.F924CBAF@IEEE.org> <20010314083314.02416.00000300@nso-ma.aol.com> <3AAF85BC.C61421A4@IEEE.org> <3AAFD355.C36E639E@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.88
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984604707 64.240.47.88 (14 Mar 2001 15:18:27 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17364

Dave Heil wrote:
> Is it possible for you to pass a chemistry test one
> day and fail one tomorrow?

Everything else being equal, it is not possible to ace a chemistry test one day and fail the same test the next day.

Everything else being equal, it is not possible to copy five minutes of 13wpm perfectly one month and fail to copy one minute of 13wpm the next month.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -693550874696195995
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 13:42:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AAFE2EA.9B886E72@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 15:30:18 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA29B50.C4BFEA85@IEEE.org> <20010306050334.16620.00000660@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AADA5D9.C0E010B1@IEEE.org> <3AAE1426.83FE5FD5@ovis.net>
<3AAE300F.D3E18E45@IEEE.org> <3AAEAEC4.1BFA5B02@ovis.net>
<3AAEDBC6.8ACB39EA@IEEE.org> <3AAFD256.2E65CA25@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.88
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984605624
64.240.47.88 (14 Mar 2001 15:33:44 -0600)
Lines: 48
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeds.belnet.be!
news.belnet.be!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!stueberl.r-kom.de!news.csl-gmbh.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17365

Dave Heil wrote:
> It is not a diversion. If one can't be forced to obtain a license, one
> cannot be forced to learn morse code.

That's an absolutely ridiculous statement. Of course, one can be forced
to learn Morse code in order to obtain an HF ham license. The license grants
privileges. The Morse code is part of the requirement for those privileges.
The requirements are enFORCED by the government. US HF amateur radio
operators are, by definition, forced to pass a Morse code test. The US
government enFORCES the Morse code requirement for HF hams. Exactly
how has any HF ham circumnavigated that requirement?

> My original statement was: No one is forced to learn morse code.

All HF amateur radio operators who didn't already know Morse code were forced to learn Morse code. Otherwise, they wouldn't be HF amateur radio operators. How that simple logic escapes you is beyond belief.

> Neither government or individuals force anyone to learn morse code.

All HF amateur radio operators who didn't already know Morse code were forced to learn Morse code in order to obtain their HF privileges. The government forces citizens to meet requirements in return for privileges granted. Why is that concept so hard for you to understand?

> My neighbor has never had anyone from the government show up to force
> him to learn it.

Is he an HF amateur radio operator? If so, he was forced, by the government, to pass a Morse code test. If he used his free will not to learn Morse code, then he is either not an HF ham or he obtained his HF ham license fraudulently.

> His son lives across the road. No feds have arrived
> to force him to learn morse either. Neither cares a whit for amateur
> radio.

Well, there's your answer. It is true that nobody is forced to become an amateur radio operator. But all HF amateur radio operators are forced to pass a Morse code test. If that is not true, where are the HF hams who used their free will not to pass a Morse code exam. Please produce a list of HF hams who chose not to meet the Morse code requirement.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5084620611096537 91
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 14:52:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <41tvatc1k9uoljeklooe1b51r0oobscsar@4ax.com>
References: <t0vpato395lmsf5cq3l8lg7a7ljnjep19s@4ax.com>
<20010314050211.16620.00002083@nso-ch.aol.com>

```
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 42
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 22:51:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984610301 209.86.204.51 (Wed, 14 Mar
2001 14:51:41 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 14:51:41 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17366
```

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:

>As an AOL user from the very beginning, I have had to take a lot of
>abuse from you whiney, never-a-life-having computer nerds

Analogy: Prospective hams who never want to use CW have to take a lot of abuse from (your words:) "whiney, never-a-life-having" hams who insist on a code test...

Personal response: Thanks for the compliment, but I wouldn't consider myself a computer nerd. This newsreader that I use (Agent) is very easy to use and took about a 2 hour learning curve.

>because I have other things to do with my valuable time than to figure
>out the unnecessary complexities of a piece of what is supposed to
>be functonal software.

Translation: I'm whining that if I don't need to use a *real* newsreader, why should I have to learn this new skill...

Analogy: If prospective hams don't want to use CW why should they have to learn the code...

Personal response: A *real* newsreader allows you to sample many news servers, not just your own ISP's and not just Usenet. Many ISP Usenet servers don't even carry all the Usenet newsgroups. With a *real* newsreader you are not locked in to one Usenet server. And many non-Usenet servers also carry many interesting groups unavailable to you. You are missing as much newsreading by your lack of this computer skill as a ham is missing on the ham bands with his lack of a code skill...

> I assure you, there is nothing about any "newsreader" that I could not master

I have no doubt that you could master any newsreader. And I have no doubt that just about anybody could master the code...

>but "real" hams and "real" computer users like me don't need it!

I dunno Larry, you sure sound like one of those whiney, knuckle dragging, never-a-life-having, non-real hams griping about having to learn a new skill that they don't think they want or need...   :-)

From -7715242756488563178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 14:52:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Mar 2001 22:52:39 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <98osnn$kca$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AAFDF54.A3189E31@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 984610359 20874 149.174.88.254 (14 Mar 2001 22:52:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Mar 2001 22:52:39 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17367

>Everything else being equal, it is not possible to copy five
>minutes of 13wpm perfectly one month and fail to copy one minute
>of 13wpm the next month.

Get it together, Cecil: everything's NOT equal---it's one minute of 13 wpm one month versus one minute of 13 wpm in another, etc. Not one versus five, or perfectly now, less than perfect later. Apples vs. apples, not apples vs. hamburgers. So much for bullwhip.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -3592137297682385077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 14:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <4vsvat040k28vapi5i1mtf8aj7f6krqg97@4ax.com>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103131637180.4371-100000@cluster2>
<W4yr6.2395$CW3.240679@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<B6D46397.5D2A%stewartx@sccoast.net> <_tFr6.2086$Wg7.492777@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<B6D4BDCB.6A95%stewartx@sccoast.net> <%8Jr6.707$5c.17332@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 22:51:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.204.51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984610299 209.86.204.51 (Wed, 14 Mar
2001 14:51:39 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 14:51:39 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17368

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote:
>Lets take a survey of the number of jerks and lids out of 1000 QSO's on the
>2 meter repeaters in Los Angeles, and do the same with 1000 HF QSO's on 17
>meters. If you are correct, the ratios should be about the same...

*Jerks* like an audience. That's why you will more likely find them on
a repeater or a known HF garbage frequency, rather than on a simplex
HF or VHF frequency. That's why there are more ham jerks on the ham
newsgroups, present company excepted of course...   :-)


From 8154840672070152483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 15:12:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 15:02:09 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3AAFF871.ACD22C43@uci.edu>
References: <3AAFDF54.A3189E31@IEEE.org> <98osnn$kca$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17369

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> So much
> for bullwhip.

Speaking of that, how come you never respond to Len Andersons posts?
Are you pretty much in agreement with him?

73 de ac6xg

From 2679556386397187128
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 15:18:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!newsmaster.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Mar 2001 23:16:21 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <98ou45$lmb$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AAFF871.ACD22C43@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 984611781 22219 149.174.88.254 (14 Mar 2001 23:16:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Mar 2001 23:16:21 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17370

>Speaking of that, how come you never respond to Len Andersons
>posts?
>Are you pretty much in agreement with him?

>73 de ac6xg

What's his bag?

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -6281514059557018190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 15:41:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: 14 Mar 2001 23:40:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <98ovhi$1nl$0@208.206.142.102>
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com> <98o9db$goh$0@208.207.71.139>
<3AAFCD62.4C3A3C0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17371



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > AB2HR wrote:
> > > It's not because of my choice of ISP that I feel superior to you...
> >
> > Yeah, we know.....The date of your license tells it all.
>
> Yeah, we know. Idiots were conveniently invented after you got your license.


No, actually that happened well before. But somehow only the fervent
code haters who glommed a Welfare Extra post-April 15 are so predictibly
rabid crditics of anyone who supports any sort of cofde testing for a HF
ham license. If you want to see one look no further than your own
mirror.


From -2199807622305386159
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 15:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 14 Mar 2001 23:42:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <98ovkd$1nl$1@208.206.142.102>
References: <3AAF8824.88C26E72@IEEE.org> <20010314125134.22062.00002102@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3AAFCCE7.6CFE515D@IEEE.org> <3AAFD119.C2B1F3CD@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17372

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > The requirements are enFORCED by the government. That's why you met them.
> > You wouldn't have met them if they had not been governmental requirements.
> > Therefore, by definition, you were forced to meet the same requirements
> > as everyone else who were granted the same privileges as you. Those
> > requirements are enforced no matter how anyone feels about it. The only
> > way to keep from being forced to meet the same requirements for amateur
> > radio privileges as everyone else is to engage in fraud.
>
> > When you say, I wasn't forced to meet the requirements, you are essentially
> > admitting that you didn't meet the requirements, since the government enFORCES
> > those requirements that you were not forced to meet.
>
> > When those requirements are enFORCED by the government, they are, by
> > definition, synonomous. That you feel otherwise is irrelevant. Feelings
> > don't matter.
>
> > Requirements enforced by the government in return for granted privileges
> > are required by circumstances and therefore, by definition, citizens who
> > are granted those privileges are forced to meet those requirements. NO
> > EXCEPTIONS!!!
>
> I think it's probably safe to say that you are now the worlds more
> prolific author on this subject. :-)

Which of course doesn't mean that he knows his subject.

From 4276044894972163390
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 16:08:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wz8a@aol.commie.NOT (AK)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 00:03:25 GMT
References: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010314190325.08887.00001305@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17373

>From: Dana dana@randomc.com

> I will have to agree with the last post, the ham bands sounds just like the
cb band. I have my extra ticket, and it makes
me mad every time I listen to 2 meters, or 75 for that matter.

Another good reason to keep up your CW skills. Once you get past the rubber
stamp contacts on CW, there are some really good conversations and very seldom
any jerks. 73, AK

"Pay-to-Pay" (e-file) is not the smart way.
If only IRS were really a "service" !   AK

From -5272950957470087544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 16:30:57 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 00:23:11 GMT
Message-ID: <3aaff5bc.56476936@news2.dvol.com>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
<98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaeab49.291430009@news2.dvol.com>
<98o4gd$ru6$1@news.service.uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.135
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.135
X-Trace: 14 Mar 2001 18:20:57 -0600, 64.24.240.135
Lines: 46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!cyclone.swbell.net!bos-service1.ext.raytheon.com!
cambridge1-snf1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17374

>
>Sorry for the confusion, Brian. I hear unlicensed stations operating on 10,
>

Hearing them on 10m is pretty universal but it apparently depends on
which illegals can be heard from where.

>
>30, and 40 meters.
>

Hearing those on the east coast is not "universal" and is completely
understandable.

>
>Where the stations originate from differs on each band
>due to the differing propagation characteristics. Jeff mentioned that he
>could hear Malasian taxicabs, and Cecil didn't believe him. I jumped in and
>observed that Cecil was considerably further away, offering that as an
>explanation for why he might not expect to be able to hear them. I added
>that I can hear these stations in the mornings on 40 meters. That was met
>with the same kind of skepticism.
>

Not so much a case of skepticism on my part I'm just surprised that we
don't hear asian illegals on 10m at least once in awhile. JAs boom
here on 10 as do VKs & ZLs when the band is open in those directions.
Not hearing illegals on 30 & 40 from the east coast is not a surprise
at all. Last nite I worked a French station running 3 watts on 40, no
big deal from here but that would be a real stunt for you.

>
>Just because you guys can't hear it from
>where you are doesn't mean it doesn't exist.
>

Agreed. I've operated from Europe, whole different ballgame as far as
what you can hear from there vs. what you can hear on this side of
that pond on 20 & 40.

>
> I'm surprised that you're
>unaware of this activity.
>It has been widely reported.
>

I guess I was asleep at the switch.

>
>
>73, Jim AC6XG
>

w3rv

From -7655544136615862325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 16:31:28 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 00:22:38 GMT
Message-ID: <3aaff5d4.56501152@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com> <98gd47$o6g$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aad4427.199488481@news2.dvol.com> <98kgj5$46c$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaeae08.292133133@news2.dvol.com> <3AAF8217.5DB7@mobiletel.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.135
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.135
X-Trace: 14 Mar 2001 18:20:24 -0600, 64.24.240.135
Lines: 46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!4.24.21.218.MISMATCH!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17375

On Wed, 14 Mar 2001 08:37:11 -0600, Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
wrote:
>> >
>Getting a marine license for a boat is simple procedure and no
>"Operators" license is required UNLESS the boat makes international
>voyages or communicates with foreign flag vessels or stations. Then you
>only need a "restricted Operator's" license unless you are commercial,
>then an element 1 MROP (Marine Radio Operator's Permit) is required.
>
I haven't been on the water for years and I never did get into MF/HF
marine radio so I don't have much of a grip on the details beyond the
fact that the radios are out there, there are a flock of frequencies
and ya better have one aboard if ya get very far offshore.
>
>Even getting a coast station license isn't a big hassle anymore which
>allows ship to shore comms on HF and VHF freqs.
>
That was not the case back when I had a Ray Jeff VHF radio aboard the
family boat. Only marinas and the CG were allowed to have shore-based
VHF stations. Predicatably that law was busted all over the country, I
guess they gave up and made it legal at some point.

>> I doubt that freebanders would find operating in the low ham bands a
>> very appetizing proposition, too much qrm. They'd be better off in the

>> quiet holes outside the ham bands.
>
>Most of the "quiet holes" are special use types such as aircraft, marine
>and business. Some fisherman in Louisiana decided to use an aircraft
>HF control freq (HF) since is was "quiet", little did they know about
>the
>duplex setup. A visit from FAA, FCC and FBI boys didn't exactly make
>their day when the Coast Guard rounded up the "HF Freebanders," and
>the riot act was read, even a local radio shop was raided and the techs
>given the third degree. Needless to say, a lot of ham gear came on the
>local market after that fiasco.
>
Classic case of real stupidity. All they had to do was check the
published lists of frequencies before they fired up.
>
>Its not in my realm of influence, but then I don't encourage it either.
>
All ya need is a General class ham license and ya get a bunch of MF/HF
megahertz legally.
>
>Martin N5PZJ

```
From -2995865293999913862
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 17:04:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!210.147.7.1!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 65
```

Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 01:01:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984618100 206.58.44.46 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 01:01:40 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 01:01:40 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17376

On Wed, 14 Mar 2001 06:50:29 GMT, Aaron Jones wrote:

>Since the city would be allowed to write the law, they could make it
>relatively non-technical and easy to prosecute. If the neighbors are
>willing to come to court and testify (as they must now do in dog
>barking violations), all they have to do is convince a judge beyond a
>reasonable doubt that the CBer is disturbing their electronic piece.

Fundamental law: only the FCC has jurisdiction in electronic interference cases, whether the station is in compliance with FCC rules or not - a local/state or Federal court cannot enjoin operation nor impose penalties in a suit brought by alleged "victims". The only court action that can result in such a situation would be an FCC application in Federal court to get judicial enforcement of its order against a recalcitrant non-complying station/operator. State and local courts are out of that loop.

The adoption of the Feingold Bill did not change that. Under that Bill, the only authority that the "locals" have is to enforce FCC power, frequency, and equipment compliance standards, and they had better have an experienced communications engineer or technician who is qualified in enforcement measurements making those determinations or they will be shot down by any decent defense lawyer.

>A similar situation happened to a ham recently (as discussed earlier
>here), and they didn't even need to make new law to take him to court.

IIRC "they" lost on jurisdictional grounds. Another fundamental of the law: one cannot be found to be a public or private nuisance for doing an action for which one has a license (expressed or implied) granted "in the public interest, convenience, or necessity".

>As far as the legal equipment argument goes, the FCC can impose quiet
>hours on a *legal ham* now if he can't work things out with his
>neighbors.

This is done only when the extrinsic symptoms make it evident that the station is clearly in violation and then they are imposed only until the FCC can get a crew out there to make technical measurments.

>The city could easily make such a law to do the same thing to a CBer...

Not so, my friend. "Locals" have no authority to regulate time
and place of operation, only compliance with FCC frequency,
power and equipment compliance standards.

Much as I oppose(d) the Feingold Bill, it was clear that it did
not give the "locals" any authority to make or enforce "rules"
that were more stringent than the FCC rules or were concerning
any facet of CB operation other than enforcing the rules on
frequency, power, or equipment compliance. My gripe was that
it was bad policy to have anyone enforcing FCC rules EXCEPT the
FCC.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 6579508816409215454
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 17:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 00:59:53 GMT
References: <20010313203311.13684.00000522@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010314195953.02781.00000425@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17377

In article <20010313203311.13684.00000522@nso-fo.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <3AAE2D6A.B79DAA2A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>>
>>> In article <3AADA354.D6789E17@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA
><Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>>> writes:

<snip of nearly all of a large posting...>

>The next step, Cecil, is for you to drag out the dictionary and start doing
>the
>definition wordgame thing. It's your standard procedure about now. I've got
>better things to do.

I don't think so judging from all the words you've written trying
to argue individual sentences and their "meaning."   :-)

From 3834803703121220274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 17:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga7hnd2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-
bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <989pv2$kbf$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aaa5ddc.9448266@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga20zl3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D1D271.52F7%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140235260.5106-100000@cluster2>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 16
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 01:01:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984618097 206.58.44.46 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 01:01:37
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 01:01:37 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17378

On Wed, 14 Mar 2001 02:36:56 -0500, Dana wrote:

>Talking about react, can we talk on channel 9 again on cb? There are some
>locals here in Griffin, Georgia that talk on channel 9 all the time. Can

```
>someone clear this rule up?

  Section 95.407(b) still limits Channel 9 use to emergency
  communication and travellers' assistance.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From 8813125447712804789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 17:36:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 99
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 01:33:30 GMT
References: <3AAF85BC.C61421A4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010314203330.13684.00000559@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17379
```

```
In article <3AAF85BC.C61421A4@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> If the answer is "no", why did the FCC require retesting the code if
>someone
>> failed the written?
>
>From reading the license manual, I'm not sure that retesting was required.

Try reading the 1954 version with an open mind. It was required back then. It
was required right up until CSCEs were authorized.

>If not retesting was evil then, why isn't it evil now?

Who is talking about "evil"? Not me.
>
>> He went to the FCC, passed the code and flunked the written. Went home,
```

>studied
>> the written and ignored the code, went back to FCC a month later and
>flunked
>> the code.
>
>Then he obviously did not meet the standards.

Exactly - because the standard was to pass BOTH tests at the same session. By saying "Then he obviously did not meet the standards" you agree that part of the standard of the time was to not get credit for a previously-passed element.

If the examiner had given him credit for passing the code when he went back the second time, he would have passed the written. But that's not how it worked back then.

> My 13 wpm test was 5 minutes
>of solid copy with no errors.

That's very good. But it doesn't mean you get a "get out of test free" card.

> I was nowhere near the failure threshold as
>was the guy above.

Doesn't matter. The challenge was to come up with an example of someone who passed the test, then flunked it a month later. I did so.
>
>> The CSCE system changed the standards for everyone.
>
>13wpm Morse code skill continued to be the standard for Generals so the
>13wpm code standard didn't change at all.

Yes, it did. The speed was the only thing that didn't change.

>The testing procedure paperwork
>associated with the unchanged standards is what changed. The code standard
>didn't change until 2000.

Not true at all.

At one time, the ONLY way for non-disabled persons to pass the code receiving test was to present the examiner with at least one solid minute of legible copy out of five. No time was allowed to go back and fix errors or blanks. When the code stopped, it was pencil DOWN and the examiner took the paper away.

Then the options of fill-in-the-blank and multiple-choice code tests were added, along with the 1-minute-out-of-5 rule. The sending test was "waived" too. Many VEs now allow time to go back and fix errors. The standards changed greatly, and long before 2000.
>
>> If the code re-test was not required back then, why did Shorty do it (until
>> his VFO failed)?
>
>That was solution number one which failed.

If he didn't think it was needed, why did he bother at all?

>Solution number two was to
>contact the ham who had given me the code exam one month before and
>verify that I had copied 13wpm for five minutes with zero errors.

Which he could have done before, if it were legal. But it wasn't. He bent the rules for you.

> I'm
>not even convinced that violated the rules.

He did. But I doubt very much you will ever admit that.

>Can Shaq dunk a basketball?

I don't know. I don't watch basketball much. I prefer to participate rather than spectate.

>Does he have to prove it every new day?

All athletes have to "prove it" every new day. That's what sports is all about. Why play the game if we know what the players can do?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -7038090859161631620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 18:04:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 01:59:46 GMT
References: <3AAFF871.ACD22C43@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010314205946.02781.00000426@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17381

In article <3AAFF871.ACD22C43@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Vincent Biancomano wrote:

```
>> So much
>> for bullwhip.
>
>Speaking of that, how come you never respond to Len Andersons posts?
>Are you pretty much in agreement with him?

Now, now, you ARE being abusive!       :-)


From -4977969144745909650
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 18:04:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 01:59:47 GMT
References: <3AAFDFA1.CD79E50C@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010314205947.02781.00000427@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17380

In article <3AAFDFA1.CD79E50C@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>
>> Jim Kelley wrote:
>> > I think it's probably safe to say that you are now the worlds more
>> > prolific author on this subject.  :-)
>
>> That you use "more" instead of "most" implies that you believe that
>> there are only two "prolific authors on this subject." Who's the
>> other one? :-)
>
>Fat headed typo.  Let me try again.  You are unequivocably the worlds
>most prolific author on the subject.
>
>How's that?

Now, now, you are being abusive...  :-)


From 160976468548033058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 18:04:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 01:59:48 GMT
References: <20010314083314.02416.00000300@nso-ma.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010314205948.02781.00000428@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17382

In article <20010314083314.02416.00000300@nso-ma.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>In article <3AAEDA67.F924CBAF@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>
>>N2EY wrote:

<a large amount of necro-equine flagellation snipped...>

>If the code re-test was not required back then, why did Shorty do it (until
>his VFO failed)?

Hmmm. The day before you said "you had better things to do"
(than doing all this beating of a very dead horse).   :-)

From -4316565827874571519
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 18:05:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 54
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 01:59:50 GMT
References: <3aaf7c26.25351435@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters

Message-ID: <20010314205950.02781.00000430@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17383

In article <3aaf7c26.25351435@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
writes:

>>Herman has his lobes N-S according to his station description.
>>If that is the case, his radio illegals are either local Hawaiian,
>>Alaskan, Antarcticans, or around on the other side of the globe.
>>
>Asian-sector Antarcticans. In the case of a high dipole one will
>notice maybe as much as a couple s-units attenuation of those signals
>at most. In the case of a low dipole (<half wave) the lobes are barely
>lobes, the things become close to being omnidirectional.

Might be SOME very low dipoles that way, senor, but you can't say
that they all are. Firstly, remember that Herman said his antennas
were 100 feet up. That's easy 3 wavelengths at 10 m. Secondly,
the way to get omvi patterns is to use two half-wave long whips at
90 degrees (aircraft VHF radios sometimes use those...omni at
horizontal polarization.

Low dipoles over good conductors (sea water is fairly good) will
have lobes pointing UP. That may be good for NVIS, but not for
long-haul HF skip. Dipoles at a three-lambda height get very
classical in patterns.

I'm still wondering what happened to the Herman "wire beam" that
was slung between buildings. [described in here around 3 years
(or yarns) ago...]

>There's no comparison between the rcvr performances of off-the-shelf
>CB and ham rcvrs particularly when it comes to listening to cw. The
>biggest difference is IF bandwidth, then they go downhill from there,
>front end overload, IMD, etc. What the hell, ya can buy a new Radio
>Slack CB xcvr for $49.99, that's the whole quality & performance story
>right there.

As I said, senor, hams are allowed to take anything and rebuild it
and, if a transmitter that performs within tech specs of Part 97,
transmit with it. If you want straight-from-the-box rigs, then low
cost equals low performance whether its a dedicated-to-ham-use
radio or the evil, no-good, clunky CB (adjectives added to please
all the CB haters out there).   :-)

>Back when I diddled with CB I switched between one of those clunkers
>and my Collins rcvr and noted that I could only hear maybe 10% of the
>signals on a given 27Mhz. freq with the Collins vs. all the "signals"
>I could hear with the CB clunker. Heh.

Military Collins receiving equipment is not noted for sensitivity.
The venerable R-390 to R-392 best HF sensitivity is specced
at 3 microvolts for 10 db S:S+N. It's in the book. Modern AM

(voice-channel bandwidth) receivers for HF can do about an average of 0.8 microvolts, less than 0.5 microvolts on SSB.

```
From -4656790572491919543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 18:06:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 57
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 01:59:49 GMT
References: <20010314050211.16620.00002083@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010314205949.02781.00000429@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17384
```

In article <20010314050211.16620.00002083@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

```
>In article <t0vpato395lmsf5cq3l8lg7a7ljnjep19s@4ax.com>, Aaron Jones
><nomail@nomail.com> writes:
>
>>>I like AOL's newsreader because it eliminates a lot of
>>>unnecessary crap found in other newsreaders
>>
>>Translation: *real* newsreaders are too complicated for the
>>technically dumbed down, knuckle dragging, idiots who are too stupid
>>and lazy to learn how to use a slightly complicated piece of software
>>and then like to whine about it in a newsgripe...  :-)
>
>Aaron:
>
>As an AOL user from the very beginning, I have had to take a lot of
>abuse from you whiney, never-a-life-having computer nerds just
>because I have other things to do with my valuable time than to figure
>out the unnecessary complexities of a piece of what is supposed to
>be functonal software.
```

Translation: "I couldn't get it to work as I thought it should work (without reading the instructions) so I used only as much as I could understand..."

AOL v 5.0 has a built-in spell checker, free, part of the package.
No need to use another text editor. In reality, AOL software is
intuitively friendly with many features for many kinds of e-mail
and other communications.

>Computers are supposed to simplify the
>lives of their users, not further complicate them. And, I assure you,
>there is nothing about any "newsreader" that I could not master -- I
>would simply read the documentation and follow the instructions.

Then why not use what you get free?

>I got my introduction to newsgroups on AOL, and simply never found
>any need to use a different "newsreader."

Then why do you go off AOL software to Word because it has a
spell checker? AOL software for e-mail has a spell checker.

>I know, I know -- you were
>hoping I'd hire someone like you to sit there and tell me which icons
>to click.

AOL has help screens to tell you, also provided free.

>My brother does that for a living, and its good work if you
>can get it -- but "real" hams and "real" computer users like me don't
>need it!

You are a legend in your own mind, tRoll.

From -6489707626140349405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 18:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <8l80btod2c1djnrf2vpr7hqo10h95pl7p6@4ax.com>
References: <3AA6FF79.4FEDF47C@IEEE.org> <20010308045856.10659.00001190@nso-mq.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 136

Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 02:25:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.102
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984623155 209.86.207.102 (Wed, 14 Mar 2001 18:25:55 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 18:25:55 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17385

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
>Here's another definition of "forced;" from the Merriam-Webster Collegiate

In the spirit of keeping this thing going,
 here is what the American Heritage Dictionary has:

forced (f�rst, f �rst) adj. 1. Imposed by force; involuntary: was condemned to a life of forced labor; a plane that made a forced landing. 2. Produced under strain; not spontaneous: forced laughter. See note at forceful .
 force (f�rs, f �rs) n. 1. The capacity to do work or cause physical change; energy, strength, or active power: the force of an explosion. 2. a. Power made operative against resistance; exertion: use force in driving a nail. b. The use of physical power or violence to compel or restrain: a confession obtained by force. 3. a. Intellectual power or vigor, especially as conveyed in writing or speech. b. Moral strength. c. A capacity for affecting the mind or behavior; efficacy: the force of logical argumentation. d. One that possesses such capacity: the forces of evil. 4. a. A body of persons or other resources organized or available for a certain purpose: a large labor force. b. A person or group capable of influential action: a retired senator who is still a force in national politics. 5. a. Military strength. b. The entire military strength, as of a nation. c. Units of a nation's military personnel, especially those deployed into combat: Our forces have at last engaged the enemy. 6. Law Legal validity. 7. Physics A vector quantity that tends to produce an acceleration of a body in the direction of its application. v. tr. forced forc�ing forc�es 1. To compel through pressure or necessity: I forced myself to practice daily. He was forced to take a second job. 2. a. To gain by the use of force or coercion: force a confession. b. To move or effect against resistance or inertia: forced my foot into the shoe. c. To inflict or impose relentlessly: He forced his ideas upon the group. 3. a. To put undue strain on: She forced her voice despite being hoarse. b. To increase or accelerate (a pace, for example) to the maximum. c. To produce with effort and against one's will: force a laugh in spite of pain. d. To use (language) with obvious lack of ease and naturalness. 4. a. To move, open, or clear by force: forced our way through the crowd. b. To break down or open by force: force a lock. 5. To rape. 6. Botany To cause to grow or mature by artificially accelerating normal processes. 7. Baseball a. To put (a runner) out on a force play. b. To allow (a run) to be scored by walking a batter when the bases are loaded. 8. Games To cause an opponent to play (a particular card). Idioms: force (someone's) hand 1. To force to act or speak prematurely or unwillingly. in force 1. In full strength; in large numbers:

Demonstrators were out in force. 2. In effect; operative: a rule that is no longer in force. [Middle English from Old French from Medieval Latin fortia from neuter pl. of Latin fortis strong; See bhergh- 2 in Indo-European Roots.] force �a�ble adj. forc �er n.
Synonyms: force compel coerce constrain oblige obligate These verbs mean to cause a person or thing to follow a prescribed or dictated course. Force, the most general, usually implies the exertion of strength, especially physical power, or the operation of circumstances that permit no alternative to compliance: Tear gas forced the fugitives out of their hiding place. Lack of funds will eventually force him to look for work. Compel is often interchangeable with force, but it applies especially to an act dictated by one in authority: Say nothing unless you're compelled to. His playing compels respect, if not enthusiasm. Coerce invariably implies the use of strength or harsh measures in securing compliance: � The way in which the man of genius rules is by persuading an efficient minority to coerce an indifferent and self-indulgent majority � (James Fitzjames Stephen). Constrain suggests that one is bound to a course of action by physical or moral means or by the operation of compelling circumstances: � I am your anointed Queen. I will never be by violence constrained to do anything � (Elizabeth I). Oblige is applicable when compliance is brought about by the operation of authority, necessity, or moral or ethical considerations: � Work consists of whatever a body is obliged to do � (Mark Twain). Obligate applies when force is exerted by the terms of a legal contract or promise or by the dictates of one's conscience or sense of propriety: I am obligated to repay the loan. strength

1. (adj.) Done without or against one's will:
� involuntary
� compelled
� under duress
� coerced
� unwilling
� voluntary (antonym)
� willing (antonym)
� uncoerced (antonym)
� uncompelled (antonym)
2. (adj.) Done under duress:
� coerced
� required
� bound
� compelled
� constrained
� made to
� obligated
� obliged
� voluntary (antonym)
3. (v.) To domineer or compel by pressure, threats, or force:
� coerce
� dragoon
� force
� hijack

   - pressurize (British)
   - terrorize
   - tyrannize
   - intimidate
   - blackmail
   - bludgeon
   - browbeat
   - bulldoze
   - bully
   - bullyrag
   - extort
   - railroad
4. (v.) To cause to penetrate with force:
   - jab
   - dig
   - drive
   - force
   - plunge
   - push
   - ram
   - run
   - shove
   - sink
   - stab
   - stick
   - thrust
   - poke
   - punch
   - prod
5. (v.) To enter or thrust oneself into without invitation:
   - crash
   - elbow
   - force
   - push
   - shove
   - thrust
   - muscle

From -3837849217724442236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 18:30:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket

Message-ID: <8e90btogq3aiu96k77burolt6s9c21jhbk@4ax.com>
References: <3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 40
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 02:25:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.207.102
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984623151 209.86.207.102 (Wed, 14 Mar 2001 18:25:51 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 18:25:51 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17386

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> The adoption of the Feingold Bill did not change that. Under
> that Bill, the only authority that the "locals" have is to
> enforce FCC power, frequency, and equipment compliance standards,

My misunderstanding. Thanks for the correction.

> and they had better have an experienced communications engineer
> or technician who is qualified in enforcement measurements
> making those determinations or they will be shot down by any
> decent defense lawyer.

Under those circumstances I doubt that any of the cities in my area
will get involved...

> This [quiet hours] is done only when the extrinsic symptoms make it evident
> that the station is clearly in violation and then they are
> imposed only until the FCC can get a crew out there to make
> technical measurments.

That may be the FCC practice, I'll certainly defer to your experience
on the matter. However that doesn't appear to be what the regulations
say. In fact part (a) might be an easy way for some local law
enforcement of quiet hours using existing FCC regulations, with little
technical expertise needed. BTW- Those weird quiet hours must have
been selected in the 30's... :-)

Sec. 97.121 Restricted operation.

(a) If the operation of an amateur station causes general interference to the reception of transmissions from stations operating in the domestic broadcast service when receivers of good engineering design, including adequate selectivity characteristics, are used to receive such transmissions, and this fact is made known to the amateur station licensee, the amateur station shall not be operated during the hours from 8 p.m. to 10:30 p.m., local time, and on Sunday for the additional period from 10:30 a.m. until 1 p.m., local time, upon the frequency or frequencies used when the interference is created.

(b) In general, such steps as may be necessary to minimize interference to stations operating in other services may be required after investigation by the FCC.

```
From -8144090888430479707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 18:55:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc1.ab.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "EDMONTON" <EDMONTON@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<3AAFB8B0.95804FB@some.net>
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <H5Wr6.2914$5P1.31259@news1.rdc1.ab.home.com>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 02:50:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.70.79.52
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.ab.home.com 984624615 24.70.79.52 (Wed, 14 Mar 2001 18:50:15
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 18:50:15 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner:9232
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:10156 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13454
```

rec.radio.amateur.policy:17387 rec.radio.shortwave:28937

No offence...
but, by the looks of some of the people in this group it is possible!
--
EDDY

____
JR <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3AAFB8B0.95804FB@some.net...
|
| Any idiot that doesn't have sense enough to turn their cell phone
off in a
| theater doesn't have enough brains to know Morse code.
|
| mbz07 wrote:
|
| > JR <jr@some.net> wrote in message
news:3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net...
| > > Going to have to get one of those pocket models. Last two
movies I went
| > > to there was some idiot with a cell phone ringing.
| >
| > Wow! Cellphone war!!!
| >
| > But wait, what if the cellphone user is aware of the jamming and
just blast
| > out their ringtone anyway?,
| > Or turn the volume up high and keys morse code messages using the
keypad...
|

From 6387383819839010514
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 20:30:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsrouter.datasync.com!
news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 20:49:50 -0600
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 61
Message-ID: <3AB02DCE.25D2@mobiletel.com>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net>

```
<3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com> <98gd47$o6g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aad4427.199488481@news2.dvol.com> <98kgj5$46c$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aaeae08.292133133@news2.dvol.com> <3AAF8217.5DB7@mobiletel.com>
<3aaff5d4.56501152@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun10-port464.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 984625041 23128 206.251.168.210 (15 Mar 2001 02:57:21
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 02:57:21 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone  (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17388

Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:
>
> On Wed, 14 Mar 2001 08:37:11 -0600, Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
> wrote:
> >> >
> >Getting a marine license for a boat is simple procedure and no
> >"Operators" license is required UNLESS the boat makes international
> >voyages or communicates with foreign flag vessels or stations. Then you
> >only need a "restricted Operator's" license unless you are commercial,
> >then an element 1 MROP (Marine Radio Operator's Permit) is required.
> >
> I haven't been on the water for years and I never did get into MF/HF
> marine radio so I don't have much of a grip on the details beyond the
> fact that the radios are out there, there are a flock of frequencies
> and ya better have one aboard if ya get very far offshore.

Brian, when you are working as far offshore as I do (Oil Co Rig, Gulf
of Mexico) MF/HF is a comfort as well as a neccessity.
> >
> >Even getting a coast station license isn't a big hassle anymore which
> >allows ship to shore comms on HF and VHF freqs.
> >
> That was not the case back when I had a Ray Jeff VHF radio aboard the
> family boat. Only marinas and the CG were allowed to have shore-based
> VHF stations. Predicatably that law was busted all over the country, I
> guess they gave up and made it legal at some point.
>
Well, they loosened up the requirements but kept the general
intent.  Coast licenses are easier to get but cost $$ to keep
up.


> >> I doubt that freebanders would find operating in the low ham bands a
> >> very appetizing proposition, too much qrm. They'd be better off in the
> >> quiet holes outside the ham bands.
> >
> >Most of the "quiet holes" are special use types such as aircraft, marine
```

> >and business. Some fisherman in Louisiana decided to use an aircraft
> >HF control freq (HF) since is was "quiet", little did they know about
> >the
> >duplex setup. A visit from FAA, FCC and FBI boys didn't exactly make
> >their day when the Coast Guard rounded up the "HF Freebanders," and
> >the riot act was read, even a local radio shop was raided and the techs
> >given the third degree. Needless to say, a lot of ham gear came on the
> >local market after that fiasco.
> >
> Classic case of real stupidity. All they had to do was check the
> published lists of frequencies before they fired up.

Most of these types of operators don't have a clue about what lives
on any certain frequency much less any list.
> >
> >Its not in my realm of influence, but then I don't encourage it either.
> >
> All ya need is a General class ham license and ya get a bunch of MF/HF
> megahertz legally.
> >
Point well taken.
73 de Martin, N5PZJ

> >Martin N5PZJ

From 2641940189751623709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-14 20:32:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB035D6.2675@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 22:24:06 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.78.16.79
X-Trace: news1.atl 984626209 216.78.16.79 (Wed, 14 Mar 2001 22:16:49 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 22:16:49 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17389
```

Phil,

I hear on the Rain Report that Riley nailed somebody for bootlegging on 10 meters--in his own words. Didn't say who, but maybe the ARRL letter will clue us in later. I just hope this is the beginning of many, many more busts. I *can* hope, can't I?

Jerry
K4KWH

```
From 1240068216261102191
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 13:31:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Mar 2001 20:50:40 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <98tub0$tis$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <98tn49$fn7$1@news.netmar.com> <3AB26E6E.4B17BBFE@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 984775840 30300 205.139.138.14 (16 Mar 2001 20:50:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Mar 2001 20:50:40 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: jwkelley@uci.edu
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17390
```

In article <3AB26E6E.4B17BBFE@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Wouldn't the titanium dioxide just float on top of the ocean and
>"evaporate" anyway? ;-)

No Dummy,

Ti_2O combines with H_2O to form TiH_4O which is so heavy it sinks to the center of the earth. Damn, do I hafta show you how EVERYTHING works?

Sheesh!

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

---

From 4805046745848957917
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 13:34:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 11:57:16 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 67
Message-ID: <B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-22.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984761783 327878 207.144.64.152 (16 Mar 2001 16:56:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 16:56:23 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17391

Phil Kane wrote:

>Dwight Stewart wrote...
>
>> [snip]
>
> The enabling legislation does not authorize
> the locals to do this. When it comes to
> delegation of authority (which this is) if a
> power is not specifically permitted it's
> prohibited.

Okay, I guess that makes sense. I'm not a lawyer, so you have to include things like this in your explinations.

>> Wouldn't the operation of a station causing
>> interference be an "unauthorized operation?"
>
> No. A 4-watt CB transmitter [snip]
> In that case the CB operator is "authorized"
> and the locals do not have any probable cause
> to invoke the authority to enforce [snip] They
> do not have delegated authority to require a
> station operator to make the station available
> for inspection under penalty for failure to do
> so, nor do they have the authority to require
> the station operator to submit to on-air or
> equipment tests to determine that the equipment
> is non-compliant.

If I understand you correctly, you're saying that the local cop cannot possibly inspect a station based solely on an interference complaint - the local would first need evidence that the the equipment is illegal. If that is so, then HR-2346 would be absolutely useless - it does nothing because the only way a local is going to become aware of a situation is when an interference complaint is made, but then they cannot do anything about that complaint because they have no proof that the equipment is illegal. That just doesn't make sense. Something has to be missing (and I think I know what it is - see below).

>> If so, wouldn't this paragraph cover electronic
>> interference situations when it comes to local
>> enforcement?
>
> The U S Courts of Appeal in several Circuits have
> all held one after the other that the Comm Act
> in Section 302(b) specifically grants to the FCC
> exclusive jursidiction over interference and devices
> that cause interference. This jurisdiction was not
> delegated to the "locals".

Isn't there a rule that requires an operator to make his station available for inspection upon request? If so, and if the local cop is operating under delegated authority of the FCC to enforce HR-2346, wouldn't this allow the local to inspect a station when an interference complaint is received in order to determine if the equipment is non-compliant?

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 8791289011593620401
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 13:41:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!easynet-tele!easynet.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.execpc.com!
reader1-nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!not-for-mail
From: "Jim McLaughlin" <dearborn@voyager.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 11:29:21 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3ab24d55$0$12825$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
```

Organization: ExecPC Internet - Milwaukee, WI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 8800bda9.news.execpc.com
X-Trace:
DXC=7@_1S_4oemG=>N\5^GS<lJ`<WTGT>OiBNbCigiU>8_hH4`>8YbCYbkM1dDXO5heGfDd7jAeL2dO]@]
I1fNhZj:mLIgHYNagieJH
X-Complaints-To: abuse@execpc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17392

Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org...
> [Followup to r.r.a.policy]
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Before the no-code techs came, the 2-meter band was
> > completely dead. There probably weren't 25 people in the entire country
> > using that band on a regular basis, and the number of VHF ham repeaters
> > could likely be counted on one hand.
>
> That's breathtakingly incorrect. The no-code Tech license came to be in
> 1990. The 2-meter band has been home to thousands of repeaters and a
> huge amount of activity since the late 1960's. I'm sure plenty of
> no-code Techs have participated in establishing and maintaining
> repeaters during the last decade, and more power to them. But the amount
> of activity at VHF and above prior to that was tremendous, and to say
> that VHF repeaters exist because of no-code Techs is just a complete
> distortion of history.
>
> Jon

EXACTLY correct, sometimes people should check what their saying before putting it down in print. I was on a couple of 2 meter repeaters in the 60's. In 1967, I was on the tech committee for one, over 100 active members on that one alone, so there was lots of activity prior to the no code folks. Certainly has dwindled down last 5 yrs or so and I would think the Internet is the biggest factor, but could be wrong

Jim WA9FPT

From 7971950470097717417
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 13:41:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 13:30:24 -0800

Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AB285F0.49F8D7B@uci.edu>
References: <98tn49$fn7$1@news.netmar.com> <3AB26E6E.4B17BBFE@uci.edu>
<98tub0$tis$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17393

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:
>
> In article <3AB26E6E.4B17BBFE@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> writes:
>
> >Wouldn't the titanium dioxide just float on top of the ocean and
> >"evaporate" anyway? ;-)
>
> No Dummy,
>
> Ti2O combines with H2O to form TiH4O which is so heavy it sinks to
> the center of the earth. Damn, do I hafta show you how EVERYTHING
> works?

> Sheesh!

Well, smarty, what happens when so much TiH4O collects at the center of
the Earth that it achieves critical density. Isn't that how the Sun was
created, and why it has a white glow?

From -6385164564571938067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 13:45:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 09:47:54 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <98tjgc$b4d$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
<98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaeab49.291430009@news2.dvol.com>

<98o4gd$ru6$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaff5bc.56476936@news2.dvol.com>
<3AB10467.E867F9C4@uci.edu> <3ab1323e.137510909@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-51.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17394

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3ab1323e.137510909@news2.dvol.com...
> I wouldn't say that. My clock is four hours ahead of yours. I usually
> hear hams on 10m from that part of the world for couple hours between
> 6 and 8pm local here which is 6-8am the next day in Kuala Lampur and
> 2-4pm in L.A. Oughta be some cabs running around Kuala Lampur at 6-8am
> there? Beats me.

My clock is set to Pacific Standard time. You should probably set your clock
back an hour.   ;-)

73, Jim AC6XG

From -2422151697479748105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 13:51:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
References: <Qxfs6.528$5R6.5449@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<984746781.3ab20b1d6473b@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 110
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <DRss6.311$pl.1433@newsfeed.slurp.net>

```
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 13:25:23 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.92.171
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 984766947 216.117.92.171 (Fri, 16 Mar 2001 12:22:27
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 12:22:27 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18706
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10436 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13461
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17395

This is not a joke. I will pray for you. Everyday. W9FPJ


"KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:984746781.3ab20b1d6473b@webmail.cotse.com...
> "DickHEAD Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net> babbled in message
news:Qxfs6.528
> $5R6.5449@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> >
> > Coming from you Roger that is a compliment.
>
> Do you ever wonder what life would be like if you'd had enough oxygen at
> birth? Don't thank me for insulting you. It was my pleasure, dumbass.
> Your intellect rivaled only by garden tools, Francis.
>
> > "KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
> > news:984703856.3ab16370e97c3@webmail.cotse.com...
> > > "DickHEAD Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote in message
news:Ui8s6.249
> > > $5R6.2283@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > > You are just kidding..........right? W9FPJ
> > >
> > > Francis, you just PROVED how goddamn STUPID you really are.
> > >
> > >
> > > > "message center" <cb-radio@arrl.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:arrl_letter@get_the_message...
> > > > > ARRL members, Here is a letter that you can use and send to your
ARRL
> > > > section
> > > > > manager, Division director, and ARRL board of Director:
> > > > >
> > > > > -------
> > > > >
> > > > > Dear (insert directors name here),
> > > > >
> > > > > Why don't you wake up and pull your fucking head out of your ass?
I
> > am
> > > > > concerned about the quality of amateur radio operators, not
quantity.
> > If
> > > > I
> > > > > wanted to listen to a bunch of stupid operators, I would listen to
```

CB.
> > Do
> > > > us
> > > > > all a favor and propose something that will impove amateur radio,
not
> > dumb
> > > > it
> > > > > down. Bring integrity and intelligence back into the amateur radio
> > > > service.
> > > > > Thanks and 73.
> > > > >
> > > > > (insert your name here)
> > > > >
> > > > > --------
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > > EM99|
> > > Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> > > Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
> > >
> > > .You poor whining assholes!
>
>
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
>
> .You poor whining a$$holes!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

```
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

```
From -2622327184389541866
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,97e280b838dfc8f1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 13:57:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-
mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Save the ionosphere
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Mar 2001 18:47:37 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 71
Message-ID: <98tn49$fn7$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 984768457 16103 205.139.138.14 (16 Mar 2001 18:47:37 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Mar 2001 18:47:37 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: QST@ARRL.ORG
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17396
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13465
```

About twenty-eight years ago on August 4th, 1972, the Ap index hit 223,the ionosphere was bombarded with all sorts of solar particles with hard-to-pronounce names, and amateur radio was at it's peak. It has never been that good since.

Also, on that same date, titanium dioxide was first released in a commercial product. It has led inexoribly to the decline of amateur radio as we know it. Titanium dioxide is directly responsible for the decline of the Ap index, and the resultant decline of amateur radio.

It has also led to a horrible decline in well tanned girl torsos at the beaches of the world.

In the late 60's a group of induhviduals who were rankled by the advent of incentive licensing looked for a way to ruin propagation and thus amateur radio forever. Since propagation depends on a solar component known as freckles-del-sol (better known as sunspots), a means of stopping sunspots would destroy would destroy amateur radio.

The group discovered the sun-blocking power of a natural chemical called titanium dioxide, but they needed a way to deploy a thin mist of the chemical into the upper reaches of the earths atmosphere. Since it could be dispersed by evaporation, these diabolocal fiends found the perfect means of sending it skyward.

First they quietly obtained virtually the entire world supply of titanium dioxide, and then they started a rumor in the medical world that the tanning rays of the sun could actually be harmful. Incredibly, in 1972, people would believe anything.

Literally millions of bikini clad ladies, even on Gilligans island, were panicked! After all, it was August, the height of the swimsuit season, and most of them had not nearly amortized their investment in the latest Jantzen bikini, or partial bikini. What could they do?

Meanwhile, the titanium dioxide Cartel had formulated a mixture of their chemical and coconut oil and put it on the market as not only a sun-blocker, but also a means of replenishing critical skin oils depleted by exposure to the sun.

Millions of bikini-owners flocked to purchase the new sun blocker, spread it lavishly on their bodies, and returned to the world beaches. Little did they know the horrible ravages they were inflicting on the E and F layers of the ionosphere, as the gruesome chemical evaporated from their skin and rose into the skies of the world, from whence it ruins propagation on a global scale.

Hams, UNITE! Help take back the ionosphere! Be part of the solution, not part of the problem. Visit your local beaches today and check the skin of everyone for traces of this harmful solution. It can be easily detected by it's characteristic coconut smell, and even small concentrations are dangerous. Carefully sniff all bodies on the beaches for traces of this chemical. If detected, throw the offending person in the water to dilute the effects, and burn on the spot any garments known to be contaminated.

Save amateur radio....

.....to the beaches, now!

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -9042556269213982045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 14:00:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaat260.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 48
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 19:19:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984770367 206.58.44.51 (Fri, 16 Mar 2001 19:19:27 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 19:19:27 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17398

On Fri, 16 Mar 2001 11:57:16 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:

> If I understand you correctly, you're saying that the local cop cannot
>possibly inspect a station based solely on an interference complaint - the
>local would first need evidence that the the equipment is illegal. If that
>is so, then HR-2346 would be absolutely useless - it does nothing because
>the only way a local is going to become aware of a situation is when an
>interference complaint is made, but then they cannot do anything about that
>complaint because they have no proof that the equipment is illegal.

It is possible for them to do what the FCC does (they wanna play, they gotta follow the rules of the game) - make external measurements of frequency and an estimate of apparent power for "probable cause" purposes, but this takes an experienced and qualified observer, df equipment, calibrated counters/receivers, and field strength equipment with calibrated antennas -- and a knowledge of what and how to apply all the "fudge factors" in the operator's favor when making those measurements.

>Isn't there a rule that requires an operator to make his station available
>for inspection upon request?

These is a section of the Comm Act (303(n)) that gives the *FCC* authority to require that an operator make the station and its equipment available for inspection, and failure to do do can result in criminal and administrative penalties under Sections 501, 502, and 503 of the Comm Act. None of these procedural powers were delegated to the locals.

>If so, and if the local cop is operating under
>delegated authority of the FCC to enforce HR-2346, wouldn't this allow the
>local to inspect a station when an interference complaint is received in
>order to determine if the equipment is non-compliant?

The way that the locals will make the vast majority of inspections is "by request" just like they do for other things....fear of the police operates then. If they can get admitted into the house legally, equipment in "plain sight" becomes available for inspection if they have reasonable cause to suspect a violation. Interference with HEEE is not "reasonable cause".

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -9165879788249282557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 14:04:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 11:50:06 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <3AB26E6E.4B17BBFE@uci.edu>
References: <98tn49$fn7$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17399

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:
>
> About twenty-eight years ago on August 4th, 1972, the Ap index hit 223,the
> ionosphere was bombarded with all sorts of solar particles
> with hard-to-pronounce names, and amateur radio was at it's peak. It
> has never been that good since.
>
> Also, on that same date, titanium dioxide was first released in a
> commercial product. It has led inexoribly to the decline of amateur
> radio as we know it. Titanium dioxide is directly responsible for the
> decline of the Ap index, and the resultant decline of amateur radio.
> It has also led to a horrible decline in well tanned girl torsos at
> the beaches of the world.
>
> In the late 60's a group of induhviduals who were rankled by the
> advent of incentive licensing looked for a way to ruin propagation
> and thus amateur radio forever. Since propagation depends on a solar
> component known as freckles-del-sol (better known as sunspots),
> a means of stopping sunspots would destroy would destroy amateur
> radio.
>
> The group discovered the sun-blocking power of a natural chemical
> called titanium dioxide, but they needed a way to deploy a thin mist
> of the chemical into the upper reaches of the earths atmosphere.
> Since it could be dispersed by evaporation, these diabolocal fiends
> found the perfect means of sending it skyward.
>
> First they quietly obtained virtually the entire world supply of
> titanium dioxide, and then they started a rumor in the medical
> world that the tanning rays of the sun could actually be harmful.
> Incredibly, in 1972, people would believe anything.

>
> Literally millions of bikini clad ladies, even on Gilligans island,
> were panicked! After all, it was August, the height of the swimsuit
> season, and most of them had not nearly amortized their investment
> in the latest Jantzen bikini, or partial bikini. What could they do?
>
> Meanwhile, the titanium dioxide Cartel had formulated a mixture of
> their chemical and coconut oil and put it on the market as not
> only a sun-blocker, but also a means of replenishing critical skin
> oils depleted by exposure to the sun.
>
> Millions of bikini-owners flocked to purchase the new sun blocker,
> spread it lavishly on their bodies, and returned to the world beaches.
> Little did they know the horrible ravages they were inflicting on the
> E and F layers of the ionosphere, as the gruesome chemical
> evaporated from their skin and rose into the skies of the world,
> from whence it ruins propagation on a global scale.

> Hams, UNITE! Help take back the ionosphere! Be part of the solution,
> not part of the problem. Visit your local beaches today and check
> the skin of everyone for traces of this harmful solution. It can be
> easily detected by it's characteristic coconut smell, and even small
> concentrations are dangerous. Carefully sniff all bodies on the
> beaches for traces of this chemical. If detected, throw the offending
> person in the water to dilute the effects, and burn on the spot
> any garments known to be contaminated.

Wouldn't the titanium dioxide just float on top of the ocean and
"evaporate" anyway? ;-)

ac6xg

From -2872006524132005804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 14:06:56 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 20:02:59 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-cu.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.133
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.133
X-Trace: 16 Mar 2001 14:00:41 -0600, 64.24.17.133
Lines: 151
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!

news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17400

On 15 Mar 2001 19:05:33 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>True. :-)   However, Roy Lewallen's EZNEC is pretty good on
>predicting antenna response...if you model the environment of the
>near field good enough.
>
I'm just developing a yen to try that game, been reading about it and
antenna modeling is very similar to modeling structures which I do
know something about. Garbage in = Garbage out. Real-world antenna
modeling involves a lot more imponderables than structural modeling.
How does one model the effects trees have on atennas when one strings
a wire thru seven of 'em? The answer is ya don't bother.
>
>...the islands of Hawaii run roughly N-S? Could be it's being used
>only for "local" communications?
>
Last time I looked they run SW-NE. He can always get on the "rrap
band" and work somebody . .
>
>>Lenny 'ole bean kindly notice that this particular sub-thread has had
>>nothing to do with transmitters. Any piece 'o crap tx can squirt a
>>usable signal but if ya can't decipher the intelligence which was
>>transmitted with a decent rcvr there isn't much left to discuss.
>
>Now, now, don't get the Tuna Tinners all riled up... :-)
>
The current crop of tuna-tin rcvrs are pretty sophisticated little
suckers, they work surprisingly well. Damned sight better than the
regens and low-cost Hallicrafters stuff I had back when I got into the
game. Does not apply to $49.99 CB xcvrs.
>
>>That's correct and that's why there are no $49.99 ham xcvrs out there.
>
>I thought MFJ had a kit a few years ago? (no old MFJ catalogs here)
>:-)
You're absolutely correct and I gotta retract that comment with
respect to "there are *no* $49.99 ham xcvrs out there". There are qrp
kits out there for under $50.
>
The least expensive plug 'n play HF ham xcvr out there that I know
about is the lame 25w Radio Slack HTX-100 10m single-bander which
sells for $149.99. Slightly factory boogered CB ssb xcvr with a key
jack, basically.
>
The current MFJ qrp xcvr kits are out there for $190 a pop per band
and Ten-Tec offers similar kits for about $100.
>
>All second-sourced military equipment is identical. I wasn't aware
>that Motorola also produced the '390 series

>
390 types came from everywhere. Collins built only 1,281 out of the
54,276 390/390As produced between 1950 and 1986. Motorola delivered
14,324, Electronic Assistance Corp. built 10,717, buncha others like
Stewart -Warner, Teledyne and a flock of no-names did the rest.
Hammarlund built 118 ham/swl/commercial versions.
>
DoD bitched mightily about the cost of the original 1950 390 so
Collins went back to the drawing board in 1951 and came up with the
1951 390A design which is a scaled-back cost reduced version of the
original 390. 26 vs. 32 tubes, etc. The A model is by far the more
common version and is supposedly a better performer than the 390.
>
> but there is a story
>about a cosmetics firm that tried to second-source them...and
>wound up buying a few already-built '390s and replacing the
>manufacturer's ID plate. :-)
>
What the hell, why not an Estee-Lauder 390? Had to smell better than
anything Mr. Collins ever built. Nobody knows how many were actually
built or who made 'em all. There were overseas & domestic knockoffs,
yeah, ID plate scams, refurbs sold back to DoD as new units, etc. The
390 program won several of Proxmire's Golden Fleece Award honorable
mentions.
>
But I'll bet the 390 is the only radio ever produced for 36 years.

>
>>>The venerable R-390 to R-392 best HF sensitivity is specced
>>>at 3 microvolts for 10 db S:S+N. It's in the book. Modern AM
>>>(voice-channel bandwidth) receivers for HF can do about an
>>>average of 0.8 microvolts, less than 0.5 microvolts on SSB.
>>>
>>I have five reasonably decent HF rcvrs/xcvrs including one Collins box
>>and in all cases the ssb/cw sensitivities are in the 0.015-0.025 mV
>>range.
>
>Sounds like they could use some maintenance and tweaking.
>15 to 25 microvolts sensitivity is rather poor.
>
I screwed that one to the wall big time. 0.015-0.025 microvolts. 10E-6
volts. Weak.
>
>If you mistyped and meant to say 15 to 25 nanovolts,
>
Gates needs to come up with keyboards which include the greek geek
alphabet. uV don't cut it.
>
> I think that
>the test equipment needs calibration.
>
The test equipment is fine, the need for calibration "lies in other
directions" . . .

>

>atmospheric noise, no exotic tubes or semiconductors. Those
>figures get better by the square-root of bandwidth reduction and
>chilling down the front-end circuitry.
>
Yeah, that's it, I need a bottle of liquid nitrogen so I can and pipe
it into my radio and chill the RF stage . . maybe run it over to the
PA trasistors instead of buying an amp . . .
>
> More improvement can be
>done by post-detection processing.
>
All of the above goes down the pipe when there's a wayward light
dimmer in the next room.
>
>Sounds like the unattenuated amplification and bandwidth are
>too much...or there is too much other noise in the locality. But,
>any way is okay as long as it works.
>
Combination of deficiencies, too much bandwidth was one factor but the
worst is/was the overanxious AGC responding to strong electrical
noise. Reducing the front-end sensitivity knocked down the noise
spikes before they got to the AGC which overreacts and triggers gobs
of negative system gain when it gets fed too much signal for it's
taste. Takes milliseconds for the AGC to let go of the gain of course
and when it does finally let go here comes the next freakin' spike and
around we go again and ya hear nothin' except the AGC trying to kill
the noise.
>
Net result was that by putting a "20 dB resistor" (not 10dB) in the
antenna was kinda like I fed the AGC some lithium and calmed it down
and then I was able to copy the guy.
>
The radio has a noise blanker, that helped some and it has some sort
of IF-level post-processing circuit called an "automatic intercept
point", I can't figger out what it is but it operates like a noise
blanker on steroids under certain types of noise conditions. I didn't
switch it in when I worked the French guy, should have done that.The
radio is a little underdash mobile rig, I'm pushing it's limits by
chasing qrp Frogs in noise on 40.
>
>
>Not sure why working a low-power French station on 40 meters
>has anything to do with bootleg operations on 10 meters. :-)
>
Involves maintaing the local traditions & expectations.
>
w3rv

From -8877053490067038734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b831c0f690650755,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 14:08:38 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: LAPD kicked off ham band.
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 20:14:59 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab272a1.219534290@news2.dvol.com>
References: <98ra7u$3t5$1@news.netmar.com> <3AB16AD8.55419219@ix.netcom.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.133
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.133
X-Trace: 16 Mar 2001 14:12:40 -0600, 64.24.17.133
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
stueberl.r-kom.de!news-fra.pop.de!news-fra1.dfn.de!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17401

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:22:32 -0600, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Shouldn't the callsign be WB6Xxx?
>
It was next up on the national experimental station callsign list.
>
>Measures S5 on my trollmeter....
>
No troll, it happened. The LAPD TV link license will be cancelled
effective Dec. 1 this year unless the LAPD causes interference to hams
on 2.4Ghz in which case the FCC will yank the license on the spot.
>
w3rv

From 4411303207043819316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:01 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews3

```
From: "Dev" <.>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 14:15:00 -0800
Organization: http://extra.newsguy.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <98rela01g6i@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: "Dev" <.>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm125-18.dialup.netidea.com
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu alt.radio.scanner:145334 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206212
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174699 rec.radio.shortwave:222687

http://strategis.ic.gc.ca/SSG/sf05408e.html

Industry Canada's Question on the subject. Since it is like the FCC. atleast
IC is asking for public insight..


On the Wall <kevbolol@aol.com> wrote in message
news:z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Really !
>
> Go to   http://www.sesp.co.uk/   and see it for yourself!
>
> Cell Phone Jamming equipment in a briefcase.
>
> Right out of 007 and it can be yours !
>
>





From 9183557020916071180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 02:40:57 PST
```

Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.starband.net!
twister2.starband.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
From: Spurious@StarBand.net (Ed G.)
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9065C8ED5C173Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.57>
User-Agent: Xnews/M3
Followup-To: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
Lines: 17
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 03:44:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 148.63.11.131
X-Complaints-To: abuse@starband.net
X-Trace: twister2.starband.net 984714271 148.63.11.131 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 22:44:31 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 22:44:31 EST
Organization: Starband Communications
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu alt.radio.scanner:145353 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206240
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174719 rec.radio.shortwave:222716

>How many lives have been saved because doctors now have cellphones vs.
>when they didn't have cellphones just a few years ago?

Probably less than the lives lost or maimed due to dummys yacking onthe
cell phone while driving.

Ed G.

From -9348768348633OO214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af

X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 02:40:57 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 02:41:42 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab17060.153417407@news2.dvol.com>
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<slrn9b1l7h.7f6.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.112
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.112
X-Trace: 15 Mar 2001 20:39:26 -0600, 64.24.19.112
Lines: 26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
4.24.21.218.MISMATCH!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.genuity.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu alt.radio.scanner:145348 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206231
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174713 rec.radio.shortwave:222712

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 14:40:08 GMT, w9wi@linux1.localdomain () wrote:

>
>I suppose a simpler alternative is to require anyone whose cellphone rings
>during a performance to reimburse the rest of the audience's tickets...
>--

Would work for me, luv it! I've actually experienced the problem.
Popped $110 for a couple seats at the Philadelphia Academy of Music to
take in what I thought would be a nice Saturday nite with Swallisch
and the Philadelphia Orchestra. Dontcha just know some asshole nuevo
yuppy two rows back got on his cellphone FOUR times during the
concert? Answered two incoming and initiated two outgoing calls and
yakked his brains out about his Sunday golf outing. People left in
disgust. He din give a shit. Prolly drives an Expedition, too.
>

I think the Academy seats something like 4,000 and it's always sold
out. If we assume a $55 bucks a pop per seat that dipshit's fine for
using a cellphone during a concert works out to something like getting
tagged for $220,000 for yakking abt his golf date.
>

Like I sed, your concept works for me.
>

>Doug Smith W9WI
>
w3rv

From 4333299483704212397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:43 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 07:41:11 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-54.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984660065 225643 204.116.70.55 (15 Mar 2001 12:41:05
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 12:41:05 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174623
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148742 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172474
rec.radio.amateur.misc:206177

Dana wrote:

> [snip] the ham bands sounds just like the cb
> band. I have my extra ticket, and it makes me
> mad every time I listen to 2 meters [snip]

Then don't listen to 2 meters. Is there some reason you cannot turn the dial to another band? Are you disabled? Did you forget to read the owner's manual? If 2 meters is that bad, then go elsewhere. That shouldn't be too difficult for an intellegent person like you to figure out.

You know, I simply don't understand this animosity towards the newer hams. And that is exactly what this is (animosity towards the newer hams) because I rarely see such complaints about the many troublemakers on HF. It's not like the newer hams are taking anything away from the older hams (older as hams, not age). Before the no-code techs came, the 2-meter band was completely dead. There probably weren't 25 people in the entire country using that band on a regular basis, and the number of VHF ham repeaters could likely be counted on one hand.

However, now that the no-code techs are actually using the band, and have put all those repeaters up, the older hams sit around all day complaining. Its not like the older hams have lost anything - they still have all their HF frequencies. And absolutely nobody is forcing any of these people to listen to the 2-meter frequencies. So I just don't understand what all the griping is about.

Perhaps there is some strange twisted logic at work here. Can you explain it to me, Dana? If you don't like the 2-meter band, and nobody is forcing you to listen to it, then why do you sit around listening to it (like you claimed you did above). More importantly, why do you sit around complaining about it when the situation is so easily solved (don't listen)?

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -8063765956244363597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:43 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!network
From: cb-radio@arrl.org (message center)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 06:52:44 GMT
Organization: Amateur Radio Restructured League (ARRL)
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <arrl_letter@get_the_message>
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.35.bb.4b
X-Server-Date: 15 Mar 2001 06:54:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: News Xpress 2.01
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148732
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172472 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206171
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174618
```

ARRL members, Here is a letter that you can use and send to your ARRL section manager, Division director, and ARRL board of Director:

Dear (insert directors name here),

Why don't you wake up and pull your fucking head out of your ass? I am concerned about the quality of amateur radio operators, not quantity. If I wanted to listen to a bunch of stupid operators, I would listen to CB. Do us all a favor and propose something that will impove amateur radio, not dumb it down. Bring integrity and intelligence back into the amateur radio service.
Thanks and 73.

(insert your name here)

```
From 2896461093448658894
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:48:07 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
```

X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984678692 64.240.47.100 (15 Mar 2001 11:51:32 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174655 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148768 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172483 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206193

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> A surprising number of Tech class operators
> don't care for H.F. much. I would dare say that some of the new Hams don't
> even consider access to H.F. as the "crown jewel" of Ham Radio any more.

When I worked at Intel, a group of us would camp out together. We would meet at a preset place on a Saturday morning, decide where to camp out, and head out at a preset time. Somebody was always late and out of range of CB radios. I talked the entire group into getting their Tech licenses.

We then used a mountain-top repeater to keep in touch with the late comers and direct them to the camp site. It worked out well but only a few of the campers saw any use for HF. After all, they had given up their CB radios and gone to the trouble to obtain Tech licenses because HF couldn't perform the required function.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6007346800624377224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:44 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!diablo.theplanet.net!news.indigo.ie!localhost.localdomain!
nobody
From: Eamon Skelton <Xnospam@oceanfree.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Followup-To: alt.flame
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <W4yr6.2395$CW3.240679@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <B6D46397.5D2A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<uXWr6.4221$Ez3.442744@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Lines: 17
User-Agent: KNode/0.4
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
Message-ID: <d79q89.v2c.ln@localhost.localdomain>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:31:57 +0000
NNTP-Posting-Host: 194.125.165.117
X-Complaints-To: news@indigo.ie
X-Trace: news.indigo.ie 984680100 194.125.165.117 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 18:15:00 BST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 18:15:00 BST
Organization: Indigo
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148769
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172484 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206196
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174658 rec.radio.amateur.space:23504 rec.radio.cb:241688
rec.radio.scanner:228347 rec.radio.shortwave:222662 rec.radio.swap:384534

* wrote:

> Bigotry is ok in my book except against jews.

Why are we continually blighted with this shite on
rec.radio.amateur.space? Please check for cross-posting
before replying to this crap.

Followup set to: alt.flame

73, Ed. EI9GQ

--

Remove 'X' to reply by mail.
http://www.qsl.net/ei9gq

Linux 2.4.2

From -7912431428230894508
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:44 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!sn-xit-04!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 12:16:18 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 38
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174650
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148762 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172482
rec.radio.amateur.misc:206187

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net...
>   However, now that the no-code techs are actually using the band, and have
> put all those repeaters up, the older hams sit around all day complaining.
> Its not like the older hams have lost anything

They are losing, or lost, the PR battle that every no code Tech has a burning desire to get on H.F. A surprising number of Tech class operators don't care for H.F. much. I would dare say that some of the new Hams don't even consider access to H.F. as the "crown jewel" of Ham Radio any more.

```
> - they still have all their HF frequencies.

And some like to go out of their way to tweak the noses of no code Techs
about too.

> And absolutely nobody is forcing any of these people to
> listen to the 2-meter frequencies.  So I just don't understand what all
the
> griping is about.

With more things to do on V/UHF the lure of H.F. has declined a bit. As you
pointed out, in the past with little use of the V/UHF bands, a Tech class
had few choices for stations to work. That's now no longer true.

>   Perhaps there is some strange twisted logic at work here.

Yup. The Tech class people are having fun, and some of the OTers are really
bugged about it.


--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO





From -6207123184911859567
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AB0CC63.948F079D@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 08:06:27 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984665390
```

64.240.47.79 (15 Mar 2001 08:09:50 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148752 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172479 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206182 rec.radio.amateur.policy:174627

message center wrote:
> I am
> concerned about the quality of amateur radio operators, not quantity.

Does this mean that you want to reduce the number of hams available
for emergency communications?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1977210849443519367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:45 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jack" <kc8pkn@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use

```
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 16:09:53 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <98rb2a$9vq$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message>
NNTP-Posting-Host: d8.af.76.73
X-Server-Date: 15 Mar 2001 21:09:30 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148792
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172495 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206208
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174691

Not sure I would use a letter that was not run through a spell checker
first.

>                 impove           amateur radio, not dumb it


Jack





From 8401714066897771487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:45 PST
Message-ID: <3AB1153D.F5116BDD@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 13:17:17 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message> <3AB0CC63.948F079D@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xk32.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984684040
```

```
64.240.47.100 (15 Mar 2001 13:20:40 -0600)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148779
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172489 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206202
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174676

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 08:06:27 -0600, W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> >message center wrote:
> >> I am
> >> concerned about the quality of amateur radio operators, not quantity.
> >
> >Does this mean that you want to reduce the number of hams available
> >for emergency communications?
>
>   No, he means that he wants the level of intelligence,
>   articulation, and verbal persuasiveness of others lowered to
>   his.

Wouldn't that increase the ham population? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -736317371122821381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:45 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xk32.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message> <3AB0CC63.948F079D@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 20
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:01:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.69
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984682879 206.58.44.69 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:01:19
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:01:19 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148774
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172486 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206198
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174662
```

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 08:06:27 -0600, W6RCecilA wrote:

>message center wrote:
>> I am
>> concerned about the quality of amateur radio operators, not quantity.
>
>Does this mean that you want to reduce the number of hams available
>for emergency communications?

  No, he means that he wants the level of intelligence,
  articulation, and verbal persuasiveness of others lowered to
  his.

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -517776111524927221
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:45 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <Ui8s6.249$5R6.2283@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 14:02:50 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.92.236
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 984682804 216.117.92.236 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 13:00:04
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 13:00:04 CDT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148775
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172487 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206199
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174664

You are just kidding.........right? W9FPJ
"message center" <cb-radio@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:arrl_letter@get_the_message...
> ARRL members, Here is a letter that you can use and send to your ARRL
section
> manager, Division director, and ARRL board of Director:
>
> -------
>
> Dear (insert directors name here),
>
> Why don't you wake up and pull your fucking head out of your ass?  I am
> concerned about the quality of amateur radio operators, not quantity.  If
I
> wanted to listen to a bunch of stupid operators, I would listen to CB.  Do
us
> all a favor and propose something that will impove amateur radio, not dumb
it
> down. Bring integrity and intelligence back into the amateur radio
service.
> Thanks and 73.
>
```

> (insert your name here)
>
> --------

From 340931508416636767
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:46 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 16 Mar 2001 05:20:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <98s7ql$pjs$3@208.206.142.75>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.75
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174727
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148833 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172522
rec.radio.amateur.misc:206244

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> > A surprising number of Tech class operators
> > don't care for H.F. much. I would dare say that some of the new Hams don't
> > even consider access to H.F. as the "crown jewel" of Ham Radio any more.
>
> When I worked at Intel, a group of us would camp out together. We would

> meet at a preset place on a Saturday morning, decide where to camp out,
> and head out at a preset time. Somebody was always late and out of range
> of CB radios. I talked the entire group into getting their Tech licenses.
>
> We then used a mountain-top repeater to keep in touch with the late
> comers and direct them to the camp site. It worked out well but only
> a few of the campers saw any use for HF. After all, they had given
> up their CB radios and gone to the trouble to obtain Tech licenses
> because HF couldn't perform the required function.
>

Here we go with some more Cecilian Logic. CB *is* HF because it is *in* HF. Right!

Whatta clown.

```
From 3969730259232783468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:46 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:19:39 -0600
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3AB16A2A.A6F6BED7@ix.netcom.com>
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0e.8e.f8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Mar 2001 01:20:00 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148814
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172505 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206225
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174708
```

Measures an S7 on my troll meter......

message center wrote:

> Dear (insert directors name here),
>
> Why don't you wake up and pull your f***ing head out of your a**? I am
> concerned about the quality of amateur radio operators, not quantity. If I
> wanted to listen to a bunch of stupid operators, I would listen to CB. Do us
> all a favor and propose something that will impove amateur radio, not dumb it
> down.

Well, suggest something good to them. If it's intelligent and well reasoned, they'll listen to it.

> Bring integrity and intelligence back into the amateur radio service.
> Thanks and 73.
>
> (insert your name here)
>
> --------

```
From 4657326936699098384
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:46 PST
Message-ID: <3AB1A452.6FA1F57E@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 23:27:46 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org> <98s7ql$pjs$3@208.206.142.75>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984720669
64.240.47.66 (15 Mar 2001 23:31:09 -0600)
```

Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174729 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148834 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172523 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206245

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Here we go with some more Cecilian Logic. CB *is* HF because it is *in*
> HF. Right!

Well Dick, if 27MHz is not HF, please tell us what it is.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7178724407460720380
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:46 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Denver Braughler <Braughler@bwcc.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 22:39:56 -0500
Lines: 8

```
Message-ID: <3AB18B0C.A0A4BF0C@bwcc.com>
References: <Ui8s6.249$5R6.2283@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<984703856.3ab16370e97c3@webmail.cotse.com>
<4Kfs6.531$5R6.5609@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVbcFrXrn4wvIUFTMO8fN31Q7wU34gxt84jiZ2AWKZp7vfJmktcyIt5M
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Mar 2001 03:40:06 GMT
X-Mailer:  Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language:  en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148829
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172516 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206238
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174718
```

Dick Elliott wrote:

> Does anybody know the procedure for having an individual removed from the
> news groups if they are as caustic as this "gentleman"?

You can write to his ISP. They could TOS him out.
But it is not likely.

> There must be a place to report him besides the "abuse" center.

Unless he threatens to harm someone, no.

```
From 7728268294705331075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:46 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 02:51:44 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <tb2vu0oti8t38f@news.supernews.com>
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 29
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148824
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172509 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206232
```

rec.radio.amateur.policy:174714

cb-radio@arrl.org (message center) wrote in
<arrl_letter@get_the_message>:

>ARRL members, Here is a letter that you can use and send to your ARRL
>section manager, Division director, and ARRL board of Director:
>
>-------
>

What if I'm not a League member anymore, can I still send the letter?

kb7adl

>Dear (insert directors name here),
>
>Why don't you wake up and pull your fucking head out of your ass? I am
>concerned about the quality of amateur radio operators, not quantity.
>If I wanted to listen to a bunch of stupid operators, I would listen to
>CB. Do us all a favor and propose something that will impove amateur
>radio, not dumb it down. Bring integrity and intelligence back into the
>amateur radio service. Thanks and 73.
>
>(insert your name here)
>
>--------

```
From 7891499524686171831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:46 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 07:20:29 -0000
Organization: MCN
```

Message-ID: <tb3fltm5e7gk67@news.supernews.com>
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message> <3AB0CC63.948F079D@IEEE.org>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 10
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148837
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172526 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206248
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174735

Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org (W6RCecilA) wrote in <3AB0CC63.948F079D@IEEE.org>:
>
>Does this mean that you want to reduce the number of hams available
>for emergency communications?

It means he wants to improve the quality of amateurs that are available
for emergencies. I'm not surprised that you failed to see that Cecil, but
then you never were one that put much stock in quality.

kb7adl

From -9029999054600024563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:46 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!
netaxs.newsread.com!POSTED.newshog.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Message-ID: <3ab15a31.48584341@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 5
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 00:14:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.59
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: newshog.newsread.com 984701668 216.1.128.59 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:14:28
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:14:28 EST
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148809
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172501 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206216

rec.radio.amateur.policy:174705

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 06:52:44 GMT, cb-radio@arrl.org (message center) wrote:

Nice troll. That outta stir up some people who are silly enough to think you are serious.

From -6407054655129834300
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:46 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
References: <Ui8s6.249$5R6.2283@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<984703856.3ab16370e97c3@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <4Kfs6.531$5R6.5609@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 22:29:50 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.65.189
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 984713216 216.117.65.189 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 21:26:56
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 21:26:56 CDT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148828
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172514 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206236
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174717

Does anybody know the procedure for having an individual removed from the news groups if they are as caustic as this "gentleman"? This type of abuse and attacks are totally uncalled for. It is one thing for people to have a little fun with matching barbs but this individual and others are stretching the avenues of freedom of speech beyond civilized limits. How dare he be allowed to character assassinate with the ugliness of a devil. There must be a place to report him besides the "abuse" center.

And One does not expect to produce quality anything by sending such garbage to the FCC or the ARRL. W9FPJ

"KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:984703856.3ab16370e97c3@webmail.cotse.com...
> "DickHEAD Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote in message news:Ui8s6.249
> $5R6.2283@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > You are just kidding.........right? W9FPJ
>
> Francis, you just PROVED how goddamn STUPID you really are.

From -5220226439914457073
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:46 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!
news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 22:11:44 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 984712315 209.140.206.201 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 22:11:55 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 22:11:55 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174715
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148825 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172511
rec.radio.amateur.misc:206233

[Followup to r.r.a.policy]

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Before the no-code techs came, the 2-meter band was
> completely dead. There probably weren't 25 people in the entire country
> using that band on a regular basis, and the number of VHF ham repeaters
> could likely be counted on one hand.

That's breathtakingly incorrect. The no-code Tech license came to be in 1990. The 2-meter band has been home to thousands of repeaters and a huge amount of activity since the late 1960's. I'm sure plenty of no-code Techs have participated in establishing and maintaining repeaters during the last decade, and more power to them. But the amount of activity at VHF and above prior to that was tremendous, and to say that VHF repeaters exist because of no-code Techs is just a complete distortion of history.

Jon

```
From -3262633888261097121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:46 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
References: <Ui8s6.249$5R6.2283@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<984703856.3ab16370e97c3@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 63
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <Qxfs6.528$5R6.5449@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 22:16:46 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.65.189
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 984712432 216.117.65.189 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 21:13:52
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 21:13:52 CDT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148826
```

```
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172512 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206234
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174716

Coming from you Roger that is a compliment.
"KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:984703856.3ab16370e97c3@webmail.cotse.com...
> "DickHEAD Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote in message news:Ui8s6.249
> $5R6.2283@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > You are just kidding.........right? W9FPJ
>
> Francis, you just PROVED how goddamn STUPID you really are.
>
>
> > "message center" <cb-radio@arrl.org> wrote in message
> > news:arrl_letter@get_the_message...
> > > ARRL members, Here is a letter that you can use and send to your ARRL
> > section
> > > manager, Division director, and ARRL board of Director:
> > >
> > > -------
> > >
> > > Dear (insert directors name here),
> > >
> > > Why don't you wake up and pull your fucking head out of your ass?  I
am
> > > concerned about the quality of amateur radio operators, not quantity.
If
> > I
> > > wanted to listen to a bunch of stupid operators, I would listen to CB.
Do
> > us
> > > all a favor and propose something that will impove amateur radio, not
dumb
> > it
> > > down. Bring integrity and intelligence back into the amateur radio
> > service.
> > > Thanks and 73.
> > >
> > > (insert your name here)
> > >
> > > --------
> >
> >
>
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
>
> .You poor whining a$$holes!
>
>
>
```

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

```
From -3248683332109724675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:46 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 18:02:17 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tb2ife5m8nuff9@corp.supernews.com>
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message> <3AB0CC63.948F079D@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 22
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148805
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172499 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206213
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174700
```

Why do we bother to reply to troll bait written by people who are gutless weasles who can't post a message with their name. Ignore this trash.

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message

```
news:3AB0CC63.948F079D@IEEE.org...
> message center wrote:
> > I am
> > concerned about the quality of amateur radio operators, not quantity.
>
> Does this mean that you want to reduce the number of hams available
> for emergency communications?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----




From -3120000894932091106
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:46 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <zxds6.1565$Fb5.1262426@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 18:58:52 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.133
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 984704223 206.29.242.133 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:57:03
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:57:03 EST
```

Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174707
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148813 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172504
rec.radio.amateur.misc:206223

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org...

> When I worked at Intel, a group of us would camp out together. We would
> meet at a preset place on a Saturday morning, decide where to camp out,
> and head out at a preset time. Somebody was always late and out of range
> of CB radios. I talked the entire group into getting their Tech
licenses.
>
> We then used a mountain-top repeater to keep in touch with the late
> comers and direct them to the camp site. It worked out well but only
> a few of the campers saw any use for HF. After all, they had given
> up their CB radios and gone to the trouble to obtain Tech licenses
> because HF couldn't perform the required function.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

And I would make a sizable bet that no one told their wives. This
bringing home bread and milk plays hob with mortuni time.

From -5152745217981346289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:04:47 PST
Message-ID: <3AB225AB.28A9EE88@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 08:39:39 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message> <3AB0CC63.948F079D@IEEE.org>
<tb3fltm5e7gk67@news.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118

X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984753782
64.240.47.118 (16 Mar 2001 08:43:02 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.antenna:148856
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:172538 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206257
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174742

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>
> Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org (W6RCecilA) wrote in <3AB0CC63.948F079D@IEEE.org>:
> >Does this mean that you want to reduce the number of hams available
> >for emergency communications?
>
> It means he wants to improve the quality of amateurs that are available
> for emergencies. I'm not surprised that you failed to see that Cecil, but
> then you never were one that put much stock in quality.

So Vince, during an emergency, are you and your family going to refuse
to be saved by an amateur radio operator who doesn't measure up to your
standards for quality? As far as emergency amateur radio operators go,
seems to me that halitosis is better than no breath at all.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1539879311098959647
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:00 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!nntp.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!news4.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com>
Organization: Tao Chemical Company
MIME-Version: 1.0
User-Agent: Hogwasher/2.6.1 (Macintosh)
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <01HW.B6D65B60003DD637072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.shortwave
Reply-To: gray@compcomm.com
Mail-Copies-To: ng-sent@compcomm.com
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
Lines: 102
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:44:45 CST
X-Trace: sv2-y8BUBq9nKU5cDqlJuPjrLbVjTLfpqmeB+1+T/
+hEea6ojHqXKt99DgwvRzYJuZxJMLcokUDHuBMZMU1!FvaR1ukH6nxBpJ8g+OLfN0qLR59NqQ==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 17:44:46 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.misc:206190
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174652 rec.radio.shortwave:222655

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 0:02:10 -0600, Jim Wayda wrote:

> Did you ever consider doctors that need to be contacted regarding their
> patients medical emergencies? Probably not! Call Phone jammers are illegal
> for a reason! Hopefully the FCC is monitoring this group.

Take your medications, relax and then re-read what I wrote.

Pay particular attention to my next to last para which I shall re-quote for
you in case you have problems with being able to figure out which is the
next-to-last paragraph:

">> And that can get people killed if
>> there's even a tiny mistake made."

And then I advocated Farraday cages.

Do you know what a Farraday cage is? From your rather silly response, it sure doesn't appear so.

As far as the FCC goes, you really need to learn what the FCC does as, apparently, you think they're some sort of agency which polices what people say about communications. There are resources available on the World Wide Web that will help you understand. Plus, almost any ham radio operator can explain the FCC to you in detail and nearly all of them will - if need be - speak very, very slowly.

When you type: "Call Phone jammers are illegal for a reason!" (what's a "Call Phone" anyway?), I would suggest that you remember that this isn't the "United States' Wide Web" nor the "Jim Wayda Wide Web" but the World Wide Web.
I would suggest that your statement "Call Phone jammers are illegal for a reason!" would be less ignorantly phrased as "Cell phone jammers are illegal in the United States for a reason".

Incidentally, I would never exaggerate my own importance by crossposting a message across a number of newsgroups ("I am important. hear me roar").

However, I shall answer this message by using the newsgroups in which you mega-broadcast your silliness, you supercilious twit.

Gray Shockley

"Swinehood hath no remedy." - Sidney Lanier

Original message:

>>> But wait, what if the cellphone user is aware of the
>>> jamming and just blast out their ringtone anyway?,
>>> Or turn the volume up high and keys morse code
>>> messages using the keypad...

> Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com> wrote:
>> ---
>> One doesn't jam the transmitter under "normal"
>> circumstances. It's the receiver that is usually
>> jammed.
>> ---
>>> How does the person with the cellphone
>>> jammer know for sure that the jammer
>>> is only jamming frequencies only used
>>> by cellphones?
>> ---

```
>> Let's clear this up. A "jammer" is a
>> transmitter. That's all. A transmitting
>> frequency is set on a "narrow-band" jammer
>> the same way it is with any other xmitter.
>>
>> ["Broadband" or "sweep" jammers are
>> generally only used on the battlefield.]
>>
>> But jammers /are/ designed to defeat
>> reception and a lot of jammers cause
>> spurious emissions and they also,
>> generally, have filthy power supplies.
>>
>> And that can get people killed if
>> there's even a tiny mistake made.
>>
>> I'm looking forward, myself, to cafes
>> and coffee shops which advertise
>> themselves as being in Faraday cages.
>>
>> Gray "33T4M" Shockley
>> ----------------------------
>> RX-320 w/70' longwire
>>
>
>
```

```
From 329568259661882775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:04 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 06:20:44 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
```

<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-54.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984655220 220195 204.116.70.55 (15 Mar 2001 11:20:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:20:20 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174620

Phil Kane wrote:

> Fundamental law: only the FCC has jurisdiction
> in electronic interference cases, whether the
> station is in compliance with FCC rules or not -
> a local/state or Federal court cannot enjoin
> operation nor impose penalties in a suit brought
> by alleged "victims". [snip]

Is that true, Phil? HR2346 (now part of 47 U.S.C. 302a) said...

"...a State or local government may enact a
statute or ordinance that prohibits a
violation of the following regulations
of the Commission under this section:

(A) A regulation that prohibits a use of
citizens band radio equipment not
authorized by the Commission."

I was under the impression that if the state can pass a law that prohibits the use of such equipment, it can "enjoin operation" of that equipment. Isn't "enjoin" and "prohibit" the same thing?   Likewise, if they can prohibit outright, what is to prevent them from doing so during certain hours of the day?

Likewise, the bill went on to say...

"(B) A regulation that prohibits the
unauthorized operation of citizens band
radio equipment on a frequency between
24 MHz and 35 MHz.

Wouldn't the operation of a station causing interference be an "unauthorized operation?" If so, wouldn't this paragraph cover electronic interference situations when it comes to local enforcement?

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 3611560107525699125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:04 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 08:11:42 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <B6D629BE.6BCC%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-
bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <989pv2$kbf$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aaa5ddc.9448266@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga20zl3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D1D271.52F7%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140235260.5106-100000@cluster2>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-54.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984661901 228642 204.116.70.55 (15 Mar 2001 13:11:41
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 13:11:41 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174624
```

Dana wrote:

> Talking about react, can we talk on
> channel 9 again on cb? There are some
> locals here in Griffin, Georgia that
> talk on channel 9 all the time. Can
> someone clear this rule up?

Its still against the rules to talk on channel 9. The channel is still reserved for emergencies, traffic advisories, and so forth.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 4761601855563956296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:04 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 07:35:53 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aaf7c26.25351435@news2.dvol.com> <20010314205950.02781.00000430@nso-mb.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.51
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.51
X-Trace: 15 Mar 2001 01:33:39 -0600, 64.24.19.51
Lines: 95
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174619

On 15 Mar 2001 01:59:50 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <3aaf7c26.25351435@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>writes:
>
>Might be SOME very low dipoles that way, senor, but you can't say
>that they all are.
>
First law of antennas: Never say never.
>
>
>Firstly, remember that Herman said his antennas
>were 100 feet up. That's easy 3 wavelengths at 10 m.
>
Duly noted before you weighed in and agreed. Why the hell anybody in
Hawaii would put up a N-S antenna beats me, the world is kinda E-W
from Hawaii.
>
> Secondly,
>the way to get omvi patterns is to use two half-wave long whips at
>90 degrees (aircraft VHF radios sometimes use those...omni at
>horizontal polarization.
>
More better simpler to get a round pattern by using an inverted vee.
>
>Low dipoles over good conductors (sea water is fairly good) will
>have lobes pointing UP. That may be good for NVIS, but not for
>long-haul HF skip. Dipoles at a three-lambda height get very

>classical in patterns.
>
No argument from me.
>
>I'm still wondering what happened to the Herman "wire beam" that
>was slung between buildings. [described in here around 3 years
>(or yarns) ago...]
>
Missed it, not sorry I missed it either.
>
>>There's no comparison between the rcvr performances of off-the-shelf
>>CB and ham rcvrs particularly when it comes to listening to cw. The
>>biggest difference is IF bandwidth, then they go downhill from there,
>>front end overload, IMD, etc. What the hell, ya can buy a new Radio
>>Slack CB xcvr for $49.99, that's the whole quality & performance story
>>right there.
>
>As I said, senor, hams are allowed to take anything and rebuild it
>
Yeah, we can legally do that. Any ham with an urge to build and half a
brain and a CB xcvr available for "rework" would maybe salvage the
knobs, spkr and mic at most and dumpster the rest.
>
>and, if a transmitter that performs within tech specs of Part 97,
>transmit with it.
>
Lenny 'ole bean kindly notice that this particular sub-thread has had
nothing to do with transmitters. Any piece 'o crap tx can squirt a
usable signal but if ya can't decipher the intelligence which was
transmitted with a decent rcvr there isn't much left to discuss.
>
> If you want straight-from-the-box rigs, then low
>cost equals low performance whether its a dedicated-to-ham-use
>radio or the evil, no-good, clunky CB (adjectives added to please
>all the CB haters out there).   :-)
>
That's correct and that's why there are no $49.99 ham xcvrs out there.

>
>>Back when I diddled with CB I switched between one of those clunkers
>>and my Collins rcvr and noted that I could only hear maybe 10% of the
>>signals on a given 27Mhz. freq with the Collins vs. all the "signals"
>>I could hear with the CB clunker. Heh.
>
>Military Collins receiving equipment is not noted for sensitivity.
>
For blatently obvious reasons. Let's not forget the Motorola versions
of the 390.
>
>The venerable R-390 to R-392 best HF sensitivity is specced
>at 3 microvolts for 10 db S:S+N. It's in the book. Modern AM
>(voice-channel bandwidth) receivers for HF can do about an
>average of 0.8 microvolts, less than 0.5 microvolts on SSB.

>
I have five reasonably decent HF rcvrs/xcvrs including one Collins box
and in all cases the ssb/cw sensitivities are in the 0.015-0.025 mV
range. Radios like these are not all about "state of the art"
sensitivity which is a no-brainer and is as often as not a detriment
to decoding weak HF signals. To wit: The only way I could finally get
solid copy out of the three watt French station I worked on 40m cw
last nite was to crank in 10dB worth of rcvr RF attenuation which got
rid of a useful amount of crap in the passband.
>
Dit?
>
w3rv

From 6727405310818563141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:04 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 06:47:03 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <B6D615E5.6BC9%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net> <98ihef$5oa$2@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
<B6D30AE4.5402%stewartx@sccoast.net> <pfo0btkj39muurc1uau40rilkhtpsttbvc@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-54.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984656804 221593 204.116.70.55 (15 Mar 2001 11:46:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:46:44 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174621

Captain wrote:

> Isn't anything posted to all of the
> following newsgroups automatically
> a troll?
>
> Newsgroups:
>   rec.radio.amateur.antenna,
>   rec.radio.amateur.equipment,

>   rec.radio.amateur.misc,
>   rec.radio.amateur.policy,
>   rec.radio.amateur.space,
>   rec.radio.cb,
>   rec.radio.scanner,
>   rec.radio.shortwave,
>   rec.radio.swap

Very true. Obviously, the trolls just love to start fights between these groups - hams versus CB'ers (with the occasional scanner or shortwave user jumping in to complain that the message has nothing to do with scanners or shortwave). And, of course, whenever the subject of CB comes up in a ham newsgroup, the bitter old (as hams, not age) men of ham radio always jump in to get at least one more lick at the newer hams. This stuff really does get old after a while.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -6424372710056138780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:04 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 20:39:46 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <98pguq$3mm$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AAFF871.ACD22C43@uci.edu> <98ou45$lmb$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-01.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174614
```

Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote in message
news:98ou45$lmb$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
>
> >Speaking of that, how come you never respond to Len Andersons
> >posts?

> >Are you pretty much in agreement with him?
>
> >73 de ac6xg
>
> What's his bag?

Vincent Biancomano, meet Lenard Anderson.

Len; Vince stands for everything you despise. Vince; Len stands for everything you despise.

Come out slugging, and may the best man win.

Jim, AC6XG

From -1613745685200083433
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:04 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Mar 2001 12:35:49 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <98qcv5$ac9$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <98pguq$3mm$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 984659749 10633 149.174.88.240 (15 Mar 2001 12:35:49 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 12:35:49 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174622

>>>Speaking of that, how come you never respond to Len Andersons
>>>posts?
>>>Are you pretty much in agreement with him?
>>
>>>73 de ac6xg
>>
>>What's his bag?

>Vincent Biancomano, meet Lenard Anderson.

>Len; Vince stands for everything you despise. Vince; Len stands
>for
>everything you despise.

>Come out slugging, and may the best man win.

>Jim, AC6XG

What's the point, at this point?

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 1315278830738620254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AB0CECC.D30143A5@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 08:16:44 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAFDF54.A3189E31@IEEE.org> <98osnn$kca$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984666007 64.240.47.79 (15 Mar 2001 08:20:07 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174629

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >Everything else being equal, it is not possible to copy five
> >minutes of 13wpm perfectly one month and fail to copy one minute
> >of 13wpm the next month.
>

> Get it together, Cecil: everything's NOT equal---

On the contrary, Vince, Morse code exams are not supposed to
vary from one month to the next.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1785936928614840900
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 15:57:38 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <98qopi$1go$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3AAEDA67.F924CBAF@IEEE.org> <20010314083314.02416.00000300@nso-
ma.aol.com> <3AAF85BC.C61421A4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 984671858 1560 205.139.138.14 (15 Mar 2001 15:57:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 15:57:38 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174643

In article <3AAF85BC.C61421A4@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

> Can Shaq dunk a basketball?
> Does he have to prove it every new day?

Of course he has to prove it! His opponents today don't give him credit
for what he did last month; only for what he does today.

Good luck on this one now!

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From 2727379429542432102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga8w3w0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<8e90btogq3aiu96k77burolt6s9c21jhbk@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 50
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:01:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.69
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984682874 206.58.44.69 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:01:14
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:01:14 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174661
```

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 02:25:51 GMT, Aaron Jones wrote:

>> This [quiet hours] is done only when the extrinsic symptoms make it evident
>> that the station is clearly in violation and then they are

```
>>  imposed only until the FCC can get a crew out there to make
>>  technical measurments.
>
>That may be the FCC practice, I'll certainly defer to your experience
>on the matter. However that doesn't appear to be what the regulations
>say. In fact part (a) might be an easy way for some local law
>enforcement of quiet hours using existing FCC regulations, with little
>technical expertise needed.

  Again, the locals cannot impose "quiet hours".

  Quite a few years ago, when the quiet hours authority was
  revived/revisited, there was quite an uproar from the ARRL
  General Counsel, who claimed that such unilateral imposition
  would be a defacto modification of an amateur licensee's
  privileges without the required notice and opportunity for
  hearing.  After much negotiation, it was agreed that it could
  only be invoked on an "immediate necessity" basis and then only
  until the FCC could investigate the situation.

>BTW- Those weird quiet hours must have been selected in the 30's... :-)

  That's EXACTLY when they date from, and the "Sunday Morning"
  restriction was to enable AM listeners to hear their church
  preachers on the local station.  The evening hours were to
  enable AM listeners to hear Burns and Allen, Amos n' Andy, and
  "Gangbusters" ...  <ggg>

  There is a section of the Communications Act - Section 4(f)(3)
  - that talks about overtine compensation of FCC field engineers
  making ship safety inspections.  The wording reflects that
  Saturday was a workday (which was true up until 1941), and
  refers to the Field Engineering and Monitoring Bureau, which
  was the name of "the field Bureau" from the early days until
  1966 (it had three more names after that until it was morphed
  into the Enforcement Bureau a few years back). The wording of
  the section was never updated.


--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP






From 2847844479771728085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
```

```
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com> <98o9db$goh$0@208.207.71.139>
<3AAFCD62.4C3A3C0@IEEE.org> <98ovhi$1nl$0@208.206.142.102>
<3AB0DDBE.51B9655D@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <yj5s6.1453$Fb5.952468@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 09:36:33 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.153.216.129
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 984670558 206.153.216.129 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 10:35:58
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 10:35:58 EST
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174640


"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AB0DDBE.51B9655D@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote: ...
> > rabid crditics of anyone who supports any sort of cofde testing ...
>
> Dick, I'm having trouble parsing that portion of your sentence.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

Are we in Pedant Mode today, Cecil???




From 3403016323962020961
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
```

```
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 73
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:01:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.69
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984682879 206.58.44.69 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:01:19
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:01:19 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174663

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 06:20:44 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>
>> Fundamental law:  only the FCC has jurisdiction
>> in electronic interference cases, whether the
>> station is in compliance with FCC rules or not -
>> a local/state or Federal court cannot enjoin
>> operation nor impose penalties in a suit brought
>> by alleged "victims".  [snip]
>
>
>  Is that true, Phil?  HR2346 (now part of 47 U.S.C. 302a) said...
>
>       "...a State or local government may enact a
>       statute or ordinance that prohibits a
>       violation of the following regulations
>       of the Commission under this section:
>
>       (A) A regulation that prohibits a use of
>       citizens band radio equipment not
>       authorized by the Commission."
>
>  I was under the impression that if the state can pass a law that prohibits
>the use of such equipment, it can "enjoin operation" of that equipment.
>Isn't "enjoin" and "prohibit" the same thing?

  Yes it is...the local  authority can pass an ordinance that
```

says exactly what the enabling statute says. Injunction is a process that can be used to enforce that ordinance.

>Likewise, if they can prohibit outright, what is to prevent them from doing so during certain >hours of the day?

The enabling legislation does not authorize the locals to do this. When it comes to delegation of authority (which this is) if a power is not specifically permitted it's prohibited.

> Wouldn't the operation of a station causing interference be an
>"unauthorized operation?"

No. A 4-watt CB transmitter operated on one of the 40 CB channels can cause fundamental overload and/or audio rectification in unprotected home electronic/entertainment equipment (we proved that in a series of surveys in the 1980s).

In that case the CB operator is "authorized" and the locals do not have any probable cause to invoke the authority to enforce the overpower/off-frequency/unauthorized equipment rules. They do not have delegated authority to require a station operator to make the station available for inspection under penalty for failure to do so, nor do they have the authority to require the station operator to submit to on-air or equipment tests to determine that the equipment is non-compliant.

>If so, wouldn't this paragraph cover electronic
>interference situations when it comes to local enforcement?

The U S Courts of Appeal in several Circuits have all held one after the other that the Comm Act in Section 302(b) specifically grants to the FCC exclusive jursidiction over interference and devices that cause interference. This jurisdiction was not delegated to the "locals".

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 3424163148851614307
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!

```
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 10:05:27 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3AB10467.E867F9C4@uci.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
<98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaeab49.291430009@news2.dvol.com>
<98o4gd$ru6$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaff5bc.56476936@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174656
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> Not so much a case of skepticism on my part I'm just surprised that we
> don't hear asian illegals on 10m at least once in awhile. JAs boom
> here on 10 as do VKs & ZLs when the band is open in those directions.

What time of day is it in Asia when you hear the JAs, VKs, and ZLs? Middle of the work day? Is ten open from the Asia to the East coast at the time when Jeff is hearing taxis on the air from Malasia? Come on Brian, think about it. You know this stuff better than I.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From 4065091549451326783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-
out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <2jq1btcdr7q3qbs9lv5mneidd7dljr8703@4ax.com>
References: <vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com>
<20010314222835.02818.00000886@nso-mi.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

Lines: 60
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 16:12:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 984672767 24.95.131.236 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:12:47
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:12:47 EST
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174646

On 15 Mar 2001 03:28:35 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com>, AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
>writes:
>
>>>Look, pal, *you're* the one who's stressed-out over the fact that I
>>>use AOL -- not me! I don't require any "vindication" -- but I do sense
>>>that you have a strong desire to feel superior to me based on your
>>>choice of ISP! How immature is that?
>>>
>>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>>Larry,
>>   It's not because of my choice of ISP that I feel superior to
>>you...
>
>Ray:
>
>Please feel free to feel superior to anyone you wish to feel superior
>to. If that's something you need, then I won't stand in the way of
>your happiness.
>
>BTW -- nice looking shack. I have a 1984-vintage Kenwood TR-7950
>myself; it was my main 2m mobile rig for almost 10 years before it
>became the transceiver for our local Packet node. Almost 17 years
>of daily service, still going...and going...and going... The Energizer
>Bunny doesn't have a thing on this rig!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Hmmm... now I'm gonna go feeling all sorry for being mean to you and
stuff... Damn, just when I was enjoying this evil streak of mine!
Oh well...

Thanks for the comments on the "shack." The "shack" is really just a
corner of my office, an office which is occupied more by computers
than radios. The location of the radio gear provides me with a full
180 degree field of view outside, as it's located in a decorative
turret in the 100+ year old Victorian house I call home.

I agree with you on the 7950. Can't kill the darned thing. I use it
to talk on repeaters up to 60 miles away, barefoot, on either a double
trombone vertical or an 11 element Yaqi (full quieting into that

distant repeater on low power with that antenna). Only thing wrong with this radio is one of the LCD elements in the KHz place fails to darken...

The DX-160 is a recent addition. It's a fun receiver. I guess it can be said that I enjoy vintage (but not antique) equipment - but I've sure got my eyes on the new Kenwood TS-2000! Would like to get into more digital modes....

Anyway... no hard feelings I trust... I argue for the fun of it.. . Besides, I'm an asshole from the beginning - even to my best friends... My bark is much worse than my bite....

best 73 de

Ray / AB2HR

```
From 4116313487096492281
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AB0DA28.51A9EC5D@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 09:05:12 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
References: <3AAFE2EA.9B886E72@IEEE.org> <20010314222834.02818.00000885@nso-
mi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984668916
64.240.47.79 (15 Mar 2001 09:08:36 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174636
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Cecil, I'm going to ask you to set aside your quibbling over the definition of "forced" for a while and give you yet another opportunity to provide the explanation of WHY you think the issue of "force" is relevant re: Morse code testing. It's a simple question; would you mind answering it, please?

The fact that the truth is a thorn in the side of the PCTA is why the argument is relevant. Its relevance helps to alleviate my boredom. Count the number of PCTA folk who have complained about the truth.

You see, Larry, there a quirk of logic involved. Since the government requires and enFORCES Morse code skill as a prerequisite for a US HF amateur radio license, that is prima facie evidence that all US HF hams were forced to pass a Morse code exam. US citizens who were not forced to pass a Morse code exam are not US HF amateur radio operators. There are no US HF hams who used their free will to choose not to meet the Morse code skill requirements. US HF hams simply had no choice at all. Whether they felt forced or not is irrelevant.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4948084469462174734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<B6D64C86.6BCF%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <NA5s6.3308$%s3.1173581@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 09:53:58 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.153.216.129
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 984671661 206.153.216.129 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 10:54:21
EST)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 10:54:21 EST
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174642

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6D64C86.6BCF%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > [snip] but I do sense that you have a
> > strong desire to feel superior to me
> > based on your choice of ISP! How
> > immature is that?
>
>
>   Oh, come on, Larry. You're making this too easy for me. His feeling
> superior to you because of his choice of ISP is no more immature than
your
> superior attitude because of morse code.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

Here we go again. Lifer Tech Syndrome rears its ugly head. Divert
attention from that nasty icky code, unhorse the achievers, and achieve
status by trying to buy it. If you can't hack it, denigrate it.

If there is any status in Morse code speed these days, it seems to be in
the eyes of those who can't or won't do it.

Dick N�BK

From 5472763142818946291
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Mar 2001 17:37:04 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <98quk0$jsn$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AB0CECC.D30143A5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 984677824 20375 149.174.88.251 (15
Mar 2001 17:37:04 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 17:37:04 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174651

>> Get it together, Cecil: everything's NOT equal---

>On the contrary, Vince, Morse code exams are not supposed to
>vary from one month to the next.

Yet another shift of focal point, Cecil? Whether they do or don't doesn't enter into this discussion. The factor under discussion here is that the capability of a human engaged in virtually any human endeavor is going to change from month to month, and even day to day. And the capability to copy Morse is certainly one of those human endeavors.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 5661795439481618162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 10:40:07 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <B6D64C86.6BCF%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-87.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984670830 239963 206.74.49.87 (15 Mar 2001 15:40:30
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 15:40:30 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174641

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> [snip] but I do sense that you have a
> strong desire to feel superior to me
> based on your choice of ISP! How
> immature is that?

```
  Oh, come on, Larry.  You're making this too easy for me.  His feeling
superior to you because of his choice of ISP is no more immature than your
superior attitude because of morse code.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net




From 5894484661459072509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!
typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <f5q1btk1kjmb4isq2n7t4c9cqudjsh8l6r@4ax.com>
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com> <98o9db$goh$0@208.207.71.139>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 16:00:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 984672030 24.95.131.236 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:00:30
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:00:30 EST
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174644

On 14 Mar 2001 17:22:51 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>AB2HR wrote:
>>
>> >Look, pal, *you're* the one who's stressed-out over the fact that I
>> >use AOL -- not me!  I don't require any "vindication" -- but I do sense
>> >that you have a strong desire to feel superior to me based on your
>> >choice of ISP!  How immature is that?
```

>> >
>> >73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>> Larry,
>>   It's not because of my choice of ISP that I feel superior to
>> you...
>
>
>
>Yeah, we know.....The date of your license tells it all.

... and the date of my license has nothing to do with it... If those
who have been around longer are better suited - why do old people die
of old age?

From 7207292981087450034
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d954284d1d5d926e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga1z051.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2bi95.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: ID Speed question
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <CG4s6.16168$R_6.1718111@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 14:52:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 129.111.93.239
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 984667938 129.111.93.239 (Thu, 15 Mar
2001 06:52:18 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 06:52:18 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174635

Thanks to all who replied. I had always read that reg (97.119) as applying
to repeaters but as you state it says "automatic device." My IDer is an
automatic device so 20wpm it is.

73/
Kevin, WB5RUE
I am Voltohm of Borg, resistance is E/I, power is EI, you will be

attenuated!

```
From 9112792044451548599
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!
news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB0EA20.39BDB0DB@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D0D9A5.4ED1%stewartx@sccoast.net> <98ihef$5oa$2@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
<B6D30AE4.5402%stewartx@sccoast.net> <pfo0btkj39muurc1uau40rilkhtpsttbvc@4ax.com>
<B6D615E5.6BC9%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 32
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 10:13:21 -0600
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174647

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Captain wrote:
>
> > Isn't anything posted to all of the
> > following newsgroups automatically
> > a troll?
> >
> > Newsgroups:
> >     rec.radio.amateur.antenna,
> >     rec.radio.amateur.equipment,
> >     rec.radio.amateur.misc,
> >     rec.radio.amateur.policy,
> >     rec.radio.amateur.space,
> >     rec.radio.cb,
> >     rec.radio.scanner,
> >     rec.radio.shortwave,
> >     rec.radio.swap
>
>   Very true.  Obviously, the trolls just love to start fights between these
> groups - hams versus CB'ers (with the occasional scanner or shortwave user
```

> jumping in to complain that the message has nothing to do with scanners or shortwave). And, of course, whenever the subject of CB comes up in a ham newsgroup, the bitter old (as hams, not age) men of ham radio always jump in to get at least one more lick at the newer hams. This stuff really does get old after a while.

This reminds me of something I once read about the result of taking a black ant from its anthill and putting it in a nearby red ant anthill.

john

From -8806804299675083694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AB0D486.420C2F04@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 08:41:10 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAF85BC.C61421A4@IEEE.org> <20010314203330.13684.00000559@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984667473 64.240.47.79 (15 Mar 2001 08:44:33 -0600)
Lines: 51
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174633

N2EY wrote:
> Try reading the 1954 version with an open mind.

So one must have an open mind to be able to interpret the license manual correctly? Why didn't they just say it in plain English? Why don't you quote the appropriate section for me?

> Who is talking about "evil"? Not me.

If you're not objecting to something evil, why are you objecting at all?

> That's very good. But it doesn't mean you get a "get out of test free" card.

Yes it did.

> >The testing procedure paperwork
> >associated with the unchanged standards is what changed. The code standard
> >didn't change until 2000.
>
> Not true at all.

Of course it's true. The 13wpm standard for Generals was in effect from at least 1951 to 2000. You need to recognize the difference between standards and procedures associated with those standards. The standards had not changed in the 30 days between my exams.

> If he didn't think it was needed, why did he bother at all?

My first choice is crawfish at a restaurant. If they are out of crawfish, I select a second choice.

> Which he could have done before, if it were legal. But it wasn't. He bent the
> rules for you.

I wouldn't be surprised to find out that an FCC examiner had also done something like that in the past. It was a harmless victimless crime.

> > I'm not even convinced that violated the rules.
>
> He did. But I doubt very much you will ever admit that.

Please quote me some proof of violation. My license manual doesn't say retesting for a passed Morse code exam was necessary.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8147091111253631580
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AB10362.ABC25B56@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 12:01:06 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AB0CECC.D30143A5@IEEE.org> <98quk0$jsn$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984679470 64.240.47.100 (15 Mar 2001 12:04:30 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174657

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> The factor under discussion
> here is that the capability of a human engaged in virtually any
> human endeavor is going to change from month to month, and even
> day to day. And the capability to copy Morse is certainly one of
> those human endeavors.

Morse code is like riding a bicycle or swimming. One doesn't forget Morse code in one month. It was obviously at the discretion of the code examiner whether to give a second code test or simply sign off based on the results of the first test a month before. I wouldn't be surprised to find out that FCC code examiners did exactly that for someone they recognized and remembered. It's just human nature.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7070061525419549559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-
state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Mar 2001 18:27:26 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <98r1ie$l65$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AB10362.ABC25B56@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 984680846 21701 149.174.88.247 (15
Mar 2001 18:27:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 18:27:26 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174660
```

>Morse code is like riding a bicycle or swimming. One doesn't
>forget Morse code in one month. It was obviously at the
>discretion of the code examiner whether to give a second code
>test or simply sign off based on the results of the first test
>a month before. I wouldn't be surprised to find out that FCC
>code examiners did exactly that for someone they recognized
>and remembered. It's just human nature.

Again, stay to the point: there's variability in human ability every day of the year. People who can copy 1-minute solid at one speed one month may not be able to do it at the same speed the next month. That's an absolute fact, not changed by the fact that you were not made to follow that route some 40+ years ago. Nothing you can say alters the fact that there's variability in human response to the point of what you did yesterday you may not be able to do today. And, not counting car accidents and near-fatal experiences and the like, that could be simply because you just don't feel good today.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

```
From 7896637225440816172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b831c0f690650755
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 14:08:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
```

```
netnews.com!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!
bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: LAPD kicked off ham band.
In-Reply-To: <3ab272a1.219534290@news2.dvol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103162201050.14641-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <98ra7u$3t5$1@news.netmar.com> <3AB16AD8.55419219@ix.netcom.com>
<3ab272a1.219534290@news2.dvol.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 17
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:01:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 984780071 12.80.44.41 (Fri, 16 Mar
2001 22:01:11 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:01:11 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17402

On Fri, 16 Mar 2001, Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:
> On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:22:32 -0600, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >Shouldn't the callsign be WB6Xxx?
> >
> It was next up on the national experimental station callsign list.
> >
> >Measures S5 on my trollmeter....
> >
> No troll, it happened. The LAPD TV link license will be cancelled
> effective Dec. 1 this year unless the LAPD causes interference to hams
> on 2.4Ghz in which case the FCC will yank the license on the spot.

It's about time.  Now, all we need is to get rid of all those cordless phones
baby monitors, and [stock] video senders that keep jamming my repeater's input
on 2405.000.




From 3756753745125863451
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 15:10:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-
```

cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 17:00:38 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 984783489 205.138.126.171 (Fri, 16 Mar 2001 17:58:09 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 17:58:09 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17403

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@dvol> wrote in message .

> \>>>
> \>
> Gates needs to come up with keyboards which include the greek geek
> alphabet. uV don't cut it.
> \>

If you really want it to say �V it is ALT 0181.

Just my 2�. � this into your repertoire.

Dick N�BK

From 804255415372853634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 16:26:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!iad-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Peach" <peach@NOpulsenetSPAM.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am

```
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Lines: 56
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <C6ys6.22147$Sl.983957@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 19:24:01 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.32.6.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: iad-read.news.verio.net 984788514 12.32.6.71 (Sat, 17 Mar 2001 00:21:54
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 00:21:54 GMT
Organization: Verio
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner:9253
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:10182 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13474
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17404 rec.radio.shortwave:28976

What if the "Business post that this is in operation"??



"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com...
> Did you ever consider doctors that need to be contacted regarding their
> patients medical emergencies? Probably not! Call Phone jammers are illegal
> for a reason! Hopefully the FCC is monitoring this group.
>
> Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com> wrote in message
> news:01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net...
> > On Wed, 14 Mar 2001 12:06:04 -0600, mbz07 wrote:
> >
> > > But wait, what if the cellphone user is aware of the
> > > jamming and just blast out their ringtone anyway?,
> > > Or turn the volume up high and keys morse code
> > > messages using the keypad...
> > -------------------------------------------------------
> > One doesn't jam the transmitter under "normal"
> > circumstances. It's the receiver that is usually
> > jammed.
> > -------------------------------------------------------
> > > How does the person with the cellphone
> > > jammer know for sure that the jammer
> > > is only jamming frequencies only used
> > > by cellphones?
> > -------------------------------------------------------
> > Let's clear this up. A "jammer" is a
> > transmitter. That's all. A transmitting
```

> > frequency is set on a "narrow-band" jammer
> > the same way it is with any other xmitter.
> >
> > ["Broadband" or "sweep" jammers are
> > generally only used on the battlefield.]
> >
> > But jammers /are/ designed to defeat
> > reception and a lot of jammers cause
> > spurious emissions and they also,
> > generally, have filthy power supplies.
> >
> > And that can get people killed if
> > there's even a tiny mistake made.
> >
> > I'm looking forward, myself, to cafes
> > and coffee shops which advertise
> > themselves as being in Faraday cages.
> >
> > Gray "33T4M" Shockley
> > ----------------------------
> > RX-320 w/70' longwire
> >
>
>

From 8754600057772225453
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 17:02:29 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 01:00:23 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab28985.225394853@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010316071522.13684.00000680@nso-fo.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.88
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.88
X-Trace: 16 Mar 2001 18:58:04 -0600, 64.24.18.88
Lines: 66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17405

On 16 Mar 2001 12:15:22 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>How about the R-390A, a design from 1954. Granted, it's not a typical ham
>receiver, and they are big boys, but they are common enough to be readily
>available for far less than $1k. And they are pretty good, as demonstrated in a
>series of articles by Ray Osterwald, N0DMS, in Electric Radio (1991).
>
Beasts! One of the ultimate boat anchors. Had ears galore but weren't
much fun to operate the way hams use rcvrs.
>
>Pretty good for a design from 1954, updated with tubes from the mid-60s. The
>R-390A is a multiconversion general coverage design. A ham bands only design
>could do even better.
>
. . . they were called the 75S series . .
>
>Once you get below a microvolt or so, external noise usually dominates on HF
>unless the antenna is very small or the location very quiet.
>
Agreed.
>
>
>AMEN! The real problem is often picking the 1 uV signal out from between the
>hordes of 100,000 uV signals.
>
That's the whole ballgame, there is no other game in town.
>
>All sorts of IM products to deal with.
>A case in point of the above problem.
>
Wasn't the problem, not with this little TS-50, much to my surprise it
seems to be as as good as any radio I've ever used in this respect.
Haven't run into so much as a hint of IMD yet and I wuz in the piles
on 80cw in the contest which is my real world front end linearity acid
test. I have no reason so far not to rank this little mobile rig's
front end right up there with the 75S-3B's front end. Absolute fact.
>
The problem was local electrical noise which grabbed the agc. I
cranked in the attenuator which tamed the over-aggressive acg which
then allowed me to hear Frenchman.
>
It has a circuit/thingey called an "automatic intercept point",
whatever that is, the manual barely mentions it. I didn't pay any
attention to it until yesterday when I finally dug into it. It's
effect is sorta like a super noise blanker which is quite effective on
cw. 'Way better than the noise blanker. If I'd switched it in when I
was working the F6 I woulda had it made.
>
>One reason why the published numbers are only a starting point, and why designs
>from decades ago can outperform many "modern" ones.
>
Sure, some of 'em can and I was sure as hell no fan of the early solid
state rcvrs. HRO-500: Whatta crapper! I now have some solid experience

with three current (+/-) solid state mid/high end rcvrs and they're
all really decent, I don't have any major performance squawks even
with the TS-940 which is a 20-year-old design. There's no question in
my mind that today's solid state gear is very much up to snuff as far
as performing as well as any of the tube-type radios ever did or can
do. Not counting cheap clunkers of either type. Top-notch solid state
front ends have arrived. When you add all the additional tricks the
new radios do there is no contest and it can only get more so.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>

w3rv

From -8143472540202896288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,755924f17ac750c3,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 17:55:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 1
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Mar 2001 01:53:22 GMT
References: <3AB29017.E2520026@schorpioen.bizland.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Freeband 480-500 MHz
Message-ID: <20010316205322.03216.00001209@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17406

Who would've thought?

From 1197093886741217019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 18:10:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc

Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 17 Mar 2001 02:08:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.103
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17407
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18733 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10454
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13477

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> >   However, now that the no-code techs are actually using the band, and
> have
> > put all those repeaters up, the older hams sit around all day complaining.
> > Its not like the older hams have lost anything
>
> They are losing, or lost, the PR battle that every no code Tech has a
> burning desire to get on H.F. A surprising number of Tech class operators
> don't care for H.F. much. I would dare say that some of the new Hams don't
> even consider access to H.F. as the "crown jewel" of Ham Radio any more.
>
> > - they still have all their HF frequencies.
>
> And some like to go out of their way to tweak the noses of no code Techs
> about too.
>
> > And absolutely nobody is forcing any of these people to
> > listen to the 2-meter frequencies. So I just don't understand what all
> the
> > griping is about.
>
> With more things to do on V/UHF the lure of H.F. has declined a bit. As you
> pointed out, in the past with little use of the V/UHF bands, a Tech class
> had few choices for stations to work. That's now no longer true.
>
> >   Perhaps there is some strange twisted logic at work here.
>
> Yup. The Tech class people are having fun, and some of the OTers are really
> bugged about it.
>

  No, Leland, no one is "bugged" about that. Many of us have dedicated a
huge amount of our personal time to help make that happen, in case you
didn't know what a VE does.
 What many are "bugged" about is the lowering of requirements,
especially for the highest class of license. Nothing more.

From -6987152872974238067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 18:18:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 17 Mar 2001 02:17:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <98uhfp$h5c$0@208.206.142.103>
References: <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010315140530.01910.00000795@nso-cu.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8zaa3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.103
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17408

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 15 Mar 2001 19:05:30 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> >>Before the no-code techs came, the 2-meter band was
> >>completely dead. There probably weren't 25 people in the entire country
> >>using that band on a regular basis, and the number of VHF ham repeaters
> >>could likely be counted on one hand.
> >
> >There were quite a few more than "25." :-)
> >
> >Back in 1977 Harry Terraneau demonstrated a tone-signalled repeater
> >chain that extended from north of California's Bay Area down the coast
> >and into Arizona.
>
>   In 1958, the first "public" 2-m repeater in the LA area

> (K6MYK on Mt. Lee) went on the air, and we used it for "public
> service" in relaying election district counts in the
> gubernatorial election that put Edmund G. "Pat" Brown (Sr.) in
> the governor's seat. That was both the first election that I
> voted in and the first repeater that I had used. We certainly
> had much more than 25 people on that machine at that time and
> continuing.
>
> In 1961 I relocated to NY and amazed folks back there with
> tales of the "repeater"...we had at least 25 people on our
> simplex 2-meter net.
>
> When I returnmed to California in 1963 and used the repeater
> located in the San Bernardino Mountains we certainly had more
> than 25 users.....
>
> When I finally went "FM" on 2-meters in 1973 we had more than
> 25 people on our machine in the San Francisco area.
>
> "Dead"? Hardly.
>
>

Loose Mouth Lennie does it again, he really doesn't mind embarassing himself at all with such drivel. It is a hard fact that in most places 2 meter activity today is a fraction of what it was before 1991. In most places a SMALL fraction.

Dick

From 7946748596178332910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b831c0f690650755
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 18:41:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: LAPD kicked off ham band.
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 20:39:17 -0600
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AB2CE55.3323CC07@ix.netcom.com>
References: <98ra7u$3t5$1@news.netmar.com> <3AB16AD8.55419219@ix.netcom.com> <3ab272a1.219534290@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0b.ba.c4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Mar 2001 02:39:16 GMT

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17409

read about it at the ARRL newsletters at:
http://www.arrl.org/arrlletter/01/0316/

Imagine, real news disguised as a troll!

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:22:32 -0600, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Measures S5 on my trollmeter....
> >
> No troll, it happened. The LAPD TV link license will be cancelled
> effective Dec. 1 this year unless the LAPD causes interference to hams
> on 2.4Ghz in which case the FCC will yank the license on the spot.



From -1198863334025688790
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 18:44:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gabdt60.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010315140530.01910.00000795@nso-cu.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8zaa3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uhfp$h5c$0@208.206.142.103>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 63
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 02:41:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984796917 206.58.44.11 (Sat, 17 Mar 2001 02:41:57
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 02:41:57 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17410
```

```
On 17 Mar 2001 02:17:29 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>
>
>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>> On 15 Mar 2001 19:05:30 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> >>Before the no-code techs came, the 2-meter band was
>> >>completely dead.  There probably weren't 25 people in the entire country
>> >>using that band on a regular basis, and the number of VHF ham repeaters
>> >>could likely be counted on one hand.
>> >
>> >There were quite a few more than "25."  :-)
>> >
>> >Back in 1977 Harry Terraneau demonstrated a tone-signalled repeater
>> >chain that extended from north of California's Bay Area down the coast
>> >and into Arizona.
>>
>>   In 1958, the first "public" 2-m  repeater in the LA area
>>   (K6MYK on Mt. Lee) went on the air, and we used it for "public
>>   service" in relaying election district counts in the
>>   gubernatorial election that put Edmund G. "Pat" Brown (Sr.) in
>>   the governor's seat.  That was both the first election that I
>>   voted in and the first repeater that I had used.  We certainly
>>   had much more than 25 people on that machine at that time and
>>   continuing.
>>
>>   In 1961 I relocated to NY and amazed folks back there with
>>   tales of the "repeater"...we had at least 25 people on our
>>   simplex 2-meter net.
>>
>>   When I returnmed to California in 1963 and used the repeater
>>   located in the San Bernardino Mountains we certainly had more
>>   than 25 users.....
>>
>>   When I finally went "FM" on 2-meters in 1973 we had more than
>>   25 people on our machine in the San Francisco area.
>>
>>   "Dead"?  Hardly.
>>
>>
>
>
>Loose Mouth Lennie does it again, he really doesn't mind embarassing
>himself at all with such drivel. It is a hard fact that in most places 2
>meter activity today is a fraction of what it was before 1991. In most
>places a SMALL fraction.

  Now now, Dick...

  In all fairness to Mister Anderson, he agreed that 2-m wasn't
  dead...follow the quotes above backwards and you'll see that it
  was someone else who said that.   My point was that 2-meters
  was alive in the Soiu Cal area long before 1977.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP








From -5763328689285576855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 18:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Mar 2001 02:47:31 GMT
References: <B6D64B07.6BCE%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010316214731.12960.00002753@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17411

In article <B6D64B07.6BCE%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
>                   AOL = No Computer User
>     No Computer User = No Ham
>                No Ham = Larry
>
>
>  Sorry, Larry, I just had to give you a hard time over this.  ;-)
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

Knock yourself right flat out, Dwight -- all you managed to do is give
me a good laugh!

73 de Larry, K3LT
```

```
From -7264807811466000864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 18:52:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Mar 2001 02:47:31 GMT
References: <3AB0DDBE.51B9655D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010316214731.12960.00002752@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17412

In article <3AB0DDBE.51B9655D@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote: ...
>> rabid crditics of anyone who supports any sort of cofde testing ...
>
>Dick, I'm having trouble parsing that portion of your sentence.

Cedil:

What's fhe proflem?  Id wqre perqectly cleab to me!

84 id Larrq, G0LYW




From -2398262031438829492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 18:52:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!
news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Mar 2001 02:47:32 GMT
References: <2jq1btcdr7q3qbs9lv5mneidd7dljr8703@4ax.com>
```

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010316214732.12960.00002755@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17413

In article <2jq1btcdr7q3qbs9lv5mneidd7dljr8703@4ax.com>, AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net> writes:

>
>Anyway... no hard feelings I trust... I argue for the fun of it.. .
>Besides, I'm an asshole from the beginning - even to my best
>friends... My bark is much worse than my bite....
>
>best 73 de
>
>Ray / AB2HR

Ray:

I've never taken anything said in this newsgroup personally against the sender; I consider this to be a hobby (just like ham radio) and I entertain myself by participating here. What I say when I'm making statements about my personal feelings on a particular policy issue are my true thoughts and feelings, but there is a lot of rhetoric and ego-induced posturing that I'll admit is tongue-in-cheek, while others won't. Nothing said here is going to influence any policy decisions anywhere it counts; all we're doing is honing our arguments for the time that we present them to the FCC, ARRL, or other legitimate agencys that DO effect change in the ARS.

Any and all participants in this newsgroup are people I've come to think of as special friends over the years, regardless of our differences of opinion. This is America; you get to disagree with me, I get to disagree with you, and we both get to keep living! Therefore there's no need to feel guilty for something every last one of us has done in the safety of our own home while looking at a monitor and pounding a keyboard.

BTW I also have the multi-mode "brother" of the Kenwood TR-7950, the TR-9130. It is mainly my own home station packet transceiver lately, and has been parked on the PacketCluster freq. for the better part of two years now. Only thing is, I've had this one 2 years longer than the 7950; purchased it at the Gaithersburg (Maryland) hamfest in Sept '82!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5132341578081379944
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 18:52:10 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 02:49:55 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab2cfe3.243408878@news2.dvol.com>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
<98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaeab49.291430009@news2.dvol.com>
<98o4gd$ru6$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaff5bc.56476936@news2.dvol.com>
<3AB10467.E867F9C4@uci.edu> <3ab1323e.137510909@news2.dvol.com>
<98tjgc$b4d$1@news.service.uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.60
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.60
X-Trace: 16 Mar 2001 20:47:36 -0600, 64.24.18.60
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!
chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17414

pmOn Fri, 16 Mar 2001 09:47:54 -0800, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:



>
>My clock is set to Pacific Standard time. You should probably set your clock
>back an hour.   ;-)
>
Four time zones. 9-8-7-6. 9-4=6. 9pm Philly time = 6pm LA time.
>
>73, Jim AC6XG
>
w3rv




From 7612249365691354960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 18:52:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 27
```

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Mar 2001 02:47:31 GMT
References: <B6D64C86.6BCF%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010316214731.12960.00002754@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17415

In article <B6D64C86.6BCF%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
>> [snip] but I do sense that you have a
>> strong desire to feel superior to me
>> based on your choice of ISP!  How
>> immature is that?
>
>
>  Oh, come on, Larry.  You're making this too easy for me.  His feeling
>superior to you because of his choice of ISP is no more immature than your
>superior attitude because of morse code.

Dwight:

Why do you feel I have a "superior attitude" because of the morse (sic)
code?  I've said, repeatedly, that it is a proven fact that people from
all walks of life, surely people both much less and much more intelligent
and talented than I am, have mastered the Morse code with no
difficulty.  I don't think I'm anything special at all as a ham, certainly
not because of my ability to pass a 20 WPM code test.  I'm just an
average guy who achieved a thing which is well proven to be achievable
by average people.  Whut's yer pernt?

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 7949058211554226115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 18:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Mar 2001 02:47:33 GMT
```

References: <3AB0DA28.51A9EC5D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Message-ID: <20010316214733.12960.00002756@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17416

In article <3AB0DA28.51A9EC5D@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Cecil, I'm going to ask you to set aside your quibbling over the definition
>of
>> "forced" for a while and give you yet another opportunity to provide the
>> explanation of WHY you think the issue of "force" is relevant re: Morse
>code
>> testing. It's a simple question; would you mind answering it, please?
>
>The fact that the truth is a thorn in the side of the PCTA is why the
>argument is relevant. Its relevance helps to alleviate my boredom.
>Count the number of PCTA folk who have complained about the truth.
>
>You see, Larry, there a quirk of logic involved. Since the government
>requires and enFORCES Morse code skill as a prerequisite for a US HF
>amateur radio license, that is prima facie evidence that all US HF hams
>were forced to pass a Morse code exam. US citizens who were not forced
>to pass a Morse code exam are not US HF amateur radio operators. There
>are no US HF hams who used their free will to choose not to meet the
>Morse code skill requirements. US HF hams simply had no choice at all.
>Whether they felt forced or not is irrelevant.

Cecil:

OK, so WHAT if I was "forced" to take a Morse code test? Was I
also "forced" to buy all the stuff that goes into my ham shack, and was
I "forced" to use it on the air? Am I being "forced" to make CW QSO's
simply because I was "forced" to take the tests, "forced" to succeed
at them, and "forced" to take the privileges that went with them?

Again, Cecil, please explain the RELEVANCE of the word
"forced" in the context of the Morse code testing requirements. You
still haven't done that. I don't give a rats a$$ about the definition of
the word. I know what it means. I just want to understand what you
are trying to say with regard to this "force." I want you to explain how
this "force" has meaning to the average citizen who VOLUNTARILY
takes it upon himself to acquire the knowledge and skills necessary
to become a radio amateur in the United States or anywhere else on
planet Earth. I'm sure there must be an agenda hidden in all that
quibbling somewhere, and I'm not willing to wait until July to finally
pry it out of you. Give. Now. Please! Shit or get off the pot!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2245358078165838893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 19:04:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 17 Mar 2001 03:00:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <98uk09$nee$0@208.206.142.103>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.103
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17417

Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> [Followup to r.r.a.policy]
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Before the no-code techs came, the 2-meter band was
> > completely dead. There probably weren't 25 people in the entire country
> > using that band on a regular basis, and the number of VHF ham repeaters
> > could likely be counted on one hand.
>
> That's breathtakingly incorrect. The no-code Tech license came to be in
> 1990. The 2-meter band has been home to thousands of repeaters and a
> huge amount of activity since the late 1960's. I'm sure plenty of
> no-code Techs have participated in establishing and maintaining
> repeaters during the last decade, and more power to them. But the amount
> of activity at VHF and above prior to that was tremendous, and to say
> that VHF repeaters exist because of no-code Techs is just a complete
> distortion of history.

But...if they can't take credit for the repeaters, what CAN they take credit for???

From 5355643259582285340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 19:06:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feeder.qis.net!ptdnetP!ptdnetS!newsgate.ptd.net!nnrp1.ptd.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <3ab2d42b.86475884@news.ptdprolog.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 13
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 03:13:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.229.51.122
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net
X-Trace: nnrp1.ptd.net 984798794 24.229.51.122 (Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:13:14 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:13:14 EST
Organization: PenTeleData http://www.ptd.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17418
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18736 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10459
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13482

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 12:16:18 -0500, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
>
>With more things to do on V/UHF the lure of H.F. has declined a bit. As you
>pointed out, in the past with little use of the V/UHF bands, a Tech class
>had few choices for stations to work. That's now no longer true.
>

which is nonsense. HF is as popular as ever. the fact some folks cant
use 'em doesnt mean they're not popular.

From 3203190819528991965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 19:07:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!ptdnetP!ptdnetS!newsgate.ptd.net!nnrp1.ptd.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <3ab2d462.86531509@news.ptdprolog.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 23
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 03:14:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.229.51.122
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net
X-Trace: nnrp1.ptd.net 984798898 24.229.51.122 (Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:14:58 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:14:58 EST
Organization: PenTeleData http://www.ptd.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17419 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18737 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10460 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13484

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:48:07 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>> A surprising number of Tech class operators
>> don't care for H.F. much. I would dare say that some of the new Hams don't
>> even consider access to H.F. as the "crown jewel" of Ham Radio any more.
>
>When I worked at Intel, a group of us would camp out together. We would
>meet at a preset place on a Saturday morning, decide where to camp out,
>and head out at a preset time. Somebody was always late and out of range
>of CB radios. I talked the entire group into getting their Tech licenses.
>
>We then used a mountain-top repeater to keep in touch with the late
>comers and direct them to the camp site. It worked out well but only
>a few of the campers saw any use for HF. After all, they had given
>up their CB radios and gone to the trouble to obtain Tech licenses
>because HF couldn't perform the required function.
>--

which, of course, has nothing to do with HF at all, since this is not
its use.

From 4457730978580536869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 19:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-
xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 17 Mar 2001 03:05:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <98ukad$nee$1@208.206.142.103>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org> <98s7ql$pjs$3@208.206.142.75>
<3AB1A452.6FA1F57E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.103
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17420
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18738 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10461
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13485

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Here we go with some more Cecilian Logic. CB *is* HF because it is *in*
> > HF. Right!
>
> Well Dick, if 27MHz is not HF, please tell us what it is.

That's an easy shot - try 3 to 30 mhz.

From -6231160834077948860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 19:16:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 17 Mar 2001 03:13:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.103
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17421

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> >Likewise, if they can prohibit outright, what is to prevent them
> from doing so during certain >hours of the day?
>
>   The enabling legislation does not authorize the locals to do
>   this. When it comes to delegation of authority (which this is)
>   if a power is not specifically permitted it's prohibited.

Whatta ya bet that is misinterpreted far and wide?

```
>
> >  Wouldn't the operation of a station causing interference be an
> >"unauthorized operation?"
>
>   No.  A 4-watt CB transmitter operated on one of the 40 CB
>   channels can cause fundamental overload and/or audio
>   rectification in unprotected home electronic/entertainment
>   equipment (we proved that in a series of surveys in the 1980s).
>
>   In that case the CB operator is "authorized" and the locals do
>   not have any probable cause to invoke the authority to enforce
>   the overpower/off-frequency/unauthorized equipment rules.  They
>   do not have delegated authority to require a station operator
>   to make the station available for inspection under penalty for
>   failure to do so, nor do they have the authority to require the
>   station operator to submit to on-air or equipment tests to
>   determine that the equipment is non-compliant.
>
> >If so, wouldn't this paragraph cover electronic
> >interference situations when it comes to local enforcement?
>
>   The U S Courts of Appeal in several Circuits have all held one
>   after the other that the Comm Act in Section 302(b)
>   specifically grants to the FCC exclusive jursidiction over
>   interference and devices that cause interference.  This
>   jurisdiction was not delegated to the "locals".


  I wonder how many appeals it'll take to clear that up?


From 3178348136964771779
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,755924f17ac750c3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 19:52:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband 480-500 MHz
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 21:44:19 -0600
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3AB2DD93.D4654E88@ix.netcom.com>
References: <3AB29017.E2520026@schorpioen.bizland.com>
<20010316205322.03216.00001209@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0b.ba.c4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Mar 2001 03:44:18 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17422
```

I doubt it, no skip, no repeaters. Won't go too far.

SSB411D wrote:

> Who would've thought?

```
From 1401267347262643556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 20:04:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB2E0E0.C9CB1CFA@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
<98uk09$nee$0@208.206.142.103>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:58:24 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 984801514 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:58:34 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:58:34 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17423
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
> >
> > repeaters during the last decade, and more power to them. But the amount
> > of activity at VHF and above prior to that was tremendous, and to say
> > that VHF repeaters exist because of no-code Techs is just a complete
> > distortion of history.
>
>   But...if they can't take credit for the repeaters, what CAN they take

> credit for???

Pursuing their avocation: radio.

Jon

From -6488703149904472884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,755924f17ac750c3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 20:08:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AB29017.E2520026@schorpioen.bizland.com>
<20010316205322.03216.00001209@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
<3AB2DD93.D4654E88@ix.netcom.com>
Subject: Re: Freeband 480-500 MHz
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <vkBs6.161$J_6.69250@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:02:40 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.29
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 984801691 206.29.242.29 (Fri, 16 Mar 2001 23:01:31 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 23:01:31 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17424

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3AB2DD93.D4654E88@ix.netcom.com...
> I doubt it, no skip, no repeaters. Won't go too far.
>
> SSB411D wrote:
>
> > Who would've thought?

The local operators of TV channels 15-19 will not be impressed nor will the areas where the freqs are used for S and T band (essentially the 450-470 UHF band repeated). The mudducks you step on may well be the local gendarmarie. They will be less than impressed as well.

Dick N�BK

```
From -5725575869834352482
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 20:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 17 Mar 2001 04:17:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 65
Message-ID: <98uoh1$5ut$0@208.206.142.103>
References: <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010315140530.01910.00000795@nso-cu.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8zaa3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uhfp$h5c$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gabdt60.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.103
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17425



Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 17 Mar 2001 02:17:29 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Phil Kane wrote:
> >>
> >> On 15 Mar 2001 19:05:30 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> >>Before the no-code techs came, the 2-meter band was
> >> >>completely dead.  There probably weren't 25 people in the entire country
> >> >>using that band on a regular basis, and the number of VHF ham repeaters
> >> >>could likely be counted on one hand.
> >> >
> >> >There were quite a few more than "25."  :-)
> >> >
> >> >Back in 1977 Harry Terraneau demonstrated a tone-signalled repeater
> >> >chain that extended from north of California's Bay Area down the coast
> >> >and into Arizona.
> >>
> >>   In 1958, the first "public" 2-m  repeater in the LA area
> >>   (K6MYK on Mt. Lee) went on the air, and we used it for "public
> >>   service" in relaying election district counts in the
> >>   gubernatorial election that put Edmund G. "Pat" Brown (Sr.) in
> >>   the governor's seat.  That was both the first election that I
> >>   voted in and the first repeater that I had used.  We certainly
> >>   had much more than 25 people on that machine at that time and
> >>   continuing.
> >>
> >>   In 1961 I relocated to NY and amazed folks back there with
> >>   tales of the "repeater"...we had at least 25 people on our
> >>   simplex 2-meter net.
> >>
> >>   When I returnmed to California in 1963 and used the repeater
> >>   located in the San Bernardino Mountains we certainly had more
> >>   than 25 users.....
> >>
> >>   When I finally went "FM" on 2-meters in 1973 we had more than
> >>   25 people on our machine in the San Francisco area.
> >>
> >>   "Dead"?  Hardly.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >Loose Mouth Lennie does it again, he really doesn't mind embarassing
> >himself at all with such drivel. It is a hard fact that in most places 2
> >meter activity today is a fraction of what it was before 1991. In most
> >places a SMALL fraction.
>
>   Now now, Dick...
>
>   In all fairness to Mister Anderson, he agreed that 2-m wasn't
>   dead...follow the quotes above backwards and you'll see that it
>   was someone else who said that.   My point was that 2-meters
>   was alive in the Soiu Cal area long before 1977.
```

You're so right Phil, I misread the multiple level attributions. I should've known that even Lennie would know better than that.

Dick

```
From 6167292582120406273
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,755924f17ac750c3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 20:32:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband 480-500 MHz
Date: 17 Mar 2001 04:27:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <98up3i$5ut$1@208.206.142.103>
References: <3AB29017.E2520026@schorpioen.bizland.com>
<20010316205322.03216.00001209@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
<3AB2DD93.D4654E88@ix.netcom.com> <vkBs6.161$J_6.69250@nntp1.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.103
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17426



Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3AB2DD93.D4654E88@ix.netcom.com...
> > I doubt it, no skip, no repeaters.  Won't go too far.
> >
> > SSB411D wrote:
> >
> > > Who would've thought?
>
> The local operators of TV channels 15-19 will not be impressed nor will
> the areas where the freqs are used for S and T band (essentially the
> 450-470 UHF band repeated).  The mudducks you step on may well be the
> local gendarmarie.  They will be less than impressed as well.


 And much more interested in finding the source. Our primary talk-in
repeaters were and are on that band, as are lots of others.

Dick



From 3081571203988436695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 21:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!
postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr16.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB2DDFD.46F4DCFA@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
```

```
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com> <98qula$cf9$1@news.netmar.com>
<3AB10B93.1E710858@some.net> <98r994$22m$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.62.118.105
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr16.news.prodigy.com 984804966 6004826 216.62.118.105 (Fri, 16 Mar
2001 23:56:06 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 23:56:06 EST
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 04:56:06 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17427

And I repeat, "The problem is, of the current generation, very few know  what good
manners are."

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

> In article <3AB10B93.1E710858@some.net>, JR  <jr@some.net> writes:
>
> >  The problem is, of the current generation, very few know
> >  what good manners are.
>
> Bullshit.  The offenders are a tiny minority.
>
> In any case, you have two options:
>
>   1) Get over it!
>   2) Move to someplace like Hong Kong.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>  -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
>   http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
>    NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net
```

```
From 4192167693897372064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 21:12:10 PST
Message-ID: <3AB2EF83.13F9C50C@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 23:00:51 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org> <98s7ql$pjs$3@208.206.142.75>
<3AB1A452.6FA1F57E@IEEE.org> <98ukad$nee$1@208.206.142.103>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984805454
64.240.47.66 (16 Mar 2001 23:04:14 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!
out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17428
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18745 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10470
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13491

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Here we go with some more Cecilian Logic. CB *is* HF because it is *in*
> > > HF. Right!
> >

> > Well Dick, if 27MHz is not HF, please tell us what it is.
>
> That's an easy shot - try 3 to 30 mhz.

Seems to me 27MHz lies within that range. Is 7MHz HF? Is 4MHz HF?
Is 29MHz HF?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5708017817587864940
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 21:18:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AB2F0A7.D6A33B05@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 23:05:43 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org> <3ab2d462.86531509@news.ptdprolog.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984805746
64.240.47.66 (16 Mar 2001 23:09:06 -0600)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17429 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18746 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10471 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13492

wf3h@ptd.net wrote:

> W6RCA wrote:
> >We then used a mountain-top repeater to keep in touch with the late
> >comers and direct them to the camp site. It worked out well but only
> >a few of the campers saw any use for HF. After all, they had given
> >up their CB radios and gone to the trouble to obtain Tech licenses
> >because HF couldn't perform the required function.
>
> which, of course, has nothing to do with HF at all, since this is not
> its use.

It has everything to do with HF configurations not being able to deliver the required performance. Along with CW, has HF also become such a religion that nobody can admit that VHF/UHF repeaters have some advantages over HF without repeaters?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4495954669172141158
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 21:32:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AB2F3D6.59DC1B25@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 23:19:18 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
References: <3AB0DA28.51A9EC5D@IEEE.org> <20010316214733.12960.00002756@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66

X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984806562 64.240.47.66 (16 Mar 2001 23:22:42 -0600)
Lines: 41
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!216.65.4.98!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17430

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> OK, so WHAT if I was "forced" to take a Morse code test?

So, it's just simply true, Larry. Yet you have been denying it.

> Was I
> also "forced" to buy all the stuff that goes into my ham shack, and was
> I "forced" to use it on the air?

Nope, you are not required by circumstances (forced) to buy any ham equipment and you are not required by circumstances (forced) to use it. However, you, as a US HF ham, were required by circumstances (forced) to pass a Morse code exam.

> Am I being "forced" to make CW QSO's
> simply because I was "forced" to take the tests, "forced" to succeed
> at them, and "forced" to take the privileges that went with them?

Nope, you are not required by circumstances (forced) to use your ham privileges.

> Again, Cecil, please explain the RELEVANCE of the word
> "forced" in the context of the Morse code testing requirements.

The relevance is that it is the truth, Larry.

> You still haven't done that.

Truth may be irrelevant to you, Larry, but it is relevant to me.

> I'm sure there must be an agenda hidden in all that
> quibbling somewhere, ...

Nope, no hidden agenda - just a love of the truth.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4117626703299905401
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 21:38:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AB2F4C3.4065BBF4@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 23:23:15 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <B6D64C86.6BCF%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010316214731.12960.00002754@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984806797
64.240.47.66 (16 Mar 2001 23:26:37 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.34.3!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17431

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I don't think I'm anything special at all as a ham, certainly
> not because of my ability to pass a 20 WPM code test.

Whoa there, Larry. You have stated in the past that knowing Morse
code at 20wpm makes you a superior ham. Last time I checked,
superior equals special.
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5591886123287689669
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9f58ef4f68e6b46d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 21:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Real Ham <radioj@ncrnet.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: A CBer by any other name...
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:43:55 -0700
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 3
Message-ID: <3AB2F99A.C99AC997@ncrnet.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.e2.34
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Mar 2001 05:34:41 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17432
```

NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.

```
From 293365013727940384
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 21:42:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AB2F63E.D030E483@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 23:29:34 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
```

```
<98uk09$nee$0@208.206.142.103>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984807177
64.240.47.66 (16 Mar 2001 23:32:57 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17433

Dick Carroll wrote:
>   But...if they can't take credit for the repeaters, what CAN they take
> credit for???

One fourth of the ham population?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -8377362106306909465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,baadf20f95770218,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 21:45:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Real Ham <radioj@ncrnet.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. NO EXCEPTION! <<
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:45:44 -0700
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 4
Message-ID: <3AB2FA08.36DAC9E6@ncrnet.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.e2.34
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Mar 2001 05:36:30 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17434

NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.

Amateur radio's Eternal Truth. Moan, CBers, moan.

From -5670439322073994529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,baadf20f95770218
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 21:46:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AB2F74C.F4A216B5@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 23:34:04 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. NO EXCEPTION! <<
References: <3AB2FA08.36DAC9E6@ncrnet.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984807447 64.240.47.66 (16 Mar 2001 23:37:27 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17435

Real Ham wrote:
>
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
> Amateur radio's Eternal Truth. Moan, CBers, moan.

Hmmmmmmm, seems you're the one doing all the moaning.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3205807167871497452
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 21:50:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.frii.net!easynews!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 17 Mar 2001 05:48:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <98utqm$jfo$0@208.206.142.103>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org> <3ab2d462.86531509@news.ptdprolog.net>
<3AB2F0A7.D6A33B05@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.103
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17436
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18748 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10473
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13496
```

Not all HF works like Cb, Cecil, in fact little of it does.

W6RCecilA wrote:

```
>
> wf3h@ptd.net wrote:
>
```

```
> > W6RCA wrote:
> > >We then used a mountain-top repeater to keep in touch with the late
> > >comers and direct them to the camp site. It worked out well but only
> > >a few of the campers saw any use for HF. After all, they had given
> > >up their CB radios and gone to the trouble to obtain Tech licenses
> > >because HF couldn't perform the required function.
> >
> > which, of course, has nothing to do with HF at all, since this is not
> > its use.
>
> It has everything to do with HF configurations not being able to
> deliver the required performance. Along with CW, has HF also become
> such a religion that nobody can admit that VHF/UHF repeaters have some
> advantages over HF without repeaters?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -8978702294262898970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 21:52:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Date: 17 Mar 2001 05:50:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <98utue$jfo$1@208.206.142.103>
References: <3AB0DA28.51A9EC5D@IEEE.org> <20010316214733.12960.00002756@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3AB2F3D6.59DC1B25@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.103
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17437



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > OK, so WHAT if I was "forced" to take a Morse code test?
>
```

> So, it's just simply true, Larry. Yet you have been denying it.
>
> > Was I
> > also "forced" to buy all the stuff that goes into my ham shack, and was
> > I "forced" to use it on the air?
>
> Nope, you are not required by circumstances (forced) to buy any ham
> equipment and you are not required by circumstances (forced) to use
> it. However, you, as a US HF ham, were required by circumstances
> (forced) to pass a Morse code exam.
>
> > Am I being "forced" to make CW QSO's
> > simply because I was "forced" to take the tests, "forced" to succeed
> > at them, and "forced" to take the privileges that went with them?
>
> Nope, you are not required by circumstances (forced) to use your ham
> privileges.
>
> > Again, Cecil, please explain the RELEVANCE of the word
> > "forced" in the context of the Morse code testing requirements.
>
> The relevance is that it is the truth, Larry.
>
> > You still haven't done that.
>
> Truth may be irrelevant to you, Larry, but it is relevant to me.
>
> > I'm sure there must be an agenda hidden in all that
> > quibbling somewhere, ...
>
> Nope, no hidden agenda - just a love of the truth.

After all, you can always manipulate the truth with wordmeistering as
you continously do here.

From 5266289998862213317
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 21:56:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: 17 Mar 2001 05:52:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <98uu24$jfo$2@208.206.142.103>
References: <B6D64C86.6BCF%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010316214731.12960.00002754@nso-fi.aol.com> <3AB2F4C3.4065BBF4@IEEE.org>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.103
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17438

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > I don't think I'm anything special at all as a ham, certainly
> > not because of my ability to pass a 20 WPM code test.
>
> Whoa there, Larry. You have stated in the past that knowing Morse
> code at 20wpm makes you a superior ham. Last time I checked,
> superior equals special.

If you don't believe that a ham who can work CW at 20wpm is more qualified than a ham who can't that's your problem. But it's not the truth that you profess to love.

From -1309803533958964378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 22:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!w9wi
From: w9wi@linux1.localdomain ()
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<slrn9b1l7h.7f6.w9wi@linux1.localdomain> <3ab17060.153417407@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: me

Message-ID: <slrn9b5vud.dng.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 25
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 06:07:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.113.165
X-Trace: news2.atl 984809245 209.214.113.165 (Sat, 17 Mar 2001 01:07:25 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 01:07:25 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner:9268
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:10194 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13498
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17439 rec.radio.shortwave:28988

On Fri, 16 Mar 2001 02:41:42 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol> wrote:
>On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 14:40:08 GMT, w9wi@linux1.localdomain () wrote:
>Would work for me, luv it! I've actually experienced the problem.
>Popped $110 for a couple seats at the Philadelphia Academy of Music to
>take in what I thought would be a nice Saturday nite with Swallisch
>and the Philadelphia Orchestra. Dontcha just know some asshole nuevo
>yuppy two rows back got on his cellphone FOUR times during the
>concert? Answered two incoming and initiated two outgoing calls and
>yakked his brains out about his Sunday golf outing. People left in
>disgust. He din give a shit. Prolly drives an Expedition, too.

I think in such a blatant case, a more dramatic solution might be in order.
Like maybe getting the folks in your part of the hall to join you in getting
the conductor's attention & asking him to pause the performance -- then
publically explaining exactly *why* you need the orchestra to go back a few
minutes & start over.

Alternatively, get the offender's phone number, call him, and tell him a
close relative has died...
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com
Due to excessive spam, messages from uu.net are deleted unread.

From -1162189409320561861
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-16 22:16:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!msc1.onvoy!ply1.onvoy!upp1.onvoy!onvoy.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!w9wi
From: w9wi@linux1.localdomain ()
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>

<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com> <98r47e$n3o$1@news.netmar.com>
Reply-To: me
Message-ID: <slrn9b608i.dng.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 21
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 06:12:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.113.165
X-Trace: news2.atl 984809569 209.214.113.165 (Sat, 17 Mar 2001 01:12:49 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 01:12:49 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17440

On 15 Mar 2001 19:12:46 GMT, K0HB@arrl.org <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:
>> Maybe what we really need to develop is a cellphone *detector*.
>
>They already exist. Simply an alert receiver which looks for cell device
>transmissions such as "auto-registration" signals, and lights an LED or
>sounds a buzzer.

How often does a phone "auto-register"?

It seems to me like something that would take too long to identify who's carrying a phone. If the offenders have a couple of minutes between transmissions, that's plenty of time to get past any checkpoint (without even trying) and into the hall. Even if they stay in a lobby long enough to get detected, by that point it's awfully hard to tell *which* patron is offending.
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com
Due to excessive spam, messages from uu.net are deleted unread.

From 337850390703945573
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,755924f17ac750c3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 02:15:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!
135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Freeband 480-500 MHz
In-Reply-To: <20010316205322.03216.00001209@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103171009470.15653-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3AB29017.E2520026@schorpioen.bizland.com>
<20010316205322.03216.00001209@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 10
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 10:11:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.58
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 984823902 12.80.44.58 (Sat, 17 Mar 2001 10:11:42 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 10:11:42 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17441

On 17 Mar 2001, SSB411D wrote:
> Who would've thought?

...And just how do you expect one is going to do this if one has either TV stations or UHF-T-band public safety (or both, such as in the Los Angeles through San Diego area) users in that range?

Are you one of those idiots that makes "funny things" come out of your local TV news announcers' mouths?

From 3016243337213533249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 02:40:55 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 16:44:43 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com>
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.92
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.92
X-Trace: 15 Mar 2001 10:42:26 -0600, 64.24.16.92
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net

```
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
4.24.21.218.MISMATCH!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu alt.radio.scanner:145299 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206185
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174648 rec.radio.shortwave:222651

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 06:02:10 GMT, "Jim Wayda"
<jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote:

>Did you ever consider doctors that need to be contacted regarding their
>patients medical emergencies? Probably not!
>
How many lives have been saved because doctors now have cellphones vs.
when they didn't have cellphones just a few years ago?
>
>Call Phone jammers are illegal
>for a reason! Hopefully the FCC is monitoring this group.
>
The goverment of Hong Kong has declared war on cellphones and is
approving jammers in schools, theaters, restaurants, etc. where use of
the things create inappropriate public annoyances. In addition to
passing ordinances against such use backed up with stiff penalties.
>
Hello FCC, are you tuned in here?
>
w3rv


From 6380217329108010367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 02:40:55 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.alsv1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
```

```
Lines: 48
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 06:02:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.alsv1.occa.home.com 984636130 24.16.178.66 (Wed, 14 Mar 2001
22:02:10 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 22:02:10 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu alt.radio.scanner:145288 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206168
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174616 rec.radio.shortwave:222622

Did you ever consider doctors that need to be contacted regarding their
patients medical emergencies? Probably not! Call Phone jammers are illegal
for a reason! Hopefully the FCC is monitoring this group.

Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com> wrote in message
news:01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net...
> On Wed, 14 Mar 2001 12:06:04 -0600, mbz07 wrote:
>
> > But wait, what if the cellphone user is aware of the
> > jamming and just blast out their ringtone anyway?,
> > Or turn the volume up high and keys morse code
> > messages using the keypad...
> ---------------------------------------------------------
> One doesn't jam the transmitter under "normal"
> circumstances. It's the receiver that is usually
> jammed.
> ---------------------------------------------------------
> > How does the person with the cellphone
> > jammer know for sure that the jammer
> > is only jamming frequencies only used
> > by cellphones?
> ---------------------------------------------------------
> Let's clear this up. A "jammer" is a
> transmitter. That's all. A transmitting
> frequency is set on a "narrow-band" jammer
> the same way it is with any other xmitter.
>
> ["Broadband" or "sweep" jammers are
> generally only used on the battlefield.]
>
> But jammers /are/ designed to defeat
> reception and a lot of jammers cause
> spurious emissions and they also,
> generally, have filthy power supplies.
>
> And that can get people killed if
> there's even a tiny mistake made.
```

>
> I'm looking forward, myself, to cafes
> and coffee shops which advertise
> themselves as being in Faraday cages.
>
> Gray "33T4M" Shockley
> ---------------------------
> RX-320 w/70' longwire
>

```
From 7003617120782812392
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 02:40:55 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!news6.giganews.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com>
Organization: Tao Chemical Company
MIME-Version: 1.0
User-Agent: Hogwasher/2.6.1 (Macintosh)
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Reply-To: gray@compcomm.com
Mail-Copies-To: ng-sent@compcomm.com
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
Lines: 40
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 23:46:53 CST
X-Trace: sv2-zJgv+EWIEgu6StWI9wKDJ7CsPb/
S+lQbFnnjMsLZkDbVQFHMe4XkYSJMtLWznnFKSbQaeuW61RDrQ11!A8k9r3pXNpfsJGRrPOJUgBRKy8/1
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Wed, 14 Mar 2001 23:45:09 -0600
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu alt.radio.scanner:145285 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206164
```

```
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174615 rec.radio.shortwave:222618

On Wed, 14 Mar 2001 12:06:04 -0600, mbz07 wrote:

> But wait, what if the cellphone user is aware of the
> jamming and just blast out their ringtone anyway?,
> Or turn the volume up high and keys morse code
> messages using the keypad...
--------------------------------------------------------
One doesn't jam the transmitter under "normal"
circumstances. It's the receiver that is usually
jammed.
--------------------------------------------------------
> How does the person with the cellphone
> jammer know for sure that the jammer
> is only jamming frequencies only used
> by cellphones?
--------------------------------------------------------
Let's clear this up. A "jammer" is a
transmitter. That's all. A transmitting
frequency is set on a "narrow-band" jammer
the same way it is with any other xmitter.

["Broadband" or "sweep" jammers are
generally only used on the battlefield.]

But jammers /are/ designed to defeat
reception and a lot of jammers cause
spurious emissions and they also,
generally, have filthy power supplies.

And that can get people killed if
there's even a tiny mistake made.

I'm looking forward, myself, to cafes
and coffee shops which advertise
themselves as being in Faraday cages.

Gray "33T4M" Shockley
----------------------------
RX-320 w/70' longwire



From -6512137872147783911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:00 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feeder.qis.net!nntp.abs.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 17:37:46 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <98qula$cf9$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 984677866 12777 205.139.138.14 (15 Mar 2001 17:37:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 17:37:46 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: kelly@dvol
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174653 alt.radio.scanner:145302
rec.radio.amateur.misc:206191 rec.radio.shortwave:222656

In article <3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com>, Brian Kelly, w3rv
<kelly@dvol> writes:

>The goverment of Hong Kong has declared war on cellphones and is
>approving jammers in schools, theaters, restaurants, etc. where use of
>the things create inappropriate public annoyances. In addition to
>passing ordinances against such use backed up with stiff penalties.

>Hello FCC, are you tuned in here?

Some people just gotta regulate everything! Is it some kinda power trip, or
what? Around here we rely on good manners, not the damned government
bureaucrats deciding how we should act. Move to China if you don't like it.
(Yes, Hong Kong is in China.)

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From -5916937820055327373
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 02:40:55 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!w9wi
From: w9wi@linux1.localdomain ()
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
Reply-To: me
Message-ID: <slrn9b1l7h.7f6.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 13
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 14:40:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.113.90
X-Trace: news1.atl 984667208 209.214.113.90 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 09:40:08 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 09:40:08 EST
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu alt.radio.scanner:145294 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206183
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174630 rec.radio.shortwave:222643
```

Maybe what we really need to develop is a cellphone *detector*. Something that looks like a metal detector, but serves to ring any cellphone within a 2-meter radius. You have to go through to get into the theater, and if you ring on your way through, you don't get in...

I suppose a simpler alternative is to require anyone whose cellphone rings during a performance to reimburse the rest of the audience's tickets...

--

Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com
Due to excessive spam, messages from uu.net are deleted unread.

From -3743933444755732629
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:00 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 19:12:46 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <98r47e$n3o$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 984683566 23672 205.139.138.14 (15 Mar 2001 19:12:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 19:12:46 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: kelly@dvol
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174673 alt.radio.scanner:145310
rec.radio.amateur.misc:206201 rec.radio.shortwave:222670

W9WI said:

> Maybe what we really need to develop is a cellphone *detector*.

They already exist. Simply an alert receiver which looks for cell device transmissions such as "auto-registration" signals, and lights an LED or sounds a buzzer. Commonly used in 'secure' conference rooms, cardiac care units, and similar environments, where sensitive conversations take place, or where 'EMI' from the cell phone transmission could upset sensitive electronics in patient care equipment, avionics, etc. Because they have no audio detection/amplification stages and therefore cannot 'listen' to the conversations, they apparently slide under the radar of "no monitoring of cell phone freq" rules.

73, Hans, K0HB

 ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From 5771987483287841600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 02:40:56 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "*" <*@*.*>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<slrn9b1l7h.7f6.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <bbbs6.2220$0a7.270573@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /
Kkf2Lw51hJfEDhJvZNmqrxojhp5x7mYF3zujSOQdMfIzhun8rVPFd5x9+txowZdZYDe5xYyG9hD!8f/
```

tOG4pQikU6pSAqqkfXXhsJWx44SN54dZGS4Z5d1hU5CjaKSeQ07n+c113CdfithjB/SPB/C9d!gb1bTSY=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 22:16:39 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 22:16:39 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu alt.radio.scanner:145328 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206210
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174694 rec.radio.shortwave:222685

Yes, we need a cell phone detector.
That way, in cases like a movie theater, we can jam the cellphones ourselves.
Jam it up their butts that is. :)

<w9wi@linux1.localdomain> wrote in message
news:slrn9b1l7h.7f6.w9wi@linux1.localdomain...
> Maybe what we really need to develop is a cellphone *detector*. Something
> that looks like a metal detector, but serves to ring any cellphone within a
> 2-meter radius. You have to go through to get into the theater, and if you
> ring on your way through, you don't get in...
>
> I suppose a simpler alternative is to require anyone whose cellphone rings
> during a performance to reimburse the rest of the audience's tickets...
> --
> Doug Smith W9WI
> Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> http://www.w9wi.com
> Due to excessive spam, messages from uu.net are deleted unread.
>

From 3441940576183567841
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:02 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.nero.net!news.peak.org!not-for-mail
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!

Date: 16 Mar 2001 04:59:00 GMT
Organization: Bill's eclectic interest group
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <98s6ik$str$2@bashir.peak.org>
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<slrn9b1l7h.7f6.w9wi@linux1.localdomain> <3ab17060.153417407@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: spock.peak.org
User-Agent: tin/pre-1.4-19990216 ("Styrofoam") (UNIX) (Linux/2.2.17 (i686))
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu alt.radio.scanner:145355 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206246
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174731 rec.radio.shortwave:222727

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol> wrote:
:>--
: Would work for me, luv it! I've actually experienced the problem.
: Popped $110 for a couple seats at the Philadelphia Academy of Music to
: take in what I thought would be a nice Saturday nite with Swallisch
: and the Philadelphia Orchestra. Dontcha just know some asshole nuevo
: yuppy two rows back got on his cellphone FOUR times during the
: concert? Answered two incoming and initiated two outgoing calls and
: yakked his brains out about his Sunday golf outing. People left in
: disgust. He din give a shit. Prolly drives an Expedition, too.

Hm. You have more polite people than I have encountered. Here, they
would have given him an option. His cell phone could leave the building,
with out without him, his choice.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

From 4021450314290092940
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 02:40:57 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: "Dev" <.>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 21:42:29 -0800
Organization: http://extra.newsguy.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <98s8sb02ivj@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AB14C7F.593DD9A0@att.com>
Reply-To: "Dev" <.>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm125-77.dialup.netidea.com
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu alt.radio.scanner:145358 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206247
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174733 rec.radio.shortwave:222729

in short. all you need to do is what you just said. it works. all you need
is a tx carrier. ntohing more nothing less. you don't even need to modify
it.

Me <me@att.com> wrote in message news:3AB14C7F.593DD9A0@att.com...
> On the Wall wrote:
>
> > Cell Phone Jamming equipment in a briefcase.
>
> Couldn't one just modify a cell phone to sweep across the band
> with spurious noise?  This seems simple enough.




From 4336387605801818369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 02:40:57 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB14C7F.593DD9A0@att.com>
From: Me <me@att.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
```

```
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 6
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 23:13:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.85.10.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 984697980 12.85.10.130 (Thu, 15 Mar
2001 23:13:00 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 23:13:00 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu alt.radio.scanner:145337 rec.radio.amateur.misc:206214
rec.radio.amateur.policy:174701 rec.radio.shortwave:222689
```

On the Wall wrote:

> Cell Phone Jamming equipment in a briefcase.

Couldn't one just modify a cell phone to sweep across the band
with spurious noise? This seems simple enough.

```
From -6708842866552352665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AB0DDBE.51B9655D@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 09:20:30 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com> <98o9db$goh$0@208.207.71.139>
<3AAFCD62.4C3A3C0@IEEE.org> <98ovhi$1nl$0@208.206.142.102>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984669834
64.240.47.79 (15 Mar 2001 09:23:54 -0600)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
```

```
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174638

Dick Carroll wrote: ...
> rabid crditics of anyone who supports any sort of cofde testing ...

Dick, I'm having trouble parsing that portion of your sentence.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -5647447345888401689
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 10:33:45 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <B6D64B07.6BCE%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <t0vpato395lmsf5cq3l8lg7a7ljnjep19s@4ax.com>
<20010314050211.16620.00002083@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-87.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984670446 240148 206.74.49.87 (15 Mar 2001 15:34:06
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 15:34:06 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174639

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
```

> As an AOL user from the very beginning,
> I have had to take a lot of abuse from
> you whiney, never-a-life-having computer
> nerds just because I have other things
> to do with my valuable time than to figure
> out the unnecessary complexities of a
> piece of what is supposed to be functonal
> software.

Sounds like a dumbed-down computer user to me. That's the problem with our society today - too many dumbed-down people too lazy to put out a real effort to improve themselves or contribute anything back.

> [snip] And, I assure you, there is nothing
> about any "newsreader" that I could not
> master [snip]

That's what the techs say about morse code (and license upgrades), but that doesn't stop you from giving them a hard time. Why should we let you off the hook either? Real computer users don't use AOL. And today (with the digital modes), it takes a real computer user to be a real ham.

AOL = No Computer User
No Computer User = No Ham
No Ham = Larry

Sorry, Larry, I just had to give you a hard time over this. ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3649289599292251604
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 134
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 17:49:25 GMT

References: <3AB0D486.420C2F04@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010315124925.06795.00001143@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174654

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 3/15/01 9:41 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3AB0D486.420C2F04@IEEE.org>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>> Try reading the 1954 version with an open mind.
>
>So one must have an open mind to be able to interpret the license
>manual correctly?

One must have an open mind to interpret the regulations correctly. Indeed, interpretations of the laws and regulations are a big part of what lawyers and other legal folks do. Ask Phil Kane.

> Why didn't they just say it in plain English?

They did.

>Why don't you quote the appropriate section for me?

I don't need that much typing practice. You've got more time than me, anyway.
>
>> Who is talking about "evil"? Not me.
>
>If you're not objecting to something evil, why are you objecting
>at all?

Who is objecting? All I did was point out that Shorty bent the rules and lowered the standard for you 47 years ago. Nowhere did I say it was "evil", "bad" or "wrong". Nor did I say that the CSCE system was a bad idea.

In fact, I referred to your examiners as "visionaries" because they essentially did the CSCE thing decades ahead of its time.

I have absolutely no doubt that you would have passed the test the second time, Cecil.
>
>> That's very good. But it doesn't mean you get a "get out of test free"
>card.
>
>Yes it did.

Legally, no. In actual fact, yes. Shorty exceeded his authority.
>
>> >The testing procedure paperwork
>> >associated with the unchanged standards is what changed. The code standard

>> >didn't change until 2000.
>>
>> Not true at all.
>
>Of course it's true.

Of course it's not true. The standard is far more than just the speed.

Suppose the standard was changed from "one solid minute out of five" to "thirty seconds solid copy out of three hundred" but the speed was unchanged. Would that be a change of standard or not?

> The 13wpm standard for Generals was in effect from at
>least 1951 to 2000. You need to recognize the difference between standards
>and procedures associated with those standards.

The procedures are part of the standards.

> The standards had not
>changed in the 30 days between my exams.

That much I agree with - the same rules were in effect both times. The standards back then were that retesting was necessary.
>
>> If he didn't think it was needed, why did he bother at all?
>
>My first choice is crawfish at a restaurant.

He blew up his VFO because you like crawfish?

> If they are out of crawfish,
>I select a second choice.

Is crawfish like lobster? Maybe we can set up a bet with W1RFI.

The simple fact is that Shorty probably knew he was supposed to retest you on the code by the strict letter of the regs. That's why he did so. When his equipment unexpectedly failed, he bent the rules by taking a third-party's word on it.
>
>> Which he could have done before, if it were legal. But it wasn't. He bent
>the
>> rules for you.
>
>I wouldn't be surprised to find out that an FCC examiner had also done
>something like that in the past.

Name one. The only FCC official irregularity I have ever heard of is the guy who sold vanity calls many years ago. He went to jail for that, I understand.

Here in Philly, ol' Joe Squelch wouldn't bend the exam rules one tiny bit. No way. When he said you passed, you KNEW you passed.

> It was a harmless victimless crime.

Your calling it a "crime" indicates you have some lingering guilt and shame. There's no reason to feel bad about it anymore - the standard requiring retesting was changed years ago, when CSCEs were introduced.

The situation is somewhat like the person given a ticket for doing 65 mph on a road where the speed limit is 55 mph. But before the ticket is paid, the speed limit on that road is raised to 65 mph with no other changes to the road. Is the speeder still guilty? If challenged, would the court uphold the ticket? I honestly don't know.

>> > I'm not even convinced that violated the rules.
>>
>> He did. But I doubt very much you will ever admit that.
>
>Please quote me some proof of violation.

It's in the book.

> My license manual doesn't say
>retesting for a passed Morse code exam was necessary.

It does if you read the whole thing with an open mind.

btw, note that CSCEs are only good for 365 days (watch out for leap years!!) The FCC has gone after some who have been suspected of altering the date on a CSCE to avoid retesting. In one case I read about, the alteration was a matter of days, yet the FCC enforced the rule that it was no good after 365 days. Even if the test and the standards have not changed, the CSCE is good on the 365th day and worthless on the 366th day.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -3015871139069270050
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 09:39:59 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <B6D63E6F.6BCD%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-
de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com> <98gd47$o6g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
```

```
<3aad4427.199488481@news2.dvol.com> <98kgj5$46c$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aaeae08.292133133@news2.dvol.com> <3AAF8217.5DB7@mobiletel.com>
<3aaff5d4.56501152@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-87.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984667213 233549 206.74.49.87 (15 Mar 2001 14:40:13
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 14:40:13 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174631
```

Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

> Martin wrote:
>
>> Even getting a coast station license
>> isn't a big hassle anymore which
>> allows ship to shore comms on HF
>> and VHF freqs.
>
> That was not the case back when I had
> a Ray Jeff VHF radio aboard the
> family boat. Only marinas and the CG
> were allowed to have shore-based VHF
> stations. Predicatably that law was
> busted all over the country, I guess
> they gave up and made it legal at
> some point.

It is relatively easy to obtain a license to operate a marine radio on land. To do so, you must have a special license, called a marine utility station license (a ship station license is not sufficient). To be eligible for such a license, you must provide a service to marine vessels. In addition, you must show a need to communicate from a coast location. Common coast stations include: marinas, radio repair shops, bridges, locks, and yacht clubs. Coast stations operate under FCC rules applicable to private coast stations, not under rules applicable to ship stations. Coast Guard facilities, and Auxiliary facilities, operate under Coast Guard regulations and are exempt from FCC regulations.

FYI, on April 17, 1996, the Coast Guard suspended enforcement activities concerning FCC Radio Station Licenses carried aboard voluntary ships, except for distress communications and interference with distress communications (voluntary ships are vessels under 20m in length, except commercial fishing vessels and vessels for hire with more than 6 passengers). Today, the FCC regulates marine communications, in cooperation with the Coast Guard (this cooperation includes Coast Guard RDF facilities).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

Division Com. Services Staff Officer
U.S. Coast Guard Auxiliary (D-13)

http://www.silverlink.net/aux/division/
http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2119064402847506336
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!
typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <tbq1btg1vfamc9jh7kr2t4es6oc845o2h9@4ax.com>
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com> <98o9db$goh$0@208.207.71.139>
<3AAFCD62.4C3A3C0@IEEE.org> <98ovhi$1nl$0@208.206.142.102>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 33
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 16:03:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 984672190 24.95.131.236 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:03:10 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:03:10 EST
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174645

On 14 Mar 2001 23:40:34 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >
>> > AB2HR wrote:
>> > > It's not because of my choice of ISP that I feel superior to you...
>> >
>> > Yeah, we know.....The date of your license tells it all.

>>
>> Yeah, we know. Idiots were conveniently invented after you got your license.
>
>
>No, actually that happened well before. But somehow only the fervent
>code haters who glommed a Welfare Extra post-April 15 are so predictibly
>rabid crditics of anyone who supports any sort of cofde testing for a HF
>ham license. If you want to see one look no further than your own
>mirror.

Sorry... You can take your foot out of your mouth. Granted - I DID
get my Extra AFTER April 15, but I DID happen to pass the 13 wpm
General class license prior, and happen to support code testing
requirements. As a matter of fact, I was well on my way to meeting
the 20 wpm requirement when the reorganization announcement was
released. As a VE I happen to administer the code tests in this area.
BTW, how DOES that toejam taste?

73 de

Ray / AB2HR

```
From -1078127276908219789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AB0DAFC.D5249B5@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 09:08:44 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <20010308003311.19495.00000319@nso-
bj.aol.com> <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <989pv2$kbf$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aaa5ddc.9448266@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga20zl3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D1D271.52F7%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140235260.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D629BE.6BCC%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984669126
64.240.47.79 (15 Mar 2001 09:12:06 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

```
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174637

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   Its still against the rules to talk on channel 9.  The channel is still
> reserved for emergencies, traffic advisories, and so forth.

One wonders how emergencies are handled without talking. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -283482331178109621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AB0CE7B.5B6944D6@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 08:15:23 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AA6FF79.4FEDF47C@IEEE.org> <20010308045856.10659.00001190@nso-
mq.aol.com> <8l80btod2c1djnrf2vpr7hqo10h95pl7p6@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984665927
64.240.47.79 (15 Mar 2001 08:18:47 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

```
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!nntp.abs.net!feeder.qis.net!ptdnetP!newsgate.ptd.net!news-
xfer.siscom.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174628

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
> >Here's another definition of "forced;" from the Merriam-Webster Collegiate
>
> In the spirit of keeping this thing going,
>  here is what the American Heritage Dictionary has:

Egads, did you type that or do an OCR scan? Note that "required" is
one of the definitions of "forced".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -249812216816403285
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:05 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 10:15:14 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3AB106B2.9E8089F6@uci.edu>
References: <3AAFF871.ACD22C43@uci.edu> <20010314205946.02781.00000426@nso-
mb.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174659
```

```
Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AAFF871.ACD22C43@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> >> So much
> >> for bullwhip.
> >
> >Speaking of that, how come you never respond to Len Andersons posts?
> >Are you pretty much in agreement with him?
>
> Now, now, you ARE being abusive!       :-)

Hey Len,

Vince doesn't seem to be able to figure out what's going on, so I'll ask
you.  How come you never respond to a Vince Biancomano post?  It seems
you two would have so much to talk about.

Jim



From 1549275723665024009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 19:47:02 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <98r67m$rp7$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3AAEDA67.F924CBAF@IEEE.org> <20010314083314.02416.00000300@nso-
ma.aol.com> <3AAF85BC.C61421A4@IEEE.org> <98qopi$1go$1@news.netmar.com>
<3AB111C8.B4A89E84@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 984685622 28455 205.139.138.14 (15 Mar 2001 19:47:02 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 19:47:02 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174683

In article <3AB111C8.B4A89E84@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA  <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

writes:
>K0HB@arrl.org wrote:
>>
>> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>>
>> > Can Shaq dunk a basketball?
>> > Does he have to prove it every new day?
>>
>> Of course he has to prove it! His opponents today don't give him credit
>> for what he did last month; only for what he does today.
>
>You trying to tell me that his opponents forgot in one day that Shaq
>can dunk a basketball????

Nope, I'm assuring you that they remember very well, but they still
only give him credit if he actually does it today. He cannot "pull
a Cecil" and claim credit for having done it last month.

Good luck on this one now!

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 1912898542764384111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 19:05:31 GMT
References: <3AB0DDBE.51B9655D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010315140531.01910.00000797@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174665

In article <3AB0DDBE.51B9655D@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote: ...
>> rabid crditics of anyone who supports any sort of cofde testing ...
>
>Dick, I'm having trouble parsing that portion of your sentence.

Cecil, it may be because you are a crditic who hasn't passed
a cofde test!   :-)

From 2016967041271023276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AB1126D.97EDC963@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 13:05:17 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com> <98o9db$goh$0@208.207.71.139>
<3AAFCD62.4C3A3C0@IEEE.org> <98ovhi$1nl$0@208.206.142.102>
<3AB0DDBE.51B9655D@IEEE.org> <yj5s6.1453$Fb5.952468@nntp3.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984683319
64.240.47.100 (15 Mar 2001 13:08:39 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174672

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote

> > Dick Carroll wrote: ...
> > > rabid crditics of anyone who supports any sort of cofde testing ...
> >
> > Dick, I'm having trouble parsing that portion of your sentence.
>
> Are we in Pedant Mode today, Cecil???

Awwwhhhhhh, please don't make me lift that unabridged dictionary today, Richard.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 2232156333418944258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:57:04 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AB11E90.DA245EBB@uci.edu>
References: <98pguq$3mm$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010315140530.01910.00000796@nso-cu.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174682

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Why do you want to manufacture a dispute? Do you have some
> primitive urge to do battle?

On the contrary. You are merely an irritant. If I didn't make it clear to you, what I was seeking was a discussion between two of the most highly irritating people here on the newsgroup. And it so happens that each of you argue at complete polar opposites and have never chosen to correspond. I find that mildly curious.
> Is that why you expressed a desire

> to show up at my house to "give me a heart attack?"

Please rethink this remark, Leo as it is disputed by the facts.

Jim

From 2448613098612480622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AB112E9.7FB3DC43@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 13:07:21 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com> <98o9db$goh$0@208.207.71.139>
<3AAFCD62.4C3A3C0@IEEE.org> <98ovhi$1nl$0@208.206.142.102>
<tbq1btg1vfamc9jh7kr2t4es6oc845o2h9@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984683444
64.240.47.100 (15 Mar 2001 13:10:44 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174674

AB2HR wrote:
> As a matter of fact, I was well on my way to meeting
> the 20 wpm requirement when the reorganization announcement was
> released.

That doesn't matter to Dick. Even if you now get your code speed
up to 50wpm, to him you will always be an Extra-lite. There's no

way for you to redeem yourself.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2507944910823997257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AB111C8.B4A89E84@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 13:02:32 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAEDA67.F924CBAF@IEEE.org> <20010314083314.02416.00000300@nso-ma.aol.com> <3AAF85BC.C61421A4@IEEE.org> <98qopi$1go$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984683156 64.240.47.100 (15 Mar 2001 13:05:56 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174671
```

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> > Can Shaq dunk a basketball?
> > Does he have to prove it every new day?
>

> Of course he has to prove it! His opponents today don't give him credit
> for what he did last month; only for what he does today.

You trying to tell me that his opponents forgot in one day that Shaq
can dunk a basketball???? So they will leave him unattended under his
own goal???? Please tell me what team you are talking about so I can
bet against them.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2709306217469879065
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!feeder.qis.net!nntp.abs.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 20:39:00 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <98r994$22m$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com> <98qula$cf9$1@news.netmar.com>
<3AB10B93.1E710858@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 984688740 2134 205.139.138.14 (15 Mar 2001 20:39:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 20:39:00 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: jr@some.net
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174685

In article <3AB10B93.1E710858@some.net>, JR <jr@some.net> writes:

> The problem is, of the current generation, very few know
> what good manners are.

Bullshit. The offenders are a tiny minority.

In any case, you have two options:

  1) Get over it!
  2) Move to someplace like Hong Kong.

73, Hans, K0HB

 ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 3122943416064800564
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 110
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 19:05:33 GMT
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174669

In article <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
writes:

>On 15 Mar 2001 01:59:50 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <3aaf7c26.25351435@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly,
>>w3rv) writes:
>>
>>Might be SOME very low dipoles that way, senor, but you can't say
>>that they all are.
>>
>First law of antennas: Never say never.

True. :-) However, Roy Lewallen's EZNEC is pretty good on predicting antenna response...if you model the environment of the near field good enough.

>>Firstly, remember that Herman said his antennas
>>were 100 feet up. That's easy 3 wavelengths at 10 m.
>>
>Duly noted before you weighed in and agreed. Why the hell anybody in
>Hawaii would put up a N-S antenna beats me, the world is kinda E-W
>from Hawaii.

...the islands of Hawaii run roughly N-S? Could be it's being used only for "local" communications?

>Yeah, we can legally do that. Any ham with an urge to build and half a
>brain and a CB xcvr available for "rework" would maybe salvage the
>knobs, spkr and mic at most and dumpster the rest.

Heh heh heh heh. :-)

>Lenny 'ole bean kindly notice that this particular sub-thread has had
>nothing to do with transmitters. Any piece 'o crap tx can squirt a
>usable signal but if ya can't decipher the intelligence which was
>transmitted with a decent rcvr there isn't much left to discuss.

Now, now, don't get the Tuna Tinners all riled up... :-)

>That's correct and that's why there are no $49.99 ham xcvrs out there.

I thought MFJ had a kit a few years ago? (no old MFJ catalogs here) :-)

>>>Back when I diddled with CB I switched between one of those clunkers
>>>and my Collins rcvr and noted that I could only hear maybe 10% of the
>>>signals on a given 27Mhz. freq with the Collins vs. all the "signals"
>>>I could hear with the CB clunker. Heh.
>>
>>Military Collins receiving equipment is not noted for sensitivity.
>>
>For blatently obvious reasons. Let's not forget the Motorola versions
>of the 390.

All second-sourced military equipment is identical. I wasn't aware that Motorola also produced the '390 series but there is a story about a cosmetics firm that tried to second-source them...and wound up buying a few already-built '390s and replacing the manufacturer's ID plate. :-)

>>The venerable R-390 to R-392 best HF sensitivity is specced
>>at 3 microvolts for 10 db S:S+N. It's in the book. Modern AM
>>(voice-channel bandwidth) receivers for HF can do about an
>>average of 0.8 microvolts, less than 0.5 microvolts on SSB.
>>
>I have five reasonably decent HF rcvrs/xcvrs including one Collins box
>and in all cases the ssb/cw sensitivities are in the 0.015-0.025 mV
>range.

Sounds like they could use some maintenance and tweaking. 15 to 25 microvolts sensitivity is rather poor.

If you mistyped and meant to say 15 to 25 nanovolts, I think that the test equipment needs calibration. Thermal noise in a 50 Ohm system, 500 Hz bandwidth, at room temperature is 10 nanovolts RMS. That doesn't count a receiver's front-end noise or increase from mismatching to an antenna or galactic/atmospheric noise.

>Radios like these are not all about "state of the art"
>sensitivity which is a no-brainer and is as often as not a detriment
>to decoding weak HF signals.

State of the art in receiver sensitivity for 5 KHz BW AM and 10 db signal to signal-plus-noise is about 1 microvolt for tube types, about 200 nanovolts for solid-state, all on mass-manufactured receivers. All on HF assuming no mis-matching or galactic/atmospheric noise, no exotic tubes or semiconductors. Those figures get better by the square-root of bandwidth reduction and chilling down the front-end circuitry. More improvement can be done by post-detection processing.

>To wit: The only way I could finally get
>solid copy out of the three watt French station I worked on 40m cw
>last nite was to crank in 10dB worth of rcvr RF attenuation which got
>rid of a useful amount of crap in the passband.

Sounds like the unattenuated amplification and bandwidth are too much...or there is too much other noise in the locality. But, any way is okay as long as it works.

Not sure why working a low-power French station on 40 meters has anything to do with bootleg operations on 10 meters. :-)

From 3383550102074961297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b831c0f690650755
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail

From: Intruder-Killer@hamband.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: LAPD kicked off ham band.
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 20:55:26 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <98ra7u$3t5$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 984689726 4005 205.139.138.14 (15 Mar 2001 20:55:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 20:55:26 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174690

Dear LAPD,

IT IS ORDERED pursuant to Section 5.83(b) of the Commission's Rules, that the experimental license for Station WB2XEN in the 2.4GHZ Amateur Service band IS CANCELLED.

Love Always,

Electromagnetic Compatibility Division
Office of Engineering and Technology
Federal Communications Commission

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

---

From 3447198050935923962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AB1110F.31CA11A4@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 12:59:27 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AB10362.ABC25B56@IEEE.org> <98r1ie$l65$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984682971 64.240.47.100 (15 Mar 2001 13:02:51 -0600)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174670
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

> Nothing you can say alters the fact that there's variability in
> human response to the point of what you did yesterday you may not
> be able to do today.

There's variability around thresholds. Away from thresholds, such things are binary functions. I was nowhere near the 13wpm threshold when I took the exam so your statement is uncorrelated to the reality that existed at the time.

> And, not counting car accidents and
> near-fatal experiences and the like, that could be simply because
> you just don't feel good today.

Well, if you don't feel good today, Vince, stay in bed. I felt fine when I took my code exam so your statement is uncorrelated to the reality that existed at the time.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5848521030399201369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 19:05:30 GMT
References: <98pguq$3mm$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010315140530.01910.00000796@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174666
```

In article <98pguq$3mm$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Vincent Biancomano, meet Lenard Anderson.

There is no "Lenard Anderson" in this newsgroup, Mr. Kiley.

>Len; Vince stands for everything you despise. Vince; Len stands for
>everything you despise.

Now, now, Kooley, you are being VERY ABUSIVE! Tsk, tsk.

>Come out slugging, and may the best man win.

Kaylie, Vince Biancomano and I have been in correspondence
a number of years back. Vince was an editor with McGraw-Hill's
Electronics magazine bi-weekly and I contributed a 9-IC PRSG
thing to Designer's Casebook (reprinted in Casebook #3, circa
1978). Vince wrote the acceptance letter. Another editor took
over shortly thereafter. There was no animosity expressed on
either side.

Why do you want to manufacture a dispute? Do you have some
primitive urge to do battle? Is that why you expressed a desire
to show up at my house to "give me a heart attack?"

How does all that tie in with elimination of the morse code test
from the US amateur radio license examination?

From 6276181310088547973

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 21:50:46 GMT
References: <3AB11E90.DA245EBB@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010315165046.02778.00000179@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174693

In article <3AB11E90.DA245EBB@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> Why do you want to manufacture a dispute? Do you have some
>> primitive urge to do battle?
>
>On the contrary. You are merely an irritant. If I didn't make it clear
>to you, what I was seeking was a discussion between two of the most
>highly irritating people here on the newsgroup.

Subjective judgement. The same "irritation" remark can be
attributed to yourself.

> And it so happens that
>each of you argue at complete polar opposites and have never chosen to
>correspond. I find that mildly curious.

You are at fault for not noticing a series of message exchanges
between Vince Biancomano and myself in the past. Your
problem, not mine.

YOU are the one at "polar opposites" in a subject thread labeled
"Pride." Is it prideful to attempt antagonizing others and goading
them into verbal fights just because you want "to be entertained?"
That went out with Rome and the Coliseum fests.

In case you missed it, here is the latest score from the
Coliseum: Lions 8, Christians 0.

>> Is that why you expressed a desire
>> to show up at my house to "give me a heart attack?"
>
>Please rethink this remark, Leo as it is disputed by the facts.

No need to rethink anything. You implied the above in a
public message. Public messages seen by all are about as
factual as one can get.

From 6285506417625301468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AB1246B.40C44495@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 14:22:03 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAEDA67.F924CBAF@IEEE.org> <20010314083314.02416.00000300@nso-
ma.aol.com> <3AAF85BC.C61421A4@IEEE.org> <98qopi$1go$1@news.netmar.com>
<3AB111C8.B4A89E84@IEEE.org> <98r67m$rp7$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984687926
64.240.47.100 (15 Mar 2001 14:25:26 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174684

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:
> He cannot "pull
> a Cecil" and claim credit for having done it last month.

What kinds of odds do you need to bet that Shaq can't dunk
a basketball on any given day?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6458207476751982481
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AB134E1.B196CA75@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 15:32:17 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AB1110F.31CA11A4@IEEE.org> <98ra8e$qeb$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.137
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984692142 64.240.47.137 (15 Mar 2001 15:35:42 -0600)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174692

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> You didn't know at what point you were, especially for a true
> one-minute solid copy test.

Of course I did, Vince. I had been a Novice for a year by then.
I had racked up thousands of CW QSOs. I could copy 100% at 20wpm.
I didn't start to lose characters until about 25wpm.

> Second, in a true one-minute solid
> copy test, at that range you could be off from one week to the
> next by 5 wpm.

Which means I could still pass a 20wpm test, far away from the 13wpm threshold.

> Third, again, it doesn't change anything regarding
> your experiences of not having to take the entire bank of
> elements over when you should have, which is the focal point of
> where you want to go with this.

But I did take the entire bank of elements, Vince. I just didn't take them at the same time. Since the latest theory indicates that time, as we perceive it, doesn't exist, your argument is irrelevant.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6975089942343111640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 11:41:15 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3AB11ADB.FC1B8194@uci.edu>
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com> <98o9db$goh$0@208.207.71.139>
<3AAFCD62.4C3A3C0@IEEE.org> <98ovhi$1nl$0@208.206.142.102>
<3AB0DDBE.51B9655D@IEEE.org> <yj5s6.1453$Fb5.952468@nntp3.onemain.com>
<3AB1126D.97EDC963@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174678
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

```
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:

> > Are we in Pedant Mode today, Cecil???

> Awwwhhhhhh, please don't make me lift that unabridged dictionary
> today, Richard.

:-)  Mine's lighter.  Very colorful word actually.  Richard is implying
that you are like a male school teacher.  Or more in particular, one who
formally and unimaginatively or unduly emphasizes minutia and precision.

How could he say such a thing about you?  ;-) If we didn't overwork
ideas here, (seeing as we all share the same basic interest) we'd be
left with virtually nothing to argue about.

73, ac6xg


From 8378567546940762545
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 14:35:08 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3AB1439C.DA9AC096@uci.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
<98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaeab49.291430009@news2.dvol.com>
<98o4gd$ru6$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaff5bc.56476936@news2.dvol.com>
<3AB10467.E867F9C4@uci.edu> <3ab1323e.137510909@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174698



"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 10:05:27 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
```

>
> >What time of day is it in Asia when you hear the JAs, VKs, and ZLs?
> >Middle of the work day? Is ten open from the Asia to the East coast at
> >the time when Jeff is hearing taxis on the air from Malasia? Come on
> >Brian, think about it. You know this stuff better than I.
> >
> I wouldn't say that. My clock is four hours ahead of yours. I usually
> hear hams on 10m from that part of the world for couple hours between
> 6 and 8pm local here which is 6-8am the next day in Kuala Lampur and
> 2-4pm in L.A. Oughta be some cabs running around Kuala Lampur at 6-8am
> there? Beats me.
> >
> I assume the band opens to Asia for you roughly the same time local it
> opens for me local. Lets say 6pm in L.A.. which is 10pm here and 10am
> in Kuala Lampur when the cabs are obviously running around. The
> chances of me hearing southeast Asiaians at 10pm is between very slim
> and nil, 10 has gone beddy-'bye except under exceptional condx.

You may recall that I said I hear them on the bottom of 40 meters. I
said that I hear CBers on 10 meters. That band seems never to be open
when I tune to it.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -8550651511916039349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!
newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 20:37:04 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <98r95g$1s3$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com> <98qula$cf9$1@news.netmar.com>
<3AB10B93.1E710858@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 984688624 1923 205.139.138.14 (15 Mar 2001 20:37:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 20:37:04 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: jr@some.net

```
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.0.241
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.0.241
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174686

In article <3AB10B93.1E710858@some.net>, JR  <jr@some.net> writes:
>The problem is, of the current generation, very few know what good manners
are.
>Cell phones ringing in theaters, church, restaurants and idiots on the cell
phone
>weaving from lane to lane in traffic aren't good manners but most of the bozo
users
>haven't a clue.
>
>K0HB@arrl.org wrote:
>
>>
>> Some people just gotta regulate everything!  Is it some kinda power trip,
or
>> what?  Around here we rely on good manners, not the damned government
>> bureaucrats deciding how we should act.  Move to China if you don't like
it.
>> (Yes, Hong Kong is in China.)
>>
>> 73, Hans, K0HB
>


 -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net


From -7743523819007720786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-
state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Mar 2001 22:32:10 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <98rfta$7vb$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AB134E1.B196CA75@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 984695530 8171 149.174.88.240 (15
Mar 2001 22:32:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 22:32:10 GMT
```

Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174697

>But I did take the entire bank of elements, Vince. I just didn't
>take them at the same time.

Still more shifting of the focal point, Cecil! Knock yourself
out.

>Since the latest theory indicates that time, as we perceive it,
>doesn't exist, your argument is irrelevant.

More shifting to get away from the basic point. Keep praying for
that break that is never coming to you. Given your position,
your time would be put to better use looking for that 300,000
foot alien you believe might exist. Through wasting our time yet?

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -7629329339794115947
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Mar 2001 20:55:42 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <98ra8e$qeb$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AB1110F.31CA11A4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 984689742 27083 149.174.88.247 (15
Mar 2001 20:55:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 20:55:42 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174688

>There's variability around thresholds. Away from thresholds,
>such
>things are binary functions. I was nowhere near the 13wpm
>threshold
>when I took the exam so your statement is uncorrelated to the
>reality
>that existed at the time.

You didn't know at what point you were, especially for a true
one-minute solid copy test. Second, in a true one-minute solid

copy test, at that range you could be off from one week to the next by 5 wpm. Third, again, it doesn't change anything regarding your experiences of not having to take the entire bank of elements over when you should have, which is the focal point of where you want to go with this. You don't need to express any rationale in that regard, Cecil. It's simple enough to say: that's what I did, and tough to anyone who doesn't like it.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -7558974135861664539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AB114C1.9945A211@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 13:15:13 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AB0D486.420C2F04@IEEE.org> <20010315124925.06795.00001143@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984683917 64.240.47.100 (15 Mar 2001 13:18:37 -0600)
Lines: 85
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174675

N2EY wrote:
>
> From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> One must have an open mind to interpret the regulations correctly.

Well, Shorty was the one with the open mind. You seem to be the one with the closed mind.

> >Why don't you quote the appropriate section for me?
>
> I don't need that much typing practice. You've got more time than me, anyway.

Well then, please tell me where it exists in a 1957 ARRL License Manual. I haven't been able to find it.

> Who is objecting? All I did was point out that Shorty bent the rules and
> lowered the standard for you 47 years ago.

He may have bent the rules. He didn't lower the standard. The standard was one minute of solid copy at 13wpm. I far exceeded that standard one month earlier.

> Shorty exceeded his authority.

Since he was the only authority present, I would say he used his discretion.

> Suppose the standard was changed from "one solid minute out of five" to "thirty
> seconds solid copy out of three hundred" but the speed was unchanged. Would
> that be a change of standard or not?

But that didn't happen. The standards didn't change in one month. I exceeded the standards one month earlier. The procedure was all that was changed.

> The procedures are part of the standards.

Then why do we have separate words for "procedure" and "standard"? Invariably, there are a set of standards and a separate set of procedures for verifying the standards.

> That much I agree with - the same rules were in effect both times. The
> standards back then were that retesting was necessary.

Nope, the procedure may or may not have been that code retesting was necessary. I haven't found a definitive statement in my 1957 ARRL License Manual.

> The simple fact is that Shorty probably knew he was supposed to retest you on
> the code by the strict letter of the regs. That's why he did so. When his
> equipment unexpectedly failed, he bent the rules by taking a third-party's word
> on it.

He didn't tell me he was bending the rules. I'm still not sure that he bent the rules.

> >I wouldn't be surprised to find out that an FCC examiner had also done
> >something like that in the past.

>
> Name one. The only FCC official irregularity I have ever heard of is the guy
> who sold vanity calls many years ago. He went to jail for that, I understand.

That partially proves my point. If it happened and you didn't hear about it,
then the FCC apparently didn't consider it an irregularity. :-)

> Here in Philly, ol' Joe Squelch wouldn't bend the exam rules one tiny bit. No
> way. When he said you passed, you KNEW you passed.

Given all the FCC examiners throughout the US, don't you think there might
have been one or two who recognized a friend, remembered he had passed the
exam with flying colors just a month before, and signed off on the code test
without actually performing a second code test?

> Your calling it a "crime" indicates you have some lingering guilt and shame.

Nope, it doesn't. I smoked pot in the past. That's a victimless crime and
I have no lingering guilt and/or shame. In fact, I wish I had some of that
"one-hit-$hit" that I used to smoke in CA when I was young and foolish.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7018078226124693302
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!newscon04-ext.news.prodigy.com.MISMATCH!
newscon04.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!
newssvr16.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB10B93.1E710858@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com> <98qula$cf9$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.62.118.76
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr16.news.prodigy.com 984685605 6004826 216.62.118.76 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 14:46:45 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 14:46:45 EST
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:46:45 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174679

The problem is, of the current generation, very few know what good manners are.
Cell phones ringing in theaters, church, restaurants and idiots on the cell phone
weaving from lane to lane in traffic aren't good manners but most of the bozo
users
haven't a clue.

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

>
> Some people just gotta regulate everything! Is it some kinda power trip, or
> what? Around here we rely on good manners, not the damned government
> bureaucrats deciding how we should act. Move to China if you don't like it.
> (Yes, Hong Kong is in China.)
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

From -6706273815302364785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga8zaa3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010315140530.01910.00000795@nso-cu.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 43
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:33:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.69
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984684810 206.58.44.69 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:33:30 GMT)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:33:30 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174677

On 15 Mar 2001 19:05:30 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>>Before the no-code techs came, the 2-meter band was
>>completely dead. There probably weren't 25 people in the entire country
>>using that band on a regular basis, and the number of VHF ham repeaters
>>could likely be counted on one hand.
>
>There were quite a few more than "25." :-)
>
>Back in 1977 Harry Terraneau demonstrated a tone-signalled repeater
>chain that extended from north of California's Bay Area down the coast
>and into Arizona.

In 1958, the first "public" 2-m repeater in the LA area
(K6MYK on Mt. Lee) went on the air, and we used it for "public
service" in relaying election district counts in the
gubernatorial election that put Edmund G. "Pat" Brown (Sr.) in
the governor's seat. That was both the first election that I
voted in and the first repeater that I had used. We certainly
had much more than 25 people on that machine at that time and
continuing.

In 1961 I relocated to NY and amazed folks back there with
tales of the "repeater"...we had at least 25 people on our
simplex 2-meter net.

When I returnmed to California in 1963 and used the repeater
located in the San Bernardino Mountains we certainly had more
than 25 users.....

When I finally went "FM" on 2-meters in 1973 we had more than
25 people on our machine in the San Francisco area.

"Dead"? Hardly.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -4451581693676401200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST

Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 19:49:42 GMT
References: <NA5s6.3308$%s3.1173581@nntp1.onemain.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010315144942.01910.00000805@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174680

In article <NA5s6.3308$%s3.1173581@nntp1.onemain.com>, "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> writes:

>Here we go again. Lifer Tech Syndrome rears its ugly head. Divert
>attention from that nasty icky code, unhorse the achievers, and achieve
>status by trying to buy it. If you can't hack it, denigrate it.
>
>If there is any status in Morse code speed these days, it seems to be in
>the eyes of those who can't or won't do it.

Just who is "unhorsing the achievers?" :-)

It would seem that, if there be "horses" then the nags are those who keep insisting that the morse code test must be kept and inviolate forever and ever...

If there be "Lifer Tech Syndrome" then it is in response to all those whose Life is tied up in amateur radio equalling working CW on HF. Now that's a Real Lifer syndrome if there ever was one!

If you have achieved morse proficiency all by yourself for your own reasons, fine. Applause. Now explain to everyone why ALL newcomers to HF amateur radio MUST test for morse code proficiency at any speed?

Oh, yes, if the morse code test is eliminated, then the Real Lifers lose their self-perceived "superiority" and are down a bragging point. Seems to me, Mr. Electric Horseman, that all you and other Real Lifers have done is to create a virtual steed instead, not a real one.

Perhaps you are saddle sore or your fist is tired from holding onto the guidon while on this virtual horsie? This isn't a "battle" and nobody is doing a Charge! blow on Gabe's trumpet because you, personally, feel the need to keep that code test forever and ever... because you had to do it.

As another Richard cried, "A horse! A horse! My personal ham kingdom for a horse!" Poor guy, he couldn't "bring on the empty horses."

Saddle up, cowboy. Mind them pies in the field.

From -3979561636265757322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
In-Reply-To: <arrl_letter@get_the_message>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103152045170.12450-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 29
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 20:50:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 984689411 12.80.44.3 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 20:50:11 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 20:50:11 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174687

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001, message center wrote:
> ARRL members, Here is a letter that you can use and send to your ARRL section
> manager, Division director, and ARRL board of Director:
>
> -------
>
> Dear (insert directors name here),
>
> Why don't you wake up and pull your fucking head out of your ass? I am
> concerned about the quality of amateur radio operators, not quantity. If I
> wanted to listen to a bunch of stupid operators, I would listen to CB. Do us
> all a favor and propose something that will impove amateur radio, not dumb it
> down. Bring integrity and intelligence back into the amateur radio service.
> Thanks and 73.
>
> (insert your name here)
>
> --------

Considering that the FCC, not the ARRL, sets the standards for licensing, and such standards are based SOLELY on radio knowledge, I don't see what good such a "letter" would do.... Regardless, someone who is a genius could easily pass any test and still be an asshole, while any idiot can be nice, decent person, so I additionally fail to see how intelligence relates to the "standard of quality" that you're striving for.

Considering that what you want is a morals test, explain to us just how YOU would pass it with such a proposed letter as you stated here?

```
From -3508616175808897248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 22:28:59 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab1323e.137510909@news2.dvol.com>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
<98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaeab49.291430009@news2.dvol.com>
<98o4gd$ru6$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaff5bc.56476936@news2.dvol.com>
<3AB10467.E867F9C4@uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.18
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.18
X-Trace: 15 Mar 2001 16:26:44 -0600, 64.24.18.18
Lines: 25
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
4.24.21.218.MISMATCH!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.genuity.net!chcgil2-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174696
```

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 10:05:27 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:

>What time of day is it in Asia when you hear the JAs, VKs, and ZLs?
>Middle of the work day? Is ten open from the Asia to the East coast at
>the time when Jeff is hearing taxis on the air from Malasia? Come on
>Brian, think about it. You know this stuff better than I.
>

I wouldn't say that. My clock is four hours ahead of yours. I usually hear hams on 10m from that part of the world for couple hours between 6 and 8pm local here which is 6-8am the next day in Kuala Lampur and 2-4pm in L.A. Oughta be some cabs running around Kuala Lampur at 6-8am there? Beats me.
>

```
I assume the band opens to Asia for you roughly the same time local it
opens for me local. Lets say 6pm in L.A.. which is 10pm here and 10am
in Kuala Lampur when the cabs are obviously running around. The
chances of me hearing southeast Asiaians at 10pm is between very slim
and nil, 10 has gone beddy-'bye except under exceptional condx.
>
>
>73, Jim AC6XG
>
w3rv



From -2650644243357468074
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 19:57:35 GMT
References: <3AB106B2.9E8089F6@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010315145735.26896.00000830@nso-ff.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174681

In article <3AB106B2.9E8089F6@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>> Now, now, you ARE being abusive!       :-)
>
>Hey Len,
>
>Vince doesn't seem to be able to figure out what's going on, so I'll ask
>you.  How come you never respond to a Vince Biancomano post?  It seems
>you two would have so much to talk about.

Why do you want to manufacture animosities?



From -1973206876236174732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
```

Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 19:05:30 GMT
References: <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010315140530.01910.00000795@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174667

In article <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

> You know, I simply don't understand this animosity towards the newer hams.
>And that is exactly what this is (animosity towards the newer hams) because
>I rarely see such complaints about the many troublemakers on HF.   It's not
>like the newer hams are taking anything away from the older hams (older as
>hams, not age). Before the no-code techs came, the 2-meter band was
>completely dead. There probably weren't 25 people in the entire country
>using that band on a regular basis, and the number of VHF ham repeaters
>could likely be counted on one hand.

There were quite a few more than "25." :-)

Back in 1977 Harry Terraneau demonstrated a tone-signalled repeater chain that extended from north of California's Bay Area down the coast and into Arizona. Devised, innovated, and made operational by radio amateurs before the advent of the personal computer or widespread availability of microprocessors. Had an extensive tone-coding scheme to turn-on a path as desired, a rather thick little notebook carried by net members. On the first demo Harry coded in the Mount Wilson repeater from Newbury Park, CA, had a quick chat-hello with a friend who was mobile in motion in the El Segundo area, enroute to the Hughes facility there.

Not sure of the network's name then but it has a strong resemblance to the Condor Net of today.

In 1977 the old-time (not age) hams were still discussing state-of-the-art HF DX. In 2001 the old-time (not age) hams are still discussing state-of-the-art HF DX (and haven't left the state).

Back then it was still necessary to learn CW in order to get an amateur radio license that permitted innovation, experimentation and fun of trying NEW things by hobbyists. The need to learn enough CW for a license remained for 14 more years in the USA until 1991...as if CW knowledge demonstrated to the FCC was some kind of "necessary" communications skill.

24 years later a bunch of old-time (not age) hams are busy

dissing Technician class licensees because they aren't "real hams." Maybe that's why the diss is still served hot...

```
From -1347322173176146864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 14:27:27 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AB141CF.AA6C86F1@uci.edu>
References: <3AB11E90.DA245EBB@uci.edu> <20010315165046.02778.00000179@nso-
mn.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174695
```

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Is it prideful to attempt antagonizing others and goading
> them into verbal fights just because you want "to be entertained?"

Oh. I feel really bad about that. But, at least I'm not always making nasty comments to people like you do.

> >Please rethink this remark, Leo as it is disputed by the facts.

> No need to rethink anything. You implied the above in a
> public message. Public messages seen by all are about as
> factual as one can get.

That's fine. Go retrive the message, and repost it here. Or, at least read what is says again.

```
From -1153932579173562150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
```

Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 63
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 19:05:32 GMT
References: <20010314222835.02818.00000887@nso-mi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010315140532.01910.00000798@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174668

In article <20010314222835.02818.00000887@nso-mi.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20010314205949.02781.00000429@nso-mb.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>
>>AOL v 5.0 has a built-in spell checker, free, part of the package.
>
>No steenking kidding -- never noticed. Sheez.

Then you didn't read the directions (also supplied free). A single
private mail request will get you a free CD ROM sent for free
in surface mail containing the latest version.

>Unless I want to. I don't use MS-Word all the time, only for messages
>to people I feel are very important, or on longer responses that I may
>edit over a couple of sessions. I'm not using it now; I'm just using the
>standard AOL newsreader's Reply window.

Congratulations. You've come this far in advancing the state-of-your-computer-art. I am optimistic that you will advance beyond Computer
Novice class.

>Uh huh. Now tell me how to spell check my newsgroup replies,
>strictly within the confines of the AOL program.

Apply yourself and overcome your ignorance by reading the free
documentation supplied with your free AOL software. If you cast
off your ignorance and pre-biased attitudes, you too can become
a more advanced computer hobbyist!

>Yes, I agree. AOL has a free e-mail spell checker. However, this is
>being done in Newsgroup mode. Sooo, please scroll up and answer
>my question.

All the answers are supplied in your free AOL software documentation.

Apply yourself. Cast off your biased attitude an work, struggle to overcome your ignorance. Don't try to rationalize your pony-tailed, knuckle-dragging computer neanderthalistic attitudes. You can do it. Hundreds of thousands of other computer users have done it.

>Izzat so? Yep, zat's so! Seen 'em myself! Thank you, Grandpa
>Lennie, for being the master of the perfectly obvious.

There's no need to be abusive, to drag your knuckles all over the blackboard of the newsgroup. It makes a bad sound.

>C'mon, Lennie -- can't you come up with anything more original than
>that? Oh, never mind.

Now, now, you are being abusive. Jam Kellay doesn't like abusive newsgroupies in here.

You just settle down and APPLY yourself. Take advantage of the free software, buckle down and WORK at using your available software. Over a million AOL users have done just that, so why can't you?

From -51427464180743567
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:06 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 12:53:35 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3AB12BCF.CF6068B@uci.edu>
References: <3AB106B2.9E8089F6@uci.edu> <20010315145735.26896.00000830@nso-ff.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174689

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AB106B2.9E8089F6@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>
> >> Now, now, you ARE being abusive!   :-)
> >
> >Hey Len,

> >Vince doesn't seem to be able to figure out what's going on, so I'll ask
> >you. How come you never respond to a Vince Biancomano post? It seems
> >you two would have so much to talk about.

> Why do you want to manufacture animosities?

Maybe I wasn't clear enough   in my question. I'll ask more slowly:
why   don't   you   respond   to   Vince   Biancomano   posts?

I just think you two are perfect for each other. That's all.

As far as manufacturing animosity, well, I hardly think I need to do
that. You harbor enough of it already.

Jim

From 13966264372698245
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b831c0f690650755
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: LAPD kicked off ham band.
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 19:22:32 -0600
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3AB16AD8.55419219@ix.netcom.com>
References: <98ra7u$3t5$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0e.8e.f8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 Mar 2001 01:22:49 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174709

Shouldn't the callsign be WB6Xxx? After all, LA is in 6 land.
Measures S5 on my trollmeter....

Intruder-Killer@hamband.net wrote:

> Dear LAPD,

>
> IT IS ORDERED pursuant to Section 5.83(b) of the Commission's
> Rules, that the experimental license for Station WB2XEN in the
> 2.4GHZ Amateur Service band IS CANCELLED.
>
> Love Always,
>
> Electromagnetic Compatibility Division
> Office of Engineering and Technology
> Federal Communications Commission
>

Sure.....

From 161616843253961058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 98
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Mar 2001 12:15:22 GMT
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010316071522.13684.00000680@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174736

In article <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>For blatently obvious reasons. Let's not forget the Motorola versions
>of the 390.

Motorolas are usually prized because of quality construction and the use of components that fared better over time. Collins-made ones often have wiring harnesses whose insulation is cracked and failing.

Because those receivers are modularized, typical ham ones often contain modules from several different manufacturers.

How about the R-390A, a design from 1954. Granted, it's not a typical ham receiver, and they are big boys, but they are common enough to be readily available for far less than $1k. And they are pretty good, as demonstrated in a series of articles by Ray Osterwald, N0DMS, in Electric Radio (1991).

He first measured the performance of a stock 1956 Motorola R-390A. He got these results:

MDS (3 dB rise over no signal condition): -127 dBm at 2 kHz bandwidth, -147 dBm at 100 Hz bandwidth

Input for 10 dB S/N ratio: .44 uV (-117 dBm) at 2 kHz bandwidth

Blocking dynamic range: 72.1 dB

2 tone 3rd order dynamic range 52.7 dB

He then did some mods to the AGC and IF amplifiers, using better tubes developed in the early '60s. The results are shown below:

MDS (3 dB rise over no signal condition): -130 dBm at 2 kHz bandwidth [3 dB improvement over stock]

Input for 10 dB S/N ratio: .2 uV at 2 kHz bandwidth

Blocking dynamic range: 105.2 dB [33.1 dB improvement over stock]

2 tone 3rd order dynamic range 82.8 dB [30.1 dB improvement over stock]

Finally he modified the RF section to use better tubes in the mixers. The final results are as follows:

MDS (3 dB rise over no signal condition): >-150 dBm at 2 kHz bandwidth (limited by test setup) [>23 dB improvement over stock]

Input for 10 dB S/N ratio: .022 uV at 2 kHz bandwidth

Blocking dynamic range: 123.5 dB [52.4 dB improvement over stock]

2 tone 3rd order dynamic range: 101 dB [48.3 dB improvement over stock]

Pretty good for a design from 1954, updated with tubes from the mid-60s. The R-390A is a multiconversion general coverage design. A ham bands only design could do even better.

Numbers are from Electric Radio for May and June of 1991. The tubes used are relatively common TV types and the mods consist mostly of changing resistors.

Note that most of the numbers are for SSB bandwidth (2kHz).

But for typical ham use, I'd rather have a 75S3B, for a whole bunch of reasons.

For a chart listing many typical ham receivers, see:

http://www.sherweng.com/table.html

The 75S3 MDS is rated at -141 dBm

>I have five reasonably decent HF rcvrs/xcvrs including one Collins box
>and in all cases the ssb/cw sensitivities are in the 0.015-0.025 mV
>range.

Once you get below a microvolt or so, external noise usually dominates on HF unless the antenna is very small or the location very quiet.

> Radios like these are not all about "state of the art"
>sensitivity which is a no-brainer and is as often as not a detriment
>to decoding weak HF signals.

AMEN! The real problem is often picking the 1 uV signal out from between the hordes of 100,000 uV signals.

>To wit: The only way I could finally get
>solid copy out of the three watt French station I worked on 40m cw
>last nite was to crank in 10dB worth of rcvr RF attenuation which got
>rid of a useful amount of crap in the passband.
>>

All sorts of IM products to deal with. A case in point of the above problem. One reason why the published numbers are only a starting point, and why designs from decades ago can outperform many "modern" ones.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1599146897755605241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AB1A59D.3916AEB3@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 23:33:17 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAFDF54.A3189E31@IEEE.org> <98osnn$kca$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3AB0CECC.D30143A5@IEEE.org> <98s5qi$m4o$0@208.206.142.75>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984721000 64.240.47.66 (15 Mar 2001 23:36:40 -0600)
Lines: 18

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174732
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > On the contrary, Vince, Morse code exams are not supposed to
> > vary from one month to the next.
>
> To the contrary, Morse code tests are required to be changed for each
> test.

I'm not talking about content, Dick, I'm talking about the standards.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From 1936972884725726309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 01:40:17 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab15204.145643525@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-
de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com> <98gd47$o6g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aad4427.199488481@news2.dvol.com> <98kgj5$46c$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aaeae08.292133133@news2.dvol.com> <3AAF8217.5DB7@mobiletel.com>
<3aaff5d4.56501152@news2.dvol.com> <B6D63E6F.6BCD%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.139
```

X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.139
X-Trace: 15 Mar 2001 19:38:00 -0600, 64.24.240.139
Lines: 54
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!4.24.21.218.MISMATCH!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.genuity.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174711

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 09:39:59 -0500, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:
>
>
>
> It is relatively easy to obtain a license to operate a marine radio on
>land. To do so, you must have a special license, called a marine utility
>station license (a ship station license is not sufficient). To be eligible
>for such a license, you must provide a service to marine vessels. In
>addition, you must show a need to communicate from a coast location. Common
>coast stations include: marinas, radio repair shops, bridges, locks, and
>yacht clubs.
>

Jeez, how "easy" can it get to be able to talk to yer boat from terra
firma with a radio?
>

> . . . Today, the FCC
>regulates marine communications, in cooperation with the Coast Guard (this
>cooperation includes Coast Guard RDF facilities).
>

I went thru two kinds of "boating", first came 110mph APBA hydroplane
racing, no radios. My second phase was putzing around the upper
eastern shore of the Chesapeake with the 18 foot lapstrake (real
mahogany strakes by golly) speedy inboard runabout my Dad built which
I equipped with the properly licensed Ray Jeff. Lotta crabbing and
water skiing, the usual Cheapeake country kinda things ya do with a
powerboat.
>

Supplemented by the 5w HT permanently perched on a shelf in the
kitchen of the summer cottage on the Bohemia River.
>

The Ray Jeff was always parked on 16 while underway for obvious
reasons as was the HT 24/365.
>

99% of all comms over many years with the old Ray Jeff went like:
HT: "Alice K you copy?" pfft.
Alice K: "Copy." pfft.
HT: "12" pfft.
Alice K; "12" pfft.
(twist knobs to ch. 12)

```
HT: "Yo Brian where are you?" pfft.
Alice K: "Just passed can 8 inbound, 'bout 20 minutes out" pfft.
HT:  "OK, I'll light the briquettes" pfft.
Alice K: "The kids are really hungry, Alice K (KJB???, whatever the
hell it was) clear 12." Pfft.
>
A tad shady? I reckon. Arguable in this NG? Of course.
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
w3rv


From 2431860313874506153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Mar 2001 23:18:15 GMT
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010315181815.03424.00000183@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174703

In article <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com>, Len Over 21 writes:

> Thermal noise in a 50 Ohm
>system, 500 Hz bandwidth, at room temperature is 10 nanovolts
>RMS.

Please excuse an error...that should be 20 nanovolts, not 10.

E-sub-n = 2 x SQRT (R k t Bw)    where:

R = resistance, k = Boltzmann Constant of 1.38 x 10^-23,
t = temp in degrees K (about 290 for a 75 F scale), Bw of
500 Hz.


From 2506534844822363019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Mar 2001 12:55:53 GMT
References: <3AAFC74B.2D0BF157@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010316075553.02018.00000278@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174739



>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 03/14/01 2:32 PM Eastern Standard Time

>You know and I know that we are speaking in context about U.S. amateur
>radio operators licensed by the FCC.

     I DO know this, Cecil?....That's not what was said at all.  You now add
the modifier of "FCC" wherein before it was "governments".

>That you must resort to a foreign
>king to produce the requested person must mean that you don't know an
>amateur radio operator in the U.S. who changed his circumstances so he
>was not forced to meet the requirements for amateur radio privileges.

     That was NOT what you said, Cecil.

73

Steve, K4YZ


"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html





From 5124055668845723818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: 16 Mar 2001 05:04:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <98s6sj$pjs$0@208.206.142.75>
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<B6D64C86.6BCF%stewartx@sccoast.net> <NA5s6.3308$%s3.1173581@nntp1.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.75
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174722



Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:B6D64C86.6BCF%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > > [snip] but I do sense that you have a
> > > strong desire to feel superior to me
> > > based on your choice of ISP!  How
> > > immature is that?
> >
> >
> >   Oh, come on, Larry.  You're making this too easy for me.  His feeling
> > superior to you because of his choice of ISP is no more immature than
> your
> > superior attitude because of morse code.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> Here we go again.  Lifer Tech Syndrome rears its ugly head.  Divert
> attention from that nasty icky code, unhorse the achievers, and achieve
> status by trying to buy it.  If you can't hack it, denigrate it.
>
> If there is any status in Morse code speed these days, it seems to be in
> the eyes of those who can't or won't do it.
>
> Dick N�BK


Exactament!!!


From 5946500765077398373
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AB14A5F.BF6D4BD2@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 17:03:59 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AB134E1.B196CA75@IEEE.org> <98rfta$7vb$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.138
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984697642 64.240.47.138 (15 Mar 2001 17:07:22 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174702

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >But I did take the entire bank of elements, Vince. I just didn't
> >take them at the same time.
>
> Still more shifting of the focal point, Cecil! Knock yourself
> out.

Vince, you are the Johnnie-Cum-Lately into this discussion. That
makes you the one trying to shift the focal point. Knock yourself
out.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7268792090104343578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: 16 Mar 2001 05:06:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <98s709$pjs$1@208.206.142.75>
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com> <98o9db$goh$0@208.207.71.139>
<f5q1btk1kjmb4isq2n7t4c9cqudjsh8l6r@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.75
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174723



AB2HR wrote:
>
> On 14 Mar 2001 17:22:51 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >AB2HR wrote:
> >>
> >> >Look, pal, *you're* the one who's stressed-out over the fact that I
> >> >use AOL -- not me!  I don't require any "vindication" -- but I do sense
> >> >that you have a strong desire to feel superior to me based on your
> >> >choice of ISP!  How immature is that?
> >> >
> >> >73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>
> >> Larry,
> >>         It's not because of my choice of ISP that I feel superior to
> >> you...
> >
> >
> >
> >Yeah, we know.....The date of your license tells it all.
>
> ... and the date of my license has nothing to do with it...  If those
> who have been around longer are better suited - why do old people die
> of old age?


 Your question is an excellent illustration of the problem.


From 7702952351376463172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AB1A4E7.41BCE27@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 23:30:15 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AB14A5F.BF6D4BD2@IEEE.org> <98rk89$40o$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984720818
64.240.47.66 (15 Mar 2001 23:33:38 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!earthquake.efnet.com!
efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174730

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >Vince, you are the Johnnie-Cum-Lately into this discussion. That
> >makes you the one trying to shift the focal point. Knock
> >yourself out.
>
> "Johnnie-Cum-Lately" has to do with calling attention to your
> nonsense, Cecil. The mystery is why you choose to place yourself
> in such a poor debating position on a consistent basis. It's
> something you've done for years.
```

My ulterior motive is to waste your time, Vince.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7889425977859190409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feedeast.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 05:16:10 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <3ab138b5.11985803@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133> <3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157> <3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com> <kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com> <3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com> <6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.45.e9
X-Server-Date: 16 Mar 2001 05:14:19 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174725

On Wed, 14 Mar 2001 06:50:29 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com> wrote:

>billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:
>>The problem
>>would still come down to properly gaining the right
>>evidence to obtain a conviction...a factor which
>>I don't see the typical local law enforcement
>>agencies doing very well.
>
>Since the city would be allowed to write the law, they could make it
>relatively non-technical and easy to prosecute.

No they can't. The federal legislation allows the locals
to enforce the federal rules. The city can't change the
federal rules, nor can they change the burden of proof
and other aspects.

> If the neighbors are
>willing to come to court and testify (as they must now do in dog
>barking violations), all they have to do is convince a judge beyond a
>reasonable doubt that the CBer is disturbing their electronic piece.

If we limit our discussion to your example, that
wouldn't fly. The missing element would be
what, if anything, was the CBer doing illegally?
Just operating CB doesn't cut it in this case anymore
than a ham being accused of interference.

>A similar situation happened to a ham recently (as discussed earlier
>here), and they didn't even need to make new law to take him to court.

Who took the ham to court? Where? What was the charge?
What was the outcome?

>As far as the legal equipment argument goes, the FCC can impose quiet
>hours on a *legal ham* now if he can't work things out with his
>neighbors. The city could easily make such a law to do the same thing
>to a CBer...

The city can not operate on that basis from anything I have
read about this law. You are extending the power of
enforcement far beyond the legal boundary that the
legislation supports.

As to the FCC setting quiet hours on legal hams, that
is a very "rare" situation and I have not seen or read
of that being done at all in many years.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 8141609660504321588
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 16 Mar 2001 12:59:19 GMT
References: <3AAFD256.2E65CA25@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010316075919.02018.00000279@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174740

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@ovis.net
>Date: 03/14/01 3:19 PM Eastern Standard Time

>Your insight into the work of government is nothing short of remarkable.

Perhaps a bit of rewording..?!?!?

"Your insight into the work of government is remarkably short of nothing."

Just an idea.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 8370175775230028236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 16 Mar 2001 04:46:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <98s5qi$m4o$0@208.206.142.75>
References: <3AAFDF54.A3189E31@IEEE.org> <98osnn$kca$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3AB0CECC.D30143A5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.75
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174721

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> On the contrary, Vince, Morse code exams are not supposed to

> vary from one month to the next.

To the contrary, Morse code tests are required to be changed for each test.

From -9008258688669243932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Mar 2001 05:32:45 GMT
References: <3AB10362.ABC25B56@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010316003245.06567.00000423@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174728

In article <3AB10362.ABC25B56@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Morse code is like riding a bicycle or swimming.

Sort of. All of them are skills that almost everyone can master.

> One doesn't
>forget Morse code in one month.

Nor does one forget how to swim or ride a bike in one month. But one's skill level can vary considerably in one month.

> It was obviously at the
>discretion of the code examiner whether to give a second code
>test or simply sign off based on the results of the first test
>a month before.

Not in 1954. The rules specifically required a second test.

>I wouldn't be surprised to find out that FCC
>code examiners did exactly that for someone they recognized
>and remembered.

Can you cite an instance where an FCC examiner did that?

>It's just human nature.

It's human nature for performance to vary all over the map.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -6411330062406123051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: 16 Mar 2001 05:09:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <98s76l$pjs$2@208.206.142.75>
References: <77hsat8hvuitd7nbc4s7vujuf5q8vofq8l@4ax.com>
<20010314051455.16620.00002085@nso-ch.aol.com>
<vh5vatcqojugagjgkuurfpokf750qgt9ta@4ax.com> <98o9db$goh$0@208.207.71.139>
<3AAFCD62.4C3A3C0@IEEE.org> <98ovhi$1nl$0@208.206.142.102>
<tbq1btg1vfamc9jh7kr2t4es6oc845o2h9@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.75
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174724

AB2HR wrote:
>
> On 14 Mar 2001 23:40:34 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >W6RCecilA wrote:
> >>
> >> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> >
> >> > AB2HR wrote:
> >> > > It's not because of my choice of ISP that I feel superior to you...
> >> >
> >> > Yeah, we know.....The date of your license tells it all.
> >>
> >> Yeah, we know. Idiots were conveniently invented after you got your license.
> >

```
> >
> >No, actually that happened well before. But somehow only the fervent
> >code haters who glommed a Welfare Extra post-April 15 are so predictibly
> >rabid crditics of anyone who supports any sort of cofde testing for a HF
> >ham license. If you want to see one look no further than your own
> >mirror.
>
> Sorry...  You can take your foot out of your mouth.  Granted - I DID
> get my Extra AFTER April 15, but I DID happen to pass the 13 wpm
> General class license prior, and happen to support code testing
> requirements.  As a matter of fact, I was well on my way to meeting
> the 20 wpm requirement when the reorganization announcement was
> released.  As a VE I happen to administer the code tests in this area.
> BTW, how DOES that toejam taste?


Talk is cheap. Here it is very cheap. Yours seems worth a couple yen.


From -6077165668518024335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b831c0f690650755
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <98ra7u$3t5$1@news.netmar.com> <3AB16AD8.55419219@ix.netcom.com>
Subject: Re: LAPD kicked off ham band.
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <iXes6.3464$%s3.1225578@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 20:34:38 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.181
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 984709966 206.29.242.181 (Thu, 15 Mar 2001 21:32:46
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 15 Mar 2001 21:32:46 EST
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174712


"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3AB16AD8.55419219@ix.netcom.com...
> Shouldn't the callsign be WB6Xxx?  After all, LA is in 6 land.
> Measures S5 on my trollmeter....
>

The Experimental stations have 2x3 calls with an initial X in the 3.  The
```

ones I have seen lately are random with the number.

From -4614511333919834846
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e3500-chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: nospam_n7ws@arrl.net (Wes Stewart)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <3ab1b556.113189875@news.aepnet.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 29
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 01:40:25 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 06:40:26 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174734

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 07:41:11 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> Before the no-code techs came, the 2-meter band was
>completely dead. There probably weren't 25 people in the entire country
>using that band on a regular basis, and the number of VHF ham repeaters
>could likely be counted on one hand.

What are you smoking? I have been on 2 meters since the early sixties. I have 40 states confirmed, about 150 VUCC grids (many more pre-1983), Worked All Continents, and quite a few other DX contacts. The states worked and the DX were all pre-1983 and there were probably a couple of hundred stations within easy tropo distance on the air at any time before1990 when no-code began. This from the VHF black hole of Southern Arizona.

If there were only a handfull of repeaters on, that would be wonderful as far as I'm concerned. That might actually teach many of the newer hams that it is actually possible to have a QSO without using an active repeater. Did you know that?

Furthermore, the argument for no code was that the code requirement was keeping out "technically-minded" folks that wanted to experiment, but thought the code requirement was silly. What did we get. For the most part a bunch of wives that use a FM handheld and a repeater to keep tabs on the OM and a lot of hikers, hunter, fishermen, etc. What "experimenting" and improving of the state of the art are these folks doing?

N7WS

```
From -4245979062721533789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ga9lx30.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com> <98gd47$o6g$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aad4427.199488481@news2.dvol.com> <98kgj5$46c$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaeae08.292133133@news2.dvol.com> <3AAF8217.5DB7@mobiletel.com> <3aaff5d4.56501152@news2.dvol.com> <B6D63E6F.6BCD%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab15204.145643525@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 24
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 03:47:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984714422 206.58.44.12 (Fri, 16 Mar 2001 03:47:02 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 03:47:02 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174720
```

On Fri, 16 Mar 2001 01:40:17 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

>99% of all comms over many years with the old Ray Jeff went like:
>HT: "Alice K you copy?" pfft.
>Alice K: "Copy." pfft.
>HT: "12" pfft.
>Alice K; "12" pfft.

Yup.

```
  The main opposition to "private" non-marine-business coast
  stations (called "kitchen coastals" on the West Coast) was the
  Telcos who ran the Public Coast (Marine Operator) network.
  With the advent of cellphone service their business (and
  opposition to kitchen coastals) went away.

  The FCC hasn't yet gotten around to legalizing kitchen
  coastals but give it time.....  <ggg>

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP





From -2582500483302032340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 181
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Mar 2001 01:32:23 GMT
References: <3AB114C1.9945A211@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010315203223.02799.00000291@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174710

In article <3AB114C1.9945A211@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>
>> One must have an open mind to interpret the regulations correctly.
>
>Well, Shorty was the one with the open mind.

No, he was the one who bent the rules.

>You seem to be
>the one with the closed mind.

Not at all. I'm open to all sorts of new things and new interpretations.
>
```

>> >Why don't you quote the appropriate section for me?
>>
>> I don't need that much typing practice. You've got more time than me,
\>anyway.
>
\>Well then, please tell me where it exists in a 1957 ARRL License
\>Manual. I haven't been able to find it.

Try the 1954 License manual. I don't have a 1957 edition, so I can't help you there.
>
>> Who is objecting? All I did was point out that Shorty bent the rules and
>> lowered the standard for you 47 years ago.
>
\>He may have bent the rules.

He DID bend the rules.

> He didn't lower the standard.

Yes, he did.

> The standard
\>was one minute of solid copy at 13wpm.

The standard was one minute of legible solid copy out of five minutes at 13 wpm, understandable sending at 13 wpm, AND a passed written test - all as part of the same exam sequence. The standard consisted of all those parts, not just some of them.

> I far exceeded that standard one
\>month earlier.
>
You met the requirements of the one minute at 13 wpm. You did not meet the standard of the General license. And part of the standard was that a prospective ham didn't get credit for previously passed tests if those tests didn't result in a license or an upgrade.

>> Shorty exceeded his authority.
>
\>Since he was the only authority present, I would say he used his discretion.

Nope. His authority was extremely limited. He exceeded it.
>
>> Suppose the standard was changed from "one solid minute out of five" to
>"thirty
>> seconds solid copy out of three hundred" but the speed was unchanged. Would
>> that be a change of standard or not?
>
\>But that didn't happen.

That's not the question. The question is "would that be a change of standard?"

>The standards didn't change in one month.

Agreed. The standards were the same - your examiner did not follow them.

> I
>exceeded the standards one month earlier. The procedure was all that
>was changed.

Procedures are part of the standards.
>
>> The procedures are part of the standards.
>
>Then why do we have separate words for "procedure" and "standard"?

English is full of that sort of thing.

>Invariably, there are a set of standards and a separate set of
>procedures for verifying the standards.

No, the procedures are a subset of the standards.
>
>> That much I agree with - the same rules were in effect both times. The
>> standards back then were that retesting was necessary.
>
>Nope, the procedure may or may not have been that code retesting was
>necessary. I haven't found a definitive statement in my 1957 ARRL
>License Manual.

The test was in 1954. Time travel is not a valid way of passing the tests.
>
>> The simple fact is that Shorty probably knew he was supposed to retest you
>on
>> the code by the strict letter of the regs. That's why he did so. When his
>> equipment unexpectedly failed, he bent the rules by taking a third-party's
>word
>> on it.
>
>He didn't tell me he was bending the rules.

Of course not! Your highly developed sense of fair play and logic would have
caused you to stop him. By not telling you what he was doing, he avoided that.

> I'm still not sure that he
>bent the rules.
>
I am. He did. Not that it matters anymore.

>> >I wouldn't be surprised to find out that an FCC examiner had also done
>> >something like that in the past.
>>
>> Name one. The only FCC official irregularity I have ever heard of is the
>guy
>> who sold vanity calls many years ago. He went to jail for that, I

>understand.
>
>That partially proves my point.

How? The guy who sold calls was not an examiner, as far as I know, he WAS
caught, and the FCC made a big deal of it. The whole vanity call thing was
disrupted because of it.

> If it happened and you didn't hear about it,
>then the FCC apparently didn't consider it an irregularity. :-)

In other words, you cannot name a single case where an FCC examiner bent the
rules.
>
>> Here in Philly, ol' Joe Squelch wouldn't bend the exam rules one tiny bit.
>No
>> way. When he said you passed, you KNEW you passed.
>
>Given all the FCC examiners throughout the US, don't you think there might
>have been one or two who recognized a friend, remembered he had passed the
>exam with flying colors just a month before, and signed off on the code test
>without actually performing a second code test?

Nope. Not one. Anywhere. Ever.

Now if Phil Kane were to tell me there was such a case, I'd rethink my
position. But I see no reason to doubt the honesty and integrity of FCC
examiners of the past.
>
>> Your calling it a "crime" indicates you have some lingering guilt and
>shame.
>
>Nope, it doesn't.

I am relieved that you don't have the lingering guilt and shame.

> I smoked pot in the past.

Stainless steel or cast iron?

>That's a victimless crime

"Victimless crime" is an oxymoron. Like "military intelligence", "jumbo
shrimp", "nondairy creamer", "tight slacks", "business ethics"......

> and
>I have no lingering guilt and/or shame.

That's good. Did you inhale?

>In fact, I wish I had some of that
>"one-hit-$hit" that I used to smoke in CA when I was young and foolish.

When was that?

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -303923001941495773
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 05:16:12 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 61
Message-ID: <3ab13c95.12978498@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.45.e9
X-Server-Date: 16 Mar 2001 05:14:20 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174726
```

On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 06:20:44 -0500, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

```
>Phil Kane wrote:
>
>> Fundamental law:  only the FCC has jurisdiction
>> in electronic interference cases, whether the
>> station is in compliance with FCC rules or not -
>> a local/state or Federal court cannot enjoin
>> operation nor impose penalties in a suit brought
>> by alleged "victims".  [snip]
>
>  Is that true, Phil?  HR2346 (now part of 47 U.S.C. 302a) said...
>
>       "...a State or local government may enact a
>       statute or ordinance that prohibits a
>       violation of the following regulations
>       of the Commission under this section:
>
>       (A) A regulation that prohibits a use of
>       citizens band radio equipment not
>       authorized by the Commission."
>
>  I was under the impression that if the state can pass a law that prohibits
>the use of such equipment, it can "enjoin operation" of that equipment.
>Isn't "enjoin" and "prohibit" the same thing?   Likewise, if they can
>prohibit outright, what is to prevent them from doing so during certain
>hours of the day?

I'm sure Phil will point out that the first order of proof
is that any specific equipment is, in fact, "equipment
NOT (emphasis added) authorized by the Commission."
That is the point in needing trained personnel to be
able to accurately make such a determination as to
the illegality of the equipment...AND then to be able
to convince judge and jury that it is so.

>  Likewise, the bill went on to say...
>
>       "(B) A regulation that prohibits the
>       unauthorized operation of citizens band
>       radio equipment on a frequency between
>       24 MHz and 35 MHz.
>
>  Wouldn't the operation of a station causing interference be an
>"unauthorized operation?"

NO!  Not anymore than a ham station doing the same
thing.  The proof required is to be able to first
determine the specific operation of the CB station
as being illegal (unauthorized equipment, modified
equip., unauthorized CB frequency, etc.).

> If so, wouldn't this paragraph cover electronic
>interference situations when it comes to local enforcement?

Again, see my comments above.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From -303761181698427379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:07 PST
```

Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!
news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
Date: 15 Mar 2001 23:46:17 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <98rk89$40o$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AB14A5F.BF6D4BD2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 984699977 4120 149.174.88.254 (15
Mar 2001 23:46:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Mar 2001 23:46:17 GMT
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174704

>Vince, you are the Johnnie-Cum-Lately into this discussion. That
>makes you the one trying to shift the focal point. Knock
>yourself out.

"Johnnie-Cum-Lately" has to do with calling attention to your
nonsense, Cecil. The mystery is why you choose to place yourself
in such a poor debating position on a consistent basis. It's
something you've done for years.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 2735842536725737444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:08 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 15:13:35 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab17db9.156834172@news2.dvol.com>
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com> <98qula$cf9$1@news.netmar.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.192
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.192
X-Trace: 16 Mar 2001 09:11:18 -0600, 64.24.19.192
Lines: 35
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1

```
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp.cifnet.net!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174745

On 15 Mar 2001 17:37:46 GMT, K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

>Some people just gotta regulate everything!  Is it some kinda power trip, or
>what?  Around here we rely on good manners, not the damned government
>bureaucrats deciding how we should act.  Move to China if you don't like it.
>(Yes, Hong Kong is in China.)
>
Right off I'm as anti-regulation as anybody can get. We all know that
 in many instances the alternative to regulations is various forms of
behavioral anarchy.
>
Plymouth MN where you live has only 18,000 plus souls in residence. In
an 86,943 square mile state with a population of well under five
million. Politeness and civility work in relaxed enviornments like
yours for reasons which have been demonstrated many times over.
>
Cometh Hong Kong with a mind-boggling 7 million people packed into a
total of 422 square miles. You've been to NYC, you know that people
behave much differently in NYC than they do in Plymouth. When you have
people densly packed together they tend to be less friendly and
civilized and sometimes regulations have to be imposed to contol
rudeness. Like California has done with their smoker's bans. Hong Kong
has the highest number of cellphones per capita in the world by a big
margin and they're causing  problems you don't have in Plymouth. They
have an out-of-control situation and they're reining it in.
>
As the  populations everywhere mushroom over the years you're gonna
see more regulation of this sort before you see less. Has nothing at
all to do with Bejing or Chinese politics. Next up for cellphone bans
could just as easily be NYC or Rome as it is Hong Kong.
>
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>
w3rv


From 677933873916592180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b831c0f690650755
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:08 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!62.112.0.25!
newsfeed.online.be!ams.uu.net!news.mailgate.org!smtp.adc.com!not-for-mail
From: Hans_Brakob@adc.com ("Brakob, Hans")
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Re: LAPD kicked off ham band.
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 16:13:17 +0000 (UTC)
```

```
Organization: Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D979093A@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: smtp.adc.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
X-Trace: news.mailgate.org 984759197 20094 155.226.10.207 (Fri, 16 Mar 2001
10:13:09 -0600)
X-Complaints-To: smtp.adc.com@abuse.net abuse@mailgate.org
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 16:13:17 +0000 (UTC)
Mail-From: Hans_Brakob@adc.com from smtp.adc.com [155.226.10.207]
X-URL: http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174746

Robert Casey sed:

> Shouldn't the callsign be WB6Xxx? After all, LA is in 6 land.
> Measures S5 on my trollmeter....

Your trollmeter is farkled up.

My company has several WB2Xxx experimental licenses in states like
Minnesota,
Texas, and California.

Hans Brakob, K0HB
--
Senior Product Development Manager
ADC Broadband Access and Transport Group (BATG)
Tel:     952-936-8323
Fax:     952-936-8333
Mobile:  612-803-7727
mailto:Hans_Brakob@adc.com




--
Posted from smtp.adc.com [155.226.10.207]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG


From 2900438921880086710
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:08 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Mar 2001 14:50:24 GMT
```

References: <20010316071522.13684.00000680@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010316095024.06753.00001564@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174743

I previously wrote:

>For a chart listing many typical ham receivers, see:
>
>http://www.sherweng.com/table.html
>
>The 75S3 MDS is rated at -141 dBm
>
OOOPS! Make that -146 dBm noise floor.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 7007047778797175884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AB22828.C5F4DA39@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 16 Mar 2001 08:50:16 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pride
References: <3AAFC74B.2D0BF157@IEEE.org> <20010316075553.02018.00000278@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984754419 64.240.47.118 (16 Mar 2001 08:53:39 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174744

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >You know and I know that we are speaking in context about U.S. amateur
> >radio operators licensed by the FCC.
>
>      I DO know this, Cecil?....That's not what was said at all.

Check back a couple of postings in the thread, Steve. You will see
that the context was explicitly defined as US HF hams. English is
not a context free language. It is impossible to define the entire
context in every posting. If you don't take the time to understand
the context you will continue to make stupid blunders like this one.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -716121129592495161
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7d57b6b42d954941
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 04:05:08 PST
Path: nntp.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Mar 2001 13:09:38 GMT
References: <20010314205948.02781.00000428@nso-mb.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pride
Message-ID: <20010316080938.02018.00000281@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: nntp.stanford.edu rec.radio.amateur.policy:174741

>Subject: Re: Pride
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 03/14/01 8:59 PM Eastern Standard Time

>Hmmm.  The day before you said "you had better things to do"
>(than doing all this beating of a very dead horse).
```

Coming from the Chief Horse Beater, I find this admonishment humorous.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

```
From 2050717981736045526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 06:09:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-
out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <u8s6bt0c39ua7vi1girtni55tmebkve5lm@4ax.com>
References: <2jq1btcdr7q3qbs9lv5mneidd7dljr8703@4ax.com>
<20010316214732.12960.00002755@nso-fi.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 14:05:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 984837909 24.95.131.236 (Sat, 17 Mar 2001 09:05:09
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 09:05:09 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17444
```

On 17 Mar 2001 02:47:32 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>BTW I also have the multi-mode "brother" of the Kenwood TR-7950,
>the TR-9130. It is mainly my own home station packet transceiver
>lately, and has been parked on the PacketCluster freq. for the better
>part of two years now. Only thing is, I've had this one 2 years longer
>than the 7950; purchased it at the Gaithersburg (Maryland) hamfest
>in Sept '82!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Picked up a TR-2200A that I intend to use for packet - once I get off
my lazy duff and locate (that is, call Jan's) crystals for the local
packet freq. If I'm not careful here, I'm gonna have an all Kenwood
shack... Guess there are worse things... :)

73 de

Ray / AB2HR

From -5652019773125871018
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 08:26:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 10:14:15 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AB38D57.1296591A@IEEE.org>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org> <3ab2d462.86531509@news.ptdprolog.net>
<3AB2F0A7.D6A33B05@IEEE.org> <98utqm$jfo$0@208.206.142.103>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.8c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Mar 2001 16:21:40 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17445
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18758 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10492
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13517

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Not all HF works like Cb, Cecil, in fact little of it does.

The point is that VHF/UHF has some advantages over HF, Dick.
Mountain-top repeaters around the Valley of the Sun are one

of them. No HF frequency would do what we needed done unless
there were HF repeaters and there were not any. The only reason
most of those campers got their Tech licenses was to be able
to have reliable 2500 square mile full-time coverage. There's
no reason for those guys to want to get on HF, therefore no
reason for them to want to upgrade. A few of them who have
moved away from the Valley of the Sun have become interested
in HF.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -2588950238641614890
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 08:30:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 10:17:55 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3AB38E33.43429F69@IEEE.org>
References: <B6D64C86.6BCF%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010316214731.12960.00002754@nso-fi.aol.com> <3AB2F4C3.4065BBF4@IEEE.org>
<98uu24$jfo$2@208.206.142.103>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.8c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Mar 2001 16:25:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17446

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Whoa there, Larry. You have stated in the past that knowing Morse
> > code at 20wpm makes you a superior ham. Last time I checked,
> > superior equals special.
>
> If you don't believe that a ham who can work CW at 20wpm is more
> qualified than a ham who can't that's your problem.

A more qualified ham is not evidence of a superior human being,
Dick. Witness yourself.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 4063150930246019789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 08:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 10:21:50 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3AB38F1E.9C5BA97B@IEEE.org>
References: <3AB0DA28.51A9EC5D@IEEE.org> <20010316214733.12960.00002756@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3AB2F3D6.59DC1B25@IEEE.org> <98utue$jfo$1@208.206.142.103>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.8c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 17 Mar 2001 16:29:12 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17447

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  After all, you can always manipulate the truth with wordmeistering as
> you continously do here.

Words mean what the standard of the language (the dictionary) says
they mean. Sorry you disagree. Take your objections up with Random House.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -9192510822719732851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 08:38:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: 17 Mar 2001 16:37:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9903ro$70m$0@208.206.142.88>
References: <B6D64C86.6BCF%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010316214731.12960.00002754@nso-fi.aol.com> <3AB2F4C3.4065BBF4@IEEE.org>
```

```
<98uu24$jfo$2@208.206.142.103> <3AB38E33.43429F69@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.88
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17448



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Whoa there, Larry. You have stated in the past that knowing Morse
> > > code at 20wpm makes you a superior ham. Last time I checked,
> > > superior equals special.
> >
> >  If you don't believe that a ham who can work CW at 20wpm is more
> > qualified than a ham who can't that's your problem.
>
> A more qualified ham is not evidence of a superior human being,
> Dick. Witness yourself.


 Who said anything about superior humans? We see that you have that all
sewed up tight. Everybody gotta have something to believe in, so you
believe you'll have another go at that 'one-hit $hit'. Right.


From 5985901476483134685
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 09:34:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 123
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Mar 2001 17:33:00 GMT
References: <3ab28985.225394853@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010317123300.12822.00000602@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17449
```

In article <3ab28985.225394853@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
writes:

>>How about the R-390A, a design from 1954. Granted, it's not a typical ham
>>receiver, and they are big boys, but they are common enough to be readily
>>available for far less than $1k. And they are pretty good, as demonstrated
>in a
>>series of articles by Ray Osterwald, N0DMS, in Electric Radio (1991).
>>
>Beasts! One of the ultimate boat anchors. Had ears galore but weren't
>much fun to operate the way hams use rcvrs.

Agreed! My point was not to recommend them, but simply to show that the usable
limit of HF receiver sensitivity was reached many decades ago. Many hollowstate
designs using 40 year old technology are still very competitive in dynamic
range, selectivity and sensitivity.
>>
>>Pretty good for a design from 1954, updated with tubes from the mid-60s. The
>>R-390A is a multiconversion general coverage design. A ham bands only design
>>could do even better.
>>
>. . . they were called the 75S series . .

Yup. All of them are excellent, but those late-model meatball ones....

And the Sherwood-modified Drake R4-C is a contender, too.
>>
>>Once you get below a microvolt or so, external noise usually dominates on HF
>>unless the antenna is very small or the location very quiet.
>>
>Agreed.
>>
>>AMEN! The real problem is often picking the 1 uV signal out from between the
>>hordes of 100,000 uV signals.
>>
>That's the whole ballgame, there is no other game in town.

On HF as we do it, absolutely yes.
>>
>>All sorts of IM products to deal with.
>>A case in point of the above problem.
>>
>Wasn't the problem, not with this little TS-50, much to my surprise it
>seems to be as as good as any radio I've ever used in this respect.

I misread your description, then.

>Haven't run into so much as a hint of IMD yet and I wuz in the piles
>on 80cw in the contest which is my real world front end linearity acid
>test. I have no reason so far not to rank this little mobile rig's
>front end right up there with the 75S-3B's front end. Absolute fact.

Trickle-down effect. The technology developed for the top-end sets winds up in

the cheaper rigs eventually. Just like some of the work done to develop the IF system of the $700 SX-88 in 1954 wound up in the much-cheaper S-76, SX-96, SX-100 and SX-101 a few years later. Collins is an even better example.
>>
>The problem was local electrical noise which grabbed the agc. I
>cranked in the attenuator which tamed the over-aggressive acg which
>then allowed me to hear Frenchman.

All that technology and no agc off switch?
>>
>It has a circuit/thingey called an "automatic intercept point",
>whatever that is, the manual barely mentions it.

>I didn't pay any
>attention to it until yesterday when I finally dug into it. It's
>effect is sorta like a super noise blanker which is quite effective on
>cw. 'Way better than the noise blanker. If I'd switched it in when I
>was working the F6 I woulda had it made.

Sounds like an adjustable-gain preamp that optimizes itself for the level of signals received over the band.
>>
>>One reason why the published numbers are only a starting point, and why
>designs
>>from decades ago can outperform many "modern" ones.
>>
>Sure, some of 'em can and I was sure as hell no fan of the early solid
>state rcvrs. HRO-500: Whatta crapper!

R-1051 is a good example of that problem on the military side. Great readout, super stable, small, even had a matching transceiver version. Terrible dynamic range, though.

> I now have some solid experience
>with three current (+/-) solid state mid/high end rcvrs and they're
>all really decent, I don't have any major performance squawks even
>with the TS-940 which is a 20-year-old design.

TS-940 was a topend set for years.

>There's no question in
>my mind that today's solid state gear is very much up to snuff as far
>as performing as well as any of the tube-type radios ever did or can
>do. Not counting cheap clunkers of either type. Top-notch solid state
>front ends have arrived. When you add all the additional tricks the
>new radios do there is no contest and it can only get more so.

Yes and no.

Depending on the op and the tricks, many of them can be a waste of time and technology. How many of those tricks never get used because they are hard to access? In a typical SS contest, the game for most of us is to slowly tune through the band looking for new ones. Most of the tricks don't help with that.

One real problem with the new rigs is their short useful life and nonrepairability. Many newer sets use ASICS "application specific integrated circuits" and other parts. If one of those fails in an older set that isn't supported by the manufacturer, good luck getting a replacement. One solution is to look for junker sets, but that can be an expensive proposition. Rigs like the S line were made over such a long time period and in such quantity that parts and documentation are everywhere. Not so with, say, the TS-930.

End result is that the manufacturers WANT us to become "consumers" of their products, and to keep buying new ones every so many years. Can't balme them - it's just Marketing 101.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -7541246320081510145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b831c0f690650755
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 10:14:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dan Yemiola <AI8O@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: LAPD kicked off ham band.
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 13:12:30 -0500
Organization: Multicellular Brachiating Eukaryotes
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3AB3A90E.7668D12F@qsl.net>
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D979093A@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
Reply-To: Dyemiola@LexComInc.et
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.143.39
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984852749 398042 207.144.143.39 (17 Mar 2001 18:12:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 18:12:29 +0000 (UTC)
To: "Brakob, Hans" <Hans_Brakob@adc.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.02 [en]C-DIAL (Win95; U)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17450

would you please explain the technical term "farkled up"?
Can a troll-o-meter be farkled down???
Where can I get a trollmeter??
Do I need one for 23cm?

:) ;)

```
---AI80

Brakob, Hans wrote:
>
> Robert Casey sed:
>
> > Shouldn't the callsign be WB6Xxx? After all, LA is in 6 land.
> > Measures S5 on my trollmeter....
>
> Your trollmeter is farkled up.
>
> My company has several WB2Xxx experimental licenses in states like
> Minnesota,
> Texas, and California.
>
> Hans Brakob, K0HB
> --
> Senior Product Development Manager
> ADC Broadband Access and Transport Group (BATG)
> Tel:     952-936-8323
> Fax:     952-936-8333
> Mobile:  612-803-7727
> mailto:Hans_Brakob@adc.com
>
> --
> Posted from smtp.adc.com [155.226.10.207]
> via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG


From 7250133182936452783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 11:20:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Date: 17 Mar 2001 19:18:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <990d9u$l7e$0@208.206.142.88>
References: <3AB0DA28.51A9EC5D@IEEE.org> <20010316214733.12960.00002756@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3AB2F3D6.59DC1B25@IEEE.org> <98utue$jfo$1@208.206.142.103>
<3AB38F1E.9C5BA97B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.88
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17452
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > After all, you can always manipulate the truth with wordmeistering as
> > you continously do here.
>
> Words mean what the standard of the language (the dictionary) says
> they mean. Sorry you disagree. Take your objections up with Random House.

Oh, I agree completely. Words meam what they mean. It's left up to the user to abuse the Englislh language by misusing them erroneously and completely out of context as you do, thinking that all those other dumshits don't know what you're doing. In fact everyone reading here knows.

Fact is people are forced. Forced to make a choice. Do I learn Morse code and pass a test on it, or do I just abstain from HF ham radio? To this day there has never been anyone who was ever forced to learn Morse code, for any reason (outside the military). It was always their own personal choice. End of story, although you will be "forced" by your own ballooned ego to continue it ad nausuem.

From 1394616012132958580
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 11:22:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!newsfeed.frii.net!ptdnetP!ptdnetS!newsgate.ptd.net!nnrp1.ptd.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: wf3h@ptd.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <3ab3b91c.6660315@news.ptdprolog.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org> <3ab2d462.86531509@news.ptdprolog.net> <3AB2F0A7.D6A33B05@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243

```
Lines: 21
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 19:29:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.229.51.122
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net
X-Trace: nnrp1.ptd.net 984857389 24.229.51.122 (Sat, 17 Mar 2001 14:29:49 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 14:29:49 EST
Organization: PenTeleData http://www.ptd.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17453
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18764 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10503
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13525

On Fri, 16 Mar 2001 23:05:43 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>wf3h@ptd.net wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> which, of course, has nothing to do with HF at all, since this is not
>> its use.
>
>It has everything to do with HF configurations not being able to
>deliver the required performance.

which never was the intent of HF operations.

 Along with CW, has HF also become
>such a religion that nobody can admit that VHF/UHF repeaters have some
>advantages over HF without repeaters?
>--

apples and oranges


From -7058661891132428370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 11:54:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AB0DA28.51A9EC5D@IEEE.org> <20010316214733.12960.00002756@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3AB2F3D6.59DC1B25@IEEE.org> <98utue$jfo$1@208.206.142.103>
<3AB38F1E.9C5BA97B@IEEE.org> <990d9u$l7e$0@208.206.142.88>
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Lines: 61
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
```

Message-ID: <DcPs6.399$ei1.1130205@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 13:51:26 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.159
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 984858531 206.29.242.159 (Sat, 17 Mar 2001 14:48:51 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 14:48:51 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17454

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:990d9u$l7e$0@208.206.142.88...
>
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > After all, you can always manipulate the truth with wordmeistering
as
> > > you continously do here.
> >
> > Words mean what the standard of the language (the dictionary) says
> > they mean. Sorry you disagree. Take your objections up with Random
House.
>
>
>   Oh, I agree completely. Words meam what they mean. It's left up to the
> user to abuse the Englislh language by misusing them erroneously and
> completely out of context as you do, thinking that all those other
> dumshits don't know what you're doing. In fact everyone reading here
> knows.
>
>   Fact is people are forced. Forced to make a choice. Do I learn Morse
> code and pass a test on it, or do I just abstain from HF ham radio? To
> this day there has never been anyone who was ever forced to learn Morse
> code, for any reason (outside the military). It was always their own
> personal choice. End of story, although you will be "forced" by your own
> ballooned ego to continue it ad nausuem.

C'mon Dick. The first thing that all advanced civilizations do in the golden era sci-fi stories is replace English with some form of Newspeak to eliminate these senseless internal contradictions. Dictionaries are the simple listings of English as She is Spoken or Written. Do people speak or write with precision always laying out the context? Not bloody likely.

So words in dictionaries get divorced from context. The classic example is 'fix' which outside of its context can mean anything from a repair job to the preparation of a mortuni to film development to a heroin injection and many stations in between. Forced is just one of those many words that are context-dependent and are generally used where choice does not exist or is binary. If I have one amp flowing thru one ohm, one volt is 'forced' by the system; independent variables 'force' dependent ones. No one is holding a damn gun.

If I want a General ticket I take a code test. Binary choice. Only the context forces a damned thing.

Language expresses ideas but to some extent also causes or prevents ideas. As is often noted there are no direct English translations for Weltanschaung (?) or Weltschmertz from the German and no German word for 'sportsmanship'. Try New Testament Greek where 'religion' and 'superstition' are the same word.

Cecil is playing mind games. So let him play them. You are not 'forced'.

May the Shwarz be with you.

Dick BK

From 7394273073260638352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 12:18:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 87
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Mar 2001 20:16:39 GMT
References: <98uoh1$5ut$0@208.206.142.103>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010317151639.14735.00000832@nso-fl.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17456

In article <98uoh1$5ut$0@208.206.142.103>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>> On 17 Mar 2001 02:17:29 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> >Phil Kane wrote:
>> >>
>> >> On 15 Mar 2001 19:05:30 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >>Before the no-code techs came, the 2-meter band was
>> >> >>completely dead. There probably weren't 25 people in the entire
>country

>> >> >>using that band on a regular basis, and the number of VHF ham
>repeaters
>> >> >>could likely be counted on one hand.
>> >> >
>> >> >There were quite a few more than "25." :-)
>> >> >
>> >> >Back in 1977 Harry Terraneau demonstrated a tone-signalled repeater
>> >> >chain that extended from north of California's Bay Area down the coast
>> >> >and into Arizona.

An addition or three. Harry Terraneau is WA6SXR. The "Condor Connection" is on the 220 band, is a network of 15 repeaters stretching from San Jose to San Diego, along the Pacific Coast and also through the San Joaquin Valley, reaches into Las Vegas NV, and Kingman, AZ. To find out details see -

www.condor-connection.org

According to this website, the Condor Net started in 1978. As close as I can remember Harry did his little demo from inside the Teledyne Electronics (Newbury Park, CA) facility in 1977. We were both employed there 1977-1978. I thought it was on 2 m and 70 cm but did not record the fact for all posterity (and posteriors that wish to comment).

The demo I got involved tone-coding selection of a PATH along the repeaters to any other repeater, along with an elaborate set of tones for many and varied purposes. Whether that was the beginning of Condor or another network, it was very impressive in demonstrating the innovation and engineering of a network in days before PCs and wondrous little ICs that were sub-systems on a chip. The organization alone is a big feat and was accomplished before Internet days let everyone (with access) communicate by e-mail with others.

>> >>   In 1958, the first "public" 2-m repeater in the LA area
>> >>   (K6MYK on Mt. Lee) went on the air, and we used it for "public
>> >>   service" in relaying election district counts in the

Mt. Lee is the only tall location I can see from a corner of my yard in these Verdugo Hills. Today it has all sorts of parabolics on it plus other little structures for commercial communications.

In 1958 the first public repeater in L.A. was an excellent pioneering effort and showed dedication to the tens of thousands of radio amateurs who lived and still live in the greater L.A. area.

>> >Loose Mouth Lennie does it again, he really doesn't mind embarassing
>> >himself at all with such drivel. It is a hard fact that in most places 2
>> >meter activity today is a fraction of what it was before 1991. In most
>> >places a SMALL fraction.

>>
>> Now now, Dick...
>>
>> In all fairness to Mister Anderson, he agreed that 2-m wasn't
>> dead...follow the quotes above backwards and you'll see that it
>> was someone else who said that. My point was that 2-meters
>> was alive in the Soiu Cal area long before 1977.

Two meters, 220, and 70 cm were all being experimented with, thanks to a number of amateur radio enthusiasts who were not continually rerunning the 1930s pioneering efforts 40 years later.

> You're so right Phil, I misread the multiple level attributions. I
>should've known that even Lennie would know better than that.

Little Dick seems to fire off rounds without loading. If he isn't careful, resident morals cop Jam Kooley will come down on him for being abusive. Tsk, tsk, "loose mouth" indeed applies to Dicky.

From -3591070495791596841
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 12:18:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Mar 2001 20:16:37 GMT
References: <20010316214733.12960.00002756@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Message-ID: <20010317151637.14735.00000831@nso-fl.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17455

In article <20010316214733.12960.00002756@nso-fi.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>planet Earth. I'm sure there must be an agenda hidden in all that
>quibbling somewhere, and I'm not willing to wait until July to finally
>pry it out of you. Give. Now. Please! Shit or get off the pot!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Use of certain four-letter words can be considered "abusive."

Careful or the literary law (Jam Kanelly) will come down on you
and demand retractions and apologies...

From 921079101390068489
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b831c0f690650755
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 13:08:05 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: LAPD kicked off ham band.
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 21:06:09 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab3cf1f.63207873@news2.dvol.com>
References: <98ra7u$3t5$1@news.netmar.com> <3AB16AD8.55419219@ix.netcom.com>
<3ab272a1.219534290@news2.dvol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10103162201050.14641-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.90
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.90
X-Trace: 17 Mar 2001 15:03:49 -0600, 64.24.19.90
Lines: 8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!panix!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17457

>
>It's about time. Now, all we need is to get rid of all those cordless phones
>baby monitors, and [stock] video senders that keep jamming my repeater's input
>on 2405.000.
>
. . . 'druther deal with the BC stations on 40 cw . . .
>
w3rv

From 3105501114651722446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 13:08:05 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 21:06:13 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-
cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com>

```
<5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.90
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.90
X-Trace: 17 Mar 2001 15:03:53 -0600, 64.24.19.90
Lines: 21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17458

On Fri, 16 Mar 2001 17:00:38 -0600, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

>
>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@dvol> wrote in message .
>> >>>
>> >
>> Gates needs to come up with keyboards which include the greek geek
>> alphabet. uV don't cut it.
>> >
>
>If you really want it to say �V it is ALT 0181.
>
>Just my 2�. � this into your repertoire.
>
>Dick N�BK
>
I'll bite.  ALT 0108. Now what?
>
w3rv



From -3978764345355028764
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf8aeaa5dcacb51d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 13:10:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!
feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: "Frizz" <kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.goaway.leavemealone.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Can someone explain antenna regs to me?
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 16:12:18 -0500
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <990jnf$mqi$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVZkbMUXRCnRBZAacdIpwPNhd9Qy6748R8vG05Ri4HtHp1UTNHks6Opr
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Mar 2001 21:07:59 GMT
```

```
X-MimeOLE:  Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Priority:  3
X-Newsreader:  Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MSMail-Priority:  Normal
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17459
```

I have just gone through a few pages of legal BS to find out all I can about antenna restrictions and the law, both ham and non-ham antennas. What has the FCC done as far as the restriction of external TV antennas? Can they be restricted by covenants? I know many beam antennas look like TV antennas.

I live in the worst place for any kind of "property modification" The Nazis are out in full force around here. I live in a regular house, not a rental or a condo yet I can't put up a single thing. The head of the neighborhood association lives right across the street. My property is downright crappy for any kind of antenna installation. The highest tree, an evergreen, is at the edge of the property and the guy that lives behind me is a psycho when it comes to the covenants. All the others would require tons of coax, underground runs, etc. There really needs to be something done about this. I am a teenager so I can't really go find another place to live. I have tried attic mounted antennas, the Ventenna (which won't fit over any of my vent pipes without being seen) and a variety of other things that should work but won't. Any news on new regulations regarding Hams and covenants?? Something really needs to be done about this.

```
From 4967813003948819671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 15:37:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-
cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com>
<5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 17:35:06 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.75
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 984871967 206.29.242.75 (Sat, 17 Mar 2001 18:32:47 EST)
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 18:32:47 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17460


"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com...
> On Fri, 16 Mar 2001 17:00:38 -0600, "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@dvol> wrote in message .
> >> >>>
> >> >
> >> Gates needs to come up with keyboards which include the greek geek
> >> alphabet. uV don't cut it.
> >> >
> >
> >If you really want it to say �V it is ALT 0181.
> >
> >Just my 2�. � this into your repertoire.
> >
> >Dick N�BK
> >
> I'll bite.  ALT 0108. Now what?
> >
> w3rv
>

If you type 0181 (I use the keypad) while holding down the ALT key, the mu
should, as if by magic, appear on the screen.

The � is ALT 0162
The integral is 0131
0176 gives �
0177 yields a very useful �

I use 0216 for �

Dick N�BK





From -4214067272926627090
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 15:48:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!enews.sgi.com!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
news.compaq.com!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 18:46:49 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 70
Message-ID: <3AB3F769.8A7C9070@dirtball.com>
References: <98tn49$fn7$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-54.26.alltel.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 984872784 14542 166.102.54.26 (17 Mar 2001 23:46:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Mar 2001 23:46:24 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17461

HA HA HA
This is a good one. I have montain property for sale in FLA.

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

> About twenty-eight years ago on August 4th, 1972, the Ap index hit 223,the ionosphere was bombarded with all sorts of solar particles with hard-to-pronounce names, and amateur radio was at it's peak. It has never been that good since.
>
> Also, on that same date, titanium dioxide was first released in a commercial product. It has led inexoribly to the decline of amateur radio as we know it. Titanium dioxide is directly responsible for the decline of the Ap index, and the resultant decline of amateur radio. It has also led to a horrible decline in well tanned girl torsos at the beaches of the world.
>
> In the late 60's a group of induhviduals who were rankled by the advent of incentive licensing looked for a way to ruin propagation and thus amateur radio forever. Since propagation depends on a solar component known as freckles-del-sol (better known as sunspots), a means of stopping sunspots would destroy would destroy amateur radio.
>
> The group discovered the sun-blocking power of a natural chemical called titanium dioxide, but they needed a way to deploy a thin mist of the chemical into the upper reaches of the earths atmosphere. Since it could be dispersed by evaporation, these diabolocal fiends found the perfect means of sending it skyward.
>
> First they quietly obtained virtually the entire world supply of titanium dioxide, and then they started a rumor in the medical world that the tanning rays of the sun could actually be harmful. Incredibly, in 1972, people would believe anything.
>
> Literally millions of bikini clad ladies, even on Gilligans island,

```
> were panicked!  After all, it was August, the height of the swimsuit
> season, and most of them had not nearly amortized their investment
> in the latest Jantzen bikini, or partial bikini.  What could they do?
>
> Meanwhile, the titanium dioxide Cartel had formulated a mixture of
> their chemical and coconut oil and put it on the market as not
> only a sun-blocker, but also a means of replenishing critical skin
> oils depleted by exposure to the sun.
>
> Millions of bikini-owners flocked to purchase the new sun blocker,
> spread it lavishly on their bodies, and returned to the world beaches.
> Little did they know the horrible ravages they were inflicting on the
> E and F layers of the ionosphere, as the gruesome chemical
> evaporated from their skin and rose into the skies of the world,
> from whence it ruins propagation on a global scale.
>
> Hams, UNITE!  Help take back the ionosphere!  Be part of the solution,
> not part of the problem.  Visit your local beaches today and check
> the skin of everyone for traces of this harmful solution.  It can be
> easily detected by it's characteristic coconut smell, and even small
> concentrations are dangerous.  Carefully sniff all bodies on the
> beaches for traces of this chemical.  If detected, throw the offending
> person in the water to dilute the effects, and burn on the spot
> any garments known to be contaminated.
>
> Save amateur radio....
>
>             .....to the beaches, now!
>
>  -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
>   http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
>    NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net



From -6406089562132178656
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 15:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 18:47:09 -0500
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 70
Message-ID: <3AB3F77D.DF32E8E4@dirtball.com>
References: <98tn49$fn7$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-54.26.alltel.net
```

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 984872800 14551 166.102.54.26 (17 Mar 2001 23:46:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Mar 2001 23:46:40 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17462
```

HA HA HA
This is a good one. I have mountain property for sale in FLA.

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

> About twenty-eight years ago on August 4th, 1972, the Ap index hit 223,the
> ionosphere was bombarded with all sorts of solar particles
> with hard-to-pronounce names, and amateur radio was at it's peak. It
> has never been that good since.
>
> Also, on that same date, titanium dioxide was first released in a
> commercial product. It has led inexoribly to the decline of amateur
> radio as we know it. Titanium dioxide is directly responsible for the
> decline of the Ap index, and the resultant decline of amateur radio.
> It has also led to a horrible decline in well tanned girl torsos at
> the beaches of the world.
>
> In the late 60's a group of induhviduals who were rankled by the
> advent of incentive licensing looked for a way to ruin propagation
> and thus amateur radio forever. Since propagation depends on a solar
> component known as freckles-del-sol (better known as sunspots),
> a means of stopping sunspots would destroy would destroy amateur
> radio.
>
> The group discovered the sun-blocking power of a natural chemical
> called titanium dioxide, but they needed a way to deploy a thin mist
> of the chemical into the upper reaches of the earths atmosphere.
> Since it could be dispersed by evaporation, these diabolocal fiends
> found the perfect means of sending it skyward.
>
> First they quietly obtained virtually the entire world supply of
> titanium dioxide, and then they started a rumor in the medical
> world that the tanning rays of the sun could actually be harmful.
> Incredibly, in 1972, people would believe anything.
>
> Literally millions of bikini clad ladies, even on Gilligans island,
> were panicked! After all, it was August, the height of the swimsuit
> season, and most of them had not nearly amortized their investment
> in the latest Jantzen bikini, or partial bikini. What could they do?
>
> Meanwhile, the titanium dioxide Cartel had formulated a mixture of
> their chemical and coconut oil and put it on the market as not
> only a sun-blocker, but also a means of replenishing critical skin

> oils depleted by exposure to the sun.
>
> Millions of bikini-owners flocked to purchase the new sun blocker, spread it lavishly on their bodies, and returned to the world beaches. Little did they know the horrible ravages they were inflicting on the E and F layers of the ionosphere, as the gruesome chemical evaporated from their skin and rose into the skies of the world, from whence it ruins propagation on a global scale.
>
> Hams, UNITE! Help take back the ionosphere! Be part of the solution, not part of the problem. Visit your local beaches today and check the skin of everyone for traces of this harmful solution. It can be easily detected by it's characteristic coconut smell, and even small concentrations are dangerous. Carefully sniff all bodies on the beaches for traces of this chemical. If detected, throw the offending person in the water to dilute the effects, and burn on the spot any garments known to be contaminated.
>
> Save amateur radio....
>
> .....to the beaches, now!
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
> http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -9052395020341555194
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 16:12:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:10:39 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 73
Message-ID: <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>

```
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.4a
X-Server-Date: 18 Mar 2001 00:09:43 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17463

On Fri, 16 Mar 2001 11:57:16 -0500, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>
>>Dwight Stewart wrote...
>>> [snip]
>> The enabling legislation does not authorize
>> the locals to do this.  When it comes to
>> delegation of authority (which this is) if a
>> power is not specifically permitted it's
>> prohibited.
>
>  Okay, I guess that makes sense.  I'm not a lawyer, so you have to include
>things like this in your explinations.
>
>>> Wouldn't the operation of a station causing
>>> interference be an "unauthorized operation?"
>>
>> No.  A 4-watt CB transmitter [snip]
>> In that case the CB operator is "authorized"
>> and the locals do not have any probable cause
>> to invoke the authority to enforce [snip]  They
>> do not have delegated authority to require a
>> station operator to make the station available
>> for inspection under penalty for failure to do
>> so, nor do they have the authority to require
>> the station operator to submit to on-air or
>> equipment tests to determine that the equipment
>> is non-compliant.
>
>  If I understand you correctly, you're saying that the local cop cannot
>possibly inspect a station based solely on an interference complaint - the
>local would first need evidence that the the equipment is illegal.

Well the local would (IMHO) at least need a search warrant
to gain access.  Perhaps the interference complaint may be
enough for a judge to order a warrant in some cases.  Once that
is done, howeever, just what can the local do anyway?

> If that
>is so, then HR-2346 would be absolutely useless - it does nothing because
```

```
>the only way a local is going to become aware of a situation is when an
>interference complaint is made, but then they cannot do anything about that
>complaint because they have no proof that the equipment is illegal.  That
>just doesn't make sense.  Something has to be missing (and I think I know
>what it is - see below).
>
>>> If so, wouldn't this paragraph cover electronic
>>> interference situations when it comes to local
>>> enforcement?
>>
>> The U S Courts of Appeal in several Circuits have
>> all held one after the other that the Comm Act
>> in Section 302(b) specifically grants to the FCC
>> exclusive jursidiction over interference and devices
>> that cause interference.  This jurisdiction was not
>> delegated to the "locals".
>
>  Isn't there a rule that requires an operator to make his station available
>for inspection upon request?  If so, and if the local cop is operating under
>delegated authority of the FCC to enforce HR-2346, wouldn't this allow the
>local to inspect a station when an interference complaint is received in
>order to determine if the equipment is non-compliant?

I await Phil's interpretation.  My guess is no.  Indeed, what if
the person simply states to a cop...I don't have any station
at all.  On what basis then does the cop gain access...assuming
he had such delegated authority?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From -4147708223479133455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf8aeaa5dcacb51d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 16:22:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can someone explain antenna regs to me?
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:19:49 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <3ab3fe45.2060209@news.mindspring.com>
References: <990jnf$mqi$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.4a
X-Server-Date: 18 Mar 2001 00:20:20 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17464

Do you have an attic. Try an HF dipole in the attic for 15 and 10m.
I've worked many states and countries with just such a simple
set-up.

You can also do a small beam for 2m in many attics.

Try moble operating with an antenna on a motor vehicle.
You could connect it to a rig inside the house too (as
long as no one decides to get in and drive it away
while you're connected to it.)

On Sat, 17 Mar 2001 16:12:18 -0500, "Frizz"
<kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.goaway.leavemealone.com> wrote:

>I have just gone through a few pages of legal BS to find out all I can about
>antenna restrictions and the law, both ham and non-ham antennas. What has
>the FCC done as far as the restriction of external TV antennas? Can they be
>restricted by covenants? I know many beam antennas look like TV antennas.
>
>I live in the worst place for any kind of "property modification" The Nazis
>are out in full force around here. I live in a regular house, not a rental
>or a condo yet I can't put up a single thing. The head of the neighborhood
>association lives right across the street. My property is downright crappy
>for any kind of antenna installation. The highest tree, an evergreen, is at
>the edge of the property and the guy that lives behind me is a psycho when
>it comes to the covenants. All the others would require tons of coax,
>underground runs, etc. There really needs to be something done about this.
>I am a teenager so I can't really go find another place to live. I have
>tried attic mounted antennas, the Ventenna (which won't fit over any of my
>vent pipes without being seen) and a variety of other things that should
>work but won't. Any news on new regulations regarding Hams and covenants??
>Something really needs to be done about this.
>
>

From 1932001906954130819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 16:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>

```
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 43
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:37:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984875821 206.58.44.20 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:37:01
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:37:01 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17465

On 17 Mar 2001 03:13:04 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>>   The enabling legislation does not authorize the locals to do
>>   this.  When it comes to delegation of authority (which this is)
>>   if a power is not specifically permitted it's prohibited.
>
> Whatta ya bet that is misinterpreted far and wide?

  Whatta ya wanna bet that anyone who is nailed on this can hire
  me to beat the rap hands down?

  Now you see why I am so dead set against giving "locals"
  blanket authority to enforce radio regulations?  I would have
  rather see that instead of saying that the FCC is available to
  advise local law enforcement agents, that no department or agent
  could enforces this pseudo-FCC stuff unless they were certified
  by the FCC as qualified to do so.  I'd love to run and teach
  that program.

>>   The U S Courts of Appeal in several Circuits have all held one
>>   after the other that the Comm Act in Section 302(b)
>>   specifically grants to the FCC exclusive jursidiction over
>>   interference and devices that cause interference.  This
>>   jurisdiction was not delegated to the "locals".
>
```

```
>  I wonder how many appeals it'll take to clear that up?

  One phone call to the city/county attorney should do it......if
  they don't drop the matter at that point, they're open to big
  damages under Section 1983 - violation of civil rights by a
  state or local government under color of authority - in plain
  language, when a local or state government attempts prosecution
  when the law doesn't grant them that authority.  This is the
  catch-all section under which most of the large post-arrest
  settlements are made.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -6198866495160149225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf8aeaa5dcacb51d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 16:38:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gad2px1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References:  <990jnf$mqi$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Can someone explain antenna regs to me?
Lines: 73
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:37:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984875861 206.58.44.20 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:37:41
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:37:41 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17466
```

On Sat, 17 Mar 2001 16:12:18 -0500, Frizz wrote:

>I have just gone through a few pages of legal BS to find out all
>I can about antenna restrictions and the law, both ham and
>non-ham antennas.

I do that for a living and it takes more than "a few pages of legal BS" to learn the ins and outs of the field, my friend.

But keep on reading.....

What has the FCC done as far as the
>restriction of external TV antennas? Can they be restricted by
>covenants? I know many beam antennas look like TV antennas.

Federal law protects installation of TV receiving antennas and small satellite receiving antennas against any unreasonable restrictions imposed by local ordinance, deed restriction, or homeowner association rules.

HOWEVER, this is very specific for antennas used to receive those signals, and does not offer any protection if the same antenna or one that looks like it is used for any other purpose, such as reception or transmission on amateur bands. The FCC was very clear on that point in the Order establishing that protection.

>I live in the worst place for any kind of "property modification" The Nazis
>are out in full force around here. I live in a regular house, not a rental
>or a condo yet I can't put up a single thing. The head of the neighborhood
>association lives right across the street. My property is downright crappy
>for any kind of antenna installation. The highest tree, an evergreen, is at
>the edge of the property and the guy that lives behind me is a psycho when
>it comes to the covenants. All the others would require tons of coax,
>underground runs, etc. There really needs to be something done about this.
>I am a teenager so I can't really go find another place to live. I have
>tried attic mounted antennas, the Ventenna (which won't fit over any of my
>vent pipes without being seen) and a variety of other things that should
>work but won't. Any news on new regulations regarding Hams and covenants??
>Something really needs to be done about this.

The ARRL has tried for the last few years to get the FCC to assert Federal preemption authority over private restrictions or covenants against erection of ham antennas, and the FCC has continued to refuse to do so until or unless The Congress orders them to do so, as was the case with TV and satellite antennas. There are Federal constitutional problems involved.

Several states have started to have "mirror" statutes passed that assert STATE preemption of restrictive regulations against ham antennas, and at least two states are in process of including private restrictions and covenants in that preemption. Private restrictions and covenants are creatures of state law and the states can preempt them much more easily than can the Federal Government.

If you need specific help in interpreting the local restrictions (and fighting them if there are grounds to do so) it would be best

for you to contact a local ARRL Volunteer Counsel if you are an ARRL
member. Check the ARRL Web Site <www.arrl.org> for a list of those
in your area.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
ARRL Volunteer Counsel

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County), Oregon

```
From -8943049081307799041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 18:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 01:58:09 GMT
References: <3AB2F4C3.4065BBF4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010317205809.02818.00001284@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17467
```

In article <3AB2F4C3.4065BBF4@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I don't think I'm anything special at all as a ham, certainly
>> not because of my ability to pass a 20 WPM code test.
>
>Whoa there, Larry. You have stated in the past that knowing Morse
>code at 20wpm makes you a superior ham. Last time I checked,
>superior equals special.

Well then, Cecil, if you claim that I'm "superior" and "special" as a
ham, then I'll just have to take your word for it!

BTW -- How does it feel to be inferior to me?

73 de Larry, K3LT

That oughta smoke a few Troll-O-Meters!

From -3479512552169410562
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 18:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 01:58:08 GMT
References: <3AB2F3D6.59DC1B25@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Message-ID: <20010317205808.02818.00001283@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17468

In article <3AB2F3D6.59DC1B25@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Nope, you are not required by circumstances (forced) to use your ham
>privileges.
>
>> Again, Cecil, please explain the RELEVANCE of the word
>> "forced" in the context of the Morse code testing requirements.
>
>The relevance is that it is the truth, Larry.
>

>> You still haven't done that.
>
>Truth may be irrelevant to you, Larry, but it is relevant to me.
>
>> I'm sure there must be an agenda hidden in all that
>> quibbling somewhere, ...
>
>Nope, no hidden agenda - just a love of the truth.

Cecil:

If you love the truth, then why are you investing so much time in this lie you're foisting on us about US HF hams being "forced" to take Morse code tests? The only ones who were "forced" are the ones who *feel* that they are forced, and as you've said, what we *feel* about the situation doesn't matter. This "force" is a very subjective thing; so far, you're the only person I know (in this newsgroup) who is making a big deal out of the force. Therefore, to this date, you have still failed to explain the relevance of "force" with regard to code testing -- and have been off-topic in this thread. Since we are required by circumstances to remain on topic within threads, please comply with the subject of this one and come up with a reasonable, rational explanation of this "force" you're talking about. We're waiting.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3865092555896772269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 19:32:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AB429A1.16F1E971@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 21:21:05 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org> <3ab2d462.86531509@news.ptdprolog.net>

```
<3AB2F0A7.D6A33B05@IEEE.org> <3ab3b91c.6660315@news.ptdprolog.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984885867
64.240.47.108 (17 Mar 2001 21:24:27 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17469
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18796 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10520
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13541

wf3h@ptd.net wrote:
>
> <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >It has everything to do with HF configurations not being able to
> >deliver the required performance.
>
> which never was the intent of HF operations.

Who said it was??? The point is that a bunch of my friends who once
were CBers are now Techs because HF did not meet their needs and
VHF did. And HF still doesn't meet their needs.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -2153969027792686999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 19:54:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AB42EB3.B0E26109@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 21:42:43 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
References: <3AB0DA28.51A9EC5D@IEEE.org> <20010316214733.12960.00002756@nso-fi.aol.com> <3AB2F3D6.59DC1B25@IEEE.org> <98utue$jfo$1@208.206.142.103> <3AB38F1E.9C5BA97B@IEEE.org> <990d9u$l7e$0@208.206.142.88>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984887166 64.240.47.108 (17 Mar 2001 21:46:06 -0600)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17470

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Oh, I agree completely. Words meam what they mean. It's left up to the user to abuse the Englislh language by misusing them erroneously and completely out of context as you do, thinking that all those other dumshits don't know what you're doing. In fact everyone reading here knows.

Everyone knows, eh? What a childish attempt at argumentum ad populum. Why don't you mount an adult counter argument, Dick?

> To
> this day there has never been anyone who was ever forced to learn Morse code, for any reason (outside the military).

You say you agree with dictionary definitions. The dictionary definition of "forced" is "required by circumstances". You are saying that US HF hams are NOT required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam. So exactly where are all the US HF hams who used their free will to decide not to pass a Morse code exam??? There aren't any, Dick, because you are confused and absolutely wrong. ALL US HF hams were required by circumstances (forced) to pass a Morse code exam. Any exceptions obtained their privileges through fraud.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5586934499659714076
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 20:04:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AB43100.E6B681CD@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 21:52:32 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
References: <3AB2F3D6.59DC1B25@IEEE.org> <20010317205808.02818.00001283@nso-mi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984887755 64.240.47.108 (17 Mar 2001 21:55:55 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17471

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> The only ones who were "forced" are the ones who *feel*
> that they are forced, and as you've said, what we *feel* about the
> situation doesn't matter.

Yep, how we feel about it doesn't matter. In return for US HF amateur radio privileges, the US government forces all citizens who are granted those privileges to pass a Morse code test. That's what governments do, Larry. They enFORCE laws, rules, and regulations. How you feel about obeying those laws, rules, and regulations doesn't matter.

You have already admitted that your Morse code skill was produced with considerable effort. "Produced with effort" is your dictionary's definition of "forced". You have already admitted it, Larry.

"Forced" has a number of definitions. "Required by circumstances" is one of them. ALL US HF hams were required by circumstances to pass a Morse code exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4003052693522831524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 20:08:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AB431F0.88724FCD@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 21:56:32 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <B6D64C86.6BCF%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010316214731.12960.00002754@nso-fi.aol.com> <3AB2F4C3.4065BBF4@IEEE.org>
<98uu24$jfo$2@208.206.142.103> <3AB38E33.43429F69@IEEE.org>
<9903ro$70m$0@208.206.142.88>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984887993
64.240.47.108 (17 Mar 2001 21:59:53 -0600)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17472
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Who said anything about superior humans?

Larry did. Please pay attention.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 295604110774141766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 20:10:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AB432AA.9D2E5@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2001 21:59:38 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <3AB2F4C3.4065BBF4@IEEE.org> <20010317205809.02818.00001284@nso-mi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984888180 64.240.47.108 (17 Mar 2001 22:03:00 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17473

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> BTW -- How does it feel to be inferior to me?

```
Doesn't bother me - In one way or another, I'm inferior to everyone
on this planet.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -3128779984124813736
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 20:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 04:36:25 GMT
References: <984746692.3ab20ac46c00f@webmail.cotse.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Message-ID: <20010317233625.05944.00001891@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17474

>Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
>From: KC8JBO

>P****.....

>You are so f****** stupid, Francis.

     Well...THERE was a really mature and adult response.






From -3739320227408498732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 21:30:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:30:38 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <B6D9B22B.6F18%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gaat260.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-9.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984893383 429474 206.74.49.9 (18 Mar 2001 05:29:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 05:29:43 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17475

Phil Kane wrote:

> It is possible for them to do what the FCC
> does (they wanna play, they gotta follow
> the rules of the game) - make external
> measurements of frequency and an estimate
> of apparent power for "probable cause"
> purposes, but this takes an experienced
> and qualified observer, df equipment,
> calibrated counters/receivers, and field
> strength equipment with calibrated antennas
> -- and a knowledge of what and how to
> apply all the "fudge factors" in the
> operator's favor when making those
> measurements.

I suspect you're slightly overstating the enforcement requirements. Since most of these cases would be minor (similar to "disturbing the peace"), lawyers familiar with the communications laws, such as yourself, are not going to be in that courtroom to argue such issues.

Dwight Stewart

```
From -4318707925405416546
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 21:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:36:43 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-9.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984893749 428352 206.74.49.9 (18 Mar 2001 05:35:49 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 05:35:49 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17476
```

Bill Sohl wrote:

> Well the local would (IMHO) at least need
> a search warrant to gain access. Perhaps
> the interference complaint may be enough
> for a judge to order a warrant in some
> cases. Once that is done, howeever, just
> what can the local do anyway?

Why would a search warrent be needed? A cop does not need a search warrent now to enter a residence if it is obvious that a law is being violated (screams from the residence suggesting violence, loud stereo disturbing the peace, etc.).

A complaint about interference could be enough to suggest, at least when it comes to the local law, that a law is being violated.

Dwight Stewart

From 3567700425314634444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 21:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 05:40:57 GMT
References: <u8s6bt0c39ua7vi1girtni55tmebkve5lm@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010318004057.02999.00000505@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17481

In article <u8s6bt0c39ua7vi1girtni55tmebkve5lm@4ax.com>, AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net> writes:

>>BTW I also have the multi-mode "brother" of the Kenwood TR-7950,
>>the TR-9130. It is mainly my own home station packet transceiver
>>lately, and has been parked on the PacketCluster freq. for the better
>>part of two years now. Only thing is, I've had this one 2 years longer
>>than the 7950; purchased it at the Gaithersburg (Maryland) hamfest
>>in Sept '82!
>>
>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Picked up a TR-2200A that I intend to use for packet - once I get off
>my lazy duff and locate (that is, call Jan's) crystals for the local
>packet freq. If I'm not careful here, I'm gonna have an all Kenwood
>shack... Guess there are worse things... :)
>

>73 de
>
>Ray / AB2HR

Ray:

Don't get me wrong -- I'm not a dyed-in-the-wool Kenwood fan!
In fact, I think that most of their HF stuff is sheer CRAPOLA! The
TS-830 was the only Kenwood HF rig that was half decent, and I
emphasize the "half!" On HF, I'm strictly an ICOM or Yaesu man;
my present HF rigs are both ICOM's: An IC-735 that has been used
daily since I bought it new in 1987, and an IC-730 which I bought
used a few years ago because it was in like-new condition (and still
is) and also because I had (and subsequently sold) another '730 before
I got the '735. I've used a Yaesu FT-840 and think it's a great rig --
I would buy one if I wasn't getting all of my HF needs fully
satisfied by my trusty ICOM's. The smaller, solid-state Kenwood
HF mobiles have not impressed me in the least. Most of the ones I've
had hands-on experience with were weird by comparison to the ICOM
rigs I knew and loved. In fact, some latter-day Kenwood VHF
rigs I've owned, particularly the dual-band TM-252, have been
completely unsatisfactory. In reality, my satisfaction with Kenwood
rigs extends exclusively to the TR-7950 (not it's predecessor, the
TR-7850 which was more crapola) and the TR-9130. I think they
were jobbed-out to another manufacturer and sold under the
Kenwood name…what else could explain it?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2706069497127167531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 21:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 05:40:56 GMT
References: <20010317151637.14735.00000831@nso-fl.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Message-ID: <20010318004056.02999.00000504@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17478

In article <20010317151637.14735.00000831@nso-fl.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com

```
(Len Over 21) writes:

>
>>planet Earth.  I'm sure there must be an agenda hidden in all that
>>quibbling somewhere, and I'm not willing to wait until July to finally
>>pry it out of you.  Give.  Now.  Please!  Shit or get off the pot!
>>
>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>
>Use of certain four-letter words can be considered "abusive."
>
>Careful or the literary law (Jam Kanelly) will come down on you
>and demand retractions and apologies...

"Mommie, mommie -- Mr. Larry said a bad word!"

Go away, kid -- you bother me!

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 5953865384727079906
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 21:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 05:40:58 GMT
References: <3AB38E33.43429F69@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010318004058.02999.00000506@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17480

In article <3AB38E33.43429F69@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>>  If you don't believe that a ham who can work CW at 20wpm is more
>> qualified than a ham who can't that's your problem.
>
>A more qualified ham is not evidence of a superior human being,
>Dick. Witness yourself.

Cecil:
```

Woooah, Nellie! Where the heck did Dick say that a "superior ham" is a "superior human?" Is a person who can play baseball better than I can a "superior human" in comparison to me? Or did he simply apply himself when he was (in your mind) "forced" to practice his batting, pitching, and fielding skills in order to gain a place on his school or professional team? Something's wrong with you lately, Cecil -- I suggest you get your spoiled grape juice tested for purity.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -3935323658216946872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 21:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 05:40:54 GMT
References: <3AB3F77D.DF32E8E4@dirtball.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
Message-ID: <20010318004054.02999.00000502@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17477
```

In article <3AB3F77D.DF32E8E4@dirtball.com>, Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com> writes:

>
>HA HA HA
> This is a good one. I have mountain property for sale in FLA.

That's odd -- I have beachfront property in Minnesota I'm trying to get rid of!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 8662587555348482748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d78ba0d98c61d7af
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 19:53:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB58209.217834FF@att.com>
From: Pervert_Extraordinair <valued_customer@att.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.am
ateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<98od1601mk4@enews2.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 03:50:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.85.9.213
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 984973832 12.85.9.213 (Mon, 19 Mar
2001 03:50:32 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 03:50:32 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner:9404
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:10334 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13618
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17532 rec.radio.shortwave:29188
```

Dev wrote:

> lol. why not just get a old cell phone. throw it in test mode. works better
> and more accurate. atleast you kill only one user on their phone. and not
> everyone.

How does one put a cell in test mode? I assume it differs by mfg'er.
How about a motorola brick phone?

TIA

```
From -4405507788840114226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 20:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!proxad.net!grolier!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 22:59:51 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <B6DAEE66.70E4%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org> <B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-31.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984974325 490847 204.116.70.32 (19 Mar 2001 03:58:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 03:58:45 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17533

Jon Bloom wrote:

> In spite of the obvious exaggeration, you
> seemed to be making the point that no-code
> Techs are responsible for the occupation
> of amateur VHF frequencies, which isn't so

I wasn't trying to make that point either. When I made the comment about 25 people, I was mainly referring to HF operators (the discussion was about why HF users were so upset with the no-code Techs - the topic that every single person responding so far has ignored).

The point I was trying to make was that HF operators were not widely using the repeaters, so why should they be so upset after the no-codes came along and did start using them. Now, please, of course some HF users were using the repeaters prior to the no-code techs, but their emphasis has always been centered on the HF frequencies (not on the repeaters).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -481731806773113532

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 20:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 04:44:12 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3ab58ded.1426699@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
<B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.77.12
X-Server-Date: 19 Mar 2001 04:45:23 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17534

On Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:36:43 -0500, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> Well the local would (IMHO) at least need
>> a search warrant to gain access.  Perhaps
>> the interference complaint may be enough
>> for a judge to order a warrant in some
>> cases.  Once that is done, howeever, just
>> what can the local do anyway?
>
>  Why would a search warrent be needed?

He doesn't, since when?

>A cop does not need a search
>warrent now to enter a residence if it is obvious that a law is being
>violated (screams from the residence suggesting violence, loud stereo
```

>disturbing the peace, etc.).

I suggest you have that wrong. Unless there's threats of
violence, or bodily harm, I don't see any such waiver of the
need for a warrant.

> A complaint about interference could be enough to suggest, at least when
>it comes to the local law, that a law is being violated.

You need more than the mere suggestion or
belef that a law is being violated.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 4250976635522810122
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 20:50:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 04:48:18 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3ab58f10.1718026@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<li7t6.551$G64.24690@typhoon.sonic.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.77.12
X-Server-Date: 19 Mar 2001 04:49:32 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17535

```
On Sun, 18 Mar 2001 18:40:17 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:

>Interesting discussion Phil!
>
>The local governments, as you know involving Sonoma County, CA, have already
>found a way to de facto regulate radio transmission though the use of zone
>ordinances.  The "set-up" is easy...they allow no antennas without a permit,
>and they issue no permits unless the "transmission facility" meets all FCC
>requirements (as well as conditions imposed by the ordinance) and has all
>necessary licenses and permits.  They require that at all times such
>facilities conform to FCC rules, and if not, they can revoke the permit.
>
>Thus a legally operated CB  station (if any indeed exist in this country!)
>could meet the initial requirements and get a permit, but if it were found
>to be operating out of band or with illegal power, the permit could be
>revoked and the antenna ordered removed under normal abatement procedures.

Just how does that zoning official determine and prove that
the CB station is either out-of-band or over power?

>Such abatements are hard to overturn, as witnessed in the case involving an
>FM Broadcast station in...I believe Idaho.  That case has gone on for some
>10 years, twice to the state Supreme Court, and the station has lost at
>every venue.  I think the tower has been removed.
>
>The FCC has been asked on numerous occasions to overrule such over-reaching
>ordinances, but other than PRB-1 which only covers licensed Amateur Radio
>Service antennas, they have consistently failed to act.

PRB-1 does go to over-reaching zoning.  PRB-1 does
nothing (so far) against association rules.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 8521742903792996426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 23:00:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
```

rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 19 Mar 2001 06:56:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17536
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18858 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10578
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13621

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103...
> >   No, Leland, no one is "bugged" about that. Many of us have dedicated a
> > huge amount of our personal time to help make that happen, in case you
> > didn't know what a VE does.
>
> All it takes is one counter example to nullify that argument "no one", and
> Richard has provided one.
>
> Quoted from a Richard McCollum post in rec.radio.cb
>
> "Pecking orders come in several flavors. I will make no bones here that I
> do not consider a Codeless Tech to be a full-fledged member of the
> fraternity and in fact I refused to administer them as a VE"
>
> > What many are "bugged" about is the lowering of requirements,
> > especially for the highest class of license. Nothing more.
>
> That maybe true, but for some I think it goes deeper than that.

 Yes it does. But on an individual basis, at least with me. What torques
my
tail is when one whines because he can't have it all on the strength of
his codefree tech written test, or yesterday's equivalent. It merely
indicated a lifelong whiner of the first magnitude, and I won't cut him
any substantial amound of slack. I have lots of tech friends who never
do that, or they would likely not be my friends.

But don't worry, not everyone is cut out to be a CW operator. Most who aren't don't have a clue what they are missing and couldn't care less.

From -7198678138681706805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,21f08ca3aee71da8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,21f08ca3aee71da8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21f08ca3aee71da8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,21f08ca3aee71da8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 23:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!network
From: QCWA@integrity-radio.org (QCWA)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.amateur.space
Subject: A QCWA special Announcement
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 07:43:43 GMT
Organization: The old timers
Lines: 5
Message-ID: <qcwa@news.qcwa-radio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.35.bb.be
X-Server-Date: 19 Mar 2001 07:45:17 GMT
X-Newsreader: News Xpress 2.01
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10580
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13623 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17537
rec.radio.amateur.space:2260

Based on on-the-air observations, it's been moved and seconded. The QCWA will no longer refer to tickets issued after April 15th, 2000 as licenses. We're going to call them 'Asshole Identification Cards' or AIC's.

From 6472359311968078495
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 00:35:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>

<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<li7t6.551$G64.24690@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ab58f10.1718026@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 46
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <%sjt6.601$G64.31981@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 08:30:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 984990651 209.204.160.101 (Mon, 19 Mar 2001 00:30:51 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 00:30:51 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17538

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ab58f10.1718026@news.mindspring.com...
> On Sun, 18 Mar 2001 18:40:17 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:
> >Thus a legally operated CB station (if any indeed exist in this country!)
> >could meet the initial requirements and get a permit, but if it were found
> >to be operating out of band or with illegal power, the permit could be
> >revoked and the antenna ordered removed under normal abatement procedures.
>
> Just how does that zoning official determine and prove that
> the CB station is either out-of-band or over power?

They don't have to prove it. They simply hold a hearing and make a decision
to revoke the permit. It would be no different than the circus that it
takes to get a permit in the first place.

>
> >The FCC has been asked on numerous occasions to overrule such over-reaching
> >ordinances, but other than PRB-1 which only covers licensed Amateur Radio
> >Service antennas, they have consistently failed to act.
>
> PRB-1 does go to over-reaching zoning. PRB-1 does

> nothing (so far) against association rules.

Only for legally operating stations. It was never intended to protect
free-banders/intruders/pirates, etc. Local zoning can completly ignore
PRB-1 in such cases, and in fact, often ignores it even in the case of legal
ham operators. You are correct...CC&R's are not subject to PRB-1, and the
FCC seems to be making no effort to extend coverage over such private
contracts, even though they have the authority to do so, and most CC&R's
look like "contracts of adheasion" (or "they got you over a barrel" type
contracts) to me.

Ron
N6AHA

>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

From 5156979478902371289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 05:40:39 PST
Message-ID: <3AB60954.CFFBDA26@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 07:27:48 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.46
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985008669
64.240.47.46 (19 Mar 2001 07:31:09 -0600)

```
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!206.252.192.28!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!cyclone-west.rr.com!
news.rr.com!news-west.rr.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17539
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18861 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10583
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13629
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> It merely
> indicated a lifelong whiner of the first magnitude, and I won't cut him
> any substantial amound of slack.

Dick, when are you going to stop whining about Techs?

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6397281085738909682
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 05:42:13 PST
Message-ID: <3AB60A02.1C5BB025@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 07:30:42 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
```

```
dio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: A QCWA special Announcement
References: <qcwa@news.qcwa-radio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.46
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985008843
64.240.47.46 (19 Mar 2001 07:34:03 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10584
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13630 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17540
rec.radio.amateur.space:2261

QCWA wrote:
> Based on on-the-air observations, it's been moved and seconded.  The QCWA will
> no longer refer to tickets issued after April 15th, 2000 as licenses. We're
> going to call them 'Asshole Identification Cards' or AIC's.

So eleven years from now, every US ham will have an 'Asshole
Identification Card'?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 8944094418806087029
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 06:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: A QCWA special Announcement
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 08:35:20 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3AB61928.4F83C3D8@oio.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <qcwa@news.qcwa-radio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 12
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10588
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13631 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17541
rec.radio.amateur.space:2262

QCWA wrote:
>
> Based on on-the-air observations, it's been moved and seconded. The QCWA will
> no longer refer to tickets issued after April 15th, 2000 as licenses. We're
> going to call them 'Asshole Identification Cards' or AIC's.

And I would suspect that you hold AIC #1. Even as a 'troll' this
post doesn't rate very high. I'd almost recommend that you try again,
but it would still be a waste of time and bandwidth...
'Doc

From -6130966830754208344
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 06:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Gerald Schmitt <KK5YY@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 07:34:27 -0700
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <ci5cbtkrjcth62foe3rl6rjjaop1d2q85g@4ax.com>
Reply-To: KK5YY@arrl.net

NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.32.e2.c6
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 19 Mar 2001 14:34:49 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17542

Perhaps some of the old time posters to this forum remember a rather nasty fellow, who used to post here, by the name of Michael Deignan from Rhode Island. Mike was a particularly nasty pro code test guy who proved what a fraud he was by faking a Hawaii address to get a KH6 call under the vanity program.

Well in addition to his fake Hawaii call he grabbed a bunch of club calls at the same time. Several of us told him the FCC would catch up with him and sure enough they did. Listen to this week's Rain Report. What goes around comes around Mike thanks to the FCC for the chuckle.

From 6225469251181167880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 07:36:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!
SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.30
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 10:32:58 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC807B4EB51@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <qcwa@news.qcwa-radio.net>
Subject: Re: A QCWA special Announcement
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10594
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13633 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17543
rec.radio.amateur.space:2263

Sounds like you (personally) need a "hole in the ground" card to completely
resolve all of your identification difficulties.

"QCWA" <QCWA@integrity-radio.org> wrote in message
news:qcwa@news.qcwa-radio.net...
>
> Based on on-the-air observations, it's been moved and seconded. The QCWA
will
> no longer refer to tickets issued after April 15th, 2000 as licenses.
We're
> going to call them 'Asshole Identification Cards' or AIC's.

From -5949464954449020028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 09:02:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!e3500-chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: nospam_n7ws@arrl.net (Wes Stewart)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <3ab63b04.6337440@news.aepnet.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
<B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org>
<B6DAEE66.70E4%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 11:58:42 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 16:59:52 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17544

On Sun, 18 Mar 2001 22:59:51 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Jon Bloom wrote:
>
>> In spite of the obvious exaggeration, you
>> seemed to be making the point that no-code
>> Techs are responsible for the occupation
>> of amateur VHF frequencies, which isn't so

>
>
> I wasn't trying to make that point either. When I made the comment about
>25 people, I was mainly referring to HF operators (the discussion was about
>why HF users were so upset with the no-code Techs - the topic that every
>single person responding so far has ignored).
>
> The point I was trying to make was that HF operators were not widely using
>the repeaters, so why should they be so upset after the no-codes came along
>and did start using them. Now, please, of course some HF users were using
>the repeaters prior to the no-code techs, but their emphasis has always been
>centered on the HF frequencies (not on the repeaters).

Why do you persist in equating VHF operating with repeaters? As I asked before, do you know that you can actually communicate on VHF/UHF without using active repeaters?

There are hundreds of people with WAS on 2m and quite a few with DXCC. All this is going on while Mabel is calling Clyde on the repeater and asking him to pick up a loaf of bread before he is destinated. (If your name is either Mabel or Clyde, nothing personal)

So I 'repeat', it is possible to have an interest in, and use both HF and VHF and not have any use for active repeaters.

Note that I say "active repeaters." One of the biggest thrills in my nearly 45 years of ham radio was when I pressed my key, released it and 2.5 seconds later heard my signal returning from the passive reflector that we call the Moon. Too bad the people that don't know the code can't participate in this mode.

Andt it's a good way to have an intelligent conversation; you can always talk to yourself and not once hear the word "destinated." <g>.

Over and out.

Wes Stewart N7WS
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>

From 3246160727720537622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4

```
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 09:22:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
165.113.238.17!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!matthew
From: matthew@cblmdm205-162-220-96.buckeye-express.com (Matthew T. Jachimstal)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Date: 19 Mar 2001 16:25:34 GMT
Organization: Michigan Tech University
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <slrn9bcd8n.8ep.matthew@cblmdm205-162-220-96.buckeye-express.com>
References: <Ui8s6.249$5R6.2283@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<984703856.3ab16370e97c3@webmail.cotse.com>
<4Kfs6.531$5R6.5609@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Reply-To: mtjachim@mtu.edu
NNTP-Posting-Host: cblmdm63-162-16-115.buckeye-express.com
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18878
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10603 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13635
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17545

Dick Elliott <elliotta@netusa1.net> spewed forth:
# Does anybody know the procedure for having an individual removed from the
# news groups if they are as caustic as this "gentleman"?  This type of abuse

Pretty hard to get someone "removed." Better yet, just add him to your
killfile and you'll never see another post from him again.

Matthew

--

This message brought to you by our staff chiropractor, Winston Payne.

| Matthew T. Jachimstal  KG9LF [\] <*>
| jachim69@excite.com   906-483-0232


From 7988433507641272790
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 10:58:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: � � � � etc. (Was: 11 meters...)
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 10:57:31 -0800
```

Organization: RST Engineering
Message-ID: <hglcbt8m29fc7u69hj950tcco18jt2ocl9@4ax.com>
Reply-To: jim@rst-engr.com
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com>
<5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com>
<zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com>
<jcq9btcork5o363s9uqdjnbbpih0brpbuo@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gaelu74.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gaeopa5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 17
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17546

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

-> I just hit Shift-F12 on my text editor and the table appears.
-> Of course, it's a different table for each CodePage / CharSet.

I don't understand what you mean "on my text editor". My text editor is Notepad, and hitting Shift-F12 does absolutely nothing. When you say "on my text editor" do you mean "while I am in my text editor" or is there something I'm missing?

Or do you mean in a real word processor like Word or WP? Just a little more info for those of us who are lexichallenged.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.   WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

From -1497766094256360477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 11:18:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups:

rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <3AB60954.CFFBDA26@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 93
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <nUst6.59$SR1.229702@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 13:16:10 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.153.219.251
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 985029267 206.153.219.251 (Mon, 19 Mar 2001 14:14:27 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 14:14:27 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17547
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18883 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10605
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13639

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AB60954.CFFBDA26@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > It merely
> > indicated a lifelong whiner of the first magnitude, and I won't cut
him
> > any substantial amound of slack.
>
> Dick, when are you going to stop whining about Techs?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Cecil, Dick is not complaining about Techs as a group nor am I. We are reaping the results of fundamental dishonesty. I will give you two points to look up in your Funk & Wagnalls.

Point 1. The Tech is not and never was designed to be the entry-level license for HF amateur radio. It predates the codeless arguments so we can dispense with them. It was designed to serve a multiplicity of uses, all worthy but none of them in the mainstream of Amateur Radio. Some of them included:
a.   Real honest experimenters - all 12 of them.
b.   Wives wanting contact with the old man. The Bread-and-Milk thing which was the subject of a lot of dubious subterfuges of which I was once a major instigator. Every wife on our repeater was named Sally and had the same callsign, a 2x3 I once had for 2 months or so.
c.   Personal communication of the type you describe with your camping buddies. The FCC whatever else one may think of them has a habit of

encouraging communication. Good way to keep tabs on number one son when he is armed and dangerous on a hunting trip.
d. Taking some pressure out of CB. The spots were up then, too.

Without beating the point to death, selling the Tech as an entry-level ticket was and is fundamentally dishonest. In my VE days I did not administer them because I did not believe I was doing anyone a favor. As a practical matter I would not be called upon to anyway because of the necessity to keep the Advanced VEs occupied. In the context where Leland butted in, the discussion was advising the newbie. Guess what? I don't recommend taking Element 2 as an initial step either.

Point 2. This dishonesty, as lies have a habit of doing, has come back to haunt us -- certainly in the general environs of Newington CT. The Tech since it came into existence has been a dead end for a lot of amateur careers. When you get on phone, the time for code practice or working it never comes again. Larry was in the trap, so was I. So if nothing else our level of tolerance is not as great as some but we know every self-deception in the book. As Billy Sunday once said of Satan, "I know there is a Devil because the Bible tells me so and because I have done business with the bastard personally". I won't lead a man there any more than I would lead him to an open door to unlit basement steps.

At least prior to 1991 the Tech, at least in theory, had to pass 5 wpm so he had some idea of what it was he was evading. Since then, we have developed Lifer Tech Syndrome, Dick's bugbear. Characteristics of this syndrome, a big campaign dedicated toward deceiving both the world and oneself, include:

a. Joining NCI and playing like the code requirement was even a debatable issue within a five-year horizon. Never miss the opportunity to say or write 'Morris Kode'. Note Leland's nearly-indecipherable tag line.

b. Joining everything, subscribing to everything, going to Dayton every year. Activity signifying not a hell of a lot.

c. Entering into every discussion on 'leading edge' technology. Nothing, of course, is ever done just talked about. Knowingly pronounce everything in existence as a 'legacy mode'. Become the resident genius on arcanity -- you too can be the guru of 160 meter gray line propagation.

d. Where anyone has actually achieved anything, even a simple-minded code test, he must be attacked, unhorsed, and mugged. Larry has built a whole new hobby on these dipsticks.

e. Whining endlessly about slights real or imagined. The object, of course, is to punish verbalization of what everyone in the vicinity already knows. In communities of the terminally incompetent the crushing line is "You think you are better than everyone else." When the response is, "I not only think it I know it" it is time for the riot squad. Equality is another word for nothing left to lose -- or more precisely never having gained it to begin with.

I do not play the game nor do I tolerate it.

Dick N�BK

```
From 7213417213714395210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 11:56:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gagdvg0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<li7t6.551$G64.24690@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ab58f10.1718026@news.mindspring.com>
<%sjt6.601$G64.31981@typhoon.sonic.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 49
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 19:54:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.73
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985031668 206.58.44.73 (Mon, 19 Mar 2001 19:54:28
GMT)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 19:54:28 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17549

On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 08:30:51 GMT, Ron wrote:

>> Just how does that zoning official determine and prove that
>> the CB station is either out-of-band or over power?
>
>They don't have to prove it. They simply hold a hearing and make a decision
>to revoke the permit. It would be no different than the circus that it
>takes to get a permit in the first place.

Then yours truly a.k.a. Ringmaster #1 steps in and gets a TRO
to stop the revocation based on failure to provide due process....
and the beat goes on.

C'mon Ron...you know better than that.

>> PRB-1 does nothing (so far) against association rules.

>Only for legally operating stations. It was never intended to protect
>free-banders/intruders/pirates, etc. Local zoning can completly ignore
>PRB-1 in such cases, and in fact, often ignores it even in the case of legal
>ham operators.

And then the Berkeley City Council, that last bastion of anal
rententiveness, grants Stephen Dunifer and Radio Free Berkeley a
building permit and variance to put up their pirate FM antenna
mast several years ago even while their case was being litigated
in Federal Court....

Anything to screw the Feds....

>You are correct...CC&R's are not subject to PRB-1, and the
>FCC seems to be making no effort to extend coverage over such private
>contracts, even though they have the authority to do so,

They do not, absent a clear directive from The Congress, which
is what it took to get them to exercise preemption of CC&Rs
for TV and satellite receiving antennas. (I ought to write a
macro to one-key this statement...)

>and most CC&R's look like "contracts of adheasion" (or "they got
>you over a barrel" type contracts) to me.

I've been saying this for years.....

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -7162041423504389999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 11:56:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaget61.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-
cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com>
<5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com>
<zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com>
<jcq9btcork5o363s9uqdjnbbpih0brpbuo@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gaelu74.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gaeopa5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<hglcbt8m29fc7u69hj950tcco18jt2ocl9@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: =?iso-8859-1?q?Re:_=BC_=BD_=B0_=B5_etc._(Was:_11_meters...)?=
Lines: 39
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 19:54:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.73
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985031668 206.58.44.73 (Mon, 19 Mar 2001 19:54:28
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 19:54:28 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17550
```

On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 10:57:31 -0800, Jim Weir wrote:

```
>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
>shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
>->  I just hit Shift-F12 on my text editor and the table appears.
>->  Of course, it's a different table for each CodePage / CharSet.
>
>I don't understand what you mean "on my text editor".  My text editor is
>Notepad, and hitting Shift-F12 does absolutely nothing.  When you say "on my
>text editor" do you mean "while I am in my text editor" or is there something
>I'm missing?
>
>Or do you mean in a real word processor like Word or WP?  Just a little more
>info for those of us who are lexichallenged.
```

My "workhorse" text editor - which I use in conjunction with my

OS/2 mail reader (PMMail) and news reader (PMInews) - is The
Semware Editor (TSE) formerly known as Q-Edit. TSE is available
in DOS, OS/2 and Windows flavors, and demo/test drive versions
are available at <www.semware.com> It is a small but powerful
program and I recommend it highly.

My "real" wordprocessor is Word Perfect 6 for DOS (which
despite its name runs very well under OS/2 and Windows) and to
the best of my knowledge it does not have a character table
which relates to ASCII - it does have one which relates to its
own character numbering system.

I don't have the foggiest in how to do it in Notepad or MSWord
(or even if it is available) as I do not use them.

Sorry if I confused you.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -5708951985659314782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 15:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: � � � � etc. (Was: 11 meters...)
Message-ID: <oq3dbtsboteadisos3a1pv1kvp9q201oek@4ax.com>
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-
cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com>
<5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com>
<zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com>
<jcq9btcork5o363s9uqdjnbbpih0brpbuo@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gaelu74.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gaeopa5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<hglcbt8m29fc7u69hj950tcco18jt2ocl9@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 22:59:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.208.93
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net

```
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985042797 209.86.208.93 (Mon, 19 Mar
2001 14:59:57 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 14:59:57 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17555

Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com> wrote:
>I don't understand what you mean "on my text editor".  My text editor is
>Notepad,

In Windows Millennium (and earlier Windows versions) you can use a
applet called Character Map. In Millennium it's listed under
Start/Programs/Accessories/System Tools/Character Map. With this
applet you can copy and paste the non-standard characters into Notepad
or any other word processor. Also it will tell you the keystroke
combination to use to get the character if you prefer to do it that
way. Of course you must use a common standard font or the others on
the newsgroup will not see the character you intend to send...


From -7101146591622285767
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 15:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <6i5dbtoj70d8iok02u6uo5o6pbeb66jlbj@4ax.com>
References: <3ab28985.225394853@news2.dvol.com>
<20010317123300.12822.00000602@nso-fj.aol.com> <3ab51197.52556799@news2.dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 23:32:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.209.216
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985044741 209.86.209.216 (Mon, 19 Mar
2001 15:32:21 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 15:32:21 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17556

kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote:
>The TS-50 is much easier  to operate than a KWM-2A.
>Try cw with a KWM-2 sometime.
```

The flaw in CW operation using the TS-50 is that you have to open the
case to adjust the sidetone level. That's tough to do when you're
tooling down the highway... =:-0

From -7412640708875466329
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 16:12:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 16:06:51 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3AB69F1B.5565ACEE@uci.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
<98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaeab49.291430009@news2.dvol.com>
<98o4gd$ru6$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaff5bc.56476936@news2.dvol.com>
<3AB10467.E867F9C4@uci.edu> <3ab1323e.137510909@news2.dvol.com>
<98tjgc$b4d$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ab2cfe3.243408878@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17557

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> pmOn Fri, 16 Mar 2001 09:47:54 -0800, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:

> >My clock is set to Pacific Standard time. You should probably set your clock
> >back an hour.   ;-)

> Four time zones. 9-8-7-6. 9-4=6. 9pm Philly time = 6pm LA time.

Evidently you meant to say 9-3=6, which was my point. We are three
hours apart, rather than 4. ;-)

73, ac6xg

From -9176346912573417451
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 16:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
skynet.be!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 16:08:02 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AB69F62.65799BA@uci.edu>
References: <3ab28985.225394853@news2.dvol.com>
<20010317123300.12822.00000602@nso-fj.aol.com> <3ab51197.52556799@news2.dvol.com>
<6i5dbtoj70d8iok02u6uo5o6pbeb66jlbj@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17558

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote:
> >The TS-50 is much easier to operate than a KWM-2A.
> >Try cw with a KWM-2 sometime.

> The flaw in CW operation using the TS-50 is that you have to open the
> case to adjust the sidetone level. That's tough to do when you're
> tooling down the highway... =:-0

The TS-690 is the same way. Nice feature. I guess it saved 'em a
couple bucks.

ac6xg

From -6322497120886870869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 16:16:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!

63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Ui8s6.249$5R6.2283@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<984703856.3ab16370e97c3@webmail.cotse.com>
<4Kfs6.531$5R6.5609@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<slrn9bcd8n.8ep.matthew@cblmdm205-162-220-96.buckeye-express.com>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <Cgxt6.109$Rw3.1950@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 19:16:23 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.92.20
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 985047202 216.117.92.20 (Mon, 19 Mar 2001 18:13:22 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 18:13:22 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18904
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10624 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13656
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17559

Thanks I will do that. But he shows up in a lot of groups. How sad he must really be. W9FPJ
"Matthew T. Jachimstal" <matthew@cblmdm205-162-220-96.buckeye-express.com>
wrote in message
news:slrn9bcd8n.8ep.matthew@cblmdm205-162-220-96.buckeye-express.com...
> Dick Elliott <elliotta@netusa1.net> spewed forth:
> # Does anybody know the procedure for having an individual removed from the
> # news groups if they are as caustic as this "gentleman"? This type of abuse
>
> Pretty hard to get someone "removed." Better yet, just add him to your
> killfile and you'll never see another post from him again.
>
> Matthew
>
> --
>
> This message brought to you by our staff chiropractor, Winston Payne.
>
> | Matthew T. Jachimstal KG9LF [\] <*>
> | jachim69@excite.com   906-483-0232

```
From -7100381803512332017
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8d77b72cf7ea0e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 16:22:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: mind boggling brilliance from a nocode
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 16:13:11 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3AB6A097.36283C1B@uci.edu>
References: <985038380.3ab67e2cb6c2a@webmail.cotse.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17560



fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com wrote:
>
> "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com> wrote:
> how do you know Loren is not a ham and stickman was building a >10 meter amp?
> and nocode n8wwm doug adair sparkingly replied in rec.radio.cb

Please leave it in rec.radio.cb next time.  Thanks.

ac6xg


From 7144396197692162009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 16:47:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 00:45:02 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <3ab6a71c.1106093@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
```

<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<li7t6.551$G64.24690@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ab58f10.1718026@news.mindspring.com>
<%sjt6.601$G64.31981@typhoon.sonic.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.49.d1
X-Server-Date: 20 Mar 2001 00:44:01 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17561

On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 08:30:51 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:

>
>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:3ab58f10.1718026@news.mindspring.com...
>> On Sun, 18 Mar 2001 18:40:17 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:
>> >Thus a legally operated CB station (if any indeed exist in this
>country!)
>> >could meet the initial requirements and get a permit, but if it were
>found
>> >to be operating out of band or with illegal power, the permit could be
>> >revoked and the antenna ordered removed under normal abatement
>procedures.
>>
>> Just how does that zoning official determine and prove that
>> the CB station is either out-of-band or over power?
>
>They don't have to prove it. They simply hold a hearing and make a decision
>to revoke the permit. It would be no different than the circus that it
>takes to get a permit in the first place.

That's a pretty sorry state you must live in if that is
all it takes...no due process, no probable cause,
no decision based on facts.

>> >The FCC has been asked on numerous occasions to overrule such
>over-reaching
>> >ordinances, but other than PRB-1 which only covers licensed Amateur Radio
>> >Service antennas, they have consistently failed to act.
>>
>> PRB-1 does go to over-reaching zoning. PRB-1 does
>> nothing (so far) against association rules.
>
>Only for legally operating stations. It was never intended to protect

>free-banders/intruders/pirates, etc.

That is true...BUT you still have not shown one rational
bit of process to properly determine illegal operation.

>Local zoning can completly ignore
>PRB-1 in such cases, and in fact, often ignores it even in the case of legal
>ham operators.

That's one reason we have lawyers...to work against
such local zoning extremists.

>You are correct...CC&R's are not subject to PRB-1, and the
>FCC seems to be making no effort to extend coverage over such private
>contracts, even though they have the authority to do so, and most CC&R's
>look like "contracts of adheasion" (or "they got you over a barrel" type
>contracts) to me.

Yet the courts have held such CC&Rs to be valid for years.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 3378520481261818738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 17:14:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gagtpu0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<li7t6.551$G64.24690@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ab58f10.1718026@news.mindspring.com>
<%sjt6.601$G64.31981@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ab6a71c.1106093@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>

```
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 32
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 01:13:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.104
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985050816 206.58.44.104 (Tue, 20 Mar 2001
01:13:36 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 01:13:36 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17562

On Tue, 20 Mar 2001 00:45:02 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>>They don't have to prove it.  They simply hold a hearing and make a decision
>>to revoke the permit.  It would be no different than the circus that it
>>takes to get a permit in the first place.
>
>That's a pretty sorry state you must live in if that is
>all it takes...no due process, no probable cause,
>no decision based on facts.

  Sonoma County in California (where Ron lives and owns a radio
  station) is the last bastion of regal supremacy of a building
  department which demands extraordinary and expensive things of
  applicants, private and commercial, and the people who hold the
  reins of entrenched political power stand back and watch the
  hoop-jumping with satisfaction.

>That's one reason we have lawyers...to work against
>such local zoning extremists.

  I've "done business" there....fortunately my client had a
  lot of patience and a deep pocket to keep him going during the
  process.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -7095505291721950503
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 18:15:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 21:12:20 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <B6DC26B1.7203%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
<B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab58ded.1426699@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-119.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985054280 56829 206.74.49.119 (20 Mar 2001 02:11:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 02:11:20 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17563

Bill Sohl wrote:

> I suggest you have that wrong. Unless
> there's threats of violence, or bodily
> harm, I don't see any such waiver of the
> need for a warrant.

Of course there is, Bill. Think about it. I've already mentioned the screams, or other sounds of conflict, situation. Add to that the right to enter while chasing a suspect. And add to that entrance into a residence to put an end to loud parties and so forth (disturbing the peace). In fact, as I understand it, a police officer can enter a residence without a warrant anytime there is evidence from outside the residence that a crime is occurring inside the residence (a fight in a residence that is visible from the street through a window might be one example of this).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8627217292136733602
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 18:25:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Mar 2001 02:22:18 GMT
References: <3AB528FA.4783690B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010319212218.10859.00000533@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17564

In article <3AB528FA.4783690B@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Woooah, Nellie! Where the heck did Dick say that a "superior
>> ham" is a "superior human?"
>
>It wasn't Dick who said it, Larry, it was you. We went round and
>round about your statement and you wouldn't budge. You said you
>were a superior *person* to me because you passed a 20wpm exam
>and I didn't.
>
>No, I don't have a copy of the posting and dejanews is screwed
>up so I can't prove it but I'm sure many remember that exchange
>about a year ago.

Cecil:

If I said I was a superior ham to you because I passed a 20 WPM
code test, I may have been correct. If I said I was a superior person
to you for the same reason (and only that reason), then I was probably

shooting my fingertips off on my 10-year old Northgate Omni-Key Plus™ Ultra keyboard, which is now 11 years old and still going strong. I digress. A superior ham is not necessarily a superior person. A very superior person could spend a lifetime without ever holding an amateur radio license. As I know you've seen me say numerous times, ham radio is not for everyone, and not everyone should be a ham radio operator. I don't believe in touchy-feely "inclusiveness" for it's own sake. This is why I have always supported a multi-tiered license structure as an incentive for hams to progress, including multi-level Morse code testing to ensure that a significant number of hams will be exposed to and possibly embrace the regular use of this most practical, effective, efficient, and universal mode of electronic communication. Therefore, a superior person could be a non-ham, and vice-versa. However, all kidding aside, if your inferiority complex is truly as bad as your last few posts suggest, I am starting to worry about you.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2164751392972195212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 18:26:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Mar 2001 02:22:19 GMT
References: <3AB52AAF.F5EB6240@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010319212219.10859.00000534@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17565

In article <3AB52AAF.F5EB6240@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Well, at least you admit to your well-deserved inferiority complex.
>> A more superior person wouldn't admit to that.
>
>A man's gotta know his limitations. I am truly inferior, Larry,
>it's no complex. I'm even inferior to a paramecium. Did you
>know that a paramecium that is living today is virtually the
>same paramecium that lived 1000 years ago. Parameciums are
>essentially created by cloning so living parameciums today

>have been virtually immortal for millions of years.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

You're a sick puppy, Cecil. Please get help.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 961635606610299036
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 18:28:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Mar 2001 02:22:17 GMT
References: <3AB52411.EC0200AC@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Message-ID: <20010319212217.10859.00000531@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17566

In article <3AB52411.EC0200AC@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>In order to be granted driving privileges for public roads, you and I were
>required by circumstances (forced) to pass a driver's test. Are you going
>to argue with me about that? Conceptually, it is the same as the Morse code
>test. The difference is that driving is not part of your religion.

Cecil:

Neither is ham radio or anything associated with it, including the Morse code. And I was never "forced" to take ham radio tests (theory or code), driver's licensing tests, college exams, or anything else of that nature. I did it all on my own free will, and always had the option of not doing so as long as I was willing to accept the consequences of *not* doing so, that is, not gaining the privileges granted by taking these many tests. Therefore, there was NEVER any "force" applied, because the people in charge could have cared less whether or not I took these tests. People only "force" us to do things when they actually want us to do them against our free will. An enemy could "force" a prisoner of war to confess to war crimes, if enough "force" is applied to him. That's force. Nobody has ever been threatened, tortured, or sanctioned in any way for not taking ham radio tests or anything else of a similar nature. Your argument about "force" therefore, is nothing more than meaningless twaddle.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1508355955121059379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 18:28:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Mar 2001 02:22:17 GMT
References: <20010318174632.24823.00001046@nso-cd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Message-ID: <20010319212217.10859.00000532@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17567

In article <20010318174632.24823.00001046@nso-cd.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>Use of certain four-letter words can be considered "abusive."
>>>
>>>Careful or the literary law (Jam Kanelly) will come down on you
>>>and demand retractions and apologies...
>>
>>"Mommie, mommie -- Mr. Larry said a bad word!"
>
>CODE is a four-letter word, Larrie...

Lennie:

Pseudocode? Binary Code? Hammaurabi's Code? The Code of Conduct? The Code of Military Justice? ASCII code? Yep, the word "code" has four letters, but it isn't a "four letter word" in the conventional sense, since it normally has no meaning which can be considered pernicious, salacious, or otherwise of a negative nature. Just to set the record straight.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6920315818005432007

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 18:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!206.252.192.28!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 21:48:33 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <B6DC2F2E.7204%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
<B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org>
<B6DAEE66.70E4%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab63b04.6337440@news.aepnet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-119.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985056458 61762 206.74.49.119 (20 Mar 2001 02:47:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 02:47:38 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17568

Wes Stewart wrote:

> Why do you persist in equating VHF
> operating with repeaters?

I wasn't, Wes. Everyone seems to be missing the fact that I was responding to the comments made by someone else.

> As I asked before, do you know that you can
> actually communicate on VHF/UHF without
> using active repeaters?

Well duh, Wes, of course I know that a person can communicate in VHF/UHF without repeaters. What a silly question.

> One of the biggest thrills in my nearly 45
> years of ham radio was when I pressed my
> key, released it and 2.5 seconds later
> heard my signal returning from the passive

> reflector that we call the Moon. Too bad
> the people that don't know the code can't
> participate in this mode.

Why would someone who does not know code not be able to participate in this mode? The very first moonbounce station I saw, in Italy, was using SSB voice. After that, I saw several other SSB moonbounce stations and witnessed a number of SSB moonbounce voice contacts. Now, unless the Italians are better at moonbounce then we are, I certainly see no reason why SSB voice cannot be used with moonbounce here in the US.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 4810685378056029459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf8aeaa5dcacb51d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 18:51:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can someone explain antenna regs to me?
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 20:44:40 -0600
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3AB6C418.DA5641C9@ix.netcom.com>
References: <990jnf$mqi$1@bob.news.rcn.net> <3ab3fe45.2060209@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0e.8e.45
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 20 Mar 2001 02:44:46 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17569

Bill Sohl wrote:

> Do you have an attic. Try an HF dipole in the attic for 15 and 10m.
> I've worked many states and countries with just such a simple
> set-up.
>

There are some advantages to "stealth" antennas. Like neighbors not knowing you as a ham exist, and thus can't blame you for any reception faults in their TV sets (even those that happen when you're not home).

A dipole made of somewhat thin wire would probably not be noticed. Arrange things so the coax feedline is at the body of the house, at an attic or upstairs window.

I worked Antartica with 100W with a crude wire dipole in the attic crawlspace of my apartment in Texas.

You'll need a transmatch of some sort most likely.

Maybe string a vertical antenna from the base to the top of the only tree you mentioned you have.

From -9213890879954766781
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8732b751248a7187,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 19:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Radio Flyer" <rlutz@cowtown.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Repeater Directory Note "z"
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 20:58:22 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tbdi4eppv31772@corp.supernews.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 7
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17570

The "z" in the notes column indicates "direct access to law enforcement services". So if I am driving and get a flat, or see an accident, or see a log or furniture in the road, would it be possible and appropriate to call it into the police using one of these repeaters with a "z" note (assuming my cell phone is not working)?

From -6471820159824505969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 19:35:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!
news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB6CE23.74AC70C1@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
<B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org>
<B6DAEE66.70E4%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab63b04.6337440@news.aepnet.com>
<B6DC2F2E.7204%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 21:27:31 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17571
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Wes Stewart wrote:
>
> > Why do you persist in equating VHF
> > operating with repeaters?
>
>   I wasn't, Wes. Everyone seems to be missing the fact that I was
> responding to the comments made by someone else.
>
> > As I asked before, do you know that you can
> > actually communicate on VHF/UHF without
> > using active repeaters?
>
>   Well duh, Wes, of course I know that a person can communicate in VHF/UHF
> without repeaters. What a silly question.
>
> > One of the biggest thrills in my nearly 45
> > years of ham radio was when I pressed my
> > key, released it and 2.5 seconds later
> > heard my signal returning from the passive
> > reflector that we call the Moon. Too bad
> > the people that don't know the code can't
> > participate in this mode.
>
>   Why would someone who does not know code not be able to participate in
> this mode? The very first moonbounce station I saw, in Italy, was using SSB

> voice. After that, I saw several other SSB moonbounce stations and
> witnessed a number of SSB moonbounce voice contacts. Now, unless the
> Italians are better at moonbounce then we are, I certainly see no reason why
> SSB voice cannot be used with moonbounce here in the US.

Even if you stayed with code for better s/n, you could use automatic encoder and decoder to do it, no?

john

```
From 1245092885424319889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 19:55:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!
gip.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-feed.fnsi.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 22:50:50 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-119.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985060213 65902 206.74.49.119 (20 Mar 2001 03:50:13
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 03:50:13 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17572
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18915 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10637
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13664
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> [snip] What torques my tail is when one whines
> because he can't have it all on the strength of
> his codefree tech written test, or yesterday's
> equivalent. [snip]

What a bunch of bullcrap, Dick. I don't know of a single person that wants to "have it all on the strength of his codefree tech written test." Instead, what most of us (Techs) want is to be able to use the capabilities that the FCC has authorized, without constantly being harrassed for using those capabilities differently then you might use them (in other words, stop endlessly judging us).

In addition, like all human beings, we would not mind a little respect - not as a ham operator (I know we'll never get that from you, no matter what we do), but simply as a human being who wants to enjoy life without all the endless insults (the crap you wrote above or the "no-code equals no ham" nonsense, for examples).

And finally, some (not all - some don't mind the code tests and some have no desire to upgrade at all) want an opportunity to upgrade without spending weeks learning an absolutely antiquated communications mode that very few, if any, outside of ham radio uses anymore. By the way, describing code as an "antiquated communications mode" is not a judgement, a put-down of code users, or even an opinion - it is a fact.

Now, every single person that I've met who feels that way is more than willing to meet reasonable requirements (the written tests, for example), so it is not an situation of wanting something for free. Instead, they all seem to be saying they want a fair price for what is offered (and learning Morse Code is not a fair price simply because you once had to pay it).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -2691713108591456693
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 20:00:43 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!
news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: A QCWA special Announcement
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 03:57:09 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3AB6D515.A6CE18F5@bctv.com>
References: <qcwa@news.qcwa-radio.net> <3AB60A02.1C5BB025@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 985060772 87048 63.87.116.71 (20 Mar 2001 03:59:32 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Mar 2001 03:59:32 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10638
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13665 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17573
rec.radio.amateur.space:2272



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> QCWA wrote:
> > Based on on-the-air observations, it's been moved and seconded.  The QCWA will
> > no longer refer to tickets issued after April 15th, 2000 as licenses. We're
> > going to call them 'Asshole Identification Cards' or AIC's.
>
> So eleven years from now, every US ham will have an 'Asshole
> Identification Card'?

Well, by then, all the QWCA dinosaurs will have died off, so they won't
have to worry about it.


From 7731574754682193707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 20:36:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
Date: 20 Mar 2001 04:30:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <996md8$1lg$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <ci5cbtkrjcth62foe3rl6rjjaop1d2q85g@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17574

Gerald Schmitt wrote:
>
> Perhaps some of the old time posters to this forum remember a rather
> nasty fellow, who used to post here, by the name of Michael Deignan
> from Rhode Island. Mike was a particularly nasty pro code test guy who
> proved what a fraud he was by faking a Hawaii address to get a
> KH6 call under the vanity program.

Beg to correct your misapprension, Gerald, but Mike was definmitely NOT a pro code test guy, rather very definitely the exact opposite, as he stated many times here **while he castigated all the whiners**. You guys leap to offensive anytime anyone disagrees with you for any reason, labelling the sucker a "pro code test" a$$hole. After all, if he doesn't agree with you on everything, what else could he be???

>
> Well in addition to his fake Hawaii call he grabbed a bunch of club
> calls at the same time. Several of us told him the FCC would catch
> up with him and sure enough they did. Listen to this week's Rain
> Report. What goes around comes around Mike thanks to the FCC for
> the chuckle.

Aw, Gee, how many did the Tucker family have squirrelled away? 40? 50? And Riley let them keep half of them! I doubt Mike has lost any sleep.

From 5756000836003812963
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 20:37:33 PST
Message-ID: <3AB6DAC1.DF99B95@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 22:21:21 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
References: <3AB52411.EC0200AC@IEEE.org> <20010319212217.10859.00000531@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985062284 64.240.47.54 (19 Mar 2001 22:24:44 -0600)
Lines: 38
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!news-fra.pop.de!news-fra1.dfn.de!news-koe1.dfn.de!news-was.dfn.de!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.frii.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17575

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> And I was never "forced" to take ham radio tests
> (theory or code), driver's licensing tests, college exams, or anything
> else of that nature.

So you don't have a driver's license, eh? Aren't driver's license requirements enFORCED in your state??? If so, you were, along with everyone else who has been awarded driving privileges, required by circumstances (forced) to pass a driving test.

> Therefore, there was NEVER
> any "force" applied, because the people in charge could have cared
> less whether or not I took these tests.

There is force applied by definition, Larry. Enforced governmental requirements satisfy the dictionary definition of force.

> People only "force" us to do
> things when they actually want us to do them against our free will.

That's only one definition of "force", Larry. There are many others. You can use your free will to voluntarily submit to the force applied by the government. How you feel about submitting to that force is irrelevant. It is still governmental force. If you want to avoid going to jail, you are, by definition, forced to obey the laws of the land.

In return for privileges granted, the government forces its citizens to meet the requirements for those privileges. That's a dictionary definition of "forced". Therefore, citizens are, by definition, forced to obtain a driver's license in order to obtain driving privileges.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1053608414636909258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 20:40:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AB6DC29.32608F50@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 22:27:21 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <3AB528FA.4783690B@IEEE.org> <20010319212218.10859.00000533@nso-
cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985062644
64.240.47.54 (19 Mar 2001 22:30:44 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!pulsar.dimensional.com!dimensional.com!newsfeed.frii.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17576
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> However, all kidding aside, if your inferiority
> complex is truly as bad as your last few posts suggest, I am starting
> to worry about you.

Heck Larry, I'm inferior to a rock which was here before I was
born and it will be virtually unchanged long after I'm gone.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8583994022725744740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 20:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 20 Mar 2001 04:34:07 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <996mjv$262$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<li7t6.551$G64.24690@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ab58f10.1718026@news.mindspring.com>
<%sjt6.601$G64.31981@typhoon.sonic.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17577

Ron wrote:
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:3ab58f10.1718026@news.mindspring.com...
> > On Sun, 18 Mar 2001 18:40:17 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:
> > >Thus a legally operated CB station (if any indeed exist in this
> country!)
> > >could meet the initial requirements and get a permit, but if it were
> found
> > >to be operating out of band or with illegal power, the permit could be
> > >revoked and the antenna ordered removed under normal abatement
> procedures.
> >
> > Just how does that zoning official determine and prove that
> > the CB station is either out-of-band or over power?
>
> They don't have to prove it. They simply hold a hearing and make a decision
> to revoke the permit. It would be no different than the circus that it
> takes to get a permit in the first place.
>
> >
> > >The FCC has been asked on numerous occasions to overrule such
> over-reaching
> > >ordinances, but other than PRB-1 which only covers licensed Amateur Radio
> > >Service antennas, they have consistently failed to act.
> >
> > PRB-1 does go to over-reaching zoning. PRB-1 does
> > nothing (so far) against association rules.
>
> Only for legally operating stations. It was never intended to protect
> free-banders/intruders/pirates, etc. Local zoning can completly ignore
> PRB-1 in such cases, and in fact, often ignores it even in the case of legal
> ham operators. You are correct...CC&R's are not subject to PRB-1, and the
> FCC seems to be making no effort to extend coverage over such private
> contracts, even though they have the authority to do so, and most CC&R's
> look like "contracts of adheasion" (or "they got you over a barrel" type
> contracts) to me.
>

It's all politics, of course. FCC knows what flak Congress would catch
ASAP from the local politicos if and when they handed down such a
decision, and as always the nasty stuff flows downhill...

From 6299408994598433155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 20:42:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 20 Mar 2001 04:35:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <996mm9$262$1@208.206.142.67>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <3AB60954.CFFBDA26@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17578
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18921 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10642
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13669



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > It merely
> > indicated a lifelong whiner of the first magnitude, and I won't cut him
> > any substantial amound of slack.
>
> Dick, when are you going to stop whining about Techs?


 Uh, Cec, OB, it ain't just the techs.....Check yer mirror....


From -5023970751831281001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 20:46:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 04:39:25 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AB6DEFD.AA2DBACD@bctv.com>
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message> <3AB0CC63.948F079D@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xk32.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 985063308 89180 63.87.116.71 (20 Mar 2001 04:41:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Mar 2001 04:41:48 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18922
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10643 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13670
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17579
```

Phil Kane wrote:

```
>
> On Thu, 15 Mar 2001 08:06:27 -0600, W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> >message center wrote:
> >> I am
> >> concerned about the quality of amateur radio operators, not quantity.
> >
> >Does this mean that you want to reduce the number of hams available
> >for emergency communications?
>
>   No, he means that he wants the level of intelligence,
>   articulation, and verbal persuasiveness of others lowered to
>   his.
```

I dunno, can a fern GET a license . . ?

From 834536498272467874

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 20:47:35 PST
Message-ID: <3AB6DD7B.2D80D9D0@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 22:32:59 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <3AB60954.CFFBDA26@IEEE.org>
<996mm9$262$1@208.206.142.67>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985062981
64.240.47.54 (19 Mar 2001 22:36:21 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!
sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17580
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18923 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10644
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13671

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
```

> > Dick, when are you going to stop whining about Techs?
>
> Uh, Cec, OB, it ain't just the techs.....Check yer mirror....

Novices also?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4004427468175249170
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,aebe3d9bc7ab77c2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 20:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: WORM WARNING!!! was: Hardcore, Dirty, Sluty, ...
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 04:42:21 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AB6DFAD.D4B2B61D@bctv.com>
References: <98uinj$phv$4363@uranium.btinternet.com> <98vgld$vvf$0@pita.alt.net>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 985063485 89180 63.87.116.71 (20 Mar 2001 04:44:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Mar 2001 04:44:45 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17581
```

Okay, so was Real Ham the source, or the first victim?

Stagger Lee wrote:
>
> On 17 Mar 2001 02:38:43 GMT, oshvwz@gfdg.com wrote:
> : click this link for hot sex teen videos. winzip is required
> :
> : http://www.geocities.com/xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
>
> The file advertised contains an executable which will install a

> distributed denial of service (DDOS) worm on your machine.
>
> DO NOT EXECUTE!!
>
> Geocities has been contacted.

```
From -7286151387167562090
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 21:18:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 20 Mar 2001 05:17:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 95
Message-ID: <996p5a$94l$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <3AB60954.CFFBDA26@IEEE.org>
<nUst6.59$SR1.229702@nntp1.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17582
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18924 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10651
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13676
```

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3AB60954.CFFBDA26@IEEE.org...
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > It merely

> > > indicated a lifelong whiner of the first magnitude, and I won't cut
> him
> > > any substantial amound of slack.
> >
> > Dick, when are you going to stop whining about Techs?
> > --
> > http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> Cecil, Dick is not complaining about Techs as a group nor am I. We are
> reaping the results of fundamental dishonesty. I will give you two points
> to look up in your Funk & Wagnalls.
>
> Point 1. The Tech is not and never was designed to be the entry-level
> license for HF amateur radio. It predates the codeless arguments so we
> can dispense with them. It was designed to serve a multiplicity of uses,
> all worthy but none of them in the mainstream of Amateur Radio. Some of
> them included:
> a.   Real honest experimenters - all 12 of them.
> b.   Wives wanting contact with the old man. The Bread-and-Milk thing
> which was the subject of a lot of dubious subterfuges of which I was once
> a major instigator. Every wife on our repeater was named Sally and had
> the same callsign, a 2x3 I once had for 2 months or so.
> c.   Personal communication of the type you describe with your camping
> buddies. The FCC whatever else one may think of them has a habit of
> encouraging communication. Good way to keep tabs on number one son when
> he is armed and dangerous on a hunting trip.
> d.   Taking some pressure out of CB. The spots were up then, too.
>
> Without beating the point to death, selling the Tech as an entry-level
> ticket was and is fundamentally dishonest. In my VE days I did not
> administer them because I did not believe I was doing anyone a favor. As
> a practical matter I would not be called upon to anyway because of the
> necessity to keep the Advanced VEs occupied. In the context where Leland
> butted in, the discussion was advising the newbie. Guess what? I don't
> recommend taking Element 2 as an initial step either.
>
> Point 2. This dishonesty, as lies have a habit of doing, has come back to
> haunt us -- certainly in the general environs of Newington CT. The Tech
> since it came into existence has been a dead end for a lot of amateur
> careers. When you get on phone, the time for code practice or working it
> never comes again. Larry was in the trap, so was I. So if nothing else
> our level of tolerance is not as great as some but we know every
> self-deception in the book. As Billy Sunday once said of Satan, "I know
> there is a Devil because the Bible tells me so and because I have done
> business with the bastard personally". I won't lead a man there any more
> than I would lead him to an open door to unlit basement steps.
>
> At least prior to 1991 the Tech, at least in theory, had to pass 5 wpm so
> he had some idea of what it was he was evading. Since then, we have
> developed Lifer Tech Syndrome, Dick's bugbear. Characteristics of this
> syndrome, a big campaign dedicated toward deceiving both the world and
> oneself, include:
>

> a.   Joining NCI and playing like the code requirement was even a
> debatable issue within a five-year horizon. Never miss the opportunity to
> say or write 'Morris Kode'. Note Leland's nearly-indecipherable tag line.
>
> b.   Joining everything, subscribing to everything, going to Dayton every
> year. Activity signifying not a hell of a lot.
>
> c.   Entering into every discussion on 'leading edge' technology.
> Nothing, of course, is ever done just talked about. Knowingly pronounce
> everything in existence as a 'legacy mode'.   Become the resident genius
> on arcanity -- you too can be the guru of 160 meter gray line
> propagation.
>
> d.   Where anyone has actually achieved anything, even a simple-minded
> code test, he must be attacked, unhorsed, and mugged. Larry has built a
> whole new hobby on these dipsticks.
>
> e.   Whining endlessly about slights real or imagined. The object, of
> course, is to punish verbalization of what everyone in the vicinity
> already knows. In communities of the terminally incompetent the crushing
> line is "You think you are better than everyone else." When the response
> is, "I not only think it I know it" it is time for the riot squad.
> Equality is another word for nothing left to lose -- or more precisely
> never having gained it to begin with.
>
> I do not play the game nor do I tolerate it.
>
> Dick N�BK

BINGO!!! Right *ON* the head!

Dick W�EX

From 2361723381206566415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 21:54:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Gerald Schmitt <KK5YY@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 22:46:37 -0700
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <m3rdbtkp984vpd7kt5u7j9bvq5s4948hdm@4ax.com>
References: <ci5cbtkrjcth62foe3rl6rjjaop1d2q85g@4ax.com>

```
<996md8$1lg$0@208.206.142.67>
Reply-To: KK5YY@arrl.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.32.da.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 20 Mar 2001 05:46:42 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17583


Dick Carroll:
 memory=0
 reading comprehension=0
 misquoting wishing I had said something I did not=100
 Poor poor Dick, Dick can't read. Perhaps Jane will read it
 to him and explain what it means.

Sorry Dick that's all the time I have for you bye.




On 20 Mar 2001 04:30:32 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>Gerald Schmitt wrote:
>>
>> Perhaps some of the old time posters to this forum remember a rather
>> nasty fellow, who used to post here, by the name of Michael Deignan
>> from Rhode Island. Mike was a particularly nasty pro code test guy who
>> proved what a fraud he was by faking a Hawaii address to get a
>> KH6 call under the vanity program.
>
>
> Beg to correct your misapprension, Gerald, but Mike was definmitely NOT
>a pro code test guy, rather very definitely the exact opposite, as he
>stated many times here **while he castigated all the whiners**. You guys
>leap to offensive anytime anyone disagrees with you for any reason,
>labelling the sucker a "pro code test" a$$hole. After all, if he doesn't
>agree with you on everything, what else could he be???
>
>
>>
>> Well in addition to his fake Hawaii call he grabbed a bunch of club
>> calls at the same time. Several of us told him the FCC would catch
>> up with him and sure enough they did. Listen to this week's Rain
>> Report. What goes around comes around Mike thanks to the FCC for
>> the chuckle.
>
>
> Aw, Gee, how many did the Tucker family have squirrelled away? 40? 50?
```

```
>And Riley let them keep half of them! I doubt Mike has lost any sleep.



From 69620266440926496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 21:58:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gah6q52.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <arrl_letter@get_the_message> <3AB0CC63.948F079D@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xk32.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AB6DEFD.AA2DBACD@bctv.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 29
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 05:55:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.104
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985067748 206.58.44.104 (Tue, 20 Mar 2001
05:55:48 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 05:55:48 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18925
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10658 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13681
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17584

On Tue, 20 Mar 2001 04:39:25 +0000, Keith Wood wrote:

>> >Does this mean that you want to reduce the number of hams available
>> >for emergency communications?
>>
>>   No, he means that he wants the level of intelligence,
>>   articulation, and verbal persuasiveness of others lowered to
>>   his.
>
>I dunno, can a fern GET a license . . ?
```

Once a noted wealthy ham went on a safari to Africa and came
home with a monkey. He brought the monkey to a club meeting,
and cornered the ham class guru. "I'll give you a hundred
bucks to get my monkey ready to take the Tech test". The guru
demurred. "Five hundred bucks." More denial. "A thousand
bucks." Finally the guru said "OK, but it will take 18 months."
"Deal" said the ham with the monkey and departed.

"Hey, old buddy, what kind of a deal is that?" said the guru's
pal. "Look", the guru said "in 18 months anything can happen.
The ham can die. The monkey can die. And even if not, you
ought to see some of those folks that I have to prepare....."

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -3596681744710724014
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf8aeaa5dcacb51d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 22:01:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gah65r1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <990jnf$mqi$1@bob.news.rcn.net> <3ab3fe45.2060209@news.mindspring.com>
<3AB6C418.DA5641C9@ix.netcom.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Can someone explain antenna regs to me?
Lines: 20
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 05:55:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.104
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985067747 206.58.44.104 (Tue, 20 Mar 2001
05:55:47 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 05:55:47 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17585

On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 20:44:40 -0600, Robert Casey wrote:

>There are some advantages to "stealth" antennas. Like neighbors

>not knowing you as a ham exist, and thus can't blame you for any
>reception faults in their TV sets (even those that happen when you're
>not home).

How do you hide the rf that will come from the "stealth"
antenna? <ggg>

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 224466658275824282
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 22:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 20 Mar 2001 06:33:50 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <996tke$imj$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <3ab2d462.86531509@news.ptdprolog.net> <3AB2F0A7.D6A33B05@IEEE.org> <3ab3b91c.6660315@news.ptdprolog.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 985070030 19155 128.171.44.55 (20 Mar 2001 06:33:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Mar 2001 06:33:50 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17586 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18927 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10662 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13684

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>wrote:
>has HF also become
>such a religion that nobody can admit that VHF/UHF repeaters have some
>advantages over HF without repeaters?

What's currently achieved on V/UHF used to be done with MF groundwave.
Power aside, look at the coverage your local AM broadcast stations have
on MF.   73, Jeff KH6O

From -1480650064376747706
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 21:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 05:40:55 GMT
References: <3AB38F1E.9C5BA97B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Message-ID: <20010318004055.02999.00000503@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17479

In article <3AB38F1E.9C5BA97B@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>> After all, you can always manipulate the truth with wordmeistering as
>> you continously do here.
>
>Words mean what the standard of the language (the dictionary) says
>they mean. Sorry you disagree. Take your objections up with Random House.

Cecil:

Did you ever wonder why most words in the dictionary have more than
one definition? The reason is that a lot of words have more than one
definition, or "meaning." I own several dictionaries, and the smallest
of them, the Merriam-Webster Concise School and Office Dictionary,
assigns no fewer than six "meanings" to the verb form of the word
"force," i.e. "forced." Here they are:

force vb forced; forc-ing 1: COMPEL, COERCE 2: to cause through
necessity (forced to admit defeat) 3: to press, attain to, or effect against
resistance or inertia (~ your way through) 4: to raise or accelerate

to the utmost (~ the pace) 5: to produce with unnatural or unwilling effort (forced laughter) 6: to hasten (as in growth) by artificial means

The fact that there are several meanings to some words is because our language is a thing that is not at all carved in stone, as you would suggest. The meanings of words change, evolve over time, and differ in their context and application. You cannot hide behind an isolated definition that appears to prove some point you have yet to make.

Please notice that the meaning of *forced* that you are trying to attribute to Morse code testing requirements falls way down toward the bottom of the list. Apparently, you are trying to express the idea that US HF hams are being coerced to produce unnatural or unwilling effort when they prepare for, and take, the required code tests. I, and many others in this newsgroup, contend that this effort was taken on willingly, with a mature understanding that doing so would result in us attaining a practical and useful communications skill. You, by quibbling over this "force," are whining in different key signature, but it's still the same old no-coder song.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 8504927314702994263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 22:02:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 01:00:33 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <B6D9B930.6F1A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gaat260.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-9.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984895185 430323 206.74.49.9 (18 Mar 2001 05:59:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 05:59:45 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17482

Phil Kane wrote:

> It is possible for them to do what
> the FCC does (they wanna play, they
> gotta follow the rules of the game)
> - make external measurements of
> frequency and an estimate of apparent
> power for "probable cause" purposes,
> but this takes an experienced and
> qualified observer, df equipment,
> calibrated counters/receivers, and
> field strength equipment with
> calibrated antennas -- and a knowledge
> of what and how to apply all the "fudge
> factors" in the operator's favor when
> making those measurements.

By the way, Phil, I thought of something after reading Bills message (and thinking about yours). Is there anything in HR-2346 that requires the local laws to use the same enforcement standards as the FCC? The bill does say that "a state or local government may enact a statute or ordinance that prohibits a violation of the following regulations," but it doesn't specify how those laws are to be written or enforced.

Therefore, what prevents these laws from being written differently than existing FCC procedures? For example, why couldn't the local law be written to handle these situations more like the existing disturbing the peace laws instead of an FCC enforcement effort? Under those laws, a cop can enter the residence without a warrant and issue a citation or make an arrest.

In our radio situation, why couldn't the law be written the same way - the cop can enter the home to stop the immediate violation (after all, the interference is disturbing the neighbor's peace) and issue a citation, or remove illegal equipment, as the situation dictates.

Dwight Stewart

From -5747549179981573407
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 22:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 01:09:49 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <B6D9BB5D.6F1B%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010315140530.01910.00000795@nso-cu.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-9.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984895743 430955 206.74.49.9 (18 Mar 2001 06:09:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 06:09:03 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17483

Len Over 21 wrote:

> There were quite a few more than "25." :-)

I was exagerating to make a point, Len. I'm sure there a "little" were more than 25 also.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 7974913470276890094
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 22:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 01:19:58 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net>

```
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-9.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984896359 431310 206.74.49.9 (18 Mar 2001 06:19:19 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 06:19:19 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17484
```

Jon Bloom wrote:

> That's breathtakingly incorrect. The
> no-code Tech license came to be in
> 1990. The 2-meter band has been home
> to thousands of repeaters and a huge
> amount of activity since the late
> 1960's. I'm sure plenty of no-code
> Techs have participated in
> establishing and maintaining repeaters
> during the last decade, and more power
> to them. But the amount of activity at
> VHF and above prior to that was
> tremendous, and to say that VHF repeaters
> exist because of no-code Techs is just
> a complete distortion of history.

God, you guys can make a mountain out of a molehill. That wasn't meant to be taken literally (I didn't count the people). That was an obvious exageration intended to point out that there are more people now then there was years ago.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 1845145480284679374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 22:28:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
```

Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 01:27:46 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <B6D9BF91.6F1D%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
<3ab24d55$0$12825$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-9.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984896823 431581 206.74.49.9 (18 Mar 2001 06:27:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 06:27:03 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17485

Jim McLaughlin wrote:

> EXACTLY correct, sometimes people should
> check what their saying before putting
> it down in print.

And some people should learn how to recognize an obvious exageration used to make a point. Haven't you ever exagerated to make a point? Of course you have (everyone has). So why are you trying to make a big issue of this one?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -7436038760010668991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-17 22:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 01:43:50 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <B6D9C356.6F1E%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aa83c42.37005123@news2.dvol.com> <20010309083235.02087.00000168@nso-

de.aol.com> <3aaa5db9.9412876@news2.dvol.com> <B6D04980.4DE3%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3aaaeb3d.45643813@news2.dvol.com> <98gd47$o6g$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aad4427.199488481@news2.dvol.com> <98kgj5$46c$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3aaeae08.292133133@news2.dvol.com> <3AAF8217.5DB7@mobiletel.com>
<3aaff5d4.56501152@news2.dvol.com> <B6D63E6F.6BCD%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab15204.145643525@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-9.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 984897790 432379 206.74.49.9 (18 Mar 2001 06:43:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 06:43:10 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17486

Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

> Jeez, how "easy" can it get to be able
> to talk to yer boat from terra firma
> with a radio?

It sounds harder than it is, Brian. If you have a need, it is easy to get such a license (in fact, a lot easier than it was years ago).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -5503250592632817987
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 01:42:05 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 09:40:14 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com>
<5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com>
<zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.176
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.176
X-Trace: 18 Mar 2001 03:37:54 -0600, 64.24.19.176
Lines: 40
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net

```
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!panix!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17487

On Sat, 17 Mar 2001 17:35:06 -0600, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:


>> >
>> >If you really want it to say �V it is ALT 0181.
>> >
>> >Just my 2�. � this into your repertoire.
>> >
>> >Dick N�BK
>> >
>> I'll bite.  ALT 0108. Now what?
>> >
>> w3rv
>>
>
>If you type 0181 (I use the keypad) while holding down the ALT key, the mu
>should, as if by magic, appear on the screen.
>
>The � is ALT 0162
>The integral is 0131
>0176 gives �
>0177 yields a very useful �
>
>I use 0216 for �
>
>Dick N�BK
>
>
�
�
�
�
�
�
>
Well I'll just be damned, that's slicker 'n goose shit! Thankew
Richard!
>
w3rv


From -2993585550782752129
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 03:45:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 11:43:46 GMT
References: <20010318004056.02999.00000504@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Message-ID: <20010318064346.24224.00002136@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17488

>Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam

>In article <20010317151637.14735.00000831@nso-fl.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:

>>Use of certain four-letter words can be considered "abusive."

And you have used MOST of those "four letter words" in this forum at one time or another, Lennie.

>Go away, kid -- you bother me!

We should be so lucky, Larry. At least he has the good taste to get lost for a few days at a time. Nice to have a FEW days of civility.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 3499112846424845779
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 03:54:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 24

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 11:51:24 GMT
References: <20010318004054.02999.00000502@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
Message-ID: <20010318065124.24224.00002137@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17489

>Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 3/17/01 11:40 PM Central

>That's odd -- I have beachfront property in Minnesota I'm trying to
>get rid of!

Isn't Minnesota "The Land of 10,000 Lakes"...??? I'd think it wouldn't be too hard to find some beachfront property with all that water...!!!

=0

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 3840575892400715583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 05:26:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com> <5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com> <zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 56
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <iE2t6.621$J_6.270161@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 07:24:02 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.141
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 984921742 205.138.126.141 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 08:22:22 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 08:22:22 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17490

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com...
> On Sat, 17 Mar 2001 17:35:06 -0600, "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
>
> >> >
> >> >If you really want it to say �V it is ALT 0181.
> >> >
> >> >Just my 2�. � this into your repertoire.
> >> >
> >> >Dick N�BK
> >> >
> >> I'll bite. ALT 0108. Now what?
> >> >
> >> w3rv
> >>
> >
> >If you type 0181 (I use the keypad) while holding down the ALT key, the
mu
> >should, as if by magic, appear on the screen.
> >
> >The � is ALT 0162
> >The integral is 0131
> >0176 gives �
> >0177 yields a very useful �
> >
> >I use 0216 for �
> >
> >Dick N�BK
> >
> >
> �
> �
> �
> �
> �
> �
> >
> Well I'll just be damned, that's slicker 'n goose shit! Thankew

> Richard!
> >
> w3rv

Depends a bit on the fonts in use on both sides but these are fairly universal. As you probably have discovered by now trying 001-0256 most either do not exist or are pretty useless unless you are into umlauts, etc. � Mad Richard MMI

Now you can ponder the question of the ages: were you 'forced' to use them.

N�BK

From 1634974171160135767
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 05:36:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org> <B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 46
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <kN2t6.623$J_6.269837@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 07:33:38 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.141
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 984922320 205.138.126.141 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 08:32:00 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 08:32:00 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17491

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> > That's breathtakingly incorrect. The
> > no-code Tech license came to be in

> > 1990. The 2-meter band has been home
> > to thousands of repeaters and a huge
> > amount of activity since the late
> > 1960's. I'm sure plenty of no-code
> > Techs have participated in
> > establishing and maintaining repeaters
> > during the last decade, and more power
> > to them. But the amount of activity at
> > VHF and above prior to that was
> > tremendous, and to say that VHF repeaters
> > exist because of no-code Techs is just
> > a complete distortion of history.
>
>
>   God, you guys can make a mountain out of a molehill. That wasn't
meant to
> be taken literally (I didn't count the people). That was an obvious
> exageration intended to point out that there are more people now then
there
> was years ago.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

Mark Twain in his newspaper days wrote two major 'exagerations' that in
later years he came to regret. One of these, a spoof on the local
bureaucracy, involved the discovery of a petrified man and the convening
of a coroner's jury to investigate his demise with proper posturing. If
you read it closely, the petrified man was sitting cross-legged with both
hands open, left-thumb to nose and the right thumb against the left hand.
But, of course, no one ever read it that closely so he was getting
requests for 'more information' for most of the rest of his life.

There isn't a lot of difference between playing with humor and playing
with nitroglycerin

Dick

From -4849134652906275449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 07:36:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Date: 18 Mar 2001 15:34:43 GMT
References: <20010318065124.24224.00002137@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
Message-ID: <20010318103443.16620.00002812@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17494

In article <20010318065124.24224.00002137@ng-fx1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
>>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>>Date: 3/17/01 11:40 PM Central
>
>>That's odd -- I have beachfront property in Minnesota I'm trying to
>>get rid of!
>
>     Isn't Minnesota "The Land of 10,000 Lakes"...???  I'd think it wouldn't
>be
>too hard to find some beachfront property with all that water...!!!
>
>     =0
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Steve:

Do lakes have beaches?  I always thought that a true beach had to
have an ocean lapping up against it.  I will entertain any discussion on
this topic.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -3782395901758959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 07:36:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 15:34:43 GMT
References: <3AB432AA.9D2E5@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
```

Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Message-ID: <20010318103443.16620.00002811@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17493

In article <3AB432AA.9D2E5@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> BTW -- How does it feel to be inferior to me?
>
>Doesn't bother me - In one way or another, I'm inferior to everyone
>on this planet.

Cecil:

Well, at least you admit to your well-deserved inferiority complex.
A more superior person wouldn't admit to that. BTW, since you have
admitted to being inferior to me, don't forget to refer to me as "Mr. Roll"
or "Sir" in the future! If you play your cards right, I may occasionally
refer to you as "my good man."

73 de Larry, K3LT
One of Cecil's Superiors

From 7224778403915360139
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 07:42:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
<B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 10:41:38 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 984930102 209.140.206.201 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 10:41:42 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 10:41:42 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17495

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> God, you guys can make a mountain out of a molehill. That wasn't meant to be taken literally (I didn't count the people). That was an obvious exageration intended to point out that there are more people now then there was years ago.

I'm not trying to pick a fight, Dwight, I just think that what you said conveys the wrong impression, even if it's not what you meant. In spite of the obvious exaggeration, you seemed to be making the point that no-code Techs are responsible for the occupation of amateur VHF frequencies, which isn't so. I'm not even sure that "more people" is right. Back in the late 70's and early 80's -- before no-code Techs -- the "CB boom" resulted in a *lot* of newcomers into ham radio, including (coded) Techs, and the amount of activity on VHF/UHF repeaters was huge. I wouldn't be surprised to learn that it was greater then than it is now, in fact.

Jon

From 990553739216288429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 09:04:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: � � � � etc. (Was: 11 meters...)
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 09:03:08 -0800
Organization: RST Engineering
Message-ID: <jcq9btcork5o363s9uqdjnbbpih0brpbuo@4ax.com>
Reply-To: jim@rst-engr.com
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com> <5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com> <zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 22
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17496

kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

Yes indeedy. Back in the glory days of DOS we all had the high ASCII
characterset posted by the computer. Does anybody know where to get a complete
printed list of the stuff that works these days?

Some of the old ASCII characters remain (alt-171 for � and so on) and some
don't. I don't have a clue what the mapping is today.

->�
->�
->�
->�
->�
->�
->>
->Well I'll just be damned, that's slicker 'n goose shit! Thankew
->Richard!

Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.   WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

From -3880011112297696904
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 10:21:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!
news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB4FBCA.E26BABAE@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
Lines: 44
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 12:17:46 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17497

Phil Kane wrote:

> On 17 Mar 2001 03:13:04 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >>   The enabling legislation does not authorize the locals to do
> >>   this.  When it comes to delegation of authority (which this is)
> >>   if a power is not specifically permitted it's prohibited.
> >
> > Whatta ya bet that is misinterpreted far and wide?
>
>   Whatta ya wanna bet that anyone who is nailed on this can hire
>   me to beat the rap hands down?
>
>   Now you see why I am so dead set against giving "locals"
>   blanket authority to enforce radio regulations?  I would have
>   rather see that instead of saying that the FCC is available to
>   advise local law enforcement agents, that no department or agent
>   could enforces this pseudo-FCC stuff unless they were certified
>   by the FCC as qualified to do so.  I'd love to run and teach
>   that program.
>
> >>   The U S Courts of Appeal in several Circuits have all held one
> >>   after the other that the Comm Act in Section 302(b)
> >>   specifically grants to the FCC exclusive jursidiction over
> >>   interference and devices that cause interference.  This
> >>   jurisdiction was not delegated to the "locals".
> >
> >  I wonder how many appeals it'll take to clear that up?
>
>   One phone call to the city/county attorney should do it......if
>   they don't drop the matter at that point, they're open to big
>   damages under Section 1983 - violation of civil rights by a
>   state or local government under color of authority - in plain
>   language, when a local or state government attempts prosecution
>   when the law doesn't grant them that authority.  This is the
>   catch-all section under which most of the large post-arrest
>   settlements are made.

That's a federal thing, right? Is it affected by recent supreme court
rulings about not permitting citizens to sue the state government in
federal court?

john



From 3946237140039542370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 10:26:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB4FC65.8A7CD4C6@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
References: <20010318065124.24224.00002137@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010318103443.16620.00002812@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 36
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 12:20:21 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17498

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <20010318065124.24224.00002137@ng-fx1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson LPN) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
> >>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >>Date: 3/17/01 11:40 PM Central
> >
> >>That's odd -- I have beachfront property in Minnesota I'm trying to
> >>get rid of!
> >
> >     Isn't Minnesota "The Land of 10,000 Lakes"...???  I'd think it wouldn't
> >be
> >too hard to find some beachfront property with all that water...!!!
> >
> >     =0
> >
> >73
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve:
>
> Do lakes have beaches?  I always thought that a true beach had to
> have an ocean lapping up against it.  I will entertain any discussion on
> this topic.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
```

When I lived in Cleveland, we always called the sandy interface to Lake Erie the beach.

john

From -6425533540464967375
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 10:34:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!news.he.net!newsxfer.visi.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: 18 Mar 2001 18:31:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <992uuc$q54$0@208.206.142.105>
References: <3AB38E33.43429F69@IEEE.org> <20010318004058.02999.00000506@nso-mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17499

I think Cecil found some of that "one-hit $shit" he's been looking for.

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3AB38E33.43429F69@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >> If you don't believe that a ham who can work CW at 20wpm is more
> >> qualified than a ham who can't that's your problem.
> >
> >A more qualified ham is not evidence of a superior human being,
> >Dick. Witness yourself.
>
> Cecil:
>
> Woooah, Nellie! Where the heck did Dick say that a "superior
> ham" is a "superior human?" Is a person who can play baseball
> better than I can a "superior human" in comparison to me? Or

```
> did he simply apply himself when he was (in your mind) "forced"
> to practice his batting, pitching, and fielding skills in order to gain
> a place on his school or professional team?  Something's wrong
> with you lately, Cecil -- I suggest you get your spoiled grape
> juice tested for purity.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT


From -3712698188638172791
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 10:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 18 Mar 2001 18:34:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <992v3n$q54$1@208.206.142.105>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
<B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17500



Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jon Bloom wrote:
>
> > That's breathtakingly incorrect. The
> > no-code Tech license came to be in
> > 1990. The 2-meter band has been home
> > to thousands of repeaters and a huge
> > amount of activity since the late
> > 1960's. I'm sure plenty of no-code
> > Techs have participated in
> > establishing and maintaining repeaters
> > during the last decade, and more power
> > to them. But the amount of activity at
> > VHF and above prior to that was
> > tremendous, and to say that VHF repeaters
```

> > exist because of no-code Techs is just
> > a complete distortion of history.
>
> God, you guys can make a mountain out of a molehill. That wasn't meant to
> be taken literally (I didn't count the people). That was an obvious
> exageration intended to point out that there are more people now then there
> was years ago.

Why is a mountain a molehill only when it's your mountain?

```
From -5864900863352493052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 10:41:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 74
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <li7t6.551$G64.24690@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 18:40:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 984940817 209.204.160.101 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 10:40:17
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 10:40:17 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17501
```

Interesting discussion Phil!

The local governments, as you know involving Sonoma County, CA, have already found a way to de facto regulate radio transmission though the use of zone ordinances. The "set-up" is easy...they allow no antennas without a permit, and they issue no permits unless the "transmission facility" meets all FCC requirements (as well as conditions imposed by the ordinance) and has all necessary licenses and permits. They require that at all times such facilities conform to FCC rules, and if not, they can revoke the permit.

Thus a legally operated CB station (if any indeed exist in this country!) could meet the initial requirements and get a permit, but if it were found to be operating out of band or with illegal power, the permit could be revoked and the antenna ordered removed under normal abatement procedures. Such abatements are hard to overturn, as witnessed in the case involving an FM Broadcast station in...I believe Idaho. That case has gone on for some 10 years, twice to the state Supreme Court, and the station has lost at every venue. I think the tower has been removed.

The FCC has been asked on numerous occasions to overrule such over-reaching ordinances, but other than PRB-1 which only covers licensed Amateur Radio Service antennas, they have consistently failed to act.

Ron Castro
N6AHA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On 17 Mar 2001 03:13:04 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >>   The enabling legislation does not authorize the locals to do
> >>   this. When it comes to delegation of authority (which this is)
> >>   if a power is not specifically permitted it's prohibited.
> >
> > Whatta ya bet that is misinterpreted far and wide?
>
>   Whatta ya wanna bet that anyone who is nailed on this can hire
>   me to beat the rap hands down?
>
>   Now you see why I am so dead set against giving "locals"
>   blanket authority to enforce radio regulations? I would have
>   rather see that instead of saying that the FCC is available to
>   advise local law enforcement agents, that no department or agent
>   could enforces this pseudo-FCC stuff unless they were certified
>   by the FCC as qualified to do so. I'd love to run and teach
>   that program.
>
> >>   The U S Courts of Appeal in several Circuits have all held one
> >>   after the other that the Comm Act in Section 302(b)
> >>   specifically grants to the FCC exclusive jursidiction over
> >>   interference and devices that cause interference. This
> >>   jurisdiction was not delegated to the "locals".
> >
> > I wonder how many appeals it'll take to clear that up?

>
> One phone call to the city/county attorney should do it......if
> they don't drop the matter at that point, they're open to big
> damages under Section 1983 - violation of civil rights by a
> state or local government under color of authority - in plain
> language, when a local or state government attempts prosecution
> when the law doesn't grant them that authority. This is the
> catch-all section under which most of the large post-arrest
> settlements are made.
>
> --
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>
>
>
>

From 709039690493581600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 12:30:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaehqe1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
<B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 1
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984947271 206.58.44.20 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:51 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:51 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17502

From -8148205806361815649
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 12:31:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: =?iso-8859-1?Q?=BC=20=BD=20=B0=20=B5?= etc. (Was: 11 meters...)
Date: 18 Mar 2001 20:27:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <9935mt$fht$0@208.207.71.173>
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com> <5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com> <zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com> <jcq9btcork5o363s9uqdjnbbpih0brpbuo@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17503

Jim Weir wrote:
>
> kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
> shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
> Yes indeedy. Back in the glory days of DOS we all had the high ASCII
> characterset posted by the computer. Does anybody know where to get a complete
> printed list of the stuff that works these days?
>

> Some of the old ASCII characters remain (alt-171 for � and so on) and some
> don't. I don't have a clue what the mapping is today.
>
> ->�
> ->�
> ->�
> ->�
> ->�
> ->�
> ->>\

I don't have a list but here's some I "hacked" up, besides Dick's contribution...

ALT key before each number

� 0153�
� 0169 �
� 0174 �
� 0177 �
� 0181 �
� 0188 �
� 0189 �
� 0190 �
� 0191 �
� 0216 �
� 0215 �
� 0247 �
� 0248 �

Dick W�EX

From -6242929675910672584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 12:34:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaei4c2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>

```
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AB4FBCA.E26BABAE@toast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 28
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984947276 206.58.44.20 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:56
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:56 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17504

On Sun, 18 Mar 2001 12:17:46 -0600, John O'Flaherty wrote:

>>   One phone call to the city/county attorney should do it......if
>>   they don't drop the matter at that point, they're open to big
>>   damages under Section 1983 - violation of civil rights by a
>>   state or local government under color of authority - in plain
>>   language, when a local or state government attempts prosecution
>>   when the law doesn't grant them that authority.  This is the
>>   catch-all section under which most of the large post-arrest
>>   settlements are made.
>
>That's a federal thing, right? Is it affected by recent supreme court
>rulings about not permitting citizens to sue the state government in
>federal court?

  Not the same.  The recent case was over whether Federal
  private sector employment and handicap discrinmination laws
  applied to states as employers.  1983 specifically deals with
  the states (and locals) doing an action under color of
  authority where they have no jurisdiction to do so.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From 3543180591849118560
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 12:36:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaelu74.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-
cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com>
<5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com>
<zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com>
<jcq9btcork5o363s9uqdjnbbpih0brpbuo@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: =?iso-8859-1?q?Re:_=BC_=BD_=B0_=B5_etc._(Was:_11_meters...)?=
Lines: 1
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984947275 206.58.44.20 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:55
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:55 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17505
```

```
From -2548216027269233612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 12:36:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaeljn3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
```

```
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<li7t6.551$G64.24690@typhoon.sonic.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 20
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984947274 206.58.44.20 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:54
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:54 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17506

On Sun, 18 Mar 2001 18:40:17 GMT, Ron wrote:

>The FCC has been asked on numerous occasions to overrule such over-reaching
>ordinances, but other than PRB-1 which only covers licensed Amateur Radio
>Service antennas, they have consistently failed to act.

  Hi Ron, long time no hear.  Welcome to the fray.......

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP

  From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
  Beaverton (Washington County), Oregon

  Retired and Loving Every Minute of It
  Work was getting in the way of my hobbies
```

```
From 7906201131381931980
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 12:36:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
```

```
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaehch0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gaat260.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D9B22B.6F18%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 42
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984947272 206.58.44.20 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:52
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:27:52 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17507
```

On Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:30:38 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>
>> It is possible for them to do what the FCC
>> does (they wanna play, they gotta follow
>> the rules of the game) - make external
>> measurements of frequency and an estimate
>> of apparent power for "probable cause"
>> purposes, but this takes an experienced
>> and qualified observer, df equipment,
>> calibrated counters/receivers, and field
>> strength equipment with calibrated antennas
>> -- and a knowledge of what and how to
>> apply all the "fudge factors" in the
>> operator's favor when making those
>> measurements.

>
>
> I suspect you're slightly overstating the enforcement requirements. Since
>most of these cases would be minor (similar to "disturbing the peace"),
>lawyers familiar with the communications laws, such as yourself, are not
>going to be in that courtroom to argue such issues.

As I said "if they wanna' play, they gotta' follow the rules
of the game". IOW, if they want to make the same charge that
the FCC would make, they must employ the same standards and
procedures that the FCC would use.

I'll argue that in any court - and if there's a hole in their
probable cause, so much the better.

The good burghers of Beloit didn't think of that before pushing
Sen. Finegold to introduce that legislation.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -6768897071466194317
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 12:42:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
feeder.kornet.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: 18 Mar 2001 20:39:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <9936eb$hfi$0@208.207.71.173>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>

```
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17508



Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 17 Mar 2001 03:13:04 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >>   The enabling legislation does not authorize the locals to do
> >>   this.  When it comes to delegation of authority (which this is)
> >>   if a power is not specifically permitted it's prohibited.
> >
> > Whatta ya bet that is misinterpreted far and wide?
>
>   Whatta ya wanna bet that anyone who is nailed on this can hire
>   me to beat the rap hands down?
>
>   Now you see why I am so dead set against giving "locals"
>   blanket authority to enforce radio regulations?  I would have
>   rather see that instead of saying that the FCC is available to
>   advise local law enforcement agents, that no department or agent
>   could enforces this pseudo-FCC stuff unless they were certified
>   by the FCC as qualified to do so.  I'd love to run and teach
>   that program.
>
> >>   The U S Courts of Appeal in several Circuits have all held one
> >>   after the other that the Comm Act in Section 302(b)
> >>   specifically grants to the FCC exclusive jursidiction over
> >>   interference and devices that cause interference.  This
> >>   jurisdiction was not delegated to the "locals".
> >
> >  I wonder how many appeals it'll take to clear that up?
>
>   One phone call to the city/county attorney should do it......if
>   they don't drop the matter at that point, they're open to big
>   damages under Section 1983 - violation of civil rights by a
>   state or local government under color of authority - in plain
>   language, when a local or state government attempts prosecution
>   when the law doesn't grant them that authority.  This is the
>   catch-all section under which most of the large post-arrest
>   settlements are made.



 Yeah, but..... I keep having this nagging reminder from long ago when I
```

sat through a few law classes, some principle of "abandonment" or some such, when an authority consistantly and deliberately refuses or declines to enforce a statute or rule, something about a defense based on the fact that the law/rule was vacated "in practice" and is thus null and void.... Just how long can a rule stand as legal when untold numbers of gross violations are consistantly and flagrantly ignored by those whose responsibility is to enforce it, regardless of the reason? Isn't there a judge or appeals court up there somewhere who will say "Enough!"? I'm surprised that someone hasn't raised this before now.

Dick W0EX

```
From -2330378679629812312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 12:46:06 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:36:42 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab51b59.55054908@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org> <3ab2d462.86531509@news.ptdprolog.net>
<3AB2F0A7.D6A33B05@IEEE.org> <98utqm$jfo$0@208.206.142.103>
<3AB38D57.1296591A@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.177
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.177
X-Trace: 18 Mar 2001 14:34:23 -0600, 64.24.18.177
Lines: 16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!panix!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17509
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18831 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10551
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13590
```

On Sat, 17 Mar 2001 10:14:15 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>reason for them to want to upgrade. A few of them who have
>moved away from the Valley of the Sun have become interested
>in HF.
>
So the repeater craps out, then what? 75m HTs is what. HF doesn't need
infrastucture to get the job done.
>
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv

From 7913695307196040640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 12:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "WW - KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 15:40:27 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <9937bv$shm$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <20010318065124.24224.00002137@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010318103443.16620.00002812@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-orl-02-11.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 984948927 29238 209.43.67.75 (18 Mar 2001 20:55:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Mar 2001 20:55:27 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17510

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010318103443.16620.00002812@nso-ch.aol.com...
> In article <20010318065124.24224.00002137@ng-fx1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson LPN) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
> >>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

```
> >>Date: 3/17/01 11:40 PM Central
> >
> >>That's odd -- I have beachfront property in Minnesota I'm trying to
> >>get rid of!
> >
> >     Isn't Minnesota "The Land of 10,000 Lakes"...???  I'd think it wouldn't
> >be
> >too hard to find some beachfront property with all that water...!!!
> >
> >     =0
> >
> >73
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve:
>
> Do lakes have beaches?  I always thought that a true beach had to
> have an ocean lapping up against it.  I will entertain any discussion on
> this topic.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

It seems to me that lakes can have beaches but only oceans can have "Oceanfront
Property"
Maybe that's what you have in Minnesota instead of beachfront property.

--
'73' From KB9TMP


"Only two things are infinite,
the universe and human stupidity,
and I'm not sure about the former."
-- Albert Einstein

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
http://www.deja.com






From 6667952131752331457
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 12:52:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 18 Mar 2001 20:45:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9936os$hfi$1@208.207.71.173>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<3AB10057.93AFD9CC@IEEE.org> <3ab2d462.86531509@news.ptdprolog.net>
<3AB2F0A7.D6A33B05@IEEE.org> <98utqm$jfo$0@208.206.142.103>
<3AB38D57.1296591A@IEEE.org> <3ab51b59.55054908@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17511
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18833 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10552
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13591
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> On Sat, 17 Mar 2001 10:14:15 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >reason for them to want to upgrade. A few of them who have
> >moved away from the Valley of the Sun have become interested
> >in HF.
> >
> So the repeater craps out, then what? 75m HTs is what. HF doesn't need
> infrastucture to get the job done.

Aw comeon Brian, you kiljoy... None of this tech stuff ever craps out, haven't you heard? And the local authorities have it all under complete and total control, every time, all the time. Right.

Dick

```
From 1001771729787547494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b831c0f690650755
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 13:00:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
204.127.161.3!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!
bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: LAPD kicked off ham band.
In-Reply-To: <20010318085201.23268.00000009@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103182038520.18411-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103162201050.14641-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010318085201.23268.00000009@ng-fm1.aol.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 44
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:56:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.37
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 984948969 12.80.44.37 (Sun, 18 Mar
2001 20:56:09 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 20:56:09 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17512

What I have right now on 2405.000 MHz is cordless phones. I know that they're phones because right after the signal starts, I hear DTMF in clusters of either 7 or 11 tones, then talking (sometimes ringing first). These phones are using Wide-band-FM modulation, while my radios use narrow band (+/- 10kHz; +/-12.5kHz off the center frequency and my radio doesn't hear the signal). Their signals appear to be spread over 1.5 Mhz when they come up, and not always in the same place. Sometimes, I hear them on the proposed output frequency for my planned repeater of 2425.000 MHz too. Some days, this doesn't happen, while on other days, I've counted over 10 occurances. This could be from different phones.
I don't have RDF equipment (and even if I did, it would probably not be on 13cm).

It has gotten to the point where I have considered writing my local FCC office for guidance, asking specifically what my legal obligations (if any) are in this situation: "IF a part 15 device is operating on a frequency that happens to be the input frequency of an automatically controlled station, does the control operator of that station have any responsibility in the retransmitted signal? Generally, in the amateur service, the control operator does, but under part 15 rules, the part 15 device operator has full responsibility not to cause any interference with other radio services. [Follow-up:] Is the mere presence of a signal that causes the automatic station to activate considered "interference" per part 15? [PS: Simply placing a PL/CTCSS tone as an activation requirement is not sufficient - there have been times where the phone's signal has broken the squelch. Apparently, the low tones are NOT filtered out of its audio.]

My system is sensitive enough (about +18dB just in the Drake 2880 downconverter) that it could be seeing a cordless phone from up to 5-7 miles away, let alone the increased sensitivity that it will have when in it's final configuration (pre-amp of another +13dB, plus using a base antenna of +15dB instead of the current mobile of only +7dBi, and mounting it on a tower, instead of BELOW roofline inside my house). Details at http://k6bde.home.att.net/repeater/.

Locally, I have talked with Fried Heyn, WA6WZO, who is in our local ARRL staff. Surprisingly enough, I did not seem to get any interference that I could identify as coming from LAPD. I'm about 23 miles west of Downtown, due north of the eastern edge of Santa Monica, up in the hills ("Brentwood").

On 18 Mar 2001, W1RFI wrote:
> ARRL is looking for some specific reports of actual harmful interference to
> 2.4 GHz amateur operation. Can you provide any details? Let's talk about
> how we can get this to the FCC.

```
From 4176803745792463876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 13:26:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AB52411.EC0200AC@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 15:09:37 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
References: <3AB38F1E.9C5BA97B@IEEE.org> <20010318004055.02999.00000503@nso-
mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984949980
64.240.47.81 (18 Mar 2001 15:13:00 -0600)
Lines: 47
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
```

out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17514

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> You cannot hide behind an isolated
> definition that appears to prove some point you have yet to make.

Larry, you have it exactly backwards. It is precisely because there are multiple definitions of "forced" that I can choose the one I want and make a statement using that definition. I choose the valid definition I wanted and made that statement about three years ago. It is no less valid today than it was then.

> Please notice that the meaning of *forced* that you are trying to
> attribute to Morse code testing requirements falls way down toward
> the bottom of the list.

That doesn't matter. It's my statement so I get to choose the definition from the list of valid definitions. All you can do is ask what I mean by "forced" in that context at which time I quote the dictionary definition that I am using.

> You,
> by quibbling over this "force," are whining in different key signature,
> but it's still the same old no-coder song.

This discussion, in *concept*, has nothing to do with Morse code. I have very carefully made a very generalized conceptual statement: In return for privileges granted, citizens are required by circumstances (forced) to meet the requirements enFORCED by the government. That's what governments do. This applies to driver's licenses, pilot's licenses, home improvement permits, commercial wiring standards, commercial construction standards, amateur radio written exams, amateur radio Morse code exams, and thousands of other requirements, laws, rules, and regulations that are enFORCED by the government.

The concept applies to all privileges granted by the government in return for meeting the requirements. Morse code testing is absolutely not unique.

In order to be granted driving privileges for public roads, you and I were required by circumstances (forced) to pass a driver's test. Are you going to argue with me about that? Conceptually, it is the same as the Morse code test. The difference is that driving is not part of your religion.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5688886841670310683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 13:26:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AB5246C.BB2D91FC@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 15:11:08 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com>
<5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com>
<zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984950070
64.240.47.81 (18 Mar 2001 15:14:30 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!news.he.net!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17513

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> Well I'll just be damned, that's slicker 'n goose shit! Thankew
> Richard!

Only one problem - it doesn't work with Apple computers.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -9146994424955834726
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 13:30:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AB52553.39A8AD79@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 15:14:59 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: =?iso-8859-1?Q?=BC=20=BD=20=B0=20=B5?= etc. (Was: 11 meters...)
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com>
<5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com>
<zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com>
<jcq9btcork5o363s9uqdjnbbpih0brpbuo@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984950302 64.240.47.81 (18 Mar 2001 15:18:22 -0600)
Lines: 47
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!colt.net!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!news.csl-gmbh.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17515

Jim Weir wrote:
>
> kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
> shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
> Yes indeedy. Back in the glory days of DOS we all had the high ASCII
> characterset posted by the computer. Does anybody know where to get a complete
> printed list of the stuff that works these days?

Here's the ones I've found but note they do not work for Apple computers.

� 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Alt �13x   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �

Alt �14x   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �

Alt �15x   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �

Alt �16x   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �

Alt �17x   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �

Alt �18x   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �

Alt �19x   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �

Alt �20�x   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �

Alt �21x   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �

Alt �22x   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �

Alt �23x   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �

Alt �24x   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �   �

Alt �25x   �   �   �   �   �   �

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8239416111321192578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 13:45:23 PST
Message-ID: <3AB528FA.4783690B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 15:30:34 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <3AB38E33.43429F69@IEEE.org> <20010318004058.02999.00000506@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.146
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984951239
64.240.47.146 (18 Mar 2001 15:33:59 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17516

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Woooah, Nellie!  Where the heck did Dick say that a "superior
> ham" is a "superior human?"

It wasn't Dick who said it, Larry, it was you. We went round and
round about your statement and you wouldn't budge. You said you
were a superior *person* to me because you passed a 20wpm exam
and I didn't.

No, I don't have a copy of the posting and dejanews is screwed
up so I can't prove it but I'm sure many remember that exchange
about a year ago.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From 3225776241063896985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 13:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!zeus.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!
news-out.visi.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
```

Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 13:35:06 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9939ke$oqd$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AB0DA28.51A9EC5D@IEEE.org> <20010316214733.12960.00002756@nso-fi.aol.com> <3AB2F3D6.59DC1B25@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-60.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17517

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AB2F3D6.59DC1B25@IEEE.org...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Was I
> > also "forced" to buy all the stuff that goes into my ham shack, and was
> > I "forced" to use it on the air?
>
> Nope, you are not required by circumstances (forced) to buy any ham
> equipment and you are not required by circumstances (forced) to use
> it. However, you, as a US HF ham, were required by circumstances
> (forced) to pass a Morse code exam.

Actually, he wasn't forced by the circumstances of government regulations,
but he may have been forced by other circumstances such as the desire to
operate and the inability to do same without equipment.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -4305728765489766647
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 13:52:28 PST
Message-ID: <3AB52AAF.F5EB6240@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 15:37:51 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <3AB432AA.9D2E5@IEEE.org> <20010318103443.16620.00002811@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.146

X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984951676 64.240.47.146 (18 Mar 2001 15:41:16 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17518

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Well, at least you admit to your well-deserved inferiority complex.
> A more superior person wouldn't admit to that.

A man's gotta know his limitations. I am truly inferior, Larry, it's no complex. I'm even inferior to a paramecium. Did you know that a paramecium that is living today is virtually the same paramecium that lived 1000 years ago. Parameciums are essentially created by cloning so living parameciums today have been virtually immortal for millions of years.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2479697547723618615
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 13:58:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AB52C11.CAFD2A2A@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 15:43:45 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
References: <20010318065124.24224.00002137@ng-fx1.aol.com> <20010318103443.16620.00002812@nso-ch.aol.com>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.146
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984952029 64.240.47.146 (18 Mar 2001 15:47:09 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17519

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Do lakes have beaches? I always thought that a true beach had to
> have an ocean lapping up against it.

Lake Tahoe has official signs pointing to its beaches.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5707929789476093824
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 13:58:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaepd16.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133> <3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157> <3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>

<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <98uko0$plu$0@208.206.142.103>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gad1jf0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9936eb$hfi$0@208.207.71.173>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 27
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 21:54:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984952464 206.58.44.20 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 21:54:24 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 21:54:24 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17520

On 18 Mar 2001 20:39:39 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> Yeah, but..... I keep having this nagging reminder from long ago when I
>sat through a few law classes, some principle of "abandonment" or some
>such, when an authority consistantly and deliberately refuses or
>declines to
>enforce a statute or rule, something about a defense based on the fact
>that the law/rule was vacated "in practice" and is thus null and
>void.... Just how long can a rule stand as legal when untold numbers of
>gross violations are consistantly and flagrantly ignored by those whose
>responsibility is to enforce it, regardless of the reason? Isn't there a
>judge or appeals court up there somewhere who will say "Enough!"? I'm
>surprised that someone hasn't raised this before now.

The FCC does enough amateur and unlicensed "CB" enforcement
cases to avoid such a charge, and they keep meticulous records
of what they do to show that they do work such cases........just
not in the volume and with the notoriety that you or I would want
them to do.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -3751583279174707571
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 13:59:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaeopa5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-
cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com>
<5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com>
<zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com>
<jcq9btcork5o363s9uqdjnbbpih0brpbuo@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gaelu74.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: =?iso-8859-1?q?Re:_=BC_=BD_=B0_=B5_etc._(Was:_11_meters...)?=
Lines: 22
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 21:54:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 984952464 206.58.44.20 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 21:54:24
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 21:54:24 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17521
```

Let's hear that again........

On Sun, 18 Mar 2001 09:03:08 -0800, Jim Weir wrote:

>Yes indeedy. Back in the glory days of DOS we all had the high ASCII
>characterset posted by the computer. Does anybody know where to get a
>complete printed list of the stuff that works these days?

I just hit Shift-F12 on my text editor and the table appears.
Of course, it's a different table for each CodePage / CharSet.

Your Alt-181 may be different from my Alt-181. Here's mine: [�]
A vertical line with an equal sign in mid-point pointing left.
I use CodePage 862, CharSet ISO 8859-1 (Latin 1)

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -7247725827986964181
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 14:01:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AB52C69.B1A1BF1A@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 15:45:13 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
References: <20010318065124.24224.00002137@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010318103443.16620.00002812@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AB4FC65.8A7CD4C6@toast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.146
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 984952118
64.240.47.146 (18 Mar 2001 15:48:38 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17522
```

John O'Flaherty wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Do lakes have beaches? I always thought that a true beach had to
> > have an ocean lapping up against it. I will entertain any discussion on
> > this topic.

> When I lived in Cleveland, we always called the sandy interface to Lake Erie the
> beach.

Larry should have said, "Ocean Front Property" or "Sea Beach Property".
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6513983043962188720
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 14:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!newsxfer.visi.net!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 39
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 22:26:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 984954366 24.131.233.143 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001
17:26:06 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 17:26:06 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17523
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18838 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10556
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13603
```

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103...

> No, Leland, no one is "bugged" about that. Many of us have dedicated a
> huge amount of our personal time to help make that happen, in case you
> didn't know what a VE does.

All it takes is one counter example to nullify that argument "no one", and
Richard has provided one.

Quoted from a Richard McCollum post in rec.radio.cb

"Pecking orders come in several flavors. I will make no bones here that I
do not consider a Codeless Tech to be a full-fledged member of the
fraternity and in fact I refused to administer them as a VE"

> What many are "bugged" about is the lowering of requirements,
> especially for the highest class of license. Nothing more.

That maybe true, but for some I think it goes deeper than that.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

```
From -1647724645724130160
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 14:38:09 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 42
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <MIat6.759$ei1.2552741@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 16:35:14 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.153.216.174
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 984954796 206.153.216.174 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 17:33:16
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 17:33:16 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17524
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18839 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10557
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13604
```

```
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103...
> >   No, Leland, no one is "bugged" about that. Many of us have dedicated
a
> > huge amount of our personal time to help make that happen, in case you
> > didn't know what a VE does.
>
> All it takes is one counter example to nullify that argument "no one",
and
> Richard has provided one.
>
> Quoted from a Richard McCollum post in rec.radio.cb
>
> "Pecking orders come in several flavors.  I will make no bones here that
I
>  do not consider a Codeless Tech to be a full-fledged member of the
>  fraternity and in fact I refused to administer them as a VE"
>
> >  What many are "bugged" about is the lowering of requirements,
> > especially for the highest class of license. Nothing more.
>
```

> That maybe true, but for some I think it goes deeper than that.
>
> --
> 73's,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

1. You are pretty badly out of context but I meant it then and mean it now.

2. I do not participate in cross-posts. Pick a spot and I will be happy to take you on.

Dick

From -7175555943368836908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 14:50:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 22:46:31 GMT
References: <20010318064346.24224.00002136@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Message-ID: <20010318174631.24823.00001045@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17525

In article <20010318064346.24224.00002136@ng-fx1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>>Use of certain four-letter words can be considered "abusive."
>
>   And you have used MOST of those "four letter words" in this forum at one
>time or another, Lennie.

CODE is a four-letter word, Steebie...

From -6056292607454462897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 14:52:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 22:46:32 GMT
References: <20010318004056.02999.00000504@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Message-ID: <20010318174632.24823.00001046@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17526

In article <20010318004056.02999.00000504@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>>>planet Earth. I'm sure there must be an agenda hidden in all that
>>>quibbling somewhere, and I'm not willing to wait until July to finally
>>>pry it out of you. Give. Now. Please! Shit or get off the pot!
>>>
>>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>>
>>Use of certain four-letter words can be considered "abusive."
>>
>>Careful or the literary law (Jam Kanelly) will come down on you
>>and demand retractions and apologies...
>
>"Mommie, mommie -- Mr. Larry said a bad word!"

CODE is a four-letter word, Larrie...

From 3212011697057509561
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 15:30:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 23:24:40 GMT
References: <20010318174631.24823.00001045@nso-cd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Message-ID: <20010318182440.24161.00002204@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17527

>Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/18/01 4:46 PM Central

>CODE is a four-letter word, Steebie...

I am impressed, Lennie....Taht fourteen years of night school paid off!

Congratulations!

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -6637668406610782731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2dba86105fb147ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 15:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Mar 2001 23:38:17 GMT
References: <20010318174632.24823.00001046@nso-cd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
Message-ID: <20010318183817.24161.00002206@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17528

>Subject: Re: Where Cecil Explains The Relevance of "Force"
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/18/01 4:46 PM Central

>CODE is a four-letter word, Larrie...

By the way, Lennie...Saw a box full of "Ham Radio" magazines at a ham fest this weekend.

Notable was the September 1979 issue wherein one Leonard H Anderson had an article published.

Of course I KNOW it wasn't YOUR article, Lennie, since there was not a single profanity nor slur against Amatuer Radio or Amateur Radio operators in it......

As a matter of fact, I don't remember seeing the words "Amateur Radio" anywhere in the peice. How odd.........

Of course it was a (theoretically) enlightening piece, but then anything that doesn't require interaction with other human beings doesn't tend to offend anyone.

The OTHER notable point was that he was selling them for $.25 at opening, and was offering them free midway thru the fest. I imagine that was symptomatic of the rag's failure in the first place.

From -7889988235844617930
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 16:55:48 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 00:48:14 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab55692.70216759@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ab05066.79688699@news2.dvol.com> <20010315140533.01910.00000799@nso-cu.aol.com> <3ab199ec.164054900@news2.dvol.com> <5Uws6.50$ei1.251806@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab3d09c.63589329@news2.dvol.com> <zuSs6.444$ei1.1342660@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ab48084.15415154@news2.dvol.com> <iE2t6.621$J_6.270161@nntp1.onemain.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.98
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.98
X-Trace: 18 Mar 2001 18:45:53 -0600, 64.24.17.98
Lines: 22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17529

On Sun, 18 Mar 2001 07:24:02 -0600, "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

>
>Depends a bit on the fonts in use on both sides but these are fairly
>universal. As you probably have discovered by now trying 001-0256 most
>either do not exist or are pretty useless unless you are into umlauts,
>etc. � Mad Richard MMI
>
Heh. Is there a list of the things?
>
>Now you can ponder the question of the ages: were you 'forced' to use
>them.
>
Yup, I forced myself to use 'em. Just like I forced myself to learn
the code.
>
>N�BK
>
w3rv

From 2355679625135346618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 18:18:08 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 02:15:54 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab51197.52556799@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ab28985.225394853@news2.dvol.com>
<20010317123300.12822.00000602@nso-fj.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.96
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.96
X-Trace: 18 Mar 2001 20:13:35 -0600, 64.24.240.96
Lines: 148
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!4.24.21.153.MISMATCH!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17530

On 17 Mar 2001 17:33:00 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>>Beasts! One of the ultimate boat anchors. Had ears galore but weren't
>>much fun to operate the way hams use rcvrs.
>
>Agreed! My point was not to recommend them, but simply to show that the usable
>limit of HF receiver sensitivity was reached many decades ago. Many hollowstate

>designs using 40 year old technology are still very competitive in dynamic
>range, selectivity and sensitivity.
>
I agree with respect to sensitivity and front end dynamic range (at
this particular point in history) but I don't agree that the
selectivity of the 390 is on a par with any number of even elderly
solid state ham xcvrs. When you start plugging cascaded INRAD filters
into the more serious ham xcvrs those xcvrs will hose 390 selectivity
big time. Crank in IF DSP tricks on top of the filters and the 390
selectivity comes up something I hope to hell I never have to deal
with again.
>
>>test. I have no reason so far not to rank this little mobile rig's
>>front end right up there with the 75S-3B's front end. Absolute fact.
>
>Trickle-down effect. The technology developed for the top-end sets winds up in
>the cheaper rigs eventually. Just like some of the work done to develop the IF
>system of the $700 SX-88 in 1954 wound up in the much-cheaper S-76, SX-96,
>SX-100 and SX-101 a few years later. Collins is an even better example.
>
Fuzzy discussion. My view is that issues like incremental improvements
in dynamic range are the result of ongoing device development efforts
tubes and solid state types alike rather than via your trickle down
from older radios concept. Either the devices are out there or they
ain't and that doesn't have much if anything to do with the cost of
the radio. It has a lot to do with the newer radios taking advantage
of the latest state of the art when they get put together.
>
So now 54 freakin' years after transistors were invented their
distant progeny have finally caught up with tube front-end
performance. You might note that it did not take even close to 54
years for tubes to get as good as they got.
>
I think we're at a sort of crossroads now, continuing solid state
device development work will no doubt result in the availablity of
devices which will have dynamic ranges far better than any of the
hollowstate devices can touch with a ten foot pole. When that factoid
becomes more obvious the boatanchor toob radio lovers are just gonna
have to adjust to being patted on their diehard heads as far as being
able to claim that the nitty-gritty strong signal handling performance
of their old radios is still state-of-the-art.
>>>
>>The problem was local electrical noise which grabbed the agc. I
>>cranked in the attenuator which tamed the over-aggressive acg which
>>then allowed me to hear Frenchman.
>
>All that technology and no agc off switch?
>
Believe it. I can toggle between agc fast slow but not fast/slow/off.
Can't imagine why but except for the FT-817 I haven't been able to
locate any store-bought xcvr out there in the under $1K class which
provides the "off" option.
>>>

It's a dark conspiracy to drive cw ops nuts . . .
>
>>state rcvrs. HRO-500: Whatta crapper!
>
>R-1051 is a good example of that problem on the military side. Great readout,
>super stable, small, even had a matching transceiver version. Terrible dynamic
>range, though.
>
What's an "R-1051"??
>
>>front ends have arrived. When you add all the additional tricks the
>>new radios do there is no contest and it can only get more so.
>
>Yes and no.
>
>Depending on the op and the tricks, many of them can be a waste of time and
>technology.
>
The op doesn't have much to say about front end performance, it comes
with the radio. .
>
>How many of those tricks never get used because they are hard to
>access?
>
Huge variable. Depending on the radio and the op the answer can range
from all to very few of the tricks. In the case of the big desktop
radios with slews of tricks available very few ops use all the
tricks. So far I haven't run into a situation where I can't quickly
access the controls normally used for for real-time operating.
>
>In a typical SS contest, the game for most of us is to slowly tune
>through the band looking for new ones. Most of the tricks don't help with that.
>
I have the same level of operating convenience and contols access with
both my Kenwoods that I had with my Drake & Collins boatanchors. The
TS-50 is much easier to operate than a KWM-2A. Try cw with a KWM-2
sometime. Plus the 50 is a compact mobile rig. The 940 has 15
old-fashoioned rotary knob controls. How many do ya need to run in SS?
Plus they have new capabilities like automatically variable tuning
rates, passband shaping, auto-following notch filters, all nine MF/HF
bands, voice synthesizers, computer interfaces, direct keypad
frequency selection, they're a helluva lot more stable etc. No way I'd
ever consider doing any even half-serious contesting with boatanchors
again.
>
The solid state xcvrs have too much panel clutter for my taste but
much of it is for optional memory tricks and is simply ignorable.
Whoever it was who thought that being able to operate an HF xcvr like
a Bearcat scanner had his head guess-where. Epitomized by the TS-2000.
94 front panel controls. Plus menus. Wunnerful. There was a two-wek
old 2000 up for grabs on e-bay by a guy who just couldn't stand the
clutter.
>

I read an explanation for the clutter. The radios are designed
primarily for the Japanese market and Japanese tastes. The explanation
was that it's very difficult to install antennas in Japan because of
the lack of real estate. So Jap hams who cannot put up antennas go out
and buy these clutter-boxes to be able to just diddle buttons for
their jollies.
>
>One real problem with the new rigs is their short useful life and
>nonrepairability. Many newer sets use ASICS "application specific integrated
>circuits" and other parts. If one of those fails in an older set that isn't
>supported by the manufacturer, good luck getting a replacement. One solution is
>to look for junker sets, but that can be an expensive proposition. Rigs like
>the S line were made over such a long time period and in such quantity that
>parts and documentation are everywhere. Not so with, say, the TS-930.
>
There was a multi-multi station in Ohio, forget the call, but they
just ran a bank of six 930s into six old Alpha amps of the same
vintage in the ARRL dx contest. All that gear is around 25 years old
and it still works fine.
>
When old radios finally die ya give 'em decent burials and move on.
>
>End result is that the manufacturers WANT us to become "consumers" of their
>products, and to keep buying new ones every so many years. Can't balme them -
>it's just Marketing 101.
>
Nothing new about that game. Collins produced seven different ham
rcvrs between 1946 and sometime in the 70s, each one supposedly an
improvement over it's predesessor. The ricebox builders are giving us
a helluva lot more radios & choices than the tube boatanchor builders
ever did.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv

```
From -3597615278612420487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,755924f17ac750c3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-18 19:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!cyclone-west.rr.com!
news.rr.com!news-west.rr.com!lsnws01.we.mediaone.net!cyclone-LA3.rr.com!
typhoon.we.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB57B54.43A43F4F@socal.rr.com>
From: charles hobbs <chobbs@socal.rr.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband 480-500 MHz
```

References: <3AB29017.E2520026@schorpioen.bizland.com>
<20010316205322.03216.00001209@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2001 03:25:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.165.82.231
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.we.rr.com 984972347 24.165.82.231 (Sun, 18 Mar 2001 19:25:47 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 19:25:47 PST
Organization: Road Runner
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17531

SSB411D wrote:

> Who would've thought?

Most of those cats seem to be former FM (88-108 mHz) pirates who moved
up to 480-500, playing
their music. Does anyone listen? Are there radios (other than
police-scanners or ham rigs) that cover
that band? Are they legal to possess in Holland anyway?

From 5885732714868459428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 23:18:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 01:03:29 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <996vv1$5hn$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
<B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org>
<B6DAEE66.70E4%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab63b04.6337440@news.aepnet.com>
<B6DC2F2E.7204%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB6CE23.74AC70C1@toast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a6.22
X-Server-Date: 20 Mar 2001 07:13:37 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17587

--

"John O'Flaherty" <oflahert@toast.net> wrote in message
news:3AB6CE23.74AC70C1@toast.net...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Wes Stewart wrote:
> >
snip
> >
> > > One of the biggest thrills in my nearly 45
> > > years of ham radio was when I pressed my
> > > key, released it and 2.5 seconds later
> > > heard my signal returning from the passive
> > > reflector that we call the Moon. Too bad
> > > the people that don't know the code can't
> > > participate in this mode.
> >
> >   Why would someone who does not know code not be able to participate in
> > this mode? The very first moonbounce station I saw, in Italy, was using
SSB
> > voice. After that, I saw several other SSB moonbounce stations and
> > witnessed a number of SSB moonbounce voice contacts. Now, unless the
> > Italians are better at moonbounce then we are, I certainly see no reason
why
> > SSB voice cannot be used with moonbounce here in the US.

Naw! It is because:
 Italy is a long way from here.
 The moon is a long way from here
 If Italy and the moon are both a long way from here that must mean that
Italy is close to the moon.
That is why they can do it and we can't. :-)

>
> Even if you stayed with code for better s/n, you could use automatic
encoder and
> decoder to do it, no?
>
> john
>
>
My guess is that the decode portion would have a hard time. You need a
pretty good signal to get even crummy decode from what I have seen. A guy
that was showing me his shack tuned in a cw signal and although we could
hear it pretty well the decoder he had still threw in a bunch of garbage

characters and dropped some letters. Since I haven't tried it I won't say
it won't work but I have my doubts. Give it a shot!

From -3359012791486638959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-19 23:46:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
e3500-chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: nospam_n7ws@arrl.net (Wes Stewart)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <3ab70a55.28451300@news.aepnet.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
<B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org>
<B6DAEE66.70E4%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab63b04.6337440@news.aepnet.com>
<B6DC2F2E.7204%stewartx@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 02:43:13 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 07:44:24 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17588

On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 21:48:33 -0500, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> Why would someone who does not know code not be able to participate in
>this mode? The very first moonbounce station I saw, in Italy, was using SSB
>voice. After that, I saw several other SSB moonbounce stations and
>witnessed a number of SSB moonbounce voice contacts. Now, unless the
>Italians are better at moonbounce then we are, I certainly see no reason why
>SSB voice cannot be used with moonbounce here in the US.

Confining the discussion to 2m, which I believe is the focus of this thread...

Really? You saw a "number" of EME stations that routinely make SSB QSOs? I'm
not saying SSB EME contacts do not occur, I have one myself; however, that was
with W5UN who has an antenna that covers an acre of ground.   Our Italian
friends seem to have a propensity to operate at---ahem--shall we say slightly

higher power outputs than most everyone else. Just ask their European neighbors, and this goes for all of the bands.

That is not to say that there aren't a good number of US EMEers using a pair of 8877s or something similar. I use one 8877 and have never run more than 1200W into the transmission line. I guarantee you that at this level, and with say four typical Yagis, you *will not* routinely work EME on SSB. Period.

The preponderance of EME QSOs is on CW. Furthermore, other "weak-signal" modes such as auroral and FAI distort signals so badly that CW is the *only* mode that is halfway intelligible.

If you are a code-illiterate person who wants to work 2m EME on SSB then be my guest. You will need a station with 10 to 15 dB or so advantage over CW to do it though. Maybe you think that is somehow "technically superior" to the "obsolete" CW mode, but I beg to differ.

73,

Wes Stewart N7WS

>
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>

From -7890584017011128531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 00:40:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e3500-chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: nospam_n7ws@arrl.net (Wes Stewart)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <3ab71682.31569426@news.aepnet.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
<B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org>
<B6DAEE66.70E4%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab63b04.6337440@news.aepnet.com>
<B6DC2F2E.7204%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB6CE23.74AC70C1@toast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 35

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 03:35:12 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp  http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 08:36:21 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17589
```

On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 21:27:31 -0600, John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net> wrote:

[snip]

>Even if you stayed with code for better s/n, you could use automatic encoder and
>decoder to do it, no?

Not likely. The trained-ear/brain (TEB) combination is a wonderful narrow-band tracking filter, character-recognition decoder that doesn't cost anything---well except for some small expended effort to learn a signaling technique that is simple enough many six-year-old children pick it up.

The TEB can easily decode signals with -10 dB SNR and many EME operators do -15 dB or more. In addition, a trained operator uses repetition to "build up" the information he is receiving. He does this despite Doppler shift, and several different fading modes that raise hell with machine read coding.

There are proposals for "more efficient" encoding schemes, but these all seem to require slower bit rates and lots of DSP and they just plod along at the same slow speed even when conditions improve. And for the uninitialed, there are "conditions" in EME propagation.

I don't foresee anyone winning the EME contest using one-minute long dashes, but what do I know. For now, I do know that the winners use huge antennas, lots of power and 20 WPM Morse code.

The guys that have 2m DXCC didn't do it on SSB or while watching a computer screen to see whether they worked a new one.

N7WS
>
>john
>
>

```
From -4752161586258393393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 05:16:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
References: <Cgxt6.109$Rw3.1950@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<985087900.3ab73f9ca526d@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 41
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <ECIt6.52$5V3.1430@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 08:10:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.92.152
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 985093668 216.117.92.152 (Tue, 20 Mar 2001 07:07:48
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 07:07:48 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18936
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10669 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13694
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17591

Thank you for your reply. My attorney wants a few more so we can sue you for
libel. I have no police record anywhere. W9FPJ
"KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:985087900.3ab73f9ca526d@webmail.cotse.com...
> LITTLE BOY FELLATOR "Francis Dickhead Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
brushed
> the raoches off of his keyboard in his ramshackle hovel and babbled in
> message news:Cgxt6.109$Rw3.1950@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> >
> > Thanks I will do that.
>
> Who will you get to do it FOR you, since you barely knbow how to turn that
> CHEAP-ASSED computer of your on? You'd better erase all that self-produced
> kiddie porn off your meager hard drive, before the FBI comes calling
again.
>
> > But he shows up in a lot of groups.
>
> Poor baby. It's nice to see I MEAN SO FUCKING much to you that you keep
track
> of my posts, fuckhead.
>

```
> >How sad he must  really be. W9FPJ
>
> Not as half as sad as what the Indiana Department of Law Enforcement says
about
> you;
> Francis has been a Bbbbbbbbbbbbaaaaaaaaddd boy.....
>
>
>
>
>
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
>
>
```

```
From 122514230642645670
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 05:58:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AB75DD7.FEDB6DE8@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 07:40:39 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <3AB60954.CFFBDA26@IEEE.org>
<nUst6.59$SR1.229702@nntp1.onemain.com> <996p5a$94l$0@208.206.142.67>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985095841
64.240.47.116 (20 Mar 2001 07:44:01 -0600)
```

Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17593 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18941 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10675 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13701

Dick Carroll wrote:
> BINGO!!! Right *ON* the head!

Dick, maybe y'all can establish a new amateur radio club called:

WAW-WAW (Whiners Against Whiners Whining About Whiners)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7476492302198126472
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8732b751248a7187
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 06:47:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news-out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "K0HB" <hhbrakob@pop.mpls.uswest.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <tbdi4eppv31772@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: Repeater Directory Note "z"
Lines: 20
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Message-ID: <q0Kt6.925$qb1.118661@news.uswest.net>
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 14:43:54 -0000
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.11.109
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 985099414 216.160.11.109 (Tue, 20 Mar 2001 08:43:34 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 08:43:34 CST

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17594

Radio Flyer wrote in message ...
>The "z" in the notes column indicates "direct access to law enforcement
>services". So if I am driving and get a flat, or see an accident, or see a
>log or furniture in the road, would it be possible and appropriate to call
>it into the police using one of these repeaters with a "z" note (assuming
my
>cell phone is not working)?

Sure it would be appropriate. Our club has one repeater set up
with "speed dial" to 10 area law enforcement agencies, including
FBI and BATF. We have never (in 23 years) had a known mis-use
of the facility.

73, Hans

From 3604451021879656750
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 08:00:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB77C96.9FDFAE0F@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
<B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org>
<B6DAEE66.70E4%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab63b04.6337440@news.aepnet.com>
<B6DC2F2E.7204%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB6CE23.74AC70C1@toast.net>
<3ab71682.31569426@news.aepnet.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 43
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 09:51:51 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17595

Wes Stewart wrote:

> On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 21:27:31 -0600, John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net> wrote:
>
> [snip]
>
> >Even if you stayed with code for better s/n, you could use automatic encoder and
> >decoder to do it, no?
>
> Not likely. The trained-ear/brain (TEB) combination is a wonderful narrow-band
> tracking filter, character-recognition decoder that doesn't cost anything---well
> except for some small expended effort to learn a signaling technique that is
> simple enough many six-year-old children pick it up.
>
> The TEB can easily decode signals with -10 dB SNR and many EME operators do -15
> dB or more. In addition, a trained operator uses repetition to "build up" the
> information he is receiving. He does this despite Doppler shift, and several
> different fading modes that raise hell with machine read coding.
>
> There are proposals for "more efficient" encoding schemes, but these all seem to
> require slower bit rates and lots of DSP and they just plod along at the same
> slow speed even when conditions improve. And for the uninitialed, there are
> "conditions" in EME propagation.
>
> I don't foresee anyone winning the EME contest using one-minute long dashes, but
> what do I know. For now, I do know that the winners use huge antennas, lots of
> power and 20 WPM Morse code.
>
> The guys that have 2m DXCC didn't do it on SSB or while watching a computer
> screen to see whether they worked a new one.

Just playing with the idea, it occurs to me that you could use an automatic keyer,
and be fairly sure by repeating the keying and hearing the response that it was your
signal, even without being able to decode it. If you wanted to be really sure that no
moonie was interjecting extra characters to insult you or make light of your efforts,
you could tape record the return signal and slow it down. Come to think of it, you
could transmit it at 1 wpm or less, and then use a printed list of the morse
characters to interpret the return signal. There's always a way. :-)

john

From 7360723467244235076
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 08:12:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB77FD1.2F20BAFD@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org> <B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org> <B6DAEE66.70E4%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab63b04.6337440@news.aepnet.com> <B6DC2F2E.7204%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB6CE23.74AC70C1@toast.net> <3ab71682.31569426@news.aepnet.com> <3AB77C96.9FDFAE0F@toast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 48
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 10:05:37 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17596

John O'Flaherty wrote:

> Wes Stewart wrote:
>
> > On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 21:27:31 -0600, John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
wrote:
> >
> > [snip]
> >
> > >Even if you stayed with code for better s/n, you could use automatic encoder
and
> > >decoder to do it, no?
> >
> > Not likely. The trained-ear/brain (TEB) combination is a wonderful narrow-
band
> > tracking filter, character-recognition decoder that doesn't cost anything---
well
> > except for some small expended effort to learn a signaling technique that is
> > simple enough many six-year-old children pick it up.
> >
> > The TEB can easily decode signals with -10 dB SNR and many EME operators do
-15
> > dB or more. In addition, a trained operator uses repetition to "build up" the
> > information he is receiving. He does this despite Doppler shift, and several
> > different fading modes that raise hell with machine read coding.
> >

> > There are proposals for "more efficient" encoding schemes, but these all seem to
> > require slower bit rates and lots of DSP and they just plod along at the same
> > slow speed even when conditions improve. And for the uninitialed, there are
> > "conditions" in EME propagation.
> >
> > I don't foresee anyone winning the EME contest using one-minute long dashes, but
> > what do I know. For now, I do know that the winners use huge antennas, lots of
> > power and 20 WPM Morse code.
> >
> > The guys that have 2m DXCC didn't do it on SSB or while watching a computer
> > screen to see whether they worked a new one.
>
> Just playing with the idea, it occurs to me that you could use an automatic keyer,
> and be fairly sure by repeating the keying and hearing the response that it was your
> signal, even without being able to decode it. If you wanted to be really sure that no
> moonie was interjecting extra characters to insult you or make light of your efforts,
> you could tape record the return signal and slow it down. Come to think of it, you
> could transmit it at 1 wpm or less, and then use a printed list of the morse
> characters to interpret the return signal. There's always a way. :-)
>
> john

Damn, I had another thought. You could use an automatic keyer, and repeatedly send a
signal, and have the repeated signal averaged in a memory, and probably do the eme with
less power than, say, limiting yourself to the wetware (TEB).

From 8548792858392572628
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 08:40:16 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 16:34:46 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab76464.204835755@news2.dvol.com>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
<98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaeab49.291430009@news2.dvol.com>

```
<98o4gd$ru6$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaff5bc.56476936@news2.dvol.com>
<3AB10467.E867F9C4@uci.edu> <3ab1323e.137510909@news2.dvol.com>
<98tjgc$b4d$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ab2cfe3.243408878@news2.dvol.com>
<3AB69F1B.5565ACEE@uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.77
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.77
X-Trace: 20 Mar 2001 10:32:22 -0600, 64.24.17.77
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17597

On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 16:06:51 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>>
>> pmOn Fri, 16 Mar 2001 09:47:54 -0800, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
>> wrote:
>
>> >My clock is set to Pacific Standard time. You should probably set your clock
>> >back an hour.   ;-)
>
>> Four time zones. 9-8-7-6. 9-4=6. 9pm Philly time = 6pm LA time.
>
>Evidently you meant to say 9-3=6, which was my point.  We are three
>hours apart, rather than 4.  ;-)
>
. . . I was yanking yer . . .
>
>73, ac6xg



From 5567395847654535698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 08:42:01 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 16:34:19 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab7850a.213195035@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ab28985.225394853@news2.dvol.com>
<20010317123300.12822.00000602@nso-fj.aol.com> <3ab51197.52556799@news2.dvol.com>
<6i5dbtoj70d8iok02u6uo5o6pbeb66jlbj@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.77
```

```
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.77
X-Trace: 20 Mar 2001 10:31:55 -0600, 64.24.17.77
Lines: 16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!hermes2.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.primenet.com!
nntp.gblx.net!newspump.sol.net!4.24.21.153.MISMATCH!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17598

On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 23:32:21 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
wrote:

>kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote:
>>The TS-50 is much easier  to operate than a KWM-2A.
>>Try cw with a KWM-2 sometime.
>
>The flaw in CW operation using the TS-50 is that you have to open the
>case to adjust the sidetone level. That's tough to do when you're
>tooling down the highway...  =:-0
>
. . . I wuz raised in radio in the days when there was no such thing
as a sidetone, I'm 90% oblivious to the thing, never noticed it's
level. Don't need another freakin' button or knob or "menu selection".




From -1124411130060230135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 10:41:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.94.211.44!
enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 10:39:04 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3AB7A3C8.1BA0423F@uci.edu>
References: <980ibo$p8e$1@news.netmar.com> <3aaa5eb7.9667605@news2.dvol.com>
<98e90d$bet$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3aab7182.80019649@news2.dvol.com>
<98h2e7$dmc$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3AAD35C9.3F7FDC2B@IEEE.org>
<98kgs9$4cb$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaeab49.291430009@news2.dvol.com>
<98o4gd$ru6$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3aaff5bc.56476936@news2.dvol.com>
<3AB10467.E867F9C4@uci.edu> <3ab1323e.137510909@news2.dvol.com>
<98tjgc$b4d$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ab2cfe3.243408878@news2.dvol.com>
<3AB69F1B.5565ACEE@uci.edu> <3ab76464.204835755@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17599

Good one, Brian. I am so completely gullable. sheesh

73, Jim

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 16:06:51 -0800, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
>
> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >>
> >> pmOn Fri, 16 Mar 2001 09:47:54 -0800, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> >> wrote:
> >
> >> >My clock is set to Pacific Standard time. You should probably set your clock
> >> >back an hour.   ;-)
> >
> >> Four time zones. 9-8-7-6. 9-4=6. 9pm Philly time = 6pm LA time.
> >
> >Evidently you meant to say 9-3=6, which was my point. We are three
> >hours apart, rather than 4. ;-)
> >
> . . . I was yanking yer . . .
> >
> >73, ac6xg

From 4178297385253255731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 12:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB7BCEA.7CB6@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
References: <ci5cbtkrjcth62foe3rl6rjjaop1d2q85g@4ax.com>
<996md8$1lg$0@208.206.142.67> <m3rdbtkp984vpd7kt5u7j9bvq5s4948hdm@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 54

```
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 15:26:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.184.52
X-Trace: news2.atl 985119522 209.214.184.52 (Tue, 20 Mar 2001 15:18:42 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 15:18:42 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17600

At the risk of revealing denseness(?) on my part, it escapes me as to
why anyone would want a whole fistful of callsigns?  I am quite happy
with the one I have, so why do people like the Tuckers, et al, want to
"hoard" callsigns?

73

Jerry
K4KWH




Gerald Schmitt wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll:
>  memory=0
>  reading comprehension=0
>  misquoting wishing I had said something I did not=100
>  Poor poor Dick, Dick can't read. Perhaps Jane will read it
>  to him and explain what it means.
>
> Sorry Dick that's all the time I have for you bye.
>
> On 20 Mar 2001 04:30:32 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Gerald Schmitt wrote:
> >>
> >> Perhaps some of the old time posters to this forum remember a rather
> >> nasty fellow, who used to post here, by the name of Michael Deignan
> >> from Rhode Island. Mike was a particularly nasty pro code test guy who
> >> proved what a fraud he was by faking a Hawaii address to get a
> >> KH6 call under the vanity program.
> >
> >
> > Beg to correct your misapprension, Gerald, but Mike was definmitely NOT
> >a pro code test guy, rather very definitely the exact opposite, as he
> >stated many times here **while he castigated all the whiners**. You guys
> >leap to offensive anytime anyone disagrees with you for any reason,
> >labelling the sucker a "pro code test" a$$hole. After all, if he doesn't
> >agree with you on everything, what else could he be???
> >
> >
> >>
> >> Well in addition to his fake Hawaii call he grabbed a bunch of club
> >> calls at the same time. Several of us told him the FCC would catch
```

> >> up with him and sure enough they did. Listen to this week's Rain
> >> Report. What goes around comes around Mike thanks to the FCC for
> >> the chuckle.
> >
> >
> > Aw, Gee, how many did the Tucker family have squirrelled away? 40? 50?
> >And Riley let them keep half of them! I doubt Mike has lost any sleep.

From 7283689172105399563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 12:32:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 12:29:33 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AB7BDAD.924F56F@uci.edu>
References: <ci5cbtkrjcth62foe3rl6rjjaop1d2q85g@4ax.com>
<996md8$1lg$0@208.206.142.67> <m3rdbtkp984vpd7kt5u7j9bvq5s4948hdm@4ax.com>
<3AB7BCEA.7CB6@bellsouth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17601

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> At the risk of revealing denseness(?) on my part, it escapes me as to
> why anyone would want a whole fistful of callsigns? I am quite happy
> with the one I have, so why do people like the Tuckers, et al, want to
> "hoard" callsigns?

Roy Tucker is a self-described "renegade". His weekly net on the 435
repeater is called the "Renegade Roundup". He probably thinks it's
funny to have all those calls. It's a way of exploiting a federal
system that he is critical of.

ac6xg

From 2074718280095404237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 12:40:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 12:33:30 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3AB7BE9A.7729BE2C@uci.edu>
References: <3AB52AAF.F5EB6240@IEEE.org> <20010319212219.10859.00000534@nso-
cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17602

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3AB52AAF.F5EB6240@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> Well, at least you admit to your well-deserved inferiority complex.
> >> A more superior person wouldn't admit to that.
> >
> >A man's gotta know his limitations. I am truly inferior, Larry,
> >it's no complex. I'm even inferior to a paramecium. Did you
> >know that a paramecium that is living today is virtually the
> >same paramecium that lived 1000 years ago. Parameciums are
> >essentially created by cloning so living parameciums today
> >have been virtually immortal for millions of years.
> >--
> >http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> You're a sick puppy, Cecil.  Please get help.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Anybody who claims to be inferior to a paramecium, apparently has a
superior sense of humor.  :-)

ac6xg


From 7960635250545343294
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 12:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 15:43:10 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tbfg61met0i303@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <MIat6.759$ei1.2552741@nntp3.onemain.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 57
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17603 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18961 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10697 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13712

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message news:MIat6.759$ei1.2552741@nntp3.onemain.com...

>
> 1. You are pretty badly out of context

I don't think so.

> but I meant it then and mean it now.

I have no doubt about that Richard. Fortunately there are few like you out there. How I, or any no code Tech, chooses to follow their interests in Ham Radio is up to us. We don't have to meet your personal list of "approved activities" to be considered "real" Ham Radio operators. If that does not sit well with your vision of things, too bad, cry in your coffee, and move on.

License class advancement is not the sole goal in Ham Radio just as it is not in other areas of life either. Not everyone wants, or needs, to reach the Extra Class level the same way as not everyone needs to get a Ph.D. in their chosen field.

You strike me as the type of Ham who feels one is not worthy of being called a Ham UNLESS one follows in the foot steps you seem to feel is the only path to that title. You also seem to be unwilling to let others follow their own course, their own interests, and make their own choices in Ham Radio. Your vision of the path to being a "real Ham" is not the only one, nor is it necessarily even a good one. So you attempt to do what little you can to impede to process by refusing to help, instead you elected to hinder the process by shifting the burden to others. Seems a pathetic attitude where if you can't have it your way, you just wash you hands of the whole thing, such as being a VE, when it has anything to do with no code Techs.

As far as my tag line goes, if you can't understand it, try harder. I know plenty of other Hams, and non Hams too, who know exactly what I'm talking about. I will give you a hint however, it's a tongue-in-cheek joke about CW. If you can't figure it out after that, then your a lost cause.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 6425452237079014426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 13:00:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaicn61.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <ci5cbtkrjcth62foe3rl6rjjaop1d2q85g@4ax.com>
<996md8$1lg$0@208.206.142.67> <m3rdbtkp984vpd7kt5u7j9bvq5s4948hdm@4ax.com>

<3AB7BCEA.7CB6@bellsouth.net> <3AB7BDAD.924F56F@uci.edu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
Lines: 19
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 20:59:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.40
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985121973 206.58.44.40 (Tue, 20 Mar 2001 20:59:33 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 20:59:33 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17604

On Tue, 20 Mar 2001 12:29:33 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:

>Roy Tucker is a self-described "renegade". His weekly net on the 435
>repeater is called the "Renegade Roundup". He probably thinks it's
>funny to have all those calls. It's a way of exploiting a federal
>system that he is critical of.

It's a way of discovering new-found corners of a Federal
enforcement system that most of us would rather not mess
with....

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -2661730020086766084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8732b751248a7187
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 13:03:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Repeater Directory Note "z"
In-Reply-To: <q0Kt6.925$qb1.118661@news.uswest.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103202102240.22082-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <tbdi4eppv31772@corp.supernews.com>

```
<q0Kt6.925$qb1.118661@news.uswest.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 16
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 21:02:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985122146 12.80.44.46 (Tue, 20 Mar
2001 21:02:26 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 21:02:26 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17605

On Tue, 20 Mar 2001, K0HB wrote:
> Radio Flyer wrote in message ...
> >The "z" in the notes column indicates "direct access to law enforcement
> >services".  So if I am driving and get a flat, or see an accident, or see a
> >log or furniture in the road, would it be possible and appropriate to call
> >it into the police using one of these repeaters with a "z" note (assuming
> my
> >cell phone is not working)?
>
> Sure it would be appropriate.  Our club has one repeater set up
> with "speed dial" to 10 area law enforcement agencies, including
> FBI and BATF.  We have never (in 23 years) had a known mis-use
> of the facility.

Obviously, that repeater is not in Southern California.



From -6270026653807233955
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 13:15:51 PST
Message-ID: <3AB7C5C2.4F1184BA@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 15:04:02 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <3AB52AAF.F5EB6240@IEEE.org> <20010319212219.10859.00000534@nso-
cg.aol.com> <3AB7BE9A.7729BE2C@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.55
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985122448
64.240.47.55 (20 Mar 2001 15:07:28 -0600)
Lines: 13
```

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!194.25.134.62!
newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!newsfeed.freenet.de!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17606

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Anybody who claims to be inferior to a paramecium, apparently has a
> superior sense of humor.  :-)

When a paramecium cell divides, two identical adult paramecium
are the result. If you are so superior, let's see you do that.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -2958429331192482734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 13:18:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AB7C696.AF929001@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 15:07:34 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
References: <ci5cbtkrjcth62foe3rl6rjjaop1d2q85g@4ax.com>
<996md8$1lg$0@208.206.142.67> <m3rdbtkp984vpd7kt5u7j9bvq5s4948hdm@4ax.com>
<3AB7BCEA.7CB6@bellsouth.net> <3AB7BDAD.924F56F@uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gaicn61.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.55
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985122658
64.240.47.55 (20 Mar 2001 15:10:58 -0600)
```

```
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17607

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Tue, 20 Mar 2001 12:29:33 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >Roy Tucker is a self-described "renegade".  His weekly net on the 435
> >repeater is called the "Renegade Roundup".  He probably thinks it's
> >funny to have all those calls.  It's a way of exploiting a federal
> >system that he is critical of.
>
>   It's a way of discovering new-found corners of a Federal
>   enforcement system that most of us would rather not mess
>   with....

When *most* of us decide to push the envelope testing the government's
will, we might as well kiss our way of life goodby.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 8902178954114271660
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 13:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 13:43:23 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
```

Message-ID: <3AB7CEFB.2E57F88A@uci.edu>
References: <3AB52AAF.F5EB6240@IEEE.org> <20010319212219.10859.00000534@nso-cg.aol.com> <3AB7BE9A.7729BE2C@uci.edu> <3AB7C5C2.4F1184BA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17608

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Anybody who claims to be inferior to a paramecium, apparently has a superior sense of humor. :-)

> When a paramecium cell divides, two identical adult paramecium are the result. If you are so superior, let's see you do that.

I've got cells dividing all over the place, Cecil. A billion for their one. Besides, you haven't proven that that is a superior feat to my preferernce for procreating in the human fashion. Once you talk a paramecium into doing that I'll be impressed.

Jerry Fallwell would get real mad at you for saying that human life isn't the most important thing in the universe.

73, Jim ac6xg

From -6387665251173640900
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf8aeaa5dcacb51d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 13:56:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!solaris.cc.vt.edu!news.vt.edu!cmcnabb!cmcnabb
From: "Christopher L McNabb" <cmcnabbNOSPAM@vt.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can someone explain antenna regs to me?
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 16:54:08 -0500
Organization: Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia, USA
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <998jj0$rc5$1@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
References: <990jnf$mqi$1@bob.news.rcn.net> <3ab3fe45.2060209@news.mindspring.com> <3AB6C418.DA5641C9@ix.netcom.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: cmcnabb.cc.vt.edu
X-Trace: solaris.cc.vt.edu 985125280 28037 128.173.14.126 (20 Mar 2001 21:54:40 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: abuse@vt.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Mar 2001 21:54:40 GMT
X-Newsreader: Pan 0.8.0
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17609

In article <3AB6C418.DA5641C9@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:

> There are some advantages to "stealth" antennas. Like neighbors not
> knowing you as a ham exist, and thus can't blame you for any reception
> faults in their TV sets (even those that happen when you're not home).

The XYL used to blame me for causing QRM to our own televisions. I took great pains to prevent this,
including the installation of a lowpass filter on the antenna input and highpass filters on the televisions, to
no avail. I spend a lot of time on the radio, so she pretty much resigned herself to living with 'those dang
noises'.

Then one night the QRM occured as we were both watching the tube - the rig was not even powered on.
Her words were, "Oh, I guess it wasn't you. This time."

You just can't win.

```
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Christopher L McNabb              Tel:   540 231 7554
Operating Systems Analyst         Email: cmcnabbNOSPAM@vt.edu
Virginia Tech                     ICBM:  37.205622N 80.414595W
http://cmcnabb.cc.vt.edu/~cmcnabb ARS:   N2UX  Grid Sq: EM97SD
```

From -4450132853367743848
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 17:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 21 Mar 2001 00:57:11 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii

```
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <998u97$oo2$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <3AB2F0A7.D6A33B05@IEEE.org>
<3ab3b91c.6660315@news.ptdprolog.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix3.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 985136231 25346 128.171.44.52 (21 Mar 2001 00:57:11 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 Mar 2001 00:57:11 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17613
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13726

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>wrote:
>has HF also become
>such a religion that nobody can admit that VHF/UHF repeaters have some
>advantages over HF without repeaters?

What's currently achieved on V/UHF used to be done with MF groundwave.
Power aside, look at the coverage your local AM broadcast stations have
on MF.                         73, Jeff KH6O



From 4319038938091769908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 17:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
Date: 21 Mar 2001 01:42:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9990u7$dr7$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <ci5cbtkrjcth62foe3rl6rjjaop1d2q85g@4ax.com>
<996md8$1lg$0@208.206.142.67> <m3rdbtkp984vpd7kt5u7j9bvq5s4948hdm@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17614



Gerald Schmitt wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll:
>  memory=0
>  reading comprehension=0
```

> misquoting wishing I had said something I did not=100
> Poor poor Dick, Dick can't read. Perhaps Jane will read it
> to him and explain what it means.
>
> Sorry Dick that's all the time I have for you bye.

Poor Gerald. Can't read his own posts. Accuses others of misreading them,
to wit:

> Mike was a particularly nasty pro code test guy...

Now go, again, and look up Mike's comments to the FCC re the restructuring NPRM and get back to us. If dejanews hadn't crashed it would be quite easy to retrieve a number of Mike's RRAP posts in which he specifically stated his belief in dropping code testing. Sorry you prefer to read with blinders in >on< mode.

It sure is remarkable how much self-damage you code haters have done to yourselves over your years of "I don't wanna". And there seems to be nothing you can do to heal yourselves...

```
From 2217386726741191462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 18:14:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <p63gbt0fhlccs62qllbpat318ep6h738vc@4ax.com>
References: <3ab28985.225394853@news2.dvol.com>
<20010317123300.12822.00000602@nso-fj.aol.com> <3ab51197.52556799@news2.dvol.com>
<6i5dbtoj70d8iok02u6uo5o6pbeb66jlbj@4ax.com> <3ab7850a.213195035@news2.dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 02:11:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.206.118
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985140667 209.86.206.118 (Tue, 20 Mar
2001 18:11:07 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 18:11:07 PST
```

Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17615

kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote:
>. . . I wuz raised in radio in the days when there was no such thing
>as a sidetone, I'm 90% oblivious to the thing, never noticed it's
>level. Don't need another freakin' button or knob or "menu selection".

I modified my TS-50 by installing an *external* sidetone level control. When working CW mobile, the background road noise is quite different at 75 mph than it is when operating in a parking lot. Also I sometimes use earbuds (for XYL harmony) and other times an external speaker. Sidetone level adjustment for me was a necessity...

Since the TS-50 comes with no sidetone adjustment, no internal keyer and no CW filter, I think you could say it's not really all that CW friendly. The only reason I have a TS-50 is that Ten Tec couldn't deliver a Scout for over a month, I had money to burn, and the TS-50 was the only other small HF rig available at the time (in 1994). That said, it's been a great rig. I installed the CW filter and have a Curtis K5 'lil bugger' keyer bolted to the side. The TS-50 is mounted on *top* of my dashboard. That makes it easy to operate without taking my eyes off the road. Unfortunately, this location also means that the rig gets very hot in the Arizona sun, especially when parked for a couple of hours. Also I have dropped it more than once, and have occasionally messed up by operating it full power into a bad load.
This is one tough little rig...

From -8838081184152316575
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 19:26:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ECIt6.52$5V3.1430@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<985130253.3ab7e50d406a9@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 101
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <C6Vt6.247$dp4.4118@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 22:23:58 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.66.123
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 985144866 216.117.66.123 (Tue, 20 Mar 2001 21:21:06
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 21:21:06 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:18996
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10731 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13735
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17616

Put up or shut up. I have no record, other than a traffic ticket, anywhere.
Put up or shut fool.
"KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:985130253.3ab7e50d406a9@webmail.cotse.com...
> Francis "Dickhead Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net> whined like a little
girl in
> message news:ECIt6.52$5V3.1430@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> >
> > Thank you for your reply.
>
> Anytime, ASSHOLE.
>
> > My attorney wants a few more so we can sue you for  libel.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!! Who's your attorney, Dumbass? I
want
> to be sure to enter as evidence on the countersuit those libelous posts
you
> made regarding ME, DUMBASS. I have them archived, you fuckhead. And
they'll
> come out in the "trial," what will all that bad press do for you in your
> shithole community? BWHAHAHAHA!!!!
> Or shall I contact your local Public Defender's office to reach
> your "attorney," welfare-recipient?
>
> >I have no police record anywhere. W9FPJ
>
> Suuuuuuuuuree you don't....the record shows otherwise.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHA!!!!
>
>
>
>
>
> > "KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
> > news:985087900.3ab73f9ca526d@webmail.cotse.com...
> > > LITTLE BOY FELLATOR "Francis Dickhead Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
> > brushed
> > > the raoches off of his keyboard in his ramshackle hovel and babbled in
> > > message news:Cgxt6.109$Rw3.1950@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > >
> > > > Thanks I will do that.
> > >
> > > Who will you get to do it FOR you, since you barely knbow how to turn
that
> > > CHEAP-ASSED computer of your on? You'd better erase all that
self-produced
> > > kiddie porn off your meager hard drive, before the FBI comes calling
> > again.
> > >
> > > > But he shows up in a lot of groups.
> > >
> > > Poor baby. It's nice to see I MEAN SO FUCKING much to you that you
keep
> > track
> > > of my posts, fuckhead.
> > >
> > > >How sad he must  really be. W9FPJ
> > >
> > > Not as half as sad as what the Indiana Department of Law Enforcement
says
> > about
> > > you;
> > > Francis has been a Bbbbbbbbbbbbaaaaaaaaddd boy.....
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > > EM99|
> > > Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> > > Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

```
>
>
>
>
>
```

```
From 5134245226913588228
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 19:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Gerald Schmitt <kk5yy@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 20:32:39 -0700
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 69
Message-ID: <bl6gbt4ihef3iu61ksvosvmrpfs0ekkff8@4ax.com>
References: <ci5cbtkrjcth62foe3rl6rjjaop1d2q85g@4ax.com>
<996md8$1lg$0@208.206.142.67> <m3rdbtkp984vpd7kt5u7j9bvq5s4948hdm@4ax.com>
<9990u7$dr7$0@208.206.142.91>
Reply-To: kk5yy@arrl.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.32.d6.3a
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Mar 2001 03:31:29 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17617
```

```
On 21 Mar 2001 01:42:31 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>Gerald Schmitt wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll:
>>  memory=0
>>  reading comprehension=0
>>  misquoting wishing I had said something I did not=100
>>  Poor poor Dick, Dick can't read. Perhaps Jane will read it
>>  to him and explain what it means.
>>
>> Sorry Dick that's all the time I have for you bye.
>
```

>
> Poor Gerald. Can't read his own posts. Accuses others of misreading
>them,
> to wit:
>
>
>> Mike was a particularly nasty pro code test guy...
>
>
> Now go, again, and look up Mike's comments to the FCC re the
>restructuring NPRM and get back to us. If dejanews hadn't crashed it
>would be quite easy to retrieve a number of Mike's RRAP posts in which
>he specifically stated his belief in dropping code testing. Sorry you
>prefer to read with blinders in >on< mode.

It is too bad as I remember he was most nasty to people who expressed
the opinion that code testing should be eliminated. He may have flip
flopped later but this is how I remember him.

Since this cannot reasonably be established let's amend my description
to "particularly nasty" and leave out the code position.

>
> It sure is remarkable how much self-damage you code haters have done to
>yourselves over your years of "I don't wanna". And there seems to be
>nothing you can do to heal yourselves...

How on Earth do you read that I am a "code hater" this is stupid even
for you Dick. I said nothing about my position WRT code in my posting.
I filed no comment with the FCC WRT the change in code speed testing
and if you are smart enough you can even determine that I once passed
a high speed code test. To that end I assure you that I never asked
for nor received any waiver on any Amateur examination in
fact I never have I ever failed any examination that I attempted.

The reasons I didn't bother to file a comment WRT the code test
question:

First of all I didn't really care what they did.

Second it was a done deal and there was nothing the ARRL or
you code whiners nor anyone else could do about it. I could
explain but you seem so comfortable in your bigotry I'll just
let you be.

Apparently I did "wanna" cause I "dida". Now Mr. Big Shot tell me what
you did to further ham radio in the past year aside from bitching and
recklessly accusing people when you haven't a clue what you are
talking about.

```
From -8034110081521825681
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 20:02:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!atl1-
feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!easynews!news-west.eli.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB82685.3836DC8@ctaz.com>
From: Jerry France <grf@ctaz.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.51 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
References: <ECIt6.52$5V3.1430@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<985130253.3ab7e50d406a9@webmail.cotse.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 70
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 03:57:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.205.56
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ctaz.com
X-Trace: news-west.eli.net 985147043 216.67.205.56 (Tue, 20 Mar 2001 20:57:23 MST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 20:57:23 MST
Organization: Ctaz.com NewsReader Service
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19000
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10735 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13740
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17618

Why would you be so stupid as to post all this crap on the antenna
newgroup.  Please keep this crap in your own backyards.  Thank you.

KC8JBO wrote:
>
> Francis "Dickhead Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net> whined like a little girl in
> message news:ECIt6.52$5V3.1430@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> >
> > Thank you for your reply.
>
> Anytime, ASSHOLE.
>
> > My attorney wants a few more so we can sue you for  libel.
```

```
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!! Who's your attorney, Dumbass? I want
> to be sure to enter as evidence on the countersuit those libelous posts you
> made regarding ME, DUMBASS. I have them archived, you fuckhead. And they'll
> come out in the "trial," what will all that bad press do for you in your
> shithole community? BWHAHAHAHA!!!!
> Or shall I contact your local Public Defender's office to reach
> your "attorney," welfare-recipient?
>
> >I have no police record anywhere. W9FPJ
>
> Suuuuuuuuuree you don't....the record shows otherwise. BWHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHA!!!!
>
> > "KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
> > news:985087900.3ab73f9ca526d@webmail.cotse.com...
> > > LITTLE BOY FELLATOR "Francis Dickhead Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
> > brushed
> > > the raoches off of his keyboard in his ramshackle hovel and babbled in
> > > message news:Cgxt6.109$Rw3.1950@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > >
> > > > Thanks I will do that.
> > >
> > > Who will you get to do it FOR you, since you barely knbow how to turn that
> > > CHEAP-ASSED computer of your on? You'd better erase all that self-produced
> > > kiddie porn off your meager hard drive, before the FBI comes calling
> > again.
> > >
> > > > But he shows up in a lot of groups.
> > >
> > > Poor baby. It's nice to see I MEAN SO FUCKING much to you that you keep
> > track
> > > of my posts, fuckhead.
> > >
> > > >How sad he must  really be. W9FPJ
> > >
> > > Not as half as sad as what the Indiana Department of Law Enforcement says
> > about
> > > you;
> > > Francis has been a Bbbbbbbbbbbbaaaaaaaaddd boy.....
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > > EM99|
> > > Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> > > Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
```

> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole

From 8034600758444078547
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 20:08:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 21 Mar 2001 04:02:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <999950$dfr$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<MIat6.759$ei1.2552741@nntp3.onemain.com> <tbfg61met0i303@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17619
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19003 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10736
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13741

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
>
> License class advancement is not the sole goal in Ham Radio just as it is
> not in other areas of life either. Not everyone wants, or needs, to reach
> the Extra Class level the same way as not everyone needs to get a Ph.D. in
> their chosen field.

>

That's right. So kwitcher bitchin' about what you didn't get for what you didn't do to get it.

```
From 2421125789622195778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 20:34:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
Date: Tue, 20 Mar 2001 22:28:20 -0600
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AB82DE4.62494E72@ix.netcom.com>
References: <ci5cbtkrjcth62foe3rl6rjjaop1d2q85g@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0b.bd.6b
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 21 Mar 2001 04:28:43 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17620
```

I can see the fake Hawaii address to get that particular vanity call (I mean I can see the reason, but I can't say it was justified), but what *IS* the point of getting multiple club call signs? To get one you like? But the vanity system lets you do that.

Gerald Schmitt wrote:

> Perhaps some of the old time posters to this forum remember a rather
> nasty fellow, who used to post here, by the name of Michael Deignan
> from Rhode Island. Mike was a particularly nasty pro code test guy who
> proved what a fraud he was by faking a Hawaii address to get a
> KH6 call under the vanity program.
>
> Well in addition to his fake Hawaii call he grabbed a bunch of club
> calls at the same time. Several of us told him the FCC would catch
> up with him and sure enough they did.

```
From 5627762887088678138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 20:40:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
Date: 21 Mar 2001 04:36:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 78
Message-ID: <999b4l$jk2$0@208.207.71.161>
References: <ci5cbtkrjcth62foe3rl6rjjaop1d2q85g@4ax.com>
<996md8$1lg$0@208.206.142.67> <m3rdbtkp984vpd7kt5u7j9bvq5s4948hdm@4ax.com>
<9990u7$dr7$0@208.206.142.91> <bl6gbt4ihef3iu61ksvosvmrpfs0ekkff8@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.161
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17621



Gerald Schmitt wrote:
>
> On 21 Mar 2001 01:42:31 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Gerald Schmitt wrote:
> >>
> >> Dick Carroll:
> >>  memory=0
> >>  reading comprehension=0
> >>  misquoting wishing I had said something I did not=100
> >>  Poor poor Dick, Dick can't read. Perhaps Jane will read it
> >>  to him and explain what it means.
> >>
> >> Sorry Dick that's all the time I have for you bye.
> >
> >
> > Poor Gerald. Can't read his own posts. Accuses others of misreading
> >them,
> > to wit:
> >
> >
> >> Mike was a particularly nasty pro code test guy...
> >
> >
```

> > Now go, again, and look up Mike's comments to the FCC re the
> >restructuring NPRM and get back to us. If dejanews hadn't crashed it
> >would be quite easy to retrieve a number of Mike's RRAP posts in which
> >he specifically stated his belief in dropping code testing. Sorry you
> >prefer to read with blinders in >on< mode.
>
> It is too bad as I remember he was most nasty to people who expressed
> the opinion that code testing should be eliminated. He may have flip
> flopped later but this is how I remember him.
>
> Since this cannot reasonably be established let's amend my description
> to "particularly nasty" and leave out the code position.
>
> >
> > It sure is remarkable how much self-damage you code haters have done to
> >yourselves over your years of "I don't wanna". And there seems to be
> >nothing you can do to heal yourselves...
>
> How on Earth do you read that I am a "code hater" this is stupid even
> for you Dick. I said nothing about my position WRT code in my posting.
> I filed no comment with the FCC WRT the change in code speed testing
> and if you are smart enough you can even determine that I once passed
> a high speed code test. To that end I assure you that I never asked
> for nor received any waiver on any Amateur examination in
> fact I never have I ever failed any examination that I attempted.
>
> The reasons I didn't bother to file a comment WRT the code test
> question:
>
> First of all I didn't really care what they did.
>
> Second it was a done deal and there was nothing the ARRL or
> you code whiners nor anyone else could do about it. I could
> explain but you seem so comfortable in your bigotry I'll just
> let you be.
>
> Apparently I did "wanna" cause I "dida". Now Mr. Big Shot tell me what
> you did to further ham radio in the past year aside from bitching and
> recklessly accusing people when you haven't a clue what you are
> talking about.

Uh, Gerald. seems it was YOU who had no clue, and I merely
straightened you out.
Mikd Deignan's position WRT code testing was never in question, he made
that clear from the outset, all the while showing no sympathy for any of
the legions of whiners. Which, of course, and in complete accordance
with Dick McCullom's axioms, made it mandatory that he be attacked by
said whiners....

From 4046464361795724041

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 20:53:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
Date: 21 Mar 2001 04:48:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <999bqp$lij$0@208.207.71.161>
References: <ci5cbtkrjcth62foe3rl6rjjaop1d2q85g@4ax.com>
<3AB82DE4.62494E72@ix.netcom.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.161
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17622
```

Robert Casey wrote:

> I can see the fake Hawaii address to get that particular vanity call
> (I mean I can see the reason, but I can't say it was justified), but
> what *IS* the point of getting multiple club call signs? To get one
> you like? But the vanity system lets you do that.

Beats me, I have no use whatever for even one. Evidently some guys do
it like mountain climbers --just because it's there.

```
From -4579631924618654584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 20:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 04:50:52 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3ab83236.1523585@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
```

```
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
<B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab58ded.1426699@news.mindspring.com>
<B6DC26B1.7203%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.46
X-Server-Date: 21 Mar 2001 04:51:38 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17623
```

On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 21:12:20 -0500, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> I suggest you have that wrong. Unless
>> there's threats of violence, or bodily
>> harm, I don't see any such waiver of the
>> need for a warrant.
>
> Of course there is, Bill. Think about it. I've already mentioned the
>screams, or other sounds of conflict, situation.

That IS threats of violence or bodily harm.

>Add to that the right to
>enter while chasing a suspect.

How does that even remotely cover an illegal station
operation?

>And add to that entrance into a residence to
>put an end to loud parties and so forth (disturbing the peace).

They can knock on the door, but they can't just bust
in without a warrant. If the occupant(s) don't quiet
down, then there may be some justification, but I'll
defer to Phil's understanding of such a situation if he
decides to comment.

> In fact, as
>I understand it, a police officer can enter a residence without a warrant

>anytime there is evidence from outside the residence that a crime is
>occurring inside the residence (a fight in a residence that is visible from
>the street through a window might be one example of this).

Thatdoesn't cover an illegal (pirate) station operation
at all.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6552495289413996525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 21:06:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 04:59:07 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3ab83493.2128684@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.46
X-Server-Date: 21 Mar 2001 04:59:37 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17624

FYI from R. Tannehill

I see that on March 6, the CEPT changed it's HAREC
Level 1 requirements from 12 wpm to 5 wpm.
It is generally believed that all the remaining European
countries who have not done so, will likly implement 5 wpm for
full band/power access.

The dominos are falling ever faster.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5303383560614979726
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 21:14:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: ccom2@socket.net
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 05:12:43 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3ab837d8.27448007@news.socket.net>
References: <ECIt6.52$5V3.1430@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<985130253.3ab7e50d406a9@webmail.cotse.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 96
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19010
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10738 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13746
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17625

please do not use such a trash mouth! take it to each other by email.
MIke

KC8JBO <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote:

>Francis "Dickhead Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net> whined like a little girl in
>message news:ECIt6.52$5V3.1430@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>>
>> Thank you for your reply.
>
>Anytime, ASSHOLE.
>
>> My attorney wants a few more so we can sue you for libel.
>
>BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!! Who's your attorney, Dumbass? I want
>to be sure to enter as evidence on the countersuit those libelous posts you
>made regarding ME, DUMBASS. I have them archived, you fuckhead. And they'll
>come out in the "trial," what will all that bad press do for you in your
>shithole community? BWHAHAHAHA!!!!
>Or shall I contact your local Public Defender's office to reach
>your "attorney," welfare-recipient?
>
>>I have no police record anywhere. W9FPJ
>
>Suuuuuuuuuree you don't....the record shows otherwise. BWHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHA!!!!

```
>
>
>
>
>
>> "KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
>> news:985087900.3ab73f9ca526d@webmail.cotse.com...
>> > LITTLE BOY FELLATOR "Francis Dickhead Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
>> brushed
>> > the raoches off of his keyboard in his ramshackle hovel and babbled in
>> > message news:Cgxt6.109$Rw3.1950@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>> > >
>> > > Thanks I will do that.
>> >
>> > Who will you get to do it FOR you, since you barely knbow how to turn that
>> > CHEAP-ASSED computer of your on? You'd better erase all that self-produced
>> > kiddie porn off your meager hard drive, before the FBI comes calling
>> again.
>> >
>> > > But he shows up in a lot of groups.
>> >
>> > Poor baby. It's nice to see I MEAN SO FUCKING much to you that you keep
>> track
>> > of my posts, fuckhead.
>> >
>> > >How sad he must  really be. W9FPJ
>> >
>> > Not as half as sad as what the Indiana Department of Law Enforcement says
>> about
>> > you;
>> > Francis has been a Bbbbbbbbbbbaaaaaaaaaddd boy.....
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
>> > EM99|
>> > Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
>> > Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>73 de Roger, KC8JBO
>EM99|
>Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
>Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
>
>
>
>
```

```
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

```
From 5496434014946368315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,baadf20f95770218
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 21:50:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!
204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. NO EXCEPTION! <<
Message-ID: <3ab83f5b.536346301@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
References: <3AB2FA08.36DAC9E6@ncrnet.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 05:45:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.79.67.175
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985153541 12.79.67.175 (Wed, 21 Mar
2001 05:45:41 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 05:45:41 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17626
```

On Fri, 16 Mar 2001 22:45:44 -0700, Real Ham <radioj@ncrnet.net>
wrote:

```
>NO CODE = NO HAM.  KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
>Amateur radio's Eternal Truth.  Moan, CBers, moan.
```

Can you Prove this in a Court of Law??? Go ahead and try, I'm on

Jury Duty this week. :-)>

Rick KC2ESD

From -6874712719916829973
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-20 23:17:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feeder.kornet.net!news1.kornet.net!not-for-mail
From: "Brenda Ann" <brendaannd@uswest.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 16:14:58 +0900
Organization: Brenda Ann
Lines: 4
Message-ID: <999k99$b62$1@news1.kornet.net>
References: <ECIt6.52$5V3.1430@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<985130253.3ab7e50d406a9@webmail.cotse.com> <3ab837d8.27448007@news.socket.net>
Reply-To: "Brenda Ann" <brendaannd@uswest.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 211.197.206.163
X-Trace: news1.kornet.net 985158762 11458 211.197.206.163 (21 Mar 2001 07:12:42
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news.kornet.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 07:12:42 +0000 (UTC)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19016
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10746 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13752
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17627

I tried that, Mike.. and I'm looking into ways to do something about it..
but in the meantime, I figured out how to use a killfile......

From 6095531288597585570
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,976fac653abd5f99,start

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 05:37:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 82
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Mar 2001 13:32:45 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Freebanders on 40M
Message-ID: <20010321083245.20275.00000387@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17630

Greetings All,

The following letter was posted by Ed, W5HTW on the QRZ board. He very carefully noted and logged the pirates he cites, and makes some very poignant comments on what NOT to do to these idiots.

Unfortunately, I think the lack of enforcement ON 11 meters has allowed the idea that the FCC will not search out or prosecute them has lent the feeling of security to them.

But I agree that getting on top of these clowns will do little to stop them. Keep it clear, log the information and let the FCC do their best to clobber them.

73

Steve, K4YZ

'BEGIN QUOTE:

Since March 16, 2001 I have noticed a group of Freebanders has collected at the low end of 40 meters. They were first heard by me on March 17 at 0315Z (March 16, 8:15 PM) on 6998.7 KHZ. I listened for about an hour before they signed off, after indicating they might move to 80 meters. However, they chose to quit.

When signing, they did use amateur type call signs, but please, read on.

On Friday night they were back. This time they were at 7.000.33 and drifted badly between that freq and 7.001.65 LSB. One of them had a relatively clean signal, the other had a severely overdriven signal, so much so it was difficult to actually determine its center frequency and make it completely intelligible.

On Saturday night the frequency was also used by another Freebander who switch to more CB lingo and extreme profanity. I have not heard him since, and his signal was weak.

The problem with this is a few hams can not appear to resist the need to get on the frequency and order these guys to move, or to spend a bit of time deliberately interfering with them, or jamming them with CW. This may thwart DF efforts by authorities. In addition, it may render tapes being made of the transmissions unreadable at places, with the result they can not be used for evidence. And finally, and quite importantly, it makes you, if you do this, as illegal as they are, and you may indeed be cited for such.

The best help is to listen! Make a recording. Send times and frequencies to both fccham@fcc.gov (the FCC's amateur radio complaint section) and describe what you heard (do not send WAV files) and to N4QX@arrl.org

Brennan Price, N4QX Field and Regulatory Correspondent ARRL--The National Association for Amateur Radio 860 594-0272 (work)

Be prepared to mail via regular mail a cassette tape to both the FCC site (get the address from the site) and to Brennan at the ARRL.

Please do NOT contact the people whose call signs appear to be used. While some of the call signs do not appear in the database, and are apparently "made up", some do. It is also 99 percent certain the hams to whom these calls are registered are not Freebanding. By telling them about pirated use of their calls, you may trigger an action by them in retaliation they may get them into trouble. Also, on the tiny chance one of these people is using his own legit call, you tip him off that he is identified. Most likely the call signs, all of them, are bootlegged.

These people claim to also be using 80 meters, and my guess is they are at or just below the CW band edge. Remember -- if they are NOT in the ham bands, they are NOT our concern!!! We have NO authority over them outside the ham bands, (well we have no authority anyway!!) but there is nothing to prevent us from notifying the FCC concerning the activity. Kind of like seeing a drunk driver on another road, one you aren't using!

But if they are IN the ham bands, or are splattering into it, they are the ARRL's concern as well as the FCC's, and you should contact both.

Thanks

73 Ed, W5HTW"

END QUOTE

```
From 8664512905119012335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 05:44:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 08:44:38 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tbhc182e8h3gbc@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<MIat6.759$ei1.2552741@nntp3.onemain.com> <tbfg61met0i303@corp.supernews.com>
<999950$dfr$0@208.206.142.91>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 17
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17631
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19032 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10758
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13764
```

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:999950$dfr$0@208.206.142.91...

> That's right. So kwitcher bitchin' about what you didn't get for what
> you didn't do to get it.

Translate that in to standard North American English with the correct spelling, and grammar. I hear better English spoken by the downtown "brothers" than what you wrote above.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

```
From -89815863787426675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 06:47:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 09:45:31 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tbhfjqh1neijd4@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org> <B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org> <B6DAEE66.70E4%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab63b04.6337440@news.aepnet.com> <B6DC2F2E.7204%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab70a55.28451300@news.aepnet.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 44
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17633

"Wes Stewart" <nospam_n7ws@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3ab70a55.28451300@news.aepnet.com...

> The preponderance of EME QSOs is on CW. Furthermore, other "weak-signal"
modes
> such as auroral and FAI distort signals so badly that CW is the *only*
mode that
> is halfway intelligible.
>
> If you are a code-illiterate person who wants to work 2m EME on SSB then
be my
> guest. You will need a station with 10 to 15 dB or so advantage over CW
to do
> it though. Maybe you think that is somehow "technically superior" to the
> "obsolete" CW mode, but I beg to differ.

I think there is another point to be made here that is being missed. That is if a non-coded Ham tries to operate EME, for example, he/she will soon learn that CW is much better than SSB for that mode of operation. THAT is the motivation to learn CW, a desire to work in an area where it TRULY is needed. No arm twisting mandatory CW testing required! I think that is how it should be. When the need to use CW in a facet of the hobby, that interests a Ham presents itself, the desire to learn CW will arise on its' own. This is no different than in any other hobby where the desire to participate requires new skills to be learned.

So to sum it up, CW skills should arise out of a natural desire to participate in some areas of the hobby. That interest then becomes the motivation to learn what is necessary. I would like to see mandatory CW testing eliminated, but that in no way implies that all non coded Hams will never learn CW. Some will because they either need it for their area of interest, or they enjoy using it in general. I'm just surprised that some Hams seem to act that if CW testing was eliminated that the mode will just disappear, it's not going to happen, and I think it's that fear that drives some Hams to want to retain CW testing at any cost.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

From -9041868438234026047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c0081e731c115f32,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 07:56:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!east1.newsfeed.sprint-canada.net!HME1-2.newsfeed.sprint.ca!newscontent-01.sprint.ca.POSTED!dobbbs!peter.coggon
From: peter.coggon@dobbbs.com (Peter Coggon)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: CB or GRS in CANADA
Message-ID: <985041037.623.984778271@dobbbs.com>
Distribution: world
Organization: Doctor On Board BBS
X-NNTP-Posting-Host: dobbbs.com
Lines: 27
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 13:09:00 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.5.56.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sprint.ca
X-Trace: newscontent-01.sprint.ca 985190024 209.5.56.6 (Wed, 21 Mar 2001 10:53:44 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 10:53:44 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17634

Hello I stiil operate CB ... or GRS here in CANADA
Callsign here was until licences were dropped Xm421954

-=> Quoting Rmoretta@aol.com to All <=-

Rm> I think we can safely assume that YOU do NOT operate on 6 meters.
Rm> Guess where the first harmonic of some high powered CB transmissions
Rm> fall? Do your homework before posting... please.

And the 2nd hramonic lands in an aviation band used for gliding planes safely to ground in a storm.   Reminds me of the crash in

Toronto in the 60s.   A high-powered CB crashed a plane in a storm
when the plane crashed nearly into his house....It crashed closeby,
and this matter always stayed with me... I kept my power at 4watts.
Antennas were the way to go for me.

As for other postings here about lingo...Sorry I never used it.
I was innvolved in EMO where we used a International supported
way of communicating.... I have used this system ever since,
as well my callsign..and NO NICK or handle.

73 Peter XM421954

... Do UFOs have CB RADIOS to see where the USAF is ? ... by P.Coggon
___ Blue Wave/DOS v2.30 [NR]

From -4529992592439162311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2bcdfa7fafff76445,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 07:56:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!east1.newsfeed.sprint-canada.net!HME1-2.newsfeed.sprint.ca!
newscontent-01.sprint.ca.POSTED!dobbbs!peter.coggon
From: peter.coggon@dobbbs.com (Peter Coggon)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM.
Message-ID: <985041038.623.984778168@dobbbs.com>
Distribution: world
Organization: Doctor On Board BBS
X-NNTP-Posting-Host: dobbbs.com
Lines: 21
Date: Sun, 18 Mar 2001 13:10:00 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.5.56.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sprint.ca
X-Trace: newscontent-01.sprint.ca 985190024 209.5.56.6 (Wed, 21 Mar 2001 10:53:44
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 10:53:44 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17635

-=> Quoting Radiohead11m@aol.com to All <=-

Ra> Hey Dwight,

Ra> He was probably at the end of his thread and clicked on 'Reply' at
Ra> that point. Lighten up...there's certainly no need for name calling.
Ra> Let's keep whatever discourse we may have friendly and meaningful, ok?

Ra> 73 de Mark

I saw a posting by a ham that agrees with you.... Here is the tag
line from our local BBS here at the lake...

ttfn

Peter XM421954

... "In a debate, name-calling is the last resort of a looser." -by K2UL
___ Blue Wave/DOS v2.30 [NR]

From -9115967586845642206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 08:41:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB8DA2A.6C83@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <MIat6.759$ei1.2552741@nntp3.onemain.com> <tbfg61met0i303@corp.supernews.com> <999950$dfr$0@208.206.142.91> <tbhc182e8h3gbc@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 11:43:22 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.175
X-Trace: news2.atl 985192544 209.214.185.175 (Wed, 21 Mar 2001 11:35:44 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 11:35:44 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17636 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19045 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10770 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13771

Now, now, Leland,

He was playing with words just as you were with your joke about CW. He is just as entitled. LOL!

Jerry
K4KWH

Leland C. Scott wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:999950$dfr$0@208.206.142.91...
>
> > That's right. So kwitcher bitchin' about what you didn't get for what
> > you didn't do to get it.
>
> Translate that in to standard North American English with the correct
> spelling, and grammar. I hear better English spoken by the downtown
> "brothers" than what you wrote above.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

From 6739419291631926136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 08:58:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB8DE1F.13BC@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
References: <3ab28985.225394853@news2.dvol.com>
<20010317123300.12822.00000602@nso-fj.aol.com> <3ab51197.52556799@news2.dvol.com>
<6i5dbtoj70d8iok02u6uo5o6pbeb66jlbj@4ax.com> <3ab7850a.213195035@news2.dvol.com>
<p63gbt0fhlccs62qllbpat318ep6h738vc@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 58
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 12:00:15 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.175
X-Trace: news2.atl 985193558 209.214.185.175 (Wed, 21 Mar 2001 11:52:38 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 11:52:38 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17637

Aaron,

If I may, I'd just like to say a couple words about the TS50--all in agreement with you. It is, indeed, a tough little rig. I bought one in '94 as well. I have bought and sold at least a couple of them. I found both of them to be "punchy" little rigs with good audio on SSB. I only ran it on CW as a base, and, in that case, the sidetone was not as big an issue. All in all, I still like them, and would buy another if the need arose. I can surely see how the lack of sidetone controls could be a disadvantage while mobile. On the other hand, in Kenwood's defense, we should remember that this was the first foray into "mini" HF rigs. I can see how trying to cram so much into so little at a reasonable price was a challenge. When I first saw the TS50, I thought they gotta be jokin'. But, if I recall correctly, this little rig went to market with fewer bugs than even more recent mfrs' attempts, so even without certain features that would've been nice, the TS50 was/is a success. Like you said, it is one tough little radio.

Incidentally, I talked with the Kenwood rep at the Charlotte, NC hamfest a couple weeks ago and he said Kenwood is now working on the second generation of the TS50 which will include more accessable features, detachable face, and 6 meters to make it more competitive with other brands. If they keep the ruggedness and reliability of the original, it will be an awesome rig as well. I only wonder why they waited so long.

Best 73

Jerry
K4KWH

Aaron Jones wrote:

>
> kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote:
> >. . . I wuz raised in radio in the days when there was no such thing as a sidetone, I'm 90% oblivious to the thing, never noticed it's level. Don't need another freakin' button or knob or "menu selection".
>
> I modified my TS-50 by installing an *external* sidetone level control. When working CW mobile, the background road noise is quite different at 75 mph than it is when operating in a parking lot. Also I sometimes use earbuds (for XYL harmony) and other times an external speaker. Sidetone level adjustment for me was a necessity...
>
> Since the TS-50 comes with no sidetone adjustment, no internal keyer and no CW filter, I think you could say it's not really all that CW friendly. The only reason I have a TS-50 is that Ten Tec couldn't deliver a Scout for over a month, I had money to burn, and the TS-50 was the only other small HF rig available at the time (in 1994). That said, it's been a great rig. I installed the CW filter and have a Curtis K5 'lil bugger' keyer bolted to the side. The TS-50 is mounted on *top* of my dashboard. That makes it easy to operate without taking my eyes off the road. Unfortunately, this location also means that the rig gets very hot in the Arizona sun, especially when parked for a

> couple of hours. Also I have dropped it more than once, and have
> occasionally messed up by operating it full power into a bad load.
> This is one tough little rig...

From 8469132737635454845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8732b751248a7187
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 09:32:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <tbdi4eppv31772@corp.supernews.com>
<q0Kt6.925$qb1.118661@news.uswest.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10103202102240.22082-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Subject: Re: Repeater Directory Note "z"
Lines: 22
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Message-ID: <Mv5u6.387$zo1.260887@news.uswest.net>
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 17:27:30 -0000
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.10.250
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 985195628 216.160.10.250 (Wed, 21 Mar 2001 11:27:08 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 11:27:08 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17638

D. Stussy wrote in message ...

>> Sure it would be appropriate. Our club has one repeater set up
>> with "speed dial" to 10 area law enforcement agencies, including
>> FBI and BATF. We have never (in 23 years) had a known mis-use
>> of the facility.
>
>Obviously, that repeater is not in Southern California.
>

Since I live in Minnesota, I suppose it is obvious. Do you always
belabor the obvious?

Hans, K0HB

```
From -7576127588481097826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2bcdfa7faff76445
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 09:46:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!iquest!SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.30
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 12:36:39 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC807BD77A8@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <985041038.623.984778168@dobbbs.com>
Subject: Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM.
Lines: 8
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17639

The subtle irony to that slogan is that I think he he meant "loser".

"Peter Coggon" <peter.coggon@dobbbs.com> wrote in message
news:985041038.623.984778168@dobbbs.com...
> ... "In a debate, name-calling is the last resort of a looser." -by K2UL
> ___ Blue Wave/DOS v2.30 [NR]
```

```
From -6328053398393216540
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 10:08:08 PST
From: "Steve" <ai7w@NoSpam.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.amateur.space
References: <qcwa@news.qcwa-radio.net>
Subject: Re: A QCWA special Announcement
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 18:01:08 -0000
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.32.96
Message-ID: <3ab8ec74_1@huge.aa.net>
X-Trace: 21 Mar 2001 10:01:24 -0800, 206.58.32.96
Organization: Alternate Access Inc. Affordable, Reliable Access to the InterNet
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.157.220.254
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!newsfeed.nwlink.com!huge.aa.net!
206.58.32.96
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10775
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13774 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17640
rec.radio.amateur.space:2280

This post mis-represents the official position of the QCWA.
In fact, QCWA supported the introduction of the no code tech license
and supports the elimination of the incentive licensing program.
Steve


QCWA <QCWA@integrity-radio.org> wrote in message
news:qcwa@news.qcwa-radio.net...
>
> Based on on-the-air observations, it's been moved and seconded.  The QCWA
will
> no longer refer to tickets issued after April 15th, 2000 as licenses.
We're
> going to call them 'Asshole Identification Cards' or AIC's.
>




From 7121363444969429844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 10:34:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <d4rhbtoug32sgif4vqi96ne6itc6ta4rn1@4ax.com>
References: <3ab28985.225394853@news2.dvol.com>
<20010317123300.12822.00000602@nso-fj.aol.com> <3ab51197.52556799@news2.dvol.com>
<6i5dbtoj70d8iok02u6uo5o6pbeb66jlbj@4ax.com> <3ab7850a.213195035@news2.dvol.com>
<p63gbt0fhlccs62qllbpat318ep6h738vc@4ax.com> <3AB8DE1F.13BC@bellsouth.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 18:29:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.86.200.80
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985199374 209.86.200.80 (Wed, 21 Mar
2001 10:29:34 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 10:29:34 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17641
```

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>I talked with the Kenwood rep at the Charlotte, NC hamfest
>a couple weeks ago and he said Kenwood is now working on the second
>generation of the TS50 which will include more accessable features, detachable face...

A detachable face would be a nice feature to have. One of the more dangerous things for HF mobile operation (CW or phone) is to have to drop your eyes from the road to tune or adjust the rig. Mounting my TS-50 on top of the dashboard solved that problem, but it is somewhat unsightly, and perhaps a bit unsafe were a collision to occur. No matter how small the rig is it would likely feel uncomfortable stuffed in your mouth... :-)

```
From -7970441174621630700
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 12:24:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsfeed.kddnet.ad.jp!newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!
feeder.kornet.net!news1.kornet.net!not-for-mail
From: "Brenda Ann" <brendaannd@uswest.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 05:14:35 +0900
Organization: Brenda Ann
Lines: 3
Message-ID: <99b1v8$tn$1@news1.kornet.net>
References: <ECIt6.52$5V3.1430@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<985130253.3ab7e50d406a9@webmail.cotse.com> <3ab837d8.27448007@news.socket.net>
<999k99$b62$1@news1.kornet.net>
```

Reply-To: "Brenda Ann" <brendaannd@uswest.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 211.54.97.208
X-Trace: news1.kornet.net 985205548 951 211.54.97.208 (21 Mar 2001 20:12:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news.kornet.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 20:12:28 +0000 (UTC)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19062
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10783 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13782
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17643

The first amendmant has never covered libel.

From -3297936174186654713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 13:42:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB91FBA.47CCA98@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
References: <99adta$jkn$0@pita.alt.net>
<985202923.3ab900eb24ae7@webmail.cotse.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 21:38:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.214.13.186
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985210739 63.214.13.186 (Wed, 21 Mar
2001 13:38:59 PST)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 13:38:59 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19071
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10787 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13784
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17644

KC8JBO wrote:

> "Amendment," RETARD... etc.

Admitting a problem is a first step to mental healing. Now repeat after me: "I am not well - I am a sick person, but even though I am mentally challenged, that doesn't mean I can't get well." Then go see your doctor. Veterinarian. Whatever. Psychopath.

WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From 8960183084951202873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 14:18:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gakadb1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
<B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab58ded.1426699@news.mindspring.com>
<B6DC26B1.7203%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab83236.1523585@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>

X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 47
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 22:13:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985212832 206.58.44.12 (Wed, 21 Mar 2001 22:13:52 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 22:13:52 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17645

On Wed, 21 Mar 2001 04:50:52 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>>Add to that the right to enter while chasing a suspect.

The key is CHASING - hot pursuit of a violator for a crime committed outside the residence in the presence of the officers or when the crime observed from outside the residence indicates an immediate threat to safety of life. Other than that a LEO does not have the right of warrantless forcible entry even to apprehend a felony suspect who has standing to be in that residence. In plain language, the cops can "break into" a residence to apprehend a burglar or robber or anyone else that has no right to be in that place.

>>And add to that entrance into a residence to
>>put an end to loud parties and so forth (disturbing the peace).

Even when we had to enter an unoccupied building (or in one case cross the deck of an unoccupied boat tied up to a residence) in the course of interference-to-safety-communications investigations, we had to get a warrant from a Federal magistrate.

>They can knock on the door, but they can't just bust
>in without a warrant. If the occupant(s) don't quiet
>down, then there may be some justification, but I'll
>defer to Phil's understanding of such a situation if he
>decides to comment.

>> In fact, as I understand it, a police officer can enter a residence
>> without a warrant anytime there is evidence from outside the residence
>> that a crime is occurring inside the residence (a fight in a residence
>> that is visible from the street through a window might be one example
>> of this).
>
>Thatdoesn't cover an illegal (pirate) station operation at all.

That is correct. The "enforceable" offense of unlicensed operation based on the use of overpower/out-of-band/uncertified

equipment takes place WITHIN the residence and by itself does
not pose a sufficient threat to safety of life to justify a
warrantless break-in.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -2474749985459609935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 15:05:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Mar 2001 23:02:42 GMT
References: <985178199.3ab8a057b0940@webmail.cotse.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Message-ID: <20010321180242.20379.00000537@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17646

>Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
>From: KC8JBO rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com
>Date: 03/21/01 7:36 AM Eastern Standard Time

>> Put up or shut up. I have no record, other than a traffic ticket, anywhere.

>Such a contradictory statement. You said you don't have a record, then you
>say you have traffic tickets. At first, you said you had nothing at all. You
are
>a liar.

The statement was all-inclusive and overtly admitted the traffic violation. (Only one at that). He ommited nothing and contradicted nothing.

A liar he is not.

>MWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

I think someone's laughing, but more AT you than WITH you.

```
From 5865206139923564741
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 15:16:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Mar 2001 23:07:26 GMT
References: <d4rhbtoug32sgif4vqi96ne6itc6ta4rn1@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Message-ID: <20010321180726.20379.00000538@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17647

>Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
>From: Aaron Jones nomail@nomail.com
>Date: 03/21/01 1:29 PM Eastern Standard Time

> One of the more
>dangerous things for HF mobile operation (CW or phone) is to have to
>drop your eyes from the road to tune or adjust the rig. Mounting my
>TS-50 on top of the dashboard solved that problem, but it is somewhat
>unsightly, and perhaps a bit unsafe were a collision to occur

    HUD, or "Heads-Up-Display" technology has been around almost three times
longer than cellphones.  Perhaps it's time to encourage the mfgr's to develop
an HUD for mobile communications or cellphones?

73

Steve, K4YZ


From -4704036141397856795
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf8aeaa5dcacb51d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 15:27:45 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can someone explain antenna regs to me?
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 23:21:40 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab92b82.321349211@news2.dvol.com>
References: <990jnf$mqi$1@bob.news.rcn.net> <3ab3fe45.2060209@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.134
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.134
```

```
X-Trace: 21 Mar 2001 17:19:13 -0600, 64.24.17.134
Lines: 32
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17648

On Sun, 18 Mar 2001 00:19:49 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
wrote:


>>tried attic mounted antennas, the Ventenna (which won't fit over any of my
>>vent pipes without being seen) and a variety of other things that should
>>work but won't.
>Any news on new regulations regarding Hams and covenants??
>>Something really needs to be done about this.
>>
If you wait for legislation to fix your problem you'll probably be
collecting Social Security checks before you get on the air with a
usable antenna.
>
As a teenager you have your whole life ahead of you so first absorb
Lesson #1: Don't do to your kids what your parents did to you and buy
a home laced with cc&rs and Nazi neighbors.
>
The name of your game is beating the sumbitches and getting away with
an antenna else you'll have to wait until you get out of school and
into your own place and all that. Years from now. To hell with that,
teenagers don't relate to "years out" think. It's time for you to
implement anti-Nazi stealth technology, it's out there. There's an
applicable product which I've used successfully under the same
circumstances. The Wireman offers a combat-rated antenna wire which
you cannot see from a distance of more than maybe 30 feet away. Jump
to his website and check out his product #534 "Invisible # 26
Toughcoat Silky" antenna wire, 19 strands of copperweld with a really
tough dull black jacket. Snake a length of it into some tree at the
far end some dark nite and end-feed it.
>
w3rv



From 7237262031264068437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 15:30:03 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 23:27:17 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab92b3e.321280362@news2.dvol.com>
```

References: <3ab83493.2128684@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.134
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.134
X-Trace: 21 Mar 2001 17:24:51 -0600, 64.24.17.134
Lines: 23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17649

On Wed, 21 Mar 2001 04:59:07 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
wrote:

>FYI from R. Tannehill
>
>I see that on March 6, the CEPT changed it's HAREC
>Level 1 requirements from 12 wpm to 5 wpm.
>It is generally believed that all the remaining European
>countries who have not done so, will likly implement 5 wpm for
>full band/power access.
>
>The dominos are falling ever faster.
>

. . . Zzzzzzzz . . .

>

We've gotten past it Bill, apparently you people have not. Not a
helluva big surprise, actually.

>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>

w3rv

From 7464287744453504274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 15:32:08 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 23:24:40 GMT
Message-ID: <3ab920db.318621125@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ab28985.225394853@news2.dvol.com>
<20010317123300.12822.00000602@nso-fj.aol.com> <3ab51197.52556799@news2.dvol.com>
<6i5dbtoj70d8iok02u6uo5o6pbeb66jlbj@4ax.com> <3ab7850a.213195035@news2.dvol.com>
<p63gbt0fhlccs62qllbpat318ep6h738vc@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.134
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.134
X-Trace: 21 Mar 2001 17:22:14 -0600, 64.24.17.134
Lines: 81
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!
chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17650

On Wed, 21 Mar 2001 02:11:07 GMT, Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
wrote:
>
>I modified my TS-50 by installing an *external* sidetone level
>control. When working CW mobile, the background road noise is quite
>different at 75 mph than it is when operating in a parking lot. Also I
>sometimes use earbuds (for XYL harmony) and other times an external
>speaker. Sidetone level adjustment for me was a necessity...
>
I haven't put this one in the car yet, no clue. I'm not convinced I
wanna run cw while underway. I have two sidetones, one from the radio
and one from the keyer. The keyer sidetone level is front-panel
adjustable and booms when ys crank it up. I'll cross the mobile ops
bridge when I come to it.
>
>Since the TS-50 comes with no sidetone adjustment, no internal keyer
>and no CW filter, I think you could say it's not really all that CW
>friendly.
>
The only major complaint I have with the TS-50 as a cw rig is that
there is no way to turn off the agc.
>
>The only reason I have a TS-50 is that Ten Tec couldn't
>deliver a Scout for over a month, I had money to burn, and the TS-50
>was the only other small HF rig available at the time (in 1994). That
>said, it's been a great rig. I installed the CW filter
>
You're much better off with the TS-50. I got mine used for $500. I
just put the cw filter in mine and it makes the difference between the
radio being a pretty decent cw rig and a very lame cw rig. I wouldn't
take a TS-50 into one of the major dx contests as a serious competitor
but I ran quite well with it in the Russion dx contest and it's gonna
be my Field Day cw rig. For Field Day purposes the TS-50 will perform
just as well as my maxed-out TS-940. Which is a pretty strong
statement. I used somebody's TS-440 or some such crapper at the 20 cw
seat in Field Day last year, terrible radio in comparison with the
TS-50.
>
I'm living at a temporary qth where space counts so I opted for the
TS-50 instead of the TS-940 moose. I don't believe the dx pileups I've
been busting with the thing considering that it's design intent is to

be an underdash ssb rig. I used it with it's ssb filter as the NCS in
the "rrapnet" getogether, sure worked tits in that role.
>
>and have a
>Curtis K5 'lil bugger' keyer bolted to the side. The TS-50 is mounted
>on *top* of my dashboard. That makes it easy to operate without taking
>my eyes off the road.
>
I'm wrestling with the installation options in my weenie Geo, this is
gonna be a real exercise.
>
>Unfortunately, this location also means that the
>rig gets very hot in the Arizona sun, especially when parked for a
>couple of hours.
>
Been there, done it, unbelievable heat.
>
>Also I have dropped it more than once, and have
>occasionally messed up by operating it full power into a bad load.
>
I did that a couple days ago. I'm using a 475 foot "random length" end
fed wire I tune with an MFJ box. I was working some Euros on 80 then
moved to 40 to listen on Monday nite. Heard a German calling CQ,
without thinking I simply hit the paddles & worked the guy. *After* I
worked him I realized that I'd forgotten to retune the antenna from 80
to 40. Brilliant maneuver. The swr on 40 was offscale. I hit the
paddles again, the FS meter needle didn't even get off the pin but the
power out indicator in the TS-50 showed a wee bit of power out. The
radio had sensed my stupidity and cranked it's power output down and
defended itself from me. The radio is fine. I was a lot more impressed
with that trick than I was with the unintentional qrp contact with the
DJ5.
>
>This is one tough little rig...
>
Absolutely, could not agree more, solid like a brick. Biggest bang for
$500 I ever got in ham radio.
>
w3rv

From 248960076227569033
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f7d8a,3ce99e16f9b2a70a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7d8a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3ce99e16f9b2a70a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 15:52:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

```
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gakf170.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: The FCC Don'  Do  Nuthin'
Lines: 14
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 23:46:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985218385 206.58.44.12 (Wed, 21 Mar 2001 23:46:25
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 23:46:25 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17651 ba.broadcast:5141


  If you think that the FCC "don' do nuthin'" check out the
  following document on the FCC's web site:

   Released:  03/21/2001.  ENFORCEMENT BUREAU FIELD OPERATIONS LIST
                           OF ACTIONS TAKEN. (DA No.  01-711).  ENF

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From 411311233533261282
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 16:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!
sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gakfbv1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <d4rhbtoug32sgif4vqi96ne6itc6ta4rn1@4ax.com>
<20010321180726.20379.00000538@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Lines: 15
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 23:56:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985218978 206.58.44.12 (Wed, 21 Mar 2001 23:56:18 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 23:56:18 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17652

On 21 Mar 2001 23:07:26 GMT, Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

>   HUD, or "Heads-Up-Display" technology has been around almost three times
>longer than cellphones. Perhaps it's time to encourage the mfgr's to develop
>an HUD for mobile communications or cellphones?

  Public Cellphone Service was opened in 1981 in Washington DC.
  Do you mean to say that HUDs were around in WW-II ??

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 8738872264543231442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f7d8a,3ce99e16f9b2a70a
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7d8a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3ce99e16f9b2a70a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 16:22:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.stanford.edu!not-for-mail
From: techie@tantivy.stanford.edu (Bob Vaughan)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast
Subject: Re: The FCC Don' Do Nuthin'
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 00:13:43 +0000 (UTC)
Organization: Tantivy Associates
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <99bg3n$d4k$1@news.Stanford.EDU>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gakf170.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: tantivy.stanford.edu
X-Trace: news.Stanford.EDU 985220023 13460 171.64.234.70 (22 Mar 2001 00:13:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@news.stanford.edu
X-Newsreader: trn 4.0-test72 (19 April 1999)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17653 ba.broadcast:5142

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gakf170.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:

```
>
>  If you think that the FCC "don' do nuthin'" check out the
>  following document on the FCC's web site:
>
>   Released:  03/21/2001.  ENFORCEMENT BUREAU FIELD OPERATIONS LIST
>                           OF ACTIONS TAKEN. (DA No.  01-711).  ENF
>
>--
>  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP

Care to tell us where on the FCC site you found it?  I find a reference to
it thru their search engine, but I can't find the actual document..


--
               -- Welcome My Son, Welcome To The Machine --
Bob Vaughan  | techie@{w6yx|tantivy}.stanford.edu | kc6sxc@w6yx.ampr.org
          | P.O. Box 19792, Stanford, Ca 94309
-- I am Me, I am only Me, And no one else is Me, What could be simpler? --


From 9022086510497133740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 16:48:05 PST
From: "JWheeler" <kd5bcu at sydcom dot net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 18:39:32 -0600
Lines: 50
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.96.24.106
Message-ID: <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985221495
204.96.24.106 (21 Mar 2001 18:38:15 -0600)
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
```

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17654 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19079 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10800 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13794

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net...

> Now, every single person that I've met who feels that way is more than willing to meet reasonable requirements (the written tests, for example), so it is not an situation of wanting something for free. Instead, they all seem to be saying they want a fair price for what is offered (and learning Morse Code is not a fair price simply because you once had to pay it).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>

Part of this I can agree with, and part I must disagree with. Learning Morse code is actually a fair price to have to pay; not because I had to pay it or because anyone else had to pay it. It is a fair price to pay because that is what is required (at least for now). That may change in the near future. You can't go to Wal-Mart and say, "I think the price for this doohickey is too high, and that isn't fair. You need to give it me for less." When you go to Wal-Mart and see something you want, you either dig through the pocket lint to find enough money to get it, or you tell yourself it's too high a price and wait for them Rollback the price. Either that or you try to steal it and get nailed by the little old lady at the door. You can stand there and gripe at them for their outrageous asking price until your face turns four shades of blue and your head falls off. It doesn't matter. You don't get to set the price. They do. So, you just have to decide what you willing to pay. The very same principle holds true for amateur radio.

I'm not advocating one side over the other. Personally, I paid the asking price at the time. I started out as a no-code tech, but I sat down and studied the code and passed it, albeit at only 5wpm. I know there are those out there who say I am no more of a ham than they consider the no-code tech (it makes no difference that I was in the process of studying 13wpm when the current restructuring went into effect). I have a very dear friend who moved about 100 miles away making contact via 2m quite complicated I keep hounding him to upgrade, but he just won't do it. He plans to wait until they drop the code requirement completely, and that is his right. The price right now is too high. That's his decidsion. You have to make yours.

The above is just an opinion, and do you know what they say about opinions? They are like @ssholes. Everyone has one and they usually stink.

JWheeler
kd5bcu at sydcom dot net

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2622700410550073361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f7d8a,3ce99e16f9b2a70a
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7d8a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3ce99e16f9b2a70a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 16:50:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gakhr50.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gakf170.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <99bg3n$d4k$1@news.Stanford.EDU>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The FCC Don' Do Nuthin'
Lines: 27
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 00:46:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985221961 206.58.44.12 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 00:46:01 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 00:46:01 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com ba.broadcast:5143 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17655

On Thu, 22 Mar 2001 00:13:43 +0000 (UTC), Bob Vaughan wrote:

```
>>   Released:  03/21/2001.  ENFORCEMENT BUREAU FIELD OPERATIONS LIST
>>                           OF ACTIONS TAKEN. (DA No.  01-711).  ENF
>
>Care to tell us where on the FCC site you found it?  I find a reference to
>it thru their search engine, but I can't find the actual document..

  I got mine sent to me in .pdf format by the legal librarian at
  my main client.  It's ten pages of small type listing the
  violation notices and monetary forfeitures that the Bureau
  released during February 2001.

  I searched the FCC web site and didn't find it there either.  I
  don't think that the newsgroups allow formatted attachments - I
  know that I don't appreciate them!

  Call the FCC Call Center <1-888-225-5322> and ask why it wasn't
  posted and then ask them to mail it to you.  If we all did that
  for every document that they list in the Daily Digest but do
  not post for downloading, they may get the message someday.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP





From -2988982308857015239
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 17:38:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-
out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ab83493.2128684@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Lines: 19
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Message-ID: <jEcu6.2248$zo1.641814@news.uswest.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 01:34:26 -0000
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.39.237
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 985224847 216.160.39.237 (Wed, 21 Mar 2001 19:34:07 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 19:34:07 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17656


Bill Sohl wrote in message <3ab83493.2128684@news.mindspring.com>...

>
```

>The dominos are falling ever faster.
>

Bill, this is old news, leftover crap from the last millenium.

Grow up.

Hans

```
From 2445068377406162056
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,921054ecc67492f7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ff2580bd3c706b4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 17:55:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: Your-email@here.fcc (Your name here)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Top ten signs you live in Hiram, GA     #11
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 01:54:23 -0000
Organization: Your organization here
Message-ID: <tbimqftpfpvo43@news.supernews.com>
References: <992rbu$ghs$0@dosa.alt.net>
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 34
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:13801
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17657
```

Number 11: Stagger Lee is your next door neighbor.

SLee@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee) wrote in <992rbu$ghs$0@dosa.alt.net>:

```
>Top ten signs that your home is in Hiram, Georgia:
>
>10. Someone asks, "What died in here?" ...and you show them
>
> 9. Dust on TV screen gives everybody comical Andy Rooney eyebrows
>
> 8. When you are visited by the FCC, Riley Hollingsworth refuses to get
>    out of the van
```

>
> 7. The so-called "Dust Bunnies" have sharp, snapping teeth
>
> 6. Your house gets hit by a twister and it actually looks better
>
> 5. Guests take one look at your bathroom and decide to use the backyard
>
> 4. When someone from the health department rings your doorbell, you
>   say, "Not again!"
>
> 3. Every time you turn on a faucet, you hear a muffled barking sound
>
> 2. Even Roger Wiseman refuses to sleep on your floor
>
> 1. You've been receiving death threats from Mr. Clean
>
>
>
>

From 5884797588552857 49
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,301519d173cb6e9b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 18:01:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Mar 2001 01:58:29 GMT
References: <3AB52C11.CAFD2A2A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Save the ionosphere
Message-ID: <20010321205829.12299.00000110@nso-mi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17658

In article <3AB52C11.CAFD2A2A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Do lakes have beaches? I always thought that a true beach had to
>> have an ocean lapping up against it.
>
>Lake Tahoe has official signs pointing to its beaches.

Cecil:

Thank you for that bit of information. You just greatly increased the
value of my land holdings in Minnesota!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -937811205574382491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 19:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: ccom2@socket.net
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 03:43:16 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3ab9743a.44402111@news.socket.net>
References: <C6Vt6.247$dp4.4118@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<985178199.3ab8a057b0940@webmail.cotse.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 12
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19091
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10812 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13807
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17661

         He is baiting you all in to play his game, if no one comes
out to play he will go away like any brat when he is alone.
Mike

KC8JBO <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote:

>"Dickhead Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net> whined and stamped his feet in a
>little girl tantrum in message news:C6Vt6.247$dp4.4118@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>>

```
From -7787185644508714023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 19:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Date: 22 Mar 2001 03:39:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <99bs4p$j8u$0@208.207.71.149>
References: <3ab83493.2128684@news.mindspring.com>
<jEcu6.2248$zo1.641814@news.uswest.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.149
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17662



K0HB wrote:
>
> Bill Sohl wrote in message <3ab83493.2128684@news.mindspring.com>...
>
> >
> >The dominos are falling ever faster.
> >
>
> Bill, this is old news, leftover crap from the last millenium.
>
> Grow up.


 Never happen.
```

```
From -2714429508990404448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 20:14:07 PST
From: "JWheeler" <kd5bcu at sydcom dot net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
References: <99b1v8$tn$1@news1.kornet.net>
<985226467.3ab95ce327403@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 22:06:43 -0600
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.96.24.138
Message-ID: <3ab97a3a_4@corp.newsfeeds.com>
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985233978
204.96.24.138 (21 Mar 2001 22:06:18 -0600)
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
europa.netcrusader.net!216.65.3.50!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19094
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10815 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13809
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17663
```

Sounds like someone needs to get a life. Let's see what 'entertaining'
little comments this 'gentleman' can come up with for me.
KC8JBO <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:985226467.3ab95ce327403@webmail.cotse.com...
> SKANKY LESBIAN "Brenda Ann" <brendaannd@uswest.net> whined in message
> news:99b1v8$tn$1@news1.kornet.net...
> >
> > The first amendmant has never covered libel.
>
> OOOoooohhhhhhhh, we have ourselves a "jailhouse lawyer" in the yeast
infected
> BrenDUH here....
> I'm sorry that you are a lonely, fat girl living in the mid west and only
have
> corn husks to play with, if you know what I mean. Try and pick yourself up
a
> life or some clue or something.
> Understand what you speak of before you open your hotdog laden puss up and

> start yapping. Really. You seemed like a nice little twat, at first, but
all
> this talk of libel makes you sound pretty damn obsessed. I guess you were
> stalked or libeled or something earlier in life, and it sounds like YOUR
> problem.
> It sounds like it has made you sick to the point where you can't control
> yourself when you are word-spanked. That's too bad because your life is
going
> to be difficult. Word-spank happens all the time.
> Are you going to scream "Libeler" next time someone mentions you in line
at the
> hot dog stand? Scream "Libeler" next time someone beats your fat ass to
the Ice
> Cream truck? What the fuck, BrenDUH, are you going to be an idiot afraid
of
> every word-spank that pops up or are you going to try and be a woman and
eat
> all your hot dogs? Even though one belongs to the neighbor's dog?
>
> Use a killfile if you don't want to read something that a person writes.
Now,
> get back to your Twinkie before it melts, tubshitter. And no puking
tonight.
> You're only damaging your stomach and
> giving yourself bad breath.
>
> Like Georgie Arselicks the real life stalker says, "It's only words". Too
bad
> he, and you, can't handle the words.
>
>
>
>
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7157671455238866153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 20:24:37 PST
Message-ID: <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 22:12:08 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985234530
64.240.47.120 (21 Mar 2001 22:15:30 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17664
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19096 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10817
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13811
```

JWheeler wrote:

> You can't go to Wal-Mart and say, "I think the price for this
> doohickey is too high, and that isn't fair.

Not a good analogy. Wal-Mart is engaged in a relatively free market
with lots of competitors. If one doesn't like the price asked by
Wal-Mart, one can go to any number of competitors. If one doesn't
like the price asked by the FCC, what competitor can one go to?

Again, if one is to obtain amateur radio privileges, one is forced
to pay the price set by the FCC which holds a monopoly.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7663513191920132593
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 22:29:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
newsfeed.nettuno.it!newsfeed2.skycache.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB99A53.D17A5323@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <3AB52AAF.F5EB6240@IEEE.org> <20010319212219.10859.00000534@nso-
cg.aol.com> <3AB7BE9A.7729BE2C@uci.edu> <3AB7C5C2.4F1184BA@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 06:23:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.39
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985242188 207.0.147.39 (Wed, 21 Mar 2001
22:23:08 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 21 Mar 2001 22:23:08 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17665

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Anybody who claims to be inferior to a paramecium, apparently has a
> > superior sense of humor. :-)
>
> When a paramecium cell divides, two identical adult paramecium
> are the result. If you are so superior, let's see you do that.

A paramecium may have us beat in division but humans seem to have the
edge in the ability to multiply...

Dave K8MN

```
From 1944703952322002398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 23:18:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 02:16:43 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <B6DF110A.7430%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
<B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab58ded.1426699@news.mindspring.com>
<B6DC26B1.7203%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab83236.1523585@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.31
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985245325 281264 207.144.64.31 (22 Mar 2001 07:15:25
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 07:15:25 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17666
```

Bill Sohl wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>>> I suggest you have that wrong. Unless
>>> there's threats of violence, or bodily

>>> harm, I don't see any such waiver of the
>>> need for a warrant.
>>
>> Of course there is, Bill. Think about it.
>> I've already mentioned the screams, or
>> other sounds of conflict, situation.
>
> That IS threats of violence or bodily harm.
>
>> Add to that the right to
>> enter while chasing a suspect.
>
> How does that even remotely cover an illegal
> station operation?

Heck, you were the one who brought up "threats of violence, or bodily harm," which also has nothing to do with illegal station operation. I was just giving other examples, were a cop can enter a residence, to add to your rather short list.

>> In fact, as I understand it, a police
>> officer can enter a residence without
>> a warrant anytime there is evidence
>> from outside the residence [snip]
>
> That doesn't cover an illegal (pirate)
> station operation at all.

Never said it did - see above.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -7246132752103155913
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-21 23:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 20
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /
Kkf2JqyVTf3wrOO0EiKSLsdgWSTwCd52IcwpocK6LozjBGb5hCm1TfCZiM5G9LjCv+zO1ISM11W!
15yZBzhvCcYCNAhRp+lm/sbRmS1Bz+NcYkadpb3Sww9B5SZk5dHi7qya5qf62gAaub4X5pGMLxoD!
2Wr7nxxq
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 07:45:39 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 07:45:40 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17667
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19104 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10821
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13815

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org...

> SNIP
> If one doesn't like the price asked by the FCC, what competitor can one go to?
> Again, if one is to obtain amateur radio privileges, one is forced to pay the price set by the > FCC which holds a monopoly.
> --

So what? If you want it, pay the price. If not, don't. There's no other hobby I know of which provides so many rewards for such a small investment.

Obtain a business license, driver license, hunting license, fishing license, etc. These are all "monopoly" controlled. If you want one, you pay the price (and they are a lot costlier than that of a ham ticket).

73 de W6DKN

From -7226624939028933507
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 00:00:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 02:55:16 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <B6DF1A14.7431%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
<B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab58ded.1426699@news.mindspring.com>
<B6DC26B1.7203%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab83236.1523585@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gakadb1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.31
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985247636 282221 207.144.64.31 (22 Mar 2001 07:53:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 07:53:56 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17668

Phil Kane wrote:

> Even when we had to enter an unoccupied
> building (or in one case cross the deck
> of an unoccupied boat tied up to a
> residence) in the course of interference
> -to-safety-communications investigations,

> we had to get a warrant from a Federal
> magistrate.
>
> [snip]
>
> That is correct. The "enforceable" offense
> of unlicensed operation based on the use of
> overpower/out-of-band/uncertified equipment
> takes place WITHIN the residence and by
> itself does not pose a sufficient threat to
> safety of life to justify a warrantless
> break-in.

I think you're centering too much on FCC procedures. Again, I doubt local laws, written in response to HR-2346, will require the same procedures as those used by the FCC. Instead, I strongly suspect this will be added to the "public nuisance" laws (disturbing the peace), and local cops will use existing procedures under those laws to also enforce those interference complaints.

Of course, we'll never know for sure until some community actually decides to take on CB enforcement and actually writes a law. And, if we disagree with that law, we'll have to wait until it is challenged in a higher court to see if it will stick. Until then, all of this is pure speculation.

With that in mind, I think I'll back out of this discussion for now. Take care.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 865584987270862143
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 00:10:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!skynet.be!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 03:08:58 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <B6DF1D4A.7432%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>

<B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org>
<B6DAEE66.70E4%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab63b04.6337440@news.aepnet.com>
<B6DC2F2E.7204%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB6CE23.74AC70C1@toast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.31
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985248458 283774 207.144.64.31 (22 Mar 2001 08:07:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 08:07:38 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17669

John O'Flaherty wrote:

> Even if you stayed with code for better
> s/n, you could use automatic encoder
> and decoder to do it, no?

That's true. I have software on my computer that is supposed to send and receive code, but to be honest I never got around to hooking up the computer and radio. I have the proper cables, so I guess I'll mess with it one day soon just to see how well it works.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 9144110434174295564
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 00:46:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 03:41:33 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <B6DF24EC.7433%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB18470.EC0CAC2C@arrl.org>
<B6D9BDBE.6F1C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AB4D732.E6616E85@arrl.org>

<B6DAEE66.70E4%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab63b04.6337440@news.aepnet.com>
<B6DC2F2E.7204%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab70a55.28451300@news.aepnet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.31
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985250413 283214 207.144.64.31 (22 Mar 2001 08:40:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 08:40:13 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17670

Wes Stewart wrote:

> Confining the discussion to 2m, which I
> believe is the focus of this thread...

I don't think any specific subject is the "focus of this thread" at this point. :-)

> Really? You saw a "number" of EME
> stations that routinely make SSB QSOs?

Yep. I passed this house with a ton of antennas on it each day on my way to the local military base (Vicenza, Italy). When I saw the guy out front one day, I stopped and asked if he was a ham (radio amatoire, or something like that). Of course, that prompted a visit to his "shack" and a two hour discussion about radios and American hams (which ended with a determined invitation to visit again).

During later visits, he gave me a demonstration of his moonbounce capability (my main interest since I had never seen this before) and he took me around to meet a couple of his friends in nearby towns with moonbounce setups (we went to a third friend's house but he wasn't home). Since I didn't see a code key anywhere, I can only assume they were also using voice (though I never actually saw his friends operate).

As for how well he was able to communicate, that I cannot answer - his English was terrible and my Italian was even worse (he used Italian on the radio), but he didn't seem to be having any great difficulty and the signals sounded fine to me (not great, but I suppose I could have easily followed along if I spoke Italian better).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -5885384276651373638
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 01:02:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 03:57:47 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <B6DF28BB.7434%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.31
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985251387 284470 207.144.64.31 (22 Mar 2001 08:56:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 08:56:27 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17671
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19105 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10823
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13816

JWheeler wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>
>> [snip]
>
> Part of this I can agree with, and part
> I must disagree with.

I agree and disagree with parts of it also, JW. As for my specific case, CW has never interested me, nor has HF. In other words, I'm pretty happy with what I have. Perhaps one day I'll make the effort to learn code (if I can every get away from the long list of other things that seem to eat up all my free time). In the meantime, I don't waste much time worrying about it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3291405925198073257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b1c7ce293f296c9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 01:18:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootleg Operators on 10 Meters
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 04:15:25 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <B6DF2CDC.7435%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <d4rhbtoug32sgif4vqi96ne6itc6ta4rn1@4ax.com> <20010321180726.20379.00000538@ng-mq1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.31
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985252446 284627 207.144.64.31 (22 Mar 2001 09:14:06 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 09:14:06 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17672

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

> HUD, or "Heads-Up-Display" technology has
> been around almost three times longer than
> cellphones. Perhaps it's time to encourage
> the mfgr's to develop an HUD for mobile
> communications or cellphones?

About three years ago, BMW, or was it Mercedes? Anyway, a German car maker actually put a HUD in a few of their test cars and was not very impressed with the results (it seems their test drivers were distracted by it).

According to the article, it was sometimes difficult to read as distracting objects moved behind the display, causing the driver to strain to read the display (thereby taking concentration off the road itself). Several other problems were also listed, but I don't remember what they were at the moment (I only had a quick read of a magazine at a repair shop).

Anyway, as I remember it, the company decided to head back to the drawing board to see if they could design a less distracting version. And that was the last time I heard about a car maker actually trying it.

I wonder if any of the US car makers have tried it and what their results were.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 207978117373322779
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 01:19:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB9C288.6686DBB8@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
References: <3AB91FBA.47CCA98@earthlink.net>
<985226376.3ab95c888b455@webmail.cotse.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26

Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 09:13:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.160.105
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985252402 209.245.160.105 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 01:13:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 01:13:22 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19106
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10824 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13817
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17673

KC8JBO "wrote":

> FUCKHEADED MORON ....Go fuck yourself, aids-carrying spermswallower.
> ....Too bad you killed that child while driving under the influence........

> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
Check this out. The psycho is attempting to pretend he's a regular human being.
Perhaps if you add one more crappy antenna to your
"look-at-me-I-gots-me-a-raydeeo-I'm-a-big-boy-momma" list, people will stop crossing
the street and hiding their children when they see you coming? And now, back to
sheep groping, Roger. Utilize all of your four years of schooling and try to limit
your spelling and grammar mistakes to less than five in your next psychotic
episode.

Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From 5720575196046806540
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 01:27:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 04:22:48 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services

```
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <B6DF2E97.7436%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3AB52AAF.F5EB6240@IEEE.org> <20010319212219.10859.00000534@nso-cg.aol.com> <3AB7BE9A.7729BE2C@uci.edu> <3AB7C5C2.4F1184BA@IEEE.org> <3AB99A53.D17A5323@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.31
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985252887 285850 207.144.64.31 (22 Mar 2001 09:21:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 09:21:27 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17674
```

Dave Heil wrote:

> W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Jim Kelley wrote:
>>> Anybody who claims to be inferior to
>>> a paramecium, apparently has a
>>> superior sense of humor. :-)
>>
>> When a paramecium cell divides, two
>> identical adult paramecium are the
>> result. If you are so superior, let's
>> see you do that.
>
> A paramecium may have us beat in division
> but humans seem to have the edge in the
> ability to multiply...

Oh no, rabbits definately have the edge in the ability to multiply. :-)

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 2530351961752405884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 01:31:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AB9C624.2D72BBA3@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 09:28:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.160.105
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985253332 209.245.160.105 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 01:28:52 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 01:28:52 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17675 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19107 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10825 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13818

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Wal-Mart is engaged in a relatively free market
> with lots of competitors. If one doesn't like the price asked by
> Wal-Mart, one can go to any number of competitors. If one doesn't
> like the price asked by the FCC, what competitor can one go to?
> Again, if one is to obtain amateur radio privileges, one is forced
> to pay the price set by the FCC which holds a monopoly.

Yep. Also, it's a damn shame the Department of Transportation holds a monopoly on traffic regulations in this country, 'cause I'd like to switch to one that'll allow me unlimited speed on highways, as well as the right to drive the wrong way in a one-way street while drunk like a skunk.

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

--

Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

```
From -3452295016633465195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 04:11:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: 
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 12:08:27 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3ab9ea8b.874724@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.f2
X-Server-Date: 22 Mar 2001 12:08:43 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17676
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19109 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10828
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13821

On Wed, 21 Mar 2001 22:12:08 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>JWheeler wrote:
>> You can't go to Wal-Mart and say, "I think the price for this
>> doohickey is too high, and that isn't fair.
>
>Not a good analogy. Wal-Mart is engaged in a relatively free market
>with lots of competitors. If one doesn't like the price asked by
>Wal-Mart, one can go to any number of competitors.
```

Additionally, it is market forces that set the price that
Wal-Mart uses...it is not just an executive decision to
charge $Xand the hell with the consumer. If X is too
high, WalMart goes chapter 11.

>If one doesn't
>like the price asked by the FCC, what competitor can one go to?
>Again, if one is to obtain amateur radio privileges, one is forced
>to pay the price set by the FCC which holds a monopoly.

And the "price" set by the FCC is not based on any
competitive concerns.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5031326090675022340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 04:13:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 12:11:43 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.f2
X-Server-Date: 22 Mar 2001 12:12:01 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17677

```
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19110 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10829
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13822

On Thu, 22 Mar 2001 07:45:40 GMT, "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote:

>"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>news:3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org...
>
>> SNIP
>> If one doesn't like the price asked by the FCC, what competitor can one
>go to?
>> Again, if one is to obtain amateur radio privileges, one is forced to pay
>the price set by the > FCC which holds a monopoly.
>> --
>
>So what?  If you want it, pay the price. If not, don't. There's no other
>hobby I know of which provides so many rewards for such a small investment.
>
>Obtain a business license, driver license, hunting license, fishing
>license, etc. These are all "monopoly" controlled. If you want one, you pay
>the price (and they are a lot costlier than that of a ham ticket).
>73 de W6DKN

As long as the "price" set by the FCC is rational you'll get no
argument from me.  If the state you live in set a driver's license
price of $1000, would you just pay that or would you join the
voice of public discontent and demand rational fees.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -7994880680365431168
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 04:18:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 12:15:14 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <3ab9ec61.1344408@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
```

```
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
<B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab58ded.1426699@news.mindspring.com>
<B6DC26B1.7203%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab83236.1523585@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gakadb1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6DF1A14.7431%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.f2
X-Server-Date: 22 Mar 2001 12:15:34 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17678

On Thu, 22 Mar 2001 02:55:16 -0500, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>
>> Even when we had to enter an unoccupied
>> building (or in one case cross the deck
>> of an unoccupied boat tied up to a
>> residence) in the course of interference
>> -to-safety-communications investigations,
>> we had to get a warrant from a Federal
>> magistrate.
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> That is  correct.  The "enforceable" offense
>> of unlicensed operation based on the use of
>> overpower/out-of-band/uncertified equipment
>> takes place WITHIN the residence and by
>> itself does not pose a sufficient threat to
>> safety of life to justify a warrantless
>> break-in.
>
>  I think you're centering too much on FCC procedures.  Again, I doubt local
>laws, written in response to HR-2346, will require the same procedures as
>those used by the FCC.  Instead, I strongly suspect this will be added to
>the "public nuisance" laws (disturbing the peace), and local cops will use
>existing procedures under those laws to also enforce those interference
>complaints.

Public nuisance laws do not allow forced entry or warrantless
searches...at least not in any state I've lived in.

>  Of course, we'll never know for sure until some community actually decides
>to take on CB enforcement and actually writes a law.  And, if we disagree
```

>with that law, we'll have to wait until it is challenged in a higher court
>to see if it will stick. Until then, all of this is pure speculation.

Has even one community taken such action?

> With that in mind, I think I'll back out of this discussion for now. Take
>care.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -1354536837852395019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 04:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 12:18:27 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <3ab9ecec.1483418@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
<B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab58ded.1426699@news.mindspring.com>
<B6DC26B1.7203%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab83236.1523585@news.mindspring.com>
<B6DF110A.7430%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.f2
X-Server-Date: 22 Mar 2001 12:18:52 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17679

On Thu, 22 Mar 2001 02:16:43 -0500, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>
>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>
>>>> I suggest you have that wrong. Unless
>>>> there's threats of violence, or bodily
>>>> harm, I don't see any such waiver of the
>>>> need for a warrant.
>>>
>>> Of course there is, Bill. Think about it.
>>> I've already mentioned the screams, or
>>> other sounds of conflict, situation.
>>
>> That IS threats of violence or bodily harm.
>>
>>> Add to that the right to
>>> enter while chasing a suspect.
>>
>> How does that even remotely cover an illegal
>> station operation?
>
> Heck, you were the one who brought up "threats of violence, or bodily
>harm," which also has nothing to do with illegal station operation. I was
>just giving other examples, were a cop can enter a residence, to add to your
>rather short list.

And I say again, no warrant, no entry, for any
CB violation. The reality is that the LEOs have no
expertise in making even a valid determination as
to who or where any interference comes from.

>>> In fact, as I understand it, a police
>>> officer can enter a residence without
>>> a warrant anytime there is evidence
>>> from outside the residence [snip]
>>
>> That doesn't cover an illegal (pirate)
>> station operation at all.
>
> Never said it did - see above.

Then just how does Mr. local police officer
gain entry to anyone's home to examine an
aleged illegal station?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2725571545439201789

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 05:49:37 PST
Message-ID: <3ABA0046.4CF738EA@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 07:38:14 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985268494
64.240.47.99 (22 Mar 2001 07:41:34 -0600)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17680
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19113 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10833
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13824
```

W6DKN wrote:
>

> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org...
>
> > SNIP
> > If one doesn't like the price asked by the FCC, what competitor can one
> go to?
> > Again, if one is to obtain amateur radio privileges, one is forced to pay
> the price set by the > FCC which holds a monopoly.
>
> So what? If you want it, pay the price. If not, don't. There's no other
> hobby I know of which provides so many rewards for such a small investment.

I wasn't making a moral judgment, just an observation based on the
way things are. Wal-Mart was not a good analogy to the government.

> Obtain a business license, driver license, hunting license, fishing
> license, etc. These are all "monopoly" controlled. If you want one, you pay
> the price (and they are a lot costlier than that of a ham ticket).

Yep, that's exactly what I said. In return for certain privileges granted,
the government forces citizens to meet certain requirements. That's what
governments do.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 535487385830049796
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 05:50:44 PST
Message-ID: <3ABA00B5.525F66DF@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 07:40:05 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
```

```
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org>
<3AB9C624.2D72BBA3@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985268605
64.240.47.99 (22 Mar 2001 07:43:25 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17681
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19114 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10834
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13825
```

Zoran Brlecic wrote:

> Also, it's a damn shame the Department of Transportation holds a monopoly
> on traffic regulations in this country, 'cause I'd like to switch to one that'll
> allow me unlimited speed on highways, ...

Move to Germany. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4368865221632359755
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 06:03:52 PST
Message-ID: <3ABA02C4.2D3D1007@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 07:48:52 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <3AB52AAF.F5EB6240@IEEE.org> <20010319212219.10859.00000534@nso-cg.aol.com> <3AB7BE9A.7729BE2C@uci.edu> <3AB7C5C2.4F1184BA@IEEE.org> <3AB99A53.D17A5323@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985269131 64.240.47.99 (22 Mar 2001 07:52:11 -0600)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17682

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > Anybody who claims to be inferior to a paramecium, apparently has a
> > > superior sense of humor. :-)
> >
> > When a paramecium cell divides, two identical adult paramecium
> > are the result. If you are so superior, let's see you do that.
>
> A paramecium may have us beat in division but humans seem to have the
> edge in the ability to multiply...

Paramecium multiply just fine. I've seen more paramecium in a glass
of water than people in my home county.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -187397716363281619
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2f2355955b446e93,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 06:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!news.tele.dk!news.dizum.com!sewer-output!
mail2news
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 08:00:23 -0600
From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remailer@anon.xg.nu>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
     It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
     Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
     remailer administrator at <abuse@anon.xg.nu>.
Subject: Re: The FCC Don Do Nuthin
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Message-ID: <b89e0c8814d42145ed06e433d1ea36cf@anon.xg.nu>
Mail-To-News-Contact: abuse@dizum.com
Organization: mail2news@dizum.com
Lines: 14
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17683

Poor Kane, still dreaming about when he would jump in his rusty little
Toyota, mount the little blue light and play crime busters by hunting
down CBers running seven watts power.  LOL LOL LOL   Bureaucrats, such
clowns.




---
This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
http://anon.xg.nu
---





From -3888381449765494754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 09:29:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
```

newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABA359D.EE0EBC64@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <3AB9C624.2D72BBA3@earthlink.net> <3ABA00B5.525F66DF@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 17:24:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.154.185.12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985281861 64.154.185.12 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 09:24:21 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 09:24:21 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17684 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19132 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10840 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13832

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> > Also, it's a damn shame the Department of Transportation holds a monopoly
> > on traffic regulations in this country, 'cause I'd like to switch to one that'll
> > allow me unlimited speed on highways, ...
>
> Move to Germany. :-)

Speaking of which, if Silicon Valley is near San Francisco, does that mean that Germanium Valley is 0.5 miles lower?

WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From -1579427545856035474
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 09:56:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 22 Mar 2001 17:48:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <99ddu4$gka$0@208.206.142.104>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.104
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17685
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19134 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10841
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13834

W6DKN wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org...
>
> > SNIP
> > If one doesn't like the price asked by the FCC, what competitor can one
> go to?

> > Again, if one is to obtain amateur radio privileges, one is forced to pay
> the price set by the > FCC which holds a monopoly.
> > --
>
> So what? If you want it, pay the price. If not, don't. There's no other
> hobby I know of which provides so many rewards for such a small investment.
>
> Obtain a business license, driver license, hunting license, fishing
> license, etc. These are all "monopoly" controlled. If you want one, you pay
> the price (and they are a lot costlier than that of a ham ticket).
>

They're doing their best to establish that a ham radio license is a
"right".

```
From 4736222126034713092
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 11:21:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!
news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 12:06:44 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <99dj5i$7g4$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org>
<3AB9C624.2D72BBA3@earthlink.net> <3ABA00B5.525F66DF@IEEE.org>
<3ABA359D.EE0EBC64@earthlink.net>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-29-9.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.29.9 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17686
```

> > Move to Germany. :-)
>

> Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> Speaking of which, if Silicon Valley is near San Francisco,
> does that mean that Germanium Valley is 0.5 miles lower?

You may have it confused with Polonium Pond or Francium Fields.
It's doubtful you mean Americium Acres.

The Gallium-Arsenide Alley is much higher, though.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From 4287118630271399979
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 11:50:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABA3228.1E09@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
<B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab58ded.1426699@news.mindspring.com>
<B6DC26B1.7203%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab83236.1523585@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gakadb1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6DF1A14.7431%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab9ec61.1344408@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 75
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 12:11:04 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.212
X-Trace: news2.atl 985290273 209.214.186.212 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 14:44:33 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 14:44:33 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17687

Bill,

I do know that a city councilman in my city (Gastonia, NC) has this under advisement with the city attorney. Seems he has been plagued with a CB station in his neighborhood that has a "dirty"(?) kilowatt amp. He and his neighbors have been swamped by this guy for a number of years and have been unable to obtain relief. FCC was unresponsive. When he learned of this law, he immediately searched it on the internet and is now having the attorney do the research. I don't know if City Council will actually act on it, but from what I'm told, the councilman is going to push it HARD.

I found out about this from the clerk in a local store who lives in that area of town. Since he knows I am into radio, he filled me in on the details. The neighbors out there, from what he says, are very much in favor. As much as I sympathize with them, I can't very well tell him that I'm not exactly thrilled at seeing this come to pass! I think it is a BAD law that will cause trouble for innocent CBers, hams, or other legal radio stations. It *may* be that lawsuits will be filed against untrained LEOS and governments and, stung by these suits, they will bail out of the issue.

Jerry

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Thu, 22 Mar 2001 02:55:16 -0500, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>
> >Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> >> Even when we had to enter an unoccupied
> >> building (or in one case cross the deck
> >> of an unoccupied boat tied up to a
> >> residence) in the course of interference
> >> -to-safety-communications investigations,
> >> we had to get a warrant from a Federal
> >> magistrate.
> >>
> >> [snip]
> >>
> >> That is correct. The "enforceable" offense
> >> of unlicensed operation based on the use of

```
> >> overpower/out-of-band/uncertified equipment
> >> takes place WITHIN the residence and by
> >> itself does not pose a sufficient threat to
> >> safety of life to justify a warrantless
> >> break-in.
> >
> >  I think you're centering too much on FCC procedures.  Again, I doubt local
> >laws, written in response to HR-2346, will require the same procedures as
> >those used by the FCC.  Instead, I strongly suspect this will be added to
> >the "public nuisance" laws (disturbing the peace), and local cops will use
> >existing procedures under those laws to also enforce those interference
> >complaints.
>
> Public nuisance laws do not allow forced entry or warrantless
> searches...at least not in any state I've lived in.
>
> >  Of course, we'll never know for sure until some community actually decides
> >to take on CB enforcement and actually writes a law.  And, if we disagree
> >with that law, we'll have to wait until it is challenged in a higher court
> >to see if it will stick.  Until then, all of this is pure speculation.
>
> Has even one community taken such action?
>
> >  With that in mind, I think I'll back out of this discussion for now.  Take
> >care.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK




From 6697067443917726844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 13:20:52 PST
Message-ID: <3ABA69EB.2894F262@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 15:08:59 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
```

References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org>
<3AB9C624.2D72BBA3@earthlink.net> <3ABA00B5.525F66DF@IEEE.org>
<3ABA359D.EE0EBC64@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985295539 64.240.47.124 (22 Mar 2001 15:12:19 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17688
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19148 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10845
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13836

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> Speaking of which, if Silicon Valley is near San Francisco, does that mean that
> Germanium Valley is 0.5 miles lower?

No, but Geranium Valley is down there somewhere.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1050212861523674243
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 13:21:39 PST
Message-ID: <3ABA6970.D91B86B8@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 15:06:56 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <99ddu4$gka$0@208.206.142.104>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985295416
64.240.47.124 (22 Mar 2001 15:10:16 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newshub2.home.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17689
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19149 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10846
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13837

Dick Carroll wrote:
> They're doing their best to establish that a ham radio license is a
> "right".

Nope, we're doing our best to establish that in order to gain certain privileges (amateur radio privileges, driving privileges, flying privileges, etc.) a citizen is forced to meet the requirements dictated by the government.
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4246847788645463452
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,9694092f8264279d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9694092f8264279d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9694092f8264279d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 13:34:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Air Force one and Secret Service Frequencies
From: email_addres@nospam.here.com (UTES Listener)
Organization: Type your organization here
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <U5uu6.16$ya4.11007@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 21:26:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.8.253.41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 985296372 208.8.253.41 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 16:26:12 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 16:26:12 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17690 rec.radio.scanner:15315 rec.radio.shortwave:29482
```

What are the most active and popular Air force one and Secret service
Frequencies? I realize most comms are scrambled and encrypted, but it's
still fun to try and monitor something in the clear.

Utilites Listener

```
From -1465608461845764650
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f84e8,b86f303ae7dd24bc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf84e8,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b86f303ae7dd24bc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,b86f303ae7dd24bc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,b86f303ae7dd24bc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b86f303ae7dd24bc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 14:20:18 PST
Message-ID: <3ABA7A14.EE6D6F33@evl.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 16:17:56 -0600
From: A Marzo <aerome@evl.net>
Reply-To: nospam@evl.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.ama
teur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.equipment
Subject: Re: soon to be infamous nocode n8wwm doug adair of southern cal
References: <985294708.3aba6774d6d29@webmail.cotse.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: rsvp-208-187-66-169.ac01.dlls.eli.net
X-Trace: newsa.ev1.net 985299259 rsvp-208-187-66-169.ac01.dlls.eli.net (22 Mar
2001 16:14:19 -0600)
Lines: 18
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!194.176.220.129!
newsfeed.icl.net!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!newsa.ev1.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17691
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13839 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2054
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10849

This is the same professor that graces us with his other knowledge and views.  Why
doesn't someone just find him and kick his little ass, like his daddy should have?
Now I gotta put another one of his addresses in the kill file!

fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com wrote:

> From: "TWISTIEFAGGOT @boyzASSES.cum" <TWISTIEfag@boyzASSES.cum>
> Sender: "TWISTIEFAGGOT @boyzASSES.cum" <TWISTIEfag@boyzASSES.cum>
> Reply-To: "TWISTIEFAGGOT @boyzASSES.cum" <TWISTIEfag@boyzASSES.cum>
> Subject: Faggot Twistie speaks out
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> X-User-Info: 207.155.223.30 207.155.223.30
> References: <3AB9429C.6284@bellsouth.net>
> <19782-3AB94F5C-11@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net> NNTP-Posting-Host:
pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com Message-ID: <3ab96f94@news2.mibx.net> Date: 22 Mar 2001
03:20:52 GMT X-Trace: 22 Mar 2001 03:20:52 GMT, pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com Lines: 12
Path:
> typhoon01.sbc.net!cyclone-backup.sbc.net!cyclone.swbell.net!newsfeed2.skycac
he.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!ne
ws.tele.dk!195.158.233.21!news1.ebone.net!news.ebone.net!newsfeed.freenet.de !
nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
> Xref: cyclone.swbell.net rec.radio.cb:203516
> TWISTIEFAGGOT @boyzASSES.cum <TWISTIEfag@boyzASSES.cum> wrote in message
news:3ab96f94@news2.mibx.net...
> sukkahed@webtv.net wrote:
```

> I remember sucking Jeff Lynne's dick. I probably would have flipped if he hadnt let me! Had a hard enough time dealing with ELO rolling their tongues on my nuts when I wanted to roll mine on THEIRS! On "Bruce" in the song "Don't bring me down", I got really ripped off. I guzzled all their cum and they were supposed to say "TWISTIEFAG", not "bruce".

From -7869579072012064067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,b86f303ae7dd24bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b86f303ae7dd24bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,b86f303ae7dd24bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,b86f303ae7dd24bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f84e8,b86f303ae7dd24bc
X-Google-Attributes: gidf84e8,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 14:28:25 PST
Message-ID: <3ABA7C25.F2C2D7AD@evl.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 16:26:45 -0600
From: A Marzo <aerome@evl.net>
Reply-To: nospam@evl.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.digital,rec.radio.amateur.equipment
Subject: Re: soon to be infamous nocode n8wwm doug adair of southern cal
References: <985294708.3aba6774d6d29@webmail.cotse.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: rsvp-208-187-66-169.ac01.dlls.eli.net
X-Trace: newsa.ev1.net 985299788 rsvp-208-187-66-169.ac01.dlls.eli.net (22 Mar 2001 16:23:08 -0600)
Lines: 9
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!newsa.ev1.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17692
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13840 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2055
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10850

This is the same professor that graces us with his other knowledge and views. Why doesn't someone just find him and kick his little ass, like his daddy should have? Now I gotta put another one of his addresses in the kill file!

fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com wrote:

> His IQ, obviously!

```
From 2989431559596307175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 15:14:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gam75l0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3aa56fc2.2420973@news.mindspring.com> <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133>
<3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com> <985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157>
<3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
<B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab58ded.1426699@news.mindspring.com>
<B6DC26B1.7203%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab83236.1523585@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gakadb1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6DF1A14.7431%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab9ec61.1344408@news.mindspring.com>
<3ABA3228.1E09@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Lines: 20
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 23:14:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.53
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985302862 206.58.44.53 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 23:14:22
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 23:14:22 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17693
```

On Thu, 22 Mar 2001 12:11:04 -0500, Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>I do know that a city councilman in my city (Gastonia, NC) has this

>under advisement with the city attorney.

>I think it
>is a BAD law that will cause trouble for innocent CBers, hams, or other
>legal radio stations. It *may* be that lawsuits will be filed against
>untrained LEOS and governments and, stung by these suits, they will bail
>out of the issue.

Interesting to note that we haven't heard that Beloit, WI,
the community that pressured Sen. Finegold to get the locals
jurisdiction, has passed such a law.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -759880091426572566
```

From -759880091426572566
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 17:26:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
References: <99b1v8$tn$1@news1.kornet.net>
<985226467.3ab95ce327403@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <Nvxu6.350$kP1.3998@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 20:21:40 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.65.163
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 985310317 216.117.65.163 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 19:18:37
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 19:18:37 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19165

```
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10863 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13842
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17694
```

I really wanted to stay out this but ole weird Roger is in so many newsgroups and he is the type of guy that everyone would love (to put duct tape over his mouth). But I find myself wanting to show him what I was told about libel laws.

Libel is proven in a court of law when the words, spoken, uttered, written, and or published which caused the libeled to be embarrassed to the point of duress. Do you think Roger has reached that point?

Some one near Roger's city should try to find out if Roger, cross dressing as a person, and the gall of imitating as an Amateur Radio Operator, has a job. (I doubt it.)

Someone should find out if the EM99 in his signature is related to Emergency Management or the local police identifier number for one weird mental impaired first class nut.

Why. Because if someone could find this information out I am sure the employer would not keep him around and certainly not the Emergency Management Agency of "it's" county!

Times getting short Roger. Repent your wicked ways my son. The end is coming sooner then you think. (my son! If you were my son you would not be on the internet you would be sitting in the hospital recovering from having fallen into the computer monitor.)

W9FPJ

```
From -4415208165725044929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 17:26:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
```

rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABA0046.4CF738EA@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 42
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <3xxu6.352$kP1.5492@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 20:23:06 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.65.163
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 985310399 216.117.65.163 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 19:19:59 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 19:19:59 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17695
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19166 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10864
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13843

Wal-Mart has a better cash flow. W9FPJ

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ABA0046.4CF738EA@IEEE.org...
> W6DKN wrote:
> >
> > "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > news:3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org...
> >
> > > SNIP
> > > If one doesn't like the price asked by the FCC, what competitor can
one
> > go to?
> > > Again, if one is to obtain amateur radio privileges, one is forced to
pay
> > the price set by the > FCC which holds a monopoly.
> >
> > So what? If you want it, pay the price. If not, don't. There's no other
> > hobby I know of which provides so many rewards for such a small
investment.
>
> I wasn't making a moral judgment, just an observation based on the
> way things are. Wal-Mart was not a good analogy to the government.
>
> > Obtain a business license, driver license, hunting license, fishing
> > license, etc. These are all "monopoly" controlled. If you want one, you
pay

```
> > the price (and they are a lot costlier than that of a ham ticket).
>
> Yep, that's exactly what I said. In return for certain privileges granted,
> the government forces citizens to meet certain requirements. That's what
> governments do.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From -6533309542006732363
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 17:27:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
noris.net!newsfeed.germany.net!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed00.sul.t-
online.de!t-online.de!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
References: <ECIt6.52$5V3.1430@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<985130253.3ab7e50d406a9@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 100
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <dAxu6.355$kP1.4936@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 20:26:26 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.65.163
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 985310601 216.117.65.163 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 19:23:21
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 19:23:21 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19167
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10865 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13844
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17696
```

```
I am not an ASSHOLE! I don't have one.
"KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:985130253.3ab7e50d406a9@webmail.cotse.com...
> Francis "Dickhead Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net> whined like a little
girl in
> message news:ECIt6.52$5V3.1430@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> >
> > Thank you for your reply.
>
> Anytime, ASSHOLE.
>
> > My attorney wants a few more so we can sue you for  libel.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!! Who's your attorney, Dumbass? I
want
> to be sure to enter as evidence on the countersuit those libelous posts
you
> made regarding ME, DUMBASS. I have them archived, you fuckhead. And
they'll
> come out in the "trial," what will all that bad press do for you in your
> shithole community? BWHAHAHAHA!!!!
> Or shall I contact your local Public Defender's office to reach
> your "attorney," welfare-recipient?
>
> >I have no police record anywhere. W9FPJ
>
> Suuuuuuuuuree you don't....the record shows otherwise.
BWHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHA!!!!
>
>
>
>
>
> > "KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
> > news:985087900.3ab73f9ca526d@webmail.cotse.com...
> > > LITTLE BOY FELLATOR "Francis Dickhead Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
> > brushed
> > > the raoches off of his keyboard in his ramshackle hovel and babbled in
> > > message news:Cgxt6.109$Rw3.1950@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > > >
> > > > Thanks I will do that.
> > >
> > > Who will you get to do it FOR you, since you barely knbow how to turn
that
> > > CHEAP-ASSED computer of your on? You'd better erase all that
self-produced
> > > kiddie porn off your meager hard drive, before the FBI comes calling
> > again.
> > >
> > > > But he shows up in a lot of groups.
> > >
> > > Poor baby. It's nice to see I MEAN SO FUCKING much to you that you
```

```
keep
> > track
> > > of my posts, fuckhead.
> > >
> > > >How sad he must  really be. W9FPJ
> > >
> > > Not as half as sad as what the Indiana Department of Law Enforcement
says
> > about
> > > you;
> > > Francis has been a Bbbbbbbbbbbbaaaaaaaaddd boy.....
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > > EM99|
> > > Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> > > Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>



From 4446631221646036047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 17:29:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy
References: <C6Vt6.247$dp4.4118@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<985178199.3ab8a057b0940@webmail.cotse.com> <3ab9743a.44402111@news.socket.net>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <uzxu6.354$kP1.4423@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 20:25:42 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.65.163
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 985310554 216.117.65.163 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 19:22:34
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 19:22:34 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19168
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10866 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13846
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17698

You are right. But gosh this is so much fun! W9FPJ
<ccom2@socket.net> wrote in message
news:3ab9743a.44402111@news.socket.net...
>          He is baiting you all in to play  his game, if no one comes
> out to play he will go away like any brat when he is alone.
> Mike
>
>
>
> KC8JBO <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote:
>
> >"Dickhead Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net> whined and stamped his feet in
a
> >little girl tantrum in message
news:C6Vt6.247$dp4.4118@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> >>
>
```

From 8159198465918419511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 17:47:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!210.147.7.1!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <U5uu6.16$ya4.11007@nntp2.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: Air Force one and Secret Service Frequencies
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <gWxu6.110165$p66.31173237@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 01:46:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985312012 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 17:46:52
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 17:46:52 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17699 rec.radio.scanner:15322
rec.radio.shortwave:29504

get a scanner and sit outside 1600 with a rinky dink (highly technical term
i know) antenna andsee what you pick up ... More than likely it will be
digital (EDACS) and use DES (or 3DES now) for encryption.

last time I knew they were using 1.9m

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                      |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org              |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"UTES Listener" <email_addres@nospam.here.com> wrote in message
news:U5uu6.16$ya4.11007@nntp2.onemain.com...
>

> What are the most active and popular Air force one and Secret service
> Frequencies? I realize most comms are scrambled and encrypted, but it's
> still fun to try and monitor something in the clear.
>
> Utilites Listener

From -3889544790684303O7
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 17:48:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABAAA82.3A2388FB@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <3AB52AAF.F5EB6240@IEEE.org> <20010319212219.10859.00000534@nso-cg.aol.com> <3AB7BE9A.7729BE2C@uci.edu> <3AB7C5C2.4F1184BA@IEEE.org> <3AB99A53.D17A5323@ovis.net> <3ABA02C4.2D3D1007@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 01:44:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985311856 207.0.147.38 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001 17:44:16 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 17:44:16 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17700

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > Anybody who claims to be inferior to a paramecium, apparently has a
> > > > superior sense of humor. :-)
> > >
> > > When a paramecium cell divides, two identical adult paramecium
> > > are the result. If you are so superior, let's see you do that.
> >
> > A paramecium may have us beat in division but humans seem to have the

> > edge in the ability to multiply...
>
> Paramecium multiply just fine. I've seen more paramecium in a glass
> of water than people in my home county.

Make up your mind. Are they multiplying or dividing?

Dave K8MN

From 4982288621272376373
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2f2355955b446e93
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 17:50:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABAAB46.380399FE@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC Don Do Nuthin
References: <b89e0c8814d42145ed06e433d1ea36cf@anon.xg.nu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 01:47:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985312051 207.0.147.38 (Thu, 22 Mar 2001
17:47:31 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 17:47:31 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17701

Public wrote:
>
> Poor Kane, still dreaming about when he would jump in his rusty little
> Toyota, mount the little blue light and play crime busters by hunting
> down CBers running seven watts power. LOL LOL LOL   Bureaucrats, such
> clowns.
>
> ---
> This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
> It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
> http://anon.xg.nu

Poor Public or Pubic or whatever, feels the need to crank up his 486 and
anonymously attack a man with experience and credentials. Did you have
anything to add to a discussion or aren't there any radio talk shows you

can call?

Dave K8MN

From -4919556027492363794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 18:18:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
newspeer.cwnet.com!newshub.csu.net!not-for-mail
From: "56_Fachen_63" <ifindem@fea.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Air Force one and Secret Service Frequencies
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 18:10:35 -0800
Organization: CSUnet
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <99ebb3$ur91$1@hades.csu.net>
References: <U5uu6.16$ya4.11007@nntp2.onemain.com>
<gWxu6.110165$p66.31173237@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.75.249.80
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17702 rec.radio.scanner:15326
rec.radio.shortwave:29507

Actually I would think you'd be more apt to pick-up a couple of secret
service agents in your rear view mirror.

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:gWxu6.110165$p66.31173237@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> get a scanner and sit outside 1600 with a rinky dink (highly technical
term
> i know) antenna andsee what you pick up ... More than likely it will be
> digital (EDACS) and use DES (or 3DES now) for encryption.
>
> last time I knew they were using 1.9m
>
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> Telecommunications Technician
> /---\
> | Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
> | e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |

```
> | Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
> \-------------------------------------------------------/
> "UTES Listener" <email_addres@nospam.here.com> wrote in message
> news:U5uu6.16$ya4.11007@nntp2.onemain.com...
> >
> > What are the most active and popular Air force one and Secret service
> > Frequencies?  I realize most comms are scrambled and encrypted, but it's
> > still fun to try and monitor something in the clear.
> >
> > Utilites Listener
>
>




From 1308956412415389837
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 18:35:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 21:30:57 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.132
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985314588 351858 207.144.228.132 (23 Mar 2001 02:29:48
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 02:29:48 +0000 (UTC)
```

User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17703
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19174 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10867
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13849

Bill Sohl wrote:

> As long as the "price" set by the FCC
> is rational you'll get no argument from
> me. If the state you live in set a
> driver's license price of $1000, would
> you just pay that or would you join the
> voice of public discontent and demand
> rational fees.

But these people would argue that the "price" set by the FCC (the code testing requirement) is not rational - it is not rational to still maintain testing for morse code when all other radio services have long ago dropped a similar requirement, or when code has been virtually eliminated in all other radio communitions (commercial, military, governement, marine, and so forth).

The code test requirement once served a purpose - to provide a means of code education for those who might enter other radio services and to provide a pool of trained operators to serve as a backup for other radio services during emergencies. Those purposes no longer exist today - the other radio services don't need people educated in code and CW is a very poor backup for cellular telephones, satellites, and electronic mail.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 4261967731600243734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8a26ae623b6d470e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 18:54:16 PST
Message-ID: <3ABAB83F.5183F216@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 22 Mar 2001 20:43:11 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: I hope this is not off subject
References: <3AB52AAF.F5EB6240@IEEE.org> <20010319212219.10859.00000534@nso-cg.aol.com> <3AB7BE9A.7729BE2C@uci.edu> <3AB7C5C2.4F1184BA@IEEE.org> <3AB99A53.D17A5323@ovis.net> <3ABA02C4.2D3D1007@IEEE.org>

```
<3ABAAA82.3A2388FB@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985315591
64.240.47.102 (22 Mar 2001 20:46:31 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17704

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Paramecium multiply just fine. I've seen more paramecium in a glass
> > of water than people in my home county.
>
> Make up your mind.  Are they multiplying or dividing?

Once a paramecium multiplies, it reciprocates by dividing.
Dividing (by the reciprocal) is exactly the same as multiplying.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -5715242133533465616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 19:18:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 23 Mar 2001 03:12:43 GMT
References: <3ab83493.2128684@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Message-ID: <20010322221243.07655.00000482@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17708

In article <3ab83493.2128684@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>FYI from R. Tannehill
>
>I see that on March 6, the CEPT changed it's HAREC
>Level 1 requirements from 12 wpm to 5 wpm.
>It is generally believed that all the remaining European
>countries who have not done so, will likly implement 5 wpm for
>full band/power access.
>
>The dominos are falling ever faster.

Bill:

Don't look now, but someone just kicked one of the sawhorses out from under the 4 x 8 sheet of plywood the dominos were set up on; they are now scattered all over the basement floor. A maximum 5 WPM code test may as well be no code testing at all -- and this is the ultimate goal. "They" are already there. It will be amusing to see how certain people will go about supporting and defending the notion of keeping the worthless 5 WPM code test, but I am not likely to be one of them. And, BTW, I'm not likely to be supporting the notion of "preserving" amateur spectrum, especially commercially viable UHF+ spectrum, from re-allocation to commercial users, either. It could be that now is the time to see if hams can do without a few billions of dollars worth of the spectrum they're not using.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2007179056296499473
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 20:03:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Mar 2001 03:57:17 GMT

References: <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010322225717.07655.00000484@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17710

In article <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>, "JWheeler" <kd5bcu at sydcom dot net> writes:

>I have a very dear friend
>who moved about 100 miles away making contact via 2m quite complicated I
>keep hounding him to upgrade, but he just won't do it. He plans to wait
>until they drop the code requirement completely, and that is his right. The
>price right now is too high. That's his decidsion.

J:

First, your "friend" who is too lazy to learn code even at the dumbed-down rate of 5 WPM deserves to be relegated to 2 and 11 Meters, which, from a standpoint of communications content, are virtually synonymous these days.

Second, any person who gains full amateur privileges with a code test at a speed less than 12 WPM is highly unlikely to pursue the regular use of one of amateur radio's most practical, effective, efficient, and universal modes -- that is, Morse/CW. Therefore, he is less of a ham than one who can/does use CW. This is an incontrovertible fact. Ever since April 15, 2000, there will always be two distinct "classes" of radio amateurs -- real, full-fledged hams who know, practice, and regularly use Morse/CW, and the inferior, lesser, diminished, and inconsequential wanna-bes who don't. (Memo to Cecil: you may wish to save this to use it against me in the future, as an example of how mean-spirited I am to lesser hams!) Ham radio is now in the throes of extinction, and it is no coincidence that it is doing so at a rate which tracks the speed at which telecommunications authorities around the world are reducing/eliminating Morse code testing requirements.

In an age where people from all walks of life now have access to instant, global communications, we are eliminating the only real challenge that remained as an attraction to intelligent, enthusiastic, and intellectually flexible prospective hams -- the code testing requirements. They not only offered a learning challenge, but imparted the ability to communicate in an environment of relative austerity under which the digital modes don't do very well. Therefore, we are losing all the very bright, eager, enthusiastic young people that the NCTA's claim were being turned-off by the code testing requirements. The truth is -- the people that are being attracted to ham radio are mainly middle-aged, white, working-class men, who have always been jealous of the capabilities of radio amateurs but could never overcome the inertia of their lazy, self-absorbed, and intellectually inert lifestyles. Their only desire is to gain the personal gratification of pushing a button and talking to someone a long way away. They are not going to invent revolutionary, high-speed digital techniques. They don't even know how to type. They can't even read and successfully follow the instruction manual that comes with a 2-meter HT. They need an "Elmer" to "guide" them into the "hobby" -- which, these days, means showing them which button to press, each and every time a button needs to be pressed.

You say you "paid the price" of your ham ticket by taking a 5 WPM code test. You still owe us 15 more WPM, my friend. And unless and until you pay up, you're not a "real" ham -- you're just what passes for one these days.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7931675793631302222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bc5ddba2117921b2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 21:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Mar 2001 05:33:03 GMT
References: <bl6gbt4ihef3iu61ksvosvmrpfs0ekkff8@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Mike Deignan makes the Rain Report
Message-ID: <20010323003303.26133.00000105@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17711

In article <bl6gbt4ihef3iu61ksvosvmrpfs0ekkff8@4ax.com>, Gerald Schmitt <kk5yy@arrl.net> writes:

(Dick Carroll wrote):

>> Now go, again, and look up Mike's comments to the FCC re the
>>restructuring NPRM and get back to us. If dejanews hadn't crashed it
>>would be quite easy to retrieve a number of Mike's RRAP posts in which
>>he specifically stated his belief in dropping code testing. Sorry you
>>prefer to read with blinders in >on< mode.
>
>It is too bad as I remember he was most nasty to people who expressed
>the opinion that code testing should be eliminated. He may have flip
>flopped later but this is how I remember him.

I don't remember Mike as "nasty" at all. He simply pointed out the inconsistencies he found in anti-code-test arguments.

His postion wrt code testing never changed. He did not "flipflop" - he was for 5 wpm from the start, and that only because of the treaty.

You can read his comments on restructuring (and everyone else's) at the FCC website

http://www,fcc,gov
>
>Since this cannot reasonably be established let's amend my description
>to "particularly nasty" and leave out the code position.

The comments he made to the FCC establish his position without a doubt.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -1714832778552692981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 22:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.corecomm.net!
reader0-nwblwi.news.corecomm.net!not-for-mail
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 00:04:21 -0600
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 68
Message-ID: <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Organization: CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL
NNTP-Posting-Host: 7bb21db0.news.corecomm.net
X-Trace: DXC=9E;dU4fEam4KcT1HbNQe822BE>7J@WeM<bo1K;GlJd>;dAcKco4nOk:`;1?A5m_7D?
L^:NkYe\2V9VY]LPkX<H:7
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corecomm.net
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17712 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19186 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10882 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13865

Amateur radio covers a wide spectrum of methods and modes. Some people specialize in SSB, others AM, still others TV, low power, EME, and yes some CW.

Qualification for an amateur radio license covers that same spectrum. We are tested on the Rules and Regulations governing the service. We are tested on our understanding of proper and appropriate operating technique. We are tested on how a radio signal is generated and detected. We are also tested on modes of operation i.e. ssb, am sstv, atv, antenna design and operation. And. We are tested on our comprehension of digital modes of communication to include morse code. To say that we should not be tested on a segment of the service just because it is old, outmoded and not used for anything ignores the fact that code is part of our general knowledge and an important part of our heritage.
I, personally, have a tin ear with some hearing damage due to loud noise encountered during my working career. I passed the 5 wpm code test for Novice/Tech Plus Plus many years ago. As a novice I made a number of CW contacts poorly. I upgraded to Tech and remained such until last year when I was able to upgrade to Extra. No I am not a fully qualified Extra as defined by some but I did work to learn that which was required by the FCC to qualify for the license. I also learned more than that which is required. This is the price I paid for my chosen hobby. I have also used my knowledge so gained over the years as a communicator in Civil Defense, Civil Air Patrol, various places of employment for a total of atleast seven radio services. All of this because I took the time and effort to learn the code. I have not, to date, used my morse code knowledge for anything other than to take the test but I am glad that I do know it.

Let me say in closing that the comments of Dwight Stewart, while contrary to what I believe, are just a valid as mine and, who knows, may be right. I have noticed some comments in this thread that are mean spirited and meant to agitate not convey rational argument. So, by all means lets continue the dialogue but lets keep it civil.

David Nagel, WD9BDZ and other call signs

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> > As long as the "price" set by the FCC
> > is rational you'll get no argument from
> > me. If the state you live in set a
> > driver's license price of $1000, would
> > you just pay that or would you join the
> > voice of public discontent and demand

```
> > rational fees.
>
>   But these people would argue that the "price" set by the FCC (the code
> testing requirement) is not rational - it is not rational to still maintain
> testing for morse code when all other radio services have long ago dropped a
> similar requirement, or when code has been virtually eliminated in all other
> radio communitions (commercial, military, governement, marine, and so
> forth).
>
>   The code test requirement once served a purpose - to provide a means of
> code education for those who might enter other radio services and to provide
> a pool of trained operators to serve as a backup for other radio services
> during emergencies.  Those purposes no longer exist today - the other radio
> services don't need people educated in code and CW is a very poor backup for
> cellular telephones, satellites, and electronic mail.
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net


From -1817489014631896127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 22:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 55
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: +4f596rdXzlmREXbXbjSxsD2naIu6xUxv/
YaiMjT0KgTgysIBpOxWyUi3NQstw0nvGdO1o5nKajl!
8Me1p2NmxEJZQ2ap2y3ALnzBBPN27QBCvBZqbX8ax7NBZHmxpWaiRe2Padcfe5OMPqYuxLvSc5f/!
KY5qQFs=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 06:50:39 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 06:50:40 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17713
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19188 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10884
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13867

Well, at least you passed the test, which adds a lot of validity to your opinion, pro or con CW. I personally find it hard to validate the opinions of those who condemn what they have never achieved.

In a trial, there is a thing called an expert witness. This person is allowed to enter their personal opinion on a subject as evidence because of their experience and expertise in the field of questioning. However, a common layman's personal opinion is disallowed due to lack of expertise on the subject.

73 de W6DKN

"David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com> wrote in message
news:3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net...
> Amateur radio covers a wide spectrum of methods and modes. Some people
> specialize in SSB, others AM, still others TV, low power, EME, and yes
> some CW.
>
> Qualification for an amateur radio license covers that same spectrum. We
> are tested on the Rules and Regulations governing the service. We are
> tested on our understanding of proper and appropriate operating
> technique. We are tested on how a radio signal is generated and
> detected. We are also tested on modes of operation i.e. ssb, am sstv,
> atv, antenna design and operation. And. We are tested on our
> comprehension of digital modes of communication to include morse code.
> To say that we should not be tested on a segment of the service just
> because it is old, outmoded and not used for anything ignores the fact
> that code is part of our general knowledge and an important part of our
> heritage.
> I, personally, have a tin ear with some hearing damage due to loud noise
> encountered during my working career. I passed the 5 wpm code test for

> Novice/Tech Plus Plus many years ago. As a novice I made a number of CW
> contacts poorly. I upgraded to Tech and remained such until last year
> when I was able to upgrade to Extra. No I am not a fully qualified Extra
> as defined by some but I did work to learn that which was required by
> the FCC to qualify for the license. I also learned more than that which
> is required. This is the price I paid for my chosen hobby. I have also
> used my knowledge so gained over the years as a communicator in Civil
> Defense, Civil Air Patrol, various places of employment for a total of
> atleast seven radio services. All of this because I took the time and
> effort to learn the code. I have not, to date, used my morse code
> knowledge for anything other than to take the test but I am glad that I
> do know it.
>
> Let me say in closing that the comments of Dwight Stewart, while
> contrary to what I believe, are just a valid as mine and, who knows, may
> be right. I have noticed some comments in this thread that are mean
> spirited and meant to agitate not convey rational argument. So, by all
> means lets continue the dialogue but lets keep it civil.
>
> David Nagel, WD9BDZ and other call signs

From 5725312561432159391
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 23:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 56
X-Priority: 3

```
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <WlCu6.250$ya4.121352@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 00:51:37 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.196
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 985330166 205.138.126.196 (Fri, 23 Mar 2001 01:49:26
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 01:49:26 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17714
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19189 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10885
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13868


"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> > As long as the "price" set by the FCC
> > is rational you'll get no argument from
> > me.  If the state you live in set a
> > driver's license price of $1000, would
> > you just pay that or would you join the
> > voice of public discontent and demand
> > rational fees.
>
>
>   But these people would argue that the "price" set by the FCC (the code
> testing requirement) is not rational - it is not rational to still
maintain
> testing for morse code when all other radio services have long ago
dropped a
> similar requirement, or when code has been virtually eliminated in all
other
> radio communitions (commercial, military, governement, marine, and so
> forth).
>
>   The code test requirement once served a purpose - to provide a means
of
> code education for those who might enter other radio services and to
provide
> a pool of trained operators to serve as a backup for other radio
services
> during emergencies.  Those purposes no longer exist today - the other
radio
> services don't need people educated in code and CW is a very poor backup
for
> cellular telephones, satellites, and electronic mail.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
```

Amen. It is obsolete, totally irrational, and part of the very definition of an Amateur in the treaty and will be for the next five years or so. Anything over 12 always was ridiculous.

Given that, what are you going to do about it? You could pass it, you could simply shut up and wait, you could send out for a pastrami on white with mayo, have sex, or expect rain. There are any number of wondrous things to be accomplished.

So for why are we treated to the self-serving observations on the obvious? Why not try to sell someone who matters? We are just a bunch of people who cared enough to DO it and know bullshit when we see it. I don't care if you pass it or not but please do not insult my intelligence.

Dick N�BK

From 7381382841377079914
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-22 23:16:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!skynet.be!louie!not-for-mail
From: "Ram" <Ram@usa.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <U5uu6.16$ya4.11007@nntp2.onemain.com> <gWxu6.110165$p66.31173237@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99ebb3$ur91$1@hades.csu.net>
Subject: Re: Air Force one and Secret Service Frequencies
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 08:17:08 +0100
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.5
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <3abaf7b2$0$27804$456d72a3@news.skynet.be>
Organization: -= Skynet Usenet Service =-
NNTP-Posting-Host: 194.78.238.179
X-Trace: 985331635 reader0.news.skynet.be 27804 194.78.238.179
X-Complaints-To: abuse@skynet.be
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17715 rec.radio.scanner:15341 rec.radio.shortwave:29526

Even wondered what your neighbour does for living?

56_Fachen_63 wrote in message <99ebb3$ur91$1@hades.csu.net>...
>Actually I would think you'd be more apt to pick-up a couple of secret
>service agents in your rear view mirror.

```
>
>"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
>news:gWxu6.110165$p66.31173237@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
>> get a scanner and sit outside 1600 with a rinky dink (highly technical
>term
>> i know) antenna andsee what you pick up ... More than likely it will be
>> digital (EDACS) and use DES (or 3DES now) for encryption.
>>
>> last time I knew they were using 1.9m
>>
>>
>> --
>> Rob St.Denis
>>
>> Telecommunications Technician
>> /------------------------------------------------------\
>> | Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
>> | e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
>> | Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
>> \------------------------------------------------------/
>> "UTES Listener" <email_addres@nospam.here.com> wrote in message
>> news:U5uu6.16$ya4.11007@nntp2.onemain.com...
>> >
>> > What are the most active and popular Air force one and Secret service
>> > Frequencies?  I realize most comms are scrambled and encrypted, but
it's
>> > still fun to try and monitor something in the clear.
>> >
>> > Utilites Listener
>>
>>
>
>




From -7388856448172506216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 01:03:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
```

```
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <WlCu6.250$ya4.121352@nntp2.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 68
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <jiEu6.13535$dx2.435236@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: +LI5qf1iHSGX9ea2tkZbl/
h8rtITJWn1NRZcGooQvnUsOJ2nIx6Atf5Oimf+1Z575VNrz3a08l2A!
7gocbDhJx5tiqWq79qTaXjrSBxP4xpVuZ79CMntjVBn0lUfAye15qGvqSeT7onZOSBQRAWYt90bT!
LLODPGYdaBc=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 09:02:07 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 09:02:07 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17716
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19198 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10888
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13869

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:WlCu6.250$ya4.121352@nntp2.onemain.com...
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > > As long as the "price" set by the FCC
> > > is rational you'll get no argument from
> > > me.  If the state you live in set a
> > > driver's license price of $1000, would
> > > you just pay that or would you join the
> > > voice of public discontent and demand
> > > rational fees.
> >
> >
> >   But these people would argue that the "price" set by the FCC (the
```

code
> > testing requirement) is not rational - it is not rational to still
> maintain
> > testing for morse code when all other radio services have long ago
> dropped a
> > similar requirement, or when code has been virtually eliminated in all
> other
> > radio communitions (commercial, military, governement, marine, and so
> > forth).
> >
> >   The code test requirement once served a purpose - to provide a means
> of
> > code education for those who might enter other radio services and to
> provide
> > a pool of trained operators to serve as a backup for other radio
> services
> > during emergencies. Those purposes no longer exist today - the other
> radio
> > services don't need people educated in code and CW is a very poor
backup
> for
> > cellular telephones, satellites, and electronic mail.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> Amen. It is obsolete, totally irrational, and part of the very
definition
> of an Amateur in the treaty and will be for the next five years or so.
> Anything over 12 always was ridiculous.
>
> Given that, what are you going to do about it? You could pass it, you
> could simply shut up and wait, you could send out for a pastrami on white
> with mayo, have sex, or expect rain. There are any number of wondrous
> things to be accomplished.
>
> So for why are we treated to the self-serving observations on the
obvious?
> Why not try to sell someone who matters? We are just a bunch of people
> who cared enough to DO it and know bullshit when we see it. I don't care
> if you pass it or not but please do not insult my intelligence.
>
> Dick N�BK
>
>

Well said !

73 de W6DKN

```
From 5313307595143361136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 05:33:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!141.201.2.63!
newshunter!cosy.sbg.ac.at!newsfeed.Austria.EU.net!newsfeed.kpnqwest.at!
anon.lcs.mit.edu!nym.alias.net!mail2news-x3!mail2news-x2!mail2news
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 05:28:52 -0800
From: Anonymous <nobody@digilicious.com>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
     It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
     Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
     remailer administrator at <abuse@digilicious.com>.
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Air Force one and Secret Service Frequencies
References: <U5uu6.16$ya4.11007@nntp2.onemain.com>
Message-ID: <4fccb612d69995c4de6ef0cb84b596e3@digilicious.com>
Mail-To-News-Contact: postmaster@nym.alias.net
Organization: mail2news@nym.alias.net
Lines: 9
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17717 rec.radio.scanner:15351
rec.radio.shortwave:29536
```

Do a search and get yourself on the scan-DC mailing list. There are
a number of people who listen regularly there and plenty of stuff is
in the clear. Try around 165.xxx as SS stuff both in the clear and
otherwise. Listen on ADW 118.4 & 119.3 and various ground freq if
you are close enough. List server users report many other freqs.

In article <U5uu6.16$ya4.11007@nntp2.onemain.com>
email_addres@nospam.here.com (UTES Listener) wrote:

```
From 5745719174186354510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 07:10:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
```

From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 15:05:59 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3abb65a8.2182013@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.1a
X-Server-Date: 23 Mar 2001 15:07:25 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17718
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19208 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10899
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13879

On Thu, 22 Mar 2001 21:30:57 -0500, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> As long as the "price" set by the FCC
>> is rational you'll get no argument from
>> me. If the state you live in set a
>> driver's license price of $1000, would
>> you just pay that or would you join the
>> voice of public discontent and demand
>> rational fees.
>
> But these people would argue that the "price" set by the FCC (the code
>testing requirement) is not rational - it is not rational to still maintain
>testing for morse code when all other radio services have long ago dropped a
>similar requirement, or when code has been virtually eliminated in all other
>radio communitions (commercial, military, governement, marine, and so
>forth).

That's true and I am one of those that believes the
price (code testing of any sort) is no longer rational.

> The code test requirement once served a purpose - to provide a means of
>code education for those who might enter other radio services and to provide
>a pool of trained operators to serve as a backup for other radio services
>during emergencies. Those purposes no longer exist today - the other radio
>services don't need people educated in code and CW is a very poor backup for

>cellular telephones, satellites, and electronic mail.

Agree completely.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 1015646237523567461
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 07:18:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 15:15:11 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <3abb668d.2410728@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.1a
X-Server-Date: 23 Mar 2001 15:16:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17719
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19210 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10900
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13880

On Fri, 23 Mar 2001 00:04:21 -0600, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Amateur radio covers a wide spectrum of methods and modes. Some people
>specialize in SSB, others AM, still others TV, low power, EME, and yes
>some CW.

>
>Qualification for an amateur radio license covers that same spectrum. We
>are tested on the Rules and Regulations governing the service. We are
>tested on our understanding of proper and appropriate operating
>technique. We are tested on how a radio signal is generated and
>detected. We are also tested on modes of operation i.e. ssb, am sstv,
>atv, antenna design and operation. And. We are tested on our
>comprehension of digital modes of communication to include morse code.
>To say that we should not be tested on a segment of the service just
>because it is old, outmoded and not used for anything ignores the fact
>that code is part of our general knowledge and an important part of our
>heritage.

All true, but you don't consider that none of the other modes
are tested on a separate pass/fail basis as code is today.
I have no problem with adding some test questions to the
overall test/knowledge syllabus on code as a mode. There
is,however, no longer need to test anyone's individual
code ability as a stand-alone skill test on sending/receiving.
That's no different than not testing on ability to type
using a keyboard for packet or RTTY.

>I, personally, have a tin ear with some hearing damage due to loud noise
>encountered during my working career. I passed the 5 wpm code test for
>Novice/Tech Plus Plus many years ago. As a novice I made a number of CW
>contacts poorly. I upgraded to Tech and remained such until last year
>when I was able to upgrade to Extra. No I am not a fully qualified Extra
>as defined by some but I did work to learn that which was required by
>the FCC to qualify for the license. I also learned more than that which
>is required. This is the price I paid for my chosen hobby. I have also
>used my knowledge so gained over the years as a communicator in Civil
>Defense, Civil Air Patrol, various places of employment for a total of
>atleast seven radio services. All of this because I took the time and
>effort to learn the code. I have not, to date, used my morse code
>knowledge for anything other than to take the test but I am glad that I
>do know it.

You are an excellent example of why there is no longer any
need to mandate code skill testing. If and when you ever decide
to use code, you will be relearning much of the skill and ability.

>Let me say in closing that the comments of Dwight Stewart, while
>contrary to what I believe, are just a valid as mine and, who knows, may
>be right. I have noticed some comments in this thread that are mean
>spirited and meant to agitate not convey rational argument. So, by all
>means lets continue the dialogue but lets keep it civil.

That's all you'll get from me.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -8368143591054038621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 07:35:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 15:28:19 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3abb691a.3064563@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <99ddu4$gka$0@208.206.142.104>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.1a
X-Server-Date: 23 Mar 2001 15:27:50 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17720
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19216 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10901
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13882

On 22 Mar 2001 17:48:52 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6DKN wrote:
>>
>> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>> news:3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org...
>>
>> > SNIP
>> > If one doesn't like the price asked by the FCC, what competitor can one
>> go to?
>> > Again, if one is to obtain amateur radio privileges, one is forced to pay
>> the price set by the > FCC which holds a monopoly.
>> > --
>>

>> So what? If you want it, pay the price. If not, don't. There's no other
>> hobby I know of which provides so many rewards for such a small investment.
>>
>> Obtain a business license, driver license, hunting license, fishing
>> license, etc. These are all "monopoly" controlled. If you want one, you pay
>> the price (and they are a lot costlier than that of a ham ticket).
>
> They're doing their best to establish that a ham radio license is a
>"right".

The only "rights" involved are as follows:
1 - Everyone has a right to become a ham as long as they
meet FCC requirements.
2 - Everyone has a right to expect that the FCC requirments
are rational and justified.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 269615935423603164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a81d33565740c2fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 07:36:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 11meters, freebanding, and the no-code tech ticket
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 15:31:23 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3abb6c11.3822924@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9841h1$17k$4@208.207.71.133> <3aa5b901.974938@news.mindspring.com>
<985uio$4c3$0@208.207.71.157> <3aa861ec.5505704@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga21rl4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aac5d99.15462375@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga2d2n1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3aad33a0.1902950@news.mindspring.com>
<kjarat4o996m0r4soklp8pqi1a0a5oug6d@4ax.com>
<3aaea60f.5820124@news.mindspring.com>
<6g4uat0r7k3ut849bm20hb14qbmd1be640@4ax.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga7jfp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D60FBC.6BC8%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ga8xck1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6D7B01C.6D90%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab3fbf2.1464814@news.mindspring.com>
<B6D9B39A.6F19%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab58ded.1426699@news.mindspring.com>
<B6DC26B1.7203%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab83236.1523585@news.mindspring.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gakadb1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<B6DF1A14.7431%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab9ec61.1344408@news.mindspring.com>
<3ABA3228.1E09@bellsouth.net>

```
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.1a
X-Server-Date: 23 Mar 2001 15:30:55 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17721

On Thu, 22 Mar 2001 12:11:04 -0500, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Bill,
>I do know that a city councilman in my city (Gastonia, NC) has this
>under advisement with the city attorney.  Seems he has been plagued with
>a CB station in his neighborhood that has a "dirty"(?) kilowatt amp.
>He and his neighbors have been swamped by this guy for a number of years
>and have been unable to obtain relief.  FCC was unresponsive.  When he
>learned of this law, he immediately searched it on the internet and is
>now having the attorney do the research.  I don't know if City Council
>will actually act on it, but from what I'm told, the councilman is going
>to push it HARD.
>
>I found out about this from the clerk in a local store who lives in that
>area of town.  Since he knows I am into radio, he filled me in on the
>details.  The neighbors out there, from what he says, are very much in
>favor.  As much as I sympathize with them, I can't very well tell him
>that I'm not exactly thrilled at seeing this come to pass!  I think it
>is a BAD law that will cause trouble for innocent CBers, hams, or other
>legal radio stations.  It *may* be that lawsuits will be filed against
>untrained LEOS and governments and, stung by these suits, they will bail
>out of the issue.
Jerry,

Please keep us all posted on what they do.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -2036823816090343238
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 07:51:02 PST
Message-ID: <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 09:35:52 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985361951
64.240.47.124 (23 Mar 2001 09:39:11 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17722
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19217 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10903
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13883

W6DKN wrote:

> Well, at least you passed the test, which adds a lot of validity to your
> opinion, pro or con CW. I personally find it hard to validate the opinions
> of those who condemn what they have never achieved.

What about death?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4744795861485990504
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 08:04:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 23
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /Kp2lqK9P2U1DSTw1UMPRaczSK4StF/
DHdNWXxhK+Y8ErtmfsEQCEC8SNbVQ9yV1i8jnfCm83nc8!86osiMMcDxEhQCx2ugfMv+1imj0wF/
zqQfPIM8+QZLTI62wOPv9xlgNatT/egProEDTORO20uUI4!gjpytY0MwQ==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 16:01:35 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 16:01:35 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17723
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19223 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10906
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13885
```

After I have experienced it first hand, I can give you a valid opinion on the subject (provided we both end up in the same place :>) .

73 de W6DKN

```
"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org...
> W6DKN wrote:
> > Well, at least you passed the test, which adds a lot of validity to
your
> > opinion, pro or con CW.  I personally find it hard to validate the
opinions
> > of those who condemn what they have never achieved.
>
> What about death?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From -4067981533245511751
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 08:14:44 PST
Message-ID: <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 10:02:17 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
```

<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985363537 64.240.47.124 (23 Mar 2001 10:05:37 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17724 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19224 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10907 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13887

W6DKN wrote:
> After I have experienced it first hand, I can give you a valid opinion on
> the subject (provided we both end up in the same place :>) .

Do you tell your kids not to jump out in front of a moving truck?
Is it because you have experienced it or just used your rational
mind to evaluate it? I understand lots of things at which I have
no skill or experience.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5384148542740024415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 08:26:19 PST
Message-ID: <3ABB759D.2B2D5C5C@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 10:11:09 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <20010322225717.07655.00000484@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985364068 64.240.47.124 (23 Mar 2001 10:14:28 -0600)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17725
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Therefore, he is less of a ham than one who can/does use CW. This is an incontrovertible fact.

For every mode you don't use, Larry, are you less of a ham? Maybe he uses three more modes than you do. You have already lost the war. You need to work on your delusions and get on with the rest of your life.

> In an age where people from all walks of life now have access to instant, global communications, we are eliminating the only real challenge that remained as an attraction to intelligent, enthusiastic, and intellectually flexible prospective hams -- the code testing requirements.

You didn't find it attractive, Larry. You admitted that you had to force yourself to learn it. Seems the reason you want to keep it is that you were forced (required by circumstances) to do it so you want everyone else to befall the same fate. You were born too late for that.

> You say you "paid the price" of your ham ticket by taking a 5 WPM code test. You still owe us 15 more WPM, my friend. And unless and until you pay up, you're not a "real" ham -- you're just what passes for one these days.

What's this "us" thing? You got a mouse in your pocket? Larry, anyone with a valid amateur radio license is a real ham. If you don't believe it, ask the experts on the subject, i.e. the FCC.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3230651600907296646
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 08:33:36 PST
Message-ID: <3ABB76C7.1F485E58@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 10:16:07 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
References: <3ab83493.2128684@news.mindspring.com>
<20010322221243.07655.00000482@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985364367
64.240.47.124 (23 Mar 2001 10:19:27 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsxfer.interpacket.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17726

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> And, BTW, I'm not likely to be supporting
> the notion of "preserving" amateur spectrum, especially commercially
> viable UHF+ spectrum, from re-allocation to commercial users, either.

Yep, we know, Larry. If you can't have it your very own selfish way,
then you don't want anyone to have it. You're like the bratty little

kid who would rather break a toy than let another kid play with it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8547520567087297550
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 09:06:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 12:03:26 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 37
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17727
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19228 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10910
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13888
```

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message

news:zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> After I have experienced it first hand, I can give you a valid opinion on
> the subject (provided we both end up in the same place :>) .

The same can be said about pregnancy and child birth. If you can't get pregnant, or give birth to a kid then I guess that person's views on abortion are not valid either, right?

The argument that if you don't know CW you can't have a valid opinion about it is crap. I'm sure you can't get pregnant, but I'll bet you still have a valid opinion about abortion none the less, which is the same argument about CW, but in a different context.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -5426313572732655105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 10:15:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups:

rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 12:11:34 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.153.216.189
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 985370966 206.153.216.189 (Fri, 23 Mar 2001 13:09:26 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 13:09:26 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17728
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19233 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10913
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13890

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> > After I have experienced it first hand, I can give you a valid opinion on
> > the subject (provided we both end up in the same place :>) .
>
> The same can be said about pregnancy and child birth. If you can't get
> pregnant, or give birth to a kid then I guess that person's views on
> abortion are not valid either, right?
>
> The argument that if you don't know CW you can't have a valid opinion about
> it is crap. I'm sure you can't get pregnant, but I'll bet you still have a
> valid opinion about abortion none the less, which is the same argument about
> CW, but in a different context.
>
>
> --

> --
> 73 de,
>
> Leland C. Scott

Gentlemen, we are in the presence of a natural-born consultant; always ready to supervise the process so long as he does none of the heavy lifting.

```
From 1470797712182775051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 10:38:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 13:33:55 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 28
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17729
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19234 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10914
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13891
```

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message news:qjMu6.446
> Gentlemen, we are in the presence of a natural-born consultant;

Just a wee bit jealous Richard?

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -6391306051096738918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 11:59:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 14:59:31 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tbnao5q7qtrh82@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <20010322225717.07655.00000484@nso-ch.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 49
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17730

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010322225717.07655.00000484@nso-ch.aol.com...
>
> Second, any person who gains full amateur privileges with a code test at a
> speed less than 12 WPM is highly unlikely to pursue the regular use of one
> of amateur radio's most practical, effective, efficient, and universal
modes --
> that is, Morse/CW. Therefore, he is less of a ham than one who can/does
use
> CW. This is an incontrovertible fact. Ever since April 15, 2000, there
will
> always be two distinct "classes" of radio amateurs -- real, full-fledged
hams
> who know, practice, and regularly use Morse/CW, and the inferior, lesser,
> diminished, and inconsequential wanna-bes who don't.

What a load of crap! You want me to believe that only a Ham who can pound out CW is a "Real Ham"? Give me a break. There are code tested Hams who can pound code at 30 to over 40 wpm, but can't tell which end of a hot soldering iron not to grab. So a "Real Hams" only claim to fame is his code speed, but they can't even solder the phone plug on to the end of the cable, which connects their key the rig? And that is supposed to be better than the Ham who homebrewed his complete station, but never uses CW. If I didn't think you posted the above in a serious manner I would swear you were just Trolling for grins and giggles.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -8702925601733228482

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 12:00:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 23 Mar 2001 19:54:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <99g9m9$k3r$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <WlCu6.250$ya4.121352@nntp2.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17731
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19246 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10920
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13896
```

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
> news:B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > > As long as the "price" set by the FCC
> > > is rational you'll get no argument from
> > > me. If the state you live in set a
> > > driver's license price of $1000, would
> > > you just pay that or would you join the

> > > voice of public discontent and demand
> > > rational fees.
> >
> >
> >   But these people would argue that the "price" set by the FCC (the code
> > testing requirement) is not rational - it is not rational to still
> maintain
> > testing for morse code when all other radio services have long ago
> dropped a
> > similar requirement, or when code has been virtually eliminated in all
> other
> > radio communitions (commercial, military, governement, marine, and so
> > forth).
> >
> >   The code test requirement once served a purpose - to provide a means
> of
> > code education for those who might enter other radio services and to
> provide
> > a pool of trained operators to serve as a backup for other radio
> services
> > during emergencies. Those purposes no longer exist today - the other
> radio
> > services don't need people educated in code and CW is a very poor backup
> for
> > cellular telephones, satellites, and electronic mail.
> >
> >
> > Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> Amen. It is obsolete, totally irrational, and part of the very definition
> of an Amateur in the treaty and will be for the next five years or so.
> Anything over 12 always was ridiculous.
>
> Given that, what are you going to do about it? You could pass it, you
> could simply shut up and wait, you could send out for a pastrami on white
> with mayo, have sex, or expect rain. There are any number of wondrous
> things to be accomplished.
>
> So for why are we treated to the self-serving observations on the obvious?
> Why not try to sell someone who matters? We are just a bunch of people
> who cared enough to DO it and know bullshit when we see it. I don't care
> if you pass it or not but please do not insult my intelligence.
>
> Dick N�BK

  Seems pretty clear that the target audience which they strive so hard
to convince is---themselves.

Dick W�EX

From -2983659106202192708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 12:19:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 23 Mar 2001 20:13:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <99gap9$o5r$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <20010322225717.07655.00000484@nso-ch.aol.com> <3ABB759D.2B2D5C5C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17732

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Therefore, he is less of a ham than one who can/does use CW. This is an incontrovertible fact.
>
> For every mode you don't use, Larry, are you less of a ham? Maybe he
> uses three more modes than you do. You have already lost the war. You
> need to work on your delusions and get on with the rest of your life.
>
> > In an age where people from all walks of life now have access to instant,
> > global communications, we are eliminating the only real challenge that
> > remained as an attraction to intelligent, enthusiastic, and intellectually
> > flexible prospective hams -- the code testing requirements.
>
> You didn't find it attractive, Larry. You admitted that you had to force
> yourself to learn it. Seems the reason you want to keep it is that you
> were forced (required by circumstances) to do it so you want everyone
> else to befall the same fate. You were born too late for that.
>
> > You say you "paid the price" of your ham ticket by taking a 5 WPM code
> > test. You still owe us 15 more WPM, my friend. And unless and until you
> > pay up, you're not a "real" ham -- you're just what passes for one these
> > days.
>
> What's this "us" thing? You got a mouse in your pocket? Larry, anyone

> with a valid amateur radio license is a real ham. If you don't believe
> it, ask the experts on the subject, i.e. the FCC.

Uh, Cecil, anyone who has a valid license is a HAM RADIO LICENSEE. Many
don't know which end of the radio the on-off switch is located on.
Can't really consider them as Real Hams, can we?

```
From -2381032988484407404
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 12:20:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone1.ba-dsg.net!typhoon1.ba-dsg.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Air Force one and Secret Service Frequencies
Reply-To: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3abbad91.9179058@news5.bellatlantic.net>
References: <U5uu6.16$ya4.11007@nntp2.onemain.com>
<gWxu6.110165$p66.31173237@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 16
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 20:16:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 138.89.90.38
X-Complaints-To: newsadmin@bellatlantic.net
X-Trace: typhoon1.ba-dsg.net 985378563 138.89.90.38 (Fri, 23 Mar 2001 15:16:03
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 15:16:03 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17733 rec.radio.scanner:15359
rec.radio.shortwave:29553
```

>get a scanner and sit outside 1600 with a rinky dink (highly technical term
>i know) antenna andsee what you pick up ... More than likely it will be
>digital (EDACS) and use DES (or 3DES now) for encryption.
>
>last time I knew they were using 1.9m
>

Please, oh someone PLEASE tell me that radio hobbyists of late have
NOT become this ignorant as a whole....

Is there any hope left?

Dan

```
From -292299430482711291
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 12:51:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 23 Mar 2001 20:49:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <99gcsf$13t$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17734
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19251 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10922
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13898
```

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> The argument that if you don't know CW you can't have a valid opinion about
> it is crap.

It's not an argument, Leland, it's a fact. It is only considered an argument by those of you who have some reason to ignore the facts.

Only by learning it, gaining skill in how to do it, and working radiotelegraphy can you come to know the essential facts concerning it as a working communications mode.

You codefree types would much rather not even consider it to be a communications mode, and for darn sure you would never bring yourself to acknowledge that it is truly the most simple, easily implemented mode of radiocommunication, useful under the most wide range of daunting circumstances when virtually all other modes in common use are in the toilet, or not even available due to their heavy overhead amd infrastructure requirements. Nothing else even comes close.

So don't try to convince us that you will ever be a "Real Ham" � until you, too, are at least minimally qualified as a CW operator. Till that time you will be an Amateur Radio licensee.

```
From -7057493169475470287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 12:53:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 23 Mar 2001 20:50:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
```

<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17735
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19252 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10923
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13900

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message news:qjMu6.446
> > Gentlemen, we are in the presence of a natural-born consultant;
>
> Just a wee bit jealous Richard?
>

Haw Haw HAW!!!

From -2749829315392390162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 12:55:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!212.74.64.35!
colt.net!dispose.news.demon.net!news.demon.co.uk!demon!g1gyc.demon.co.uk!not-for-mail
From: "Martin John Hallsworth" <Martin@g1gyc.demon.co.uk>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 20:44:18 -0000
Organization: Aurora
Message-ID: <985380414.13500.0.nnrp-10.9e98acde@news.demon.co.uk>
References: <3ab83493.2128684@news.mindspring.com>
<20010322221243.07655.00000482@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Martin John Hallsworth" <Martin@_NOSPAM_FOR_ME_g1gyc.demon.co.uk>
NNTP-Posting-Host: g1gyc.demon.co.uk
X-NNTP-Posting-Host: g1gyc.demon.co.uk:158.152.172.222
X-Trace: news.demon.co.uk 985380414 nnrp-10:13500 NO-IDENT
g1gyc.demon.co.uk:158.152.172.222
X-Complaints-To: abuse@demon.net
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3612.1700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3719.2500
Lines: 12
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17736

>It could be that now is the time to see if hams can do without a few
>billions of dollars worth of the spectrum they're not using.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Ahh.....
Now might be the time to see if the commercial users can hack the
jamming that such a situation could lead too !!!
But then that would be anarchy...

```
From -2516275203220984206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9694092f8264279d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 13:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "King Pineapple" <saddlehill@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <U5uu6.16$ya4.11007@nntp2.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: Air Force one and Secret Service Frequencies
Lines: 12
Organization: "Don't let my reality interfere with your imagination"
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <KMOu6.4922$P4.362255@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 20:57:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.108.7
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985381034 209.245.108.7 (Fri, 23 Mar
2001 12:57:14 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 12:57:14 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17737 rec.radio.scanner:15360
rec.radio.shortwave:29556
```

UTES Listener <email_addres@nospam.here.com> wrote in message
news:U5uu6.16$ya4.11007@nntp2.onemain.com...
>
> What are the most active and popular Air force one and Secret service
> Frequencies? I realize most comms are scrambled and encrypted, but it's

> still fun to try and monitor something in the clear.

Have you checked the utility listings each month in Monitoring Times? They usually have some HF freqs in there.

From 2512947272666902565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,59704c8b40278ff6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,59704c8b40278ff6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,59704c8b40278ff6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,59704c8b40278ff6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,59704c8b40278ff6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 14:10:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Downloadable IOTA List - UPDATE
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <RLPu6.5130$P4.375295@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 22:04:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.190.144
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985385073 63.30.190.144 (Fri, 23 Mar 2001 14:04:33 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 14:04:33 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19257
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2067 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10925
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13904 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17738

The downloadable IOTA list has been updated effective 23-Mar-2001 - 22:07UTC

Available as a 15k zip file on the IOTA List page of the web site listed below

--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

```
From -5431613762324609063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 14:41:20 PST
From: "JWheeler" <kd5bcu at sydcom dot net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org>
<3ab9ea8b.874724@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 16:29:30 -0600
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.96.24.107
Message-ID: <3abbce39_1@corp.newsfeeds.com>
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985386553
204.96.24.107 (23 Mar 2001 16:29:13 -0600)
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
```

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.icl.net!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!newsfeed.freenet.de!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17739 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19262 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10928 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13906

Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> And the "price" set by the FCC is not based on any
> competitive concerns.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

Actually, the price the FCC sets is based on international treaty. Of course, that treaty will likely change in the next few years by dropping the code requirement, and the FCC will follow suit. Those that want HF priviledges will either pay the price and learn code or they can simply wait a few years until HF priviledges go on 'clearance'.

JW

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3275068197224920505
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 15:48:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!210.147.7.1!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gao3eh0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3ab83493.2128684@news.mindspring.com> <20010322221243.07655.00000482@nso-ch.aol.com> <985380414.13500.0.nnrp-10.9e98acde@news.demon.co.uk>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>

X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Lines: 36
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 23:46:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985391194 206.58.44.20 (Fri, 23 Mar 2001 23:46:34 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 23:46:34 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17740

On Fri, 23 Mar 2001 20:44:18 -0000, Martin John Hallsworth wrote:

>
>>It could be that now is the time to see if hams can do without a few
>>billions of dollars worth of the spectrum they're not using.

>Ahh.....
>Now might be the time to see if the commercial users can hack the
>jamming that such a situation could lead too !!!
>But then that would be anarchy...

The deep-pocket commercial interests would hire two groups to take care of that problem in short order:

1. A commercial comm engineering firm (such as my major client) which currently uses the services of ex-FCC and ex-"spook" folks to locate and resolve interference cases for its public-safety and other land-mobile clients.

2. The Congress, which will direct:

    a. the FCC enforcement folks to use info generated by themselves or by Group 1 above for the resolution "forthwith" of problems experienced by said deep-pocketeers

    and

    b. the Justice Department to make horrible examples of anyone who is caught.

Believe it or not, catching "pirates" and jammers is usually easier than resolving interference.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 5205983154392566195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 16:43:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!EU.net!blackbush.xlink.net!
newsfeed.freenet.de!newsfeed.easynews.net!easynews.net!easynet-tele!easynet.net!
newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.corecomm.net!reader1-nwblwi.news.corecomm.net!not-for-mail
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 18:38:17 -0600
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3abb668d.2410728@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <3abbec63$0$12818$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Organization: CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL
NNTP-Posting-Host: ac891600.news.corecomm.net
X-Trace: DXC=<KOMIAo\fC?
iAcBM^EMG<;`<WTGT>OiB>bo1K;GlJd>;dAcKco4nOk:l32XTR1S7m>L^:NkYe\2V9KNTQca:_QV3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corecomm.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17741
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19273 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10934
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13912
```

Bill;

A comment you made below seems to fit the solution to the problem. If the code test was made part of the scoring of the written test just like the various segments of the present written then those who want to do code can study the code and have a section of the test that they will

```
pass and have other sections that they can slide on and still get their
passing grade.

Dave Nagel, WD9BDZ


Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Fri, 23 Mar 2001 00:04:21 -0600, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Amateur radio covers a wide spectrum of methods and modes. Some people
> >specialize in SSB, others AM, still others TV, low power, EME, and yes
> >some CW.
> >
> >Qualification for an amateur radio license covers that same spectrum. We
> >are tested on the Rules and Regulations governing the service. We are
> >tested on our understanding of proper and appropriate operating
> >technique. We are tested on how a radio signal is generated and
> >detected. We are also tested on modes of operation i.e. ssb, am sstv,
> >atv, antenna design and operation. And. We are tested on our
> >comprehension of digital  modes of communication to include morse code.
> >To say that we should not be tested on a segment of the service just
> >because it is old, outmoded and not used for anything ignores the fact
> >that code is part of our general knowledge and an important part of our
> >heritage.
>
> All true, but you don't consider that none of the other modes
> are tested on a separate pass/fail basis as code is today.
> I have no problem with adding some test questions to the
> overall test/knowledge syllabus on code as a mode.  There
> is,however, no longer need to test anyone's individual
> code ability as a stand-alone skill test on sending/receiving.
> That's no different than not testing on ability to type
> using a keyboard for packet or RTTY.
>
>
> That's all you'll get from me.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK


From -7325806005877374734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 16:48:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 30
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /
ba9QdwyQAQqWcCiJnbn8TbnyYk0LRM8hcLJ7XLWYm2brcQaj1K92Uvq+GWzNUt3XF7eQ16P8Z9u!
c+r2E5JFHb5SiW5sz3Mmp+R67Cdhpk5zF58km3Pv7nxawsOIWywFpG2RDZlR8ScQQeBT9Y80ZbR2!
HCm6+yacqnr0UQ==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 00:45:01 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 00:45:01 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17742
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19275 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10935
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13913

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org...
> W6DKN wrote:
> > After I have experienced it first hand, I can give you a valid opinion
on
> > the subject (provided we both end up in the same place :>) .
>
> Do you tell your kids not to jump out in front of a moving truck?
> Is it because you have experienced it or just used your rational
> mind to evaluate it? I understand lots of things at which I have
> no skill or experience.

> --

Common sense and basic survival instinct are completely different than trying to impose your personal opinions to the general public on a subject in which you have no first hand knowledge or experience.

A perfect example of this is the anti-gun crowd - the vast majority of them have never even fired a gun, and yet they would have you believe that based on their feelings and opinions, you should have to give up your guns.

I understand how a nuclear reactor works, but I would never be allowed to testify in court as to the value of such a device to the general public. This is because no matter how well intended, the fact remains that my opinion on the subject is moot due to the fact that I do not possess the expertise necessary to present a legally valid opinion.

73 de W6DKN

From -853559455195157587
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 17:58:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <dAxu6.355$kP1.4936@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<985317054.3ababebee70aa@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 115
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <B8Tu6.468$Mi4.4525@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 20:58:54 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.92.230
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 985398945 216.117.92.230 (Fri, 23 Mar 2001 19:55:45 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 19:55:45 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10936
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13916 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17743

Is this fun or what? Look who is running scared! By the way thanks for the info sir roger. I will keep it handy. By the way I love to dance. Have not had a good dance since the Vietnam days......see ya!

"KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:985317054.3ababebee70aa@webmail.cotse.com...

```
> ---------------------------------------------
> Hostname: rash5a-163.kokomo.in.hypervine.net
> IP Address: 216.117.65.163
> Decimal Address: 3631563171
> ---------------------------------------------
> This is Francis' ISP............
>
> ---------------------------Whois Results---------------------------
>
> The Data in Network Solutions' WHOIS database is provided by Network
> Solutions for information purposes, and to assist persons in obtaining
> information about or related to a domain name registration record.
> Network Solutions does not guarantee its accuracy.  By submitting a
> WHOIS query, you agree that you will use this Data only for lawful
> purposes and that, under no circumstances will you use this Data to:
> (1) allow, enable, or otherwise support the transmission of mass
> unsolicited, commercial advertising or solicitations via e-mail
> (spam); or  (2) enable high volume, automated, electronic processes
> that apply to Network Solutions (or its systems).  Network Solutions
> reserves the right to modify these terms at any time.  By submitting
> this query, you agree to abide by this policy.
>
> Registrant:
> IDC (HYPERVINE2-DOM)
>    8500 W 110th St. Suite 500
>    Overland Park, KS 66210
>    US
>
>    Domain Name: HYPERVINE.NET
>
>    Administrative Contact, Billing Contact:
>       Hoover, Jennifer  (JHK1202)  jhoover@ISMG.NET
>       Internet Services Management Group
>       3625 Park Place, Suite 150
>       Mishawaka , IN 46545
>       (219) 247-3405 (FAX) (219) 247-3414
>    Technical Contact:
>       Day, Don  (DDD535)  dday@HYPERVINE.NET
>       Internet Services Management Group
>       3625 Park Place, Suite 150
>       Mishawaka , IN 46545
>       (219) 247-3405 (FAX) (219) 247-3414
>
>    Record last updated on 22-Nov-2000.
>    Record expires on 05-Jul-2001.
>    Record created on 05-Jul-1999.
>    Database last updated on 22-Mar-2001 00:57:00 EST.
```

```
>
>    Domain servers in listed order:
>
>    NS1.IDIR.NET   209.172.192.2
>    NS2.IDIR.NET   209.172.194.2
>
>
> ---------------------------Arin Results---------------------------
>
> Internet Direct Communications (NET-IDIR-BLK-2)
>    8500 W. 110th St, Suite 500
>    Overland Park, KS 66210
>    US
>
>    Netname: IDIR-BLK-2
>    Netblock: 216.117.0.0 - 216.117.111.255
>    Maintainer: IDIR
>
>    Coordinator:
>       Network Operations Center  (NOC107-ORG-ARIN)  noc@IDIR.NET
>       (913)982-9000
> Fax- (913)981-6001
>
>    Domain System inverse mapping provided by:
>
>    NS1.IDIR.NET   209.172.192.2
>    NS2.IDIR.NET   209.172.194.2
>
>    Record last updated on 25-Oct-2000.
>    Database last updated on 21-Mar-2001 22:42:52 EDT.
>
> The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
> Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
> Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
> Information and whois.nic.mil for NIPRNET Information.
>
>
>
> "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote in message news:dAxu6.355
> $kP1.4936@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > I am not an ASSHOLE!
>
> Oh, yes you are, ASSHOLE.
>
> >I don't have one.
>
> You speak out of it on a daily basis, Francis........
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
>
> Or are you admitting you wear DEPENDS??? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
> Or id you lose your asshole to CANCER after years of being sodomized????
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
>
```

```
>
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
>
>
```

```
From 6265668238832377074
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec5193f7163168e4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 19:40:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
From: "Dick Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <B8Tu6.468$Mi4.4525@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<985403622.3abc10e6d7950@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: An ARRL letter that League members can use
Lines: 91
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <wyUu6.514$Mi4.5449@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 22:34:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.65.179
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 985404700 216.117.65.179 (Fri, 23 Mar 2001 21:31:40
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 21:31:40 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10945
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13923 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17745

Oh Roger you go to so much trouble to find peoples information. You are so
sad as I said before. But this has gone on way to much especially since you
went from having fun with  me to my family and we just can not have that.
See you soon Roger. By the way you psycho freak, what have you done for your
country? Can you spell it. I will not see your reply because as I said this
has gone on way too long. Your plug will be pulled......soon.
"KC8JBO" <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:985403622.3abc10e6d7950@webmail.cotse.com...
> "Dickhead Elliott" <elliotta@netusa1.net> wrote in message news:B8Tu6.468
> $Mi4.4525@francis.slurpsaassholes.net...
> >
> > Is this fun or what?
>
>
> Yeah, slapping your sorry ass around IS fun....for me!
> Apparently, from what I've been told, your idea of fun is fondling your
own
> grandkids.
>
> > Look who is running scared!
>
> Bullshit, you incontinent old FUCK. You're the one running scared, using
> the "legal dance." Come on, threaten me and do the legal dance.
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!
> You babbling old pervert, run along and take care of your hunchbacked hag
of a
> wife, she's calling for you to change her diaper again.
>
> >By the way thanks for the info sir roger.
>
> Anytime, SHIT-FOR-BRAINS.
> Tell us about the big "war hero" Francis, Francis. The one who ran for
cover
> when they were needed in a firefight.
>
> > I will keep it handy.
>
> Oh, so you'll keep it next to the kiddie porn you manufacture? You're so
> clueless that you deny where you post from..ARIN traced it, DUMBFUCK. Deal
with
> it.
>
> > By the way I love to dance. Have not
> > had a good dance since the Vietnam days......see ya!
>
> You mean the dance you used to do behind the latrine.....the one that got
you
> from what i heard that Dishonorable discharge? I guess the military didn't
like
> your homosexual acts while in country or in the service.
>
> See ya, ASSHOLE Francis Dickhead Elliot.
>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.117.92.230
>
> -------------------------------------------
> Hostname: No Reverse DNS Entries
> IP Address: 216.117.92.230
> Decimal Address: 3631570150
> -------------------------------------------
>
>
> ---------------------------Whois Results---------------------------
```

```
>
> No reverse DNS entries, could not perform host lookup
>
> ---------------------------Arin Results---------------------------
>
> Internet Direct Communications (NET-IDIR-BLK-2) IDIR-BLK-2
>        216.117.0.0 - 216.117.111.255
> Hypervine Kokomo Dial Pool 2 (NETBLK-IDIR-D8755C0) IDIR-D8755C0
>        216.117.92.0 - 216.117.92.254
>
> To single out one record, look it up with "!xxx", where xxx is the
> handle, shown in parenthesis following the name, which comes first.
>
> The ARIN Registration Services Host contains ONLY Internet
> Network Information: Networks, ASN's, and related POC's.
> Please use the whois server at rs.internic.net for DOMAIN related
> Information and whois.nic.mil for NIPRNET Information.
>
> Still on Hypervine huh, KOOK from Kokomo?
>
>
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
>
```

```
From 2360041093285614409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 21:42:04 PST
Message-ID: <3ABC30EC.E44BBBF7@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 23:30:21 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
```

<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcsf$13t$0@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985412020
64.240.47.108 (23 Mar 2001 23:33:40 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!
feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17747
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19296 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10953
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13928

Dick Carroll wrote:
>   Only by learning it, gaining skill in how to do it, and working
> radiotelegraphy can you come to know the essential facts concerning it
> as a working communications mode.

So all the digital communications experts who don't possess actual
Morse code skill don't know the "essential facts" about Morse code?
If Shannon didn't possess Morse code skill, do you think he didn't
understand the theory? You think the FCC doesn't understand Morse
code? You're still beating that poor old dead horse. Hams who want
to use Morse code use it. Hams who don't want to use Morse code
don't use it. Every ham has a choice of his/her favorite mode(s).

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3774856073547682258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 22:02:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ABC3571.469EDEB3@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 23:49:37 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <20010322225717.07655.00000484@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3ABB759D.2B2D5C5C@IEEE.org> <99gap9$o5r$0@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985413176
64.240.47.108 (23 Mar 2001 23:52:56 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17748
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Uh, Cecil, anyone who has a valid license is a HAM RADIO LICENSEE. Many
> don't know which end of the radio the on-off switch is located on.
> Can't really consider them as Real Hams, can we?

Are you using the colloquial/slang "real ham" there, Dick? "Ham"
is in my dictionary. It says: "ham - 2. an operator of an amateur
radio station." So what's your official definition of a "real ham"?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7775590167150632335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 22:39:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcsf$13t$0@208.206.142.100> <3ABC30EC.E44BBBF7@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 37
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <GgXu6.5164$KA6.461531@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /
bGnt+HmqolOvoKc6x0DgNxyskouCwCLeL7SqIGpEjQ3jeEEX5RxLaXG51x+ca1is1khIb6SpNOP!/
OJHSJBLc5wenPcc7p8uSYg1MchWD/tMFnb2Y5ovSg+QZ1KYtHmZkLyoc2bmiA9HiFBDfEe3SO5e!
Iee3Wtkxbw==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 06:37:26 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 06:37:26 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17749
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19300 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10954
```

rec.radio.amateur.misc:13930

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ABC30EC.E44BBBF7@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >   Only by learning it, gaining skill in how to do it, and working
> > radiotelegraphy can you come to know the essential facts concerning it
> > as a working communications mode.
>
> So all the digital communications experts who don't possess actual
> Morse code skill don't know the "essential facts" about Morse code?
> If Shannon didn't possess Morse code skill, do you think he didn't
> understand the theory? You think the FCC doesn't understand Morse
> code? You're still beating that poor old dead horse. Hams who want
> to use Morse code use it. Hams who don't want to use Morse code don't use
it.

The keyword here is "Hams". As used in your sentence, the implication is that a person you classify as a "Ham" possesses the skills to use CW if they choose to do so.

> Every ham has a choice of his/her >favorite mode(s).

Agreed, according to your definition of a "Ham". But what about those persons who do not possess the skill to operate CW? They do not fit the definition of a "Ham" as you use it here... because they cannot operate CW if they wanted to because of lack of skills.

Which is basically what the whole discussion is about... There are some who may have access to the bands, but since they do not possess the skills necessary to operate CW, by definition they can't be included in the group commonly referred to as Hams...

73 de W6DKN

From 915039439489954192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 23:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.rdc-

detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 26
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 07:08:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 985417698 24.131.233.143 (Sat, 24 Mar 2001
02:08:18 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 02:08:18 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17750
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19301 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10956
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13932

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100...
>
> Haw Haw HAW!!!

Having fun at Richard's expense.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

```
From -7878331514163875958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-23 23:10:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!
cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!
news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcsf$13t$0@208.206.142.100>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 27
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
	charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
```

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <RGXu6.10169$QA3.351599@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 07:05:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 985417521 24.131.233.143 (Sat, 24 Mar 2001 02:05:21 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 02:05:21 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17751 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19302 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10957 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13933

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:99gcsf$13t$0@208.206.142.100...

> It's not an argument, Leland, it's a fact.

Prove it beyond doubt then. If the facts exist then list them or provide the necessary references to find them. I'm waiting.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 7907260464246986013
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 00:01:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: "DumbassWoger" <Kiddie@moonwiver.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Heck with ARRL
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 03:02:01 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - http://www.altopia.com
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <99hk58$6f6$0@dosa.alt.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100> <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17752
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19310 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10960
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13935

Just why the heck would anybody in his/her right mind pay the ARRL to be a member? For what?
Who cares?
The ARRL is losing members day in and day out. They have to ask themselves, "Why?"

The real reason for this loss of members is simple.
1. It costs too much and you get nothing back.
2. The magazine is too full of voting and political agendas.
3. Nobody really cares about the ARRL.
4. The ARRL really doesn't care about you.
5. The ARRL has an agenda that does not help Hams.

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100...
> >
> > Haw Haw HAW!!!

>
> Having fun at Richard's expense.
>
> --
> 73's,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL member
> NCI member
>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> functions."
>
>

From 3431727014233632772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cf8aeaa5dcacb51d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 02:38:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!nntp.kreonet.re.kr!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!giga-nspixp2!
spinnewsgate!attnet-tokyo!not-for-mail
From: "John T. Walters Jr." <kk5gd@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can someone explain antenna regs to me?
Date: Fri, 23 Mar 2001 16:25:49 +0900
Organization: AT&T Internet Service
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <99ht7u$juj$1@newsflood.tokyo.att.ne.jp>
References: <990jnf$mqi$1@bob.news.rcn.net> <3ab3fe45.2060209@news.mindspring.com>
<3AB6C418.DA5641C9@ix.netcom.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gah65r1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.gate2.zama.attmil.ne.jp
X-Trace: newsflood.tokyo.att.ne.jp 985430078 20435 165.76.83.185 (24 Mar 2001
10:34:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsadm@newsflood.tokyo.att.ne.jp
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Mar 2001 10:34:38 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17753

Stealthy antenna are great an most owners associations don't mind them even when the association knows it's there.

The intent of most association rules on antenna's deal with the concern the antennas create an eye sore the impacts the value of the property.   In other words, the restrictions address aesthetics and not RF radiation.

Normally you don't have to worry about TVI because most people have cable or DSS. It really takes a lot of power to interfere in those cases. You should have no problems If your system is grounded good and your RF cable is made well and in good shape.

In my case, I can see my neighbors TV from my radio. I've pumped 10 meter, 200 watts into my dipole in the attic and see no problems with his TV. The 10 meter band seems to always be the worst cause of TVI. My next door neighbor knows I'm a HAM and we worked together to prevent any problems from poping up. I figure if it doesn't interfere with his TV then it probably won't interfere with any one else.

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gah65r1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...

```
> On Mon, 19 Mar 2001 20:44:40 -0600, Robert Casey wrote:
>
> >There are some advantages to "stealth" antennas.  Like neighbors
> >not knowing you as a ham exist, and thus can't blame you for any
> >reception faults in their TV sets (even those that happen when you're
> >not home).
>
>   How do you hide the rf that will come from the "stealth"
>   antenna?  <ggg>
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

```
From -5418452838924117926
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 03:10:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!206.252.192.28!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 06:10:31 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <B6E1EAD6.76D9%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <WlCu6.250$ya4.121352@nntp2.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-169.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985432169 434023 204.116.70.170 (24 Mar 2001 11:09:29
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 11:09:29 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17754
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19315 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10963
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13936

Richard McCollum wrote:

> Given that, what are you going to do
> about it? You could pass it, you
> could simply shut up and wait, you
> could send out for a pastrami on white
> with mayo, have sex, or expect rain.
> There are any number of wondrous things
> to be accomplished.

Well, Dick, I've already said what I'm going to do about it directly (since I have no interest in HF right now, I'm going to do nothing). However, in the context of what you said above, I don't like pastrami, so that's out. But I do expect to enjoy the radio capabilities I have, barbeque steaks on the grill, enjoy the coming summer, and hope the rain goes away so I can sit around on my boat and soak up some harmful UV rays. In addition, I intend to address this topic occassionally when it comes up in this newsgroup.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -6950120461092994704
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 22:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 00:39:57 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <99mo2b$egm$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.11
X-Server-Date: 26 Mar 2001 06:36:59 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17859
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19483 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11082
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14067

--

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > SNIP
> > > Common sense and basic survival instinct are completely different than
> > > trying to impose your personal opinions to the general public on a
> subject
> > > in which you have no first hand knowledge or experience.
> > >
> > > A perfect example of this is the anti-gun crowd - the vast majority of
> > > them have never even fired a gun, and yet they would have you believe
> that
> > > based on their feelings and opinions, you should have to give up your
> guns.
> > >
> >
> > That is not a "perfect example" unless the end result of removing the
> code
> > requirement is that you can no longer use CW. If, after removal of the
> code
> > requirement, you or anyone else that wishes to learn and use CW is free
> to
> > do so there is NO parallel.
> >
>
> Sure it is. The outcome of the argument is not the issue. The issue is
that
> you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the subject
> forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest, that they
> are trying to convince others is correct.
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
Of course the outcome is the issue. If it weren't then there would be no
debate either way. Besides, what "expertise" is needed to see that
mandatory testing for only one mode out of dozens is wrong? Those that have
"expertise" on the subject are also basing their opinions on personal
feelings and self interests and trying to convince others they are correct.
And it isn't only those that have yet to pass the code requirement that are
against it. If it was about to be made a criminal offense to learn or use

the mode you would have an argument. As it stands, I can only see the code test being valid if EVERY mode that might possibly be used is tested for proficiency.   Then and only then would the code test have a valid leg to stand on.

```
From 524764886934296972
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f7d8a,3ce99e16f9b2a70a
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7d8a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3ce99e16f9b2a70a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 23:44:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "gariac" <fake@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast
Subject: Re: The FCC Don'  Do  Nuthin'
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 23:42:04 -0800
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tbtsm4s3ji7ad6@corp.supernews.com>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gakf170.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 24
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17860 ba.broadcast:5255

http://www.fcc.gov/eb/
is the link for the enforcement bureau.

You have to be really really bad for the FCC to do something. Even police
jammers go on for months before the FCC does anything.

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gakf170.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
>
>   If you think that the FCC "don' do nuthin'" check out the
>   following document on the FCC's web site:
>
>    Released:  03/21/2001.  ENFORCEMENT BUREAU FIELD OPERATIONS LIST
>                            OF ACTIONS TAKEN. (DA No.  01-711).  ENF
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>
>
>
```

```
>
```

```
From -4136852464203647780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 00:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABE856B.90C6B2A2@IEEE.org> <99mgg7$oaa$0@208.206.142.84>
<3ABEC5FE.149E1839@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 34
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <5dDv6.4327$MT2.1189167@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /bJ8XXwKdUMyxBmfgO5tnIlixtwXDFk9ZIxO/YD7N8sNXptTO6OUA+5c/
9Bd8Abj00s24qYKnPP2!
umMwDSBXlTWsApgRZx64GH8qGwCxwHXwnYhm90oTlDjL2YGFbh6FZ45cIa+t6JWiSGYc5w/uDHeX!
6VbLUp7J
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 08:37:21 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 08:37:21 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14073
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17861
```

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ABEC5FE.149E1839@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > W6DKN wrote:
> > > > The issue is that
> > > > you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the
subject
> > > > forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest,
that they
> > > > are trying to convince others is correct.
> > >
> > > How do you explain the host of people with expertise on the
> > > subject who possess those same opinions?
> >
> > All dozen of them?
>
> Project the dozen who post here into the general ham population and
> you get tens of thousands.
> --

This is easily explained when one considers that there is a significant
percentage of the population that has long since lost the ability to think
independently and use logic and reason to form their opinions. For these
people, it's a Pavlovian response to present emotionally based opinions on
most issues, and simply regurgitate their social groups' pre-programmed
official "mantra"...

73 de W6DKN

From 115615934156077831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 00:46:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>

<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 36
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /bCCZFFqstIxLb3vYhKLfpIRD7hFHfadupLiHTGvvfUPY0tM5fEBT/
7dbUb6VUH7+WFngOhlXt+M!
47L+RXnRJc9rmF53E5PXXtyGHo10/6+XRNHsBydWvESdYpQpKXAwjDoSp3jxazxy0/PGsizr7C5b!
FojwooW9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 08:45:37 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 08:45:37 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14074
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17862

"Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
news:3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
>
> "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message news:yivv6.3230
>
> > Sure it is. The outcome of the argument is not the issue. The issue is
> that you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the
subject
> forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest, that
they
> are trying to convince others is correct.
>
> The people who oppose the Morse code exam statute 47 CFR 97.503(a) are
not
> required by law to be proficient in manual Morse code reception in order
to
> petition their government to delete the rule. The Amateur Radio
community
> have ignore the facts and laws for too long. Your delusion that only
Morse
> code experts can decide this matter is pure non-sense.

>
> SNIP
>
> Larry, KC8EPO

Leroy Klose, III, KC8EPO
License Class: Technician
License Issue/Renewal Date: 08-09-1996
License Expiration Date: 08-09-2006

It figures...

73 de W6DKN

From -1227506304527755000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 04:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABF2242.2714DB6E@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 12:04:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.55
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985608271 207.0.147.55 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001 04:04:31 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 04:04:31 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17863

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> always writes:
> > > What is wrong with live and let live?

> >
> > "Live and let live" is not precluded by Part 97.
>
> Ahhhh, but live and let live is precluded by the Morse code
> requirement. An HF ham is not allowed to use any mode until
> he/she has first used Morse code to pass the exam.

Ahhhh, indeed. Failure to pass a morse exam does not shorten one's life
or terminate it.

Dave K8MN

```
From -2189272422662946716
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 04:18:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 12:17:32 GMT
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.55
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985609052 207.0.147.55 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001 04:17:32 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 04:17:32 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17864 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19504 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11091 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14075

Larry "the homemade lawyer" Klose wrote:

> In a October 27, 1997 briefing paper prepared for digital television
> broadcasters, Mr. Erwin G. Krasnow noted the effects of technology on
> regulation: "The Supreme Court has observed in CBS v. DNC that the FCC must
> "walk a 'tightrope' to preserve the First Amendment values written into the
> Radio Act and its successor, the Communications Act." After referring to
> its Red Lion decision, the Court noted that "[t]he problems of regulation
> are rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in
> terms of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not
> necessarily so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10 years
> hence." Columbia Broadcasting Systems, Inc. v. Democratic National
> Committee, 412 U.S. 94, 117 (1973)."

Are you a member of NAAB (National Association of Amateur Broadcasters)?
We aren't a broadcasting service and we aren't commercial, Larry.

> Because of technological and operational changes, the manual Morse code exam
> is unnecessary. Its use as a licensure examination element to authorize
> frequency bands and emission types, other than manual Morse code operation,
> is arbitrary and capricious. The exam is even arbitrary to authorization
> Morse code operation, since such operations can be conducted by computer
> control.

YOU believe them to be arbitrary and capricious, Larry. You also believe that running a computer controlled morse contact is a snap. That'd make you one of the folks basing an opinion on something you know little about. Your posting would indicate that you would try to sway us toward believing that you are correct yet you've based your views on your tiny bit of knowledge and your "I don't wanna" feelings about morse testing.

> The Morse code requirement is finished. Give it up before you destroy > the
entire Amateur Radio Service.

Oh puhleeze, Larry. Cut the melodrama.

Dave K8MN

From 3346072794105365609
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 05:56:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 08:51:00 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <3abf4956$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: fc014bc7.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=<449fX:l26ab0EN^dS;UdeLoK8f=:?J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;Fg<g=3`97?
3VmlBFDaL\d^neD_HFE]m`8Mj
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17865
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19506 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11093
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14079
```

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net...
> Larry "the homemade lawyer" Klose wrote:
>
> > In a October 27, 1997 briefing paper prepared for digital television
> > broadcasters, Mr. Erwin G. Krasnow noted the effects of technology on
> > regulation: "The Supreme Court has observed in CBS v. DNC that the FCC
must
> > "walk a 'tightrope' to preserve the First Amendment values written into
the
> > Radio Act and its successor, the Communications Act." After referring
to
> > its Red Lion decision, the Court noted that "[t]he problems of
regulation
> > are rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in
> > terms of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not
> > necessarily so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10
years
> > hence." Columbia Broadcasting Systems, Inc. v. Democratic National
> > Committee, 412 U.S. 94, 117 (1973)."
>
> Are you a member of NAAB (National Association of Amateur Broadcasters)?
> We aren't a broadcasting service and we aren't commercial, Larry.

Dave, what the court was saying is that technological change will change the
validity of FCC rules. The Morse code exam requirement was valid at one
time, but today it is not.

> > Because of technological and operational changes, the manual Morse code
exam
> > is unnecessary. Its use as a licensure examination element to authorize
> > frequency bands and emission types, other than manual Morse code
operation,
> > is arbitrary and capricious. The exam is even arbitrary to
authorization
> > Morse code operation, since such operations can be conducted by computer
> > control.
>
> YOU believe them to be arbitrary and capricious, Larry. You also
> believe that running a computer controlled morse contact is a snap.
> That'd make you one of the folks basing an opinion on something you know
> little about. Your posting would indicate that you would try to sway us
> toward believing that you are correct yet you've based your views on
> your tiny bit of knowledge and your "I don't wanna" feelings about morse
> testing.

PSK 31 seems to work petty good.

> > The Morse code requirement is finished. Give it up before you destroy
> > the entire Amateur Radio Service.
>
> Oh puhleeze, Larry. Cut the melodrama.

You don't think that the Amateur Radio community can not lose frequency allocations. The FCC must allocate frequency in the public interest. How is the public interest satisfied by maintaining a non-conforming requirement?

> Dave K8MN

Larry KC8EPO

```
From -310975693433387070
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 06:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!newsfeeds.sol.net!
news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 09:00:26 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
	charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <3abf4b8b$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 7b901d21.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=<;W<f^Q]ib5KcT1HbNQe82LoK8f=:?
J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7Bmk_eBQLNM3lBFDaL\d^n5D_HFE]m`8M:
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14080
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17866


"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
> news:3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
> >
> > "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message news:yivv6.3230
> >
> > > Sure it is. The outcome of the argument is not the issue. The issue is
> > that you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the
> subject
> > forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest, that
> they
> > are trying to convince others is correct.
> >
> > The people who oppose the Morse code exam statute 47 CFR 97.503(a) are
> not
> > required by law to be proficient in manual Morse code reception in order
> to
> > petition their government to delete the rule.  The Amateur Radio
> community
> > have ignore the facts and laws for too long.  Your delusion that only
> Morse
> > code experts can decide this matter is pure non-sense.
> >
> > SNIP
> >
> > Larry, KC8EPO
>
> Leroy Klose, III, KC8EPO
> License Class: Technician
> License Issue/Renewal Date: 08-09-1996
> License Expiration Date: 08-09-2006
>
> It figures...
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
>



From -8762474626918722452
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 06:11:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!cambridge1-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!homer.alpha.net!
newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 09:04:46 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3abf4c8f$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 7b901d21.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=Sko3l8nZYX=KcT1HbNQe82LoK8f=:?J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7<g=3`97?
3V=lBFDaL\d^n5D_HFE]m`8M:
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14081
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17867
```

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...

> Leroy Klose, III, KC8EPO
> License Class: Technician
> License Issue/Renewal Date: 08-09-1996
> License Expiration Date: 08-09-2006
>

> It figures...
> 73 de W6DKN

Five FCC commissioners
Amateur Radio License class: none
License Restructuring Decision: delete 13 & 20 wpm code exam.

Go figure...

Larry KC8EPO

From -289129025062222863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 06:18:04 PST
Message-ID: <3ABF4CD9.2961FEE@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 08:06:17 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABE856B.90C6B2A2@IEEE.org> <99mgg7$oaa$0@208.206.142.84>
<3ABEC5FE.149E1839@IEEE.org> <5dDv6.4327$MT2.1189167@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.78
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985615775
64.240.47.78 (26 Mar 2001 08:09:35 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14085 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17868

W6DKN wrote:
> This is easily explained when one considers that there is a significant
> percentage of the population that has long since lost the ability to think
> independently and use logic and reason to form their opinions.

There are just as many or more of those kind of people on the other side who operate entirely on feelings and have adopted Morse code as their creed without considering the long term effects.

What about all the thinking hams who use their logic and reason to agree with the FCC and conclude that arbitrary and outdated requirements are bad for the Amateur Radio Service?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8785560673164260229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 06:22:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 08:09:58 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>

```
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.78
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985615996
64.240.47.78 (26 Mar 2001 08:13:16 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14086
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17869
```

W6DKN wrote:

> Leroy Klose, III, KC8EPO
> License Class: Technician
> License Issue/Renewal Date: 08-09-1996
> License Expiration Date: 08-09-2006
>
> It figures...

Following the same logic, it figures that you believe that slaves
should not have a vote on the subject of slavery.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7733815859135223108

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbc821e94c7f66f8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 06:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010225193541.12119.00000354@nso-mg.aol.com>
<B6BF4C9D.3946%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: MARS  (the end of...)
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <sgIv6.87$Di2.55995@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: +4EwKJ3EXBAEIb/LYrr55+NuwAuZQIs1B/
gHdTK+XaEhpY2ia+ZLwOu6nnxryHvrUkXOrG5zrPRE!OXOwJVy1/
bzz5TALwsPfJAdcIxrdV5cFERXO5PJGT2W0oPtpjvOoVTLRw7UIr4461hn9FEZfT6PS
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 14:22:16 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 14:22:16 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17870

:   If the current situation continues, I think DoD will eliminate the MARS
: program very soon.  The organization is simply not meeting its obligation.
: Most overseas military installations, even the largest ones, have no MARS
: operation in place.  Of those which do, most have a difficult time
staffing
: those facilities.
:
:   For example, during the conflict with Serbia a few years ago, there was
no
: Army MARS program in place at all.  As a result, the Army had to resort to
: satellite phones to do the job that MARS once did (health & welfare
traffic,
: etc).  And the Army was not thrilled about it - it tied up communications
: capabilities that could have been used elsewhere (though this never
reached
: a critical stage, which would have forced that non-essential traffic off
the
: air).
:
:   To turn this situation around, hams must make a greater effort to reach
: out to young people.  It is too late to license these kids once they are
: stationed overseas (most overseas installations don't have enough General
: class operators to even give the license exams).
:
:   Efforts should be made to license kids before they enter the service.
```

: However, since most military personnel will return overseas several times
: during their career, it is never really too late to help military
personnel
: get their ham license.
:
:   If you live near a military installation, consider conducting classes,
and
: exam sessions, targeted towards military personnel. Make sure to
introduce
: MARS during those classes. Offering classes to military ROTC programs is
: also a good idea.
:
:   The current situation is not hopeless, but it is quickly reaching a
: turning point. If MARS continues to decline, it will soon reach that
point
: where the situation cannot be turned around.

Health and Welfare traffic started going to AT&T sat phone back during
the Gulf War. MARS is being redirected to FEMA duties, sort of a
replacement
for RACES as MARS operators are far more disciplined in proper net procedure
and work well with military nets.

N9NWO/AAV5ZO

From 1127768815870541068
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 06:32:43 PST
Message-ID: <3ABF508A.3285C689@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 08:22:02 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<3ABF2242.2714DB6E@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.78
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985616721
64.240.47.78 (26 Mar 2001 08:25:21 -0600)
Lines: 14

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17871

Dave Heil wrote:
> Failure to pass a morse exam does not shorten one's life
> or terminate it.

It aborts and prohibits a citizen from having a ham life on HF. To
what purpose? So a bunch of entrenched incumbents can feel good about
themselves?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 5745906795548031104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 07:30:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
```

```
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 21
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /
KiKeTRlTfmOYq+nmmGlp0VEDiZagNuS1QuajZscRs+sK1VB0BndRIOd6Csa0CVlNPsU3eP3FQ+w!
rGvgsNWNFGi7QLi1zQRxeueYpOlIu165T+kk+4AKgqcnto9L4kwx1412o7fp72Cc8JUpK4v3Fj7W!
OGI9U2M=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 15:28:03 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 15:28:03 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14093
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17872
```

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org...

> W6DKN wrote:
> > Leroy Klose, III, KC8EPO
> > License Class: Technician
> > License Issue/Renewal Date: 08-09-1996
> > License Expiration Date: 08-09-2006
> >
> > It figures...
>
> Following the same logic, it figures that you believe that slaves
> should not have a vote on the subject of slavery.
> --

It's not the same logic at all. A slave would possess first hand experience with slavery, therefore his vote would most definitely be valid.

73 de W6DKN

```
From -892722406735346798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 08:49:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 11:49:10 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tbusn5eou1a1d5@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com> <99gcsf$13t$0@208.206.142.100> <3ABC30EC.E44BBBF7@IEEE.org> <GgXu6.5164$KA6.461531@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 43
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17873 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19517 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11099 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14094

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:GgXu6.5164$KA6.461531@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...

> Agreed, according to your definition of a "Ham". But what about those persons who do not possess the skill to operate CW? They do not fit the definition of a "Ham" as you use it here... because they cannot operate CW if they wanted to because of lack of skills.

And what about those code tested "Hams" who don't have knowledge of the various digital modes, ATV, satellite operation, and the list can go on and on? By your logic those code tested Hams are not "REAL Hams" either. They don't "possess the skill to operate" as you put it. What goes around comes

```
around. If  you want to conjure  up some logic, like yours above, just  be
prepared to have it applied universally, then we shall see if the shoe fits
or not.

> Which is basically what the whole discussion is about...

Amateur Radio elitism organized along  the Code / No Code dividing line. You
can dress up the CW elitism up anyway you like, but it's bigotry anyway you
look at it.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."






From 8630846595218656063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 09:51:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!matthew
From: matthew@localhost.localdomain (Matthew T. Jachimstal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 26 Mar 2001 16:57:07 GMT
Organization: Michigan Tech University
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <slrn9butmi.i8j.matthew@localhost.localdomain>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
```

```
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Reply-To: mtjachim@mtu.edu
NNTP-Posting-Host: cblmdm63-162-16-157.buckeye-express.com
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14096
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17874

W6DKN <w6dkn@qsl.net> spewed forth:
# "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
# news:3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
# >
# > "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message news:yivv6.3230
# >
# > > Sure it is. The outcome of the argument is not the issue. The issue is
# > that you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the
# subject
# > forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest, that
# they
# > are trying to convince others is correct.
# >
# > The people who oppose the Morse code exam statute 47 CFR 97.503(a) are
# not
# > required by law to be proficient in manual Morse code reception in order
# to
# > petition their government to delete the rule.  The Amateur Radio
# community
# > have ignore the facts and laws for too long.  Your delusion that only
# Morse
# > code experts can decide this matter is pure non-sense.
# >
# > SNIP
# >
# > Larry, KC8EPO
#
# Leroy Klose, III, KC8EPO
# License Class: Technician
# License Issue/Renewal Date: 08-09-1996
# License Expiration Date: 08-09-2006
#
# It figures...
#
# 73 de W6DKN
#
```

#

It just so happens that I agree with Larry. And don't let the post April 15, 2000 date on my Extra fool you, I had 13 WPM several years ago.

The snobishness of hams has got to go, else the hobby is doomed to die.

Matthew

--

This message brought to you by our staff chiropractor, Winston Payne.

| Matthew T. Jachimstal KG9LF [\] <*>
| jachim69@excite.com   906-483-0232

From -8407059370736260097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 10:43:48 PST
Message-ID: <3ABF899E.50D1E500@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 12:25:34 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org>
<7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985631332
64.240.47.117 (26 Mar 2001 12:28:52 -0600)
Lines: 19

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14097
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17875

W6DKN wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > Following the same logic, it figures that you believe that slaves
> > should not have a vote on the subject of slavery.
>
> It's not the same logic at all. A slave would possess first hand experience
> with slavery, therefore his vote would most definitely be valid.

I mistyped. It should have read: Following the same logic, it figures
that you believe that non-slaves should not have a vote on the subject
of slavery.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 7587407525026610650
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 10:43:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!nntp.primenet.com!
nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 11:21:16 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
```

```
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org>
<7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-28-203.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.28.203 (w5gyj)
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17876
```

>> W6RCecilA (Cecil) wrote:
>> Following the same logic, it figures that you believe that slaves
>> should not have a vote on the subject of slavery.

> W6DKN replied:
> It's not the same logic at all. A slave would possess first hand experience
> with slavery, therefore his vote would most definitely be valid.

Actually the logic would carry me more towards the debate between slaveholders and abolitionists that preceded the Civil War. The abolitionists held slaveholding to be morally repugnant in the strongest possible sense and would never have considered owning slaves. The slaveholders criticized the abolitionists as not knowing what they were talking about and not properly appreciating the finer points of Southern plantation living from the standpoint of being in and among the higher-quality members of that society.

Direct experience does inform a personal opinion. But political questions spring from first principles that provide the context for holding those opinions and assessing their validity. While there is a nobility in working towards a personal goal, there is nothing noble at all in working towards one arbitrarily mandated by a government entity.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From 3220047171349313406
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,482ef0390c13ce2d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 12:06:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gatdt32.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <BKav6.7579$lj.555004@typhoon.nyc.rr.com> <3ABDE66D.10DD@att.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: reference book
Lines: 26
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 20:04:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.40
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985637099 206.58.44.40 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001 20:04:59 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 20:04:59 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17877

On Sun, 25 Mar 2001 12:35:58 GMT, Peter T. Daniels wrote:

>Robert C. Krupka wrote:
>>
>> Is there a book where you can look up an address and get the closest subway
>> stop? I thought I saw a station agent use one.
>
>Might've been an atlas. The NY@las has house numbers even in the outer
>boroughs; in the olden days, there were little red guidebooks (for
>Manhattan and Brooklyn, anyway) that listed every block under every
>street. My grandmother (d. 1979) had a desk with a bunch of them
>(probably from the '30s-'50s), but I've never seen one for sale. Many
>included a borough street map folded inside the back cover.

Weren't they published by Hagstrom's and called the Street
Guides?   I had the ones for Brooklyn and Manhattan in the late
1940s when I was active in Scouting doing what is now recognized
as Urban Pathfinding. I'm sure that they were available for
other boroughs as well.

--
==> Watch the Doors <==

Phil Kane

```
From 1380553728844570905
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 13:58:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "jstan10" <dummy@address.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 21:29:58 -0800
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
User-Agent: Pan/0.9.5 (Unix)
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-No-Productlinks: Yes
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 8
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17878
```

I happened to be travelling through LA last weekend and used the opportunity to listen to W6NUT repeater that was talked about. I, however, noticed the increased level of jamming and unauthorized use of the frequencies. Particularly in the repeaters in the low half of 147mhz.

What gives? Is LA always like this? This is hugely worse than here in San Jose.

```
From 7622716990104637432
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 14:44:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABFC7DC.8F4@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
References: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 34
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 17:51:09 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.17
X-Trace: news1.atl 985646594 209.214.186.17 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001 17:43:14 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 17:43:14 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17879

Well,

I, for one, am always puzzled and dismayed at all the mess that apparently goes on on the West Coast. Occasionally, we will have a "bootlegger" get on the local repeaters and jam or harass the legit. ops. The local control ops are, apparently, more militant, observant, or obstinate than those out there. Local repeaters get shut down quickly in such circumstances--unless we are quietly Dfing the perp. Then they are left on for the idiot to get his jollies while we close in. Can you imagine the surprise when the fellow finds himself surrounded by 10 or so displeased hams? Why aren't there concerned hams out there that will go after/locate these goofballs?

The bottom line is (here in NC), we simply won't have such goings on. Why ANY control operator would tolerate nonsense on a repeater is beyond me. And I am happy to say that if you travel thru the Gastonia/Charlotte, NC area, you will pretty much find sane and business-like traffic on the machines. The conversations may be pretty mundane, but they are carried out in a dignified manner.
73

Jerry
K4KWH

jstan10 wrote:
>
> I happened to be travelling through LA last weekend and used the
> opportunity to listen to W6NUT repeater that was talked about. I,
> however, noticed the increased level of jamming and unauthorized use of
> the frequencies. Particularly in the repeaters in the low half of
> 147mhz.
>
> What gives? Is LA always like this? This is hugely worse than here in San
> Jose.

From -3844666658261097937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 14:44:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!

```
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gatlcz5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References:  <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
Lines: 26
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 22:43:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.40
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985646603 206.58.44.40 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001 22:43:23
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 22:43:23 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17880

On Mon, 26 Mar 2001 21:29:58 -0800, jstan10 wrote:

>I happened to be travelling through LA last weekend and used the
>opportunity to listen to W6NUT repeater that was talked about. I,
>however, noticed the increased level of jamming and unauthorized use of
>the frequencies. Particularly in the repeaters in the low half of
>147mhz.
>
>What gives? Is LA always like this? This is hugely worse than here in San
>Jose.

  Been that way for decades.

  After 35 years in the San Francisco/San  Jose area I really
  enjoy jammer-free and bozo-free 2-meters here ...

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP

  From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
  Beaverton (Washington County), Oregon




From 6194360002024445620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 15:18:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABFCFE4.51D5@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 150
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:25:24 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.17
X-Trace: news1.atl 985648652 209.214.186.17 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:17:32 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:17:32 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17881

Larry,

I can see both sides of the issue. Yes, I tested when CW was a requirement for *any* license. And I began with the idea that, in order for me to achieve my own goals in the hobby, I would have to jump this hurdle. And when the no-code issue was first proposed, I was just as vehemently opposed to such a thing as anybody. After all, I reasoned, *I* had to do it, so why did those that followed get the same privileges without doing the same things I had to do. I was NOT, however, a CW fan. I thought that the Tech Class was as far as I would want to go, so I mostly forgot about the 5 WPM I passed initially. I soon tired of the VHF arena, so I decided, well, here I go again. I gotta do 13 WPM to move on, so alright.......gripe, grump, grumble. Along the way, I found myself fascinated by CW. Without realizing it, I found myself up far past bedtime for that last QSO, and discovered it to be much fun, and I derived a great satisfaction in that this mode that was previously so mysterious to me was now just like the French I took in high school. No one forced me to learn CW. Yes, it was a requirement, but I learned to love it on my own.

My point is simply this: IMHO, the time of the necessity of CW i.e., military, press, and amateur has passed. Now I said "necessity", not that CW should just be dropped and forgotten. I have a feeling that no matter if CW is not required for a license at some future time, it will live on simply because people will discover its wonders entirely on their own. I had no idea that I would enjoy CW, but once I began to deal with it, I discovered its value to ME. Some people are going to love it, others will have no interest whatsoever. I like broccoli, to others, it provokes a "YECH".

Nothing we do here in these forums are going to change the inevitable. *Some* day CW will no longer be a requirement whether we like it or not. In order to promote the hobby, indeed, promote the use of CW itself, we are going to have to welcome those who, in their "natural", uninitiated state may or may NOT know if they like CW or not. Those who might be inclined to learn it, we must help them reach that goal. Those that don't, we must not put them down as if they are some "lesser" class of ham than we who passed thru the hobby's portals in another era. ALL of these new people will be the ones to carry the torch, promote ham radio to the public, and insure its survival into future generations long after our keyers have fallen silent. We, the earlier carriers of Amateur Radio's legacy can have a positive impact on our hobby's future by welcoming and encouraging new hams, persuading our children and grandchildren, and making the path easier for the would-be newcomers. For if the would-be's, instead, become the "not-be's" because we harumphed and snorted at them because they rang our "bell" under different rules, then Amateur Radio itself could become a "not-be". After all, the newbies are not the ones who made the rules; they are just complying with the ones that exist at the time they enter the ranks. Railing on and on about CW is not helping. Yes, I wish it were 1969 again--for a number of reasons. But it's NOT! Can we not move on?

Respectful 73

Jerry
K4KWH

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> (Bill Sohl) writes:
>
> >>Dwight:
> >>These are the usual specious and self-serving arguments of the NCTA.
> >>The reason for the code testing requirement is, and always has been,
> >>simply to ensure that radio amateurs possessed the skill and ability
> >>to effectively employ the Morse code in amateur communication.
> >
> >That has been Larry's mantra for years now. It is false
> >today because there is no absolute need to have any ham
> >possess the skill in the first place. Additionally, it is
> >absolutly clear that the FCC sees no reason to ensure
> >such knowledge beforehand for no-code techs who are
> >free to use code all they want on VHF without ever having
> >been first tested on that skill.
> >
> >This
> >>purpose has never limited or excluded development of any other mode.
> >
> >Nor has your claimed purpose ("to ensure") limited or excluded
> >use of morse by non-code techs.

>
> Bill:
>
> Don't look now, but CW has never been a primary mode on VHF.
> Admittedly, CW is important to the more esoteric VHF operations
> such as satellite and moonbounce, but these more technically-challenging
> techniques are usually prosecuted by CW-proficient hams, also usually
> Amateur Extra-class (pre-4/15/00), and not the 2-meter FM repeater
> jockeys that form the main body of No-code Techs. Nice try, but no
> cigar.
>
> >>There is no connection between the Morse testing requirement and any
> >>technical or operational development within the realm of amateur
> >>radio -- or any other radio service.
> >
> >Agree completely.
>
> If you agree, then why is this the primary argument of the NCTA's? By
> your own admission, it is a strawman. Therefore, since the prime argument
> of the NCTA is false, then so is their entire no-code campaign. You have
> just declared the PCTA's the winner of the code/no-code debate. Thank
> you very much!
>
> >>The Morse testing requirement is
> >>a means to an end -- not a limiting factor.
> >
> >That end being what?
>
> The raising of a sufficient number of proficient CW operators to ensure
> that radio AMATEURS retain the important benefits and advantages of
> this mode.
>
> >> It's purpose does exist today -
> >>and is a current and valid as it ever has been.
> >
> >That purpose being?
>
> The raising of a sufficient number of proficient CW operators to ensure
> that radio AMATEURS retain the important benefits and advantages of
> this mode.
>
> >> The requirement is being
> >>dropped due to the overwhelming laziness, demotivation, and indifference
> >>of the general public...and the desire of the ARRL and the equipment
> >>manufacturers to "consumerize" the ARS to the greatest extent
> >>possible while it still exists. As always, follow the money, and you
> >>will learn the truth.
> >
> >Yes Larry, the great conspiracy theory. Consider the following
> >analogy....
> >
> >The requirement (to be able to use a manual shift transmission) was
> >dropped due to the overwhelming laziness, demotivation, and

> >indifference of the general public...and the desire of the AAA and the
> >automobile manufacturers to "consumerize" the driving public to the
> >greatest extent possible while it still exists. As always, follow the
> >money, and you will learn the truth.
>
> Bill, my new 2001 Toyota Corolla LE has a 5-speed manual gearbox.
> It gets over 42 MPG highway mileage, >30 MPG in city driving. It has
> much better performance, lower emissions, and at least 15% better
> fuel economy than the comparable automatic transmission model of
> the same automobile. It is also simplicity itself to drive, because I have
> accepted the challenge of mastering the technique of driving with a
> manual transmission. There you go -- another one of your best
> arguments with the heck shot out of it. This is getting too easy.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2000616162945395417
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 15:26:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABFD150.61E4@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com> <20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 31
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:31:28 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.17
X-Trace: news1.atl 985649013 209.214.186.17 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:23:33 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:23:33 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17882

Cecil,

Dadburn it! HARUMPH! I had to learn to drive on a "three-on-the-tree", so th' way I see it, ever'body orta have to learn on one! Why, I even had to drive a '54 Chevrolet school bus with a manual! Them dadburn so-called drivers today got them automatics--the lazy good fer nothin' loafers.   LOL!

I don't recall anybody being upset over the advent of automatics. The analogy, as you said, doesn't make sense.

Jerry
K4KWH

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > ... It is also simplicity itself to drive, because I have
> > accepted the challenge of mastering the technique of driving with a
> > manual transmission.
>
> It is also something that you were NOT required to do. What is wrong with
> live and let live? Why do you want to force all drivers to learn how to use
> a manual transmission? If you don't want to do that, you analogy to Morse
> code falls flat.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3041737446028669113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 15:26:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:23:24 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0

```
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3abfcf7f$0$88179$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 32c164f2.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=mfa8Oil0bh`=>N\5^GS<ljLoK8f=:?
J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgbDdQ6]_o]8flBFDaL\d^neUM>=@a]_Q>o
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14100
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17883
```

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org...

> Following the same logic, it figures that you believe that slaves
> should not have a vote on the subject of slavery.

Actually they didn't get to vote. But of course, people who
did not have slaves had a lot to say about the matter.

The Morse code exams requirement is challenged on
the grounds that it not in the public interest and not in
conformity with law. Attacking the petitioner/plaintiff is
irrelevant.

Larry KC8EPO

```
From 8607986255921852529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f7d8a,3ce99e16f9b2a70a
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7d8a,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3ce99e16f9b2a70a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 15:30:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABFD26B.2214@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast
```

Subject: Re: The FCC Don' Do Nuthin'
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gakf170.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<tbtsm4s3ji7ad6@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 39
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:36:11 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.17
X-Trace: news1.atl 985649296 209.214.186.17 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:28:16 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:28:16 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17884 ba.broadcast:5285

Also go to:

www.rainreport.com

I also see where Riley popped at least one unlicensed operator for being on 10 meters. I hope this is only the beginning of a much larger effort to rid the bands of pirates.

Jerry
K4KWH

gariac wrote:
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/eb/
> is the link for the enforcement bureau.
>
> You have to be really really bad for the FCC to do something. Even police
> jammers go on for months before the FCC does anything.
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.gakf170.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> >
> >   If you think that the FCC "don' do nuthin'" check out the
> >   following document on the FCC's web site:
> >
> >   Released: 03/21/2001. ENFORCEMENT BUREAU FIELD OPERATIONS LIST
> >   OF ACTIONS TAKEN. (DA No. 01-711). ENF
> >
> > --
> >   73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

From -5007031155532930195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 15:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org>
<7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:33:08 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 32c164f2.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=TK5KJOaBQMnRK32]9ZGcdmLoK8f=:?
J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgBmk_eBQLNMclBFDaL\d^neUM>=@a]_Q>o
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17885

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com> wrote in message
news:99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net...
> >> W6RCecilA (Cecil) wrote:
> >> Following the same logic, it figures that you believe that slaves
> >> should not have a vote on the subject of slavery.
>
> > W6DKN replied:
> > It's not the same logic at all. A slave would possess first hand

```
experience
> > with slavery, therefore his vote would most definitely be valid.
>
> Actually the logic would carry me more towards the debate between
slaveholders
> and abolitionists that preceded the Civil War.  The abolitionists held
> slaveholding to be morally repugnant in the strongest possible sense and
would
> never have considered owning slaves.  The slaveholders criticized the
> abolitionists as not knowing what they were talking about and not properly
> appreciating the finer points of Southern plantation living from the
> standpoint of being in and among the higher-quality members of that
society.
>
> Direct experience does inform a personal opinion.  But political questions
> spring from first principles that provide the context for holding those
> opinions and assessing their validity.  While there is a nobility in
working
> towards a personal goal, there is nothing noble at all in working towards
> one arbitrarily mandated by a government entity.
>
> --
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB     k7jeb@arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona

Excellent point.  I certainly respect and admire those that have and use
their Morse code skills.  But, it is not the proper function of government
to impose that proficiency skill upon person, who by there own choice, will
not need those skills.  It is the US Constitution that protect the
individual from the unnecessary government regulation.
The Morse code has had it day in the sun, let the next generation have their
day.
Thanks

Larry KC8EPO

Larry KC8EPO






From -6225459468793611286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 16:14:03 PST
From: "R G" <prieye@email.msn.com>
Subject: License is expiring, now what
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 19:06:33 -0500
Lines: 4
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Message-ID: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1cust231.tnt1.tpa2.da.uu.net 63.24.76.231
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpmsnbbsb04!
cpmsnbbsa09
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17886
```

My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep it valid ? Thanks for the replies.   RG

```
From -17167172744472550425
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 16:59:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:56:18 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <99oobg$jgf$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org>
<7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a9.69
X-Server-Date: 27 Mar 2001 00:54:08 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14102
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17887



--



"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org...
> > W6DKN wrote:
> > > Leroy Klose, III, KC8EPO
> > > License Class: Technician
> > > License Issue/Renewal Date: 08-09-1996
> > > License Expiration Date: 08-09-2006
> > >
> > > It figures...
> >
> > Following the same logic, it figures that you believe that slaves
> > should not have a vote on the subject of slavery.
> > --
>
> It's not the same logic at all. A slave would possess first hand
experience
> with slavery, therefore his vote would most definitely be valid.
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
But they have no experience being slave owners...




From -7406605758296378264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 17:16:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 01:16:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <99oplb$2fm$0@208.207.71.131>
References: <B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325004236.11434.00000593@nso-fi.aol.com>
```

```
<B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ABDF3E5.D23E0D06@IEEE.org>
<99mevn$jvk$1@208.206.142.84> <3ABEC6B3.F6904B34@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.131
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17888
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Larry doesn't seem to realize that Real Hams have amps and antenna farms.
> >
> >   Yep, sometimes. Just like Freebanders.
>
> So now you're criticizing hams with amps and antenna farms? (many
> of whom run CW) The other night during our CW QSO, someone turned
> on his amp so I could hear him.

There you go, dreaming up things again. I have amps and antenna farms
too. But so do the freebanders.

```
From -7347654659492901257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 17:18:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 01:18:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <99opok$2fm$1@208.207.71.131>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99mgj8$oai$0@208.206.142.84> <3ABEC7F4.38F98E43@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.131
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17889

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Surely all hams should be requred to know the basics.
>
> Agreed, however Morse code skill should not be considered as important
> as everything else in ham radio combined. But a 5wpm Morse code
> exam is given equal (50/50) weight to a written exam on all other
> ham radio topics including rules, regulations, safety, and theory.

Only the code test has not the benefit of prereleased exact questions
and answers. That alone is enough to cover it.

> Fold the Morse code exam into the written exam and that problem
> goes away.

Any such Morse test is no test at all.

From -8403636168937295556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 17:21:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 01:20:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <99opti$2fm$2@208.207.71.131>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>

```
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.131
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17890
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19562 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11126
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14105
```

Zoran Brlecic wrote:

> W6DKN wrote:
>
> > Common sense and basic survival instinct are completely different than
> > trying to impose your personal opinions to the general public on a subject
> > in which you have no first hand knowledge or experience.
> >
> > A perfect example of this is the anti-gun crowd - the vast majority of them
> > have never even fired a gun, and yet they would have you believe that based
> > on their feelings and opinions, you should have to give up your guns.
>
> Kind of a silly example, wouldn't you say? A vast majority has never fired a
> thermonuclear device either, and yet they would have you believe that based on
> their feelings and opinions, you should have to give up your personal
> thermonuclear device, if you had one.

Now THAT is a silly example.

```
From 3168172344180999553
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 17:24:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Date: 27 Mar 2001 01:23:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <99oq3e$2fm$3@208.207.71.131>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100> <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<99hk58$6f6$0@dosa.alt.net> <3ABEDA5D.DAE623B5@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.131
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17891
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19563 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11127
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14106

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
>
> DumbassWoger wrote:
>
> > Just why the heck would anybody in his/her right mind pay the ARRL to be a
> > member? For what?
>
> Because it is the only national ham radio association in the US, and as such is
> the sole protector of every US ham's interests, rights and privileges.

Well actually, they're the sole protector of ARRL's singulara
interests, first, then if there's anything left, US hams as a group
might get a little attention.
Back in the days of HPM it was as you say. But HPM is long gone.

```
From 6360559455957523798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 17:38:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <D0Sv6.368$ix1.1152699@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 19:30:33 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.216
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 985656483 208.154.158.216 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001 20:28:03
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 20:28:03 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17892
```

"R G" <prieye@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09...
> My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep
it
> valid ? Thanks for the replies.   RG
>
>

Download form 605 from fcc.gov. Send it in no more than 60 days in
advance to FCC, 1270 Fairfield Rd, Gettysburg PA 17325. The Amateur
service in block 1 is HA.

```
From 4257772412182633715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 17:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!news-hog.berkeley.edu!
ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
```

Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 17:31:56 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
<2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-83.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17893

Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
news:3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...

> But, it is not the proper function of government
> to impose that proficiency skill upon person, who by there own choice,
will
> not need those skills.

If this broad, general statement were true, wouldn't it be true for any example one might cite? Or, do you claim that it is only true for the thing that you happen not to like?

73, Jim AC6XG

From -3910897326471881496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 17:43:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what

Date: 27 Mar 2001 01:42:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.131
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17894

R G wrote:
>
> My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep it
> valid ?

Go pass a 20WPM code test

>Thanks for the replies.   RG

You're most welcome....

From -6850936723298420795
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 17:54:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 17:44:55 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <99or6c$6nr$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-83.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17895


W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> always writes:
> > > What is wrong with live and let live?
> >
> > "Live and let live" is not precluded by Part 97.
>
> Ahhhh, but live and let live is precluded by the Morse code
> requirement.

Ahhhh, no it's not - unless you define 'live and let live' to be anarchy.

> An HF ham is not allowed to use any mode until
> he/she has first used Morse code to pass the exam.

Not true.  An HF ham is someone who has already passed a Morse code exam.

A person who has passed a Morse code exam is not allowed to use any mode on
HF until he has passed a written exam.  "Why not live and let live?"

73, Jim AC6XG




From -5279901364621306115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 18:02:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:02:07 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <99os6l$771$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gatlcz5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-83.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17896
```

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gatlcz5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Mon, 26 Mar 2001 21:29:58 -0800, jstan10 wrote:
>
> >I happened to be travelling through LA last weekend and used the
> >opportunity to listen to W6NUT repeater that was talked about. I,
> >however, noticed the increased level of jamming and unauthorized use of
> >the frequencies. Particularly in the repeaters in the low half of
> >147mhz.
> >
> >What gives? Is LA always like this? This is hugely worse than here in San
> >Jose.
>
>   Been that way for decades.

K9AAH (now sk) used to tell this joke at his public talks:

"When out in the field one day, an FCC enforcement officer happend upon a genie. After much discussion, the genie reluctantly granted him one wish. What is your wish, the genie scowled. The FCC man said I wish for there to be peace in the Middle East. The genie thought for a minute and said I'm sorry, but that is not within my power. You must wish for something else. After hearing this the FCC man said well then, I wish for the 435 repeater in LA to be cleared of all jamming and foul language. After a moment the genie said, where was that place you wanted peace again?"

73, AC6XG

From 7523080965075103786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 18:28:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gatvkya.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gatlcz5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<99os6l$771$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?

```
Lines: 21
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:22:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985659764 206.58.44.20 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:22:44
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:22:44 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17897

On Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:02:07 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:

>"When out in the field one day, an FCC enforcement officer happend upon a
>genie.  After much discussion, the genie reluctantly granted him one wish.
>What is your wish, the genie scowled.  The FCC man said I wish for there to
>be peace in the Middle East.  The genie thought for a minute and said I'm
>sorry, but that is not within my power.  You must wish for something else.
>After hearing this the FCC man said well then, I wish for the 435 repeater
>in LA to be cleared of all jamming and foul language.  After a moment the
>genie said, where was that place you wanted peace again?"

  I heard that one with General Schwartzkopf and the Chicago
  Bears winning the Super Bowl....  <ggg>

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP






From 1885265391900772127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 18:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABFEDBE.8D6CFC3D@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
```

.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3abf4956$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 76
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:33:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985660388 207.0.147.38 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001
18:33:08 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:33:08 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17898
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19575 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11131
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14110

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net...
> > Larry "the homemade lawyer" Klose wrote:
> >
> > > In a October 27, 1997 briefing paper prepared for digital television
> > > broadcasters, Mr. Erwin G. Krasnow noted the effects of technology on
> > > regulation: "The Supreme Court has observed in CBS v. DNC that the FCC
> must
> > > "walk a 'tightrope' to preserve the First Amendment values written into
> the
> > > Radio Act and its successor, the Communications Act." After referring
> to
> > > its Red Lion decision, the Court noted that "[t]he problems of
> regulation
> > > are rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in
> > > terms of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not
> > > necessarily so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10
> years
> > > hence." Columbia Broadcasting Systems, Inc. v. Democratic National
> > > Committee, 412 U.S. 94, 117 (1973)."
> >

> > Are you a member of NAAB (National Association of Amateur Broadcasters)?
> > We aren't a broadcasting service and we aren't commercial, Larry.
>
> Dave, what the court was saying is that technological change will change the
> validity of FCC rules. The Morse code exam requirement was valid at one
> time, but today it is not.

Larry, you are citing a court case pitting a commercial broadcasting
network against a national political party. That the case and its
issues have little to do with amateur radio seems to have escaped you.

> > > Because of technological and operational changes, the manual Morse code
> exam
> > > is unnecessary. Its use as a licensure examination element to authorize
> > > frequency bands and emission types, other than manual Morse code
> operation,
> > > is arbitrary and capricious. The exam is even arbitrary to
> authorization
> > > Morse code operation, since such operations can be conducted by computer
> > > control.
> >
> > YOU believe them to be arbitrary and capricious, Larry. You also
> > believe that running a computer controlled morse contact is a snap.
> > That'd make you one of the folks basing an opinion on something you know
> > little about. Your posting would indicate that you would try to sway us
> > toward believing that you are correct yet you've based your views on
> > your tiny bit of knowledge and your "I don't wanna" feelings about morse
> > testing.
>
> PSK 31 seems to work petty good.

How does it work in a pileup?

> > > The Morse code requirement is finished. Give it up before you destroy
> > > the entire Amateur Radio Service.
> >
> > Oh puhleeze, Larry. Cut the melodrama.
>
> You don't think that the Amateur Radio community can not lose frequency
> allocations. The FCC must allocate frequency in the public interest.
> How is the public interest satisfied by maintaining a non-conforming
> requirement?

Can lose? I don't think that amateur radio will lose any of its HF
allocations though we might see 40m realigned in future.

We don't have a "non-conforming requirement". There is still a 5wpm
requirement for HF access. I don't think you should waste time blowing
smoke up the asses of those congregated in this newsgroup. Mount your
soapbox and spout your homemade semi-legalistic rantings where they
might make some difference. Here your stuff is just cheerleading.

Dave K8MN

```
From 9041583902773661848
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 18:45:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABFEF62.C7891D4D@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<3ABF2242.2714DB6E@ovis.net> <3ABF508A.3285C689@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:40:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985660806 207.0.147.38 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001
18:40:06 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:40:06 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17899
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Failure to pass a morse exam does not shorten one's life
> > or terminate it.
>
> It aborts and prohibits a citizen from having a ham life on HF. To
> what purpose? So a bunch of entrenched incumbents can feel good about
> themselves?

There is no such thing as a "ham life on HF". I see you plan to go on another of your tangents from the "live and let live" thing. You are guaranteed the pursuit of happiness, not happiness itself.

Everyone has the opportunity to become a radio amateur. The Constitution guarantees equal opportunity, not equal results.

Dave K8MN

```
From 7030694766493892535
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 18:46:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABFF062.BFBEEBBE@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org>
<7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
<3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:44:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985661062 207.0.147.38 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001
18:44:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:44:22 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17900
```

Larry Klose wrote:

> The Morse code has had it day in the sun, let the next generation have their
> day.

translation: I don't like it, don't wanna learn it and I demand a
"gimme" because I'm being oppressed by arbitrary government regulation.

Dave K8MN

From -6968947003738670263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 18:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABFF190.226A83B7@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:49:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985661364 207.0.147.38 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:49:24 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:49:24 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17901

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
> news:3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
> > But, it is not the proper function of government
> > to impose that proficiency skill upon person, who by there own choice,
> will
> > not need those skills.
>
> If this broad, general statement were true, wouldn't it be true for any
> example one might cite? Or, do you claim that it is only true for the thing

> that you happen not to like?

You've come close to capturing my view of Larry. Anything he dislikes
or feels that he isn't able to master becomes "arbitrary and
capricious".   If he'd spent a tiny portion of the time he has invested
in presenting irrelevant legal opinions, he'd have mastered morse at the
5 wpm level and would have HF privileges now.

Dave K8MN

From 7532034871650114511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 18:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:47:31 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3abf4f33.1273859@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABE856B.90C6B2A2@IEEE.org> <99mgg7$oaa$0@208.206.142.84>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.17
X-Server-Date: 27 Mar 2001 02:48:49 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17902
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19576 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11132

rec.radio.amateur.misc:14111

On 26 Mar 2001 04:27:51 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> W6DKN wrote:
>> > The issue is that
>> > you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the subject
>> > forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest, that they
>> > are trying to convince others is correct.
>>
>> How do you explain the host of people with expertise on the
>> subject who possess those same opinions?
>
> All dozen of them?

Where do you get that mis-information from or is
that just a knee jerk guess?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 8987265262674996683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 18:56:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:47:29 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3abf4e2c.1011097@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>

<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.17
X-Server-Date: 27 Mar 2001 02:48:47 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17903
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19577 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11133
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14113

On Sun, 25 Mar 2001 23:37:02 GMT, "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote:

>"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
>>
>> SNIP
>> > Common sense and basic survival instinct are completely different than
>> > trying to impose your personal opinions to the general public on a
>> > subject in which you have no first hand knowledge or experience.
>> >
>> > A perfect example of this is the anti-gun crowd - the vast majority of
>> > them have never even fired a gun, and yet they would have you believe
>> > that based on their feelings and opinions, you should have to give
>> > up your guns.
>>
>> That is not a "perfect example" unless the end result of removing the
>> code requirement is that you can no longer use CW. If, after removal
>> of the code requirement, you or anyone else that wishes to learn and
>> use CW is free to do so there is NO parallel.
>
>Sure it is. The outcome of the argument is not the issue. The issue is that
>you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the subject
>forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest, that they
>are trying to convince others is correct.

You are clearly uninformed as to who is forming opinions
as to the rational and need for code testing. Many, including
myself, have "been there, done that" as to passing the
code test at speeds greater than 5 wpm. Not all those
opposed to continued code testing are so "uniformed"
as you think.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3797107113503267135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 18:56:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-
feed1.news.digex.net!atl1-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!
cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:47:32 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3abf5110.1751179@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99mgj8$oai$0@208.206.142.84>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.17
X-Server-Date: 27 Mar 2001 02:48:50 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17904

On 26 Mar 2001 04:29:28 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Jim Kelley wrote:
>> >
>> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> always writes:
>> > > What is wrong with live and let live?
>> >
>> > "Live and let live" is not precluded by Part 97.
>>
>> Ahhhh, but live and let live is precluded by the Morse code
>> requirement. An HF ham is not allowed to use any mode until
>> he/she has first used Morse code to pass the exam.
>
> Quite rational given that radiotelegraphy is the most easily
>implemented, universal and truly basic method of radiocommunication.
>Surely all hams should be requred to know the basics.

So how come your statement doesn't include the following:

"Surely all hams, military communicators, communications
experts in commercial fields, etc. should be required to
know it (morse)."

The reality is that it is NOT viewed by anyone outside of
ham radio operators (and only some of them), as being
a bedrock of the basics for communications.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 4448464255738085949
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 18:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:54:20 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3ac0004c.2126060@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.17
X-Server-Date: 27 Mar 2001 02:55:43 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17905
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19578 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11134

rec.radio.amateur.misc:14114

On Mon, 26 Mar 2001 12:17:32 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:

>Larry "the homemade lawyer" Klose wrote:
>
>> In a October 27, 1997 briefing paper prepared for digital television
>> broadcasters, Mr. Erwin G. Krasnow noted the effects of technology on
>> regulation: "The Supreme Court has observed in CBS v. DNC that the FCC must
>> "walk a 'tightrope' to preserve the First Amendment values written into the
>> Radio Act and its successor, the Communications Act." After referring to
>> its Red Lion decision, the Court noted that "[t]he problems of regulation
>> are rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in
>> terms of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not
>> necessarily so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10 years
>> hence." Columbia Broadcasting Systems, Inc. v. Democratic National
>> Committee, 412 U.S. 94, 117 (1973)."
>
>Are you a member of NAAB (National Association of Amateur Broadcasters)?
>We aren't a broadcasting service and we aren't commercial, Larry.
>
>> Because of technological and operational changes, the manual Morse code exam
>> is unnecessary. Its use as a licensure examination element to authorize
>> frequency bands and emission types, other than manual Morse code operation,
>> is arbitrary and capricious. The exam is even arbitrary to authorization
>> Morse code operation, since such operations can be conducted by computer
>> control.
>
>YOU believe them to be arbitrary and capricious, Larry.

In the absense of any contradicting comments,
the FCC sure seems to think so too. I haven't read
one FCC comment that put any rational into
why code should be kept as a test...with the singular
exception being that for now, it still is a treaty
requirement.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3824941555383629772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 19:01:55 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
news.netcologne.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:57:43 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <3ac000ff.2304958@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3abf4956$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABFEDBE.8D6CFC3D@ovis.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.17
X-Server-Date: 27 Mar 2001 02:59:08 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17906
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19579 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11135
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14115

On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:33:08 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:

>Larry Klose wrote:
>>
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
>> news:3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net...
>> > Larry "the homemade lawyer" Klose wrote:
>> >
>> > > In a October 27, 1997 briefing paper prepared for digital television
>> > > broadcasters, Mr. Erwin G. Krasnow noted the effects of technology on
>> > > regulation: "The Supreme Court has observed in CBS v. DNC that the FCC
>> must
>> > > "walk a 'tightrope' to preserve the First Amendment values written into
>> the
>> > > Radio Act and its successor, the Communications Act." After referring
>> to
>> > > its Red Lion decision, the Court noted that "[t]he problems of

>> regulation
>> > > are rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in
>> > > terms of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not
>> > > necessarily so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10
>> years
>> > > hence." Columbia Broadcasting Systems, Inc. v. Democratic National
>> > > Committee, 412 U.S. 94, 117 (1973)."
>> >
>> > Are you a member of NAAB (National Association of Amateur Broadcasters)?
>> > We aren't a broadcasting service and we aren't commercial, Larry.
>>
>> Dave, what the court was saying is that technological change will change the
>> validity of FCC rules. The Morse code exam requirement was valid at one
>> time, but today it is not.
>
>Larry, you are citing a court case pitting a commercial broadcasting
>network against a national political party. That the case and its
>issues have little to do with amateur radio seems to have escaped you.

That the fundamental concept has as much to do with
amateur service (as well as any other service) seems
to have escaped ole Dave. Case law is not so narrowly
viewed as you might wish.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 8559411132745671582
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 19:14:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 03:06:25 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com>
References: <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
<2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>

```
<yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABFF190.226A83B7@ovis.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.17
X-Server-Date: 27 Mar 2001 03:07:57 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17907

On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:49:24 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:

>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>
>> Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
>> news:3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
>> > But, it is not the proper function of government
>> > to impose that proficiency skill upon person, who by there own choice,
>> will
>> > not need those skills.
>>
>> If this broad, general statement were true, wouldn't it be true for any
>> example one might cite?  Or, do you claim that it is only true for the thing
>> that you happen not to like?
>
>You've come close to capturing my view of Larry.  Anything he dislikes
>or feels that he isn't able to master becomes "arbitrary and
>capricious".

So tell us all then why the FCC ended 13 and 20 wpm
testing?  In the absence of any rational to sustain such
testing, it becomes pretty much a forgone conclusion
that 13 and 20 wpm had become "arbitrary and capricious"
in the minds of the FCC.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 8062953103416348537
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 20:05:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.sol.net!
news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>   <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
<2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 22:58:41 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 61
Message-ID: <3ac01003$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 32630798.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=ESCn>ck::l4bV?_m\CJ]D6LoK8f=:?
J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7Dfk03CI;9d9lBFDaL\d^n5OdCMQmG]Jk0
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17908

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu...
>
> Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
> news:3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
> > But, it is not the proper function of government
> > to impose that proficiency skill upon person, who by there own choice,
> will
> > not need those skills.
>
> If this broad, general statement were true, wouldn't it be true for any
> example one might cite? Or, do you claim that it is only true for the
thing
> that you happen not to like?
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

It has nothing to do with me. When the law is against you, pound on the
facts. When the facts are against you, pound on the law. When both against
pound on the opposition. When all is against you pound on the table.

ITU rule S25.5, and indrectly 47 CFR 97.503(a) indirectly, is the matter before the FCC WRC 2003 Advisory Committee, not me.

5 USC 706, "Scope of review" provides:

"To the extent necessary to decision and when presented, the reviewing court shall decide all relevant questions of law, interpret constitutional and statutory provisions, and determine the meaning or applicability of the terms of an agency action. The reviewing court shall - (1) compel agency action unlawfully withheld or unreasonably delayed; and (2) hold unlawful and set aside agency action, findings, and conclusions found to be - (A) arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law; (B) contrary to constitutional right, power, privilege, or immunity; (C) in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations, or short of statutory right; (D) without observance of procedure required by law; (E) unsupported by substantial evidence in a case subject to sections 556 and 557 of this title
or otherwise reviewed on the record of an agency hearing provided by statute; or (F) unwarranted by the facts to the extent that the facts are subject to trial de novo by the reviewing court. In making the foregoing determinations, the court shall review the whole record or those parts of it cited by a party, and due account shall be taken of the rule of prejudicial error."

47 CFR 97.503(a) is arbitrary. Therefore the rule is not in conformity with law,
but it exist and only exist because of a long standing obsolete treaty requirement,
ITU rule S25.5.

How long will the US government keep signing a treaty that impose an unnecessary requirement upon its citizens? 10 years? 50 years? 100 years? Why no make the Morse code proficiency exam a requirement for all Federal licenses?

Larry KC8EPO

```
From -2378091968976384297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 05:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
```

Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 08:05:16 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <B6E3573B.7869%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6E1EAD6.76D9%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325004236.11434.00000592@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-23.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985525504 6160 204.116.70.24 (25 Mar 2001 13:05:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 13:05:04 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17808

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> You'd better do something to attenuate
> those harmful UV rays. An old friend
> and Air Force mentor of mine is now
> battling with skin cancer as a result
> of same. A word to the wise is
> hopefully sufficient.

Larry, as white as I am now, you could light up a neighborhood by reflecting the rays of a flashlight off my skin. In other words, I'm already careful to not to overdue the situation. But I look a little silly living at the beach with absolutely no tan at all, so I'm ready for a little skin color this year. However, I'll try to take your words of wisdom into consideration when out in the sun - though I do tend to avoid thinking about you when I'm trying to enjoy myself in the great outdoors. ;-)

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -7846961678271840525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 05:14:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!

feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <WlCu6.250$ya4.121352@nntp2.onemain.com>
<B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <Sr1v6.653$WM4.1071139@nntp1.onemain.com>
<B6E3531E.7868%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 68
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <n7mv6.191$hD5.138419@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 07:12:21 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.220
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 985525843 208.154.158.220 (Sun, 25 Mar 2001 08:10:43
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 08:10:43 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17809
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19402 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11037
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13998

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6E3531E.7868%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > The context here is a lot of breast
> > beating self-justification for not
> > taking the code test. We can talk
> > coulda, shoulda, hafta from now til
> > Independence Day but the cosmic
> > interplay of choice and chance has
> > made it a requirement to do 5wpm in
> > the easiest manner possible for at
> > least the next five years.
>
>
>   Okay, using your own words, why are we treated to this self-serving
> observations on the obvious? I'm fairly certain all of us know the
current
> state of affairs when it comes to the code test, so all that "coulda,
> shoulda, hafta," nonsense is just that.

```
>
>
> > What you choose to do about it if
> > anything is purely up to you.  You don't
> > have to justify it to me or anyone else.
> > If it is a self-worth issue, it is YOUR
> > self-worth issue.  So stop with the
> > justifications already.  It is not about
> > the mode, it is not about VHF-UHF vs HF,
> > it is about a code test that is more of
> > an inconvenience than a hurdle for most
> > people.  Obfuscation merely irritates.
>
>
>   Again, and again using your own words, why are we treated to this
> self-serving observations on the obvious?  Of course what I choose to do
> about it is up to me, and of course I don't have to justify it to you.
Why
> state the obvious?
>
>
> > It is particularly irritating when the
> > audience is composed of people who, for
> > whatever reason and by whatever method,
> > passed a code test.  There is a time and
> > place to call golf a stupid game  --
> > the gallery at the Masters is more than
> > likely not that time or place.  Preaching
> > the Gospel on the road between Mecca and
> > Medina is not the best context either. So
> > why in hell are you doing it?
>
>
>   For the exact same reason that the person who passed the code test
made
> the statements he did.  And for the same reasons you made the statements
you
> did.  And both of those collection of statements were just as irritating
> when the audience is composed of people who, for whatever reason, have
> decided against the code test.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

Go ye and sin some more.




From -8100142499992088602
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 05:22:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 08:21:53 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325004236.11434.00000593@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-23.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985526505 6590 204.116.70.24 (25 Mar 2001 13:21:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 13:21:45 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17810

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> OK -- I hereby challenge you to "talk"
> to hams in 100 countries using less
> than 5 watts output and simple wire
> dipoles -- any band, voice modes
> only. I've already done this in CW
> -- let's see you do it with a microphone.
> Or can't you "improve your station"
> enough to make it as effective and
> efficient as mine?

Why would I want to do that, Larry. My basic transceiver outputs about a hundred watts, and my amplifier throws in about another 650 watts. And I have so many antennas, the dogs in the neighborhood have begun to confuse them with trees (don't ask). This summer, my goal is to cut the number of antennas, but I would never cut that number to a single dipole.

About the only thing around this house that is limited to 5 watts are the handhelds, and I certainly would not use those in an attempt to "talk" to a hundred countries.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8908969561269622693

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 05:26:06 PST
Message-ID: <3ABDEF47.91D36460@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 07:14:47 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABCB56D.AD60DA34@IEEE.org> <20010325004240.11434.00000596@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.76
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985526285 64.240.47.76 (25 Mar 2001 07:18:05 -0600)
Lines: 35
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17811

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> That was the reason then, but the reasons for code testing change
> and grow with the passing of time ...

Only in your mind, Larry, only in your mind. The rational reasons
for keeping a Morse code exam have disappeared except for S25.5.
Isn't that what the FCC has said?

> The reason for code testing doesn't
> have to be stated in the Basis and Purpose of the ARS in order to be
> valid, except to assist you in making another strawman argument.

It proves that preservation of Morse code is NOT one of the purposes
of the amateur radio service. What is wrong with live and let live?
Why can't there be a free choice of modes in a hobby in a free country?

> Again, only if you are grasping at straws in a feeble attempt to justify
> dropping the requirements.

Earth to Larry!!! I'm doing nothing except *reporting* what direction
the FCC has taken. Did you even bother to read what they said?

> By saying "Live and let live," you're really saying "Give it
> away for nothing to anyone who thinks they wannabe a ham."

Absolutely wrong, Larry. "Live and let live" means allowing each
individual ham to decide what he wants to do within ham radio without
being forced to pass a stand-alone exam on your personal favorite mode.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7161844675222061412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 05:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 13:27:27 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 62
Message-ID: <3abdf0a4.634315@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ABD5BCF.2B7A7AA3@IEEE.org> <20010325005217.11434.00000597@nso-fi.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.07
X-Server-Date: 25 Mar 2001 13:28:36 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17812

On 25 Mar 2001 05:52:17 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3ABD5BCF.2B7A7AA3@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:

>>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>> But you
>>> choose to ignore those descriptives in favor of your personal choices in
>>> the ongoing effort to rid ham radio of CW.
>>

>>I don't want to rid ham radio of CW, Dick. Each and every one of those
>>digital communications experts understands the usefulness and utility
>>of CW, whether they use the mode or not. I encourage all hams to learn
>>Morse code and try CW. It's fun for me and sometimes useful. What I
>>object to is the Morse code *requirement*, but you know that already.
>>You seem to think eliminating the Morse code requirement is the same
>>thing as ridding ham radio of CW. In that, you are simply delusional.
>
>Cecil:
>Wrong again. Dick is simply being logical. For most prospective hams
>of the past, the code testing requirement at incentivized proficiency
>levels was the only reason why they made an attempt to learn the Morse
>code and gain useful proficiency at it.

Then you, Cecil and others that have any desire to not
see morse usage dwindle to non-use have your path
chartered for you. I find it amazing that there are still
many devotees of various "old technologies" (archery,
manual gearbox transmissions, musket rifles, etc.) that have
no problem recruiting others to their special interest,
but you (Larry) expect all morse to just go away because
you are unwilling to be a proactive proponent of morse
by new hams.

>The current reduction of code
>testing requirements is not attracting any significant numbers of new
>licensees. It is only generating upgrades based on grandfathering,
>and total elimination of the requirement will only do more of the same.
>In the meantime, few, if any of the new or newly-upgraded hams are
>appearing on the CW segments, seeking to increase their CW
>proficiency.

That is your problem as a CW devotee. If CW usage
dies out, it is the fault of those that claim they love
it, rather than anyone else. The only thing that has
changed is that there is no longer a mandatory
recruiting (affirmative action) test that indirectly became
a source of new CW users by default.

>All they are doing is seeking to increase the efficiency of
>their PTT buttons. Reducing the code requirements is not only
>reducing the numbers of CW operators, it is reducing the total number
>of hams, since there is no longer any meaningful challenge for
>intelligent and motivated prospective hams to master. Welcome to
>the future of ham radio. Can you say "reallocation?"

What a sorry attitude.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 4119073921989635958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 05:31:41 PST
Message-ID: <3ABDF0A6.403F1808@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 07:20:38 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
References: <3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org> <20010325004236.11434.00000591@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.76
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985526636 64.240.47.76 (25 Mar 2001 07:23:56 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17813

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Do you really think that going from 5 to zero WPM for HF access is
> going to change this scenario? Again, all we'll get is more free
> upgrading due to grandfathering of all remaining Techs. How will
> our numbers increase? What is going to drag all these brilliant
> young techno-genius whiz-kids away from the Internet and put 'em
> on HF 'phone with the retirees down in Florida giving their daily
> Bowel, Bladder, and Surgical Procedure reports? C'mon, Cec...
> answer the question!

Ham radio needs to be made more attractive and less unattractive.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5388696826784110447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 05:36:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 13:34:02 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 83
Message-ID: <3abdf29b.1137294@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.76.07
X-Server-Date: 25 Mar 2001 13:31:44 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17814

On 25 Mar 2001 05:42:38 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>

>>>There is no connection between the Morse testing requirement and any
>>>technical or operational development within the realm of amateur
>>>radio -- or any other radio service.
>>
>>Agree completely.
>
>If you agree, then why is this the primary argument of the NCTA's?  By
>your own admission, it is a strawman.  Therefore, since the prime argument
>of the NCTA is false, then so is their entire no-code campaign.  You have
>just declared the PCTA's the winner of the code/no-code debate.  Thank
>you very much!

How the hell you make that statement/conclusion
defies logic.

>>>The Morse testing requirement is
>>>a means to an end -- not a limiting factor.
>>
>>That end being what?
>
```

>The raising of a sufficient number of proficient CW operators to ensure
>that radio AMATEURS retain the important benefits and advantages of
>this mode.

BUT there is NO requirement to ensure the future
use of any mode, nor has that been a stated objective
of ham radi (part 97) for decades.

>>> It's purpose does exist today -
>>>and is a current and valid as it ever has been.
>>
>>That purpose being?
>
>The raising of a sufficient number of proficient CW operators to ensure
>that radio AMATEURS retain the important benefits and advantages of
>this mode.

Ditto my comment above.

>>> The requirement is being
>>>dropped due to the overwhelming laziness, demotivation, and indifference
>>>of the general public...and the desire of the ARRL and the equipment
>>>manufacturers to "consumerize" the ARS to the greatest extent
>>>possible while it still exists. As always, follow the money, and you
>>>will learn the truth.
>>
>>Yes Larry, the great conspiracy theory. Consider the following
>>analogy....
>>
>>The requirement (to be able to use a manual shift transmission) was
>>dropped due to the overwhelming laziness, demotivation, and
>>indifference of the general public...and the desire of the AAA and the
>>automobile manufacturers to "consumerize" the driving public to the
>>greatest extent possible while it still exists. As always, follow the
>>money, and you will learn the truth.
>
>Bill, my new 2001 Toyota Corolla LE has a 5-speed manual gearbox.
>It gets over 42 MPG highway mileage, >30 MPG in city driving. It has
>much better performance, lower emissions, and at least 15% better
>fuel economy than the comparable automatic transmission model of
>the same automobile. It is also simplicity itself to drive, because I have
>accepted the challenge of mastering the technique of driving with a
>manual transmission. There you go -- another one of your best
>arguments with the heck shot out of it. This is getting too easy.

No Larry, for exactly the same reasons as there is now
a manual gearbox available for your new Toyota, there will
be continued usage of CW in the future. There may be
fewer CW operators, but if CW has the virtues you claim,
then it will continue to have a following...no test requirement
being needed anymore than people need a manual gearbox
skill test to be users and lovers of manual shifting vs automatic.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 5526573668392197800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 05:45:40 PST
Message-ID: <3ABDF3E5.D23E0D06@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 07:34:29 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325004236.11434.00000593@nso-fi.aol.com>
<B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.76
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985527466
64.240.47.76 (25 Mar 2001 07:37:46 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17815
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Why would I want to do that, Larry. My basic transceiver outputs about a hundred watts, and my amplifier throws in about another 650 watts. And I have so many antennas, the dogs in the neighborhood have begun to confuse them with trees (don't ask).

Larry doesn't seem to realize that Real Hams have amps and antenna farms.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -998857525210127640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 08:26:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: "Toydriver" <john_little@csi.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 11:26:22 -0500
Organization: CompuServe Interactive Services
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <99l66g$sb1$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com> <98qula$cf9$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: chi-tgn-gon-vty161.as.wcom.net
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985537552 29025 216.192.135.161
(25 Mar 2001 16:25:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 25 Mar 2001 16:25:52 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17817

Around here (Michigan) people do not have good manners. People will not turn their phones off in movies. I've been sitting close to people that would even answer their phone during a movie! If these idiots had manners there would not be a demand for jammers in places where cellphone use is inappropriate!

<K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:98qula$cf9$1@news.netmar.com...
> In article <3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com>, Brian Kelly, w3rv
> <kelly@dvol> writes:
>
> >The goverment of Hong Kong has declared war on cellphones and is
> >approving jammers in schools, theaters, restaurants, etc. where use of
> >the things create inappropriate public annoyances. In addition to
> >passing ordinances against such use backed up with stiff penalties.
>
> >Hello FCC, are you tuned in here?

```
>
> Some people just gotta regulate everything!  Is it some kinda power trip,
or
> what?  Around here we rely on good manners, not the damned government
> bureaucrats deciding how we should act.  Move to China if you don't like
it.
> (Yes, Hong Kong is in China.)
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
```

```
From 3306389834513033357
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 09:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 17:36:01 GMT
References: <3ABDE755.ACCCC667@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010325123601.01729.00000530@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17818
```

In article <3ABDE755.ACCCC667@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

```
>
>If ham radio is going to be saved in the long term, something
>is going to have to be done to make it more attractive. Ham radio
>has competition that it didn't have 50 years ago. It simply may
>not be able to compete in the modern world. It may be going the
>way of the barnstormers.
```

Cecil:

Don't look now, but I've been saying that for quite some time now.
Glad to see it's finally sinking in.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7636590140205100695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 09:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 17:36:01 GMT
References: <3ABDE902.C0ED0BC7@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010325123601.01729.00000531@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17819

In article <3ABDE902.C0ED0BC7@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> OK -- I hereby challenge you to "talk" to hams in 100 countries using
>> less than 5 watts output and simple wire dipoles -- any band, voice modes
>> only. I've already done this in CW -- let's see you do it with a
>microphone.
>> Or can't you "improve your station" enough to make it as effective and
>> efficient as mine?
>
>Local SSB ragchewing is more *effective* for a lot of hams, Larry.
>What is wrong with live and let live?

Cecil:

I've done a fair amount of local SSB "ragchewing" myself, Cecil --
but what does that have to do with my challenge to Dwight?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8197769088775332139
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 09:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 60

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 17:36:03 GMT
References: <3abdf29b.1137294@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010325123603.01729.00000533@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17822

In article <3abdf29b.1137294@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>>Bill, my new 2001 Toyota Corolla LE has a 5-speed manual gearbox. It gets over 42 MPG highway mileage, >30 MPG in city driving. It has much better performance, lower emissions, and at least 15% better fuel economy than the comparable automatic transmission model of the same automobile. It is also simplicity itself to drive, because I have accepted the challenge of mastering the technique of driving with a manual transmission. There you go -- another one of your best arguments with the heck shot out of it. This is getting too easy.
>
>No Larry, for exactly the same reasons as there is now a manual gearbox available for your new Toyota, there will be continued usage of CW in the future. There may be fewer CW operators, but if CW has the virtues you claim, then it will continue to have a following...no test requirement being needed anymore than people need a manual gearbox skill test to be users and lovers of manual shifting vs automatic.

Bill:

I hate to have to do it to you again on consecutive days, but I'm about to shoot your argument out from under you again.

In order to locate a 5-speed Corolla to buy, I had to use the phone and Internet to search dealers all over the area. I ended up purchasing mine from a dealer in Baltimore, over 100 miles from where I live in Dover, Delaware. If 5-speed transmissions are so popular, why are they now only found on smaller, compact cars with 4-cylinder engines, and then only in very tiny quantities (< 5% of total sales)? I am guessing that in 10 years, manual gearboxes will be largely obsolete. And the reason? It is easier and less of a physical challenge to drive an automobile with an automatic transmission!

While I'm not suggesting that learning how to drive with a manual transmission should be a requirement for obtaining a driver's license, the fact is that the benefits and advantages of manual transmissions do not assure their continued usage in the future -- and the exact same is true for CW. People had access to the benefits and advantages of CW mainly because the code testing requirement caused there to be a significant number of hams who tried the mode, and stuck with it long enough to gain operating proficiency. Once that happened, they became avid supporters of the mode. I learned how to drive with an automatic transmission. My first car was a '65 Ford LTD with a 390 cu. in. V-8, 4-barrel carb, dual exhaust, and automatic transmission. It could leave Camaros and Firebirds in the dust at a red light, and pass everything else except for the local Arco station, due to it getting 10 MPG on a good day. Due to the 1973 Arab Oil Embargo and the tripling of the price of a gallon of gas, my next car was a '72 Mitsubishi Colt, with a 4-banger and 4-on-the-floor. I wasn't crazy about it at the time, but I did enjoy getting some serious fuel economy which essentially allowed me to commute the same distances for the same money as I was spending on the LTD. Your argument doesn't hold a drop of water -- much less a gallon of gasoline!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8567284964948833043
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 09:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 17:36:04 GMT
References: <3ABDF0A6.403F1808@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Message-ID: <20010325123604.01729.00000534@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17821

In article <3ABDF0A6.403F1808@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Do you really think that going from 5 to zero WPM for HF access is
>> going to change this scenario? Again, all we'll get is more free
>> upgrading due to grandfathering of all remaining Techs. How will
>> our numbers increase? What is going to drag all these brilliant
>> young techno-genius whiz-kids away from the Internet and put 'em
>> on HF 'phone with the retirees down in Florida giving their daily
>> Bowel, Bladder, and Surgical Procedure reports? C'mon, Cec...
>> answer the question!

>
>Ham radio needs to be made more attractive and less unattractive.

Sooo...dumbing down the licensing requirements makes ham radio more attractive... ... ...how? More attractive to lazy, disinterested, unenthusiastic and incurious folks seeking an alternative for the chaos of CB, perhaps...or more likely, looking for fresh ground to create more chaos. Reducing licensing requirements are only going to make ham radio more attractive to the undesirables, Cecil. It cannot and will not provide any impetus for increased participation. Ham Radio is now in it's death throes -- and it's being killed by people like you who seek to have full privileges given away as a bribe to increase participation. All that has done is to cement the image of ham radio as something less than desirable and as deserving of the same disrespect that has been shown to CB.

If you put me in charge of amateur radio licensing policies, I'd have us back to a 5-level licensing structure with 5, 13, and 20 WPM code testing faster than you can say Riley Hollingsworth. And we would see renewed interest and real growth in our numbers virtually overnight. If the ARRL was really interested in making money and securing their future, they would support this concept, and make a 180-degree change in the direction of their policy. Your and your "Incentive Licensing" whiner cronies need to be ignored. Your whining is the most dangerous and damaging thing ham radio has ever experienced.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2548186350308193607
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 09:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 17:36:02 GMT
References: <B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010325123602.01729.00000532@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17820

In article <B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> OK -- I hereby challenge you to "talk"
>> to hams in 100 countries using less
>> than 5 watts output and simple wire
>> dipoles -- any band, voice modes
>> only. I've already done this in CW
>> -- let's see you do it with a microphone.
>> Or can't you "improve your station"
>> enough to make it as effective and
>> efficient as mine?
>
>
> Why would I want to do that, Larry. My basic transceiver outputs about a
>hundred watts, and my amplifier throws in about another 650 watts. And I
>have so many antennas, the dogs in the neighborhood have begun to confuse
>them with trees (don't ask). This summer, my goal is to cut the number of
>antennas, but I would never cut that number to a single dipole.
>
> About the only thing around this house that is limited to 5 watts are the
>handhelds, and I certainly would not use those in an attempt to "talk" to a
>hundred countries.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

So, Dwight, what you're basically saying is that without the crutch
of a "big gun" station behind it, voice modes are essentially useless!
And, I must admit, I totally agree!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8867641174709340555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 09:50:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!falcon.america.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 17:49:02 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3abe2c87.305035@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3abcabc5.2505886@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000595@nso-fi.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com

NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4b.df
X-Server-Date: 25 Mar 2001 17:50:11 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17823

On 25 Mar 2001 05:42:38 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3abcabc5.2505886@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>>Of
>>>course, that treaty will likely change in the next few years by dropping the
>>>code requirement, and the FCC will follow suit. Those that want HF
>>>priviledges will either pay the price and learn code or they can simply wait
>>>a few years until HF priviledges go on 'clearance'.
>>
>>Agree again.
>
>Bill:
>
>Agree to what?

I agree that the treaty will change in the next few years and
the FCC will follow...and that until that happens, those that
want to operate HF will leaarn code, those that don't want
to learn code to gain HF will need to wait.

>What the heck are "priviledges?" I can't find that word
>in my dictionary...

A mis-spelled word? Does that trouble you?
I didn't write it anyway.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2809689135314733552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 09:54:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: PCTA Wins Code/No-Code Debate: Bill Sohl Sez So!
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 17:52:01 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <3abe2fb6.1119344@news.mindspring.com>

```
References: <20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com>
<20010325010049.11434.00000599@nso-fi.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4b.df
X-Server-Date: 25 Mar 2001 17:53:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17824

On 25 Mar 2001 06:00:49 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
>(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>
>I said:
>
>>>>There is no connection between the Morse testing requirement and any
>>>>technical or operational development within the realm of amateur
>>>>radio -- or any other radio service.
>
>Then Bill Sohl said:
>
>>>Agree completely.
>
>Then I replied:
>
>>If you agree, then why is this the primary argument of the NCTA's?  By
>>your own admission, it is a strawman.  Therefore, since the prime argument
>>of the NCTA is false, then so is their entire no-code campaign.  You have
>>just declared the PCTA's the winner of the code/no-code debate.  Thank
>>you very much!
>
>There you have it, folks!  The PCTA's win!  No less an NCTA supporter
>than Bill Sohl admits it!  We can all go home now.

Larry, try taking a class in logic.  Your conclusion makes
no sense.  Indeed, that you state:  "there is
no connection between the Morse testing requirement and any
technical or operational development within the realm of amateur
radio -- or any other radio service" is exactly why there is
no need for any morse skill testing at all.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 1970483756476538922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 10:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
```

newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 18:03:24 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 109
Message-ID: <3abe30d9.1411002@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3abdf29b.1137294@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325123603.01729.00000533@nso-fc.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4b.df
X-Server-Date: 25 Mar 2001 18:03:52 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17825

On 25 Mar 2001 17:36:03 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3abdf29b.1137294@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>>Bill, my new 2001 Toyota Corolla LE has a 5-speed manual gearbox.
>>>It gets over 42 MPG highway mileage, >30 MPG in city driving. It has
>>>much better performance, lower emissions, and at least 15% better
>>>fuel economy than the comparable automatic transmission model of
>>>the same automobile. It is also simplicity itself to drive, because I have
>>>accepted the challenge of mastering the technique of driving with a
>>>manual transmission. There you go -- another one of your best
>>>arguments with the heck shot out of it. This is getting too easy.
>>
>>No Larry, for exactly the same reasons as there is now
>>a manual gearbox available for your new Toyota, there will
>>be continued usage of CW in the future. There may be
>>fewer CW operators, but if CW has the virtues you claim,
>>then it will continue to have a following...no test requirement
>>being needed anymore than people need a manual gearbox
>>skill test to be users and lovers of manual shifting vs automatic.
>
>Bill:
>
>I hate to have to do it to you again on consecutive days, but I'm about
>to shoot your argument out from under you again.
>
>In order to locate a 5-speed Corolla to buy, I had to use the phone and
>Internet to search dealers all over the area. I ended up purchasing mine
>from a dealer in Baltimore, over 100 miles from where I live in Dover,
>Delaware. If 5-speed transmissions are so popular, why are they now
>only found on smaller, compact cars with 4-cylinder engines, and then
>only in very tiny quantities (< 5% of total sales)?

Larry, where did I say they were "so popular." I placed

no criteria on the degree of popularity. The fact remains
that there is apparently sufficient interest and market for
Toyota (and others) to still offer and sell manual gearbox
vehicles. That you had to go 100 miles to find one doesn't
change that. Likewise, those people interested in archery
probably have to do a bit of looking to find what they want
since it isn't the typical "mall shop" purchase.

> I am guessing that
>in 10 years, manual gearboxes will be largely obsolete. And the
>reason? It is easier and less of a physical challenge to drive an
>automobile with an automatic transmission!

Then why are they still being sold...even after 50+ years
of availability of automatics?

>While I'm not suggesting that learning how to drive with a manual
>transmission should be a requirement for obtaining a driver's license,
>the fact is that the benefits and advantages of manual transmissions
>do not assure their continued usage in the future -- and the exact
>same is true for CW.

It is the buying public and marketability of the manual
gearbox that will either see it continue or go away
completely. Somehow, a Porsche with an automatic
just doesn't cut it in my book...nor will it for most
eople that buy Porsche's in the future. Ditto for many
other marques also.

>People had access to the benefits and
>advantages of CW mainly because the code testing requirement caused
>there to be a significant number of hams who tried the mode, and
>stuck with it long enough to gain operating proficiency. Once that
>happened, they became avid supporters of the mode.

Again, you are, therefore, saying there is NO validity to
your code claims that are sufficient to gain new users
without a mandatory test. Sorry you don't believe you
can sustain code recruiting without a mandatory test.

>I learned how
>to drive with an automatic transmission.

So did I.

>My first car was a '65
>Ford LTD with a 390 cu. in. V-8, 4-barrel carb, dual exhaust, and
>automatic transmission.

Mine was a 50 Ford conv with MANUAL gearbox. I then
taught myself after I already had my license.

> It could leave Camaros and Firebirds in

>the dust at a red light, and pass everything else except for the local
>Arco station, due to it getting 10 MPG on a good day.

I added dual stromberg 97s and the car had 4:11 rear. It
was quick to...although nothing as to a 390.

>Due to the
>1973 Arab Oil Embargo and the tripling of the price of a gallon of
>gas, my next car was a '72 Mitsubishi Colt, with a 4-banger and 4-on-
>the-floor. I wasn't crazy about it at the time, but I did enjoy getting
>some serious fuel economy which essentially allowed me to commute
>the same distances for the same money as I was spending on the LTD.
>Your argument doesn't hold a drop of water -- much less a gallon of
>gasoline!

Actually, it makes totally logical sense.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5761613769911115322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 10:32:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ABE36CC.8F3FED31@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 12:19:56 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABDE755.ACCCC667@IEEE.org> <20010325123601.01729.00000530@nso-fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985544595 64.240.47.127 (25 Mar 2001 12:23:15 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cyclone.bc.net!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17826

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >If ham radio is going to be saved in the long term, something
> >is going to have to be done to make it more attractive. Ham radio
> >has competition that it didn't have 50 years ago. It simply may
> >not be able to compete in the modern world. It may be going the
> >way of the barnstormers.
>
> Don't look now, but I've been saying that for quite some time now.
> Glad to see it's finally sinking in.

I've been saying it since 1981, Larry. That's when I noticed that
I was spending more time on computers and less time on ham radio.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6148305571968035498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 10:34:09 PST
Message-ID: <3ABE37A8.86764D18@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 12:23:36 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABDE902.C0ED0BC7@IEEE.org> <20010325123601.01729.00000531@nso-
fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985544815
64.240.47.127 (25 Mar 2001 12:26:55 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

```
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17827

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> Or can't you "improve your station" enough to make it as effective and
> >> efficient as mine?
> >
> >Local SSB ragchewing is more *effective* for a lot of hams, Larry.
> >What is wrong with live and let live?
>
> I've done a fair amount of local SSB "ragchewing" myself, Cecil --
> but what does that have to do with my challenge to Dwight?

For what Dwight wants to do, SSB is obviously more *effective* than
CW. 5w CW QSOs with foreign hams is not effective at all if what
one wants to do is ragchew with domestic friends on SSB. What is
wrong with live and let live?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7650060481082890271
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 10:36:04 PST
Message-ID: <3ABE37EB.2F71480F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 12:24:43 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325123602.01729.00000532@nso-fc.aol.com>
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985544881 64.240.47.127 (25 Mar 2001 12:28:01 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17828

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> So, Dwight, what you're basically saying is that without the crutch
> of a "big gun" station behind it, voice modes are essentially useless!

Real Hams have whatever equipment is needed to get the job done.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4118356883924889691
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3ce99e16f9b2a70a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 10:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 1
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radionews@aol.com (Radionews)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 18:37:39 GMT
References: <06opbtcui4u2j2908venv99keqtcphmn62@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC Don' Do Nuthin'
Message-ID: <20010325133739.20267.00001555@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17829

It is all posted at www.rainreport.com

From 1160579912939174118
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 10:42:07 PST
Message-ID: <3ABE3949.C8E055A5@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 12:30:33 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abdf29b.1137294@news.mindspring.com> <20010325123603.01729.00000533@nso-fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985545231 64.240.47.127 (25 Mar 2001 12:33:51 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17830

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> While I'm not suggesting that learning how to drive with a manual
> transmission should be a requirement for obtaining a driver's license,
> the fact is that the benefits and advantages of manual transmissions
> do not assure their continued usage in the future -- and the exact
> same is true for CW.

Larry, exactly where is it written that the purpose of the Amateur
Radio Service is the preservation of Morse code? If Morse code
doesn't make it on its own merits without a governmental affirmative
action welfare program, it didn't deserve to make it. However, I
think CW will be around as long as the ARS.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2081186272746162217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 10:48:06 PST
Message-ID: <3ABE3AC9.4D0B7D5B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 12:36:57 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
References: <3ABDF0A6.403F1808@IEEE.org> <20010325123604.01729.00000534@nso-
fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985545616
64.240.47.127 (25 Mar 2001 12:40:16 -0600)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!usc.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17831
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Ham radio needs to be made more attractive and less unattractive.
>
> Sooo...dumbing down the licensing requirements makes ham radio
> more attractive... ... ...how?

How do you get "dumbing down" out of "more attractive and less
unattractive"? Oh yeah, that's just another straw man.

> If you put me in charge of amateur radio licensing policies, I'd have
> us back to a 5-level licensing structure with 5, 13, and 20 WPM code
> testing faster than you can say Riley Hollingsworth.

We know, we know, Larry. You have a death wish for the ARS. You have
told us about it over and over and over.

> And we would
> see renewed interest and real growth in our numbers virtually overnight.

Of course we would, Larry, of course we would. (Somebody get the net.)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3764865867731610818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d78ba0d98c61d7af
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 10:56:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <z6Mr6.10551$6p5.951756@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AAF87AC.424A311A@some.net> <tavcnsdj4bt8d9@corp.supernews.co.uk>
<01HW.B6D5B30500164830072A7B40@news.canufly.net>
<CVYr6.40755$jg1.806407@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
<3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com> <98qula$cf9$1@news.netmar.com>
<99l66g$sb1$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Subject: Re: Cell Phone Jamming Equipment 4 sale!
Lines: 49
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <X9rv6.131205$p66.36974494@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 18:54:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985546487 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 25 Mar 2001 10:54:47 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 10:54:47 PST

Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17832

I know when I'm on call I leave my startac on vibrate and leave the theatre
to answer ...

same thing goes with people who do innapropriate things anywhere else in
life ... they do it because they can and no other reason ... the cell
phone/pager craze ius just a different avenue

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org               |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Toydriver" <john_little@csi.com> wrote in message
news:99l66g$sb1$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
> Around here (Michigan) people do not have good manners. People will not
> turn their phones off in movies. I've been sitting close to people that
> would even answer their phone during a movie! If these idiots had manners
> there would not be a demand for jammers in places where cellphone use is
> inappropriate!
>
> <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:98qula$cf9$1@news.netmar.com...
> > In article <3ab0ecd7.119740533@news2.dvol.com>, Brian Kelly, w3rv
> > <kelly@dvol> writes:
> >
> > >The goverment of Hong Kong has declared war on cellphones and is
> > >approving jammers in schools, theaters, restaurants, etc. where use of
> > >the things create inappropriate public annoyances. In addition to
> > >passing ordinances against such use backed up with stiff penalties.
> >
> > >Hello FCC, are you tuned in here?
> >
> > Some people just gotta regulate everything! Is it some kinda power
trip,
> or
> > what? Around here we rely on good manners, not the damned government
> > bureaucrats deciding how we should act. Move to China if you don't like
> it.
> > (Yes, Hong Kong is in China.)
> >
> > 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

From 3777238285566392943
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 11:34:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <20010324065502.01729.00000413@nso-fc.aol.com> <B6E34FB7.7867%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 68
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <2Krv6.4614$9i1.380763@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 19:33:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.175.227
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985548798 12.88.175.227 (Sun, 25 Mar 2001 19:33:18 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 19:33:18 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17833

Dwight, I don't know if you and I had this conversation before or it was someone else, but for what it is worth, when I joined the Navy (to see the wonderful world of Vietnam), the code requirement for radiomen (I got out of it for only 4 years active duty, ET and CT required 6) had recently been reduced. I had spoken with another verteran from another service who informed me that the other branch had eliminated it by the early 70s. No one want to understand this isn't a 'gotta be' versa 'NO' code. It has been replaced (but not for enjoyment). I used to be pretty good (still not bad). When I turned in what was nearly a full page of perfect copy at 40 WPM, I was dismissed from class. There was a fellow on Guam who could copy 60. Neither one of us was going to compete with a 100 WPM teletype (which was THEN technology). CW has a few nice things about it - variable length encoding, which helps keep transmission rates higher. Did someone say PSK-31? Ooops! I am, however, contemplating a new use for CW. I am getting aggrivated by the control panels at work. They put fewer and fewer buttons and switches on 'em, instead adding (ready for this?) 'ALTERNATE' buttons, to be used in various combinations with other buttons! We even have a ctrl-alt-del function!   And, we aren't even running windows (this is on PLCs). Just for chuckles and grins (I know I can achieve under a 5 ms scan rate), I think I'm gonna find an old STRAIGHT KEY and use it for everything. I might just wait 13 more months so I will be fully covered for retirement because I don't know how much of a sense of humor some of the guys have at work!

73 from Rochester,
Jim AA2QA

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6E34FB7.7867%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> >
> > [snip] The reason for the code testing
> > requirement is, and always has been,
> > simply to ensure that radio amateurs
> > possessed the skill and ability to
> > effectively employ the Morse code in
> > amateur communication. [snip]
>
>
>   Why? You seem to be suggesting that morse code is a requirement just
> because it exists, with no further reason for it other than the fact that
it
> does exist. To say that, you would have to ignore the history of ham
radio,
> the history of morse code as a means of communications, and how those
relate
> to the history of radio development as a whole.
>
>
> > [snip] The requirement is being dropped
> > due to the overwhelming laziness,
> > demotivation, and indifference of the
> > general public... [snip]
>
>
>   Nonsense. The requirement is being dropped because the technology, and
> capabilities of that technology, have changed. Code no longer offers that
> much of an edge over the other modes when it comes to reliable
> communications. It is as simple as that.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>

From -8036833353621525490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 11:42:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ABD149B.A7E98BAD@hotmail.com>
<985477214.3abd305e9f9e2@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: ham ticket allows the use of filthy language on cb? nocode says it
so
Lines: 46
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <0Srv6.4616$9i1.383286@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 19:41:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.175.227
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985549308 12.88.175.227 (Sun, 25 Mar
2001 19:41:48 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 19:41:48 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14020
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17834

What is it with so many folks so fascinated of late by homosexuality? And
why must we endure it here?

Just a thought ...
Jim

KC8JBO <rmccall-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:985477214.3abd305e9f9e2@webmail.cotse.com...
> "Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3ABD149B.A7E98BAD@hotmail.com...
> > I strongly disagree with the subjectline here...
> > Also, considering that itis someones opinion on the subject, I'll take
it with
> > a grain of salt...
> >
> > I might also want the original poster of this to realize that all hams
> > agree (by act of possession if the amateur license) to proper rules of
> > conduct as set forth in the Rules of the FCC (at least in the US,
> > its territories and possessions).
> >
> > DE N7ZZT
>
>
> But FAGGOT EWIC got in trouble with the FCC for not following the rules,
didn't
> you FAGGOT EWWIC? You got more than just ONE letter from the FCC, didn't

```
you
> Ewwic?
> > fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com wrote:
> >
> > > Subject:Why did Jeff Mayners stuff get taken off Ebay??? Date:24 Mar
2001
> 00:03:46 GMT From:"N8wwm" <N8wwm@aol.com> Newsgroups:rec.radio.cb
> > > BECAUSE I RATTED THE FAGASS PIECE OF SHIT OUT THATS WHY!!!!!!
> AHAHAHHAHAHAAHHAAH MAYNER YOU CUNT!!!!!!!!!!!1
> >
>
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
>
>
```

```
From 8132100712314833655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 13:42:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ABD149B.A7E98BAD@hotmail.com>
<985477214.3abd305e9f9e2@webmail.cotse.com> <0Srv6.4616$9i1.383286@bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: ham ticket allows the use of filthy language on cb? nocode says it
so
Lines: 18
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
	charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <FAtv6.2811$MT2.904626@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /
wLwTYSIQ5yEMuMfKi07IurVjn+FHhatxeaM7OpvsTi025YzcSO+PLkrO2a52kIhQfxt84MKp8sQ!
W2mBgqyCSD4r/ZVZ9ZEBHzAoeuBxjplpZstP+O0+hSuA+5fe7u5Vb4axy2KpD2oh+BVRjY4PlE6r!
GJYhyGkh
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
```

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 21:39:49 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 21:39:49 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14027
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17835

I didn't have to endure it until (you quoted the offensive text). The
original senders of the posts had been happily residing in my kill file for
quite some time...

73 de W6DKN

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:0Srv6.4616$9i1.383286@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> What is it with so many folks so fascinated of late by homosexuality?
And
> why must we endure it here?
>
> Just a thought ...
> Jim
>
>SNIP

From -8857300481455427770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 14:42:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 16:40:02 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>

<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a6.79
X-Server-Date: 25 Mar 2001 22:38:31 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17836
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19450 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11061
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14031

--

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org...
> > W6DKN wrote:
> > > After I have experienced it first hand, I can give you a valid opinion
> on
> > > the subject (provided we both end up in the same place :>) .
> >
> > Do you tell your kids not to jump out in front of a moving truck?
> > Is it because you have experienced it or just used your rational
> > mind to evaluate it? I understand lots of things at which I have
> > no skill or experience.
> > --
>
> Common sense and basic survival instinct are completely different than
> trying to impose your personal opinions to the general public on a subject
> in which you have no first hand knowledge or experience.
>
> A perfect example of this is the anti-gun crowd - the vast majority of
them
> have never even fired a gun, and yet they would have you believe that
based
> on their feelings and opinions, you should have to give up your guns.
>

That is not a "perfect example" unless the end result of removing the code
requirement is that you can no longer use CW. If, after removal of the code
requirement, you or anyone else that wishes to learn and use CW is free to

do so there is NO parallel.

> I understand how a nuclear reactor works, but I would never be allowed to
> testify in court as to the value of such a device to the general public.
> This is because no matter how well intended, the fact remains that my
> opinion on the subject is moot due to the fact that I do not possess the
> expertise necessary to present a legally valid opinion.

Again, unless the end result is that it becomes illegal to use CW the
comparison is invalid.

>
> 73 de W6DKN
>

From -8224008036280872833
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 14:48:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ham ticket allows the use of filthy language on cb? nocode says it so
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 16:45:47 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <99lsao$891$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ABD149B.A7E98BAD@hotmail.com> <985477214.3abd305e9f9e2@webmail.cotse.com> <0Srv6.4616$9i1.383286@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <FAtv6.2811$MT2.904626@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a6.79
X-Server-Date: 25 Mar 2001 22:43:36 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14032 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17837

--

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:FAtv6.2811$MT2.904626@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> I didn't have to endure it until (you quoted the offensive text). The
> original senders of the posts had been happily residing in my kill file
for
> quite some time...
>
> 73 de W6DKN

Same here.

From -3752831500785519898
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 14:56:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!news-hog.berkeley.edu!
ucberkeley!tethys.csu.net!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 14:45:15 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-72.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17838

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> always writes:
> What is wrong with live and let live?

That depends on what you intend to imply by asking the question. If you're
asking: what's wrong with letting anybody and everybody do whatever they
please, there are any number of things wrong with it. If you're asking:
what's wrong with letting people operate any legal mode they want to, then
the answer is nothing. "Live and let live" is not precluded by Part 97.

73, AC6XG

```
From 5515625750410461780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 15:43:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 33
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /bV2/7fq6hKItG9lpayvS/
BnudQfZgRVuYKtw2Oum8hoPKOX6PhsNt80AltHDHLl39hU1VsAjbjL!3WA3lkptO1nQCpzuy9IkC/
hGxIgupbpUk0gBdqVUihJeln6uyCcZQbFhHtOQu66nCnVa9u42JXYZ!l+w7j85u
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 23:37:02 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 23:37:02 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17839
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19458 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11064
```

rec.radio.amateur.misc:14034

"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> SNIP
> > Common sense and basic survival instinct are completely different than
> > trying to impose your personal opinions to the general public on a
subject
> > in which you have no first hand knowledge or experience.
> >
> > A perfect example of this is the anti-gun crowd - the vast majority of
> > them have never even fired a gun, and yet they would have you believe
that
> > based on their feelings and opinions, you should have to give up your
guns.
> >
>
> That is not a "perfect example" unless the end result of removing the
code
> requirement is that you can no longer use CW. If, after removal of the
code
> requirement, you or anyone else that wishes to learn and use CW is free
to
> do so there is NO parallel.
>

Sure it is. The outcome of the argument is not the issue. The issue is that
you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the subject
forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest, that they
are trying to convince others is correct.

73 de W6DKN

From -7797113523496729619
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 16:07:58 PST
Message-ID: <3ABE856B.90C6B2A2@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 17:55:23 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.52
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985564721
64.240.47.52 (25 Mar 2001 17:58:41 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17840
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19463 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11065
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14039
```

W6DKN wrote:

> The issue is that
> you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the subject
> forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest, that they
> are trying to convince others is correct.

How do you explain the host of people with expertise on the
subject who possess those same opinions?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3837595365231911064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 16:24:29 PST
Message-ID: <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 18:01:01 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.52
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985565059
64.240.47.52 (25 Mar 2001 18:04:19 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17841

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> always writes:
> > What is wrong with live and let live?
>
> "Live and let live" is not precluded by Part 97.

Ahhhh, but live and let live is precluded by the Morse code
requirement. An HF ham is not allowed to use any mode until

he/she has first used Morse code to pass the exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2956685280247077149
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 18:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeeds.sol.net!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!
newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 21:34:13 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 153

Message-ID: <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 262f9771.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=MLP35Yd3\acb0EN^dS;UdeBITGoVIjmHf[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgAOKon[S@RSklBFDaL\d^ne^nL7a?a1o;h
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17842 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19471 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11070 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14049

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message news:yivv6.3230

> Sure it is. The outcome of the argument is not the issue. The issue is that you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the subject forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest, that they are trying to convince others is correct.

The people who oppose the Morse code exam statute 47 CFR 97.503(a) are not required by law to be proficient in manual Morse code reception in order to petition their government to delete the rule. The Amateur Radio community have ignore the facts and laws for too long. Your delusion that only Morse code experts can decide this matter is pure non-sense.

In its 1999 License Restructuring Decision , the FCC acknowledge the effect technological changes on the need for Morse code proficiency:
"the design of modern communications systems, including personal communication services, satellite, fiber optic, and high definition television systems, are based on digital communication technologies. We also note that no communication system has been designed in many years that depends on hand-keyed telegraphy or the ability to receive messages in Morse code by ear. In contrast, modern communication systems are designed to be automated systems."

In a October 27, 1997 briefing paper prepared for digital television broadcasters, Mr. Erwin G. Krasnow noted the effects of technology on regulation: "The Supreme Court has observed in CBS v. DNC that the FCC must "walk a 'tightrope' to preserve the First Amendment values written into the Radio Act and its successor, the Communications Act." After referring to its Red Lion decision, the Court noted that "[t]he problems of regulation are rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in terms of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not necessarily so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10 years hence." Columbia Broadcasting Systems, Inc. v. Democratic National Committee, 412 U.S. 94, 117 (1973)."

Because of technological and operational changes, the manual Morse code exam is unnecessary. Its use as a licensure examination element to authorize frequency bands and emission types, other than manual Morse code operation, is arbitrary and capricious. The exam is even arbitrary to authorization Morse code operation, since such operations can be conducted by computer control.

The ITU rule S25.5 forces the FCC to impose a requirement upon its citizens that is unlawful. 5 U.S.C. 706, "Scope of review" provides:

To the extent necessary to decision and when presented, the reviewing court shall decide all relevant questions of law, interpret constitutional and statutory provisions, and determine the meaning or applicability of the terms of an agency action. The reviewing court shall - (1) compel agency action unlawfully withheld or unreasonably delayed; and (2) hold unlawful and set aside agency action, findings, and conclusions found to be - (A) arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law; (B) contrary to constitutional right, power, privilege, or immunity; (C) in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations, or short of statutory right;
(D) without observance of procedure required by law; (E) unsupported by substantial evidence in a case subject to sections 556 and 557 of this title or otherwise reviewed on the record of an agency hearing provided by statute; or (F) unwarranted by the facts to the extent that the facts are subject to trial de novo by the reviewing court. In making the foregoing determinations, the court shall review the whole record or those parts of it cited by a party, and due account shall be taken of the rule of prejudicial error.

The FCC has dealt with the Morse exam matter more directly. The telegraphic examination was removed as a requirement to qualify for Technician Class operator license in 1990. In its Report and Order (FCC Record 7631), the FCC stated:

(a) "For the amateur service to achieve its purpose it must have the participation of as many qualified persons as possible who desire to pursue that purpose. Modern commercial and military electronic systems require engineers to design them, technicians to install and maintain them, and a technologically literate citizenry that can use them. The amateur service should, as it has in the past, attract technically inclined persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them to learn and to prepare themselves where the United States needs expertise. We do not foresee that telegraphers will be in as great demand by future systems as will electronics and communications experts."

(b) "Telegraphy skill has been required for each person who has ever been issued an amateur operator license in the United States, including the current 493,000 licensees. Mastering the Morse Code was an arduous task for many of these licensees, and by developing their telegraphy skills they expressed their intense desire to become amateur operators. It is understandable, therefore, that there are licensees who are reluctant to share the amateur service frequencies with new licensees who have not made a similar effort to master the Morse code. We conclude, however, that telegraphy skill is not so essential to proper operation of a station that transmits exclusively above 30 MHz such as to justify turning away otherwise qualified persons who do not possess the skill. The Notice, furthermore, did not propose to delete the telegraphy skill requirement for a licensee to be the control operator of a station transmitting below 30 MHz where telegraphy communications take place extensively and worldwide communications are possible. The sharing of frequencies between codeless class licensees and other licensees can take place on frequency bands above 30 MHz where telegraphy operation is minimal and where the transmission of the more modern emission types such as data, image, phone, pulse, RTTY, and spread spectrum, predominate."

(c) "We do not concur with the comments alleging that the passing of a telegraphy examination is an indication of the examinee's good character, high intelligence, cooperative demeanor, or willingness to comply with our rules. These traits are also found in individuals who have not passed a telegraphy examination rather than being exclusive to those who have passed such a test. For regulatory purposes, passing a telegraphy examination is no more and no less than proof of the examinee's ability to send and receive texts in Morse code at some specified rate. With respect to comments that make claims for the superiority of telegraphy over other types of communications, we do not consider these arguments as germane to this proceeding. The Notice did not propose to discontinue the authorization of telegraphy CW emission types on any amateur service frequency. The amateur service in the future, as it has in the past, can provide to those who personally desire to do so the opportunity to communicate by telegraphy."

The 13 and 20 word per minute telegraphy examination was removed as a requirement in 1999. The FCC stated in its Report and Order (FCC 99-142) for WT Docket #98-143 that:

(a) "the public interest will best be served by reducing the telegraphy examination requirement to the minimum requirement that we have found that meets the Radio Regulations" ;

(b) "an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse code proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art" ;

(c) "such a license qualification rule [Morse code exam] is not in furtherance of the purpose of the amateur service and we do not believe that it continues to serve a regulatory purpose" ;

(d) "the amateur service is fundamentally a technical service, the emphasis on Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement does not comport with the basis and purpose of the service" ;

(e) "reducing the emphasis on telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement will allow the amateur service to, as it has in the past, attract technically inclined persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them to learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States needs expertise" ; and

(f) "telegraphy proficiency is not a significant factor in determining an individual's ability to provide or be prepared to provide emergency communications" .

The Morse code requirement is finished. Give it up before you destroy the entire Amateur Radio Service.

Larry, KC8EPO

From 1769667058023903713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 19:30:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Date: 26 Mar 2001 03:24:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <99mcot$e3d$0@208.206.142.84>
References: <3ABB76C7.1F485E58@IEEE.org> <20010324065500.01729.00000411@nso-
fc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17843

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3ABB76C7.1F485E58@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> And, BTW, I'm not likely to be supporting
> >> the notion of "preserving" amateur spectrum, especially commercially
> >> viable UHF+ spectrum, from re-allocation to commercial users, either.
> >
> >Yep, we know, Larry. If you can't have it your very own selfish way,
> >then you don't want anyone to have it. You're like the bratty little
> >kid who would rather break a toy than let another kid play with it.
>
> Cecil:
>
> I don't believe that such an immature, uninformed, and specious
> assessment of my thoughts reflects much credit on your own

> point of view. Truly, the "selfishness" exists among hams like
> yourself who feel justified to tie up potentially billions of dollars
> of legitimate commerce just so a tiny minority of hobbyists can
> play with radio toys. We can no longer justify that on the basis
> of "technical progress." We, as hams, are no longer in a position
> to be the prime developers of RF technology. Those of you who
> claim that we are are kidding yourselves. Moreover, your inability
> to read the handwriting on the wall exposes your own selfish
> agenda. The one thing that is certain is that there will be change --
> and I don't think you're going to like it. For people like me who
> can see it coming, it will be much easier to adapt. As a ham, I
> wouldn't want to be you in a few years.
>

Cecil likes to ignore reality. He doesn't even admit that radiotelegraphy is the most basic, easily implemented, widespread and universal form of radiocommunication.

Dick

```
From 840682380259124343
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 19:32:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Date: 26 Mar 2001 03:32:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <99md7t$e3d$1@208.206.142.84>
References: <3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org> <20010325004236.11434.00000591@nso-
fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17844
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >Oh, I agree that amateur radio has seen better days but I think

> >the present threat is mostly VHF/UHF. I think HF amateur radio
> >will outlive me.
>
> Cecil:
>
> I don't disagree with that -- but I believe that we hams share access
> to far more spectrum than we could possibly employ with any kind
> of effectiveness or efficiency in the region above 500 MHz. Therefore,
> I'm not inclined to fight tooth and nail to preserve our allocations there
> when they can be used much more productively and profitably by
> commercial interests.

Not only that-- I'd far rather give up much of UHF/SHF to commercials
for their use for "intentional radiators" than to see them stuff our HF
bands with part 15 devices which are a far greater threat to the meat of
ham radio over the long haul.

Dick EX

```
From 3132706874435159370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 19:40:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 26 Mar 2001 03:40:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <99mdnr$hep$0@208.206.142.84>
References: <3ABCB56D.AD60DA34@IEEE.org> <20010325004240.11434.00000596@nso-
fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17845
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3ABCB56D.AD60DA34@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >

> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> The reason for the code testing requirement is, and always has been,
> >> simply to ensure that radio amateurs possessed the skill and ability
> >> to effectively employ the Morse code in amateur communication.
> >
> >You're showing your age, Larry, actually the lack thereof. Two
> >of the specific reasons for hams to know Morse code in the past
> >was interference mitigation between radio services and to provide
> >a pool of trained CW operators (for the military).
>
> Cecil:
>
> That was the reason then, but the reasons for code testing change
> and grow with the passing of time -- the same way you don't.
>
> >> This
> >> purpose has never limited or excluded development of any other mode.
> >
> >Read the purposes of the amateur radio service. No mention of Morse
> >code or any specific mode. Apparently, those purposes can be met
> >without knowing Morse code.
>
> I don't recall ever saying they did. The reason for code testing doesn't
> have to be stated in the Basis and Purpose of the ARS in order to be
> valid, except to assist you in making another strawman argument.
>

Cecil prefers to ignore the certain fact that knowledge and skill in
the most simple, easily implmented, widespread and truly basic
radiocommunications mode could and easily *should* be mandatory for ham
radio operators, as a basic reqirement. Failure to assure this by the
FCC constitutes the beginnings of abandonment of oversight in a probable
prelude to similar eventual abandonment of the Service as no longer of
value in the "public interest, convenience and necessity". The
enforcement headachescaused by further anarchy caused by the influx of
licensees who, having invested no meaningful personal time, resources
nor effort, have no vested interest in adhering to the rules will only
hasten that conclusion.

```
From -7455258112953626521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 19:48:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

```
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 26 Mar 2001 03:45:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <99me1i$hrv$0@208.206.142.84>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <WlCu6.250$ya4.121352@nntp2.onemain.com>
<B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <Sr1v6.653$WM4.1071139@nntp1.onemain.com>
<B6E3531E.7868%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17846
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19472 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11071
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14053



Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > The context here is a lot of breast
> > beating self-justification for not
> > taking the code test.  We can talk
> > coulda, shoulda, hafta from now til
> > Independence Day but the cosmic
> > interplay of choice and chance has
> > made it a requirement to do 5wpm in
> > the easiest manner possible for at
> > least the next five years.
>
>   Okay, using your own words, why are we treated to this self-serving
> observations on the obvious?  I'm fairly certain all of us know the current
> state of affairs when it comes to the code test, so all that "coulda,
> shoulda, hafta," nonsense is just that.
>
> > What you choose to do about it if
> > anything is purely up to you.  You don't
> > have to justify it to me or anyone else.
> > If it is a self-worth issue, it is YOUR
> > self-worth issue.  So stop with the
> > justifications already.  It is not about
> > the mode, it is not about VHF-UHF vs HF,
> > it is about a code test that is more of
> > an inconvenience than a hurdle for most
> > people.  Obfuscation merely irritates.
>
>   Again, and again using your own words, why are we treated to this
> self-serving observations on the obvious?  Of course what I choose to do
> about it is up to me, and of course I don't have to justify it to you.  Why
> state the obvious?
>
> > It is particularly irritating when the
> > audience is composed of people who, for
> > whatever reason and by whatever method,
> > passed a code test.  There is a time and
> > place to call golf a stupid game  --
> > the gallery at the Masters is more than
> > likely not that time or place.  Preaching
> > the Gospel on the road between Mecca and
> > Medina is not the best context either. So
> > why in hell are you doing it?
>
>   For the exact same reason that the person who passed the code test made
> the statements he did.  And for the same reasons you made the statements you
> did.  And both of those collection of statements were just as irritating
> when the audience is composed of people who, for whatever reason, have
> decided against the code test.


 In other words, he's full of crap and he knows it. And is PROUD.


From -8410954602955380551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 19:58:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 26 Mar 2001 03:58:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <99mep7$jvk$0@208.206.142.84>
References: <3ABCB56D.AD60DA34@IEEE.org> <20010325004240.11434.00000596@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3ABDEF47.91D36460@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17847
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
> "Live and let live" means allowing each
> individual ham to decide what he wants to do within ham radio without
> being forced to pass a stand-alone exam on your personal favorite mode.

So go ahead and take that to its logical extension- demand nothing from anyone, just let them do whatever they wish with no requirements whatever. I guess that's the Libertarian way.

```
From -2315026564970695783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 20:02:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 26 Mar 2001 04:01:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <99mevn$jvk$1@208.206.142.84>
References: <B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325004236.11434.00000593@nso-fi.aol.com>
<B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ABDF3E5.D23E0D06@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17848
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >   Why would I want to do that, Larry. My basic transceiver outputs about a
> > hundred watts, and my amplifier throws in about another 650 watts. And I
> > have so many antennas, the dogs in the neighborhood have begun to confuse
> > them with trees (don't ask).
>

> Larry doesn't seem to realize that Real Hams have amps and antenna farms.

Yep, sometimes. Just like Freebanders.

```
From -403003863814859 81
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 20:29:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 26 Mar 2001 04:29:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <99mgj8$oai$0@208.206.142.84>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17849
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> always writes:
> > > What is wrong with live and let live?
> >
> > "Live and let live" is not precluded by Part 97.
>
> Ahhhh, but live and let live is precluded by the Morse code
> requirement. An HF ham is not allowed to use any mode until
> he/she has first used Morse code to pass the exam.

Quite rational given that radiotelegraphy is the most easily
implemented, universal and truly basic method of radiocommunication.
Surely all hams should be requred to know the basics.

From -4796253067173615115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 20:31:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 26 Mar 2001 04:27:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <99mgg7$oaa$0@208.206.142.84>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABE856B.90C6B2A2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17850 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19475 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11073 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14055

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> W6DKN wrote:
> > The issue is that
> > you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the subject
> > forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest, that they

> > are trying to convince others is correct.
>
> How do you explain the host of people with expertise on the
> subject who possess those same opinions?

All dozen of them?

```
From -5826979611742856965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 20:45:15 PST
Message-ID: <3ABEC5FE.149E1839@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 22:30:54 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABE856B.90C6B2A2@IEEE.org> <99mgg7$oaa$0@208.206.142.84>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985581251
64.240.47.117 (25 Mar 2001 22:34:11 -0600)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

```
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17851
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19478 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11075
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14059

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > W6DKN wrote:
> > > The issue is that
> > > you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the subject
> > > forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest, that they
> > > are trying to convince others is correct.
> >
> > How do you explain the host of people with expertise on the
> > subject who possess those same opinions?
>
>  All dozen of them?

Project the dozen who post here into the general ham population and
you get tens of thousands.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -5380844198384096545
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 20:48:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ABEC6B3.F6904B34@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 22:33:55 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
```

```
References: <B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325004236.11434.00000593@nso-fi.aol.com>
<B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ABDF3E5.D23E0D06@IEEE.org>
<99mevn$jvk$1@208.206.142.84>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985581433
64.240.47.117 (25 Mar 2001 22:37:13 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17852

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Larry doesn't seem to realize that Real Hams have amps and antenna farms.
>
>   Yep, sometimes. Just like Freebanders.

So now you're criticizing hams with amps and antenna farms? (many
of whom run CW) The other night during our CW QSO, someone turned
on his amp so I could hear him.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 3319212172569705166
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 20:52:08 PST
Message-ID: <3ABEC7F4.38F98E43@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 22:39:16 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99mgj8$oai$0@208.206.142.84>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985581753
64.240.47.117 (25 Mar 2001 22:42:33 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17853

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Surely all hams should be requred to know the basics.

Agreed, however Morse code skill should not be considered as important
as everything else in ham radio combined. But a 5wpm Morse code
exam is given equal (50/50) weight to a written exam on all other
ham radio topics including rules, regulations, safety, and theory.
Fold the Morse code exam into the written exam and that problem
goes away.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 722165248066059856
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 20:55:43 PST

Message-ID: <3ABEC892.4B2909A7@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 22:41:54 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABCB56D.AD60DA34@IEEE.org> <20010325004240.11434.00000596@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEF47.91D36460@IEEE.org> <99mep7$jvk$0@208.206.142.84>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985581911 64.240.47.117 (25 Mar 2001 22:45:11 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17854

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > "Live and let live" means allowing each
> > individual ham to decide what he wants to do within ham radio without
> > being forced to pass a stand-alone exam on your personal favorite mode.
>
> So go ahead and take that to its logical extension- demand nothing from
> anyone, just let them do whatever they wish with no requirements
> whatever.

That's your illogical extension, as usual. Test only the things that
are important enough to need testing for an entrance exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2276032714472815591
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 21:02:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ABECA83.42E69552@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 22:50:11 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABCB56D.AD60DA34@IEEE.org> <20010325004240.11434.00000596@nso-fi.aol.com> <99mdnr$hep$0@208.206.142.84>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985582409 64.240.47.117 (25 Mar 2001 22:53:29 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!codeine.org!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17855

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Cecil prefers to ignore the certain fact that knowledge and skill in
> the most simple, easily implmented, widespread and truly basic
> radiocommunications mode could and easily *should* be mandatory for ham
> radio operators, as a basic reqirement.

Dick, the FCC disagrees with you. Bitching, whining, and moaning will
not bring back the past. When are you going to accept the reality
of the 21st century? It is up to individual hams to promote the use
of CW.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2677475427703308473
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 21:24:24 PST
Message-ID: <3ABECEF4.399FBEE5@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 23:09:08 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
References: <3ABB76C7.1F485E58@IEEE.org> <20010324065500.01729.00000411@nso-fc.aol.com> <99mcot$e3d$0@208.206.142.84>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985583546 64.240.47.117 (25 Mar 2001 23:12:26 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17856

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Cecil likes to ignore reality. He doesn't even admit that radiotelegraphy is the most basic, easily implemented, widespread and universal form of radiocommunication.

Neither does the FCC, Dick. And I'll bet you $1000 that Morse code is not universal. Please prove that one other place in the universe (besides earth) uses Morse code. Even on earth, probably less than one tenth of one percent of the population knows Morse code. Universal indeed.

Talking is basic to Homo Sapiens, Morse code is not. I can build an AM transceiver faster than someone can learn Morse code and build a CW transceiver. The spread of CW is getting more narrow all the time. 2/3 of US hams use it rarely or never.

CW is a fun mode for some but it is just another mode and should be optional like any other mode. What is wrong with live and let live?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3279979818240362679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 21:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 27
```

```
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 05:41:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.214.8.185
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985585277 63.214.8.185 (Sun, 25 Mar 2001
21:41:17 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 21:41:17 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17857
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19480 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11080
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14061
```

W6DKN wrote:

> Common sense and basic survival instinct are completely different than
> trying to impose your personal opinions to the general public on a subject
> in which you have no first hand knowledge or experience.
>
> A perfect example of this is the anti-gun crowd - the vast majority of them
> have never even fired a gun, and yet they would have you believe that based
> on their feelings and opinions, you should have to give up your guns.

Kind of a silly example, wouldn't you say? A vast majority has never fired a thermonuclear device either, and yet they would have you believe that based on their feelings and opinions, you should have to give up your personal thermonuclear device, if you had one.

Or taken even further, take the anti-drug crowd - the vast majority of them have never even shot heroin, and yet they would have you believe that based on their feelings and opinions, you should have to give up your needle.

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

```
From -7608749165678201058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 22:00:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
```

```
for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABEDA5D.DAE623B5@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100> <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<99hk58$6f6$0@dosa.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 56
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 05:56:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.214.8.185
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985586180 63.214.8.185 (Sun, 25 Mar 2001
21:56:20 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 21:56:20 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17858
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19482 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11081
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14062
```

DumbassWoger wrote:

> Just why the heck would anybody in his/her right mind pay the ARRL to be a
> member? For what?

Because it is the only national ham radio association in the US, and as such is the sole protector of every US ham's interests, rights and privileges. Because without it, ham radio would cease to exist after losing every Hz of spectrum to special interest groups who, unlike you and your CB buddy, are well organized and represented.

> Who cares?

Whoever cares about the future of ham radio, not only in the US, but in the entire world.

> The ARRL is losing members day in and day out. They have to ask themselves,
> "Why?"

Provide data to support this claim, please.

> The real reason for this loss of members is simple.
> 1. It costs too much and you get nothing back.

Bullshit. See above. What's the cost nowadays, $34? You mean to tell me that you "can't afford" lousy $34 to be a part of the organization that protects *your* interests? You probably blow more than that daily on beer.

> 2. The magazine is too full of voting and political agendas.

Bullshit. Nobody forces you to read those pages, nor the rest of the magazine for that matter.

> 3. Nobody really cares about the ARRL.

Bullshit. Nobody meaning you.

> 4. The ARRL really doesn't care about you.

What do you want? Flowers for Valentine's? Chocolate on your pillow?

> 5. The ARRL has an agenda that does not help Hams.

Ah, yes. Now we're talking... They shot Kennedy, too, didn't they? And they covered up the Roswell conspiracy.

73 ..... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From -6101154487253364935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 03:44:06 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 06:42:43 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <WlCu6.250$ya4.121352@nntp2.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-169.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985434107 437261 204.116.70.170 (24 Mar 2001 11:41:47
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 11:41:47 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17755
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19320 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10964
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13937

Richard McCollum wrote:

> So for why are we treated to the self-
> serving observations on the obvious?
> Why not try to sell someone who matters?
> We are just a bunch of people who cared
> enough to DO it and know bullshit when
> we see it. I don't care if you pass it
> or not but please do not insult my
> intelligence.

Sorry, I hit the send button before responding to this. Anyway, unless your name is Bill, I wasn't trying to "sell" you on anything. And, since you want to be so huffy about this, let me add that I was also one of those who cared enough to do it - when I was about thirteen in the Boy Scouts. Since then, I grew out of flashing messages with my Boy Scout flashlight and beeping messages to kids on the playground with my little decoder ring. Since then, I learned the best way to communicate is to talk, and I have used my knowledge about radio to improve my station enough to do that

effectively without having to resort to an 18th century telegraph key.
Perhaps you should learn more about radio so you can do the same. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2413792055881070208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 03:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 85
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Mar 2001 11:55:03 GMT
References: <3ABB759D.2B2D5C5C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010324065503.01729.00000414@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17756

In article <3ABB759D.2B2D5C5C@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
\>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Therefore, he is less of a ham than one who can/does use CW. This is an
\>incontrovertible fact.
>
\>For every mode you don't use, Larry, are you less of a ham?

Cecil:

Certainly not. For example, I don't use HF phone at the present time;
that hardly makes me less of a ham. In order to overcome the challenge
of my apartment-dwelling operating environment, I am required by
circumstances (not "forced" whatsoever) to employ much more skill,
patience, and ingenuity to my operating practices than hams who can
use full power and high-gain antennae. Keep in mind, I operate on three
HF modes: CW, RTTY, and PSK31.

\>Maybe he
\>uses three more modes than you do. You have already lost the war. You

>need to work on your delusions and get on with the rest of your life.

I seriously doubt it. I believe you'll find most amateurs are hard pressed
to employ two modes. I certainly tend, as most hams do, to spend the
majority of my time on the mode I like best: CW. However, this requires
a great deal more operating skill than merely speaking into a microphone;
therefore I, and all other primary CW users, have a legitimate claim to
superiority as hams. The approximate breakdown of my operating time
vs. mode would be CW: 80%, RTTY: 19%, PSK31: 1% (mainly due to lack
of very many new users to work).

>> In an age where people from all walks of life now have access to instant,
>> global communications, we are eliminating the only real challenge that
>> remained as an attraction to intelligent, enthusiastic, and intellectually
>> flexible prospective hams -- the code testing requirements.
>
>You didn't find it attractive, Larry. You admitted that you had to force
>yourself to learn it. Seems the reason you want to keep it is that you
>were forced (required by circumstances) to do it so you want everyone
>else to befall the same fate. You were born too late for that.

Your statement that having all HF amateurs be "required" to learn
and be tested on Morse code is to "befall" my "fate" exposes your
true agenda vis-a-vis the "force" argument. You consider it to be
something onerous, depressing, and unfair. My youthful objections
to learning and being tested on CW were, by my own admission,
a result of an immature and uninformed outlook. Apparently, as I
have grown, you have not.

>> You say you "paid the price" of your ham ticket by taking a 5 WPM code
>> test. You still owe us 15 more WPM, my friend. And unless and until you
>> pay up, you're not a "real" ham -- you're just what passes for one these
>> days.
>
>What's this "us" thing? You got a mouse in your pocket?

Well, it obviously doesn't include you, Cecil, so don't sweat it.

Larry, anyone
>with a valid amateur radio license is a real ham.

Not exactly. Anyone with a valid amateur radio license is a real
amateur radio license holder...just like a "member in good standing"
in a radio club is one who paid his dues! It is a basic qualification,
not the whole enchilada. To be a "Real Ham" requires more than
the basic piece of paper. As I've said many times, this includes
(but certainly is not limited to) a knowledge of the Morse code at a
practical operational skill level.

If you don't believe
>it, ask the experts on the subject, i.e. the FCC.

The FCC are not experts at what makes a "Real Ham." They are

strictly concerned with the basic, minimum qualifications -- which is
a legitimate function of government to ensure that a valuable resource
(the RF spectrum) is being utilized legally and efficiently. They
cannot and should not be concerned with what comes afterward...the
knowledge, skill development, and operating skill that defines a "Real
Ham" in the classic sense. They are not concerned with that, since
it is not a function of government.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2920391560935951192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 04:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Mar 2001 11:55:01 GMT
References: <985380414.13500.0.nnrp-10.9e98acde@news.demon.co.uk>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Message-ID: <20010324065501.01729.00000412@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17757

In article <985380414.13500.0.nnrp-10.9e98acde@news.demon.co.uk>, "Martin John
Hallsworth" <Martin@g1gyc.demon.co.uk> writes:

>
>>It could be that now is the time to see if hams can do without a few
>>billions of dollars worth of the spectrum they're not using.
>>
>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Ahh.....
>Now might be the time to see if the commercial users can hack the
>jamming that such a situation could lead too !!!
>But then that would be anarchy...

Martin:

However, the commercial interests are more financially able to deal
with the "jamming" than *amateur* radio operators are! And, once
they gain primary access to a frequency allocation, they are legally
protected from said "jamming." Mr. Hollingsworth could well find
himself enforcing hams that are using *former* amateur frequencies --

for the benefit of the commercial interests that gained access to them due to our inability to effectively utilize them. How ironic.

73 de Larry, K3LT

Addendum:

I just read Phil Kane's reply to this, and as usual, he said it much better than I could. If you were planning on doing any of this "jamming," you'd be well advised to reconsider.

.Doc

From -7638569486067457643
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 04:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Mar 2001 11:55:00 GMT
References: <3ABB76C7.1F485E58@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Message-ID: <20010324065500.01729.00000411@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17758

In article <3ABB76C7.1F485E58@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> And, BTW, I'm not likely to be supporting
>> the notion of "preserving" amateur spectrum, especially commercially
>> viable UHF+ spectrum, from re-allocation to commercial users, either.
>
>Yep, we know, Larry. If you can't have it your very own selfish way,
>then you don't want anyone to have it. You're like the bratty little
>kid who would rather break a toy than let another kid play with it.

Cecil:

I don't believe that such an immature, uninformed, and specious assessment of my thoughts reflects much credit on your own point of view. Truly, the "selfishness" exists among hams like yourself who feel justified to tie up potentially billions of dollars of legitimate commerce just so a tiny minority of hobbyists can play with radio toys. We can no longer justify that on the basis of "technical progress." We, as hams, are no longer in a position to be the prime developers of RF technology. Those of you who claim that we are are kidding yourselves. Moreover, your inability to read the handwriting on the wall exposes your own selfish agenda. The one thing that is certain is that there will be change -- and I don't think you're going to like it. For people like me who can see it coming, it will be much easier to adapt. As a ham, I wouldn't want to be you in a few years.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -2365448892047109345
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 04:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Mar 2001 11:55:02 GMT
References: <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010324065502.01729.00000413@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17759
```

In article <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> But these people would argue that the "price" set by the FCC (the code
>testing requirement) is not rational - it is not rational to still maintain
>testing for morse code when all other radio services have long ago dropped a
>similar requirement, or when code has been virtually eliminated in all other
>radio communitions (commercial, military, governement, marine, and so
>forth).
>
> The code test requirement once served a purpose - to provide a means of
>code education for those who might enter other radio services and to provide
>a pool of trained operators to serve as a backup for other radio services
>during emergencies. Those purposes no longer exist today - the other radio
>services don't need people educated in code and CW is a very poor backup for
>cellular telephones, satellites, and electronic mail.

>

Dwight:

These are the usual specious and self-serving arguments of the NCTA. The reason for the code testing requirement is, and always has been, simply to ensure that radio amateurs possessed the skill and ability to effectively employ the Morse code in amateur communication. This purpose has never limited or excluded development of any other mode. There is no connection between the Morse testing requirement and any technical or operational development within the realm of amateur radio -- or any other radio service. The Morse testing requirement is a means to an end -- not a limiting factor. It's purpose does exist today - and is a current and valid as it ever has been. The requirement is being dropped due to the overwhelming laziness, demotivation, and indifference of the general public...and the desire of the ARRL and the equipment manufacturers to "consumerize" the ARS to the greatest extent possible while it still exists. As always, follow the money, and you will learn the truth.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -2552060066775490969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,44f4fb178c8bd0e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 04:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e3500-chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABC8C61.12ED7D06@berkshire.net>
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@berkshire.net>
X-Sender: "David Robbins" <@mail.berkshire.net> (Unverified)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-gatewaynet  (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Jamming Target: 28.055 Taxi Dispatch
References: <985407538.3abc20324660b@webmail.cotse.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 42
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 06:58:41 EST
Organization: WebUseNet Corp  http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 12:00:33 +0000
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17760
```

if they aren't there check other 10kc steps up and down the band. that station is heard also on 28085 (channel 19 up 1) and other channels on 10kc steps up to at least 28295.   and while you are at it check out all the U.S. truckers on 28085 and the rest of the band... 28535 (channel 19 up 2) is another frequent hangout, though i have heard them as high as 28755 (channel 40 up 2).

and don't forget my reward fund for catching an intruder, see http://www.k1ttt.net/ for more info.

ronc-no-spam@cotse.com wrote:
>
> Fellow Hams:
>
> There has been lots of discussion about whether or not we should use jamming as a technique to get the intruders off 10 meters. Here is a way to test my theory that it works: Lets pick a common target and jam the hell out of it! Here is my suggested target: A commercial taxi dispatch operation regularly heard on 28.055. The base station uses a two-tone "roger beep", and is often heard calling a station "viente quatro", Spanish for "24". Viente Quatro can be heard with signals of 59 +10 to 20 in California at a bearing of SW, between 2200 and 0300 UTC. It would be interesting to get bearings from other parts of the world to try and locate the station.
>
> Here's why it will work. This is a commercial operation, and if we deny them the ability to dispatch their cabs, they will lose money and go elsewhere. If we hit the frequency with a cacaphony of QRM whenever the stations are heard, they will soon go away and the frequency will return to our use.
>
> So warm up those kilowatts and blast away! Keep hitting the dispatch over and over, every time she calls a mobile! Keep pounding her with CW, RTTY, MFSK-16, PSK-31, Testing, tuning, or if you're in Canada, use phone...it's legal there. The FCC can't stop these yo-yo's, so it's up to us! Let's put Viente Quatro off the air!
>
> 73!
> The Raven

--
David Robbins K1TTT
e-mail: mailto://k1ttt@berkshire.net
web: http://www.berkshire.net/~robbins/k1ttt.html or http://www.k1ttt.net
AR-Cluster node: 145.69MHz or telnet://k1ttt.net

From -5139200123419076000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 04:34:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!

152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Mar 2001 12:32:02 GMT
References: <3ABC3571.469EDEB3@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010324073202.01729.00000418@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17761

In article <3ABC3571.469EDEB3@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Uh, Cecil, anyone who has a valid license is a HAM RADIO LICENSEE. Many
>> don't know which end of the radio the on-off switch is located on.
>> Can't really consider them as Real Hams, can we?
>
>Are you using the colloquial/slang "real ham" there, Dick? "Ham"
>is in my dictionary. It says: "ham - 2. an operator of an amateur
>radio station." So what's your official definition of a "real ham"?

Cecil:

The term "Real Ham" was coined by yours truly in the Fidonet Ham Radio echo almost ten years ago. It means exactly what *I* want it to mean. My definition of "Real Ham" is a person who fully embraced the art and science of AMATEUR radio; who explores ALL operating modes but recognizes the special nature of the Morse/CW mode as the most uniquely practical, effective, efficient and universal electronic communications mode, and embraces the notion of a requirement for testing in Morse code to ensure practical proficiency in this important mode. The Real Ham realizes that amateur radio is now a stand-alone service, unaffected in basic concept by the economic and technological realities of other radio services, and must continue to provide a basic, simple, and robust backup capability based on operator knowledge and skill. The Real Ham knows that amateur radio is more than yakking into a microphone, winning contests, and collecting wallpaper. Most importantly, a Real Ham does not whine, complain, or make specious, self-serving arguments against progressive, multi-level Morse code testing requirements, whether or not he has taken and passed them. A Real Ham does not have to possess anything even remotely close to professional-grade technical skills -- but he must be technically involved at the AMATEUR level and able to hold his own when dealing with the technology common to the Amateur Radio Service.

This is what a Real Ham should be. Do YOU qualify?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 2889141517245371462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 05:04:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <WlCu6.250$ya4.121352@nntp2.onemain.com>
<B6E1EAD6.76D9%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <YT0v6.650$WM4.1053439@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 07:01:23 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.230
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 985438840 208.154.158.230 (Sat, 24 Mar 2001 08:00:40
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 08:00:40 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17762
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19331 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10967
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13942
```

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6E1EAD6.76D9%stewartx@sccoast.net...

> Richard McCollum wrote:

>
> > Given that, what are you going to do
> > about it? You could pass it, you
> > could simply shut up and wait, you
> > could send out for a pastrami on white
> > with mayo, have sex, or expect rain.
> > There are any number of wondrous things
> > to be accomplished.
>
>
>   Well, Dick, I've already said what I'm going to do about it directly
> (since I have no interest in HF right now, I'm going to do nothing).
> However, in the context of what you said above, I don't like pastrami,
so
> that's out. But I do expect to enjoy the radio capabilities I have,
> barbeque steaks on the grill, enjoy the coming summer, and hope the rain
> goes away so I can sit around on my boat and soak up some harmful UV
rays.
> In addition, I intend to address this topic occassionally when it comes
up
> in this newsgroup.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
>

Feel free; you don't need my permission to do anything. Just make your
choices, live with them, and spare us the self-justification.

Dick

From -7618387700325874318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 05:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-

```
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <WlCu6.250$ya4.121352@nntp2.onemain.com>
<B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 61
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <Sr1v6.653$WM4.1071139@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 07:39:47 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.230
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 985441138 208.154.158.230 (Sat, 24 Mar 2001 08:38:58
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 08:38:58 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17763
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19335 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10970
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13944


"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> > So for why are we treated to the self-
> > serving observations on the obvious?
> > Why not try to sell someone who matters?
> > We are just a bunch of people who cared
> > enough to DO it and know bullshit when
> > we see it.  I don't care if you pass it
> > or not but please do not insult my
> > intelligence.
>
>
>   Sorry, I hit the send button before responding to this.  Anyway,
unless
> your name is Bill, I wasn't trying to "sell" you on anything.  And,
since
> you want to be so huffy about this, let me add that I was also one of
those
> who cared enough to do it - when I was about thirteen in the Boy Scouts.
> Since then, I grew out of flashing messages with my Boy Scout flashlight
and
> beeping messages to kids on the playground with my little decoder ring.
> Since then, I learned the best way to communicate is to talk, and I have
> used my knowledge about radio to improve my station enough to do that
> effectively without having to resort to an 18th century telegraph key.
```

> Perhaps you should learn more about radio so you can do the same. :-)
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>

No one is getting huffy but I do have a certain difficulty calling a manure pile a 'fertilizer storage facility'. New does not imply good, old does not imply bad. All things in context.

The context here is a lot of breast beating self-justification for not taking the code test. We can talk coulda, shoulda, hafta from now til Independence Day but the cosmic interplay of choice and chance has made it a requirement to do 5wpm in the easiest manner possible for at least the next five years.

What you choose to do about it if anything is purely up to you. You don't have to justify it to me or anyone else. If it is a self-worth issue, it is YOUR self-worth issue. So stop with the justifications already. It is not about the mode, it is not about VHF-UHF vs HF, it is about a code test that is more of an inconvenience than a hurdle for most people. Obfuscation merely irritates.

It is particularly irritating when the audience is composed of people who, for whatever reason and by whatever method, passed a code test. There is a time and place to call golf a stupid game -- the gallery at the Masters is more than likely not that time or place. Preaching the Gospel on the road between Mecca and Medina is not the best context either. So why in hell are you doing it?

Dick N�BK

From 5163257543373851239
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 05:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 13:56:20 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <3abca5ec.1009177@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4f.3c
X-Server-Date: 24 Mar 2001 13:57:34 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17765
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19338 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10972
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13947

On Sat, 24 Mar 2001 00:45:01 GMT, "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote:

>"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>news:3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org...
>> W6DKN wrote:
>> > After I have experienced it first hand, I can give you a valid opinion
>> > on the subject (provided we both end up in the same place :>) .
>>
>> Do you tell your kids not to jump out in front of a moving truck?
>> Is it because you have experienced it or just used your rational
>> mind to evaluate it? I understand lots of things at which I have
>> no skill or experience.
>> --
>
>Common sense and basic survival instinct are completely different than
>trying to impose your personal opinions to the general public on a subject
>in which you have no first hand knowledge or experience.
>
>A perfect example of this is the anti-gun crowd - the vast majority of them
>have never even fired a gun, and yet they would have you believe that based
>on their feelings and opinions, you should have to give up your guns.
>
>I understand how a nuclear reactor works, but I would never be allowed to
>testify in court as to the value of such a device to the general public.
>This is because no matter how well intended, the fact remains that my
>opinion on the subject is moot due to the fact that I do not possess the
>expertise necessary to present a legally valid opinion.
>
>73 de W6DKN

Except this is not a court scenario...nor is the FCC arena when it asks for or otherwise accepts comments on rules. One need only examine the use and role morse code now plays in any communications service to see it is significantly diminished in need. The value of code to an individual on a personal enjoyment basis is not the rational the FCC uses in determining value or need.

Additionally, as a counter-point to your court "expert-witness" scenario, you must admit that in the end, it is the jury of people that do not possess that expertise that makes the decision.

By the way, it doesn't take that much effort to be deemed an expert witness in most cases. The opposition will use its crossexamination time to discredit any expert witness...but in the end it goes to the jury for the final choice.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6370332416086009520
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 06:04:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 14:01:58 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <3abca7ce.1491383@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$

%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcsf$13t$0@208.206.142.100> <3ABC30EC.E44BBBF7@IEEE.org>
<GgXu6.5164$KA6.461531@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4f.3c
X-Server-Date: 24 Mar 2001 13:59:43 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17766
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19339 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10973
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13948

On Sat, 24 Mar 2001 06:37:26 GMT, "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote:

>
>"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>news:3ABC30EC.E44BBBF7@IEEE.org...
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >   Only by learning it, gaining skill in how to do it, and working
>> > radiotelegraphy can you come to know the essential facts concerning it
>> > as a working communications mode.
>>
>> So all the digital communications experts who don't possess actual
>> Morse code skill don't know the "essential facts" about Morse code?
>> If Shannon didn't possess Morse code skill, do you think he didn't
>> understand the theory? You think the FCC doesn't understand Morse
>> code? You're still beating that poor old dead horse. Hams who want
>> to use Morse code use it. Hams who don't want to use Morse code
>> don't use it.
>
>The keyword here is "Hams". As used in your sentence, the implication is
>that a person you classify as a "Ham" possesses the skills to use CW if
>they choose to do so.

No such implication can be made from that statement.
By current regulations, hams are hams with or without
morse knowledge. That has been so for 10 years.

>> Every ham has a choice of his/her >favorite mode(s).
>
>Agreed, according to your definition of a "Ham". But what about those
>persons who do not possess the skill to operate CW? They do not fit the
>definition of a "Ham" as you use it here... because they cannot operate CW
>if they wanted to because of lack of skills.

Your claim has no basis in what was stated, nor in fact.

>Which is basically what the whole discussion is about... There are some who
>may have access to the bands, but since they do not possess the skills
>necessary to operate CW, by definition they can't be included in the group
>commonly referred to as Hams...

Absolutely false...as already stated above.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 356477271704342709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 06:06:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 14:04:00 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3abca8f7.1788314@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3abb668d.2410728@news.mindspring.com>
<3abbec63$0$12818$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4f.3c
X-Server-Date: 24 Mar 2001 14:01:47 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17767
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19340 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10974
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13949

On Fri, 23 Mar 2001 18:38:17 -0600, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
wrote:

>Bill;
>A comment you made below seems to fit the solution to the problem. If

>the code test was made part of the scoring of the written test just like
>the various segments of the present written then those who want to do
>code can study the code and have a section of the test that they will
>pass and have other sections that they can slide on and still get their
>passing grade.
>Dave Nagel, WD9BDZ

I agree. I have never suggested that code,, as a mode, not
be included in the overall knowledge base.

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> On Fri, 23 Mar 2001 00:04:21 -0600, "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Amateur radio covers a wide spectrum of methods and modes. Some people
>> >specialize in SSB, others AM, still others TV, low power, EME, and yes
>> >some CW.
>> >
>> >Qualification for an amateur radio license covers that same spectrum. We
>> >are tested on the Rules and Regulations governing the service. We are
>> >tested on our understanding of proper and appropriate operating
>> >technique. We are tested on how a radio signal is generated and
>> >detected. We are also tested on modes of operation i.e. ssb, am sstv,
>> >atv, antenna design and operation. And. We are tested on our
>> >comprehension of digital modes of communication to include morse code.
>> >To say that we should not be tested on a segment of the service just
>> >because it is old, outmoded and not used for anything ignores the fact
>> >that code is part of our general knowledge and an important part of our
>> >heritage.
>>
>> All true, but you don't consider that none of the other modes
>> are tested on a separate pass/fail basis as code is today.
>> I have no problem with adding some test questions to the
>> overall test/knowledge syllabus on code as a mode. There
>> is,however, no longer need to test anyone's individual
>> code ability as a stand-alone skill test on sending/receiving.
>> That's no different than not testing on ability to type
>> using a keyboard for packet or RTTY.
>>
>>
>> That's all you'll get from me.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK

From 1906454119900617746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 06:16:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-

out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 14:14:11 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 76
Message-ID: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
References: <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010324065502.01729.00000413@nso-fc.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4f.3c
X-Server-Date: 24 Mar 2001 14:15:38 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17768

On 24 Mar 2001 11:55:02 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
><stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
>> But these people would argue that the "price" set by the FCC (the code
>>testing requirement) is not rational - it is not rational to still maintain
>>testing for morse code when all other radio services have long ago dropped a
>>similar requirement, or when code has been virtually eliminated in all other
>>radio communitions (commercial, military, governement, marine, and so
>>forth).
>>
>> The code test requirement once served a purpose - to provide a means of
>>code education for those who might enter other radio services and to provide
>>a pool of trained operators to serve as a backup for other radio services
>>during emergencies. Those purposes no longer exist today - the other radio
>>services don't need people educated in code and CW is a very poor backup for
>>cellular telephones, satellites, and electronic mail.
>
>Dwight:
>These are the usual specious and self-serving arguments of the NCTA.
>The reason for the code testing requirement is, and always has been,
>simply to ensure that radio amateurs possessed the skill and ability
>to effectively employ the Morse code in amateur communication.

That has been Larry's mantra for years now. It is false
today because there is no absolute need to have any ham
possess the skill in the first place. Additionally, it is
absolutly clear that the FCC sees no reason to ensure
such knowledge beforehand for no-code techs who are
free to use code all they want on VHF without ever having
been first tested on that skill.

This
>purpose has never limited or excluded development of any other mode.

Nor has your claimed purpose ("to ensure") limited or excluded use of morse by non-code techs.

>There is no connection between the Morse testing requirement and any
>technical or operational development within the realm of amateur
>radio -- or any other radio service.

Agree completely.

>The Morse testing requirement is
>a means to an end -- not a limiting factor.

That end being what?

> It's purpose does exist today -
>and is a current and valid as it ever has been.

That purpose being?

> The requirement is being
>dropped due to the overwhelming laziness, demotivation, and indifference
>of the general public...and the desire of the ARRL and the equipment
>manufacturers to "consumerize" the ARS to the greatest extent
>possible while it still exists. As always, follow the money, and you
>will learn the truth.

Yes Larry, the great conspiracy theory. Consider the following analogy....

The requirement (to be able to use a manual shift transmission) was dropped due to the overwhelming laziness, demotivation, and indifference of the general public...and the desire of the AAA and the automobile manufacturers to "consumerize" the driving public to the greatest extent possible while it still exists. As always, follow the money, and you will learn the truth.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 1851688863166442740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 06:18:06 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 14:15:57 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3abcabc5.2505886@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org>
<3ab9ea8b.874724@news.mindspring.com> <3abbce39_1@corp.newsfeeds.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4f.3c
X-Server-Date: 24 Mar 2001 14:17:25 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17769
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19341 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10975
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13951

On Fri, 23 Mar 2001 16:29:30 -0600, "JWheeler" <kd5bcu at sydcom dot
net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> And the "price" set by the FCC is not based on any
>> competitive concerns.
>>
>
>Actually, the price the FCC sets is based on international treaty.

Agreed now.  That was not the case prior to last year because
the treaty never had any speed requirement.

>Of
>course, that treaty will likely change in the next few years by dropping the
>code requirement, and the FCC will follow suit.  Those that want HF
>priviledges will either pay the price and learn code or they can simply wait
>a few years until HF priviledges go on 'clearance'.

Agree again.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 7707744476077791379
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 06:22:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 14:18:58 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3abcac85.2698320@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ABC3571.469EDEB3@IEEE.org> <20010324073202.01729.00000418@nso-fc.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4f.3c
X-Server-Date: 24 Mar 2001 14:20:28 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17770

Will all those that accept Larry's definition of what
a "Real Ham" is please vote now.

On 24 Mar 2001 12:32:02 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3ABC3571.469EDEB3@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>
>>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>> Uh, Cecil, anyone who has a valid license is a HAM RADIO LICENSEE. Many
>>> don't know which end of the radio the on-off switch is located on.
>>> Can't really consider them as Real Hams, can we?
>>
>>Are you using the colloquial/slang "real ham" there, Dick? "Ham"
>>is in my dictionary. It says: "ham - 2. an operator of an amateur
>>radio station." So what's your official definition of a "real ham"?
>
>Cecil:
>
>The term "Real Ham" was coined by yours truly in the Fidonet Ham
>Radio echo almost ten years ago. It means exactly what *I* want
>it to mean. My definition of "Real Ham" is a person who fully
>embraced the art and science of AMATEUR radio; who explores
>ALL operating modes but recognizes the special nature of the Morse/
>CW mode as the most uniquely practical, effective, efficient and
>universal electronic communications mode, and embraces the
>notion of a requirement for testing in Morse code to ensure practical
>proficiency in this important mode. The Real Ham realizes that
>amateur radio is now a stand-alone service, unaffected in basic
>concept by the economic and technological realities of other radio
>services, and must continue to provide a basic, simple, and robust

```
>backup capability based on operator knowledge and skill.  The
>Real Ham knows that amateur radio is more than yakking into a
>microphone, winning contests, and collecting wallpaper.  Most
>importantly, a Real Ham does not whine, complain, or make
>specious, self-serving arguments against progressive, multi-level
>Morse code testing requirements, whether or not he has taken
>and passed them.  A Real Ham does not have to possess anything
>even remotely close to professional-grade technical skills -- but he
>must be technically involved at the AMATEUR level and able to
>hold his own when dealing with the technology common to the
>Amateur Radio Service.
>
>This is what a Real Ham should be.  Do YOU qualify?
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
```

```
From 161151939780601962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 06:24:06 PST
Message-ID: <3ABCAB75.59FF863B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 08:13:09 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcsf$13t$0@208.206.142.100> <3ABC30EC.E44BBBF7@IEEE.org>
<GgXu6.5164$KA6.461531@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985443389 64.240.47.61 (24 Mar 2001 08:16:29 -0600)
Lines: 33
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17771 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19342 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10976 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13952

W6DKN wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > Hams who want to use Morse code use it. Hams who don't want to use
> > Morse code don't use it.
>
> The keyword here is "Hams". As used in your sentence, the implication is
> that a person you classify as a "Ham" possesses the skills to use CW if
> they choose to do so.

That's not the implication at all. This ain't rocket science. Hams who want to use Morse code learn it and use it. Hams who don't want to use Morse code don't learn it or don't use it and forget it. Hams are hams whether they know and/or use Morse code or not. I know quite a few hams who learned Morse code and NEVER used it at all. They simply didn't like it.

There were many thousands of early Technicians who passed a General written exam and a 5wpm code test who never copied a single character of code after that. They were issued 1x3 calls starting with a 'W'. In those days, you couldn't tell a Tech from a General by knowing his call. Everyone with an amateur radio license was a ham.

According to the ARRL survey, a majority of hams use CW "rarely or never". Would you say a majority of hams are not "real hams"? I got news for you. "The Amateur's Code" doesn't mean Morse code. What is wrong with live and let live?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7517991508878723123
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 07:06:07 PST
Message-ID: <3ABCB56D.AD60DA34@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 08:55:41 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010324065502.01729.00000413@nso-fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985445940
64.240.47.61 (24 Mar 2001 08:59:00 -0600)
Lines: 34
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17772
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> The reason for the code testing requirement is, and always has been,
> simply to ensure that radio amateurs possessed the skill and ability
> to effectively employ the Morse code in amateur communication.

You're showing your age, Larry, actually the lack thereof. Two
of the specific reasons for hams to know Morse code in the past
was interference mitigation between radio services and to provide
a pool of trained CW operators (for the military).

> This
> purpose has never limited or excluded development of any other mode.

Read the purposes of the amateur radio service. No mention of Morse
code or any specific mode. Apparently, those purposes can be met
without knowing Morse code.

> The Morse testing requirement is
> a means to an end -- not a limiting factor. It's purpose does exist today -
> and is a current and valid as it ever has been.

CW is no longer required for interference mitigation as almost all of
the other services have dropped its use. A pool of trained CW operators
is no longer required by the military. The reasons for knowing Morse
are being buried in the sands of time. Personal choice is the only real
reason left besides an old treaty that will probably be changed. What is
wrong with live and let live?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From 4811157864942471901
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 07:14:07 PST
Message-ID: <3ABCB73A.14CC7153@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 09:03:22 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABC3571.469EDEB3@IEEE.org> <20010324073202.01729.00000418@nso-fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985446402 64.240.47.61 (24 Mar 2001 09:06:42 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED

```
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17773
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> The term "Real Ham" was coined by yours truly in the Fidonet Ham
> Radio echo almost ten years ago.

I distinctly remember the term being applied in the early 50s to Generals/Extras VS Conditionals/Techs/Novices. "Real Hams" took their exams at an FCC office, not by mail. Sorry Larry, the term "Real Ham" was coined long before there was a Fidonet.

> This is what a Real Ham should be. Do YOU qualify?

What reward do I get if I qualify?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5642501828306316381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 07:18:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ABCB801.3CFBCF39@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 09:06:41 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABC3571.469EDEB3@IEEE.org> <20010324073202.01729.00000418@nso-
fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985446601
64.240.47.61 (24 Mar 2001 09:10:01 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
```

```
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17774
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> This is what a Real Ham should be. Do YOU qualify?

A "Real Ham" doesn't use a wienie attic antenna. Do you
qualify?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1082989172476710257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 07:30:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ABCBAA6.8805E4F2@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 09:17:58 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABB759D.2B2D5C5C@IEEE.org> <20010324065503.01729.00000414@nso-
fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985447277
64.240.47.61 (24 Mar 2001 09:21:17 -0600)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
```

Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17775

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> In order to overcome the challenge
> of my apartment-dwelling operating environment, ...

A "Real Ham" doesn't live in an apartment. A "Real Ham" has an antenna farm.

> My youthful objections
> to learning and being tested on CW were, by my own admission,
> a result of an immature and uninformed outlook. Apparently, as I
> have grown, you have not.

I enjoyed learning Morse code in Cub Scouts before you were born and long before I ever heard of amateur radio so your statement is simply ridiculous nonsense. You are the one who objected to learning Morse code, not I. I enjoyed the heck out of learning Morse code. I could already do 5wpm before I ever heard about the Novice ham license.

> The FCC are not experts at what makes a "Real Ham." ... They are not
> concerned with that, since it is not a function of government.

It is also not a function of something pulled out of your posterior.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8593946702326l5322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cbb011de053896e6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa656,cbb011de053896e6
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa656,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 07:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <984246823.3aaa6a2770a74@webmail.cotse.com>
<qcbr6.66454$ML1.3122916@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>

```
Subject: Re: N8WWM No Code Doug Adairs Behavior
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <db3v6.45457$H5.10226664@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 15:37:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 985448265 24.180.184.171 (Sat, 24 Mar 2001
07:37:45 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 07:37:45 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur:1271 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17776

So are the people of rec.radio.cb please come get your no-code tech  out of
our NG

 " Drink" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net> wrote in message
news:qcbr6.66454$ML1.3122916@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>   Hey,  Would you knock it off?  We're all tired of listening to this...
>
> <fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
> news:984246823.3aaa6a2770a74@webmail.cotse.com...
> > I am just too damn funny. Classic textbook case of gayboyness everytime
I
> look in the mirror. presented my asshole, then got a butthumpin which was
> executed practically flawlessly. After that, I took a long flexible straw
> and sucked the jizz outta my colon. YUMMY! It is not easy to be entirely
> unbiased when studying  BJ technique,or practicing the science of
faggotry.
> The most significant stumbling block, is the fact that gayboys, like me,
are
> subject to same desires to suck peepees.. Nevertheless, my dissertation is
> superior because I am the greatest cock sukka on this NG. I am ironically
> hysterical, unoriginal, plagiarize any
> > intelligent thought you see posted from me, and just sightly gay.
> >
> >
> > the above was posted to rec.radio.cb by nocode doug adair n8wwm
> >
> >
>
>
>




From -7173222605653956335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 07:42:09 PST
Message-ID: <3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 09:31:18 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
References: <3ABB76C7.1F485E58@IEEE.org> <20010324065500.01729.00000411@nso-fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985448080 64.240.47.61 (24 Mar 2001 09:34:40 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17777

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Moreover, your inability
> to read the handwriting on the wall exposes your own selfish
> agenda.

Oh, I agree that amateur radio has seen better days but I think
the present threat is mostly VHF/UHF. I think HF amateur radio
will outlive me.

The point is that I will be sad to see ham radio go down the
tubes and wish to postpone it as long as possible by increasing
the ham population. You seem to be wishing for its destruction
and indeed, seeking to hasten that destruction by advocating
obsolete requirements which will decrease the ham population.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

```
From 4456991670325635483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 07:44:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: 
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3abca5ec.1009177@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 45
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Sg3v6.220$MT2.125039@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: +rhFIqr/
qB7XuOEk8zf1O+5CfE2nSx5o0MoE3Q9VzegA+JJDmMLxQgRX4uuciG6ZhGmOTQpdohCV!
ztySsabM0tcvbyMbHwS7P+W+mSDrM2eBkSX27JYvKaL6znzPtk9SxKW2zXrEYR6WJ5tfj9gn2V+r!
VDM4JxYWuQ==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 15:43:46 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 15:43:46 GMT
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17778
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19348 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10982
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13957

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3abca5ec.1009177@news.mindspring.com...
>
> SNIP
> Additionally, as a counter-point to your court "expert-witness"
> scenario, you must admit that in the end, it is the jury
> of people that do not possess that expertise that
> makes the decision.
>

Specifically, they can only make their determination by weighing the evidence presented during the trial. They are not allowed to consider any personal opinion or facts other than what has been admitted as evidence in determining guilt or innocence.

> By the way, it doesn't take that much effort to be deemed
> an expert witness in most cases.

True, you just have to show the court that you have the experience and expertise of the subject necessary to validate your opinions, and therefore allow them to be admitted as evidence.

> The opposition will use its crossexamination time to discredit any expert
> witness...

They will try... But this is how the game is played. Nevertheless, the court will recognize a person as an expert witness based on their qualifications. If they are qualified to testify as an expert witness, their opinions will be entered into the case as evidence. The jury will never be allowed to hear a layperson's opinions.

>but in the end it goes to the jury for the final choice.

Again, to be based solely upon the evidence presented during the trial, not their personal opinion or feelings on the subject.

>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

73 de W6DKN

From 1053730533467952297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 07:48:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 47
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <2j3v6.45463$H5.10229887@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 15:46:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 985448766 24.180.184.171 (Sat, 24 Mar 2001
07:46:06 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 07:46:06 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17779
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19349 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10984
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13958

Leland what is 73's ???.. I have heard of 73 after a qso but
not 73's
is that a new NoCoder Slang I need to learn

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103...
> > No, Leland, no one is "bugged" about that. Many of us have dedicated a
> > huge amount of our personal time to help make that happen, in case you
> > didn't know what a VE does.
>
> All it takes is one counter example to nullify that argument "no one", and
> Richard has provided one.

```
>
> Quoted from a Richard McCollum post in rec.radio.cb
>
> "Pecking orders come in several flavors.  I will make no bones here that I
>  do not consider a Codeless Tech to be a full-fledged member of the
>  fraternity and in fact I refused to administer them as a VE"
>
> >  What many are "bugged" about is the lowering of requirements,
> > especially for the highest class of license. Nothing more.
>
> That maybe true, but for some I think it goes deeper than that.
>
> --
> 73's,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL member
> NCI member
>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> functions."
>
>




From -779611477763188016
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 07:58:07 PST
Message-ID: <3ABCC178.409A70B5@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 09:47:04 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
```

.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <2j3v6.45463$H5.10229887@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985449022 64.240.47.61 (24 Mar 2001 09:50:22 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17780 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19351 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10985 rec.radio.amateur.misc:13959

BadaBing wrote:
> Leland what is 73's ???.. I have heard of 73 after a qso but
> not 73's is that a new NoCoder Slang I need to learn

73's is the proper way to pluralize 73. It means lots of Best Regards.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5407914828200898712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 09:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail

From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ABB76C7.1F485E58@IEEE.org> <20010324065500.01729.00000411@nso-fc.aol.com> <3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Lines: 52
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <Rm4v6.699$ya4.390121@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 11:00:23 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.152
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 985453105 206.29.242.152 (Sat, 24 Mar 2001 11:58:25 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 11:58:25 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17781

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Moreover, your inability
> > to read the handwriting on the wall exposes your own selfish
> > agenda.
>
> Oh, I agree that amateur radio has seen better days but I think
> the present threat is mostly VHF/UHF. I think HF amateur radio
> will outlive me.
>
> The point is that I will be sad to see ham radio go down the
> tubes and wish to postpone it as long as possible by increasing
> the ham population. You seem to be wishing for its destruction
> and indeed, seeking to hasten that destruction by advocating
> obsolete requirements which will decrease the ham population.
> --

How about 'a plague on both your houses??'

Any requirement screens out somebody. So a 25wpm entry level will indeed screen out some worthy 16-20 ops who have no place to practice. That it can be done by people from all walks of life, presumably including wandering bindlestiffs, is interesting and true but hardly a good reason to block entry. OK, it is somewhat reductio ad absurdum but entry-level at least does not need to carry requirements much beyond keeping the Tyro from being a danger to himself and a pain to others. Subsequent tickets should carry requirements and privileges somewhat in keeping with each other or some other national purpose, e.g. development of a pool of competent ops in something.

Increasing a ham population in and of itself a) is pointless, and b) may in fact have the opposite effect. Gresham's Law: Bad Money drives out

Good applies to things other than coinage. When it is all said and done letting anyone with a personal problem and a microphone into the hobby will ultimately create a band of people with personal problems and mikes and precious little else. While the 'after me the deluge' theory can and has been overdone it is wise to remember that Louis XV was right.

I am quite honestly amazed at the reaction to the 5wpm thing now that it has been around for over a year since announcement. One expected and got a few tons of instant upgrades but that died after three months. But cutting the 13wpm to five seems to have aroused a major storm of apathy in codeless circles. The VEs are back to 'you shuffle and I'll deal'.

Just how far should one go to increase the ham population? Do we put licenses in Post Toasties boxes, Cecil?

Dick N�BK

From 1141146350634220499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 10:16:48 PST
Message-ID: <3ABCE1EA.59B8C1A8@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 12:05:30 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
References: <3ABB76C7.1F485E58@IEEE.org> <20010324065500.01729.00000411@nso-fc.aol.com> <3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org> <Rm4v6.699$ya4.390121@nntp2.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985457330 64.240.47.72 (24 Mar 2001 12:08:50 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!sienna.impulse.net!

```
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17783
```

Richard McCollum wrote:

> Just how far should one go to increase the ham population?

Far enough to ensure that there are enough amateur radio
operators to perform the functions that justify the existence
of the ARS and its assigned radio spectrum. Eliminating
unnecessary requirements is a step in that direction. Would
you and your family rather die or accept emergency assistance
from a ham who doesn't know Morse code?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From -66409901239722373
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 11:58:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 24 Mar 2001 19:58:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <99iu8h$5nj$0@208.207.71.166>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
```

<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcsf$13t$0@208.206.142.100> <3ABC30EC.E44BBBF7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17784
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19363 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:10992
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13965

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >   Only by learning it, gaining skill in how to do it, and working
> > radiotelegraphy can you come to know the essential facts concerning it
> > as a working communications mode.
>
> So all the digital communications experts who don't possess actual
> Morse code skill don't know the "essential facts" about Morse code?
> If Shannon didn't possess Morse code skill, do you think he didn't
> understand the theory? You think the FCC doesn't understand Morse
> code? You're still beating that poor old dead horse. Hams who want
> to use Morse code use it. Hams who don't want to use Morse code
> don't use it. Every ham has a choice of his/her favorite mode(s).

With your alleged intelligence one would think you could understand terms like
"basic, very effective, most simple, easiest to implement under the most austere conditions". All of which describe radiotelegraphy. But you choose to ignore those descriptives in favor of your personal choices in the ongoing effort to rid ham radio of CW.
We know, Cecil, all the other techies would dump you like a prince turned into an ugly frog if you said what you actually know to be true.

From -815267047565541469
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 13:38:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Mar 2001 21:33:55 GMT

References: <3ABCC178.409A70B5@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010324163355.10429.00000251@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17785

In article <3ABCC178.409A70B5@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>73's is the proper way to pluralize 73.

No it isn't. It's already plural.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 7671830447185477622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8cf117062b31de76,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8cf117062b31de76,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 13:46:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
news-out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ABD149B.A7E98BAD@hotmail.com>
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@hotmail.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.17-14 i586)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ham ticket allows the use of filthy language on cb? nocode says it
 so
References: <985398013.3abbfafdb6d64@webmail.cotse.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 14:41:47 -0700
NNTP-Posting-Host: 130.13.127.246
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 985470153 130.13.127.246 (Sat, 24 Mar 2001 15:42:33 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 15:42:33 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:13973
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17786

I strongly disagree with the subjectline here...
Also, considering that itis someones opinion on the subject, I'll take it with
a grain of salt...

I might also want the original poster of this to realize that all hams
agree (by act of possession if the amateur license) to proper rules of
conduct as set forth in the Rules of the FCC (at least in the US,
its territories and possessions).

DE N7ZZT

fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com wrote:

> Subject:Why did Jeff Mayners stuff get taken off Ebay??? Date:24 Mar 2001
00:03:46 GMT From:"N8wwm" <N8wwm@aol.com> Newsgroups:rec.radio.cb
> BECAUSE I RATTED THE FAGASS PIECE OF SHIT OUT THATS WHY!!!!!!AHAHAHHAHAHAAHHAAH
MAYNER YOU CUNT!!!!!!!!!!!1

From 1175805525762005804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 15:19:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 23:13:19 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3abd29a2.8768517@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ab83493.2128684@news.mindspring.com>
<20010322221243.07655.00000482@nso-ch.aol.com>
<985380414.13500.0.nnrp-10.9e98acde@news.demon.co.uk>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gao3eh0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.1c
X-Server-Date: 24 Mar 2001 23:15:15 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17787

Here the ARRL report on the recent CEPT change.

****
The ARRL Letter
Vol. 20, No. 12
March 23, 2001
****

* Europe Adopts 5 WPM as Morse Code Standard: The Conference of Postal

and Telecommunications Administrations--CEPT--has effectively lowered the Amateur Radio Morse code test speed to 5 WPM for all European countries. The CEPT Radio Regulatory Working Group (WGRR), meeting last month in The Hague, adopted a revision of Recommendation 61-02 to include the 5 WPM standard.

The European Radiocommunication Office published the revised version of T/R 61-02 this month. The revision, which establishes requirements for the issuance of a Harmonised Amateur Radio Examination Certificate (HAREC), reduces the Morse requirement from 12 WPM. "In revising what is known as the CEPT Recommendation T/R 61-02, it has in effect recommended to 44 European countries to adopt the 5 WPM standard," said Wireless Institute of Australia-Victoria President Jim Linton, VK3PC, who closely follows global developments in Amateur Radio Morse code trends. Additional information is available on Linton's Morse code watch site, http://www.wiavic.org.au/mcw .

More information on CEPT is on the ERO Web site, http://www.ero.dk .

From -1157579781799390403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 15:25:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 23:18:22 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3abd2a67.8964712@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$

%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3abca5ec.1009177@news.mindspring.com> <Sg3v6.220$MT2.125039@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.1c
X-Server-Date: 24 Mar 2001 23:20:23 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17788
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19370 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11004
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13979

On Sat, 24 Mar 2001 15:43:46 GMT, "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote:

>
>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:3abca5ec.1009177@news.mindspring.com...
>>
>> SNIP
>> Additionally, as a counter-point to your court "expert-witness"
>> scenario, you must admit that in the end, it is the jury
>> of people that do not possess that expertise that
>> makes the decision.
>
>Specifically, they can only make their determination by weighing the
>evidence presented during the trial. They are not allowed to consider any
>personal opinion or facts other than what has been admitted as evidence in
>determining guilt or innocence.

I haven't claimed otherwise. They can, however, apply common
sense and their own logic.

>> By the way, it doesn't take that much effort to be deemed
>> an expert witness in most cases.
>
>True, you just have to show the court that you have the experience and
>expertise of the subject necessary to validate your opinions, and therefore
>allow them to be admitted as evidence.
>
>> The opposition will use its crossexamination time to discredit any expert
>> witness...
>
>They will try... But this is how the game is played. Nevertheless, the
>court will recognize a person as an expert witness based on their
>qualifications. If they are qualified to testify as an expert witness,
>their opinions will be entered into the case as evidence. The jury will
>never be allowed to hear a layperson's opinions.
>
>>but in the end it goes to the jury for the final choice.
>

>Again, to be based solely upon the evidence presented during the trial, not
>their personal opinion or feelings on the subject.

If an expert witness testified the sky isn't blue, would they
be required to accept that as fact? The reality is that
the jury gets to make their own determination as to the
accuracy of whatever they hear is. They do not automatically
accept everything as fact.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -4599605367949584614
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 18:20:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Date: 25 Mar 2001 02:14:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <99jk9t$dlp$0@208.207.71.131>
References: <3ABB76C7.1F485E58@IEEE.org> <20010324065500.01729.00000411@nso-fc.aol.com> <3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org> <Rm4v6.699$ya4.390121@nntp2.onemain.com> <3ABCE1EA.59B8C1A8@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.131
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17790

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> > Just how far should one go to increase the ham population?
>
> Far enough to ensure that there are enough amateur radio
> operators to perform the functions that justify the existence
> of the ARS and its assigned radio spectrum. Eliminating
> unnecessary requirements is a step in that direction. Would
> you and your family rather die or accept emergency assistance
> from a ham who doesn't know Morse code?
nor much of anything else?

```
From 2944105021787199672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 19:03:09 PST
Message-ID: <3ABD5BCF.2B7A7AA3@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 20:45:35 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcsf$13t$0@208.206.142.100> <3ABC30EC.E44BBBF7@IEEE.org>
<99iu8h$5nj$0@208.207.71.166>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985488535
64.240.47.43 (24 Mar 2001 20:48:55 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
```

corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17791
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19386 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11015
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13989

Dick Carroll wrote:
> But you
> choose to ignore those descriptives in favor of your personal choices in
> the ongoing effort to rid ham radio of CW.

I don't want to rid ham radio of CW, Dick. Each and every one of those digital communications experts understands the usefulness and utility of CW, whether they use the mode or not. I encourage all hams to learn Morse code and try CW. It's fun for me and sometimes useful. What I object to is the Morse code *requirement*, but you know that already. You seem to think eliminating the Morse code requirement is the same thing as ridding ham radio of CW. In that, you are simply delusional.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -58821681121129993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 19:05:53 PST
Message-ID: <3ABD5DA8.BEF2EAF@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 20:53:28 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABCC178.409A70B5@IEEE.org> <20010324163355.10429.00000251@nso-fj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985489007 64.240.47.43 (24 Mar 2001 20:56:47 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17792

N2EY wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >73's is the proper way to pluralize 73.
>
> No it isn't. It's already plural.

73 is not plural. 73's is the plural of 73. That's the way we
pluralize numbers in the English language. 73 is a number and
more often than not, has nothing to do with Best Regards.

Best Regards is plural but we can certainly have Lots of Best
Regards. We can even have Lots and Lots of Best Regards.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7196096644534891883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 19:41:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!205.231.236.10!
newspeer.monmouth.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ABCC178.409A70B5@IEEE.org> <20010324163355.10429.00000251@nso-
fj.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <9Idv6.62$aB6.164786@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 21:37:20 -0600

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.168
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 985491333 205.138.126.168 (Sat, 24 Mar 2001 22:35:33
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 22:35:33 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17793


"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010324163355.10429.00000251@nso-fj.aol.com...
> In article <3ABCC178.409A70B5@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA
<Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >73's is the proper way to pluralize 73.
>
> No it isn't. It's already plural.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Sic the Department of Redundancies Department in the Ministry of Silly
Walks on him.  That ought to fix him all the way to Wigan.




From -2138235302298961675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 20:35:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ABB76C7.1F485E58@IEEE.org> <20010324065500.01729.00000411@nso-
fc.aol.com> <3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org> <Rm4v6.699$ya4.390121@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3ABCE1EA.59B8C1A8@IEEE.org> <99jk9t$dlp$0@208.207.71.131>
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Lines: 44
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <5vev6.152$hD5.99880@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 22:32:01 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.168
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 985494593 205.138.126.168 (Sat, 24 Mar 2001 23:29:53
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 24 Mar 2001 23:29:53 EST
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17794

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:99jk9t$dlp$0@208.207.71.131...
>
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > Just how far should one go to increase the ham population?
> >
> > Far enough to ensure that there are enough amateur radio
> > operators to perform the functions that justify the existence
> > of the ARS and its assigned radio spectrum. Eliminating
> > unnecessary requirements is a step in that direction. Would
> > you and your family rather die or accept emergency assistance
> > from a ham who doesn't know Morse code?
> nor much of anything else?

Cheap shot, Dick. Actually I think the day of Morse AS A TESTING
REQUIREMENT is over and its end will probably be positive for the mode as
it causes chaos in the wideband areas of the bands. Even having passed
the 20wpm I never saw any sense in anything beyond 12.

BUT

1. Will it in fact increase the number of ops when we finish re-arranging
the deck chairs? I am not certain things not worked for have value.
Might not some civilized people simply let the barbarians have it?
Cheapening the product killed Schlitz, the second-largest brewer in the
USA at one time. The inherent self-policing of which the ARS has taken
pride, without much justification of late, for many years even if it did
exist could not withstand an onslaught of echos and Roger beeps.

2. It is interesting to note the lack of interest in even a 5wpm standard
for hf. We get justifications out the wazoo for why it is not taken but
little actual upgrading. I don't give a big hoot whether he knows CW or
not but I would like to see some evidence OF commitment rather than prima
facie evidence FOR commitment.

Dick BK

From 4426275951308088901
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 21:44:07 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 65
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 05:42:40 GMT
References: <3ABCB56D.AD60DA34@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010325004240.11434.00000596@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17796

In article <3ABCB56D.AD60DA34@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> The reason for the code testing requirement is, and always has been,
>> simply to ensure that radio amateurs possessed the skill and ability
>> to effectively employ the Morse code in amateur communication.
>
>You're showing your age, Larry, actually the lack thereof. Two
>of the specific reasons for hams to know Morse code in the past
>was interference mitigation between radio services and to provide
>a pool of trained CW operators (for the military).

Cecil:

That was the reason then, but the reasons for code testing change
and grow with the passing of time -- the same way you don't.

>> This
>> purpose has never limited or excluded development of any other mode.
>
>Read the purposes of the amateur radio service. No mention of Morse
>code or any specific mode. Apparently, those purposes can be met
>without knowing Morse code.

I don't recall ever saying they did. The reason for code testing doesn't
have to be stated in the Basis and Purpose of the ARS in order to be
valid, except to assist you in making another strawman argument.

>> The Morse testing requirement is
>> a means to an end -- not a limiting factor. It's purpose does exist today
>-
>> and is a current and valid as it ever has been.
>
>CW is no longer required for interference mitigation as almost all of
>the other services have dropped its use.

And the relevance of this to the Amateur Radio Service is...?

>A pool of trained CW operators
>is no longer required by the military.

And the relevance of this to the Amateur Radio Service is...?

>The reasons for knowing Morse
>are being buried in the sands of time.

Again, only if you are grasping at straws in a feeble attempt to justify dropping the requirements.

>Personal choice is the only real
>reason left besides an old treaty that will probably be changed. What is
>wrong with live and let live?

"Live and let live" has never been the issue. Participation in the Amateur Radio Service is strictly voluntary, as is acceptance of the licensing requirements. By saying "Live and let live," you're really saying "Give it away for nothing to anyone who thinks they wannabe a ham." Sorry, but I don't subscribe to that kind of touchy-feely, politically-correct, liberal, Communist, Socialist, Welfare-State thinking. That's your schtick, Comrade Cecil.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6418235079823087977
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 21:44:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!oleane.net!oleane!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 05:42:36 GMT
References: <3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Message-ID: <20010325004236.11434.00000591@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17795

In article <3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Oh, I agree that amateur radio has seen better days but I think

>the present threat is mostly VHF/UHF. I think HF amateur radio
>will outlive me.

Cecil:

I don't disagree with that -- but I believe that we hams share access to far more spectrum than we could possibly employ with any kind of effectiveness or efficiency in the region above 500 MHz. Therefore, I'm not inclined to fight tooth and nail to preserve our allocations there when they can be used much more productively and profitably by commercial interests.

>The point is that I will be sad to see ham radio go down the
>tubes and wish to postpone it as long as possible by increasing
>the ham population.

And exactly how do you propose to do that? So far, the net effect of Restructuring has been some "paperwork" upgrades to General class of Techs who already had taken a 5 WPM code test sometime since the discovery of electricity. The numbers of new hams is way down. And the new Generals aren't even bothering to go all the way up to Extra.

Do you really think that going from 5 to zero WPM for HF access is going to change this scenario? Again, all we'll get is more free upgrading due to grandfathering of all remaining Techs. How will our numbers increase? What is going to drag all these brilliant young techno-genius whiz-kids away from the Internet and put 'em on HF 'phone with the retirees down in Florida giving their daily Bowel, Bladder, and Surgical Procedure reports? C'mon, Cec... answer the question!

>You seem to be wishing for its destruction
>and indeed, seeking to hasten that destruction by advocating
>obsolete requirements which will decrease the ham population.

I'm not wishing for a damn thing. I'm just telling you what should happen and what's going to happen -- like I have been for over a decade now -- with perfect accuracy!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 7350744001231400 79
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 21:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 05:42:38 GMT
References: <3abcabc5.2505886@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010325004238.11434.00000595@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17797

In article <3abcabc5.2505886@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>
>>Of
>>course, that treaty will likely change in the next few years by dropping the
>>code requirement, and the FCC will follow suit. Those that want HF
>>priviledges will either pay the price and learn code or they can simply wait
>>a few years until HF priviledges go on 'clearance'.
>
>Agree again.

Bill:

Agree to what? What the heck are "priviledges?" I can't find that word
in my dictionary...

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4708199130597645991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 21:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 05:42:36 GMT
References: <B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010325004236.11434.00000593@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17798

In article <B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart

```
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

> Sorry, I hit the send button before responding to this.  Anyway, unless
>your name is Bill, I wasn't trying to "sell" you on anything.  And, since
>you want to be so huffy about this, let me add that I was also one of those
>who cared enough to do it - when I was about thirteen in the Boy Scouts.
>Since then, I grew out of flashing messages with my Boy Scout flashlight and
>beeping messages to kids on the playground with my little decoder ring.
>Since then, I learned the best way to communicate is to talk, and I have
>used my knowledge about radio to improve my station enough to do that
>effectively without having to resort to an 18th century telegraph key.
>Perhaps you should learn more about radio so you can do the same.  :-)

Dwight:

OK -- I hereby challenge you to "talk" to hams in 100 countries using
less than 5 watts output and simple wire dipoles -- any band, voice modes
only.  I've already done this in CW -- let's see you do it with a microphone.
Or can't you "improve your station" enough to make it as effective and
efficient as mine?

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -2716885573491059036
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 21:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 05:42:36 GMT
References: <B6E1EAD6.76D9%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010325004236.11434.00000592@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17799

In article <B6E1EAD6.76D9%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>But I do expect to enjoy the radio capabilities I have,
>barbeque steaks on the grill, enjoy the coming summer, and hope the rain
>goes away so I can sit around on my boat and soak up some harmful UV rays.
>In addition, I intend to address this topic occassionally when it comes up
>in this newsgroup.
```

Dwight:

You'd better do something to attenuate those harmful UV rays. An
old friend and Air Force mentor of mine is now battling with skin cancer
as a result of same. A word to the wise is hopefully sufficient.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -3851084813374926338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 21:50:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 92
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 05:42:38 GMT
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17800
```

In article <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>>Dwight:
>>These are the usual specious and self-serving arguments of the NCTA.
>>The reason for the code testing requirement is, and always has been,
>>simply to ensure that radio amateurs possessed the skill and ability
>>to effectively employ the Morse code in amateur communication.
>
>That has been Larry's mantra for years now. It is false
>today because there is no absolute need to have any ham
>possess the skill in the first place. Additionally, it is
>absolutly clear that the FCC sees no reason to ensure
>such knowledge beforehand for no-code techs who are
>free to use code all they want on VHF without ever having
>been first tested on that skill.
>
>This
>>purpose has never limited or excluded development of any other mode.
>
>Nor has your claimed purpose ("to ensure") limited or excluded
>use of morse by non-code techs.

Bill:

Don't look now, but CW has never been a primary mode on VHF. Admittedly, CW is important to the more esoteric VHF operations such as satellite and moonbounce, but these more technically-challenging techniques are usually prosecuted by CW-proficient hams, also usually Amateur Extra-class (pre-4/15/00), and not the 2-meter FM repeater jockeys that form the main body of No-code Techs. Nice try, but no cigar.

>>There is no connection between the Morse testing requirement and any
>>technical or operational development within the realm of amateur
>>radio -- or any other radio service.
>
>Agree completely.

If you agree, then why is this the primary argument of the NCTA's? By your own admission, it is a strawman. Therefore, since the prime argument of the NCTA is false, then so is their entire no-code campaign. You have just declared the PCTA's the winner of the code/no-code debate. Thank you very much!

>>The Morse testing requirement is
>>a means to an end -- not a limiting factor.
>
>That end being what?

The raising of a sufficient number of proficient CW operators to ensure that radio AMATEURS retain the important benefits and advantages of this mode.

>> It's purpose does exist today -
>>and is a current and valid as it ever has been.
>
>That purpose being?

The raising of a sufficient number of proficient CW operators to ensure that radio AMATEURS retain the important benefits and advantages of this mode.

>> The requirement is being
>>dropped due to the overwhelming laziness, demotivation, and indifference
>>of the general public...and the desire of the ARRL and the equipment
>>manufacturers to "consumerize" the ARS to the greatest extent
>>possible while it still exists. As always, follow the money, and you
>>will learn the truth.
>
>Yes Larry, the great conspiracy theory. Consider the following
>analogy....
>
>The requirement (to be able to use a manual shift transmission) was
>dropped due to the overwhelming laziness, demotivation, and

>indifference of the general public...and the desire of the AAA and the
>automobile manufacturers to "consumerize" the driving public to the
>greatest extent possible while it still exists. As always, follow the
>money, and you will learn the truth.

Bill, my new 2001 Toyota Corolla LE has a 5-speed manual gearbox.
It gets over 42 MPG highway mileage, >30 MPG in city driving. It has
much better performance, lower emissions, and at least 15% better
fuel economy than the comparable automatic transmission model of
the same automobile. It is also simplicity itself to drive, because I have
accepted the challenge of mastering the technique of driving with a
manual transmission. There you go -- another one of your best
arguments with the heck shot out of it. This is getting too easy.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6152859790426512358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 22:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 05:52:17 GMT
References: <3ABD5BCF.2B7A7AA3@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010325005217.11434.00000597@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17801

In article <3ABD5BCF.2B7A7AA3@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> But you
>> choose to ignore those descriptives in favor of your personal choices in
>> the ongoing effort to rid ham radio of CW.
>
>I don't want to rid ham radio of CW, Dick. Each and every one of those
>digital communications experts understands the usefulness and utility
>of CW, whether they use the mode or not. I encourage all hams to learn
>Morse code and try CW. It's fun for me and sometimes useful. What I
>object to is the Morse code *requirement*, but you know that already.

>You seem to think eliminating the Morse code requirement is the same
>thing as ridding ham radio of CW. In that, you are simply delusional.

Cecil:

Wrong again. Dick is simply being logical. For most prospective hams of the past, the code testing requirement at incentivized proficiency levels was the only reason why they made an attempt to learn the Morse code and gain useful proficiency at it. The current reduction of code testing requirements is not attracting any significant numbers of new licensees. It is only generating upgrades based on grandfathering, and total elimination of the requirement will only do more of the same. In the meantime, few, if any of the new or newly-upgraded hams are appearing on the CW segments, seeking to increase their CW proficiency. All they are doing is seeking to increase the efficiency of their PTT buttons. Reducing the code requirements is not only reducing the numbers of CW operators, it is reducing the total number of hams, since there is no longer any meaningful challenge for intelligent and motivated prospective hams to master. Welcome to the future of ham radio. Can you say "reallocation?"

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 8109656116548002838
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-24 22:06:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Mar 2001 06:00:49 GMT
References: <20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: PCTA Wins Code/No-Code Debate: Bill Sohl Sez So!
Message-ID: <20010325010049.11434.00000599@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17802
```

In article <20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

I said:

>>>There is no connection between the Morse testing requirement and any
>>>technical or operational development within the realm of amateur
>>>radio -- or any other radio service.

>>

Then Bill Sohl said:

>>Agree completely.

Then I replied:

>If you agree, then why is this the primary argument of the NCTA's? By
>your own admission, it is a strawman. Therefore, since the prime argument
>of the NCTA is false, then so is their entire no-code campaign. You have
>just declared the PCTA's the winner of the code/no-code debate. Thank
>you very much!

There you have it, folks! The PCTA's win! No less an NCTA supporter than Bill Sohl admits it! We can all go home now.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1893435001236972198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 04:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 07:33:14 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <B6E34FB7.7867%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010324065502.01729.00000413@nso-fc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-23.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985523572 5237 204.116.70.24 (25 Mar 2001 12:32:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 12:32:52 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17803

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> [snip] The reason for the code testing

> requirement is, and always has been,
> simply to ensure that radio amateurs
> possessed the skill and ability to
> effectively employ the Morse code in
> amateur communication. [snip]

Why? You seem to be suggesting that morse code is a requirement just because it exists, with no further reason for it other than the fact that it does exist. To say that, you would have to ignore the history of ham radio, the history of morse code as a means of communications, and how those relate to the history of radio development as a whole.

> [snip] The requirement is being dropped
> due to the overwhelming laziness,
> demotivation, and indifference of the
> general public... [snip]

Nonsense. The requirement is being dropped because the technology, and capabilities of that technology, have changed. Code no longer offers that much of an edge over the other modes when it comes to reliable communications. It is as simple as that.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 6875335743407211154
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 04:48:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: 
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 07:47:43 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 60
```

Message-ID: <B6E3531E.7868%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <WlCu6.250$ya4.121352@nntp2.onemain.com>
<B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <Sr1v6.653$WM4.1071139@nntp1.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-23.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985524446 5598 204.116.70.24 (25 Mar 2001 12:47:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 12:47:26 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17804
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19398 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11034
rec.radio.amateur.misc:13997

Richard McCollum wrote:

> The context here is a lot of breast
> beating self-justification for not
> taking the code test. We can talk
> coulda, shoulda, hafta from now til
> Independence Day but the cosmic
> interplay of choice and chance has
> made it a requirement to do 5wpm in
> the easiest manner possible for at
> least the next five years.

Okay, using your own words, why are we treated to this self-serving observations on the obvious? I'm fairly certain all of us know the current state of affairs when it comes to the code test, so all that "coulda, shoulda, hafta," nonsense is just that.

> What you choose to do about it if
> anything is purely up to you. You don't
> have to justify it to me or anyone else.
> If it is a self-worth issue, it is YOUR
> self-worth issue. So stop with the
> justifications already. It is not about
> the mode, it is not about VHF-UHF vs HF,
> it is about a code test that is more of
> an inconvenience than a hurdle for most
> people. Obfuscation merely irritates.

Again, and again using your own words, why are we treated to this self-serving observations on the obvious? Of course what I choose to do about it is up to me, and of course I don't have to justify it to you. Why state the obvious?

> It is particularly irritating when the
> audience is composed of people who, for
> whatever reason and by whatever method,
> passed a code test. There is a time and
> place to call golf a stupid game --
> the gallery at the Masters is more than
> likely not that time or place. Preaching
> the Gospel on the road between Mecca and
> Medina is not the best context either. So
> why in hell are you doing it?

For the exact same reason that the person who passed the code test made the statements he did. And for the same reasons you made the statements you did. And both of those collection of statements were just as irritating when the audience is composed of people who, for whatever reason, have decided against the code test.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3173885220010595206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 04:52:08 PST
Message-ID: <3ABDE755.ACCCC667@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 06:40:53 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABD5BCF.2B7A7AA3@IEEE.org> <20010325005217.11434.00000597@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.76
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985524251 64.240.47.76 (25 Mar 2001 06:44:11 -0600)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17805

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Reducing the code requirements is not only
> reducing the numbers of CW operators, it is reducing the total number
> of hams, since there is no longer any meaningful challenge for
> intelligent and motivated prospective hams to master.

That is an absolutely ridiculous statement, Larry, unless of course, it is tongue-in-cheek humor. First the hams bands were going to be flooded with masses of unwashed CBers and freebanders but now the number of hams are being reduced because the Morse code requirement is being reduced???? All it means is that it appears that reducing the Morse code requirement, by itself, is not enough to save amateur radio.

If ham radio is going to be saved in the long term, something is going to have to be done to make it more attractive. Ham radio has competition that it didn't have 50 years ago. It simply may not be able to compete in the modern world. It may be going the way of the barnstormers.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 271635559653330848
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 05:00:02 PST
Message-ID: <3ABDE902.C0ED0BC7@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 06:48:02 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325004236.11434.00000593@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.76
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985524680
64.240.47.76 (25 Mar 2001 06:51:20 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17806

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> OK -- I hereby challenge you to "talk" to hams in 100 countries using
> less than 5 watts output and simple wire dipoles -- any band, voice modes
> only. I've already done this in CW -- let's see you do it with a microphone.
> Or can't you "improve your station" enough to make it as effective and
> efficient as mine?

Local SSB ragchewing is more *effective* for a lot of hams, Larry.
What is wrong with live and let live?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4260533102824129713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-25 05:04:08 PST
Message-ID: <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 25 Mar 2001 06:53:41 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.76
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985525019
64.240.47.76 (25 Mar 2001 06:56:59 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17807

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> ... It is also simplicity itself to drive, because I have
> accepted the challenge of mastering the technique of driving with a
> manual transmission.

It is also something that you were NOT required to do. What is wrong with
live and let live? Why do you want to force all drivers to learn how to use
a manual transmission? If you don't want to do that, you analogy to Morse
code falls flat.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7431580559194879006
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 20:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
skynet.be!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail

```
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>   <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
<2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABFF062.BFBEEBBE@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 23:09:48 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3ac0129f$0$88189$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 89b8cb31.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC==>HP;Y0XM0fRK32]9ZGcdmLoK8f=:?J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgRD?
Q^fG_f6llBFDaL\d^neOdCMQmG]Jk`
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17909
```

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3ABFF062.BFBEEBBE@ovis.net...

> Larry Klose wrote:
>
> > The Morse code has had it day in the sun, let the next generation have
their
> > day.
>
> translation: I don't like it, don't wanna learn it and I demand a
> "gimme" because I'm being oppressed by arbitrary government regulation.

A better translation:

If the Amateur Radio rules can not allow reasonable and lawful public access
to
the HF bands, why continue the service? The cost of continuing the
Morse code exam may be a lose of spectrum or worst, the entire service.

Larry KC8EPO

```
From -3595841453688924771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 20:29:01 PST
Message-ID: <3AC0148A.43D88760@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 22:18:18 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABE856B.90C6B2A2@IEEE.org> <99mgg7$oaa$0@208.206.142.84>
<3abf4f33.1273859@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985666896
64.240.47.84 (26 Mar 2001 22:21:36 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
```

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!213.24.141.54!
newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!news2.aha.ru!mtu.ru!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17910
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19588 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11139
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14118

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 26 Mar 2001 04:27:51 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> > All dozen of them?
>
> Where do you get that mis-information from or is
> that just a knee jerk guess?

Dick believes that all of the no code test folk in the world post
to this newsgroup and that all hams who don't post to this newsgroup
believe like he does.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6276673464834550614
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 20:30:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>

<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3abf4956$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABFEDBE.8D6CFC3D@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 23:25:45 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3ac0165b$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 89b8cb31.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=Q67OKbgb8]?KcT1HbNQe82LoK8f=:?
J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7:3`HBbcY[;2lBFDaL\d^n5OdCMQmG]Jk0
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17911

<sniped unnecessary post to other newsgroups>

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3ABFEDBE.8D6CFC3D@ovis.net...

> > Dave, what the court was saying is that technological change will change
the
> > validity of FCC rules. The Morse code exam requirement was valid at one
> > time, but today it is not.
>
> Larry, you are citing a court case pitting a commercial broadcasting
> network against a national political party. That the case and its
> issues have little to do with amateur radio seems to have escaped you.

Read it again. The Court noted that "the problems of regulation are rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in terms of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not necessarily so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10 years hence."

Dave, thing change, now it time to change the rules.

Larry KC8EPO

From -723084920565882133
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 20:51:00 PST
Message-ID: <3AC01920.B0714A9A@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 22:37:52 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325004236.11434.00000593@nso-fi.aol.com>
<B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ABDF3E5.D23E0D06@IEEE.org>
<99mevn$jvk$1@208.206.142.84> <3ABEC6B3.F6904B34@IEEE.org>
<99oplb$2fm$0@208.207.71.131>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985668070
64.240.47.84 (26 Mar 2001 22:41:10 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17912

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  There you go, dreaming up things again. I have amps and antenna farms
> too. But so do the freebanders.

So what's your point?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -1799672177616008096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 20:55:14 PST
Message-ID: <3AC01A29.5A2F6E0D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 22:42:17 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99mgj8$oai$0@208.206.142.84> <3ABEC7F4.38F98E43@IEEE.org>
<99opok$2fm$1@208.207.71.131>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985668336
64.240.47.84 (26 Mar 2001 22:45:36 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.textport.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17913

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Only the code test has not the benefit of prereleased exact questions
> and answers. That alone is enough to cover it.

Then fix the written exam, don't hang on to a superfluous code exam.
It's like the Q&A are published for driver's licenses so examinees
will be tested on cranking an automobile engine. Effort for effort's
sake is not a valid reason for an exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6503511984181823903

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 21:00:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AC01AB6.10D4E9F0@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 22:44:38 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99mgj8$oai$0@208.206.142.84> <3abf5110.1751179@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985668476
64.240.47.84 (26 Mar 2001 22:47:56 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17914

Bill Sohl wrote:
> The reality is that it is NOT viewed by anyone outside of
> ham radio operators (and only some of them), as being
> a bedrock of the basics for communications.

The two main reasons for hams knowing Morse code, mitigation of
interference among radio services and a trained pool of CW operators,
are not valid anymore.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7527076553964845441
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,21f08ca3aee71da8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 21:02:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!cyclone-west.rr.com!
news.rr.com!news-west.rr.com!lsnws01.we.mediaone.net!cyclone-LA3.rr.com!
typhoon.we.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Steve Silverwood <kb6ojs@arrl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.ra
dio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: A QCWA special Announcement
Message-ID: <MPG.152835ee707ca2bf989695@news-server.socal.rr.com>
References: <qcwa@news.qcwa-radio.net> <3ab8ec74_1@huge.aa.net>
Organization: KB6OJS
Reply-To: kb6ojs@arrl.net
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.30
Lines: 18
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 04:57:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 66.27.5.182
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.we.rr.com 985669040 66.27.5.182 (Mon, 26 Mar 2001 20:57:20 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 20:57:20 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11141
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14121 rec.radio.amateur.policy:17915
rec.radio.amateur.space:2323
```

In article <3ab8ec74_1@huge.aa.net>, ai7w@NoSpam.com says...

> This post mis-represents the official position of the QCWA.
> In fact, QCWA supported the introduction of the no code tech license
> and supports the elimination of the incentive licensing program.
> Steve

I'm glad to hear that, Steve. I was pretty certain the boob that started this mess was misrepresenting things, but thanks anyway for the clarification.

--

-- // Steve //

From -5597569117713804096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 21:04:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AC01B0C.779E8262@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 22:46:04 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<3ABF2242.2714DB6E@ovis.net> <3ABF508A.3285C689@IEEE.org>
<3ABFEF62.C7891D4D@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985668562
64.240.47.84 (26 Mar 2001 22:49:22 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17916

Dave Heil wrote:
> There is no such thing as a "ham life on HF".

Of course there is, Dave. I personally have a ham life on HF.
That's about the only ham life possible in Madisonville, TX.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2102797960018391032
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 21:04:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AC01BDD.77F3C4B1@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 22:49:33 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com> <20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org> <99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org> <99or6c$6nr$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985668773 64.240.47.84 (26 Mar 2001 22:52:53 -0600)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17917

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > Ahhhh, but live and let live is precluded by the Morse code
> > requirement.
>
> Ahhhh, no it's not - unless you define 'live and let live' to be anarchy.

Live and let live is allowing an HF ham to choose his first mode.
Right now, he has no choice. He is forced to make OOK/Morse his
first mode.

> > An HF ham is not allowed to use any mode until
> > he/she has first used Morse code to pass the exam.
>
> Not true. An HF ham is someone who has already passed a Morse code exam.

How can you say "not true". My statement and yours mean exactly
the same thing.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5664930057494645958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 21:12:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AC01DB6.D263A376@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 22:57:26 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
References: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gatlcz5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985669246
64.240.47.84 (26 Mar 2001 23:00:46 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!

```
news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17918
```

Phil Kane wrote:

> After 35 years in the San Francisco/San Jose area I really
> enjoy jammer-free and bozo-free 2-meters here ...

When I was in San Jose around 1973, the Mt. Umunum (sp?) repeater
was jammed by some character who hid a fox hunt transmitter in
a hollow tree in Big Basin National Forest. We had a heck of
a time finding it.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7389354136670883540
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-26 21:16:45 PST
Message-ID: <3AC01E6A.FA662B1B@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 23:00:26 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985669428
64.240.47.84 (26 Mar 2001 23:03:48 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
```

```
newsfeed.wirehub.nl!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17919

Dick Carroll wrote:

> R G wrote:
> > My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep it
> > valid ?

>  Go pass a 20WPM code test

Where????? Are you still giving them?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -218177695109520705
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 00:45:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 00:39:48 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <99pjge$i2s$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
```

<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3ac0004c.2126060@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-46.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17920
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19601 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11144
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14127

Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ac0004c.2126060@news.mindspring.com...
> I haven't read
> one FCC comment that put any rational into
> why code should be kept as a test...with the singular
> exception being that for now, it still is a treaty
> requirement.

Best place to look for it might be the same place where they gave rationale
for keeping any of the other requirements - wherever that is.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 87292375764468157
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 01:17:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 01:04:56 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <99pkvj$j72$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99or6c$6nr$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC01BDD.77F3C4B1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-46.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17921

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC01BDD.77F3C4B1@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > > Ahhhh, but live and let live is precluded by the Morse code
> > > requirement.
> >
> > Ahhhh, no it's not - unless you define 'live and let live' to be
anarchy.

> Live and let live is allowing an HF ham to choose his first mode.
> Right now, he has no choice. He is forced to make OOK/Morse his
> first mode.

That's not true. I'm an HF ham, and FM was my first mode. Most hams start on FM. You're an anarchist, I think. ;-)

> > > An HF ham is not allowed to use any mode until
> > > he/she has first used Morse code to pass the exam.

> > Not true. An HF ham is someone who has already passed a Morse code
exam.

> How can you say "not true". My statement and yours mean exactly
> the same thing.

Only non-HF hams and unlicensed people need to take a code test in order to operate HF. HF hams are the one group of people who can claim they don't have to take a code test before they operate HF ham bands - by virtue of the fact that they've already taken at least one. Otherwise, we wouldn't call them HF hams. Right? :-)

From 2116812239222955697
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 03:10:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 11:04:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985691050 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 03:04:10 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 03:04:10 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17922

whats with everyone and code? does it make you a better operator? I doubt it
... you wouldn't believe the s9 signals I get from someone deciding that
29.1MHz is a great place to go for cw (with a bad fist I might add).
Besides that, I can outsend anyone with a straight key or paddle when I use
the computer to send it for me (flawlessly I might add)

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                          |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131...
>
>
> R G wrote:
> >
> > My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep it
> > valid ?
>
>
> Go pass a 20WPM code test
>
>
>
> >Thanks for the replies.   RG
>
>
> You're most welcome....

From 618289101938120776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 03:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC07AFC.EECA982C@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>
<D0Sv6.368$ix1.1152699@nntp3.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 06:35:24 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 985692939 209.140.206.201 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 06:35:39 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 06:35:39 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17923

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "R G" <prieye@email.msn.com> wrote in message
> news:#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09...
> > My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep
> it
> > valid ? Thanks for the replies.   RG
> >
> >
>
> Download form 605 from fcc.gov. Send it in no more than 60 days in
> advance to FCC, 1270 Fairfield Rd, Gettysburg PA 17325. The Amateur
> service in block 1 is HA.

Correct, except that you can renew up to 90 days before the license
expiration date.

You can also renew online via FCC's ULS site
(http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls/), although some people find it a pain to
use.

Jon

From 5525580521385059102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 05:11:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Gary McAdams" <g.m.mcadams@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 49
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2014.211
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2014.211
Message-ID: <Wf0w6.6700$9i1.593827@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 13:07:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.82.137.114
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985698422 12.82.137.114 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 13:07:02 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 13:07:02 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17924

I just knew that some idiot would answer this
question like that!

This poor fellow asks a legitimate question,
and the idiot brigade answers in full force,
simply because he mentioned that he
is/was a technician class licensee.

Let me ask you a few questions Dick.

Will passing a 20 wpm test make him a
better ham?

Did passing a CW test make you a better person?

Is being polite that difficult?

Remember what your mother said: If you can't
say anything nice, don't say anything!

73

Gary WG7X

Yeah, I passed the CW test at 20 wpm, so what?

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131...
>
>
> R G wrote:
> >
> > My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to
do to keep it
> > valid ?
>
>
> Go pass a 20WPM code test
>
>
>
> >Thanks for the replies.   RG
>
>
> You're most welcome....

From 5712391402647536016
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:15:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC09247.4B249DF2@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>

<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3ac0004c.2126060@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 44
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 14:14:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985702490 207.0.147.66 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001
06:14:50 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 06:14:50 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17925
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19631 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11150
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14134

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Mon, 26 Mar 2001 12:17:32 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>
> >Larry "the homemade lawyer" Klose wrote:
> >
> >> In a October 27, 1997 briefing paper prepared for digital television
> >> broadcasters, Mr. Erwin G. Krasnow noted the effects of technology on
> >> regulation: "The Supreme Court has observed in CBS v. DNC that the FCC must
> >> "walk a 'tightrope' to preserve the First Amendment values written into the
> >> Radio Act and its successor, the Communications Act." After referring to
> >> its Red Lion decision, the Court noted that "[t]he problems of regulation
> >> are rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in
> >> terms of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not
> >> necessarily so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10 years
> >> hence." Columbia Broadcasting Systems, Inc. v. Democratic National
> >> Committee, 412 U.S. 94, 117 (1973)."
> >
> >Are you a member of NAAB (National Association of Amateur Broadcasters)?
> >We aren't a broadcasting service and we aren't commercial, Larry.
> >
> >> Because of technological and operational changes, the manual Morse code exam
> >> is unnecessary. Its use as a licensure examination element to authorize
> >> frequency bands and emission types, other than manual Morse code operation,
> >> is arbitrary and capricious. The exam is even arbitrary to authorization
> >> Morse code operation, since such operations can be conducted by computer
> >> control.
> >

> >YOU believe them to be arbitrary and capricious, Larry.
>
> In the absense of any contradicting comments,
> the FCC sure seems to think so too. I haven't read
> one FCC comment that put any rational into
> why code should be kept as a test...with the singular
> exception being that for now, it still is a treaty
> requirement.

That singular exception makes HF morse testing the law of the land, Bill
so it must have some bearing. That is certainly more than comes from a
homemade lawyer quoting from a court case between a commercial
television network and a national political party. Larry's chants of
his new age mantra are a bit premature.

Dave K8MN

From -8297813289880849304
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:17:24 PST
Message-ID: <3AC09E01.AD4B2865@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 08:04:49 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99or6c$6nr$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC01BDD.77F3C4B1@IEEE.org>
<99pkvj$j72$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985702088
64.240.47.58 (27 Mar 2001 08:08:08 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!

```
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17926
```

Jim Kelley wrote:

> That's not true. I'm an HF ham, and FM was my first mode.

But you weren't an HF ham when your first mode was FM. Mastering
the OOK/Morse mode is a prerequisite to becoming an HF ham so
your statement is moot.

> Only non-HF hams and unlicensed people need to take a code test in order to
> operate HF. HF hams are the one group of people who can claim they don't
> have to take a code test before they operate HF ham bands - by virtue of the
> fact that they've already taken at least one. Otherwise, we wouldn't call
> them HF hams. Right? :-)

That's exactly what I said and you disagreed.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From -5130593835601124037
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:18:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!zeus.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 14:16:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <99q7bj$dvc$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3ABD5BCF.2B7A7AA3@IEEE.org> <20010325005217.11434.00000597@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3ABDE755.ACCCC667@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17927
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> If ________ is going to be saved in the long term, something
> is going to have to be done to make it more attractive. ________
> has competition that it didn't have 50 years ago. It simply may
> not be able to compete in the modern world. It may be going the
> way of the barnstormers.

See how silly this is? You could insert any number of things in place
of
the "ham radio" that I deleted - even CW. When people "don't want to",
there's nouse in "forcing" them, is there?

Dick W0EX

From 166847273258544692
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:21:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC092FB.51DA34D1@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>

```
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3abf4956$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABFEDBE.8D6CFC3D@ovis.net> <3ac000ff.2304958@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 49
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 14:17:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985702669 207.0.147.66 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001
06:17:49 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 06:17:49 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17928
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19633 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11151
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14135

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:33:08 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>
> >Larry Klose wrote:
> >>
> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> >> news:3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net...
> >> > Larry "the homemade lawyer" Klose wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > In a October 27, 1997 briefing paper  prepared for digital television
> >> > > broadcasters, Mr. Erwin G. Krasnow noted the effects of technology on
> >> > > regulation: "The Supreme Court has observed in CBS v. DNC that the FCC
> >> must
> >> > > "walk a 'tightrope' to preserve the First Amendment values written into
> >> the
> >> > > Radio Act and its successor, the Communications Act."  After referring
> >> to
> >> > > its Red Lion decision, the Court noted that "[t]he problems of
> >> regulation
> >> > > are rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in
> >> > > terms of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not
> >> > > necessarily so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10
> >> years
> >> > > hence."  Columbia Broadcasting Systems, Inc. v. Democratic National
> >> > > Committee, 412 U.S. 94, 117 (1973)."
> >> >
> >> > Are you a member of NAAB (National Association of Amateur Broadcasters)?
> >> > We aren't a broadcasting service and we aren't commercial, Larry.
> >>
> >> Dave, what the court was saying is that technological change will change the
> >> validity of FCC rules.  The Morse code exam requirement was valid at one
> >> time, but today it is not.
> >
> >Larry, you are citing a court case pitting a commercial broadcasting
```

> >network against a national political party. That the case and its
> >issues have little to do with amateur radio seems to have escaped you.
>
> That the fundamental concept has as much to do with
> amateur service (as well as any other service) seems
> to have escaped ole Dave. Case law is not so narrowly
> viewed as you might wish.

The two matters have very little to do with each other, Bill, no matter how much you might wish they did. Why can't our "I-found-a-law-book-under-a-tree" expert cite a case involving amateur radio? Could it be because there aren't any such cases?

Dave K8MN

From -5186193091387263875
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:22:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AC09E84.8052B931@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 08:07:00 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131> <Wf0w6.6700$9i1.593827@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985702216 64.240.47.58 (27 Mar 2001 08:10:16 -0600)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17929

Gary McAdams wrote:

> Did passing a CW test make you a better person?

Obviously not. :-)

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 902190352319212007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:24:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Date: 27 Mar 2001 14:22:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <99q7mi$eq4$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org> <20010325004236.11434.00000591@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDF0A6.403F1808@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17930

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Ham radio needs to be made more attractive and less unattractive.
>

So it needs to be made into something other than what it is. That has been alreready tried, rather unsuccessfully I might add. What we got was a generation loaded with whiners who want more and more for less and less.

```
From -2838274753064580893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:27:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!lsanca1-snf1!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC09441.A09CCFF5@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
<2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABFF190.226A83B7@ovis.net>
<3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 33
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 14:23:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985702996 207.0.147.66 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001
06:23:16 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 06:23:16 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17931

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:49:24 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>
> >Jim Kelley wrote:
> >>
> >> Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
> >> news:3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
> >> > But, it is not the proper function of government
> >> > to impose that proficiency skill upon person, who by there own choice,
> >> will
> >> > not need those skills.
> >>
> >> If this broad, general statement were true, wouldn't it be true for any
> >> example one might cite?  Or, do you claim that it is only true for the thing
> >> that you happen not to like?
> >
> >You've come close to capturing my view of Larry.  Anything he dislikes
> >or feels that he isn't able to master becomes "arbitrary and
> >capricious".
>
> So tell us all then why the FCC ended 13 and 20 wpm
> testing?  In the absence of any rational to sustain such
> testing, it becomes pretty much a forgone conclusion
> that 13 and 20 wpm had become "arbitrary and capricious"
> in the minds of the FCC.

Oh, I don't believe it had so much to do with that issue as it did the
direction the political winds were blowing.  We're in a new era of
political correctness--one in which no one's self esteem may be harmed
and in which we are all equally mediocre.

Dave K8MN



From -8031705128508798970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:28:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 14:27:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <99q80t$fm8$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
```

snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3abf4c8f$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14136
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17932

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> > Leroy Klose, III, KC8EPO
> > License Class: Technician
> > License Issue/Renewal Date: 08-09-1996
> > License Expiration Date: 08-09-2006
> >
> > It figures...
> > 73 de W6DKN
>

Five FCC commissioners = five political appointees who basically
rubberstamp staff proposals they can't find any political flaws in.

> Amateur Radio License class: none

BFD. Commercial radio licenses : None.
Radio licenses of any kind; None.

> License Restructuring Decision: delete 13 & 20 wpm code exam.

Whiners = gross numbers of dB of noise, hurt the ears of commissioners,
who gotta reduce the noise level.

> Go figure...

Figures lie. Liars figure.

From -8895090517977277748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:31:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!

news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC0957F.CA09795B@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
<2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABFF062.BFBEEBBE@ovis.net> <3ac0129f$0$88189$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 30
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 14:28:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985703313 207.0.147.66 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 06:28:33 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 06:28:33 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17933

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3ABFF062.BFBEEBBE@ovis.net...
> > Larry Klose wrote:
> >
> > > The Morse code has had it day in the sun, let the next generation have
> their
> > > day.
> >
> > translation: I don't like it, don't wanna learn it and I demand a
> > "gimme" because I'm being oppressed by arbitrary government regulation.
>
> A better translation:
>
> If the Amateur Radio rules can not allow reasonable and lawful public access
> to

> the HF bands, why continue the service? The cost of continuing the
> Morse code exam may be a lose of spectrum or worst, the entire service.

That's one of the biggest pieces of misinterpretive crap I've seen in a long time, Larry. It certainly isn't a better translation for your statement. We already have reasonable and lawful public access and everyone has an equal opportunity for an amateur license.

I assume that you refer to a *loss* of spectrum or--*horrors*, the entire service. I'd hate to think that we are in danger of losing amateur radio because Larry Klose can't pass a 5 wpm code test.

Dave Heil K8MN

```
From -2571109094595160771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:31:47 PST
Message-ID: <3AC0A0CC.9C3BAD79@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 08:16:44 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABD5BCF.2B7A7AA3@IEEE.org> <20010325005217.11434.00000597@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3ABDE755.ACCCC667@IEEE.org> <99q7bj$dvc$0@208.207.71.175>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985702801
64.240.47.58 (27 Mar 2001 08:20:01 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17934
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

```
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > If ________ is going to be saved in the long term, something
> > is going to have to be done to make it more attractive. ________
> > has competition that it didn't have 50 years ago. It simply may
> > not be able to compete in the modern world. It may be going the
> > way of the barnstormers.
>
> See how silly this is?  You could insert any number of things in place
> of the "ham radio" that I deleted -  even CW. When people "don't want to",
> there's no use in "forcing" them, is there?

It's supply and demand, Dick. How many hams are necessary and sufficient
to meet the goals of the ARS? Whatever that number is, the ARS needs to
be promoted and the requirements need to be adjusted. It works the same
as for an all volunteer army.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5725270178171099645
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:37:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC096C5.D44C60A6@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
```

```
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3abf4956$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABFEDBE.8D6CFC3D@ovis.net> <3ac0165b$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 36
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 14:34:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985703640 207.0.147.66 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001
06:34:00 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 06:34:00 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17935

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> <sniped unnecessary post to other newsgroups>
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3ABFEDBE.8D6CFC3D@ovis.net...
>
> > > Dave, what the court was saying is that technological change will change
> the
> > > validity of FCC rules.  The Morse code exam requirement was valid at one
> > > time, but today it is not.
> >
> > Larry, you are citing a court case pitting a commercial broadcasting
> > network against a national political party.  That the case and its
> > issues have little to do with amateur radio seems to have escaped you.
>
> Read it again.  The Court noted that "the problems of regulation are
> rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in terms
> of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not necessarily
> so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10 years hence."
>
> Dave, thing change, now it time to change the rules.

Larry, I read it again (only because you saw fit to post it again).  It
still says, in letters which stand out rather plainly to me, "...the
broadcast industry...".  I thought surely you'd notice those words on
second reading too.

You may have a point, Larry.  The rules of chess have been static for
far too long.  Let's get 'em changed.  Rooks should have two moves
during the period of play, in which they are permitted to move any
direction--sort of a chess "gimme".   In approaching an opponent's queen
(with any piece), the opponent must ask, "Mother may I?" before moving
his piece.

Dave K8MN
```

```
From 218592658202378277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:41:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC09790.914F130D@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<3ABF2242.2714DB6E@ovis.net> <3ABF508A.3285C689@IEEE.org>
<3ABFEF62.C7891D4D@ovis.net> <3AC01B0C.779E8262@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 14:37:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985703842 207.0.147.66 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001
06:37:22 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 06:37:22 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17936
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > There is no such thing as a "ham life on HF".
>
> Of course there is, Dave. I personally have a ham life on HF.
> That's about the only ham life possible in Madisonville, TX.

I used to have a grade school teacher who would occasionally ask a
student if he planned to learn anything or was going to continue wasting
air and taking up space.

Dave K8MN

```
From -7369574674903788823
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 06:48:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
```

newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Mar 2001 14:41:26 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <99q8qm$q9m$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 985704086 26934 205.139.138.14 (27 Mar 2001 14:41:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 Mar 2001 14:41:26 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: dixie@townsqr.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17937

In article <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>
>
> Go pass a 20WPM code test
>
>

Dick, they say no-one is perfect, but I think you are a perfect asshole.

The guy asked a reasonable question and deserved a reasonable answer, not some rant about how fast he can beep.

Hans

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From -6217768218504803343
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 07:09:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!
typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <3fb1ctsq5te70sk0t8ff1jjnerifbkef78@4ax.com>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 15:02:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 985705351 24.95.131.236 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 10:02:31
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 10:02:31 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17938
```

On Mon, 26 Mar 2001 19:06:33 -0500, "R G" <prieye@email.msn.com>
wrote:

>My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep it
>valid ? Thanks for the replies.   RG
>

You need to renew it. And since you have a computer, and apparently an internet connection, one can assume you have a web browser and have used it. Therefore, it should be no trouble for you to surf to http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/amateur/amrenw.html and check out what you need to do in order to renew your license.

73 de

Ray /AB2HR

```
From -7464806952707946778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 07:24:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 15:24:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <99qbat$njh$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abf4e2c.1011097@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17939
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19637 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11153
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14138



Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Sun, 25 Mar 2001 23:37:02 GMT, "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote:
>
> >"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> >news:99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> >>
> >> SNIP
> >> > Common sense and basic survival instinct are completely different than
> >> > trying to impose your personal opinions to the general public on a
> >> > subject in which you have no first hand knowledge or experience.
> >> >
> >> > A perfect example of this is the anti-gun crowd - the vast majority of
> >> > them have never even fired a gun, and yet they would have you believe
> >> > that based on their feelings and opinions, you should have to give
> >> > up your guns.
> >>
> >> That is not a "perfect example" unless the end result of removing the
> >> code requirement is that you can no longer use CW.  If, after removal
> >> of the code requirement, you or anyone else that wishes to learn and
> >> use CW is free to do so there is NO parallel.
> >
> >Sure it is. The outcome of the argument is not the issue. The issue is that
> >you have a group of people with absolutely no expertise on the subject
> >forming an opinion based on personal feelings and self interest, that they
> >are trying to convince others is correct.
>
> You are clearly uninformed as to who is forming opinions
> as to the rational and need for code testing.  Many, including
> myself, have "been there, done that" as to passing the
> code test at speeds greater than 5 wpm.  Not all those
> opposed to continued code testing are so "uniformed"
> as you think.
>

 So, *YOU*'re one of the Dirty Dozen!


From 4721761946741920016
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 07:27:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 15:27:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <99qbhh$njh$2@208.207.71.175>
References: <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
```

```
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3abf4956$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABFEDBE.8D6CFC3D@ovis.net> <3ac000ff.2304958@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17940
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19638 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11154
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14139



Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:33:08 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>
> >Larry Klose wrote:
> >>
> >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> >> news:3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net...
> >> > Larry "the homemade lawyer" Klose wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > In a October 27, 1997 briefing paper  prepared for digital television
> >> > > broadcasters, Mr. Erwin G. Krasnow noted the effects of technology on
> >> > > regulation: "The Supreme Court has observed in CBS v. DNC that the FCC
> >> must
> >> > > "walk a 'tightrope' to preserve the First Amendment values written into
> >> the
> >> > > Radio Act and its successor, the Communications Act."  After referring
> >> to
> >> > > its Red Lion decision, the Court noted that "[t]he problems of
> >> regulation
> >> > > are rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in
> >> > > terms of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not
> >> > > necessarily so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10
> >> years
> >> > > hence."  Columbia Broadcasting Systems, Inc. v. Democratic National
> >> > > Committee, 412 U.S. 94, 117 (1973)."
> >> >
> >> > Are you a member of NAAB (National Association of Amateur Broadcasters)?
> >> > We aren't a broadcasting service and we aren't commercial, Larry.
> >>
> >> Dave, what the court was saying is that technological change will change the
> >> validity of FCC rules.  The Morse code exam requirement was valid at one
> >> time, but today it is not.
```

> >
> >Larry, you are citing a court case pitting a commercial broadcasting
> >network against a national political party. That the case and its
> >issues have little to do with amateur radio seems to have escaped you.
>
> That the fundamental concept has as much to do with
> amateur service (as well as any other service) seems
> to have escaped ole Dave. Case law is not so narrowly
> viewed as you might wish.

Balderdash. Commercial rules have zero applicabilty to amateur radio.

```
From -4853713851241471576
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 07:30:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 15:25:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <99qbe0$njh$1@208.207.71.175>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3ac0004c.2126060@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
```

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17941
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19639 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11155
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14140

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Mon, 26 Mar 2001 12:17:32 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>
> >Larry "the homemade lawyer" Klose wrote:
> >
> >> In a October 27, 1997 briefing paper prepared for digital television
> >> broadcasters, Mr. Erwin G. Krasnow noted the effects of technology on
> >> regulation: "The Supreme Court has observed in CBS v. DNC that the FCC must
> >> "walk a 'tightrope' to preserve the First Amendment values written into the
> >> Radio Act and its successor, the Communications Act." After referring to
> >> its Red Lion decision, the Court noted that "[t]he problems of regulation
> >> are rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in
> >> terms of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not
> >> necessarily so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10 years
> >> hence." Columbia Broadcasting Systems, Inc. v. Democratic National
> >> Committee, 412 U.S. 94, 117 (1973)."
> >
> >Are you a member of NAAB (National Association of Amateur Broadcasters)?
> >We aren't a broadcasting service and we aren't commercial, Larry.
> >
> >> Because of technological and operational changes, the manual Morse code exam
> >> is unnecessary. Its use as a licensure examination element to authorize
> >> frequency bands and emission types, other than manual Morse code operation,
> >> is arbitrary and capricious. The exam is even arbitrary to authorization
> >> Morse code operation, since such operations can be conducted by computer
> >> control.
> >
> >YOU believe them to be arbitrary and capricious, Larry.
>
> In the absense of any contradicting comments,
> the FCC sure seems to think so too. I haven't read
> one FCC comment that put any rational into
> why code should be kept as a test...with the singular
> exception being that for now, it still is a treaty
> requirement.

Which after all was all they needed to say, wasn't it?

From 7329059592629816160

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 07:32:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 15:28:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <99qbj7$njh$3@208.207.71.175>
References: <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABFF190.226A83B7@ovis.net>
<3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17942



Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:49:24 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>
> >Jim Kelley wrote:
> >>
> >> Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
> >> news:3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
> >> > But, it is not the proper function of government
> >> > to impose that proficiency skill upon person, who by there own choice,
> >> will
> >> > not need those skills.
> >>
> >> If this broad, general statement were true, wouldn't it be true for any
> >> example one might cite?  Or, do you claim that it is only true for the thing
```

> >> that you happen not to like?
> >
> >You've come close to capturing my view of Larry. Anything he dislikes
> >or feels that he isn't able to master becomes "arbitrary and
> >capricious".
>
> So tell us all then why the FCC ended 13 and 20 wpm
> testing?

The FCC itself told you, if you can read. They ended the meical waiver
headache.

From 5864561316612395990
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 07:34:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 15:32:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <99qbq6$q7g$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>   <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ac01003$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17943

Larry Klose wrote

> How long will the US government keep signing a treaty that impose an
> unnecessary requirement upon its citizens? 10 years? 50 years? 100 years?
> Why no make the Morse code proficiency exam a requirement for all Federal
> licenses?

Probably for as long as they believe it has applicibiltiy to the amateur radio service and is important enough to require knowledge and skill in its use by US radio amateurs.
You can always hope their viewpoint changes sufficiently to get for you what you would have got for yourself had you been more of a "doer" and less a whiner.

From -4199388086156723258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 07:36:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 15:33:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <99qbt5$q7g$1@208.207.71.175>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3ABFF062.BFBEEBBE@ovis.net> <3ac0129f$0$88189$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17944

Larry Klose wrote:
>
 The cost of continuing the
> Morse code exam may be a lose of spectrum or worst, the entire service.

 Never happen because there are plenty of prospective hams who whine
less and do more.

From -244157192304493250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 07:40:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 15:40:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <99qc8s$rl3$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99mgj8$oai$0@208.206.142.84> <3ABEC7F4.38F98E43@IEEE.org>
<99opok$2fm$1@208.207.71.131> <3AC01A29.5A2F6E0D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17945

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Only the code test has not the benefit of prereleased exact questions
> > and answers. That alone is enough to cover it.
>
> Then fix the written exam, don't hang on to a superfluous code exam.
> It's like the Q&A are published for driver's licenses so examinees
> will be tested on cranking an automobile engine. Effort for effort's
> sake is not a valid reason for an exam.

 But effort in order to prepare oneself to use the most basic and easily
implememted radiocommunications mode is certainly justified.

```
From 8288675584578834988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 07:41:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 15:37:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <99qc37$q7g$2@208.207.71.175>
References: <B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325004236.11434.00000593@nso-fi.aol.com>
<B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ABDF3E5.D23E0D06@IEEE.org>
<99mevn$jvk$1@208.206.142.84> <3ABEC6B3.F6904B34@IEEE.org>
<99oplb$2fm$0@208.207.71.131> <3AC01920.B0714A9A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17946



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  There you go, dreaming up things again. I have amps and antenna farms
> > too. But so do the freebanders.
>
> So what's your point?
>

 It's not at all surprising that you would miss it. MINE are legal.
Theirs, well you should know about illegal amps, but since you "talk to
those guys when I can't find a ham to talk to" I guess you prefer to
overlook them.


From -1346584979072402176
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 07:49:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 27 Mar 2001 15:45:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <99qcil$rl3$1@208.207.71.175>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99or6c$6nr$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC01BDD.77F3C4B1@IEEE.org>
<99pkvj$j72$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17947

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3AC01BDD.77F3C4B1@IEEE.org...
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > > > Ahhhh, but live and let live is precluded by the Morse code
> > > > requirement.
> > >
> > > Ahhhh, no it's not - unless you define 'live and let live' to be
> anarchy.
>
> > Live and let live is allowing an HF ham to choose his first mode.
> > Right now, he has no choice. He is forced to make OOK/Morse his
> > first mode.
>
> That's not true. I'm an HF ham, and FM was my first mode. Most hams start
> on FM. You're an anarchist, I think. ;-)
>
> > > > An HF ham is not allowed to use any mode until
> > > > he/she has first used Morse code to pass the exam.
>
> > > Not true. An HF ham is someone who has already passed a Morse code
> exam.
>
> > How can you say "not true". My statement and yours mean exactly
> > the same thing.
>

> Only non-HF hams and unlicensed people need to take a code test in order to
> operate HF. HF hams are the one group of people who can claim they don't
> have to take a code test before they operate HF ham bands - by virtue of the
> fact that they've already taken at least one. Otherwise, we wouldn't call
> them HF hams. Right? :-)

Thanks for helping to untwist Cecil's "logic".

```
From -2102893222456879455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 07:54:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 27 Mar 2001 15:54:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17948
```

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> whats with everyone and code? does it make you a better operator? I doubt it
> ... you wouldn't believe the s9 signals I get from someone deciding that
> 29.1MHz is a great place to go for cw (with a bad fist I might add).
> Besides that, I can outsend anyone with a straight key or paddle when I use
> the computer to send it for me (flawlessly I might add)
>

Uh, Rob there's always the matter of *copying* it too...

```
From -1257542883332456402
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 07:58:07 PST
From: "R G" <prieye@email.msn.com>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 10:41:57 -0500
Lines: 4
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Message-ID: <#O#INTttAHA.199@cpmsnbbsa09>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1cust217.tnt6.tpa2.da.uu.net 63.46.229.217
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
newsfeed.cwix.com!cpmsnbbsb04!cpmsnbbsa09
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17949

To all who answered my question in a straight forward, no nonsense manner.
Thank you. RG

From -5992600170507263766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 08:04:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 27 Mar 2001 15:59:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <99qdc8$1ki$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Wf0w6.6700$9i1.593827@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17950

Gary McAdams wrote:
>
> I just knew that some idiot would answer this
> question like that!

>
> This poor fellow asks a legitimate question,
> and the idiot brigade answers in full force,
> simply because he mentioned that he
> is/was a technician class licensee.
>
> Let me ask you a few questions Dick.
>
> Will passing a 20 wpm test make him a
> better ham?
>
> Did passing a CW test make you a better person?
>
> Is being polite that difficult?
>
> Remember what your mother said: If you can't
> say anything nice, don't say anything!
>
> 73
>
> Gary WG7X
>
> Yeah, I passed the CW test at 20 wpm, so what?
>

I just KNEW some idiot would be incensed by that reply...Just coultn't help myself, did it anyway.

You'd think that with a computer on the internet a fella would at least make a stab at looking for the information needed to renew his ham license, wouldn't
you? Makes it look like he has about as much interest in renewing as he did in getting a ham radio license in the first place - little to none. Just enough to ask someone else to do all the "hard" part for him.

From -511761891890211078
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 08:28:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AC0BCC5.CF1CC893@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 10:16:05 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>

<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3abf4c8f$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <99q80t$fm8$0@208.207.71.175>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985709963
64.240.47.58 (27 Mar 2001 10:19:23 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14141
rec.radio.amateur.policy:17951

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Figures lie. Liars figure.

Dick, you and Yogi Berra would make a great team.
It's deja vu all over again.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3905984964776637439
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 08:36:51 PST
Message-ID: <3AC0BE8C.CCCAD769@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 10:23:40 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <B6E1F260.76DA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325004236.11434.00000593@nso-fi.aol.com>
<B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ABDF3E5.D23E0D06@IEEE.org>
<99mevn$jvk$1@208.206.142.84> <3ABEC6B3.F6904B34@IEEE.org>
<99oplb$2fm$0@208.207.71.131> <3AC01920.B0714A9A@IEEE.org>
<99qc37$q7g$2@208.207.71.175>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985710417
64.240.47.58 (27 Mar 2001 10:26:57 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!62.112.0.25!
newsfeed.online.be!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17952

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > There you go, dreaming up things again. I have amps and antenna farms
> > > too. But so do the freebanders.
> >
> > So what's your point?
>
> It's not at all surprising that you would miss it. MINE are legal.

Freebanders were not being discussed. In the context of
what was being discussed, what was your point?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7889212483361823307
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 08:41:36 PST
Message-ID: <3AC0C024.22A311A5@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 10:30:28 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99mgj8$oai$0@208.206.142.84> <3ABEC7F4.38F98E43@IEEE.org>
<99opok$2fm$1@208.207.71.131> <3AC01A29.5A2F6E0D@IEEE.org>
<99qc8s$rl3$0@208.207.71.175>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985710825
64.240.47.58 (27 Mar 2001 10:33:45 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17953

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Then fix the written exam, don't hang on to a superfluous code exam.
> > It's like the Q&A are published for driver's licenses so examinees
> > will be tested on cranking an automobile engine. Effort for effort's

> > sake is not a valid reason for an exam.
>
> But effort in order to prepare oneself to use the most basic and easily
> implememted radiocommunications mode is certainly justified.

Speech is basic to Homo Sapiens. Morse code is not. Morse code
takes weeks or months to implement. I cannot think of another
mode that is more difficult to implement than Morse code.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -9132486710459183846
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 08:48:17 PST
Message-ID: <3AC0C1B4.CE1530B1@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 10:37:08 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Wf0w6.6700$9i1.593827@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<99qdc8$1ki$0@208.207.71.175>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985711225
64.240.47.58 (27 Mar 2001 10:40:25 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17954

Dick Carroll wrote:

> You'd think that with a computer on the internet a fella would at least
> make a stab at looking for the information needed to renew his ham
> license, wouldn't
> you? Makes it look like he has about as much interest in renewing as he
> did in getting a ham radio license in the first place - little to none.
> Just enough to ask someone else to do all the "hard" part for him.

Dick, you are such a wonderful example of a perfect Elmer.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7487107605069037793
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 08:48:42 PST
Message-ID: <3AC0C0BD.4EE50B59@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 10:33:01 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<3ABF2242.2714DB6E@ovis.net> <3ABF508A.3285C689@IEEE.org>
<3ABFEF62.C7891D4D@ovis.net> <3AC01B0C.779E8262@IEEE.org>
<3AC09790.914F130D@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985710978
64.240.47.58 (27 Mar 2001 10:36:18 -0600)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

```
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17955

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > There is no such thing as a "ham life on HF".
> >
> > Of course there is, Dave. I personally have a ham life on HF.
> > That's about the only ham life possible in Madisonville, TX.
>
> I used to have a grade school teacher who would occasionally ask a
> student if he planned to learn anything or was going to continue wasting
> air and taking up space.

I once asked my boss at Intel, when did I start working there. He said,
"Are you asking when you showed up or when you started working?"
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4566047987493450500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 08:52:53 PST
Message-ID: <3AC0C12F.52BFAEAA@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 10:34:55 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
References: <3ABCBDC6.7BD8FD1D@IEEE.org> <20010325004236.11434.00000591@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3ABDF0A6.403F1808@IEEE.org> <99q7mi$eq4$0@208.207.71.175>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985711092
64.240.47.58 (27 Mar 2001 10:38:12 -0600)
Lines: 15
```

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17956

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Ham radio needs to be made more attractive and less unattractive.
>
> So it needs to be made into something other than what it is.

Only if it wants to survive. Have you changed since birth, Dick?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2869744868075937556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 09:16:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cyclone.bc.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 09:09:10 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <99qhbl$2lt$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gatlcz5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<99os6l$771$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gatvkya.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-17.ppp.uci.edu

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17957
```

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gatvkya.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:02:07 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> >"When out in the field one day, an FCC enforcement officer happend upon a
> >genie. After much discussion, the genie reluctantly granted him one
wish.
> >What is your wish, the genie scowled. The FCC man said I wish for there
to
> >be peace in the Middle East. The genie thought for a minute and said I'm
> >sorry, but that is not within my power. You must wish for something
else.
> >After hearing this the FCC man said well then, I wish for the 435
repeater
> >in LA to be cleared of all jamming and foul language. After a moment the
> >genie said, where was that place you wanted peace again?"
>
>   I heard that one with General Schwartzkopf and the Chicago
>   Bears winning the Super Bowl.... <ggg>

Yes. I don't know if you knew K9AAH, but he was our local retired FCC guy
and club member.   It was at least some comfort to know that 435 was just as
big a thorn in the side of the FCC as it was to the rest of us. Riley has
had a pretty big influence over there of late. You actually hear callsigns
on that repeater every once in a while!

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From -4308536702791926975
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 10:16:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gav3g82.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
```

<cuvyxnansvbet.gatlcz5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<99os6l$771$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gatvkya.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<99qhbl$2lt$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
Lines: 19
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 18:13:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.49
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985716835 206.58.44.49 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 18:13:55 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 18:13:55 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17958

On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 09:09:10 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:

>Yes. I don't know if you knew K9AAH, but he was our local retired FCC guy
>and club member.

I knew Jerry Man (a shortening of his legal name) and his family very well. He had a home in San Juan Capistrano and another one in La Jolla...stayed at the La Jolla place during the week and the Capistrano place on weekends. He retired on the same day and under the same conditions as I did. We used to see each other and trade war stories at the FCC Alumni and Survivors get-togethers, but it's sad to report that he passed away a few years ago.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 2462573132992814398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 10:34:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 10:24:16 -0800

Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <99qlpe$4no$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>   <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABFF190.226A83B7@ovis.net>
<3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-72.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17959

Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com...

> So tell us all then why the FCC ended 13 and 20 wpm
> testing?

They said it was because element 1a was sufficient to demonstrate code proficiency, and that 1b and 1c were more or less superfluous to that.

> In the absence of any rational to sustain such
> testing, it becomes pretty much a forgone conclusion
> that 13 and 20 wpm had become "arbitrary and capricious"
> in the minds of the FCC.

If those words were in their minds, they evidently kept them there rather than write them down in their comments.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 7690619095603646934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 10:52:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail

From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 10:46:00 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <99qn2h$5ep$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99or6c$6nr$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC01BDD.77F3C4B1@IEEE.org>
<99pkvj$j72$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC09E01.AD4B2865@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-72.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17960

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC09E01.AD4B2865@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > That's not true. I'm an HF ham, and FM was my first mode.

> But you weren't an HF ham when your first mode was FM.

The first mode I used was, and will continue to be FM - no matter what you say about it.

> Mastering
> the OOK/Morse mode is a prerequisite to becoming an HF ham so
> your statement is moot.

But I ran FM and SSB before I ran CW - because I was allowed to by the FCC. I had by no means mastered OOK/Morse when I first got on HF - nor was I required to. You heard me on the CW net a couple weeks back. Had I mastered OOK/Morse even as of that date? :-)

By the way, Hans graciously sent one of his QSL cards my way. I think it's suitable for framing. Quite elegant.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -9114608937425132249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 11:16:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail

From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 19:16:01 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <tc1pnhpshgnn84@news.supernews.com>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 14
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17961

If I were you, I'd just renew your license because If you upgrade you don't
get a real ham license anymore. Though, some people are pretty proud in
obtinaing a nickle-Extra A.I.C.

"R G" <prieye@email.msn.com> wrote in <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>:

>My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep
>it valid ? Thanks for the replies.   RG
>
>

From 1351831098268341113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 12:25:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AC0F381.1D2AC853@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 14:09:37 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99or6c$6nr$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC01BDD.77F3C4B1@IEEE.org>
<99pkvj$j72$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC09E01.AD4B2865@IEEE.org>
<99qn2h$5ep$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985723974
64.240.47.66 (27 Mar 2001 14:12:54 -0600)
Lines: 23

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!micro-heart-of-gold.mit.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17962

Jim Kelley wrote:

> The first mode I used was, and will continue to be FM - no matter what you say about it.

The subject is HF hams and the Morse code prerequisite requirement.

> But I ran FM and SSB before I ran CW - because I was allowed to by the FCC.

But you were forced to learn the OOK/Morse mode before running FM and SSB on HF.

> By the way, Hans graciously sent one of his QSL cards my way.

Yep, it's a nice one. First QSL I've gotten since I moved from AZ to TX. All I have is my old AZ QSL cards. Guess I oughta make up some new ones.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3331146511195737678
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 12:27:33 PST
Message-ID: <3AC0F3E1.32AB1D57@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 14:11:13 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <tc1pnhpshgnn84@news.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985724069
64.240.47.66 (27 Mar 2001 14:14:29 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!skynet.be!earthquake.efnet.com!
efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17963

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> If I were you, I'd just renew your license because If you upgrade you don't
> get a real ham license anymore.

If the FCC said that, I missed it. If Vince said that, who cares?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3127608623279477643
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 12:36:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!
worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Gary McAdams" <g.m.mcadams@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Wf0w6.6700$9i1.593827@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<99qdc8$1ki$0@208.207.71.175>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
```

```
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2014.211
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2014.211
Message-ID: <rJ6w6.7472$IA1.593841@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:28:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.82.143.173
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985724887 12.82.143.173 (Tue, 27 Mar
2001 20:28:07 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:28:07 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17964
```

Dick,

I can agree with your sentiment, I just
disagree with your method.

But you bring up an important point. Why don't
some of the fellows want to read and learn about
this great hobby? I too, get a little tired of the constant
requests for information that is readily available if the
person concerned would only take the time to do the
necessary research.

Well, anyways, that's my two cents worth.

Back to looking for DX...

CU es 73
Gary WG7X

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:99qdc8$1ki$0@208.207.71.175...
>
>   I just KNEW some idiot would be incensed by that reply...Just
coultn't
> help myself, did it anyway.

```
From -9213920978142044610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 12:55:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
```

localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
In-Reply-To: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103272042090.1970-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 25
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:49:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.37
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985726198 12.80.44.37 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:49:58 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:49:58 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17965

On Mon, 26 Mar 2001, jstan10 wrote:

> I happened to be travelling through LA last weekend and used the
> opportunity to listen to W6NUT repeater that was talked about. I,
> however, noticed the increased level of jamming and unauthorized use of
> the frequencies. Particularly in the repeaters in the low half of
> 147mhz.
>
> What gives? Is LA always like this? This is hugely worse than here in San
> Jose.

Only an "increased" level of jamming and not a constant level? I guess one or more of the misfits were taking a break from radio when you listened. (Or perhaps they were all over on 145.460-, the alternate "animal farm.")

What's funny to us here in L.A. is that the FCC actually bothers to take all kinds of enforcement action in the rest of the country (e.g. getting uncoordinated repeaters causing interference off the air, etc...) - at least according to reports by TWIAR and Newsline, yet some of these same violations occur DAILY here - and have occurred so for over 10 years on a daily basis, yet there is no apparent enforcement. Only in the past year has the FCC even bothered to make inquiries.

What you encountered was probably LESS than the normal level of such activity. Then again, some of the misfits also happen to be in jail right now.

From 7737104088718140099
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 12:58:45 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
In-Reply-To: <3ABFC7DC.8F4@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103272051470.1970-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com> <3ABFC7DC.8F4@bellsouth.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 26
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:55:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.37
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985726540 12.80.44.37 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:55:40 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:55:40 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17966

On Mon, 26 Mar 2001, Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> I, for one, am always puzzled and dismayed at all the mess that apparently goes on on the West Coast. Occasionally, we will have a "bootlegger" get on the local repeaters and jam or harass the legit. ops. The local control ops are, apparently, more militant, observant, or obstinate than those out there. Local repeaters get shut down quickly in such circumstances--unless we are quietly Dfing the perp. Then they are left on for the idiot to get his jollies while we close in. Can you imagine the surprise when the fellow finds himself surrounded by 10 or so displeased hams? Why aren't there concerned hams out there that will go after/locate these goofballs?

One reason: By allowing them this repeater (and its alternate), we keep them contained.

Back in 1993, when we had the fires in Malibu, the W6NUT repeater (back then, under the trustee's call of AA6TK) also failed. Most of the users migrated to the CLARA repeater (145.220-), and some time later, some of them were brought into California Superior Court for harassment. This is the original source of our local term "restraining order repeater." When the 147.435 repeater came back up, most migrated back.

Also, the 147.435 repeater is the only repeater that has bullet holes and dents in it. I believe that one can see pictures of such on its users' web site: www.435.org.

From -1962887567274722400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 13:05:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131> <Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 21:02:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985726924 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 13:02:04 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 13:02:04 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17967

Well DICKhead (may I call you DICKhead?),

Have ya ever thought of doing that through computer too?

I very well doubt you will copy me via the ear at 200wpm. And all those who are uncopiable via computer are going to be uncopiable via the ear .. .even at 5wpm.

Once again, there are better digital modes to use, i.e. psk31 for those low low signals.

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:

> >
> > whats with everyone and code? does it make you a better operator? I
doubt it
> > ... you wouldn't believe the s9 signals I get from someone deciding that
> > 29.1MHz is a great place to go for cw (with a bad fist I might add).
> > Besides that, I can outsend anyone with a straight key or paddle when I
use
> > the computer to send it for me (flawlessly I might add)
> >
>
>
>   Uh, Rob there's always the matter of *copying* it too...

From 7899486788861914192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 14:53:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ABCC178.409A70B5@IEEE.org> <20010324163355.10429.00000251@nso-fj.aol.com> <3ABD5DA8.BEF2EAF@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <vO8w6.1829$Os.604644@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 22:50:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 985733403 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001
14:50:03 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 14:50:03 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17968

Cecil, 73 means Best Regards .. So if I say 73's wouldn't that mean Best's
Regards's..

What was the name of the Ham magazine 73 or 73's ..

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message

```
news:3ABD5DA8.BEF2EAF@IEEE.org...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> > >73's is the proper way to pluralize 73.
> >
> > No it isn't. It's already plural.
>
> 73 is not plural. 73's is the plural of 73. That's the way we
> pluralize numbers in the English language. 73 is a number and
> more often than not, has nothing to do with Best Regards.
>
> Best Regards is plural but we can certainly have Lots of Best
> Regards. We can even have Lots and Lots of Best Regards.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From 5276905552734319498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 15:01:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 22:55:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 985733755 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001
14:55:55 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 14:55:55 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17969
```

I personally was brought up with 73 since it means Best regards, which is
plural. So If I say 73's wouldn't that mean best regards's.
I saw in an earlier post by Cecil saying it is said 73's because it is a
number, well if that the case then Mr. Cecil you owe's me's 73's dollars. Or
was that 100's dollars..

So 73's and 88's to all you good buddies out there, pass them around the
household. From the tallest to the smallest. I am officially 10-7's

From -7186025603951698484
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 15:01:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 15:01:57 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <99r60p$cjt$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99or6c$6nr$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC01BDD.77F3C4B1@IEEE.org>
<99pkvj$j72$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC09E01.AD4B2865@IEEE.org>
<99qn2h$5ep$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC0F381.1D2AC853@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-16.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17970

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC0F381.1D2AC853@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > The first mode I used was, and will continue to be FM - no matter what
you
> > say about it.
>
> The subject is HF hams and the Morse code prerequisite requirement.
>
> > But I ran FM and SSB before I ran CW - because I was allowed to by the
FCC.
>
> But you were forced to learn the OOK/Morse mode before running FM

> and SSB on HF.

That's not entirely true. The entire truth is that I was forced to learn all kinds of things - Morse code being just one among them. I was forced to learn the correct answer to each of several hundred questions. That's also what governments do.

> > By the way, Hans graciously sent one of his QSL cards my way.

> Yep, it's a nice one. First QSL I've gotten since I moved from AZ
> to TX. All I have is my old AZ QSL cards. Guess I oughta make up
> some new ones.

Hans got the worst of the deal in our QSL trade as well. I made up my QSLs using a QSL card program I downloaded from the internet. Kind of a fun program to use, actually. I used an old picture of the Silverado Blue Light mine from the turn of the century (the previous one) as the background. My guess is that Hans spent a pretty penny to make up his cards.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -3492999146922601435
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 15:52:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 15:43:45 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <99r8f6$dt0$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-96.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17971

I'll sometimes say 73 plural if I'm addressing a group on a net. That's one 73, multiple times. ;-)

BadaBing <badabing@home.com> wrote in message
news:%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> I personally was brought up with 73 since it means Best regards, which is

```
> plural. So If I say 73's wouldn't that mean best regards's.
> I saw in an earlier post by Cecil saying it is said 73's because it is a
> number, well if that the case then Mr. Cecil you owe's me's 73's dollars.
Or
> was that 100's dollars..
>
> So 73's and 88's to all you good buddies out there, pass them around the
> household. From the tallest to the smallest. I am officially 10-7's
>
>




From -3996342001602626072
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 17:16:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
skynet.be!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3abf4956$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABFEDBE.8D6CFC3D@ovis.net> <3ac0165b$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AC096C5.D44C60A6@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:10:27 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <3ac13a15$0$88186$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: a60cb785.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=?OoYAXk@]eYiAcBM^EMG<[LoK8f=:?
J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWBdaO<NBoDJRlBFDaL\d^nUnQBRHmT[IdR
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17972

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AC096C5.D44C60A6@ovis.net...
> Larry Klose wrote:
> >
> > <sniped unnecessary post to other newsgroups>
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > news:3ABFEDBE.8D6CFC3D@ovis.net...
> >
> > > > Dave, what the court was saying is that technological change
> > > > will change the validity of FCC rules. The Morse code exam
> > > > requirement was valid at one time, but today it is not.

> > > Larry, you are citing a court case pitting a commercial broadcasting
> > > network against a national political party. That the case and its
> > > issues have little to do with amateur radio seems to have escaped you.
> >
> > Read it again. The Court noted that "the problems of regulation are
> > rendered more difficult because the broadcast industry is dynamic in
terms
> > of technological change; solutions adequate a decade ago are not
necessarily
> > so now, and those acceptable today may well be outmoded 10 years hence."
> >
> > Dave, thing change, now it time to change the rules.
>
> Larry, I read it again (only because you saw fit to post it again). It
> still says, in letters which stand out rather plainly to me, "...the
> broadcast industry...". I thought surely you'd notice those words on
> second reading too.
>
> You may have a point, Larry. The rules of chess have been static for
> far too long. Let's get 'em changed. Rooks should have two moves
> during the period of play, in which they are permitted to move any
> direction--sort of a chess "gimme".   In approaching an opponent's queen
> (with any piece), the opponent must ask, "Mother may I?" before moving
> his piece.

But, Dave you missing the whole point, 5 USC 706 only applies to
government agency rules and actions. It does not apply to the
rules of chess. Now if a government agency mandates that chess
had to play by a certain set of rules, and a particular chess club has
different rules that the government bars, then you have a case.

Larry KC8EPO

```
From -6870577237034751845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 17:27:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeeds.sol.net!newsfeeds.sol.net!
news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3abf4956$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABFEDBE.8D6CFC3D@ovis.net> <3ac000ff.2304958@news.mindspring.com>
<99qbhh$njh$2@208.207.71.175>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:18:42 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <3ac13c04$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: a60cb785.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=Aj5dR:;:lnIh_P==R2PeVJLoK8f=:?
J8@[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FGDfk03CI;9dIlBFDaL\d^nEnQBRHmT[IdB
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17973
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19670 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11176
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14164

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:99qbhh$njh$2@208.207.71.175...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:33:08 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Larry Klose wrote:
> > >>
> > >> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > >> news:3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net...
> > >> > Larry "the homemade lawyer" Klose wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> > > In a October 27, 1997 briefing paper prepared for digital
television broadcasters, Mr. Erwin G. Krasnow noted the effects of
technology on regulation: "The Supreme Court has observed in CBS v. DNC that
the FCC must "walk a 'tightrope' to preserve the First Amendment values
written into the Radio Act and its successor, the Communications Act."
After referring to its Red Lion decision, the Court noted that "[t]he
problems of regulation are rendered more difficult because the broadcast
industry is dynamic in terms of technological change; solutions adequate a
decade ago are not necessarily so now, and those acceptable today may well
be outmoded 10 years hence." Columbia Broadcasting Systems, Inc. v.
Democratic National Committee, 412 U.S. 94, 117 (1973)."
> > >> >
> > >> > Are you a member of NAAB (National Association of Amateur
Broadcasters)?
> > >> > We aren't a broadcasting service and we aren't commercial, Larry.
> > >>
> > >> Dave, what the court was saying is that technological change will
change the
> > >> validity of FCC rules. The Morse code exam requirement was valid at
one
> > >> time, but today it is not.
> > >
> > >Larry, you are citing a court case pitting a commercial broadcasting
> > >network against a national political party. That the case and its
> > >issues have little to do with amateur radio seems to have escaped you.
> >
> > That the fundamental concept has as much to do with
> > amateur service (as well as any other service) seems
> > to have escaped ole Dave. Case law is not so narrowly
> > viewed as you might wish.
>
> Balderdash. Commercial rules have zero applicabilty to amateur radio.

It was a US Supreme Court decision not an FCC commercial radio rule.

Larry KC8EPO

From 4139951896085913035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 17:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 52
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Mar 2001 01:32:41 GMT
References: <3ac01003$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010327203241.19302.00000271@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17974

In article <3ac01003$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> writes:

>Therefore the rule is not in conformity with
>law,
>but it exist and only exist because of a long standing obsolete treaty
>requirement,
>ITU rule S25.5.

Whether or not the treaty requirement is "obsolete" will be decided in 2003.
>
>How long will the US government keep signing a treaty that impose an
>unnecessary requirement upon its citizens?

"Unnecessary" and "obsolete" are in the eye of the opion-holder, Larry. As it looks now, a growing number of signatories to the treaty will vote let S25.5 quietly disappear in 2003.

>10 years? 50 years? 100 years?

I don't know. I do know, however, that for the past 33+ years, whenever the question of code testing for an HF ham license has come up in the USA, the majority of those who bothered to comment to the FCC have supported continued code testing. That's one of the main reasons the requirement has lasted so long. Note that I'm not saying "the majority of hams" but "the majority of those who bothered to comment".

That majority used to be overwhelming, but in the past 15-20 years it has slowly decreased to about 54-46 in favor of code testing. That trend was not lost on the FCC.

>Why no make the Morse code proficiency exam a requirement for all Federal
>licenses?

Because all Federal licenses do no involve the use of Morse in radio communication. HF/MF ham radio uses Morse extensively. But even that extensive use is not reason enough for the FCC, who have said that the treaty is the only reason they have left to continue the Morse test. They have eliminated all but the 5 wpm test because 5 wpm is generally considered to be the minimum that meets the treaty. When the treaty goes, how long do you think 5 wpm will last?

The reasons the FCC doesn't just ignore the treaty are:

1) It would set a very bad precedent.
2) They don't have the authority
3) They don't think 5 wpm is an unreasonable requirement at the present time
4) There is no mandate to do so.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -2830305070378183381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 17:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!news-x.support.nl!skynet.be!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>   <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABFF190.226A83B7@ovis.net> <3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com> <99qlpe$4no$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:42:07 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0

```
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <3ac14181$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: a60cb785.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=^5imodao8>]iAcBM^EMG<[LoK8f=:?J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWC8BT6R[J?
8VlBFDaL\d^nUnQBRHmT[IdR
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17975
```

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:99qlpe$4no$1@news.service.uci.edu...

> Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com...
>
> > So tell us all then why the FCC ended 13 and 20 wpm
> > testing?
>
> They said it was because element 1a was sufficient to demonstrate code
> proficiency, and that 1b and 1c were more or less superfluous to that.

No the FCC said the 13 & 20 wpm test did not serve a regulatory purpose. To wit:

" 25. Decision.   We have considered the comments on this issue and conclude that the public interest will best be served by reducing the telegraphy examination requirement to the minimum requirement that we have found that meets the Radio Regulations and that has been accepted as proving that the control operator of a station can ensure the proper operation of that station. To achieve this result, we will amend Section 97.501 of our Rules to eliminate the requirement that an individual demonstrate 13 wpm and 20 wpm proficiency in telegraphy before qualifying for any amateur radio operator license. In reaching this decision, we note that one of the fundamental purposes underlying our Part 97 rules is to accommodate the amateur radio operator's proven ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art.   We believe that an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse code proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art. "

"As a result, we find that such a license qualification rule is not in furtherance of the purpose of the amateur service and we do not believe that it continues to serve a regulatory purpose. "

"Consistent with our decision to eliminate 13 wpm and 20 wpm Morse code proficiency as licensing requirements, we also are streamlining Section 97.503(b) of our Rules to reduce the number of telegraphy examination elements from three to one -- specifically, a 5 wpm telegraphy examination. We also conclude that, due to the Radio Regulations, we can not grant the request of the ARRL that we authorize privilege on all amateur service bands below 30 MHz to Technician Class licensees who have not passed a telegraphy examination. While we do not disagree with the ARRL's belief that the best way to learn telegraphy is to use it on-the-air, and that actual use of telegraphy to communicate is proof of the ability to send and receive telegraphic texts, the Radio Regulations provide that the telegraphy requirement may be waived only for an operator of a station transmitting exclusively on frequencies above 30 MHz. In this regard, we also note, as the ARRL states, that the Radio Regulations remain an obligation of the Commission that can not be waived. "

Larry KC8EPO

```
From -6741496108508467870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 18:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-
mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 21:07:29 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <B6E6B190.7BF9%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325123602.01729.00000532@nso-fc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-4.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985745184 127116 207.144.64.134 (28 Mar 2001 02:06:24
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 02:06:24 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17976
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> So, Dwight, what you're basically
> saying is that without the crutch
> of a "big gun" station behind it,
> voice modes are essentially useless!
> And, I must admit, I totally agree!

I don't know, Larry. I've never tried it. I do run the transceiver without the amplifier most of the time, but I hardly ever turn the transceiver down except when talking through the local repeaters (or talking to someone locally, with is relatively rare). Therefore, I don't really know what the bottom end for SSB is with lower watts (how efficient SSB is with lower watts). And I've certainly don't know how efficient it is when compared to CW, so I'm more than willing to accept your word for that.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -7446118297421715650
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 18:46:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AC14D10.4F4E18A6@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:31:44 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325123602.01729.00000532@nso-fc.aol.com>
<B6E6B190.7BF9%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.137
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985746899
64.240.47.137 (27 Mar 2001 20:34:59 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!typhoon.sonic.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17977
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> And I've certainly don't know how efficient it is when
> compared to CW, so I'm more than willing to accept your word for that.

SSB is a lot more efficient than CW if one doesn't know Morse code. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2726925023496652230
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 18:48:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!151.189.0.75!
newsfeed.germany.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 21:43:20 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <B6E6B9F5.7BFA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010324065502.01729.00000413@nso-fc.aol.com>
<B6E34FB7.7867%stewartx@sccoast.net> <2Krv6.4614$9i1.380763@bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-4.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985747340 129876 207.144.64.134 (28 Mar 2001 02:42:20
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 02:42:20 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17978
```

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

> Dwight, I don't know if you and I had
> this conversation before or it was
> someone else, but for what it is worth,
> when I joined the Navy (to see the
> wonderful world of Vietnam), the code
> requirement for radiomen (I got out of
> it for only 4 years active duty, ET

> and CT required 6) had recently been
> reduced. I had spoken with another
> verteran from another service who
> informed me that the other branch
> had eliminated it by the early 70s. No
> one want to understand this isn't a
> 'gotta be' versa 'NO' code. It has
> been replaced (but not for enjoyment).

Yep, I do seem to remember a conversation with you about this some time in the not so distant past. And, yep, code was pretty much gone in the Army when I went to signal school in 1970. In my job (MOS 31M), we used SSB voice for most communications, with printed data transfered with RTTY or encryption. However, some MOS's (Military Occupational Speciality) were still using it because I did occasionally hear it in the halls of the school. But, as I understand from talking to other vets, even those MOS's dropped code not long after that (and the training officer at the Army's Signal Training Command has verified that no MOS requires it today).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -6455353820811829725
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 18:58:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AC14FA3.3DD548DA@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:42:43 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABCC178.409A70B5@IEEE.org> <20010324163355.10429.00000251@nso-fj.aol.com> <3ABD5DA8.BEF2EAF@IEEE.org> <vO8w6.1829$Os.604644@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.137
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985747560 64.240.47.137 (27 Mar 2001 20:46:00 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or

copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!typhoon.sonic.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17979

BadaBing wrote:
> Cecil, 73 means Best Regards ..

Not usually. It usually represents a cardinal or ordinal number.
If we label people according to their age, there might be a
number of 73's among a large group of elderly people.

> So if I say 73's wouldn't that mean Best's Regards's..

Nope. Best and Regards are not numbers or letters which is where
one uses an apostrophe for pluralizing. What's wrong with
"Lots of Best Regards"?
--
73's, Cecil, W6RCA

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -995041083564030457
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 19:03:40 PST
Message-ID: <3AC1505A.E8751DD7@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:45:46 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.137
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985747743
64.240.47.137 (27 Mar 2001 20:49:03 -0600)
Lines: 15

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!
typhoon.sonic.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17980
```

BadaBing wrote:

> I saw in an earlier post by Cecil saying it is said 73's because it is a
> number, well if that the case then Mr. Cecil you owe's me's 73's dollars. Or
> was that 100's dollars..

Nope, you don't pluralize 73 because "dollars" is plural. Picture a group of elderly people with their ages printed on their nametag. "How many 73's do we have?" would be a perfectly acceptable question.

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -8790769615692633264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 19:03:57 PST
Message-ID: <3AC150B2.9D8C3DAF@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:47:14 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<99r8f6$dt0$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.137
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985747830
```

```
64.240.47.137 (27 Mar 2001 20:50:30 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!
netnews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17981
```

Jim Kelley wrote:

> I'll sometimes say 73 plural if I'm addressing a group on a net. That's one
> 73, multiple times. ;-)

Around here we say "73's to y'all".

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6854652279824478795
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 19:20:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 22:18:09 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
```

Lines: 28
Message-ID: <B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-4.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985749436 131904 207.144.64.134 (28 Mar 2001 03:17:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 03:17:16 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17982 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19678 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11179 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14172

Zoran Brlecic wrote:

> Kind of a silly example, wouldn't you say?
> A vast majority has never fired a
> thermonuclear device either, and yet they
> would have you believe that based on
> their feelings and opinions, you should
> have to give up your personal
> thermonuclear device, if you had one.

I'm sorry, but you're not getting my thermonuclear device until you pry it from my cold deap fingers (not to mention a few hundred thousand other cold dead fingers).

Anyway, lets not be silly - the two examples just don't fit together. Private ownership of firearms is a tradition that goes all the way back to the very start of this country (every person who signed that Constitution, and the Bill of Rights, owned a gun), but I'm not aware of anybody who is honestly suggesting a right to privately own a thermonuclear device, nor is there any tradition of such ownership. In other words, these two are not even in the same ballbark - in either capabilities or political debate.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 3875710383882340230
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 19:22:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AC1505A.E8751DD7@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <gJcw6.149668$p66.42494666@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 03:17:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985749452 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 19:17:32
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 19:17:32 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17983

73 year olds perhaps ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC1505A.E8751DD7@IEEE.org...
> BadaBing wrote:
> > I saw in an earlier post by Cecil saying it is said 73's because it is a
> > number, well if that the case then Mr. Cecil you owe's me's 73's
dollars. Or
> > was that 100's dollars..
>
> Nope, you don't pluralize 73 because "dollars" is plural. Picture a
> group of elderly people with their ages printed on their nametag.

> "How many 73's do we have?" would be a perfectly acceptable question.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2553912952448402363
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 20:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 28 Mar 2001 03:57:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <99rng1$jji$0@208.207.71.136>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com> <20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org> <99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org> <3ABF2242.2714DB6E@ovis.net> <3ABF508A.3285C689@IEEE.org> <3ABFEF62.C7891D4D@ovis.net> <3AC01B0C.779E8262@IEEE.org> <3AC09790.914F130D@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17984

Dave Heil wrote:

> I used to have a grade school teacher who would occasionally ask a
> student if he planned to learn anything or was going to continue wasting
> air and taking up space.

Horrors! That worthy surely is long gone from the scene, today they'd
have him up on charges! And he'd be labeled a perfect a$$hole to boot!

```
From 3041730064906088314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 20:06:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 28 Mar 2001 04:05:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <99rnv5$jji$1@208.207.71.136>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Wf0w6.6700$9i1.593827@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<99qdc8$1ki$0@208.207.71.175> <3AC0C1B4.CE1530B1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17985
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > You'd think that with a computer on the internet a fella would at least
> > make a stab at looking for the information needed to renew his ham
> > license, wouldn't
> > you? Makes it look like he has about as much interest in renewing as he
> > did in getting a ham radio license in the first place - little to none.
> > Just enough to ask someone else to do all the "hard" part for him.
>
> Dick, you are such a wonderful example of a perfect Elmer.

The guy has been a nocode tech for ten years now and has no clue how to
renew
his license, and more to the point, no interest in learning. Seems to me
like
another example of letting someone else do it all for you. No wonder he
was codeless and a tech.

```
From -8493847483490803632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 20:22:52 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 28 Mar 2001 04:22:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17986

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> Well DICKhead (may I call you DICKhead?),

But OF COURSE you may, I'd be honored...

>
> Have ya ever thought of doing that through computer too?

Sorta hung up on computers are we?

> I very well doubt you will copy me via the ear at 200wpm.

You're typing 200wpm? yeah, right! Wow, Whatta guy...

And all those who
> are uncopiable via computer are going to be uncopiable via the ear .. .even
> at 5wpm.

So much for your "expertise" on the subject of Morse code.

> Once again, there are better digital modes to use, i.e. psk31 for those low

> low signals.

Sure there are. All you need is a minimum few hundred thousand active
devices and the software to go with....

From -3233240200978712248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 20:23:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 04:21:55 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <3ac1667e.1079690@news.mindspring.com>
References: <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org>
<7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
<3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3ABFF190.226A83B7@ovis.net> <3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com>
<3AC09441.A09CCFF5@ovis.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.72.a3
X-Server-Date: 28 Mar 2001 04:22:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17987

On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 14:23:16 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:49:24 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Jim Kelley wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
>> >> news:3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
>> >> > But, it is not the proper function of government

>> >> > to impose that proficiency skill upon person, who by there own choice,
>> >> > will not need those skills.
>> >>
>> >> If this broad, general statement were true, wouldn't it be true for any
>> >> example one might cite? Or, do you claim that it is only true for the thing
>> >> that you happen not to like?
>> >
>> >You've come close to capturing my view of Larry. Anything he dislikes
>> >or feels that he isn't able to master becomes "arbitrary and
>> >capricious".
>>
>> So tell us all then why the FCC ended 13 and 20 wpm
>> testing? In the absence of any rational to sustain such
>> testing, it becomes pretty much a forgone conclusion
>> that 13 and 20 wpm had become "arbitrary and capricious"
>> in the minds of the FCC.
>
>Oh, I don't believe it had so much to do with that issue as it did the
>direction the political winds were blowing. We're in a new era of
>political correctness--one in which no one's self esteem may be harmed
>and in which we are all equally mediocre.
>Dave K8MN

Sounds like you'd love that to be the case. Too bad
you have not one bit of evidence or commentary
to sustain that belief.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6436169008936913898
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 20:38:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 04:32:54 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 71
Message-ID: <3ac16847.1536470@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ac01003$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010327203241.19302.00000271@nso-mh.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.72.a3
X-Server-Date: 28 Mar 2001 04:33:20 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17988

On 28 Mar 2001 01:32:41 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3ac01003$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose"
><lklose@net-link.net> writes:
>
>>Therefore the rule is not in conformity with
>>law,
>>but it exist and only exist because of a long standing obsolete treaty
>>requirement,
>>ITU rule S25.5.
>
>Whether or not the treaty requirement is "obsolete" will be decided in 2003.
>>
>>How long will the US government keep signing a treaty that impose an
>>unnecessary requirement upon its citizens?
>
>"Unnecessary" and "obsolete" are in the eye of the opion-holder, Larry. As it
>looks now, a growing number of signatories to the treaty will vote let S25.5
>quietly disappear in 2003.
>
>>10 years? 50 years? 100 years?
>
>I don't know. I do know, however, that for the past 33+ years, whenever the
>question of code testing for an HF ham license has come up in the USA, the
>majority of those who bothered to comment to the FCC have supported continued
>code testing. That's one of the main reasons the requirement has lasted so
>long. Note that I'm not saying "the majority of hams" but "the majority of
>those who bothered to comment".
>
>That majority used to be overwhelming, but in the past 15-20 years it has
>slowly decreased to about 54-46 in favor of code testing. That trend was not
>lost on the FCC.

Yes indeed...and changing still as we go forward. If the ARRL
did a poll now, my money would be on "end all code
testing now."

>>Why not make the Morse code proficiency exam a requirement for all Federal
>>licenses?
>
>Because all Federal licenses do no involve the use of Morse in radio
>communication. HF/MF ham radio uses Morse extensively.

It ONLY does so for those people that personally want
to use code. There are thousands of hams that do
not use code at all for HF/MF operations.

>But even that extensive
>use is not reason enough for the FCC, who have said that the treaty is the only
>reason they have left to continue the Morse test.

Agree completely.

>They have eliminated all but
>the 5 wpm test because 5 wpm is generally considered to be the minimum that
>meets the treaty. When the treaty goes, how long do you think 5 wpm will last?

A few months. Whatever it takes to go through the
NPRM process.

>The reasons the FCC doesn't just ignore the treaty are:
>1) It would set a very bad precedent.
>2) They don't have the authority
>3) They don't think 5 wpm is an unreasonable requirement at the present time
>4) There is no mandate to do so.

Agree.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 1374519636605869670
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 21:55:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC16DC9.4A4699BA@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org>
<7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
<3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3ABFF190.226A83B7@ovis.net> <3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com>
<3AC09441.A09CCFF5@ovis.net> <3ac1667e.1079690@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 45

Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 05:51:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.53
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985758686 207.0.147.53 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 21:51:26 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 21:51:26 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17989

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 14:23:16 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>
> >> On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 02:49:24 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Jim Kelley wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
> >> >> news:3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
> >> >> > But, it is not the proper function of government
> >> >> > to impose that proficiency skill upon person, who by there own choice,
> >> >> > will not need those skills.
> >> >>
> >> >> If this broad, general statement were true, wouldn't it be true for any
> >> >> example one might cite? Or, do you claim that it is only true for the
thing
> >> >> that you happen not to like?
> >> >
> >> >You've come close to capturing my view of Larry. Anything he dislikes
> >> >or feels that he isn't able to master becomes "arbitrary and
> >> >capricious".
> >>
> >> So tell us all then why the FCC ended 13 and 20 wpm
> >> testing? In the absence of any rational to sustain such
> >> testing, it becomes pretty much a forgone conclusion
> >> that 13 and 20 wpm had become "arbitrary and capricious"
> >> in the minds of the FCC.
> >
> >Oh, I don't believe it had so much to do with that issue as it did the
> >direction the political winds were blowing. We're in a new era of
> >political correctness--one in which no one's self esteem may be harmed
> >and in which we are all equally mediocre.
> >Dave K8MN
>
> Sounds like you'd love that to be the case. Too bad
> you have not one bit of evidence or commentary
> to sustain that belief.

Where'd you get the idea that no evidence or commentary exists? Do you
read news magazines or subscribe to a paper? Do you watch TV news? How
about general interest magazines?

Dave K8MN

```
From 3016752544526044775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 22:10:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC17F6D.EDEB8082@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100> <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<99hk58$6f6$0@dosa.alt.net> <3ABEDA5D.DAE623B5@earthlink.net>
<99oq3e$2fm$3@208.207.71.131>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 36
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 06:05:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.154.188.137
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985759507 64.154.188.137 (Tue, 27 Mar
2001 22:05:07 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 22:05:07 PST
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17990
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19684 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11182
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14185

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> >
> > DumbassWoger wrote:
> >
> > > Just why the heck would anybody in his/her right mind pay the ARRL to be a
> > > member? For what?
> >
> > Because it is the only national ham radio association in the US, and as such
is
> > the sole protector of every US ham's interests, rights and privileges.
>
> Well actually, they're the sole protector of ARRL's singulara
> interests, first, then if there's anything left, US hams as a group
> might get a little attention.
> Back in the days of HPM it was as you say. But HPM is long gone.

I'm not sure I follow you... What might those "ARRL's singular interests" be and
what
do you propose as an alternative to the *only* national amateur radio
organization?
Cutting off one's own nose to spite the face is usually not a smart choice.

Look, clearly it is in every US ham's interest to retain as much spectrum as
possible
for as long as possible. Fiber optics in every house will alleviate the pressure,
but
it will not eliminate it. Everything else is petty stuff which is not critical for
the survival of amateur radio. Once we absolve this fact, the choice is simple: we
either support our one and only ham radio representation in the world or not - we
keep our hobby alive or not.

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From -6688125310415296965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 22:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!24.30.200.2.MISMATCH!news-east.rr.com!
news.rr.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!
bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
In-Reply-To: <99rnv5$jji$1@208.207.71.136>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103280620560.2855-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Wf0w6.6700$9i1.593827@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<99qdc8$1ki$0@208.207.71.175> <3AC0C1B4.CE1530B1@IEEE.org>
<99rnv5$jji$1@208.207.71.136>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 23
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 06:23:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985760580 12.80.44.12 (Wed, 28 Mar
2001 06:23:00 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 06:23:00 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17991
```

On 28 Mar 2001, Dick Carroll wrote:

> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > You'd think that with a computer on the internet a fella would at least
> > > make a stab at looking for the information needed to renew his ham
> > > license, wouldn't
> > > you? Makes it look like he has about as much interest in renewing as he
> > > did in getting a ham radio license in the first place - little to none.
> > > Just enough to ask someone else to do all the "hard" part for him.
> >
> > Dick, you are such a wonderful example of a perfect Elmer.
>
> The guy has been a nocode tech for ten years now and has no clue how to
> renew his license, and more to the point, no interest in learning. Seems to
> me like another example of letting someone else do it all for you. No
> wonder he was codeless and a tech.

Maybe he needs motivation. As for what may motivate him, I leave that to your
imagination. ;-)

As long as he isn't a lifelong loser/jammer such as those who frequent the
W6NUT repeater, who really cares?

```
From 3504487670058769250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 22:28:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 54
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 06:25:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.154.188.137
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985760756 64.154.188.137 (Tue, 27 Mar
2001 22:25:56 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 22:25:56 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17992
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19686 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11183
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14187
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Zoran Brlecic wrote:
>
> > Kind of a silly example, wouldn't you say?

> > A vast majority has never fired a thermonuclear device either, and yet they would have you believe that based on their feelings and opinions, you should have to give up your personal thermonuclear device, if you had one.
>
> I'm sorry, but you're not getting my thermonuclear device until you pry it from my cold deap fingers (not to mention a few hundred thousand other cold dead fingers).
>
> Anyway, lets not be silly - the two examples just don't fit together. Private ownership of firearms is a tradition that goes all the way back to the very start of this country (every person who signed that Constitution, and the Bill of Rights, owned a gun)

Well, geez, every person who signed that Constitution was also a slave owner, but you could hardly condone that nowadays, especially with all these nutcases running around demanding their $1 million retribution in gold bars.

> , but I'm not aware of anybody who is honestly suggesting a right to privately own a thermonuclear device, nor is there any tradition of such ownership. In other words, these two are not even in the same ballbark - in either capabilities or political debate.

Why not? I am simply asking for consistency: a thermonuclear device falls into the same category of "firearms" as a six-gun. They are both devices used for one purpose only: to kill people. Everything else is a smokescreen. Now, it would seem to me that the very same amendment you guys are pulling in the case of handguns, rifles and automatic weaponry must cover *all* firearms. One person's definition of danger is another person's definition of safety. What this boils down to is this: that amendment is somewhat anachronistic.
Btw, neither you nor Dick commented on the second part of my example - the narcotics use. But I admit, here we are dealing with one of those things that make people feel good and politicians re-elected. War on drugs. Any day now.

73 ...... Zoran WA7AA

And in case anyone's wondering: I own neither a handgun nor a TND, but I do support a right to bear a handgun or two; I also support legalization of all drugs except to minors, followed by revamping of the legal system with the emphasis on expanding a death penalty. Too radical? Hell yeah, but with 1% of the US population in prison, something better be done before it gets worse.

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From 7276858672078174649
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 22:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
In-Reply-To: <3AC17F6D.EDEB8082@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103280623330.2855-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100> <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<99hk58$6f6$0@dosa.alt.net> <3ABEDA5D.DAE623B5@earthlink.net>
<99oq3e$2fm$3@208.207.71.131> <3AC17F6D.EDEB8082@earthlink.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 36
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 06:27:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985760845 12.80.44.12 (Wed, 28 Mar
2001 06:27:25 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 06:27:25 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17993
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19687 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11184
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14188

On Wed, 28 Mar 2001, Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> > > DumbassWoger wrote:
> > > > Just why the heck would anybody in his/her right mind pay the ARRL to be a
> > > > member? For what?
> > >
> > > Because it is the only national ham radio association in the US, and as such
is
> > > the sole protector of every US ham's interests, rights and privileges.
> >
```

> > Well actually, they're the sole protector of ARRL's singulara
> > interests, first, then if there's anything left, US hams as a group
> > might get a little attention.
> > Back in the days of HPM it was as you say. But HPM is long gone.
>
> I'm not sure I follow you... What might those "ARRL's singular interests"
> be and what do you propose as an alternative to the *only* national amateur
> radio organization? Cutting off one's own nose to spite the face is usually
> not a smart choice.
>
> Look, clearly it is in every US ham's interest to retain as much spectrum
> as possible for as long as possible. Fiber optics in every house will
> alleviate the pressure, but it will not eliminate it. Everything else is
> petty stuff which is not critical for the survival of amateur radio. Once
> we absolve this fact, the choice is simple: we either support our one and
> only ham radio representation in the world or not - we keep our hobby alive
> or not.

I wouldn't call the ARRL the "sole" protector of amateur radio in the U.S. Many amateurs, whether as individuals or as groups (clubs, repeater coordinators, or others) comment on pending FCC rulemakings and write Congress-critters (as they are called in another newsgroup). Only if the ARRL were the only entity talking to the government would the title of "sole protector" be appropriate. Nothing says that each individual licensee can't comment directly and must go through the ARRL.....

```
From -1623877218323652724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 23:31:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC19317.42C249A0@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
```

References: <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100> <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<99hk58$6f6$0@dosa.alt.net> <3ABEDA5D.DAE623B5@earthlink.net>
<99oq3e$2fm$3@208.207.71.131> <3AC17F6D.EDEB8082@earthlink.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10103280623330.2855-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 37
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 07:29:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.154.188.137
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985764540 64.154.188.137 (Tue, 27 Mar 2001 23:29:00 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 27 Mar 2001 23:29:00 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17994
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19688 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11185
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14191

"D. Stussy" wrote:

> > Look, clearly it is in every US ham's interest to retain as much spectrum
> > as possible for as long as possible. Fiber optics in every house will
> > alleviate the pressure, but it will not eliminate it. Everything else is
> > petty stuff which is not critical for the survival of amateur radio. Once
> > we absolve this fact, the choice is simple: we either support our one and
> > only ham radio representation in the world or not - we keep our hobby alive
> > or not.
>
> I wouldn't call the ARRL the "sole" protector of amateur radio in the U.S.
> Many amateurs, whether as individuals or as groups (clubs, repeater
> coordinators, or others) comment on pending FCC rulemakings and write
> Congress-critters (as they are called in another newsgroup). Only if the ARRL
> were the only entity talking to the government would the title of "sole
> protector" be appropriate. Nothing says that each individual licensee can't
> comment directly and must go through the ARRL.....

Nothing says that - you're right. However, how much clout do you think US hams
would have
with FCC and congressmen if they kept showing up in groups of 5 to 10, without
unified
representation, on a strictly individual basis? We could all comment ourselves
right out
of all the spectrum.

Nothing says each individual US citizen can't fight an invading army on his own
and in
groups, but doing that would be the biggest mass suicide since Jim Jones. That's
why we
have the US Army. And that is also why we have the ARRL - to have a strong,
unified and

committed representation so we don't look like a bunch of jokers. Frankly, I don't
understand what's all this dislike of the ARRL - what's the big grudge? Would
someone
care to explain?

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From 8242676924081330676
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-27 23:56:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Wf0w6.6700$9i1.593827@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<99qdc8$1ki$0@208.207.71.175> <3AC0C1B4.CE1530B1@IEEE.org>
<99rnv5$jji$1@208.207.71.136> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103280620560.2855-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <4Igw6.222$aG.85855@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 01:51:13 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.249
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 985765760 208.154.158.249 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 02:49:20
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 02:49:20 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17995

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.10.10103280620560.2855-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> > wonder he was codeless and a tech.
>
> Maybe he needs motivation. As for what may motivate him, I leave that
to your
> imagination.   ;-)

That's why I gave him a straight-up answer. Fiddly-farting on fcc.gov to get the 20 pages of the Form 605 plus instructions and getting it set up to print only what you want would motivate anyone to something, most likely suicide but certainly lifetime licenses.

Dick N�BK

From -7916315695482127020
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 01:50:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Mar 2001 09:47:48 GMT
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Message-ID: <20010328044748.07536.00001245@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17997

In article <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>, "R G" <prieye@email.msn.com> writes:

>
>My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep it
>valid ? Thanks for the replies.   RG
>

RG:

If you can't find that information out for yourself, then, by all means, do let your Tech ticket expire.

Hint: You obviously have the technology to post a message to a Usenet newsgroup. You can find the information you need using the same technology, only in a somewhat different way. Don't you have a web browser? Can't you do a search?

Another hint: Which government agency is in charge of amateur radio licensing? Think they might have a web site?

Yet another hint: What is the national organization for amateur radio? Think they may also have a web site?

Did these hints help -- or are you really as helpless as you seem?
Remember -- amateur radio is not a hobby which is intended to
attract technically inept people...

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -279324974641889024
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 01:50:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Mar 2001 09:47:49 GMT
References: <3ABE3AC9.4D0B7D5B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Message-ID: <20010328044749.07536.00001247@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17996

In article <3ABE3AC9.4D0B7D5B@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>> If you put me in charge of amateur radio licensing policies, I'd have
>> us back to a 5-level licensing structure with 5, 13, and 20 WPM code
>> testing faster than you can say Riley Hollingsworth.
>
>We know, we know, Larry. You have a death wish for the ARS. You have
>told us about it over and over and over.

Cecil:

Don't look now, but I don't have any kind of "death wish" for the ARS.
If I did, why would I have devoted some much time over the last 10-plus
years defending the licensing requirements which would have ensured
its viability into the future?

>> And we would
>> see renewed interest and real growth in our numbers virtually overnight.
>
>Of course we would, Larry, of course we would. (Somebody get the net.)

I'm already on the 'net, Cecil -- or hadn't you noticed? Ohhhh, I see...
OK, you can look now!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6855862842420450617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 01:50:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Mar 2001 09:47:51 GMT
References: <99mdnr$hep$0@208.206.142.84>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010328044751.07536.00001250@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17998

In article <99mdnr$hep$0@208.206.142.84>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>
> Cecil prefers to ignore the certain fact that knowledge and skill in the most simple, easily implmented, widespread and truly basic radiocommunications mode could and easily *should* be mandatory for ham radio operators, as a basic reqirement. Failure to assure this by the FCC constitutes the beginnings of abandonment of oversight in a probable prelude to similar eventual abandonment of the Service as no longer of value in the "public interest, convenience and necessity". The enforcement headachescaused by further anarchy caused by the influx of licensees who, having invested no meaningful personal time, resources nor effort, have no vested interest in adhering to the rules will only hasten that conclusion.

Dick:

Thank you, thank you very much! I've only been saying this to Cecil (and everyone else) for the better part of ten years. All the while, the only rebuttal has been the usual strawman argument that code testing was somehow going to prevent AMATEUR radio operators from developing the latest high-speed, mega-throughput digital modes, as if hams hunkered down in their workshops did that sort of thing... you know -- homebrewing their own multi-million transistor chips, etc. The connection between code testing and technical development has always been the crux of the No Code Testing Agenda - NCTA. Too Bill Sohl has just put an end to that argument by admitting that the

NCTA's were wrong!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3001744745115582711
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 01:50:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Mar 2001 09:47:49 GMT
References: <3ABECEF4.399FBEE5@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Message-ID: <20010328044749.07536.00001246@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:17999

In article <3ABECEF4.399FBEE5@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Talking is basic to Homo Sapiens, Morse code is not. I can build an
>AM transceiver faster than someone can learn Morse code and build
>a CW transceiver.

Cecil:

How much you wanna bet I can come up with a simpler, easier,
cheaper, and faster to produce CW transceiver design than your
AM transceiver? And don't look now -- but I "learned" the Morse
code at greater than 5 WPM capability in about two days. Can
you teach someone how to speak fluent English in that amount
of time?

>The spread of CW is getting more narrow all the
>time. 2/3 of US hams use it rarely or never.

Knock, knock -- Earth to Cecil -- Why do you think this is so?
Could it be because we've reduced the code testing requirement to
the point of insignificance, that nobody is bothering to learn it
anymore?

>CW is a fun mode for some but it is just another mode and should be
>optional like any other mode.

I have absolutely no objection to the USE of Morse code by individual amateurs being an "option." However, this "option" won't exist unless there is a basic REQUIREMENT that ALL hams learn this mode and demonstrate useful proficiency at it prior to being granted HF privileges. It has already been proven that people from the age of 5 to the 90's, from all walks of life, and even people with severe, disabling handicaps (including total deafness) can learn and effectively employ the Morse code not only in amateur radio, but their daily lives. The problem here is the uncanny ability of liberals like yourself to sell short the abilities of their fellow human beings. This is a very common sign of emotional and intellectual immaturity -- the Self As Center Of The Universe syndrome. You project your own weakness and insecurity on others, even though others don't have your problems. Get help.

>What is wrong with live and let live?

Nothing. If you truly beleve in it, why don't you want the Morse/CW mode to "live" in the ARS -- knowing that deleting the code testing requirement will surely kill it?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -9165891351081969309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 01:54:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Mar 2001 09:47:50 GMT
References: <3ABE37EB.2F71480F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010328044750.07536.00001249@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18000

In article <3ABE37EB.2F71480F@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> So, Dwight, what you're basically saying is that without the crutch
>> of a "big gun" station behind it, voice modes are essentially useless!
>
>Real Hams have whatever equipment is needed to get the job done.

Cecil:

Don't look now, but you've got that backwards. Real Hams get the job
done with whatever equipment they have!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 587593595713368794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 01:54:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Mar 2001 09:47:50 GMT
References: <99mcot$e3d$0@208.206.142.84>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Message-ID: <20010328044750.07536.00001248@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18001

In article <99mcot$e3d$0@208.206.142.84>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>
> Cecil likes to ignore reality. He doesn't even admit that
>radiotelegraphy is the most basic, easily implemented, widespread and
>universal form of radiocommunication.
>
>Dick

Dick:

Is that anything like "...practical, effective, efficient, and universal?"

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7359753268693416441
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 02:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 25
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <8xiw6.64$0H2.6969@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /Kw5eGMEno4ErARFHU7IUQXWo3+zutsDjRguNc/
zw26fGkXce3ZQsoVlvi1SLQbBKYDNa6bp4+h9!
+B0rS5ocXFgHmcLIyIlPaiP07UDmkBRG4ztCCfuEcHzINquzFAlAjEqFV6INeNPQko9njXFOc1hF!2nM=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 09:54:12 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 09:54:12 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18002
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19690 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11186
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14193
```

"Zoran Brlecic" <zbrlecic@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net...

>SNIP
>

> Why not? I am simply asking for consistency: a thermonuclear device falls into
> the same category of "firearms" as a six-gun.
>
> SNIP

Come on now, even Clinton couldn't make that one fly.... A gun can only kill a single person within the shooters' direct line of sight per discharge. This weapon targets a specific person, and has the option to only wound, as well as kill. It does not destroy the surrounding buildings, all life forms in the surrounding area, and pollute the land with radiation for the next several centuries.

If what you say were true, then the ATF would be enforcing the laws regarding Nukes... :>)

73 de W6DKN

```
From 1161591087294728770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 02:39:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Wf0w6.6700$9i1.593827@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<99qdc8$1ki$0@208.207.71.175> <3AC0C1B4.CE1530B1@IEEE.org>
<99rnv5$jji$1@208.207.71.136>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 40
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <N6jw6.153229$p66.43280239@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 10:34:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985775661 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 02:34:21
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 02:34:21 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18003
```

It couldn't possibly be that he has climbed out of the 19th century and
realised that there are better modes to use ?

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/--\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
--/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:99rnv5$jji$1@208.207.71.136...
>
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > You'd think that with a computer on the internet a fella would at
least
> > > make a stab at looking for the information needed to renew his ham
> > > license, wouldn't
> > > you? Makes it look like he has about as much interest in renewing as
he
> > > did in getting a ham radio license in the first place - little to
none.
> > > Just enough to ask someone else to do all the "hard" part for him.
> >
> > Dick, you are such a wonderful example of a perfect Elmer.
>
>
> The guy has been a nocode tech for ten years now and has no clue how to
> renew
> his license, and more to the point, no interest in learning. Seems to me
> like
> another example of letting someone else do it all for you. No wonder he
> was codeless and a tech.

From 5241098482470408716
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 02:48:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>

<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 52
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 10:44:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985776264 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 02:44:24 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 02:44:24 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18004

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136...
> > Have ya ever thought of doing that through computer too?
>   Sorta hung up on computers are we?

it's just my example of how an operator could use cw without having the foggiest idea of what the code is ...

> > I very well doubt you will copy me via the ear at 200wpm.
> You're typing 200wpm? yeah, right! Wow, Whatta guy...

Did I say I type 200wpm ...nope ... I didn't ... 99.9999% of the readily available programs out there will allow you to buffer your tx data and then send in one big clump

> And all those who
> > are uncopiable via computer are going to be uncopiable via the ear ..
.even
> > at 5wpm.
> So much for your "expertise" on the subject of Morse code.

With this cheesy software I have (cwget), It pulls out frequency data through an fft. All you do is tell the software which freq to use (by clicking on the spectrogram) and it will then output at the bottom, a amplitude vs time graph. You set the noise level and it will then decode the morse.

All that could be done automatically. You will notice that in real life when you are listening to the radio, lower signals will be difficult to copy because of the noise (high frequency stuff) will mask that 900Hz signal you are tryin to listen to.

> > Once again, there are better digital modes to use, i.e. psk31 for those
low
> > low signals.

> Sure there are. All you need is a minimum few hundred thousand active
> devices and the software to go with....

digipan software, a computer keyer (can be built for around $5) and an audio cable to have the outputted audio from the radio sent to the computer ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                         |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

From 3973231562386577837
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 03:22:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!jobone!dailyplanet.srl.ford.com!eccws12.dearborn.ford.com!not-for-mail
From: Mark <marknelle@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: license
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 11:42:23 +0100
Organization: Ford Motor Company
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 19.194.200.234
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en]C-c32f404p (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18005

What is it with everyone?

I have been a class b (no code Amateur) here in the uk for 15 years, the great thing about amateur radio is the wide range of activities we can participate in from hf cw to microwave, my own interest has been vhf/ slow scan, packet, and fast scan and also having good old chats with fellow hams, we are all supposed to be in the same hobby and we serve the hobby no good by arguing who is better than who.
since i passed my nocode class b i have continued learning about the hobby and also the technical aspects of it at my own speed and enjoyment and everyone else should be alloyed to do the same.

Now if the powers that be feel that morse code testing does not serve
the interest of the hobby then fine, it does not lesson the technical
qualifications of amateurs.

And on a final point, over here i have noticed that in the 15 years
i have been licensed the bands have got less and less used, 70 cm very
unused, 2m very quiet these days, even repeaters 6m quiet unless
there is a lift on. If we don't start to all get on and enjoy the hobby
we still have we may not have a hobby left in 10 years time, then we
can all argue the nocode issue until we are blue in the face.

Regards
Mark
G1tty coventry england

```
From -8088825099791188328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 05:06:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3ac0004c.2126060@news.mindspring.com>
<3AC09247.4B249DF2@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 07:59:37 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3ac1e04a$0$88186$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 82e0f4ad.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=5Mce`elU`KoE4XNZ]a2YglLoK8f=:?
J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgBdaO<NBoDJblBFDaL\d^nefJ?NRgPJP0d
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18006

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AC09247.4B249DF2@ovis.net...
> That singular exception makes HF morse testing the law of the land, Bill
> so it must have some bearing. That is certainly more than comes from a
> homemade lawyer quoting from a court case between a commercial
> television network and a national political party.

Red Lion decision was a warning to the FCC that technological change requires a review and change in the rules. Congress now requires that the FCC review its rules every two years. 47 USC 161.

47 CFR 97.503(a) in non-conforming with 5 USC 706 because the code test is unnecessary and not in the public interest, not because of the Red Lion decision.

Larry KC8EPO

From 8247175489670268976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 05:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 70
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Mar 2001 13:32:53 GMT
References: <3ac16847.1536470@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010328083253.09572.00000280@nso-mm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18007

In article <3ac16847.1536470@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

(N2EY wrote):

>I do know, however, that for the past 33+ years, whenever the
>>question of code testing for an HF ham license has come up in the USA, the
>>majority of those who bothered to comment to the FCC have supported
>continued
>>code testing. That's one of the main reasons the requirement has lasted so
>>long. Note that I'm not saying "the majority of hams" but "the majority of
>>those who bothered to comment".
>>
>>That majority used to be overwhelming, but in the past 15-20 years it has
>>slowly decreased to about 54-46 in favor of code testing. That trend was not
>>lost on the FCC.
>
>Yes indeed...and changing still as we go forward. If the ARRL
>did a poll now, my money would be on "end all code
>testing now."

Hopefully, such a poll would be better designed than the 1996 one. But I'm NOT
talking about polls, surveys or newsgroup posts. I'm only talking about
comments and other communication to the FCC. That's what really matters.
>
>>>Why not make the Morse code proficiency exam a requirement for all Federal
>>>licenses?
>>
>>Because all Federal licenses do no involve the use of Morse in radio
>>communication. HF/MF ham radio uses Morse extensively.
>
>It ONLY does so for those people that personally want
>to use code. There are thousands of hams that do
>not use code at all for HF/MF operations.

The same can be said for any mode or regulation. How many hams use EME? SSTV?
Satellites? Far fewer than use Morse - but those subjects all have a place in
the written testing. Not as a single element, of course. The fact remains,
though, that in order to get the license, I and many others had to learn all
sorts of stuff that we never use on the air.
>
>>But even that extensive
>>use is not reason enough for the FCC, who have said that the treaty is the
>only
>>reason they have left to continue the Morse test.
>
>Agree completely.
>
>>They have eliminated all but
>>the 5 wpm test because 5 wpm is generally considered to be the minimum that
>>meets the treaty. When the treaty goes, how long do you think 5 wpm will
>last?
>
>A few months. Whatever it takes to go through the
>NPRM process.

Maybe even less. Certainly not much more.
>
>>The reasons the FCC doesn't just ignore the treaty are:
>>1) It would set a very bad precedent.
>>2) They don't have the authority
>>3) They don't think 5 wpm is an unreasonable requirement at the present time
>>4) There is no mandate to do so.
>
>Agree.
>
Hope Larry does, too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 3141187843528850170
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 05:48:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 28 Mar 2001 13:45:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <99spu5$oov$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com> <20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org> <99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org> <99mgj8$oai$0@208.206.142.84> <3ABEC7F4.38F98E43@IEEE.org> <99opok$2fm$1@208.207.71.131> <3AC01A29.5A2F6E0D@IEEE.org> <99qc8s$rl3$0@208.207.71.175> <3AC0C024.22A311A5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18008

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:

> > > Then fix the written exam, don't hang on to a superfluous code exam.
> > > It's like the Q&A are published for driver's licenses so examinees
> > > will be tested on cranking an automobile engine. Effort for effort's
> > > sake is not a valid reason for an exam.
> >
> > But effort in order to prepare oneself to use the most basic and easily
> > implememted radiocommunications mode is certainly justified.
>
> Speech is basic to Homo Sapiens. Morse code is not. Morse code
> takes weeks or months to implement. I cannot think of another
> mode that is more difficult to implement than Morse code.

Radio is not basic to Homo Sapiens. Morse code is basic to radio
communications.
Morse code is quickly and easily implemented by persons not too lazy and
useless to have learned it. Ir naturally follows that Morse code
qualifications should be a integral part of any amateur radio licensing
scheme since radiotelegraph operations are widespread within amateur
radio, and potentially useful on moments notice.

```
From -1370750955507851685
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3976b6d1be3c77d1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3976b6d1be3c77d1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,3976b6d1be3c77d1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 16:18:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "two3 hour" <humbolfr2@hotmail.com>
From: "two3 hour" <humbolfr2@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Solar Flare alert
Lines: 15
Organization: airbus development
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <NfQw6.8495$aP5.735090@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 00:16:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.192.74.145
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985911405 216.192.74.145 (Thu, 29 Mar
2001 16:16:45 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 16:16:45 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:2179 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14288
```

rec.radio.amateur.policy:18109

X-FLARE: A powerful X-class solar flare erupted near sunspot group 9393 on March 29th at 1015 UT. The blast sent a coronal mass ejection (pictured right side in a SOHO coronagraph animation on SOHO web) toward Earth -- the second in as many days. The first of the two incoming CMEs will likely hit Earth's magnetosphere on Friday; today's expanding cloud will arrive approximately a day later, on Saturday.

Forecasters say there is a good chance (15-25%) of severe geomagnetic storming when the CMEs strike.

```
From -7246269086965256492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,CP1252
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 16:33:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AC20365.A9504833@IEEE.org> <20010328231348.17661.00001316@nso-
cg.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 19:26:24 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 203
Message-ID: <3ac3d2c3$0$88185$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 2ea92654.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=emDl_n8J`a\iAcBM^EMG<[LoK8f=:?
J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWIn2E7P_87G]lBFDaL\d^nUS_OWck[7oUW
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18110
```

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010328231348.17661.00001316@nso-cg.aol.com...

> In article <3AC20365.A9504833@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >Since S25.5 will probably be repealed and since a ham doesn't need
> >to know Morse code to participate in emergency communications.
>
> Except when he doesn't have enough power, antenna performance,
> or operating conditions to effectively employ SSB -- and CW would
> still get a message through! Of course, that's a "Morse myth" or
> something like that, isn't it?
>
> It always amazes me that the people who whine loudest about being
> prepared for emergency communications are least willing to support
> the learning and mastery of one of the most practical, effective,
> efficient, and universal modes of emergency communication. That
> is one strawman who is very well stuffed; unfortunately, the straw is
> extremely dry, and the strawman is constantly being chased by
> someone with a very large box of matches!

Larry R, K3LT

Here the WT Docket #98-143 Comment of Cary Mangum, who has some standing regard to the subject of emergency communications. Apparently, the California emergency system has not enlighten by radio communications wisdom.

Larry K,   KC8EPO

~~~~~~

In The Matter Of 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review � Amendment of Part 97 Of the Commission�s Amateur Service Rules, FCC WT Docket 98-143

FCC Secretary Magalie Roman Salas
Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W. Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Public Service is the basis on which the amateur Service license has been authorized since its inception. This is the aspect on which the commission is urged to focus, and which we here address, as follows: (1) license privileges and classes, (2) testing requirement (code), (3) RACES Station licensing, (4) Amateur operation.

Background And Basis

Since 1985 California has had an aggressive program utilizing Amateur licenses. That year the state legislature mandated a paid staff coordinator with directions to enhance and expand that public service function involving amateur licensees. In April 1985 we began a series of education bulletins
~~~~~~

statewide at a time when there but three RACES units in the state. Today almost all of the 58 counties have an emergency communications unit based on the Amateur licensees; and our weekly EMCOMM Bulletins have would-wide distribution in addition to every state in the country.

In so far as we know, our program � the leader in the nation � is the only one with a paid staff position created by the state legislature to support the use of Amateur licensees in service to their communities.

In 1987 the American Radio Relay League requested that the statewide Bulletins be placed in the Amateur packet radio networks around the U.S. In time they became the standard in the US, replacing s defunct FEMA education program. Today these Bulletins are the source of education information for emergency communications units based on Amateur Radio. They are literally on hundreds of bulletin boards and Internet sites around the world.

Because we are involved daily with quintessential public service � their use in and for local government, we have a broader experience of Amateur license activities that than most petitioners. Our program is the recognized model in the U.S., Canada, Australia, New Zealand, England and other countries worldwide. The weekly EMCOMM Bulletins are on the worldwide Web at www.acs.oes.ca/ . Our Manual, titled the �establishing and maintaining an Emergency Communications unit for government�, is the only one of its kind in the country.

(2) Testing Requirement   (4) Amateur Operations

In the 1990�s very significant changes have occurred affecting the usefulness of Amateur radio licensee to government. Today we face information systems that did not exist in the past. As we look to the year 2000 we need Amateur licensees capable of providing a variety of services in communications, typically computer based.

Thus, the use of code has declined to where it is no longer viable for government.

a. California eliminated the use of CW some years ago. Although we have a large and extensive communications center staffed for and with Amateur licensees, we had not used CW in decades. We retained a CW net until 1995, but it served no essential purpose, just once-a-week training for 3-5 participates. No county governments participated for years.

b. The US Coast Guard also eliminated the use of morse code several years ago, which is further evidence of its lack of applicability to today�s communications needs.

Today, our information systems are based computer based and operate by both wire and wireless. If the Amateur of today is not familiar with computers he/she is of little use. A background in computer experience and knowledge is far and away more important than that of CW.

When I became licensed in 1941 Amateur radio was the means of alternative communication. Today, the computer provides that, hence thousands of those who would otherwise be FCC Amateur service licensees never apply because the service does not attract them. Until we recognize that factor the service will not grow significantly, nor do we get the new blood of technically oriented people to spark the new innovations so badly needed.

To make matters worse, to a large degree an �old-boy network� exists in Amateur radio; one that grew up with �you must pass the code� concept. Like people everywhere �what�s good enough for me is good enough for you� is the mindset of many of today�s Amateurs. Without the ability to look to the future, or grasp the many changes occurring around them, they would keep practices long since useless. In government today we pass data digitally, not by CW or voice radio. In fact, there are government agencies who seek to do away with radio entirely.

One of my constant battles is to keep some radio capability functioning in government. There is a new breed of administrators who focus on the bottom dollar, not redundancy. Often they have no experience in communications failures, and their digital computer networks have not failed. They see no need for radio. So, they no longer fund or support radio programs. The federal Highway Authority (FHWA) is one instance of an agency that no longer supports High frequency radio. It�s a very real every-day battle. Our fiscal year has just begun and yesterday I was informed that there are no funds for communications equipment as it all went for computer systems.

So, I urge the reduction of code requirement to 5 wpm at general license level as it is not essential skill qualifier in today�s world. When it is possible to do so under international radio regulations, I further urge the Commission to drop the CW requirement entirely. It is not relevant to the year 2001 and subsequent real-world needs in communications.

(1) License Privileges and Classes

The proposed classes (no-code Tech, General, Advance, Extra) are appropriate. There is a shortage of people above the tech license interested in emergency Communications. It has been the Tech licensee�s that have made our programs possible. Without them the programs would flounder. There are exceptions, but in general it is the Technician licensees we rely on today. Due to the shortage to those qualified to operate HF we have to put a higher qualified licensee with them just to staff HF circuits.

I urge the Commission to adopt a requirement of computer ability, at least at the general level. Knowledge of technical digital information is not important. There may be those who will assert that test should include questions on Amtor, Pactor, Clover or such. The problem there, however, is that such modes are already out-of-date. To lock the testing into them is already a decade behind the times. What we need is young minds open to new possibilities, not old minds locked in decades old minsets. There are enough computer specialist around that its� easy to find them and they don�t need Amateur licensees to fix technical problems. In fact, it is their interest in the technical aspect of computers that have draws them away from

Amateur radio.

I recommend that the licensee demonstrate the ability to use a computer; that is, demonstrate operating ability in word processing program, in a text-editor, how to use eMail and how to use communications programs. These are skills that need demonstrating in person, in lieu of the code test. It will be difficult to have multiple choice or yes/no questions to uncover these skills. Have the applicant demonstrate ability on his/her computer or one by owned by the examiner. It�s not too difficult to set such a standards as it is required of countless job applicants these days. An alternative is some of certification by a computer user group as to demonstrated skills.

(3) RACES Station Licensing

I urge that the provision for RACES station license be dropped. Few exist. Those that do should not be renewed. With today�s easy availability of callsigns, the purpose of calls for government EOC�s is simple. Here we formed a club to support the state activities and got licenses for each of the state�s regional EOC�s. It�s not quite the same, but it works.

The disadvantage of the RACES station license is a provision in the current regulation that has been misapplied. (see.......) This provision should be dropped. Specifically, that section states that a Races Station (defined in ....) cannot talk to another RACES station. Legally that means two RACES stations LICENSEE. However it has been interpreted to mean that any Amateur licensee operating in the RACES (mode or activity) cannot talk to any Amateur licensee operating in a non-RACES activity. For instance, during the 1970�s a FEMA employee critized one of our California amateurs for allowing a Amateur operating in a RACES activity to call and talk to an amateur for a club (non RACES) who had telephone capable of solving a need. The FEMA person did not understand that it only to a RACES station licensee.

Cary Mangum, Advanced Class Licensee W6WWW
State RACES Officer, California
State ACS Officer, California
Emergency Coordinator, Telecommunications

From 859532626579048984
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 16:53:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!xmission!news.aros.net!not-for-mail

From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 00:46:29 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AC3D765.C8B733DE@bctv.com>
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 985913393 17757 63.87.116.71 (30 Mar 2001 00:49:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 00:49:53 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18111

"73" comes from the old landline telegraph days. It was one of a number of "stock" messages, used to save time and effort in sending a message.

Now, knowing that, would anyone REALLY expect them to add to the length of the comment?

BadaBing wrote:
>
> I personally was brought up with 73 since it means Best regards, which is
> plural. So If I say 73's wouldn't that mean best regards's.
> I saw in an earlier post by Cecil saying it is said 73's because it is a
> number, well if that the case then Mr. Cecil you owe's me's 73's dollars. Or
> was that 100's dollars..
>
> So 73's and 88's to all you good buddies out there, pass them around the
> household. From the tallest to the smallest. I am officially 10-7's

From -1163902260446293218
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f7796,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7796,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 16:55:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "jstan10" <dummy@address.net>

Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.morse,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Morse Code Flashcards
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 00:26:21 -0800
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tc7ma454gf783d@news.supernews.com>
References: <tc6gha3jr8e590@corp.supernews.com>
<99vghh$2d9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC35D6E.1A3B34A9@IEEE.org>
<99vosq$js5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
User-Agent: Pan/0.9.5 (Unix)
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-No-Productlinks: Yes
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 14
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18112
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14289

In article <99vosq$js5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
<w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote:

> Nope...doing it by that method will add another wall in the learning
> process. If one is deaf it would be better to use the hand to feel the

How about if the flash cards are dahs and dits rather than dots and
dashes? Same problem? I'm looking for some method to help because I would
like to take the code test prior to July. Recommendations are
appreciated including websites.

KG6FNV
(use callsign at arrl.net to reply via email)

From -8093046772177163085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 17:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!
news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 01:00:03 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9a0lqj$qc0$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AC3CF6E.D7390174@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985914003 27008 149.174.88.251 (30
Mar 2001 01:00:03 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 01:00:03 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18113

>I tried two: "The Little, Brown Handbook" (published,
>unsurprisingly, by
>Little, Brown) and "The Scott, Foresman Handbook for Writers"
>(published
>by--guess who?) Both say you're wrong; apostrophes can
>legitimately be
>used to form plurals of letters, numbers and symbols. So far
>that's
>three references versus the none that you've provided. So how
>about letting us know which "any English usage book" you're
>referring to?

Again, the only point that we can concede to is that your book
says it CAN be done. In point of fact, in true professional work,
it's NOT done, simply because it doesn't meet accepted style. And
on that same point, going back to our original discussion,
various users guides may also say, for example, that "A is
comprised of B and C" is in use. The better guides will also say,
however, that that form isn't acceptable in the formal sense.
It's reading the fine print in users' guides that count, Jon.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 6304608998351740471
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 17:33:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 01:28:58 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9a0ngq$sni$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <9a0lqj$qc0$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985915738 29426 149.174.88.247 (30
Mar 2001 01:28:58 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 01:28:58 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18114

>Both say you're wrong; apostrophes can

>legitimately be
>used to form plurals of letters, numbers and symbols.

Further, this gets totally away from the argument we started
with. Whether to use an apostrophe to indicate 73's (vs. 73s)
isn't the question! The question was whether "73" should indicate
both the single and plural form. Several articles over the years
in QST concluded that it would. For to say "73's," they
concluded, would indicate "best regardses."

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -8450642845241579217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 17:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 17:37:03 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AC3E33F.3ED139FB@uci.edu>
References: <9a0lqj$qc0$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<9a0ngq$sni$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18115

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >Both say you're wrong; apostrophes can
> >legitimately be
> >used to form plurals of letters, numbers and symbols.
>
> Further, this gets totally away from the argument we started
> with. Whether to use an apostrophe to indicate 73's (vs. 73s)
> isn't the question! The question was whether "73" should indicate
> both the single and plural form. Several articles over the years
> in QST concluded that it would. For to say "73's," they
> concluded, would indicate "best regardses."

>
> Regards,
> Vince, WB2EZG

From 3363061974209484174
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 17:46:02 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 01:40:43 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.48
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.48
X-Trace: 29 Mar 2001 19:38:49 -0600, 64.24.240.48
Lines: 29
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18116

On Thu, 29 Mar 2001 02:49:57 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>ya of course a cw transmitter is easy to build. But I am not about to toss
>my 706 so I can build my own rig. Heck, I don't have the time for that.
>I'm there to chit chat, not build cw transmitters that fit in kodak film
>boxes and crap.
>
You'll never get it and that ain't my problem.
>
>And I am sure nowadays the first thing we are going to do when we get in
>trouble is plug that key in and tap away. Not that everybody and their
>brother doesn't have a ssb rig to yell HELPPPPP on.
>
No, ChildHam, if I ever really need help I'll jump on one of the
neighborhood machines. Duh?
>
>Engineering, from what I saw of engineers when I was doing my physics
>degree, they were a bunch of drunken text book memorizing anal types. They

>generally had to read it in a text book before they were able to understand
>the most basic concept. No intuition at all.
>
Of Course. Presidents Herbert Hoover and Jimmy Carter were just stoned
anal textbook-memorizing no-intuition engineers as are the CEOs of
most of the major auto manufacturers today. Get back to me when the
first undergrad pisics major gets anywhere close. Have a nice nite
with your quark.
>
w3rv

From 6474765891107841146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 17:56:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 17:47:18 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3AC3E5A6.D7A55F9A@uci.edu>
References: <9a0lqj$qc0$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<9a0ngq$sni$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18117

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> Several articles over the years
> in QST concluded that it would. For to say "73's," they
> concluded, would indicate "best regardses."

Yes, 73's and 73s both say that in the literal sense.

I usually say seven three instead of seventy three. That's too long in
CW. :-)

ac6xg

From 7485185902533664690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 18:06:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC3E8D9.1A3C33BD@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <3AC3CF6E.D7390174@arrl.org> <9a0lqj$qc0$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 44
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 21:00:57 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 985917679 209.140.206.201 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 21:01:19 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 21:01:19 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18118

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >I tried two: "The Little, Brown Handbook" (published,
> >unsurprisingly, by
> >Little, Brown) and "The Scott, Foresman Handbook for Writers"
> >(published
> >by--guess who?) Both say you're wrong; apostrophes can
> >legitimately be
> >used to form plurals of letters, numbers and symbols. So far
> >that's
> >three references versus the none that you've provided. So how
> >about letting us know which "any English usage book" you're
> >referring to?
>
> Again, the only point that we can concede to is that your book

Books, Vince. Plural.

> says it CAN be done. In point of fact, in true professional work,
> it's NOT done, simply because it doesn't meet accepted style.

And yet, I provided several examples from leading newspapers where that
form is used repeatedly. Could you name some publications that conform
to "true professional work" in your view?

> And
> on that same point, going back to our original discussion,
> various users guides may also say, for example, that "A is
> comprised of B and C" is in use.

Red herring. We're discussing the use of the apostrophe to form plurals of letters, numbers and symbols, not the use of the word "comprise." All the comprised's you care to cite are beside the point.

> The better guides will also say,
> however, that that form isn't acceptable in the formal sense.

Please name the "better guides" to which you refer.

> It's reading the fine print in users' guides that count, Jon.

Please cite the fine print, Vince.

Jon

```
From -4064660209148600277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7796,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7796,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 18:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.morse,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Morse Code Flashcards
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 20:05:52 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9a0ple$71b$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <tc6gha3jr8e590@corp.supernews.com>
<99vghh$2d9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC35D6E.1A3B34A9@IEEE.org>
<99vosq$js5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <tc7ma454gf783d@news.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.8b.e3
X-Server-Date: 30 Mar 2001 02:05:34 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18119
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14293
```

If you are saying that the flashcards will have either the words 'dah dit' or 'dit dash' or if it is in the form of   ' _ .' etc.. then the problem is still there.

Forget the flash cards. Use the ear method, unless you are handicapped, which you have not mentioned.

Of course if you are only interested in doing 5 wpm (why bother) then the flashcards will work. Probably....but you wont get much faster.

Dan/W4NTI

"jstan10" <dummy@address.net> wrote in message
news:tc7ma454gf783d@news.supernews.com...
> In article <99vosq$js5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
> <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote:
>
>
> > Nope...doing it by that method will add another wall in the learning
> > process. If one is deaf it would be better to use the hand to feel the
>
> How about if the flash cards are dahs and dits rather than dots and
> dashes? Same problem? I'm looking for some method to help because I would
> like to take the code test prior to July. Recommendations are
> appreciated including websites.
>
> KG6FNV
> (use callsign at arrl.net to reply via email)

From -282867002019917622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 18:13:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 02:11:06 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9a0pvq$ln0$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AC3E5A6.D7A55F9A@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985918266 22240 149.174.88.254 (30 Mar 2001 02:11:06 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 02:11:06 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18120

>Yes, 73's and 73s both say that in the literal sense.

>I usually say seven three instead of seventy three. That's too
>long in CW. :-)

>ac6xg

OK, but in the professional world it would be written "73s." The developmental style has to do with words not looking awkward or confusing the reader as to possessive form versus plural. That's why one writes "the CPUs work at 800 MHz," not "the CPU's work at 800 MHz." Or, "the LEDs operate at 5 mA," not "the LED's operate...." If one ever sees the latter form, it's an oversight on the part of the writer, or the writer needs an overhaul.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

```
From 6146340690141447771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 18:16:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 43
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 02:13:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985918435 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 18:13:55
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 18:13:55 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18121
```

> You'll never get it and that ain't my problem.

Whats there to get ... its an inefficient mode that has seen its day come and pass

> No, ChildHam, if I ever really need help I'll jump on one of the
> neighborhood machines. Duh?

Just because I am not retired doesn't make me a child ...

never the less ... quite frankly if i get in trouble im not even liklely to call on the radio anyways seeing as how I have a cell (and coverage up here is very good) ... and this is specifically because if i put a mayday out im sure there will be a bunch of old foagies out there to tell me how they used to call it in 3 quick bursts of 3 when they flew with the luiftewaffe or god knows what

> Of Course. Presidents Herbert Hoover and Jimmy Carter were just stoned
> anal textbook-memorizing no-intuition engineers as are the CEOs of
> most of the major auto manufacturers today. Get back to me when the
> first undergrad pisics major gets anywhere close. Have a nice nite
> with your quark.

As if you see an undergrad engineer get anywhere close either ... they are all masters or doctors ... undergrads count screws for 'em.

CEO's are businessman ... not developpers

From what i have seen of your history ... none of your presidents have been much more than something for every nut bag in the country to rally behind when they call for war.

```
--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                         |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                  |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

From -527862386154886128
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 18:20:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 02:15:47 GMT

Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9a0q8j$ln0$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AC3E8D9.1A3C33BD@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985918547 22240 149.174.88.254 (30 Mar 2001 02:15:47 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 02:15:47 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18122

>And yet, I provided several examples from leading newspapers
>where that form is used repeatedly.

Then it's a writer/copydesk oversight, or the writer/copydesk
needs an overhaul. As I mentioned, one writes "the CPUs work at
800 MHz," NOT the "CPU's work at 800 MHz." There's good reason
for that style: one is, you don't want to confuse the possessive
case with the plural form. That's how good professionals deal
with the issue.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 2787351431299586410
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 18:48:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC3F4AF.2E38@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: license
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com> <xUww6.220$Ua4.407643@nntp3.onemain.com> <3AC37E30.111F@bellsouth.net> <5KMw6.298$Eo1.149542@nntp2.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 15
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 21:51:27 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.78.16.76
X-Trace: news2.atl 985920202 216.78.16.76 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 21:43:22 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 21:43:22 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18123

Richard McCollum wrote:

>
  If you want to 'debate', call me up when you are
> not engaging in some activity trap designed to help you procrastinate.
> Like when you have the General.
>
> Dick N�BK

Ahem, Richard, like when *I* have the General? HaHa! Already passed
that years ago! Uh, when it was 13 WPM, and there was no "debate" about
the requirements for accessing HF. LOL!

73
Jerry
K4KWH

From -3794001046547645532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 18:58:05 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 02:53:38 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac3f1bf.93155953@news2.dvol.com>
References: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gatlcz5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AC01DB6.D263A376@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.17
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.17
X-Trace: 29 Mar 2001 20:51:43 -0600, 64.24.240.17
Lines: 17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!zeus.visi.com!hermes2.visi.com!
news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18124

On Mon, 26 Mar 2001 22:57:26 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>When I was in San Jose around 1973, the Mt. Umunum (sp?) repeater
>was jammed by some character who hid a fox hunt transmitter in
>a hollow tree in Big Basin National Forest. We had a heck of
>a time finding it.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
In the same timframe the NYC repeater wars were in full bloom. Those
suckers put 4-5 qrp jammers per target input freq on the air up on the
utility poles around the rptr sites. Batteries were not an issue, they

tapped the pole pig output lines. Some of 'em included white noise generators. The DFing exercises to end 'em all.
>
w3rv

From 9051519632373420803
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f7796,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7796,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 18:58:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC3F70A.27AA@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.morse,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Morse Code Flashcards
References: <tc6gha3jr8e590@corp.supernews.com>
<99vghh$2d9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC35D6E.1A3B34A9@IEEE.org>
<99vosq$js5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <tc7ma454gf783d@news.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 31
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 22:01:30 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.78.16.76
X-Trace: news2.atl 985920805 216.78.16.76 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 21:53:25 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 21:53:25 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18125
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14300

Presuming that you have no handicaps, like all have said, forget about any "aids" that depend on reading. Think about it: CW is mostly about hearing sounds that you quickly (and, later, automatically) interpret as letters or words. Use AUDIO aids instead of anything that you read or memorize. The fellows are telling you exactly right: the use of "reading" aids will only add to the learning process and hinder your learning of CW. There are a variety of tapes available to progressively move you along to 5, 10, or faster speeds. Also, DO NOT touch a sending key at all. The objective is to learn the sounds thru practice. One day, you will reach the point where you automatically hear the letters/words without having to "think" about it. It becomes like a second language.

Jerry
K4KWH

```
jstan10 wrote:
>
> In article <99vosq$js5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "W4NTI"
> <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote:
>
> > Nope...doing it by that method will add another wall in the learning
> > process.  If one is deaf it would be better to use the hand to feel the
>
> How about if the flash cards are dahs and dits rather than dots and
> dashes? Same problem? I'm looking for some method to help because I would
> like to take the code test prior to July. Recommendations are
> appreciated including websites.
>
> KG6FNV
> (use callsign at arrl.net to reply via email)


From -6886340123491881288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 19:18:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC3FAC6.FF6FC332@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <3AC3E8D9.1A3C33BD@arrl.org> <9a0q8j$ln0$2@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 22:17:26 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 985922270 209.140.206.201 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 22:17:50 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 22:17:50 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18126

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >And yet, I provided several examples from leading newspapers
> >where that form is used repeatedly.
>
> Then it's a writer/copydesk oversight, or the writer/copydesk
> needs an overhaul.
```

So the writers/copydesks at the New York Times, Washington Post and
Chicago Tribune all need overhauls? You should let them know.

> As I mentioned, one writes "the CPUs work at
> 800 MHz," NOT the "CPU's work at 800 MHz." There's good reason
> for that style: one is, you don't want to confuse the possessive
> case with the plural form. That's how good professionals deal
> with the issue.

You're ducking the question. Again. You've asserted that it's incorrect
to use the apostrophe to form plurals of letters or numbers. While
that's your opinion, it's demonstrably not the opinion of the leading
professionals, nor of any reference work cited. Your opinion is
unsupported by any documentary evidence. When asked to cite references,
you are unable to provide any.

Good professionals apply style in context rather than relying on
hard-and-fast rules that do not, in fact, exist.

Jon

From -1507837569132834924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 19:56:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!codeine.org!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 03:48:06 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 68
Message-ID: <3ac4004c.2255255@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ac16847.1536470@news.mindspring.com>
<20010328083253.09572.00000280@nso-mm.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.71.da
X-Server-Date: 30 Mar 2001 03:49:06 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18127

On 28 Mar 2001 13:32:53 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3ac16847.1536470@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>(N2EY wrote):
>
>>I do know, however, that for the past 33+ years, whenever the

>>>question of code testing for an HF ham license has come up in the USA, the
>>>majority of those who bothered to comment to the FCC have supported
>>continued
>>>code testing. That's one of the main reasons the requirement has lasted so
>>>long. Note that I'm not saying "the majority of hams" but "the majority of
>>>those who bothered to comment".
>>>
>>>That majority used to be overwhelming, but in the past 15-20 years it has
>>>slowly decreased to about 54-46 in favor of code testing. That trend was not
>>>lost on the FCC.
>>
>>Yes indeed...and changing still as we go forward. If the ARRL
>>did a poll now, my money would be on "end all code
>>testing now."
>
>Hopefully, such a poll would be better designed than the 1996 one. But I'm NOT
>talking about polls, surveys or newsgroup posts. I'm only talking about
>comments and other communication to the FCC. That's what really matters.

Yet even in the NPRM comment phase, the FCC didn't
ask for such an absolute stand to be put forth. For most
commentors the issue before them was 5 wpm test vs
5, 13 and 20. There was nothing in the NPRM that suggested
the FCC would even entertain ending all testing. NCI
did make that position and we encouraged supporters to
clearly state that. That was at least two months after many
people already filed comments supporting ARRL's
position.

>>>>Why not make the Morse code proficiency exam a requirement for all Federal
>>>>licenses?
>>>
>>>Because all Federal licenses do no involve the use of Morse in radio
>>>communication. HF/MF ham radio uses Morse extensively.
>>
>>It ONLY does so for those people that personally want
>>to use code. There are thousands of hams that do
>>not use code at all for HF/MF operations.
>
>The same can be said for any mode or regulation. How many hams use EME? SSTV?
>Satellites? Far fewer than use Morse - but those subjects all have a place in
>the written testing. Not as a single element, of course.

EXACTLY!!
End the separate test element and add some theory questions
about code and then the morse (as a knowledge aspect)
is truly on a par with the other modes.

>The fact remains,
>though, that in order to get the license, I and many others had to learn all
>sorts of stuff that we never use on the air.

But nothing as a single subject area gets the attention

and focus that code has. Less today because of the
end of 13 and 20 wpm, but still an unjustifiable weigting
in the overall perspective.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -1311566811285767287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 20:12:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 23:11:08 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <B6E9718B.7F28%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3AC2CBD4.5FE6248B@IEEE.org> <99vc0q$21r$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-60.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985925384 266177 207.144.64.190 (30 Mar 2001 04:09:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 04:09:44 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18128

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

> It's correct to say, for example,
> "The U.S. comprises 48 states."
> It is not correct to say "The U.S.
> is comprised of 48 states." Yet
> the latter is used. It's incorrect.

Darn, we lost two states. I wonder which ones. I wouldn't mind losing California and Florida. Of course, New York wouldn't be a bad choice either. Any other candidates? Ha, ha! :-)

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 2528314341749556917
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 20:30:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!news.he.net!newsxfer.visi.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 23:27:40 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <B6E9756B.7F29%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <9a0lqj$qc0$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <9a0ngq$sni$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-60.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985926381 267032 207.144.64.190 (30 Mar 2001 04:26:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 04:26:21 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18129

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

> Further, this gets totally away
> from the argument we started
> with. Whether to use an apostrophe
> to indicate 73's (vs. 73s) isn't
> the question! The question was
> whether "73" should indicate
> both the single and plural form.
> Several articles over the years
> in QST concluded that it would.
> For to say "73's," they concluded,
> would indicate "best regardses."

What is I told you to recite your ABC's? Obviously, I haven't told you how many times to recite the alphabet, just to recite it at least once. Therefore, "'s" doesn't always suggest plural.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -9013851712135076O1
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 20:39:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.cgocable.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 04:36:22 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9a12g6$4ut$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AC3FAC6.FF6FC332@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985926982 5085 149.174.88.251 (30
Mar 2001 04:36:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 04:36:22 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18130

>So the writers/copydesks at the New York Times, Washington Post
>and Chicago Tribune all need overhauls? You should let them
>know.

I didn't see your examples, Jon, but if they're sloppy, you let
them know if it bothers you that much.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 3742516534217872762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 20:44:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!
portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 04:40:56 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 24

Message-ID: <9a12oo$4ut$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AC3FAC6.FF6FC332@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985927256 5085 149.174.88.251 (30 Mar 2001 04:40:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 04:40:56 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18131

>> As I mentioned, one writes "the CPUs work at
>> 800 MHz," NOT the "CPU's work at 800 MHz." There's good reason
>>for that style: one is, you don't want to confuse the
>>possessive
>>case with the plural form. That's how good professionals deal
>>with the issue.

>You're ducking the question. Again. You've asserted that it's
>incorrect
>to use the apostrophe to form plurals of letters or numbers.

I indicated it's not good form. It's tolerated, that's about all.

>While that's your opinion, it's demonstrably not the opinion of
>the leading professionals, nor of any reference work cited. Your
>opinion is unsupported by any documentary evidence. When asked
>to cite references, you are unable to provide any.

The one I just provided above re: CPUs is typical of any that can
be found in any good engineering journal. I don't see you, or
your professionals, arguing with it.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 8880182725135355253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 20:52:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 04:49:19 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9a138f$4ut$3@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AC3FAC6.FF6FC332@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985927759 5085 149.174.88.251 (30 Mar 2001 04:49:19 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 04:49:19 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18132

>Good professionals apply style in context rather than relying on
>hard-and-fast rules that do not, in fact, exist.

Then we agree in the sense that applying style in context means
that you write "the CPUs work at 800 MHz," NOT "the CPU's work at
800 MHz." For you haven't disagreed with that.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -6335462209372606501
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 21:29:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 00:24:09 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <B6E982A6.7F2A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<B6E81693.7DBC%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC2F78E.D03F3BC5@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-60.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985929775 269255 207.144.64.190 (30 Mar 2001 05:22:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 05:22:55 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18133 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19873 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11258 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14304

Zoran Brlecic wrote:

> Oh, OK, you got me. I'm just trying to
> piss you guys off. But, wait, what if
> you are attacked by a fairly large mob
> armed to the teeth and there's no way in
> hell you stand a chance with a six-gun.
> Can you at least use a flame-thrower on
> the punks? What about Mustard Gas?

Okay, in that case you can use thermonuclear device. However, you'll have to limit it's yield, say perhaps to a small tactical device capable of taking out a smaller town instead of the whole city. :-)

> Although, some would say this becomes
> borderline genocide. Like shooting fish
> in the barrell. Like working DX in nets.

Well, that might be true. Of course, it depends on what you're shooting at. My brother-in-law uses an assualt rifle to keep the bears and coyote (wolves, or whatever they are) away from his farm animals in Wyoming. Of course, it is also effective for smaller animals also - he can't hit them, but the sound, and dirt flying up from nearby hits, is enough to scare the holy heck out of them.

> Holy crap, which part of the country do
> you live in? East LA?

Not at the moment (my mother-in-law lives there, though). No, its fairly peaceful where I'm at now, so I mainly use my guns for target practice at the moment. However, when I move (yes, I've been saying that for a year), I intend to move a little further in the woods, and would appreciate having a good weapon around (just for my own peace of mind).

> Dwight, please read the last part of my
> previous post and then see how much of

> an anti-gun, anti-police and anti-whatever
> advocate I am.

Sorry, I didn't mean to insult you by suggesting you were an anti-gun advocate. That was just the impression I had from reading your message. Besides, that last part was really meant to provoke others into commenting, not necessarily just to get a response from you.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 7775012345277236020
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 21:33:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AC417BB.294037B1@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 23:20:59 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <3AC3CF6E.D7390174@arrl.org> <9a0lqj$qc0$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985929854
64.240.47.75 (29 Mar 2001 23:24:14 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18134
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

> It's reading the fine print in users' guides that count, Jon.

So are you going to provide a reference or are you pulling this
stuff out of your posterior like your 50-year old quotes?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1951627942708256984
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 21:33:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 00:29:30 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <B6E983EA.7F2B%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-60.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985930102 269091 207.144.64.190 (30 Mar 2001 05:28:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 05:28:22 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18135 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19875 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11259 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14305

Zoran Brlecic wrote:

> Do you guys read the damn thing to the
> end before you hit the Reply button, or
> what? Both you and Dwight called me an
> "anti-gun" advocate even though I clearly
> stated in my previous message that "I do
> support a right to bear a handgun or two".

Sorry, again, Zoran. But, your message did seem to challenge the ownership of private firearms, even if that wasn't your intent.

> This means either that you and your
> militia are already polishing a silver
> bullet with my name on it, or you are
> out of arguments.

Bullet? The heck with that. Just remember that you were the one who brought up the use of a nuclear weapon. :-)

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 1778807419539248610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 21:36:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AC418C1.D918E8B5@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 23:25:21 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <3AC3E8D9.1A3C33BD@arrl.org> <9a0q8j$ln0$2@sshuraab-

i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985930117
64.240.47.75 (29 Mar 2001 23:28:37 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18136

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> That's how good professionals deal with the issue.

If you refuse to provide a reference or proof of any kind, Vince,
we are going to assume that you are pulling this stuff out of your
posterior where most of your stuff comes from.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4449711252531024638
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 21:40:01 PST
Message-ID: <3AC4194E.2A967576@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 23:27:42 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <3AC3FAC6.FF6FC332@arrl.org> <9a12oo$4ut$2@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985930257 64.240.47.75 (29 Mar 2001 23:30:57 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18137

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> The one I just provided above re: CPUs is typical of any that can
> be found in any good engineering journal.

That's a possible example of a dumb engineer, not a reference.
Do you have any references?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2454860584898804568
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 21:42:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AC41991.845E1D7F@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 23:28:49 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <3AC3FAC6.FF6FC332@arrl.org> <9a138f$4ut$3@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75

```
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985930325
64.240.47.75 (29 Mar 2001 23:32:05 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18138

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >Good professionals apply style in context rather than relying on
> >hard-and-fast rules that do not, in fact, exist.
>
> Then we agree in the sense that applying style in context means
> that you write "the CPUs work at 800 MHz," NOT "the CPU's work at
> 800 MHz." For you haven't disagreed with that.

Please prove that is not just poor English by a dumb engineer, Vince.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -2207360060538221426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 21:42:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!
feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
```

.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 00:42:04 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 22
Distribution: world
Message-ID: <B6E986DC.7F2C%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-ng.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net>
<3AC34C37.4955E8E9@IEEE.org> <veJw6.301$oQ5.72065@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-60.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985930855 270236 207.144.64.190 (30 Mar 2001 05:40:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 05:40:55 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18139
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19876 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11261
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14306

W6DKN wrote:

> Yes, we have a big problem these days
> in the schools - and in society in
> general - but it is not, and has
> never been, a gun problem. It's a people
> problem, and as long as nobody in power
> has the "brass" to address it for
> what it is, it will only get worse...

Absolutely well written, W6DKN. The problem is not guns, or the cars used for joy riding, or the street corners used to sell drugs, or anything else beyond the people involved. Until attitudes change, and we end this seemingly endless tolerance to everything subversive to civilized society, the problems are simply not going to stop.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -8659251296344546897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 21:59:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Mar 2001 05:52:19 GMT
References: <xUww6.220$Ua4.407643@nntp3.onemain.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: license
Message-ID: <20010330005219.04525.00000335@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18140

In article <xUww6.220$Ua4.407643@nntp3.onemain.com>, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> writes:

>No way, Leland. When we see someone who cannot or will not face the
>reality of a code requirement, we have to come down like Monty Python's
>big foot or we would simply be enabling denial mode. It is the bleating,
>not the opinion, that gets the reaction.

Your cynical-chic bleating is 5 by 9 here.

Where is the "reality" of a near-future code test requirement?

From 5855492007933418490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 22:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.icl.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Mar 2001 05:52:21 GMT
References: <99vvr9$tr2$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010330005221.04525.00000337@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18142

In article <99vvr9$tr2$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:

>In article <99vqm0$94r$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>, Vincent
>Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> writes:
>>>Count again, Vince. There've been a couple of recent changes
>>>you may have missed.
>>
>>>73, Hans
>>
>>As I mentioned, Hans, it was a dictionary example, and
>>taken from many years ago.
>
>Your example is about a half-century old. Languages, with the exception of
>dead languages like Latin, evolve. Please feel welcome to join the rest of
>us in the 21st century.

Morse code is a living zombie in regulatory matters concerning
amateur radio licensing. Feel free to walk with the living dead.

If you want to pretend to be, or have papers, signifying you
are a Master of English, meet the test of SELLING your
word works to an editor, sight unseen except for the works
themselves. If you want to pretend that apostrophes are
"correct" in plural forms (when it is not common practice
among those who edit printed words), you can rationalize
that you are "evolving" English. That won't be true but...

...good luck on this one now.

From -7088348879367844360
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 22:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Mar 2001 05:52:22 GMT
References: <3AC35C8A.F412F948@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.

```
Message-ID: <20010330005222.04525.00000338@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18143

In article <3AC35C8A.F412F948@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>>
>> >Sorry Phil, From Webster's: "apostrophe - a mark ' used to
>> >indicate the omission of letters or figures, the possessive
>> >case, OR THE PLURAL OF LETTERS OR FIGURES" ("Figures" of the
>> >numeric kind)
>>
>> Not quite, Cecil. Dictionaries and the like are basically useless
>> when it comes to issues of usage and application.
>
>My Encyclopedia agrees with my dictionary. Do you have a reference
>that disproves my dictionaries and encyclopedia?

It would seem that Extra class licensees are automatically
the highest-grade at English language authority?  I don't
think so.

From these sources - "Webster's New World Compact School
and Office Dictionary," Prentice-Hall, 1989;  "The Chicago
Manual of Style," University of Chicago Press, 1985;  "Style
Manual" from the U.S. Government Printing Office, 1980, et
al, the apostrophy indicates (primarily) a POSSESSIVE but
(secondarily) can indicate missing letters in a contraction
[e.g., "can't" for 'cannot'] but only rarely can it be used for
showing certain coined plurals such as "Did you mind your
p's and q's?"

By common convention among editors (those who do the
final test of acceptance of purchased word works), the
plural of the telegraphic equivalent of 'Best Regards' is just
73s, no apostrophe.

This is about the only time I will agree with Vince
Biancomano and that only on style of American English.

Now why all this endless prattle on non-amateur-radio-
policy subjects when it is obvious that it has nothing to
do with radio, amateur radio, or government matters
regarding any licensing?

Take all this down the hall to the door marked "All who
consider themselves Masters of English and are right."
It's far, far down the hall.  Good day.


From -2020849354006824509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 22:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Mar 2001 05:52:20 GMT
References: <9a0q8j$ln0$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010330005220.04525.00000336@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18141

In article <9a0q8j$ln0$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>, Vincent
Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> writes:

>>And yet, I provided several examples from leading newspapers
>>where that form is used repeatedly.
>
>Then it's a writer/copydesk oversight, or the writer/copydesk
>needs an overhaul. As I mentioned, one writes "the CPUs work at
>800 MHz," NOT the "CPU's work at 800 MHz." There's good reason
>for that style: one is, you don't want to confuse the possessive
>case with the plural form. That's how good professionals deal
>with the issue.

Vince, I have to agree with you on that...but the example isn't
quite good for this group.  "Real Hams" only work CW on HF
and HF is below 30 MHz.  "Real Hams" despise modern
things like computers.  "Real Hams" will hardly ever go above
the 70 cm band (and then only with a borrowed HT), certainly
not up to 800 MHz.   :-)


From 296559541841948302
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 22:57:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 06:57:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
```

Message-ID: <9a1ao9$e1m$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325123602.01729.00000532@nso-fc.aol.com>
<B6E6B190.7BF9%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC14D10.4F4E18A6@IEEE.org>
<B6E80739.7DBB%stewartx@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18144

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > SSB is a lot more efficient than CW
> > if one doesn't know Morse code. :-)
>
>   How true. The same when you're talking to someone else who doesn't know
> code (though you may be able to act out what you're trying to say).

SSB is *zero* efficiency when conditions are too poor to copy, but CW
will still get through.

From 5320916089341051246
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 22:59:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 06:58:21 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <tc8bkd8rh5hm9d@news.supernews.com>
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.09.22
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 10
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18145

73? That's what we said to ham radio April 15th, 2000.

badabing@home.com (BadaBing) wrote in
<%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>:

>So 73's and 88's to all you good buddies out there, pass them around
>the household. From the tallest to the smallest. I am officially 10-7's
>
>
>

```
From -3143316167745386196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 23:09:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 07:09:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9a1bes$e1m$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <3AC20365.A9504833@IEEE.org> <20010328231348.17661.00001316@nso-
cg.aol.com> <3AC2BDD3.4134D8CA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18146
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Except when he doesn't have enough power, antenna performance,
> > or operating conditions to effectively employ SSB -- and CW would
> > still get a message through! Of course, that's a "Morse myth" or
> > something like that, isn't it?
>
> What if he has no power, no antenna, and no transceiver? Will CW
> still get a message through? Get real, Larry. It is personal choice
> whether to use FM, SSB, CW, cellphone, smoke signals, or drums. The
> slight chance that CW may someday be useful for an emergency is not
> enough of a reason to require all HF hams to pass a Morse code exam.
> I have known Morse code for 53 years and have never used it for an
> emergency. Besides personal fun, my Morse code effort and CW skill
> have been wasted.

Only a very small percentage of hams ever find the need to use their
stations

foremergency use. I guess you mean that they're all wasted then.

From 4473442006725746055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 23:16:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 07:12:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9a1bkm$e1m$2@208.207.71.157>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <3AC2C2E2.83C446CB@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18147

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
 Far less
> than 1% of the population know Morse code. What about all those people?
> If Morse code is such a good idea, why not make it part of every driver's
> exam.

Get real. NONE of the 99% are licensed hams either.

>
> If citizens don't have food and water stored, they may perish during
> an emergency. Do you want to make storing food and water mandatory?

 But of course a MESSAGE, perhaps needed to be sent on CW in very poor
conditions which OFTEN accompany disasters, could bring food and water
to the needy survivors. Of course no such scenario could ever penetrate
your granite skull.

From -2509429610299594381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 23:17:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.cgocable.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Date: 30 Mar 2001 07:13:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9a1bmi$e1m$3@208.207.71.157>
References: <3AC207AD.E83DC0F@IEEE.org> <20010328231347.17661.00001315@nso-
cg.aol.com> <3AC2C64E.5C679130@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18148



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > For a relatively small handful of hobbyists to tie up RF spectrum that
> > is potentially worth billions of dollars is hardly a responsible attitude.
>
> For a ham to have that attitude is pitiful.


 The word is REALISTIC.


From 8842322317261114731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 23:17:17 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 07:10:25 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac42fe6.109066864@news2.dvol.com>
References: <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com> <3AC2A398.6B2CFB63@ix.netcom.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.180
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.180
X-Trace: 30 Mar 2001 01:08:29 -0600, 64.24.18.180
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18149

On Wed, 28 Mar 2001 20:53:12 -0600, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
>Restructuring probably just reduced costs and effort on
>the part of the FCC than anything else.  Got rid of the
>medical wavier for high speed CW issue too.
>
You just very neatly and concisely got to the real bottom line. Good
show.
>
w3rv



From -3821878274865516884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 23:22:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 30 Mar 2001 07:17:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9a1bte$hfj$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99u5ha$dvq$0@208.206.142.72>
<3AC2C772.C210A3FA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18150



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
```

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > > I have copied signals when there were more than one station on frequency, as
> > > long as their sidetone was different I could differentiate them.
> >
> > I've tried all that. You won'd get close to manual CW copy in noisy
> > conditions with any computer program.
>
> You just haven't tried the right computer program, Dick. My SCS PTC2e
> with a DSP processor copies CW signals that I can hardly hear.

Not too surprising, since you're not a very accomplished CW operator,
one who can copy down into the noise. You may have a better than average
program but that isn't saying much. My personal experience with seversal
versions of DSP has been a disappointment. I expected it to work well,
and it plainly does not.

From 7847702424605354542
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 23:25:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!feeder.qis.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 30 Mar 2001 07:19:07 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9a1c1b$hfj$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <99q8qm$q9m$1@news.netmar.com> <20010328212010.17661.00001310@nso-cg.aol.com> <Ygxw6.157200$p66.44763693@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99ullr$5gig$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18151

Stewart R Teaze wrote:
>
> Hey Rob;
>
> You seem like a reasonable fellow, why don't you take my advice and

> "unsubscribe" from this newsgroup, and get on with life. These folks have
> been arguing about the same old Morse Code crap since the PBBS days in the
> early 90's.
>
> After having fun flaming these a-holes for a few months around 3/15/2000
> when I got my Extra(and will never again screw with CW or HF), I've gotten
> on with my life.
>
> Of course you are right, but save your breath, these guys are hopeless.
> They just will never get it. BTW, I liked your assessment of Linux on your
> site. Oh, and don't bother replying here - I won't ever see it. Good luck.

You DO wonder how good ol' Stew saw it in the first place, don't you?
<BSEG>

From -6307555842722767516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 23:31:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!wesley.videotron.net!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 07:27:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <9a1cg5$iov$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net> <B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net> <99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18152
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19880 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11263
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14317

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> > > I am simply asking for consistency: a thermonuclear device falls into
> > > the same category of "firearms" as a six-gun. They are both devices used for one
> > > purpose only: to kill people. Everything else is a smokescreen.
> >
> > You are just as wrong as you can possibly be, as most anti gun people
> > consistantly are.
>
> Do you guys read the damn thing to the end before you hit the Reply button, or what?
> Both you and Dwight called me an "anti-gun" advocate even though I clearly stated in
> my previous message that "I do support a right to bear a handgun or two".

 Well Zoran, apologies are in order if you really are a valid supporter,
but you surely understand that the anti gunners, like hte anti coders,
talk out of both sides of their mouths.

>
> > I have absolutely no intention of killing anyone with
> > a gun, but I also have no intention of allowing you to confiscate them.
>
> Fine, I have absolutely no intention of killing anyone with a TND, but I also have no
> intention of allowing you to confiscate it. Let's assume I have one, for the sake of
> the argument.
>
> > I DO have an intent to use them - the mere existance and presence - to
> > deflect those who would cause bodily harm to me and my family. Almost
> > always, the mere sight a weapomn of defense is more than enough to put
> > the coward to flight. Should the assailant be in an unusual state of
> > mind, such as drugged or insane, and insist on forcing me to use a
> > firearm to stop him, of course that would be the natural progression of

> > things.
>
> The problem arises when a monkey wrench is thrown into the "natural progression
of
> things", like all those high schools shootings in the last few years.

Univarsal was the fact that the perps telegraphed their intentions and
no one turned them in. The kids gotta take it to the school officials
when they talk that way.

>
> It is mind boggling how quickly a reasonably normal discussion turns into name
> calling. This means either that you and your militia are already polishing a
silver
> bullet with my name on it, or you are out of arguments.

This subject has been pretty well chewed over here before and you just
landed on the wrong side of it, from what was *most* evident in your
post.

From 549234219298698297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 23:34:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Date: 30 Mar 2001 07:30:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <9a1cnc$j7g$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
<2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-

snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100> <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<99hk58$6f6$0@dosa.alt.net> <3ABEDA5D.DAE623B5@earthlink.net>
<99oq3e$2fm$3@208.207.71.131> <3AC17F6D.EDEB8082@earthlink.net>
<99st0u$6l4$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2FB67.AA4B3D98@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18153
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19881 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11264
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14318

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > > I'm not sure I follow you... What might those "ARRL's singular interests" be
> >
> > First and formost, selfpreserveation, on a the BOD level.
>
> Fair enough, as the third law of thermodynamics states that every bureaucracy
tends to
> expand exponentially almost at the rate of Starbucks.
>
> > Consider the
> > January vote on whether or not to support code testing at the next ITU
> > meeting.
> > The vote to drop code testing was by a voice vote, with no identity of
> > who voted how. There was a lone effort by a BOD
>
> Hmmm, BOD, BOD... Nope, please remind me what BOD stands for.
>
> > Member to poll the BOD
> > for each member's vote, which was quickly voted down. None of those
> > voting against keeping code testing wanted his vote made public, and
> > without a individual poll of the vote it will not be, officially at
> > least. Since the BOD members are elected as individuals, the entire BOD
> > can't be held accountable for such a vote since they can't be elected
> > nor unseated en masse.
> >   Just a bit of defense against the will of a large bloc of ARRL
> > members' wishes. Selfpreservation at it's finest.
>
> Maybe so, but I still consider these issues non-crucial in the rapidly changing
rules of
> the technology game today.

>
> > and what
> > > do you propose as an alternative to the *only* national amateur radio organization?
> > > Cutting off one's own nose to spite the face is usually not a smart choice.
> >
> > Oh, no, alternatives haven't proved viable to date. Just understand that
> > the enemy is not always outside looking in.
>
> So, there are no alternatives, is that what you're saying?
>
> > > Look, clearly it is in every US ham's interest to retain as much spectrum as possible
> > > for as long as possible.
> >
> > Not at all. We use little of our UHF/SHF allocations, and it might very
> > well be in our best interests to "trade" some of that unused real estate
> > for some we could make better use of, maybe on HF or VHF.
>
> How the hell you gonna trade it if and when you lose it? Your statement is paradoxical: on
> one hand you're saying that it is not in our interest to retain as much spectrum as
> possible, and on the other that we need to trade it. Even so, I got your point and I happen
> to agree with it - I would gladly give up MHz's of UHF/SHF allocations for expanded HF
> bands, but the only problem is that people who are willing to pay gold for UHF have nothing
> to offer in return - they don't own any HF and they don't care for it, unless one day 80
> meters becomes the best bet for cellphone use.

The trade would be by/with the FCC, not other users. The Amateur Radio
Spectrum Protection bill that has been kicking around in congress for a
few years says something to that effect, not that it will ever actually
become law.

From 1448838570541850309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 23:51:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: license
Date: 30 Mar 2001 07:46:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18

Message-ID: <9a1dlg$j7g$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com>
<xUww6.220$Ua4.407643@nntp3.onemain.com> <3AC37E30.111F@bellsouth.net>
<3AC37D38.6DC40784@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18154

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > Driving people away
> > with self-righteous pontification about 30 WPM CW will send us the
> > way of the dinosaurs. And that's my opinion.
>
> My opinion is that your opinion is right on. My three year old
> grandson is certainly not responsible for whatever amateur radio
> requirement is going to exist when he takes his first ham exam.

The both of you missed the point entirely. Dick McCullom was aiming at
Leland who is still whining about the 5wpm code test, which will not go
away for a few years yet, and nothing anyone can do will change that.
Leland whines on anyway, citing reams of homemade "case law".

From -2020954019568801721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 00:06:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 30 Mar 2001 08:02:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9a1eja$nah$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18155

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>

> From what i have seen of your history ... none of your presidents have been
> much more than something for every nut bag in the country to rally behind
> when they call for war.

Ok, so he's seen Clinton...

From -5345984350163262586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 00:22:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC44176.D75461F9@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>

<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net> <B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net> <99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net> <9a1cg5$iov$0@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 08:17:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.108.175
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985940245 209.244.108.175 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 00:17:25 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 00:17:25 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18156 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19882 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11266 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14319

Dick Carroll wrote:

> > Do you guys read the damn thing to the end before you hit the Reply button, or what?
> > Both you and Dwight called me an "anti-gun" advocate even though I clearly stated in
> > my previous message that "I do support a right to bear a handgun or two".
>
> Well Zoran, apologies are in order if you really are a valid supporter,
> but you surely understand that the anti gunners, like hte anti coders,
> talk out of both sides of their mouths.

No one's taking your guns away, Dick. If we lived in a perfect world where everybody's happy and friendly and lovey-dovey, there would be no need for firearms, but sadly this will never be. Until then, it will take a majority of Americans to amend the Second Amendment, and it's not happening in our lifetime.

Anyway, 73 to you and Dwight and everyone else taking part in this gun-free exchange. I'm QRT.

...... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

```
From -7085263899966607203
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 00:47:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC447B0.21260C53@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100> <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<99hk58$6f6$0@dosa.alt.net> <3ABEDA5D.DAE623B5@earthlink.net>
<99oq3e$2fm$3@208.207.71.131> <3AC17F6D.EDEB8082@earthlink.net>
<99st0u$6l4$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2FB67.AA4B3D98@earthlink.net>
<9a1cnc$j7g$0@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 48
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 08:44:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.108.175
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985941841 209.244.108.175 (Fri, 30 Mar
2001 00:44:01 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 00:44:01 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18157
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19883 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11267
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14320
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> > How the hell you gonna trade it if and when you lose it? Your statement is
paradoxical: on
> > one hand you're saying that it is not in our interest to retain as much
spectrum as
> > possible, and on the other that we need to trade it. Even so, I got your point
and I happen
> > to agree with it - I would gladly give up MHz's of UHF/SHF allocations for
expanded HF
> > bands, but the only problem is that people who are willing to pay gold for UHF
have nothing
> > to offer in return - they don't own any HF and they don't care for it, unless
one day 80
> > meters becomes the best bet for cellphone use.
>
>   The trade would be by/with the FCC, not other users. The Amateur Radio
> Spectrum Protection bill that has been kicking around in congress for a
> few years says something to that effect, not that it will ever actually
> become law.

The FCC does not own any spectrum, but they are more or less a combination of the
Wall Street and
Judge Judy when it comes to spectrum assignment. The Wall Street part of them
would like to
auction off every Hz to the highest bidder, and the Judge Judy in them would like
to make
everybody happy while collecting a large salary. Since this is irreconcilable, we
have a problem,
and that problem is an increased pressure on our bands. Now, the real owner of the
bands is
actually everyone and no one, which means that regular Joe Sixpacks eventually may
get to
determine the fate of amateur radio (indirectly, of course - whoever heard of Joe
Sixpacks
running the country; that's what we have politicians for). As a matter of fact,
"our" bands are
not at all ours, since we have already stated that everyone owns the spectrum, and
the ham-radio
population happens to be a very small percentage of the US (and world) population,
which means
that Joe Sixpacks don't give a rat's behind about us and our perceived needs.
Therefore, if there
is no strong, organized and unified effort of the entire ham-radio population, the
spectrum will
start to disappear no matter how many no-code licenses are given out and no matter
how many VHF
radios are sold. By far the best vehicle for such an effort is always a national
ham-radio
organization, which in the US happens to be the ARRL. That is why it is my belief
that every US
radio-amateur should support the ARRL in one way or another, even if he/she

differs
philosophically with it in some or most other issues.
I haven't even begun to address the problem of the international scope: the HF spectrum demands
of the developing countries. The WARC and ITU will not so much as glance at petitions coming from
any sources other than recognized national ham-radio organizations. The FCC can only go so far
within the limits of the international agreements, so the real battleground is actually outside
of the US soil.

And now I rest my case.

73 .... Zoran

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From -7565791180743993919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 01:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com>
<xUww6.220$Ua4.407643@nntp3.onemain.com> <3AC37E30.111F@bellsouth.net>
<5KMw6.298$Eo1.149542@nntp2.onemain.com> <3AC3F4AF.2E38@bellsouth.net>
Subject: Re: license
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <DpYw6.1$Cx5.222@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 03:36:01 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.87
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 985944803 208.154.158.87 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 04:33:23 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 04:33:23 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18158

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3AC3F4AF.2E38@bellsouth.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
>   If you want to 'debate', call me up when you are
> > not engaging in some activity trap designed to help you procrastinate.
> > Like when you have the General.
> >
> > Dick N�BK
>
> Ahem, Richard, like when *I* have the General? HaHa! Already passed
> that years ago! Uh, when it was 13 WPM, and there was no "debate" about
> the requirements for accessing HF. LOL!
>
> 73
> Jerry
> K4KWH

It is one of those language things; who the hell is 'you'? It was not
intended personally in any case.

Not quite a brainfart but I sure as hell could have said it better. Saw
it coming, as usual, about two miliseconds after hitting 'send'.

Dick

From 8099586918302508100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 19:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 22:30:44 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <B6E81693.7DBC%stewartx@sccoast.net>

References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-158.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985836593 192835 206.74.49.158 (29 Mar 2001 03:29:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 03:29:53 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18059 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19772 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11217 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14230

Zoran Brlecic wrote:

> Why not? I am simply asking for consistency:
> a thermonuclear device falls into the same
> category of "firearms" as a six-gun. They
> are both devices used for one purpose only:
> to kill people.

Oh, come on. Surely even you can see the difference. A gun can be used by an individual for self-defense, recreational shooting, hunting, and so forth, in addition to it's use as a weapon in warfare situations. A thermonuclear device, on the other hand, is strictly a weapon of war - it cannot be used by an individual for self-defense, hunting, or anything else not related to warfare. In other words, these are not at all in the same catagory (hince are not treated the same when it comes to the law).

> Now, it would seem to me that the very same
> amendment you guys are pulling in the case of
> handguns, rifles and automatic weaponry must
> cover *all* firearms.

Again, handguns, rifles, and automatic rifles or handguns, can all be used for self-defense, hunting, and recreational shooting. And, yes, contrary to the opinions of most anti-gun advocates, an automatic can be used for hunting. In fact, the primary appeal of the automatic is that it can fire a single round in hunting situations, but can also be used an automatic when needed for self-defense. And both modes offer value for the recreational shooter (target practice, competitions, etc). Therefore, it is perfectly reasonable to say that all of these should be covered by that amendment.

Now, perhaps you can answer a question I have about anti-gun advocates. The clear goal of these people is the total elimination of private gun ownership in this country. At the same time, most of very these same people also tend to be anti-police - they often advocate a reduction in the number of police and advocate restrictions on police authority and activities. It these two agendas are accomplished, exactly who is going to defend my family? Are you, an anti-gun advocate (and most likely an anti-police advocate), going to do it?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2192955122173037877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 20:16:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Mar 2001 04:13:48 GMT
References: <3AC20365.A9504833@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010328231348.17661.00001316@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18060

In article <3AC20365.A9504833@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> And since when is in the public interest for ham radio operators to be
>> allowed to avoid causing themselves to be functional in the most basic
>> radiocommunications mode?
>
>Since S25.5 will probably be repealed and since a ham doesn't need
>to know Morse code to participate in emergency communications.

Except when he doesn't have enough power, antenna performance,
or operating conditions to effectively employ SSB -- and CW would
still get a message through! Of course, that's a "Morse myth" or
something like that, isn't it?

It always amazes me that the people who whine loudest about being
prepared for emergency communications are least willing to support
the learning and mastery of one of the most practical, effective,
efficient, and universal modes of emergency communication. That
is one strawman who is very well stuffed; unfortunately, the straw is
extremely dry, and the strawman is constantly being chased by
someone with a very large box of matches!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 2540299951206907078
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 20:16:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Mar 2001 04:13:49 GMT
References: <3AC20751.BC20DBA1@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010328231349.17661.00001318@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18061
```

In article <3AC20751.BC20DBA1@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>You misunderstood the argument, Larry. I said that Morse code testing
>should be eliminated in order to attract more engineers into the hobby.
>That actually happened. I Elmered about 50 no code tech engineers into
>the hobby while I was at Intel.

Cecil:

Congratulations! What a wonderful accomplishment! Please provide
the callsigns of these fifty engineers you Elmered into the hobby.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -2836977601836028299
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 20:17:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Mar 2001 04:13:49 GMT
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18062
```

In article <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>You live your life your way, Larry, and allow me to live my life my
>way. I believe in being prepared. Therefore, I am equipped with spare
>rigs, amps, solar power, generators, and battery backup. For emergencies,
>I will choose 500w SSB over 5w CW any day of the week.

Cecil:

I am not prejudiced against SSB for emergencies either, Cecil -- but if I need to employ 5w CW, I can do so because the code testing requirements in "force" during the time I was upgrading provided me with functional proficiency in that mode. This is now something we have lost in the ARS. If we can no longer effectively employ one of our most durable modes in the ARS, how does that equate with "live and let live" if someone's life could be at stake?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 7567176060207269796
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 20:17:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
```

europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Mar 2001 04:13:47 GMT
References: <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010328231347.17661.00001314@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18063

In article <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@qwest.net writes:

>
>We have about 10 months worth of figures behind us, and it appears that
>restructuring has had little effect on the total number of licensees, but it
>does seem to have put more people on HF.
>
>Looking at the no-HF/minimal-HF classes (Tech, Tech+, Novice) they have lost
>about 23,000 people since last April, and the full-HF classes (General,
>Advanced, Extra) have gained about 28,000.

Hansl:

Translaton: Almost a year into Restructuring, it has shown to be a dismal failure! After all, the intention was to greatly increase the TOTAL number of licensed amateurs, for the sake of increasing on-air activity and justifying our retention of vital spectrum. Predictably, all we've seen is a lot of upgrading of existing hams taking advantage of "grandfathering" if they ever passed a code test sometime during the last century. Obviously, giving away HF privileges for next to nothing doesn't seem to be working well enough to save us. Can you say "reallocation?"

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2495849646161516400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 20:17:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 29 Mar 2001 04:13:47 GMT
References: <3AC207AD.E83DC0F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Message-ID: <20010328231347.17661.00001315@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18064

In article <3AC207AD.E83DC0F@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Don't look now, but I don't have any kind of "death wish" for the ARS.
>
>Any ham who wants to give up amateur frequencies to the commercial
>interests has a death wish for the ARS. That's you, Larry.

Cecil:

How does my stated willingness to permit the reallocation of little-used secondary amateur allocations above 500 MHz constitute a "death wish" for the ARS? I believe we're not in any danger of losing much, if any HF spectrum -- in fact, it is likely we'll actually *gain* allocations below 30 MHz in the future. The ARS, in one form or another, will probably go on way beyond the point where it has any true significance in the context of 21st Century technological advancement. My only "wish" is that we hams stop being the perennial spectrum hogs we've always been, and permit the spectrum we can't or won't use to be utilized in a more productive, socially responsible, and profitable manner by commercial interests. My point of view is not merely intended to reflect my being a good ham, but also a good, responsible citizen. For a relatively small handful of hobbyists to tie up RF spectrum that is potentially worth billions of dollars is hardly a responsible attitude.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 9040273588503676718
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 20:58:35 PST
Message-ID: <3AC2BDD3.4134D8CA@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 22:45:07 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC20365.A9504833@IEEE.org> <20010328231348.17661.00001316@nso-

cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.125
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985841305 64.240.47.125 (28 Mar 2001 22:48:25 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18065

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Except when he doesn't have enough power, antenna performance,
> or operating conditions to effectively employ SSB -- and CW would
> still get a message through! Of course, that's a "Morse myth" or
> something like that, isn't it?

What if he has no power, no antenna, and no transceiver? Will CW still get a message through? Get real, Larry. It is personal choice whether to use FM, SSB, CW, cellphone, smoke signals, or drums. The slight chance that CW may someday be useful for an emergency is not enough of a reason to require all HF hams to pass a Morse code exam. I have known Morse code for 53 years and have never used it for an emergency. Besides personal fun, my Morse code effort and CW skill have been wasted.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7060838367567321632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 21:01:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!

news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaxrr41.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AC1505A.E8751DD7@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gaxckt3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3AC27B03.CB980D19@arrl.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Lines: 22
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 04:52:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.67
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985841578 206.58.44.67 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 04:52:58 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 04:52:58 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18066

On Wed, 28 Mar 2001 19:00:03 -0500, Jon Bloom wrote:

>>   acceptable" version. "73's" is acceptable only when referring
>>   to something belonging to a 73.
>
>I love a good pedantic argument, so... Using "'s" to form plurals of
>letters and numbers is common, accepted practice. See the "Handbook of
>Style" chapter of the Merriam-Webster "Webster's Ninth New Collegiate
>Dictionary" for one reference.

The sound you hear is Strunk and White (and Jeanette C.
Sandberg, my very beloved 5 and 6 grade teacher) spinning in
their graves...

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 8326348497084856114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 21:07:21 PST
From: "R G" <prieye@email.msn.com>

References: <#O#INTttAHA.199@cpmsnbbsa09> <20010328212010.17661.00001311@nso-cg.aol.com>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:58:02 -0500
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Message-ID: <eB9Sv0AuAHA.352@cpmsnbbsa07>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1cust17.tnt23.tpa2.da.uu.net 63.22.138.17
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpmsnbbsb04!cpmsnbbsa07
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18067

Wow, ask a simple question, get a quick response, and have insults hurled at me for asking. Larry, and Dick, you two guys are idiots. Take your heads out of your ass, you'll like the view better. My innocent question was answered by a couple of nice guys in a courteous manner but you guys are off the wall. You know absolutely nothing about me but condemn me and make broad accusations.

No need to respond to this post I won't see it. You've both gone in my kill file and this is not a newsgroup I wish to continue reading. To the others on the list without the attitude. 73. RG

From 7895269248355208988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 21:22:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AC2C2E2.83C446CB@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:06:42 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.125
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985842599 64.240.47.125 (28 Mar 2001 23:09:59 -0600)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18068

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I am not prejudiced against SSB for emergencies either, Cecil -- but if I need to employ 5w CW, I can do so because the code testing requirements in "force" during the time I was upgrading provided me with functional proficiency in that mode. This is now something we have lost in the ARS. If we can no longer effectively employ one of our most durable modes in the ARS, how does that equate with "live and let live" if someone's life could be at stake?

Your sky-is-falling "could be" scenarios are legend, Larry. Far less than 1% of the population know Morse code. What about all those people? If Morse code is such a good idea, why not make it part of every driver's exam.

If citizens don't have food and water stored, they may perish during an emergency. Do you want to make storing food and water mandatory?

Every citizen decides how to prepare for emergencies or not to prepare at all. That is live and let live and is as it should be in a free country. Hams are no different. Some hams choose not to even own a transceiver. How is Morse code skill going to save them?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7565610438704787224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 21:34:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AC2C5CF.D3069C2C@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:19:11 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC20751.BC20DBA1@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001318@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.125
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985843348 64.240.47.125 (28 Mar 2001 23:22:28 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18069

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Congratulations! What a wonderful accomplishment! Please provide
> the callsigns of these fifty engineers you Elmered into the hobby.

What would you do with those call signs, Larry? That was years ago
and I only remember about seven of their call signs off the top of
my head. A couple of my students zoomed right past me all the way
to Extra.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4454152588456370430
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 21:38:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AC2C772.C210A3FA@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:26:10 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99u5ha$dvq$0@208.206.142.72>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.125
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985843767
64.240.47.125 (28 Mar 2001 23:29:27 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18070

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > I have copied signals when there were more than one station on frequency, as
> > long as their sidetone was different I could differentiate them.
>
> I've tried all that. You won'd get close to manual CW copy in noisy
> conditions with any computer program.

You just haven't tried the right computer program, Dick. My SCS PTC2e
with a DSP processor copies CW signals that I can hardly hear.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1213031919495193910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 21:38:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AC2C64E.5C679130@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:21:18 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
References: <3AC207AD.E83DC0F@IEEE.org> <20010328231347.17661.00001315@nso-
cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.125
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985843474
64.240.47.125 (28 Mar 2001 23:24:34 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18071
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> For a relatively small handful of hobbyists to tie up RF spectrum that
> is potentially worth billions of dollars is hardly a responsible attitude.

For a ham to have that attitude is pitiful.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2863939956504186806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 21:58:38 PST
Message-ID: <3AC2CBD4.5FE6248B@IEEE.org>
```

```
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:44:52 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AC1505A.E8751DD7@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gaxckt3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.125
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985844889
64.240.47.125 (28 Mar 2001 23:48:09 -0600)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18072

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:45:46 -0600, W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> >Nope, you don't pluralize 73 because "dollars" is plural. Picture a
> >group of elderly people with their ages printed on their nametag.
> >"How many 73's do we have?" would be a perfectly acceptable question.
>
>   Not quite.
>
>   "How many 73s [no apostrophe] do we have?" is the "perfectly
>   acceptable" version.  "73's" is acceptable only when referring
>   to something belonging to a 73.

Sorry Phil, From Webster's: "apostrophe - a mark ' used to indicate the
omission of letters or figures, the possessive case, OR THE PLURAL OF
LETTERS OR FIGURES" ("Figures" of the numeric kind)

My Encyclopedia says, "The plural of numerals is formed by adding an
apostrophe and s: 7's, 9's, the 1900's ..."
--
```

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7344406017698426569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 22:02:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AC2CC79.1D0914F2@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:47:37 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<d_rw6.8830$IA1.705333@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.125
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985845055
64.240.47.125 (28 Mar 2001 23:50:55 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18073
```

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

> Heck, consider it just slang. Personal preference if need be. Question is,
> if you are going to make it plural, what is the apostrophe for? A
> contraction for 73 is or is that the possesive form?

In English, the apostrophe is used for pluralizing numbers.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 9022878925913235721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 22:51:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newscon06.news.prodigy.com!
prodigy.com!newsmst01!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!not-for-mail
From: "Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 22:43:23 -0800
Organization: Prodigy http://www.prodigy.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <99ullr$5gig$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
References: <99q8qm$q9m$1@news.netmar.com> <20010328212010.17661.00001310@nso-
cg.aol.com> <Ygxw6.157200$p66.44763693@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a070-0447.lsan.splitrock.net
X-Trace: newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com 985848316 3479183 63.255.53.193 (29 Mar
2001 06:45:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Mar 2001 06:45:16 GMT
X-Newsreader:  Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MSMail-Priority:  Normal
X-Priority:  3
X-Mimeole:  Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18074
```

Hey Rob;

You seem like a reasonable fellow, why don't you take my advice and "unsubscribe" from this newsgroup, and get on with life. These folks have been arguing about the same old Morse Code crap since the PBBS days in the early 90's.

After having fun flaming these a-holes for a few months around 3/15/2000 when I got my Extra(and will never again screw with CW or HF), I've gotten on with my life.

Of course you are right, but save your breath, these guys are hopeless. They just will never get it. BTW, I liked your assessment of Linux on your site. Oh, and don't bother replying here - I won't ever see it. Good luck.

Stewart - N0MHS
http://www.pubcel.com

```
From -9109407378400880230
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 00:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 55
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 08:51:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.214.13.233
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985855892 63.214.13.233 (Thu, 29 Mar
2001 00:51:32 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 00:51:32 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18075
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19786 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11223
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14247
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> > I am simply asking for consistency: a thermonuclear device falls into
> > the same category of "firearms" as a six-gun. They are both devices used for
one
> > purpose only: to kill people. Everything else is a smokescreen.
>
> You are just as wrong as you can possibly be, as most anti gun people
> consistantly are.

Do you guys read the damn thing to the end before you hit the Reply button, or
what?
Both you and Dwight called me an "anti-gun" advocate even though I clearly stated
in
my previous message that "I do support a right to bear a handgun or two".

> I have absolutely no intention of killing anyone with
> a gun, but I also have no intention of allowing you to confiscate them.

Fine, I have absolutely no intention of killing anyone with a TND, but I also have
no
intention of allowing you to confiscate it. Let's assume I have one, for the sake
of
the argument.

> I DO have an intent to use them - the mere existance and presence - to
> deflect those who would cause bodily harm to me and my family. Almost
> always, the mere sight a weapomn of defense is more than enough to put
> the coward to flight. Should the assailant be in an unusual state of
> mind, such as drugged or insane, and insist on forcing me to use a
> firearm to stop him, of course that would be the natural progression of
> things.

The problem arises when a monkey wrench is thrown into the "natural progression of
things", like all those high schools shootings in the last few years.

> Soit was when columnist Mr. Carl Rowan, a rabid anti-gunner, shot an
> intruder. He sure changed his tune in a hurry. Curious thing, that he
> even OWNED a gun, what with all his anti-gun rhetoric, isn't it? Do YOU
> own gun, by the way?

:-)))))))) Please refer back to my previous message where it clearly says: "I own
neither a handgun nor a TND".

> I'm not real eager to take seriously the opinion of anyone who can't
> differentiate between a personal firearm and a nuclear weapon. Looks
> like a screw may be loose somewhere.

It is mind boggling how quickly a reasonably normal discussion turns into name
calling. This means either that you and your militia are already polishing a
silver

bullet with my name on it, or you are out of arguments.

73 ...... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

```
From 8968659776003969634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 00:52:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC2F79A.85E9E6B7@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net> <B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net> <3AC1F9F7.DD4D30AC@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 35
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 08:50:04 GMT
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.214.13.233
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985855804 63.214.13.233 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 00:50:04 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 00:50:04 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18076 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19787 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11224 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14248

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> > Well, geez, every person who signed that Constitution was also a slave owner,
> > but you could hardly condone that nowadays, ...
>
> Because slave ownership is illegal nowadays while gun ownership is still
> protected by the 2nd Amendment.

We are dealing with legislation in both cases, and legislation is never written in stone. Just as slavery was legal one day and illegal the next one, the same thing can happen to the "right to bear arms". Surely there is such a thing as a constitutional procedure to repeal amendments.

> > ... a thermonuclear device falls into
> > the same category of "firearms" as a six-gun. They are both devices used for one
> > purpose only: to kill people.
>
> Actually, pistols are most often used as a deterrent to avoid killing
> people. All I had to do one night was to display my 9mm and the mugger
> with the knife broke an Olympic record running away.

Well, as long as we're swapping life stories, I'll admit that I've always been sorry that I did not have a shotgun when a burglar broke into my apartment some years ago (while I was in it). Not because he broke in and tried to rob me in order to feed his habit, but because the son of a bitch came back that night and set the balcony on fire and we almost got burned alive.

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From 3965212913150324366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 00:54:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC2F78E.D03F3BC5@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<B6E81693.7DBC%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 65
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 08:49:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.214.13.233
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985855794 63.214.13.233 (Thu, 29 Mar
2001 00:49:54 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 00:49:54 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18077
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19788 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11225
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14249

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Zoran Brlecic wrote:
>
> > Why not? I am simply asking for consistency:
> > a thermonuclear device falls into the same
> > category of "firearms" as a six-gun. They
> > are both devices used for one purpose only:
> > to kill people.
>
>   Oh, come on. Surely even you can see the difference. A gun can be used
> by an individual for self-defense, recreational shooting, hunting, and so
> forth, in addition to it's use as a weapon in warfare situations. A
> thermonuclear device, on the other hand, is strictly a weapon of war - it
> cannot be used by an individual for self-defense, hunting, or anything else
> not related to warfare. In other words, these are not at all in the same
> catagory (hince are not treated the same when it comes to the law).

Oh, OK, you got me. I'm just trying to piss you guys off. But, wait, what if you
are attacked by a fairly large mob armed to the teeth and there's no way in hell
you stand a chance with a six-gun. Can you at least use a flame-thrower on the
punks? What about Mustard Gas?

> > Now, it would seem to me that the very same
> > amendment you guys are pulling in the case of
> > handguns, rifles and automatic weaponry must
> > cover *all* firearms.
>
>   Again, handguns, rifles, and automatic rifles or handguns, can all be used
> for self-defense, hunting, and recreational shooting. And, yes, contrary to
> the opinions of most anti-gun advocates, an automatic can be used for
> hunting.

Although, some would say this becomes borderline genocide. Like shooting fish in
the barrell. Like working DX in nets.

> In fact, the primary appeal of the automatic is that it can fire a
> single round in hunting situations, but can also be used an automatic when
> needed for self-defense.

Holy crap, which part of the country do you live in? East LA?

>   Now, perhaps you can answer a question I have about anti-gun advocates.
> The clear goal of these people is the total elimination of private gun
> ownership in this country.

I wouldn't know. I am only a devil's advocate.

> At the same time, most of very these same people
> also tend to be anti-police - they often advocate a reduction in the number
> of police and advocate restrictions on police authority and activities. It
> these two agendas are accomplished, exactly who is going to defend my
> family? Are you, an anti-gun advocate (and most likely an anti-police
> advocate), going to do it?

Dwight, please read the last part of my previous post and then see how much of an anti-gun, anti-police and anti-whatever advocate I am.

73 .... Zoran

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

```
From -8144811711100674091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 01:11:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC2FB67.AA4B3D98@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
<2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100> <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<99hk58$6f6$0@dosa.alt.net> <3ABEDA5D.DAE623B5@earthlink.net>
<99oq3e$2fm$3@208.207.71.131> <3AC17F6D.EDEB8082@earthlink.net>
<99st0u$6l4$0@208.206.142.72>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

Lines: 62
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 09:06:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.214.13.233
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985856792 63.214.13.233 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 01:06:32 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 01:06:32 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18078
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19789 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11226
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14250

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> > I'm not sure I follow you... What might those "ARRL's singular interests" be
>
> First and formost, selfpreserveation, on a the BOD level.

Fair enough, as the third law of thermodynamics states that every bureaucracy tends to
expand exponentially almost at the rate of Starbucks.

> Consider the
> January vote on whether or not to support code testing at the next ITU
> meeting.
> The vote to drop code testing was by a voice vote, with no identity of
> who voted how. There was a lone effort by a BOD

Hmmm, BOD, BOD... Nope, please remind me what BOD stands for.

> Member to poll the BOD
> for each member's vote, which was quickly voted down. None of those
> voting against keeping code testing wanted his vote made public, and
> without a individual poll of the vote it will not be, officially at
> least. Since the BOD members are elected as individuals, the entire BOD
> can't be held accountable for such a vote since they can't be elected
> nor unseated en masse.
> Just a bit of defense against the will of a large bloc of ARRL
> members' wishes. Selfpreservation at it's finest.

Maybe so, but I still consider these issues non-crucial in the rapidly changing rules of
the technology game today.

> and what
> > do you propose as an alternative to the *only* national amateur radio organization?
> > Cutting off one's own nose to spite the face is usually not a smart choice.
>
> Oh, no, alternatives haven't proved viable to date. Just understand that
> the enemy is not always outside looking in.

So, there are no alternatives, is that what you're saying?

> > Look, clearly it is in every US ham's interest to retain as much spectrum as possible
> > for as long as possible.
>
> Not at all. We use little of our UHF/SHF allocations, and it might very
> well be in our best interests to "trade" some of that unused real estate
> for some we could make better use of, maybe on HF or VHF.

How the hell you gonna trade it if and when you lose it? Your statement is paradoxical: on
one hand you're saying that it is not in our interest to retain as much spectrum as
possible, and on the other that we need to trade it. Even so, I got your point and I happen
to agree with it - I would gladly give up MHz's of UHF/SHF allocations for expanded HF
bands, but the only problem is that people who are willing to pay gold for UHF have nothing
to offer in return - they don't own any HF and they don't care for it, unless one day 80
meters becomes the best bet for cellphone use.

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From -1067376238174966103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 02:38:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <99q8qm$q9m$1@news.netmar.com> <20010328212010.17661.00001310@nso-cg.aol.com> <Ygxw6.157200$p66.44763693@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99ullr$5gig$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <3bEw6.207$Eo1.96177@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 04:33:48 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.182

X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 985861951 205.138.126.182 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 05:32:31 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 05:32:31 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18079

"Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:99ullr$5gig$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> Hey Rob;
>
> You seem like a reasonable fellow, why don't you take my advice and
> "unsubscribe" from this newsgroup, and get on with life. These folks have
> been arguing about the same old Morse Code crap since the PBBS days in the
> early 90's.
>
> After having fun flaming these a-holes for a few months around 3/15/2000
> when I got my Extra(and will never again screw with CW or HF), I've gotten
> on with my life.
>
> Of course you are right, but save your breath, these guys are hopeless.
> They just will never get it. BTW, I liked your assessment of Linux on your
> site. Oh, and don't bother replying here - I won't ever see it. Good luck.
>
> Stewart - N0MHS
> http://www.pubcel.com
>
>

As you won't ever see it, it is nice to know that we got one Lifer out of
denial mode.

From -2681994807085109651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 02:58:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <99q8qm$q9m$1@news.netmar.com> <20010328212010.17661.00001310@nso-cg.aol.com> <Ygxw6.157200$p66.44763693@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

```
<99ullr$5gig$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<3bEw6.207$Eo1.96177@nntp2.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 48
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <UuEw6.159404$p66.45692937@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 10:53:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985863220 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 02:53:40
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 02:53:40 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18080

I still found it amusing that they believed that I am not an advanced 12wpm
class licence holder ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:3bEw6.207$Eo1.96177@nntp2.onemain.com...
>
> "Stewart R Teaze" <HORSESHOE7@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:99ullr$5gig$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > Hey Rob;
> >
> > You seem like a reasonable fellow, why don't you take my advice and
> > "unsubscribe" from this newsgroup, and get on with life.  These folks
> have
> > been arguing about the same old Morse Code crap since the PBBS days in
> the
> > early 90's.
> >
> > After having fun flaming these a-holes for a few months around 3/15/2000
> > when I got my Extra(and will never again screw with CW or HF), I've
> gotten
> > on with my life.
> >
> > Of course you are right, but save your breath, these guys are hopeless.
> > They just will never get it.  BTW, I liked your assessment of Linux on
> your
> > site.  Oh, and don't bother replying here - I won't ever see it.  Good
> luck.
> >
> > Stewart - N0MHS
> > http://www.pubcel.com
> >
> >
>
> As you won't ever see it,  it is nice to know that we got one Lifer out of
> denial mode.
>
>




From -4613663380156035675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 03:56:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <99q8qm$q9m$1@news.netmar.com> <20010328212010.17661.00001310@nso-
cg.aol.com> <Ygxw6.157200$p66.44763693@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<99ullr$5gig$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
<3bEw6.207$Eo1.96177@nntp2.onemain.com>
<UuEw6.159404$p66.45692937@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <KkFw6.167$dz2.689982@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 05:52:49 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.134
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 985866666 205.138.126.134 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 06:51:06
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 06:51:06 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18081


"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:UuEw6.159404$p66.45692937@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> I still found it amusing that they believed that I am not an advanced
12wpm
> class licence holder ...
>
> --
```

> Rob St.Denis
>
> Telecommunications Technician

You wandered into a Hall of Mirrors; kind of a combined Blackpool and Wigan Pier.

Dick N�BK

From 5456137934651996172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 03:58:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Mar 2001 11:56:15 GMT
References: <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010329065615.01750.00000376@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18082

In article <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@qwest.net writes:

>We have about 10 months worth of figures behind us, and it appears that
>restructuring has had little effect on the total number of licensees, but it
>does seem to have put more people on HF.

Yup. And lots of folks have upgraded to Extra.
>
>Looking at the no-HF/minimal-HF classes (Tech, Tech+, Novice) they have lost
>about 23,000 people since last April, and the full-HF classes (General,
>Advanced, Extra) have gained about 28,000.

Confusing the issue is the fact that since last April the FCC has been renewing Tech Pluses as Techs. Whether a Tech can operate HF is determined by his/her possession of proof of having passed the code test. End result is that the increase in Tech numbers comes from two sources: New Techs and Tech Plus renewals.

Back in mid February the first of the Technician licenses issued after the code test for it was removed began to expire. (Ten years after Feb 14, 1991). The good news is that, right on schedule, the number of Tech renewals surged upwards, indicating that lots of them are renewing.

http://www.ah0a.org

for lots of interesting numbers.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

From -1135695738525565184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 04:30:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 44
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 12:25:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.236.144
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985868713 209.245.236.144 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 04:25:13 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 04:25:13 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18083

To equate the value of CW as being a skill that should be learned to save lives--and lift its importance to that level--opens up the argument that no one is worthy of walking the streets of humanity unless they have been trained in and have skill at each and *every* mode of ham radio, because each and *every* one of them has the same potential: to save lives! You are never in the majority of opinions, Larry, so I doubt you are in the majority of anything. There is not a majority of hams who know and can use each and *every* mode of ham radio. You don't have the skills or capability required to "save lives" in each, any, and all situations that you may encounter and *could* have used because you are a ham radio operator. Hell, most of us don't even have equipment with us at every moment of our potential to save a life! So, please turn in your license and join the ranks of those you despise--you are one of them.

W5TIT - Kim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com...
> In article <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA
<Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >
> >You live your life your way, Larry, and allow me to live my life my
> >way. I believe in being prepared. Therefore, I am equipped with spare
> >rigs, amps, solar power, generators, and battery backup. For emergencies,
> >I will choose 500w SSB over 5w CW any day of the week.
>
> Cecil:
>
> I am not prejudiced against SSB for emergencies either, Cecil -- but
> if I need to employ 5w CW, I can do so because the code testing
> requirements in "force" during the time I was upgrading provided me
> with functional proficiency in that mode. This is now something we
> have lost in the ARS. If we can no longer effectively employ one of
> our most durable modes in the ARS, how does that equate with
> "live and let live" if someone's life could be at stake?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

```
From 6756371471952918934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 05:01:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC33121.1B839498@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
References: <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com> <20010328231347.17661.00001314@nso-
cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 07:57:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 985870642 209.140.206.201 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 07:57:22 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 07:57:22 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18084

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Translaton: Almost a year into Restructuring, it has shown to be a
> dismal failure! After all, the intention was to greatly increase the TOTAL
> number of licensed amateurs, for the sake of increasing on-air
> activity and justifying our retention of vital spectrum.

Says who? According to the FCC's NPRM, restructuring was a:

"...proceeding to examine our rules for the Amateur Radio Service in an effort to eliminate unnecessary and duplicative rules, as well as to streamline our licensing processes."

Nowhere did they say it was their intention to increase the number of licensed amateurs.

Jon

From -7674113378226109601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 05:08:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 29 Mar 2001 13:06:34 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <99vc0q$21r$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AC2CBD4.5FE6248B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985871194 2107 149.174.88.247 (29 Mar 2001 13:06:34 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Mar 2001 13:06:34 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18085

>Sorry Phil, From Webster's: "apostrophe - a mark ' used to
>indicate the omission of letters or figures, the possessive

>case, OR THE PLURAL OF LETTERS OR FIGURES" ("Figures" of the
>numeric kind)

Not quite, Cecil. Dictionaries and the like are basically useless
when it comes to issues of usage and application. They may
indicate what's become ACCEPTED, but not necessarily correct. One
example is a dictionary's explanation of the word "comprise."
It's correct to say, for example, "The U.S. comprises 48 states."
It is not correct to say "The U.S. is comprised of 48 states."
Yet the latter is used. It's incorrect.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -441882132684123637
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 05:16:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: 29 Mar 2001 13:15:10 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <99vcgu$21r$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AC33121.1B839498@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985871710 2107 149.174.88.247 (29
Mar 2001 13:15:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Mar 2001 13:15:10 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18086

>Nowhere did they say it was their intention to increase the
>number of licensed amateurs.

>Jon

That means nothing, Jon. The FCC's motives, one way or the other,
pro and con, were known 20 years ago. To paraphrase a recent
poster, no regulatory commission in its right mind purposely
makes statements that are going to raise any red flags or reflect
negatively on that commission.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 6092751659452481227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 05:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Mar 2001 13:29:39 GMT
References: <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010329082939.22680.00002124@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18087

>Subject: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: K0HB@qwest.net
>Date: 03/28/01 2:47 PM Eastern Standard Time

>We have about 10 months worth of figures behind us, and it appears that restructuring has had little effect on the total number of licensees, but it does seem to have put more people on HF.

I don't see where it has made too much difference on HF, Hans. I heard a lot of new activity right after, but it seems to me to have subsided again, save for more activity on 10 meters.

>Looking at the no-HF/minimal-HF classes (Tech, Tech+, Novice) they have lost about 23,000 people since last April, and the full-HF classes (General, Advanced, Extra) have gained about 28,000.

Perhaps as a result of all the "old" Techs taking advantage of the grandfater clause? The Tech Plus's upgrading to General?

Hardly a dismal failure as has been suggested by others, but as I surmised before, a brief surge followed by "business as usual". The only one's who really benefited by this were the 5WPM folks who took advantage of the Tax-day Trade-up, and the FCC who now has fewer documents to process.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -1560577872747270333
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: f7796,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7796,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 06:14:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Culley Harrelson" <culley@easystreet.com>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.morse,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Morse Code Flashcards
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 06:15:54 -0800
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tc6gha3jr8e590@corp.supernews.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 10
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18088
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14263
```

I guess you guys don't really have much use for morse code on paper but if
you are interested I've put together some morse code flashcards:

www.flashcardexchange.com

search for morse or they are in the misc directory

```
From -1087111806683958522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f7796,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7796,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 06:32:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.morse,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Morse Code Flashcards
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 08:26:45 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
```

Lines: 20
Message-ID: <99vghh$2d9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <tc6gha3jr8e590@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.e7.f0
X-Server-Date: 29 Mar 2001 14:23:45 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18089
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14264

Your effort is appreciated. However....learning Morse by eyeball will NOT
help to learn it. In fact it will hinder you. Stick with the tried and true
proven methods.

Dan/W4NTI

"Culley Harrelson" <culley@easystreet.com> wrote in message
news:tc6gha3jr8e590@corp.supernews.com...
> I guess you guys don't really have much use for morse code on paper but if
> you are interested I've put together some morse code flashcards:
>
> www.flashcardexchange.com
>
> search for morse or they are in the misc directory
>
>
>
>

From 2139193558810057387
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 07:07:10 PST
Message-ID: <3AC34C37.4955E8E9@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 08:52:39 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec

.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net> <B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net> <99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.85
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985877757 64.240.47.85 (29 Mar 2001 08:55:57 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18090 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19798 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11233 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14265

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> The problem arises when a monkey wrench is thrown into the "natural progression of
> things", like all those high schools shootings in the last few years.

More kids are killed in high school sports than in high school shootings.
Magnitudes more are killed in automobile accidents. We seem to have lost
all perspective when it comes to guns. Punish the criminals, not the guns.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

```
From 355501328700063797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 07:17:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!news.mindspring.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!
newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Mar 2001 15:13:51 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <99vjff$7pt$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3AC2CBD4.5FE6248B@IEEE.org> <99vc0q$21r$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 985878831 7997 205.139.138.14 (29 Mar 2001 15:13:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Mar 2001 15:13:51 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: 103520.355@CompuServe.COM
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18091
```

In article <99vc0q$21r$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>, Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> writes:

> It's correct to say, for example, "The U.S. comprises 48 states."

Count again, Vince. There've been a couple of recent changes you may have missed.

73, Hans

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From 3839108479775132976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 07:17:26 PST
Message-ID: <3AC34E9C.23A2DC1E@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 09:02:52 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<3AC1F9F7.DD4D30AC@IEEE.org> <3AC2F79A.85E9E6B7@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.85
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985878370
64.240.47.85 (29 Mar 2001 09:06:10 -0600)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
```

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!nntp.abs.net!feeder.qis.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18092 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19800 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11235 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14266

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> We are dealing with legislation in both cases, and legislation is never written in
> stone. Just as slavery was legal one day and illegal the next one, the same thing can
> happen to the "right to bear arms". Surely there is such a thing as a constitutional
> procedure to repeal amendments.

Yep, the repeal of slavery took a constitutional amendment and the repeal of the right to bear arms will take a constitutional amendment. If guns are outlawed, unarmed citizens will be absolutely helpless against armed criminals who don't care about gun laws. England is doctoring her stats to try to hide the fact that their armed criminals are out of control. If an armed perp gets off on a technicality, that crime is erased from the stats.

> Well, as long as we're swapping life stories, I'll admit that I've always been sorry
> that I did not have a shotgun when a burglar broke into my apartment some years ago
> (while I was in it). Not because he broke in and tried to rob me in order to feed his
> habit, but because the son of a bitch came back that night and set the balcony on
> fire and we almost got burned alive.

In this country, guns have always been used for more good than evil. The liberal press simply doesn't report the good done by guns. Gun laws will disarm the good guys and leave the bad guys armed - bad idea.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4662945496580522879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 08:14:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AC35C8A.F412F948@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 10:02:18 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <3AC2CBD4.5FE6248B@IEEE.org> <99vc0q$21r$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.85
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985881936
64.240.47.85 (29 Mar 2001 10:05:36 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!newspeer1.nac.net!netnews.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!
news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18093
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

>
> >Sorry Phil, From Webster's: "apostrophe - a mark ' used to
> >indicate the omission of letters or figures, the possessive
> >case, OR THE PLURAL OF LETTERS OR FIGURES" ("Figures" of the
> >numeric kind)
>
> Not quite, Cecil. Dictionaries and the like are basically useless
> when it comes to issues of usage and application.

My Encyclopedia agrees with my dictionary. Do you have a reference
that disproves my dictionaries and encyclopedia?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5213691605792333946
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f7796,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7796,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 08:20:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AC35D6E.1A3B34A9@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 10:06:06 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.morse,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Morse Code Flashcards
References: <tc6gha3jr8e590@corp.supernews.com>
<99vghh$2d9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.85
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985882163
64.240.47.85 (29 Mar 2001 10:09:23 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18094
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14268

W4NTI wrote:
> Your effort is appreciated. However....learning Morse by eyeball will NOT
> help to learn it. In fact it will hinder you.

Unless you are deaf. :-)

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 9099117128280651877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 08:20:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net>
<3AC34C37.4955E8E9@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 49
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <veJw6.301$oQ5.72065@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: +rTuhsLaYJip0jOc2TtLox0cD/4aRiocZLs6a02rsHv0dcbEOS/
WdhnHOlm8AdaSYvBxDpCuFHky!
p11RafLfYLo8lyfwRevNc2K0MZnfSpxaSus1vZYdPKsjV7sGEut1+19KtV0I9rySiRk7PH3R5Sa/!
```

O9NQiA==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 16:17:31 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 16:17:31 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18095
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19816 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11237
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14269

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC34C37.4955E8E9@IEEE.org...

> Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> > The problem arises when a monkey wrench is thrown into the "natural progression of things", like all those high schools shootings in the last few years.
>
> More kids are killed in high school sports than in high school shootings. Magnitudes more are killed in automobile accidents. We seem to have lost all perspective when it comes to guns. Punish the criminals, not the guns.
> --

How true. When I went to school in Los Angels areas of California in the 60's and 70's almost every kid I knew had access to a firearm at home. Back then you could buy a gun over the counter at Sears, Montgomery Wards, JC Penny, Pep Boys, True Value Hardware, etc. cash and carry. You had to be 16 to buy a long gun, and 21 to buy a pistol but there were no laws against your parents buying you a pistol if they wanted to.

Many of the kids I went to school with were regular hunters and outdoorsmen along with their fathers, uncles, etc. I had three younger siblings and we all had our own rifles which our parents gave us as Christmas presents around the ages of 10 or 12.

There was never a firearms related incident in any of my schools, or even in my neighborhood (unless you count the time one of my neighbors shot some low-life who was trying to rob the local shoe store during the original Watts Riots).

There were also no drug dealers and gang bangers on every corner when I was in school. The record companies would never dream of putting out any of the "Cop Killer" Rap music which is present today - and the kids' parents would kick their butts if they ever tried to bring that kind of crap home.

The police were allowed to actively suppress crime rather than just reacting to it after the fact, but now days every hoodlum is taught at a young age "I know my rights, I'll sue you for ..." And parents are regularly prosecuted for "Child Abuse" if they try to make any attempts to prevent an out-of-control juvenile from running wild on the streets.

Yes, we have a big problem these days in the schools - and in society in general - but it is not, and has never been, a gun problem. It's a people problem, and as long as nobody in power has the "brass" to address it for what it is, it will only get worse...

73 de W6DKN

From 4926242051486295415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f7796,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7796,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 08:54:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!zeus.visi.com!hermes2.visi.com!
news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.morse,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Morse Code Flashcards
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 10:49:19 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <99vosq$js5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <tc6gha3jr8e590@corp.supernews.com>
<99vghh$2d9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC35D6E.1A3B34A9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.ea.4b
X-Server-Date: 29 Mar 2001 16:46:18 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18096
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14271

Nope...doing it by that method will add another wall in the learning process. If one is deaf it would be better to use the hand to feel the code. If one must use the eyes then use a flashing LED.

You ask what is the difference? Think about it.....if one uses flash cards with the 'dot and dash' then the brain must then translate the 'dot and dash' into a form the brain can interpret.

If one uses the eyes and/or the fingers that step is no longer needed.

Your brain will tend to memorize the dot and dash and add that delay. It won't show up until you try to get over 10 wpm. And by then its too late and you will need to relearn CW. Not fun.

Why do I know this? I did it that way. I also ended up memorizing the
record(thats right the record). And had to re-learn it. I now do quite
well at CW. But it WAS a tough row to hoe.

Dan/W4NTI

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC35D6E.1A3B34A9@IEEE.org...
> W4NTI wrote:
> > Your effort is appreciated. However....learning Morse by eyeball will
NOT
> > help to learn it. In fact it will hinder you.
>
> Unless you are deaf. :-)
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7432090237543776171
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 09:20:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 29 Mar 2001 17:16:48 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <99vqm0$94r$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <99vjff$7pt$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985886208 9371 149.174.88.240 (29
Mar 2001 17:16:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Mar 2001 17:16:48 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18097

>Count again, Vince. There've been a couple of recent changes
>you may have missed.

>73, Hans

As I mentioned, Hans, it was a dictionary example, and

taken from many years ago. The problem with 'comprise'
didn't start after Hawaii and Alaska. And dumbing
down of the English language also started long before
it hit Amateur Radio.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 2178521973486135649
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 09:38:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!
news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 29 Mar 2001 17:34:46 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <99vrnm$9qr$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AC35C8A.F412F948@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985887286 10075 149.174.88.247 (29
Mar 2001 17:34:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Mar 2001 17:34:46 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18098

>My Encyclopedia agrees with my dictionary. Do you have a
>reference that disproves my dictionaries and encyclopedia?

Again, this matter has nothing to do with definitions, or
'disproving'--the encyclopedia and dictionary simply aren't sound
references when it comes to proper word usage and application.
Try any English usage book for starters. Or any English major
sitting on the copy desk of any prominent newspaper or magazine
to explain the ways of usage to you.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 5228618557722593031
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 10:12:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!

news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 10:02:57 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3AC378D1.BC176C76@uci.edu>
References: <99vjff$7pt$1@news.netmar.com> <99vqm0$94r$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18099

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >Count again, Vince. There've been a couple of recent changes
> >you may have missed.
>
> >73, Hans

> As I mentioned, Hans, it was a dictionary example, and
> taken from many years ago.

I thought you said that dictionarys are useless when it comes to issues
of usage and application.

> And dumbing
> down of the English language also started long before
> it hit Amateur Radio.

Actually, it has nothing at all to do with amateur radio.

If the English language has been "dumbing down", then it has been doing
so for several hundred years. Others claim it has been evolving.

ac6xg

From -244975506437976697
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 10:20:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail

Message-ID: <3AC37E30.111F@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: license
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com> <xUww6.220$Ua4.407643@nntp3.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 68
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 13:25:52 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.78.16.80
X-Trace: news1.atl 985889893 216.78.16.80 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 13:18:13 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 13:18:13 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18100

But Richard,

What you are denying is that everybody is not like you and don't have the same interests or goals in the hobby. The requirements that *we* must meet are basic at this point, and each person should be allowed to make up his own mind to what license class he/she wishes to attain, and at what pace to go after their personal goals. No one is "preventing" anyone from attaining 20, or higher, WPM. The truth is, by setting oneself "above" anyone else because that person didn't enter the hobby under the same regimen, that person is doing harm to the hobby by discouraging new people from exploring our wonderful hobby. Instead, we "old heads" should be excitedly shaking hands of the newly testing people, congratulating them on their achievement, and once having assured them that they are WANTED within the ranks, ENCOURAGING them to explore the facets of the hobby, helping them with the privileges they now enjoy, and on to HF and our beloved CW. This is the way to ensure the future of the hobby and the use of Morse. Time marches on, Richard, things change, and you and I will be pushing up daisies some day, and the ones left behind will do what they want anyway. For every would-be amateur that we disdainfully regard thru our bifocals because their ticket says "Technician", I believe there is another person who received that treatment and told us to go to hell with his feet. Oh, he didn't say anything, but he walked away, got back in his car, and perhaps got on a CB and called, "Break, skipland, ya gotta copy?". Or drove out to the golf course for nine holes. All to the detriment, not only to the hobby itself, but to the rest of us who hope and presume we will have our spectrum into the future.

Ranting at the newbies is NOT the answer. They cannot help what regulations exist--they only fulfill the requirements for the license as they are. ENCOURAGEMENT to ever more achievement, welcoming new folks within our fraternity as equals, and readily answering their questions without hint of superiority will earn their friendship, respect and will assure the survival of CW and the hobby itself. Driving people away with self-righteous pontification about 30 WPM CW will send us the way of the dinosaurs. And that's my opinion.

73

Jerry
K4KWH

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Mark" <marknelle@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com...
> > > What is it with everyone?
> >
> > It's not everyone here in the USA, just a select few who have a bug
> about
> > non-CW code tested Hams. Hang out on this news group for a short while,
> and
> > you will get to meet some of those select few. You can do that by
> suggesting
> > to get rid of the CW code testing requirement, and they will announce
> > themselves by getting on your case like white on rice. Never fails.
> >
>
> No way, Leland. When we see someone who cannot or will not face the
> reality of a code requirement, we have to come down like Monty Python's
> big foot or we would simply be enabling denial mode. It is the bleating,
> not the opinion, that gets the reaction.
>
> Dick N�BK

From 1098061448559879948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 10:20:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 29 Mar 2001 18:16:11 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <99vu5b$4l7$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AC378D1.BC176C76@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985889771 4775 149.174.88.247 (29

Mar 2001 18:16:11 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Mar 2001 18:16:11 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18101

>I thought you said that dictionarys are useless when it comes to
>issues of usage and application.

That's exactly right. The dictionary gave a wrong example, as
should have been clear.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 896847716310083774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 10:35:52 PST
Message-ID: <3AC37D38.6DC40784@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 12:21:44 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: license
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com>
<xUww6.220$Ua4.407643@nntp3.onemain.com> <3AC37E30.111F@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.119
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985890300
64.240.47.119 (29 Mar 2001 12:25:00 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18102

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> Driving people away

> with self-righteous pontification about 30 WPM CW will send us the
> way of the dinosaurs. And that's my opinion.

My opinion is that your opinion is right on. My three year old
grandson is certainly not responsible for whatever amateur radio
requirement is going to exist when he takes his first ham exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8066653495455214838
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 10:48:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!chnws02.mediaone.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Mar 2001 18:44:57 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <99vvr9$tr2$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <99vjff$7pt$1@news.netmar.com> <99vqm0$94r$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 985891497 30562 205.139.138.14 (29 Mar 2001 18:44:57 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 Mar 2001 18:44:57 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: 103520.355@CompuServe.COM
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18103

In article <99vqm0$94r$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>, Vincent
Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> writes:
>>Count again, Vince. There've been a couple of recent changes
>>you may have missed.
>
>>73, Hans
>
>As I mentioned, Hans, it was a dictionary example, and
>taken from many years ago.

Your example is about a half-century old. Languages, with the exception of dead languages like Latin, evolve. Please feel welcome to join the rest of us in the 21st century.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From -7102258081785348396
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 10:53:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 10:50:01 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AC383D9.493999BB@uci.edu>
References: <3AC378D1.BC176C76@uci.edu> <99vu5b$4l7$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18104
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

> >I thought you said that dictionarys are useless when it comes to
> >issues of usage and application.

>
> That's exactly right. The dictionary gave a wrong example, as
> should have been clear.

So your point in quoting the dictionary was to show that there are 50
states rather than 48?

Yeah, right.

ac6xg

From 6487135431698865531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 12:19:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com>
<xUww6.220$Ua4.407643@nntp3.onemain.com> <3AC37E30.111F@bellsouth.net>
Subject: Re: license
Lines: 68
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <5KMw6.298$Eo1.149542@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 14:18:13 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.193
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 985896961 205.138.126.193 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 15:16:01
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 15:16:01 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18105

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3AC37E30.111F@bellsouth.net...
> But Richard,
>
> What you are denying is that everybody is not like you and don't have
> the same interests or goals in the hobby. The requirements that *we*
> must meet are basic at this point, and each person should be allowed
> to make up his own mind to what license class he/she wishes to attain,
> and at what pace to go after their personal goals. No one is
> "preventing" anyone from attaining 20, or higher, WPM. The truth is,
> by setting oneself "above" anyone else because that person didn't enter
> the hobby under the same regimen, that person is doing harm to the hobby

> by discouraging new people from exploring our wonderful hobby. Instead, we "old heads" should be excitedly shaking hands of the newly testing people, congratulating them on their achievement, and once having assured them that they are WANTED within the ranks, ENCOURAGING them to explore the facets of the hobby, helping them with the privileges they now enjoy, and on to HF and our beloved CW. This is the way to ensure the future of the hobby and the use of Morse. Time marches on, Richard, things change, and you and I will be pushing up daisies some day, and the ones left behind will do what they want anyway. For every would-be amateur that we disdainfully regard thru our bifocals because their ticket says "Technician", I believe there is another person who received that treatment and told us to go to hell with his feet. Oh, he didn't say anything, but he walked away, got back in his car, and perhaps got on a CB and called, "Break, skipland, ya gotta copy?". Or drove out to the golf course for nine holes. All to the detriment, not only to the hobby itself, but to the rest of us who hope and presume we will have our spectrum into the future.
>
> Ranting at the newbies is NOT the answer. They cannot help what regulations exist--they only fulfill the requirements for the license as they are. ENCOURAGEMENT to ever more achievement, welcoming new folks within our fraternity as equals, and readily answering their questions without hint of superiority will earn their friendship, respect and will assure the survival of CW and the hobby itself. Driving people away with self-righteous pontification about 30 WPM CW will send us the way of the dinosaurs. And that's my opinion.
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> K4KWH
>

I for one will not look thru my bifocals at a Technician licensee disdainfully or any other way nor did I in this case. Give me a lot of self-serving crap about Morse and I will resemble Vesuvius on a bad day. It is not necessary to attack a mode nor anyone who achieved the license requirements current at the time to justify one's reluctance to take a code test.

It is the rule and it will be the rule for five years anyway. To bleat around about it is sheer denial in motion which I will not enable nor be politically correct in response to what is not so much a provocation as an attempt to scratch on my furniture. I have no problem strangling cats to cure them of the habit and have even less reluctance to point it out to Lifer Techs.

What Amateurs support personally and severally will not have any ultimate effect on the debate. If you want to 'debate', call me up when you are not engaging in some activity trap designed to help you procrastinate. Like when you have the General.

Dick N�BK

```
From 7135121569334209459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 13:14:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!wn4feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
In-Reply-To: <20010328231347.17661.00001314@nso-cg.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103292106270.5591-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com> <20010328231347.17661.00001314@nso-
cg.aol.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 38
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 21:13:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985900420 12.80.44.17 (Thu, 29 Mar
2001 21:13:40 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 21:13:40 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18106

On 29 Mar 2001, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> In article <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@qwest.net writes:
> >We have about 10 months worth of figures behind us, and it appears that
> >restructuring has had little effect on the total number of licensees, but it
> >does seem to have put more people on HF.
> >
> >Looking at the no-HF/minimal-HF classes (Tech, Tech+, Novice) they have lost
> >about 23,000 people since last April, and the full-HF classes (General,
> >Advanced, Extra) have gained about 28,000.
>
> Hansl:
>
> Translaton:  Almost a year into Restructuring, it has shown to be a
> dismal failure!  After all, the intention was to greatly increase the TOTAL
> number of licensed amateurs, for the sake of increasing on-air
> activity and justifying our retention of vital spectrum.  Predictably,
> all we've seen is a lot of upgrading of existing hams taking advantage
> of "grandfathering" if they ever passed a code test sometime during
> the last century.  Obviously, giving away HF privileges for next to
```

> nothing doesn't seem to be working well enough to save us. Can you
> say "reallocation?"

But by eliminating [entry into] some of the license classes, it saves the FCC the overhead cost of adminstering them. (Renewals cost the same regardless of class.)

The only difference I have noted is as a volunteer examiner, we've (as in the other VE's and I) had some increased traffic from Jan. 2000 until about Dec. 2000, mainly for upgrades. As for the unlicensed, I'd say that the traffic flow due to them is relatively unchanged (and that makes some sense, since the rules for the NC-Tech. entry level license were relatively unchanged - just a shorter written test).

The only real difference I note for the unlicensed is for children age 10 and under. Most of them got their first license as a novice, not a no-code-tech. That's because the old element 2 was simple enough for them, but 3A was a bit more difficult. However, they could pass 1A and enter that way. No longer.

From 2012926285948624881
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f7796,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7796,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 14:50:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio.morse,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Morse Code Flashcards
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 16:49:06 -0600
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3AC3BBE2.52AF487C@oio.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <tc6gha3jr8e590@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 8
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18107 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14285

Culley,
    I think your correct, most people learning CW for use

on the radio have no use for flash cards. That's a very
bad idea for 'audible' code. For 'visual' methods of using
CW (blinkers, etc.), it should be very useful.
                  'Doc

From -7164439129347321449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-29 16:16:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC3CF6E.D7390174@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <3AC35C8A.F412F948@IEEE.org> <99vrnm$9qr$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 36
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 19:12:30 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 985911172 209.140.206.201 (Thu, 29 Mar 2001 19:12:52 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 19:12:52 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18108

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >My Encyclopedia agrees with my dictionary. Do you have a
> >reference that disproves my dictionaries and encyclopedia?
>
> Again, this matter has nothing to do with definitions, or
> 'disproving'--the encyclopedia and dictionary simply aren't sound
> references when it comes to proper word usage and application.
> Try any English usage book for starters.

I tried two: "The Little, Brown Handbook" (published, unsurprisingly, by
Little, Brown) and "The Scott, Foresman Handbook for Writers" (published
by--guess who?) Both say you're wrong; apostrophes can legitimately be
used to form plurals of letters, numbers and symbols. So far that's
three references versus the none that you've provided. So how about
letting us know which "any English usage book" you're referring to?

> Or any English major

As long as the English major didn't graduate from, for example, Purdue

University:
http://owl.english.purdue.edu/handouts/grammar/g_apost.html

> sitting on the copy desk of any prominent newspaper or magazine
> to explain the ways of usage to you.

Such as The New York Times?
http://www.google.com/search?as_q=50%27s&num=10&as_sitesearch=nytimes.com
The Washington Post?
http://www.google.com/search?as_q=50%27s&as_sitesearch=washingtonpost.com
Those too left-wing for you? How about the Chicago Tribune?
http://www.google.com/search?as_q=50%27s&as_sitesearch=chicagotribune.com

In short, you're just wrong.

Jon

```
From -6167661384552410342
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 05:54:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!64.152.100.70!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 28 Mar 2001 13:50:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <99sq7e$oov$1@208.206.142.72>
References: <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABFF190.226A83B7@ovis.net>
<3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com> <3AC09441.A09CCFF5@ovis.net>
<3ac1667e.1079690@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18009

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
 We're in a new era of
> >political correctness--one in which no one's self esteem may be harmed
> >and in which we are all equally mediocre.
> >Dave K8MN
>
> Sounds like you'd love that to be the case. Too bad
> you have not one bit of evidence or commentary
> to sustain that belief.

 Bill: Take a real good look in the mirror.

From 2746949878808982075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 06:08:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
feeder.kornet.net!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!
SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.30
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 08:51:18 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC807D88AE7@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <tc1pnhpshgnn84@news.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18010

There are a number of new "no code tech" licensees in my part of call area 9. They are enthusiastic to the *EXTREME*, well behaved, good ops. A couple are still in elementary school and (1) are learning every second they are on the air and (2) giving the experienced ops a big mental boost becuase they have someone on the air that they can "show the ropes".

Thank God they are not surrounded by a bunch of old-fart, cynical, name-calling, holier-than-thou or vulgar-as-hell "examples" of hamdom like many that frequent these newsgroups. Let's hope they never learn they are not "real hams" because they would probably joins the ranks of former and inactive hams, of which we have far too many already (and in my opinion, there are a whole bunch of you who should be in the category but aren't -

```
you and the rest of us would both be much happier I think if you were to
stop your tirades and tantrums and take up woodworking or needlepoint to
fill your idle hours).


"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:tc1pnhpshgnn84@news.supernews.com...
> If I were you, I'd just renew your license because If you upgrade you
don't
> get a real ham license anymore. Though, some people are pretty proud in
> obtinaing a nickle-Extra A.I.C.
>
>
>
> "R G" <prieye@email.msn.com> wrote in <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>:
>
> >My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep
> >it valid ? Thanks for the replies.   RG
> >
> >
>




From -3984973006807I5277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 06:09:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 28 Mar 2001 14:08:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
```

```
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18011
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19698 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11188
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14199
```

Zoran Brlecic wrote:

>
 I am simply asking for consistency: a thermonuclear device falls into
> the same category of "firearms" as a six-gun. They are both devices used for one
> purpose only: to kill people. Everything else is a smokescreen.

You are just as wrong as you can possibly be, as most anti gun people consistantly are. I have absolutely no intention of killing anyone with a gun, but I also have no intention of allowing you to confiscate them. I DO have an intent to use them - the mere existance and presence - to deflect those who would cause bodily harm to me and my family. Almost always, the mere sight a weapomn of defense is more than enough to put the coward to flight. Should the assailant be in an unusual state of mind, such as drugged or insane, and insist on forcing me to use a firearm to stop him, of course that would be the natural progression of things.
Soit was when columnist Mr. Carl Rowan, a rabid anti-gunner, shot an intruder. He sure changed his tune in a hurry. Curious thing, that he even OWNED a gun, what with all his anti-gun rhetoric, isn't it? Do YOU own gun, by the way?

 Now, it would
> seem to me that the very same amendment you guys are pulling in the case of
> handguns, rifles and automatic weaponry must cover *all* firearms. One person's
> definition of danger is another person's definition of safety. What this boils
> down to is this: that amendment is somewhat anachronistic.
> Btw, neither you nor Dick commented on the second part of my example - the
> narcotics use. But I admit, here we are dealing with one of those things that
> make people feel good and politicians re-elected. War on drugs. Any day now.
>

I'm not real eager to take seriously the opinion of anyone who can't
differentiate between a personal firearm and a nuclear weapon. Looks
like a screw may be loose somewhere.

```
From -8126007821665851189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 06:30:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!lsanca1-snf1!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC1E633.A1EB47B4@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3ac0004c.2126060@news.mindspring.com>
<3AC09247.4B249DF2@ovis.net> <3ac1e04a$0$88186$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 14:25:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985789505 207.0.147.51 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001
06:25:05 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 06:25:05 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18012
```

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3AC09247.4B249DF2@ovis.net...
> > That singular exception makes HF morse testing the law of the land, Bill

> > so it must have some bearing. That is certainly more than comes from a
> > homemade lawyer quoting from a court case between a commercial
> > television network and a national political party.
>
> Red Lion decision was a warning to the FCC that technological change
> requires a review and change in the rules. Congress now requires that the
> FCC review its rules every two years. 47 USC 161.
>
> 47 CFR 97.503(a) in non-conforming with 5 USC 706 because the code test is
> unnecessary and not in the public interest, not because of the Red Lion
> decision.

Larry, I guess we should all acknowledge your legal prowess and your
vast experience in radio. I guess I would if I thought you knew what
the hell you are talking about. Like it or not, if you'd like to put a
signal on the HF bands, you'll have to pass the minimal 5 wpm test for
the foreseeable future. You'll not get your "gimme" any time soon.
I, for one, would not sit and wait until 2003 if I wanted to access the
HF bands, I'd be busting chops to learn that code and get my upgrade.
You, on the other hand, can sit here bemoaning the inequity of life
until then.

Dave K8MN

From 8913977651650836785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 06:38:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Date: 28 Mar 2001 14:38:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 71
Message-ID: <99st0u$6l4$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$

```
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100> <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<99hk58$6f6$0@dosa.alt.net> <3ABEDA5D.DAE623B5@earthlink.net>
<99oq3e$2fm$3@208.207.71.131> <3AC17F6D.EDEB8082@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18013
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19704 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11189
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14201
```

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> > >
> > > DumbassWoger wrote:
> > >
> > > > Just why the heck would anybody in his/her right mind pay the ARRL to be a
> > > > member? For what?
> > >
> > > Because it is the only national ham radio association in the US, and as such is
> > > the sole protector of every US ham's interests, rights and privileges.
> >
> > Well actually, they're the sole protector of ARRL's singulara
> > interests, first, then if there's anything left, US hams as a group
> > might get a little attention.
> > Back in the days of HPM it was as you say. But HPM is long gone.
>
> I'm not sure I follow you... What might those "ARRL's singular interests" be

First and formost, selfpreserveation, on a the BOD level. Consider the January vote on whether or not to support code testing at the next ITU meeting.
The vote to drop code testing was by a voice vote, with no identity of who voted how. There was a lone effort by a BOD Member to poll the BOD for each member's vote, which was quickly voted down. None of those voting against keeping code testing wanted his vote made public, and without a individual poll of the vote it will not be, officially at least. Since the BOD members are elected as individuals, the entire BOD can't be held accountable for such a vote since they can't be elected

nor unseated en masse.
  Just a bit of defense against the will of a large bloc of ARRL
members' wishes. Selfpreservation at it's finest.

and what
> do you propose as an alternative to the *only* national amateur radio
organization?
> Cutting off one's own nose to spite the face is usually not a smart choice.

Oh, no, alternatives haven't proved viable to date. Just understand that
the enemy is not always outside looking in.

>
> Look, clearly it is in every US ham's interest to retain as much spectrum as
possible
> for as long as possible.

 Not at all. We use little of our UHF/SHF allocations, and it might very
well be in our best interests to "trade" some of that unused real estate
for some we could make better use of, maybe on HF or VHF. I think that
most of the Part 15 intentional radiator devices would be much better
off above UHF instead of on HF where they do far more damage, but that
battle was lost long ago.

 Fiber optics in every house will alleviate the pressure, but
> it will not eliminate it. Everything else is petty stuff which is not critical
for
> the survival of amateur radio. Once we absolve this fact, the choice is simple:
we
> either support our one and only ham radio representation in the world or not -
we
> keep our hobby alive or not.

 And while we're at it, keep the heat and pressure on them...

From -2915041791927676012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 06:40:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 28 Mar 2001 14:40:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <99st53$6l4$1@208.206.142.72>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Wf0w6.6700$9i1.593827@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<99qdc8$1ki$0@208.207.71.175> <3AC0C1B4.CE1530B1@IEEE.org>
<99rnv5$jji$1@208.207.71.136> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10103280620560.2855-100000@exp.bde-
arc.ampr.org> <4Igw6.222$aG.85855@nntp2.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18014



Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
> news:Pine.LNX.4.10.10103280620560.2855-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> > > wonder he was codeless and a tech.
> >
> > Maybe he needs motivation.  As for what may motivate him, I leave that
> to your
> > imagination.     ;-)
>
> That's why I gave him a straight-up answer.  Fiddly-farting on fcc.gov to
> get the 20 pages of the Form 605 plus instructions and getting it set up
> to print only what you want would motivate anyone to something, most
> likely suicide but certainly lifetime licenses.
>

 Of course you're right. Governmental "simplification" strikes again.


From -6942562468951761871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 06:46:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
Date: 28 Mar 2001 14:45:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <99stf0$7s5$0@208.206.142.72>
```

```
References: <99mcot$e3d$0@208.206.142.84> <20010328044750.07536.00001248@nso-
mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18015



Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <99mcot$e3d$0@208.206.142.84>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >
> > Cecil likes to ignore reality. He doesn't even admit that
> >radiotelegraphy is the most basic, easily implemented, widespread and
> >universal form of radiocommunication.
> >
> >Dick
>
> Dick:
>
> Is that anything like "...practical, effective, efficient, and universal?"


 Yes indeed, only in terms that are more basic and harder to refute.

 How can anyone say that radiotelegraphy is "useless" when it can be
accomplished
at minimum by a single vacuum tube device acting as both the transmitter
and receiver, a device which any competent ham can put together,  while
it takes hundreds of thousands of active devices plus extensive
extremely complex software which very few hams can produce to use any of
the more advanced digital modes?


From -9186200987283818297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 06:57:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 28 Mar 2001 14:55:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
```

Message-ID: <99su18$9fd$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3ac0004c.2126060@news.mindspring.com>
<3AC09247.4B249DF2@ovis.net> <3ac1e04a$0$88186$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18016

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3AC09247.4B249DF2@ovis.net...
> > That singular exception makes HF morse testing the law of the land, Bill
> > so it must have some bearing. That is certainly more than comes from a
> > homemade lawyer quoting from a court case between a commercial
> > television network and a national political party.
>
> Red Lion decision was a warning to the FCC that technological change
> requires a review and change in the rules. Congress now requires that the
> FCC review its rules every two years. 47 USC 161.
>
> 47 CFR 97.503(a) in non-conforming with 5 USC 706 because the code test is
> unnecessary and not in the public interest, not because of the Red Lion
> decision.

And since when is in the public interest for ham radio operators to be allowed to avoid causing themselves to be functional in the most basic radiocommunications mode?

Answer: Never.

Your opinon is your opinion. Nothing more, and certainly not the law of the land nor a court decision. The fact that technology ALLOWS other more efficient means of radio communicaitions does not mandate that they will always be available nor the mode of choice. No one gets to choose the mode all the time.

```
From -2034231646807910420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 07:03:58 PST
Message-ID: <3AC1F9F7.DD4D30AC@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 08:49:27 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985791165
64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 08:52:45 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

```
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!mtu.ru!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18017
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19705 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11191
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14202
```

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> Well, geez, every person who signed that Constitution was also a slave owner,
> but you could hardly condone that nowadays, ...

Because slave ownership is illegal nowadays while gun ownership is still protected by the 2nd Amendment.

> ... a thermonuclear device falls into
> the same category of "firearms" as a six-gun. They are both devices used for one
> purpose only: to kill people.

Actually, pistols are most often used as a deterrent to avoid killing people. All I had to do one night was to display my 9mm and the mugger with the knife broke an Olympic record running away.

Did you hear about the eight year old who got suspended for pointing a chicken strip at a teacher and saying "pow"? One wonders what would have happened if he had pointed the chicken strip at a teacher and said, "yum".

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6002557713568617277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 07:06:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-
mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Mar 2001 14:49:52 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <99stmg$gkv$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 985790992 17055 205.139.138.14 (28 Mar 2001 14:49:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 Mar 2001 14:49:52 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: badabing@home.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18018

In article <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>, BadaBing
<badabing@home.com> writes:

>I personally was brought up with 73 since it means Best regards, which is
>plural. So If I say 73's wouldn't that mean best regards's.

Either way, it is an expression of good will, so I guess it just doesn't much matter to me which you use. A good exercise for those who enjoy picking fly shit out of the pepper pot.

72.5, de Hans, K0HB/4ID

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 7257619032229461654
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 07:08:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AC1FAA8.FC48C520@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 08:52:24 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:

rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net> <B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net> <99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985791341 64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 08:55:41 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18019 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19706 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11192 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14204

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I have absolutely no intention of killing anyone with
> a gun, but I also have no intention of allowing you to confiscate them.

Would you kill the person trying to confiscate your guns?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3616823521895682052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 07:23:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 28 Mar 2001 15:23:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <99svl1$ehl$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Wf0w6.6700$9i1.593827@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<99qdc8$1ki$0@208.207.71.175> <3AC0C1B4.CE1530B1@IEEE.org>
<99rnv5$jji$1@208.207.71.136> <N6jw6.153229$p66.43280239@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18020
```

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:

>
> It couldn't possibly be that he has climbed out of the 19th century and
> realised that there are better modes to use ?

Aw, gee Rob, ya really think so? Wonder how it was that he couldn't use all that late technology to do a little search on the net?

```
From 6637458822097850857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 07:24:50 PST
Message-ID: <3AC1FE88.D45AAE38@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 09:08:56 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
<2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100> <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<99hk58$6f6$0@dosa.alt.net> <3ABEDA5D.DAE623B5@earthlink.net>
<99oq3e$2fm$3@208.207.71.131> <3AC17F6D.EDEB8082@earthlink.net>
<99st0u$6l4$0@208.206.142.72>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985792333
64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 09:12:13 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!194.213.69.151!
news.algonet.se!algonet!news000.worldonline.se!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18021
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19708 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11193
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14206

Dick Carroll wrote:
> None of those
> voting against keeping code testing wanted his vote made public, and
> without a individual poll of the vote it will not be, officially at
> least.

I had diphtheria when I was three years old. I would have died without
antitoxin shots. The doctor didn't want me to hate him in later life
so he had my mother hide my eyes so I wouldn't see who was hurting me
for my own good. That's what the ARRL BOD did for you, Dick.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2798882375424687590
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 07:45:01 PST
Message-ID: <3AC20365.A9504833@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 09:29:41 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ABF254E.4A99693B@ovis.net> <3ac0004c.2126060@news.mindspring.com>
<3AC09247.4B249DF2@ovis.net> <3ac1e04a$0$88186$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<99su18$9fd$0@208.206.142.72>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985793577
64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 09:32:57 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18022

Dick Carroll wrote:
> And since when is in the public interest for ham radio operators to be
> allowed to avoid causing themselves to be functional in the most basic
> radiocommunications mode?

Since S25.5 will probably be repealed and since a ham doesn't need
to know Morse code to participate in emergency communications.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 2131712279764208441
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 07:50:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 09:34:01 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ABE37EB.2F71480F@IEEE.org> <20010328044750.07536.00001249@nso-
mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985793839
64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 09:37:19 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
```

```
sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18023
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> >Real Hams have whatever equipment is needed to get the job done.
>
> Don't look now, but you've got that backwards. Real Hams get the job
> done with whatever equipment they have!

You live your life your way, Larry, and allow me to live my life my way. I believe in being prepared. Therefore, I am equipped with spare rigs, amps, solar power, generators, and battery backup. For emergencies, I will choose 500w SSB over 5w CW any day of the week.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -9069396728380705246
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 07:55:16 PST
Message-ID: <3AC2056B.25E7B732@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 09:38:19 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3abca9df.2020492@news.mindspring.com>
<20010325004238.11434.00000594@nso-fi.aol.com> <3ABDEA55.2DA438C5@IEEE.org>
<99lsb6$ko4$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABE86BD.5B73716C@IEEE.org>
<99mgj8$oai$0@208.206.142.84> <3ABEC7F4.38F98E43@IEEE.org>
<99opok$2fm$1@208.207.71.131> <3AC01A29.5A2F6E0D@IEEE.org>
<99qc8s$rl3$0@208.207.71.175> <3AC0C024.22A311A5@IEEE.org>
<99spu5$oov$0@208.206.142.72>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985794096
64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 09:41:36 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18024

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Radio is not basic to Homo Sapiens. Morse code is basic to radio
> communications.

Therefore, Morse code is not basic to Homo Sapiens. Glad you finally admit it.

> Morse code is quickly and easily implemented by persons not too lazy and
> useless to have learned it. Ir naturally follows that Morse code
> qualifications should be a integral part of any amateur radio licensing
> scheme since radiotelegraph operations are widespread within amateur
> radio, and potentially useful on moments notice.

I'll bet 2/3 of HF hams cannot effectively use CW for emergency communications right now, Dick. What are you going to do about them?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4082564918121017283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 08:03:12 PST
Message-ID: <3AC20751.BC20DBA1@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 09:46:25 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <99mdnr$hep$0@208.206.142.84> <20010328044751.07536.00001250@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985794582 64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 09:49:42 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!feeder.via.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18025

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The connection between code testing and technical development has
> always been the crux of the No Code Testing Agenda - NCTA. Too
> Bill Sohl has just put an end to that argument by admitting that the
> NCTA's were wrong!

You misunderstood the argument, Larry. I said that Morse code testing should be eliminated in order to attract more engineers into the hobby. That actually happened. I Elmered about 50 no code tech engineers into the hobby while I was at Intel.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1933523808958109743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 08:04:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AC207AD.E83DC0F@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 09:47:57 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1

References: <3ABE3AC9.4D0B7D5B@IEEE.org> <20010328044749.07536.00001247@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985794674 64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 09:51:14 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18026

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Don't look now, but I don't have any kind of "death wish" for the ARS.

Any ham who wants to give up amateur frequencies to the commercial
interests has a death wish for the ARS. That's you, Larry.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2650660976015259165
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 08:12:56 PST
Message-ID: <3AC20AAA.4DAABA28@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 10:00:42 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
References: <3ABECEF4.399FBEE5@IEEE.org> <20010328044749.07536.00001246@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985795440 64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 10:04:00 -0600)
Lines: 55
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18027

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Talking is basic to Homo Sapiens, Morse code is not. I can build an
> >AM transceiver faster than someone can learn Morse code and build
> >a CW transceiver.
>
> How much you wanna bet I can come up with a simpler, easier,
> cheaper, and faster to produce CW transceiver design than your
> AM transceiver?

You don't read very well, do you, Larry. Read it again.

> And don't look now -- but I "learned" the Morse
> code at greater than 5 WPM capability in about two days.

So, given my stated boundary conditions, you don't get to start on
your CW transceiver design for two days. I can whip out an AM
transceiver in less than one day. You lose. And any old transistor
amplifier can be used to modulate an AM transistor transmitter.
I have three of them in my garage.

> >The spread of CW is getting more narrow all the
> >time. 2/3 of US hams use it rarely or never.
>
> Knock, knock -- Earth to Cecil -- Why do you think this is so?

It doesn't matter why it is so. All that matters is that it is so
and is becoming more so all the time. Earth to Larry - this is the
21st century. Things have changed. You cannot live in the past.

> I have absolutely no objection to the USE of Morse code by individual

> amateurs being an "option." However, this "option" won't exist unless
> there is a basic REQUIREMENT ...

If it's required, it's not optional, now is it?

> The problem here
> is the uncanny ability of liberals like yourself to sell short the abilities
> of their fellow human beings.

Nope, you're the one who sells your fellow hams short. You think they won't learn Morse code without a governmental affirmative action program. Sounds to me like you're the socialist. I'm just a Libertarian who believes the least government possible to accomplish the function is the best way to go. The Morse code affirmative action program is bad for the future health of the ARS.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1840772150799829792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a05aaba967852f8c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 08:27:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AC20D16.CA7CCD34@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 10:11:02 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CEPT changes to 5 wpm for Level 1
References: <99mcot$e3d$0@208.206.142.84> <20010328044750.07536.00001248@nso-mq.aol.com> <99stf0$7s5$0@208.206.142.72>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985796059 64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 10:14:19 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)

```
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18028

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  How can anyone say that radiotelegraphy is "useless" ...

Who said that radiotelegraphy is "useless"? The discussion is
not about radiotelegraphy. It is about the governmental Morse
code affirmative action program. Why should hams be barred
from shopping in a free market of modes? How would you like
to be forced to use my favorite brand of tooth paste?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1813670741572630417
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 08:27:31 PST
Message-ID: <3AC20DB9.E57D6DF3@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 10:13:45 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985796221
64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 10:17:01 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

```
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18029

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Sure there are. All you need is a minimum few hundred thousand active
> devices and the software to go with....

Morse code takes more active devices than that, Dick, wetware
and probably a few billion neurons.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3437566063676267384
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 08:31:00 PST
Message-ID: <3AC20E49.9A03D146@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 10:16:09 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Wf0w6.6700$9i1.593827@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<99qdc8$1ki$0@208.207.71.175> <3AC0C1B4.CE1530B1@IEEE.org>
<99rnv5$jji$1@208.207.71.136>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985796365
64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 10:19:25 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

```
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18030

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Dick, you are such a wonderful example of a perfect Elmer.
>
>  The guy has been a nocode tech for ten years now and has no clue how to
> renew his license, ...

Seems understandable to me since this is the first time he has ever
renewed his license and the FCC recently changed the renewal procedure.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7455301914582049524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 08:33:41 PST
Message-ID: <3AC20EF3.7A3E9BEA@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 10:18:59 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <tc1pnhpshgnn84@news.supernews.com>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC807D88AE7@www.premiersi.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985796537
64.240.47.99 (28 Mar 2001 10:22:17 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
```

```
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18031

jimmy john wrote:
> Thank God they are not surrounded by a bunch of old-fart, cynical,
> name-calling, holier-than-thou or vulgar-as-hell "examples" of hamdom like
> many that frequent these newsgroups.

You mean like, "No lids, no kids, and no space cadets"?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 1010973549198164428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 10:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 10:11:28 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <99t9c4$ej5$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>   <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABFF190.226A83B7@ovis.net>
<3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com> <99qlpe$4no$1@news.service.uci.edu>
```

<3ac14181$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-52.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18032

Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
news:3ac14181$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
>
> "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
> news:99qlpe$4no$1@news.service.uci.edu...
> > Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com...
> >
> > > So tell us all then why the FCC ended 13 and 20 wpm
> > > testing?
> >
> > They said it was because element 1a was sufficient to demonstrate code
> > proficiency, and that 1b and 1c were more or less superfluous to that.
>
> No the FCC said the 13 & 20 wpm test did not serve a regulatory purpose.

Hi Larry,

Do you know what the word 'superfluous' means?

Jim

From 433420729326393683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 11:33:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 28 Mar 2001 19:33:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 82
Message-ID: <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18033

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136...
> > > Have ya ever thought of doing that through computer too?
> >   Sorta hung up on computers are we?
>
> it's just my example of how an operator could use cw without having the
> foggiest idea of what the code is ...
>
> > > I very well doubt you will copy me via the ear at 200wpm.
> > You're typing 200wpm? yeah, right! Wow, Whatta guy...
>
> Did I say I type 200wpm ...nope ... I didn't ... 99.9999% of the readily
> available programs out there will allow you to buffer your tx data and then
> send in one big clump

So then it's not You that is being copied at all, but your computer
buffer.

>
> > And all those who
> > > are uncopiable via computer are going to be uncopiable via the ear ..
> .even
> > > at 5wpm.
> > So much for your "expertise" on the subject of Morse code.
>
> With this cheesy software I have (cwget), It pulls out frequency data
> through an fft. All you do is tell the software which freq to use (by
> clicking on the spectrogram) and it will then output at the bottom, a
> amplitude vs time graph. You set the noise level and it will then decode
> the morse.

Ah, very good. trying to get a machine to think like a human. It still
can't come close to copying under conditions that a skilled human can. I
suppose if that's what you've got, you gotta use it. I'd just forget it
and use PACTOR or something else.

>
> All that could be done automatically. You will notice that in real life

> when you are listening to the radio, lower signals will be difficult to copy
> because of the noise (high frequency stuff) will mask that 900Hz signal you
> are tryin to listen to.

Your computer could never begin to copy CW under the conditions I
learned to copy under. The receiver of the day was a WW2 bomber set
which covered the entire novice band in � inch of dial. I don't know
what the bandpass was, but you could hear a substantial of the entire
band at any one dial setting. One quickly developed the "filter between
the ears" which zeroed in on a single signal and just tuned out all
others. Try that with your computer. I've used a number of software
packages since computers came into use and so far nothing has come
close.

>
> > > Once again, there are better digital modes to use, i.e. psk31 for those
> low
> > > low signals.
> > Sure there are. All you need is a minimum few hundred thousand active
> > devices and the software to go with....
>
> digipan software, a computer keyer (can be built for around $5) and an audio
> cable to have the outputted audio from the radio sent to the computer ...
>

Rob you got here a little late..we all know about all the glitzy new
modes, how well they work and what it takes to make them go. Many of us
have used them, some extensively. I was an early PSK31 user and found it
to be a neat mode, though not as good as billed by the raving techies.
But there is still no other mode than radiotelegraphy which does so much
with so little.

Dick W�EX

From -5069485845174347145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 12:06:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
freenix!oleane.net!oleane!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Mar 2001 19:47:25 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 24

Message-ID: <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 985808845 20191 205.139.138.14 (28 Mar 2001 19:47:25 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 Mar 2001 19:47:25 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18034

We have about 10 months worth of figures behind us, and it appears that restructuring has had little effect on the total number of licensees, but it does seem to have put more people on HF.

Looking at the no-HF/minimal-HF classes (Tech, Tech+, Novice) they have lost about 23,000 people since last April, and the full-HF classes (General, Advanced, Extra) have gained about 28,000.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

---

From 8532626242085137297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 12:06:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: license
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 15:03:19 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 32
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18035

"Mark" <marknelle@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com...
> What is it with everyone?

It's not everyone here in the USA, just a select few who have a bug about
non-CW code tested Hams. Hang out on this news group for a short while, and
you will get to meet some of those select few. You can do that by suggesting
to get rid of the CW code testing requirement, and they will announce
themselves by getting on your case like white on rice. Never fails.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 1683578843645309086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 12:43:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.

```
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <d_rw6.8830$IA1.705333@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 20:39:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.181.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985811977 12.88.181.78 (Wed, 28 Mar
2001 20:39:37 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 20:39:37 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18036

Heck, consider it just slang.  Personal preference if need be.  Question is,
if you are going to make it plural, what is the apostrophy for?  A
contraction for 73 is or is that the possesive form?

73ssss (as in best of 73s - or multiple thereof) from Rochester, NY
Jim


BadaBing <badabing@home.com> wrote in message
news:%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> I personally was brought up with 73 since it means Best regards, which is
> plural. So If I say 73's wouldn't that mean best regards's.
> I saw in an earlier post by Cecil saying it is said 73's because it is a
> number, well if that the case then Mr. Cecil you owe's me's 73's dollars.
Or
> was that 100's dollars..
>
> So 73's and 88's to all you good buddies out there, pass them around the
> household. From the tallest to the smallest. I am officially 10-7's
>
>
```

```
From -629562604671851150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 12:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!192.148.253.68!netnews.com!
wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: license
```

Lines: 59
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <e_rw6.8831$IA1.705930@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 20:39:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.181.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 985811978 12.88.181.78 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 20:39:38 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 20:39:38 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18037

Leland, you and I know what sort of flames can start with that old saw code vs no code. Seriously however, perhaps someone might enjoy a CW qso at perhaps 30 WPM with an extra. Are you sure that guy is really doing 30 - or is he using a computer?   Hmmm .... come to think of it, if I get back on HF CW, I might be accused of using a computer; however it would be simply a case of being fussy about my fist and having the keyer dot-dash ratio set properly. However, if it is not possible to know if it is a human or a machine sending and receiving the code, it would seem the whole argument is moot. It is fun to handle those arguments on one of the repeaters, however. One guy was going into the rants about the necessity of CW and we simply switched to about 40 WPM F2 emission (yes, the repeater owner was in the gang and he said 'go for it' - he has no problem with 40. It did quiet the discussion down. A lot.).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

ps - what's the new nomenclature for F2 anyways (not that it is of earthshaking importance)?

Leland C. Scott <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Mark" <marknelle@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com...
> > What is it with everyone?
>
> It's not everyone here in the USA, just a select few who have a bug about
> non-CW code tested Hams. Hang out on this news group for a short while,
and
> you will get to meet some of those select few. You can do that by
suggesting
> to get rid of the CW code testing requirement, and they will announce
> themselves by getting on your case like white on rice. Never fails.
>
> --
> --

> 73 de,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL member
> NCI member
>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> functions."
>
>
>
>

From -3856437758741511026
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 13:13:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!
feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Denver Braughler <Braughler@bwcc.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to bullets
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.antenna
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 16:10:08 -0500
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3AC25330.7BFB6E11@bwcc.com>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <20010311105837.10659.00001764@nso-
mq.aol.com> <Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>

<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVaAS6lQ/ROsiSee58BkuzFGQ3ep7j9EV5/pYnOZAlH6zB4+dJI3Jb5r
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 Mar 2001 21:09:11 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18038
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19745 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14214

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So it was when columnist Mr. Carl Rowan, a rabid anti-gunner, shot an
> intruder.

On June 14, 1988, Carl Rowan shot and wounded Neil Smith,
a young intruder who had trespassed onto Rowan's property
to take a dip in his swimming pool.
This black kid was in his backyard, not his house.
This was in Washington, D.C., where handguns are illegal.
Mr. Rowan used unregistered .22 pistol.
He is also black.

From 5744592244508224549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 14:32:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC2674B.6EE0@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
References: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com> <3ABFC7DC.8F4@bellsouth.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10103272051470.1970-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 55
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 17:35:55 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.78.16.34
X-Trace: news2.atl 985818473 216.78.16.34 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 17:27:53 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 17:27:53 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18039

Well, 'round these 'hyar parts, we ain't gonna have no "sich" carryingson! Them fellers don't git NO repeaters left on to git their jollies wit'! LOL!

It still escapes me how seemingly grown people can exhibit such infantile behavior. Like I said, most of the control ops around here are very militant and particular as to what they allow to transpire on their machines, and they will drop one out from under an idiot in a New Yawk minute. The vast majority of local hams are in lockstep with the controllers. As we sometimes say in our Southern drawls, "We ain't a-gonna have it!" I wouldn't have patience with such immature clowns either on a repeater or OFF.

It is obvious that the outlook on life is vastly different here in the Southeast than on the Left Coast. Without putting down those mature, reasonable, and responsible hams, I must say I cannot understand whatever it is that makes people revert to infancy, or whatever condition it is that causes this behavior. I wonder what these jerks would do if they migrated to NC, and found that no control op would tolerate their antics? Maybe that is why you don't hear much about NC repeaters and Riley H?

73

Jerry
K4KWH

D. Stussy wrote:

>
> On Mon, 26 Mar 2001, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > I, for one, am always puzzled and dismayed at all the mess that apparently goes on on the West Coast. Occasionally, we will have a "bootlegger" get on the local repeaters and jam or harass the legit. ops. The local control ops are, apparently, more militant, observant, or obstinate than those out there. Local repeaters get shut down quickly in such circumstances--unless we are quietly Dfing the perp. Then they are left on for the idiot to get his jollies while we close in. Can you imagine the surprise when the fellow finds himself surrounded by 10 or so displeased hams? Why aren't there concerned hams out there that will go after/locate these goofballs?
>
> One reason: By allowing them this repeater (and its alternate), we keep them contained.
>
> Back in 1993, when we had the fires in Malibu, the W6NUT repeater (back then, under the trustee's call of AA6TK) also failed. Most of the users migrated to the CLARA repeater (145.220-), and some time later, some of them were brought into California Superior Court for harassment. This is the original source of our local term "restraining order repeater." When the 147.435 repeater came back up, most migrated back.
>
> Also, the 147.435 repeater is the only repeater that has bullet holes and dents in it. I believe that one can see pictures of such on its users' web site:

> www.435.org.

From 5572739976189429936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 15:30:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 52
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:26:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985821972 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 15:26:12 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 15:26:12 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18040

> So then it's not You that is being copied at all, but your computer
> buffer.

What difference does that make ... the whole idea to radio communications is the communications ... whatever mode you use to get the information accross is irrelevant.

> Ah, very good. trying to get a machine to think like a human. It still
> can't come close to copying under conditions that a skilled human can. I
> suppose if that's what you've got, you gotta use it. I'd just forget it
> and use PACTOR or something else.

I have copied signals when there were more than one station on frequency, as long as their sidetone was different I could differentiate them.

> Your computer could never begin to copy CW under the conditions I
> learned to copy under. The receiver of the day was a WW2 bomber set
> which covered the entire novice band in � inch of dial. I don't know
> what the bandpass was, but you could hear a substantial of the entire
> band at any one dial setting. One quickly developed the "filter between

> the ears" which zeroed in on a single signal and just tuned out all
> others. Try that with your computer. I've used a number of software
> packages since computers came into use and so far nothing has come
> close.

See thats the reason why we have a hard time getting new hams on the air ... old foggies like you battering about times that once were ... get into the 21st century and out of the radio room of a wwii bomber ... its about time

> Rob you got here a little late..we all know about all the glitzy new
> modes, how well they work and what it takes to make them go. Many of us
> have used them, some extensively. I was an early PSK31 user and found it
> to be a neat mode, though not as good as billed by the raving techies.
> But there is still no other mode than radiotelegraphy which does so much
> with so little.

Simply because you had a bias towards the nestalgia of cw. And, I didn't tell you how to get it working because I thought I was the first to do it ... I did it because you said that it takes a lot of equipment (showing your ignorance)

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                          |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

From -1290174225142841151
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 15:32:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!210.147.7.1!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaxckt3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AC1505A.E8751DD7@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Lines: 20
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:30:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.108
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985822220 206.58.44.108 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:30:20 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:30:20 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18041

On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:45:46 -0600, W6RCecilA wrote:

> Nope, you don't pluralize 73 because "dollars" is plural. Picture a
> group of elderly people with their ages printed on their nametag.
> "How many 73's do we have?" would be a perfectly acceptable question.

Not quite.

"How many 73s [no apostrophe] do we have?" is the "perfectly acceptable" version. "73's" is acceptable only when referring to something belonging to a 73.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 3282707172930627695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 15:34:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gaxc3n2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>

```
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABFF190.226A83B7@ovis.net>
<3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com> <99qlpe$4no$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 20
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:30:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.108
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985822218 206.58.44.108 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001
23:30:18 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:30:18 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18042

On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 10:24:16 -0800, Jim Kelley wrote:

>> In the absence of any rational to sustain such
>> testing, it becomes pretty much a forgone conclusion
>> that 13 and 20 wpm had become "arbitrary and capricious"
>> in the minds of the FCC.
>
>If those words were in their minds, they evidently kept them there rather
>than write them down in their comments.

  No regulatory agency in its right mind will use "them fighting
  words" to describe any part of any rule, action, or order, even
  those which are being eliminated. <ggg>

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP





From 8885082826101235941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 16:08:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC27B03.CB980D19@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
```

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AC1505A.E8751DD7@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gaxckt3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 19:00:03 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 985824013 209.140.206.201 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 19:00:13 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 19:00:13 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18043

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:45:46 -0600, W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> >Nope, you don't pluralize 73 because "dollars" is plural. Picture a
> >group of elderly people with their ages printed on their nametag.
> >"How many 73's do we have?" would be a perfectly acceptable question.
>
>   Not quite.
>
>   "How many 73s [no apostrophe] do we have?" is the "perfectly
>   acceptable" version.  "73's" is acceptable only when referring
>   to something belonging to a 73.

I love a good pedantic argument, so... Using "'s" to form plurals of
letters and numbers is common, accepted practice. See the "Handbook of
Style" chapter of the Merriam-Webster "Webster's Ninth New Collegiate
Dictionary" for one reference.

Jon



From -474753183737783066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 16:59:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>   <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org>
<D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
```

```
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <99ls17$stb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<yivv6.3230$MT2.959890@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3abeaabc$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net> <RkDv6.4329$MT2.1192605@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ABF4DB6.2CC6DB89@IEEE.org> <7eJv6.399$JM2.111291@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<99o2c0$vu$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3abfd1c6$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<99oqe1$6bj$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ABFF190.226A83B7@ovis.net>
<3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com> <99qlpe$4no$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3ac14181$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <99t9c4$ej5$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 19:49:51 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <3ac286c8$0$88179$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: e502af80.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=Ge6KW;Be9JERK32]9ZGcdMLoK8f=:?
J8@[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FGbDdQ6]_o]8FlBFDaL\d^nE[?j:m_CC9FO
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18044


"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:99t9c4$ej5$1@news.service.uci.edu...
>
> Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
> news:3ac14181$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
> >
> > "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
> > news:99qlpe$4no$1@news.service.uci.edu...
> > > Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3ac00306.2823941@news.mindspring.com...
> > >
> > > > So tell us all then why the FCC ended 13 and 20 wpm
> > > > testing?
> > >
> > > They said it was because element 1a was sufficient to demonstrate code
> > > proficiency, and that 1b and 1c were more or less superfluous to that.
> >
> > No the FCC said the 13 & 20 wpm test did not serve a regulatory purpose.
>
> Do you know what the word 'superfluous' means?
```

The FCC did not use the word superfluous to justify deleting
the 13 & 20 wpm code test. They said the tests served no
regulatory purpose. They did not justify the 5wpm test, except
to say it met the international requirement. Unfortunately,
the FCC did not give any insight on how they would view
the 5 wpm test when S25.5 is deleted. The FCC made
no superfluous findings.

This should RRAP group arguing about the code test
until 2004. :-)

Larry KC8EPO

```
From 7074665481711179736
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 17:43:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <mmww6.4243$Os.1207095@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 01:38:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 985829906 24.180.184.171 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001
17:38:26 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 17:38:26 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18045
```

Actually i am/was trying to make light of something that I know is a Pet
Peeve to some operators, to me it beats arguing about no-code, length of
coax, cbers on 10 meters etc...

"BadaBing" <badabing@home.com> wrote in message
news:%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...

> I personally was brought up with 73 since it means Best regards, which is

```
> plural. So If I say 73's wouldn't that mean best regards's.
> I saw in an earlier post by Cecil saying it is said 73's because it is a
> number, well if that the case then Mr. Cecil you owe's me's 73's dollars.
Or
> was that 100's dollars..
>
> So 73's and 88's to all you good buddies out there, pass them around the
> household. From the tallest to the smallest. I am officially 10-7's
>
>




From 1647367074027532384
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 18:09:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 29 Mar 2001 02:09:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <99u5ha$dvq$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18046



"Rob St.Denis" wrote:

> I have copied signals when there were more than one station on frequency, as
> long as their sidetone was different I could differentiate them.

 I've tried all that. You won'd get close to manual CW copy in noisy
conditions with any computer program.
```

>
> > Your computer could never begin to copy CW under the conditions I
> > learned to copy under. The receiver of the day was a WW2 bomber set
> > which covered the entire novice band in � inch of dial. I don't know
> > what the bandpass was, but you could hear a substantial of the entire
> > band at any one dial setting. One quickly developed the "filter between
> > the ears" which zeroed in on a single signal and just tuned out all
> > others. Try that with your computer. I've used a number of software
> > packages since computers came into use and so far nothing has come
> > close.
>
> See thats the reason why we have a hard time getting new hams on the air ...
> old foggies like you battering about times that once were ... get into the
> 21st century and out of the radio room of a wwii bomber ... its about time

Of course I didn't say whan that was, but it was a hell of a long time
ago.
And that makes no difference whatever to you because you have no
intention
of learning it at all. And of course you know all about it. If you gave
out ham tickets with Cracker Jacks you wouldn't do any better. Might
draw few dinks like you.

> Simply because you had a bias towards the nestalgia of cw.

Until you learn how to copy CW in poor conditions you won't have a
clue. And that means you'll remain clueless.

And, I didn't
> tell you how to get it working because I thought I was the first to do it
> ... I did it because you said that it takes a lot of equipment (showing your
> ignorance)

A computer and a compatable SSB capable radio-- several hundred
thousand active devices. Not really that much,huh, I guess you carry a
computer around with you at all times. Right.

From -4766495374692664450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 18:16:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Date: 29 Mar 2001 02:14:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <99u5r0$dvq$1@208.206.142.72>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
<2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <tbn0e6aid7qg53@corp.supernews.com>
<qjMu6.446$ya4.179542@nntp2.onemain.com> <tbn5nlckk8s448@corp.supernews.com>
<99gcuj$13t$1@208.206.142.100> <CJXu6.10170$QA3.351714@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<99hk58$6f6$0@dosa.alt.net> <3ABEDA5D.DAE623B5@earthlink.net>
<99oq3e$2fm$3@208.207.71.131> <3AC17F6D.EDEB8082@earthlink.net>
<99st0u$6l4$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC1FE88.D45AAE38@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18047
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19768 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11216
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14224

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > None of those
> > voting against keeping code testing wanted his vote made public, and
> > without a individual poll of the vote it will not be, officially at
> > least.
>
> I had diphtheria when I was three years old. I would have died without
> antitoxin shots. The doctor didn't want me to hate him in later life
> so he had my mother hide my eyes so I wouldn't see who was hurting me
> for my own good. That's what the ARRL BOD did for you, Dick.

They did exactly what I expected them to do. I've watched the ARRL HQ closely for so long they aren't a bit difficult to anticipate.

```
From 979249502261161163
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 18:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: license
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <xUww6.220$Ua4.407643@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 20:17:14 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.137
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 985832093 205.138.126.137 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 21:14:53
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 21:14:53 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18048
```

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Mark" <marknelle@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com...
> > What is it with everyone?
>
> It's not everyone here in the USA, just a select few who have a bug
about
> non-CW code tested Hams. Hang out on this news group for a short while,
and
> you will get to meet some of those select few. You can do that by
suggesting
> to get rid of the CW code testing requirement, and they will announce
> themselves by getting on your case like white on rice. Never fails.
>

No way, Leland. When we see someone who cannot or will not face the reality of a code requirement, we have to come down like Monty Python's big foot or we would simply be enabling denial mode. It is the bleating, not the opinion, that gets the reaction.

Dick N�BK

From 6254470808913395157
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 18:23:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Mar 2001 02:20:10 GMT
References: <#O#INTttAHA.199@cpmsnbbsa09>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Message-ID: <20010328212010.17661.00001311@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18049

In article <#O#INTttAHA.199@cpmsnbbsa09>, "R G" <prieye@email.msn.com> writes:

>
>To all who answered my question in a straight forward, no nonsense manner.
>Thank you. RG

Hey, RG -- what about those of us who didn't -- you lazy, dumbed-down
little weasel? What about those of us who didn't fall all over ourselves
to hand-carry another helpless, technically uninvolved so-called Technician
through another ten years of amateur radio? Please, feel free to not
bother to renew your license -- the same way you felt free to not bother
learning anything about ham radio on your own initiative. OH, sorry I
used another word you probably don't understand. Why don't you
have someone look it up in a dictionary for you? Oh, and if you don't
like what I just said about you, have whoever is posting your messages
on Usenet whine about it some more! I'm sure it will bring out a lot of
support.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5323178855655660592
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 18:24:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!

news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 21:20:47 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <B6E8062E.7DBA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AC1505A.E8751DD7@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gaxckt3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-158.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985832383 189100 206.74.49.158 (29 Mar 2001 02:19:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 02:19:43 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18050

Phil Kane wrote:

> "How many 73s [no apostrophe] do we have?"
> is the "perfectly acceptable" version.
> "73's" is acceptable only when referring
> to something belonging to a 73.

An apostrophe is often used when making letters or numbers plural - for example 45's (more than one 45 music record). Of course, it is just as common to not use an apostrophe, but many English fanatics would insist the apostrophe is virtually required (the number "5" replaces the written "five," so to add a written "s" to a number would require the apostrophe because of it's combined form). In other words, it does not refer to the possessive form of the number unless used in that context in a sentence.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8746111192517342730
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 18:27:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Mar 2001 02:20:10 GMT
References: <99q8qm$q9m$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Message-ID: <20010328212010.17661.00001310@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18051

In article <99q8qm$q9m$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@qwest.net writes:

>
>Dick, they say no-one is perfect, but I think you are a perfect asshole.
>
>The guy asked a reasonable question and deserved a reasonable answer, not
>some rant about how fast he can beep.
>
>Hans

Han:

That wasn't a perfectly reasonable question. It was a troll, one which
sought to invoke responses like mine and others which splattered this
idiot for making such a wimpish, mentally impoverished request for
"help" when he obviously possesses what he needed to find the
answer on his own, with a little effort. That posting deserved the
negative replies it got, and any such posts in the future will be handled
in exactly the same manner, I assure you.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7677225139865907694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 18:28:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 21:25:13 -0500
Organization: Info Avenue Internet Services
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <B6E80739.7DBB%stewartx@sccoast.net>
References: <B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325123602.01729.00000532@nso-fc.aol.com>
<B6E6B190.7BF9%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC14D10.4F4E18A6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-158.gwy.scscon.infoave.net

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 985832650 189286 206.74.49.158 (29 Mar 2001 02:24:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 02:24:10 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18052

W6RCecilA wrote:

> SSB is a lot more efficient than CW
> if one doesn't know Morse code. :-)

How true. The same when you're talking to someone else who doesn't know code (though you may be able to act out what you're trying to say).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 2370290091686018881
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 18:29:42 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 02:27:44 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131> <Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175> <gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136> <cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72> <oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.92
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.92
X-Trace: 28 Mar 2001 20:25:54 -0600, 64.24.16.92
Lines: 42
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!212.74.64.35!colt.net!nycmny1-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18053

```
On Wed, 28 Mar 2001 23:26:12 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
>I have copied signals when there were more than one station on frequency, as
>long as their sidetone was different I could differentiate them.
>
Heh. You obviously don't even know the difference between a "sidetone"
and the properties of what you hear in your passband.
>
>See thats the reason why we have a hard time getting new hams on the air ...
>old foggies like you battering about times that once were ... get into the
>21st century and out of the radio room of a wwii bomber ... its about time
>
Wanna get into who has the most whizzyist umpteen bit DSP radios on
ten ham bands?

>

>> But there is still no other mode than radiotelegraphy which does so much
>> with so little.
>
>Simply because you had a bias towards the nestalgia of cw.
>
Whatta a flaming no-clue: Even the most rabid BUT technically
competent code test opponent types readily concede that in the final
analysis cw wins the cheap dirty simple effectivness trophy hands
down. Physics is physics, some of us understand core physics, weenies
who do not just punch buttons instead and figger it's "modern" ham
radio. The brawl is not about "WWII bomber radio room technology" or
nostalgia or the effectivness of cw as a mode, the  brawl is about
whether to test for cw operating skills or not and nothing more.
>
Running cw means that one must hump a bit to aquire the the personal
skills required to communicate successfully with the stuff gawd forbid
versus depending on "technology" to relieve yer lame butts from any
work wash my mouf.
>
>Rob St. Denis
>
>Telecommunications Technician
>
w3rv, Certified Old Fart graduate injuneer.


From -7516552996492276794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 18:44:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-
out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99u5ha$dvq$0@208.206.142.72>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <rfxw6.157193$p66.44761507@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 02:39:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985833559 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 18:39:19 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 18:39:19 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18054

> I've tried all that. You won'd get close to manual CW copy in noisy
> conditions with any computer program.

I dunno about that ... I think 80 gets pretty awful sometimes, and even using my wr1500i which has a pretty bad receiver I can pull em out easy enough. Its not that hard ... as i said ... when you can see amplitude vs frequency data for the audio spectrum its just a matter of clicking where the appropriate signal lies ... and you will see it on the graph even when its barely audible ... once you have picked the appropriate frequency it will be easy enough to take the morse out of it since you have essentially masked the other frequencies out. And, anyone who has dealt with audio will tell you that higer frequency stuff (8kHz+) will mask the lower frequencies quite nicely to the point that they may be unrecognizable.

> Of course I didn't say whan that was, but it was a hell of a long time
> ago.
> And that makes no difference whatever to you because you have no
> intention
> of learning it at all. And of course you know all about it. If you gave
> out ham tickets with Cracker Jacks you wouldn't do any better. Might
> draw few dinks like you.

Well when you consider the whole point to the licencing in the first place was to make sure that operators had the skills required to be able to operate properly. I don't see how cw is required to operate properly. However, I'd say teaching people manners and testing them on it would be nice.

> Until you learn how to copy CW in poor conditions you won't have a
> clue. And that means you'll remain clueless.

I do know code, and did get my 12wpm because of tyrants like yourself who
fill the seatsin RAC who won't let it go.

> A computer and a compatable SSB capable radio-- several hundred
> thousand active devices. Not really that much,huh, I guess you carry a
> computer around with you at all times. Right.

Well I carry one around about as many places as I have a radio. My home
station and in the car. It's pretty much standard equipment to any ham
station now anyways

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

From 1802047354500807011
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 18:46:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <99q8qm$q9m$1@news.netmar.com> <20010328212010.17661.00001310@nso-cg.aol.com>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Ygxw6.157200$p66.44763693@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 02:40:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985833656 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 18:40:56 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 18:40:56 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18055

despite the fact that you may have found it to be a trivial question, it

wasn't for him. He needed the info and he looked for it where it was
reasonable he could find it.

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/--\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
--/
"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010328212010.17661.00001310@nso-cg.aol.com...
> In article <99q8qm$q9m$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@qwest.net writes:
>
> >
> >Dick, they say no-one is perfect, but I think you are a perfect asshole.
> >
> >The guy asked a reasonable question and deserved a reasonable answer, not
> >some rant about how fast he can beep.
> >
> >Hans
>
> Han:
>
> That wasn't a perfectly reasonable question. It was a troll, one which
> sought to invoke responses like mine and others which splattered this
> idiot for making such a wimpish, mentally impoverished request for
> "help" when he obviously possesses what he needed to find the
> answer on his own, with a little effort. That posting deserved the
> negative replies it got, and any such posts in the future will be handled
> in exactly the same manner, I assure you.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

From -6752685095691544108
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 18:52:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>

<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 50
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 02:49:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985834197 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 18:49:57 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 18:49:57 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18056

> Wanna get into who has the most whizzyist umpteen bit DSP radios on
> ten ham bands?

ya of course a cw transmitter is easy to build. But I am not about to toss
my 706 so I can build my own rig. Heck, I don't have the time for that.
I'm there to chit chat, not build cw transmitters that fit in kodak film
boxes and crap.

> Whatta a flaming no-clue: Even the most rabid BUT technically
> competent code test opponent types readily concede that in the final
> analysis cw wins the cheap dirty simple effectivness trophy hands
> down. Physics is physics, some of us understand core physics, weenies
> who do not just punch buttons instead and figger it's "modern" ham
> radio. The brawl is not about "WWII bomber radio room technology" or
> nostalgia or the effectivness of cw as a mode, the brawl is about
> whether to test for cw operating skills or not and nothing more.

> Running cw means that one must hump a bit to aquire the the personal
> skills required to communicate successfully with the stuff gawd forbid
> versus depending on "technology" to relieve yer lame butts from any
> work wash my mouf.

And I am sure nowadays the first thing we are going to do when we get in
trouble is plug that key in and tap away. Not that everybody and their
brother doesn't have a ssb rig to yell HELPPPPP on.

> >
> >Rob St. Denis
> >
> >Telecommunications Technician
> >
> w3rv, Certified Old Fart graduate injuneer.

Engineering, from what I saw of engineers when I was doing my physics degree, they were a bunch of drunken text book memorizing anal types. They generally had to read it in a text book before they were able to understand the most basic concept. No intuition at all.

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                         |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

From -5802039045435467660
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 18:55:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 20:53:12 -0600
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3AC2A398.6B2CFB63@ix.netcom.com>
References: <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0b.bc.36
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 29 Mar 2001 02:52:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18057

K0HB@qwest.net wrote:

> We have about 10 months worth of figures behind us, and it appears that
> restructuring has had little effect on the total number of licensees, but it
> does seem to have put more people on HF.

Me for one. HF turns out to be fun to operate on. DX and all.
I was a tax day upgrade to extra lite :-)

>
>
> Looking at the no-HF/minimal-HF classes (Tech, Tech+, Novice) they have lost
> about 23,000 people since last April, and the full-HF classes (General,
> Advanced, Extra) have gained about 28,000.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

Restructuring probably just reduced costs and effort on the part of the FCC than anything else. Got rid of the medical wavier for high speed CW issue too.

From 6341905083783871343
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,403af54868619d7c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,403af54868619d7c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,403af54868619d7c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,403af54868619d7c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,403af54868619d7c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-28 19:16:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Brian Short <k7on@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Visit - www.qsl.net/k7on
Message-ID: <MPG.152cb7faa41582be9896a2@news.earthlink.net>
References: <c74w6.1364$aP5.121617@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AC18801.4BB6D461@earthlink.net>
Organization: QEX
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.30
Lines: 10
Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2001 03:10:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.221.56.218
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 985835405 24.221.56.218 (Wed, 28 Mar 2001 19:10:05 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 28 Mar 2001 19:10:05 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19771 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2333 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2172 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14227 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18058

> Charles Johnston wrote:
> Lots of STUFF to do at http://www.qsl.net/k7on/ such as...
> --
> Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

--
 http://www.qsl.net/k7on/
--

From 3259385266458322499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 04:07:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <99q8qm$q9m$1@news.netmar.com> <20010328212010.17661.00001310@nso-cg.aol.com> <Ygxw6.157200$p66.44763693@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99ullr$5gig$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com> <9a1c1b$hfj$1@208.207.71.157>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 42
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <zC_w6.163934$p66.47999392@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 12:03:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985953823 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 04:03:43 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 04:03:43 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18159

Saw what my site or ?

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a1c1b$hfj$1@208.207.71.157...
>
>
> Stewart R Teaze wrote:
> >
> > Hey Rob;
> >
> > You seem like a reasonable fellow, why don't you take my advice and
> > "unsubscribe" from this newsgroup, and get on with life. These folks
have
> > been arguing about the same old Morse Code crap since the PBBS days in
the
> > early 90's.
> >
> > After having fun flaming these a-holes for a few months around 3/15/2000
> > when I got my Extra(and will never again screw with CW or HF), I've
gotten
> > on with my life.
> >
> > Of course you are right, but save your breath, these guys are hopeless.
> > They just will never get it. BTW, I liked your assessment of Linux on
your
> > site. Oh, and don't bother replying here - I won't ever see it. Good
luck.
>
>
>   You DO wonder how good ol' Stew saw it in the first place, don't you?
> <BSEG>

From 8757878296723613863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 04:28:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-
mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 12:23:38 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9a1tsa$ddd$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <20010330005221.04525.00000337@nso-cu.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985955018 13741 149.174.88.251 (30
Mar 2001 12:23:38 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 12:23:38 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18160

>Your example is about a half-century old. Languages, with the
>exception of
>dead languages like Latin, evolve. Please feel welcome to join
>the rest of
>us in the 21st century.

Active languages also go into decline, Hans. It is not a mutually exclusive proposition. That's why we still have people saying "A is comprised of B and C," instead of "A comprises B and C." That's why we still have people saying "The amplifier has an input capacitance of 5 pF and it's gain is 20 dB." (Instead of "its" gain). You'll find that sort of stuff all over newspapers nationwide, every day of the year. With each 'evolution' in language, there's another contingent trying to make it sloppy.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -3593405781216372282
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 04:44:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AC47C58.9DA256B4@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 06:30:16 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>

<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net>
<9a1cg5$iov$0@208.207.71.157> <3AC44176.D75461F9@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985955612
64.240.47.135 (30 Mar 2001 06:33:32 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsxfer.interpacket.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18161
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19902 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11268
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14328

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> If we lived in a perfect world where everybody's
> happy and friendly and lovey-dovey, there would be no need for firearms, ...

Now, wait just a minute. There's a need to fill my freezer with venison.

> Until then, it will take a majority of Americans to amend the Second
> Amendment, and it's not happening in our lifetime.

Don't look now, but the Second Amendment has already been essentially
repealed. Am I free to bear arms inside a US Post Office? A lot of
the federal gun laws are unconstitutional.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3246163266427415973
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 05:06:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsxfer.interpacket.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-
feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC48409.78D5C13A@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.5-15 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <3AC3FAC6.FF6FC332@arrl.org> <9a12oo$4ut$2@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 08:03:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.201
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 985957418 209.140.206.201 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 08:03:38 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 08:03:38 EST
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18162

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >You're ducking the question. Again. You've asserted that it's
> >incorrect
> >to use the apostrophe to form plurals of letters or numbers.
>
> I indicated it's not good form. It's tolerated, that's about all.

It's more than tolerated, it's *used*, and by leading publications.

> The one I just provided above re: CPUs is typical of any that can
> be found in any good engineering journal. I don't see you, or
> your professionals, arguing with it.

That's because neither they nor I are trying to apply a "rule" to all
cases, as you are. You argue that since "CPUs" is used rather than
"CPU's" that "50s" must be used rather than "50's." That mindless
insistence on a rule is what's wrong with your position.

Jon



From 7780927182501947672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 05:27:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!194.176.220.130!
newsfeed.icl.net!easynet-tele!easynet.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
```

```
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: CW or CPR?
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 08:22:54 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: d48e07a6.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=5Bk0H71PD72L:]83Yo7ZD;LoK8f=:?
J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7R17L8Q_QNa:lBFDaL\d^n5RA;clmBHFJ;
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18163
```

Some of you argue that CW proficiency examinations are necessary for emergency communications, and that CW knowledge will save lives. I have maintained proficiency in medical first aid, CPR and AED for 27 years. I used those skills many times to assist injured people, some with life threatening conditions. While I often use VHF radio communications, I have never seen a situation that needed or warranted CW communications.

In your life experiences, which proficiency will save more lives, CW or CPR?

Larry KC8EPO

```
From -6843471025935983796
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 05:36:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AC487FC.12FB68A0@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 07:19:56 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC20365.A9504833@IEEE.org> <20010328231348.17661.00001316@nso-
cg.aol.com> <3AC2BDD3.4134D8CA@IEEE.org> <9a1bes$e1m$1@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
```

X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985958593 64.240.47.135 (30 Mar 2001 07:23:13 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18164

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Only a very small percentage of hams ever find the need to use their
> stations for emergency use. I guess you mean that they're all wasted then.

Nope, that's not the point. Emergency preparation is left up to the individual ham. The government doesn't even require an RF transceiver. Why, then, should it require Morse code skill? If Morse code skill is seriously required for emergency communications, one would think an RF transceiver would also be a required necessity.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From -1384050532230389323
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 05:37:22 PST
Message-ID: <3AC488DB.D88F4B3C@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 07:23:39 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <3AC2C2E2.83C446CB@IEEE.org> <9a1bkm$e1m$2@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985958815
64.240.47.135 (30 Mar 2001 07:26:55 -0600)
Lines: 33
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!typhoon.sonic.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18165

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> Far less
> > than 1% of the population know Morse code. What about all those people?
> > If Morse code is such a good idea, why not make it part of every driver's
> > exam.
>
> Get real. NONE of the 99% are licensed hams either.

That's the point, Dick, you finally got it.

> > If citizens don't have food and water stored, they may perish during
> > an emergency. Do you want to make storing food and water mandatory?
>
> But of course a MESSAGE, perhaps needed to be sent on CW in very poor
> conditions which OFTEN accompany disasters, could bring food and water
> to the needy survivors. Of course no such scenario could ever penetrate
> your granite skull.

The point is, Dick, if they stored food and water, they wouldn't need CW.
If Morse code is required, why isn't food and water storage required?
Why isn't an RF transceiver even required? It makes absolutely no sense
to require Morse code skill and not require any RF equipment to go along
with it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3786901258416531809
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 05:40:57 PST
Message-ID: <3AC4897C.DE2884BF@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 07:26:20 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <B6E35B1F.786A%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010325123602.01729.00000532@nso-fc.aol.com>
<B6E6B190.7BF9%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC14D10.4F4E18A6@IEEE.org>
<B6E80739.7DBB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <9a1ao9$e1m$0@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985958976
64.240.47.135 (30 Mar 2001 07:29:36 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!
typhoon.sonic.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18166

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > > SSB is a lot more efficient than CW
> > > if one doesn't know Morse code. :-)
> >
> >   How true. The same when you're talking to someone else who doesn't know

> > code (though you may be able to act out what you're trying to say).
>
> SSB is *zero* efficiency when conditions are too poor to copy, but CW
> will still get through.

Don't you think that should be a matter of free will choice in a hobby in
a free country rather than making it a governmental affirmative action
requirement?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -8317607101987857453
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 05:41:26 PST
Message-ID: <3AC48A01.6DB2D501@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 07:28:33 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99u5ha$dvq$0@208.206.142.72>
<3AC2C772.C210A3FA@IEEE.org> <9a1bte$hfj$0@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985959109
64.240.47.135 (30 Mar 2001 07:31:49 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!EU.net!npeer.kpnqwest.net!
news.tele.dk!193.174.75.178!news-fra1.dfn.de!news-fra.pop.de!news.csl-gmbh.net!
news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18167

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Not too surprising, since you're not a very accomplished CW operator,
> one who can copy down into the noise.

It's hard to be an accomplished CW operator when one is going deaf.
I can't even hear the noise much less the CW buried in the noise.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1466367710033491525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5ef7d56e4b043276,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 05:46:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news-
feeder.wcg.net!WCG!news.netcologne.de!news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.novia.net.MISMATCH!novia!not-for-mail
From: pschleck@novia.net (Paul W. Schleck)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Still More Best-Of Vince Biancomano Rebuttal Quotes
Date: 30 Mar 2001 07:43:04 -0600
Lines: 339
Message-ID: <3ac48cbf$0$5199$45beb828@newscene.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.40.24.1
X-Trace: 985959617 newscene.com 216.40.24.1 216.40.24.1
Filter-NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.40.24.1
Filter-X-Trace: 985959617 newscene.com 5199 216.40.24.1
X-Newsreader: NN version 6.5.5 (NOV)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18168

An interval of about every 6 months seems to be best for posting new editions of this list. At that time, Vince's posts, and rebuttals to his posts, have been voluminous enough to put together a nice collection of new quotes. The first two editions can be viewed at:

http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/vince/

The following quotes were taken from rec.radio.amateur.policy from late 2000 to early 2001. All are presented without internal modification (including correction of spelling errors), but have been trimmed from the original posts for brevity.

"> No, May 1965 QST has the Docket.

Oh. Thanks for the clarification of your citation. I must have been thinking of the other citation you use.

So now I'm off to the flea markets to hunt for a May 1965 QST to find out if the opinions of people who haven't run the FCC for 35 years bear any resemblance to the Commission's present view of the Amateur Service."

- Jim Kelley, AC6XG

"Again you want to relive a 35+ year old docket to justify your claim while ignoring the current and most recent R&O which appears to in no way sustain your argument."

- Bill Sohl, K2UNK

"No doubt the FCC is just doing as they were ordered to by the Bilderberg Group. Or was it the Trilateral Commission?"

- Jon Bloom, KE3Z

"> That's why there
 > was a petition for reconsideration that basically picked the
 > Commission apart, like a John Unitas two-minute drill.

Yep, and that petition was just as effective as a present day John Unitas two-minute drill."

- Cecil A. Moore, W6RCA

"Whatever makes you happy, Vince. But when I turned on the radio this morning, there it was - still there on 40 meters; amateur radio. You must be thinking of something else."

- Jim Kelley, AC6XG

"So they will do what they want, but 20 years after deciding to eliminate the amateur service they have yet to get it eliminated? That makes no sense at all."

- Jon Bloom, KE3Z

"Seems to me, Vince's false hope is that the ARS has already gone away."

- Cecil A. Moore, W6RCA

"The world according to Vince: The FCC has no allegiance to anyone, is on a 20-year mission to destroy ham radio, and is unable to figure out how to write a response that says: 'Nothing new here. Dismissed.'"

- Jon Bloom, KE3Z

"So the organization that feels it can do what it wants, owes allegiance to no one and feels that those reasons make it necessary to protect its public image double speaks in its Report and Orders so that you can see the Big Lie?"

- Ed Hare, W1RFI

"So the organization that says and feels whatever it wants and owes allegiance to no one is senstive to the policital nature of Amateur Radio and wants to protect its public image by... Man, this has gotten so convoluted I can't even type it.

Let's just say I think you are dead wrong and let it go at that."

- Ed Hare, W1RFI

"Oh now I get it. They 'know enough to keep quiet' so as to keep from getting 'nailed' because Congress has a 'history' of wanting to 'dumb down' amateur radio operators until they can no longer fix or even use their radios. And by then they'll be so stupid that the radio fairies can come right down and take away all the radios. And the FCC can finally sell the spectrum to Kenwood. And Vince can finish smoking the rope the FCC supplied.

The End.

So let it be written, so let it be done, thus spoke Biancomano."

- Jim Kelley, AC6XG

"I predicted they'll say: 'Nothing new here. Dismissed.' I also predict that when they say that, you'll claim they're REALLY saying something else that only you truly understand."

- Jon Bloom, KE3Z

"Are you criticizing the FCC for not being dictatorial and for working with the infrastructure it is regulating?"

- Ed Hare, W1RFI

"> a Commission-to-Amateur Radio relationship doesn't exist in a vacuum.

Should it?"

- Jon Bloom KE3Z

"If Vic Clark said it was impossible to arrest the evolution and development of the ARS, he was a smart man and you should listen to him, Vince. If he said that it was possible to arrest the evolution and development of the ARS, then he wasn't so smart."

- Cecil A. Moore, W6RCA

"Do you believe that is less effort to study the 200+ page Now You're Talking and a 400-question pool to enter ham today than it was for me to enter ham radio by studying a 4-page study guide?"

- Ed Hare, W1RFI

">I've known 12-18 Extras who never learned Ohm's Law,
 >by their free admission

Vince, I find it hard to believe that 12-18 Extras would freely admit that to you. Your attitude towards these new hams has not been one that I believe would engender such confidence.

I am curious, though; is it 12, or 18? Why the uncertainty?"

- Ed Hare, W1RFI

"Oh, wait... it is either one or a trillion of them. Glad we didn't bring any extremes into this discussion. :-)"

- Ed Hare, W1RFI

"Why can't they be like WE were... perfect in every way. Musta' been that long walk to school..."

- Ed Hare, W1RFI

"Humorous post, Vince. Irrelevant, but humorous. The mind works in mysterious ways.

Here's what actually transpired:

Jon said:
> >Please give an example of a simple Ohm's law problem.

You said:
> One example:
> For Technician, a bona fide (non-trivial) series-parallel
> resistive network would do, where it's going to be an advantage
> to at least once have to determine an equivalent resistance in
> order to solve the voltage or current across/through some element
> within the network. For the higher classes of license, you'd want
> to have at least one resistor in a diagonal configuration.

Jon said:
>Okay, so it's a DC network analysis problem. How about we call
>it that from now on so people won't think we're talking about
>Ohm's Law when we're really talking network analysis?

You said:
>That would depend on whom you want to scare. 'Network analysis'
>to many implies engineering-grade knowledge and the parlance that
>goes with it.

Jon said:
>Well, if you want hams to be technically astute, having them
>learn what terms actually mean is certainly worthwhile.

You said:
>Whatever your wish. To me, it's an Ohm's Law problem.

Then I said:
>I don't know if it's entirely accurate to call an equivalent resistance
>problem, an Ohms law problem.

Then you said:
>I haven't.

And ever since then, you've been going around in circles. Well, not
circles really. More like lissajous figures or a Mobius loop."

- Jim Kelley, AC6XG

"Actually, I find that conversations with Vince bear a remarkable
resemblance to a Klein bottle:
http://www.sff.net/people/asaro/klein.html#bottle"

- Jon Bloom, KE3Z

"This is the sort of thing that gives mindless pedantry a bad name."

- Gore Vidal

"> No, I didn't tear into you, Jim.

'You'll have to troll alone tonight.'

'Keep praying for that break, Jim. Have another drink.'

'If you had a case, you'd have made it. Indeed, if you had a case, you'd also be able to spell the word 'blatent' correctly with a straight pen.'

Then please explain how these statements relate to ohms law, and support your assertion."

- Jim Kelley, AC6XG

"Apparently, (Biancomano) believes that it is sufficient merely to assert."

- Gore Vidal

"Would you mind telling us what planet you are from, Vince?"

- Cecil A. Moore, W6RCA

"So you say. If it isn't something that has been published by the ARRL or posted here, just how does anyone have a clue as to what your 'details' really are?"

- Bill Sohl, K2UNK

"Another non-answer. Humor me, Vince, please answer the question even if you are repeating yourself. I don't remember you offering any 'real-world consequences' before. Seems all I remember are imaginary deluded consequences."

- Cecil A. Moore, W6RCA

"That's a mouth full of unspecified jargon. You offer not one practical example of what/how you'd accomplish your goal...and you again avoid answering the fundamental question..."

- Bill Sohl, K2UNK

"Vince is asked for copies of all of this documentation, even offered 25 cents a page for reproduction. Vince refuses, stating (paradoxically) that his revealing such evidence would made it LESS CREDIBLE (as if it's more credible unrevealed?) because he could be accused of doctoring it. This is reminiscent of Vince's refusing to prove Fred Maia's 'women and children' quote unless Fred denies it in writing (Fred says that he doesn't recall)."

- Paul W. Schleck, K3FU

"Mr. Sumner probably didn't want to come right out and say that they have a specially shaped file for Vince's documents."

- Jim Kelley, AC6XG

"I found that the kill file worked wonders in 'understanding' Vince. ;)"

- Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ

"No explanations are necessary for statements one extracts from the nether regions of their anatomy.

'I believe it, ergo it is fact' is the operative phrase here."

- Fred Hambrecht, W4JLE

"Oh, and by the way, which of your opponents for Hudson Division Director made that quote which you paraphrased as:

'you know, there's no reason for Amateur Radio to be a technical hobby today, simply because there's no jobs for engineers. You know, my father was a plumber, and advised me to do the same. Looking back on it, you know, he was right.'

?

The individual who defeated you four times says that his father was not a plumber, and nothing resembling such a statement was made by him."

- Paul W. Schleck, K3FU

"Vince, you remind me of a friend of mine. When I asked him what
He would do if his wife caught him in bed with another woman,
he answered, 'I'd just deny it.'"

- Cecil A. Moore, W6RCA

"If anyone out there thinks Vince answered ANY question in full I'd like to hear from you."

- Bill Sohl, K2UNK

"Oh, God, Vince. Are you *still* around whining? Sheesh, you love being miserable don't you?"

- Kim Walker, W5TIT

```
From 6171808981382125653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 05:53:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net>
<9a1cg5$iov$0@208.207.71.157> <3AC44176.D75461F9@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 24
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
```

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <CN%w6.99$6f2.29183@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /K0ZEDqIWUHZ5hzktBb0dFQGF8vzkQVhvsnc9/
olOiyK4uR3KQ843XkekKSojUoAxIHyDQmg6RB7!
VSCdtD424jP4JEVnBayQDIqAT3UUVWStThgUCuSI4bEt+P0qjzQMyZz5F/gMQE4Bv79hUxmfElhp!
HprG4lI=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 13:23:46 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 13:23:46 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18169
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19913 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11270
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14334
```

"Zoran Brlecic" <zbrlecic@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3AC44176.D75461F9@earthlink.net...

> No one's taking your guns away, Dick. If we lived in a perfect world
where everybody's
> happy and friendly and lovey-dovey, there would be no need for firearms,
but sadly this
> will never be. Until then, it will take a majority of Americans to amend
the Second
> Amendment, and it's not happening in our lifetime.
> SNIP

Thank God! You had better hope and pray that those in power are never able to convene a Constitutional Convention again. With the current beliefs and attitudes of many in Congress these days the Second Amendment is not the only thing that would be at risk. The First Amendment would be right behind it, and then the Fourth, etc.

A Constitutional Convention is the single most dangerous thing that the current crop of politicians could do. The aftermath would be the end of the United States as we know it...

73 de W6DKN

```
From -3109202129564741982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 06:01:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AC48DB6.B93DD9B9@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 07:44:22 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985960058
64.240.47.135 (30 Mar 2001 07:47:38 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18170

Larry Klose wrote:
> In your life experiences, which proficiency will save more lives, CW or CPR?

Heck, mandatory crash helmets for all vehicles would save lots more
lives than mandatory Morse code.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5630525142040797411
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 06:06:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 14:03:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985960995 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 06:03:15 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 06:03:15 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18171

You are just begging to have DICK go into one of his rants from "back in the day"

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
news:3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
> Some of you argue that CW proficiency examinations are necessary for
> emergency communications, and that CW knowledge will save lives. I have
> maintained proficiency in medical first aid, CPR and AED for 27 years. I
> used those skills many times to assist injured people, some with life
> threatening conditions. While I often use VHF radio communications, I have
> never seen a situation that needed or warranted CW communications.
>
> In your life experiences, which proficiency will save more lives, CW or CPR?
>
> Larry KC8EPO
>

From -1856917209146551763
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 06:36:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 14:33:30 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9a25fq$p35$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AC48409.78D5C13A@arrl.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985962810 25701 149.174.88.240 (30 Mar 2001 14:33:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 14:33:30 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18172

>It's more than tolerated, it's *used*, and by leading
>publications.

You've made no distinction between 'used,' 'tolerated,' or being
'plain sloppy.' The fact that it's 'used' thus means nothing.

>> The one I just provided above re: CPUs is typical of any that
>>can be found in any good engineering journal. I don't see you,
>>or your professionals, arguing with it.

>That's because neither they nor I are trying to apply a "rule"
>to all cases, as you are.

I believe it fair to say in many cases, they've forgotten the
'rules.'

>You argue that since "CPUs" is used rather than "CPU's" that
>"50s" must be used rather than "50's." That mindless insistence
>on a rule is what's wrong with your position.

I think Len's overview on what the user/style guides say on the
matter pretty much sums it up.

Regards, Vince WB2EZG

From -8095214229616134806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 06:48:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 09:51:22 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.237
X-Trace: news1.atl 985963477 216.76.74.237 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 09:44:37 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 09:44:37 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18173

Larry Klose wrote ...

> Some of you argue that CW proficiency examinations are necessary for
> emergency communications, and that CW knowledge will save lives. I have
> maintained proficiency in medical first aid, CPR and AED for 27 years. I
> used those skills many times to assist injured people, some with life
> threatening conditions. While I often use VHF radio communications, I have
> never seen a situation that needed or warranted CW communications.
>
> In your life experiences, which proficiency will save more lives, CW or CPR?
>

Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of CW saved over the years? Many, many more I would think. A minimal proficiency in CW would certainly be a benefit and not a detriment to the hobby. I don't believe it has to be all or nothing. I maintain a proficiency in HF voice communication and procedure for the same reason.

Regards,

Arnie -
KT4ST

"What Hath God Wrought?"

From 6258409852621683218
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 06:54:29 PST
Message-ID: <3AC49AE8.8D3EB1F3@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 08:40:41 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985963436
64.240.47.43 (30 Mar 2001 08:43:56 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news-feeder.wcg.net!WCG!mtu.ru!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18174

Arnie Macy wrote:
> Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of CW
> saved over the years? Many, many more I would think.

Arnie, you really think that over the past 10 years, CW has saved
more lives than CPR?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3978008341933089330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 07:09:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <3AC49AE8.8D3EB1F3@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?

```
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <9g1x6.164150$p66.48220973@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 15:04:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985964677 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 07:04:37
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 07:04:37 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18175
```

Perhaps in the 30's and 40's =)

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC49AE8.8D3EB1F3@IEEE.org...

> Arnie Macy wrote:
> > Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of
CW
> > saved over the years? Many, many more I would think.
>
> Arnie, you really think that over the past 10 years, CW has saved
> more lives than CPR?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6538086075943619142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 07:11:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!
```

newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 15:07:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985964877 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 07:07:57 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 07:07:57 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18176

> Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of CW
> saved over the years? Many, many more I would think. A minimal proficiency
> in CW would certainly be a benefit and not a detriment to the hobby. I
> don't believe it has to be all or nothing. I maintain a proficiency in HF
> voice communication and procedure for the same reason.

With my work with Red Cross (Canadian) as well as when I'm on the road, never once have I ever felt the need to tap away at the keey when an emergency arose. The people I would be looking for help from would in fact be close enough that voice communications on hf would be quite satisfactory. Never once have I asked for help from a distant station in timbuktu. What would be the point?

Furthermore, at home or in town; why wouldn't I simply call for help on the phone or cell? Would be far more efficient!

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                      |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

From -8463993531176036650

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 07:34:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Mar 2001 15:20:17 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9a287h$qur$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3AC3E8D9.1A3C33BD@arrl.org> <9a0q8j$ln0$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 985965617 27611 205.139.138.14 (30 Mar 2001 15:20:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 15:20:17 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: 103520.355@CompuServe.COM
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18177

In article <9a0q8j$ln0$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>, Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> writes:

> That's how good professionals deal with the issue.

Good professionals? Nobody here but us amateurs, Vince. I'm surprised you don't have an old W4KFC quote to cover this issue!

dit dit, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

---

From -8633633469288716638
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 08:56:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 16:52:12 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9a2djs$38k$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <9a287h$qur$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985971132 3348 149.174.88.251 (30
Mar 2001 16:52:12 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 16:52:12 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18178

>Good professionals?  Nobody here but us amateurs, Vince.  I'm
>surprised you don't have an old W4KFC quote to cover this issue!

>dit dit, de Hans, K0HB

No Vic Clark this time. The closest I can get to a suitable quote
on the previous subject under discussion is this:

"Biacomo (Biancomano), I jumped out of an airplane yesterday
without a parachute! Does it matter? Boyzzzz, you just can't get
a decent job done today!
---George Olsen, 1960

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG


From -7073321565681288640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 09:00:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 16:54:59 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 5
Message-ID: <9a2dp3$rn0$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
```

```
References: <9a2djs$38k$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985971299 28384 149.174.88.247 (30
Mar 2001 16:54:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 16:54:59 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18179

>Boyzzzz, you just can't get a decent job done today!

Correction, should read:

"Boyzzz, you just can't get a decent job done RIGHT today!"


From -1519627919750388648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 10:00:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!freenix!skynet.be!newsfeed1.news.nl.uu.net!
sun4nl!lnewspeer00.lnd.ops.eu.uu.net!lnewspost00.lnd.ops.eu.uu.net!emea.uu.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Gary Peach" <xcg34@dial.pipex.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.10.10103140227100.5106-100000@cluster2>
<B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net>
<9a1cg5$iov$0@208.207.71.157> <3AC44176.D75461F9@earthlink.net>
<3AC47C58.9DA256B4@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 18:47:19 +0100
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3ac4c8ea$0$15028$cc9e4d1f@news.dial.pipex.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: usercb86.uk.uudial.com
X-Trace: 985975019 news.dial.pipex.com 15028 62.188.150.253
X-Complaints-To: abuse@uk.uu.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18180
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19945 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11279
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14351

"W6RCecilA" wrote ...
> Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> > If we lived in a perfect world where everybody's
> > happy and friendly and lovey-dovey, there would be no need for firearms,
...
>
> Now, wait just a minute. There's a need to fill my freezer with venison.

A bow and arrow was good enough for Robin Hood.
--
Gary7SLL
51� 23' 50" N 1� 19' 58"W
www.gary.peach.dial.pipex.com/

From 9079433307942538236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 10:31:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!iquest!SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.30
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 13:26:52 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC807E29FB7@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <tc1pnhpshgnn84@news.supernews.com>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC807D88AE7@www.premiersi.com>
<3AC20EF3.7A3E9BEA@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18181

I think lids, kids and space cadets are fine if they want to use ham radio. They, like all the rest of us, should have at least a smidgen of civility and behave accordingly on the air (and in the newsgroups). "Do unto others, etc. etc."

Somewhere along the line, the quest for "free speech" seems (at times) to have devoured the responsibility for common decency.

When you are not face to face, it seems that anything goes. When the fear of a punch in the nose is removed, the tongue loosens, inhibitions disappear, and insults start flying. Not unlike the effect of a half-dozen beers.

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC20EF3.7A3E9BEA@IEEE.org...
> jimmy john wrote:
> > Thank God they are not surrounded by a bunch of old-fart, cynical,
> > name-calling, holier-than-thou or vulgar-as-hell "examples" of hamdom
like
> > many that frequent these newsgroups.
>
> You mean like, "No lids, no kids, and no space cadets"?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2279425053742630606
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 11:00:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gb0owb2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010330005221.04525.00000337@nso-cu.aol.com> <9a1tsa$ddd$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.

```
Lines: 14
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 18:51:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.56
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 985978296 206.58.44.56 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 18:51:36
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 18:51:36 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18182

On 30 Mar 2001 12 VINCENT BIANCOMANO wrote:

>That's why we still have people saying "A
>is comprised of B and C," instead of "A comprises B and C."

  That's because they should say that B and C comprise A, not
  A comprises B and C.



--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP






From 5612561687850355007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 11:08:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AC4D67C.3FF64BDC@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 12:54:52 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
```

<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net>
<9a1cg5$iov$0@208.207.71.157> <3AC44176.D75461F9@earthlink.net>
<3AC47C58.9DA256B4@IEEE.org> <3ac4c8ea$0$15028$cc9e4d1f@news.dial.pipex.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.123
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 985978689
64.240.47.123 (30 Mar 2001 12:58:09 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18183
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19954 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11282
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14356

Gary Peach wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" wrote ...
> > Now, wait just a minute. There's a need to fill my freezer with venison.
>
> A bow and arrow was good enough for Robin Hood.

I'm a Cowboy, not an Indian. :-) And Robin Hood would probably have traded
his bow and arrow for my SKS with a 30 round magazine full of armor-piercing
ammo. Can you imagine the king's armored knights charging Robin Hood equipped
with an SKS?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5123391716970845023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 11:16:21 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 19:14:14 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.69
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.69
X-Trace: 30 Mar 2001 13:12:18 -0600, 64.24.240.69
Lines: 31
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18184
```

On Fri, 30 Mar 2001 02:13:55 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>Whats there to get ... its an inefficient mode that has seen its day come
>and pass.
>
It's 'bout 10dB more effective than ssb. You'll be dead & gone before
the last ham cw qso.
>
>
>As if you see an undergrad engineer get anywhere close either ... they are
>
>all masters or doctors ... undergrads count screws for 'em.
>
Don't know history either, huh?
>
>CEO's are businessman ... not developpers
>
When CEOs need "developers" they tell HR to hire some. They come
cheap.
>
>From what i have seen of your history ... none of your presidents have been
>much more than something for every nut bag in the country to rally behind
>when they call for war.

>
That's astute. Go back to 10m Son.
>--
>Rob St.Denis
>
w3rv

From -2205257046209307605
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 11:20:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Mar 2001 19:16:51 GMT
References: <3ac42fe6.109066864@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010330141651.14296.00000672@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18185

In article <3ac42fe6.109066864@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
writes:

>On Wed, 28 Mar 2001 20:53:12 -0600, Robert Casey
><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>>
>>Restructuring probably just reduced costs and effort on
>>the part of the FCC than anything else. Got rid of the
>>medical wavier for high speed CW issue too.
>>
>You just very neatly and concisely got to the real bottom line. Good
>show.

I don't think so. That's what Kelly WANTS to be the "bottom line."

The biennial restructuring of ALL FCC rules and regulations is
simply one more step in the evolution of ALL radio regulations,
amateur radio included. FCC 99-312 (the R&O) brought US
amateur radio a bit closer to the reality of today's US amateur
radio.

There is a constant among those with the long-timer/old-fart/Lifer
mentality: All the major regulations should remain the same as
when They became licensed...and they will continue to advocate
that as long as they continue to view the avocation in their own
personal terms. There is absolutely nothing but personal

preference in such a viewpoint and that "p-p" is totally against
the wishes of the amateur community at large or the desires of
those who might want to get into that hobby.

```
From -2019317866587260825
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 11:20:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Mar 2001 19:16:54 GMT
References: <pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18186
```

In article <pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>, "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
writes:

>Larry Klose wrote ...
>
>> Some of you argue that CW proficiency examinations are necessary for
>> emergency communications, and that CW knowledge will save lives. I have
>> maintained proficiency in medical first aid, CPR and AED for 27 years. I
>> used those skills many times to assist injured people, some with life
>> threatening conditions. While I often use VHF radio communications, I
>> have never seen a situation that needed or warranted CW communications.
>>
>> In your life experiences, which proficiency will save more lives, CW or
>> CPR?
>
>Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of CW
>saved over the years? Many, many more I would think.

Unproven except that such is your opinion. Please provide as many
examples as you think necessary to enforce the FCC regulations
requiring a morse code test for an amateur radio license...for the
FCC's purpose of regulation.

Please note that modern emergency communications involving life-
saving services use VHF and UHF Public Service bands, not HF
bands. [the Titanic sank in 1912, some time ago...]

>A minimal proficiency

>in CW would certainly be a benefit and not a detriment to the hobby.

Then let it be optional in USE, not part of the license test. Amateur
radio is NOT primarily a public safety radio service.

>I don't believe it has to be all or nothing.

This is a definite change in Macy's stance of the past.

>I maintain a proficiency in HF
>voice communication and procedure for the same reason.

Why not include a "proficiency test" at amateur radio bands above
HF?

```
From -1339524668267408333
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 11:21:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Mar 2001 19:16:55 GMT
References: <3AC48409.78D5C13A@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010330141655.14296.00000677@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18187
```

In article <3AC48409.78D5C13A@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>> The one I just provided above re: CPUs is typical of any that can
>> be found in any good engineering journal. I don't see you, or
>> your professionals, arguing with it.
>
>That's because neither they nor I are trying to apply a "rule" to all
>cases, as you are. You argue that since "CPUs" is used rather than
>"CPU's" that "50s" must be used rather than "50's." That mindless
>insistence on a rule is what's wrong with your position.

Mindless insistence on maintenance of the morse code test
is what is wrong with too many messages in here...

From 1480942390908576640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 11:21:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Mar 2001 19:16:54 GMT
References: <B6E986DC.7F2C%stewartx@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010330141654.14296.00000675@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18188

In article <B6E986DC.7F2C%stewartx@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>W6DKN wrote:
>
>> Yes, we have a big problem these days
>> in the schools - and in society in
>> general - but it is not, and has
>> never been, a gun problem. It's a people
>> problem, and as long as nobody in power
>> has the "brass" to address it for
>> what it is, it will only get worse...
>
> Absolutely well written, W6DKN. The problem is not guns, or the cars used
>for joy riding, or the street corners used to sell drugs, or anything else
>beyond the people involved. Until attitudes change, and we end this
>seemingly endless tolerance to everything subversive to civilized society,
>the problems are simply not going to stop.

It's remarkable that, on reaching middle age, the "wisdom" of
life experience of some individuals suddenly decides that the
world is going to hell and "things were so much better when
I was young/younger." :-)

Until the attitudes of Real Hams change, and we end this
seeming intolerance of non-morse-coders, the problems of
amateur radio policy arguments against amateur regulation
changes is not going to stop.

From -8384611604954018114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 11:22:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Mar 2001 19:16:53 GMT
References: <3AC417BB.294037B1@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010330141653.14296.00000674@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18189
```

In article <3AC417BB.294037B1@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>> It's reading the fine print in users' guides that count, Jon.
>
>So are you going to provide a reference or are you pulling this
>stuff out of your posterior like your 50-year old quotes?

The written word is a form of communications. There are rules for style/syntax of English. Those rules are used by those who accept or reject written words that are communicated on paper or on screen to hundreds and thousands of others. Such is the "test" that is met every day by writers of all kinds, amateur or professional. The "test givers" are the editors.

The argument on style under this thread has bogged down into some rather selfish insistence of "being right originally" in regards to the apostrophe indicating a special plural form. While some publications accept that special case of "73's," the vast majority of editors and publishers will go for a more mundane plural "73s" with no apostrophe. Rationalization of use of an unacceptible style form in a newsgroup as "being correct" by personal insult of another does not make such rationalization "correct."

What is interesting is the VERY RIGID stance on form and style in amateur radio must be preserved at all costs, yet someone's personal style of the written word is now "correct" simply because they used it. What is wrong with "live and let live?"

```
From 2320849283741566392
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 11:22:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 59
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Mar 2001 19:16:52 GMT
References: <3AC3E8D9.1A3C33BD@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010330141652.14296.00000673@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18190

In article <3AC3E8D9.1A3C33BD@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>Vincent Biancomano wrote:

>> Again, the only point that we can concede to is that your book
>
>Books, Vince. Plural.

...are you disagreeing with the references I cited? [I would have
included "Strunk and White" except mine is so old and worn it
went to the recycler last year]

>> says it CAN be done. In point of fact, in true professional work,
>> it's NOT done, simply because it doesn't meet accepted style.
>
>And yet, I provided several examples from leading newspapers where that
>form is used repeatedly. Could you name some publications that conform
>to "true professional work" in your view?

I can provide several examples in the March 30, 2001, editions of
the Los Angeles Times, the New York Times, the Wall Street
Journal, all of which contain at least one typographical error.
I would consider those three to be "true professional work" insofar
as journalism is concerned.

I can start listing a few hundred titles of professional work which
are in front of me as I type this (in 39 lineal feet of book space),
but that would not settle the argument. The argument has settled
into the "I'm right and you're wrong" sort of heckling.

>Red herring. We're discussing the use of the apostrophe to form plurals
>of letters, numbers and symbols, not the use of the word "comprise." All
>the comprised's you care to cite are beside the point.

Your last sentence should have been written "All the compromises you
care to cite are beside the point."   :-)

>> It's reading the fine print in users' guides that count, Jon.
>
>Please cite the fine print, Vince.

The "fine print" (metaphorically speaking) is in the TEST of
whether or not an editor with red-mark your copy, thus
pointing out mistakes. Real Writers pass such TESTs every
time they submit something to editors.

If you wish to align yourself with K0HB's "evolving language"
(which does not, apparently, have to follow "rules"), then here
is an example of a word found in this morning's Los Angeles
Times: "Amped" rather than "amplified."

Amusing in regard to the rigid, set-in-everlasting-concrete
"rules" of amateur radio jargon, style, and even opinion.
Anyone differing from such rigid rulemaking is obviously not
a "Real Ham" nor can they ever be one. :-)

From -5844886563659255924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 12:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 12:04:26 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AC4E6CA.26247DB2@uci.edu>
References: <3AC3E5A6.D7A55F9A@uci.edu> <9a0pvq$ln0$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18191

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

> >I usually say seven three instead of seventy three. That's too
> >long in CW. :-)

> OK, but in the professional world it would be written "73s."

Seven three would be written "73s"? Guess I missed the meeting when
that was decided. Good thing you were there, though.

Best Regards's

Jim

From 5681094643986400399
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 12:20:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: 30 Mar 2001 20:19:15 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9a2po3$61b$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gb0owb2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 985983555 6187 149.174.88.254 (30 Mar 2001 20:19:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 20:19:15 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18192

>>That's why we still have people saying "A
>>is comprised of B and C," instead of "A comprises B and C."

>That's because they should say that B and C comprise A, not
>A comprises B and C.

No, not to purists. Under such conditions, they could say:

B and C COMPOSE A; or alternatively,
A IS COMPOSED OF B and C. Or, turning it around,

A COMPRISES B and C.

The general rule is that the whole comprises the parts,
and the parts compose the whole.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 6985011777921114354
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 12:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 12:33:11 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3AC4ED87.CB04C07@uci.edu>
References: <3AC35C8A.F412F948@IEEE.org> <20010330005222.04525.00000338@nso-cu.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18193

Len Over 21 wrote:
> It would seem that Extra class licensees are automatically
> the highest-grade at English language authority? I don't
> think so.

Neither does anyone else. So, I guess it was a pretty stupid thing to say.

From 7791568312126013664
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 12:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 12:42:22 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3AC4EFAE.C2D1F916@uci.edu>

References: <3AC48409.78D5C13A@arrl.org> <20010330141655.14296.00000677@nso-mj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18194

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Mindless insistence on maintenance of the morse code test
> is what is wrong with too many messages in here...

Seems rather mindless to introduce Morse code into a discusssion that
has nothing to do with Morse code.

From 3747314916678984748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 13:02:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 21:01:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 985986107 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 13:01:47 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 13:01:47 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18195

> It's 'bout 10dB more effective than ssb. You'll be dead & gone before
> the last ham cw qso.

Actually, you mean you can hear a signal that is 10dB weaker. Efficiency has nothing to do with it. Fact is the average cw'er is around 12wpm. You speak and type far quicker than that. Not to mention more information is carried per watt in a ssb transmission.

> Don't know history either, huh?

Who developped calculus? Who developped theories on EM and optics? Who practically wrote the book on QM? Who is developping theories on elementary particles?

They were all physicists. Engineers take our texts and copy our info to design products.

> That's astute. Go back to 10m Son.

I operate on the lot of the HF bands, not simply 10. 10 is dead.

```
--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

From 6612696568182538112
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 13:12:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 13:07:07 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3AC4F57B.996EFA74@uci.edu>
References: <3ac42fe6.109066864@news2.dvol.com>
<20010330141651.14296.00000672@nso-mj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18196

Len Over 21 wrote:

> FCC 99-312 (the R&O) brought US
> amateur radio a bit closer to the reality of today's US amateur
> radio.

US Amatuer radio is becoming more like US amateur radio. Well that's
certainly hard to deny.

He sure showed you, Brian.

From 224038269307421992
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 14:34:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com>
<e_rw6.8831$IA1.705930@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: license
Lines: 31
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <6R7x6.22297$QA3.745001@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 22:33:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 985991618 24.131.233.143 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001
17:33:38 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 17:33:38 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18197

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:e_rw6.8831$IA1.705930@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> One guy was going into the rants about the necessity of CW and we simply
> switched to about 40 WPM F2 emission (yes, the repeater owner was in the
> gang and he said 'go for it' - he has no problem with 40. It did quiet
the
> discussion down. A lot.).

I bet it did at that!! 8-)) The only time I ever hear CW on a repeater is
when a local club is using their machine to give code practice sessions.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

```
From 1202462168945458443
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 14:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com>
<xUww6.220$Ua4.407643@nntp3.onemain.com> <3AC37E30.111F@bellsouth.net>
<3AC37D38.6DC40784@IEEE.org> <9a1dlg$j7g$1@208.207.71.157>
Subject: Re: license
Lines: 27
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
```

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <KW7x6.22298$QA3.744320@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 22:39:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 985991978 24.131.233.143 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 17:39:38 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 17:39:38 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18198

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a1dlg$j7g$1@208.207.71.157...
> Leland whines on anyway, citing reams of homemade "case law".

You must be short on sleep, or doing drugs, I don't know which, but you have me confused with one of your other targets of your thoughtful prose.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

From 5918633050147281122
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 15:26:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC51528.2F865C07@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: license
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com>
<e_rw6.8831$IA1.705930@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 17:22:16 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18199

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

> ps - what's the new nomenclature for F2 anyways (not that it is of
> earthshaking importance)?

MCW- tone-modulated international Morse code telegraphy emissions having etc...

john



From 7671795463797488902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 15:32:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!
news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC5169C.B8F3D915@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AC1505A.E8751DD7@IEEE.org> <gJcw6.149668$p66.42494666@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 17:28:28 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18200

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:

> 73 year olds perhaps ...
>
```

That's a lot of infants.

john

From 7560005474566829123
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 15:44:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC5196D.961F835C@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <3AC3FAC6.FF6FC332@arrl.org> <9a138f$4ut$3@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 17:40:29 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18201

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

> >Good professionals apply style in context rather than relying on
> >hard-and-fast rules that do not, in fact, exist.
>
> Then we agree in the sense that applying style in context means
> that you write "the CPUs work at 800 MHz," NOT "the CPU's work at
> 800 MHz." For you haven't disagreed with that.

The cpu's work at 800 MHz is quickly done.

john

From 9159981202683284867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 17:28:04 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 01:25:30 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <3ac530f1.1038475@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4a.04
X-Server-Date: 31 Mar 2001 01:23:05 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18202

On Fri, 30 Mar 2001 09:51:22 -0500, "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
wrote:

>Larry Klose wrote ...
>
>> Some of you argue that CW proficiency examinations are necessary for
>> emergency communications, and that CW knowledge will save lives. I have
>> maintained proficiency in medical first aid, CPR and AED for 27 years. I
>> used those skills many times to assist injured people, some with life
>> threatening conditions. While I often use VHF radio communications, I
>have
>> never seen a situation that needed or warranted CW communications.
>>
>> In your life experiences, which proficiency will save more lives, CW or
>CPR?
>
>Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of CW
>saved over the years? Many, many more I would think.

I seriously doubt that. In the last decade there has not been
any lifesaving CW episodes. How many people did CPR save during
that same time?   Probably hundreds or maybe thousands.

There may have been a day when CW saved many lives
simply because CW was the ONLY mode in use for such
situations. That is simply no longer the case.

>A minimal proficiency
>in CW would certainly be a benefit and not a detriment to the hobby.

If you stated that as being on a voluntary basis I'd have no
argument. The facts, however, to support your statement as
a mandatory test requirement don't add up.

> I

>don't believe it has to be all or nothing. I maintain a proficiency in HF
>voice communication and procedure for the same reason.

Those that want to can and should be free to maintain CW
proficiency. I support you on that...BUT not on mandatory
requirement for same.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -2709690781642904208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 17:30:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC532C5.88F23495@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <B6D62296.6BCA%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com> <98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103>
<2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177>
<B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
<3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
<3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com> <B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org> <zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org> <h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net>
<9a1cg5$iov$0@208.207.71.157> <3AC44176.D75461F9@earthlink.net>
<3AC47C58.9DA256B4@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

Lines: 16
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 01:26:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.164.212
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986002019 209.245.164.212 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 17:26:59 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 17:26:59 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18203 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19987 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11300 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14386

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Don't look now, but the Second Amendment has already been essentially
> repealed. Am I free to bear arms inside a US Post Office?

I don't know. Are you a disgruntled postal worker?

73 ...... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From 3055291265879201199
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 17:47:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 20:47:07 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3AC53718.31106623@sccoast.net>
References: <B6E986DC.7F2C%stewartx@sccoast.net> <20010330141654.14296.00000675@nso-mj.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-27.r2.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 986003139 313126 204.116.71.28 (31 Mar 2001 01:45:39 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 01:45:39 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18204

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> It's remarkable that, on reaching middle age,
> the "wisdom" of life experience of some
> individuals suddenly decides that the world
> is going to hell and "things were so much
> better when I was young/younger." :-)

But, today, it is not the fancy of an old man reminiscing about the "good old days." Things were better years ago, and all of the available statistics prove it.

> Until the attitudes of Real Hams change, and
> we end this seeming intolerance of non-morse-
> coders, the problems of amateur radio policy
> arguments against amateur regulation changes
> is not going to stop.

"This seeming intolerance?" Boy, I must have missed something in what I wrote.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 1712174326151321408
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 17:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 01:48:15 GMT
References: <tc7ma454gf783d@news.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler

Subject: Re: Morse Code Flashcards
Message-ID: <20010330204815.22424.00000419@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18206

In article <tc7ma454gf783d@news.supernews.com>, "jstan10" <dummy@address.net>
writes:

>How about if the flash cards are dahs and dits rather than dots and
>dashes? Same problem?

Yes.

> I'm looking for some method to help because I would
>like to take the code test prior to July. Recommendations are
>appreciated including websites.

You obviously have a computer, so training software is the way to go. One good
resource is:

http://www.ah0a.org.

Also take a look at N0HFF's excellent online book at:

http://www.raes.ab.ca/book/index.html

Covers all aspects of learning and using Morse in detail - and it's free!

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

From -6272185817391287453
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 17:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 01:48:16 GMT
References: <3AC3F70A.27AA@bellsouth.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code Flashcards
Message-ID: <20010330204816.22424.00000420@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18205

In article <3AC3F70A.27AA@bellsouth.net>, Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>Presuming that you have no handicaps, like all have said, forget about any "aids" that depend on reading. Think about it: CW is mostly about hearing sounds that you quickly (and, later, automatically) interpret as letters or words. Use AUDIO aids instead of anything that you read or memorize. The fellows are telling you exactly right: the use of "reading" aids will only add to the learning process and hinder your learning of CW. There are a variety of tapes available to progressively move you along to 5, 10, or faster speeds.

I agree 100 % with all of the above. Charts, flashcards and other visual devices cause all sorts of problems in learning aural Morse.

All sorts of Morse training freeware is available, too. Surf over to

http://www.ah0a.org

and download Morse Academy, for an example.

Also, don;t make the mistake of thinking you have to learn 5 wpm forst, then slowly progress to higher speeds. Set the character speed to 15-18 wpm and the word speed to about 7-8 wpm to start. Avoids bad habits like "counting dits".

> Also, DO NOT touch a sending key at all.

I DISAGREE!!! Learning to send HELPS you learn to receive! Get a decent straight key and oscillator and spend about 10-20% of each practice session learning to send.

>The objective is to learn the sounds thru practice. One day, you will reach the point where you automatically hear the letters/words without having to "think" about it. It becomes like a second language.

Precisely. Although it's not a "language" in the sense that French or English is, once you get the skills developed you find yourself understanding Morse directly.

>

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4896076306855543229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 18:18:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!

not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wz8a@aol.commie.NOT (AK)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 02:16:17 GMT
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010330211617.10224.00000724@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18207

>From: "BadaBing"

>I personally was brought up with 73 since it means Best regards, which is
plural.

OK, and so what? It's certainly not important enough to be criticizing a fellow
amateur radio operator over such a technicality. And by the way - I still use
"handle" for "name" sometimes. I learned that on the amateur radio bands,
BEFORE 11-meters was converted from an amateur radio band into a CB band. What
amateur radio does NOT need are stuffed-shirts and know-it-alls who go around
correcting new hams and old timers over matters that they don't know the
history on, and matters that don't really matter. 73, AK

"Pay-to-Pay" (e-file) is not the smart way.
If only IRS were really a "service" ! AK

From 4888671012926310074
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 18:30:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 02:27:09 GMT
References: <3AC4EFAE.C2D1F916@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010330212709.22421.00002075@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18208

>Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>Date: 3/30/01 2:42 PM Central Standard Time

>> Mindless insistence on maintenance of the morse code test

>> is what is wrong with too many messages in here...

>Seems rather mindless to introduce Morse code into a discusssion >that has nothing to do with Morse code.

Jim, is that anyway to treat our resident troller and ner'-do-well? Lennie the Useless hasn't been embroiled in a good fight for days, and you are putting the light of truth on Lennie. He hates that. Trust me, I know.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 5240768130765969270
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 06:20:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AC5E4E3.9E3A04D4@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 08:08:35 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac530f1.1038475@news.mindspring.com>
<20010331083329.09572.00000414@nso-mm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.71
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986047909
64.240.47.71 (31 Mar 2001 08:11:49 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED

```
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18259
```

N2EY wrote:
> But we're really talking "apples and oranges" here, when comparing a radio
> communications mode to an emergency medical procedure.

But it was a CW supporter who brought it up. :-)

> I support having as many tools in the toolbox as possible. That means
> proficiency in as many modes used by amateurs as possible.

Don't you mean "feasible" instead of "possible"? Can you run SS? All modes
are possible including some that are used by three hams worldwide. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -295872204703008057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 06:38:05 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 14:36:48 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac5dedd.36749137@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ac42fe6.109066864@news2.dvol.com>
<20010330141651.14296.00000672@nso-mj.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.181
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.181
X-Trace: 31 Mar 2001 08:34:51 -0600, 64.24.18.181
Lines: 24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18260
```

On 30 Mar 2001 19:16:51 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>

>The biennial restructuring of ALL FCC rules and regulations is
>simply one more step in the evolution of ALL radio regulations,
>
Wrong again, Lenny. Tsk tsk, you'll never get it right. They're
required to *review* the rules every two years, not "restructure" the
rules every two years. You think maybe they're gonna put themselves
thru dealing with a "restructuring" NPRM every two years? They
streamlined the ham regs in a number of respects well beyond just the
code test thing simply to reduce their administrative expenses,
period. And the current set of rules will remain in place for some
number of years per their usual practice. Some bureaucrat will flip
thru the ham regs every two years and say "Ayup, reviewed, next?"
>
Now go find another vent for your drivel Lenny, the code test thing is
worn out, dead and buried. Better luck with your next jihad.
>
w3rv

From -2210140991456913379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 08:08:08 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 16:06:02 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac5f283.41780132@news2.dvol.com>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
<%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.61
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.61
X-Trace: 31 Mar 2001 10:04:05 -0600, 64.24.16.61
Lines: 49
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-

news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18261

On Fri, 30 Mar 2001 21:01:47 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>
>Actually, you mean you can hear a signal that is 10dB weaker. Efficiency
>has nothing to do with it. Fact is the average cw'er is around 12wpm. You
>speak and type far quicker than that. Not to mention more information is
>carried per watt in a ssb transmission.
>
Ya can't work 'em if ya can't hear 'em or decipher 'em in phone band
qrm which is why I run cw. If ya can't hear 'em all the Information
Theory 101 "calculations" are down the pipe from the gitgo.
>
>> Don't know history either, huh?
>
>Who developped calculus? Who developped theories on EM and optics? Who
>practically wrote the book on QM? Who is developping theories on elementary
>particles?
>
Not you.
>
>They were all physicists.
>
I see. All of the old philosophers and mathmaticians wouldn't have
been able to do what they did if they hadn't had undergrad degrees in
physics from Podunk Hollow U.
>
> Engineers take our texts and copy our info to
>design products.
>
Yeah, because you people don't know how to make any money with
technology. Which is why the engineering disciplines came into
existence. Which in turn is why it's gonna be a helluva long time
before you'll find a physicist running General Motors.
>
>> That's astute. Go back to 10m Son.
>
>I operate on the lot of the HF bands, not simply 10. 10 is dead.
>
I worked a VK at 1am local on 28.017 this morning. The band was wide
open. The only ssb stations anywhere on the band were some west coast
freebanders.
>
>--
>Rob St.Denis
>
w3rv
>

```
From -9039825850093703169
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 08:48:04 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 16:45:42 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac60897.47432808@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AC4F57B.996EFA74@uci.edu> <20010330234637.18052.00000712@nso-
da.aol.com> <9a3u55$qcu$1@news.service.uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.146
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.146
X-Trace: 31 Mar 2001 10:43:45 -0600, 64.24.16.146
Lines: 25
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18262

On Fri, 30 Mar 2001 22:42:58 -0800, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>> >
>> >He sure showed you, Brian.
>
We should live long enough to see him show anbody anything.
>
>>
>> Ah, misdirection attempt by the resident Hall Monitor.  Gosh, big
>> guy, you sure showed me by taking things out of context.
>
>Misdirection.  Ah, yes.  You seem to know a little something about that.
>Did you miss the fact that I simply reiterated what you said?  Sorry you
>didn't like how foolish it looked.  Guess that's why you deleted your words
>from your reply.
>
Didja ever run into anybody who was more anxious to cheerfully stick
his own feet in his own mouth than pore pointless 'ole Lenny?
>
>> Is this the extent of what you are supposed to be doing at UCI?
>
>If you weren't so out of touch, you'd know that it's spring break.
>
w3rv


From 8477335917861372992
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 08:48:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC60958.8E20985C@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 10:44:08 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18263

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:

> > So then it's not You that is being copied at all, but your computer
> > buffer.
>
> What difference does that make ... the whole idea to radio communications is
> the communications ... whatever mode you use to get the information accross
> is irrelevant.
>

I disagree on this one narrow point- the whole point of amateur radio communications, or most of it, is to discuss the mode and means of communicating!

john

From 2210741075502465844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 09:04:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!

feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC60D1D.FB8AF93D@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <#O#INTttAHA.199@cpmsnbbsa09>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 11:00:13 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18264

R G wrote:

> To all who answered my question in a straight forward, no nonsense manner.
> Thank you. RG

You've done everyone a favor. You asked a question and gave those who were interested in a pleasant, useful interchange the opportunity to have one. You also opened the door for those who were interested in something else to have just what they were looking for.

john

From 4390372188956441327
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 09:08:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC60EEF.2F31D503@hotmail.com>
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@hotmail.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.17-14 i586)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ham ticket allows the use of filthy language on cb? nocode says it so
References: <3ABD149B.A7E98BAD@hotmail.com>
<985477214.3abd305e9f9e2@webmail.cotse.com>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 41
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 10:07:59 -0700
NNTP-Posting-Host: 130.13.127.246
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 986058480 130.13.127.246 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 11:08:00 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 11:08:00 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14428
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18265

oh? more than one you say?
care to cite the source?
c'mon Roger, this is an old game you're playing and all the tricks are well known.

So far as I know, I received 1 letter on account of a single complaint and it
turned out that
I was not in violation at all (receiver equipment had a defective filter). so, the
complaint
was dismissed... this was in 1993.

Other than that, no other complaints OF ANY SORT were filed against me
(that I know of). I was involved in a case where a bootlegger used my
call on numerous occasions on the VHF and HF bands in an attempt to harass
me or otherwise generate harassment by inciting others to write the FCC.
He was eventually caught (the FCC V. Timothy Harold Hoffman <or also
known as "The phoenix jammers case">).

so, I have 1 complaint of record that was dismissed and listed as
satisfied... can you say that, roger?

DE N7ZZT

KC8JBO wrote:

>
> But FAGGOT EWIC got in trouble with the FCC for not following the rules, didn't
> you FAGGOT EWWIC? You got more than just ONE letter from the FCC, didn't you
> Ewwic?
> > fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com wrote:
> >
> > > Subject:Why did Jeff Mayners stuff get taken off Ebay??? Date:24 Mar 2001
> 00:03:46 GMT From:"N8wwm" <N8wwm@aol.com> Newsgroups:rec.radio.cb
> > > BECAUSE I RATTED THE FAGASS PIECE OF SHIT OUT THATS WHY!!!!!!
> AHAHAHHAHAHAAHHAAH MAYNER YOU CUNT!!!!!!!!!!!1
> >
>
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole

```
From 7523898822777524520
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 10:54:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 18:52:47 GMT
References: <9a2agc$v32$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010331135247.15492.00000625@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18268

In article <9a2agc$v32$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:

>>  If you want to pretend to be, or have papers, signifying you
>>  are a Master of English....
>
>Sorry, Your Fogginess, but I am completely unpretentious (unlike you).  But I
>can put 2 fishing worms on a single hook, so I guess that makes me a Master
>Baiter.

...and you do it so well!




From -8599588725646331301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 10:54:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 18:52:49 GMT
References: <9a3u55$qcu$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010331135249.15492.00000627@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18269
```

In article <9a3u55$qcu$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:

>> Is this the extent of what you are supposed to be doing at UCI?
>
>If you weren't so out of touch, you'd know that it's spring break.

That is an important factum for all radio amateurs?!?

Does that make Kelley more "qualified" to speak for the US
amateur radio community?

How does that apply to the recent restructuring of Part 97, Title 47
CFR?

```
From 8591409706016534051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 10:54:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 18:52:47 GMT
References: <9a3tlc$q9h$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010331135247.15492.00000624@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18270
```

In article <9a3tlc$q9h$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:

>> It seems even more mindless to have radio amateurs nattering
>> about English Language Style when they have no real authority
>> through their licensing to "rule" on it.
>
>Lack of credentials never seems to stop you from expounding on the subject
>of amateur radio.

Forgive me, revered licensed person. I was unaware that amateur
radio physics was different than the physics of radio in every other
radio service. [perhaps that difference is taught at UCI? if so, it is
worthy to take such courses to see this major breakthrough in
physics?]

Forgive me again, most esteemed qualified licensee, but the FCC still does not require staff or commissioners to be licensed in amateur radio service in order to regulate it. Further, I see nothing in the entire body of Title 47 that prohibits citizens from commenting to the FCC in regard to radio regulations of any radio service.

Feel free to correct me (I no longer read the Federal Register cover to cover) on radio regulation changes that prohibit US citizens from open, public comment. On anything.

From 4460299902377238766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 10:54:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 18:52:45 GMT
References: <3AC55FD5.331CFF2A@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010331135245.15492.00000621@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18271

In article <3AC55FD5.331CFF2A@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>> Until the attitudes of Real Hams change, and we end this
>> seeming intolerance of non-morse-coders, the problems of
>> amateur radio policy arguments against amateur regulation
>> changes is not going to stop.
>
>If it is only a seeming intolerance and not a real one, there really
>isn't any issue.

Sorry, your Lofty Highness, it is very much an issue.

Witness your own intolerant remarks as a bona fide PCTA.

>Why should the policy arguments against amateur regulation changes
>stop? The policy arguments for amateur regulation change haven't
>stopped.

...nor should they.

Heil has a perfect right as a citizen to voice his grievances, a

guarantee of our First Amendment. Heil's only problem is that
he cannot confine his arguments to the subject but must
personalize his comments to others based on Heil's opinions
of those who do not "measure up" to his lofty standards.

All of radio has evolved over the decades...with a considerable
amount of mental foot-dragging by certain amateurs who wish
to have everything remain the same as when they were
qualified. If Heil had to qualify his amateur standing by taking
a code test, then all newcomers must take such a code test.
He has Spoken.

From 1529904444183276005
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 10:54:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 62
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 18:52:50 GMT
References: <3AC55E93.55470803@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010331135250.15492.00000628@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18275

In article <3AC55E93.55470803@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>Gee Len, you're an old fart but not a radio amateur.

True...I've been a radio professional too long.

>Please don't lump
>those of us who have been licensed hams for decades in with the old
>farts of the general population.

Flatulence is easily detectable in opinions.

>The major failure in fact of your
>latest musing would be that the amateur community at large is mostly
>amateurs who have been around for decades.

There is no "musing" about Heil being a PCTA, a mental old fart,
an amateur conservative who opposes change.

>Your *desire* as a non-radio amateur has little to do with anything.

It is not just my desire, but rather a large group of others who have been held back by the flatulent, conservative long-timers' insistence on keeping the status quo.

Unless there has been some major revolutionary changes in the US Constitution, ordinary citizens can and have commented to their government in regards to ALL laws, regulations, and rules.

Heil's "desires" seems to be that of trying to act as a sort of spokesperson for all of amateur radio. In reality, Heil is merely one voice of a US citizen, but one who wishes to appear as a "leader" of the amateur community's lodge hall.

>I *desire* many things that
>I'll never achieve unless I apply myself toward obtaining them.

How does that moral-ethical viewpoint of acceptance of status quo make Heil "superior" to all others? A fact of jumping through hoops established by chronologic very-long timers is only evidence of having jumped through hoops.

>Those
>who might want to get into amateur radio might have to meet the
>requirements rather than bleating about the inequity of it all.

Those who have jumped through old hoops are fully expected to demand that all newcomers do the very same thing...to "measure up" to their exhaulted, "superior" accomplishmentss. The problem is that the FCC regulates US amateur radio, not some wanna-be ex-officio "leaders" in the group of already-licensed amateurs. Up until the FCC relinquishes their regulation of amateur radio ordinary citizens of the US can freely comment to the FCC whether or not the ex-officio "leaders" think they are "qualified" or not.

The FCC has stated publicly that it does not consider a morse code license test relevant to their task of regulating amateur radio in 1990 and in 1999. [they are required retain that test by ITU-R S25.5] Does Heil think the FCC is "not qualified" to regulate US amateur radio?

From -3523194018255471044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 10:54:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 18:52:46 GMT
References: <3AC561CB.AAE292D0@bctv.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010331135246.15492.00000622@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18273

In article <3AC561CB.AAE292D0@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>> >
>> > To equate the value of CW as being a skill that should be learned to save
>> > lives--and lift its importance to that level
>>
>>   Hey, Kim-- why not do a little learning while you're resting sometime?
>> CW has
>> saved a great many lives over the past century, not the least of which
>> was the 1500 survivors of the Titanic.
>
>Yep. There was a day when CW was the best option for emergency
>communication. Prior to that, there was heliograph, but I don't think
>that the Army is teaching that anymore, either.

The sinking of the Titanic happened 89 years ago. Radio as a
communications tool was only 16 in 1912. In 1912 radios on
board ships consisted mainly of spark transmitters with crystal
set receivers. With such "radio equipment" the only feasible,
practical mode of communications was on-off keying.

Field communications in the US Army prior to 1912 consisted
of manual semaphore flags during the day and torches at night.
The torch and flags remain as the collar insignia of the Signal
Corps today...in memoriam since those modes were long since
dropped as doctrine in the field.

The US Army still teaches morse code at Fort Huachuca, AZ,
but as part of military intelligence operations training, NOT as
a communications method. MI techniques are taught to all
US military branch and government personnel at Fort
Huachuca.

The worldwide standard for maritime emergency communications
is now GMDSS...approved by the same international body that
still maintains international morse code testing for radio amateurs,
the ITU.

From -1735468528855999114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 10:54:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 18:52:46 GMT
References: <3AC53718.31106623@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010331135246.15492.00000623@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18272

In article <3AC53718.31106623@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> It's remarkable that, on reaching middle age,
>> the "wisdom" of life experience of some
>> individuals suddenly decides that the world
>> is going to hell and "things were so much
>> better when I was young/younger." :-)
>
> But, today, it is not the fancy of an old man reminiscing about the
>"good old days." Things were better years ago, and all of the
>available statistics prove it.

Every generation has its share of middle-aged critics who insist that "things were better in 'my' day...and can 'prove' it."   :-)

Their 'proof' is predicated only on personal achievement and the irritation against those who will not worship their accomplishments as Strong and Mighty. It is seen in here on a regular basis.   :-)

> "This seeming intolerance?" Boy, I must have missed something in
>what I wrote.

All of these public postings are not necessarily to the individual quoted. Do not get upset or "personalize" someone else's replies.

It is demonstrateable fact that PCTAs in here are intolerant to those who are against morse code testing for an amateur radio license. Some are downright aggressively hostile and (nearly always) attempt misdirection of the subject through personal insults against NCTAs any which way they can.   QED.

From -1457781128076701250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 10:54:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 65
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 18:52:48 GMT
References: <3ac5dedd.36749137@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010331135248.15492.00000626@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18274

In article <3ac5dedd.36749137@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 30 Mar 2001 19:16:51 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>The biennial restructuring of ALL FCC rules and regulations is
>>simply one more step in the evolution of ALL radio regulations,
>>
>Wrong again, Lenny. Tsk tsk, you'll never get it right. They're
>required to *review* the rules every two years, not "restructure" the
>rules every two years.

Pepper pot word useage correction noted.

>You think maybe they're gonna put themselves
>thru dealing with a "restructuring" NPRM every two years?

Such is a continual, evolving process in all radio services at the FCC. Some radio services have already been restructured while others have been simply modified. At least three other radio services are presently awaiting comment on current NPRMs.

>They
>streamlined the ham regs in a number of respects well beyond just the
>code test thing simply to reduce their administrative expenses,
>period.

No, not just for "administrative expenses." The US amateur radio license class system had grown into the most complex class-

distinction system in the international amateur world. Six classes
were brought down to three.

The "reduction of administrative expenses" had already been
accomplished by the privatization of ALL operator license testing
well before the mandate of biennial reviews.

>And the current set of rules will remain in place for some
>number of years per their usual practice. Some bureaucrat will flip
>thru the ham regs every two years and say "Ayup, reviewed, next?"

Kelly has missed a rather thorough set of reasons for change given
in 99-412, the R&O doing a large change in Part 97. The stage was
set for much of that in the 90-55 R&O that created the no-code-test
Technician class.

Since there have been over 2500 Comments on the 98-143 NPRM
(visible to the public), the FCC did not do some cavalier, flip
dismissal of reasons for change and noting all the pros and cons
expressed by citizens.

You may not like the changes or comments, but that is not any
valid reason for such a simple dismissal of the FCC's actions.

>Now go find another vent for your drivel Lenny, the code test thing is
>worn out, dead and buried. Better luck with your next jihad.

There has been no burial, no services for the dead in regards to
the "code test thing." It exists, it will be brought up by some
adminstration at WRC-2003 and, hopefully, placed into the ITU-R
WRC agenda in 2002 by a Working Group.

Kelly seems to think I speak ill of the dead. I do not. I do not
see it, "the code test thing," as something that should be
worshipped ad infinitum and forced upon all newcomers to
amateur radio.

From 8787673634998923382
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 11:06:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87>

Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <dTpx6.12824$aP5.1217069@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 19:04:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.119.72
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986065481 64.157.119.72 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 11:04:41 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 11:04:41 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18276

I am all or nothing person, Dick. Like or not, doesn't change the way I am. My point is this: CW is *not* the only life-saving method available to "we" hams. If "we" are going to use the argument that "we" need CW to save lives--and use it also as an excuse to sit on a high horse as "we" delude ourselves by thinking "we" are degrading and humiliating those who choose not to like the mode and choose not learn it to whatever extent "we" think they should, then "we" should also set the example and be proficient at every single mode there is to ham radio--each of which has the same lifesaving potential.

If "we" just simply want to sit on a high horse and think "we" are better because we know something that others don't, or that "we" learned something others did/have not--then quit raising CW to some level of sainthood because of its capabilities while "we" ignore the potential for other modes.

To answer your question: Yes, if being prepared to you means that I must be proficient at every possible option to save someone's life. If it does not mean that to you, then you are rather weak in your argument that CW should be revered and respected because of its potential to save lives--because you don't have the same regard that every mode that can save lives should be learned and tested in order to be licensed.

In other words, Dick, your attempt to laud the worthwhileness of CW so that your arrogance of knowing it can be waved over all our heads is pretty damned weak.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > To equate the value of CW as being a skill that should be learned to

```
save
> > lives--and lift its importance to that level
>
>   Hey, Kim-- why not do a little learning while you're resting sometime?
> CW has
> saved a great many lives over the past century, not the least of which
> was the 1500 survivors of the Titanic. There have been many other
> similar cases. Yes, we know, today there are other modes of choice, and
> for darn sure, anyone in possesion of their senses will use them - if
> they are available. If not, then you would advocate just letting nature
> take its course instead of using CW?
>
> Dick
>




From 4646917048267318395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 11:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 19:23:59 GMT
References: <3ac60897.47432808@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010331142359.27365.00000629@nso-ff.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18277

In article <3ac60897.47432808@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
writes:

>On Fri, 30 Mar 2001 22:42:58 -0800, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
>wrote:
>
>>> >He sure showed you, Brian.
>>
>We should live long enough to see him show anbody anything.

:-)    There was one thing "shown."  That of "26 patents" that
turned into only one single patent in the USA.


>Didja ever run into anybody who was more anxious to cheerfully stick
```

>his own feet in his own mouth than pore pointless 'ole Lenny?

What kind of "reply" is this? Trolling? Or just the usual insult?

Kelly has demonstrated the same physical dexterity attributes
in saying that "the code test thing" is "dead and gone" in
another message thread. Obviously it isn't.

>>
>>> Is this the extent of what you are supposed to be doing at UCI?
>>
>>If you weren't so out of touch, you'd know that it's spring break.

From -6954117468311988244
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 13:18:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 21:16:07 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3ac648a0.3295833@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.70.7d
X-Server-Date: 31 Mar 2001 21:16:56 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18278

On 31 Mar 2001 04:33:05 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>>
>> To equate the value of CW as being a skill that should be learned to save
>> lives--and lift its importance to that level

>
> Hey, Kim-- why not do a little learning while you're resting sometime?
>CW has
>saved a great many lives over the past century, not the least of which
>was the 1500 survivors of the Titanic.

Hey Dick,

Please show us on a year-by-year (or decade by decade)
basis the number of lives saved by CW. Me thinks it is a
slippery slope in your wishful thinking.

>There have been many other
>similar cases. Yes, we know, today there are other modes of choice, and
>for darn sure, anyone in possesion of their senses will use them - if
>they are available. If not, then you would advocate just letting nature
>take its course instead of using CW?

But who, what and where have they been over the last 40 years.
Please refer to request for quantitative data above.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2286182046791542534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 13:20:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 21:18:32 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3ac64957.3479278@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-
cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org>
<9a3van$qtc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC5DE59.FFE7474D@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.70.7d
X-Server-Date: 31 Mar 2001 21:19:20 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18279

On Sat, 31 Mar 2001 07:40:41 -0600, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

```
>Jim Kelley wrote:
>> Rose said 1500 people went into the sea that night.
>
>I know some people went into the sea and then into the lifeboats.
>Was anybody saved who went into the sea and not into the lifeboats?

My recollection of the data discussed on one of the history
programs was that less than a dozen of those in the water
were saved.  They froze to death very quickly...minutes.

Bill K2UNK




From 924445108895053242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 13:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 21:22:31 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.70.7d
X-Server-Date: 31 Mar 2001 21:23:22 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18280

On 31 Mar 2001 04:50:12 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>>
>> > Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of CW
>> > saved over the years?  Many, many more I would think.  A minimal
>> proficiency
>> > in CW would certainly be a benefit and not a detriment to the hobby.  I
>> > don't believe it has to be all or nothing.  I maintain a proficiency in HF
>> > voice communication and procedure for the same reason.
>>
>> With my work with Red Cross (Canadian) as well as when I'm on the road,
>> never once have I ever felt the need to tap away at the keey when an
>> emergency arose.  The people I would be looking for help from would in fact
```

>> be close enough that voice communications on hf would be quite satisfactory.
>> Never once have I asked for help from a distant station in timbuktu. What
>> would be the point?
>>
>> Furthermore, at home or in town; why wouldn't I simply call for help on the
>> phone or cell? Would be far more efficient!
>
> So then you really believe that the phone and cellphones will always be
>there for you. Right.
>
> No one has ever suggested you give up anything at all. The point is
>that when you can use CW too you have another tool in the survival
>toolkit.

That's true, but the reality of historical data doesn't support
the idea that there is even an occasional such situation.
The reality for US hams would be that we'd be more likly
to save another life if we had VHF and/or cell phone
available.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 114909275109398859
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 13:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 21:28:23 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.70.7d
X-Server-Date: 31 Mar 2001 21:29:45 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18281

On 31 Mar 2001 02:44:52 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 3/30/01 1:16 PM Central Standard Time

>
>>>Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of CW
>saved over the years? Many, many more I would think.
>
>>Unproven except that such is your opinion.
>
>   Weeeeeellll, Lennie, we can START off in 1912 and move forward. April,
>1912 to be specific. (I am sure you have some first hand "Back in ...." story,
>so I will say now you can save it) Of course that was several HUNDRED people
>saved at one time. We could cite hundreds of other situations in which Morse
>Code has "saved the day".
>
>   As for CPR, I can tell you with certain professioanl knowledge that even
>"text-book perfect" CPR delivered in a timely manner is NOT always successful.
>As a matter of fact, it still only has a recovery rate of approximately 12-22%.
>
>   As recently as the 1970's Morse Code was still being used for (real)
>distress situations,

30 years ago. How about the last 10/20 years.

>and the reluctance of many other countries to NOT delete
>Morse Code is proof that it's service last's into the 21st century.

What countries and for what services?

>>Then let it be optional in USE, not part of the license test. Amateur
>>radio is NOT primarily a public safety radio service.
>
>   No, it's not, but it's ability to provide a level of emergency
>communications is it's PRIMARY validating factor in Part 97.

Even if that's true (it isn't by the way, it is but one of several
reasons for the ARS as stated in Part 97.1), the use of CW
in ALL emergency comms has ended. I have yet to see one
example of where CW use is still being integrated into any
emergency preparedness effort by any recognized public
or amateur group.

>>Why not include a "proficiency test" at amateur radio bands above
>>HF?
>
>   Why not get a license?

Why not answer the question? It goes to the hypocracy
of having code testing for HF but not VHF when most all
safety/emergency comms are actually done via VHF as opposed to HF.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -1606404739362474729
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 13:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 21:32:02 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3ac64c2d.4205080@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <3ac530f1.1038475@news.mindspring.com>
<9a3o7g$fgf$0@208.206.142.87>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.70.7d
X-Server-Date: 31 Mar 2001 21:33:27 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18282

On 31 Mar 2001 04:59:28 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
> In the last decade there has not been
>> any lifesaving CW episodes.
>
> Short memory, or just selective, again?
> On the very last day that 500Khz
>CW was used, a ship imn distress  off England used SOS on CW to effect
>its own rescue. That was only a couple years ago.

And since then, what?  Indeed, other than that example,
what have you to show?

> Yes, I know that isn't "ham radio".  You are reminded that in a
>lifesaving situation that distinction is moot.

More to the point is that by it NOT being amateur radio it
has ZERO impact on the need or requirement for amateurs
to know code.  After 500Khz ended, did you or any other
ham continue monitoring 500Khz knowing that the
shore stations had ceased to do so?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
```

From 1561371918099340778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,4fa6241499165e90,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4fa6241499165e90,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4fa6241499165e90,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,4fa6241499165e90,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,4fa6241499165e90,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 13:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!fu-berlin.de!uni-berlin.de!141.45.160.116!not-for-mail
From: Peter Lemken <plemken@gmx.de>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Visit - www.ab7sl.com -
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 01:54:53 +0200
Organization: Completely Disorganized Inc.
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9a5jip$3pl5c$1@ID-31.news.dfncis.de>
References: <c74w6.1364$aP5.121617@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AC18801.4BB6D461@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 141.45.160.116
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 986075545 3986604 141.45.160.116 (16 1297 [31])
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.4.2 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20069
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2339 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2192
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14445 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18283

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
>
> Charles Johnston wrote:
>
> > Lots of STUFF to do at www.ab7sl.com such as...
>
> Oh, no, I can feel Peter's fingers itching already...

Know what, Zoran; I give up.

There are people who are thoughtless drones, no matter how hard you hit
them with a hammer. Charles is one of them. He doesn't care about usenet
and he doesn't care about other people's interest in this fabulous
medium. He sees it as the perfect place to run his adv^H^H^HSPAM and

think that it's just great.

He behaves like the simplex idiot who is too stupid to grasp the concept of split operating. In that vein he is unable to grasp the concept of a text based, ad-free and multicultural environment that has been designed to discuss ideas, not to promote ridiculously bad designed and contentless webpages. He laughs at people who think that his fullquotes, referenceless drivels are a waste of conceptual bandwidth.

Let him have it his way. Let him be happy in his dysfunctional way of not understanding what 2-way communication at its best can be. Let's leave him alone and pray for www.usenet2.org where mindless people like him will never have a place.

Peter Lemken
DF5JT
Berlin

--
We have joy, we have fun, we have Linux on our SUN

From 7483207681557197973
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bb54,df8887e5c663fba5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bb54,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 14:06:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.2600
From: Turd Fredericks@clownpenis.fart (Turd Fredericks)
Subject: Re: ham ticket allows the use of filthy language on cb? nocode says it
so
References: <3ABD149B.A7E98BAD@hotmail.com>
<985477214.3abd305e9f9e2@webmail.cotse.com> <3AC60EEF.2F31D503@hotmail.com>
<9a56ue$hi0$0@dosa.alt.net>
Reply-To: TFredericks@clownpenis.fart
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.4pl4c (BeOS)
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <6wsx6.5824$37.5292625@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 22:04:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.0.209.181
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 986076290 24.0.209.181 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001
14:04:50 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 14:04:50 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14448
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18284 alt.2600:22944

On 31 Mar 2001 18:16:46 GMT, Stagger Lee wrote:
>
> On Sat, 31 Mar 2001 10:07:59 -0700, Eric Oyen <n7zzt@hotmail.com> wrote:
>: oh? more than one you say?
>: care to cite the source?
>: c'mon Roger, this is an old game you're playing and all the tricks are well
known.
>
> You have made two mistakes in the quoted post:
>
> (1) You have attempted to reason with Roger, and
> (2) you have attempted to reason with Roger.
>
> You need to expand your vocabulary to include terms like "choad", "sperm
> swallower", and "faggot", and then ...
>
> No. Forget that. It isn't you.
>
> But you *would* be welcomed with open arms by cotse and Steve Gielda and
> you could then post drivel forever.
>
>

You are a thick skulled little shit aren't you? Because you are so thick skulled,
there is
no room for your frontal lobes and you are most definitely lissencephalic. I will
excuse this, as it
can be blamed on the accident of your birth. However, I can not excuse you
from the idiocy of posting to alt.2600.

You need to expand your vocabulary to include words like "Mister" when you refer
to Steve Gielda, and "fuckwit" when you refer to yourself.

--
____
Idiot wind, blowing every time you move your mouth
Blowing down the backroads heading south.

--Bob Dylan

From 1645960462814844888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 14:30:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 15:06:19 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9a5kdv$r0u$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3ac648a0.3295833@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-27-59.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.27.59 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18285

Bill Sohl, K2UNK, in response to Dick Carroll, W0EX, posted:

> Hey Dick,
>
> Please show us on a year-by-year (or decade by decade)
> basis the number of lives saved by CW. Me thinks it is a
> slippery slope in your wishful thinking.
>
> >There have been many other
> >similar cases. Yes, we know, today there are other modes of choice, and
> >for darn sure, anyone in possesion of their senses will use them - if
> >they are available. If not, then you would advocate just letting nature
> >take its course instead of using CW?
>
> But who, what and where have they been over the last 40 years.
> Please refer to request for quantitative data above.

It's obvious that CW has saved many lives in the past and will save countless more in the future. While not precisely quantitave, an example calculation will show this important property of the mode.

Assume that 1,000 immigrant women were rescued from the Titanic's sinking in 1912. They arrive in America, marry and give birth to ten children each. That's an additional 10,000 lives saved by that one emergency CW contact over the next 7.5 years. Assume that each of the 10,000 children grows to maturity over the next 30 years and sires or births 10 children of their own. By the beginning of WWII, that one CW QSO will have saved 111,000 lives. By 1970 that number will have increased to 1,111,000 lives. And by 2001 (now), it stands at 11,111,000. By the close of the 21st century, it will be 11,111,111,000. That is to say that every third person on the face of the Earth will have been saved by the CQD sent from RMS Titanic in 1912!

--

Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From 1879497721709837941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bb54,df8887e5c663fba5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bb54,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 14:51:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!usenet
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.2600
Subject: Re: ham ticket allows the use of filthy language on cb? nocode says it
 so
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 16:50:49 -0600
Organization: Houston's Least Reliable ISP
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AC65F49.85F5061D@houston-hunks.com>
References: <3ABD149B.A7E98BAD@hotmail.com>
<985477214.3abd305e9f9e2@webmail.cotse.com> <3AC60EEF.2F31D503@hotmail.com>
<9a56ue$hi0$0@dosa.alt.net> <6wsx6.5824$37.5292625@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14454
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18286 alt.2600:22947

Turd Fredericks wrote:

> no room for your frontal lobes and you are most definitely
> lissencephalic. I will excuse this, as it

Ain't that wonderful? Mr. Turd "knows a word". He must be taking a
class or something on so-called "smooth brain disorder."

I wonder if they taught him the difference between magnetic resonance
imaging and proton magnetic resonance? Longitudinal and transverse
relaxation times? Electron spin resonance and nuclear magnetic
resonance? Nawwwww.

Technicians are never really taught physics. They just think they know something.

```
From -1873324918483842856
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 15:56:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
<%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac5f283.41780132@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 100
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <M8ux6.175088$p66.51556541@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 23:56:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986082988 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 15:56:28
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 15:56:28 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18287
```

> Ya can't work 'em if ya can't hear 'em or decipher 'em in phone band
> qrm which is why I run cw. If ya can't hear 'em all the Information
> Theory 101 "calculations" are down the pipe from the gitgo.

With the same filtering I use (well I use the ssb filter instead of the cw filter) I can work ANY station I can catch on phone. AS simply as that. I know you old timers are having problems with your ears that late in the game, but us "newbies" (does a decade and a half count as new, well it does next to the half century most you have been operating but get real) have a decent set, and far better equipment than what you were at my age.

> Not you.

Naah my work is in vlbasqmtri ... but that's probably above your head.

> I see. All of the old philosophers and mathmaticians wouldn't have
> been able to do what they did if they hadn't had undergrad degrees in
> physics from Podunk Hollow U.

Ottawa U is not podunk hollow. Where is your sheep skin from?

> Yeah, because you people don't know how to make any money with
> technology. Which is why the engineering disciplines came into
> existence. Which in turn is why it's gonna be a helluva long time
> before you'll find a physicist running General Motors.

John F. "Jack" Smith, Jr., became chairman of the General Motors board of directors in January 1996.

As chairman, Smith is responsible for building strong relationships with GM' s various business partners, unions, dealers and governments around the world. He also works with external business groups and is a liaison between the board and GM management.

Smith also served as chief executive officer from November 1992 to June 2000.

He was president from April 1992 to October 1998, and president and chief operating officer from April 1992 to November 1992.

From Aug. 1, 1990, to April 6, 1992, Smith served as vice chairman with responsibility for international operations. He previously had been executive vice president in charge of GM's international operations since June 1988.

Smith was born April 6, 1938, in Worcester, Mass. He received his bachelor's degree in business administration from the University of Massachusetts in 1960, and a master's degree in business administration from Boston University in 1965.

Smith joined General Motors in 1961 at the Fisher Body plant in Framingham, Mass. He transferred to the New York financial staff in 1966 and was named an assistant treasurer in September 1974. In February 1976, he was named an assistant comptroller on the financial staff in Detroit.

On Aug. 1, 1980, he was named comptroller. He became director of worldwide product planning in Detroit on Feb. 1, 1982. He was appointed president and general manager of General Motors of Canada Ltd. and a GM vice president on Jan. 9, 1984. On Feb. 1, 1986, Smith was named executive vice president of GM Europe-Passenger Cars, in charge of operations and engineering, and was appointed president of GM Europe on April 1, 1987.

Smith is a member of the Procter & Gamble Co. board of directors, chairman of the Economic Club of Detroit and chairman of Catalyst. He is a director of Detroit Renaissance and the U.S.-Japan Business Council. He also serves on the board of trustees of the United Way of Southeastern Michigan.

Smith is a member of the American Society of Corporate Executives and The Nature Conservancy board of directors. He is president of the Beta Gamma Sigma's Director's Table, a member of the Chancellor's Executive Committee of the University of Massachusetts, and a member of the board of trustees at Boston University. He has been awarded honorary doctoral degrees from those universities as well as Providence College, Michigan State University, Kettering University and Holy Cross College.

he is such an engineer

> I worked a VK at 1am local on 28.017 this morning. The band was wide
> open. The only ssb stations anywhere on the band were some west coast
> freebanders.

Im in the car at 2200z its dead then.

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/

begin 666 dot.gif
K1TE&.#EA`0`!`(#_`,# P ```"'Y! $`````+ `````!``$```("1 $`.P``
`
end

From -3786877681436948254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 15:58:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131> <Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>

<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC60958.8E20985C@toast.net>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <jaux6.175091$p66.51559920@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 23:58:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986083087 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 15:58:07 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 15:58:07 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18288

Well of course the technology plays a role in the interest, but quite
frankly if I tap it out a message, say it on am, fm or ssb it makes no
difference at all. Besides I enjoy the personal touch of a voice that you
dont get with cw

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/--\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
--/
"John O'Flaherty" <oflahert@toast.net> wrote in message
news:3AC60958.8E20985C@toast.net...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> > > So then it's not You that is being copied at all, but your computer
> > > buffer.
> >
> > What difference does that make ... the whole idea to radio
communications is
> > the communications ... whatever mode you use to get the information
accross
> > is irrelevant.
> >
>
> I disagree on this one narrow point- the whole point of amateur radio
> communications, or most of it, is to discuss the mode and means of
> communicating!
>
> john
>

>
>

From -2391665596611739973
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 16:00:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 23:59:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986083186 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 15:59:46 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 15:59:46 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18289

> That's true, but the reality of historical data doesn't support
> the idea that there is even an occasional such situation.
> The reality for US hams would be that we'd be more likly
> to save another life if we had VHF and/or cell phone
> available.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

My point exactly.

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |

```
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org               |
\------------------------------------------------------/




From -6267647953631439481
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 16:51:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 1 Apr 2001 00:51:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9a5u31$hr5$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-
cg.aol.com> <3AC2C2E2.83C446CB@IEEE.org> <9a1bkm$e1m$2@208.207.71.157>
<3AC488DB.D88F4B3C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18291



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> >  Far less
> > > than 1% of the population know Morse code. What about all those people?
> > > If Morse code is such a good idea, why not make it part of every driver's
> > > exam.
> >
> > Get real. NONE of the 99% are licensed hams either.
>
> That's the point, Dick, you finally got it.
>
> > > If citizens don't have food and water stored, they may perish during
> > > an emergency. Do you want to make storing food and water mandatory?
> >
> >  But of course a MESSAGE, perhaps needed to be sent on CW in very poor
```

> > conditions which OFTEN accompany disasters, could bring food and water
> > to the needy survivors. Of course no such scenario could ever penetrate
> > your granite skull.
>
> The point is, Dick, if they stored food and water, they wouldn't need CW.
> If Morse code is required, why isn't food and water storage required?
> Why isn't an RF transceiver even required? It makes absolutely no sense
> to require Morse code skill and not require any RF equipment to go along
> with it.

By your logic requiring anything of anyone makes no sense.

```
From 1111510970693042592
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 16:59:33 PST
Message-ID: <3AC67B0B.50C26203@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 18:49:15 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-
cg.aol.com> <3AC2C2E2.83C446CB@IEEE.org> <9a1bkm$e1m$2@208.207.71.157>
<3AC488DB.D88F4B3C@IEEE.org> <9a5u31$hr5$0@208.207.71.172>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986086349
64.240.47.109 (31 Mar 2001 18:52:29 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!10.10.220.74.MISMATCH!sn-xit-04!
supernews.com!216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!enews.sgi.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18292
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> By your logic requiring anything of anyone makes no sense.

Certain requirements are necessary but lots of things can be left
to the discretion of the individual citizen. Choice of ice cream
and choice of modes in amateur radio are a couple of those things.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1758614867850450229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:02:45 PST
Message-ID: <3AC67BC9.72C0664@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 18:52:25 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-
cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org>
<9a3van$qtc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC5DE59.FFE7474D@IEEE.org>
<3ac64957.3479278@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986086539
64.240.47.109 (31 Mar 2001 18:55:39 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18293
```

Bill Sohl wrote:
> My recollection of the data discussed on one of the history
> programs was that less than a dozen of those in the water
> were saved. They froze to death very quickly...minutes.

Wonder if a dry suit would have saved them?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1816067716600163689
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:05:47 PST
Message-ID: <3AC67C7F.6C79B9C2@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 18:55:27 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC60958.8E20985C@toast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986086721
64.240.47.109 (31 Mar 2001 18:58:41 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18294

John O'Flaherty wrote:
> I disagree on this one narrow point- the whole point of amateur radio
> communications, or most of it, is to discuss the mode and means of
> communicating!

I thought the whole point of amateur radio was to experiment with
antennas.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 778179289534885400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:20:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 01:19:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18295

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> With my work with Red Cross (Canadian) as well as when I'm on the road,
> never once have I ever felt the need to tap away at the keey when an
> emergency arose. The people I would be looking for help from would in fact
> be close enough that voice communications on hf would be quite satisfactory.
> Never once have I asked for help from a distant station in timbuktu. What
> would be the point?
>

> Furthermore, at home or in town; why wouldn't I simply call for help on the
> phone or cell? Would be far more efficient!

Why indeed? I certainly would use the best method of communicatioins available in an emergency, as anyone would. The operative word here is "available".

You know, you don't always have the luxury of choosing the mode that will be used. What if you're on a SSB emergency net following a disaster and someone calls in on the net using CW with emergency traffic? Should he just go soak his head because he doesn't have some better mode available?

By the way- you may have passed a CW test somewhere at some time, but clearly you're not a CW operator. No CW operator would speak of "tapping away at a key". No one but a rank novice would think of sending code that way.

From 6924803908790470375
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:22:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 19:23:45 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9a5vrr$5bs$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org> <9a3van$qtc$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AC5DE59.FFE7474D@IEEE.org> <3ac64957.3479278@news.mindspring.com> <3AC67BC9.72C0664@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.d6
X-Server-Date: 1 Apr 2001 01:22:03 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18296

--

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message

news:3AC67BC9.72C0664@IEEE.org...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > My recollection of the data discussed on one of the history
> > programs was that less than a dozen of those in the water
> > were saved. They froze to death very quickly...minutes.
>
> Wonder if a dry suit would have saved them?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
I don't think dressing in business formal would have helped any more than
dry slacks and a sports coat.. :-)

From -121572432435275420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:30:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 01:29:34 GMT
References: <3AC5696E.1FB87B25@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010331202934.01729.00001180@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18297

In article <3AC5696E.1FB87B25@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I'm not going to do anything with the calls, Cecil -- I just want you to
>> prove one of your enumerated claims, which, as usual, you seem
>> reticent to do. Provide the callsigns of the seven you remember, or
>> you are a liar.
>
>I'm not going to do that, Larry, because, knowing you, you would look
>up my friends on QRZ and send harassing email to all the nocode techs
>accusing them of slothfulness and the destruction of amateur radio.

Cecil:

I don't have the inclination, time, or stupidity to do any such thing --

and you know it. What you've done here is give yourself another way
out of one of the holes you're always digging for yourself. So I'll
give you one more chance: Name 7 of the 50, or you will forever be
branded a liar in this NG.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8501269461104396741
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4a8710df0e8abef2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:30:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 63
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 01:29:34 GMT
References: <3AC56AAE.3CD1ADAC@gtel.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: BOYCOTT KENWOOD! Confirmed Anti-coders!
Message-ID: <20010331202934.01729.00001179@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18298

In article <3AC56AAE.3CD1ADAC@gtel.net>, Robert <dxopr@gtel.net> writes:

>
>28. ... Kenwood states that licensing of persons because they are
>proficient in Morse code is inconsistent with encouraging those
>interested in modern telecommunications to join the ranks of amateurs
>and become skilled in the technical sciences. ...
>29. On the other hand, several commenters equate passing a telegraphy
>examination to the type of on-the-air operator a licensee will be, proof
>of skill level, or emergency communication capabilities. Kenwood,
>however, states that Morse code telecommunications is not a skill used
>often in emergency communications or disaster relief any longer. Other
>comments express a personal preference for exchanging messages with
>other amateur radio stations using telegraphy. While Kenwood agrees with
>these observations, it states, however, they are not a sufficient
>justification for keeping Morse code proficiency as a licensing
>requirement. ...
>
>NO CW TEST means CB.
>
> And only CBers would want to eliminate the only thing keeping ham
>radio from becoming CB. It is high time for firm action to save ham
>radio from the CBers and the lobbying profiteers. (The FCC mentions
>"Kenwood" in a policy-making action eleven times!!)

>
>Since Kenwood has now entered the political arena, they are now subject
>to political response. WE DON'T NEED KENWOOD!
>
>DO NOT BUY KENWOOD GEAR!
>
>SIGN YOUR CALL WITH "BOYCOTT KENWOOD!"

Robert:

Boycotting Kenwood because they're making a desperate attempt to preserve their sliver of a dying amateur radio equipment market is stupid and a waste of time. If I worked for them, I'd probably say the same things they did about the Morse code. Even as an avid pro-code testing advocate, I'm not going to condemn Kenwood for this. I am, however, going to condemn the FCC for taking their arguments seriously, not seeing through their business agenda, and not making the decision based on what is best for the ARS.

If you want to start a movement to do something about the code testing issue, I suggest you urge concerned radio amateurs to write to their Congressional representatives, making a strong argument in favor of retaining the pre-2000 standard in code testing, and insisting that they do anything in their power to restore our licensing standards. After all, the United States is a free, sovereign nation -- and even if the ITU Treaty code testing requirement is removed, we can still do exactly what we want from a standpoint of code testing. All we need is a government with a backbone.

Kenwood's piddling piece of the action in HF ham gear sales is not the issue. Anyway, they're offering the incentive of a free Heil Goldline microphone with every HF rig sold, so the no-coders will be flocking to the local candy stores to buy 'em. Don't worry about Kenwood. They're small potatoes. BTW -- you'll notice that their HF rigs usually have great CW features. If they're so anti-code, you'd think they would delete the CW mode from their rigs.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7436985456511510796
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bb54,df8887e5c663fba5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bb54,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:33:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.2600
From: Turd Fredericks@clownpenis.fart (Turd Fredericks)
Subject: Re: ham ticket allows the use of filthy language on cb? nocode says it so
References: <3ABD149B.A7E98BAD@hotmail.com> <985477214.3abd305e9f9e2@webmail.cotse.com> <3AC60EEF.2F31D503@hotmail.com> <9a56ue$hi0$0@dosa.alt.net> <6wsx6.5824$37.5292625@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AC65F49.85F5061D@houston-hunks.com>
Reply-To: TFredericks@clownpenis.fart
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.4pl4c (BeOS)
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <Mzvx6.5865$37.5402594@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 01:33:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.0.209.181
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 986088812 24.0.209.181 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 17:33:32 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 17:33:32 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14472 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18299 alt.2600:22965

On Sat, 31 Mar 2001 16:50:49 -0600, Stagger Lee wrote:
> Turd Fredericks wrote:
>
>> no room for your frontal lobes and you are most definitely
>> lissencephalic. I will excuse this, as it
>
> Ain't that wonderful? Mr. Turd "knows a word". He must be taking a
> class or something on so-called "smooth brain disorder."

Actually, I was referring to the fact that you have a brain like a rat: smooth and with no frontal lobes. I was not referring to it as a disease, but that it was an evolutionary difference between you and myself. Your branch of the tree went off in a somewhat different direction to mine so to speak. Mine went the way of superior brain capacity, and yours the direction of the honey badger.
>
> I wonder if they taught him the difference between magnetic resonance
> imaging and proton magnetic resonance? Longitudinal and transverse
> relaxation times? Electron spin resonance and nuclear magnetic
> resonance? Nawwwww.

That is the first thing you have EVER written that has a modicum of accuracy, the answer is no. However, you don't need that kind of specialized knowledge to determine lissencephally and basic brain morphology. You need only discriminate between Pavlovian behavior and higher order cognition.
>
> Technicians are never really taught physics. They just think they know
> something.

So are you implying that you actually KNOW these things, or did you just look them up on "whatis.com"? If the latter is the case just take the magnet

and shove it up your ass, if the former is the case I applaud your insight into
the differences in depth of knowledge between technician vs. physicist,
but what is your point? Ahh, you might as well just shove the magnet and
the coil up your ass anyway.

--
____
Someday, everything is gonna be diff'rent
When I paint my masterpiece.
--Bob Dylan

```
From -2210824479628665411
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:35:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 1 Apr 2001 01:35:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9a60kp$osb$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-
cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18300
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > CW has saved a great many lives over the past century, not the
> > least of which was the 1500 survivors of the Titanic.
>
> Uhhhhhh Dick, the Titanic is not a good example. CW could have
> saved the rest but didn't.

No, Cecil, there were not enough lifeboats on the Titanic for the
others.

> Why do you think the people in the
> lifeboats would not have been saved without CW?

????Where you been? Without CW on radio there could have been no communications with other ships, so none could have been summoned to pick up the shivering survivors. One DID come when called, and effected a rescue before -most- of the surivors on the lifeboats froze to death. The others, in the water, were not so furtunate.
(This was way up north where it is cold even in July. When I sailed that route I came out on deck on July 4th to find an inch of ice covering everything).

Yes- if better procedures had been in place, the radio op on the ship that was within sight would not have turned off his radio and gone to bed not long before the SOS was sent, and probably all would have been saved. That isn't the fault of CW.

From -5585093958868611446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:35:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!10.10.220.74.MISMATCH!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <JBvx6.175409$p66.51751707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 01:35:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986088937 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 17:35:37 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 17:35:37 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18301

>   Why indeed? I certainly would use the best method of communicatioins

> available in an emergency, as anyone would. The operative word here is "available".

I would imagine calling on a cell where ya don';t have to deal with elmers or yutz who key a steady tone to disrupt comms to be far better than tapping a key

> You know, you don't always have the luxury of choosing the mode that will be used. What if you're on a SSB emergency net following a disaster and someone calls in on the net using CW with emergency traffic? Should he just go soak his head because he doesn't have some better mode available?

If thats all he has i see no problem... but get real ... name a rig that was built in the last 20 years that would still be in use today that didnt have ssb.

>
> By the way- you may have passed a CW test somewhere at some time, but clearly you're not a CW operator. No CW operator would speak of "tapping away at a key". No one but a rank novice would think of sending code that way.

I use a straight key ... what else would i call it?

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

From -1918076235550765578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:36:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 1 Apr 2001 01:36:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9a60mm$osb$1@208.207.71.172>
References: <3AC2C5CF.D3069C2C@IEEE.org> <20010330222901.07536.00001659@nso-

mq.aol.com> <3AC5696E.1FB87B25@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18302

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > I'm not going to do anything with the calls, Cecil -- I just want you to
> > prove one of your enumerated claims, which, as usual, you seem
> > reticent to do. Provide the callsigns of the seven you remember, or
> > you are a liar.
>
> I'm not going to do that, Larry, because, knowing you, you would look
> up my friends on QRZ and send harassing email to all the nocode techs
> accusing them of slothfulness and the destruction of amateur radio.

And a very lame excuse is always better than none.

From -8790249356459805659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:36:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!newsfeed.icl.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 19:37:12 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <9a60mh$p92$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-
cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3ac648a0.3295833@news.mindspring.com>
<9a5kdv$r0u$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.d6
X-Server-Date: 1 Apr 2001 01:36:17 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18303

So CW is responsible for food shortages, homelessness and overpopulation?
Someone quick! send a CW message to those damn immigrant women telling them
to close their legs and quit having 10 children each!

--

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com> wrote in message
news:9a5kdv$r0u$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net...
> Bill Sohl, K2UNK, in response to Dick Carroll, W0EX, posted:
>
> > Hey Dick,
> >
> > Please show us on a year-by-year (or decade by decade)
> > basis the number of lives saved by CW. Me thinks it is a
> > slippery slope in your wishful thinking.
> >
> > >There have been many other
> > >similar cases. Yes, we know, today there are other modes of choice, and
> > >for darn sure, anyone in possesion of their senses will use them - if
> > >they are available. If not, then you would advocate just letting nature
> > >take its course instead of using CW?
> >
> > But who, what and where have they been over the last 40 years.
> > Please refer to request for quantitative data above.
>
> It's obvious that CW has saved many lives in the past and will save
> countless more in the future. While not precisely quantitave, an
> example calculation will show this important property of the mode.
>
> Assume that 1,000 immigrant women were rescued from the Titanic's sinking
> in 1912. They arrive in America, marry and give birth to ten children
> each. That's an additional 10,000 lives saved by that one emergency CW
> contact over the next 7.5 years. Assume that each of the 10,000 children
> grows to maturity over the next 30 years and sires or births 10 children
> of their own. By the beginning of WWII, that one CW QSO will have saved
> 111,000 lives. By 1970 that number will have increased to 1,111,000
lives.
> And by 2001 (now), it stands at 11,111,000. By the close of the 21st
> century, it will be 11,111,111,000. That is to say that every third
person
> on the face of the Earth will have been saved by the CQD sent from RMS
Titanic
> in 1912!
>
> --
>
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona
>
>
>

From -1264839025919356846
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 01:42:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87> <3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18304

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Your computer doesn't have a chance to learn how to do that.
>
> Dick, before showing off your ignorance again, I suggest you learn
> something about adaptive signal processing. These techniques are
> routinely used for separation of speech signals from background
> noise. It would work even better for CW.
>
> Virtually all uncorrelated noise could be removed from a CW signal
> using adaptive DSP filtering. A computer can indeed "learn" while
> doing. AI and fuzzy logic could probably also be applied to CW.
> The reason that it hasn't been done for CW is that there
> are coding methods much superior to OOK/Morse.
>

The entire time you've posted to RRAP you have been consistant in insisting
that technology can do anything, anywhere, anytime. And just as
consistant in doing nothing whatever to prove it.

```
From -4677830041184789400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:42:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-
cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3ac648a0.3295833@news.mindspring.com>
<9a5kdv$r0u$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <9a60mh$p92$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <kEvx6.819$Cx5.186063@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 19:42:10 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.180
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 986089104 205.138.126.180 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 20:38:24
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 20:38:24 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18305


"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9a60mh$p92$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> So CW is responsible for food shortages, homelessness and
overpopulation?
> Someone quick! send a CW message to those damn immigrant women telling
them
> to close their legs and quit having 10 children each!
>

I won't send it in CW: reset your calendar on your compooter.  You are the
classic day late and dollar short.
```

```
From -1282541223050945611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 17:43:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
```

```
netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 19:44:05 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.d6
X-Server-Date: 1 Apr 2001 01:42:24 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18306

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > That's true, but the reality of historical data doesn't support
> > the idea that there is even an occasional such situation.
> > The reality for US hams would be that we'd be more likly
> > to save another life if we had VHF and/or cell phone
> > available.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
>
> My point exactly.
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
Oh no.  When a tornado rips through here and starts fires, I want Zimbabwe
to know about it and send a fire truck.  Perhaps Mozambique could send a
paramedic or two, Argentina can make sandwiches and Algeria can provide
traffic control...and we have to tell them all in CW...




From 6226858345383388667
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 18:11:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
```

newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 20:12:49 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 86
Message-ID: <9a62ol$het$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.d6
X-Server-Date: 1 Apr 2001 02:11:33 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18307

--

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com...
> On 31 Mar 2001 02:44:52 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:
>
> >>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 3/30/01 1:16 PM Central Standard Time
> >
> >>>Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of
CW
> >saved over the years? Many, many more I would think.
> >
> >>Unproven except that such is your opinion.
> >
> >   Weeeeeellll, Lennie, we can START off in 1912 and move forward.
April,
> >1912 to be specific. (I am sure you have some first hand "Back in ...."
story,
> >so I will say now you can save it) Of course that was several HUNDRED
people
> >saved at one time. We could cite hundreds of other situations in which
Morse
> >Code has "saved the day".
> >
> >   As for CPR, I can tell you with certain professioanl knowledge that
even
> >"text-book perfect" CPR delivered in a timely manner is NOT always
successful.
> >As a matter of fact, it still only has a recovery rate of approximately

12-22%.
> >
> >   As recently as the 1970's Morse Code was still being used for (real)
> >distress situations,
>
> 30 years ago. How about the last 10/20 years.
>
> >and the reluctance of many other countries to NOT delete
> >Morse Code is proof that it's service last's into the 21st century.
>
> What countries and for what services?
>
> >>Then let it be optional in USE, not part of the license test. Amateur
> >>radio is NOT primarily a public safety radio service.
> >
> >   No, it's not, but it's ability to provide a level of emergency
> >communications is it's PRIMARY validating factor in Part 97.
>
> Even if that's true (it isn't by the way, it is but one of several
> reasons for the ARS as stated in Part 97.1), the use of CW
> in ALL emergency comms has ended. I have yet to see one
> example of where CW use is still being integrated into any
> emergency preparedness effort by any recognized public
> or amateur group.
>
> >>Why not include a "proficiency test" at amateur radio bands above
> >>HF?
> >
> >   Why not get a license?
>
> Why not answer the question? It goes to the hypocracy
> of having code testing for HF but not VHF when most all
> safety/emergency comms are actually done via VHF as opposed to HF.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
Our 'local' multi-county Skywarn, OEM, NWS and ESDA have 2M, 440 and 220
rigs set up. Not a single HF rig...Not one key....No antenna for HF...
This includes 13 counties that I know of and work with. Wow. Since I'm only
a nocode tech I guess I shouldn't be helping save any lives because I don't
know CW...Guess I should just 'resign' my "position" (voluntary positions
that they are) as assistant Skywarn coordinator. I'll also let our nocode
coordinator know and we can go back to where we were when NOT ONE CW tested
"ham" would take the responsibility. Like back in 1990 when a F5 tornado
took 120 lives over a period of an hour and NONE of the 4000 trained
spotters (many of which were "lifesaving, CW" tested hams) bothered to make
a report to the NWS and when those same "lifesaving, CW tested hams"
couldn't be bothered to operate a Skywarn net. I guess they were all busy
using CW to save someone in Eastern Kookamunga and didn't notice the F5.

From 5108287464957093258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 18:25:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 1 Apr 2001 02:25:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <9a63ia$484$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <dTpx6.12824$aP5.1217069@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18308

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> I am all or nothing person, Dick. Like or not, doesn't change the way I am.
> My point is this: CW is *not* the only life-saving method available to "we"
> hams. If "we" are going to use the argument that "we" need CW to save
> lives--and use it also as an excuse to sit on a high horse as "we" delude
> ourselves by thinking "we" are degrading and humiliating those who choose
> not to like the mode and choose not learn it to whatever extent "we" think
> they should, then "we" should also set the example and be proficient at
> every single mode there is to ham radio--each of which has the same
> lifesaving potential.
>
> If "we" just simply want to sit on a high horse and think "we" are better
> because we know something that others don't, or that "we" learned something
> others did/have not--then quit raising CW to some level of sainthood because
> of its capabilities while "we" ignore the potential for other modes.
>
> To answer your question: Yes, if being prepared to you means that I must be
> proficient at every possible option to save someone's life. If it does not
> mean that to you, then you are rather weak in your argument that CW should
> be revered and respected because of its potential to save lives--because you
> don't have the same regard that every mode that can save lives should be
> learned and tested in order to be licensed.
>

> In other words, Dick, your attempt to laud the worthwhileness of CW so that
> your arrogance of knowing it can be waved over all our heads is pretty
> damned weak.

My, My. Kim, I take no satisfaction, and in fact it saddens me, but I
must say that you are a prime example of what it is that ham radio has
degenerated into. You clearly can't understand even what it is that we
are discussing here.
But don't feel lonesome and blue- you have LOTS of good company, one of
which is named Cecil.

>
> --
> 73 de W5TIT - Kim
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87...
> >
> >
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > To equate the value of CW as being a skill that should be learned to
> save
> > > lives--and lift its importance to that level
> >
> >   Hey, Kim-- why not do a little learning while you're resting sometime?
> > CW has
> > saved a great many lives over the past century, not the least of which
> > was the 1500 survivors of the Titanic. There have been many other
> > similar cases. Yes, we know, today there are other modes of choice, and
> > for darn sure, anyone in possesion of their senses will use them - if
> > they are available. If not, then you would advocate just letting nature
> > take its course instead of using CW?
> >
> > Dick
> >

From 91655971229559077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 18:28:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 02:28:44 GMT

```
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9a63os$484$1@208.207.71.172>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <3ac530f1.1038475@news.mindspring.com>
<9a3o7g$fgf$0@208.206.142.87> <3ac64c2d.4205080@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18309



Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 31 Mar 2001 04:59:28 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>
> > > In the last decade there has not been
> >> any lifesaving CW episodes.
> >
> > Short memory, or just selective, again?
> > On the very last day that 500Khz
> >CW was used, a ship imn distress  off England used SOS on CW to effect
> >its own rescue. That was only a couple years ago.
>
> And since then, what?  Indeed, other than that example,
> what have you to show?
>
> > Yes, I know that isn't "ham radio".  You are reminded that in a
> >lifesaving situation that distinction is moot.
>
> More to the point is that by it NOT being amateur radio it
> has ZERO impact on the need or requirement for amateurs
> to know code.  After 500Khz ended, did you or any other
> ham continue monitoring 500Khz knowing that the
> shore stations had ceased to do so?


 Bill you are hereby elected along with Kim to serve as the Boob(s) of
the Month.


From -5725496528504309839
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 18:32:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
```

news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 1 Apr 2001 02:32:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9a63vh$484$2@208.207.71.172>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3ac648a0.3295833@news.mindspring.com> <9a5kdv$r0u$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18310

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> Bill Sohl, K2UNK, in response to Dick Carroll, W0EX, posted:
>
> > Hey Dick,
> >
> > Please show us on a year-by-year (or decade by decade)
> > basis the number of lives saved by CW. Me thinks it is a
> > slippery slope in your wishful thinking.
> >
> > >There have been many other
> > >similar cases. Yes, we know, today there are other modes of choice, and
> > >for darn sure, anyone in possesion of their senses will use them - if
> > >they are available. If not, then you would advocate just letting nature
> > >take its course instead of using CW?
> >
> > But who, what and where have they been over the last 40 years.
> > Please refer to request for quantitative data above.
>
> It's obvious that CW has saved many lives in the past and will save
> countless more in the future. While not precisely quantitave, an
> example calculation will show this important property of the mode.
>
> Assume that 1,000 immigrant women were rescued from the Titanic's sinking
> in 1912. They arrive in America, marry and give birth to ten children
> each. That's an additional 10,000 lives saved by that one emergency CW
> contact over the next 7.5 years. Assume that each of the 10,000 children
> grows to maturity over the next 30 years and sires or births 10 children
> of their own. By the beginning of WWII, that one CW QSO will have saved
> 111,000 lives. By 1970 that number will have increased to 1,111,000 lives.
> And by 2001 (now), it stands at 11,111,000. By the close of the 21st

> century, it will be 11,111,111,000. That is to say that every third person
> on the face of the Earth will have been saved by the CQD sent from RMS Titanic
> in 1912!

It's difficult to conceive a three-Boob entity, but you've just made
the grade.

```
From 9095840725269678055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 18:33:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 20:35:00 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9a641h$rqd$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-
cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3ac648a0.3295833@news.mindspring.com>
<9a5kdv$r0u$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <9a60mh$p92$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<kEvx6.819$Cx5.186063@nntp3.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.d6
X-Server-Date: 1 Apr 2001 02:33:21 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18311
```

--

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:kEvx6.819$Cx5.186063@nntp3.onemain.com...
>
> "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:9a60mh$p92$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > So CW is responsible for food shortages, homelessness and
> overpopulation?
> > Someone quick! send a CW message to those damn immigrant women telling
> them
> > to close their legs and quit having 10 children each!
> >

>
> I won't send it in CW: reset your calendar on your compooter. You are the
> classic day late and dollar short.
>
Why yes I was. Thanks. Guess I wanted to celebrate my birthday twice this
year. :-)

From -5061645245835833437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 10:38:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 17:38:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <9a7p2l$o9$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<JBvx6.175409$p66.51751707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18362

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> >   Why indeed? I certainly would use the best method of communicatioins
> > available in an emergency, as anyone would. The operative word here is
> > "available".
>
> I would imagine calling on a cell where ya don';t have to deal with elmers
> or yutz who key a steady tone to disrupt comms to be far better than tapping
> a key

Hey rob! Get real, will ya? If you have a working cellphone by all
means use it and leave the key "untapped".

>

> >   You know, you don't always have the luxury of choosing the mode that
> > will be used. What if you're on a SSB emergency net following a disaster
> > and someone calls in on the net using CW with emergency traffic? Should
> > he just go soak his head because he doesn't have some better mode
> > available?
>
> If thats all he has i see no problem... but get real ... name a rig that was
> built in the last 20 years that would still be in use today that didnt have
> ssb.

Ah, I see the problem. You just don't have enough knowledge and
experience to understand that conditions on HF can make SSB (and other
modes) useless when CW can still be used effectively. Sorta like what
has happened last couple days following the solar flares. Guess that
comes from your knowledge all being from books and experience all being
a "telecommunications technician" which would pretty well be shorthand
for circuitboard changer and software installer in a plug-n-play world.

>
> >
> >   By the way- you may have passed a CW test somewhere at some time, but
> > clearly you're not a CW operator. No CW operator would speak of "tapping
> > away at a key". No one but a rank novice would think of sending code
> > that way.
>
> I use a straight key ... what else would i call it?

Well, if you "tap out code" it can't be very well formed code. You
might want to check into the recommended way of handling a straight key.
It would also tire you out very quickly. You don't "tap" the key.

From -4511018248981522871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 10:39:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 70
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 17:38:24 GMT
References: <20010331001152.16562.00000477@nso-fl.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010401133824.26383.00002210@ng-cf1.aol.com>

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18363

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/31/01 12:11 AM Central Daylight Time

>> As for CPR, I can tell you with certain professioanl knowledge that >>even "text-book perfect" CPR delivered in a timely manner is NOT >>always successful. As a matter of fact, it still only has a recovery rate >>of approximately 12-22%.

>Please do not include personal experience data from the purchasing >department at Antec.

First of all, I don't work at Antec. Don't let the domain name of the work "address" fool you. It's a large complex.

Ooops...guess you didn't find that out while doing your snooping, did you?

And the facts are from the American Medical Association...unless you'd care to dispute them, too...???

>Amateur radio is NOT a Public Safety radio service.

Please cite the paragraph where I said it was. Failure to do so is to validate the fact that you are again LYING.

>>No, it's not, but it's ability to provide a level of emergency >>communications is it's PRIMARY validating factor in Part 97. I know >>you know this, and ignore it, but it's there. It's law. It's in writing. It's >>the hole in your sinking rants.

>The only "hole" is there, not here. Amateur radio is NOT a
>Public Safety radio service.

Please (civily) disprove the statments made.

It is a FACT of federal law that Amateur Radio exists IN PART due to it's emergency communications capabilites. Please refer (again) to the VERY FIRST PARAGRAPH of 47CFR Part 97, Basis and Purpose of the Amatuer Radio Service.

No one, least of all me, has stated that Amateur Radio is a "public safety" service. This is a misdirection that you are again trying to perpetuate.

>Why should anyone want to emulate Robeson?

Or the 600,000+ other American citizens that have obtained a license? Including pre-K children, the deaf, the blind, the aged and...Oh, what's the use...???

They did it...you CAN'T.

>Of course, as usual, Robeson ends these messages with the
>Robeson-required personal insult:

>>Several days of absence have not wisened you up. Same Creep.

And same lack of ability on your part to deny it. Same creep.

>Why would anyone want to emulate Robeson?

Because it's NOT "Anderson", perhaps?

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 7350844450213430863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 10:41:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 17:41:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9a7p8j$150$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com> <9a62ol$het$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18364

KB9WFK wrote:
>
> Our 'local' multi-county Skywarn, OEM, NWS and ESDA have 2M, 440 and 220
> rigs set up. Not a single HF rig...Not one key....No antenna for HF...
> This includes 13 counties that I know of and work with.

Yep, that's pretty well standard for local EC groups. Works out pretty well, too
as long as you have no need for longer distance comms.

Wow. Since I'm only
> a nocode tech I guess I shouldn't be helping save any lives because I don't
> know CW...Guess I should just 'resign' my "position" (voluntary positions
> that they are) as assistant Skywarn coordinator.

And who suggested anything like that? The idea is to inform you that
there is a whold world of communications out there that you clearly know
nothing of.

```
From -6286571479905305979
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 10:42:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 17:41:40 GMT
References: <20010331135246.15492.00000622@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010401134140.26383.00002211@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18365
```

>Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/31/01 1:52 PM Central Daylight Time

>The worldwide standard for maritime emergency communications
>is now GMDSS...approved by the same international body that
>still maintains international morse code testing for radio amateurs,
>the ITU.

And when that same body repeals the legally binding treaty that the US is signatory to, you can revisit your stale and threadbare rants, Lennie.

Of course, it will be too late....Amateur Radio will somehow have mangaged to move on without you.....Again.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From -2989510001543812185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,e90c054a900ad1ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,e90c054a900ad1ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,e90c054a900ad1ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e90c054a900ad1ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e90c054a900ad1ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 10:43:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "spray bottle" <carpet600@wans.com>
From: "spray bottle" <carpet600@wans.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.sca
nner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: 3/31/01 solar flare news story
Lines: 70
Organization: growin plants
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <gNJx6.20707$Uw.1627998@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 17:43:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.192.74.54
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986147020 216.192.74.54 (Sun, 01 Apr
2001 10:43:40 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 10:43:40 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:2205 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14518
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18366 rec.radio.scanner:15952 rec.radio.shortwave:30404
```

found this on yahoo - enjoy !

Monster Sunspot Hurls Solar Flares Toward Earth

News/Current Events News
Source: Reuters via DailyNews.Yahoo.com

Published: 04/01/2001 Author: Reuters, Deborah Zabarenko
Posted on 04/01/2001 07:58:02 PDT by GeekDejure

WASHINGTON (Reuters) - Four solar flares and a pair of powerful magnetic gas clouds spawned in a monster sunspot were headed for Earth and could affect power systems, satellites and some radio transmissions, a top space weather forecaster said.

They might also provide a dazzling display of the northern lights if they arrived at night, said Gary Heckman, senior forecaster for the U.S. Space Environment Center in Boulder, Colorado.

``They're headed our way,'' Heckman said in a telephone interview. ``But these still aren't the barnburner events. ... It will tickle some power systems. Satellite operators will notice.''

The solar flares -- explosions in the sun's atmosphere -- and the fast-moving magnetic gas clouds, known as coronal mass ejections, were hurled at Earth from the biggest sunspot scientists have seen in the past decade. The sunspot was about 86,800 miles in diameter and had about 13 times the surface area of the Earth, according to the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). The spot is so big it can be seen unaided as long as filters are used to protect the eyes from damage, the NSF said in a statement.

Sunspots are dark patches on the sun's surface caused by a concentration of distorted magnetic fields. Violent solar activity is believed to be caused by the release of magnetic energy. The first bit of stormy solar weather left the sun on Wednesday, and the first effects of it were expected to reach Earth late on Friday and continue through the weekend, Heckman said.

The USGS, which monitors solar weather at a network of magnetic observatories around the world, said Earth's geomagnetic field ``is expected to become quite disturbed'' by this solar activity. ``While geomagnetic storms give rise to the beautiful northern lights, they can also pose a serious threat for commercial and military satellite operators, power companies, astronauts, and they can even shorten the life of oil pipelines in Alaska by increasing pipeline corrosion,'' a USGS statement said.

Heckman said it takes about two days from the time the sun fires off flares or throws out a coronal mass ejection until its effects can be felt on Earth, when a hot ionized gas of charged solar particles hits the Earth's magnetic field, causing fluctuations in it. The big sunspot has another week to go before it rotates away from Earth, but that could be plenty of time to cause mischief, Heckman said.

``The monster sunspot's still there,'' he said on Friday afternoon. ``That region (of the sun) has been storing energy for more than 24 hours. It's just building it up, so when it's released, there is the potential for a really large event there. ... It's rather ominous just sitting there for the last 24 hours.''

An image of Thursday's coronal mass ejections can be seen online at http://www.spaceweather.com

From -2372771333889543481
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:09:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 100
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 18:08:45 GMT
References: <20010331135250.15492.00000628@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401140845.26383.00002219@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18367

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/31/01 1:52 PM Central Daylight Time

>>Gee Len, you're an old fart but not a radio amateur.

>True...I've been a radio professional too long.

Maybe you should try a career you are GOOD at next time...???

>>Your *desire* as a non-radio amateur has little to do with anything.

>It is not just my desire, but rather a large group of others who
>have been held back by the flatulent, conservative long-timers'
>insistence on keeping the status quo.

Obviously a too-ignorant "group" since it's been possible to be a licensed Amateur Radio operator for over a decade now.

>>I *desire* many things that
>>I'll never achieve unless I apply myself toward obtaining them.

>How does that moral-ethical viewpoint of acceptance of status
>quo make Heil "superior" to all others?

He didn't say he was (superior). You did. And I agree.

>A fact of jumping
>through hoops established by chronologic very-long timers is
>only evidence of having jumped through hoops.

You mean those unlicensed, non-code tested Commissioners that you often refer to, Lennie? Seems to me none of the current Commisioners, by law the guardians of radio law, are past mid-40-something, although I admittedly haven't done a "bio" on any of them.

If you mean Licensed Amateur Radio Operators, it further occurs to me that YOU have stated that it is the COMMISSIONERS who promulgate and enforce radio regulations, NOT Amaterus.

So which spin is it THIS time, Lennie?

>Those who have jumped through old hoops are fully expected to
>demand that all newcomers do the very same thing...to "measure
>up" to their exhaulted, "superior" accomplishmentss.

Is "accomplishmentss" MORE superior than just "accomplished"..???

And which "old hoops" do you refer to?

The tests of the 40's? The "new" Novice and/or Technician of the 50's? How about the "Incentive" licenses of the 60's? Maybe you mean the "old" hoops of "Novice Enhancement" of the 80's? Or perhaps you meant the "old hoops" of the codeless Technician of the 90's?

Which one of the myriad of license changes, testing formats, or other regulatory upheavals of the last 30 years that I have been witness to do you refer to as "old hoops", Oh Licenseless One...???

>The problem is that the FCC regulates US amateur radio, not some
>wanna-be ex-officio "leaders" in the group of already-licensed
>amateurs.

Then you agree that it is NOT Amateur Radio operators who perpetuate that which YOU refer to as a "hazing ritual"..???

Or are you trying your own "cake and have it to" experiment, Lennie?

>Up until the FCC relinquishes their regulation of
>amateur radio ordinary citizens of the US can freely comment
>to the FCC whether or not the ex-officio "leaders" think they
>are "qualified" or not.

Then comment to THEM about it, Lennie. Anything in here is just ranting and bullying on your part...Pure and simple.

>The FCC has stated publicly that it does not consider a morse
>code license test relevant to their task of regulating amateur
>radio in 1990 and in 1999. [they are required retain that test by
>ITU-R S25.5]

And in THIS paragraph you contradict other assertions you have made relative to the FCC's stance on Morse code testing and the binding treaty of which THEY cite as reason for retention of the code exam at this time.

Furthermore, it completely sinks your OWN rants about how members of the Amateur Radio community are somehow holding the FCC's feet to the fire vis-a-vis code testing. Now you state it's ITU. But only two weeks ago you went toe-to-toe with Phil Kane over international radio law...guess you MIGHT still be stinging from that little slap-happy session...?!?!

>Does Heil think the FCC is "not qualified" to regulate US amateur >radio?

Regardless of what the FCC mayor may not be "qualified" to do or say, it's pretty evident that YOU are not qualified to address the topic with an INFORMED opinion.

DAMN you make this easy, Lennie! Thanks!

From 216182805663505167
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:14:04 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 18:12:24 GMT
References: <20010331135245.15492.00000621@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010401141224.26383.00002220@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18368

>Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/31/01 1:52 PM Central Daylight Time

Lennie the Liceneless, aka Lennie the Liar, aka Lennie the Limp once again dips
his poison pen into the well to come up with this:

>Heil has a perfect right as a citizen to voice his grievances, a
>guarantee of our First Amendment.  Heil's only problem is that
>he cannot confine his arguments to the subject but must
>personalize his comments to others based on Heil's opinions
>of those who do not "measure up" to his lofty standards

    Like YOUR numerous analogies to Nazism?  That's a hoot, Lennie.  A real
belly buster.






"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html





From -6023642430776383031
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:17:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 18:16:53 GMT
References: <20010331135248.15492.00000626@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401141653.26383.00002223@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18369

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/31/01 1:52 PM Central Daylight Time

>Such is a continual, evolving process in all radio services at the
>FCC.

An interesting comment from the same twerp who insists taht there is no change in the Amateur Radio Service regulationsor licensing structure.

As usual, trying to have his cake and spin it too............

From 7106588358815521084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:25:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 1 Apr 2001 18:25:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9a7rqk$7si$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3ac64957.3479278@news.mindspring.com>
<20010331221337.22424.00000469@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: N2EY <n2ey@aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18370

N2EY wrote:
>
> For an excellent comparison of the parallels between the Titanic and Challenger

> disasters, see:
>
> http://www.cuug.ab.ca/~branderr/risk_essay/
>

Jim have you read Richard P. Feynman's *minority* report on the Space
Shuttle "Challenger" Disaster? Riveting reading, chilling, to say the
least, and an excellent example of what bureacracy teaming up with
defective technical work can cause. It is really astounding, much more
is involved than only the rubber
O rings. One HOPES at least some of the awful problems permeating NASA
have been resolved. It's difficult to imagine anyone willingly boarding
a shuttle otherwise.

As you may already know, Feynman was the lone scientist on the panel of
heavyweights which investigated the disaster, and he wrote his report
detailing exactly how it happened, with a very clear discription of all
the events and problems that lead to it. The rest of the panel wanted to
suppress it, and after a bitter fight it was finally included - in the
appendix! If you read the report but not the appendix you would miss it
entirely! Clearly the truth would NOT have been made known.
If not for Feynman we probably would have never known what caused
Challenger to explode. We owe him a debt of graditude.
If you want I could scan my copy and send it to you as a ten page .pdf
file.

Dick

From 9023144293968210663
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:35:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 48
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <EwKx6.181304$p66.53456944@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 18:34:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986150052 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:34:12
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:34:12 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18371

What I meant by that was that if all you had was hf ... which i do i nthe
car ... and all i could do to get help was to get someone on the radio to
get help ... i wouldn't want some personthousand of km's away doing it ...

I trained as EMT prior to deciding telecomms is where I wanted to be ... and
for the most part ... you take what you can get

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                      |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
>
>
> --
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > You are being rediculous ... what difference does it make that someone
> > 1000km's away knows of your trouble ... locals will hear you on ssb just
> > fine.
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> Why on earth would anyone want to go through an extra person to get help?
> There is NOBODY in emergency services listening to ssb.  That means any
> communication is going through a third party both ways.  If I use my VHF
ht
> I can call the fire department directly (they monitor the repeaters in the
> area) and talk to a qualified person directly while more help is on the
way.
> I can be talking directly to a paramedic while an ambulance crew is being
> dispatched.  I know because I did it this winter at the scene of a rolled
> over van in Indiana just over the Illinois border.  A third party would
```

have
> been a pain in the butt, not to mention practically useless.
>
>

```
From -2221415184105392964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:36:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 1 Apr 2001 18:35:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9a7sdc$8ve$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-
cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87>
<dTpx6.12824$aP5.1217069@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a63ia$484$0@208.207.71.172>
<9Gxx6.14176$aP5.1336310@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18372
```

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> I know you see, understand and resent my implications, Dick. You avoid them
> so well.
>

Gee, Kim, I can't help it if you're always so far off the mark. I've
tried to inform you but it's really hopeless.

```
From 3945494921191487415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:38:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <WyKx6.181324$p66.53461984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 18:36:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986150198 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:36:38 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:36:38 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18373

WEll I am sure if you wanted toi use 160-80m that would be the case ... but
I have never had the problem with 40-10 (heck even 6 is fairly nice)

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > I don't even carry a key in the car for my 706 for the most part ... and
> you
> > still didn't rebut my point that the stations you would be asking for
help
> > would be local enough that voice comms would work fine.
> >
>
> We had (and used) CW as a means of communication when we had to evacuate
> during Hurricane Floyd. Local Cell Phones were out and voice
communication

```
> (HF) was nearly impossible to copy.  CW was just another "tool" in the
> toolbox that made communications possible.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>
>
```

```
From -917246122217394920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 1 Apr 2001 18:39:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9a7sl0$8ve$1@208.206.142.100>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-
cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org>
<9a60kp$osb$0@208.207.71.172> <3AC6B798.3A18DE33@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18374
```

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Without CW on radio there could have been no
> > communications with other ships, so none could have been summoned to
> > pick up the shivering survivors.
>
> They were in the shipping lanes equipped with flares. That's how
> people were often rescued before radio. The situation would certainly
> have been worse but not necessarily hopeless.
```

Yep. The other ship that was *in sight* of Titanic had personnel who saw Titanic's flares go up but they didn't know what they meant, and they made the wrong assumptions, then sailed away...

>
> > Yes- if better procedures had been in place, the radio op on the ship
> > that was within sight would not have turned off his radio and gone to
> > bed not long before the SOS was sent, and probably all would have been
> > saved. That isn't the fault of CW.
>
> If CW works, CW is the cause. If CW doesn't work, CW is not the cause.
> I understand how your mind works, Dick.

Cecil sometimes it's clear that you're losing yours.

From 3697527624339253983
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:41:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a7ok6$sth$0@208.206.142.100>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <8CKx6.181347$p66.53469402@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 18:40:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986150404 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:40:04 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:40:04 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18375

> Uh, Rob I don't "tap out" code. Operators who use CW SEND code. tapping
> is not involved. It can be done with about the same sort of composure

> that you can talk, by those who are a little experie nced and practised.

And I can assure you that in an emergency with adrenaline pumping you will
be relaxed enough to send flawless code ... right ...

> As always, radiotelegraphy remains as the most basic, easily
> implemented radiocommunications mode, *by those who have learned it".
> For those who can't be bothered, it's just a "sometnbing I can't do".

I would say speaking comes far easier ...

> So when did I or anyone suggest you shouldn't use the FM repeater or
> even the cellphone when it is appropriate? Stick to the subject, if you
> can.

When would using code be appropriate now a days ?

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

From 4024693677178576849
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:42:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 1 Apr 2001 18:41:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9a7sph$8ve$2@208.206.142.100>
References: <3AC53718.31106623@sccoast.net> <20010331135246.15492.00000623@nso-fm.aol.com> <3AC6BADE.DEEF2BA3@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18376

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> I was referring to the world outside of ham radio, not the silly no-code debate.

I certainly agree, this is and always has been a silly debate. The very idea that people why profess to want to be ham radio operators would be so upset by a simple code test is pretty assinine. *ESPECIALLY* a very basic, 5wpm test.

```
From -1133887233551030860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:43:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Lines: 64
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <qEKx6.354$tx5.17332@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 18:42:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 986150550 209.204.160.101 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:42:30
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:42:30 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18377
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14524
```

Very funny! I almost fell for it until I saw the date--April 1!

73,
Ron
N6AHA

"Keith" <kewyatt@apexmail.com> wrote in message
news:3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com...

> April 1, 2001 -(AP)
> FCC Chairman wants to eliminate Morse Code and wasted spectrum by Hams.
>

> In a speech Saturday night to the telecommunications industry FCC Chairman Michael Powell spoke out against the use of Morse Code and the waste of valuable spectrum by ham radio operators, ham radio operators are licensed CB operators that pass a Morse Code test. The Chairman is concerned about the waste of spectrum worth billions of dollars to the telecommunications industry that is currently being used by Ham Radio operators.
>
> The Chairman also wants a big change in the spectrum wasted in the Ultra High Frequency area of spectrum in which Ham Radio Operators hold a staggering sixty megahertz of spectrum, more then any other radio service.
>
> "Amateur Radio operators in this frequency band only need about two megahertz to chat with each other about the weather. Cellular and portable communications are in great demand and the FCC should not allow a few thousand people to hog spectrum needed by millions of consumers."
>
> In a detailed presentation he outlined his plan to ask the International Telecommunications Union for the reassignment of a four megahertz chunk of spectrum to the airline industry that is presently held by amateurs near the overcrowded civilian aircraft band. He also outlined the conversion of thirty megahertz of frequency for use by telecommunications providers for data systems that could be used to supply high-speed access to the internet via radio frequencies.
>
> To demonstrate why the FCC Chairman believes the Amateur Radio Service is wasting spectrum he played several tapes of licensed ham operators using vulgar language and jamming stations in the band he wishes to convert to the airline industry.
>
> When asked by reporters about the possible opposition to his plan by ham radio operators the Chairman smiled and exclaimed, "We are going to cut it off and kill it!"
>
> � 2001 Associated Press, All Rights Reserved.
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith   (Use Reply-to for email)
> ---
> "Where would Christianity be if Jesus got eight to fifteen years with
> time off for good behavior?" NY State Senator James Donovan, speaking
> in support of capital punishment.
> --

```
From -7738719155395530400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:44:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 18:43:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18378



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  The entire time you've posted to RRAP you have been consistant in
> > insisting that technology can do anything, anywhere, anytime. And
> > just as consistant in doing nothing whatever to prove it.
>
> Whine away, Your Laziness. I'm not about to do your work for you.


 My work? Yeah, right, while it's YOU making all the claims. Typical
Cecil.


From -3210128851812819179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<JBvx6.175409$p66.51751707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a7p2l$o9$0@208.206.142.100>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <wGKx6.181377$p66.53479993@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 18:44:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986150684 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:44:44 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:44:44 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18379

> Ah, I see the problem. You just don't have enough knowledge and experience to understand that conditions on HF can make SSB (and other modes) useless when CW can still be used effectively. Sorta like what has happened last couple days following the solar flares. Guess that comes from your knowledge all being from books and experience all being a "telecommunications technician" which would pretty well be shorthand for circuitboard changer and software installer in a plug-n-play world.

Again ... I must add that Within the 50-60km's you may expect someone to help you out those solar flares won't bother any ssb comms... but of course ... if you want fred from timbuktu you better get that 1kw linear amp and tap right away

My knowledge in the field comes from years of experience. Both as a technician with RCMP as well as an indeendant contractor. My electronics knowledge however factors nil into this subject anyways.

> Well, if you "tap out code" it can't be very well formed code. You might want to check into the recommended way of handling a straight key. It would also tire you out very quickly. You don't "tap" the key.

The key certainly makes a tapping noise ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org               |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

From -3456031493234954476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:46:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 18:45:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18380

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> KB9WFK wrote:
> > If I use my VHF ht
> > I can call the fire department directly (they monitor the repeaters in the
> > area) and talk to a qualified person directly while more help is on the way.
>
> But what if the aliens landed and took away all the HT's?

Or much more likely, what if rolling (or continuous) blackouts took the
repeater off the air and there was no surviving charge in the backup
battery?

From -7570482801114764286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 18:48:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <9a7t6q$bef$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18381

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > You know, you don't always have the luxury of choosing the mode that
> > will be used. What if you're on a SSB emergency net following a disaster
> > and someone calls in on the net using CW with emergency traffic? Should
> > he just go soak his head because he doesn't have some better mode
> > available?
>
> Seems to me he is somewhat ill prepared in being forced to rely on a
> group of hams who may or may not remember how to copy CW.

The very reason why it's important to maintain a CXW test even if no
one is required to maintain knowledge and skill. Experience has shown
that enough of those who are required to passa test keep an interest in
the mode and maintain their capability such that there remains a pool of
available operators. When testing is dropped that factor goes, too. The
complete availability of radiotelegraphy as an available mode is at
stake.

>
> >   By the way- you may have passed a CW test somewhere at some time, but
> > clearly you're not a CW operator. No CW operator would speak of "tapping
> > away at a key". No one but a rank novice would think of sending code
> > that way.

```
>
> I've been tapping away at a key for 50 years. What's wrong with live
> and let live?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 5943887029367635337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 11:52:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 18:50:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18382



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> I've been tapping away at a key for 50 years. What's wrong with live
> and let live?


 It's noivel that you CW denyers all "tap" your key, thus illustrating
for all to see how little you actually know about how to operate CW.


From -8514463550501538001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 12:06:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 19:04:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18383

Arnie Macy wrote:
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > I don't even carry a key in the car for my 706 for the most part ... and
> you
> > still didn't rebut my point that the stations you would be asking for help
> > would be local enough that voice comms would work fine.
> >
>
> We had (and used) CW as a means of communication when we had to evacuate
> during Hurricane Floyd. Local Cell Phones were out and voice communication
> (HF) was nearly impossible to copy. CW was just another "tool" in the
> toolbox that made communications possible.

No matter, Arnie, these guys have skulls of impentrable granite,
including that ol' CW lover Cecil.

>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST

From 6603288925774449609
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 12:08:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 19:07:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9a7u96$d90$1@208.206.142.100>
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com> <20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com> <3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com> <HNCx6.4134$aD4.258244@news2.atl>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18384

Arnie Macy wrote:
>
> "Bill Sohl" wrote in part ...
>
> > Even if that's true (it isn't by the way, it is but one of several
> > reasons for the ARS as stated in Part 97.1), the use of CW
> > in ALL emergency comms has ended. I have yet to see one
> > example of where CW use is still being integrated into any
> > emergency preparedness effort by any recognized public
> > or amateur group.
> >
>
> Apparently, you havent been to the Chatham County EOC lately. CW is indeed
> an integral part of the ARS station there.

First there has to be interested and Cw Qualified operators around who understand the realities of life in the ARS and in exactly how it can easily go in emergency comms. That leaves out 99.9% of the code bashers.

From 5724689308648532667
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 12:13:32 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 1 Apr 2001 19:13:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9a7ukb$fcs$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3AC7103C.8743C5D0@cmcorp.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18385
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14526
```

"Thomas C. Sefranek" wrote:
>
> Keith wrote:
>
> > April 1, 2001 -(AP)
> > FCC Chairman wants to eliminate Morse Code and wasted spectrum by Hams.
>
> Where did I put my troll meter???

Just check the calendar, then check the source.

```
From 799837100423785896
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 12:18:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sun, 1 Apr 2001 14:18:52 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
```

```
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.db
X-Server-Date: 1 Apr 2001 19:14:29 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18386



--



"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100...
>
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > KB9WFK wrote:
> > > If I use my VHF ht
> > > I can call the fire department directly (they monitor the repeaters in
the
> > > area) and talk to a qualified person directly while more help is on
the way.
> >
> > But what if the aliens landed and took away all the HT's?
>
>
>  Or much more likely, what if rolling (or continuous) blackouts took the
> repeater off the air and there was no surviving charge in the backup
> battery?

Then I'd go simplex on the output freq. of the repeater.  I can talk 15+
miles on the 7watt ht.  I have a 50watt mobile in the car.  I doubt it would
be a problem.  If it was, I would switch to one of the other 13 or so
repeaters in the area.  Blackouts and outages of that magnitude would
probably have ARES  and RACES activated anyway and our club, and/or one of
the others,  would have one of our generators set up at the repeater site as
well as members at the fire stations and hospitals.  In any case, I'd still
have direct comms via VHF.




From 7331914653430673285
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 12:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 19:19:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9a7uvv$g9h$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <3AC49AE8.8D3EB1F3@IEEE.org>
<sECx6.4103$aD4.257981@news2.atl> <3AC7D9BA.CADB2A20@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18387
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Arnie Macy wrote:
>
> > "W6RCecilA" wrote ...
> > > Arnie, you really think that over the past 10 years, CW has saved
> > > more lives than CPR?
> >
> > That's not what I said. But don't let the facts interfere with your
> > reality, Cecil.
>
> Since we are living in the present, at least some of use are, I was
> just trying to nail down your time frame. Suits of armor have saved
> a lot of lives in the past but nobody considers them serious protection
> any more because times have changed.

Then you're not pahying attention, still. Arnie and his Emergency Comms
group used CW to pass message traffic re the hurricane from the area of
the storm to the State Capitol when conditions were too bad for SSB to
be heard and no other alternate means was at hand. You can continue to
refuse to believe in the need for this capability as long as you want
but facts are facts.

```
From -1476259908388780107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7325213a0f88104
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 12:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Frequency Usage and A End to
 Morse Code.
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 14:28:57 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3AC78178.7167EEC1@ix.netcom.com>
References: <3ac6e0f2$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9a.94.1c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 1 Apr 2001 19:28:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18388

Note the date.....

Keith wrote:

> April 1, 2001 -(AP)
> FCC Chairman wants to eliminate Morse Code and wasted spectrum by Hams.

From 2244760146791890248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 12:40:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AC82C7E.C1A1DACE@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 02:38:38 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-
cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3AC561CB.AAE292D0@bctv.com>
<9a7o9v$s5s$0@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986153526
64.240.47.54 (1 Apr 2001 13:32:06 -0600)

Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18389

Dick Carroll wrote:
> NO ONE has ever suggested that CW was the "best" option for anything, ...

Of course you have, Dick. You and others have suggested that CW is the
best option for stand-alone testing of modes.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1027539783297846418
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 12:46:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 02:44:18 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87> <3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172> <3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986153866 64.240.47.54 (1 Apr 2001 13:37:46 -0600)

Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18390

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Whine away, Your Laziness. I'm not about to do your work for you.
>
> My work? Yeah, right, while it's YOU making all the claims.

I already know how to do it, Dick. If you want it done, get off
your butt, learn something new, and do it for yourself.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 9211216949070899508
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:00:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AC83108.F4F810A2@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 02:58:00 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <3AC49AE8.8D3EB1F3@IEEE.org> <sECx6.4103$aD4.257981@news2.atl> <3AC7D9BA.CADB2A20@IEEE.org> <9a7uvv$g9h$0@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986154689 64.240.47.54 (1 Apr 2001 13:51:29 -0600)
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18391

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Then you're not pahying attention, still. Arnie and his Emergency Comms
> group used CW to pass message traffic re the hurricane from the area of
> the storm to the State Capitol when conditions were too bad for SSB to
> be heard and no other alternate means was at hand. You can continue to
> refuse to believe in the need for this capability as long as you want
> but facts are facts.

You are not paying attention, Dick. That's not what I was responding to.
I just did not believe that CW is saving more lives than CPR and asked
for clarification.

I believe there's a need for CW capability and I have that capability.
I believe there's a need for food storage and water filtration units. I
have some. I believe there's a need for solar-power/battery-backup and
I have them. I believe there's a need for wood stoves and I have two.
I believe there's a need for guns for self protection and I have some.
I believe there's a need for a GMC pickup and a Harley and I have them.
I believe there's a need to be able to buy Cinnamon Life cereal at the
grocery store.

I do not believe any of the above needs should be forced on citizens
through governmental requirements or affirmative action programs.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2857753915668664207

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7325213a0f88104
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:00:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gb4hpt4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3ac6e0f2$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<3AC78178.7167EEC1@ix.netcom.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Frequency Usage and A End to
Morse Code.
Lines: 19
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 19:59:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.53
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986155192 206.58.44.53 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 19:59:52
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 19:59:52 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18392

On Sun, 01 Apr 2001 14:28:57 -0500, Robert Casey wrote:

>Note the date.....
>
>Keith wrote:
>
>> April 1, 2001 -(AP)
>> FCC Chairman wants to eliminate Morse Code and wasted spectrum by Hams.

  Almost got me, too!!

  Unfortunately there are people "there" who do believe just
  that way.....I worked for one for many years.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From 8659263384521526110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:04:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AC83221.FC10986@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 03:02:41 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986154971
64.240.47.54 (1 Apr 2001 13:56:11 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
216.65.3.50!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18393

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Arnie Macy wrote:
> > We had (and used) CW as a means of communication when we had to evacuate
> > during Hurricane Floyd. Local Cell Phones were out and voice communication
> > (HF) was nearly impossible to copy. CW was just another "tool" in the
> > toolbox that made communications possible.
>
> No matter, Arnie, these guys have skulls of impentrable granite,
> including that ol' CW lover Cecil.

Another straw man ad hominem attack, Dick. CW can certainly be useful
and I am fully equipped for the mode. But it doesn't make any sense
at all to require Morse code skill and not require a CW transceiver
to go with it.
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6459162572894280060
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:06:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AC832B5.6F5D1A53@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 03:05:09 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a7ok6$sth$0@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986155117
64.240.47.54 (1 Apr 2001 13:58:37 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18394
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> As always, radiotelegraphy remains as the most basic, easily
> implemented radiocommunications mode, *by those who have learned it".
> For those who can't be bothered, it's just a "sometnbing I can't do".

Just like swimming, bicycle riding, motorcycle riding, and thousands

of other things individuals might or might not choose to do. What's
wrong with live and let live?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2537812553620696344
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 98
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 20:09:02 GMT
References: <3ac6ccc8.97660818@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401160902.09539.00000568@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18399

In article <3ac6ccc8.97660818@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
writes:

>>>They
>>>streamlined the ham regs in a number of respects well beyond just the
>>>code test thing simply to reduce their administrative expenses,
>>>period.
>>
>>No, not just for "administrative expenses." The US amateur radio
>>license class system had grown into the most complex class-
>>distinction system in the international amateur world. Six classes
>>were brought down to three.
>>
>Really?

For all new US amateur radio licenses. Feel free to read about it
in FCC 99-412. Free download at the FCC website. :-)

>>The "reduction of administrative expenses" had already been
>>accomplished by the privatization of ALL operator license testing
>>well before the mandate of biennial reviews.
>>

>Baloney. When was it that the FCC handed off dealing with applications
>for code test waivers under the ADA to the VE/VECs?

Don't slice your sausage before it is packed.

The FCC privatized ALL radio operator licensing, amateur and commercial, some time ago. Before the 98-143 NPRM. You are trying to misdirect the argument by going to a special case only for radio amateurs.

>>Kelly has missed a rather thorough set of reasons for change given
>>in 99-412, the R&O doing a large change in Part 97. The stage was
>>set for much of that in the 90-55 R&O that created the no-code-test
>>Technician class.
>>
>Yeah, sure. I been there as a GS-11/12 snivel servant Lenny, seen the
>real reality from the inside, you obviously have no clue again because
>like not being a ham you ain't ever been inside the gummint loop
>either.

Something new. Kelly has been a "snivel servant." :-)

Amateur radio was the "credential" for being a "snivel servant?"

On "not being inside the gummint loop" [sic: government loop]. Kelly seems to dismiss anyone else working on Department of Defense, Department of Transportation contracts. Apparently all those "snivel servants" had the "proper credentials" as licensed radio amateurs to be in their position? I don't think so.

>>You may not like the changes or comments, but that is not any
>>valid reason for such a simple dismissal of the FCC's actions.
>>
>See above about how gummint actually works. "Will the last ham radio
>"regulator" around here please turn the lights off on his way out the
>door"

Then there will be a lot of self-proclaimed "ham radio regulators" all fighting over the light switch. :-)

The FCC regulates a great number of radio services in the USA. So many that amateur radio is a small part of all those services. It's not that amateur radio is not important, it is just that all those other radio services have more to do with US citizens. Of course, by the "credibility" and "qualification" rules of Kelly and others in here, only hams can regulate hams, right? :-)

>>Kelly seems to think I speak ill of the dead. I do not. I do not
>>see it, "the code test thing," as something that should be
>>worshipped ad infinitum and forced upon all newcomers to

```
>>amateur radio.
>>
>Hang in with your anti-cw rants Lenny, you certainly have right to do
>that, no problem, rants in this NG seem to be your whole life which is
>fine, no skin off my teeth, your sad limitation.

You seem to take anything against CW in amateur radio very,
very personally.  So much so that you wish to deprive all
newcomers any access to HF via a no-code license?  Are you
really afraid and are trying to hide it behind bluster and
apparent indifference?  Who is the "sad" one now?

>You'll just have to
>excuse my lack interest in the code test thingey for now Lenny, take
>it somewhere else, it's dead in my book, I'm too busy beeping the
>planet to give a bleep about what you "think".

A rather nice demonstration of eliteness, of self-proclaimed
"ownership" of an avocation.  If you were so "busy" you need not
have replied at all.  :-)
```

```
From 3556552383606403875
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 162
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 20:08:56 GMT
References: <20010401112009.26383.00002180@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010401160856.09539.00000563@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18400
```

In article <20010401112009.26383.00002180@ng-cf1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

```
>>Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
>>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 3/31/01 1:52 PM Central Daylight Time
>
```

>>Their 'proof' is predicated only on personal achievement and the
>>irritation against those who will not worship their accomplishments
>>as Strong and Mighty. It is seen in here on a regular basis
>
>   Most often by post-career electronics technicians who insist they know
>what's best for a radio service in which they are not licensed.

Poor Robeson. He cannot argue a subject, he can only argue personalities.

Robeson keeps claiming he "knows what is best for US amateur radio" because he is licensed in it. Null reasoning.

The FCC regulates US amateur radio. Neither staff nor commissioners are required to possess amateur radio licenses in order to regulate US amateur radio.

By Robeson's logic, the FCC cannot possibly do its chartered task since only a few staffers have amateur radio licenses.

>>All of these public postings are not necessarily to the individual
>>quoted. Do not get upset or "personalize" someone else's replies.
>
>   And remember to do what Lennie says, NOT what Lannie does. They are
>usually NOT synonymous.

That is Robeson's poisoning of the well. My arguments in here, when there are individuals who care to argue subjects rather than personalities, are simply to eliminate the code TEST for any US amateur radio license examination.

Unfortunately, some poor souls such as Robeson, need some sort of outlet for their frustrations so they attack, fight, become aggressive, mean-spirited, loutish, and generally act the asshole by going after personalities.

>>It is demonstrateable fact that PCTAs in here are intolerant to those
>>who are against morse code testing for an amateur radio license
>
>   It is demonstrateable fact that post career electronics technicians who
>are not licensed in the radio service of which they are so critical often
>liken those who do not share THIER opinions to Nazis, "old farts" or other
equally
>distasteful adjectives.

Robeson wishes to attack credibility by challenging someone's pre-retirement working career. Let it be known that my working career was, and still is part-time, as an electronics engineer. That is verifiable by anyone wishing to contact KD6JG or W6MJN or any of the corporations who were my employers (all given in prior postings). Engineering requires responsibility and knowledge in order to continue receiving a salary. I continued to receive a salary. Yes, in the past I was working as an electronics technician...prior to 1965.

Now let's look at Robeson's working career based on his own statements in here. He insists on a personality fight, so let it become one.

At some time in the past Robeson claims USMC rank of E-7 but received a medical discharge, terminating that career. He made some vague claims of being involved in aviation electronics while in the USMC but nothing specific stated. He then became a licensed practical nurse, no doubt inspired by some love of healing the sick (we have all seen that represented in here). That second career was suddenly terminated, claiming "burn-out." A third career was begun as a purchasing agent (Antec Corporation, in Georgia) which involves living away from home during working days. All of those career choices have emphasized rank and status, according to Robeson's past postings...especially the "authorized" licensing for military and nursing.

Robeson's "authority" on amateur radio matters consists of being licensed as an Extra class radio amateur for some time, no other "authority" or "qualifications" in that regard other than some tenure in that class.

>   Then when subjected to thier own medicine, become defensive, profane and
>generally disjointed that the REST of the world can't see things with THIER
>clarity.

More well-poisoning. My arguments have tried to remain on the subject. Those "qualified" licensees such as Robeson do nothing but argue personalities, attempting to demean and defame anyone who does not see things their way. Strong arguments for one side of a subject ON the subject are not personal attacks against those who do not agree with that viewpoint. The immature will take them personally and the result is the usual flame-fest and insults.

>>Some are downright aggressively hostile and (nearly always)
>>attempt misdirection of the subject through personal insults against
>>NCTAs any which way they can.
>
>   See what I mean? And as for "misdirection", you are invited to research
>archives of "NoCWTest". It's a true "pot/kettle/black" circumstance.

AOL (one of my ISPs) allows up to seven screen names (handles). I used "NoCWTest" as a handle well over two years ago while arguing against the morse code test necessity in here. Apparently Robeson thinks that all non-amateur screen names are not suitable for the tender eyes of ex-military, ex-nurse purchasing agents who are licensed in the US amateur radio service.

>   Lennie knows his electronics to be sure. Above and beyond that, he's

>worthless.

Tsk, tsk. Robeson attempting to do more well-poisoning. :-)

>If he can't find it on a website, he has absolutely no Amateur
>Radio experience base upon which to make the frequent attacks against Amateur
>Radio that he makes in this forum.

More well-poisoning. Robeson assumes the imperial mantle of Representative of All US Amateur Radio! [the ARRL claims that, Robeson is invited to argue representation with them]

Robeson is licensed in US amateur radio. Unfortunately, he takes that as the "authority" to claim that all who are not so licensed have "NO" knowledge, experience, love, or anything else of or about amateur radio. Specious argument, used for one purpose only: The Big Lie technique of Internet PsyWar. Say it often enough and some will actually believe it as truth. Robeson has nothing else in his discussion-debate-argument arsenal except insults and exaggerations so each and every "reply" of his consists of little more than an obsessive "battle" comment on personalities.

US amateur radio is one of the most open and visibile and audible avocations, so much so that regulations forbid codes and ciphers intended to obscure communications. Amateur radio physics operate exactly the same as the physics of other radio services. Publications on the techniques of amateur radio abound, at a resonable price (relative statement compared with mainstream publishers). There is no "secrecy" involving the radio hobby other than in the twisted mentality of a few who NEED the status symbology of authority to show they are "better" than others.

This newsgroup was created to discuss the morse code test issue. Unfortunately, all too many advocating the retention of the morse code test for licensing have exaggerated that issue to mean that elimination of the code TEST is an "anti-CW battle" that the Righteous Codeites must fight.

The "battle" exists only in certain minds. No No-Code Test Advocate has ever claimed that CW must be "eliminated." NCTAs have claimed that CW mode should be given equal footing with all other allocated modes in fairness and openness. Fairness in regulations is seemingly against the PCTA eliteness and favoritism of CW. The "battle" is against the elite, not the avocation in general..

From 7012811388133553651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!dca6-feed2.news.digex.net!intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 54
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 20:09:00 GMT
References: <3ac6cb4f.97283248@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401160900.09539.00000567@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18397

In article <3ac6cb4f.97283248@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 31 Mar 2001 18:52:50 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <3AC55E93.55470803@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>>
>>>Gee Len, you're an old fart but not a radio amateur.
>>
>>True...I've been a radio professional too long.
>>
>You never were a "radio professional" Lenny, were a bench tech at best
>which has precisely nothing to do with the regulatory or any other
>policy issues related to Amateur Radio. Credentials in this NG = Zip.

Now, now, Brian. Let's not get into the professional area again where you want to argue "legality" of an ENGINEER title prior to receiving a degree (most of my career).

Yes, I was an electronics technician prior to 1964. The interesting part is that industry doesn't need all the anal-retentive "qualifications" and "licensing" you insist on in order to DO THE WORK of engineering or in assuming responsibility of pass or fail of a work task. One has only to do the job, show capability, accomplish the task successfully, and be rewarded with a good salary. In radio as well as electronics. In radio at frequencies below, including, and excluding amateur bands using more modes and modulations than are allocated to amateurs.

What "credentials" or "licenses" are needed to discuss law, regulations, or rules of government? Answer: NONE in open forums or assemblies of citizens.

Kelly seems rather upset that any plain, ordinary, unlicensed-in-the-amateur-radio-service citizen DARES to comment on

amateur radio regulations! Imagine that! "No credibility" all
derived from a simple argument against retaining the morse
code test for a US amateur radio license examination!

Does the FCC require its staff or commissioners to have the
"qualifications" and "credibility" of an amateur radio license
in order to regulate US amateur radio? Answer: NO.

Does the grant of an amateur radio license permit snarly
remarks to ordinary citizens not licensed in the amateur
radio service? Apparently so judging from some of the
commentary in here.

If you wish to represent all of US amateur radio insofar as
"credibility" for comment, be advised that you already have
two ahead of you, the ARRL and the we-we person, both
claiming that. :-)

From -6305443094692762300
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 20:08:59 GMT
References: <20010401113636.26383.00002186@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401160859.09539.00000566@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18396

In article <20010401113636.26383.00002186@ng-cf1.aol.com>, wewe@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 3/31/01 2:23 PM Central Daylight Time
>
>>Kelly has demonstrated the same physical dexterity attributes
>>in saying that "the code test thing" is "dead and gone" in
>>another message thread. Obviously it isn't.
>
>   It only "isn't" because you have nothing else to beat...so you keep

>beating
>the code issue. The rest of us have accepted that when S25 is repealled,
>most
>likely after WRC2003, that the code test in the United States will likewise
>disappear.

There you go shaking your "we-we" again. Use of the royal "we"
indicates Robeson represents all of US amateur radio (the ARRL
claims that, which is it).

Oddly, that "acceptance" is not universal in here. A number of
staunch and starchy PCTAs differ with that opinion. :-)

DOS Tip: The code test standard (S25.5) is NOT in the present
US adminstration agenda for WRC-03 nor is it mentioned by the
FCC International Bureau.

Say hello to all your other multiple personalities, Robeson. I don't
want to overlook any of them...

From -6050503508307429140
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 90
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 20:08:58 GMT
References: <20010401120742.26383.00002191@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010401160858.09539.00000565@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18398

In article <20010401120742.26383.00002191@ng-cf1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 3/31/01 1:52 PM Central Daylight Time
>
>>Forgive me, revered licensed person. I was unaware that amateur
>>radio physics was different than the physics of radio in every other
>>radio service.
>
>   Lennie, Lennie, LENNIE! This newsgripe is Amateur Radio POLICY. NOT

>Amatuer Radio PHYSICS.

Please see Part 97, Title 47 CFR, specifically sub-part D titled "Technical Standards." That very much involves physics.

> On matters of PHYSICS we accept your qualifications.

What do you mean "we," your royal behindedness?

Robeson does not "represent" anyone but himself (the ARRL "represents" all US radio amateurs). However, schizophrenia manifesting itself as multiple personalities might just be a qualifier for using "we." :-)

>In matters of Amateur
>Radio policy and PRACTICE, you have NO experience.

Not as a licensee, true. Neither has the FCC since NO staff or commissioners are required to be radio amateurs in order to regulate US amateur radio and to set standards of amateur radio licensing. [a few staff are hams but that is not a requirement]

Robeson has claimed knowledge and experience as a military person but has not been in war. Robeson has claimed life-saving ability as a nurse yet is not authorized to be a doctor. Robeson has claimed all kinds of "knowledge" in electronics engineering by being a purchasing agent but is not tasked at Antec to be responsible for actual engineering.

US amateur radio frequency allocations extend all the way to 250 GHz (1 mm band) yet Robeson has mentioned only once that he operated on 23 cm band using a borrowed HT. There are a number of different modes optional to US radio amateurs yet Robeson has not mentioned any that are not in his store-bought radio. Tsk, tsk, not many claims to "practical experience" in US amateur radio on Robeson's side, are there?

>>Forgive me again, most esteemed qualified licensee, but the FCC
>>still does not require staff or commissioners to be licensed in
>>amateur radio service in order to regulate it.
>
> Misdirection. The discussion was on YOUR lack of credentials to make
>informed opinions on matters of Amateur Radio policy or practice.

Nonsense. Perfect direction. The "credentials" Robeson wants is a license in the amateur radio service. The FCC does not require such "credentials" for its staff or commissioners in order to regulate all of US amateur radio.

Further, the FCC will accept any comment from any citizen concerning radio regulations of any radio service without requiring "credentials" of operator licensing in any radio service.

>>Further, I see nothing
>>in the entire body of Title 47 that prohibits citizens from commenting
>>to the FCC in regard to radio regulations of any radio service.
>
>   To the Federal government when a solicitation for comment is requested.

If the task of reading all of Title 47 and/or the Communications Act
of 1934 and the Telecommunications Act of 1996 is too daunting,
I'd suggest the "FCC Handbook" to explain what the FCC will accept.

The FCC will accept any and all comments, suggestions,
communications from any citizen on any radio service regulations
or policy thereof. It is NOT limited to "solicitation for comment"
(presumably limited to NPRMs in Robeson's quaint use). The
FCC must do so. By law. Live with it.

>Your rantings in HERE amount to nothing more that intimidation and bullying.

Well-poisoning. Robeson continually jumps in obsessively on
any subject I address regarding the comment of another. THAT
is bullying. THAT is intimidation. Quod Erat Demonstrandum.

From -4262543194069406773
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 20:08:57 GMT
References: <3AC6BADE.DEEF2BA3@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010401160857.09539.00000564@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18395

In article <3AC6BADE.DEEF2BA3@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>> Their 'proof' is predicated only on
>> personal achievement and the irritation
>> against those who will not worship their

>> accomplishments as Strong and Mighty.
>> It is seen in here on a regular basis.   :-)
>
>
> I was referring to the world outside of ham radio, not the silly
>no-code debate.

Feel free to vent whatever gripes you in another newsgroup, then.

This newsgroup was created to discuss the morse code test
issue. It has been extended to include all policy matters
concerning amateur radio.

>And the statistics (crime, kids out of wedlock,
>runaways, suicides, etc) do prove it today.

"Crime" as in unlawful radiowave emission has been discussed in
here. Bastardy has been mentioned infrequently, usually as a
pejorative against another. "Runaways" has only been touched
on by those who have "run away" from either amateur radio or
citizen's band radio. A few feel a need to have runaway opinions
of others. :-)

```
From -6219481531376759922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:12:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AC8340E.83B6CCCF@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 03:10:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986155463
64.240.47.54 (1 Apr 2001 14:04:23 -0600)
```

Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18401

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Or much more likely, what if rolling (or continuous) blackouts took the
> repeater off the air and there was no surviving charge in the backup
> battery?

How are you going to run a CW transmitter during a blackout with no surviving charge on the backup battery?

Don't know about other repeaters, but our local repeater has power terminals where jumper cables can be hooked up to a vehicle. How long will a 40 gallon pickup provide power for a repeater?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 8196723722630100494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!oleane.net!oleane!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Sun, 1 Apr 2001 15:17:07 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9a82fg$uq7$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>

```
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.8a.1c
X-Server-Date: 1 Apr 2001 20:18:56 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18402
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14527


Keith <kewyatt@apexmail.com> wrote in part:

>    In a speech Saturday night to the telecommunications industry FCC
Chairman
> Michael Powell
.
.
.
>  "We are going to cut it
>  off and kill it!"

Nice touch. I'm a fan.

7'3s Corry
--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/






From 1655420214244945944
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:20:28 PST
Message-ID: <3AC835FB.AC7C28C1@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 03:19:07 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t6q$bef$0@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
```

X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986155957 64.240.47.54 (1 Apr 2001 14:12:37 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18403

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The very reason why it's important to maintain a CXW test even if no
> one is required to maintain knowledge and skill.

So as long as there's fresh meat, you don't care if the OF's forget everything they ever knew about CW. That figgers. Now we know the true reason you want to maintain the requirement. So it can be someone else's day in the barrel.

> The complete availability of radiotelegraphy as an available
> mode is at stake.

At one time, the complete availability of a coat of mail was at stake. And times change so a coat of mail is no longer required. And progress happens (except to you).
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -788384825543524415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:24:19 PST
Message-ID: <3AC836D3.35A5C3B0@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 03:22:43 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986156175
64.240.47.54 (1 Apr 2001 14:16:15 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18404

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > I've been tapping away at a key for 50 years. What's wrong with live
> > and let live?
>
>  It's noivel that you CW denyers all "tap" your key, thus illustrating
> for all to see how little you actually know about how to operate CW.

What else can you do with a straight key except short and long taps,
Dick? My key taps every time I push down and taps again every time I
let up. I have actually dampened my Bencher so the up tap doesn't
make as much noise as the down tap.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3383578599730961322

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:44:02 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 20:41:41 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac7921c.148132512@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3AC7103C.8743C5D0@cmcorp.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.166
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.166
X-Trace: 1 Apr 2001 15:38:55 -0500, 64.24.16.166
Lines: 9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18405
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14530

On Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:25:56 GMT, "Thomas C. Sefranek"
<tcs@cmcorp.com> wrote:
>
>Where did I put my troll meter???
>
NEVER come into this NG without yer trollmeter up and running . .
>
w3rv



From 5202023423033484089
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:50:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <dvMx6.182533$p66.53741393@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 20:49:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986158153 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 13:49:13 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 13:49:13 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18406

Then we'd move to a different repeater ... or simply go to the site and
operate a mobile station

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100...
>
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > KB9WFK wrote:
> > > If I use my VHF ht
> > > I can call the fire department directly (they monitor the repeaters in the
> > > area) and talk to a qualified person directly while more help is on the way.
> >
> > But what if the aliens landed and took away all the HT's?
>
>
> Or much more likely, what if rolling (or continuous) blackouts took the
> repeater off the air and there was no surviving charge in the backup
> battery?

```
From 4664007980318416463
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:52:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 20:50:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986158231 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 13:50:31
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 13:50:31 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18407

AS mentioned previously ... anyone who has used a straight key knows that
the key makes a tapping sound when depressed

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org               |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100...
>
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > I've been tapping away at a key for 50 years. What's wrong with live
> > and let live?
>
>
>  It's noivel that you CW denyers all "tap" your key, thus illustrating
```

> for all to see how little you actually know about how to operate CW.

From 7225887086908900424
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:52:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 20:51:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986158269 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 13:51:09
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 13:51:09 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18408

You guys are on the other side of the same coin ... and you certainly can't
see our point neither

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/---------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\---------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100...
>
>
> Arnie Macy wrote:

```
> >
> > "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
> >
> > > I don't even carry a key in the car for my 706 for the most part ...
and
> > you
> > > still didn't rebut my point that the stations you would be asking for
help
> > > would be local enough that voice comms would work fine.
> > >
> >
> > We had (and used) CW as a means of communication when we had to evacuate
> > during Hurricane Floyd.  Local Cell Phones were out and voice
communication
> > (HF) was nearly impossible to copy.  CW was just another "tool" in the
> > toolbox that made communications possible.
>
>
>  No matter, Arnie, these guys have skulls of impentrable granite,
> including that ol' CW lover Cecil.
>
>
>
> >
> > Arnie -
> > KT4ST





From -3672026499527008434
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 13:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 56
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 20:53:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986158403 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 13:53:23 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 13:53:23 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18409

Bingo ... besides ... whoever heard of a repeater who didn't have a
generator onsite to kick in after 110 was unavailable ... after all who are
running htese repeaters ?

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org               |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
>
>
> --
>
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100...
> >
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > KB9WFK wrote:
> > > > If I use my VHF ht
> > > > I can call the fire department directly (they monitor the repeaters
in
> the
> > > > area) and talk to a qualified person directly while more help is on
> the way.
> > >
> > > But what if the aliens landed and took away all the HT's?
> >
> >
> > Or much more likely, what if rolling (or continuous) blackouts took the
> > repeater off the air and there was no surviving charge in the backup
> > battery?
>

```
> Then I'd go simplex on the output freq. of the repeater.  I can talk 15+
> miles on the 7watt ht.  I have a 50watt mobile in the car.  I doubt it
would
> be a problem.  If it was, I would switch to one of the other 13 or so
> repeaters in the area.  Blackouts and outages of that magnitude would
> probably have ARES  and RACES activated anyway and our club, and/or one of
> the others,  would have one of our generators set up at the repeater site
as
> well as members at the fire stations and hospitals.  In any case, I'd
still
> have direct comms via VHF.
>
>




From 366447720019761788
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 14:36:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 21:34:25 GMT
References: <MPG.15306bd6b8259ad598969e@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? QRZ!!!!
Message-ID: <20010401173425.26056.00000376@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18410

In article <MPG.15306bd6b8259ad598969e@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>At 4th and Drucker he turns left
>at Drucker and 4th he turns right
>he crosses McArthur Park and walks into a great sandstone buidling
>
>OWWWW MY Nose
>
>He climbs the 13 stairs (ringgg)
>he ready for action (ringggg)
>he's ready for action (ringggg)
>he's.....NICK DANGER 3rd EYE
>
>I'll have a pizza to go and no anchovies......
>
```

Absolutely perfect. Good to know I'm not the only bozo on this bus.

How about some Bear Whizz Beer with the pizza?

73 de Jim, "still waiting for the electrician" N2EY

From 1756902314556371714
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 14:36:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 21:34:24 GMT
References: <3AC5E4E3.9E3A04D4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010401173424.26056.00000374@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18411

In article <3AC5E4E3.9E3A04D4@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> But we're really talking "apples and oranges" here, when comparing a radio
>> communications mode to an emergency medical procedure.
>
>But it was a CW supporter who brought it up. :-)

"CW supporter" covers almost everybody here, Cecil.
>
>> I support having as many tools in the toolbox as possible. That means
>> proficiency in as many modes used by amateurs as possible.
>
>Don't you mean "feasible" instead of "possible"?

"Practical" is a better word choice than either "feasible" or "possible".

>Can you run SS?

Sure. In fact, it's one of the first modes I would use in an emergency.

> All modes
>are possible including some that are used by three hams worldwide. :-)
>--

All authorized modes, yes.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -7480228437610508225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 18:38:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 1 Apr 2001 02:38:07 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9a64af$62u$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC60958.8E20985C@toast.net>
<jaux6.175091$p66.51559920@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18312

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> Well of course the technology plays a role in the interest, but quite
> frankly if I tap it out a message, say it on am, fm or ssb it makes no
> difference at all. Besides I enjoy the personal touch of a voice that you
> dont get with cw
>

No, YOU don't get the personal touch with CW. Your claim to have passed a CW test notwithstanding, you clearly have no knowledge of the mode.

From 5421857609068419895
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 18:38:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 20:39:05 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 74
Message-ID: <9a6441$ebt$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.d6
X-Server-Date: 1 Apr 2001 02:34:41 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18313

BTW. That rant was not aimed at you. I got carried away in agreeing with
you and...well....tangent time....

--

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com...
> On 31 Mar 2001 02:44:52 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:
>
> >>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 3/30/01 1:16 PM Central Standard Time
> >
> >>>Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of
CW
> >saved over the years? Many, many more I would think.
> >
> >>Unproven except that such is your opinion.
> >
> >   Weeeeeellll, Lennie, we can START off in 1912 and move forward.
April,
> >1912 to be specific. (I am sure you have some first hand "Back in ...."
story,
> >so I will say now you can save it) Of course that was several HUNDRED
people
> >saved at one time. We could cite hundreds of other situations in which
Morse
> >Code has "saved the day".
> >
> >   As for CPR, I can tell you with certain professioanl knowledge that
even

> >"text-book perfect" CPR delivered in a timely manner is NOT always
successful.
> >As a matter of fact, it still only has a recovery rate of approximately
12-22%.
> >
> >   As recently as the 1970's Morse Code was still being used for (real)
> >distress situations,
>
> 30 years ago. How about the last 10/20 years.
>
> >and the reluctance of many other countries to NOT delete
> >Morse Code is proof that it's service last's into the 21st century.
>
> What countries and for what services?
>
> >>Then let it be optional in USE, not part of the license test. Amateur
> >>radio is NOT primarily a public safety radio service.
> >
> >   No, it's not, but it's ability to provide a level of emergency
> >communications is it's PRIMARY validating factor in Part 97.
>
> Even if that's true (it isn't by the way, it is but one of several
> reasons for the ARS as stated in Part 97.1), the use of CW
> in ALL emergency comms has ended. I have yet to see one
> example of where CW use is still being integrated into any
> emergency preparedness effort by any recognized public
> or amateur group.
>
> >>Why not include a "proficiency test" at amateur radio bands above
> >>HF?
> >
> >   Why not get a license?
>
> Why not answer the question? It goes to the hypocracy
> of having code testing for HF but not VHF when most all
> safety/emergency comms are actually done via VHF as opposed to HF.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

From 6134601953920095761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 18:39:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 02:39:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9a64d6$62u$1@208.207.71.172>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18314

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> > That's true, but the reality of historical data doesn't support
> > the idea that there is even an occasional such situation.
> > The reality for US hams would be that we'd be more likly
> > to save another life if we had VHF and/or cell phone
> > available.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
>
> My point exactly.

Why don't you clueless jokers find something to argue about? No one has ever even suggested otherwise.

From -899286764956077269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 18:53:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 02:53:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>

```
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18315



KB9WFK wrote:
>
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > > That's true, but the reality of historical data doesn't support
> > > the idea that there is even an occasional such situation.
> > > The reality for US hams would be that we'd be more likly
> > > to save another life if we had VHF and/or cell phone
> > > available.
> > >
> > > Cheers,
> > > Bill K2UNK
> >
> > My point exactly.
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> Oh no.  When a tornado rips through here and starts fires, I want Zimbabwe
> to know about it and send a fire truck.  Perhaps Mozambique could send a
> paramedic or two, Argentina can make sandwiches and Algeria can provide
> traffic control...and we have to tell them all in CW...



  Well that's a start but you ain't there yet.


From -8119408670303456896
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 19:14:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 33
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 03:13:38 GMT
References: <malx6.746$Iu3.683713@nntp1.onemain.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? QRZ!!!!
Message-ID: <20010331221338.22424.00000470@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18316

In article <malx6.746$Iu3.683713@nntp1.onemain.com>, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010331083329.09572.00000414@nso-mm.aol.com...
>
>Find someone with a dish!. BBC America is running a Monty Python marathon
>this weekend.

awshucks - nobody around here has dishes. Cable's too good. I don't have
either.
>
>Of course you will have to tape the Get Smart marathon on TV Land.

"His father's name was Heimie"

Excuse me, my shoe is ringing.
>
>Sure it's off topic.

No it isn't.

> Sorry about that Chief and get that penguin off my
>TV set.
>
If it lays an egg, it will roll down the back of the television set.

--

The real prize is to come across a radio station that plays Firesign Theatre.

73 de Jim "I spell my name......DANGER!" N2EY

From 8203601160049732260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 19:14:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!

```
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 03:13:37 GMT
References: <3ac64957.3479278@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010331221337.22424.00000469@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18317
```

In article <3ac64957.3479278@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>>Jim Kelley wrote:
>>> Rose said 1500 people went into the sea that night.
>>
>>I know some people went into the sea and then into the lifeboats.
>>Was anybody saved who went into the sea and not into the lifeboats?

Yes. As I recall, six people were pulled from the water by the lifeboat led by Officer Lowe. His boat was the only one that went back to rescue those in the water after the ship sank.

In addition, one of the collapsible boats was upside down, and quite a few survived by hanging on to it. Most of them had swum there but were able to get out of the water.
>
>My recollection of the data discussed on one of the history
>programs was that less than a dozen of those in the water
>were saved.

I can look up exact numbers for you when I get my copy of "A Night To Remember" on Monday.

As I recall, there were 2205 people on board Titanic on April 14. 705 were saved, the other 1500 died of various causes - mostly hypothermia. Had there been enough lifeboat space, all could have been saved.

>They froze to death very quickly...minutes.

Would that it were true. Those in the boats could hear those in the water crying out for help, and those cries lasted 20-30 minutes or longer. Dead or unconscious people don't cry out for help.

For an excellent comparison of the parallels between the Titanic and Challenger disasters, see:

http://www.cuug.ab.ca/~branderr/risk_essay/

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 1879085638397354749
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 19:24:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 03:21:47 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3AC69ECB.C2E91039@bctv.com>
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010330211617.10224.00000724@ng-bk1.aol.com>
<ASdx6.9463$Os.2403821@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986095465 53195 63.87.116.71 (1 Apr 2001 03:24:25 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 1 Apr 2001 03:24:25 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18318
```

BadaBing wrote:
>
> Well which do you say while on the local machine 73 or 73's....

I say "You have a great day."

```
From -3008196660677049534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 19:28:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <malx6.746$Iu3.683713@nntp1.onemain.com>
<20010331221338.22424.00000470@nso-mg.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? QRZ!!!!
Lines: 54
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <Ecxx6.1096$jc2.333878@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 21:28:39 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.195
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 986095524 208.154.158.195 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 22:25:24
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 22:25:24 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18319
```

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010331221338.22424.00000470@nso-mg.aol.com...
> In article <malx6.746$Iu3.683713@nntp1.onemain.com>, "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccoll@radiks.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20010331083329.09572.00000414@nso-mm.aol.com...
> >
> >Find someone with a dish!. BBC America is running a Monty Python
marathon
> >this weekend.
>
> awshucks - nobody around here has dishes. Cable's too good. I don't have
> either.
> >
> >Of course you will have to tape the Get Smart marathon on TV Land.
>
> "His father's name was Heimie"
>
> Excuse me, my shoe is ringing.
> >
> >Sure it's off topic.
>
> No it isn't.
>
> > Sorry about that Chief and get that penguin off my
> >TV set.
> >
> If it lays an egg, it will roll down the back of the television set.
>
> --
>
> The real prize is to come across a radio station that plays Firesign
Theatre.

>
> 73 de Jim "I spell my name......DANGER!" N2EY
>

You just triggered on of my peeves from years ago. A British show called Danger Man was imported by CBS. It had a really neat harpsichord theme that was fun. When it got to the US we got a really bad Johnny Rivers theme on a renamed Secret Agent.

Thar's a man who leads a lahfe of danger, TWANG.

I get a bang out of some of the Goon Shows syndicated by WCLV on Sunday here but firesign theatre would definitely be a coup.

Hang by your thumbs and write if you get work.

Dick N�BK

From 4261774984094735245
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 19:33:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? QRZ!!!!
Message-ID: <MPG.15306bd6b8259ad598969e@news.earthlink.net>
References: <malx6.746$Iu3.683713@nntp1.onemain.com>
<20010331221338.22424.00000470@nso-mg.aol.com>
<Ecxx6.1096$jc2.333878@nntp2.onemain.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 29
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 03:33:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.119.136
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986095985 63.208.119.136 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 19:33:05 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 19:33:05 PST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18320

In article <Ecxx6.1096$jc2.333878@nntp2.onemain.com>, rmccoll@radiks.net says...
> > --
> >
> > The real prize is to come across a radio station that plays Firesign

> Theatre.
> >
> > 73 de Jim "I spell my name......DANGER!" N2EY

At 4th and Drucker he turns left
at Drucker and 4th he turns right
he crosses McArthur Park and walks into a great sandstone buidling

OWWWW MY Nose

He climbs the 13 stairs (ringgg)
he ready for action (ringggg)
he's ready for action (ringggg)
he's.....NICK DANGER 3rd EYE

I'll have a pizza to go and no anchovies......

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 3036443355322316587
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 19:56:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87>
<dTpx6.12824$aP5.1217069@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a63ia$484$0@208.207.71.172>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Lines: 91
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <9Gxx6.14176$aP5.1336310@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 03:56:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.233.122
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net

X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986097413 64.152.233.122 (Sat, 31 Mar
2001 19:56:53 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 19:56:53 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18321

I know you see, understand and resent my implications, Dick. You avoid them
so well.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a63ia$484$0@208.207.71.172...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > I am all or nothing person, Dick. Like or not, doesn't change the way I
am.
> > My point is this: CW is *not* the only life-saving method available to
"we"
> > hams. If "we" are going to use the argument that "we" need CW to save
> > lives--and use it also as an excuse to sit on a high horse as "we"
delude
> > ourselves by thinking "we" are degrading and humiliating those who
choose
> > not to like the mode and choose not learn it to whatever extent "we"
think
> > they should, then "we" should also set the example and be proficient at
> > every single mode there is to ham radio--each of which has the same
> > lifesaving potential.
> >
> > If "we" just simply want to sit on a high horse and think "we" are
better
> > because we know something that others don't, or that "we" learned
something
> > others did/have not--then quit raising CW to some level of sainthood
because
> > of its capabilities while "we" ignore the potential for other modes.
> >
> > To answer your question: Yes, if being prepared to you means that I must
be
> > proficient at every possible option to save someone's life. If it does
not
> > mean that to you, then you are rather weak in your argument that CW
should
> > be revered and respected because of its potential to save lives--because
you
> > don't have the same regard that every mode that can save lives should be
> > learned and tested in order to be licensed.
> >
> > In other words, Dick, your attempt to laud the worthwhileness of CW so

```
that
> > your arrogance of knowing it can be waved over all our heads is pretty
> > damned weak.
>
>
>  My, My. Kim, I take no satisfaction, and in fact it saddens me, but I
> must say that you are a prime example of what it is that ham radio has
> degenerated into. You clearly can't understand even what it is that we
> are discussing here.
>  But don't feel lonesome and blue- you have LOTS of good company, one of
> which is named Cecil.
>
>
> >
> > --
> > 73 de W5TIT - Kim
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87...
> > >
> > >
> > > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > > >
> > > > To equate the value of CW as being a skill that should be learned to
> > save
> > > > lives--and lift its importance to that level
> > >
> > >   Hey, Kim-- why not do a little learning while you're resting
sometime?
> > > CW has
> > > saved a great many lives over the past century, not the least of which
> > > was the 1500 survivors of the Titanic. There have been many other
> > > similar cases. Yes, we know, today there are other modes of choice,
and
> > > for darn sure, anyone in possesion of their senses will use them - if
> > > they are available. If not, then you would advocate just letting
nature
> > > take its course instead of using CW?
> > >
> > > Dick
> > >
>




From 6455999562407326448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 20:01:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
```

```
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <TKxx6.175866$p66.52039465@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 04:01:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986097715 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 20:01:55
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 20:01:55 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18322

Funny thing is I can work em all on ssb ... those I can't I don't want to
seeing as how they prob don't have a ticket anyways

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > > That's true, but the reality of historical data doesn't support
> > > the idea that there is even an occasional such situation.
> > > The reality for US hams would be that we'd be more likly
> > > to save another life if we had VHF and/or cell phone
> > > available.
> > >
> > > Cheers,
> > > Bill K2UNK
> >
> > My point exactly.
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> Oh no.  When a tornado rips through here and starts fires, I want Zimbabwe
> to know about it and send a fire truck.  Perhaps Mozambique could send a
> paramedic or two, Argentina can make sandwiches and Algeria can provide
> traffic control...and we have to tell them all in CW...
>
>




From 3264869479992046341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 20:05:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!10.10.220.74.MISMATCH!sn-xit-04!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC60958.8E20985C@toast.net>
<jaux6.175091$p66.51559920@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a64af$62u$0@208.207.71.172>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <6Oxx6.175909$p66.52047309@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 04:05:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986097922 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 20:05:22
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 20:05:22 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18323

How so?  Because it doesnt interest me ?  Because it has lost its value?

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                          |
```

| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a64af$62u$0@208.207.71.172...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > Well of course the technology plays a role in the interest, but quite
> > frankly if I tap it out a message, say it on am, fm or ssb it makes no
> > difference at all. Besides I enjoy the personal touch of a voice that
you
> > dont get with cw
> >
>
>   No, YOU don't get the personal touch with CW. Your claim to have
> passed a CW test notwithstanding, you clearly have no knowledge of the
> mode.

From -6096614672617218867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 20:07:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 46
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 04:07:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986098027 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 20:07:07
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 20:07:07 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18324

You are being rediculous ... what difference does it make that someone
1000km's away knows of your trouble ... locals will hear you on ssb just
fine.

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                    |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org               |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172...
>
>
> KB9WFK wrote:
> >
> > "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > > > That's true, but the reality of historical data doesn't support
> > > > the idea that there is even an occasional such situation.
> > > > The reality for US hams would be that we'd be more likly
> > > > to save another life if we had VHF and/or cell phone
> > > > available.
> > > >
> > > > Cheers,
> > > > Bill K2UNK
> > >
> > > My point exactly.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Rob St.Denis
> > >
> > Oh no.  When a tornado rips through here and starts fires, I want
Zimbabwe
> > to know about it and send a fire truck.  Perhaps Mozambique could send a
> > paramedic or two, Argentina can make sandwiches and Algeria can provide
> > traffic control...and we have to tell them all in CW...
>
>
>
>   Well that's a start but you ain't there yet.




From -1310715998202121647
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 20:10:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 20:07:29 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9a69jf$2mn$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <9a3tlc$q9h$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010331135247.15492.00000624@nso-fm.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-61.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18325

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010331135247.15492.00000624@nso-fm.aol.com...
> In article <9a3tlc$q9h$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley"
<jwkelley@uci.edu>
> writes:
>
> >> It seems even more mindless to have radio amateurs nattering
> >> about English Language Style when they have no real authority
> >> through their licensing to "rule" on it.
> >
> >Lack of credentials never seems to stop you from expounding on the
subject
> >of amateur radio.

> Forgive me, revered licensed person.

No need to ask forgiveness. Just try to remember that hypocracy is an
ignoble trait.

> Feel free to correct me (I no longer read the Federal Register cover
> to cover) on radio regulation changes that prohibit US citizens from
> open, public comment. On anything.

Just bear in mind that it applies to persons other than yourself, and to
subjects other than amateur radio as well.

From 4452723694995057427
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 20:20:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!sdd.hp.com!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 20:14:05 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9a69ql$2q4$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <9a3u55$qcu$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010331135249.15492.00000627@nso-fm.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-61.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18326

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010331135249.15492.00000627@nso-fm.aol.com...
> In article <9a3u55$qcu$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley"
<jwkelley@uci.edu>
> writes:
>
>
> >> Is this the extent of what you are supposed to be doing at UCI?
> >
> >If you weren't so out of touch, you'd know that it's spring break.
>
> That is an important factum for all radio amateurs?!?

You asked.

> Does that make Kelley more "qualified" to speak for the US
> amateur radio community?

At least to the same extent you are.

> How does that apply to the recent restructuring of Part 97, Title 47
> CFR?

How does "Is this the extent of what you are supposed to be doing at UCI"
apply to it?

From 2478172123932044060
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 20:33:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 22:34:17 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a1.1e
X-Server-Date: 1 Apr 2001 04:33:23 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18327

--

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> You are being rediculous ... what difference does it make that someone
> 1000km's away knows of your trouble ... locals will hear you on ssb just
> fine.
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>

Why on earth would anyone want to go through an extra person to get help?
There is NOBODY in emergency services listening to ssb. That means any
communication is going through a third party both ways. If I use my VHF ht
I can call the fire department directly (they monitor the repeaters in the
area) and talk to a qualified person directly while more help is on the way.

I can be talking directly to a paramedic while an ambulance crew is being dispatched. I know because I did it this winter at the scene of a rolled over van in Indiana just over the Illinois border. A third party would have been a pain in the butt, not to mention practically useless.

From -394792155765281883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 21:17:55 PST
Message-ID: <3AC6B798.3A18DE33@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 23:07:36 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org> <9a60kp$osb$0@208.207.71.172>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986101852 64.240.47.120 (31 Mar 2001 23:10:52 -0600)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18328

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Without CW on radio there could have been no
> communications with other ships, so none could have been summoned to
> pick up the shivering survivors.

They were in the shipping lanes equipped with flares. That's how people were often rescued before radio. The situation would certainly have been worse but not necessarily hopeless.

> Yes- if better procedures had been in place, the radio op on the ship
> that was within sight would not have turned off his radio and gone to
> bed not long before the SOS was sent, and probably all would have been
> saved. That isn't the fault of CW.

If CW works, CW is the cause. If CW doesn't work, CW is not the cause.
I understand how your mind works, Dick.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3424813349007567648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 21:21:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 00:22:27 -0500
Organization: -
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3AC6BADE.DEEF2BA3@sccoast.net>
References: <3AC53718.31106623@sccoast.net> <20010331135246.15492.00000623@nso-fm.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.75
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 986102456 382109 207.144.228.75 (1 Apr 2001 05:20:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 1 Apr 2001 05:20:56 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18329

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart writes:
>
> > But, today, it is not the fancy of
> > an old man reminiscing about the "good

> > old days." Things were better years
> > ago, and all of the available statistics
> > prove it.
>
> Every generation has its share of
> middle-aged critics who insist
> that "things were better in 'my' day...
> and can 'prove' it."   :-)
>
> Their 'proof' is predicated only on
> personal achievement and the irritation
> against those who will not worship their
> accomplishments as Strong and Mighty.
> It is seen in here on a regular basis.   :-)

I was referring to the world outside of ham radio, not the silly no-code debate. And the statistics (crime, kids out of wedlock, runaways, suicides, etc) do prove it today.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -6389813535022739440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 21:21:23 PST
Message-ID: <3AC6B86F.8D1EA693@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 23:11:11 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <dTpx6.12824$aP5.1217069@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9a63ia$484$0@208.207.71.172>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986102065 64.240.47.120 (31 Mar 2001 23:14:25 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!10.10.220.73.MISMATCH!sn-xit-03!
supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18330

Dick Carroll wrote:
> You clearly can't understand even what it is that we
> are discussing here.
> But don't feel lonesome and blue- you have LOTS of good company, one of
> which is named Cecil.

Methinks it is you who does not understand what the FCC said, Dick.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2914369177736396386
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 21:30:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AC6BA71.3D603933@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 23:19:45 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC5696E.1FB87B25@IEEE.org> <20010331202934.01729.00001180@nso-fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986102580 64.240.47.120 (31 Mar 2001 23:23:00 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18331

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I don't have the inclination, time, or stupidity to do any such thing --
> and you know it. What you've done here is give yourself another way
> out of one of the holes you're always digging for yourself. So I'll
> give you one more chance: Name 7 of the 50, or you will forever be
> branded a liar in this NG.

Brand away. After the way you treated the last friend I put you in touch with, there is no way I am going to subject any more of my friends to your cruel and malevolent harassment.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7782767368253439668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 21:31:17 PST
Message-ID: <3AC6BABD.48226FFF@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 23:21:01 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC2C5CF.D3069C2C@IEEE.org> <20010330222901.07536.00001659@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AC5696E.1FB87B25@IEEE.org> <9a60mm$osb$1@208.207.71.172>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986102655 64.240.47.120 (31 Mar 2001 23:24:15 -0600)
Lines: 12

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18332

Dick Carroll wrote:
> And a very lame excuse is always better than none.

Once bit twice wary. Last time I put Larry in touch with one of my
friends, he harassed him without end.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3968632291467545997
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 21:33:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 23:23:00 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87> <3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986102774 64.240.47.120 (31 Mar 2001 23:26:14 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18333

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The entire time you've posted to RRAP you have been consistant in
> insisting that technology can do anything, anywhere, anytime. And
> just as consistant in doing nothing whatever to prove it.

Whine away, Your Laziness. I'm not about to do your work for you.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2908229392797301779
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 21:35:17 PST
Message-ID: <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 23:24:54 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986102888

64.240.47.120 (31 Mar 2001 23:28:08 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18334

KB9WFK wrote:
> If I use my VHF ht
> I can call the fire department directly (they monitor the repeaters in the
> area) and talk to a qualified person directly while more help is on the way.

But what if the aliens landed and took away all the HT's?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5212429791559203611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 21:41:34 PST
Message-ID: <3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 23:31:16 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986103271 64.240.47.120 (31 Mar 2001 23:34:31 -0600)

Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!10.10.220.73.MISMATCH!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18335

Dick Carroll wrote:
> You know, you don't always have the luxury of choosing the mode that
> will be used. What if you're on a SSB emergency net following a disaster
> and someone calls in on the net using CW with emergency traffic? Should
> he just go soak his head because he doesn't have some better mode
> available?

Seems to me he is somewhat ill prepared in being forced to rely on a
group of hams who may or may not remember how to copy CW.

> By the way- you may have passed a CW test somewhere at some time, but
> clearly you're not a CW operator. No CW operator would speak of "tapping
> away at a key". No one but a rank novice would think of sending code
> that way.

I've been tapping away at a key for 50 years. What's wrong with live
and let live?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From 1232014187476893822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4a8710df0e8abef2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 21:48:59 PST
Message-ID: <3AC6BEDB.9D21D610@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 23:38:35 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: BOYCOTT KENWOOD! Confirmed Anti-coders!
References: <3AC56AAE.3CD1ADAC@gtel.net> <20010331202934.01729.00001179@nso-fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986103710 64.240.47.120 (31 Mar 2001 23:41:50 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18336

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> If they're so anti-code, you'd think they would
> delete the CW mode from their rigs.

That just proves that they are not anti-code. They are anti-code-requirement. That's a big difference. Apparently they believe they will sell more CW/SSB transceivers if the code requirement is dropped.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6636744144644440605
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 21:51:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!

```
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 23:52:55 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9a6fmc$oeb$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a1.1e
X-Server-Date: 1 Apr 2001 05:52:12 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18337
```

--

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org...
> KB9WFK wrote:
> > If I use my VHF ht
> > I can call the fire department directly (they monitor the repeaters in
the
> > area) and talk to a qualified person directly while more help is on the
way.
>
> But what if the aliens landed and took away all the HT's?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
Whoa! I never thought of the alien factor. I have been wrong all along.
LONG LIVE CW!

```
From 2981789684116070690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 23:22:05 PST
```

From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 07:19:06 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac6ccc8.97660818@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ac5dedd.36749137@news2.dvol.com> <20010331135248.15492.00000626@nso-fm.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.93
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.93
X-Trace: 1 Apr 2001 01:17:10 -0600, 64.24.16.93
Lines: 74
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18338

On 31 Mar 2001 18:52:48 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>>They
>>streamlined the ham regs in a number of respects well beyond just the
>>code test thing simply to reduce their administrative expenses,
>>period.
>
>No, not just for "administrative expenses." The US amateur radio
>license class system had grown into the most complex class-
>distinction system in the international amateur world. Six classes
>were brought down to three.
>
Really?
>
>The "reduction of administrative expenses" had already been
>accomplished by the privatization of ALL operator license testing
>well before the mandate of biennial reviews.
>
Baloney. When was it that the FCC handed off dealing with applications
for code test waivers under the ADA to the VE/VECs?
>
>>And the current set of rules will remain in place for some
>>number of years per their usual practice. Some bureaucrat will flip
>>thru the ham regs every two years and say "Ayup, reviewed, next?"
>
>Kelly has missed a rather thorough set of reasons for change given
>in 99-412, the R&O doing a large change in Part 97. The stage was
>set for much of that in the 90-55 R&O that created the no-code-test
>Technician class.
>
Yeah, sure. I been there as a GS-11/12 snivel servant Lenny, seen the
real reality from the inside, you obviously have no clue again because

like not being a ham you ain't ever been inside the gummint loop
either.
>
>Since there have been over 2500 Comments on the 98-143 NPRM
>(visible to the public), the FCC did not do some cavalier, flip
>dismissal of reasons for change and noting all the pros and cons
>expressed by citizens.
>
Which is exactly why they ain't about to suffer that crap again
anytime soon.
>
>You may not like the changes or comments, but that is not any
>valid reason for such a simple dismissal of the FCC's actions.
>
See above about how gummint actually works. "Will the last ham radio
"regulator" around here please turn the lights off on his way out the
door"
>
>>Now go find another vent for your drivel Lenny, the code test thing is
>>worn out, dead and buried. Better luck with your next jihad.
>
>There has been no burial, no services for the dead in regards to
>the "code test thing." It exists, it will be brought up by some
>adminstration at WRC-2003 and, hopefully, placed into the ITU-R
>WRC agenda in 2002 by a Working Group.
>
>Kelly seems to think I speak ill of the dead. I do not. I do not
>see it, "the code test thing," as something that should be
>worshipped ad infinitum and forced upon all newcomers to
>amateur radio.
>
Hang in with your anti-cw rants Lenny, you certainly have right to do
that, no problem, rants in this NG seem to be your whole life which is
fine, no skin off my teeth, your sad limitation. You'll just have to
excuse my lack interest in the code test thingey for now Lenny, take
it somewhere else, it's dead in my book, I'm too busy beeping the
planet to give a bleep about what you "think".
>
w3rv

From -1385742202666074053
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 23:26:02 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 07:20:57 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac6cb4f.97283248@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AC55E93.55470803@ovis.net> <20010331135250.15492.00000628@nso-

```
fm.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.93
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.93
X-Trace: 1 Apr 2001 01:19:01 -0600, 64.24.16.93
Lines: 13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18339

On 31 Mar 2001 18:52:50 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <3AC55E93.55470803@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
>>Gee Len, you're an old fart but not a radio amateur.
>
>True...I've been a radio professional too long.
>
You never were a "radio professional" Lenny, were a bench tech at best
which has precisely nothing to do with the regulatory or any other
policy issues related to Amateur Radio. Credentials in this NG = Zip.
>
w3rv



From -2274927870876561187
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8cf117062b31de76
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 23:26:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.uswest.net!
news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC6D7B8.145F9BCE@hotmail.com>
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@hotmail.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.17-14 i586)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ham ticket allows the use of filthy language on cb? nocode says it
 so
References: <3ABD149B.A7E98BAD@hotmail.com>
<985477214.3abd305e9f9e2@webmail.cotse.com> <3AC60EEF.2F31D503@hotmail.com>
<9a56ue$hi0$0@dosa.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 30
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 00:24:41 -0700
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 130.13.127.246
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 986109881 130.13.127.246 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 01:24:41 CST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 01:24:41 CST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14487
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18340
```

Stagger Lee wrote:

>
> You have made two mistakes in the quoted post:
>
> (1) You have attempted to reason with Roger, and
> (2) you have attempted to reason with Roger.
>

I believe those are the same mistake (duplication of effort). :)
ROFLMAO

>
> You need to expand your vocabulary to include terms like "choad", "sperm
> swallower", and "faggot", and then ...
>
> No. Forget that. It isn't you.
>
> But you *would* be welcomed with open arms by cotse and Steve Gielda and
> you could then post drivel forever.
>

no thank you... besides, steve has his hands full enough already with other
"well known contributors" from his server.

DE N7ZZT

```
From 851411923898078177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7325213a0f88104,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 00:10:01 PST
From: Keith <kewyatt@apexmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Frequency Usage and A End to Morse
Code.
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 00:05:26 -0800
User-Agent: Forte 1.8/32.548 {modified}
X-PGP-ID: 0x28E3ABE5  Print 329D 5AD2 0998 400F  9565 8635 C873 B393
Reply-To: "Keith" <nospam@strongsignals.com>
Expires: Wed, 6 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <3ac6e0f2$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Lines: 47
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You
may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/
abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
spamkiller.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spk!anonymous!127.0.0.1!spk
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18341
```

April 1, 2001 -(AP)
FCC Chairman wants to eliminate Morse Code and wasted spectrum by Hams.

In a speech Saturday night to the telecommunications industry FCC Chairman Michael Powell spoke out against the use of Morse Code and the waste of valuable spectrum by ham radio operators, ham radio operators are licensed CB operators that pass a Morse Code test. The Chairman is concerned about the waste of spectrum worth billions of dollars to the telecommunications industry that is currently being used by Ham Radio operators.

The Chairman also wants a big change in the spectrum wasted in the Ultra High Frequency area of spectrum in which Ham Radio Operators hold a staggering sixty megahertz of spectrum, more then any other radio service.

"Amateur Radio operators in this frequency band only need about two megahertz to chat with each other about the weather. Cellular and portable communications are in great demand and the FCC should not allow a few thousand people to hog spectrum needed by millions of consumers."

In a detailed presentation he outlined his plan to ask the International Telecommunications Union for the reassignment of a four megahertz chunk of spectrum to the airline industry that is presently held by amateurs near the overcrowded civilian aircraft band. He also outlined the conversion of thirty megahertz of frequency for use by telecommunications providers for data systems that could be used to supply high-speed access to the internet via radio frequencies.

To demonstrate why the FCC Chairman believes the Amateur Radio Service is wasting spectrum he played several tapes of licensed ham operators using vulgar language and jamming stations in the band he wishes to convert to the airline industry.

When asked by reporters about the possible opposition to his plan by ham radio operators the Chairman smiled and exclaimed, "We are going to cut it off and kill it!"

� 2001 Associated Press, All Rights Reserved.

--
Best Regards,

Keith (Use Reply-to for email)

"Where would Christianity be if Jesus got eight to fifteen years with time off for good behavior?" NY State Senator James Donovan, speaking in support of capital punishment.

From -8655046173090550160
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 00:32:05 PST
From: Keith <kewyatt@apexmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 00:26:49 -0800
User-Agent: Forte 1.8/32.548 {modified}
X-PGP-ID: 0x28E3ABE5 Print 329D 5AD2 0998 400F 9565 8635 C873 B393
Reply-To: "Keith" <nospam@strongsignals.com>
Expires: Wed, 6 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Lines: 47
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!

spamkiller.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spk!anonymous!127.0.0.1!spk
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18342
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14492

April 1, 2001 -(AP)
FCC Chairman wants to eliminate Morse Code and wasted spectrum by Hams.

In a speech Saturday night to the telecommunications industry FCC Chairman Michael Powell spoke out against the use of Morse Code and the waste of valuable spectrum by ham radio operators, ham radio operators are licensed CB operators that pass a Morse Code test. The Chairman is concerned about the waste of spectrum worth billions of dollars to the telecommunications industry that is currently being used by Ham Radio operators.

The Chairman also wants a big change in the spectrum wasted in the Ultra High Frequency area of spectrum in which Ham Radio Operators hold a staggering sixty megahertz of spectrum, more then any other radio service.

"Amateur Radio operators in this frequency band only need about two megahertz to chat with each other about the weather. Cellular and portable communications are in great demand and the FCC should not allow a few thousand people to hog spectrum needed by millions of consumers."

In a detailed presentation he outlined his plan to ask the International Telecommunications Union for the reassignment of a four megahertz chunk of spectrum to the airline industry that is presently held by amateurs near the overcrowded civilian aircraft band. He also outlined the conversion of thirty megahertz of frequency for use by telecommunications providers for data systems that could be used to supply high-speed access to the internet via radio frequencies.

To demonstrate why the FCC Chairman believes the Amateur Radio Service is wasting spectrum he played several tapes of licensed ham operators using vulgar language and jamming stations in the band he wishes to convert to the airline industry.

When asked by reporters about the possible opposition to his plan by ham radio operators the Chairman smiled and exclaimed, "We are going to cut it off and kill it!"

� 2001 Associated Press, All Rights Reserved.

--
Best Regards,

Keith   (Use Reply-to for email)

"Where would Christianity be if Jesus got eight to fifteen years with time off for good behavior?" NY State Senator James Donovan, speaking in support of capital punishment.

```
From -5459015115406107293
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 01:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!
newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl>
Date: Sun, 1 Apr 2001 05:41:52 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.241
X-Trace: news2.atl 986117695 216.76.74.241 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 05:34:55 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 05:34:55 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18343
```

"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...

> I don't even carry a key in the car for my 706 for the most part ... and
you
> still didn't rebut my point that the stations you would be asking for help
> would be local enough that voice comms would work fine.
>

We had (and used) CW as a means of communication when we had to evacuate during Hurricane Floyd. Local Cell Phones were out and voice communication (HF) was nearly impossible to copy. CW was just another "tool" in the toolbox that made communications possible.

Arnie -
KT4ST

```
From -8840960919595552578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 01:38:03 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <3AC49AE8.8D3EB1F3@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <sECx6.4103$aD4.257981@news2.atl>
Date: Sun, 1 Apr 2001 05:43:21 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.241
X-Trace: news2.atl 986117784 216.76.74.241 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 05:36:24 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 05:36:24 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18344

"W6RCecilA" wrote ...

> Arnie, you really think that over the past 10 years, CW has saved
> more lives than CPR?

That's not what I said. But don't let the facts interfere with your
reality, Cecil.

Arnie -
KT4ST

From 6617015269521659069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 01:48:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <HNCx6.4134$aD4.258244@news2.atl>
Date: Sun, 1 Apr 2001 05:53:04 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.241
X-Trace: news2.atl 986118375 216.76.74.241 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 05:46:15 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 05:46:15 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18345
```

"Bill Sohl" wrote in part ...

> Even if that's true (it isn't by the way, it is but one of several
> reasons for the ARS as stated in Part 97.1), the use of CW
> in ALL emergency comms has ended. I have yet to see one
> example of where CW use is still being integrated into any
> emergency preparedness effort by any recognized public
> or amateur group.
>

Apparently, you havent been to the Chatham County EOC lately. CW is indeed
an integral part of the ARS station there.

Arnie -
KT4ST

```
From 5460706310237146774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 04:26:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC7103C.8743C5D0@cmcorp.com>
From: "Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com>
Organization: NeuroPhysics Corporation
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:25:56 GMT
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.214.105.69
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986124356 63.214.105.69 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 04:25:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 04:25:56 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18346 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14494

Keith wrote:

> April 1, 2001 -(AP)
> FCC Chairman wants to eliminate Morse Code and wasted spectrum by Hams.

Where did I put my troll meter???

```
--
  *
  |  __O    Thomas C. Sefranek   tcs@cmcorp.com
  |_-\<,_   Amateur Radio Operator: WA1RHP
  (*)/ (*)  Bicycle mobile on 145.41, 448.625 MHz
```

http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html
http://www.harvardrepeater.org

From -2636579189732712625
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 06:46:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AC7D9BA.CADB2A20@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 20:45:30 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <3AC49AE8.8D3EB1F3@IEEE.org> <sECx6.4103$aD4.257981@news2.atl>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.107
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986132335 64.240.47.107 (1 Apr 2001 07:38:55 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!10.10.220.74.MISMATCH!sn-xit-04!
supernews.com!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18347

Arnie Macy wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" wrote ...
> > Arnie, you really think that over the past 10 years, CW has saved
> > more lives than CPR?
>
> That's not what I said.  But don't let the facts interfere with your
> reality, Cecil.

Since we are living in the present, at least some of use are, I was
just trying to nail down your time frame. Suits of armor have saved
a lot of lives in the past but nobody considers them serious protection
any more because times have changed.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -1440621587886735703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 08:15:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!10.10.220.73.MISMATCH!sn-xit-03!
supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did Lennie make a difference??
Message-ID: <MPG.15311088e474c63898969f@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ac5dedd.36749137@news2.dvol.com> <20010331135248.15492.00000626@nso-
fm.aol.com> <3ac6ccc8.97660818@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
```

Lines: 28
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 15:15:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.112.173
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986138149 209.245.112.173 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 08:15:49 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 08:15:49 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18348

In article <3ac6ccc8.97660818@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol says...
> On 31 Mar 2001 18:52:48 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> Yeah, sure. I been there as a GS-11/12 snivel servant Lenny, seen the
> real reality from the inside, you obviously have no clue again because
> like not being a ham you ain't ever been inside the gummint loop
> either.

Sure he has. Mr. Len has stated many times that he was there on the front lines of the backwater arena of the Korean Conflicts. He was a company cluck in Tokyo (that's in Japan for the no coders of this group) while real men were fighting. Len had to face the problems of the occasional inkbottle going off in his pugy pasty face. I heard the Mr Len received a purple heart for getting hit by flying shell fragments (egg shell).

Life must have been rough having to cower in those watering holes, as opposed to foxholes, there on the Ginza with all the B-ladies hanging on his every word about his exploits on HF Bwwaaahhhhhhhhh

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 6056799977142898940
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 08:20:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 1 Apr 2001 14:46:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 21

```
Message-ID: <9a7f0602aev@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <eB9Sv0AuAHA.352@cpmsnbbsa07> <20010330231526.07536.00001694@nso-
mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-690.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18349

In article <20010330231526.07536.00001694@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...

>>answered by a couple of nice guys in a courteous manner but you guys are off
>>the wall. You know absolutely nothing about me but condemn me and make broad
>>accusations.
>
>No, just simple, astute observations.  Any reasonably intelligent and
>computer-literate person should be able to find the information you sought
>without having to ask for a handout on Usenet.  I used the Internet for
>80% of the research I did during my recent college studies, and never
>once did I have to ask anyone for any help.  BTW, I received "A's" on
>all my papers except one -- which involved no Internet research at all.  I
>got B+ on that one.  If I could do this, you should be able to find out how
>to renew a ham license without asking for a URL handout.

Don't look now, Larry, but I think you're being trolled. Probably by someone
who has been lurking in this newsgroup for quite some time, and who knew that
this is the exactly the kind of response they would get from you. I have to
hand it to them. They were quite clever, and you took the bait.



From -1795077017855865513
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 08:21:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 15:20:09 GMT
References: <20010331135246.15492.00000623@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010401112009.26383.00002180@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18350

>Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
```

>Date: 3/31/01 1:52 PM Central Daylight Time

>Their 'proof' is predicated only on personal achievement and the
>irritation against those who will not worship their accomplishments
>as Strong and Mighty. It is seen in here on a regular basis

Most often by post-career electronics technicians who insist they know what's best for a radio service in which they are not licensed.

>All of these public postings are not necessarily to the individual
>quoted. Do not get upset or "personalize" someone else's replies.

And remember to do what Lennie says, NOT what Lannie does. They are usually NOT synonymous.

>It is demonstrateable fact that PCTAs in here are intolerant to those
>who are against morse code testing for an amateur radio license

It is demonstrateable fact that post career electronics technicians who are not licensed in the radio service of which they are so critical often liken those who do not share THIER opinions to Nazis, "old farts" or other equally distasteful adjectives.

Then when subjected to thier own medicine, become defensive, profane and generally disjointed that the REST of the world can't see things with THIER clarity.

>Some are downright aggressively hostile and (nearly always)
>attempt misdirection of the subject through personal insults against
>NCTAs any which way they can.

See what I mean? And as for "misdirection", you are invited to research archives of "NoCWTest". It's a true "pot/kettle/black" circumstance.

Lennie knows his electronics to be sure. Above and beyond that, he's worthless. If he can't find it on a website, he has absolutely no Amateur Radio experience base upon which to make the frequent attacks against Amateur Radio that he makes in this forum.

>QED.

QED is right.

```
From 7691335553533602594
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 08:25:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 15:24:21 GMT
References: <3AC6BADE.DEEF2BA3@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010401112421.26383.00002181@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18351

>Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>Date: 4/1/01 12:22 AM Central Daylight Time

>I was referring to the world outside of ham radio, not the silly
>no-code debate. And the statistics (crime, kids out of wedlock,
>runaways, suicides, etc) do prove it today.

Heads up, Dwight.....Lennie is a MASTER of introducing the (otherwise defunct) morse code test subject into almost ANY post he can.

Without it, he is nothing. Well...he's nothing anyway, but it makes him happy to think he knows something.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 400746404286386422
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 08:28:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 15:27:12 GMT

References: <20010330234637.18052.00000712@nso-da.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401112712.26383.00002182@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18352

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/30/01 11:46 PM Central Daylight Time

>Ah, misdirection attempt by the resident Hall Monitor. Gosh, big
>guy, you sure showed me by taking things out of context.

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

As usual, Lennie get's caught in the SAME faux pas that he loves to grill ohers with, but can't stand the heat. Ergo, the claim of "misdirection".

Pot/Kettle/Black...Again, as usual.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -8073844783175153698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 08:29:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 15:28:46 GMT
References: <9a3u55$qcu$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401112846.26383.00002183@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18353

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: "Jim Kelley" jwkelley@uci.edu
>Date: 3/31/01 1:42 AM Central Daylight Time

>If you weren't so out of touch, you'd know that it's spring break.

That's what happens to a guy who takes 14 years to complete a 4 year degree, Jim.

73

Steve. K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 9100562356694512272
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 08:37:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 15:36:36 GMT
References: <20010331142359.27365.00000629@nso-ff.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401113636.26383.00002186@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18354

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/31/01 2:23 PM Central Daylight Time

>Kelly has demonstrated the same physical dexterity attributes
>in saying that "the code test thing" is "dead and gone" in
>another message thread. Obviously it isn't.

It only "isn't" because you have nothing else to beat...so you keep beating the code issue. The rest of us have accepted that when S25 is repealled, most likely after WRC2003, that the code test in the United States will likewise disappear.

Only YOU insist on making issue of the opinions of a narrow sector of Amatuers. So beat yourself to death, Lennie. We'll send Mrs. Lennie a card.

Maybe.

From 265564976985901757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 08:51:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 15:50:06 GMT
References: <20010330234637.18052.00000713@nso-da.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010401115006.26383.00002187@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18355

>Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/30/01 11:46 PM Central Daylight Time

>>From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
>>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>>Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
>>Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 12:42:22 -0800
>>Organization: University of California, Irvine

Watch it Jim....Next thing he'll do is start going to California State websites and quoting your education credentials, expiration dates and other non-amatuer radio related factoids.

It's easy to do when you have NOTHING to do.

Of course in another thread posted this date he was lambasting Dwight Stewart with how "PCTA's" use personal insults and "misdirection".

I will LOVE to see Lennie the Limp's explanation of how the time of day you made this post had anything to do with ANY Amateur Radio policy.

From -8514605789420283496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 09:08:14 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 16:07:42 GMT
References: <20010331135247.15492.00000624@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010401120742.26383.00002191@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18356

>Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/31/01 1:52 PM Central Daylight Time

>Forgive me, revered licensed person. I was unaware that amateur
>radio physics was different than the physics of radio in every other
>radio service.

Lennie, Lennie, LENNIE! This newsgripe is Amateur Radio POLICY. NOT Amatuer Radio PHYSICS.

On matters of PHYSICS we accept your qualifications. In matters of Amateur Radio policy and PRACTICE, you have NO experience. This by your own admission on numerous occassions.

>Forgive me again, most esteemed qualified licensee, but the FCC
>still does not require staff or commissioners to be licensed in
>amateur radio service in order to regulate it.

Misdirection. The discussion was on YOUR lack of credentials to make informed opinions on matters of Amateur Radio policy or practice.

>Further, I see nothing
>in the entire body of Title 47 that prohibits citizens from commenting
>to the FCC in regard to radio regulations of any radio service.

To the Federal government when a solicitation for comment is requested. Your rantings in HERE amount to nothing more that intimidation and bullying.

>Feel free to correct me

No problem...our pleasure. You make it soooooo easy.

>(I no longer read the Federal Register cover to cover)...(SNIP)

In as much as you have, in recent postings, demonstrated ignorance of (or perhaps "arrogance" of is a better desciption) radio regulations that pertain to COMMERCIAL applications, I doubt you ever read it at all, let alone cover to cover or in reference to Amateur Radio matters.

You're losing valuable "message points". Lennie. Better hurry and catch up!

From -2930594048107001579
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7325213a0f88104
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 09:18:14 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Frequency Usage and A End to Morse Code.
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 16:16:52 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac75441.132343903@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ac6e0f2$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.201
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.201
X-Trace: 1 Apr 2001 11:14:54 -0500, 64.24.18.201
Lines: 54
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18357

On Sun, 01 Apr 2001 00:05:26 -0800, Keith <kewyatt@apexmail.com> wrote:

>April 1, 2001 -(AP)
>FCC Chairman wants to eliminate Morse Code and wasted spectrum by Hams.
>
>   In a speech Saturday night to the telecommunications industry FCC Chairman
>Michael Powell spoke out against the use of Morse Code and the waste of
>valuable spectrum by ham radio operators, ham radio operators are licensed CB
>operators that pass a Morse Code test. The Chairman is concerned about the
>waste of spectrum worth billions of dollars to the telecommunications
>industry that is currently being used by Ham Radio operators.
>
> The Chairman also wants a big change in the spectrum wasted in the Ultra
>High Frequency area of spectrum in which Ham Radio Operators hold a
>staggering sixty megahertz of spectrum, more then any other radio service.
>
>   "Amateur Radio operators in this frequency band only need about two
>megahertz to chat with each other about the weather. Cellular and portable
>communications are in great demand and the FCC should not allow a few
>thousand people to hog spectrum needed by millions of consumers."

```
>
>  In a detailed presentation he outlined his plan to ask the
>International Telecommunications Union for the reassignment of a four
>megahertz chunk of spectrum to the airline industry that is presently held by
>amateurs near the overcrowded civilian aircraft band. He also outlined the
>conversion of thirty megahertz of frequency for use by telecommunications
>providers for data systems that could be used to supply high-speed access to
>the internet via radio frequencies.
>
>  To demonstrate why the FCC Chairman believes the Amateur Radio Service is
>wasting spectrum he played several tapes of licensed ham operators
>using vulgar language and jamming stations in the band he wishes to
>convert to the airline industry.
>
> When asked by reporters about the possible opposition to his plan by ham
>radio operators the Chairman smiled and exclaimed, "We are going to cut it
>off and kill it!"
>
>� 2001 Associated Press, All Rights Reserved.
>
>--
>Best Regards,
>
>Keith         (Use Reply-to for email)
>------------------------------------------------------------------------------
>"Where would Christianity be if Jesus got eight to fifteen years with
>time off for good behavior?" NY State Senator James Donovan, speaking
>in support of capital punishment.
>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
>
Trollometer=1
>
w3rv


From 3030753327871460769
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 10:04:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!grolier!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 161
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 17:03:42 GMT
References: <20010331004356.24346.00000482@nso-fy.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401130342.26383.00002203@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18358
```

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/31/01 12:43 AM Central Daylight Time

Dear James,

I hope that you will take some time to research the tens of thousands of posts that Lennie has made in the last few years under numerous screen names (NoCWTest, Avery Fine, LenOF21, Len over 21 among others.....). Then you will find out why Amatuers on both sides of the "morse code test" issue distance themselves from Leonard H. Anderson.

I quite admittedly get up in his face at every opportunity, James, and I probably can stand to cool it...but I don't tolerate bullies well, and he IS a bully in EVERY definition of the word. He get's back that whcih he serves.

Needless to say, Lennie doesn't like having his rants skewered with facts, but that's usually what happens. He constantly trips himself up on matters of POLICY or Amateur Radio PRACTICE, and then tries to hide behind lengthy dissertations of a TECHNICAL nature.

Welcome to the NG, but don't let your guard down with Lennie. He'll slap you silly when you least expect it.

Hope to work you on the air sometime...that's what it's all about. Another fact that Lennie the Licenseless forgets. Or perhaps he just doesn't get...?!?!

73

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

> I began legally operating on HF in February, 1953.

But never as a licensed Amatuer Radio Operator.

>Some are downright hostile.

And you're not?

>Thank the Lord that there are still human beings in US amateur
>radio!

Last I checked there was no species limitations or prerequisites, Lennie. Which means even YOU could qualify for a license. But I doubt it.

>All I am advocating is the elimination of the morse code test
>for any class of amateur radio license. That sort of thing results
>in outright angry hostility by a number of pro-code-test advocates
>who insist on keeping what myself and many others call a

>"hazing ritual."

The FCC has already stated it will revisit the test after S25 is repealed. Ergo you are beating a dead horse...Or at least a dormant one until after WRC2003. They have already stated they will be glad to be done with it once the treaty no longer binds them.

The anger results from being made the butt of your lies, slurs, and insinuations.

And YOU call it a "hazing ritual" because you can't otherwise justify your position.

>The technology of radio has advanced far since I first began
>in it 49 years ago and, in the course of a career involving
>radio and electronics, I've had to keep pace with that advance.

No, not really. You are not active in any practical application of radio technology (cellphones and AOL notwithstanding).

Nor do you have a viable grasp of current legal matters pertaining to ANY sector of radio communications, witness your "expiration" of your GROL license this past October.

>As I see it, many in US amateur radio want to keep the
>avocation frozen in the standards and practices of the 1930s
>or late 1940s.

Thank you for making my point. Amatuer Radio of my "era" (1972) was nothing like the 30's or 40's. And since I am STILL licensed (and ACTIVE), it is STILL my "era", and Amateur Radio in 2001 is nothing like it was in 1972.

Ergo, you have once again spun your wheels with NO regard for the TRUTH or REALITY.

>That includes keeping amateur radio licensing
>in that same time frame, preserving morse code in a sort of
>"living museum" as if that is the main skill that any radio
>amateur should possess. To what end for a hobby?

Amateur Radio licensing in 2001 is NOTHING like 49 years ago. Or 29 years ago...or 9 years ago....

>I do thank you for your civil support. It is an agreeable
>even pleasureable sight to see someone who will not insult
>or demean someone simply because they have differing
>opinions.

Oh, don't worry....before long he will have a chance to be subjected to the same lies, insults and degradation that you inflict on EVERYONE in this NG...EXCEPT, of course, for your ANONYMOUS friends "MegHz" and "W2DNE".

>The problem is that many licensed radio people insist on

>forcing their personal hazing rituals on newcomers.

Hmmmm....First YOU insist that the FCC, not Radio Amateurs are the ones who promulgate and enforce Amateur Radio regulations.

Then you insist that AMATEURS "insist on forcing thier personal hazing rituals on newcomers."

So which is it, Lennie? Are Radio Amateurs creating this "hazing ritual" or is the FCC doing it?

Remember, the FCC Commissioners are NOT licensed Amatuers, and would have EVERYTHING to gain by waiving their hand and dismissing the code test requirement.

Yet they don't. Why? Because it is INTERNATIONAL LAW that binds thier hands, not any "hazing ritual".

>US amateur radio is not a public safety radio service nor is it
>a military radio service nor is it any kind of occupational
>radio service. It is defined as a radio activity carried on
>without pecuniary compensation, the primary reason for
>using the word "amateur."

Misdirection. Lennie ignores the very first paragraph of Part 97. No, Amateur Radio is not a "public safety" or "military" radio service, however it is a PUBLIC service. The >>FCC<< says that the Amatuer Radio service exisits, in part, due to it's unique ability to provide the capabilities that it does, and that SPECIFICALLY includes it's ability to provide emergency communications.

"Emergency communications" and "public safety" are not necessarily synonymous. Lennie ignores that, too.

>There should not be any reason to think that an "amateur"
>is demeaning...yet many amateurs will not hesitate to
>demean professionals.

Lennie, YOU are the only "professional" that gets "demeaned". NO ONE in this NG makes any effort to take ANY "professional" to task. YOU, on the otherhand, come to an Amatuer Radio NG with no license, NO practical experience in ANY facet of Amateur Radio practice, and with NO vested interest in the future of Amatuer Radio, and then insist that YOU know what's best for us based upon your "professional" career.

"Commercial" radio is NOT "Amateur" Radio. Beyond the physics of radio wave propagation itself, neither has anything to do with the other.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From -2390187151289575800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 10:23:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 66
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 17:22:12 GMT
References: <20010331001153.16562.00000478@nso-fl.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401132212.26383.00002208@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18359
```

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/31/01 12:11 AM Central Daylight Time

>Far more "clues" here than there...except for the old-tyme
>religion that epitomizes morse code testing.

Here we go again. I made absolutely NO statement relative to Morse Code testiing....Lennie HAS to "introduce" it in order to keep his head above water.

>Hint: The FCC authorizes US amateur radio licenses. The FCC
>does not require either staff or commissioners to have amateur
>radio licenses to regulate US amateur radio.

Hint: Read your own writings, Lennie. YOU state Amateur Radio Operators enforce some undefined "hazing ritual" yet you THEN misdirect the discussion to the lack of licensure of the Commissioners.

Hard to mix those apples and oranges, isn't it, Lennie...?!?!

>Robeson "knows" nothing of the kind.

Sure I do...You've done it before..you will do it again.

>Again, Robeson "knows" nothing of the kind...but Robeson insists
>on retention of the morse code test in the license examination
>because he had to take one.

Leonard H. Anderson is blatantly lying in the face of facts to the contrary. I "insist" on the retention of the code test since it is a binding legal treaty obligation of which the United States is signatory to.

I have stated this before.

And of course, YOU have tried to skirt this truth by somehow trying to make us believe that the United States is not obligated to honor this treaty and that you, a United States citizen, is not obligated to observe the treaty.

Phil Kane did a superb job of setting you right on that. Again, a rant ruined by facts...they always are.

>The rest of Robeson's message is the usual personal insult:

>> Cowardly creep.

I notice you make no effort to deny it. Good for you.

>The morse code test may be Robeson's "test for manhood."

Nope. USMC boot camp did a pretty good job of that. Along with many other (non-amateur radio related) life changing events and experiences. None of which I am sure you had the opportunity to experience from the test bench.

>Robeson should, but seemingly cannot, explain on civil terms
>why the morse code test should remain forever and ever to be
>used as a test for newcomers to amateur radio.

First of all, only YOU insist that I think it should "remain forever". I never said this. It is a LIE promulgated by YOU.

Furthermore, "newcomers" have not HAD to sit for a Morse Code examination since February 14th, 1991. And to put the deathblow to your rant, Lennie, officials of the FCC have stated publicly that it will act accordingly when the BINDING treaty has been repealed.

Now...try truth and facts next time you come at me, Creep.

From 5143998770367811233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 10:25:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!10.10.220.73.MISMATCH!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 1 Apr 2001 17:25:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26

Message-ID: <9a7o9v$s5s$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3AC561CB.AAE292D0@bctv.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18360

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > To equate the value of CW as being a skill that should be learned to save
> > > lives--and lift its importance to that level
> >
> >   Hey, Kim-- why not do a little learning while you're resting sometime?
> > CW has
> > saved a great many lives over the past century, not the least of which
> > was the 1500 survivors of the Titanic.
>
> Yep. There was a day when CW was the best option for emergency
> communication. Prior to that, there was heliograph, but I don't think
> that the Army is teaching that anymore, either.

Hey Keith! take your head out and listen up for once!

NO ONE has ever suggested that CW was the "best" option for anything,
just that it can sometimes be the ONLY working option.

From 2380534831629970404
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 10:30:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 17:30:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41

Message-ID: <9a7ok6$sth$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18361

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> > So then you really believe that the phone and cellphones will always be
> > there for you. Right.
>
> And you believe that in an emergency with adrenaline pumping and having to
> run back and forth between a client and the radio that you would tap out
> legible code?

Uh, Rob I don't "tap out" code. Operators who use CW SEND code. tapping
is not involved. It can be done with about the same sort of composure
that you can talk, by those who are a little experie nced and practised.

>
> > No one has ever suggested you give up anything at all. The point is
> > that when you can use CW too you have another tool in the survival
> > toolkit.
>
> But thats the thing ... because it is forced upon op's to learn it isn't
> merely a tool that you should learn but is unnecesary ... but a vital part
> of hf comm's.

As always, radiotelegraphy remains as the most basic, easily
implemented radiocommunications mode, *by those who have learned it".
For those who can't be bothered, it's just a "sometnbing I can't do".

>
> I don't even carry a key in the car for my 706 for the most part ... and you
> still didn't rebut my point that the stations you would be asking for help
> would be local enough that voice comms would work fine.

So when did I or anyone suggest you shouldn't use the FM repeater or
even the cellphone when it is appropriate? Stick to the subject, if you
can.

You code haters are really clueless.

From 8591525055085133818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 18:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com> <e_rw6.8831$IA1.705930@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3AC51528.2F865C07@toast.net>
Subject: Re: license
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <tmbx6.1987$rk4.164631@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:34:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.172.100
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986006041 12.88.172.100 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:34:01 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:34:01 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18209

John, I was referring to the official nomenclature. Umm ... F2A? Dipped if I know. Where the heck is the handbook? I know it has to be F something (probably 2 since it used to be F2). Now you know how much the standards have been lowered. I didn't study (at all) and passed (from ground zero) the extra in 1993. Having also passed the extra in 1966, I assure you the tests were not easier back then.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net> wrote in message
news:3AC51528.2F865C07@toast.net...
> JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>
> > ps - what's the new nomenclature for F2 anyways (not that it is of
> > earthshaking importance)?
>
> MCW- tone-modulated international Morse code telegraphy emissions having etc...
>
> john

>

From -2529639835868652146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 18:46:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 02:44:52 GMT
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18210

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/30/01 1:16 PM Central Standard Time

>>Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of CW saved over the years? Many, many more I would think.

>Unproven except that such is your opinion.

Weeeeeellll, Lennie, we can START off in 1912 and move forward. April, 1912 to be specific. (I am sure you have some first hand "Back in ...." story, so I will say now you can save it) Of course that was several HUNDRED people saved at one time. We could cite hundreds of other situations in which Morse Code has "saved the day".

As for CPR, I can tell you with certain professioanl knowledge that even "text-book perfect" CPR delivered in a timely manner is NOT always successful. As a matter of fact, it still only has a recovery rate of approximately 12-22%.

As recently as the 1970's Morse Code was still being used for (real) distress situations, and the reluctance of many other countries to NOT delete Morse Code is proof that it's service last's into the 21st century.

>Then let it be optional in USE, not part of the license test. Amateur
>radio is NOT primarily a public safety radio service.

No, it's not, but it's ability to provide a level of emergency communications is it's PRIMARY validating factor in Part 97. I know you know this, and ignore it, but it's there. It's law. It's in writing. It's the hole in your sinking rants.

>Why not include a "proficiency test" at amateur radio bands above
>HF?

Why not get a license?

Several days of absence have not wisened you up. Same Creep.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 458596925547490332
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 18:50:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 02:47:28 GMT
References: <20010330141653.14296.00000674@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010330214728.22421.00002077@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18211

>Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/30/01 1:16 PM Central Standard Time

>What is wrong with "live and let live?"

Yeah...What's wrong with it Lennie? Seem's YOU have a hard time seperating your opinions from your actions.

Usual P/K/B Andersonianisms.

Creep.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 7489811527655795904
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 18:52:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac42fe6.109066864@news2.dvol.com> <20010330141651.14296.00000672@nso-mj.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Lines: 50
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <uBbx6.2032$rk4.165848@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:50:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.172.100
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986007002 12.88.172.100 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:50:02 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:50:02 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18212

Len, I think you may be mistaken on the old timer syndrome. Certainly, there are a few folks who are dismayed by the lowering of the CW requirements. I certainly don't have a problem with that. I have even seen it in print where some folks suggested retesting the old timers. I wouldn't have a problem with that - been there, done that. After 23 years of inactivity (and no license) I simply tested out. No problem, even with the 20 WPM CW test. With the direction the tests are taking in difficulty, I doubt any old timer would be frozen out. In any case, I will take your suggestion as to letting the folks who wish to get into the hobby set the requrements under advisement. In any case, I will try and keep my future QSOs at a more understandable level for any of these folks.

Good numbers to ya, good buddy, from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010330141651.14296.00000672@nso-mj.aol.com...
> In article <3ac42fe6.109066864@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly,
w3rv)
> writes:
>
> >On Wed, 28 Mar 2001 20:53:12 -0600, Robert Casey
> ><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>Restructuring probably just reduced costs and effort on
> >>the part of the FCC than anything else. Got rid of the
> >>medical wavier for high speed CW issue too.
> >>
> >You just very neatly and concisely got to the real bottom line. Good
> >show.
>
> I don't think so. That's what Kelly WANTS to be the "bottom line."
>
> The biennial restructuring of ALL FCC rules and regulations is
> simply one more step in the evolution of ALL radio regulations,
> amateur radio included. FCC 99-312 (the R&O) brought US
> amateur radio a bit closer to the reality of today's US amateur
> radio.
>
> There is a constant among those with the long-timer/old-fart/Lifer
> mentality: All the major regulations should remain the same as
> when They became licensed...and they will continue to advocate
> that as long as they continue to view the avocation in their own
> personal terms. There is absolutely nothing but personal
> preference in such a viewpoint and that "p-p" is totally against
> the wishes of the amateur community at large or the desires of
> those who might want to get into that hobby.

```
From 4176379583137525181
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 18:54:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 02:51:24 GMT
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000675@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010330215124.22421.00002078@ng-xc1.aol.com>
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18213

>Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/30/01 1:16 PM Central Standard Time

>It's remarkable that, on reaching middle age, the "wisdom" of
>life experience of some individuals suddenly decides that the
>world is going to hell and "things were so much better when
>I was young/younger."

Coming from the keyboard of "Mr. Back In 1952", this is a joke.

>Until the attitudes of Real Hams change, and we end this
>seeming intolerance of non-morse-coders, the problems of
>amateur radio policy arguments against amateur regulation
>changes is not going to stop.

The "seeming intolerance" exisits only in YOUR mind, Lennie the Useless. "RealHams" are actively involved in REAL Amateur Radio activities and know that your assertions are the babblings of an idiot. A well spoken idiot, but an idiot none-the-less.

And you ARE an idiot, Lennie. Pure and simple.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 1334504465595089977
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 19:08:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 03:06:07 GMT
References: <20010330141651.14296.00000672@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010330220607.22421.00002081@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18214

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 3/30/01 1:16 PM Central Standard Time

>There is a constant among those with the long-timer/old-fart/Lifer
>mentality:

And you falling into that category would have a personal perspective? Based, of course, on all of your years of PRACTICAL experience in the Amateur Radio Service, as a member of the ARRL, or a participant in ASSORTED Amateur Radio activities...???

>All the major regulations should remain the same as
>when They became licensed...and they will continue to advocate
>that as long as they continue to view the avocation in their own
>personal terms.

Ooops....evidence that supports MY contention that you are without a clue....A statement made without the slightest clue as to what REAL Amateurs are doing/saying about ANY aspect of Amatuer Radio.

Of course I know you will cite the on-goings in THIS forum, but as has been mathematically proven before, the "representation" of ANY opinion in this NG AT BEST could be less than 1/4th of 1% of the Amateur census.

>There is absolutely nothing but personal
>preference in such a viewpoint and that "p-p" is totally against
>the wishes of the amateur community at large or the desires of
>those who might want to get into that hobby.

I see...Every American is supposed to adjust thier own personal preferences to satisfy YOUR desire for an Amateur License without the benefit of taking the currently required examination.

Cowardly creep.

```
From 3393537428136397134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 19:20:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 76
X-Priority: 3
```

```
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <40cx6.168761$p66.49350506@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 03:18:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986008704 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 19:18:24
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 19:18:24 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18215

You guys must be doing it real bad down there ... because we get between
30-50% recovery around here (depending on distance to the hospital)

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> >From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 3/30/01 1:16 PM Central Standard Time
>
> >>Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of
CW
> saved over the years?  Many, many more I would think.
>
> >Unproven except that such is your opinion.
>
>     Weeeeeellll, Lennie, we can START off in 1912 and move forward.
April,
> 1912 to be specific.  (I am sure you have some first hand "Back in ...."
story,
> so I will say now you can save it)  Of course that was several HUNDRED
people
> saved at one time.  We could cite hundreds of other situations in which
Morse
> Code has "saved the day".
>
>      As for CPR, I can tell you with certain professioanl knowledge that
even
> "text-book perfect" CPR delivered in a timely manner is NOT always
successful.
> As a matter of fact, it still only has a recovery rate of approximately
12-22%.
```

>
>   As recently as the 1970's Morse Code was still being used for (real)
> distress situations, and the reluctance of many other countries to NOT
delete
> Morse Code is proof that it's service last's into the 21st century.
>
> >Then let it be optional in USE, not part of the license test. Amateur
> >radio is NOT primarily a public safety radio service.
>
>   No, it's not, but it's ability to provide a level of emergency
> communications is it's PRIMARY validating factor in Part 97. I know you
know
> this, and ignore it, but it's there. It's law. It's in writing. It's the
hole
> in your sinking rants.
>
> >Why not include a "proficiency test" at amateur radio bands above
> >HF?
>
>   Why not get a license?
>
>   Several days of absence have not wisened you up. Same Creep.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
Time."
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html
>
>

From 7041421950763818515
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 19:30:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 03:29:01 GMT
References: <3AC2C5CF.D3069C2C@IEEE.org>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010330222901.07536.00001659@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18216

In article <3AC2C5CF.D3069C2C@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Congratulations! What a wonderful accomplishment! Please provide
>> the callsigns of these fifty engineers you Elmered into the hobby.
>
>What would you do with those call signs, Larry? That was years ago
>and I only remember about seven of their call signs off the top of
>my head. A couple of my students zoomed right past me all the way
>to Extra.

Cecil:

I'm not going to do anything with the calls, Cecil -- I just want you to
prove one of your enumerated claims, which, as usual, you seem
reticent to do. Provide the callsigns of the seven you remember, or
you are a liar.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1664181538920351393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 19:30:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 03:29:02 GMT
References: <9a1bes$e1m$1@208.207.71.157>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010330222902.07536.00001660@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18217

In article <9a1bes$e1m$1@208.207.71.157>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> What if he has no power, no antenna, and no transceiver? Will CW
>> still get a message through? Get real, Larry. It is personal choice
>> whether to use FM, SSB, CW, cellphone, smoke signals, or drums. The
>> slight chance that CW may someday be useful for an emergency is not
>> enough of a reason to require all HF hams to pass a Morse code exam.
>> I have known Morse code for 53 years and have never used it for an
>> emergency. Besides personal fun, my Morse code effort and CW skill
>> have been wasted.
>
> Only a very small percentage of hams ever find the need to use their
>stations
>foremergency use. I guess you mean that they're all wasted then.

Dick:

No, that's just a typically "Cecil" point of view. Excluding the one time I did use my CW skill in an emergency, I would never say that that learning the code was a "waste" of my time, effort, or anything else. Remember -- this is about laziness -- nothing else. The entire NCTA is simply about justifying laziness.   And for most people, it's a lot easier to make excuses than to dedicate themselves to learning and gaining amateur proficiency in a valuable communications skill.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2625456254251384182
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 04:06:45 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AC557D5.A5E84171@bctv.com>
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<tc8bkd8rh5hm9d@news.supernews.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986011817 88566 63.87.116.71 (31 Mar 2001 04:10:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:10:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18218

Oh, Ficus, why don't you sit over in the corner and hold your breath
until you turn blue, like the other 5-year-olds?

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>
> 73? That's what we said to ham radio April 15th, 2000.
>
> badabing@home.com (BadaBing) wrote in
> <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>:
> >So 73's and 88's to all you good buddies out there, pass them around
> >the household. From the tallest to the smallest. I am officially 10-7's
> >
> >
> >

From -948601836467850860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:12:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 04:09:31 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AC5587B.543C6060@bctv.com>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986011923 88566 63.87.116.71 (31 Mar 2001 04:12:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:12:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18219

Your CW course, hands down. You can use the tapes to record your EMT
class.

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> Some of you argue that CW proficiency examinations are necessary for
> emergency communications, and that CW knowledge will save lives. I have
> maintained proficiency in medical first aid, CPR and AED for 27 years. I
> used those skills many times to assist injured people, some with life
> threatening conditions. While I often use VHF radio communications, I have
> never seen a situation that needed or warranted CW communications.
>
> In your life experiences, which proficiency will save more lives, CW or CPR?
>
> Larry KC8EPO

From 2237283723033108647
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:18:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:15:27 GMT
References: <tc6gha3jr8e590@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code Flashcards
Message-ID: <20010330231527.07536.00001696@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18221

In article <tc6gha3jr8e590@corp.supernews.com>, "Culley Harrelson"
<culley@easystreet.com> writes:

>
>I guess you guys don't really have much use for morse code on paper but if
>you are interested I've put together some morse code flashcards:
>
>www.flashcardexchange.com
>

Culley:

Uh, don't look now (literally) but the Morse code is definitely NOT
something that lends itself to being learned with flashcards. You need
to learn how the Morse characters sound; memorizing dot-dash
configurations visually is the wrong way to go. Code tapes,
computer programs, and over-the-air practice are the only way to

ensure quick, easy learning of the code, and the ability to progress beyond 10 WPM. My guess is you'll hear this advice a lot; but that's only because it is true. Please do the world a favor and eighty-six your Morse "flashcards."

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3945452724588907841
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:18:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:15:26 GMT
References: <eB9Sv0AuAHA.352@cpmsnbbsa07>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Message-ID: <20010330231526.07536.00001694@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18222

In article <eB9Sv0AuAHA.352@cpmsnbbsa07>, "R G" <prieye@email.msn.com> writes:

> Wow, ask a simple question, get a quick response, and have insults
> hurled at me for asking. Larry, and Dick, you two guys are idiots.

Yawn! Did you hear a fly buzz past your ear, Dick?

> Take your
> heads out of your ass, you'll like the view better.

You're obviously confused. The guy with the cranial colonoscopy is your own self!

> My innocent question was

...a perfect example of the intellectual weakness which now pervades our society. Dick and I see the danger here, and don't wish to give this concept one whiff of oxygen.

> answered by a couple of nice guys in a courteous manner but you guys are off
> the wall. You know absolutely nothing about me but condemn me and make broad
> accusations.

No, just simple, astute observations. Any reasonably intelligent and

computer-literate person should be able to find the information you sought
without having to ask for a handout on Usenet. I used the Internet for
80% of the research I did during my recent college studies, and never
once did I have to ask anyone for any help. BTW, I received "A's" on
all my papers except one -- which involved no Internet research at all. I
got B+ on that one. If I could do this, you should be able to find out how
to renew a ham license without asking for a URL handout.

>   No need to respond to this post I won't see it. You've both gone in my
>kill file

That's what they all say. You're reading this, fool.

>and this is not a newsgroup I wish to continue reading. To the
>others on the list without the attitude. 73.   RG
>

As always, don't let the door hit you in the ass on your way out, RG!
Come again when you can't stay as long!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8959259645990078903
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cca9f64c3b1e8ff8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:18:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:15:26 GMT
References: <3AC3F70A.27AA@bellsouth.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Morse Code Flashcards
Message-ID: <20010330231526.07536.00001695@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18220

In article <3AC3F70A.27AA@bellsouth.net>, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>Also, DO NOT touch a sending key
>at all. The objective is to learn the sounds thru practice. One day,
>you will reach the point where you automatically hear the letters/words
>without having to "think" about it. It becomes like a second language.
>

Jerry:

This is bad advice. Learning how to send the characters helps to learn how to receive them. The act of making the sound of the letter "C" helps to drill that sound into your memory-reflex system. I did not break out of the 10 WPM "plateau" until I built my first iambic keyer-paddle combo and started sending perfectly formed characters at higher speeds. Sending and receiving are inter-related skills which can and should be learned simultaneously.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4533952509750038883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:33:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:33:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18223

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> To equate the value of CW as being a skill that should be learned to save
> lives--and lift its importance to that level

Hey, Kim-- why not do a little learning while you're resting sometime? CW has saved a great many lives over the past century, not the least of which was the 1500 survivors of the Titanic. There have been many other similar cases. Yes, we know, today there are other modes of choice, and for darn sure, anyone in possesion of their senses will use them - if they are available. If not, then you would advocate just letting nature

```
take its course instead of using CW?

Dick


From 2206553217741421908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:48:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:46:37 GMT
References: <3AC4EFAE.C2D1F916@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010330234637.18052.00000713@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18225

In article <3AC4EFAE.C2D1F916@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Mindless insistence on maintenance of the morse code test
>> is what is wrong with too many messages in here...
>
>Seems rather mindless to introduce Morse code into a discusssion that
>has nothing to do with Morse code.

It seems even more mindless to have radio amateurs nattering
about English Language Style when they have no real authority
through their licensing to "rule" on it.

Are you the new lunchtime "hall monitor" in here or on you on a
diet and not eating lunch?  Is that lack of food making you
so aggressive?  :-)

>From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
>Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 12:42:22 -0800
>Organization: University of California, Irvine



From -4731234267551933761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:48:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:46:37 GMT
References: <3AC4F57B.996EFA74@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010330234637.18052.00000712@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18224

In article <3AC4F57B.996EFA74@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>US Amatuer radio is becoming more like US amateur radio. Well that's
>certainly hard to deny.
>
>He sure showed you, Brian.

Ah, misdirection attempt by the resident Hall Monitor. Gosh, big
guy, you sure showed me by taking things out of context.

Is this the extent of what you are supposed to be doing at UCI?

From -4506194548080678109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:48:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:47:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18226

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> You are just begging to have DICK go into one of his rants from "back in the
> day"
>

Of course you missed the entire point. When one has to use gear which
requires you to learn how to copy code in extreme QRM conditions one
adjusts and learns how to do it.
Your computer doesn't have a chance to learn how to do that.

From -8362254607934910668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:50:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:50:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18227

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> > Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of CW
> > saved over the years? Many, many more I would think. A minimal
> proficiency
> > in CW would certainly be a benefit and not a detriment to the hobby. I
> > don't believe it has to be all or nothing. I maintain a proficiency in HF
> > voice communication and procedure for the same reason.
>
> With my work with Red Cross (Canadian) as well as when I'm on the road,

> never once have I ever felt the need to tap away at the keey when an
> emergency arose. The people I would be looking for help from would in fact
> be close enough that voice communications on hf would be quite satisfactory.
> Never once have I asked for help from a distant station in timbuktu. What
> would be the point?
>
> Furthermore, at home or in town; why wouldn't I simply call for help on the
> phone or cell? Would be far more efficient!

So then you really believe that the phone and cellphones will always be there for you. Right.

No one has ever suggested you give up anything at all. The point is that when you can use CW too you have another tool in the survival toolkit.

```
From -538445341926927057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec
.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:51:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9a3nno$at9$3@208.206.142.87>
References: <3aab6b46.72270537@news.idsi.net> <tb1u6d7hgncgdc@corp.supernews.com>
<98uguu$fi9$0@208.206.142.103> <2Cat6.1895$X_5.73006@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<994aji$q8r$0@208.207.71.177> <B6DC3DC9.7233%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<3ab94977_3@corp.newsfeeds.com> <3AB97B98.509B7A46@IEEE.org> <D4iu6.4160$
%J6.505704@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ab9eb5d.1084544@news.mindspring.com>
<B6E01F8F.7589%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3abae752$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3nCu6.2477$y_2.488155@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB6D58.87DD0D63@IEEE.org>
<zrKu6.622$KA6.114700@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABB7389.15559C47@IEEE.org>
<h6Su6.10425$q66.240615@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3ABED6D5.80B18482@earthlink.net>
<B6E6C21F.7BFB%stewartx@sccoast.net> <3AC1844F.24D81C70@earthlink.net>
<99sr9q$ae$0@208.206.142.72> <3AC2F7FA.F181A9CB@earthlink.net>
<9a1cg5$iov$0@208.207.71.157> <3AC44176.D75461F9@earthlink.net>
```

<3AC47C58.9DA256B4@IEEE.org> <3ac4c8ea$0$15028$cc9e4d1f@news.dial.pipex.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18228
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:19996 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11309
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14402

Gary Peach wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" wrote ...
> > Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> > > If we lived in a perfect world where everybody's
> > > happy and friendly and lovey-dovey, there would be no need for firearms,
> ...
> >
> > Now, wait just a minute. There's a need to fill my freezer with venison.
>
> A bow and arrow was good enough for Robin Hood.

Yeah, for Geronimo too. So what?

From 1337978254544101204
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:52:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!news.bu.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 04:49:15 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AC561CB.AAE292D0@bctv.com>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986014306 90199 63.87.116.71 (31 Mar 2001 04:51:46 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:51:46 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18229

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > To equate the value of CW as being a skill that should be learned to save
> > lives--and lift its importance to that level
>
>   Hey, Kim-- why not do a little learning while you're resting sometime?
> CW has
> saved a great many lives over the past century, not the least of which
> was the 1500 survivors of the Titanic.

Yep. There was a day when CW was the best option for emergency
communication. Prior to that, there was heliograph, but I don't think
that the Army is teaching that anymore, either.

From 7898020380410689280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:56:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: license
Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:55:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9a3o0o$at9$4@208.206.142.87>
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com>
<xUww6.220$Ua4.407643@nntp3.onemain.com> <3AC37E30.111F@bellsouth.net>
<3AC37D38.6DC40784@IEEE.org> <9a1dlg$j7g$1@208.207.71.157>
<KW7x6.22298$QA3.744320@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18230

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9a1dlg$j7g$1@208.207.71.157...
> > Leland whines on anyway, citing reams of homemade "case law".
>
> You must be short on sleep, or doing drugs, I don't know which, but you have
> me confused with one of your other targets of your thoughtful prose.

Believe me, it was an easy mistake to make.

From 3028631027017436622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 20:59:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 31 Mar 2001 04:59:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9a3o7g$fgf$0@208.206.142.87>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <3ac530f1.1038475@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18231

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
In the last decade there has not been
> any lifesaving CW episodes.

Short memory, or just selective, again? On the very last day that
500Khz
CW was used, a ship imn distress off England used SOS on CW to effect
its own rescue. That was only a couple years ago.

Yes, I know that isn't "ham radio". You are reminded that in a
lifesaving situation that distinction is moot.

From 7965796678829403619
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 21:13:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 52
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 05:11:53 GMT
References: <20010330220607.22421.00002081@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010331001153.16562.00000478@nso-fl.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18232

In article <20010330220607.22421.00002081@ng-xc1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>>There is a constant among those with the long-timer/old-fart/Lifer
>>mentality:
>
>   And you falling into that category would have a personal perspective?
>Based, of course, on all of your years of PRACTICAL experience in the Amateur
>Radio Service, as a member of the ARRL, or a participant in ASSORTED Amateur
>Radio activities...???
>
>>All the major regulations should remain the same as
>>when They became licensed...and they will continue to advocate
>>that as long as they continue to view the avocation in their own
>>personal terms.
>
>   Ooops....evidence that supports MY contention that you are without a
>clue....A statement made without the slightest clue as to what REAL Amateurs
>are doing/saying about ANY aspect of Amatuer Radio.

Far more "clues" here than there...except for the old-tyme
religion that epitomizes morse code testing.

Hint: The FCC authorizes US amateur radio licenses. The FCC
does not require either staff or commissioners to have amateur
radio licenses to regulate US amateur radio.

>   Of course I know you will cite the on-goings in THIS forum, but as has
>been mathematically proven before, the "representation" of ANY opinion in
>this NG AT BEST could be less than 1/4th of 1% of the Amateur census.

Robeson "knows" nothing of the kind.

>   I see...Every American is supposed to adjust thier own personal
>preferences to satisfy YOUR desire for an Amateur License without the benefit
>of taking the currently required examination.

Again, Robeson "knows" nothing of the kind...but Robeson insists
on retention of the morse code test in the license examination
because he had to take one.

The rest of Robeson's message is the usual personal insult:

>   Cowardly creep.

The morse code test may be Robeson's "test for manhood."

Robeson should, but seemingly cannot, explain on civil terms
why the morse code test should remain forever and ever to be
used as a test for newcomers to amateur radio.

From -3698316674949424153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 21:13:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 05:11:52 GMT
References: <20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010331001152.16562.00000477@nso-fl.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18233

In article <20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>>Of course, CPR has saved many lives, but how many lives has the use of CW
>saved over the years? Many, many more I would think.
>
>>Unproven except that such is your opinion.
>
>   Weeeeeellll, Lennie, we can START off in 1912 and move forward. April,
>1912 to be specific. (I am sure you have some first hand "Back in ...."

>story,
>so I will say now you can save it) Of course that was several HUNDRED people
>saved at one time. We could cite hundreds of other situations in which Morse
>Code has "saved the day".

Robeson should begin in the last half-century (1951 onwards).

List all those that amateur radio saved by CW.

>   As for CPR, I can tell you with certain professioanl knowledge that even
>"text-book perfect" CPR delivered in a timely manner is NOT always
>successful.
>As a matter of fact, it still only has a recovery rate of approximately
>12-22%.

Please do not include personal experience data from the
purchasing department at Antec.

>   As recently as the 1970's Morse Code was still being used for (real)
>distress situations, and the reluctance of many other countries to NOT delete
>Morse Code is proof that it's service last's into the 21st century.

Amateur radio is NOT a Public Safety radio service.

>>Then let it be optional in USE, not part of the license test. Amateur
>>radio is NOT primarily a public safety radio service.
>
>   No, it's not, but it's ability to provide a level of emergency
>communications is it's PRIMARY validating factor in Part 97. I know you know
>this, and ignore it, but it's there. It's law. It's in writing. It's the
>hole in your sinking rants.

The only "hole" is there, not here. Amateur radio is NOT a
Public Safety radio service.

>>Why not include a "proficiency test" at amateur radio bands above
>>HF?
>
>   Why not get a license?

Why should anyone want to emulate Robeson?

Of course, as usual, Robeson ends these messages with the
Robeson-required personal insult:

>   Several days of absence have not wisened you up. Same Creep.

Why would anyone want to emulate Robeson?

From -3232490024264291197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4a8710df0e8abef2,start

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 21:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert <dxopr@gtel.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: BOYCOTT KENWOOD! Confirmed Anti-coders!
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 22:27:10 -0700
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <3AC56AAE.3CD1ADAC@gtel.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.e5.2d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 31 Mar 2001 05:20:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18234

BOYCOTT KENWOOD!

Confirmed Anti-Coders!

Here is an equipment manufacturer lobbying the FCC to drop CW testing.

This is a quote from Federal Communications Commission REPORT AND ORDER
Adopted: December 22, 1999

Released: December 30, 1999

By the Commission: (The entire document can be viewed in plain text at http://www.fcc.gov/Daily_Releases/Daily_Business/1999/db991230/fcc99412.txt)

28. ... Kenwood states that licensing of persons because they are proficient in Morse code is inconsistent with encouraging those interested in modern telecommunications to join the ranks of amateurs and become skilled in the technical sciences. ...
29. On the other hand, several commenters equate passing a telegraphy examination to the type of on-the-air operator a licensee will be, proof of skill level, or emergency communication capabilities. Kenwood, however, states that Morse code telecommunications is not a skill used often in emergency communications or disaster relief any longer. Other comments express a personal preference for exchanging messages with other amateur radio stations using telegraphy. While Kenwood agrees with these observations, it states, however, they are not a sufficient justification for keeping Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement. ...

NO CW TEST means CB.

And only CBers would want to eliminate the only thing keeping ham radio from becoming CB. It is high time for firm action to save ham radio from the CBers and the lobbying profiteers. (The FCC mentions "Kenwood" in a policy-making action eleven times!!)

Since Kenwood has now entered the political arena, they are now subject to political response. WE DON'T NEED KENWOOD!

DO NOT BUY KENWOOD GEAR!

SIGN YOUR CALL WITH "BOYCOTT KENWOOD!"

From 7936124681129313852
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 21:26:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 23:14:28 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986015863 64.240.47.118 (30 Mar 2001 23:17:43 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18235

Dick Carroll wrote:
> CW has saved a great many lives over the past century, not the
> least of which was the 1500 survivors of the Titanic.

Uhhhhhh Dick, the Titanic is not a good example. CW could have
saved the rest but didn't. Why do you think the people in the
lifeboats would not have been saved without CW?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7418553610502943313
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 21:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <%T8w6.1834$Os.608346@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010330211617.10224.00000724@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ASdx6.9463$Os.2403821@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 05:24:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 986016288 24.180.184.171 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001
21:24:48 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 21:24:48 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18236

Well which do you say while on the local machine 73 or 73's....

"AK" <wz8a@aol.commie.NOT> wrote in message
news:20010330211617.10224.00000724@ng-bk1.aol.com...
> >From: "BadaBing"
>
> >I personally was brought up with 73 since it means Best regards, which is
> plural.
>
> OK, and so what? It's certainly not important enough to be criticizing a
fellow

> amateur radio operator over such a technicality. And by the way - I still
use
> "handle" for "name" sometimes. I learned that on the amateur radio bands,
> BEFORE 11-meters was converted from an amateur radio band into a CB band.
What
> amateur radio does NOT need are stuffed-shirts and know-it-alls who go
around
> correcting new hams and old timers over matters that they don't know the
> history on, and matters that don't really matter. 73, AK
> ---
> "Pay-to-Pay" (e-file) is not the smart way.
> If only IRS were really a "service" !   AK

```
From -3983692906883966450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 21:33:56 PST
Message-ID: <3AC5696E.1FB87B25@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 23:21:50 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC2C5CF.D3069C2C@IEEE.org> <20010330222901.07536.00001659@nso-
mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986016305
64.240.47.118 (30 Mar 2001 23:25:05 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18237
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I'm not going to do anything with the calls, Cecil -- I just want you to
> prove one of your enumerated claims, which, as usual, you seem
> reticent to do. Provide the callsigns of the seven you remember, or
> you are a liar.

I'm not going to do that, Larry, because, knowing you, you would look
up my friends on QRZ and send harassing email to all the nocode techs
accusing them of slothfulness and the destruction of amateur radio.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1825586001967598913
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a8c770fca642dd3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 21:34:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC56B2C.8F26F38E@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: license
References: <3AC1C00F.D9421E9D@yahoo.com> <tc4grfgeb8cc1d@corp.supernews.com> <e_rw6.8831$IA1.705930@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3AC51528.2F865C07@toast.net> <tmbx6.1987$rk4.164631@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 30
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 23:29:16 -0600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18238

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

> John, I was referring to the official nomenclature. Umm ... F2A? Dipped if
> I know. Where the heck is the handbook? I know it has to be F something
> (probably 2 since it used to be F2). Now you know how much the standards
> have been lowered. I didn't study (at all) and passed (from ground zero)
> the extra in 1993. Having also passed the extra in 1966, I assure you the
> tests were not easier back then.

Jim,

According to the 12th edition of the FCC rulebook of the ARRL, they've changed the terminology in part 97, and now use the term MCW, for example in section 97.305, "Authorized emission types", but they define it in 97.3 (c)(4) as

MCW. Tone-modulated international Morse code telegraphy emissions having designators with A,C,D,F,G,H or R as the first symbol; 2 as the second symbol; A or B as the third symbol.

The terms F2A and F2B are the ITU designations, and they mean telegraphy, for aural reception, and for automatic reception, respectively.

I guess that means that if I receive someone's signal with a morse interpreter program on my computer, I've forced their emission from A to B. :-).

john

From 3571655086887409950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 21:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC55E93.55470803@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
References: <3ac42fe6.109066864@news2.dvol.com> <20010330141651.14296.00000672@nso-mj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 43
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 05:35:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.63
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986016927 207.0.147.63 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 21:35:27 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 21:35:27 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18239

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3ac42fe6.109066864@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
> writes:
>
> >On Wed, 28 Mar 2001 20:53:12 -0600, Robert Casey
> ><wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>Restructuring probably just reduced costs and effort on
> >>the part of the FCC than anything else. Got rid of the
> >>medical wavier for high speed CW issue too.
> >>
> >You just very neatly and concisely got to the real bottom line. Good
> >show.
>
> I don't think so. That's what Kelly WANTS to be the "bottom line."
>
> The biennial restructuring of ALL FCC rules and regulations is
> simply one more step in the evolution of ALL radio regulations,
> amateur radio included. FCC 99-312 (the R&O) brought US
> amateur radio a bit closer to the reality of today's US amateur
> radio.
>
> There is a constant among those with the long-timer/old-fart/Lifer
> mentality: All the major regulations should remain the same as
> when They became licensed...and they will continue to advocate
> that as long as they continue to view the avocation in their own
> personal terms. There is absolutely nothing but personal
> preference in such a viewpoint and that "p-p" is totally against
> the wishes of the amateur community at large or the desires of
> those who might want to get into that hobby.

Gee Len, you're an old fart but not a radio amateur. Please don't lump
those of us who have been licensed hams for decades in with the old
farts of the general population. The major failure in fact of your
latest musing would be that the amateur community at large is mostly
amateurs who have been around for decades. Your *desire* as a non-radio
amateur has little to do with anything. I *desire* many things that
I'll never achieve unless I apply myself toward obtaining them. Those
who might want to get into amateur radio might have to meet the
requirements rather than bleating about the inequity of it all.

Dave K8MN

From -4325941081675233515
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 21:41:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!

newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC55FD5.331CFF2A@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <B6E986DC.7F2C%stewartx@sccoast.net>
<20010330141654.14296.00000675@nso-mj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 05:40:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.63
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986017249 207.0.147.63 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 21:40:49 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 21:40:49 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18240

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Until the attitudes of Real Hams change, and we end this
> seeming intolerance of non-morse-coders, the problems of
> amateur radio policy arguments against amateur regulation
> changes is not going to stop.

If it is only a seeming intolerance and not a real one, there really isn't any issue.

Why should the policy arguments against amateur regulation changes stop? The policy arguments for amateur regulation change haven't stopped.

Dave K8MN

From 9066087675128138639
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 21:46:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 87
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 05:43:56 GMT
References: <uBbx6.2032$rk4.165848@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010331004356.24346.00000482@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18241

In article <uBbx6.2032$rk4.165848@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Len, I think you may be mistaken on the old timer syndrome.

I seriously doubt it. :-)   Note that I've said "long-timer" and
"old fart" as a mindset, not chronological. I began legally
operating on HF in February, 1953.

>Certainly,
>there are a few folks who are dismayed by the lowering of the CW
>requirements.

Some are downright hostile. :-)

>I certainly don't have a problem with that.

Thank the Lord that there are still human beings in US amateur
radio! :-)

>I have even seen
>it in print where some folks suggested retesting the old timers. I wouldn't
>have a problem with that - been there, done that. After 23 years of
>inactivity (and no license) I simply tested out. No problem, even with the
>20 WPM CW test. With the direction the tests are taking in difficulty, I
>doubt any old timer would be frozen out.

I made absolutely no comment about chronological old-timers.
[difficult to do when one is such a chronological contemporary]

All I am advocating is the elimination of the morse code test
for any class of amateur radio license. That sort of thing results
in outright angry hostility by a number of pro-code-test advocates
who insist on keeping what myself and many others call a
"hazing ritual."

The technology of radio has advanced far since I first began
in it 49 years ago and, in the course of a career involving
radio and electronics, I've had to keep pace with that advance.
As I see it, many in US amateur radio want to keep the
avocation frozen in the standards and practices of the 1930s
or late 1940s. That includes keeping amateur radio licensing
in that same time frame, preserving morse code in a sort of
"living museum" as if that is the main skill that any radio
amateur should possess. To what end for a hobby?

>In any case, I will take your
>suggestion as to letting the folks who wish to get into the hobby set the

>requrements under advisement.

Right now the FCC sets the regulations for US amateur radio. Neither the staff nor the commissioners of the FCC are required to learn morse code or test for any amateur radio licenses. The FCC says publicly that it will accept input on regulation changes from any citizen. There exist a rather large number of us citizens who are not licensed in the US amateur radio service yet are quite competent in radio technology, radio operation, radio theory, and electronics in general.

I do thank you for your civil support. It is an agreeable even pleasureable sight to see someone who will not insult or demean someone simply because they have differing opinions.

>In any case, I will try and keep my future
>QSOs at a more understandable level for any of these folks.

That is how it should be in a civil society.

The problem is that many licensed radio people insist on forcing their personal hazing rituals on newcomers. US amateur radio is not a public safety radio service nor is it a military radio service nor is it any kind of occupational radio service. It is defined as a radio activity carried on without pecuniary compensation, the primary reason for using the word "amateur."

There should not be any reason to think that an "amateur" is demeaning...yet many amateurs will not hesitate to demean professionals. You have no doubt seen evidence of that in here. Such goes on off-line as well.

>Good numbers to ya, good buddy, from Rochester, NY
>Jim AA2QA

Thank you and the same in return, sincerely meant.

From -4572382726129528910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 21:46:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC560BB.233DB6AC@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
<%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 05:44:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.63
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986017479 207.0.147.63 (Fri, 30 Mar 2001 21:44:39 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 21:44:39 PST
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18242

Rob St.Denis wrote:

> I operate on the lot of the HF bands, not simply 10. 10 is dead.

So you don't know much about propagation or antennas either, huh?

The cell phone band must be hot though.

Dave K8MN

From 8461594602540402888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 21:56:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Mar 2001 15:59:08 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 25

Message-ID: <9a2agc$v32$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <99vvr9$tr2$1@news.netmar.com> <20010330005221.04525.00000337@nso-cu.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 985967948 31842 205.139.138.14 (30 Mar 2001 15:59:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Mar 2001 15:59:08 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: lenover21@aol.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18243

In article <20010330005221.04525.00000337@nso-cu.aol.com>, Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> writes:

> If you want to pretend to be, or have papers, signifying you
> are a Master of English....

Sorry, Your Fogginess, but I am completely unpretentious (unlike you). But I can put 2 fishing worms on a single hook, so I guess that makes me a Master Baiter.

72.5, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 8246895653909602965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 22:00:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 23:48:24 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?

References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986017899 64.240.47.118 (30 Mar 2001 23:51:39 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18244

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Your computer doesn't have a chance to learn how to do that.

Dick, before showing off your ignorance again, I suggest you learn something about adaptive signal processing. These techniques are routinely used for separation of speech signals from background noise. It would work even better for CW.

Virtually all uncorrelated noise could be removed from a CW signal using adaptive DSP filtering. A computer can indeed "learn" while doing. AI and fuzzy logic could probably also be applied to CW. The reason that it hasn't been done for CW is that there are coding methods much superior to OOK/Morse.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1690070983317588817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 22:02:13 PST
Message-ID: <3AC5703C.C885AFAD@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 23:50:52 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986018046
64.240.47.118 (30 Mar 2001 23:54:06 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18245

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The point is
> that when you can use CW too you have another tool in the survival
> toolkit.

Yep, and every ham should have the free choice of whether to possess
that tool in the toolkit or not. He has the free choice of all the
other tools.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4895840614136239149
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 22:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!

```
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 22:34:33 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9a3tlc$q9h$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AC4EFAE.C2D1F916@uci.edu> <20010330234637.18052.00000713@nso-
da.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-26.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18246


Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010330234637.18052.00000713@nso-da.aol.com...
> In article <3AC4EFAE.C2D1F916@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> Mindless insistence on maintenance of the morse code test
> >> is what is wrong with too many messages in here...

> >Seems rather mindless to introduce Morse code into a discusssion that
> >has nothing to do with Morse code.

> It seems even more mindless to have radio amateurs nattering
> about English Language Style when they have no real authority
> through their licensing to "rule" on it.

Lack of credentials never seems to stop you from expounding on the subject
of amateur radio.







From 6883074941257292840
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 22:52:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
```

Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 22:42:58 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9a3u55$qcu$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AC4F57B.996EFA74@uci.edu> <20010330234637.18052.00000712@nso-da.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-26.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18247

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010330234637.18052.00000712@nso-da.aol.com...
> In article <3AC4F57B.996EFA74@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >US Amatuer radio is becoming more like US amateur radio. Well that's
> >certainly hard to deny.
> >
> >He sure showed you, Brian.
>
> Ah, misdirection attempt by the resident Hall Monitor. Gosh, big
> guy, you sure showed me by taking things out of context.

Misdirection. Ah, yes. You seem to know a little something about that. Did you miss the fact that I simply reiterated what you said? Sorry you didn't like how foolish it looked. Guess that's why you deleted your words from your reply.

> Is this the extent of what you are supposed to be doing at UCI?

If you weren't so out of touch, you'd know that it's spring break.

From -8255685660627760095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-30 23:12:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Fri, 30 Mar 2001 23:02:59 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22

Message-ID: <9a3van$qtc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-26.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18248

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > CW has saved a great many lives over the past century, not the
> > least of which was the 1500 survivors of the Titanic.

> Uhhhhhh Dick, the Titanic is not a good example. CW could have
> saved the rest but didn't. Why do you think the people in the
> lifeboats would not have been saved without CW?

Rose said 1500 people went into the sea that night.

Heck, the National Enquirer says they're still picking up Titanic survivers out of the water. A couple years ago they found the captain, and earlier this year they found a baby! I bet they've got a great bar there at the Enquirer. :-)

73, ac6xg

From 8145771464987306214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 02:31:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AC5587B.543C6060@bctv.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

Message-ID: <Qlix6.171285$p66.50154181@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 10:31:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986034672 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:31:12
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:31:12 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18249

At 0530 thats quite amusing ... must have a dozen or so lying around here.

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org               |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Keith Wood" <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message
news:3AC5587B.543C6060@bctv.com...
>
>
> Your CW course, hands down. You can use the tapes to record your EMT
> class.
>
>
> Larry Klose wrote:
> >
> > Some of you argue that CW proficiency examinations are necessary for
> > emergency communications, and that CW knowledge will save lives. I have
> > maintained proficiency in medical first aid, CPR and AED for 27 years.
I
> > used those skills many times to assist injured people, some with life
> > threatening conditions. While I often use VHF radio communications, I
have
> > never seen a situation that needed or warranted CW communications.
> >
> > In your life experiences, which proficiency will save more lives, CW or
CPR?
> >
> > Larry KC8EPO

From 6642047028142298291
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 02:34:03 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <doix6.171299$p66.50156468@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 10:33:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986034825 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:33:45 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:33:45 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18250

Doesn't need to. As mentioned before, my computer can pull out a signal far better than you could. Simply because it does the differentiation digitally rather than in an analogue manner.

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > You are just begging to have DICK go into one of his rants from "back in the
> > day"
> >
>
> Of course you missed the entire point. When one has to use gear which
> requires you to learn how to copy code in extreme QRM conditions one
> adjusts and learns how to do it.
> Your computer doesn't have a chance to learn how to do that.

```
From 4820778688458388266
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 02:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 10:37:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986035071 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:37:51
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:37:51 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18251
```

> So then you really believe that the phone and cellphones will always be
> there for you. Right.

And you believe that in an emergency with adrenaline pumping and having to run back and forth between a client and the radio that you would tap out legible code?

> No one has ever suggested you give up anything at all. The point is
> that when you can use CW too you have another tool in the survival
> toolkit.

But thats the thing ... because it is forced upon op's to learn it isn't merely a tool that you should learn but is unnecesary ... but a vital part of hf comm's.

I don't even carry a key in the car for my 706 for the most part ... and you still didn't rebut my point that the stations you would be asking for help would be local enough that voice comms would work fine.

--
Rob St.Denis

```
Telecommunications Technician
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/




From 3503454212895358134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 02:40:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <3ac530f1.1038475@news.mindspring.com>
<9a3o7g$fgf$0@208.206.142.87>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%six6.171325$p66.50160482@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 10:38:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986035131 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:38:51
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:38:51 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18252

A sat phone would work just as nice ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a3o7g$fgf$0@208.206.142.87...
>
```

```
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
>  In the last decade there has not been
> > any lifesaving CW episodes.
>
>
>  Short memory, or just selective, again? On the very last day that
> 500Khz
> CW was used, a ship imn distress  off England used SOS on CW to effect
> its own rescue. That was only a couple years ago.
>
>  Yes, I know that isn't "ham radio".  You are reminded that in a
> lifesaving situation that distinction is moot.




From -1398965021496895435
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 02:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
<%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC560BB.233DB6AC@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Wvix6.171340$p66.50162020@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 10:41:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986035318 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:41:58
PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 02:41:58 PST
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18253

> So you don't know much about propagation or antennas either, huh?
>
> The cell phone band must be hot though.
>
> Dave K8MN

When I go for my nightly drive home from work for a couple hrs (when I do actually toss the 10m antenna on the car). Outside locals, I hear a bunch of americans preaching parts of the bible. 20 40 or 80 are plenty better in the car.

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org               |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

From 4190605992767909450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 05:35:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 13:33:29 GMT
References: <3ac530f1.1038475@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010331083329.09572.00000414@nso-mm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18254

In article <3ac530f1.1038475@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>I seriously doubt that. In the last decade there has not been
>any lifesaving CW episodes.

What about the MV Oak rescue? Dec. 31, 1997, I think that was.

>How many people did CPR save during
>that same time?   Probably hundreds or maybe thousands.

Probably a lot more than that. Include the Heimlich maneuver as "CPR" and
you've really got something.
>
>There may have been a day when CW saved many lives
>simply because CW was the ONLY mode in use for such
>situations. That is simply no longer the case.
>
Agreed.

But we're really talkning "apples and oranges" here, when comparing a radio
communications mode to an emergency medical procedure.

>>A minimal proficiency
>>in CW would certainly be a benefit and not a detriment to the hobby.
>
>If you stated that as being on a voluntary basis I'd have no
>argument. The facts, however, to support your statement as
>a mandatory test requirement don't add up.

It's really all a matter of opinion. What matters is that the FCC has decided
that voluntary is the way to go. Right or wrong, that's the future.
>
>> I
>>don't believe it has to be all or nothing. I maintain a proficiency in HF
>>voice communication and procedure for the same reason.
>
>Those that want to can and should be free to maintain CW
>proficiency. I support you on that...BUT not on mandatory
>requirement for same.
>
For many many years there has been no requirement to maintain proficiency in
anything in order to keep the license. Only requirement is to stay out of
trouble and keep sending in the correct forms at the correct time.

I support having as many tools in the toolbox as possible. That means
proficiency in as many modes used by amateurs as possible.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 8211043164153739733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 05:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 Mar 2001 13:33:28 GMT
References: <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010331083328.09572.00000413@nso-mm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18255

In article <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> CW has saved a great many lives over the past century, not the
>> least of which was the 1500 survivors of the Titanic.
>
>Uhhhhhh Dick, the Titanic is not a good example.

Sure it is. But the Republicis a better example.

> CW could have
>saved the rest but didn't.

How could "CW" have saved them, Cecil?

>Why do you think the people in the
>lifeboats would not have been saved without CW?

Because the ship which rescued the people in the boats (the Cunard liner Carpathia) was summoned by the mode.

Most of those who died in the Titanic disaster died of exposure and hypothermia, not drowning. Those in the boats were better off than those in the water, of course, but they they would not have lasted very long in the cold.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 8127283431151077117
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 05:48:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac530f1.1038475@news.mindspring.com>
<20010331083329.09572.00000414@nso-mm.aol.com>

Subject: Re: CW or CPR? QRZ!!!!
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <malx6.746$Iu3.683713@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 07:45:26 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.181
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 986046226 205.138.126.181 (Sat, 31 Mar 2001 08:43:46 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 08:43:46 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18256

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010331083329.09572.00000414@nso-mm.aol.com...

Find someone with a dish!. BBC America is running a Monty Python marathon this weekend.

Of course you will have to tape the Get Smart marathon on TV Land.

Sure it's off topic. Sorry about that Chief and get that penguin off my TV set.

Dick

From -7281091564738942926
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 05:52:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AC5DE59.FFE7474D@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 07:40:41 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org> <9a3van$qtc$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.71
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986046236

64.240.47.71 (31 Mar 2001 07:43:56 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18257

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Rose said 1500 people went into the sea that night.

I know some people went into the sea and then into the lifeboats.
Was anybody saved who went into the sea and not into the lifeboats?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4956575395855608554
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-03-31 06:10:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AC5E273.ED52FDD9@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 31 Mar 2001 07:58:11 -0600
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org> <20010331083328.09572.00000413@nso-mm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.71
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986047286 64.240.47.71 (31 Mar 2001 08:01:26 -0600)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

```
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18258
```

N2EY wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> > CW could have saved the rest but didn't.
>
> How could "CW" have saved them, Cecil?

It is my understanding that if the Titantic CW operator had been a
little less arrogant, the California CW operator might not have given
up in disgust and gone to bed. I think it was on a TV documentary where
it was reported that the California had CW traffic to pass but the
Titantic CW operator ordered him to QRT.

> >Why do you think the people in the
> >lifeboats would not have been saved without CW?
>
> Because the ship which rescued the people in the boats (the Cunard liner
> Carpathia) was summoned by the mode.

Were any survivors plucked directly from the water by the Carpathia?
I'm not trying to be difficult. I just don't know the answer.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -44131197932100428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 13:28:06 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 20:26:13 GMT
```

```
Message-ID: <3ac8dd9b.44538929@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010401225611.15044.00002472@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010402021037.09539.00000613@nso-mo.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.70
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.70
X-Trace: 2 Apr 2001 15:23:30 -0500, 64.24.17.70
Lines: 17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18512

On 02 Apr 2001 06:10:37 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>I tested for my First
>Class Radiotelephone (commercial) license in 1956.  There was
>no "GROL" back then, no exact question pool answers, some
>parts of the written were essay and diagram-drawing, done at an
>FCC office.  Yes, the present-day commercial radiotelephone
>test is a bit easier.  How does that take away any of the original
>accomplishment?
>
Reminds of the day I hoofed down to the Customhouse and glomed both my
20wpm Extra *and* my Second Radiotelegraph . . . not a bad morning
downtown.  You did at least have a commercial radiotelegraph, didn't
you? I mean being a hyper-professional in comms biz and having done it
all back when.
>
w3rv


From 7719865430254104827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 14:06:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
```

```
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
<%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC560BB.233DB6AC@ovis.net>
<Wvix6.171340$p66.50162020@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC7C241.64D2AB47@ovis.net>
<XTQx6.184711$p66.54369668@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC879E3.DE9ABC66@ovis.net>
<uG1y6.2303$XV.400395@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac8dc8b.44267672@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <aQ5y6.3565$XV.771512@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:05:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986245510 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 14:05:10
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 14:05:10 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18513

You wouldn't expect someone naive enough to believe in a "god" to have the
ability to learn morse did ya?

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/--------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                          |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\--------------------------------------------------------/
"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3ac8dc8b.44267672@news2.dvol.com...
> On Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:21:46 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
> >they certainly enjoy busting in on my qso's because they disagree with
what
> >I say and quopte bible babble to me ... surprisingly ... I don't hear
them
> >much on 80 or 40 anymore
> >
> Haven't heard a soul-saver on cw in the almost half-century I've been
> on the air. Ever.
> >--
> >Rob St.Denis
> >
> w3rv
```

From -6969124028590660466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 14:46:08 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:45:20 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.50
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.50
X-Trace: 2 Apr 2001 16:42:37 -0500, 64.24.19.50
Lines: 18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18514

On Mon, 02 Apr 2001 13:19:55 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>And I'm sure there was ample need to be able to talk several hundred
>kilometers ... after all noone within range of a MOBILE station couldn't
>help eh ... gimme a break ... a dipole even at 1/2 wave will give you
>sufficient gain to be able to communicate 1-200 km's on ssb with no trouble.
>
Your no-clue coefficent rises by the post. Now you just hang in there
Robbie with the 706 in yer car and do the best you can under those
limitations. The big boyz will do the heavy-lifting for the Red Cross.

>
>--
>Rob St.Denis
>
w3rv

From -3996141464945314661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 14:49:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Ks6y6.3723$XV.846537@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:48:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986248106 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 14:48:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 14:48:26 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18515

Just out of curiosity ... since when do you need to communicate further than that in an emergency ... i am guessing you really want fred from timbuktu to help you out eh?

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                                |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                   |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com...
> On Mon, 02 Apr 2001 13:19:55 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
> >And I'm sure there was ample need to be able to talk several hundred
> >kilometers ... after all noone within range of a MOBILE station couldn't
> >help eh ... gimme a break ... a dipole even at 1/2 wave will give you
> >sufficient gain to be able to communicate 1-200 km's on ssb with no
trouble.
> >
> Your no-clue coefficent rises by the post. Now you just hang in there
> Robbie with the 706 in yer car and do the best you can under those
> limitations. The big boyz will do the heavy-lifting for the Red Cross.
>
> >

> >--
> >Rob St.Denis
> >
> w3rv
>

From -4963015962864742380
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 15:12:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 17:12:53 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 91
Message-ID: <9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a6.ec
X-Server-Date: 2 Apr 2001 22:12:09 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18516

--

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> well since i would be in no position to help ... i would leave the band
> quiet so as to allow other stations to help out

```
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis

So, how is it that the CW requirement here in the United States is
beneficial to all of those poor underpriveledged places that don't have the
benefit of anything other than a CW rig?  Your answer here really
contradicted your previous statement.

"That alone is enough reason to keep a CW requirement for the future. The
world is just not there yet. TGhere are MANY areas of the world where
even a CW rig is not availble and would be considered a highly
desireable technical communications asset."


> Telecommunications Technician
> /------------------------------------------------------\
> | Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
> | e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
> | Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
> \------------------------------------------------------/
> "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > ve3tel, ve3mpc, ve3two, all have them ... heck even my own station
has
> a
> > > > 1.2kw generator here at home
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >  And how many do you see sitting unused out at repeater sites? darn
few.
> > > Best to haul one to the site when needed.
> > >
> > >  The entire thrust here is to make the singular point that CW is an
> > > ADDED capability, not intended in any way to replace repeaters, HF SSB
> > > nor any other mode that anyone has available, especially one that is
> > > efficient and easily used. What you people who disdain CW don't
> > > understand it what others her have experienced- there are many times
> > > that come along when nothing else that is available will dothe job.
> > >  I know that techies don't even like to think about it, _Cecil is the
> > > best evidence- but it happens. Regularly.
```

> > > As time progresses and more of the later modes become much more
> > > widespread it easily follows that these incidents would be lessened,
as
> > > has already occurred in
> > > the more advanced areas where technology is msor affordable. Much of
the
> > > world does NOT have that sort of luxury. By your definition we should
> > > just ignore distress calls from others who may not have available more
> > > modern modes. There will be no choice whem no hams are available who
> > > bothered to learn to use CW.
> > > That alone is enough reason to keep a CW requirement for the future.
The
> > > world is just not there yet. TGhere are MANY areas of the world where
> > > even a CW rig is not availble and would be considered a highly
> > > desireable technical communications asset.
> >
> > Ok. Tonight as you are roaming the bands looking for some DX you hear a
> > distress call from Paraguay. It is from a guy in a grass hut that has
no
> > other form of communication. His situation is of an urgent medical
> nature.
> > Please describe what you do. This is a serious question. Please answer
> > seriously.
> >
> >
>
>

From 1678287755056386067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 15:28:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 17:27:57 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9aaua9$k4d$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

```
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com> <9aad7d$m4j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
<YG3y6.2886$XV.562037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a6.ec
X-Server-Date: 2 Apr 2001 22:26:17 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18517
```

--

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:YG3y6.2886$XV.562037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...

> I use 11 1.2V 800aH cells trickle charged off a small 3W solar cell. If
> need be, I can unpack a 500W (4 cell) solar station from a box I keep for
> when I do field day type excercises. Seeing as how my station runs about
> 100W output (thus a 21A draw at 13.8V ... 289.8W ... 300 to be nice ...).
> And if the earth is covered in a blanket of dust so as to make my solar
> station unusable ... i can drag the generator out of the garage and use it
> to power most of the house!.
>
> The solar station cost me 750$ canadian ... including the voltage
> regulation. The batteries cost abouyt 120$ a piece and the trickle
charger
> cost about 100$ So a cost of about 1000$ total ... not bad for
emergencies
> ... I must add Even when its cloudy I still can get on average 400W from
the
> solar cells.
>

That's pretty damn good from those PV cells. I've been thinking about getting a PV panel for use in charging. I could be charging one battery while using the other.   No real need for a generator here. I can charge these if desperate off the truck alternator. Looks like one nice thing about VHF/UHF is the lower cost of backup power. I have about $150 invested for what I have.   How far from your rig is the battery set up at? Mine is small enough that I keep it within 2 feet but I'm not looking at 11 cells either. Did or have you look/looked into old telco batteries? I've heard they can be had for nothing as the telco company throws them out (usually paying to have them disposed of) long before they are at the end of their life. I'm going to bring this up at the next club meeting.

From -7415037170353724800

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 15:38:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 118
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 22:36:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986250992 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 15:36:32
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 15:36:32 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18518
```

i am not about to go all the way to timbuktu to help someone ... nor would i have the first idea as to who to contact to help them ...

and i believe that we are not a third world country in that people who i am in the position to help would either have a cell or a rig capable of ssb

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
```

---/
"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
>
>
> --
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > well since i would be in no position to help ... i would leave the band
> > quiet so as to allow other stations to help out
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
>
> So, how is it that the CW requirement here in the United States is
> beneficial to all of those poor underpriveledged places that don't have
the
> benefit of anything other than a CW rig? Your answer here really
> contradicted your previous statement.
>
> "That alone is enough reason to keep a CW requirement for the future. The
> world is just not there yet. TGhere are MANY areas of the world where
> even a CW rig is not availble and would be considered a highly
> desireable technical communications asset."
>
>
> > Telecommunications Technician
> > /---\
> > | Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
> > | e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
> > | Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> > \---/
> > "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > ve3tel, ve3mpc, ve3two, all have them ... heck even my own station
> has
> > a
> > > > > 1.2kw generator here at home
> > > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > > And how many do you see sitting unused out at repeater sites? darn
> few.
> > > > Best to haul one to the site when needed.
> > > >
> > > > The entire thrust here is to make the singular point that CW is an
> > > > ADDED capability, not intended in any way to replace repeaters, HF
SSB
> > > > nor any other mode that anyone has available, especially one that is
> > > > efficient and easily used. What you people who disdain CW don't
> > > > understand it what others her have experienced- there are many times
> > > > that come along when nothing else that is available will dothe job.
> > > > I know that techies don't even like to think about it, _Cecil is
the
> > > > best evidence- but it happens. Regularly.
> > > > As time progresses and more of the later modes become much more
> > > > widespread it easily follows that these incidents would be lessened,
> as
> > > > has already occurred in
> > > > the more advanced areas where technology is msor affordable. Much of
> the
> > > > world does NOT have that sort of luxury. By your definition we
should
> > > > just ignore distress calls from others who may not have available
more
> > > > modern modes. There will be no choice whem no hams are available who
> > > > bothered to learn to use CW.
> > > > That alone is enough reason to keep a CW requirement for the future.
> The
> > > > world is just not there yet. TGhere are MANY areas of the world
where
> > > > even a CW rig is not availble and would be considered a highly
> > > > desireable technical communications asset.
> > >
> > > Ok. Tonight as you are roaming the bands looking for some DX you hear
a
> > > distress call from Paraguay. It is from a guy in a grass hut that has
> no
> > > other form of communication. His situation is of an urgent medical
> > nature.
> > > Please describe what you do. This is a serious question. Please
answer
> > > seriously.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

```
From 8779071256392739191
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 15:42:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com> <9aad7d$m4j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
<YG3y6.2886$XV.562037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aaua9$k4d$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 65
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Oe7y6.3810$XV.952628@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 22:41:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986251310 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 15:41:50
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 15:41:50 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18519
```

these panels are about 2' x 4' ... have 4 of them ... the holes that hte bolts would pass through are where i place a tether ... one on either slope of the roof ... the same hole in the wall where my cables come in is where the power cables come in ... the batteries sit under my stairs on a skid with 10 gauge wires heading to the station about 15' away ...

I was in a hurry for the batteries (needed em for field day) so decided to buy em .. whats money for if ya can't spend it eh

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9aaua9$k4d$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
>
>
> --
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:YG3y6.2886$XV.562037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > I use 11 1.2V 800aH cells trickle charged off a small 3W solar cell.  If
> > need be, I can unpack a 500W (4 cell) solar station from a box I keep
for
> > when I do field day type excercises.  Seeing as how my station runs
about
> > 100W output (thus a 21A draw at 13.8V ... 289.8W ... 300 to be nice
...).
> > And if the earth is covered in a blanket of dust so as to make my solar
> > station unusable ... i can drag the generator out of the garage and use
it
> > to power most of the house!.
> >
> > The solar station cost me 750$ canadian ... including the voltage
> > regulation.  The batteries cost abouyt 120$ a piece and the trickle
> charger
> > cost about 100$  So a cost of about 1000$ total ... not bad for
> emergencies
> > ... I must add Even when its cloudy I still can get on average 400W from
> the
> > solar cells.
> >
> That's pretty damn good from those PV cells.  I've been thinking about
> getting a PV panel for use in charging.  I could be charging one battery
> while using the other.    No real need for a generator here.  I can charge
> these if desperate off the truck alternator.  Looks like one nice thing
> about VHF/UHF is the lower cost of backup power.  I have about $150
invested
> for what I have.   How far from your rig is the battery set up at?  Mine
is
> small enough that I keep it within 2 feet but I'm not looking at 11 cells
> either.  Did or have you look/looked into old telco batteries?  I've heard
> they can be had for nothing as the telco company throws them out (usually
> paying to have them disposed of) long before they are at the end of their
> life.  I'm going to bring this up at the next club meeting.
>
>
```

From 3827834215594536701
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 16:16:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87> <3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100> <1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl> <q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 19:22:35 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.207
X-Trace: news1.atl 986253335 216.76.74.207 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:15:35 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:15:35 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18520

"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...

> are you telling me that within a 300 mile radius of your station all there
> was was destruction ... not a single telephone or person to 3rd party
calls
> through telephone ... gimme a break
>

I already said that the landlines were very busy and that cell phones were useless from early on. We made a decision to use the most viable communication method available to us. It worked out just fine. It is quite clear to me that you don't have the ability to see through your own prejudice against CW. I made my point and I will leave it at that. The next time we have a need for CW in our area, we will use it (regardless of your esteemed opinion). You, on the other hand, can "tap" out whatever you like on the case of your HT.

Arnie -
KT4ST

```
From -8970242043467543487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 16:19:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a8u56$8vu$2@208.207.71.141> <HZ_x6.908$XV.199670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <xN7y6.1074$Rv.56757@news1.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 19:25:53 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.207
X-Trace: news1.atl 986253533 216.76.74.207 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:18:53 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:18:53 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18521
```

"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...

> I associated the sound with demeaning hte value of code
>

You couldn't demean the value of code if you worked at it 24 hours a day.
CW is, and will be, a valuable part of the ARS for as long as there is an
ARS.

Arnie -
KT4ST

```
From -7108031588491428520
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 16:23:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
```

```
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 19:29:06 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.207
X-Trace: news1.atl 986253726 216.76.74.207 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:22:06 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:22:06 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18522

"Rob St.Denis" ...

> And I'm sure there was ample need to be able to talk several hundred
> kilometers ... after all noone within range of a MOBILE station couldn't
> help eh ... gimme a break ... a dipole even at 1/2 wave will give you
> sufficient gain to be able to communicate 1-200 km's on ssb with no
trouble.
>

I suppose you have never heard of QRN, QSB, or QRM?  Try copying a message
when you can only make out every fifth word and see how well you do.

Arnie -
KT4ST







From 2643953201668579870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 16:32:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 18:32:04 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
```

<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a6.ec
X-Server-Date: 2 Apr 2001 23:30:50 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18523

--

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> i am not about to go all the way to timbuktu to help someone ... nor would
i
> have the first idea as to who to contact to help them ...

If you went all the way to Timbuktu You went 5 villages too far. Paraguay
is a left turn at BFE. :-)

>
> and i believe that we are not a third world country in that people who i
am
> in the position to help would either have a cell or a rig capable of ssb
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis

Which is exactly our point. Doesn't it sound kinda funny that the lifesaving
mode of CW can't help unless someone has a cell phone or voice capability?
Isn't that kinda akin to throwing life preservers to the guys that already
made it to shore?

From -1839739740033617059
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 16:34:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87> <3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100> <1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl> <q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <5_7y6.4072$XV.1075126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 23:32:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986254337 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:32:17 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:32:17 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18524

so everyone within 300 miles was on the phone ... you couldn't ssb your way to another station in a different county ?

besides that ... I only use my ht's when I play paint ball with my friends ... outside that I use my ts440sat or ic706 ... nice cheap rigs

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message news:rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > are you telling me that within a 300 mile radius of your station all
there

```
> > was was destruction ... not a single telephone or person to 3rd party
> calls
> > through telephone ...  gimme a break
> >
>
> I already said that the landlines were very busy and that cell phones were
> useless from early on.  We made a decision to use the most viable
> communication method available to us.  It worked out just fine.  It is
quite
> clear to me that you don't have the ability to see through your own
> prejudice against CW.  I made my point and I will leave it at that.  The
> next time we have a need for CW in our area, we will use it (regardless of
> your esteemed opinion).  You, on the other hand, can "tap" out whatever
you
> like on the case of your HT.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>
>
```

```
From 2902974808222206815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 16:34:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com> <Ks6y6.3723$XV.846537@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <M_7y6.1079$Rv.56045@news1.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 19:40:01 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.207
X-Trace: news1.atl 986254380 216.76.74.207 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:33:00 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:33:00 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18525
```

"Rob St.Denis" ...

> Just out of curiosity ... since when do you need to communicate further
than
> that in an emergency ... i am guessing you really want fred from timbuktu
to
> help you out eh?
>

I'm here to help you out the big mud pit you've dug for yourself, Rob. You obviously have never worked for an organized emergency operation or you would know that messages are sent and received from every part of the state. Information concerning weather, damage reports, and movement of evacuees is exchanged. EOCs from all over the state exchange messages with each other. Some of that info is exchanged using CW. Now, I know you didn't want to hear that, but those are the facts.

Apparently you think that someone has to be bleeding profusely before we are allowed to send or receive information from an EOC. By and large, most of the operators are calm, collected, and do one heck of a job. I guess they just fall to pieces in your area.

Arnie -
KT4ST

```
From -2336234127623797337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 16:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a8u56$8vu$2@208.207.71.141> <HZ_x6.908$XV.199670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<xN7y6.1074$Rv.56757@news1.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <2%7y6.4079$XV.1078271@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 23:33:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
```

X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986254398 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:33:18 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:33:18 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18526

perhaps only because it is populated by people like you who are so blinded
by the nostalgia of code to see that other modes work fine

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                          |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:xN7y6.1074$Rv.56757@news1.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > I associated the sound with demeaning hte value of code
> >
> You couldn't demean the value of code if you worked at it 24 hours a day.
> CW is, and will be, a valuable part of the ARS for as long as there is an
> ARS.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>

From -1052555953949025681
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 16:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?

```
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 23:34:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986254457 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:34:17
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:34:17 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18527

so you are telling me that stations within 1-200kms would be too weak to
copy even with an s3 ? cummon ... besides that there are 10 hf bands to use
...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org              |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" ...
>
> > And I'm sure there was ample need to be able to talk several hundred
> > kilometers ... after all noone within range of a MOBILE station couldn't
> > help eh ... gimme a break ... a dipole even at 1/2 wave will give you
> > sufficient gain to be able to communicate 1-200 km's on ssb with no
> trouble.
> >
>
> I suppose you have never heard of QRN, QSB, or QRM?  Try copying a message
> when you can only make out every fifth word and see how well you do.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>
>
>
```

```
From 4200346210997521233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 16:40:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>
<q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl>
<5_7y6.4072$XV.1075126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <N38y6.1081$Rv.57303@news1.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 19:45:21 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.207
X-Trace: news1.atl 986254701 216.76.74.207 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:38:21 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:38:21 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18528

"Rob St.Denis"  wrote in part ...

> besides that ... I only use my ht's when I play paint ball with my friends
> ... outside that I use my ts440sat or ic706 ... nice cheap rigs
>

I have a TS440s AT and with the four optional filters that I have in it, I
wouldn't exactly say it was cheap.  Then again, you probably are a self-made
millionaire   : - ))

Arnie -
KT4ST
```

```
From 6381657549059548808
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 16:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 18:40:06 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 94
Message-ID: <9ab2j3$mj4$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com> <9aad7d$m4j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
<YG3y6.2886$XV.562037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aaua9$k4d$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
<Oe7y6.3810$XV.952628@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a6.ec
X-Server-Date: 2 Apr 2001 23:39:15 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18529

--

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:Oe7y6.3810$XV.952628@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> these panels are about 2' x 4' ... have 4 of them ... the holes that hte
> bolts would pass through are where i place a tether ... one on either
slope
> of the roof ... the same hole in the wall where my cables come in is where
> the power cables come in ... the batteries sit under my stairs on a skid
> with 10 gauge wires heading to the station about 15' away ...
>
> I was in a hurry for the batteries (needed em for field day) so decided to
> buy em .. whats money for if ya can't spend it eh
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis

So do you have to actually go up on the roof to place them or is it such

that you can reach there from a window or something? Sounds like a cool setup. I'm looking to get a house next year and it will definitely have a basement for my shack. I would like to expand my backup power a bit to be able to run other things off of it. If I do, I'm looking into those telco batteries. I didn't think those liked being deep discharged. I guess it doesn't happen very often though and you do have 800 Ah to play with.
I guess I'm using my money right then, cause it all seems to get spent. :-)

>
> Telecommunications Technician
> /--\
> | Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
> | e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
> | Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> \--/
> "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:9aaua9$k4d$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> > "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:YG3y6.2886$XV.562037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > > I use 11 1.2V 800aH cells trickle charged off a small 3W solar cell.
If
> > > need be, I can unpack a 500W (4 cell) solar station from a box I keep
> for
> > > when I do field day type excercises. Seeing as how my station runs
> about
> > > 100W output (thus a 21A draw at 13.8V ... 289.8W ... 300 to be nice
> ...).
> > > And if the earth is covered in a blanket of dust so as to make my
solar
> > > station unusable ... i can drag the generator out of the garage and
use
> it
> > > to power most of the house!.
> > >
> > > The solar station cost me 750$ canadian ... including the voltage
> > > regulation. The batteries cost abouyt 120$ a piece and the trickle
> > charger
> > > cost about 100$ So a cost of about 1000$ total ... not bad for
> > emergencies
> > > ... I must add Even when its cloudy I still can get on average 400W
from
> > the
> > > solar cells.
> > >
> > That's pretty damn good from those PV cells. I've been thinking about
> > getting a PV panel for use in charging. I could be charging one battery
> > while using the other. No real need for a generator here. I can
charge

```
> > these if desperate off the truck alternator.  Looks like one nice thing
> > about VHF/UHF is the lower cost of backup power.  I have about $150
> invested
> > for what I have.   How far from your rig is the battery set up at?  Mine
> is
> > small enough that I keep it within 2 feet but I'm not looking at 11
cells
> > either.  Did or have you look/looked into old telco batteries?  I've
heard
> > they can be had for nothing as the telco company throws them out
(usually
> > paying to have them disposed of) long before they are at the end of
their
> > life.  I'm going to bring this up at the next club meeting.
> >
> >
>
>
```

```
From 3064988829744955621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 16:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a8u56$8vu$2@208.207.71.141> <HZ_x6.908$XV.199670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<xN7y6.1074$Rv.56757@news1.atl> <2%7y6.4079$XV.1078271@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <r88y6.1083$Rv.57496@news1.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 19:50:08 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.207
X-Trace: news1.atl 986254999 216.76.74.207 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:43:19 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:43:19 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18530

"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...

> perhaps only because it is populated by people like you who are so blinded
> by the nostalgia of code to see that other modes work fine
```

>

Other modes work great, and I try to use them all. By the way, SSB is fast approaching 60 years old, not exactly a spring chicken. Maybe we should get rid of this antiquated mode, huh? I mean, other modes work much better. Heck, we could set up a digipeater system that links the whole country and get rid of SSB and 90% of the digital modes. Now that's progress.

Arnie -
KT4ST

From 3265093203827013590
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 16:50:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 19:56:37 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.207
X-Trace: news1.atl 986255379 216.76.74.207 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:49:39 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:49:39 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18531

"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...

> so you are telling me that stations within 1-200kms would be too weak to
> copy even with an s3 ? cummon ... besides that there are 10 hf bands to
use

You can have an s-10 signal, and with QRN or QRM will not be able to copy a single word on SSB. As to your point that there are 10 HF bands. That's true, but generally speaking only 40, 80 and 20 are used for emergency comms. The EOC and state EMA have established certain bands and frequencies for use. They do this so that they have a focal point for communications. The EOC makes the decision if and when to move to different bands or frequencies.

Arnie -
KT4ST

From 6980849874386306523
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 17:02:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!feeder.via.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? QRZ!!!!
Message-ID: <MPG.1532dbf46c04b5be9896a0@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.15306bd6b8259ad598969e@news.earthlink.net> <20010401173425.26056.00000376@nso-ba.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 45
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:01:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 168.191.115.42
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986256070 168.191.115.42 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:01:10 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:01:10 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18532

In article <20010401173425.26056.00000376@nso-ba.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com says...
> In article <MPG.15306bd6b8259ad598969e@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:
>
> >At 4th and Drucker he turns left
> >at Drucker and 4th he turns right
> >he crosses McArthur Park and walks into a great sandstone buidling
> >
> >OWWWW MY Nose
> >
> >He climbs the 13 stairs (ringgg)

> >he ready for action (ringggg)
> >he's ready for action (ringggg)
> >he's.....NICK DANGER 3rd EYE
> >
> >I'll have a pizza to go and no anchovies......
> >
> Absolutely perfect. Good to know I'm not the only bozo on this bus.
>
> How about some Bear Whizz Beer with the pizza?
>
> 73 de Jim, "still waiting for the electrician" N2EY

covered in a thin thin thin 16mm shell
That's Arnie's whole beef halves
we deliver..everywhere

offers not good in sectors "R" or "M" after curfew

They never come up into the hills.

SO?? Why does the porridge bird lay its eggs in the air

Answer me that Dr. Memory

Pallo..he broke de pResident

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From -9082426337570929892
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 17:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 50
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:09:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986256566 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:09:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:09:26 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18533

a person who has a cell will call 911 and get pro help ... one with ssb will call for someone to do it for them ... or for them themselves to come help ...

fred from timbuktu is screwed ... unless you either have the tribal drum number of the elder or have a plane in your garage to fly there

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
>
>
> --
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > i am not about to go all the way to timbuktu to help someone ... nor would
> i

```
> > have the first idea as to who to contact to help them ...
>
> If you went all the way to Timbuktu You went 5 villages too far.  Paraguay
> is a left turn at BFE.  :-)
>
> >
> > and i believe that we are not a third world country in that people who i
> am
> > in the position to help would either have a cell or a rig capable of ssb
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
>
> Which is exactly our point. Doesn't it sound kinda funny that the
lifesaving
> mode of CW can't help unless someone has a cell phone or voice capability?
> Isn't that kinda akin to throwing life preservers to the guys that already
> made it to shore?
>
>
```

```
From 1394797975504825210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 17:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com> <Ks6y6.3723$XV.846537@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<M_7y6.1079$Rv.56045@news1.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 56
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:13:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986256784 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:13:04
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:13:04 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18534

I have worked with Red Cross since 95 ... have worked during the ice storm
as well as several localised problems ...

and since when is a state more than 200kms accross ? or the damage that
severe that even all of 200 kms inland destroyed so that not a single
telephone or ssb rig is useful ...

as far as the operators being calm ... i havent seen a single person (myself
included) not be shaky during cpr ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:M_7y6.1079$Rv.56045@news1.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" ...
>
> > Just out of curiosity ... since when do you need to communicate further
> than
> > that in an emergency ... i am guessing you really want fred from
timbuktu
> to
> > help you out eh?
> >
>
> I'm here to help you out the big mud pit you've dug for yourself, Rob.
You
> obviously have never worked for an organized emergency operation or you
> would know that messages are sent and received from every part of the
state.
> Information concerning weather, damage reports, and movement of evacuees
is
> exchanged. EOCs from all over the state exchange messages with each
other.
> Some of that info is exchanged using CW. Now, I know you didn't want to
> hear that, but those are the facts.
>
> Apparently you think that someone has to be bleeding profusely before we
are
> allowed to send or receive information from an EOC. By and large, most of
> the operators are calm, collected, and do one heck of a job. I guess they
> just fall to pieces in your area.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST

>
>
>

```
From -3724493905657734176
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 17:16:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 3 Apr 2001 00:14:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9ab4l5$js6$0@208.206.142.78>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <3AC8340E.83B6CCCF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18535
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Or much more likely, what if rolling (or continuous) blackouts took the
> > repeater off the air and there was no surviving charge in the backup
> > battery?
>
> How are you going to run a CW transmitter during a blackout with
> no surviving charge on the backup battery?
>
> Don't know about other repeaters, but our local repeater has power
> terminals where jumper cables can be hooked up to a vehicle. How
> long will a 40 gallon pickup provide power for a repeater?

Is the repeater in your backyard, or are you going to have to negotiate roads closed by whatever weather event that took down the repeater to get to the mountaintop its on? No situation fits all cases, you know.

```
From 1317699542847260087
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 17:16:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com> <9aad7d$m4j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
<YG3y6.2886$XV.562037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aaua9$k4d$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
<Oe7y6.3810$XV.952628@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab2j3$mj4$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 120
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <yC8y6.4240$XV.1186604@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:15:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986256926 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:15:26
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:15:26 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18536
```

my roofline is only 8' up ... a small ladder works fine ...

the batteries for the most part don't get discharged ... even with a 50/50 duty cycle ...(that's only 10.5aH) so the charger doesn't do much ... now at night with a whole 20watt light running as well ..

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/--\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
--/
"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9ab2j3$mj4$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
>
>
> --
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:Oe7y6.3810$XV.952628@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > these panels are about 2' x 4' ... have 4 of them ... the holes that hte
> > bolts would pass through are where i place a tether ... one on either
> slope
> > of the roof ... the same hole in the wall where my cables come in is
where
> > the power cables come in ... the batteries sit under my stairs on a skid
> > with 10 gauge wires heading to the station about 15' away ...
> >
> > I was in a hurry for the batteries (needed em for field day) so decided
to
> > buy em .. whats money for if ya can't spend it eh
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
>
> So do you have to actually go up on the roof to place them or is it such
> that you can reach there from a window or something? Sounds like a cool
> setup. I'm looking to get a house next year and it will definitely have a
> basement for my shack. I would like to expand my backup power a bit to be
> able to run other things off of it. If I do, I'm looking into those telco
> batteries. I didn't think those liked being deep discharged. I guess it
> doesn't happen very often though and you do have 800 Ah to play with.
> I guess I'm using my money right then, cause it all seems to get spent.
:-)
>
> >
> > Telecommunications Technician
> > /--\
> > | Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
> > | e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
> > | Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> > \--/
> > "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:9aaua9$k4d$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...

> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > >
> > > "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> > > news:YG3y6.2886$XV.562037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > > > I use 11 1.2V 800aH cells trickle charged off a small 3W solar cell.
> If
> > > > need be, I can unpack a 500W (4 cell) solar station from a box I
keep
> > for
> > > > when I do field day type excercises. Seeing as how my station runs
> > about
> > > > 100W output (thus a 21A draw at 13.8V ... 289.8W ... 300 to be nice
> > ...).
> > > > And if the earth is covered in a blanket of dust so as to make my
> solar
> > > > station unusable ... i can drag the generator out of the garage and
> use
> > it
> > > > to power most of the house!.
> > > >
> > > > The solar station cost me 750$ canadian ... including the voltage
> > > > regulation. The batteries cost abouyt 120$ a piece and the trickle
> > > charger
> > > > cost about 100$ So a cost of about 1000$ total ... not bad for
> > > emergencies
> > > > ... I must add Even when its cloudy I still can get on average 400W
> from
> > > the
> > > > solar cells.
> > > >
> > > That's pretty damn good from those PV cells. I've been thinking about
> > > getting a PV panel for use in charging. I could be charging one
battery
> > > while using the other.   No real need for a generator here. I can
> charge
> > > these if desperate off the truck alternator. Looks like one nice
thing
> > > about VHF/UHF is the lower cost of backup power. I have about $150
> > invested
> > > for what I have.   How far from your rig is the battery set up at?
Mine
> > is
> > > small enough that I keep it within 2 feet but I'm not looking at 11
> cells
> > > either. Did or have you look/looked into old telco batteries? I've
> heard
> > > they can be had for nothing as the telco company throws them out
> (usually
> > > paying to have them disposed of) long before they are at the end of
> their

```
> > > life.  I'm going to bring this up at the next club meeting.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>




From 1145150754723487646
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 17:18:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>
<q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl>
<5_7y6.4072$XV.1075126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N38y6.1081$Rv.57303@news1.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <WD8y6.4243$XV.1190350@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:16:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986257014 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:16:54
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:16:54 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18537

I wouldn't say that ... just know where money is appropriate to be spent =)
the rig cost me $600 canadian (about $3 american)

The 706 was a grand ... but I was bored that day so it worked out nice ...

--
Rob St.Denis
```

```
Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:N38y6.1081$Rv.57303@news1.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis"  wrote in part ...
>
> > besides that ... I only use my ht's when I play paint ball with my
friends
> > ... outside that I use my ts440sat or ic706 ... nice cheap rigs
> >
>
> I have a TS440s AT and with the four optional filters that I have in it, I
> wouldn't exactly say it was cheap.  Then again, you probably are a
self-made
> millionaire   : - ))
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>
```

```
From 863937280619868886
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 17:20:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a8u56$8vu$2@208.207.71.141> <HZ_x6.908$XV.199670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<xN7y6.1074$Rv.56757@news1.atl> <2%7y6.4079$XV.1078271@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<r88y6.1083$Rv.57496@news1.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <pF8y6.4251$XV.1194024@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:18:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986257109 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:18:29 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:18:29 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18538

Sounds good to me ... with the number of operators out there that turn their
mic gain up to the hilt to increase their output power it may be a good idea

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:r88y6.1083$Rv.57496@news1.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > perhaps only because it is populated by people like you who are so
blinded
> > by the nostalgia of code to see that other modes work fine
> >
>
> Other modes work great, and I try to use them all. By the way, SSB is
fast
> approaching 60 years old, not exactly a spring chicken. Maybe we should
get
> rid of this antiquated mode, huh? I mean, other modes work much better.
> Heck, we could set up a digipeater system that links the whole country and
> get rid of SSB and 90% of the digital modes. Now that's progress.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>

From -6242690268086345057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 17:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!

```
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 43
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:20:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986257200 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:20:00
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:20:00 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18539
```

up here we use whats available ... and for the most part thats vhf ...
antennas are smaller ... 50 watts goes a long way ... and with repeaters and
the IPARN network we dont have any issue communicating 800km's easy as clean
as day

```
--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
```

"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl...

> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > so you are telling me that stations within 1-200kms would be too weak to
> > copy even with an s3 ? cummon ... besides that there are 10 hf bands to
> use
>
>
> You can have an s-10 signal, and with QRN or QRM will not be able to copy
a
> single word on SSB. As to your point that there are 10 HF bands. That's
> true, but generally speaking only 40, 80 and 20 are used for emergency

> comms. The EOC and state EMA have established certain bands and
frequencies
> for use. They do this so that they have a focal point for communications.
> The EOC makes the decision if and when to move to different bands or
> frequencies.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -1979454679990735811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 17:22:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 3 Apr 2001 00:22:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9ab546$js6$1@208.206.142.78>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aa7b7$s4g$1@208.207.71.158> <qA1y6.2267$XV.391989@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18540

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> give me one good reason I would need to communicate between here and say
> toronto (450-500km's) in an emergency?

Oh, don't fret, Rob, Mother Nature can take care of that far better

```
than I.
 All in good time.


From -3637591561411169152
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 17:27:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 3 Apr 2001 00:26:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9ab5cf$m76$0@208.206.142.78>
References: <3AC7C5A6.6A1B3577@ovis.net> <20010402021038.09539.00000614@nso-
mo.aol.com> <3AC8898A.7FC3101D@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18541



Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:

> > Why do you have such an attitude?
>
> 'Cuz I'm the kind of guy who sets his goals and sets about obtaining
> them.  You, on the other hand, are the kind of guy who sits on the
> sidelines and issues cat calls.  You are the ham radio equivalent of a
> Monday morning quarterback.
>


 Naw Dave, he's barely the Monday morning waterboy....


From -8652982153646025257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 17:32:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
```

out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aa7b7$s4g$1@208.207.71.158> <qA1y6.2267$XV.391989@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab546$js6$1@208.206.142.78>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <JR8y6.4289$XV.1225683@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:31:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986257897 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:31:37 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 17:31:37 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18542

Ya im sure if my whole province got ripped off the earth ... there would be
a reason ...

regardless ... I have a sat phone ... if all hf 1MHz to 30MHz was blanketted
with s9+30dB I could still communicated globally ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                              |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org              |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ab546$js6$1@208.206.142.78...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > give me one good reason I would need to communicate between here and say
> > toronto (450-500km's) in an emergency?
>
>
> Oh, don't fret, Rob, Mother Nature can take care of that far better
> than I.
> All in good time.

From -5864741123606757081
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 17:54:02 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:52:29 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac91c52.60594634@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa7b7$s4g$1@208.207.71.158> <qA1y6.2267$XV.391989@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.191
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.191
X-Trace: 2 Apr 2001 19:49:44 -0500, 64.24.19.191
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18543

On Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:15:18 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>give me one good reason I would need to communicate between here and say
>toronto (450-500km's) in an emergency?
>
I ain't out here to "give" you reasons for anything and what you
"need" is equally irrelevant, fact is that organized ham
traffic-handlers serve Red Cross comms needs which quite often involve
overseas ops. Keep wheeling and praying that anybody gives a rat's ass
about what you do with yer lame 706.
>--
>Rob St.Denis
>
w3rv

From -6178569637517622692
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 18:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!

```
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aa7b7$s4g$1@208.207.71.158> <qA1y6.2267$XV.391989@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac91c52.60594634@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <sp9y6.4782$XV.1317961@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 01:09:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986260184 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 18:09:44
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 18:09:44 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18544

Overseas?? man the entire united states was wrought in a nd ? why wasn't I
informed!

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                  |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org              |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3ac91c52.60594634@news2.dvol.com...
> On Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:15:18 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
> >give me one good reason I would need to communicate between here and say
> >toronto (450-500km's) in an emergency?
> >
> I ain't out here to "give" you reasons for anything and what you
> "need" is equally irrelevant, fact is that organized ham
> traffic-handlers serve Red Cross comms needs which quite often involve
> overseas ops. Keep wheeling and praying that anybody gives a rat's ass
> about what you do with yer lame 706.
> >--
> >Rob St.Denis
```

> >
> w3rv

From 4071054628119788046
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 18:42:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AC9D2F8.6FB7B06E@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 08:41:12 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>
<q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl>
<5_7y6.4072$XV.1075126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N38y6.1081$Rv.57303@news1.atl>
<WD8y6.4243$XV.1190350@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986261681
64.240.47.99 (2 Apr 2001 19:34:41 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18545

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> I wouldn't say that ... just know where money is appropriate to be spent =)
> the rig cost me $600 canadian (about $3 american)

If I send $3 to you, will you buy one for me?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1941571311360656674
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 18:44:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AC9D348.63D44731@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 08:42:32 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a8u56$8vu$2@208.207.71.141> <HZ_x6.908$XV.199670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<xN7y6.1074$Rv.56757@news1.atl>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986261761
64.240.47.99 (2 Apr 2001 19:36:01 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18546

Arnie Macy wrote:
> You couldn't demean the value of code if you worked at it 24 hours a day.
> CW is, and will be, a valuable part of the ARS for as long as there is an
> ARS.

You believe that, Arnie. I believe that. How the heck are we going
to convince Dick that CW has enough merits to survive and thrive?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3009714111032539212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 18:46:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 3 Apr 2001 01:46:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 87
Message-ID: <9aba0s$54n$0@208.206.142.78>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18547

KB9WFK wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
 Much of the
> > world does NOT have that sort of luxury. By your definition we should

> > just ignore distress calls from others who may not have available more
> > modern modes. There will be no choice whem no hams are available who
> > bothered to learn to use CW.
> > That alone is enough reason to keep a CW requirement for the future. The
> > world is just not there yet. There are MANY areas of the world where
> > even a CW rig is not availble and would be considered a highly
> > desireable technical communications asset.
>
> Ok. Tonight as you are roaming the bands looking for some DX you hear a
> distress call from Paraguay. It is from a guy in a grass hut that has no
> other form of communication. His situation is of an urgent medical nature.
> Please describe what you do. This is a serious question. Please answer
> seriously.

That sort of thing happens regularly, as a matter of fact. Recently
reported in the ham press was just such a case, it wasn't a grass hut in
Paraguay, but a couple in a small oceangoing vessel off Venuzuala that
was attacked by pirates and
the man was shot through the abdoman. He was a ham and had an HF rig
aboard. His wife broke in on the Maritime Mobile service net on 20 meter
SSB, described the situation and the net members started things rolling.
They contacted the US Coast
Guard who coordinated with local naval authorities in the area of the
boat. Among they they got the injured ham to a hospital where he at
least is still alive.
The ham press has reported numerous such cases over the years, several
in recent times.

To answer your question, in that specific circumstance, you would
first and foremost get all the information from him, exactly where he
is, the exact nature of the problem, number of persons involved, injured
or killed, the assistance required and the degree of urgency (if it is
not apparent by this time). Once you have all that information, make
sure the station is told to stay on the air, on the frequency if
possible so that other stations can communicate with him as needed as
the operation gets under way. Only then should you begin to get a relief
effort started.

It is important to know that you don't have to contact the exactly
correct authority to get things rolling. The US Air Force is responsible
for search and rescue operations within the USA and maintains an
emergency telephone number strictly for emergency calls. Emergency
relief operations at sea are handled by the US Coast Guard. If the
problem doesn't lie within their areas of responsibility, they will know
where to route the call so that time isn't wasted while you try to sort
it out.
I'm rather sure that the US Department of State has a Staff Duty
Officer who would quickly handle such traffic to other countries. It
might take a call to Directory Assistance to obtain the number. Maybe
Dave Heil K8MN can add something on this. Your local law enforcement
authorities can usually handle this sort of call, although it will slow
things down -more relaying will be needed- if you wind up going this

route.

You have no doubt noticed that CW has not so far been mentioned. Of course anyone who has other better means to use will use them. But when hams can copy CW any station who needs to do so can call in on a SSB net on CW and get the same response and service. It happens. When hams can no longer copy CW it won't.

I keep a manila folder on the clipboard with my radio log that is labeled "Emergency Communications". In it there is a copy of a CQ Magazine article from November 1982 entitled "What To Do When You Hear A Radio Call For Help". It contains a collection of suggestions and tips as well as telephone numbers of various USAF and USCG search and rescue centers along with an excerpt from a letter from the Commander of Search and Resce operations in the 11th Coast Guard district that says:

"Amateur Radio Operators are a valuable resource to those of us in the search and rescue business. They have been directly responsible for saving many lives by providing timely and accurate information to rescue facilities. We in the Coast Guard appreciate your interest and continued service".

This magazine article is nearly 20 years old, for sure very much dated, and needs to be replaced with something much more current. ARRL, are you listening?

Dick W�EX

From -4846149911168197950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 18:52:16 PST
Message-ID: <3AC9D546.255C470F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 08:51:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <3AC8340E.83B6CCCF@IEEE.org>
<9ab4l5$js6$0@208.206.142.78>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986262272
64.240.47.99 (2 Apr 2001 19:44:32 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18548
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Is the repeater in your backyard, or are you going to have to negotiate
> roads closed by whatever weather event that took down the repeater to
> get to the mountaintop its on?

The repeater is in the rear parking lot of the sheriff's office/county jail about 1/4 mile from my house. The repeater antenna is mounted on top of the water tower - great ground plane.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2737989365050992901
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 18:56:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>
```

```
<q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl>
<5_7y6.4072$XV.1075126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N38y6.1081$Rv.57303@news1.atl>
<WD8y6.4243$XV.1190350@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC9D2F8.6FB7B06E@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <s3ay6.5274$XV.1430456@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 01:54:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986262872 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 18:54:32
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 18:54:32 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18549

you send me 3$ and i could pay for my tuition for next semester!

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC9D2F8.6FB7B06E@IEEE.org...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > I wouldn't say that ... just know where money is appropriate to be spent
=)
> > the rig cost me $600 canadian (about $3 american)
>
> If I send $3 to you, will you buy one for me?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----




From -6926606517028731547
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 18:56:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 01:55:10 GMT
References: <3AC67B0B.50C26203@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010402215510.24059.00001623@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18550

In article <3AC67B0B.50C26203@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>   By your logic requiring anything of anyone makes no sense.
>
>Certain requirements are necessary but lots of things can be left
>to the discretion of the individual citizen. Choice of ice cream
>and choice of modes in amateur radio are a couple of those things.

Cecil:

Don't look now, but hams have always had choice of modes they wish
to employ.  In fact, when code testing at adequate proficiency levels was a
requirement for HF licensing, their "choices" were greatly enhanced.
The only thing the elimination of adequate code testing has done is
convince potential hams that this hobby is not deserving of their time or
effort, since little is required of them to enter it.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -3965653336115389339
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 18:56:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 01:55:11 GMT
```

References: <3AC6BA71.3D603933@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010402215511.24059.00001624@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18551

In article <3AC6BA71.3D603933@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I don't have the inclination, time, or stupidity to do any such thing --
>> and you know it. What you've done here is give yourself another way
>> out of one of the holes you're always digging for yourself. So I'll
>> give you one more chance: Name 7 of the 50, or you will forever be
>> branded a liar in this NG.
>
>Brand away. After the way you treated the last friend I put you in touch
>with, there is no way I am going to subject any more of my friends to
>your cruel and malevolent harassment.

Cecil:

What friend? What "cruel and malevolent harassment?" Provide names,
quotes, and other proof, if you can. In the meantime, I'm getting sick and
tired of your false accusations and character assassination. You've really
hit bottom here, Cecil. You never could carry your weight in an argument
with me, and now it has degenerated to this. Shame on you.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3108641684546450466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 19:12:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 02:03:02 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3ac92c12.1623074@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com> <9a62ol$het$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<9a7p8j$150$0@208.206.142.100>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.40.12
X-Server-Date: 3 Apr 2001 02:11:48 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18553

On 1 Apr 2001 17:41:39 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>KB9WFK wrote:
>>
>> Our 'local'  multi-county Skywarn, OEM, NWS and ESDA have 2M, 440 and 220
>> rigs set up.  Not a single HF rig...Not one key....No antenna for HF...
>> This includes 13 counties that I know of and work with.
>
> Yep, that's pretty well standard for local EC groups. Works out pretty
>well, too
>as long as you have no need for longer distance comms.

How about giving us an example of when/why HF would be
needed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 6882089117054336672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 19:12:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 02:03:03 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3ac92c67.1708222@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com> <HNCx6.4134$aD4.258244@news2.atl>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.40.12
X-Server-Date: 3 Apr 2001 02:11:49 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18552

On Sun, 1 Apr 2001 05:53:04 -0400, "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
wrote:

>"Bill Sohl" wrote in part ...
>
>> Even if that's true (it isn't by the way, it is but one of several
```

>> reasons for the ARS as stated in Part 97.1), the use of CW
>> in ALL emergency comms has ended. I have yet to see one
>> example of where CW use is still being integrated into any
>> emergency preparedness effort by any recognized public
>> or amateur group.
>
>Apparently, you havent been to the Chatham County EOC lately. CW is indeed
>an integral part of the ARS station there.

That's a total of ONE so far. Any others?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 1388005554214682737
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 19:22:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 22:27:53 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.214
X-Trace: news2.atl 986264453 216.76.74.214 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 22:20:53 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 22:20:53 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18554

"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...

> up here we use whats available ... and for the most part thats vhf ...
> antennas are smaller ... 50 watts goes a long way ... and with repeaters
and
> the IPARN network we dont have any issue communicating 800km's easy as
clean
> as day
>

I agree that VHF is a great way to communicate given certain conditions. But it is only one of many. Why limit the your ability to just one mode? Every mode that is available should be included. That's why our EOC has the capability for VHF, HF and digital modes. We use what works the best for the current conditions. If that means using HF SSB for local / long distance communications, VHF through a repeater or as simplex, or CW when conditions warrant, then that's what should be done. It seems to me that you are placing a very high premium on one or two modes. In my own shack I have the capability to use VHF, SSB, digital and CW, and I will maintain my proficiency in all those modes. It just makes good sense to do that. I am wondering why you don't think it's a good idea to have as many tools available as possible, or why you would demean one mode over another. They all have value.

Arnie -
KT4ST

```
From 5032974781385660295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 19:25:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 3 Apr 2001 02:24:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9abc9o$b5s$0@208.206.142.78>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com> <9aad7d$m4j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18555

KB9WFK wrote:

> Please describe the backup power source you have in your shack that allows
> high power HF comms in a blackout. How long can you operate before needing
> either grid power or a generator? I am using 2 deepcycle batteries which
> will allow me to run a 2M 50W packet station, a 2M 10W simplex station to
> connect to County EMS, a 6M 10W ssb radio for communications with the 6M net
> and a 50W 2M/440 Dual band radio which connects me to both the 2M and 440
> nets for about 48 hours continuous before needing a charge.. Another 7AH
> sealed lead acid battery will power 2 fluorescent lights for several days
> before needing charged. Since we run 2 hour shifts as NCS I can pretty
> safely double that for actual use run time since I will only be operating 12
> hours a day. The system is always running off of the battery which is being
> charged constantly with at least a maintenance charge so I don't have to do
> anything to switch over to backup power. As for needing the repeater
> infrastructure, I can hit all but 1 repeater on low(5-10W) and can pretty
> much cover the area using simplex and high (45-50W) power and I don't
> believe there has ever been a 13 county blackout.
> I look forward to hearing about your power setup. I realize you were talking
> about the repeater but that is only one piece of it.

That's impressive, you've done your homework and appear ready for a local emergency. Good show.

I have a motor home with a 4 KW generator and a 40 gallon gasoline tank that I keep filled. It is equipped with antennas and wired for use as a ham radio station. I Also have a 12 volt bank of telephone central office wet cells in my shop that would power a 100 watt HF rig on any mode and a two meter rig for a LONG time, a week or two I'm sure.

Dick W�EX

From 6559539048923471058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 19:26:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

```
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 50
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 02:25:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986264738 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:25:38
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 19:25:38 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18556

It is the same as saying why doesnt everyone have a profficiency in
pilotting an air craft ... may come in handy ... not really ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                                   |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                  |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > up here we use whats available ... and for the most part thats vhf ...
> > antennas are smaller ... 50 watts goes a long way ... and with repeaters
> and
> > the IPARN network we dont have any issue communicating 800km's easy as
> clean
> > as day
> >
>
> I agree that VHF is a great way to communicate given certain conditions.
> But it is only one of many.  Why limit the your ability to just one mode?
> Every mode that is available should be included.  That's why our EOC has
the
> capability for VHF, HF and digital modes.  We use what works the best for
> the current conditions.  If that means using HF SSB for local / long
> distance communications, VHF through a repeater or as simplex, or CW when
> conditions warrant, then that's what should be done.  It seems to me that
> you are placing a very high premium on one or two modes.  In my own shack
I
> have the capability to use VHF, SSB, digital and CW, and  I will maintain
```

```
my
> proficiency in all those modes.  It just makes good sense to do that.  I
am
> wondering why you don't think it's a good idea to have as many tools
> available as possible, or why you would demean one mode over another.
They
> all have value.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>




From 5908798343296644835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 19:27:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!199.60.229.5!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
newsfeeder.randori.com!news.randori.com!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC93483.5523FD93@toast.net>
From: John O'Flaherty <oflahert@toast.net>
Reply-To: oflahert@toast.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC60958.8E20985C@toast.net>
<3AC67C7F.6C79B9C2@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Organization: Randori News Inc. -- http://www.randori.com -- Great USENET!
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:25:07 -0500
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18557

W6RCecilA wrote:

> John O'Flaherty wrote:
> > I disagree on this one narrow point- the whole point of amateur radio
> > communications, or most of it, is to discuss the mode and means of
> > communicating!
>
```

> I thought the whole point of amateur radio was to experiment with
> antennas.

You're right, of course- the transceivers are just antenna drivers and loads, and all the hams are just remote reporting devices.

john

```
From -3290646094444576941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 19:28:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com> <HNCx6.4134$aD4.258244@news2.atl>
<3ac92c67.1708222@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <byay6.938$al2.59984@news2.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 22:34:19 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.214
X-Trace: news2.atl 986264839 216.76.74.214 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 22:27:19 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 22:27:19 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18558
```

"Bill Sohl" wrote ...

> That's a total of ONE so far. Any others?
>

Actually it's two, Bill. We had to have at least one other to receive the messages. Plus you are forgetting the State CW traffic net with an additional 100 or so operators that support the EOC during disasters. I know, there couldn't possibly be that many CW ops these days : -))

Arnie -
KT4ST

From 5610399549570763397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 19:37:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 3 Apr 2001 02:36:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 90
Message-ID: <9abd06$csq$0@208.206.142.78>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
<3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18559

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I've owned a half dozen DSP units designed for ham radio and none was
> > worth keeping. I boubht a Icom 756 partly because of its DSP and find
> > that it is rarely beneficial on the air.
>
> DSP filtering and
> DSP decoding are separate functions.

So what else is news? I'm not talking about decoding CW with my IC756,
I would be very pleased if it would just do a reasonable job on noise
reduction. It was and is a DSP disappointment. Most of the decoding
functions are done in a computer sound card or a standalone terminal
unit. Got that?

CW decoding is what we
> are discussing

No, that's want YOU'RE discussing. It's what you've been raving about
for years but you just can't seem to find time to work it up. Ever.

> and I know of no transceiver, including your 756,
> that will decode Morse code. Please educate yourself on the
> subject before you embarrass yourself any further.

May I suggest you engage in airborne intercourse with a piece of
perforated pastry?

>
> > > And if I spent months designing a system
> > > that could copy CW as well as the average ham, it still wouldn't be
> > > nearly as good as PACTOR II.
> >
> > Indeed, and no one ever said otherwise.
>
> Indeed, that's why no one has wasted his time doing it.
 You are free
> to waste your time if you really want that function done, but don't
> expect me to waste my time doing it for you.

The kindly shut up about it, say what? I certainly have no use for any
such
dippy gadget. I can do it between the ears.

>
> > YOU are the
> > advocate of dumping aht ARS's most basic radiocommunications capability,
> > not me.
>
> Liar, liar, pants on fire. I want to dump the Morse code *test*, not
> the CW mode. That you believe CW cannot stand on its own merits without
> a governmental affirmative action program just shows how little faith
> you have in the mode you advocate.

Sad part is you really believe that against mounds of evidence, right
here on rrap.

I, OTOH, believe that CW will survive
> and thrive on its own merits but if it cannot survive on its own merits,
> it doesn't deserve a governmental welfare program to prop it up.

By that logic the entirety ofham radio is down the tubes en total.

```
>
> > And make no mistake, not many years after there is no CW test
> > whatever required, it will be completely gone as a viable communications
> > asset.
>
> Your sky-is-falling scare tactics haven't worked so far, Dick. Nothing
> you have predicted so far has come to pass. Where are hoards of CBers
> and freebanders that you predicted?


 They're already here!!! In fact, you admitted to your own little piece
of that action: "I talk to those guys when I can't find any hams to talk
to".


From 1998572752471759309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 19:40:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!feed.cgocable.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com> <9a62ol$het$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<9a7p8j$150$0@208.206.142.100> <3ac92c12.1623074@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <vIay6.949$al2.60117@news2.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 22:45:20 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.214
X-Trace: news2.atl 986265499 216.76.74.214 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 22:38:19 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 22:38:19 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18560

"Bill Sohl" wrote ...

> How about giving us an example of when/why HF would be
> needed.
>

It seems to me that "local" communications is all the rage these days.  Not
much thought is given to the need for HF to communicate with State or
National EOCs.  When Hurricane Floyd was here, we used local VHF through
```

simplex and repeaters to report to the county EOC, who then used HF to communicate with the State EOC, giving them valuable information for use in planning and response. Since the Savannah area had to be evacuated, communication with shelters in Macon and even Atlanta was a vital part of the operation. HF communication was the obvious choice. Can you imagine trying to maintain a repeater network to communicate when HF can handle that with two radios and two antennas? Why re-invent the wheel when it works just fine?

Arnie -
KT4ST

From -7684261804421619175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 20:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<PX_x6.894$XV.197086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <q0by6.955$al2.60602@news2.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 23:06:35 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.214
X-Trace: news2.atl 986266774 216.76.74.214 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 22:59:34 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 22:59:34 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18561

"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...

> everyone knows your wrist must be level with the lever and your index and
> middle fingers onthe paddle you yutz
>

I usually just bang my fist on the knob at about 20 wpm in an attempt to get out the right letters. Sorta like a stapler :-)) However, the last time I checked, my straight key didn't have a paddle on it. I guess they changed it while I wasn't looking. If you are actually referring to a set of paddles, then that would be thumb dits and finger dahs. Or ... I just don't know what I'm talking about and I too am a "Yutz" Unless, of course, I'm correct.

Arnie -
KT4ST

```
From 408810669962244252
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 02:38:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 05:33:32 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3AC84746.F7D62C27@sccoast.net>
References: <3AC53718.31106623@sccoast.net> <20010331135246.15492.00000623@nso-
fm.aol.com> <3AC6BADE.DEEF2BA3@sccoast.net> <9a7sph$8ve$2@208.206.142.100>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-5.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 986203913 449414 207.144.64.135 (2 Apr 2001 09:31:53
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 09:31:53 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18462
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
>   I certainly agree, this is and always
> has been a silly debate. The very idea
> that people why profess to want to be
> ham radio operators would be so upset by
> a simple code test is pretty assinine.
> *ESPECIALLY* a very basic, 5wpm test.

Yep, the topic has been discussed to the point of absurdity.

Obviously, there is absolutely nothing wrong with having an opinion on the matter, and voicing that opinion occasionally (I do it myself), but the almost endless passionate fights over this subject are a little tiring ("beating a dead horse" comes to mind).

Personally, I'd like to see the code requirement dropped entirely (and I've said so several time before). However, that will happen if, and when, it happens. And, if it doesn't, that is just fine also. I'm not going to lose sleep over it either way. If I decide to upgrade, I'll take whatever test is required at the time.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -2938165429610888289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 03:05:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 06:05:36 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3AC84EC2.81CFA2E5@sccoast.net>
References: <3AC6BADE.DEEF2BA3@sccoast.net> <20010401160857.09539.00000564@nso-mo.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-5.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 986205834 450452 207.144.64.135 (2 Apr 2001 10:03:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 10:03:54 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18463
```

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Dwight Stewart writes:
>
> Feel free to vent whatever gripes
> you [want] in another newsgroup, then.

>
> This newsgroup was created to discuss
> the morse code test issue. [snip]

Obviously so. However, I prefer not to endlessly "discuss" that
subject, so I hope you'll pardon me if I drop in another topic
occasionally. In the future, I'll make sure to mark those message as
"not related to the code debate." :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -5637431326707499640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 03:32:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: kX6az@yaXhoo.com (Eric K6AZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 10:27:28 GMT
Organization: Just say no to ACG
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3acb53c6.13044258@news.mindspring.com>
References: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10103272042090.1970-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kX6az@yaXhoo.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.f7.49.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Apr 2001 10:28:35 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.452
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18464

On Tue, 27 Mar 2001 20:49:58 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

>What you encountered was probably LESS than the normal level of such activity.
>Then again, some of the misfits also happen to be in jail right now.

I left Soutern California in Dec 1997. Who that I may have heard of is
in jail? And for what?
****
Please note new email address
Remove the two X's to reply

```
From 1651524215522979451
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 04:58:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 08:02:59 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.247
X-Trace: news1.atl 986212564 216.76.74.247 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 07:56:04 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 07:56:04 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18465
```

"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...

> You guys are on the other side of the same coin ... and you certainly
can't
> see our point neither
>

"Our" point, Rob is that CW is still a viable communications tool that should and can be used to enhance emergency communications. The EOC had landlines, cell phones, HF and VHF equipment available for use.

In our case, cell phone lines were overloaded (useless), landlines were working, but were very busy. VHF didn't have the capability to reach out 300 miles, and SSB was racked with QRN. CW was clearly the communication tool of choice at the time. Once the QRN cleared, we went back to SSB, which was clearly the mode of choice when readable.

Your side seems to think that local voice communication is the end all solution to every problem. I was merely showing that it was not, and that CW was still a good tool to have available.

Regards,

Arnie -
KT4ST

From 5675892963760690533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 05:08:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 12:06:34 GMT
References: <20010402021034.09539.00000611@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010402080634.21885.00002770@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18466

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/02/01 2:10 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Did you think I meant "penis?" Tsk, tsk, jumping those conclusions
>again, aren't you?

I think it is YOU who is jumping, Oh Witless One. I AM a part of the greater "we" of which you make a "suggestive" reference in YOUR "we-we".

>>And it does NOT indicate that I "represent all of US Amateur Radio".

>The royal use of "we" in voicing an opinion most assuredly says you do.

No...it simply puts me in the majority...which when considered against you, means one ov over 600,000 LICENSED Amatuer Radio opertors...something YOU are NOT.

>A majority of what? Credential freaks? Control frieaks?
>Mental obsessives who are unable to civilly discuss, debate,
>or argue a subject without tossing in constant personal
>pejoratives?

"Frieaks"...??   Oh...I forgot...spelling correction Of His High An Meaningless is not applicable here.....

The rest is humorous, coming from you. You discuss or debate nothing. You assert your opinion and dare anyone to NOT agree with you. The rest is fodder for the flamefest.

>I am no such "member."

Sure you are. You're the Frieak Supreme.

>Now, now, Yiddish you ain't. But you still have this obsessive need
>to insult the person, don't you? Why is that?

I asked you the same question over two years ago, Lennie. I asked you to lose the "Nazi", "Brownshirt" and "jackboot" analogies. You refused, pushing the buttons more freverently and agggressively, adding frequent profanities and other schoolyard humiliations to the fray.

You got exactly what you wanted. Want some salt and pepper, Lennie?

Or would you prefer to consider apologizing for your OWN "persoanl pejoratives" and see the flamefest stop?

I am ameniable either way. Your choice.

From 6504635549219038137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 05:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 65
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 12:38:37 GMT
References: <20010402021034.09539.00000610@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010402083837.21885.00002772@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18467

>Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/02/01 2:10 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Last I looked, Element 1 was still required for morse code tests.

And per yor own admission, the FCC is still bound by treaty to honor this commitment.

Unless the FCC issued a new Report and Order on Part 97?

>As a matter of fact, Al Walston, W6MJN, was Best Man at my
>wedding.

Chances are he was the ONLY man at your wedding, Lennie.

>My mother died on February 18, 2001 at about 10 AM.

>Thank you for your condolences..

You DO have my condolences, Len. May she rest in the eternal peace of the love of our Saviour.

I lost my Dad in February of 99 and then Tater died less than 90 days later. However it still does not negate the statement that you tried to blunt with the revelation of your Mother's passing.

YOU still do not have the frame of reference of a PARENT who has lost a child AND must deal with a professional level of dealing with other persons losses in an objective manner. Although it affects each of us diffently, we are all predisposed to the ultimate probability of burying our parents. And knowing your age and presuming an age of at least 20 at childbirth, it would be apparent that she lived a long life.

>>A matter of public record, and unlike you, I feel no need to recite
>>page's long CV's in order to bully others.

>Translation: Robeson can't describe it.

No. Lennie, it means I don't HAVE to describe it. I am not accumulating the "message points" you so desperately covet. I served and the USMC was glad to issue an Honorable DD-214 for it. I also have licenses to practice nursing (with the necessary ancilliary endorsements for advanced skills as necessary) I don't have to detail what state, the dates of grant and expiration or numbers in order to state that I AM a nurse.

>>Terminated? Hmmm...the hospital I worked at last night will be surprised to hear that....As >>will several others.

>So...do you still have the purchasing agent job or not?

And in either case, the relativity to Amatuer Radio is...?!?! Is it your assertion that I cannot do both for WHATEVER reason I would choose to do so?

Take a break, Your Putziness...you are grabbing at straws again.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -7859735687556742797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 05:46:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 12:44:26 GMT
References: <20010402021037.09539.00000613@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010402084426.21885.00002773@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18468

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/02/01 2:10 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Poor baby, no English comprehension. I tested for my First
>Class Radiotelephone (commercial) license in 1956. There was
>no "GROL" back then, no exact question pool answers, some
>parts of the written were essay and diagram-drawing, done at an
>FCC office. Yes, the present-day commercial radiotelephone
>test is a bit easier. How does that take away any of the original
>accomplishment?

Here we have more Cake-And-Eat-It syndrome...specifically Lennie naysaying any suggestion that HIS "GROL" is anything less than the accomplishment he deems it, yet if it were an Amateur revelling in THIER accomplishment, it would be another "Ho Hum..So What" from the Licenseless One.

>Interesting thing. Back over a year ago, Robeson expressed an
>opinion that a GROL was not to his liking, did not seek to add a
>commercial radiotelephone license to his list of "qualified
>accreditations."

And it still isn't. What was the point you were trying to make, Lennie?

>Robeson has a vivid imagination...and unable to believe that anyone
>else has experience in HF radio outside of amateur radio. Big
>leagues HF communications, 24/7 duty, military, also back in
>the fifties. Also other-spectrum communications, VLF to
>microwaves in the sixties, seventies, eighties, and beginning of
>the nineties.

Another opportunity to publish an abridged CV. None of which is relevant to AMATEUR practice. Thanks Lennie. It had been almost 3 days since you reminded us of it.

Putz.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

From 8764875881034437023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 06:04:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 77
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 13:02:46 GMT
References: <20010402021035.09539.00000612@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010402090246.21885.00002776@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18469

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/02/01 2:10 AM Eastern Daylight Time

Another late night in the Message Wars, Lennie?

>Robeson has taken things out of context. It is very, very legal
>to use the title of ENGINEER (even in lower-case) without having
>a state-issued professional engineering license.

I never said it wasn't, Lennie. However it IS illegal to state your are a "Professional Engineer" without said licensure. Just as it would be illegal for me to state I am a Nurse without same licensure.

>>>Yes, I was an electronics technician prior to 1964. The interesting
>>>part is that industry doesn't need all the anal-retentive
>>>"qualifications" and "licensing" you insist on in order to DO THE

>>>WORK of engineering or in assuming responsibility of pass or
>>>fail of a work task

>>Oh, I SEE! So, by virtue of doing the WORK, you are justified in
>>claiming the TITLE...! ! ! !

>No, Robeson jumps mightily into the conclusion pit.

I didn't jump into anything, Lennie. You said you were an Engineer by virtue of "doing the work" and receiving a salary for having done the work.

I am not a Physician, yet I do specific tasks as directed by the Physician. I receive a salary for those tasks. By YOUR OWN WORDS, I should be able to claim the title of Physician.

>My title there,
>company-bestowed, was Cheif Engineer of the Instrument
>Division. Very legal.

I am sure it was. But "Professional Engineer" is not.

>Purchasing Agent Robeson (if he is still employed as such) can
>call himself whatever he wants. :-)   He is strong, he is mighty,
>able to leap tall pileups in a single bound!

No...I still require a running start.

>Sigh...Robeson still doesn't understand the workings of a democratic
>representative republic or realize that amateur radio physics is
>exactly the same as radio physics of every other radio service.

Double sigh bac at ya, Lennie. YOU don't understand that I have repeatedly acknowledged both to you and this humble assemblage that the physics ARE the same. It's the PRACTICE that YOU refuse to acknowledge as different.

>Robeson is a "credential" freak, a control freak who has an
>obsession with trying to "get" another who won't stand for his
>bullying, intimidating attitude and constant personal pejoratives
>used in place of civil replies on subjects.

Since you are essentially the only person that have such a "relationship" with, I can't help but point out that this is, as I have always contended, a "reply-in-kind" exchange. An apology will elicit a like response and a cooling of the flames. I have made this offer before only to ahve you make light of it. Your choice, your consequences.

>Good luck on your next job interview..

For someone who brags on a CV that has page after page of non-career length employment affiliations, I am sure the "job interview" is a situation with which you are intimately familiar.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

```
From -7386505526425298476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 06:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <PX_x6.894$XV.197086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 13:15:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986217327 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 06:15:27
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 06:15:27 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18470
```

everyone knows your wrist must be level with the lever and your index and
middle fingers onthe paddle you yutz

```
--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                          |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > AS mentioned previously ... anyone who has used a straight key knows
that
> > the key makes a tapping sound when depressed
>
>
>
>  Yeah, right! Both you and Cecil get caught sending code in the very
> fashion of pre-Novices, tapping on a key  instead of doing it in a
> correct manner - oh so typical of codehating dunces and immediately you
> start the running backwards. Now it's "we said that was just the sound"
> Right. Idiots au pair.
```

```
From -8225372493069455090
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 06:18:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 08:17:33 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9a9u12$89$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <9a7naj$n62$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a1.18
X-Server-Date: 2 Apr 2001 13:15:14 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18471
```

--

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:9a7naj$n62$1@news.service.uci.edu...
> KB9WFK <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > If I use my VHF ht
> > I can call the fire department directly (they monitor the repeaters in
the
> > area) and talk to a qualified person directly while more help is on the
> way.
> > I can be talking directly to a paramedic while an ambulance crew is
being
> > dispatched. I know because I did it this winter at the scene of a
rolled
> > over van in Indiana just over the Illinois border. A third party would
> have
> > been a pain in the butt, not to mention practically useless.
>
> Most paramedics, firemen, and police officers are not ham radio operators
> and cannot, or do not talk on, or listen to amateur radio repeaters.
>
> ac6xg
>
>
You speak for your area and I'll speak for mine. Quite a few fire stations
around here have at least one ham working in it. About 90% of our OEM
personnel are hams. I'm sorry to hear about your locations shortcomings.

From 8050381900093743452
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 06:18:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>

```
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 13:16:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986217418 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 06:16:58
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 06:16:58 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18472

ve3tel, ve3mpc, ve3two, all have them ... heck even my own station has a
1.2kw generator here at home

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > Bingo ... besides ... whoever heard of a repeater who didn't have a
> > generator onsite to kick in after 110 was unavailable ... after all who
are
> > running htese repeaters ?
>
>
>   Yeah, come on Cecil, rescue him with "hints". He sure needs it.
>
> Ham radio repeaters with a backup generator??? Hahahahahahaha




From -5565820900975049916
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 06:18:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8u56$8vu$2@208.207.71.141>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <HZ_x6.908$XV.199670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 13:17:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986217447 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 06:17:27 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 06:17:27 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18473

I associated the sound with demeaning hte value of code

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                    |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org               |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9a8u56$8vu$2@208.207.71.141...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
>
> > We never once tapped a single letter out on cw.
> >
>
>
> Ah, you're back to tapping out code again. It's not just the sound,
> huh?

From -3991161585978179524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 06:20:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 13:19:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986217595 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 06:19:55 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 06:19:55 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18474

And I'm sure there was ample need to be able to talk several hundred kilometers ... after all noone within range of a MOBILE station couldn't help eh ... gimme a break ... a dipole even at 1/2 wave will give you sufficient gain to be able to communicate 1-200 km's on ssb with no trouble.

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                         |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com...
> On Sun, 01 Apr 2001 22:06:19 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
>
> >SSB on 10 was quite nice.
> >

> >We never once tapped a single letter out on cw.
> >
> Yeah, but then came one or another of the Central American natural
> disasters or another 2-3 years ago and the HF net which handles
> traffic for the Red Cross HQ in Florida to Central America jumped on
> 40m ssb as usual but got nowhere because of the QRM in the phone band,
> some of it intentional, sick as that was, so they moved the operation
> down the band and did their thing using CW, look Ma, no repeaters or
> jammers.
> >--
> >Rob St.Denis
> >
> w3rv

```
From 4758120405422074940
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 06:22:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 13:21:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986217686 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 06:21:26
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 06:21:26 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18475
```

are you telling me that within a 300 mile radius of your station all there
was was destruction ... not a single telephone or person to 3rd party calls
through telephone ... gimme a break

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > You guys are on the other side of the same coin ... and you certainly
> can't
> > see our point neither
> >
>
> "Our" point, Rob is that CW is still a viable communications tool that
> should and can be used to enhance emergency communications. The EOC had
> landlines, cell phones, HF and VHF equipment available for use.
>
> In our case, cell phone lines were overloaded (useless), landlines were
> working, but were very busy. VHF didn't have the capability to reach out
> 300 miles, and SSB was racked with QRN. CW was clearly the communication
> tool of choice at the time. Once the QRN cleared, we went back to SSB,
> which was clearly the mode of choice when readable.
>
> Your side seems to think that local voice communication is the end all
> solution to every problem. I was merely showing that it was not, and that
> CW was still a good tool to have available.
>
> Regards,
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>

From -8521113542687926586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 06:36:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com

```
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 13:34:33 GMT
References: <3AC86FD4.ADCC9A69@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010402093433.09572.00000488@nso-mm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18476

In article <3AC86FD4.ADCC9A69@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Can you run SS?
>>
>> Sure. In fact, it's one of the first modes I would use in an emergency.
>
>FH or DS?

FHSS

73 de Jim, N2EY




From -7580722128722733832
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 07:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC879E3.DE9ABC66@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
```

```
<%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC560BB.233DB6AC@ovis.net>
<Wvix6.171340$p66.50162020@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC7C241.64D2AB47@ovis.net>
<XTQx6.184711$p66.54369668@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 14:08:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986220534 207.0.147.47 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001
07:08:54 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 07:08:54 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18477
```

Rob St.Denis wrote:

>
> never said i hated americans (notce i didnt use yank ... you did) ... just
> sick of those bible thumpers out there telling me how im going to hell

It makes little sense that there would be more of them on 10m than any other band. I don't believe that any of those individuals described is addressing you directly. The little VFO tuning knob does wonders for ensuring that you are able to avoid listening.

Dave K8MN

```
From -6108339105419621958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 07:20:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:18:43 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986220734
64.240.47.61 (2 Apr 2001 08:12:14 -0600)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
```

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18478

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I already know how to do it, Dick.
>
> Sure you do, that's why you've spent so much of your time doing it.

I spent eight hours a day for ten years doing it, Dick, and I am retired from it. I have the luxury of doing what I enjoy now and I don't enjoy wasting my time trying to make you less ignorant. If you need some algorithms to get you started I would suggest, "Digital Signal Processing, A Practical Approach" by Ifeachor and Jervis.

> You're the character making all the claims on how easy it all is. So
> why don't you prove it by doing it?

I don't enjoy doing it, Dick. And if I spent months designing a system that could copy CW as well as the average ham, it still wouldn't be nearly as good as PACTOR II. You are the one who wants to see it done so get off your butt and do it. I've got more enjoyable things to do.

My elevated radial 40m vertical is about half finished. I almost made a mistake yesterday. I use solid copper wire for buried radials and I started to use that same wire for elevated radials.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5098813070956958223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 07:24:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AC933BF.A590037C@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:21:51 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <3AC49AE8.8D3EB1F3@IEEE.org>
<sECx6.4103$aD4.257981@news2.atl> <3AC7D9BA.CADB2A20@IEEE.org>
<9a7uvv$g9h$0@208.206.142.100> <3AC83108.F4F810A2@IEEE.org>
<9a8sp4$5sq$1@208.207.71.141>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986220921
64.240.47.61 (2 Apr 2001 08:15:21 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!10.10.220.74.MISMATCH!sn-xit-04!
supernews.com!feed.textport.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18479

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > You are not paying attention, Dick. That's not what I was responding to.
> > I just did not believe that CW is saving more lives than CPR and asked
> > for clarification.
>
> Why not try comparing apples with apples instead of oranges?

Please pay attention, Dick. It wasn't me doing the comparison. Someone
said CW saves more lives than CPR. I questioned that statement.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6318380086249230948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 07:28:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AC934E4.B9E9E46A@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:26:44 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AC83221.FC10986@IEEE.org> <9a8sst$5sq$2@208.207.71.141>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986221215
64.240.47.61 (2 Apr 2001 08:20:15 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.180.63.145!feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18480

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Another straw man ad hominem attack, Dick. CW can certainly be useful
> > and I am fully equipped for the mode. But it doesn't make any sense
> > at all to require Morse code skill and not require a CW transceiver
> > to go with it.
>
> By that logic it doesn't make sense to require ham radio licenses since
> hams are required to do nothing whatever after passing the test.

To alleviate your apples/oranges confusion, consider the purpose of a ham license VS the purpose of the Morse code requirement.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1902697960230898569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 07:34:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AC9366A.BD0CD564@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:33:14 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986221605
64.240.47.61 (2 Apr 2001 08:26:45 -0600)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
```

```
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18481

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > Bingo ... besides ... whoever heard of a repeater who didn't have a
> > generator onsite to kick in after 110 was unavailable ... after all who are
> > running htese repeaters ?
>
> Yeah, come on Cecil, rescue him with "hints". He sure needs it.
>
> Ham radio repeaters with a backup generator??? Hahahahahahaha

Some of the mountain top repeaters are solar powered so I don't
see why you are laughing. Our local repeater is designed such
that anyone with a vehicle and a pair of jumper cables can get
the repeater back on the air. A little planning and ingenuity
go a long way in keeping electronic systems operational.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2456936933537208732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 07:42:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AC93843.CD5C81D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:41:07 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986222078
64.240.47.61 (2 Apr 2001 08:34:38 -0600)
Lines: 23
```

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!10.10.220.74.MISMATCH!sn-xit-04!
supernews.com!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18482

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > AS mentioned previously ... anyone who has used a straight key knows that
> > the key makes a tapping sound when depressed
>
>  Yeah, right! Both you and Cecil get caught sending code in the very
> fashion of pre-Novices, tapping on a key  instead of doing it in a
> correct manner ...

Ahhhhhh, so a straight key is not the "correct manner". All those WWII
CW operators we see on the History Channel were not sending CW in the
"correct manner". Have you told the ARRL that they should cancel Straight
Key Night because a straight key is not the "correct manner" for sending
Morse code?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 7920037044823735663
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 07:44:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AC938BF.D84D5C7@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:43:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
```

```
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<3AC836D3.35A5C3B0@IEEE.org> <9a8ta2$5sq$4@208.207.71.141>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986222201
64.240.47.61 (2 Apr 2001 08:36:41 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18483
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Typically, you now try to change the meaning of the word to cover your
> "mistake".

What mistake? Straight keys make tapping sounds. The stock Bencher straight key makes a tapping sound every time the key is depressed or relaxed. Have you forgotten what straight keys sound like, Dick?

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From -7218612594710930478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 07:46:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AC93900.A172E417@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:44:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <3AC7E021.2094EF43@ovis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986222265
64.240.47.61 (2 Apr 2001 08:37:45 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18484

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > I've been tapping away at a key for 50 years. What's wrong with live
> > and let live?
>
> So who has tried to prevent you?

Dick says straight keys don't make tapping sounds.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8186189589168930121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 08:18:05 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC8898A.7FC3101D@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC7C5A6.6A1B3577@ovis.net> <20010402021038.09539.00000614@nso-mo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 137
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 15:16:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.57
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986224602 207.0.147.57 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 08:16:42 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 08:16:42 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18485

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AC7C5A6.6A1B3577@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >> In article <3AC55FD5.331CFF2A@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >> Until the attitudes of Real Hams change, and we end this
> >> >> seeming intolerance of non-morse-coders, the problems of
> >> >> amateur radio policy arguments against amateur regulation
> >> >> changes is not going to stop.
> >> >
> >> >If it is only a seeming intolerance and not a real one, there really
> >> >isn't any issue.
> >>
> >> Sorry, your Lofty Highness, it is very much an issue.
> >
> >I could have sworn that you wrote "seeming intolerance". There is
> >either a seeming intolerance or a real one.
>
> A veritable hamgod has spoken!   :-)

So, you did write "seeming intolerance" but don't want to refer to that now.

> >
> >> Witness your own intolerant remarks as a bona fide PCTA.
> >
> >I'm not intolerant toward those amateurs who have not passed a code
> >exam. I work quite a few on 2m each week. That doesn't mean that I am

> >in agreement with the whole code free license scheme.
>
> Everyone who can understand the written English word can
> understand you are not in agreement with a code-TEST-free
> license. Why is that?

How many years do we need to hash and rehash this? It is still because I believe it to be a watering down of standards in order to create "Ham Radio Lite". Morse is still used extensively in amateur radio whether other services use it or not.

> >Heil does voice his grievances as does Anderson. Anderson pontificates
> >and condescends.
>
> Heil wishes to join the well-poisoners? Of course he does.
> Repeat the "pontification" and "condescending" words often
> enough and a few might believe it. :-)

Stating my views regarding your manner does not constitute well poisoning. It is observation :)

> >Anderson does not confine his arguments to the subject
> >but personalizes them based upon his opinion of those who disagree with
> >him. Anderson speak with forked tongue.
>
> Heil speaks, not with forked tongue, but with the attitude of
> the fraternal hall leader. Or is that beer hall?   :-)

Your mind is closed to the idea that you are guilty of what you accuse others of doing.

> If someone wishes to discuss, debate, or argue a subject
> on the merits of the subject, I'll be willing to do that. When
> some, Heil and Robeson at the moment, want to take every
> posting so personally, so emotionally that they get into
> personal insults, then it is time to return fire.

Len, you've insulted others here for years but you seem to think that you should somehow be immune. You can't expect to waltz into an amateur radio newsgroup in your Foghorn Leghorn costume and proceed to tell hams how it should be withoutt taking heat for your views.

> >When I entered amateur radio and each time I've undertaken an upgrade of
> >my license class, I set about to meet the qualifications for that
> >license.
>
> Yes, you and Roll, mighty towers of perserverance and
> fortitude, willing to jump through any hoop put before you
> without quivering. Well, you've accomplished that and feel
> that is some kind of permit to push newcomers around.
> Why do you have such an attitude?

'Cuz I'm the kind of guy who sets his goals and sets about obtaining them. You, on the other hand, are the kind of guy who sits on the sidelines and issues cat calls. You are the ham radio equivalent of a Monday morning quarterback.

> >At no time did I demand that those standards be lowered for my
> >benefit nor did I put off obtaining a license until such time as the
> >powers that be made the entry into amateur radio easier.
>
> Ah...the old "obey the LAW" ploy! Nice wording. Good job.

The old "obey the LAW" ploy is how the country works.

> Regulations on amateur radio were made by humans, not
> gods. Regulations are changeable by humans. In a democratic
> republic we citizens can strive to change laws, regulations, and
> rules made by humans in government. Heil seems to think
> that everyone must jump unquestioning through the same
> hoops he did. Why that attitude?

Some citizens might desire and change and some other citizens might oppose the proposed change.

I don't believe there are any hoops at all, Len.

> If anything were to be changed in US amateur radio regulations
> other than eliminating the morse code test, I would opt for
> TOUGHENING the written test elements. That was stated in
> my Comments on 98-143. [it is a public document now, just
> one of more than 2500 on file]

Bully for you!

> One interesting aspect of my suggestion to increase the
> number of written questions is that the already-licensed
> would get a free ride through grandfathering. All of the PCTAs
> would still bitch and moan because morse code is still the
> epitome of amateur skill to them. :-)

Put enough :-) characters into your stuff so that people will think this is all said in jest, huh?

> Heil may not be in a humorous mood to appreciate the
> irony in the above. Heil is rarely appreciative of anything
> not involved in the absolute obeyance of the existing law,
> the mighty and noble perserverance to meet those laws
> as they are. Why does Heil have such an attitude?

You have no idea of what I appreciate. I'll let you in on one: I appreciate the fact that you suffer the ham radio equivalent of penis envy. We're on the inside and you are on the outside. It galls you. If you want in, you'll have to set about meeting the requirements for a license. Your comment about getting an Extra "out of the box" shows one instance where you farted and fell.

Dave K8MN

```
From -2508966654419642236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 08:20:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 15:18:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9aa57j$l2m$0@208.207.71.158>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <9a7naj$n62$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.158
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18486
```

```
Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> KB9WFK <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > If I use my VHF ht
> > I can call the fire department directly (they monitor the repeaters in the
> > area) and talk to a qualified person directly while more help is on the
> way.
> > I can be talking directly to a paramedic while an ambulance crew is being
> > dispatched.  I know because I did it this winter at the scene of a rolled
> > over van in Indiana just over the Illinois border.  A third party would
> have
> > been a pain in the butt, not to mention practically useless.
>
> Most paramedics, firemen, and police officers are not ham radio operators
> and cannot, or do not talk on, or listen to amateur radio repeaters.
```

Not mention the fact that he is illegal as hell if using an "opened up"
ham HT to talk the the paramedics.

```
From -7599841477674959912
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 08:44:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 15:42:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.158
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18487
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > I already know how to do it, Dick.
> >
> > Sure you do, that's why you've spent so much of your time doing it.
>
> I spent eight hours a day for ten years doing it, Dick, and I am
> retired from it. I have the luxury of doing what I enjoy now and I
> don't enjoy wasting my time

Oh. And I don't. Right.

trying to make you less ignorant. If you
> need some algorithms to get you started I would suggest, "Digital
> Signal Processing, A Practical Approach" by Ifeachor and Jervis.

I've owned a half dozen DSP units designed for ham radio and none was worth keeping. I boubht a Icom 756 partly because of its DSP and find that it is rarely beneficial on the air. Usually the half kc crystal filter is what helps by far the most. I know, you digigeeks are forever improving your algorithms. Why can't you do it right maybe after the first dozen times if you'r so bright?

>
> > You're the character making all the claims on how easy it all is. So
> > why don't you prove it by doing it?
>
> I don't enjoy doing it, Dick. And if I spent months designing a system
> that could copy CW as well as the average ham,

One hell of a big IF, Pal.

> it still wouldn't be
> nearly as good as PACTOR II.

Indeed, and no one ever said otherwise.

> You are the one who wants to see it done
> so get off your butt and do it.

Confused about who said what again? YOU were the instigator of "why don't you" not me. I only want hams to learn to use the most basic radiocommunications mode, as well as all the newer ones. YOU are the advocate of dumping aht ARS's most basic radiocommunications capability, not me. And make no mistake, not many years after there is no CW test whatever required, it will be completely gone as a viable communications asset.

```
From -5983414796985860021
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 08:54:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 15:52:24 GMT
```

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.158
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18488

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> ve3tel, ve3mpc, ve3two, all have them ... heck even my own station has a
> 1.2kw generator here at home
>

And how many do you see sitting unused out at repeater sites? darn few. Best to haul one to the site when needed.

The entire thrust here is to make the singular point that CW is an ADDED capability, not intended in any way to replace repeaters, HF SSB nor any other mode that anyone has available, especially one that is efficient and easily used. What you people who disdain CW don't understand it what others her have experienced- there are many times that come along when nothing else that is available will dothe job.

I know that techies don't even like to think about it, _Cecil is the best evidence- but it happens. Regularly.

As time progresses and more of the later modes become much more widespread it easily follows that these incidents would be lessened, as has already occurred in the more advanced areas where technology is msor affordable. Much of the world does NOT have that sort of luxury. By your definition we should just ignore distress calls from others who may not have available more modern modes. There will be no choice whem no hams are available who bothered to learn to use CW.

That alone is enough reason to keep a CW requirement for the future. The world is just not there yet. TGhere are MANY areas of the world where even a CW rig is not availble and would be considered a highly desireable technical communications asset.

From -3094273296767059680
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 08:56:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 15:54:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9aa7b7$s4g$1@208.207.71.158>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.158
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18489

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> And I'm sure there was ample need to be able to talk several hundred
> kilometers ... after all noone within range of a MOBILE station couldn't
> help eh ... gimme a break ... a dipole even at 1/2 wave will give you
> sufficient gain to be able to communicate 1-200 km's on ssb with no trouble.
>

Rob, you have all the answers...but unfortunately you have no clue as to
the questions.

From -2501116789213071055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 09:02:04 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 15:59:59 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com>

```
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.56
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.56
X-Trace: 2 Apr 2001 10:57:13 -0500, 64.24.240.56
Lines: 21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18490

On 2 Apr 2001 04:07:14 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>>
>> Bingo ... besides ... whoever heard of a repeater who didn't have a
>> generator onsite to kick in after 110 was unavailable ... after all who are
>> running htese repeaters ?
>
>
>  Yeah, come on Cecil, rescue him with "hints". He sure needs it.
>
>Ham radio repeaters with a backup generator??? Hahahahahahaha
>
Nowhere else in ham radio will you find comms so dependent on an
infrastructure as these shack-on--belt types need. And they call it
"ham radio".
>
w3rv




From 48850770323919447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 09:09:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 16:09:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9aa87b$1e0$0@208.207.71.158>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93843.CD5C81D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.158
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18491

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > >
> > > AS mentioned previously ... anyone who has used a straight key knows that
> > > the key makes a tapping sound when depressed
> >
> > Yeah, right! Both you and Cecil get caught sending code in the very
> > fashion of pre-Novices, tapping on a key instead of doing it in a
> > correct manner ...
>
> Ahhhhhh, so a straight key is not the "correct manner".

NO, NO, NO, a thousand times NO!!!!! In my pre-arthiritis days I could handle a straight key with the best of them, still can do a passable job. But anyone who even suggests "tapping" out code with a key clearly does not know how CW is sent with a key, or he wouldn't even hint in passing of such a thing. "Tapping" a key is the mark of a rank beginner and you should know that is totally incorrect if if you really know anything about CW at all. No wonder you never got above 10 or 12 wpm. You GRASP a key knob with thumb and two fingers and *actuate* it to form characters.

From 963548884273898243
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 09:12:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 16:11:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9aa8ao$1e0$1@208.207.71.158>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<3AC836D3.35A5C3B0@IEEE.org> <9a8ta2$5sq$4@208.207.71.141>
<3AC938BF.D84D5C7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.158
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18492
```

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  Typically, you now try to change the meaning of the word to cover your
> > "mistake".
>
> What mistake? Straight keys make tapping sounds. The stock Bencher
> straight key makes a tapping sound every time the key is depressed
> or relaxed. Have you forgotten what straight keys sound like, Dick?


  Bullshit Cecil, he said "tapping out code" and that is wrong, wrong,
wrong. Only after he and *you* were called onit did you change your
story, as always.
```

```
From -4051596612159388357
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 09:16:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 54
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Jz1y6.2263$XV.392702@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:14:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986228073 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 09:14:33 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 09:14:33 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18493

but it certainly stops people from being able to operate on hf (a rather
arbitrary restriction i might add)

and as mentioned ... I do carry a 12wpm as well as my advanced ... I am sure
if some sort of device went off that specifically targetted all those hf
radios who have ssb cap. and destroyed them there would be a need for it ...

but in reality ... on land there is not a need for >100km emergency traffic

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > ve3tel, ve3mpc, ve3two, all have them ... heck even my own station has a

> > 1.2kw generator here at home
> >
>
>
> And how many do you see sitting unused out at repeater sites? darn few.
> Best to haul one to the site when needed.
>
> The entire thrust here is to make the singular point that CW is an
> ADDED capability, not intended in any way to replace repeaters, HF SSB
> nor any other mode that anyone has available, especially one that is
> efficient and easily used. What you people who disdain CW don't
> understand it what others her have experienced- there are many times
> that come along when nothing else that is available will dothe job.
> I know that techies don't even like to think about it, _Cecil is the
> best evidence- but it happens. Regularly.
> As time progresses and more of the later modes become much more
> widespread it easily follows that these incidents would be lessened, as
> has already occurred in
> the more advanced areas where technology is msor affordable. Much of the
> world does NOT have that sort of luxury. By your definition we should
> just ignore distress calls from others who may not have available more
> modern modes. There will be no choice whem no hams are available who
> bothered to learn to use CW.
> That alone is enough reason to keep a CW requirement for the future. The
> world is just not there yet. TGhere are MANY areas of the world where
> even a CW rig is not availble and would be considered a highly
> desireable technical communications asset.

From -4935165846881878914
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 09:16:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa7b7$s4g$1@208.207.71.158>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <qA1y6.2267$XV.391989@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

```
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:15:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986228118 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 09:15:18
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 09:15:18 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18494

give me one good reason I would need to communicate between here and say
toronto (450-500km's) in an emergency?

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9aa7b7$s4g$1@208.207.71.158...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > And I'm sure there was ample need to be able to talk several hundred
> > kilometers ... after all noone within range of a MOBILE station couldn't
> > help eh ... gimme a break ... a dipole even at 1/2 wave will give you
> > sufficient gain to be able to communicate 1-200 km's on ssb with no
trouble.
> >
>
>
> Rob, you have all the answers...but unfortunately you have no clue as to
> the questions.




From 3761734743056418649
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 09:18:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
```

<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93843.CD5C81D@IEEE.org> <9aa87b$1e0$0@208.207.71.158>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 46
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <SB1y6.2277$XV.395086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:16:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986228210 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 09:16:50
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 09:16:50 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18495

My key doesn't have a knob ... it is a paddle ... 2 fingers only ... and it
goes tap tap tap tap tap

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/--\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
--/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9aa87b$1e0$0@208.207.71.158...
>
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > AS mentioned previously ... anyone who has used a straight key knows
that
> > > > the key makes a tapping sound when depressed
> > >
> > > Yeah, right! Both you and Cecil get caught sending code in the very
> > > fashion of pre-Novices, tapping on a key instead of doing it in a
> > > correct manner ...
> >
> > Ahhhhhh, so a straight key is not the "correct manner".
>

>
>
>
>   NO, NO, NO, a thousand times NO!!!!! In my pre-arthiritis days I could
> handle a straight key with the best of them, still can do a passable
> job. But anyone who even suggests "tapping" out code with a key clearly
> does not know how CW is sent with a key, or he wouldn't even hint in
> passing of such a thing. "Tapping" a key is the mark of a rank beginner
> and you should know that is totally incorrect if if you really know
> anything about CW at all. No wonder you never got above 10 or 12 wpm.
> You GRASP a key knob with thumb and two fingers and *actuate* it to
> form characters.

```
From -7461670319409012455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 09:20:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<3AC836D3.35A5C3B0@IEEE.org> <9a8ta2$5sq$4@208.207.71.141>
<3AC938BF.D84D5C7@IEEE.org> <9aa8ao$1e0$1@208.207.71.158>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <aD1y6.2285$XV.396232@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:18:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986228294 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 09:18:14
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 09:18:14 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18496
```

well the actual action of sendign the morse (after all you dont actually send cw ... it is the MODE that hte morse code is sent on) is when the two contacts touch ... they tap when they touch .. .enough said

--

```
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                      |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9aa8ao$1e0$1@208.207.71.158...
>
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >  Typically, you now try to change the meaning of the word to cover
your
> > > "mistake".
> >
> > What mistake? Straight keys make tapping sounds. The stock Bencher
> > straight key makes a tapping sound every time the key is depressed
> > or relaxed. Have you forgotten what straight keys sound like, Dick?
>
>
>   Bullshit Cecil, he said "tapping out code" and that is wrong, wrong,
> wrong. Only after he and *you* were called onit did you change your
> story, as always.




From -3049445628675619386
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 09:20:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<3AC9366A.BD0CD564@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
```

```
Lines: 41
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <zE1y6.2292$XV.397811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:19:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986228383 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 09:19:43
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 09:19:43 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18497

my mobile station itself has a 3w solar panel in it to keep the battery
topped off (since it isn't attahed to the starting battery at all) ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC9366A.BD0CD564@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > >
> > > Bingo ... besides ... whoever heard of a repeater who didn't have a
> > > generator onsite to kick in after 110 was unavailable ... after all
who are
> > > running htese repeaters ?
> >
> > Yeah, come on Cecil, rescue him with "hints". He sure needs it.
> >
> > Ham radio repeaters with a backup generator??? Hahahahahahaha
>
> Some of the mountain top repeaters are solar powered so I don't
> see why you are laughing. Our local repeater is designed such
> that anyone with a vehicle and a pair of jumper cables can get
> the repeater back on the air. A little planning and ingenuity
> go a long way in keeping electronic systems operational.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
```

> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

```
From 7304671548905261747
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 09:22:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
<%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC560BB.233DB6AC@ovis.net>
<Wvix6.171340$p66.50162020@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC7C241.64D2AB47@ovis.net>
<XTQx6.184711$p66.54369668@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC879E3.DE9ABC66@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <uG1y6.2303$XV.400395@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:21:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986228506 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 09:21:46
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 09:21:46 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18498
```

they certainly enjoy busting in on my qso's because they disagree with what I say and quopte bible babble to me ... surprisingly ... I don't hear them much on 80 or 40 anymore

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\

```
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-----------------------------------------------------/
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AC879E3.DE9ABC66@ovis.net...
> Rob St.Denis wrote:
> >
> > never said i hated americans (notce i didnt use yank ... you did) ...
just
> > sick of those bible thumpers out there telling me how im going to hell
>
> It makes little sense that there would be more of them on 10m than any
> other band.  I don't believe that any of those individuals described is
> addressing you directly. The little VFO tuning knob does wonders for
> ensuring that you are able to avoid listening.
>
> Dave K8MN




From -5056746478642193179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 10:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 12:00:53 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a6.ec
X-Server-Date: 2 Apr 2001 16:59:14 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18499

--

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > ve3tel, ve3mpc, ve3two, all have them ... heck even my own station has a
> > 1.2kw generator here at home
> >
>
>
> And how many do you see sitting unused out at repeater sites? darn few.
> Best to haul one to the site when needed.
>
> The entire thrust here is to make the singular point that CW is an
> ADDED capability, not intended in any way to replace repeaters, HF SSB
> nor any other mode that anyone has available, especially one that is
> efficient and easily used. What you people who disdain CW don't
> understand it what others her have experienced- there are many times
> that come along when nothing else that is available will dothe job.
> I know that techies don't even like to think about it, _Cecil is the
> best evidence- but it happens. Regularly.
> As time progresses and more of the later modes become much more
> widespread it easily follows that these incidents would be lessened, as
> has already occurred in
> the more advanced areas where technology is msor affordable. Much of the
> world does NOT have that sort of luxury. By your definition we should
> just ignore distress calls from others who may not have available more
> modern modes. There will be no choice whem no hams are available who
> bothered to learn to use CW.
> That alone is enough reason to keep a CW requirement for the future. The
> world is just not there yet. TGhere are MANY areas of the world where
> even a CW rig is not availble and would be considered a highly
> desireable technical communications asset.

Ok. Tonight as you are roaming the bands looking for some DX you hear a
distress call from Paraguay. It is from a guy in a grass hut that has no
other form of communication. His situation is of an urgent medical nature.
Please describe what you do. This is a serious question. Please answer
seriously.

From -5899212702598453621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 10:35:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 12:36:16 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <9aad7d$m4j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a6.ec
X-Server-Date: 2 Apr 2001 17:34:37 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18500



--


"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com...
> On 2 Apr 2001 04:07:14 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >>
> >> Bingo ... besides ... whoever heard of a repeater who didn't have a
> >> generator onsite to kick in after 110 was unavailable ... after all who
are
> >> running htese repeaters ?
> >
> >
> >  Yeah, come on Cecil, rescue him with "hints". He sure needs it.
> >
```

> >Ham radio repeaters with a backup generator??? Hahahahahahaha
> >
> Nowhere else in ham radio will you find comms so dependent on an
> infrastructure as these shack-on--belt types need. And they call it
> "ham radio".
> >
> w3rv
>

Please describe the backup power source you have in your shack that allows
high power HF comms in a blackout. How long can you operate before needing
either grid power or a generator? I am using 2 deepcycle batteries which
will allow me to run a 2M 50W packet station, a 2M 10W simplex station to
connect to County EMS, a 6M 10W ssb radio for communications with the 6M net
and a 50W 2M/440 Dual band radio which connects me to both the 2M and 440
nets for about 48 hours continuous before needing a charge.. Another 7AH
sealed lead acid battery will power 2 fluorescent lights for several days
before needing charged. Since we run 2 hour shifts as NCS I can pretty
safely double that for actual use run time since I will only be operating 12
hours a day. The system is always running off of the battery which is being
charged constantly with at least a maintenance charge so I don't have to do
anything to switch over to backup power. As for needing the repeater
infrastructure, I can hit all but 1 repeater on low(5-10W) and can pretty
much cover the area using simplex and high (45-50W) power and I don't
believe there has ever been a 13 county blackout.
I look forward to hearing about your power setup. I realize you were talking
about the repeater but that is only one piece of it.

```
From 6873517693382592982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 10:37:31 PST
Message-ID: <3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:36:55 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.71
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986232625
```

64.240.47.71 (2 Apr 2001 11:30:25 -0600)
Lines: 48
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18501

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I've owned a half dozen DSP units designed for ham radio and none was
> worth keeping. I boubht a Icom 756 partly because of its DSP and find
> that it is rarely beneficial on the air.

You're showing your ignorance again, Dick. DSP filtering and
DSP decoding are separate functions. CW decoding is what we
are discussing and I know of no transceiver, including your 756,
that will decode Morse code. Please educate yourself on the
subject before you embarrass yourself any further.

> > And if I spent months designing a system
> > that could copy CW as well as the average ham, it still wouldn't be
> > nearly as good as PACTOR II.
>
> Indeed, and no one ever said otherwise.

Indeed, that's why no one has wasted his time doing it. You are free
to waste your time if you really want that function done, but don't
expect me to waste my time doing it for you.

> YOU are the
> advocate of dumping aht ARS's most basic radiocommunications capability,
> not me.

Liar, liar, pants on fire. I want to dump the Morse code *test*, not
the CW mode. That you believe CW cannot stand on its own merits without
a governmental affirmative action program just shows how little faith
you have in the mode you advocate. I, OTOH, believe that CW will survive
and thrive on its own merits but if it cannot survive on its own merits,
it doesn't deserve a governmental welfare program to prop it up.

> And make no mistake, not many years after there is no CW test
> whatever required, it will be completely gone as a viable communications
> asset.

Your sky-is-falling scare tactics haven't worked so far, Dick. Nothing
you have predicted so far has come to pass. Where are hoards of CBers
and freebanders that you predicted? CW will not be gone. CW will outlive
you and me. (How can you expect anyone to take you seriously when you
have never been right?)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2801956768982441761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 10:45:19 PST
Message-ID: <3AC96346.82EE2CC@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:44:38 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.71
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986233088
64.240.47.71 (2 Apr 2001 11:38:08 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED

Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18502

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I know that techies don't even like to think about it, _Cecil is the
> best evidence- but it happens.

Please stop lying about what I said, Dick. Because CW could be useful in an emergency is not a good reason to force it down the throat of every HF ham. Why can't the hams who want to use CW use it and leave the other hams free to choose their own emegency preparation methods? What is wrong with freedom of choice of modes in a hobby in a free country? What is wrong with live and let live? How would you like for me to try to dictate your personal methods of preparation for emergencies?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3154626705859243644
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 10:51:38 PST
Message-ID: <3AC964C8.7AFD3B62@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:51:04 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93843.CD5C81D@IEEE.org> <9aa87b$1e0$0@208.207.71.158>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.71
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986233474 64.240.47.71 (2 Apr 2001 11:44:34 -0600)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!10.10.220.74.MISMATCH!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18503

Dick Carroll wrote:
>   NO, NO, NO, a thousand times NO!!!!! In my pre-arthiritis days I could
> handle a straight key with the best of them, still can do a passable
> job. But anyone who even suggests "tapping" out code with a key clearly
> does not know how CW is sent with a key, or he wouldn't even hint in
> passing of such a thing. "Tapping" a key is the mark of a rank beginner
> and you should know that is totally incorrect if if you really know
> anything about CW at all. No wonder you never got above 10 or 12 wpm.
> You GRASP a key knob with thumb and two fingers and *actuate* it to
> form characters.

You are an absolute moron, Dick. It is not the hand that does the tapping. It is the key that does the tapping. The hand and the key act as a single unit. "Tapping out CW" means the tapping sound that comes from the staight key. You can observe WWII CW operators tapping out CW almost any night of the week on The History Channel. Are you too deaf to hear a key tapping?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2682092071332546974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 10:54:14 PST
Message-ID: <3AC96567.E31055C@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:53:43 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?

References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93843.CD5C81D@IEEE.org> <9aa87b$1e0$0@208.207.71.158>
<SB1y6.2277$XV.395086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.71
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986233631
64.240.47.71 (2 Apr 2001 11:47:11 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!10.10.220.73.MISMATCH!sn-xit-03!
supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-
sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18504

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> My key doesn't have a knob ... it is a paddle ... 2 fingers only ... and it
> goes tap tap tap tap tap

My straight key does have a knob. My straight key goes
tap tap tap tap   tap tap.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6256830175148554012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 10:57:52 PST
Message-ID: <3AC96629.6250B390@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 00:56:57 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<3AC836D3.35A5C3B0@IEEE.org> <9a8ta2$5sq$4@208.207.71.141>
<3AC938BF.D84D5C7@IEEE.org> <9aa8ao$1e0$1@208.207.71.158>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.71
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986233827
64.240.47.71 (2 Apr 2001 11:50:27 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!10.10.220.74.MISMATCH!sn-xit-04!
supernews.com!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18505

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > What mistake? Straight keys make tapping sounds. The stock Bencher
> > straight key makes a tapping sound every time the key is depressed
> > or relaxed. Have you forgotten what straight keys sound like, Dick?
>
>   Bullshit Cecil, he said "tapping out code" and that is wrong, wrong,
> wrong. Only after he and *you* were called onit did you change your
> story, as always.

"Tapping out code" means the sound made by a straight key while code
is being sent. Would you like me to send you a tape of my straight
key making tapping sounds? What an absolute doofus you are.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8886187832859942647
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4fa6241499165e90
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,4fa6241499165e90
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,4fa6241499165e90
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,4fa6241499165e90
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4fa6241499165e90
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 11:11:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!10.10.220.74.MISMATCH!sn-xit-04!
supernews.com!feed.textport.net!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC8C11F.6A39C2DE@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Visit - www.ab7sl.com -
References: <c74w6.1364$aP5.121617@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AC18801.4BB6D461@earthlink.net> <9a5jip$3pl5c$1@ID-31.news.dfncis.de>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 31
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 18:11:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.110.104
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986235066 209.244.110.104 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 11:11:06 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 11:11:06 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20205
rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2344 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2214
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14545 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18506

Peter Lemken wrote:

> Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> >
> > Charles Johnston wrote:
> >
> > > Lots of STUFF to do at www.ab7sl.com such as...
> >
> > Oh, no, I can feel Peter's fingers itching already...

>
> Know what, Zoran; I give up.
>
> There are people who are thoughtless drones, no matter how hard you hit
> them with a hammer. Charles is one of them. He doesn't care about usenet
> and he doesn't care about other people's interest in this fabulous
> medium. He sees it as the perfect place to run his adv^H^H^HSPAM and
> think that it's just great.

To each his own, Peter. Spam will be with us no matter what, and probably the best way to deal with it is a filter. I tend to not use filters in newsgroups because, to quote a friend of mine who does the same: "I like to have the latest figure of the index of stupidity".

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

```
From -2693925008063634784
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 11:31:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 65
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 18:30:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986236255 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 11:30:55 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 11:30:55 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18507

well since i would be in no position to help ... i would leave the band
quiet so as to allow other stations to help out

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/--\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
--/
"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
>
>
> --
>
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158...
> >
> >
> > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > >
> > > ve3tel, ve3mpc, ve3two, all have them ... heck even my own station has
a
> > > 1.2kw generator here at home
> > >
> >
> >
> > And how many do you see sitting unused out at repeater sites? darn few.
> > Best to haul one to the site when needed.
> >
> > The entire thrust here is to make the singular point that CW is an
> > ADDED capability, not intended in any way to replace repeaters, HF SSB
> > nor any other mode that anyone has available, especially one that is
> > efficient and easily used. What you people who disdain CW don't
> > understand it what others her have experienced- there are many times
> > that come along when nothing else that is available will dothe job.
> > I know that techies don't even like to think about it, _Cecil is the
> > best evidence- but it happens. Regularly.
> > As time progresses and more of the later modes become much more
> > widespread it easily follows that these incidents would be lessened, as

```
> > has already occurred in
> > the more advanced areas where technology is msor affordable. Much of the
> > world does NOT have that sort of luxury. By your definition we should
> > just ignore distress calls from others who may not have available more
> > modern modes. There will be no choice whem no hams are available who
> > bothered to learn to use CW.
> > That alone is enough reason to keep a CW requirement for the future. The
> > world is just not there yet. TGhere are MANY areas of the world where
> > even a CW rig is not availble and would be considered a highly
> > desireable technical communications asset.
>
> Ok.  Tonight as you are roaming the bands looking for some DX you hear a
> distress call from Paraguay.  It is from a guy in a grass hut that has no
> other form of communication.  His situation is of an urgent  medical
nature.
> Please describe what you do.  This is a serious question.  Please answer
> seriously.
>
>
```

```
From -6077635423820370704
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 11:39:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com> <9aad7d$m4j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 83
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <YG3y6.2886$XV.562037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 18:38:48 GMT
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986236728 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 11:38:48 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 11:38:48 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18508

I use 11 1.2V 800aH cells trickle charged off a small 3W solar cell. If need be, I can unpack a 500W (4 cell) solar station from a box I keep for when I do field day type excercises. Seeing as how my station runs about 100W output (thus a 21A draw at 13.8V ... 289.8W ... 300 to be nice ...). And if the earth is covered in a blanket of dust so as to make my solar station unusable ... i can drag the generator out of the garage and use it to power most of the house!.

The solar station cost me 750$ canadian ... including the voltage regulation. The batteries cost abouyt 120$ a piece and the trickle charger cost about 100$ So a cost of about 1000$ total ... not bad for emergencies ... I must add Even when its cloudy I still can get on average 400W from the solar cells.

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9aad7d$m4j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
>
>
> --
>
>
> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
> news:3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com...
> > On 2 Apr 2001 04:07:14 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > >>
> > >> Bingo ... besides ... whoever heard of a repeater who didn't have a
> > >> generator onsite to kick in after 110 was unavailable ... after all
who
> are
> > >> running htese repeaters ?
> > >
> > >

> > > Yeah, come on Cecil, rescue him with "hints". He sure needs it.
> > >
> > >Ham radio repeaters with a backup generator??? Hahahahahahaha
> > >
> > Nowhere else in ham radio will you find comms so dependent on an
> > infrastructure as these shack-on--belt types need. And they call it
> > "ham radio".
> > >
> > w3rv
> >
> Please describe the backup power source you have in your shack that allows
> high power HF comms in a blackout. How long can you operate before
needing
> either grid power or a generator? I am using 2 deepcycle batteries which
> will allow me to run a 2M 50W packet station, a 2M 10W simplex station to
> connect to County EMS, a 6M 10W ssb radio for communications with the 6M
net
> and a 50W 2M/440 Dual band radio which connects me to both the 2M and 440
> nets for about 48 hours continuous before needing a charge.. Another 7AH
> sealed lead acid battery will power 2 fluorescent lights for several days
> before needing charged. Since we run 2 hour shifts as NCS I can pretty
> safely double that for actual use run time since I will only be operating
12
> hours a day. The system is always running off of the battery which is
being
> charged constantly with at least a maintenance charge so I don't have to
do
> anything to switch over to backup power. As for needing the repeater
> infrastructure, I can hit all but 1 repeater on low(5-10W) and can pretty
> much cover the area using simplex and high (45-50W) power and I don't
> believe there has ever been a 13 county blackout.
> I look forward to hearing about your power setup. I realize you were
talking
> about the repeater but that is only one piece of it.
>
>

From -7947109047497282019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 11:44:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>

```
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93843.CD5C81D@IEEE.org> <9aa87b$1e0$0@208.207.71.158>
<SB1y6.2277$XV.395086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC96567.E31055C@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <xL3y6.2922$XV.569686@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 18:43:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986237021 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 11:43:41
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 11:43:41 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18509

and for that matter even the llambic keys make a tapping noise

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC96567.E31055C@IEEE.org...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > My key doesn't have a knob ... it is a paddle ... 2 fingers only ... and
it
> > goes tap tap tap tap tap
>
> My straight key does have a knob. My straight key goes
> tap tap tap tap   tap tap.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 945157654151732068
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 12:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 2 Apr 2001 19:20:37 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9aaje5$hji$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3AC7103C.8743C5D0@cmcorp.com> <3ac7921c.148132512@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix3.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 986239237 18034 128.171.44.52 (2 Apr 2001 19:20:37 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Apr 2001 19:20:37 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18510 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14546

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@dvol> wrote:

> "Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com> wrote:
>>Where did I put my troll meter???

>NEVER come into this NG without yer trollmeter up and running . .

```
        0  2  4  6  8  10
                       /
                      /
                     /
                    /
                   /
                  /
                 /
                /
         TROLL-O-METER
```

From -6213496647876833214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 13:14:04 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 20:12:14 GMT

Message-ID: <3ac8dc8b.44267672@news2.dvol.com>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
<%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC560BB.233DB6AC@ovis.net>
<Wvix6.171340$p66.50162020@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC7C241.64D2AB47@ovis.net>
<XTQx6.184711$p66.54369668@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC879E3.DE9ABC66@ovis.net>
<uG1y6.2303$XV.400395@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.70
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.70
X-Trace: 2 Apr 2001 15:09:29 -0500, 64.24.17.70
Lines: 13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!panix!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18511

On Mon, 02 Apr 2001 16:21:46 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>they certainly enjoy busting in on my qso's because they disagree with what
>I say and quopte bible babble to me ... surprisingly ... I don't hear them
>much on 80 or 40 anymore
>

Haven't heard a soul-saver on cw in the almost half-century I've been
on the air. Ever.

>--
>Rob St.Denis
>

w3rv

From 5492136454068970947
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 20:04:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<PX_x6.894$XV.197086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <q0by6.955$al2.60602@news2.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <W2by6.5859$XV.1662859@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 03:02:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986266934 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 20:02:14 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 20:02:14 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18562

its a flat paddle big enough for 2 fingers ... i must add not every key is
the same

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:q0by6.955$al2.60602@news2.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > everyone knows your wrist must be level with the lever and your index
and
> > middle fingers onthe paddle you yutz
> >
>
> I usually just bang my fist on the knob at about 20 wpm in an attempt to
get
> out the right letters. Sorta like a stapler :-)) However, the last time
I
> checked, my straight key didn't have a paddle on it. I guess they changed
> it while I wasn't looking. If you are actually referring to a set of
> paddles, then that would be thumb dits and finger dahs. Or ... I just
don't
> know what I'm talking about and I too am a "Yutz" Unless, of course, I'm
> correct.

>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>

From -5006188546055472677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 20:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93843.CD5C81D@IEEE.org> <9aa87b$1e0$0@208.207.71.158>
<SB1y6.2277$XV.395086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <e6by6.961$al2.61472@news2.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 23:12:38 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.214
X-Trace: news2.atl 986267146 216.76.74.214 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 23:05:46 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 23:05:46 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18563

"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...

> My key doesn't have a knob ... it is a paddle ... 2 fingers only ... and
it
> goes tap tap tap tap tap
>

I'm curious. What brand of straight key do you own? I've never seen a straight key that didn't have a knob on it. I have both a Bencher straight key and paddles, one has a knob on it and one doesn't. Feel free to guess which one does and which one doesn't. I must be honest, though. Neither one of them goes tap tap tap. That's probably because I control both the up and down motion of the straight key to form the letters and the paddles do their work in silence.

Arnie -
KT4ST

From -2198344311108968668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 20:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93843.CD5C81D@IEEE.org> <9aa87b$1e0$0@208.207.71.158>
<SB1y6.2277$XV.395086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC96567.E31055C@IEEE.org>
<xL3y6.2922$XV.569686@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%8by6.963$al2.60989@news2.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 23:15:44 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.214
X-Trace: news2.atl 986267323 216.76.74.214 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 23:08:43 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 23:08:43 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18564

"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...

> and for that matter even the llambic keys make a tapping noise
>

Are you referring to iambic? That means squeeze. Paddles should not be tapped to form letters, they should be squeezed to form letters. Aren't you glad we are here to elmer you. You are a very lucky Ham indeed.

Arnie -
KT4ST

From -3354135510621847673
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 20:16:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl>
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 23:20:54 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.214
X-Trace: news2.atl 986267643 216.76.74.214 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 23:14:03 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 23:14:03 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18565

"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...

> It is the same as saying why doesnt everyone have a profficiency in
> pilotting an air craft ... may come in handy ... not really ...
>

Yes, and every doctor should have that capability too. Just in case they need to fly a patient to another hospital. We are Hams, Rob. We should use the tools available to us as Hams. Having a proficiency in as many modes as possible will only enhance your ability as a Ham. In other words, it's a good thing, not a bad thing.

Arnie -
KT4ST

From 9061140353503451707

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 20:16:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC931EE.66429790@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
<%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC560BB.233DB6AC@ovis.net>
<Wvix6.171340$p66.50162020@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC7C241.64D2AB47@ovis.net>
<XTQx6.184711$p66.54369668@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC879E3.DE9ABC66@ovis.net>
<uG1y6.2303$XV.400395@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 03:14:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.48
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986267654 207.0.147.48 (Mon, 02 Apr 2001 20:14:14 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 20:14:14 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18566

Rob St.Denis wrote:
>
> they certainly enjoy busting in on my qso's because they disagree with what
> I say and quopte bible babble to me ... surprisingly ... I don't hear them
> much on 80 or 40 anymore

You must have a gift. I've never had anyone insert himself into a QSO I
was having and quote scripture to me. Maybe you just come off as a guy
who is in desparate need of salvation.

Dave K8MN
> > > never said i hated americans (notce i didnt use yank ... you did) ...

```
> just
> > > sick of those bible thumpers out there telling me how im going to hell
> >
> > It makes little sense that there would be more of them on 10m than any
> > other band.  I don't believe that any of those individuals described is
> > addressing you directly. The little VFO tuning knob does wonders for
> > ensuring that you are able to avoid listening.
> >
> > Dave K8MN


From 2847802537796900375
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4a8710df0e8abef2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 21:14:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: Rick Tannehill <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: BOYCOTT KENWOOD! Confirmed Anti-coders!
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:10:32 -0700
Organization: R.L. Tannehill, P.E. & Assoc.
Message-ID: <3AC94D38.F6C00ADB@firstinter.net>
Reply-To: rickw7rt@firstinter.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <3AC56AAE.3CD1ADAC@gtel.net> <9a88ie$pps$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 38
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18567



"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> Robert <> wrote in message news:3AC56AAE.3CD1ADAC@gtel.net...
>
> > BOYCOTT KENWOOD!
> >
> > Confirmed Anti-Coders!
> >
> > Here is an equipment manufacturer lobbying the FCC to drop CW testing.
>
> ......
>
> > Since Kenwood has now entered the political arena, they are now subject
> > to political response.  WE DON'T NEED KENWOOD!
> >
> > DO NOT BUY KENWOOD GEAR!
> >
> > SIGN YOUR CALL WITH "BOYCOTT KENWOOD!"
>
> To help rrap participants support this call to action, I have
> decided to make the following service available:
>
> Ship all your offending Kenwood gear to me and I will see to
> its proper and prompt disposal.  My address is good in the callbook.

I bet you even have some specific models in mind!
72 2/3rds
Rick Tannehill
Glendale too

>
> 73rds
>
> --
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB     k7jeb@arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona


From 4194683976530947939
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 21:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 2 Apr 2001 23:20:42 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <9abj0h$mab$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>
<q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.25
X-Server-Date: 3 Apr 2001 04:19:29 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18568
```

--

"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > are you telling me that within a 300 mile radius of your station all
there
> > was was destruction ... not a single telephone or person to 3rd party
> calls
> > through telephone ... gimme a break
> >
>
> I already said that the landlines were very busy and that cell phones were
> useless from early on. We made a decision to use the most viable
> communication method available to us. It worked out just fine. It is
quite
> clear to me that you don't have the ability to see through your own
> prejudice against CW. I made my point and I will leave it at that. The
> next time we have a need for CW in our area, we will use it (regardless of
> your esteemed opinion). You, on the other hand, can "tap" out whatever
you
> like on the case of your HT.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>

I'm not going to argue against CW here but I have a question. IF you had
had a packet rig set up, would it have worked? Would it have been able to
get through the noise? I ask because I often get packets from stations that
I can't hear at all over a speaker.

From 4867138867052384327
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 21:22:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Bootlegger using my call
Date: 3 Apr 2001 04:05:04 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 6

```
Message-ID: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 986270704 19988 128.171.44.55 (3 Apr 2001 04:05:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 3 Apr 2001 04:05:04 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18569
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2222
```

I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number! I would greatly appreciate anyone hearing him taking a beam heading so we can attempt to triangulate an approximate QTH of this fellow.

73, Jeff KH6O

```
From 8568954475271911086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 22:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 3 Apr 2001 05:15:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9abm8t$97g$0@208.207.71.160>
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com> <9a62ol$het$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<9a7p8j$150$0@208.206.142.100> <3ac92c12.1623074@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.160
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18570
```

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 1 Apr 2001 17:41:39 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >KB9WFK wrote:
> >>
> >> Our 'local'  multi-county Skywarn, OEM, NWS and ESDA have 2M, 440 and 220
> >> rigs set up.  Not a single HF rig...Not one key....No antenna for HF...
> >> This includes 13 counties that I know of and work with.
```

> >
> > Yep, that's pretty well standard for local EC groups. Works out pretty
> >well, too
> >as long as you have no need for longer distance comms.
>
> How about giving us an example of when/why HF would be
> needed.

Not everyone lives in a dinky little state. Here it's 150 miles to the
state capitol and twice that to the other side. The National Guard had,
last I knew, a
HF SSB net that met daily. The state ham SSB net meets daily to pass
whatever
traffic they can dream up just for practice. And if/when the New Madrid
fault goes off, I expect some of these practice nets will get some
realtime use.

From -6848423016768464252
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 22:18:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 3 Apr 2001 05:17:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9abme7$97g$1@208.207.71.160>
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com> <HNCx6.4134$aD4.258244@news2.atl>
<3ac92c67.1708222@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.160
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18571

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Sun, 1 Apr 2001 05:53:04 -0400, "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
> wrote:
>
> >"Bill Sohl" wrote in part ...

> >
> >> Even if that's true (it isn't by the way, it is but one of several
> >> reasons for the ARS as stated in Part 97.1), the use of CW
> >> in ALL emergency comms has ended. I have yet to see one
> >> example of where CW use is still being integrated into any
> >> emergency preparedness effort by any recognized public
> >> or amateur group.
> >
> >Apparently, you havent been to the Chatham County EOC lately. CW is indeed
> >an integral part of the ARS station there.
>
> That's a total of ONE so far. Any others?
>

Till you were swatted with that one yoiu swore that NO ONE was using CW
for disater planning. It woulkdn't matter how many you're told about,
it'l never
satisfy you. Those who forsee the possible need, and can USE CW will be
the people
who plan far enough head to keep it. Of course in NJ you can use a
handietalkie.

From 7158284380152232992
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 22:25:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 3 Apr 2001 05:24:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9abmqi$97g$2@208.207.71.160>
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com> <9a62ol$het$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<9a7p8j$150$0@208.206.142.100> <3ac92c12.1623074@news.mindspring.com>
<vIay6.949$al2.60117@news2.atl>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.160
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18572

Arnie Macy wrote:
>
> "Bill Sohl" wrote ...
>
> > How about giving us an example of when/why HF would be
> > needed.
> >
>
> It seems to me that "local" communications is all the rage these days. Not
> much thought is given to the need for HF to communicate with State or
> National EOCs. When Hurricane Floyd was here, we used local VHF through
> simplex and repeaters to report to the county EOC, who then used HF to
> communicate with the State EOC, giving them valuable information for use in
> planning and response. Since the Savannah area had to be evacuated,
> communication with shelters in Macon and even Atlanta was a vital part of
> the operation. HF communication was the obvious choice. Can you imagine
> trying to maintain a repeater network to communicate when HF can handle that
> with two radios and two antennas? Why re-invent the wheel when it works
> just fine?
>

And you don't have to wonder what will be left of the repeater network
after the big wind stops blowing. String up a new HF wire and go back to
it.

Dick

From 3467912289621281998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 22:56:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 00:56:27 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9aboj8$1au$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3AC67B0B.50C26203@IEEE.org> <20010402215510.24059.00001623@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.25
X-Server-Date: 3 Apr 2001 05:54:48 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18573

--

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010402215510.24059.00001623@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <3AC67B0B.50C26203@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA
<Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >
> >Dick Carroll wrote:
> >>   By your logic requiring anything of anyone makes no sense.
> >
> >Certain requirements are necessary but lots of things can be left
> >to the discretion of the individual citizen. Choice of ice cream
> >and choice of modes in amateur radio are a couple of those things.
>
> Cecil:
>
> Don't look now, but hams have always had choice of modes they wish
> to employ. In fact, when code testing at adequate proficiency levels was
a
> requirement for HF licensing, their "choices" were greatly enhanced.
> The only thing the elimination of adequate code testing has done is
> convince potential hams that this hobby is not deserving of their time or
> effort, since little is required of them to enter it.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Please explain how 1 year ago there were more choices available. What was
available then that is not now?

From -3123824720403230768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 23:20:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 01:19:59 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9abq0q$gqd$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>

References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net> <9aba0s$54n$0@208.206.142.78>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.25
X-Server-Date: 3 Apr 2001 06:19:06 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18574

Thank you for the direct answer.   I'm not here to incite argument about this. I have my own opinions and enjoy asking relative questions that help to make my opinion more informed.   I may or may not change my opinion but answers such as this go a long way toward gaining my respect for those that know CW and utilize it in this manner. Many of the other arguments have immediately turned me off as being purely emotional and from the attitude of ,"I'm better than you because of this". Those go directly into the trash without consideration. I do not in any way wish to see the mode die. In fact, I'm trying to get my ham club to start an education committee so classes can be started and maybe get some of the boy scouts and other youth groups into the picture, and this includes CW.

From -1506105448045543351
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 23:38:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 01:38:24 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 72
Message-ID: <9abqvc$661$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>

```
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com> <9aad7d$m4j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
<9abc9o$b5s$0@208.206.142.78>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.25
X-Server-Date: 3 Apr 2001 06:35:24 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18575



--



"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9abc9o$b5s$0@208.206.142.78...
>
>
> KB9WFK wrote:
> >
> > Please describe the backup power source you have in your shack that
allows
> > high power HF comms in a blackout.  How long can you operate before
needing
> > either grid power or a generator?  I am using 2 deepcycle batteries
which
> > will allow me to run a 2M 50W packet station, a 2M 10W simplex station
to
> > connect to County EMS, a 6M 10W ssb radio for communications with the 6M
net
> > and a 50W 2M/440 Dual band radio which connects me to both the 2M and
440
> > nets for about 48 hours continuous before needing a charge.. Another 7AH
> > sealed lead acid battery will power 2 fluorescent lights for several
days
> > before needing charged. Since we run 2 hour shifts as NCS I can pretty
> > safely double that for actual use run time since I will only be
operating 12
> > hours a day.  The system is always running off of the battery which is
being
> > charged constantly with at least a maintenance charge so I don't have to
do
> > anything to switch over to backup power.  As for needing the repeater
> > infrastructure, I can hit all but 1 repeater on low(5-10W) and can
pretty
```

> > much cover the area using simplex and high (45-50W) power and I don't
> > believe there has ever been a 13 county blackout.
> > I look forward to hearing about your power setup. I realize you were
talking
> > about the repeater but that is only one piece of it.
>
>
> That's impressive, you've done your homework and appear ready for a
> local emergency. Good show.
>
> I have a motor home with a 4 KW generator and a 40 gallon gasoline tank
> that I keep filled. It is equipped with antennas and wired for use as a
> ham radio station. I Also have a 12 volt bank of telephone central
> office wet cells in my shop that would power a 100 watt HF rig on any
> mode and a two meter rig for a LONG time, a week or two I'm sure.
>
> Dick W�EX

Thanks. That felt good to hear. I wish I was in a position to have a
generator for several reasons, but until I get out of an apartment I'm
afraid it really isn't an option. A barrel of gas and a generator don't
exactly go with a 1 bedroom apartment. PV cells...maybe.. Hopefully next
year if I can find a good place around here that allows antennas. I'm
having to rely on stealth for now. Not allowed any antennas and I have 3.
I think they only know about 1 of them and I explained Skywarn to one of the
complex managers and they seemed impressed. I guess enough that they
haven't made me take them down.
Did you get a deal on those batteries? Is it true what I have heard that
they 'can' be had for next to nothing or were those rare instances? Among
many other things, I'm trying to get the others in the club (mostly old
timers) to get something similar at their homes. I'm having better luck
with the new blood for some reason but at 32 I'm the 2nd youngest there. It
isn't quite bad enough that everyone needs to label their teeth or anything,
but in another 5 years.... hehehe.

From 816453830877044648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 23:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 01:42:55 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9abr94$mcl$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>

```
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<PX_x6.894$XV.197086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <q0by6.955$al2.60602@news2.atl>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a7.25
X-Server-Date: 3 Apr 2001 06:40:36 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18576



--



"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:q0by6.955$al2.60602@news2.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > everyone knows your wrist must be level with the lever and your index
and
> > middle fingers onthe paddle you yutz
> >
>
> I usually just bang my fist on the knob at about 20 wpm in an attempt to
get
> out the right letters.  Sorta like a stapler  :-))  However, the last time
I
> checked, my straight key didn't have a paddle on it.  I guess they changed
> it while I wasn't looking.  If you are actually referring to a set of
> paddles, then that would be thumb dits and finger dahs.  Or ... I just
don't
> know what I'm talking about and I too am a "Yutz"  Unless, of course, I'm
> correct.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
If I could bang out 20 wpm with that method I'd have no use for women.  Let
alone time for radio... :-)




From -8140279408190754799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1147a1,caace6cdd3ba4ae5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid1147a1,public
X-Google-Thread: f5341,caace6cdd3ba4ae5,start
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gidf5341,public
X-Google-Thread: f8110,caace6cdd3ba4ae5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8110,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,caace6cdd3ba4ae5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f64c6,caace6cdd3ba4ae5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf64c6,public
X-Google-Thread: 105207,caace6cdd3ba4ae5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid105207,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ed5f,caace6cdd3ba4ae5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ed5f,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 00:05:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!
news.tele.dk!130.133.1.3!fu-berlin.de!uni-berlin.de!async240-4.nas.onetel.net.UK!
not-for-mail
From: "G8ASO�" <g8aso@bin.com>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner.uk,japan.ham-
radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.radio.cb
Subject: POP3 email and free dial-up ISP
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 08:04:27 +0100
Organization: Laughingpoliceman.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9absls$4blaa$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de>
NNTP-Posting-Host: async240-4.nas.onetel.net.uk (212.67.100.240)
X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 986281469 4576586 212.67.100.240 (16 [67923])
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.pirate:4986 alt.radio.scanner.uk:5895
japan.ham-radio:111 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18577 uk.radio.amateur:21498
uk.rec.radio.cb:4452
```

http://www.laughingpoliceman.com

Our FREE (local call) ISP offers Internet dial-up with No passwords and No user names, you can even connect with your caller ID barred! Get stuck in now and do whatever you like! Coming soon - Email anyone from anyone, yes, pretend to be someone else - send large attachments to your enemies that will appear to be from anyone you desire. Two birds with one stone really!

LPWS free email now offers POP3 access, so you can set it up in your usual email program (Outlook Express for example) and have it check without logging in to the web based page.

Have fun, G8ASO [LPWS representative on Earth.]

```
From 1359648938405634464
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 00:44:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ACA278A.22FEA7AA@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 14:42:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC6BA71.3D603933@IEEE.org> <20010402215511.24059.00001624@nso-
md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.67
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986283331
64.240.47.67 (3 Apr 2001 01:35:31 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18579
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> What friend? What "cruel and malevolent harassment?" Provide names,
> quotes, and other proof, if you can.

What, and have you renew your harassment of him?

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -8135907823894563758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 00:44:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ACA26C1.21235222@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 14:38:41 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC67B0B.50C26203@IEEE.org> <20010402215510.24059.00001623@nso-
md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.67
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986283130
64.240.47.67 (3 Apr 2001 01:32:10 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
atl1-feed1.news.digex.net!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18578
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Don't look now, but hams have always had choice of modes they wish
> to employ.

HF hams don't. They must first pass a stand-alone skill exam on the fundamentals of one single mode. Only after that do they have any freedom of choice. What is it about "requirement" that you don't understand?

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6230312467302676567
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 00:58:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ACA2A7C.45DCB52@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 14:54:36 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
<3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org> <9abd06$csq$0@208.206.142.78>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.67
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986284085
64.240.47.67 (3 Apr 2001 01:48:05 -0600)
Lines: 54
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18580
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > DSP filtering and
> > DSP decoding are separate functions.
>
> So what else is news? I'm not talking about decoding CW with my IC756, ...

Of course, you are trying to change the topic which is that DSP techniques
can be used to decode Morse code. Those techniques are supported by theory.
The reason that nobody has done it is it would be a waste of MIPS to
choose Morse code as the coding scheme.

```
>  CW decoding is what we
> > are discussing
>
>  No, that's want YOU'RE discussing.

Well, since I brought the subject up, why are you trying to change the
subject?


> The kindly shut up about it, say what?

Sorry, Dick, you are not moderator of this newsgroup.

>  Sad part is you really believe that against mounds of evidence, right
> here on rrap.

The mounds of evidence exist only in your delusional mind, Dick. Unlike
you, I believe that CW has merits that will ensure its survival.

>  I, OTOH, believe that CW will survive
> > and thrive on its own merits but if it cannot survive on its own merits,
> > it doesn't deserve a governmental welfare program to prop it up.
>
> > Your sky-is-falling scare tactics haven't worked so far, Dick. Nothing
> > you have predicted so far has come to pass. Where are hoards of CBers
> > and freebanders that you predicted?
>
>  They're already here!!! In fact, you admitted to your own little piece
> of that action: "I talk to those guys when I can't find any hams to talk
> to".

So the hams who recently upgraded are the CBers and freebanders? Dick, how
do you face yourself in the mirror every morning? Why is it OK for you to
use CB and not for me to use CB?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

```
From 3080714113066039349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 01:03:35 PST
Message-ID: <3ACA2AEE.2FE7D20B@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 14:56:30 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
```

```
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>
<q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl>
<5_7y6.4072$XV.1075126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N38y6.1081$Rv.57303@news1.atl>
<WD8y6.4243$XV.1190350@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC9D2F8.6FB7B06E@IEEE.org>
<s3ay6.5274$XV.1430456@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.67
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986284199
64.240.47.67 (3 Apr 2001 01:49:59 -0600)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18581
```

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> you send me 3$ and i could pay for my tuition for next semester!

My tuition was $28 per semester at Texas A&M but that was 46 years ago.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4260480573379202688
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 01:12:06 PST
Message-ID: <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 15:06:41 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.67
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986284809 64.240.47.67 (3 Apr 2001 02:00:09 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18582 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2225

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
> phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!

I thought it was impossible to misbehave on CW. :-) I'll look
for him, Jeff. How will I know it's not you?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2270868173876294228
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900

X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 01:38:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
In-Reply-To: <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 13
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 08:36:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986286971 12.80.44.23 (Tue, 03 Apr
2001 08:36:11 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 08:36:11 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18583
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2226

On Tue, 3 Apr 2001, W6RCecilA wrote:
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
> > phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!
>
> I thought it was impossible to misbehave on CW. :-) I'll look
> for him, Jeff. How will I know it's not you?

It seems strange for someone who knows CW to bootleg someone's call, except in the case of a former amateur whose license was revoked....

Bootlegging usually is with the voice modes.

From 833616935100847368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: f64c6,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gidf64c6,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ed5f,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ed5f,public
X-Google-Thread: 1147a1,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gid1147a1,public
X-Google-Thread: f5341,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gidf5341,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-Thread: 105207,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gid105207,public
X-Google-Thread: f8110,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8110,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 02:31:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!newsfeed.icl.net!newspeer.clara.net!news.clara.net!news5-gui.server.ntli.net!ntli.net!news2-win.server.ntlworld.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner.uk,japan.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.radio.cb
References: <9absls$4blaa$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de>
Subject: Re: POP3 email and free dial-up ISP
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <kCgy6.499$rP3.67744@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 10:21:18 +0100
NNTP-Posting-Host: 213.104.108.18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ntlworld.com
X-Trace: news2-win.server.ntlworld.com 986289680 213.104.108.18 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 10:21:20 BST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 10:21:20 BST
Organization: ntlworld News Service
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.pirate:4988 alt.radio.scanner.uk:5898 japan.ham-radio:112 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18584 uk.radio.amateur:21504 uk.rec.radio.cb:4453

its that sort of behaviour that puts people off the the whole internet revolution

"sending large attatchements to your enemies"

grown up dont have enemies,only children in the school playground have that outlook,[and of course governments/political parties etc,who are still mainly children]

G8ASO� <g8aso@bin.com> wrote in message
news:9absls$4blaa$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de...

> http://www.laughingpoliceman.com
>
> Our FREE (local call) ISP offers Internet dial-up with No passwords and No user names, you can even connect with your caller ID barred! Get stuck in now and do whatever you like! Coming soon - Email anyone from anyone, yes, pretend to be someone else - send large attachments to your enemies that will appear to be from anyone you desire. Two birds with one stone really!
>

> LPWS free email now offers POP3 access, so you can set it up in your usual
> email program (Outlook Express for example) and have it check without
> logging in to the web based page.
>
>
> Have fun, G8ASO [LPWS representative on Earth.]
>
>

```
From 6040130311121399433
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 02:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 09:36:29 GMT
References: <3AC34C37.4955E8E9@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010403053629.07395.00000672@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18586
```

In article <3AC34C37.4955E8E9@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>More kids are killed in high school sports than in high school shootings.

Please provide your sources for that claim, Cecil. And we are concerned about
ALL schools, not just high schools.

Even if true, your claim misses some important facts:

- Students can avoid the risk of death from high school sports by not
participating in them. They cannot avoid the risk of death by shooting in
school by not participating.

- Deaths from school sports are accidental. Deaths from high school shootings
are intentional.

>Magnitudes more are killed in automobile accidents.

Key word there is ACCIDENTS.

> We seem to have lost
>all perspective when it comes to guns.

Not at all. Firearms have a place in our society.

> Punish the criminals, not the guns.

How do you "punish" an inanimate object?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4578655867900895680
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 02:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 09:36:29 GMT
References: <Jz1y6.2263$XV.392702@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18585

In article <Jz1y6.2263$XV.392702@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:

>but in reality ... on land there is not a need for >100km emergency traffic

How do you figure that, Rob?

Will ALL future emergencies that occur on land only affect areas less than 120 miles in area? If not, then situations will arise where longer-haul communications may be needed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -3998867856056865244
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 02:40:04 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 09:36:29 GMT
References: <3AC6B798.3A18DE33@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010403053629.07395.00000673@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18587

In article <3AC6B798.3A18DE33@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Without CW on radio there could have been no
>> communications with other ships, so none could have been summoned to
>> pick up the shivering survivors.
>
>They were in the shipping lanes equipped with flares.

The lifeboats had flares? Your source, please.

>That's how
>people were often rescued before radio.

The Titanic fired off all of the rockets she had before sinking. They were clearly seen by the Californian, but were not recognized as distress signals.

No other ship was in the area. In fact, they were all AVOIDING the area because of the ice. Carpathia, the Cunard liner which rescued the survivors in the lifeboats, came from at least 47 miles away. (Most books say "58 miles away", but recent analyses of the probable position errors of both ships points to a minimum distance of 47 miles).

> The situation would certainly
>have been worse but not necessarily hopeless.

Sure - a nother ship MIGHT have run through the ice field before those in the boats froze to death.

I suggest reading "A Night To Remember" and "The Night Lives On", both by Walter Lord, to get a more accurate picture of what really happened.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1931598985857484061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 03:50:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!
newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>
<q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl>
<9abj0h$mab$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <AThy6.1376$Rv.73514@news1.atl>
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 06:55:00 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.224
X-Trace: news1.atl 986294880 216.76.74.224 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 06:48:00 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 06:48:00 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18588

"KB9WFK" wrote ...
>
> I'm not going to argue against CW here but I have a question.  IF you had
> had a packet rig set up, would it have worked?  Would it have been able to
> get through the noise?  I ask because I often get packets from stations
that
> I can't hear at all over a speaker.
>
>
It is certainly possible that a packet station would have been able to
receive a message that was not audible via SSB.  It all depends on the
current conditions.

Arnie -
KT4ST





From -5306479360684385318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 04:28:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93843.CD5C81D@IEEE.org> <9aa87b$1e0$0@208.207.71.158>
<SB1y6.2277$XV.395086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <e6by6.961$al2.61472@news2.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <psiy6.7900$XV.2438882@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:27:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986297237 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 04:27:17 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 04:27:17 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18589

to tell you the truth im not sure ... i bought it off the family of a ham
who went silent out here ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/--\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
--/
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:e6by6.961$al2.61472@news2.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > My key doesn't have a knob ... it is a paddle ... 2 fingers only ... and
> it
> > goes tap tap tap tap tap
> >
>
> I'm curious. What brand of straight key do you own? I've never seen a
> straight key that didn't have a knob on it. I have both a Bencher

straight
> key and paddles, one has a knob on it and one doesn't. Feel free to guess
> which one does and which one doesn't. I must be honest, though. Neither
> one of them goes tap tap tap. That's probably because I control both the
up
> and down motion of the straight key to form the letters and the paddles do
> their work in silence.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>
>

```
From 5285766916650792972
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 04:30:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93843.CD5C81D@IEEE.org> <9aa87b$1e0$0@208.207.71.158>
<SB1y6.2277$XV.395086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC96567.E31055C@IEEE.org>
<xL3y6.2922$XV.569686@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <%8by6.963$al2.60989@news2.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Ztiy6.7903$XV.2440012@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:28:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986297337 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 04:28:57
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 04:28:57 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18590
```

there we go .. .was close enough ...never used one ... but one was use when
i was with vo1aa last year on field day

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                                |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:%8by6.963$al2.60989@news2.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > and for that matter even the llambic keys make a tapping noise
> >
> Are you referring to iambic? That means squeeze. Paddles should not be
> tapped to form letters, they should be squeezed to form letters. Aren't
you
> glad we are here to elmer you. You are a very lucky Ham indeed.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>

From 3909307799684569188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 04:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 40
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:31:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986297470 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 04:31:10 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 04:31:10 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18591

and i know a pile of cw fanatics who could set up a generator, solar, wind
or other power sources if their life depended on it ...

i know cw'ers out here who don't know how to build a simple folded dipole
...

those are basic skills they should have ... and dont

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > It is the same as saying why doesnt everyone have a profficiency in
> > pilotting an air craft ... may come in handy ... not really ...
> >
>
> Yes, and every doctor should have that capability too. Just in case they
> need to fly a patient to another hospital. We are Hams, Rob. We should
use
> the tools available to us as Hams. Having a proficiency in as many modes
as
> possible will only enhance your ability as a Ham. In other words, it's a
> good thing, not a bad thing.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>

```
From -5562924678440385433
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 04:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Jz1y6.2263$XV.392702@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Wziy6.7933$XV.2448623@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:35:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986297718 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 04:35:18
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 04:35:18 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18592

i didnt say area ... i said radius .. big difference

a 100km radius allows for destruction up to 200kms across ... thats quite a
bit!

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                          |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com...
> In article <Jz1y6.2263$XV.392702@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:
>
> >but in reality ... on land there is not a need for >100km emergency
traffic
>
> How do you figure that, Rob?
```

>
> Will ALL future emergencies that occur on land only affect areas less than
120
> miles in area? If not, then situations will arise where longer-haul
> communications may be needed.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4584604690036936093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 04:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>
<q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl>
<5_7y6.4072$XV.1075126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N38y6.1081$Rv.57303@news1.atl>
<WD8y6.4243$XV.1190350@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC9D2F8.6FB7B06E@IEEE.org>
<s3ay6.5274$XV.1430456@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ACA2AEE.2FE7D20B@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <lCiy6.7935$XV.2451215@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:37:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986297873 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 04:37:53
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 04:37:53 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18593

I'm grabbing a police foundations program next sem ($1029 canadian ...
668.85 american) ... heck my tution in uni was more than twice that ...

--
Rob St.Denis

```
Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ACA2AEE.2FE7D20B@IEEE.org...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > you send me 3$ and i could pay for my tuition for next semester!
>
> My tuition was $28 per semester at Texas A&M but that was 46 years ago.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From -3347739361885965200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 04:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
<%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC560BB.233DB6AC@ovis.net>
<Wvix6.171340$p66.50162020@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC7C241.64D2AB47@ovis.net>
<XTQx6.184711$p66.54369668@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC879E3.DE9ABC66@ovis.net>
<uG1y6.2303$XV.400395@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC931EE.66429790@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 43
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
```

Message-ID: <tDiy6.7936$XV.2452305@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:39:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986297945 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 04:39:05 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 04:39:05 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18594

that sounds bout right ... i seem to attract the crazies (pheremones perhaps
... maybe my mic is sensitive to them and is able to transmit that info?)

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AC931EE.66429790@ovis.net...
> Rob St.Denis wrote:
> >
> > they certainly enjoy busting in on my qso's because they disagree with
what
> > I say and quopte bible babble to me ... surprisingly ... I don't hear
them
> > much on 80 or 40 anymore
>
>
> You must have a gift. I've never had anyone insert himself into a QSO I
> was having and quote scripture to me. Maybe you just come off as a guy
> who is in desparate need of salvation.
>
> Dave K8MN
> > > > never said i hated americans (notce i didnt use yank ... you did)
...
> > just
> > > > sick of those bible thumpers out there telling me how im going to
hell
> > >
> > > It makes little sense that there would be more of them on 10m than any
> > > other band. I don't believe that any of those individuals described
is
> > > addressing you directly. The little VFO tuning knob does wonders for
> > > ensuring that you are able to avoid listening.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN

```
From -4618144688353411272
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 04:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 11:40:09 GMT
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Message-ID: <20010403074009.22648.00003496@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18595
```

>Subject: Bootlegger using my call
>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>Date: 04/03/01 12:05 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked!

I got some GREAT 160 meter QSO QSL cards, Jeff, when I was KC8M. Wasn't a "bootleegger", though. KC8MK in Ohio was a very prolific 160'er, and I presume these guys mistook the call as "KC8M...Go Ahead" rather than KC8MK.

Wished I cudda used those cards!

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

```
From 3469584230976114884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 05:00:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
```

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Gary McAdams" <g.m.mcadams@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2014.211
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2014.211
Message-ID: <HViy6.7239$rk4.555565@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:58:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.82.137.169
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986299111 12.82.137.169 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:58:31 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:58:31 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18596 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2227

Jeff,

If the 10x number is listed on any of the
qsl cards, then you should be able to
use the search facility at the ten-ten
website to find the culprit.

Good Luck!

Gary, WG7X

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu...
The phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

From -6957754241089627233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 06:38:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 13:36:44 GMT
References: <HViy6.7239$rk4.555565@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Message-ID: <20010403093644.25017.00003167@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18597

>Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
>From: "Gary McAdams" g.m.mcadams@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 04/03/01 7:58 AM Eastern

>If the 10x number is listed on any of the
>qsl cards, then you should be able to
>use the search facility at the ten-ten
>website to find the culprit.

Assuming, of course, he wasn't botlegging that too!

You might try to see who the 10-10 number holder is, if it IS a legit 10-10 number, then see if the two of you have any "mutual" acquaintences that might be prone to such carrying's on.

Of course, the culprit might ALSO be unlicensed. Southern California is LOADED with unlicensed ham-wannabe's that perpetrate such an act. Ofcourse, no one >>I<< might know...!!!

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

From 579182993620760042
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 06:40:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 03 Apr 2001 13:37:56 GMT
References: <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010403093756.25017.00003168@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18598

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 04/03/01 7:31 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>i know cw'ers out here who don't know how to build a simple folded dipole

Who?

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -8868242873321720625
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 06:40:07 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 13:38:54 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac9cc3a.105631114@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com> <9aad7d$m4j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.175

```
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.175
X-Trace: 3 Apr 2001 08:36:08 -0500, 64.24.18.175
Lines: 17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18599

On Mon, 2 Apr 2001 12:36:16 -0500, "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>infrastructure, I can hit all but 1 repeater on low(5-10W) and can pretty
>much cover the area using simplex and high (45-50W) power and I don't
>believe there has ever been a 13 county blackout.
>I look forward to hearing about your power setup. I realize you were talking
>about the repeater but that is only one piece of it.
>
This region is not flat, HTs are useless around here without repeaters
and even then they have to be less than 5 miles from a machine they
can hit. I have two 50w 2m mobile xcvrs and a 100w HF cw/ssb mobile
xcvr with which I can beep and yak the whole planet on 9 bands
withount a repeater.
>
w3rv



From 2464150942596465733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 07:50:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ACA8B8A.E0B2A930@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 21:48:42 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC34C37.4955E8E9@IEEE.org> <20010403053629.07395.00000672@nso-
cs.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986308932
64.240.47.100 (3 Apr 2001 08:42:12 -0600)
Lines: 36
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
```

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!news.he.net!news.keyway.net!newsxfer.interpacket.net!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18600

N2EY wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >More kids are killed in high school sports than in high school shootings.
>
> Please provide your sources for that claim, Cecil. And we are concerned about
> ALL schools, not just high schools.

"America's 1st Freedom" magazine. And I can't remember if it's high
school sports or all school sports. They quoted a book of statistics,
the title of which escapes me at the moment.

> >Magnitudes more are killed in automobile accidents.
>
> Key word there is ACCIDENTS.

I'm not convinced it's an accident when alcohol and/or drugs
are involved.

> > Punish the criminals, not the guns.
>
> How do you "punish" an inanimate object?

By sending it through a buzzsaw like they did in Australia.
If you don't believe that's punishment, how would you like
to be sent through a buzzsaw?

Some anti-gun nuts seem to think guns have a will of their
own.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4947395231067905219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 07:58:06 PST
Message-ID: <3ACA8D7F.34417749@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 21:57:03 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC6B798.3A18DE33@IEEE.org> <20010403053629.07395.00000673@nso-
cs.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986309433
64.240.47.100 (3 Apr 2001 08:50:33 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18601

N2EY wrote:
> The Titanic fired off all of the rockets she had before sinking.

Egads, not enough lifeboats and not enough flares either. Aren't all
present day lifeboats equipped with flares?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -5688738781580911117
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 08:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!w9wi
From: w9wi@linux1.localdomain (Doug Smith )
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: me
Message-ID: <slrn9cjmlk.5qo.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 13
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 15:10:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.113.227
X-Trace: news1.atl 986310636 209.214.113.227 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:10:36 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:10:36 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18602
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2229

>> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> > I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
>> > phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!

My bet:
is that Jeffrey finds the offending 10-10 number belongs to K5BO who just
bought a new bug...
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com
Due to excessive spam, messages from uu.net are deleted unread.



From -2248875652681668733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 08:16:14 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 15:15:07 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac9e577.112090125@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

```
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <3AC8340E.83B6CCCF@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.76
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.76
X-Trace: 3 Apr 2001 10:12:18 -0500, 64.24.18.76
Lines: 26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18603

On Mon, 02 Apr 2001 03:10:54 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>
>How are you going to run a CW transmitter during a blackout with
>no surviving charge on the backup battery?
>
From yer vehicle. 706, TS-50, etc.
>
>Don't know about other repeaters, but our local repeater has power
>terminals where jumper cables can be hooked up to a vehicle. How
>long will a 40 gallon pickup provide power for a repeater?
>
We have a gazillion repeaters here and very few don't depend on the
grid. There are specific repeaters which are up & running when the
lights go out because they are located in and integrated into county
emergency services dispatch centers where the lights never go out.
When somebody needs emergency services we don't fool around, we go to
one of those machines. Nothing of value is lost if the rest of the
machines go quiet.

>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv


From 8090358489296812254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 08:30:02 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 15:28:18 GMT
```

Message-ID: <3ac9e940.113059262@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.76
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.76
X-Trace: 3 Apr 2001 10:25:29 -0500, 64.24.18.76
Lines: 22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18604 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2230

On 3 Apr 2001 04:05:04 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

>I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
>phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number! I would
>greatly appreciate anyone hearing him taking a beam heading so we
>can attempt to triangulate an approximate QTH of this fellow.
>
>73, Jeff KH6O
>

I had one of those, the guy was misbehaving on 75m with my call maybe ten years ago. The only equipment I'd had on the air for years was my 2m FM xcvr in the car. Some w4 called me on the phone outta nowhere one nite and started reaming me. I told him it couldn't be me, "go DF the guy or get the monitoring station to DF him or something, not my problem." Never heard any more about it.
>

There really isn't anything you can do about except to alert the FCC. Unless you go out galloping around with loops. Ain't worth it.
>

w3rv

From 3980694186357202605
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 09:03:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 3 Apr 2001 16:03:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9acs8m$iij$0@208.206.142.85>

References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<3ac8a0b7.28949316@news2.dvol.com> <9aad7d$m4j$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
<9abc9o$b5s$0@208.206.142.78> <9abqvc$661$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18605

KB9WFK wrote:
>

> Did you get a deal on those batteries? Is it true what I have heard that
> they 'can' be had for next to nothing or were those rare instances?

It definitely helps when one of the local phone co managers is a ham and club member as was the case when I got these several years ago (he's since transferred). They are replaced on a schedule apparently, to forstall failure and were sold to us at salvage price, same as a dealer would pay. I'm sure it varies widely as to how local phone co people handle them. I'd find a local larger battery salvage place and ask them if they ever buy salvage phone co (or railroad) batteries. If so, offer them a good margin above their scrap price for the next ones, and follow up by checking back with them regularly until some show up.

Dick

From 667424185485602297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 09:07:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 3 Apr 2001 16:07:04 GMT

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9acsf8$j2j$0@208.206.142.85>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<PX_x6.894$XV.197086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <q0by6.955$al2.60602@news2.atl>
<9abr94$mcl$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18606

KB9WFK wrote:
>
> If I could bang out 20 wpm with that method I'd have no use for women. Let
> alone time for radio... :-)

There are a lot of slower CW ops on the air these days, and that's the way one gains skill and gets comfortable working it. When one finds that it still is actually a useful mode then it seems to help it to be more fun as well.

Dick

From -2753695756947658450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 09:18:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 3 Apr 2001 16:16:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9act15$j2j$1@208.206.142.85>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

```
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18607
```

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:

>
> and i know a pile of cw fanatics who could set up a generator, solar, wind
> or other power sources if their life depended on it ...
>
> i know cw'ers out here who don't know how to build a simple folded dipole
> ...
>
> those are basic skills they should have ... and dont

Really? How do you know? I'd wager the percentage of skilled CW ops far exceeds the percentage of skilled No coders.

```
From 1211779351880174210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 09:52:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.tele.dk!news.dizum.com!sewer-output!mail2news
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 11:51:51 -0500
From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remailer@anon.xg.nu>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
     It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
     Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
     remailer administrator at <abuse@anon.xg.nu>.
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Message-ID: <80476bd174067d5bd0ee07ef6d91f98e@anon.xg.nu>
Mail-To-News-Contact: abuse@dizum.com
Organization: mail2news@dizum.com
Lines: 13
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18608
```

how much you bet it is Dimmy O'Brainless ?

This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
http://anon.xg.nu

From -1337295053231926045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: f64c6,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gidf64c6,public
X-Google-Thread: f8110,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8110,public
X-Google-Thread: f5341,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gidf5341,public
X-Google-Thread: 1147a1,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gid1147a1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ed5f,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ed5f,public
X-Google-Thread: 105207,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gid105207,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 10:24:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!EU.net!blackbush.xlink.net!fu-berlin.de!uni-berlin.de!host62-7-29-91.btinternet.COM!not-for-mail
From: "G8ASO�" <g8aso@bin.com>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner.uk,japan.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: POP3 email and free dial-up ISP
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 18:22:58 +0100
Organization: Laughingpoliceman.com
Lines: 75
Message-ID: <9ad0tk$4imtq$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de>
References: <9absls$4blaa$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de> <kCgy6.499$rP3.67744@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: host62-7-29-91.btinternet.com (62.7.29.91)
X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 986318581 4807610 62.7.29.91 (16 [67923])
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.pirate:4999 alt.radio.scanner.uk:5903 japan.ham-radio:113 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18609 uk.radio.amateur:21515 uk.rec.radio.cb:4455

Unfortunately, this is far from true in the world of amateur radio!

You only have to take a cursory glance at some of the pages on our club site, to see that this is possibly the norm, rather than the exception. There have been numerous cases of serious damage to vehicles, a lit Marine Distress Flare thrown through a window, death threats, physical damage to radios, assault etc. Of the more bizarre incidents, a serving West Midlands Police officer was witnessed by several people assaulting our club president, Nigel Hopkins, G1XYU, 2 serving West Midlands Police assaulting an LPWS member whilst he was incapacitated in a barber's chair, having his hair cut in Halesowen, still a further incident with a serving West Midlands Police officer that eventually caused his "retirement on medical grounds" Police slang for "The Sack"!
Aerial cables have been cut, family members harassed the list goes on and on!

Radio amateurs are the most stupid and narrow minded section of low achieving social mis-fits you are likely to find. Everyone has a list of enemies, we are just offering a way to have a little fun with no come-backs.

The email program to use is called Easy Mail Sender, download it from any of the Free Software sites listed on our site. Use any from address, usually a deserving enemy is suitable, enter the recipient's email address, add the message and title plus any attachments and off you go. You can follow this up with a threatening message from the recipient to the sender, all good fun, and it just shows how vulnerable email really is.

More fun than just keying your mike over people, the usual weapon of the "sensible" radio amateur!

G8ASO

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:kCgy6.499$rP3.67744@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> its that sort of behaviour that puts people off the the whole internet
> revolution
>
> "sending large attatchements to your enemies"
>
> grown up dont have enemies,only children in the school playground have
that
> outlook,[and of course governments/political parties etc,who are still
> mainly children]
>
>
>
>
> G8ASO� <g8aso@bin.com> wrote in message
> news:9absls$4blaa$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de...
> > http://www.laughingpoliceman.com
> >
> > Our FREE (local call) ISP offers Internet dial-up with No passwords and
No
> > user names, you can even connect with your caller ID barred! Get stuck

```
in
> > now and do whatever you like! Coming soon - Email anyone from anyone,
yes,
> > pretend to be someone else - send large attachments to your enemies that
> > will appear to be from anyone you desire. Two birds with one stone
really!
> >
> > LPWS free email now offers POP3 access, so you can set it up in your
usual
> > email program (Outlook Express for example) and have it check without
> > logging in to the web based page.
> >
> >
> > Have fun, G8ASO [LPWS representative on Earth.]
> >
> >
>
>
```

```
From -7467286913396975334
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1f00b62a918777b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1f00b62a918777b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1f00b62a918777b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 10:45:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Barry" <bhorowitz99@cooper.com>
From: "Barry" <bhorowitz99@cooper.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Hara Arena 2-B sold to developers?
Lines: 21
Organization: Uniroyal commercial division
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <dYny6.1206$rk1.132948@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 17:42:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986319753 209.204.84.71 (Tue, 03 Apr
2001 10:42:33 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 10:42:33 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14591
```

rec.radio.amateur.policy:18610 rec.radio.shortwave:30648

Was listening in the advanced portion of 75 last night to an rountable of 8-land stations. One topic that was discussed was the possibility that this year may be the last year for the Dayton Hamvention to be held at the Hara Arena. Reasons stated was that the owners of the Hara have been seeing revenue drop below the bare minimum for profit margins, not only due to the continued drop per year at the Hamvention but cancellations and moving of other events that the Hara hosts throughout the Year. To put it plainly, the word is that the Hara Arena will be sold to developers before November 2001, who then plan to demolish the site and put up an upscale condo complex with an associated shopping center on the site. The Arena has outlasted it's intended life and is now a financial liability to it's owners, so that means it's "time to sell". Anyone know any more about this ??

From 1288391563744192483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 11:12:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 10:06:07 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3ACA110F.7585488E@uci.edu>
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com> <20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com> <3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com> <HNCx6.4134$aD4.258244@news2.atl> <3ac92c67.1708222@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18611

Bill Sohl wrote:
> That's a total of ONE so far. Any others?

No, Bill. In the entire world, there is only one emergency operations center with CW capability - as far as you know.

From 2404823992628012966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 14:36:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 21:34:25 GMT
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18412

In article <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl>, "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> writes:

>"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
>> I don't even carry a key in the car for my 706 for the most part ... and
>you
>> still didn't rebut my point that the stations you would be asking for help
>> would be local enough that voice comms would work fine.
>>
>
>We had (and used) CW as a means of communication when we had to evacuate
>during Hurricane Floyd.

That was in late summer, 1999. Less than two years ago. Not ancient history by any means.

> Local Cell Phones were out and voice communication
>(HF) was nearly impossible to copy.

Similar problems were encountered during the ice storms in the Northeast back in 1996 or 1997. The emergency was regional, not local, so VHF/UHF could not do the whole job. Poor conditions, low power and jury rigged antennas made signals weak, and auroral effects made what was left difficult to copy. CW was often solid copy when SSB was useless.

>CW was just another "tool" in the
>toolbox that made communications possible.

Agreed! But some folks want to ignore any tool that isn't used every day.

A friend of mine is an "organization freak". Always after me to get rid of stuff, 'specially things that aren't the latest technology or fad. Latest buzzphrase is "if you haven't used it in the last six months, you'll probably never use it, so get rid of it".

About the tenth time I heard this, I came up with a short list of things I hadn't used in the past six months:

- Spare tire
- First aid kit
- Fire extinguisher
- Manuals for appliances and computer
- Life, auto and homeowners insurance policies
- Lawn mower and several other gardening tools

So far, no more organizational buzzphrases.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 8475554343172488393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 14:36:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 21:34:23 GMT
References: <Ecxx6.1096$jc2.333878@nntp2.onemain.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? QRZ!!!!
Message-ID: <20010401173423.26056.00000373@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18413

In article <Ecxx6.1096$jc2.333878@nntp2.onemain.com>, "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> writes:

>> The real prize is to come across a radio station that plays Firesign
>Theatre.
>>

>> 73 de Jim "I spell my name......DANGER!" N2EY
>>
>
>You just triggered on of my peeves from years ago. A British show called
>Danger Man was imported by CBS. It had a really neat harpsichord theme
>that was fun. When it got to the US we got a really bad Johnny Rivers
>theme on a renamed Secret Agent.

I think you are confusing two different shows.

As I recall, "Danger Man" (starring Patrick McGoohan as John Drake, British secret agent) was the original series. They are all B&W. It was a straightforward Cold War cloak-and-dagger spy series.

It was followed by "Secret Agent", also starring Patrick McGoohan as John Drake. Many if not all of them are in color, and as the show progressed Drake becomes more and more cynical about his job. He begins to question some of the things he is required to do by his bosses.

Then in 1967 came one of the most unique series television has ever seen. Patrick McGoohan created and starred in "the prisoner" (the lack of caps is intentional). He plays a disgruntled British secret agent who is finally so fed up that he resigns. Great stuff.

>Thar's a man who leads a lahfe of danger, TWANG.

I prefer his "Mountain of Love".
>
>I get a bang out of some of the Goon Shows syndicated by WCLV on Sunday
>here but firesign theatre would definitely be a coup.

Don't crush that dwarf, hand me the pliers.
>
>Hang by your thumbs and write if you get work.
>
Be seeing you!

73 de Jim, "Number 6" N2EY

```
From 6618901016067497448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 15:04:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 01 Apr 2001 22:01:14 GMT
References: <20010401141653.26383.00002223@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401180114.18052.00000774@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18414

In article <20010401141653.26383.00002223@ng-cf1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Such is a continual, evolving process in all radio services at the
>>FCC.
>
>   An interesting comment from the same twerp who insists taht there is no
>change in the Amateur Radio Service regulationsor licensing structure.
>
>   As usual, trying to have his cake and spin it too...........

False. Radio regulation changes have been on-going in all US radio services. In the case of the US amateur radio service such changes have reinforced the morse-privileged classes, created an even-larger class distinction by increasing the number of license classes, made bandplans on HF not covered by the ITU-R (most of which favor the "higher" classes). The morse code test has never left license examinations for any class having below-30-MHz operating privileges and the prominent membership organization has made it a policy to discourage any elimination of ITU-R S25.5.

The ex-officio standards and practices in US amateur radio have been encouraged by the ARRL as those that were established in the 1930s and 1940s. Publicized activities have, up to the recent past, encouraged the epitome of amateur activity as CW on HF using ready-built radio equipment.

That's what I have stated in the past, that is what I still state now. There is some sign that the ARRL general policy is beginning to change but they still encourage operation on HF over and above any higher bands and still relegate experimenters and innovators to forums in other venues.

From 6695203464284714058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 15:04:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 22:01:13 GMT
References: <20010401141224.26383.00002220@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010401180113.18052.00000773@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18416

In article <20010401141224.26383.00002220@ng-cf1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>Lennie the Liceneless, aka Lennie the Liar, aka Lennie the Limp once again
>dips his poison pen into the well to come up with this:

>>Heil has a perfect right as a citizen to voice his grievances, a
>>guarantee of our First Amendment.  Heil's only problem is that
>>he cannot confine his arguments to the subject but must
>>personalize his comments to others based on Heil's opinions
>>of those who do not "measure up" to his lofty standards
>
>    Like YOUR numerous analogies to Nazism?  That's a hoot, Lennie.  A real
>belly buster.

Did I make any "Nazism" remark to Herr Heil?   :-)

Glad to have given you a bit of humor to enlighten an obsessed
mind.



>
>
>
>
>
>
>"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
>Time."




From 8813314694219246309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 15:04:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 162
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 22:01:17 GMT
References: <20010401140845.26383.00002219@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401180117.18052.00000775@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18418

In article <20010401140845.26383.00002219@ng-cf1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>>Gee Len, you're an old fart but not a radio amateur.
>
>>True...I've been a radio professional too long.
>
>   Maybe you should try a career you are GOOD at next time...???

Oh, my, here we go again... :-)

I've been a radio-electronics professional since 1956. Am I good at
what I have done and still do? Past employers have said so,
present contractors say so.

>   Obviously a too-ignorant "group" since it's been possible to be a
>licensed Amateur Radio operator for over a decade now.

Quite a number of decades. :-)   Since 1934 under the present
FCC, making it something like nearly seven decades.

>>>I *desire* many things that
>>>I'll never achieve unless I apply myself toward obtaining them.
>
>>How does that moral-ethical viewpoint of acceptance of status
>>quo make Heil "superior" to all others?
>
>   He didn't say he was (superior). You did. And I agree.

Dave Heil wrote that he was "superior" in many aspects. Since
I was not "talking" to Robeson, I gave Heil on in his aspect.

>>A fact of jumping
>>through hoops established by chronologic very-long timers is
>>only evidence of having jumped through hoops.
>
>   You mean those unlicensed, non-code tested Commissioners that you often
>refer to, Lennie? Seems to me none of the current Commisioners, by law the
>guardians of radio law, are past mid-40-something, although I admittedly
>haven't done a "bio" on any of them.

While everyone who reads these postings by Robeson know his antipathy to the Internet and websites, the FCC maintains an extensive website at http://www.fcc.org that includes biographies of all commissioners.

Robeson has still not commented on whether or not the FCC staff or commissioners are required to have amateur radio licenses in order to regulate US amateur radio.

Since the commissioners are generally attorneys, they DO take code tests...but their code test involves law and the codification of laws.

>   If you mean Licensed Amateur Radio Operators, it further occurs to me
>that
>YOU have stated that it is the COMMISSIONERS who promulgate and enforce radio
>regulations, NOT Amaterus.
>
>   So which spin is it THIS time, Lennie?

Try taking a tranquilizer before replying, it will improve your train of thought, spelling, and attention span.

The FCC enforces civil radio in the USA. The FCC also makes available all public documents related to their regulatory actions to handle the "promulgation" part [a rather loose use of that word, but within boundaries of English...barely]. The FCC also makes regulations, changes regulations, eliminates some regulations based on citizens' input.

It is fairly well known and demonstrateable that some long-time radio amateurs think of their collective avocational group as a "fraternity" or "lodge hall" and would attempt to "rule" based on their tenure within that avocation. The PCTAs in here are just such a group. They claim "turf" and "ownership" and will enforce their personal preferences by any means possible.

>>Those who have jumped through old hoops are fully expected to
>>demand that all newcomers do the very same thing...to "measure
>>up" to their exhaulted, "superior" accomplishmentss.
>
>   Is "accomplishmentss" MORE superior than just "accomplished"..???

Irrelevant on word-correction which is not applicable here.

Prolonged denial of the obvious is not good. The same long-timers mentioned just previously insist the epitome of US amateur radio accomplishment is morse code skill. That has become an article of faith with them and they are unyielding in their demands.

>   Which one of the myriad of license changes, testing formats, or other
>regulatory upheavals of the last 30 years that I have been witness to do you
>refer to as "old hoops", Oh Licenseless One...???

Keeping the morse code test for any license having below-30-MHz
privileges, the class-distinction of the "incentive license plan," of
the reiteration that the only radio activity worth doing is CW on HF,
the in-bred urban myth generation and promulgation that amateur
radio is some kind of guild that requires a myriad of unofficial rules
of activity and tenure before "advancing" in anything are some of
those "hoops." .

>>The problem is that the FCC regulates US amateur radio, not some
>>wanna-be ex-officio "leaders" in the group of already-licensed
>>amateurs.
>
>   Then you agree that it is NOT Amateur Radio operators who perpetuate
>that which YOU refer to as a "hazing ritual"..???

I do not agree. Then general attitude of the ARRL of the past, in
both policy and publications, has emphasized morse code
ability over most other modes in US amateur radio. This pleases
the long-timer conservatives who make up a disproportionally-
large grouping within the ARRL membership. The hazing ritual
is supported by many in the fraternal sense, being something
that newcomers must do because others have had to do it.
Hazing rituals are emotional, not logical, and certainly not a
regulatory thing.

>>Up until the FCC relinquishes their regulation of
>>amateur radio ordinary citizens of the US can freely comment
>>to the FCC whether or not the ex-officio "leaders" think they
>>are "qualified" or not.
>
>   Then comment to THEM about it, Lennie. Anything in here is just ranting
>and bullying on your part...Pure and simple.

There is nothing "pure" nor "simple" about Robeson's comment.

This is, by most others, a discussion, a debate, an argument and
many of those long-timer tenure-means-ownership types are
already here. By observation Robeson is one of those.

>>The FCC has stated publicly that it does not consider a morse
>>code license test relevant to their task of regulating amateur
>>radio in 1990 and in 1999. [they are required retain that test by
>>ITU-R S25.5]
>
>   And in THIS paragraph you contradict other assertions you have made
>relative to the FCC's stance on Morse code testing and the binding treaty of
>which THEY cite as reason for retention of the code exam at this time.

There is NO contradiction. Robeson may wish to quote from FCC
90-55 or 99-412 to explain, but otherwise, in the regard of treaties
or agreements made by the USA to other governments or bodies,

there is an obligation to obey the terms of such treaties or
agreements. Obligation does not render it an absolute as in an
absolute obeyance of terms. Terms of treaties or agreements
have been "violated" in the past.

>   Regardless of what the FCC mayor may not be "qualified" to do or say,
>it's pretty evident that YOU are not qualified to address the topic with an
>INFORMED opinion.

Well-poisoning again by personal pejoratives. Not unexpected.

From -5984181885852347827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 15:04:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 22:01:13 GMT
References: <20010401133824.26383.00002210@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010401180113.18052.00000772@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18415

In article <20010401133824.26383.00002210@ng-cf1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>>> As for CPR, I can tell you with certain professioanl knowledge that
>>>even "text-book perfect" CPR delivered in a timely manner is NOT >>always
>successful. As a matter of fact, it still only has a recovery rate >>of
>approximately 12-22%.
>
>>Please do not include personal experience data from the purchasing
>>department at Antec.
>
>   First of all, I don't work at Antec.

Sorry to hear you lost your job. Good luck on the new job interview!

From -5646788407176775842
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 15:04:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 113
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Apr 2001 22:01:18 GMT
References: <20010401132212.26383.00002208@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401180118.18052.00000776@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18417

In article <20010401132212.26383.00002208@ng-cf1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Far more "clues" here than there...except for the old-tyme
>>religion that epitomizes morse code testing.
>
>   Here we go again. I made absolutely NO statement relative to Morse Code
>testiing....Lennie HAS to "introduce" it in order to keep his head above
>water.

US amateur radio restructuring (FCC 99-412 R&O) did NOT change
the morse code test?!?!? Of course it did.

>>Hint: The FCC authorizes US amateur radio licenses. The FCC
>>does not require either staff or commissioners to have amateur
>>radio licenses to regulate US amateur radio.
>
>   Hint: Read your own writings, Lennie. YOU state Amateur Radio
>Operators
>enforce some undefined "hazing ritual" yet you THEN misdirect the discussion
>to the lack of licensure of the Commissioners.

The FCC will accept communications from citizens on any radio
service, licensed or unlicensed in any radio service, in regards
to any radio service regulations. The FCC has to.

Many refer to the morse code test as a "hazing ritual" in the license
examination. The reasons for knowing morse code have long since
disappeared as a regulatory necessity. OOK CW is not mandated

over and above any other mode allocated to radio amateurs, so why is that code test still required as a regulatory matter?

Answer: It isn't required by the FCC as they have said in 1990 and 1999 in their Reports and Orders. The FCC is constrained to keep it because it is required under terms of membership in the ITU.

Summary: An unrequired-for-regulatory-sake regulation has no reason for existing, hence the "hazing ritual" name.

>>Again, Robeson "knows" nothing of the kind...but Robeson insists
>>on retention of the morse code test in the license examination
>>because he had to take one.
>
>   Leonard H. Anderson is blatantly lying in the face of facts to the
>contrary.

Opinions and suppositions are not "lies," they are just opinions and suppostions.

>I "insist" on the retention of the code test since it is a binding
>legal treaty obligation of which the United States is signatory to.

Good scout, gittem up with "it's the LAW!"   :-)

Now explain to everybody how the FCC "needs" that morse code test as part of its amateur radio regulatory task.

The FCC has already explained that it does not consider that morse code test relevant to its regulatory task. In 1990 and 1999.

>   And of course, YOU have tried to skirt this truth by somehow trying to
>make us believe that the United States is not obligated to honor this treaty
>and that you, a United States citizen, is not obligated to observe the
>treaty.

Nonsense, said nothing of the kind. The USA is obligated to meet all international treaties and agreements but that obligation is not an absolute for any nation. See past history of the USA and other nations since the formation of the United Nations (the ITU is a UN body).

>   Phil Kane did a superb job of setting you right on that. Again, a rant
>ruined by facts...they always are.

Robeson must have been "imprinted" by George Orwell's novel "1984." :-)

>>The rest of Robeson's message is the usual personal insult:
>
>>>   Cowardly creep.

>
>   I notice you make no effort to deny it. Good for you.

Robeson's obsession with personal insults is such a common
occurance that I usually omit commenting on them. :-)

>   Furthermore, "newcomers" have not HAD to sit for a Morse Code examination
>since February 14th, 1991.

...and the newcomers had to stay on bands above 30 MHz.

Some in here will say "that is where newcomers 'belong'"
(something proven by demonstration all too frequently).

> And to put the deathblow to your rant, Lennie,
>officials of the FCC have stated publicly that it will act accordingly when
>the BINDING treaty has been repealed.

Tsk, tsk, you should read FCC R&Os 90-55 and 99-412 with a bit
more attention to word useage. If you look close you will find that
all the FCC said was that they are still obligated to keep the
morse code test because of S25.5. Your words do not match
what the FCC stated.

>   Now...try truth and facts next time you come at me, Creep.

:-)   Guaranteed...Robeson keeps ending all of his "replies" with
a personal pejorative.

From 7466565337571584694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 15:08:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 83
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 22:06:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24

X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986162779 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 15:06:19 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 15:06:19 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18419

I was working with Red Cross during the ice storm of 1998 ... we used 2m for
ontario ... and most of quebec ... we were of course the most greatly
affected with Newyork getting hte tail end of the storm.

Our repeaters were all fully funtional.

SSB on 10 was quite nice.

We never once tapped a single letter out on cw.

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com...
> In article <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl>, "Arnie Macy"
<kt4st@csam.net>
> writes:
>
> >"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
> >
> >> I don't even carry a key in the car for my 706 for the most part ...
and
> >you
> >> still didn't rebut my point that the stations you would be asking for
help
> >> would be local enough that voice comms would work fine.
> >>
> >
> >We had (and used) CW as a means of communication when we had to evacuate
> >during Hurricane Floyd.
>
> That was in late summer, 1999. Less than two years ago. Not ancient
history by
> any means.
>
> > Local Cell Phones were out and voice communication
> >(HF) was nearly impossible to copy.
>
> Similar problems were encountered during the ice storms in the Northeast

back
> in 1996 or 1997. The emergency was regional, not local, so VHF/UHF could
not do
> the whole job. Poor conditions, low power and jury rigged antennas made
signals
> weak, and auroral effects made what was left difficult to copy. CW was
often
> solid copy when SSB was useless.
>
> >CW was just another "tool" in the
> >toolbox that made communications possible.
>
> Agreed! But some folks want to ignore any tool that isn't used every day.
>
> A friend of mine is an "organization freak". Always after me to get rid of
> stuff, 'specially things that aren't the latest technology or fad. Latest
> buzzphrase is "if you haven't used it in the last six months, you'll
probably
> never use it, so get rid of it".
>
> About the tenth time I heard this, I came up with a short list of things I
> hadn't used in the past six months:
>
> - Spare tire
> - First aid kit
> - Fire extinguisher
> - Manuals for appliances and computer
> - Life, auto and homeowners insurance policies
> - Lawn mower and several other gardening tools
>
> So far, no more organizational buzzphrases.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

From -1606420159350729899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4a8710df0e8abef2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 15:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!xfer10.netnews.com!
netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsin.iconnet.net!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!
news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: BOYCOTT KENWOOD! Confirmed Anti-coders!
Date: Sun, 1 Apr 2001 14:56:04 -0700

Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9a88ie$pps$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
References: <3AC56AAE.3CD1ADAC@gtel.net>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-27-16.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.27.16 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18420

Robert <> wrote in message news:3AC56AAE.3CD1ADAC@gtel.net...

> BOYCOTT KENWOOD!
>
> Confirmed Anti-Coders!
>
> Here is an equipment manufacturer lobbying the FCC to drop CW testing.

......

> Since Kenwood has now entered the political arena, they are now subject
> to political response. WE DON'T NEED KENWOOD!
>
> DO NOT BUY KENWOOD GEAR!
>
> SIGN YOUR CALL WITH "BOYCOTT KENWOOD!"

To help rrap participants support this call to action, I have decided to make the following service available:

Ship all your offending Kenwood gear to me and I will see to its proper and prompt disposal. My address is good in the callbook.

73rds

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From -5281210054202329041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ffe8baab2ce397ac,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ffe8baab2ce397ac,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,ffe8baab2ce397ac,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 16:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!newsfeed.nyc.globix.net!
news.nyc.globix.net!cluster2.nbank.net!dana
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
X-Sender: dana@cluster2
Subject: Re: CHECK THIS OUT  2691
In-Reply-To: <O7Mv6.128029$KL5.120838@NewsReader>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10104011904280.9974-100000@cluster2>
References: <O7Mv6.128029$KL5.120838@NewsReader>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 24
Date: Sun, 1 Apr 2001 19:04:44 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 130.205.85.40
X-Complaints-To: news@globix.net
X-Trace: news.nyc.globix.net 986166285 130.205.85.40 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 19:04:45
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 19:04:45 EDT
Organization: Globix Corp.
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:4791
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14532 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18421

We don't really care about that, so go away.

On Mon, 26 Mar 2001 jcztti@serve.com wrote:

> Date: Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:46:06 GMT
> From: jcztti@serve.com
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
>     rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Subject: CHECK THIS OUT  2691
>
> Did you know its a crime to surf certain sites!!
>
> Did you know its a crime to download certain pics, programs, text,etc.
>
> The Law IS clamping down, cover your tracks, be safe rather than sorry...
> CHECK OUT THIS TOP PROGRAM!!!!!
> http://www.evidence-eliminator.com/go.shtml?A654845
>
> SAFE SURFING........................
>
ixwgljqgdnorpzohbidiuikzwfspnjomyxixuhsggwjkugipeliihnwkxlzocesfbugfmmhewyjwbrucdh
nktbpkjsmgtsvhiht
```

```
>
>
>



From -4458828631532254135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 16:20:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 1 Apr 2001 23:19:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9a8d2h$nm7$0@208.206.142.109>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18422



KB9WFK wrote:
>
> --
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100...
> >
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > KB9WFK wrote:
> > > > If I use my VHF ht
> > > > I can call the fire department directly (they monitor the repeaters in
> the
> > > > area) and talk to a qualified person directly while more help is on
> the way.
> > >
> > > But what if the aliens landed and took away all the HT's?
> >
> >
> >  Or much more likely, what if rolling (or continuous) blackouts took the
> > repeater off the air and there was no surviving charge in the backup
> > battery?
>
> Then I'd go simplex on the output freq. of the repeater.  I can talk 15+
> miles on the 7watt ht.  I have a 50watt mobile in the car.  I doubt it would
> be a problem.  If it was, I would switch to one of the other 13 or so
> repeaters in the area.  Blackouts and outages of that magnitude would
> probably have ARES  and RACES activated anyway and our club, and/or one of
> the others,  would have one of our generators set up at the repeater site as
> well as members at the fire stations and hospitals.  In any case, I'd still
> have direct comms via VHF.


From -6641708592061997972
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 17:28:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AC86FD4.ADCC9A69@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 07:25:56 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3AC5E4E3.9E3A04D4@IEEE.org> <20010401173424.26056.00000374@nso-
ba.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.51
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986170764
64.240.47.51 (1 Apr 2001 18:19:24 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
```

```
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!
teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18423

N2EY wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Can you run SS?
>
> Sure. In fact, it's one of the first modes I would use in an emergency.

FH or DS? If DS, which spreading code?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -6563839927992451763
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,CP1252
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 18:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com> <9a62ol$het$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sun, 1 Apr 2001 21:02:48 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 192
Message-ID: <3ac7cfd4$0$88186$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 7f258b33.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=8:1gLYJ_eEob0EN^dS;UdeLoK8f=:?
J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgBdaO<NBoDJblBFDaL\d^neWdG8@AH^N1c
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18424
```

"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9a62ol$het$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...

> Our 'local' multi-county Skywarn, OEM, NWS and ESDA have 2M, 440 and 220 rigs set up. Not a single HF rig...Not one key....No antenna for HF... This includes 13 counties that I know of and work with. Wow. Since I'm only a nocode tech I guess I shouldn't be helping save any lives because I don't know CW...Guess I should just 'resign' my "position" (voluntary positions that they are) as assistant Skywarn coordinator. I'll also let our nocode coordinator know and we can go back to where we were when NOT ONE CW tested "ham" would take the responsibility. Like back in 1990 when a F5 tornado took 120 lives over a period of an hour and NONE of the 4000 trained spotters (many of which were "lifesaving, CW" tested hams) bothered to make a report to the NWS and when those same "lifesaving, CW tested hams" couldn't be bothered to operate a Skywarn net. I guess they were all busy using CW to save someone in Eastern Kookamunga and didn't notice the F5.

Here an emergency communications comment filed with the FCC for the License Restructuring Decision. I thought you might be interested.

Larry KC8EPO

~~~~~~

In The Matter Of 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review � Amendment of Part 97 Of the Commission�s Amateur Service Rules, FCC WT Docket 98-143

FCC Secretary Magalie Roman Salas
Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W. Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Public Service is the basis on which the amateur Service license has been authorized since its inception. This is the aspect on which the commission is urged to focus, and which we here address, as follows: (1) license privileges and classes, (2) testing requirement (code), (3) RACES Station licensing, (4) Amateur operation.

Background And Basis

Since 1985 California has had an aggressive program utilizing Amateur licenses. That year the state legislature mandated a paid staff coordinator with directions to enhance and expand that public service function involving amateur licensees. In April 1985 we began a series of education bulletins statewide at a time when there but three RACES units in the state. Today almost all of the 58 counties have an emergency communications unit based on the Amateur licensees; and our weekly EMCOMM Bulletins have would-wide distribution in addition to every state in the country.

In so far as we know, our program � the leader in the nation � is the only
~~~~~~

one with a paid staff position created by the state legislature to support the use of Amateur licensees in service to their communities.

In 1987 the American Radio Relay League requested that the statewide Bulletins be placed in the Amateur packet radio networks around the U.S. In time they became the standard in the US, replacing s defunct FEMA education program. Today these Bulletins are the source of education information for emergency communications units based on Amateur Radio. They are literally on hundreds of bulletin boards and Internet sites around the world.

Because we are involved daily with quintessential public service � their use in and for local government, we have a broader experience of Amateur license activities that than most petitioners. Our program is the recognized model in the U.S., Canada, Australia, New Zealand, England and other countries worldwide. The weekly EMCOMM Bulletins are on the worldwide Web at www.acs.oes.ca/ . Our Manual, titled the �establishing and maintaining an Emergency Communications unit for government�, is the only one of its kind in the country.

(2) Testing Requirement (4) Amateur Operations

In the 1990�s very significant changes have occurred affecting the usefulness of Amateur radio licensee to government. Today we face information systems that did not exist in the past. As we look to the year 2000 we need Amateur licensees capable of providing a variety of services in communications, typically computer based.

Thus, the use of code has declined to where it is no longer viable for government.

a. California eliminated the use of CW some years ago. Although we have a large and extensive communications center staffed for and with Amateur licensees, we had not used CW in decades. We retained a CW net until 1995, but it served no essential purpose, just once-a-week training for 3-5 participates. No county governments participated for years.

b. The US Coast Guard also eliminated the use of morse code several years ago, which is further evidence of its lack of applicability to today�s communications needs.

Today, our information systems are based computer based and operate by both wire and wireless. If the Amateur of today is not familiar with computers he/she is of little use. A background in computer experience and knowledge is far and away more important than that of CW.

When I became licensed in 1941 Amateur radio was the means of alternative communication. Today, the computer provides that, hence thousands of those who would otherwise be FCC Amateur service licensees never apply because the service does not attract them. Until we recognize that factor the service will not grow significantly, nor do we get the new blood of technically oriented people to spark the new innovations so badly needed.

To make matters worse, to a large degree an �old-boy network� exists in Amateur radio; one that grew up with �you must pass the code� concept. Like people everywhere �what�s good enough for me is good enough for you� is the mindset of many of today�s Amateurs. Without the ability to look to the future, or grasp the many changes occurring around them, they would keep practices long since useless. In government today we pass data digitally, not by CW or voice radio. In fact, there are government agencies who seek to do away with radio entirely.

One of my constant battles is to keep some radio capability functioning in government. There is a new breed of administrators who focus on the bottom dollar, not redundancy. Often they have no experience in communications failures, and their digital computer networks have not failed. They see no need for radio. So, they no longer fund or support radio programs. The federal Highway Authority (FHWA) is one instance of an agency that no longer supports High frequency radio. It�s a very real every-day battle. Our fiscal year has just begun and yesterday I was informed that there are no funds for communications equipment as it all went for computer systems.

So, I urge the reduction of code requirement to 5 wpm at general license level as it is not essential skill qualifier in today�s world. When it is possible to do so under international radio regulations, I further urge the Commission to drop the CW requirement entirely. It is not relevant to the year 2001 and subsequent real-world needs in communications.

(1) License Privileges and Classes

The proposed classes (no-code Tech, General, Advance, Extra) are appropriate. There is a shortage of people above the tech license interested in emergency Communications. It has been the Tech licensee�s that have made our programs possible. Without them the programs would flounder. There are exceptions, but in general it is the Technician licensees we rely on today. Due to the shortage to those qualified to operate HF we have to put a higher qualified licensee with them just to staff HF circuits.

I urge the Commission to adopt a requirement of computer ability, at least at the general level. Knowledge of technical digital information is not important. There may be those who will assert that test should include questions on Amtor, Pactor, Clover or such. The problem there, however, is that such modes are already out-of-date. To lock the testing into them is already a decade behind the times. What we need is young minds open to new possibilities, not old minds locked in decades old minsets. There are enough computer specialist around that its� easy to find them and they don�t need Amateur licensees to fix technical problems. In fact, it is their interest in the technical aspect of computers that have draws them away from Amateur radio.

I recommend that the licensee demonstrate the ability to use a computer; that is, demonstrate operating ability in word processing program, in a text-editor, how to use eMail and how to use communications programs. These are skills that need demonstrating in person, in lieu of the code test. It will be difficult to have multiple choice or yes/no questions to uncover these skills. Have the applicant demonstrate ability on his/her computer or one by owned by the examiner. It�s not too difficult to set such a standards as it is required of countless job applicants these days. An alternative is some of certification by a computer user group as to demonstrated skills.

(3) RACES Station Licensing

I urge that the provision for RACES station license be dropped. Few exist. Those that do should not be renewed. With today�s easy availability of callsigns, the purpose of calls for government EOC�s is simple. Here we formed a club to support the state activities and got licenses for each of the state�s regional EOC�s. It�s not quite the same, but it works.

The disadvantage of the RACES station license is a provision in the current regulation that has been misapplied. (see.......) This provision should be dropped. Specifically, that section states that a Races Station (defined in ....) cannot talk to another RACES station. Legally that means two RACES stations LICENSEE. However it has been interpreted to mean that any Amateur licensee operating in the RACES (mode or activity) cannot talk to any Amateur licensee operating in a non-RACES activity. For instance, during the 1970�s a FEMA employee critized one of our California amateurs for allowing a Amateur operating in a RACES activity to call and talk to an amateur for a club (non RACES) who had telephone capable of solving a need. The FEMA person did not understand that it only to a RACES station licensee.

Cary Mangum, Advanced Class Licensee W6WWW
State RACES Officer, California
State ACS Officer, California
Emergency Coordinator, Telecommunications

From -1385163675925462487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 18:08:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC7C241.64D2AB47@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131> <Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>

<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
<%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC560BB.233DB6AC@ovis.net>
<Wvix6.171340$p66.50162020@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 01:05:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986173529 207.0.147.61 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 18:05:29 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 18:05:29 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18425

Rob St.Denis wrote:
>
> > So you don't know much about propagation or antennas either, huh?
> >
> > The cell phone band must be hot though.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> When I go for my nightly drive home from work for a couple hrs (when I do
> actually toss the 10m antenna on the car). Outside locals, I hear a bunch
> of americans preaching parts of the bible. 20 40 or 80 are plenty better in
> the car.

At this point in the solar cycle night time isn't the best time for 10m.
Try turning it on in the morning when the EU stations nearly peg
S-meters. You might want to keep listening to the radio preachers so
that you can learn to love your neighbor as we have learned to love the
occasional Canadian with the anti-Yank attitude.

Dave K8MN

From -7528995486360920787
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 18:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail

Message-ID: <3AC7C5A6.6A1B3577@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC55FD5.331CFF2A@ovis.net> <20010331135245.15492.00000621@nso-fm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 66
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 01:19:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986174397 207.0.147.61 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 18:19:57 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 18:19:57 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18426

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AC55FD5.331CFF2A@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >> Until the attitudes of Real Hams change, and we end this
> >> seeming intolerance of non-morse-coders, the problems of
> >> amateur radio policy arguments against amateur regulation
> >> changes is not going to stop.
> >
> >If it is only a seeming intolerance and not a real one, there really
> >isn't any issue.
>
> Sorry, your Lofty Highness, it is very much an issue.

I could have sworn that you wrote "seeming intolerance". There is
either a seeming intolerance or a real one.

> Witness your own intolerant remarks as a bona fide PCTA.

I'm not intolerant toward those amateurs who have not passed a code
exam. I work quite a few on 2m each week. That doesn't mean that I am
in agreement with the whole code free license scheme. What's your
schtick? You've had decades to obtain a ticket and to date haven't even
come up with one of the non-code variety. Is you is or is you ain't
interested in ham radio?

> >Why should the policy arguments against amateur regulation changes
> >stop? The policy arguments for amateur regulation change haven't
> >stopped.
>
> ...nor should they.

So you believe that one side should remain mum but that the other side should remain vocal?

> Heil has a perfect right as a citizen to voice his grievances, a
> guarantee of our First Amendment. Heil's only problem is that
> he cannot confine his arguments to the subject but must
> personalize his comments to others based on Heil's opinions
> of those who do not "measure up" to his lofty standards.

Heil does voice his grievances as does Anderson. Anderson pontificates
and condescends. Anderson does not confine his arguments to the subject
but personalizes them based upon his opinion of those who disagree with
him. Anderson speak with forked tongue.

> All of radio has evolved over the decades...with a considerable
> amount of mental foot-dragging by certain amateurs who wish
> to have everything remain the same as when they were
> qualified. If Heil had to qualify his amateur standing by taking
> a code test, then all newcomers must take such a code test.
> He has Spoken.

Mental foot-dragging? Now we've got a brand new concept! Thanks for
re-stating the obvious concerning change within all of radio. I don't
know what we'd do without you.

When I entered amateur radio and each time I've undertaken an upgrade of
my license class, I set about to meet the qualifications for that
license. At no time did I demand that those standards be lowered for my
benefit nor did I put off obtaining a license until such time as the
powers that be made the entry into amateur radio easier. What's your
personal holdup?

Dave K8MN

From 7402904029399185180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 18:52:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <99or6e$2fm$4@208.207.71.131>
<Ks_v6.143683$p66.40908454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99qd42$104$0@208.207.71.175>
<gd7w6.145909$p66.41640276@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99rou9$p94$0@208.207.71.136>
<cgjw6.153230$p66.43287602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <99teai$34u$0@208.206.142.72>
<oquw6.156487$p66.44271670@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac28680.158759@news2.dvol.com>
<ppxw6.157244$p66.44790725@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac3d733.86358672@news2.dvol.com>

```
<DZRw6.162990$p66.46985873@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac4d7eb.152083421@news2.dvol.com>
<%u6x6.165529$p66.48613811@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC560BB.233DB6AC@ovis.net>
<Wvix6.171340$p66.50162020@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AC7C241.64D2AB47@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <XTQx6.184711$p66.54369668@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 01:48:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986176119 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 18:48:39
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 18:48:39 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18427

never said i hated americans (notce i didnt use yank ... you did) ... just
sick of those bible thumpers out there telling me how im going to hell ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AC7C241.64D2AB47@ovis.net...
> Rob St.Denis wrote:
> >
> > > So you don't know much about propagation or antennas either, huh?
> > >
> > > The cell phone band must be hot though.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > When I go for my nightly drive home from work for a couple hrs (when  I
do
> > actually toss the 10m antenna on the car).  Outside locals, I hear a
bunch
> > of americans preaching parts of the bible.  20 40 or 80 are plenty
better in
> > the car.
>
> At this point in the solar cycle night time isn't the best time for 10m.
> Try turning it on in the morning when the EU stations nearly peg
> S-meters.  You might want to keep listening to the radio preachers so
```

> that you can learn to love your neighbor as we have learned to love the
> occasional Canadian with the anti-Yank attitude.
>
> Dave K8MN

From 6592811492449955131
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 19:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 02:37:44 GMT
References: <20010401160859.09539.00000566@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401223744.15044.00002465@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18428

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/1/01 3:08 PM Central Daylight Time

>There you go shaking your "we-we" again. Use of the royal "we"
>indicates Robeson represents all of US amateur radio

At least I have a "we-we" to shake, Lennie. And it does NOT indicate that I "represent all of US Amateur Radio".

It does put me in the majority. A majority of which YOU are not.

>Oddly, that "acceptance" is not universal in here. A number of
>staunch and starchy PCTAs differ with that opinion

I am sure they don't. However as previously pointed out, the representation here is a fraction of 1% of the overall Amatuer community.

While acknowledging the lack of objectivity of my own opinion, it would appear that it is in congruence with that expressed in much of the Amatuer media.

>Say hello to all your other multiple personalities, Robeson. I don't
>want to overlook any of them...

What was that you were saying about others making personal attacks...???

Seems that admonishment only fits when it DOESN'T mean YOU!

Not that we expected anything less.

Putz.

```
From -6033448165333189998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 19:54:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC7DA4A.59A84144@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
References: <uBbx6.2032$rk4.165848@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010331004356.24346.00000482@nso-fy.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 132
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 02:48:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.62
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986179696 207.0.147.62 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001
19:48:16 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 19:48:16 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18429
```

Len, wayOver 21 wrote:
>
> In article <uBbx6.2032$rk4.165848@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES
> HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >Len, I think you may be mistaken on the old timer syndrome.
>
> I seriously doubt it. :-)   Note that I've said "long-timer" and
> "old fart" as a mindset, not chronological. I began legally
> operating on HF in February, 1953.

Bully for you! :) Maybe you'll grace the amateur bands with your
presence as part of your semicentennial celebration :)

> >Certainly,

> >there are a few folks who are dismayed by the lowering of the CW
> >requirements.
>
> Some are downright hostile. :-)

...and some aren't :)

> >I certainly don't have a problem with that.
>
> Thank the Lord that there are still human beings in US amateur
> radio! :-)
>
> >I have even seen
> >it in print where some folks suggested retesting the old timers. I wouldn't
> >have a problem with that - been there, done that. After 23 years of
> >inactivity (and no license) I simply tested out. No problem, even with the
> >20 WPM CW test. With the direction the tests are taking in difficulty, I
> >doubt any old timer would be frozen out.
>
> I made absolutely no comment about chronological old-timers.
> [difficult to do when one is such a chronological contemporary]

You only have problems with those who enjoy the traditions of the
amateur service you are not a part of :)

> All I am advocating is the elimination of the morse code test
> for any class of amateur radio license. That sort of thing results
> in outright angry hostility by a number of pro-code-test advocates
> who insist on keeping what myself and many others call a
> "hazing ritual."

All I am advocating is the retention of the morse code test for HF
amateur radio access. That seems to result in hostility from you. What
you call a "hazing ritual", I call "a fine and useful tradition". To
you and the "others" you mention (do you speak for all of the unlicensed
or are you shaking your "we-we"?), a hazing ritual is anything you
haven't mastered.

> The technology of radio has advanced far since I first began
> in it 49 years ago and, in the course of a career involving
> radio and electronics, I've had to keep pace with that advance.
> As I see it, many in US amateur radio want to keep the
> avocation frozen in the standards and practices of the 1930s
> or late 1940s. That includes keeping amateur radio licensing
> in that same time frame, preserving morse code in a sort of
> "living museum" as if that is the main skill that any radio
> amateur should possess. To what end for a hobby?

I've seen little evidence to suggest that what you say is true.
Amateur radio has advanced but has retained some proud traditions.
You seem to have a problem with anything which smacks of tradition.

> >In any case, I will take your

> >suggestion as to letting the folks who wish to get into the hobby set the
> >requrements under advisement.
>
> Right now the FCC sets the regulations for US amateur radio.

Right now and for many decades. I'd guess it will do so for many more.
Thanks for restating the obvious (for the umpteenth time).

> Neither the staff nor the commissioners of the FCC are
> required to learn morse code or test for any amateur radio
> licenses.

...and this is nothing new. There has never been a requirement for the
staff or commissioners to obtain an amateur license. My state DMV
doesn't require clerks to be licensed drivers. The clerk who issues
marriage licenses isn't even married. Go figure!

> The FCC says publicly that it will accept input on
> regulation changes from any citizen. There exist a rather
> large number of us citizens who are not licensed in the US
> amateur radio service yet are quite competent in radio
> technology, radio operation, radio theory, and electronics in
> general.

Us? Are you shaking your "we-we" again? Do you speak for all those as
yet unlicensed? You can't simply declare yourself competent in radio
and electronics in order to become a radio amateur. You pass an exam.
That's the only way in. What is your holdup?

> I do thank you for your civil support. It is an agreeable
> even pleasureable sight to see someone who will not insult
> or demean someone simply because they have differing
> opinions.

Len, that isn't the reason you've been insulted or demeaned. Your tone
and condescending manner get you insulted and demeaned. You might as
well wear a "kick me" sign.

> >In any case, I will try and keep my future
> >QSOs at a more understandable level for any of these folks.
>
> That is how it should be in a civil society.
>
> The problem is that many licensed radio people insist on
> forcing their personal hazing rituals on newcomers. US
> amateur radio is not a public safety radio service nor is it
> a military radio service nor is it any kind of occupational
> radio service. It is defined as a radio activity carried on
> without pecuniary compensation, the primary reason for
> using the word "amateur."

There's that mastery of restating the obvious again.

> There should not be any reason to think that an "amateur"
> is demeaning...yet many amateurs will not hesitate to
> demean professionals. You have no doubt seen evidence
> of that in here. Such goes on off-line as well.

Likewise, many professionals will demean radio amateurs. I've seen evidence of that in here too. I've worked in both areas and have heard many more supposed professionals demean radio amateurs than vice versa.

Dave K8MN

From 4008017508628433378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 19:56:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 02:48:10 GMT
References: <20010401160900.09539.00000567@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401224810.15044.00002468@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18430

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/1/01 3:09 PM Central Daylight Time

>Now, now, Brian. Let's not get into the professional area again
>where you want to argue "legality" of an ENGINEER title prior
>to receiving a degree (most of my career)

Yes, Brian...nothing like nagging little details about legality. Lennie doesn't like legalities. They interfere with his rants.

>Yes, I was an electronics technician prior to 1964. The interesting
>part is that industry doesn't need all the anal-retentive
>"qualifications" and "licensing" you insist on in order to DO THE
>WORK of engineering or in assuming responsibility of pass or
>fail of a work task

Oh, I SEE! So, by virtue of doing the WORK, you are justified in claiming the TITLE...! ! ! !

I can teach you (well, I MIGHT be able to teach you...) how to start an IV or use a defibrillator. Neither task, even though they may be done correctly, would make you a Nurse or Paramedic.

>One has only to do the job, show capability,
>accomplish the task successfully, and be rewarded with a good
>salary.

I see....Well, THAT being true, Lennie (YOU said it...it MUST be true...) you may refer to me as DOCTOR Robeson from now on.

>In radio as well as electronics.

Radio is NOT electronics?

>What "credentials" or "licenses" are needed to discuss law,
>regulations, or rules of government?   Answer: NONE in
>open forums or assemblies of citizens.

The same "credentials" it takes to be an annoying putz. Ergo you have two "accomplishmentss" to your credit.

>Kelly seems rather upset that any plain, ordinary, unlicensed-
>in-the-amateur-radio-service citizen DARES to comment on
>amateur radio regulations!

If you limited yourself to "policy" or "regulations", chances are there'd be no argument. However you insert your uninformed opinion into arenas of whcih you obviously have no experience.

Again and again and again..............

From 418780342870193023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 19:58:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 02:56:11 GMT
References: <20010401160902.09539.00000568@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010401225611.15044.00002472@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18431

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/1/01 3:09 PM Central Daylight Time

>The FCC privatized ALL radio operator licensing, amateur and
>commercial, some time ago. Before the 98-143 NPRM. You
>are trying to misdirect the argument by going to a special case
>only for radio amateurs.

Yeah, Brian...and that's no doubt what eats at His Licenselessness. Now any 10 year old that can memorize the question pools can obtain the same GROL Lennie "used" to have, and many DO have in the Amatuer service.

>You seem to take anything against CW in amateur radio very,
>very personally.

No...he just takes your insults personally...especially since you DO direct them at HIM.

> So much so that you wish to deprive all
>newcomers any access to HF via a no-code license?

Another Lennie "misdirection". Nice try. Ineffective, but nice try.

>Who is the "sad" one now?

The wimpering old man in SoCal with his nose pushed up against the Amatuer Radio window muttering to himself "I cudda been a contender...I cudda been a contender..."

>A rather nice demonstration of eliteness, of self-proclaimed
>"ownership" of an avocation. If you were so "busy" you need not
>have replied at all.

I am sure you'd like to be left alone to purvey your smut. Not likely.

From -3988009583713033423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 20:01:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!137.192.6.2!
msc1.onvoy!ply1.onvoy!onvoy.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC7DBC5.6C726DCB@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
References: <9a3tlc$q9h$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010331135247.15492.00000624@nso-fm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 39
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 02:54:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.62
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986180060 207.0.147.62 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 19:54:20 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 19:54:20 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18432

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <9a3tlc$q9h$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> writes:
>
> >> It seems even more mindless to have radio amateurs nattering
> >> about English Language Style when they have no real authority
> >> through their licensing to "rule" on it.
> >
> >Lack of credentials never seems to stop you from expounding on the subject
> >of amateur radio.
>
> Forgive me, revered licensed person. I was unaware that amateur
> radio physics was different than the physics of radio in every other
> radio service. [perhaps that difference is taught at UCI? if so, it is
> worthy to take such courses to see this major breakthrough in
> physics?]

Theory is only one portion of amateur radio, Len. Operating ability
makes up the rest. A EE who is a poor operater is still a lid.

> Forgive me again, most esteemed qualified licensee, but the FCC
> still does not require staff or commissioners to be licensed in
> amateur radio service in order to regulate it. Further, I see nothing
> in the entire body of Title 47 that prohibits citizens from commenting
> to the FCC in regard to radio regulations of any radio service.

You've been there and done that. Is there anything which mandates
giving credence to either your views or to enjoying your pontificating
manner of presenting them here in this forum?

> Feel free to correct me (I no longer read the Federal Register cover
> to cover) on radio regulation changes that prohibit US citizens from
> open, public comment. On anything.

Are we prohibited from expressing our open disagreement with a) your
views or b) your grating style?

Dave K8MN

From 8010234981659960025
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 20:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!news.he.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC7DF18.A16FE173@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
References: <3AC55E93.55470803@ovis.net> <20010331135250.15492.00000628@nso-fm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 102
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 03:08:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.62
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986180911 207.0.147.62 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001 20:08:31 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 20:08:31 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18433

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AC55E93.55470803@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >Gee Len, you're an old fart but not a radio amateur.
>
> True...I've been a radio professional too long.

You've probably done any number of things for too long.

> >Please don't lump
> >those of us who have been licensed hams for decades in with the old
> >farts of the general population.
>
> Flatulence is easily detectable in opinions.

As one who has read many of your lengthy sermons, I certainly agree.

> >The major failure in fact of your
> >latest musing would be that the amateur community at large is mostly
> >amateurs who have been around for decades.

>
> There is no "musing" about Heil being a PCTA, a mental old fart,
> an amateur conservative who opposes change.

More of your civil debate tactics? Your words suggest that anyone who disagrees with your view is "a mental old fart". We had a neighbor in Florida back in the 50s who began, after his retirement, writing numerous bitter, lengthy letters to several newspaper editors in which he railed about anything he perceived as an injustice or an affront. You remind me of him.

> >Your *desire* as a non-radio amateur has little to do with anything.
>
> It is not just my desire, but rather a large group of others who
> have been held back by the flatulent, conservative long-timers'
> insistence on keeping the status quo.

Shaking your "we-we" again? For whom do you speak?

> Unless there has been some major revolutionary changes in the
> US Constitution, ordinary citizens can and have commented to
> their government in regards to ALL laws, regulations, and rules.

You've done it and no one has stopped you. Now tell me why I have to take anything you say as gospel? After all, you have no credence in amateur radio circles.

> Heil's "desires" seems to be that of trying to act as a sort of
> spokesperson for all of amateur radio. In reality, Heil is merely
> one voice of a US citizen, but one who wishes to appear as a
> "leader" of the amateur community's lodge hall.

Same back at ya in spades, Anderson. For whom do you speak?

> >I *desire* many things that
> >I'll never achieve unless I apply myself toward obtaining them.
>
> How does that moral-ethical viewpoint of acceptance of status
> quo make Heil "superior" to all others? A fact of jumping
> through hoops established by chronologic very-long timers is
> only evidence of having jumped through hoops.

I claimed no superiority. It is merely that I am currently enjoying my time in amateur radio while you merely rave about the things which apparently keep you out of it. You can be a doer or you can be a talker. You seem to be a talker.

> >Those
> >who might want to get into amateur radio might have to meet the
> >requirements rather than bleating about the inequity of it all.
>
> Those who have jumped through old hoops are fully expected to
> demand that all newcomers do the very same thing...to "measure

> up" to their exhaulted, "superior" accomplishmentss. The
> problem is that the FCC regulates US amateur radio, not some
> wanna-be ex-officio "leaders" in the group of already-licensed
> amateurs. Up until the FCC relinquishes their regulation of
> amateur radio ordinary citizens of the US can freely comment
> to the FCC whether or not the ex-officio "leaders" think they
> are "qualified" or not.

I expect you to meet the current requirements for obtaining a license. You have a no code venue open to you. You have not, for whatever reason, met the requirements for such a license. A 5 wpm code test buys you an HF license. You've not tackled that. Maybe by the time your professional radio semicentennial rolls around you'll grace us with your presence....but probably not.

> The FCC has stated publicly that it does not consider a morse
> code license test relevant to their task of regulating amateur
> radio in 1990 and in 1999. [they are required retain that test by
> ITU-R S25.5] Does Heil think the FCC is "not qualified" to
> regulate US amateur radio?

Do I think that most commissioners are political animals who no little about their craft and who depend upon staff for their knowledge? You bet I do. Do I think you'll have to pass a morse test for HF amateur radio access for at least several years? Yep. Are you going to try to out wait the morse exam? Good luck.

Dave K8MN

```
From 4200470028416713344
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 20:16:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!
209.249.123.233.MISMATCH!xfer10.netnews.com!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC7E021.2094EF43@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 03:12:56 GMT
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.62
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986181176 207.0.147.62 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001
20:12:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 20:12:56 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18434
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> I've been tapping away at a key for 50 years. What's wrong with live
> and let live?

So who has tried to prevent you?

Dave K8MN

```
From 8460705372748506789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 20:28:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AC7E32C.62009695@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <20010401112009.26383.00002180@ng-cf1.aol.com>
<20010401160856.09539.00000563@nso-mo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 67
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 03:25:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.62
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986181958 207.0.147.62 (Sun, 01 Apr 2001
20:25:58 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 01 Apr 2001 20:25:58 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18435
```

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20010401112009.26383.00002180@ng-cf1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson LPN) writes:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.

> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 3/31/01 1:52 PM Central Daylight Time
> >
> >>Their 'proof' is predicated only on personal achievement and the
> >>irritation against those who will not worship their accomplishments
> >>as Strong and Mighty. It is seen in here on a regular basis
> >
> >   Most often by post-career electronics technicians who insist they know
> >what's best for a radio service in which they are not licensed.
>
> Poor Robeson. He cannot argue a subject, he can only argue
> personalities.

That is a hoot, coming from you, Len.

> Robeson keeps claiming he "knows what is best for US amateur
> radio" because he is licensed in it. Null reasoning.

If I wanted to learn about horses, would I talk to a guy who raises
horses and who rides them or would I get my information from a guy who
once read a book about horses? What can you tell me about amateur
radio, Len?

> The FCC regulates US amateur radio. Neither staff nor
> commissioners are required to possess amateur radio licenses
> in order to regulate US amateur radio.

This has nothing to do with anything. Your record is stuck.

> By Robeson's logic, the FCC cannot possibly do its chartered
> task since only a few staffers have amateur radio licenses.

Aside from enforcing the regs on the books, the FCC was pretty content
to let amateur radio alone. For a number of years the commission even
skipped the enforcement of the amateur bands to great extent. Even at
that, the Commission is the authority on *regulation*. You, on the
other hand, are not.

> >>All of these public postings are not necessarily to the individual
> >>quoted. Do not get upset or "personalize" someone else's replies.
> >
> >   And remember to do what Lennie says, NOT what Lannie does. They are
> >usually NOT synonymous.
>
> That is Robeson's poisoning of the well. My arguments in here,
> when there are individuals who care to argue subjects rather than
> personalities, are simply to eliminate the code TEST for any US
> amateur radio license examination.

I don't agree. Now what.

> Unfortunately, some poor souls such as Robeson, need some

> sort of outlet for their frustrations so they attack, fight, become
> aggressive, mean-spirited, loutish, and generally act the asshole
> by going after personalities.

Let me hold this mirror for you, Len.

Dave K8MN

```
From 7747164851526865387
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 20:46:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 03:46:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18436
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Whine away, Your Laziness. I'm not about to do your work for you.
> >
> > My work? Yeah, right, while it's YOU making all the claims.
>
> I already know how to do it, Dick.

Sure you do, that's why you've spent so much of your time doing it.

If you want it done, get off
> your butt, learn something new, and do it for yourself.

You're the character making all the claims on how easy it all is. So
why don't you prove it by doing it? Answer: Cecil obfuscates every
chance he gets.

From 5100145893161938058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 20:53:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 03:47:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9a8sp4$5sq$1@208.207.71.141>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl> <3AC49AE8.8D3EB1F3@IEEE.org>
<sECx6.4103$aD4.257981@news2.atl> <3AC7D9BA.CADB2A20@IEEE.org>
<9a7uvv$g9h$0@208.206.142.100> <3AC83108.F4F810A2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18437

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Then you're not pahying attention, still. Arnie and his Emergency Comms
> > group used CW to pass message traffic re the hurricane from the area of
> > the storm to the State Capitol when conditions were too bad for SSB to
> > be heard and no other alternate means was at hand. You can continue to
> > refuse to believe in the need for this capability as long as you want
> > but facts are facts.
>
> You are not paying attention, Dick. That's not what I was responding to.
> I just did not believe that CW is saving more lives than CPR and asked
> for clarification.

Why not try comparing apples with apples instead of oranges?

```
From 3742497894939717622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 20:53:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!207.14.113.10!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 03:49:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9a8sst$5sq$2@208.207.71.141>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AC83221.FC10986@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18438
```

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Arnie Macy wrote:
> > > We had (and used) CW as a means of communication when we had to evacuate
> > > during Hurricane Floyd.  Local Cell Phones were out and voice communication
> > > (HF) was nearly impossible to copy.  CW was just another "tool" in the
> > > toolbox that made communications possible.
> >
> >  No matter, Arnie, these guys have skulls of impentrable granite,
> > including that ol' CW lover Cecil.
>
> Another straw man ad hominem attack, Dick. CW can certainly be useful
> and I am fully equipped for the mode. But it doesn't make any sense
> at all to require Morse code skill and not require a CW transceiver
```

> to go with it.

By that logic it doesn't make sense to require ham radio licenses since
hams are required to do nothing whatever after passing the test.

```
From 5775680218179137575
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 20:55:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 03:55:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9a8t7p$5sq$3@208.207.71.141>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t6q$bef$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AC835FB.AC7C28C1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18439
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The very reason why it's important to maintain a CXW test even if no
> > one is required to maintain knowledge and skill.
>
> So as long as there's fresh meat, you don't care if the OF's forget
> everything they ever knew about CW. That figgers. Now we know the
> true reason you want to maintain the requirement. So it can be
> someone else's day in the barrel.
>
> > The complete availability of radiotelegraphy as an available
> > mode is at stake.
>
> At one time, the complete availability of a coat of mail was at
> stake. And times change so a coat of mail is no longer required.
> And progress happens (except to you).

You are a dum$hit of the first magnitude.

```
From 2185032037847696415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 20:57:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 03:56:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9a8ta2$5sq$4@208.207.71.141>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<3AC836D3.35A5C3B0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18440
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

```
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > I've been tapping away at a key for 50 years. What's wrong with live
> > > and let live?
> >
> >  It's noivel that you CW denyers all "tap" your key, thus illustrating
> > for all to see how little you actually know about how to operate CW.
>
> What else can you do with a straight key except short and long taps,
> Dick? My key taps every time I push down and taps again every time I
> let up. I have actually dampened my Bencher so the up tap doesn't
> make as much noise as the down tap.
>
```

Typically, you now try to change the meaning of the word to cover your "mistake".

From 3155722521835724728
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 21:00:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 177
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 03:54:09 GMT
References: <20010401160856.09539.00000563@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010401235409.15044.00002480@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18441

>Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/1/01 3:08 PM Central Daylight Time

>Poor Robeson. He cannot argue a subject, he can only argue
>personalities.

Lennie, read this loud and clear...the "debate" on code testing is OVER for anyone with an IQ over 27. This barely includes you. There is only a code ARGUEMENT, which you seem to enjoy perpetuating.

>Robeson keeps claiming he "knows what is best for US amateur
>radio" because he is licensed in it. Null reasoning.

And Lennie, allegedly a college graduate, puts into quotation marks a statement he attributes to me.

This makes it a lie. Another lie. Not unexpected.

>By Robeson's logic, the FCC cannot possibly do its chartered
>task since only a few staffers have amateur radio licenses.

More baseless assertions. Not one line of retrieveable proof from ANY archive to substantiate another Leonard H. Anderson lie.

Lennie, what would some of your old cronies "on the job" think if they saw how you behave? Liar and bully. Some end to a career, huh...???

>That is Robeson's poisoning of the well. My arguments in here,
>when there are individuals who care to argue subjects rather than

>personalities, are simply to eliminate the code TEST for any US
>amateur radio license examination.

If only this were true. Lennie might have a point. But as usual....

>Unfortunately, some poor souls such as Robeson, need some
>sort of outlet for their frustrations so they attack, fight, become
>aggressive, mean-spirited, loutish, and generally act the asshole
>by going after personalities.

Ah...back to the profanities again. Once again, Lennie forgets that profanity is the last resort of the childish mind. Of course it's not when HE does it......(yeah...right)

>That is verifiable by anyone wishing to contact KD6JG or W6MJN

Perhaps we should copy some of your "enlightening" posts and let your "friends" in on how you REALLY act in public. Or that you USE them to somehow validate your conduct as acceptable.

"Gee..some of my best friends are Hams...."

>He made some vague claims of being involved in aviation
>electronics while in the USMC but nothing specific stated.

A matter of public record, and unlike you, I feel no need to recite page's long CV's in order to bully others.

>He then became a licensed practical nurse, no doubt inspired
>by some love of healing the sick (we have all seen that
>represented in here). That second career was suddenly
>terminated, claiming "burn-out."

Terminated? Hmmm...the hospital I worked at last night will be surprised to hear that....As will several others.

And as for "burn out", well, all I can say is that until you deal with the death of a family member and then try to balance that with a profession wherein you must capably balance your own emotions in such a way as to not negatively impact your dying patient or thier family, you have NO frame of reference in which to make an informed opinion.

Once again...Another topic on which you assert your snide, insulting self without any real, practical experience.

Quite a trend.

>A third career was begun as
>a purchasing agent (Antec Corporation, in Georgia) which
>involves living away from home during working days.

Again, I don't work for Antec. But you keep on trying, Lennie.

>Robeson's "authority" on amateur radio matters consists of
>being licensed as an Extra class radio amateur for some
>time, no other "authority" or "qualifications" in that regard
>other than some tenure in that class.

Never claimed any "authority". Unlike YOU, however, I DO have EXPERIENCE in Amateur Radio.

>More well-poisoning. My arguments have tried to remain on
>the subject.

Yeah...I can see how analogizing persons who don't share my opinions with Nazis is "on the subject".

>AOL (one of my ISPs) allows up to seven screen names (handles).

Yes...and you use them to dilute your postings. And no doubt use the OTHER ISP's that you allude to to create the fictional and anonymous posters in this NG who occassionally come to your "defense".

>Robeson thinks that all non-amateur screen names are not suitable
>for the tender eyes of ex-military, ex-nurse purchasing agents who
>are licensed in the US amateur radio service.

I am not "ex" anything Lennie...Not until they nail the box shut, anyway.

>Tsk, tsk. Robeson attempting to do more well-poisoning

I am sure you wish it were so simple.

>>If he can't find it on a website, he has absolutely no Amateur
>>Radio experience base upon which to make the frequent attacks >>against
Amateur Radio that he makes in this forum.

>More well-poisoning. Robeson assumes the imperial mantle of
>Representative of All US Amateur Radio!

Please disprove my assertions, Your Putziness.

>Robeson is licensed in US amateur radio. Unfortunately, he takes
>that as the "authority" to claim that all who are not so licensed
>have "NO" knowledge, experience, love, or anything else of or about
>amateur radio.

"All"...? Nope. Just you. And that's simply from YOUR assertions of non-participation. And of course, the numerous posts you have made over these many months in which you (time after time) make very glaring and obvious errors in that whcih any ACTIVE Amateur would know to be erroneous.

>US amateur radio is one of the most open and visibile and audible
>avocations, so much so that regulations forbid codes and ciphers
>intended to obscure communications.

A Lennie the Limp "misdirection". No "codes" or "ciphers" mentioned in any post previous, but he must divert the conversation to some obscure course.

>There is no "secrecy" involving the radio hobby
>other than in the twisted mentality of a few who NEED the
>status symbology of authority to show they are "better" than
>others.

No one said there was. And as for "NEED" to demonstrate authority or how "better" they are, well, all I can say is that your years of badgering Amatuer Radio operators in this forum is glaring evidence of that.

Ed Hare is better than you, Lennie. He proves it on a daily basis. So is Jon Bloom, Phil Kane and a litany of others, simply by virtue of thier DAILY contributions and acknowledgement by the Amatuer community as a whole of thier conributions.

What have YOU contributed? A few technical articles in a long-since defunct Amateur Radio periodical?

>The "battle" exists only in certain minds

In this we agree. For you it is a battle. You frequently accuse me and others of this, yet you are the only one who perpetuates the mindset.

>The "battle" is against the elite, not the avocation in general.

The people you seek to "engage" are not generally in this NG. You are on the wrong "battlefield". The "fight" is in the editorial pages of QST, CQ, Worldradio and other periodicals. Some of us have made use of that medium. (Please see Nov 99 "Worldradio")

You have not.

And as for "battl(ing)" the elite, judging by popular opinion in those REAL sources, you ARE battling the "avocation in general". That opinion IS changing, but it is doing so WITHOUT you, Lennie.

Life marches on. And in this case, without Lennie.

From -1635022245413524709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 21:05:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?

Date: 2 Apr 2001 04:05:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18442

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> AS mentioned previously ... anyone who has used a straight key knows that
> the key makes a tapping sound when depressed

Yeah, right! Both you and Cecil get caught sending code in the very fashion of pre-Novices, tapping on a key instead of doing it in a correct manner - oh so typical of codehating dunces and immediately you start the running backwards. Now it's "we said that was just the sound" Right. Idiots au pair.

From 7448363504545961632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8efa28d9ef0629a3
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 21:07:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 04:04:09 GMT
References: <20010401160858.09539.00000565@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.
Message-ID: <20010402000409.15044.00002481@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18443

>Subject: Re: 73 or 73's That is the question.

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/1/01 3:08 PM Central Daylight Time

>Robeson has claimed knowledge and experience as a military
>person but has not been in war.

One need not be in a "war" to be in combat. Are you sure you want to keep pursuing a line of insults in which you will once again be proven wrong?

>Robeson has claimed life-
>saving ability as a nurse yet is not authorized to be a doctor.

And I was doing it before I was a nurse...Gee, how did THAT happen..???

>Robeson has claimed all kinds of "knowledge" in electronics
>engineering by being a purchasing agent but is not tasked at
>Antec to be responsible for actual engineering.

I never claimed any knowldege in engineering in ANY discipline. I did cite a passage from an EE-specific periodical that refuted another one of your rants. Cited the periodical bu name, volume, page and the specific passage.

And I don't work for Antec.

>Tsk, tsk, not many claims to "practical
>experience" in US amateur radio on Robeson's side, are there?

Ten minutes on any Amateur band in any mode is ten minutes more than you can justifyably claim, Lennie.

>Nonsense. Perfect direction

Redirecting the misdirection. Lennie spins a mean bottle.

>>Your rantings in HERE amount to nothing more that intimidation and
>>bullying.

>Well-poisoning. Robeson continually jumps in obsessively on
>any subject I address regarding the comment of another. THAT
>is bullying. THAT is intimidation. Quod Erat Demonstrandum.

I am sure you believe that. You must in order to justify your behaviour.

QED to be certain.

```
From 8308878138749299862
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 21:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 04:05:55 GMT
References: <20010401180113.18052.00000772@nso-da.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010402000555.15044.00002482@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18444

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/1/01 5:01 PM Central Daylight Time

>Sorry to hear you lost your job.  Good luck on the new job interview!

    You know something I don't?  I've not lost anything, Lennie.




"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html





From -4839957124898477230
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 21:10:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 04:07:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

```
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18445



"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> Bingo ... besides ... whoever heard of a repeater who didn't have a
> generator onsite to kick in after 110 was unavailable ... after all who are
> running htese repeaters ?


  Yeah, come on Cecil, rescue him with "hints". He sure needs it.

Ham radio repeaters with a backup generator??? Hahahahahahaha


From -6852178911539841381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 21:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 04:08:31 GMT
References: <20010401180113.18052.00000773@nso-da.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010402000831.15044.00002483@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18446

>Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/1/01 5:01 PM Central Daylight Time

>Glad to have given you a bit of humor to enlighten an obsessed
>mind.
```

And your publishing of my nursing credentials from Georgia and Tennessee was....?!?!

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From -844588279345182540
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 21:13:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 04:13:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9a8u90$8vu$3@208.207.71.141>
References: <3AC5E4E3.9E3A04D4@IEEE.org> <20010401173424.26056.00000374@nso-
ba.aol.com> <3AC86FD4.ADCC9A69@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18447
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> > >Can you run SS?
> >
> > Sure. In fact, it's one of the first modes I would use in an emergency.
>
> FH or DS? If DS, which spreading code?

Which ever one it was that Squiggy claimed he invented for my AT&T cordless telepnone.

From -2021406915420509014
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 21:15:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 2 Apr 2001 04:11:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9a8u56$8vu$2@208.207.71.141>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18448

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>

> We never once tapped a single letter out on cw.
>

Ah, you're back to tapping out code again. It's not just the sound, huh?

From 3142005206871955826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 21:24:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 57
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 04:20:14 GMT
References: <20010401180118.18052.00000776@nso-da.aol.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010402002014.15044.00002484@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18449

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/1/01 5:01 PM Central Daylight Time

>Now explain to everybody how the FCC "needs" that morse code
>test as part of its amateur radio regulatory task.

Don't need to. I never said it did. You did.

>The FCC has already explained that it does not consider that
>morse code test relevant to its regulatory task. In 1990 and 1999

Gee, Lennie, when >>I<< state that the FCC has made those very same comments, YOU tell me that it means nothing, that it's only some bureaucratic ramblings.

More cake and eat it syndrome.

>>And of course, YOU have tried to skirt this truth by somehow trying to
>>make us believe that the United States is not obligated to honor this
>>treaty and that you, a United States citizen, is not obligated to >>observe
the treaty.

>Nonsense, said nothing of the kind.

I defer to Phil Kane. You very specifically stated that you were not obligated to observe non-American law since you are a US citizen on US soil. Spent several exchanges with him trying to argue the law that HE is expert at. It WAS hillarious, Lennie.

>Robeson's obsession with personal insults is such a common
>occurance that I usually omit commenting on them

As you should. There's no defense for your conduct, save a diagnosis of mental defect.

>> And to put the deathblow to your rant, Lennie,
>>officials of the FCC have stated publicly that it will act accordingly >>when
the BINDING treaty has been repealed.

>Tsk, tsk, you should read FCC R&Os 90-55 and 99-412 with a bit
>more attention to word useage. If you look close you will find that
>all the FCC said was that they are still obligated to keep the
>morse code test because of S25.5. Your words do not match
>what the FCC stated.

I know this will come as a surprise to you, but the FCC DOES comment in more forums than R&O's and NPRM's, Lennie. Perhaps you'd find some new revalations if you read some of them...?!?!

>Guaranteed...Robeson keeps ending all of his "replies" with
>a personal pejorative.

You make it so easy. You continue to act the fool. You get treated like one. No problem.

From -3425443503617998362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 21:29:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 04:26:13 GMT
References: <20010401180114.18052.00000774@nso-da.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010402002613.15044.00002485@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18450

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/1/01 5:01 PM Central Daylight Time

>> An interesting comment from the same twerp who insists taht there >>is no change in the Amateur Radio Service regulationsor licensing >>structure.

>>As usual, trying to have his cake and spin it too...........

>False.

No it's not. Re-rad your own words, as boring and inaccurate as they are.

>There is some sign that the ARRL general policy is beginning to
>change but they still encourage operation on HF over and above
>any higher bands and still relegate experimenters and innovators
>to forums in other venues.

Hoo boy. More evidence of a gross lack of situational awareness.

What an idiot.

Lennie, you are SUCH a fool.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 3468464969653049744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 21:59:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 113
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 04:54:50 GMT
References: <20010401180117.18052.00000775@nso-da.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010402005450.15044.00002486@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18451

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/1/01 5:01 PM Central Daylight Time

>Robeson has still not commented on whether or not the FCC
>staff or commissioners are required to have amateur radio
>licenses in order to regulate US amateur radio.

Yes I have, Lennie, and in no uncertain terms. You simply choose, as you do with so many other facts, ignore them.

>> Is "accomplishmentss" MORE superior than just >>"accomplished"..???

>Irrelevant on word-correction which is not applicable here.

I see...Once again, it's "relevant" when Lennie does it...not when HE is corrected.

>Prolonged denial of the obvious is not good.

A bit of your own advice you should follow on occassion.

>> Which one of the myriad of license changes, testing formats, or other
>>regulatory upheavals of the last 30 years that I have been witness to >>do
you refer to as "old hoops", Oh Licenseless One...???

>Keeping the morse code test for any license having below-30-MHz
>privileges, the class-distinction of the "incentive license plan," of
>the reiteration that the only radio activity worth doing is CW on HF,
>the in-bred urban myth generation and promulgation that amateur
>radio is some kind of guild that requires a myriad of unofficial rules
>of activity and tenure before "advancing" in anything are some of
>those "hoops." .

(1) per your own admission the FCC is bound by international treaty to continue to require a Morse Code test. The FCC has said it is bound by that treaty. So HOW does any ARRL (or any other entity) "policy" affect that?

(2) No one has to accomplish ANY "hoop" exercise to advance in Amateur Radio. Just take the relevant FCC required exam. Period.

Unless you can prove otherwise? The name of someone who can testify that they were not allowed to obtain an Amatuer radio license as a result of any "hazing ritual"...Forced at gunpoint to participate in some midnight fraternity initiation in order to be admitted to the testing session?

>I do not agree. Then general attitude of the ARRL of the past, in
>both policy and publications, has emphasized morse code
>ability over most other modes in US amateur radio. This pleases
>the long-timer conservatives who make up a disproportionally-
>large grouping within the ARRL membership.

Of course you would not agree. It would ruing another LennieRant.

And as for "disproportionally large grouping", since when has it been illegal or inappropriate for a group of like-minded persons to associate under the banner of a membership organization?

That's like saying the ACLU shouldn't be considered a credible civil rights organization because there are so many left-wing minded members in it's ranks.

>The hazing ritual
>is supported by many in the fraternal sense, being something
>that newcomers must do because others have had to do it.
>Hazing rituals are emotional, not logical, and certainly not a
>regulatory thing.

They can support anything they want. Yet no one has EVER been denied access to a test session for lack of evidence of having completed some "hazing ritual". Even you could show up at a test session today and sit for an Amateur exam without providing anything more than proof that you are who you claim you are and the required testing fee.

>> And in THIS paragraph you contradict other assertions you have >>made relative to the FCC's stance on Morse code testing and the >>binding treaty of which THEY cite as reason for retention of the code >>exam at this time.

>There is NO contradiction. Robeson may wish to quote from FCC
>90-55 or 99-412 to explain, but otherwise, in the regard of treaties
>or agreements made by the USA to other governments or bodies,
>there is an obligation to obey the terms of such treaties or
>agreements.

So here we are again. Lennie at first claims that Amatuer Radio operators are holding everyone else's feet to the fire over testing criteria, and then he states that it IS a treaty obligation and the FCC is bound by law to do what it has been doing all along.

>Obligation does not render it an absolute as in an
>absolute obeyance of terms. Terms of treaties or agreements
>have been "violated" in the past.

I have travelled over 55MPH before too. Does that make it legal? Does it justify doing so in a school zone?

>> Regardless of what the FCC mayor may not be "qualified" to do or >>say, it's pretty evident that YOU are not qualified to address the topic >>with an INFORMED opinion.

>Well-poisoning again by personal pejoratives. Not unexpected.

I am sure you consider it so. It nonetheless is correct. You do not have any requsite experience in order to make an INFORMED opinion.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -7032608997591958924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 22:40:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail

From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 00:35:54 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3AC80FBA.7F6DDA37@ix.netcom.com>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3AC7103C.8743C5D0@cmcorp.com>
<3ac7921c.148132512@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9a.90.08
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 Apr 2001 05:34:37 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18452
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14540

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> On Sun, 01 Apr 2001 11:25:56 GMT, "Thomas C. Sefranek"
> <tcs@cmcorp.com> wrote:
> >
> >Where did I put my troll meter???

Didn't I see it next to the Woff-Houng on the shelf?

>
> >
> NEVER come into this NG without yer trollmeter up and running . .

You ain't sh*ttin'....
:-)

>
> >
> w3rv

From -6498562716761723341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 23:14:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 06:10:34 GMT
References: <20010401223744.15044.00002465@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010402021034.09539.00000611@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18454
```

In article <20010401223744.15044.00002465@ng-fx1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>There you go shaking your "we-we" again. Use of the royal "we"
>>indicates Robeson represents all of US amateur radio
>
>   At least I have a "we-we" to shake, Lennie.

Did you think I meant "penis?" Tsk, tsk, jumping those conclusions again, aren't you?

>And it does NOT indicate that I "represent all of US Amateur Radio".

The royal use of "we" in voicing an opinion most assuredly says you do.

>   It does put me in the majority. A majority of which YOU are not.

A majority of what? Credential freaks? Control frieaks? Mental obsessives who are unable to civilly discuss, debate, or argue a subject without tossing in constant personal pejoratives?

I am no such "member."

>   While acknowledging the lack of objectivity of my own opinion, it would
>appear that it is in congruence with that expressed in much of the Amatuer
>media.

Translation: Brainwashed.   :-)

>   Putz.

Now, now, Yiddish you ain't. But you still have this obsessive need to insult the person, don't you? Why is that?

```
From -1180475398702516716
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 23:14:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 63
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 06:10:37 GMT
References: <20010401225611.15044.00002472@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010402021037.09539.00000613@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18453

In article <20010401225611.15044.00002472@ng-fx1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>The FCC privatized ALL radio operator licensing, amateur and
>>commercial, some time ago. Before the 98-143 NPRM. You
>>are trying to misdirect the argument by going to a special case
>>only for radio amateurs.
>
>   Yeah, Brian...and that's no doubt what eats at His Licenselessness. Now
>any 10 year old that can memorize the question pools can obtain the same GROL
>Lennie "used" to have, and many DO have in the Amatuer service.

Poor baby, no English comprehension. I tested for my First Class Radiotelephone (commercial) license in 1956. There was no "GROL" back then, no exact question pool answers, some parts of the written were essay and diagram-drawing, done at an FCC office. Yes, the present-day commercial radiotelephone test is a bit easier. How does that take away any of the original accomplishment?

Interesting thing. Back over a year ago, Robeson expressed an opinion that a GROL was not to his liking, did not seek to add a commercial radiotelephone license to his list of "qualified accreditations."   :-)

>>You seem to take anything against CW in amateur radio very,
>>very personally.
>
>   No...he just takes your insults personally...especially since you DO
>direct them at HIM.

Robeson has to jump in because Robeson has an obsession.
:-)

>>Who is the "sad" one now?

```
>
>     The wimpering old man in SoCal with his nose pushed up against the
>Amatuer Radio window muttering to himself "I cudda been a contender...I cudda
>been a contender..."

Sorry, I don't do Marlon Brando imitations (from "On the Waterfront"
released some time in the fifties).

Robeson has a vivid imagination...and unable to believe that anyone
else has experience in HF radio outside of amateur radio.  Big
leagues HF communications, 24/7 duty, military, also back in
the fifties.  Also other-spectrum communications, VLF to
microwaves in the sixties, seventies, eighties, and beginning of
the nineties.

>>A rather nice demonstration of eliteness, of self-proclaimed
>>"ownership" of an avocation.  If you were so "busy" you need not
>>have replied at all.
>
>     I am sure you'd like to be left alone to purvey your smut.  Not likely.

Oh, my!  Advocacy of elimination of the morse code test in US
amateur radio is "SMUT?!?"  Tsk, tsk, that IS a rather strong
PCTA position, isn't it?   :-)

Oh, my, redeaux...a former USMC member complaining of
SMUT is interpersonal communications!     :-)


From 6426285681328476869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 23:17:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 69
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 06:10:34 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010402021034.09539.00000610@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18455

>Poor Robeson.  He cannot argue a subject, he can only argue
>personalities.

>    Lennie, read this loud and clear...the "debate" on code testing is OVER
for
>anyone with an IQ over 27.  This barely includes you.  There is only a code
```

>ARGUEMENT, which you seem to enjoy perpetuating.

Really? :-)   The FCC issued a new Report and Order on Part 97?

Last I looked, Element 1 was still required for morse code tests.

>   Lennie, what would some of your old cronies "on the job" think if they saw
>how you behave? Liar and bully. Some end to a career, huh...???

Heh. Fine, let them observe. No problem. :-)

Ah...back to the profanities again. Once again, Lennie forgets that profanity is the last resort of the childish mind. Of course it's not when HE does it......(yeah...right)

Hmmm...an ex-USMC E-7 who is "sensitive to profanity?!?!?"

Poor baby.

>That is verifiable by anyone wishing to contact KD6JG or W6MJN

Perhaps we should copy some of your "enlightening" posts and let your "friends" in on how you REALLY act in public. Or that you USE them to somehow validate your conduct as acceptable.

"Gee..some of my best friends are Hams...."

As a matter of fact, Al Walston, W6MJN, was Best Man at my
wedding.   :-)

>He made some vague claims of being involved in aviation
>electronics while in the USMC but nothing specific stated.

A matter of public record, and unlike you, I feel no need to recite page's long CV's in order to bully others.

Translation: Robeson can't describe it.

Terminated? Hmmm...the hospital I worked at last night will be surprised to hear that....As will several others.

So...do you still have the purchasing agent job or not?

And as for "burn out", well, all I can say is that until you deal with the death of a family member and then try to balance that with a profession wherein you must capably balance your own emotions in such a way as to not negatively impact your dying patient or thier family, you have NO frame of reference in which to make an informed opinion.

My mother died on February 18, 2001 at about 10 AM.

Thank you for your condolences..

From 860465073475051945
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 23:17:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 70
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 06:10:35 GMT
References: <20010401224810.15044.00002468@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010402021035.09539.00000612@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18456

In article <20010401224810.15044.00002468@ng-fx1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Now, now, Brian. Let's not get into the professional area again
>>where you want to argue "legality" of an ENGINEER title prior
>>to receiving a degree (most of my career)
>
>   Yes, Brian...nothing like nagging little details about legality. Lennie
>doesn't like legalities. They interfere with his rants.

Robeson's obsession is manifesting itself again. :-)

Robeson has taken things out of context. It is very, very legal to use the title of ENGINEER (even in lower-case) without having a state-issued professional engineering license. Check the law to see that is correct. Most engineers are not PEs, yet they do engineering work, are regarded as engineers, and are successful.

>>Yes, I was an electronics technician prior to 1964. The interesting
>>part is that industry doesn't need all the anal-retentive
>>"qualifications" and "licensing" you insist on in order to DO THE
>>WORK of engineering or in assuming responsibility of pass or

>>fail of a work task
>
> Oh, I SEE! So, by virtue of doing the WORK, you are justified in
>claiming the TITLE...! ! ! !

No, Robeson jumps mightily into the conclusion pit. My first engineering responsibility was in 1964 and that title was given me by Micro-Radionics, Inc. (later bought by Systron-Donner, which was much later bought by another corporation) of Van Nuys, CA. Robeson cannot understand that all "credentials" must be "issued" by "authorities" to be legal and valid.

> I can teach you (well, I MIGHT be able to teach you...) how to start an
>IV or use a defibrillator. Neither task, even though they may be done
>correctly, would make you a Nurse or Paramedic.

Irrelevant to radio, let alone amateur radio. To be correct, I've worked for Birtcher Corporation of Monterey Park, CA, the main plant making medical electronics. My title there, company-bestowed, was Cheif Engineer of the Instrument Division. Very legal.

> I see....Well, THAT being true, Lennie (YOU said it...it MUST be
>true...) you may refer to me as DOCTOR Robeson from now on.

Purchasing Agent Robeson (if he is still employed as such) can call himself whatever he wants. :-) He is strong, he is mighty, able to leap tall pileups in a single bound!

<more personal insult diatribe omitted>

> If you limited yourself to "policy" or "regulations", chances are
>there'd be no argument. However you insert your uninformed opinion
>into arenas of whcih you obviously have no experience.
>
> Again and again and again.............

Sigh...Robeson still doesn't understand the workings of a democratic representative republic or realize that amateur radio physics is exactly the same as radio physics of every other radio service.

Robeson is a "credential" freak, a control freak who has an obsession with trying to "get" another who won't stand for his bullying, intimidating attitude and constant personal pejoratives used in place of civil replies on subjects.

Good luck on your next job interview...

From -4616326860611156858
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-01 23:17:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 94
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 Apr 2001 06:10:38 GMT
References: <3AC7C5A6.6A1B3577@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010402021038.09539.00000614@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18457

In article <3AC7C5A6.6A1B3577@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>> In article <3AC55FD5.331CFF2A@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>>
>> >> Until the attitudes of Real Hams change, and we end this
>> >> seeming intolerance of non-morse-coders, the problems of
>> >> amateur radio policy arguments against amateur regulation
>> >> changes is not going to stop.
>> >
>> >If it is only a seeming intolerance and not a real one, there really
>> >isn't any issue.
>>
>> Sorry, your Lofty Highness, it is very much an issue.
>
>I could have sworn that you wrote "seeming intolerance". There is
>either a seeming intolerance or a real one.

A veritable hamgod has spoken!   :-)

>
>> Witness your own intolerant remarks as a bona fide PCTA.
>
>I'm not intolerant toward those amateurs who have not passed a code
>exam. I work quite a few on 2m each week. That doesn't mean that I am
>in agreement with the whole code free license scheme.

Everyone who can understand the written English word can
understand you are not in agreement with a code-TEST-free
license. Why is that?

>Heil does voice his grievances as does Anderson. Anderson pontificates
>and condescends.

Heil wishes to join the well-poisoners? Of course he does.
Repeat the "pontification" and "condescending" words often
enough and a few might believe it. :-)

>Anderson does not confine his arguments to the subject but personalizes them based upon his opinion of those who disagree with him. Anderson speak with forked tongue.

Heil speaks, not with forked tongue, but with the attitude of the fraternal hall leader. Or is that beer hall?   :-)

If someone wishes to discuss, debate, or argue a subject on the merits of the subject, I'll be willing to do that. When some, Heil and Robeson at the moment, want to take every posting so personally, so emotionally that they get into personal insults, then it is time to return fire.

>When I entered amateur radio and each time I've undertaken an upgrade of my license class, I set about to meet the qualifications for that license.

Yes, you and Roll, mighty towers of perserverance and fortitude, willing to jump through any hoop put before you without quivering. Well, you've accomplished that and feel that is some kind of permit to push newcomers around. Why do you have such an attitude?

>At no time did I demand that those standards be lowered for my benefit nor did I put off obtaining a license until such time as the powers that be made the entry into amateur radio easier.

Ah...the old "obey the LAW" ploy! Nice wording. Good job.

Regulations on amateur radio were made by humans, not gods. Regulations are changeable by humans. In a democratic republic we citizens can strive to change laws, regulations, and rules made by humans in government. Heil seems to think that everyone must jump unquestioning through the same hoops he did. Why that attitude?

If anything were to be changed in US amateur radio regulations other than eliminating the morse code test, I would opt for TOUGHENING the written test elements. That was stated in my Comments on 98-143. [it is a public document now, just one of more than 2500 on file]

One interesting aspect of my suggestion to increase the number of written questions is that the already-licensed would get a free ride through grandfathering. All of the PCTAs would still bitch and moan because morse code is still the epitome of amateur skill to them. :-)

Heil may not be in a humorous mood to appreciate the irony in the above. Heil is rarely appreciative of anything not involved in the absolute obeyance of the existing law,

the mighty and noble perserverance to meet those laws
as they are. Why does Heil have such an attitude?

```
From 4400972869454978155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 00:46:48 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did Lennie make a difference??
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 07:42:18 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac827fc.186504701@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ac5dedd.36749137@news2.dvol.com> <20010331135248.15492.00000626@nso-
fm.aol.com> <3ac6ccc8.97660818@news2.dvol.com>
<MPG.15311088e474c63898969f@news.earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.82
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.82
X-Trace: 2 Apr 2001 02:39:34 -0500, 64.24.18.82
Lines: 28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18458
```

On Sun, 01 Apr 2001 15:15:49 GMT, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote:

>
>Life must have been rough having to cower in those watering holes, as
>opposed to foxholes, there on the Ginza with all the B-ladies hanging on
>his every word about his exploits on HF Bwwaaahhhhhhhhh
>

Ya mean Ridgeway didn't have Lenny on staff as his communications
consultant on military boatanchor radios? Tsk, tsk.

>
>--
>DX is a way of life to some
>A hobby to others
>an obsession to the rest
>5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
>

Who did you work in Zone 23 on 80 to pull off the 5BWAZ trick??

>
>#1 Honor Roll (still)
>

. . one of these days I gotta pull this pile of cards together and
mabe do a submission . . . I got "deletions" . .
>
w3rv
>
5BDXCC # 142

From 717873837741385783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 01:46:14 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 02 Apr 2001 08:42:03 GMT
Message-ID: <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.50
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.50
X-Trace: 2 Apr 2001 03:39:20 -0500, 64.24.17.50
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18459

On Sun, 01 Apr 2001 22:06:19 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>SSB on 10 was quite nice.
>
>We never once tapped a single letter out on cw.
>
Yeah, but then came one or another of the Central American natural
disasters or another 2-3 years ago and the HF net which handles
traffic for the Red Cross HQ in Florida to Central America jumped on
40m ssb as usual but got nowhere because of the QRM in the phone band,
some of it intentional, sick as that was, so they moved the operation
down the band and did their thing using CW, look Ma, no repeaters or
jammers.
>--
>Rob St.Denis
>
w3rv

From 6167737413536418651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 02:01:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!headwall.stanford.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Sun, 1 Apr 2001 09:43:51 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9a7lo5$mh8$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AC20469.C90508A9@IEEE.org> <20010328231349.17661.00001317@nso-cg.aol.com> <JQFw6.11624$Uw.644896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9a3mm1$at9$0@208.206.142.87> <3AC567B4.7F0A235D@IEEE.org>
<9a60kp$osb$0@208.207.71.172> <3AC6B798.3A18DE33@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-20.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18460

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AC6B798.3A18DE33@IEEE.org...
> They were in the shipping lanes equipped with flares. That's how
> people were often rescued before radio. The situation would certainly
> have been worse but not necessarily hopeless.

The California saw the flares and thought the Titanic was having a fireworks
show for their guests.

ac6xg

From 3023217867342182211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-02 02:01:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sun, 1 Apr 2001 10:10:13 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9a7naj$n62$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-20.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18461
```

KB9WFK <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...

> If I use my VHF ht
> I can call the fire department directly (they monitor the repeaters in the
> area) and talk to a qualified person directly while more help is on the
way.
> I can be talking directly to a paramedic while an ambulance crew is being
> dispatched. I know because I did it this winter at the scene of a rolled
> over van in Indiana just over the Illinois border. A third party would
have
> been a pain in the butt, not to mention practically useless.

Most paramedics, firemen, and police officers are not ham radio operators
and cannot, or do not talk on, or listen to amateur radio repeaters.

ac6xg

```
From 4696145962336662578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 11:16:09 PST
Message-ID: <3ACABBE9.A2EBF51E@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 01:15:05 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9act15$j2j$1@208.206.142.85>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.56
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986321313
64.240.47.56 (3 Apr 2001 12:08:33 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18612

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I'd wager the percentage of skilled CW ops far
> exceeds the percentage of skilled No coders.

If the sample came from Intel, Dick, you would lose. Dr. Williamson,
PhD EE, is a no-code tech. So were all my engineering managers.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4737553613670324219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 11:18:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 85

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 18:16:24 GMT
References: <3ac8dd9b.44538929@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010403141624.27365.00000747@nso-ff.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18614

In article <3ac8dd9b.44538929@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 02 Apr 2001 06:10:37 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>I tested for my First
>>Class Radiotelephone (commercial) license in 1956. There was
>>no "GROL" back then, no exact question pool answers, some
>>parts of the written were essay and diagram-drawing, done at an
>>FCC office. Yes, the present-day commercial radiotelephone
>>test is a bit easier. How does that take away any of the original
>>accomplishment?
>>
>Reminds of the day I hoofed down to the Customhouse and glomed both my
>20wpm Extra *and* my Second Radiotelegraph . . . not a bad morning
>downtown. You did at least have a commercial radiotelegraph, didn't
>you? I mean being a hyper-professional in comms biz and having done it
>all back when.

Professionals (those that accept money for services rendered) in radio of the mid-1950s opted for radiotelephone in the majority. There was NO NEED for telegraphy in the majority of the whole radio world back then. Yes, there was some demand for commercial radiotelegraphists in the maritime radio service, LORAN, aviation radio on board trans-ocean air carriers. Was there a need for radiotelegraphists in Private Land Mobile Radio then? No. [the PLMRS as a group then incorporated the public safety radio services of today, in the USA under Part 90] Was there a need for radiotelegraphy in radio broadcasting then? No. Was there a need for radiotelegraphy in long-haul commercial HF communications? No.

Where is radiotelegraphy even used today? On some maritime installations, principally in non-US shipping. [the old 500 KHz international maritime distress and safety frequency has been replaced by GMDSS]. The more modern maritime installation has SSB voice, VHF voice, data and teleprinter, automatic distress and safety UHF/low-microwave that includes GPS position data. The US military still had some need for OOK CW skills up to about 1975; that was very secondary

compared to their major message communications
mode of data (teleprinter) and voice.

Will any professional (those who accept money, a
salary for services rendered) really need ANY radio
license to work on government contracts involving
the radiation of RF for any intended purpose? NO in
the vast majority of such contracts, from the 1950s
on to present day. Is any sort of radio license needed
by staff personnel today in fixed or land mobile radio,
broadcasting, radionavigation, paging, or cellular
telephony? You look it up...ALL the Parts of Title 47
are available for free download/viewing at the FCC
site, recent as of October 2000. Do you want to
count and compare the number of ALL radio licenses
in USA civil radio? Those are free downloads too.
Radiotelegraphy is a very, very small part of that,
almost miniscule, the majority of those existing only
in amateur radio.

I never "did it all" as a professional (accepting money
for services rendered). I DID have prior experience in
long-haul 24/7 HF communications courtesy of the
US Army but radiotelegraphy in such military radio
of the early 1950s was already almost non-existant.
That exposure to the Big Leagues of HF communication
(a mere 36 to 42 transmitters at little ADA, all of them
running RTTY, AFSK TTY on 12 KHz SSB, and SSB
voice) convinced me that the future of commercial
communications past the mid-1950s did NOT include
any radiotelegraphy. That prophecy-by-demonstration
proved correct.

Why would anyone back then have wanted a commercial
radiotelegraph license for non-shipboard radio? Did you
think lots of radio-work-interested folks lusted after
working on the old LORAN shore stations?!?   :-)

Grab those Urban Myths of old radio days and haul them
out to reinforce the "necessity" to test for morse code
skills in amateur radio to provide a "pool of trained CW
operators" for the defense or emergency in the USA. :-)
Ho, ho, ho, lotsa laffs there, Briny. Ancient. Worn-out.

```
From -2062224779640404850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 11:18:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 71
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 18:16:23 GMT
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18613

In article <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:

>I have worked with Red Cross since 95 ... have worked during the ice storm
>as well as several localised problems ...
>
>and since when is a state more than 200kms accross ? or the damage that
>severe that even all of 200 kms inland destroyed so that not a single
>telephone or ssb rig is useful ...

Don't sweat some of these diehard CW fans, Rob. They have to "prove" (by any means possible regardless of ethics, morals, or logic) that OOK CW mode is superior, more useful, or divinely better than any other amateur mode in order to substantiate their rank, status, and position in USA amateur radio that came about through that skill. Ergo, whatever They have done in Their locality is better, stronger, more robust, more resistant than any of the public safety or public communications.

Back on January 17, 1994, the Northridge Earthquake knocked out ALL primary power to a large area of 10 million population of the Greater Los Angeles area at a bit after 4:30 AM. The public safety folks were already up and running on VHF voice, UHF voice, and leased landlines, beginning to aid citizens and repair damages. Likewise for the utility companies. This locality has organized and drilled for such emergencies and it worked fine. [others in here have bitched and moaned that Their local communities "cannot afford such things" but that is just ignorant rationales at making their message points to "prove they are right"]

The state of California (population about on par with Canada) has an Auxilliary Communications Service or ACS that integrates amateurs with professionals into a unified emergency communications structure. Larry Close has posted most of the ACS general overview in a separate message in this newsgroup. The California ACS has NO need for CW modes, doesn't plan on using such, no longer drills in CW mode among its amateur members. The ACS is realistic, it is part of the citizenry rather than being part of the past.

A number of PCTAs have decried the ACS with the usual geographic bigotry exhibited by eastern US and some midwestern US residents. The ACS still exists, works, and drills successfully for the citizenry, not for fulfilling some pipe dreams of past glories or exaggeration of past accomplishments demonstrated by the PCTAs in here. The ACS model is being picked up by some other states of the US.

From what I've seen of Canadian efforts at viable communications of amateur radio networks and in integration with government radio, Canadians can be as proud of their efforts in emergencies.

>as far as the operators being calm ... i havent seen a single person (myself
>included) not be shaky during cpr ...

My father suffered a single, fatal heart attack in the summer of 1975. In my back yard while I was at work. Reaching home quickly on hearing of this, I was able to meet and talk with the paramedics who had tried to revive him. One was shaky with exhertion of CPR and oxygen application and whatever else they did, the other despondent because my father couldn't be saved.

```
From -3462733651813986585
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 11:28:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9act15$j2j$1@208.206.142.85>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
```

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <oBoy6.9436$XV.2902650@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 18:26:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986322388 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:26:28 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 11:26:28 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18615

thats not the point ... one doesnt gain genious status becaus he can tap out morse ...

there are many out there who dont even know what smt is ...

so because they know code they are more valuable than even the most skilled no coder ?

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9act15$j2j$1@208.206.142.85...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > and i know a pile of cw fanatics who could set up a generator, solar, wind
> > or other power sources if their life depended on it ...
> >
> > i know cw'ers out here who don't know how to build a simple folded dipole
> > ...
> >
> > those are basic skills they should have ... and dont
>
>
> Really? How do you know? I'd wager the percentage of skilled CW ops far
> exceeds the percentage of skilled No coders.

From -1378430173152775937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 11:54:03 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 18:52:25 GMT
Message-ID: <3aca1961.125381640@news2.dvol.com>
References: <Jz1y6.2263$XV.392702@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.76
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.76
X-Trace: 3 Apr 2001 13:49:38 -0500, 64.24.19.76
Lines: 18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18616

On 03 Apr 2001 09:36:29 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>Will ALL future emergencies that occur on land only affect areas less than 120
>miles in area? If not, then situations will arise where longer-haul
>communications may be needed.
>
There's a 40m net based around Miami which handles heaps of traffic
for the Red Cross during & after Central American and Carribean
natural disasters. They use both ssb and cw and the paths are a bunch
more than 120 miles long. There are some people around here who
apparently don't spend much time reading QST so that they'd know
what's actually up in this area.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv

From -7558826979844130715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 12:10:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.tele.dk!62.112.0.25!newsfeed.online.be!ams.uu.net!news.mailgate.org!smtp.adc.com!not-for-mail
From: Hans_Brakob@adc.com ("Brakob, Hans")

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 19:08:15 +0000 (UTC)
Organization: Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: smtp.adc.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
X-Trace: news.mailgate.org 986324895 26203 155.226.10.207 (Tue, 3 Apr 2001
14:08:01 -0500)
X-Complaints-To: smtp.adc.com@abuse.net abuse@mailgate.org
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 19:08:15 +0000 (UTC)
Mail-From: Hans_Brakob@adc.com from smtp.adc.com [155.226.10.207]
X-URL: http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18617

Lame troll

--
Posted from smtp.adc.com [155.226.10.207]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

From -7046778121649586061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 13:18:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Jz1y6.2263$XV.392702@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com> <3aca1961.125381640@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Acqy6.10037$XV.3027432@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 20:16:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986328992 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 13:16:32
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 13:16:32 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18618

qst is ARRL's rag ... not RAC's

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                         |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@dvol> wrote in message
news:3aca1961.125381640@news2.dvol.com...
> On 03 Apr 2001 09:36:29 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>
> >Will ALL future emergencies that occur on land only affect areas less
than 120
> >miles in area? If not, then situations will arise where longer-haul
> >communications may be needed.
> >
> There's a 40m net based around Miami which handles heaps of traffic
> for the Red Cross during & after Central American and Carribean
> natural disasters. They use both ssb and cw and the paths are a bunch
> more than 120 miles long. There are some people around here who
> apparently don't spend much time reading QST so that they'd know
> what's actually up in this area.
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> w3rv
>




From 4034743846736259759
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 14:22:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 14:20:46 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3ACA3EAE.58657D30@firstinter.net>
```

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 26
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18619
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2236



"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> On Tue, 3 Apr 2001, W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > > I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
> > > phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!
> >
> > I thought it was impossible to misbehave on CW. :-) I'll look
> > for him, Jeff. How will I know it's not you?
>
> It seems strange for someone who knows CW to bootleg someone's call, except in
> the case of a former amateur whose license was revoked....

Back in the mid 60's I ran into a ham on 20 cw using my call (different
one at that time).  I didn't have a beam antenna, so couldn't really get
a bearing on the location, but propagation was primarily to the midwest
at the time.  I called him, and signed with the same call.  He quietly
disappeared.  :_)

Rick T.
W7RT

>
> Bootlegging usually is with the voice modes.


From 3884145338549225039
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: f8110,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8110,public
X-Google-Thread: 105207,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gid105207,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1147a1,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gid1147a1,public
X-Google-Thread: f5341,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gidf5341,public
X-Google-Thread: f64c6,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
```

X-Google-Attributes: gidf64c6,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ed5f,caace6cdd3ba4ae5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ed5f,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 14:24:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!teaser.fr!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!grolier!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!nntp.news.xara.net!xara.net!gxn.net!news5-gui.server.ntli.net!ntli.net!news6-win.server.ntlworld.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.pirate,alt.radio.scanner.uk,japan.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.radio.cb
References: <9absls$4blaa$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de> <kCgy6.499$rP3.67744@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com> <9ad0tk$4imtq$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de>
Subject: Re: POP3 email and free dial-up ISP
Lines: 93
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <g5ry6.2357$_a3.288324@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 22:16:59 +0100
NNTP-Posting-Host: 213.104.113.175
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ntlworld.com
X-Trace: news6-win.server.ntlworld.com 986332620 213.104.113.175 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 22:17:00 BST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 22:17:00 BST
Organization: ntlworld News Service
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.pirate:5002 alt.radio.scanner.uk:5915 japan.ham-radio:114 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18620 uk.radio.amateur:21525 uk.rec.radio.cb:4457

i still dont agree,but nice to have a well formulated reply,as said on a previous mail,i do look and enjoy a lot of things on your site.

regards Paul

G8ASO� <g8aso@bin.com> wrote in message
news:9ad0tk$4imtq$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de...
> Unfortunately, this is far from true in the world of amateur radio!
>
> You only have to take a cursory glance at some of the pages on our club
> site, to see that this is possibly the norm, rather than the exception.
> There have been numerous cases of serious damage to vehicles, a lit Marine
> Distress Flare thrown through a window, death threats, physical damage to
> radios, assault etc. Of the more bizarre incidents, a serving West
Midlands
> Police officer was witnessed by several people assaulting our club
> president, Nigel Hopkins, G1XYU, 2 serving West Midlands Police assaulting
> an LPWS member whilst he was incapacitated in a barber's chair, having his
> hair cut in Halesowen, still a further incident with a serving West
Midlands

```
> Police officer that eventually caused his "retirement on medical grounds"
> Police slang for "The Sack"!
> Aerial cables have been cut, family members harassed the list goes on and
> on!
>
> Radio amateurs are the most stupid and narrow minded section of low
> achieving social mis-fits you are likely to find. Everyone has a list of
> enemies, we are just offering a way to have a little fun with no
come-backs.
>
> The email program to use is called Easy Mail Sender, download it from any
of
> the Free Software sites listed on our site. Use any from address, usually
a
> deserving enemy is suitable, enter the recipient's email address, add the
> message and title plus any attachments and off you go. You can follow this
> up with a threatening message from the recipient to the sender, all good
> fun, and it just shows how vulnerable email really is.
>
> More fun than just keying your mike over people, the usual weapon of the
> "sensible" radio amateur!
>
> G8ASO
>
>
> "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:kCgy6.499$rP3.67744@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> > its that sort of behaviour that puts people off the the whole internet
> > revolution
> >
> > "sending large attatchements to your enemies"
> >
> > grown up dont have enemies,only children in the school playground have
> that
> > outlook,[and of course governments/political parties etc,who are still
> > mainly children]
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > G8ASO� <g8aso@bin.com> wrote in message
> > news:9absls$4blaa$1@ID-67923.news.dfncis.de...
> > > http://www.laughingpoliceman.com
> > >
> > > Our FREE (local call) ISP offers Internet dial-up with No passwords
and
> No
> > > user names, you can even connect with your caller ID barred! Get stuck
> in
> > > now and do whatever you like! Coming soon - Email anyone from anyone,
> yes,
> > > pretend to be someone else - send large attachments to your enemies
that
```

```
> > > will appear to be from anyone you desire. Two birds with one stone
> really!
> > >
> > > LPWS free email now offers POP3 access, so you can set it up in your
> usual
> > > email program (Outlook Express for example) and have it check without
> > > logging in to the web based page.
> > >
> > >
> > > Have fun, G8ASO [LPWS representative on Earth.]
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
```

```
From 3771200968429277147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,CP1252
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 14:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 17:42:21 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 190
Message-ID: <3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 57f18e0a.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=@Xk3cin1<iKE4XNZ]a2YgLLoK8f=:?
J8@[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FG:3`HBbcY[;BlBFDaL\d^nE;Dc5Cf5h9AI
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18622
```

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com...
>
> The state of California (population about on par with
> Canada) has an Auxilliary Communications Service
> or ACS that integrates amateurs with professionals
> into a unified emergency communications structure.
> Larry Close has posted most of the ACS general
> overview in a separate message in this newsgroup.
> The California ACS has NO need for CW modes,
> doesn't plan on using such, no longer drills in CW
> mode among its amateur members. The ACS is
> realistic, it is part of the citizenry rather than being
> part of the past.

Its worth repeating Cary Magnum's comment. It was unfortunate
that the FCC did not mention it in their License Restructuring
Decision.

Larry KC8EPO

~~~~~~
In The Matter Of 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review � Amendment of Part 97 Of
the Commission�s Amateur Service Rules, FCC WT Docket 98-143

FCC Secretary Magalie Roman Salas
Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W. Room 222
Washington, D.C. 20554

Public Service is the basis on which the amateur Service license has been authorized since its inception. This is the aspect on which the commission is urged to focus, and which we here address, as follows: (1) license privileges and classes, (2) testing requirement (code), (3) RACES Station licensing, (4) Amateur operation.

Background And Basis

Since 1985 California has had an aggressive program utilizing Amateur licenses. That year the state legislature mandated a paid staff coordinator with directions to enhance and expand that public service function involving amateur licensees. In April 1985 we began a series of education bulletins statewide at a time when there but three RACES units in the state. Today almost all of the 58 counties have an emergency communications unit based on the Amateur licensees; and our weekly EMCOMM Bulletins have would-wide distribution in addition to every state in the country.

In so far as we know, our program � the leader in the nation � is the only one with a paid staff position created by the state legislature to support the use of Amateur licensees in service to their communities.

In 1987 the American Radio Relay League requested that the statewide
~~~~~~

Bulletins be placed in the Amateur packet radio networks around the U.S. In time they became the standard in the US, replacing s defunct FEMA education program. Today these Bulletins are the source of education information for emergency communications units based on Amateur Radio. They are literally on hundreds of bulletin boards and Internet sites around the world.

Because we are involved daily with quintessential public service � their use in and for local government, we have a broader experience of Amateur license activities that than most petitioners. Our program is the recognized model in the U.S., Canada, Australia, New Zealand, England and other countries worldwide. The weekly EMCOMM Bulletins are on the worldwide Web at www.acs.oes.ca/ . Our Manual, titled the �establishing and maintaining an Emergency Communications unit for government�, is the only one of its kind in the country.

(2) Testing Requirement (4) Amateur Operations

In the 1990�s very significant changes have occurred affecting the usefulness of Amateur radio licensee to government. Today we face information systems that did not exist in the past. As we look to the year 2000 we need Amateur licensees capable of providing a variety of services in communications, typically computer based.

Thus, the use of code has declined to where it is no longer viable for government.

a. California eliminated the use of CW some years ago. Although we have a large and extensive communications center staffed for and with Amateur licensees, we had not used CW in decades. We retained a CW net until 1995, but it served no essential purpose, just once-a-week training for 3-5 participates. No county governments participated for years.

b. The US Coast Guard also eliminated the use of morse code several years ago, which is further evidence of its lack of applicability to today�s communications needs.

Today, our information systems are based computer based and operate by both wire and wireless. If the Amateur of today is not familiar with computers he/she is of little use. A background in computer experience and knowledge is far and away more important than that of CW.

When I became licensed in 1941 Amateur radio was the means of alternative communication. Today, the computer provides that, hence thousands of those who would otherwise be FCC Amateur service licensees never apply because the service does not attract them. Until we recognize that factor the service will not grow significantly, nor do we get the new blood of technically oriented people to spark the new innovations so badly needed.

To make matters worse, to a large degree an �old-boy network� exists in Amateur radio; one that grew up with �you must pass the code� concept. Like people everywhere �what�s good enough for me is good enough for you� is the mindset of many of today�s Amateurs. Without the ability to look to the future, or grasp the many changes occurring around them, they would keep practices long since useless. In government today we pass data digitally, not by CW or voice radio. In fact, there are government agencies who seek to do away with radio entirely.

One of my constant battles is to keep some radio capability functioning in government. There is a new breed of administrators who focus on the bottom dollar, not redundancy. Often they have no experience in communications failures, and their digital computer networks have not failed. They see no need for radio. So, they no longer fund or support radio programs. The federal Highway Authority (FHWA) is one instance of an agency that no longer supports High frequency radio. It�s a very real every-day battle. Our fiscal year has just begun and yesterday I was informed that there are no funds for communications equipment as it all went for computer systems.

So, I urge the reduction of code requirement to 5 wpm at general license level as it is not essential skill qualifier in today�s world. When it is possible to do so under international radio regulations, I further urge the Commission to drop the CW requirement entirely. It is not relevant to the year 2001 and subsequent real-world needs in communications.

(1) License Privileges and Classes

The proposed classes (no-code Tech, General, Advance, Extra) are appropriate. There is a shortage of people above the tech license interested in emergency Communications. It has been the Tech licensee�s that have made our programs possible. Without them the programs would flounder. There are exceptions, but in general it is the Technician licensees we rely on today. Due to the shortage to those qualified to operate HF we have to put a higher qualified licensee with them just to staff HF circuits.

I urge the Commission to adopt a requirement of computer ability, at least at the general level. Knowledge of technical digital information is not important. There may be those who will assert that test should include questions on Amtor, Pactor, Clover or such. The problem there, however, is that such modes are already out-of-date. To lock the testing into them is already a decade behind the times. What we need is young minds open to new possibilities, not old minds locked in decades old minsets. There are enough computer specialist around that its� easy to find them and they don�t need Amateur licensees to fix technical problems. In fact, it is their interest in the technical aspect of computers that have draws them away from Amateur radio.

I recommend that the licensee demonstrate the ability to use a computer; that is, demonstrate operating ability in word processing program, in a text-editor, how to use eMail and how to use communications programs. These are skills that need demonstrating in person, in lieu of the code test. It will be difficult to have multiple choice or yes/no questions to uncover these skills. Have the applicant demonstrate ability on his/her computer or one by owned by the examiner. It�s not too difficult to set such a standards as it is required of countless job applicants these days. An alternative is some of certification by a computer user group as to demonstrated skills.

(3) RACES Station Licensing

I urge that the provision for RACES station license be dropped. Few exist. Those that do should not be renewed. With today�s easy availability of callsigns, the purpose of calls for government EOC�s is simple. Here we formed a club to support the state activities and got licenses for each of the state�s regional EOC�s. It�s not quite the same, but it works.

The disadvantage of the RACES station license is a provision in the current regulation that has been misapplied. (see.......) This provision should be dropped. Specifically, that section states that a Races Station (defined in ....) cannot talk to another RACES station. Legally that means two RACES stations LICENSEE. However it has been interpreted to mean that any Amateur licensee operating in the RACES (mode or activity) cannot talk to any Amateur licensee operating in a non-RACES activity. For instance, during the 1970�s a FEMA employee critized one of our California amateurs for allowing a Amateur operating in a RACES activity to call and talk to an amateur for a club (non RACES) who had telephone capable of solving a need. The FEMA person did not understand that it only to a RACES station licensee.

Cary Mangum, Advanced Class Licensee W6WWW
State RACES Officer, California
State ACS Officer, California
Emergency Coordinator, Telecommunications

From 2421554263712257570
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 14:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 21:46:09 GMT
References: <9abme7$97g$1@208.207.71.160>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010403174609.18052.00000851@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18624

In article <9abme7$97g$1@208.207.71.160>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> Till you were swatted with that one yoiu swore that NO ONE was using CW
>for disater planning. It woulkdn't matter how many you're told about,
>it'l never
>satisfy you. Those who forsee the possible need, and can USE CW will be
>the people
>who plan far enough head to keep it. Of course in NJ you can use a
>handietalkie.

The California ACS dropped CW some time ago...and it has
already been through Real Emergencies...and it plans
ahead and more realistically by integrating amateur
communications with the rest of the governmental and
industrial-business networks.

California has a larger population, greater land area, more
and higher mountains, more and flatter land than Missouri
and Pennsylvania combined.

From 2515845691200256773
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 14:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 21:46:08 GMT
References: <20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010403174608.18052.00000850@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18623

In article <20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <Jz1y6.2263$XV.392702@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis"
><tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:
>
>>but in reality ... on land there is not a need for >100km emergency traffic
>
>How do you figure that, Rob?
>

>Will ALL future emergencies that occur on land only affect areas less than
>120 miles in area? If not, then situations will arise where longer-haul
>communications may be needed.

The 1994 Northridge Earthquake affected an area of about 40 by 80 miles. That's 320 square miles in area. No problem here since the emergency organizations planned for that, trained for that, were equipped for that and did the job well.

From 6533095624601962390
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 14:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 21:46:07 GMT
References: <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010403174607.18052.00000848@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18626

In article <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>, "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> writes:

>"Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
>> You guys are on the other side of the same coin ... and you certainly
>> can't see our point neither
>
>"Our" point, Rob is that CW is still a viable communications tool that
>should and can be used to enhance emergency communications. The EOC had
>landlines, cell phones, HF and VHF equipment available for use.

The California ACS is set up, drills, holds regular practice with all amateur modes except CW...and integrates amateur communications with other existing communications.

>In our case, cell phone lines were overloaded (useless), landlines were
>working, but were very busy. VHF didn't have the capability to reach out
>300 miles, and SSB was racked with QRN. CW was clearly the communication
>tool of choice at the time. Once the QRN cleared, we went back to SSB,
>which was clearly the mode of choice when readable.

During the 1994 Northridge Earthquake there was no damage

to the cellular telephone sites but, cellular being a part of the
telephone system, there was a period when too many tried to
call out through both cellular and landline telephone paths.
No problem to the local emergency/public safety folks since
they had planned for that, trained for that, were equipped for
that and did their job very well.

>Your side seems to think that local voice communication is the end all
>solution to every problem. I was merely showing that it was not, and that
>CW was still a good tool to have available.

"Your side" seems overly engrossed with CW because you
happen to prefer that mode. The California ACS has dropped
CW as any sort of emergency communications mode.

From 8089683856883565313
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 14:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 Apr 2001 21:46:08 GMT
References: <3ac9cc3a.105631114@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010403174608.18052.00000849@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18625

In article <3ac9cc3a.105631114@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
writes:

>This region is not flat, HTs are useless around here without repeaters
>and even then they have to be less than 5 miles from a machine they
>can hit. I have two 50w 2m mobile xcvrs and a 100w HF cw/ssb mobile
>xcvr with which I can beep and yak the whole planet on 9 bands
>withount a repeater.

Ever hear of the Condor Net, Briny? One can talk on 223 from
north of San Francisco all the way south to the Mexican
border or cross state lines into Nevada or Arizona. Quite a bit
more than "5 miles." California radio amateurs made it
happen over twenty years ago.

Parts of California are definitely not flat (Mt. Shasta is over

14 thousand feet ASL and the surrounding mountain ranges
begin at 4 thousand feet and go up and down and up and...).
California has more unflat land than Pennsylvania. It has
more flat land (the gigantic San Joaquin Valley) than
Missouri...and some other flat states combined.

```
From 541413912353978288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 14:56:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 17:54:30 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 57f18e0a.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=Y@ZYWCmc2^mh_P==R2PeVjLoK8f=:?
J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;Fg:3`HBbcY[;blBFDaL\d^ne;Dc5Cf5h9Ai
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18627
```

""Brakob, Hans"" <Hans_Brakob@adc.com> wrote in message
news:3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com...

> Lame troll

Well how many people have you save using CW?

Are you proficient in medical first aid, CPR, and AED use?

If thing are so bad that CW and only CW will get through,
you would probably do more good using your medical
first aid, CPR and AED skills.

I propose that that the FCC change 47CFR 97.503(a)
the require CPR certification.

Larry KC8EPO

From -7457154844591164988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 15:02:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!
jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: 3 Apr 2001 21:48:10 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9adgeq$ou9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix3.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 986334490 25545 128.171.44.52 (3 Apr 2001 21:48:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 3 Apr 2001 21:48:10 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18628
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2238

D. Stussy <kd6lvw@att.net> wrote:
>It seems strange for someone who knows CW to bootleg someone's call,

It sounds as if you have some expertise in these matters, except that...

>except in the case of a former amateur whose license was revoked....

...the former holder of the call is SK...

>Bootlegging usually is with the voice modes.

"Usually"? What's the basis of such a conclusion? I'd be interested
in the research you've conducted in this area. How did you compile
the data to make such a statement, especially when most cases probably
go undetected and/or unreported?

73, Jeff KH6O

From -1816753251315429422

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 15:14:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153380c8d910521d9896a1@news.earthlink.net>
References: <20010330141654.14296.00000676@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010330214452.22421.00002076@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<3ac64adf.3871107@news.mindspring.com> <HNCx6.4134$aD4.258244@news2.atl>
<3ac92c67.1708222@news.mindspring.com> <9abme7$97g$1@208.207.71.160>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 46
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 22:13:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 168.191.124.215
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986335989 168.191.124.215 (Tue, 03 Apr
2001 15:13:09 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 15:13:09 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18629

In article <9abme7$97g$1@208.207.71.160>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > On Sun, 1 Apr 2001 05:53:04 -0400, "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >"Bill Sohl" wrote in part ...
> > >
> > >> Even if that's true (it isn't by the way, it is but one of several
> > >> reasons for the ARS as stated in Part 97.1), the use of CW
> > >> in ALL emergency comms has ended.  I have yet to see one
> > >> example of where CW use is still being integrated into any
> > >> emergency preparedness effort by any recognized public
> > >> or amateur group.
> > >
> > >Apparently, you havent been to the Chatham County EOC lately.  CW is indeed
> > >an integral part of the ARS station there.
> >
> > That's a total of ONE so far.  Any others?
> >
>
>
>  Till you were swatted with that one yoiu swore that NO ONE was using CW
> for disater planning. It woulkdn't matter how many you're told about,
```

> it'l never
> satisfy you. Those who forsee the possible need, and can USE CW will be
> the people
> who plan far enough head to keep it. Of course in NJ you can use a
> handietalkie.
>

Actually, if memory serves, NJ uses an extensive HF net that meets weekly between the various Northern counties and the State capital. I remember talking to a Hank something or other (old time ham) and he was waiting for the 40m net to begin with Trenton. I forget which county he was from but he mentioned that they always get tons o'snow. That was a CW contact (going back a year or 3)

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From -2827039745811574322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 15:26:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <K4sy6.1286$al2.86709@news2.atl>
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 18:31:40 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.251
X-Trace: news2.atl 986336682 216.76.74.251 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 18:24:42 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 18:24:42 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18630

"Rob St.Denis" ...

> and i know a pile of cw fanatics who could set up a generator, solar, wind
> or other power sources if their life depended on it ...
>
> i know cw'ers out here who don't know how to build a simple folded dipole
> ...
>
> those are basic skills they should have ... and dont
>

Come work a mini-field day with the FISTS CW Club of Coastal Georgia some day and see how much of what you just said holds true. To the contrary, almost all of the pro-CW folks I know, not only have great skill at CW, but build their own antennas, and equipment. Can you say QRP? It sounds to me like you're grasping at straws now, Rob. Good luck holding on.

Arnie -
KT4ST

From 3979869992967308570
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 15:28:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a5vmj$m8h$0@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BD24.F4D7754@IEEE.org> <9a7t9e$bef$1@208.206.142.100>
<rwMx6.182552$p66.53744324@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tqd$8vu$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93843.CD5C81D@IEEE.org> <9aa87b$1e0$0@208.207.71.158>
<SB1y6.2277$XV.395086@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <e6by6.961$al2.61472@news2.atl>
<psiy6.7900$XV.2438882@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 6
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <56sy6.1287$al2.86663@news2.atl>
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 18:33:09 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.251
X-Trace: news2.atl 986336769 216.76.74.251 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 18:26:09 EDT)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 18:26:09 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18631

Fair enough.

Arnie -

From 3637307508814806172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 15:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!e420r-
sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e3500-
chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ACA409D.845A3584@berkshire.net>
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@berkshire.net>
X-Sender: "David Robbins" <@mail.berkshire.net> (Unverified)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-gatewaynet (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<slrn9cjmlk.5qo.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 18:26:38 EDT
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 22:29:01 +0100
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18632
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2240

or maybe kh6ttt ???

Doug Smith wrote:
>
> >> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> > I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
> >> > phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!

>
> My bet:
> is that Jeffrey finds the offending 10-10 number belongs to K5BO who just
> bought a new bug...
> --
> Doug Smith W9WI
> Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> http://www.w9wi.com
> Due to excessive spam, messages from uu.net are deleted unread.

--
David Robbins K1TTT
e-mail: mailto://k1ttt@berkshire.net
web: http://www.berkshire.net/~robbins/k1ttt.html or http://www.k1ttt.net
AR-Cluster node: 145.69MHz or telnet://k1ttt.net

From 1272048751935118785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 15:51:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 14:42:13 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3ACA51C5.696BFAF0@uci.edu>
References: <9abme7$97g$1@208.207.71.160> <20010403174609.18052.00000851@nso-da.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18633

Len Over 21 wrote:

> The California ACS dropped CW some time ago...

Ya.... what was the date when ACS "dropped CW" again?

Is this where you obtained your expertise on the subject?

http://acs.oes.ca.gov/

It couldn't have been as a volunteer - like some of the rest of us around here.

From 2700599100294459823
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 15:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 14:44:26 -0800
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3ACA524A.24B4B77C@uci.edu>
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com> <3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18634

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> ""Brakob, Hans"" <Hans_Brakob@adc.com> wrote in message
> news:3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com...
> > Lame troll
>
> Well how many people have you save using CW?
>
> Are you proficient in medical first aid, CPR, and AED use?
>
> If thing are so bad that CW and only CW will get through,
> you would probably do more good using your medical
> first aid, CPR and AED skills.
>
> I propose that that the FCC change 47CFR 97.503(a)
> the require CPR certification.
>
> Larry KC8EPO

What are you proficient at, Larry, besides cutting and pasting legal documents?

From 5289442035431610920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 16:20:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 18:21:02 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9adlpr$hn2$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3ACA524A.24B4B77C@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a8.35
X-Server-Date: 3 Apr 2001 23:19:23 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18635

--

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:3ACA524A.24B4B77C@uci.edu...
> Larry Klose wrote:
> >
> > ""Brakob, Hans"" <Hans_Brakob@adc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com...
> > > Lame troll
> >
> > Well how many people have you save using CW?
> >
> > Are you proficient in medical first aid, CPR, and AED use?
> >
> > If thing are so bad that CW and only CW will get through,
> > you would probably do more good using your medical
> > first aid, CPR and AED skills.
> >
> > I propose that that the FCC change 47CFR 97.503(a)
> > the require CPR certification.
> >
> > Larry KC8EPO
>
> What are you proficient at, Larry, besides cutting and pasting legal
> documents?

hehe...One more thing I'm doing to stir up trouble in our club is trying to
get everyone (well, some anyway) certified in first aid by the Red Cross. I

think a requirement for a ham license is a tad bit of a reach, but the idea itself is good.

From -7108957320613257279
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 17:20:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ACB10D4.EBA96072@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 07:17:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <9adgeq$ou9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.97
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986343057 64.240.47.97 (3 Apr 2001 18:10:57 -0600)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!news.csl-gmbh.net!newsfeed2.news.nl.uu.net!sun4nl!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18636 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2243

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> D. Stussy <kd6lvw@att.net> wrote:
> >Bootlegging usually is with the voice modes.
>

> "Usually"? What's the basis of such a conclusion?

It stands to reason that a person who can bootleg CW can probably
obtain a general class license. It is not so apparent for voice
mode bootleggers.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7389538259521468207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8e02725d58762914,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 17:22:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ACB1160.B6D46ED0@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 07:19:44 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: YL Needs Elmer/Western Chicago Suburbs!
References: <20010403173310.22592.00003849@ng-md1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.97
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986343193
64.240.47.97 (3 Apr 2001 18:13:13 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18637

QRVChicago wrote:
> I'd like to find a friendly, knowledgeable "Elmer" in the suburban Chicago
> area....preferably around the western 'burbs where I am......who can help me

> with operating procedures, lingo, understand equipment, etc. This is no joke;
> please no flames or other rude behavior. Please mind your manners. I'm NOT
> looking for a boyfriend, in fact, I'm married and a mother! <grin>

Darn, I was just about ready to move from Texas to Chicago.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5824945512864086632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 18:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Set your clock Cecil
Lines: 5
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <Zguy6.675$9q6.575455@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 19:56:47 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.93
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 986345657 206.29.242.93 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 20:54:17 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 20:54:17 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18638

Looks like you are 12 hours ahead of reality.

From 5589980336625987560
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 18:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!

news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
In-Reply-To: <9adgeq$ou9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104040052250.15285-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <9adgeq$ou9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 34
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 00:57:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.40
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986345871 12.80.44.40 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 00:57:51 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 00:57:51 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18639 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2244

On 3 Apr 2001, Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> D. Stussy <kd6lvw@att.net> wrote:
> >It seems strange for someone who knows CW to bootleg someone's call,
>
> It sounds as if you have some expertise in these matters, except that...
>
> >except in the case of a former amateur whose license was revoked....
>
> ...the former holder of the call is SK...

I didn't say that it has to be the former amateur who had the SAME call; just a former amateur who can't get relicensed and happened to pick your call.

> >Bootlegging usually is with the voice modes.
>
> "Usually"? What's the basis of such a conclusion? I'd be interested
> in the research you've conducted in this area. How did you compile
> the data to make such a statement, especially when most cases probably
> go undetected and/or unreported?

On the basis that most of the bootlegging that goes on around here happens to be on 2m repeaters, and the mode certainly isn't CW. Anyone can bootleg using voice; no practice required. Not anyone can bootleg using manually generated morse code - such takes practice.

Anyone who has gone to the trouble of learning CW, etc., has no reason not to take the amateur radio examinations. That is my experience from being a volunteer examiner for 7 years and therefore meeting alot of people who have proven such.

[PS: As far as licensing is concerned, I am not in the "pro-code" group and do feel that the FCC's elimination of the higher speeds as a requirement was a correct action.]

From 5386686838506018026
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 18:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Apr 2001 01:36:26 GMT
References: <Wziy6.7933$XV.2448623@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010403213626.19540.00000585@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18640

In article <Wziy6.7933$XV.2448623@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:

>i didnt say area ... i said radius .. big difference
>
>a 100km radius allows for destruction up to 200kms across ... thats quite a
>bit!
>

Sorry - my mistake. Let me rephrase my question:

You previously wrote:

"but in reality ... on land there is not a need for >100km emergency traffic"

How do you figure that, Rob?

Will ALL future emergencies that occur on land only affect areas less than 120 miles in diameter? If not, then situations will arise where longer-haul communications will be needed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -7984516533480278822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 18:48:05 PST
From: kelly@dvol (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 01:44:17 GMT
Message-ID: <3aca74d8.148798359@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3ACA3EAE.58657D30@firstinter.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.108
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.108
X-Trace: 3 Apr 2001 20:41:30 -0500, 64.24.17.108
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news.tele.dk!212.74.64.35!colt.net!nycmny1-snf1.gtei.net!nycmny1-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18641
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2245

On Tue, 03 Apr 2001 14:20:46 -0700, "R.L. Tannehill, P.E."
<rickw7rt@firstinter.net> wrote:
>
>Back in the mid 60's I ran into a ham on 20 cw using my call (different
>one at that time).  I didn't have a beam antenna, so couldn't really get
>a bearing on the location, but propagation was primarily to the midwest
>at the time.  I called him, and signed with the same call.  He quietly
>disappeared.  :_)
>
JEEZ I wish I'd been there! Whatta hoot!
>
>Rick T.
>W7RT
>
>>
>> Bootlegging usually is with the voice modes.
>
. . . can't imagine howcum . . .
>
w3rv


From -4678611878544165098
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 19:19:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 4 Apr 2001 02:19:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9ae0bb$kf3$0@208.207.71.167>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
<3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org> <9abd06$csq$0@208.206.142.78>
<3ACA2A7C.45DCB52@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.167
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18642
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> > Sad part is you really believe that against mounds of evidence, right
> > here on rrap.
>
> The mounds of evidence exist only in your delusional mind, Dick. Unlike
> you, I believe that CW has merits that will ensure its survival.

The Mounds of Evidence are all the shortime hams who know so little about CW that even some who have passed a code test have no clue to its characteristices or how to actually use it on the air. Ignore and deny all you wish, facts are facts.

```
From 2083298611269919580
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 19:25:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 4 Apr 2001 02:24:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9ae0kl$kf8$0@208.207.71.167>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9act15$j2j$1@208.206.142.85> <3ACABBE9.A2EBF51E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.167
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18643

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I'd wager the percentage of skilled CW ops far
> > exceeds the percentage of skilled No coders.
>
> If the sample came from Intel, Dick, you would lose. Dr. Williamson,
> PhD EE, is a no-code tech. So were all my engineering managers.

Lessee, there are a few dozen hams who work for Intel. There are ~650K hams in the USA. Case closed.

From 8506508332069758977
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 19:29:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 4 Apr 2001 02:29:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9ae0ur$m1g$0@208.207.71.167>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

```
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9act15$j2j$1@208.206.142.85> <oBoy6.9436$XV.2902650@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.167
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18644
```

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:

>
> thats not the point ... one doesnt gain genious status becaus he can tap out
> morse ...
>
> there are many out there who dont even know what smt is ...
>
> so because they know code they are more valuable than even the most skilled
> no coder ?

You must work in computers like Cecil did. You digi's get brainlock
when required to think about anything else.
Who said anything about value other than that a ham who is CW capable
is of value when it is to be used? He's worthless if not.

```
From 2971118680115059695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 19:32:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Apr 2001 02:25:18 GMT
References: <oBoy6.9436$XV.2902650@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010403222518.09508.00000694@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18645
```

In article <oBoy6.9436$XV.2902650@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:

<on a reply to Dick Carroll>

>thats not the point ... one doesnt gain genious status becaus he can tap out
>morse ...

Sure they do...they gain "superior" capability and seek to
enforce that "superiority" by the continual urban myth claims
of "necessity" and "usefulness" of code as if that is a reason
to keep the code test element... :-)

>there are many out there who dont even know what smt is ...

Please don't ask too much of long-timers. I'm not sure they
know about technology, let alone surface mount technology.
:-)

>so because they know code they are more valuable than even the most skilled
>no coder ?

Absolutely according to all the PCTAs. They keep saying so.
:-)

From 1852525253473069316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 19:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Apr 2001 02:25:18 GMT
References: <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010403222518.09508.00000693@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18646

In article <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@qwest.net writes:

>We have about 10 months worth of figures behind us, and it appears that
>restructuring has had little effect on the total number of licensees, but it
>does seem to have put more people on HF.
>

>Looking at the no-HF/minimal-HF classes (Tech, Tech+, Novice) they have lost
>about 23,000 people since last April, and the full-HF classes (General,
>Advanced, Extra) have gained about 28,000.

Whether one uses "10 month" figures or the 11-month figures as of the end of March 2001, the above isn't quite true for HF. I used the end-of-March numbers compared to the end-of-April numbers to get the following:

The total number of Extra, Advanced, General, Technician-Plus, and Novice declined by 13,190 while the Technician (no HF privileges) increased by 19,010. The overall number of all licensees increased by 5,280 or a 0.86% gain over the end-of-April-2000 total licensees [approximately 0.94% annual gain].

Looking at the NEW licenses issued shows 210 new Extras, 1010 new Generals, but 18,391 new Technician class. Despite the restructuring with its lowering of the morse code maximum rate, 90.85% of all new licensees were Technician class.

The trite old argument that "Technicians will not renew" once they have reached the end of their first license period is not borne out by FCC database figures. Between end of April 2000 and end of March 2001, the total number of renewals within the ten-year period and during grace-period renewal was 26,200. Of those, Extra-through-Novice represented 63.5% of all renewals while Technician class renewals was 36.5%, a ratio very much like the that of all licensee class ratios.

According to FCC database figures collated at the AH0A site, there are still renewals of Advanced, Technician-Plus, and Novice classes.

Based on the AH0A tabulated data, there are FEWER licensees with HF privileges at the end of March 2001 as compared to the end of April 2000. Fewer, not more. No "seems" about it.

From -2323098080330842969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 19:53:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 4 Apr 2001 02:53:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9ae2b8$p85$0@208.207.71.167>

References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.167
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18647

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> ""Brakob, Hans"" <Hans_Brakob@adc.com> wrote in message
> news:3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com...
> > Lame troll
>
> Well how many people have you save using CW?
>
> Are you proficient in medical first aid, CPR, and AED use?
>
> If thing are so bad that CW and only CW will get through,
> you would probably do more good using your medical
> first aid, CPR and AED skills.
>
> I propose that that the FCC change 47CFR 97.503(a)
> the require CPR certification.

Aw, don't worry, Larry, you probably won't have to go into therapy over the CW test after all. You should know the FCC is almost sure to drop all CW testing, probably along with any meaningful testing of any sort, after the treaty is changed. Till then, well, you'l still have something to bitch about. You've got a few years to find something new to replace CW testing with.

Again, since it has made nary the slightest dent in your skull, the only reason for hams to know CW is so that they can use it when it is needed, and IT IS sometimes needed. I know, having faced those circumstances, and not in ham radio either.

From -3072735823581910012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 19:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Apr 2001 02:51:26 GMT
References: <3ACA51C5.696BFAF0@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010403225126.09227.00000637@nso-mp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18648

In article <3ACA51C5.696BFAF0@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> The California ACS dropped CW some time ago...
>
>Ya.... what was the date when ACS "dropped CW" again?
>
>Is this where you obtained your expertise on the subject?
>
>http://acs.oes.ca.gov/

Originally from the ACS network and in printed material
from that state office. After making the acquaintence of a
couple of local radio amateurs who worked for the city of
Los Angeles.

I and others have mentioned the California ACS website as
a convenient place to view their own words, particularly for
those who are out of state. The words do not differ from
their words printed on real paper using real ink.

Is the California ACS website "false and misleading"
because the ACS policy abandoned morse code mode?
Or is it "false and misleading" because it is a website?

>It couldn't have been as a volunteer - like some of the rest of us
>around here.

Oh my, aren't you something?   :-)

Why don't you volunteer to argue a subject on its merits
rather than on casting implications on personalities?

Good luck with that one now.

From 6807447862018868235
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 19:56:04 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl> <20010403174607.18052.00000848@nso-da.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <3%vy6.1410$al2.96772@news2.atl>
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 22:58:43 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.76.74.237
X-Trace: news2.atl 986352703 216.76.74.237 (Tue, 03 Apr 2001 22:51:43 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 03 Apr 2001 22:51:43 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18649

"Len Over 21" wrote in part ...

> "Your side" seems overly engrossed with CW because you
> happen to prefer that mode. The California ACS has dropped
> CW as any sort of emergency communications mode.
>

Is this the same state that hasn't built a power generating plant of any
kind in the last ten years? Sounds like they have a real handle on the long
term planning game, huh?

Arnie -
KT4ST

From -8630589378128942062
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 19:56:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!
135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
In-Reply-To: <20010403222518.09508.00000693@nso-mn.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104040246060.15503-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>

References: <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com> <20010403222518.09508.00000693@nso-mn.aol.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 52
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 02:51:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986352691 12.80.44.44 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 02:51:31 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 02:51:31 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18650

On 4 Apr 2001, Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <99tf4d$jmv$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@qwest.net writes:
>
> >We have about 10 months worth of figures behind us, and it appears that
> >restructuring has had little effect on the total number of licensees, but it
> >does seem to have put more people on HF.
> >
> >Looking at the no-HF/minimal-HF classes (Tech, Tech+, Novice) they have lost
> >about 23,000 people since last April, and the full-HF classes (General,
> >Advanced, Extra) have gained about 28,000.
>
> Whether one uses "10 month" figures or the 11-month figures as of
> the end of March 2001, the above isn't quite true for HF. I used the
> end-of-March numbers compared to the end-of-April numbers to get
> the following:
>
> The total number of Extra, Advanced, General, Technician-Plus,
> and Novice declined by 13,190 while the Technician (no HF
> privileges) increased by 19,010. The overall number of all licensees
> increased by 5,280 or a 0.86% gain over the end-of-April-2000 total
> licensees [approximately 0.94% annual gain].

...And are you reclassifying any of those "Technicians" who are so because they
renewed their "Technician Plus" (or upgraded from Novice) and were issued a
"downgraded" license? If not, please redetermine these renewals or upgrades
and classify them as they should be, Technician w/ HF. Also, how can you be
certain of any of the Technician licenses now, being that since April 15, 2000
(except those previously licensed as novice), the only proof will be a morse
code CSCE in hand which does not even show in licensing records...?

> Looking at the NEW licenses issued shows 210 new Extras,
> 1010 new Generals, but 18,391 new Technician class. Despite
> the restructuring with its lowering of the morse code maximum
> rate, 90.85% of all new licensees were Technician class.
>
> The trite old argument that "Technicians will not renew" once
> they have reached the end of their first license period is not
> borne out by FCC database figures. Between end of April 2000

```
> and end of March 2001, the total number of renewals within the
> ten-year period and during grace-period renewal was 26,200.
> Of those, Extra-through-Novice represented 63.5% of all
> renewals while Technician class renewals was 36.5%, a ratio
> very much like the that of all licensee class ratios.
>
> According to FCC database figures collated at the AH0A site,
> there are still renewals of Advanced, Technician-Plus, and
> Novice classes.
>
> Based on the AH0A tabulated data, there are FEWER licensees
> with HF privileges at the end of March 2001 as compared to
> the end of April 2000.  Fewer, not more.  No "seems" about it.
```

```
From 2315614710680816452
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 20:01:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 4 Apr 2001 03:00:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9ae2p2$p85$1@208.207.71.167>
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.167
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18651
```

```
Larry Klose wrote:
>
> ""Brakob, Hans"" <Hans_Brakob@adc.com> wrote in message
> news:3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com...
> > Lame troll
>
> Well how many people have you save using CW?
```

Much more important would be the question how many people could you possibly save if their only communications happened to be to call in on

CW on your voice net?

From 2561612824167208552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 20:14:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!zeus.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 4 Apr 2001 03:12:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9ae3ef$p85$2@208.207.71.167>
References: <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl> <20010403174607.18052.00000848@nso-da.aol.com> <3%vy6.1410$al2.96772@news2.atl>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.167
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18652

Arnie Macy wrote:
>
> "Len Over 21" wrote in part ...
>
> > "Your side" seems overly engrossed with CW because you
> > happen to prefer that mode. The California ACS has dropped
> > CW as any sort of emergency communications mode.
> >
>
> Is this the same state that hasn't built a power generating plant of any
> kind in the last ten years? Sounds like they have a real handle on the long
> term planning game, huh?

HAR HAR HAR!!!

From 1479195431360661225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 20:39:20 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 03:37:41 GMT
Message-ID: <3aca851c.152962603@news2.dvol.com>
References: <Wziy6.7933$XV.2448623@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403213626.19540.00000585@nso-fr.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.66
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.66
X-Trace: 3 Apr 2001 22:34:55 -0500, 64.24.16.66
Lines: 39
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!peerfeed.news.psi.net!news-
feed2.tiac.net!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18653

On 04 Apr 2001 01:36:26 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>How do you figure that, Rob?
>
>Will ALL future emergencies that occur on land only affect areas less than
>120 miles in diameter? If not, then situations will arise where longer-haul
>communications will be needed.
>
The down 'n dirty question is do hams ever participate in emergency
comms between stations which are separated by sixty-some miles? The
answer is a thundering YES!
>
Conjurs up the story abt when Richard Nixon went to Venezuela on a
horribly misguided "good will tour" and his whole high-profile
entourage found thenselves barricaded in some hotel in Caracas against
screaming mobs of Venezuelan nationaists bent on tossing the Yank oil
companies out of the Lake Maraciobo oil field.
>
Washinton went apeshit because the phones in Caracas went unusable
during the riots and DC had no idea if Slippery Dick was still alive
or dead. No problemo. USAF Cheif of Staff General Curt LeMay who was a
ham and part of the Nixon entourage dropped some wire out the hotel
window and put his suitcase portable Collins KWM-2A on the air and hit
the tribander on the roof of the White House and called off the
Marines.
>
It's history carved in stone.
>
Hey Jim, how far izzit from Caracas to 1600 Pennsylvania Ave.? Gotta
be more than 60 miles . . .
>
Anybody dull-witted enough to think that technology has anything to do
with keepinhg bad history from repeating itself has an education
enroute.

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv

From -6641695054104648495
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 21:28:37 PST
Message-ID: <3ACB4AE2.4652CAD0@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 11:25:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
<3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org> <9abd06$csq$0@208.206.142.78>
<3ACA2A7C.45DCB52@IEEE.org> <9ae0bb$kf3$0@208.207.71.167>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.44
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986357914
64.240.47.44 (3 Apr 2001 22:18:34 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!
newsfeed.icl.net!easynet-tele!easynet.net!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18654

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The Mounds of Evidence are all the shortime hams who know so little
> about CW that even some who have passed a code test have no clue to its

> characteristices or how to actually use it on the air. Ignore and deny
> all you wish, facts are facts.

They are exactly like you were in, when was it, 1960? You keep
exhibiting this need for newcomers to be as experienced as you,
Dick. You know it doesn't happen that way. There's just no way
a 20 year old can be as experienced a ham as you are. I know it
makes you feel superior, but newcomers deserve a break.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3501349653099781554
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 21:32:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dan Yemiola <AI8O@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 00:29:12 -0400
Organization: Multicellular Brachiating Eukaryotes
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Reply-To: Dyemiola@LexComInc.et
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-37.r14.nclxtn.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 986358553 42366 207.144.151.167 (4 Apr 2001 04:29:13
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 4 Apr 2001 04:29:13 +0000 (UTC)
To: Keith <nospam@strongsignals.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.02 [en]C-DIAL (Win95; U)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18655
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14602

The trouble is that the way Powell and Company are talking this could be
a TRUE story in a few years. How much would the Amalgamated
Consolidated-United Mega-Corp. pay at auction for an exclusive
assignment at 40m? or 10m, or maybe for ALL of HF?

You may think this is a troll now, BUT?!?!?!?!

AI8O

Keith wrote:
>
> April 1, 2001 -(AP)
> FCC Chairman wants to eliminate Morse Code and wasted spectrum by Hams.
>
>   In a speech Saturday night to the telecommunications industry FCC Chairman
> Michael Powell spoke out against the use of Morse Code and the waste of
> valuable spectrum by ham radio operators, ham radio operators are licensed CB
> operators that pass a Morse Code test. The Chairman is concerned about the
> waste of spectrum worth billions of dollars to the telecommunications
> industry that is currently being used by Ham Radio operators.
>
>   The Chairman also wants a big change in the spectrum wasted in the Ultra
> High Frequency area of spectrum in which Ham Radio Operators hold a
> staggering sixty megahertz of spectrum, more then any other radio service.
>
>   "Amateur Radio operators in this frequency band only need about two
> megahertz to chat with each other about the weather. Cellular and portable
> communications are in great demand and the FCC should not allow a few
> thousand people to hog spectrum needed by millions of consumers."
>
>   In a detailed presentation he outlined his plan to ask the
> International Telecommunications Union for the reassignment of a four
> megahertz chunk of spectrum to the airline industry that is presently held by
> amateurs near the overcrowded civilian aircraft band. He also outlined the
> conversion of thirty megahertz of frequency for use by telecommunications
> providers for data systems that could be used to supply high-speed access to
> the internet via radio frequencies.
>
>   To demonstrate why the FCC Chairman believes the Amateur Radio Service is
> wasting spectrum he played several tapes of licensed ham operators
> using vulgar language and jamming stations in the band he wishes to
> convert to the airline industry.
>
> When asked by reporters about the possible opposition to his plan by ham
> radio operators the Chairman smiled and exclaimed, "We are going to cut it
> off and kill it!"
>
> � 2001 Associated Press, All Rights Reserved.
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith   (Use Reply-to for email)
> ---
> "Where would Christianity be if Jesus got eight to fifteen years with
> time off for good behavior?" NY State Senator James Donovan, speaking
> in support of capital punishment.

> --

```
From 4067869448520963955
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 21:34:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ACB4C21.2DB3EC54@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 11:30:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9act15$j2j$1@208.206.142.85> <oBoy6.9436$XV.2902650@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ae0ur$m1g$0@208.207.71.167>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.44
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986358233
64.240.47.44 (3 Apr 2001 22:23:53 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!64.71.136.253.MISMATCH!news!
news.he.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18656
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Who said anything about value other than that a ham who is CW capable
> *is* of value when it is to be used? He's worthless if not.

The no-coders can always make the beer runs. That's got to be
worth something.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2032850089522335841
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 21:44:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ACB4D9B.89F67F2E@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 11:36:43 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9act15$j2j$1@208.206.142.85> <3ACABBE9.A2EBF51E@IEEE.org>
<9ae0kl$kf8$0@208.207.71.167>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.44
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986358612
64.240.47.44 (3 Apr 2001 22:30:12 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18657
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

```
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > I'd wager the percentage of skilled CW ops far
> > > exceeds the percentage of skilled No coders.
> >
> > If the sample came from Intel, Dick, you would lose. Dr. Williamson,
> > PhD EE, is a no-code tech. So were all my engineering managers.
>
>  Lessee, there are a few dozen hams who work for Intel. There are ~650K
> hams in the USA. Case closed.

There are more than 30 dozen hams at Intel, Dick. Multiply that by all
the technical companies like IBM and Motorola and you have a plethora
of engineers including a lot of PhDs who are nocode techs. Your wishing
it wasn't so doesn't change a thing.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1435110371066470746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 21:56:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!oleane.net!oleane!
esplande3000.net!isdnet!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!w9wi
From: w9wi@linux1.localdomain (Doug Smith )
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<9adgeq$ou9$1@news.hawaii.edu> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104040052250.15285-100000@exp.bde-
arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: me
Message-ID: <slrn9cl6ou.9s4.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 17
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 04:51:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.113.145
X-Trace: news2.atl 986359880 209.214.113.145 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 00:51:20 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 00:51:20 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18658
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2246
```

On Wed, 04 Apr 2001 00:57:51 GMT, D. Stussy <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
>Anyone who has gone to the trouble of learning CW, etc., has no reason not to
>take the amateur radio examinations. That is my experience from being a
>volunteer examiner for 7 years and therefore meeting alot of people who have
>proven such.

Being unable to get a license is only one of a number of reasons for someone
to bootleg a call. It is quite possible the bootlegger in person actually
has a call but for some reason would rather use Jeffrey's. (to suggest one
possible reason: because he wants to know what it's like to be DX without
actually travelling to a DX location)
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com
Due to excessive spam, messages from uu.net are deleted unread.

From 5526344485223584645
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 21:58:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 00:56:30 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3ACAA94E.A7A3DD96@sccoast.net>
References: <3AC6B798.3A18DE33@IEEE.org> <20010403053629.07395.00000673@nso-
cs.aol.com> <3ACA8D7F.34417749@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-165.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 986360086 42735 206.74.49.165 (4 Apr 2001 04:54:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 4 Apr 2001 04:54:46 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18659

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Egads, not enough lifeboats and not
> enough flares either. Aren't all
> present day lifeboats equipped with

> flares?

The Titanic had plenty of flares. The problem, as I understand it, is that they were not the right color (white instead of red). And, back then, they were huge bottle rocket type affairs, not the small flares that could be carried on a lifeboat today. In addition, the flares burned for only five to six seconds, as opposed to the 60+ seconds of today's parachute flares.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -9038542638318567897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1f00b62a918777b
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1f00b62a918777b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1f00b62a918777b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 22:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!
newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!w9wi
From: w9wi@linux1.localdomain (Doug Smith )
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Hara Arena 2-B sold to developers?
References: <dYny6.1206$rk1.132948@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: me
Message-ID: <slrn9cl766.9s4.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 28
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 04:58:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.113.145
X-Trace: news2.atl 986360304 209.214.113.145 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 00:58:24 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 00:58:24 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14603
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18660 rec.radio.shortwave:30712
```

On Tue, 03 Apr 2001 17:42:33 GMT, Barry <bhorowitz99@cooper.com> wrote:

>Was listening in the advanced portion of 75 last
>night to an rountable of 8-land stations. One topic
>that was discussed was the possibility that this
>year may be the last year for the Dayton Hamvention
>to be held at the Hara Arena. Reasons stated was
>that the owners of the Hara have been seeing revenue
>drop below the bare minimum for profit margins, not
>only due to the continued drop per year at the Hamvention
>but cancellations and moving of other events that the Hara

>hosts throughout the Year. To put it plainly, the word is that
>the Hara Arena will be sold to developers before
>November 2001, who then plan to demolish the site and
>put up an upscale condo complex with an associated shopping
>center on the site. The Arena has outlasted it's intended
>life and is now a financial liability to it's owners, so that
>means it's "time to sell".   Anyone know any more about this ??

Haven't heard this, but it wouldn't surprise me. It looks like a halfway
decent residential neighborhood surrounding it on most sides, and I'm sure
the golf course improved residential values too. The Hara does seem more
than a bit out of place at that location.

--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com
Due to excessive spam, messages from uu.net are deleted unread.

From -2377934523510237096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-03 22:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!news-hog.berkeley.edu!
ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 3 Apr 2001 22:16:56 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9aeak5$c3d$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3ACA51C5.696BFAF0@uci.edu> <20010403225126.09227.00000637@nso-
mp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-24.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18661

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010403225126.09227.00000637@nso-mp.aol.com...
> Why don't you volunteer to argue a subject on its merits
> rather than on casting implications on personalities?

Why don't you volunteer to argue a subject on its merits
rather than on casting implications on personalities?

```
From -9203234659738669402
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 05:35:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeeds.sol.net!
newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Zguy6.675$9q6.575455@nntp1.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
Date: Wed, 4 Apr 2001 08:29:20 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3acb13b4$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 9f14f7c8.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=`L6L]CeOI=4bV?_m\CJ]D6LoK8f=:?
J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7:3`HBbcY[;2lBFDaL\d^n53?7m1cD_[b6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18662


"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:Zguy6.675$9q6.575455@nntp1.onemain.com...
> Looks like you are 12 hours ahead of reality.

Maybe you 12 hours behind.  :-)

Larry KC8EPO




From 4674557835785684836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 05:38:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 12:34:02 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3ACB14BA.197DC843@bctv.com>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-71.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986387813 97429 63.87.116.71 (4 Apr 2001 12:36:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 4 Apr 2001 12:36:53 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18663
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2248

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
> > phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!
>
> I thought it was impossible to misbehave on CW. :-) I'll look
> for him, Jeff. How will I know it's not you?

He has absolutely atrocious fist, and has to pause periodically to look
up rarely-used letters from the Morse Code list on the front of his
walkie-talkie.

I dunno about the bootlegger, though.

From 1004239835522484465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 06:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Apr 2001 13:35:05 GMT
References: <3ACA8B8A.E0B2A930@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010404093505.22424.00000590@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18664

In article <3ACA8B8A.E0B2A930@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >More kids are killed in high school sports than in high school shootings.
>>
>> Please provide your sources for that claim, Cecil. And we are concerned
>about
>> ALL schools, not just high schools.
>
>"America's 1st Freedom" magazine.

Never heard of it.

>And I can't remember if it's high
>school sports or all school sports. They quoted a book of statistics,
>the title of which escapes me at the moment.

None of the above constitute reliable sources.
>
>> >Magnitudes more are killed in automobile accidents.
>>
>> Key word there is ACCIDENTS.
>
>I'm not convinced it's an accident when alcohol and/or drugs
>are involved.

That's why criminal charges are pressed when alcohol and/or drugs are involved.

>
>> > Punish the criminals, not the guns.
>>
>> How do you "punish" an inanimate object?
>
>By sending it through a buzzsaw like they did in Australia.
>If you don't believe that's punishment, how would you like
>to be sent through a buzzsaw?

Did the gun feel anything?
>
>Some anti-gun nuts seem to think guns have a will of their
>own.

Agreed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 6247551914151076115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 06:54:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ACBCF20.42DED56@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 20:49:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ACA8B8A.E0B2A930@IEEE.org> <20010404093505.22424.00000590@nso-mg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.110
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986391770 64.240.47.110 (4 Apr 2001 07:42:50 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18665

N2EY wrote:

> W6RCA wrote:
> >By sending it through a buzzsaw like they did in Australia.
> >If you don't believe that's punishment, how would you like
> >to be sent through a buzzsaw?
>
> Did the gun feel anything?

It must. You can hear the anti-gun nuts saying, "Die, die, die"
as they grind up the guns.

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -5333793103965927750
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 08:42:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 4 Apr 2001 15:42:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9affda$h2m$0@208.207.71.159>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
<3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org> <9abd06$csq$0@208.206.142.78>
<3ACA2A7C.45DCB52@IEEE.org> <9ae0bb$kf3$0@208.207.71.167>
<3ACB4AE2.4652CAD0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.159
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18666



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  The Mounds of Evidence are all the shortime hams who know so little
> > about CW that even some who have passed a code test have no clue to its
> > characteristices or how to actually use it on the air. Ignore and deny
> > all you wish, facts are facts.
>
> They are exactly like you were in, when was it, 1960? You keep
> exhibiting this need for newcomers to be as experienced as you,
> Dick. You know it doesn't happen that way. There's just no way
```

> a 20 year old can be as experienced a ham as you are. I know it
> makes you feel superior, but newcomers deserve a break.

Yeah, Cecil and for some reason you insist on keeping them from learning the facts. Why is that?

```
From 3993208234601578745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 08:43:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 4 Apr 2001 15:43:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9affet$h2m$1@208.207.71.159>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9act15$j2j$1@208.206.142.85> <oBoy6.9436$XV.2902650@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ae0ur$m1g$0@208.207.71.167> <3ACB4C21.2DB3EC54@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.159
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18667
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Who said anything about value other than that a ham who is CW capable
> > *is* of value when it is to be used? He's worthless if not.
>
> The no-coders can always make the beer runs. That's got to be
> worth something.

Thae would work until there is nothing left but nocoders.....

From 2345526022180651061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 08:45:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 4 Apr 2001 15:45:07 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9affi3$h2m$2@208.207.71.159>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9act15$j2j$1@208.206.142.85> <3ACABBE9.A2EBF51E@IEEE.org>
<9ae0kl$kf8$0@208.207.71.167> <3ACB4D9B.89F67F2E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.159
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18668

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > I'd wager the percentage of skilled CW ops far
> > > > exceeds the percentage of skilled No coders.
> > >
> > > If the sample came from Intel, Dick, you would lose. Dr. Williamson,
> > > PhD EE, is a no-code tech. So were all my engineering managers.
> >
> > Lessee, there are a few dozen hams who work for Intel. There are ~650K
> > hams in the USA. Case closed.
>
> There are more than 30 dozen hams at Intel, Dick. Multiply that by all
> the technical companies like IBM and Motorola and you have a plethora
> of engineers including a lot of PhDs who are nocode techs. Your wishing

> it wasn't so doesn't change a thing.

My wishes have nothing to do with it. Reality is what matters. There are just not that many techies even mildly interested enough in ham radio to get even a nocode ticket. Your wishes are at variance with the facts as usual, of course.

From -949376135328130527
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 08:53:54 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 15:51:45 GMT
Message-ID: <3acb2d8e.196082099@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<9adgeq$ou9$1@news.hawaii.edu> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104040052250.15285-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <slrn9cl6ou.9s4.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.86
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.86
X-Trace: 4 Apr 2001 10:48:56 -0500, 64.24.240.86
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18669
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2250

On Wed, 04 Apr 2001 04:51:20 GMT, w9wi@linux1.localdomain (Doug Smith
) wrote:

> (to suggest one
>possible reason: because he wants to know what it's like to be DX without
>actually travelling to a DX location)
>--
>

There were bugus dx cw & phone callsigns being used going back before I got into dxing in the '50s. It was almost a sport, provided chances to get into pileups when things were slow. The "Island of Ambrosio" popped up regularly on 20m ssb. Then we had the Don Miller fiasco. Based on what I'm hearing on the bands these days there isn't anywhere near as much of that nonsense today as there was back when.

>

>Doug Smith W9WI
>
w3rv

From -4491602630624488610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 08:58:47 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 15:53:14 GMT
Message-ID: <3acb2b6e.195542675@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ac8dd9b.44538929@news2.dvol.com> <20010403141624.27365.00000747@nso-ff.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.86
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.86
X-Trace: 4 Apr 2001 10:50:26 -0500, 64.24.240.86
Lines: 39
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18670

On 03 Apr 2001 18:16:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>Professionals (those that accept money for services
>rendered) in radio of the mid-1950s opted for radiotelephone
>in the majority. There was NO NEED for telegraphy in
>the majority of the whole radio world back then.
>
The discussion is the telegraph license in it's applicable timeframe,
it's not about not who did what elsewhere when. Divert maneuver = OFF.
U.S. commercial ships (over some size) could not put to sea without
having a Radio Officer who held a First Telegraph.aboard. And the only
way a Second Telegraph could upgrade was by spending a one year
apprenticeship under a First Class either at sea or at a coastal
station. A cw-proficient kid could make a helluva lot more money by
shipping out as a shipboard sparky than could a phone-licensed kid who
just twisted knobs at some BC station.
>
>
>Was there a need for radiotelegraphy in long-
>haul commercial HF communications? No.
>
In the 50s? Are you kidding? There was long haul cw in use all over
the HF spectrum. I distinctly remember eavesdropping on a qso between

```
a tramp in the Indian Ocean sending it's bill of lading to the RCA
station in North Jesey, 1972 or so. Izzat "long haul" enough?
>
>Where is radiotelegraphy even used today?  On some
>maritime installations, principally in non-US shipping.
>
I think it was Sunday nite I was idly tuning around 10.5 Mhz and ran
into a signal. Unending string of 15wpm cw alphanumeric gibberish.
Very strong here. That was not some Banana Republic ship or coastal
and it as just a few days ago.
>
>Why would anyone back then have wanted a commercial
>radiotelegraph license for non-shipboard radio?
>
Where did I even intimate otherwise?? Divert=OFF again.
>



From 1464051429353304691
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 10:34:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!nntp.abs.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 4 Apr 2001 13:15:52 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9af6q8$ufa$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986390152 31210 205.139.138.14 (4 Apr 2001 13:15:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 4 Apr 2001 13:15:52 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: lklose@net-link.net
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18671

In article <3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, Larry Klose
<lklose@net-link.net> writes:
>""Brakob, Hans"" <Hans_Brakob@adc.com> wrote in message
>news:3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com...
>> Lame troll
>
>Well how many people have you save using CW?
```

>
>Are you proficient in medical first aid, CPR, and AED use?
>
>If thing are so bad that CW and only CW will get through,
>you would probably do more good using your medical
>first aid, CPR and AED skills.
>
>I propose that that the FCC change 47CFR 97.503(a)
>the require CPR certification.

Persistently lame troll.

72.5, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -3639770880639876774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 11:27:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Apr 2001 18:25:23 GMT
References: <3%vy6.1410$al2.96772@news2.atl>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010404142523.27365.00000827@nso-ff.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18673

In article <3%vy6.1410$al2.96772@news2.atl>, "Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net>
writes:

>"Len Over 21" wrote in part ...
>
>> "Your side" seems overly engrossed with CW because you
>> happen to prefer that mode. The California ACS has dropped
>> CW as any sort of emergency communications mode.

>
>Is this the same state that hasn't built a power generating plant of any
>kind in the last ten years?

No. There are presently three new power plants being constructed in California.

>Sounds like they have a real handle on the long
>term planning game, huh?

The "California power crisis" was due to the controversial power deregulation brought about in 1996 by the state congress. That did not unduly affect any of the municipal power generating facilities. At issue in primary electrical power is the purchase price of power from other sources by PRIVATE (i.e., independent business) power suppliers. The deregulation of private electrical power has already begun in other states of the USA. The news media highlights California's problems in electrical power because it is the most populated state in the union, 33 million.

The California Auxilliary Communications Service has, presumably like independent RACES, independent electrical primary power emergency supplies. As an integrated state-wide communications service it is tied in with other infrastructure communications facilities. I do not know precisely if the ACS has such emergency power but the existing infrastructure certainly does...demonstrated by the total electrical power loss to 10 million as a result of the 1994 Northridge Earthquake. None of the government or untility company communications infrastructure was unduly affected by that sudden electrical power cutoff.

From 1655439294528643403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 11:28:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 109
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Apr 2001 18:25:25 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104040246060.15503-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010404142525.27365.00000828@nso-ff.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18674

In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104040246060.15503-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

>...And are you reclassifying any of those "Technicians" who are so because they renewed their "Technician Plus" (or upgraded from Novice) and were issued a "downgraded" license?

I'm not "reclassifying" anyone, just looking at numbers tabulated by a long-time radio amateur who is also the author of Morse Academy and Ham Academy training programs.

>If not, please redetermine these renewals or upgrades and classify them as they should be, Technician w/ HF.

I'm just looking at license class totals. Since neither you nor I regulate US amateur radio, I can't see how the judgemental "as they should be" label applies.

>Also, how can you be certain of any of the Technician licenses now, being that since April 15, 2000 (except those previously licensed as novice), the only proof will be a morse code CSCE in hand which does not even show in licensing records...?

If the information isn't in the public record for everyone to see, it isn't possible to be "certain" about any CSCEs. Please do not ask the impossible.

FCC public records for all licenses, all radio services, are found under the ULS page on their website. US radio amateur license information is available in two forms: Applications (approx. 20 MB in size) and Licenses (approx. 52 MB in size). Both are .ZIP files. The License file will take about 2 hours, 20 minutes to download at 49 1/3 KBPS (my average data rate with a 56K V90 modem and ISP). All of the data field information is explained on separate, much smaller files (see clicks at bottom left of ULS page file listing). Feel free to download anything you have access to, un-zip it, sort the information, total whatever you can organized by whichever way you want, and tabulate it in a form that everyone can look at...and be judgemental about. :-)

Joe Speroni, AH0A, does all that downloading, sorting, and tabulating, makes it available free of charge on his website. Even though Joe is an obvious morse code booster, his efforts in doing the data presentation each month deserves a long round of applause from everyone through that volunteer service, available to PCTA and NCTA alike..

I did not take any issue with CSCEs or with any data that was not publicly available to all. I merely put up a counter argument against Hans Brakob's assertion that "there seemed to be more HF-privileged hams available" under post-restructuring period, based on publicly-available data available to both of us.

As far as the post-restructuging period is concerned, I do not see much of a difference in licensing AS A RESULT of restructuring other than a lot of "lower" class licensees "upgrading." The total number of licensees shows a very slight gradual gain (just under an equivalent annual increase of 1 percent). As far as NEW licensees are concerned, it would seem that newcomers are going for the no-code-test Technician license far more than any General or Extra class license:

>> Looking at the NEW licenses issued shows 210 new Extras,
>> 1010 new Generals, but 18,391 new Technician class. Despite
>> the restructuring with its lowering of the morse code maximum
>> rate, 90.85% of all new licensees were Technician class.

Not only that, but RENEWALS' numbers don't show the PCTA previous claim that no-code-test Technicians "will not renew:"

>> borne out by FCC database figures. Between end of April 2000
>> and end of March 2001, the total number of renewals within the
>> ten-year period and during grace-period renewal was 26,200.
>> Of those, Extra-through-Novice represented 63.5% of all
>> renewals while Technician class renewals was 36.5%, a ratio
>> very much like the that of all licensee class ratios.

It does not seem to be true that no-code-test Technicians are "dropping out" en masse as predicted by the PCTAs.

Unfortunately for the PCTAs, the total number of all classes which do or did require a code-test for that class are still declining. In the 11-month period following April 15, 2000, the total number of code-tested license classes decreased by 13,190. Had it not been for the 19,010 license class increase of Technicians the overall number of amateur licenses would have declined.

It can be argued that the Technician class totals increased "because" of the transfer of Technician-Plus renewals to Technician. Unfortunately, that argument is degraded by the number of NEW Technician license grants which are NOT renewals: 18,391. Those "transfers of renewals" account for only 619 Technician class license increases (19,020 minus 18,391). That 619 "renewal transfer" number is 3.3% of the Technician class increase.

The trend in US amateur radio license classes should now be clear. The Technician class licensee is still the largest class (now 32.9% at end of March 2001) and continuing to grow (was 30.3% at end of April 2000) and (surprise) is being renewed. The total number of code-tested license classes is still dropping; the 619 "renewal transfers"

of Tech-Plus to Tech in the 11-month period represents
only 4.7% of the total code-tested-class decline of 13,190.

From 6416188763849453359
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 12:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 102
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Apr 2001 19:08:06 GMT
References: <3acb2b6e.195542675@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010404150806.25414.00000719@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18675

In article <3acb2b6e.195542675@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 03 Apr 2001 18:16:24 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>Professionals (those that accept money for services
>>rendered) in radio of the mid-1950s opted for radiotelephone
>>in the majority. There was NO NEED for telegraphy in
>>the majority of the whole radio world back then.
>>
>The discussion is the telegraph license in it's applicable timeframe,
>it's not about not who did what elsewhere when.

I'm sure (no, make that certain) that you think telegraphy is the
primest of the prime qualities in radio...in the 1950s or in the 2000s.

You asked a (somewhat snarly) question asking me if I'd already
had a commercial radiotelegraph license in 1956. I said I didn't
and presented some opinions against it, again in the 1956 timeframe.

>U.S. commercial ships (over some size) could not put to sea without
>having a Radio Officer who held a First Telegraph.aboard. And the only
>way a Second Telegraph could upgrade was by spending a one year
>apprenticeship under a First Class either at sea or at a coastal
>station. A cw-proficient kid could make a helluva lot more money by
>shipping out as a shipboard sparky than could a phone-licensed kid who

>just twisted knobs at some BC station.

I was 23 1/2 when I got my commercial First Phone in 1956, senior.
Why should everyone have to lust for a life at sea?   :-)

Chances are a "phone-licensed kid" who rode gain at a broadcast
station could make more than you think they could in 1956.   :-)

>>Was there a need for radiotelegraphy in long-
>>haul commercial HF communications? No.
>>
>In the 50s? Are you kidding? There was long haul cw in use all over
>the HF spectrum. I distinctly remember eavesdropping on a qso between
>a tramp in the Indian Ocean sending it's bill of lading to the RCA
>station in North Jesey, 1972 or so. Izzat "long haul" enough?

No, because all your concentration is on ships and shipping for
radio use, emphasizing CW. I'm thinking communications of
every mode and I remember RTTY and commercial sideband as
predominating other modes and modulations on HF in 1956,
exclusive of ham bands.

In my personal experience in the US Army Signal Corps of 1953
to 1956, the Army's trans-Pacific HF network connecting Seattle,
Anchorage, San Francisco, Honolulu, Manila, Okinawa, Saigon,
Pusan, Seoul, and Tokyo did much more than send bills of lading.
ADA in Tokyo was a larger medium-size station with 42 transmitters
ranging from 1 KW to 40 KW. ADA's monthly message average in
1955 was 220,000, all of them on teleprinter. No OOK CW used
anywhere on that net. WAR (Washington Army Radio, gotta love
that callsign!) had a 1955 monthly message average of 1.2 million,
all on teleprinter. The Army had other networks in other theaters
of operation on HF as did the USAF and USN (for fixed-base to
fixed-base, land to sea still used CW).

Since you mentioned RCA, the pre-WW2 and just post-WW2
RCA Globecom service was primarily teleprinter (I can't recall
any Globecom veteran ever mentioning CW). Some of the pre-
WW2 commercial networks and companies are shown in the
beginning of the "Collins SSB Book" by Pappenfus, Bruene,
and Shoenicke. SSB really began on landline around 1918
as "carrier" frequency-multiplex equipment...which was then
used almost intact on radio SSB for 12 KHz wide, four-voice-
channel-bandwidth circuits by commercial communicators.

>>Where is radiotelegraphy even used today? On some
>>maritime installations, principally in non-US shipping.
>>
>I think it was Sunday nite I was idly tuning around 10.5 Mhz and ran
>into a signal. Unending string of 15wpm cw alphanumeric gibberish.
>Very strong here. That was not some Banana Republic ship or coastal
>and it as just a few days ago.

Wonderful, you have ONE example. Did you get a call sign to
prove it was not a ship or coastal station? If it was sending
gibberish, how do you know what it was? [sounds like a
"numbers station" sending encrypted messages]

Try tuning the entire HF band (or even just below the MUF) and
total the types and kinds of modulations outside of amateur bands.
A broadband antenna will help. Sounds of various HF modulations
are available as WAV files on a couple of websites, the ARRL's
included.

\>>Why would anyone back then have wanted a commercial
\>>radiotelegraph license for non-shipboard radio?
>>
\>Where did I even intimate otherwise?? Divert=OFF again.

Then why did you ask if "as a 'with-it' communications professional,
did I already have a radiotelegraph license" in 1956?   :-)

[your divert switch is broken...]

```
From -6864075133269766067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 12:30:02 PST
Message-ID: <3ACC1E76.B221550B@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 02:27:50 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
<3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org> <9abd06$csq$0@208.206.142.78>
<3ACA2A7C.45DCB52@IEEE.org> <9ae0bb$kf3$0@208.207.71.167>
<3ACB4AE2.4652CAD0@IEEE.org> <9affda$h2m$0@208.207.71.159>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986412080
64.240.47.60 (4 Apr 2001 13:21:20 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
```

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18676

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yeah, Cecil and for some reason you insist on keeping them from
> learning the facts. Why is that?

What facts? A lot of the "facts" you utter are wet dreams.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3355758518364310743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 12:32:06 PST
Message-ID: <3ACC1F1A.75B043C5@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 02:30:34 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9act15$j2j$1@208.206.142.85> <3ACABBE9.A2EBF51E@IEEE.org>
<9ae0kl$kf8$0@208.207.71.167> <3ACB4D9B.89F67F2E@IEEE.org>
<9affi3$h2m$2@208.207.71.159>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986412244 64.240.47.60 (4 Apr 2001 13:24:04 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18677

Dick Carroll wrote:
> My wishes have nothing to do with it. Reality is what matters. There
> are just not that many techies even mildly interested enough in ham
> radio to get even a nocode ticket.

Most of the nocode hams at Intel are techies. I have no reason to
believe that Intel is unique among technical companies.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -847888320662305785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 12:50:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
In-Reply-To: <20010404142525.27365.00000828@nso-ff.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104041925580.16645-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104040246060.15503-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <20010404142525.27365.00000828@nso-ff.aol.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 163
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 19:49:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.60
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986413798 12.80.44.60 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 19:49:58 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 19:49:58 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18678

Then, any conclusion based on the statistics you've used will be incorrect because they don't account for these issues. The only question then will be: Is the error caused by these issues material? I say that it is, because by 10 years time (and we've had 1 year - therefore 10% of that time period), there will be NO Technician Plus entries in the FCC database, yet all those who did not upgrade will still have HF priveleges. To measure those with HF vs. those without cannot be accurate.

The best that you can hope for in correcting this is to go through a copy of the FCC database (or a copy) as it existed on April 18, 2000 (since the first restructuring upgrades showed up on April 19, 2000) and identify all those technicians with HF and novices that are now listed as merely technician and adjust (move them from "no HF" to "HF"). This information is available - why aren't you using it?

There will always be the CSCE problem introducing an error, but at least, you'll eliminate the other error.

On 4 Apr 2001, Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104040246060.15503-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>,
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> >...And are you reclassifying any of those "Technicians" who are so because
> >they
> >renewed their "Technician Plus" (or upgraded from Novice) and were issued a
> >"downgraded" license?
>
> I'm not "reclassifying" anyone, just looking at numbers tabulated
> by a long-time radio amateur who is also the author of Morse
> Academy and Ham Academy training programs.
>
> >If not, please redetermine these renewals or upgrades
> >and classify them as they should be, Technician w/ HF.
>
> I'm just looking at license class totals. Since neither you nor I
> regulate US amateur radio, I can't see how the judgemental
> "as they should be" label applies.
>
> >Also, how can you be
> >certain of any of the Technician licenses now, being that since April 15,
> >2000
> >(except those previously licensed as novice), the only proof will be a morse

> > code CSCE in hand which does not even show in licensing records...?
>
> If the information isn't in the public record for everyone to see, it isn't possible to be "certain" about any CSCEs. Please do not ask the impossible.
>
> FCC public records for all licenses, all radio services, are found under the ULS page on their website. US radio amateur license information is available in two forms: Applications (approx. 20 MB in size) and Licenses (approx. 52 MB in size). Both are .ZIP files. The License file will take about 2 hours, 20 minutes to download at 49 1/3 KBPS (my average data rate with a 56K V90 modem and ISP). All of the data field information is explained on separate, much smaller files (see clicks at bottom left of ULS page file listing). Feel free to download anything you have access to, un-zip it, sort the information, total whatever you can organized by whichever way you want, and tabulate it in a form that everyone can look at...and be judgemental about. :-)
>
> Joe Speroni, AH0A, does all that downloading, sorting, and tabulating, makes it available free of charge on his website. Even though Joe is an obvious morse code booster, his efforts in doing the data presentation each month deserves a long round of applause from everyone through that volunteer service, available to PCTA and NCTA alike..

And he's not the only one. However, like many of the more well known sources (Buckmaster, QRZ, etc.) who also stamp CD's, I bet that his program suffers from the same fault - failure to retain HISTORICAL records. Most callbook programs that I have seen will retrieve ONLY the current record (with perhaps a link from an old call to the new one). I have my own copy of the database, and I don't do a "replace" when a record is updated; I have it do an "add."

Also, why downloading the DAILY UPDATE files (alot smaller) hasn't occurred to you is beyond me?

[See below for an example:]

> I did not take any issue with CSCEs or with any data that was not publicly available to all. I merely put up a counter argument against Hans Brakob's assertion that "there seemed to be more HF-privileged hams available" under post-restructuring period, based on publicly-available data available to both of us.
>
> As far as the post-restructuging period is concerned, I do not see much of a difference in licensing AS A RESULT of restructuring other than a lot of "lower" class licensees "upgrading." The total number of licensees shows a very slight gradual gain (just under an equivalent annual increase of 1 percent). As far as NEW licensees are concerned, it would seem that newcomers are going for the no-code-test Technician license far more than any General or Extra class license:
>

> >> Looking at the NEW licenses issued shows 210 new Extras, 1010 new Generals, but 18,391 new Technician class. Despite the restructuring with its lowering of the morse code maximum rate, 90.85% of all new licensees were Technician class.
>
> Not only that, but RENEWALS' numbers don't show the PCTA previous claim that no-code-test Technicians "will not renew:"
>
> >> borne out by FCC database figures. Between end of April 2000 and end of March 2001, the total number of renewals within the ten-year period and during grace-period renewal was 26,200. Of those, Extra-through-Novice represented 63.5% of all renewals while Technician class renewals was 36.5%, a ratio very much like the that of all licensee class ratios.
>
> It does not seem to be true that no-code-test Technicians are "dropping out" en masse as predicted by the PCTAs.
>
> Unfortunately for the PCTAs, the total number of all classes which do or did require a code-test for that class are still declining. In the 11-month period following April 15, 2000, the total number of code-tested license classes decreased by 13,190. Had it not been for the 19,010 license class increase of Technicians the overall number of amateur licenses would have declined.
>
> It can be argued that the Technician class totals increased "because" of the transfer of Technician-Plus renewals to Technician. Unfortunately, that argument is degraded by the number of NEW Technician license grants which are NOT renewals: 18,391. Those "transfers of renewals" account for only 619 Technician class license increases (19,020 minus 18,391). That 619 "renewal transfer" number is 3.3% of the Technician class increase.
>
> The trend in US amateur radio license classes should now be clear. The Technician class licensee is still the largest class (now 32.9% at end of March 2001) and continuing to grow (was 30.3% at end of April 2000) and (surprise) is being renewed. The total number of code-tested license classes is still dropping; the 619 "renewal transfers" of Tech-Plus to Tech in the 11-month period represents only 4.7% of the total code-tested-class decline of 13,190.

Example of Historical lookup:

Call to search: AH0A   (since that was the only call appearing in the msg)

```
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Callserver: Database current as of 02-Apr-2001

AH0A              JOSEPH P SPERONI                      Lic Iss 04-Jun-1997
Extra             4700 AKEMAMA                          Lic Exp 16-Mar-2005
DoB 09-May-1938   LAWAI              HI  96765-0900     Lst Upd 04-Jun-1997
                  USA
```

```
E-Mail            Joe@Speroni.Com

AH0A              JOSEPH P SPERONI                        Lic Iss 16-Mar-1995
Extra             PO BOX 769                              Lic Exp 16-Mar-2005
DoB 09-May-1938   SAIPAN              MP  96950           Lst Upd 16-Mar-1995
                  USA                                     Revised 04-Jun-1997

AH0A              JOSEPH P SPERONI                        Lic Iss 21-May-1985
Extra             PO BOX 769                              Lic Exp 21-May-1995
DoB 09-May-1938   SAIPAN              MP  00000           Lst Upd 21-May-1985
                  USA                                     Revised 16-Mar-1995
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

Now, if he were currently a "technician" but previously a "tech plus", a HISTORICAL database would show that. In this case, all I have is two prior entries of the same class.

From 7221676807737414110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 14:16:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com> <20010403174608.18052.00000850@nso-da.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 40
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Y9My6.20958$XV.5236850@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 21:15:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986418936 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:15:36 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:15:36 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18679

again with the area sheesh ... since when does area matter in radio communications ... distance my good lad ... is all that matters ... fact is ... 100km's gives you 10000km^2 area ... plenty more than 300 ...

and 40 miles is a short trip between base stations on hf ssb

```
--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                      |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010403174608.18052.00000850@nso-da.aol.com...
> In article <20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
(N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >In article <Jz1y6.2263$XV.392702@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis"
> ><tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:
> >
> >>but in reality ... on land there is not a need for >100km emergency
traffic
> >
> >How do you figure that, Rob?
> >
> >Will ALL future emergencies that occur on land only affect areas less
than
> >120 miles in area? If not, then situations will arise where longer-haul
> >communications may be needed.
>
> The 1994 Northridge Earthquake affected an area of about
> 40 by 80 miles.  That's 320 square miles in area.  No problem
> here since the emergency organizations planned for that,
> trained for that, were equipped for that and did the job well.




From 2211818840213933094
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 14:18:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

```
<%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<K4sy6.1286$al2.86709@news2.atl>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 46
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <WbMy6.20971$XV.5239843@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 21:17:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986419062 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:17:42
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:17:42 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18680
```

I am qrp ... va3qrp that is ... i never run more than 5 in the mobile ...
(battery wont last long otherwise)

i didnt say all cw'ers dont know those skills ... but some dont ... youi
have to admit that ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org              |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:K4sy6.1286$al2.86709@news2.atl...

> "Rob St.Denis" ...
>
> > and i know a pile of cw fanatics who could set up a generator, solar,
wind
> > or other power sources if their life depended on it ...
> >
> > i know cw'ers out here who don't know how to build a simple folded
dipole
> > ...
> >
> > those are basic skills they should have ... and dont
> >
>
>
> Come work a mini-field day with the FISTS CW Club of Coastal Georgia some
> day and see how much of what you just said holds true. To the contrary,
> almost all of the pro-CW folks I know, not only have great skill at CW,
but

```
> build their own antennas, and equipment.  Can you say QRP?  It sounds to
me
> like you're grasping at straws now, Rob.  Good luck holding on.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>
```

```
From -9191032991362760119
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 14:20:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Wziy6.7933$XV.2448623@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403213626.19540.00000585@nso-fr.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 43
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <GdMy6.20984$XV.5242988@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 21:19:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986419174 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:19:34
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:19:34 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18681
```

but what is the problem with having more than one relay ... for the most part ... when we set up i&r stations up here ... each has a comm center ... and they typically are no more than 20kms apart ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                              |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
```

| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010403213626.19540.00000585@nso-fr.aol.com...
> In article <Wziy6.7933$XV.2448623@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:
>
> >i didnt say area ... i said radius .. big difference
> >
> >a 100km radius allows for destruction up to 200kms across ... thats quite
a
> >bit!
> >
> Sorry - my mistake. Let me rephrase my question:
>
> You previously wrote:
>
> "but in reality ... on land there is not a need for >100km emergency
> traffic"
>
> How do you figure that, Rob?
>
> Will ALL future emergencies that occur on land only affect areas less than
> 120 miles in diameter? If not, then situations will arise where
longer-haul
> communications will be needed.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

From -4521614943399900005
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 14:22:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <oBoy6.9436$XV.2902650@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403222518.09508.00000694@nso-mn.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

Message-ID: <LfMy6.20997$XV.5245986@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 21:21:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986419307 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:21:47 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:21:47 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18682

its called a ic grampa

oh well back in my day we didnt leave anything to chance thats why we had
tube rigs wheiging in at 40kgs with even less stability and utility ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010403222518.09508.00000694@nso-mn.aol.com...
> In article <oBoy6.9436$XV.2902650@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:
>
>   <on a reply to Dick Carroll>
>
> >thats not the point ... one doesnt gain genious status becaus he can tap out
> >morse ...
>
> Sure they do...they gain "superior" capability and seek to
> enforce that "superiority" by the continual urban myth claims
> of "necessity" and "usefulness" of code as if that is a reason
> to keep the code test element... :-)
>
> >there are many out there who dont even know what smt is ...
>
> Please don't ask too much of long-timers. I'm not sure they
> know about technology, let alone surface mount technology.
> :-)
>
> >so because they know code they are more valuable than even the most skilled
> >no coder ?
>
> Absolutely according to all the PCTAs. They keep saying so.
> :-)
>

From -6045086710207479622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 14:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<yQ7y6.1076$Rv.56705@news1.atl> <Z%7y6.4083$XV.1081458@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ne8y6.1084$Rv.57600@news1.atl> <QG8y6.4255$XV.1197841@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9say6.935$al2.59704@news2.atl> <Cway6.5539$XV.1517247@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<%dby6.966$al2.58415@news2.atl> <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9act15$j2j$1@208.206.142.85> <oBoy6.9436$XV.2902650@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ae0ur$m1g$0@208.207.71.167>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <PgMy6.21005$XV.5247477@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 21:22:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986419375 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:22:55 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:22:55 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18683

actually i did a stint with rcmp as a radio comms tech ... some with dnd and some private firms ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                            |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ae0ur$m1g$0@208.207.71.167...

```
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > thats not the point ... one doesnt gain genious status becaus he can tap
out
> > morse ...
> >
> > there are many out there who dont even know what smt is ...
> >
> > so because they know code they are more valuable than even the most
skilled
> > no coder ?
>
>
>  You must work in computers like Cecil did. You digi's get brainlock
> when required to think about anything else.
>  Who said anything about value other than that a ham who is CW capable
> *is* of value when it is to be used? He's worthless if not.
```

```
From 5344180330312239366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 14:24:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 83
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Apr 2001 21:22:33 GMT
References: <20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010404172233.15051.00002938@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18684
```

```
>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/3/01 1:16 PM Central Daylight Time


>(SNIP)...in order to substantiate their rank, status, and position in USA
>amateur radio that came about through that skill....(SNIP)

     Lennie's usual "proletariate vs burgeuois" (pardon the spelling) spin.

     Still not true nor accurate, but it makes Lennie feel like he desreves to
```

be on the pedestal he has created for himself.

>This locality has organized and
>drilled for such emergencies and it worked fine. [others
>in here have bitched and moaned that Their local
>communities "cannot afford such things" but that is just
>ignorant rationales at making their message points to
>"prove they are right"]

California, if it were a seperate republic, would have the 6th largest GNP in the world. THEY can afford it.

That YOU, Lennie the Impotent, consider it "ignorant" that we know our own circumstances better than YOU, is arrogant.

>The state of California (population about on par with
>Canada) has an Auxilliary Communications Service
>or ACS that integrates amateurs with professionals
>into a unified emergency communications structure.

Now you admit that Hams ARE a part of ACS. You have previously asserted that ACS did not use Amateur Radio nor Amatuer Radio Operators.

>A number of PCTAs have decried the ACS with the
>usual geographic bigotry exhibited by eastern US
>and some midwestern US residents.

Yes, Lennie...I guess YOU would consider it "bigotry". Again, the above delineates the difference between emergency services available in regions with a high per-capita income as opposed to other areas that don't enjoy that deep-pockets tax base.

THAT'S bigotry.

>The ACS still
>exists, works, and drills successfully for the citizenry,
>not for fulfilling some pipe dreams of past glories or
>exaggeration of past accomplishments demonstrated
>by the PCTAs in here.

You really are a very sick, mentally depraved person, Lennie. You really do believe this crap, don't you?

Putz.

>The ACS model is being
>picked up by some other states of the US.

I have a model of a C-5B, Lennie. Doesn't mean I can own one.

>My father suffered a single, fatal heart attack in the
>summer of 1975. In my back yard while I was at
>work. Reaching home quickly on hearing of this, I was

>able to meet and talk with the paramedics who had
>tried to revive him. One was shaky with exhertion of
>CPR and oxygen application and whatever else they
>did, the other despondent because my father couldn't
>be saved.

My condolences on your father's untimely demise. Hopefully the proliferation of AED's and other devices will help to take the edge off of sudden cardiac death.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 5568080980773518384
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 14:26:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9ae2p2$p85$1@208.207.71.167>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <DjMy6.21023$XV.5253379@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 21:25:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986419555 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:25:55 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:25:55 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18685

if im driving down the street ... and happend accross an accident i more than likely would be far more qualified to perform first aid on the person than any witnesses ... and thus i would have them call out on the radio while i attended victims ...

that aside ... if i were alone ... i could send a voice mayday etc far
faster than you could on cw ...

and at very last ... try sending cw while performing cpr! its much easier to
use a handmic on a ht !

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                                   |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                 |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ae2p2$p85$1@208.207.71.167...
>
>
> Larry Klose wrote:
> >
> > ""Brakob, Hans"" <Hans_Brakob@adc.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com...
> > > Lame troll
> >
> > Well how many people have you save using CW?
>
>
> Much more important would be the question how many people could you
> possibly save if their only communications happened to be to call in on
> CW on your voice net?

From 55141531594085564
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 14:30:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Wziy6.7933$XV.2448623@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403213626.19540.00000585@nso-fr.aol.com> <3aca851c.152962603@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 66
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <emMy6.21040$XV.5256981@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 21:28:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986419722 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:28:42 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:28:42 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18686

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3aca851c.152962603@news2.dvol.com...
> On 04 Apr 2001 01:36:26 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
> >
> >How do you figure that, Rob?
> >
> >Will ALL future emergencies that occur on land only affect areas less than
> >120 miles in diameter? If not, then situations will arise where longer-haul
> >communications will be needed.
> >
> The down 'n dirty question is do hams ever participate in emergency
> comms between stations which are separated by sixty-some miles? The
> answer is a thundering YES!

again getting back to the comm centers here ... our emergency and disaster
services members all have rroc and some have amater ... we use commercial
freqs to comm between shelters and r&i's its simply faster to send voice
between em anyways ...

> >
> Conjurs up the story abt when Richard Nixon went to Venezuela on a
> horribly misguided "good will tour" and his whole high-profile
> entourage found thenselves barricaded in some hotel in Caracas against
> screaming mobs of Venezuelan nationaists bent on tossing the Yank oil
> companies out of the Lake Maraciobo oil field.
> >
> Washinton went apeshit because the phones in Caracas went unusable

> during the riots and DC had no idea if Slippery Dick was still alive
> or dead. No problemo. USAF Cheif of Staff General Curt LeMay who was a
> ham and part of the Nixon entourage dropped some wire out the hotel
> window and put his suitcase portable Collins KWM-2A on the air and hit
> the tribander on the roof of the White House and called off the
> Marines.
> >
> It's history carved in stone.
> >
> Hey Jim, how far izzit from Caracas to 1600 Pennsylvania Ave.? Gotta
> be more than 60 miles . . .
> >
> Anybody dull-witted enough to think that technology has anything to do
> with keepinhg bad history from repeating itself has an education
> enroute.

back in the day of nixon eh ... that's recent ... technology hasnt advanced
anywhere in that time

> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> w3rv
>

From 7560882677425767911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 14:30:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
<3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org> <9abd06$csq$0@208.206.142.78>
<3ACA2A7C.45DCB52@IEEE.org> <9ae0bb$kf3$0@208.207.71.167>
<3ACB4AE2.4652CAD0@IEEE.org> <9affda$h2m$0@208.207.71.159>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

```
Message-ID: <cnMy6.21047$XV.5259284@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 21:29:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986419784 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:29:44
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 14:29:44 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18687

all the facts you know are 40 yrs out of date

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org               |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9affda$h2m$0@208.207.71.159...
>
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >  The Mounds of Evidence are all the shortime hams who know so little
> > > about CW that even some who have passed a code test have no clue to
its
> > > characteristices or how to actually use it on the air. Ignore and deny
> > > all you wish, facts are facts.
> >
> > They are exactly like you were in, when was it, 1960? You keep
> > exhibiting this need for newcomers to be as experienced as you,
> > Dick. You know it doesn't happen that way. There's just no way
> > a 20 year old can be as experienced a ham as you are. I know it
> > makes you feel superior, but newcomers deserve a break.
>
>
>  Yeah, Cecil and for some reason you insist on keeping them from
> learning the facts. Why is that?




From 4616544665104553610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 15:32:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
```

hub.org!feed.cgocable.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Apr 2001 22:30:16 GMT
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010404183016.22501.00002918@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18688

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: Hans_Brakob@adc.com ("Brakob, Hans")
>Date: 4/3/01 2:08 PM Central Daylight Time

>Hans_Brakob@adc.com

Hans, did you give up on Amatuer Radio awards and are now trying to accumulate the most ISP's...?!?!?

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 553306709475578097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 15:52:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Wed, 4 Apr 2001 17:51:12 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <9ag8dt$j33$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com>
<20010403174608.18052.00000850@nso-da.aol.com>
<Y9My6.20958$XV.5236850@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a5.2c
X-Server-Date: 4 Apr 2001 22:49:33 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18689



--


"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:Y9My6.20958$XV.5236850@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> again with the area sheesh ... since when does area matter in radio
> communications ... distance my good lad ... is all that matters ... fact
is
> ... 100km's gives you 10000km^2 area ... plenty more than 300 ...

What formula would it be that you are using?  Does your antenna have a
square radiation pattern?  If you are trying to argue a point in a room full
of people that heartily disagree, you have to watch things like that.  You
stated this as "fact".  Fact is, the area of a circle is pi(r^2).  Things
like that can really blow your credibility.

>
> and 40 miles is a short trip between base stations on hf ssb
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> Telecommunications Technician
> /------------------------------------------------------\
> | Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
> | e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
> | Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
> \------------------------------------------------------/
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010403174608.18052.00000850@nso-da.aol.com...
> > In article <20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> (N2EY)
> > writes:
> >
> > >In article <Jz1y6.2263$XV.392702@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob
St.Denis"
> > ><tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:
> > >
> > >>but in reality ... on land there is not a need for >100km emergency
> traffic
> > >
> > >How do you figure that, Rob?
> > >
> > >Will ALL future emergencies that occur on land only affect areas less
> than
> > >120 miles in area? If not, then situations will arise where longer-haul
> > >communications may be needed.
```

> >
> > The 1994 Northridge Earthquake affected an area of about
> > 40 by 80 miles. That's 320 square miles in area. No problem
> > here since the emergency organizations planned for that,
> > trained for that, were equipped for that and did the job well.
>
>

From 7010612216914465784
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 16:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 85
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 Apr 2001 23:10:36 GMT
References: <20010404142525.27365.00000828@nso-ff.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010404191036.22501.00002924@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18690

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/4/01 1:25 PM Central Daylight Time

>I'm just looking at license class totals. Since neither you nor I
>regulate US amateur radio, I can't see how the judgemental
>"as they should be" label applies.

Ah yes...Let's "ignore" the fact that the FCC does not presently define any difference between "Tech" And "Tech Plus" since the difference in the numbers will significantly alter Lennie's rants.

Again.

>If the information isn't in the public record for everyone to see,
>it isn't possible to be "certain" about any CSCEs. Please do not
>ask the impossible.

Yes, don't "ask the impossible". Heaven forbid Lennie was proven wrong. Lord know's THAT has never happened. (yeah...RIGHT!)

>I did not take any issue with CSCEs or with any data that was not
>publicly available to all. I merely put up a counter argument

>against Hans Brakob's assertion that "there seemed to be more
>HF-privileged hams available" under post-restructuring period,
>based on publicly-available data available to both of us

His "seem(ed)" based, of course, upon the experience of a person who both HAS an Amatuer License, and is ACTIVE with that license.

Furthermore, even if he was "wrong", it was still an opinion, not an offering of fact. Ergo your dissertation was done not as a "counter argument" to Hans, but as the potentiator of an argument.

Not that we expect anything less.

>As far as the post-restructuging period is concerned, I do not see
>much of a difference in licensing AS A RESULT of restructuring
>other than a lot of "lower" class licensees "upgrading.

Ah yes...Lennie sitting behind his computer screen once again pontificating on the impact of regulatory changes based upon numbers he reads on that screen...NOT practical experience...NOT participation in club activities...NOT as a licensee.

Again.

>It does not seem to be true that no-code-test Technicians are
>"dropping out" en masse as predicted by the PCTAs.

Definitive numbers? Or more emotional pontificating?

>Unfortunately for the PCTAs, the total number of all classes
>which do or did require a code-test for that class are still declining.

Nothing unfortunate about it for me....The more Hams the merrier. Regardless of WHICH band I work 'em on. Of course THIS is an experience you can't know, Lennie.

>It can be argued that the Technician class totals increased
>"because" of the transfer of Technician-Plus renewals to
>Technician. Unfortunately, that argument is degraded by the
>number of NEW Technician license grants which are NOT
>renewals: 18,391.

And is further diluted by the number of those NEW "Technicians" that are obtaining a CSCE for 5 wpm at local VE sessions and are subsequently taking advantage of the FCC's ruling that if they have that CSCE in thier possession, thye make take advantage of "Tech Plus" priviledges.

I have signed several (12-15) CSCE's granted for the 5WPM test only by persons holding a Tech.

>The trend in US amateur radio license classes should now
>be clear.

Yes, Lennie, it's clear....that no matter what the numbers show, you're
out there and the rest of us are "in here".........

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 1702825441874283906
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 16:22:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Wed, 4 Apr 2001 16:20:20 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9aga44$dc0$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com> <3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9ae2p2$p85$1@208.207.71.167> <DjMy6.21023$XV.5253379@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-04.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18691

Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:DjMy6.21023$XV.5253379@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> if im driving down the street ... and happend accross an accident i more
> than likely would be far more qualified to perform first aid on the person
> than any witnesses ... and thus i would have them call out on the radio
> while i attended victims ...
>
> that aside ... if i were alone ... i could send a voice mayday etc far
> faster than you could on cw ...
>
> and at very last ... try sending cw while performing cpr! its much easier
to
> use a handmic on a ht !

The shift key is just to the left and the right of the bottom row of
letters.

From 1519354033114733258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 17:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Apr 2001 00:16:13 GMT
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Message-ID: <20010404201613.01729.00001779@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18692

In article <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>
>I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
>phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number! I would
>greatly appreciate anyone hearing him taking a beam heading so we
>can attempt to triangulate an approximate QTH of this fellow.
>
>73, Jeff KH6O

Jeff:

My last shipment from the QSL Buro included 4 QSL cards from stations I had not worked. This has occurred to me several other times throughout my ham career, involving my last three callsigns (N3CRA, WR2F, K3LT). I'm sure I'm hardly the only one being bootlegged; I'd be curious to know how extensive this phenomenon really is. I'd also like to know what can be done about it, although I suspect that not much, if anything, could be.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7464333516798251732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 17:44:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com>
<20010403174608.18052.00000850@nso-da.aol.com>
<Y9My6.20958$XV.5236850@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ag8dt$j33$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 82
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <KbPy6.22577$XV.5683229@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 00:42:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986431338 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 17:42:18 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 17:42:18 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18693

square area ... why not ? ... area of a circle ... pi * r^2 ... ...
100kmx100km grid ... what section of area a station (comm center) may be
responsible for ... of course in real life you would have a 10 x 10 ... but
thats beside the point ...

fact is ... an ssb can have reliable comms up to 100kms easy ... assuming
the operator isn't deaf

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9ag8dt$j33$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
>
>
> --
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message

```
> news:Y9My6.20958$XV.5236850@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > again with the area sheesh ... since when does area matter in radio
> > communications ... distance my good lad ... is all that matters ... fact
> is
> > ... 100km's gives you 10000km^2 area ... plenty more than 300 ...
>
> What formula would it be that you are using?  Does your antenna have a
> square radiation pattern?  If you are trying to argue a point in a room
full
> of people that heartily disagree, you have to watch things like that.  You
> stated this as "fact".  Fact is, the area of a circle is pi(r^2).  Things
> like that can really blow your credibility.
>
> >
> > and 40 miles is a short trip between base stations on hf ssb
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> > Telecommunications Technician
> > /------------------------------------------------------\
> > | Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
> > | e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
> > | Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
> > \------------------------------------------------------/
> > "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20010403174608.18052.00000850@nso-da.aol.com...
> > > In article <20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com>,
n2ey@aol.com
> > (N2EY)
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >In article <Jz1y6.2263$XV.392702@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob
> St.Denis"
> > > ><tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:
> > > >
> > > >>but in reality ... on land there is not a need for >100km emergency
> > traffic
> > > >
> > > >How do you figure that, Rob?
> > > >
> > > >Will ALL future emergencies that occur on land only affect areas less
> > than
> > > >120 miles in area? If not, then situations will arise where
longer-haul
> > > >communications may be needed.
> > >
> > > The 1994 Northridge Earthquake affected an area of about
> > > 40 by 80 miles.  That's 320 square miles in area.  No problem
> > > here since the emergency organizations planned for that,
> > > trained for that, were equipped for that and did the job well.
> >
> >
```

```
>
>




From -2659930805893624914
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 17:46:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9ae2p2$p85$1@208.207.71.167>
<DjMy6.21023$XV.5253379@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aga44$dc0$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <vcPy6.22584$XV.5684782@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 00:43:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986431387 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 17:43:07
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 17:43:07 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18694

I assume you needed to put your glasses on to check that out ?

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:9aga44$dc0$1@news.service.uci.edu...
>
> Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:DjMy6.21023$XV.5253379@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > if im driving down the street ... and happend accross an accident i more
> > than likely would be far more qualified to perform first aid on the
person
> > than any witnesses ... and thus i would have them call out on the radio
> > while i attended victims ...
> >
> > that aside ... if i were alone ... i could send a voice mayday etc far
> > faster than you could on cw ...
> >
> > and at very last ... try sending cw while performing cpr! its much
easier
> to
> > use a handmic on a ht !
>
> The shift key is just to the left and the right of the bottom row of
> letters.
>
> ac6xg
>
>
```

```
From 2563718611899332805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 17:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9ae2p2$p85$1@208.207.71.167>
<DjMy6.21023$XV.5253379@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aga44$dc0$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <TdPy6.22603$XV.5688803@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 00:44:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986431475 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 17:44:35
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 17:44:35 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18695
```

```
I am guessing you will need to put the spectacles back on to find the caps
lock too eh

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:9aga44$dc0$1@news.service.uci.edu...
>
> Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:DjMy6.21023$XV.5253379@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > if im driving down the street ... and happend accross an accident i more
> > than likely would be far more qualified to perform first aid on the
person
> > than any witnesses ... and thus i would have them call out on the radio
> > while i attended victims ...
> >
> > that aside ... if i were alone ... i could send a voice mayday etc far
> > faster than you could on cw ...
> >
> > and at very last ... try sending cw while performing cpr! its much
easier
> to
> > use a handmic on a ht !
>
> The shift key is just to the left and the right of the bottom row of
> letters.
>
> ac6xg
>
>




From 7812588379459618531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 17:58:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
```

for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Message-ID: <MPG.153542486d6f6689896a2@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 17
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 00:52:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.21.189.238
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986431948 63.21.189.238 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 17:52:28 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 17:52:28 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18696 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14611

In article <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>, AI8O@qsl.net says...
> The trouble is that the way Powell and Company are talking this could be
> a TRUE story in a few years. How much would the Amalgamated
> Consolidated-United Mega-Corp. pay at auction for an exclusive
> assignment at 40m? or 10m, or maybe for ALL of HF?
>

HHmmm. Hope that there's enough for every country cause if the US
auctions off the HF spectrum and doesn't pony up some $$$ to everyone
else....wow what a mess.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 7558176563738914544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 17:59:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com> <3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9ae2p2$p85$1@208.207.71.167>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Wed, 4 Apr 2001 20:53:17 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3acbc212$0$62150$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26cbca43.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=>d_MQk6]UPeb0EN^dS;UdeBITGoVIjmHf[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;Fg>E`adQ0UKhilBFDaL\d^ne<
F\TRaWB=Yl
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18697

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in
message news:9ae2p2$p85$1@208.207.71.167...

> Much more important would be the question how many people could you
> possibly save if their only communications happened to be to call in on
> CW on your voice net?

Any person that calls for CPR help on a CW net is not properly
prepared for such emergency. The help provider must start CPR
immediately and call 911.

All of which raises a very interesting question. How do you for
help on the Internet? This discussion group should know,
because after WRC 2003, it will be important.

Larry

From 8469873158174584300
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 18:08:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeeds.sol.net!
newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9ae2b8$p85$0@208.207.71.167>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Wed, 4 Apr 2001 21:04:23 -0400

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3acbc4ad$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: e9d8fb79.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=:2:caCARNWAE4XNZ]a2YgLBITGoVIjmHF[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FGAOKon[S@RSKlBFDaL\d^nE<
F\TRaWB=YL
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18698

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ae2b8$p85$0@208.207.71.167...
>
> Aw, don't worry, Larry, you probably won't have to go into therapy over
> the CW test after all. You should know the FCC is almost sure to drop
> all CW testing, probably along with any meaningful testing of any sort,
> after the treaty is changed. Till then, well, you'l still have something
> to bitch about. You've got a few years to find something new to replace
> CW testing with.
>
> Again, since it has made nary the slightest dent in your skull, the
> only reason for hams to know CW is so that they can use it when it is
> needed, and IT IS sometimes needed. I know, having faced those
> circumstances, and not in ham radio either.

Dick, I thought that CW was the hams favor mode. Now you tell
me they only use it when needed.

Larry KC8EPO

From 7554297821259693999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 18:12:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 55
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Apr 2001 01:09:24 GMT
References: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC807D88AE7@www.premiersi.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Message-ID: <20010404210924.01729.00001789@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18699

In article <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC807D88AE7@www.premiersi.com>, "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org> writes:

>
>There are a number of new "no code tech" licensees in my part of call area
>9. They are enthusiastic to the *EXTREME*, well behaved, good ops. A
>couple are still in elementary school and (1) are learning every second they
>are on the air and (2) giving the experienced ops a big mental boost becuase
>they have someone on the air that they can "show the ropes".
>
>Thank God they are not surrounded by a bunch of old-fart, cynical,
>name-calling, holier-than-thou or vulgar-as-hell "examples" of hamdom like
>many that frequent these newsgroups.

jj:

Speaking as someone who isn't an old, cynical, name-calling, holier-than-thou, or vulgar-as-hell "example" of hamdom, I totally agree with you.
Note I omitted the bit about being a "fart," because I do occasionally
indulge in that activity -- usually after a visit to Taco Hell.

>Let's hope they never learn they are
>not "real hams"

Who is saying they *aren't* "real hams, jj? So far, you're the only
one who's made an issue of it.

because they would probably joins the ranks of former and
>inactive hams, of which we have far too many already

I disagree. I don't think we have nearly enough former and/or inactive
hams. Those out there who are bootlegging my call sign on-the-air,
as recently as January, would do me and the ARS a great service if
they would become "former and inactive" hams.

>(and in my opinion,
>there are a whole bunch of you who should be in the category but aren't -
>you and the rest of us would both be much happier I think if you were to
>stop your tirades and tantrums and take up woodworking or needlepoint to
>fill your idle hours).

Just what *is* your problem here, jj? Personally, I'm more than willing
to live and let live whenever new hams show up on the local repeater,
as long as they aren't doing anything illegal, against club policy, or
deliberately annoying. It sounds to me like you're hollering long
before the hammer drops on your foot.

Hint: Don't judge us rrap'ers as hams by how we appear to behave on Usenet. This is NOT ham radio; never was, never will be, and we are intelligent enough to understand the difference. So stop whining about how you *think* we would behave on-the-air if you don't have any direct experience.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3333432534945250102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 18:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Apr 2001 01:09:23 GMT
References: <3AC6BABD.48226FFF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010404210923.01729.00001788@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18700

In article <3AC6BABD.48226FFF@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> And a very lame excuse is always better than none.
>
>Once bit twice wary. Last time I put Larry in touch with one of my
>friends, he harassed him without end.

Dick:

Keep in mind, I don't remember anything of the sort. I may have exchanged one or two e-mails with a friend of Cecil's, in which I may have simply stated my position on whatever matter was being discussed at the time. I never "harassed" anyone "without end," because, in addition to the fact that I would still be doing it right now (which I'm obviously not), I have never had any inclination to do any such thing to anyone. Like everything Cecil says, it must be taken with at least 7,000 grains of salt.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7929674807789892601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 18:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 55
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Apr 2001 01:34:11 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104040246060.15503-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010404213411.25479.00000559@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18701

Here are some numbers from the AH0A website to consider.

From March 31, 2000 to March 31, 2001:

- The total number of US ham licenses rose from 677,784 to 684,359 - a gain of 6,575 (1%)

- The total number of Extras rose from 75,985 to 95,243 - a gain of 19,258 (25.3%)

- The total number of Advanceds dropped from 103,048 to 88,082 - a loss of 14,966 (14.5%)

- The total number of Generals rose from 109,787 to 136,056 - a gain of 26,269 (23.9%)

- The total number of Technician Pluses dropped from 133,688 to 96,145 - a loss of 37,543 (28%) (See discussion)

- The total number of Techncians rose from 204,646 to 224,867 - a gain of 20,221 (9.9%) (See discussion)

- The total number of Novices dropped from 50,630 to 43,966 - a loss of 6,664 (13.1%)

The above totals are for individual licenses. Note that from a percentage viewpoint, the fastest growing class is the Extra, while from a number of licenses viewpoint, the fastest growing class is the General.

Since April 15, 2000, the FCC has renewed all Technician and Technician Plus licenses as Technician. Also, a ham who holds a Technician license and has passed Element 1 (5 wpm code) has Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges, even though the database simply shows "Technician" as the license class. There is no easy way to determine exactly how many Techs are actually Techs with HF, because the FCC does not keep that information in its database. A lower bound on this number can be found by adding up the number of Technician renewals from April of 2000 until October of 2000 (3273) This works out to an average of 467 per month, or 5,604 per year, being reclassified from Tech Plus to Tech because of renewal.

There is also no easy way to determine the number of upgrades for most of the classes, because upgrades are recorded as "modifications", just like address or name changes.

The total number of "lots of HF" licenses (General/Advanced/Extra) rose from 288,820 to 319,381 - a gain of 30,561 (10.5%). This is what K0HB is referring to in his post - more hams with lots of HF privileges.

The above figures reflect only one year's change, starting on the eve of restructuring. It will be interesting to see how the trends evolve over longer time frames.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 7962919854162858855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 18:38:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Apr 2001 01:34:10 GMT
References: <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010404213410.25479.00000558@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18702
```

In article <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:

>and i know a pile of cw fanatics who could set up a generator, solar, wind
>or other power sources if their life depended on it ...

I know a pile of them who could, too. I've done it many times. Ever try Field

Day single handed?

>i know cw'ers out here who don't know how to build a simple folded dipole

Why should anyone need to know that? What's wrong with a regular dipole? I've been an active ham since 1967, have put up all sorts of antennas, built all sorts of gear, and never once needed to use a folded dipole.
>...
>
>those are basic skills they should have ... and dont

Why should those skills be required? If they are so important, why aren't they part of the test for a license?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1078527693824670112
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 18:38:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Apr 2001 01:34:11 GMT
References: <3ACA8D7F.34417749@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <20010404213411.25479.00000560@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18703

In article <3ACA8D7F.34417749@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> The Titanic fired off all of the rockets she had before sinking.
>
>Egads, not enough lifeboats and not enough flares either.

It wasn't the quantity that was the problem. It was the fact that those on board the Californian didn't know what the rockets meant.

> Aren't all
>present day lifeboats equipped with flares?

I suppose so - but not so in 1912. You see, the professionals had determined that the probability of a ship the size of Titanic sinking before help would arrive was so low as to be of no concern. That's why there were not enough lifeboats for all on board, no flares, rockets or even flashights in the lifeboats, no lifeboat drills, no binoculars for the lookouts, etc., etc.

Often more is learned from failure than success.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 189437273273138059
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 18:46:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ACC76A3.F4A7923B@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 08:44:03 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3ACA8D7F.34417749@IEEE.org> <20010404213411.25479.00000560@nso-fz.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986434652 64.240.47.131 (4 Apr 2001 19:37:32 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18704

N2EY wrote:
> You see, the professionals had determined
> that the probability of a ship the size of Titanic sinking before help would
> arrive was so low as to be of no concern.

I nearly fell out of my chair tonight watching "Titanic" on The Discovery Channel. The reenactment of the CW operator showed him tapping out CW using only his index finger. If Dick saw that, I'm sure someone had to carry him to the emergency room.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -585680825541934150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 18:46:52 PST
Message-ID: <3ACC7703.234FB93@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 08:45:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC6BABD.48226FFF@IEEE.org> <20010404210923.01729.00001788@nso-
fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986434749
64.240.47.131 (4 Apr 2001 19:39:09 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!hub1.nntpserver.com!
news-in.nibble.net!headwall.stanford.edu!feeder.via.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18705
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Keep in mind, I don't remember anything of the sort.

I'm not surprised. Harassment comes so naturally to you,

you don't even remember it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 7723717460042046654
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 18:56:07 PST
Message-ID: <3ACC7878.9833FCB6@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 08:51:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com>
<20010403174608.18052.00000850@nso-da.aol.com>
<Y9My6.20958$XV.5236850@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ag8dt$j33$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986435120
64.240.47.131 (4 Apr 2001 19:45:20 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cyclone.bc.net!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18706

KB9WFK wrote:
> What formula would it be that you are using? Does your antenna have a
> square radiation pattern? If you are trying to argue a point in a room full
> of people that heartily disagree, you have to watch things like that. You
> stated this as "fact". Fact is, the area of a circle is pi(r^2). Things

> like that can really blow your credibility.

If cell sites can have hexagonal coverage, why can't he have square coverage? :-)

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2438466325142257949
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 19:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010403053629.07395.00000674@nso-cs.aol.com>
<20010403174608.18052.00000850@nso-da.aol.com>
<Y9My6.20958$XV.5236850@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ag8dt$j33$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <3ACC7878.9833FCB6@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%uQy6.23255$XV.5897260@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 02:11:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986436667 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 19:11:07
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 19:11:07 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18707
```

when you consider when shelters are set up they are responsible for a 10 x 10 grid ... a square area is perfectly logical

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                      |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ACC7878.9833FCB6@IEEE.org...
> KB9WFK wrote:
> > What formula would it be that you are using?  Does your antenna have a
> > square radiation pattern?  If you are trying to argue a point in a room
full
> > of people that heartily disagree, you have to watch things like that.
You
> > stated this as "fact".  Fact is, the area of a circle is pi(r^2).
Things
> > like that can really blow your credibility.
>
> If cell sites can have hexagonal coverage, why can't he have square
> coverage? :-)
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----




From -4348092028756995693
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 19:14:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010404213410.25479.00000558@nso-fz.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 49
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <guQy6.23250$XV.5895027@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 02:10:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986436620 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 19:10:20
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 19:10:20 PDT
```

Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18708

i have operated a class c on my own ... solar powered ...

and last year was with vo1aa in nfld ...

actually ... antenna design (simple anyways) is part of the licencing here
... as well as station setup ... simple block diagrams etc ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                         |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                  |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010404213410.25479.00000558@nso-fz.aol.com...
> In article <2wiy6.7910$XV.2443822@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:
>
> >and i know a pile of cw fanatics who could set up a generator, solar, wind
> >or other power sources if their life depended on it ...
>
> I know a pile of them who could, too. I've done it many times. Ever try Field
> Day single handed?
>
> >i know cw'ers out here who don't know how to build a simple folded dipole
>
> Why should anyone need to know that? What's wrong with a regular dipole? I've
> been an active ham since 1967, have put up all sorts of antennas, built all
> sorts of gear, and never once needed to use a folded dipole.
> >...
> >
> >those are basic skills they should have ... and dont
>
> Why should those skills be required? If they are so important, why aren't they
> part of the test for a license?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

From -4385344458546659967
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 19:37:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: 5 Apr 2001 02:35:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9aglkm$scb$0@208.206.142.106>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <9adgeq$ou9$1@news.hawaii.edu> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104040052250.15285-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <slrn9cl6ou.9s4.w9wi@linux1.localdomain> <3acb2d8e.196082099@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18709 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2259

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Wed, 04 Apr 2001 04:51:20 GMT, w9wi@linux1.localdomain (Doug Smith
>) wrote:
>
> > (to suggest one
> >possible reason: because he wants to know what it's like to be DX without
> >actually travelling to a DX location)
> >--
> >
> There were bugus dx cw & phone callsigns being used going back before
> I got into dxing in the '50s. It was almost a sport, provided chances
> to get into pileups when things were slow. The "Island of Ambrosio"
> popped up regularly on 20m ssb. Then we had the Don Miller fiasco.
> Based on what I'm hearing on the bands these days there isn't anywhere
> near as much of that nonsense today as there was back when.

It was so common they even had a name for bootleggers, like 'Elmer', only for the bootleggers it was 'Slim'.

```
From -4002025328655934378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 19:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 5 Apr 2001 02:38:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9agls3$scb$1@208.206.142.106>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
<3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org> <9abd06$csq$0@208.206.142.78>
<3ACA2A7C.45DCB52@IEEE.org> <9ae0bb$kf3$0@208.207.71.167>
<3ACB4AE2.4652CAD0@IEEE.org> <9affda$h2m$0@208.207.71.159>
<3ACC1E76.B221550B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18710
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Yeah, Cecil and for some reason you insist on keeping them from
> > learning the facts. Why is that?
>
> What facts? A lot of the "facts" you utter are wet dreams.

It's as unsurprising that you would fail to recognize facts as it is that you would be fixated on wet dreams.

```
From -8569988386171392040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 19:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 5 Apr 2001 02:46:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9agmav$scb$2@208.206.142.106>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87> <3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172> <3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100> <3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141> <3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158> <3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org> <9abd06$csq$0@208.206.142.78> <3ACA2A7C.45DCB52@IEEE.org> <9ae0bb$kf3$0@208.207.71.167> <3ACB4AE2.4652CAD0@IEEE.org> <9affda$h2m$0@208.207.71.159> <cnMy6.21047$XV.5259284@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18711

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> all the facts you know are 40 yrs out of date

That's your story and you're sticking to it. Because it's the only one you know, as erroneous as it is.

From 7767671857856621308
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 19:53:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 5 Apr 2001 02:53:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9agmnt$1u3$0@208.206.142.106>

```
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9ae2b8$p85$0@208.207.71.167>
<3acbc4ad$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18712



Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9ae2b8$p85$0@208.207.71.167...
> >
> >  Aw, don't worry, Larry, you probably won't have to go into therapy over
> > the CW test after all. You should know the FCC is almost sure to drop
> > all CW testing, probably along with any meaningful testing of any sort,
> > after the treaty is changed. Till then, well, you'l still have something
> > to bitch about. You've got a few years to find something new to replace
> > CW testing with.
> >
> >  Again, since it has made nary the slightest dent in your skull, the
> > only reason for hams to know CW is so that they can use it when it is
> > needed, and IT IS sometimes needed. I know, having faced those
> > circumstances, and not in ham radio either.
>
> Dick, I thought that CW was the hams favor mode.  Now you tell
> me they only use it when needed.


 Your perceptors are still out of order. The only reason for YOU to need
to know CW is .... etc.



From 5809799511694166217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 20:07:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 5 Apr 2001 03:06:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9agngg$3qs$0@208.206.142.106>
References: <3ACA8D7F.34417749@IEEE.org> <20010404213411.25479.00000560@nso-
```

```
fz.aol.com> <3ACC76A3.F4A7923B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18713



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > You see, the professionals had determined
> > that  the probability of a ship the size of Titanic sinking before help would
> > arrive was so low as to be of no concern.
>
> I nearly fell out of my chair tonight watching "Titanic" on The
> Discovery Channel. The reenactment of the CW operator showed him
> tapping out CW using only his index finger. If Dick saw that, I'm
> sure someone had to carry him to the emergency room.


 You can bet the farm that the real Titanic operator didn't handle his
key that way. So much for depictions.


From 1931655897774049584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 21:51:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!w9wi
From: w9wi@linux1.localdomain (Doug Smith )
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<9adgeq$ou9$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACB10D4.EBA96072@IEEE.org>
<UX6z6.12270$RF1.819444@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: me
Message-ID: <slrn9cqfgo.1vt.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 26
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 04:51:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.116.4
X-Trace: news1.atl 986532668 209.214.116.4 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 00:51:08 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 00:51:08 EDT
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18764
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2270

On Thu, 05 Apr 2001 23:10:44 GMT, JAMES HAMPTON
<j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>For what it is worth, how difficult is it to hook up a computer and let the
>machine send and receive the code? I doubt most bootleggers would do this
>since it might entail just a bit of work; regardless, the question remains -
>how would anyone know that the other individual can or cannot send or
>receive CW?

On the receive side, it would become obvious pretty quickly. Machines have a hard time copying CW, and find it nearly impossible in QRM. It might not be obvious that the guy's a bootlegger, but it would be obvious that he wasn't copying code by ear.

(awhile back, a Chilean military officer/ham was stationed on a Chilean island that counts as a rare country separate from Chile. The operator knew little or no Morse - he did all of his operating on RTTY. Apparently after being asked for CW QSOs enough times, he decided to fire up his multimode TNC on CW. The effort was very much appreciated, but it was very obvious something different was going on...)
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com
Due to excessive spam, messages from uu.net are deleted unread.

From 4109107017385231805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 22:13:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acb2b6e.195542675@news2.dvol.com> <9ai6rk$nq9$1@news.netmar.com>
<3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <6dcz6.1644$9q6.1379797@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 6 Apr 2001 00:12:46 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.169
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com

X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 986533826 205.138.126.169 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 01:10:26 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 01:10:26 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18765

"Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message news:3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
> For period March 2000 to Dec 2000.

March figures are available, why nine months? http://ah0a.org

>
> A. The full-HF license classes (General, Advanced, Extra) gained 27,628.
>
> B. The token-HF license classes (Tech plus, Novice) dropped 38,802.
>
> C. The all HF license classes dropped 11,174.
>
> D. The VHF/UHF only class gained 14,577.
>
> E. All licenses classes gained 3,403.
>
> The effect of Tech Plus class being renewed as Tech will not
> be seen until 2001.   You will see the VHF/UHF class gain
> jump up to 20,000 by April 2001. It is estimated the Tech Plus
> renewal account for about 5,000 of the gain.

Tech Pluses started to be renewed as Tech 15 April 00 and about 5k of them were in the Tech figures by December before renewal of the Codeless began. FYI 11,875 Pluses expire in 2001 and 16872 Techs.

>
> It is known that less half of eligible amateurs renewed their
> license at the end of the ten year term.

And where does that bit of wonderment come from? Even expiring Novices are renewing at about 30%. At first quarter rates, we will renew about 33k of the 54k that come up this year. Give or take two-thirds is a far cry from 'less than half'.

Think about it! Given an Amateur career in the 40 year range, something like 20-25% will fail to renew on any given 10-year cycle because they are dead. In fact, given the aging of the population in general, death would appear to be nearly the sole cause of non-renewal these days.

>
> I leave you to draw your conclusions on the meaning of these trend.

Remind me not to hire you to do a portfolio analysis. That conclusion is pretty apparent.

>
> Larry KC8EPO
>

From 1846593407180202974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 22:54:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!network
From: j.b@integrity.net.com (Johny B. Good)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Can we sue the ARRL?
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 05:52:47 GMT
Organization: integrity
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <j.b@b_good.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.35.bb.b9
X-Server-Date: 6 Apr 2001 05:53:35 GMT
X-Newsreader: News Xpress 2.01
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14635
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18766 rec.radio.amateur.space:2439 rec.radio.swap:27846

The ARRL has made a mockery of its constitution and by-laws, and they've trashed the good principles on which Hiram Percy Maxim founded the organization. Enough is Enough, it's time to sue.

Anyone know if we can sue them? Can you sue an organization that has not followed its by-laws and constitution in recent years? How much is the League worth right now?

Thanks for any info or advice. I'm not an attorney.

73

From -4924491741677790655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 23:58:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
References: <j.b@b_good.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Lines: 21
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%Ndz6.5694$Dh2.465701@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /KiKeSYEylJ30i5pGwW/
XG4J4Cfq0gfOcyfQZ3mdqQWwSKoSvTwqlTPB9Eh193fZlP+f6knUQmXK!
QHpAVjYWCLfYL8isCpjVMrGxkjeEGYpM67DEvRU/wwOkAefaqGQ9x/zxD9fXyrnP5T/FYlsxRIz1!
jOgk59Gf
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 06:58:03 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 06:58:03 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14636
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18767 rec.radio.amateur.space:2440 rec.radio.swap:27850
```

Sure you can. If you have the money to burn there's always some lawyer willing to take it from you. Go ahead, and let us know how you come out :>)

73 de W6DKN

"Johny B. Good" <j.b@integrity.net.com> wrote in message
news:j.b@b_good.com...

> The ARRL has made a mockery of its constitution and by-laws, and they've
> trashed the good principles on which Hiram Percy Maxim founded the
> organization. Enough is Enough, it's time to sue.
>
> Anyone know if we can sue them? Can you sue an organization that has not
> followed its by-laws and constitution in recent years? How much is the

League
> worth right now?
>
> Thanks for any info or advice. I'm not an attorney.
>
> 73

From -7792636803314899967
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 01:22:40 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 08:22:11 GMT
Message-ID: <3acd7c62.74333872@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3acb2b6e.195542675@news2.dvol.com> <9ai6rk$nq9$1@news.netmar.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.111
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.111
X-Trace: 6 Apr 2001 03:19:22 -0500, 64.24.16.111
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18768

On 5 Apr 2001 16:35:00 GMT, K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

>1) The no-HF/minimal-HF amateur license classes (Tech, Tech+, Novice) have
>lost about 23,000 people since last April.
>
>2) The full-HF license classes (General, Advanced, Extra) have gained about
>28,000 during the same time period.
>
Heh.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>
w3rv

From -6633224431689173682
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 02:56:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Apr 2001 09:55:55 GMT
References: <3ACDD59B.76AC55F4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Message-ID: <20010406055555.25479.00000585@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18769

In article <3ACDD59B.76AC55F4@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >It stands to reason that a person who can bootleg CW can probably
>> >obtain a general class license.
>>
>> Now THERE'S a good reason to keep the Morse mode!
>
>So bootleggers can use it?

Just the opposite.
>
>> > It is not so apparent for voice mode bootleggers.
>>
>> Precisely. Thanks, Cecil.
>
>IMO, it's a no brainer to assume that there are magnitudes more voice
>mode bootleggers than CW bootleggers

That's precisely what I'm talking about.

>- although I did bootleg CW in
>between passing the Novice exam and receiving my license in the mail.

You trying to give Dick a heart attack or what? ;-)

>I came pretty close to guessing my new call.

That's a good one!
>--
73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 4021733425069702107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 03:59:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Scott, N9AI" <scottm@nospam.mvp.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <j.b@b_good.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Lines: 22
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Message-ID: <Xghz6.663$kp6.280011@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 6 Apr 2001 06:02:10 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.235.15.184
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 986554551 208.235.15.184 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 06:55:51
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 06:55:51 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14639
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18770

Well, since you're such a dullard that you can't figure out how not to cross
post this crap and are too much of a coward to use your real name, I don't
hold much hope for your case.

Exactly what "good principles" has the League trashed? Please fill us in...


Johny B. Good wrote in message ...
>The ARRL has made a mockery of its constitution and by-laws, and they've
>trashed the good principles on which  Hiram Percy Maxim founded the
>organization.  Enough is Enough, it's time to sue.
>
>Anyone know if we can sue them? Can you sue an organization that has not
>followed its by-laws and constitution in recent years? How much is the
League
>worth right now?
>
>Thanks for any info or advice. I'm not an attorney.
>
>73




From 7727348240578918433
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 05:12:04 PST
Message-ID: <3ACE5B32.688BE837@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 19:11:30 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
<3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org> <9abd06$csq$0@208.206.142.78>
<3ACA2A7C.45DCB52@IEEE.org> <9ae0bb$kf3$0@208.207.71.167>
<3ACB4AE2.4652CAD0@IEEE.org> <9affda$h2m$0@208.207.71.159>
<cnMy6.21047$XV.5259284@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9agmav$scb$2@208.206.142.106>
<0S4z6.28231$XV.7315990@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9ajdvc$sl0$2@208.207.71.164>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986558698
64.240.47.100 (6 Apr 2001 06:04:58 -0600)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18771
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> > in the same way you believe the only true reliable method of radio comms is
> > cw ... such an out dated and ancient technique
>
> You clearly can't understand how really ridiculous you are. You and
> Cecil are the truly delusional souls posting here. Get help.

How can you mistake reality for delusions, Dick? Exactly what is it that I have said that you think is delusional?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7976280088775192122
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 05:15:50 PST
Message-ID: <3ACE5C0D.BC4B87F@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 19:15:09 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
<3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org> <9ajdlk$sl0$0@208.207.71.164>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986558917
64.240.47.100 (6 Apr 2001 06:08:37 -0600)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18772
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The Titanic episode directly caused changes to radiotelegraph

> operations such that there was never again a time when such an incident
> could happen.

Thus shutting the barn door after the horse was gone. Are you advocating
that all ships go back to CW for emergency communications, Dick? If not,
exactly what are you advocating for ships?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3453907867389584391
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 05:17:16 PST
Message-ID: <3ACE5C6B.D73AB39D@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 19:16:43 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
<3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org> <9ajdnf$sl0$1@208.207.71.164>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986559010
64.240.47.100 (6 Apr 2001 06:10:10 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-xfer.siscom.net!
news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18773

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > The cheapest system that provides acceptable results will
> > > be the one that is adopted.
> >
> > "Acceptable results" being the key concept.
>
> Which can be a very subjective concept.

And of course, you know better than all the experts combined. Exactly
what are you advocating for ships, Dick? Do you advocate a CW operator
standing by 24/7?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3087337940781665851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 05:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-nwblwi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acb2b6e.195542675@news2.dvol.com> <9ai6rk$nq9$1@news.netmar.com>
<3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9ajehq$1dk$0@208.207.71.164>
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Fri, 6 Apr 2001 08:27:05 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3acdb850$0$14451$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 79ffe51f.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=3@;BjW=9RH0L:]83Yo7ZD;2BE>7J@WeM<[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7`;1?A5m_7D?
lBFDaL\d^n5EMHjH3=oo\5
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18774

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ajehq$1dk$0@208.207.71.164...

> Ands OF COURSE the answer to all the ills of the ARS is to completely
> drop all code testing NOW. Right. As if.

No, the code test will be dropped because it serves no regulator purpose, is unecessary, not in the public interest, and not in conformity with 5 USC 706(a)(A).

You the one that thinks it has something to due with numbers, not me.

Larry KC8EPO

From -4845848312521051141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 05:42:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!
135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: lr0d@my-deja.com (LRod)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Message-ID: <3acdab4a.34326794@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 9
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 12:42:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.94.3.161
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986560956 12.94.3.161 (Fri, 06 Apr
2001 12:42:36 GMT)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 12:42:36 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14646
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18775 rec.radio.amateur.space:2441 rec.radio.swap:27859

On Fri, 06 Apr 2001 05:52:47 GMT, j.b@integrity.net.com (Johny B.
Good) wrote:

> I'm not an attorney.

No foolin'.

LRod

From 1777461187650214364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 05:50:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acb2b6e.195542675@news2.dvol.com> <9ai6rk$nq9$1@news.netmar.com>
<3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<6dcz6.1644$9q6.1379797@nntp1.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Fri, 6 Apr 2001 08:46:16 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3acdbaaf$0$14449$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 79ffe51f.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=\2ZPSBdD[f:bV?
_m\CJ]D62BE>7J@WeM<[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F70EdM58YB^S9lBFDaL\d^n5EMHjH3=oo\5
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18776

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:6dcz6.1644$9q6.1379797@nntp1.onemain.com...

>
> "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
> news:3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
> > For period March 2000 to Dec 2000.
>
> March figures are available, why nine months? http://ah0a.org

Because get the numbers from the W5YI Report. I will go to site you provided
and update my table. I am not exactly sure they are counting the same
way (licenses in the 2 year grace period).

<sniped>

> > The effect of Tech Plus class being renewed as Tech will not
> > be seen until 2001.   You will see the VHF/UHF class gain
> > jump up to 20,000 by April 2001. It is estimated the Tech Plus
> > renewal account for about 5,000 of the gain.
>
> Tech Pluses started to be renewed as Tech 15 April 00 and about 5k of them
> were in the Tech figures by December before renewal of the Codeless began.
> FYI 11,875 Pluses expire in 2001 and 16872 Techs.

The database I have shows that the Tech Plus license was not issued until 1991.
It unimportant becaue in terms of meanful access to HF there is little difference
between Tech Plus, Novice, and Tech licensees.

> > It is known that less half of eligible amateurs renewed their
> > license at the end of the ten year term.
>
> And where does that bit of wonderment come from? Even expiring Novices
> are renewing at about 30%. At first quarter rates, we will renew about
> 33k of the 54k that come up this year. Give or take two-thirds is a far
> cry from 'less than half'.
>
> Think about it! Given an Amateur career in the 40 year range, something
> like 20-25% will fail to renew on any given 10-year cycle because they are
> dead. In fact, given the aging of the population in general, death would
> appear to be nearly the sole cause of non-renewal these days.

Good point, I will need to reconsider that conclusion. Thanks.

Larry KC8EPO

From -7210424229108334478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 06:35:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news.stealth.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Apr 2001 13:34:32 GMT
References: <j.b@b_good.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Message-ID: <20010406093432.19540.00000669@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18777

In article <j.b@b_good.com>, j.b@integrity.net.com (Johny B. Good) writes:

>The ARRL has made a mockery of its constitution and by-laws, and they've
>trashed the good principles on which Hiram Percy Maxim founded the
>organization. Enough is Enough, it's time to sue.

What, precisely, is the problem? How has the BOD violated the bylaws?
>
>Anyone know if we can sue them? Can you sue an organization that has not
>followed its by-laws and constitution in recent years? How much is the League
>worth right now?

First you need to show that the constituion and bylaws were actually violated. Then you have to show that such violation resulted in some sort of harm to someone.

Details, please.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Thanks for any info or advice. I'm not an attorney.

Neither am I.

From -6247551756537533344
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 06:35:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Apr 2001 13:34:31 GMT
References: <3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010406093431.19540.00000668@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18778

In article <3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> writes:

>The effect of Tech Plus class being renewed as Tech will not
>be seen until 2001.

That's not correct, Larry. The FCC began to renew Tech Pluses as Techs on April 15, 2000. More than 3500 Tech Pluses were renewed as Techs from April 2000 to November 2000. (November 14, 2000 was when the very first post-Feb 14-1991 Techs came up for renewal).

>You will see the VHF/UHF class gain
>jump up to 20,000 by April 2001. It is estimated the Tech Plus
>renewal account for about 5,000 of the gain.

Agreed. Probably more like a gain of 6000, though. And anyone who passes Element 1 but does not upgrade to General gets Novice HF privileges but still shows up in the database as a Tech.
>
>It is know that less half of eligible amateurs renewed their
>license at the end of the ten year term.

How do you figure that? Compare the following figures from the AH0A site:

Total renewed licenses (not including grace renewals) from Mar 31, 2000 to Mar 31, 2001: 23,407

Total expired licenses from Mar 31, 2000 to Mar 31, 2001: 18,537

So out of a total of 41,944 licenses eligible for renewal during that time period, at least 23,407 renewed - that's 55%.

And that 55% is an absolute worst-case number, because it does not include any grace period renewals or new vanity calls. The real renewal rate is much, much higher than 55%.
>
>I leave you to draw your conclusions on the meaning of these trend.

I think it means the restructuring mostly affected existing hams, who upgraded in large numbers. Slightly more newcomers were added than before. Still too early to gauge the long term effects.
>
Here's the really good news: The renewal rate for Techs, which was an unknown

for a decade because none of them had expired yet, appears to be very high. The AH0A data shows that in March, 2001 (the first month in which such epirations would show up) 208 Tech licenses expired - and 1,427 renewed. 1,427 renewals out of 1,635 total possible is better than 87% - and again, that 87% is a worst-case number that doesn't include grace period renewals or vanity calls. Sure, that's only one month's worth of data - so we'll keep an eye on it. My highly educated guess is that it's not a fluke, though.

As Rich McCollum has pointed out, about the only reason for nonrenewal these days seems to be death.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 2827338873740583339
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 06:40:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Lamb" <k7fm@teleport.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
References: <j.b@b_good.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Message-ID: <PDjz6.29$K54.14046@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 6 Apr 2001 06:45:31 -0700
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.26.62.135
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 986564207 216.26.62.135 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 09:36:47 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 09:36:47 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14648
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18779 rec.radio.amateur.space:2442 rec.radio.swap:27863

I want to stand up for the ARRL. I think they have followed their organization documents. The members have voted for and elected directors who have made tough decisions based upon the facts they know. Did you vote? Did you speak out?

Amateur radio is changing and the ARRL must be responsive to that change - whatever it takes. They are they best organization to represent amateur radio and in my opinion have done a great job. The directors are pretty much volunteers who spend a lot of hours doing their job.

I would like to thank the directors and the staff at ARRL.

Colin K7FM

From 1952718714813563230
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 07:56:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Date: Fri, 6 Apr 2001 09:56:16 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9akldl$mn6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <PDjz6.29$K54.14046@nntp3.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.e6.a0
X-Server-Date: 6 Apr 2001 14:55:49 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14654 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18781 rec.radio.amateur.space:2443 rec.radio.swap:27872

Over the last 40 years I have heard this same sort of thing. ARRL this, ARRL that. I have YET to hear any serious suggestions to improve it (the ARRL). Just a lot of bitching.

Some time back, in the sixties, Wayne Green started up the 'Institute of Amateur Radio'. What a flop and what a goof.

So, anyone got some suggestions? some solutions? And BTW what exactly is the problem?

Dan/W4NTI

"Lamb" <k7fm@teleport.com> wrote in message
news:PDjz6.29$K54.14046@nntp3.onemain.com...
> I want to stand up for the ARRL. I think they have followed their
> organization documents. The members have voted for and elected directors
> who have made tough decisions based upon the facts they know. Did you
vote?
> Did you speak out?
>
> Amateur radio is changing and the ARRL must be responsive to that change -
> whatever it takes. They are they best organization to represent amateur
> radio and in my opinion have done a great job. The directors are pretty
> much volunteers who spend a lot of hours doing their job.
>
> I would like to thank the directors and the staff at ARRL.
>
> Colin K7FM
>
>

From -2875286269323649040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ed1fe1310747b5f2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 08:20:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Apr 2001 15:19:42 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Hans...Facing His Impending Demise Bitterly.....
Message-ID: <20010406111942.05328.00001163@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18782

Up until now I have only taken ONE of the NG "opponents" seriously, and that's Lennie...Only because I know he is mentally ill and in need of help.

Now there are two. Lennie AND Hans.

Bitter, mean, and arrogant. I guess aging is a milestone we will all pass eventually. Hopefully some of us will pass it more gracefully.

Get help, Hans. Your bitterness will be your demise.

Steve

Subj: What's it to you?
Date: 04/05/01 3:50:13 PM Eastern Daylight Time
From: Hans_Brakob@adc.com (Brakob, Hans)
To: k4yz@aol.com ('k4yz@aol.com')

> Hans, did you give up on Amatuer Radio awards and
> are now trying to accumulate the most ISP's...?!?!?

I am fortunate to have access to the internet via a number of
different means

Is that a problem for you?

Go soak your fat head.

Hans

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -4995998964364340479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 08:23:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Apr 2001 15:22:34 GMT
References: <9ai2q2$fhk$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Message-ID: <20010406112234.05328.00001165@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18783

>Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
>From: K0HB@arrl.org

>Date: 04/05/01 11:25 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Now don't you feel silly with your "bootlegging" conspiracy rant?

What conspiracy? What rant? Isn't your wife giving it up, Hans? You have to vent your frustrations in e mails and newsgroups?

No 73 for you. You're mean today.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 7206614642604539022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 08:27:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Apr 2001 15:26:38 GMT
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3145@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010406112638.05328.00001166@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18784

>Subject: Re: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: Hans_Brakob@adc.com ("Brakob, Hans")
>Date: 04/05/01 3:28 PM Eastern Daylight Time

Childish sandlot suggestion deleted.

See reply in new thread.

Check your bad manners at the door.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 7934315559454002035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 08:29:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Apr 2001 15:28:49 GMT
References: <0S4z6.28231$XV.7315990@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010406112849.05328.00001167@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18785

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 04/05/01 4:47 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>in the same way you believe the only true reliable method of radio comms is
>cw ... such an out dated and ancient technique

     I am beginning to wonder if MM found a spellchecker and is back with us.

     Dick never said any such thing.

73

Steve, K4YZ




"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html
```

```
From -1944347319305111460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 08:30:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
```

From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 6 Apr 2001 15:16:00 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9akmjg$ptl$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <200104051526.LAA15945@newsone.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.33.0104050626061.22433-100000@uhunix5>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986570160 26549 205.139.138.14 (6 Apr 2001 15:16:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Apr 2001 15:16:00 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18786

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@hawaii.edu> writes:

> The latest QSL card was received from KP4XA in PR; the contact
> he had with "KH6O" was on 10m SSB.

Lame, Jeffie, really lame! All along this was a CW situation, but when a possible explanation comes along to spoil your farfetched "bootlegging" conspiracy theory, suddenly the guy switches to SSB! Your whole rant is not believable.

> You're opening yourself up to a defamation of character lawsuit

So sue me.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 358896685866961079
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 09:58:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!geomac
From: George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
Organization: W3GEO
References: <986564186.3acdc65addeb3@webmail.cotse.com>
User-Agent: MT-NewsWatcher/3.0 (PPC)
Message-ID: <geomac-C7065E.12122406042001@news.onemain.com>
Lines: 68
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 12:12:24 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.24.76.235
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 986576058 208.24.76.235 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 12:54:18 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 12:54:18 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18787

In article <986564186.3acdc65addeb3@webmail.cotse.com>, Jason Hsu
<jhsu-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote:

> I have heard many people lament that new Novice licenses are no longer
> issued
> and that they provided a good entry point into ham radio.
>
> Is this truly a big loss? It seems to me that the No-Code Technician
> license
> replaced the Novice license as the most popular entry-level license. The
> No-
> Code Technician requirement is similar to the Novice requirement; it
> simply
> replaces the 5 wpm CW test with the questions from the pre-restructuring
> Technician exam. In my opinion, studying for the Technician exam takes
> less
> effort than going from 0 to 5 wpm.
>
> Furthermore, the fact remains that after the No-Code Technician license
> was
> introduced a decade ago, very few people obtained Novice licenses.
>
> Also, bringing back the issuance of new Novice licenses would require
> splitting
> the Technician license exam.
>
> -
> Jason Hsu, AG4DG
> jason_hsu@@@@planetmail.com
> http://www.bigfoot.com/~jason_hsu/

>

Jason,

With the present 5WPM requirement for all licenses except the Tech, it probably makes no sense to continue the Novice.

That being said, I am sad to see it go because I can remember how hard I worked to learn the code in order to pass it, and how excited I was when it finally came in the mail and I could get on the air. I was 13 at the time and though it was a big accomplishment then. I know I am probably in the minority in thinking that the Novice was the best way in to ham radio and thought that the FCC (in retrospect) was truely inspired when they instituted the Novice license in the early 50s. Some of my best ham radio memories stem from the year I was Novice.

I always thought that the FCC made a mistake when they took away the 2 meter voice allocation, and made a larger mistake later when they made the license renewable. The Novice license was really a learners permit that allowed you to slowly learn most of what you needed to become a capable operator. At one time it was either up or out. It was important to get your code speed up in order to get the General.

But times change. The Technician license is arguably easier to get, conveys more spectrum allocations, and well meets the needs of a large amount of people presently in ham radio. I wish that the FCC had "grandfathered" all Novice and Tech+ licensees to General, but it did not happen.

The restructuring of Amateur Radio may or may not make a difference in the service. The jury will be out for a while on this. My opinion is that there are really no more than two licenses needed now. A no code VHF /UHF license and a full spectrum allocation license.

73

George
W3GEO

From -1310512880332376774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 09:59:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!geomac
From: George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
Organization: W3GEO
References: <Zguy6.675$9q6.575455@nntp1.onemain.com>

<3acb13b4$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
User-Agent: MT-NewsWatcher/3.0 (PPC)
Message-ID: <geomac-E04EF2.12135006042001@news.onemain.com>
Lines: 15
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 12:13:50 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.24.76.235
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 986576142 208.24.76.235 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 12:55:42 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 12:55:42 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18788

In article <3acb13b4$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose"
<lklose@net-link.net> wrote:

> "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
> news:Zguy6.675$9q6.575455@nntp1.onemain.com...
> > Looks like you are 12 hours ahead of reality.
>
> Maybe you 12 hours behind. :-)
>
> Larry KC8EPO
>

Maybe Cecil is well ahead of the cutting edge :)

George W3GEO

From -6016247364979411208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 10:12:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 190
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Apr 2001 17:11:38 GMT
References: <20010405011731.27365.00000863@nso-ff.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010406131138.07793.00002115@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18789

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/5/01 1:17 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010405011731.27365.00000863@nso-ff.aol.com>
>

>In article <20010404213411.25479.00000559@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>Here are some numbers from the AH0A website to consider.
>>
>>From March 31, 2000 to March 31, 2001:
>
>Ahem...that period begins two weeks before restructuring.

I know. If we are to consider the effects of restructuring, the last two weeks of April 2000 should be included in the totals.

>Hans Brakob used the 10-month period following
>restructuring...which I should have done too...
>
Why? The AH0A site contains information right up to the end of March, 2001.
>
>>Since April 15, 2000, the FCC has renewed all Technician and Technician Plus
>>licenses as Technician.
>
>For Technician-Plus license class the renewals can only be
>between 10 and 8 1/3 percent of all Technician-Plus
>licensees.

How do you figure that? The license expiration dates of Tech Plus and Tech licenses are not evenly distributed over the past ten years. One reason is that they were not issued at a constant rate. Another reason is that changes in vanity call rules caused a surge of Tech and Tech Plus vanity calls to be issued about 1994. Since the issuance of a new vanity call includes a renewal, the distribution of expiration dates is not uniform.

>The assumption seems to be that TechPlus
>renewals all abrogate their ability to "upgrade" but that
>doesn't seem to be the case.

Who is assuming that? Not me.

On the AH0A site, "renewals" are the number of licenses renewed in the 90 day window before they expire. Upgrades do not result in a new license. Upgrades do not result in a renewal unless the upgrade happens in the 90 day window before expiration of the existing license.
>
>For the Technician class licensee, the number of renewals
>will be small compared to all other classes because their
>initial numbers were small.

Not true.

The AH0A site shows that from April 2000 until December 2000 the number of Technician renewals averaged under about 500 per month. Practically all of these were Tech Plus renewals because none of the post-Feb-14-1991 Technicians were up for renewal until mid November, 2000.

In January of 2001 the number of Tech renewals was 812. In February 2001 it was
1,718 and in March 2001 1,472. The sudden rise is obviously due to the renewal
of the first post-Feb-14-1991 Techncian licenses.

> The Technician class has been
>renewing regularly since their first license term expired.

That only began at the end of November, 2000.
>
>>Also, a ham who holds a Technician license and has
>>passed Element 1 (5 wpm code) has Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges, even
>though
>>the database simply shows "Technician" as the license class. There is no
>easy
>>way to determine exactly how many Techs are actually Techs with HF, because
>>the FCC does not keep that information in its database. A lower bound on
>this
>>number can be found by adding up the number of Technician renewals from
>April
>>of 2000 until October of 2000 (3273) This works out to an average of 467 per
>>month, or 5,604 per year, being reclassified from Tech Plus to Tech because
>>of renewal.
>
>There is a more sure to determine the number of
>Technician-Plus renewals that were made Technician or
>to separate the originally-Technician-Plus from Techician
>by going to the NEW license, RENEWED, and RENEWED
>WITHIN GRACE PERIOD tabulations. Since there are no
>new Technician-Plus license class grants, one can
>simply subtract the new Technician license number from
>the Technician class total to see the number of
>Technician-Plus renewals transferred to Technician class.

It's not that simple.

If one uses the AH0A data, the Technician class license total is increased by
three things: new licenses, renewals from the Tech Plus class, and grace period
renewals. The Technician class license total is decreased by three different
things: expirations, cancellations, and upgrades to General and Extra.

>The surest possible way to ascertain of who went where to
>what is by downloading the Amateur database on a regular
>basis and searching all records. :-)

Be my guest.
>
>>The total number of "lots of HF" licenses (General/Advanced/Extra) rose from
>>288,820 to 319,381 - a gain of 30,561 (10.5%). This is what K0HB is
>referring
>>to in his post - more hams with lots of HF privileges.
>
>The total number of Extra-Advanced-General-TechPlus-Novice

>licensees DECLINED by 13,646 while the Technician licenses
>INCREASED by 20,221.

Nobody is debating that. What's your point?

> While those numbers for 12 months
>could be massaged more, it represents a bad comparative
>time since there were delays in licensing and class reassignment
>for up to two months following April 15, 2000 (see ARRL news
>for that period).

So? Why should those delays cause that period to be ignored?

>It would be better to go back to the original
>Brakob 10-month period and see the numbers from the end of
>June 2000 to March 2001.
>
Why do you want to ignore the period immediately after the April 15 changes?

>In the 10-month period the number of total Extra-Advanced-
>General-TechPlus-Novice licensees DECLINED by 10,943
>while the Technician INCREASED by 16,029. The net gain
>was 5,086. It is still growth. Of the Technician class increase
>15,970 were new licensees exclusive of any TechPlus
>renewal reclassification. That leaves the Technician class
>total change "off" by only 59 "others," presumably from the
>TechPlus renewal reclassification.

Nope. Your method of calculation is incorrect. You are assuming that no Techs upgraded to General or Extra during that entire 10 month period. That's simply not valid.

> Those 15,970 new
>Technicians still don't have any HF access with/without
>CSCEs.

Wrong again, Len. A Tech who has passed Element 1 but not upgraded to General or Extra gets Novice HF privileges.

>There is no valid way to explain the Extra-through-Novice
>class total decrease of 10,943 by any "Tech-Plus renewals
>to Tech."

One third to one half of that decline was caused by reclassification of renewing Tech Pluses as Techs. Add up the number of Tech Plus renewals, Tech Plus renewals in the grace period and Tech Plus vanity calls issued to get an idea of how big that number is.

> Attrition is happening among the code-tested
>classes.
>
Nobody denies that. What's your point? Do you want hams to die?

>>... It will be interesting to see how the trends evolve over
>>longer time frames.
>
>Why? The trend is still clear. The number of no-code-test
>Technician licensees had been steadily increasing before
>April 15, 2000, and one cannot deny that they are still
>increasing exclusive of any Tech-Plus renewal
>reclassification to Technician.

Nobody is denying that. But a significant cause of the apparent growth of the Tech and apparent decline of the Tech Plus is renewal reclassification.

> Technician class licensees
>ARE, in the majority, renewing in that class.

Probably true. In fact, most hams renew in their current class of license. Since upgrades are not tabulated in the AH0A data, there's no easy way to tell.

>
>The TREND has been present for a long time.

Which trend? The fact that the Tech class is growing? That's no surprise.

Tell us something new for a change, Len.

N2EY

From -911108799200132211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 10:37:36 PST
Message-ID: <3ACEA77A.F0337F42@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 00:36:58 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
References: <Zguy6.675$9q6.575455@nntp1.onemain.com> <3acb13b4$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <geomac-E04EF2.12135006042001@news.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.78
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986578227 64.240.47.78 (6 Apr 2001 11:30:27 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.

```
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18790

George McCouch wrote:
>
> "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> wrote:
> > Maybe you 12 hours behind.  :-)
>
> Maybe Cecil is well ahead of the cutting edge :)

Actually, I am literally on the bleeding edge. I'm putting up a
40m vertical and just rammed a nail through my forearm. :-(
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -7418595689921050925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ed1fe1310747b5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 10:53:34 PST
Message-ID: <3ACEAA65.2ADAE75@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 00:49:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Hans...Facing His Impending Demise Bitterly.....
References: <20010406111942.05328.00001163@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.78
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986578975
64.240.47.78 (6 Apr 2001 11:42:55 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
```

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.icl.net!diablo.netcom.net.uk!netcom.net.uk!news1.ebone.net!news.ebone.net!newsfeed.freenet.de!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18791

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
>   Up until now I have only taken ONE of the NG "opponents" seriously, and
> that's Lennie...Only because I know he is mentally ill and in need of help.
>
>   Now there are two. Lennie AND Hans.

I'm disappointed, Steve. After all the times I have tried to be a
thorn in your side, you now completely ignore my contributions.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3058061547509682930
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 10:53:46 PST
Message-ID: <3ACEA98E.68E05D7A@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 00:45:50 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
References: <986564186.3acdc65addeb3@webmail.cotse.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.78
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986578767 64.240.47.78 (6 Apr 2001 11:39:27 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18792

Jason Hsu wrote:
>
> I have heard many people lament that new Novice licenses are no longer issued
> and that they provided a good entry point into ham radio.
> Is this truly a big loss? It seems to me that the No-Code Technician license
> replaced the Novice license as the most popular entry-level license.

I got my Novice license in 1951 and would have been entirely happy with it but it expired after a year. The great majority of the thrill of ham radio for me was world-wide coverage with 40 watts on HF. For those young and/or non-technical potential hams who get no thrill out of talking on a local repeater and would get a thrill out of world-wide coverage using CW (or SSB on 10m), the loss of the Novice license is a considerable loss. If the Tech license had existed in 1951 instead of the Novice license, I would not have been interested.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3642189462968807905
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 11:38:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 6 Apr 2001 18:38:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9al2ee$i6o$0@208.207.71.169>
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
<3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org> <9ajdlk$sl0$0@208.207.71.164>
<3ACE5C0D.BC4B87F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.169
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18793

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The Titanic episode directly caused changes to radiotelegraph
> > operations such that there was never again a time when such an incident
> > could happen.
>
> Thus shutting the barn door after the horse was gone.

Uh NO, again Cecil, just all in the evolution of workable procedures
and the education of the dunces in charge of such things at the time.
Then as now such decisions were made for reasons of economics, and only
after dreadful result, such as Titanic's sinking with such awful loss of
life, does the public demand for the improvements that should have
already been in place happen.

Are you advocating
> that all ships go back to CW for emergency communications, Dick? If not,
> exactly what are you advocating for ships?

Who said I advocate anything for ships? My remarks were by way of a
realistic explanation for the reasons CW was abandoned in maritime use.
But it is important that people know about the shortcomings and
potential failures of new systems.
They are far from foolproof. And no alternate means of emergency
communications are kept on board most ships.

> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1243764708944l299
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 11:43:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 6 Apr 2001 18:43:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9al2o8$ivg$0@208.207.71.169>
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
<3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org> <9ajdnf$sl0$1@208.207.71.164>
<3ACE5C6B.D73AB39D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.169
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18794

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > The cheapest system that provides acceptable results will
> > > > be the one that is adopted.
> > >
> > > "Acceptable results" being the key concept.
> >
> > Which can be a very subjective concept.
>
> And of course, you know better than all the experts combined. Exactly
> what are you advocating for ships, Dick? Do you advocate a CW operator
> standing by 24/7?

Why do you assume that the "experts" opoinions had anything to do with
it?
As always, all such actions are driven primarily by ecomomics, not

expertise. If yo doubt that read Richard Feynman's "minority report to
the committe investigating the Space Shuttle Challenger disaster".

And why would I advocate "opreators standing by"? I would advocate CW
as a backup, but even that would require people to learn how to use it,
and your favorite organization, NCI would probably pitch a bitch again.

```
From 3176935147389383064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 11:50:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 6 Apr 2001 18:34:53 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9al28d$e2j$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3ACA8B8A.E0B2A930@IEEE.org> <20010404093505.22424.00000590@nso-
mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix3.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 986582093 14419 128.171.44.52 (6 Apr 2001 18:34:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Apr 2001 18:34:53 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18795
```

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:

> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> Punish the criminals, not the guns.

>How do you "punish" an inanimate object?

By making it more difficult for a law-abiding citizen to own it
or filing a class-action lawsuit against its maker.

73, Jeff KH6O
(NRA member and owner of: Ruger .22, Ruger .357, Beretta .25,
Winchester .22, Rossi 12ga, and Remington 12ga)

```
From 4076275582515194918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 12:03:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbdok52.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <Zguy6.675$9q6.575455@nntp1.onemain.com>
<3acb13b4$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <geomac-
E04EF2.12135006042001@news.onemain.com> <3ACEA77A.F0337F42@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
Lines: 14
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 19:03:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986583821 206.58.44.17 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 19:03:41
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 19:03:41 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18796

On Sat, 07 Apr 2001 00:36:58 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:

>> Maybe Cecil is well ahead of the cutting edge :)
>
>Actually, I am literally on the bleeding edge. I'm putting up a
>40m vertical and just rammed a nail through my forearm. :-(

  That takes special skill.....

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From 7692074975572402915
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 12:10:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: 6 Apr 2001 18:56:49 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9al3hh$fat$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <200104051526.LAA15945@newsone.net>
```

<Pine.GSO.4.33.0104050626061.22433-100000@uhunix5> <9akmjg$ptl$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix3.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 986583409 15709 128.171.44.52 (6 Apr 2001 18:56:49 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Apr 2001 18:56:49 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18797

In article <9akmjg$ptl$1@news.netmar.com>, <K0HB@qwest.net> wrote:

>Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@hawaii.edu> writes:
>> The latest QSL card was received from KP4XA in PR; the contact
>> he had with "KH6O" was on 10m SSB.

>Lame, Jeffie, really lame! All along this was a CW situation, but when
>a possible explanation comes along to spoil your farfetched "bootlegging"
>conspiracy theory, suddenly the guy switches to SSB! Your whole rant is
>not believable.

I said "the latest QSL card" -- they continue to arrive. I'll be
glad to FAX you a copy of this card, or you can write directly to
KP4XA yourself to confirm that he sent me his card.

I'll quote him: "KH6O, Hi Jeffrey. Tnx for the 10-10#. It's the
second time to copy HI. Pse QSL. 73 -- KP4XA -- Edwin."

His QSO with "KH6O" was on SSB on 28.345 MHz.

You're just upset because your "explanation" (KH6MT sending my
callsign) is so silly.

>>You're opening yourself up to a defamation of character lawsuit
>>by KH6MT

>So sue me.

I have no reason to sue -- but once KH6MT learns that you falsely
accused him of bootlegging my call on SSB, he might be interested
in at least having you make a public apology.

>73, Hans, K0HB

73, Jeff KH6O
P.S. Provide a FAX number, if you dare...

From 7254467512852546474
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ed1fe1310747b5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 12:17:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Hans...Facing His Impending Demise Bitterly.....
Date: 6 Apr 2001 19:17:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9al4oq$ns9$0@208.207.71.169>
References: <20010406111942.05328.00001163@ng-fc1.aol.com>
<3ACEAA65.2ADAE75@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.169
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18798

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> >
> >   Up until now I have only taken ONE of the NG "opponents" seriously, and
> > that's Lennie...Only because I know he is mentally ill and in need of help.
> >
> >   Now there are two. Lennie AND Hans.
>
> I'm disappointed, Steve. After all the times I have tried to be a
> thorn in your side, you now completely ignore my contributions.

Don't you realize how you'e blunted yourself? These days you don't make
even a scratch...

From -5527302353197641265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 12:29:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com> <Ks6y6.3723$XV.846537@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3acc8a30.12327191@news2.dvol.com> <lW4z6.28236$XV.7322126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3accef32.38187024@news2.dvol.com> <9aje7n$lm$0@208.207.71.164>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 57

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <nOoz6.37705$XV.9509591@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 19:29:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986585363 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 12:29:23 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 12:29:23 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18799

When would it be necessary ... That has been my questions all along ... all
you cw'ers keep babbling about is weather reports and such traffic ... that
is not vital! If you are in a hurricane ... guess what ... you can count on
lots of rain and winds ... whether its 80f or 88f is totally irrelevant ...
(notice i used the "imperical" system for you old farts who haven't caught
on to the metric system either ... another outdated piece of horse pucky
that has seen its day come and go)

As far as the red cross is concerned ... canadian and international both use
commercial vhf in north america and abroad ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/---\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094 |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9aje7n$1m$0@208.207.71.164...
>
>
> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >
> > On Thu, 05 Apr 2001 20:52:33 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
> > <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
> >
> > >well geez then in that case if Dildo, NFLD goes without power for a
couple
> > >days it would be best to communicate the 4000km's
> > >
> > No, smacko, the lights going out in Newfie country would not usually
> > warrant an international Red Cross or a UN or a U.S. ham radio
> > respose. But if some natural disaster pops up there and kills bunches
> > of people it might hapen if Ottowa can't cut the mustard and hollers
> > for international help to bail the Newfies out.

> > >
> > In some uncounted number of instances going back decades ham radio has
> > been the only means left for communications with the "outside world"
> > after a major disaster and it's done on HF. Very few of those comms
> > involve actual life & death comms which can obviously only be handled
> > by personnel near the scene. Nobody in their right minds will try to
> > summon paramedics on 40m cw (although that stunt has been done, too).
> > >
> > It's called long-haul disaster relief communications. If you can't do
> > 4,000 kMs in your own country you're not much of an asset in the big
> > picture. But keep yer HT batteries charged, ya just never know . . .
> >
>
>
> Rob has mad it clear that he has no understanding of disaster
> communications.

From -5610133587804813947
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 12:30:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 6 Apr 2001 19:27:39 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9al5bb$gl0$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <986564186.3acdc65addeb3@webmail.cotse.com>
<3ACEA98E.68E05D7A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986585259 17056 205.139.138.14 (6 Apr 2001 19:27:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Apr 2001 19:27:39 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18800

Jason Hsu wrote:

> I have heard many people lament that new Novice licenses are no longer
issued
> and that they provided a good entry point into ham radio.
> Is this truly a big loss? It seems to me that the No-Code Technician
license

> replaced the Novice license as the most popular entry-level license.

There are a few reasons that I wish the Novice license was still
an entry-point option for new hams.

#1) The test was aimed at a middle-school level of education, kids in
the 4-7th grades roughly. The Technician license test is longer and
covers a higher level of technical content.

#2) Many people out here in "flyover country" cannot benefit from
a uhf/vhf-only license because they might be the only ham in their
town or county.

#3) Some people are interested in radio primarily for "long distance"
as opposed to "local" communications and experimentation. Such kinds of
interests are difficult to pursue above 30MHz, and are more suited to the
HF orientation of the Novice class priveleges.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -3945992790511601276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 12:31:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <0S4z6.28231$XV.7315990@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010406112849.05328.00001167@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

```
Message-ID: <_Poz6.37710$XV.9511972@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 19:31:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986585466 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 12:31:06
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 12:31:06 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18801

well then if it is the only truly reliable mode than why is it so necessary
to know it before getting on hf and saving hte dya in all those fance
emergencies that apparentlyu kick up a lot of noise as to make ssb useless

enough typos for ya ?

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010406112849.05328.00001167@ng-fc1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 04/05/01 4:47 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >in the same way you believe the only true reliable method of radio comms
is
> >cw ... such an out dated and ancient technique
>
>      I am beginning to wonder if MM found a spellchecker and is back with
us.
>
>      Dick never said any such thing.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
> "An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
Time."
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html
>
>
```

```
From -8118948317818610363
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 13:00:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 6 Apr 2001 19:52:59 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9al6qr$j78$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <200104051526.LAA15945@newsone.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.33.0104050626061.22433-100000@uhunix5> <9akmjg$ptl$1@news.netmar.com>
<9al3hh$fat$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986586779 19688 205.139.138.14 (6 Apr 2001 19:52:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Apr 2001 19:52:59 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18802
```

In article <9al3hh$fat$1@news.hawaii.edu>, Jeffrey Herman
<jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:

>I have no reason to sue but once KH6MT learns that you falsely
>accused him of bootlegging my call on SSB, he might be interested
>in at least having you make a public apology.

Of course I never made such an accusation (but you already knew
that). I simply proposed that someone may have incorrectly
copied the morse "KH6MT" as "KH6O". I have never even suggested
that "KH6MT" was on SSB -- that twisted and tortured "explanation"
originated from you.

Good luck on this one now.

Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 659390235156153775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 13:08:54 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 20:08:24 GMT
Message-ID: <3acd7cdd.74457483@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3acb2b6e.195542675@news2.dvol.com>
<20010404150806.25414.00000719@nso-cd.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.44
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.44
X-Trace: 6 Apr 2001 15:05:33 -0500, 64.24.240.44
Lines: 85
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18803

On 04 Apr 2001 19:08:06 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>>The discussion is the telegraph license in it's applicable timeframe,
>>it's not about not who did what elsewhere when.
>
>I'm sure (no, make that certain) that you think telegraphy is the
>primest of the prime qualities in radio...in the 1950s or in the 2000s.
>
Obviously wrong again.
>
>You asked a (somewhat snarly) question asking me if I'd already
>had a commercial radiotelegraph license in 1956. I said I didn't
>and presented some opinions against it, again in the 1956 time-
>frame.
>
Of course, because you couldn't pass the Commercial Radiotelegraph

code test if your life depended on on that ability therefore I
wouldn't expect you do to anything else but demean those who did pass
the test, the license and the puposes it served. Predictable and a bit
of a giggle.
>
>
>I was 23 1/2 when I got my commercial First Phone in 1956, senior.
>Why should everyone have to lust for a life at sea?   :-)
>
We all have the option of limiting our options.
>
>Chances are a "phone-licensed kid" who rode gain at a broadcast
>station could make more than you think they could in 1956.   :-)
>
Bullshit to the core. The only way a 19 year old kid could ship out as
a sparky was if he had a recommedation from a relative already in the
closed union and the starting rate was something like $10k in 1956. I
had the opportunity but I opted for engineering school instead. What
did you make in 1956 Lenny with yer First Phone, hmmm?
>
I was never serious about it, I took the test just for the hell of it
because the eaminer challenged me to take it. Makes a nice piece of
wallpaper alongside my Extra certificate.
>
>>a tramp in the Indian Ocean sending it's bill of lading to the RCA
>>station in North Jesey, 1972 or so. Izzat "long haul" enough?
>
>No, because all your concentration is on ships and shipping for
>radio use, emphasizing CW.
>
. . . while you struggle on trying to bury cw in irrelevancies . .
just wasn't that way was it Lenny?
>
>>I think it was Sunday nite I was idly tuning around 10.5 Mhz and ran
>>into a signal. Unending string of 15wpm cw alphanumeric gibberish.
>>Very strong here. That was not some Banana Republic ship or coastal
>>and it as just a few days ago.
>
>Wonderful, you have ONE example.
>
I've hear several a week when I bother tuning outside the ham bands.
>
>Did you get a call sign to
>prove it was not a ship or coastal station? If it was sending
>gibberish, how do you know what it was? [sounds like a
>"numbers station" sending encrypted messages]
>
It wasn't a commercial ship, I know shipboard cw operations, I have
the license, remember? It was slow cw and probably was encrypted comms
of some sort. I listened for 30 seconds and moved on.
>
>Try tuning the entire HF band (or even just below the MUF) and
>total the types and kinds of modulations outside of amateur bands.

>A broadband antenna will help. Sounds of various HF modulations
>are available as WAV files on a couple of websites, the ARRL's
>included.
>
Like I never been there. I have three sub-500Khz-30Mhz radios Lenny.
>
>
>Then why did you ask if "as a 'with-it' communications professional,
>did I already have a radiotelegraph license" in 1956?   :-)
>
You haven't answered the quetion, Why didn't you get that license
Lenny?

```
From -2672051487208500422
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 13:39:34 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 20:38:57 GMT
Message-ID: <3ace26c5.117952882@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com> <Ks6y6.3723$XV.846537@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3acc8a30.12327191@news2.dvol.com> <lW4z6.28236$XV.7322126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3accef32.38187024@news2.dvol.com> <Yd9z6.30134$XV.7924454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.162
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.162
X-Trace: 6 Apr 2001 15:36:05 -0500, 64.24.19.162
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18804
```

On Fri, 06 Apr 2001 01:46:32 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>well i dont know if youve ever been in an area that has snow seven months of
>hte year ... and temps below -15c for the same ... lights going out for a
>long term most definately is call for an alarm in canadian red cross
>
>--

>Rob St.Denis
>
That's ridiculous. That is not a "natural disaster" the Red Cross
can't handle with it's own comms systems. If they even bother
deploying those.
>
w3rv

From 6451764408504383352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ed1fe1310747b5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 13:39:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Apr 2001 20:38:33 GMT
References: <3ACEAA65.2ADAE75@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Hans...Facing His Impending Demise Bitterly.....
Message-ID: <20010406163833.14240.00000806@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18805

>Subject: Re: Hans...Facing His Impending Demise Bitterly.....
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 04/07/01 1:49 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>I'm disappointed, Steve. After all the times I have tried to be a
>thorn in your side, you now completely ignore my contributions.

Sorry, Cecil...you have done well, but I like ya toooo much to let it bug me!

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 7862607073407186532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 13:41:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Apr 2001 20:40:59 GMT
References: <9al3hh$fat$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Message-ID: <20010406164059.14240.00000807@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18806

>Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>Date: 04/06/01 2:56 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I have no reason to sue -- but once KH6MT learns that you falsely
>accused him of bootlegging my call on SSB, he might be interested
>in at least having you make a public apology.

That, and Lennie the Loser getting a license tie for number one in "Things I Expect To See Just Before The Rapture Occurs".

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

From 139947495276765797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 13:43:38 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 20:39:24 GMT
Message-ID: <3ace25db.117718900@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com> <Ks6y6.3723$XV.846537@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3acc8a30.12327191@news2.dvol.com> <lW4z6.28236$XV.7322126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

```
<3accef32.38187024@news2.dvol.com> <9aje7n$lm$0@208.207.71.164>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.162
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.162
X-Trace: 6 Apr 2001 15:36:32 -0500, 64.24.19.162
Lines: 9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!
nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18807

On 6 Apr 2001 03:47:03 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
> Rob has mad it clear that he has no understanding of disaster
>communications.
>
I copy him 599. Baseball cap emblazoned with his call, HT in it's
holster, scanner barking in the other holster . . .




From 2201713782603844525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 13:47:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!rupert.mfn.org!
nntp1.savvis.net!news.dp.net!not-for-mail
From: "Lou" <tech@dp.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Date: Fri, 6 Apr 2001 16:28:15 -0400
Organization: A poorly-installed InterNetNews site
Lines: 103
Message-ID: <9al91i$qo1$1@news.dp.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <PDjz6.29$K54.14046@nntp3.onemain.com>
<9akldl$mn6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin557.bv.dp.net
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14665
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18808 rec.radio.amateur.space:2446 rec.radio.swap:27894

Can they (ARRL) be sued? I'm not a lawyer. But an Attorney friend once told me in general conversation - ANYONE can be sued... "Winning" the case is another matter. Does the party launching the suit have legitimate grounds to even attract an Attorneys interest? Yes they get paid to do it, but I doubt any (intelligent) lawyer would insult his/her intelligence and reputation with a frivolous lawsuit. The case has to have merits. Otherwise, you are merely wasting your time and money. Can you prove the ARRL hasn't "tried" to do as they have said?

I'm not a member of the ARRL. I was back when I first was licensed (80s). I don't agree with all their policies either. Sue them? No..... Nothing worth suing for. It is just a dis -agreement of policies. I'm not saying they are totally wrong either. But I will say this, if it weren't for the ARRL, I think Big Business would have sucked up a good portion of, if not all of the Ham Bands - moons ago.

There was a comment made of whether the staff or board was doing volunteer work. To some extent, that is true, not sure to what extent. So to the extent that they are doing it free of their own time, energies and maybe dollars, you have to applaud them for that.

I too am a volunteer in other services. Being a volunteer is often a thankless job, but if someone didn't step up to the plate to do it, who would? Certainly not the critics. It is easy to whine and moan and groan. If you can't appreciate the efforts, step up and offer to help or stay out of the way altogether instead of making things worse. As the saying goes... "Lead-follow-or get out of the way".

The FCC is a governmental agency.... Overseen by the powers that be. Arm twisting can be done. It happened with the Code with the introduction of the Waiver/simplified testing. If I'm not wrong, King Hussein of Jordan (now deceased/Amateur Radio Operator) had a friend in the US that couldn't muster the grade for whatever reason, so he asked the President to help out. And, whalah! Code waivers......changes in the CW testing for those who couldn't copy it like thousands before them. My point is, they can also be"convinced" to cut the bands to sell off. Let's face it folks, the majority of the equipment manufacturers who make our beloved ham radios are also 2 way radio manufacturers. So, they won't lose out. They can merely stop production. They could do like Uniden did to the Bearcat parts inventory when they bought them out. DESTROYED ALL. No repairs to be made. Will it happen? I don't know, but it is feasible.

So, maybe we don't agree with the ARRL completely. Let them go down the tubes and see where we stand 15 years from now. If I"m not wrong again, they fought for scanner, tower and other rights, when the states started cracking down. How many of you whiners are ready to take on the fight - ALONE? I certainly can't afford a lawsuit for such a cause.
My cash is tied in to buying food and clothes and keeping a roof over my head. As much as I enjoy radio, it is still a HOBBY. My family needs come first and foremost. I may not support the ARRL presently in dues, but I DO

applaud the things they've done right. Someday soon, I will rejoin to help
ward off the wolves.

Technology is changing dramatically. WE (Ham Operators) and yes, maybe some
CBers as well, are a part of that process through developing modes of
transmission such as packet and so on. IF no one is there to stand up for
the rights of radio operators Ham and CB alike, it is highly possible, we
all will be taken off the air in the near future.

Enjoy your Ham/CB hobby today, for tomorrow, it could be GONE!

Just an opinion...my 2 cents.

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

"W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9akldl$mn6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Over the last 40 years I have heard this same sort of thing. ARRL this,
> ARRL that. I have YET to hear any serious suggestions to improve it (the
> ARRL). Just a lot of bitching.
>
> Some time back, in the sixties, Wayne Green started up the 'Institute of
> Amateur Radio'. What a flop and what a goof.
>
> So, anyone got some suggestions? some solutions? And BTW what exactly is
> the problem?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Lamb" <k7fm@teleport.com> wrote in message
> news:PDjz6.29$K54.14046@nntp3.onemain.com...
> > I want to stand up for the ARRL. I think they have followed their
> > organization documents. The members have voted for and elected
directors
> > who have made tough decisions based upon the facts they know. Did you
> vote?
> > Did you speak out?
> >
> > Amateur radio is changing and the ARRL must be responsive to that
change -
> > whatever it takes. They are they best organization to represent amateur
> > radio and in my opinion have done a great job. The directors are pretty
> > much volunteers who spend a lot of hours doing their job.
> >
> > I would like to thank the directors and the staff at ARRL.
> >
> > Colin K7FM
> >
> >
>
>

From 657675222493822576
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 13:50:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
Date: Fri, 6 Apr 2001 13:45:52 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9al9q9$398$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <Zguy6.675$9q6.575455@nntp1.onemain.com> <3acb13b4$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <geomac-E04EF2.12135006042001@news.onemain.com> <3ACEA77A.F0337F42@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-72.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18809

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ACEA77A.F0337F42@IEEE.org...

> Actually, I am literally on the bleeding edge. I'm putting up a
> 40m vertical and just rammed a nail through my forearm. :-(

Sorry to hear about that.

Why do you want to put up an antenna that radiates poorly in all directions?

73, Jim

From 3878324318228730325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 14:04:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net

```
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
In-Reply-To: <3acd2b74$0$12825$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104062102390.20288-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9ai2q2$fhk$1@news.netmar.com>
<3acd2b74$0$12825$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 19
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 21:04:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986591082 12.80.44.3 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001
21:04:42 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 21:04:42 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18810

On Thu, 5 Apr 2001, Larry Klose wrote:
> <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:9ai2q2$fhk$1@news.netmar.com...
> > In article <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>, Jeffrey Herman
> > <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:
> >
> > >I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
> > >phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!
> >
> > Is the number 65840?
> >
> > It belongs to KH6MT.

Actually, this was a good suggestion for the possibility (until it was
disclosed that the names and the 10-10 number didn't match).  An "M" followed
by a "T" does constitute two dashes followed by one, which if one is lax on the
interletter spacing, could be mistaken for three dashes in a row, thus "O".

So why was this "jumped on" so quickly?




From -7048651980669633083
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 14:11:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
In-Reply-To: <20010406093431.19540.00000668@nso-fr.aol.com>
```

Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104062109080.20288-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010406093431.19540.00000668@nso-fr.aol.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 19
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 21:11:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986591518 12.80.44.3 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 21:11:58 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 21:11:58 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18811

On 6 Apr 2001, N2EY wrote:
> In article <3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose"
> <lklose@net-link.net> writes:
> >The effect of Tech Plus class being renewed as Tech will not
> >be seen until 2001.
>
> That's not correct, Larry. The FCC began to renew Tech Pluses as Techs on April
> 15, 2000. More than 3500 Tech Pluses were renewed as Techs from April 2000 to
> November 2000. (November 14, 2000 was when the very first post-Feb 14-1991
> Techs came up for renewal).

There's also the factor that until 1994, when the FCC started to print
"Technician Plus" licenses, any renewal occuring between 1991 and 1994 of an
existing "Technician" license was done as "Technician," not "Technician Plus"
as it should have been since all those renewals were for those who had passed
[at least] 5 wpm. Although many caught on and asked for correction, many did
not.

From -5956199762200463719
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 14:15:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <986564186.3acdc65addeb3@webmail.cotse.com>
<3ACEA98E.68E05D7A@IEEE.org> <9al5bb$gl0$1@news.netmar.com>
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
Lines: 61
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Hlqz6.11408$rk4.888397@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 21:15:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.180.108
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986591719 12.88.180.108 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 21:15:19 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 21:15:19 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18812

Hans, you made some excellent points. I have fond memories of my novice ticket (WN2CJV) which I received in 1962. I had a ball with it and struggled with morse and didn't get the general (WB2OSP) until 1964. A friend, WB2KAO, promised me if I copied for 15 minutes a night for a week I'd get it. He was right. One week took me to around 18 - then it got easy. With incentive licensing, I took and passed my extra, commercial telegraph, and 1st phone in 1966. After a stint in the Navy and two new calls (WA3RJX and N2JH), I let the tickets expire around 1980 - 81. I jumped back in in 1993 as AA2QA. I think some of the most fun I had was with that novice ticket ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

<K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:9al5bb$gl0$1@news.netmar.com...
> Jason Hsu wrote:
>
> > I have heard many people lament that new Novice licenses are no longer
> issued
> > and that they provided a good entry point into ham radio.
> > Is this truly a big loss? It seems to me that the No-Code Technician
> license
> > replaced the Novice license as the most popular entry-level license.
>
> There are a few reasons that I wish the Novice license was still
> an entry-point option for new hams.
>
> #1) The test was aimed at a middle-school level of education, kids in
> the 4-7th grades roughly. The Technician license test is longer and
> covers a higher level of technical content.
>
> #2) Many people out here in "flyover country" cannot benefit from
> a uhf/vhf-only license because they might be the only ham in their
> town or county.
>
> #3) Some people are interested in radio primarily for "long distance"
> as opposed to "local" communications and experimentation. Such kinds of
> interests are difficult to pursue above 30MHz, and are more suited to the
> HF orientation of the Novice class priveleges.
>

> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
eb -----
>   http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
groups
>   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

From 8159396691307654183
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 15:00:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: 6 Apr 2001 21:46:13 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9aldf5$pd8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9ai2q2$fhk$1@news.netmar.com>
<3acd2b74$0$12825$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104062102390.20288-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix3.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 986593573 26024 128.171.44.52 (6 Apr 2001 21:46:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Apr 2001 21:46:13 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18813

D. Stussy <kd6lvw@att.net> wrote:
>Hans wrote
>><jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:

>>>I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
>>>phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!

>>Is the number 65840?

>>It belongs to KH6MT.

>Actually, this was a good suggestion for the possibility (until it was
>disclosed that the names and the 10-10 number didn't match). An "M" followed
>by a "T" does constitute two dashes followed by one, which if one is lax on the
>interletter spacing, could be mistaken for three dashes in a row, thus "O".
>So why was this "jumped on" so quickly?

Phone, that's why.

According to the QSL card, that QSO occurred on SSB; the phony
"KH6O" gave his QTH as Hawaii and his name as "Jeff." All on
phone.

From 8999023998767115640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 15:22:51 PST
Message-ID: <3ACEEA2D.3DEBBA99@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 05:21:33 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
<3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org> <9ajdlk$sl0$0@208.207.71.164>
<3ACE5C0D.BC4B87F@IEEE.org> <9al2ee$i6o$0@208.207.71.169>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986595302
64.240.47.81 (6 Apr 2001 16:15:02 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!

```
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
xfer.siscom.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18814

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Thus shutting the barn door after the horse was gone.
>
>  Uh NO, ...

Seems to me, the Titanic was a pretty big horse to lose. Your opinion
may vary.

>  Are you advocating
> > that all ships go back to CW for emergency communications, Dick? If not,
> > exactly what are you advocating for ships?
>
>  Who said I advocate anything for ships?

You seem to be saying that the ships should have kept CW. If that's not
what you are saying, exactly what are you saying?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5021451238485466855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 15:28:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ACEEB6A.8876947A@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 05:26:50 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
<3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org> <9ajdnf$sl0$1@208.207.71.164>
<3ACE5C6B.D73AB39D@IEEE.org> <9al2o8$ivg$0@208.207.71.169>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986595618 64.240.47.81 (6 Apr 2001 16:20:18 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18815

Dick Carroll wrote:
> As always, all such actions are driven primarily by ecomomics, not
> expertise.

If everything was driven by economics, we would have economic anarchy
but we don't. Wheelchair ramps are not driven by economics.

Electronic things get less expensive and more sophisticated with
time. It is progress for machines to replace people for mundane tasks.
It doesn't make sense to dedicate people to a situation that may happen
once in a blue moon. Such actions are driven by economics AND expertise.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -283780719916619479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 15:32:18 PST
Message-ID: <3ACEEC6B.444A805C@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 05:31:07 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil

```
References: <Zguy6.675$9q6.575455@nntp1.onemain.com>
<3acb13b4$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <geomac-
E04EF2.12135006042001@news.onemain.com> <3ACEA77A.F0337F42@IEEE.org>
<9al9q9$398$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986595875
64.240.47.81 (6 Apr 2001 16:24:35 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18816

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Why do you want to put up an antenna that radiates poorly in all directions?

I'm trying like heck to find a vertical that works better in any
direction than my 130ft dipole on 40m.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 3552857717288313217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 16:00:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

Message-ID: <3ACE49B4.777F8665@GTE.net>
From: Dale Parfitt <parinc@GTE.net>
Reply-To: par@parelectronics.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD {GTE Internetworking} (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
References: <j.b@b_good.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
X-Trace: /
wLwTfHT6+L3rGMrYB0Od0330t8ywb1TiUwwqwVs49VB+GfdwFxc2OBZMf6gY2+8blz3FM7GH/zf!
Q5Wt709JU3M402vMheVVs2phimfZxF9S4mZtnDsxknP6jj+DcoKBO/gbuw==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 22:58:35 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 22:58:35 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14668
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18817 rec.radio.amateur.space:2449 rec.radio.swap:27903

"Johny B. Good" wrote:

> The ARRL has made a mockery of its constitution and by-laws, and they've
> trashed the good principles on which Hiram Percy Maxim founded the
> organization. Enough is Enough, it's time to sue.
>
> Anyone know if we can sue them? Can you sue an organization that has not
> followed its by-laws and constitution in recent years? How much is the League
> worth right now?
>
> Thanks for any info or advice. I'm not an attorney.
>
> 73

This is a troll.

From 5771881446815168956
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7

X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 16:42:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Lamb" <k7fm@teleport.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
References: <j.b@b_good.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Message-ID: <Posz6.788$kp6.369781@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 6 Apr 2001 16:43:23 -0700
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.26.62.84
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 986600111 216.26.62.84 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 19:35:11 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 19:35:11 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14670
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18818 rec.radio.amateur.space:2450 rec.radio.swap:27906

In response to the question, I suppose I can answer since I am a lawyer.

You can sue almost anyone. Certain entities like the government and foreign countries may need to give you their permission. If you can show the lawyer that there is a lot of money to be made, he or she might take it on a contingent fee. Otherwise, you must pony up the cash up front to finance it. A small case like this would probably require $20,000 cash just to begin.

The next step is to show that you have special standing or are an injured party. If you are not a member of ARRL, your case would be thrown out unless it was something like an assault or some other personal injury.

Assuming you have standing, then you must show that ARRL did something that adversely affected you.

If you get that far, then you have to convince the court what they should do to remedy the problem. That would normally be an injunction or monetary damages.;

Griping will not cost a thing. But, it generally is not productive.

Colin K7FM

```
From -8916002766413434451
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 16:58:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbe1lo0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <PDjz6.29$K54.14046@nntp3.onemain.com>
<9akldl$mn6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> <9al91i$qo1$1@news.dp.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Lines: 32
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 23:54:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986601278 206.58.44.17 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 23:54:38
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 23:54:38 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14671
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18819 rec.radio.amateur.space:2451 rec.radio.swap:27908
```

On Fri, 6 Apr 2001 16:28:15 -0400, Lou wrote:

>Can they (ARRL) be sued? I'm not a lawyer. But an Attorney friend once told
>me in general conversation - ANYONE can be sued... "Winning" the case is
>another matter. Does the party launching the suit have legitimate grounds to
>even attract an Attorneys interest? Yes they get paid to do it, but I doubt
>any (intelligent) lawyer would insult his/her intelligence and reputation
>with a frivolous lawsuit. The case has to have merits. Otherwise,
> you are merely wasting your time and money.

And any lawyer filing a frivilous lawsuit risks what are known
as Rule 11 sanctions - big cash penalties for filing a suit
which s/he knows - or should have known - has no merit.

>There was a comment made of whether the staff or board was doing volunteer
>work. To some extent, that is true, not sure to what extent. So to the
>extent that they are doing it free of their own time, energies and maybe
>dollars, you have to applaud them for that.

The League president, vice presidents, and directors are volunteers, with the exception of the Executive Vice President (currently Dave Sumner) who is a paid employee. The directors are elected by the membership, and the officers are elected or appointed by the board of directors.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
ARRL Volunteer Counsel

From -3004967117575697740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 17:12:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Date: Fri, 6 Apr 2001 20:11:58 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tcsmoq52kg0403@corp.supernews.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 19
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14672 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18820 rec.radio.amateur.space:2452 rec.radio.swap:27911

Is there a full moon or is the troll meter being pegged. I cannot believe the junk that gets posted anymore.

"Johny B. Good" <j.b@integrity.net.com> wrote in message
news:j.b@b_good.com...
> The ARRL has made a mockery of its constitution and by-laws, and they've
> trashed the good principles on which Hiram Percy Maxim founded the
> organization. Enough is Enough, it's time to sue.
>
> Anyone know if we can sue them? Can you sue an organization that has not
> followed its by-laws and constitution in recent years? How much is the
League
> worth right now?
>
> Thanks for any info or advice. I'm not an attorney.
>
> 73

```
From -4952114580939232252
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 17:32:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!207.115.63.138!
newscon04.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 118
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 00:29:30 GMT
References: <3acd7cdd.74457483@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010406202930.04525.00000609@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18821
```

In article <3acd7cdd.74457483@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 04 Apr 2001 19:08:06 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>>The discussion is the telegraph license in it's applicable timeframe,
>>>it's not about not who did what elsewhere when.
>>
>>I'm sure (no, make that certain) that you think telegraphy is the
>>primest of the prime qualities in radio...in the 1950s or in the 2000s.
>>
>Obviously wrong again.

Obviously Kelly is confused again or experiences short-term memory loss. He should re-read his previous messages. :-)

>>You asked a (somewhat snarly) question asking me if I'd already
>>had a commercial radiotelegraph license in 1956. I said I didn't
>>and presented some opinions against it, again in the 1956 time-
>>frame.
>>
>Of course, because you couldn't pass the Commercial Radiotelegraph
>code test if your life depended on on that ability therefore I
>wouldn't expect you do to anything else but demean those who did pass
>the test, the license and the puposes it served. Predictable and a bit
>of a giggle.

In 1956 there didn't appear to be any future in radiotelegraphy, either for me or for long-haul HF commercial communications or in any other radio service except maritime mobile, land-based LORAN, or a few scattered land stations still using the first mode in radio communications.

The only "GIGGLE" going on is some old fart sitting around chomping at the bit, wanting to fight, and weakly doing so by personal pejorative posting.

>>I was 23 1/2 when I got my commercial First Phone in 1956, senior.
>>Why should everyone have to lust for a life at sea? :-)
>>
>We all have the option of limiting our options.

A commercial license NOT involving your beloved morse code was much more of an attractive and practical option in 1956. Forty years later a commercial radiotelegraph license is of very limited options for a future in radio.

>>Chances are a "phone-licensed kid" who rode gain at a broadcast
>>station could make more than you think they could in 1956. :-)
>>
>Bullshit to the core. The only way a 19 year old kid could ship out as
>a sparky was if he had a recommedation from a relative already in the
>closed union and the starting rate was something like $10k in 1956. I
>had the opportunity but I opted for engineering school instead. What
>did you make in 1956 Lenny with yer First Phone, hmmm?

I could care less about 19 year olds of 1956. Working at WREX-TV, WRRR, WBEL, and WRRR in 1956 I earned enough to move west to California. Now why that is all some kind of "dispute" only Kelly can explain. As far as money is concerned, no problem, senior. We might compare salaries up to retirement, personal equity value, married status, etc., etc., etc. but that is not something about amateur radio policy is it? Or maybe you think you have some kind of "moral/ethical standard" for amateur radio just because you've been licensed for a while?

>I was never serious about it, I took the test just for the hell of it
>because the eaminer challenged me to take it. Makes a nice piece of
>wallpaper alongside my Extra certificate.

If you need wallpaper so bad, might I suggest the American Blind and Wallpaper Company? They have a nice website, selection of wallpaper patterns and colors, and specialize in mail-order...

>>No, because all your concentration is on ships and shipping for
>>radio use, emphasizing CW.
>>
>. . . while you struggle on trying to bury cw in irrelevancies . .
>just wasn't that way was it Lenny?

It is not irrelevant that OOK CW is burying itself in the inability to compete with all other communications modes in radio. It is relevant that many old farts still haven't got a clue that they are unable to compete in other radio modes, cannot stay with the state of the art, and want to endlessly dream of and demand adherence to old standards and practices.

>Like I never been there. I have three sub-500Khz-30Mhz radios Lenny.

Then go play with them. Don't come in here spitting and sparking because you feel a need for respect and don't get it. There's lots and lots of communications modes in radio, including HF radio, that don't involve OOK CW. Try learning about them, understanding them. Improve your self-worth. Or sit around the campfire bragging up old stories about when hams were Men and only did CW.

>>Then why did you ask if "as a 'with-it' communications professional,
>>did I already have a radiotelegraph license" in 1956?   :-)
>>
>You haven't answered the quetion, Why didn't you get that license
>Lenny?

Kelly is a Class A dumshit with short-term memory loss. Geez.

I didn't get any commercial radiotelegraph license in 1956 because there wasn't any future in commercial radiotelegraphy back then. Turned out I was right. There is even less of a future for radiotelegraphy 45 years later.

I got a commercial radiotelephone license in 1956 so that 45 years later some old fossils could get their giggles poking fun at that accomplishment because they were (later) so damned "superior" in CW skills, self-important, and need some "civilian" to hit on when they are frustrated. Was that the answer you want and need? Does anyone care?   :-)

From -3315047059566886226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 17:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 189
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 00:29:33 GMT
References: <20010406131138.07793.00002115@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010406202933.04525.00000610@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18822

In article <20010406131138.07793.00002115@ng-mb1.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>In article <20010404213411.25479.00000559@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>
>>>Here are some numbers from the AH0A website to consider.
>>>
>>>From March 31, 2000 to March 31, 2001:
>>
>>Ahem...that period begins two weeks before restructuring.
>
>I know. If we are to consider the effects of restructuring, the last two
>weeks of April 2000 should be included in the totals.

You are forgetting the FCC's temporary backlog and slow response
to upgrading and new licensees in the month following April 15, 2000.
[that news was in all the ham periodicals and newsletters]

A truer picture of post-restructuring changes is to use FCC database
information beginning in June, 2000. Post-restructuring effect was
the main point of Hans Brakob's posting.

>How do you figure that? The license expiration dates of Tech Plus and Tech
>licenses are not evenly distributed over the past ten years. One reason is
>that they were not issued at a constant rate. Another reason is that changes
in
>vanity call rules caused a surge of Tech and Tech Plus vanity calls to be
>issued about 1994. Since the issuance of a new vanity call includes a
>renewal, the distribution of expiration dates is not uniform.

A truer picture of post-restructuring changes is to use FCC database information beginning in June, 2000. Post-restructuring effect was the main point of Hans Brakob's posting.

>On the AH0A site, "renewals" are the number of licenses renewed in the 90 day
>window before they expire. Upgrades do not result in a new license. Upgrades
>do not result in a renewal unless the upgrade happens in the 90 day window
>before expiration of the existing license.

Quit trying to be confusing and read Joe Speroni's own explanations. "New" licenses are truly new licenses, the individuals receiving them not being in the amateur radio database with any other amateur license or so indicated on the FCC's new database format. "Upgrades" are those already-licensed in previous database records or so indicated on the FCC's new database format. Since the FCC databases are updated daily, weekly, and monthly, the AH0A site tabulations may sometimes be in a "funny" date (such as 25 March 2001 as was recently posted there) or at some common date such as the of the last day of the month. The AH0A tabulations are not at 90-day increments.

>>For the Technician class licensee, the number of renewals
>>will be small compared to all other classes because their
>>initial numbers were small.
>
>Not true.

Sigh...In the beginning of the new Technician class (as that class is known as today, not the old Technician class which became "Technician-Plus"), their numbers started as ZERO and increased from there. Arithmetic progression.

>The AH0A site shows that from April 2000 until December 2000 the number of
>Technician renewals averaged under about 500 per month. Practically all of
>these were Tech Plus renewals because none of the post-Feb-14-1991
>Technicians were up for renewal until mid November, 2000.

A truer picture of post-restructuring changes is to use FCC database information beginning in June, 2000. Post-restructuring effect was the main point of Hans Brakob's posting.

>In January of 2001 the number of Tech renewals was 812. In February 2001 it
>was 1,718 and in March 2001 1,472. The sudden rise is obviously due to the
>renewal of the first post-Feb-14-1991 Techncian licenses.

"Obvious?!?" :-)

The AH0A site has tabulations for Total, New, Renewed (within 10-year period), Renewed In Grace Period, Expirations. The Speroni site no longer tabulates "upgrades" from or to another class.

>That only began at the end of November, 2000.

A truer picture of post-restructuring changes is to use FCC database
information beginning in June, 2000. Post-restructuring effect was
the main point of Hans Brakob's posting.

>If one uses the AH0A data, the Technician class license total is increased by
>three things: new licenses, renewals from the Tech Plus class, and grace
>period renewals.

Between the end of June 2000 and end of March 2001 the number
of NEW Technician class licenses exclusive of any renewals was
15,970. Between the end of June 2000 and end of March 2001 the
total number of Technician class licenses increased by 16,029.
The difference is 59...which have to be (by N2EY statement)
from renewals.

>The Technician class license total is decreased by three different
>things: expirations, cancellations, and upgrades to General and Extra.

The AH0A site doesn't tabulate "upgrades."

>>The total number of Extra-Advanced-General-TechPlus-Novice
>>licensees DECLINED by 13,646 while the Technician licenses
>>INCREASED by 20,221.
>
>Nobody is debating that. What's your point?

License classes Extra, Advanced, General, Technician-Plus,
and Novice all have HF privileges. Technician does not. All
classes have VHF-and-higher-frequency privileges. Hans
used the grouping of some-HF/no-HF versus all-HF in order to
show "more hams on HF after restructuring." If you don't see
the difference, why did you type all those words "objecting?"

Hans Brakob used a 10-month period after restructuring. I
used the period after restructuring. Jim Miccolis used a 12-
month period beginning BEFORE restructuring.

>So? Why should those delays cause that period to be ignored?

Hello?!? The public doesn't know new or changed-modified-etc
licenses until the FCC has processed all the applications and
made it official. [the FCC is the only amateur radio regulatory
agency in the USA]   If the FCC is "late" or "backlogged" to
delay applications, the public doesn't get to find out about it.
If the public doesn't know it, it can't be tabulated and those
who pick flysh*t out of pepper pots won't know it but those
will also try to load up from the adjacent salt pot and change

their numbers. :-)

>Why do you want to ignore the period immediately after the April 15 changes?

What did I just finish explaining?!? Geez.

>Nope. Your method of calculation is incorrect.

Geez...you want to begin number-chasing BEFORE restructuring
in order to comment on POST-restructuring effects? Tsk, tsk,
very BAD! Not at all correct.

>You are assuming that no Techs
>upgraded to General or Extra during that entire 10 month period. That's
>simply not valid.

In the period between the end of June 2000 and end of March 2001
there was a difference of 59 between the NEW licenses (exclusive
of renewals or other changes) and the change of Technician class
license totals over the same period. I never discounted anything.

You are attempting discrediting by a false statement of omission.
Bad form, OM, bad form.

>> Attrition is happening among the code-tested classes.
>>
>Nobody denies that. What's your point? Do you want hams to die?

I've never said anything about "dying." Quit lying.

My Webster's says "attrition" is defined two ways: "A wearing
away by or as by friction" or "A normal loss of personnel as by
retirement." The code-tested classes are, as a total, reducing
in numbers...by dropping out one way or another.

>Nobody is denying that. But a significant cause of the apparent growth of the
>Tech and apparent decline of the Tech Plus is renewal reclassification.

Good grief, no-clue, go and read the definitions of Speroni's
NEW license grants totals per month for 10 months (15,970)
against the total change in Technician class licenses for the
same 10 months (16,029). If you are going to say that a 59
difference is "significant," you are speaking with total and
near-absolute denial of the obvious.

Sorry, but your counter-argument FAILS badly in explaining
anything in regards to POST-restructuring changes. Go
away, say 100 Hail Hirams in penitence, and sin no more.

```
From 7060368142623493363
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 18:20:00 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 01:18:06 GMT
Message-ID: <3ace6032.132651864@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010406093431.19540.00000668@nso-fr.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.182
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.182
X-Trace: 6 Apr 2001 20:15:14 -0500, 64.24.17.182
Lines: 26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.94.211.44!
enews.sgi.com!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18823

On 06 Apr 2001 13:34:31 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>
>As Rich McCollum has pointed out, about the only reason for nonrenewal these
>days seems to be death.
>
Quite frankly I'll concede that the nocode renewal rate also surprises
me Jimbo, Dick is absolutely right. Like I should talk. I was off the
bands for most of a quarter century, all I did during that hiatus was
renew my ticket. It's a nobrainer. Thankew k3nl for remiding me that
my ticket was 18 months past it's expiration date. Renewing is a
helluva easier than taking the freaking tests again, never mind,
certainly in my case, losing my beloved antique 1x2 if I didn't renew.
In retrospect there's no logical reason to expect the nocodes would
not follow suit for similar reasons PCTA rants, assumptions and hopes
aside.
>
What this reality does wrt to this newgroup is to render brawls based
on anal disection of FCC license class statistics null, void "spurious
emissions" as far as "proving" anyrthing is concerned.
>
Get a signal on the band/mode or lose it, the rest is bullshit.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv


From -2152522960190799262
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 18:38:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 01:35:20 GMT
References: <3ACEA98E.68E05D7A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
Message-ID: <20010406213520.19540.00000689@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18824
```

In article <3ACEA98E.68E05D7A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>If the Tech license had existed in 1951 instead of
>the Novice license, I would not have been interested.

The Technician and Novice license were both created in the 1951 restructuring. The 1951 Tech had the same requirements as the General except for a 5 wpm instead of 13 wpm code test. 1951 Techs had all privileges on 220 and higher.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 6429924118271382938
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 18:38:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.cgocable.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 01:35:20 GMT
References: <3ACDD3F1.C87CBDC3@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010406213520.19540.00000688@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18825
```

In article <3ACDD3F1.C87CBDC3@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> But the CW folks made 558 QSOs and the phone folks made 393 QSOs.
>
>That's because we can send CW with our right hands and drink beer
>with our left hands.

Still another advantage of the mode. And not just drink beer but eat, keep the
log, smoke, adjust the rig, make obscene gestures at kibitzers, etc, etc.

> It's hard to talk and drink.

Exactly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -7166058230254600028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 19:35:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!
news.he.net!news.peak.org!not-for-mail
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 7 Apr 2001 02:23:16 GMT
Organization: Bill's eclectic interest group
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: spock.peak.org
User-Agent: tin/pre-1.4-19990216 ("Styrofoam") (UNIX) (Linux/2.2.17 (i686))
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18826
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14676

"Dan Yemiola" <AI8O@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net...
: The trouble is that the way Powell and Company are talking this could be
: a TRUE story in a few years. How much would the Amalgamated
: Consolidated-United Mega-Corp. pay at auction for an exclusive
: assignment at 40m? or 10m, or maybe for ALL of HF?

Highly improbable. The HF spectrum is of little use to commercial
interests. The problem is the inconsistant propogation.

I wouldn't worry about anything under 2 meters.

--
Bill Nelson   (billn@peak.org)

From 2845143009037773724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 19:52:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Michael Adams" <michaeladams2000@no-spm.hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 02:48:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.184.159.141
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986611736 216.184.159.141 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 19:48:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 19:48:56 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18827

I spent one to two hours per night, plus several hours per day on the weekends, studying code and radio theory for four months to get from Technician Plus to Extra Class. Unlike many people, Morse Code did not come easily to me. In addition to all the studying, I took an eight week code class. It was taught by a visually impaired ham, who was a truly gifted instructor. I put a lot of work into getting my Extra Class license, and when I finally passed the 20 word per minute code test, and three separate tests for General, Advanced (50 questions), and Extra (another 25 questions), I was proud of my achievement.

Now the FCC has taken my Amateur Extra license and made it an almost worthless piece of paper. It now only takes one 50 question test to get from General to Extra Class, and there is no code test whatsoever.

Forget the "code" versus "no code" debate. Shouldn't it be take the same level of dedication to reach Extra Class as it was before the FCC license restructuring? Shouldn't we have a license system which pushes hams to achieve things they thought they couldn't do?

I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their achievement devalued. I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who will never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.

73,

Michael Adams
AC6ZK

```
From 1606770821546175898
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 19:58:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 02:52:16 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <3ACE80E0.A1112BFE@bctv.com>
References: <986564186.3acdc65addeb3@webmail.cotse.com>
<3ACEA98E.68E05D7A@IEEE.org> <9al5bb$gl0$1@news.netmar.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986612098 55953 63.87.116.25 (7 Apr 2001 02:54:58 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Apr 2001 02:54:58 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18828
```

```
K0HB@arrl.org wrote:
>
> Jason Hsu wrote:
>
> > I have heard many people lament that new Novice licenses are no longer
> issued
> > and that they provided a good entry point into ham radio.
> > Is this truly a big loss?  It seems to me that the No-Code Technician
> license
> > replaced the Novice license as the most popular entry-level license.
>
```

> There are a few reasons that I wish the Novice license was still
> an entry-point option for new hams.
>
> #1) The test was aimed at a middle-school level of education, kids in
> the 4-7th grades roughly. The Technician license test is longer and
> covers a higher level of technical content.

. . .and today's 4 - 7th graders are more technologically savvy. I
think it evens out.

> #2) Many people out here in "flyover country" cannot benefit from
> a uhf/vhf-only license because they might be the only ham in their
> town or county.

True. This means that they can either promote ham radio in their areas,
or upgrade to General.

> #3) Some people are interested in radio primarily for "long distance"
> as opposed to "local" communications and experimentation. Such kinds of
> interests are difficult to pursue above 30MHz, and are more suited to the
> HF orientation of the Novice class priveleges.

5 WPM isn't a difficult level to reach, especially when the reward for
that and another theory exam is a General license.

From -6131432360995363117
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 20:05:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 02:58:15 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <3ACE8247.94D62090@bctv.com>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986612459 56839 63.87.116.25 (7 Apr 2001 03:00:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Apr 2001 03:00:59 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18829

Michael Adams wrote:
>
> I spent one to two hours per night, plus several hours per day on the
> weekends, studying code and radio theory for four months to get from
> Technician Plus to Extra Class. Unlike many people, Morse Code did not
> come easily to me. In addition to all the studying, I took an eight week
> code class. It was taught by a visually impaired ham, who was a truly
> gifted instructor. I put a lot of work into getting my Extra Class license,
> and when I finally passed the 20 word per minute code test, and three
> separate tests for General, Advanced (50 questions), and Extra (another 25
> questions), I was proud of my achievement.
>
> Now the FCC has taken my Amateur Extra license and made it an almost
> worthless piece of paper.

No, you did that, by deciding that your 20 WPM element was the reason
you got the license.

> It now only takes one 50 question test to get
> from General to Extra Class, and there is no code test whatsoever.
>
> Forget the "code" versus "no code" debate. Shouldn't it be take the same
> level of dedication to reach Extra Class as it was before the FCC license
> restructuring?

That depends on your interpretation of the reasons for having ham radio
in the first place. Most of us are here to run radios. If you feel
that it is here simply to test your "dedication," then perhaps you
should consider a hobby in nuclear physics.

> Shouldn't we have a license system which pushes hams to achieve things they
thought they couldn't do?

You mean like accepting change?

> I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their
> achievement devalued.

I feel sorry for you, thinking that the important thing is the exam.

> I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who will
> never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.

If you say so. I'm looking forward to passing the exam soon, I'll let
you know if I have any sense of pride afterward.

From 4745222861687372147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 20:10:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Lines: 57
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <3tvz6.316$K54.108465@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 6 Apr 2001 22:08:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.153.219.249
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 986612671 206.153.219.249 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 23:04:31
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 23:04:31 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18830

"Michael Adams" <michaeladams2000@no-spm.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I spent one to two hours per night, plus several hours per day on the
> weekends, studying code and radio theory for four months to get from
> Technician Plus to Extra Class. Unlike many people, Morse Code did not
> come easily to me. In addition to all the studying, I took an eight
week
> code class. It was taught by a visually impaired ham, who was a truly
> gifted instructor. I put a lot of work into getting my Extra Class
license,
> and when I finally passed the 20 word per minute code test, and three
> separate tests for General, Advanced (50 questions), and Extra (another
25
> questions), I was proud of my achievement.
>
> Now the FCC has taken my Amateur Extra license and made it an almost
> worthless piece of paper. It now only takes one 50 question test to get
> from General to Extra Class, and there is no code test whatsoever.
>
> Forget the "code" versus "no code" debate. Shouldn't it be take the
same
> level of dedication to reach Extra Class as it was before the FCC
license
> restructuring? Shouldn't we have a license system which pushes hams to
> achieve things they thought they couldn't do?
>
> I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their
> achievement devalued. I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who
will
> never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.

>
> 73,
>
> Michael Adams
> AC6ZK
>
>

My gut reaction was the same as yours but when it was all over I was still doing the same thing I did before for much the same reasons; I had lost nothing. Even having passed the 20, I always did feel anything beyond 12 was exactly what you called it, an indication of a level of dedication (or orneryness, or stupidity, or ego) for no particular purpose. Much like education, it is yours and it is portable. Among your peers nothing has been devalued.

Those who follow will have to discover it for themselves rather than being led into it. OK, so be it and amen. Time to move on.

Given the incredible grousing about a 5wpm test, perhaps it does need to be retained to show at least SOME level of dedication or orneryness, or stupidity. Ego we got lots of.

Dick N�BK

```
From -886718285569144443
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 20:59:57 PST
Message-ID: <3ACF3878.8581ED3E@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 10:55:36 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
References: <3ACEA98E.68E05D7A@IEEE.org> <20010406213520.19540.00000689@nso-
fr.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986615343
64.240.47.70 (6 Apr 2001 21:49:03 -0600)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cyclone.bc.net!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18831

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3ACEA98E.68E05D7A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >If the Tech license had existed in 1951 instead of
> >the Novice license, I would not have been interested.
>
> The Technician and Novice license were both created in the 1951 restructuring.
> The 1951 Tech had the same requirements as the General except for a 5 wpm
> instead of 13 wpm code test. 1951 Techs had all privileges on 220 and higher.

I thought "presentday Tech license" was implied.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1414335625295054864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 21:06:04 PST
Message-ID: <3ACF3A6A.454337DC@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 11:03:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986615843 64.240.47.70 (6 Apr 2001 21:57:23 -0600)
Lines: 15

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!news000.worldonline.se!news.algonet.se!algonet!
newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18832

Michael Adams wrote:
> I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their
> achievement devalued.  I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who will
> never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.

What's all this feeling stuff? Do you have all those feelings about your
driver's license? The value of an amateur radio license, like a driver's
license, are the privileges granted by the license.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 8526387565093685963
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 21:16:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mel.connect.com.au!
news.syd.connect.com.au!nsw.nnrp.telstra.net!news1.idx.com.au!
idxwc01-53.idx.com.au
From: earle@dfs-web.net.au (The Duke)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <3acea21c.23478011@news.idx.com.au>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: idxwc01-53.idx.com.au
Lines: 24
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 05:16:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.14.30.196
X-Complaints-To: abuse@telstra.net
X-Trace: nsw.nnrp.telstra.net 986616904 203.14.30.196 (Sat, 07 Apr 2001 14:15:04 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 14:15:04 EST
Organization: Customer of Telstra Big Pond Direct
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20502
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11654 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14679
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18833 rec.radio.amateur.space:2453 rec.radio.cb:29858
rec.radio.scanner:16133 rec.radio.shortwave:31043 rec.radio.swap:27938

My God are full of yourself or what.

Maybe you need to sit down and have a look at yourself.

Maybe its not the people on the radio it is YOU!!!!!!!!

If this is all you have to bitch about you really need to have a good
hard look at yourself.

On Sun, 11 Mar 2001 07:40:46 GMT, Funny-0RG@arrl.org (ARRL) wrote:

>I left CB radio 19 years ago when I got my novice ticket. It felt good
>communicating with people that knew what they were doing and were proud of
>their accomplishments in getting licensed. Over the past year things have
>gotten shitty on the bands and operators are stupid. It sounds just like the
>CB I left 20 years ago except that the rig's readout is showing a ham
>frequency. To bad there isn't a better radio service I can go to now that the
>bands are full of idiots. Thanks FCC, Thanks ARRL, I'm back to 11 meters in
>the middle of a ham band.
>
>
>

From 5890884748380658293

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 21:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!news.maxwell.syr.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!
jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 7 Apr 2001 04:00:16 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9am3cg$i6a$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9af6q8$ufa$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 986616016 18634 128.171.44.55 (7 Apr 2001 04:00:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Apr 2001 04:00:16 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18834

>Well how many people have you save using CW?

They at least number in the hundreds, but that was on the maritime frequencies over a 4 year period, and included copying distress and medical messages, issuing various severe WX warnings to shipping in the Pacific, issuing navigation hazards to shipping -- you get the idea.

>Are you proficient in medical first aid, CPR, and AED use?

Advanced first aid and CPR.

>If thing are so bad that CW and only CW will get through,
>you would probably do more good using your medical
>first aid, CPR and AED skills.

So you're saying that if conditions get so bad that only CW will get through, that someone will have a heart attack??? Gee, you shouldn't take DXing so serious, OM...

73, Jeff KH6O

From 5893670216245969279
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 21:26:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!cyclone.bc.net!news-hog.berkeley.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!
newsfeed.ozemail.com.au!nsw.nnrp.telstra.net!news1.idx.com.au!
idxwc01-53.idx.com.au
From: earle@dfs-web.net.au (The Duke)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Message-ID: <3acea44e.24039736@news.idx.com.au>
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: idxwc01-53.idx.com.au
Lines: 16
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 05:23:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.14.30.196
X-Complaints-To: abuse@telstra.net
X-Trace: nsw.nnrp.telstra.net 986617315 203.14.30.196 (Sat, 07 Apr 2001 14:21:55
EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 14:21:55 EST
Organization: Customer of Telstra Big Pond Direct
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20503
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11655 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14681
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18835 rec.radio.amateur.space:2454 rec.radio.cb:29859
rec.radio.scanner:16136 rec.radio.shortwave:31044 rec.radio.swap:27940
```

What a goose.

On Sun, 11 Mar 2001 07:40:46 GMT, Funny-0RG@arrl.org (ARRL) wrote:

>I left CB radio 19 years ago when I got my novice ticket. It felt good
>communicating with people that knew what they were doing and were proud of
>their accomplishments in getting licensed. Over the past year things have
>gotten shitty on the bands and operators are stupid. It sounds just like the
>CB I left 20 years ago except that the rig's readout is showing a ham
>frequency. To bad there isn't a better radio service I can go to now that the
>bands are full of idiots. Thanks FCC, Thanks ARRL, I'm back to 11 meters in
>the middle of a ham band.
>
>

>

From -8062440259042513064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-06 21:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ACE8BCA.BAEADD19@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
References: <3acd7cdd.74457483@news2.dvol.com> <20010406202930.04525.00000609@nso-cu.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 42
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 04:39:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.64
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986618341 207.0.147.64 (Fri, 06 Apr 2001 21:39:01 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 21:39:01 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18836

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3acd7cdd.74457483@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
> Kelly, w3rv) writes:

> I could care less about 19 year olds of 1956. Working at WREX-TV,
> WRRR, WBEL, and WRRR in 1956 I earned enough to move west
> to California. Now why that is all some kind of "dispute" only Kelly
> can explain. As far as money is concerned, no problem, senior.
> We might compare salaries up to retirement, personal equity value,
> married status, etc., etc., etc. but that is not something about
> amateur radio policy is it? Or maybe you think you have some kind
> of "moral/ethical standard" for amateur radio just because you've
> been licensed for a while?

Okies of the '30s scraped up enough to move to California.

Why do keep calling Brian "Senior"? Do you feel junior to him?

> >I was never serious about it, I took the test just for the hell of it

> >because the eaminer challenged me to take it. Makes a nice piece of
> >wallpaper alongside my Extra certificate.

> >Like I never been there. I have three sub-500Khz-30Mhz radios Lenny.
>
> Then go play with them. Don't come in here spitting and
> sparking because you feel a need for respect and don't get
> it. There's lots and lots of communications modes in radio,
> including HF radio, that don't involve OOK CW. Try learning
> about them, understanding them. Improve your self-worth.
> Or sit around the campfire bragging up old stories about
> when hams were Men and only did CW.

Here Len, let me hold that mirror for you. Can you see yourself
alright? Are you telling Brian that he should go away and not comment
on these matters here in a public newsgroup?

Seems to me that I've seen you in here spitting and sparking because you
aren't given any respect here. Of course that doesn't stop you from
spitting and sparking.

Dave K8MN

From -3754583206566067133
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 00:48:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 07:47:15 GMT
References: <%Ndz6.5694$Dh2.465701@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Message-ID: <20010407034715.06606.00000444@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18837

In article <%Ndz6.5694$Dh2.465701@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>, "W6DKN"
<w6dkn@qsl.net> writes:

>Sure you can. If you have the money to burn there's always some lawyer
>willing to take it from you. Go ahead, and let us know how you come out :>)
>
>73 de W6DKN

He took the words right out of my fingertips.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5207631730638508418
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 01:38:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
In-Reply-To: <9aldf5$pd8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104070833230.21205-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9ai2q2$fhk$1@news.netmar.com>
<3acd2b74$0$12825$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104062102390.20288-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<9aldf5$pd8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 26
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 08:34:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986632465 12.80.44.19 (Sat, 07 Apr
2001 08:34:25 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 08:34:25 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18838

On 6 Apr 2001, Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> D. Stussy <kd6lvw@att.net> wrote:
> >Hans wrote
> >><jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:
> >>>I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
> >>>phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!
>
> >>Is the number 65840?
> >>It belongs to KH6MT.
>
> >Actually, this was a good suggestion for the possibility (until it was
> >disclosed that the names and the 10-10 number didn't match). An "M" followed
> >by a "T" does constitute two dashes followed by one, which if one is lax on the
> >interletter spacing, could be mistaken for three dashes in a row, thus "O".
> >So why was this "jumped on" so quickly?
>
> Phone, that's why.

>
> According to the QSL card, that QSO occurred on SSB; the phony
> "KH6O" gave his QTH as Hawaii and his name as "Jeff." All on
> phone.

Yes, but at the time, you said CW.... (That's even quoted above).

A voice mode does change that, but that's not what you originally started with.

```
From -8989714426084480377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 02:18:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!oleane.net!oleane!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!diablo.netcom.net.uk!netcom.net.uk!
diablo.theplanet.net!news.indigo.ie!localhost.localdomain!nobody
From: Eamon Skelton <Xnospam@oceanfree.net>
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.r
adio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.rad
io.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Followup-To: alt.flame
References: <BackTo11meters@CB.ARRL.ORG> <3acea44e.24039736@news.idx.com.au>
Lines: 17
User-Agent: KNode/0.4
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
Message-ID: <d3jma9.2od.ln@localhost.localdomain>
Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 08:28:29 +0000
NNTP-Posting-Host: 194.125.165.22
X-Complaints-To: news@indigo.ie
X-Trace: news.indigo.ie 986634816 194.125.165.22 (Sat, 07 Apr 2001 10:13:36 BST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 10:13:36 BST
Organization: Indigo
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20506
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11657 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14687
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18839 rec.radio.amateur.space:2455 rec.radio.cb:29873
rec.radio.scanner:16146 rec.radio.shortwave:31056 rec.radio.swap:27948

The Duke wrote:

> What a goose.

Why do we have to be burdened with this cross-posted shite
on: rec.radio.amateur.space ?

Followup set to: alt.flame

Ed. EI9GQ.
--
Remove 'X' to reply by mail.
http://www.qsl.net/ei9gq

Linux 2.4.2

From 5883881730732914152
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 02:24:05 PST
From: "Bryant" <signet@cnetech.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 02:07:17 -0700
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
NNTP-Posting-Host: 2092341969.sierratel.com
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 2092341969.sierratel.com
Message-ID: <3aced88f@news.sierratel.com>
X-Trace: 7 Apr 2001 01:06:23 -0800, 2092341969.sierratel.com
Organization: news.sierratel.com
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!news.sierratel.com!2092341969.sierratel.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18842

ccc
"R G" <prieye@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09...
> My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep

```
it
> valid ? Thanks for the replies.   RG
>
>
```

```
From 6308363669621130742
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 02:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 85
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 09:21:58 GMT
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Message-ID: <20010407052158.06606.00000447@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18843
```

In article <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Michael Adams" <michaeladams2000@no-spm.hotmail.com> writes:

```
>
>I spent one to two hours per night, plus several hours per day on the
>weekends, studying code and radio theory for four months to get from
>Technician Plus to Extra Class.  Unlike many people, Morse Code did not
>come easily to me.  In addition to all the studying, I took an eight week
>code class.  It was taught by a visually impaired ham, who was a truly
>gifted instructor.  I put a lot of work into getting my Extra Class license,
>and when I finally passed the 20 word per minute code test, and three
>separate tests for General, Advanced (50 questions), and Extra (another 25
>questions), I was proud of my achievement.
```

Michael:

Nobody has taken your achievement away from you. It is still there, you have still "earned" your Pre-Dumbing Down Amateur Extra Class License, just like all the rest of us who attained that status prior to April 15, 2000. You have a right to be proud of that achievement, and you can rightly state that the Post-Dumbing Down Extras are not your "equals" as radio amateurs. There is nothing wrong with them personally, but they have been made the victims of changing circumstances which have had the effect of diminishing their status as hams.

>Now the FCC has taken my Amateur Extra license and made it an almost
>worthless piece of paper.

It is nothing of the sort. It still conveys the same valuable privileges it did prior to the Dumbing Down. The only difference is that YOU were able to achieve this status under a significantly more challenging licensing structure. You have proven YOUR superiority. You have nothing to prove to your fellow hams who achieved Extra class in this past year; THEY have something to prove to YOU.

>It now only takes one 50 question test to get
>from General to Extra Class, and there is no code test whatsoever.
>
>Forget the "code" versus "no code" debate. Shouldn't it be take the same
>level of dedication to reach Extra Class as it was before the FCC license
>restructuring? Shouldn't we have a license system which pushes hams to
>achieve things they thought they couldn't do?

It would be nice if it still did, but you need to remember that we now live in a society where we not only share equal opportunities, but must now provide equalized outcomes as well. It wasn't "fair" for some people to achieve while others remained stagnant. The stagnant ones were tired of being chided for their laziness and lack of ambition, and began whining and complaining for a change to be made on their behalf. Those who thought they would gain an economic advantage by meeting this need followed suit. You know the rest.

>I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their
>achievement devalued.

There is no need for you or anyone else to feel sorry for me, Michael. I have a permanent record of my achievement as an Amateur Extra Class ham, and nobody can take this achievement away from me. The value of my achievement will remain unchanged for as long as I choose to remain licensed as an Amateur Radio Operator, and this applies to you and all other pre-Restructuring hams who attained General, Advanced, or Extra-class.

<I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who will
>never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.

I have mixed feelings about that. Those who asked for the dumbed-down licensing requirements got what they deserved -- a devalued license with no meaningful accomplishments behind it. They have been given a free ride into higher privileges without having "paid their dues," as it were. They are here on an Affirmative Action program, like the clients of any Welfare State. They are to be treated with common courtesy and the same basic human dignity that anyone would deserve, but they are not to be heartily congratulated for having made any sort of brilliant "achievement," unless they are developmentally disabled to a fairly severe extent. Of course, hams with even fairly severe disabilities were still able to master

the Morse Code and pass the 20 WPM test for an Amateur Extra
class license; so if I have just insulted anyone in this category,
I hereby humbly apologize.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 9151583553636565379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 02:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 09:21:58 GMT
References: <986564186.3acdc65addeb3@webmail.cotse.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
Message-ID: <20010407052158.06606.00000448@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18840

In article <986564186.3acdc65addeb3@webmail.cotse.com>, Jason Hsu
<jhsu-no-spam@cotse.com> writes:

>
>I have heard many people lament that new Novice licenses are no longer issued
>
>and that they provided a good entry point into ham radio.
>
>Is this truly a big loss?

Jason:

Yes, it is a big loss.

>It seems to me that the No-Code Technician license replaced the
>Novice license as the most popular entry-level license.

You are truly a master of the totally obvious.

>The No-Code Technician requirement is similar to the Novice
>requirement; it simply
>replaces the 5 wpm CW test with the questions from the pre-restructuring
>Technician exam. In my opinion, studying for the Technician exam takes less

>effort than going from 0 to 5 wpm.

Once again, something that is totally obvious did not escape the
steel-like grip of your sharp mind. Congratulations for having an IQ
just barely above the "developmentally disabled" level.

>Furthermore, the fact remains that after the No-Code Technician license was
>introduced a decade ago, very few people obtained Novice licenses.

Is this guy good, or what? I'm impressed!

>Also, bringing back the issuance of new Novice licenses would require
>splitting the Technician license exam.

Wow. Jason, this is one of the most impressive restatements of
totally obvious and completely well-known-to-everyone facts I've
witnessed in this NG to date. The only thing missing is some kind
of point. What would that be, anyway?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6819531517330632572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 02:24:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 09:21:55 GMT
References: <3ACF3A6A.454337DC@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Message-ID: <20010407052155.06606.00000445@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18841

In article <3ACF3A6A.454337DC@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Michael Adams wrote:
>> I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their
>> achievement devalued. I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who will
>> never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.
>
>What's all this feeling stuff? Do you have all those feelings about your

>driver's license? The value of an amateur radio license, like a driver's
>license, are the privileges granted by the license.

Cecil:

Like an amateur radio license, a driver's license is something that requires those who have one to learn useful skills, and then demonstrate those skills to an examiner in order to have the privileges granted to them. Notice I said "privileges," not "rights." Therefore, drivers licenses and ham radio licenses must be "earned" and "achieved."

Now, I have gone beyond a normal driver's license. I have a Commercial Driver's License, CDL class B, with Passenger and Air Brake Endorsements. To attain this, I had to study for and take a CDL General Knowledge test, a Passenger test, and the Air Brake Test. I also had to take another road test under the watchful eye of an examiner from the DMV. I have done more, achieved more, and as a result, have the right and privilege of driving larger, heavier vehicles carrying more than 16 passengers. I also have the greatly increased responsibilities for safe vehicle operation that are expected of a professional driver. I realize it's not the same as a ham radio license, because the public safety and my company's economic future depend on my taking my responsibilities as a professional driver as seriously as they are intended to be taken. However a radio amateur who does not take his responsibilities seriously can also cause a lot of harm in the form of interference, so perhaps there is a direct comparison. That being the case, what is the harm in requiring that radio amateurs be required to demonstrate at least a fraction of the dedication as professional drivers must when attaining their licensing privileges?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 5105532746737240258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 02:24:41 PST
From: "Bryant" <signet@cnetech.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 01:41:20 -0700
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
NNTP-Posting-Host: 2092341969.sierratel.com
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 2092341969.sierratel.com
Message-ID: <3aced281@news.sierratel.com>
```

```
X-Trace: 7 Apr 2001 00:40:33 -0800, 2092341969.sierratel.com
Organization: news.sierratel.com
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.sierratel.com!2092341969.sierratel.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18844

ccc
"R G" <prieye@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09...
> My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep
it
> valid ? Thanks for the replies.   RG
>
>




From 1277105946400110077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 02:26:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 64
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 09:21:56 GMT
References: <3ACE8247.94D62090@bctv.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Message-ID: <20010407052156.06606.00000446@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18845

In article <3ACE8247.94D62090@bctv.com>, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> writes:

>> Now the FCC has taken my Amateur Extra license and made it an almost
>> worthless piece of paper.
>
>No, you did that, by deciding that your 20 WPM element was the reason
>you got the license.
>
>> It now only takes one 50 question test to get
>> from General to Extra Class, and there is no code test whatsoever.
>>
>> Forget the "code" versus "no code" debate.  Shouldn't it be take the same
>> level of dedication to reach Extra Class as it was before the FCC license
>> restructuring?
```

>
>That depends on your interpretation of the reasons for having ham radio
>in the first place. Most of us are here to run radios. If you feel
>that it is here simply to test your "dedication," then perhaps you
>should consider a hobby in nuclear physics.

Keith:

I don't believe Michael was referring to quite that level of "dedication." The amount of dedicate effort required to master the Morse Code at 20 WPM is significantly less than that required to become a Nuclear Physicist. However, this dedication does impart a useful communications skill upon a person who has chosen a voluntary activity in which radio amateurs prepare to provide emergency backup communications services for their communities.

>
>> Shouldn't we have a license system which pushes hams to achieve things
>they thought they couldn't do?
>
>You mean like accepting change?

What if the "change" had gone the other way, Keith? What if we increased the number of theory questions by 50%, and kept the three-level code testing requirements with a 20 WPM top end? Would you have accepted that "change?" After all, it would have addressed the stated desire of the NCTA to diminish the overall importance of code testing as a licensing requirement!

>> I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their
>> achievement devalued.
>
>I feel sorry for you, thinking that the important thing is the exam.

Which exam, Keith? Code or theory? Or are you advocating "exam free" licensing?

>> I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who will
>> never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.
>
>If you say so. I'm looking forward to passing the exam soon, I'll let
>you know if I have any sense of pride afterward.

Keith, you're entitled to any sense of pride you wish to confer upon yourself. However, you will have to face the fact that certain of your fellow hams will view your "achievements" through the filter of their own experience. Whether you believe this is "fair" or not is irrelevant; it is simple human nature.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5383898730599261120

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 03:13:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 06:15:08 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3ACEE897.DF867D14@sccoast.net>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 986638420 238717 207.144.64.21 (7 Apr 2001 10:13:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 10:13:40 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18846

Michael Adams wrote:

> [snip] I put a lot of work into getting
> my Extra Class license, and when I finally
> passed the 20 word per minute code test,
> and three separate tests for General,
> Advanced (50 questions), and Extra (another
> 25 questions), I was proud of my achievement.
>
> [snip] Now the FCC has taken my Amateur
> Extra license and made it an almost
> worthless piece of paper. It now only takes
> one 50 question test to get from General to
> Extra Class, and there is no code test
> whatsoever. [snip]

The license has always been nothing more than a piece of paper authorizing certain frequency privileges, no different then a driver's license authorizes certain driving privileges. It has certainly never been evidence of skill, or knowledge, beyond what is specifically covered in the exam (which is very basic compared to the overall skills and knowledge associated with the subject of radio).

As a driver, I don't really care how long you studied for the exam, or what license you have - it is how you drive now that really counts.

Likewise, it is not the exam, but what you've done since, that determines your real value as a ham operator - your value to the ham radio community, your value to your neighbors and community, and your value when deciding how worthy ham radio is when it comes to it's privileges.

Now, if you've wrapped your ego up in that piece of paper, then you've wrapped yourself with nothing more than false pride. And I'm not sorry at all that you're now having to face reality. Perhaps these changes will finally force ham operators to realize that we're a community of equals (all in this together, for better or worse), not a community based on a class system.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -4039061561903685981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 03:18:03 PST
From: "Bryant" <signet@cnetech.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09>
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 03:15:22 -0700
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
NNTP-Posting-Host: 2092341969.sierratel.com
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 2092341969.sierratel.com
Message-ID: <3acee883@news.sierratel.com>
X-Trace: 7 Apr 2001 02:14:27 -0800, 2092341969.sierratel.com
Organization: news.sierratel.com
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.sierratel.com!2092341969.sierratel.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18847

Dear Dick and Larry,

I've got to hand it to you two Nobel laureates. You guys must also have psychic abilities and knew I was downloading an amateur radio newsgroup for the first time. Who do you think you are to attack this man and insult him for no reason. You know nothing about him and his life and yet you respond back to him with insults. What you two did flies right in the face of everything that Ham radio stands for. First and foremost with all Hams is the ideal of the dissemintation of information. It is how we inform and teach ourselves and others about our hobby which in turns causes us to gain

new members that strengthens our hobby and enrichens not only our lives but others as well. It is the same for all hobbies whether it is model rocketry, radio control cars and planes, Civil War re-enactments or any other hobby you can think of. Did either of you two ever think that maybe some people are happy just working the VHF/UHF bands? Maybe RG had a period in his life where he had to put his his hobby on the backburner and is just now getting back to it. I was going to reframe from attacking you two but since I took your comments personally you two are now fair game. Everyday I'm out there trying to convince kids and adults alike to realize that there are other things in life to do other than drugs, the television, and celebrity idealization. And in case your pea-size brains didn't think of it, children do have access to computers and may watching what you post. I know guys like you...I can time my watch to the way you act...No doubt you two probably have at least $50,000.00 apiece worth of ham radio equipment with huge towers and yet your front yards look like they could have been used as sets for the movie "DELIVERANCE". By way, your tickets are a privilege and not a right and thus can be revoked at any time!!! Oh yeah, one more thing -- my license is expiring this summer. I'm a no-code tech and would like to know how to renew my license////////
"R G" <prieye@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09...
> My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep it
> valid ? Thanks for the replies.   RG
>
>

From 8188347285788285211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 04:56:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 11:55:12 GMT
References: <_Poz6.37710$XV.9511972@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010407075512.04235.00001589@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18848

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 4/6/01 2:31 PM Central Daylight Time

>well then if it is the only truly reliable mode...(SNIP)

No one said it was.

Please quote the author who said it was the ONLY reliable mode and thier exact words, please.

>than why is it so necessary to know it before getting on hf...(SNIP)

Because it is a requirement of an international treaty that (as far as I know) every soverign country is a signatory to.

>and saving hte dya in all those fance emergencies that apparentlyu >kick up a lot of noise as to make ssb useless...

No one said it was. This is a flame-inducing assertion that Lennie the Licenseless and a couple others keep alive. Those that support Morse Code useage have simply (and accurately) pointed out that it is the simplist mode and usually able to overcome other propagation and QRM deficits.

Unless, of course, you can prove otherwise?

>enough typos for ya ?

If you ENJOY being ignorant, I suppose.

>>>in the same way you believe the only true reliable method of radio >>>comms is cw ... such an out dated and ancient technique

>>Dick never said any such thing.

You have yet to prove Dick made the assertions you say he made. Or do you enjoy just making wild-pitch assertions you can't back up?

```
From 861397717734453785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 05:28:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 106
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 12:27:11 GMT
References: <20010406202930.04525.00000609@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010407082711.04235.00001590@ng-ci1.aol.com>
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18849

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/6/01 7:29 PM Central Daylight Time

>The only "GIGGLE" going on is some old fart sitting around
>chomping at the bit, wanting to fight, and weakly doing so
>by personal pejorative posting.

Obviously Lennie the Arrogant has been in an introspective mood again. This is about as "dead on the money" as you can get, Lennie, even if you won't admit it was yourself you were ranting about.

You should read some of your OWN writings. I bet if we sat you down, snipped paragraphs from all of our various posts INCLUDING YOUR OWN, you couldn't tell the difference.

>Forty years later a commercial radiotelegraph license is of
>very limited options for a future in radio.

And forty years from NOW almost any radio license or RF engineering degree will be useless...shall we just stop operating radios and stop sending kids to school because of what it WON'T be worth in 40 years?

>I could care less about 19 year olds of 1956.

And judging from your hate-spewn rants in here, I'd say it's safe to say you don't care about 19 yar olds from 1966, 76, 86, 96, 06, et al. If you didn't do it first or better, no one could do it.

>We might compare salaries up to retirement, personal equity value,
>married status, etc., etc., etc. but that is not something about
>amateur radio policy is it?

You never let it stop YOU before, Putzmeister. You have delved into SEVERAL rants on property you own, the value of your retirement, income from part time consulting, etc etc.

>Or maybe you think you have some kind
>of "moral/ethical standard" for amateur radio just because you've
>been licensed for a while?

Perhaps it's the same "moral/ethical standard" YOU apply by virtue of your alleged engineering experience or COMMERCIAL radio experience...?!?!?

>If you need wallpaper so bad, might I suggest the American Blind
>and Wallpaper Company? They have a nice website, selection of
>wallpaper patterns and colors, and specialize in mail-order...

We can roll it up and put it in the bathroom...right along where I have rolled up your "been there/done that" rants for some future use.

>It is relevant that many old farts still haven't got a clue that they are
>unable to compete in other radio modes, cannot stay with the
>state of the art, and want to endlessly dream of and demand
>adherence to old standards and practices.

And which of those "excuses" is why YOU, "Mr. Technology", continue to lie, harass and intimidate in a newsgroup for a radio service in which you have no vested interest...???

>Don't come in here spitting and
>sparking because you feel a need for respect and don't get
>it.

This is priceless, coming from a twerp who constantly whines about how Hams allegedly don't kneel sufficently before the "Great RF Technician".

>Kelly is a Class A dumshit with short-term memory loss. Geez.

He asked you a question. You responded with one of those profane "personal perjoratives" you are so fond of accusing others of levelling against you.

Pot/kettle/black. As usual.

>I didn't get any commercial radiotelegraph license in 1956
>because there wasn't any future in commercial radio-
>telegraphy back then. Turned out I was right. There is even
>less of a future for radiotelegraphy 45 years later.

Commercial Morse Code continued in use for another 40 years in the United States and continues in other countries. Seems you are wrong...again.

Guess I can stop learning new nursing techniques since they will all be obsolete in 40 years.

>Was that the answer you want and need? Does anyone care?

Obviously YOU do, otherwise you'd move on and stop being such a putz. But it has been clinically proven that retiree's without a point of focus generally succumb at earlier ages. Is that it..??? This IS your life? Couldn't make it on a park bench or playing shuffle board (requires being out in the light of day) so you sequester yourself in a dark room with your keyboard and bad attitude, determined to inflict you hatred and angst on others?

I think they call those people "sadists". You are a sadist, but just one that can't stand the sight of blood, so you suffice it to be annoying in this forum.

What ever spins your propeller, Lennie.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 4739111776619770442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 06:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 295
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 12:59:09 GMT
References: <20010406202933.04525.00000610@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010407085909.26667.00000715@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18851

In article <20010406202933.04525.00000610@nso-cu.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>From March 31, 2000 to March 31, 2001:
>>>
>>>Ahem...that period begins two weeks before restructuring.
>>
>>I know. If we are to consider the effects of restructuring, the last two
>>weeks of April 2000 should be included in the totals.
>
>You are forgetting the FCC's temporary backlog and slow response
>to upgrading and new licensees in the month following April 15, 2000.

No, I'm not forgetting those delays and backlogs at all.

>[that news was in all the ham periodicals and newsletters]

Yes, I know. What happened was that the surge of applications in the last two weeks of April caused many of them to be delayed. But others went through quickly.
>
>A truer picture of post-restructuring changes is to use FCC database
>information beginning in June, 2000. Post-restructuring effect was
>the main point of Hans Brakob's posting.
>
By ignoring April and May of 2000, those applications that were not delayed, or which were delayed only slightly, are ignored.That is NOT "a truer picture".

>>How do you figure that? The license expiration dates of Tech Plus and Tech
>>licenses are not evenly distributed over the past ten years. One reason is
>>that they were not issued at a constant rate. Another reason is that changes
>in
>>vanity call rules caused a surge of Tech and Tech Plus vanity calls to be
>>issued about 1994. Since the issuance of a new vanity call includes a
>>renewal, the distribution of expiration dates is not uniform.
>
>A truer picture of post-restructuring changes is to use FCC database
>information beginning in June, 2000. Post-restructuring effect was
>the main point of Hans Brakob's posting.

By ignoring April and May of 2000, those applications that were not delayed, or
which were delayed only slightly, are ignored.That is NOT "a truer picture".

I can cut and paste too, Len. I think you know I'm right on these points, but
cannot admit it.
>
>>On the AH0A site, "renewals" are the number of licenses renewed in the 90
>day
>>window before they expire. Upgrades do not result in a new license. Upgrades
>>do not result in a renewal unless the upgrade happens in the 90 day window
>>before expiration of the existing license.
>
>Quit trying to be confusing and read Joe Speroni's own explanations.

I have. And I understand them. That's what the above is based on.

>"New" licenses are truly new licenses, the individuals receiving them
>not being in the amateur radio database with any other amateur
>license or so indicated on the FCC's new database format.

That's true. That's what I wrote. Upgrarades do not result in a new license.

>"Upgrades" are those already-licensed in previous database
>records or so indicated on the FCC's new database format.

"Upgrades" are not recorded separately in the AH0A data. They are lumped in
with modifications.

> Since
>the FCC databases are updated daily, weekly, and monthly, the
>AH0A site tabulations may sometimes be in a "funny" date (such
>as 25 March 2001 as was recently posted there) or at some common
>date such as the of the last day of the month. The AH0A tabulations
>are not at 90-day increments.

The 90 day window refers to the fact that the FCC will only process ARS license
renewals 90 days or less before the expiration date.
>
>>>For the Technician class licensee, the number of renewals
>>>will be small compared to all other classes because their
>>>initial numbers were small.

>>
>>Not true.
>
>Sigh...In the beginning of the new Technician class (as that
>class is known as today, not the old Technician class which
>became "Technician-Plus"), their numbers started as ZERO
>and increased from there. Arithmetic progression.

Not true. Again.

In 1991, all the FCC did was remove the code test from the Techician class
license. All of the then-licensed Techs who had passed 5 wpm still had Tech
licenses and the database did not record the difference.

It was not until 1994 that the "Tech Plus" license came to exist as a separate
license class.
>
>>The AH0A site shows that from April 2000 until December 2000 the number of
>>Technician renewals averaged under about 500 per month. Practically all of
>>these were Tech Plus renewals because none of the post-Feb-14-1991
>>Technicians were up for renewal until mid November, 2000.
>
>A truer picture of post-restructuring changes is to use FCC database
>information beginning in June, 2000.

No, it isn't.

>Post-restructuring effect was
>the main point of Hans Brakob's posting.

Restructuring started April 15, 2000.
>
>>In January of 2001 the number of Tech renewals was 812. In February 2001 it
>>was 1,718 and in March 2001 1,472. The sudden rise is obviously due to the
>>renewal of the first post-Feb-14-1991 Techncian licenses.
>
>"Obvious?!?"   :-)

Yes, because there is no other source for the sudden rise in renewals.
>
>The AH0A site has tabulations for Total, New, Renewed (within 10-year
>period), Renewed In Grace Period, Expirations. The Speroni site
>no longer tabulates "upgrades" from or to another class.

I know. You just figured that out, huh?
>
>>That only began at the end of November, 2000.
>
>A truer picture of post-restructuring changes is to use FCC database
>information beginning in June, 2000. Post-restructuring effect was
>the main point of Hans Brakob's posting.

Saying it over and over doesn't make a falsehood any more true, Len.

>
>>If one uses the AH0A data, the Technician class license total is increased
>by
>>three things: new licenses, renewals from the Tech Plus class, and grace
>>period renewals.
>
>Between the end of June 2000 and end of March 2001 the number
>of NEW Technician class licenses exclusive of any renewals was
>15,970. Between the end of June 2000 and end of March 2001 the
>total number of Technician class licenses increased by 16,029.
>The difference is 59...which have to be (by N2EY statement)
>from renewals.

No, that's NOT what I wrote. And it's NOT correct. You are ignoring the effect
of upgrades. Why?
>
>>The Technician class license total is decreased by three different
>>things: expirations, cancellations, and upgrades to General and Extra.
>
>The AH0A site doesn't tabulate "upgrades."

But it DOES reduce the totals of the previous license class when an upgrade
occurs. Once a license is upgraded, it is no longer shown in the old license
class. All Techicians who upgraded to General or Extra in the June 2000 - March
2001 time frame are REMOVED from the Technician license total.
>
>>>The total number of Extra-Advanced-General-TechPlus-Novice
>>>licensees DECLINED by 13,646 while the Technician licenses
>>>INCREASED by 20,221.
>>
>>Nobody is debating that. What's your point?
>
>License classes Extra, Advanced, General, Technician-Plus,
>and Novice all have HF privileges.

True - but that wasn't K0HB's point. Tech Plus and Novice have minimal HF. The
others have lots of HF. BIG difference.

> Technician does not.

NOT TRUE in all cases. Renewed Technicians who were Tech Pluses have HF
privileges. Technicians who have passed Element 1 have HF privileges. This is
basic Part 97 stuff. You obviously don't understand Part 97, Len.

> All
>classes have VHF-and-higher-frequency privileges. Hans
>used the grouping of some-HF/no-HF versus all-HF in order to
>show "more hams on HF after restructuring." If you don't see
>the difference, why did you type all those words "objecting?"
>
>Hans Brakob used a 10-month period after restructuring. I
>used the period after restructuring.

And ignored the period immediately after restructuring.

> Jim Miccolis used a 12-
>month period beginning BEFORE restructuring.

Two weeks before resturcturing, so as to include all of the post restructuring
period.
>
>>So? Why should those delays cause that period to be ignored?
>
>Hello?!? The public doesn't know new or changed-modified-etc
>licenses until the FCC has processed all the applications and
>made it official. [the FCC is the only amateur radio regulatory
>agency in the USA]   If the FCC is "late" or "backlogged" to
>delay applications, the public doesn't get to find out about it.
>If the public doesn't know it, it can't be tabulated and those
>who pick flysh*t out of pepper pots won't know it but those
>will also try to load up from the adjacent salt pot and change
>their numbers. :-)

Nonsense. The delays did not affect ALL of the applications.
>
>>Why do you want to ignore the period immediately after the April 15 changes?

>What did I just finish explaining?!? Geez.

Your explanation is faulty. There were thousands of post-April-15-2000
applications processed by the FCC in late April and in May of 2000.
>
>>You are assuming that no Techs
>>upgraded to General or Extra during that entire 10 month period. That's
>>simply not valid.
>
>In the period between the end of June 2000 and end of March 2001
>there was a difference of 59 between the NEW licenses (exclusive
>of renewals or other changes) and the change of Technician class
>license totals over the same period. I never discounted anything.

You did NOT include the fact that all Technicians who upgraded in that
timeframe are removed from the Technician license class totals.
>
>You are attempting discrediting by a false statement of omission.

No. I am pointing out your errors, Len.

>Bad form, OM, bad form.

The only "bad form" is your denial of the obvious.

Simple question:

When a licensee upgrades his/her license from Technician to General or Extra,
is the Technician license total reduced or not?

>>> Attrition is happening among the code-tested classes.
>>>
>>Nobody denies that. What's your point? Do you want hams to die?
>
>I've never said anything about "dying."

No, yiu haven't. But from the renewal rates, it's clear that the death of hams is the main cause of attrition.

>Quit lying.
>
Did I write that you wished for hams to die? No. I asked a question. If the answer is "no", all you have to do is say so.

>My Webster's says "attrition" is defined two ways: "A wearing
>away by or as by friction" or "A normal loss of personnel as by
>retirement."

You're not Cecil. Don't try to play his game. He's good at it. You're not.

>The code-tested classes are, as a total, reducing
>in numbers...by dropping out one way or another.

And the most common reason is....?
>
>>Nobody is denying that. But a significant cause of the apparent growth of
>the
>>Tech and apparent decline of the Tech Plus is renewal reclassification.
>
>Good grief, no-clue, go and read the definitions of Speroni's
>NEW license grants totals per month for 10 months (15,970)
>against the total change in Technician class licenses for the
>same 10 months (16,029). If you are going to say that a 59
>difference is "significant," you are speaking with total and
>near-absolute denial of the obvious.

No, I'm not. YOU are.

Your faulty math assumes that NO Technician licenses expired, were cancelled, or upgraded in the 10 month timeframe mentioned. That's simply not correct. Why do you deny the obvious, Len?
>
>Sorry, but your counter-argument FAILS badly in explaining
>anything in regards to POST-restructuring changes. Go
>away, say 100 Hail Hirams in penitence, and sin no more.

In other words, I've pointed out that Emperor Anderson has no logical clothes.
>
Try reading and understanding Part 97 and the explanations on the Speroni site. Your denial of the obvious is boring, Len/

N2EY

From -6954786472474306014
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 06:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 12:59:04 GMT
References: <3ACEEC6B.444A805C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
Message-ID: <20010407085904.26667.00000714@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18850

In article <3ACEEC6B.444A805C@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Jim Kelley wrote:
>> Why do you want to put up an antenna that radiates poorly in all
>directions?
>
>I'm trying like heck to find a vertical that works better in any
>direction than my 130ft dipole on 40m.

How high is the dipole, Cecil?

Most real-world 40 meter 1/4 wave verticals won't beat a dipole a quarter wavelength high. Too many obstacles and too much ground loss, unless you have a wide open site and put down a lot of radials.

The answer is....oh no, you don't want that answer. It's old technology, dating back to the spark days.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -104498508003177230
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 06:22:06 PST
Message-ID: <3ACFBCBE.10C425A1@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 20:19:58 -0500

From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <3ACE8247.94D62090@bctv.com> <20010407052156.06606.00000446@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986649207 64.240.47.116 (7 Apr 2001 07:13:27 -0600)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18852

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> However, this dedication does impart a useful communications skill upon
> a person who has chosen a voluntary activity in which radio amateurs
> prepare to provide emergency backup communications services for their
> communities.

Dropping the Morse code requirement to 5wpm has not decreased the dedication and skill that you have developed. You are still just as skillful and you still have the same privileges, i.e. nothing has been taken away from you. CW still has all the HF ham frequencies and still has all its capabilities to be used in emergencies if necessary. New hams who like CW will use it and their increased code speed will come naturally just like mine did in 1951.

The "I did it so they should have to do it" concept seems to keep creeping back into the discussion.

I didn't have to work nearly as hard for my high school education as my Dad did. He milked a cow and then rode a horse to school. He worked on the farm after school until dark, then studied by the light of a kerosene lantern, and went to bed cold in the winter. Should my Dad resent me because I had it easier than he?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 11745733241168102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 06:22:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!nntp.flash.net!newscon02.news.prodigy.com!
prodigy.com!cyclone.swbell.net!nnrp2.sbc.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "lbratche" <lbratche@swbell.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Lines: 46
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 08:25:02 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.191.58.72
X-Complaints-To: abuse@swbell.net
X-Trace: nnrp2.sbc.net 986649648 208.191.58.72 (Sat, 07 Apr 2001 08:20:48 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 08:20:48 CDT
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18853

It continues to amaze me that hams want to hang on to the past! Look at QST or any ham radio magazine and look at the great number of "silent keys" each month.   The fact is , like it or not, amateur radio is dying and if the something is not changed, you will not have anyone out there to talk to! It's obvious that you are retired and not in the business world or you would know that "change" is rapid and constant. Why? Survival? The same holds true with Amateur Radio. Enjoy your Extra Class licenses and the hobby and quit living in the past.

Larry

"Michael Adams" <michaeladams2000@no-spm.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I spent one to two hours per night, plus several hours per day on the
> weekends, studying code and radio theory for four months to get from
> Technician Plus to Extra Class. Unlike many people, Morse Code did not
> come easily to me. In addition to all the studying, I took an eight week
> code class. It was taught by a visually impaired ham, who was a truly
> gifted instructor. I put a lot of work into getting my Extra Class
license,
> and when I finally passed the 20 word per minute code test, and three

> separate tests for General, Advanced (50 questions), and Extra (another 25
> questions), I was proud of my achievement.
>
> Now the FCC has taken my Amateur Extra license and made it an almost
> worthless piece of paper. It now only takes one 50 question test to get
> from General to Extra Class, and there is no code test whatsoever.
>
> Forget the "code" versus "no code" debate. Shouldn't it be take the same
> level of dedication to reach Extra Class as it was before the FCC license
> restructuring? Shouldn't we have a license system which pushes hams to
> achieve things they thought they couldn't do?
>
> I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their
> achievement devalued. I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who will
> never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.
>
> 73,
>
> Michael Adams
> AC6ZK
>
>
>

From -3048312660843631022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 06:48:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 20:46:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <3ACF3A6A.454337DC@IEEE.org> <20010407052155.06606.00000445@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986650774 64.240.47.116 (7 Apr 2001 07:39:34 -0600)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
feeder.qis.net!newsxfer.visi.net!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!
out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18854

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> That being the case, what is the harm in requiring that
> radio amateurs be required to demonstrate at least a fraction of the
> dedication as professional drivers must when attaining their licensing
> privileges?

You are still confused, Larry. Examinations are not meant to test dedication. They are meant to to test qualifications. Licenses are not a certificate of dedication. They are a certificate of privileges granted after meeting the qualifications. Any citizen who meets the requirements, whatever they happen to be at the time, is qualified by definition. One person may already be qualified. Another person may have to study/practice for a year. They both get the same license. Dedication is irrelevant. Effort is irrelevant.

In the past, it was very difficult to qualify for a concealed weapons permit in Texas. Then Bush signed the concealed carry law and now it is relatively easy for a citizen of Texas to qualify for concealed carry. If you were one of the earlier concealed weapons carriers, would you feel disappointed that there are now lots more concealed weapons carriers? Qualifications simply change with time in every field (including amateur radio).

Incidentally, the murder rate in Texas has dropped 45% since Bush signed the concealed carry law.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1301025114010486290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 07:07:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 7 Apr 2001 14:06:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9an6ta$t0v$0@208.206.142.107>
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
<3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org> <9ajdlk$sl0$0@208.207.71.164>
<3ACE5C0D.BC4B87F@IEEE.org> <9al2ee$i6o$0@208.207.71.169>
<3ACEEA2D.3DEBBA99@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.107
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18855

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Thus shutting the barn door after the horse was gone.
> >
> > Uh NO, ...
>
> Seems to me, the Titanic was a pretty big horse to lose. Your opinion
> may vary.

Sure it does. And your attitude,"Technology will solve all ills" is the very cause of the Titanic disaster. Everyone believed the techies who swore that the all new hitech Titanic just COULDN'T sink, and that enough lifeboats to carry all aboard were just misuse of deck space. Sort of like you and your claim that CW will never be needed again in ham radio since there are better modes around...

From 8989063461939669624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 07:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 7 Apr 2001 14:12:41 GMT

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9an78p$lc$0@208.206.142.107>
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
<3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org> <9ajdnf$sl0$1@208.207.71.164>
<3ACE5C6B.D73AB39D@IEEE.org> <9al2o8$ivg$0@208.207.71.169>
<3ACEEB6A.8876947A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.107
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18856

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > As always, all such actions are driven primarily by ecomomics, not
> > expertise.
>
> If everything was driven by economics, we would have economic anarchy
> but we don't.

I didn't say "everything", as you well know if you can read. Just everything that can be made to be is driven by economics.

> Wheelchair ramps are not driven by economics.

And what would you say about the the many years before wheelchair ramps were mandated - that they *were* driven solely by economics?

>
> Electronic things get less expensive and more sophisticated with
> time. It is progress for machines to replace people for mundane tasks.
> It doesn't make sense to dedicate people to a situation that may happen
> once in a blue moon.

So then ham radio operators should just ignore all possiblilty that their stations may someday be needed for emergency comms. We see.

> Such actions are driven by economics AND expertise.

And we already know what predominates, and it isn't expertise.

From -2512526610406310256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 07:17:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: 7 Apr 2001 14:16:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9an7gg$180$0@208.206.142.107>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.107
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18857

Michael Adams wrote:
>
> I spent one to two hours per night, plus several hours per day on the
> weekends, studying code and radio theory for four months to get from
> Technician Plus to Extra Class. Unlike many people, Morse Code did not
> come easily to me. In addition to all the studying, I took an eight week
> code class. It was taught by a visually impaired ham, who was a truly
> gifted instructor. I put a lot of work into getting my Extra Class license,
> and when I finally passed the 20 word per minute code test, and three
> separate tests for General, Advanced (50 questions), and Extra (another 25
> questions), I was proud of my achievement.
>
> Now the FCC has taken my Amateur Extra license and made it an almost
> worthless piece of paper. It now only takes one 50 question test to get
> from General to Extra Class, and there is no code test whatsoever.
>
> Forget the "code" versus "no code" debate. Shouldn't it be take the same
> level of dedication to reach Extra Class as it was before the FCC license
> restructuring? Shouldn't we have a license system which pushes hams to
> achieve things they thought they couldn't do?
>
> I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their

```
> achievement devalued.  I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who will
> never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.
>



 Ah, but Mike, what about all the sensitivies of all those who just
"couldn't"
 or more accurately, WOULDN'T? Their "rights" are predominant over all
else.


From -8294266454978618672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 07:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: 7 Apr 2001 14:24:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9an7vg$29l$0@208.206.142.107>
References: <3acd7cdd.74457483@news2.dvol.com> <20010406202930.04525.00000609@nso-
cu.aol.com> <3ACE8BCA.BAEADD19@ovis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.107
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18858



Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <3acd7cdd.74457483@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
> > Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>
> > I could care less about 19 year olds of 1956.  Working at WREX-TV,
> > WRRR, WBEL, and WRRR in 1956 I earned enough to move west
> > to California.  Now why that is all some kind of "dispute" only Kelly
> > can explain.  As far as money is concerned, no problem, senior.
> > We might compare salaries up to retirement, personal equity value,
> > married status, etc., etc., etc. but that is not something about
> > amateur radio policy is it?  Or maybe you think you have some kind
> > of "moral/ethical standard" for amateur radio just because you've
```

> > been licensed for a while?
>
> Okies of the '30s scraped up enough to move to California.

Ah, so THAT'S where Lennie got Loose from. I'm sure the Sooners would sooner not have him back.

>
> Why do keep calling Brian "Senior"? Do you feel junior to him?

First logical thing he's done here, to my knowledge.

From 9024339135291433870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 07:28:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 7 Apr 2001 14:26:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9an82q$29l$1@208.206.142.107>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <3aced88f@news.sierratel.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.107
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18859

Bryant wrote:
>
> ccc
> "R G" <prieye@email.msn.com> wrote in message
> news:#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09...
> > My tech license is due to expire in August. What do I need to do to keep
> it
> > valid ? Thanks for the replies.   RG
> >
> >

"Follow the Yellow Brick Road...."

```
From 3618740681957731869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 07:28:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ACFCC4E.5A83BD42@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 21:26:22 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
References: <3ACEEC6B.444A805C@IEEE.org> <20010407085904.26667.00000714@nso-
bh.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986653191
64.240.47.116 (7 Apr 2001 08:19:51 -0600)
Lines: 38
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.180.63.145!feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18860
```

N2EY wrote:
> How high is the dipole, Cecil?

My dipole is 40ft high and is one-wavelength long on 40m.

> Most real-world 40 meter 1/4 wave verticals won't beat a dipole a quarter
> wavelength high.

Note that I'm not talking about the direction of maximum gain for
the dipole. There should be some nulls off the ends of the dipole.
I want to see the vertical beat the dipole in *any* direction and/or
at *any* take-off-angle.

Modeling indicates that the vertical should be about 20dB better than the dipole off the ends of the dipole at a take-off-angle of 20 degrees. I want to see that happen in the real world.

I've got my 40m vertical up. All I lack being functional is installing a coax connector.

The grass around my vertical was about waist high. I bent down to pick up something I had dropped and heard a whirring noise. Zap, I was struck on the hand by a four foot long snake. I grabbed a towel, threw it over the snake, and wrapped it up in it. Around here, we have every poisonous snake in the US and one needs to know which one it was. Luckily, it was a harmless rat snake and non-poisonous. Those snakes have learned to imitate a rattler by rattling their tails in the leaves and that's what I heard. They have even evolved with a diamondback pattern although they are more colorful than a rattlesnake. So this morning, I have a couple of holes in my hand but no after effects. And I took the snake down to a little creek behind my house and let it go.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1495609502793519335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 07:54:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ACFD25B.E766384B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 21:52:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
<3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org> <9ajdlk$sl0$0@208.207.71.164>
<3ACE5C0D.BC4B87F@IEEE.org> <9al2ee$i6o$0@208.207.71.169>
<3ACEEA2D.3DEBBA99@IEEE.org> <9an6ta$t0v$0@208.206.142.107>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986654739
64.240.47.116 (7 Apr 2001 08:45:39 -0600)
Lines: 34
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18861

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Sort of like you and your claim that CW will never be needed again in
> ham radio since there are better modes around...

I have never made that claim, Dick. I said, IMO, it is *unlikely* that CW will ever again be needed for emergencies. What I have said is that CW skill should be left up to the discretion of the individual ham and not be implemented as a governmental affirmative action welfare program.

Some people value storage food and water for emergencies and some don't. It is a choice for each individual to make. If storage food and water is someday needed, the first group will be rewarded for their investment in a winning situation. If storage food and water becomes stale and has to be thrown out, the second group will be rewarded for their decision not to invest in a losing situation. Different strokes for different folks.

Some hams value CW for emergencies and some don't. It is a choice for each individual ham to make. If CW does save the day during an emergency, the hams who possess CW skill will be rewarded. If CW doesn't ever save the day during an emergency, the hams who had no interest in CW will not have wasted their time and effort learning and developing an unneeded skill. When the Morse code requirement is lifted, every ham will still be free to develop his CW skill to whatever level he chooses. What is wrong with live and let live?

That's the way a free country works, Dick. Every citizen should be free to assess the probabilities and decide to take personal action or not.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From 5035971258782852799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 08:01:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 7 Apr 2001 15:01:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 107
Message-ID: <9ana3s$83l$0@208.206.142.107>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <3acee883@news.sierratel.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.107
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18862

Bryant wrote:
>
> Dear Dick and Larry,
>
> I've got to hand it to you two Nobel laureates. You guys must also have
> psychic abilities and knew I was downloading an amateur radio newsgroup for
> the first time. Who do you think you are to attack this man and insult him
> for no reason.

You can wonder who you are if you want, we already know. It was time
for his reality check. Just because he was given a license doesn't mean
he's hoome free forever.

You know nothing about him and his life and yet you respond
> back to him with insults. What you two did flies right in the face of
> everything that Ham radio stands for. First and foremost with all Hams is
> the ideal of the dissemintation of information.

Hey, it was time that he learned that All Knowledge Flows From
Newington. If he hasn't learned even that, and owns a compter--what a
dunce. You too, maybe?

It is how we inform and
> teach ourselves and others about our hobby which in turns causes us to gain
> new members that strengthens our hobby and enrichens not only our lives but

> others as well. It is the same for all hobbies whether it is model rocketry, radio control cars and planes, Civil War re-enactments or any other hobby you can think of. Did either of you two ever think that maybe some people are happy just working the VHF/UHF bands? Maybe RG had a period in his life where he had to put his his hobby on the backburner and is just now getting back to it.

excuses, excuses.....

> I was going to reframe from attacking you two but since I took your comments personally you two are now fair game.

Well, Bryant, I was gonna 'reframe' from attacking you too, but what the hey...

> Everyday I'm out there trying to convince kids and adults alike to realize that there are other things in life to do other than drugs, the television, and celebrity idealization.

I gotta tell you, thanx for your efforts, but until your clients have the light go off that they, too, must strive a little for anything in life that is above the mud level, you can expect little in the way of success. 'RIGHTS without investment' is the biggest single factor that we face these days. A huge percentage of people, kids and their parents alike, have the 'right', so you dare not stand in the way. Good luck.

> And in case your pea-size brains didn't think of it, children do have access to computers and may watching what you post.

Maybe they'll get something besides 'feelgood' and ego massaging for a change.
No doubt you're a charter member of NEA...which never heard of the word Incentive.
(needn't ask, you might grab a clue that I'm familiar with it, too)

> I know guys like you...I can time my watch to the way you act...No doubt you two probably have at least $50,000.00 apiece worth of ham radio equipment with huge towers and yet your front yards look like they could have been used as sets for the movie "DELIVERANCE".

You are even more clueless than the character who couldn't even do an

online search for information before he jumped in with a 'simple'
question. Even more simple than the couple dozen on his test, to which
he had all the answers in advance. I guess since he didn't have this
answer in advance, he was stumped, huh?

By way, your tickets are a
> privilege and not a right and thus can be revoked at any time!!!

Stuff it, Pal, I don't need a pompous ass to tell he about my ticket.
I've had it longer than you've been alive.

Oh yeah,
> one more thing -- my license is expiring this summer. I'm a no-code tech
> and would like to know how to renew my license////////

So the advice stands for you too--go learn the Morse code then get back
to us.

From 6628007661005000699
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 08:14:07 PST
Message-ID: <3ACFD737.9EA63F5@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 22:12:55 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
<3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org> <9ajdnf$sl0$1@208.207.71.164>
<3ACE5C6B.D73AB39D@IEEE.org> <9al2o8$ivg$0@208.207.71.169>
<3ACEEB6A.8876947A@IEEE.org> <9an78p$lc$0@208.206.142.107>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986655983
64.240.47.116 (7 Apr 2001 09:06:23 -0600)
Lines: 38
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18863

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Electronic things get less expensive and more sophisticated with
> > time. It is progress for machines to replace people for mundane tasks.
> > It doesn't make sense to dedicate people to a situation that may happen
> > once in a blue moon.
>
> So then ham radio operators should just ignore all possiblilty that
> their stations may someday be needed for emergency comms. We see.

I'm not dedicated to emergencies, Dick, are you? I am on standby for emergencies. That's a huge difference. It means that I can have a life outside of ham radio emergency communications. And hams should be free to either ignore or accept the fact that their stations may someday be needed for emergency comms. A lot of hams have no station at all. That's the way it should be in a hobby in a free country.

It seems rather obvious to me but in case it doesn't to you:

1. A ham who decides that he needs equipment and skills for emergencies is free to acquire such equipment and skills. A ham who decides that he needs CW skill for emergencies is free to progress to any skill level he chooses.

2. A ham who decides that he doesn't need equipment or skills for emergencies is free not to acquire such equipment and skills with the exception of Morse code. A ham who decides that he doesn't need CW skill for emergencies should be free not to acquire such skill but he isn't free to choose because of an outdated section of a treaty which requires a governmental affirmative action program.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4397190860087723541
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 08:18:08 PST
Message-ID: <3ACFD82C.24DAE542@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 22:17:00 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9an7gg$180$0@208.206.142.107>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986656227
64.240.47.116 (7 Apr 2001 09:10:27 -0600)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!enews.sgi.com!
telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18864

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Ah, but Mike, what about all the sensitivies of all those who just
> "couldn't" or more accurately, WOULDN'T? Their "rights" are predominant
> over all else.

Mike talked a lot about how his feeling are hurt. Why should your and
Mike's feelings be more important than anyone else's feelings?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

From -1113948343649382844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 08:32:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 10:30:15 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3ACF3287.B68CAC39@ix.netcom.com>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3ACEE897.DF867D14@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a4.75
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 7 Apr 2001 15:30:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18865

All the FCC changed was the code test, it's still just as much
theory as before. Compared to a college degree, the extra
is like a 3 to 5 credit college course. Or maybe somewhat
similar to dreaming up a patent (I have a dozen of those).

The extra is still an achievement, but nowhere as big as
graduating college with a BSEE or getting a bunch of
patents. Think of a license as a step in the process of
going into a career in electronics, but not the last step.

An extra with a tech + callsign   73 de wa2ise

From -3028370367073238862
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 08:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 15:37:58 GMT
References: <QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Message-ID: <20010407113758.22580.00000356@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18866

>Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
>From: "lbratche" lbratche@swbell.net
>Date: 4/7/01 8:25 AM Central Daylight Time

>The fact is , like it or not, amateur radio is dying and if the
>something is not changed, you will not have anyone out there to talk to!

Oh geez...here we go again..."It's dying! It's dying!"

The same "it's dying" they were lamenting in the 40's, 50's, 60's, et al.

Someone get me a Bromo.........

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -7396382055825137020
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 08:42:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: 7 Apr 2001 15:42:07 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.107
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18867

lbratche wrote:

> It continues to amaze me that hams want to hang on to the past!

I don't know why you don't, but as for myself, I like the present. If you don't know about the huge amount of Morse on HF ham radio you haven't been listening for it.

Look at QST

> or any ham radio magazine and look at the great number of "silent keys" each month. The fact is , like it or not, amateur radio is dying and if the something is not changed, you will not have anyone out there to talk to!

This is the most fraudulant claim put forth by the codebashers to date. The death rate for hams is no different than the rest of the general population.
The percentage of hams showing up in the silent keys columns in the mags today is no more and no less than ever.
Ham radio dying? Shows what you *don't* know. The rate of growth has far surpassed the growth of the general population.

> It's obvious that you are retired and not in the business world or you would know that "change" is rapid and constant.

You have demonmstrated that you can'd discern the past from the present.

> Enjoy your Extra Class licenses and the hobby and quit living in the past.

What you can't change is the fact that radiotelegraphy is the simplest, most easily implemented mode of radiocommunications and has excellent efficiency as compared to other commonly present modes. That is as true today as it was in 1920. Why did all new hams start out on CW instead of voice modes when they had to assemble their first stations instead of flashing the platic at HRO like today? Because it was the easiest, most simple and effective gear to get on the air! That has not changed, and will not for the forseeable future.

Dick

From -6369826694914441352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 08:47:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: 7 Apr 2001 15:47:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9ancqh$ers$0@208.206.142.107>
References: <3ACF3A6A.454337DC@IEEE.org> <20010407052155.06606.00000445@nso-cg.aol.com> <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.107
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18868

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > That being the case, what is the harm in requiring that
> > radio amateurs be required to demonstrate at least a fraction of the
> > dedication as professional drivers must when attaining their licensing
> > privileges?
>
> You are still confused, Larry. Examinations are not meant to test
> dedication. They are meant to to test qualifications. Licenses are
> not a certificate of dedication. They are a certificate of privileges
> granted after meeting the qualifications.

You are still confused, Cecil. When the qualification for Extra class
license is reduced to approximately that of the previous Novice class,
the entire system suffers irepairably.

From 2019782780382578318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 09:52:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 7 Apr 2001 15:47:29 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9ancqh017r1@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <#zAOeIltAHA.299@cpmsnbbsa09> <3acee883@news.sierratel.com>
<9ana3s$83l$0@208.206.142.107>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-008.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18869
```

In article <9ana3s$83l$0@208.206.142.107>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

```
>  By way, your tickets are a
>> privilege and not a right and thus can be revoked at any time!!!
>
>
>Stuff it, Pal, I don't need a pompous ass to tell he about my ticket.
>I've had it longer than you've been alive.
>
>
> Oh yeah,
>> one more thing -- my license is expiring this summer.  I'm a no-code tech
>> and would like to know how to renew my license////////
>
>
> So the advice stands for you too--go learn the Morse code then get back
>to us.
```

The original message, asking for license renewal information, was a troll. It was posted by someone who has been lurking in this newsgroup for quite some time, and who knows how certain individuals will respond to certain posts. Congratulations to all who took the bait by posting a negative response. You are now the laughing stock of the amatuer radio community. (As if you really care)

```
From 3870938713337500881
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 10:08:11 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 08:20:08 -0700
Organization: RST Engineering
Message-ID: <jhbuct0e78fplg7avskjhmnfps8lpvapu5@4ax.com>
Reply-To: jim@rst-engr.com
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 37
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18870
```

"Michael Adams" <michaeladams2000@no-spm.hotmail.com>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->Forget the "code" versus "no code" debate. Shouldn't it be take the same
->level of dedication to reach Extra Class as it was before the FCC license
->restructuring? Shouldn't we have a license system which pushes hams to
->achieve things they thought they couldn't do?

Yes, probably so. It is just that most of us believe that testing to Morse Code makes about as much sense as testing for circuit analysis of a type 45 triode tube. Other than nostalgia, neither one makes much sense. Nor do I believe that the new exam has anywhere near the technical complexity that it needs. Neither of us is well served by the current system.

->I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their
->achievement devalued. I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who will
->never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.

I'm sorry for you, but I'm even sorrier for the system. My personal opinion is that the current question pool was made from a group of technical incompetents whose level of expertise in RF stops just short of a trivial series-parallel equivalent LC circuit calculation. It takes roughly two hours to memorize the "by formula" answers in the pool.

Nor do I believe that "the pool" shouldn't be published. However, as long as I've been teaching this stuff at the college level, I've NEVER given a rote memorization exam. So far as I'm concerned, my midterms and my finals have real kick-ass questions, but you get a week to take them home/library to find the answers. I'm not real sure how we implement this sort of a technique to the amateur Extra exam, but I'm sure there is a better way than we are doing it right now.

Jim
->

Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.   WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

```
From -2785920182989398033
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 10:11:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 08:22:30 -0700
Organization: RST Engineering
Message-ID: <f2cuct8ibptdq4hg4um6o0mgtr7oieldc8@4ax.com>
Reply-To: jim@rst-engr.com
References: <3ACE8247.94D62090@bctv.com> <20010407052156.06606.00000446@nso-
cg.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 12
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18871
```

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->amount of dedicate effort required to master the Morse Code at 20 WPM
->is significantly less than that required to become a Nuclear Physicist.

I beg to differ. It took me six years to become a Nuclear Physicist, but 30+ years to go from Technician to Extra.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.   WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

```
From 3136314933222268898
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 10:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

```
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 17:35:39 GMT
References: <3ACF3878.8581ED3E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
Message-ID: <20010407133539.25479.00000627@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18872

In article <3ACF3878.8581ED3E@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3ACEA98E.68E05D7A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>> writes:
>>
>> >If the Tech license had existed in 1951 instead of
>> >the Novice license, I would not have been interested.
>>
>> The Technician and Novice license were both created in the 1951
>restructuring.
>> The 1951 Tech had the same requirements as the General except for a 5 wpm
>> instead of 13 wpm code test. 1951 Techs had all privileges on 220 and
>higher.
>
>I thought "presentday Tech license" was implied.
>--
Guess I missed that one, Cecil ;-)

Point is, though, that the original Tech was truly a "technical" license. Back
then, there was practically no ready-made ham gear for 220 and up. One had to
either convert surplus or homebrew from scratch just to get on the air.

73 de Jim, N2EY


From 2727806076028163432
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 11:26:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 182
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 18:24:01 GMT
References: <20010407085909.26667.00000715@nso-bh.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010407142401.09508.00000784@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18873

In article <20010407085909.26667.00000715@nso-bh.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>You are forgetting the FCC's temporary backlog and slow response
>>to upgrading and new licensees in the month following April 15, 2000.
>
>No, I'm not forgetting those delays and backlogs at all.
>
>>[that news was in all the ham periodicals and newsletters]
>
>Yes, I know. What happened was that the surge of applications in the last two
>weeks of April caused many of them to be delayed. But others went through
>quickly.

So...Miccolis has some kind of psychic power to see through
publicly-available databases to determine "quickness?" Goodie.

>By ignoring April and May of 2000, those applications that were not delayed,
>or which were delayed only slightly, are ignored.That is NOT "a truer
picture".

So...the subject was not POST-restructuring? OK...   :-)

It is called "'not' a truer picture" by others in an attempt to
dismiss an argument based on pique rather than on publicly-
available data.

>By ignoring April and May of 2000, those applications that were not delayed,
>or which were delayed only slightly, are ignored.That is NOT "a truer
picture".

Ah, but is data from PRE-restructuring somehow "valid" in
showing effects in a POST-restructuring period?

>I can cut and paste too, Len. I think you know I'm right on these points, but
>cannot admit it.

No, I know you are wrong on the POST-restructuring effects.

>>A truer picture of post-restructuring changes is to use FCC database
>>information beginning in June, 2000.
>
>No, it isn't.

Ah, so Miccolis has a priori knowledge unavailable to ordinary

mortals? The only valid license status is that after the FCC
has processed an application. If there is a backlog, those
applications are in a limbo and cannot be counted for a POST-
restructuring effect discussion.

>Restructuring started April 15, 2000.

Did all applications, new or "upgrade" or whatever, get
processed and recorded on that date? They were not.

>Saying it over and over doesn't make a falsehood any more true, Len.

Apply that to yourself. As far as Hans Brakob and myself were
debating-discussing-arguing a point, the point was POST-
restructuring. All that Miccolis is seeming to do is arguing a
different point and trying to show "errors" which aren't there.

>No, that's NOT what I wrote. And it's NOT correct. You are ignoring the
>effect of upgrades. Why?

I'm NOT ignoring "upgrades." They aren't included in what I am
saying because of a lack of definitive, quantitative data on the
number of "upgrades," who went from one class to another class.

If Miccolis wants to argue about the NUMBER of "upgrades," he
needs a quantitative value of that NUMBER that is available for
reference by anyone. Any other argument about "upgrade"
numbers is mere subjective opinion and not factual.

>Two weeks before resturcturing, so as to include all of the post
>restructuring period.

...so as to "include" FCC approval of PRE-restructuring
conditions...that's not a correct way of discussing POST-
restructuring effects.

>Nonsense. The delays did not affect ALL of the applications.

So, give us all a valid, quantitative number of those that were
approved immediately. The rest of us can only work from valid
publicly-available data and such basis is the FCC database
which the tabulators all use.

>Your explanation is faulty. There were thousands of post-April-15-2000
>applications processed by the FCC in late April and in May of 2000.

Nothing "faulty" about it. There is NO valid quantitative number

value on POST-restructuring APPROVED licenses until the FCC
has released the figures.

>>You are attempting discrediting by a false statement of omission.
>
>No. I am pointing out your errors, Len.

All that Miccolis has said is that "I made errors." Were those "errors" arithmetic? Were those "errors" from using the "wrong" data? The discussion on "Restructuring - did it make a difference" started out on the effects of US amateur radio license class privileges FOLLOWING the restructuring date.

>The only "bad form" is your denial of the obvious.

Which time frame is Miccolis using? Two of us in this thread were discussing the POST-restructuring effects. That period cannot be made valid by including data prior to the restructuring date.

>No, yiu haven't. But from the renewal rates, it's clear that the death of
>hams is the main cause of attrition.
>
>>Quit lying.
>>
>Did I write that you wished for hams to die? No. I asked a question. If the
>answer is "no", all you have to do is say so.

Upset? Miccolis made a loaded statement and it went off in his face. Wounds are not healed by trying to shift blame.

>>My Webster's says "attrition" is defined two ways: "A wearing
>>away by or as by friction" or "A normal loss of personnel as by
>>retirement."
>
>You're not Cecil. Don't try to play his game. He's good at it. You're not.

"Attrition" is clearly defined in dictionaries. Dictionaries are the accepted standards for word meanings...except possibly in this newsgroup when some cannot use valid numbers from publicly-available data, imply their own are more valid, then try to chastise others for "errors" in not accepting subjective, unreferencable data.

>>The code-tested classes are, as a total, reducing
>>in numbers...by dropping out one way or another.
>
>And the most common reason is....?

...whatever someone wants it to be, subjectively. There is NO reference point for the reasons behind dropping out. I gave NO opinion on reasons for dropping out.

Why do you continue to imply "wrongness" by manufacturing
conditions which did not exist?

>In other words, I've pointed out that Emperor Anderson has no logical
>clothes.

...only because Miccolis has tailored his response. [he made
the pants too long...with no buttons...]

I used publicly-available data over a time-period that is logical,
the FCC's processing time included, on post-restructuring. My
only subjective opinion statement was on the TREND of future
US amateur radio licensing and license classes.

>Try reading and understanding Part 97 and the explanations on the Speroni
>site.
>Your denial of the obvious is boring, Len/

The reading and understanding has been done here some while
ago. Miccolis should try to employ some logic to his own
statements rather than a lot of subjective (and imperious) remarks.

The only BORING part of this is attempting to establish conditions
and time-frames which have been WRONGLY applied by another.

From -608820890878660151
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 11:48:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 01:45:31 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986668738
64.240.47.63 (7 Apr 2001 12:38:58 -0600)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!
out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18874

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I don't know why you don't, but as for myself, I like the present.

Bull$hit Dick! The *present* requirement for an Extra class license
is 5wpm. You certainly do NOT like that. You bitch, moan, and whine
about that almost full time.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -6042613607161211271
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 11:52:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AD009F1.F14A6C59@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 01:49:21 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<jhbuct0e78fplg7avskjhmnfps8lpvapu5@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986668967
64.240.47.63 (7 Apr 2001 12:42:47 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18875

Jim Weir wrote:
> So far as I'm concerned, my midterms and my finals have real
> kick-ass questions, but you get a week to take them home/library to find the
> answers. I'm not real sure how we implement this sort of a technique to the
> amateur Extra exam, but I'm sure there is a better way than we are doing it
> right now.

Jim, you sound like one of my EE profs. He said that knowing exactly where to
find something is just as good as knowiing it. I keep my library on the
bookshelves so I don't have to remember everything. As I get older, I forget
a lot of stuff but I never have forgotten where than bookshelf is. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5450359441294663638
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 11:58:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AD00BC2.8F0F0A72@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 01:57:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <3ACF3A6A.454337DC@IEEE.org> <20010407052155.06606.00000445@nso-cg.aol.com> <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org> <9ancqh$ers$0@208.206.142.107>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986669432
64.240.47.63 (7 Apr 2001 12:50:32 -0600)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

```
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18876
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > You are still confused, Larry. Examinations are not meant to test dedication. They are meant to to test qualifications. Licenses are not a certificate of dedication. They are a certificate of privileges granted after meeting the qualifications.
>
> You are still confused, Cecil. When the qualification for Extra class license is reduced to approximately that of the previous Novice class, the entire system suffers irepairably.

You are confused, Dick. The qualifications for the Extra class license have NOT been reduced to approximately that of the previous Novice class. It only seems that way to you because you are more knowledgable now than you were when you took your Novice exam. Please get a grip on reality and realize that, no matter what a dumb-$hit you seem to be now, you were a lot more of a dumb-$hit in the past. And please realize that, in the 21st century, Morse code skill has very little to do with qualifications for an amateur radio license of any kind. You said in another posting that you like the present. If so, why don't your quit bitching, moaning, and whinning about the present?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From -7912977101052965399
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,680aedca92ffee1d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 12:18:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!webtv.net!not-for-mail
From: twistedhed@webtv.net (twistedhed)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: licensed ham operator kc8ldo, Leland C. Scott Exposed
Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 14:57:27 -0400 (EDT)
Organization: WebTV Subscriber
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <7978-3ACF6317-36@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: localhost.webtv.net
Mime-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
X-WebTV-Signature: 1
     ETAsAhQMPwEDht5xcfQkCWtXC1ZZ4fBniwIUBboEVko5PGd4nWKUqlsxX4OQibg=
Content-Disposition: Inline
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18877

Leland C. Scott and another amateur, doug adair, have conspired among each other and have been attempting to start all kinds of trouble in another group for quite some time now. A visit to the cb room will reveal a bit of these folks true nature. Do a back search and see how doug came into the group and how he digressed from there. Leland has just recently stepped up his forging of names of regulars in the other group, targeting me because he does not agree with my political views and, well, to be perfectly honest, he is a bit troubled. Leland has posted under the names "Punisha-of-keyclowns", "keyclown killa", many,, many anonymous names and cotse names, as well as a host of others. He has consistently trolled and posted filthy pornographic items to the cb room and has been forging many regs on there for quite some time, shamelessly letting another licensed fellow amateur take blame. I am a cber, but I have many ham friends. I do not use foul language, I do not use excessive power, and I do not trespass into ham bands. I feel the amateur community needs to be made aware when one of their own can not hold their contempt without stooping to such tactics. Beware this Leland, fellow. It is he that blackens the eye of good will between ops and his type that wish to foster animosity between cbers and ham ops. I invite any of you to peruse the cb room threads, see how Leland was caught red-handed, and make up your own mind. If a post contains obscenities, it was more than likely forged by Leland. This is the only post I shall make. Any others are forgeries. Have a good day.

From -3516155185315900565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 12:30:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 70
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 19:27:48 GMT
References: <9al91i$qo1$1@news.dp.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Message-ID: <20010407152748.01591.00002543@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18878

Nicely said, Lou. 73.

Mark

>Can they (ARRL) be sued? I'm not a lawyer. But an Attorney friend once told
>me in general conversation - ANYONE can be sued... "Winning" the case is
>another matter. Does the party launching the suit have legitimate grounds to
>even attract an Attorneys interest? Yes they get paid to do it, but I doubt
>any (intelligent) lawyer would insult his/her intelligence and reputation
>with a frivolous lawsuit. The case has to have merits. Otherwise,
> you are merely wasting your time and money. Can you prove the ARRL hasn't
>"tried" to do as they have said?
>
>I'm not a member of the ARRL. I was back when I first was licensed (80s). I
>don't agree with all their policies either. Sue them? No..... Nothing worth
>suing for. It is just a dis -agreement of policies. I'm not saying they are
>totally wrong either. But I will say this, if it weren't for the ARRL, I
>think Big Business would have sucked up a good portion of, if not all of the
>Ham Bands - moons ago.
>
>There was a comment made of whether the staff or board was doing volunteer
>work. To some extent, that is true, not sure to what extent. So to the
>extent that they are doing it free of their own time, energies and maybe
>dollars, you have to applaud them for that.
>
>I too am a volunteer in other services. Being a volunteer is often a
>thankless job, but if someone didn't step up to the plate to do it, who
>would? Certainly not the critics. It is easy to whine and moan and groan. If
>you can't appreciate the efforts, step up and offer to help or stay out of
>the way altogether instead of making things worse. As the saying goes...
>"Lead-follow-or get out of the way".
>
>The FCC is a governmental agency.... Overseen by the powers that be. Arm
>twisting can be done. It happened with the Code with the introduction of the
>Waiver/simplified testing. If I'm not wrong, King Hussein of Jordan (now
>deceased/Amateur Radio Operator) had a friend in the US that couldn't muster
>the grade for whatever reason, so he asked the President to help out. And,
>whalah! Code waivers......changes in the CW testing for those who couldn't
>copy it like thousands before them. My point is, they can also
>be"convinced" to cut the bands to sell off. Let's face it folks, the
>majority of the equipment manufacturers who make our beloved ham radios are
>also 2 way radio manufacturers. So, they won't lose out. They can merely
>stop production. They could do like Uniden did to the Bearcat parts
>inventory when they bought them out. DESTROYED ALL. No repairs to be made.
>Will it happen? I don't know, but it is feasible.

>
>So, maybe we don't agree with the ARRL completely. Let them go down the
>tubes and see where we stand 15 years from now. If I"m not wrong again, they
>fought for scanner, tower and other rights, when the states started cracking
>down. How many of you whiners are ready to take on the fight - ALONE? I
>certainly can't afford a lawsuit for such a cause.
>My cash is tied in to buying food and clothes and keeping a roof over my
>head. As much as I enjoy radio, it is still a HOBBY. My family needs come
>first and foremost. I may not support the ARRL presently in dues, but I DO
>applaud the things they've done right. Someday soon, I will rejoin to help
>ward off the wolves.
>
>Technology is changing dramatically. WE (Ham Operators) and yes, maybe some
>CBers as well, are a part of that process through developing modes of
>transmission such as packet and so on. IF no one is there to stand up for
>the rights of radio operators Ham and CB alike, it is highly possible, we
>all will be taken off the air in the near future.
>
>Enjoy your Ham/CB hobby today, for tomorrow, it could be GONE!
>
>Just an opinion...my 2 cents.
>
>Lou/Tech@DP.NET

From 6658087698830766864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 12:30:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!zeus.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news-out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Lines: 22
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Message-ID: <HUJz6.1171$vw5.249628@news.uswest.net>
Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 19:30:45 +0100
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.10.231
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 986671783 216.160.10.231 (Sat, 07 Apr 2001 14:29:43 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 14:29:43 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18879

Michael Adams wrote in message ...

>I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their

>achievement devalued.

Don't feel sorry for me. I have had an extra class license for almost 40
years,
and my license is not devalued a bit. In fact, it gets more valuable every
time
a new licensee comes on the air.

73, de Hans, K0HB

```
From -3529311396750618544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4a8710df0e8abef2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 13:18:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 20:13:22 GMT
References: <3AC56AAE.3CD1ADAC@gtel.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: BOYCOTT KENWOOD! Confirmed Anti-coders!
Message-ID: <20010407161322.01606.00002247@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18880
```

Well well,

"NO CW TEST means CB."

"And only CBers would want to eliminate the only thing keeping ham radio from becoming CB. It is high time for firm action to save ham radio from the CBers and the lobbying profiteers. (The FCC mentions "Kenwood" in a policy-making action eleven times!!)"

Encouraging a boycott of a major manufacturer based on their political (real or perceived) stance. Interesting idea. 73.

Mark

From -9101313060041850200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 14:10:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 65
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Apr 2001 21:05:32 GMT
References: <20010407142401.09508.00000784@nso-mn.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010407170532.22557.00000339@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18881

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/7/01 1:24 PM Central Daylight Time

>>Yes, I know. What happened was that the surge of applications in the >>last two weeks of April caused many of them to be delayed. But >>others went through quickly.

>So...Miccolis has some kind of psychic power to see through
>publicly-available databases to determine "quickness?" Goodie.

I guess "facts" are something that you ahve a hard time with, Lennie? Some DID go quickly...some DID get delayed? YOU dispute this fact?

>>I can cut and paste too, Len. I think you know I'm right on these points, but cannot admit it.

>No, I know you are wrong on the POST-restructuring effects.

Lennie cannot agree on anyone's facts, right or wrong, as long as it counters HIS assertions.

>>Restructuring started April 15, 2000.

>Did all applications, new or "upgrade" or whatever, get processed and
>recorded on that date? They were not.

As ususal, Lennie steps in his own rhetoric within the same post...Here now we see him stepping in his "psychic powers" rib from the frst paragraph as he obviously employs his own powers to tell us what applications got processed on what date.

>>Saying it over and over doesn't make a falsehood any more true, Len.

>Apply that to yourself.

Nope...you first Lennie. You need it more than anyone else here.

As ususal...mostly kindergarten tit-for-tat "not meeeeee" stuff. The very obvious "return to childhood" of advancing age.

>Upset? Miccolis made a loaded statement and it went off in his
>face. Wounds are not healed by trying to shift blame.

A curious statement, in as much as this entire post was an attempt to band-aid his "wounds" from Jim's obvious "direct hit" on Lennie's poor math and statistical analysis.

>The only BORING part of this is attempting to establish conditions
>and time-frames which have been WRONGLY applied by another.

The BORING part is watching you, Lennie the Loser, once again try to worm your way out of a situation that you got yourself into.

>The only BORING part of this is attempting to establish conditions
>and time-frames which have been WRONGLY applied by another.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 7999687125879000097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 15:14:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net> <WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Lines: 32
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 22:14:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.5
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986681651 206.58.44.5 (Sat, 07 Apr 2001 22:14:11
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 22:14:11 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14722
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18882

On 7 Apr 2001 02:23:16 GMT, Bill Nelson wrote:

> "Dan Yemiola" <AI8O@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net...
>: The trouble is that the way Powell and Company are talking this could be
>: a TRUE story in a few years. How much would the Amalgamated
>: Consolidated-United Mega-Corp. pay at auction for an exclusive
>: assignment at 40m? or 10m, or maybe for ALL of HF?
>
>Highly improbable. The HF spectrum is of little use to commercial
>interests. The problem is the inconsistant propogation.

  As we speak, "commercial interests" are running operational
  tests on spread-spectrum data transmission on HF.  We're
  starting to see the deleterious effects of concentrated
  "sharing" SS and conventional modes in the same spectral bands
  at UHF and SHF.

  HF propagation measurements and forecasts are routine now,
  courtesy of equipment, techniques, and studies funded by
  Uncle Sam's military purse and easily for sale to the said
  "commercial interests".

>I wouldn't worry about anything under 2 meters.

  Famous Last Words.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP





From -3972659387632068086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 15:41:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
In-Reply-To: <20010407142401.09508.00000784@nso-mn.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104072232430.22269-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <20010407085909.26667.00000715@nso-bh.aol.com> <20010407142401.09508.00000784@nso-mn.aol.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 26
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 22:37:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.34
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986683065 12.80.44.34 (Sat, 07 Apr 2001 22:37:45 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 22:37:45 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18883

[I'm only responding to parts....]

On 7 Apr 2001, Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>A truer picture of post-restructuring changes is to use FCC database
> >>information beginning in June, 2000.
> >
> >No, it isn't.

I agree that it isn't. The FIRST "restructuring upgrades" appeared with processing dates of April 19, 2000. The only true baseline that can be used is the FCC database as it existed on April 18, 2000; the day before the first restructuring changes affected it.

> >No, that's NOT what I wrote. And it's NOT correct. You are ignoring the
> >effect of upgrades. Why?
>
> I'm NOT ignoring "upgrades." They aren't included in what I am
> saying because of a lack of definitive, quantitative data on the
> number of "upgrades," who went from one class to another class.

Wrong. The FCC database has a field of "previous license class" for each amateur record, so it is exactly possible to determine who when from what class to what class. If the web site you're using didn't use that information, that's YOUR problem, but don't say that the information isn't available.

From 2127368517309717361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 15:56:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 15:51:50 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <9ao5q5$o0p$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <3ACF3A6A.454337DC@IEEE.org> <20010407052155.06606.00000445@nso-cg.aol.com> <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-30-134.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.30.134 (w5gyj)
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18884

>> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> That being the case, what is the harm in requiring that
>> radio amateurs be required to demonstrate at least a fraction of the
>> dedication as professional drivers must when attaining their licensing
>> privileges?

> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> responded:
> You are still confused, Larry. Examinations are not meant to test
> dedication. They are meant to to test qualifications. Licenses are
> not a certificate of dedication. They are a certificate of privileges
> granted after meeting the qualifications. Any citizen who meets the
> requirements, whatever they happen to be at the time, is qualified
> by definition. One person may already be qualified. Another person
> may have to study/practice for a year. They both get the same license.
> Dedication is irrelevant. Effort is irrelevant.

Excellent point, Cecil. I would just add the suggestion to remember that
the REAL purpose of a government-issued license, like the real purpose of
government itself, is to protect the rights of the people. In these cases
of vehicle and amateur radio licensure, those rights are protected from
infringement due to incompetent operation of whatever technological system
that impinges on the peoples' lives. Once that protection has been afforded,
the rationale for additional licensing qualifications disappears.

> In the past, it was very difficult to qualify for a concealed weapons permit
> in Texas. Then Bush signed the concealed carry law and now it is relatively

> easy for a citizen of Texas to qualify for concealed carry. If you were
> one of the earlier concealed weapons carriers, would you feel disappointed
> that there are now lots more concealed weapons carriers? Qualifications
> simply change with time in every field (including amateur radio).

An excellent example of licensure (the old carry laws) being used to as a social-engineering platform rather than to protect the public from firearm misuse. Incentive licensing was another such social-engineering debacle that was supposed to encourage (ie: force) hams to become more competent by restricting their access to certain frequencies if they did not comply. Acquiring higher-class licenses became an end in itself and amateur radio was saddled with an entrenched credentialism that would have been the pride of any public-school teachers union.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From 8960736969020866307
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 16:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Michael Adams" <michaeladams2000@no-spm.hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <BYMz6.12251$VF3.1133870@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 22:58:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.184.159.141
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986684321 216.184.159.141 (Sat, 07 Apr 2001 15:58:41 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 15:58:41 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18885

"lbratche" <lbratche@swbell.net> wrote in message news:QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net...

> It's obvious that you are retired and not in the business world or you
would
> know that "change" is rapid and constant. Why? Survival? The same holds
> true with Amateur Radio. Enjoy your Extra Class licenses and the hobby
and
> quit living in the past.
>
> Larry

Larry,

> It's obvious that you are retired and not in the business world or you
would

Let me get my flame suit on first. It's that obvious?

I hate to denigrate your perception, but I have a lot of years to go until retirement, and work in one of the fastest "changing" industries.

I don't think people should just "accept" change for the sake of change. If it is in the wrong direction, than "changes" should be made to make it go in the right direction.

Regards,

Michael Adams

From -4758199317467385311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 16:24:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ACF3878.8581ED3E@IEEE.org> <20010407133539.25479.00000627@nso-fz.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <YhNz6.12454$IJ1.1053189@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 23:21:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.172.153
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net

X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986685688 12.88.172.153 (Sat, 07 Apr 2001 23:21:28 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 23:21:28 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18886

Jim, an interesting point. Back around 1964 I visited a ham for whom another ham babysat his kids. He had a homebrew 440 transceiver. 1 tube. Superregenerative receiver. I was amazed (back then) by the tiny antenna. All of several inches at most. If he found someone else on, he could communicate for maybe a mile. That was when UHF truely was 'ultra'.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

From 6202836976277457260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 19:34:03 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 02:32:07 GMT
Message-ID: <3acfc346.75992239@news2.dvol.com>
References: <986564186.3acdc65addeb3@webmail.cotse.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.67
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.67
X-Trace: 7 Apr 2001 21:29:15 -0500, 64.24.18.67
Lines: 33
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!atl1-feed1.news.digex.net!dca6-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18887

On Fri, 06 Apr 2001 09:36:26 -0400, Jason Hsu <jhsu-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote:

>I have heard many people lament that new Novice licenses are no longer issued
>and that they provided a good entry point into ham radio.
>

In it's day it certainly was. I had one in it's original form. One year drop-dead non-renewable license, the second letter in the callsigns were "n", Novices had small up-the-band slices of 80,40 and 15m cw plus 2m phone. Transmitters had to be xtal controlled and not run more than 50 watts input.

>
It was the advance of technology which eroded the usefulness of the
license. Back then there was very little VHF activity. Getting on 2m
was tricky and the equpment was alsmost all homebrewed. Of all the
dozens of Novices I knew none of 'em botherd with the 2m privelege.
There just wasn't anybody to yak with, range was very limited, etc.
>
Conversly the 80 & 40m bands virtually crawled with Novices which took
advantage of the piles of cheap WWII military surplus radios and
parts. Getting a 50w Novice station on the air for $50 and often less
was SOP. I managed a Novice WAS on 80 in a few months.
>
Then in the 60s along came cheap & plentiful tube-type commercial
surplus VHF FM radios and the stampede from the HF Novice bands to VHF
FM with Tech tickets was on. Today you can tune the 80m Novice band
for hours at night and never hear one. Interest in Novice band
operations has simply petered out despite the significant
liberalization of the Novice rules over the years.
>-
>Jason Hsu, AG4DG
>
w3rv

From -7892176071782014807
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 19:42:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!
feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: "Chuck O'Neal" <coneal@ultranet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 22:38:56 -0400
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9aoj0e$jqc$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVZAG9iY9h6WDxyJ4XurYNDkynfBcaNLGctkr0BNUiuazeRxYnhFtTsZ
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Apr 2001 02:39:10 GMT
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.5
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18888

So....what you learned has no value to you? I think you should be
proud that you passed the older test and will know more than current
Extra's need to pass. I feel NO "devaluation" in my Extra, in fact I think
it has increased in value since, like you, more went into it and you can't
get
these old Extra's anymore!!!
Chuck...K1KW

```
From 771758805517742188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 19:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Apr 2001 02:39:50 GMT
References: <9ao5q5$o0p$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Message-ID: <20010407223950.08909.00000836@nso-cr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18889

In article <9ao5q5$o0p$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>, "Jim Bromley, K7JEB"
<w5gyj@primenet.com> writes:

>...  Incentive licensing was another such social-engineering
>debacle that was supposed to encourage (ie: force) hams to become more
>competent by restricting their access to certain frequencies if they did not
>comply.  Acquiring higher-class licenses became an end in itself and
>amateur radio was saddled with an entrenched credentialism that would
>have been the pride of any public-school teachers union.

Concise and apt.  You might be surprised to know that such
"credentialism" has turned off more than one prospective
radio amateur.  :-)


From -6297530876473970201
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 19:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Apr 2001 02:39:48 GMT
```

References: <YhNz6.12454$IJ1.1053189@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
Message-ID: <20010407223948.08909.00000835@nso-cr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18890

In article <YhNz6.12454$IJ1.1053189@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Jim, an interesting point. Back around 1964 I visited a ham for whom
>another ham babysat his kids. He had a homebrew 440 transceiver. 1 tube.
>Superregenerative receiver. I was amazed (back then) by the tiny antenna.
>All of several inches at most. If he found someone else on, he could
>communicate for maybe a mile. That was when UHF truely was 'ultra'.

"Ultra?" A Class A Citizens Band transceiver (near the ham band) was available for purchase in 1958 (Class A and B, UHF CB was available before Class C and D on HF). Superregen receiver that was switched to be a modulated oscillator for transmit. Good for at least half of an LOS path length.

General Electric Company had microwave radio relay equipment on 1800 MHz in 1950, using 2C39 inverted lighthouse tubes for the LO and PA finals. Twenty-four voice channels. US military bought some, later designating them AN/FRC-23 in 1955.

International civil aviation adopted 118 to 136 MHz in 1956, and the US military went to 225 to 400 MHz for aviation comm in 1955.

All kinds of examples available for the pre-1964 period in radio other than amateur radio...

From -2137630661522398066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 19:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 61
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Apr 2001 02:39:51 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Message-ID: <20010407223951.08909.00000837@nso-cr.aol.com>

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18891

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>On 7 Apr 2001 02:23:16 GMT, Bill Nelson wrote:
>
>> "Dan Yemiola" <AI8O@qsl.net> wrote in message
>> news:3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net...
>>: The trouble is that the way Powell and Company are talking this could be
>>: a TRUE story in a few years. How much would the Amalgamated
>>: Consolidated-United Mega-Corp. pay at auction for an exclusive
>>: assignment at 40m? or 10m, or maybe for ALL of HF?
>>
>>Highly improbable. The HF spectrum is of little use to commercial
>>interests. The problem is the inconsistant propogation.
>
> As we speak, "commercial interests" are running operational
> tests on spread-spectrum data transmission on HF.

It's already been done by government radio services. Although
that is "preliminary," the major interest by both commercial
and military HF radio users is in ALE (Automatic Link Analysis)
techniques and protocol. ALE can be an intermediate form on
HF for many years in the future. [at least two licensed amateurs
are presently experimenting with ALE techniques on HF]

> We're starting to see the deleterious effects of concentrated
> "sharing" SS and conventional modes in the same spectral bands
> at UHF and SHF.

That's in shared bands at UHF and higher. Wait until UWB
starts to enter the lower microwave spectrum.

> HF propagation measurements and forecasts are routine now,
> courtesy of equipment, techniques, and studies funded by
> Uncle Sam's military purse and easily for sale to the said
> "commercial interests".

I recall ionosondes for HF first appearing just before 1950,
certainly available by 1952 for government-military use. The
measurement and observation of the ionospher for HF
communications has been going on for over half the existance
of all radio. The techniques (radio metrology) are well-known
and don't have to be any marketable item. Regular measurement
of the ionosphere is a service that can be provided by any
commercial interest from the 1960s onward.

On that, whatever happened to the worldwide HF beacon
system timed by the GPSS and written up in QST a few
years ago?

>>I wouldn't worry about anything under 2 meters.

>
> Famous Last Words.

Fact: Use of HF as a regular communications medium for
government and commercial users is declining but the US
military is, and has been using for ten years, the 30 to 88
MHz region for tactical NVIS communications, including
FHSS mode. Military aviation worldwide is going to hold
onto 225 to 400 MHz for air-to-air and air-to-ground-to-air
communications for a long while.

From -952689411317415677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 19:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 75
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Apr 2001 02:39:52 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104072232430.22269-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010407223952.08909.00000838@nso-cr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18892

In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104072232430.22269-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>,
"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

>[I'm only responding to parts....]

Fine by me.

>On 7 Apr 2001, Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >>A truer picture of post-restructuring changes is to use FCC database
>> >>information beginning in June, 2000.
>> >
>> >No, it isn't.
>
>I agree that it isn't. The FIRST "restructuring upgrades" appeared with
>processing dates of April 19, 2000. The only true baseline that can be used
>is the FCC database as it existed on April 18, 2000; the day before the first
>restructuring changes affected it.

I can live with that, no problem. The most common source of already-
sorted, counted, and tabulated data is the AH0A website maintained
by Joe Speroni. Speroni does end-of-month tabulations although has,

in the past, done some "intermediate" totals such as March 25, a Sunday.

A problem with using an end-of-April tabulation on the Speroni site is that it includes license data existing prior to restructuring. That would make it a false month for discussing post-restructuring effects. The only dispute now would involve the month of May. May could be used as "buffer" time to let the backlog of license changes immediately following April 15 settle down. Using end-of-June 2000 to end-of-March 2001 is a ten-month period and should be stable enough to examine effects.

>> I'm NOT ignoring "upgrades." They aren't included in what I am
>> saying because of a lack of definitive, quantitative data on the
>> number of "upgrades," who went from one class to another class.
>
>Wrong. The FCC database has a field of "previous license class" for each
>amateur record, so it is exactly possible to determine who when from what
>class to what class. If the web site you're using didn't use that
information,
>that's YOUR problem, but don't say that the information isn't available.

Available to the public, yes...available as tabulations on the AH0A site, not yet. Correction noted. Thank you.

It's a problem for anyone with "mere" 56K V90 modem connections to an ISP in that the two FCC amateur radio databases are 52 MB and 20 MB in size, license and application, respectively. A 52 MB file needs a bit over two hours to download, the smaller file needing about an hour additional. Then there is the problem of setting up a search-and-tabulate program, in itself a straightforward task following the revised data fields under the newer ULS formatting. It is much easier to use tabulated data already processed...since much other time will be spent in arguing against those who say that observation of AH0A site data is "wrong" when it is not wrong. :-)

The FCC does provide for Technician Plus previous-license indicator as the "P" in that data field. That statement comes from the FCC's Memorandum Opinion and Order 01-108, 6 April 2001, page 5 (.PDF file available on ARRL website). Incidentally, that same MO&O states (page 18, item 48) that all further 98-143 commentary and reconsiderations are "Hereby Terminated." :-)

As to effects of Restructuring, there is little evidence that HF-privileged US radio amateurs are expanding into HF, either by license class and special conditions thereof. The trend remains as it was before restructuring: Technician class seeing the 9 out of 10 new licensees and the early Technician class licensees renewing, all other classes as a group decreasing in number. One out of three US amateur radio licensees are now Technician.

If the trend continues it won't be too long before one out of two US amateur radio licensees are Techs. So much for morse code interest... :-)

From 7224832281942173032
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 19:48:02 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 02:44:18 GMT
Message-ID: <3acfcfe4.79222705@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ACEA98E.68E05D7A@IEEE.org> <20010406213520.19540.00000689@nso-fr.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.67
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.67
X-Trace: 7 Apr 2001 21:41:25 -0500, 64.24.18.67
Lines: 13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18893

On 07 Apr 2001 01:35:20 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>The Technician and Novice license were both created in the 1951 restructuring.
>The 1951 Tech had the same requirements as the General except for a 5 wpm
>instead of 13 wpm code test. 1951 Techs had all privileges on 220 and higher.
>
?? When did Techs get 6 & 2m?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv

From 6011639774165408514
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 19:56:02 PST
From: "Train" <cbmods@optonline.net>
Sender: "Train" <cbmods@optonline.net>
Reply-To: "Train" <cbmods@optonline.net>
Subject: Freeband Export Radios
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 64.12.102.47 64.12.102.47

NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 8 Apr 2001 03:25:15 GMT
X-Trace: 8 Apr 2001 03:25:15 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 32
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feed.cgocable.net!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!
news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18894

I don't usually post to ham groups but there has been an awful lot of criticism of freebanding and freebanders in general. I have been in the CB tech repair business for years and have seen nothing but growth and expansion among freeband people. There is no sign this will soon abate, so it is high time SOME in the ham radio service face the facts.

Fact: hams should not be concerned at all about this issue. What goes on within 27-28 Mhz is none of their business.

Fact: most of the squawking is done by rule-obessed no-lifes who can't stand to see other people enjoying themselves.

Fact: high-quality SSB export radios like the Emperor, Ranger, Galaxy, and others that go from 25 Mhz to 32 MHz are being marketed by big companies because the FCC has admitted it can't be bothered to enforce trivial rules. If it is OK by the FCC then it is no one's business to squawk, ESPECIALLY hams who's frequencies are not part of the equation.

Fact: the same goes for RF power amps. Freebanders can responsibly run power and are capable of using it wisely.

Fact: many families out food on the table from businesses that operate to serve freebanders. There are much worse crimes to go after than those who ignore trivial and outmoded FCC rules.

All the bitching an moaning needs to stop and some mutual RESPECT shown between hams and freebanders.

Train

From -5630366892395838923
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 21:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!newscon02.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!cyclone.swbell.net!
nnrp2.sbc.net.POSTED!not-for-mail

From: "otis brasfield" <n5lev@swbell.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <0sRz6.127$Db4.147288@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 22:55:25 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.218.243.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@swbell.net
X-Trace: nnrp2.sbc.net 986702716 64.218.243.20 (Sat, 07 Apr 2001 23:05:16 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 23:05:16 CDT
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18895

Sir,
I agree that your rise to extra was truly do to hard work and desir. I think your story its most of the folks that have gotten their extra class. But i think you have missed the point entirely. Our hobby is not one that is shared my many individuals. Times have changed and we hams must change or we will be lost in the shuffle. You, like most hams think we have been given these bands we have by God.
They are not, they were given to us by the grace of government, and world agreement. Our bands are some of the most choice ones around. Don't think for one minute that if the big boys in the communication industry wanted the whole she-bang belive me they would get it. Where would you be - out in the cold like the rest of us. So instead of be-moaning the fact that code is not needed,but enjoy the skill that you have. If you and others like you don't wake up and smell the roses. You may find that instead enjoying this hobby you will have alot of expensive junk. By the way do you ride a horse to work. You know what happened to fellows that laughed at Henry Ford? Well neither do I. Nobody remembers the nay sayers. You could encourge new hams to join our ranks then display your code skills let that
be testament. You know the old saying you draw more flies with honey than vineger.
73's and gud sending
N5LEV
Otis Brasfield
"Michael Adams" <michaeladams2000@no-spm.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I spent one to two hours per night, plus several hours per day on the
> weekends, studying code and radio theory for four months to get from
> Technician Plus to Extra Class. Unlike many people, Morse Code did not
> come easily to me. In addition to all the studying, I took an eight week
> code class. It was taught by a visually impaired ham, who was a truly
> gifted instructor. I put a lot of work into getting my Extra Class
license,
> and when I finally passed the 20 word per minute code test, and three
> separate tests for General, Advanced (50 questions), and Extra (another 25
> questions), I was proud of my achievement.

```
>
> Now the FCC has taken my Amateur Extra license and made it an almost
> worthless piece of paper.  It now only takes one 50 question test to get
> from General to Extra Class, and there is no code test whatsoever.
>
> Forget the "code" versus "no code" debate.  Shouldn't it be take the same
> level of dedication to reach Extra Class as it was before the FCC license
> restructuring?  Shouldn't we have a license system which pushes hams to
> achieve things they thought they couldn't do?
>
> I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their
> achievement devalued.  I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who will
> never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.
>
> 73,
>
> Michael Adams
> AC6ZK
>
>
>
```

```
From 5968042076132916174
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 21:18:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 23:17:13 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <3ACFE649.42926D54@ix.netcom.com>
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a5.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Apr 2001 04:17:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18896
```

This is an s+20 over on my trollmeter......
But I'll risk some response anyway.

Train wrote:

> I don't usually post to ham groups but there has been an awful lot of criticism
> of freebanding and freebanders in general. I have been in the CB tech repair
> business for years and have seen nothing but growth and expansion among freeband
> people. There is no sign this will soon abate, so it is high time SOME in
> the ham radio service face the facts.
>
> Fact: hams should not be concerned at all about this issue. What goes on
> within 27-28 Mhz is none of their business.

Okay, but the people who are allocated this spectrum might not be
happy about this.

>
>
> Fact: most of the squawking is done by rule-obessed no-lifes who can't stand
> to see other people enjoying themselves.

The rules aren't just some arbitrary kids' game, they exist so everyone can
benefit from the use of radio spectra.

>
>
> Fact: high-quality SSB export radios like the Emperor, Ranger, Galaxy, and
> others that go from 25 Mhz to 32 MHz are being marketed by big companies
> because the FCC has admitted it can't be bothered to enforce trivial rules.
> If it is OK by the FCC then it is no one's business to squawk, ESPECIALLY
> hams who's frequencies are not part of the equation.

See above remark about the people who are allocated this spectrum.

>
>
> Fact: the same goes for RF power amps. Freebanders can responsibly run power
> and are capable of using it wisely.

So they should have no trouble passing the written ham exams then.

>
>
> Fact: many families out food on the table from businesses that operate to
> serve freebanders. There are much worse crimes to go after than those who
> ignore trivial and outmoded FCC rules.
>
> All the bitching an moaning needs to stop and some mutual RESPECT shown between
> hams and freebanders.
>
> Train

From 648246052030835520

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 21:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!atl1-feed1.news.digex.net!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 47
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <ISRz6.990$tx5.40007@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 04:33:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 986704424 209.204.160.101 (Sat, 07 Apr 2001 21:33:44 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 07 Apr 2001 21:33:44 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18897

What a moron. A troll AND a moron. When this bozo get done fixing illegal CB crap, he probably hangs out near elementary schools with bags of candy.

"Train" <cbmods@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net...

> I don't usually post to ham groups but there has been an awful lot of criticism
> of freebanding and freebanders in general. I have been in the CB tech repair
> business for years and have seen nothing but growth and expansion among freeband
> people. There is no sign this will soon abate, so it is high time SOME in
> the ham radio service face the facts.
>
> Fact: hams should not be concerned at all about this issue. What goes on
> within 27-28 Mhz is none of their business.
>
> Fact: most of the squawking is done by rule-obessed no-lifes who can't stand
> to see other people enjoying themselves.
>
> Fact: high-quality SSB export radios like the Emperor, Ranger, Galaxy, and
> others that go from 25 Mhz to 32 MHz are being marketed by big companies
> because the FCC has admitted it can't be bothered to enforce trivial rules.
> If it is OK by the FCC then it is no one's business to squawk, ESPECIALLY

```
> hams who's frequencies are not part of the equation.
>
> Fact: the same goes for RF power amps. Freebanders can responsibly run
power
> and are capable of using it wisely.
>
> Fact: many families out food on the table from businesses that operate to
> serve freebanders.  There are much worse crimes to go after than those who
> ignore trivial and outmoded FCC rules.
>
> All the bitching an moaning needs to stop and some mutual RESPECT shown
between
> hams and freebanders.
>
> Train
>
>




From -130126254981466821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 21:36:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 106
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <CRRz6.1273$kp6.561839@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 23:31:18 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.235
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 986704354 208.154.158.235 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 00:32:34
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 00:32:34 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18898


"Train" <cbmods@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net...
>
> I don't usually post to ham groups but there has been an awful lot of
criticism
> of freebanding and freebanders in general. I have been in the CB tech
```

repair
> business for years and have seen nothing but growth and expansion among freeband
> people. There is no sign this will soon abate, so it is high time SOME in
> the ham radio service face the facts.
>
> Fact: hams should not be concerned at all about this issue. What goes on
> within 27-28 Mhz is none of their business.
>
> Fact: most of the squawking is done by rule-obessed no-lifes who can't stand
> to see other people enjoying themselves.
>
> Fact: high-quality SSB export radios like the Emperor, Ranger, Galaxy, and
> others that go from 25 Mhz to 32 MHz are being marketed by big companies
> because the FCC has admitted it can't be bothered to enforce trivial rules.
> If it is OK by the FCC then it is no one's business to squawk, ESPECIALLY
> hams who's frequencies are not part of the equation.
>
> Fact: the same goes for RF power amps. Freebanders can responsibly run power
> and are capable of using it wisely.
>
> Fact: many families out food on the table from businesses that operate to
> serve freebanders. There are much worse crimes to go after than those who
> ignore trivial and outmoded FCC rules.
>
> All the bitching an moaning needs to stop and some mutual RESPECT shown between
> hams and freebanders.
>
> Train

I have seen enough of your posts to know you usually make sense -- a lot more sense than you are making here.

1. Your tormentors have in general been Codeless Techs who seem to be in need of love. Below 28 MHz most of us old guys could not care less; I sure as hell don't. To some extent you are reaping the fallout of some interesting politics within the Amateur Service but we can't keep headcases from passing exams any more than you can prevent their buying radios.

2. Beyond that, substitute 'methamphetamine' for 'freeband' and look at your post again. Lots of things exist that would not in an ideal world. Doesn't make them desirable.

3. A gentleman, it is said, is one who ignores the trivial, is a cast-iron SOB about more important things, and has sense enough to know the difference. Lots of things exist in the 25-35 MHz range including the ten-meter ham band. Are we supposed to roll over and play dead?

4. RESPECT is an interesting term. The black community picked up one version of it from cracker whites and it has gotten a number of them killed. Do you expect deference from outlawry? If so, what is the difference between a freebander and a pimp? Diana Ross notwithstanding, R-E-S-P-E-C-T (sock it to me) is no way to run things.

If you mean genuine admiration for achievement, for what might this be extended?

If the idea is 'make nice' and don't state the obvious, take it up with the chaplain.

In my football coaching days, I set as the ideal for respect having the other team lurch toward the showers beat to shit but saying something like, "And they beat the crap out of us within the rules". It is the difference between competition and thuggery, the difference between a boxer and a street brawler.

5. No discussion of 'power' is complete without pointing out that it is blessed useless in the lo-VHF frequencies. If 100 watts won't do it, fix your antenna, learn to operate, or go home. Manhood problems are no excuse for moose and dirty linears on either side of 28.

There is a basic lack of understanding: Given that a few weeks with Morse Academy http://ah0a.org and some quick bathroom reading at irregular intervals will make 28.3-28.5 legal in no time and some more reading while picking up the kid at school will make all of ten meters legal, there isn't just a lot of sense in freebanding, period, if communication is the object of the exercise. So amateurs tend to suspect that freebanding has much the same motivation as mis-aimed fog lites on a clear day, "Look at me, I'm Sandra Dee, lousy with virginity." The lamps are known as Virginity Lights in some circles; watch some dude with his 'kewl' pickup (or the ubiquitous Blazer) howl when the arresting officer notes that on the ticket.

The essence of your argument is 'prepare to be overwhelmed'. OK, I am prepared.

Dick N�BK

From -5551254725587625164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 21:42:02 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <ISRz6.990$tx5.40007@typhoon.sonic.net>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 64
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <cXRz6.729$Y4.693606@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 7 Apr 2001 23:40:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.235
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 986704712 208.154.158.235 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 00:38:32 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 00:38:32 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18899

Said with all the style and verve of any other anonymous dingbat.

"Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:ISRz6.990$tx5.40007@typhoon.sonic.net...
> What a moron. A troll AND a moron. When this bozo get done fixing
illegal
> CB crap, he probably hangs out near elementary schools with bags of
candy.
>
>
> "Train" <cbmods@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net...
> >
> > I don't usually post to ham groups but there has been an awful lot of
> criticism
> > of freebanding and freebanders in general. I have been in the CB tech
> repair
> > business for years and have seen nothing but growth and expansion
among
> freeband
> > people. There is no sign this will soon abate, so it is high time SOME
in
> > the ham radio service face the facts.
> >
> > Fact: hams should not be concerned at all about this issue. What goes
on
> > within 27-28 Mhz is none of their business.
> >
> > Fact: most of the squawking is done by rule-obessed no-lifes who can't
> stand
> > to see other people enjoying themselves.
> >

```
> > Fact: high-quality SSB export radios like the Emperor, Ranger, Galaxy,
and
> > others that go from 25 Mhz to 32 MHz are being marketed by big
companies
> > because the FCC has admitted it can't be bothered to enforce trivial
> rules.
> > If it is OK by the FCC then it is no one's business to squawk,
ESPECIALLY
> > hams who's frequencies are not part of the equation.
> >
> > Fact: the same goes for RF power amps. Freebanders can responsibly run
> power
> > and are capable of using it wisely.
> >
> > Fact: many families out food on the table from businesses that operate
to
> > serve freebanders.  There are much worse crimes to go after than those
who
> > ignore trivial and outmoded FCC rules.
> >
> > All the bitching an moaning needs to stop and some mutual RESPECT
shown
> between
> > hams and freebanders.
> >
> > Train
> >
> >
>
>
```

```
From 5065198769776767O9
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 22:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 00:04:24 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3ACFF158.186BE591@ix.netcom.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a5.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
```

X-Server-Date: 8 Apr 2001 05:03:06 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18900

The FCC does provide for Technician Plus previous-license indicator
as the "P" in that data field. That statement comes from the FCC's
Memorandum Opinion and Order 01-108, 6 April 2001, page 5 (.PDF
file available on ARRL website). Incidentally, that same MO&O
states (page 18, item 48) that all further 98-143 commentary and
reconsiderations are "Hereby Terminated." :-)

That includes a WAD petition for reconsideration to restore 20wpm
for extras. FCC says no, it's gonna stay at 5wpm.

see
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8888/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-01-108A1.txt
for the gory legalistic details.

Quoted text about the "extra lite", aka "novextra" (note that I am one):

As noted above, the Commission eliminated the twenty wpm Morse
code
proficiency examination as a federally mandated licensing
requirement
because it was not in furtherance of
the purpose of the amateur service and it did not continue
to serve a regulatory purpose. 41 WAD II has
not shown that the Commission�s conclusions were in error.
Further, we note that since the Report and
Order was released, there does not appear to be any
decline in the proper operation of amateur stations. 42

end quote.

I listened to the HF bands before April 15, 2000, and I haven't
seen any decline that wasn't because of newbie errors (which
go away as we newbies learn and correct ourselves).

From -921386318010416406
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,4c2286fee24320e0,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4c2286fee24320e0,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-07 22:48:02 PST
Message-ID: <3ACFFB78.B20BBD56@grove.iup.edu>
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 01:47:36 -0400
From: Scott McClements <yetbbwa@grove.iup.edu>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: "Gentlemen's Agreement"
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 32.101.144.57
X-Trace: 8 Apr 2001 05:56:56 GMT, 32.101.144.57
Organization: Global Network Services - Remote Access Mail & News Services
Lines: 21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prserv.net
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.online.be!ams.uu.net!nyc.uu.net!newsfeed2.us.prserv.net!prserv.net!news1.prserv.net!32.101.144.57
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18902 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14742

I am studying for my ticket and came across some questions refering to a "Gentlemen's Agreement".

Ex.

Which of the following frequency ranges is reserved by "gentlemen's agreement" for DX contacts during international 6-meter contests?

D. 50.100 to 50.125 MHz

--

A quick look in the ARRL Handbook and I didn't see anything about this "agreement"? Where is this written if anywhere?

Thanks,

Scott McClements

From -8041539064219659797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 00:04:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!

63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD00CC2.DA5EF71D@worldnet.att.net>
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <3ACE49B4.777F8665@GTE.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 07:00:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.65.192
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986713251 12.75.65.192 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 07:00:51 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 07:00:51 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14749 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18903 rec.radio.amateur.space:2462 rec.radio.swap:28063

Dale Parfitt wrote:

> "Johny B. Good" wrote:
>
> > The ARRL has made a mockery of its constitution and by-laws, and they've
> > trashed the good principles on which Hiram Percy Maxim founded the
> > organization. Enough is Enough, it's time to sue.
> >
> > Anyone know if we can sue them? Can you sue an organization that has not
> > followed its by-laws and constitution in recent years? How much is the League
> > worth right now?
> >
> > Thanks for any info or advice. I'm not an attorney.
> >
> > 73
>
> This is a troll.

I guess that means you're not buying what he's selling?

(with apologetic nods to topic.)

From 6385303283797204075

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 00:20:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: 8 Apr 2001 07:19:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9ap3e2$4qp$0@208.207.71.164>
References: <3ACE8247.94D62090@bctv.com> <20010407052156.06606.00000446@nso-
cg.aol.com> <f2cuct8ibptdq4hg4um6o0mgtr7oieldc8@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18904



Jim Weir wrote:
>
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
> ->amount of dedicate effort required to master the Morse Code at 20 WPM
> ->is significantly less than that required to become a Nuclear Physicist.
>
> I beg to differ.  It took me six years to become a Nuclear Physicist, but 30+
> years to go from Technician to Extra.
>

 Jim, you just put your priorities in the wrong place. :-)


From -2721018680130286620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,47cab67692e3d30c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 00:24:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why lament the end of the Novice license?
Date: 8 Apr 2001 07:24:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
```

```
Message-ID: <9ap3mp$7ra$0@208.207.71.164>
References: <3ACF3878.8581ED3E@IEEE.org> <20010407133539.25479.00000627@nso-
fz.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18905
```

```
N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3ACF3878.8581ED3E@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3ACEA98E.68E05D7A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >If the Tech license had existed in 1951 instead of
> >> >the Novice license, I would not have been interested.
> >>
> >> The Technician and Novice license were both created in the 1951
> >restructuring.
> >> The 1951 Tech had the same requirements as the General except for a 5 wpm
> >> instead of 13 wpm code test. 1951 Techs had all privileges on 220 and
> >higher.
> >
> >I thought "presentday Tech license" was implied.
> >--
> Guess I missed that one, Cecil ;-)
>
> Point is, though, that the original Tech was truly a "technical" license. Back
> then, there was practically no ready-made ham gear for 220 and up. One had to
> either convert surplus or homebrew from scratch just to get on the air.
```

I have always thought that was why the original Tech was begun, so that anyone interested would help develop VHF/UHF, which was almost unused in 1950. Virtually no gear was available and what was was exremely expensive. I don't know how much good the Tech ticket actually did, virtually all the few I ever knew in the 50's-60's were definitely not inventors or developers. Of course YMMV.

```
From -4864737627764235555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 00:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: 8 Apr 2001 07:25:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107>
<3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18906



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I don't know why you don't, but as for myself, I like the present.
>
> Bull$hit Dick! The *present* requirement for an Extra class license
> is 5wpm. You certainly do NOT like that. You bitch, moan, and whine
> about that almost full time.

Bull$hit Cecil! He said old Extras live in the past. We don't. We DO
know
the practical value of radiotelegraph communications.



From -6863786392409359013
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 00:34:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 8 Apr 2001 07:32:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 38
```

Message-ID: <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14752
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18907

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 7 Apr 2001 02:23:16 GMT, Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> > "Dan Yemiola" <AI8O@qsl.net> wrote in message
> > news:3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net...
> >: The trouble is that the way Powell and Company are talking this could be
> >: a TRUE story in a few years. How much would the Amalgamated
> >: Consolidated-United Mega-Corp. pay at auction for an exclusive
> >: assignment at 40m? or 10m, or maybe for ALL of HF?
> >
> >Highly improbable. The HF spectrum is of little use to commercial
> >interests. The problem is the inconsistant propogation.
>
>   As we speak, "commercial interests" are running operational
>   tests on spread-spectrum data transmission on HF. We're
>   starting to see the deleterious effects of concentrated
>   "sharing" SS and conventional modes in the same spectral bands
>   at UHF and SHF.
>
>   HF propagation measurements and forecasts are routine now,
>   courtesy of equipment, techniques, and studies funded by
>   Uncle Sam's military purse and easily for sale to the said
>   "commercial interests".
>
> >I wouldn't worry about anything under 2 meters.
>
>   Famous Last Words.

Do you get the feeling in the pit of your stomach that the ARS is being
set up
for a Humpty-Dumpty type of fall? There is a nagging feeling that all
this
dropping of qualifications that shoves the ARS ever closer to a CB-like
atmosphere is not without some goal in mind......

From -6189194202451285535
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 00:36:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: 8 Apr 2001 07:35:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9ap4cl$8vg$1@208.207.71.164>
References: <3ACF3A6A.454337DC@IEEE.org> <20010407052155.06606.00000445@nso-cg.aol.com> <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org> <9ao5q5$o0p$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18908

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
 I would just add the suggestion to remember that
> the REAL purpose of a government-issued license, like the real purpose of
> government itself, is to protect the rights of the people.

 Yep, that's one reason. Of course there are others. The fact that some
observers don't agree doesn't negate them.

From 5418215713884202019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 00:46:05 PST
From: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Sender: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Reply-To: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 152.163.213.77 152.163.213.77
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <CRRz6.1273$kp6.561839@nntp2.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad01639$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 8 Apr 2001 08:41:45 GMT
X-Trace: 8 Apr 2001 08:41:45 GMT, 206.53.132.39

```
Lines: 114
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!colt.net!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-
online.de!newsfeed.freenet.de!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18909
```

I have seen enough of your posts to know you usually make sense -- a lot more sense than you are making here.

"What about you, Dick? In rec.radio.cb you support freebanding and amps. Here you act snotty. Hmmm?"

1. Your tormentors have in general been Codeless Techs who seem to be in need of love. Below 28 MHz most of us old guys could not care less; I sure as hell don't.

"That's the Dick we know and love. Good boy. Don't step out of line again mister"

To some extent you are reaping the fallout of some
interesting politics within the Amateur Service but we can't keep headcases from passing exams any more than you can prevent their buying radios.

"If you are an example of this you are a prime one. Enough said"

2. Beyond that, substitute 'methamphetamine' for 'freeband' and look at your post again. Lots of things exist that would not in an ideal world. Doesn't make them desirable.

"Realism is the mark of a true intellectual. Let us see if you can pass the test"

3. A gentleman, it is said, is one who ignores the trivial, is a cast-iron SOB about more important things, and has sense enough to know the difference. Lots of things exist in the 25-35 MHz range including the ten-meter ham band. Are we supposed to roll over and play dead?

"In a word, yes. The opposition is overwhelming. The old must go out and the new must come in. Your hobby is dying. If 10 and 12 meters must fall, you have no choice but to let it"

4. RESPECT is an interesting term. The black community picked up one version of it from cracker whites and it has gotten a number of them killed. Do you expect deference from outlawry?

"What about the outlawry of 3950 and 14310? Your slip is showing Dick"

If so, what is the

difference between a freebander and a pimp? Diana Ross notwithstanding, R-E-S-P-E-C-T (sock it to me) is no way to run things.

"Simple. But we the enlightened do not expect you to understand. Freebanding harms no one. Pimping does. Except when it is a ham turncoat like you lurking in rec.radio.cb waiting to rat out CBers"

If you mean genuine admiration for achievement, for what might this be extended?

"It might be extended to freebanders and those that want to operate radio transceivers without the complications of licensing. A little respect is in order to your betters"

If the idea is 'make nice' and don't state the obvious, take it up with the chaplain.

"You and your dying hobby need a prayer, not me and mine"

In my football coaching days, I set as the ideal for respect having the other team lurch toward the showers beat to shit but saying something like, "And they beat the crap out of us within the rules". It is the difference between competition and thuggery, the difference between a boxer and a street brawler.

"Following rules does not a man make. If the Constitution prevents me from talking on 24 MHz I want to see where it is written so"

5. No discussion of 'power' is complete without pointing out that it is blessed useless in the lo-VHF frequencies. If 100 watts won't do it, fix your antenna, learn to operate, or go home. Manhood problems are no excuse for moose and dirty linears on either side of 28.

"Too bad for your POV Dick. What we want we will simply take. Time you learned the rules, boy"

There is a basic lack of understanding: Given that a few weeks with Morse Academy http://ah0a.org and some quick bathroom reading at irregular intervals will make 28.3-28.5 legal in no time and some more reading while picking up the kid at school will make all of ten meters legal, there isn't just a lot of sense in freebanding, period, if communication is the object of the exercise. So amateurs tend to suspect that freebanding has much the same motivation as mis-aimed fog lites on a clear day, "Look at me, I'm Sandra Dee, lousy with virginity." The lamps are known as Virginity Lights in some circles; watch some dude with his 'kewl' pickup (or the ubiquitous Blazer) howl when the arresting officer notes that on the ticket.

"There you go again with the authority figures. They do not scare us, Dick. Take off the bogeyman costume"

The essence of your argument is 'prepare to be overwhelmed'. OK, I am prepared.

"I hope you are prepared for freebanding to prevail and spread to your precious hammie bands"

Dick N0BK

From 4769654996274647963
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 01:02:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: 8 Apr 2001 08:00:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9ap5ql$d0k$0@208.207.71.164>
References: <3ACF3A6A.454337DC@IEEE.org> <20010407052155.06606.00000445@nso-cg.aol.com> <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org> <9ancqh$ers$0@208.206.142.107> <3AD00BC2.8F0F0A72@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18910

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > You are still confused, Larry. Examinations are not meant to test
> > > dedication. They are meant to to test qualifications. Licenses are
> > > not a certificate of dedication. They are a certificate of privileges
> > > granted after meeting the qualifications.

> >
> > You are still confused, Cecil. When the qualification for Extra class
> > license is reduced to approximately that of the previous Novice class,
> > the entire system suffers irepairably.
>
> You are confused, Dick. The qualifications for the Extra class license
> have NOT been reduced to approximately that of the previous Novice class.
> It only seems that way to you because you are more knowledgable now than
> you were when you took your Novice exam.

You are still confused, Cecil. When an applicant can pass all tests through Extra without knowing anything significant about radio theory or practice, and needs only 5wpm code, the de facto reduction of Amateur Extra class licensing standards to the Novice level is fait accompli, whatever name is attached to it.

My current level of knowledge has nothing to do with it beyond my ability to see and understand what is there.

```
From -3450663959734964032
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 05:34:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <CRRz6.1273$kp6.561839@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3ad01639$1@news2.mibx.net>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 142
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <wQYz6.762$Y4.797048@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 07:31:44 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 4.54.224.96
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 986732956 4.54.224.96 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 08:29:16 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 08:29:16 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18911
```

Before anyone goes berserk, this is a classic forgery of the type that has been going on for a long time. Our friend and buddy Leland Scott at work.

It is more than likely I fell for the first one.

Dick

"twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3ad01639$1@news2.mibx.net...

>
> I have seen enough of your posts to know you usually make sense -- a lot
> more sense than you are making here.
>
> "What about you, Dick? In rec.radio.cb you support freebanding and amps.
> Here you act snotty. Hmmm?"
>
> 1. Your tormentors have in general been Codeless Techs who seem to be
in
> need of love. Below 28 MHz most of us old guys could not care less; I
> sure as hell don't.
>
> "That's the Dick we know and love. Good boy. Don't step out of line
again
> mister"
>
> To some extent you are reaping the fallout of some
> interesting politics within the Amateur Service but we can't keep
> headcases from passing exams any more than you can prevent their buying
> radios.
>
> "If you are an example of this you are a prime one. Enough said"
>
>
> 2. Beyond that, substitute 'methamphetamine' for 'freeband' and look at
> your post again. Lots of things exist that would not in an ideal world.
> Doesn't make them desirable.
>
> "Realism is the mark of a true intellectual. Let us see if you can pass
the
> test"
>
> 3. A gentleman, it is said, is one who ignores the trivial, is a
cast-iron
> SOB about more important things, and has sense enough to know the
> difference. Lots of things exist in the 25-35 MHz range including the
> ten-meter ham band. Are we supposed to roll over and play dead?
>
> "In a word, yes. The opposition is overwhelming. The old must go out and
> the new must come in. Your hobby is dying. If 10 and 12 meters must
fall,
> you have no choice but to let it"
>
> 4. RESPECT is an interesting term. The black community picked up one
> version of it from cracker whites and it has gotten a number of them
> killed. Do you expect deference from outlawry?
>
> "What about the outlawry of 3950 and 14310? Your slip is showing Dick"
>
>
> If so, what is the
> difference between a freebander and a pimp? Diana Ross notwithstanding,
> R-E-S-P-E-C-T (sock it to me) is no way to run things.

>
> "Simple. But we the enlightened do not expect you to understand.
Freebanding
> harms no one. Pimping does. Except when it is a ham turncoat like you
lurking
> in rec.radio.cb waiting to rat out CBers"
>
> If you mean genuine admiration for achievement, for what might this be
> extended?
>
> "It might be extended to freebanders and those that want to operate
radio
> transceivers without the complications of licensing. A little respect is
> in order to your betters"
>
>
> If the idea is 'make nice' and don't state the obvious, take it up with
> the chaplain.
>
> "You and your dying hobby need a prayer, not me and mine"
>
>
> In my football coaching days, I set as the ideal for respect having the
> other team lurch toward the showers beat to shit but saying something
> like, "And they beat the crap out of us within the rules". It is the
> difference between competition and thuggery, the difference between a
> boxer and a street brawler.
>
>
> "Following rules does not a man make. If the Constitution prevents me
from
> talking on 24 MHz I want to see where it is written so"
>
> 5. No discussion of 'power' is complete without pointing out that it is
> blessed useless in the lo-VHF frequencies. If 100 watts won't do it,
fix
> your antenna, learn to operate, or go home. Manhood problems are no
> excuse for moose and dirty linears on either side of 28.
>
> "Too bad for your POV Dick. What we want we will simply take. Time you
learned
> the rules, boy"
>
>
> There is a basic lack of understanding: Given that a few weeks with
Morse
> Academy http://ah0a.org and some quick bathroom reading at irregular
> intervals will make 28.3-28.5 legal in no time and some more reading
while
> picking up the kid at school will make all of ten meters legal, there
> isn't just a lot of sense in freebanding, period, if communication is
the
> object of the exercise. So amateurs tend to suspect that freebanding

has
> much the same motivation as mis-aimed fog lites on a clear day, "Look at
> me, I'm Sandra Dee, lousy with virginity." The lamps are known as
> Virginity Lights in some circles; watch some dude with his 'kewl' pickup
> (or the ubiquitous Blazer) howl when the arresting officer notes that on
> the ticket.
>
>
> "There you go again with the authority figures. They do not scare us,
Dick.
> Take off the bogeyman costume"
>
>
> The essence of your argument is 'prepare to be overwhelmed'. OK, I am
> prepared.
>
>
> "I hope you are prepared for freebanding to prevail and spread to your
precious
> hammie bands"
>
>
> Dick N0BK
>
>

```
From 8280124691094977583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 07:10:55 PST
Message-ID: <3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 21:10:22 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986738629
64.240.47.121 (8 Apr 2001 09:03:49 -0500)
Lines: 18
```

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14766
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18912
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Do you get the feeling in the pit of your stomach that the ARS is being
> set up
> for a Humpty-Dumpty type of fall? There is a nagging feeling that all
> this
> dropping of qualifications that shoves the ARS ever closer to a CB-like
> atmosphere is not without some goal in mind......

You've been shouting that the sky is falling ever since you joined this newsgroup, Dick. Freebanders have not overrun the ARS, CW is still being used, and the sky is still where it should be.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From 6767377274881394505
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 07:14:13 PST
Message-ID: <3AD11AD2.BEBDA01F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 21:13:38 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107>
<3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org> <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986738825 64.240.47.121 (8 Apr 2001 09:07:05 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18913
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > I don't know why you don't, but as for myself, I like the present.
> >
> > Bull$hit Dick! The *present* requirement for an Extra class license
> > is 5wpm. You certainly do NOT like that. You bitch, moan, and whine
> > about that almost full time.
>
> Bull$hit Cecil! He said old Extras live in the past. We don't. We DO
> know the practical value of radiotelegraph communications.

But you don't know the practical value of reducing the Morse code
requirement to 5wpm. You don't like the present after all.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4874996342947039352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 07:16:13 PST
Message-ID: <3AD11B4A.D693CC8F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 21:15:38 -0500
```

```
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <3ACF3A6A.454337DC@IEEE.org> <20010407052155.06606.00000445@nso-
cg.aol.com> <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org> <9ancqh$ers$0@208.206.142.107>
<3AD00BC2.8F0F0A72@IEEE.org> <9ap5ql$d0k$0@208.207.71.164>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986738945
64.240.47.121 (8 Apr 2001 09:09:05 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!213.24.141.54!
newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18914

Dick Carroll wrote:
> When an applicant can pass all tests
> through Extra without knowing anything significant about radio theory or
> practice, and needs only 5wpm code, the de facto reduction of Amateur
> Extra class licensing standards to the Novice level is fait accompli,
> whatever name is attached to it.

Please name a few Extras who don't know "anything significant about
radio theory". Besides yourself, of course.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 523898346260484189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 07:20:33 PST
```

From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 14:19:38 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad069b9.118604489@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.128
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.128
X-Trace: 8 Apr 2001 09:16:43 -0500, 64.24.16.128
Lines: 36
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18916

On 8 Apr 2001 03:25:15 GMT, "Train" <cbmods@optonline.net> wrote:

>
>Fact: many families out food on the table from businesses that operate to
>serve freebanders.
>

I'm sure the drug dealer's families eat quite well, too.

>
> There are much worse crimes to go after than those who
>ignore trivial and outmoded FCC rules.
>

Freebanding is OK because it's lesser crime than some other crimes?
The bald-ass bottom line is that under existing federal statutes a
freebander can wind up in a federal slammer and so can the suppliers
of the equipment he uses. You have to be a complete idiot to put you
and your family at any such even remote risk. There's a guy out there
right now who just got whacked by the FCC with a $17,000 forfeiture
for operating in the ham bands without a ham license. That's a lotta
pork & beans. Another one is doing some hard time. It can't happen to
you, huh?

>

I operate in rhe low end of the 10m ham band, I'm in there with the
freebanders and they're a minor nuisance from the operational
perspective. But that's not what pisses me off, what pisses me off is
that they're a bunch of criminals, abusers of other folk's rights
under law and they're anarchists. There is no room for you people in
American society never mind 10m.

>

And you come in here expecting "respect" for any of it? Whatta twisted
asshole! I've been ignoring the freebanders on 10, I think I'll start
paying "more attention" . . .

>
>Train
>

w3rv

```
From 3377897326846062863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 20:10:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 5 Apr 2001 03:08:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9agnju$3vd$0@208.206.142.106>
References: <3AC6BABD.48226FFF@IEEE.org> <20010404210923.01729.00001788@nso-
fc.aol.com> <3ACC7703.234FB93@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18714
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Keep in mind, I don't remember anything of the sort.
>
> I'm not surprised. Harassment comes so naturally to you,
> you don't even remember it.

Don't for get, Larry, to these guys anything that disagrees with their opinions is harassment. Remember their characterization of CW testing as a "hazing ritual" instead of a qualifying test.

```
From 2488862765298585702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 20:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!sfo2-
feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
```

From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Lines: 84
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 03:39:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 986441974 209.204.160.101 (Wed, 04 Apr 2001 20:39:34
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 20:39:34 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18715
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14613

I don't think that under ITU regulations they can do that on HF...I'm
worried about 2-meters and above.

Ron N6AHA

"Dan Yemiola" <AI8O@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net...
> The trouble is that the way Powell and Company are talking this could be
> a TRUE story in a few years. How much would the Amalgamated
> Consolidated-United Mega-Corp. pay at auction for an exclusive
> assignment at 40m? or 10m, or maybe for ALL of HF?
>
> You may think this is a troll now, BUT?!?!?!?!
>
> AI8O
>
> Keith wrote:
> >
> > April 1, 2001 -(AP)
> > FCC Chairman wants to eliminate Morse Code and wasted spectrum by Hams.
> >
> >   In a speech Saturday night to the telecommunications industry FCC
Chairman
> > Michael Powell spoke out against the use of Morse Code and the waste of
> > valuable spectrum by ham radio operators, ham radio operators are
licensed CB
> > operators that pass a Morse Code test. The Chairman is concerned about
the
> > waste of spectrum worth billions of dollars to the telecommunications
> > industry that is currently being used by Ham Radio operators.
> >
> >   The Chairman also wants a big change in the spectrum wasted in the
Ultra
> > High Frequency area of spectrum in which Ham Radio Operators hold a

```
> > staggering sixty megahertz of spectrum, more then any other radio
service.
> >
> >    "Amateur Radio operators in this frequency band only need about two
> > megahertz to chat with each other about the weather. Cellular and
portable
> > communications are in great demand and the FCC should not allow a few
> > thousand people to hog spectrum needed by millions of consumers."
> >
> >   In a detailed presentation he outlined his plan to ask the
> > International Telecommunications Union for the reassignment of a four
> > megahertz chunk of spectrum to the airline industry that is presently
held by
> > amateurs near the overcrowded civilian aircraft band. He also outlined
the
> > conversion of thirty megahertz of frequency for use by
telecommunications
> > providers for data systems that could be used to supply high-speed
access to
> > the internet via radio frequencies.
> >
> >   To demonstrate why the FCC Chairman believes the Amateur Radio Service
is
> > wasting spectrum he played several tapes of licensed ham operators
> > using vulgar language and jamming stations in the band he wishes to
> > convert to the airline industry.
> >
> >  When asked by reporters about the possible opposition to his plan by
ham
> > radio operators the Chairman smiled and exclaimed, "We are going to cut
it
> > off and kill it!"
> >
> > � 2001 Associated Press, All Rights Reserved.
> >
> > --
> > Best Regards,
> >
> > Keith         (Use Reply-to for email)
>
> ----------------------------------------------------------------------------
---
> > "Where would Christianity be if Jesus got eight to fifteen years with
> > time off for good behavior?" NY State Senator James Donovan, speaking
> > in support of capital punishment.
>
> ----------------------------------------------------------------------------
--



From 8557309618807609873
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 20:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: Wed, 04 Apr 2001 22:41:25 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3ACBE965.B36C7A5@ix.netcom.com>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9a.96.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 5 Apr 2001 03:41:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18716
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2260
```

Of course if these QSL cards are from states or countries you need towards a Worked All States or some such award, well.....

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
> phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number! I would
> greatly appreciate anyone hearing him taking a beam heading so we
> can attempt to triangulate an approximate QTH of this fellow.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O

```
From -1710766088332200843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 21:02:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ACC9689.82B6BA8D@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 11:00:09 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
```

References: <3ACA8D7F.34417749@IEEE.org> <20010404213411.25479.00000560@nso-fz.aol.com> <3ACC76A3.F4A7923B@IEEE.org> <9agngg$3qs$0@208.206.142.106>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986442818 64.240.47.68 (4 Apr 2001 21:53:38 -0600)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!cyclone.bc.net!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18717

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I nearly fell out of my chair tonight watching "Titanic" on The
> > Discovery Channel. The reenactment of the CW operator showed him
> > tapping out CW using only his index finger. If Dick saw that, I'm
> > sure someone had to carry him to the emergency room.
>
> You can bet the farm that the real Titanic operator didn't handle his
> key that way. So much for depictions.

Keys must have evolved over the years. The key used in the depiction
had an upside down tear-drop shaped "knob". There wasn't a horizontal
surface anywhere on the knob like there is on a J38.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8420979624166602195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 21:04:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ACC9710.E30420B0@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 11:02:24 -0500

From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC6BABD.48226FFF@IEEE.org> <20010404210923.01729.00001788@nso-fc.aol.com> <3ACC7703.234FB93@IEEE.org> <9agnju$3vd$0@208.206.142.106>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986442952 64.240.47.68 (4 Apr 2001 21:55:52 -0600)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18718

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > Keep in mind, I don't remember anything of the sort.
> >
> > I'm not surprised. Harassment comes so naturally to you,
> > you don't even remember it.
>
> Don't forget, Larry, to these guys anything that disagrees with their
> opinions is harassment. Remember their characterization of CW testing as
> a "hazing ritual" instead of a qualifying test.

BOHICA!
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8825257591887923086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 22:02:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!tethys.csu.net!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Wed, 4 Apr 2001 21:59:49 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9agu0o$nk3$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9ae2p2$p85$1@208.207.71.167>
<DjMy6.21023$XV.5253379@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aga44$dc0$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<vcPy6.22584$XV.5684782@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-76.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18719
```

Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:vcPy6.22584$XV.5684782@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...

> I assume you needed to put your glasses on to check that out ?

Nope. What's your excuse?

```
From -7384268765059413703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 22:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 93
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Apr 2001 05:17:31 GMT
References: <20010404213411.25479.00000559@nso-fz.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
```

Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010405011731.27365.00000863@nso-ff.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18720

In article <20010404213411.25479.00000559@nso-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Here are some numbers from the AH0A website to consider.
>
>From March 31, 2000 to March 31, 2001:

Ahem...that period begins two weeks before restructuring. Hans Brakob used the 10-month period following restructuring...which I should have done too...

>Since April 15, 2000, the FCC has renewed all Technician and Technician Plus licenses as Technician.

For Technician-Plus license class the renewals can only be between 10 and 8 1/3 percent of all Technician-Plus licensees. The assumption seems to be that TechPlus renewals all abrogate their ability to "upgrade" but that doesn't seem to be the case.

For the Technician class licensee, the number of renewals will be small compared to all other classes because their initial numbers were small. The Technician class has been renewing regularly since their first license term expired.

>Also, a ham who holds a Technician license and has passed Element 1 (5 wpm code) has Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges, even though the database simply shows "Technician" as the license class. There is no easy way to determine exactly how many Techs are actually Techs with HF, because the FCC does not keep that information in its database. A lower bound on this number can be found by adding up the number of Technician renewals from April of 2000 until October of 2000 (3273) This works out to an average of 467 per month, or 5,604 per year, being reclassified from Tech Plus to Tech because of renewal.

There is a more sure to determine the number of Technician-Plus renewals that were made Technician or to separate the originally-Technician-Plus from Techician by going to the NEW license, RENEWED, and RENEWED WITHIN GRACE PERIOD tabulations. Since there are no new Technician-Plus license class grants, one can simply subtract the new Technician license number from the Technician class total to see the number of Technician-Plus renewals transferred to Technician class.

The surest possible way to ascertain of who went where to what is by downloading the Amateur database on a regular basis and searching all records. :-)

>The total number of "lots of HF" licenses (General/Advanced/Extra) rose from
>288,820 to 319,381 - a gain of 30,561 (10.5%). This is what K0HB is referring
>to in his post - more hams with lots of HF privileges.

The total number of Extra-Advanced-General-TechPlus-Novice licensees DECLINED by 13,646 while the Technician licenses INCREASED by 20,221. While those numbers for 12 months could be massaged more, it represents a bad comparative time since there were delays in licensing and class reassignment for up to two months following April 15, 2000 (see ARRL news for that period). It would be better to go back to the original Brakob 10-month period and see the numbers from the end of June 2000 to March 2001.

In the 10-month period the number of total Extra-Advanced-General-TechPlus-Novice licensees DECLINED by 10,943 while the Technician INCREASED by 16,029. The net gain was 5,086. It is still growth. Of the Technician class increase 15,970 were new licensees exclusive of any TechPlus renewal reclassification. That leaves the Technician class total change "off" by only 59 "others," presumably from the TechPlus renewal reclassification. Those 15,970 new Technicians still don't have any HF access with/without CSCEs.

There is no valid way to explain the Extra-through-Novice class total decrease of 10,943 by any "Tech-Plus renewals to Tech." Attrition is happening among the code-tested classes.

>... It will be interesting to see how the trends evolve over
>longer time frames.

Why? The trend is still clear. The number of no-code-test Technician licensees had been steadily increasing before April 15, 2000, and one cannot deny that they are still increasing exclusive of any Tech-Plus renewal reclassification to Technician. Technician class licensees ARE, in the majority, renewing in that class.

The TREND has been present for a long time. It is unfortunate that so many PCTAs keep denying the obvious.

From 3525889325554831125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 22:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 5 Apr 2001 05:18:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 232
Message-ID: <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18721

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> Its worth repeating Cary Magnum's comment. It was unfortunate
> that the FCC did not mention it in their License Restructuring
> Decision.

Let's look at a couple of his points

~
> Since 1985 California has had an aggressive program utilizing Amateur
> licenses. That year the state legislature mandated a paid staff coordinator
> with directions to enhance and expand that public service function involving
> amateur licensees. In April 1985 we began a series of education bulletins
> statewide at a time when there but three RACES units in the state. Today
> almost all of the 58 counties have an emergency communications unit based on
> the Amateur licensees; and our weekly EMCOMM Bulletins have would-wide
> distribution in addition to every state in the country.
>

Bottom line: another level of government bureacracy has been created,
with the
usual (unstated) mandate that the first order of business it to
perpetute itself, and that of course means 'get the numbers up, however
it an be done'.

> In so far as we know, our program � the leader in the nation � is the only
> one with a paid staff position created by the state legislature to support
> the use of Amateur licensees in service to their communities.
>
> In 1987 the American Radio Relay League requested that the statewide

> Bulletins be placed in the Amateur packet radio networks around the U.S. In time they became the standard in the US, replacing s defunct FEMA education program. Today these Bulletins are the source of education information for emergency communications units based on Amateur Radio. They are literally on hundreds of bulletin boards and Internet sites around the world.
>
> Because we are involved daily with quintessential public service � their use in and for local government, we have a broader experience of Amateur license activities that than most petitioners. Our program is the recognized model in the U.S., Canada, Australia, New Zealand, England and other countries worldwide. The weekly EMCOMM Bulletins are on the worldwide Web at www.acs.oes.ca/ . Our Manual, titled the �establishing and maintaining an Emergency Communications unit for government�, is the only one of its kind in the country.

So why has no one ever heard of it?

> a. California eliminated the use of CW some years ago. Although we have a large and extensive communications center staffed for and with Amateur licensees, we had not used CW in decades. We retained a CW net until 1995, but it served no essential purpose, just once-a-week training for 3-5 participates. No county governments participated for years.

Pretty much like everyone else. But when did state or county governments ever participate in amateur radio at any level?

> b. The US Coast Guard also eliminated the use of morse code several years ago, which is further evidence of its lack of applicability to today�s communications needs.

Wrong. It was done as a moneysaving device in that it eliminated the requirement for a skilled operator, coupled with fact that technical developments now allowed for automatic emergency signalling without human intervention. But the new system is far from foolproof. Duties formerly performed by the radioman are now routinely assigned to busy deck officers who already had their hands full and who often turn off the annoying alarms because of falsing.

The new systems are better in some ways, and far worse in others. In no way to they replace the thousands of humans who once had their full attentions to the radio distress frequency. Any distress call was immediately heard far and wide by a great many operaors in a great many places, both on land and sea, and getting the closest help started immediately toward the distress location was assured. The satellite alarm system is automated, with all calls going essentially through a single system to a single point, and the exact nature of the problem that caused the call cannot

be determined by the operator who receives it. There is no direct
contact with the vessel in distress nor humans aboard. The system, while
streamlined and technically sophisticated, is nowhere nearly as
thoroghly capable from a communciations point of view.

> Today, our information systems are based computer based and operate by both
> wire and wireless. If the Amateur of today is not familiar with computers
> he/she is of little use.

Typical codebasher's strawman. What ham today isn't familiar with
computers?
And who says that non-computer communciations are less capable of
getting the job done? He is simply wrong.

A background in computer experience and knowledge
> is far and away more important than that of CW.

True. Until the computers are no longer useable and CW still is.

> When I became licensed in 1941 Amateur radio was the means of alternative
> communication. Today, the computer provides that, hence thousands of those
> who would otherwise be FCC Amateur service licensees never apply because the
> service does not attract them. Until we recognize that factor the service
> will not grow significantly, nor do we get the new blood of technically
> oriented people to spark the new innovations so badly needed.

Here we go, again, and again, and again. "Give them the license and
they will come". But they didn't.

>
> To make matters worse, to a large degree an �old-boy network� exists in
> Amateur radio; one that grew up with �you must pass the code� concept. Like
> people everywhere �what�s good enough for me is good enough for you� is the
> mindset of many of today�s Amateurs.

Ah so! This guy is a Founding Charter Member of NCI! He, like Squiggy,
Soulful Bill and Fast Freddie, never heard of learning Morse code
because it is actually useable and in fact IS the basic means of
radiocommunications.

Without the ability to look to the
> future, or grasp the many changes occurring around them, they would keep

> practices long since useless.

Useless only if hams are unable to use them when the need arises. When
computer lines get cut or broke in an earthquake or hurricane, now THAT
is useless.

In government today we pass data digitally,
> not by CW or voice radio. In fact, there are government agencies who seek
> to do away with radio entirely.

Yep, there are some bureaucrats out there who are that stupid.
Meanwhile
"wireless" grows by leaps and bounds...

> One of my constant battles is to keep some radio capability functioning in
> government. There is a new breed of administrators who focus on the bottom
> dollar, not redundancy. Often they have no experience in communications
> failures, and their digital computer networks have not failed. They see no
> need for radio. So, they no longer fund or support radio programs. The
> federal Highway Authority (FHWA) is one instance of an agency that no longer
> supports High frequency radio. It�s a very real every-day battle. Our
> fiscal year has just begun and yesterday I was informed that there are no
> funds for communications equipment as it all went for computer systems.

Stupidity is not limited by education nor position in government. I've
seen a little of that myself.

> So, I urge the reduction of code requirement to 5 wpm at general license
> level as it is not essential skill qualifier in today�s world.

With that I agreed 100%. And said so in my comments to the FCC.

When it is
> possible to do so under international radio regulations, I further urge the
> Commission to drop the CW requirement entirely. It is not relevant to the
> year 2001 and subsequent real-world needs in communications.

Lets see if I've got this - he says that many governmental officials
want to dump radio completely, therefore the most basic

radiocommunications mode, which has been successfully used worldwide for
over a hundred years is simply no longer applicible (it must be assumed
that his statement covers all circumstances)kin the world today just
because there exist computer networks and high speed digital
communications systems. Right. That makes about as much sense as saying
that since there are Concords flying there is no longer any need for a
DC3 anywhere for any reason.

> I urge the Commission to adopt a requirement of computer ability, at least
> at the general level.

I agree.

Knowledge of technical digital information is not
> important.

Not so fast. The basic knowledge level is overlooked only at risk of
losing our status as what the FCC calls a "fundamentally technical
service".

There may be those who will assert that test should include
> questions on Amtor, Pactor, Clover or such. The problem there, however, is
> that such modes are already out-of-date. To lock the testing into them is
> already a decade behind the times. What we need is young minds open to new
> possibilities, not old minds locked in decades old minsets. There are
> enough computer specialist around that its� easy to find them and they don�t
> need Amateur licensees to fix technical problems. In fact, it is their
> interest in the technical aspect of computers that have draws them away from
> Amateur radio.

So eliminating knowledge requirements will win them over. Right.

From 7827807991210162819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 22:22:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 5 Apr 2001 05:21:20 GMT

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9agvcg$mg9$0@208.206.142.106>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net> <WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18722 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14615

Ron wrote:
>
> I don't think that under ITU regulations they can do that on HF...I'm
> worried about 2-meters and above.

That's where the commercial/governmental demand is, and where we use it far less than could ever justify keeping all of it. You can expect losses up there. It's as good as done, AFAIC.

From -2679991525192554522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 22:24:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!easynews!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 5 Apr 2001 05:22:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9agvf0$mg9$1@208.206.142.106>
References: <3ACA8D7F.34417749@IEEE.org> <20010404213411.25479.00000560@nso-fz.aol.com> <3ACC76A3.F4A7923B@IEEE.org> <9agngg$3qs$0@208.206.142.106> <3ACC9689.82B6BA8D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18723

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > I nearly fell out of my chair tonight watching "Titanic" on The
> > > Discovery Channel. The reenactment of the CW operator showed him
> > > tapping out CW using only his index finger. If Dick saw that, I'm
> > > sure someone had to carry him to the emergency room.
> >
> > You can bet the farm that the real Titanic operator didn't handle his
> > key that way. So much for depictions.
>
> Keys must have evolved over the years. The key used in the depiction
> had an upside down tear-drop shaped "knob". There wasn't a horizontal
> surface anywhere on the knob like there is on a J38.

One of my favorite straight keys is a Navy type from 1940 that has a
roundtopped knob.

From 654272254008839852
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-04 22:26:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 5 Apr 2001 05:25:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9agvjq$mg9$2@208.206.142.106>
References: <3AC6BABD.48226FFF@IEEE.org> <20010404210923.01729.00001788@nso-fc.aol.com> <3ACC7703.234FB93@IEEE.org> <9agnju$3vd$0@208.206.142.106> <3ACC9710.E30420B0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18724

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:

> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > > Keep in mind, I don't remember anything of the sort.
> > >
> > > I'm not surprised. Harassment comes so naturally to you,
> > > you don't even remember it.
> >
> > Don't forget, Larry, to these guys anything that disagrees with their
> > opinions is harassment. Remember their characterization of CW testing as
> > a "hazing ritual" instead of a qualifying test.
>
> BOHICA!
>

   You codebashers are all alike. And you use the same obscure acronyms
which are meaningful only to each other. I don't know and couldn't care
less what that means.

From -2026333980400840511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 04:24:05 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 11:20:46 GMT
Message-ID: <3acc52df.271159668@news2.dvol.com>
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.169
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.169
X-Trace: 5 Apr 2001 06:17:58 -0500, 64.24.19.169
Lines: 22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18725

On 03 Apr 2001 18:16:23 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>>and since when is a state more than 200kms accross ? or the damage that
>>severe that even all of 200 kms inland destroyed so that not a single
>>telephone or ssb rig is useful ...
>
>Don't sweat some of these diehard CW fans, Rob. They have

>to "prove" (by any means possible regardless of ethics, morals, or logic) that OOK CW mode is superior, more useful, or divinely better than any other amateur mode in order to substantiate their rank, status, and position in USA amateur radio that came about through that skill. Ergo, whatever They have done in Their locality is better, stronger, more robust, more resistant than any of the public safety or public communications.
>

Stick it Lenny, 90% or more HF ham emergency comms are successfully handled with ssb, a bunch is with RTTY and cw only comes into play when all else fails. Which has happened only on rare occasions but it has happened. The only name of the game in local neighboorhood emergency comms is 2m FM. Whatta blowhard.

From -3228192234992854799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1f00b62a918777b
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1f00b62a918777b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1f00b62a918777b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 04:44:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: Hara Arena 2-B sold to developers?
Date: Thu, 5 Apr 2001 07:44:41 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tcomjnpm08624a@corp.supernews.com>
References: <dYny6.1206$rk1.132948@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 30
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14618 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18726 rec.radio.shortwave:30833

Well, it makes sense and if it happens, I am sure they'll find somewhere else to host it. Shoot, maybe they'll find someplace that has air conditioning when it is hot and heat when it's cold. Hara has neither. I would like to see it moved.

"Barry" <bhorowitz99@cooper.com> wrote in message
news:dYny6.1206$rk1.132948@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Was listening in the advanced portion of 75 last night to an rountable of 8-land stations. One topic that was discussed was the possibility that this

> year may be the last year for the Dayton Hamvention
> to be held at the Hara Arena. Reasons stated was
> that the owners of the Hara have been seeing revenue
> drop below the bare minimum for profit margins, not
> only due to the continued drop per year at the Hamvention
> but cancellations and moving of other events that the Hara
> hosts throughout the Year. To put it plainly, the word is that
> the Hara Arena will be sold to developers before
> November 2001, who then plan to demolish the site and
> put up an upscale condo complex with an associated shopping
> center on the site. The Arena has outlasted it's intended
> life and is now a financial liability to it's owners, so that
> means it's "time to sell".   Anyone know any more about this ??
>
>
>
>
>

```
From -1612532755859017175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 06:26:04 PST
Message-ID: <3ACD1AD5.FC578B58@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 20:24:37 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC6BABD.48226FFF@IEEE.org> <20010404210923.01729.00001788@nso-
fc.aol.com> <3ACC7703.234FB93@IEEE.org> <9agnju$3vd$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACC9710.E30420B0@IEEE.org> <9agvjq$mg9$2@208.206.142.106>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.65
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986476684
64.240.47.65 (5 Apr 2001 07:18:04 -0600)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

```
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18727

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > > > Keep in mind, I don't remember anything of the sort.
> > > >
> > > > I'm not surprised. Harassment comes so naturally to you,
> > > > you don't even remember it.
> > >
> > >  Don't forget, Larry, to these guys anything that disagrees with their
> > > opinions is harassment. Remember their characterization of CW testing as
> > > a "hazing ritual" instead of a qualifying test.
> >
> > BOHICA!
>
>    You codebashers are all alike. And you use the same obscure acronyms
> which are meaningful only to each other. I don't know and couldn't care
> less what that means.

It means: Bend Over, Here It Comes Again.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 4416331969926292511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 06:38:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 94
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Apr 2001 13:36:45 GMT
```

References: <3acc52df.271159668@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010405093645.07473.00000117@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18728

>On 03 Apr 2001 18:16:23 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>>Don't sweat some of these diehard CW fans, Rob. They have
>>to "prove" (by any means possible regardless of ethics,
>>morals, or logic) that OOK CW mode is superior, more
>>useful, or divinely better than any other amateur mode in
>>order to substantiate their rank, status, and position in USA
>>amateur radio that came about through that skill.

Rob, whatever you do, PLEASE do not allow yourself to be lulled by some "enlightened muse" that Lennie tries to portray.

First of all, Lennie's assertions of ""superiority" by Amateurs is absolutely ludicrous. Lennie himself will post and re-post ad-nauseum his own "CV" in order to "impress" people with his OWN "rank, status, priviledge", and then as soon as an Amatuer Radio Operator even alludes to some skill or knowledge, he is assailing them as "braggerts".

This is especially true in any knowledge or skill he does not possess. Of course Morse Code is the most visible of that, but it's not the only source of contention for Lennie. He continues to this day to try and insist that his experience as a "professional" exceeds that of and "amateur".   Inside the box, this may be true, however "ham" radio is NOT "professional" radio, and the PRACTICE of radio TECHNIQUES and programs are NOT the same. Here is where Lennie fails.

Secondly, Lennie denies, even vehemenetly refuses, to become licensed in the Amatuer Service himself, insisting that most of us are "Nazi", "jacbooted thugs" or "brownshirts", but will then state that he is doing what he does "for the betterment of the avocation".

He does nothing of the sort.

And why would someone who insists that an entire subset of society is akin to Nazis spend YEARS in various newsgroups, almost EXCLUSIVELY related TO Amatuer Radio...?!?! Please go back through old USENET archives and find Lennie in any one of his many personalities..."NoCWTest", "Lenof21", "Lenover21", "AveryFine" among others.

I have found archives going back to 1995 just on his AOL ISP. I know there are others. Seems to me he is pretty obsessed with telling Hams how we SHOULD be doing things, yet he is not willing to invest anything in it himself.

To be sure, Lennie knows the inside of the box. Lennie was an electronics technician, and at one point had some engineering experience. Despite a 40+ career in RF technology, however, it seems his only formal published works was in a long-since-failed ham radio magazine.

>>Ergo, whatever They have done in Their locality is better, stronger,
>>more robust, more resistant than any of the public safety
>>or public communications.

More arrogance, Rob. Lennie insists that since California can afford to implement a massive "professional" disaster relief communications system, then "OBVIOUSLY" all localities can do this.

Furthermore, Lennie insists that Hams have little or no impact on disaster relief or emergency communications anywhere, yet on-going media sources (and NOT just from Amatuer magazines) proves this to be false.

No one here, myself included, has EVER stated that an AMATEUR radio net, REGARDLESS of the mode, was "stronger" or "more robust" than that of ANY public safety service.

These assertions are "extrapolations" that Lennie has drawn from comments made that DID state that Amatuer nets are STILL necessary, viable and embraced by various states and communities as back-ups to the governmental services or to fill in when failures occur.

Despite the arrival of the 21st century, holes STILL exist in the radiocommunications infrastructure. And even where that infrastructure IS "robust", Mother Nature has a real bad habit of taking the works of Man and laying waste to them in short order.

Recent weather disasters in the United States has proven this true. One F4 tornado can clean a swathe of several miles of cell towers, repeaters and other communications assets. It is, therefore, the ability of the Radio Amateur with his/her mobile and or portable stations to enter the disaster area and set up even primitive services where we "shine".

And we are not alone. Recent earthquake disasters in Turkey and India have again borne out the contention that private citizens with portable communications assets are often the ONLY assets available, not only in the United States, but abroad.

Lennie asserts this is not true, however every major news media have recently reported on those very same facts.

When it comes to anything that Lennie has to say, Rob, it's "Caveat Emptor...Let The Buyer Beware"......

73

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

```
From -4099809836185074202
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 06:40:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 20:38:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.65
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986477505
64.240.47.65 (5 Apr 2001 07:31:45 -0600)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.icl.net!
newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18729

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The (satellite) system, while
> streamlined and technically sophisticated, is nowhere nearly as
> thoroghly capable (as CW) from a communciations point of view.

Seems to me, even if there were full-time radio operators, they
would today be using the satellite system for communications using
a modulation system other than OOK/Morse. In this modern day and
age, HF/CW is simply not the best choice.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3824735006548991037

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 07:14:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
Date: 5 Apr 2001 14:14:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9ahuka$qll$0@208.206.142.88>
References: <3AC6BABD.48226FFF@IEEE.org> <20010404210923.01729.00001788@nso-
fc.aol.com> <3ACC7703.234FB93@IEEE.org> <9agnju$3vd$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACC9710.E30420B0@IEEE.org> <9agvjq$mg9$2@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1AD5.FC578B58@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.88
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18730

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > > > > Keep in mind, I don't remember anything of the sort.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm not surprised. Harassment comes so naturally to you,
> > > > > you don't even remember it.
> > > >
> > > > Don't forget, Larry, to these guys anything that disagrees with their
> > > > opinions is harassment. Remember their characterization of CW testing as
> > > > a "hazing ritual" instead of a qualifying test.
> > >
> > > BOHICA!
> >
> >   You codebashers are all alike. And you use the same obscure acronyms
> > which are meaningful only to each other. I don't know and couldn't care
> > less what that means.
>

> It means: Bend Over, Here It Comes Again.

Your head going in?

```
From -1125436017939228821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8e02725d58762914
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 07:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-
out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: YL Needs Elmer/Western Chicago Suburbs!
Organization: ARRL
Message-ID: <ik1pctkn9uom55qhb7gi05p4jm5k745tdj@4ax.com>
References: <20010403173310.22592.00003849@ng-md1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 35
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 14:50:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.95.131.236
X-Complaints-To: abuse@stny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 986482242 24.95.131.236 (Thu, 05 Apr 2001 10:50:42
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 10:50:42 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18731
```

On 03 Apr 2001 21:33:10 GMT, qrvchicago@aol.comJUNKNOT (QRVChicago)
wrote:

>I'd like to find a friendly, knowledgeable "Elmer" in the suburban Chicago
>area....preferably around the western 'burbs where I am......who can help me
>with operating procedures, lingo, understand equipment, etc. This is no joke;
>please no flames or other rude behavior. Please mind your manners. I'm NOT
>looking for a boyfriend, in fact, I'm married and a mother! <grin>
>

>Basically, I got into ham radio on a dare. Another ham "bet" me I could not
>pass the exam........and, well, here I am. This person lives in a far-away
>state so can't really "Elmer" me. And I come from a line of radioheads....dad
>was a WWII Navy radioman (he still has wicked-fast CW!!!!) and an uncle is an
>Extra.
>
>My call sign is withheld for privacy reasons. I'll divulge that to any *real*
>hams who don't seem like stalkers, creeps or idiots. Please email me directly

>if you can assist. Many TNX and, of course, 73..........

Hello... I'm a creep - and an idiot - but too lazy to stalk anyone.
Besides all that, I'm not in Chicago, but NYS. Regardless,
congratulations on your Technician license and commendations on the
ambition and desire to upgrade. I wish you the best of luck and hope
that you'll find yourself an appropriate Elmer.

73 de

Ray / AB2HR

SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet: 24.95.131.236 port 6000
http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Park/9257/lostchrd.html

From 8636402003700591673
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 07:55:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 5 Apr 2001 14:55:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.88
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18732

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The (satellite) system, while
> > streamlined and technically sophisticated, is nowhere nearly as
> > thoroghly capable (as CW) from a communciations point of view.
>

> Seems to me, even if there were full-time radio operators, they
> would today be using the satellite system for communications using
> a modulation system other than OOK/Morse. In this modern day and
> age, HF/CW is simply not the best choice.

Sure, there's always a better, more modern and efficient (from some viewpoints)
way of doing most anything - invariably with its own set of
shortcomings, many of which were/are not present in older, less
sophistcated systems. The driving force
is economics. The cheapest system that provides acceptable results will
be the one that is adopted.

From 6789602147219665981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 08:04:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Thu, 5 Apr 2001 10:03:35 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9ai1fd$iv2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net> <WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9agvcg$mg9$0@208.206.142.106>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.85.40
X-Server-Date: 5 Apr 2001 15:03:09 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18733 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14621

Yes indeed....after the 'mass exodus' from VHF to the DC bands....you can
bet your bippy that is what it is all about.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9agvcg$mg9$0@208.206.142.106...
>
>
> Ron wrote:
> >

> > I don't think that under ITU regulations they can do that on HF...I'm
> > worried about 2-meters and above.
>
>
> That's where the commercial/governmental demand is, and where we use it
> far less than could ever justify keeping all of it. You can expect
> losses up there. It's as good as done, AFAIC.

From 6254183414951772740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 08:10:04 PST
Message-ID: <3ACD331B.9762E29A@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 22:08:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Back to 11 Meters.
References: <3AC6BABD.48226FFF@IEEE.org> <20010404210923.01729.00001788@nso-fc.aol.com> <3ACC7703.234FB93@IEEE.org> <9agnju$3vd$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACC9710.E30420B0@IEEE.org> <9agvjq$mg9$2@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1AD5.FC578B58@IEEE.org> <9ahuka$qll$0@208.206.142.88>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.65
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986482898
64.240.47.65 (5 Apr 2001 09:01:38 -0600)
Lines: 40
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18734

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >

> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > > > > > Keep in mind, I don't remember anything of the sort.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm not surprised. Harassment comes so naturally to you,
> > > > > > you don't even remember it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Don't forget, Larry, to these guys anything that disagrees with their
> > > > > opinions is harassment. Remember their characterization of CW testing as
> > > > > a "hazing ritual" instead of a qualifying test.
> > > >
> > > > BOHICA!
> > >
> > >   You codebashers are all alike. And you use the same obscure acronyms
> > > which are meaningful only to each other. I don't know and couldn't care
> > > less what that means.
> >
> > It means: Bend Over, Here It Comes Again.
>
> Your head going in?

Nope, it means you're in line to follow Larry.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2027028401535302444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 08:18:17 PST
Message-ID: <3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 22:16:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>

```
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.65
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986483423
64.240.47.65 (5 Apr 2001 09:10:23 -0600)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18735

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The cheapest system that provides acceptable results will
> be the one that is adopted.

"Acceptable results" being the key concept. When one can get acceptable
results for less money, that is a good thing. Why pay more than you have
to for the same acceptable result?

If the Titanic and California had had the present day satellite system
in place, many more lives would have been saved by a system that, unlike
CW operators, never sleeps.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -6370455173443829131
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 08:31:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-
mail
```

From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 5 Apr 2001 15:15:05 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9ai25p$ebr$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986483705 14715 205.139.138.14 (5 Apr 2001 15:15:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Apr 2001 15:15:05 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18736
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2262

In article <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>, Jeffrey Herman
<jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:

> I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked!

Happens all the time.

> The phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!

Reality check, Jeffie! Why would anyone do that?

Far more likely than your "bootlegging" scenario, the fellow is either:

1) Sending his call inexpertly, so it sounds like "KH6O", or
2) Being copied inexpertly, or
3) All the above.

If you know his 10-10 number, send it in to Ten-ten International and ask who has that number. My guess is that it belongs to someone with a call like K5BO, KH6MT, KH6TM, KS6O, or similar.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 225109111067753301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 08:31:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 5 Apr 2001 15:25:54 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9ai2q2$fhk$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986484354 15924 205.139.138.14 (5 Apr 2001 15:25:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Apr 2001 15:25:54 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18737
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2263

In article <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>, Jeffrey Herman
<jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:

>I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
>phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!

Is the number 65840?

It belongs to KH6MT.

Now don't you feel silly with your "bootlegging" conspiracy rant?

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups

NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -6912373813553234984
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 09:22:18 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 15:44:54 GMT
Message-ID: <3acc8a30.12327191@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com> <Ks6y6.3723$XV.846537@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.149
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.149
X-Trace: 5 Apr 2001 10:42:06 -0500, 64.24.17.149
Lines: 25
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18738

On Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:48:26 GMT, "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>Just out of curiosity ... since when do you need to communicate further than
>that in an emergency ... i am guessing you really want fred from timbuktu to
>help you out eh?
>
>--

Robbie my boy, you ain't getting it. There are two kinds of emergency situations hams handle: The first is the ham finding himself in the middle of an awshit and getting immediate help by whatever means are at his command. Some repeater, his cellphone, whatever. Occasionally those become regional like ice storms, etc. Beyond that cometh the wide-scale natural disasters in far off places where hams get involved in handling all the great heaps of, typically, Red Cross administrative comms and health & welfare traffic sometimes for days on end following the event. Usually several times a year.
>

So yes Robbie, there are times when hams shoot skip to run long-haul disaster relief traffic bwtween the U.S. and other countries which allow third party handling. When the lights go out in Costa Rica it's a 1700 Km shoot to Miami. You might have noticed that we tend to do

```
things on a bigger scale down here in the lower 48 . . .
>
w3rv


From 1209646469906696932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 09:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!uhunix5!jeffreyh
From: Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@hawaii.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: Thu, 5 Apr 2001 06:25:52 -1000
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0104050625060.22433-100000@uhunix5>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <200104051515.LAA14744@newsone.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 986487956 2821 128.171.44.55 (5 Apr 2001 16:25:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Apr 2001 16:25:56 GMT
To: <K0HB@arrl.org>
X-X-Sender:  <jeffreyh@uhunix5>
In-Reply-To: <200104051515.LAA14744@newsone.net>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18740

The fellow is passing himself off as being in Hawaii and giving
his name as "Jeff."

Now go away, Hans.

On Thu, 5 Apr 2001 K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

> In article <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>, Jeffrey Herman
> <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:
>
> > I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked!
>
> Happens all the time.
>
> > The phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!
>
> Reality check, Jeffie!  Why would anyone do that?
>
> Far more likely than your "bootlegging" scenario, the fellow is either:
>
> 1) Sending his call inexpertly, so it sounds like "KH6O", or
> 2) Being copied inexpertly, or
```

> 3) All the above.
>
> If you know his 10-10 number, send it in to Ten-ten International and ask who
> has that number. My guess is that it belongs to someone with a call like
> K5BO, KH6MT, KH6TM, KS6O, or similar.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Mailed via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
>   http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
>   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from sending spam. If this or other email sent
> through NewsOne.Net contains unsolicited advertising, email abuse@newsone.net
>

From 6250197319762239554
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 09:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!uhunix5!jeffreyh
From: Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@hawaii.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: Thu, 5 Apr 2001 06:28:39 -1000
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0104050626061.22433-100000@uhunix5>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <200104051526.LAA15945@newsone.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 986488125 2992 128.171.44.55 (5 Apr 2001 16:28:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Apr 2001 16:28:45 GMT
To: <K0HB@arrl.org>
X-X-Sender: <jeffreyh@uhunix5>
In-Reply-To: <200104051526.LAA15945@newsone.net>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18739

On Thu, 5 Apr 2001 K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

> <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:

> >I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The
> >phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!

> Is the number 65840?
> It belongs to KH6MT.
> Now don't you feel silly with your "bootlegging" conspiracy rant?

The fellow is giving his QTH as Hawaii and using my name.

Now don't YOU feel silly?

From -8270199586200983544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 09:47:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 5 Apr 2001 16:35:00 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9ai6rk$nq9$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3acb2b6e.195542675@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986488500 24393 205.139.138.14 (5 Apr 2001 16:35:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Apr 2001 16:35:00 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: lenover21@aol.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18741

In article <20010404150806.25414.00000719@nso-cd.aol.com>, Len Over 21
<lenover21@aol.com> writes:

>I'm sure (no, make that certain) that you think telegraphy is the
>primest of the prime qualities in radio...in the 1950s or in the 2000s.

My observations had nothing to do with telegraphy.

I simply observed that the record shows that:

1) The no-HF/minimal-HF amateur license classes (Tech, Tech+, Novice) have
lost about 23,000 people since last April.

2) The full-HF license classes (General, Advanced, Extra) have gained about
28,000 during the same time period.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From 3764217221318538832
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 10:32:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 5 Apr 2001 17:22:46 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9ai9l6$sqr$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <200104051526.LAA15945@newsone.net>
<Pine.GSO.4.33.0104050626061.22433-100000@uhunix5>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986491366 29531 205.139.138.14 (5 Apr 2001 17:22:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Apr 2001 17:22:46 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18742
```

In article <Pine.GSO.4.33.0104050626061.22433-100000@uhunix5>, Jeffrey Herman
<jeffreyh@hawaii.edu> writes:

>
>Now don't YOU feel silly?
>

Nope!

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From 3655537264675895460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 11:52:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!hammer.uoregon.edu!
news.hawaii.edu!uhunix3!jeffreyh
From: Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@hawaii.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: Thu, 5 Apr 2001 08:37:54 -1000
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.33.0104050729260.29101-100000@uhunix3>
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <200104051526.LAA15945@newsone.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix3.its.hawaii.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 986495881 10696 128.171.44.52 (5 Apr 2001 18:38:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Apr 2001 18:38:01 GMT
To: <K0HB@arrl.org>
X-X-Sender:  <jeffreyh@uhunix3>
In-Reply-To: <200104051526.LAA15945@newsone.net>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18743
```

On Thu, 5 Apr 2001 K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

> Is the number 65840?

No.

> It belongs to KH6MT.

The latest QSL card was received from KP4XA in PR; the contact he had with "KH6O" was on 10m SSB. So you're accusing Terry, KH6MT, in FL, of bootlegging my name and call on SSB. You're opening yourself up to a defamation of character lawsuit by him, Hans.

> Now don't you feel silly with your "bootlegging" conspiracy rant?

Whatever...

73, Jeff KH6O

From -7504988048730252992
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 12:30:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.tele.dk!193.174.75.178!news-fra1.dfn.de!news-koe1.dfn.de!do.de.uu.net!ams.uu.net!news.mailgate.org!smtp.adc.com!not-for-mail
From: Hans_Brakob@adc.com ("Brakob, Hans")
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Thu, 5 Apr 2001 19:28:25 +0000 (UTC)
Organization: Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3145@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: smtp.adc.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
X-Trace: news.mailgate.org 986498905 6692 155.226.10.207 (Thu, 5 Apr 2001 14:28:13 -0500)
X-Complaints-To: smtp.adc.com@abuse.net abuse@mailgate.org
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 5 Apr 2001 19:28:25 +0000 (UTC)
Mail-From: Hans_Brakob@adc.com from smtp.adc.com [155.226.10.207]
X-URL: http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18744

> Hans, did you give up on Amatuer Radio awards and are now
> trying to accumulate the most ISP's...?!?!?

I am fortunate to have access to the internet via a number of
different means.

Is that a problem for you?

Go soak your fat head.

Hans

--
Posted from smtp.adc.com [155.226.10.207]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

From -7840103693501837764
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 13:47:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
<3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org> <9abd06$csq$0@208.206.142.78>
<3ACA2A7C.45DCB52@IEEE.org> <9ae0bb$kf3$0@208.207.71.167>
<3ACB4AE2.4652CAD0@IEEE.org> <9affda$h2m$0@208.207.71.159>
<cnMy6.21047$XV.5259284@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9agmav$scb$2@208.206.142.106>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <0S4z6.28231$XV.7315990@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 20:47:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986503676 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 05 Apr 2001 13:47:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 13:47:56 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18745

in the same way you believe the only true reliable method of radio comms is
cw ... such an out dated and ancient technique

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                                  |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                  |
\-------------------------------------------------------/
```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9agmav$scb$2@208.206.142.106...
>

```
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > all the facts you know are 40 yrs out of date
>
>
>  That's your story and you're sticking to it. Because it's the only one
> you know, as erroneous as it is.




From 2382497580970462444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 13:48:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9ae2p2$p85$1@208.207.71.167>
<DjMy6.21023$XV.5253379@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aga44$dc0$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<vcPy6.22584$XV.5684782@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9agu0o$nk3$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <AS4z6.28233$XV.7316876@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 20:48:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986503712 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 05 Apr 2001 13:48:32
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 13:48:32 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18746

i am too lazy to use it ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
/-------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
```

| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:9agu0o$nk3$1@news.service.uci.edu...
>
> Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:vcPy6.22584$XV.5684782@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > I assume you needed to put your glasses on to check that out ?
>
> Nope. What's your excuse?
>
>

From 4985930300448376285
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 13:52:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com> <Ks6y6.3723$XV.846537@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3acc8a30.12327191@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 43
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <lW4z6.28236$XV.7322126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 20:52:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986503953 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 05 Apr 2001 13:52:33 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 13:52:33 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18747

well geez then in that case if Dildo, NFLD goes without power for a couple
days it would be best to communicate the 4000km's

--
Rob St.Denis

```
Telecommunications Technician
/--------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                          |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org               |
\--------------------------------------------------------/
"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3acc8a30.12327191@news2.dvol.com...
> On Mon, 02 Apr 2001 21:48:26 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Just out of curiosity ... since when do you need to communicate further
than
> >that in an emergency ... i am guessing you really want fred from timbuktu
to
> >help you out eh?
> >
> >--
> Robbie my boy, you ain't getting it. There are two kinds of emergency
> situations hams handle: The first is the ham finding himself in the
> middle of an awshit and getting immediate help by whatever means are
> at his command. Some repeater, his cellphone, whatever. Occasionally
> those become regional like ice storms, etc. Beyond that cometh the
> wide-scale natural disasters in far off places where hams get involved
> in handling all the great heaps of, typically, Red Cross
> administrative comms and health & welfare traffic sometimes for days
> on end following the event. Usually several times a year.
> >
> So yes Robbie, there are times when hams shoot skip to run long-haul
> disaster relief traffic bwtween the U.S. and other countries which
> allow third party handling. When the lights go out in Costa Rica it's
> a 1700 Km shoot to Miami. You might have noticed that we tend to do
> things on a bigger scale down here in the lower 48 . . .
> >
> w3rv




From -8237385413897816636
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 16:05:53 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 23:05:26 GMT
Message-ID: <3accef32.38187024@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com> <Ks6y6.3723$XV.846537@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

```
<3acc8a30.12327191@news2.dvol.com> <lW4z6.28236$XV.7322126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.89
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.89
X-Trace: 5 Apr 2001 18:02:37 -0500, 64.24.19.89
Lines: 30
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18748

On Thu, 05 Apr 2001 20:52:33 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>well geez then in that case if Dildo, NFLD goes without power for a couple
>days it would be best to communicate the 4000km's
>
No, smacko, the lights going out in Newfie country would not usually
warrant an international Red Cross or a UN or a U.S. ham radio
respose. But if some natural disaster pops up there and kills bunches
of people it might hapen if Ottowa can't cut the mustard and hollers
for international help to bail the Newfies out.
>
In some uncounted number of instances going back decades ham radio has
been the only means left for communications with the "outside world"
after a major disaster and it's done on HF. Very few of those comms
involve actual life & death comms which can obviously only be handled
by personnel near the scene. Nobody in their right minds will try to
summon paramedics on 40m cw (although that stunt has been done, too).
>
It's called long-haul disaster relief communications. If you can't do
4,000 kMs in your own country you're not much of an asset in the big
picture. But keep yer HT batteries charged, ya just never know . . .

>

>--
>Rob St.Denis
>
w3rv




From -352161407258697005
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 16:10:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!news-
```

```
out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!
worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACA2D51.A9E81986@IEEE.org>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104030835060.14049-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<9adgeq$ou9$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3ACB10D4.EBA96072@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Lines: 41
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <UX6z6.12270$RF1.819444@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 23:10:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.174.23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986512244 12.88.174.23 (Thu, 05 Apr
2001 23:10:44 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 23:10:44 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18749
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2267
```

For what it is worth, how difficult is it to hook up a computer and let the machine send and receive the code? I doubt most bootleggers would do this since it might entail just a bit of work; regardless, the question remains - how would anyone know that the other individual can or cannot send or receive CW? Computers make this relatively painless.

A simple solution might be to change the testing. Everyone gets a piece of paper and a pencil. They sign their name and put the date on the paper. After the papers are collected, the VEs simply check drivers licenses and see if the names were spelled properly. 50 points for the proper spelling of your own name and 50 points for getting the date right. 50% would be a passing score. That would be the general. For the extra, you have to spell the name of the city, town, or village you reside in. Correctly guessing the answer counts. Passing would require two out of three correct answers (name, date, location). Extra classes could apply for and obtain 2X3 calls, others would be issued either 3X3 or 3X4 calls.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ACB10D4.EBA96072@IEEE.org...
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > D. Stussy <kd6lvw@att.net> wrote:
> > >Bootlegging usually is with the voice modes.
> >
> > "Usually"? What's the basis of such a conclusion?
>

> It stands to reason that a person who can bootleg CW can probably
> obtain a general class license. It is not so apparent for voice
> mode bootleggers.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6095031107206270078
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1f00b62a918777b
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1f00b62a918777b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1f00b62a918777b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 17:49:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc1.tn.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Allen" <wookie8u@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <dYny6.1206$rk1.132948@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Hara Arena 2-B sold to developers?
Lines: 7
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Message-ID: <9o8z6.12300$C51.3668990@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 00:49:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.12.65.155
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.tn.home.com 986518149 24.12.65.155 (Thu, 05 Apr 2001 17:49:09 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 17:49:09 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14627 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18750 rec.radio.shortwave:30918

Check out the Hamvention FAQ page to find the answer:
http://www.hamvention.org/faq.html
It has a couple more years at Hara.

Allen

```
From -4554568936530333012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 18:15:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!
169.207.30.132!newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-nwblwi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acb2b6e.195542675@news2.dvol.com> <9ai6rk$nq9$1@news.netmar.com>
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: Thu, 5 Apr 2001 21:12:10 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 6859c368.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=RXP[ZeaclOM=>N\5^GS<lJ`<WTGT>OiBN[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FGhUH@Lh\^EbLlBFDaL\d^nE`
5UibMl;amJ
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18751
```

<K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:9ai6rk$nq9$1@news.netmar.com...

> In article <20010404150806.25414.00000719@nso-cd.aol.com>, Len Over 21
> <lenover21@aol.com> writes:
>
> >I'm sure (no, make that certain) that you think telegraphy is the
> >primest of the prime qualities in radio...in the 1950s or in the 2000s.
>
> My observations had nothing to do with telegraphy.
>
> I simply observed that the record shows that:
>
> 1) The no-HF/minimal-HF amateur license classes (Tech, Tech+, Novice) have
> lost about 23,000 people since last April.
>
> 2) The full-HF license classes (General, Advanced, Extra) have gained about
> 28,000 during the same time period.

For period March 2000 to Dec 2000.

A. The full-HF license classes (General, Advanced, Extra) gained 27,628.

B. The token-HF license classes (Tech plus, Novice) dropped 38,802.

C. The all HF license classes dropped 11,174.

D. The VHF/UHF only class gained 14,577.

E. All licenses classes gained 3,403.

The effect of Tech Plus class being renewed as Tech will not
be seen until 2001.   You will see the VHF/UHF class gain
jump up to 20,000 by April 2001. It is estimated the Tech Plus
renewal account for about 5,000 of the gain.

It is know that less half of eligible amateurs renewed their
license at the end of the ten year term.

I leave you to draw your conclusions on the meaning of these trend.

Larry KC8EPO

From -5914245097199290196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 18:35:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Apr 2001 01:34:30 GMT
References: <3ACB10D4.EBA96072@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Message-ID: <20010405213430.09227.00000719@nso-mp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18752

In article <3ACB10D4.EBA96072@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>
>> D. Stussy <kd6lvw@att.net> wrote:
>> >Bootlegging usually is with the voice modes.
>>
>> "Usually"? What's the basis of such a conclusion?

>
>It stands to reason that a person who can bootleg CW can probably
>obtain a general class license.

Now THERE'S a good reason to keep the Morse mode!

> It is not so apparent for voice
>mode bootleggers.

Precisely. Thanks, Cecil.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 6408527380097830503
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 18:35:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Apr 2001 01:34:30 GMT
References: <guQy6.23250$XV.5895027@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010405213430.09227.00000718@nso-mp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18753

In article <guQy6.23250$XV.5895027@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:

>i have operated a class c on my own ... solar powered ...
>

Class C is mobile. I was talking about a fixed station, where everything has to be set up and taken down. I've done that sort of thing several times, and it wasn't a QRP operation, either. Best I ever did was 640 QSOs - 629 HF CW and 11 VHF phone. Pretty good for a homebrew transceiver made from recycled parts.

>and last year was with vo1aa in nfld ...

The group I was with last year used my call. We ran one full time CW station, three full time voice stations and one part cw/part phone station. There were far more phone ops and loggers than CW ops. But the CW folks made 558 QSOs and the phone folks made 393 QSOs. All stations used comparable equipment.
>

>actually ... antenna design (simple anyways) is part of the licencing here
>... as well as station setup ... simple block diagrams etc ...

There's a lot more to actually putting up a real live antenna than block diagrams and multiple choice Q & A.

>

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 5264719176862345479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 18:46:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com> <Ks6y6.3723$XV.846537@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3acc8a30.12327191@news2.dvol.com> <lW4z6.28236$XV.7322126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3accef32.38187024@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 48
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Yd9z6.30134$XV.7924454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 01:46:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986521592 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 05 Apr 2001 18:46:32 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 18:46:32 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18754

well i dont know if youve ever been in an area that has snow seven months of hte year ... and temps below -15c for the same ... lights going out for a long term most definately is call for an alarm in canadian red cross

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician

```
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\-----------------------------------------------------/
"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3accef32.38187024@news2.dvol.com...
> On Thu, 05 Apr 2001 20:52:33 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
> >well geez then in that case if Dildo, NFLD goes without power for a
couple
> >days it would be best to communicate the 4000km's
> >
> No, smacko, the lights going out in Newfie country would not usually
> warrant an international Red Cross or a UN or a U.S. ham radio
> respose. But if some natural disaster pops up there and kills bunches
> of people it might hapen if Ottowa can't cut the mustard and hollers
> for international help to bail the Newfies out.
> >
> In some uncounted number of instances going back decades ham radio has
> been the only means left for communications with the "outside world"
> after a major disaster and it's done on HF. Very few of those comms
> involve actual life & death comms which can obviously only be handled
> by personnel near the scene. Nobody in their right minds will try to
> summon paramedics on 40m cw (although that stunt has been done, too).
> >
> It's called long-haul disaster relief communications. If you can't do
> 4,000 kMs in your own country you're not much of an asset in the big
> picture. But keep yer HT batteries charged, ya just never know . . .
>
> >
>
> >--
> >Rob St.Denis
> >
> w3rv
>




From 1424778569087483042
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 18:50:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <guQy6.23250$XV.5895027@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010405213430.09227.00000718@nso-mp.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 55
```

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Bh9z6.30163$XV.7937040@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 01:50:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986521825 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 05 Apr 2001 18:50:25
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 05 Apr 2001 18:50:25 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18755

making a dipole is basic ... cut two 1/4 waves ... there we go ... a dipole
...

i have no idea what we logged last year ... albeit cw got more points (since
multiplier was 2) but we certainly scored an equal number of qso's on either

as for my mobile ... well ya ... its basically just a go ahead and operate
... but getting a mobile that works nice is hard enough

--
Rob St.Denis

Telecommunications Technician
```
/------------------------------------------------------\
| Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
| e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
| Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
\------------------------------------------------------/
```
"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010405213430.09227.00000718@nso-mp.aol.com...
> In article <guQy6.23250$XV.5895027@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> writes:
>
> >i have operated a class c on my own ... solar powered ...
> >
> Class C is mobile. I was talking about a fixed station, where everything
has to
> be set up and taken down. I've done that sort of thing several times, and
it
> wasn't a QRP operation, either. Best I ever did was 640 QSOs - 629 HF CW
and 11
> VHF phone. Pretty good for a homebrew transceiver made from recycled
parts.
>
> >and last year was with vo1aa in nfld ...
>
> The group I was with last year used my call. We ran one full time CW
station,
> three full time voice stations and one part cw/part phone station. There

```
were
> far more phone ops and loggers than CW ops. But the CW folks made 558 QSOs
and
> the phone folks made 393 QSOs. All stations used comparable equipment.
> >
> >actually ... antenna design (simple anyways) is part of the licencing
here
> >... as well as station setup ... simple block diagrams etc ...
>
> There's a lot more to actually putting up a real live antenna than block
> diagrams and multiple choice Q & A.
> >
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>




From -2733179765948031311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 19:35:01 PST
Message-ID: <3ACDD3F1.C87CBDC3@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 09:34:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <guQy6.23250$XV.5895027@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010405213430.09227.00000718@nso-mp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986524071
64.240.47.99 (5 Apr 2001 20:27:51 -0600)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!isdnet!howland.erols.net!
cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!earthquake.efnet.com!
efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18756
```

N2EY wrote:
> But the CW folks made 558 QSOs and the phone folks made 393 QSOs.

That's because we can send CW with our right hands and drink beer
with our left hands. It's hard to talk and drink.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4479982151204814758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 19:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-nwblwi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9ai2q2$fhk$1@news.netmar.com>
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
Date: Thu, 5 Apr 2001 22:35:08 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3acd2b74$0$12825$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 54dfd18b.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC==Di?eM?
[YSA=>N\5^GS<lJ`<WTGT>OiBN[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FG7eA;i82OjIKlBFDaL\d^nE^34SgMjEUSD
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18757
```

<K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:9ai2q2$fhk$1@news.netmar.com...
> In article <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu>, Jeffrey Herman
> <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:
>
> >I seem to be getting QSL cards from folks I've never worked! The

> >phony KH6O is on 10m CW, handing out "his" 10-10 number!
>
> Is the number 65840?
>
> It belongs to KH6MT.
>
> Now don't you feel silly with your "bootlegging" conspiracy rant?
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

Callsign: KH6MT   Class: General
Name: TERRY R. OLDHAM
Addr1: 12149 NEST CT
Addr2: GRAND ISLAND, FL 32735
Country: USA
Effective: 27 Jun 1995   Expires: 01 Jun 2003

Biography Info:
First licensed Mar 1960 WV2LDB. Upgraded to General class
after 2 tries to WA2LDB. Moved Hawaii 1976 and to Florida
1988. Didn't know what DX was in 1960. It's my life now
next to my family. This is my "vanity" call sign now. Intend to keep it. 73's and see you in the PILE-UP

From 7159142288490079781
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 19:42:17 PST
Message-ID: <3ACDD59B.76AC55F4@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2001 09:41:31 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
References: <3ACB10D4.EBA96072@IEEE.org> <20010405213430.09227.00000719@nso-mp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986524499 64.240.47.99 (5 Apr 2001 20:34:59 -0600)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or

copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!feed.textport.net!
news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18758

N2EY wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >It stands to reason that a person who can bootleg CW can probably
> >obtain a general class license.
>
> Now THERE'S a good reason to keep the Morse mode!

So bootleggers can use it?

> > It is not so apparent for voice mode bootleggers.
>
> Precisely. Thanks, Cecil.

IMO, it's a no brainer to assume that there are magnitudes more voice
mode bootleggers than CW bootleggers - although I did bootleg CW in
between passing the Novice exam and receiving my license in the mail.
I came pretty close to guessing my new call.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1600480780567642806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 20:37:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 6 Apr 2001 03:37:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9ajdlk$sl0$0@208.207.71.164>
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
<3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18759

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The cheapest system that provides acceptable results will
> > be the one that is adopted.
>
> "Acceptable results" being the key concept. When one can get acceptable
> results for less money, that is a good thing. Why pay more than you have
> to for the same acceptable result?
>
> If the Titanic and California had had the present day satellite system
> in place, many more lives would have been saved by a system that, unlike
> CW operators, never sleeps.

The Titanic episode directly caused changes to radiotelegraph
operations such that there was never again a time when such an incident
could happen. New procedures and systems such as the Autoalarm system
were put into service. If Autoalarm had been in use the night the
Titanac sank Californian would have been alerted and probably saved most
of the lives that were lost - even though Californian's radio op was not
on duty.

From 2373179425455156677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 20:38:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 6 Apr 2001 03:38:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9ajdnf$sl0$1@208.207.71.164>
References: <kA8y6.4232$XV.1180233@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

<20010403141623.27365.00000746@nso-ff.aol.com>
<3aca43d2$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9agv7b$mg8$0@208.206.142.106>
<3ACD1E08.3482F61F@IEEE.org> <9ai11g$61b$0@208.206.142.88>
<3ACD3526.C2BB43E8@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18760

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The cheapest system that provides acceptable results will
> > be the one that is adopted.
>
> "Acceptable results" being the key concept.

Which can be a very subjective concept.

From -4207566522167970883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 20:42:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 6 Apr 2001 03:42:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9ajdvc$sl0$2@208.207.71.164>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Dm0x6.164047$p66.48148474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3ngj$at9$1@208.206.142.87>
<3AC56FA8.20FB8986@IEEE.org> <9a611c$osb$2@208.207.71.172>
<3AC6BB34.B5670A98@IEEE.org> <9a7st6$8ve$3@208.206.142.100>
<3AC82DD2.981DEB3@IEEE.org> <9a8sn4$5sq$0@208.207.71.141>
<3AC93303.94035532@IEEE.org> <9aa6kj$qds$0@208.207.71.158>
<3AC96177.FEDABA10@IEEE.org> <9abd06$csq$0@208.206.142.78>
<3ACA2A7C.45DCB52@IEEE.org> <9ae0bb$kf3$0@208.207.71.167>
<3ACB4AE2.4652CAD0@IEEE.org> <9affda$h2m$0@208.207.71.159>
<cnMy6.21047$XV.5259284@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9agmav$scb$2@208.206.142.106>
<0S4z6.28231$XV.7315990@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18761
```

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> in the same way you believe the only true reliable method of radio comms is
> cw ... such an out dated and ancient technique

You clearly can't understand how really ridiculous you are. You and
Cecil are the truly delusional souls posting here. Get help.

```
From 8704946640440267091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 20:47:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 6 Apr 2001 03:47:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9aje7n$lm$0@208.207.71.164>
References: <3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <20010401173425.26056.00000375@nso-
ba.aol.com> <vDNx6.183021$p66.53907311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac83920.2429060@news2.dvol.com> <%%_x6.931$XV.202856@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3ac8f131.49552528@news2.dvol.com> <Ks6y6.3723$XV.846537@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3acc8a30.12327191@news2.dvol.com> <lW4z6.28236$XV.7322126@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3accef32.38187024@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18762
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Thu, 05 Apr 2001 20:52:33 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>

> >well geez then in that case if Dildo, NFLD goes without power for a couple
> >days it would be best to communicate the 4000km's
> >
> No, smacko, the lights going out in Newfie country would not usually
> warrant an international Red Cross or a UN or a U.S. ham radio
> respose. But if some natural disaster pops up there and kills bunches
> of people it might hapen if Ottowa can't cut the mustard and hollers
> for international help to bail the Newfies out.
> >
> In some uncounted number of instances going back decades ham radio has
> been the only means left for communications with the "outside world"
> after a major disaster and it's done on HF. Very few of those comms
> involve actual life & death comms which can obviously only be handled
> by personnel near the scene. Nobody in their right minds will try to
> summon paramedics on 40m cw (although that stunt has been done, too).
> >
> It's called long-haul disaster relief communications. If you can't do
> 4,000 kMs in your own country you're not much of an asset in the big
> picture. But keep yer HT batteries charged, ya just never know . . .
>

Rob has mad it clear that he has no understanding of disaster communications.

From 6705392687066578701
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-05 20:52:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Date: 6 Apr 2001 03:52:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <9ajehq$1dk$0@208.207.71.164>
References: <3acb2b6e.195542675@news2.dvol.com> <9ai6rk$nq9$1@news.netmar.com> <3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18763

Larry Klose wrote:

>
> <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:9ai6rk$nq9$1@news.netmar.com...
> > In article <20010404150806.25414.00000719@nso-cd.aol.com>, Len Over 21
> > <lenover21@aol.com> writes:
> >
> > >I'm sure (no, make that certain) that you think telegraphy is the
> > >primest of the prime qualities in radio...in the 1950s or in the 2000s.
> >
> > My observations had nothing to do with telegraphy.
> >
> > I simply observed that the record shows that:
> >
> > 1) The no-HF/minimal-HF amateur license classes (Tech, Tech+, Novice) have
> > lost about 23,000 people since last April.
> >
> > 2) The full-HF license classes (General, Advanced, Extra) have gained
> about
> > 28,000 during the same time period.
>
> For period March 2000 to Dec 2000.
>
> A. The full-HF license classes (General, Advanced, Extra) gained 27,628.
>
> B. The token-HF license classes (Tech plus, Novice) dropped 38,802.
>
> C. The all HF license classes dropped 11,174.
>
> D. The VHF/UHF only class gained 14,577.
>
> E. All licenses classes gained 3,403.
>
> The effect of Tech Plus class being renewed as Tech will not
> be seen until 2001.   You will see the VHF/UHF class gain
> jump up to 20,000 by April 2001. It is estimated the Tech Plus
> renewal account for about 5,000 of the gain.
>
> It is know that less half of eligible amateurs renewed their
> license at the end of the ten year term.
>
> I leave you to draw your conclusions on the meaning of these trend.

Ands OF COURSE the answer to all the ills of the ARS is to completely
drop all code testing NOW. Right. As if.

From -470456141837895803
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 07:20:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!

```
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 09:21:38 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 5
Message-ID: <9aps4a$5ef$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3ACF3A6A.454337DC@IEEE.org> <20010407052155.06606.00000445@nso-
cg.aol.com> <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org> <9ancqh$ers$0@208.206.142.107>
<3AD00BC2.8F0F0A72@IEEE.org> <9ap5ql$d0k$0@208.207.71.164>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.a8.29
X-Server-Date: 8 Apr 2001 14:20:58 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18915
```

Cecil,
It's your turn to tell Dick that he is confused. :-)

```
From -2471503136572402286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 07:23:45 PST
Message-ID: <3AD11D0E.E3BD0E86@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 21:23:10 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3ad069b9.118604489@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986739396
64.240.47.121 (8 Apr 2001 09:16:36 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
```

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18917

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> Freebanding is OK because it's lesser crime than some other crimes?

In the same sense that jaywalking is a lesser crime than murder.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3323321463230431183
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 07:34:56 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 14:34:30 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad0757c.121616089@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <CRRz6.1273$kp6.561839@nntp2.onemain.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.128
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.128
X-Trace: 8 Apr 2001 09:31:35 -0500, 64.24.16.128
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18918

>
>The essence of your argument is 'prepare to be overwhelmed'. OK, I am
>prepared.
>
Screw it, when the spots go down their show will be over again. Wonder
what this Train clown will do to feed his 'po chullins then . . .
>

>Dick N�BK
>
w3rv

From -2026916100382139091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 07:38:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 8 Apr 2001 14:38:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net> <WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164> <3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14767 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18919

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Do you get the feeling in the pit of your stomach that the ARS is being
> > set up
> > for a Humpty-Dumpty type of fall? There is a nagging feeling that all
> > this
> > dropping of qualifications that shoves the ARS ever closer to a CB-like
> > atmosphere is not without some goal in mind......
>
> You've been shouting that the sky is falling ever since you joined this
> newsgroup, Dick. Freebanders have not overrun the ARS, CW is still being
> used, and the sky is still where it should be.

And you're still full of stinky stuff. The ARS is loaded with

clandestine
freebanders -including you, if anyone can believe what you have said.
Yeah, I know, you say when a freeebander gets a ham license he is no
longer a freebander. That's not all youv'e said that is 100% wrong.
 CW will be used as long as there a few OTs who still know how to use
it. When they're gone, CW is essentially gone as a useful communications
tool.
 When there is no longer any effective difference between hams and
freebanders/CBers, then there can be no rational nor effective defense
of any part of our spectrum. You are helping to bring that sky down as
much as any (other) rabid codehater.

From 2529324773208816646
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 07:38:55 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
reaffirmed
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 14:37:17 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad07711.122021519@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ACFF158.186BE591@ix.netcom.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.128
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.128
X-Trace: 8 Apr 2001 09:34:23 -0500, 64.24.16.128
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18920

On Sun, 08 Apr 2001 00:04:24 -0500, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>I listened to the HF bands before April 15, 2000, and I haven't
>seen any decline that wasn't because of newbie errors (which
>go away as we newbies learn and correct ourselves).
>
Uhh . . . you might consider listening & learning for awhile before
you punch the button.
>
w3rv

From 1091324815819244364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,4c2286fee24320e0
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4c2286fee24320e0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 07:40:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader3-nwblwi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <3ACFFB78.B20BBD56@grove.iup.edu>
Subject: Re: "Gentlemen's Agreement"
Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 10:39:31 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3ad07840$0$18891$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 6e5442f8.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=[93?`f05ZZLh_P==R2PeVJ@h7X@\0oLOM[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FG8hCka4lI1?
@lBFDaL\d^nEf\4A1Gc[QhI
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18921
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14768
```

"Scott McClements" <yetbbwa@grove.iup.edu> wrote in message
news:3ACFFB78.B20BBD56@grove.iup.edu...

> I am studying for my ticket and came across some questions refering to a
> "Gentlemen's Agreement".
>
> Ex.
> Which of the following frequency ranges is reserved by "gentlemen's
> agreement" for DX contacts during international 6-meter contests?
> D. 50.100 to 50.125 MHz
>
> A quick look in the ARRL Handbook and I didn't see anything about this
> "agreement"? Where is this written if anywhere?

What is the question number? Not a well worded question. The "gentlemen's agreement' are also know as "band plans", which are not a FCC regulations. These plans are developed to reduce interference among operators. A amateur radio license requires that you accept interference and to not cause interference. So indirectly, bandplans are an operating requirement. Operators use 50.100 to 50.150mhz for international contacts, which is very very weak signal work.   I believe that segment is always reserved for international DX, not just during contests.

I show the international 6m DX bandplan as 50.100 to 50.125, with the American calling frequency to be 50.125. There was a movement to increase the DX segment by moving the American calling up to 50.200.

Band plans are reported in ARRL's QST magazine. Look for "The World Above 50mhz" written by Emil Pocock, W3EP. You can also pick up free bandplan charts at the hamfests.

These questions are the frequency memorization questions. They other similar questions on the tests. The band plans are extensive, and you can not remember the entire plan, so memorize the question answers. Good luck.

Larry KC8EPO

From 2429369871656101798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 07:40:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: 8 Apr 2001 14:40:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9apt99$bm3$1@208.206.142.79>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107> <3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org> <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164> <3AD11AD2.BEBDA01F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18922

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:

> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > I don't know why you don't, but as for myself, I like the present.
> > >
> > > Bull$hit Dick! The *present* requirement for an Extra class license
> > > is 5wpm. You certainly do NOT like that. You bitch, moan, and whine
> > > about that almost full time.
> >
> > Bull$hit Cecil! He said old Extras live in the past. We don't. We DO
> > know the practical value of radiotelegraph communications.
>
> But you don't know the practical value of reducing the Morse code
> requirement to 5wpm. You don't like the present after all.

There was practical value in dropping the General test to 5wpm and that
was noted in my comments. The only practical reason for dumping 20wpmfor
Extra was to allow you and a number of other codebashers to get a novice
grade Extra.

From -3353719164987514OO
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 07:42:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Apr 2001 14:41:44 GMT
References: <20010407223950.08909.00000836@nso-cr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Message-ID: <20010408104144.19040.00005830@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18923

>Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/7/01 9:39 PM Central Daylight Time

>>Concise and apt. You might be surprised to know that such
>"credentialism" has turned off more than one prospective
>radio amateur.

If it kept YOU out, it was worth it.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -9202654323454861840
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 08:10:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!xmission!news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 15:08:09 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <3AD07ED9.43375FD5@bctv.com>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986742654 53399 63.87.116.25 (8 Apr 2001 15:10:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Apr 2001 15:10:54 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14769
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18924

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Do you get the feeling in the pit of your stomach that the ARS is being
> set up
> for a Humpty-Dumpty type of fall? There is a nagging feeling that all
> this
> dropping of qualifications that shoves the ARS ever closer to a CB-like
> atmosphere is not without some goal in mind......

I see it a little differently. Back during the Reagan years, the FCC
was being nagged to open up bands for paid customers. Their only
defense is that the bands are being used already. The Congressional Red
Army (wanting all that commercial money) looked at the books and says

"where are they?" and the FCC decided to boost the number of hams overall (thus the no-code Tech) AND boost the number on HF (thus Novice Enhancements).

Those same middle-managers are still at FCC, and they see that ham radio is almost trouble-free, compared to commercial radio enforcement.

Consider the following common scenario. Someone becomes a ham, buys a radio, and puts up an antenna on the back of his car. That is so much fun, he puts a rig in his apartment, using a mag-mount on the balcony. Then he gets into packet, and discovers that he can stick a digipeater on the top of the skyscraper where he works for a couple of day's pay. A couple of months later, he moves to the suburbs and decides that he wants HF privs, so he goes down and passes the upgrade exam. Then he puts up a mast with a cheap, used-from-the-hamfest antenna. That works okay, but he then goes from 100W to 500W, and wants a better antenna system, which consists of a 40-foot tower and a really good antenna. After a few weeks, he climbs the tower again to mount his VHF antenna, a UHF antenna and to string a wire for his daughter's SWL radio.

Total FCC investment in the entire package: Accepting 1 certification that he has passed the Tech, another for the General, and a license for the digipeater, all of which take a total of 5 minutes' work.

The same package for a commercial user? Two user licenses (each requiring coordination for EACH frequency being used), 7 footprint maps, and about 50 man-hours out of the Commission budget, to take up a very small chunk of spectrum. If there is any complaint about interference, a challenge to allocation, or addition of more radios (when cars begin to breed), the resource drain goes up in a big hurry.

Hams are big on the "self-policing" idea, meaning that there are thousands of frequencies that the Feds don't have to monitor. Commercials users demand INSTANT control of any problem, and that's expensive, too.

Trust me, they would rather have us than the commercial guys, and that's a large part of the reason that they have made it easier to get a license, made the license longer, and reduced the code.

From 1561477650836002857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 08:21:58 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 15:21:26 GMT
Message-ID: <3acff117.87720833@news2.dvol.com>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.130
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.130
X-Trace: 8 Apr 2001 10:18:32 -0500, 64.24.17.130
Lines: 28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18925

On Sat, 07 Apr 2001 02:48:56 GMT, "Michael Adams"
<michaeladams2000@no-spm.hotmail.com> wrote:

>I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their
>achievement devalued.  I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who will
>never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.
>
Until this "restructuring" thingey came along the last thing I
attached to my 20wpm Extra was any sort of "pride". I did what I had
to do to be able to ply the HF dx windows, period. Now I'm a bit irked
about having had to do all the pushups I did to get my old Extra vs.
the things being passed out like popcorn today.
>
No real biggie on my part, none of it has affected me as far as using
the ham bands is concerned so I have no legitimate bitch on the new
regs as such.
>
But out there on the bands we knows who the Miracles of April is and
they knows who we is and that's the way it's gonna be for a long time
to come.
>
>73,
>
>Michael Adams
>AC6ZK
>
w3rv



From 8260432521932017669
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 08:26:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 108
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 08 Apr 2001 15:26:22 GMT
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Message-ID: <20010408112622.19040.00005834@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18926

>Subject: Freeband Export Radios
>From: "Train" cbmods@optonline.net
>Date: 4/7/01 10:25 PM Central Daylight Time

Dear "Train",

The first fallicy to your post is exeplified in the fact that you do not sign your REAL name. This is because you are engaged in an illegal act and you cannot do so with out bringing law enforcement down on your head and you know it.

That should say enough, but we shall comment anyway.....

>I have been in the CB tech repair business for years and have seen >nothing but growth and expansion among freeband people. There is no >sign this will soon abate, so it is high time SOME in the ham radio >service face the facts.

Here's some facts for you:

(1) This activity is illegal. Both by doemstic law and international treaty. That it is there does not make it any less illegal.

(2) The FCC has had quite a bit to say about criminal activity on these "bands", and I imagine it won't be long before they are at YOUR front door.

>Fact: hams should not be concerned at all about this issue. What goes >on within 27-28 Mhz is none of their business.

Fact: What goes on here IS my business. The radio spectrum is regulated (in the United States) by the FCC and numerous other radio services need this spectrum too. It is every citizen's business, regardless of licensure.

Secondly, you and other sloths of the airwaves don't know when to stay put. The "freeband" is now quite prolific in the lower part of 10 meters, ergo you and your like ARE my concern.

>Fact: most of the squawking is done by rule-obessed no-lifes who can't >stand to see other people enjoying themselves.

Fact: This is not about letting people "enjoy" themselves. It's about having something I worked hard to obtain being trashed by thieves who feel "at liberty" top do as they will, irrespective of what interference they may induce. I don't tolerate vandals who trash my property, and I don't have to tolerate lowlifes such as yourself.

>Fact: high-quality SSB export radios like the Emperor, Ranger, Galaxy, >and

others that go from 25 Mhz to 32 MHz are being marketed by big >companies because the FCC has admitted it can't be bothered to >enforce trivial rules. If it is OK by the FCC then it is no one's business >to squawk, ESPECIALLY hams who's frequencies are not part of the >equation.

Fact: Ham frequenceis ARE "a part of the equation" in as much as your freeband buddies are settling there, too.

But as for the FCC allegedly saying "it's OK", then you had better start reading a bit more of FCC enforcement actions lately. If you are selling these radios, modifying or promoting them, you are subject to search, seizure and arrest. I hope you get to "enjoy" all three.

>Fact: the same goes for RF power amps. Freebanders can responsibly >run power and are capable of using it wisely.

There is no "fact" to this. It's illegal. Period. I don't care if you have the signal strength of VOA and the signal purity of the Voice of God himself.

>Fact: many families out food on the table from businesses that operate >to serve freebanders. There are much worse crimes to go after than >those who ignore trivial and outmoded FCC rules.

Then I suggest they find something LEGAL to do. If they go hungry because you get busted, it's YOUR fault, Trainy. Not mine...Not any ham radio operators...Not the FCC's...Not anyone else...YOUR fault.

>All the bitching an moaning needs to stop and some mutual RESPECT >shown between hams and freebanders.

I have no more "repect" for freebanders than I do for the purveyors of "recreational pharmeceuticals".

You and your like are breaking the law. There are some very valid reasons for having these regulations in place. You are making it difficult for the LEGAL users of this spectrum to use it as they are allowed.

And by the way, "hams" are not the only legal users in this part of the spectrum. You do not realize the trouble and frustration you and your thieving friends cause.

I hope you wind up in jail, your "wares" confiscated and a criminal record established, "Train". How will your family feel about your "job" then? If you are so concerned about the "food on the table" for them, maybe you should think about THEM first, instead of some childish rationaliation about why YOUR form of lawbreaking is "ok".

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 4274050769566247069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 08:28:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 11:29:19 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3AD083B0.AE9E52E8@sccoast.net>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3ACEE897.DF867D14@sccoast.net> <3ACF3287.B68CAC39@ix.netcom.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.58
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 986743680 312711 207.144.227.58 (8 Apr 2001 15:28:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 15:28:00 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18927

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> [snip] The extra is still an achievement, but
> nowhere as big as graduating college with a BSEE
> or getting a bunch of patents. Think of a
> license as a step in the process of going into
> a career in electronics, but not the last step.

I agree completely. Passing the exams is a worthy accomplishment, but it shouldn't be taken too far. Most certainly a person should never base his judgment of the value of ham radio on what piece of paper he has in his pocket (the license). Nor should his judgment of

the value of another person be based on what piece of paper that
person has in his pocket.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 4413966429075458922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 11:20:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 8 Apr 2001 18:20:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <9aqa4v$dhr$0@208.206.142.79>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD07ED9.43375FD5@bctv.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14779
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18928
```

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Do you get the feeling in the pit of your stomach that the ARS is being
> > set up
> > for a Humpty-Dumpty type of fall? There is a nagging feeling that all
> > this
> > dropping of qualifications that shoves the ARS ever closer to a CB-like
> > atmosphere is not without some goal in mind......
>
> I see it a little differently. Back during the Reagan years, the FCC
> was being nagged to open up bands for paid customers. Their only

> defense is that the bands are being used already. The Congressional Red
> Army (wanting all that commercial money) looked at the books and says
> "where are they?" and the FCC decided to boost the number of hams
> overall (thus the no-code Tech) AND boost the number on HF (thus Novice
> Enhancements).
>
> Those same middle-managers are still at FCC, and they see that ham radio
> is almost trouble-free, compared to commercial radio enforcement.
>
> Consider the following common scenario. Someone becomes a ham, buys a
> radio, and puts up an antenna on the back of his car. That is so much
> fun, he puts a rig in his apartment, using a mag-mount on the balcony.
> Then he gets into packet, and discovers that he can stick a digipeater
> on the top of the skyscraper where he works for a couple of day's pay.
> A couple of months later, he moves to the suburbs and decides that he
> wants HF privs, so he goes down and passes the upgrade exam. Then he
> puts up a mast with a cheap, used-from-the-hamfest antenna. That works
> okay, but he then goes from 100W to 500W, and wants a better antenna
> system, which consists of a 40-foot tower and a really good antenna.
> After a few weeks, he climbs the tower again to mount his VHF antenna, a
> UHF antenna and to string a wire for his daughter's SWL radio.
>
> Total FCC investment in the entire package: Accepting 1 certification
> that he has passed the Tech, another for the General, and a license for
> the digipeater, all of which take a total of 5 minutes' work.
>
> The same package for a commercial user? Two user licenses (each
> requiring coordination for EACH frequency being used), 7 footprint maps,
> and about 50 man-hours out of the Commission budget, to take up a very
> small chunk of spectrum. If there is any complaint about interference,
> a challenge to allocation, or addition of more radios (when cars begin
> to breed), the resource drain goes up in a big hurry.
>
> Hams are big on the "self-policing" idea, meaning that there are
> thousands of frequencies that the Feds don't have to monitor.
> Commercials users demand INSTANT control of any problem, and that's
> expensive, too.
>
> Trust me, they would rather have us than the commercial guys, and that's
> a large part of the reason that they have made it easier to get a
> license, made the license longer, and reduced the code.

What a crock of crap.

From -3050617931750797241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 11:33:25 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 18:30:39 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <3AD0AE4F.3238558@bctv.com>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net> <WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164> <3AD07ED9.43375FD5@bctv.com> <9aqa4v$dhr$0@208.206.142.79>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986754804 62291 63.87.116.25 (8 Apr 2001 18:33:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Apr 2001 18:33:24 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14781 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18929

Perhaps you should take that mirror off your monitor and read what I write.

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > > Do you get the feeling in the pit of your stomach that the ARS is being
> > > set up
> > > for a Humpty-Dumpty type of fall? There is a nagging feeling that all
> > > this
> > > dropping of qualifications that shoves the ARS ever closer to a CB-like
> > > atmosphere is not without some goal in mind......
> >
> > I see it a little differently. Back during the Reagan years, the FCC
> > was being nagged to open up bands for paid customers. Their only
> > defense is that the bands are being used already. The Congressional Red
> > Army (wanting all that commercial money) looked at the books and says
> > "where are they?" and the FCC decided to boost the number of hams
> > overall (thus the no-code Tech) AND boost the number on HF (thus Novice
> > Enhancements).
> >
> > Those same middle-managers are still at FCC, and they see that ham radio

> > is almost trouble-free, compared to commercial radio enforcement.
> >
> > Consider the following common scenario. Someone becomes a ham, buys a
> > radio, and puts up an antenna on the back of his car. That is so much
> > fun, he puts a rig in his apartment, using a mag-mount on the balcony.
> > Then he gets into packet, and discovers that he can stick a digipeater
> > on the top of the skyscraper where he works for a couple of day's pay.
> > A couple of months later, he moves to the suburbs and decides that he
> > wants HF privs, so he goes down and passes the upgrade exam. Then he
> > puts up a mast with a cheap, used-from-the-hamfest antenna. That works
> > okay, but he then goes from 100W to 500W, and wants a better antenna
> > system, which consists of a 40-foot tower and a really good antenna.
> > After a few weeks, he climbs the tower again to mount his VHF antenna, a
> > UHF antenna and to string a wire for his daughter's SWL radio.
> >
> > Total FCC investment in the entire package: Accepting 1 certification
> > that he has passed the Tech, another for the General, and a license for
> > the digipeater, all of which take a total of 5 minutes' work.
> >
> > The same package for a commercial user? Two user licenses (each
> > requiring coordination for EACH frequency being used), 7 footprint maps,
> > and about 50 man-hours out of the Commission budget, to take up a very
> > small chunk of spectrum. If there is any complaint about interference,
> > a challenge to allocation, or addition of more radios (when cars begin
> > to breed), the resource drain goes up in a big hurry.
> >
> > Hams are big on the "self-policing" idea, meaning that there are
> > thousands of frequencies that the Feds don't have to monitor.
> > Commercials users demand INSTANT control of any problem, and that's
> > expensive, too.
> >
> > Trust me, they would rather have us than the commercial guys, and that's
> > a large part of the reason that they have made it easier to get a
> > license, made the license longer, and reduced the code.
>
> What a crock of crap.

From 839772017947227949
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 11:35:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!
news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 18:33:13 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3AD0AEE9.AF435A63@bctv.com>

References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107>
<3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org> <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11AD2.BEBDA01F@IEEE.org> <9apt99$bm3$1@208.206.142.79>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986754958 62291 63.87.116.25 (8 Apr 2001 18:35:58 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Apr 2001 18:35:58 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18930

You're just upset that the rest of us will see just how technically
incompetent you and the rest of you Bash-bred Extras are, you Dick.

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > > I don't know why you don't, but as for myself, I like the present.
> > > >
> > > > Bull$hit Dick! The *present* requirement for an Extra class license
> > > > is 5wpm. You certainly do NOT like that. You bitch, moan, and whine
> > > > about that almost full time.
> > >
> > > Bull$hit Cecil! He said old Extras live in the past. We don't. We DO
> > > know the practical value of radiotelegraph communications.
> >
> > But you don't know the practical value of reducing the Morse code
> > requirement to 5wpm. You don't like the present after all.
>
> There was practical value in dropping the General test to 5wpm and that
> was noted in my comments. The only practical reason for dumping 20wpmfor
> Extra was to allow you and a number of other codebashers to get a novice
> grade Extra.

From -6781674755711500694

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 11:38:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 18:35:46 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3AD0AF82.78F1011B@bctv.com>
References: <3ACF3A6A.454337DC@IEEE.org> <20010407052155.06606.00000445@nso-cg.aol.com> <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org> <9ancqh$ers$0@208.206.142.107> <3AD00BC2.8F0F0A72@IEEE.org> <9ap5ql$d0k$0@208.207.71.164> <3AD11B4A.D693CC8F@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986755111 62291 63.87.116.25 (8 Apr 2001 18:38:31 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Apr 2001 18:38:31 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18931

Well, he isn't the only one who bought the Dick Bash books . . .

Face it, the whole 20 WPM thing is just modulation masturbation, with all those old guys sitting there fingering their squeeze keys and blipping off.

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > When an applicant can pass all tests
> > through Extra without knowing anything significant about radio theory or
> > practice, and needs only 5wpm code, the de facto reduction of Amateur
> > Extra class licensing standards to the Novice level is fait accompli,
> > whatever name is attached to it.
>
> Please name a few Extras who don't know "anything significant about
> radio theory". Besides yourself, of course.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

```
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3124308924510634338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 11:51:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Apr 2001 18:50:17 GMT
References: <wQYz6.762$Y4.797048@nntp1.onemain.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Message-ID: <20010408145017.04525.00000686@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18932

In article <wQYz6.762$Y4.797048@nntp1.onemain.com>, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> writes:

>Before anyone goes berserk, this is a classic forgery of the type that has
>been going on for a long time.  Our friend and buddy Leland Scott at work.
>
>It is more than likely I fell for the first one.

What "forgery?"  The only "crime" there was not using
conventional newsgroup formatting; i.e., the right arrow [ > ]
to indicate a quote from a previous message.

The cynical-chic commentary of McCollum is difficult to
forge, meandering into all sorts of "analogies" either in
error or not being on the subject of radio.


From -7541043916895178024
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 11:51:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 08 Apr 2001 18:50:18 GMT
References: <3ACFF158.186BE591@ix.netcom.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Message-ID: <20010408145018.04525.00000687@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18933

In article <3ACFF158.186BE591@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>The FCC does provide for Technician Plus previous-license indicator
>as the "P" in that data field. That statement comes from the FCC's
>Memorandum Opinion and Order 01-108, 6 April 2001, page 5 (.PDF
>file available on ARRL website). Incidentally, that same MO&O
>states (page 18, item 48) that all further 98-143 commentary and
>reconsiderations are "Hereby Terminated." :-)

The above paragraph is a quote from my posting and did not originate with Mr. Casey.

>That includes a WAD petition for reconsideration to restore 20wpm
>for extras. FCC says no, it's gonna stay at 5wpm.

It takes in several RMs, dismisses most of them, and really only includes statements about the state/fate of Technician Plus class licensees. A couple of items in the MO&O make a few changes in English of the existing regulations.

>see
>http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8888/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-01-108A1.txt
>for the gory legalistic details.

That goes through the Search from FCC home page, to EDOCS and its rather formidable fill-in search criteria page, depending on one's ISP. Result of a successful search offers three choices of the same document. PDF is easier to read than the TXT version and the Adobe Reader is free. So is the MS Word Reader, many FCC documents are in Word format.

=====

A small black helicopter can be heard. Except for a very major R&O such as 99-412, FCC documents concerning amateur radio appear FIRST via the ARRL website. While that is a nice service for ARRL members and a self-boost inducement to join, the FCC serves ALL citizens, including 3/4ths of all US amateur licensees who are not ARRL members. Documents such as FCC 01-108 appear only in FCC Daily Notices...they aren't in the Headlines of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau nor on the WTB's sub-page for Amateur Radio (that page still carrying the RMs which were dismissed by 01-108 as of Sunday, 8 April).

The major task of the FCC is regulating communications. It would seem reasonable that the FCC should afford the citizen the same timely notice of new regulatory change information as a special interest group such as the ARRL.

```
From -2038036318246102066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 11:55:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3136@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
<3aca46aa$0$88180$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9af6q8$ufa$1@news.netmar.com>
<9am3cg$i6a$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 14:52:23 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3ad0b381$0$14442$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 55e1fcf9.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=ndFiS9?IH;YbV?_m\CJ]DV2BE>7J@WeM\
[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FW]a\Y05eX3BVlBFDaL\d^nUoi;^bJGk<O[
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18934
```

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:9am3cg$i6a$1@news.hawaii.edu...

> >Well how many people have you save using CW?
>
> They at least number in the hundreds, but that was on the
> maritime frequencies over a 4 year period, and included
> copying distress and medical messages, issuing various
> severe WX warnings to shipping in the Pacific, issuing
> navigation hazards to shipping -- you get the idea.

Maritime service no longer uses CW. What years are you talking about?

> >Are you proficient in medical first aid, CPR, and AED use?
>
> Advanced first aid and CPR.

Excellent. While radio or wire communications (including cell phones) are important, CPR is a skill that can save lives. Technological and operational changes have made Morse code exam requirement unnecessary , and not in conformity with 5 USC706(2)(A). I submit that first aid and CPR proficiency is equally or more important then Morse code proficiency.

It long past time for the FCC to: vote for deletion of ITU rule S25.5; take exception to the rule if WRC 2003 does not delete it; and delete 47 CFR 97.503(a). It is the 21st century, let move on.

Larry KC8EPO

From -6503634571452400938
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 11:57:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: 8 Apr 2001 18:57:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9aqcad$j0m$0@208.206.142.79>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107> <3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org> <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164> <3AD11AD2.BEBDA01F@IEEE.org> <9apt99$bm3$1@208.206.142.79> <3AD0AEE9.AF435A63@bctv.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18935

Un-hunh. What I see is a dipshit Nocode tech with a question pool GROL acting like he acrually amounts to something besides a whining child. And a besotted crossdressing "genuis" engineer searching for his "one-hit $hit" who think they have a clue.

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> You're just upset that the rest of us will see just how technically

> incompetent you and the rest of you Bash-bred Extras are, you Dick.
>

From 215960870014896137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 13:21:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Apr 2001 20:20:32 GMT
References: <20010408145017.04525.00000686@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Message-ID: <20010408162032.22573.00000627@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18936

>Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/8/01 1:50 PM Central Daylight Time

>The cynical-chic commentary of McCollum is difficult to
>forge, meandering into all sorts of "analogies" either in
>error or not being on the subject of radio.

Oh-so-typical, Lennie you idiot. Do you really not think before you post this crap?

Do you think we don't wade through YOUR analogies or YOUR non-radio tripe?

What a putz.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 4482891746341249312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 13:39:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!

news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.uswest.net!
news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The ball is in OUR court
Lines: 42
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Message-ID: <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>
Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 20:40:08 +0100
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.180.11.231
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 986762344 209.180.11.231 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 15:39:04 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 15:39:04 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18937

On Friday (4/6/1) the FCC released an MO&R which dismissed/denied all of the petitions (QCWA, ARRL, WAD, Teaze, Speroni, and more) relative to restructuring

Regarding the Speroni petition (more CW bandwidth for Novices) which I thought was a no-brainer and would easily be adopted, there was a particularly telling comment:

> "Additionally, we believe that we should allow the amateur service community to reach a concensus regarding a comprehensive restructuring of operating privileges for all licensees BEFORE WE EXPEND OUR RESOURCES in a proceeding addressing this matter."

In other words, "We don't have time to listen to your arguments -- get your (stuff) together in one sock before you bother us again."

73, Hans, K0HB

--
The moving cursor prints, and having printed, blinks on.

From -7866985867863010105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,4c2286fee24320e0
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4c2286fee24320e0
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 13:58:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: "Gentlemen's Agreement"
In-Reply-To: <3ad07840$0$18891$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104082058030.23836-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3ACFFB78.B20BBD56@grove.iup.edu> <3ad07840$0$18891$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 39
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 20:58:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.57
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986763504 12.80.44.57 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 20:58:24 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 20:58:24 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18938 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14787

On Sun, 8 Apr 2001, Larry Klose wrote:
> "Scott McClements" <yetbbwa@grove.iup.edu> wrote in message
> news:3ACFFB78.B20BBD56@grove.iup.edu...
> > I am studying for my ticket and came across some questions refering to a
> > "Gentlemen's Agreement".
> >
> > Ex.
> > Which of the following frequency ranges is reserved by "gentlemen's
> > agreement" for DX contacts during international 6-meter contests?
> > D. 50.100 to 50.125 MHz
> >
> > A quick look in the ARRL Handbook and I didn't see anything about this
> > "agreement"? Where is this written if anywhere?
>

> What is the question number? Not a well worded question. The "gentlemen's
> agreement' are also know as "band plans", which are not a FCC regulations.
> These plans are developed to reduce interference among operators. A amateur
> radio license requires that you accept interference and to not cause
> interference. So indirectly, bandplans are an operating requirement.
> Operators use 50.100 to 50.150mhz for international contacts, which is very
> very weak signal work.   I believe that segment is always reserved for
> international DX, not just during contests.
>
> I show the international 6m DX bandplan as 50.100 to 50.125, with the
> American calling frequency to be 50.125. There was a movement to increase
> the DX segment by moving the American calling up to 50.200.
>
> Band plans are reported in ARRL's QST magazine. Look for "The World Above
> 50mhz" written by Emil Pocock, W3EP. You can also pick up free bandplan
> charts at the hamfests.
>
> These questions are the frequency memorization questions. They other
> similar questions on the tests. The band plans are extensive, and you can
> not remember the entire plan, so memorize the question answers. Good luck.

Note also that in some areas, the "local bandplan" may deviate from the
national one. However, such will usually be noted on some local organization's
literature (or web site).

From 6511949118077701922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 14:02:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!feeder.qis.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
 reaffirmed
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 16:01:51 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3AD0D1BF.782B455C@ix.netcom.com>
References: <3ACFF158.186BE591@ix.netcom.com> <20010408145018.04525.00000687@nso-
cu.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a4.9c
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Apr 2001 21:01:54 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18939

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <3ACFF158.186BE591@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >The FCC does provide for Technician Plus previous-license indicator
> >as the "P" in that data field. That statement comes from the FCC's
> >Memorandum Opinion and Order 01-108, 6 April 2001, page 5 (.PDF
> >file available on ARRL website). Incidentally, that same MO&O
> >states (page 18, item 48) that all further 98-143 commentary and
> >reconsiderations are "Hereby Terminated." :-)
>
> The above paragraph is a quote from my posting and did not
> originate with Mr. Casey.

Forgot to give credit when I quoted it, sorry.

>
>
> >That includes a WAD petition for reconsideration to restore 20wpm
> >for extras. FCC says no, it's gonna stay at 5wpm.
>
> It takes in several RMs, dismisses most of them, and really only
> includes statements about the state/fate of Technician Plus
> class licensees. A couple of items in the MO&O make a few
> changes in English of the existing regulations.

To my read, it approves the tech plus issue resolution, and also
includes extensive statements about the stuff denied.

>
>
> >see
> >http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8888/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-01-108A1.txt
> >for the gory legalistic details.
>
> That goes through the Search from FCC home page, to EDOCS
> and its rather formidable fill-in search criteria page, depending on
> one's ISP. Result of a successful search offers three choices of
> the same document. PDF is easier to read than the TXT version
> and the Adobe Reader is free. So is the MS Word Reader, many
> FCC documents are in Word format.

My ISP sends my browser directly to the txt file without passing thru
the search page, which is quite formidable. I chose the txt file as one
can cut and paste from it, and I don't have word.

From 5592028106605660287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 14:19:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
In-Reply-To: <3AD0D1BF.782B455C@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104082110210.23921-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3ACFF158.186BE591@ix.netcom.com> <20010408145018.04525.00000687@nso-cu.aol.com> <3AD0D1BF.782B455C@ix.netcom.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 33
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 21:19:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.57
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986764750 12.80.44.57 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 21:19:10 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 21:19:10 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18940

On Sun, 8 Apr 2001, Robert Casey wrote:
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > In article <3ACFF158.186BE591@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> > <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >
> > >The FCC does provide for Technician Plus previous-license indicator
> > >as the "P" in that data field. That statement comes from the FCC's
> > >Memorandum Opinion and Order 01-108, 6 April 2001, page 5 (.PDF
> > >file available on ARRL website). Incidentally, that same MO&O
> > >states (page 18, item 48) that all further 98-143 commentary and
> > >reconsiderations are "Hereby Terminated." :-)
> >
> > The above paragraph is a quote from my posting and did not
> > originate with Mr. Casey.
>
> Forgot to give credit when I quoted it, sorry.

And in their typical shortsightedness, a current "Technician" that also has an "N" in the previous license indicator is ALSO a "tech plus" - having upgraded from Novice instead of "downgraded" from "tech plus." ...But of course, our government is not smart enough to realize that.

Summary: Therefore, who do we KNOW is a "tech plus" when it says Technician?
1) Those who have a "P" as their prior license class.
2) Those who have an "N" as their prior license class.
3) Those who were licensed before February 14, 1991.(*)

(*) Really, due to FCC processing delays back in 1991, there is another, later date in 1991 that should be used (I think it's in April). Whatever that date was, marked when the first POST-02/14/91 test was processed. This is similar to using April 19, 2000 instead of the magic April 15, 2000 date as the periodic border....

```
From -2975080648002907998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 14:57:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010408145017.04525.00000686@nso-cu.aol.com>
<20010408162032.22573.00000627@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <b55A6.820$K54.405295@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 16:56:43 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 986766791 206.29.242.59 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 17:53:11 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 17:53:11 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18941
```

"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010408162032.22573.00000627@ng-ct1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 4/8/01 1:50 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >The cynical-chic commentary of McCollum is difficult to
> >forge, meandering into all sorts of "analogies" either in
> >error or not being on the subject of radio.
>
> Oh-so-typical, Lennie you idiot. Do you really not think before
you post
> this crap?

>
> Do you think we don't wade through YOUR analogies or YOUR non-radio
tripe?
>
> What a putz.
>
>
> "An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
Time."
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

Thank you, Steve. Having long since killfiled Lennie, I would not
otherwise get to see the comment.

1. I do not deny my reply.

2. The reply from twistedhed is a Leland Scott forgery.

3. The original from Train may well be.

Dick N�BK

From 2881324195140732246
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,680aedca92ffee1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 15:23:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!
news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <7978-3ACF6317-36@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Subject: Re: licensed ham operator kc8ldo, Leland C. Scott Exposed
Lines: 29
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <sx5A6.5162$uh5.153479@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 22:23:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net

X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 986768600 24.131.233.143 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 18:23:20 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 18:23:20 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18942

>If a post contains obscenities, it was more than likely forged by N8WWM

Too bad you have gone the long way around the bend Doug. Not only are you no longer regarded seriously, but you managed to shoot any allies you had, or could have had, in the back. So if that is what you tried to do, then you succeeded well. Your on your own Doug.

If anyone has any questions about who posted what a quick check of the message headers is all that is needed.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 6953042150882985915
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 15:35:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 17:34:46 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3AD0E786.702B57AB@ix.netcom.com>
References: <3ACFF158.186BE591@ix.netcom.com> <20010408145018.04525.00000687@nso-

```
cu.aol.com> <3AD0D1BF.782B455C@ix.netcom.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104082110210.23921-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a1.97
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Apr 2001 22:34:49 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18943



"D. Stussy" wrote:

>
> And in their typical shortsightedness, a current "Technician" that also has an
> "N" in the previous license indicator is ALSO a "tech plus" - having upgraded
> from Novice instead of "downgraded" from "tech plus."  ...But of course, our
> government is not smart enough to realize that.
>
> Summary:  Therefore, who do we KNOW is a "tech plus" when it says Technician?
>         1)  Those who have a  "P" as their prior license class.
>         2)  Those who have an "N" as their prior license class.
>         3)  Those who were licensed before February 14, 1991.(*)
>

I used to be a tech +, but I decided it would be best to upgrade
partly to avoid this issue.  I'd advise such tech +'s to study up
and go for the general, or even extra (that's what I did).  Also,
HF is fun!

If you have a tech + dating back from before 1987 IIRC, assuming
you kept your old expired copy of your license when you renewed,
you can cash it in for a general without taking anymore tests.  I imagine
everyone who could probably already did by now, though.

My point is that an upgrade will lock your code credit in.



From 8573777992503688383
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 15:44:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 08 Apr 2001 22:43:54 GMT
References: <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Message-ID: <20010408184354.18980.00005791@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18944

>Subject: The ball is in OUR court
>From: "K0HB" K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 4/8/01 2:40 PM Central Daylight Time

>In other words, "We don't have time to listen to your
>arguments -- get your (stuff) together in one sock before
>you bother us again."

Where have we heard THOSE words before, Master Chief?

Semper Fi es 73,

The Gunny, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -851512247577742556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 15:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsengine.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader2-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 18:46:43 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3ad0ea73$0$42875$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>

Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: e148233b.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=E\Si0cdZRL8iAcBM^EMG<;ZacKj`b3Y48[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7I^Edo>g;Bl>lBFDaL\d^n5f
U0J9o\;HN5
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18945

"K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message
news:I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net...
>
> On Friday (4/6/1) the FCC released an MO&R which dismissed/denied
> all of the petitions (QCWA, ARRL, WAD, Teaze, Speroni, and
> more) relative to restructuring
>
> Regarding the Speroni petition (more CW bandwidth for Novices)
> which I thought was a no-brainer and would easily be adopted,
> there was a particularly telling comment:
>
>   "Additionally, we believe that we should allow the
>   amateur service community to reach a concensus
>   regarding a comprehensive restructuring of operating
>   privileges for all licensees BEFORE WE EXPEND OUR
>   RESOURCES in a proceeding addressing this matter."
>
> In other words, "We don't have time to listen to your
> arguments -- get your (stuff) together in one sock before
> you bother us again."

I said in my WT Docket #98-143 comment: "The law requires that the
Commission hear the petition or to state valid legal grounds for rejection.
A lack of consensus of the Amateur Radio community is not a valid legal
reason. The FCC is required to decide petitions in the public interest, not
by consensus of the licensees. There was no legal justification for the FCC
to rely on the ARRL and QCWA positions; it was the FCC duty to make its own
determination of the public interest. " This comment was made in regards to
FCC staff member John Johnson's rejection of Jim Willis' petition.

Still applies today. I do agree with your conclusion; however, as we both
know, it very hard, if not impossible, for this group to reach a consensus.

When a consensus can not be found it is the job of the Commissioners to lead
way. It is their responsibility to make a decision.

Larry KC8EPO

From 5225828863420710268
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 15:51:45 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Apr 2001 22:51:33 GMT
References: <3AD0D1BF.782B455C@ix.netcom.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
Message-ID: <20010408185133.18980.00005794@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18946
```

>Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 4/8/01 4:01 PM Central Daylight Time

>> The above paragraph is a quote from my posting and did not
>> originate with Mr. Casey.

>Forgot to give credit when I quoted it, sorry.

Only Lennie would wave his own flag like that. And Lennie has his own skeletons in the closet when it comes to "forgetting" to make relevant quotes.

Leave it to the Impotent One to be THAT arrogant.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

```
From -6998211579484561731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 16:39:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 18:39:11 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
```

```
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3AD0F69F.36BB3D9E@ix.netcom.com>
References: <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>
<3ad0ea73$0$42875$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a4.b4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 Apr 2001 23:39:13 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18947



Larry Klose wrote:

> "K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message
> news:I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net...
> >
> > On Friday (4/6/1) the FCC released an MO&R which dismissed/denied
> > all of the petitions (QCWA, ARRL, WAD, Teaze, Speroni, and
> > more) relative to restructuring
> >
> > Regarding the Speroni petition (more CW bandwidth for Novices)
> > which I thought was a no-brainer and would easily be adopted,
> > there was a particularly telling comment:
> >
> >    "Additionally, we believe that we should allow the
> >     amateur service community to reach a concensus
> >     regarding a comprehensive restructuring of operating
> >     privileges for all licensees BEFORE WE EXPEND OUR
> >     RESOURCES in a proceeding addressing this matter."
> >
> > In other words, "We don't have time to listen to your
> > arguments -- get your (stuff) together in one sock before
> > you bother us again."
>
> I said in my WT Docket #98-143 comment: "The law requires that the
> Commission hear the petition or to state valid legal grounds for rejection.
> A lack of consensus of the Amateur Radio community is not a valid legal
> reason.  The FCC is required to decide petitions in the public interest, not
> by consensus of the licensees.  There was no legal justification for the FCC
> to rely on the ARRL and QCWA positions; it was the FCC duty to make its own
> determination of the public interest. "  This comment was made in regards to
> FCC staff member John Johnson's rejection of Jim Willis' petition.
>
> Still applies today.  I do agree with your conclusion; however, as we both
> know, it very hard, if not impossible,  for this group to reach a consensus.
>
> When a consensus can not be found it is the job of the Commissioners to lead
> way.  It is their responsibility to make a decision.
>
```

> Larry KC8EPO

I undestand it as being "We at the FCC don't see any reason to change
things with respect to this at this time, as we don't see a consensus from
the community (hams) for a specific change to be done". Besides, I
didn't think there were that many novices left anymore.

It's not for the FCC to make arbitrary changes for the hell of it anyway.

From 6847681103627190326
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 16:57:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbhqwu6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <ISRz6.990$tx5.40007@typhoon.sonic.net> <cXRz6.729$Y4.693606@nntp1.onemain.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 21
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 23:57:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.5
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986774249 206.58.44.5 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 23:57:29 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 23:57:29 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18948

On Sat, 7 Apr 2001 23:40:05 -0500, Richard McCollum wrote:

>Said with all the style and verve of any other anonymous dingbat.
>
>"Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote in message

Since when is Ron Castro, N6AHA, long-time ham, FM radio
station owner, and well-known to me and other SF Bay Area hams
for years, an "anonymous dingbat"?

Ya' gotta' have your own "no spam" address cross-reference
database....

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -3127434203379304496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 16:57:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbhqeq5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net> <WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164> <3AD07ED9.43375FD5@bctv.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Lines: 56
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 23:57:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.5
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986774247 206.58.44.5 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 23:57:27 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 23:57:27 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14809 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18949

On Sun, 08 Apr 2001 15:08:09 +0000, Keith Wood wrote:

>Total FCC investment in the entire package: Accepting 1 certification
>that he has passed the Tech, another for the General, and a license for
>the digipeater, all of which take a total of 5 minutes' work.

  Separate license for the digipeater is no longer required
  unless it's a "club" station.

>The same package for a commercial user? Two user licenses (each
>requiring coordination for EACH frequency being used), 7 footprint maps,
>and about 50 man-hours out of the Commission budget, to take up a very
>small chunk of spectrum.

All done by the licensee, his consultant, and the private sector frequency coordinator. The FCC no longer does the drudge work. If the coordinator approves the application (submitted electronically by the applicant to the coordinator and forwarded by the coordinator to the FCC, again electronically) the FCC issues the license essentially untouched by human brain unless there is a problem. Even then the coordinator has the primary responsibility to resolve issuance problems and disputes.

I once appealed to the FCC when I felt that the coordinator was putting up ureasonable documentation barriers for my client to meet when the client applied to set up a system to replace someone else's abandoned system. Their reply was "sorry, bub, the coordinator has the final word nowadays".

>If there is any complaint about interference,
>a challenge to allocation, or addition of more radios (when cars begin
>to breed), the resource drain goes up in a big hurry.

Done by the coordinator under a MOU with the FCC.

>
>Hams are big on the "self-policing" idea, meaning that there are
>thousands of frequencies that the Feds don't have to monitor.
>Commercials users demand INSTANT control of any problem, and that's
>expensive, too.

Again, done by the coordinator under a MOU with the FCC.

>Trust me, they would rather have us than the commercial guys, and that's
>a large part of the reason that they have made it easier to get a
>license, made the license longer, and reduced the code.

That I agree with wholeheartedly.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 225614878139545929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 17:00:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!212.43.194.69!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <ISRz6.990$tx5.40007@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cXRz6.729$Y4.693606@nntp1.onemain.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbhqwu6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <GU6A6.862$K54.438957@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 18:59:37 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.150
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 986774182 205.138.126.150 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 19:56:22
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 19:56:22 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18950

Added to mine

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gbhqwu6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Sat, 7 Apr 2001 23:40:05 -0500, Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> >Said with all the style and verve of any other anonymous dingbat.
> >
> >"Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>
>   Since when is Ron Castro, N6AHA, long-time ham, FM radio
>   station owner, and well-known to me and other SF Bay Area hams
>   for years, an "anonymous dingbat"?
>
>   Ya' gotta' have your own "no spam" address cross-reference
>   database....
>
> --
>   73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -1052348906877236637
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 17:38:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 55
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Apr 2001 00:35:36 GMT
References: <3ace6032.132651864@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010408203536.10429.00000813@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18951

In article <3ace6032.132651864@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>>As Rich McCollum has pointed out, about the only reason for nonrenewal these
>>days seems to be death.
>>
>Quite frankly I'll concede that the nocode renewal rate also surprises
>me Jimbo, Dick is absolutely right.

Doesn't surprise me. All it takes to renew is Form 605 and a stamped envelope.

>Like I should talk. I was off the
>bands for most of a quarter century, all I did during that hiatus was
>renew my ticket. It's a nobrainer. Thankew k3nl for remiding me that
>my ticket was 18 months past it's expiration date.

omigawd - I will thank him next time I work him or see him. But I can understand how it happens. Ten years is a long time, and it's easy to lose track of when the license actually runs out. Plus the renewal window is only 90 days wide out of 10 years (3 months out of 120).

> Renewing is a
>helluva easier than taking the freaking tests again,

You'd have no trouble at all. Biggest headache is getting to a VE session. Plus taking all the guff from the locals about it.

>never mind,
>certainly in my case, losing my beloved antique 1x2 if I didn't renew.

Unless somebody got it, you could get it back. For a price.

>In retrospect there's no logical reason to expect the nocodes would
>not follow suit for similar reasons PCTA rants, assumptions and hopes

>aside.

You know better than I that this whole
codetest/restructuring/ham-radio-is-allegedly-dying mess is a nonissue to 99%
of us active hams. 680,000 hams, 2,200 comments - sez it all.
>>
>What this reality does wrt to this newgroup is to render brawls based
>on anal disection of FCC license class statistics null, void "spurious
>emissions" as far as "proving" anyrthing is concerned.

If for no other reason than it takes years for trends to become apparent.
>>
>Get a signal on the band/mode or lose it, the rest is bullshit.

Exactly.

My station is back together. Bench and shack tables remodeled, new shelves,
better lighting and power, less clutter. Sunspot numbers are unreal. Tally ho!

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 5488934619262204843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 17:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Apr 2001 00:35:38 GMT
References: <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Message-ID: <20010408203538.10429.00000815@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18952

In article <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>, "K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
writes:

>On Friday (4/6/1) the FCC released an MO&R which dismissed/denied
>all of the petitions (QCWA, ARRL, WAD, Teaze, Speroni, and
>more) relative to restructuring
>
>Regarding the Speroni petition (more CW bandwidth for Novices)
>which I thought was a no-brainer and would easily be adopted,
>there was a particularly telling comment:

>
>   "Additionally, we believe that we should allow the
>   amateur service community to reach a concensus
>   regarding a comprehensive restructuring of operating
>   privileges for all licensees BEFORE WE EXPEND OUR
>   RESOURCES in a proceeding addressing this matter."
>
>In other words, "We don't have time to listen to your
>arguments -- get your (stuff) together in one sock before
>you bother us again."
>
Hans,

Your comments are right on the money. I would add the following interpretations of that paragraph, though:

"We gave you hams an extra-long comment period on the restructuring NPRM to make your cases. If you didn't convince us then, you're not gonna convince us now."

"We have very limited resources here at the FCC. Last thing we want is more admin work. More license classes? More complex test procedures? Further review of this whole mess? Forget it!"

"We made our ruling. To change it now would be to admit we were wrong. Government agencies just don't do that."

It really is up to us. Just like it always has been.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -4932252911535270957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 17:38:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Apr 2001 00:35:37 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104062109080.20288-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010408203537.10429.00000814@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18953

In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104062109080.20288-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>,

```
"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

>On 6 Apr 2001, N2EY wrote:
>> In article <3acd1800$0$12831$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose"
>> <lklose@net-link.net> writes:
>> >The effect of Tech Plus class being renewed as Tech will not
>> >be seen until 2001.
>>
>> That's not correct, Larry. The FCC began to renew Tech Pluses as Techs on
>April
>> 15, 2000. More than 3500 Tech Pluses were renewed as Techs from April 2000
>to
>> November 2000. (November 14, 2000 was when the very first post-Feb 14-1991
>> Techs came up for renewal).
>
>There's also the factor that until 1994, when the FCC started to print
>"Technician Plus" licenses, any renewal occuring between 1991 and 1994 of an
>existing "Technician" license was done as "Technician," not "Technician Plus"
>as it should have been since all those renewals were for those who had passed
>[at least] 5 wpm.  Although many caught on and asked for correction, many did
>not.
>
Absolutely correct - thanks for the reminder.

My 1993 Callbook lists license classes "N", "T", "G", "A", and "E".

73 de Jim, N2EY



From -8354367373795226871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 17:55:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD0F91B.EC731888@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
References: <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>
<3ad0ea73$0$42875$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 48
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 00:50:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.42
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
```

X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986777449 207.0.147.42 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 17:50:49 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 17:50:49 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18954

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message
> news:I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net...
> >
> > On Friday (4/6/1) the FCC released an MO&R which dismissed/denied
> > all of the petitions (QCWA, ARRL, WAD, Teaze, Speroni, and
> > more) relative to restructuring
> >
> > Regarding the Speroni petition (more CW bandwidth for Novices)
> > which I thought was a no-brainer and would easily be adopted,
> > there was a particularly telling comment:
> >
> >   "Additionally, we believe that we should allow the
> >   amateur service community to reach a concensus
> >   regarding a comprehensive restructuring of operating
> >   privileges for all licensees BEFORE WE EXPEND OUR
> >   RESOURCES in a proceeding addressing this matter."
> >
> > In other words, "We don't have time to listen to your
> > arguments -- get your (stuff) together in one sock before
> > you bother us again."
>
> I said in my WT Docket #98-143 comment: "The law requires that the
> Commission hear the petition or to state valid legal grounds for rejection.
> A lack of consensus of the Amateur Radio community is not a valid legal
> reason. The FCC is required to decide petitions in the public interest, not
> by consensus of the licensees. There was no legal justification for the FCC
> to rely on the ARRL and QCWA positions; it was the FCC duty to make its own
> determination of the public interest. " This comment was made in regards to
> FCC staff member John Johnson's rejection of Jim Willis' petition.
>
> Still applies today. I do agree with your conclusion; however, as we both
> know, it very hard, if not impossible, for this group to reach a consensus.
>
> When a consensus can not be found it is the job of the Commissioners to lead
> way. It is their responsibility to make a decision.
>
> Larry KC8EPO

Why not write them a nice long letter, Larry. Be certain to include
material about what their duty is. It would be great if you could throw
in some of your best pseudo-legal stuff.

Maybe they'll post it next to the water cooler with some of Len
Anderson's gems.

Dave K8MN

```
From 157359524237895762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,680aedca92ffee1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 17:58:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: licensed ham operator kc8ldo, Leland C. Scott Exposed
Date: 9 Apr 2001 00:55:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9ar1a1$cmc$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <7978-3ACF6317-36@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<sx5A6.5162$uh5.153479@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18955
```

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you.

So how do you plan to do that when you have not a clue???

```
From -7557590492731687262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c4601d7c3c4198bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,8583530f36e0c8ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8583530f36e0c8ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 18:06:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Crackers and Cheese" <rescuttat404@hotmail.com>
From: "Crackers and Cheese" <rescuttat404@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups:
```

alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <td1qb435i8uk0b@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: worse than rec.radio.cb!
Lines: 24
Organization: lookn ay you cross-eye'd
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <QS7A6.16980$Kr1.1555608@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 01:02:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.28.250.133
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986778160 63.28.250.133 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 18:02:40 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 18:02:40 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:11196 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14818 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18956

"Craig Petersen" <n7uqa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:td1qb435i8uk0b@corp.supernews.com...

> It's hard to beleive that this news group has become worse than cb.

Only because Reily Hollingsworth has successfully cleaned up 14.313 and much of 75 Meters. It's now too hot to conduct this kind of stuff on ham radio as the FCC DF equipment (coupled with the DSCS satelite system) can pinpoint an RF emitter from DC to daylight anywhere on the planet in 5 seconds. Therefore, all the great old QRM'ers we have come to know and love from 14.313 are now down here on the newsgroups. Kind of a shame really as it was always more spontaneous in it's comedic value when it was on the air instead of having to read it in cyberspace. I sorta miss 14.313 really, it was ham radio's answer to Comedy Central. (if anyone can say "hello" to "bathroom-man" for me, I would appreciate it! He helped me diagnose and fix my malfunctioning toilet on 14.313 a few years ago. He may have been an un-ID'd QRM'er but he has sure got to me a master plumber in real life. He actually diagnosed the problem on the air right on the nose having never seen my commode) Stuff like this you miss from the .313 of the olden days, along with the nightly Mike and Judy show which was no doubt the most popular soap opera on 20 for many years...

From 5719824694885169218
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 18:08:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Date: 9 Apr 2001 01:06:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <9ar1uf$fgh$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>
<20010408203538.10429.00000815@nso-fj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18957

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>, "K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
> writes:
>
> >On Friday (4/6/1) the FCC released an MO&R which dismissed/denied
> >all of the petitions (QCWA, ARRL, WAD, Teaze, Speroni, and
> >more) relative to restructuring
> >
> >Regarding the Speroni petition (more CW bandwidth for Novices)
> >which I thought was a no-brainer and would easily be adopted,
> >there was a particularly telling comment:
> >
> >   "Additionally, we believe that we should allow the
> >   amateur service community to reach a concensus
> >   regarding a comprehensive restructuring of operating
> >   privileges for all licensees BEFORE WE EXPEND OUR
> >   RESOURCES in a proceeding addressing this matter."
> >
> >In other words, "We don't have time to listen to your
> >arguments -- get your (stuff) together in one sock before
> >you bother us again."
> >
> Hans,
>
> Your comments are right on the money. I would add the following interpretations
> of that paragraph, though:
>
> "We gave you hams an extra-long comment period on the restructuring NPRM to
> make your cases. If you didn't convince us then, you're not gonna convince us
> now."
>
> "We have very limited resources here at the FCC. Last thing we want is more
> admin work. More license classes? More complex test procedures? Further review

> of this whole mess? Forget it!"
>
> "We made our ruling. To change it now would be to admit we were wrong.
> Government agencies just don't do that."
>
> It really is up to us. Just like it always has been.
>

Which likely means no further changes in the forseeable future, given
the chances of getting anything remotely approaching a 'concensus' is
about as remote as a manned outpost on Mars.

```
From -7414837314350999663
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 18:12:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 08:08:22 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.123
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986778108
64.240.47.123 (8 Apr 2001 20:01:48 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news.stealth.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14819
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18958

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yeah, I know, you say when a freeebander gets a ham license he is no
> longer a freebander. That's not all youv'e said that is 100% wrong.

A freebander who converts over to legal hamming after he gets a ham license
is no longer a freebander, Dick. What is it about that true statement that
you don't understand?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5670380367191998392
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 18:16:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!atl1-feed1.news.digex.net!lax2-feed1.news.digex.net!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!typhoon.sonic.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 21:13:59 -0400
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3AD10CD7.F4B0143F@dirtball.com>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9agvcg$mg9$0@208.206.142.106>
<9ai1fd$iv2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-54.12.alltel.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 986778748 17636 166.102.54.12 (9 Apr 2001 01:12:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Apr 2001 01:12:28 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18959
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14820

Here is what is happening. The government can do what ever it wants. It is

slowly doing that. The people want one thing or tell the government one thing
and the government does what ever it wants. Even if the government sides with
a minority view they lose if the majority wants something else. The bottom
line is all lose if the government goes with the minority view. Georgia is a
great example of this! So we will probably lose ham radio in time. I would
gladly trade 220 MHz for 27 MHZ and I would gladly trade 2 meters for 24
through 30 MHz
               Oris

W4NTI wrote:

> Yes indeed....after the 'mass exodus' from VHF to the DC bands....you can
> bet your bippy that is what it is all about.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9agvcg$mg9$0@208.206.142.106...
> >
> >
> > Ron wrote:
> > >
> > > I don't think that under ITU regulations they can do that on HF...I'm
> > > worried about 2-meters and above.
> >
> >
> > That's where the commercial/governmental demand is, and where we use it
> > far less than could ever justify keeping all of it. You can expect
> > losses up there. It's as good as done, AFAIC.

From -1072351311071122196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 18:16:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AD1B5DF.E99A7A11@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 08:15:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107>
<3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org> <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11AD2.BEBDA01F@IEEE.org> <9apt99$bm3$1@208.206.142.79>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.123
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986778517

64.240.47.123 (8 Apr 2001 20:08:37 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!feeder.via.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18960

Dick Carroll wrote:
> There was practical value in dropping the General test to 5wpm and that
> was noted in my comments. The only practical reason for dumping 20wpmfor
> Extra was to allow you and a number of other codebashers to get a novice
> grade Extra.

But, but, but Dick, you said:

"... as for myself, I like the present."

If you really liked the present, would you still be bitching, moaning, and whining?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4764256108288745443
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,680aedca92ffee1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 18:23:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <7978-3ACF6317-36@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net> <sx5A6.5162$uh5.153479@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <9ar1a1$cmc$0@208.206.142.100>
Subject: Re: licensed ham operator kc8ldo, Leland C. Scott Exposed

Lines: 24
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <388A6.5193$uh5.164046@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 01:21:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 986779263 24.131.233.143 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 21:21:03 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 21:21:03 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18961

Dick,

Why don't you tell us so the others on this news group can pick your answer to pieces.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

From 3277102236073175260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 18:25:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 01:22:58 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3ad10e45.33205978@news.idsi.net>
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 46
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18962

On 8 Apr 2001 03:25:15 GMT, "Train" <cbmods@optonline.net> wrote:

>
>I don't usually post to ham groups but there has been an awful lot of criticism
>of freebanding and freebanders in general. I have been in the CB tech repair
>business for years and have seen nothing but growth and expansion among freeband
>people. There is no sign this will soon abate, so it is high time SOME in
>the ham radio service face the facts.
>
>Fact: hams should not be concerned at all about this issue. What goes on
>within 27-28 Mhz is none of their business.

And what goes on above 28 Mhz IS our business.

>Fact: most of the squawking is done by rule-obessed no-lifes who can't stand
>to see other people enjoying themselves.

Wrong, what a surprise!

>Fact: high-quality SSB export radios like the Emperor, Ranger, Galaxy, and
>others that go from 25 Mhz to 32 MHz are being marketed by big companies
>because the FCC has admitted it can't be bothered to enforce trivial rules.
>If it is OK by the FCC then it is no one's business to squawk, ESPECIALLY
>hams who's frequencies are not part of the equation.

Haven't read CQ magazine lately have you? Guess you'll find out the
hard way...

>Fact: the same goes for RF power amps. Freebanders can responsibly run power
>and are capable of using it wisely.

No they are not. You know it and I know it.

>Fact: many families out food on the table from businesses that operate to
>serve freebanders. There are much worse crimes to go after than those who
>ignore trivial and outmoded FCC rules.

Operate to serve those who break the rules. Nice.

>All the bitching an moaning needs to stop and some mutual RESPECT shown between
>hams and freebanders.

I have no respect for freebanders. They break the rules.

>Train

From 5386358360545024756
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c4601d7c3c4198bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,8583530f36e0c8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8583530f36e0c8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 19:03:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!216.218.192.242!
news.he.net!news.keyway.net!newsxfer.interpacket.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: worse than rec.radio.cb!
Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 22:04:39 -0400
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3AD118B6.8EC05501@dirtball.com>
References: <td1qb435i8uk0b@corp.supernews.com>
<QS7A6.16980$Kr1.1555608@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-54.12.alltel.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 986781787 19909 166.102.54.12 (9 Apr 2001 02:03:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Apr 2001 02:03:07 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:11198
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14835 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18963

Sounds like a case of remembering all good if fact there was no good and your in
denial! Good
work Riley Holingsworth.   Now if we could have the FCC get Darrel Beard so all
this illeagal
freeband activity can stop in Madison, Clark, Oconee, Franklin, Ebert, and Banks
counties here
in the great state of GA.

Crackers and Cheese wrote:

> "Craig Petersen" <n7uqa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:td1qb435i8uk0b@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > It's hard to beleive that this news group has become worse than cb.

>
> Only because Reily Hollingsworth has successfully cleaned up
> 14.313 and much of 75 Meters. It's now too hot to conduct this kind
> of stuff on ham radio as the FCC DF equipment (coupled with the DSCS
> satelite system) can pinpoint an RF emitter from DC to daylight anywhere
> on the planet in 5 seconds. Therefore, all the great old QRM'ers
> we have come to know and love from 14.313 are now down here on the newsgroups.
> Kind of a shame really as it was always more spontaneous in it's
> comedic value when it was on the air instead of having to
> read it in cyberspace. I sorta miss 14.313 really, it was ham radio's answer to
> Comedy Central. (if anyone can say "hello" to "bathroom-man" for me, I would
> appreciate it! He helped me diagnose and fix my malfunctioning toilet
> on 14.313 a few years ago. He may have been an un-ID'd QRM'er but he
> has sure got to me a master plumber in real life. He actually diagnosed
> the problem on the air right on the nose having never seen my commode)
> Stuff like this you miss from the .313 of the olden days, along with the nightly
> Mike and Judy show which was no doubt the most popular soap opera on
> 20 for many years...

From 1956871856649275998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 19:38:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 69
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Apr 2001 02:35:41 GMT
References: <3ACFCC4E.5A83BD42@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
Message-ID: <20010408223541.19302.00000753@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18964

In article <3ACFCC4E.5A83BD42@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> How high is the dipole, Cecil?
>
>My dipole is 40ft high and is one-wavelength long on 40m.

Nice!
>
>> Most real-world 40 meter 1/4 wave verticals won't beat a dipole a quarter
>> wavelength high.

>
>Note that I'm not talking about the direction of maximum gain for
>the dipole.

Neither am I.

>There should be some nulls off the ends of the dipole.

That all depends on things like ground conductivity, system balance, other
conductors in the area, etc., etc. That's why I said "real world" - all of that
stuff gets into the act whether we want it to or not.

>I want to see the vertical beat the dipole in *any* direction and/or
>at *any* take-off-angle.

Ahhh - good point. It probably won't be better unless we get conditons of very
low takeoff angle, and the vertical has a very good ground system. How many
radials and how long?
>
>Modeling indicates that the vertical should be about 20dB better
>than the dipole off the ends of the dipole at a take-off-angle
>of 20 degrees. I want to see that happen in the real world.

I wouldn't count on it unless you have really good ground conductivity and
lotsa radials.
>
>I've got my 40m vertical up. All I lack being functional is installing
>a coax connector.
>
My station is back together, so we can do A/B tests.

>The grass around my vertical was about waist high. I bent down to pick
>up something I had dropped and heard a whirring noise. Zap, I was struck
>on the hand by a four foot long snake. I grabbed a towel, threw it over
>the snake, and wrapped it up in it. Around here, we have every poisonous
>snake in the US and one needs to know which one it was. Luckily, it was
>a harmless rat snake and non-poisonous. Those snakes have learned to imitate
>a rattler by rattling their tails in the leaves and that's what I heard.
>They have even evolved with a diamondback pattern although they are
>more colorful than a rattlesnake. So this morning, I have a couple of
>holes in my hand but no after effects. And I took the snake down to
>a little creek behind my house and let it go.

You live a charmed life, Cecil. The classic "snake in the grass". Glad it was
the harmless kind. How's your hand now?

Reminds me of the time I bent down to pick up a piece of 37 conductor cable
(diameter: about 3 inches) and when my hand was about six inches from the
"cable" it slithered away into the weeds...

Personally, I don't trust any animal that doesn't have shoulders.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 8155010190171597405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 19:38:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 73
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Apr 2001 02:35:40 GMT
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Message-ID: <20010408223540.19302.00000752@nso-mh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18965

In article <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Michael
Adams" <michaeladams2000@no-spm.hotmail.com> writes:

>I spent one to two hours per night, plus several hours per day on the
>weekends, studying code and radio theory for four months to get from
>Technician Plus to Extra Class.

Hello,

When did you upgrade?

>Unlike many people, Morse Code did not
>come easily to me.  In addition to all the studying, I took an eight week
>code class.  It was taught by a visually impaired ham, who was a truly
>gifted instructor.  I put a lot of work into getting my Extra Class license,
>and when I finally passed the 20 word per minute code test, and three
>separate tests for General, Advanced (50 questions), and Extra (another 25
>questions), I was proud of my achievement.

You should be. I was proud when I got mine (1970).
>
>Now the FCC has taken my Amateur Extra license and made it an almost
>worthless piece of paper.

Your accomplishment is the same as it ever was.

>  It now only takes one 50 question test to get
```

>from General to Extra Class, and there is no code test whatsoever.

True. But the trend towards easing the requirements has been going on for 25+ years. For example, there used to be a requirement that you have at least a General for two years before they'd even let you try for the Extra. That was reduced to a year, then eliminated entirely. The question pools used to be secret - the tests used to be only given at FCC offices - you used to have to send 20 wpm to the satisfaction of the examiner (with a straight key, unless you provided a bug or paddles). No CSCEs, and a 30 day wait to retest if you failed. All gone, one by one.
>
>Forget the "code" versus "no code" debate. Shouldn't it be take the same
>level of dedication to reach Extra Class as it was before the FCC license
>restructuring? Shouldn't we have a license system which pushes hams to
>achieve things they thought they couldn't do?

Obviously, the FCC doesn't think so.
>
>I not only feel sorry for the old "Extra" Class hams who have seen their
>achievement devalued. I feel sorry for the new Extra Class hams, who will
>never feel the sense of pride the Extra Class license use to bring.
>
Here's an analogy:

In running, a "marathon" is currently defined as a run of 26.22 miles. Almost anyone who can run a mile can complete a marathon if they are willing to do the training. (I know - I've done two of them). But it's not easy. Being able to claim the title "marathon runner" is quite an accomplishment.

Suppose the marathon distance was refined as 5 miles. Lots more people would be able to call themselves "marathon runners" if that happened. Running 5 miles is quite an accomplishment, but it's not the same thing as 26.22. The title "marathon runner" would no longer mean the same thing.

But the accomplishment of the 26.22 mile folks would not be diminished. Only the meaning of the title changes.

I got the Extra back in 1970 mainly because I wanted all license privileges. But I didn't stop at 20 wpm or the Extra theory of 1970. Those things were the beginning, not the end.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1570370928506189140
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 19:39:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 9 Apr 2001 02:37:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14836
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18966



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Yeah, I know, you say when a freeebander gets a ham license he is no
> > longer a freebander. That's not all youv'e said that is 100% wrong.
>
> A freebander who converts over to legal hamming after he gets a ham license
> is no longer a freebander, Dick.

  Sure, until he regresses backinto his "other mode". Common as grass,
unfortunately. You wouldn't know anything aboujt that, would you?



 What is it about that true statement that
> you don't understand?


  Only that it, like so many of your "true statements" is untrue.


From -8943568377091870323
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 19:41:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!attmtf!ip.att.net!usc.edu!
howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: 9 Apr 2001 02:41:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9ar7gk$t0j$1@208.206.142.100>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107>
<3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org> <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11AD2.BEBDA01F@IEEE.org> <9apt99$bm3$1@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B5DF.E99A7A11@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18967



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> If you really liked the present, would you still be bitching, moaning,
> and whining?


 You don't want me to protest you tryin to further screw it up?


From -4863774397838480437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e01c24465cf29e5e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 20:07:37 PST
From: "Anti-TwistedhedGroup" <antitwisted@cotse.com>
Sender: "Anti-TwistedhedGroup" <antitwisted@cotse.com>
Reply-To: "Anti-TwistedhedGroup" <antitwisted@cotse.com>
Subject: Leland Scott Is Innocent
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 205.188.200.56 205.188.200.56
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad111c2@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 9 Apr 2001 02:34:58 GMT
X-Trace: 9 Apr 2001 02:34:58 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 32
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!news-fra.pop.de!newsfeed.r-kom.de!
newsfeed.freenet.de!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18968

To Whom It May Concern:

As far as we know Leland Scott has done nothing except to occasionally speak out in disapproval of illegal CB operation in this public forum. He is not now, and has not ever participated in organized Anti-KeyClown or TwistedhedTweakers actions.

To the best of our knowledge, he has posted nothing under any screen name except his own. We who opose *Twistedhed respect Leland for his views and wish to go on record as stating that he has no ties to us or any other AntiKeyclown personnel. We are soley responsible for all tweaking, baiting, and embarassing of Twistedhed.

Mr. Twistedhed has, as usual, succumbed to his own half-baked and deluded theories about who is doing what to whom. He has barked up many trees, all of them wrong. It has been a pitiable, laughable spectacle, and one that we have enjoyed immensely.

But now the time has come for Twisted to apologize to Leland Scott. Following this, we advise him to spare himself further shame and embarassment and leave this newsgroup for good.

Sincerely,

Anti-Twistedhed Group
http://www.twistedhed_pulls_his_peepee.com

*Twistedhed@webtv.net is a notorious freebander and CB outlaw who frequently posts his drivel in rec.radio.cb and other forums.

From 8950816995171676732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 20:15:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>
<3ad0ea73$0$42875$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AD0F69F.36BB3D9E@ix.netcom.com>
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court

Date: Sun, 8 Apr 2001 23:12:23 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 71
Message-ID: <3ad128b3$0$14450$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: dc7190c1.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=PhWYZ4nE;OOL:]83Yo7ZDK2BE>7J@WeML[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FGClM^biR^M7MlBFDaL\d^nE[
@P23Zh4QXK
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18969

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3AD0F69F.36BB3D9E@ix.netcom.com...
>
> Larry Klose wrote:
>
> > "K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message
> > news:I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net...
> > >
> > > On Friday (4/6/1) the FCC released an MO&R which dismissed/denied
> > > all of the petitions (QCWA, ARRL, WAD, Teaze, Speroni, and
> > > more) relative to restructuring
> > >
> > > Regarding the Speroni petition (more CW bandwidth for Novices)
> > > which I thought was a no-brainer and would easily be adopted,
> > > there was a particularly telling comment:
> > >
> > >   "Additionally, we believe that we should allow the
> > >   amateur service community to reach a concensus
> > >   regarding a comprehensive restructuring of operating
> > >   privileges for all licensees BEFORE WE EXPEND OUR
> > >   RESOURCES in a proceeding addressing this matter."
> > >
> > > In other words, "We don't have time to listen to your
> > > arguments -- get your (stuff) together in one sock before
> > > you bother us again."
> >
> > I said in my WT Docket #98-143 comment: "The law requires that the
> > Commission hear the petition or to state valid legal grounds for
rejection.
> > A lack of consensus of the Amateur Radio community is not a valid legal
> > reason. The FCC is required to decide petitions in the public interest,
not
> > by consensus of the licensees. There was no legal justification for the

```
FCC
> > to rely on the ARRL and QCWA positions; it was the FCC duty to make its
own
> > determination of the public interest. "  This comment was made in
regards to
> > FCC staff member John Johnson's rejection of Jim Willis' petition.
> >
> > Still applies today.  I do agree with your conclusion; however, as we
both
> > know, it very hard, if not impossible,  for this group to reach a
consensus.
> >
> > When a consensus can not be found it is the job of the Commissioners to
lead
> > way.  It is their responsibility to make a decision.
> >
> > Larry KC8EPO
>
> I undestand it as being "We at the FCC don't see any reason to change
> things with respect to this at this time, as we don't see a consensus from
> the community (hams) for a specific change to be done".  Besides, I
> didn't think there were that many novices left anymore.
>
> It's not for the FCC to make arbitrary changes for the hell of it anyway.
>
I am not arguing for or against any request in FCC01-108.  A FCC decision on
a matter that its is condition on an amateur radio community consensus is an
arbitrary decision to make no decision.  While I understand the FCC desire
to close WT Docket #98-143, it should be apparent that the FCC has not
completed it work.

Larry
```

```
From 6397821881903891745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ed1fe1310747b5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 20:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news!news.he.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.uswest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010406111942.05328.00001163@ng-fc1.aol.com>
<3ACEAA65.2ADAE75@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Hans...Facing His Impending Demise Bitterly.....
Lines: 26
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Message-ID: <0R9A6.477$uV5.550774@news.uswest.net>
Date: Mon, 9 Apr 2001 03:18:23 +0100
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.160.10.95
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 986786236 216.160.10.95 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 22:17:16 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 22:17:16 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18970

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

> Now there are two. Lennie AND Hans.

Nursie got in my case because I post from more than one
email address. I told her to soak her fat head. Guess she
doesn't like it when someone barks back.

Yawwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnn!

de Hans, K0HB

From -4905437401461492723
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 20:33:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!atl1-
feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newscon06.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!newsmst01!
postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD12CF2.9E59068F@some.net>
From: JR <JR@some.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 62
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.62.118.114
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 986786992 6004826 216.62.118.114 (Sun, 08 Apr 2001 23:29:52 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Apr 2001 23:29:52 EDT
Organization: Prodigy Internet http://www.prodigy.com
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 03:29:52 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18971

Train wrote:

> I don't usually post to ham groups but there has been an awful lot of criticism
> of freebanding and freebanders in general. I have been in the CB tech repair
> business for years and have seen nothing but growth and expansion among freeband
> people. There is no sign this will soon abate, so it is high time SOME in
> the ham radio service face the facts.
>
> Fact: hams should not be concerned at all about this issue. What goes on
> within 27-28 Mhz is none of their business.

Fact: freebanders are illegal

>
>
> Fact: most of the squawking is done by rule-obessed no-lifes who can't stand
> to see other people enjoying themselves.
>

Fact: freebanders are illegal

>
> Fact: high-quality SSB export radios like the Emperor, Ranger, Galaxy, and
> others that go from 25 Mhz to 32 MHz are being marketed by big companies
> because the FCC has admitted it can't be bothered to enforce trivial rules.
> If it is OK by the FCC then it is no one's business to squawk, ESPECIALLY
> hams who's frequencies are not part of the equation.
>

Fact: freebanders are illegal

>
> Fact: the same goes for RF power amps. Freebanders can responsibly run power
> and are capable of using it wisely.
>

Fact: freebanders are illegal

>
> Fact: many families out food on the table from businesses that operate to
> serve freebanders. There are much worse crimes to go after than those who
> ignore trivial and outmoded FCC rules.
>

Fact: freebanders are illegal

>
> All the bitching an moaning needs to stop and some mutual RESPECT shown between
> hams and freebanders.
>

What is there to respect about illegal operation? If you want respect, either operate on the 40 allocated cb channels using power within the legal limit for cb with equipment certified for the cb band, or get a ham license and operate legally on the ham bands. You don't get respect until you do something that deserves respect.

>
> Train

From 8195968447141598956
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 20:47:46 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 03:45:42 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad13024.169404201@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3ad069b9.118604489@news2.dvol.com> <3AD11D0E.E3BD0E86@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.32
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.32
X-Trace: 8 Apr 2001 22:42:47 -0500, 64.24.18.32
Lines: 13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18972

On Sun, 08 Apr 2001 21:23:10 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

```
>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>> Freebanding is OK because it's lesser crime than some other crimes?
>
>In the same sense that jaywalking is a lesser crime than murder.
>
A $17k fine is a tad stiff for jaywalking isn't it?
>
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv



From 3991624501817573280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-08 23:07:17 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
reaffirmed
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 06:00:59 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad14f36.177357450@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ACFF158.186BE591@ix.netcom.com> <20010408145018.04525.00000687@nso-
cu.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.137
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.137
X-Trace: 9 Apr 2001 00:58:03 -0500, 64.24.18.137
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!
4.24.21.153.MISMATCH!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18973

On 08 Apr 2001 18:50:18 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>
>The major task of the FCC is regulating communications.  It
>would seem reasonable that the FCC should afford the citizen
>the same timely notice of new regulatory change information
>as a special interest group such as the ARRL.
>
Heeeeee! Ya gotta pay yer DUES Lenny!
>
w3rv.



From -7702323099432861939
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 02:39:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AD12CF2.9E59068F@some.net>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 85
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <tlfA6.1640$kp6.766881@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 9 Apr 2001 04:35:56 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.204
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 986808793 205.138.126.204 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 05:33:13
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 05:33:13 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18974

Pretty good bet this, too, is a Leland forgery.

"JR" <JR@some.net> wrote in message news:3AD12CF2.9E59068F@some.net...
>
>
> Train wrote:
>
> > I don't usually post to ham groups but there has been an awful lot of
criticism
> > of freebanding and freebanders in general. I have been in the CB tech
repair
> > business for years and have seen nothing but growth and expansion
among freeband
> > people. There is no sign this will soon abate, so it is high time SOME
in
> > the ham radio service face the facts.
> >
> > Fact: hams should not be concerned at all about this issue. What goes
on
> > within 27-28 Mhz is none of their business.
>
> Fact: freebanders are illegal
>
> >
> >
> > Fact: most of the squawking is done by rule-obessed no-lifes who can't
stand
> > to see other people enjoying themselves.
```

> >
>
> Fact: freebanders are illegal
>
> >
> > Fact: high-quality SSB export radios like the Emperor, Ranger, Galaxy, and
> > others that go from 25 Mhz to 32 MHz are being marketed by big companies
> > because the FCC has admitted it can't be bothered to enforce trivial rules.
> > If it is OK by the FCC then it is no one's business to squawk, ESPECIALLY
> > hams who's frequencies are not part of the equation.
> >
>
> Fact: freebanders are illegal
>
> >
> > Fact: the same goes for RF power amps. Freebanders can responsibly run power
> > and are capable of using it wisely.
> >
>
> Fact: freebanders are illegal
>
> >
> > Fact: many families out food on the table from businesses that operate to
> > serve freebanders. There are much worse crimes to go after than those who
> > ignore trivial and outmoded FCC rules.
> >
>
> Fact: freebanders are illegal
>
> >
> > All the bitching an moaning needs to stop and some mutual RESPECT shown between
> > hams and freebanders.
> >
>
> What is there to respect about illegal operation? If you want respect, either
> operate on the 40 allocated cb channels using power within the legal limit for cb
> with equipment certified for the cb band, or get a ham license and operate legally
> on the ham bands. You don't get respect until you do something that deserves
> respect.
>
> >

> > Train
>

From 2046567214639786639
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 05:04:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Apr 2001 12:02:23 GMT
References: <3AD0F91B.EC731888@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Message-ID: <20010409080223.27137.00005246@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18975

>Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@ovis.net
>Date: 04/08/01 8:50 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Maybe they'll post it next to the water cooler with some of Len
>Anderson's gems.

That's NOT the water cooler where his stuff is hanging, Dave. It IS filled with water, but not for drinking.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -8946552391909724095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ed1fe1310747b5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 05:46:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-

out.usenetserver.com!atl1-feed1.news.digex.net!dca6-feed1.news.digex.net!
intermedia!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Apr 2001 12:44:48 GMT
References: <0R9A6.477$uV5.550774@news.uswest.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Hans...Facing His Impending Demise Bitterly.....
Message-ID: <20010409084448.27137.00005247@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18976

>Subject: Re: Hans...Facing His Impending Demise Bitterly.....
>From: "K0HB" K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 04/08/01 10:18 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Nursie got in my case because I post from more than one
>email address. I told her to soak her fat head. Guess she
>doesn't like it when someone barks back.

I didn't "get in your case", Hans. It was a bit of ribbing. Unfortunately you didn't take it that way. A bit of childish whining on your part follows, I see. I am not surprised, in retrospect. A few weeks ago you addressed my preference to not use "eQSL", and then made a snide comment that I had responded to YOURS, and said it was a "big hairy ***** deal".

I replied that since I "knew" you I considered it a personal courtesy to respond. Of course, after your snide comment on the matter, I really didn't expect an answer to THAT, and you certainly didn't disappoint me.

It's a shame that a person of your alleged military and professional career has come to this. Too bad. You could have been a contender. Now it's just Depends.

Oh well........

No 73 for you. You'd only find a reason to insult THAT, too.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 6238458803774360240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 06:56:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 20:54:07 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986824053
64.240.47.72 (9 Apr 2001 08:47:33 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14873
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18977

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > A freebander who converts over to legal hamming after he gets a ham license
> > is no longer a freebander, Dick.
>
>   Sure, ...
>
> > What is it about that true statement that you don't understand?
>
>   Only that it, like so many of your "true statements" is untrue.

I ask again, Dick, why is my statement not true. You agreed it was
true when you said "Sure".
```

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6128531482933767049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 07:04:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AD26995.4673ABA0@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:01:57 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
References: <3ACFCC4E.5A83BD42@IEEE.org> <20010408223541.19302.00000753@nso-mh.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986824524 64.240.47.72 (9 Apr 2001 08:55:24 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!feed.cgocable.net!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18978

N2EY wrote:
> Ahhh - good point. It probably won't be better unless we get conditons of very
> low takeoff angle, and the vertical has a very good ground system. How many
> radials and how long?

The radials are elevated at about 20ft and there are presently four of
them 34ft long. I plan to add radials while taking FS measurements

until I get to an optimum level.

> You live a charmed life, Cecil. The classic "snake in the grass". Glad it was
> the harmless kind. How's your hand now?

There was no swelling or infection. Just two teeth marks, one of which was gnawed out to create a triangular pattern with some skin missing.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6010428345960860708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 07:08:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AD26A8B.E880D744@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:06:03 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107> <3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org> <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164> <3AD11AD2.BEBDA01F@IEEE.org> <9apt99$bm3$1@208.206.142.79> <3AD1B5DF.E99A7A11@IEEE.org> <9ar7gk$t0j$1@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986824770 64.240.47.72 (9 Apr 2001 08:59:30 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18979
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > If you really liked the present, would you still be bitching, moaning,
> > and whining?
>
> You don't want me to protest you tryin to further screw it up?

"Further screw it up" implies you think it is presently screwed up.
How can you like a present that is screwed up? I am presently an Extra.
I know you don't like that. Seems you don't like the present after all.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7395358757834057699
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 07:12:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AD26B94.E19F2F22@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:10:28 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3ad069b9.118604489@news2.dvol.com>
<3AD11D0E.E3BD0E86@IEEE.org> <3ad13024.169404201@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986825035
64.240.47.72 (9 Apr 2001 09:03:55 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.bc.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18980

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Sun, 08 Apr 2001 21:23:10 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >> Freebanding is OK because it's lesser crime than some other crimes?
> >
> >In the same sense that jaywalking is a lesser crime than murder.
> >
> A $17k fine is a tad stiff for jaywalking isn't it?

If you don't like that example, change it to spitting on the sidewalk
in Thailand - a beating with a bamboo pole.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 9055139665543887601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a70fd607a948ff7c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 07:58:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!isdnethub!not-for-mail
From: "awn" <samuel.morse@nomail.cpod.fr>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: "Gentlemen's Agreement" [Bis...]
Date: 9 Apr 2001 14:55:17 GMT
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <01c0c105$4c57e5c0$1d8127d4@pc1>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 29-5.ppp.caen.cpod.fr
X-Trace: news4.isdnet.net 986828117 49322 212.39.129.29 (9 Apr 2001 14:55:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@isdnet.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Apr 2001 14:55:17 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Internet News 4.70.1155
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18981

Hello,

73 from France.

Just a question : is there some countries where the respect of the "Gentlemen's Agreement" (Band plans, in particular) is an obligation by law ?

In France we have actually a problem : some SSB guys are doing phone contacts on 30m band (around 10.125 / 10.132 and 10.148) saying that the ART (as FCC) dont say it's an obligation to respect band plans. The ART says in fact that it's a good thing to respect the IARU recommandations ...

From now some months, 30 mtrs band is becomming a CB Band with regular chat phone QSO and QRM to perturb and perhaps some cb'ers coming on to excite peoples, hi ...

So, European hams, don't believe all french amateur stations are CB'ers ;-)

It's only some small groups.
As a french ham, i apologise for the trouble done by people who forget they get 30 m band by the work of the IARU and also that their QSL come by the IARU buro's !
They also forget they are doing trouble outside France.

Can we do something against that non-respect of the Gentlemen's agreement ?
European IARU region 1 people, licensed with the CEPT/HAREC standard, can perhaps incite french administration to act for the respect of iaru recommandation ?

73,
F6AWN

to mail : remove the "no".

(sorry for my english. Hope you will understand what i wanted to explain.)

From -6331397019101837020
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 08:16:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: hans_brakob@adc.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Did they understand the question?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 9 Apr 2001 15:14:07 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986829247 15777 205.139.138.14 (9 Apr 2001 15:14:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Apr 2001 15:14:07 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: K0QB@arrl.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18982

I know I can be slow sometimes, and it takes me awhile to understand some things.

Under the restructuring rules, a person who had EVER held a Novice or Tech Plus license (no matter how long expired) is given credit for 5WPM when applying for a new license.

But a person who has previously held a General, Advance, or Extra license in the past is NOT given that credit.

The ARRL petitioned the FCC to correct that obvious discrimination. The petition was denied, and the reason for denial is quoted below.

I have read the paragraph at least a dozen times, and it still doesn't "click" with me.

73, Hans, K0HB

> 17. We disagree with ARRL�s claim that this rule discriminates between examinees who hold expired and non-renewable Novice and Technician Class operator licenses and examinees who hold expired and non-renewable General, Advanced, or Amateur Extra Class operator licenses. An examinee who holds an
>
> expired and non-renewable Novice Class operator license, or an expired Technician Class operator license, may also hold a current Technician Class
>
> operator license and therefore, must be given examination element credit for
>
> examination elements passed for the license(s) held. An examinee who has only a non-renewable General, Advanced, or Amateur Extra Class operator license is not a licensee and, therefore, will have to pass or receive credit for the requisite examinations if he or she later decides to obtain another amateur operator license.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts

```
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net


From 3367595843729438656
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9d69032b3a4600c4,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 09:28:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newspeer.monmouth.com!news.tele.dk!news.dizum.com!sewer-output!mail2news
Date: Mon, 9 Apr 2001 10:26:44 -0500
From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remailer@xganon.com>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
     It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
     Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
     remailer administrator at <abuse@anon.xg.nu>.
Subject: leland you probably are guilty
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Message-ID: <1ea2c5200455ad7195125dd3f766df9d@anon.xg.nu>
Mail-To-News-Contact: abuse@dizum.com
Organization: mail2news@dizum.com
Lines: 11
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18983

 Leland Scott Is Innocent    Group: rec.radio.amateur.policy Date: Mon, Apr 9,
2001, 2:34am (EDT+4) From: antitwisted@cotse.com (Anti-TwistedhedGroup) To Whom It
May Concern: As far as we know Leland Scott has done nothing except to
occasionally speak out in disapproval of illegal CB operation in this public
forum. He is not now, and has not ever participated in organized Anti-KeyClown or
TwistedhedTweakers actions. To the best of our knowledge, he has posted nothing
under any screen name except his own. We who opose *Twistedhed respect Leland for
his views and wish to go on recordhow do you go on record anonymously no playmates
for you leland i was there from the beginning if i was a juror youd get a cell
with bubba




---
This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
http://anon.xg.nu
---






From -2881020741281917983
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 09:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
```

```
not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson  K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Apr 2001 16:52:00 GMT
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Message-ID: <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18984

>Subject: Did they understand the question?
>From: hans_brakob@adc.com
>Date: 04/09/01 11:14 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>I know I can be slow sometimes, and it takes me awhile to understand some
>things.

     That's an understatement.

>I have read the paragraph at least a dozen times, and it
>still doesn't "click" with me.

     But this time you are correct.  That's what we get for having
Commissioner's who are NOT licensee's in ANY radio service.

K4YZ
"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time"

http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.com


From 603122783694071169
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 10:20:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD1EF00.F2714279@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104040246060.15503-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010404213411.25479.00000559@nso-fz.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 13:18:56 -0400
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 986836742 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 13:19:02 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 13:19:02 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18985

N2EY wrote:
>
> Since April 15, 2000, the FCC has renewed all Technician and Technician Plus
> licenses as Technician.

Yes, but according to the FCC's MO&O of April 6 (paragraph 9):

"Subsequent to the release of the Report and Order, the software used in the ULS was modified so that ULS will show a 'P' (for Plus) in the field designated for a licensee's former class of license when a Technician Plus Class license is renewed."

So, one should be able to make the numbers more concrete if one wishes. As of the latest public files, there were 7079 active 'T' class licenses with 'P' in the previous-operator-class field.

In the context of the MO&O, the FCC overlooked the fact that that's of little use since, as Jim says:

> Also, a ham who holds a Technician license and has
> passed Element 1 (5 wpm code) has Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges, even though
> the database simply shows "Technician" as the license class. There is no easy
> way to determine exactly how many Techs are actually Techs with HF, because the
> FCC does not keep that information in its database.

Jon

From 5052034801542113683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 10:32:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD1F0B9.8A9486A0@arrl.org>
From: Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.12-20 i686)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15

Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 13:26:17 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 986837182 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 13:26:22 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 13:26:22 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18986

hans_brakob@adc.com wrote:
>
> Under the restructuring rules, a person who had EVER held a Novice
> or Tech Plus license (no matter how long expired) is given credit for
> 5WPM when applying for a new license.
>
> But a person who has previously held a General, Advance, or Extra license in
> the past is NOT given that credit.

But even an expired Extra issued before 4/15/2000 is valid for the
commercial radiotelegraphy license requirement. So an expired Amateur
Extra conveys nothing in the Amateur Service but DOES in commercial
service. Somebody 'splain that one, please!

Jon

From -5196810345313259466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 10:34:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.abs.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 9 Apr 2001 17:19:25 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986836765 29044 205.139.138.14 (9 Apr 2001 17:19:25 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Apr 2001 17:19:25 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: hans_brakob@adc.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18987

In article <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com>, <hans_brakob@adc.com> writes:

>I have read the paragraph at least a dozen times, and it
>still doesn't "click" with me.

A friend of mine, who is a lawyer and probably competent to interpret 'tortured' bureaucratic logic, says:

> "It appears to me they didn't understand the question or if they understood the question, they don't understand their own rules and interpretations regarding expired licenses.
>
> "I am unable to follow the logic of paragraph 17 of the Report and Order."

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 3641559063427480573
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 10:36:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.media.kyoto-u.ac.jp!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbj3zo0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3ad069b9.118604489@news2.dvol.com>
<3AD11D0E.E3BD0E86@IEEE.org> <3ad13024.169404201@news2.dvol.com>
<3AD26B94.E19F2F22@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 14
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 17:35:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20

X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986837709 206.58.44.20 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 17:35:09 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 17:35:09 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18988

On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:10:28 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:

>> A $17k fine is a tad stiff for jaywalking isn't it?
>
>If you don't like that example, change it to spitting on the sidewalk
>in Thailand - a beating with a bamboo pole.

That's Singapore.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -1886361162306972933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 10:49:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 17:48:35 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 40
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14881 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18989 rec.radio.amateur.space:2468 rec.radio.swap:28189

j.b@integrity.net.com (Johny B. Good) wrote in <j.b@b_good.com>:

>How much is the

>League worth right now?

I'd say a tornado going by 225 Main Street in Newington should be able to make at least $950,000 worth of improvements *minimum*.

A lawsuit would be a very good thing for the ARRL at this time. It would reveal to the Board of Directors what they should be focused on and not the things they have been focused on of late. If you did sue them you might not even have to go all the way to court. You could drop the lawsuit if the League Board of Directors would admit and agree that:

1.) Restructuring was a mistake. Ham radio is dumbed down now.

2.) Restructuring isn't producing knowledgable operators.

3.) They were wrong in proposing their restructuring proposal.

4.) That their restructuring plan was only meant to increase membership not improve ham radio.

5.) Their restructuring plan ignored the wishes of the membership.

6.) They made a mistake in not opposing the FCC restructuring plan.

7.) That they agree ham bands are now worse since April 15th 2000.

8.) That they will work to promote better licensing standards and exams.

9.) That they will stop acting like bunch blithering idiots.

10.) That they'll listen to their members and not ignore them.

If the League would agree to these I might even rejoin and start supporting them again.

73 de KB7ADL

```
From -1912612966894198580
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 10:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbj5bl1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <3AD1F0B9.8A9486A0@arrl.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
```

```
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Lines: 1
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 17:57:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986839023 206.58.44.20 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 17:57:03
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 17:57:03 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18990
```

```
From -8245206439758O2947
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9d69032b3a4600c4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 11:10:25 PST
From: "TwistieTweaker2" <TwistieTweaker2@juno.com>
Sender: "TwistieTweaker2" <TwistieTweaker2@juno.com>
Reply-To: "TwistieTweaker2" <TwistieTweaker2@juno.com>
Subject: Re: leland you probably are guilty
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 64.12.102.27 64.12.102.27
References:  <1ea2c5200455ad7195125dd3f766df9d@anon.xg.nu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad1fa28$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 9 Apr 2001 19:06:32 GMT
X-Trace: 9 Apr 2001 19:06:32 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 11
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!24.226.1.12!
feed.cgocable.net!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!
news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18991
```

"Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote:
>how
>do you go on record anonymously no playmates for you leland i was there
from the beginning
>if i was a juror youd get a cell with bubba
>

Stuttering, huh Twisty? HAHAHAHA

```
From 7231345180093335917
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 11:11:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbj5zz2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Lines: 37
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 18:10:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986839855 206.58.44.41 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 18:10:55 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 18:10:55 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18992

On 9 Apr 2001 17:19:25 GMT, K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

>In article <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com>, <hans_brakob@adc.com> writes:
>
>>I have read the paragraph at least a dozen times, and it
>>still doesn't "click" with me.
>
>A friend of mine, who is a lawyer and probably competent to interpret
>'tortured' bureaucratic logic, says:
>
>   "It appears to me they didn't understand the question or if they
>   understood the question, they don't understand their own rules and
>   interpretations regarding expired licenses.
>
>   "I am unable to follow the logic of paragraph 17 of the Report and
>   Order."

I follow the logic - if there is a mis-type in the section dealing with expired/non-renewable Technician Class licenses.

My gut feeling is that they meant to say that credit is being given only for current or expired-but-renewable licenses, and that the holder of an expired-not-renewable Novice license may somehow hold a valid or expired-but-renewable Tech license, thereby conveying 5 wpm credit.

Is clear English so hard to write nowadays? The Commish' (or more accurately Johnny "Bald Eagle" Johnson) was burned severely by the attempt 25 years ago to rewrite the amateur rules in comic-book language (remember that one?) so he gave up entirely.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -4978504238289321893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 11:26:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AD1FE38.D477C0C5@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 13:23:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can.
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986840258 64.240.47.49 (9 Apr 2001 13:17:38 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!

corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14888
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18993 rec.radio.amateur.space:2469 rec.radio.swap:28191

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> A lawsuit would be a very good thing for the ARRL at this time.

For what exactly could they be sued? Have they violated some law? Have they violated some written contract?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4883776152509364777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c4601d7c3c4198bc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8583530f36e0c8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,8583530f36e0c8ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 11:28:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: worse than rec.radio.cb!
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 14:25:50 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9asurd$v7b$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <td1qb435i8uk0b@corp.supernews.com>
<QS7A6.16980$Kr1.1555608@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 9e.fc.26.d2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 Apr 2001 18:25:49 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express Macintosh Edition - 4.5 (0410)
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:11222
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14890 rec.radio.amateur.policy:18994

"The Bathroom Man" is far from dumb and you oughtta see where he lives. I have. Carefree Arizona is a very AFFLUENT community outside of Scottsboro. It lies between Sedona and the greater Phoenix area. Beautiful homes framed by Camelback Mountain. "The B.M." owns a major plumbing supply company out there- a business worth many millions. There's a lot of green in the bowl.

```
AF2Y

----------
In article <QS7A6.16980$Kr1.1555608@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Crackers and Cheese" <rescuttat404@hotmail.com> wrote:



>
> "Craig Petersen" <n7uqa@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:td1qb435i8uk0b@corp.supernews.com...
>
>> It's hard to beleive that this news group has become worse than cb.
>
> Only because Reily Hollingsworth has successfully cleaned up
> 14.313 and much of 75 Meters. It's now too hot to conduct this kind
> of stuff on ham radio as the FCC DF equipment (coupled with the DSCS
> satelite system) can pinpoint an RF emitter from DC to daylight anywhere
> on the planet in 5 seconds. Therefore, all the great old QRM'ers
> we have come to know and love from 14.313 are now down here on the newsgroups.
> Kind of a shame really as it was always more spontaneous in it's
> comedic value when it was on the air instead of having to
> read it in cyberspace. I sorta miss 14.313 really, it was ham radio's answer
to
> Comedy Central. (if anyone can say "hello" to "bathroom-man" for me, I would
> appreciate it!  He helped me diagnose and fix my malfunctioning toilet
> on 14.313 a few years ago. He may have been an un-ID'd QRM'er but he
> has sure got to me a master plumber in real life.  He actually diagnosed
> the problem on the air right on the nose having never seen my commode)
> Stuff like this you miss from the .313 of the olden days, along with the
nightly
> Mike and Judy show which was no doubt the most popular soap opera on
> 20 for many years...
>
>



From 741200604834001391
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 11:30:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AD1FF12.6928735E@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 13:27:30 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
```

X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986840475
64.240.47.49 (9 Apr 2001 13:21:15 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18995

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

> "It appears to me they didn't understand the question or if they
> understood the question, they don't understand their own rules and
> interpretations regarding expired licenses.

It seems obvious that they didn't understand the answer either. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7119516473630561061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec1bdbecb6ecf16a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 11:56:03 PST
From: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Sender: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Reply-To: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Subject: Call For Help
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 64.12.102.27 64.12.102.27
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad204b1$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 9 Apr 2001 19:51:29 GMT
X-Trace: 9 Apr 2001 19:51:29 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 13
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!
news2.mibx.net

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18996
```

For months now a group of do-gooders have been invading rec.radio.cb and trying to discredit freebanders and other alternative cbers by posting trivial legalities and FCC rules. We who are serious about the CB hobby are sick and tired of this flak. We know what we do is illegal. We simply don't care. Furthermore, we have the right to do what we want WITHOUT anyone acting all high and mighty and telling us we are breaking "laws". There are differences between rules and laws. The FCC does not care about CB anymore and these do-gooders need to get this fact through their thick skulls. I am not saying exactly what action to take heh heh, but if you support our cause please do your part to stop this malicious muckraking and disrespect of our hobby.

```
From -4672205398237592706
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 12:16:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc1.il.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Edward Dowdy" <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 19:14:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.17.237.123
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.il.home.com 986843649 24.17.237.123 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 12:14:09
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 12:14:09 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14892
rec.radio.amateur.policy:18997 rec.radio.amateur.space:2471 rec.radio.swap:28194
```

As you say, you're NOT a member. Therefore you have no voice in the ARRL.

73,
Ed KA9EES

--
Please reply to eldowdy@ctscomp.com. Spam is a pain!

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com...
> j.b@integrity.net.com (Johny B. Good) wrote in <j.b@b_good.com>:
>
> >How much is the
> >League worth right now?
>
> I'd say a tornado going by 225 Main Street in Newington should be able to
> make at least $950,000 worth of improvements *minimum*.
>
> A lawsuit would be a very good thing for the ARRL at this time. It would
> reveal to the Board of Directors what they should be focused on and not
the
> things they have been focused on of late. If you did sue them you might
not
> even have to go all the way to court. You could drop the lawsuit if the
> League Board of Directors would admit and agree that:
>
> 1.) Restructuring was a mistake. Ham radio is dumbed down now.
>
> 2.) Restructuring isn't producing knowledgable operators.
>
> 3.) They were wrong in proposing their restructuring proposal.
>
> 4.) That their restructuring plan was only meant to increase membership
>   not improve ham radio.
>
> 5.) Their restructuring plan ignored the wishes of the membership.
>
> 6.) They made a mistake in not opposing the FCC restructuring plan.
>
> 7.) That they agree ham bands are now worse since April 15th 2000.
>
> 8.) That they will work to promote better licensing standards and exams.
>
> 9.) That they will stop acting like bunch blithering idiots.
>
> 10.) That they'll listen to their members and not ignore them.
>
> If the League would agree to these I might even rejoin and start
supporting
> them again.

```
>
> 73 de KB7ADL
>




From 6871380784595441757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 13:10:05 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 20:08:19 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad214bd.227929425@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3ad069b9.118604489@news2.dvol.com>
<3AD11D0E.E3BD0E86@IEEE.org> <3ad13024.169404201@news2.dvol.com>
<3AD26B94.E19F2F22@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.119
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.119
X-Trace: 9 Apr 2001 15:05:24 -0500, 64.24.17.119
Lines: 16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18998

On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:10:28 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>If you don't like that example, change it to spitting on the sidewalk
>in Thailand - a beating with a bamboo pole.
>
Much more my style. Makes me wonder what the HS FCC would do to the
freebanders and their suppliers.
>
Singapore has similar Draconian laws & "corrections philosophies". But
Britney Spears could hoof thru downtown Singapore by herself at 4am
and not have a thing to worry about.
>
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv


From -6609732582915741455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,78a72998976ce363,start
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 13:32:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!zeus.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news.tele.dk!news.dizum.com!sewer-output!mail2news
Date: Mon, 9 Apr 2001 14:31:33 -0500
From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remailer@xganon.com>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
    It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
    Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
    remailer administrator at <abuse@anon.xg.nu>.
Subject: Cry For Help
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Message-ID: <a89f8cf7cea0d91aee3d7efb04670c90@anon.xg.nu>
Mail-To-News-Contact: abuse@dizum.com
Organization: mail2news@dizum.com
Lines: 11
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:18999

look asshole keep it in cb room where you can fool some people dont bring your bullshit in here trying to start your shit cumlick why would twistedhead or who evr kicked your sorry ass all sudden start posting here cumface so fuck off and keep it in with your ceebee pals arselickSubject: Call For Helprec.radio.amateur.policyX-User-Info:64.12.102.27 64.12.102.27NNTP-Posting-Host:206.53.132.39Message-ID:3ad204b1$1@news2.mibx.netDate: 9 Apr 2001 19:51:29 GMTX-Trace: 9 Apr 2001 19:51:29 GMT, 206.53.132.39For months now a group of do-gooders have been invading rec.radio.cb and trying to discredit freebanders and other alternative cbers by posting trivial legalities and FCC rules. We who are serious about the CB hobby are sick and tired of this flak. We know what we do is illegal. We simply don't care. Furthermore, we have the right to do what we want WITHOUT anyone acting all high and mighty and telling us we are breaking

---
This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
http://anon.xg.nu

From -7416631702967862505
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 14:29:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 9 Apr 2001 21:29:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.70
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14902
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19000

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > A freebander who converts over to legal hamming after he gets a ham license
> > > is no longer a freebander, Dick.
> >
> >   Sure, ...
> >
> > > What is it about that true statement that you don't understand?
> >
> >   Only that it, like so many of your "true statements" is untrue.
>
> I ask again, Dick, why is my statement not true. You agreed it was
> true when you said "Sure".
>

You already kno why it isn't true. A former freebander who grabbed a
cheap and all-too-easy ham license while retaining his freebanding ways
for his usual forays outside the ham bands hasn't contributed anything
positive to the ARS.
Now tell us that rulebreakers outside the ham bands will be model
citizens inside them.

From 6014105635885651820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 14:30:41 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
Date: 9 Apr 2001 21:30:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9at9lv$ijm$1@208.206.142.70>
References: <3ACFCC4E.5A83BD42@IEEE.org> <20010408223541.19302.00000753@nso-mh.aol.com> <3AD26995.4673ABA0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.70
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19001

Are your tetanus vaccinations up to date?

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > Ahhh - good point. It probably won't be better unless we get conditons of very
> > low takeoff angle, and the vertical has a very good ground system. How many
> > radials and how long?
>
> The radials are elevated at about 20ft and there are presently four of
> them 34ft long. I plan to add radials while taking FS measurements
> until I get to an optimum level.
>
> > You live a charmed life, Cecil. The classic "snake in the grass". Glad it was
> > the harmless kind. How's your hand now?
>
> There was no swelling or infection. Just two teeth marks, one of which
> was gnawed out to create a triangular pattern with some skin missing.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3386554123552079726
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 14:38:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Apr 2001 21:37:13 GMT
References: <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Message-ID: <20010409173713.28073.00005346@ng-mi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19003

Subj: Re: Did they understand the question?
Date: 04/09/01 1:49:58 PM Eastern Daylight Time
From: K0HB@qwest.net

>>In article <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-ch1.aol.com>, Steven J Robeson
>>K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com> writes:

>>That's an understatement.

>Nursie regurgitates more insulting petulance. Go soak your fat head in a bedpan.

I recognize your need to lash out in your waning years, Hans, as regrettable as it is to witness. However I wonder how long it will take you to tire of the sour taste in your mouth from the vileness you yourself perpetuate?

Have your Mrs stock up on some cheap "dollar store" mouth wash. It will help get you through the day.

Get help, Hans. Lennie didn't and look what happened to him.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time"

http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.com

From 4831838324181591749
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 14:44:17 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:43:17 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad1d745.212190248@news2.dvol.com>
References: <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>
<20010408203538.10429.00000815@nso-fj.aol.com> <9ar1uf$fgh$0@208.206.142.100>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.22
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.22

```
X-Trace: 9 Apr 2001 16:40:22 -0500, 64.24.16.22
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19004

On 9 Apr 2001 01:06:23 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> Which likely means no further changes in the forseeable future, given
>the chances of getting anything remotely approaching a 'concensus' is
>about as remote as a manned outpost on Mars.
>
That's freakin' fact Dick. My take is that whenever the FCC decides
it's time to futz with the ham regs again they'll expect to the ARRL
to come up with some politically palatable (read: marginally
defensible) "consensus", pass cursory judgement on it and forthwith
twist the resulting R&O into something even more convenient for them.
In retrospect that's exactly what happened in this last maneuver. All
the flood of comments they got on that abominable NPRM did was to
demonstrate that we don't have our collective shit together. Which of
course gave them a ticket to ride.
>
w3rv
```

```
From 7380974410716351982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ed1fe1310747b5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 14:50:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-nwblwi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010406111942.05328.00001163@ng-fc1.aol.com>
<3ACEAA65.2ADAE75@IEEE.org> <0R9A6.477$uV5.550774@news.uswest.net>
Subject: Re: Hans...Facing His Impending Demise Bitterly.....
Date: Mon, 9 Apr 2001 17:46:05 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
```

```
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3ad22dba$0$12824$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3861072a.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=`l3R;IChIlXKcT1HbNQe8R`<WTGT>OiB^[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FW?
R<DcV9Gd<SlBFDaL\d^nUGkB?HHPWLK\
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19005
```

"K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message
news:0R9A6.477$uV5.550774@news.uswest.net...

>
> Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> > Now there are two. Lennie AND Hans.
>
> Nursie got in my case because I post from more than one
> email address. I told her to soak her fat head. Guess she
> doesn't like it when someone barks back.
>
> Yawwwwwwwwnnnnnnnnnnn!
>
> de Hans, K0HB

Careful Hans, we know Steve is a Licensed Extra Class Amateur Radio Operator and a Licensed Practical Nurse, but he may not a be a Licensed Dog with the proper shots.

Woffff-wofff-woffffff.

Larry KC8EPO

```
From -5230544782578662494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec1bdbecb6ecf16a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 15:02:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ad204b1$1@news2.mibx.net>
Subject: Re: Call For Help
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <vfqA6.1107$K54.614921@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 9 Apr 2001 17:00:59 -0500
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.29.242.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 986853467 206.29.242.6 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 17:57:47 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 17:57:47 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19006

FORGERY!!!!!

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3ad204b1$1@news2.mibx.net...
>
> For months now a group of do-gooders have been invading rec.radio.cb and
trying
> to discredit freebanders and other alternative cbers by posting trivial
legalities
> and FCC rules. We who are serious about the CB hobby are sick and tired
of
> this flak. We know what we do is illegal. We simply don't care.
Furthermore,
> we have the right to do what we want WITHOUT anyone acting all high and
mighty
> and telling us we are breaking "laws".  There are differences between
rules
> and laws.  The FCC does not care about CB anymore and these do-gooders
need
> to get this fact through their thick skulls. I am not saying exactly
what
> action to take heh heh, but if you support our cause please do your part
> to stop this malicious muckraking and disrespect of our hobby.
>
>




From 9217506541879724100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 15:20:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
```

```
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbjhcc7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<3AD1FE38.D477C0C5@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 20
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:19:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986854774 206.58.44.41 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:19:34
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:19:34 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14906
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19007 rec.radio.amateur.space:2472 rec.radio.swap:28216

On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 13:23:52 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:

>"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>> A lawsuit would be a very good thing for the ARRL at this time.
>
>For what exactly could they be sued? Have they violated some law?
>Have they violated some written contract?

  Or can the plaintiff substantiate the usual member/investor claim
  against a BOD - failure to exercise good financial judgment
  causing the value of the stock to go down.  That didn't
  happen?  No case....

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
  Life Member - ARRL






From 3496461983823521823
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 15:20:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbjhna8.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
```

```
References: <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>
<20010408203538.10429.00000815@nso-fj.aol.com> <9ar1uf$fgh$0@208.206.142.100>
<3ad1d745.212190248@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Lines: 17
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:19:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986854775 206.58.44.41 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:19:35
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:19:35 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19008

On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:43:17 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

>All
>the flood of comments they got on that abominable NPRM did was to
>demonstrate that we don't have our collective shit together. Which of
>course gave them a ticket to ride.

  Which of course everyone both inside and outside the Bureau knew
  for many years before the proceeding....

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -8233713698658663938
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ec1bdbecb6ecf16a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 15:26:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!
news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ad204b1$1@news2.mibx.net> <vfqA6.1107$K54.614921@nntp3.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: Call For Help
```

```
Lines: 23
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <VEqA6.6750$uh5.252276@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:24:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 986855093 24.131.233.143 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001
18:24:53 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 18:24:53 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19009

Richard,

Just ignore it. Not worth the effort to even respond to.


--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."




From -6253815166672225624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 15:30:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-nwblwi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Mon, 9 Apr 2001 18:25:03 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 82
Message-ID: <3ad236dc$0$12824$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1f1e2a21.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=GTS9hTF1YKA=>N\5^GS<lJ`<WTGT>OiBN[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FG?
R<DcV9Gd<ClBFDaL\d^nEGkB?HHPWLKL
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19010

Based on the original newsgroup post, you can not sue the ARRL. If you not
a member, you can not sue them, because you have no standing. If you
member, you can not sue because you are required to sue, in the name of
organization, those directors that violated there fiduciary duty.   See
below.

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com...
> j.b@integrity.net.com (Johny B. Good) wrote in <j.b@b_good.com>:
>
> >How much is the
> >League worth right now?
>
> I'd say a tornado going by 225 Main Street in Newington should be able to
> make at least $950,000 worth of improvements *minimum*.
>
> A lawsuit would be a very good thing for the ARRL at this time. It would
> reveal to the Board of Directors what they should be focused on and not
the
> things they have been focused on of late. If you did sue them you might
not
> even have to go all the way to court. You could drop the lawsuit if the
> League Board of Directors would admit and agree that:
>
> 1.) Restructuring was a mistake.

The FCC does not agree.

> Ham radio is dumbed down now.

Well you are live proof, but I do not think we can blame the ARRL
directors for your stupidity.

> 2.) Restructuring isn't producing knowledgable operators.

Tell that to the 30,000 operators that upgraded.

> 3.) They were wrong in proposing their restructuring proposal.

The FCC proposed the Restructuring as a part of their Biannual review.
The ARRL just filed a comment likre the rest of us.

> 4.) That their restructuring plan was only meant to increase membership
>   not improve ham radio.

Does seem to be working.

> 5.) Their restructuring plan ignored the wishes of the membership.

Yep, they did not make the procoder or nocoder happy. Can not win them all.

> 6.) They made a mistake in not opposing the FCC restructuring plan.

Yes, that should have demand an immediate end to Morse code exams.

> 7.) That they agree ham bands are now worse since April 15th 2000.

Sun spots Vince, wait it will improve.

> 8.) That they will work to promote better licensing standards and exams.

That has always been their goal.

> 9.) That they will stop acting like bunch blithering idiots.

Right Vince and you model of blithing intelligence.

> 10.) That they'll listen to their members and not ignore them.

I might be nice if you would listner to what they have been trying to tell
for last couple of years. The code test can not longer be supported and
subsidized by the government.

> If the League would agree to these I might even rejoin and start
supporting
> them again.

Are sure they would let you join?

Larry   KC8EPO

From 4363541229091231647

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 15:32:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-nwblwi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Mon, 9 Apr 2001 18:26:55 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1f1e2a21.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=2\kVb@aDm70NZUVAGj=[M>`<WTGT>OiB>[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7Bgh`fbRfK92lBFDaL\d^n5G
kB?HHPWLK<
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14907
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19011 rec.radio.amateur.space:2473 rec.radio.swap:28218
```

"Edward Dowdy" <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote in message
news:5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com...

> As you say, you're NOT a member. Therefore you have no voice in the ARRL.

He has no standing, but Vince has voice in everything.

Larry KC8EPO

From -3035358229387644429

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 15:46:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Lines: 43
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <oYqA6.6753$uh5.254031@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:45:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 986856340 24.131.233.143 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 18:45:40 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 18:45:40 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19012

"Michael Adams" <michaeladams2000@no-spm.hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> I put a lot of work into getting my Extra Class license,
> and when I finally passed the 20 word per minute code test, and three
> separate tests for General, Advanced (50 questions), and Extra (another 25
> questions), I was proud of my achievement.
>
> Now the FCC has taken my Amateur Extra license and made it an almost
> worthless piece of paper. It now only takes one 50 question test to get
> from General to Extra Class, and there is no code test whatsoever.

Well Michael let me ask you some simple questions. What is more important to YOU? The personal achievement of passing the above battery of exams? Or is the little square of paper from the FCC that you want to wave under other people's noses to show how much better you are compared to them?

Nothing you accomplished, nor the skill you used to do it, changed one tiny bit when the licensing requirements changed. You can still send code at your 20 wpm speed. You still know what you did to pass the written exams. So what's your problem? Worried about your "status" as represented by that little bit of paper from the FCC? Forget it, get on the bands, and have fun. It that not why you passed those exams in the first place?

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 315849994481113287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 15:50:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone1.ba-dsg.net!typhoon1.ba-
dsg.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Reply-To: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3ad23aab.33170387@news5.bellatlantic.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<3AD1FE38.D477C0C5@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 3
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:48:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 138.89.91.204
X-Complaints-To: newsadmin@bellatlantic.net
X-Trace: typhoon1.ba-dsg.net 986856492 138.89.91.204 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 18:48:12
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 18:48:12 EDT

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14909
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19013 rec.radio.amateur.space:2474 rec.radio.swap:28220
```

Sue them for not working harder to DUMP that silly F' ing code
requirement.

```
From -3228259079473611396
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 15:50:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!
not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:45:16 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3AD23B7C.34DE7109@bctv.com>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD07ED9.43375FD5@bctv.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbhqeq5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986856489 34869 63.87.116.25 (9 Apr 2001 22:48:09 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Apr 2001 22:48:09 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14910
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19014
```

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Sun, 08 Apr 2001 15:08:09 +0000, Keith Wood wrote:
>
> >Total FCC investment in the entire package: Accepting 1 certification
> >that he has passed the Tech, another for the General, and a license for
> >the digipeater, all of which take a total of 5 minutes' work.
>
>   Separate license for the digipeater is no longer required

>   unless it's a "club" station.

That's what I had in mind, but I haven't kept up and wasn't aware of the
"no separate" change.

> >The same package for a commercial user? Two user licenses (each
> >requiring coordination for EACH frequency being used), 7 footprint maps,
> >and about 50 man-hours out of the Commission budget, to take up a very
> >small chunk of spectrum.
>
>   All done by the licensee, his consultant, and the private
>   sector frequency coordinator. The FCC no longer does the
>   drudge work.

No, but they are still responsible for it being properly done and
certified. Even when someone else does the work, it's still a pain and
it still sucks up resources. I can't think of a single government
agency chief who thinks that it's properly funded for the workload.

From -6360478136608679262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 15:50:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!xmission!
news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:46:35 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3AD23BCB.E8B61D3F@bctv.com>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107>
<3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org> <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11AD2.BEBDA01F@IEEE.org> <9apt99$bm3$1@208.206.142.79>
<3AD0AEE9.AF435A63@bctv.com> <9aqcad$j0m$0@208.206.142.79>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986856568 34869 63.87.116.25 (9 Apr 2001 22:49:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Apr 2001 22:49:28 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19015

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Un-hunh. What I see is a dipshit Nocode tech

Sorry, wrong again, you Dick.

Of course.

From 8569931024354926867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 15:52:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!xmission!
news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:48:58 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3AD23C5A.3995E736@bctv.com>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107>
<3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org> <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11AD2.BEBDA01F@IEEE.org> <9apt99$bm3$1@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B5DF.E99A7A11@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986856711 34869 63.87.116.25 (9 Apr 2001 22:51:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Apr 2001 22:51:51 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19016

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > There was practical value in dropping the General test to 5wpm and that
> > was noted in my comments. The only practical reason for dumping 20wpmfor
> > Extra was to allow you and a number of other codebashers to get a novice
> > grade Extra.
>
> But, but, but Dick, you said:
>

> "... as for myself, I like the present."
>
> If you really liked the present, would you still be bitching, moaning,
> and whining?

The only reason that he likes the present is that it gives him something
to whine about. He thinks that if he does it enough, all the real
comunicators who know his true value will suddenly take him in as a
"real Extra," just because he was able to do 20 WPM and remember enough
of the answers from the Bash book.

```
From 4397115651679702052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 15:54:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:49:30 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AD23C7A.F89E2B9B@bctv.com>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107>
<3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org> <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11AD2.BEBDA01F@IEEE.org> <9apt99$bm3$1@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B5DF.E99A7A11@IEEE.org> <9ar7gk$t0j$1@208.206.142.100>
<3AD26A8B.E880D744@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 986856743 34869 63.87.116.25 (9 Apr 2001 22:52:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Apr 2001 22:52:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19017
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > If you really liked the present, would you still be bitching, moaning,

```
> > > and whining?
> >
> >  You don't want me to protest you tryin to further screw it up?
>
> "Further screw it up" implies you think it is presently screwed up.

Well, he's been trying his hardest . . !


From -7716802894113809606
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,82f86baa13dfcd0e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 16:52:03 PST
From: "Saturn5" <Saturn5-no-spam@cotse.com>
Sender: "Saturn5" <Saturn5-no-spam@cotse.com>
Reply-To: "Saturn5" <Saturn5-no-spam@cotse.com>
Subject: Twistedhed Is An Idiot
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 152.163.201.181 152.163.201.181
References:  <986851530.3ad228cadcb9d@webmail.cotse.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad249f4$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 10 Apr 2001 00:47:00 GMT
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 00:47:00 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 14
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!
blackbush.xlink.net!newsfeed.freenet.de!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19018


punisha-no-spam@cotse.com (Punisher�Of�KeyClowns) wrote:
>For the good of this newsgroup this
>character ought to say sayonara and waddle off into the sunset. As it is,
he draws
>flames and acts like a buffoon. And he obviously doesn't care about what
a mess he's
>making here. Of course no one can side with such an idiot, and he knows
it. His time
>has come. Goodbye, Mr. Twisted!


Agreed!



From 5222394103649731908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 18:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
```

hermes.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Apr 2001 01:11:36 GMT
References: <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Message-ID: <20010409211136.11434.00002688@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19020

In article <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> That being the case, what is the harm in requiring that
>> radio amateurs be required to demonstrate at least a fraction of the
>> dedication as professional drivers must when attaining their licensing
>> privileges?
>
>You are still confused, Larry. Examinations are not meant to test
>dedication. They are meant to to test qualifications. Licenses are
>not a certificate of dedication. They are a certificate of privileges
>granted after meeting the qualifications.

Cecil:

First you disagree with me, then you prove my point. You really need to get together with a psychologist.

>Any citizen who meets the
>requirements, whatever they happen to be at the time, is qualified
>by definition.

Not exactly. Post April 2000 radio amateurs with a General or Extra class license are NOT as qualified as Pre- April 2000 hams of General, Advanced, or Extra class. End of story.

>One person may already be qualified. Another person
>may have to study/practice for a year. They both get the same license.
>Dedication is irrelevant. Effort is irrelevant.

The "effort" is irrelevant only to those who never made it.

>In the past, it was very difficult to qualify for a concealed weapons permit
>in Texas. Then Bush signed the concealed carry law and now it is relatively
>easy for a citizen of Texas to qualify for concealed carry. If you were
>one of the earlier concealed weapons carriers, would you feel disappointed
>that there are now lots more concealed weapons carriers? Qualifications

>simply change with time in every field (including amateur radio).

Another Cecil Moore classic apples-to-oranges comparison. Also not
worthy of any further comment.

>Incidentally, the murder rate in Texas has dropped 45% since Bush signed
>the concealed carry law.

Good. If I ever feel in danger of being murdered, I'll move to Texas, and
be safe.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1488057823659269 68
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 18:26:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>
<20010408203538.10429.00000815@nso-fj.aol.com> <9ar1uf$fgh$0@208.206.142.100>
<3ad1d745.212190248@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbjhna8.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <DitA6.1815$tx5.47248@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 01:25:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 986865955 209.204.160.101 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 18:25:55
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 18:25:55 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19021

Phil and the group:

We all know that the FCC never reads or even cares about the comments they
solicit in NPRM's. The whole deal is a sham from start to finish.
Memorable shams include the theft of the 220 MHz band, which garnered
something like 20,000 comments against, but only two in favor...one from the
proposed user, United Parcel Service, and the other from the equipment
manufacturer, Motorola. Of course it was passed, since the decision was
cast in stone even before the NPRM was issued. Another was the LPFM scam,
but I'll save that for another thread!

```
Ron
N6AHA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gbjhna8.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:43:17 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:
>
> >All
> >the flood of comments they got on that abominable NPRM did was to
> >demonstrate that we don't have our collective shit together. Which of
> >course gave them a ticket to ride.
>
>   Which of course everyone both inside and outside the Bureau knew
>   for many years before the proceeding....
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>
>
>
>




From -7111043897149937109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 18:38:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!
e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.boats.electronics
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <gstA6.67363$XV.17187866@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 01:36:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986866572 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 18:36:12
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 18:36:12 PDT
```

Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19022
rec.boats.electronics:3976

Most certainly they probably will respond to you. But I would suggest
taking the test first. It's not like its all that difficult or time
consuming. 100 multiple choice up here north of hte border.

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.

```
/----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\----------------------------------------------/
```

"empathy97" <empathy97_member@newsranger.com> wrote in message
news:qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com...
> I am an avid shortwave listener. I am intending to buy a used Icom M700
marine
> HF band transceiver. My questions is these. Can I use the transceiver to
> communicate with ships and boats around the world. Will they respond to my
> transmission. I don't have any HAM or commercial license to operate any
radios.
> Thank You all for the info.
>
>
>
> empathy.
>
>

From 6796640103846528533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 19:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>

```
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 30
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 02:05:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.112.133
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986868334 63.208.112.133 (Mon, 09 Apr
2001 19:05:34 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 19:05:34 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19023

In article <Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
tstdenis3160@home.com says...
> a person who has a cell will call 911 and get pro help ... one with ssb will
> call for someone to do it for them ... or for them themselves to come help
> ...
>
> fred from timbuktu is screwed ... unless you either have the tribal drum
> number of the elder or have a plane in your garage to fly there
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> Telecommunications Technician
> /-------------------------------------------------------\
> | Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                        |
> | e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
> | Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
> \-------------------------------------------------------/


Do you go to McGill?? Is your name Masa from Tokyo?  You type the same

ZZZZZZzzzzzzzzZZZZZ

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
```

```
#1 Honor Roll (still)


From -8086695126484132634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 19:18:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <ISRz6.990$tx5.40007@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cXRz6.729$Y4.693606@nntp1.onemain.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbhqwu6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <i3uA6.1838$tx5.47516@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 02:17:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 986869070 209.204.160.101 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 19:17:50
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 19:17:50 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19024

Well known?  What a flatterer, Phil!

Ron

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gbhqwu6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Sat, 7 Apr 2001 23:40:05 -0500, Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> >Said with all the style and verve of any other anonymous dingbat.
> >
> >"Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote in message
>
>   Since when is Ron Castro, N6AHA, long-time ham, FM radio
>   station owner, and well-known to me and other SF Bay Area hams
>   for years, an "anonymous dingbat"?
>
>   Ya' gotta' have your own "no spam" address cross-reference
>   database....
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>
```

>
>
>
>

From 8467371581737614211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 19:20:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <CRRz6.1273$kp6.561839@nntp2.onemain.com> <3ad01639$1@news2.mibx.net> <wQYz6.762$Y4.797048@nntp1.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 28
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <c5uA6.6796$uh5.262971@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 02:19:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 986869192 24.131.233.143 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:19:52 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:19:52 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19025

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message news:wQYz6.762$Y4.797048@nntp1.onemain.com...
> Before anyone goes berserk, this is a classic forgery of the type that has
> been going on for a long time. Our friend and buddy Leland Scott at work.

Oh really Richard? Why don't you go and check the message headers then come back and try that comment again. Otherwise the forgeries are getting you so confused you can not tell the real from the fake anymore.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -5249561254826255657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 19:27:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!
news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010408145017.04525.00000686@nso-cu.aol.com>
<20010408162032.22573.00000627@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<b55A6.820$K54.405295@nntp3.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 36
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <VauA6.6798$uh5.264798@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 02:25:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 986869557 24.131.233.143 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001
22:25:57 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:25:57 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19027

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message

news:b55A6.820$K54.405295@nntp3.onemain.com...
> Thank you, Steve. Having long since killfiled Lennie, I would not
> otherwise get to see the comment.
>
> 1. I do not deny my reply.
>
> 2. The reply from twistedhed is a Leland Scott forgery.
>
> 3. The original from Train may well be.

You have been spoofed Richard. You need to wise up before pointing fingers.
I know we have exchanged some comments from time to time, and don't see eye
to eye on them, but this is a friendly tap on the shoulder to let you know
somebody is jerking your chain, and your are eating it up.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -4957965008805167909
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 19:27:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbj5zz2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <PauA6.1839$tx5.47554@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 02:25:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 986869551 209.204.160.101 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 19:25:51 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 19:25:51 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19026

Johnny, K3BNS, was one of my "Elmers" when I got into ham radio nearly 40 years ago! He's from Levittown, PA.

Ron N6AHA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gbj5zz2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On 9 Apr 2001 17:19:25 GMT, K0HB@arrl.org wrote:
>
>   Is clear English so hard to write nowadays? The Commish' (or
>   more accurately Johnny "Bald Eagle" Johnson) was burned
>   severely by the attempt 25 years ago to rewrite the amateur
>   rules in comic-book language (remember that one?) so he gave up
>   entirely.

From -7607275676778651497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 19:42:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AD12CF2.9E59068F@some.net> <tlfA6.1640$kp6.766881@nntp2.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 28
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

Message-ID: <qpuA6.6799$uh5.265483@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 02:41:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 986870486 24.131.233.143 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:41:26 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:41:26 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19028

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message news:tlfA6.1640$kp6.766881@nntp2.onemain.com...
> Pretty good bet this, too, is a Leland forgery.

You want to make a bet Richard. You sure you are not trying to blame me because you dislike Tech Class Hams who don't care about CW? We went round the block on this one a few weeks back, remember? I guess not. Your anger is getting the better part of your judgment lately it seems.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 9099628017017181786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 19:44:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:42:31 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.53
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986870177
64.240.47.53 (9 Apr 2001 21:36:17 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!teaser.fr!isdnet!
newsfeed.online.be!news.algonet.se!algonet!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14923
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19029

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Now tell us that rulebreakers outside the ham bands will be model
> citizens inside them.

Exactly what rules do you think they would break? They have their choice
of modes, frequencies, and power. It is analogous to someone driving
without a driver's license for awhile and then getting a license.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4411824614436620625
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 19:46:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AD273A2.10DD1A8C@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:44:50 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
References: <3ACFCC4E.5A83BD42@IEEE.org> <20010408223541.19302.00000753@nso-
mh.aol.com> <3AD26995.4673ABA0@IEEE.org> <9at9lv$ijm$1@208.206.142.70>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.53
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986870315
64.240.47.53 (9 Apr 2001 21:38:35 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19030

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Are your tetanus vaccinations up to date?

How many people do you know who have ever gotten tetanus
from a snake bite?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 3894184036474326479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c621431170cbf57,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 19:56:08 PST
From: "Fred_Bloggs" <fredbloggs@domain.com>
Sender: "Fred_Bloggs" <fredbloggs@domain.com>
Reply-To: "Fred_Bloggs" <fredbloggs@domain.com>
Subject: Twistedhed Sucks Dead Hog Nuts
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 205.188.199.188 205.188.199.188
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad25ed7@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 10 Apr 2001 02:16:07 GMT
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 02:16:07 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 2
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!newscore.gigabell.net!newsfeed.hanau.net!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!blackbush.xlink.net!newsfeed.freenet.de!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19031

I juts wanted to see that in print. LOL.

From 8657789793136376770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 20:04:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AD27734.96C3B8DC@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:00:04 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org> <20010409211136.11434.00002688@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.53
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986871230 64.240.47.53 (9 Apr 2001 21:53:50 -0500)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19032

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Post April 2000 radio amateurs with a General or Extra
> class license are NOT as qualified as Pre- April 2000 hams of General,

> Advanced, or Extra class.

We all know you have to delude yourself into believing that to
prop up your self-esteem, Larry, but the truth is all people holding
the same license class are equally qualified for that license class.
If they were not qualified, they wouldn't hold the license. The best
thing you can say is that you are over qualified for your license
class. That is as it should be for someone licensed as long as you.

> The "effort" is irrelevant only to those who never made it.

My Advanced exam was absolutely effortless. I stumbled in drunk and
stumbled out an Advanced ham. The only effort I expended toward my
Extra license was driving through a thunderstorm.

> Another Cecil Moore classic apples-to-oranges comparison. Also not
> worthy of any further comment.

Hee, hee, I thought a guns example would git ya.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3772019406005702382
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 20:06:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.boats.electronics,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbjukv0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Lines: 30
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 03:03:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.34
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net

X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986871837 206.58.44.34 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 03:03:57 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 03:03:57 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.boats.electronics:3979
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19033

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 00:37:42 GMT, empathy97 wrote:

>I am an avid shortwave listener. I am intending to buy a used Icom M700 marine
>HF band transceiver. My questions is these. Can I use the transceiver to
>communicate with ships and boats around the world.

"Can you"...maybe. Are you ALLOWED to? Not on the marine
bands, yes on the ham bands but ONLY IF you hold the proper
grade of ham license.

>Will they respond to my transmission.

Only if they are ignorant of the situation and want to get into
trouble.

>I don't have any HAM or commercial license to operate any radios.

Then you have no authority to make any transmisions on any band.

Period.

>Thank You all for the info.

You're very welcome.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 3703628290260523156
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 20:06:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbjusp2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbj5zz2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<PauA6.1839$tx5.47554@typhoon.sonic.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>

```
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Lines: 17
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 03:03:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.34
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986871839 206.58.44.34 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 03:03:59
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 03:03:59 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19034

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 02:25:51 GMT, Ron wrote:

>Johnny, K3BNS, was one of my "Elmers" when I got into ham radio nearly 40
>years ago!  He's from Levittown, PA.

  What is he doing now that he's a member of the FCC Alumni and
  Survivors Association (even though he doesn't check into our
  e-mail list/net)?

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -1073218296456214321
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 20:42:07 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 03:18:51 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad27b58.254197048@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3ad069b9.118604489@news2.dvol.com>
<3AD11D0E.E3BD0E86@IEEE.org> <3ad13024.169404201@news2.dvol.com>
<3AD26B94.E19F2F22@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbj3zo0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.93
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.93
X-Trace: 9 Apr 2001 22:15:54 -0500, 64.24.17.93
Lines: 10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
```

X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.he.net!news.pressenter.com!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19035

On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 17:35:09 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

> That's Singapore.
>
. . . nasty little flyspeck on the map.
>--
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
w3rv

From -5501666731613117782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 21:14:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
Date: 10 Apr 2001 04:13:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9au1a4$ntm$0@208.206.142.93>
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107>
<3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org> <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11AD2.BEBDA01F@IEEE.org> <9apt99$bm3$1@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B5DF.E99A7A11@IEEE.org> <9ar7gk$t0j$1@208.206.142.100>
<3AD26A8B.E880D744@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.93
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19036

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >

> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > If you really liked the present, would you still be bitching, moaning,
> > > and whining?
> >
> > You don't want me to protest you tryin to further screw it up?
>
> "Further screw it up" implies you think it is presently screwed up.

Of course it is. The R&O was the result of more convenience and easing things for the FCC than for improving the ARS.

> How can you like a present that is screwed up?

I'm supposed to just withdraw from life because I don't like some bureacratic decisions? You can do that, as so many codehaters have if you wish. Not me.

I am presently an Extra.
> I know you don't like that.

You're just a grain of sand in the sea. So long as you refrain from the freeband I don't concern myself with you.

From -5541231311078501198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 21:20:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbj5zz2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <PauA6.1839$tx5.47554@typhoon.sonic.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbjusp2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <uRvA6.1852$tx5.47982@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 04:19:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net

```
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 986876378 209.204.160.101 (Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:19:38
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:19:38 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19037

I don't know what he's been up to, but I'd love to hear from him again!
Last time I talked to him was at a ham radio convention in Hawaii back
around 1976.

Ron N6AHA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gbjusp2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 02:25:51 GMT, Ron wrote:
>
> >Johnny, K3BNS, was one of my "Elmers" when I got into ham radio nearly 40
> >years ago!  He's from Levittown, PA.
>
>   What is he doing now that he's a member of the FCC Alumni and
>   Survivors Association (even though he doesn't check into our
>   e-mail list/net)?
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>
>
>
>
>




From 364851963538178521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 21:26:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Date: 10 Apr 2001 04:26:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9au220$pk0$0@208.206.142.93>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbj5zz2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.93
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19038



Phil Kane wrote:
>
>   My gut feeling is that they meant to say that credit is being
>   given only for current or expired-but-renewable licenses, and
>   that the holder of an expired-not-renewable Novice license may
>   somehow hold a valid or expired-but-renewable Tech license,
>   thereby conveying 5 wpm credit.
>

  My gut feeling, after the first release of the restructuring NPRM, was
that there exists at the staff level of the Comish essentially no one
who knows what the hell ham radio even is. That evidently would include
the "one and only licensed ham" working there. The rewrite they released
did almost nothing to reverse the impression.
 The latest missive only serves to reinforce that feeling.


From 8327427362563323178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 21:32:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 9 Apr 2001 17:49:23 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9assn3$vik$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-
ch1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986838563 32340 205.139.138.14 (9 Apr 2001 17:49:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Apr 2001 17:49:23 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: stevenrobeson@aol.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19039

In article <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-ch1.aol.com>, Steven J Robeson
```

K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com> writes:

> That's an understatement.

Nursie regurgitates more insulting petulance. Go soak your fat head in a bedpan.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From -6567091323897612748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c4f3be0e1e3ddb52,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 21:38:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "Gentlemen's Agreement" [Bis...]
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 9 Apr 2001 20:39:40 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <9at6mc$ful$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986848780 16341 205.139.138.14 (9 Apr 2001 20:39:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Apr 2001 20:39:40 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19040
```

F6AWN wrote:

> Just a question : is there some countries where the respect of the
> "Gentlemen's Agreement" (Band plans, in particular) is an obligation by

law?

In the US, band plans and gentlemen's agreements do not carry the force of regulation or law. However, cases of continued disruptive behaviour in conflict with these accepted plans have been dealt with under rules with deal with "Operation Standards" and "good amateur practice" as quoted below.

73, Hans, K0HB

---------------------FCC RULES QUOTED------------------------------------

Subpart B--Station Operation Standards

�97.101 General standards.
(a) In all respects not specifically covered by FCC Rules each amateur station must be operated in accordance with good engineering and good amateur practice.

(b) Each station licensee and each control operator must cooperate in selecting transmitting channels and in making the most effective use of the amateur service frequencies. No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive use of any station.

(c) At all times and on all frequencies, each control operator must give priority to stations providing emergency communications, except to stations transmitting communications for training drills and tests in RACES.

(d) No amateur operator shall willfully or maliciously interfere with or cause interference to any radio communication or signal.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -8031413528670527625
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 21:40:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Apr 2001 04:36:29 GMT

```
References: <9at9lv$ijm$1@208.206.142.70>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
Message-ID: <20010410003629.25154.00001245@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19041


>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> N2EY wrote:
>> > Ahhh - good point. It probably won't be better unless we get conditons of
>very
>> > low takeoff angle, and the vertical has a very good ground system. How
>many
>> > radials and how long?
>>
>> The radials are elevated at about 20ft and there are presently four of
>> them 34ft long. I plan to add radials while taking FS measurements
>> until I get to an optimum level.

Hope you have a lot of wire, Cecil.

For a long time I had a quarter wave 20 meter vertical with the base 12 feet
off the ground. Six quarter-wave radials. Worked really well - but it was no
better on 20 than my 80/40 trap inverted V with the center at 35 feet and the
ends at 12 feet. In ANY direction.

With lotsa radials, the picture may be different.
>>
>> > You live a charmed life, Cecil. The classic "snake in the grass". Glad it
>was
>> > the harmless kind. How's your hand now?
>>
>> There was no swelling or infection. Just two teeth marks, one of which
>> was gnawed out to create a triangular pattern with some skin missing.

oh mama. Hope it wasn't your sendin'/shootin' hand.

Station is all back together here. Test whenever you're ready.

73 de Jim, N2EY




From 597759905354422593
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 21:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
```

audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 60
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Apr 2001 04:36:33 GMT
References: <3AD1EF00.F2714279@arrl.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010410003633.25154.00001247@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19042

In article <3AD1EF00.F2714279@arrl.org>, Jon Bloom <jbloom@arrl.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Since April 15, 2000, the FCC has renewed all Technician and Technician
>Plus
>> licenses as Technician.
>
>Yes, but according to the FCC's MO&O of April 6 (paragraph 9):
>
>"Subsequent to the release of the Report and Order, the software used in
>the ULS was modified so that ULS will show a 'P' (for Plus) in the field
>designated for a licensee's former class of license when a Technician
>Plus Class license is renewed."
>
>So, one should be able to make the numbers more concrete if one wishes.
>As of the latest public files, there were 7079 active 'T' class licenses
>with 'P' in the previous-operator-class field.

Thanks, Jon! I saw the MO&O, but I wasn't sure how it would apply to those
already renewed.

This means that of the date you looked there were at least 7079 "Technicians"
who are renewed Tech Pluses. And they have Novice HF privileges.

In addition to the above, an exiting Novice who passes Element 2 (Tech written)
upgrades to Technician, but does not lose Novice HF privileges.

>In the context of the MO&O, the FCC overlooked the fact that that's of
>little use since, as Jim says:
>
>> Also, a ham who holds a Technician license and has
>> passed Element 1 (5 wpm code) has Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges, even
>though
>> the database simply shows "Technician" as the license class. There is no
>easy
>> way to determine exactly how many Techs are actually Techs with HF, because
>the
>> FCC does not keep that information in its database.

Yup. So the database number represents a worst-case minimum number.

To make the situation even stranger, consider the following:

- An individual who held a Novice class license at any time in the past (and can prove it) gets credit for Element 1 (5 wpm), even if the license has long expired, and/or if the licensee subsequently upgraded. However, holders of other license classes who let their licenses expire beyond the grace period get no such credit. The only rationale I can think of for this ruling is the fact that for the first ~23 years of its existence, the Novice was nonrenewable and "nonretakeable".
>
- A "Tech with HF" (Tech with Element 1 CSCE) has Novice HF privileges as long as he/she keeps the license current. But the CSCE is only valid for upgrade credit for 365 days after it is issued. After that, the holder must pass Element 1 again to upgrade to General or Extra.

Thanks again for the info.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 8902730659804393029
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 21:40:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 234
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Apr 2001 04:36:32 GMT
References: <20010407142401.09508.00000784@nso-mn.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010410003632.25154.00001246@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19043
```

In article <20010407142401.09508.00000784@nso-mn.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010407085909.26667.00000715@nso-bh.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>You are forgetting the FCC's temporary backlog and slow response
>>>to upgrading and new licensees in the month following April 15, 2000.
>>

>>No, I'm not forgetting those delays and backlogs at all.
>>
>>>[that news was in all the ham periodicals and newsletters]
>>
>>Yes, I know. What happened was that the surge of applications in the last
>two
>>weeks of April caused many of them to be delayed. But others went through
>>quickly.
>
>So...Miccolis has some kind of psychic power to see through
>publicly-available databases to determine "quickness?"

Nope. I know lots of hams and some VEs, that's all. Plus I read the amateur
radio news of that time.

>>No, that's NOT what I wrote. And it's NOT correct. You are ignoring the
>>effect of upgrades. Why?
>
>I'm NOT ignoring "upgrades." They aren't included in what I am
>saying because of a lack of definitive, quantitative data on the
>number of "upgrades," who went from one class to another class.

The license totals are affected by uogrades even if they are not separately
tabulated in the AH0A data. You are ignoring that fact.
>
>If Miccolis wants to argue about the NUMBER of "upgrades," he
>needs a quantitative value of that NUMBER that is available for
>reference by anyone.

If Len Anderson wants to ignore the presence and effect of "upgrades," he
needs a quantitative value of that NUMBER that is available for reference by
anyone.

>Any other argument about "upgrade"
>numbers is mere subjective opinion and not factual.

The existence of such upgrades and their effect on the totals IS factual.

>>Your explanation is faulty. There were thousands of post-April-15-2000
>>applications processed by the FCC in late April and in May of 2000.
>
>Nothing "faulty" about it. There is NO valid quantitative number
>value on POST-restructuring APPROVED licenses until the FCC
>has released the figures.

SO? The effect is still there.

Can you PROVE that ALL pre-April-15-2000 applications had been processed by
June 1, 2000?
>
>>>You are attempting discrediting by a false statement of omission.
>>

>>No. I am pointing out your errors, Len.
>
>All that Miccolis has said is that "I made errors."

No. I have said that Len Anderson has made errors. Mistakes. Statements that are not true representations of the facts.

>Were those "errors"
>arithmetic?

Maybe - I don't check all of your calculations. Maybe I should.

>Were those "errors" from using the "wrong" data?

Yes - and from misinterpreting what it means.

>The
>discussion on "Restructuring - did it make a difference" started out on
>the effects of US amateur radio license class privileges FOLLOWING
>the restructuring date.

Your errors are mostly ones of faulty logic and faulty processing of data. The quantitative doesn't matter if the qualitative is faulty.

Here are some examples of your errors, Len. All of the following statements in quotes were posted by you in the past few days in this thread. All of them are not correct. Do you want to retract or correct them? Or do you insist they are all vaild statements of fact?

(begin quotes)

"For Technician-Plus license class the renewals can only be between 10 and 8 1/3 percent of all Technician-Plus licensees."

"For the Technician class licensee, the number of renewals will be small compared to all other classes because their initial numbers were small."

"There is a more sure to determine the number of Technician-Plus renewals that were made Technician or to separate the originally-Technician-Plus from Techician by going to the NEW license, RENEWED, and RENEWED WITHIN GRACE PERIOD tabulations. Since there are no new Technician-Plus license class grants, one can simply subtract the new Technician license number from the Technician class total to see the number of Technician-Plus renewals transferred to Technician class."

"In the 10-month period the number of total Extra-Advanced-General-TechPlus-Novice licensees DECLINED by 10,943 while the Technician INCREASED by 16,029. The net gain was 5,086. It is still growth. Of the Technician class increase 15,970 were new licensees exclusive of any TechPlus renewal reclassification.

That leaves the Technician class total change
"off" by only 59 "others," presumably from the TechPlus renewal
reclassification."

"Those 15,970 new Technicians still don't have any HF access with/without
CSCEs."

"In the period between the end of June 2000 and end of March 2001 there was a
difference of 59 between the NEW licenses
(exclusive of renewals or other changes) and the change of Technician class
license totals over the same period. I never
discounted anything."

(end quotes)
>
>>The only "bad form" is your denial of the obvious.
>
>Which time frame is Miccolis using? Two of us in this thread were
>discussing the POST-restructuring effects. That period cannot be
>made valid by including data prior to the restructuring date.

I'm not talking about time frames. I'm talking about your logical errors. See
above.
>
>>No, yiu haven't. But from the renewal rates, it's clear that the death of
>>hams is the main cause of attrition.
>>
>>>Quit lying.
>>>
>>Did I write that you wished for hams to die? No. I asked a question. If the
>>answer is "no", all you have to do is say so.
>
>Upset?

Not me. You obviously are, though.

>Miccolis made a loaded statement and it went off in his
>face.

What "loaded statement"?? I simply asked if you wish for hams to die. What's
the big deal about answering that question?

What "lie" have I written? I just asked a simple question. If the honest answer
is "no", what's the problem?

> Wounds are not healed by trying to shift blame.

Then why are you trying to blame me for something I have not done? You tell me
to "quit lying" and talk about "loaded statements" when all I did was ask a
simple question.

>>>The code-tested classes are, as a total, reducing
>>>in numbers...by dropping out one way or another.

>>
>>And the most common reason is....?
>
>...whatever someone wants it to be, subjectively. There is NO
>reference point for the reasons behind dropping out. I gave NO
>opinion on reasons for dropping out.

Nobody said you did. It is common knowledge that the death of hams is a primary
reason for nonrenewal and cancellation of licenses.
>
>Why do you continue to imply "wrongness" by manufacturing
>conditions which did not exist?

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.
>
>>In other words, I've pointed out that Emperor Anderson has no logical
>>clothes.
>
>...only because Miccolis has tailored his response.

Nonsense. You can answer the question. But I notice that you don't answer
questions here.

> [he made
>the pants too long...with no buttons...]
>
Nope.

>I used publicly-available data over a time-period that is logical,
>the FCC's processing time included, on post-restructuring.

Your time period is based on subjective speculation of the FCC's processing
time.

> My
>only subjective opinion statement was on the TREND of future
>US amateur radio licensing and license classes.

Nobody denies the trend.
>
>>Try reading and understanding Part 97 and the explanations on the Speroni
>>site.
>>Your denial of the obvious is boring, Len/
>
>The reading and understanding has been done here some while
>ago.

Your understanding is still faulty.

> Miccolis should try to employ some logic to his own
>statements rather than a lot of subjective (and imperious) remarks.

Emperor Anderson has spoken.

>
>The only BORING part of this is attempting to establish conditions
>and time-frames which have been WRONGLY applied by another.

Nope. The use of any timeframe in a nonvalid process yields nonvalid data. You use nonvalid processes - bad logic - mistakes - errors - call 'em what you will.

You can call me names but that won't make your faulty logic any more valid.

N2EY

```
From -1889508299553428389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 21:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 10 Apr 2001 04:38:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.93
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14929
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19044 rec.radio.amateur.space:2476 rec.radio.swap:28262
```

"Edward Dowdy" <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote

> > As you say, you're NOT a member. Therefore you have no voice in the ARRL.
>

And if you ARE a member you still don't have a de facto voice. They can
and do routinely ignore the bulk of the membership- those who differ
with 'official' philosophy.

From -4789023232454778168
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 21:55:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 10 Apr 2001 04:55:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.93
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19045

```
"funguy@hotjuno.com" wrote:
>
> In article <Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> tstdenis3160@home.com says...
> > a person who has a cell will call 911 and get pro help ... one with ssb will
> > call for someone to do it for them ... or for them themselves to come help
> > ...
> >
> > fred from timbuktu is screwed ... unless you either have the tribal drum
> > number of the elder or have a plane in your garage to fly there
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> > Telecommunications Technician
> > /-------------------------------------------------------\
> > | Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                      |
> > | e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
> > | Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
> > \-------------------------------------------------------/
>
> Do you go to McGill?? Is your name Masa from Tokyo?  You type the same


 Thinks he same, acts the same, hey may BE the same


From -7017434893330808833
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 22:38:04 PST
From: "Tweakers" <tweakers@tweakers.com>
Sender: "Tweakers" <tweakers@tweakers.com>
Reply-To: "Tweakers" <tweakers@tweakers.com>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 152.163.213.196 152.163.213.196
References: <20010408145017.04525.00000686@nso-cu.aol.com>
<20010408162032.22573.00000627@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<b55A6.820$K54.405295@nntp3.onemain.com>
<VauA6.6798$uh5.264798@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad29ae6$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 10 Apr 2001 06:32:22 GMT
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 06:32:22 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 52
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!newsfeed.freenet.de!nntp-cust.primenet.com!
news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19046
```

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
>"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
>news:b55A6.820$K54.405295@nntp3.onemain.com...
>> Thank you, Steve. Having long since killfiled Lennie, I would not
>> otherwise get to see the comment.
>>
>> 1. I do not deny my reply.
>>
>> 2. The reply from twistedhed is a Leland Scott forgery.
>>
>> 3. The original from Train may well be.
>
>You have been spoofed Richard. You need to wise up before pointing fingers.
>I know we have exchanged some comments from time to time, and don't see
eye
>to eye on them, but this is a friendly tap on the shoulder to let you know
>somebody is jerking your chain, and your are eating it up.
>
>
>--
>73's,
>
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>ARRL member
>NCI member
>
>"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
>Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
>communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
>Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
>Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
>transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
>functions."
>
>

FYI. Here is the sequence of events:

1. Twistedhed got tweaked unmercifully in rec.radio.cb
2. Twistedhed decided it was Leland doing the Tweaking
3. Twistedhed spread his belief all over the net.
4. Unfortunate saps like Richard believed Twistedhed
5. The real Tweakers admitted they had done the tweaking and not Leland
6. Twistedhed refuses to give up the idea it was all Leland's fault
7. The whole world is laughing at Twistedhed

From -3926210532385775177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 22:40:05 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 05:19:42 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad297cf.261484818@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <3AD1F0B9.8A9486A0@arrl.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbj5bl1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.196
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.196
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 00:16:45 -0500, 64.24.19.196
Lines: 6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news!news.he.net!news-
feed.fnsi.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19047

On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 17:57:03 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>
. . . I couldn't agree more . . .

From -3476025868688756850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-09 23:18:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AD12CF2.9E59068F@some.net>
<tlfA6.1640$kp6.766881@nntp2.onemain.com>
<qpuA6.6799$uh5.265483@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 49
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <yuxA6.1252$K54.781690@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 01:15:15 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.210

X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 986883102 205.138.126.210 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 02:11:42
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 02:11:42 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19048

1. You got caught spoofing, live with it.

2. The discussion in question is whether to recommend a Tech ticket to a newbie -- given that the code requirement is going to be with us for some years. I would not, any more than I would lead him to an open elevator shaft. What Techs do is not of giant interest so long as it does not have an effect on me. Do not overestimate your importance in the giant scheme of things.

3. I will admit to confusion but I am my usual cynical-chic (thank you, Len) self overflowing with calmnity and dignitude. Most of the confusion comes from your spoofing.

Dick N�BK

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:qpuA6.6799$uh5.265483@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
> news:tlfA6.1640$kp6.766881@nntp2.onemain.com...
> > Pretty good bet this, too, is a Leland forgery.
>
> You want to make a bet Richard. You sure you are not trying to blame me
> because you dislike Tech Class Hams who don't care about CW? We went
round
> the block on this one a few weeks back, remember? I guess not. Your
anger is
> getting the better part of your judgment lately it seems.
>
> --
> 73's,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL member
> NCI member
>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> functions."
>
>

```
From -8856752922911736079
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 04:18:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!lsanca1-
snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 41
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 11:12:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.119.147
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986901158 209.245.119.147 (Tue, 10 Apr
2001 04:12:38 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 04:12:38 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19049

In article <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
> "funguy@hotjuno.com" wrote:
> >
> > In article <Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> > tstdenis3160@home.com says...
> > > a person who has a cell will call 911 and get pro help ... one with ssb will
> > > call for someone to do it for them ... or for them themselves to come help
> > > ...
> > >
> > > fred from timbuktu is screwed ... unless you either have the tribal drum
> > > number of the elder or have a plane in your garage to fly there
> > >
> > > --
> > > Rob St.Denis
> > >
> > > Telecommunications Technician
> > > /------------------------------------------------------\
> > > | Cell Phone (613)296-0094                                       |
> > > | e-mail fzxdude@yahoo.ca, robstdenis@hotmail.com |
> > > | Home Page http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org                |
> > > \------------------------------------------------------/
> >
> > Do you go to McGill?? Is your name Masa from Tokyo?  You type the same
>
>
>  Thinks he same, acts the same, hey may BE the same
>

If that are true, then I crap my hands for him.  What is it with
Canucks??

Must be something in the H2O.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)


From -5895153908029706962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 04:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news!news.he.net!news-
peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Apr 2001 11:34:29 GMT
References: <9assn3$vik$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Message-ID: <20010410073429.02398.00002227@ng-ch1.aol.com>
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19050

>Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
>From: K0HB@qwest.net
>Date: 04/09/01 1:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Nursie regurgitates more insulting petulance. Go soak your fat head in
>a bedpan.

You know, Hans, Geritol on the rocks MIGHT solve your attitude problem. Or maybe some Ex-Lax Cookies would be more appropriate?

I think the latter.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 3811778412081179921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 04:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87> <3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 27

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 11:47:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986903227 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 04:47:07 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 04:47:07 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19051

Crap in your hands eh .... well thats an interesting custom we certainly don't have up here. Do you store it for food or do you use it to patch the holes in that dillapitated shack you live in?

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.

```
/-----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                    (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                            fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\-----------------------------------------------/
```

> If that are true, then I crap my hands for him. What is it with
> Canucks??
>
> Must be something in the H2O.
>
> --
> DX is a way of life to some
> A hobby to others
> an obsession to the rest
> 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> #1 Honor Roll (still)

From 8230337103038144101
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,498c7458b16c585f
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,498c7458b16c585f
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,76c765294b93359,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,76c765294b93359,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,76c765294b93359,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,76c765294b93359,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,76c765294b93359,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,76c765294b93359,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 05:05:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Tim Randa <randas@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.ama
teur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio
.amateur.policy
Subject: FA: Last Day Kenwood CW Filter YK-88CN
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 08:02:17 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 5
Message-ID: <3AD2F649.3831C323@mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.56.2c.01
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Apr 2001 12:02:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20775 rec.radio.swap:28277
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11814 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14949 rec.radio.cb:30241
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:7970 rec.radio.shortwave:31390
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19052
```

Check it out...

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1227421384

```
From -3403715452771337B3
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 05:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 98
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Apr 2001 12:01:01 GMT
References: <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010410080101.02398.00002239@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19053

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
>Date: 04/09/01 1:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>

>A lawsuit would be a very good thing for the ARRL at this time.

As if they haven't got enough to deal with, you'd add a frivolous law suit. One that would have NO chance of winning ANYTHING.

>You could drop the lawsuit if the League Board of Directors would admit and agree that:

>1.) Restructuring was a mistake. Ham radio is dumbed down now.

Restructuring was NOT a League initiative. It was an FCC initiative. Sue them. Better yet, find Dick Bash and his ilk and sue them. Thier "test gudes" are what "dumbed down" Amatuer Radio.

>2.) Restructuring isn't producing knowledgable operators.

We have not been doing that for at least 20 years...since we allowed the Carter-era cowards to allow "open pools"

>3.) They were wrong in proposing their restructuring proposal.

Again...the FCC, not the League, initiated Restructuring. The FCC's final draft was not what the league proposed.

>4.) That their restructuring plan was only meant to increase membership not improve ham >radio.

How? This is a ludicrous statement. And in any case, MORE Hams would mean (ultimately) more League members. The former does not follow without the latter.

>5.) Their restructuring plan ignored the wishes of the membership.

How? In what definitive poll have you come to this conclusion? YOU are upset therefore ALL ARRL members were ignored?

>6.) They made a mistake in not opposing the FCC restructuring plan.

The FCC did not initially have a "plan". Thier final draft was the work of post-comment planning. The specified topics on which they wanted comment, but had no "plan".

>7.) That they agree ham bands are now worse since April 15th 2000.

Any "worsening" of the Ham bands is NOT coming from Amateurs. It's coming from unlicensed truck drivers and hobbyists on the bottom end of 10 meters.

Any other "admission" would be a lie. The "bands" have not changed one iota since 15 April 2000. There has been no major flood of new callsigns on the air, bandplan "violations", etc etc.

>8.) That they will work to promote better licensing standards and exams.

They are already doing that. That they are the largest retailer of licensing materials and the largest VEC is evidence of that.

>9.) That they will stop acting like bunch blithering idiots

I hope they sue YOU for that remark. They won't, since they have a bit more class than that, but they could. And you'd deserve it.

>10.) That they'll listen to their members and not ignore them.

If they ignored them as you assert they do, they wouldn't be "in business" very long. It IS a membership organization and not everyone is going to be pleased all the time.

>If the League would agree to these I might even rejoin and start supporting them again.

I am sure they will rush right out and immediately stop everything they are doing and kowtow to YOUR ideas to get YOUR dues.....yeah...right.

Again...it's a "membership organization"...More than one person has an opinion on how things should be run. Some of those opinions are probably contrary to yours, but are nonetheless just as valid.

If you want it "Your Way, Right Away", stop at the drive through at Burger King, Vince. They will be glad to take your order.....

73 de K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 6649514757203169262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 05:20:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!194.25.134.62!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!newscore.gigabell.net!isdnet!nerim.net!codeine.org!intgwpad.nntp.telstra.net!news1.optus.net.au!optus!newshub1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au!news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: hamish@cloud.net.au (Hamish Moffatt VK3SB)
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
User-Agent: tin/1.4.1-19991201 ("Polish") (UNIX) (Linux/2.2.16 (i586))
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <ANCA6.46465$Xx3.259138@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 12:13:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.164.64.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@optushome.com.au
X-Trace: news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au 986904800 203.164.64.6 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 22:13:20 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 22:13:20 EST
Organization: @Home Network
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14950 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19054 rec.radio.amateur.space:2477 rec.radio.swap:28279

In rec.radio.amateur.space Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote:
> 1.) Restructuring was a mistake. Ham radio is dumbed down now.
> 2.) Restructuring isn't producing knowledgable operators.
> 3.) They were wrong in proposing their restructuring proposal.
> 4.) That their restructuring plan was only meant to increase membership
>   not improve ham radio.

Hey Vince.. restructuring is a fact. Get over it.

A multiple choice 20 WPM Morse code test doesn't teach anyone much.
It's no loss.

Hamish (ARRL member)
--

Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@debian.org> <hamish@cloud.net.au>

```
From 4155495978279572931
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 05:45:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 08:42:55 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <td5vupkuf6vgfd@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AD12CF2.9E59068F@some.net>
<tlfA6.1640$kp6.766881@nntp2.onemain.com>
<qpuA6.6799$uh5.265483@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<yuxA6.1252$K54.781690@nntp3.onemain.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 34
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19055
```

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:yuxA6.1252$K54.781690@nntp3.onemain.com...
> 1. You got caught spoofing, live with it.

Believe what you like Richard. I thought you had the smarts to figure it out sooner or later. Now it looks like maybe never. Seems like being able to pound brass at a breath taking rate does not help you to figure out who is really who, even after it has been explained to you.

> 2. The discussion in question is whether to recommend a Tech ticket to a
> newbie --

The discussion I was referring to had to do with your self admitted bigoted comment about not acting as a VE for somebody who has a Tech Class License.

> 3. I will admit to confusion but I am my usual cynical-chic (thank you,
> Len) self overflowing with calmnity and dignitude. Most of the confusion
> comes from your spoofing.

I don't need to spoof anyone Richard, even you. Unlike some of the posters, I don't have any phobias about signing my real name to what I write, regardless of what other people may think about my comments.

--

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"FBI bomb technician. If you see
 me running, TRY to keep up! "

From 5547965485448703509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 06:06:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!falcon.america.net!
eagle.america.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Robbie K" <rknowles@mailingpost.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.boats.electronics
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Distribution: x-no-archive: yes
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <qwDA6.1717$NM5.63036@eagle.america.net>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 09:01:52 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.22.236.10
X-Trace: eagle.america.net 986907798 63.22.236.10 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 09:03:18 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 09:03:18 EDT
Organization: 24hoursupport.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19056
rec.boats.electronics:3987

Actually, it is one of the fastest growing hobbies that
radio enthusiasts are discovering.   Boats respond to your
calls quickly and it is possible to make many new friends.

AES and Radio Shack sell some really affordable marine
radios too. Judging from the growing number of people coming
into this new hobby, there are probably thousands already
having fun on the marine bands.

Listen for "Robbie 782, the juggler."

Robbie

```
"empathy97" <empathy97_member@newsranger.com> wrote in message
news:qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com...
> I am an avid shortwave listener. I am intending to buy a used Icom M700
marine
> HF band transceiver. My questions is these. Can I use the transceiver to
> communicate with ships and boats around the world. Will they respond to my
> transmission. I don't have any HAM or commercial license to operate any
radios.
> Thank You all for the info.
>
>
>
> empathy.
>
>




From 6384155783876142262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 06:18:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!webtv.net!not-for-mail
From: ac5ez@webtv.net (Larry)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 08:05:50 -0500 (CDT)
Organization: WebTV Subscriber
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <15470-3AD3052E-9@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: localhost.webtv.net
Mime-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=US-ASCII
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
X-WebTV-Signature: 1
     ETAsAhQRpXrL+7+kF1rOeasHUw+hpnxd0gIUQkVCidPS2ZpPhASDz0VwRnbyRlU=
Content-Disposition: Inline
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19057

Of course you , this is America.

K1zw
Larry
Qcwa



From -1982918890286299210
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 08:26:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 10 Apr 2001 15:26:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net> <WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164> <3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79> <3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100> <3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70> <3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14956 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19058

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Now tell us that rulebreakers outside the ham bands will be model
> > citizens inside them.
>
> Exactly what rules do you think they would break? They have their choice
> of modes, frequencies, and power. It is analogous to someone driving
> without a driver's license for awhile and then getting a license.

That's "logical" isn't it? So why is necesszary to poin tout that much human behavior is illogical? Yours included.

From -1525141278161049985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 08:29:58 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
Date: 10 Apr 2001 15:27:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9av8po$d0i$1@208.207.71.166>
References: <3ACFCC4E.5A83BD42@IEEE.org> <20010408223541.19302.00000753@nso-mh.aol.com> <3AD26995.4673ABA0@IEEE.org> <9at9lv$ijm$1@208.206.142.70> <3AD273A2.10DD1A8C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19059

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Are your tetanus vaccinations up to date?
>
> How many people do you know who have ever gotten tetanus
> from a snake bite?

I only know personally one person who ever got tetanus at all. He got it from a rusty nail in a barnyard. But it's out there, like gold, where you find it.

From 9327988734252157 31
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c621431170cbf57
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 08:31:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Twistedhed Sucks Dead Hog Nuts
Date: 10 Apr 2001 15:28:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9av8r5$d0i$2@208.207.71.166>
References: <3ad25ed7@news2.mibx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19060

Fred_Bloggs wrote:
>
> I juts wanted to see that in print. LOL.

You could have done it in wordpad and spared the rest of us.

From 11650204376430099944
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 08:36:07 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.boats.electronics
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:33:05 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad3249c.29462132@news2.dvol.com>
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.57
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.57
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 10:30:07 -0500, 64.24.19.57
Lines: 22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!4.24.21.153!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19061
rec.boats.electronics:3991

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 00:37:42 GMT, empathy97
<empathy97_member@newsranger.com> wrote:

>I am an avid shortwave listener. I am intending to buy a used Icom M700 marine
>HF band transceiver. My questions is these. Can I use the transceiver to
>communicate with ships and boats around the world. Will they respond to my
>transmission. I don't have any HAM or commercial license to operate any radios.
>Thank You all for the info.
>
Any such radio operation would be a federal offense with potentially

serious consequences. The only way you can legally operate two-way HF
radios for recreational purposes is via the Citizens Radio Service (no
license required) or the Amateur Radio Service (ham license required).

>
If you got stupid and put the M700 on the air anyway you most likely
would be ignored by the legitimate users of the marine frequencies.
>
>empathy.
>
w3rv

```
From -8303129468002062933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,212731b001cd58c5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,212731b001cd58c5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,212731b001cd58c5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,212731b001cd58c5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,212731b001cd58c5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,212731b001cd58c5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,212731b001cd58c5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 09:00:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!wesley.videotron.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.r
adio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio
.amateur.policy
Subject: Stop by  - www.ab7sl.com -
Lines: 51
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <j3GA6.4515$Ak1.459603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:57:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.190.166
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986918223 63.30.190.166 (Tue, 10 Apr
2001 08:57:03 PDT)
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 08:57:03 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20812
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:7977 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2283
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11823 rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:4993
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14957 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19062
```

Lots of STUFF to do at www.ab7sl.com such as...

All - NEW - W9INN antenna page!!

1. Ham Radio Links (some unusual)
2. Several Ham Radio Web Rings !
3.AB7SL Biography
4.Personal Photos
5.Station Description
6.Software Downloads
7.The DX Page (w / Telnet links)
8.Downloadable IOTA List
9.W7NH - Elmer Tribute
8.Prescott Arizona Page
9.Online DX Logbook
10.3D Art Gallery (downloads)
11.Music Page (downloads)
12.Frequently Asked Questions
13.Free-For-All Links Page
14.Guestbook
15.Equipment Photos
16.Downloadable DXCC Countries List
17.Downloadable ITU Prefixes List
18.W9INN Antennas Page
--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

```
From 3565050481922966117
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 09:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.tele.dk!news.dizum.com!sewer-output!mail2news
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 10:25:47 -0500
From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remailer@xganon.com>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
     It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
     Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
     remailer administrator at <abuse@anon.xg.nu>.
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Message-ID: <674d12a2bc747a8f0c8f124983c7417b@anon.xg.nu>
Mail-To-News-Contact: abuse@dizum.com
Organization: mail2news@dizum.com
Lines: 19
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19064

Great hobby!  Pleasure boats haven't used call signs in years.  They use
the name of their boat as a call sign.   I recommend the UNIDEN MC-1010
from AES or other outlets.  It's $129 and your're on the marine band
having fun talking to pleasure boats around the world.  Add a linear if
you want.  Make up a name of a boat, like Lilly B, or  Sally J, and
you are on the air.  I talked to a guy last night near Tahiti from
here at my home in Oklahoma City.   A guy in Radio Shack told me they're
selling dozens of marine radios a week to radio hobbyists.  He said it's
the latest thing in radio fun.




---
This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
http://anon.xg.nu
---
```

```
From 3717637144622557460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 107ada,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 10:04:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Spammed again (Was:Stop by - www.ab7sl.com -)
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Apr 2001 16:33:04 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9avck0$on9$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <j3GA6.4515$Ak1.459603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986920384 25321 205.139.138.14 (10 Apr 2001 16:33:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Apr 2001 16:33:04 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19066 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20824 rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:7980 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2284 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11827 rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:4994 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14959

In article <j3GA6.4515$Ak1.459603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, Charles Johnston <ctj92@earthlink.net> writes:

>Lots of STUFF to do at www.ab7ls.com such as...

This guy must get a nice commission from his advertisers everytime someone visits.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----

http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -4662928567508757722
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 10:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD346FF.6F51@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 36
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:46:39 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.20
X-Trace: news2.atl 986924779 209.214.186.20 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:46:19 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:46:19 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19068

Steven,

IF I read FCC's logic in this refusal, it seems that their refusal is based on the fact that IF a person held a General, Advanced, or Extra ticket in the past, then at some point PRIOR, that same person held a Novice ticket, right? So, to their thinking, there is nothing to correct. That is....if I see this issue correctly. The point is only 5WPM. So that person passed that to get a Novice ticket. If I am wrong, please correct.

Jerry
K4KWH

Steven J Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Did they understand the question?
> >From: hans_brakob@adc.com
> >Date: 04/09/01 11:14 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>

```
> >I know I can be slow sometimes, and it takes me awhile to understand some
> >things.
>
>      That's an understatement.
>
> >I have read the paragraph at least a dozen times, and it
> >still doesn't "click" with me.
>
>      But this time you are correct.  That's what we get for having
> Commissioner's who are NOT licensee's in ANY radio service.
>
> K4YZ
> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time"
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.com
```

```
From -6662855102171433612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 10:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 75
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Apr 2001 17:53:13 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
Message-ID: <20010410135313.27241.00005862@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19069
```

Hello All,

Here we have the perfect example of why some people thrive in the domain of the Internet but are essentially social outcasts no matter what thier socioeconomic background.

I received this via private e mail. Of course this cretin cannot successfully argue thier point in a public forum. No matter how you look at it, it really is a "no win" situation. There are now and shall continue to be, for the forseeable future, an application for Morse Code. It's a fact. Some commercial ships still use it, the Armed Forces of most countries still teach it, and of course it is still the "least common denominator" of Amatuer Radio.

Not to mention this "post" came from comments made some time ago...NOT April 10th as quoted and NOT in context, therefore, as ususal, hardly timely nor applicable.

Does it need to be a mandatory requirement for Amatuer licensure?

Probably not. But the United States is NOT the only natioon in the world with a say in this matter, and even when we do get to voice our opinion, it still only counts ONE vote like any other soverign nation of the world.

I think we can safely narrow the field down to about four people who generated this letter. My first guess is of course Leonard H. Anderson. He has already demonstrated that his "position" is indefensible, and he must resort to profanity and the "help" of "anonymous" friends to make the point. Furthermore, it follows the pattern. "W2DNE's" posts always follow a "lull" in Lennie-isms.

My second choice is Hans Brakob. "W2DNE" usually posts in response to comments made by, for or in deference to Hans or Lennie. Not always, but often enough to have made a pattern obvious.

In either or any case, it matters not. Anyone who cannot put their name to thier comments in a public forum is probably having sex with a deceased parent or underaged neighbor, and their reward shall come soon enough.

Not that AOL will do anything about it, but the letter was TOS'ed, and the rest is on it's way to the trashcan with the rest of the refuse.

Steve, K4YZ

Subj: Re: ARRL Life Membership - Don't buy one
Date: 04/10/01 1:27:50 PM Eastern Daylight Time
From: w2dne@ziplip.com (w2dne)
Reply-to: w2dne@ziplip.com (w2dne)
To: Ham-Policy@ucsd.edu
CC: k4yz@aol.com

Steven J Robeson wrote:

> Because most of the third world countries who,
> despite having NO internal communications
> infrastracture in ANY mode, have the same vote
> as those who have technology out the wazoo. Morse
> Code levels the playing field for them.

There is no country, 3rd world or otherwise, which has any use for Morse Code telecommunications infrastructure. Technology is the cheapest thing in the world these days, and Morse ain't gonna cut it.

You're a dumb sumabitch, and you're really good at it!

Bestest 73's,
Barnabus Grumwich Overbyte, III

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From -7057117154845359611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 11:02:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 12:59:37 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.106
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986925202
64.240.47.106 (10 Apr 2001 12:53:22 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!cyclone.bc.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14960
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19070
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> W6RCecilA wrote:

```
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >  Now tell us that rulebreakers outside the ham bands will be model
> > > citizens inside them.
> >
> > Exactly what rules do you think they would break? They have their choice
> > of modes, frequencies, and power. It is analogous to someone driving
> > without a driver's license for awhile and then getting a license.
>
>   That's "logical" isn't it? So why is necesszary to point out that much
> human behavior is illogical? Yours included.

What does that statement have to do with the topic? People who operate
without a license (for an operation requiring a license) are breaking
the rules. After they get the license they are no longer breaking the rules.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2747556010062527750
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 17:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: Brian Short <k7on@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.r
adio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio
.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Stop by  - www.qsl.net/k7on/ -
Message-ID: <MPG.153db5a0a05f43a39896aa@news.earthlink.net>
References: <j3GA6.4515$Ak1.459603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
```

```
Organization: QEX
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.30
Lines: 8
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:28:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.221.56.218
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986948902 24.221.56.218 (Tue, 10 Apr
2001 17:28:22 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 17:28:22 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 17:27:07 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20870
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:7999 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2292
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11853 rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5008
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14985 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19122
```

In article <j3GA6.4515$Ak1.459603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
ctj92@earthlink.net says...

> Lots of STUFF to do >

--
mailto:k7on@earthlink.net >< http://www.qsl.net/k7on/
--

```
From -8130507331355877424
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 17:37:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gblfqa2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

```
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Lines: 21
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986949333 206.58.44.8 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:33
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:33 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14987
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19123

On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:42:31 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:

>>  Now tell us that rulebreakers outside the ham bands will be model
>> citizens inside them.
>
>Exactly what rules do you think they would break? They have their choice
>of modes, frequencies, and power. It is analogous to someone driving
>without a driver's license for awhile and then getting a license.

  And then driving the wrong way on a one-way street when it
  strikes their fancy.

  Some would, others won't.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From 4785589745791996963
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 17:37:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.boats.electronics,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gblg9d3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
<3ad3249c.29462132@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Lines: 36
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986949341 206.58.44.8 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:41
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:41 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.boats.electronics:4007
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19124

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:33:05 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

>>I am an avid shortwave listener. I am intending to buy a used Icom M700 marine
>>HF band transceiver. My questions is these. Can I use the transceiver to
>>communicate with ships and boats around the world. Will they respond to my
>>transmission. I don't have any HAM or commercial license to operate any radios.
>>Thank You all for the info.
>>
>Any such radio operation would be a federal offense with potentially
>serious consequences. The only way you can legally operate two-way HF
>radios for recreational purposes is via the Citizens Radio Service (no
>license required) or the Amateur Radio Service (ham license required).
>
>>
>If you got stupid and put the M700 on the air anyway you most likely
>would be ignored by the legitimate users of the marine frequencies.

  The FCC refers to such marine band "pirate station" as "kitchen
  coastals" from the days when the usual such installation was in
  the home of a commercial fishing vessel owner and used by
  family to contact the vessel without benefit of license as a
  Private Coast Station or the services of the various Marine
  Operators (Public Cost Stations).

  When the US Coast Guard or the commercial marine users (ATT and
  Globe Wireless, for instance) feel that they've had enough
  competition or complaints, they get the FCC to do "make an
  example" sweeps.

  Like catching fish in a barrel, BTW...

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From -8726620596235832794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 17:38:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gblhv55.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <674d12a2bc747a8f0c8f124983c7417b@anon.xg.nu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Lines: 49
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986949342 206.58.44.8 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:42 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:42 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19125

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 10:25:47 -0500, "Public" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote:

>Great hobby! Pleasure boats haven't used call signs in years. They use
>the name of their boat as a call sign.   I recommend the UNIDEN MC-1010
>from AES or other outlets. It's $129 and your're on the marine band
>having fun talking to pleasure boats around the world. Add a linear if
>you want. Make up a name of a boat, like Lilly B, or Sally J, and
>you are on the air.

Look up the case of Arthur W. W. Brothers who set up two of his land-locked "clients" - two brothels in Nevada - with marine radios, got them licenses as the vessels "Sherry" and "Betty", and provided them with radiotelephone service through his Lake Powell Marine Operator.

When all the dust settled, he lost every FCC license that he had - his Marine Operator services (a printing press for money in those days) in several parts of the country, the licenses for all the Mobile Operator and link channels for the telephone company which he owned, the license for his private plane, his commercial operator license, and his ham radio license. In the process he wound up "donating" a goodly chunk of his cash to his lawyers.

Had he not been a licensee, he would have been hit with a hefty fine and possibly jail time in a criminal prosecution.

Nowadays the FCC can get you both ways - monetary penalties AND
loss of license.

Oh, I forgot -- one of his Marine Operator stations was found
installed at the top of Navajo Mountain without the necessary
permit from the Navajo Nation. The equipment was seized by the
Chief of the Tribal Police - in real life the Navajo Nation is
part of the United States only when it wants to be part of the
United States - converted to 2-meters, and has run for several
decades as a 2-m repeater under the ham license of said chief.

>I talked to a guy last night near Tahiti from
>here at my home in Oklahoma City.   A guy in Radio Shack told me they're
>selling dozens of marine radios a week to radio hobbyists. He said it's
>the latest thing in radio fun.

Fun until the Feds get around to you......then it's no fun at all.
Your turn will come.....

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 7718418652722691860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 17:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gblgbs4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.

```
Lines: 15
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 986949340 206.58.44.8 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:40
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:40 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14989
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19126 rec.radio.amateur.space:2485 rec.radio.swap:28365

On 10 Apr 2001 04:38:17 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> And if you ARE a member you still don't have a de facto voice. They can
>and do routinely ignore the bulk of the membership- those who differ
>with 'official' philosophy.

  And the only remedy is to vote them out at the next election.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP







From 2064560623478135873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 18:04:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!oleane.net!oleane!
newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!news1.iquest.net!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Solar Flare alert
From: alice@kramden.moon (Alice)
Organization: One 'o these days!
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.9 (Released Version) (x86 32bit)
References: <NfQw6.8495$aP5.735090@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3207dtk7m5akheq63bu9bmjj52drl5tqf8@4ax.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <k%NA6.1036$_i2.509672@news1.iquest.net>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:58:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.43.54.58
X-Complaints-To: news-abuse@iquest.net
```

X-Trace: news1.iquest.net 986950736 209.43.54.58 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:58:56 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:58:56 EST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:2293 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14995 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19127

Umm, yeah. Sort of makes you not want to go back after you get out, doesn't it? The sign over the front door says "Jail", not "Holiday Inn".

In article <3207dtk7m5akheq63bu9bmjj52drl5tqf8@4ax.com>, <Unknown> was of the opinion...

>Shame on ARIZONA,USA
>Be aware these people are NOT convicted of anything.
>http://www.geocities.com/novapunkca/
>If you don't like it tell the Governor.
>http://www.governor.state.az.us/feedback.html

From 5952223950170067082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 18:24:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone1.ba-dsg.net!typhoon1.ba-dsg.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Reply-To: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3ad3af5a.23547807@news5.bellatlantic.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com> <3AD1FE38.D477C0C5@IEEE.org> <3ad23aab.33170387@news5.bellatlantic.net> <3ad37518.50069716@news2.dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 13
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 01:18:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 138.89.93.240
X-Complaints-To: newsadmin@bellatlantic.net
X-Trace: typhoon1.ba-dsg.net 986951933 138.89.93.240 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:18:53 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:18:53 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14997

```
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19128 rec.radio.amateur.space:2487 rec.radio.swap:28367
```

>>Sue them for not working harder to DUMP that silly F' ing code
>>requirement.
>>
>I suppose you mean that F'ing 5wpm thing, yeah, I agree. Bring 20wpm
>back.
>

No , dump the entire STUPID, SILLY code test and the written as well.

Just send in your 20 bucks and you'll get a license. Simple, easy,
and FUN!

;)

```
From -4840491322065348238
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 18:30:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AD3B286.9A148E22@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 20:25:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org> <20010409211136.11434.00002688@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3AD27734.96C3B8DC@IEEE.org> <3AD39821.1A8F2C01@worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986951953
64.240.47.132 (10 Apr 2001 20:19:13 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19129
```

John Siegel wrote:

> Neither of you have any idea
> whether that ham barely made 5 WPM by guessing at the answers or could
> sail along at 35 WPM+.

It doesn't matter. If they both passed, both are qualified and one is over-qualified.

> Neither of you know if they passed with one
> question to spare having memorized the question pool or could write a
> textbook on radio communications.

It doesn't matter. If they both passed, both are qualified and one is over-qualified.

> Isn't it about time to bury this old rehash? I know I would rather
> spend what little spare time I have on the air.

I'm listening for N2EY right now but I don't hear him.

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2193170104413675941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21a987588c039a95
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 18:32:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <986938437.3ad37c45b707e@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: no explanation
Lines: 39
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <BqOA6.7781$uh5.312680@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 01:28:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 986952481 24.131.233.143 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:28:01 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:28:01 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19130

<GayDougy-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:986938437.3ad37c45b707e@webmail.cotse.com...
>Leland hasnt explained his fuck-up either.

And what might that be?

> Leland lies and so does n8wwm.

The one who likes me so much that he forges posts in my name does. As far as N8WWM goes, you will have to ask him because I'm not a mind reader. On the other hand I do make an effort to keep my language clean, no potty mouth words from me. Then finally I don't have a problem putting my real name to what I write. I don't need to hide behind fake screen names like some do. I will continue to post my views, using my real name, and I don't really care if anybody agrees with them or not. These news groups are a public forum. So whatever agenda, and behind the scenes skullduggery, that is employed by the truly responsible party(s) will in no way alter my postings, nor the views they contain within.

--
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -1727341220596605254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,330b9dd1edaf7014
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 18:32:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AD3B38D.1B37B5C5@IEEE.org>

Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 20:29:49 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1.2 watt moonbounce
References: <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org> <20010410170841.20363.00006327@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986952214 64.240.47.132 (10 Apr 2001 20:23:34 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!RENT.THIS.SPACE.FOR.ADVERTISING!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19131

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >Subject: 1.2 watt moonbounce
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 04/10/01 3:47 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >
> >W7LHL has reported moonbounce copy using 1.2 watts with the
> >received signal approximately 20dB below the level of human
> >hearing. Results at: www.proaxis.com/~boblark/dsp10.htm
>
>   Isn't this about 2 weeks late, Cecil?

Dunno. I just got my Worldradio magazine today.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 523754668685094367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 19:03:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:57:13 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <td7edrmj4l7sa8@corp.supernews.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 7
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14999
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19132 rec.radio.amateur.space:2488 rec.radio.swap:28370
```

Then again, if you sue and lose, the ARRL could file a countersuit because you filed a frivolous lawsuit. I wonder how much that would cost the individual filing the original suit and I wonder how much money they would have to pony up. One reaps what one sows.

```
From -1465293536500907489
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 19:13:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153d8768e6a7aba99896ad@news.earthlink.net>
```

References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141> <eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158> <9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d308f805d60789896a6@news.earthlink.net>
<%OJA6.74860$XV.18743454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d55ddce4a576b9896a8@news.earthlink.net>
<I4MA6.75148$XV.18996177@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d643db9a0bf6d9896ab@news.earthlink.net>
<e9NA6.75420$XV.19160736@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 165
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 02:10:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.119.237
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986955024 63.208.119.237 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:10:24 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:10:24 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:09:09 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19133

In article <e9NA6.75420$XV.19160736@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
tstdenis3160@home.com says...
>
> > Full health....maintained in my retirement for life
>
> OHIP covers me ... and thats out of my taxes ...
>
Wow, there's a great system. What's the wait for non emergency surgery
now?? How many months? I remember reading that US hospitals along the
border are doing great business because the Canuck health system sucks so
badly. I'd be mighty proud of that one.

> > Full Maintenance on my personal plane. THAT'S FULL. That means annual
> > inspections...oil, tune ups, and engine rebuilds HHHMMMM last year that
> > came to $15kUS.
>
> I rent ... much simpler around here. Especially since I don't fly too often

If ever.

> > I guess I'll have to hide my shame at being an overpaid taxi flyguy
>
> Making money isn't everything ... just think that well ... when you retire you would have done nothing but cater to a bunch of rich guys ... you never helped a soul and all you did was feed your greed ... what a lovely person to be ...

Nope, I feed my family. I put my children through school so that they'd be able to start off life without huge debts. I bought a nice house here in Eastern LI to raise them and then was able to buy a condo on Sanibel Island so we'd have a nice place to relax in the summer. I have a small house in Sherburne Center, VT on the slope of Killington Ski Resort.

As to catering to rich guys....I catered to the owners of a highly successful cosmetics firm who preferred flying in private as opposed to commercial cattle transport.

As to helping...I'd check out the Angels of Mercy website. I'm there Rob. My company allowed me enough free time to do more than you'll ever do in your pathetic little life in that regard. And even though I am retired, the still sponsor my flights, which average 2 per month..anywhere anytime, so fuck you very much.

Must suck being you knowing you'll amount to nothing living off the Canadain governments teats. KNowing when you retire you'll have been nothing more than a hired thug beating innocent people with your expendable baton. BTW

>
> > Lightening strikes are a hazard and we do have static eliminators trailing off the wings.
>
> as I mentioned before ya most likely had to look it up

nope. knew that. And I wasn't an honours physics major.

>
> > what?? normal??? bright??? conscience? Human???
>
> Be a drunken hill billy like 2/3's of the state

As opposed to 80% of Ontario Provenice??

> > Gee, in your country that gets YOU arrested for assault. YOU the security officer have no legal rights like a policeman to assault anyone. According to YOUR laws, you may detain till legitimate authorities arrive. You may not use force. Guess you didn't read that in your official Police Squad coloring book. ANd you call me a putz scheeesss
>
> Actually if I beat someone with my baton it would be in self defence ...

> would be nice to use it on any vagrant but ahh well ... and just to let you
> know I have read the ccc .... all 810 pages of it ... I have the right to
> use force to stop someone from commiting an indictable offence as well as to
> use force to detain someone who I have witnessed commiting one

Nice try. I'd go back and re-read that section again. You have zero
rights as a security officer in that regard. You are nothing more than a
glorified citizen on patrol.

>
> > Your privates are first class?? Then why even bother with a expanding
> > baton?? Sorry...just too easy
> >
> > I think you are PFG pretty frigging gullible
>
> right ....
>
> > RENT A COPS in A RENT A CAR. Reminds me of the clowns in those little
> > cars t the circus.
>
> you mean shriners ...

The ride the little motorcycles.

>
> > I am sure you have all the people shaking and a quaking in their boots
> > there Mark.
>
> For the most part ... you dont need to do anything to get people to leave a
> property or detain them ... people up here simply know when they are caught
> ... unlike the drunken hill billies you see on COPS every week who have the
> dilusion they can get away
>
> > HALT..IN THE NAME OF SECURWORKS.....STOP OR I'LL SLAP YOU WITH MY
> > $45CDN..$30US EXPANABLE BATON
>   ^^^^^^^^^^^^ expandable you yutz

this coming from someone who spells controlled as
C O N T R E O L L ED????

>
> I have yet to use it ... and again ... we dont need to threaten people to
> get them to do what we want them to
>

gee..another slap at the US. Better stay up in Ottawa where's there's no
crime...just little baton carrying security officers like you and Tasha
Yar on the Star Ship Enterprise

Royal Canadian Mounted Police starring Rob St.Denis as the horses ass.

You go girl See you in the friendly skies. Try not to hit the mountains
as you use your little GPS to get from one place to another.

How can you tell some pilot has been using a GPS to fly with???

The splatter he makes after crashing is in a direct line with his
departure and his destination.

Oh that's right..you am the pilots pilot.. ha ha ha

I gotta go now. I'm off to Florida for the Easter week. They serve a
great Sunday Brunch at the South Seas Plantation on Captiva Island.
Hopefully Ft Myers International won;t be too back up this time of week.
All those frigging Canadians flying into Florida. Must be a shame they
waste all that money that they earned..the greedy bastards

Take care Rob St.Denis SECURITY OFFICES driving the mighty SECUWORKS
Chevy Lumina with real action light bars.

You must be the Inspector Clouseau of the Province what a buffoon

You are MarK Morgan...aren't you?? If not you sure act like him. Tell
me...did you're wife beat you and did you run off and change your name to
keep her from finding you?? Bet ya did

Much later....if ever.

this was way too easy

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From -5819496669532694164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 19:38:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!feeder.via.net!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>

<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d308f805d60789896a6@news.earthlink.net>
<%OJA6.74860$XV.18743454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d55ddce4a576b9896a8@news.earthlink.net>
<I4MA6.75148$XV.18996177@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d643db9a0bf6d9896ab@news.earthlink.net>
<e9NA6.75420$XV.19160736@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d8768e6a7aba99896ad@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 114
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <zpPA6.76462$XV.19560155@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 02:35:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986956511 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:35:11 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:35:11 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19134

> Wow, there's a great system. What's the wait for non emergency surgery
> now?? How many months? I remember reading that US hospitals along the
> border are doing great business because the Canuck health system sucks so
> badly. I'd be mighty proud of that one.

Well considering people are selling ohip cards and other health cards at
5-6000 a pop to americans ...

I needed reconstructive surgery on my hand when I damaged a nerve. I had
exploratory surgery after a week and reconstructive another week after that
... not bad considering it was not an emergency

> If ever.

It's been a year and a bit ...

> As to helping...I'd check out the Angels of Mercy website. I'm there
> Rob. My company allowed me enough free time to do more than you'll ever
> do in your pathetic little life in that regard. And even though I am

> retired, the still sponsor my flights, which average 2 per month..anywhere anytime, so fuck you very much.

I am in Red Cross ... I have ran shelters in several emergencies and disasters in the time I have been with them ... Through some simple emt training I learned skills that allowed me to save a total of three lives in the passed two years ... After I got my "wings" I flew with the young eagles to allow kids time in the sky (at my own expense) ... I have been a leader with the scouts and an instructor with the cadets ...

> Must suck being you knowing you'll amount to nothing living off the Canadain governments teats. KNowing when you retire you'll have been nothing more than a hired thug beating innocent people with your expendable baton. BTW

That's it all cops do is beat innocent people ... remember that the next time you need one

> nope. knew that. And I wasn't an honours physics major.

well 12yr olds know about it ... you dont need a degree for it ...

> As opposed to 80% of Ontario Provenice??

its province ...

> Nice try. I'd go back and re-read that section again. You have zero rights as a security officer in that regard. You are nothing more than a glorified citizen on patrol.

essentially ya ... but even a citizen has the right to use force to detain a subject ... considering force (or assault) is any force (even a hand on a shoulder) to which the subject did not give you permission ...

> The ride the little motorcycles.

up here they ride go carts

> this coming from someone who spells controlled as
> C O N T R E O L L ED????

exactly ... typos are typos .. who cares ... its pety to bring them up ...

> gee..another slap at the US. Better stay up in Ottawa where's there's no crime...just little baton carrying security officers like you and Tasha Yar on the Star Ship Enterprise

Well when I spend my summers in the hamptons I don't get much hassle from the cops out there ... must be just a mainland thing ...

>
> Royal Canadian Mounted Police starring Rob St.Denis as the horses ass.

Actually I was thinking of going opp so I wouldn't have to go too far ...
tired of moving ...

> You go girl See you in the friendly skies. Try not to hit the mountains
> as you use your little GPS to get from one place to another.
>
> How can you tell some pilot has been using a GPS to fly with???
>
> The splatter he makes after crashing is in a direct line with his
> departure and his destination.
>
> Oh that's right..you am the pilots pilot.. ha ha ha

I barely fly ifr ... vfr is more fun ... I like to see the sites

>
> I gotta go now. I'm off to Florida for the Easter week. They serve a
> great Sunday Brunch at the South Seas Plantation on Captiva Island.
> Hopefully Ft Myers International won;t be too back up this time of week.
> All those frigging Canadians flying into Florida. Must be a shame they
> waste all that money that they earned..the greedy bastards

I'm still training ... piles more to go ...

> You are MarK Morgan...aren't you?? If not you sure act like him. Tell
> me...did you're wife beat you and did you run off and change your name to

> keep her from finding you?? Bet ya did

Nope ... don't have a wife ... a fiancee yes .. .but no wife ...

```
--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                          fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\----------------------------------------------/
```

From 1454035695391372745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 20:30:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Date: 11 Apr 2001 03:29:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9b0j37$ciu$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3AD346FF.6F51@bellsouth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19135

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> Steven,
>
> *IF* I read FCC's logic in this refusal, it seems that their refusal is
> based on the fact that IF a person held a General, Advanced, or Extra
> ticket in the past, then at some point PRIOR, that same person held
> a Novice ticket, right? So, to their thinking, there is nothing to
> correct. That is....if I see this issue correctly. The point is only
> 5WPM. So that person passed that to get a Novice ticket. If I am
> wrong, please correct.
>

But that doesn't cover anyone who did NOT enter via the Novice class. A
former maritime CW operator who started with a General doesn't count!
Hard to believe they can't get something so simple right.

From 1475578513740396768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,330b9dd1edaf7014
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 20:44:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1.2 watt moonbounce
Date: 11 Apr 2001 03:39:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9b0jme$ciu$1@208.207.71.134>
References: <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19136
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> W7LHL has reported moonbounce copy using 1.2 watts

Using a 12 foot parabolic...... 37dB gain?

```
From -2977414795303194884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 20:59:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.atl!news4.mco.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD3D54C.6EBF7A1@mindspring.com>
From: Glenn Ashmore <gashmore@mindspring.com>
Reply-To: gashmore@mindspring.com
Organization: Dessau Realty Services
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-DIAL  (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,zh-TW
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.boats.electronics,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
<3ad3249c.29462132@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblg9d3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 46
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:53:48 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.63.190.58
X-Trace: news4.mco 986961268 208.63.190.58 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:54:28 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:54:28 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.boats.electronics:4011
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19137
```

And he get's his radio and all associated equipment confiscated and is subject to a
big fine.

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:33:05 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

>
> >>I am an avid shortwave listener. I am intending to buy a used Icom M700 marine
> >>HF band transceiver. My questions is these. Can I use the transceiver to
> >>communicate with ships and boats around the world. Will they respond to my
> >>transmission. I don't have any HAM or commercial license to operate any radios.
> >>Thank You all for the info.
> >>
> >Any such radio operation would be a federal offense with potentially
> >serious consequences. The only way you can legally operate two-way HF
> >radios for recreational purposes is via the Citizens Radio Service (no
> >license required) or the Amateur Radio Service (ham license required).
> >
> >>
> >If you got stupid and put the M700 on the air anyway you most likely
> >would be ignored by the legitimate users of the marine frequencies.
>
>   The FCC refers to such marine band "pirate station" as "kitchen
>   coastals" from the days when the usual such installation was in
>   the home of a commercial fishing vessel owner and used by
>   family to contact the vessel without benefit of license as a
>   Private Coast Station or the services of the various Marine
>   Operators (Public Cost Stations).
>
>   When the US Coast Guard or the commercial marine users (ATT and
>   Globe Wireless, for instance) feel that they've had enough
>   competition or complaints, they get the FCC to do "make an
>   example" sweeps.
>
>   Like catching fish in a barrel, BTW...
>
> --
>   73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

--
Glenn Ashmore

I'm building a 45' cutter in strip/composite. Watch my progress (or lack there of) at: http://www.rutuonline.com

From 6392026321268093314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,330b9dd1edaf7014
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 21:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 04:36:47 GMT
References: <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 1.2 watt moonbounce
Message-ID: <20010411003647.16458.00000881@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19138

In article <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>W7LHL has reported moonbounce copy using 1.2 watts with the
>received signal approximately 20dB below the level of human
>hearing. Results at: www.proaxis.com/~boblark/dsp10.htm

Actually, he reports reception of his own echoes. With just a 10 foot TVRO dish, that's really impressive. Frequency used is 10.368 GHz.

Also on that site is a 5 watt 1296 MHz QSO with W7SZ using 5 watts of power. The mode used requires 15 to 30 minutes to transfer the 28 character message, though.

Neat stuff - makes EME possible for those of us without the real estate for big arrays.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 6077775862592413221
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 22:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 22:00:12 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9b0o8m$26m$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010407223951.08909.00000837@nso-cr.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-70.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19139

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> Although
> that is "preliminary," the major interest by both commercial
> and military HF radio users is in ALE (Automatic Link Analysis)
> techniques and protocol.

Why do they use the letter 'E' to stand for the word 'Analysis'?

jim

From 5743920277297685056
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 00:08:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net (Rick)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <3ad40087.122513138@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 48
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 07:04:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.79.90.206
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986972674 12.79.90.206 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 07:04:34 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 07:04:34 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15006
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19140 rec.radio.amateur.space:2489 rec.radio.swap:28394

On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 17:48:35 -0000, vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus,

```
KB7ADL) wrote:

>j.b@integrity.net.com (Johny B. Good) wrote in <j.b@b_good.com>:
>
>>How much is the
>>League worth right now?
>
>I'd say a tornado going by 225 Main Street in Newington should be able to
>make at least $950,000 worth of improvements *minimum*.
>
>A lawsuit would be a very good thing for the ARRL at this time. It would
>reveal to the Board of Directors what they should be focused on and not the
>things they have been focused on of late. If you did sue them you might not
>even have to go all the way to court. You could drop the lawsuit if the
>League Board of Directors would admit and agree that:
>
>1.) Restructuring was a mistake. Ham radio is dumbed down now.
     Baloney
>2.) Restructuring isn't producing knowledgable operators.
    Baloney
>3.) They were wrong in proposing their restructuring proposal.
    Bull
>4.) That their restructuring plan was only meant to increase membership
>    not improve ham radio.
  It was designed to do both and has done both.
>5.) Their restructuring plan ignored the wishes of the membership.
    Not all Members were opposed.
>6.) They made a mistake in not opposing the FCC restructuring plan.
   You can't fight the FCC.
>7.) That they agree ham bands are now worse since April 15th 2000.
   Horse Manure! The bands are more active now.
>8.) That they will work to promote better licensing standards and exams.
   They already did.
>9.) That they will stop acting like bunch blithering idiots.
  When will all the nonsence stop on this newsgroup?
>10.) That they'll listen to their members and not ignore them.
  They do listen.
>If the League would agree to these I might even rejoin and start supporting
>them again.
>
>73 de KB7ADL

  If you try to sue the ARRL you could very well be laughed out of the
court room. Let it go, what done is done and all this moaning is not
going to do a thing to inprove Amateur Radio.

        73 Rick KC2ESD


From 6356045082831449786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,330b9dd1edaf7014
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 00:21:25 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1.2 watt moonbounce
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 07:04:04 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad3fed7.85336132@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org> <20010411003647.16458.00000881@nso-
bd.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.80
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.80
X-Trace: 11 Apr 2001 02:01:06 -0500, 64.24.17.80
Lines: 30
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19141

On 11 Apr 2001 04:36:47 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>
>>W7LHL has reported moonbounce copy using 1.2 watts with the
>>received signal approximately 20dB below the level of human
>>hearing. Results at: www.proaxis.com/~boblark/dsp10.htm
>
Those guys are doing some serious leading-edge "stuff".
>
>Actually, he reports reception of his own echoes. With just a 10 foot TVRO
>dish, that's really impressive. Frequency used is 10.368 GHz.
>
>Also on that site is a 5 watt 1296 MHz QSO with W7SZ using 5 watts of power.
>The mode used requires 15 to 30 minutes to transfer the 28 character message,
>though.
>
Imagine a roundtable . . . the problem is the clods at Intel. Hey,
Cecil, get the freakin' processing speed UP willya??
>
>Neat stuff - makes EME possible for those of us without the real estate for big
>arrays.
>
It ain't even started . . .
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv



From 6098276575112595902
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 02:57:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 05:51:42 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 68
Message-ID: <3AD428E2.7B178518@sccoast.net>
References: <674d12a2bc747a8f0c8f124983c7417b@anon.xg.nu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblhv55.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-122.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 986982676 83421 206.74.49.122 (11 Apr 2001 09:51:16
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 09:51:16 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19142

Phil Kane wrote:
>
>  Look up the case of Arthur W. W. Brothers
> who set up two of his land-locked "clients"
> - two brothels in Nevada - with marine radios,
> got them licenses as the vessels "Sherry" and
> "Betty", and provided them with radiotelephone
> service through his Lake Powell Marine Operator.
>
>   When all the dust settled, he lost every FCC
> license that he had - his Marine Operator
> services (a printing press for money in those
> days) in several parts of the country, the
> licenses for all the Mobile Operator and link
> channels for the telephone company which he
> owned, the license for his private plane, his
> commercial operator license, and his ham radio
> license.  In the process he wound up "donating"
> a goodly chunk of his cash to his lawyers.
>
>   Had he not been a licensee, he would have
> been hit with a hefty fine and possibly jail
> time in a criminal prosecution.  [snip]
```

Thanks for defending the marine frequencies, Phil. Let me add a few details that might interest anyone else reading this thread (I'm sure you know all this, Phil, but others may not). The FCC is responsible for marine radio licensing and for all enforcement of regulations. However, when it comes to interference with navigation, or interference with distress communications, the United State Coast Guard (Department of Transportation) is the primary enforcement authority. And these situations are often dealt with in criminal court, not through fines or other similar methods.

Both the Coast Guard and Navy maintain a round the clock watch on all marine distress frequencies from over a hundred fixed stations around the country (in addition to water and air assets). Likewise, both the Coast Guard and Navy maintain a number of RDF facilities to monitor all marine frequencies (not just distress frequencies - all marine frequencies are secondary distress). This also includes RDF equipment that can be carried by hand or in a vehicle (CGAUXCOM personnel receive training in this).

The penalties associated with these laws are very severe. In fact, if the interference results in loss of property or life, the illegal operator can look forward to spending a significant portion of his remaining life in prison.

In certain situations, if the person is operating illegally, criminal prosecution can occur even if the person is not aware that he is interfering with navigation or distress communications (it is miles away and cannot be heard from his location). The premise behind this is that the interference, and therefore the resulting loss of property or life, was a direct result of the person's reckless disregard for proper operation and procedure (in these situations, the loss would not have occurred if proper operation and procedure was followed).

And, finally, I'll add one more point (since it is occasionally mentioned in these discussions). Marine communications is used throughout the country (lakes, rivers, etc), not just around coastal areas. Therefore, you're not free to use a marine radio just because you live miles from the ocean.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 5185835061348059949
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 05:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!

not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 11:53:55 GMT
References: <3ad3af5a.23547807@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010411075355.01584.00002948@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19143

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti)
>Date: 04/10/01 9:18 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Just send in your 20 bucks and you'll get a license. Simple, easy,
>and FUN!

They tried that once...it's called "CB". And we see what happened to that.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 6208469955539159937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 05:14:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 12:10:08 GMT
References: <MPG.153d5f404dee24819896aa@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Message-ID: <20010411081008.01584.00002952@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19144

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
>From: funguy@hotjuno.com Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com

>Date: 04/10/01 7:18 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>OHHHHH MMMYYYY GOOOSSHHHH!~! Do you know who this is??? It's not Security
>Officer Rob St.Denis...IT'S (explitive deleted!)!!!!

God save us. Don't say that name out loud! If we can "can" Lennie the Liar and his anonymous friends "MegHz" and "W2DNE", the rest of the NG might actually be tolerable and constructive.

Not by much, but it would CERTAINLY be better.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -5928438403821696965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 05:19:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 12:16:48 GMT
References: <WAMA6.75269$XV.19065985@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010411081648.01584.00002953@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19145

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 04/10/01 7:22 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>ANY college will train someone to be a commercial pilot if they have the
>cash ... ground school is a joke ... and flying a plane isn't the hardest
>thing in the world neither.

Tell that to Al Haynes.

>This job is temporary ... 2 yrs while I'm in school. After which time,
>seeing as how I am studying as a recruit I will be hired on to what will be
>a good career. And, I might add, not solely driven by the almighty buck
>that you americans are so fond of.

Yeah...financial security is SO overrated.

>There's a reason for that, americans are too busy "not" spying on any
>country they deem necessary, getting their harbours bombed by an out of date
>air force, having their federal buildings bombed by a crazy guy named tim,
>having universities bombed by another loon from the middle of nowhere,
>dealing crack to 10 year olds, beating your wives, and raping your kids.

You are going to have to enlighten me as to that "out of date air force" comment. The rest was pretty much as I would expect..

From -2713282133527236338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 05:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news!news.he.net!newspeer1.nac.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 11 Apr 2001 12:41:11 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9b1jd7$g7u$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gblgbs4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 986992871 16638 149.174.88.251 (11 Apr 2001 12:41:11 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Apr 2001 12:41:11 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19146

>And the only remedy is to vote them out at the next election.

That's no remedy at all! By that time, the damage is done, usually irreparably. The only solution would be binding referenda by membership on every major issue. Unfortunately, when it comes down to that, membership scares itself away from that option as well, even after complaining 'no one listens to us.' Either way, then, 'the voters get what they deserve.'

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

Life Member, ARRL

From 3869704805025483976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 07:16:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 14:03:23 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <9b1o7b$6l2$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 986997803 6818 205.139.138.14 (11 Apr 2001 14:03:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Apr 2001 14:03:23 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: K0HB@arrl.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19147

K4KWH said:

> *IF* I read FCC's logic in this refusal, it seems that their refusal is
> based on the fact that IF a person held a General, Advanced, or Extra
> ticket in the past, then at some point PRIOR, that same person held
> a Novice ticket, right?

Not necessarily. Many, many folks have never taken a Novice test, nor held a Novice license, nor taken a 5WPM Morse test.

The only two written tests I ever took were the Conditional and the Extra, and 13 & 20 WPM Morse tests. (No, you didn't need to take all the other tests, only the test of the class you applied for -- you did need 2 years time-in-grade as C/G/A before you could take the Extra exam.)

Under the rules, if I were to let my license lapse for over two years, I would need to take a 5WPM test to get a General or Extra license.

Under the rules, my cousin who held a Novice license for 1 year and let it lapse in 1954, has credit for 5WPM and can become an Extra without taking another Morse exam.

The ARRL pointed this out to FCC, but they apparently didn't understand the question, and the gobbledygook in paragraph 17 is their response.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
 -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net
```

```
From -9096502864848467455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 07:56:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 11 Apr 2001 14:55:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15028
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19148
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>

```
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >  Now tell us that rulebreakers outside the ham bands will be model
> > > > citizens inside them.
> > >
> > > Exactly what rules do you think they would break? They have their choice
> > > of modes, frequencies, and power. It is analogous to someone driving
> > > without a driver's license for awhile and then getting a license.
> >
> >   That's "logical" isn't it? So why is necesszary to point out that much
> > human behavior is illogical? Yours included.
>
> What does that statement have to do with the topic? People who operate
> without a license (for an operation requiring a license) are breaking
> the rules. After they get the license they are no longer breaking the rules.
>


 So long as they stay within the rules! When a freebander, newly
licensed as a ham, reverts back to his old ways - or a licensed ham
decides to "talk to those buys" with his ham rig, according to you he's
legal. Not so.


From -7282481331062042372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 07:58:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
Date: 11 Apr 2001 14:57:07 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9b1rc3$rug$1@208.207.71.155>
References: <20010410135313.27241.00005862@ng-ch1.aol.com>
<3AD351B4.B6926D81@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19149
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> > There are now and shall continue to be,
> > for the forseeable future, an application for Morse Code. It's a fact. Some
> > commercial ships still use it, the Armed Forces of most countries still teach
> > it, and of course it is still the "least common denominator" of Amatuer Radio.
>
> I was SWLing the other night and found a number of CW signals between
> 40m and 20m. Anybody know to what service they belong?

Aw, Gee! You codebashers have been insisting that "No one uses CW for
anything serious anymore". Could it be that y'all haven't a clue what
you're talking about?

From -4930658832072226071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 08:02:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: hans_brakob@adc.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 14:58:24 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <9b1reg$b0t$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 987001104 11293 205.139.138.14 (11 Apr 2001 14:58:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Apr 2001 14:58:24 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: ki8jc@arrl.net
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19150

"Greg Elsbernd" <ki8jc@arrl.net> wrote:

> The "credit" is given to those that have a CURRENT license, not
> an expired one.

Not so fast! Go read the rules (�97.505 Element credit). You will find
the following categories of persons can get credit for Element 1 (the
5WPM test.)

--An unexpired (or expired but within the grace period for renewal) FCC-granted General or Advanced Class operator license.

--An unexpired (or expired but within the grace period for renewal) FCC-granted Technician Plus Class operator license.

--An unexpired (or expired) FCC-granted Novice Class operator license.

--An expired or unexpired FCC-issued Technician Class operator license document granted before February 14, 1991.

Read those all *VERY* carefully. (I copied the words exactly from the rules.)

Now look at the last two again. Note that there is no mention of the grace period. The rules specifically allow those two categories (but no others) basically a lifetime "free-pass" for the 5WPM test. My cousin whose Novice license has been expired for almost a HALF CENTURY can come back into ham radio, advance all the way to Extra, and will never need to take a Morse test.

> Some person walks into a VE session and says "I used to be a general,
> but I let my license expire 13 years ago, can I get credit for the
> 5 wpm test?"

The answer is "No".

But if his 13-year lapsed license was "Novice" or "Technician", the answer is "Yes".

The FCC is seriously out to lunch on this one!

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From 5871160632208712976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,330b9dd1edaf7014
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 08:04:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1.2 watt moonbounce
Date: 11 Apr 2001 15:02:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9b1rlo$sqt$0@208.207.71.155>
References: <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org> <20010411003647.16458.00000881@nso-bd.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19151

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >W7LHL has reported moonbounce copy using 1.2 watts with the
> >received signal approximately 20dB below the level of human
> >hearing. Results at: www.proaxis.com/~boblark/dsp10.htm
>
> Actually, he reports reception of his own echoes. With just a 10 foot TVRO
> dish, that's really impressive. Frequency used is 10.368 GHz.

Whew! At that frequency the gain of a 10 ft dish is more than 37 dB.
I'd have to get the books out again to figure it. (A ham pal and I once
built a few C-band TVRO dishes to use, and for a few friends. He made up
a jig in his metalworking shop to form the parabola in segments.)

From 3001358968754525650
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 08:04:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 11 Apr 2001 15:03:15 GMT

```
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9b1rnj$sqt$1@208.207.71.155>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010407223951.08909.00000837@nso-cr.aol.com> <9b0o8m$26m$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19152



Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> > Although
> > that is "preliminary," the major interest by both commercial
> > and military HF radio users is in ALE (Automatic Link Analysis)
> > techniques and protocol.
>
> Why do they use the letter 'E' to stand for the word 'Analysis'?


 hehe..  Lennie scores again. Should have been establishment.


From 1460824239727545350
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 08:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Date: 11 Apr 2001 15:10:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9b1s4v$le$0@208.207.71.155>
References: <MPG.153d5f404dee24819896aa@news.earthlink.net>
<20010411081008.01584.00002952@ng-fo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19153
```

```
Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
> >From: funguy@hotjuno.com Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com
> >Date: 04/10/01 7:18 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >OHHHHH MMMYYYY GOOOSSHHHH!~! Do you know who this is??? It's not Security
> >Officer Rob St.Denis...IT'S (explitive deleted!)!!!!
>
>      God save us.  Don't say that name out loud!  If we can "can" Lennie the
> Liar and his anonymous friends "MegHz" and "W2DNE", the rest of the NG might
> actually be tolerable and constructive.
>
>      Not by much, but it would CERTAINLY be better.
>
```

The very best thing you can do is to totally ignore him, and them. He did go away for a long time when everyone stopped responding to his drivel. I haven't read a psoting from him other than what someone else quoted in a few years. There is so little he ever said that was worth reading that absolutely no loss was involved. Henceforth the Physicist/Security Guard from the tundra gets the same treatment here, for the exact same reason.

```
From -4361263133445120283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 09:06:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbmpee0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010407223951.08909.00000837@nso-cr.aol.com> <9b0o8m$26m$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Lines: 18
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 16:05:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.33
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 987005138 206.58.44.33 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 16:05:38
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 16:05:38 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19154

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 22:00:12 -0700, Jim Kelley wrote:

>
>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>> Although
>> that is "preliminary," the major interest by both commercial
>> and military HF radio users is in ALE (Automatic Link Analysis)
>> techniques and protocol.
>
>Why do they use the letter 'E' to stand for the word 'Analysis'?

  Because the ALE acronym stands for Automatic Link Establishment.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP





From 6713797479193209527
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 09:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!
news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 09:14:28 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9b21a6$q9r$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3AD346FF.6F51@bellsouth.net> <9b0j37$ciu$0@208.207.71.134>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-31-154.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.31.154 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19155

>>Jerry Oxendine, K4KWH, wrote:
>> ....   That is....if I see this issue correctly. The point is only
>> 5WPM. So that person passed that to get a Novice ticket. If I am
>> wrong, please correct.

> Dick Carroll, W0EX, replied:
> But that doesn't cover anyone who did NOT enter via the Novice class. A
> former maritime CW operator who started with a General doesn't count!
> Hard to believe they can't get something so simple right.

You have to understand the bureaucrat/lawyer mindset to see the logic.
It's basically that presenting evidence of passing a 13wpm or 20wpm
code test is just that. It is not evidence of passing a 5wpm code
test. The requirement for a new license is evidence of passing a
5wpm code test. An expired technician-plus or novice license is
such evidence. Anything else is irrelevant.

This flies in the face of the commonly held belief (and practice)
that competency at higher code speeds implies competency at lower ones.

Or maybe they did it just to piss off the older, already licensed
hams.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From 6580369224613369684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,330b9dd1edaf7014
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 09:40:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1.2 watt moonbounce
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 09:30:58 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9b21a9$q9r$2@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org> <20010411003647.16458.00000881@nso-bd.aol.com> <3ad3fed7.85336132@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-31-154.nas1.phx1.gblx.net

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.31.154 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19156
```

> Those guys are doing some serious leading-edge "stuff".
> >
> >Actually, he reports reception of his own echoes. With just a 10 foot TVRO
> >dish, that's really impressive. Frequency used is 10.368 GHz.

Perhaps this should give the amateur radio community pause before it consigns its microwave allocations to commercial interests who would "make better use of them by turning a profit".

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

```
From -3063592672819936996
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 09:56:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Date: 11 Apr 2001 16:54:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <9b2280$ht6$0@208.207.71.155>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3AD346FF.6F51@bellsouth.net> <9b0j37$ciu$0@208.207.71.134>
<9b21a6$q9r$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19157
```

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> >>Jerry Oxendine, K4KWH, wrote:
> >> ....   That is....if I see this issue correctly. The point is only
> >> 5WPM. So that person passed that to get a Novice ticket. If I am
> >> wrong, please correct.
>
> > Dick Carroll, W0EX, replied:
> > But that doesn't cover anyone who did NOT enter via the Novice class. A
> > former maritime CW operator who started with a General doesn't count!
> > Hard to believe they can't get something so simple right.
>
> You have to understand the bureaucrat/lawyer mindset to see the logic.
> It's basically that presenting evidence of passing a 13wpm or 20wpm
> code test is just that. It is not evidence of passing a 5wpm code
> test. The requirement for a new license is evidence of passing a
> 5wpm code test. An expired technician-plus or novice license is
> such evidence. Anything else is irrelevant.
>
> This flies in the face of the commonly held belief (and practice)
> that competency at higher code speeds implies competency at lower ones.

I suppose you'll never know personally, but that's not pertinent. It's
really
just another example of how 'logic' falls flat on it's face. Slow code
cna be a real drag that tends to put a practiced operator to sleep, or
at least into some level of boredom, but it's grossly inaccurate to say
that a fast operator can't do it at 5wpm. Not so.

>
> Or maybe they did it just to piss off the older, already licensed
> hams.

If so, it was a dismal failure which translated instead more into
perceived
contempt of their abilities to understand the factors that are their
responsibility.

From -8048434181914463330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,330b9dd1edaf7014
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 10:02:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1.2 watt moonbounce
Date: 11 Apr 2001 17:00:07 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9b22in$imu$0@208.207.71.155>
References: <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org> <20010411003647.16458.00000881@nso-bd.aol.com> <3ad3fed7.85336132@news2.dvol.com> <9b21a9$q9r$2@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19158

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> > Those guys are doing some serious leading-edge "stuff".
> > >
> > >Actually, he reports reception of his own echoes. With just a 10 foot TVRO
> > >dish, that's really impressive. Frequency used is 10.368 GHz.
>
> Perhaps this should give the amateur radio community pause before it
> consigns its microwave allocations to commercial interests who would
> "make better use of them by turning a profit".

They are not 'ours' to consign. Not that long ago hams were allotted
all frequencies above UHF. That was because there was no commercial or
govrenmental use for them in those (and earlier) times. That changed,
and naturally so did our amateur allocations. That process is just
getting well under way. We can expect it to continue, whatever we do or
do not.
Conversly, we can expect some expansion of our HF spectrum - as we have
had beginning in 1969, because of lessened commercial and governmental
demand -so long as no new and extensive uses are found to reoccupy HF.

Dick

From -2291167474763487286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 10:12:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 17:11:34 GMT
References: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19159
```

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 04/10/01 4:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>any idiot with 35k can get a commercial pilots licence

And some idiots can get one without being able to spell "license" correctly.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

```
From -5239604633901262289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 10:23:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD4932D.7CC3@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
References: <20010410135313.27241.00005862@ng-ch1.aol.com>
<3AD351B4.B6926D81@IEEE.org> <9b1rc3$rug$1@208.207.71.155>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:23:57 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.173
X-Trace: news1.atl 987009814 209.214.186.173 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:23:34 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:23:34 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19160
```

I heard that China still actively uses CW. Anyone know for sure?
Might be a good reason to not abandon it entirely????

Jerry
K4KWH

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> > > There are now and shall continue to be,
> > > for the forseeable future, an application for Morse Code. It's a fact.
Some
> > > commercial ships still use it, the Armed Forces of most countries still
teach
> > > it, and of course it is still the "least common denominator" of Amatuer
Radio.
> >
> > I was SWLing the other night and found a number of CW signals between
> > 40m and 20m. Anybody know to what service they belong?
>
> Aw, Gee! You codebashers have been insisting that "No one uses CW for
> anything serious anymore". Could it be that y'all haven't a clue what
> you're talking about?

From 6697853400730146582
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 10:42:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AD4970A.3053B710@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 12:40:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70

X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987010455 64.240.47.70 (11 Apr 2001 12:34:15 -0500)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15030 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19161

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So long as they stay within the rules! When a freebander, newly
> licensed as a ham, reverts back to his old ways

If he is freebanding in the ham bands and gets a license, he is no longer freebanding. If he is freebanding outside the ham bands, he has little incentive to continue to do so after he gets a ham license. Just as getting married makes an honest woman, getting a ham license tends to mellow out freebanders. Otherwise, they wouldn't spend the time and energy to get a ham license.

Did you hear that a bill has been introduced into the Texas Legislature that will allow illegal aliens to obtain driver's licenses? The theory is the same. If one drives a car, it is better for one to possess a driver's license.

> - or a licensed ham
> decides to "talk to those buys" with his ham rig, according to you he's
> legal. Not so.

"Those guys" quoted from a posting long ago, referred to me talking to CBers using my legal Radio Shack CB radio. Nothing illegal about that. And emergency communications are legal using almost any equipment on almost any frequency. I'm surprised an Extra class licensee is ignorant of such things.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6468450597059695973
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 10:44:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AD4978F.39852604@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 12:42:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
<9b1o7b$6l2$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987010587
64.240.47.70 (11 Apr 2001 12:36:27 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.81.14.120!feeder.via.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19162
```

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

> Under the rules, if I were to let my license lapse for over two years, I
> would need to take a 5WPM test to get a General or Extra license.

Could you pass it without going to sleep in the middle of it? :-)

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From 1389994016630461891
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 10:46:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AD49801.A7B838E0@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 12:44:33 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
<9b1reg$b0t$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987010701
64.240.47.70 (11 Apr 2001 12:38:21 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19163

hans_brakob@adc.com wrote:
> The FCC is seriously out to lunch on this one!

They probably simply do not want to be bothered with it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 8541717632097343139
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ba709b3d1d13d1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 10:47:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!213.56.195.71!
fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!cyclone-
```

```
west.rr.com!news.rr.com!news-west.rr.com!lsnws01.we.mediaone.net!cyclone-
LA3.rr.com!typhoon.we.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Bill Brannick <WmLB@prefer.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Logging Practices
Message-ID: <pr59dt86hnsu1hfu7a5pcoupqjp54f3rnn@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 17:46:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 66.27.68.206
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.we.rr.com 987011193 66.27.68.206 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 10:46:33 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 10:46:33 PDT
Organization: Road Runner
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19164
```

I do not think there is any "real policy' on this subject , but I
would like to inquire as to what common practice might be.

Ever since I started in thsi hobby I have alwatys logged the "start
time" of a QSO in UTC as the official time. Howevere.. I sure receive
a awful lot of cards that obviously log the "end time" as the officila
entry.

I don't think there is any question that UTC should be used but... I
was wondering about the the "beginning or end" question.

Bill..

```
From 3840468047176383565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ba709b3d1d13d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 10:50:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.uswest.net!feed.news.qwest.net!
news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD49969.9E4E19C6@nebi.com>
From: "Larry L. Lehmann" <larryl@nebi.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en]C-CCK-MCD   (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Logging Practices
References: <pr59dt86hnsu1hfu7a5pcoupqjp54f3rnn@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 12:50:34 -0500
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.47.234.252
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 987011384 208.47.234.252 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 12:49:44 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 12:49:44 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19165

I use both.

KC0DA

Bill Brannick wrote:

> I do not think there is any "real policy' on this subject , but I
> would like to inquire as to what common practice might be.
>
> Ever since I started in thsi hobby I have alwatys logged the "start
> time" of a QSO in UTC as the official time.  Howevere.. I sure receive
> a awful lot of cards that obviously log the "end time" as the officila
> entry.
>
> I don't think there is any question that UTC should be used but... I
> was wondering about the the "beginning  or end" question.
>
> Bill..



From 1012668917724990719
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 10:52:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AD4991A.F6B2F12@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 12:49:14 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
References: <20010410135313.27241.00005862@ng-ch1.aol.com>
<3AD351B4.B6926D81@IEEE.org> <9b1rc3$rug$1@208.207.71.155>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987010983
64.240.47.70 (11 Apr 2001 12:43:03 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
```

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19166

Dick Carroll wrote:
> > I was SWLing the other night and found a number of CW signals between
> > 40m and 20m. Anybody know to what service they belong?
>
> Aw, Gee! You codebashers have been insisting that "No one uses CW for
> anything serious anymore". Could it be that y'all haven't a clue what
> you're talking about?

You're the reason the codebashers exist, Dick. I ask a civil question
and get a malevolent hatefilled response from you.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5719168586659626840
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 10:52:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AD49961.B113AE96@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 12:50:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
References: <20010410135313.27241.00005862@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3AD351B4.B6926D81@IEEE.org> <9b1rc3$rug$1@208.207.71.155> <3AD4932D.7CC3@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987011053 64.240.47.70 (11 Apr 2001 12:44:13 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19167

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> I heard that China still actively uses CW. Anyone know for sure?
> Might be a good reason to not abandon it entirely????

If nobody knows what those CW signals are, I'll copy some of them
and try to find out.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1371778061896947963
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 11:06:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!pitt.edu!not-for-mail
From: szekeres@pitt.edu (GregS)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 18:04:37 GMT
Organization: Why?
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9b26bb$bmh$1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: electronic2.cbp.pitt.edu
X-Newsreader: News Xpress 2.01
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19168 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15031

In article <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>, "Keith"

<nospam@strongsignals.com> wrote:
>April 1, 2001 -(AP)
>FCC Chairman wants to eliminate Morse Code and wasted spectrum by Hams.
>
> In a speech Saturday night to the telecommunications industry FCC Chairman
>Michael Powell spoke out against the use of Morse Code and the waste of
>valuable spectrum by ham radio operators, ham radio operators are licensed CB
>operators that pass a Morse Code test. The Chairman is concerned about the
>waste of spectrum worth billions of dollars to the telecommunications
>industry that is currently being used by Ham Radio operators.

I thought Morse code was efficient use of a spectrum. Speaking to the
telecommunications industry about how great they are?? Sure.

>
> The Chairman also wants a big change in the spectrum wasted in the Ultra
>High Frequency area of spectrum in which Ham Radio Operators hold a
>staggering sixty megahertz of spectrum, more then any other radio service.

If the FCC had not been so strick on experimentation of various communications
methosd, modes, etc., just think of how much new stuff we could invent.
Oh, but that would drive us further out of business!

Those words from the "chief" really sound disturbing.

Or was this a joke?

Greg Szekeres
N6GS

From 3104140311858271684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 11:06:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 18:06:06 GMT
References: <9b0o8m$26m$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Message-ID: <20010411140606.25414.00000904@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19169

In article <9b0o8m$26m$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>

writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>> Although
>> that is "preliminary," the major interest by both commercial
>> and military HF radio users is in ALE (Automatic Link Analysis)
>> techniques and protocol.
>
>Why do they use the letter 'E' to stand for the word 'Analysis'?

A slip of the concept. The acronym "ALE" stands for Automatic Link Establishment. My error in typing.

I was thinking of a previous job wherein the ALE controller did the effect of Analysis by determining the desired path MUF. That's not the task of ALE but rather a byproduct of the initial test-exchange protocol.

Are amateur radio licensees experimenting with ALE? There are, or at least were, two of them. Do you know who they are?

```
From 1946224988140434154
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 11:07:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 18:06:03 GMT
References: <20010410135313.27241.00005862@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
Message-ID: <20010411140603.25414.00000901@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19170
```

In article <20010410135313.27241.00005862@ng-ch1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>   I think we can safely narrow the field down to about four people who
>generated this letter. My first guess is of course Leonard H. Anderson. He
>has already demonstrated that his "position" is indefensible, and he must
>resort to profanity and the "help" of "anonymous" friends to make the point.

Poor, sensitive ex-marine. "Resorting to profanity" upsets him?

```
:-)

>Furthermore, it follows the pattern.  "W2DNE's" posts always follow a "lull"
>in Lennie-isms.

...a verrrrrrry long lull, it would seem.    :-)


>     My second choice is Hans Brakob. "W2DNE" usually posts in response to
>comments made by, for or in deference to Hans or Lennie.  Not always, but
>often enough to have made a pattern obvious.

Robeson's paranoia is profound!  Hans Brakob and I hardly ever
agree on any subject in this newsgroup.  There is NO "pattern"
there for anyone else to see.  :-)

Poor baby.  Soooo put-upon in private mail by anonymous postings.

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Now follow Hans' advice, little Steebie, "go soak your fat head
in a bedpan!"











>"An America Not Preapred For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."



From -1337054237860606918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 11:07:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 87
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 18:06:05 GMT
References: <20010410003632.25154.00001246@nso-ck.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010411140605.25414.00000903@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19171

>>So...Miccolis has some kind of psychic power to see through
>>publicly-available databases to determine "quickness?"
>
>Nope. I know lots of hams and some VEs, that's all. Plus I read the amateur
>radio news of that time.

If you personally knew a thousand hams (and some VEs), that would
still be less than 0.2% of all US licensees. How many
Technician-Plusses did you say you "knew?" :-)

>The license totals are affected by uogrades even if they are not separately
>tabulated in the AH0A data. You are ignoring that fact.

Not ignoring anything...only working with another's tabulations.
If that is displeasing you, contact AH0A about his methods.

>If Len Anderson wants to ignore the presence and effect of "upgrades," he
>needs a quantitative value of that NUMBER that is available for reference by
>anyone.

The FCC database, in two files, is about 72 MB. If Miccolis has
the ISP time and a search-and-tabulate computer program, he
can do everyone a favor and show his work. Everyone else can
make do with what others provide free of charge.

>>Any other argument about "upgrade"
>>numbers is mere subjective opinion and not factual.
>
>The existence of such upgrades and their effect on the totals IS factual.

Your explanation is insufficient to resolve the subjectivity of
trying to say that upgrades "have an effect."

In the ten months from the end of June 2000 to the end of March
2001 the number of Technician class licensees increased by
16,029 but of that, 15,970 were new licensees exclusive of any
upgrading or modification or anything else except being new.
That leaves a difference of 59 which can be attributed to anything
other than a new license. Those 59 MAY include all those
Tech-Plusses who were automatically "renewed" as Techs, but
that group only represents 0.37% of the total change.

>SO? The effect is still there.

How BIG of an "effect" did you say it was? :-)

<"quotes" from past messages omitted for brevity...>

>Your understanding is still faulty.

OK, so 0.37% of all those added Technician licensees represent
something "significant" in showing that "many" Tech-Plusses
were "renewed" as Technician?!? 59 out of 16,029? I don't think
so...

>Emperor Anderson has spoken.

Now, now, you are getting testy... :-)

>Nope. The use of any timeframe in a nonvalid process yields nonvalid data.
>You use nonvalid processes - bad logic - mistakes - errors - call 'em what you
>will.

Tsk, tsk, you don't accept what I said so that means it is a
"mistake, bad logic, errors?" Of course you won't accept it
since that means acknowledging mistakes and bad logic on
Micollis' part. :-)

>You can call me names but that won't make your faulty logic any more valid.

Using another Extra's own sorting-and-tabulating values,
available free of charge to anyone, is somehow "faulty?"

Of course it is "faulty" because the data tabulations go
against the True Faith of what many think that US amateur
radio "should have." :-)

If the data shows trends away from what "should be" or
what is urban myth, then the data and everything about it
is "faulty." :-)

If the data is presented by someone not licensed in the US
amateur radio service, it must be "heresy" and considered
"faulty." :-)

From 7065874388581111344
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 11:04:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AD34A92.6D4896EA@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:01:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
References: <3ACFCC4E.5A83BD42@IEEE.org> <20010408223541.19302.00000753@nso-
mh.aol.com> <3AD26995.4673ABA0@IEEE.org> <9at9lv$ijm$1@208.206.142.70>
<3AD273A2.10DD1A8C@IEEE.org> <9av8po$d0i$1@208.207.71.166>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.106
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986925337
64.240.47.106 (10 Apr 2001 12:55:37 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!RENT.THIS.SPACE.FOR.ADVERTISING!excite.it!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19071

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Are your tetanus vaccinations up to date?
> >
> > How many people do you know who have ever gotten tetanus
> > from a snake bite?
>
> I only know personally one person who ever got tetanus at all. He got
> it from a rusty nail in a barnyard. But it's out there, like gold, where
> you find it.

Well, I know Morse code and that will, no doubt, save me.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3566368054240751566
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 11:14:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AD34CDF.1F0C4F63@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:11:43 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
References: <9at9lv$ijm$1@208.206.142.70> <20010410003629.25154.00001245@nso-ck.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.106
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986925927 64.240.47.106 (10 Apr 2001 13:05:27 -0500)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19072

N2EY wrote:
> Hope you have a lot of wire, Cecil.

You don't know my definition of "optimum" and I, indeed, do have almost 1000ft of wire. What would you estimate the improvement will be when going from 4 to 8 radials?

> For a long time I had a quarter wave 20 meter vertical with the base 12 feet
> off the ground. Six quarter-wave radials. Worked really well - but it was no
> better on 20 than my 80/40 trap inverted V with the center at 35 feet and the
> ends at 12 feet. In ANY direction.

Well, my dipole is not an inv-V and it is one wavelength on 40m. That is supposed to leave some severe holes in the radiation pattern. I'm hoping that the vertical will be better than the dipole in some direction at some distance.

> oh mama. Hope it wasn't your sendin'/shootin' hand.

Actually, it is right where the grip safety fits on my Colt-45.

> Station is all back together here. Test whenever you're ready.

Is 0100Z about right? I'll give you a call on 7038. Can you copy
10wpm? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5680161272593546995
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 11:18:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AD34DEB.51B0D110@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:16:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.106
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986926196
64.240.47.106 (10 Apr 2001 13:09:56 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED

```
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14963
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19073 rec.radio.amateur.space:2481 rec.radio.swap:28312

Dick Carroll wrote:
> And if you ARE a member you still don't have a de facto voice. They can
> and do routinely ignore the bulk of the membership- those who differ
> with 'official' philosophy.

How do they know what the bulk of their membership thinks? I'll bet
90% of ARRL members have never let their thoughts be known to the BOD.
With so few members who ever actually make their thoughts known to
the BOD, the BOD can rationalize almost any philosophy.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 2681457384008289034
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 11:24:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AD34F29.7B902714@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:21:29 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <sevz6.9231$VF3.869444@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<QuEz6.890$ZG2.237146@nnrp2.sbc.net> <9ancgf$dv4$0@208.206.142.107>
<3AD0090B.BF09DF15@IEEE.org> <9ap3ps$7ra$1@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11AD2.BEBDA01F@IEEE.org> <9apt99$bm3$1@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B5DF.E99A7A11@IEEE.org> <9ar7gk$t0j$1@208.206.142.100>
<3AD26A8B.E880D744@IEEE.org> <9au1a4$ntm$0@208.206.142.93>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.106
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986926513
64.240.47.106 (10 Apr 2001 13:15:13 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
```

```
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19074

Dick Carroll wrote:
> You're just a grain of sand in the sea. So long as you refrain from the
> freeband I don't concern myself with you.

If I had known that me freebanding would bother you, I would have
done it long ago. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5149943542768820622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 11:26:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AD34F98.97497BE3@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:23:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3ad069b9.118604489@news2.dvol.com>
<3AD11D0E.E3BD0E86@IEEE.org> <3ad13024.169404201@news2.dvol.com>
<3AD26B94.E19F2F22@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbj3zo0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3ad27b58.254197048@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.106
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986926623
64.240.47.106 (10 Apr 2001 13:17:03 -0500)
Lines: 16
```

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19075

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
>
> >  That's Singapore.
> >
> . . . nasty little flyspeck on the map.

Ooops, sorry, Thailand.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 4161192874818744874
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 11:34:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AD351B4.B6926D81@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:32:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
References: <20010410135313.27241.00005862@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.106
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986927165
64.240.47.106 (10 Apr 2001 13:26:05 -0500)
```

Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.bc.net!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19076

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> There are now and shall continue to be,
> for the forseeable future, an application for Morse Code. It's a fact. Some
> commercial ships still use it, the Armed Forces of most countries still teach
> it, and of course it is still the "least common denominator" of Amatuer Radio.

I was SWLing the other night and found a number of CW signals between
40m and 20m. Anybody know to what service they belong?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6183751558372660498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 11:54:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3ac64a1c.3676284@news.mindspring.com>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>

```
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 64
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 18:51:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.239.230
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986928718 209.244.239.230 (Tue, 10 Apr
2001 11:51:58 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 11:51:58 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 11:50:44 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19077
```

In article <%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
tstdenis3160@home.com says...

Can't read eh Security Officer St.Denis?? I said "I crap my hands for you. This is a a favorite line for another mental giant who resided in Canada...some twerp named Masa who was going to McGill to be a stock broker. So you see Security Officer St.Denis, you may need to read just a tad better.

BTW, do you get to carry a gun like those guys in the movie..I forget the name, starred John Candy and another couple of 2nd City people. They played bumbling security officers. They carried a gun too.

As to shack. It's nice. Central AC...100 foot tower with assorted antennae. Some nice radio gear, computers..very comfortable when I want to shut out the world, not that eastern LI has that many people. Still, all in all alot nicer than your house there Security Officer St.Denis.

Mr. Sulu...send a Security Officer to deck 34....intruders alert. Eye Eye Captain. Security Officer St.Denis report to deck 34. Phazers on stupid..try not to shot yourself again this time..

Molsen Ice..just as nice for 3 times the price

```
> Crap in your hands eh .... well thats an interesting custom we certainly
> don't have up here.  Do you store it for food or do you use it to patch the
> holes in that dillapitated shack you live in?
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> /-----------------------------------------------\
> | Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
> | Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> \-----------------------------------------------/
>
> > If that are true, then I crap my hands for him.  What is it with
> > Canucks??
> >
> > Must be something in the H2O.
> >
> > --
> > DX is a way of life to some
> > A hobby to others
> > an obsession to the rest
> > 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> > #1 Honor Roll (still)
>
>
>

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)


From -2431739898379049180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57c6d668df9c3e2f,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 12:10:04 PST
From: "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com>
Sender: "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com>
Subject: TWISTEDHED DEFEATED, RUNS, HIDES IN NEW NEWSGROUP!!
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 152.163.213.187 152.163.213.187
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad3593b$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 10 Apr 2001 20:04:27 GMT
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 20:04:27 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 22
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19078

Check it out! That poor, terrified, crackpot, defeated bastard TWISTEDHED is HIDING in rec.radio.amateur.policy and posting under the name "fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com"

HAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

It must have been a terrible blow for Twisted to realize he was completely WRONG. We think it pushed Twisted "over the edge".

For everyone's entertainment, let's review the sequence of events:

1. Twistedhed got spoofed unmercifully in rec.radio.cb
2. Twistedhed decided it was Leland doing the spoofing
3. Twistedhed spread his belief all over the net.
4. Unfortunate saps like Richard and Jerry O. believed Twistedhed
5. The real spoofers admitted they - and not Leland - had done the spoofing
6. Twistedhed refuses to give up the idea it was all Leland's fault
7. Twistedhed ran away from rec.radio.cb and hid in rec.radio.amateur.policy! He's afraid to even post under his own name
8. The whole world is now laughing at Twistedhed

From 6144477266489460557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57c6d668df9c3e2f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 12:10:05 PST
From: "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com>
Sender: "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com>
Subject: TWISTEDHED DEFEATED, RUNS, HIDES IN NEW NEWSGROUP!!
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 152.163.213.187 152.163.213.187
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad35944$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 10 Apr 2001 20:04:36 GMT
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 20:04:36 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 22
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19079

Check it out! That poor, terrified, crackpot, defeated bastard TWISTEDHED is HIDING in rec.radio.amateur.policy and posting under the name "fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com"

HAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

It must have been a terrible blow for Twisted to realize he was completely
WRONG. We think it pushed Twisted "over the edge".

For everyone's entertainment, let's review the sequence of events:

1. Twistedhed got spoofed unmercifully in rec.radio.cb
2. Twistedhed decided it was Leland doing the spoofing
3. Twistedhed spread his belief all over the net.
4. Unfortunate saps like Richard and Jerry O. believed Twistedhed
5. The real spoofers admitted they - and not Leland - had done the spoofing
6. Twistedhed refuses to give up the idea it was all Leland's fault
7. Twistedhed ran away from rec.radio.cb and hid in rec.radio.amateur.policy!
He's afraid to even post under his own name
8. The whole world is now laughing at Twistedhed

From -8928541363327450638
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57c6d668df9c3e2f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 12:10:07 PST
From: "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com>
Sender: "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com>
Subject: TWISTEDHED DEFEATED, RUNS, HIDES IN NEW NEWSGROUP!!
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 152.163.213.187 152.163.213.187
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad3594b$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 10 Apr 2001 20:04:43 GMT
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 20:04:43 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 22
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19080

Check it out! That poor, terrified, crackpot, defeated bastard TWISTEDHED
is HIDING in rec.radio.amateur.policy and posting under the name "fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com"

HAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

It must have been a terrible blow for Twisted to realize he was completely
WRONG. We think it pushed Twisted "over the edge".

For everyone's entertainment, let's review the sequence of events:

1. Twistedhed got spoofed unmercifully in rec.radio.cb
2. Twistedhed decided it was Leland doing the spoofing
3. Twistedhed spread his belief all over the net.
4. Unfortunate saps like Richard and Jerry O. believed Twistedhed
5. The real spoofers admitted they - and not Leland - had done the spoofing
6. Twistedhed refuses to give up the idea it was all Leland's fault
7. Twistedhed ran away from rec.radio.cb and hid in rec.radio.amateur.policy!
He's afraid to even post under his own name
8. The whole world is now laughing at Twistedhed

```
From 5305656095612101231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 12:14:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 93
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:13:32 GMT
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986930012 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 12:13:32 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 12:13:32 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19081

Well my shack includes my ts570s, ts-440sat, crappy little htx242 for packet (along with a AEA PK-88 I bought at a ham fest out here), my ic-821 for satelitte. A mast mounted preamp from DEM for 440, a homebrew 12.2m parabolic and homebrew az, el rotor for it. I have 2 phased 13-b2's on my 30' mast with a cheesy little radio shack tv antenna rotor I found in the garage when we moved in. My computer is a AMD k7 1200MHz on an ASUS a7-v motherboard. 768mb ram, 42gb of hd space, a guillemot 5.1 sound card, a wintv theatre pro card, 19" flat screen lcd monitor and cable modem connection to the net via the @home network. My mobile station includes a ic-706 (fun toy), tecra 8100 (from toshiba if yare looking it up) laptop, athlon k7 500Mhz in the trunk (for my mp3's and dvd).

So before you denounce this job I have ... I would consider just where you stand first.

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.

```
/-----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                    (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                            fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\-----------------------------------------------/
```

"funguy@hotjuno.com" <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net...

> In article <%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> tstdenis3160@home.com says...
>
>
> Can't read eh Security Officer St.Denis?? I said "I crap my hands for
> you. This is a a favorite line for another mental giant who resided in
> Canada...some twerp named Masa who was going to McGill to be a stock
> broker. So you see Security Officer St.Denis, you may need to read just
> a tad better.
>
> BTW, do you get to carry a gun like those guys in the movie..I forget the
> name, starred John Candy and another couple of 2nd City people. They
> played bumbling security officers. They carried a gun too.
>
> As to shack. It's nice. Central AC...100 foot tower with assorted
> antennae. Some nice radio gear, computers..very comfortable when I want
> to shut out the world, not that eastern LI has that many people. Still,
> all in all alot nicer than your house there Security Officer St.Denis.
>

```
>
> Mr. Sulu...send a Security Officer to deck 34....intruders alert.  Eye
> Eye Captain.  Security Officer St.Denis report to deck 34.  Phazers on
> stupid..try not to shot yourself again this time..
>
>
> Molsen Ice..just as nice for 3 times the price
>
>
>
> > Crap in your hands eh .... well thats an interesting custom we certainly
> > don't have up here.  Do you store it for food or do you use it to patch
the
> > holes in that dillapitated shack you live in?
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> > /----------------------------------------------\
> > | Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
> > | Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> > | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> > \----------------------------------------------/
> >
> > > If that are true, then I crap my hands for him.  What is it with
> > > Canucks??
> > >
> > > Must be something in the H2O.
> > >
> > > --
> > > DX is a way of life to some
> > > A hobby to others
> > > an obsession to the rest
> > > 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> > > #1 Honor Roll (still)
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> DX is a way of life to some
> A hobby to others
> an obsession to the rest
> 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> #1 Honor Roll (still)



From -6840206742277190316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 12:16:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Sbux6.175094$p66.51561182@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6120$jqi$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net> <9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172>
<LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 83
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:15:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986930137 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 12:15:37
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 12:15:37 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19082
```

Oh ya just to let you know, and to prove your limited intelligence ... its Aye, Aye ... not eye eye ... anyone who spent 10mins dealing with millitary would know that, and its Molson, not Molsen (for a drunk you ought to know that)

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.

```
/----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                 (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\----------------------------------------------/
"funguy@hotjuno.com" <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> tstdenis3160@home.com says...
>
>
> Can't read eh Security Officer St.Denis??  I said "I crap my hands for
> you.  This is a a favorite line for another mental giant who resided in
> Canada...some twerp named Masa who was going to McGill to be a stock
> broker.  So you see Security Officer St.Denis, you may need to read just
> a tad better.
>
> BTW, do you get to carry a gun like those guys in the movie..I forget the
> name, starred John Candy and another couple of 2nd City people.  They
> played bumbling security officers.  They carried a gun too.
>
> As to shack.  It's nice.  Central AC...100 foot tower with assorted
> antennae.  Some nice radio gear, computers..very comfortable when I want
> to shut out the world, not that eastern LI has that many people.  Still,
> all in all alot nicer than your house there Security Officer St.Denis.
>
>
> Mr. Sulu...send a Security Officer to deck 34....intruders alert.  Eye
> Eye Captain.  Security Officer St.Denis report to deck 34.  Phazers on
> stupid..try not to shot yourself again this time..
>
>
> Molsen Ice..just as nice for 3 times the price
>
>
>
> > Crap in your hands eh .... well thats an interesting custom we certainly
> > don't have up here.  Do you store it for food or do you use it to patch
the
> > holes in that dillapitated shack you live in?
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> > /----------------------------------------------\
> > | Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
> > | Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> > | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> > \----------------------------------------------/
> >
> > > If that are true, then I crap my hands for him.  What is it with
> > > Canucks??
> > >
> > > Must be something in the H2O.
> > >
> > > --
> > > DX is a way of life to some
> > > A hobby to others
> > > an obsession to the rest
> > > 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> > > #1 Honor Roll (still)
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> DX is a way of life to some
> A hobby to others
> an obsession to the rest
> 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> #1 Honor Roll (still)
```

```
From 2590252814275573109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57c6d668df9c3e2f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 12:18:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ad3593b$1@news2.mibx.net>
Subject: Re: TWISTEDHED DEFEATED, RUNS, HIDES IN NEW NEWSGROUP!!
Lines: 41
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <z%IA6.74744$XV.18686217@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:17:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986930271 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 12:17:51
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 12:17:51 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19083
```

Considering you yourself don't even use a real name I don't see you as much better off

--

Rob St.Denis

```
Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/-----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                 (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                         fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\-----------------------------------------------/
```

"Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3ad3593b$1@news2.mibx.net...

> Check it out! That poor, terrified, crackpot, defeated bastard TWISTEDHED
> is HIDING in rec.radio.amateur.policy and posting under the name "fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com"
>
> HAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
> It must have been a terrible blow for Twisted to realize he was completely
> WRONG. We think it pushed Twisted "over the edge".
>
> For everyone's entertainment, let's review the sequence of events:
>
> 1. Twistedhed got spoofed unmercifully in rec.radio.cb
> 2. Twistedhed decided it was Leland doing the spoofing
> 3. Twistedhed spread his belief all over the net.
> 4. Unfortunate saps like Richard and Jerry O. believed Twistedhed
> 5. The real spoofers admitted they - and not Leland - had done the spoofing
> 6. Twistedhed refuses to give up the idea it was all Leland's fault
> 7. Twistedhed ran away from rec.radio.cb and hid in rec.radio.amateur.policy!
> He's afraid to even post under his own name
> 8. The whole world is now laughing at Twistedhed
>
>

```
From 1050824675213753260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 12:30:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!panix!news.panix.com!not-for-
mail
From: Doug Faunt N6TQS +1-510-655-8604 <faunt@panix.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Spammed again (Was:Stop by  - www.ab7sl.com -)
Date: 10 Apr 2001 15:29:57 -0400
Organization: at home, in Oakland, California
Lines: 17
```

```
Sender: faunt@panix6.panix.com
Message-ID: <mfrr8z0v9hm.fsf@panix6.panix.com>
References: <j3GA6.4515$Ak1.459603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9avck0$on9$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: panix6.panix.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
X-Trace: news.panix.com 986930997 20133 166.84.0.231 (10 Apr 2001 19:29:57 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@panix.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Apr 2001 19:29:57 GMT
User-Agent: Gnus/5.0808 (Gnus v5.8.8) Emacs/20.7
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19084

K0HB@qwest.net writes:

> In article <j3GA6.4515$Ak1.459603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, Charles
> Johnston <ctj92@earthlink.net> writes:
>
> >Lots of STUFF to do at www.ab7ls.com such as...
>
> This guy must get a nice commission from his advertisers everytime someone
> visits.
>

Maybe he should get negative commissions.  I am less likely to buy a
W9INN antenna now that he's advertising them.

73, doug

who does need a restricted space 160M antenna that will also do 80M.


From 50511323432059211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57c6d668df9c3e2f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 12:40:19 PST
From: <fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com>
Sender: fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com
Reply-To: <fagadair-no-spam@cotse.com>
Subject: Re: TWISTEDHED DEFEATED, RUNS, HIDES IN NEW NEWSGROUP!!
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 152.163.213.187 152.163.213.187
References: <3ad3593b$1@news2.mibx.net>
<z%IA6.74744$XV.18686217@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad360a4$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 10 Apr 2001 20:36:04 GMT
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 20:36:04 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 4
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!newsfeed.freenet.de!nntp-cust.primenet.com!
news2.mibx.net
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19085

since youare on my side maybe you can help me? I need to track down them that
are pursuing me like a packof wild dogs. Email me [here or at
twistedhed@webtv.net]and
we can talk because I need allies rightnow.

From -7126469473809087039
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,330b9dd1edaf7014,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 12:50:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 14:47:33 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: 1.2 watt moonbounce
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.40
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 986931678
64.240.47.40 (10 Apr 2001 14:41:18 -0500)
Lines: 8
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19086

W7LHL has reported moonbounce copy using 1.2 watts with the
received signal approximately 20dB below the level of human
hearing. Results at: www.proaxis.com/~boblark/dsp10.htm

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6154928068713232855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 13:00:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153d308f805d60789896a6@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172> <LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 39
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:59:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.116.82
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986932748 63.208.116.82 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 12:59:08 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 12:59:08 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 12:57:55 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19087

In article <tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
tstdenis3160@home.com says...

> Oh ya just to let you know, and to prove your limited intelligence ... its
> Aye, Aye ... not eye eye ... anyone who spent 10mins dealing with millitary
> would know that, and its Molson, not Molsen (for a drunk you ought to know
> that)
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis

>
> Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.

Actually Security Officer St.Denis EYE EYE was correct. I equate your
little security position to the guys sitting outside the local NYC Grren
grocers making sure no one rips off the mangos.

They stand there with their shiny tin badges and official MagLights and
go EYE EYE, you no touch da fruit hokay??? EYE EYE, youse need to pay
fo dat fruit hokay???

ANd I never said anything about the military now did EYE Security
Officer St.Denis?? Tsk tsk. canuck education can't read, can't
comprehend. BTW, isn't AYE AYE a naval term? Did Canada have a navy??
Must have had many major sea battles on the Great Lakes wow

And I don;t drink any of the Canuck crud, so you're right, I wouldn't
know how to spell Molson, as if it really mattered.

Security Officer St.Denis..report to Aisle 5. Possible
shoplifter.....bring your can of Dr Pepper spray.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From -3561943805125124873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 13:09:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153d3288cbbb481c9896a7@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172> <LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 42
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 20:07:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.116.82
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986933265 63.208.116.82 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:07:45 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:07:45 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:06:31 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19088

In article <wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
tstdenis3160@home.com says...
> Well my shack includes my ts570s, ts-440sat, crappy little htx242 for packet
> (along with a AEA PK-88 I bought at a ham fest out here), my ic-821 for
> satelitte. A mast mounted preamp from DEM for 440, a homebrew 12.2m
> parabolic and homebrew az, el rotor for it. I have 2 phased 13-b2's on my
> 30' mast with a cheesy little radio shack tv antenna rotor I found in the
> garage when we moved in. My computer is a AMD k7 1200MHz on an ASUS a7-v
> motherboard. 768mb ram, 42gb of hd space, a guillemot 5.1 sound card, a
> wintv theatre pro card, 19" flat screen lcd monitor and cable modem
> connection to the net via the @home network. My mobile station includes a
> ic-706 (fun toy), tecra 8100 (from toshiba if yare looking it up) laptop,
> athlon k7 500Mhz in the trunk (for my mp3's and dvd).

Not bad. My computer is a 486 33 with 48meg of ram and 2 430meg hard
drives. Runs the 1000d and control the hamIV rotor. Runs DX4WIN,
Pakterm99 and CT. Have an Aplha 89 that I picked up used, and is rarely
used since the tower sits 20' of LI Sound and the salt water, although
killer on the equipment makes for a excellent ground.

>
> So before you denounce this job I have ... I would consider just where you
> stand first.
>

I stand 6'3 in my stocking feet. I was a corporate pilot flying out of
McArthur or MacArthur Airport (spelling varies according to different map
makers). I retired just last year at 55.

ANd I usually stand first when I stand

So a snappy salute to you Security Officer St.Denis and a mighty EYE EYE.
Don;t take any rotten fruit.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 5396278416622689960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 13:14:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172> <LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d308f805d60789896a6@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 79
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%OJA6.74860$XV.18743454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 20:12:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986933563 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:12:43
PDT)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:12:43 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19089

Aye Aye is a naval term ... and yes Canada does have a navy, although we have evolved to the point where defence is irrelevant (notice noone comes and bombs our harbours, office building etc) because we aren't savages who's only way of getting respect is to shoot, bomb, pilige or rape it out of them.

My job is strictly to get some pocket money while I'm in school since a full time employment would be impossible. Since I am training to become a police officer a security officer's job seemed right to me. Occasionally we do do static security, but a majority of our services comes from patroling neighbourhoods who hire us to do so.

When we do static security, the most part of our work is just checking on the building (i.e. alarms, and thefts ... sure its dull but someone needs to do it)

As for the beer comment, quite frankly alcohol is of no use to me. I am not a weak willed inDUHvidual (sorry S.A. for using your term but it fits) such as yourself who requires alcohol to dull his senses and escape reality.

And my pepper spray is 10% o/c. My baton isn't plastic its a 21" asp expandable baton. I don't carry a badge, no need to. That is typical american clout, after all a pfc should have a chest full of awards eh?

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.

```
/---------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                    (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                            fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\---------------------------------------------/
```

"funguy@hotjuno.com" <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.153d308f805d60789896a6@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> tstdenis3160@home.com says...
> > Oh ya just to let you know, and to prove your limited intelligence ...
its
> > Aye, Aye ... not eye eye ... anyone who spent 10mins dealing with
millitary
> > would know that, and its Molson, not Molsen (for a drunk you ought to
know
> > that)
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.

```
>
> Actually Security Officer St.Denis  EYE EYE was correct.  I equate your
> little security position to the guys sitting outside the local NYC Grren
> grocers making sure no one rips off the mangos.
>
> They stand there with their shiny tin badges and official MagLights and
> go  EYE EYE, you no touch da fruit hokay???  EYE EYE, youse need to pay
> fo dat fruit  hokay???
>
>  ANd I never said anything about the military now did EYE Security
> Officer St.Denis??  Tsk tsk.  canuck education  can't read, can't
> comprehend.  BTW, isn't AYE AYE a naval term?  Did Canada have a navy??
> Must have had many major sea battles on the Great Lakes  wow
>
> And I don;t drink any of the Canuck crud, so you're right, I wouldn't
> know how to spell Molson, as if it really mattered.
>
> Security Officer St.Denis..report to Aisle 5.  Possible
> shoplifter.....bring your can of Dr Pepper spray.
>
>
>
> --
> DX is a way of life to some
> A hobby to others
> an obsession to the rest
> 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> #1 Honor Roll (still)




From -8972794614264972522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 13:20:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172> <LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d3288cbbb481c9896a7@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 79
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 20:19:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986933977 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:19:37 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:19:37 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19090

Gee I bet you are damn proud of those plastic propellers you had on that 100$ suit you wore day in day out flying people around in your fancy taxi there bub ... any idiot with 35k can get a commercial pilots licence. Heck, since you believe I'm such a boob, I got my ppl with IFR, MER, NFR's when I was 18 ...

I got a degree in physics at Ottawa U after transferring there from Windsor U. I worked as a telecommunications technician for a short time (until I realised how boring it was to sit at a desk doing meaningless work in telecomms). I decided in january that forensics would be more interesting and a very adequate use of my physics knowledge. To do so I need to become a police officer first. So here I am, in school again and working as an officer.

So again before you insult other people, mister plastic wings wearing cab driver guy, I'd consider where you stand in relation first.

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
```
/-----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\-----------------------------------------------/
```
"funguy@hotjuno.com" <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.153d3288cbbb481c9896a7@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,

```
> tstdenis3160@home.com says...
> > Well my shack includes my ts570s, ts-440sat, crappy little htx242 for
packet
> > (along with a AEA PK-88 I bought at a ham fest out here), my ic-821 for
> > satelitte.  A mast mounted preamp from DEM for 440, a homebrew 12.2m
> > parabolic and homebrew az, el rotor for it.  I have 2 phased 13-b2's on
my
> > 30' mast with a cheesy little radio shack tv antenna rotor I found in
the
> > garage when we moved in.  My computer is a AMD k7 1200MHz on an ASUS
a7-v
> > motherboard.  768mb ram, 42gb of hd space, a guillemot 5.1 sound card, a
> > wintv theatre pro card, 19" flat screen lcd monitor and cable modem
> > connection to the net via the @home network.  My mobile station includes
a
> > ic-706 (fun toy), tecra 8100 (from toshiba if yare looking it up)
laptop,
> > athlon k7 500Mhz in the trunk (for my mp3's and dvd).
>
> Not bad.  My computer is a 486 33 with 48meg of ram and 2 430meg hard
> drives.  Runs the 1000d and control the hamIV rotor.  Runs DX4WIN,
> Pakterm99 and CT.  Have an Aplha 89 that I picked up used, and is rarely
> used since the tower sits 20' of LI Sound and the salt water, although
> killer on the equipment makes for a excellent ground.
>
>
> >
> > So before you denounce this job I have ... I would consider just where
you
> > stand first.
> >
>
> I stand 6'3 in my stocking feet.  I was a corporate pilot flying out of
> McArthur or MacArthur Airport (spelling varies according to different map
> makers).  I retired just last year at 55.
>
> ANd I usually stand first when I stand
>
> So a snappy salute to you Security Officer St.Denis and a mighty EYE EYE.
> Don;t take any rotten fruit.
>
>
> --
> DX is a way of life to some
> A hobby to others
> an obsession to the rest
> 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> #1 Honor Roll (still)



From 9211161900871113599
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 13:58:08 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 20:57:01 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad3716a.49127318@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a6576$9pg$0@208.207.71.172> <LPxx6.175932$p66.52051707@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d3288cbbb481c9896a7@news.earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.81
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.81
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 15:54:05 -0500, 64.24.16.81
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19091

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 20:07:45 GMT, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote:
>
>So a snappy salute to you Security Officer St.Denis and a mighty EYE EYE.
>Don;t take any rotten fruit.
>
He wuz an infantry physicist last week. Po' boy can't make up his mnd
what he wants to be when he grows up. Not that any such event is
immenient of course.
>
>--
>5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
>#1 Honor Roll (still)
>

w3rv
DXCC 300+, 5BDXCC, WAZ
Active on ten ham bands
BS Mechanical Engineering
Part 104 Ragbird Jock

```
From 3993121533698329414
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 14:00:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d3288cbbb481c9896a7@news.earthlink.net> <3ad3716a.49127318@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <2vKA6.74906$XV.18804939@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 20:59:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986936382 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:59:42
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:59:42 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19092
```

I was infantry up until dec 99. I have been a telecomms technician working

on a contract to contract basis since then. I started school again and was
hired by securworks last wednesday ... hence the change in my sig

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/---\
| Cell   (613)296-0094 |
| Email: fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page: http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3ad3716a.49127318@news2.dvol.com...
> On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 20:07:45 GMT, funguy@hotjuno.com
> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote:
> >
> >So a snappy salute to you Security Officer St.Denis and a mighty EYE EYE.
> >Don;t take any rotten fruit.
> >
> He wuz an infantry physicist last week. Po' boy can't make up his mnd
> what he wants to be when he grows up. Not that any such event is
> immenient of course.
> >
> >--
> >5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> >#1 Honor Roll (still)
> >
> w3rv
> DXCC 300+, 5BDXCC, WAZ
> Active on ten ham bands
> BS Mechanical Engineering
> Part 104 Ragbird Jock
>

From -4990477184198247233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 14:02:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
In-Reply-To: <20010410003632.25154.00001246@nso-ck.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104102055430.27314-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <20010407142401.09508.00000784@nso-mn.aol.com>

<20010410003632.25154.00001246@nso-ck.aol.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 14
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:00:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.42
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986936435 12.80.44.42 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:00:35 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:00:35 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19093

On 10 Apr 2001, N2EY wrote:
> Can you PROVE that ALL pre-April-15-2000 applications had been processed by
> June 1, 2000?

Actually, that introduces another problem:

Some post-April-14-2000 applications were processed by April 19, 2000.

That's why I have gone with an April 18, 2000 date as the only authoritative date to cut off the FCC database at for purposes of comparison. Also, some groups had regular, full-blown, NOT upgrade-only sessions on April 15, 2000 because that fell on their REGULAR session day for that month. If you choose a later date, you will miss some upgrade actions....

From 8024545643302412908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 14:06:10 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:04:17 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad37518.50069716@news2.dvol.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com> <3AD1FE38.D477C0C5@IEEE.org> <3ad23aab.33170387@news5.bellatlantic.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.81
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.81

```
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 16:01:20 -0500, 64.24.16.81
Lines: 9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14968
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19094 rec.radio.amateur.space:2482 rec.radio.swap:28331

On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:48:12 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M.
Conti) wrote:

>Sue them for not working harder to DUMP that silly F' ing code
>requirement.
>
I suppose you mean that F'ing 5wpm thing, yeah, I agree. Bring 20wpm
back.



From 6241894628941462993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,330b9dd1edaf7014
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 14:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Apr 2001 21:08:41 GMT
References: <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 1.2 watt moonbounce
Message-ID: <20010410170841.20363.00006327@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19095

>Subject: 1.2 watt moonbounce
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 04/10/01 3:47 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>
>W7LHL has reported moonbounce copy using 1.2 watts with the
>received signal approximately 20dB below the level of human
>hearing. Results at: www.proaxis.com/~boblark/dsp10.htm

     Isn't this about 2 weeks late, Cecil?


"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."
```

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From -4834668201008706543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 14:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!cyclone.kc.rr.com!
news.kc.rr.com!cyclone3.kc.rr.com!news3.kc.rr.com!typhoon.kc.rr.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Greg Elsbernd" <ki8jc@arrl.net>
From: "Greg Elsbernd" <ki8jc@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbj5zz2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <R9LA6.1787$nI4.553968@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:45:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.27.230.221
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.kc.rr.com 986939121 65.27.230.221 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:45:21 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:45:21 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19097
```

I think everyone is reading more into it, and the ARRL is not thinking
straigh on this.

The "credit" is given to those that have a CURRENT license, not an expired
one. If someone once had a conditional, general, or advanced license and
they kept current then they would not need this credit because they would
still be a general, advanced, or whatever.   I don't think the credit should
be given to anyone who has let anything higher than a novice or tech plus
license expire. I think the ARRL is confused on this one, in thinking it
discriminates anyone. The only people this affects are those that for some
reason let their licenses expire.   I personally don't see any logic in the
ARRL's thinking on this. Some person walks into a VE session and says "I
used to be a general, but I let my license expire 13 years ago, can I get
credit for the 5 wpm test?" The FCC is correct on this one, the answer
should be no.

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gbj5zz2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...

On 9 Apr 2001 17:19:25 GMT, K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

>In article <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com>, <hans_brakob@adc.com> writes:
>
>>I have read the paragraph at least a dozen times, and it
>>still doesn't "click" with me.
>
>A friend of mine, who is a lawyer and probably competent to interpret
>'tortured' bureaucratic logic, says:
>
>   "It appears to me they didn't understand the question or if they
>   understood the question, they don't understand their own rules and
>   interpretations regarding expired licenses.
>
>   "I am unable to follow the logic of paragraph 17 of the Report and
>   Order."

I follow the logic - if there is a mis-type in the section dealing with expired/non-renewable Technician Class licenses.

My gut feeling is that they meant to say that credit is being given only for current or expired-but-renewable licenses, and that the holder of an expired-not-renewable Novice license may somehow hold a valid or expired-but-renewable Tech license, thereby conveying 5 wpm credit.

Is clear English so hard to write nowadays? The Commish' (or more accurately Johnny "Bald Eagle" Johnson) was burned severely by the attempt 25 years ago to rewrite the amateur rules in comic-book language (remember that one?) so he gave up entirely.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 7457293294569935000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 14:54:03 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:51:55 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad375df.50267886@news2.dvol.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3AD34DEB.51B0D110@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.148
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.148
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 16:48:59 -0500, 64.24.16.148
Lines: 32
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14973
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19099 rec.radio.amateur.space:2483 rec.radio.swap:28333

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 13:16:11 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:
>
>How do they know what the bulk of their membership thinks? I'll bet
>90% of ARRL members have never let their thoughts be known to the BOD.
>With so few members who ever actually make their thoughts known to
>the BOD, the BOD can rationalize almost any philosophy.
>
The League has tried on several occasions to gather up member's views
and so have the individual Directors. They've run expensive membership
surveys. Which got lame return rates.
>
Our (now ARRL VP) former Director Kay Cragie wt3p scoured the clubs
and reflectors for inputs on issues before the ARRL BOD. The ARRL
Director's e-mail addresses are published and so are our congress
critters'. The problem ain't THEM, as usual the problem is US. We're
typical American bellyachers: We don't engage our politicians in
dialogs, hell, we don't even VOTE. Then we turn around and beast on
'em for what we perceive as the decisons they make in "vacuums". The
U.S. Congress, the ARRL, it's all the same syndrome.
>
Fuggit all. I'm glad somebody is voting and making something anything
actually happen.
>
The FCC excepted.
>
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv
>

```
Pogo: "We have met the enemy and he is us"
>


From -8254121537240421237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 14:54:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: helmsman <>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Solar Flare alert
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 17:51:50 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3207dtk7m5akheq63bu9bmjj52drl5tqf8@4ax.com>
References: <NfQw6.8495$aP5.735090@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.d0.8d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Apr 2001 21:50:29 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:2287 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14972
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19098

On Fri, 30 Mar 2001 00:16:45 GMT, "two3 hour" <humbolfr2@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>X-FLARE: A powerful X-class solar flare
>erupted near sunspot group 9393 on March
>29th at 1015 UT.

Might be a nice arroral show.
.
Shame on ARIZONA,USA
Be aware these people are NOT convicted of anything.
http://www.geocities.com/novapunkca/
If you don't like it tell the Governor.
http://www.governor.state.az.us/feedback.html


From 6630090163270389180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,212731b001cd58c5
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 14:56:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: helmsman <>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.r
adio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio
.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Stop by  - www.ab7sl.com -
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 17:54:45 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <2707dtko0fb3g05b57urdgj2f383c9lb85@4ax.com>
References: <j3GA6.4515$Ak1.459603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.d0.8d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Apr 2001 21:53:24 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20853
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:7988 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2289
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11838 rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5003
rec.radio.amateur.misc:14974 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19100

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:57:03 GMT, "Charles Johnston"
<ctj92@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Lots of STUFF to do at www.ab7sl.com such as...
>
 Always a nice page to visit.
.
Shame on ARIZONA,USA
Be aware these people are NOT convicted of anything.
http://www.geocities.com/novapunkca/
If you don't like it tell the Governor.
http://www.governor.state.az.us/feedback.html


From 7278699825613407503
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,59704c8b40278ff6
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,59704c8b40278ff6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,59704c8b40278ff6
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,59704c8b40278ff6
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,59704c8b40278ff6
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 14:58:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: helmsman <>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Downloadable IOTA List - UPDATE
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 17:56:19 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <fa07dtoqod1pplroehko8d8o98911b08or@4ax.com>
References: <RLPu6.5130$P4.375295@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.d0.8d
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Apr 2001 21:54:58 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20854 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2290 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11839 rec.radio.amateur.misc:14975 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19101

On Fri, 23 Mar 2001 22:04:33 GMT, "Charles Johnston"
<ctj92@earthlink.net> wrote:

>The downloadable IOTA list has been updated effective 23-Mar-2001 -
>22:07UTC
>
>Available as a 15k zip file on the IOTA List page of the web site listed
>below

Thanks.

.
Shame on ARIZONA,USA
Be aware these people are NOT convicted of anything.
http://www.geocities.com/novapunkca/
If you don't like it tell the Governor.
http://www.governor.state.az.us/feedback.html

```
From -8851296645786998871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 14:58:04 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.boats.electronics
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:54:58 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad3811b.53143233@news2.dvol.com>
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
<qwDA6.1717$NM5.63036@eagle.america.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.148
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.148
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 16:52:00 -0500, 64.24.16.148
Lines: 37
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19102
rec.boats.electronics:4003

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 09:01:52 -0400, "Robbie K"
<rknowles@mailingpost.net> wrote:

>       Actually, it is one of the fastest growing hobbies that
>radio enthusiasts are discovering.   Boats respond to your
>calls quickly and it is possible to make many new friends.
>
>      AES and Radio Shack sell some really affordable marine
>radios too.  Judging from the growing number of people coming
>into this new hobby, there are probably thousands already
>having fun on the marine bands.
>
>   Listen for "Robbie 782, the juggler."
>
>Robbie
>
Assshole.
>
>
>"empathy97" <empathy97_member@newsranger.com> wrote in message
>news:qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com...
>> I am an avid shortwave listener. I am intending to buy a used Icom M700
>marine
>> HF band transceiver. My questions is these. Can I use the transceiver to
>> communicate with ships and boats around the world. Will they respond to my
>> transmission. I don't have any HAM or commercial license to operate any
>radios.
>> Thank You all for the info.
>>
>>
>>
>> empathy.
>>
>>
>
>



From 906152160024464681
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 15:40:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153d55ddce4a576b9896a8@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d308f805d60789896a6@news.earthlink.net>
<%OJA6.74860$XV.18743454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 130
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 22:38:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.112.238
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986942306 209.245.112.238 (Tue, 10 Apr
2001 15:38:26 PDT)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:38:26 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:37:12 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19103

In article <%OJA6.74860$XV.18743454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
tstdenis3160@home.com says...

> Aye Aye is a naval term ... and yes Canada does have a navy, although we have evolved to the point where defence is irrelevant (notice noone comes and bombs our harbours, office building etc) because we aren't savages who's only way of getting respect is to shoot, bomb, pilige or rape it out of them.

HHmmmm a nation of pussies??? Nah. I've met some Canucks and they have balls..you don;t. A couple of WWII surplus Corvettes from Mother England ain't no navy. Wonder how long Canada would last if it actually had to set up it's own army as opposed to sponging off first England and then the US.

>
> My job is strictly to get some pocket money while I'm in school since a full time employment would be impossible. Since I am training to become a police officer a security officer's job seemed right to me. Occasionally we do do static security, but a majority of our services comes from patroling neighbourhoods who hire us to do so.

I hear that Officer Tackleberry's job is open. Maybe you could write Lt. Drebbin and ask for a post on Police Squad. PATROLLING NEIGHBORHOODS>>>RENT A COP. Inaffectual wanna-bees with no powers other that their AUSTIN underwear. Please, you're killing me Security Officer St.Denis ha ha ha ha

>
> When we do static security, the most part of our work is just checking on the building (i.e. alarms, and thefts ... sure its dull but someone needs to do it)

Careful..static discharges with the touch of a hand on some conductive surface.

>
> As for the beer comment, quite frankly alcohol is of no use to me. I am not a weak willed inDUHvidual (sorry S.A. for using your term but it fits) such as yourself who requires alcohol to dull his senses and escape reality.

Then you are normally dull and outside the realm of reality??

```
>
> And my pepper spray is 10% o/c.  My baton isn't plastic its a 21" asp
> expandable baton.

OOhhhh how interesting.  I can pick those up in NYC for $39.95  And it
expands...I'll bet your popular with all the other neighborhood security
officers  huh


> I don't carry a badge, no need to.  That is typical
> american clout, after all a pfc should have a chest full of awards eh?

PFC??? Petite Frigging Canuck???

We dun need no steenkin badges...we are SECURITY OFFICER St.Denis


>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> /---------------------------------------------\
> | Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
> | Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> \---------------------------------------------/
> "funguy@hotjuno.com" <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.153d308f805d60789896a6@news.earthlink.net...
> > In article <tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> > tstdenis3160@home.com says...
> > > Oh ya just to let you know, and to prove your limited intelligence ...
> its
> > > Aye, Aye ... not eye eye ... anyone who spent 10mins dealing with
> millitary
> > > would know that, and its Molson, not Molsen (for a drunk you ought to
> know
> > > that)
> > >
> > > --
> > > Rob St.Denis
> > >
> > > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> >
> > Actually Security Officer St.Denis  EYE EYE was correct.  I equate your
> > little security position to the guys sitting outside the local NYC Grren
> > grocers making sure no one rips off the mangos.
> >
> > They stand there with their shiny tin badges and official MagLights and
> > go  EYE EYE, you no touch da fruit hokay???  EYE EYE, youse need to pay
> > fo dat fruit  hokay???
> >
> >  ANd I never said anything about the military now did EYE Security
> > Officer St.Denis??  Tsk tsk.  canuck education  can't read, can't
> > comprehend.  BTW, isn't AYE AYE a naval term?  Did Canada have a navy??
> > Must have had many major sea battles on the Great Lakes  wow
> >
> > And I don;t drink any of the Canuck crud, so you're right, I wouldn't
> > know how to spell Molson, as if it really mattered.
> >
> > Security Officer St.Denis..report to Aisle 5.  Possible
> > shoplifter.....bring your can of Dr Pepper spray.
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > DX is a way of life to some
> > A hobby to others
> > an obsession to the rest
> > 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> > #1 Honor Roll (still)
>
>
>

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)


From -8318126626014873202
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 15:50:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org> <9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100>
<9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
```

```
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d308f805d60789896a6@news.earthlink.net>
<%OJA6.74860$XV.18743454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d55ddce4a576b9896a8@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 193
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <I4MA6.75148$XV.18996177@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 22:48:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986942888 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:48:08
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:48:08 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19104
```

```
--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\----------------------------------------------/
```

"funguy@hotjuno.com" <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.153d55ddce4a576b9896a8@news.earthlink.net...

> In article <%OJA6.74860$XV.18743454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> tstdenis3160@home.com says...
> > Aye Aye is a naval term ... and yes Canada does have a navy, although
we
> > have evolved to the point where defence is irrelevant (notice noone
comes
> > and bombs our harbours, office building etc) because we aren't savages
who's
> > only way of getting respect is to shoot, bomb, pilige or rape it out of
> > them.
>
> HHmmmm a nation of pussies??? Nah. I've met some Canucks and they have
> balls..you don;t. A couple of WWII surplus Corvettes from Mother England
> ain't no navy. Wonder how long Canada would last if it actually had to
> set up it's own army as opposed to sponging off first England and then
> the US.

Once again ... proving the paranoid dilusions of most americans. "They are
all out to get me". I swear there must be something about living on the
other side of the border that makes you a schitzo.

>
>
>
> >
> > My job is strictly to get some pocket money while I'm in school since a
full
> > time employment would be impossible. Since I am training to become a
police
> > officer a security officer's job seemed right to me. Occasionally we do
do
> > static security, but a majority of our services comes from patroling
> > neighbourhoods who hire us to do so.
>
>
> I hear that Officer Tackleberry's job is open. Maybe you could write Lt.
> Drebbin and ask for a post on Police Squad. PATROLLING
> NEIGHBORHOODS>>>RENT A COP. Inaffectual wanna-bees with no powers other
> that their AUSTIN underwear. Please, you're killing me Security Officer
> St.Denis ha ha ha ha

Well it sure beats being an overweight overpaid taxi driver in the skies.
And it sure beats the alternative I have while in school, being a cashier or
who knows what.

>
>
> >
> > When we do static security, the most part of our work is just checking
on
> > the building (i.e. alarms, and thefts ... sure its dull but someone
needs to
> > do it)
>
> Careful..static discharges with the touch of a hand on some conductive
> surface.

Quite amusing ... I bet you had to check you taxi drivers (oops airline
pilots) manual for the word static eh?

>
>
> >
> > As for the beer comment, quite frankly alcohol is of no use to me. I am
not
> > a weak willed inDUHvidual (sorry S.A. for using your term but it fits)
such
> > as yourself who requires alcohol to dull his senses and escape reality.
>
> Then you are normally dull and outside the realm of reality??

No I see no reason to be ...

>
>
>
> >
> > And my pepper spray is 10% o/c. My baton isn't plastic its a 21" asp
> > expandable baton.
>
> OOhhhh how interesting. I can pick those up in NYC for $39.95 And it
> expands...I'll bet your popular with all the other neighborhood security
> officers huh

We pretty much all carry them ... especially when you have to deal with some
drunk who is being an ass ... couple quick snaps make him no longer a
problem. And who cares about the price. I get it here for $45 canadian ..
thats about $30us (since I can assume you can't multiply)

>
>
> > I don't carry a badge, no need to. That is typical
> > american clout, after all a pfc should have a chest full of awards eh?
>
> PFC??? Petite Frigging Canuck???

private first class you yutz

>
> We dun need no steenkin badges...we are SECURITY OFFICER St.Denis

when someone is wearing a uniform and comes out of a car marked "securworks"
for the most part people around here can put 2 and 2 together and determine
we are security. So why wear a badge?

>
>
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> > /---\
> > | Cell   (613)296-0094 |
> > | Email: fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> > | Home Page: http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> > \---/
> > "funguy@hotjuno.com" <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:MPG.153d308f805d60789896a6@news.earthlink.net...
> > > In article <tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> > > tstdenis3160@home.com says...
> > > > Oh ya just to let you know, and to prove your limited intelligence
...

> > its
> > > > Aye, Aye ... not eye eye ... anyone who spent 10mins dealing with
> > millitary
> > > > would know that, and its Molson, not Molsen (for a drunk you ought
to
> > know
> > > > that)
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Rob St.Denis
> > > >
> > > > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> > >
> > > Actually Security Officer St.Denis EYE EYE was correct. I equate
your
> > > little security position to the guys sitting outside the local NYC
Grren
> > > grocers making sure no one rips off the mangos.
> > >
> > > They stand there with their shiny tin badges and official MagLights
and
> > > go EYE EYE, you no touch da fruit hokay??? EYE EYE, youse need to
pay
> > > fo dat fruit hokay???
> > >
> > > ANd I never said anything about the military now did EYE Security
> > > Officer St.Denis?? Tsk tsk. canuck education can't read, can't
> > > comprehend. BTW, isn't AYE AYE a naval term? Did Canada have a
navy??
> > > Must have had many major sea battles on the Great Lakes wow
> > >
> > > And I don;t drink any of the Canuck crud, so you're right, I wouldn't
> > > know how to spell Molson, as if it really mattered.
> > >
> > > Security Officer St.Denis..report to Aisle 5. Possible
> > > shoplifter.....bring your can of Dr Pepper spray.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > DX is a way of life to some
> > > A hobby to others
> > > an obsession to the rest
> > > 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> > > #1 Honor Roll (still)
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> DX is a way of life to some
> A hobby to others
> an obsession to the rest

```
> 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> #1 Honor Roll (still)




From -4794676661595059426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21a987588c039a95,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 15:58:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <986938437.3ad37c45b707e@webmail.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: no explanation
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <JcMA6.38907$Os.8340223@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 22:56:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 986943401 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001
15:56:41 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:56:41 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19105

n8wwm is the cause of all this shit between rec.radio.cb
Official name: ts004d04.tol-oh.concentric.net
IP address: 207.155.223.160



<GayDougy-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:986938437.3ad37c45b707e@webmail.cotse.com...
> Considering you yourself don't even use a real name I don't see you as
much better off
> --
> Rob St.Denis
> Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> /--------------------------------------------
> | Cell (613)296-0094 |
> | Email: fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> | Home Page: http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> \--------------------------------------------
> "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3ad3593b$1@news2.mibx.net...
>
```

>
> He has accused me of being him before too when I confronted n8wwm and had him TOSed. We are to believe what he says about someone who hasnt posted because someone who isnt real says so he is the only one I see here seems he always has twistedhed on his mind he is found of him you know. I had troble before with both of them. Leland hasnt explained his fuck-up either. As whys the MIBX addy in his slip and all the rest of punishas.
> Leland lies and so does n8wwm. They are comrades.
>
> The MIBX address was used in all of the forged addresses and pottymouth posts
>

From 6600526692819611444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21a987588c039a95
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 16:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <986938437.3ad37c45b707e@webmail.cotse.com> <JcMA6.38907$Os.8340223@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Subject: Re: no explanation
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <8fMA6.75177$XV.19016963@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 22:59:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986943556 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:59:16 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:59:16 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19106

Please note that this is not re.radio.cb

concentric.net hosts dialup access so his ip is useless without a date and time he logged on and posted ...

--

Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/---\
| Cell   (613)296-0094 |
| Email: fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page: http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
---/
"BadaBing" <badabing@home.com> wrote in message
news:JcMA6.38907$Os.8340223@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> n8wwm is the cause of all this shit between rec.radio.cb
> Official name: ts004d04.tol-oh.concentric.net
> IP address: 207.155.223.160
>
>
> <GayDougy-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
> news:986938437.3ad37c45b707e@webmail.cotse.com...
> > Considering you yourself don't even use a real name I don't see you as
> much better off
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> > /---
> > | Cell (613)296-0094 |
> > | Email: fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> > | Home Page: http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> > \---
> > "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3ad3593b$1@news2.mibx.net...
> >
> >
> > He has accused me of being him before too when I confronted n8wwm and
had
> him TOSed. We are to believe what he says about someone who hasnt posted
> because someone who isnt real says so he is the only one I see here seems
he
> always has twistedhed on his mind he is found of him you know. I had
troble
> before with both of them. Leland hasnt explained his fuck-up either. As
whys
> the MIBX addy in his slip and all the rest of punishas.
> > Leland lies and so does n8wwm. They are comrades.
> >
> > The MIBX address was used in all of the forged addresses and pottymouth
> posts
> >
>
>
>
>

From 3335412757045646663
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 16:02:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!osa.uu.net!sac.uu.net!news.juniper.net!not-for-mail
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Date: 10 Apr 2001 22:54:28 GMT
Organization: Juniper Networks, Inc.
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9b02v4$1gal$1@heap.juniper.net>
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3ad13024.169404201@news2.dvol.com> <3AD26B94.E19F2F22@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbj3zo0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: wawa.juniper.net
X-Trace: heap.juniper.net 986943268 49493 172.17.20.55 (10 Apr 2001 22:54:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@juniper.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Apr 2001 22:54:28 GMT
X-Newsreader: trn 4.0-test72 (19 April 1999)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19107

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
>On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 21:10:28 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:
>
>>> A $17k fine is a tad stiff for jaywalking isn't it?
>>
>>If you don't like that example, change it to spitting on the sidewalk
>>in Thailand - a beating with a bamboo pole.
>
> That's Singapore.

I doubt that spitting on the sidewalk would get you that punishment there either, stuff like that, or failing to flush a public toilet, or improperly disposing of your chewing gum, merits a fine at best. The famous caning was for graffiti on a public building, and I doubt if it was for the first offense at that. Of course all of this does make Singapore a rather pleasant, unscary, place to visit (if you are into places that remind one of suburban malls), with little danger that you'll get gum stuck to the bottom of your shoe. The regulations should be of little consequence to a visitor since it is always prudent to be on your very best behaviour when in other peoples' countries in any case.

In Thailand (which I like a lot) I doubt spitting on the sidewalk is illegal, in fact many things appear to be legal, or at least common, in Thailand which are illegal here except for maybe Nevada. I'm not sure about that, however, since it is always prudent to be on your very best behaviour when in other peoples' countries in any case.

Dennis Ferguson

From -4198915089359621741
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 16:08:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153d5bed47273b289896a9@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a6b2j$sq3$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net> <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d3288cbbb481c9896a7@news.earthlink.net>
<tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 101
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:04:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.112.238
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986943853 209.245.112.238 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:04:13 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:04:13 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:02:59 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19108

In article <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
tstdenis3160@home.com says...

> Gee I bet you are damn proud of those plastic propellers you had on that
> 100$ suit you wore day in day out flying people around in your fancy taxi
> there bub

It's a living and I got to travel and I got personal use of some mighty

fine machinery. Nothing like flying out to see Ohio State play in the
Rose Bowl and going in your own plane.

... any idiot with 35k can get a commercial pilots licence. Heck,
> since you believe I'm such a boob, I got my ppl with IFR, MER, NFR's when I
> was 18 ...

Oh really?? Funny how it wasn't listed on your website (P.S. you're
right, it does suck) All I found was this

Designing Radio Contreolled Aircraft

note the spelling of the words here....Canuck education at its finest.

As to any idiot..you got me. First I had to have the money and then the
training and then the flight time. AND THEN someone had to hire me, so
Mr. I am looking for employment in the security and protection field,
guess you won't be hitting a other planes in the near future. I am sure
the Royal Canadian Air Force is breathing easier.

>
> I got a degree in physics at Ottawa U after transferring there from Windsor
> U.

Wow!!!! A Physics degree in just 2 years and yet you want to be a COP??

Was that A BeeESS in Physics from the BillNye School of Mr Wizardry??
Wanna create some atom bombs?? Maybe we can put together some nuclear
subs out of pipe cleaners?

Funny, if you look up the work physic..you'll see it also refers to an
enema, which you must be in need of as you are so full of merde (shit to
those who don;t speak French)

I worked as a telecommunications technician for a short time (until I
> realised how boring it was to sit at a desk doing meaningless work in
> telecomms). I decided in january that forensics would be more interesting
> and a very adequate use of my physics knowledge. To do so I need to become
> a police officer first. So here I am, in school again and working as an
> officer.

AYE AYE Officer Tackleberry. Maybe you and Lt Nordberg can work the
waterfronts of Toronto.

>
> So again before you insult other people, mister plastic wings wearing cab

> driver guy, I'd consider where you stand in relation first.

Lemme see. Driving around in a Yugo with a revolving yellow light on the roof in some rich neighborhoods playing rent a cop at $5.50US an hour or flying some rich people around in multimillion dollar planes, both prop and jet, getting major bucks, getting lots of time off..remember, I'm on call but that doesn't mean I fly all the time, so I get paid if I'm in the air or not. I get personal use of a 10 passenger turbo at least once a month AND THEY PAY ALL. In addition, there maintenance crew looks after my "lowly" Cherokee Twin and all I pay is insurance and parking fees.

I get to retire at 55 and will get all the bennies (except the turbo) and will receive 85% off my final salary for life. HMMMM

Yep..go ahead tout that great rent a cop job. You stand tall girl. You hold those shoulders back, chest puffed out and remember

That's alright, that's OK
You'll be pumping gas someday

Please, no more..you're 1 upmanship is killing me.

Ta ta Security Officer St.Denis.....better answer that call coming in

"Dog crapping on lawn.....talk to owner's. Don;t forget you 10% Dr.Pepper spray and your inflatable plastic baton. Maybe your clown shoes and a funny red nose????>

HHHAAAAA you're killing me. No wonder...all the funny comedians come from Canada. It's a natural; thing

Later Rob

DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 6100482900493302825
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 16:22:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Message-ID: <MPG.153d5f404dee24819896aa@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org> <9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100>
<9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d3288cbbb481c9896a7@news.earthlink.net> <3ad3716a.49127318@news2.dvol.com>
<2vKA6.74906$XV.18804939@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 32
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:18:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.112.238
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986944718 209.245.112.238 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:18:38 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:18:38 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:17:24 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19109

In article <2vKA6.74906$XV.18804939@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, tstdenis3160@home.com says...

> I was infantry up until dec 99. I have been a telecomms technician working on a contract to contract basis since then. I started school again and was hired by securworks last wednesday ... hence the change in my sig
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.

OHHHHH MMMYYYY GOOOSSHHHH!~! Do you know who this is??? It's not Security Officer Rob St.Denis...IT'S MARK MORGAN!!!!

Holy shit..the witness protection program almost worked. Mark, you fooled all of us bud. So how the hell are you (no pun intended?)

Still into PAGAN and water sports are we. I see you've embellished on that bogus military career of yours.

Good to see you back dude. We missed you. Don;t be a stranger now err
or should that be any stranger now??

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

```
From -5349506762551162966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 16:24:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org> <9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100>
<9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d3288cbbb481c9896a7@news.earthlink.net>
<tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d5bed47273b289896a9@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 109
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <WAMA6.75269$XV.19065985@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:22:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
```

X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986944950 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:22:30 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:22:30 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19110

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.

```
/---------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\---------------------------------------------/
```

> It's a living and I got to travel and I got personal use of some mighty
> fine machinery. Nothing like flying out to see Ohio State play in the
> Rose Bowl and going in your own plane.

Personally I prefer to fly a Diamond Da-20 (katana) to any other aircraft ... oas out here rents em cheaper than a cesna 172

> Oh really?? Funny how it wasn't listed on your website (P.S. you're
> right, it does suck) All I found was this
> Designing Radio Contreolled Aircraft
>
> note the spelling of the words here....Canuck education at its finest.

The resume on the page is old ... not to mention it is not the one I use when I go for a job ...

> As to any idiot..you got me. First I had to have the money and then the
> training and then the flight time. AND THEN someone had to hire me, so
> Mr. I am looking for employment in the security and protection field,
> guess you won't be hitting a other planes in the near future. I am sure
> the Royal Canadian Air Force is breathing easier.

ANY college will train someone to be a commercial pilot if they have the cash ... ground school is a joke ... and flying a plane isn't the hardest thing in the world neither.

As for the RCAF ... there isn't any here in Ottawa ...

> Wow!!!! A Physics degree in just 2 years and yet you want to be a COP??

That is the minimum amount of time required to get a degree (30 credits). It's not honours ... but I wasn't interested in spending the extra year doing it. And as for being a cop ... Physics will help a great deal in forensics.

> Funny, if you look up the work physic..you'll see it also refers to an

> enema, which you must be in need of as you are so full of merde (shit to
> those who don;t speak French)

Spooky an american who realised that Canada's second language is french

> AYE AYE Officer Tackleberry. Maybe you and Lt Nordberg can work the
> waterfronts of Toronto.

You haven't the foggiest idea of how far Ottawa is from Toronto eh?

> Lemme see. Driving around in a Yugo with a revolving yellow light on the
> roof in some rich neighborhoods playing rent a cop at $5.50US an hour or
> flying some rich people around in multimillion dollar planes, both prop
> and jet, getting major bucks, getting lots of time off..remember, I'm on
> call but that doesn't mean I fly all the time, so I get paid if I'm in
> the air or not. I get personal use of a 10 passenger turbo at least once
> a month AND THEY PAY ALL. In addition, there maintenance crew looks
> after my "lowly" Cherokee Twin and all I pay is insurance and parking
> fees.

Well the car is a lumina, the light bar is from code3 (same as what the police use). And again this still beats the alternative ... Because for the most part ... no ... all of it ... working retail or other such service is horrid work ...

> I get to retire at 55 and will get all the bennies (except the turbo) and
> will receive 85% off my final salary for life. HMMMM

This job is temporary ... 2 yrs while I'm in school. After which time, seeing as how I am studying as a recruit I will be hired on to what will be a good career. And, I might add, not solely driven by the almighty buck that you americans are so fond of.

> Yep..go ahead tout that great rent a cop job. You stand tall girl. You
> hold those shoulders back, chest puffed out and remember
>
> That's alright, that's OK
> You'll be pumping gas someday

Please, if all else fails there is always that degree on my wall to back me up ...

> HHHAAAAA you're killing me. No wonder...all the funny comedians come
> from Canada. It's a natural; thing

There's a reason for that, americans are too busy "not" spying on any country they deem necessary, getting their harbours bombed by an out of date air force, having their federal buildings bombed by a crazy guy named tim, having universities bombed by another loon from the middle of nowhere, dealing crack to 10 year olds, beating your wives, and raping your kids.

>
> Later Rob

>
>
> DX is a way of life to some
> A hobby to others
> an obsession to the rest
> 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> #1 Honor Roll (still)

From 8360602108403499267
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21a987588c039a95
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 16:26:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <986938437.3ad37c45b707e@webmail.cotse.com>
<JcMA6.38907$Os.8340223@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<8fMA6.75177$XV.19016963@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: no explanation
Lines: 91
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <WBMA6.38932$Os.8356341@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:23:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 986945014 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001
16:23:34 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:23:34 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19111

ok here are one of his posts from rec.radio.cb, using the moniker of rec.radio.amatuer.policy, There are many of them, he complains of cbers ruining the band but yet he does the same and ruins a NG. Is this not hypocrytical. Perhaps Riley disapproves of this type of vigilantism. Is it an eye for an eye? Sounds like lots of inoccent people are affected.

<From: "In rec.radio.amateur.policy" <twistiefagsux@gay.com>
Sender: "In rec.radio.amateur.policy" <twistiefagsux@gay.com>
Reply-To: "In rec.radio.amateur.policy" <twistiefagsux@gay.com>
Subject: Text of twistiefaggot, better known as John Gyer's, whine ass post
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb

```
X-User-Info: 207.155.223.160 207.155.223.160
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad36ccb$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 10 Apr 2001 21:27:55 GMT
X-Trace: 10 Apr 2001 21:27:55 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 49
Path:
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com!newshub1.rdc2.pa.home.com!a-newshub1.rdc2.pa.home.com
!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!feed
west.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: newshub1.rdc2.pa.home.com rec.radio.cb:30197492

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:8fMA6.75177$XV.19016963@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> Please note that this is not re.radio.cb
>
> concentric.net hosts dialup access so his ip is useless without a date and
> time he logged on and posted ...
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> /----------------------------------------------\
> | Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
> | Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> \----------------------------------------------/
> "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com> wrote in message
> news:JcMA6.38907$Os.8340223@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> > n8wwm is the cause of all this shit between rec.radio.cb
> > Official name: ts004d04.tol-oh.concentric.net
> > IP address: 207.155.223.160
> >
> >
> > <GayDougy-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
> > news:986938437.3ad37c45b707e@webmail.cotse.com...
> > > Considering you yourself don't even use a real name I don't see you as
> > much better off
> > > --
> > > Rob St.Denis
> > > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> > > /---------------------------------------------
> > > | Cell (613)296-0094 |
> > > | Email: fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> > > | Home Page: http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> > > \---------------------------------------------
> > > "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> > news:3ad3593b$1@news2.mibx.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > He has accused me of being him before too when I confronted n8wwm and
> had
> > him TOSed. We are to believe what he says about someone who hasnt
posted
> > because someone who isnt real says so he is the only one I see here
seems
> he
> > always has twistedhed on his mind he is found of him you know. I had
> troble
> > before with both of them. Leland hasnt explained his fuck-up either. As
> whys
> > the MIBX addy in his slip and all the rest of punishas.
> > > Leland lies and so does n8wwm. They are comrades.
> > >
> > > The MIBX address was used in all of the forged addresses and
pottymouth
> > posts
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
```

```
From 5459600099527467595
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 16:29:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone1.ba-dsg.net!typhoon1.ba-
dsg.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD396CE.5F685017@bellatlantic.net>
From: David Reinhart <wa6ilt@bellatlantic.net>
Reply-To: wa6ilt@amsat.org
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en]C-CCK-MCD BA45DSL  (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 99
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:27:09 GMT
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.203.122.74
X-Complaints-To: newsadmin@bellatlantic.net
X-Trace: typhoon1.ba-dsg.net 986945229 151.203.122.74 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:27:09 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 19:27:09 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:14980 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19112 rec.radio.amateur.space:2484

How many hams out there (including the ARRL BOD) have ever stopped to think just *why* less that 25% of the hams licensed by the FCC belong to the ARRL? The Aircraft Owners and Pilots Assocation (AOPA) is in many ways a similar organization. It's members tend to be older, male, and have a certain amount of technical savvy; both groups face regulatory, legal, and economic pressures to regulate or eliminate their activities. It can be argued that it is in fact harder to become a pilot than a ham because the FAA actually requires people to demonstrate that they can fly while the FCC doesn't require any demonstration that you know how to operate! Certainly learning how to fly and being an active pilot (unless Uncle picks up the tab) can easily cost more than being a ham (though some hams do spend more on radios, towers, etc., than some pilots do for airplanes).

Despite the fact that becoming and remaining an active pilot takes more money and effort than becoming a ham, AOPA boasts a membership of *over 50%* of the active pilots in the US.

Why? I wish the heck I knew. Since I belong to both organizations my feeling is that AOPA really *listens* to its members and what they want. My experiece with the ARRL over the last 24 years has been that the Board decides what's good for ham radio and the rest of us had better let them run things. Good grief, the Amateur's Code makes it quite plain that disagreeing with the League constitutes disloyalty to ham radio!

Why is the average age of hams going up? Well, the population as a whole is getting older. We Baby Boomers are the largest population co-hort in the history of the planet and we're getting older. The average age of pilots is going up, too, but AOPA isn't advocating that standards be lowered as a means of bringing in the younger crowd.

The president of the AOPA, Phil Boyer, has dozens of "town meetings"all over the country every year with AOPA members. He always has other members of the top staff along that people can talk to. The AOPA open house in Maryland draws thousands of people and over a thousand airplanes for a *one day event*. Yes, there are vendors with goodies and airplanes to look at, but there's also the chance to meet the people who run the show. The New England Division director didn't even make it to the AMSAT Symposium in Portland, Maine this year.

AOPA *gives away an airplane every year*! We're not talking junk, either. Every plane is either new or refurbished down to the last rivet and loaded with goodies. The latest one is going to be worth over $200,000. Just pay your dues and you have a chance. If you bring more people into aviation, you get more chances. How many hams might pay dues to the ARRL just to have the chance of winning a dream station? Even a *really* fancy ham station is going to cost a lot less than two hundred grand! Oh, and AOPA dues are only $35/year.

Maybe aviators are more passionate about flying than hams are about radio. Maybe they still feel that there's something special about what they do. Maybe the organization is more responsive to the membership. On this last point, AOPA has a *much* broader range of "certified services" than does the ARRL. Credit card rebates, aircraft loans, other banking products, some niche insurance products, car rental discounts, etc. But honestly I feel the biggest difference is that the management doesn't believe they are the font of all aviation wisdom and they *listen* to the people who pay the bills.

Dave Reinhart, WA6ILT

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:

> j.b@integrity.net.com (Johny B. Good) wrote in <j.b@b_good.com>:
>
> >How much is the
> >League worth right now?
>
> I'd say a tornado going by 225 Main Street in Newington should be able to
> make at least $950,000 worth of improvements *minimum*.
>
> A lawsuit would be a very good thing for the ARRL at this time. It would
> reveal to the Board of Directors what they should be focused on and not the
> things they have been focused on of late. If you did sue them you might not
> even have to go all the way to court. You could drop the lawsuit if the
> League Board of Directors would admit and agree that:
>
> 1.) Restructuring was a mistake. Ham radio is dumbed down now.
>
> 2.) Restructuring isn't producing knowledgable operators.
>
> 3.) They were wrong in proposing their restructuring proposal.
>
> 4.) That their restructuring plan was only meant to increase membership
>   not improve ham radio.
>
> 5.) Their restructuring plan ignored the wishes of the membership.
>
> 6.) They made a mistake in not opposing the FCC restructuring plan.
>
> 7.) That they agree ham bands are now worse since April 15th 2000.
>
> 8.) That they will work to promote better licensing standards and exams.
>
> 9.) That they will stop acting like bunch blithering idiots.
>
> 10.) That they'll listen to their members and not ignore them.
>
> If the League would agree to these I might even rejoin and start supporting
> them again.
>
> 73 de KB7ADL

From 8559508840581338354
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 16:32:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100> <9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d3288cbbb481c9896a7@news.earthlink.net> <3ad3716a.49127318@news2.dvol.com>
<2vKA6.74906$XV.18804939@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d5f404dee24819896aa@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Lines: 51
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <5JMA6.75322$XV.19085048@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:31:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986945473 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:31:13 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:31:13 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19113

What the hell are you talking about ?

embellishing my career? hardly, I was a private and I left a private ...

--
Rob St.Denis

```
Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\----------------------------------------------/
"funguy@hotjuno.com" <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.153d5f404dee24819896aa@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <2vKA6.74906$XV.18804939@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> tstdenis3160@home.com says...
> > I was infantry up until dec 99.  I have been a telecomms technician
working
> > on a contract to contract basis since then.  I started school again and
was
> > hired by securworks last wednesday ... hence the change in my sig
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
>
> OHHHHH MMMYYYY GOOOSSHHHH!~! Do you know who this is??? It's not Security
> Officer Rob St.Denis...IT'S MARK MORGAN!!!!
>
> Holy shit..the witness protection program almost worked.  Mark, you
> fooled all of us bud.  So how the hell are you (no pun intended?)
>
> Still into PAGAN and water sports are we.  I see you've embellished on
> that bogus military career of yours.
>
> Good to see you back dude.  We missed you.  Don;t be a stranger now err
> or should that be any stranger now??
>
>
>
>
> --
> DX is a way of life to some
> A hobby to others
> an obsession to the rest
> 5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
> #1 Honor Roll (still)




From -5268781842149259134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,21a987588c039a95
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 16:33:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!64.152.100.70!
```

```
cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-
sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <986938437.3ad37c45b707e@webmail.cotse.com>
<JcMA6.38907$Os.8340223@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<8fMA6.75177$XV.19016963@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: no explanation
Lines: 72
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <aKMA6.38940$Os.8361301@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:32:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 986945542 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001
16:32:22 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:32:22 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19114

Check the cb NG His moniker is AntiKeyclown, twistietweaker, punisherof
keyclowns, and many others  he changes in an effort to evade Filters,
majority of his postd are from mbix.net, or cotse all anon  newsreaders but
he forgets sometimes and psots from concentric. For double security he also
uses Aol then goes to anon sites and posts. I give him credit he must be a
wealthy man because he posts at least 500 messages a week .
"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:8fMA6.75177$XV.19016963@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> Please note that this is not re.radio.cb
>
> concentric.net hosts dialup access so his ip is useless without a date and
> time he logged on and posted ...
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> /----------------------------------------------\
> | Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
> | Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> \----------------------------------------------/
> "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com> wrote in message
> news:JcMA6.38907$Os.8340223@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> > n8wwm is the cause of all this shit between rec.radio.cb
> > Official name: ts004d04.tol-oh.concentric.net
> > IP address: 207.155.223.160
> >
> >
> > <GayDougy-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
> > news:986938437.3ad37c45b707e@webmail.cotse.com...
> > > Considering you yourself don't even use a real name I don't see you as
> > much better off
> > > --
> > > Rob St.Denis
> > > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> > > /--------------------------------------------
> > > | Cell (613)296-0094 |
> > > | Email: fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> > > | Home Page: http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> > > \--------------------------------------------
> > > "Anti-Twistedhed Group" <AntiTwistedhedGroup@yahoo.com> wrote in
message
> > news:3ad3593b$1@news2.mibx.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > He has accused me of being him before too when I confronted n8wwm and
> had
> > him TOSed. We are to believe what he says about someone who hasnt
posted
> > because someone who isnt real says so he is the only one I see here
seems
> he
> > always has twistedhed on his mind he is found of him you know. I had
> troble
> > before with both of them. Leland hasnt explained his fuck-up either. As
> whys
> > the MIBX addy in his slip and all the rest of punishas.
> > > Leland lies and so does n8wwm. They are comrades.
> > >
> > > The MIBX address was used in all of the forged addresses and
pottymouth
> > posts
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
```

```
From -3094761387833244269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,11953b6e08cc118d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 16:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

Message-ID: <3AD39821.1A8F2C01@worldnet.att.net>
From: John Siegel <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: My Extra Class License is Just About Worthless Now - Thanks FCC
References: <3ACFC2DE.969D8E24@IEEE.org> <20010409211136.11434.00002688@nso-fi.aol.com> <3AD27734.96C3B8DC@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 29
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:34:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.79.240.209
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 986945656 12.79.240.209 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:34:16 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:34:16 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19115

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Post April 2000 radio amateurs with a General or Extra
> > class license are NOT as qualified as Pre- April 2000 hams of General,
> > Advanced, or Extra class.
>
> We all know you have to delude yourself into believing that to
> prop up your self-esteem, Larry, but the truth is all people holding
> the same license class are equally qualified for that license class.
> If they were not qualified, they wouldn't hold the license. The best
> thing you can say is that you are over qualified for your license
> class. That is as it should be for someone licensed as long as you.
>

While I hate to interrupt the endless argument you both love so both;
however
The above clips show a lack of logic. Just because an extra ham took
the exam after April 2000 says nothing at all about their qualification.
All it shows is what test they took. Neither of you have any idea
whether that ham barely made 5 WPM by guessing at the answers or could
sail along at 35 WPM+. Neither of you know if they passed with one
question to spare having memorized the question pool or could write a
textbook on radio communications.

Isn't it about time to bury this old rehash? I know I would rather
spend what little spare time I have on the air.
John

From 4643912946216231597

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 16:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153d643db9a0bf6d9896ab@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d308f805d60789896a6@news.earthlink.net>
<%OJA6.74860$XV.18743454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d55ddce4a576b9896a8@news.earthlink.net>
<I4MA6.75148$XV.18996177@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 159
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:39:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.112.238
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986945991 209.245.112.238 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:39:51 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:39:51 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:38:37 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19116

In article <I4MA6.75148$XV.18996177@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
tstdenis3160@home.com says...

> Once again ... proving the paranoid dilusions of most americans. "They are
> all out to get me". I swear there must be something about living on the
> other side of the border that makes you a schitzo.

It's knowing that at any time, the big bad Canadians will close off their borders and we won;t be able to get that great Molson Ice to with our Cuban cigars...oh my goodness heavens to betsy.

> >
> >
> > I hear that Officer Tackleberry's job is open. Maybe you could write Lt.
> > Drebbin and ask for a post on Police Squad. PATROLLING
> > NEIGHBORHOODS>>>RENT A COP. Inaffectual wanna-bees with no powers other
> > that their AUSTIN underwear. Please, you're killing me Security Officer
> > St.Denis ha ha ha ha
>
> Well it sure beats being an overweight overpaid taxi driver in the skies.
> And it sure beats the alternative I have while in school, being a cashier or
> who knows what.

Probably 10 pounds could be lost..as to overpaid..YOU BET YOUR SWEET ASS I WAS OVERPAID.

Leesssseeee

Salary...between 140 and 160k (annual and in US $$$, not that Canadian crap you use to wipe spills up with)

Annual Retirement pension of 85% so for you of the Canadian educational system that's between $114 and 136k annually..for not working. Plus I still get it if I decide to go back to work. Ya know Mark..err Rob (wink wink) I think a 2nd career as a Security Officer might be just the thing. $7 bucks an hour. Get to wave a big baton (obviously to you a great deal in light of your short comings..if you get my drift) Walk around ordering people around...HEY YOU THERE..GET OFF THAT LAWN. LEAVE THAT LAWN GNOME ALONE. Yes sir reybob..a Security officer that's for me.

Full health....maintained in my retirement for life

Full Maintenance on my personal plane. THAT'S FULL. That means annual inspections...oil, tune ups, and engine rebuilds HHHMMMM last year that came to $15kUS.

As of 2 years ago..plenty o'stock and this cosmetics company is making money..

I guess I'll have to hide my shame at being an overpaid taxi flyguy

HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA

>
> >
> >
> > >
> > > When we do static security, the most part of our work is just checking

> on
> > > the building (i.e. alarms, and thefts ... sure its dull but someone
> needs to
> > > do it)
> >
> > Careful..static discharges with the touch of a hand on some conductive
> > surface.
>
> Quite amusing ... I bet you had to check you taxi drivers (oops airline
> pilots) manual for the word static eh?

Lightening strikes are a hazard and we do have static eliminators
trailing off the wings.

>
> >
> >
> > >
> > > As for the beer comment, quite frankly alcohol is of no use to me. I am
> not
> > > a weak willed inDUHvidual (sorry S.A. for using your term but it fits)
> such
> > > as yourself who requires alcohol to dull his senses and escape reality.
> >
> > Then you are normally dull and outside the realm of reality??
>
> No I see no reason to be ...

what?? normal??? bright??? conscience? Human???

This is too easy.
>
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > > And my pepper spray is 10% o/c. My baton isn't plastic its a 21" asp
> > > expandable baton.
> >
> > OOhhhh how interesting. I can pick those up in NYC for $39.95 And it
> > expands...I'll bet your popular with all the other neighborhood security
> > officers huh
>
> We pretty much all carry them ... especially when you have to deal with some
> drunk who is being an ass ... couple quick snaps make him no longer a
> problem. And who cares about the price. I get it here for $45 canadian ..
> thats about $30us (since I can assume you can't multiply)

Gee, in your country that gets YOU arrested for assault. YOU the
security officer have no legal rights like a policeman to assault anyone.

According to YOUR laws, you may detain till legitimate authorities
arrive. You may not use force. Guess you didn't read that in your
official Police Squad coloring book. ANd you call me a putz scheeesss

>
> >
> >
> > > I don't carry a badge, no need to. That is typical
> > > american clout, after all a pfc should have a chest full of awards eh?
> >
> > PFC??? Petite Frigging Canuck???
>
> private first class you yutz

Your privates are first class?? Then why even bother with a expanding
baton?? Sorry...just too easy

I think you are PFG pretty frigging gullible

> >
> > We dun need no steenkin badges...we are SECURITY OFFICER St.Denis
>
> when someone is wearing a uniform and comes out of a car marked "securworks"
> for the most part people around here can put 2 and 2 together and determine
> we are security. So why wear a badge?

RENT A COPS in A RENT A CAR. Reminds me of the clowns in those little
cars t the circus.

I am sure you have all the people shaking and a quaking in their boots
there Mark.

HALT..IN THE NAME OF SECURWORKS.....STOP OR I'LL SLAP YOU WITH MY
$45CDN..$30US EXPANABLE BATON

OH BROTHER......

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 4459610944522108933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 16:44:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!grolier!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!

newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 18:42:51 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AD39A7B.5BE943A7@ix.netcom.com>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3AD346FF.6F51@bellsouth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a1.06
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 10 Apr 2001 23:42:51 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19117

My father went from nothing to advanced the same day at the
NYC FCC field office. So he never had a Novice.

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
> *IF* I read FCC's logic in this refusal, it seems that their refusal is
> based on the fact that IF a person held a General, Advanced, or Extra
> ticket in the past, then at some point PRIOR, that same person held
> a Novice ticket, right? So, to their thinking, there is nothing to
> correct. That is....if I see this issue correctly. The point is only
> 5WPM. So that person passed that to get a Novice ticket. If I am
> wrong, please correct.
>

From 4025416007203128262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 17:02:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

```
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d308f805d60789896a6@news.earthlink.net>
<%OJA6.74860$XV.18743454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d55ddce4a576b9896a8@news.earthlink.net>
<I4MA6.75148$XV.18996177@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d643db9a0bf6d9896ab@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 110
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <e9NA6.75420$XV.19160736@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:01:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986947274 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 17:01:14
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 17:01:14 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19118

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/-----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\-----------------------------------------------/
> It's knowing that at any time, the big bad Canadians will close off their
> borders and we won;t be able to get that great Molson Ice to with our
> Cuban cigars...oh my goodness  heavens to betsy.

What exactly is the point of making everyone feel threatened by you ?
Honestly ...

> Salary...between 140 and 160k (annual and in US $$$, not that Canadian
> crap you use to wipe spills up with)

my 1.09 buys a loaf of bread the same as yours does ... so sure in
international trade its pretty weak ... but I trade canadian only anyways

> Annual Retirement pension of 85%  so for you of the Canadian educational
> system  that's between $114 and 136k annually..for not working.  Plus I
> still get it if I decide to go back to work.  Ya know Mark..err Rob (wink
```

> wink) I think a 2nd career as a Security Officer might be just the thing. $7 bucks an hour. Get to wave a big baton (obviously to you a great deal in light of your short comings..if you get my drift) Walk around ordering people around...HEY YOU THERE..GET OFF THAT LAWN. LEAVE THAT LAWN GNOME ALONE. Yes sir reybob..a Security officer that's for me.

I never once said that the security thing is a good job ... it is simply better than the alternative ... and even at 14.50 an hour ... its better than the 26.09 I was getting on contracts ...

> Full health....maintained in my retirement for life

OHIP covers me ... and thats out of my taxes ...

> Full Maintenance on my personal plane. THAT'S FULL. That means annual inspections...oil, tune ups, and engine rebuilds HHHMMMM last year that came to $15kUS.

I rent ... much simpler around here. Especially since I don't fly too often ...

> As of 2 years ago..plenty o'stock and this cosmetics company is making money..

I jsut stick with the basics, acatel, nortel, mitel, cognos and cisco ... a wee bit in each just to play around ...

> I guess I'll have to hide my shame at being an overpaid taxi flyguy

Making money isn't everything ... just think that well ... when you retire you would have done nothing but cater to a bunch of rich guys ... you never helped a soul and all you did was feed your greed ... what a lovely person to be ...

> Lightening strikes are a hazard and we do have static eliminators trailing off the wings.

as I mentioned before ya most likely had to look it up

> what?? normal??? bright??? conscience? Human???

Be a drunken hill billy like 2/3's of the states

> Gee, in your country that gets YOU arrested for assault. YOU the security officer have no legal rights like a policeman to assault anyone. According to YOUR laws, you may detain till legitimate authorities arrive. You may not use force. Guess you didn't read that in your official Police Squad coloring book. ANd you call me a putz scheeesss

Actually if I beat someone with my baton it would be in self defence ... would be nice to use it on any vagrant but ahh well ... and just to let you know I have read the ccc .... all 810 pages of it ... I have the right to use force to stop someone from commiting an indictable offence as well as to

```
use force to detain someone who I have witnessed commiting one

> Your privates are first class?? Then why even bother with a expanding
> baton??  Sorry...just too easy
>
> I think you are PFG  pretty frigging gullible

right ....

> RENT A COPS in A RENT A CAR.  Reminds me of the clowns in those little
> cars t the circus.

you mean shriners ...

> I am sure you have all the people shaking and a quaking in their boots
> there Mark.

For the most part ... you dont need to do anything to get people to leave a
property or detain them ... people up here simply know when they are caught
... unlike the drunken hill billies you see on COPS every week who have the
dilusion they can get away

> HALT..IN THE NAME OF SECURWORKS.....STOP OR I'LL SLAP YOU WITH MY
> $45CDN..$30US EXPANABLE BATON
                                ^^^^^^^^^^^^ expandable you yutz

I have yet to use it ... and again ... we dont need to threaten people to
get them to do what we want them to






From -9170672514015712729
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 17:02:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153d68e495b563c39896ac@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d3288cbbb481c9896a7@news.earthlink.net>
<tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d5bed47273b289896a9@news.earthlink.net>
<WAMA6.75269$XV.19065985@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 98
Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:59:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.112.238
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 986947177 209.245.112.238 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:59:37 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:59:37 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 16:58:23 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19119

In article <WAMA6.75269$XV.19065985@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
tstdenis3160@home.com says...

>
> As for the RCAF ... there isn't any here in Ottawa ...
>
> > Wow!!!! A Physics degree in just 2 years and yet you want to be a COP??
>
> That is the minimum amount of time required to get a degree (30 credits).
> It's not honours ... but I wasn't interested in spending the extra year
> doing it. And as for being a cop ... Physics will help a great deal in
> forensics.

That's odd. Your website specifically stated it was HONORS or HONOURS.
Care to elaborate on the deception?

>
> > Funny, if you look up the work physic..you'll see it also refers to an
> > enema, which you must be in need of as you are so full of merde (shit to
> > those who don;t speak French)
>
> Spooky an american who realised that Canada's second language is french

What you guys try and speak isn't French..at least according to people
from Paris. But then again, people from Paris don;t even think the
French people speak French.

>
> > AYE AYE Officer Tackleberry. Maybe you and Lt Nordberg can work the
> > waterfronts of Toronto.
>
> You haven't the foggiest idea of how far Ottawa is from Toronto eh?

No I don;t, you hosser...eh??

>
> > Lemme see. Driving around in a Yugo with a revolving yellow light on the
> > roof in some rich neighborhoods playing rent a cop at $5.50US an hour or
> > flying some rich people around in multimillion dollar planes, both prop
> > and jet, getting major bucks, getting lots of time off..remember, I'm on
> > call but that doesn't mean I fly all the time, so I get paid if I'm in
> > the air or not. I get personal use of a 10 passenger turbo at least once
> > a month AND THEY PAY ALL. In addition, there maintenance crew looks
> > after my "lowly" Cherokee Twin and all I pay is insurance and parking
> > fees.
>
> Well the car is a lumina, the light bar is from code3 (same as what the
> police use). And again this still beats the alternative ... Because for the
> most part ... no ... all of it ... working retail or other such service is
> horrid work ...

That makes it soo much better. Less rust to hide the official SECURWORKS
logo

>
> > I get to retire at 55 and will get all the bennies (except the turbo) and
> > will receive 85% off my final salary for life. HMMMM
>
> This job is temporary ... 2 yrs while I'm in school. After which time,
> seeing as how I am studying as a recruit I will be hired on to what will be
> a good career. And, I might add, not solely driven by the almighty buck
> that you americans are so fond of.

It's a living. Someone has to do it. Guess you'll be working your way
up that social welfare ladder pretty quickly with that attitude.

>
> There's a reason for that, americans are too busy "not" spying on any
> country they deem necessary, getting their harbours bombed by an out of date
> air force, having their federal buildings bombed by a crazy guy named tim,
> having universities bombed by another loon from the middle of nowhere,

> dealing crack to 10 year olds, beating your wives, and raping your
kids.

OH my...oh my the US has been insulted by a Canadian. Let's bomb the
shit out of them and steal the CN Tower.

I wish I had a copy of that recording done by a Canadian about the US.
It was back in the 70's I think.

I'd have it laminated at stuck on Mark...Rob's Expandable baton.

Poor Mark...doesn't realize that 70% of the Canadian economy is US
driven. If it weren't for US Rob, you'd be stuck as a French
possession..diddling the moose for you kicks.

Stay up North there dude...they need you more than we ever could. Canada
can't have enough rent a cops with honors degree in physics who dream of
being RCMP.

From 7504733619463015311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,58bf36077af2e9a7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 17:06:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 00:03:28 GMT
References: <3AD34CDF.1F0C4F63@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Set your clock Cecil
Message-ID: <20010410200328.22716.00000972@nso-de.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19120

In article <3AD34CDF.1F0C4F63@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Hope you have a lot of wire, Cecil.
>
>You don't know my definition of "optimum" and I, indeed, do have almost
>1000ft of wire.

Works for me. 1000 feet of wire works out to about 28 radials 34 feet long
(allowing a few inches each for connections, etc.).

>What would you estimate the improvement will be when

>going from 4 to 8 radials?

Slight but noticeable. Most noticeable at low angles.
>
>> For a long time I had a quarter wave 20 meter vertical with the base 12
>feet
>> off the ground. Six quarter-wave radials. Worked really well - but it was
>no
>> better on 20 than my 80/40 trap inverted V with the center at 35 feet and
>the
>> ends at 12 feet. In ANY direction.
>
>Well, my dipole is not an inv-V and it is one wavelength on 40m. That is
>supposed to leave some severe holes in the radiation pattern.

IF your ground behaves the way the model assumes and IF there are no other
conductors getting into the act. Lot of IFs.

> I'm hoping
>that the vertical will be better than the dipole in some direction at
>some distance.
>
Me too - for all the blood sweat and tears you put into it. (Literally).

>> oh mama. Hope it wasn't your sendin'/shootin' hand.
>
>Actually, it is right where the grip safety fits on my Colt-45.

Dang.
>
>> Station is all back together here. Test whenever you're ready.
>
>Is 0100Z about right? I'll give you a call on 7038.

9 PM EDST works for me. I'll be there early.

>Can you copy
>10wpm? :-)
>
Sure - and do about a half dozen other things while doing so. I'll hook up the
straight key.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4980970055203778070
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-10 17:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!

```
usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!
news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d3288cbbb481c9896a7@news.earthlink.net>
<tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d5bed47273b289896a9@news.earthlink.net>
<WAMA6.75269$XV.19065985@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d68e495b563c39896ac@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 52
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <xhNA6.75448$XV.19182027@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:10:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 986947805 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 10 Apr 2001 17:10:05
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 10 Apr 2001 17:10:05 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19121

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\----------------------------------------------/
> That's odd.  Your website specifically stated it was HONORS or HONOURS.
> Care to elaborate on the deception?

It was an honours program ... I quit after my degree was reached ...
```

> What you guys try and speak isn't French..at least according to people
> from Paris. But then again, people from Paris don;t even think the
> French people speak French.

My french is parisian ... not that crap from QC

> No I don;t, you hosser...eh??

It's Hose head (get it right) and its bout 450km's

> That makes it soo much better. Less rust to hide the official SECURWORKS
> logo

It's free advertisement ...

> It's a living. Someone has to do it. Guess you'll be working your way
> up that social welfare ladder pretty quickly with that attitude.

Security are necessary (since cops are far more expensive) ... this job is hardly a living ... but it will give me enough cash for school, food and such ...

> OH my...oh my the US has been insulted by a Canadian. Let's bomb the
> shit out of them and steal the CN Tower.

You want that ugly thing ? go right ahead!

> Poor Mark...doesn't realize that 70% of the Canadian economy is US
> driven. If it weren't for US Rob, you'd be stuck as a French
> possession..diddling the moose for you kicks.
>
> Stay up North there dude...they need you more than we ever could. Canada
> can't have enough rent a cops with honors degree in physics who dream of
> being RCMP.

Who the heck is mark?

From -4823725852457240603
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 11:08:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 18:06:04 GMT

References: <3ad14f36.177357450@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Message-ID: <20010411140604.25414.00000902@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19172

In article <3ad14f36.177357450@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>>The major task of the FCC is regulating communications. It
>>would seem reasonable that the FCC should afford the citizen
>>the same timely notice of new regulatory change information
>>as a special interest group such as the ARRL.
>>
>Heeeeee! Ya gotta pay yer DUES Lenny!

The FCC does not charge "dues," senhor.

From -3665730819344862505
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 11:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 18:28:00 GMT
References: <20010411140603.25414.00000901@nso-cd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
Message-ID: <20010411142800.05416.00002334@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19173

>Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/11/01 2:06 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Poor, sensitive ex-marine. "Resorting to profanity" upsets him?

Only when done so by persons who insist on elevating thier own alleged professioanl stature, such as yourself. It really is embarrasing to see someone who can't express themself effectively without it.

>Poor baby. Soooo put-upon in private mail by anonymous postings.

Not put upon, Oh Gutless One...just dismayed that some male persons (I assume) haven't got the intestinal fortitude to BE a man...kinda like you.

>Now follow Hans' advice, little Steebie, "go soak your fat head
>in a bedpan!"

Right after you post a notarized delcaration of your intent to never again post, either directly or by proxy, to this or any other Amatuer Radio related newsgroup.

Geritol or Ex-lax, Lennie? I can never tell which one you are under the influence of on any given day.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 828346761022275445
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 11:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 18:30:11 GMT
References: <20010411140605.25414.00000903@nso-cd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010411143011.05416.00002335@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19174

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/11/01 2:06 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Not ignoring anything...only working with another's tabulations.
>If that is displeasing you, contact AH0A about his methods.

Lennie, you ahve been beating this horse for days now. Your math was flawed and your logic was anything but logical.

You really should try doing something constructive with your waning days, Lennie.

Statistics is obviously not one of your stronger traits.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 1810022451747885521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 11:42:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Apr 2001 18:40:34 GMT
References: <20010411140604.25414.00000902@nso-cd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Message-ID: <20010411144034.05416.00002338@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19175

>Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
>reaffirmed
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/11/01 2:06 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>The FCC does not charge "dues," senhor.

Sure they do. It's called an "examination".

So far, you haven't ponied up. Thank God.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From -2950766954884067551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c27812b11ef7ab40,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 11:46:06 PST
From: "Philip_Arnold" <parnold@3mreyn.net>
Sender: "Philip_Arnold" <parnold@3mreyn.net>
Reply-To: "Philip_Arnold" <parnold@3mreyn.net>
Subject: Re: Who said Freebanders were freeloaders?
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 205.188.192.42 205.188.192.42
References:  <3ad47e28$1@news2.mibx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad4a555$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 11 Apr 2001 19:41:25 GMT
X-Trace: 11 Apr 2001 19:41:25 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 18
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!grolier!
newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!newsfeed.freenet.de!nntp-cust.primenet.com!
news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19176


This guy needs to be busted by the FCC.

Philip


"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote:
>
>In an effort to gain some respect for this hobby, I wish to point out the
>enormous number of freeband clubs that are active today all over the world.
>American Eagle, Knight Patrol, etc. all these are legitimate radio hobbyist
>clubs with many serious members who have eschewed the restrictions of ham
>radio and freely chosen to apply their technical proficiency to the 27 Mhz
>band.
>
>RS1175
>FLA




From -8511480797060381440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 11:50:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
```

From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3AD34DEB.51B0D110@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <nG1B6.165$R5.674187@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 14:46:46 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 987014931 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 14:48:51 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 14:48:51 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15032
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19177

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

> > And if you ARE a member you still don't have a de facto voice. They can and do routinely ignore the bulk of the membership- those who differ with 'official' philosophy.

> How do they know what the bulk of their membership thinks? I'll bet 90% of ARRL members have never let their thoughts be known to the BOD. With so few members who ever actually make their thoughts known to the BOD, the BOD can rationalize almost any philosophy.

Tom Frenaye recently told me that I am among a handful of people that regularly gives him my input on various Amateur Radio matters. Without input, it is not even a question of rationalization; the Board does the best it can with less data than it wishes it has.

The BOD publish their names, addresses, telephone numbers and email address in QST and on the ARRL Web. They go to conventions, hamfests and club meetings and ask for input. That is the "official" philosophy that I see.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1159825104567825879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 12:00:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.boats.electronics
From: empathy97 <empathy97_member@newsranger.com>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com> <qwDA6.1717$NM5.63036@eagle.america.net>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <2Q1B6.3426$FY5.231728@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 18:59:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987015550 209.208.22.130 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 14:59:10 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 14:59:10 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19178 rec.boats.electronics:4021
```

In article <qwDA6.1717$NM5.63036@eagle.america.net>, Robbie K says...

```
>
>       Actually, it is one of the fastest growing hobbies that
>radio enthusiasts are discovering.   Boats respond to your
>calls quickly and it is possible to make many new friends.
>
>      AES and Radio Shack sell some really affordable marine
>radios too.  Judging from the growing number of people coming
>into this new hobby, there are probably thousands already
>having fun on the marine bands.
>
>   Listen for "Robbie 782, the juggler."
>
>Robbie
>
>
```

Thanks for the info. Never thought that marine bands are that exciting. Gonna jump in and joining the fun.

empathy.

From 4080212515641952343
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,330b9dd1edaf7014
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 12:04:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org> <9b0jme$ciu$1@208.207.71.134>
Subject: Re: 1.2 watt moonbounce
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <9T1B6.166$R5.674291@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 15:00:23 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 987015749 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 15:02:29 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 15:02:29 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19179

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9b0jme$ciu$1@208.207.71.134...

> W6RCecilA wrote:

> > W7LHL has reported moonbounce copy using 1.2 watts

> Using a 12 foot parabolic...... 37dB gain?

On 10 GHz, the 12-foot dish would have approximately 48 dBi of gain. Total loss on 10 GHz, pathloss and reflection loss, is about 288 dB.

Here are the particulars:

```
RESULTS
Frequency =  10000 MHz
Total transmit power =  1.2 watts
Total transmit power =  30.79181  dBm
Transmit antenna gain =  48 dBi
Receive antenna gain =  48 dBi
Distance to receiver =  720000 km
Free-space pathloss = -229.5966 db
Moon-bounce loss = -58.7 dB
Total loss (+- antenna gains) = -240.2966
Receive system Noise Figure =  6 dB (includes external noise)
Calculated receive system sensitivity in 500 Hz bandwidth = -141.0103 dBm
Received signal = -161.5048 dBm
Needed processing gain =  20.49454 dB
```

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3862797881960291397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 12:06:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.idt.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <3W1B6.167$R5.674288@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 15:03:30 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 987015935 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 15:05:35 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 15:05:35 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19180

Train <cbmods@optonline.net> wrote:

> Fact: hams should not be concerned at all about this issue. What goes on
> within 27-28 Mhz is none of their business.

Unfortunately, the same feeling of lawlessness that drives people to operate
between 27 and 28 MHz also drives them to operate about 28 MHz. I feel it
is very much my concern.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1253121677579953313
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 12:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.utk.edu!washdc3-snf1!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!cambridge1-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!news.iris.com!nnrp.iris.com!not-for-mail
From: wa6ilt@amsat.org
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 11 Apr 2001 19:31:59 GMT
Organization: Iris Associates
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9b2bff$248$1@localhost.localdomain>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblgbs4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: opal.iris.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
X-Trace: localhost.localdomain 987017519 2184 9.95.74.102 (11 Apr 2001 19:31:59
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@nnrp.iris.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Apr 2001 19:31:59 GMT
X-Newsreader: Lotus Notes Build V506_12112000  December 11, 2000
X-MIMETrack: Serialize by Notes Client on David Reinhart/Iris(Build V506_12112000
|December
 11, 2000) at 04/11/2001 15:31:38,
     Serialize complete at 04/11/2001 15:31:38
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15033 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19181 rec.radio.amateur.space:2490

The ARRL Board is virtually a closed society. The current New England Division director is on his "second time around" on the board. The first time he was elected was a number of years ago. He was the young go-getter who wanted to shake up the establishment and try to change things. His efforts were in vain. The "Old Boy" network of the BOD would have none of it. It would take a massive turn-over to have any effect on the BOD and the votes will never be there. Why? I happen to believe it's because many hams (me included) are tired of beating their heads against the castle wall.

In case you haven't noticed, the vast majority of hams don't even belong to the ARRL (and there will be one less this summer: me). Have you ever stopped to think about why? I opted out ot the League in the early seventies over the incentive licensing issue. I joined up again during the last solar cycle because I was working lots of DX and needed to use the outgoing QSL Buro...and that was the *only* reason. I'm not a "lurker", either. I've held offices in local radio clubs, done disaster work, handled traffic, run a major packet node, done contesting, DX'ing and OSCAR. But I don't like what ham radio has turned into and I place a large share of the blame squarely on the League's doorstep.

I've long felt that the League needs to have a professional public opinion firm do a major study of *all* hams, not just members, and one of the objectives should be to find out *why* more hams don't join the ARRL. I think the results would be interesting, to say the least.

Will somebody please point out to me one instance where experience has proven the BOD's vision of ham radio's future to be accurate? In that same vein, one of the things that bugs me no end about the League and it's leadership is that they will *never* admit a mistake. The incentive licensing program was a huge blow to ham radio at the time but I've never heard anybody say it was a bad idea.

Dave Reinhart, WA6ILT

From -7837233737736902001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 12:42:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 14:37:56 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com>

```
References: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun02-port40.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 987017786 4137 209.16.79.40 (11 Apr 2001 19:36:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Apr 2001 19:36:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone  (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19182

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 04/10/01 4:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >any idiot with 35k can get a commercial pilots licence
>
>      And some idiots can get one without being able to spell "license"
> correctly.
>
> "An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

Canadian/British Spelling lesson in order:

Centre = Center
Licence = License
Honour = Honor
Cheque = Check

Got the idea, just regional differences, but some folks should have to
apply for a permit to enter Canada and then be clearly labeled.

BTW, Most of these guys couldn't even find Possum Lodge much less use
Ductape in a constructive manner.

73 de Martin N5PZJ (Sometimes VE3 or 2)


From 3534258921487061672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 13:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <WAMA6.75269$XV.19065985@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <20010411081648.01584.00002953@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <DU2B6.81754$XV.20931474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:12:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987019939 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:12:19 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:12:19 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19183

```
--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/-----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                 (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\-----------------------------------------------/
```

"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010411081648.01584.00002953@ng-fo1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 04/10/01 7:22 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >ANY college will train someone to be a commercial pilot if they have the
> >cash ... ground school is a joke ... and flying a plane isn't the hardest
> >thing in the world neither.
>
>   Tell that to Al Haynes.

Who is Al Haynes?

>
> >This job is temporary ... 2 yrs while I'm in school. After which time,
> >seeing as how I am studying as a recruit I will be hired on to what will be
> >a good career. And, I might add, not solely driven by the almighty buck
> >that you americans are so fond of.
>
>   Yeah...financial security is SO overrated.

Who says you need to make 140k a year to be secure. When I was working on

contract I made about half that .. and I was in fine shape. Albeit this
security thing doesnt pay nearly as good it does have the luxury of offering
me part time employment and fairly easy shifts at which I pick dates and
times I am available

>
> >There's a reason for that, americans are too busy "not" spying on any
> >country they deem necessary, getting their harbours bombed by an out of
date
> >air force, having their federal buildings bombed by a crazy guy named
tim,
> >having universities bombed by another loon from the middle of nowhere,
> >dealing crack to 10 year olds, beating your wives, and raping your kids.
>
>   You are going to have to enlighten me as to that "out of date air
force"
> comment. The rest was pretty much as I would expect..

Man, check your history, pearl harbour, 1941/12/07 .. Japanese were out
dated by about 10 years at that point ...

>
>

```
From 8666958926636978017
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 13:14:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <FV2B6.81755$XV.20933251@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:13:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987020005 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:13:25
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:13:25 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
```

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19184

Licence is spelled with a c up here you yutz ... not to mention colour has a
u in it ... favourite has one too ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/-----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                 (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                         fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\-----------------------------------------------/
"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 04/10/01 4:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >any idiot with 35k can get a commercial pilots licence
>
>      And some idiots can get one without being able to spell "license"
> correctly.
>
>
> "An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
Time."
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html
>
>




From 6616901365292992510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 13:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com> <3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <TW2B6.81757$XV.20935007@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:14:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987020083 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:14:43
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:14:43 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19185

Nor can they use eh in a sentence

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\----------------------------------------------/
"Martin" <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
news:3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com...
> Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> >
> > >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> > >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> > >Date: 04/10/01 4:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >
> > >any idiot with 35k can get a commercial pilots licence
> >
> >      And some idiots can get one without being able to spell "license"
> > correctly.
> >
> > "An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
Time."
> >
> > http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html
>
> Canadian/British Spelling lesson in order:
>
> Centre = Center
> Licence = License
> Honour = Honor
> Cheque = Check
>
> Got the idea, just regional differences, but some folks should have to
> apply for a permit to enter Canada and then be clearly labeled.
>
> BTW, Most of these guys couldn't even find Possum Lodge much less use
> Ductape in a constructive manner.
```

```
>
> 73 de Martin N5PZJ (Sometimes VE3 or 2)




From -8703933144695904708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 13:16:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <MPG.153d5f404dee24819896aa@news.earthlink.net>
<20010411081008.01584.00002952@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Lines: 42
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <LX2B6.81758$XV.20935774@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:15:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987020139 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:15:39
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:15:39 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19186

I am va3qrp ... not some weird yank you used to torment

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/---------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\---------------------------------------------/
"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010411081008.01584.00002952@ng-fo1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
> >From: funguy@hotjuno.com Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com
> >Date: 04/10/01 7:18 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >OHHHHH MMMYYYY GOOOSSHHHH!~! Do you know who this is??? It's not Security
> >Officer Rob St.Denis...IT'S (explitive deleted!)!!!!
>
>      God save us.  Don't say that name out loud!  If we can "can" Lennie
the
> Liar and his anonymous friends "MegHz" and "W2DNE", the rest of the NG
might
> actually be tolerable and constructive.
>
>      Not by much, but it would CERTAINLY be better.
>
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> "An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
Time."
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html
>
>
```

```
From -8958398630774228006
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 13:18:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <MPG.153d5f404dee24819896aa@news.earthlink.net>
<20010411081008.01584.00002952@ng-fo1.aol.com> <9b1s4v$le$0@208.207.71.155>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Lines: 44
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <DY2B6.81759$XV.20937225@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:16:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987020195 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:16:35
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 13:16:35 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
```

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19187

At no great loss to me ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/-----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                      (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                              fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\-----------------------------------------------/
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9b1s4v$le$0@208.207.71.155...
>
>
> Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> >
> > >Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
> > >From: funguy@hotjuno.com Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com
> > >Date: 04/10/01 7:18 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >
> > >OHHHHH MMMYYYY GOOOSSHHHH!~! Do you know who this is??? It's not
Security
> > >Officer Rob St.Denis...IT'S (explitive deleted!)!!!!
> >
> >      God save us.  Don't say that name out loud!  If we can "can" Lennie
the
> > Liar and his anonymous friends "MegHz" and "W2DNE", the rest of the NG
might
> > actually be tolerable and constructive.
> >
> >      Not by much, but it would CERTAINLY be better.
> >
>
>
>  The very best thing you can do is to totally ignore him, and them. He
> did go away for a long time when everyone stopped responding to his
> drivel. I haven't read a psoting from him other than what someone else
> quoted in a few years. There is so little he ever said that was worth
> reading that absolutely no loss was involved. Henceforth the
> Physicist/Security Guard from the tundra gets the same treatment here,
> for the exact same reason.




From 4397255930458160685
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 13:32:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:30:29 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <td9fn5s34hto19@news.supernews.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<3AD1FE38.D477C0C5@IEEE.org>
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 13
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15034
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19188 rec.radio.amateur.space:2491 rec.radio.swap:28441

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in <3AD1FE38.D477C0C5@IEEE.org>:

>"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
>> A lawsuit would be a very good thing for the ARRL at this time.
>
>For what exactly could they be sued? Have they violated some law?
>Have they violated some written contract?

Thats true Cecil. While their by-laws and constitution say they will do
certain things to help ham radio. They didn't promise anyone they would do
them. That would probably be their defense.

KB7ADL


From 3240933125552284314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 13:42:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
```

```
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:41:42 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 28
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15035
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19189 rec.radio.amateur.space:2492 rec.radio.swap:28442

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>:

>
>
>
>
> "Edward Dowdy" <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote
>
>
>> > As you say, you're NOT a member. Therefore you have no voice in the
>> > ARRL.
>>
>
>
> And if you ARE a member you still don't have a de facto voice. They can
>and do routinely ignore the bulk of the membership- those who differ
>with 'official' philosophy.
>

This is true.  In all the years I was a League member I never imagined they
would do such a thing.  I would faithfully read my QST every month as a good
member should and never seen anything that said they were changing their
position on licensing requirements. In fact, every QST seemed to indicate
that the membership didn't want change.
Then one day, they hit us with the restructuring proposal.  That was a
sucker-punch if I ever felt one.

KB7ADL



From -2405836660116304567
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 13:48:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!uunet!dca.uu.net!
```

falcon.america.net!eagle.america.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Brian" <rapsody@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <674d12a2bc747a8f0c8f124983c7417b@anon.xg.nu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblhv55.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AD428E2.7B178518@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Distribution: x-no-archive: yes
Lines: 94
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Op3B6.159$5B2.3910@eagle.america.net>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 16:48:23 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.22.236.250
X-Trace: eagle.america.net 987022062 63.22.236.250 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 16:47:42 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 16:47:42 EDT
Organization: 24hoursupport.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19190

Commercial fishing vessels have been using the Aeronautical HF freqs. for years as "private" freqs. to avoid interference and toll charges. They've been doing it with impunity and still are to this day, a situation far more serious than what you describe here, as it could cause potential interference to airborne aircraft. Any day or any night one can tune across HF aero freqs. and hear dozens of fishing vessels chatting away. There was token enforcement when the FCC had monitoring stations open across the country. Those stations and the ex-military intelligence types who manned them are gone. ergo, enforcement is gone.
Use of aero HF freqs. by commercial fishing vessels continues and has even increased. There has been no enforcement for the last six years when the last monitoring station was closed and monitoring personnel fired. Pull your head out of your ass, wipe the brown off, and get a fucking reality check! You people spew your shit here like you know something. FUCK YOU!
Go kiss some fucking Washington bureaucrat's ass. That's the make believe world you better understand. PISS ON YOU!

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3AD428E2.7B178518@sccoast.net...
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > Look up the case of Arthur W. W. Brothers
> > who set up two of his land-locked "clients"
> > - two brothels in Nevada - with marine radios,
> > got them licenses as the vessels "Sherry" and
> > "Betty", and provided them with radiotelephone
> > service through his Lake Powell Marine Operator.
> >

> >   When all the dust settled, he lost every FCC
> > license that he had - his Marine Operator
> > services (a printing press for money in those
> > days) in several parts of the country, the
> > licenses for all the Mobile Operator and link
> > channels for the telephone company which he
> > owned, the license for his private plane, his
> > commercial operator license, and his ham radio
> > license. In the process he wound up "donating"
> > a goodly chunk of his cash to his lawyers.
> >
> >   Had he not been a licensee, he would have
> > been hit with a hefty fine and possibly jail
> > time in a criminal prosecution. [snip]
>
>
>   Thanks for defending the marine frequencies, Phil. Let me add a few
> details that might interest anyone else reading this thread (I'm sure
> you know all this, Phil, but others may not). The FCC is responsible
> for marine radio licensing and for all enforcement of regulations.
> However, when it comes to interference with navigation, or
> interference with distress communications, the United State Coast
> Guard (Department of Transportation) is the primary enforcement
> authority. And these situations are often dealt with in criminal
> court, not through fines or other similar methods.
>
>   Both the Coast Guard and Navy maintain a round the clock watch on
> all marine distress frequencies from over a hundred fixed stations
> around the country (in addition to water and air assets). Likewise,
> both the Coast Guard and Navy maintain a number of RDF facilities to
> monitor all marine frequencies (not just distress frequencies - all
> marine frequencies are secondary distress). This also includes RDF
> equipment that can be carried by hand or in a vehicle (CGAUXCOM
> personnel receive training in this).
>
>   The penalties associated with these laws are very severe. In fact,
> if the interference results in loss of property or life, the illegal
> operator can look forward to spending a significant portion of his
> remaining life in prison.
>
>   In certain situations, if the person is operating illegally,
> criminal prosecution can occur even if the person is not aware that he
> is interfering with navigation or distress communications (it is miles
> away and cannot be heard from his location). The premise behind this
> is that the interference, and therefore the resulting loss of property
> or life, was a direct result of the person's reckless disregard for
> proper operation and procedure (in these situations, the loss would
> not have occurred if proper operation and procedure was followed).
>
>   And, finally, I'll add one more point (since it is occasionally
> mentioned in these discussions). Marine communications is used
> throughout the country (lakes, rivers, etc), not just around coastal
> areas. Therefore, you're not free to use a marine radio just because

```
> you live miles from the ocean.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net




From -2338040437415695266
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 13:56:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:55:29 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <td9h61qhgeu228@news.supernews.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3AD34DEB.51B0D110@IEEE.org> <nG1B6.165$R5.674187@news.ntplx.net>
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 20
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15036
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19191

It's good that you both support corprate policy.


"Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in
<nG1B6.165$R5.674187@news.ntplx.net>:
>Tom Frenaye recently told me that I am among a handful of people that
>regularly gives him my input on various Amateur Radio matters.  Without
>input, it is not even a question of rationalization; the Board does the
>best it can with less data than it wishes it has.

And then they screw the membership anyway.

>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
```

>

```
From -3528089068021183876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 14:12:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!falcon.america.net!
eagle.america.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Brian" <rapsody@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <674d12a2bc747a8f0c8f124983c7417b@anon.xg.nu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblhv55.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AD428E2.7B178518@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Distribution: x-no-archive:  yes
Lines: 93
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <IL3B6.163$5B2.4076@eagle.america.net>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 17:11:45 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.22.236.250
X-Trace: eagle.america.net 987023464 63.22.236.250 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 17:11:04 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 17:11:04 EDT
Organization: 24hoursupport.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19192
```

I missed your stupid fucking assinine remarks about the Coast Guard and Navy the first time I read your rant.

Get real asswipe, the U.S. Coast Guard devotes nearly all its assets to stopping the flood of illegal drugs coming into this country. What time they have left, goes to rescuing drunken pleasure boaters.   Your fucking full of shit, if they do get involved in any "radio enforcement," it is a very high priority situation and not a common every day duty like you bullshit away here.   Navy? Get real you fuckhead.   Yes, the navy will assist if asked in a distress situation. The navy does not under any circumstances use their national intelligence assets to routinely monitor marine freqs. The navy rdf and intelligence assets are just for that, national intelligence collection and in support of fleet operations and not for the routine domestic shit you describe in your stupid rant.   Repeat, FUCK YOU, go spew your bullshit some where else!   Get a reality check and a life!

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message

news:3AD428E2.7B178518@sccoast.net...
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > Look up the case of Arthur W. W. Brothers
> > who set up two of his land-locked "clients"
> > - two brothels in Nevada - with marine radios,
> > got them licenses as the vessels "Sherry" and
> > "Betty", and provided them with radiotelephone
> > service through his Lake Powell Marine Operator.
> >
> >   When all the dust settled, he lost every FCC
> > license that he had - his Marine Operator
> > services (a printing press for money in those
> > days) in several parts of the country, the
> > licenses for all the Mobile Operator and link
> > channels for the telephone company which he
> > owned, the license for his private plane, his
> > commercial operator license, and his ham radio
> > license. In the process he wound up "donating"
> > a goodly chunk of his cash to his lawyers.
> >
> >   Had he not been a licensee, he would have
> > been hit with a hefty fine and possibly jail
> > time in a criminal prosecution. [snip]
>
>
>   Thanks for defending the marine frequencies, Phil. Let me add a few
> details that might interest anyone else reading this thread (I'm sure
> you know all this, Phil, but others may not). The FCC is responsible
> for marine radio licensing and for all enforcement of regulations.
> However, when it comes to interference with navigation, or
> interference with distress communications, the United State Coast
> Guard (Department of Transportation) is the primary enforcement
> authority. And these situations are often dealt with in criminal
> court, not through fines or other similar methods.
>
>   Both the Coast Guard and Navy maintain a round the clock watch on
> all marine distress frequencies from over a hundred fixed stations
> around the country (in addition to water and air assets). Likewise,
> both the Coast Guard and Navy maintain a number of RDF facilities to
> monitor all marine frequencies (not just distress frequencies - all
> marine frequencies are secondary distress). This also includes RDF
> equipment that can be carried by hand or in a vehicle (CGAUXCOM
> personnel receive training in this).
>
>   The penalties associated with these laws are very severe. In fact,
> if the interference results in loss of property or life, the illegal
> operator can look forward to spending a significant portion of his
> remaining life in prison.
>
>   In certain situations, if the person is operating illegally,
> criminal prosecution can occur even if the person is not aware that he
> is interfering with navigation or distress communications (it is miles

> away and cannot be heard from his location). The premise behind this
> is that the interference, and therefore the resulting loss of property
> or life, was a direct result of the person's reckless disregard for
> proper operation and procedure (in these situations, the loss would
> not have occurred if proper operation and procedure was followed).
>
>   And, finally, I'll add one more point (since it is occasionally
> mentioned in these discussions). Marine communications is used
> throughout the country (lakes, rivers, etc), not just around coastal
> areas. Therefore, you're not free to use a marine radio just because
> you live miles from the ocean.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2591439420892461976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 14:12:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 21:11:53 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <td9i4phd8l76bd@news.supernews.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com> <3ad236dc$0$12824$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 16
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19193

"Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in
<3ad236dc$0$12824$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>:

>
>Are sure they would let you join?
>
>Larry   KC8EPO
>
>
>

Sure they'd let me rejoin.... they're money hungry. They don't care about
the quality of the member, or lack of quality. You only have to pay your

dues and be willing to let them screw you.

KB7ADL

```
From -906380928290791492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 14:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 16:33:12 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <3AD4CD98.1E19@mobiletel.com>
References: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com> <3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com>
<TW2B6.81757$XV.20935007@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun10-port199.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 987024701 6034 206.251.171.199 (11 Apr 2001 21:31:41
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Apr 2001 21:31:41 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone  (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19194
```

Rob St.Denis wrote:

```
>
> Nor can they use eh in a sentence
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> /----------------------------------------------\
> | Cell                                 (613)296-0094 |
> | Email:                            fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> \----------------------------------------------/
> "Martin" <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
> news:3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com...
> > Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> > >
> > > >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> > > >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> > > >Date: 04/10/01 4:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> > >
> > > >any idiot with 35k can get a commercial pilots licence
> > >
> > >      And some idiots can get one without being able to spell "license"
> > > correctly.
> > >
> > > "An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
> Time."
> > >
> > > http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html
> >
> > Canadian/British Spelling lesson in order:
> >
> > Centre = Center
> > Licence = License
> > Honour = Honor
> > Cheque = Check
> >
> > Got the idea, just regional differences, but some folks should have to
> > apply for a permit to enter Canada and then be clearly labeled.
> >
> > BTW, Most of these guys couldn't even find Possum Lodge much less use
> > Ductape in a constructive manner.
> >
> > 73 de Martin N5PZJ (Sometimes VE3 or 2)
```

Don't be so sure, I have heard, and I QUOTE, "EHH, don't work work good
does it?"
but then it isn't proper English.

Catch me on the Toronto Repeaters in the Summer, eh!

I am DX in the winter, eh!

73 de Martin N5PZJ

```
From -2825542198369310711
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 14:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com> <3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com>
<TW2B6.81757$XV.20935007@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AD4CD98.1E19@mobiletel.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
```

```
Lines: 73
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <aa4B6.82126$XV.21063928@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 21:39:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987025158 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 14:39:18
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 14:39:18 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19195

TO is too far from here for 2m ... but I suppose I could link em up

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                 (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                            fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\----------------------------------------------/
"Martin" <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
news:3AD4CD98.1E19@mobiletel.com...
> Rob St.Denis wrote:
> >
> > Nor can they use eh in a sentence
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> > /----------------------------------------------\
> > | Cell                                 (613)296-0094 |
> > | Email:                            fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> > | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> > \----------------------------------------------/
> > "Martin" <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
> > news:3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com...
> > > Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> > > > >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> > > > >Date: 04/10/01 4:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> > > >
> > > > >any idiot with 35k can get a commercial pilots licence
> > > >
> > > >      And some idiots can get one without being able to spell
"license"
```

```
> > > > correctly.
> > > >
> > > > "An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In
Any
> > Time."
> > > >
> > > > http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html
> > >
> > > Canadian/British Spelling lesson in order:
> > >
> > > Centre = Center
> > > Licence = License
> > > Honour = Honor
> > > Cheque = Check
> > >
> > > Got the idea, just regional differences, but some folks should have to
> > > apply for a permit to enter Canada and then be clearly labeled.
> > >
> > > BTW, Most of these guys couldn't even find Possum Lodge much less use
> > > Ductape in a constructive manner.
> > >
> > > 73 de Martin N5PZJ (Sometimes VE3 or 2)
>
>
> Don't be so sure,  I have heard, and I QUOTE, "EHH, don't work work good
> does it?"
> but then it isn't proper English.
>
> Catch me on the Toronto Repeaters in the Summer, eh!
>
> I am DX in the winter, eh!
>
> 73 de Martin N5PZJ




From 2802902599787859440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 14:42:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AD4CF23.1A00B10@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 16:39:47 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com> <3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.74
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987024816
64.240.47.74 (11 Apr 2001 16:33:36 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19196
```

Martin wrote:

> BTW, Most of these guys couldn't even find Possum Lodge much less use
> Ductape in a constructive manner.

My Dad says he quit school when they tried to tell him that possum
starts with an 'O' and tater starts with a 'P'.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2343867497779836937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 14:48:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AD4D08A.39BE8780@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 16:45:46 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
```

```
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<3AD34DEB.51B0D110@IEEE.org> <nG1B6.165$R5.674187@news.ntplx.net>
<td9h61qhgeu228@news.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.74
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987025176
64.240.47.74 (11 Apr 2001 16:39:36 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!xfer13.netnews.com!netnews.com!
out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15037
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19197

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:

> "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:
> >Tom Frenaye recently told me that I am among a handful of people that
> >regularly gives him my input on various Amateur Radio matters.  Without
> >input, it is not even a question of rationalization; the Board does the
> >best it can with less data than it wishes it has.
>
> And then they screw the membership anyway.

Exactly what percentage of the membership lets the ARRL know that they
feel that they have been screwed? You and three others? How would you
feel if you found out that 99% of the ARRL membership agrees with the
ARRL positions?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 715627695958939084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 14:50:07 PST
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 16:48:06 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 73
Message-ID: <3AD4D116.32DB@mobiletel.com>
References: <WAMA6.75269$XV.19065985@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411081648.01584.00002953@ng-fo1.aol.com>
<DU2B6.81754$XV.20931474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun10-port199.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 987025596 6516 206.251.171.199 (11 Apr 2001 21:46:36
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Apr 2001 21:46:36 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone  (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19198

Rob St.Denis wrote:
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> /-----------------------------------------------\
> | Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
> | Email:                              fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> \-----------------------------------------------/
> "Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010411081648.01584.00002953@ng-fo1.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> > >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> > >Date: 04/10/01 7:22 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >
> > >ANY college will train someone to be a commercial pilot if they have the
> > >cash ... ground school is a joke ... and flying a plane isn't the hardest
> > >thing in the world neither.
> >
> >      Tell that to Al Haynes.
>
> Who is Al Haynes?
>
> >
> >  >This job is temporary ... 2 yrs while I'm in school.  After which time,
> > >seeing as how I am studying as a recruit I will be hired on to what will
> be
> > >a good career.  And, I might add, not solely driven by the almighty buck
> > >that you americans are so fond of.
> >
> >      Yeah...financial security is SO overrated.
>
> Who says you need to make 140k a year to be secure.  When I was working on
> contract I made about half that .. and I was in fine shape.  Albeit this
> security thing doesnt pay nearly as good it does have the luxury of offering
> me part time employment and fairly easy shifts at which I pick dates and
> times I am available
>
> >
> > >There's a reason for that, americans are too busy "not" spying on any
> > >country they deem necessary, getting their harbours bombed by an out of
> date
> > >air force, having their federal buildings bombed by a crazy guy named
> tim,
> > >having universities bombed by another loon from the middle of nowhere,
> > >dealing crack to 10 year olds, beating your wives, and raping your kids.
> >
> >      You are going to have to enlighten me as to that "out of date air
> force"
> > comment.  The rest was pretty much as I would expect..
>
> Man, check your history, pearl harbour, 1941/12/07 .. Japanese were out
> dated by about 10 years at that point ...
>
> >
> >
Yanks, Brits and Canucks were the ones outta date on that one.  My Uncle
was
in North Africa on 7 dec with CF eating Lend Lease Beans, using a WWI
rifle,
and being supplied by Lend Lease Floating Stock.  We lucked out on that
one,
Canada supplied the free fighting world for over 18 months waiting on
the
US to join (Individually, many fine yanks fought and died under the
Canadian Ensign).

Jap Zeros were the best planes made, if more had been produced,
hell, we be taking Japanese and German Language lessons right now.

73 de Martin


From -7748427132733024043
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3976b6d1be3c77d1
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 14:52:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: helmsman <>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Solar Flare alert
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 17:50:57 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <09k9dt4rk2llfio6blikoaui4pjvqi9p4p@4ax.com>
References: <NfQw6.8495$aP5.735090@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3207dtk7m5akheq63bu9bmjj52drl5tqf8@4ax.com>
<k%NA6.1036$_i2.509672@news1.iquest.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.d0.31
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 11 Apr 2001 21:50:58 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:2298 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15038
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19199

On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:58:56 GMT, alice@kramden.moon (Alice) wrote:

>Umm, yeah.  Sort of makes you not want to go back after you get out, doesn't
>it?  The sign over the front door says "Jail", not "Holiday Inn".

The point is that these people have NOT BEEN TO TRIAL,
NOT BEEN CONVICTED and in most cases NOT CHARGED!
This is a holding cell, THESE PEOPLE ARE GUILTY OF NOTHING!!
This is outrageous.


.
Shame on ARIZONA,USA
Be aware these people are NOT convicted of anything.
http://www.geocities.com/novapunkca/
If you don't like it tell the Governor.
http://www.governor.state.az.us/feedback.html



From -5530541248324150767
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 14:52:24 PST
```

Message-ID: <3AD4D1BC.6F26D3F@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 16:50:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.74
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987025483
64.240.47.74 (11 Apr 2001 16:44:43 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!grolier!
dispose.news.demon.net!demon!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15039
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19200 rec.radio.amateur.space:2493 rec.radio.swap:28448

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> Then one day, they hit us with the restructuring proposal. That was a
> sucker-punch if I ever felt one.

How do you know the ARRL proposal wasn't the majority opinion
of the ARRL membership? You are about 0.0005% of the ARRL membership.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From -7471787326238266067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 15:02:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <WAMA6.75269$XV.19065985@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411081648.01584.00002953@ng-fo1.aol.com>
<DU2B6.81754$XV.20931474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AD4D116.32DB@mobiletel.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 98
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Su4B6.82203$XV.21103434@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 22:01:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987026482 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 15:01:22
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 15:01:22 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19201

The lee enfield my grand dad was carrying was new ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\----------------------------------------------/
"Martin" <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
news:3AD4D116.32DB@mobiletel.com...
> Rob St.Denis wrote:
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> > /----------------------------------------------\
> > | Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
> > | Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> > | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> > \----------------------------------------------/
> > "Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
```

> > news:20010411081648.01584.00002953@ng-fo1.aol.com...
> > > >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> > > >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> > > >Date: 04/10/01 7:22 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> > >
> > > >ANY college will train someone to be a commercial pilot if they have
the
> > > >cash ... ground school is a joke ... and flying a plane isn't the
hardest
> > > >thing in the world neither.
> > >
> > >   Tell that to Al Haynes.
> >
> > Who is Al Haynes?
> >
> > >
> > > >This job is temporary ... 2 yrs while I'm in school. After which
time,
> > > >seeing as how I am studying as a recruit I will be hired on to what
will
> > be
> > > >a good career. And, I might add, not solely driven by the almighty
buck
> > > >that you americans are so fond of.
> > >
> > >   Yeah...financial security is SO overrated.
> >
> > Who says you need to make 140k a year to be secure. When I was working
on
> > contract I made about half that .. and I was in fine shape. Albeit this
> > security thing doesnt pay nearly as good it does have the luxury of
offering
> > me part time employment and fairly easy shifts at which I pick dates and
> > times I am available
> >
> > >
> > > >There's a reason for that, americans are too busy "not" spying on any
> > > >country they deem necessary, getting their harbours bombed by an out
of
> > date
> > > >air force, having their federal buildings bombed by a crazy guy named
> > tim,
> > > >having universities bombed by another loon from the middle of
nowhere,
> > > >dealing crack to 10 year olds, beating your wives, and raping your
kids.
> > >
> > >   You are going to have to enlighten me as to that "out of date air
> > force"
> > > comment. The rest was pretty much as I would expect..
> >
> > Man, check your history, pearl harbour, 1941/12/07 .. Japanese were out
> > dated by about 10 years at that point ...

```
> >
> > >
> > >
> Yanks, Brits and Canucks were the ones outta date on that one.  My Uncle
> was
> in North Africa on 7 dec with CF eating Lend Lease Beans, using a WWI
> rifle,
> and being supplied by Lend Lease Floating Stock.  We lucked out on that
> one,
> Canada supplied the free fighting world for over 18 months waiting on
> the
> US to join (Individually, many fine yanks fought and died under the
> Canadian Ensign).
>
> Jap Zeros were the best planes made, if more had been produced,
> hell, we be taking Japanese and German Language lessons right now.
>
> 73 de Martin
```

```
From 264701800953846254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 15:16:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AD4D73F.5901E741@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 17:14:23 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <WAMA6.75269$XV.19065985@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411081648.01584.00002953@ng-fo1.aol.com>
<DU2B6.81754$XV.20931474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AD4D116.32DB@mobiletel.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.74
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987026893
64.240.47.74 (11 Apr 2001 17:08:13 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19202

Martin wrote:

> Jap Zeros were the best planes made, ...

They were no match for the F14 in that Kirk Douglas movie. :-)

Actually, the Flying Tigers kill ratio was much better than 1:1. I can't remember exactly what it was, but it was rather impressive. It ranks right up there with The Alamo where 150 or so Texans crippled the Mexican army so badly that Santa Ana had no chance at the later Battle of San Jacinto.

"Best" is a relative term. The Flying Tigers were faster so they just strafed the Zeros, knocking some down, and simply outran the rest. A handful of US civilian pilots essentially stymied the Japanese invasion of China before the US entered WWII. The Zero pilots avoided any Chinese city protected by the Flying Tigers.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8227485233546031374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57c6d668df9c3e2f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 16:12:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD4E45C.54CB@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: TWISTEDHED DEFEATED, RUNS, HIDES IN NEW NEWSGROUP!!
References: <3ad3593b$1@news2.mibx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 19:10:20 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.84

X-Trace: news1.atl 987030597 209.214.185.84 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 19:09:57 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 19:09:57 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19203

See Below!

Anti-Twistedhed Group wrote:

>
> For everyone's entertainment, let's review the sequence of events:

> 4. Unfortunate saps like Richard and Jerry O. believed Twistedhed

Now, just a minute, doofus! First of all, I don't even CARE about all this childish bickering. I have not posted anything other than my own objections to the infantile rantings. I don't care who's doing what. And I have better things to do than try to sort it out. The whole diatribe is pointless.

J

From 8566989176243784777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,212731b001cd58c5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 16:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!RENT.THIS.SPACE.FOR.ADVERTISING!news.tele.dk!148.122.208.68!news2.oke.nextra.no!nextra.com!news1.oke.nextra.no.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: la8ak@online.no (J M Noeding)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Stop by - www.ab7sl.com -

Organization: LA8AK
Reply-To: LA8AK@Online.NO
Message-ID: <3ad4e768.633589480@news.online.no>
References: <j3GA6.4515$Ak1.459603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 8
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 23:24:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 130.67.124.1
X-Complaints-To: news-abuse@nextra.no
X-Trace: news1.oke.nextra.no 987031465 130.67.124.1 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 01:24:25 MET DST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 01:24:25 MET DST
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20942 rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8030 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2299 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11907 rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5041 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15048 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19204

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:57:03 GMT, "Charles Johnston"
<ctj92@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Lots of STUFF to do at www.ab7sl.com such as...
>
and what about MPD-5C antenna on the WARC-bands?

-JM

From 1683695317727446857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 16:28:05 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 23:26:55 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad4e052.143062163@news2.dvol.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com> <3AD396CE.5F685017@bellatlantic.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.29
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.29
X-Trace: 11 Apr 2001 18:23:58 -0500, 64.24.18.29
Lines: 32
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-

```
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15049
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19205 rec.radio.amateur.space:2494

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:27:09 GMT, David Reinhart
<wa6ilt@bellatlantic.net> wrote:
>
>AOPA has a *much* broader range of "certified services" than does the ARRL.
>Credit card rebates, aircraft loans, other banking products, some niche
>insurance products, car rental discounts, etc.  But honestly I feel the biggest
>difference is that the management doesn't believe they are the font of all
>aviation wisdom and they *listen* to the people who pay the bills.
>
As a former flyer and AOPA member I agree with your basic sentiments.
But there are some very basic differences between the membership of
AOPA and the U.S. ham population and it's inclination to join the
ARRL. Right off the top a large percenatage of members of AOPA are
professional aviators of one flavor or another which is a no-counter
wrt the League. Why would a ham join ARRL to glom the AOPA car rental
discounts?? (the League does have a Visa card "deal". Zzzz.)

Nor can some pimply noclue nitwit truck on down to his local Radio
Slack and pick up a Skyhawk for $149.95 and put it  "on the air" and
become an instant "ham bands pilot" after only checking a few boxes in
a lame multiple guess written ham exams. We should live long enough to
see one of them pass a "checkride" on the ham bands with an FCC
"examiner" like pilots do with the FAA counterparts in their spheres
of operations.
>
You've brought up some valid analogies but some of them don't fly.
(Thank God!).
>
>
>Dave Reinhart, WA6ILT
>
w3rv


From 8135964365419491275
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 16:30:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.corecomm.net!reader0-
nwblwi.news.corecomm.net!not-for-mail
```

Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 18:27:49 -0500
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com> <3AD4D1BC.6F26D3F@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3ad4e863$0$14447$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Organization: CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL
NNTP-Posting-Host: 98669278.news.corecomm.net
X-Trace: DXC=>FTG?UdE4J2L:]83Yo7ZD;2BE>7J@WeM<bo1K;GlJd>;dAcKco4nOk:GVfFf1U8::?
L^:NkYe\2V9I`[gSD__bX=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corecomm.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15050
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19206 rec.radio.amateur.space:2495 rec.radio.swap:28455

You know. This thread reminds me of the early days of computers. QST was
adamit about not featuring any articles about computers because they
don't have anything to do with amateur radio. Guess what? It seems that
every other article is about or has something to do with computers. The
same situation exhists with restructuring. So what...

Dave Nagel, WD9BDZ

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:
> > Then one day, they hit us with the restructuring proposal. That was a
> > sucker-punch if I ever felt one.
>
> How do you know the ARRL proposal wasn't the majority opinion
> of the ARRL membership? You are about 0.0005% of the ARRL membership.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8300335146693812484
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 16:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 18:33:10 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <9b2pih$rjn$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblgbs4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<9b2bff$248$1@localhost.localdomain>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.8b.bb
X-Server-Date: 11 Apr 2001 23:32:33 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15051
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19207 rec.radio.amateur.space:2496

Don't ya'll just love these jerks? You know the ones that bitch about the
League and are not even a member. What a bunch of goofs.

Dan/W4NTI

<wa6ilt@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:9b2bff$248$1@localhost.localdomain...
> The ARRL Board is virtually a closed society. The current New England
> Division director is on his "second time around" on the board. The first
> time he was elected was a number of years ago. He was the young go-getter
> who wanted to shake up the establishment and try to change things. His
> efforts were in vain. The "Old Boy" network of the BOD would have none of
> it. It would take a massive turn-over to have any effect on the BOD and
> the votes will never be there. Why? I happen to believe it's because
> many hams (me included) are tired of beating their heads against the
> castle wall.
>
> In case you haven't noticed, the vast majority of hams don't even belong
> to the ARRL (and there will be one less this summer: me). Have you ever
> stopped to think about why? I opted out ot the League in the early
> seventies over the incentive licensing issue. I joined up again during
> the last solar cycle because I was working lots of DX and needed to use
> the outgoing QSL Buro...and that was the *only* reason. I'm not a

> "lurker", either. I've held offices in local radio clubs, done disaster
> work, handled traffic, run a major packet node, done contesting, DX'ing
> and OSCAR. But I don't like what ham radio has turned into and I place a
> large share of the blame squarely on the League's doorstep.
>
> I've long felt that the League needs to have a professional public opinion
> firm do a major study of *all* hams, not just members, and one of the
> objectives should be to find out *why* more hams don't join the ARRL. I
> think the results would be interesting, to say the least.
>
> Will somebody please point out to me one instance where experience has
> proven the BOD's vision of ham radio's future to be accurate? In that
> same vein, one of the things that bugs me no end about the League and it's
> leadership is that they will *never* admit a mistake. The incentive
> licensing program was a huge blow to ham radio at the time but I've never
> heard anybody say it was a bad idea.
>
> Dave Reinhart, WA6ILT

From -8494226839321552637
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 16:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 18:35:16 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9b2pmf$3kt$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.8b.bb
X-Server-Date: 11 Apr 2001 23:34:39 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15052
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19208 rec.radio.amateur.space:2497 rec.radio.swap:28458

You think that was bad...you shuda seen it when 'incentive licensing' was
dumped on us...back around 68 or so.

Dan/W4NTI

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>:
>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "Edward Dowdy" <elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote
> >
> >
> >> > As you say, you're NOT a member. Therefore you have no voice in the
> >> > ARRL.
> >>
> >
> >
> > And if you ARE a member you still don't have a de facto voice. They can
> >and do routinely ignore the bulk of the membership- those who differ
> >with 'official' philosophy.
> >
>
> This is true.  In all the years I was a League member I never imagined
they
> would do such a thing.  I would faithfully read my QST every month as a
good
> member should and never seen anything that said they were changing their
> position on licensing requirements. In fact, every QST seemed to indicate
> that the membership didn't want change.
> Then one day, they hit us with the restructuring proposal.  That was a
> sucker-punch if I ever felt one.
>
> KB7ADL




From -4231801272929435692
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 17:31:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
```

```
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 17:30:36 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9b2st2$695$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com> <3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com>
<TW2B6.81757$XV.20935007@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-78.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19209
```

Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:TW2B6.81757$XV.20935007@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> Nor can they use eh in a sentence

You underrate the service Second City TV and the Great White North provided to the world.

How ya hosers doin, eh? :-)

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From -1258055660476281799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 17:45:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 19:42:55 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3AD4FA0F.923855C2@ix.netcom.com>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3AD346FF.6F51@bellsouth.net> <9b0j37$ciu$0@208.207.71.134>
<9b21a6$q9r$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <9b2280$ht6$0@208.207.71.155>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a1.16
```

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Apr 2001 00:41:35 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19210

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> > You have to understand the bureaucrat/lawyer mindset to see the logic.
> > It's basically that presenting evidence of passing a 13wpm or 20wpm
> > code test is just that. It is not evidence of passing a 5wpm code
> > test. The requirement for a new license is evidence of passing a
> > 5wpm code test. An expired technician-plus or novice license is
> > such evidence. Anything else is irrelevant.
> >

> I suppose you'll never know personally, but that's not pertinent. It's
> really
> just another example of how 'logic' falls flat on it's face. Slow code
> cna be a real drag that tends to put a practiced operator to sleep, or
> at least into some level of boredom, but it's grossly inaccurate to say
> that a fast operator can't do it at 5wpm. Not so.
>

I understand that most (all?) VEs use the Farnsworth method of
sending code for the test. IE, characters sent at some high speed
like 13wpm spaced at 5wpm rate. Guess the idea is if you train
for it this way, that you'll find yourself at high speed quickly once
you spend a few hours/days on the air.

From 8226887682336250735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 17:52:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.boats.electronics,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 19:49:06 -0500
Organization: wa2ise

```
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3AD4FB82.86171B76@ix.netcom.com>
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
<3ad3249c.29462132@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblg9d3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a1.16
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Apr 2001 00:47:45 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.boats.electronics:4033
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19211
```

Phil Kane wrote:

 The FCC refers to such marine band "pirate station" as "kitchen

>   coastals" from the days when the usual such installation was in
>   the home of a commercial fishing vessel owner and used by
>   family to contact the vessel without benefit of license as a
>   Private Coast Station or the services of the various Marine
>   Operators (Public Cost Stations).
>
>   When the US Coast Guard or the commercial marine users (ATT and
>   Globe Wireless, for instance) feel that they've had enough
>   competition or complaints, they get the FCC to do "make an
>   example" sweeps.
>

Maybe they could get an old rowboat and install the radio on it,
and row to the middle of the harbor, and be legal?   Yeah.....

```
From 3566336157458235986
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 17:55:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com> <3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com>
<TW2B6.81757$XV.20935007@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9b2st2$695$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
```

```
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <927B6.82876$XV.21472731@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 00:55:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987036933 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 17:55:33
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 17:55:33 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19212

Now is that a hockey hoser or just hoser? or is it hose head?

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/-----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\-----------------------------------------------/
"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:9b2st2$695$1@news.service.uci.edu...
>
> Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:TW2B6.81757$XV.20935007@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > Nor can they use eh in a sentence
>
> You underrate the service Second City TV and the Great White North
provided
> to the world.
>
> How ya hosers doin, eh?  :-)
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG
>
>
>




From -4735804637431594874
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 17:56:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone1.ba-dsg.net!typhoon2.ba-dsg.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD4FBEC.A91CE172@bellatlantic.net>
From: David Reinhart <wa6ilt@bellatlantic.net>
Reply-To: wa6ilt@amsat.org
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en]C-CCK-MCD BA45DSL (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com> <5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com> <3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93> <cuvyxnansvbet.gblgbs4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9b2bff$248$1@localhost.localdomain> <9b2pih$rjn$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 55
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 00:50:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.203.122.74
X-Complaints-To: newsadmin@bellatlantic.net
X-Trace: typhoon2.ba-dsg.net 987036651 151.203.122.74 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:50:51 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:50:51 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15054 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19213 rec.radio.amateur.space:2498

Excuse me, I *am* a member. The point I and many other hams are trying to make is that we feel alienated by the League and have decided to not support it. Personally, I'm so upset about ham radio in general that I've given serious consideration to selling all my gear and getting out of the hobby all together. The one thing that's been keeping me from doing that is AMSAT, not the ARRL. I could have bought some nice gear with the money that went to AMSAT over the last few years for the P3D/AO-40 campaign. The ARRL hasn't gotten a dime other than my dues. I believe in AMSAT's goals. I don't believe in the ARRL's.

David Reinhart

W4NTI wrote:

> Don't ya'll just love these jerks? You know the ones that bitch about the
> League and are not even a member. What a bunch of goofs.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> <wa6ilt@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:9b2bff$248$1@localhost.localdomain...
> > The ARRL Board is virtually a closed society. The current New England

```
> > Division director is on his "second time around" on the board.  The first
> > time he was elected was a number of years ago.  He was the young go-getter
> > who wanted to shake up the establishment and try to change things.  His
> > efforts were in vain.  The "Old Boy" network of the BOD would have none of
> > it.  It would take a massive turn-over to have any effect on the BOD and
> > the votes will never be there.  Why?  I happen to believe it's because
> > many hams (me included) are tired of beating their heads against the
> > castle wall.
> >
> > In case you haven't noticed, the vast majority of hams don't even belong
> > to the ARRL (and there will be one less this summer:  me).  Have you ever
> > stopped to think about why?  I opted out ot the League in the early
> > seventies over the incentive licensing issue.  I joined up again during
> > the last solar cycle because I was working lots of DX and needed to use
> > the outgoing QSL Buro...and that was the *only* reason.  I'm not a
> > "lurker", either.  I've held offices in local radio clubs, done disaster
> > work, handled traffic, run a major packet node, done contesting, DX'ing
> > and OSCAR.  But I don't like what ham radio has turned into and I place a
> > large share of the blame squarely on the League's doorstep.
> >
> > I've long felt that the League needs to have a professional public opinion
> > firm do a major study of *all* hams, not just members, and one of the
> > objectives should be to find out *why* more hams don't join the ARRL.  I
> > think the results would be interesting, to say the least.
> >
> > Will somebody please point out to me one instance where experience has
> > proven the BOD's vision of  ham radio's future to be accurate?  In that
> > same vein, one of the things that bugs me no end about the League and it's
> > leadership is that they will *never* admit a mistake.  The incentive
> > licensing program was a huge blow to ham radio at the time but I've never
> > heard anybody say it was a bad idea.
> >
> > Dave Reinhart, WA6ILT



From -8788473719175013642
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c27812b11ef7ab40
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 18:01:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who said Freebanders were freeloaders?
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 19:57:54 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3AD4FD92.CDA82E22@ix.netcom.com>
References: <3ad47e28$1@news2.mibx.net> <3ad4a555$1@news2.mibx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a1.16
```

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Apr 2001 00:57:56 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19214



Philip_Arnold wrote:

> This guy needs to be busted by the FCC.

YESSSS>>>>>>>

>
>
> Philip
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote:
> >
> >In an effort to gain some respect for this hobby, I wish to point out the
> >enormous number of freeband clubs that are active today all over the world.
> >American Eagle, Knight Patrol, etc. all these are legitimate radio hobbyist
> >clubs with many serious members who have eschewed the restrictions of ham
> >radio

What restrictions bother you?  No business communications?  That rule is to
protect us from our bands getting invaded by commercial users and taken over.
No dirty words?  Not on any band.  Broadcasting?  Who's gonna listen on your
frequency in a wrong band anyway?  frequency restrictions, ie, no HF for no-code

techs?  Well, you gotta hang a carrot to get upgrades.

> and freely chosen to apply their technical proficiency to the 27 Mhz
> >band.
> >
> Then the ham written tests should be easy. And 5wpm ain't that hard,

I did it.




From 8971069295931703755
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ba709b3d1d13d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 18:02:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!RENT.THIS.SPACE.FOR.ADVERTISING!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
```

newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Logging Practices
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 19:59:34 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AD4FDF6.784879F5@ix.netcom.com>
References: <pr59dt86hnsu1hfu7a5pcoupqjp54f3rnn@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a1.16
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Apr 2001 00:59:34 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19215

I note the end time as that's when I'm no longer busy QSOing
and then can log it. Some log books specified "end time"
anyway.

Bill Brannick wrote:

> I do not think there is any "real policy' on this subject , but I
> would like to inquire as to what common practice might be.
>
> Ever since I started in thsi hobby I have alwatys logged the "start
> time" of a QSO in UTC as the official time. Howevere.. I sure receive
> a awful lot of cards that obviously log the "end time" as the officila
> entry.
>
> I don't think there is any question that UTC should be used but... I
> was wondering about the the "beginning or end" question.
>
> Bill..

From -6942394899750754290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 18:04:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!
newsfeed.atl!news4.mco.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD4FE24.2D40F1BB@mindspring.com>
From: Glenn Ashmore <gashmore@mindspring.com>
Reply-To: gashmore@mindspring.com
Organization: Dessau Realty Services

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-DIAL (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,zh-TW
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.boats.electronics
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
<qwDA6.1717$NM5.63036@eagle.america.net>
<2Q1B6.3426$FY5.231728@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 34
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 21:00:21 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.63.190.58
X-Trace: news4.mco 987037266 208.63.190.58 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 21:01:06 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 21:01:06 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19216
rec.boats.electronics:4034

I hope you are not dumb enough to not know he is putting you on. You are going
to get in some serious deep do-do if you took that comment for the truth.

empathy97 wrote:

> In article <qwDA6.1717$NM5.63036@eagle.america.net>, Robbie K says...
> >
> >   Actually, it is one of the fastest growing hobbies that
> >radio enthusiasts are discovering.   Boats respond to your
> >calls quickly and it is possible to make many new friends.
> >
> >   AES and Radio Shack sell some really affordable marine
> >radios too. Judging from the growing number of people coming
> >into this new hobby, there are probably thousands already
> >having fun on the marine bands.
> >
> >   Listen for "Robbie 782, the juggler."
> >
> >Robbie
> >
> >
>
> Thanks for the info. Never thought that marine bands are that exciting. Gonna
> jump in and joining the fun.
>
> empathy.

--
Glenn Ashmore

I'm building a 45' cutter in strip/composite. Watch my progress (or lack there
of) at: http://www.rutuonline.com

```
From -201742607025081519
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 19:58:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 21:53:02 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 101
Message-ID: <3AD5188E.3FC5@mobiletel.com>
References: <WAMA6.75269$XV.19065985@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411081648.01584.00002953@ng-fo1.aol.com>
<DU2B6.81754$XV.20931474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AD4D116.32DB@mobiletel.com>
<Su4B6.82203$XV.21103434@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun02-port120.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 987043889 11994 209.16.79.120 (12 Apr 2001 02:51:29
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 12 Apr 2001 02:51:29 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone  (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19217

Rob St.Denis wrote:
>
> The lee enfield my grand dad was carrying was new ...
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> /----------------------------------------------\
> | Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
> | Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> \----------------------------------------------/
> "Martin" <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
> news:3AD4D116.32DB@mobiletel.com...
> > Rob St.Denis wrote:
> > >
> > > --
> > > Rob St.Denis
> > >
> > > Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> > > /----------------------------------------------\
> > > | Cell                                    (613)296-0094 |
> > > | Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> > > | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> > > \---------------------------------------------------/
> > > "Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20010411081648.01584.00002953@ng-fo1.aol.com...
> > > > >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> > > > >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> > > > >Date: 04/10/01 7:22 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> > > >
> > > > >ANY college will train someone to be a commercial pilot if they have
> the
> > > > >cash ... ground school is a joke ... and flying a plane isn't the
> hardest
> > > > >thing in the world neither.
> > > >
> > > >      Tell that to Al Haynes.
> > >
> > > Who is Al Haynes?
> > >
> > > >
> > > >  >This job is temporary ... 2 yrs while I'm in school.  After which
> time,
> > > > >seeing as how I am studying as a recruit I will be hired on to what
> will
> > > be
> > > > >a good career.  And, I might add, not solely driven by the almighty
> buck
> > > > >that you americans are so fond of.
> > > >
> > > >      Yeah...financial security is SO overrated.
> > >
> > > Who says you need to make 140k a year to be secure.  When I was working
> on
> > > contract I made about half that .. and I was in fine shape.  Albeit this
> > > security thing doesnt pay nearly as good it does have the luxury of
> offering
> > > me part time employment and fairly easy shifts at which I pick dates and
> > > times I am available
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >There's a reason for that, americans are too busy "not" spying on any
> > > > >country they deem necessary, getting their harbours bombed by an out
> of
> > > date
> > > > >air force, having their federal buildings bombed by a crazy guy named
> > > tim,
> > > > >having universities bombed by another loon from the middle of
> nowhere,
> > > > >dealing crack to 10 year olds, beating your wives, and raping your
> kids.
> > > >
> > > >      You are going to have to enlighten me as to that "out of date air
> > > force"
> > > > comment.  The rest was pretty much as I would expect..
> > >
> > > Man, check your history, pearl harbour, 1941/12/07 .. Japanese were out
> > > dated by about 10 years at that point ...
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > Yanks, Brits and Canucks were the ones outta date on that one.  My Uncle
> > was
> > in North Africa on 7 dec with CF eating Lend Lease Beans, using a WWI
> > rifle,
> > and being supplied by Lend Lease Floating Stock.  We lucked out on that
> > one,
> > Canada supplied the free fighting world for over 18 months waiting on
> > the
> > US to join (Individually, many fine yanks fought and died under the
> > Canadian Ensign).
> >
> > Jap Zeros were the best planes made, if more had been produced,
> > hell, we be taking Japanese and German Language lessons right now.
> >
> > 73 de Martin
I am talking at the very start, but then winters were colder then,
had to walk farther to school and the ice cream was sweeter. Some
stories tend to get polished.


From 7683199097300701343
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 20:04:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
cyclone.kc.rr.com!news.kc.rr.com!cyclone3.kc.rr.com!news3.kc.rr.com!
typhoon.kc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd" <ki8jc@arrl.net>
From: "KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd" <ki8jc@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3AD346FF.6F51@bellsouth.net> <9b0j37$ciu$0@208.207.71.134>
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <xR8B6.7721$nI4.1189161@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 02:58:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.27.230.221
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.kc.rr.com 987044317 65.27.230.221 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 21:58:37 CDT)
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 21:58:37 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19218

But if he's a general, why does he need the credit??


"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9b0j37$ciu$0@208.207.71.134...


Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> Steven,
>
> *IF* I read FCC's logic in this refusal, it seems that their refusal is
> based on the fact that IF a person held a General, Advanced, or Extra
> ticket in the past, then at some point PRIOR, that same person held
> a Novice ticket, right?  So, to their thinking, there is nothing to
> correct.  That is....if I see this issue correctly.  The point is only
> 5WPM.  So that person passed that to get a Novice ticket.  If I am
> wrong, please correct.
>

 But that doesn't cover anyone who did NOT enter via the Novice class. A
former maritime CW operator who started with a General doesn't count!
Hard to believe they can't get something so simple right.




From 6668307538608086342
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 20:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!cyclone.kc.rr.com!
news.kc.rr.com!cyclone3.kc.rr.com!news3.kc.rr.com!typhoon.kc.rr.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd" <ki8jc@arrl.net>
From: "KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd" <ki8jc@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
<9b1o7b$6l2$1@news.netmar.com>
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Lines: 50
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <vT8B6.7722$nI4.1189876@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 03:00:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.27.230.221
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.kc.rr.com 987044443 65.27.230.221 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 22:00:43 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 22:00:43 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19219

Again to ask my question,

If they have Extra, Conditional or General, why do they need a credit?

I am really confused on that point.

<K0HB@arrl.org> wrote in message news:9b1o7b$6l2$1@news.netmar.com...
K4KWH said:

> *IF* I read FCC's logic in this refusal, it seems that their refusal is
> based on the fact that IF a person held a General, Advanced, or Extra
> ticket in the past, then at some point PRIOR, that same person held
> a Novice ticket, right?

Not necessarily. Many, many folks have never taken a Novice test, nor held a Novice license, nor taken a 5WPM Morse test.

The only two written tests I ever took were the Conditional and the Extra, and 13 & 20 WPM Morse tests. (No, you didn't need to take all the other tests, only the test of the class you applied for -- you did need 2 years time-in-grade as C/G/A before you could take the Extra exam.)

Under the rules, if I were to let my license lapse for over two years, I would need to take a 5WPM test to get a General or Extra license.

Under the rules, my cousin who held a Novice license for 1 year and let it lapse in 1954, has credit for 5WPM and can become an Extra without taking another Morse exam.

The ARRL pointed this out to FCC, but they apparently didn't understand the question, and the gobbledygook in paragraph 17 is their response.

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
eb -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -2616089178528422321
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 20:14:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Date: 12 Apr 2001 03:14:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9b36im$2uc$0@208.206.142.73>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3AD346FF.6F51@bellsouth.net> <9b0j37$ciu$0@208.207.71.134> <xR8B6.7721$nI4.1189161@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19220

KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd wrote:
>
> But if he's a general, why does he need the credit??
>

Referring to a ham who let his license expire and is getting back into
it.
If he was formerly a Novice(o ever took the Novice code test and can
prove it) he gets to skip taking a code test again. If he started as a
General first time he doesn't. Silly.
Dick

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9b0j37$ciu$0@208.207.71.134...
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > Steven,
> >
> > *IF* I read FCC's logic in this refusal, it seems that their refusal is
> > based on the fact that IF a person held a General, Advanced, or Extra

> > ticket in the past, then at some point PRIOR, that same person held
> > a Novice ticket, right? So, to their thinking, there is nothing to
> > correct. That is....if I see this issue correctly. The point is only
> > 5WPM. So that person passed that to get a Novice ticket. If I am
> > wrong, please correct.
> >
>
> But that doesn't cover anyone who did NOT enter via the Novice class. A
> former maritime CW operator who started with a General doesn't count!
> Hard to believe they can't get something so simple right.

From -5046657678642590276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 20:40:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!xmission!
nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: unkadean <dnorris@k7no.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Solar Flare alert
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:37:35 -0700
Organization: Global Crossing Telecommunications Inc.
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <1j8adtct3gfd1j1r8d2trd48stfghgtbsi@4ax.com>
References: <NfQw6.8495$aP5.735090@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3207dtk7m5akheq63bu9bmjj52drl5tqf8@4ax.com>
<k%NA6.1036$_i2.509672@news1.iquest.net>
<09k9dt4rk2llfio6blikoaui4pjvqi9p4p@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: radsl-dnorris1.phx.primenet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @208.48.202.58 (dnorris1)
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:2303 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15067
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19221

On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 17:50:57 -0400, helmsman <> wrote:

>On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:58:56 GMT, alice@kramden.moon (Alice) wrote:
>
>>Umm, yeah. Sort of makes you not want to go back after you get out, doesn't
>>it? The sign over the front door says "Jail", not "Holiday Inn".
>
>The point is that these people have NOT BEEN TO TRIAL,
>NOT BEEN CONVICTED and in most cases NOT CHARGED!

>This is a holding cell, THESE PEOPLE ARE GUILTY OF NOTHING!!
>This is outrageous.
>

Have you seen this with your OWN eyes? I am in and out of the
Maricopa County jails regularly and can dispute some of your
allegations. As to others, I am not sure.

e.g., to say these people are guilty of nothing supposes trials have
been held. Is that a fact? If so, why are they in there at all?

From 7677281075336988362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,88835874dc004c83,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 20:46:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!RENT.THIS.SPACE.FOR.ADVERTISING!grolier!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!skynet.be!newsfeed2.news.nl.uu.net!sun4nl!ams.uu.net!news.mailgate.org!mplspop6.mpls.uswest.net!not-for-mail
From: hhbrakob@qwest.net ("HH and CK Brakob")
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: re: cowards, creeps and other anonymous twits
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 03:42:13 +0000 (UTC)
Organization: Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <01C0C302.B41FAEA0.hhbrakob@qwest.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mplspop6.mpls.uswest.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mailgate.org 987046933 11318 204.147.80.9 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 03:40:19 +0100)
X-Complaints-To: mplspop6.mpls.uswest.net@abuse.net abuse@mailgate.org
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 03:42:13 +0000 (UTC)
Mail-From: hhbrakob@qwest.net from mplspop6.mpls.uswest.net [204.147.80.9]
X-URL: http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19222

K4YZ wrote:

> My second choice is Hans Brakob.

Steve, I have no problem telling you to your
face that "you're good at it" (nice line, Barnabus,
whoever you are).

73, de Hans, K0HB

```
--
Posted from mplspop6.mpls.uswest.net [204.147.80.9]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG


From 7214794590094785206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,330b9dd1edaf7014
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 20:52:03 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1.2 watt moonbounce
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 03:44:56 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad52495.160536709@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AD36355.20D5E675@IEEE.org> <9b0jme$ciu$1@208.207.71.134>
<9T1B6.166$R5.674291@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.144
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.144
X-Trace: 11 Apr 2001 22:41:56 -0500, 64.24.17.144
Lines: 41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
news3.bellglobal.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19223

On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 15:00:23 -0400, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
wrote:

>Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:9b0jme$ciu$1@208.207.71.134...
>
>> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
>> > W7LHL has reported moonbounce copy using 1.2 watts
>
>>  Using a 12 foot parabolic...... 37dB gain?
>
>On 10 GHz, the 12-foot dish would have approximately 48 dBi of gain.  Total
>loss on 10 GHz, pathloss and reflection loss, is about 288 dB.
>
>Here are the particulars:
>
```

```
>RESULTS
>Frequency =  10000 MHz
>Total transmit power =  1.2 watts
>Total transmit power =  30.79181  dBm
>Transmit antenna gain =  48 dBi
>Receive antenna gain =  48 dBi
>Distance to receiver =  720000 km
>Free-space pathloss = -229.5966 db
>Moon-bounce loss = -58.7 dB
>Total loss (+- antenna gains) = -240.2966
>Receive system Noise Figure =  6 dB (includes external noise)
>Calculated receive system sensitivity in 500 Hz bandwidth = -141.0103 dBm
>Received signal = -161.5048 dBm
>Needed processing gain =  20.49454 dB
>
```

Who is his QSL manager?

>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>

From -5941376583233201397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 20:59:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 03:53:52 GMT
References: <20010411144034.05416.00002338@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Message-ID: <20010411235352.16562.00000966@nso-fl.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19224

In article <20010411144034.05416.00002338@ng-fc1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>The FCC does not charge "dues," senhor.
>

>   Sure they do. It's called an "examination".

Took one when the steebie was about 1 year old. She was in diapers
then. Still is.

>"An America Not Preapred For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."

From 7462086234362660533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 20:59:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 03:53:52 GMT
References: <20010408184354.18980.00005791@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Message-ID: <20010411235352.16562.00000965@nso-fl.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19225

In article <20010408184354.18980.00005791@ng-ci1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>In other words, "We don't have time to listen to your
>>arguments -- get your (stuff) together in one sock before
>>you bother us again."
>
>   Where have we heard THOSE words before, Master Chief?
>
>Semper Fi es 73,
>
>The Gunny, K4YZ

"The Gunny?!?" What happened to that waiver-enabled Lieutenancy?

Miss a meeting of the "brigade" of 200?

Go off and play sojer in da woods...

>"An America Not Preapred For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."

From 3378580462087690572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 20:59:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 03:53:51 GMT
References: <20010411075355.01584.00002948@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010411235351.16562.00000964@nso-fl.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19226

In article <20010411075355.01584.00002948@ng-fo1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Just send in your 20 bucks and you'll get a license. Simple, easy,
>>and FUN!
>
>   They tried that once...it's called "CB". And we see what happened to
>that.

Citizens Band Radio Service. Created in 1958 (class A and B), and
in 1959 (class C and D). Nursie was 3 and 4 years old. She could
"see" what happened...

Of course she could.

>"An America Not Preapred For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."

From 501648654014531839
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 21:00:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 03:53:53 GMT
References: <20010411143011.05416.00002335@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010411235353.16562.00000967@nso-fl.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19227

In article <20010411143011.05416.00002335@ng-fc1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Not ignoring anything...only working with another's tabulations.
>>If that is displeasing you, contact AH0A about his methods.
>
>   Lennie, you ahve been beating this horse for days now. Your math was
>flawed and your logic was anything but logical.

Poor baby. She can't say where the "math was flawed," because she can't do any math, hasn't done any math in here, is afraid to do any math in here.

Go away. Let those who know what numbers are do the math.

Poor baby, so hurt, can only make nasty to others when she can't understand what is going on.

>   Statistics is obviously not one of your stronger traits.

True, but I know a Standard Deviate when she posts...and the steebie did.

A three-sigma certainty in that.

>"An America Not Preapred For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."

```
From 1974087034307280360
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 21:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 03:53:50 GMT
References: <20010411081008.01584.00002952@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Message-ID: <20010411235350.16562.00000962@nso-fl.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19228
```

In article <20010411081008.01584.00002952@ng-fo1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>OHHHHH MMMYYYY GOOOSSHHHH!~! Do you know who this is??? It's not Security
>>Officer Rob St.Denis...IT'S (explitive deleted!)!!!!
>
>   God save us. Don't say that name out loud! If we can "can" Lennie the
>Liar and his anonymous friends "MegHz" and "W2DNE", the rest of the NG might
>actually be tolerable and constructive.
>
>   Not by much, but it would CERTAINLY be better.

Poor baby. Unable to coexist with differing opinons?

Why would it be "tolerable and constructive?!?

Is that so she can stifle dissent and impose rerself as the
"lead enforcer" by calling everyone who disagrees with her
names and to make false charges of others' conduct?

Hello? Can anyone pronounce Waffen SS?

>"An America Not Preapred For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."

From -2722513694791907407
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 21:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 03:53:50 GMT
References: <20010411142800.05416.00002334@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
Message-ID: <20010411235350.16562.00000963@nso-fl.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19229

In article <20010411142800.05416.00002334@ng-fc1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Poor, sensitive ex-marine. "Resorting to profanity" upsets him?
>
>   Only when done so by persons who insist on elevating thier own alleged
>professioanl stature, such as yourself. It really is embarrasing to see
>someone who can't express themself effectively without it.

Poor sensitive Hurt ex-marine. Tsk, tsk.

"Professionals" by definition get paid for their services. I've gotten
paid for my electronics engineering for a long while and now enjoy
a comfortable retirement. Some, who haven't enjoyed such
careers or made much money out of their careers so far are
sorely vexed that they haven't done as well.

How much do ex-marines get in pension benefits?

>>Poor baby. Soooo put-upon in private mail by anonymous postings.
>
>   Not put upon, Oh Gutless One...just dismayed that some male persons (I
>assume) haven't got the intestinal fortitude to BE a man...kinda like you.

Oh, my, isn't big steebie such a Mighty Man that she can call
others names. In public, no less!   Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>>Now follow Hans' advice, little Steebie, "go soak your fat head
>>in a bedpan!"

>
>   Right after you post a notarized delcaration of your intent to never
>again post, either directly or by proxy, to this or any other Amatuer Radio
>related newsgroup.

Oh, my, this poor baby just cannot take any controversy!

Go soak, little man.

>"An America Not Preapred For Steebie in Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."

```
From 5938347808852207297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 21:19:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!cyclone.kc.rr.com!news.kc.rr.com!
cyclone3.kc.rr.com!news3.kc.rr.com!typhoon.kc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd" <ki8jc@arrl.net>
From: "KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd" <ki8jc@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3AD346FF.6F51@bellsouth.net> <9b0j37$ciu$0@208.207.71.134>
<xR8B6.7721$nI4.1189161@typhoon.kc.rr.com> <9b36im$2uc$0@208.206.142.73>
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Lines: 65
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <7_9B6.7738$nI4.1226470@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 04:16:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.27.230.221
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.kc.rr.com 987048963 65.27.230.221 (Wed, 11 Apr 2001 23:16:03 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 23:16:03 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19230
```

I personally think that anyone who has let their license expire past the grace period should have to start over if they ever want to get back into it.   I don't think the FCC offered any kind of "credit" for any kind of past license. What I mean is if I had a general license 13 years ago and had let it expire, and came back before the restructuring, I would have had to start from scratch.

With that bit of clarification that you have given, I think both the FCC and the ARRL are wrong. The law should state:

"Any amateur with a CURRENT, or an EXPIRED LICENSE WITHIN THE GRACE PERIOD, can be given credit for the 5wpm code test by showing a CSCE or previous license.....yada yada yada."

I say that if they want to get back in, they take the tests. If they are already in, then give them the credit.

One thing that I personally want to see is people dedicated to the amateur radio service. Someone who let their license lapse, obviously didn't have that dedication, if they feel they can be dedicated to the hobby now then they got to prove it.

73

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9b36im$2uc$0@208.206.142.73...

KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd wrote:
>
> But if he's a general, why does he need the credit??
>

Referring to a ham who let his license expire and is getting back into
it.
If he was formerly a Novice(o ever took the Novice code test and can
prove it) he gets to skip taking a code test again. If he started as a
General first time he doesn't. Silly.
Dick

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9b0j37$ciu$0@208.207.71.134...
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > Steven,
> >
> > *IF* I read FCC's logic in this refusal, it seems that their refusal is
> > based on the fact that IF a person held a General, Advanced, or Extra
> > ticket in the past, then at some point PRIOR, that same person held
> > a Novice ticket, right? So, to their thinking, there is nothing to
> > correct. That is....if I see this issue correctly. The point is only

> > 5WPM. So that person passed that to get a Novice ticket. If I am
> > wrong, please correct.
> >
>
> But that doesn't cover anyone who did NOT enter via the Novice class. A
> former maritime CW operator who started with a General doesn't count!
> Hard to believe they can't get something so simple right.

From 6025951833607606632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 21:52:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 23:47:47 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3AD53373.EEAB8C59@ix.netcom.com>
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com>
<9b1o7b$6l2$1@news.netmar.com> <vT8B6.7722$nI4.1189876@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a4.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 Apr 2001 04:46:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19231

If they let it expire beyond the grace period, then should they
have credit for code, is the question.

KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd wrote:

> Again to ask my question,
>
> If they have Extra, Conditional or General, why do they need a credit?
>
> I am really confused on that point.
>

From 6329228989867313158
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-11 22:22:03 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 05:17:08 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad53a12.166039219@news2.dvol.com>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gblgbs4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<9b1jd7$g7u$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.182
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.182
X-Trace: 12 Apr 2001 00:14:07 -0500, 64.24.17.182
Lines: 18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!RENT.THIS.SPACE.FOR.ADVERTISING!news.tele.dk!212.74.64.35!
colt.net!nycmny1-snf1.gtei.net!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19232

On 11 Apr 2001 12:41:11 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>And the only remedy is to vote them out at the next election.
>
>That's no remedy at all! By that time, the damage is done,
>usually irreparably. The only solution would be binding referenda
>by membership on every major issue. Unfortunately, when it comes
>down to that, membership scares itself away from that option as
>well, even after complaining 'no one listens to us.' Either way,
>then, 'the voters get what they deserve.'
>
More like non-voters get what they earned.
>
>Regards,
>Vince, WB2EZG
>Life Member, ARRL



From 7704590032894853016
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 04:23:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 18
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 11:20:37 GMT
References: <3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010412072037.10199.00004341@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19233

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: Martin mdwade@mobiletel.com
>Date: 04/11/01 3:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Licence = License

I stand corrected.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -2111023033032834072
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 04:28:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 11:26:09 GMT
References: <DU2B6.81754$XV.20931474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010412072609.10199.00004342@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19234

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 04/11/01 4:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>   Tell that to Al Haynes.

>Who is Al Haynes?

My point exactly.

Al Haynes was the PIC of a DC-10 which suffered the catastrophic failure of the hydraulics systems, and with the help of his FO and a deadheading Captain, made an unceremonious arrival in St.Louis, MO. This occured in July of 1989.

Although many people died, Captain Haynes' superior skills as an Aviator saved (I believe) about 120 people from what whould have been certain death otherwise.

Many say there are no heros anymore. There are, but in a nation that insists on repeating the names of the villians over and over, who can remember the real heros...???

Steve

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 8518309770425251988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 04:33:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <WAMA6.75269$XV.19065985@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411081648.01584.00002953@ng-fo1.aol.com>
<DU2B6.81754$XV.20931474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AD4D116.32DB@mobiletel.com>
<Su4B6.82203$XV.21103434@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AD5188E.3FC5@mobiletel.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <pmgB6.86052$XV.22529352@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 11:31:33 GMT

NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987075093 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 04:31:33 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 04:31:33 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19235

Perhaps, but the #4's were new to wwII

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/--\
| Cell   (613)296-0094 |
| Email: fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page: http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
--/
> I am talking at the very start, but then winters were colder then,
> had to walk farther to school and the ice cream was sweeter. Some
> stories tend to get polished.

From 8352722379347965842
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 04:37:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 11:34:45 GMT
References: <DU2B6.81754$XV.20931474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010412073445.10199.00004344@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19236

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 04/11/01 4:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Who says you need to make 140k a year to be secure.

Homes that would otherwise be classified as "average" in the local metro Atlanta area (where I am at the moment) are STARTING at $180,000.

You think you are going to qualify for a mortgage for a home on your "security officer" salary, Rob?

>When I was working on contract I made about half that .. and I was in fine shape.

Congratulations. And your other obligations are...??? (kids, mortgage, medical bills...?!?!)

>Albeit this
>security thing doesnt pay nearly as good it does have the luxury of offering
>me part time employment and fairly easy shifts at which I pick dates and
>times I am available

You sacrifice one benefit for the other. I love the job I am presently doing and it pays well, but the enviroment and community suck. If I decide to shuck this and go back to living strictly where I want to live, I will take a significant salary cut OR have to travel somewhere ELSE (and deal with other enviromental concern) to make it up.

"Youse pays your money ands takes yor chances...."

>Man, check your history, pearl harbour, 1941/12/07 .. Japanese were out
>dated by about 10 years at that point ...

Uhhhh...I suggest you spend some time with your nose in a textbook while shaking doorknobs, Rob. Even by the most conservative US textbooks, WE (the United States) were the ones who were "behind" anything....

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 9096488456547326830
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 04:40:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 11:38:30 GMT

References: <20010411235352.16562.00000966@nso-fl.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Message-ID: <20010412073830.10199.00004346@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19237

>Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
>reaffirmed
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/11/01 11:53 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Took one when the steebie was about 1 year old.

However for the purposes of THIS forum, you are the one in diapers, Oh Impotent One. When was the last time you took an Amatuer Radio examination?

And Lennie...YOU said you let your COMMERCIAL license "expire" October 12th. Ergo ANY license that you procured at ANY age is irrelevant.

Just like you.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -7970942644780758875
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 04:43:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 11:41:18 GMT
References: <20010411235351.16562.00000964@nso-fl.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010412074118.10199.00004348@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19238

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/11/01 11:53 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Citizens Band Radio Service. Created in 1958 (class A and B), and
>in 1959 (class C and D). Nursie was 3 and 4 years old. She could
>"see" what happened...

>Of course she could.

What? It was "created" in the 50's and I can't "see" what has happened to CB "NOW" in 2001..???

Lennie, you really are an idiot. I thought it was just a "summer thing".

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 1330516479171422438
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 04:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 11:45:23 GMT
References: <20010411235352.16562.00000965@nso-fl.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Message-ID: <20010412074523.10199.00004350@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19239

>Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/11/01 11:53 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Go off and play sojer in da woods...

Grabbing at straws again, I see, Lennie.

You really are a very pitiful, sorry person, Lennie. I truly do pity you. Moreso, I pity your wife who is going to have to care for you.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -5136431692238093491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 04:52:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 11:50:13 GMT
References: <20010411235353.16562.00000967@nso-fl.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010412075013.10199.00004353@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19240

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/11/01 11:53 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Poor baby. She can't say where the "math was flawed," because
>she can't do any math, hasn't done any math in here, is afraid to
>do any math in here.

I am sure it makes you feel good to think so, Lennie. So you just keep on thinking that.

>Go away. Let those who know what numbers are do the math.

I am sure you'd love that, Lennie, but you are stuck with me. Until you behave, anyway. And I don't see THAT happening any time soon.

>Poor baby, so hurt, can only make nasty to others when she can't
>understand what is going on.

Again, I am sure you think so. Be happy in your ignorance, Oh Thoughtless One.

>True, but I know a Standard Deviate when she posts...and the
>steebie did.

Take's one, Lennie?

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -8401647459498926826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 05:00:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 11:55:00 GMT
References: <20010411235350.16562.00000962@nso-fl.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Message-ID: <20010412075500.10199.00004356@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19241

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/11/01 11:53 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Poor baby. Unable to coexist with differing opinons?

Differing opinions? Of course. Insufferable boors? Never.

>Why would it be "tolerable and constructive?!?

It would be tolerable because YOU would not be in there creating havoc and constructive because something might actually get done.

Two situations which do NOT occur with you there.

>Is that so she can stifle dissent and impose rerself as the
>"lead enforcer" by calling everyone who disagrees with her
>names and to make false charges of others' conduct?

Just like YOU would like to do, Lennie? I hardly think so.

>Hello? Can anyone pronounce Waffen SS?

Yes, I can.....

"L-e-n-n-i-e A-n-d-e-r-s-o-n"

The English translation.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -4255128380327331831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 05:04:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 11:59:15 GMT
References: <20010411235350.16562.00000963@nso-fl.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
Message-ID: <20010412075915.10199.00004358@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19242

>Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/11/01 11:53 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>How much do ex-marines get in pension benefits?

Nothing. "Ex-Marines" are decesed. I am a retired Marine. You may refer to any one of the countless "websites" that you so love to find that out.

>Oh, my, isn't big steebie such a Mighty Man that she can call
>others names. In public, no less!   Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Hmmm...tries to humiliate me with the "calling names" thingie while at the same time doing it himself.

So Andersonian.

>Oh, my, this poor baby just cannot take any controversy!

There's no controversy about how gutless you are, Lennie. None at all.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From -4468638838782108919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,59704c8b40278ff6
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,59704c8b40278ff6
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,59704c8b40278ff6
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,59704c8b40278ff6
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,59704c8b40278ff6
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 05:05:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
hub.siol.net!news1.hinet.hr!not-for-mail
From: "Zlatko Feric" <zlatko.feric@sb.hinet.hr>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.rad
io.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Downloadable IOTA List - UPDATE
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 13:57:53 +0200
Organization: HiNet
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9b4555$3mrb$1@as121.tel.hr>
References: <RLPu6.5130$P4.375295@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<fa07dtoqod1pplroehko8d8o98911b08or@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ao3-m132.net.hinet.hr
X-Trace: as121.tel.hr 987076582 121707 195.29.34.132 (12 Apr 2001 11:56:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@hinet.hr
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 11:56:22 +0000 (UTC)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20965
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2305 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11931
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15083 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19243

test

--
-----------------------
Zlatko Feric
zlatko.feric@sb.hinet.hr
<helmsman> wrote in message
news:fa07dtoqod1pplroehko8d8o98911b08or@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 23 Mar 2001 22:04:33 GMT, "Charles Johnston"
> <ctj92@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >The downloadable IOTA list has been updated effective 23-Mar-2001 -
> >22:07UTC
> >
> >Available as a 15k zip file on the IOTA List page of the web site listed
> >below
>
> Thanks.
>
> .
> Shame on ARIZONA,USA
> Be aware these people are NOT convicted of anything.
> http://www.geocities.com/novapunkca/
> If you don't like it tell the Governor.
> http://www.governor.state.az.us/feedback.html




From 100944705277741894
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,88835874dc004c83
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 05:08:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 12:01:28 GMT
References: <01C0C302.B41FAEA0.hhbrakob@qwest.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: re: cowards, creeps and other anonymous twits
Message-ID: <20010412080128.10199.00004359@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19244

>Subject: re: cowards, creeps and other anonymous twits
>From: hhbrakob@qwest.net  ("HH and CK Brakob")
>Date: 04/11/01 11:42 PM Eastern Daylight Time
```

>Steve, I have no problem telling you to your
>face that "you're good at it" (nice line, Barnabus,
>whoever you are).

And I am sure you could then withstand the reply, regardless of how it was rendered.

No 73 for the Master Grief.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -1338509709185759825
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 05:10:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news2.epix.net!news1.epix.net!not-for-mail
From: cdean@firstcitizensbank.com (Corey Dean (FCNB))
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <3ad59a66.85298612@news.epix.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com> <3AD1FE38.D477C0C5@IEEE.org> <3ad23aab.33170387@news5.bellatlantic.net> <3ad37518.50069716@news2.dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 18
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 12:07:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.238.235.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@epix.net
X-Trace: news1.epix.net 987077240 205.238.235.47 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 08:07:20 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 08:07:20 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15084 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19245 rec.radio.amateur.space:2499 rec.radio.swap:28502

Keep the code!!! Hell, anyone will be able to get a license if that
is dropped. Anyone can study the written test and memorize what is in
there. They actually have to work at the code. Yes people, I said
work!

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 21:04:17 GMT, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly,
w3rv) wrote:

>On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 22:48:12 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M.
>Conti) wrote:
>
>>Sue them for not working harder to DUMP that silly F' ing code
>>requirement.
>>
>I suppose you mean that F'ing 5wpm thing, yeah, I agree. Bring 20wpm
>back.
>

From -6183400503064111724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3976b6d1be3c77d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 05:49:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.30
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 07:37:04 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC8081A3E91@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <NfQw6.8495$aP5.735090@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3207dtk7m5akheq63bu9bmjj52drl5tqf8@4ax.com>
<k%NA6.1036$_i2.509672@news1.iquest.net>
<09k9dt4rk2llfio6blikoaui4pjvqi9p4p@4ax.com>
<1j8adtct3gfd1j1r8d2trd48stfghgtbsi@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Solar Flare alert
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.dx:2306 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15085
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19246

Perhaps they should all be made to cross their hearts and promise to be good and then get released. Face it, they are there for a reason, and it is not because they are particularly fine citizens I would guess. If you watch the video, you will see that the rooms are cleared frequently (like every hour or so) and thoroughly cleaned. Not having a chair or bed is not inhumane in my opinion. Neither I or anyone I have ever known has been put in one of those cells. Surely it is not just pure chance or bad luck that those who have, have been. I bet there is a good reason. The court system is there to figure out when, or if, someone has gotten themselves there in error. It works pretty well.

"unkadean" <dnorris@k7no.com> wrote in message
news:1j8adtct3gfd1j1r8d2trd48stfghgtbsi@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 17:50:57 -0400, helmsman <> wrote:
>
> >On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:58:56 GMT, alice@kramden.moon (Alice) wrote:
> >
> >>Umm, yeah. Sort of makes you not want to go back after you get out,
doesn't
> >>it? The sign over the front door says "Jail", not "Holiday Inn".
> >
> >The point is that these people have NOT BEEN TO TRIAL,
> >NOT BEEN CONVICTED and in most cases NOT CHARGED!
> >This is a holding cell, THESE PEOPLE ARE GUILTY OF NOTHING!!
> >This is outrageous.
> >
>
> Have you seen this with your OWN eyes? I am in and out of the
> Maricopa County jails regularly and can dispute some of your
> allegations. As to others, I am not sure.
>
> e.g., to say these people are guilty of nothing supposes trials have
> been held. Is that a fact? If so, why are they in there at all?
>

From 8953645021356161149
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 06:51:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 13:39:06 GMT
References: <MPG.153d8768e6a7aba99896ad@news.earthlink.net>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010412093906.01689.00003073@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19247

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: funguy@hotjuno.com Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com
>Date: 04/10/01 10:10 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Wow, there's a great system. What's the wait for non emergency surgery
>now?? How many months?

I maintain an e mail reflector called "ER-Nurses" and many of my "subscribers" are Canadian nurses. They are suffering "burn-out" well in excess of the numbers of similar US nurses except for the highest acuity shock-trauma units in NY, LA, etc.

There are many benefits to socialized medicine, and it looks real good on paper, but the realities and practicalities don't measure up to the expectations.

>just little baton carrying security officers like you and Tasha Yar on the
Star Ship Enterprise

Hey! Don't get down on "Ensign Yar!" She can frisk me any day!

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time"

http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.com

From 1263403443580655988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 07:26:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AD5B99B.9F35EC80@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 09:20:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

```
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<3AD1FE38.D477C0C5@IEEE.org> <3ad23aab.33170387@news5.bellatlantic.net>
<3ad37518.50069716@news2.dvol.com> <3ad59a66.85298612@news.epix.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987084840
64.240.47.63 (12 Apr 2001 09:14:00 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
howland.erols.net!newshub2.home.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15087
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19248 rec.radio.amateur.space:2500 rec.radio.swap:28512
```

"Corey Dean (FCNB)" wrote:

> They actually have to work at the code. Yes people, I said work!

I don't remember work as being an FCC requirement.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

```
From 4309356069425683170
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 07:32:14 PST
```

From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 14:28:10 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad5b6b4.197947665@news2.dvol.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com> <9b2pmf$3kt$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.190
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.190
X-Trace: 12 Apr 2001 09:25:09 -0500, 64.24.18.190
Lines: 26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15088
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19249 rec.radio.amateur.space:2501 rec.radio.swap:28514

On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 18:35:16 -0500, "W4NTI"
<w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote:

>You think that was bad...you shuda seen it when 'incentive licensing' was
>dumped on us...back around 68 or so.
>

I wuz there, there was all kinds of hate & dissention pro & con on the
matter published in QST well before the BoD took it's position. There
was no "sucker punch" involved at all except in the minds of some of
those who disagreed with that position. Ditto this restructuring
restructuring thing. I supported Incentive Licensing, I did not
support restructuring. Win one lose one. Nobody died in either case.
At no point have I ever felt that just because I disagree with a BoD
position I should have a tantrum and walk away from the League and the
membership advantages.

>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>> >and do routinely ignore the bulk of the membership- those who differ
>> >with 'official' philosophy.
>

Point out one instance.

>

w3rv

From 4701908592796506599

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 07:36:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!RENT.THIS.SPACE.FOR.ADVERTISING!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <DU2B6.81754$XV.20931474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010412073445.10199.00004344@ng-md1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 81
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <m0jB6.86466$XV.22717311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 14:32:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987085970 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 07:32:50
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 07:32:50 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19250

"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010412073445.10199.00004344@ng-md1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 04/11/01 4:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >Who says you need to make 140k a year to be secure.
>
>   Homes that would otherwise be classified as "average" in the local
metro
> Atlanta area (where I am at the moment) are STARTING at $180,000.
>
>   You think you are going to qualify for a mortgage for a home on your
> "security officer" salary, Rob?

Well first off ... This job (which I have stated repeatedly) is only a
temporary measure while I am studying to be a peace officer. Second off ...
the house accross the way from mine went for 204k just a month ago. My
folks picked this one up with a gross income of around 80k (at the time they
were fresh out of school) and had no problem getting theline of credit to
purchase (not mortgage) the house.

>
> >When I was working on contract I made about half that .. and I was in
fine

> shape.
>
>   Congratulations. And your other obligations are...??? (kids,
mortgage,
> medical bills...?!?!)

School ($15k a year), Housing ($1500 a month for the condo), insurance
($3k), car (bout a $1000 for gas and such a month), then there is food, fun,
etc etc etc ...

>
> >Albeit this
> >security thing doesnt pay nearly as good it does have the luxury of
offering
> >me part time employment and fairly easy shifts at which I pick dates and
> >times I am available
>
>   You sacrifice one benefit for the other. I love the job I am
presently
> doing and it pays well, but the enviroment and community suck. If I
decide to
> shuck this and go back to living strictly where I want to live, I will
take a
> significant salary cut OR have to travel somewhere ELSE (and deal with
other
> enviromental concern) to make it up.

As I had mentioned the security thing is a part time job while I'm in school
... it is a decent job for that ... fairly easy work, nice hours ... police
pay far better, better hrs and much more interesting work ... this is a nice
step to take before I get there

>
>   "Youse pays your money ands takes yor chances...."
>
> >Man, check your history, pearl harbour, 1941/12/07 .. Japanese were out
> >dated by about 10 years at that point ...
>
>   Uhhhh...I suggest you spend some time with your nose in a textbook
while
> shaking doorknobs, Rob. Even by the most conservative US textbooks, WE
(the
> United States) were the ones who were "behind" anything....

The zeroes were quite far behind ... check Jane's
--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/---\
| Cell   (613)296-0094 |
| Email: fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page: http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |

---/

From 3384394727599588393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 07:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <MPG.153d8768e6a7aba99896ad@news.earthlink.net> <20010412093906.01689.00003073@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 48
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <N3jB6.86471$XV.22721626@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 14:36:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987086189 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 07:36:29 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 07:36:29 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19251

I have never had a problem up here ... perhaps those nurses were not in hospitals but in private facilities up here ?

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
```
/-----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                 (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                            fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\-----------------------------------------------/
```
"Steven J Robeson K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010412093906.01689.00003073@ng-fo1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> >From: funguy@hotjuno.com Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com
> >Date: 04/10/01 10:10 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >Wow, there's a great system. What's the wait for non emergency surgery
> >now?? How many months?

>
>   I maintain an e mail reflector called "ER-Nurses" and many of my
> "subscribers" are Canadian nurses. They are suffering "burn-out" well in
> excess of the numbers of similar US nurses except for the highest acuity
> shock-trauma units in NY, LA, etc.
>
>   There are many benefits to socialized medicine, and it looks real good on
> paper, but the realities and practicalities don't measure up to the
> expectations.
>
> >just little baton carrying security officers like you and Tasha Yar on the
> Star Ship Enterprise
>
>   Hey! Don't get down on "Ensign Yar!" She can frisk me any day!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time"
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.com

From -6422390980772876613
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 08:02:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!cyclone.kc.rr.com!news.kc.rr.com!cyclone3.kc.rr.com!news3.kc.rr.com!typhoon.kc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd" <ki8jc@arrl.net>
From: "KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd" <ki8jc@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <9asqut$sbk$1@news.netmar.com> <9b1o7b$6l2$1@news.netmar.com> <vT8B6.7722$nI4.1189876@typhoon.kc.rr.com> <3AD53373.EEAB8C59@ix.netcom.com>
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <8qjB6.8256$nI4.1375028@typhoon.kc.rr.com>

Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 15:00:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.27.230.221
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.kc.rr.com 987087620 65.27.230.221 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:00:20 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:00:20 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19252

To that question, I say the answer should be no.

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3AD53373.EEAB8C59@ix.netcom.com...
If they let it expire beyond the grace period, then should they
have credit for code, is the question.

KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd wrote:

> Again to ask my question,
>
> If they have Extra, Conditional or General, why do they need a credit?
>
> I am really confused on that point.
>

From 7280489199374705173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 08:35:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:32:39 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9b4hpj$9mj$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>

```
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com> <9b2pmf$3kt$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<3ad5b6b4.197947665@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.86.b7
X-Server-Date: 12 Apr 2001 15:32:03 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15091
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19253 rec.radio.amateur.space:2502 rec.radio.swap:28523

What the heck is a 'BOD' ??

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3ad5b6b4.197947665@news2.dvol.com...
> On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 18:35:16 -0500, "W4NTI"
> <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote:
>
> >You think that was bad...you shuda seen it when 'incentive licensing' was
> >dumped on us...back around 68 or so.
> >
> I wuz there, there was all kinds of hate & dissention pro & con on the
> matter published in QST well before the BoD took it's position. There
> was no "sucker punch" involved at all except in the minds of some of
> those who disagreed with that position. Ditto this restructuring
> restructuring thing. I supported Incentive Licensing, I did not
> support restructuring. Win one lose one. Nobody died in either case.
> At no point have I ever felt that just because I disagree with a BoD
> position I should have a tantrum and walk away from the League and the
> membership advantages.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> >> >and do routinely ignore the bulk of the membership- those who differ
> >> >with 'official' philosophy.
> >
> Point out one instance.
> >
> w3rv
>




From 446992713790665l5
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 08:36:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:31:23 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 98
Message-ID: <9b4hkn$75$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblgbs4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<9b2bff$248$1@localhost.localdomain> <9b2pih$rjn$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AD4FBEC.A91CE172@bellatlantic.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.86.b7
X-Server-Date: 12 Apr 2001 15:29:27 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15092
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19254 rec.radio.amateur.space:2503

Excuse me, but you stated that you are fixing to drop the membership.

You remind me of the American voting public. You know the ones that spew
they hate the system, or they dislike the two party system, etc. etc. They
you find they didn't even vote.

See my point? If you want to change things GET INVOLVED.

If it didn't work the way you tried it before, try again and again. Thats
what I do. Im a lifer pig of the league, but I dang sure don't support it
all the time.

Dan/W4NTI

"David Reinhart" <wa6ilt@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:3AD4FBEC.A91CE172@bellatlantic.net...
> Excuse me, I *am* a member. The point I and many other hams are trying to
make
> is that we feel alienated by the League and have decided to not support
it.
> Personally, I'm so upset about ham radio in general that I've given
serious

> consideration to selling all my gear and getting out of the hobby all
together.
> The one thing that's been keeping me from doing that is AMSAT, not the
ARRL. I
> could have bought some nice gear with the money that went to AMSAT over
the last
> few years for the P3D/AO-40 campaign. The ARRL hasn't gotten a dime other
than
> my dues. I believe in AMSAT's goals. I don't believe in the ARRL's.
>
> David Reinhart
>
> W4NTI wrote:
>
> > Don't ya'll just love these jerks? You know the ones that bitch about
the
> > League and are not even a member. What a bunch of goofs.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > <wa6ilt@amsat.org> wrote in message
> > news:9b2bff$248$1@localhost.localdomain...
> > > The ARRL Board is virtually a closed society. The current New England
> > > Division director is on his "second time around" on the board. The
first
> > > time he was elected was a number of years ago. He was the young
go-getter
> > > who wanted to shake up the establishment and try to change things.
His
> > > efforts were in vain. The "Old Boy" network of the BOD would have
none of
> > > it. It would take a massive turn-over to have any effect on the BOD
and
> > > the votes will never be there. Why? I happen to believe it's because
> > > many hams (me included) are tired of beating their heads against the
> > > castle wall.
> > >
> > > In case you haven't noticed, the vast majority of hams don't even
belong
> > > to the ARRL (and there will be one less this summer: me). Have you
ever
> > > stopped to think about why? I opted out ot the League in the early
> > > seventies over the incentive licensing issue. I joined up again
during
> > > the last solar cycle because I was working lots of DX and needed to
use
> > > the outgoing QSL Buro...and that was the *only* reason. I'm not a
> > > "lurker", either. I've held offices in local radio clubs, done
disaster
> > > work, handled traffic, run a major packet node, done contesting,
DX'ing
> > > and OSCAR. But I don't like what ham radio has turned into and I
place a

> > > large share of the blame squarely on the League's doorstep.
> > >
> > > I've long felt that the League needs to have a professional public
opinion
> > > firm do a major study of *all* hams, not just members, and one of the
> > > objectives should be to find out *why* more hams don't join the ARRL.
I
> > > think the results would be interesting, to say the least.
> > >
> > > Will somebody please point out to me one instance where experience has
> > > proven the BOD's vision of ham radio's future to be accurate? In
that
> > > same vein, one of the things that bugs me no end about the League and
it's
> > > leadership is that they will *never* admit a mistake. The incentive
> > > licensing program was a huge blow to ham radio at the time but I've
never
> > > heard anybody say it was a bad idea.
> > >
> > > Dave Reinhart, WA6ILT
>

From 271791339926241191
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 08:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153f9576e923c76d9896ae@news.earthlink.net>
References: <DU2B6.81754$XV.20931474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010412073445.10199.00004344@ng-md1.aol.com>
<m0jB6.86466$XV.22717311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 51
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 15:34:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.113.138
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987089687 209.245.113.138 (Thu, 12 Apr
2001 08:34:47 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 08:34:47 PDT
X-Received-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 08:33:33 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19255

In article <m0jB6.86466$XV.22717311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, tstdenis3160@home.com says...

>
> The zeroes were quite far behind ... check Jane's
> --
> Rob St.Denis

Maybe you should PFC Security Officer St.Denis. Maybe you should Jane's Book of Aircraft instead of See Dick and Jane Run.

At the onset of WWII, the Zero was by far the better plane than anything the US had at that time..which was The Grumman Wildcat.

It was faster, more maneuverable and had double the range than the F4F. Other than the Wildcat had better durability, the Zero was a far better piece of equipment until the arrival of the Hellcat and the Corsair.

Their carrier forces were fair and away more advanced than the Lexington or the Hornet. Their submarine forces were on par with the Germans, at that time, they were copies of the successful U-boats. Our subs were lumbering giants, nor the mass produced Fleet boats that would come 2 years later.

So tell us Mark...what were the status of the Canadian Air and Navy units at the onset of the war? I sure they were on par with everything that the mighty Canadian military had to invest??

Wx here's great and having wonderful time. Glad you aren't here. Flight was uneventful and we are flying into Orlando this afternoon to go to Epcot. Ohhh, I forgot...I'm showing my greed by spending money I earned. BTW..you are so full of shit is you think anyone believes you earn 70K as a part time rent a cop. Good luck on the bread lines. Keep climbing that welfare ladder

Another instance of the inadequate educational system in Canada which offers 2 years honors degrees in Physics.

Get a clue Rob. Never let it be said that facts ever got in your way

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

```
From -5774711976614100 72
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 08:45:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 12 Apr 2001 15:45:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <9b4ihu$103$0@208.206.142.83>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com> <9b2pmf$3kt$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<3ad5b6b4.197947665@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15093
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19256 rec.radio.amateur.space:2504 rec.radio.swap:28525
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

```
>
> >> >and do routinely ignore the bulk of the membership- those who differ
> >> >with 'official' philosophy.
>

>Point out one instance.
```

Last time I dropped my membership (for a couple years) was a number of years ago when *the BOD sued the FCC in Federal court* over something so trivial that today I can't recall what the reason was. Now, talk about alienating your supervisors.. how can ya beat that? No wonder the FCC holds them at arms length these days.

Do you think they 'followed the will of the membership' in that move? I sure don't. Of course, it's not possible to prove it, as they almost never poll the membership about anything, or on the very rare occasions they do, such as the "Do you use CW?" poll, they design a poll that is so screwed up it confuses far more than it reveals. Makes it easy to interpret it any way anyone wishes.

A couple years ago the BOD floated the silly idea to let all licensees "self-test" for CW, with the fact that an operator was on the air attempting to operate CW would stand as evidence of his ability to send and receive, as required under the Treaty. For a while it looked like that was a serious proposal, but finally it died. I'm as much aware as anyone that CW no longer hods the importance it did but it is still a basic primary mode and should be supported better than that.

Mind you, now, I'm an active League member, and just re-upped for two years last month, after a long personal review of the overall situation following the Restructuring. Long ago I declined the cheap Life membership because I decided it was important to be able to leave when they got too far out of line-which they sometimes have. But it might as well be understood, I'm gonna keep a CLOSE eye on them and their proceedings. I perceive that there is considerable improvement in more recent years as compared to some years ago. The staff is mostly quite competant if a little arrogant in places.

I have been a active ham continuously for 44 years, monitoring ARRL the entire time, mostly as a member, and have seen a lot of garbage eminate from Newington, right along with all the good.
I am not a critic of Incentive Licensing, we needed that, and I met the challenge as did so many others.
I don't like the idea that the League needs to have critics, but it is clear to me that it is necessary to closely monitor their actions, just as it is with government.

Dick

From -2532512196680689676
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 08:47:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: 12 Apr 2001 15:47:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18

Message-ID: <9b4ilu$103$1@208.206.142.83>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>
<3AD4970A.3053B710@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15094
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19257

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > - or a licensed ham
> > decides to "talk to those buys" with his ham rig, according to you he's
> > legal. Not so.
>
> "Those guys" quoted from a posting long ago, referred to me talking to
> CBers using my legal Radio Shack CB radio. Nothing illegal about that.
> And emergency communications are legal using almost any equipment on
> almost any frequency. I'm surprised an Extra class licensee is ignorant
> of such things.

What, another change of story? Last time I looked it was your Icom 706
which yoiu couldn't raise any ham with. I know Dejanews is out of the
picture but at least be consistant, will you?

From 2660322252557243560
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 08:50:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
reaffirmed
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 15:33:29 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/

Lines: 43
Message-ID: <9b4hs9$5uk$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 987089609 6100 205.139.138.14 (12 Apr 2001 15:33:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 12 Apr 2001 15:33:29 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.255.42
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19258

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> The major task of the FCC is regulating communications. It
> would seem reasonable that the FCC should afford the citizen
> the same timely notice of new regulatory change information
> as a special interest group such as the ARRL.

Paranoia prevails at Len's house again. All citizens, including those in California, can subscribe (free) to the same FCC Daily Digest email which provides the "timely notice" to ARRL and others interested in FCC news.

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts

made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 6942861333275489374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 08:52:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 15:35:15 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <9b4hvj$629$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 987089715 6217 205.139.138.14 (12 Apr 2001 15:35:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 12 Apr 2001 15:35:15 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.255.42
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19259

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> The major task of the FCC is regulating communications. It
> would seem reasonable that the FCC should afford the citizen
> the same timely notice of new regulatory change information
> as a special interest group such as the ARRL.

Paranoia prevails at Len's house again. All citizens, including those in California, can subscribe (free) to the same FCC Daily Digest email which provides the "timely notice" to ARRL and others interested in FCC news.

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -3744589920008443662
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 08:55:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 15:34:50 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <9b4huq$617$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 987089690 6183 205.139.138.14 (12 Apr 2001 15:34:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 12 Apr 2001 15:34:50 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: 155.226.255.42
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19260

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

> The major task of the FCC is regulating communications. It
> would seem reasonable that the FCC should afford the citizen
> the same timely notice of new regulatory change information

> as a special interest group such as the ARRL.

Paranoia prevails at Len's house again. All citizens, including those in California, can subscribe (free) to the same FCC Daily Digest email which provides the "timely notice" to ARRL and others interested in FCC news.

73, de Hans, K0HB

 ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -831101418181478125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 08:56:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AD5CF14.56C77703@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:51:48 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>
<3AD4970A.3053B710@IEEE.org> <9b4ilu$103$1@208.206.142.83>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.110
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987090337
64.240.47.110 (12 Apr 2001 10:45:37 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news!news.he.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!192.148.253.68!
netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15095
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19261

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > And emergency communications are legal using almost any equipment on
> > almost any frequency. I'm surprised an Extra class licensee is ignorant
> > of such things.
>
>   What, another change of story? Last time I looked it was your Icom 706
> which yoiu couldn't raise any ham with.

No change of story. The time I used my IC706 running 3 watts AM on CB
CH19 was for an emergency which is perfectly legal. I'm surprised an
Extra class licensee is ignorant of such things.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7733879724574874955
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 09:33:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 16:25:48 GMT
References: <m0jB6.86466$XV.22717311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010412122548.20283.00005670@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19262

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 04/12/01 10:32 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>The zeroes were quite far behind ... check Jane's

They did the job rather effectively. Check the United States Navy.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

From -5193007460196425093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 10:28:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <DU2B6.81754$XV.20931474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010412073445.10199.00004344@ng-md1.aol.com>
<m0jB6.86466$XV.22717311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

<MPG.153f9576e923c76d9896ae@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 78
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <3ylB6.86859$XV.22896313@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 17:25:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987096319 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:25:19 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:25:19 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19263

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.

```
/----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\----------------------------------------------/
```

"funguy@hotjuno.com" <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.153f9576e923c76d9896ae@news.earthlink.net...

> In article <m0jB6.86466$XV.22717311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> tstdenis3160@home.com says...
>
> >
> > The zeroes were quite far behind ... check Jane's
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
>
>
> Maybe you should PFC Security Officer St.Denis. Maybe you should Jane's
> Book of Aircraft instead of See Dick and Jane Run.
>
> At the onset of WWII, the Zero was by far the better plane than anything
> the US had at that time..which was The Grumman Wildcat.

Spitfire, mustang etc etc RAF aircraft ... check em out ...

>
> It was faster, more maneuverable and had double the range than the F4F.
> Other than the Wildcat had better durability, the Zero was a far better
> piece of equipment until the arrival of the Hellcat and the Corsair.
>
> Their carrier forces were fair and away more advanced than the Lexington

> or the Hornet. Their submarine forces were on par with the Germans, at that time, they were copies of the successful U-boats. Our subs were lumbering giants, nor the mass produced Fleet boats that would come 2 years later.
>
> So tell us Mark...what were the status of the Canadian Air and Navy units at the onset of the war? I sure they were on par with everything that the mighty Canadian military had to invest??

Actually, the canadian millitary at that time was quite large ... it has since declined ...

>
> Wx here's great and having wonderful time. Glad you aren't here. Flight was uneventful and we are flying into Orlando this afternoon to go to Epcot. Ohhh, I forgot...I'm showing my greed by spending money I earned. BTW..you are so full of shit is you think anyone believes you earn 70K as a part time rent a cop. Good luck on the bread lines. Keep climbing that welfare ladder

Never said I made 70k as a rent a cop ... did it on contract ...since you read my cv ... you would have noticed:

Radio Communication Technician - RCMP MCB A/B/O Division - Contract #7016723
But apparently you have troubles reading ... too much time staring into the sun as a taxi driver?

Welfare? that's rich ... hehe ... I have never used social assistance in my life ... heck I dont even know where to go to get it!

> Another instance of the inadequate educational system in Canada which offers 2 years honors degrees in Physics.

Its a 3yr general degree ... Try 6 classes a semester for 5 semesters ...its easy to do when you drop the work part ... a 4yr or honours would require another 10 credits ...

From -3497138890293503710
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 10:29:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!europa.netcrusader.net!64.152.100.70!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!

news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <m0jB6.86466$XV.22717311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010412122548.20283.00005670@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <KylB6.86863$XV.22897227@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 17:26:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987096362 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:26:02 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:26:02 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19264

Certainly compared to the states they were ... up to that point there was no real reason to put the heat on r&d in the states ...

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
```
/-----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\-----------------------------------------------/
```
"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010412122548.20283.00005670@ng-mq1.aol.com...
```
> >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 04/12/01 10:32 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >The zeroes were quite far behind ... check Jane's
>
>      They did the job rather effectively.  Check the United States Navy.
>
>
>
>
> "An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
Time."
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html
>
>
```

```
From -3745649361083333800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1353f0ef6c11486e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 10:32:50 PST
From: Keith <nospamssdf@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Blackwell Motion Denied
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:26:09 -0700
Reply-To: nworegon@excite.com
Expires: Thu, 7 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <3ad5e488_3@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Lines: 17
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You
may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/
abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!
telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!spamkiller.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spk!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!spk
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19265

http://www.fcc.gov/

CHRIS D. HUDGINS. Denied a petition submitted by Tom Blackwell requesting
reconsideration of the granting of a renewal for the license for Amateur
Station N5IUF to the licensee, Chris C. Hudgins.. Action by: Chief, Public
Safety & Private Wireless Division. Adopted: 04/10/2001 by ORDER. (DA No.
01-917). WTB  DA-01-917A1.pdf  DA-01-917A1.doc  DA-01-917A1.txt
--
Best Regards,

Keith
----------------------------------------------------------------
Web page-> members.home.net/keithew1/nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio topics.
Amateur Radio is a international resource and asset.
```

Need a daily digest of rec.radio.swap newsgroup? Join
recradioswap at Yahoo! Groups.

```
From -5503482721833676668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 10:34:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news-out.uswest.net!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:28:47 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9b4oic$5tm$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com> <3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com>
<TW2B6.81757$XV.20935007@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9b2st2$695$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<927B6.82876$XV.21472731@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-94.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19266
```

Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:927B6.82876$XV.21472731@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> Now is that a hockey hoser or just hoser? or is it hose head?

Can't say for sure. As far as I could tell from the TV show, everybody up
there is a hoser - whatever that is. :-)

73, Jim

> "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
> news:9b2st2$695$1@news.service.uci.edu...

> > You underrate the service Second City TV and the Great White North
> provided
> > to the world.
> >
> > How ya hosers doin, eh? :-)
> >
> > 73, Jim AC6XG

```
From 1050265401463555156
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 10:48:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com> <3AD4B294.75EF@mobiletel.com>
<TW2B6.81757$XV.20935007@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9b2st2$695$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<927B6.82876$XV.21472731@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9b4oic$5tm$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <LOlB6.86945$XV.22915751@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 17:43:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 987097387 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:43:07
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:43:07 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19267

We're not really sure ... just sounds right to call certain people a hoser I
guess.

--
Rob St.Denis

Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
/----------------------------------------------\
| Cell                                     (613)296-0094 |
| Email:                             fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
| Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
\----------------------------------------------/
"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:9b4oic$5tm$1@news.service.uci.edu...
> Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:927B6.82876$XV.21472731@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > Now is that a hockey hoser or just hoser? or is it hose head?
>
```

```
> Can't say for sure.  As far as I could tell from the TV show, everybody up
> there is a hoser - whatever that is.  :-)
>
> 73, Jim
>
> > "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
> > news:9b2st2$695$1@news.service.uci.edu...
>
> > > You underrate the service Second City TV and the Great White North
> > provided
> > > to the world.
> > >
> > > How ya hosers doin, eh?  :-)
> > >
> > > 73, Jim AC6XG
>
>
>
```

```
From -5750415009092154410
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 10:52:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>
References: <DU2B6.81754$XV.20931474@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010412073445.10199.00004344@ng-md1.aol.com>
<m0jB6.86466$XV.22717311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153f9576e923c76d9896ae@news.earthlink.net>
<3ylB6.86859$XV.22896313@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 69
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 17:46:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.112.189
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987097581 63.208.112.189 (Thu, 12 Apr
2001 10:46:21 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:46:21 PDT
X-Received-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 10:45:07 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19268
```

```
In article <3ylB6.86859$XV.22896313@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
tstdenis3160@home.com says...
>
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> Security Officer - Securworks Protection Services Inc.
> /-----------------------------------------------\
> | Cell                                  (613)296-0094 |
> | Email:                          fzxdude@yahoo.ca |
> | Home Page:   http://robstdenis.home.dhs.org |
> \-----------------------------------------------/
> "funguy@hotjuno.com" <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.153f9576e923c76d9896ae@news.earthlink.net...
> > In article <m0jB6.86466$XV.22717311@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> > tstdenis3160@home.com says...
> >
> > >
> > > The zeroes were quite far behind ... check Jane's
> > > --
> > > Rob St.Denis
> >
> >
> > Maybe you should PFC Security Officer St.Denis.  Maybe you should Jane's
> > Book of Aircraft instead of See Dick and Jane Run.
> >
> > At the onset of WWII, the Zero was by far the better plane than anything
> > the US had at that time..which was The Grumman Wildcat.
>
> Spitfire, mustang etc etc RAF aircraft ... check em out ...

Check out what you ass??.  You were the one that had our harbors being
bombed by outdated aircraft.  When did the RAF bomb Pearl Harbor.  For
that matter...mentally challenged doofus, when did the RAF participate in
the Pacific theater of WWII  IN THEIR OWN AIRCRAFT.

Here's your own typed wordlets...

There's a reason for that, americans are too busy "not" spying on any
country they deem necessary, getting their harbours bombed by an out of
date air force

So what...other than Pearl Harbor, was there something else you were
referring to??  hmmmmmm...

Don't show your stupidity by making a statement and then answering it
incorrectly.

The Mustang came out in 1943-44...well after 1941.  But hey, that's that
Canuck educational system shining brightly..yes sir.

So tell me Mr Wizard..how did the Spitfire land on aircraft carriers?
```

What did they have...Velcro on their tyres??

Must have great on the airframes, them being made of wood with cloth wings and all. All that salt air keeping the fabric nice and tight.

Get a clue, better yet, get a box full. You are a treat.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

```
From -5255897202161448653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 11:04:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
easynews!cyclone.swbell.net!nnrp2.sbc.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD5ED46.572A@yahoo.com>
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: kg0wx@yahoo.com
Organization: Design Services Company
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-SBXA  (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com> <9b2pmf$3kt$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<3ad5b6b4.197947665@news2.dvol.com> <9b4hpj$9mj$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 19
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 13:00:38 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.217.142.7
X-Complaints-To: abuse@swbell.net
```

X-Trace: nnrp2.sbc.net 987098398 64.217.142.7 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 12:59:58 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 12:59:58 CDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15097 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19269 rec.radio.amateur.space:2505 rec.radio.swap:28530

W4NTI wrote:
>
> What the heck is a 'BOD' ??
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, try this:

http://www.acronymfinder.com/

In this case, "BOD" stands for "Board Of Directors".....

--

Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG�WX
Design Services Company
http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com/
Smoke free since 9/30/96!
ICQ# 63379650

From -6060668758682149827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d9db017c387a6776,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 12:08:04 PST
From: Keith <nospamssdf@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Hollingsworth Demands Space Shuttle
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 12:02:25 -0700
Reply-To: nworegon@excite.com
Expires: Thu, 7 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <3ad5fb16_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Lines: 20
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)

```
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!
spamkiller.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spk!anonymous!127.0.0.1!spk
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19270

 FCC ham enforcement man Hollingsworth has demanded a
space shuttle to inspect the International Space Station
ham radio packet station. Apparently it has been using a
fake call sign of N0CALL for the last several days and
he would like to inspect the station for defects and
to make sure the ham radio license is properly displayed
on the wall.

Developing.....

--
Best Regards,

Keith
----------------------------------------------------------------
Web page-> members.home.net/keithew1/nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio topics.
Amateur Radio is a international resource and asset.
Need a daily digest of rec.radio.swap newsgroup? Join
recradioswap at Yahoo! Groups.
----------------------------------------------------------



From 1177950387405323047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 13:46:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!
pulsar.dimensional.com!dimensional.com!wormhole.dimensional.com!not-for-mail
User-Agent: Microsoft-Outlook-Express-Macintosh-Edition/5.02.2022
Subject: kill this thread: (was Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.)
From: Gomez ADDams <railgap@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Message-ID: <B6FB6F7E.4F23%railgap@yahoo.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<3ad40087.122513138@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Mime-version: 1.0
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 14:43:10 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 192.92.90.108
X-Trace: wormhole.dimensional.com 987108192 192.92.90.108 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 14:43:12 MDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 14:43:12 MDT
Organization: Dimensional Communications
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15106 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19271 rec.radio.amateur.space:2507 rec.radio.swap:28546

Please do not cross-post off-topic messages! This discussion does not belong in swap newsgroups!

 - Gomez ADDams

....
Listen up all you TV anchor-people, because I am only going to say this one more time: there are no such words as 'nucular' or 'relator'! The words are 'nuclear' and 'realtor'. Say them with me: "noo-klee-er", "ree-uhl-ter".

From 9058247264927809702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 14:15:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 21:09:28 GMT
References: <3ylB6.86859$XV.22896313@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010412170928.01606.00003244@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19272

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 04/12/01 1:25 PM Eastern Daylight Time

I hate to belabor the obvious, but we'll do it anyway sincve Rob seems to think some of us can't read a history book......

>> At the onset of WWII, the Zero was by far the better plane than anything

>> the US had at that time..which was The Grumman Wildcat.

>Spitfire, mustang etc etc RAF aircraft ... check em out ...

(1) The Spitfire was not an American product.

(2) The Mustang was not in production at the ONSET of WW2.

>> So tell us Mark...what were the status of the Canadian Air and Navy units
>> at the onset of the war? I sure they were on par with everything that
>> the mighty Canadian military had to invest??

>Actually, the canadian millitary at that time was quite large ... it has
>since declined ...

The Canadian Armed Forces were not "quite large" at the onset of the war, which was 03 Spetember 1939 for the various outposts of the United Kingdom.

>Never said I made 70k as a rent a cop ... did it on contract ...since you
>read my cv ... you would have noticed:

>Radio Communication Technician - RCMP MCB A/B/O Division - Contract #7016723
>But apparently you have troubles reading ... too much time staring into the
>sun as a taxi driver?

Oh Geezus...We have a Canadian Lennie.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -8989863896394792169
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d9db017c387a6776
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 14:16:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!hermes2.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 12 Apr 2001 21:12:00 GMT
References: <3ad5fb16_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Hollingsworth Demands Space Shuttle
Message-ID: <20010412171200.01606.00003246@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19273

>Subject: Hollingsworth Demands Space Shuttle
>From: Keith nospamssdf@home.com
>Date: 04/12/01 3:02 PM Eastern Daylight Time

> Apparently it has been using a
>fake call sign of N0CALL for the last several days.....

Well DANG...I cudda told ya THIS one! It's in Southern California...NOT low Earth orbit!

73

Stve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 3071826901642110973
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d9db017c387a6776
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 14:23:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ad5fb16_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Subject: Re: Hollingsworth Demands Space Shuttle
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 17:15:24 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 20

Message-ID: <3ad61b0f$0$14452$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 16ae852d.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=?3A@PK[Ea?0bV?
_m\CJ]D62BE>7J@WeM<[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7T9N4KPC=j^;lBFDaL\d^n5D>ficJeAQ]:
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19274

"Keith" <nospamssdf@home.com> wrote in message
news:3ad5fb16_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com...

> FCC ham enforcement man Hollingsworth has demanded a
> space shuttle to inspect the International Space Station
> ham radio packet station. Apparently it has been using a
> fake call sign of N0CALL for the last several days and
> he would like to inspect the station for defects and
> to make sure the ham radio license is properly displayed
> on the wall.
>
> Developing.....

Old news, this was posted on April 1. Hollingsworth has already issue
a Notices of Apparent Liability, and has order the International Space
Station
can only use CW for the duration of the flight. :-)

Larry KC8EPO

From 6859453248695209889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 14:23:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-nwblwi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>

<td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com> <9b2pmf$3kt$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<3ad5b6b4.197947665@news2.dvol.com> <9b4hpj$9mj$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 17:18:03 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3ad61bae$0$14443$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 16ae852d.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=KcQ>WBj3QP^NZUVAGj=[M^2BE>7J@WeM\
[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWGT`5SSKmMYPlBFDaL\d^nUD>ficJeAQ]Z
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15111
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19275 rec.radio.amateur.space:2509 rec.radio.swap:28551

Big Orange Dog

Larry Kc8EPO

"W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9b4hpj$9mj$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> What the heck is a 'BOD' ??
>
> Dan/W4NTI

From 7953521752730202651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 15:00:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!
135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc07-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010411235350.16562.00000963@nso-fl.aol.com>
<20010412075915.10199.00004358@ng-md1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <RwpB6.2894$IS.311189@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 21:57:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.177.119
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 987112625 12.88.177.119 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 21:57:05 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 21:57:05 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19276

Gee, I wish I had seen the whole thread. How much do ex-marines get in pension? Poor ol' marines? I recently heard from an old buddy of mine by e-mail. We served together in the Navy. I did my Vietnam stint (served 4 years) and got out. He was a radioman 2nd class at the time and went back to school. Then he joined another branch of the military. Hmmm ... he retired a chief warrant officer. Of course, he was a teenager when he joined the military (yeah, Vietnam needed bodies) - which meant he was able to retire - and start receiving his pension some years ago. Looked at a military pay scale recently? I'm not sure which grade WO he retired as, but I can see a $2000 to $3000 per month pension, plus lifetime medical care. Of course, he was too young to want to just quit, so he is teaching electronics at a college in California. Sooo .... I guess you'd best pass back to Lennie that the military ain't half a bad deal at all - it's just what you want to make of it! BTW, my dentist is retired from the military - and he's knocking down a good buck in civilian life on top of his pension. Then there is a good friend, Bud. He's a retired O-6 and ran an appliance store for a number of years. Heck, he keeps busy just tracking his various investments nowadays.

Again, the military may not be for everyone, but it, like anything in life, is what you make it. Treat it well and it will treat you VERY well.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

From -2145887157900977264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,99fdb422a5f41eb1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 15:51:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Apr 2001 22:50:52 GMT

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Can We Now Forget "Colt", "Communicator", etc silliness...???
Message-ID: <20010412185052.01577.00003533@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19277

From those friendly folks in Gettysburg.....

"Two petitions have been filed by Stewart Teaze...(snip)"

"Because these petitions were filed later than thirty days after the public notice of the Commission's action, we dismiss them as untimely. We note, moreover, that Mr. Teaze's request appears to be moot in light of the recently announce project to encourage more young individuals to enter the amateur service."

I pause here so as to make emphasis on the next sentence.

"While we applaud the amatuer service community's commitment to involve more young people in amatuer radio, we also do not believe this goal is advanced by adding additional license classes to the amatuer service license structure. Rather, we believe a streamlined and simplified license structure in which operating priviledges are related to examination requirements will encourage individuals to join the amatuer service."

A lot of windage for "KISS" it.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -5397329379654931705
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 16:32:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!wanadoo.fr!opentransit.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!dca6-feed1.news.digex.net!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net> <WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164> <3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79> <3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100> <3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>

```
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>
<3AD4970A.3053B710@IEEE.org> <9b4ilu$103$1@208.206.142.83>
<3AD5CF14.56C77703@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <5TqB6.2333$tx5.57644@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 23:29:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 987118145 209.204.160.101 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 16:29:05
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 16:29:05 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15116
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19278
```

> No change of story. The time I used my IC706 running 3 watts AM on CB
> CH19 was for an emergency which is perfectly legal. I'm surprised an
> Extra class licensee is ignorant of such things.

Absolutely wrong. Read below:

[Code of Federal Regulations]
[Title 47, Volume 5, Parts 80 to End]
[Revised as of October 1, 2000]
From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
[CITE: 47CFR95.409]

[Page 536]

TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION

COMMISSION (CONTINUED)

PART 95--PERSONAL RADIO SERVICES--Table of Contents

Subpart D--Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service

Sec. 95.409 (CB Rule 9) What equipment may I use at my CB station?

(a) You must use an FCC certificated CB transmitter at your CB station. You can identify an FCC certificated transmitter by the certification label placed on it by the manufacturer. You may examine a list of certificated equipment at any FCC Field Office or at FCC Headquarters. Use of a transmitter which is not FCC certificated voids your authority to operate the station.

(b) You must not make, or have made, any internal modification to a certificated CB transmitter. (See CB Rule 25, Sec. 95.425). Any internal modification to a certificated CB transmitter cancels the certification, and use of such a transmitter voids your authority to operate the station.

[48 FR 24894, June 3, 1983, as amended at 63 FR 36610, July 7, 1998]

```
From 4260424023735484976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 16:36:04 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 23:33:01 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad637d3.231004960@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org> <9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100>
<9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<wXIA6.74707$XV.18682141@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d3288cbbb481c9896a7@news.earthlink.net> <3ad3716a.49127318@news2.dvol.com>
<2vKA6.74906$XV.18804939@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d5f404dee24819896aa@news.earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.91
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.91
X-Trace: 12 Apr 2001 18:30:00 -0500, 64.24.240.91
Lines: 9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19279
```

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 23:18:38 GMT, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote:

>Officer Rob St.Denis...IT'S MARK MORGAN!!!!
>
Oh just WASH yer MOUF damn you!!! Ohmigod . . . . whatta hideous
thought.

```
From -98352358660877100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 16:42:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AD63C21.9FD520D6@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 18:37:05 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>
<3AD4970A.3053B710@IEEE.org> <9b4ilu$103$1@208.206.142.83>
<3AD5CF14.56C77703@IEEE.org> <5TqB6.2333$tx5.57644@typhoon.sonic.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.114
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987118254
64.240.47.114 (12 Apr 2001 18:30:54 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
```

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
wesley.videotron.net!news.stealth.net!newspeer.cts.com!feed1-in.uncensored-
news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15117
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19280

Ron wrote:
>
> > No change of story. The time I used my IC706 running 3 watts AM on CB
> > CH19 was for an emergency which is perfectly legal. I'm surprised an
> > Extra class licensee is ignorant of such things.
>
> Absolutely wrong.  Read below:

Absolutely wrong. What is it about emergency communications that you
do not understand? Read below.

�97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.

No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of any
means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential communication
needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and immediate
protection of property when normal communication systems are not available.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3584183500303142080
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 16:49:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.154008a624da543d9896b0@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3ylB6.86859$XV.22896313@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010412170928.01606.00003244@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 68
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 23:46:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.69.49
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987119163 64.157.69.49 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001 16:46:03 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 16:46:03 PDT
X-Received-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 16:44:48 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19281

In article <20010412170928.01606.00003244@ng-fo1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com says...
> >Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 04/12/01 1:25 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
>   I hate to belabor the obvious, but we'll do it anyway sincve Rob seems to
> think some of us can't read a history book......
>
> >> At the onset of WWII, the Zero was by far the better plane than anything
> >> the US had at that time..which was The Grumman Wildcat.
>
> >Spitfire, mustang etc etc RAF aircraft ... check em out ...
>
>   (1) The Spitfire was not an American product.
>
>   (2) The Mustang was not in production at the ONSET of WW2.
>
> >> So tell us Mark...what were the status of the Canadian Air and Navy units
> >> at the onset of the war? I sure they were on par with everything that
> >> the mighty Canadian military had to invest??
>
> >Actually, the canadian millitary at that time was quite large ... it has
> >since declined ...
>
>   The Canadian Armed Forces were not "quite large" at the onset of the war,
> which was 03 Spetember 1939 for the various outposts of the United Kingdom.
>
> >Never said I made 70k as a rent a cop ... did it on contract ...since you
> >read my cv ... you would have noticed:
>
> >Radio Communication Technician - RCMP MCB A/B/O Division - Contract #7016723
> >But apparently you have troubles reading ... too much time staring into the
> >sun as a taxi driver?
>
>   Oh Geezus...We have a Canadian Lennie.

That's alright. Just proving the simple rule that thems that ain't gots
is jealous of them that gots.

Supposedly Rob St.Doofus has a pilots license (doubtful)

He seems very upset with the fact that I get paid for the fun of flying
and not just paid..but to toot my own horn PAID. I have a house (paid
for)..a place to take my family on vacation (paid for), as opposed to

Secutity Officer St.Doofus having to rent and what's up with $1500 a
month in Ottawa?? and I own a fairly new ..1997 airplane, which, after
negotiating with the rich slobs who built up the company up and decided
private aviation was better for them than commercial aviation, thought
enough of my skills to not only hire me as their "taxi driver", but paid
me and paid for my own plane, except gas and insurance. And he has to
rent POOR BABY

The green eyed rears its Canuck ugly head and sez after getting hit
between his close placed eyes and sloping brow....thank you sir, may I
have another.

Suckie wx here on the gulf coast. no Orlando..no golf just TV and the
writing of......ROB ST.DENIS   SECURITY OFFICER ta da dummmmmmmm

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From -4378523345112094527
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 16:54:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!
newsrump.sjc.telocity.net!not-for-mail
From: nmoberg <nmoberg@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <4ofcdtk0alr3r8ac3r33973rq5k6gjthbq@4ax.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
X-Trace:

MTUgTm9BdXRoVSRlciBURUxPQx5UWS1SRUFERVJTIDIxNi4yMjcuMTEuZTA5ICBUaHUsdzEyIEFw!
ciAyMDAxIDE2OjUwOjI5IFATVA==
X-Abuse-Info: Please forward ALL headers when reporting abuse.
X-Complaints-To: abuse@telocity.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 16:50:29 PDT
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 18:50:25 -0500
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15118
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19282 rec.radio.amateur.space:2512 rec.radio.swap:28569

Actually since ARRL takes the position that they represent Amateur
Radio to Washington I believe he does...

On Mon, 09 Apr 2001 19:14:09 GMT, "Edward Dowdy"
<elIhatespamdowdy@home.com> wrote:

>As you say, you're NOT a member. Therefore you have no voice in the ARRL.
>
>73,
>Ed KA9EES

From -1090129632956144876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 17:08:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 00:02:32 GMT
References: <RwpB6.2894$IS.311189@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
Message-ID: <20010412200232.01577.00003554@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19283

>Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
>From: "JAMES HAMPTON" j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 04/12/01 5:57 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Gee, I wish I had seen the whole thread.

Naw...Just me pontificating on the cowardice of certain persons with no intestinal fortitude to be a man (or woman) and put a name to their e mails, Jim. I have a pretty good idea who did it, and even if I am wrong, I am certain there is "cross-talk" going on between the principals.

>How much do ex-marines get in pension?

As I said, "nothing". "Ex" Marines have 6 feet of dirt over thier heads. Retired Marines don't do so bad, and former Marines may or may not get some form of government stipend depending on disability.

>Again, the military may not be for everyone, but it, like anything in life,
>is what you make it. Treat it well and it will treat you VERY well.

As is every other aspect of life, Jim. Lennie the Gutless, however, can't admit that about Amatuer Radio, ergo his ongoing campaign of hatred, lies and verbal abuse of persons who ARE Amatuers or Amatuer Radio as en entity unto itself.

73

Paratus et Vigilans

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 8152215571181489446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 17:55:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbp7t26.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net> <WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164> <3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79> <3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100> <3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70> <3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166> <3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>

```
<3AD4970A.3053B710@IEEE.org> <9b4ilu$103$1@208.206.142.83>
<3AD5CF14.56C77703@IEEE.org> <5TqB6.2333$tx5.57644@typhoon.sonic.net>
<3AD63C21.9FD520D6@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Lines: 27
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 00:49:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 987122982 206.58.44.15 (Fri, 13 Apr 2001 00:49:42
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 00:49:42 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15125
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19284

On Thu, 12 Apr 2001 18:37:05 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:

>Absolutely wrong. What is it about emergency communications that you
>do not understand? Read below.
>
>=A797.403 Safety of life and protection of property.
>
>No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of a=
ny
>means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential commun=
ication
>needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and immedia=
te
>protection of property when normal communication systems are not availa=
ble.
>--

  As I have posted on many occasions, the pizzing contest starts
  on whether it was (a) a real safety-of-life-or-property
  emergency and (b) whether other communication methods and
  channels were available.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP





From 1838238467733465364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108228,8ce1b4bf0ac247ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108228,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 108122,8ce1b4bf0ac247ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce1b4bf0ac247ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,8ce1b4bf0ac247ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce1b4bf0ac247ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,8ce1b4bf0ac247ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 20:11:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups: alt.ham-
radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur
.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Downloadable IOTA List update
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <Z3uB6.3464$Pj2.314636@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 03:07:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.14.189.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987131257 63.14.189.3 (Thu, 12 Apr 2001
20:07:37 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 20:07:37 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 20:06:21 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.ham-radio.marketplace:3781
rec.radio.amateur.antenna:20992 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2315
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:11978 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15131
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19285

Downloadable IOTA List updated as of 13-Apr-2001 03:04 UTC

On the IOTA List Page at http://www.ab7sl.com

--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

From -285618776599028438
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 20:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Donohue" <jim_donohue@computer.org>
Newsgroups: rec.boats.electronics,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 20:48:26 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tdctr54ula0g56@corp.supernews.com>
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
<3ad3249c.29462132@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblg9d3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3AD3D54C.6EBF7A1@mindspring.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 66
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.boats.electronics:4062
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19286

You guys are taking yourself too seriously. It is illegal.   It is a federal offense. It is anti-social. It is improbable that any of the bad things that can happen will happen. Go forth - avoid sin - because it is the right thing to do. If you must sin keep it small and local. If you must sin big - then we will find you and sic Rolly Hollingsworth on your a**. And he will annoy you until you are dead or broke.

Jim KO6MH.

"Glenn Ashmore" <gashmore@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3AD3D54C.6EBF7A1@mindspring.com...
> And he get's his radio and all associated equipment confiscated and is
subject to a
> big fine.
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
>
> > On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 15:33:05 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

```
> >
> > >>I am an avid shortwave listener. I am intending to buy a used Icom
M700 marine
> > >>HF band transceiver. My questions is these. Can I use the transceiver
to
> > >>communicate with ships and boats around the world. Will they respond
to my
> > >>transmission. I don't have any HAM or commercial license to operate
any radios.
> > >>Thank You all for the info.
> > >>
> > >Any such radio operation would be a federal offense with potentially
> > >serious consequences. The only way you can legally operate two-way HF
> > >radios for recreational purposes is via the Citizens Radio Service (no
> > >license required) or the Amateur Radio Service (ham license required).
> > >
> > >>
> > >If you got stupid and put the M700 on the air anyway you most likely
> > >would be ignored by the legitimate users of the marine frequencies.
> >
> >   The FCC refers to such marine band "pirate station" as "kitchen
> >   coastals" from the days when the usual such installation was in
> >   the home of a commercial fishing vessel owner and used by
> >   family to contact the vessel without benefit of license as a
> >   Private Coast Station or the services of the various Marine
> >   Operators (Public Cost Stations).
> >
> >   When the US Coast Guard or the commercial marine users (ATT and
> >   Globe Wireless, for instance) feel that they've had enough
> >   competition or complaints, they get the FCC to do "make an
> >   example" sweeps.
> >
> >   Like catching fish in a barrel, BTW...
> >
> > --
> >   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
> --
> Glenn Ashmore
>
> I'm building a 45' cutter in strip/composite. Watch my progress (or lack
there of)
> at:  http://www.rutuonline.com
>
>




From -3990284326407122126
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 21:40:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 235
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 04:37:54 GMT
References: <20010411140605.25414.00000903@nso-cd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010413003754.15271.00001057@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19287

In article <20010411140605.25414.00000903@nso-cd.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>So...Miccolis has some kind of psychic power to see through
>>>publicly-available databases to determine "quickness?"
>>
>>Nope. I know lots of hams and some VEs, that's all. Plus I read the amateur
>>radio news of that time.
>
>If you personally knew a thousand hams (and some VEs), that would
>still be less than 0.2% of all US licensees. How many
>Technician-Plusses did you say you "knew?" :-)

Enough to know what was going on around April of last year.
>
>>The license totals are affected by uogrades even if they are not separately
>>tabulated in the AH0A data. You are ignoring that fact.
>
>Not ignoring anything...

Yes, you are.

>only working with another's tabulations.

Which you don't understand.

>If that is displeasing you, contact AH0A about his methods.

His methods are fully explained on his website. It's your methods that are faulty.

>>If Len Anderson wants to ignore the presence and effect of "upgrades," he
>>needs a quantitative value of that NUMBER that is available for reference by
>>anyone.
>
>The FCC database, in two files, is about 72 MB.

So?

> If Miccolis has
>the ISP time and a search-and-tabulate computer program, he
>can do everyone a favor and show his work.

Why? Your work is faulty. That is the issue. I'm not going to do your work for you.

> Everyone else can
>make do with what others provide free of charge.

If someone makes mistakes in how they analyze that data, is it somehow "wrong" to point out those mistakes? If so, why?
>
>>>Any other argument about "upgrade"
>>>numbers is mere subjective opinion and not factual.
>>
>>The existence of such upgrades and their effect on the totals IS factual.
>
>Your explanation is insufficient to resolve the subjectivity of
>trying to say that upgrades "have an effect."

Nope. Your ignoring them is a process error. You need to show that the numbers of upgrades are small enough to be ignored. You have not done that.
>
>In the ten months from the end of June 2000 to the end of March
>2001 the number of Technician class licensees increased by
>16,029

Let's check that out. AH0A says 208,838 at the end of June 00 and 224,867 at the end of Mar 01. Difference is 16,029. That part you got right.

> but of that, 15,970 were new licensees

No. That's NOT correct.

First off, the number of new Techncian licenses in the period you mentioned is 13,494, not 15,970. This is because the new license total for the period is figured by adding up the monthly new licenses of July 2000 to March 2001. The new licenses issued in June 2000 are already included in the 208,838 total, because those are end of month totals. So you are already off by 2,476.

Second, the situation is more complex than you present. Here's how it works:

There were 208,838 Technician licenses in the database at the end of June 2000. At the end of March 2001 there were 224,867. This increase is equal to the following sum:

   (new Techncian licenses)
+ (Technician and Technician Plus licenses renewed in the grace period)
+ (upgrades from Novice)

+ (Technician Plus renewals before expiration)
- (expired Technician licenses)
- (cancelled Technician licenses)
- (upgrades to General or Extra)

You ignore most of those factors and just ASSUME that every new Technician did not upgrade in the ten month period.

> exclusive of any
>upgrading or modification or anything else except being new.

Wrong. See above.

>That leaves a difference of 59 which can be attributed to anything
>other than a new license.

Wrong. See above. You presume that none of the new licensees upgraded in ten months.

> Those 59 MAY include all those
>Tech-Plusses who were automatically "renewed" as Techs, but
>that group only represents 0.37% of the total change.

Nope.

A few days ago it was posted here by Jon Bloom that, in the current database, there were 7079 currently active "T" (Technician) licenses with "P" (Technician Plus) in the "previous license class" field. That's straight data from the FCC database. 7079, not 59. That number is a minimum, because the FCC continues to renew Tech Pluses as Technicians every day.
>
>>SO? The effect is still there.
>
>How BIG of an "effect" did you say it was? :-)

7079 out of 13,494 is a bit more than half.
>
>   <"quotes" from past messages omitted for brevity...>

You mean your MISTAKES. Do you deny that you wrote them? They are all in Google. Here are the ones you edited out that don't involve the above mistaken calculations. Are they accurate or not? Do you agree with them or not? If they are true facts, explain them. If they are false, say so.

(BEGIN QUOTES):

"For Technician-Plus license class the renewals can only be between 10 and 8 1/3 percent of all Technician-Plus licensees."

"For the Technician class licensee, the number of renewals will be small compared to all other classes because their initial numbers were small."

"Those 15,970 new Technicians still don't have any HF access with/without

CSCEs."

(END QUOTES)

Not a true fact in that bunch. Yet you wrote all of them, Len. I think you edited them out because you are beginning to realize how wrong they are.

And they are just a small sample of your mistakes in postings here. You provide us with an embarassment of riches. ;-)

>>Your understanding is still faulty.
>
>OK, so 0.37% of all those added Technician licensees represent
>something "significant" in showing that "many" Tech-Plusses
>were "renewed" as Technician?!? 59 out of 16,029? I don't think
>so...

The correct numbers are at least 7,079 out of 13,494. 52%. Pretty significant, I'd say.
>
>>Emperor Anderson has spoken.
>
>Now, now, you are getting testy... :-)

Me? Not at all. I'm just pointing out your errors, Len. Your mistakes in logical processes and the handling of these numbers. Real engineers welcome having their work checked. Wannabes are afraid of having their faulty methods exposed.
>
>>Nope. The use of any timeframe in a nonvalid process yields nonvalid data.
>>You use nonvalid processes - bad logic - mistakes - errors - call 'em what
>you
>>will.
>
>Tsk, tsk, you don't accept what I said so that means it is a
>"mistake, bad logic, errors?"

No. Your method of using the data is faulty. Your understanding of the AH0A information, and some of the current FCC rules, is defective, faulty, incorrect, invalid. In other words, just plain wrong. As I have shown repeatedly.

>Of course you won't accept it
>since that means acknowledging mistakes and bad logic on
>Micollis' part. :-)

What mistakes have I made? What bad logic have I shown? The only mistakes and bad logic here are YOURS, Len. As I have shown.
>
>>You can call me names but that won't make your faulty logic any more valid.
>
>Using another Extra's own sorting-and-tabulating values,
>available free of charge to anyone, is somehow "faulty?"

Nope. It's the WAY you use them that is faulty. As I have shown, and which you refuse to accept for some reason.
>
>Of course it is "faulty" because the data tabulations go
>against the True Faith of what many think that US amateur
>radio "should have." :-)

Nope. It is faulty because you ignore what is really going on with the data. You use inaccurate, oversimplified processes and then declare the results to be valid.

Explain the 7079 Technicians with "P" as prior license, Len. Explain why, in the AH0A data, there are almost no Technician renewals prior to April 2000 and the all of a sudden there are almost no Tech Plus renewals and thousands of Tech renewals, yet you claim that "only 59" Tech Pluses have been reclassed as Technicians.
>
>If the data shows trends away from what "should be" or
>what is urban myth, then the data and everything about it
>is "faulty."   :-)

That is YOUR philosophy, Len, not mine.

I'm an engineer. Engineers deal with facts and reality, not myths. Real engineers don't just shuffle numbers - we know what those numbers mean, their accuracy, and how they were derived.

If you like the AH0A data so much, take a look at the "differenced data" page...
>
>If the data is presented by someone not licensed in the US
>amateur radio service, it must be "heresy" and considered
>"faulty."   :-)

Nope. It's the faulty method that gives faulty answers.

N2EY

From 7586112448523386561
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-12 22:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Thu, 12 Apr 2001 21:25:46 -0400

```
Organization: -
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3AD65597.B74AD587@sccoast.net>
References: <674d12a2bc747a8f0c8f124983c7417b@anon.xg.nu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblhv55.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AD428E2.7B178518@sccoast.net>
<IL3B6.163$5B2.4076@eagle.america.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-275.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987125146 144665 206.74.233.245 (13 Apr 2001 01:25:46
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 01:25:46 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19288

Brian wrote:
>
>   I missed your stupid fucking assinine
> remarks about the Coast Guard and Navy
> the first time I read your rant.


  Drugs, mental problem, or just way too much caffeine?


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net


From 7360612730940989835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 00:42:18 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.boats.electronics,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 06:29:27 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad3f32e.82350557@news2.dvol.com>
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
<3ad3249c.29462132@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblg9d3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.80
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.80
X-Trace: 11 Apr 2001 01:26:31 -0500, 64.24.17.80
```

```
Lines: 30
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.boats.electronics:4065
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19289

On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 00:35:41 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:
>
>  The FCC refers to such marine band "pirate station" as "kitchen
>  coastals" from the days when the usual such installation was in
>  the home of a commercial fishing vessel owner and used by
>  family to contact the vessel without benefit of license as a
>  Private Coast Station or the services of the various Marine
>  Operators (Public Cost Stations).
>
Aw, hell, we had a VHF HT at the summer place per an old post of mine.
Used it to talk at the boat on rare occasions. I doubt it was on the
air for  three-four minutes per year. The fruitcake who strarted this
thread is talking using one of the 150 watt HF ssb marine rigs for
jollies.
>
>  When the US Coast Guard or the commercial marine users (ATT and
>  Globe Wireless, for instance) feel that they've had enough
>  competition or complaints, they get the FCC to do "make an
>  example" sweeps.
>
Viva satellite or cell phones, ballgame over.
>
>  Like catching fish in a barrel, BTW...
>
No-brainer . .
>--
>  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
w3rv


From -7359985709944933705
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 00:42:22 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Wed, 11 Apr 2001 06:16:14 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad3f51e.82846107@news2.dvol.com>
References: <674d12a2bc747a8f0c8f124983c7417b@anon.xg.nu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.80
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.80
X-Trace: 11 Apr 2001 01:13:17 -0500, 64.24.17.80
Lines: 18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19290

On Tue, 10 Apr 2001 10:25:47 -0500, "Public <Anonymous_Account>"
<remailer@xganon.com> wrote:

>Great hobby!  Pleasure boats haven't used call signs in years.  They use
>the name of their boat as a call sign.   I recommend the UNIDEN MC-1010
>from AES or other outlets.  It's $129 and your're on the marine band
>having fun talking to pleasure boats around the world.  Add a linear if
>you want.
>
Since when did they start using class A or B amps for FM
transmitters??
>
> Make up a name of a boat, like Lilly B, or  Sally J, and
>you are on the air.  I talked to a guy last night near Tahiti from
>here at my home in Oklahoma City.
>
Yeah, sure. Oklahoma City to Tahiti on 156.800 Mhz . . grow up.



From -8111982965499893988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c621431170cbf57
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 03:04:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newscon06.news.prodigy.com!newscon02.news.prodigy.com!
prodigy.com!cyclone.swbell.net!nnrp1.sbc.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "KC5HCF" <KC5HCF@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ad25ed7@news2.mibx.net> <9av8r5$d0i$2@208.207.71.166>
Subject: Re: Twistedhed Sucks Dead Hog Nuts
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <C7AB6.78$ow5.9793@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 05:00:42 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.217.145.178
X-Complaints-To: abuse@swbell.net
X-Trace: nnrp1.sbc.net 987156066 64.217.145.178 (Fri, 13 Apr 2001 05:01:06 CDT)
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 05:01:06 CDT
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19291

And to think that this guy is a big fan of the 6 meter band. This guy is
Doug Adair. I have seen him around, what an idiot


"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9av8r5$d0i$2@208.207.71.166...
>
>
> Fred_Bloggs wrote:
> >
> > I juts wanted to see that in print. LOL.
>
>
>  You could have done it in wordpad and spared the rest of us.





From -3883836658363650622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 05:50:04 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.boats.electronics,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 12:49:20 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad6f363.279021526@news2.dvol.com>
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
<3ad3249c.29462132@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblg9d3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3AD3D54C.6EBF7A1@mindspring.com> <tdctr54ula0g56@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.29
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.29
X-Trace: 13 Apr 2001 07:46:17 -0500, 64.24.17.29
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!4.24.21.153.MISMATCH!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!
chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.boats.electronics:4068
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19292

On Thu, 12 Apr 2001 20:48:26 -0700, "Jim Donohue"
<jim_donohue@computer.org> wrote:
```

>You guys are taking yourself too seriously. It is illegal.   It is a federal
>offense. It is anti-social. It is improbable that any of the bad things
>that can happen will happen. Go forth - avoid sin - because it is the right
>thing to do. If you must sin keep it small and local. If you must sin
>big - then we will find you and sic Rolly Hollingsworth on your a**. And he
>will annoy you until you are dead or broke.
>
>Jim KO6MH.
>
>

Do not agree. Wink it here, wink it there, becomes acceptable
behavior, gets codified rather than spend money on enforcement.

>

Riley is a transient anomaly, he ain't policy, not even close.

>

w3rv

```
From -8643707884060965215
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 06:47:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 13:41:59 GMT
References: <3AD396CE.5F685017@bellatlantic.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010413094159.26704.00000010@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19293
```

>From: David Reinhart

>How many hams out there (including the ARRL BOD) have ever stopped to think
>just *why* less that 25% of the hams licensed by the FCC belong to the ARRL?

What is your estimate of the percentages of the totals for each of the
following reasons that hams may not belong to ARRL?

o The ham became deceased during his or her license term

o The ham is not active

o The ham is suffering financial difficulties and is choosing to buy groceries
instead

o The ham does not know much about the ARRL so doesn't know if it is a good value

o The ham is active only on a local repeater so does not believe he or she needs to belong to a national organization

o The ham is late in renewing

o The ham feels very strongly about an ARRL policy matter and so cannot support the organization

>AOPA boasts a membership of *over 50%* of the
>active pilots in the US.

What percentage of amateurs whose licenses are current with the FCC do you believe are active?

>Since I belong to both organizations my feeling
>is that AOPA really *listens* to its members and what they want. My
>experiece
>with the ARRL over the last 24 years has been that the Board decides what's
>good for ham radio and the rest of us had better let them run things.

ARRL Directors publish their names, addresses, telephone numbers and email addressses in QST. They attend club meetings and hamfests and go out of their ways to ask for membership input. I don't know much about AOPA; do their Directors do the same?

>The president of the AOPA, Phil Boyer, has dozens of "town meetings"all over
>the country every year with AOPA members. He always has other members of the
>top staff along that people can talk to.

In what way is this different than what Jim Haynie and the ARRL BOD members do?

>AOPA *gives away an airplane every year*!

Hmmm, that might explain some of your enthusiasm for the organization. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5024431602682030374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4ba709b3d1d13d1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 07:46:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail

From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Logging Practices
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 14:44:33 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9b73ch$igc$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <pr59dt86hnsu1hfu7a5pcoupqjp54f3rnn@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 987173073 18956 205.139.138.14 (13 Apr 2001 14:44:33 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Apr 2001 14:44:33 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19294

Bill Brannick wrote:

> I don't think there is any question that UTC should be used but... I
> was wondering about the the "beginning or end" question.

Back when we "had to" keep a log here's what the FCC Part 12 (long before Part 97) said.

� 12.136 Logs. Each licensee of an amateur station shall keep an accurate log of station operation, which shall include the following:

(a) The date and time of each transmission. (The date need only be entered once for each day's operation. The expression "time of each transmission" means the time of making a call and need not be repeated during the sequence of communication which immediately follows; however, an entry shall be made in the log when signing off so as to show the period during which communication was carried on.)

Based on that early requirement, I still have the habit of logging both the start and end times of the contact. I have, however, quit logging "CQ" transmissions. (Some of my early logs show "CQ" more often than call signs in the "Station Called" column!).

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups

NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -5652544502648361008
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 08:06:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 15:04:20 GMT
References: <N3jB6.86471$XV.22721626@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010413110420.08390.00000077@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19295

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 04/12/01 10:36 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>I have never had a problem up here ... perhaps those nurses were not in
>hospitals but in private facilities up here ?

Nope...Canadian owned and operated public facilities...trauma centers and ER's. Med/surg and other tertiary healthcare facilities. Downtown Toronto. The "real deal".
"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time"

http://hometown.aol.com/k4yz/myhomepage/index.com

From 6847573270346618049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 08:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 15:44:27 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <9b76sr$n1t$1@news.netmar.com>

References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-ch1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 987176667 23613 205.139.138.14 (13 Apr 2001 15:44:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Apr 2001 15:44:27 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: stevenrobeson@aol.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19296

In article <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-ch1.aol.com>, Steven J Robeson K4YZ <stevenrobeson@aol.com> writes:

> That's an understatement.

Once there was a Guppy-named-K4YZ, and he wanted to grow up and become a fish. He told all of the other fish that he was going to be a big fish, but they just laughed and said "Guppies are Guppies, that's all they are." But this Guppy-named-K4YZ thought he was special. He thought if you could yell loud enough, insult others, and generally be a jerk, then people would think he was a big fish. But they only thought he was a loud-mouthed guppy.

So the Guppy-named-K4YZ decided to get even with the other fish. He would be loud and rude and butt in where he wasn't wanted and had no reason to butt in except to make a nuisance of himself. He thought by commanding all of the attention, he could be the biggest fish in the pond. The other fish soon tired of Guppy's obnoxious and boorish behavior. So they got together and told the Guppy-named-K4YZ what a great fish he was, and that he should have the water closest to the sun, where more creatures could enjoy his magnificence and splendor. Soon the Guppy-named-K4YZ was exploring the world at the surface of the water. He was telling insects how to fly and frogs how to croak. He thought his opinion was in demand by the other creatures and shared it whenver he got the chance. The other creatures didn't listen. They weren't in the least interested in the tyrannical rantings of a guppy. Even a self-centered, loud-mouth, egotistical Guppy-named-K4YZ. Then one fine day, the Guppy-named-K4YZ was laying back against a lily pad, telling dragon fly larvae how to swim, when a passing Kingfisher, not knowing his reputation or stature, swooped down and swallowed the Guppy-named-K4YZ whole. Days later the Guppy-named-K4YZ by coincidence was deposited generously back into the very water he was snatched from, and showed up here. Welcome back to the rrap pond, Steve.

73, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From 7653873798033036358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 09:20:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.corecomm.net!reader3-nwblwi.news.corecomm.net!not-for-mail
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 11:19:47 -0500
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com> <9b2pmf$3kt$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<3ad5b6b4.197947665@news2.dvol.com> <9b4hpj$9mj$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3ad72719$0$18887$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Organization: CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL
NNTP-Posting-Host: aa7a468e.news.corecomm.net
X-Trace:
DXC=dP\IF1TDb4_NZUVAGj=[M^@h7X@\0oLO]bo1K;GlJd>[dAcKco4nOkZ2nPo@3Kb=lRL^:NkYe\2VYW
IQgW?o]NCZ
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corecomm.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15156
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19297 rec.radio.amateur.space:2520 rec.radio.swap:28653
```

BOARD OF DIRECTORS....

W4NTI wrote:
>

```
> What the heck is a 'BOD' ??
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:3ad5b6b4.197947665@news2.dvol.com...
> > On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 18:35:16 -0500, "W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote:
> >
> > >You think that was bad...you shuda seen it when 'incentive licensing' was
> > >dumped on us...back around 68 or so.
> > >
> > I wuz there, there was all kinds of hate & dissention pro & con on the
> > matter published in QST well before the BoD took it's position. There
> > was no "sucker punch" involved at all except in the minds of some of
> > those who disagreed with that position. Ditto this restructuring
> > restructuring thing. I supported Incentive Licensing, I did not
> > support restructuring. Win one lose one. Nobody died in either case.
> > At no point have I ever felt that just because I disagree with a BoD
> > position I should have a tantrum and walk away from the League and the
> > membership advantages.
> > >
> > >Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > >
> > >> >and do routinely ignore the bulk of the membership- those who differ
> > >> >with 'official' philosophy.
> > >
> > Point out one instance.
> > >
> > w3rv
> >


From 6178542151350282268
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 09:32:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 13 Apr 2001 16:14:22 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9b78ku$e7j$1@news.hawaii.edu>
```

References: <j.b@b_good.com> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblgbs4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<9b2bff$248$1@localhost.localdomain>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 987178462 14579 128.171.44.55 (13 Apr 2001 16:14:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Apr 2001 16:14:22 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15157
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19298 rec.radio.amateur.space:2521

<wa6ilt@amsat.org> wrote:
>In case you haven't noticed, the vast majority of hams don't even belong
>to the ARRL

...and the vast majority of gun owners don't belong to the NRA, yet
the NRA is considered the spokesman for all the gun folks.

>(and there will be one less this summer: me).

I'll make sure to send the League donations in your name...

73, Jeff KH6O

From 8737785102294437727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 10:58:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 17:55:57 GMT
References: <7_9B6.7738$nI4.1226470@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Message-ID: <20010413135557.24219.00000014@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19300

In article <7_9B6.7738$nI4.1226470@typhoon.kc.rr.com>, "KI8JC - Greg Elsbernd"
<ki8jc@arrl.net> writes:

>I say that if they want to get back in, they take the tests. If they are
>already in, then give them the credit.

That sounds reasonable to me.

>One thing that I personally want to see is people dedicated to the amateur
>radio service. Someone who let their license lapse, obviously didn't have
>that dedication, if they feel they can be dedicated to the hobby now then
>they got to prove it.

The concept of "hobby dedication" is not in the FCC's declarations
or definitions of amateur radio. "Dedication" is a fine rousing-point
for fraternal orders, commonly used elsewhere in such groups. The
FCC licenses amateurs for the purpose of regulating US civil radio
but has not yet declared that "dedication" is a necessary part of
such licensing.

Is "dedication" a necessity to "prove" someone "worthy" of being in
the amateur radio community by members of such a group? Why
is that? The "amateur radio community" does not license anyone.

```
From -2685738894693126336
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 10:58:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 17:55:56 GMT
References: <3ad59a66.85298612@news.epix.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19299
```

In article <3ad59a66.85298612@news.epix.net>, cdean@firstcitizensbank.com
(Corey Dean (FCNB)) writes:

>Keep the code!!! Hell, anyone will be able to get a license if that
>is dropped. Anyone can study the written test and memorize what is in
>there. They actually have to work at the code. Yes people, I said
>work!

Why is "work" necessary?

```
From -812980387849897606
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 10:58:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-out.cwix.com!
newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 17:55:57 GMT
References: <20010412074118.10199.00004348@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010413135557.24219.00000013@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19301

In article <20010412074118.10199.00004348@ng-md1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Citizens Band Radio Service.  Created in 1958 (class A and B), and
>>in 1959 (class C and D).  Nursie was 3 and 4 years old.  She could
>>"see" what happened...
>
>>Of course she could.
>
>     What?  It was "created" in the 50's and I can't "see" what has happened
>to CB "NOW" in 2001..???

Trying to bring up CB as some sort of bogeyman is false under the
subject thread.  If CB is some cause for alarum and the ARRL is
somehow "at fault," then there should have been some explanation
of how the ARRL did not help its membership because of the CB
creation.  Nursie did not bring this up, just inferred that the ARRL
and the FCC was "wrong."  No explanations, nothing but the
extension of personal pejoratives to organizations.  No thinking.


>    Lennie, you really are an idiot.  I thought it was just a "summer thing".

Showing fond regards will get nursie nowhere.  :-)





>"An America Not Preapred For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."
```

From 552201394527075803
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 10:58:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 17:55:58 GMT
References: <20010412074523.10199.00004350@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Message-ID: <20010413135558.24219.00000015@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19303

In article <20010412074523.10199.00004350@ng-md1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Go off and play sojer in da woods...
>
>   Grabbing at straws again, I see, Lennie.

I've never pretended to be an "officer" in some militia group. Nursie got a waiver to wear another uniform in some NON-federal, NON-National Guard outfit without having to attend OCS, West Point, ROTC, or any military academy?

How was the "brigade" meeting on the 15th of March?   :-)

>   You really are a very pitiful, sorry person, Lennie. I truly do pity
>you Moreso, I pity your wife who is going to have to care for you.

Whatever strikes your pejorative personality. :-)

My wife takes care of me just fine...just as I take care of her just fine. [tsk, tsk, nursie missed out on the big chance at charging "impotence" again! ;-)]

Is nursie going to bother with AMATEUR RADIO TOPICS or is she going to continue insulting individuals she doesn't like?

Nursie is reminded that each posting of hers reflects on the US amateur radio service as a role model for others.

>"An America Not Preapred For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."

```
From 635138362473044534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 10:58:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 17:55:56 GMT
References: <20010412075915.10199.00004358@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
Message-ID: <20010413135556.24219.00000011@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19305
```

In article <20010412075915.10199.00004358@ng-md1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>How much do ex-marines get in pension benefits?
>
>   Nothing. "Ex-Marines" are decesed. I am a retired Marine.

...shades of the old Morgan v. Robeson "battles!"   :-)

Those released from a military service due to medical discharges
are "retired?"   :-)   [based on nursie's own written words here]

Should there be some discussion of marines playing sojer once
a month, dressing up in cammies, and telling "war stories?"   :-)

>   Hmmm...tries to humiliate me with the "calling names" thingie while at
>the same time doing it himself.

To paraphrase a Biblical statement, nursie sews what she rapes.

Bad tailoring, very bad.

>>Oh, my, this poor baby just cannot take any controversy!
>
>   There's no controversy about how gutless you are, Lennie. None at all.

"Gutless?" :-)

As with Hans Brakob, I don't need anonymity or private mail to stand
up to someone in public.

Remember that every posting by an Extra class radio amateur is a
reflection on that radio service.

>"An American Not Prepared For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace At Any Time."

From 1042964342930534911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 10:58:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 17:56:01 GMT
References: <20010412075013.10199.00004353@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010413135601.24219.00000018@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19307

In article <20010412075013.10199.00004353@ng-md1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Poor baby. She can't say where the "math was flawed," because
>>she can't do any math, hasn't done any math in here, is afraid to
>>do any math in here.
>
>   I am sure it makes you feel good to think so, Lennie. So you just keep
>on thinking that.

It's visible to all. Big steebie hasn't massaged any numbers,

only massaged ### ###### by attempting to insult others for
having independent opinions.

Where is "the math flawed," nursie? Are 59 out of 16,029 new
Technician class licensees "significantly" from Tech-Plus
renewals?

Are there really more HF-privileged licensees on the air after
restructuring took place on April 15, 2000? If so, how can you
"prove" that? By insulting others again?

>>Go away. Let those who know what numbers are do the math.
>
>   I am sure you'd love that, Lennie, but you are stuck with me. Until you
>behave, anyway. And I don't see THAT happening any time soon.

Go soak. Try to get that Dale Caneigie Course back again after
becoming tossed out of the class.

>>Poor baby, so hurt, can only make nasty to others when she can't
>>understand what is going on.
>
>   Again, I am sure you think so. Be happy in your ignorance, Oh
>Thoughtless One.

Not ignorance, nursie. It shows up on everyone's screens. You have
an obsession with Getting certain people. Quite a visible obsession.

You don't play well with others, a social defect.

>>True, but I know a Standard Deviate when she posts...and the
>>steebie did.
>
>   Take's one, Lennie?

The term "standard deviation" and "three-sigma" are very common
in statistics. Nursie cannot understand any word-play other than
common pejoratives.

From 5535881675394655543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 10:58:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 17:56:00 GMT
References: <20010412073830.10199.00004346@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Message-ID: <20010413135600.24219.00000017@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19306

In article <20010412073830.10199.00004346@ng-md1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Took one when the steebie was about 1 year old.
>
>   However for the purposes of THIS forum, you are the one in diapers, Oh Impotent One.

There is an ongoing, irrelevant gang-machismo insult from nursie on IMPOTENCE. Sorry, little girl, I do not have that or even expect that. However, considering the number of times nursie has written it, she is probably post-menopausal.

>When was the last time you took an Amatuer Radio examination?

I have not taken any amatuer radio examination.

>   And Lennie...YOU said you let your COMMERCIAL license "expire" October 12th.

No. I said "virtually expire." For all intents and purposes, a commercial radiotelephone license has little need in US commercial radio. It is not a necessity for me being retired.

> Ergo ANY license that you procured at ANY age is irrelevant.
>
>   Just like you.

False. Nursie will come on again with the "necessity" to take an amatuer radio examination in order to "appreciate it" or show "dedication" and personal resolve. Neither appreciation, dedication, or resolve is a necessity to show the FCC any license worthiness.

Nursie wants to roll around in the mud of personal pejoratives yet cannot bring herself to consider that a First Phone was a license examination at an FCC office in Chicago, March 1955.

Nursie can only mud-wrestle.

Nursie is a prime example of a US Extra class amateur radio licensee.

>"An America Not Preapred For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."

```
From -5514559565447049099
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 10:58:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 17:55:59 GMT
References: <9b4hvj$629$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
reaffirmed
Message-ID: <20010413135559.24219.00000016@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19302
```

In article <9b4hvj$629$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:

>> The major task of the FCC is regulating communications. It
>> would seem reasonable that the FCC should afford the citizen
>> the same timely notice of new regulatory change information
>> as a special interest group such as the ARRL.
>
>Paranoia prevails at Len's house again. All citizens, including those in
>California, can subscribe (free) to the same FCC Daily Digest email which
>provides the "timely notice" to ARRL and others interested in FCC news.

Point taken. I do not subscribe to that daily digest any more...

That still doesn't explain why the FCC doesn't update their own
WTB amateur radio page to reflect new rulings. [a minor oversight
but the FCC doesn't seem to consider amateur radio that
important to keep that page timely]

Considering the daily digest supplies all that news, why does the
ARRL bother to maintain news from the FCC as if the ARRL was
the major supplier of such news? [see "full story," etc., and other
little journalistic phrases]

Just a few questions...   :-)

From -2654725868800083573
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 10:58:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 17:55:55 GMT
References: <20010412075500.10199.00004356@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Message-ID: <20010413135555.24219.00000010@nso-fm.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19304

In article <20010412075500.10199.00004356@ng-md1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Poor baby. Unable to coexist with differing opinons?
>
>   Differing opinions? Of course. Insufferable boors? Never.

Nursie thinks that differing opinions are "boorish." Everyone has to adhere to the Party Line or be dressed down by the self-proclaimed Dill Instructor. :-)

>>Why would it be "tolerable and constructive?!?
>
>   It would be tolerable because YOU would not be in there creating havoc
>and constructive because something might actually get done.

"Get done?"   There seems to be a very stout and staunch defense mounted to keep the status quo, preserving the conditions that existed decades ago when all the Dill Instructors got their first stripes. Keeping everything constant is not "constructive."

>   Two situations which do NOT occur with you there.

So...there is only the TRUE way, led by the Righteous?

Go for it, Moses. Part the Rad Sea, lead the children into Cannot. Back into slavery. Don't get wet again...

>   The English translation.
>
>
>"An America Not Preapred For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."

From -438459990950686021
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 11:32:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 18:29:53 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9b7gj1$57k$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <9b4hvj$629$1@news.netmar.com> <20010413135559.24219.00000016@nso-fm.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 987186593 5364 205.139.138.14 (13 Apr 2001 18:29:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Apr 2001 18:29:53 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: lenover21@aol.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19308

In article <20010413135559.24219.00000016@nso-fm.aol.com>, Len Over 21
<lenover21@aol.com> writes:

> Considering the daily digest supplies all that news, why does the
> ARRL bother to .....

Because the FCC daily digest doesn't supply "all that news", but only
short one-line teasers (hence the name "digest").

dit dit

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 4390919073856767283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 12:02:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 18:58:56 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <9b7i9g$79c$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <9b4hvj$629$1@news.netmar.com> <20010413135559.24219.00000016@nso-fm.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 987188336 7468 205.139.138.14 (13 Apr 2001 18:58:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Apr 2001 18:58:56 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: lenover21@aol.com
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19309

In article <20010413135559.24219.00000016@nso-fm.aol.com>, Len Over 21
<lenover21@aol.com> writes:

> Considering the daily digest supplies all that news.......

By the way, it's now official -- the FCC recognizes the NCTA -- from todays official FCC Daily Digest comes this snippet.......

NEWS RELEASES

STATEMENT OF FCC CHAIRMAN POWELL ON NCTA BOARD COMMITMENT TO VOLUNTARY OUTREACH EFFORTS. Contact: David Fiske 202-418-0513. News Release OCH DOC-211959A1.pdf DOC-211959A1.doc DOC-211959A1.txt

Will there also be "VOLUNTARY OUTREACH EFFORTS" from NCI, and will Chairman Powell make a statement whn they do?

<BSEG>

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 272372567383463276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 12:20:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Donohue" <jim_donohue@computer.org>
Newsgroups: rec.boats.electronics,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 12:18:08 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tdekahm2lh879b@corp.supernews.com>
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
<3ad3249c.29462132@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblg9d3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3AD3D54C.6EBF7A1@mindspring.com> <tdctr54ula0g56@corp.supernews.com>

```
<3ad6f363.279021526@news2.dvol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 35
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.boats.electronics:4075
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19310

Uhhhh.. What is it you disagree with? If he/she is going to do it anyway you
want them to do it big and ugly?  You don't think we should sic Riley on
them?

The real policy by the way is actually pretty permissive.  You gotta be real
bad before Riley comes barking.  I do agree Riley is bascially a marketing
concept though.

Jim
"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3ad6f363.279021526@news2.dvol.com...
> On Thu, 12 Apr 2001 20:48:26 -0700, "Jim Donohue"
> <jim_donohue@computer.org> wrote:
>
> >You guys are taking yourself too seriously. It is illegal.   It is a
federal
> >offense.  It is anti-social.  It is improbable that any of the bad things
> >that can happen will happen.  Go forth - avoid sin - because it is the
right
> >thing to do.  If you must sin keep it small and local.  If you must sin
> >big - then we will find you and sic Rolly Hollingsworth on your a**.  And
he
> >will annoy you until you are dead or broke.
> >
> >Jim KO6MH.
> >
> >
> Do not agree. Wink it here, wink it there, becomes acceptable
> behavior, gets codified rather than spend money on enforcement.
> >
> Riley is a transient anomaly, he ain't policy, not even close.
> >
> w3rv




From -3420920609342650957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 13:00:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

```
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 19:56:13 GMT
References: <20010412200232.01577.00003554@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
Message-ID: <20010413155613.14152.00000002@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19311

In article <20010412200232.01577.00003554@ng-fo1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:


>>Again, the military may not be for everyone, but it, like anything in life,
>>is what you make it.  Treat it well and it will treat you VERY well.
>
>     As is every other aspect of life, Jim.  Lennie the Gutless, however,
>can't admit that about Amatuer Radio, ergo his ongoing campaign of hatred,
lies >and verbal abuse of persons who ARE Amatuers or Amatuer Radio as en
entity >unto itself.

Amateur radio is an interesting, fascinating avocation.  To fully engage
in that hobby there is a necessity to license those who emit RF.

Unfortunately, some of the licensed hobbyists emit more than RF in
seeking to force all newcomers to do just as they did for their
license.  That emission results in false, misleading charges of
"hatred, lies, and abuse" as if the emitter represents ALL of US
amateur radio.  Such emitters seek to rule, to be the authorities
even though all they have are loud noises.




>"An America Not Preapred For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."





From -3947556239240563815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 13:00:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 61
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 19:56:13 GMT
References: <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19312

In article <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>> > At the onset of WWII, the Zero was by far the better plane than anything
>> > the US had at that time..which was The Grumman Wildcat.
>>
>> Spitfire, mustang etc etc RAF aircraft ... check em out ...
>
>Check out what you ass??. You were the one that had our harbors being
>bombed by outdated aircraft. When did the RAF bomb Pearl Harbor. For
>that matter...mentally challenged doofus, when did the RAF participate in
>the Pacific theater of WWII IN THEIR OWN AIRCRAFT.

From 1940 through 1945. You don't know WW2 in any detail.

Before mouthing off ANONYMOUSLY it would be better to confirm
that the United Kingdom was at war with the Axis powers of WW2
before the United States. Canada was on the side of the Allied
Forces and actively fighting the war before the USA.

>Don't show your stupidity by making a statement and then answering it
>incorrectly.
>
>The Mustang came out in 1943-44...well after 1941. But hey, that's that
>Canuck educational system shining brightly..yes sir.

The first North American Aircraft "Mustang" flew on October 26, 1940.
The North American Model Number was NA-73X and was required
to have the civil aircraft registration NX-19998. [source: Rockwell
International public relations (Rockwell bought NAA some time ago)]

>So tell me Mr Wizard..how did the Spitfire land on aircraft carriers?
>What did they have...Velcro on their tyres??

A cursory check of older aviation literature will turn up a few
Supermarine Spitfires of more advanced mark being used
on carriers, called the "Seafire." Velcro had not been
invented yet so the Royal Navy used conventional arresting

gear.

>Must have great on the airframes, them being made of wood with cloth
>wings and all. All that salt air keeping the fabric nice and tight.

See Grumman, Vultee, and Douglas Aircraft models of WW2:
Wildcat, Dauntless, Avenger, and other good names (including
the "Vultee Vibrator"). Fabric and dope on tails and control
surfaces. Those were the victors at the Battle of Midway,
carrier borne all.

Brewster Aircraft Company, makers of that wonder of the
fighter-plane skies, the Buffalo, went bankrupt DURING
WW2. It was a US company.

>Get a clue, better yet, get a box full. You are a treat.

Get a truckload of civility, anonymous one, get a mountain
of knowledge. You are NOT a treat.

Go join big steebie fighting the Battle of the Flippin Sleeze.

From 9054156483741467398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 13:00:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 123
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 19:56:15 GMT
References: <20010413003754.15271.00001057@nso-fp.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010413155615.14152.00000003@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19313

In article <20010413003754.15271.00001057@nso-fp.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>Not ignoring anything...
>
>Yes, you are.
>

>>only working with another's tabulations.
>
>Which you don't understand.
>
>>If that is displeasing you, contact AH0A about his methods.
>
>His methods are fully explained on his website. It's your methods that are
>faulty.

Miccolis wishes to debate beyond the "fly speck in pepper" stage
stating constantly "errors, mistakes, fault!"   :-)

I believe my math was close enough to be accurate in assessment
of the classes of licenses both before and after April 15, 2000,
namely that the New licensees' trend to Technician continues and
that there is no signs of any exodus to increase users on
amateur HF bands.

That trend is visible to all...except those who do not want to see it.

>Why? Your work is faulty. That is the issue. I'm not going to do your work
>for you.

Ooooo! :-)

>If someone makes mistakes in how they analyze that data, is it somehow
>"wrong" to point out those mistakes? If so, why?

Sorry, old fly speck, but my analysis is no more "mistaken" after
restructuring any more than it was "mistaken" before. You
DON'T LIKE THE ANALYSIS, therefore you brand the analysis
"mistaken, faulty, error, etc."

What YOU WANT is to keep the status quo as much as possible,
to keep the Faith in what all Good and Real hams "should" think,
"must keep" as a "moral" and (even) way of life. I'm not going to
do that since the environment is changing, the paradigms are
changing and evolving. I don't give you twenty cents for your
paradigms of the olden times.

       <snip of fly-speckiness...>

>Me? Not at all. I'm just pointing out your errors, Len. Your mistakes in
>logical processes and the handling of these numbers. Real engineers welcome
>having their work checked. Wannabes are afraid of having their faulty methods
>exposed.

Bullshit. You are trying to act like Management. You WANT
certain conclusions and, by Hiram, you are going to get it.   :-)

The trend of newcomers going to Technician was there before restructuring. It continues. Further, against the grain of the established ham conservatives, Techs are renewing as Techs. Such is heresy against Conventional Wisdom (CW) of the long-time ham conservatives.

REAL engineers challenge bad decisions in "open court" of design review meetings or by going to other companies. REAL engineers don't sit around complacent with state-of-the-art of three decades ago or outdated methods. Avocations are NOT engineering. Amateur radio is an avocation.

>No. Your method of using the data is faulty. Your understanding of the AH0A
>information, and some of the current FCC rules, is defective, faulty,
>incorrect, invalid. In other words, just plain wrong. As I have shown
>repeatedly.

All Miccolis has "shown" is a desperation to keep the status quo, including keeping all the old myths alive. The status is not quo and newcomers are not appreciating the standards and practices of three, four, or five decades ago. Miccolis is in denial of the obvious.

<snip>

>>If the data shows trends away from what "should be" or
>>what is urban myth, then the data and everything about it
>>is "faulty." :-)
>
>That is YOUR philosophy, Len, not mine.

The hell it isn't. :-)

>I'm an engineer. Engineers deal with facts and reality, not myths. Real
>engineers don't just shuffle numbers - we know what those numbers mean, their
>accuracy, and how they were derived.

Geez. A rather pompous way of trying to say Miccolis is the only one correct. :-)

REAL engineers deal with facts, reality, environment, social climates, public opinion, quality, and, certainly, some numbers. Lots of the things that begin an engineering project developement aren't Divine or cast in everlasting cement. Even the goals can change between start and finish of a product. REAL engineers stay flexible, adaptable, able to deal with change and changes.

The amateur radio Beliefs that you subscribed to three decades ago have changed, are changing. Right about now one out of three US radio amateurs are Technician class. The vast majority of that class has not taken any morse code test and it doesn't appear likely that they wish to do so. The FCC said 11 years ago that it did not consider morse code testing to be useful to them as indicating anything useful for licensing. It repeated that one and a half years ago. Long-time conservative hams are still stuck in their time-warp of "knowing what is best for newcomers" when all they are doing is making newcomers do the same as they did...for no reason other than making them go through a Hazing Ritual.

Newcomers aren't buying the old Belief set. They shouldn't.

From 4212105637543252829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 14:36:10 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:35:18 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad770a7.311090377@news2.dvol.com>
References: <674d12a2bc747a8f0c8f124983c7417b@anon.xg.nu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblhv55.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AD428E2.7B178518@sccoast.net>
<IL3B6.163$5B2.4076@eagle.america.net> <3AD65597.B74AD587@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.93
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.93
X-Trace: 13 Apr 2001 16:32:12 -0500, 64.24.17.93
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19314

On Thu, 12 Apr 2001 21:25:46 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Brian wrote:
>>
>>   I missed your stupid fucking assinine
>> remarks about the Coast Guard and Navy
>> the first time I read your rant.
>
>
> Drugs, mental problem, or just way too much caffeine?
>

It's called "developmentally challenged." Ya don't even respond to
asinine trolls like his.

>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>

>http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -3756413851373957715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 14:38:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9asjjv$fd1$1@news.netmar.com> <20010409125200.02398.00001978@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3AD346FF.6F51@bellsouth.net> <9b0j37$ciu$0@208.207.71.134>
<xR8B6.7721$nI4.1189161@typhoon.kc.rr.com> <9b36im$2uc$0@208.206.142.73>
<7_9B6.7738$nI4.1226470@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <kjKB6.139$hH3.11203@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:36:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.175.16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 987197776 12.88.175.16 (Fri, 13 Apr
2001 21:36:16 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:36:16 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19315
```

Sheesh! Who cares? I let my license expire in 1981 and decided to get back into amateur radio in 1993. So what if I didn't pass the 5 WPM test, nor the 13 WPM test either? The way these tests are set up, anyone with a halfway decent high school education shouldn't have a problem testing out. Heck, if I had known that I could have credit for my license WN2CJV, I could have simply waited 8 years instead of spending a few minutes taking that simple code test.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

```
From 7798557652007485999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 14:45:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!news-
out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!
worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.boats.electronics
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Lines: 8
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <AqKB6.143$hH3.11190@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:44:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.175.16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 987198240 12.88.175.16 (Fri, 13 Apr
2001 21:44:00 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:44:00 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19316
rec.boats.electronics:4077

Well, there are a few answers in the thread that has evolved. Where the
heck is the guy who responds to these type questions with "troll, troll,
troll your boat" when we need him?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

From 1272972658768678745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 14:50:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.15413e743235453d9896b3@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>
<20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com>

Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 120
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:48:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.79.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987198493 64.157.79.3 (Fri, 13 Apr 2001 14:48:13 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 14:48:13 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 14:46:56 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19317

In article <20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com says...
> In article <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:
>
> >> > At the onset of WWII, the Zero was by far the better plane than anything
> >> > the US had at that time..which was The Grumman Wildcat.
> >>
> >> Spitfire, mustang etc etc RAF aircraft ... check em out ...
> >
> >Check out what you ass??. You were the one that had our harbors being
> >bombed by outdated aircraft. When did the RAF bomb Pearl Harbor. For
> >that matter...mentally challenged doofus, when did the RAF participate in
> >the Pacific theater of WWII IN THEIR OWN AIRCRAFT.
>
> From 1940 through 1945. You don't know WW2 in any detail.
>
> Before mouthing off ANONYMOUSLY it would be better to confirm
> that the United Kingdom was at war with the Axis powers of WW2
> before the United States. Canada was on the side of the Allied
> Forces and actively fighting the war before the USA.

Gee, no kidding? I wasn't aware of that. Canada was on the side of the
Allies?? who would have thought. What aircraft did they build and fly.

>
> >Don't show your stupidity by making a statement and then answering it
> >incorrectly.
> >
> >The Mustang came out in 1943-44...well after 1941. But hey, that's that
> >Canuck educational system shining brightly..yes sir.
>
> The first North American Aircraft "Mustang" flew on October 26, 1940.
> The North American Model Number was NA-73X and was required
> to have the civil aircraft registration NX-19998. [source: Rockwell
> International public relations (Rockwell bought NAA some time ago)]

Really?? And they first actually flown in combat didn't appear in the skies till 1943.

The first B17 flew in 1936, but they weren't available in combat till 1940. The point made was that the aforementioned aircraft did not exist during the attack and therefore the fact that Security officer St.Denis even mentioned it was WRONG. There were NO Mustangs available to take on the Japanese flights at Pearl Harbor. So, no pints for you, although you can obviously cut and paste from reference materials very well, and for that you get a gold star

>
> >So tell me Mr Wizard..how did the Spitfire land on aircraft carriers?
> >What did they have...Velcro on their tyres??
>
> A cursory check of older aviation literature will turn up a few
> Supermarine Spitfires of more advanced mark being used
> on carriers, called the "Seafire." Velcro had not been
> invented yet so the Royal Navy used conventional arresting
> gear.

Really?? No Velcro back then..who would have guessed. Gee, you'd think 3M would have invented something like that..oh wait..they did, back in the late 50's. Guess you're right another gold star

>
> >Must have great on the airframes, them being made of wood with cloth
> >wings and all. All that salt air keeping the fabric nice and tight.
>
> See Grumman, Vultee, and Douglas Aircraft models of WW2:
> Wildcat, Dauntless, Avenger, and other good names (including
> the "Vultee Vibrator"). Fabric and dope on tails and control
> surfaces. Those were the victors at the Battle of Midway,
> carrier borne all.
>

That's odd...all the Grumman planes for WWII in the Grumman museum here in Bethpage NY that flew for the navy have all metal control surfaces. According to them, it's because the constant humidity and water due to being at sea would allow any fabrics to stretch.

> Brewster Aircraft Company, makers of that wonder of the
> fighter-plane skies, the Buffalo, went bankrupt DURING
> WW2. It was a US company.

Oh Kay. And that was the "state of the art" aircraft at Pearl Harbor and Midway. Something far below the horizon compared to the Zero, which was the original discussion at hand.

>
> >Get a clue, better yet, get a box full. You are a treat.
>

> Get a truckload of civility, anonymous one, get a mountain
> of knowledge. You are NOT a treat.

Ahhh but I have a lot of tricks up my sleeves. Just curious?? What is you problem? You seem to have a redwood size chip on your shoulders. I have yet to see one civil post come under your moniker. So would this be a case of do as I say and not as I do??

As to who I am, amongst my friends, my name is Jonathan. As to my knowledge, it's more than adequate. I don;t require a handful of days to sit in front of a computer just to cut and paste out of a CDRom book.

>
> Go join big steebie fighting the Battle of the Flippin Sleeze.

Aren't you the guy who is infatuated with Nazi Germany?? You seem to refer to them a lot. Well, no use for you that's for sure.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From -2753143142396058023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,acec831e4459867b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 15:00:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Correction to Grumman surfaces
Message-ID: <MPG.15414103bbdc2cec9896b4@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 18
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:58:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.79.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987199107 64.157.79.3 (Fri, 13 Apr 2001 14:58:27 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 14:58:27 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 14:57:10 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19318

Just got an e-mail from a friend and this Lennie person is partially correct. The early production models of the Navy's Grumman planes did use fabric and doped control surfaces, however, in the Pacific theater due to the constant damp conditions of heat and humidity, the went to all metal control surfaces in early 1944. Although the fabric was initially more rugged, the damp conditions caused the fabric to stretch under stress and was deemed unsafe.

The planes exhibited at the Grumman museum are later models

So 1/2 a gold star to Lennie.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 1684982899856393154
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 15:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!
newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD7783C.270@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AC6BBA6.B7923099@IEEE.org> <9a7t0l$8ve$4@208.206.142.100>
<9a7uml$vj5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
<7zMx6.182597$p66.53750333@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a8tti$8vu$1@208.207.71.141>
<eZ_x6.902$XV.198871@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9aa77o$s4g$0@208.207.71.158>
<9aab52$439$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
<zz3y6.2853$XV.552044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9aatfp$vvj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<Q97y6.3780$XV.939302@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9ab23a$d54$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<Ww8y6.4222$XV.1170857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153c34ecb395aaa09896a3@news.earthlink.net> <9au3of$hd$0@208.206.142.93>
<MPG.153cb521104896e99896a4@news.earthlink.net>
<%oCA6.72516$XV.18227191@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d20c750820eeb9896a5@news.earthlink.net>
<tZIA6.74725$XV.18684211@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

```
<MPG.153d308f805d60789896a6@news.earthlink.net>
<%OJA6.74860$XV.18743454@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<MPG.153d55ddce4a576b9896a8@news.earthlink.net>
<I4MA6.75148$XV.18996177@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 18:05:48 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.2
X-Trace: news2.atl 987199519 209.214.187.2 (Fri, 13 Apr 2001 18:05:19 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 18:05:19 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19320

Rob St.Denis wrote:
>
> --

>
...proving the paranoid dilusions of most americans.  "They are
> all out to get me".  I swear there must be something about living on the
> other side of the border that makes you a schitzo.
 Why is it, then, that so many people STILL would rather live HERE--some
of whom keep our Immigration Service pretty busy?
>
> >  That is typical
> > > american clout...

I was on your side in this debate, thinking your opponent was a boorish,
self-aggrandizing braggart, until you made these remarks.

Jerry
K4KWH


From -4671447866896452598
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 15:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Apr 2001 22:04:34 GMT
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010413180434.26389.00000100@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19319
```

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>>Keep the code!!! Hell, anyone will be able to get a license if that
>>is dropped. Anyone can study the written test and memorize what is in
>>there. They actually have to work at the code. Yes people, I said
>>work!

>Why is "work" necessary?

I know of no radio Service where the rules require one to "work" to get a
license; the rules set the necessary qualifications and require only that the
applicant meet them. I see no difference in the licenses, or in the operating
habits, of those for whom passing the test was relatively easy and those for
whom it was hard.

Having said that, the premise that memorizing over 1000 questions is easy and
memorizing 26 characters, 10 numbers and a few punctuations and prosigns is
work is flawed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4172516677948142537
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 16:16:03 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 23:14:33 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad6534c.238039036@news2.dvol.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com> <9b2pmf$3kt$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<3ad5b6b4.197947665@news2.dvol.com> <9b4ihu$103$0@208.206.142.83>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.160
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.160
X-Trace: 13 Apr 2001 18:11:31 -0500, 64.24.17.160
Lines: 95
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!4.24.21.153!
chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15166
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19321 rec.radio.amateur.space:2526 rec.radio.swap:28696

On 12 Apr 2001 15:45:02 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
> Last time I dropped my membership (for a couple years) was a number of
>years ago when *the BOD sued the FCC in Federal court* over something so
>trivial that today I can't recall what the reason was. Now, talk about
>alienating your supervisors.. how can ya beat that? No wonder the FCC
>holds them at arms length these days.
>
I caught tail end of that, truly a piece of stupidity, point conceded.
I was out of ham radio from the mid-70s until about three years ago,
I'm still catching up with what went down during my hiatus.
>
> Do you think they 'followed the will of the membership' in that move?
>I sure don't. Of course, it's not possible to prove it, as they almost
>never poll the membership about anything,
>
I disagree with that to a large extent. The Directors make the
decisions, certainly the Directors from these parts put a lot of
effort into gathering up their constituent's thinking. My take is that
they do a helluva lot better job in this respect then do our
represent aives in the layers of legislatures we have to put up with.
>
>or on the very rare occasions
>they do, such as the "Do you use CW?" poll, they design a poll that is
>so screwed up it confuses far more than it reveals. Makes it easy to
>interpret it any way anyone wishes.
>
That was a screwball failed experiment. I thnk we can assume they
learned some lessons the hard way.
>
> A couple years ago the BOD floated the silly idea to let all licensees
>"self-test" for CW, with the fact that an operator was on the air
>attempting to operate CW would stand as evidence of his ability to send
>and receive, as required under the Treaty. For a while it looked like
>that was a serious proposal, but finally it died.
>
The point is that it did die. Check some of the idiotic proposals that
show up in the U.S. House of Representatives. There isn't a
representative organizatiion out there which doesn't have a nutcase
who will dream up something wifty once in awhile.
>
>I'm as much aware as
>anyone that CW no longer hods the importance it did but it is still a
>basic primary mode and should be supported better than that.
>

Watch it happen. The backlash in ON.
>
> Mind you, now, I'm an active League member, and just re-upped for two
>years last month, after a long personal review of the overall situation
>following the Restructuring. Long ago I declined the cheap Life
>membership because I decided it was important to be able to leave when
>they got too far out of line-which they sometimes have.
>
To each their own there, personally I'd rather be inside the tent
pissing out rather than be outside the tent trying to piss in.
>
Ask me if I'm kicking my butt for not jumping on a Life Membership for
$175 or whatever it was when it was first offered.
>
>But it might as
>well be understood, I'm gonna keep a CLOSE eye on them and their
>proceedings.
>
We all gotta do that.
>
> I perceive that there is considerable improvement in more
>recent years as compared to some years ago. The staff is mostly quite
>competant if a little arrogant in places.
>
When I came back in outta the cold and read my first QST after a
quarter century or so I couldn't believe the huge improvements in all
respects. Really drove home the point that the League old-timers were
a bunch of hyper-conservative patriarchal stiffs. This is much better.

>
> I have been a active ham continuously for 44 years, monitoring ARRL
>the entire time, mostly as a member, and have seen a lot of garbage
>eminate from Newington, right along with all the good.
> I am not a critic of Incentive Licensing, we needed that, and I met the
>challenge as did so many others.
>
Roger that!
>
> I don't like the idea that the League needs to have critics, but it is
>clear to me that it is necessary to closely monitor their actions, just
>as it is with government.
>
Exactly. But we can't sit here like a lumps and just grumble about it,
we gotta get off our butts and flame 'em.
>
>Dick
>
w3rv

From -20236804363691223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII

X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 16:36:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>
<3AD4970A.3053B710@IEEE.org> <9b4ilu$103$1@208.206.142.83>
<3AD5CF14.56C77703@IEEE.org> <5TqB6.2333$tx5.57644@typhoon.sonic.net>
<3AD63C21.9FD520D6@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbp7t26.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <k2MB6.2398$tx5.60532@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 23:34:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 987204880 209.204.160.101 (Fri, 13 Apr 2001 16:34:40 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 16:34:40 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15168
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19322

I'm sure you would agree that needing an emergency frontal lobotomy wouldn't qualify ;~)

Ron N6AHA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gbp7t26.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
On Thu, 12 Apr 2001 18:37:05 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:

>Absolutely wrong. What is it about emergency communications that you
>do not understand? Read below.
>
>�97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.
>
>No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of any
>means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential

communication
>needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and immediate
>protection of property when normal communication systems are not available.
>--

As I have posted on many occasions, the pizzing contest starts
on whether it was (a) a real safety-of-life-or-property
emergency and (b) whether other communication methods and
channels were available.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 829392987614989648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 18:00:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
newspeer1.nac.net!netnews.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 133
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenof21@aol.com (Lenof21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Apr 2001 00:58:24 GMT
References: <MPG.15413e743235453d9896b3@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010413205824.17510.00000003@nso-cr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19323

In article <MPG.15413e743235453d9896b3@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>> >Check out what you ass??. You were the one that had our harbors being
>> >bombed by outdated aircraft. When did the RAF bomb Pearl Harbor. For
>> >that matter...mentally challenged doofus, when did the RAF participate in
>> >the Pacific theater of WWII IN THEIR OWN AIRCRAFT.
>>
>> From 1940 through 1945. You don't know WW2 in any detail.
>>
>> Before mouthing off ANONYMOUSLY it would be better to confirm
>> that the United Kingdom was at war with the Axis powers of WW2
>> before the United States. Canada was on the side of the Allied

>> Forces and actively fighting the war before the USA.
>
>Gee, no kidding? I wasn't aware of that. Canada was on the side of the
>Allies?? who would have thought. What aircraft did they build and fly.

I'm sorry you are so ignorant of World War 2 and who was on which side. While this is supposed to be a newsgroup on amateur radio policy, it often becomes a forum on denigrating our geographic neighbors. If not the southeast US against everyone else, then some trying to show their "strength" about a time when they weren't alive yet.

Anonymous one, you can go to another newsgroup to gather information on who built what aircraft and when. Of 297,199 military aircraft built by US manufacturers in WW2, NAA built 40,068 or almost 14%.

>> The first North American Aircraft "Mustang" flew on October 26, 1940.
>> The North American Model Number was NA-73X and was required
>> to have the civil aircraft registration NX-19998. [source: Rockwell
>> International public relations (Rockwell bought NAA some time ago)]
>
>Really?? And they first actually flown in combat didn't appear in the
>skies till 1943.

1941, not 1943. North American Aviation did the initial development on the Mustang under a British contract. The first Mustangs in combat were either Mustang II or Mustang III models. It wasn't until 1943 that the US 8th AF made a transition from P-47s to P-51s in the 4th Fighter Group (among others).

I left an opening for correction to make you feel good. Note the second A in "NAA" stands for Aviation, not Aircraft. You didn't correct on that, preferring to bluster on. No matter.

>The first B17 flew in 1936, but they weren't available in combat till
>1940. The point made was that the aforementioned aircraft did not exist
>during the attack and therefore the fact that Security officer St.Denis
>even mentioned it was WRONG.

No. Your only effort in here is NOT "correction" but simply intimidation, bluster, and hot air.

>. So, no pints for you, although you
>can obviously cut and paste from reference materials very well, and for
>that you get a gold star

In this case, I did not "cut and paste" but rather consulted a PR packet obtained at NAA some time ago by an acquaintence who actually flew 51 doggies (that's the P-51D to civilians). In the Pacific Theater of Operations. He's still around, BTW.

There are no "gold stars" desired or needed from anyone who

will wantonly pile up #### on someone from an allied country
and neighbor during a time when it is doubtful they existed.

>That's odd...all the Grumman planes for WWII in the Grumman museum here
>in Bethpage NY that flew for the navy have all metal control surfaces.
>According to them, it's because the constant humidity and water due to
>being at sea would allow any fabrics to stretch.

That's wrong which you will admit in another post. [there's some
rather optimistic hope for education, misplaced though it might
seem]

Those old Battle of Midway and Battle of the Philippine Sea
aircraft such as the Douglas Dauntless (SBD) and Grumman
Avenger (TBD) carried radio operator - gunners who had CW
and voice radios. There was no conformal coating used on
those radios, either (long-timers would know that as MFP or
Moisture-Fungus Proofing). OOK CW has also disappeared
from USN aircraft some time ago.

>Oh Kay. And that was the "state of the art" aircraft at Pearl Harbor and
>Midway. Something far below the horizon compared to the Zero, which was
>the original discussion at hand.

Aviation newsgroups are far down the hall to the right. Go there
to "argue" about aviation that you don't know much about...

>Ahhh but I have a lot of tricks up my sleeves. Just curious?? What is
>you problem? You seem to have a redwood size chip on your shoulders. I
>have yet to see one civil post come under your moniker. So would this be
>a case of do as I say and not as I do??

No "chip." I've designed in chips in electronics and radios and
cut my first HF communications teeth in the Big Leagues of
military radio back in 1953. No OOK CW used then over
trans-Pacific fixed-point to fixed-point long-haul circuits. My
only advocacy in here is to talk about eliminating the amateur
radio telegraphy exam...and to prod a few "credentialists" who
don't act nice.

>As to who I am, amongst my friends, my name is Jonathan. As to my
>knowledge, it's more than adequate.

Not yet. Not adequate.

>I don;t require a handful of days to
>sit in front of a computer just to cut and paste out of a CDRom book.

Neither do I.

>Aren't you the guy who is infatuated with Nazi Germany?? You seem to
>refer to them a lot.

My first wife was a Jew. Nice troll. Now, go take a shower...

>Well, no use for you that's for sure.

Pizz off, Jonnie Whoeveryuare.

From 1149595191300161869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 18:02:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Apr 2001 00:59:52 GMT
References: <9b7gj1$57k$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Message-ID: <20010413205952.17510.00000004@nso-cr.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19324

In article <9b7gj1$57k$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:

>> Considering the daily digest supplies all that news, why does the
>> ARRL bother to .....
>
>Because the FCC daily digest doesn't supply "all that news", but only
>short one-line teasers (hence the name "digest").

Point taken. :-)

From 4163485421713753206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 109b94,b3612240683c392a
X-Google-Attributes: gid109b94,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 18:30:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.boats.electronics
References: <qBsA6.1320$FY5.101184@www.newsranger.com>
<AqKB6.143$hH3.11190@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio.
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <mGNB6.924$3n4.477614@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 20:17:11 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.196
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 987211538 208.154.158.196 (Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:25:38
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:25:38 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19325
rec.boats.electronics:4085


"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:AqKB6.143$hH3.11190@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Well, there are a few answers in the thread that has evolved.  Where the
> heck is the guy who responds to these type questions with "troll, troll,
> troll your boat" when we need him?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

Just letting it develop while I fight with a clueless national ISP that
bought mine.

Dick N�BK




From 4210039627857070740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 19:50:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.154174d75f3939ee9896b5@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.15413e743235453d9896b3@news.earthlink.net>
<20010413205824.17510.00000003@nso-cr.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 231
Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 01:40:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.70.195
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987212420 64.157.70.195 (Fri, 13 Apr 2001 18:40:20 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 18:40:20 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 18:39:04 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19326

In article <20010413205824.17510.00000003@nso-cr.aol.com>,
lenof21@aol.com says...
> In article <MPG.15413e743235453d9896b3@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:
>
>
> >> >Check out what you ass??. You were the one that had our harbors being
> >> >bombed by outdated aircraft. When did the RAF bomb Pearl Harbor. For
> >> >that matter...mentally challenged doofus, when did the RAF participate in
> >> >the Pacific theater of WWII IN THEIR OWN AIRCRAFT.
> >>
> >> From 1940 through 1945. You don't know WW2 in any detail.
> >>
> >> Before mouthing off ANONYMOUSLY it would be better to confirm
> >> that the United Kingdom was at war with the Axis powers of WW2
> >> before the United States. Canada was on the side of the Allied
> >> Forces and actively fighting the war before the USA.
> >
> >Gee, no kidding? I wasn't aware of that. Canada was on the side of the
> >Allies?? who would have thought. What aircraft did they build and fly.
>
> I'm sorry you are so ignorant of World War 2 and who was on
> which side. While this is supposed to be a newsgroup on
> amateur radio policy, it often becomes a forum on denigrating
> our geographic neighbors. If not the southeast US against
> everyone else, then some trying to show their "strength" about
> a time when they weren't alive yet.

I've noticed that you rarely if ever contribute to amateur radio policy.
I've noticed that you like to post the same resume as to your
achievements on a regular basis. I've noticed that none of those
achievements are related to amateur radio in the least bit.

I also noticed that YOU failed to notice the I did not start, but that the Security Officier began the assault in terms of geographic bombastically (sic)

>
> Anonymous one, you can go to another newsgroup to gather
> information on who built what aircraft and when. Of 297,199
> military aircraft built by US manufacturers in WW2, NAA
> built 40,068 or almost 14%.

More great facts that I didn't know. Now if Regis asks that on Who wants to be a Millionaire or if it is a Final Jeopardy question..I'll have the answer. Thanks

>
> >> The first North American Aircraft "Mustang" flew on October 26, 1940.
> >> The North American Model Number was NA-73X and was required
> >> to have the civil aircraft registration NX-19998. [source: Rockwell
> >> International public relations (Rockwell bought NAA some time ago)]
> >
> >Really?? And they first actually flown in combat didn't appear in the
> >skies till 1943.
>
> 1941, not 1943. North American Aviation did the initial development
> on the Mustang under a British contract. The first Mustangs in
> combat were either Mustang II or Mustang III models. It wasn't
> until 1943 that the US 8th AF made a transition from P-47s to
> P-51s in the 4th Fighter Group (among others).

The original discussion was that the Mustang was available during Pearl Harbor as compared to the Japanese Zero. Thanks for verifying my statement.

You forgot the part about the British refusing to use the Mustang until they put a Rolls Royce Merlin engine in..until the Allison V-12 became better.

>
> I left an opening for correction to make you feel good. Note the
> second A in "NAA" stands for Aviation, not Aircraft. You didn't
> correct on that, preferring to bluster on. No matter.

Didn't know, couldn't care less.

>
> >The first B17 flew in 1936, but they weren't available in combat till
> >1940. The point made was that the aforementioned aircraft did not exist
> >during the attack and therefore the fact that Security officer St.Denis
> >even mentioned it was WRONG.
>

> No. Your only effort in here is NOT "correction" but simply
> intimidation, bluster, and hot air.

Sorry, no ballooning here.

>
> >. So, no pints for you, although you
> >can obviously cut and paste from reference materials very well, and for
> >that you get a gold star
>
> In this case, I did not "cut and paste" but rather consulted a PR
> packet obtained at NAA some time ago by an acquaintence who
> actually flew 51 doggies (that's the P-51D to civilians). In the
> Pacific Theater of Operations. He's still around, BTW.

I did notice you always have a friend that can verify something. Kinda
like another poster here who always has some story to add to a
discussion.

>
>
> >That's odd...all the Grumman planes for WWII in the Grumman museum here
> >in Bethpage NY that flew for the navy have all metal control surfaces.
> >According to them, it's because the constant humidity and water due to
> >being at sea would allow any fabrics to stretch.
>
> That's wrong which you will admit in another post. [there's some
> rather optimistic hope for education, misplaced though it might
> seem]

Obviously I am capable of something you are not. I have yet to see you
ever admit you are/were wrong.

>
> Those old Battle of Midway and Battle of the Philippine Sea
> aircraft such as the Douglas Dauntless (SBD) and Grumman
> Avenger (TBD) carried radio operator - gunners who had CW
> and voice radios. There was no conformal coating used on
> those radios, either (long-timers would know that as MFP or
> Moisture-Fungus Proofing). OOK CW has also disappeared
> from USN aircraft some time ago.

AHHHH there we go. I knew that if you typed long enough you'd interject
CW somehow, some place. It was a good bet and I am glad I made it.

>

>
> >Oh Kay. And that was the "state of the art" aircraft at Pearl Harbor and
> >Midway. Something far below the horizon compared to the Zero, which was
> >the original discussion at hand.
>
> Aviation newsgroups are far down the hall to the right. Go there
> to "argue" about aviation that you don't know much about...

YOu got me good there Len...ouch

>
>
> >Ahhh but I have a lot of tricks up my sleeves. Just curious?? What is
> >you problem? You seem to have a redwood size chip on your shoulders. I
> >have yet to see one civil post come under your moniker. So would this be
> >a case of do as I say and not as I do??
>
> No "chip." I've designed in chips in electronics and radios and
> cut my first HF communications teeth in the Big Leagues of
> military radio back in 1953. No OOK CW used then over
> trans-Pacific fixed-point to fixed-point long-haul circuits. My
> only advocacy in here is to talk about eliminating the amateur
> radio telegraphy exam...and to prod a few "credentialists" who
> don't act nice.

Was that amateur radio HF communications? After all this is an NG for
amateur radio policy.

>
>
> >As to who I am, amongst my friends, my name is Jonathan. As to my
> >knowledge, it's more than adequate.
>
> Not yet. Not adequate.

More than adequate Mr Wise in heimer

>
> >Aren't you the guy who is infatuated with Nazi Germany?? You seem to
> >refer to them a lot.
>
> My first wife was a Jew. Nice troll. Now, go take a shower...

You are kidding? You were married to a Jewish women and yet you have to
gall to accuse people of being Nazi's..jack booted thugs...brown shirts??
That's one of the biggest lies I have ever read anywhere. Wow, you must
really have loved her to type some of the shit you do.

And I catch the meaning of the shower...asshole. You were never married
to any Jew. Seig Heil Lennie. The 3rd Reich should have had you for a

soldier. You were born in the wrong country. So tell me..any tattooed lampshades. Any all natural soap? Any mattresses' filled with hair. You must be fun ON Krystalneicht anniversary?

You were married to a Jew...sure and George W. Bush dresses himself every morning.

>
>
> >Well, no use for you that's for sure.
>
> Pizz off, Jonnie Whoeveryuare.
>

Better yet Lenn. I have found that people are oh so brave when they can hide like cowards behind a keyboard and a screen, so I tell ya what I'd like to do.

I am flying out to Dayton for the Hamvention in May and then some friends of mine and I are going to Vega for a week for golf and gambling. Here's the deal..I'll fly in LAX and meet you for coffee and bagels and you can tell me all your great exploits to my face. You can even pick the spot for breakfast. Whadda ya think? I think you're a coward and will most likely come back here with some brave typed words and you'll waffle and do anything not to have this come off. Cowards of your ilk usually turn tail and run when confronted.

SOme Leonard H. Anderson..put up or shut up.

OK ladies and gents..here come the brave words....whine mode on....stage is all yours LHA.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From -7469201101893616277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e4f8c3e9e3e844ea,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 19:53:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: It's a year now since restructuring
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:46:54 -0500
Organization: wa2ise

```
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3AD7BA1E.47B84FAD@ix.netcom.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 26.1f.a5.a3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 14 Apr 2001 02:46:56 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19327
```

The FCC
said that since restructuring went into effect nearly a year ago, "there

does not appear to be any decline in the proper operation of amateur
stations."

Seems to be my observation, too. Comparing Jan '00 to Apr14, '00
to the time period after Apr 15, '00 as I listened to the experts to see

how it's done.

```
From 2757235920906007082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 20:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
newsengine.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.corecomm.net!reader2-
nwblwi.news.corecomm.net!not-for-mail
Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 22:03:13 -0500
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblgbs4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<9b2bff$248$1@localhost.localdomain> <9b78ku$e7j$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3ad7bde9$0$42878$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Organization: CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 7aab061d.news.corecomm.net
X-Trace:
DXC=nVgU\Y_?:0TiAcBM^EMG<[ZacKj`b3Y4Xbo1K;GlJd>[dAcKco4nOkZ1`T`07_`o[TL^:NkYe\2VYa
U@?DY^gdRU
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corecomm.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15171
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19328 rec.radio.amateur.space:2528

The point is that while the NRA represents all gun owners only NRA
members get to vote for their BOD. Everyone else may comment on the NRA
and it's policies and procedures but that is all. The NRA BOD like the
ARRL BOD can pretty much ignore the nonmemberes.(and members to at their
reelection risk)...
Dave WD9BDZ

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> <wa6ilt@amsat.org> wrote:
> >In case you haven't noticed, the vast majority of hams don't even belong
> >to the ARRL
>
> ...and the vast majority of gun owners don't belong to the NRA, yet
> the NRA is considered the spokesman for all the gun folks.
>
> >(and there will be one less this summer:  me).
>
> I'll make sure to send the League donations in your name...
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O


From 1654939461384971384
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 20:12:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 14 Apr 2001 03:11:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9b8f5n$579$0@208.206.142.71>
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010413180434.26389.00000100@ng-mn1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.71
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19329

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
> >>Keep the code!!! Hell, anyone will be able to get a license if that
> >>is dropped. Anyone can study the written test and memorize what is in
> >>there. They actually have to work at the code. Yes people, I said
> >>work!
>
> >Why is "work" necessary?
>
> I know of no radio Service where the rules require one to "work" to get a
> license; the rules set the necessary qualifications and require only that the
> applicant meet them. I see no difference in the licenses, or in the operating
> habits, of those for whom passing the test was relatively easy and those for
> whom it was hard.
>
> Having said that, the premise that memorizing over 1000 questions is easy and
> memorizing 26 characters, 10 numbers and a few punctuations and prosigns is
> work is flawed.

Ed I can't believe you'd risk stirrimg me up again. :~}

Hey, it's the premise that's flawed, OB. Learning 26 CW characters, 10
numerals and a few punctuations do not a CW operator make, maybe a Cub
Scout badge it will earn (or would have 40 years ago). All that plus
much, much, much working practice is needed, as you well know.

On the other hand, one does NOT memorize any ~1K of questions and
answers. One commits to memory the associations needed to pair questions
and answers on ONE test element of the pool, and repeats as necessary
untill that element is satisfactory scored at the 70th percentile. At
which time he begins anew with the next higher element's portion of the
pool, etc, etc, etc until all tests have been satisfactorily completed,
and he or she is now a freshly minted Extra clueless.
Got it?

From -7608727524042593378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 21:06:06 PST
From: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.com>
Sender: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.com>
Reply-To: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.com>
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
reaffirmed

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 205.188.198.163 205.188.198.163
References: <9b4hvj$629$1@news.netmar.com> <20010413135559.24219.00000016@nso-fm.aol.com> <9b7gj1$57k$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad7cb8d$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 14 Apr 2001 05:01:17 GMT
X-Trace: 14 Apr 2001 05:01:17 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 4
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!natasha.rmi.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19330

You don't know what the fuck you are talking about. What kind of a name is Hans? Figures, you are an asshole of the first degree.

From 6405501662310234829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 21:08:03 PST
From: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Sender: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Reply-To: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 205.188.198.163 205.188.198.163
References: <674d12a2bc747a8f0c8f124983c7417b@anon.xg.nu> <cuvyxnansvbet.gblhv55.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AD428E2.7B178518@sccoast.net> <IL3B6.163$5B2.4076@eagle.america.net> <3AD65597.B74AD587@sccoast.net> <3ad770a7.311090377@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad7cbf7$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 14 Apr 2001 05:03:03 GMT
X-Trace: 14 Apr 2001 05:03:03 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 4
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!natasha.rmi.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19331

Dwight your ignorance is showing once again. Must a lowly CBer point out the error of your ways? HAHAHAHA. I won't even let you in on your gaffe. Figure it out, shithead.

From 6021945268289879097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 21:10:03 PST
From: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Sender: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Reply-To: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 205.188.198.163 205.188.198.163
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblgbs4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<9b2bff$248$1@localhost.localdomain> <9b78ku$e7j$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad7cc5e$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 14 Apr 2001 05:04:46 GMT
X-Trace: 14 Apr 2001 05:04:46 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 3
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!
feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19332

Oh jeffy is the same hammie who makes trouble in the cb room. Pay his wise ass no attention. He is a dork and a prig and an ass. Go eat shit, jeffy.

From -9999069683493536O
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 21:11:34 PST
From: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Sender: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Reply-To: "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 205.188.198.163 205.188.198.163
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>
<k2MB6.2398$tx5.60532@typhoon.sonic.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad7ccb1$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 14 Apr 2001 05:06:09 GMT
X-Trace: 14 Apr 2001 05:06:09 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 3
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!

news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feed.cgocable.net!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!
news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19333

Ron you suck. In the cb room you wouldn't last a minute. Go bite a scummy pustule.

From 3055979234282331241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 21:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!192.148.253.68!netnews.com!
xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AD7C5A6.6FD24CDD@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
References: <20010412075915.10199.00004358@ng-md1.aol.com>
<20010413135556.24219.00000011@nso-fm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 04:36:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.56
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987222960 207.0.147.56 (Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:36:00 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:36:00 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 13 Apr 2001 21:34:43 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19334

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Remember that every posting by an Extra class radio amateur is a
> reflection on that radio service.

Remember that every posting by a Technician class radio amateur is a reflection upon the amateur service.

Remember that every posting by a non-radio amateur is a reflection upon all non-radio amateurs.

Remember that every posting by Len Anderson is a reflection upon anyone carrying the name of Anderson.

Dave K8MN

```
From 5813545899522990145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d206595acee72db,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 22:46:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!webtv.net!not-for-mail
From: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Forgers N8WWM and KC8LDO
Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 01:33:39 -0400 (EDT)
Organization: WebTV Subscriber
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <22555-3AD7E133-25@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: localhost.webtv.net
Mime-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: Quoted-Printable
X-WebTV-Signature: 1
     ETAsAhRExGfsaf7C5qArfamFjIN7t383JAIULE5Nbq+Q9Zqa3pggFiJfodeV/YA=
Content-Disposition: Inline
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19335
```

Appears Leland and Doug are up to some tricks again. A peek into the cb room will see what they have been up to lately. Please check headers carefully when it looks like a troll, as it is probably forged. The numbert of phony posts in rec.cb that are traceable back to these two are astronomical. Below is a post from Leland under one of his alias names he was using at the time. He was having a bit of trouble ending his posts through the remailer. This post exposed who was behind much of the pornographical and obscene language on the cb group. He finally got careless and didn't delete his sig. about, "Morse Code." Doug continues to post daily, obsessed with policing our group for so much as a clipped clarifier or a mod, then accusing the poster of being homosexual. A careful archive search will reveal his nature and alignment with Doug, and their preoccupation with porn and graphic posts. In this very forum, Leland, a computer science major, (Accoding to QRZ) was told by 2 separate amateurs that a post meant to look like it came from me was forged. Leland was adamant in claiming it was posted by me, but why, I have no idea. You would think a computer college grad would be able to tell a forged post from a webtver, no? I have asked him to explain this on many occassions, and when I do, well, just take a glance at the cb room. There are many questions for which Leland will not answer, but the only answer I want, is why? These two folks are the poorest examples of amateursI have ever come across. Hundreds of forgeries and pornographical posts are logged, and they are now attempting to cross post in order to further escalate their trolling. I do not post in amateur radio, so if you ever see a post from me, please check the headers, as it is a forgery. Have a good day.

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) Date: Tue, Apr 10, 2001, 12:46pm To: twistedhed@webtv.net Subject: Fwd: Re: Twistedhed Is Delerious
From: =A0=A0 twistedhed@webtv.net (twistedhed) Group: =A0=A0 rec.radio.cb Subject: =A0=A0 Re: Twistedhed Is Delerious Date: =A0=A0 Sat, Apr 7, 2001, 11:07am Organization: =A0=A0 WebTV Subscriber
Twistedhed Is Delerious
Group: rec.radio.cb Date: Sat, Apr 7, 2001, 12:41am From: punisha-no-spam@cotse.com (Punisher=A0Of=A0KeyClowns) For the good of this newsgroup this character ought to say sayonara and waddle off into the sunset. As it is, he draws flames and acts like a buffoon. And he obviously doesn't care about what a mess he's making here. Of course no one can side with such an idiot, and he knows it. His time has come.
Goodbye, Mr. Twisted!
=3DPunisher Of KeyClowns=3D
--
--
You ask w

HAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAAHHAHAA! LOOK at the post. Lelands sig is at the bottom. The impotent clown forgot to remove his sig about, "You ask what Morse code...." =A0 ahahahahahhahhaha
Leave now Leland! You are a disgrace. Defeated by the one I told you would be responsible for your demise....your own hand. Disgraceful amateur. You should be shunned.

From -7929797467995271231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d206595acee72db
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-13 23:50:03 PST
From: "Jim Perry" <jperry@spartacus.net>
Sender: "Jim Perry" <jperry@spartacus.net>
Reply-To: "Jim Perry" <jperry@spartacus.net>
Subject: Re: Forgers N8WWM and KC8LDO
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 152.163.204.64 152.163.204.64
References: <22555-3AD7E133-25@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad7f21d$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 14 Apr 2001 07:45:49 GMT
X-Trace: 14 Apr 2001 07:45:49 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 65
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!nntp-

cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19336

An admitted freebander and HF bootlegger in an amateur radio newsgroup telling making accusations about licensed amateurs. Very curious.

Jim

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> Appears Leland and Doug are up to some tricks again. A peek into the cb
>room will see what they have been up to lately. Please check headers
>carefully when it looks like a troll, as it is probably forged. The
>numbert of phony posts in rec.cb that are traceable back to these two
>are astronomical. Below is a post from Leland under one of his alias
>names he was using at the time. He was having a bit of trouble ending
>his posts through the remailer. This post exposed who was behind much of
>the pornographical and obscene language on the cb group. He finally got
>careless and didn't delete his sig. about, "Morse Code." Doug continues
>to post daily, obsessed with policing our group for so much as a clipped
>clarifier or a mod, then accusing the poster of being homosexual. A
>careful archive search will reveal his nature and alignment with Doug,
>and their preoccupation with porn and graphic posts. In this very forum,
>Leland, a computer science major, (Accoding to QRZ) was told by 2
>separate amateurs that a post meant to look like it came from me was
>forged. Leland was adamant in claiming it was posted by me, but why, I
>have no idea. You would think a computer college grad would be able to
>tell a forged post from a webtver, no? I have asked him to explain this
>on many occassions, and when I do, well, just take a glance at the cb
>room. There are many questions for which Leland will not answer, but the
>only answer I want, is why? These two folks are the poorest examples of
>amateursI have ever come across. Hundreds of forgeries and
>pornographical posts are logged, and they are now attempting to cross
>post in order to further escalate their trolling. I do not post in
>amateur radio, so if you ever see a post from me, please check the
>headers, as it is a forgery. Have a good day.
>
>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) Date: Tue, Apr 10, 2001, 12:46pm To:
>twistedhed@webtv.net Subject: Fwd: Re: Twistedhed Is Delerious
>From: =A0=A0 twistedhed@webtv.net (twistedhed) Group: =A0=A0
>rec.radio.cb Subject: =A0=A0 Re: Twistedhed Is Delerious Date: =A0=A0
>Sat, Apr 7, 2001, 11:07am Organization: =A0=A0 WebTV Subscriber
>Twistedhed Is Delerious
>Group: rec.radio.cb Date: Sat, Apr 7, 2001, 12:41am From:
>punisha-no-spam@cotse.com (Punisher=A0Of=A0KeyClowns) For the good of
>this newsgroup this character ought to say sayonara and waddle off into
>the sunset. As it is, he draws flames and acts like a buffoon. And he
>obviously doesn't care about what a mess he's making here. Of course no
>one can side with such an idiot, and he knows it. His time has come.
>Goodbye, Mr. Twisted!
>=3DPunisher Of KeyClowns=3D
>--

```
>--
>You ask w
>
>
>
>
>
>HAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAAHHAHAA! LOOK at the post.
>Lelands sig is at the bottom. The impotent clown forgot to remove his
>sig about, "You ask what Morse code...." =A0 ahahahahahhahhaha
>Leave now Leland! You are a disgrace. Defeated by the one I told you
>would be responsible for your demise....your own hand. Disgraceful
>amateur. You should be shunned.
>



From -294108079011540311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 04:24:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Apr 2001 11:23:07 GMT
References: <9b8f5n$579$0@208.206.142.71>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010414072307.09140.00000153@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19337

>From: Dick Carroll

>Ed I can't believe you'd risk stirrimg me up again.  :~}

Don't worry, Dick; I learned long ago that rational conversation with you is
not at all productive.

>and he or she is now a freshly minted Extra clueless

See what I mean?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 7853329142228987363
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d9db017c387a6776
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 10:34:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dan Yemiola <AI8O@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Hollingsworth Demands Space Shuttle
Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 13:33:22 -0400
Organization: Multicellular Brachiating Eukaryote
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3AD889E2.2C6694EE@qsl.net>
References: <3ad5fb16_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Reply-To: ai8o@qsl.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-38.r12.nclxtn.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987269597 214998 207.144.151.48 (14 Apr 2001 17:33:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 17:33:17 +0000 (UTC)
To: nworegon@excite.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.02 [en]C-DIAL (Win95; U)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19338

Actually i think the ISS is under Russian control registered in The CIS.
I think the CIS wants to send Boris Badinoff to inspect, or maybe
Vladimir Pootin'. :) ;) :0
AI8O

Keith wrote:
>
> FCC ham enforcement man Hollingsworth has demanded a
> space shuttle to inspect the International Space Station
> ham radio packet station. Apparently it has been using a
> fake call sign of N0CALL for the last several days and
> he would like to inspect the station for defects and
> to make sure the ham radio license is properly displayed
> on the wall.
>
> Developing.....
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> ---
> Web page-> members.home.net/keithew1/nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio topics.
> Amateur Radio is a international resource and asset.
> Need a daily digest of rec.radio.swap newsgroup? Join
> recradioswap at Yahoo! Groups.
> ---

From -7359591366987569099
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 11:00:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 64
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Apr 2001 17:59:18 GMT
References: <MPG.154174d75f3939ee9896b5@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010414135918.26569.00000065@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19339

In article <MPG.154174d75f3939ee9896b5@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>Better yet Lenn. I have found that people are oh so brave when they can
>hide like cowards behind a keyboard and a screen, so I tell ya what I'd
>like to do.

That's what you would "like" to do? No. You are writing that
because you want to get even over some negative criticsm
about trying to defame and denigrate a Canadian radio amateur.

Immature "challenge."

>I am flying out to Dayton for the Hamvention in May and then some friends
>of mine and I are going to Vega for a week for golf and gambling.

Of course you are. Can an Aeronca C-3 carry passengers?

...and it's "Las Vegas."

>Here's
>the deal..I'll fly in LAX and meet you for coffee and bagels and you can
>tell me all your great exploits to my face. You can even pick the spot
>for breakfast. Whadda ya think?

I think the above is below-average creative bullshit.

The above, more or less expressed as if by one Steve Adell in
here, has been tried before, didn't work then, is meaningless now.

In order to make any real point there should have been a "challenge" made to participate in some amateur radio net or at an amateur radio club or association meeting, talking about radio or amateur radio. That way the anonymous one could have shown her "expertise" over mere mortals, achieved "fame" and "glory" at being oh so much "better," had a Real Audience who could verify everything...and reported it over the Internet.

>I think you're a coward and will most
>likely come back here with some brave typed words and you'll waffle and
>do anything not to have this come off.

Grow up. This is not a schoolyard.

>Cowards of your ilk usually turn tail and run when confronted.

Grow up. Address the subject.

Anonymous jonnie tried to throw dirt on a particular radio amateur, then threw #### on Canadians in general over the second world war, not using recorded factual information or civility. jonnie was corrected but still insists she was "wounded" and demands a personal confrontation. Idiot.

Try learning something about our geographic neighbors, including their history. Canadian radio amateurs have done some good things in and of amateur radio...and for their nation. Far better things than the blustery babble of braggart boneheads.

>SOme Leonard H. Anderson..put up or shut up.

The anonymous one wishes a personal confrontation? Fine, she can then drop by my QTH and do just that. :-)

From -8885749915217391650
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 11:58:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.154267dfdf86fa369896b6@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.154174d75f3939ee9896b5@news.earthlink.net> <20010414135918.26569.00000065@nso-mn.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 156

Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 18:57:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.233.114
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987274627 209.244.233.114 (Sat, 14 Apr 2001 11:57:07 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 11:57:07 PDT
X-Received-Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 11:55:48 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19340

In article <20010414135918.26569.00000065@nso-mn.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com says...
> In article <MPG.154174d75f3939ee9896b5@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:
>
> >Better yet Lenn. I have found that people are oh so brave when they can
> >hide like cowards behind a keyboard and a screen, so I tell ya what I'd
> >like to do.
>
> That's what you would "like" to do? No. You are writing that
> because you want to get even over some negative criticsm
> about trying to defame and denigrate a Canadian radio amateur.
>
> Immature "challenge."

For someone as wise as you..well. The comment was made that we were bombed at Pearl Harbor by an out of date airforce. Data and facts prove otherwise. At that point the poor denigrated Canadian amateur radio operator opted to try and change directions, ala Anderson and point out that the Mustang and Spitfire were superior. The fact that one was not yet in the air and the other was in the European theater didn't make one difference to either you or Security Officer St.Denis. BTW, did he hire you as his personal PR man? Or couldn't he handle the heat by himself?
One will never know.

>
> >I am flying out to Dayton for the Hamvention in May and then some friends
> >of mine and I are going to Vega for a week for golf and gambling.
>
> Of course you are. Can an Aeronca C-3 carry passengers?

Gee, I don;t know. You seem to be the Mr. Wizard of cut and paste so I am sure you already know the answer. Can a Piper Seneca 3 carry passengers?

>
> ...and it's "Las Vegas."

And a typo king as well. You cover all bases

>
> >Here's
> >the deal..I'll fly in LAX and meet you for coffee and bagels and you can
> >tell me all your great exploits to my face. You can even pick the spot
> >for breakfast. Whadda ya think?
>
> I think the above is below-average creative bullshit.
>

Opinions vary.

> The above, more or less expressed as if by one Steve Adell in
> here, has been tried before, didn't work then, is meaningless now.
>
> In order to make any real point there should have been a
> "challenge" made to participate in some amateur radio net
> or at an amateur radio club or association meeting, talking
> about radio or amateur radio. That way the anonymous one
> could have shown her "expertise" over mere mortals,
> achieved "fame" and "glory" at being oh so much "better,"
> had a Real Audience who could verify everything...and
> reported it over the Internet.

What for..YOU COULDN;T PARTICIAPTE now could you? What would be the point
if you couldn't stick your nose in and throw out some of your BS. Or am
I wrong?? Maybe you do have a license? In that case, I'd be more than
happy to set up a schedule for you to participate. I liken you words to
be along the lines of that other wizard of the airwaves Art Bell.

>
> >I think you're a coward and will most
> >likely come back here with some brave typed words and you'll waffle and
> >do anything not to have this come off.
>
> Grow up. This is not a schoolyard.

>
> >Cowards of your ilk usually turn tail and run when confronted.
>
> Grow up. Address the subject.

Thanks for proving my point. Why not use OH YEA!!! So's your old man.

ANd the subject before you stuck your nose in was proving that St.Denis didn't have a clue as to Pearl Harbor. and the state of the US armed forces at that time. I notice you didn't bother to research his erroneous information. I thought you were in that war Len? You seem to know so much 1st hand. Then again you seem to know so much 1st hand about everything.

>
> Anonymous jonnie tried to throw dirt on a particular radio amateur, then threw #### on Canadians in general over the second world war, not using recorded factual information or civility. jonnie was corrected but still insists she was "wounded" and demands a personal confrontation. Idiot.

Gee..if you love Canada so much go there. They allow people like you to live freely. Gee, what a great Amerikan you are. I threw back the shit thrown at me and this country. Are you saying that I should have been silent? Typical bullshit liberal asshole. Ohh my we must apologize. We are so bad. Poor US.....ha ha you make me laugh Lenny

>
> Try learning something about our geographic neighbors, including their history. Canadian radio amateurs have done some good things in and of amateur radio...and for their nation. Far better things than the blustery babble of braggart boneheads.

Try to look into that mirror to close there Mr Lenny. You are truly the king of the nattering nabobs of negativism. I have yet to see you type anything positive. Poor little girlieman. Got his Depends all wadded in his ass crack and is in a little snit. MORON.

>
> >SOme Leonard H. Anderson..put up or shut up.
>
> The anonymous one wishes a personal confrontation? Fine, she can then drop by my QTH and do just that. :-)

DEAL!!! I'll let you know the time. I'm willing to make book you won;t be there or if you are, probably pretending you aren't home. Let's set the date, shall we.

Dayton end on Sunday, May 20th. Figure a day or two to fly out to LAS VEGAS (happy now Mr. Anal Retentive?). A day to settle into the rooms and a round or two of golf and gambling.....and since LAX really discourages private aircraft and it's cheaper to fly commercial from Las Vegas to Los Angeles... let's set the date as Wednesday May 23. Time to be determined. SO what say little fuhrer?? OK with you??

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

```
From 8627115062100879571
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a4a2d828ee328e2f,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 12:08:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Blustering Anderson
Message-ID: <MPG.15426a37b93200b9896b7@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 41
Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 19:06:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.233.114
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987275185 209.244.233.114 (Sat, 14 Apr
2001 12:06:25 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 12:06:25 PDT
X-Received-Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 12:05:06 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19341
```

OK Lenny. Here's the original statement that caused you to stick that big nose you yours into the discussion..

There's a reason for that, Americans are too busy "not" spying on any country they deem necessary, getting their harbours bombed by an out of date air force, having their federal buildings bombed by a crazy guy named tim, having universities bombed by another loon from the middle of nowhere, dealing crack to 10 year olds, beating your wives, and raping your kids.

Since you chose to defend the Secutiry Officer, I guess we can safely say that you AGREE with him, out neighbor to the North 100%.

Feel free to refute the first slander, since that was what started it all.

The response was that the Zero was a better plane than the US had at the time of the attack.

St.Denis came back and dropped the Mustang and Spitfire into the mix as his proof the Zero was inferior.

GO ahead Lenny....dazzle us with your cut and paste prowess.

Still, your expertise is in things Nazi. Maybe you can provide 1st hand knowledge of the ME109 or better yet the ME262. Obvioulsy they were better planes than the zero and were probably active participants in the attack of Pearl Harbor.

Thanks for making our day. So go do that Voodoo you doodoo so well.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

```
From 6646259216049457753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 12:20:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.15426d3dc1136a509896b8@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.154174d75f3939ee9896b5@news.earthlink.net>
<20010414135918.26569.00000065@nso-mn.aol.com>
<MPG.154267dfdf86fa369896b6@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 28
Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 19:19:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.233.114
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987275958 209.244.233.114 (Sat, 14 Apr
2001 12:19:18 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 12:19:18 PDT
X-Received-Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 12:18:00 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19342
```

```
In article <MPG.154267dfdf86fa369896b6@news.earthlink.net>,
funguy@hotjuno.com says...

Forgot to finish the answer

> > >I am flying out to Dayton for the Hamvention in May and then some friends
> > >of mine and I are going to Vega for a week for golf and gambling.
> >
> > Of course you are.  Can an Aeronca C-3 carry passengers?
>
Gee, I don;t know.  You seem to be the Mr. Wizard of cut and paste so I
 am sure you already know the answer.  Can a Piper Seneca 3 carry
 passengers?  Probably not.  It was Aeronca's answer to the Piper Cub
which couldn't carry passengers, just a right seat and some baggage, but
since they stopped making them in the late 40's, early 50's, I leave the
answer to you, someone who amongst his many accomplishments probably not
only flew one, but designed it as well, or at least the radio circuits,
purposely avoiding those that use OOK Morse.

Here's one for you Lenny.  What is the airspeed velocity of a laden
swallow?

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)


From 2300702514232847988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 14:24:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 14 Apr 2001 21:22:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <9b8f5n$579$0@208.206.142.71> <20010414072307.09140.00000153@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19343

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll
>
> >Ed I can't believe you'd risk stirrimg me up again. :~}
>
> Don't worry, Dick; I learned long ago that rational conversation with you is
> not at all productive.
>
> >and he or she is now a freshly minted Extra clueless
>
> See what I mean?

That's your story and you're sticking to it.

From -2995319998743552099
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 14:32:07 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 21:30:58 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad8c17f.397318686@news2.dvol.com>
References: <674d12a2bc747a8f0c8f124983c7417b@anon.xg.nu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gblhv55.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3AD428E2.7B178518@sccoast.net>
<IL3B6.163$5B2.4076@eagle.america.net> <3AD65597.B74AD587@sccoast.net>
<3ad770a7.311090377@news2.dvol.com> <3ad7cbf7$1@news2.mibx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.43
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.43
X-Trace: 14 Apr 2001 16:27:51 -0500, 64.24.16.43
Lines: 10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19344

On 14 Apr 2001 05:03:03 GMT, "twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
wrote:

>
>Dwight your ignorance is showing once again. Must a lowly CBer point out the
>error of your ways? HAHAHAHA. I won't even let you in on your gaffe. Figure

>it out, shithead.
>
Q.E.D.

From -4433224534555416498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,df8550ebf9f61712,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 14:44:01 PST
From: "A.E. 352" <A.E._member@newsranger.com>
Sender: "A.E. 352" <A.E._member@newsranger.com>
Reply-To: "A.E. 352" <A.E._member@newsranger.com>
Subject: SSB'ers...join the club!
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 205.188.199.48 205.188.199.48
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad8c348$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 14 Apr 2001 22:38:16 GMT
X-Trace: 14 Apr 2001 22:38:16 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 8
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!natasha.rmi.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19345

Hey SIDEBANDERS! If you're looking for a club to join, please feel-free to check-out http://american-eagle.tripod.com   We are a brotherhood of 10/11M SIDEBAND operators with members WORLDWIDE, who simply happen to enjoy the hobby. So...give us a look. Membership is ALWAYS free!

A.E. 352

From -5253162181573144297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 17:40:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Apr 2001 00:38:47 GMT
References: <MPG.15426d3dc1136a509896b8@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler

Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010414203847.25353.00000074@nso-de.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19346

In article <MPG.15426d3dc1136a509896b8@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>What is the airspeed velocity of a laden
>swallow?

An African swallow or a European swallow?

73 de Jim, N2EY (which is NOT pronounced "Ni!")

From -4578257825287710806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 17:42:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!atl1-feed1.news.digex.net!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net> <WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164> <3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79> <3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100> <3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70> <3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166> <3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155> <k2MB6.2398$tx5.60532@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ad7ccb1$1@news2.mibx.net>
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <_56C6.2469$tx5.64386@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 00:40:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 987295226 209.204.160.101 (Sat, 14 Apr 2001 17:40:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 14 Apr 2001 17:40:26 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19347

Another brilliant example of the cultural level of CB'ers. I truly hope
these people can't reproduce. I doubt that they know how.

Ron N6AHA

Don't bother responding...you're kill-filed.

"twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3ad7ccb1$1@news2.mibx.net...
>
> Ron you suck. In the cb room you wouldn't last a minute. Go bite a scummy
> pustule.

```
From -2057101156527746484
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 19:30:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 02:26:42 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3ad8eee2.896656@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010413180434.26389.00000100@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.09
X-Server-Date: 15 Apr 2001 02:28:16 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19348
```

On 13 Apr 2001 22:04:34 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>>Keep the code!!! Hell, anyone will be able to get a license if that
>>>is dropped. Anyone can study the written test and memorize what is in
>>>there. They actually have to work at the code. Yes people, I said
>>>work!
>
>>Why is "work" necessary?
>
>I know of no radio Service where the rules require one to "work" to get a
>license; the rules set the necessary qualifications and require only that the
>applicant meet them. I see no difference in the licenses, or in the operating
>habits, of those for whom passing the test was relatively easy and those for
>whom it was hard.

Yep, reminds me of the time I had a high school math teacher give

me a 90 on my report card, but gave me a red U (unsatisfactory) for
effort because she felt I should have "worked" harder.
My parents got a good laugh at that.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -3233565722052085255
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-14 20:14:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Apr 2001 03:11:53 GMT
References: <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Message-ID: <20010414231153.02794.00000150@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19349
```

In article <I%3A6.251$uV5.293540@news.uswest.net>, "K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
writes:

>On Friday (4/6/1) the FCC released an MO&R which dismissed/denied
>all of the petitions (QCWA, ARRL, WAD, Teaze, Speroni, and
>more) relative to restructuring
>
>Regarding the Speroni petition (more CW bandwidth for Novices)
>which I thought was a no-brainer and would easily be adopted,
>there was a particularly telling comment:
>
>   "Additionally, we believe that we should allow the
>   amateur service community to reach a concensus
>   regarding a comprehensive restructuring of operating
>   privileges for all licensees BEFORE WE EXPEND OUR
>   RESOURCES in a proceeding addressing this matter."
>
>In other words, "We don't have time to listen to your
>arguments -- get your (stuff) together in one sock before
>you bother us again."
>
>73, Hans, K0HB

Hansl:

I think the handwriting on the wall is getting bigger, bolder, and more
clear with each new pronouncement from the FCC. Ham Radio =
Nowhere!

Can you say "reallocation?"

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -149131998268571099
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,df8550ebf9f61712
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 00:40:08 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: SSB'ers...join the club!
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 07:39:39 GMT
Message-ID: <3ad95027.433833670@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ad8c348$1@news2.mibx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.96
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.96
X-Trace: 15 Apr 2001 02:36:30 -0500, 64.24.17.96
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19350

On 14 Apr 2001 22:38:16 GMT, "A.E. 352" <A.E._member@newsranger.com>
wrote:

>
>Hey SIDEBANDERS! If you're looking for a club to join, please feel-free to
>check-out http://american-eagle.tripod.com   We are a brotherhood of 10/11M
>SIDEBAND operators with members WORLDWIDE, who simply happen to enjoy the
>hobby. So...give us a look. Membership is ALWAYS free!
>
>A.E. 352
>
Trollmeter = 0

From 1921199868117659110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 03:52:06 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Apr 2001 10:50:46 GMT
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19351

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >and he or she is now a freshly minted Extra clueless

>That's your story and you're sticking to it.

Dick, you may think that the demeaning and abusive behaviour you exhibit on this forum is appropriate. I think it is no more than mean-spirited and destructive.

Fortunately, most of us who love and enjoy Morse code are able to point out that such conduct is not a result of the "hard work" that you put into learning the code; it is a personal choice that, fortunately, most hams do not take.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1214950067494367478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e4f8c3e9e3e844ea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 04:42:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "diaphragmaticneuros" <ssamuels2@aol.com>
From: "diaphragmaticneuros" <ssamuels2@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AD7BA1E.47B84FAD@ix.netcom.com>
Subject: Re: It's a year now since restructuring
Lines: 25
Organization: apples monkeys and bookoos oh my
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <5OfC6.1145$u23.159476@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 11:41:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.88.51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987334913 165.121.88.51 (Sun, 15 Apr 2001 04:41:53 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 04:41:53 PDT
X-Received-Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 04:40:36 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19352

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3AD7BA1E.47B84FAD@ix.netcom.com...

> The FCC
> said that since restructuring went into effect nearly a year ago, "there
> does not appear to be any decline in the proper operation of amateur
> stations."
>
> Seems to be my observation, too. Comparing Jan '00 to Apr14, '00
> to the time period after Apr 15, '00 as I listened to the experts to see
> how it's done.

It doesn't change the fact that ham radio is dying on
the vine. With 67 yrs being the average age of ham
op's, it won't be long before the whole thing is a memory
confined to the obsolete heap of technology along with 1200
baud modems and code keys. Hamfests have all but
dried up. People ain't buying radios except for VHF stuff.
What young person, except for geeks, want's to go thru
the trouble of stringing up aerials around the house when they
can use the internet with T1 lines and get streaming 2-way video?
FRS radios outsell ham rigs by 3000%
Wayne Green was right in all those editorials he wrote during
the past 5 yrs.

From 6519506945448882223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 06:10:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AD99D65.F5D2A3FE@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 08:08:53 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987339760
64.240.47.117 (15 Apr 2001 08:02:40 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!
209.10.34.151!newsfeed.sjc.globix.net!newsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news.stealth.net!
news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19353
```

W1RFI wrote:

> Fortunately, most of us who love and enjoy Morse code are able to point out
> that such conduct is not a result of the "hard work" that you put into learning
> the code;

Dick's conduct is the result of being born. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5211281881031708103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e4f8c3e9e3e844ea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 06:12:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AD99DD0.8948EDD4@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 08:10:40 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: It's a year now since restructuring
References: <3AD7BA1E.47B84FAD@ix.netcom.com> <5OfC6.1145$u23.159476@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987339872 64.240.47.117 (15 Apr 2001 08:04:32 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!isdnet!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19354

diaphragmaticneuros wrote:
> Wayne Green was right in all those editorials he wrote during
> the past 5 yrs.

You mean cold fusion really works?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8286926990749255153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 07:10:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 10:10:10 -0400
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers

Lines: 48
Message-ID: <3AD9ABC2.6FA3B471@dirtball.com>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>
<3AD4970A.3053B710@IEEE.org> <9b4ilu$103$1@208.206.142.83>
<3AD5CF14.56C77703@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-54.10.alltel.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 987343707 3916 166.102.54.10 (15 Apr 2001 14:08:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Apr 2001 14:08:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15291
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19355

I don't think that handling emergancy traffic with your ham gear on 11 meters was such a legal transmission. Your Icom 706 isn't type accepted equipment to be transmitting on 27.185MHz. I really don't think you will get into trouble for handling emergency traffic on CB with your ham rig as long as you don't make a habit of it. I would sujest setting up a type accepted CB station (they are very inexpensive) if you are going to handle emergency traffic on the CB band.

All though, I honestly don't think that the FCC is not going to do anything about freebanders and the technicians that set their radios up for them. Freebanders are all over the place here in Madison County GA. The hams that are in this area aren't much help. They use their ham equipment on 11 meters too. All this does is sets a bad example for future possible hams. Just like you they think or just don't care about what is legal and what is not. Why should somebody get their ham ticket? I mean if they can get on CB radio and "shoot skip"(work DX) without worry of repercussions. Why work to get the ticket? That is why it is important to follow those pain in the rear part 95 rules and regulations. That example we must set is just to important to let go of.

In my honest opinion I think that the FCC and ARRL should form a ticket for those 4 million CBers and allow true hams General and above run 1500 watts pep on 11 meters. tech. and novices 250 watts and the no license CB ticket 4 watts just like it is now. You can bet that this would get more people involved in ham radio. I think that it was a mistake for the FCC to take that band from us in the first place. Thats just my opinion!

73 from
Oris

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:

```
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > And emergency communications are legal using almost any equipment on
> > > almost any frequency. I'm surprised an Extra class licensee is ignorant
> > > of such things.
> >
> >   What, another change of story? Last time I looked it was your Icom 706
> > which yoiu couldn't raise any ham with.
>
> No change of story. The time I used my IC706 running 3 watts AM on CB
> CH19 was for an emergency which is perfectly legal. I'm surprised an
> Extra class licensee is ignorant of such things.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From 8571550596538719104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 07:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 10:14:44 -0400
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3AD9ACD3.1228D47C@dirtball.com>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>
<3AD4970A.3053B710@IEEE.org> <9b4ilu$103$1@208.206.142.83>
<3AD5CF14.56C77703@IEEE.org> <5TqB6.2333$tx5.57644@typhoon.sonic.net>
<3AD63C21.9FD520D6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-54.10.alltel.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
```

```
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 987343979 3916 166.102.54.10 (15 Apr 2001 14:12:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Apr 2001 14:12:59 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15292
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19356

when normal communication systems are not available.
    I find it hard to believe that there was no normal communication systems
available. Cell phones are everywhere.
                          Oris

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Ron wrote:
> >
> > > No change of story. The time I used my IC706 running 3 watts AM on CB
> > > CH19 was for an emergency which is perfectly legal. I'm surprised an
> > > Extra class licensee is ignorant of such things.
> >
> > Absolutely wrong.  Read below:
>
> Absolutely wrong. What is it about emergency communications that you
> do not understand? Read below.
>
> �97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.
>
> No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of any
> means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential communication
> needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and immediate
> protection of property when normal communication systems are not available.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 3678707282942515822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 07:16:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!
lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 10:17:05 -0400
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3AD9AD61.21F66E4E@dirtball.com>
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>
<3AD4970A.3053B710@IEEE.org> <9b4ilu$103$1@208.206.142.83>
<3AD5CF14.56C77703@IEEE.org> <5TqB6.2333$tx5.57644@typhoon.sonic.net>
<3AD63C21.9FD520D6@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbp7t26.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: r-54.10.alltel.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 987344120 3916 166.102.54.10 (15 Apr 2001 14:15:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Apr 2001 14:15:20 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15293
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19357

Its one of those gray areas that our nation of to many laws has.
Oris

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Thu, 12 Apr 2001 18:37:05 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> >Absolutely wrong. What is it about emergency communications that you
> >do not understand? Read below.
> >
> >�97.403 Safety of life and protection of property.
> >
> >No provision of these rules prevents the use by an amateur station of any
> >means of radiocommunication at its disposal to provide essential communication
> >needs in connection with the immediate safety of human life and immediate
> >protection of property when normal communication systems are not available.
> >--
>
>   As I have posted on many occasions, the pizzing contest starts
>   on whether it was (a) a real safety-of-life-or-property
>   emergency and (b) whether other communication methods and
>   channels were available.
>
> --

>   73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -3713336352767299492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d206595acee72db
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 09:02:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References:  <22555-3AD7E133-25@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
<3ad7f21d$1@news2.mibx.net>
Subject: Re: Forgers N8WWM and KC8LDO
Lines: 9
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <VAjC6.22286$IJ1.1835916@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 16:00:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.177.251
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 987350453 12.88.177.251 (Sun, 15 Apr
2001 16:00:53 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 16:00:53 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19358
```

Probably not as curious as some of the virtual porn being served up by a few hams on the newsgroups. I assume the hams in question had good training on certain frequencies on 75 or 20 meters. And we thought W2OY was bad back in the 60s! He was a prince next to some folks today!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

```
From -2715517873076547286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 11:46:01 PST
Message-ID: <3AD9EBB7.7C56F5E8@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 13:43:03 -0500
```

From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net> <WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164> <3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79> <3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100> <3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70> <3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166> <3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155> <3AD4970A.3053B710@IEEE.org> <9b4ilu$103$1@208.206.142.83> <3AD5CF14.56C77703@IEEE.org> <3AD9ABC2.6FA3B471@dirtball.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987359810 64.240.47.102 (15 Apr 2001 13:36:50 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15301 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19359

Oris Grim wrote:

> I don't think that handling emergancy traffic with your ham gear on 11 meters
> was such a legal transmission.

The emergency was mine personally and I had exhausted all ham radio possibilities. CB was a last resort to keep from freezing.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1370232216885197884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8ce4270d421c84fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 11:54:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AD9EDC1.A0333382@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 13:51:45 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Chairman Wants Change in Amateur Radio.
References: <3ac6e5f4$1_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3ACAA318.A032CD4F@qsl.net>
<WNRy6.685$tx5.30179@typhoon.sonic.net> <9altmk$lfu$6@bashir.peak.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbfre11.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9ap476$8vg$0@208.207.71.164>
<3AD11A0E.EE74355F@IEEE.org> <9apt5m$bm3$0@208.206.142.79>
<3AD1B446.7D251622@IEEE.org> <9ar78t$t0j$0@208.206.142.100>
<3AD267BF.76E47598@IEEE.org> <9at9k6$ijm$0@208.206.142.70>
<3AD27317.5AFB9C37@IEEE.org> <9av8mm$d0i$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AD34A09.847378C6@IEEE.org> <9b1r9i$rug$0@208.207.71.155>
<3AD4970A.3053B710@IEEE.org> <9b4ilu$103$1@208.206.142.83>
<3AD5CF14.56C77703@IEEE.org> <5TqB6.2333$tx5.57644@typhoon.sonic.net>
<3AD63C21.9FD520D6@IEEE.org> <3AD9ACD3.1228D47C@dirtball.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987360332
64.240.47.102 (15 Apr 2001 13:45:32 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15302
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19360
```

Oris Grim wrote:

> when normal communication systems are not available.
> I find it hard to believe that there was no normal communication systems
> available. Cell phones are everywhere.

```
There was no cell phone in my disabled truck. Saying that cell phones
are "everywhere" is a pretty lame statement.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 3774713008564910009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 13:40:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Apr 2001 20:38:51 GMT
References: <20010411235351.16562.00000964@nso-fl.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010415163851.10479.00000088@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19361

In article <20010411235351.16562.00000964@nso-fl.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010411075355.01584.00002948@ng-fo1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
>(Steve
>Robeson LPN) writes:
>
>>>Just send in your 20 bucks and you'll get a license.  Simple, easy,
>>>and FUN!
>>
>>     They tried that once...it's called "CB".  And we see what happened to
>>that.
>
>Citizens Band Radio Service.  Created in 1958 (class A and B), and
>in 1959 (class C and D).  Nursie was 3 and 4 years old.  She could
>"see" what happened...
>
>Of course she could.

Do the vast majority of users of the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists
today, obey the rules of that service?
```

Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as an example of how that Service should conduct itself?

Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as an example of how the Amateur Radio Service should be conducted?

N2EY

From -1873751328981160167
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 14:24:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!news.tele.dk!news.dizum.com!sewer-output!mail2news
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 15:21:31 -0500
From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remailer@xganon.com>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
remailer administrator at <abuse@anon.xg.nu>.
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Message-ID: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
Mail-To-News-Contact: abuse@dizum.com
Organization: mail2news@dizum.com
Lines: 24
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19362

I laughed my ass off when a couple guys in this thread spouted off about all the government enforcement if someone unauthorized uses the marine bands. One guy even boasted BIG federal penalties. I got news for you. I'm in commercial radio. We find people all the time using cheap marine radios for CB style communication, both local and long distance, even kids using it as a hobby, like CB, just to screw around with. THERE IS NO FEDERAL ENFORCEMENT. We've turned in the exact location, names, and other operating information to the FCC and other feds. They don't care. They have NEVER EVER done anything to stop this illegal operation on marine frequencies. and like one guy here said, it is a growing hobby. Crap, I've seen do gooder hams in Chicago, Detroit, and other cities turn in these illegal ops. Nothng, absolutely nothing has ever come of these complaints. I even turned in a couple guys who were operating on calling and distress frequencies, and nothing was ever done. The illegal ops continue, even now and have continued for years.

This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
http://anon.xg.nu

From 3377960892434988528
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 14:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 90
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Apr 2001 21:24:26 GMT
References: <3AD4D73F.5901E741@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010415172426.25196.00000075@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19363

In article <3AD4D73F.5901E741@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Martin wrote:
>> Jap Zeros were the best planes made, ...
>
>They were no match for the F14 in that Kirk Douglas movie. :-)
>
>Actually, the Flying Tigers kill ratio was much better than 1:1.

Yup. Most of them flew P-40s.

>I
>can't remember exactly what it was, but it was rather impressive.

Agreed - particlularly considering their limited numbers and long supply lines.

>It ranks right up there with The Alamo where 150 or so Texans
>crippled the Mexican army so badly that Santa Ana had no chance
>at the later Battle of San Jacinto.

I thought the biggest factor was the delay. The Alamo held out for so long that Santa Ana's opposition had more than enough time to prepare for San Jacinto.

What has always puzzled me is why Santa Ana did not simply bypass the Alamo. He could have left a small force behind to keep it surrounded and cut off while the rest of his army went on to San Jacinto. Focusing on a tiny fort with only 150 defenders was just plain dumb.

But if you want to talk about being hopelessly outnumbered, the prize must go to the battle of Rourke's Drift, where 104 British soldiers (many of them sick or wounded) held off more than 4000 Zulu warriors in a three-day battle. The officer in charge, by the way, had never been in battle before. He was an engineer, sent to build bridges.

>"Best" is a relative term.

Absolutely agree.

> The Flying Tigers were faster so they
>just strafed the Zeros, knocking some down, and simply outran the
>rest.

The P-40s could dive faster, and were more heavily armored. The Zero was a state-of-the-art aircraft at the time, but that does not mean that all Japanes pilots knew how to use it to best advantage.

> A handful of US civilian pilots essentially stymied the
>Japanese invasion of China before the US entered WWII.

Technically they were "volunteers" - another word for "mercenaries". Considering what the Japanese were doing in China from 1937 on, it's clear that their cause was morally justified.

> The Zero
>pilots avoided any Chinese city protected by the Flying Tigers.

Only problem was that there were far too few Flying Tigers.

The aircraft know as the "Zero" was actually a whole family of aircraft, used for a variety of purposes.

--

The Japanese had world-class military technology at the start of WW2, and they had plenty of it. The Zero was in no way "outdated" at Pearl Harbor. But Japan did not have the ability to keep up with rapidly changing technology as the war progressed. Worse, many Japanese military policies and bad decisions reduced the effectiveness of the Japanese military, and helped them to snatch defeat from the jaws of victory.

For example, it was standard US Navy policy to rotate combat personnel. Sub crews and carrier air squadrons were made up of a mixture of experienced and new people, and many of the most experienced were sent back to the States to teach in the training schools. End results were that things learned in combat were taught to newcomers, no combat unit was made up of all new or all experienced people, and losses did not wipe out large numbers of experienced people.

The Japanese did not rotate their people - they thought a combat pilot should just keep flying until killed or incapacitated. End result was that their experienced people were all concentrated in a few groups. Japan's biggest loss at Midway was not the four aircraft carriers and associated airplanes, but the hundreds of experienced pilots and support personnel who went down with them. The ships and planes could be quickly replaced, but not the people and their experience.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -8627889641296142518
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 16:10:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!129.250.35.146!
iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbunoi2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Lines: 18
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 23:09:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.114
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 987376176 206.58.44.114 (Sun, 15 Apr 2001
23:09:36 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 23:09:36 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19364

On Sun, 15 Apr 2001 15:21:31 -0500, "Public" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote:

>The illegal ops continue, even now and have continued for years.

And this then makes it legal?

Is that what you are going to tell the judge if and when you get nailed? You never know when "they" are going to decide to make an example out of someone, and the someone may very well be you.

Remember what Satchel Paige said about the alligator?

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 8274065296243217728
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d9db017c387a6776
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 16:12:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.tele.dk!129.250.35.146!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbunsp3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3ad5fb16_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3AD889E2.2C6694EE@qsl.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Hollingsworth Demands Space Shuttle
Lines: 13
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 23:09:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.114
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 987376176 206.58.44.114 (Sun, 15 Apr 2001
23:09:36 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 23:09:36 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19365
```

On Sat, 14 Apr 2001 13:33:22 -0400, Dan Yemiola wrote:

>Actually i think the ISS is under Russian control registered in The CIS.

I was under the impression that the CIS was an interim stepin
the breakup of the Soviet Union and no longer exists and it's
pure "Russia".

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 5543557794473993726
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 16:44:06 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Apr 2001 23:40:16 GMT
References: <3AC1FE88.D45AAE38@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Message-ID: <20010415194016.00160.00000079@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19366

In article <3AC1FE88.D45AAE38@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> None of those
>> voting against keeping code testing wanted his vote made public, and
>> without a individual poll of the vote it will not be, officially at
>> least.
>
>I had diphtheria when I was three years old. I would have died without
>antitoxin shots. The doctor didn't want me to hate him in later life
>so he had my mother hide my eyes so I wouldn't see who was hurting me
>for my own good. That's what the ARRL BOD did for you, Dick.
>--
Nonsense, Cecil. Apples and oranges.

The ARRL BOD is not a united, homogeneous group with only one opinion. Their views on various issues vary all over the map. On the code test issue, the views of the various directors range from "absolutely no change" to "get rid of it ASAP".

Until recently, the majority view was "keep code testing" and "don't change S25.5". Directors who ran on nocodetest platforms were usually not elected. Many directors do not have a cast-in-stone codetest policy.

Recent events (input from members, FCC statements concerning restructuring and the IARU Region 3 meeting last year) eroded that majority view to the point that the official policy changed.

By not telling the membership how the various directors voted, the membership cannot hold the directors responsible for their actions. This works both ways, for and against. Yet such actions are clearly allowed in the bylaws.

I am pleased to say, however, that my director moved for a roll-call vote. His motion was defeated, though.

If the directors are the representatives of the membership, then the membership

has the right to know how the directors voted. We are not three-year-old
children who need to have the truth hidden from us "for our own good".

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de jim, N2EY

From -8644414901837015455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d206595acee72db
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 17:32:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: timnebo@aol.comLOL (Tim)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 00:26:55 GMT
References: <3ad7f21d$1@news2.mibx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Forgers N8WWM and KC8LDO
Message-ID: <20010415202655.05778.00000300@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19368

this is the cb room forger here as indicated by the same mibx.net news server
and not some guy named jim perry. its either leland or doug.

>Subject: Re: Forgers N8WWM and KC8LDO
>From: "Jim Perry" jperry@spartacus.net
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
>Message-ID: <3ad7f21d$1@news2.mibx.net>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82

WARNING:
No Code Amateurs Douglas Adair N8WWM and Leland C Scott KC8LDO Have Been
Forging My Email Address While Posting To Several Web Sites And News Groups.
Just Do As I Do And Ignore Them.

From -8442476195500418993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,df8550ebf9f61712
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 17:32:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!

```
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: timnebo@aol.comLOL (Tim)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 00:27:34 GMT
References: <3ad8c348$1@news2.mibx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: SSB'ers...join the club!
Message-ID: <20010415202734.05778.00000301@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19367

same mibx.net forger

>Subject: SSB'ers...join the club!
>From: "A.E. 352" A.E._member@newsranger.com
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
>Message-ID: <3ad8c348$1@news2.mibx.net>



       73 de Tim
  Knight Patrol #82

WARNING:
No Code Amateurs Douglas Adair N8WWM and Leland C Scott KC8LDO Have Been
Forging My Email Address While Posting To Several Web Sites And News Groups.
Just Do As I Do And Ignore Them.


From -730429205121921213
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e4f8c3e9e3e844ea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 17:38:03 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's a year now since restructuring
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 00:34:20 GMT
Message-ID: <3ada3d3b.494522604@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AD7BA1E.47B84FAD@ix.netcom.com>
<5OfC6.1145$u23.159476@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.60
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.60
X-Trace: 15 Apr 2001 19:31:10 -0500, 64.24.240.60
Lines: 11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19369

On Sun, 15 Apr 2001 11:41:53 GMT, "diaphragmaticneuros"
<ssamuels2@aol.com> wrote:

>Wayne Green was right in all those editorials he wrote during
>the past 5 yrs.
>
There's a real oxymoron, Wayne hasn't been "right" since I first
picked up on his drivel in CQ fiftysome years ago, more yet in Byte
and don't even get me started on his crap in CD Review.
>
w3rv

From -1415630299699249305
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 18:06:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 121
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 01:04:13 GMT
References: <3acee883@news.sierratel.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Message-ID: <20010415210413.02794.00000210@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19370

In article <3acee883@news.sierratel.com>, "Bryant" <signet@cnetech.com> writes:

>
>Dear Dick and Larry,
>
>I've got to hand it to you two Nobel laureates.

Bryant:

Sorry, no takers. Dick and I aren't looking for handouts -- and we're
tired of giving them out to people who are perfectly capable of learning
a few simple things about ham radio all by themselves. The person
who made the original posting has a computer and obviously enough
knowledge of it to post a message on a Usenet newsgroup. He
must have an internet connection and a browser, so why couldn't he
have done a simple search? Name any topic whatsoever, and I will
find you some kind of information on it, without having to post a

message to a topic-related newsgroup asking for a handout.

>You guys must also have
>psychic abilities and knew I was downloading an amateur radio newsgroup for
>the first time. Who do you think you are to attack this man and insult him
>for no reason. You know nothing about him and his life and yet you respond
>back to him with insults. What you two did flies right in the face of
>everything that Ham radio stands for.

Yada, yada, yada. Dick and I don't think ham radio stands for hopeless
technical ignorance. And anyone who would have to post such a question
to a Usenet newsgroup would be quite well within that definition.

>First and foremost with all Hams is
>the ideal of the dissemintation of information. It is how we inform and
>teach ourselves and others about our hobby which in turns causes us to gain
>new members that strengthens our hobby and enrichens not only our lives but
>others as well. It is the same for all hobbies whether it is model
>rocketry, radio control cars and planes, Civil War re-enactments or any
>other hobby you can think of.

I don't disagree with any of that, and I'm pretty sure Dick wouldn't either.
However, there are way too many "hams" these days, even a lot of them
with Extra-class licenses, who seem to be technically uninvolved to an
embarrassing degree. These days, "Elmering" isn't just providing guidance
and encouragement, it's showing the guy which button to push, and then
pushing it for him! The problem is, there is a wealth of information out
there, most of it free for the taking, which goes by the wayside because
the new "consumer-oriented" hams couldn't be bothered. Some hobby.

>Did either of you two ever think that maybe
>some people are happy just working the VHF/UHF bands?

Sure, but that's also a very readily available excuse to never learn any
practical communications skills. It's the same attitude which, in my
area at least, has kept ham radio locked in the Dark Ages.

>Maybe RG had a period
>in his life where he had to put his his hobby on the backburner and is just
>now getting back to it. I was going to reframe from attacking you two but
>since I took your comments personally you two are now fair game.

Is that anything like "refarming?" You seem to be singing a very sad
refrain.

>Everyday
>I'm out there trying to convince kids and adults alike to realize that there
>are other things in life to do other than drugs, the television, and
>celebrity idealization.

And, of course, you think Ham Radio is the obvious answer. Well,
isn't that just so Newington-ish of you. I think smallbore rifle shooting
is the answer myself. Ham radio is nothing I'd get kids involved in

anymore because it's been dumbed down to the point where it really doesn't hold any useful educational value. And, considering the fact that the average 13-year old can communicate globally on his/her own computer, where is the incentive?

>And in case your pea-size brains didn't think of
>it, children do have access to computers and may watching what you post.

I see. They're seeing you accuse me and Dick of having "pea-size brains" at the moment. How charming. It seems to me that if you really cared, you would have been a bit more polite, in spite of how we may have offended you.

>I
>know guys like you...I can time my watch to the way you act...No doubt you
>two probably have at least $50,000.00 apiece worth of ham radio equipment
>with huge towers and yet your front yards look like they could have been
>used as sets for the movie "DELIVERANCE".

That's where you'd be wrong, at least in my case. I've been a ham for almost 20 years, and my lifetime expenditure in ham gear has probably yet to reach the $5,000 level. This includes two complete HF stations, 3 2-meter mobile rigs, 2 UHF mobile rigs, a couple of HT's, lots of tools, parts, two PC's dedicated to amateur data modes, and other incidentals. I operate from a 3rd floor apartment using indoor dipole antennas on 30 thru 10 meters, never exceeding a power output level of 25 watts on HF CW, RTTY, PSK31 and other data modes. I use no HF SSB whatsoever due to my setting and the higher power requirement SSB imposes, and the TVI that goes with it. The outside of my apartment building is, admittedly, not in the greatest of shape, but that's more a reflection on the poor management of the property than anything myself or my fellow tenants have done -- inspite of paying the highest rents in our city (Dover, Delaware).

>By way, your tickets are a
>privilege and not a right and thus can be revoked at any time!!!

Only if we do something to *cause* them to be revoked. Telling the truth on a Usenet newsgroup doesn't jeapordize our licenses one iota. What was your point, anyway?

>Oh yeah,
>one more thing -- my license is expiring this summer. I'm a no-code tech
>and would like to know how to renew my license////////

My advice is -- Don't let the door hit you in the ass on your way out!

73 de Larry, K3LT
Anxiously waiting for the FCC to "revoke" my license at Bryant's request!
Are you shaking in your boots yet, Dick?

From 6379233971379979563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 18:16:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 16 Apr 2001 01:14:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <9bdh12$pol$0@208.207.71.169>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.169
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19371

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> >and he or she is now a freshly minted Extra clueless
>
> >That's your story and you're sticking to it.
>
> Dick, you may think that the demeaning and abusive behaviour you exhibit on this forum is appropriate.

People who grab advanced (not Advanced) class ham licenses without learning anything meaningful, which is clearly allowed and regularly done, can fairly be characterized as I have done, and denial of the facts doesn't change them. Telling it like it is, is neither demeaning nor abusive.

> I think it is no more than mean-spirited and destructive.

How about instructive? Many of those folks don't know that they are without knowledge, after all, they have passed the Extra class test. Shouldn't that prove something? Yes it should, but in fact it does not.

>
> Fortunately, most of us who love and enjoy Morse code are able to point out
> that such conduct is not a result of the "hard work" that you put into learning
> the code;

Your words, not mine. All the avoiders consider it next to imposssible.
Not me.

> it is a personal choice that, fortunately, most hams do not take.

Call it response in kind. You know what happened when I showed up on
RRAP a few years ago with my very reasonable defense of code testing. I
was immediately leaped upon by every raving idiot in the no-code camp,
labelled all sorts of derogative descriptives, and cast as the worst
sort of scoundral. I haven't forgoten that, nor shall I. Whatever I have
had to say on the subject from then on has been true, just, and exactly
to the point. Facts are facts. You can just accept that ham radio will
be no more than glorified CB if you wish. I can't do it.

From -2508395194657985843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 18:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
skynet.be!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbunoi2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 21:19:00 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3ada48ac$0$12818$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5b28f33.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=KQ_AQVESF4Ch_P==R2PeVJ`<WTGT>OiBN[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FGl32XTR1S7mNlBFDaL\d^nEe

```
j3\D;_f[iG
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19372


"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gbunoi2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
>
>   Remember what Satchel Paige said about the alligator?

Ok, I'll bit.   What he say?

Larry




From -7379313465974657746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 18:22:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 16 Apr 2001 01:18:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 128
Message-ID: <9bdh9u$qaf$0@208.207.71.169>
References: <3acee883@news.sierratel.com> <20010415210413.02794.00000210@nso-
fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.169
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19373



Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3acee883@news.sierratel.com>, "Bryant" <signet@cnetech.com> writes:
>
> >
> >Dear Dick and Larry,
> >
> >I've got to hand it to you two Nobel laureates.
>
> Bryant:
```

>
> Sorry, no takers. Dick and I aren't looking for handouts -- and we're
> tired of giving them out to people who are perfectly capable of learning
> a few simple things about ham radio all by themselves. The person
> who made the original posting has a computer and obviously enough
> knowledge of it to post a message on a Usenet newsgroup. He
> must have an internet connection and a browser, so why couldn't he
> have done a simple search? Name any topic whatsoever, and I will
> find you some kind of information on it, without having to post a
> message to a topic-related newsgroup asking for a handout.
>
> >You guys must also have
> >psychic abilities and knew I was downloading an amateur radio newsgroup for
> >the first time. Who do you think you are to attack this man and insult him
> >for no reason. You know nothing about him and his life and yet you respond
> >back to him with insults. What you two did flies right in the face of
> >everything that Ham radio stands for.
>
> Yada, yada, yada. Dick and I don't think ham radio stands for hopeless
> technical ignorance. And anyone who would have to post such a question
> to a Usenet newsgroup would be quite well within that definition.
>
> >First and foremost with all Hams is
> >the ideal of the dissemintation of information. It is how we inform and
> >teach ourselves and others about our hobby which in turns causes us to gain
> >new members that strengthens our hobby and enrichens not only our lives but
> >others as well. It is the same for all hobbies whether it is model
> >rocketry, radio control cars and planes, Civil War re-enactments or any
> >other hobby you can think of.
>
> I don't disagree with any of that, and I'm pretty sure Dick wouldn't either.
> However, there are way too many "hams" these days, even a lot of them
> with Extra-class licenses, who seem to be technically uninvolved to an
> embarrassing degree. These days, "Elmering" isn't just providing guidance
> and encouragement, it's showing the guy which button to push, and then
> pushing it for him! The problem is, there is a wealth of information out
> there, most of it free for the taking, which goes by the wayside because
> the new "consumer-oriented" hams couldn't be bothered. Some hobby.
>
> >Did either of you two ever think that maybe
> >some people are happy just working the VHF/UHF bands?
>
> Sure, but that's also a very readily available excuse to never learn any
> practical communications skills. It's the same attitude which, in my
> area at least, has kept ham radio locked in the Dark Ages.
>
> >Maybe RG had a period
> >in his life where he had to put his his hobby on the backburner and is just
> >now getting back to it. I was going to reframe from attacking you two but
> >since I took your comments personally you two are now fair game.
>
> Is that anything like "refarming?" You seem to be singing a very sad
> refrain.

>
> >Everyday
> >I'm out there trying to convince kids and adults alike to realize that there
> >are other things in life to do other than drugs, the television, and
> >celebrity idealization.
>
> And, of course, you think Ham Radio is the obvious answer. Well,
> isn't that just so Newington-ish of you. I think smallbore rifle shooting
> is the answer myself. Ham radio is nothing I'd get kids involved in
> anymore because it's been dumbed down to the point where it really
> doesn't hold any useful educational value. And, considering the fact
> that the average 13-year old can communicate globally on his/her own
> computer, where is the incentive?
>
> >And in case your pea-size brains didn't think of
> >it, children do have access to computers and may watching what you post.
>
> I see. They're seeing you accuse me and Dick of having "pea-size brains"
> at the moment. How charming. It seems to me that if you really cared,
> you would have been a bit more polite, in spite of how we may have
> offended you.
>
> >I
> >know guys like you...I can time my watch to the way you act...No doubt you
> >two probably have at least $50,000.00 apiece worth of ham radio equipment
> >with huge towers and yet your front yards look like they could have been
> >used as sets for the movie "DELIVERANCE".
>
> That's where you'd be wrong, at least in my case. I've been a ham for
> almost 20 years, and my lifetime expenditure in ham gear has probably
> yet to reach the $5,000 level. This includes two complete HF stations,
> 3 2-meter mobile rigs, 2 UHF mobile rigs, a couple of HT's, lots of tools,
> parts, two PC's dedicated to amateur data modes, and other incidentals.
> I operate from a 3rd floor apartment using indoor dipole antennas on
> 30 thru 10 meters, never exceeding a power output level of 25 watts on
> HF CW, RTTY, PSK31 and other data modes. I use no HF SSB
> whatsoever due to my setting and the higher power requirement SSB
> imposes, and the TVI that goes with it. The outside of my apartment
> building is, admittedly, not in the greatest of shape, but that's more
> a reflection on the poor management of the property than anything
> myself or my fellow tenants have done -- inspite of paying the highest
> rents in our city (Dover, Delaware).
>
> >By way, your tickets are a
> >privilege and not a right and thus can be revoked at any time!!!
>
> Only if we do something to *cause* them to be revoked. Telling the
> truth on a Usenet newsgroup doesn't jeapordize our licenses one
> iota. What was your point, anyway?
>
> >Oh yeah,
> >one more thing -- my license is expiring this summer. I'm a no-code tech
> >and would like to know how to renew my license////////

```
>
> My advice is -- Don't let the door hit you in the ass on your way out!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> Anxiously waiting for the FCC to "revoke" my license at Bryant's request!
> Are you shaking in your boots yet, Dick?



 yuk yuk yuk.. Bryant the No clue. (another one)


From -846811185176527486
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 18:34:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!129.250.35.146!
iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbuuot1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbunoi2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3ada48ac$0$12818$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Lines: 18
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 01:34:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.114
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 987384874 206.58.44.114 (Mon, 16 Apr 2001
01:34:34 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 01:34:34 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19374

On Sun, 15 Apr 2001 21:19:00 -0400, Larry Klose wrote:

>
>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
>news:cuvyxnansvbet.gbunoi2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
>>
>>   Remember what Satchel Paige said about the alligator?
>
>Ok, I'll bit.   What he say?

  It's a classic, Larry.  Was Satchel long before your time?
```

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -2999218657480237311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 19:35:20 PST
Message-ID: <3ADA58F2.B4C9010D@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 21:29:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3AD4D73F.5901E741@IEEE.org> <20010415172426.25196.00000075@nso-fk.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.163
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987387774 64.240.47.163 (15 Apr 2001 21:22:54 -0500)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19375

N2EY wrote:
> I thought the biggest factor was the delay. The Alamo held out for so long that
> Santa Ana's opposition had more than enough time to prepare for San Jacinto.

The siege took a lot of time but the actual battle lasted less than one day. There were a lot of demands for surrender. All the Alma defenders knew they were sacrificing their lives in nothing but a delaying action and their kill ratio was quite high.

> What has always puzzled me is why Santa Ana did not simply bypass the Alamo. He
> could have left a small force behind to keep it surrounded and cut off while
> the rest of his army went on to San Jacinto. Focusing on a tiny fort with only
> 150 defenders was just plain dumb.

Yep, one of his officers congratulated him after the victory at the Alamo.
Santa Ana replied that one more victory like that one and he wouldn't
have an army left.

I'm glad you weren't Santa Ana's advisor. If he had listened to you, I
might be speaking Spanish right now.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2308334819197809662
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 19:38:01 PST
Message-ID: <3ADA59BF.9CE81182@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 21:32:31 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
References: <3AC1FE88.D45AAE38@IEEE.org> <20010415194016.00160.00000079@nso-mg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.163
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987387979 64.240.47.163 (15 Apr 2001 21:26:19 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!wanadoo.fr!

opentransit.net!news.tele.dk!213.24.141.54!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19376

N2EY wrote:
> >I had diphtheria when I was three years old. I would have died without
> >antitoxin shots. The doctor didn't want me to hate him in later life
> >so he had my mother hide my eyes so I wouldn't see who was hurting me
> >for my own good. That's what the ARRL BOD did for you, Dick.

> Nonsense, Cecil. Apples and oranges.

I didn't think I needed to put a smiley face on that one, Jim.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -725080011642076244
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 19:42:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ADA5B33.9194C594@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 21:38:43 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <3AD4D73F.5901E741@IEEE.org> <20010415172426.25196.00000075@nso-fk.aol.com> <3ADA58F2.B4C9010D@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.163
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987388350 64.240.47.163 (15 Apr 2001 21:32:30 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.

```
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!wanadoo.fr!
opentransit.net!news.tele.dk!194.213.69.151!news.algonet.se!algonet!
newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19377

W6RCecilA wrote:
> There were a lot of demands for surrender. All the Alma defenders knew they
                                                     ^^^^
Darn that spell checker - obviously should be "Alamo".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7895143765192422258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 19:50:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>
<20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 22:40:49 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 86
Message-ID: <3ada5bda$0$12821$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 805d8a01.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=GVM6dGke`GB=>N\5^GS<lJ`<WTGT>OiBN[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FGc5B[B0WgK\HlBFDaL\d^nEe
j3\D;_f[iG
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19378
```

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com...

>
> The first North American Aircraft "Mustang" flew on October 26, 1940. The North American Model Number was NA-73X and was required to have the civil aircraft registration NX-19998. [source: Rockwell International public relations (Rockwell bought NAA some time ago)]

The Curtiss P40, developed from the P36, was America's foremost fighter in service when WW II began. P-40's engaged Japanese aircraft during the attack on Peal Harbor and the invasion of the Philippines in December 1941. They also were flown in China early in 1942 by the famed Flying Tigers and in North Africa.

The P-40 served in numerous combat areas - the Aleutian Islands, Italy, the Middle East, the Far East, and in the South pacific, and some were sent to Russia. though often outclassed by its adversaries in speed, maneuverability, and rate of climb, the P-40 earned a reputation in battle for extreme ruggedness.

By early 1944, the P40 had been replaced largely replaced by faster and more powerful fighter airplanes, and in July 1945 only one P-40 group remained operational in the AAF. At the end of the P-40's brilliant career, more than 14,000 had been produced for service in the air force of 28 nations.

P-40 Specifications
Size: span 37'4"; length 31'9", height 12'4"
Weight: 9,100 lbs max
Armament: six 50 cal. machine guns; 700 lbs of bombs externally
Engine: Allison V-1710 of 1,150hp
Cost: $45,000
Maximum speed: 362 mph
Cruising speed: 235 mph
Range: 850 miles
Service ceiling: 30,000 feet

The North American P-51, designed and built at the request of England in 1940, initially was not too impressive to the Air Corps because of its limited high-altitude performance. In 1942, however, the British tested a mustang in which a Rolls-Royce "Merlin" engine had been installed. results were most promising.

The AAF decide to purchase Merlin-powered Mustangs and use them for high altitude escort of the B-17s and B-24s. In December 1943, the P-51B flew its first comet mission from England. Meeting Luftwaffe interceptors deep inside Germany territory, the Mustang repeatedly scored heavily over the enemy planes.

By the end of WW II, the P-51 had been in practically every active combat zone, including the pacific where it escorted B-29s to Japan from Iwo Jima. With the advent of the Korean Conflict, it was again put into combat primarily for close support of ground troops.

Approximately 14,000 P-51 were built for the AAF. It was the last UAAF propeller fighter in operation.

P-40 Specifications
Size: span 37", length 32'3", height 13'8"
Weight 13'8"
Armament: six .50 cal machine guns and ten 5" rockets or 1,000 lbs of bombs
Engine: Packard Merlin V-1650 of 1,695 hp
Cost: $45,000
Maximum speed: 443 mph
Cursing speed: 275 mph
Range 1,675 miles
Service ceiling: 41,900 feet

They were great airplanes in their day, but now they are retired.

It is said that with the entry of USA into WW II more then half of all USA licensed hams entered the service to provide vital radio communications, much by Morse code. Even thought 30,000 or more entered the service, it was not enough, and radio teletype was invented to provide major communication circuits.

Morse code was great in its day, now its time to retire it as amateur radio license exam requirement. Technology changes both the design of aircraft and radio communications.

Larry KC8EPO

From 1206330264325353196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 19:54:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbunoi2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3ada48ac$0$12818$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbuuot1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 22:45:27 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3ada5cf0$0$12824$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 805d8a01.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=hYA1IHYm:<3NZUVAGj=[M>`<WTGT>OiB>[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7?
R<DcV9Gd<3lBFDaL\d^n5ej3\D;_f[i7
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19379


"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gbuuot1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Sun, 15 Apr 2001 21:19:00 -0400, Larry Klose wrote:
>
> >
> >"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
> >news:cuvyxnansvbet.gbunoi2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> >>
> >>   Remember what Satchel Paige said about the alligator?
> >
> >Ok, I'll bit.   What he say?
>
>   It's a classic, Larry.  Was Satchel long before your time?

Well its 10:42pm here, what time Satchel post his snappy
sound bit?  :-)

L




From -8811438272555201294
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 20:29:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!falcon.america.net!
eagle.america.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "The Sheriff" <numbertwenty-six@yahoo.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References:  <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbunoi2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Distribution: x-no-archive: yes
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
```

```
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <oGtC6.85$gd.5930@eagle.america.net>
Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 23:30:18 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.22.236.16
X-Trace: eagle.america.net 987391764 63.22.236.16 (Sun, 15 Apr 2001 23:29:24 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 23:29:24 EDT
Organization: 24hoursupport.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19380

      errrr, Phil, do you compredo standard English?
mr Public clearly indicates he turns in perps he finds on
the marine bands.    jump to conclusions often Phil?

      Do you remember what  Delono Ravinsansio said?



"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gbunoi2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Sun, 15 Apr 2001 15:21:31 -0500, "Public" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote:
>
> >The illegal ops continue, even now and have continued for years.
>
>   And this then makes it legal?
>
>   Is that what you are going to tell the judge if and when  you
>   get nailed?  You never know when "they" are going to decide to
>   make an example out of someone, and the someone may very well
>   be you.
>
>   Remember what Satchel Paige said about the alligator?
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>
>



From -4114154458463222854
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 21:15:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
```

```
Date: 16 Apr 2001 04:11:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9bdrd7$r4q$0@208.207.71.169>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <3AD99D65.F5D2A3FE@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.169
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19381



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > Fortunately, most of us who love and enjoy Morse code are able to point out
> > that such conduct is not a result of the "hard work" that you put into
learning
> > the code;
>
> Dick's conduct is the result of being born. :-)


 Whats your excuse, grape juice or too much "one hit shit"?


From -8197688164526195013
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 21:18:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ADA6377.964F9458@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
References: <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>
<20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com>
<3ada5bda$0$12821$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 93
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 04:14:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.42
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987394442 207.0.147.42 (Sun, 15 Apr 2001 21:14:02 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 21:14:02 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 15 Apr 2001 21:12:44 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19382

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com...
> >
> > The first North American Aircraft "Mustang" flew on October 26, 1940.
> > The North American Model Number was NA-73X and was required
> > to have the civil aircraft registration NX-19998. [source: Rockwell
> > International public relations (Rockwell bought NAA some time ago)]
>
> The Curtiss P40, developed from the P36, was America's foremost fighter in
> service when WW II began. P-40's engaged Japanese aircraft during the
> attack on Peal Harbor and the invasion of the Philippines in December 1941.
> They also were flown in China early in 1942 by the famed Flying Tigers and
> in North Africa.
>
> The P-40 served in numerous combat areas - the Aleutian Islands, Italy, the
> Middle East, the Far East, and in the South pacific, and some were sent to
> Russia. though often outclassed by its adversaries in speed,
> maneuverability, and rate of climb, the P-40 earned a reputation in battle
> for extreme ruggedness.
>
> By early 1944, the P40 had been replaced largely replaced by faster and more
> powerful fighter airplanes, and in July 1945 only one P-40 group remained
> operational in the AAF. At the end of the P-40's brilliant career, more
> than 14,000 had been produced for service in the air force of 28 nations.
>
> P-40 Specifications
> Size: span 37'4"; length 31'9", height 12'4"
> Weight: 9,100 lbs max
> Armament: six 50 cal. machine guns; 700 lbs of bombs externally
> Engine: Allison V-1710 of 1,150hp
> Cost: $45,000
> Maximum speed: 362 mph
> Cruising speed: 235 mph
> Range: 850 miles
> Service ceiling: 30,000 feet
>
> The North American P-51, designed and built at the request of England in
> 1940, initially was not too impressive to the Air Corps because of its
> limited high-altitude performance. In 1942, however, the British tested a
> mustang in which a Rolls-Royce "Merlin" engine had been installed. results
> were most promising.
>

> The AAF decide to purchase Merlin-powered Mustangs and use them for high
> altitude escort of the B-17s and B-24s. In December 1943, the P-51B flew
> its first comet mission from England. Meeting Luftwaffe interceptors deep
> inside Germany territory, the Mustang repeatedly scored heavily over the
> enemy
> planes.
>
> By the end of WW II, the P-51 had been in practically every active combat
> zone, including the pacific where it escorted B-29s to Japan from Iwo Jima.
> With the advent of the Korean Conflict, it was again put into combat
> primarily
> for close support of ground troops.
>
> Approximately 14,000 P-51 were built for the AAF. It was the last UAAF
> propeller fighter in operation.
>
> P-40 Specifications
> Size: span 37", length 32'3", height 13'8"
> Weight 13'8"
> Armament: six .50 cal machine guns and ten 5" rockets or 1,000 lbs of bombs
> Engine: Packard Merlin V-1650 of 1,695 hp
> Cost: $45,000
> Maximum speed: 443 mph
> Cursing speed: 275 mph
> Range 1,675 miles
> Service ceiling: 41,900 feet
>
> They were great airplanes in their day, but now they are retired.
>
> It is said that with the entry of USA into WW II more then half of all USA
> licensed hams entered the service to provide vital radio communications,
> much by Morse code. Even thought 30,000 or more entered the service, it was
> not enough, and radio teletype was invented to provide major communication
> circuits.
>
> Morse code was great in its day, now its time to retire it as amateur radio
> license exam requirement. Technology changes both the design of aircraft
> and
> radio communications.
>
> Larry KC8EPO

Pretty neat the way you cribbed the info about the aircraft and
presented it without attribution, Larry. Did you do the same with the
information regarding morse code? Since you are one who doesn't use it,
I'd suspect your information may not be based on first hand knowledge.

Dave K8MN

From -5015136294059717980
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 21:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
freenix!isdnet!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 300
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 04:39:07 GMT
References: <20010413155615.14152.00000003@nso-cs.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010416003907.25353.00000133@nso-de.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19383

In article <20010413155615.14152.00000003@nso-cs.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>>>Not ignoring anything...
>>
>>Yes, you are.
>>
>>>only working with another's tabulations.
>>
>>Which you don't understand.
>>
>>>If that is displeasing you, contact AH0A about his methods.
>>
>>His methods are fully explained on his website. It's your methods that are
>>faulty.
>
>Miccolis wishes to debate beyond the "fly speck in pepper" stage
>stating constantly "errors, mistakes, fault!"   :-)

I wish to debate the methods used to derive conclusions. You don't want to
debate. All you want to do, Len, is call names and repeat the same mistakes
over and over.
>
>I believe my math was close enough to be accurate in assessment
>of the classes of licenses both before and after April 15, 2000,
>namely that the New licensees' trend to Technician continues

Your "belief" in something does not make it a fact. I know people who believe
in the Easter Bunny, but their belief does not mean I must agree with them.

Everyone knows that most new hams start out as Technicians. Been that way for a
long time. I've said it many times. It's a nonissue.

> and that there is no signs of any exodus to increase users on
>amateur HF bands.

Another example of faulty process on your part, Len. You lump together all classes of amateur license with any HF privileges at all, EXCEPT Techncians with HF. But there is a BIG difference between the minimal Novice HF privileges (tiny slices of four HF bands, and only one mode on three of those bands) granted and those of the General and higher classes. (Generals have all privileges on 5 of the 9 HF/MF amateur bands, and the majority of the spectrum in the other 4 bands. And they have all mode privileges. The other classes are even better).

Since the end of March, 2000, the number of US hams with General and higher licenses has jumped by 30,561 - that's 10.5%. Thirty thousand plus new hams on bands/modes they could not use before. That's significant.
>
>That trend is visible to all...except those who do not want to see it.

Nobody denies the first trend. Only you see the second.
>
>>Why? Your work is faulty. That is the issue. I'm not going to do your work
>>for you.
>
>Ooooo! :-)

You agree, then, that your work is faulty. OK. Why should anyone accept your faulty work?
>
>>If someone makes mistakes in how they analyze that data, is it somehow
>>"wrong" to point out those mistakes? If so, why?
>
>Sorry, old fly speck, but my analysis is no more "mistaken" after
>restructuring any more than it was "mistaken" before.

It was mistaken before and it is even more mistaken after. Do you still think that all Technicians have no HF privileges, with or without CSCEs? Yes or no?

>You
>DON'T LIKE THE ANALYSIS, therefore you brand the analysis
>"mistaken, faulty, error, etc."

I don't like analysis METHODS that are faulty. Such as counting months twice, which you did. Or ignoring the reclassification of Tech Pluses as Techs upon renewal, which you also did. Or assuming that NO new Technicians upgraded in ten months, which you also did.
>
>What YOU WANT is to keep the status quo as much as possible,
>to keep the Faith in what all Good and Real hams "should" think,
>"must keep" as a "moral" and (even) way of life.

How do you know what I think? Can you read minds? Are you God? I don't think so.

What is really going on is that I have repeatedly shown that your analysis methods are faulty. So rather than correct them, or admit your mistake, or even try to defend them, you attack the messenger.

Textbook ad hominem. Which is faulty logic, too.

>I'm not going to
>do that since the environment is changing, the paradigms are
>changing and evolving.

Buzzwords and bafflegab. Heck, those aren't even current buzzwords and bafflegab. Get with it, Len - "paradigms" is an '80s management buzzword.

>I don't give you twenty cents for your
>paradigms of the olden times.

I didn't ask for it. All I want is answers to simple questions, but you don't give those. Because they are too embarrasing for you.
>
>>Me? Not at all. I'm just pointing out your errors, Len. Your mistakes in
>>logical processes and the handling of these numbers. Real engineers welcome
>>having their work checked. Wannabes are afraid of having their faulty
>methods
>>exposed.
>
>Bullshit.

How? If your numbers and methods are valid, explain and defend them against my critique. Show where MY analysis is faulty. You haven't done that - all you do is edit out my proof of your errors and attack the messenger. Then you toss in some dirty words for some reason or other.

>You are trying to act like Management.

Nope. I'm acting like a real engineer, who wants to see how the conclusions are derived, and who won't accept sloppy thinking and faulty handwaving processes.

Worse yet, I won't be bullied into accepting something because somebody calls me names or uses scatalogical terms.

>You WANT
>certain conclusions and, by Hiram, you are going to get it.   :-)

How do you know what I want? Are you God?
>
>The trend of newcomers going to Technician was there before
>restructuring. It continues.

And as I have said dozens of times: NOBODY DENIES THAT!

> Further, against the grain of the
>established ham conservatives, Techs are renewing as Techs.

Most of them. Same as every other class. I've been renewing in the same license class for three decades.

>Such is heresy against Conventional Wisdom (CW) of the
>long-time ham conservatives.

You said that - not me. Why do you deny that ANY of them upgrade?
>
>REAL engineers challenge bad decisions in "open court" of
>design review meetings or by going to other companies.

Not just there. Ever hear of a "checker" in a design office? Or do you think your work is always without error, and does not need to be checked?

This forum serves exactly the purpose of an engineering review. Faulty arguments and processes are exposed for what they are. You can try to shout me down, but it doesn;t work that way. Reality is absolute. A is A, whether you like it or not. Whether you believe it or not.

> REAL
>engineers don't sit around complacent with state-of-the-art of
>three decades ago or outdated methods.

You mean like SSB?

Real engineers don't fix what ain't broke. Real engineers don't reinvent the wheel. Real engineers don't accept things because somebody else says they "believe" those things.

Real engineers are not bullied.

> Avocations are NOT engineering.

Many of them require engineering. When a ham puts up a tower, it better be engineered correctly, because if it falls down and causes damage, the fact that it's "avocational" doesn't mean a thing.

Same for RF exposure, spurious emissions, compliance with the rules, etc.

> Amateur radio is an avocation.

So? Your attempted diversion does not hide your mistakes or change my arguments against those mistakes.
>
>>No. Your method of using the data is faulty. Your understanding of the AH0A
>>information, and some of the current FCC rules, is defective, faulty,
>>incorrect, invalid. In other words, just plain wrong. As I have shown
>>repeatedly.
>
>All Miccolis has "shown" is a desperation to keep the status quo,
>including keeping all the old myths alive.

Where do you get a nonsequtir like that?

The status quo is now one code test and three written tests. The status quo is

now that support for code testing is only kept alive by the treaty
requirement. The status quo is that support for that treaty requirement is
decreasing. The status quo is that the code test will disappear shortly after
the ITU meeting in 2003.

> The status is not quo
>and newcomers are not appreciating the standards and practices
>of three, four, or five decades ago.

They aren't? How many "newcomers" do YOU know? How do you derive such a
sweeping statement? From your "beliefs"?

> Miccolis is in denial of the obvious.

Not me. You're the one denying the obvious, like 7079 Tech Pluses renewed as
Techs since April 15, 2000.
>
>>>If the data shows trends away from what "should be" or
>>>what is urban myth, then the data and everything about it
>>>is "faulty." :-)
>>
>>That is YOUR philosophy, Len, not mine.
>
>The hell it isn't. :-)

Exactly. "The hell it isn't" means "IT SURE IS!" Thanks for confirming what I
said.

(Proof: If A says "X is a good brand of widget" and B says "the hell it is!"
then B is disagreeing with A. Common English usage.
So if B says "the hell it isn't!", B is AGREEING with A.
QED)

>>I'm an engineer. Engineers deal with facts and reality, not myths. Real
>>engineers don't just shuffle numbers - we know what those numbers mean,
>their
>>accuracy, and how they were derived.
>
>Geez. A rather pompous way of trying to say Miccolis is the only
>one correct. :-)

No. A simple way of saying you don't know what you're talking about. Pomposity
I leave to the experts in that field - like you, Len.
>
>REAL engineers deal with facts, reality, environment, social climates,
>public opinion, quality, and, certainly, some numbers. Lots of the
>things that begin an engineering project developement aren't Divine
>or cast in everlasting cement. Even the goals can change between
>start and finish of a product. REAL engineers stay flexible, adaptable,
>able to deal with change and changes.

I know. That's what I do every working day. You just described me to a T.
>

>The amateur radio Beliefs that you subscribed to three decades ago
>have changed, are changing.

Nobody denies that, least of all me. Why do you spend so much bandwidth repeating the obvious, Len?

>Right about now one out of three US
>radio amateurs are Technician class. The vast majority of that
>class has not taken any morse code test

Ahhhh - BUT SOME OF THEM HAVE! At least 7079 of them, and probaly many more. Which means they are NOT all "noncodetested" or "non-HF licensees".

>and it doesn't appear likely that they wish to do so.

Pure wish-fulfillment and speculation on your part, Len. Nothing else. You don't know how many have taken the code test, so you ASSUME nobody has. You deny the stated proof that thousands have taken the test.

If all someone wants from amateur radio is VHF/UHF, there is absolutely NO reason to upgrade beyond Technician. Nothing wrong with that. If someone is willing to wait until 2004 or so, there's no reason to take the test, either.

> The FCC said 11 years ago that it
>did not consider morse code testing to be useful to them as
>indicating anything useful for licensing. It repeated that one and
>a half years ago.

Of course. That's what they said. So? They said that 4 license classes was the best thing for ham radio in the NPRM, then dropped it to 3 in the R&O. They had to issue an errata to the NPRM, and the errata had mistakes in it. They say all kinds of things.

> Long-time conservative hams are still stuck in
>their time-warp of "knowing what is best for newcomers" when all
>they are doing is making newcomers do the same as they did...for
>no reason other than making them go through a Hazing Ritual.

What the heck are you babbling about?

Is it wrongthink to disagree with The Commission? Are they infallible?
>
>Newcomers aren't buying the old Belief set. They shouldn't.

Uh huh. Instead, they should buy YOUR "belief set", founded on hate of anything that you don't like.

Who are you to tell newcomers what to believe? You can't even defend your own analysis processes here.

Try admitting your mistakes instead of attacking the messenger.

N2EY

```
From -9025496747005931883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 22:39:06 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 05:36:05 GMT
Message-ID: <3ada83f3.512621894@news2.dvol.com>
References: <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>
<20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com>
<3ada5bda$0$12821$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.191
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.191
X-Trace: 16 Apr 2001 00:32:53 -0500, 64.24.17.191
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!
panix!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19384

On Sun, 15 Apr 2001 22:40:49 -0400, "Larry Klose"
<lklose@net-link.net> wrote:

>
>Morse code was great in its day, now its time to retire it as amateur radio
>license exam requirement.  Technology changes both the design of aircraft
>and
>radio communications.
>
The Lockheed P-38 Lightning knocked down more enemy aircraft and
boinked more enemy tanks in WWII than did any other other fighter on
either side hands down. Ham CW will die years after the FAA lifts the
airworthiness cetificate of the last P-51D. Hold yer freaking breath
Larry.
>
>Larry KC8EPO
>
w3rv




From 2288244375405382055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-15 23:06:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
feeder.qis.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!network
From: easter-egg@basket.com (Easter Bunny)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 06:02:12 GMT
Organization: stop it
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <easterbunny@egg>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.35.bb.da
X-Server-Date: 16 Apr 2001 06:02:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: News Xpress 2.01
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19385
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15323 rec.radio.swap:28958

In article <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) wrote:

>Dick, you may think that the demeaning and abusive behaviour you exhibit on
>this forum is appropriate.  I think it is no more than mean-spirited and
>destructive.

Dick was only pointing out the truth that you fail to accept because you
prefer to live with your head stuck in a hole in the ground... or up your ass.

I just found out the league is going to push to remove all code requirements
at the next international meeting. It's just the next step in their continuing
efforts to dumb things down.

Soon, the only difference between a ham and a CB'er will be a piece of paper.
Our bands sound more like 11 meters everyday.

Don't buy a League membership people if you value this radio service, that's
possibly a better idea than sueing them.

73 & Happy Easter


From 6535618492330645456
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 01:57:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!
```

not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 04:53:47 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <3ADAB2F9.AEB584B6@sccoast.net>
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-83.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987411243 279353 206.74.49.83 (16 Apr 2001 08:54:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 08:54:03 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19386

"Public " wrote:

> I laughed my ass off when a couple guys in this
> thread spouted off about all the government
> enforcement if someone unauthorized uses the
> marine bands. One guy even boasted BIG federal
> penalties. I got news for you. I'm in commercial
> radio. We find people all the time using cheap
> marine radios for CB style communication, both
> local and long distance, even kids using it as
> a hobby, like CB, just to screw around with.
> [snip] I even turned in a couple guys who were
> operating on calling and distress frequencies,
> and nothing was ever done. [snip]

You're right, it does happen all the time. And its costing taxpayers, such as yourself, millions of dollars each year. In just one Coast Guard district (District 13, Pacific NW), there were over 400 false distress calls in 1999 alone, each resulting in a response from the Coast Guard (at a average cost of $2800 each incident). And there are 13 other districts with nearly the same number of false distress calls. Do the math. That is what all those illegal operators are costing taxpayers.

But that is just the financial cost. Even more important is the potential human cost. Any one of those false distress calls could result in young men and women putting their lives on the line in dangerous weather conditions (our Coast Guard must respond to all distress calls - even those which eventually turn out to be false). And each word uttered by those marine radio "CB'ers" has the potential to interfere with critical communications involving navigation or distress.

And, you're also right, there is not enough enforcement. But why should it require government enforcement for people to understand what they're doing is not wise? Why do people care so little about the welfare of others - so little it requires government enforcement before they're willing to do the right thing?

And, while there certainly is not enough enforcement, that is not to say there is no enforcement. Like I said earlier, if the actions of these people result in the loss of life or property, they will find out very quickly that enforcement does indeed exist. It is just sad that it often takes the loss of life or property before these people realize the consequences of their actions.

I get very angry when I'm out on my boat and hear someone using a marine radio illegally. Not because I'm some do-gooder out to control others. But because I know that my safety, and the safety of my family or anyone else on that boat, depends on that radio when something goes wrong. I simply cannot understand why these people would be willing to risk the safety of my others just so they can play on the radio.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 9096009141818856906
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e4f8c3e9e3e844ea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 04:12:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 11:07:16 GMT
References: <3AD99DD0.8948EDD4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: It's a year now since restructuring
Message-ID: <20010416070716.26979.00000255@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19387

In article <3AD99DD0.8948EDD4@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>diaphragmaticneuros wrote:
>> Wayne Green was right in all those editorials he wrote during
>> the past 5 yrs.
>
>You mean cold fusion really works?

Cecil:

No, he's referring to the fact that a lot of Americans suffer from chronic dehydration. I started drinking more water myself, and it virtually cured my tinnitis. Obviously, my sinuses were dried up, which made my ears ring. Now that I'm off the road and have an "office" job, I no longer have to limit my fluid intake just to maintain a schedule. Yep, Wayne *is* right about that!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 4623039271405695761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 04:14:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news!news.he.net!
newsxfer.visi.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 11:12:57 GMT
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19388
```

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/13/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Why is "work" necessary?

Why indeed. I just sent off my two boxtops of Cheerios and $.50 to MIT to get my BSEE degree. (I DID address the envelope by hand...I guess that count's for "work") Might as well drop any pretense of knowledge and learning for an Amatuer Radio license too.

Why "work" indeed.

You're still a Putz, Lennie.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 7962050669269344693
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6bfb379c0109b7d5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 04:14:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 11:09:51 GMT
References: <9b76sr$n1t$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
Message-ID: <20010416070951.06622.00000719@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19389
```

>Subject: Re: Did they understand the question?
>From: K0HB@qwest.net
>Date: 04/13/01 11:44 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>He would be loud and rude and butt in where he wasn't wanted and
>had no reason to butt in except to make a nuisance of himself

Pot/Kettle/Black. You and His Eminence Lennie the Limp have more in common that you care to admit.

>He thought his opinion was in demand by the other creatures and shared it whenver he got >the chance.

More of the same. Bored, Hans?

If this is what I have to look forward to in my "advanced years". I hope they parole Kevorkian first.

You really need help, Hans. Perhaps you and the Licenseless One can get a group discount? You and the multiple personalities, that is.

Nitey nite, Hans. Get your rest.

Steve

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 4362073497340426889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 04:21:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 11:15:01 GMT
References: <easterbunny@egg>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010416071501.06622.00000721@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19390
```

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
>From: easter-egg@basket.com (Easter Bunny)
>Date: 04/16/01 2:02 AM Eastern

>Dick was only pointing out the truth that you fail to accept because you
>prefer to live with your head stuck in a hole in the ground... or up
your...(deleted)

Thanks Lennie...or was that Hans...?!?! I can hardly tell anymore.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 6470446121006159849

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 04:28:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news!news.he.net!news-
peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 11:26:25 GMT
References: <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3145@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010416072625.26979.00000259@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19391

In article <3DBEBDA8E221D4118CE00008C7A464D9065E3145@MPLSEXCH02.adc.com>,
Hans_Brakob@adc.com ("Brakob, Hans") writes:

>
>Is that a problem for you?
>
>Go soak your fat head.
>
>Hans

Hansl, Hansl, Hansl!

Now we all know that some 11-year old kid who was looking for information
about ham radio just read that, realized it came from an amateur radio
operator, got completely turned off, and went back to questing for the
Secrets of the Tombs or some such crap.  It will now be up to me to bring
him back by challenging him to learn a unique, fun, practical and
challenging mode: Morse/CW!

I think you spend too much time on the HF phone bands.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 7262695014134069958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 04:29:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 11:26:06 GMT
References: <20010413135557.24219.00000013@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010416072606.06622.00000724@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19392

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/13/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Trying to bring up CB as some sort of bogeyman is false under the
>subject thread.

Thank-you for moderating this unmoderated NG, Your Geezerness. Lord knows we all respect YOU for NEVER deviating from thread topics or facts.

Yeah....Right.

>No explanations, nothing but the extension of personal pejoratives to
organizations. No >thinking.

None on YOUR part, anyway. Again, you fail to explain how it is that I am supposed to not be able to effectively assess the current circumstances that exist on the 11 meter CB band TODAY based upon it's creation in the 1950's.

Based on YOUR logic, healthcare professionals should not be able to assess a patient's condition TODAY since they were not there when the patient was born.

Pretty stupid "reasoning" on your part, Your Ineptitude, but nothing we haven't come to love and cherish about you.

>Showing fond regards will get nursie nowhere.

Trust me, Your Putziness, NOTHING would break my heart faster than knowing I wasn't "getting anywhere" with you.

Again..."Yeah...RIGHT!"

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 3345079775048094563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 04:31:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 11:26:25 GMT
References: <20010413135558.24219.00000015@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Message-ID: <20010416072625.26979.00000260@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19393

In article <20010413135558.24219.00000015@nso-fm.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>
>Is nursie going to bother with AMATEUR RADIO TOPICS or is
>she going to continue insulting individuals she doesn't like?
>
>Nursie is reminded that each posting of hers reflects on the US
>amateur radio service as a role model for others.

Lennie:

Speak for yourself.

.Doc

From 6110490852210265378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 04:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 11:26:24 GMT
References: <3ADAB2F9.AEB584B6@sccoast.net>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Message-ID: <20010416072624.26979.00000258@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19394

In article <3ADAB2F9.AEB584B6@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> I get very angry when I'm out on my boat and hear someone using a
>marine radio illegally. Not because I'm some do-gooder out to control
>others. But because I know that my safety, and the safety of my
>family or anyone else on that boat, depends on that radio when
>something goes wrong. I simply cannot understand why these people
>would be willing to risk the safety of my others just so they can play
>on the radio.

Dwight:

I'll explain it for you, then. It is the instant gratification and the rush of
personal power that comes from projecting a part of yourself (your voice)
over a long distance. I used to get this same effect when I used HF
phone. The difference is that I could control myself, and operate
completely within the rules. When it became obvious that people
who wern't as personally invested in the hobby as I was were willing
to make trash of the airwaves, that's when I gave up the microphone.

If the Marine VHF band is being trashed, then Riley and his
counterparts who have control over that service need to get out there
and make some pretty severe examples out of the worst offenders --
and do it quick.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2704423641350064019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a99319269614523e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 04:37:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 11:32:55 GMT
References: <20010413135558.24219.00000015@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
Message-ID: <20010416073255.06622.00000725@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19395

>Subject: Re: The ball is in OUR court
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/13/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I've never pretended to be an "officer" in some militia group.

Neither have I. Unless you can prove differently?

>Nursie got a waiver to wear another uniform in some NON-federal, NON-
>National Guard outfit without having to attend OCS, West Point,
>ROTC, or any military academy?

I didn't get ANY kind of "waiver", Lennie. And since when does something ahve to be "federal" to be "legitimate"...?!?!

You really are grabbing at straws, Lennie.

>My wife takes care of me just fine...just as I take care of her just
>fine. [tsk, tsk, nursie missed out on the big chance at charging
>"impotence" again!]

Naw...just figured your monthly stipend of Viagra was in.

>Is nursie going to bother with AMATEUR RADIO TOPICS or is
>she going to continue insulting individuals she doesn't like?

Hmmmm.....More Andersonianism. YOU bring up my volunteer activities and your own "perjoratives". You are the ultimate hypocrite, Lennie, and you do it well.

>Nursie is reminded that each posting of hers reflects on the US
>amateur radio service as a role model for others.

A concept you should remeber when you make inferences of affiliation with neo-nazis and make liberal use of profanity. Really a role model for RF engineers around the world. Makes me want to run right out and send in that business reply car to "CIE" and get MY "EE"!

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 8425844269685292339

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 04:41:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 11:36:09 GMT
References: <20010413135559.24219.00000016@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra reaffirmed
Message-ID: <20010416073609.06622.00000726@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19396

>Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
>reaffirmed
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/13/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>That still doesn't explain why the FCC doesn't update their own
>WTB amateur radio page to reflect new rulings. [a minor oversight
>but the FCC doesn't seem to consider amateur radio that
>important to keep that page timely]

Nor do they make any effort to make any immediate changes to other WTB, common carrier or other service pages. Some of the "updates" are from 1999 and early 2000. Hardly timely.

Your point is?

>Considering the daily digest supplies all that news, why does the
>ARRL bother to maintain news from the FCC as if the ARRL was
>the major supplier of such news? [see "full story," etc., and other
>little journalistic phrases]

And in ignoring those "updates" and NOT reporting them they'd be MORE effective in representing Amatuer concerns?

>Just a few questions...

Ignorant ones. But yes, they ARE questions....

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -2273144955032450172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 04:44:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 11:41:38 GMT
References: <20010413135555.24219.00000010@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Message-ID: <20010416074138.06622.00000728@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19397

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/13/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Nursie thinks that differing opinions are "boorish."

Only when delivered by those who insist on refering to anyone who disagrees with THIER opinions as being pro-neonazi...such as YOURSELF, for example.

>"Get done?"   There seems to be a very stout and staunch
>defense mounted to keep the status quo, preserving the
>conditions that existed decades ago when all the Dill Instructors
>got their first stripes. Keeping everything constant is not
>"constructive."

I am sure you keep repeating that to yorself over and over so that you might really believe it. The comments to the "Restructuring" NPRM of nearly every single "PCTA"er in here prove you wrong, but then again, YOU beleive it, so....whateve makes you happy, Lennie.

>Go for it, Moses. Part the Rad Sea, lead the children into
>Cannot. Back into slavery. Don't get wet again..

You and Hans have been collaborating, I see.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 8999765270992908190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 04:45:15 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 11:43:59 GMT
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000011@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
Message-ID: <20010416074359.06622.00000729@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19398

>Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/13/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Those released from a military service due to medical discharges
>are "retired?"

I see Lennie has not been paying attention...AGAIN. Oh well.

>Remember that every posting by an Extra class radio amateur is a
>reflection on that radio service.

As I remind YOU that your frequent assertions of affiliation with neonazi's and liberal use of profanity have a lingering effect on EE's everywhere.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 6141035006408070819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 05:06:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 12:01:23 GMT
References: <20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010416080123.05055.00000432@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19399
```

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>>Why is "work" necessary?

>   Why indeed. I just sent off my two boxtops of Cheerios and $.50 to MIT
>to get my BSEE degree. (I DID address the envelope by hand...I guess that
>count's for "work") Might as well drop any pretense of knowledge and learning
>for an Amatuer Radio license too.

I disagree with you, Steve; I do not think that the Amateur Radio Service
should stop being a learning tool.

Now, as to your MIT analogy, first, I don't believe that you obtained an MIT
degree for a boxtop and an addressed envelope. Can you post some details?

Second, do you think that MIT should award a degree to someone who did not pass
his or her exams if he or she worked really hard at trying?

It is not a matter of work, it is a matter of meeting the requirements.
Whether someone did so easily or with great difficultly cannot be measured.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>   Why "work" indeed.
>
>   You're still a Putz, Lennie.
>
>
>"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
>Time."
>

```
>http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>




From 1130248219356042445
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 05:10:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 73
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 12:05:04 GMT
References: <20010413135600.24219.00000017@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
reaffirmed
Message-ID: <20010416080504.06622.00000732@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19400

>Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
>reaffirmed
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/13/01 1:56 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>There is an ongoing, irrelevant gang-machismo insult from nursie
>on IMPOTENCE.  Sorry, little girl, I do not have that or even
>expect that.  However, considering the number of times nursie
>has written it, she is probably post-menopausal.

     And it seems that you are particularly affected by the insinuation of it,
ergo I must have hit a resonant chord.  Not that I doubted it.  Most men in
your situation do one of two things...they cower and withdraw from society, or
they redirect their frustration at a third party.

     Unfortunately in this case, WE are the third party.

>No.  I said "virtually expire."  For all intents and purposes, a
>commercial radiotelephone license has little need in US
>commercial radio.  It is not a necessity for me being retired.
```

That's NOT what you said, but if you think it let's you dodge the facts, I guess it makes you feel better. And according to YOUR words, you are still working in the profession.

>False. Nursie will come on again with the "necessity" to take an
>amatuer radio examination in order to "appreciate it" or show
>"dedication" and personal resolve.

Nope. I reiterate that YOU are UNABLE to make an INFORMED opinion on present day Amatuer Radio activities in as much as you (by YOUR admission) do not subscribe to current Amateur periodicals, nor are you active in Amatuer activites or programs.

Your SOLE "exposure" to Amatuer Radio is your ranitngs in this newsgripe and your failed writing career in a long-since-failed Amateur magazine. You profess such initmate working knowledge of rules and regulations yet in a currently running thread with Hans you acknowledge that you are not "subscribed" to the FCC's "Daily Digest", a service that exisits for the very purpose of keeping people abreast of radio regulatory matters.

Not very timely, are you?

>Nursie wants to roll around in the mud of personal pejoratives yet
>cannot bring herself to consider that a First Phone was a license
>examination at an FCC office in Chicago, March 1955.

Sure it was. But it was not then nor is it now an Amatuer Radio examination. That test, now over 46 years old, still does not imbue you with any special knowledge or experience in matters pertaining to the Amatuer Radio service beyond the relative theoretical topics.

>Nursie can only mud-wrestle.

Ever been to a mudwrestling show, Lennie? You see who wrestles? You think I have a problem getting in the mud with them...?!?!

>Nursie is a prime example of a US Extra class amateur radio
>licensee.

A perjorative of your own, Lennie.

Lennie is a prime example of all EE's, however I know a few other Anderson's and thankfully they are a bit more rational and mature.

A LOT more mature.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 7796745179247627328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 05:10:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!codeine.org!news-x.support.nl!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 12:04:50 GMT
References: <20010415194016.00160.00000079@nso-mg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Message-ID: <20010416080450.05055.00000433@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19401

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>By not telling the membership how the various directors voted, the membership
>cannot hold the directors responsible for their actions.

I asked Tom Frenaye how he voted and he told me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3291946557848405038
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 05:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 12:15:19 GMT
References: <20010413135601.24219.00000018@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010416081519.06622.00000733@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19402

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/13/01 1:56 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Are there really more HF-privileged licensees on the air after
>restructuring took place on April 15, 2000? If so, how can you
>"prove" that? By insulting others again?

The question is not can I prove there are any more HF priviledged licensees on the air...the question is can YOU prove taht there is not.

You are the one making assertions as to the lack of HF authorized Technicians.

>Not ignorance, nursie. It shows up on everyone's screens. You have
>an obsession with Getting certain people. Quite a visible obsession.

Only with you, and recently with Hans, but then neither of youseem to be able to disassociate yourselves casting the same insults and "perjoratives" that you accuse others of.

Not a completely unexpected eventuallity for persons in your condition.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From -2635319820485739734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f14ec9a937ee9c1d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 05:22:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 12:18:20 GMT
References: <20010413155613.14152.00000002@nso-cs.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
Message-ID: <20010416081820.06622.00000734@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19403
```

>Subject: Re: Cowards, Creeps and Other Anonymous Twits
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/13/01 3:56 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Amateur radio is an interesting, fascinating avocation. To fully engage
>in that hobby there is a necessity to license those who emit RF.

And you know this based upon WHAT experience?

>That emission results in false, misleading charges of
>"hatred, lies, and abuse" as if the emitter represents ALL of US
>amateur radio.

There are no "charges", Lennie. It's a simple truth, and it has been addressed to you in those same words by more than one person.

Youa re hateful. You ahve been caught lying more than once and your constant assertions of affiliations with neonazis can be called "abuse" at the VERY least.

Nothing false in any of it, Lennie.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -1846413228773469778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 05:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 12:22:49 GMT
References: <20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010416082249.06622.00000736@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19404

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/13/01 3:56 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Get a truckload of civility, anonymous one, get a mountain

>of knowledge. You are NOT a treat.

Yeah...become a KNOWN treat like LENNIE!

>Go join big steebie fighting the Battle of the Flippin Sleeze.

So here we have more Lennistic "Don't Do What I Do, Do What I Say".

It's "OK" for Lennie the Limp to act this way, but don't do it to him.

Yeah....RIGHT.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

```
From 5317318801858308348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 05:34:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 08:29:16 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tdlpclqj68as2d@corp.supernews.com>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 35
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19405
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15327 rec.radio.swap:28965
```

Why are people so gutless that when they criticize someone else, they post anonymously. If you have the balls to post a reply, have the balls to post your identity.

"Easter Bunny" <easter-egg@basket.com> wrote in message

```
news:easterbunny@egg...
> In article <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) wrote:
>
> >Dick, you may think that the demeaning and abusive behaviour you exhibit
on
> >this forum is appropriate.  I think it is no more than mean-spirited and
> >destructive.
>
> Dick was only pointing out the truth that you fail to accept because you
> prefer to live with your head stuck in a hole in the ground... or up your
ass.
>
> I just found out the league is going to push to remove all code
requirements
> at the next international meeting. It's just the next step in their
continuing
> efforts to dumb things down.
>
> Soon, the only difference between a ham and a CB'er will be a piece of
paper.
> Our bands sound more like 11 meters everyday.
>
> Don't buy a League membership people if you value this radio service,
that's
> possibly a better idea than sueing them.
>
> 73 & Happy Easter




From 3231957835140525536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 05:41:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.algonet.se!algonet!newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!uio.no!newsfeeds.sol.net!
news.execpc.com!newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-nwblwi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>
<20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com>
<3ada5bda$0$12821$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3ada83f3.512621894@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 08:37:23 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <3adae7aa$0$12829$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 463b0171.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=36P\Cl]FVbk=>N\5^GS<lj`<WTGT>OiBn[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;Fg2gfH:UmC]0elBFDaL\d^neJ
Af;iBd`U_d
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19406
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3ada83f3.512621894@news2.dvol.com...

> On Sun, 15 Apr 2001 22:40:49 -0400, "Larry Klose"
> <lklose@net-link.net> wrote:
>
> >
> > Morse code was great in its day, now its time to retire it as amateur
> > radio
> > license exam requirement. Technology changes both the design of aircraft
> > and radio communications.
> >
>
> The Lockheed P-38 Lightning knocked down more enemy aircraft and
> boinked more enemy tanks in WWII than did any other fighter on
> either side hands down.

Lockheed P-38 lighting

The lighting was designed in 1973 as a high altitude interceptor. The first one built, the XP-38, made its public debut on February 11, 1939 by flying from California to New York in seven hours. because of its unorthodox design, the airplane experienced "growing pains" and required several years to perfect in for combat. late in 1942, it went into large-scale operations during the North African campaign where the German Luftwaffe named it "Der Gabelschwanz Tuefel" or "The Forked-tail Devil".

equipped with droppable fuel tanks under its wings, the P38 was used extensively as a long-range escort fighter and saw action in practically every major combat area of the world. It was also used types of missions as dive bombing, level bombing, ground strafing, and photo reconnaissance.

By the end of production in 1945, 9,923 had been built.

P-38 Specifications
Size: span 52', length 37'10", height 12'10"
Weight: 17,500 lbs loaded
Armament: One 20 mm cannon and four .50 cal machine guns.
    Also could carry ten 5" rockets or 4,000 lbs bombs.
Engines: Two Allison V-1710s of 1,475 hp each

Cost: $115,000
Maximum speed: 414mph
Cursing speed:275mph
Range: 1,100 miles
Service ceiling: 40,000 feet

>Ham CW will die years after the FAA lifts the
> airworthiness cetificate of the last P-51D.

The FCC has not pulled the type acceptance on old
commercial transmitter or barred amateur from building
the own either. No one is asking or expects CW to die.
The Morse code exam requirement is unnecessary,
not in the public interest, and not in conformity
with 5 USC 706.

Larry KC8EPO

From 3166392831857437396
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 05:52:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 60
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 12:45:20 GMT
References: <MPG.15413e743235453d9896b3@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010416084520.06622.00000737@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19407

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: funguy@hotjuno.com Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com
>Date: 04/13/01 5:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>> The first North American Aircraft "Mustang" flew on October 26, 1940.
>> The North American Model Number was NA-73X and was required
>> to have the civil aircraft registration NX-19998. [source: Rockwell
>> International public relations (Rockwell bought NAA some time ago)]

>Really?? And they first actually flown in combat didn't appear in the
>skies till 1943.

Jonathan, you'll have to forgive Lennie the Loser. You see, Lennie was an electronics technician at one time but is now retired in Southern California. He has nothing but time on his hands to make these posts. If you follow most of his posts, you will find a handful at one time with the same Date/time group on them. Lennie spends hours off-line then dumps his tripe like a bag load of unwanted kittens along the highway in one download.

He once wrote some pieces for a ham radio magazine that went out of circulation almost 2 decades ago, yet Lennie has never let go of the fact that Amatuer Radio as a whole did not embrace HIS wisdom and HIS leadership over the "unwashed masses" of John Q. Citizen Amateur Radio Operators.

The point was made by you several times that the Mustang was NOT in service at the beginning of the war. Lennie felt it necessary to exert some sort of "expertise" by regaling us with the registration numbers of the Mustang prototypes and NAA/Rockwell ownership history.

None of it was pertinent, but it made Lennie feel like he was once again showing his superior knowledge of night-school educated electronics technicians over mere mortal Amatuer Radio operators.

>So, no points for you, although you
>can obviously cut and paste from reference materials very well, and for
>that you get a gold star

That he does well. Lennie can "hang ten" on the Internet "surf" with the most of them. Too bad that with all that information at his fingertips, common little facts are always tripping up his rants.

>Aren't you the guy who is infatuated with Nazi Germany?? You seem to
>refer to them a lot. Well, no use for you that's for sure.

Lennie can't "pontificate" without downgrading or humilating others. The ultimate humiliation (or attempt at it, anyway) is to make analogies with such vile vermin as the nazis. Only in such a manner can Lennie make any headway.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -5475263806079547102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 06:06:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 127
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 13:04:35 GMT
References: <20010413155615.14152.00000003@nso-cs.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010416090435.06622.00000738@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19408

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/13/01 3:56 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I believe my math was close enough to be accurate in assessment
>of the classes of licenses both before and after April 15, 2000,
>namely that the New licensees' trend to Technician continues and
>that there is no signs of any exodus to increase users on
>amateur HF bands.

"Close enough" only counts for horseshoes, hand grenades, and nuclear weapons.

Your's was no more than an estimation.

"Exodus"...??? I believe most hav said before and say now that the "Restructuring" was not going to significantly bolster Amatuer Radio at ANY level.

Indeed, the same "surge" that followed "Novice Enhancement" and "Codeless Technician" followed the "Restructuring" event, but it has already started to ebb.

>Sorry, old fly speck, but my analysis is no more "mistaken" after
>restructuring any more than it was "mistaken" before.

Hmmmm......Jim has not, to my knowledge, made any "old fly speck" type comments vis-a-vis Lennie, but here we have Lennie invoking the "perjorative" factor.

In a week he'll be asserting that HE is the victim and HE would never do anything like that.

Yeah....right.

>What YOU WANT is to keep the status quo as much as possible,
>to keep the Faith in what all Good and Real hams "should" think,
>"must keep" as a "moral" and (even) way of life.

No, that's not "what he wants', as his countless other posts have made evident. It's what YOU want to believe, though, as that and ONLY that would substantiate you lying.

>I'm not going to
>do that since the environment is changing, the paradigms are
>changing and evolving. I don't give you twenty cents for your
>paradigms of the olden times.

Yes, things are (always) changing. But your assertion that Jim wants things to stay "status quo" are foolish. Not unexpected, but nonetheless foolish.

>Bullshit.

Lennie is reminded that his posts are a prime example of EE technicians everywhere.

>You are trying to act like Management. You WANT
>certain conclusions and, by Hiram, you are going to get it.

And YOU don't? HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !

>The trend of newcomers going to Technician was there before
>restructuring. It continues.

Hmmm....given the facts that the Technician conveys almost all Amatuer priviledges anyway, this is unusual....WHY...?!?!

>REAL engineers challenge bad decisions in "open court" of
>design review meetings or by going to other companies.

Oooops...here we go again..."REAL" engineers and why they are sooooooo much more well equipped to be "us".

>Avocations are NOT engineering.

More proof positive that Lennie the Licenseless is NOT any kind of an engineer. Speaking in "Absolutes".

One cannot persue engineering pursuits as an "avocation"...???

>All Miccolis has "shown" is a desperation to keep the status quo,
>including keeping all the old myths alive.

And all you can do is assert that others are trying to keep undefined "myths" alive, NOT render scientific or mathematical facts.

>Geez. A rather pompous way of trying to say Miccolis is the only

>one correct.

Kinda like how YOU assert that YOU are always correct?

Yeah...RIGHT.

>REAL engineers deal with facts, reality, environment, social climates,
>public opinion, quality, and, certainly, some numbers.

Which again leaves any engineering credentials that you may have or claim to have in doubt. You pretty much ignore all of those in favor of your unfounded rants.

> Lots of the
>things that begin an engineering project developement aren't Divine
>or cast in everlasting cement.

A strange comment, coming from someone who routinely exerts "absolutes" when trying to make HIS point.

>Long-time conservative hams are still stuck in
>their time-warp of "knowing what is best for newcomers" when all
>they are doing is making newcomers do the same as they did...for
>no reason other than making them go through a Hazing Ritual.

Another lie repeated for perpetuations sake.

Those of us who are INVOLVED in REAL Amateur Radio know better.

UNLIKE Lennie the Licenseless Anderson.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -686513951001426012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 06:20:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 16 Apr 2001 13:16:34 GMT
References: <20010416003907.25353.00000133@nso-de.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010416091634.06622.00000740@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19409

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 04/16/01 12:39 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Buzzwords and bafflegab. Heck, those aren't even current buzzwords and
>bafflegab. Get with it, Len - "paradigms" is an '80s management buzzword.

Of course it is, Jim. When one reviews most of Lennie's "experience", it seems to conclude in "1980-something", and certainly not past early 1990's. It's "Y2K and then some", but the march of time does not affect the static mind.

>Real engineers are not bullied.

But is would appear that some people alledging to BE "real engineers" are. Lennie, in particular.

>Nobody denies that, least of all me. Why do you spend so much bandwidth
>repeating the obvious, Len?

Because someone once said that a lie oft repeated is soon accepted as truth. Lennie believes that. He lives it. He prays for it to be true.

>Try admitting your mistakes instead of attacking the messenger.

Oh yeah...like THAT would happen!

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

From 1651974892922100124
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 06:56:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 16 Apr 2001 13:50:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9betbk$8g6$0@208.206.142.88>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.88
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19410 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15330 rec.radio.swap:28974

Easter Bunny wrote:
>

> Don't buy a League membership people if you value this radio service, that's possibly a better idea than sueing them.

Problem is, that's playing into the hands of the down-dumbers since then only they will be in control of the League..

I prefer to hold their toes to the fire and sharp objects to their rears, and make Ed uncomfortable that he can't counter my facts and that his are lame- no one ever tries to memorize 1000 questions and answers, they do it in very managable increments. But his assertions sure sound logical, don't they?

73 and happy day after Easter

From 8893415910021180845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 06:56:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!freenix!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!RENT.THIS.SPACE.FOR.ADVERTISING!

feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <MPG.1544c1a1f643a1719896d2@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.15413e743235453d9896b3@news.earthlink.net>
<20010416084520.06622.00000737@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 44
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 13:51:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.117.197
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987429110 209.245.117.197 (Mon, 16 Apr 2001 06:51:50 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 06:51:50 PDT
X-Received-Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 06:50:31 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19411

In article <20010416084520.06622.00000737@ng-md1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com says...

> He once wrote some pieces for a ham radio magazine that went out of circulation almost 2 decades ago, yet Lennie has never let go of the fact that Amatuer Radio as a whole did not embrace HIS wisdom and HIS leadership over the "unwashed masses" of John Q. Citizen Amateur Radio Operators.

I have noticed that everything Lenn posts is ancient history. I had a neighbor (he has since passed on). No matter what we talked about, he had to regale us with some history lesson that he personally was involved in. If memory serves, he was there to advise Lindbergh on his trans-Atlantic flight :-). After awhile we just nodded and smiled are realized there are those that can't let loose of the past because they have no present and certainly no future. Our Upsyoursfurher Anderschmidt is the poster boy for the "In my days" crowd.

> The point was made by you several times that the Mustang was NOT in service at the beginning of the war. Lennie felt it necessary to exert some sort of "expertise" by regaling us with the registration numbers of the Mustang prototypes and NAA/Rockwell ownership history.

Lenn never seems to let facts get in the way of a good cut and paste item.

BTW, I'll be a Dayton. Have a booth, actually 2 in the upper corner of the outdoor flea market. Nothing really for sale, but it's a great parking spot and you don;t have to walk to far to bring some purchases back to the car.

I'm looking forward to meeting the Lenn at his home QTH. Made the flight reservations from McCarren to LAX, although I am tempted to fly into one of the surrounding smaller airports on my own. I went out and bought a new digital Video camera. Fits in the palm of one hand. I plan on recording this meeting. Vegas odd are 3 to 5 on LennieNoShow

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

```
From -3862413275336465692
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 07:06:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Lamb" <k7fm@teleport.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Message-ID: <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 07:10:00 -0700
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.26.62.196
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 987429653 216.26.62.196 (Mon, 16 Apr 2001 10:00:53 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 10:00:53 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19412
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15332 rec.radio.swap:28975
```

Does learning the morse code make one more considerate of others? Does it teach one how to limit his or her bandwidth? Does it impress the proper procedure for voice identification or how to handle emergency procedures?

I have had an extra class license since about 1970 and been a ham since 1958. I checked one day and all of the trash talking, rude and obnoxious guys on 20 and 75 meters had general class or higher licenses - that was before the FCC "dumbed" down.

Many of the biggest jerks had extra class licenses and assumed they were better than everyone else.

Many of the codeless tech's I talk to on 2 meters are caring, considerate, thirsting for knowledge and participate in public service events - which is the justification for our existence.

Seems like the biggest threat to ham radio are not the newcomers - but the old guys who still know morse code - which I am.

Colin Lamb K7FM

From -6257997256440758246
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 07:14:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 16 Apr 2001 14:09:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9beuf9$d1c$0@208.206.142.88>
References: <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>
<20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com>
<3ada5bda$0$12821$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3ada83f3.512621894@news2.dvol.com>
<3adae7aa$0$12829$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.88
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19413

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> The Morse code exam requirement is unnecessary,
> not in the public interest, and not in conformity
> with 5 USC 706.

Your opinion, Larry, and there are plenty of others which do not agree.

From -6493930073079536523
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 07:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Cut and Paste- what every 1st grade needs to know
Message-ID: <MPG.1544c4ec63d8f3969896d3@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.15413e743235453d9896b3@news.earthlink.net>
<20010416084520.06622.00000737@ng-md1.aol.com>
<MPG.1544c1a1f643a1719896d2@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 58
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 14:26:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.68.100
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987431215 64.157.68.100 (Mon, 16 Apr
2001 07:26:55 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 07:26:55 PDT
X-Received-Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 07:25:37 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19414

Sorry, spelled a word or two wrong and would not like to upset the
resident anal retentive spell checker.. Herr Anderschmidt

In article <MPG.1544c1a1f643a1719896d2@news.earthlink.net>,
funguy@hotjuno.com says...
> In article <20010416084520.06622.00000737@ng-md1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
> says...
> >
> >   He once wrote some pieces for a ham radio magazine that went out of
> > circulation almost 2 decades ago, yet Lennie has never let go of the fact that
> > Amatuer Radio as a whole did not embrace HIS wisdom and HIS leadership over
the
> > "unwashed masses" of John Q. Citizen Amateur Radio Operators.
>
> I have noticed that everything Lenn posts is ancient history. I had a
> neighbor (he has since passed on). No matter what we talked about, he
> had to regale us with some history lesson that he personally was involved
> in. If memory serves, he was there to advise Lindbergh on his trans-
> Atlantic flight :-). After awhile we just nodded and smiled are realized

> there are those that can't let loose of the past because they have no
> present and certainly no future. Our Upsyoursfurher Anderschmidt is the
> poster boy for the "In my days" crowd.
>
>
> >
> >   The point was made by you several times that the Mustang was NOT in
> > service at the beginning of the war. Lennie felt it necessary to exert some
> > sort of "expertise" by regaling us with the registration numbers of the
Mustang
> > prototypes and NAA/Rockwell ownership history.
>
> Lenn never seems to let facts get in the way of a good cut and paste
> item.
>
> BTW, I'll be a Dayton. Have a booth, actually 2 in the upper corner of
> the outdoor flea market. Nothing really for sale, but it's a great
> parking spot and you don;t have to walk to far to bring some purchases
> back to the car.
>
> I'm looking forward to meeting the Lenn at his home QTH. Made the flight
> reservations from McCarren

should be McCarran International

> to LAX, although I am tempted to fly into one
> of the surrounding smaller airports on my own. I went out and bought a
> new digital Video camera. Fits in the palm of one hand. I plan on
> recording this meeting. Vegas odd are 3 to 5 on LennieNoShow
>
>

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 855432414421173210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 07:58:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Date: 16 Apr 2001 14:54:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9bf135$ljc$0@208.206.142.88>
References: <20010415194016.00160.00000079@nso-mg.aol.com>
<20010416080450.05055.00000433@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.88
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19415

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
> >By not telling the membership how the various directors voted, the membership
> >cannot hold the directors responsible for their actions.
>
> I asked Tom Frenaye how he voted and he told me.
>

And you're not telling....

From 5533737042316072501
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 08:06:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 08:06:00 -0700
Organization: RST Engineering
Message-ID: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
Reply-To: jim@rst-engr.com
References: <3ADAB2F9.AEB584B6@sccoast.net> <20010416072624.26979.00000258@nso-
fo.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 36
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19416

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->If the Marine VHF band is being trashed, then Riley and his
->counterparts who have control over that service need to get out there
->and make some pretty severe examples out of the worst offenders --
->and do it quick.

Larry --

Before you do that, it might be well to get the attention of the anal retentives in the FCC that assign certain frequencies to certain operations. We have the same problem in the aircraft band -- 760 permissible channels and ONE assigned to "incidental communications", 122.75. That sucker is so crowded on a nice weekend that some operators take the law into their own hands and go the "good buddy" route on 123.4 and 123.45 which just drives the legitimate users of these frequencies (flight test stations) up the wall.

Not being that well versed in 80.373, I don't know if there is a legal "incidental" channel where you can talk about almost anything that you thinks needs saying, but there certainly needs to be one or two. You may not think idle chatter about whether the 49ers are going to beat the Jets this weekend is subject matter for "serious" radio, but others do.

Understand what I say -- I do not advocate turning one channel or group of channels into a garbage-mouth situation, but unless there are well-defined "incidental" channels that one can legitimately use for party-line gab, the whole band gets thrashed.

If Riley wants to nail some bastard that is setting off the emergency channels, I'm all for it. But first we give the ratchetjaws some legitimate place to go.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.   WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

From 3697223760143465261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d206595acee72db
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 08:40:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Forgers N8WWM and KC8LDO
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 11:35:13 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tdm49imijtst59@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3ad7f21d$1@news2.mibx.net> <20010415202655.05778.00000300@ng-bd1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 57
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19417

"Tim" <timnebo@aol.comLOL> wrote in message
news:20010415202655.05778.00000300@ng-bd1.aol.com...
> this is the cb room forger here as indicated by the same mibx.net news
server
> and not some guy named jim perry. its either leland or doug.

Funny comment coming from a self admitted CB Radio pirate operator. A quick check of your past posting history would establish that little fact without a doubt Tim. Your web page boasting about your activities got changed. Looks like you can't take the heat or are you just a wee bit worried about getting busted? You also forgot to remove references to "Knight Patrol #82", a CB Radio organization which sanctions illegal operations on 11m, which also helps to cast doubt on your creditability too.

I also put the question to you about bootlegging on the Ham H.F. bands several times, since your old web page clearly showed you were operating a modified Yeasu FT-840 rig. Yes your old web page got posted here as an example of a pirate CB operator so you can't claim your are not. You never did answer that question publicly. So whose, or what, call sign(s) were you spoofing when operating without a proper license on our bands?

Some months back you were also making inquires, on the CB news group, in to operating on the so called "Echo Charlie" frequencies too. If you have operated there you most likely have been else where too. Band plans, licenses, and Part 95 rules don't mean a thing to you. Did you also forget about your posts some weeks back asking about a mobile amplifier to go with a mobile CB rig you wanted? So you have the nerve to show up in a group of people, who play by the rules and operate within the limits of their license, and want to complain about some people who take you to take task for your self admitted illegal operations. Get real. I don't know and I don't care who is the responsible party(s) for the rash of forged posts in the other news group, but the criticism you get is well deserved.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to

communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -1153270208321682196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 08:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 15:49:53 GMT
References: <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010416114953.02953.00000294@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19418

>From: "Lamb" k7fm@teleport.com

>Seems like the biggest threat to ham radio are not the newcomers - but the
>old guys who still know morse code - which I am.

The biggest threats to ham radio have nothing to do with Morse code. They are spectrum threats, RFI, tower ordinances and similar things.

Even in this context, it is not knowing, or not knowing, code that drives the old timers and newcomers alike to demean each other. Fortunately, most newcomers learn pretty quickly not to follow the lead of those who would drag down ham radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1716400984559117101
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 08:52:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!freenix!grolier!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!news.netcologne.de!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 15:47:32 GMT
References: <9betbk$8g6$0@208.206.142.88>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010416114732.02953.00000293@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19419

>From: Dick Carroll

>and make Ed uncomfortable that he can't counter my facts and that
>his are lame- no one ever tries to memorize 1000 questions and answers,
>they do it in very managable increments. But his assertions sure sound
>logical, don't they?

That's your story and you are sticking to it...

73,
Ed, W1RFI

From -4159370700242810260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 10:17:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 17:08:24 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 89
Message-ID: <9bf8u8$bak$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3AC1FE88.D45AAE38@IEEE.org> <20010415194016.00160.00000079@nso-mg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 987440904 11604 205.139.138.14 (16 Apr 2001 17:08:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 16 Apr 2001 17:08:24 GMT

```
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19420
```

In article <20010415194016.00160.00000079@nso-mg.aol.com>, N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> writes:

>If the directors are the representatives of the membership, then the
>membership has the right to know how the directors voted. We are not
>three-year-old children who need to have the truth hidden from us "for
>our own good".

The ARRL-Bashers are again in full spring bloom!

A few inbred UnaBashers regularly show up here bashing ARRL, CQ, Morse Code, CB, Wayne Green, Technicians, Extras, CW, or some other random subject or group that pissed them off this morning.

Most of us view them with some level of disdain, but others view them with admiration and would like to emulate them. For those who'd like to refine their bashing efforts, I thought I'd post some rules that they (or you) could follow to become a really 599+, full gallon, state-of-the-art UnaBasher.

Rules for Successful Bashing -

1. Be anonymous like W2DNE. Most of us know who you are anyhow, but you'll impress Twistedhed and KC8JBO, and piss off Steve to no end.
2. Use 10% fact. 90% suggestion. The facts will lend credibility to your unfounded suggestions.
3. Use a lot of macho-meaningless tag-lines like "An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time." It will get you the knee-jerk-redneck vote, especially if you can't spell all that well.
4. Use two or more aliases to simulate a discussion. But be careful, the 'pit bull' tries this, but is always betrayed because all his messages come from the same IP address. Now he's discredited and gone.
5. Identify the "gurus" on the newsgroup and suck up to them.
6. Give the appearance of being open minded.
7. Be bold in your statements. Dummy newbies follow strength.
8. Write headlines in caps with catchy statements.
9. Don't worry about being labeled a "Basher". Newbies won't know your history.
10. When identified put up a brief fight, then back off. Return in a week unless your foe is a weak in reasoning powers.
11. Form your bash as a question, not a statement. Like "Does ARRL hate technology?", or "Why can't most Extras figure out Ohms law?" People can refute your statement, but nobody can answer such dumb questions.
12. Highlight a lot of credentials totally unrelated to the subject. For example "NASA Certified Solderer" in a nursing topic, or

"LPN" in a radio topic.

13. Bait the target into personal debates putting their focus/efforts on you and not the facts. Divert their attention from facts. Facts are your enemy.
14. Do not fall for challenges on the truth of what you are saying. Bashing is a game and you are playing it with your own rules. Ignore embarrassing questions which betray your lack of research, or call the questioner a Nazi or a liar. (See Anderson and Robeson for instruction.)

Your are a:

Grade "B" UnaBasher:
If you post lots of old news, respond to all positive posts with a negative side. Never respond to being called a Basher.

Grade "C" UnaBasher:
Very good way with words, always claims to be your "friend" taking the positive poster into confidence, sucks up, and then uses the relationship to bash somebody else.

Grade "D" UnaBasher:
Needs to learn the basics about being convincing when making a negative statement. Has no anonimity whatsoever, and tends to use quotations of others (preferably of dead people), rather than original thinking to make their point.

Grade "F" UnaBasher:
A complete idiot, most readers are not convinced he knows anything about amateur radio in general, let alone the current topic of conversation. The type that says something "sucks", but gives no rationale, shows up every so often but no regular schedule.

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 4986909905007912302
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 10:22:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feedeast.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 17:15:14 GMT
References: <20010416080123.05055.00000432@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010416131514.19139.00000599@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19421

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 04/16/01 8:01 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>I disagree with you, Steve; I do not think that the Amateur Radio Service
>should stop being a learning tool.

>Now, as to your MIT analogy, first, I don't believe that you obtained an MIT
>degree for a boxtop and an addressed envelope. Can you post some details?

I was being F-A-C-E-T-I-O-U-S, Ed.

Do you REALLY believe that >>I<< believe we should drop any requirements that would require LEARNING...?!?!

>Second, do you think that MIT should award a degree to someone who did not
>pass his or her exams if he or she worked really hard at trying?

Get a bit "happy" with communion wine this weekend, Ed?

>It is not a matter of work, it is a matter of meeting the requirements.
>Whether someone did so easily or with great difficultly cannot be measured.

Argue the semantics of it all you care to, Ed. For MOST people (granted...NOT all), learning requires SOME degree of effort. Some more than others. And further granted that for some that degree is minimal, almost recreational in nature.

Would I want to HAVE to sit down and re-study for my Extra...??? Not really.

WOULD I do it...?!?!   In a minute! It's a matter of "what would you do to obtain something you valued"...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -6603510564168760836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 10:28:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 17:26:55 GMT
References: <MPG.1544c4ec63d8f3969896d3@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Cut and Paste- what every 1st grade needs to know
Message-ID: <20010416132655.19139.00000608@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19422

>Subject: Cut and Paste- what every 1st grade needs to know
>From: funguy@hotjuno.com Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com
>Date: 04/16/01 10:26 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Sorry, spelled a word or two wrong and would not like to upset the
>resident anal retentive spell checker.. Herr Anderschmidt

I wouldn't sweat it...a review of several RECENT posts would indicate that Lennie is either staying up way past his bedtime or is really letting this get under his skin 'cuz he's been letting a lot of really silly slip-ups get by him.

Must have had a run on the Geritol at CVS and he missed out.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

From -1603381936295272733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 10:32:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 17:24:34 GMT
References: <MPG.1544c1a1f643a1719896d2@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010416132434.19139.00000605@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19423

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: funguy@hotjuno.com Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com
>Date: 04/16/01 9:51 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Our Upsyoursfurher Anderschmidt is the
>poster boy for the "In my days" crowd.

     I like it...!   I Like it!

>I'm looking forward to meeting the Lenn at his home QTH.

     Oh Geez!  Don't get Lennie the Pontificator started.  He'll be screaming
"THREATS!  THREATS!" and will be weaving his "victim" rants into EVERY thread.

>I plan on recording this meeting.  Vegas odd are 3 to 5 on LennieNoShow

     That's a sucker bet!

73

Steve, K4YZ




"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html





From -5391926725350577452
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 10:32:28 PST
Message-ID: <3ADB2C2B.39818EE5@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 12:30:19 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <3AD99D65.F5D2A3FE@IEEE.org> <9bdrd7$r4q$0@208.207.71.169>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987441845
64.240.47.131 (16 Apr 2001 12:24:05 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!hub1.nntpserver.com!
hub1.nibble.net!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19424

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Dick's conduct is the result of being born. :-)
>
>  Whats your excuse, grape juice or too much "one hit shit"?

I treat people the way they treat me, Dick.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -4041803682933960447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 10:44:06 PST
```

Message-ID: <3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 12:40:07 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987442434 64.240.47.131 (16 Apr 2001 12:33:54 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!192.148.253.68!netnews.com!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19425 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15334 rec.radio.swap:28986

Lamb wrote:
> Does learning the morse code make one more considerate of others?

Actually the least considerate individuals in all of ham radio are
the rabid pro-code testing folk who worship the Morse Code Creed�.
Dropping Morse code testing from the ARS is like dropping the Virgin
Birth from the Christian religion.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3840020612940444048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 10:48:07 PST
Message-ID: <3ADB2F72.7F41FAC9@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 12:44:18 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987442684
64.240.47.131 (16 Apr 2001 12:38:04 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsxfer.interpacket.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19426

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Why is "work" necessary?
>
>   Why indeed. I just sent off my two boxtops of Cheerios and $.50 to MIT to
> get my BSEE degree.

If work was required to get a degree, I never would have gotten my BSEE.
I don't believe in work. I believe in getting things accomplished with
the least amount of effort. It's called efficiency.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 919285668951651 1874

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 10:54:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ADB3033.D5ECC23A@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 12:47:31 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
References: <20010413155615.14152.00000003@nso-cs.aol.com>
<20010416003907.25353.00000133@nso-de.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987442876
64.240.47.131 (16 Apr 2001 12:41:16 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.clara.net!
heighliner.fr.clara.net!RENT.THIS.SPACE.FOR.ADVERTISING!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!
teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19427

N2EY wrote:
> Your "belief" in something does not make it a fact. I know people who believe
> in the Easter Bunny, but their belief does not mean I must agree with them.

The Easter Bunny does exist, Jim. I bought one for my grandson. Did you know
that Easter is an ancient pagan German fertility goddess?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7140547743934330844

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e4f8c3e9e3e844ea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 11:00:08 PST
Message-ID: <3ADB3210.DE01DEDC@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 12:55:28 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's a year now since restructuring
References: <3AD99DD0.8948EDD4@IEEE.org> <20010416070716.26979.00000255@nso-
fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987443354
64.240.47.131 (16 Apr 2001 12:49:14 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19429

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3AD99DD0.8948EDD4@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >
> >diaphragmaticneuros wrote:
> >> Wayne Green was right in all those editorials he wrote during
> >> the past 5 yrs.
> >
> >You mean cold fusion really works?
>
> No, he's referring to the fact that a lot of Americans suffer from
> chronic dehydration.

He said, "*all* those editorials", Larry.
--
```

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7774350876515599093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 11:16:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!skynet.be!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Apr 2001 18:09:30 GMT
References: <20010416131514.19139.00000599@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010416140930.08081.00000463@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19430

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>   Argue the semantics of it all you care to, Ed. For MOST people
>(granted...NOT all), learning requires SOME degree of effort. Some more than
>others.

Most of the conversations about the exams seem to emphasize the "work" aspect of the value of the test material, not the learning aspect. You chose the word "work," not the word "learning." The difference between the two is more than "semantics." If words don't mean what words mean, what do they mean?

>WOULD I do it...?!?!   In a minute! It's a matter of "what would you do
>to obtain something you valued"...???

I do not believe that today, starting from scratch, I would learn Morse code to 20 wpm to obtain an Extra Class license. I can think of many other things I would not do to obtain a license.

And all that aside, the value to me of the things I have done with the license I was given has exceeded the value to me of the tests I took to get that license.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8084515962947652536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 14:28:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>
<20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com>
<3ada5bda$0$12821$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3ada83f3.512621894@news2.dvol.com>
<3adae7aa$0$12829$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9beuf9$d1c$0@208.206.142.88>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 17:19:39 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <3adb6218$0$14450$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 67faeb05.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=k\OFLh0YCgKE4XNZ]a2YgL2BE>7J@WeML[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FGClM^biR^M7MlBFDaL\d^nE:
<W9DHP<:gI
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19431
```

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9beuf9$d1c$0@208.206.142.88...

>
>
> Larry Klose wrote:
> >
> > The Morse code exam requirement is unnecessary,
> > not in the public interest, and not in conformity
> > with 5 USC 706.
>
>
> Your opinion, Larry, and there are plenty of others which do not agree.

Unfortunately for you, the FCC was no one of those "others".

The FCC stated in its Report and Order (FCC 99-142) for WT Docket #98-143 that:

(a) "the public interest will best be served by reducing the telegraphy examination requirement to the minimum requirement that we have found that meets the Radio Regulations" ;

(b) "an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse code proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art" ;

(c) "such a license qualification rule [Morse code exam] is not in furtherance of the purpose of the amateur service and we do not believe that it continues to serve a regulatory purpose" ;

(d) "the amateur service is fundamentally a technical service, the emphasis on Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement does not comport with the basis and purpose of the service" ;

(e) "reducing the emphasis on telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement will allow the amateur service to, as it has in the past, attract technically inclined persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them to learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States needs expertise" ; and

(f) "telegraphy proficiency is not a significant factor in determining an individual's ability to provide or be prepared to provide emergency communications" .

Larry KC8EPO

From 7958093402698247662
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d206595acee72db
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 15:02:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!wanadoo.fr!
opentransit.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newshub2.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "BadaBing" <badabing@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ad7f21d$1@news2.mibx.net> <20010415202655.05778.00000300@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Forgers N8WWM and KC8LDO
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <vVJC6.7817$B22.1882839@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 21:57:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 987458267 24.180.184.171 (Mon, 16 Apr 2001
14:57:47 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 14:57:47 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19432

What proof do you have besides your opinion.

"Tim" <timnebo@aol.comLOL> wrote in message
news:20010415202655.05778.00000300@ng-bd1.aol.com...
> this is the cb room forger here as indicated by the same mibx.net news
server
> and not some guy named jim perry. its either leland or doug.
>
> >Subject: Re: Forgers N8WWM and KC8LDO
> >From: "Jim Perry" jperry@spartacus.net
> >NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
> >Message-ID: <3ad7f21d$1@news2.mibx.net>
>
>
>
>
>   73 de Tim
>   Knight Patrol #82
>
> WARNING:
> No Code Amateurs Douglas Adair N8WWM and Leland C Scott KC8LDO Have Been
> Forging My Email Address While Posting To Several Web Sites And News
Groups.
> Just Do As I Do And Ignore Them.

From -7529348120862771109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 15:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap

```
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 22:40:45 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <tdmt7df4aaasda@news.supernews.com>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg>
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 32
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19433
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15337 rec.radio.swap:29011


OUCH!

kb7adl


easter-egg@basket.com (Easter Bunny) wrote in <easterbunny@egg>:

>In article <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) wrote:
>
>>Dick, you may think that the demeaning and abusive behaviour you
>>exhibit on this forum is appropriate.  I think it is no more than
>>mean-spirited and destructive.
>
>Dick was only pointing out the truth that you fail to accept because you
>prefer to live with your head stuck in a hole in the ground... or up
>your ass.
>
>I just found out the league is going to push to remove all code
>requirements at the next international meeting. It's just the next step
>in their continuing efforts to dumb things down.
>
>Soon, the only difference between a ham and a CB'er will be a piece of
>paper. Our bands sound more like 11 meters everyday.
>
>Don't buy a League membership people if you value this radio service,
>that's possibly a better idea than sueing them.
>
>73 & Happy Easter
>



From -5059591734613795665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 16:42:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!skynet.be!
dispose.news.demon.net!demon!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
```

```
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 23:36:35 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.07
X-Server-Date: 16 Apr 2001 23:36:58 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19434

On 16 Apr 2001 11:12:57 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 04/13/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
>>Why is "work" necessary?
>
>     Why indeed.  I just sent off my two boxtops of Cheerios and $.50 to MIT to
>get my BSEE degree. (I DID address the envelope by hand...I guess that count's
>for "work")  Might as well drop any pretense of knowledge and learning for an
>Amatuer Radio license too.
>
>     Why "work" indeed.

Please point to ANY federal licensing requirement that directly
or indirectly addresses any need for the applicant to expend
"work" to obtain the license.  Work, as a product of itself,
is not now nor has it ever been an amateur licensing
criteria.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 217957840759255672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 16:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!
```

feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 23:45:29 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3adb8388.2092386@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.07
X-Server-Date: 16 Apr 2001 23:45:58 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19435
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15338 rec.radio.swap:29018

On Mon, 16 Apr 2001 06:02:12 GMT, easter-egg@basket.com (Easter Bunny)
wrote:

>In article <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) wrote:
>
>>Dick, you may think that the demeaning and abusive behaviour you exhibit on
>>this forum is appropriate. I think it is no more than mean-spirited and
>>destructive.
>
>Dick was only pointing out the truth that you fail to accept because you
>prefer to live with your head stuck in a hole in the ground... or up your ass.
>
>I just found out the league is going to push to remove all code requirements
>at the next international meeting.

As usual, another fact that is inaccurate.
The league vote was to NOT oppose an effort to remove all
code requirements. The league did NOT vote to proactively
seek (i.e. push) such a change.

> It's just the next step in their continuing
>efforts to dumb things down.
>
>Soon, the only difference between a ham and a CB'er will be a piece of paper.
>Our bands sound more like 11 meters everyday.
>
>Don't buy a League membership people if you value this radio service, that's
>possibly a better idea than sueing them.

I renewed mine for 3 years just a few months ago and I encourage
others to do so also.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -2212393975148111741
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a06a02b15bb39d38
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 17:26:06 PST
Reply-To: "Steve" <NoSpam@NoSpam.com>
From: "Steve" <NoSpam@NoSpam.com>
References: <3acfcc0b$1@news2.mibx.net> <3W1B6.167$R5.674288@news.ntplx.net>
Subject: Re: Freeband Export Radios
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 01:17:57 +0100
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Message-ID: <#YWqlPtxAHA.298@cpmsnbbsa09>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialup516.continet.com 206.58.32.216
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!
cpmsnbbsb04!cpmsnbbsa09
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19436

A good citizen is expected to report crimes when he/she witnesses them.
In some cases it's a crime not to.
I'm sure the freeband criminals would prefer we didn't.
Steve

Ed Hare, W1RFI <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3W1B6.167$R5.674288@news.ntplx.net...
> Train <cbmods@optonline.net> wrote:
>
> > Fact: hams should not be concerned at all about this issue. What goes on
> > within 27-28 Mhz is none of their business.
>
> Unfortunately, the same feeling of lawlessness that drives people to
operate
> between 27 and 28 MHz also drives them to operate about 28 MHz.  I feel it
> is very much my concern.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>




From 1502869209144792324
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 17:50:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
freenix!grolier!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
router1.news.adelphia.net!news1.news.adelphia.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "John L Merrill" <jmerrill1@adelphia.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <cmMC6.926$447.254114@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 00:44:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.49.210.137
X-Complaints-To: abuse@adelphia.net
X-Trace: news1.news.adelphia.net 987468296 24.49.210.137 (Mon, 16 Apr 2001
20:44:56 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 20:44:56 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19437
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15340 rec.radio.swap:29032
```

"Lamb" <k7fm@teleport.com> wrote in message
news:pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com...

> Does learning the morse code make one more considerate of others? Does it teach one how to limit his or her bandwidth? Does it impress the proper procedure for voice identification or how to handle emergency procedures?
>
> I have had an extra class license since about 1970 and been a ham since 1958. I checked one day and all of the trash talking, rude and obnoxious guys on 20 and 75 meters had general class or higher licenses - that was before the FCC "dumbed" down.
>
> Many of the biggest jerks had extra class licenses and assumed they were better than everyone else.
>
> Many of the codeless tech's I talk to on 2 meters are caring, considerate, thirsting for knowledge and participate in public service events - which is the justification for our existence.
>
> Seems like the biggest threat to ham radio are not the newcomers - but the old guys who still know morse code - which I am.
>

> Colin Lamb K7FM
>
>

Amen

From -4760387759215925806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 18:16:11 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 01:05:59 GMT
Message-ID: <3adb7990.575495009@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AD4D73F.5901E741@IEEE.org> <20010415172426.25196.00000075@nso-fk.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.102
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.102
X-Trace: 16 Apr 2001 20:03:16 -0500, 64.24.240.102
Lines: 55
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19438

On 15 Apr 2001 21:24:26 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3AD4D73F.5901E741@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>
>> A handful of US civilian pilots essentially stymied the
>>Japanese invasion of China before the US entered WWII.
>
>Technically they were "volunteers" - another word for "mercenaries".
>Considering what the Japanese were doing in China from 1937 on, it's clear that
>their cause was morally justified.
>
They were volunteers but they were not off-the-street civilians nor
were they mercenaries in the usual sense. They were hand-picked by the
USAAF brass as some of the best of the best of our active-duty fighter
jocks, they were ceremonially excused on paper from the USAAF and
shipped out to serve in the Chinese Air Force. They became USAAF
pilots again when the U.S. finally joined the batle in SE Asia. Mild
scam with a justifuable purpose.
>

I have a cousin who was developing infrared and stereo
photo-reconniasance methods before the U.S. got into the war. The
Brits dressed him up as an RAF recon officer. He flew some large
number of combat missions over Nazi Germany in Lancasters long before
Pearl. We had a lotta guys under fire before Pearl.
>
>The Japanese had world-class military technology at the start of WW2, and they
>had plenty of it. The Zero was in no way "outdated" at Pearl Harbor. But Japan
>did not have the ability to keep up with rapidly changing technology as the war
>progressed.
>
By the time the war ended the U.S. Navy had birds like the C-V Corsair
and the Army had gotten the Lightning ironed out and Zeros became the
guests of honor at mass aerial turkey-shoots. If the war had lasted a
few more months they would have gotten to meet the Grumman F8F Bearcat
in volume, often referred to as "The Chainsaw". The technologies
embodied in the Bearcat are so far ahead of any the japs had it isn't
even a discussion. One of 'em named Rare Bear which was was modified
for racing holds the world speed record for propeller-driven aircraft
at 528 mph.
>
http://www.aafo.com/racing/r2k/bear/
>
> What has always puzzled me is why Santa Ana did not simply bypass the Alamo. He
> could have left a small force behind to keep it surrounded and cut off while
> the rest of his army went on to San Jacinto. Focusing on a tiny fort with only
> 150 defenders was just plain dumb.
>
No way. ROTC 101: Rule One: Maintain concentration of force. Divide
and get conquered. Custer can explain it.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv

From 8791512046741647448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 19:09:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.nyc.globix.net!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 22:02:46 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3ADBA434.51936D43@sccoast.net>
References: <3ADAB2F9.AEB584B6@sccoast.net> <20010416072624.26979.00000258@nso-

fo.aol.com> <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-155.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987472955 309137 206.74.49.155 (17 Apr 2001 02:02:35 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 02:02:35 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19439

Jim Weir wrote:
>
> If Riley wants to nail some bastard that
> is setting off the emergency channels,
> I'm all for it. But first we give the
> ratchetjaws some legitimate place to go.

There already are plenty of legitimate places for those "ratchetjaws" to go, Jim. What about CB, GMRS, and so forth? There are dozens of frequencies just sitting there waiting for people who want to run their mouths. It is absurd to suggest that space should also be made available in the aircraft bands, or the marine bands, for those very same people. Those bands (marine and air) were not designed for "ratchetjaws," while those other bands (CB, GMRS, etc) were. In fact, if we're going to set aside a few dozen frequencies on each band for "ratchetjaws," then why even have bands like CB, GMRS, and so on?

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2861464129935721998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 19:16:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ADBA6C3.3573@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
<3ADAB2F9.AEB584B6@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 78
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 22:13:23 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.78.16.148
X-Trace: news1.atl 987473564 216.78.16.148 (Mon, 16 Apr 2001 22:12:44 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 22:12:44 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19440

Dwight,

While it is not *exactly* the same thing, but I wonder how much time and money is spent responding to accidental activations of EPIRBS? As you likely know, we (CAP) spend an inordinate amount of time responding to ELTs that turn out to be the result of, perhaps, a hard landing. Like the false distress calls, each one must be responded to and dealt with under the assumption that it is the real thing.

It escapes me why people think that calling out a false Mayday is funny. Illegal operations *should* be a concern of everybody.

73

Jerry
K4KWH

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> "Public " wrote:
> >
> > I laughed my ass off when a couple guys in this
> > thread spouted off about all the government
> > enforcement if someone unauthorized uses the
> > marine bands. One guy even boasted BIG federal
> > penalties. I got news for you. I'm in commercial
> > radio. We find people all the time using cheap
> > marine radios for CB style communication, both
> > local and long distance, even kids using it as
> > a hobby, like CB, just to screw around with.
> > [snip] I even turned in a couple guys who were
> > operating on calling and distress frequencies,
> > and nothing was ever done. [snip]
>
>   You're right, it does happen all the time. And its costing
> taxpayers, such as yourself, millions of dollars each year. In just
> one Coast Guard district (District 13, Pacific NW), there were over
> 400 false distress calls in 1999 alone, each resulting in a response

```
> from the Coast Guard (at a average cost of $2800 each incident).  And
> there are 13 other districts with nearly the same number of false
> distress calls.  Do the math.  That is what all those illegal
> operators are costing taxpayers.
>
>   But that is just the financial cost.  Even more important is the
> potential human cost.  Any one of those false distress calls could
> result in young men and women putting their lives on the line in
> dangerous weather conditions (our Coast Guard must respond to all
> distress calls - even those which eventually turn out to be false).
> And each word uttered by those marine radio "CB'ers" has the potential
> to interfere with critical communications involving navigation or distress.
>
>   And, you're also right, there is not enough enforcement.  But why
> should it require government enforcement for people to understand what
> they're doing is not wise?  Why do people care so little about the
> welfare of others - so little it requires government enforcement
> before they're willing to do the right thing?
>
>   And, while there certainly is not enough enforcement, that is not to
> say there is no enforcement.  Like I said earlier, if the actions of
> these people result in the loss of life or property, they will find
> out very quickly that enforcement does indeed exist.  It is just sad
> that it often takes the loss of life or property before these people
> realize the consequences of their actions.
>
>   I get very angry when I'm out on my boat and hear someone using a
> marine radio illegally.  Not because I'm some do-gooder out to control
> others.  But because I know that my safety, and the safety of my
> family or anyone else on that boat, depends on that radio when
> something goes wrong.  I simply cannot understand why these people
> would be willing to risk the safety of my others just so they can play
> on the radio.
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
```

```
From 2125112438008019219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 20:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!oleane.net!oleane!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 17 Apr 2001 03:08:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9bgc31$gti$0@208.206.142.79>
```

```
References: <9betbk$8g6$0@208.206.142.88> <20010416114732.02953.00000293@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19441
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll
>
> >and make Ed uncomfortable that he can't counter my facts and that
> >his are lame- no one ever tries to memorize 1000 questions and answers,
> >they do it in very managable increments. But his assertions sure sound
> >logical, don't they?
>
> That's your story and you are sticking to it...

Damn straight!

```
From -2761277939140134995
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 20:16:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 17 Apr 2001 03:09:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9bgc5u$gti$1@208.206.142.79>
References: <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
<20010416114953.02953.00000294@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19442
```

```
W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: "Lamb" k7fm@teleport.com
>
> >Seems like the biggest threat to ham radio are not the newcomers - but the
> >old guys who still know morse code - which I am.
>
> The biggest threats to ham radio have nothing to do with Morse code.  They are
> spectrum threats, RFI, tower ordinances and similar things.
>
> Even in this context, it is not knowing, or not knowing, code that drives the
> old timers and newcomers alike to demean each other.  Fortunately, most
> newcomers learn pretty quickly not to follow the lead of those who would drag
> down ham radio.


 That's your (and the ARRL's) story and you're sticking to it, against
all logic.


From -7479809445909639415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 20:28:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 17 Apr 2001 03:24:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9bgd14$joi$0@208.206.142.79>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
<3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19443



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Lamb wrote:
> > Does learning the morse code make one more considerate of others?
>
```

> Actually the least considerate individuals in all of ham radio are
> the rabid pro-code testing folk who worship the Morse Code Creed�.
> Dropping Morse code testing from the ARS is like dropping the Virgin
> Birth from the Christian religion.

Comning from a baldfaced liar that is no surprise whatever. Have you
gotten all your freebanding buddies licensed yet?

```
From 7562061369483716446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 20:32:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 17 Apr 2001 03:25:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9bgd2m$joi$1@208.206.142.79>
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com> <3ADB2F72.7F41FAC9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19444
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> >
> > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > >Why is "work" necessary?
> >
> >   Why indeed. I just sent off my two boxtops of Cheerios and $.50 to MIT
to
> > get my BSEE degree.
>
> If work was required to get a degree, I never would have gotten my BSEE.
> I don't believe in work. I believe in getting things accomplished with
> the least amount of effort. It's called efficiency.

```
  *THAT* is called bullshit.


From -1439746736299439058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dae1ebf0ddc26db7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 20:34:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news!news.he.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
 reaffirmed
Date: 17 Apr 2001 03:29:32 GMT
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9bgdas$c6k$0@216.155.32.166>
References: <20010413135559.24219.00000016@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416073609.06622.00000726@ng-md1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47  (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19445

Steve:

Remember: there are no stupid questions -- just stupid answers!

73 de Larry, K3LT

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

> >Subject: Re: Reconsiderations history, tech + info maintained, 5wpm extra
> >reaffirmed
> >From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 04/13/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >That still doesn't explain why the FCC doesn't update their own
> >WTB amateur radio page to reflect new rulings.  [a minor oversight
> >but the FCC doesn't seem to consider amateur radio that
> >important to keep that page timely]
>
>      Nor do they make any effort to make any immediate changes to other WTB,
> common carrier or other service pages.  Some of the "updates" are from 1999 and
> early 2000.  Hardly timely.
>
>      Your point is?
>
> >Considering the daily digest supplies all that news, why does the
```

> >ARRL bother to maintain news from the FCC as if the ARRL was
> >the major supplier of such news? [see "full story," etc., and other
> >little journalistic phrases]
>
>   And in ignoring those "updates" and NOT reporting them they'd be MORE
> effective in representing Amatuer concerns?
>
> >Just a few questions...
>
>   Ignorant ones. But yes, they ARE questions....
>
> "An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From -7846424083705696522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 20:34:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-
xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 17 Apr 2001 03:27:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9bgd6u$joi$2@208.206.142.79>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
<3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19446
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15348 rec.radio.swap:29077
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Lamb wrote:
> > Does learning the morse code make one more considerate of others?

>
> Actually the least considerate individuals in all of ham radio are
> the rabid pro-code testing folk who worship the Morse Code Creed�.
> Dropping Morse code testing from the ARS is like dropping the Virgin
> Birth from the Christian religion.

Fools never learn. It's what the code is traded for that is the fatal flaw.

From 1843695752432954067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd6a6428dbcb1aa1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 20:35:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: License is expiring, now what
Date: 17 Apr 2001 03:33:06 GMT
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9bgdhi$d35$0@216.155.32.166>
References: <3acee883@news.sierratel.com> <20010415210413.02794.00000210@nso-fi.aol.com> <9bdh9u$qaf$0@208.207.71.169>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19447

Dick:

We don't seem to be getting a very high level of quality in our Bryants, unfortunately. However, they are amusing!

73 de Larry, K3LT

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> yuk yuk yuk.. Bryant the No clue. (another one)

From -5214468295540950545
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 20:42:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: 17 Apr 2001 03:37:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 91
Message-ID: <9bgdq9$m31$0@208.206.142.79>
References: <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>
<20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com>
<3ada5bda$0$12821$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3ada83f3.512621894@news2.dvol.com>
<3adae7aa$0$12829$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9beuf9$d1c$0@208.206.142.88>
<3adb6218$0$14450$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19448

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9beuf9$d1c$0@208.206.142.88...
> >
> >
> > Larry Klose wrote:
> > >
> > > The Morse code exam requirement is unnecessary,
> > > not in the public interest, and not in conformity
> > > with 5 USC 706.
> >
> >
> > Your opinion, Larry, and there are plenty of others which do not agree.
>
> Unfortunately for you, the FCC was no one of those "others".

It's not unfortunate for me-its unfortunate for ham radio.

>
> The FCC stated in its Report and Order (FCC 99-142) for WT Docket #98-143
> that:
>
> (a) "the public interest will best be served by reducing the telegraphy
> examination requirement to the minimum requirement that we have found that
> meets the Radio Regulations" ;

The dweeb who wrote that couldn't have a clue as to what ham radio is even about. Sort of like you.

>
> (b) "an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse code proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art" ;

Sure makes him one hell of a more proficient communicator, though. Not that you'll eve understand that.

>
> (c) "such a license qualification rule [Morse code exam] is not in furtherance of the purpose of the amateur service and we do not believe that it continues to serve a regulatory purpose" ;

Translation: " We are doing what we believe will best serve our own interests in lessening the testing and administrative burden on us, not to mention that dastardly Morse waiver headache..."

>
> (d) "the amateur service is fundamentally a technical service, the emphasis on Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement does not comport with the basis and purpose of the service" ;

Yeah, Right! Fundamentally a 'memorize the questions and answers' service.

>
> (e) "reducing the emphasis on telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement will allow the amateur service to, as it has in the past, attract technically inclined persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them to learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States needs expertise" ; and

Right! Just look at the influx of geeks we did NOT get as opposeds to all the freebanders we DID.

>
> (f) "telegraphy proficiency is not a significant factor in determining an

> individual's ability to provide or be prepared to provide emergency
> communications" .
>

True, but as the poor fellow who wrote this -clearly someone who never
heard a dit nor a dah in his life- couldn't know, Sometimes there is no
other available choice.

From -7023124562834443141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 20:46:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 17 Apr 2001 03:41:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19449

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 16 Apr 2001 11:12:57 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 04/13/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >
> >>Why is "work" necessary?
> >
> >   Why indeed. I just sent off my two boxtops of Cheerios and $.50 to MIT to
> >get my BSEE degree. (I DID address the envelope by hand...I guess that count's
> >for "work") Might as well drop any pretense of knowledge and learning for an
> >Amatuer Radio license too.
> >
> >   Why "work" indeed.

>
> Please point to ANY federal licensing requirement that directly
> or indirectly addresses any need for the applicant to expend
> "work" to obtain the license. Work, as a product of itself,
> is not now nor has it ever been an amateur licensing
> criteria.

The acquisition of technical knowledge represents work, whether the applicant got it in some career training program or in selfstudy of technical material for the purpose of amateur license testing. Unless, of course, he just studies the pools for the word associations. Then it is memory work.

From 7984495946081716548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 20:50:54 PST
Message-ID: <3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 22:47:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd6u$joi$2@208.206.142.79>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.45
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987478885 64.240.47.45 (16 Apr 2001 22:41:25 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.icl.net!isdnet!news-

```
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19450
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15349 rec.radio.swap:29080

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Fools never learn. It's what the code is traded for that is the fatal
> flaw.

It's not traded for anything. As an entrance requirement, it is simply
outdated and therefore is in the act of being dropped.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 5255853290304174984
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 20:55:06 PST
Message-ID: <3ADBBD6D.A67DDF4D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 22:50:05 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com> <3ADB2F72.7F41FAC9@IEEE.org>
<9bgd2m$joi$1@208.206.142.79>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.45
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987479031
64.240.47.45 (16 Apr 2001 22:43:51 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
```

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.siscom.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19451

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > If work was required to get a degree, I never would have gotten my BSEE.
> > I don't believe in work. I believe in getting things accomplished with
> > the least amount of effort. It's called efficiency.
>
>   *THAT* is called bullshit.

To believe that labor saving devices are bullshit must mean that you
own a horse instead of a motor vehicle.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2653499286198505230
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 20:57:22 PST
Message-ID: <3ADBBDD4.D34F386C@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 22:51:48 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.45
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987479134
64.240.47.45 (16 Apr 2001 22:45:34 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
```

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.frii.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19452

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The acquisition of technical knowledge represents work, ...

Not for me, Dick. For me, it represents fun. You should try
it sometime. You might even enjoy it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5255671506699574736
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-16 21:42:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 04:39:15 GMT
References: <3ADB3033.D5ECC23A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010417003915.25196.00000112@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19453

In article <3ADB3033.D5ECC23A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Your "belief" in something does not make it a fact. I know people who
>believe
>> in the Easter Bunny, but their belief does not mean I must agree with them.
>
>The Easter Bunny does exist, Jim.

I remain skeptical. Of course my version of the Easter Bunny may be different from yours.

> I bought one for my grandson.

That's not the one I meant. Does it leave eggs?

>Did you know
>that Easter is an ancient pagan German fertility goddess?

My information is that the word "easter" derives from "eo-star", a northern European spring fertility festival. We may be saying the same thing two different ways.

Many of the symbols and customs surrounding Christian holidays have their origins in pagan rites. The eggs, chicks, bunnies and other Easter symbols are right out of spring fertility festivals. The Yule log and Christmas tree have similar origins.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -1152588228170191550
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 03:07:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ADB3033.D5ECC23A@IEEE.org> <20010417003915.25196.00000112@nso-
fk.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <yuUC6.1758$3n4.1362918@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 05:02:05 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.84
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 987501598 208.154.158.84 (Tue, 17 Apr 2001 05:59:58
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 05:59:58 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19454
```

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010417003915.25196.00000112@nso-fk.aol.com...
>
> Many of the symbols and customs surrounding Christian holidays have
their
> origins in pagan rites. The eggs, chicks, bunnies and other Easter
symbols are
> right out of spring fertility festivals. The Yule log and Christmas tree
have
> similar origins.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

When Constantine, for whatever reason, made Christianity official lots of things got co-opted. Imagine the local version of Father Emil down at Our Lady of Perpetual Responsibility a Sunday or two after Connie dropped the bomb. 'You got an Earth Mother, padre?'

Taking the easy path of co-opting the locals is probably the only way to get the job done. But it does confuse hell out later generations. Given that Halloween is now second only to Christmas for selling stuff, maybe later generations deserve it.

Dick N�BK

From 1575590851674187378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 04:03:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 52
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 11:00:09 GMT
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Message-ID: <20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19455

In article <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>, Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com> writes:

>
>If Riley wants to nail some bastard that is setting off the emergency
>channels,
>I'm all for it. But first we give the ratchetjaws some legitimate place to
>go.

Jim:

I do not disagree with this philosophy. I've long held that there needs to be adequate, if not abundant, spectrum available for what you so accurately describe as "incidental" communications. Having said that, I must admit that I am not, and never have been, a Marine VHF user, but I thought there *was* adequate channel space for incidental comms. I know that there are dedicated channels for emergencies and ship-to-shore phone patches, and I believe that *any* deliberate interference on these channels should be adequately policed. I'm just surprised that such an important service *isn't* being adequately policed. In my own town (Dover, DE) I've seen several vehicles (not boats) equipped with the distinctive antennae used in Marine VHF. These are vehicles that don't even have a trailer hitch on them! I'm sure that this band is being systematically bootlegged by CB'ers seeking a cleaner, clearer, quieter venue for their activities.

I think its time to provide "fill in the application blank" type of access to the amateur 2m, 220, and 440 bands. There's no longer any point in maintaining the fatuous farce of license testing for these frequencies, which are almost totally dominated by off-the-shelf handie-toys and plug-and-play mobile rigs, and operators who can barely comprehend the PTT switch, much less the operational theory behind them. GMRS isn't the answer because the repeater infrastructure isn't there.   I exclude 6m from give-away licensing merely because it has many of the properties of 11 meters, namely reliable global propagation, which deserves the protection of more rigorous license examinations. I exclude 1.2 GHz and up because of the greater physical hazard of high power RF at those ranges which would require a more technically qualified user to prevent overexposure. Opening up the "mid-range" V/UHF bands would give the general public all the opportunity they needed to push a mic button and talk; and since they'd be licensed, required to use call signs, etc. there is still the enforcement sword hanging over their heads, unlike 11-meters.

We've already reduced amateur license testing to the status of a joke; so why not get a real laugh and give the microphone jockeys their dream-come-true?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7945007093359714165
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 04:30:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 17 Apr 2001 11:25:29 GMT
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <9bh979$qgf$0@216.155.33.75>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.33.75
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19456

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> >and he or she is now a freshly minted Extra clueless
>
> >That's your story and you're sticking to it.
>
> Dick, you may think that the demeaning and abusive behaviour you exhibit on
> this forum is appropriate. I think it is no more than mean-spirited and
> destructive.

Ed:

I find it interesting that whenever a pro-coder tells the truth about the Morse code itself, those who use and enjoy it, or those who couldn't be bothered to learn it, they are immediately faced with a chorus of accusations such as the above. In the meantime, the fact that the no-coders have heaped plenty of gratuitous abuse on the pro-coders is conveniently overlooked. Like all liberal, socialist Communists, the NCTA adheres to a strict double standard.

> Fortunately, most of us who love and enjoy Morse code are able to point out
> that such conduct is not a result of the "hard work" that you put into learning
> the code; it is a personal choice that, fortunately, most hams do not take.

The truth is, learning the Morse code really isn't "hard work" at all. It is just something which doesn't provide the same level of instant gratification that comes from the voice modes. This unwillingness to defer gratification -- the unwillingness to invest the time and dedication required to gain a valuable communications skill -- demonstrates a lack of intellectual maturity on the part of the NCTA. Unfortunately, new hams coming into the service after Restructuring have no option but to be painted with the brush created by the lazy majority who whined for, and received, lowered licensing standards.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5510390834058768403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 04:57:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can. And possibly Should!
Date: 17 Apr 2001 11:52:51 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9bhaqj$pa7$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987508371 25927 149.174.88.251 (17 Apr 2001 11:52:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Apr 2001 11:52:51 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19457

>> Fools never learn. It's what the code is traded for that is
>> the fatal flaw.

>It's not traded for anything. As an entrance requirement, it is
>simply outdated and therefore is in the act of being dropped.

You never learn, Cecil. Outdated means obsolete, i.e., no longer in use or practiced.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -8174046357111423567
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 05:02:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 11:56:37 GMT
References: <20010416140930.08081.00000463@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010417075637.00830.00001041@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19458

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 04/16/01 2:09 PM Eastern Daylight Time

> If words don't mean what words mean, what do they mean?

This is the "English" language, Ed. Even the "f" word can have a dozen different applications, depending on the context of the conversation and the frustration or anger of the person uttering the word.

>I do not believe that today, starting from scratch, I would learn Morse code
>to 20 wpm to obtain an Extra Class license. I can think of many other things
I
>would not do to obtain a license.

You don't HAVE to get to 20WPM now, Ed, ergo it's moot. However if I HAD to do these things to get a license or STAY licensed, I certainly would.

As Lennie the Least would say...."Your mileage may vary".

>And all that aside, the value to me of the things I have done with the
>license I was given has exceeded the value to me of the tests I took to get
that
>license.

Then WHY would you NOT do the things necessary to obtain one today? There are precious few things in life anymore that exceed our expectations or give more than we put in. I would think this would be a "no brainer"...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 9011837358259498533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 05:03:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 11:59:58 GMT
References: <3ADBBD6D.A67DDF4D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010417075958.05102.00000574@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19459

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> > I don't believe in work. I believe in getting things accomplished with
>> > the least amount of effort. It's called efficiency.
>>
>>   *THAT* is called bullshit.
>
>To believe that labor saving devices are bullshit must mean that you
>own a horse instead of a motor vehicle.

That's his story and he's sticking to it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 7426131509238756095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 05:03:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 12:01:05 GMT
References: <3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010417080105.00830.00001042@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19460
```

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>Date: 04/16/01 7:36 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Please point to ANY federal licensing requirement that directly
>or indirectly addresses any need for the applicant to expend
>"work" to obtain the license.

More arguing of semantics. Are you guys REALLY this bored...?!?!

> Work, as a product of itself,
>is not now nor has it ever been an amateur licensing
>criteria.

Waste of bandwidth, Bill. I expect better of you.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 3732799368135332094
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 05:06:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 12:02:58 GMT
References: <3ADB2F72.7F41FAC9@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010417080258.00830.00001043@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19461

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 04/16/01 1:44 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>If work was required to get a degree, I never would have gotten my BSEE.
>I don't believe in work. I believe in getting things accomplished with
>the least amount of effort. It's called efficiency.

Uhhhh...yeah, OK Cecil...whatever you say.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 1042486049560606752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 05:08:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd6u$joi$2@208.206.142.79> <3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 07:59:58 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3adc3068$0$12826$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: ff0217ec.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=f:d2C;<XP82bV?_m\CJ]D6`<WTGT>OiB>[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F72o\;\SbWaQ:lBFDaL\d^n59\SYWR:SKc9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19462 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15353 rec.radio.swap:29094

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Fools never learn. It's what the code is traded for that is the fatal
> > flaw.
>
> It's not traded for anything. As an entrance requirement, it is simply
> outdated and therefore is in the act of being dropped.

No replacement is necessary to delete a regulation that is
unnecessary, not in the public interest,and not in conformity
with 5 USC 706. The Morse code test rule is invalid, but exist
only because of ITU rule S25.5 and government inertia.

Larry KC8EPO

From 4199369711088490733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 05:08:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 12:03:45 GMT
References: <9bgc5u$gti$1@208.206.142.79>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010417080345.05102.00000575@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19463

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Even in this context, it is not knowing, or not knowing, code that drives
>the
>> old timers and newcomers alike to demean each other.

> That's your (and the ARRL's) story and you're sticking to it, against
>all logic.

And by that logic, your story is that you are going to keep up the demeaning
and abusive conduct until you drag amateur radio down...

>> Fortunately, most newcomers learn pretty quickly not to follow the lead
>> of those who would drag down ham radio.

If you think that my saying that is a "story," can I infer that you believe
that most newcomers learn quickly to follow the lead of those who would drag
down ham radio? If not, just what DID you mean?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8429793184752178845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 05:12:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
skynet.be!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 12:06:58 GMT
References: <9bh979$qgf$0@216.155.33.75>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010417080658.05102.00000576@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19464

>From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com

>Unfortunately, new hams coming into the service after
>Restructuring have no option but to be painted with the brush created by
>the lazy majority who whined for, and received, lowered licensing standards.

The newcomers have no choice about what requirements they can meet to get their
licenses.

The wielder of that brush is the one making the choice on whom to paint. The
part I don't quite understand is who was it that actually gave brushing power
to those doing the painting?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2485913058449419748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 05:20:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-

master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 17 Apr 2001 12:16:27 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9bhc6r$q7v$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3adc3068$0$12826$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987509787 26879 149.174.88.240 (17 Apr 2001 12:16:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Apr 2001 12:16:27 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19465

>No replacement is necessary to delete a regulation that is
>unnecessary, not in the public interest,and not in conformity
>with 5 USC 706. The Morse code test rule is invalid, but exist
>only because of ITU rule S25.5 and government inertia.

>Larry KC8EPO

In this case, the 'Morse' issue had nothing to do with what was
"in the public interest," ad infinitum. Easing of requirements,
code and theory, was the issue, and was a done deal before the
proceedings even started. As Vic Clark initially warned in 1982,
and as the FCC showed with their R&O. Much to the surprise of
those who simply thought this was just a 'code' issue.

"And the FCC cheerfully furnished the required rope."

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -7479359907608619096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 05:32:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net> <20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com> <3ada5bda$0$12821$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3ada83f3.512621894@news2.dvol.com> <3adae7aa$0$12829$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9beuf9$d1c$0@208.206.142.88> <3adb6218$0$14450$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bgdq9$m31$0@208.206.142.79>
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?

```
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 08:25:23 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 90
Message-ID: <3adc365c$0$12829$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: ff0217ec.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=Gi=\41_8]PSiAcBM^EMG<[`<WTGT>OiB^[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FW2gfH:UmC]0UlBFDaL\d^nU9
\SYWR:SKcY
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19466

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9bgdq9$m31$0@208.206.142.79...
>
> Larry Klose wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:9beuf9$d1c$0@208.206.142.88...
> > >
> > > Larry Klose wrote:
> > > >
> > > > The Morse code exam requirement is unnecessary,
> > > > not in the public interest, and not in conformity
> > > > with 5 USC 706.
> > >
> > >  Your opinion, Larry, and there are plenty of others which do not
agree.
> >
> > Unfortunately for you, the FCC was no one of those "others".
>
>  It's not unfortunate for me-its unfortunate for ham radio.

It is fortunate for ham radio that FCC still believes that
the amateur radio serves a public interest.

> > The FCC stated in its Report and Order (FCC 99-142) for WT Docket
#98-143
> > that:
> >
> > (a) "the public interest will best be served by reducing the telegraphy
> > examination requirement to the minimum requirement that we have
> >  found that meets the Radio Regulations" ;
>
>  The dweeb who wrote that couldn't have a clue as to what ham radio is
> even about. Sort of like you.
```

I'll send a copy of your remarks to the dweeb for a second opinion.

> > (b) "an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse code
> > proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's ability
> > to contribute to the advancement of the radio art" ;
>
> Sure makes him one hell of a more proficient communicator, though. Not
> that you'll eve understand that.

No other radio service uses Morse code proficiency as a license requirement.

> > (c) "such a license qualification rule [Morse code exam] is not in
> > furtherance of the purpose of the amateur service and we do not
> > believe that it continues to serve a regulatory purpose" ;
>
> Translation: " We are doing what we believe will best serve our own
> interests in lessening the testing and administrative burden on us, not
> to mention that dastardly Morse waiver headache..."

Correct translation, we change our rules to bring them into compliance with
law.

> > (d) "the amateur service is fundamentally a technical service, the
> > emphasis on Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement
> > does not comport with the basis and purpose of the service" ;
>
> Yeah, Right! Fundamentally a 'memorize the questions and answers'
> service.
>
> > (e) "reducing the emphasis on telegraphy proficiency as a licensing
> > requirement will allow the amateur service to, as it has in the past,
> > attract technically inclined persons, particularly the youth of our
> > country, and encourage them to learn and to prepare themselves
> > in the areas where the United States needs expertise" ; and
>
> Right! Just look at the influx of geeks we did NOT get as opposeds to
> all the freebanders we DID.

Yep, I can not understand why all those young engineers developing today's
technology are not flocking to the airways to talk to you.

> > (f) "telegraphy proficiency is not a significant factor in determining
> > an individual's ability to provide or be prepared to provide
> > emergency communications" .
>
> True, but as the poor fellow who wrote this -clearly someone who never
> heard a dit nor a dah in his life- couldn't know, Sometimes there is no
> other available choice.

Apparently the aeronautical, maritime, and military services have other
choices.

Larry KC8EPO

From -4519323351497122902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 05:38:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 12:36:25 GMT
References: <20010417080105.00830.00001042@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010417083625.05142.00000545@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19467

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>>Please point to ANY federal licensing requirement that directly
>>or indirectly addresses any need for the applicant to expend
>>"work" to obtain the license.

>   More arguing of semantics. Are you guys REALLY this bored...?!?!

What specifically was "semantical" about his question? Trying to dismiss what he says as being mere semantics is a pretty weak argument.

>> Work, as a product of itself,
>>is not now nor has it ever been an amateur licensing
>>criteria.

>   Waste of bandwidth, Bill. I expect better of you.

Dismissing it as trivial enough that he shouldn't have said it, but not trivial enough that you shouldn't say that he shouldn't have said it is even weaker.

Is this as close as you will get to ceding the point to Bill?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7074354101568655245
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 05:44:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 12:39:27 GMT
References: <20010416080450.05055.00000433@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Message-ID: <20010417083927.25196.00000154@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19468

In article <20010416080450.05055.00000433@ng-mj1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>By not telling the membership how the various directors voted, the
>membership
>>cannot hold the directors responsible for their actions.
>
>I asked Tom Frenaye how he voted and he told me.
>

Same for Bernie Fuller. In fact, my Director is the one who moved for a
recorded vote.

WHY would any director want to keep their vote on the issue secret?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 2074630329388436181
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 05:45:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 133
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 12:39:27 GMT
References: <9bf8u8$bak$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Message-ID: <20010417083927.25196.00000153@nso-fk.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19469

In article <9bf8u8$bak$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@qwest.net writes:

>In article <20010415194016.00160.00000079@nso-mg.aol.com>, N2EY
><n2ey@aol.com> writes:
>
>>If the directors are the representatives of the membership, then the
>>membership has the right to know how the directors voted. We are not
>>three-year-old children who need to have the truth hidden from us "for
>>our own good".
>
>The ARRL-Bashers are again in full spring bloom!

Who, me?
>
>A few inbred UnaBashers regularly show up here bashing ARRL, CQ,
>Morse Code, CB, Wayne Green, Technicians, Extras, CW, or some
>other random subject or group that pissed them off this morning.

Yup.
>
>Most of us view them with some level of disdain, but others
>view them with admiration and would like to emulate them. For
>those who'd like to refine their bashing efforts, I thought
>I'd post some rules that they (or you) could follow to become
>a really 599+, full gallon, state-of-the-art UnaBasher.
>
>Rules for Successful Bashing -
>
>1. Be anonymous like W2DNE. Most of us know who you are anyhow, but
>   you'll impress Twistedhed and KC8JBO, and piss off Steve to no end.

I sign every post.

>2. Use 10% fact. 90% suggestion. The facts will lend credibility
>   to your unfounded suggestions.

What have I written on this that isn't fact?

>3. Use a lot of macho-meaningless tag-lines like "An America Not Preapred
>   For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time." It will get
>   you the knee-jerk-redneck vote, especially if you can't spell all
>   that well.

Gotcha.

>4. Use two or more aliases to simulate a discussion. But be careful,
>   the 'pit bull' tries this, but is always betrayed because all
>   his messages come from the same IP address. Now he's discredited and
>   gone.

See #1

>5. Identify the "gurus" on the newsgroup and suck up to them.

I can't figure out who they are.

>6. Give the appearance of being open minded.

I go for the reality.

>7. Be bold in your statements. Dummy newbies follow strength.

Uh huh.

>8. Write headlines in caps with catchy statements.

oh dear...

>9. Don't worry about being labeled a "Basher". Newbies won't
>   know your history.

Oldies will, of course

>10. When identified put up a brief fight, then back off. Return
>   in a week unless your foe is a weak in reasoning powers.

Since I'm already identified....

>11. Form your bash as a question, not a statement. Like
>   "Does ARRL hate technology?", or "Why can't most Extras
>   figure out Ohms law?" People can refute your
>   statement, but nobody can answer such dumb questions.

Why does the porridge bird lay his egg in the air?

>12. Highlight a lot of credentials totally unrelated to the subject.
>   For example "NASA Certified Solderer" in a nursing topic, or
>   "LPN" in a radio topic.

"N2EY" sez it all..

>13. Bait the target into personal debates putting their
>   focus/efforts on you and not the facts. Divert their
>   attention from facts. Facts are your enemy.

Facts and logic are my friends.

>14. Do not fall for challenges on the truth of what
>   you are saying. Bashing is a game and you are playing
>   it with your own rules. Ignore embarrassing questions
>   which betray your lack of research, or call the questioner
>   a Nazi or a liar. (See Anderson and Robeson for
>   instruction.)

Point out where I've ever done either.
>
>Your are a:
>
>Grade "B" UnaBasher:
>If you post lots of old news, respond to all positive posts with a
>negative side. Never respond to being called a Basher.
>
>Grade "C" UnaBasher:
>Very good way with words, always claims to be your "friend" taking the
>positive poster into confidence, sucks up, and then uses the
>relationship to bash somebody else.
>
>Grade "D" UnaBasher:
>Needs to learn the basics about being convincing when making a
>negative statement. Has no anonimity whatsoever, and tends to
>use quotations of others (preferably of dead people), rather
>than original thinking to make their point.
>
>Grade "F" UnaBasher:
>A complete idiot, most readers are not convinced he knows
>anything about amateur radio in general, let alone the current
>topic of conversation. The type that says something "sucks", but gives
>no rationale, shows up every so often but no regular schedule.
>
Looks like I'm not even in the right school...

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -3161489764037052981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 06:58:03 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 13:52:14 GMT
Message-ID: <3adbce87.597240473@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ADAB2F9.AEB584B6@sccoast.net> <20010416072624.26979.00000258@nso-fo.aol.com> <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.78

X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.78
X-Trace: 17 Apr 2001 08:49:00 -0500, 64.24.19.78
Lines: 49
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19470

On Mon, 16 Apr 2001 08:06:00 -0700, Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com> wrote:

>
>Before you do that, it might be well to get the attention of the anal retentives
>in the FCC that assign certain frequencies to certain operations. We have the
>same problem in the aircraft band -- 760 permissible channels and ONE assigned
>to "incidental communications", 122.75.
>

The transoceanic jocks beat the hell out of it, too. Six freakin'
hours on autopilot with their feet up on the handlebars they gotta do
SOMETHING.

>
> That sucker is so crowded on a nice
>weekend that some operators take the law into their own hands and go the "good
>buddy" route on 123.4 and 123.45 which just drives the legitimate users of these
>frequencies (flight test stations) up the wall.
>
>Not being that well versed in 80.373, I don't know if there is a legal
>"incidental" channel where you can talk about almost anything that you thinks
>needs saying, but there certainly needs to be one or two.
>

Only Marine Channel 16 is sacred but not even close to being as sacred
as 121.50. Marine 16 is both the emergency channel and the general
calling channel. Estabish contact on 16 and take it somewhere else
quick. Things get pretty "loosey goosey" from there. There are some
CG, general gov't and phone service freqs which need to be avoided.
Not really much in common with airband ops. Boats doan need to tune a
neighborhood CG clearance delivery freq to find out when it's their
turn to shove off from the dock and get undeway. There's a lot less
traffic and the operating radius in much smaller.

> You may not think
>idle chatter about whether the 49ers are going to beat the Jets this weekend is
>subject matter for "serious" radio, but others do.
>
>Understand what I say -- I do not advocate turning one channel or group of
>channels into a garbage-mouth situation, but unless there are well-defined
>"incidental" channels that one can legitimately use for party-line gab, the
>whole band gets thrashed.
>

There's only one way to find out, ask for the freqs and get the
FAA/FCC rulings. They ain't going to just pass 'em out.

>

>If Riley wants to nail some bastard that is setting off the emergency channels,
>I'm all for it. But first we give the ratchetjaws some legitimate place to go.
>
>Jim
>
w3rv

```
From -7899977893937209446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 07:25:04 PST
Message-ID: <3ADC518B.E3C6AC9C@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 09:22:03 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
<3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd14$joi$0@208.206.142.79>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987516949
64.240.47.54 (17 Apr 2001 09:15:49 -0500)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19471
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Lamb wrote:
> > > Does learning the morse code make one more considerate of others?
> >
> > Actually the least considerate individuals in all of ham radio are

> > the rabid pro-code testing folk who worship the Morse Code Creed�.
> > Dropping Morse code testing from the ARS is like dropping the Virgin
> > Birth from the Christian religion.
>
> Comning from a baldfaced liar that is no surprise whatever. Have you
> gotten all your freebanding buddies licensed yet?

I don't know any freebanders, Dick. If I did, I would try to recruit
them to ham radio. I regularly try to recruit the local high schooler
CBers to ham radio and donate my QSTs to the high school library. You,
OTOH, seem to want to keep non-hams out of ham radio so your share of
the sandbox will be bigger.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7716250726238632224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 07:45:48 PST
Message-ID: <3ADC554D.FBBABD92@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 09:38:05 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3adc3068$0$12826$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bhc6r$q7v$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987517947 64.240.47.54 (17 Apr 2001 09:32:27 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!

newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!colt.net!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!excite.it!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19472

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> In this case, the 'Morse' issue had nothing to do with what was
> "in the public interest," ad infinitum. Easing of requirements,
> code and theory, was the issue, and was a done deal before the
> proceedings even started. As Vic Clark initially warned in 1982,
> and as the FCC showed with their R&O.

Vic Clark probably also thought that automobiles would get faster and more efficient thus obsoleting the 1982 models. What he was predicting was scientific progress. While all other forms of electronic communications were getting easier and more accessible, freezing the amateur radio requirements would have been a sure way to sound the ARS death knell and bury it in the sands of time. Why not just require radio amateurs to use tubes and prohibit them from using transistors - same thing.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1417329184869261455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 07:48:48 PST
Message-ID: <3ADC56B2.416A689F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 09:44:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9bh979$qgf$0@216.155.33.75>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987518268 64.240.47.54 (17 Apr 2001 09:37:48 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!nycmny1-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.inet.it!excite.it!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19473

Larry Roll wrote:
> I find it interesting that whenever a pro-coder tells the truth about the Morse
> code itself, those who use and enjoy it, or those who couldn't be bothered to
> learn it, they are immediately faced with a chorus of accusations such as the
> above.

Nobody is objecting to the truth, Larry. We are objecting to the abusive
ad hominem attacks, e.g., being called a "baldfaced liar".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3508796164201490463
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 08:00:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <OPYC6.353$D4.29513@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 14:55:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987519342 209.208.22.130 (Tue, 17 Apr 2001 10:55:42 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 10:55:42 EDT

Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19474

In article <20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com>, Larry Roll K3LT
says...

>I think its time to provide "fill in the application blank" type of access
>to the amateur 2m, 220, and 440 bands.
......
>We've already reduced amateur license testing to the status of a
>joke; so why not get a real laugh and give the microphone jockeys
>their dream-come-true?

That's tantamount to letting the camel put his nose in the tent.

An Arab was out on the desert with his camel. He saw a huge sand storm coming. He made the camel lie down and then erected his tent so he could be out of the flying sand and the harsh wind. The camel stuck his nose under the flap of the tent and said "Oh, Master. I can hardly breathe with all of this sand and wind. May I put my nose inside the tent so I can breathe?" The Arab was a kindly person and allowed the camel to put his nose in the tent. During the night, the Arab awakened to find that he was being crushed by the camel. He kicked the camel and tried to make himself comfortable. After a while the camel said "Oh, Master, If thou art crowded, thou canst leave".

73, Hans, K0HB

From 3548315961283955424
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 08:03:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 86
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 14:57:40 GMT
References: <9bf8u8$bak$1@news.netmar.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Message-ID: <20010417105740.08479.00000953@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19475

>Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
>From: K0HB@qwest.net
>Date: 04/16/01 1:08 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>The ARRL-Bashers are again in full spring bloom!

Yes, they are. Some of them are not as apparent as others, though.

>1. Be anonymous like W2DNE. Most of us know who you are anyhow, but
>   you'll impress Twistedhed and KC8JBO, and piss off Steve to no end.

Or you can be "known" like Hans. Hans has a nasty habit of making snide and rude comments about others even though things are done for him on a "personal courtesy" basis. Like responding to his "eQSL" submissions because you "know" him, and then take flak over it because you make it known you prefer not to.

Hans is selfish that way.

Then you can use ISP's which won't allow anyone to reply to you via e mail, but then load up OTHERS mail boxes with YOUR responses...just like Hans.

>3. Use a lot of macho-meaningless tag-lines like "An America Not Preapred
>   For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time." It will get
>   you the knee-jerk-redneck vote, especially if you can't spell all
>   that well.

Meaningless to you, Hans, because you only marked time until retirement. Some of us actually had to do something that WAS meaningful while we served.

>6. Give the appearance of being open minded.

Kinda like Hans. But then Hans is two faced anyway and we take that into account.

>12. Highlight a lot of credentials totally unrelated to the subject.
>   For example "NASA Certified Solderer" in a nursing topic, or
>   "LPN" in a radio topic.

I guess we can reload "signature lines" for each and every NG we participate in just to please Hans, but then why? Hans is not worth THAT much effort. Matter of fact, he's hardly worth THIS effort, but again, he expects it so who am I to deny him his happiness?

>13. Bait the target into personal debates putting their
>   focus/efforts on you and not the facts. Divert their
>   attention from facts. Facts are your enemy

Kinda like Hans is doing with THIS post, or the "Little Guppy" one he wrote for my benefit.

Just Like his mentor, Lennie the Liar Anderson, Hans has a real bad habit of applying the "Do What I Say, Not What I Do" twist.

>4. Do not fall for challenges on the truth of what
>   you are saying. Bashing is a game and you are playing
>   it with your own rules. Ignore embarrassing questions
>   which betray your lack of research, or call the questioner
>   a Nazi or a liar. (See Anderson and Robeson for
>   instruction.)

And Hans nis challenged to prove that my assertions of Lennie's lying are NOT true.

>Grade "C" UnaBasher:
>Very good way with words, always claims to be your "friend" taking the
>positive poster into confidence, sucks up, and then uses the
>relationship to bash somebody else.

Yeah...I'd give you a "c" with some conditions, Hans...but it would be a bit shaky.

>If this or other posts made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines,
email >abuse@newsone.net

Close, but no cigar....but it's a thought.

No 73 for Hans.

"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

From -6327161836836048180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 08:10:01 PST
Message-ID: <3ADC5B2E.216C5E0F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 10:03:10 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.

```
References: <3ADB2F72.7F41FAC9@IEEE.org> <20010417080258.00830.00001043@ng-
fc1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987519417
64.240.47.54 (17 Apr 2001 09:56:57 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19476

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >If work was required to get a degree, I never would have gotten my BSEE.
> >I don't believe in work. I believe in getting things accomplished with
> >the least amount of effort. It's called efficiency.
>
>      Uhhhh...yeah, OK Cecil...whatever you say.

People used to get their exercise hunting and gathering while trying not
to starve to death and not get eaten themselves. Now we get most of our
exercise by having fun. We have become so efficient in meeting our needs
with so little effort that we have lots more leisure time. I have *always*
believed in working SMARTER, not HARDER. Most Americans are working smarter
than their grandparents, not harder than their grandparents. When I was born,
my Dad was working 12 hours a day for one dollar per day. I am a lot lazier
than my Dad. My stock options at Intel were much easier and more efficient.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -8719086447757346792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,631ce00b9e3b6059,start
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 08:14:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 15:07:12 GMT
References: <ojGC6.7783$FY5.651393@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Proofread Your Admonitions On Spelling, Hans....
Message-ID: <20010417110712.08479.00000955@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19477

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: Hans k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 04/16/01 1:52 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>> ....invoking the "perjorative" factor.

>Hell, Steve, you can't even *spell* "pejorative"!

Thanks for the proof read, Hans. I guess some of us have more time on our hands than others.

>Most everyone in the BSA can splell "prepared", but apparently not everyone
>in the USMC. It figures!

But...but...but HANS! You are the one who has repeatedly denied any USMC/USN "conflict" and yet here you are perpetuating it.

And by the way...if I were going to "zing" someone in a newsgroup posting about erroneous spelling or grammar, I think I would be a bit more cautious about hitting the "SEND" or "POST" button before I was sure that my OWN post was free of spelling errors.

But again, thanks for YOUR proof reading. I guess there will come a day in my life when I can't find anything else to do but use those errors to try and create an aire of invincibility, too.

Maybe the rivers in Minnesota aren't the only thing that are above flood stage, Hans?

Steve

From 5896719458277868363
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 08:53:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 17 Apr 2001 15:51:21 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9bhopp$52a$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADC554D.FBBABD92@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987522681 5194 149.174.88.240 (17
Apr 2001 15:51:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Apr 2001 15:51:21 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19478

>Vic Clark probably also thought that automobiles would get
>faster and more efficient thus obsoleting the 1982 models.

He was an electrical engineer and was concerned about hams
knowing the basics, knowing full well what would happen if we
ever got to the point where they didn't.

>What he was predicting was scientific progress.

No, what he was warning against was easing of requirements, which
is what this issue is about.

>While all other forms of electronic communications were getting
>easier and more accessible, freezing the amateur radio
>requirements would have been a sure way to sound the ARS death
>knell and bury it in the sands of time. Why not just require
>radio amateurs to use tubes and prohibit them from using
>transistors - same thing.

Why not just stay to the issue: easing of requirements.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -8587505411505910213
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 09:07:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 17 Apr 2001 16:00:26 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9bhpaq$5hc$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <9bhopp$52a$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987523226 5676 149.174.88.251 (17 Apr 2001 16:00:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Apr 2001 16:00:26 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19479

>While all other forms of electronic communications were getting
>easier and more accessible, freezing the amateur radio
>requirements would have been a sure way to sound the ARS death
>knell and bury it in the sands of time. Why not just require
>radio amateurs to use tubes and prohibit them from using
>transistors - same thing.

You would have been happy about that, I'm sure, Cecil. After all, you've said there's no longer any need for hams to know basic electronics. Just basically rules, regulations, and of course...safety.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 2477228551196427340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 09:16:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 16:13:49 GMT
References: <20010417105740.08479.00000953@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Message-ID: <20010417121349.26066.00000485@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19480

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

> No 73 for Hans.

I don't think Steve and Len will ever see how very much they have in common...

73,
Ed, W1RFI

From 637683827116670182
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 09:25:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 16:19:02 GMT
References: <20010417083927.25196.00000154@nso-fk.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Message-ID: <20010417121902.26066.00000486@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19481

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>WHY would any director want to keep their vote on the issue secret?

I don't know; Tom has never declined to discuss his views or his votes with me. He knows I vote, too. :-)

There is only one valid reason I can see that the Board could choose not to air the votes of individual Directors. The Board has a policy that all Directors will support the final votes of the Board. Even those that voted against the S25 position, or would have seen it written differently, will support the end result, as part of an organization. I could see some difficulty in an individual Director saying "I voted against it, but I support the policy of the organization."

Of those that voted against it, it is still unknown why they did so. Did they feel it went too far, or not far enough? If I were on the Board (Director Hare -- does that have a ring to it, or what?), I may have voted against the proposal as written.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3267781502329869149

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 09:34:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!cyclone.bc.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 11:28:12 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 98
Message-ID: <3ADC6F1C.74F5@mobiletel.com>
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun02-port241.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 987524811 7221 209.16.79.241 (17 Apr 2001 16:26:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Apr 2001 16:26:51 GMT
Cc: mdwade@mobiletel.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone  (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19482

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>, Jim Weir
> <jim@rst-engr.com> writes:
>
> >
> >If Riley wants to nail some bastard that is setting off the emergency
> >channels,
> >I'm all for it.  But first we give the ratchetjaws some legitimate place to
> >go.
>
> Jim:
>
> I do not disagree with this philosophy.  I've long held that there needs
> to be adequate, if not abundant, spectrum available for what you so
> accurately describe as "incidental" communications.  Having said that,
> I must admit that I am not, and never have been, a Marine VHF user,
> but I thought there *was* adequate channel space for incidental comms.
> I know that there are dedicated channels for emergencies and ship-to-
> shore phone patches, and I believe that *any* deliberate interference
> on these channels should be adequately policed.  I'm just surprised
> that such an important service *isn't* being adequately policed.  In my
> own town (Dover, DE) I've seen several vehicles (not boats) equipped
> with the distinctive antennae used in Marine VHF.  These are
> vehicles that don't even have a trailer hitch on them!  I'm sure that
```

> this band is being systematically bootlegged by CB'ers seeking a
> cleaner, clearer, quieter venue for their activities.

Along the Gulf Coast from Texas to Florida the Shrimp Season is getting ready to begin. Along with this activity comes the "Kitchen Coastal" set ups and the indiscrimate use of 11/10 meters, 135 to 173 MHz, 220, 440 and The Good Lord only knows what else. The Explosion in this
phenomena was spurred on by the proliferation of "Modifiable" Radios which allow expanded frequency access. The Modifiable 2 meter radios are the
most popular since they can cover the Marine Band with 50+ watts! I have
heard commercial fishing activities as low as 136 MHz with the MARS/CAP Band being popular since it "appears" to be a quiet area. The 2 meter amateur band has its share of bootleggers but most activity seems to be driven away by any legitimate activity (for the most part).

Some stuff is scrambled with simple inversion scramblers being popular. The Marine Band (VHF) are a mess after the license requirements were lifted. The Cowboys have taken over and the appearance of no-enforcement has let radio cowboys run wild with the appearence of Land Mobiles, Kitchen Coastals and boats with high powered Modified Amateur Gear!

The Coast Guard is swamped and they don't have the time/resources to police this problem and the FCC is basically throwing out the Enforcement Letters to Amateurs and the bootlegger/freeband crowd is ignored, or so it seems.

The simple fact is: Licensing kept the BS under control, the FCC in the Name of "DEREGULATION" has let the Camel put his nose under the tent and allowed "Licnesing by rule" to take place with no method of accountability. (CREDIT TO HANS, K0HB, for the Camel story)

Congress should be the ones to be held accountable for this mess and the morass they caused by cutting funding of the FCC in years past. Since any monies FCC collects are put to the Federal Treasury and not allocated to the FCC, there is no incentive to enforce any problem areas unless political pressure is applied. Let the Fcc have a portion of the Fines they collect and enforcement would have some teeth.

>
> I think its time to provide "fill in the application blank" type of access
> to the amateur 2m, 220, and 440 bands. There's no longer any point in
> maintaining the fatuous farce of license testing for these frequencies,
> which are almost totally dominated by off-the-shelf handie-toys and
> plug-and-play mobile rigs, and operators who can barely comprehend
> the PTT switch, much less the operational theory behind them. GMRS
> isn't the answer because the repeater infrastructure isn't there. I
> exclude 6m from give-away licensing merely because it has many

> of the properties of 11 meters, namely reliable global propagation,
> which deserves the protection of more rigorous license examinations.
> I exclude 1.2 GHz and up because of the greater physical hazard
> of high power RF at those ranges which would require a more
> technically qualified user to prevent overexposure. Opening up the
> "mid-range" V/UHF bands would give the general public all the
> opportunity they needed to push a mic button and talk; and since
> they'd be licensed, required to use call signs, etc. there is still the
> enforcement sword hanging over their heads, unlike 11-meters.

The current licensing scheme is now just about that! See what has
happened to
CB!

>
> We've already reduced amateur license testing to the status of a
> joke; so why not get a real laugh and give the microphone jockeys
> their dream-come-true?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

From 936434450402033836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 10:33:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 17:32:47 GMT
References: <KOZC6.433$D4.37341@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Message-ID: <20010417133247.00837.00000951@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19483

>Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 04/17/01 12:02 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>> Then you can use ISP's which won't allow anyone to reply to
>> you via e mail.....

>Don't know of any such ISP..... more fish tales from the Lil' Guppy.

Sorry, Hans...it's happened. It's the truth.

> The Kingfisher

I doubt it, but if it makes you feel better to think it....

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

From 5424368479423161190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 10:34:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 17:33:44 GMT
References: <ojGC6.7783$FY5.651393@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010417133344.16316.00000183@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19484

In article <ojGC6.7783$FY5.651393@www.newsranger.com>, Hans<k0hb@qwest.net>
writes:

>In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, Steve Robeson LPN blurted
>out:
>
>> ....invoking the "perjorative" factor.
>
>Hell, Steve, you can't even *spell* "pejorative"!
>
>>"An America Not Preapred For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
>Time."
>
>Most everyone in the BSA can splell "prepared", but apparently not everyone
>in the USMC. It figures!

In this case "preapred" sounds too much like "priapic."

That figures, too!   :-)

From -9206284400944641238

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 10:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsflash.concordia.ca!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 372
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 17:33:48 GMT
References: <20010416003907.25353.00000133@nso-de.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010417133348.16316.00000184@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19485

In article <20010416003907.25353.00000133@nso-de.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>I wish to debate the methods used to derive conclusions. You don't want to
>debate. All you want to do, Len, is call names and repeat the same mistakes
>over and over.

Nope. I stated the source of my observations, gave some numbers.

From there on, Miccolis wanted a Battle To The Death in a
newsgroup. :-)

>Your "belief" in something does not make it a fact. I know people who believe
>in the Easter Bunny, but their belief does not mean I must agree with them.

There is a common thread among long-timers here in which
the True Beliefs of US amateur radio are stated as if reading
from a hymnal. See also Standards and Practices of the
1930s and 1940s. Same book, different cover.

>Another example of faulty process on your part, Len. You lump together all
>classes of amateur license with any HF privileges at all, EXCEPT Techncians
>with HF. But there is a BIG difference between the minimal Novice HF
>privileges
>(tiny slices of four HF bands, and only one mode on three of those bands)
>granted and those of the General and higher classes. (Generals have all
>privileges on 5 of the 9 HF/MF amateur bands, and the majority of the
>spectrum
>in the other 4 bands. And they have all mode privileges. The other classes
>are even better).

A True Belief labels as heresy anything against the True Belief, therefore all who express such "heresy" are "faulty," "in error," or "mistaken."

I was simply observing tabulations of US amateur radio license class changes since the end of June 2000 to the end of March 2001. Miccolis considered this "faulty," "mistaken," "error," etc. because I did not include changes that were not available, not tabulated.

>You agree, then, that your work is faulty. OK. Why should anyone accept your
>faulty work?

No agreement on "faulty work." I gave my sources and numbers. From there on a True Believer came out with charges of "fault," "error," and "mistake." :-)

>I don't like analysis METHODS that are faulty. Such as counting months twice,
>which you did.

Nope. Was counting only 10 months, as stated.

There was nothing wrong with my method. Some, like Miccolis, didn't like the results.

> Or ignoring the reclassification of Tech Pluses as Techs upon
>renewal, which you also did.

That's true. However, despite Miccolis' following demand of "fault," Miccolis did not adequately explain how many such reclassifications there were or their impact on my numbers.

>Or assuming that NO new Technicians upgraded in
>ten months, which you also did.

Those weren't mentioned. If there is "fault" in any analysis, then it is in Miccolis' failure to accept any observation that does not jibe with his True Beliefs.

>How do you know what I think? Can you read minds? Are you God? I don't think
>so.

I've never claimed any telepathic powers or divinity...not even to being some kind of "apostle" of a True Belief.

On the other hand, Miccolis keeps harping on "fault," "mistake," "error" based on nothing else but a failure to accept that this True Belief is not longer a creed of newcomers.

>What is really going on is that I have repeatedly shown that your analysis
>methods are faulty. So rather than correct them, or admit your mistake, or
>even try to defend them, you attack the messenger.

There was nothing "faulty" about the observation or interpretation.
The result of my observation may, to some (such as Miccolis),
appear to be heresy...therefore "faulty," "mistaken," full of "errors"
is repeated over and over and over and over again. Good "big lie"
technique in newsgroupieism.

>Textbook ad hominem. Which is faulty logic, too.

Nonsense. Repetition of "fault," "mistake," "error" does not
justify its validity.

>Buzzwords and bafflegab. Heck, those aren't even current buzzwords and
>bafflegab. Get with it, Len - "paradigms" is an '80s management buzzword.

Wrong. Error. Fault. Mistake. 1990s. :-)

>I didn't ask for it. All I want is answers to simple questions, but you don't
>give those. Because they are too embarrasing for you.

It appears Miccolis merely wants to prolong the issue by "loaded"
"simple questions." Good technique in newsgroupie battling. :-)

>How? If your numbers and methods are valid, explain and defend them against
>my critique. Show where MY analysis is faulty.

First of all, Miccolis started with a 12-month period beginning prior
to 15 April 2000. That would introduce errors for a post-restructure
observation (Miccolis wants to make the word "analysis" but
observation seems more semantically correct).

Miccolis refused to accept any buffer time in April or May 2000 in
order for FCC licensing and databases to become updated. At
one time Miccolis insisted that all must count all of April 2000
class data without giving any valid explanation.

Miccolis continues to ascribe everything in terms of "faulty,"
"mistaken," "error" etc. over and over and over again as if
that is a valid or justified counter-argument. Such is nothing
more than polite ad hominism.

> You haven't done that - all you do
>is edit out my proof of your errors and attack the messenger. Then you toss
>in some dirty words for some reason or other.

Miccolis' "proof" was considered in the obvious light of opinion at the time of trying to show that newcomers were somehow thronging to HF bands. My observation was simply on the class of license based on readily-available tabulations of publicly-available data. That data did not include precise numbers of changes in class over the 10-month period because such tabulations are no longer done at the website I used. Miccolis insisted that it "should be done" and then statedd that my observation was "faulty" because I did not use available data.

>Nope. I'm acting like a real engineer, who wants to see how the conclusions
>are derived, and who won't accept sloppy thinking and faulty handwaving
>processes.

Hmmm..."sloppy thinking" and "faulty handwaving." Is that the description of observation of tabulations of classes of amateur radio licenses over a stated period using information available to all?

>Worse yet, I won't be bullied into accepting something because somebody calls
>me names or uses scatalogical terms.

No one is trying to "bully" Miccolis...yet he is doing the same thing here with this (common to Miccolis) technique of "Battle To The Death" in long, long, long "critiques" charging "faulty," "mistaken," "full of error" statements. :-).

>>The trend of newcomers going to Technician was there before
>>restructuring. It continues.
>
>And as I have said dozens of times: NOBODY DENIES THAT!

I do not recall Miccolis saying that more than about five or six times. That is less than a dozen. [fault! error! mistake! :-)]

>> Further, against the grain of the
>>established ham conservatives, Techs are renewing as Techs.
>
>Most of them. Same as every other class. I've been renewing in the same
>license class for three decades.

Times well prior to April 15, 2000 are not acceptible in discussions about changes happening after April 15, 2000.

Bad "engineering," even a lot of "handwaving." :-)

>>Such is heresy against Conventional Wisdom (CW) of the
>>long-time ham conservatives.
>
>You said that - not me. Why do you deny that ANY of them upgrade?

Denial was not in any of my observations. :-)

Nor is the "explanation of what happens in a 'real engineering' environment" germane to any discussion of tabulated data of radio amateur licenses after April 15, 2000.

It would seem that Miccolis wanted to bring in a discussion from another thread involving "real" engineers, a false argument that branded anyone not state-licensed as Professional Engineers as being "not real" engineers. Invalid and irrelevant, although it did show the extent of trying to win this "Battle To The Death" on a subject in a newsgroup. :-)

>Real engineers don't fix what ain't broke. Real engineers don't reinvent the
>wheel. Real engineers don't accept things because somebody else says they
>"believe" those things.
>
>Real engineers are not bullied.

Ahem... "Real" engineers...who keep on receiving paychecks in one company...have to compromise to fit company standards. Or, if self-employed, do not try to tell potential customers that they are "wrong," "mistaken," "full of errors."   :-)

This forum is decidedly NOT any sort of "design review." Miccolis is not a "chief engineer" or even "senior staff engineer" "leading this 'design review.'" This forum is simply a discussion forum, free-form debate which usually takes on aspects of arguments and battles over Belief Systems of ethics and morality.   :-)
>
>> Avocations are NOT engineering.
>
>Many of them require engineering. When a ham puts up a tower, it better be
>engineered correctly, because if it falls down and causes damage, the fact
>that it's "avocational" doesn't mean a thing.

Irrelevant to the discussion-debate-argument subject. :-)

>> Amateur radio is an avocation.
>
>So? Your attempted diversion does not hide your mistakes or change my
>arguments against those mistakes.

There was nothing to "hide." No "mistakes" or "faulty methods" in "analysis" when the data source and conditions were explained in public in the beginning.

>The status quo is now one code test and three written tests.

True.

>The status quo is
>now that support for code testing is only kept alive by the treaty
>requirement.

Incorrect. All that has been said in regard to post-restructuring is that the FCC did not remove Element 1 (code test) due to ITU-R S25.5. Nothing at all was said by the FCC as to longevity of any code test.

>The status quo is that support for that treaty requirement is
>decreasing.

No. That is merely conjecture on Miccolis' part. There is no mention of any debate-discussion of ITU-R S25.5 in any agenda for WRC-2003. Until that happens the status quo of S25.5 is that it remains as-in.

>The status quo is that the code test will disappear shortly after
>the ITU meeting in 2003.

No again. Miccolis' sentence is simply a rewording of the previous sentence.

>They aren't? How many "newcomers" do YOU know? How do you derive such a
>sweeping statement? From your "beliefs"?

Irrelevant and unproveable by data. My conjecture has no "poll" or other data...no more than long-timer True Believers put up their own experiences as reasons-for-being of existing regulations.

>Nobody denies that, least of all me. Why do you spend so much bandwidth
>repeating the obvious, Len?

Why does Miccolis insist on "analyzing" each and every sentence in another's post?   :-)

>>radio amateurs are Technician class. The vast majority of that
>>class has not taken any morse code test
>
>Ahhhh - BUT SOME OF THEM HAVE! At least 7079 of them, and probaly many >more.
>Which means they are NOT all "noncodetested" or "non-HF licensees".

Please note what I wrote: "Vast majority" which does not mean "all." Vast majority is a subjective quantity but generally taken to mean more than half, usually more than three-quarters.

Miccolis' argument was shouted" "BUT SOME OF THEM HAVE!"
The word "some" is indeterminate, subjective, unquantifiable.

>Pure wish-fulfillment and speculation on your part, Len. Nothing else.

Speculation based on varied media and personal input.

>You
>don't know how many have taken the code test, so you ASSUME nobody has.

The exact, quantifiable numbers are not available on who took
a code test and who did not.

Note that I never wrote "nobody (of Technicians) had taken a code
test." Ergo, there can be NO "assumption" as charged.

>You deny the stated proof that thousands have taken the test.

Absolute nonsense, incorrect, faulty, and full of error statement.
No, absolutely NO such statement was made.

There is NO quantifiable data of any kind to state how many
radio operator code tests were failed, not taken, or anything
else. What the long-timer conservatives seem to insist is
that "thousands and thousands have taken a code test" as if
that "proves" something valid for any radio operator examination
in the future. Such a "claim" is based on OLD data of those
who have passed a code test. To remind everyone, this
subject is supposed to be about POST-restructuring, not some
"history" lesson.

>Of course. That's what they said. So? They said that 4 license classes was
>the best thing for ham radio in the NPRM, then dropped it to 3 in the R&O.
They
>had to issue an errata to the NPRM, and the errata had mistakes in it. They
say
>all kinds of things.

An NPRM, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making, simply outlines a
basis for Comment by interested parties. Final decisions are
explained in an R&O, the Report and Order.

"They say all kinds of things" is a non-sequitur about the FCC. The
FCC is the regulatory agency of US civil radio. All civil radio
licensees ("civil" in denoting non-government, non-military radio)
must obey the regulations of the FCC.

>Uh huh. Instead, they should buy YOUR "belief set", founded on hate of
>anything that you don't like.

Miccolis is again mistaken, faulty, and full of errors. I am simply
advocating a belief that a morse code test for an amateur radio

license is not needed for licensing purposes in regulation. The FCC agreed with that viewpoint in 1999 and in 1990.

>Who are you to tell newcomers what to believe? You can't even defend your own
>analysis processes here.

Who is Miccolis to tell newcomers what to believe? :-)

The only "defense" of a clearly-stated observation of post-restructuring license class changes was in regard to Miccolis' untrue, unproven, provoked charges of "mistakes," "fault," and "errors" which were not there in my posting..

>Try admitting your mistakes instead of attacking the messenger.

No problem. When I make a true error, I acknowledge it. I will not "acknowledge" any "false" errors charged by those who insist on responses that suit their opinions. Such is Management or Marketing technique, certainly not "real engineering."

```
From 4888483596160990586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 10:36:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 17:33:43 GMT
References: <20010415163851.10479.00000088@nso-fa.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010417133343.16316.00000182@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19486
```

In article <20010415163851.10479.00000088@nso-fa.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY), apparently in need of writing a very very long counter-argument writes:

>Do the vast majority of users of the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it
>exists today, obey the rules of that service?

As far as I can tell, yes. :-)

Have there been any "official polls" taken to "prove" one way or the other? If so, show the work.

Why does Miccolis ask? This is not the proper newsgroup to discuss citizens band radio.

>Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as an
>example of how that Service should conduct itself?

No. There are far too few channels for the number of users based on reception in the Greater Los Angeles region. That is a subjective opinion based on the number of "heterodynes" heard on each of the forty channels.

>Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as an
>example of how the Amateur Radio Service should be conducted?

No. Why would that even be a question, other than trolling?   :-)

Would Miccolis hold up US amateur radio service as the "proper" way to conduct citizens band radio service communications?
If so, why? Show the work.

Would Miccolis hold up US amateur radio service as the "proper" way to conduct Public Safety Radio Services, Aviation Radio Services, or Private Land Mobile Radio Services? If so, why?
Show the work.

From -4816082452478149530
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 10:37:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 17:35:57 GMT
References: <PK_C6.529$D4.46317@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Message-ID: <20010417133557.00837.00000952@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19487

>Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 04/17/01 1:06 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>I don't think Steve and Len will ever see how very much they have in
>>common...

>I'm not so sure. They're so much alike it's not hard to imagine
>that they are the same person, "arguing" back and forth with itself
>for the shear pleasure of being contrary.

This from the author of "The Lil Guppy" tale.

Pot/Kettle/Black...Sooooo Andersonian...except YOU are in Minnesota.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

From -7987315718476901852
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 10:39:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 17:33:42 GMT
References: <MPG.1544c1a1f643a1719896d2@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010417133342.16316.00000181@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19488

In article <MPG.1544c1a1f643a1719896d2@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>I'm looking forward to meeting the Lenn at his home QTH. Made the flight
>reservations from McCarren to LAX, although I am tempted to fly into one
>of the surrounding smaller airports on my own. I went out and bought a
>new digital Video camera. Fits in the palm of one hand. I plan on
>recording this meeting. Vegas odd are 3 to 5 on LennieNoShow

The above is a prime example of truly juvenile posturing.
The Anonymous poster has no overt identity but can be
found out later if necessary. The demand to "meet" in
order to "prove" anything is worthless, bogus, indicative of
nothing but posting intimidation and a monumental ego
that defies rational discussion, debate, or argument.

There will not be any "welcome" to such an individual at
my home or anywhere else based on this idiot's demands

of physical confrontation.

There will be no "meeting" with an imaginary persona, an alias, a work of fiction, a will 'o the wisp no more tangible than a whiff of marsh gas.

If US amateur radio is based on such individual demands of "showing" anything through physical intimidation, implied or real, then it has passed beyond any reasonable "service" to any citizenry, licensed or unlicensed.

```
From 3021192093506305109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5dd917e3f03db310,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 10:41:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!171.64.14.106!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Why are some of us hams?
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 13:32:06 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3ADC7E16.943DBEDD@psu.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19489
```

After hanging around this group for a while, I get the impression that there are a number of Hams who:

Are angry that the code requirement was dropped to 5WPM
Are angry at the test formats
Are angry at Technicians
are angry at the ARRL
are angry at the FCC
are angry at CB'ers
are angry at Freeband

Seriously, unless anger is an integral aspect of their persona, why on earth take part in a hobby that distresses them so much? I wouldn't have any part of something when I hated it.

- Mike -

```
From -3480094548904567193
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 10:46:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 17:44:35 GMT
References: <20010417133344.16316.00000183@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010417134435.00837.00000953@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19490
```

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/17/01 1:33 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Most everyone in the BSA can splell "prepared", but apparently not everyone
>>in the USMC. It figures!

>In this case "preapred" sounds too much like "priapic."

Only Lennie the Licenseless would think of that...not that I am surprised.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

```
From -2434345842784632O7
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 10:50:07 PST
Message-ID: <3ADC80C2.1282B296@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 12:43:30 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC554D.FBBABD92@IEEE.org> <9bhopp$52a$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987529035 64.240.47.59 (17 Apr 2001 12:37:15 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19491

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> Why not just stay to the issue: easing of requirements.

I am staying with the issue, Vince. Using transistors is easing the requirements. Using tubes makes everything harder. If we want to make ham radio harder to implement, let's just outlaw solid state devices along with the commercial ham transceivers that use them.

The US is moving to easier implementation of all communications, Vince. That's the predictable result of scientific advancement. That you want to keep hams quagmired in the dark ages of radio is a pitiful attitude and a sure way to completely kill the Amateur Radio Service.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3313918258493350528
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5dd917e3f03db310
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 10:55:24 PST
Message-ID: <3ADC8246.B7FBBEDA@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 12:49:58 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why are some of us hams?
References: <3ADC7E16.943DBEDD@psu.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987529423
64.240.47.59 (17 Apr 2001 12:43:43 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!195.161.0.203!
newsfeed.rt.ru!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19492

mike coslo wrote:
> ... unless anger is an integral aspect of their persona, ...

BINGO!
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7082771643160321353
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 11:01:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!news.mindspring.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-
state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 17 Apr 2001 17:57:26 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12

```
Message-ID: <9bi066$7sk$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADC80C2.1282B296@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987530246 8084 149.174.88.251 (17
Apr 2001 17:57:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Apr 2001 17:57:26 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19493

>The US is moving to easier implementation of all communications,
>Vince. That's the predictable result of scientific advancement.
>That you want to keep hams quagmired in the dark ages of radio
>is a pitiful attitude and a sure way to completely kill the
>Amateur Radio Service.

You're just getting yourself in deeper, Cecil: again, don't
forget _you're_ the one who states it's no longer necessary for a
ham to know basic electronics. Let alone advanced electronics.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG


From -3358178278458575586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 11:02:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 70
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 17:59:23 GMT
References: <20010417133343.16316.00000182@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010417135923.00837.00000954@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19494

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/17/01 1:33 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Do the vast majority of users of the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it
>>exists today, obey the rules of that service?

>As far as I can tell, yes.

     Further proof that you are an idiot.
```

You are again challenged to drive across town, or to any other locale within the "legal" 155 mile range allowed by Part 95 and then conduct a "legal" communication with Mrs. A.

>Have there been any "official polls" taken to "prove" one way or the
>other? If so, show the work.

Turn on a radio capable of receiving AM or SSB signals in the 26mHz to 28mHz range.

Connect an appropriate antenna.

Listen.

>>Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as an
>>example of how that Service should conduct itself?

>No. There are far too few channels for the number of users based
>on reception in the Greater Los Angeles region. That is a subjective
>opinion based on the number of "heterodynes" heard on each of the
>forty channels

Have there been any "official polls" to "prove" one way or the other? If so, show the work.

(Where have I heard THAT before...???)

>>Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as an
>>example of how the Amateur Radio Service should be conducted?

>No. Why would that even be a question, other than trolling?

YOU suggest that someone else's post is "trolling"...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>Would Miccolis hold up US amateur radio service as the "proper"
>way to conduct citizens band radio service communications?
>If so, why? Show the work.

Did "Miccolis" suggest it was? Does Anderson have any proof that it's NOT a proper way to conduct communications? Show the work.

>Would Miccolis hold up US amateur radio service as the "proper"
>way to conduct Public Safety Radio Services, Aviation Radio
>Services, or Private Land Mobile Radio Services? If so, why?
>Show the work.

Did "Miccolis" suggest it was? Does Anderson have nothing better to do with his "quality time" retirement than to drop his own trolling line in the RRAP waters? Can Lennie not form a question that falls into the "SO, Did you like having sex with your Mother?" category?

More Andersonianistic hatred and rhetoric. Not that we'd expect less.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

From 7812382640724657825
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 11:08:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <MPG.154650331c075c79896d4@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.1544c1a1f643a1719896d2@news.earthlink.net>
<20010417133342.16316.00000181@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 72
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:05:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.114.30
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987530703 63.208.114.30 (Tue, 17 Apr
2001 11:05:03 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 11:05:03 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 11:03:43 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19495

After extending the invitation...

>
> The anonymous one wishes a personal confrontation? Fine,
> she can then drop by my QTH and do just that.   :-)

In article <20010417133342.16316.00000181@nso-cu.aol.com>, Upyours Furher Anderschmidt aka Leona Anderdaughter (get it??!!) squirms like a fish on a hook after realizing the invitation has been accepted, then weasels his way out of it by typing....

>
> The above is a prime example of truly juvenile posturing.
> The Anonymous poster has no overt identity but can be

> found out later if necessary. The demand to "meet" in
> order to "prove" anything is worthless, bogus, indicative of
> nothing but posting intimidation and a monumental ego
> that defies rational discussion, debate, or argument.

That's you all right, the king of rational discussion, debate or argument. And the sun sets in the north and rises in the Sahara.

>
> There will not be any "welcome" to such an individual at
> my home or anywhere else based on this idiot's demands
> of physical confrontation.

translated....I prefer the cowards way out by hiding behind a keyboard and monitor. The only physical confrontation is in Leona's Mad Cow diseased brain. The fact to face was a a place of her choosing over coffee and Danish. And it wasn't a demand to meet you. You give yourself way too much credit..then again, you give yourself way too much credit for everything you do. I suggested a meeting, not demand.

I think the words coward in describing you conveys too much and would actually be offensive to the true cowards of the world. You more of a Word Warrior with pussy tendencies.

>
> There will be no "meeting" with an imaginary persona, an
> alias, a work of fiction, a will 'o the wisp no more
> tangible than a whiff of marsh gas.

Gee, imagine that. Like a little yapping dog. All bark, nothing else. I guess the Vegas oddmakers were right. That's OK. Everyone understands

> If US amateur radio is based on such individual demands
> of "showing" anything through physical intimidation, implied
> or real, then it has passed beyond any reasonable "service"
> to any citizenry, licensed or unlicensed.

Ohhhhh..Leona...having a bad PMS day of what. I assume you refer to yourself when you mention the unlicensed?? Freudian slip is showing there girlie. Better tuck that in...what would the neighbors think

Seig Lennie Sieg Lennie Remember April 30th

Stay safe behind locked doors there Leona...keep living in the past. You're safest there.

I think we've proven the point well enough.

Game, set, match

--
DX is a way of life to some

A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

```
From 5241573432766616770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 11:08:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 11:07:41 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3ADC866D.60C952A7@firstinter.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <MPG.153fb46a68341219896af@news.earthlink.net>
<20010413155613.14152.00000001@nso-cs.aol.com>
<3ada5bda$0$12821$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3ada83f3.512621894@news2.dvol.com>
<3adae7aa$0$12829$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9beuf9$d1c$0@208.206.142.88>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 19
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19496
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Larry Klose wrote:
> >
> > The Morse code exam requirement is unnecessary,
> > not in the public interest, and not in conformity
> > with 5 USC 706.
>
> Your opinion, Larry, and there are plenty of others which do not agree.

But not enough to save the Morse cw pass/fail test, either
internationall, or here in the US. The trend is clearly the other
direction. Get on the bandwagon, or get run over by it. Morse is just
another mode of choice, as it should be. Making people "work" at it is
no longer operable.

Rick Tannehill - W7RT

From -6193006204296831511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 11:10:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 18:08:41 GMT
References: <20010417133342.16316.00000181@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010417140841.00837.00000955@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19497

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/17/01 1:33 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>There will be no "meeting" with an imaginary persona, an
>alias, a work of fiction, a will 'o the wisp no more
>tangible than a whiff of marsh gas

Lennie, you arrogant twit, you have NO IDEA who you have ALREADY had "meetings" with!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>If US amateur radio is based on such individual demands
>of "showing" anything through physical intimidation, implied
>or real, then it has passed beyond any reasonable "service"
>to any citizenry, licensed or unlicensed.

And once again, Lennie the Creep is reminded that the going's on in this NG are NOT "Amateur Radio".

Furthermore, if not for his own snotty nosed, snide, and irreverent insults that he insists on spewing under the guise of his "First Amendment Rights", he would not engender such emotions.

You can only slap the hornet's nest so many times before you get stung.

You are SUCH a PUTZ!

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

From 5312273186879574982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 11:21:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!129.250.35.146!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbxzmg3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3ADAB2F9.AEB584B6@sccoast.net> <20010416072624.26979.00000258@nso-fo.aol.com> <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com> <3adbce87.597240473@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Lines: 23
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:19:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 987531595 206.58.44.8 (Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:19:55 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:19:55 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19498

On Tue, 17 Apr 2001 13:52:14 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

>Boats doan need to tune a
>neighborhood CG clearance delivery freq to find out when it's their
>turn to shove off from the dock and get undeway.

In major harbors and waterways there are USCG Vessel Traffic System channels as well as Channel 13 - Bridge-to-Bridge (Guard) channel - that must be monitored and used as appropriate. Even though it's optional for small craft, it's a smart thing to do, lest the Oceanic Princess cut you in half when your back is turned....

It's common to see three or four VHF radios working on the bridge of compulsory-equipped vessels who must monitor 13, 16, VTS, and whatever working frequency they use.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -4883422736968240158
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 11:22:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
feeder.kornet.net!howland.erols.net!peer.news.verio.net.MISMATCH!iad-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbxz752.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com> <3ADC6F1C.74F5@mobiletel.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Lines: 38
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:19:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 987531593 206.58.44.8 (Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:19:53
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:19:53 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19499

On Tue, 17 Apr 2001 11:28:12 -0500, Martin wrote:

>The simple fact is: Licensing kept the BS under control, the FCC
>in the Name of "DEREGULATION" has let the Camel put his nose under
>the tent and allowed "Licnesing by rule" to take place with no
>method of accountability. (CREDIT TO HANS, K0HB, for the Camel story)
>Congress should be the ones to be held accountable for this mess and
>the morass they caused by cutting funding of the FCC in years past.

Burdening the FCC with a lot of non-communications-radio
regulatory requirements and then started siphoning operating
funds away with "instructions" to "prioritize" -- which means
that anything that doesn't happen in Washington doesn't happen
period.

Right on the money. It still hurts.

>Since any monies FCC collects are put to the Federal Treasury and not
>allocated to the FCC, there is no incentive to enforce any problem
>areas unless political pressure is applied. Let the Fcc have a
>portion of the Fines they collect and enforcement would have some

>teeth.

Just look at the smelly mess in questionable drug asset
forfeitures and other "procedures" that local law enforcement
gets into when they are able to keep a portion of asset
forfeiture "proceeds" as if it was "income".

I would rather keep the Federal system honest and make The
Congress shoulder its responsibility to fund the FCC from
general revenue, not from "future" asset forfeitures.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -146976275829452560
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 11:22:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!
sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbxyip1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
<3ADAB2F9.AEB584B6@sccoast.net> <3ADBA6C3.3573@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Lines: 26
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:19:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 987531594 206.58.44.8 (Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:19:54
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:19:54 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19500

On Mon, 16 Apr 2001 22:13:23 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>Dwight,
>
>While it is not *exactly* the same thing, but I wonder how much time

>and money is spent responding to accidental activations of EPIRBS?
>As you likely know, we (CAP) spend an inordinate amount of time responding to ELTs that turn out to be the result of, perhaps, a hard landing.
>Like the false distress calls, each one must be responded to and dealt
>with under the assumption that it is the real thing.

Hanging on my wall is the famous "WOLF" poster that came out several years ago....97 instances of the word "WOLF" in black letters neatly arranged in a 5 X 20 array on the sheet with three instances of the word "HELP" in red letters interspersed.

97% of all EPIRB/ELT alerts are false alarms. I've done my share of df-ing and silencing them, too.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 3346563305759196533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 11:24:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!hermes2.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gbxy1a0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbunoi2.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<oGtC6.85$gd.5930@eagle.america.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Lines: 12
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:19:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 987531592 206.58.44.8 (Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:19:52 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:19:52 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19501

On Sun, 15 Apr 2001 23:30:18 -0400, The Sheriff wrote:

> errrr, Phil, do you compredo standard English?
>mr Public clearly indicates he turns in perps he finds on
>the marine bands. jump to conclusions often Phil?

Gee...perps that I turn in get nailed. Maybe the approach (or
to whom and how they are turned into) needs to be fine-tuned.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 5268404962443169374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 11:42:24 PST
Message-ID: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 13:39:27 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can. And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC80C2.1282B296@IEEE.org> <9bi066$7sk$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987532393
64.240.47.59 (17 Apr 2001 13:33:13 -0500)
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!213.24.141.54!
newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19502

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>

> >The US is moving to easier implementation of all communications,
> >Vince. That's the predictable result of scientific advancement.
> >That you want to keep hams quagmired in the dark ages of radio
> >is a pitiful attitude and a sure way to completely kill the
> >Amateur Radio Service.
>
> You're just getting yourself in deeper, Cecil: again, don't
> forget _you're_ the one who states it's no longer necessary for a
> ham to know basic electronics. Let alone advanced electronics.

It is no longer necessary for you, as the driver of a vehicle, to know how to manually crank the engine or advance the spark.

If you were dying, would you turn down help from a ham who doesn't know Ohm's law but is a darned competent emergency operator?

IMO, the only valid reason for the continued existence of the ARS is to provide emergency communications. It is not necessary to know Ohm's law in order to provide emergency communications. It is, however, necessary to have enough emergency operators to provide emergency communications.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From 4943812245165877836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5dd917e3f03db310
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 11:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 73
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 18:48:20 GMT
References: <3ADC7E16.943DBEDD@psu.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Why are some of us hams?
Message-ID: <20010417144820.11504.00001021@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19503
```

>Subject: Why are some of us hams?
>From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>Date: 04/17/01 1:32 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>After hanging around this group for a while, I get the impression that
>there are a number of Hams who:

>Are angry that the code requirement was dropped to 5WPM

Nope...More folks to chat with.

>Are angry at the test formats

Not angry, but distressed that we do not have a program that reinforces LEARNING over MEMORIZATION.

>Are angry at Technicians

Nope...More folks to chat with

>are angry at the ARRL

Not me.

>are angry at the FCC

Not me.

>are angry at CB'ers

Not if they are operating legally. Of course if they are not, they are:

>are angry at Freeband

I am angry at anyone who takes by force something that is not theirs, whether it is the family silver or electromagnetic spectrum.

>Seriously, unless anger is an integral aspect of their persona, why on
>earth take part in a hobby that distresses them so much? I wouldn't have
>any part of something when I hated it.

No aspect of life is without controverrsy or debate, Mike. The number two cause of war in the world is religious intolerance, yet if you ask anyone what is so IMPORTANT about religion, it is love and acceptance...Go figure. Amateur Radio is no different.

This NG is NOT Amateur Radio. It is (for the most part) a forum for discussing that which we really care about, and for some people, a means for discussing that which they are not otherwise able to do so.

TRUE Amateur Radio is ABOUT radios. That's NOT what this NG is about, protestations of some posters to the contrary. It is very much about pontificating, "puffery", postulating, procrastinating and pointless pandering. We are ALL guilty of it. Some are even GOOD at it!

And for SOME....for discussing things in a manner in which they would not discuss things on the air!

If you are not already licensed, TRY IT! I have been a Ham for almost 30 years now and it is an ongoing love affair and learning experience. There is always something new and exciting to do.

73 and good luck.

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

From 4989217288153661920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 12:10:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!newsengine.sol.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 17 Apr 2001 19:08:46 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987534526 13715 149.174.88.240 (17 Apr 2001 19:08:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Apr 2001 19:08:46 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19504

>If you were dying, would you turn down help from a ham who
>doesn't know Ohm's law but is a darned competent emergency
>operator?

I'd accept help from a 'ham' who was the WORST emergency operator of all time, Cecil. Or just a civilian with a 'walkie talkie.' Your argument makes absolutely no sense in the context of ham radio. The issue is easing of requirements.

>IMO, the only valid reason for the continued existence of the
>ARS is to provide emergency communications. It is not necessary

>to know Ohm's law in order to provide emergency communications.

It's not necessary to know anything to provide good OR bad
emergency communications. Ham radio is not unique in providing
emergency communications. That's a major reason Amateur Radio is
basically toast today, as Vic Clark understood well.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -1562753863382246828
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 12:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 12:43:52 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19505

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> The issue is easing of requirements.

What reason do you think the FCC has for wanting to ease the
requirements?

73, Jim AC6XG

From 187816091934848431
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 13:17:18 PST
Message-ID: <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 15:15:10 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987538136 64.240.47.59 (17 Apr 2001 15:08:56 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19506

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> The issue is easing of requirements.

That's exactly what I'm discussing, Vince. Would you accept help from a ham who entered ham radio under eased requirements? Can a ham who knows Ohm's law save your life any better than a ham who doesn't know Ohm's law?

> It's not necessary to know anything to provide good OR bad
> emergency communications.

Well, that's obviously an overstatement but I'm glad that you agree with me that halitosis is better than no breath at all.

Times are changing, Vince, and progress happens. You either learn to live with that fact or get buried in the sands of time. Integrated circuits have made it unnecessary for a ham to know how integrated circuits work just as modern automobiles have made it unnecessary for you to know how to manually advance the spark.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3290501135403603453
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 13:20:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 15:16:13 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <3ADCA48D.676C@mobiletel.com>
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com> <20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com> <3ADC6F1C.74F5@mobiletel.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.gbxz752.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun02-port241.cajunnet.com
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 987538490 11227 209.16.79.241 (17 Apr 2001 20:14:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Apr 2001 20:14:50 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19507

Phil Kane wrote:
>
>
>   Burdening the FCC with a lot of non-communications-radio
>   regulatory requirements and then started siphoning operating
>   funds away with "instructions" to "prioritize" -- which means
>   that anything that doesn't happen in Washington doesn't happen
>   period.
>
>   Right on the money. It still hurts.
>
And because it doesn't happen in Washington, IT HAPPENS HERE!

> >Since any monies FCC collects are put to the Federal Treasury and not
> >allocated to the FCC, there is no incentive to enforce any problem
> >areas unless political pressure is applied. Let the Fcc have a
> >portion of the Fines they collect and enforcement would have some
> >teeth.
>
>   Just look at the smelly mess in questionable drug asset

> forfeitures and other "procedures" that local law enforcement
> gets into when they are able to keep a portion of asset
> forfeiture "proceeds" as if it was "income".
>
True its smelly.

> I would rather keep the Federal system honest and make The
> Congress shoulder its responsibility to fund the FCC from
> general revenue, not from "future" asset forfeitures.
>
Must have carrot, must have money, fund the agencies that produce money
and allow them to do their job.

PHIL,
The New Orleans FCC Office has 3 people (field) which covers the Gulf
Coast from LA-TEX Line to the Panhandle of FLA and the whole States
of Louisiana, Mississippi, LA (Lower Alabama)(LOCAL JOKE), Arkansas
with assistance from Dallas and the Panhandle of FLA as well as
the Port of New Orleans. I watched an Agent speak loviningly of when his
retirement time will come, 90% of the cases are Phone Co related,
5% Tower stuff and the rest is putting misc fires. They work the
interference cases and the bootleggers when they can.

73 de Martin N5PZJ

> --
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 6802431084477257652
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 13:22:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 15:17:42 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can. And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987538286 64.240.47.59 (17 Apr 2001 15:11:26 -0500)

Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news!news.he.net!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19508

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> > The issue is easing of requirements.
>
> What reason do you think the FCC has for wanting to ease the
> requirements?

To keep the function of the ARS alive and healthy in the face of
stiff competition. (I know you didn't ask me but what the hey)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5811280045285291896
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 13:24:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!
135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
In-Reply-To: <yuUC6.1758$3n4.1362918@nntp2.onemain.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104172018440.27316-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3ADB3033.D5ECC23A@IEEE.org> <20010417003915.25196.00000112@nso-
fk.aol.com> <yuUC6.1758$3n4.1362918@nntp2.onemain.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 13
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 20:18:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.29
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 987538717 12.80.44.29 (Tue, 17 Apr 2001 20:18:37 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 20:18:37 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19509

On Tue, 17 Apr 2001, Richard McCollum wrote:
> When Constantine, for whatever reason, made Christianity official lots of
> things got co-opted. Imagine the local version of Father Emil down at Our
> Lady of Perpetual Responsibility a Sunday or two after Connie dropped the
> bomb. 'You got an Earth Mother, padre?'
>
> Taking the easy path of co-opting the locals is probably the only way to
> get the job done. But it does confuse hell out later generations. Given
> that Halloween is now second only to Christmas for selling stuff, maybe
> later generations deserve it.

"Co-opted" or CORRUPTED?

From -3466623103190317767
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 13:26:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 13:19:08 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3ADCA53C.BD983A8C@uci.edu>
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com> <20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com> <3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79> <3ADBBDD4.D34F386C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19510

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The acquisition of technical knowledge represents work, ...
>
> Not for me, Dick. For me, it represents fun. You should try
> it sometime. You might even enjoy it.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

We know that force is involved. So if there is no work, then distance
must be equal to zero.

:-) AC6XG

From -8332614287390148263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 13:33:24 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 20:24:45 GMT
Message-ID: <3adca61b.20063846@news2.dvol.com>
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com>
<OPYC6.353$D4.29513@www.newsranger.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.16
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.16
X-Trace: 17 Apr 2001 15:21:30 -0500, 64.24.17.16
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19511

On Tue, 17 Apr 2001 14:55:42 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:

>He kicked the camel and tried to make himself comfortable. After
>a while the camel said "Oh, Master, If thou art crowded, thou
>canst leave".
>
One of the oldest chunks of wisdom ever. Fuggem, keep the lines in the

sand.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>
w3rv

From -354502664900792540
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 13:58:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 17 Apr 2001 20:54:14 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9biahm$htp$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987540854 18361 149.174.88.254 (17
Apr 2001 20:54:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 17 Apr 2001 20:54:14 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19512

>That's exactly what I'm discussing, Vince. Would you accept help
>from a ham who entered ham radio under eased requirements? Can
>a ham who knows Ohm's law save your life any better than a ham
>who doesn't know Ohm's law?

And again, whether it's a ham or not, the issue is about ham
radio, not about who is supplying emergency communications. It's
called ham radio because an understanding of 'radio' is implied,
and indeed, ham radio is officially defined as such.

>Integrated circuits have made it unnecessary for a ham to know
>how integrated circuits work just as modern automobiles have
>made it unnecessary for you to know how to manually advance the
>spark.

Integrated circuits contain oscillators and amplifiers, passive
components, and so on, and I expect hams to know what they are
and how they work if we're talking about 'ham radio.' No
matter what new device they're in. Until ham radio is officially
redefined, that is, not redefined in de-facto fashion.

Regards,

Vince, WB2EZG

From -1077133730541692488
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 14:14:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 21:12:06 GMT
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Message-ID: <20010417171206.08413.00001250@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19513

>Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
>From: "Public Anonymous_Account " <remailer@xganon.com>
>Date: 04/15/01 4:21 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I got news for you. I'm in commercial radio.

You clean the floors at WKRP in Cincinnatti...??? Can't be anyone improtant, since there's NO name here. Just another anonymous coward trying to impress someone with thier "Hot Tip" newsgripe flash.

>We find people all the time using cheap marine radios for CB style
>communication, both local and long distance, even kids using it as a hobby,
>like CB, just to screw around with. THERE IS NO FEDERAL ENFORCEMENT.

Then they are falling down on the job there, but in the Chattanooga area last summer, 15 people were cited for the very same thing, all but three using marine radios for a taxi service.

There IS federal enforcement.

>They don't care

Yes they do.

Try some real facts and real situations. The rest is as ficticious as your "name".

Steve, K4YZ

From 7827696700965046062
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 14:32:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 21:28:14 GMT
References: <20010413135601.24219.00000018@nso-fm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010417172814.08413.00001252@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19514

>Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/13/01 1:56 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Where is "the math flawed," nursie? Are 59 out of 16,029 new
>Technician class licensees "significantly" from Tech-Plus
>renewals?

Lennie, prove to me where those who are renewing are all "just" Technicians, or have passed the code test at a VE session and are carrying an CSCE as authorization.

You have an unknown variable that you cannot quantify short of complete polling of every current Technician Class Amateur. Are YOU going to expend those funds?

>Are there really more HF-privileged licensees on the air after
>restructuring took place on April 15, 2000? If so, how can you
>"prove" that? By insulting others again?

I don't have to insult anyone to remind everyone with a junior high school math education that where there is an undefined variable, you have an unknown result.

>Where is "the math flawed," nursie?

In Southern California, it seems.

Nitey night, Lennie. Have an extra swig on the Geritol on me tonight.

From -1298532279464333623
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e9e14d658d0a2410,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 14:54:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!bignews.mediaways.net!
news.man.poznan.pl!pwr.wroc.pl!panorama.wcss.wroc.pl!news.man.lodz.pl!
newsfeed1.telenordia.se!algonet!newspeer.highwayone.net!colt.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newscon02.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!
cyclone.swbell.net!nnrp3.sbc.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "rdalton1" <rdalton1@postoffice.swbell.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Form 610 wasn't good enough!
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <4U2D6.47$gB6.41590@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 16:45:01 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.193.13.193
X-Complaints-To: abuse@swbell.net
X-Trace: nnrp3.sbc.net 987544192 207.193.13.193 (Tue, 17 Apr 2001 16:49:52 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 16:49:52 CDT
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19515

After recently moving I sent in a form 610 with a change of address.WHAT? It
came back....
oopps! WRONG FORM..need form 605.I get this thick packet(ER! package?) It's
a book!
NOPE..it's the form 605. Maw call the attorney,need some help reading this
book er..uh! form.
So much for the paperwork reduction act
Life!! Ain't it wonderful

From -4656529163892148532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 15:09:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ADCBE64.195F@bellsouth.net>

From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
<3ADAB2F9.AEB584B6@sccoast.net> <3ADBA6C3.3573@bellsouth.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbxyip1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 79
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:06:28 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.78.16.78
X-Trace: news1.atl 987545162 216.78.16.78 (Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:06:02 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:06:02 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19516

Phil,

I am certainly no stranger to the ELT/EPIRB searches. In the vein of this thread, it is alarming to me the rate at which bootleggers of all sorts seem to be operating with impunity anywhere they please. As an active CAP member, licensed to operate on CAP/USAF frequencies and a ham as well, I am disturbed. While we sit here and discuss the ramifications of various bands, no one seems to be attempting to do something about it. It would seem to me that we, as a group, should start the ball rolling by flooding our Congress with letters carefully explaining the problems that this can cause. We must make them aware that all is not well in River City. FCC MUST be adequately funded to go after those outlaws who operate on frequencies for which they are not licensed.

Some years ago (ah, for the old days of an FCC with TEETH!), there was a search in my area for a light plane that disappeared in a thunderstorm. We set up our communications, using VHF-FM repeaters for coordinating several search bases scattered about a 70 mile radius, and a 26 MHZ frequency for low power "incidental" comms. This was a reliever frequency used for flight line to ops, personnel to ground ops, and even sending out for sandwiches. It soon became evident that we were going to have trouble, for there was a high powered CB station a-jawin' away right on top of us. With the 5 watts we were using, it was impossible to use it for more than a few yards away! I was absolutely enraged at this intrusion. At that time we were governed by FCC rules rather than the NTIA as we are now, and we were prevented from asking the guy to move (can't talk directly to an illegal station). I doubt he would've anyway. I hunted for this fellow for a couple weeks after. One day, I happened to travel to a small town just a few miles from here to drop off a person who needed a ride home. I turned on my radio and was instantly greeted with a loud, booming signal that had my meter pinned hard over. Same voice! I had no DF equipment with me at the time, but I KNEW this guy was within a couple miles. I stopped at the local town police station and asked the dispatcher to come listen to see if he knew who that was. As soon as he did, he said, "Sure, that's XXXXX and he lives on Alabama Ave". I drove over there, and sure enough, there was a tower with a set of "moonraker" beams in the yard. I went home and returned with a tape recorder, sat in front of his house across the street for a bit getting enough "sound bytes" to bite him in the a$$. I sent the info off to the Wing Communications Officer. The following Monday, I'm told a couple of well dressed gentlemen and a US Marshall knocked on his door! He lost his equipment and his "exchequer" was considerable lighter!

That is the sort of response it will take to get these lawless morons to abide by the law. The laws are there to allow for the efficient use of the spectrum by all who have need. These people not only are are ignorant of the concept of Frequency management, but they only care about their own convenience.

It may be a dream, but I hold the hope that FCC will soon be fitted with a new set of TEETH!!

73

Jerry
K4KWH

Phil Kane wrote:

>
> Hanging on my wall is the famous "WOLF" poster that came out several years ago....97 instances of the word "WOLF" in black letters neatly arranged in a 5 X 20 array on the sheet with three instances of the word "HELP" in red letters interspersed.
>
> 97% of all EPIRB/ELT alerts are false alarms. I've done my share of df-ing and silencing them, too.
>
> --
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 2468384417602795635
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 15:51:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!

```
chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader3-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ADC554D.FBBABD92@IEEE.org> <9bhopp$52a$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:39:23 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3adcc652$0$18888$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: f04d5310.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=lZ@\eK><@Fhb0EN^dS;Ude@h7X@\0oLOm[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgoVbOl:c705elBFDaL\d^ne8
9B\ma\Vaec
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19517


"Vincent Biancomano" <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote in message
news:9bhopp$52a$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
> Why not just stay to the issue: easing of requirements.

The issue is easing (deleting) ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47 CFR 97.503(a).

Ok Vince, do you understand it now.

Larry KC8EPO




From 2969728605415232025
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 16:02:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson  K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 23:00:17 GMT
```

References: <20010416074138.06622.00000728@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Message-ID: <20010417190017.11407.00001040@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19518

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
>Date: 04/16/01 7:41 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 04/13/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Nursie thinks that differing opinions are "boorish."

>Only when delivered by those who insist on refering to anyone who
>disagrees with THIER opinions as being pro-neonazi...such as YOURSELF, for
>example.

Allow me to correct myself: Opinions are not boorish...People are. One in particular, Lennie...YOU!

Now go back to bed, Lennie.

"A Man Never Stood So Tall As He Who Knelt To Help A Child"

Screw China. That's What Happens When You Send a Coolie To Do A Pilot's Job

From -4193031655676513115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 16:27:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!upp1.onvoy!msc1.onvoy!onvoy.com!tethys.csu.net!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 16:19:20 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3ADCCF78.B29673C3@uci.edu>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu> <3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19519

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> > > The issue is easing of requirements.
> >
> > What reason do you think the FCC has for wanting to ease the
> > requirements?
>
> To keep the function of the ARS alive and healthy in the face of
> stiff competition. (I know you didn't ask me but what the hey)
> --

Well, that's an excellent answer. But it sounds a bit contrived - to fit a particular circumstance. And I seriously doubt that's the answer Vince would have given.

One would hope the FCC cares about the health of the ARS. But the idea seems a bit pollyannaish to be coming from a federal agengy. Do you have anything in the way of fact that might lend support to such a notion?

73, Jim AC6XG

From 3957128628550723039
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 16:35:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 16:23:29 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3ADCD071.8C94D967@uci.edu>
References: <20010416074138.06622.00000728@ng-md1.aol.com>
<20010417190017.11407.00001040@ng-ch1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19520

Steven J Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> Screw China. That's What Happens When You Send a Coolie To Do A Pilot's Job

Yikes, Steve.

I liked Chuck Yeagers saying better: "There are old pilots, and there
are bold pilots, but there are no old, bold pilots."

73, Jim AC6XG

From 8757905311519584924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 16:39:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 Apr 2001 23:36:10 GMT
References: <20010417121902.26066.00000486@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Message-ID: <20010417193610.10479.00000187@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19521

In article <20010417121902.26066.00000486@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>WHY would any director want to keep their vote on the issue secret?
>
>I don't know; Tom has never declined to discuss his views or his votes with
>me.
> He knows I vote, too. :-)

Same for my directors - present and past, going back at least as far as W3ABC.
>
>There is only one valid reason I can see that the Board could choose not to

>air
>the votes of individual Directors. The Board has a policy that all Directors
>will support the final votes of the Board. Even those that voted against the
>S25 position, or would have seen it written differently, will support the end
>result, as part of an organization. I could see some difficulty in an
>individual Director saying "I voted against it, but I support the policy of
>the
>organization."

Sorry, Ed, I'm not convinced. If the vote is made public, nobody has to ask
their director, because they already know the answer. No director would ever
have to say "I voted against it, but I support the policy of the organization"
if the vote was public.
>
>Of those that voted against it, it is still unknown why they did so.

Voted against what? The proposal to eliminate support for S25.5, or the
proposal to have a rollcall vote?

>Did theyfeel it went too far, or not far enough? If I were on the Board
(Director
>Hare
>-- does that have a ring to it, or what?), I may have voted against the
>proposal as written.
>
I think there should have been more time allocated to getting the word out, and
more publicity about the agenda item.

I think one factor driving this change was the Region 3 IARU meeting. Another
was the final form of the R&O, and the comments of the FCC. Better to devote
one's resources to things like the 40 meter issue, where there's a chance of
winning.

You gonna collect on that lobster bet or what?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -2454445841187269158
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e9e14d658d0a2410
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 16:50:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <4U2D6.47$gB6.41590@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Subject: Re: Form 610 wasn't good enough!
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <bx4D6.797$lp4.325180@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:41:04 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.3.67.18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 987550919 207.3.67.18 (Tue, 17 Apr 2001 19:41:59 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 19:41:59 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19522

"rdalton1" <rdalton1@postoffice.swbell.net> wrote in message
news:4U2D6.47$gB6.41590@nnrp3.sbc.net...
> After recently moving I sent in a form 610 with a change of
address.WHAT? It
> came back....
> oopps! WRONG FORM..need form 605.I get this thick packet(ER! package?)
It's
> a book!
> NOPE..it's the form 605. Maw call the attorney,need some help reading
this
> book er..uh! form.
> So much for the paperwork reduction act
> Life!! Ain't it wonderful
>
>

Wait til you try to download it from FCC.gov. You get 60 pages of which
pages 9&10 are the only thing you really need along with the fact that
Block 1 should be 'HA'. The 605 is the one-form (with suitable addenda)
does all approach. You get real familiar real quick with printer
instructions.

Dick N�BK

From -9217800006360027281
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 17:18:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!falcon.america.net!
eagle.america.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Schummer" <lksradio@mailcity.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
<20010417171206.08413.00001250@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Distribution: x-no-archive: yes

Lines: 48
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <F15D6.131$m31.8372@eagle.america.net>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 20:17:36 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.22.236.197
X-Trace: eagle.america.net 987552997 63.22.236.197 (Tue, 17 Apr 2001 20:16:37 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 20:16:37 EDT
Organization: 24hoursupport.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19523

NOTHING BUT WISHFUL THINKING ON YOUR PART,
just another typical ham op, full of hot air and bullshit, all lies and
no facts.   I have yet to meet a ham that doesn't lie.

"Steven J Robeson K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010417171206.08413.00001250@ng-mq1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
> >From: "Public Anonymous_Account " <remailer@xganon.com>
> >Date: 04/15/01 4:21 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >I got news for you. I'm in commercial radio.
>
>   You clean the floors at WKRP in Cincinnatti...??? Can't be anyone
> improtant, since there's NO name here. Just another anonymous coward
trying to
> impress someone with thier "Hot Tip" newsgripe flash.
>
> >We find people all the time using cheap marine radios for CB style
> >communication, both local and long distance, even kids using it as a
hobby,
> >like CB, just to screw around with. THERE IS NO FEDERAL ENFORCEMENT.
>
>   Then they are falling down on the job there, but in the Chattanooga
area
> last summer, 15 people were cited for the very same thing, all but three
using
> marine radios for a taxi service.
>
>   There IS federal enforcement.
>
> >They don't care
>
>   Yes they do.
>
>   Try some real facts and real situations. The rest is as ficticious
as
> your "name".

>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>

From -4129331477159566099
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 17:33:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!falcon.america.net!
eagle.america.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Schummer" <lksradio@mailcity.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
<20010417171206.08413.00001250@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Distribution: x-no-archive: yes
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Oe5D6.136$m31.8399@eagle.america.net>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 20:31:38 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.22.236.197
X-Trace: eagle.america.net 987553838 63.22.236.197 (Tue, 17 Apr 2001 20:30:38 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 20:30:38 EDT
Organization: 24hoursupport.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19524

"Steven J Robeson K4YZ" <stevenrobeson@aol.com> wrote in message:
blah, blah, blah <bullshit snipped>

Oh my, oh my, 15 people caught in a fucking dragnet
in red neck town Chattanooga. Please do share more of
your urban legend with us......

From 6781152540677607774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 17:40:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 00:36:18 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9bini2$sc1$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3adcc652$0$18888$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987554178 29057 149.174.88.251 (18 Apr 2001 00:36:18 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 00:36:18 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19525

>>Why not just stay to the issue: easing of requirements.

>The issue is easing (deleting) ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47
>CFR 97.503(a).

>Ok Vince, do you understand it now.

>Larry KC8EPO

Absolutely. The issue is easing of requirements,
any which way you twist or turn it, including
through the 'regulations.' For FCC's R&O makes
that quite clear.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 9173566737041140907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 17:45:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 18 Apr 2001 00:41:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9binrs$art$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <3ADBBD6D.A67DDF4D@IEEE.org> <20010417075958.05102.00000574@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19526



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >> > I don't believe in work. I believe in getting things accomplished with
> >> > the least amount of effort. It's called efficiency.
> >>
> >>   *THAT* is called bullshit.
> >
> >To believe that labor saving devices are bullshit must mean that you
> >own a horse instead of a motor vehicle.
>
> That's his story and he's sticking to it.


 A testing system that demands little or no learning for an examinee to
acheive
every level of licensing. Very efficient.

 Yes indeed, that's my story and I'm sticking to it.


From 6205275225096143695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 17:47:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!
usenet
```

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 18 Apr 2001 00:42:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9bint4$art$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com>
<20010417080105.00830.00001042@ng-fc1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19527

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
> >From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
> >Date: 04/16/01 7:36 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >Please point to ANY federal licensing requirement that directly
> >or indirectly addresses any need for the applicant to expend
> >"work" to obtain the license.
>
>   More arguing of semantics. Are you guys REALLY this bored...?!?!
>
> > Work, as a product of itself,
> >is not now nor has it ever been an amateur licensing
> >criteria.
>
>   Waste of bandwidth, Bill. I expect better of you.
>

I can't imagine why.

From 7283904368066601474
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 17:52:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 00:48:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9bio88$bnm$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd6u$joi$2@208.206.142.79> <3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org> <3adc3068$0$12826$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19528 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15373 rec.radio.swap:29130

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org...
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Fools never learn. It's what the code is traded for that is the fatal
> > > flaw.
> >
> > It's not traded for anything. As an entrance requirement, it is simply
> > outdated and therefore is in the act of being dropped.
>
> No replacement is necessary to delete a regulation that is
> unnecessary, not in the public interest,and not in conformity
> with 5 USC 706. The Morse code test rule is invalid, but exist
> only because of ITU rule S25.5 and government inertia.

And more to the point, to make poor Larry unhappy.

From -8976862565572009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 17:52:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 00:47:14 GMT

```
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9bio6i$bnm$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <9bgc5u$gti$1@208.206.142.79> <20010417080345.05102.00000575@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19529



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Even in this context, it is not knowing, or not knowing, code that drives
> >the
> >> old timers and newcomers alike to demean each other.
>
> > That's your (and the ARRL's) story and you're sticking to it, against
> >all logic.
>
> And by that logic, your story is that you are going to keep up the demeaning
> and abusive conduct until you drag amateur radio down...
>
> >> Fortunately, most newcomers learn pretty quickly not to follow the lead
> >> of those who would drag down ham radio.
>
> If you think that my saying that is a "story," can I infer that you believe
> that most newcomers learn quickly to follow the lead of those who would drag
> down ham radio?  If not, just what DID you mean?


 Ed if you could wake up yoiu would know from long ago that it is the
SYSTEM that I demean and not the products of it. I have made tha clear
many times.It isn't the fault of new Extra licensees that they were not
required to learn anything meaningful to attain that level of licensing.
But it is no less shameful.
 Of course you can easily overlook the resulting inferior product. Just
as long as he joins the League.

 Thtr FCC might just as well have dropped all pretense of graduated
licensing.


From 4158126130305838759
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 18:06:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 18 Apr 2001 01:00:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9bip07$bnm$2@208.207.71.157>
References: <3ADB2F72.7F41FAC9@IEEE.org> <20010417080258.00830.00001043@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3ADC5B2E.216C5E0F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19530

W6RCecilA wrote:

> I have *always*
> believed in working SMARTER, not HARDER.

Right! Why go to all the trouble to actually learn all that mundane material when one can just memorize whatever is needed to regurgitate the required verbiage at test time? You've already said you went through your EE program doing that. Why should it matter at all for hams and Extra class exams?

From -2279629056486873104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 18:12:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 01:03:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9bip5s$bnm$3@208.207.71.157>
References: <3ADC554D.FBBABD92@IEEE.org> <9bhopp$52a$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19531

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >Vic Clark probably also thought that automobiles would get
> >faster and more efficient thus obsoleting the 1982 models.
>
> He was an electrical engineer and was concerned about hams
> knowing the basics, knowing full well what would happen if we
> ever got to the point where they didn't.
>
> >What he was predicting was scientific progress.
>
> No, what he was warning against was easing of requirements, which
> is what this issue is about.
>
> >While all other forms of electronic communications were getting
> >easier and more accessible, freezing the amateur radio
> >requirements would have been a sure way to sound the ARS death
> >knell and bury it in the sands of time. Why not just require
> >radio amateurs to use tubes and prohibit them from using
> >transistors - same thing.
>
> Why not just stay to the issue: easing of requirements.

Cecil already knows this isn't a new technlogy vs. old issue. Doesn't
keep him from doing his damnedest to make it so, though.

From -7272586144935520117
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 18:24:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news-out.uswest.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 01:19:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9biq3k$bnm$4@208.207.71.157>

References: <3ADC80C2.1282B296@IEEE.org> <9bi066$7sk$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19532

W6RCecilA wrote:
>

> IMO, the only valid reason for the continued existence of the ARS is
> to provide emergency communications. It is not necessary to know Ohm's
> law in order to provide emergency communications.

So you disagree with the FCC's statement that the ARS is a fundamentally technical service.

From -8749273595254358178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 18:30:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: 18 Apr 2001 01:24:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9biqda$i10$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com> <3ADC6F1C.74F5@mobiletel.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbxz752.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3ADCA48D.676C@mobiletel.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19533

Martin wrote:

```
>  I watched an Agent speak lovingly of when his
> retirement time will come,

 And when it does he'll be rep0laced by a no-clue dweeb like the one who
wrote the original NPRM - the one that made no sense. And things will
slip even further.


From -5994665302043777248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 18:32:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 01:26:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19534



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> > The issue is easing of requirements.
>
> That's exactly what I'm discussing, Vince. Would you accept help
> from a ham who entered ham radio under eased requirements?


 So what we eventually have, sooner rather than later the way it's
going, is a ham radio that exists in name only, and then only because
FCC hasn't gotten around to renaming it. The basic original entity will
have de facto ceased to exist.


From -6855990672878705O3
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 18:56:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!
not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 18:45:24 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3ADCF1B4.91404B90@uci.edu>
References: <3adcc652$0$18888$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bini2$sc1$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19535
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

>
> >>Why not just stay to the issue: easing of requirements.
>
> >The issue is easing (deleting) ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47
> >CFR 97.503(a).
>
> >Ok Vince, do you understand it now.
>
> >Larry KC8EPO
>
> Absolutely. The issue is easing of requirements,
> any which way you twist or turn it, including
> through the 'regulations.' For FCC's R&O makes
> that quite clear.

What did the R&O say about the reason why the requirements were being eased?

ac6xg

```
From -2188895385137356524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 19:54:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
```

```
falcon.america.net!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!news-was.dfn.de!
news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 21:49:59 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3ADD00D7.57CA@mobiletel.com>
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com> <3ADC6F1C.74F5@mobiletel.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbxz752.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3ADCA48D.676C@mobiletel.com>
<9biqda$i10$0@208.207.71.157>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun10-port130.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 987562114 17586 206.251.171.130 (18 Apr 2001 02:48:34
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 02:48:34 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone  (Win95; I)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19536

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Martin wrote:
>
> >  I watched an Agent speak loviningly of when his
> > retirement time will come,
>
>  And when it does he'll be rep0laced by a no-clue dweeb like the one who
> wrote the original NPRM - the one that made no sense. And things will
> slip even further.
Dick:
These guys can't make sense out of what is comming now since it is
contradictory.  The replacement probably will not be the same caliper
of individual the current fellows are!


From -1097130999832597977
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:12:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
```

```
Date: 18 Apr 2001 03:07:31 GMT
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <9bh979$qgf$0@216.155.33.75> <3ADC56B2.416A689F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.178
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47  (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
CC: yodoc@aol.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19537
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Larry Roll wrote:
> > I find it interesting that whenever a pro-coder tells the truth about the Morse
> > code itself, those who use and enjoy it, or those who couldn't be bothered to
> > learn it, they are immediately faced with a chorus of accusations such as the
> > above.
>
> Nobody is objecting to the truth, Larry. We are objecting to the abusive
> ad hominem attacks, e.g., being called a "baldfaced liar".

Cecil:

Unfortunately, that particular sword cuts both ways. In fact, it was exactly the brutal ad hominem attacks of rabid NCTA's that embroiled me in this debate on Fidonet over a decade ago. Also unfortunately, I must admit that I have been responsible for my own fair share of such attacks -- but only after being quite righteously provoked. The difference is that I do have a conscience about such things; a lot of Usenet users do not. Such is the pity.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 5718313421144754584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:13:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 03:10:21 GMT
Lines: 25
```

```
Message-ID: <9bj0it$3hr$1@216.155.32.178>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
<3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd6u$joi$2@208.206.142.79>
<3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org> <3adc3068$0$12826$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9bio88$bnm$1@208.207.71.157>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.178
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47  (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19538

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Larry Klose wrote:
> >
> > "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > news:3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org...
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >  Fools never learn. It's what the code is traded for that is the fatal
> > > > flaw.
> > >
> > > It's not traded for anything. As an entrance requirement, it is simply
> > > outdated and therefore is in the act of being dropped.
> >
> > No replacement is necessary to delete a regulation that is
> > unnecessary, not in the public interest,and not in conformity
> > with 5 USC 706.  The Morse code test rule is invalid, but  exist
> > only because of ITU rule S25.5 and government inertia.
>
>  And more to the point, to make poor Larry unhappy.

Which one?  Me or Klose?

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 507856768516478036
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:30:02 PST
Message-ID: <3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 22:27:08 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu> <3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org> <3ADCCF78.B29673C3@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987564055 64.240.47.60 (17 Apr 2001 22:20:55 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19539

Jim Kelley wrote:
> One would hope the FCC cares about the health of the ARS. But the idea
> seems a bit pollyannaish to be coming from a federal agengy. Do you
> have anything in the way of fact that might lend support to such a
> notion?

Just logic. It's logical that the FCC recognizes and wants to preserve the emergency communications function of the ARS. It's logical that the FCC recognizes the average age of the members of the ARS. The nocode tech license has contributed a large percentage of the present ARS membership. It's logical to continue that same trend of reduced requirements in order to attract enough amateur radio operators to provide the emergency communications function.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7016922831698617455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:32:05 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!
magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: 18 Apr 2001 03:29:06 GMT
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9bj1m2$5u6$0@216.155.32.178>
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com>
<OPYC6.353$D4.29513@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.178
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19540

K0HB wrote:

> In article <20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com>, Larry Roll K3LT
> says...
>
> >I think its time to provide "fill in the application blank" type of access
> >to the amateur 2m, 220, and 440 bands.
> ......
> >We've already reduced amateur license testing to the status of a
> >joke; so why not get a real laugh and give the microphone jockeys
> >their dream-come-true?
>
> That's tantamount to letting the camel put his nose in the tent.
>

(Camel's nose story deleted for brevity.)

Hans:

Thanks, your story has proved my point. If we didn't want the "camel's
nose in the tent," why did the FCC get involved in reducing amateur radio
licensing standards? Obviously, because a lot of camels couldn't breathe! Or,
more
accurately, they weren't able to grab a microphone and start talking. But I
know the cure for that -- let 'em try to do my job for a couple of days!
They'll
get tired of talking into microphones right quick!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6641508871645785390
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:38:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ADD0B14.37F5AFE0@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 22:33:40 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org> <9biahm$htp$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987564447 64.240.47.60 (17 Apr 2001 22:27:27 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19541

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> And again, whether it's a ham or not, the issue is about ham
> radio, not about who is supplying emergency communications.

IMO, emergency communications is the only useful function performed
by the ARS so it cannot simply be ignored.

> Integrated circuits contain oscillators and amplifiers, passive
> components, and so on, and I expect hams to know what they are
> and how they work if we're talking about 'ham radio.'

Why, Vince? To what purpose? Automobile operators no longer need
to know how automobiles work. Not many hams will even attempt to
repair an IC-706.
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3265357666692405442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:40:56 PST
Message-ID: <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 22:37:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org> <9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987564674 64.240.47.60 (17 Apr 2001 22:31:14 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19542

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So what we eventually have, sooner rather than later the way it's
> going, is a ham radio that exists in name only, and then only because
> FCC hasn't gotten around to renaming it. The basic original entity will
> have de facto ceased to exist.

There is no basic original entity, Dick. Amateur Radio has been changing and evolving ever since it was conceived. You like the first 40 years of

```
change and hate the last 40 years of change.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7711344704926886045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:46:02 PST
Message-ID: <3ADD0CA2.49EA3F70@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 22:40:18 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC80C2.1282B296@IEEE.org> <9bi066$7sk$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org>
<9biq3k$bnm$4@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987564844
64.240.47.60 (17 Apr 2001 22:34:04 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19543

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > IMO, the only valid reason for the continued existence of the ARS is
> > to provide emergency communications. It is not necessary to know Ohm's
> > law in order to provide emergency communications.
```

```
>
> So you disagree with the FCC's statement that the ARS is a
> fundamentally technical service.

I disagree with it in the sense that it is a false statement. The
ARS is not a fundamentally technical service and hasn't been for
a number of years. The ARS is fundamentally a communications service.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 3537781102350512745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:48:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 03:43:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9bj2h8$9eb$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
<3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd6u$joi$2@208.206.142.79>
<3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org> <3adc3068$0$12826$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9bio88$bnm$1@208.207.71.157> <9bj0it$3hr$1@216.155.32.178>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19544
```

```
Larry Roll wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Larry Klose wrote:
> > >
> > > "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > > news:3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org...
> > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > >  Fools never learn. It's what the code is traded for that is the fatal
> > > > > flaw.
> > > >
> > > > It's not traded for anything. As an entrance requirement, it is simply
> > > > outdated and therefore is in the act of being dropped.
> > >
> > > No replacement is necessary to delete a regulation that is
> > > unnecessary, not in the public interest,and not in conformity
> > > with 5 USC 706.  The Morse code test rule is invalid, but  exist
> > > only because of ITU rule S25.5 and government inertia.
> >
> >  And more to the point, to make poor Larry unhappy.
>
> Which one?  Me or Klose?


 Aw, Larry, you're not even Klose....


From -2438894871950317669
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:48:03 PST
Message-ID: <3ADD0D9B.8244925F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 22:44:27 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC554D.FBBABD92@IEEE.org> <9bhopp$52a$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <9bip5s$bnm$3@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987565094
64.240.47.60 (17 Apr 2001 22:38:14 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-
```

out-sjo.usenetserver.com!hub1.nntpserver.com!hub1.nibble.net!feed.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19545

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Cecil already knows this isn't a new technlogy vs. old issue. Doesn't
> keep him from doing his damnedest to make it so, though.

The new technology has obsoleted the old ways of doing things, Dick.
That's true in all areas of our lives and it is true in ham radio.
Why do you believe that amateur radio should somehow be exempt from
change, evolution, and progress when nothing else is?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6996508330711284151
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 03:42:55 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9bj2fv$2nt$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <9bip5s$bnm$3@208.207.71.157>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987565375 2813 149.174.88.254 (18 Apr 2001 03:42:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 03:42:55 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19546

>Cecil already knows this isn't a new technlogy vs. old issue.
>Doesn't keep him from doing his damnedest to make it so, though.

Well, Dick, one would have to agree his message is confusing...
even to Cecil, I suspect. On one hand, he's railing about old
technology versus new, and new hams being held back in learning
the new. On the other hand, he's stating that knowledge of
technology is no longer needed in ham radio, anyway: just
knowledge of rules, regulations, being a good op, and safety.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 6954750269714774826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:50:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 03:45:05 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <9bj2k1$2nt$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADD0B14.37F5AFE0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987565505 2813 149.174.88.254 (18 Apr 2001 03:45:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 03:45:05 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19548

>Why, Vince? To what purpose?

To learn the basics of how things work, Cecil. Which is what
ham radio is all about.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -6636757964778189l2
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:50:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 03:44:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9bj2jk$9eb$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu>

```
<3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org> <3ADCCF78.B29673C3@uci.edu>
<3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19547
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > One would hope the FCC cares about the health of the ARS. But the idea
> > seems a bit pollyannaish to be coming from a federal agengy. Do you
> > have anything in the way of fact that might lend support to such a
> > notion?
>
> Just logic. It's logical that the FCC recognizes and wants to preserve the
> emergency communications function of the ARS. It's logical that the FCC
> recognizes the average age of the members of the ARS. The nocode tech
> license has contributed a large percentage of the present ARS membership.
> It's logical to continue that same trend of reduced requirements in order
> to attract enough amateur radio operators to provide the emergency
> communications function.

All the way down to ZERO....

```
From 5095349982628821257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:56:09 PST
Message-ID: <3ADD0F02.632FCC0E@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 22:50:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3ADBBD6D.A67DDF4D@IEEE.org> <20010417075958.05102.00000574@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9binrs$art$0@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987565453
64.240.47.60 (17 Apr 2001 22:44:13 -0500)
Lines: 14
```

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!skynet.be!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!easynet-tele!easynet.net!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19549

Dick Carroll wrote:
> A testing system that demands little or no learning for an examinee to
> acheive every level of licensing. Very efficient.

They are entrance exams, Dick, not graduation certificates. I learned almost everything I know about ham radio *AFTER* I got my Conditional license in 1952 which granted me *ALL* amateur radio operating privileges.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 491312535079800208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 20:56:10 PST
Message-ID: <3ADD0FE6.914FCF4A@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 22:54:14 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3ADB2F72.7F41FAC9@IEEE.org> <20010417080258.00830.00001043@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3ADC5B2E.216C5E0F@IEEE.org> <9bip07$bnm$2@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987565681 64.240.47.60 (17 Apr 2001 22:48:01 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!129.22.8.64.MISMATCH!usenet.INS.cwru.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19550

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I have *always* believed in working SMARTER, not HARDER.
>
> Right! Why go to all the trouble to actually learn all that mundane
> material when one can just memorize whatever is needed to regurgitate
> the required verbiage at test time? You've already said you went through
> your EE program doing that. Why should it matter at all for hams and
> Extra class exams?

Hams can do exactly what I did after I graduated with a BSEE degree.
They can learn whatever they need to know as needed. A ham radio
exam is an ENTRANCE exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 58486842724350208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 21:06:05 PST
Message-ID: <3ADD11D1.B4743B19@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 23:02:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu>

```
<3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org> <3ADCCF78.B29673C3@uci.edu>
<3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org> <9bj2jk$9eb$1@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987566171
64.240.47.60 (17 Apr 2001 22:56:11 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19551

Dick Carroll wrote:
> > It's logical to continue that same trend of reduced requirements in order
> > to attract enough amateur radio operators to provide the emergency
> > communications function.
>
>  All the way down to ZERO....

Almost nothing goes all the way down to zero, Dick. Do you think the
income tax reduction will eventually result in zero taxes? Dream on!
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -6815892198376933875
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 21:12:10 PST
Message-ID: <3ADD133F.18D387E7@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 23:08:31 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADD0B14.37F5AFE0@IEEE.org> <9bj2k1$2nt$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987566538 64.240.47.60 (17 Apr 2001 23:02:18 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19552

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

>
> >Why, Vince? To what purpose?
>
> To learn the basics of how things work, Cecil. Which is what
> ham radio is all about.

That's not all that ham radio is about, Vince. I learned almost everything I know about how things work *after* I obtained my Conditional license. I learned almost nothing from memorizing the answers to the questions on the General exam in 1952. IMO, ham radio is presently mostly about emergency communications.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1984837901314424964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 21:26:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.34.3!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail

From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 04:19:39 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9bj4kr$47o$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADD133F.18D387E7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987567579 4344 149.174.88.240 (18 Apr 2001 04:19:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 04:19:39 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19553

>> To learn the basics of how things work, Cecil. Which is what
>> ham radio is all about.

>That's not all that ham radio is about, Vince. I learned almost
>everything I know about how things work *after* I obtained my
>Conditional license. I learned almost nothing from memorizing
>the answers to the questions on the General exam in 1952. IMO,
>ham radio is presently mostly about emergency communications.

Until Part 97.1, basis and purpose of ham radio, is changed officially, then ham radio is defined mainly as a technically based hobby. Parts b, c, and d, speak to that. The problem comes about as a result of forces trying to change ham radio to a non-technical hobby in de-facto style. That's the FCC's failing, who had their chance to clear the air and decided to pass it up by saying that "ham radio is a fundamentally technical hobby" and then falling apart in their R&O explanation.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 4833655058383592774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 21:48:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!newsfeed.infoave.net.MISMATCH!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 00:40:42 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3ADD1A96.31D68577@sccoast.net>
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>

```
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com> <3ADC6F1C.74F5@mobiletel.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.21
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987568822 354315 207.144.228.21 (18 Apr 2001 04:40:22
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 04:40:22 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19554

Martin wrote:
>
> [snip] The Coast Guard is swamped and they
> don't have the time/resources to police this
> problem and the FCC is basically throwing
> out the Enforcement Letters to Amateurs
> and the bootlegger/freeband crowd is ignored,
> or so it seems.  [snip]


  There is not a lot the Coast Guard can do about it anyway.  Other
than very specific situations (interference with navigation or
distress, compulsory vessels, etc), enforcement is handled by the FCC.
 Even if that were not the case, most Coast Guard facilities are just
as strapped for staff as the FCC.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net


From 4394416943243180341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e9e14d658d0a2410
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 22:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Form 610 wasn't good enough!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 01:07:31 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3ADD20D4.2222A462@sccoast.net>
References: <4U2D6.47$gB6.41590@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.21
```

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987570431 355064 207.144.228.21 (18 Apr 2001 05:07:11 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 05:07:11 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19555

rdalton1 wrote:
>
> After recently moving I sent in a form 610
> with a change of address. WHAT? It came
> back....oopps! WRONG FORM..need form 605.
> I get this thick packet(ER! package?) It's
> a book! NOPE..it's the form 605. Maw call
> the attorney,need some help reading this
> book er..uh! form. So much for the
> paperwork reduction act Life!! Ain't it
> wonderful

Actually, in the grand scheme of things, that form does reduce the amount of paperwork - that one form does the job of six or seven other now discontinued forms. Of course, as you've realized, for the individual filling it out, it certainly didn't reduce the amount of paperwork. Of course, when it comes to the government, the individual doesn't really count that much.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -5135680590431389141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 22:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 23:57:26 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3ADD107E.9504A74A@sccoast.net>
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu> <3ADAB2F9.AEB584B6@sccoast.net> <3ADBA6C3.3573@bellsouth.net>

```
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbxyip1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3ADCBE64.195F@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.21
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987566225 352061 207.144.228.21 (18 Apr 2001 03:57:05
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 03:57:05 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19557

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> [snip] FCC MUST be adequately funded to go after
> those outlaws who operate on frequencies for
> which they are not licensed. [snip]  It may be a
> dream, but I hold the hope that FCC will soon be
> fitted with a new set of TEETH!!


  Amen to that, Jerry.  While the FCC is trying, and not doing too bad
of a job (except for the mess on 27-28 MHz), it could definitely use
more funding, and more staff, for enforcement.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net


From -2538571855835096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-17 22:46:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: Tue, 17 Apr 2001 23:48:02 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3ADD0E4C.59765252@sccoast.net>
References: <c06e2acdcaa1cbc25235662408c9b327@anon.xg.nu>
<3ADAB2F9.AEB584B6@sccoast.net> <3ADBA6C3.3573@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.21
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987565661 352413 207.144.228.21 (18 Apr 2001 03:47:41 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 03:47:41 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19556

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> While it is not *exactly* the same thing,
> but I wonder how much time and money is
> spent responding to accidental activations
> of EPIRBS? As you likely know, we (CAP)
> spend an inordinate amount of time responding to ELTs that turn out to be the result
> of, perhaps, a hard landing. Like the false
> distress calls, each one must be responded
> to and dealt with under the assumption that
> it is the real thing.
>
> It escapes me why people think that calling
> out a false Mayday is funny. Illegal
> operations *should* be a concern of everybody.

A little less than half of those 400 false distress calls I mentioned. If I remember correctly, it was about 170 to 180 in 1999 (I had a paper with the exact numbers, but I couldn't find it while writing this). However, unlike the outright false voice distress calls, most of those are true accidents ranging from activation while handling to people testing them (not remembering they're actually transmitting a distress).

But, like you said, we have to treat every single one as a real distress until proven otherwise. Luckily, most accidental activations are fairly easy to spot - the transmission is coming from a boat launch (or other boat facility), a marine supply store (yes, it happens), and so forth. If those transmissions continue for some time, someone is usually sent out to locate the unit and get it turned off (it may cover up a real transmission).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 3766863752882188543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 04:09:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Apr 2001 11:08:49 GMT
References: <20010417133343.16316.00000182@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010418070849.05453.00000221@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19559

In article <20010417133343.16316.00000182@nso-cu.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010415163851.10479.00000088@nso-fa.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY),
>apparently in need of writing a very very long counter-argument writes:
>
>>Do the vast majority of users of the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it
>>exists today, obey the rules of that service?
>
>As far as I can tell, yes. :-)

The smiley tells me you are not serious about that answer.
>
>Why does Miccolis ask?

Just wanted your opinion, Len.

> This is not the proper newsgroup to discuss
>citizens band radio.

I'll take that as an unsolicited opinion.
>
>>Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as an
>>example of how that Service should conduct itself?
>
>No. There are far too few channels for the number of users based
>on reception in the Greater Los Angeles region. That is a subjective
>opinion based on the number of "heterodynes" heard on each of the
>forty channels.

OK
>
>>Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as an
>>example of how the Amateur Radio Service should be conducted?
>
>No.

OK

> Why would that even be a question, other than trolling?   :-)

Just wanted to know your opinion, Len. Thank you for sharing.

N2EY

From -9082506632326884761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 04:18:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Message-ID: <MPG.15474296a9e4406b9896d5@news.earthlink.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 40
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 11:18:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.233.186
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987592721 209.244.233.186 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 04:18:41 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 04:18:41 PDT
X-Received-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 04:17:21 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15382 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19560

In article <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>, bw821@lamvda.com says...
>
> Former Eagle scout and current BSA board member Steven Spielberg has
> pinned on his pink triangle and left the scouts because of their
> anti-gay posture. Don't you homophobes ever learn? See the story at
>
> http://www.sacbee.com/news/calreport/
> calrep_story.cgi?story=N2001-04-16-1800-1.html
>

No big loss. He was nothing but a face anyway.

"Although he was on the advisory board, Spielberg did not currently have an active, direct role with the Scouts, Levy said. Spielberg, who earned a Scout badge for photography in his youth, previously convinced the organization to adopt a badge for cinematography"

Interesting why he waited all this time since the Supreme Court decision to finally make his move. Obviously it was more a publicity move than true convictions. Interesting that all these nay sayers have been silent for the past 90 years. Seems the BSA has maintained these standards since their inception and only since it became politically correct did anyone express their righteous indignation.

I wonder of the NAACP should sue the KKK discrimination against its members being allowed to join the Klan. Or perhaps the B'nai B'rith should sue the Knights of Columbus for the right to become members.

All it does is increase the $$$ being donated directly to the BSA. Ross Perot, wacko that he might be, has donated over $50 million to BSA HQ to cover the shortfalls that the national councils may experience.

Way to go Ross.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 1934123671119928855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 04:31:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Apr 2001 11:30:52 GMT
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19561

>From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com

>Unfortunately, that particular sword cuts both ways. In fact, it was exactly

>the brutal ad hominem attacks of rabid NCTA's that embroiled me in this
>debate on Fidonet over a decade ago.

I, too, disagreed very strongly with some of the insults and attacks that some of the NCTAs used. Unfortunately, those that are now using the same tactics appear to be aligned with the PCTA side. It is unfortunate that some of both opinions feel that such conduct is appropriate in any social situation. Even worse, at least one of them feels that his demeanor is helping to teach people right from wrong.

>Also unfortunately, I must admit that
>I have been responsible for my own fair share of such attacks -- but only
>after being quite righteously provoked.

ONLY you are responsible for your actions, Larry. "She made me beat her" doesn't hold up in a court of law, or in the court of public opinion.

>The difference is that I do have a
>conscience about such things; a lot of Usenet users do not. Such is the
>pity.

I would believe this if you were to take the the time to find the attacks that are causing you pangs of conscience and apologize to those whom you have offended.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3641489350983075З6
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 04:34:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Apr 2001 11:34:06 GMT
References: <9bio6i$bnm$0@208.207.71.157>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010418073406.26389.00000540@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19562

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Ed if you could wake up yoiu would know from long ago that it is the
>SYSTEM that I demean and not the products of it

You say this, but you continue to call these people "Clueless Extras." You are offering empty words that are not borne out by your conduct.

>I have made tha clear
>many times.It isn't the fault of new Extra licensees that they were not
>required to learn anything meaningful to attain that level of licensing.

And it must really frost your cake that most of them do learn things in ham radio, eh?

>Of course you can easily overlook the resulting inferior product. Just
>as long as he joins the League.

I haven't checked the status of any individual under discussion here, Dick. For that matter, have you? Let's do so, though. Can you provide the call signs of some of this "inferior product" from this forum and I can tell you what percentages are ARRL members.

>Thtr FCC might just as well have dropped all pretense of graduated
>licensing.

Fortunately, the FCC does not agree with you.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 2649383022552637682
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 04:36:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Apr 2001 11:36:01 GMT
References: <9binrs$art$0@208.207.71.157>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010418073601.26389.00000541@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19563
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>A testing system that demands little or no learning for an examinee to
>acheive
>every level of licensing. Very efficient.

> Yes indeed, that's my story and I'm sticking to it.

And my story is that it is easier to learn a few simple 6th-grade algebra concepts and apply them to the correct answers to the tests than to word-association memorize over a thousand questions without understanding.

Stick to it to your heart's content, Dick.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2259815783343480914
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 04:40:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Apr 2001 11:39:22 GMT
References: <9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010418073922.26389.00000542@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19564

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> So what we eventually have, sooner rather than later the way it's
>going, is a ham radio that exists in name only, and then only because
>FCC hasn't gotten around to renaming it. The basic original entity will
>have de facto ceased to exist.

What could you do in Amateur Radio 10 years ago that you are no longer able to do today?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2012419850065646271
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 04:55:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-

post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 07:55:43 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 16
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15386
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19565

Who cares. If the guy does not want to support morality, then I'm glad he's gone. Thank God one organization is willing to take a stand against immorality and depravity. Won't you heterophobes ever get it? Now back to ham radio.

"Bruce W" <bw821@lamvda.com> wrote in message
news:9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Former Eagle scout and current BSA board member Steven Spielberg has
> pinned on his pink triangle and left the scouts because of their
> anti-gay posture. Don't you homophobes ever learn? See the story at
>
> http://www.sacbee.com/news/calreport/
> calrep_story.cgi?story=N2001-04-16-1800-1.html

From -7729228096909455791
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 05:18:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Apr 2001 12:17:57 GMT
References: <3ADCD071.8C94D967@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Message-ID: <20010418081757.00868.00000995@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19566

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>Date: 04/17/01 7:23 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I liked Chuck Yeagers saying better: "There are old pilots, and there
>are bold pilots, but there are no old, bold pilots."

Hi Jim,

I guess it was a bit extreme, but what a stupid jerk....I guess my flight instructor imbued a bit more respect in me for that whirling meat cleaver called a "propeller" out there to ever let myself be that close to one save for being in the left seat.

This idiot wasn't bold...He was stupid. Now he's dead. Oh well.

73

Steve, K4YZ
"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. That's What Happens When You Send A Coolie To Do A Pilot's Job

---

From 9746812614445038398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 05:29:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Apr 2001 12:29:24 GMT
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010418082924.00804.00001247@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19567

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com
>Date: 04/17/01 11:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>W6RCecilA wrote:

>> Nobody is objecting to the truth, Larry. We are objecting to the abusive

>> ad hominem attacks, e.g., being called a "baldfaced liar".

>Also unfortunately, I must admit that
>I have been responsible for my own fair share of such attacks -- but only
>after being quite righteously provoked.

There is nothing "ad hominem" about calling someone a "baldfaced liar" if they are indeed lying.

Some people can't "debate" without rapidly escalating the "debate" to a shouting match. They have little or nothing to "debate" with, and/or are unwilling to accept known and established facts when presented with them. Thier last resort is name calling and fighting.

Oh well.

>The difference is that I do have a
>conscience about such things; a lot of Usenet users do not. Such is the
>pity.

Such it is.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 2618098059250706189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5dd917e3f03db310
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 05:57:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Apr 2001 12:57:47 GMT
References: <20010417144820.11504.00001021@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Why are some of us hams?
Message-ID: <20010418085747.12780.00000604@ng-fr1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19568

Good observation Mike and GREAT points Steve. Hope everyone had a happy Easter or Passover.

73 de Bert

From -3253610083262219937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 06:05:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Apr 2001 13:05:15 GMT
References: <20010417135923.00837.00000954@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010418090515.12780.00000607@ng-fr1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19569

>Further proof that you are an idiot.

This couldn't possibly have come from the same individual that posted such a nice reply re. Ham radio & anger in the "Why are some of us hams?" thread. Say it aint so!

73 de Bert

From 8591565620109298907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 06:06:59 PST
Message-ID: <3ADD9131.1F637DEC@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 08:05:53 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADD133F.18D387E7@IEEE.org> <9bj4kr$47o$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987598778 64.240.47.89 (18 Apr 2001 07:59:38 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!dca6-feed1.news.digex.net!lax2-feed1.news.digex.net!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!typhoon.sonic.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19570

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> Until Part 97.1, basis and purpose of ham radio, is changed
> officially, then ham radio is defined mainly as a technically
> based hobby. Parts b, c, and d, speak to that.

It is symbolism over substance, Vince. The majority of ARS members are not technical. One had to be a mechanic to drive the early automobiles. One had to be technical to build and operate early amateur radios. The same thing happened to ham radios and automobiles - scientific progress happened - mass production happened - good designs by professionals happened. With a BSEE degree and 35 years of engineering experience, I could be called a technical person. But I could no more duplicate an IC-706MKIIG than I could flap my arms and fly.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 372678599307230373
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 06:09:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!newspeer.clara.net!news.clara.net!skynet.be!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader3-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3adcc652$0$18888$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bini2$sc1$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCF1B4.91404B90@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!

```
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 09:07:26 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <3add91c6$0$18890$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 18fe398a.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=a?
h\;fBUSPb=>N\5^GS<lj@h7X@\0oLOm[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;Fgo^ohLEBGRZhlBFDaL\d^ne6FTH6dO
XI1f
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19571
```

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:3ADCF1B4.91404B90@uci.edu...

>
> Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> >
> > >>Why not just stay to the issue: easing of requirements.
> >
> > >The issue is easing (deleting) ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47
> > >CFR 97.503(a).
> >
> > >Ok Vince, do you understand it now.
> >
> > >Larry KC8EPO
> >
> > Absolutely. The issue is easing of requirements,
> > any which way you twist or turn it, including
> > through the 'regulations.' For FCC's R&O makes
> > that quite clear.
>
> What did the R&O say about the reason why the requirements were being
> eased?

The FCC stated in its Report and Order (FCC 99-142) for WT Docket #98-143 that:

(a) "the public interest will best be served by reducing the telegraphy examination requirement to the minimum requirement that we have found that meets the Radio Regulations" ;

(b) "an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse code proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art" ;

(c) "such a license qualification rule [Morse code exam] is not in furtherance of the purpose of the amateur service and we do not believe that it continues to serve a regulatory purpose" ;

(d) "the amateur service is fundamentally a technical service, the emphasis on Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement does not comport with the basis and purpose of the service" ;

(e) "reducing the emphasis on telegraphy proficiency as a licensing requirement will allow the amateur service to, as it has in the past, attract technically inclined persons, particularly the youth of our country, and encourage them to learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where the United States needs expertise" ; and

(f) "telegraphy proficiency is not a significant factor in determining an individual's ability to provide or be prepared to provide emergency communications" .

Larry KC8EPO

From 5445282889170121295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 06:11:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newscon04.news.prodigy.com!newsmst01.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!not-for-mail
From: "Alex J. (KC0AK)" <alexjent@prodigy.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 08:10:27 -0500
Organization: Prodigy http://www.prodigy.com
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9bk3nl$8hq8$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd6u$joi$2@208.206.142.79> <3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org> <3adc3068$0$12826$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bio88$bnm$1@208.207.71.157>
NNTP-Posting-Host: nas-93-128.minneapolis-t.navipath.net
X-Trace: newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com 987599414 2123678 64.20.93.128 (18 Apr 2001 13:10:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net

NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 13:10:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19572
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15389 rec.radio.swap:29160

What the H$%^% does this have to do with buying or selling or swapping.

Why don't you let this thread die away.

Alex

From -9131388839179218625
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 06:51:21 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 18 Apr 2001 13:51:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9bk64p$b5j$0@208.207.71.138>
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
<3ADBBDD4.D34F386C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19573

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The acquisition of technical knowledge represents work, ...
>
> Not for me, Dick. For me, it represents fun.

So work is never fun for you? Strange, most of us find enjoyable work to
be fun.

From -8695441617532102931
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 12:34:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 19:34:48 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9bkq8o$5cq$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3adde8ad.6212227@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987622488 5530 149.174.88.247 (18 Apr 2001 19:34:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 19:34:48 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19624

>I'll defer to the court of public opinion as to the appropriate
>use of the descrition obsolete to describe my antique cars.

Any day of the year, Bill, inasmuch as antique cars isn't the
subject. Morse code is. Fiddling with words (and issues) isn't
your forte.

>>He's wrong. It's that simple. In this case, very wrong, since
>>Morse is still in wide use in this 'Service.' And this
>>'Service'is what we're speaking about.

>Ah, but even "wide use" doesn't justify any need for a
>skill test for the mode (IMHO). Your mileage and
>opinion will likly be different.

You can fiddle with issues as you wish, but again, you're not
good at it.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -4199132685722740184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 12:43:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 19:41:25 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3added5d.7412537@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3adde8ad.6212227@news.mindspring.com> <9bkq8o$5cq$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.03
X-Server-Date: 18 Apr 2001 19:43:04 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19625

On 18 Apr 2001 19:34:48 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>I'll defer to the court of public opinion as to the appropriate
>>use of the description obsolete to describe my antique cars.
>
>Any day of the year, Bill, inasmuch as antique cars isn't the
>subject. Morse code is. Fiddling with words (and issues) isn't
>your forte.
>
>>>He's wrong. It's that simple. In this case, very wrong, since
>>>Morse is still in wide use in this 'Service.' And this
>>>'Service'is what we're speaking about.
>
>>Ah, but even "wide use" doesn't justify any need for a
>>skill test for the mode (IMHO). Your mileage and
>>opinion will likly be different.
>
>You can fiddle with issues as you wish, but again, you're not
>good at it.

So sayeth Vince.  As before...
I'll defer to the court of public opinion as to who is good at what.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 1049128734441664495
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 12:52:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 19:52:00 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9bkr90$8u8$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3added5d.7412537@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987623520 9160 149.174.88.254 (18 Apr 2001 19:52:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 19:52:00 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19626

>So sayeth Vince. As before...
>I'll defer to the court of public opinion as to who is good at
>what.

You always do this to yourself, Bill.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 3761331410484844333
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 13:41:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net> <XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <iZmD6.2005$D4.197668@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:40:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987626446 209.208.22.130 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 16:40:46 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 16:40:46 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19627

Richard McCollum says:

>If there is a majority group, it is those of us who would wish the God
>Squad to learn something of faith and respect for the works of God, wish
>the gays to get the hell out of our face, and wish the Boy Scouts to
>develop the good sense God gave the average billy goat. The cacophony of
>idiots gets old after a while.

Thanks, Dick.

I count myself in that majority.

73, Hans, K0HB, aka "Lefty"

From 5580365996671509836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 14:10:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!upp1.onvoy!msc1.onvoy!onvoy.com!tethys.csu.net!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 14:04:04 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3ADE0144.3F46553E@uci.edu>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu> <3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org> <3ADCCF78.B29673C3@uci.edu> <3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org> <9bkd6h$c6e$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ADDDE01.AFF8056D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19628



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > There's a much more fundamental reason for the ARS to exist.  The amateur
> > spectrum is like a National Park.  Citizens have a reasonable expectation to
> > be able to make use of the radio spectrum without a dependence on commercial
> > radio service providers.  Selling amateur frequencies to the highest bidder
> > is no different than turning Yellowstone Park over for private, commercial
> > development.
> >
> > It is a mistake to put all our eggs in the emergency communications basket.
>
> Are you saying that the ARS is an attempt to put aside some spectrum as a
> national playground for the common folk? Therefore, we don't have to justify
> our existence?

Where did I write we didn't have to justify our existance?  What I wrote
is that our existance is justified in ways more fundamental and
important than simply emergency communications.

Do me a favor.  Don't put words in my mouth.  Thanks.

AC6XG



From -4737157870421086646
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 14:13:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc02l20.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com> <3ADC6F1C.74F5@mobiletel.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbxz752.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3ADCA48D.676C@mobiletel.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Lines: 26
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 21:14:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.58.44.53
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 987628455 206.58.44.53 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 21:14:15 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 21:14:15 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19629

On Tue, 17 Apr 2001 15:16:13 -0500, Martin wrote:

>The New Orleans FCC Office has 3 people (field) which covers the Gulf
>Coast from LA-TEX Line to the Panhandle of FLA and the whole States
>of Louisiana, Mississippi, LA (Lower Alabama)(LOCAL JOKE), Arkansas
>with assistance from Dallas and the Panhandle of FLA as well as
>the Port of New Orleans. I watched an Agent speak lovininly of when his
>retirement time will come, 90% of the cases are Phone Co related,
>5% Tower stuff and the rest is putting misc fires. They work the
>interference cases and the bootleggers when they can.

But if they want to make an example of anyone, they can and
will, as I know very well. I was the District Director of the San
Francisco Office in the mid-1990s and I too "spoke lovingly" of
my retirement after The Powers That Be turned a deaf ear to my
need to add six or seven more investigators to my staff of
three. It hasn't improved any. Blame The Congress.

Jim Hawkins of the NO Office was a good friend/colleague in
those days, and very accomplished at "putting out fires".

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 5009212690754000776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 14:32:19 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 21:31:47 GMT
Message-ID: <3ade078a.110547259@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010416074138.06622.00000728@ng-md1.aol.com>
<20010417190017.11407.00001040@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3ADCD071.8C94D967@uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.154
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.154
X-Trace: 18 Apr 2001 16:28:31 -0500, 64.24.16.154
Lines: 17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1

```
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19630

On Tue, 17 Apr 2001 16:23:29 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>
>
>Steven J Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>> Screw China.  That's What Happens When You Send a Coolie To Do A Pilot's Job
>
>Yikes, Steve.
>
>I liked Chuck Yeagers saying better:  "There are old pilots, and there
>are bold pilots, but there are no old, bold pilots."
>
Yeah, like he isn't both.
>
>73, Jim AC6XG
```

```
From -7551368697036074318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:02:27 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE0EAE.B6193699@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 17:01:18 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu>
<3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org> <3ADCCF78.B29673C3@uci.edu>
<3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org> <9bkd6h$c6e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3ADDDE01.AFF8056D@IEEE.org> <3ADE0144.3F46553E@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.141
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987630903
64.240.47.141 (18 Apr 2001 16:55:03 -0500)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
```

```
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19631
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > There's a much more fundamental reason for the ARS to exist. The amateur
> > > spectrum is like a National Park. Citizens have a reasonable expectation to
> > > be able to make use of the radio spectrum without a dependence on commercial
> > > radio service providers. Selling amateur frequencies to the highest bidder
> > > is no different than turning Yellowstone Park over for private, commercial
> > > development.
> > >
> > > It is a mistake to put all our eggs in the emergency communications basket.
> >
> > Are you saying that the ARS is an attempt to put aside some spectrum as a
> > national playground for the common folk? Therefore, we don't have to justify
> > our existence?
>
> Where did I write we didn't have to justify our existance?

You certainly implied that all that was necessary was our mere existence.
So, exactly what must we *do* to justify our existence?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6473249316774646098
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:15:23 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE11B2.C6B65633@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 17:14:10 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3addd5b7.1357743@news.mindspring.com> <9bkokd$4c9$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.141
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987631676 64.240.47.141 (18 Apr 2001 17:07:56 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19632

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> The reality is it won't do you much good to mess with words,
> Bill; you're not good at it. Obsolete means no longer in use
> or practiced.

You need to crack open a dictionary, Vince. Nowhere in my dictionaries is "outdated" synonymous with "obsolete". As a matter of fact, Webster's says "outdated" means "outmoded". That's exactly what CW has been - outmoded by other modes. That's why it has been completely dropped from most other radio services. It has been outmoded. The only reason to use CW anymore is for the same reason Bill drives old cars - for fun.

Horses are not obsolete - they have simply been outmoded by more modern interstate transportation.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4661740787367867216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:17:08 PST

Message-ID: <3ADE1215.6610864B@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 17:15:49 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3adde8ad.6212227@news.mindspring.com> <9bkq8o$5cq$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.141
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987631774 64.240.47.141 (18 Apr 2001 17:09:34 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19633

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> You can fiddle with issues as you wish, but again, you're not
> good at it.

He's better at issues than you are at definitions, Vince. For God's
sake, crack open a dictionary every once in awhile.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7152120415158101661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:23:35 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE139E.88E55FE@IEEE.org>

Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 17:22:22 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can.
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
<3addb65a.2862997@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.141
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987632168
64.240.47.141 (18 Apr 2001 17:16:08 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19634

Bill Sohl wrote:
> You conveniently didn't answer my question.
> Your posts seem to be (IMHO) much more tied up with
> some affinity for WORK as a discrete effort that
> should be reuired to obtain a ham license.

Maybe we should have a special ham license for guys like Dick.
Anyone who digs an eight cubic yard hole and fills it back up
a total of twenty times gets an Extra class license.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 7511105987156783169
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:27:30 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 22:23:19 GMT
Message-ID: <3ade088e.110807378@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010415163851.10479.00000088@nso-fa.aol.com>
<20010417133343.16316.00000182@nso-cu.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.144
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.144
X-Trace: 18 Apr 2001 17:20:03 -0500, 64.24.17.144
Lines: 10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19635
```

>No. There are far too few channels for the number of users based
>on reception in the Greater Los Angeles region. That is a subjective
>opinion based on the number of "heterodynes" heard on each of the
>forty channels.
>

Lenny 'ole bean, where do those heterodynes come from if everbody is
on the same channel per channel? Doesn't sound like you understand how
heterodynes are generated.

```
From -4248603579619637811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:30:58 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 17:29:49 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.141
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987632615
```

64.240.47.141 (18 Apr 2001 17:23:35 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19636

Richard McCollum wrote:
> If there is a majority group, it is those of us who would wish the God
> Squad to learn something of faith and respect for the works of God, ...

I would be satisfied if the God-Squad merely proved the existence of a
God. Otherwise, I'm going to have to go with the scientific evidence that
Homo Sapien DNA is 99% virtually identical to chimpanzee DNA and 50%
virtually identical to banana DNA.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8425335445981319578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:34:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader3-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3add91c6$0$18890$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bk849$np2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3adddf33.3785555@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:32:02 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3ade161b$0$18893$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: b512cb3c.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=n631SU0N7G4bV?_m\CJ]D6@h7X@\0oLO=[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7:o\9>c:8?80lBFDaL\d^n57n;K>i9g7W5
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19637

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3adddf33.3785555@news.mindspring.com...

> On 18 Apr 2001 14:25:13 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
> <103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:
>
> >The above demonstrates ONE example of how FCC got into the
> >trouble it did with its R&O. That is,
>
> When did the decision come down which rendered
> any judgement that the FCC got into ANY trouble with
> its R&O?

Bill

In the Supreme Court of Vincent.
And we all known Vincent is Trouble!
:-)

Larry kc8epo

From 6426602214741199689
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:45:27 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE18BD.896DE43B@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 17:44:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3add91c6$0$18890$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bk849$np2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.141
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987633478
64.240.47.141 (18 Apr 2001 17:37:58 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-
sjo.usenetserver.com!hub1.nntpserver.com!hub1.nibble.net!feed.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19638
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

> The above demonstrates ONE example of how FCC got into the
> trouble it did with its R&O.

With whom or what did the FCC get into trouble, Vince?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From -1417069238690300760
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:49:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader3-nwblwi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3adcc652$0$18888$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bini2$sc1$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCF1B4.91404B90@uci.edu>
<3add91c6$0$18890$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bkcag$bjn$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:44:53 -0400
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3ade191e$0$18897$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: b512cb3c.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=T\CYH^c\HAmh_P==R2PeVj@h7X@\0oLOm[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgNDeEXi8gP2flBFDaL\d^ne7
n;K>i9g7We
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19639
```

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:9bkcag$bjn$1@news.service.uci.edu...

> Hi Larry,
>
> Have you got that written into a macro or something? You post it as if the FCC published it that way. The FCC never published a document in such a form. It appears to be your own creation, with the context of their comments having been deleted.

Would like me to post the entire Report and Order?

The text in the quotes is the FCC words. The License Restructuring Decision was not a hastly written document. It had all the T's crossed and the I's dotted.

> More to the point, do you think their intent is to "ease" requirements?

No, I think they intend to bring their regulations into conformity with law by deleting the code exam requirement with or without the amateur radio community support. We best accept their decision less we hear another shoe drop.

Larry kc8epo

```
From -3671502495358825644
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:54:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!
news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
```

From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 22:54:32 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9bl5v8$ccb$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADE1215.6610864B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987634472 12683 149.174.88.254 (18 Apr 2001 22:54:32 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 22:54:32 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19640

>He's better at issues than you are at definitions, Vince. For
>God's sake, crack open a dictionary every once in awhile.

Keep praying for a bail out, Cecil, although Bill can't save you, just as he can't save himself. Starting with his "bull" statement in '93/94, when informed "the issue" was easing of requirements, not Morse. He needed Clark's statement plus FCC's concurring R&O to shut him down. And he's gone from there. Of all those arriving on the newsgroup in hopes of rattling cages just for the hell of it, then ending up rattling his own, Bill does it the fastest.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 9000240175054169778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:54:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader3-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd6u$joi$2@208.206.142.79> <3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org> <3adc3068$0$12826$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bio88$bnm$1@208.207.71.157> <9bj0it$3hr$1@216.155.32.178>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:49:53 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3ade1a4a$0$18895$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: b512cb3c.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=MXVYmG4oe=ch_P==R2PeVj@h7X@\0oLOm[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;Fg0eYCI4>J;SalBFDaL\d^ne7
n;K>i9g7We
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19641


"Larry Roll" <k3lt@magpage.com> wrote in message
news:9bj0it$3hr$1@216.155.32.178...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Larry Klose wrote:
> > >
> > > "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > > news:3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org...
> > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > >  Fools never learn. It's what the code is traded for that is the
fatal
> > > > > flaw.
> > > >
> > > > It's not traded for anything. As an entrance requirement, it is
simply
> > > > outdated and therefore is in the act of being dropped.
> > >
> > > No replacement is necessary to delete a regulation that is
> > > unnecessary, not in the public interest,and not in conformity
> > > with 5 USC 706.  The Morse code test rule is invalid, but  exist
> > > only because of ITU rule S25.5 and government inertia.
> >
> >  And more to the point, to make poor Larry unhappy.
>
> Which one?  Me or Klose?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I am happy with the FCC decision, so he must be picking on you.

Larry kc8epo




From 8274689387500818403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:54:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader3-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
<3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd6u$joi$2@208.206.142.79>
<3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org> <3adc3068$0$12826$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9bio88$bnm$1@208.207.71.157> <9bj0it$3hr$1@216.155.32.178>
<9bj2h8$9eb$0@208.207.71.157>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:52:07 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3ade1ad0$0$18887$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: b512cb3c.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=?
VEfTV=:L@@E4XNZ]a2YgL@h7X@\0oLOM[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FG2nPo@3Kb=lBlBFDaL\d^nE7n;K>i
9g7WE
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19642
```

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9bj2h8$9eb$0@208.207.71.157...

```
>
> > >  And more to the point, to make poor Larry unhappy.
> >
> > Which one?  Me or Klose?
>
>  Aw, Larry, you're not even Klose....
```

Nuts, I guess I will need to roll with Car-roll.

larry kc8epo

```
From -7714867385500576646
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:59:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 22:59:22 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9bl68a$ccb$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADE11B2.C6B65633@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987634762 12683 149.174.88.254 (18
Apr 2001 22:59:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 22:59:22 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19643

>You need to crack open a dictionary, Vince. Nowhere in my
>dictionaries is "outdated" synonymous with "obsolete".

Then you'd better get a decent dictionary, Cecil. You're out of
your league on this one....again.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -2481181233580297487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 15:59:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-
snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader3-nwblwi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
<3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd6u$joi$2@208.206.142.79>
<3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org> <3adc3068$0$12826$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9bio88$bnm$1@208.207.71.157> <9bk3nl$8hq8$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!

```
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:55:31 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3ade1b9c$0$18899$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: b512cb3c.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=\>LJa:Tl<AHb0EN^dS;UdE@h7X@\0oLOM[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FGgnnlTcSnRj@lBFDaL\d^nE7
n;K>i9g7WE
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19644
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15417 rec.radio.swap:29200
```

"Alex J. (KC0AK)" <alexjent@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:9bk3nl$8hq8$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...

> What the H$%^% does this have to do with buying or selling or swapping.
>
> Why don't you let this thread die away.
>
> Alex

Nothing. Some idiot start posting multiple newsgroups
and we forget to delete the other groups. Sorry.

The newsgroups software can stop this by only
returning one newsgroup to the readers.

Larry kc8epo

```
From 1178855198793581557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,CP1252
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 16:04:48 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader3-nwblwi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
```

```
<3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd6u$joi$2@208.206.142.79>
<3addd268.510540@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 19:01:17 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3ade1cf7$0$18897$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 2200e72b.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC===1R4\C1YegE4XNZ]a2Ygl@h7X@\0oLOm[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgNDeEXi8gP2flBFDaL\d^ne7
n;K>i9g7We
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19645
```

```
"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3addd268.510540@news.mindspring.com...
> On 17 Apr 2001 03:27:26 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >W6RCecilA wrote:
> >>
> >> Lamb wrote:
> >> > Does learning the morse code make one more considerate of others?
> >>
> >> Actually the least considerate individuals in all of ham radio are
> >> the rabid pro-code testing folk who worship the Morse Code Creed�.
> >> Dropping Morse code testing from the ARS is like dropping the Virgin
> >> Birth from the Christian religion.
> >
> > Fools never learn. It's what the code is traded for that is the fatal
> >flaw.
>
> Did I miss the big TRADE?
>
> What and when was the code traded for something else?
```

Their was a secret trade with the FCC, we got the 13 & 20 wpm code
exam requirement deleted in exchange for keeping Dick, Vincent, and Larry R
out of the FCC hair by entertaining them on this newsgroup.

It was good trade.

Larry kc8epo

From 179452436081440234
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 16:11:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 16:11:31 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3ADE1F23.4CAE276C@uci.edu>
References: <3adcc652$0$18888$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bini2$sc1$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCF1B4.91404B90@uci.edu> <3add91c6$0$18890$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bkcag$bjn$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ade191e$0$18897$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19647

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
> news:9bkcag$bjn$1@news.service.uci.edu...
> > Hi Larry,
> >
> > Have you got that written into a macro or something? You post it as if
> the
> > FCC published it that way. The FCC never published a document in such a
> > form. It appears to be your own creation, with the context of their
> > comments having been deleted.
>
> Would like me to post the entire Report and Order?

Not only that, you should send it to every email address on the
internet! :-)

> The text in the quotes is the FCC words.

Obviously I know exactly what it is, Larry. I read it when the FCC
published it.

> > More to the point, do you think their intent is to "ease" requirements?

> No, I think they intend to bring their regulations into conformity
> with law by deleting the code exam requirement with or without
> the amateur radio community support.

So then in what way is a quote of some pieces of the R&O taken out of
context, an answer to my question to Vince?

ac6xg

```
From -6867832474926814627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 16:11:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-
for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 16:04:34 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3ADE1D82.4B5B2FD6@uci.edu>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu>
<3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org> <3ADCCF78.B29673C3@uci.edu>
<3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org> <9bkd6h$c6e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3ADDDE01.AFF8056D@IEEE.org> <3ADE0144.3F46553E@uci.edu>
<3ADE0EAE.B6193699@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19646
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > There's a much more fundamental reason for the ARS to exist. The amateur
> > > > spectrum is like a National Park. Citizens have a reasonable expectation
to
> > > > be able to make use of the radio spectrum without a dependence on

```
commercial
> > > > radio service providers.  Selling amateur frequencies to the highest
bidder
> > > > is no different than turning Yellowstone Park over for private, commercial
> > > > development.
> > > >
> > > > It is a mistake to put all our eggs in the emergency communications
basket.
> > >
> > > Are you saying that the ARS is an attempt to put aside some spectrum as a
> > > national playground for the common folk? Therefore, we don't have to justify
> > > our existence?
> >
> > Where did I write we didn't have to justify our existance?
>
> You certainly implied that all that was necessary was our mere existence.
> So, exactly what must we *do* to justify our existence?

What I wrote
is that our existance is justified in ways more fundamental and
important than simply emergency communications.



From 4022458904701290647
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 16:20:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!xmission!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 23:18:02 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3ADE20AA.498E8815@bctv.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 987636051 11341 63.87.116.25 (18 Apr 2001 23:20:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 23:20:51 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15418
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19648
```

Bruce W wrote:
>
> Former Eagle scout and current BSA board member Steven Spielberg has
> pinned on his pink triangle and left the scouts because of their
> anti-gay posture. Don't you homophobes ever learn?

Sounds like you are the real phobic, Bruce.

Every time there is anything about the Scouts, you are in here drooling
and growling. Have you had your distemper shots?

From 6907587873213150095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 16:42:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 23:42:05 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9bl8od$dfo$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADE11B2.C6B65633@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987637325 13816 149.174.88.254 (18 Apr 2001 23:42:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 23:42:05 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19649

>You need to crack open a dictionary, Vince. Nowhere in my
>dictionaries is "outdated" synonymous with "obsolete". As a
>matter of fact, Webster's says "outdated" means "outmoded".

Then your dictionaries are junk, Cecil. Try Webster's Desk
Dictionary, and Funk & Wagnalls Standard Desk Dictionary for
starters in order to broaden your horizons.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -5223612471747166365
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 17:08:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 00:07:48 GMT
References: <3ADDA8CB.AAC897D7@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010418200748.14184.00000010@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19650

In article <3ADDA8CB.AAC897D7@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> W6RCecilA wrote:
>> > The
>> > ARS is not a fundamentally technical service and hasn't been for
>> > a number of years. The ARS is fundamentally a communications service.
>>
>>  Which is based on participants' sufficient knowledge of the
>> communications technologies ***which are in use in the ARS***.
>
>Earth to Dick: Professional designers of electronics have made it
>unnecessary for the participants to need to have much knowledge of
>the communications technologies. Technology has out-distanced the
>abilities of the vast majority of hams. Amateur radio operation is
>mostly plug and play now just like a host of other fields.

Carroll's critique is based on "technologies ***which are in use in
the ARS***."  That indicates a preservation of the status in which
Carroll is quo.  If Carroll knows it and has practiced it, it is allowed
but everything else he doesn't know about is (seemingly) prohibited.

Amateur radio (be definition "not for pecuniary interest") is taken
as having no involvement with professional (activites done for
pecuniary interest) radio.  Those definitions are seemingly the
rationales for claims of radio superiority over professionals by
some amateurs.


From -1683432959232210485
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 17:08:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
```

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!
news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 00:07:48 GMT
References: <9bkd6h$c6e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010418200748.14184.00000009@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19651

In article <9bkd6h$c6e$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:

>W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>news:3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org...
>> Jim Kelley wrote:
>> > One would hope the FCC cares about the health of the ARS. But the idea
>> > seems a bit pollyannaish to be coming from a federal agengy. Do you
>> > have anything in the way of fact that might lend support to such a
>> > notion?
>
>> Just logic. It's logical that the FCC recognizes and wants to preserve the
>> emergency communications function of the ARS. It's logical that the FCC
>> recognizes the average age of the members of the ARS. The nocode tech
>> license has contributed a large percentage of the present ARS membership.
>> It's logical to continue that same trend of reduced requirements in order
>> to attract enough amateur radio operators to provide the emergency
>> communications function.
>
>There's a much more fundamental reason for the ARS to exist. The amateur
>spectrum is like a National Park. Citizens have a reasonable expectation to
>be able to make use of the radio spectrum without a dependence on commercial
>radio service providers. Selling amateur frequencies to the highest bidder
>is no different than turning Yellowstone Park over for private, commercial
>development.

In what part of the EM spectrum has the FCC "sold amateur frequencies
to the highest bidder?"

Is this something new not covered in the FCC's Daily Digest? Or is it
some urban myth reborn out of a need to rationalize some paranoia?

The latest and recent-past EM spectrum auctions concerning new
radio services are on the FCC Wireless Telecommunications
Bureau home page. None of those are "selling amateur frequencies
to any highest bidder."

>It is a mistake to put all our eggs in the emergency communications basket.

Part 90, Title 47 CFR, has regulations for US Public Safety Radio Services. The California Auxilliary Communications Service (ACS) integrates amateur emergency communications into a statewide emergency plan. Integrates, makes amateurs on an equal footing with professionals. Omelettes taste better when mixed well.

From 4947531823308031233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 17:08:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 123
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 00:07:50 GMT
References: <20010418070849.05453.00000221@nso-bh.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010418200750.14184.00000011@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19653

In article <20010418070849.05453.00000221@nso-bh.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>In article <20010415163851.10479.00000088@nso-fa.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY),
>>apparently in need of writing a very very long counter-argument writes:
>>
>>>Do the vast majority of users of the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it
>>>exists today, obey the rules of that service?
>>
>>As far as I can tell, yes. :-)
>
>The smiley tells me you are not serious about that answer.

Mistake. Error. Incorrect. "Lie."

The smiley indicates the expected response from those who hear evil Citizen Boogeymen everywhere thousands of miles from another's geographic location. Their receivers exceed the physical limitations of radio, electronics, and the ionosphere beyond rational beings' capabilities.

>>Why does Miccolis ask?
>
>Just wanted your opinion, Len.

I don't think so. Such a "question" submitted in public is a rather obvious troll. If all that was wanted was an opinion, such could have been asked in private mail.

>> This is not the proper newsgroup to discuss
>>citizens band radio.
>
>I'll take that as an unsolicited opinion.

It would be a mistake, an error, a faulty judgement to "take" it that way. The title of this newsgroup is Amateur Policy.

Citizen Boogeyman, er, excuse me, Citizens Band radio was created first in 1958 (UHF), then augmented in 1959 to add the 11 meter telecommand and communications classes. Some radio amateurs have complained bitterly about the "theft" of their beloved, wholly-owned, ordained by God spectrum space on HF...for 42 years.

This newsgroup is titled as being for AMATEUR RADIO policy. Citizens Band Radio Service is not classified by the FCC as amateur radio, has its own Part within Title 47 CFR (95).

>>>Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as
>>>an example of how that Service should conduct itself?
>>
>>No. There are far too few channels for the number of users based
>>on reception in the Greater Los Angeles region. That is a subjective
>>opinion based on the number of "heterodynes" heard on each of the
>>forty channels.
>
>OK

Over a dozen years ago I came across some Electronic Industries Association (EIA) statistics indicating over 10 million CB radios had been sold to US customers. Those were the 11m voice units formerly called "Class D" in the 1959 regulations. In that same time period there were 40 channels allocated for CB voice. It is fairly obvious that, if all were on the air at the same time and spread evenly across the allocated spectrum, that would make it a quarter of a million users per channel.

The above is an exaggeration, of course. Not everyone is on the air at the same time nor are they spread evenly among 40 channels. Just the same, there is a crowding in a narrow EM spectral region that far exceeds what occurs in the most crowded amateur bands during DX contests. [some amateurs will object to that since they exaggerate ten to twenty to thirty ham stations vying for a rare DX attention as "thousands"]

Is there any wonder that a few CB enthusiasts go beyond allocations into freebanding? That's no excuse for illegal action on their part, but neither is it worthy of some Hue and Cry for Capital Punishment for the evildoers by some hams.

Radio amateurs should police their own bands first in order to justify a self-righteous "opinion" of their own "superiority" in all radio.

>>>Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as
>>>an example of how the Amateur Radio Service should be conducted?
>>
>>No.
>
>OK

That's a very blatant TROLL question, an attempt to manufacture some "dispute" for the sake of fighting, for a catharsis of personal opinion-and-frustration by the self-righteous. Standards and practices of today's amateur radio, including procedure, jargon, and everything else, is no role model for other radio services. Such hasn't even been divinely ordained, yet is included in many amateur liturgical documents.

>> Why would that even be a question, other than trolling?   :-)
>
>Just wanted to know your opinion, Len. Thank you for sharing.

You are welcome. It would be prudent to remember an old saying about "asking for what you want...you may get it."

CB was created by the FCC 42 years ago. The 40 meter band allocation changes began at WARC-79, 22 years ago. That 40 m issue HAS NOT BEEN SETTLED IN 22 YEARS' TIME.

The ARRL does not represent CB radio (see subject thread header of "Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can."). It represents US amateur radio (by their own claims). Both the ARRL and IARU keep talking about the 40 m issue, yet nothing but talk has been done yet to settle it. 22 years of such talk. It would seem prudent to concentrate on amateur radio matters such as that rather than yet-another-castigation of CB radio.

The 40 m issue does not concern me. Have a blast with it.

```
From -7297548366986631l7
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 17:08:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
```

```
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 00:07:47 GMT
References: <MPG.154650331c075c79896d4@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010418200747.14184.00000008@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19652
```

In article <MPG.154650331c075c79896d4@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>After extending the invitation...
>>
>> The anonymous one wishes a personal confrontation? Fine,
>> she can then drop by my QTH and do just that.   :-)
>
>In article <20010417133342.16316.00000181@nso-cu.aol.com>, Upyours Furher
>Anderschmidt aka Leona Anderdaughter (get it??!!) squirms like a fish on
>a hook after realizing the invitation has been accepted, then weasels his
>way out of it by typing....

No "weaseling" done. Anyone showing up at my house demanding a "meeting" has to be prepared to accept the consequences. Judging from the language used by the Anonymous one, this would not be a pleasant meeting.

Anyone is free to travel to and through all the states of the USA. Tickets to LAX or PSP are not free, nor are rental car fees, gasoline, insurance, or bail (after arrest). But, the anonymous one has, by admission, "plenty of income" and can afford to squander it...not by talking of pleasantries about avocations, but by demanding "meetings" to "discuss differences."   :-)

Perhaps this is all in the "spirit of amateur radio?" A "communications service" where some take it to be a confrontational service wherein they seek to intimidate others? To subjugate them to their wishes? To use vile language in an angry tone because others are not frightened of their majestic powers and achievements?

Thanks for proving the point that, for some, amateur radio is not a recreational avocation, but a fume vent for your anger and personal frustrations.

Which is it? April 30th or May 23rd?

Do you need directions? From PSP terminal drive
south on El Cielo (past the government buildings)
to Ramon Road (bear left, watch for signs pointing to
I-70). Go east on Ramon Rd to Gene Autry Highway 111,
turn left there and keep watching signs (on your left)
after about two miles from the intersection.

There. Happy now? :-)

From 3788199131740651768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 17:25:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <MPG.1547fb124f61d9629896d6@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.154650331c075c79896d4@news.earthlink.net>
<20010418200747.14184.00000008@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 43
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 00:25:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.115.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987639942 63.208.115.44 (Wed, 18 Apr
2001 17:25:42 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 17:25:42 PDT
X-Received-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 17:24:18 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19654

In article <20010418200747.14184.00000008@nso-mj.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com says...
> In article <MPG.154650331c075c79896d4@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:
>
> >After extending the invitation...
> >>
> >> The anonymous one wishes a personal confrontation? Fine,
> >> she can then drop by my QTH and do just that.   :-)
> >
> >In article <20010417133342.16316.00000181@nso-cu.aol.com>, Upyours Furher
> >Anderschmidt aka Leona Anderdaughter (get it??!!) squirms like a fish on
> >a hook after realizing the invitation has been accepted, then weasels his
> >way out of it by typing....

>
> Which is it? April 30th or May 23rd?

April 30th Leona, your official day of mourning the death of your Furher,
who took the Andersonian way (read coward) of kicking off this mortal
coil. I am sure the black arm bands will go well with your brown Hilter
youth outfit.

The 23rd is when I plan on flying in.

> Do you need directions?

Nope, I already have your address and phone number. I'll call you when I
get in.

> There. Happy now? :-)

Bank on it ;-)

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 9182887532995887388
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 17:31:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: 19 Apr 2001 00:31:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9blbkj$esq$0@208.207.71.144>
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com>
<OPYC6.353$D4.29513@www.newsranger.com> <9bk6ca$b5j$2@208.207.71.138>
<_AhD6.1530$D4.146841@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19655



K0HB wrote:
>
> In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, Dick Carroll blurted out:
>
> > Change 'camel' to 'radio outlaws', also known as freebanders, and the
> >tent to 'ham radio' and you have the situation we face, albeit on a
> >smaller scale - for now.
>
> Hey Dick,
>
> It's called a parable.  Wake up and smell the roses!
>

 Go back to sleep, Hans. You missed the point anyway.



From 5210425908032092778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 17:32:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 19 Apr 2001 00:32:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9blbn9$esq$1@208.207.71.144>
References: <3ADD0B14.37F5AFE0@IEEE.org> <9bj2k1$2nt$2@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADD133F.18D387E7@IEEE.org>
<9bk73d$de7$1@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA6CB.FFCF57D1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19656



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  And finally, after 50+ years, you learned enough to get your Extra.
>
```

> I took the Extra exam only one time and scored 100%.

So did I - with no question pool to study. I'd bet you wouldn't have made 100% on my Extra test even if you are an engineer.

```
From 8383831048413603617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 17:34:12 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 19 Apr 2001 00:34:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9blbq3$esq$2@208.207.71.144>
References: <3ADC80C2.1282B296@IEEE.org> <9bi066$7sk$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org>
<9biq3k$bnm$4@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0CA2.49EA3F70@IEEE.org>
<9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA8CB.AAC897D7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19657
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > The
> > > ARS is not a fundamentally technical service and hasn't been for
> > > a number of years. The ARS is fundamentally a communications service.
> >
> > Which is based on participants' sufficient knowledge of the
> > communications technologies ***which are in use in the ARS***.
>
> Earth to Dick: Professional designers of electronics have made it
> unnecessary for the participants to need to have much knowledge of
> the communications technologies. Technology has out-distanced the
> abilities of the vast majority of hams. Amateur radio operation is
> mostly plug and play now just like a host of other fields.

So why even bother with ham radio? Just get a cellphone account.

From -2385573007996743434
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 17:35:45 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 00:35:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9blbt1$esq$3@208.207.71.144>
References: <3ADBBD6D.A67DDF4D@IEEE.org> <20010417075958.05102.00000574@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9binrs$art$0@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0F02.632FCC0E@IEEE.org> <9bk70i$de7$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDAAE8.ABEAD11@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19658

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >   If that is true there should be no advanced levels of licensing.
> > Entrance exams are entrance exams, such as Novice and General. Advanced
> > and Extra are not, ...
>
> What a silly thing to say, Dick. The Advanced exam was the entry level
> exam into the Advanced class. The Extra exam is the entry level exam
> into the Extra class. What is it about ENTERING the Extra class by
> passing the Extra exam that you don't understand?

You are full of crap

From -3308326449246573326
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 17:37:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 00:37:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9blc13$esq$4@208.207.71.144>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19659

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > We learned that you don't neutralize an avowed enemy by pandering to
> > his every whim.
>
> Who is the "avowed enemy", Dick, and why?

You can ask them, in fact you might even ask yourself. Seems they all
hate the code and everyone who has ever used it.

From 5748145366386504319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 17:49:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <MPG.1548009e81d13ddf9896d7@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.154650331c075c79896d4@news.earthlink.net>
<20010418200747.14184.00000008@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 33
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 00:49:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.115.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net

X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987641361 63.208.115.44 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 17:49:21 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 17:49:21 PDT
X-Received-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 17:48:03 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19660

In article <20010418200747.14184.00000008@nso-mj.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com says...

> Which is it? April 30th or May 23rd?
>
> Do you need directions? From PSP terminal drive
> south on El Cielo (past the government buildings)
> to Ramon Road (bear left, watch for signs pointing to
> I-70). Go east on Ramon Rd to Gene Autry Highway 111,
> turn left there and keep watching signs (on your left)
>

Palm Springs Regional, Leona?? Kinda far, don;t ya think?

I-70 Leona?? somehow I don't trust your sense of direction there. Maybe I have a defective map, but according to the one I have, I-70 actually ends in Utah where it meets I-15. Now there is an I-15 that passes through the outskirts of Los Angeles. There's an I-10, but since you are the anal retentive of non mistakes, I can only assume you are trying to trick me you silly wabbit.

As to local airports, closer to you, I was thinking more along the lines of Santa Monica Municipal (SMO) or perhaps Compton/Woodley (CPM). They offer reasonable landing fees and don;t require advance notice.

But that's really not any concern of yours. Looking forward to coffee and danish, Lemon's OK..no prune or cheese

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From -7862981232678325402
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 18:08:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 01:08:56 GMT

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19661

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > We learned that you don't neutralize an avowed enemy by pandering to
> > his every whim.
>
> Who is the "avowed enemy", Dick, and why?

You mean you have to ask, after all their rantings right here on rrap? How about NCI and all their supporters, who have clearly shown their enmity toward CW and everyone associated with it? THEY avowed it, not us.

From 5440513948508576388
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 18:10:41 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE3AC9.3C296518@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:09:29 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu> <3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org> <3ADCCF78.B29673C3@uci.edu> <3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org> <9bkd6h$c6e$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ADDDE01.AFF8056D@IEEE.org> <3ADE0144.3F46553E@uci.edu> <3ADE0EAE.B6193699@IEEE.org> <3ADE1D82.4B5B2FD6@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987642196

64.240.47.118 (18 Apr 2001 20:03:16 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19662

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > Where did I write we didn't have to justify our existance?
> >
> > You certainly implied that all that was necessary was our mere existence.
> > So, exactly what must we *do* to justify our existence?
>
> What I wrote
> is that our existance is justified in ways more fundamental and
> important than simply emergency communications.

What you wrote was: "Where did I write we didn't have to justify our existance?" So do we or don't we have to justify our existence? Your choice of passive voice masks the necessary action, if action is indeed necessary.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8936331810530732144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 18:13:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 01:13:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9ble3e$mlf$1@208.207.71.144>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138>
<ZJiD6.1639$D4.158796@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19663
```

K0HB wrote:

>
> (Who spent 21 years bearing arms in my youth, but haven't figured
> out why that has a damned thing to do with how fast somebody can
> beep in Morse.)

I didn't think so either, given that I did not one trick of CW operating during my 5 year military stint. But....somehow NCI et al seem to see anything a CW supporter does as somehow alien and of enmity to the "people".

```
From -18757048159396069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 18:19:30 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE3CD9.308DC11A@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:18:17 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADD0B14.37F5AFE0@IEEE.org> <9bj2k1$2nt$2@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADD133F.18D387E7@IEEE.org>
<9bk73d$de7$1@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA6CB.FFCF57D1@IEEE.org>
<9blbn9$esq$1@208.207.71.144>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987642724
64.240.47.118 (18 Apr 2001 20:12:04 -0500)
```

Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19664

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > And finally, after 50+ years, you learned enough to get your Extra.
> >
> > I took the Extra exam only one time and scored 100%.
>
> So did I - with no question pool to study. I'd bet you wouldn't have
> made 100% on my Extra test even if you are an engineer.

What is a 100% sure bet is that I didn't take that exam. But, given
the license manuals, there's no reason to think I wouldn't have
achieved a perfect score. After all, the 1957 ARRL License Manual
had 25 pages of Extra stuff to memorize. The Y2K ARRL Extra License
Manual had 359 pages.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1269975788846174578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 18:22:11 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE3D79.B20628B9@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:20:57 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC80C2.1282B296@IEEE.org> <9bi066$7sk$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org>
<9biq3k$bnm$4@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0CA2.49EA3F70@IEEE.org>
<9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA8CB.AAC897D7@IEEE.org>
<9blbq3$esq$2@208.207.71.144>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987642882
64.240.47.118 (18 Apr 2001 20:14:42 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.interpacket.net!
sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19665
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> So why even bother with ham radio? Just get a cellphone account.

I have both, don't you?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6336817309596170789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 18:30:52 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE3F8B.3194BAAA@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:29:47 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADE1215.6610864B@IEEE.org> <9bl5v8$ccb$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987643412
64.240.47.118 (18 Apr 2001 20:23:32 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!
news.rr.com!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19666

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >He's better at issues than you are at definitions, Vince. For
> >God's sake, crack open a dictionary every once in awhile.
>
> Keep praying for a bail out, Cecil, ...

I'm an atheist, Vince. My faith is in Webster's.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -5758126262012090979
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 18:36:28 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE40DD.99DBF893@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:35:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADE11B2.C6B65633@IEEE.org> <9bl68a$ccb$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987643751 64.240.47.118 (18 Apr 2001 20:29:11 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19667

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >You need to crack open a dictionary, Vince. Nowhere in my
> >dictionaries is "outdated" synonymous with "obsolete".
>
> Then you'd better get a decent dictionary, Cecil.

Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary:
"outdated - outmoded"

Webster's New Universal Unabridged Dictionary:
"outdated - outmoded"

Neither dictionary even mentions "obsolete" under "outdated".
Sorry, Vince, you lose. Many things are outdated which are
not obsolete. Please stop trying to put words into my mouth
that I didn't say. It's tantamount to lying and an indication
of desperation on your part.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7103859043207969087
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 18:38:02 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE4136.DFCF6ADD@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:36:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADE11B2.C6B65633@IEEE.org> <9bl8od$dfo$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987643840 64.240.47.118 (18 Apr 2001 20:30:40 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19668

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >You need to crack open a dictionary, Vince. Nowhere in my
> >dictionaries is "outdated" synonymous with "obsolete". As a
> >matter of fact, Webster's says "outdated" means "outmoded".
>
> Then your dictionaries are junk, Cecil. Try Webster's Desk
> Dictionary, and Funk & Wagnalls Standard Desk Dictionary for
> starters in order to broaden your horizons.

Those old obsolete dictionaries? Try Webster's New Universal
Unabridged Dictionary to alleviate your ignorance.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -9197574010361298648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 18:47:25 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE436A.B9A6A512@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:46:18 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9blc13$esq$4@208.207.71.144>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987644404 64.240.47.118 (18 Apr 2001 20:40:04 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19669

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Who is the "avowed enemy", Dick, and why?
>
> You can ask them, in fact you might even ask yourself. Seems they all
> hate the code and everyone who has ever used it.

Assuming that such people exist, do they not have the right to hate whatever and whomever they choose? Are you a member of the feeling police, Dick? Do you dispense capital punishment for wrong thinking or just torture people into submission a la "1984"?

I personally don't know anyone who hates Morse code. However, I know some people who hate the Morse code affirmative action program administered by the feds because of S25.5. What is wrong with freedom of choice of modes in a hobby in a free country?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2995620520409332927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 18:48:23 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE43A5.3EC5DF9B@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:47:17 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3ADBBD6D.A67DDF4D@IEEE.org> <20010417075958.05102.00000574@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9binrs$art$0@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0F02.632FCC0E@IEEE.org> <9bk70i$de7$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDAAE8.ABEAD11@IEEE.org> <9blbt1$esq$3@208.207.71.144>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987644462 64.240.47.118 (18 Apr 2001 20:41:02 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!hub1.nntpserver.com!hub1.nibble.net!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19670

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > What a silly thing to say, Dick. The Advanced exam was the entry level
> > exam into the Advanced class. The Extra exam is the entry level exam
> > into the Extra class. What is it about ENTERING the Extra class by
> > passing the Extra exam that you don't understand?
>
> You are full of crap

Like I said, what a silly thing to say.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4212729990778183431
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 19:02:42 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 21:01:31 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987645318
64.240.47.118 (18 Apr 2001 20:55:18 -0500)
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
```

Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19671

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > We learned that you don't neutralize an avowed enemy by pandering to
> > > his every whim.
> >
> > Who is the "avowed enemy", Dick, and why?
>
> You mean you have to ask, after all their rantings right here on rrap?
> How about NCI and all their supporters, who have clearly shown their
> enmity toward CW and everyone associated with it? THEY avowed it, not
> us.

Someday you are going to have to learn to read, Dick. The NCI web page
says they don't have enmity toward CW or anyone associated with it.
What they object to is the federal affirmative action program for Morse
code testing. It ceased to be necessary decades ago and is simply an
outdated artifact left over from earlier days that needs to be discontinued.
You continue to be confused about CW VS Morse code testing. Nobody hates
CW. Lots of people hate the Morse code testing requirement, maybe even
the majority of hams.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5087269733013072697
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 19:03:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 22:02:06 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tdshqi2k25rb95@corp.supernews.com>

References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 30
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15427
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19672

Actually, that's not quite true, according to what I read.

Spielberg did not quit.

He just did not renew. Or actually indicated he would not renew.

And he did not say it was because of the BSA stand on gays. What he DID say was that he felt that his renewing and remaining a member of the board he was on was construed by some people as his taking sides in the issue with the BSA.

So... He did NOT quit. He did NOT say the BSA was wrong or right. He's staying out of it.

Mike

Bruce W <bw821@lamvda.com> wrote in message
news:9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net...

> Former Eagle scout and current BSA board member Steven Spielberg has pinned on his pink triangle and left the scouts because of their anti-gay posture. Don't you homophobes ever learn? See the story at
>
> http://www.sacbee.com/news/calreport/
> calrep_story.cgi?story=N2001-04-16-1800-1.html

From 5380679400164182944
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 19:06:02 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE47C5.D5614BCB@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 21:04:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <ZJiD6.1639$D4.158796@www.newsranger.com> <9ble3e$mlf$1@208.207.71.144>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987645520 64.240.47.118 (18 Apr 2001 20:58:40 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19673

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I didn't think so either, given that I did not one trick of CW
> operating during my 5 year military stint. But....somehow NCI et al seem
> to see anything a CW supporter does as somehow alien and of enmity to
> the "people".

You continue to be confused, Dick. NCI doesn't object to CW or CW supporters.
NCI objects to the federal Morse code *testing* affirmative action program.
What is it about "Morse code testing" that is so hard for you to comprehend?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1882467228271825785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 06:52:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 13:52:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9bk67m$b5j$1@208.207.71.138>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
<3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd14$joi$0@208.206.142.79>
<3ADC518B.E3C6AC9C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19574



W6RCecilA wrote:
>

> I don't know any freebanders

Why, from your postings you know all about them.


From -4901026194769850665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 06:55:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Date: 18 Apr 2001 13:55:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9bk6ca$b5j$2@208.207.71.138>
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com>
<OPYC6.353$D4.29513@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19575
```

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com>, Larry Roll K3LT
> says...
>
> >I think its time to provide "fill in the application blank" type of access
> >to the amateur 2m, 220, and 440 bands.
> ......
> >We've already reduced amateur license testing to the status of a
> >joke; so why not get a real laugh and give the microphone jockeys
> >their dream-come-true?
>
> That's tantamount to letting the camel put his nose in the tent.
>
> An Arab was out on the desert with his camel. He saw a huge sand
> storm coming. He made the camel lie down and then erected his tent
> so he could be out of the flying sand and the harsh wind. The camel
> stuck his nose under the flap of the tent and said "Oh, Master. I
> can hardly breathe with all of this sand and wind. May I put my nose
> inside the tent so I can breathe?" The Arab was a kindly person and
> allowed the camel to put his nose in the tent. During the night,
> the Arab awakened to find that he was being crushed by the camel.
> He kicked the camel and tried to make himself comfortable. After
> a while the camel said "Oh, Master, If thou art crowded, thou
> canst leave".

Change 'camel' to 'radio outlaws', also known as freebanders, and the
tent to 'ham radio' and you have the situation we face, albeit on a
smaller scale - for now.

From -7302141467396817369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 06:57:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 13:57:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19576

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So what we eventually have, sooner rather than later the way it's
> > going, is a ham radio that exists in name only, and then only because
> > FCC hasn't gotten around to renaming it. The basic original entity will
> > have de facto ceased to exist.
>
> There is no basic original entity, Dick. Amateur Radio has been changing
> and evolving ever since it was conceived. You like the first 40 years of
> change and hate the last 40 years of change.
>

You are completetly and totally wrong, as per your usual.I love the
advances in technology. It's the terrible skid of some of the people,
like you, that I detest.

From -5196817181675928859
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 06:59:46 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 13:59:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138>
References: <3ADC80C2.1282B296@IEEE.org> <9bi066$7sk$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9biq3k$bnm$4@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0CA2.49EA3F70@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19577

W6RCecilA wrote:

```
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > IMO, the only valid reason for the continued existence of the ARS is
> > > to provide emergency communications. It is not necessary to know Ohm's
> > > law in order to provide emergency communications.
> >
> > So you disagree with the FCC's statement that the ARS is a
> > fundamentally technical service.
>
> I disagree with it in the sense that it is a false statement. The
> ARS is not a fundamentally technical service and hasn't been for
> a number of years. The ARS is fundamentally a communications service.


 Which is based on participants' sufficient knowledge of the
communications technologies ***which are in use in the ARS***.


From -6921100871958906075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:02:51 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 14:02:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9bk6pn$b5j$5@208.207.71.138>
References: <3ADC554D.FBBABD92@IEEE.org> <9bhopp$52a$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <9bip5s$bnm$3@208.207.71.157>
<3ADD0D9B.8244925F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19578



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Cecil already knows this isn't a new technlogy vs. old issue. Doesn't
> > keep him from doing his damnedest to make it so, though.
>
```

> The new technology has obsoleted the old ways of doing things, Dick.
> That's true in all areas of our lives and it is true in ham radio.
> Why do you believe that amateur radio should somehow be exempt from
> change, evolution, and progress when nothing else is?
>

Better consult your dictionary. Obselete isn't what you think.

```
From -3137643859501312250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:05:50 PST
Message-ID: <3ADD9EFF.734E381B@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 09:04:47 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
<3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd14$joi$0@208.206.142.79>
<3ADC518B.E3C6AC9C@IEEE.org> <9bk67m$b5j$1@208.207.71.138>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987602312
64.240.47.89 (18 Apr 2001 08:58:32 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19579
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I don't know any freebanders
>
> Why, from your postings you know all about them.

Of course, I know *about* them. I also know *about*
murderers but I don't know any murderers.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From 6104408344277640150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:06:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 18 Apr 2001 14:06:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9bk70i$de7$0@208.207.71.138>
References: <3ADBBD6D.A67DDF4D@IEEE.org> <20010417075958.05102.00000574@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9binrs$art$0@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0F02.632FCC0E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19580
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > A testing system that demands little or no learning for an examinee to
> > acheive every level of licensing. Very efficient.
>
> They are entrance exams, Dick, not graduation certificates. I learned
> almost everything I know about ham radio *AFTER* I got my Conditional
> license in 1952 which granted me *ALL* amateur radio operating privileges.

If that is true there should be no advanced levels of licensing.
Entrance exams are entrance exams, such as Novice and General. Advanced
and Extra are not, despite your (and some of ARRL staff) insisting it is
so. Never was, never will be, except that the tests of today have so

```
diluted the mix that FCC might as well just go ahead and issue one
license to all. It is more smoke screening and falsfication.


From -4440846249454224330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:07:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 14:07:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9bk73d$de7$1@208.207.71.138>
References: <3ADD0B14.37F5AFE0@IEEE.org> <9bj2k1$2nt$2@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADD133F.18D387E7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19581



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> >
> > >Why, Vince? To what purpose?
> >
> > To learn the basics of how things work, Cecil. Which is what
> > ham radio is all about.
>
> That's not all that ham radio is about, Vince. I learned almost
> everything I know about how things work *after* I obtained my
> Conditional license.



 And finally, after 50+ years, you learned enough to get your Extra.


From 7802266756255675642
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:08:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: 18 Apr 2001 14:08:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9bk756$de7$2@208.207.71.138>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15391
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19582



Bruce W wrote:
>
> Former Eagle scout and current BSA board member Steven Spielberg has
> pinned on his pink triangle and left the scouts because of their
> anti-gay posture.

 Good riddance


From -7737099397050955553
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:25:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 14:25:13 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9bk849$np2$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3add91c6$0$18890$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987603913 24354 149.174.88.254 (18
Apr 2001 14:25:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 14:25:13 GMT
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19583

>The FCC stated in its Report and Order (FCC 99-142) for WT
>Docket #98-143 that:

>(a) "the public interest will best be served by reducing the
>telegraphy examination requirement to the minimum requirement
>that we have found that meets the Radio Regulations" ;

>(b) "an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse code
>proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's
>ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art" ;

The above demonstrates ONE example of how FCC got into the
trouble it did with its R&O. That is,

An individual's ability to demonstrate ANYTHING, including being
a professional engineer, is not necessarily indicative of that
individual's ability to contribute to the advancement of the
radio art.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -6211900308923571167
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:27:27 PST
Message-ID: <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 09:26:12 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org> <9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org> <9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987603598 64.240.47.89 (18 Apr 2001 09:19:58 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.interpacket.net!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19584

Dick Carroll wrote:

> You are completetly and totally wrong, as per your usual.I love the
> advances in technology. It's the terrible skid of some of the people,
> like you, that I detest.

What you don't seem to realize is that the advance in technology is the cause of that "terrible skid of some of the people". It's not terrible at all and is the predictable result of those very advances in technology. It has happened in many other fields. You are living in a delusional world if you think you can keep it from happening in ham radio. You long for a return to the good old days but those days are gone forever.

You don't have to be a mechanic to drive a car. You don't have to be an electronics whiz to use a ham radio. Professional auto designers design cars so you don't have to. Professional radio designers design ham radios so you don't have to. You are no more capable of arresting the evolution of the ARS than you are capable of arresting the evolution of the automobile.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6176331320119272922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:32:08 PST
Message-ID: <3ADDA526.1DF2CC3F@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 09:31:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>

```
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
<3ADBBDD4.D34F386C@IEEE.org> <9bk64p$b5j$0@208.207.71.138>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987603888
64.240.47.89 (18 Apr 2001 09:24:48 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19585

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >  The acquisition of technical knowledge represents work, ...
> >
> > Not for me, Dick. For me, it represents fun.
>
> So work is never fun for you? Strange, most of us find enjoyable work to
> be fun.

We are talking about "acquisition of technical knowledge", not
about digging a ditch. Acquisition of technical knowledge is
fun for me and is essentially effortless.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3363113376433081143
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:33:46 PST
```

Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com>
<OPYC6.353$D4.29513@www.newsranger.com> <9bk6ca$b5j$2@208.207.71.138>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <_AhD6.1530$D4.146841@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 14:33:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987604410 209.208.22.130 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 10:33:30 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 10:33:30 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19586

In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, Dick Carroll blurted out:

> Change 'camel' to 'radio outlaws', also known as freebanders, and the
>tent to 'ham radio' and you have the situation we face, albeit on a
>smaller scale - for now.

Hey Dick,

It's called a parable. Wake up and smell the roses!

73, Hans, K0HB

From 3747081954829552469
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:39:12 PST
Message-ID: <3ADDA6CB.FFCF57D1@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 09:38:03 -0500

```
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADD0B14.37F5AFE0@IEEE.org> <9bj2k1$2nt$2@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADD133F.18D387E7@IEEE.org>
<9bk73d$de7$1@208.207.71.138>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987604308
64.240.47.89 (18 Apr 2001 09:31:48 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19587

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  And finally, after 50+ years, you learned enough to get your Extra.

I took the Extra exam only one time and scored 100%.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -8728463449200164568
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:47:37 PST
Message-ID: <3ADDA8CB.AAC897D7@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 09:46:35 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC80C2.1282B296@IEEE.org> <9bi066$7sk$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org>
<9biq3k$bnm$4@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0CA2.49EA3F70@IEEE.org>
<9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987604821
64.240.47.89 (18 Apr 2001 09:40:21 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19588
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > The
> > ARS is not a fundamentally technical service and hasn't been for
> > a number of years. The ARS is fundamentally a communications service.
>
> Which is based on participants' sufficient knowledge of the
> communications technologies ***which are in use in the ARS***.

Earth to Dick: Professional designers of electronics have made it
unnecessary for the participants to need to have much knowledge of
the communications technologies. Technology has out-distanced the
abilities of the vast majority of hams. Amateur radio operation is
mostly plug and play now just like a host of other fields.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -479878887015864954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:47:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 14:47:54 -0000
Organization: Shecora
Message-ID: <90876CBA6darrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 21
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15393
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19589

bw821@lamvda.com (Bruce W) wrote in <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>:

>
>Former Eagle scout and current BSA board member Steven Spielberg has

He is NOT a FORMER Eagle Scout. He is an Eagle Scout!

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

From 618631376365980692
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:50:43 PST
Message-ID: <3ADDA97E.D53FB0B8@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 09:49:34 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC554D.FBBABD92@IEEE.org> <9bhopp$52a$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <9bip5s$bnm$3@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0D9B.8244925F@IEEE.org> <9bk6pn$b5j$5@208.207.71.138>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987604998 64.240.47.89 (18 Apr 2001 09:43:18 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19590

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Better consult your dictionary. Obselete isn't what you think.

I have no thoughts about "obselete" and cannot find it in my dictionary.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From 2844496013646735566
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 07:56:52 PST
Message-ID: <3ADDAAE8.ABEAD11@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 09:55:36 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3ADBBD6D.A67DDF4D@IEEE.org> <20010417075958.05102.00000574@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9binrs$art$0@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0F02.632FCC0E@IEEE.org> <9bk70i$de7$0@208.207.71.138>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987605361 64.240.47.89 (18 Apr 2001 09:49:21 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19591

Dick Carroll wrote:
>   If that is true there should be no advanced levels of licensing.
> Entrance exams are entrance exams, such as Novice and General. Advanced
> and Extra are not, ...

What a silly thing to say, Dick. The Advanced exam was the entry level
exam into the Advanced class. The Extra exam is the entry level exam
into the Extra class. What is it about ENTERING the Extra class by
passing the Extra exam that you don't understand?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7881027739256099624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 08:08:06 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newscon01.news.prodigy.com!newsmst01.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ADD9FE8.26EEC18C@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.42.84
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 987606454 6004826 208.188.42.84 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 11:07:34 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 11:07:34 EDT
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 15:07:34 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15394 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19592

So much the better for the Boy Scouts.

Bruce W wrote:
>
> Former Eagle scout and current BSA board member Steven Spielberg has
> pinned on his pink triangle and left the scouts because of their
> anti-gay posture. Don't you homophobes ever learn? See the story at
>
> http://www.sacbee.com/news/calreport/
> calrep_story.cgi?story=N2001-04-16-1800-1.html

From 8599389959464457508
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 08:20:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 18 Apr 2001 15:20:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19593

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com
>
> >Unfortunately, that particular sword cuts both ways. In fact, it was exactly
> >the brutal ad hominem attacks of rabid NCTA's that embroiled me in this
> >debate on Fidonet over a decade ago.
>
> I, too, disagreed very strongly with some of the insults and attacks that some
> of the NCTAs used. Unfortunately, those that are now using the same tactics
> appear to be aligned with the PCTA side. It is unfortunate that some of both
> opinions feel that such conduct is appropriate in any social situation. Even
> worse, at least one of them feels that his demeanor is helping to teach people
> right from wrong.
>
> >Also unfortunately, I must admit that
> >I have been responsible for my own fair share of such attacks -- but only
> >after being quite righteously provoked.
>
> ONLY you are responsible for your actions, Larry. "She made me beat her"
> doesn't hold up in a court of law, or in the court of public opinion.
>
> >The difference is that I do have a
> >conscience about such things; a lot of Usenet users do not. Such is the
> >pity.
>
> I would believe this if you were to take the the time to find the attacks that
> are causing you pangs of conscience and apologize to those whom you have
> offended.

Maybe I can offer a little insight here, Ed. While you and Cecil and
othres posting here spent much of your youth hanging out in the hippie
culture, presumabely looking for new and creative ways to avoid reality,
Larry and I were in the military getting a full dose of reality on an
ongoing, daily basis.
We learned that you don't neutralize an avowed enemy by pandering to
his every whim.
Do I believe that ham radio has enemies which do not wish us well? A
good number of whom are present amongst us? Does a bear live in the
woods?

From 5455635466705217079
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 08:26:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 15:26:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <9bkbm9$oo5$0@208.207.71.138>
References: <9bio6i$bnm$0@208.207.71.157> <20010418073406.26389.00000540@ng-mn1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19594

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > Ed if you could wake up yoiu would know from long ago that it is the
> >SYSTEM that I demean and not the products of it
>
> You say this, but you continue to call these people "Clueless Extras." You are
> offering empty words that are not borne out by your conduct.

So then I should just go ahead and accept that no longer shall, ever,
an extra class test amount to anything, but everyone who passes it
shall. Typical reductionist attitude.

>
> >I have made tha clear
> >many times.It isn't the fault of new Extra licensees that they were not
> >required to learn anything meaningful to attain that level of licensing.
>
> And it must really frost your cake that most of them do learn things in ham
> radio, eh?

No, Ed, the frosting on the ecake is that many do actually attempt to
learn the material on the tests, imn spite of the fact that it is not
really necessary under the present system.

>
> >Of course you can easily overlook the resulting inferior product. Just
> >as long as he joins the League.
>
> I haven't checked the status of any individual under discussion here, Dick.
> For that matter, have you? Let's do so, though. Can you provide the call
> signs of some of this "inferior product" from this forum and I can tell you
> what percentages are ARRL members.

To the extent that they desire, they have been self revealing. It would
hardly be proper ot name names, would it?

>
> >Thtr FCC might just as well have dropped all pretense of graduated
> >licensing.
>
> Fortunately, the FCC does not agree with you.

Why not? To preserve a fiction? Not the first time, and surely not the
last.

From 7222076780328427607
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 08:29:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 15:29:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9bkbt3$p8v$0@208.207.71.138>
References: <9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <20010418073922.26389.00000542@ng-mn1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19595



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > So what we eventually have, sooner rather than later the way it's
> >going, is a ham radio that exists in name only, and then only because
> >FCC hasn't gotten around to renaming it. The basic original entity will
> >have de facto ceased to exist.
>
> What could you do in Amateur Radio 10 years ago that you are no longer able to
> do today?


"Today" isn't the problem, but it is coming closer and closer to that
day.

 For one thing, tune across 10 meters without hearing many CBers haveing
set up shop.

 Another, argue with you about it all here on RRAP.


From 8262339351507875649
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 08:34:57 PST
Message-ID: <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 10:33:46 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987607652
64.240.47.68 (18 Apr 2001 10:27:32 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
```

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!hub1.nntpserver.com!hub1.nibble.net!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19596

Dick Carroll wrote:
> We learned that you don't neutralize an avowed enemy by pandering to
> his every whim.

Who is the "avowed enemy", Dick, and why?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2389645633823304574
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 08:40:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!msc1.onvoy!onvoy.com!tethys.csu.net!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can. And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 08:39:41 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 77
Message-ID: <9bkcag$bjn$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3adcc652$0$18888$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bini2$sc1$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCF1B4.91404B90@uci.edu>
<3add91c6$0$18890$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-03.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19597

Hi Larry,

Have you got that written into a macro or something? You post it as if the

FCC published it that way. The FCC never published a document in such a
form. It appears to be your own creation, with the context of their
comments having been deleted.

More to the point, do you think their intent is to "ease" requirements?

73, Jim AC6XG

Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
news:3add91c6$0$18890$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
> "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
> news:3ADCF1B4.91404B90@uci.edu...
> >
> > Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> > >
> > > >>Why not just stay to the issue: easing of requirements.
> > >
> > > >The issue is easing (deleting) ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47
> > > >CFR 97.503(a).
> > >
> > > >Ok Vince, do you understand it now.
> > >
> > > >Larry KC8EPO
> > >
> > > Absolutely. The issue is easing of requirements,
> > > any which way you twist or turn it, including
> > > through the 'regulations.' For FCC's R&O makes
> > > that quite clear.
> >
> > What did the R&O say about the reason why the requirements were being
> > eased?
>
> The FCC stated in its Report and Order (FCC 99-142) for WT Docket #98-143
> that:
>
> (a) "the public interest will best be served by reducing the telegraphy
> examination requirement to the minimum requirement that we have found that
> meets the Radio Regulations" ;
>
> (b) "an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse code
proficiency
> is not necessarily indicative of that individual's ability to contribute
to
> the advancement of the radio art" ;
>
> (c) "such a license qualification rule [Morse code exam] is not in
> furtherance of the purpose of the amateur service and we do not believe
that
> it continues to serve a regulatory purpose" ;
>
> (d) "the amateur service is fundamentally a technical service, the
emphasis
> on Morse code proficiency as a licensing requirement does not comport with

```
> the basis and purpose of the service" ;
>
> (e) "reducing the emphasis on telegraphy proficiency as a licensing
> requirement will allow the amateur service to, as it has in the past,
> attract technically inclined persons, particularly the youth of our
country,
> and encourage them to learn and to prepare themselves in the areas where
the
> United States needs expertise" ; and
>
> (f) "telegraphy proficiency is not a significant factor in determining an
> individual's ability to provide or be prepared to provide emergency
> communications" .
>
> Larry  KC8EPO
>
>
>
>




From 5183277972274992008
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 08:51:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <ZJiD6.1639$D4.158796@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 15:51:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987609081 209.208.22.130 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 11:51:21
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 11:51:21 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19598

Dick Carroll says:

> Maybe I can offer a little insight here, Ed. While you and Cecil and
> othres posting here spent much of your youth hanging out in the hippie
> culture, presumabely looking for new and creative ways to avoid reality,
> Larry and I were in the military getting a full dose of reality on an
```

> ongoing, daily basis.
> We learned that you don't neutralize an avowed enemy by pandering to
> his every whim.

Holy RF, Batman, the avowed enemies are after us again! Time to get
into our Radio Militia uniforms and spread around some doses of Radio
Reality�. Somebody call Steve real quick too, and make sure he is
"preapred", and Larry ain't trying to kiss that camel again.

</waving Old Glory vigorously>

To Arms, to arms!!!!!!!!!!

<end waving/>

73, de Hans, K0HB

(Who spent 21 years bearing arms in my youth, but haven't figured
out why that has a damned thing to do with how fast somebody can
beep in Morse.)

From -8791100925221496932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 08:55:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 Apr 2001 15:54:56 GMT
References: <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Message-ID: <20010418115456.11511.00001281@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19599

>Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
>From: "J. Duffy Beischel" duffyb01@fuse.net
>Date: 04/18/01 7:55 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Who cares. If the guy does not want to support morality, then I'm glad he's
>gone.

Maybe he can get a job flying knock-off versions of Russia fighters for China...I hear they are short one pilot these days.
"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

From 5525185388951121760
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 09:00:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 08:54:37 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9bkd6h$c6e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu>
<3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org> <3ADCCF78.B29673C3@uci.edu>
<3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-03.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19600

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > One would hope the FCC cares about the health of the ARS. But the idea
> > seems a bit pollyannaish to be coming from a federal agengy. Do you
> > have anything in the way of fact that might lend support to such a
> > notion?

> Just logic. It's logical that the FCC recognizes and wants to preserve the
> emergency communications function of the ARS. It's logical that the FCC
> recognizes the average age of the members of the ARS. The nocode tech
> license has contributed a large percentage of the present ARS membership.
> It's logical to continue that same trend of reduced requirements in order
> to attract enough amateur radio operators to provide the emergency
> communications function.

There's a much more fundamental reason for the ARS to exist. The amateur spectrum is like a National Park. Citizens have a reasonable expectation to be able to make use of the radio spectrum without a dependence on commercial radio service providers. Selling amateur frequencies to the highest bidder is no different than turning Yellowstone Park over for private, commercial development.

It is a mistake to put all our eggs in the emergency communications basket.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -7410806146621441155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,65f766efd67f5fcd,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,65f766efd67f5fcd,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65f766efd67f5fcd,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,65f766efd67f5fcd,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,65f766efd67f5fcd,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,65f766efd67f5fcd,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 09:04:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "promi-you'll-be-sorry note" <cfinesber4001@aol.com>
From: "promi-you'll-be-sorry note" <cfinesber4001@aol.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: 3.260 KSM Radio article
Lines: 5
Organization: techiniser
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <sQiD6.1438$OL3.130093@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 15:58:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987609496 209.204.84.71 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 08:58:16 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 08:58:16 PDT

X-Received-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 08:56:53 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:11538
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15395 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19601 rec.radio.cb:31409
rec.radio.scanner:16675 rec.radio.shortwave:32256

Interesting article about KSM radio on 3.260 USB....

click here: http://www.hard-core-dx.com/news/kentuckymilitia.html

From -1283833060304987531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,65f766efd67f5fcd
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,65f766efd67f5fcd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,65f766efd67f5fcd
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65f766efd67f5fcd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,65f766efd67f5fcd
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,65f766efd67f5fcd
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 09:10:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-
xfer.nuri.net!newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "promi-you'll-be-sorry note" <cfinesber4001@aol.com>
From: "promi-you'll-be-sorry note" <cfinesber4001@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <sQiD6.1438$OL3.130093@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: 3.260 Radio (SHUT DOWN! UPDATE!)
Lines: 30
Organization: techiniser
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <tViD6.1452$OL3.131463@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 16:03:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987609817 209.204.84.71 (Wed, 18 Apr
2001 09:03:37 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 09:03:37 PDT

X-Received-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 09:02:14 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:11539
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15396 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19602 rec.radio.cb:31410
rec.radio.scanner:16676 rec.radio.shortwave:32257

"promi-you'll-be-sorry note" <cfinesber4001@aol.com> wrote in message
news:sQiD6.1438$OL3.130093@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> Interesting article about KSM radio on 3.260 USB....
>
> click here: http://www.hard-core-dx.com/news/kentuckymilitia.html

UPDATE!!!

USA: KSMR Disappears
By Hans Johnson, Cumbre DX, and Nick Grace C., Clandestine Radio Watch
Clandestine Radio Watch 65 Extra, March 24, 2001

[Mar 24] After weeks of broadcasting, KSMR - Kentucky State Militia (KSM) Radio - disappeared on March 21st.

Although the reason for the disappearance cannot be confirmed, CDX- CRW received a report that the KSM ordered an immediate end to the station`s transmissions earlier this week. The KSM, however, did not respond to a inquiry asking them to confirm or deny this report.

CDX-CRW have also learned that the operator of KSMR, 'Major' Steve Anderson, may possibly return to the air with a new station. This operation may be a militia-supported station, but may have a different callsign and fewer, if any, ties to the KSM.

Full story: http://www.clandestineradio.com/intel/usa.htm

From 7304640438643248978
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,65f766efd67f5fcd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 09:30:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <sQiD6.1438$OL3.130093@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<tViD6.1452$OL3.131463@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Steve and Anderson (Was:Re: 3.260 Radio)
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <KijD6.1689$D4.164487@www.newsranger.com>

Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 16:30:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987611434 209.208.22.130 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 12:30:34 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 12:30:34 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19603

promi-you'll-be-sorry note said:

>CDX-CRW have also learned that the operator of KSMR, 'Major' Steve Anderson,
>may possibly return to the air with a new station. This operation may be a
>militia-supported station, but may have a different callsign and fewer, if
>any, ties to the KSM.

And you guys laughed when I wondered about the obvious similarities between "Steve" and "Anderson"!

Radio Militia, to arms, to arms!

73, Hans, K0HB

From -5400987714674185966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 09:36:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com> <20010418115456.11511.00001281@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <GnjD6.1699$D4.165373@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 16:35:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987611750 209.208.22.130 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 12:35:50

EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 12:35:50 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19604

In a sudden fit of patriotic fervor, Steve Robeson LPN blurted out:

> Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

�97.1 Basis and purpose.
The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following principles:

From 940561229714483056
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 09:43:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com> <20010418115456.11511.00001281@ng-ch1.aol.com> <GnjD6.1699$D4.165373@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <yujD6.1712$D4.166332@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 16:43:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987612190 209.208.22.130 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 12:43:10 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 12:43:10 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19605

In a sudden fit of patriotic fervor, Steve Robeson LPN blurted out:

> Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

�97.1 Basis and purpose.

The rules and regulations in this Part are designed to provide an amateur radio service having a fundamental purpose as expressed in the following principles:

(e) Continuation and extension of the amateur's unique ability to enhance international goodwill.

73, de Hans, K0HB

```
From -7568848125763923226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 09:51:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!
newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ADDC64D.4430@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
References: <3ADCD071.8C94D967@uci.edu> <20010418081757.00868.00000995@ng-
fc1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 29
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 12:52:29 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.106
X-Trace: news1.atl 987612702 209.214.187.106 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 12:51:42 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 12:51:42 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19606

Exactly!

I *did* get close to props, but that was for taildraggers that had to
be hand propped. LOL!

Jerry
K4KWH




Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
"
>
```

> Hi Jim,
>
> I guess it was a bit extreme, but what a stupid jerk....I guess my flight
> instructor imbued a bit more respect in me for that whirling meat cleaver
> called a "propeller" out there to ever let myself be that close to one save for
> being in the left seat.
>
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."
>
> Screw China. That's What Happens When You Send A Coolie To Do A Pilot's Job

```
From 7507931254327495212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 10:05:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!
newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 13:06:06 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.106
X-Trace: news1.atl 987613519 209.214.187.106 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 13:05:19 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 13:05:19 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15398
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19607
```

I hope the door DOES hit 'im in the a$$ on the way out--Brucey Woosey.
Oh, BTW, don't forget your purse on YOUR way out either.

Jerry
K4KWH

Bruce W wrote:

>
> Former Eagle scout and current BSA board member Steven Spielberg has
> pinned on his pink triangle and left the scouts because of their
> anti-gay posture. Don't you homophobes ever learn? See the story at
>
> http://www.sacbee.com/news/calreport/
> calrep_story.cgi?story=N2001-04-16-1800-1.html

```
From -212120521191551997
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 10:46:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 63
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 12:39:27 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.188
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 987615735 205.138.126.188 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 13:42:15
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 13:42:15 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19608
```

Once more we get into the great debate among gays, the Boy Scouts, and the literal-minded God Squad. I am blessed sick of it. For the record, I am a straight male, ex-Boy Scout with my own problems with the BSA on an entirely different issue, and have enough Christian background to know that in Pauline Greek 'religion' and 'superstition' are the same word.

I invite readers to perform an experiment. Put a hand flat on the table in front of you. If you are male, you will note that your ring finger is longer than your index finger. This is not the case generally with women. You will further note that your dominant hand has a ring finger slightly longer than the non-dominant one. This is one of the trivial effects of exposure to testosterone in the womb. Every fetus produces it in the adrenals if not the testes. It does not come from the mother.

As it happens, design-center in the human and most of the animal kingdom in fact is female. When the International Olympic Committee, suspicious of the East German ladies, asked for a test to determine whether they were in fact women, the manufacturers of such tests told them that they could determine maleness and certify that they were not men but could not determine femaleness.

Producing a male requires a lot of work and it is not a foolproof process. It is generally accepted that female homosexuality is the result of excess testosterone; it is a prime suspect in autism; it is a good suspect in a number of other conditions. It is no huge secret that left-handedness goes strongly with males and we all know that being left-handed is a bit more than being right-handed mirror-image in terms of brain wiring and such. The male proclivity for inhabiting prisons and mental institutions is also no military secret. Face it, gang, to some extent being male is a birth defect.

While I know of no direct research that confirms the relationship, it is a pretty fair bet that a malfunction in the hormone bath process that determines maleness and redesigns perfectly good female brains and plumbing is part of the production of male homosexuals. To at least a very large extent, they are born not made.

So to speak in moralistic terms is flatulence, at least about the condition itself. It is not in the least unfair to point out that the gay community is a sink for every venereal disease known to man. But to simply gay-bash is like criticizing coyotes for eating sheep.

It is perhaps incumbent on the mainstream to learn enough not to equate homosexuality with pedophilia; given the number learning ASL for the deaf, however, this may be asking too much. The gay 'lifestyle' is not an equal alternative to the mainstream but there is no good reason for it to be stigmatized. The diabetic did not ask for it either but generally maintains a balanced life.

But the insulin-dependent diabetic generally does not shoot insulin publicly, the left-hander finds himself a spot on the left side of a table at a banquet, and gays might be well advised to follow the example.

If there is a majority group, it is those of us who would wish the God Squad to learn something of faith and respect for the works of God, wish the gays to get the hell out of our face, and wish the Boy Scouts to develop the good sense God gave the average billy goat. The cacophony of idiots gets old after a while.

Dick N�BK

From -96860236990292337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 11:34:47 PST

Message-ID: <3ADDDE01.AFF8056D@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 13:33:37 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu> <3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org> <3ADCCF78.B29673C3@uci.edu> <3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org> <9bkd6h$c6e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.65
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987618443 64.240.47.65 (18 Apr 2001 13:27:23 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19609

Jim Kelley wrote:

> There's a much more fundamental reason for the ARS to exist. The amateur
> spectrum is like a National Park. Citizens have a reasonable expectation to
> be able to make use of the radio spectrum without a dependence on commercial
> radio service providers. Selling amateur frequencies to the highest bidder
> is no different than turning Yellowstone Park over for private, commercial
> development.
>
> It is a mistake to put all our eggs in the emergency communications basket.

Are you saying that the ARS is an attempt to put aside some spectrum as a national playground for the common folk? Therefore, we don't have to justify our existence? I'll have to cogitate on that one.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 61340375713803702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 11:54:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:52:49 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3addde26.3516970@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ADC80C2.1282B296@IEEE.org> <9bi066$7sk$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org>
<9biq3k$bnm$4@208.207.71.157>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.03
X-Server-Date: 18 Apr 2001 18:53:52 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19616

On 18 Apr 2001 01:19:48 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>> IMO, the only valid reason for the continued existence of the ARS is
>> to provide emergency communications. It is not necessary to know Ohm's
>> law in order to provide emergency communications.
>
> So you disagree with the FCC's statement that the ARS is a
>fundamentally technical service.

The problem you have Dick is that you view being
technical in a very narrow manner.  There are thousands
of engineers that never touch a piece of test equipment,
or even equpment itself and yet they are actively
involved in technically planning telecommunications
networks, broadcast and military communications
systems, and a whole host of other areas.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 775813435301679229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 11:54:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:52:47 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3addd5b7.1357743@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org> <9bhaqj$pa7$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.03
X-Server-Date: 18 Apr 2001 18:53:50 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19612
```

On 17 Apr 2001 11:52:51 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

```
>>> Fools never learn. It's what the code is traded for that is
>>> the fatal flaw.
>
>>It's not traded for anything. As an entrance requirement, it is
>>simply outdated and therefore is in the act of being dropped.
>
>You never learn, Cecil. Outdated means obsolete, i.e., no longer
>in use or practiced.
```

The argument Vince, is exactly as Cecil states:
"As an entrance requirement, it is
simply outdated and therefore is in the act of being dropped."

Now Vince and others may disagree with that assessment,
but that doesn't change reality. I have several antique autos, each
is obsolete in terms of contemporary motor vehicles.
The fact that I occasionally USE those vehicles does
not make any of them NOT obsolete.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 8252917939248100411
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 11:54:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
```

newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:52:48 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <3adddbaf.2886179@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.03
X-Server-Date: 18 Apr 2001 18:53:51 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19613

On Wed, 18 Apr 2001 09:26:12 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> You are completetly and totally wrong, as per your usual.I love the
>> advances in technology. It's the terrible skid of some of the people,
>> like you, that I detest.
>
>What you don't seem to realize is that the advance in technology
>is the cause of that "terrible skid of some of the people". It's not
>terrible at all and is the predictable result of those very advances
>in technology. It has happened in many other fields. You are living
>in a delusional world if you think you can keep it from happening
>in ham radio. You long for a return to the good old days but those
>days are gone forever.

Well said Cecil. The point also missed is in all those
other areas that are electronic related (e.g. consumer
electronics, TV, VCRs, DVDs, etc.) the old "support
mechanisms" like repair shops which were also somewhat
of a training ground for the electrical equivalent of the
backyard mechanic are gone because the cost of
these products is so low that when one fails after
10/15 or more years, the replacement cost for a new unit
is cheaper than the lowest possible cost of repair...AND
the new product replacement usually is better and has
more functionality.

>You don't have to be a mechanic to drive a car. You don't have
>to be an electronics whiz to use a ham radio. Professional auto
>designers design cars so you don't have to. Professional radio
>designers design ham radios so you don't have to. You are
>no more capable of arresting the evolution of the ARS than you
>are capable of arresting the evolution of the automobile.

Indeed, the ability to create any ham equipment with the
functionality of even a modern HT is far beyond the
practical ability of most any one individual...even if that
person had the technical competency to design it.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -9167815961669427041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 11:54:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:52:43 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3addb65a.2862997@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.03
X-Server-Date: 18 Apr 2001 18:53:46 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19614

On 17 Apr 2001 03:41:59 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On 16 Apr 2001 11:12:57 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:
>>
>> >>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>> >>Date: 04/13/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>> >
>> >>Why is "work" necessary?
>> >
>> >   Why indeed. I just sent off my two boxtops of Cheerios and $.50 to MIT
to
>> >get my BSEE degree. (I DID address the envelope by hand...I guess that count's
>> >for "work") Might as well drop any pretense of knowledge and learning for an
>> >Amatuer Radio license too.
>> >
>> >   Why "work" indeed.

>>
>> Please point to ANY federal licensing requirement that directly
>> or indirectly addresses any need for the applicant to expend
>> "work" to obtain the license. Work, as a product of itself,
>> is not now nor has it ever been an amateur licensing
>> criteria.
>
> The acquisition of technical knowledge represents work, whether the
>applicant got it in some career training program or in selfstudy of
>technical material for the purpose of amateur license testing. Unless,
>of course, he just studies the pools for the word associations. Then it
>is memory work.

You conveniently didn't answer my question.
Your posts seem to be (IMHO) much more tied up with
some affinity for WORK as a discrete effort that
should be reuired to obtain a ham license. The
reality is that the FCC does not now and never has
ever been concerned with work as an element of
licensing.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -8220295183935649703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 11:54:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:52:50 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3adddf33.3785555@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3add91c6$0$18890$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9bk849$np2$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.03
X-Server-Date: 18 Apr 2001 18:53:53 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19615

On 18 Apr 2001 14:25:13 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>The FCC stated in its Report and Order (FCC 99-142) for WT

>>Docket #98-143 that:
>
>>(a) "the public interest will best be served by reducing the
>>telegraphy examination requirement to the minimum requirement
>>that we have found that meets the Radio Regulations" ;
>
>>(b) "an individual's ability to demonstrate increased Morse code
>>proficiency is not necessarily indicative of that individual's
>>ability to contribute to the advancement of the radio art" ;
>
>The above demonstrates ONE example of how FCC got into the
>trouble it did with its R&O. That is,

When did the decision come down which rendered
any judgement that the FCC got into ANY trouble with
its R&O?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3254582221809408044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 11:54:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:52:42 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3addaff6.1226698@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9bh979$qgf$0@216.155.33.75>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.03
X-Server-Date: 18 Apr 2001 18:53:45 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19610

On 17 Apr 2001 11:25:29 GMT, Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
....
>> Fortunately, most of us who love and enjoy Morse code are able to point out
>> that such conduct is not a result of the "hard work" that you put into learning
>> the code; it is a personal choice that, fortunately, most hams do not take.
>

>The truth is, learning the Morse code really isn't "hard work" at all. It is just
>something which doesn't provide the same level of instant gratification that
>comes from the voice modes. This unwillingness to defer gratification -- the
>unwillingness to invest the time and dedication required to gain a valuable
>communications skill -- demonstrates a lack of intellectual maturity on the
>part of the NCTA.

Larry, that is just so much bull. The reality is that we all
make various choices regarding our time and how
we spend it. The choice to learn or not learn any
particular skill has nothing to do with maturity at all.
The issue we NoCodeTestAdvocates raise is that
there is simply no longer any valid reason to retain code
skill as a requirement. You may disagree with that,
but in the end, that decision will be made by a
cross-section of the worlds radio regulation policy makers.

Feel free to comment to those policy makers that
you beieve the end of code testing is just a sign
of their imaturity in making the decision to do so.

> Unfortunately, new hams coming into the service after
>Restructuring have no option but to be painted with the brush created by
>the lazy majority who whined for, and received, lowered licensing standards.

Your commentary sez volumes about your attitude.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3087572915833664756
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 11:54:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:52:44 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <3addd104.154748@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-

mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.03
X-Server-Date: 18 Apr 2001 18:53:47 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19611
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15404 rec.radio.swap:29178

On Mon, 16 Apr 2001 06:02:12 GMT, easter-egg@basket.com (Easter Bunny)
wrote:

>In article <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) wrote:
>
>>Dick, you may think that the demeaning and abusive behaviour you exhibit on
>>this forum is appropriate. I think it is no more than mean-spirited and
>>destructive.
>
>Dick was only pointing out the truth that you fail to accept because you
>prefer to live with your head stuck in a hole in the ground... or up your ass.

Perfect example of abusive and mean-spirited commentary,
Thank you for sharing your opinions with us as an
example of how not to behave.

>I just found out the league is going to push to remove all code requirements
>at the next international meeting. It's just the next step in their continuing
>efforts to dumb things down.

Your understanding of the league position is flawed.
The vote the league directors took was simply to
not oppose the removal of code as a requirement.
It is only the spin that you and others now state that
is recasting the league position to be one of pushing, rather
than simply not opposing.

>Soon, the only difference between a ham and a CB'er will be a piece of paper.
>Our bands sound more like 11 meters everyday.

Your opinion only. You are entitled to your opinion,
but stating it des not make it fact.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -572311209990834465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

```
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 11:54:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 18:52:46 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3addd268.510540@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <pWCC6.718$AF2.903145@nntp3.onemain.com>
<3ADB2E77.D9FF8DB5@IEEE.org> <9bgd6u$joi$2@208.206.142.79>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.03
X-Server-Date: 18 Apr 2001 18:53:48 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19617
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15405 rec.radio.swap:29179

On 17 Apr 2001 03:27:26 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Lamb wrote:
>> > Does learning the morse code make one more considerate of others?
>>
>> Actually the least considerate individuals in all of ham radio are
>> the rabid pro-code testing folk who worship the Morse Code Creed�.
>> Dropping Morse code testing from the ARS is like dropping the Virgin
>> Birth from the Christian religion.
>
> Fools never learn. It's what the code is traded for that is the fatal
>flaw.

Did I miss the big TRADE?

What and when was the code traded for something else?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -4069263410490434l3
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 12:06:55 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
```

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 18 Apr 2001 19:06:53 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9bkokd$4c9$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3addd5b7.1357743@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987620813 4489 149.174.88.240 (18 Apr 2001 19:06:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 Apr 2001 19:06:53 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19618

>Now Vince and others may disagree with that assessment,
>but that doesn't change reality. I have several antique autos,
>each is obsolete in terms of contemporary motor vehicles.
>The fact that I occasionally USE those vehicles does
>not make any of them NOT obsolete.

The reality is it won't do you much good to mess with words,
Bill; you're not good at it. Obsolete means no longer in use
or practiced. Cecil has stated Morse is outdated, i.e., obsolete.
He's wrong. It's that simple. In this case, very wrong, since
Morse is still in wide use in this 'Service.' And this 'Service'
is what we're speaking about.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -5222138319535876393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 12:09:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 19:07:57 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <3adde4d7.5230232@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com

NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.03
X-Server-Date: 18 Apr 2001 19:09:13 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19619

On 18 Apr 2001 15:20:33 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com
>>
>> >Unfortunately, that particular sword cuts both ways. In fact, it was exactly
>> >the brutal ad hominem attacks of rabid NCTA's that embroiled me in this
>> >debate on Fidonet over a decade ago.
>>
>> I, too, disagreed very strongly with some of the insults and attacks that some
>> of the NCTAs used. Unfortunately, those that are now using the same tactics
>> appear to be aligned with the PCTA side. It is unfortunate that some of both
>> opinions feel that such conduct is appropriate in any social situation. Even
>> worse, at least one of them feels that his demeanor is helping to teach people
>> right from wrong.
>>
>> >Also unfortunately, I must admit that
>> >I have been responsible for my own fair share of such attacks -- but only
>> >after being quite righteously provoked.
>>
>> ONLY you are responsible for your actions, Larry. "She made me beat her"
>> doesn't hold up in a court of law, or in the court of public opinion.
>>
>> >The difference is that I do have a
>> >conscience about such things; a lot of Usenet users do not. Such is the
>> >pity.
>>
>> I would believe this if you were to take the the time to find the attacks that
>> are causing you pangs of conscience and apologize to those whom you have
>> offended.
>
>
> Maybe I can offer a little insight here, Ed. While you and Cecil and
>othres posting here spent much of your youth hanging out in the hippie
>culture, presumabely looking for new and creative ways to avoid reality,
>Larry and I were in the military getting a full dose of reality on an
>ongoing, daily basis.

Gee Dick, then how do you explain my position on code
and attitudes...I did a 4 year enlistment and had the same dose
of reality you did.

> We learned that you don't neutralize an avowed enemy by pandering to
>his every whim.

So who is the enemy? Ed, me, who?

>Do I believe that ham radio has enemies which do not wish us well? A
>good number of whom are present amongst us?

And just who are some of those enimies (other than the
obvious commercial interests that want the ham spectrum)?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 4716597877745610090
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 12:10:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!geomac
From: George McCouch <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 14:24:50 -0500
Organization: W3GEO
Message-ID: <geomac-624439.14245018042001@news.hopkinsville.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>
User-Agent: MT-NewsWatcher/3.0 (PPC)
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 14
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15406
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19620

In article <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>, bw821@lamvda.com (Bruce W)
wrote:

> Former Eagle scout and current BSA board member Steven Spielberg has
> pinned on his pink triangle and left the scouts because of their
> anti-gay posture. Don't you homophobes ever learn? See the story at
>
> http://www.sacbee.com/news/calreport/
> calrep_story.cgi?story=N2001-04-16-1800-1.html

His loss,

George McCouch
W3GEO

From -33755590010763292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 12:16:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 19:15:08 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3adde709.5792506@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <20010418073922.26389.00000542@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbt3$p8v$0@208.207.71.138>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.03
X-Server-Date: 18 Apr 2001 19:16:27 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19621

On 18 Apr 2001 15:29:39 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> > So what we eventually have, sooner rather than later the way it's
>> >going, is a ham radio that exists in name only, and then only because
>> >FCC hasn't gotten around to renaming it. The basic original entity will
>> >have de facto ceased to exist.
>>
>> What could you do in Amateur Radio 10 years ago that you are no longer able to
>> do today?
>
>"Today" isn't the problem, but it is coming closer and closer to that
>day.

The question is: What can you NOT do today that you could
10 years ago? The implication is that something about ARS
has changed because of lowering test requirements.

> For one thing, tune across 10 meters without hearing many CBers haveing
>set up shop.

What does that have to do with the requirements to
become a ham today vs 10 or more years ago?

> Another, argue with you about it all here on RRAP.

Is that a good thing or a bad thing?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3371622604409001358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 12:24:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 19:22:18 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3adde8ad.6212227@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3addd5b7.1357743@news.mindspring.com> <9bkokd$4c9$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.47.03
X-Server-Date: 18 Apr 2001 19:23:42 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19622

On 18 Apr 2001 19:06:53 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>Now Vince and others may disagree with that assessment,
>>but that doesn't change reality. I have several antique autos,
>>each is obsolete in terms of contemporary motor vehicles.
>>The fact that I occasionally USE those vehicles does
>>not make any of them NOT obsolete.
>
>The reality is it won't do you much good to mess with words,
>Bill; you're not good at it. Obsolete means no longer in use
>or practiced. Cecil has stated Morse is outdated, i.e., obsolete.

I'll defer to the court of public opinion as to the appropriate
use of the descrition obsolete to describe my antique cars.

>He's wrong. It's that simple. In this case, very wrong, since
>Morse is still in wide use in this 'Service.' And this 'Service'
>is what we're speaking about.

Ah, but even "wide use" doesn't justify any need for a
skill test for the mode (IMHO). Your mileage and
opinion will likly be different.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6195732595783403412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e9e14d658d0a2410
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 12:30:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Form 610 wasn't good enough!
In-Reply-To: <4U2D6.47$gB6.41590@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104181929480.29043-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <4U2D6.47$gB6.41590@nnrp3.sbc.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 17
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 19:30:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 987622242 12.80.44.19 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001 19:30:42 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 19:30:42 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19623

On Tue, 17 Apr 2001, rdalton1 wrote:
> After recently moving I sent in a form 610 with a change of address.WHAT? It
> came back....
> oopps! WRONG FORM..need form 605.I get this thick packet(ER! package?) It's
> a book!
> NOPE..it's the form 605. Maw call the attorney,need some help reading this
> book er..uh! form.
> So much for the paperwork reduction act
> Life!! Ain't it wonderful

NO: That is CONSISTENT with the paperwork reduction act. The FCC got rid of an entire form in favor of another that already existed.

It doesn't matter that the replacement form is longer. That's not what "reduction" means to the U.S. Government. ;-)

From -8977202564281294881
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:11:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:10:42 GMT
References: <9bk6ca$b5j$2@208.207.71.138>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Message-ID: <20010419081042.28262.00000235@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19725

>Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 04/18/01 9:55 AM Eastern Daylight Time

> Change 'camel' to 'radio outlaws', also known as freebanders, and the
>tent to 'ham radio' and you have the situation we face, albeit on a
>smaller scale - for now.

    Consider THAT nail hit SQUARELY on the head.

73

Steve, K4YZ
"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.


From 2324418992512560458
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:14:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:13:24 GMT
References: <20010419080831.28262.00000233@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010419081324.14479.00000095@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19726
```

```
>From: k4yz@aol.com  (Steve Robeson LPN)

>I tried the "nice guy, roll with the punches" position
>for several months, but some people in here can only be dealt with in certain
>language and demeanor.

Your Honor, she MADE me beat her...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 5629561469212228023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:15:18 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:14:34 GMT
References: <FV2B6.81755$XV.20933251@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010419081434.28262.00000237@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19727

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 04/11/01 4:13 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Message-id: <FV2B6.81755$XV.20933251@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
>
>Licence is spelled with a c up here you yutz ... not to mention colour has a
>u in it ... favourite has one too ...

    What ever you say, Mark.
"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.


From -7690499731927016208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:16:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
```

audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:16:03 GMT
References: <LX2B6.81758$XV.20935774@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Message-ID: <20010419081603.28262.00000238@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19728

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 04/11/01 4:15 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I am va3qrp ... not some weird yank you used to torment

Whatever you say, Mark.

From -1609277830478965267
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:41:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 126
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:40:15 GMT
References: <20010418200750.14184.00000011@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010419084015.28262.00000246@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19729

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/18/01 8:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Just wanted your opinion, Len.

>I don't think so. Such a "question" submitted in public is a
>rather obvious troll. If all that was wanted was an opinion,
>such could have been asked in private mail.

For someone who has repeatedly refused to exchange such "questions" in private e mail rather than a public forum, this is quite strange. Not unexpected, in as much as Lennie the Licenseless has demonstrated that he does indeed have more than one face, taking frequent opportunities to show most of them.

>It would be a mistake, an error, a faulty judgement to "take"
>it that way. The title of this newsgroup is Amateur Policy.

A fact that you only adhere to when it suits you.

>Some radio amateurs have complained bitterly
>about the "theft" of their beloved, wholly-owned, ordained
>by God spectrum space on HF...for 42 years.

Display of virulent anti-Amateur Radio attitude noted. Again.

>This newsgroup is titled as being for AMATEUR RADIO
>policy. Citizens Band Radio Service is not classified by
>the FCC as amateur radio, has its own Part within Title
>47 CFR (95).

And again pointed out that this is a fact that you adhere to only when it suits your particular rant. Changes in other radio services frequently DO impact Amatuer Radio and must and should be discussed by those who are TRULY interested in Amatuer POLICY matters, not just personal agendas intended to salve "professional" egos.

>Radio amateurs should police their own bands first in order
>to justify a self-righteous "opinion" of their own "superiority"
>in all radio.

According to The Chief Enforcer of the Radio Realm (read that "Riley"), we are already doing a grand job, although there is always room to improve.

Unfortunately, the encroachment on 10 meters and seemingly other bands now (notably 40 and 12 meters) demands that we pay attention to what is going on outside our allocations.

>>>>Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as
>>>>an example of how the Amateur Radio Service should be conducted?

>>>No.

>>OK

>That's a very blatant TROLL question, an attempt to manufacture
>some "dispute" for the sake of fighting, for a catharsis of personal
>opinion-and-frustration by the self-righteous.

No it wasn't. You frequently assail us with your lengthy CV of "professional" communications experience. It was a simple question. I asked you the same question and you ignored that too.

>Standards and
>practices of today's amateur radio, including procedure, jargon,
>and everything else, is no role model for other radio services.

Who said it was? Who, Lennie? Post quotes, please.

>Such hasn't even been divinely ordained, yet is included in many
>amateur liturgical documents.

WHAT Amateur Radio related "documents" have made any such claim?

WHICH documents say "Amateur Radio" techniques are THE techniques that should be applied to ANY OTHER radio service, Lennie?

You are, of course, again lying. I expect no answer and I doubt that you will disappoint me.

Thanks.

>You are welcome. It would be prudent to remember an old
>saying about "asking for what you want...you may get it."

We would prefer a professional, informed opinion. Not one based upon your anit-Ham rhetoric.

>The 40 meter band
>allocation changes began at WARC-79, 22 years ago. That
>40 m issue HAS NOT BEEN SETTLED IN 22 YEARS' TIME.

Unfortunately the "40m issue" is one that involves over 150 other soverign entities with their own agendas, and ITU matters are only dealt with in 3-5 year increments.

> Both the ARRL and
>IARU keep talking about the 40 m issue, yet nothing but talk
>has been done yet to settle it.

Not true, but it makes you feel good saying it.

>22 years of such talk. It would
>seem prudent to concentrate on amateur radio matters such as
>that rather than yet-another-castigation of CB radio.

Yeah...keeping unlicensed freeloaders off of Amateur allocations is highly over rated.

Right.....

>The 40 m issue does not concern me.

Then why the snotty slap at the on-going efforts to realign the band?

None of your claims was true, but you felt it necessary to abuse us with them.

>Have a blast with it

Those of us with a license will. You can sit there and watch us play.
Enjoy.

```
From -5658940109140050368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:54:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:53:26 GMT
References: <MPG.1548009e81d13ddf9896d7@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010419085326.28262.00000249@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19730
```

>Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
>From: funguy@hotjuno.com Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com
>Date: 04/18/01 8:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>As to local airports, closer to you, I was thinking more along the lines
>of Santa Monica Municipal (SMO) or perhaps Compton/Woodley (CPM).

WHOA! I wuddn't "do" CPM if I hadn't been there before, Jonathan. It's in a developed area and the runway has concrete walls at either end...literally.

I watched a fellow try to make a full-stop landing there despite being high when over the numbers. He should have gone around, but you could tell he was determined to put it down, "no matter what". He did get it down and brought to a full stop before he got to the wall, but there was not enough room from the spinner to the wall to squeeze between.

He got out, handed the keys to the FBO operator and walked away.

If you're not flaring when you are over the REIL lights, go around.

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From -4900307829849625994
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 06:25:15 PST
Message-ID: <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 08:23:56 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987686261
64.240.47.95 (19 Apr 2001 08:17:41 -0500)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!
out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19731
```

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > We've went over this before, Cecil. Why should anyone prove to you
> > > the existence of anything?
> >
> > Because I am forced to live under a set of laws that assumes, without

> > proof, the existence of a God.
>
> One of those laws is "thou shalt not kill." Should we get rid of it,
> just to make you happy?

That's not the one I was complaining about. Last Sunday, I tried to
buy a beer at 11:55am. They wouldn't sell it to me until 12:00, five
minutes later.

> Until a little over a century ago, radio had not been discovered. Does
> that mean that it didn't exist for those thousands of years of human
> history which preceded that discovery?

Radio waves are natural occurrences and ignorance is not proof of non-existence. Please provide some objective evidence that a supernatural realm exists.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -464537020109460377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 06:34:11 PST
Message-ID: <3ADEE911.DF25CABF@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 08:33:05 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdshqi2k25rb95@corp.supernews.com>
<tdtji3lko4ns6a@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987686810
64.240.47.95 (19 Apr 2001 08:26:50 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
```

```
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!
out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15437
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19732

"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:
> Stop the incorrect reference.  Men that choose men instead of women are not
> gay, they are homosexuals.  There is nothing gay about being a homosexual.

From "Webster's New Universal Unabridged Dictionary":

In addition to its original and continuing senses of "merry, lively" and
"bright or showy", GAY has had various senses dealing with sexual conduct
since the 17th century. ... and GAY as an adjective meaning "homosexual"
goes back at least to the early 1900's. ... The word (GAY) has ceased to
be slang and is not used disparagingly."
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 1679140479058120367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 06:38:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com>
Subject: Radio, a million years ago (Was:Re: Steven Spielberg)
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <MSBD6.2766$D4.276960@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:37:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987687468 209.208.22.130 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 09:37:48
EDT)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 09:37:48 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19733

In article <3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com>, Keith Wood says...

>Until a little over a century ago, radio had not been discovered. Does
>that mean that it didn't exist for those thousands of years of human
>history which preceded that discovery?

Yup, just like radar and steam engines and hydroelectric power and automatic transmissions didn't exist either. I can't just see it now..... a million years ago, them cavemen sitting in their caves watching TV by candlelight saying "they ain't never gonna invent radio".

73, Hans, K0HB

From 2527941168112915309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 06:56:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <MPG.1548b7b0983d41069896d8@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.1548009e81d13ddf9896d7@news.earthlink.net>
<20010419085326.28262.00000249@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 62
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:50:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.112.127
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987688235 63.208.112.127 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 06:50:35 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 06:50:35 PDT
X-Received-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 06:49:10 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19734

In article <20010419085326.28262.00000249@ng-ch1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
says...

> >Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
> >From: funguy@hotjuno.com Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com
> >Date: 04/18/01 8:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >As to local airports, closer to you, I was thinking more along the lines
> >of Santa Monica Municipal (SMO) or perhaps Compton/Woodley (CPM).
>
> WHOA! I wuddn't "do" CPM if I hadn't been there before, Jonathan. It's in a developed area and the runway has concrete walls at either end...literally.
>
> I watched a fellow try to make a full-stop landing there despite being high when over the numbers. He should have gone around, but you could tell he was determined to put it down, "no matter what". He did get it down and brought to a full stop before he got to the wall, but there was not enough room from the spinner to the wall to squeeze between.
>
> He got out, handed the keys to the FBO operator and walked away.
>
> If you're not flaring when you are over the REIL lights, go around.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Thanks for the heads up. This will be my first trip to the left coast in a private, so any tips like this are certainly appreciated.

Got an e-mail from a friend about a website for all the SoCal airports and they even have pictures.

http://www.airsports.com/airports/

Am I wrong, is CPM a dirt/grass runway? Maybe it's a bad picture (aerial view) but you can see those walls you were referring to, and what's this No Tower!!!??? I hate those. They have several in New Jersey...another name for them is Bump and runs. Two planes landing bumping into each other and then everyone runs to avoid the falling flaming debris

What do you know about VNY or BUR. Both actually seem closer to Leona that CMP or SMO.   Looks like Burbank is a better option although it seems to have more traffic considerations due to the proximity to LAX, Van Nuys and Whiteman.

Still plenty o'time to decide. Poor little Leona, suggested coffee and Danish at a place of his choosing and he turns it into confrontation. Too bad. I would have even bought. Heck, he could have invited his wife. Vegas odds on LennieNoShow 29:1

Thanks again.

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From -5642640744401880349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 07:22:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 14:21:51 GMT
References: <hqi2k25rb95@lamvda.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Message-ID: <20010419102151.28252.00000153@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19735

>>   LOS ANGELES (AP) -- Director
>>   Steven Spielberg announced
>>   Monday that he is leaving his
>>   post on the advisory board of the
>>   Boy Scouts of America because
>>   the youth group excludes gay
>>   members and leaders.

I hope he didn't let the door hit him in the ass on his way out!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1292180877914791442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 07:22:30 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 14:21:51 GMT
References: <20010419080009.28262.00000229@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010419102151.28252.00000154@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19736

In article <20010419080009.28262.00000229@ng-ch1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Oh well, indeed! While I'm not claiming to be any kind of a saint in this
>>regard, I believe your long-running sandbox-level quarrel with Lennie
>>Anderson places you squarely in the category of people who should
>>refrain from hurling aggregate projectiles -- lest you damage your
>>vitreous abode!
>
>   Thanks for your opinion, Larry. Where shall I mail the $1.00 for the
>cup
>of coffee to go along with it?

Steve:

A buck doesn't buy a decent cup of coffee here anymore -- I'll have
to settle for a medium coffee at McDonald's. So -- send the buck
to my callbook address.

BTW -- I have a lot more opinions where that one came from...is this
an open-ended offer?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -174274092765343254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 07:28:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 14:28:08 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19737

Lennie the Lame has made a point (as have several others) that the shennanigans of some CB radio operators are not "any of Amatuer Radio's business".

I refer the unbelieveing and the contrary to "www.coaxkid.com/chart.htm". There you will find a "Channel Chart" of "CB Channels" for export rig users and those who have "exapnded" their domestic rigs.

You will note that the chart starts at 25.165 mHz, a full 1.8 mHz below the legal CB band.

The chart then goes up to 29.655 mHz, covering all except 5kHz of the Amatuer 10 meter band.

This is not an isolated occurence, nor is it the fantasies of one errant CB/Freebander. Anyone who takes the time to just coast up and down the band will find that this is a VERY prevelant problem, and that indeed these "channels" are in use by other than the intended services and licensees.

This is not ""Chicken Little" nor is it any misplaced paranoia. It's happening, and it IS our problem. If WE do not take steps, within the framework of FCC regulations and other civil law, to stop this, it is OUR fault.

We cannot allow it to go any further.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

From -5931228213021389594
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 07:47:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 19 Apr 2001 14:47:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org> <9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org> <9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19738

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > You are completetly and totally wrong, as per your usual.I love the
> > advances in technology. It's the terrible skid of some of the people,
> > like you, that I detest.
>
> What you don't seem to realize is that the advance in technology
> is the cause of that "terrible skid of some of the people". It's not
> terrible at all and is the predictable result of those very advances
> in technology. It has happened in many other fields. You are living
> in a delusional world if you think you can keep it from happening
> in ham radio. You long for a return to the good old days but those
> days are gone forever.
>
> You don't have to be a mechanic to drive a car. You don't have
> to be an electronics whiz to use a ham radio. Professional auto
> designers design cars so you don't have to. Professional radio
> designers design ham radios so you don't have to. You are
> no more capable of arresting the evolution of the ARS than you
> are capable of arresting the evolution of the automobile.

You remind me of a statement made by my favorite schoolteacher from the eighth grade, mid fifties. He once said to the class, when discussing futuristic things, that it seemed likely that someday humans would evolve to where a human was just a big blob with a single thumb, with which to push buttons.
He was being facetious. But evidently that's your view of the future. In your view all anyone needs to know is how to consume.

From -2658804233863233054
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:01:22 PST

```
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 19 Apr 2001 15:01:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9bmuk2$ihj$0@208.206.142.105>
References: <3ADD0B14.37F5AFE0@IEEE.org> <9bj2k1$2nt$2@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADD133F.18D387E7@IEEE.org>
<9bk73d$de7$1@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA6CB.FFCF57D1@IEEE.org>
<9blbn9$esq$1@208.207.71.144> <3ADE3CD9.308DC11A@IEEE.org>
<9blk7p$99o$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE6770.1108B318@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19739



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  Of course you are subject to correction, yet once again.(Don't you ever
> > get tired of being corrected?)  The license manuals contained paragraphs
> > and pages of   STUDY MATERIAL, not exact questions and answers.
>
> From the 1957 ARRL License Manual: "If our answers sometimes seem to the
> initiated to be naive or incomplete, rest assured that they are sufficient
> for the purpose." What is it about "sufficient" that you don't understand?


 Sufficient for the purpsoe of teaching basic learning necessary for
acheiving an anateur radio license? What part of "sufficient" don't you
understand?


From -8618720901596905293
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:04:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 15:04:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
```

Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9bmuq8$ihj$1@208.206.142.105>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <9bllcf$cbi$0@216.155.32.208>
<3ADE6DDA.7C9F09A1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19740

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll wrote:
> > ... I am now a True Believer in the value of that particular
> > communications skill.
>
> Yes you are, Larry, and maybe you should read the book by that
> same name to gain some insight into the disfunctional psychology
> of the "True Believer".
>

Only a character like you could make such a comparison. Of course the
source of the belief is the critical element. There is no doubt about
the source of Larry's belief (and mine) in the efficiey of
radiotelegraphy. It has 100 years of factfilled history to stand for it.

From -2565587273101268800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:08:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 15:08:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9bmv19$k22$0@208.206.142.105>
References: <3ADBBD6D.A67DDF4D@IEEE.org> <20010417075958.05102.00000574@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9binrs$art$0@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0F02.632FCC0E@IEEE.org>
<9bk70i$de7$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDAAE8.ABEAD11@IEEE.org>
<9blbt1$esq$3@208.207.71.144> <3ADE43A5.3EC5DF9B@IEEE.org>
<9blkdo$99o$1@208.207.71.144> <3ADE6EF8.CD8CD99C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19741



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > > What a silly thing to say, Dick. The Advanced exam was the entry level
> > > > > exam into the Advanced class. The Extra exam is the entry level exam
> > > > > into the Extra class. What is it about ENTERING the Extra class by
> > > > > passing the Extra exam that you don't understand?
> > > >
> > > >  You are full of crap
> > >
> > > Like I said, what a silly thing to say.
> >
> >  And you're still full of crap.
>
> Does that mean that you now understand that passing the Extra exam is
> a requirement for ENTERING into the set of Extra class licensees?



 No, it means that yoiu are still full of crap.


 It
> is not a graduation certificate.


 That's curious. If it is so, why didn't you 'enter' long ago? It was
always a certificate of accomplishment, one that said you have reached
the highest level of amateur radio, not some beginners level. It didn't
test to see if you were learned enough to begin to learn to be an Extra.
You're so full of crap your eyes have turened brown.


From 2160012713902558294
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:09:46 PST
Message-ID: <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
```

Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 10:08:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can. And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org> <9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org> <9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org> <9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987692522 64.240.47.95 (19 Apr 2001 10:02:02 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19742

Dick Carroll wrote:
> In your view all anyone needs to know is how to consume.

Exactly how many vacuum tubes have you built by hand, Dick? How many capacitors have you ever rolled out of foil and paper? How many pencil leads have you converted to resistors? How many grains of silicon have you ever found at the beach that would amplify a signal? The only difference between the time you got your ham license and now is the level of integration. Your black boxes were tubes. Today's black boxes are transceivers. The basic concept has not changed. Are you really that blind to reality?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -9110033728112529137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:10:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 15:10:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org> <9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19743

Larry Roll wrote:
>
> In article <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >
> >Someday you are going to have to learn to read, Dick. The NCI web page
> >says they don't have enmity toward CW or anyone associated with it.
> >What they object to is the federal affirmative action program for Morse
> >code testing. It ceased to be necessary decades ago and is simply an
> >outdated artifact left over from earlier days that needs to be discontinued.
> >You continue to be confused about CW VS Morse code testing. Nobody hates
> >CW. Lots of people hate the Morse code testing requirement, maybe even
> >the majority of hams.
>
> Cecil:
>
> Just exactly what do you "hate" about the code testing requirement(s)?

Actually it's pretty clear, Larry. He was never able to meet the code requirements for Extra until they were virtually eliminated, so he is compelled to 'prove' that they were never proper in the first place.

```
From 4600702422780978059
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:11:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: 19 Apr 2001 15:11:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9bmv6a$k22$2@208.206.142.105>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19744



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > We've went over this before, Cecil.  Why should anyone prove to you
> > the existence of anything?
>
> Because I am forced to live under a set of laws that assumes, without
> proof, the existence of a God.
>
> > And how does DNA, or the relationship of one DNA sample to another,
> > disprove the existence of a god?
>
> It doesn't disprove the existence of a God. It just makes God
> unnecessary. Homo Sapiens are not special at all.


 So you're a monkey's uncle? Speak for yourself.


From 1411321599600689765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:12:43 PST
```

Message-ID: <3ADF0029.1CFE3955@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 10:11:37 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987692721 64.240.47.95 (19 Apr 2001 10:05:21 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19745

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>   This is not ""Chicken Little" nor is it any misplaced paranoia. It's
> happening, and it IS our problem. If WE do not take steps, within the
> framework of FCC regulations and other civil law, to stop this, it is OUR
> fault. We cannot allow it to go any further.

What can we do?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1324661944171034783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:16:56 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF0120.60874EC9@IEEE.org>

Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 10:15:44 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADD0B14.37F5AFE0@IEEE.org> <9bj2k1$2nt$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADD133F.18D387E7@IEEE.org> <9bk73d$de7$1@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA6CB.FFCF57D1@IEEE.org> <9blbn9$esq$1@208.207.71.144> <3ADE3CD9.308DC11A@IEEE.org> <9blk7p$99o$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE6770.1108B318@IEEE.org> <9bmuk2$ihj$0@208.206.142.105>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987692969 64.240.47.95 (19 Apr 2001 10:09:29 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19746

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Of course you are subject to correction, yet once again.(Don't you ever
> > > get tired of being corrected?) The license manuals contained paragraphs
> > > and pages of   STUDY MATERIAL, not exact questions and answers.
> >
> > From the 1957 ARRL License Manual: "If our answers sometimes seem to the
> > initiated to be naive or incomplete, rest assured that they are sufficient
> > for the purpose." What is it about "sufficient" that you don't understand?
>
> Sufficient for the purpsoe of teaching basic learning necessary for
> acheiving an anateur radio license? What part of "sufficient" don't you
> understand?

What is it about "naive and incomplete" answers that you don't understand?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3762720337263510028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:17:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <ekDD6.2997$D4.292700@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 15:17:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987693450 209.208.22.130 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 11:17:30 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 11:17:30 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19747

In a sudden fit of patriotism, Major Steve Robeson LPN of the Radio Militia� donned his camo-fatigues with "KB1CCE" embroidered on the ass, and blurted out a bunch of old news, and concluded with:

>
> We cannot allow it to go any further.
>

Who is "we" and what is it that "we" plans to do, Nursie, other than scribe plaintive jeremiads here on rrap?

72.5, de Hans, K0HB

From 3001821300591687333
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:19:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 19 Apr 2001 15:19:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9bmvlg$k22$3@208.206.142.105>
References: <9bkbm9$oo5$0@208.207.71.138> <20010419080336.13630.00000107@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19748

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll
>
> >No, Ed, the frosting on the ecake is that many do actually attempt to
> >learn the material on the tests, imn spite of the fact that it is not
> >really necessary under the present system.
>
> Of course they do. That is much easier than trying to memorize over a thousand
> questions without understanding.
>

Do you *really* want me too...... Naw, you're a lost cause.

From 4064133361884327782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:23:12 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 10:22:05 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org>
<9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208> <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987693350
64.240.47.95 (19 Apr 2001 10:15:50 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!
hub1.nntpserver.com!hub1.nibble.net!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19749

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Actually it's pretty clear, Larry. He was never able to meet the code
> requirements for Extra until they were virtually eliminated, so he is
> compelled to 'prove' that they were never proper in the first place.

I never needed an Extra license, Dick. But my good friend was barred
from the HF ham bands for 25 years. Now he is an Extra. Exactly what
was gained by barring from HF ham operation an engineer with a commercial
radio license and 25 years of experience as an AM broadcast station chief
engineer?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4310291758696406730
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:32:02 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF04AD.74E0FF38@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 10:30:53 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <9bllcf$cbi$0@216.155.32.208>
<3ADE6DDA.7C9F09A1@IEEE.org> <9bmuq8$ihj$1@208.206.142.105>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987693879
64.240.47.95 (19 Apr 2001 10:24:39 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!
out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19750
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> There is no doubt about the source of Larry's belief (and mine) in
> the efficiey of radiotelegraphy.

Yep, there's no doubt that it is part of your religious cult beliefs. But you are free to have whatever religion you choose, Dick. The topic is not the "efficiey (sic) of radiotelegraphy". The topic is the Morse code *TESTING* requirement. I agree with the FCC on that topic.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8201048099475863492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:40:53 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF06BC.DE165622@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 10:39:40 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3ADBBD6D.A67DDF4D@IEEE.org> <20010417075958.05102.00000574@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9binrs$art$0@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0F02.632FCC0E@IEEE.org>
<9bk70i$de7$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDAAE8.ABEAD11@IEEE.org>
<9blbt1$esq$3@208.207.71.144> <3ADE43A5.3EC5DF9B@IEEE.org>
<9blkdo$99o$1@208.207.71.144> <3ADE6EF8.CD8CD99C@IEEE.org>
<9bmv19$k22$0@208.206.142.105>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987694405
64.240.47.95 (19 Apr 2001 10:33:25 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19751
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> That's curious. If it is so, why didn't you 'enter' long ago?

Because it is not a certificate of accomplishment. It is a certificate for privileges and I never needed the extra privileges. The only reason I'm an Extra now is that I didn't want to be bumped back to General if the FCC decided to eliminate the Advanced class.

> It was
> always a certificate of accomplishment, one that said you have reached
> the highest level of amateur radio, not some beginners level. It didn't
> test to see if you were learned enough to begin to learn to be an Extra.

It tested to see if one qualified for *ENTRANCE* into the Extra license class. What is it about *ENTRANCE* that you find so hard to comprehend?

> You're so full of crap your eyes have turened brown.

Nope, I've still got my baby blues. You, OTOH, ...

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2616864972336820875
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:47:50 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF0862.678EAEBE@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 10:46:42 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<9bmv6a$k22$2@208.206.142.105>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987694827
64.240.47.95 (19 Apr 2001 10:40:27 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!
news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!cyclone-west.rr.com!news.rr.com!news-
west.rr.com!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19752
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> So you're a monkey's uncle? Speak for yourself.

There are four great apes still surviving as species. Chimpanzees
are man's closest living relatives. How does it feel to be the greatest
ape of all, Dick? Your DNA is 99% virtually identical to a chimpanzee.
That's probably why you drag your knuckles.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2389051742913592781
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:50:34 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF0908.B2714218@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 10:49:28 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can. And possibly Should!
References: <9bkbm9$oo5$0@208.207.71.138> <20010419080336.13630.00000107@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9bmvlg$k22$3@208.206.142.105>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987694993 64.240.47.95 (19 Apr 2001 10:43:13 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19753

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > Of course they do. That is much easier than trying to memorize over a
thousand
> > questions without understanding.
>
> Do you *really* want me too...... Naw, you're a lost cause.

If you really want to prove your assertion, Dick, memorize the definition
of 1000 words of Russian and get back to us on how easy it was and how
long it took you.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3331018657596611480
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 08:54:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 11:54:15 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com>
References: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
<3ADF0029.1CFE3955@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 52
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19754

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ADF0029.1CFE3955@IEEE.org...
> Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> >   This is not ""Chicken Little" nor is it any misplaced paranoia.
It's
> > happening, and it IS our problem. If WE do not take steps, within the
> > framework of FCC regulations and other civil law, to stop this, it is
OUR
> > fault. We cannot allow it to go any further.

>
> What can we do?

For a start how about convincing Hams, who feel if the "freebanders" are not on 10m it not a problem, to change their minds! I feel too many Hams ignore the larger picture because it does not impact them directly, yet. Worst still is why would anyone work to get any kind of Ham license to work H.F. when one could get a cheap export rig, amplifier, and work DX without one. Could that be one factor to explain the slow, or no growth in the number of new licenses? To allow the situation to continue would amount to creating a second "hobby radio service" competing with the amateur service, but with out any license requirements, and regulation.

Once the "freebanders" feel safe, operating anywhere on the 11/10m band, how long do you think it will be before they move in mass to other bands to try their luck there? Not only are "export rigs" a problem. but many of the "freebanders" now use modified newer all-band Ham H.F. rigs too. All they need to do is hang up a dipole for the band of their choice, and spin the VFO knob, then we have a problem.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 7453500985152224031
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 09:04:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 19 Apr 2001 16:04:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 73
Message-ID: <9bn2b9$s7m$0@208.206.142.105>
References: <9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138> <20010419080910.13630.00000109@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19755

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> I disagree with it in the sense that it is a false statement. The
> >> ARS is not a fundamentally technical service and hasn't been for
> >> a number of years. The ARS is fundamentally a communications service.
>
> > Which is based on participants' sufficient knowledge of the
> >communications technologies ***which are in use in the ARS***.
>
> It is important to Amateur Radio that its communications technologies have
> relevance to the communications technologies in use in the rest of the radio
> world.

Yes, in that they are actually communications-capable. And relevant to communications needs in times of distress or disaster. It is curious that many posting her in opposition of code testing would rather not have any communications capability rather than to acknowledge that radiotelegraphy, in fully successful use for over a century, be kept available within the ARS.

>
> Had Amateur Radio not had such relevance when it was created, it would not have
> been created.
>

The history I read says that it was all amateur radio initially, even Marconi himself always claimed to be an amateur.

> Had Amateur Radio not had such relevance after WWI and WWII, it would not have

> been brought back to life.

Oh, it's relevant, all right. It has filled in more than adequately on many occasions. And shall in the future.

>
> If Amateur Radio sets itself apart from the rest of radio techology, we are
> making a big mistake.

Uh, Ed, I think our Gov't already set Amateur Radio apart from other services long ago, around the time of "Two Hundred Meters and Down". As far as "from other technologies", I have seen nothing whatever from *ANY* CW supporter which even remotely can be construed to mean any such thing. Keeping a CW test for amateur lciensingf is in no way "orienting the ARS away from modern technology" but instead keeping a more full complement of communications assets available for future use. It would be of great assistance if the ARRL, instead of wimping all the time, would actually spend jsut a little effort in educating new hams of the value of them learning CW so that they might at least understand what it is about, instead of just pandering to their lack of knowledge, and cowing before NCI and its lackeys. Magfazine articles such as "The How and Why of CW" by Bob Shrader W6BNB in the February 2000 issue of CW magazine help, but it looks like ARRL could have something on its website on the subject. Not all newcomers read magazines.

For you to suggest that our belief that radiotelegraphy, the most basic radiocommunications mode, should be kept alive and viable for future use within the ARS is denying other newer technologies- that is more than being simply disingeneous, it's downright abusive.

I challenge you - how many DSP based communications terminals do you have in your station? How many does W6RCA have? It will surprise me greatly if the two of you combined own more of them then I do. I even gave one to my son in law to get him started in digital comm.

From -6740967139369176712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 09:06:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 16:06:00 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9bn2d8$s7m$1@208.206.142.105>
References: <20010419080831.28262.00000233@ng-ch1.aol.com>
<20010419081324.14479.00000095@ng-cu1.aol.com>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19756



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: k4yz@aol.com  (Steve Robeson LPN)
>
> >I tried the "nice guy, roll with the punches" position
> >for several months, but some people in here can only be dealt with in certain
> >language and demeanor.
>
> Your Honor, she MADE me beat her...


 BS..."I finally got tired of all the abuse and put a stop to it..."


From 5721725957861674237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 09:10:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: 19 Apr 2001 16:10:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9bn2kt$s7m$2@208.206.142.105>
References: <hqi2k25rb95@lamvda.com> <20010419102151.28252.00000153@nso-
mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19757



Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> >>         LOS ANGELES (AP) -- Director
```

> >>   Steven Spielberg announced
> >>   Monday that he is leaving his
> >>   post on the advisory board of the
> >>   Boy Scouts of America because
> >>   the youth group excludes gay
> >>   members and leaders.
>
> I hope he didn't let the door hit him in the ass on his way out!

I hope he did!

From 6433518113309032984
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 09:11:53 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: 19 Apr 2001 16:11:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9bn2o9$s7m$3@208.206.142.105>
References: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.105
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19758

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
>   Lennie the Lame has made a point (as have several others) that the
> shennanigans of some CB radio operators are not "any of Amatuer Radio's
> business".
>
>   I refer the unbelieveing and the contrary to "www.coaxkid.com/chart.htm".
> There you will find a "Channel Chart" of "CB Channels" for export rig users and
> those who have "exapnded" their domestic rigs.
>
>   You will note that the chart starts at 25.165 mHz, a full 1.8 mHz below
> the legal CB band.
>
>   The chart then goes up to 29.655 mHz, covering all except 5kHz of the
> Amatuer 10 meter band.

>
> This is not an isolated occurence, nor is it the fantasies of one errant CB/Freebander. Anyone who takes the time to just coast up and down the band will find that this is a VERY prevelant problem, and that indeed these "channels" are in use by other than the intended services and licensees.
>
> This is not ""Chicken Little" nor is it any misplaced paranoia. It's happening, and it IS our problem. If WE do not take steps, within the framework of FCC regulations and other civil law, to stop this, it is OUR fault.
>
> We cannot allow it to go any further.

Got that, Cecil and ED?

```
From -7363585058381603659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 09:18:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138> <20010419080910.13630.00000109@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9bn2b9$s7m$0@208.206.142.105>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <AdED6.3113$D4.302797@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 16:18:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987697120 209.208.22.130 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 12:18:40
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 12:18:40 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19759
```

Dick Carroll said:

> The history I read says that it was all amateur radio initially, even Marconi himself always claimed to be an amateur.

Marconi was businessman and a promoter. Contrary to popular myth, he never invented a thing, but took available technology and showcased it to promote his business. Today we would call him a "system integrator".

His "support" for amateur radio was a shrewd ploy to ensure a large supply of QRM for the Navy, so that they would buy his more selective receivers.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 4579917871044417497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 09:41:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-
for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 09:36:03 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3ADF13F3.8DCC7D34@uci.edu>
References: <3ADC80C2.1282B296@IEEE.org> <9bi066$7sk$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org>
<9biq3k$bnm$4@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0CA2.49EA3F70@IEEE.org>
<9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA8CB.AAC897D7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19760
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Technology has out-distanced the
> abilities of the vast majority of hams.

Yes, but not by as much as it has out-distanced it's other users.

ac6xg

From -5548429722149101458

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 09:44:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!netnews.com!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 72
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 16:43:32 GMT
References: <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010419124332.16462.00000248@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19761
```

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net
>Date: 04/19/01 11:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message

>> What can we do?

(1) Realize that the longer you stay silent, the more prevalent the problem becomes

(2) Write your representitives that you expect the FCC to carry out thier job of enforcement, and you expect them (Congress) to fund the FCC accordingly.

(3) Listen to our bands. When you hear this kinda BS going on, log it and forward it to Uncle Riley and company. Record it if possible.

(4) Remember it IS our problem. Let the FCC know you have no intention of tolerating it.

>For a start how about convincing Hams, who feel if the "freebanders" are not
>on 10m it not a problem, to change their minds! I feel too many Hams ignore
>the larger picture because it does not impact them directly, yet.

BAM! Leland lays one right on the head. Solid smack.

>Worst still is why would anyone work to get any kind of Ham license to work H.F.
>when one could get a cheap export rig, amplifier, and work DX without one.
>Could that be one factor to explain the slow, or no growth in the number of
>new licenses?

I don't really think so although it's certainly a possibility. I think there is a misconception that Ham Radio is all about 100' towers, walls-full of equipment, and a degree in engineering to acquire an Amatuer license. THAT'S the myths we need to dispell. Getting the word out about the now adolescent aged no-code license will help too.

I have heard many CBers state they don't get into Amateur Radio because of the cost, yet they already have the same amount of money invested in CB that could get them a good station for Amateur service.

>To allow the situation to continue would amount to creating a
>second "hobby radio service" competing with the amateur service, but with
>out any license requirements, and regulation.

It's already been "created". When the FCC washed thier hands of Class D CB they in effect said that's what they were doing. Maybe not officially, but that's exactly what happened.

>Once the "freebanders" feel safe, operating anywhere on the 11/10m band, how
>long do you think it will be before they move in mass to other bands to try
>their luck there?

BAM! Another one on the head. And it's already happening. Tune 28.000 to 28.135 and listen. They are higher, but not as many.

> All they
>need to do is hang up a dipole for the band of their choice, and spin the
>VFO knob, then we have a problem.

BAM! The nail sinks all the way in, even if a bit late. They are already doing THAT too. Listen to 6600kHz, 6955kHz, 6985kHz, and others.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

From 9143965429023069220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 09:58:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 19 Apr 2001 16:58:14 GMT
References: <ekDD6.2997$D4.292700@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010419125814.16462.00000253@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19762

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 04/19/01 11:17 AM Eastern Daylight Time

I don't think we need to see much more to see that part of the perpetuation of "flaming" comes from cowardly sideline sitters who have nothing themselves constructive to add.

Not that I expected anything else from Hansel the Heartless. The very same form and fit of Lennie the Licenseless, and just as ineffective:

>In a sudden fit of patriotism, Major Steve Robeson LPN of the Radio Militia™
>donned his camo-fatigues with "KB1CCE" embroidered on the ass, and blurted
>out a bunch of old news, and concluded with:

Of course since it's been discussed before, per Hansel's logic, we should not discuss it any further.

(yeah....right)

And of course Hansel, like Lennie, despite an alleged lengthy professioanl career, can't seem to express his points without profanity or gratuitous insulting examples examples.

>Who is "we" and what is it that "we" plans to do, Nursie, other than scribe
>plaintive jeremiads here on rrap?

Nothing that involves you, Hans. Obviously you are "part of the problem" from the old addage that states "If You Are Not A Part Of The Solution, You Are Part Of The Problem".

Seems to fit. Perhaps a really good reason why the Hams of MN didn't lose any tears over your lackluster term in office as SM of Minnesota?

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

```
From 2504997536751328258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 10:11:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 10:04:19 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3ADF1A93.9B3D54B7@uci.edu>
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
<3addb65a.2862997@news.mindspring.com> <3ADE139E.88E55FE@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19763
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > You conveniently didn't answer my question.
> > Your posts seem to be (IMHO) much more tied up with
> > some affinity for WORK as a discrete effort that
> > should be reuired to obtain a ham license.

> Maybe we should have a special ham license for guys like Dick.
> Anyone who digs an eight cubic yard hole and fills it back up
> a total of twenty times gets an Extra class license.

Hope he can use a backhoe. That's 240 tons of dirt.

ac6xg

```
From 4248982202770538177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 10:14:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!
newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

Message-ID: <3ADF1D0B.1818@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
<3ADF0029.1CFE3955@IEEE.org> <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 77
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:14:51 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.60
X-Trace: news1.atl 987700442 209.214.187.60 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:14:02 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:14:02 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19764

Leland and all,

This is a serious problem. Yes, we must get in touch with our Representatives and begin making them aware of the problem and the further consequences if it is not nipped in the bud (so what if Barney Fife said it) NOW! As mentioned, the pirates are edging closer to the international HF aviation bands. That is the ONLY weapon we have. If we make our voices heard loud and clear, when various Reps begin hearing the same complaints loud enough and long enough, they will act. This is what happened with the "local enforcement" law got passed. However, this approach has not achieved any noticable results. The emphasis should've been placed on re-arming FCC with the funds and personnel to do the job in order to address the broader problem. Again, if SOMEBODY doesn't get off their (read that OUR) collective butts and use what tools we have to combat this problem, it IS going to get worse and worse. Maybe Congress won't care if CBers overrun the Ham bands, but if they can be made to see the dangers of allowing them to continue to operate illegally there and the extent of their encroachment onto public service and safety HF bands, they WILL do something.

73

Jerry
K4KWH

Leland C. Scott wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3ADF0029.1CFE3955@IEEE.org...
> > Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> > >   This is not ""Chicken Little" nor is it any misplaced paranoia.

> It's
> > > happening, and it IS our problem. If WE do not take steps, within the
> > > framework of FCC regulations and other civil law, to stop this, it is
> OUR
> > > fault. We cannot allow it to go any further.
> >
> > What can we do?
>
> For a start how about convincing Hams, who feel if the "freebanders" are not
> on 10m it not a problem, to change their minds! I feel too many Hams ignore
> the larger picture because it does not impact them directly, yet. Worst
> still is why would anyone work to get any kind of Ham license to work H.F.
> when one could get a cheap export rig, amplifier, and work DX without one.
> Could that be one factor to explain the slow, or no growth in the number of
> new licenses? To allow the situation to continue would amount to creating a
> second "hobby radio service" competing with the amateur service, but with
> out any license requirements, and regulation.
>
> Once the "freebanders" feel safe, operating anywhere on the 11/10m band, how
> long do you think it will be before they move in mass to other bands to try
> their luck there? Not only are "export rigs" a problem. but many of the
> "freebanders" now use modified newer all-band Ham H.F. rigs too. All they
> need to do is hang up a dipole for the band of their choice, and spin the
> VFO knob, then we have a problem.
>
> --
> --
> 73 de,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL member
> NCI member
>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> functions."

From 1082390501217009134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 10:18:23 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF1D9B.C0776BC0@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 12:17:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138> <20010419080910.13630.00000109@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9bn2b9$s7m$0@208.206.142.105>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987700262
64.240.47.59 (19 Apr 2001 12:11:02 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19765
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> It is curious
> that many posting her in opposition of code testing would rather not
> have any communications capability rather than to acknowledge that
> radiotelegraphy, in fully successful use for over a century, be kept
> available within the ARS.

Nobody wants to make radiotelegraphy unavailable within the ARS. Some
of us simply want radiotelegraphy to stand on its own merits and not
be artificially propped up by a governmental affirmative action program.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6202518528406191948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 10:22:11 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!
```

```
newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ADF1EEC.3655@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <hqi2k25rb95@lamvda.com> <20010419102151.28252.00000153@nso-
mq.aol.com> <9bn2kt$s7m$2@208.206.142.105>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:22:52 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.60
X-Trace: news1.atl 987700922 209.214.187.60 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:22:02 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:22:02 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19766

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > >>         LOS ANGELES (AP) -- Director
> > >>         Steven Spielberg announced
> > >>         Monday that he is leaving his
> > >>         post on the advisory board of the
> > >>         Boy Scouts of America because
> > >>         the youth group excludes gay
> > >>         members and leaders.
> >
> > I hope he didn't let the door hit him in the ass on his way out!
>
>  I hope he did!



That's what I said in an earlier post!  And I hope Brucey Woosey doesn't
forget his purse on HIS way out.

Jerry



From -6653406174694427516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 10:22:24 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF1E8C.D4FE7ADE@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 12:21:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
<3addb65a.2862997@news.mindspring.com> <3ADE139E.88E55FE@IEEE.org>
<3ADF1A93.9B3D54B7@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987700501
64.240.47.59 (19 Apr 2001 12:15:01 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19767

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Maybe we should have a special ham license for guys like Dick.
> > Anyone who digs an eight cubic yard hole and fills it back up
> > a total of twenty times gets an Extra class license.
>
> Hope he can use a backhoe.  That's 240 tons of dirt.

No machines allowed. Dick really, really admires work - the
harder the better. He would probably even consider a pick
and shovel to be an unfair advantage.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 244060722875278066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 10:24:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <ekDD6.2997$D4.292700@www.newsranger.com>
<20010419125814.16462.00000253@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <ubFD6.3195$D4.315429@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 17:24:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987701082 209.208.22.130 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:24:42
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:24:42 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19768
```

Steve Robeson LPN blurted out:

> ....your lackluster term in office as SM of Minnesota?

Since I've never been an SM in Minnesota (or anywhere else), I guess you must have me confused with someone else. In fact, you seem confused on many points lately. Better ask the doc to adjust your dosage.

72.5, de Hans, K0HB

```
From 5518072230829030838
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 10:25:07 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF1F31.7CD93DCC@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 12:24:01 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com> <3ADF0029.1CFE3955@IEEE.org> <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987700664 64.240.47.59 (19 Apr 2001 12:17:44 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19769

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> For a start how about convincing Hams, who feel if the "freebanders" are not
> on 10m it not a problem, to change their minds!

Assuming that happened, what happens next?

> Once the "freebanders" feel safe, operating anywhere on the 11/10m band, how
> long do you think it will be before they move in mass to other bands to try
> their luck there?

What are they going to do when 11m goes dead from lack of sunspot activity?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From 2146139415344526 30
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 10:30:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ADF20E5.752F@bellsouth.net>

```
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
References: <MPG.154650331c075c79896d4@news.earthlink.net>
<20010418200747.14184.00000008@nso-mj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 65
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:31:17 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.60
X-Trace: news1.atl 987701427 209.214.187.60 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:30:27 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:30:27 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19770
```

Len, DAMN IT!

It takes two to tangle, and your argument with "funguy" et al, has nothing to DO with ham radio at all. This is a NEWSGROUP, for gosh sakes! It's like saying John is Mad, John is also a Democrat, so, therefore, ALL Democrats are MAD! Even if the subject being argued is ham radio, it is an INDIVIDUAL argument between 2 or three people. The extraneous responses you get from some others is the result of their being denigrated by association--just like mine now. Why can't you AND funguy just let it GO!!?

Jerry

Len Over 21 wrote:

```
>
> In article <MPG.154650331c075c79896d4@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:
>
> >After extending the invitation...
> >>
> >> The anonymous one wishes a personal confrontation?  Fine,
> >> she can then drop by my QTH and do just that.    :-)
> >
> >In article <20010417133342.16316.00000181@nso-cu.aol.com>, Upyours Furher
> >Anderschmidt aka Leona Anderdaughter (get it??!!) squirms like a fish on
> >a hook after realizing the invitation has been accepted, then weasels his
> >way out of it by typing....
>
> No "weaseling" done.  Anyone showing up at my house
> demanding a "meeting" has to be prepared to accept the
> consequences.  Judging from the language used by the
> Anonymous one, this would not be a pleasant meeting.
>
```

> Anyone is free to travel to and through all the states of
> the USA. Tickets to LAX or PSP are not free, nor are
> rental car fees, gasoline, insurance, or bail (after arrest).
> But, the anonymous one has, by admission, "plenty of
> income" and can afford to squander it...not by talking of
> pleasantries about avocations, but by demanding
> "meetings" to "discuss differences."   :-)
>
> Perhaps this is all in the "spirit of amateur radio?" A
> "communications service" where some take it to be a
> confrontational service wherein they seek to intimidate
> others? To subjugate them to their wishes? To use
> vile language in an angry tone because others are not
> frightened of their majestic powers and achievements?
>
> Thanks for proving the point that, for some, amateur
> radio is not a recreational avocation, but a fume vent
> for your anger and personal frustrations.
>
> Which is it? April 30th or May 23rd?
>
> Do you need directions? From PSP terminal drive
> south on El Cielo (past the government buildings)
> to Ramon Road (bear left, watch for signs pointing to
> I-70). Go east on Ramon Rd to Gene Autry Highway 111,
> turn left there and keep watching signs (on your left)
> after about two miles from the intersection.
>
> There. Happy now? :-)

From 2620488091622133321
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 10:37:52 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF222C.146BA230@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 12:36:44 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
<9bn2o9$s7m$3@208.206.142.105>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987701429
64.240.47.59 (19 Apr 2001 12:30:29 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19771

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> >   We cannot allow it to go any further.
>
> Got that, Cecil and ED?

Words are cheap, Dick. Exactly what are you doing about it? Please
be action specific. Your rantings and ravings don't seem to have
had a very large effect so far.

The FCC has the responsibility for enforcement. Does it do any good
to report lawbreakers to the FCC? Is the FCC more likely to respond
to a lawbreaker within a ham band than a lawbreaker outside a ham
band? Can a system be set up at the ARRL to screen and funnel violation
problems to the FCC? How about an organization called "No Freebanders
International" with yourself as president?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1324891447652822323
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 10:58:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:56:33 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tdu9q06b93d5ea@corp.supernews.com>

References: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
<3ADF0029.1CFE3955@IEEE.org> <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com>
<3ADF1F31.7CD93DCC@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 37
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19772

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ADF1F31.7CD93DCC@IEEE.org...

> Assuming that happened, what happens next?

Your right, now that's a BIG "assumed", and it don't look to good right
about now either.

> What are they going to do when 11m goes dead from lack of sunspot
> activity?

They join the other "personalities" that hang out on the phone section of
the 75m band every night of course. 8-))

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -1991558437680085595
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 11:09:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 14:08:46 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tduadve7p2k1e7@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com> <20010419124332.16462.00000248@ng-fc1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 53
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19773

"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010419124332.16462.00000248@ng-fc1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
> >From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net
> >Date: 04/19/01 11:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Worst still is why would anyone work to get any kind of Ham license to
work
> H.F.
> >when one could get a cheap export rig, amplifier, and work DX without
one.
> >Could that be one factor to explain the slow, or no growth in the number
of
> >new licenses?
>
>   I don't really think so although it's certainly a possibility. I
think
> there is a misconception that Ham Radio is all about 100' towers,
walls-full of
> equipment, and a degree in engineering to acquire an Amatuer license.
THAT'S
> the myths we need to dispell. Getting the word out about the now
adolescent
> aged no-code license will help too.

This topic comes up from time to time on the CB news group. The "typical"
answer I get, when asked why many freebanders, etc., don't get a license, is
the comment I posted. They say "why get a hammie license when I can do
everything you can do with out one." And without any meaningful FCC
enforcement activity, on or about 11m, they have a point.

--

--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -5235792090426507940
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 11:39:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 18:39:02 GMT
References: <tduadve7p2k1e7@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010419143902.03551.00000267@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19774

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net
>Date: 04/19/01 2:08 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>They say "why get a hammie license when I can do
>everything you can do with out one." And without any meaningful FCC
>enforcement activity, on or about 11m, they have a point.

If "working DX" is ALL they are interested in, they may have...but WHY face the fines and possible loss (per confiscation) of their equipment? The tests are NOT that hard to pass.

Not everything "Ham" occurs between 1.8 to 29.7mHz. HF may be "the sizzle", but the rest of it is the whole steak.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"A Man Never Stood So Tall As He Who Knelt To Help A Child"

Screw China. That's What Happens When You Send a Coolie To Do A Pilot's Job

From 9012121643437839895
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 19:13:43 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 02:13:42 GMT
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <9blhkm$3jm$0@216.155.32.208>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9bh979$qgf$0@216.155.33.75> <3addaff6.1226698@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.208
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19674

Bill Sohl wrote:

> >The truth is, learning the Morse code really isn't "hard work" at all. It is just
> >something which doesn't provide the same level of instant gratification that
> >comes from the voice modes. This unwillingness to defer gratification -- the
> >unwillingness to invest the time and dedication required to gain a valuable
> >communications skill -- demonstrates a lack of intellectual maturity on the
> >part of the NCTA.
>
> Larry, that is just so much bull. The reality is that we all
> make various choices regarding our time and how
> we spend it. The choice to learn or not learn any
> particular skill has nothing to do with maturity at all.
> The issue we NoCodeTestAdvocates raise is that
> there is simply no longer any valid reason to retain code

> skill as a requirement. You may disagree with that,
> but in the end, that decision will be made by a
> cross-section of the worlds radio regulation policy makers.

Bill:

Yes, my friend, I do disagree with it, and you're absolutely
correct about the decision being out of the hands of ham
radio operators. I assure you that I am firmly grounded in
the reality of the situation. However, here we are debating
the underlying philosophy of the code/no-code debate. I
have already accepted the fact that the decision to undo the
code requirements are the new skyscraper on the skyline,
blocking out both the Sun and the Moon. This is one of
the many reasons why I now advocate the reallocation of
as much of the valuable spectrum above 500 MHz out of
the hands of wasteful, unproductive, and technically
ininvolved "consumers" of this formerly worthwhile hobby.

> Feel free to comment to those policy makers that
> you beieve the end of code testing is just a sign
> of their imaturity in making the decision to do so.

I would rather attribute it to their lack of first-hand knowledge
of the true value of maintaining a pool of amateur radio
operators capable of proficiently employing the Morse/CW
mode.

> > Unfortunately, new hams coming into the service after
> >Restructuring have no option but to be painted with the brush created by
> >the lazy majority who whined for, and received, lowered licensing standards.
>
> Your commentary sez volumes about your attitude.

Yes, it does. It sez (sic) that I have a complete and insightful
understanding of the present situation in the ARS.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 3936446451869992820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 19:21:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
```

```
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 22:19:05 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3ADE4B00.BF5C89CA@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>
<90876CBA6darrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-177.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987646733 389353 206.74.49.177 (19 Apr 2001 02:18:53
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 02:18:53 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15428
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19675

Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON wrote:
>
> bw821@lamvda.com wrote:
> >
> > Former Eagle scout and current BSA board
> > member Steven Spielberg has
>
> He is NOT a FORMER Eagle Scout.  He is an
> Eagle Scout!


  If he has renounced the Boy Scouts (which is exactly what it appears
he has done), he is a "former" Eagle Scout.  You cannot renounce an
organization and then turn around to claim a title in that
organization.  The world doesn't work that way, Darryl.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net



From 9208832863222532693
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 19:23:38 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 02:23:37 GMT
```

Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9bli79$4t2$0@216.155.32.208>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418082924.00804.00001247@ng-fc1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.208
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19676

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

>> Nobody is objecting to the truth, Larry. We are objecting to the abusive
>> ad hominem attacks, e.g., being called a "baldfaced liar".

>Also unfortunately, I must admit that
>I have been responsible for my own fair share of such attacks -- but only
>after being quite righteously provoked.

There is nothing "ad hominem" about calling someone a "baldfaced liar" if they are indeed lying.

Some people can't "debate" without rapidly escalating the "debate" to a shouting match. They have little or nothing to "debate" with, and/or are unwilling to accept known and established facts when presented with them. Thier last resort is name calling and fighting.

Oh well.

Steve:

Oh well, indeed! While I'm not claiming to be any kind of a saint in this regard, I believe your long-running sandbox-level quarrel with Lennie Anderson places you squarely in the category of people who should refrain from hurling aggregate projectiles -- lest you damage your vitreous abode!

>The difference is that I do have a
>conscience about such things; a lot of Usenet users do not. Such is the
>pity.

Such it is.

On that we can agree.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 4674146007581712471
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 19:30:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 19 Apr 2001 02:30:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9blijb$5ce$0@208.207.71.144>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu>
<3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org> <3ADCCF78.B29673C3@uci.edu>
<3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org> <9bkd6h$c6e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3ADDDE01.AFF8056D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19677
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

```
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > There's a much more fundamental reason for the ARS to exist.  The amateur
> > spectrum is like a National Park.  Citizens have a reasonable expectation to
> > be able to make use of the radio spectrum without a dependence on commercial
> > radio service providers.  Selling amateur frequencies to the highest bidder
> > is no different than turning Yellowstone Park over for private, commercial
> > development.
> >
> > It is a mistake to put all our eggs in the emergency communications basket.
>
> Are you saying that the ARS is an attempt to put aside some spectrum as a
> national playground for the common folk? Therefore, we don't have to justify
> our existence? I'll have to cogitate on that one.
```

I can't imagine why. It's merely a variation of your theme of the past
few posts. No one needs to know anything, advancing technology will take
care of everyone and everything.

```
From 6132412102464989731
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 19:56:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 19 Apr 2001 02:56:33 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9blk51$k2r$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADE4136.DFCF6ADD@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987648993 20571 149.174.88.254 (19 Apr 2001 02:56:33 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Apr 2001 02:56:33 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19678

>Those old obsolete dictionaries? Try Webster's New Universal
>Unabridged Dictionary to alleviate your ignorance.

You've already demonstrated twice you don't know the meaning of 'obsolete,' Cecil, so that dictionary won't get you out from under. Like all else, start at grade 1, and learn the BASICS. THAT's "universal."

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -6231136791230139 80
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 19:58:02 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 19 Apr 2001 02:58:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9blk7p$99o$0@208.207.71.144>
References: <3ADD0B14.37F5AFE0@IEEE.org> <9bj2k1$2nt$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADD133F.18D387E7@IEEE.org> <9bk73d$de7$1@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA6CB.FFCF57D1@IEEE.org> <9blbn9$esq$1@208.207.71.144> <3ADE3CD9.308DC11A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19679

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > And finally, after 50+ years, you learned enough to get your Extra.
> > >
> > > I took the Extra exam only one time and scored 100%.
> >
> > So did I - with no question pool to study. I'd bet you wouldn't have
> > made 100% on my Extra test even if you are an engineer.
>
> What is a 100% sure bet is that I didn't take that exam. But, given
> the license manuals, there's no reason to think I wouldn't have
> achieved a perfect score. After all, the 1957 ARRL License Manual
> had 25 pages of Extra stuff to memorize. The Y2K ARRL Extra License
> Manual had 359 pages.

Of course you are subject to correction, yet once again.(Don't you ever get tired of being corrected?) The license manuals contained paragraphs and pages of   STUDY MATERIAL, not exact questions and answers.

From 4279117135144884469
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 20:01:13 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 03:01:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9blkdo$99o$1@208.207.71.144>
References: <3ADBBD6D.A67DDF4D@IEEE.org> <20010417075958.05102.00000574@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9binrs$art$0@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0F02.632FCC0E@IEEE.org> <9bk70i$de7$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDAAE8.ABEAD11@IEEE.org> <9blbt1$esq$3@208.207.71.144> <3ADE43A5.3EC5DF9B@IEEE.org>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19680



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > What a silly thing to say, Dick. The Advanced exam was the entry level
> > > exam into the Advanced class. The Extra exam is the entry level exam
> > > into the Extra class. What is it about ENTERING the Extra class by
> > > passing the Extra exam that you don't understand?
> >
> >  You are full of crap
>
> Like I said, what a silly thing to say.
>

 And you're still full of crap.


From 3008955137373488413
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 20:03:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 03:03:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9blkhv$99o$2@208.207.71.144>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138>
<ZJiD6.1639$D4.158796@www.newsranger.com> <9ble3e$mlf$1@208.207.71.144>
<3ADE47C5.D5614BCB@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19681
```

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  I didn't think so either, given that I did not one trick of CW
> > operating during my 5 year military stint. But....somehow NCI et al seem
> > to see anything a CW supporter does as somehow alien and of enmity to
> > the "people".
>
> You continue to be confused, Dick. NCI doesn't object to CW or CW supporters.
> NCI objects to the federal Morse code *testing* affirmative action program.
> What is it about "Morse code testing" that is so hard for you to comprehend?


 If you think that anyone is fooled into believing that it's you who is
confused.



From 2272587517703911717
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 20:13:49 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 23:14:00 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 78
Message-ID: <3ADE57D0.92ADBA4@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-177.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987650019 391350 206.74.49.177 (19 Apr 2001 03:13:39
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 03:13:39 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19682

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> Once more we get into the great debate
```

> among gays, the Boy Scouts, and the
> literal-minded God Squad. I am blessed
> sick of it. For the record, I am a
> straight male, ex-Boy Scout with my own
> problems with the BSA on an entirely
> different issue, and have enough
> Christian background to know that in
> Pauline Greek 'religion' and
> 'superstition' are the same word.

You make the mistake of assuming the main opposition to homosexuality is coming from the religious community. In reality, just as many (nearly the same percentage) who claim no religion are opposed to homosexuality as those who do claim a religion. Therefore, your "God Squad" nonsense is nothing more than a load of BS.

> I invite readers to perform an experiment.
> Put a hand flat on the table in front of you.
> If you are male, you will note that your
> ring finger is longer than your index finger.
> This is not the case generally with women.
> You will further note that your dominant
> hand has a ring finger slightly longer than
> the non-dominant one. This is one of the
> trivial effects of exposure to testosterone
> in the womb. Every fetus produces it in the
> adrenals if not the testes. It does not
> come from the mother.

Now, what in the heck does that have to do with sexual gratification through homosexuality? By inject that into this conversation, you seem to be suggesting that homosexual men have short ring fingers like a female (which is as absurd as your "God Squad" nonsense).

> [snip] When the International Olympic Committee,
> suspicious of the East German ladies, asked for
> a test to determine whether they were in fact
> women, the manufacturers of such tests told them
> that they could determine maleness and certify
> that they were not men but could not determine
> femaleness.

If they can determine maleness, they can also determine femaleness. In normal human beings, there are only two sexes out there. If you're not one, then you're the other.

> [snip] Face it, gang, to some extent being male

> is a birth defect.

Oh, brother. And are you now suggesting that we develop ways to fix this "birth defect?" Oh, that's right, I see where you're going with this now - homosexuality is the fix.

> [snip] It is perhaps incumbent on the mainstream
> to learn enough not to equate homosexuality with
> pedophilia; [snip]

I was not aware that anyone was equating anything with anything? In fact, all most are doing, when discussing this subject, is pointing out the tendency of many gay men to seek out young boys for sexual gratification.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -6016151044640392032
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 20:15:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 19 Apr 2001 03:15:30 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9bll8i$kof$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADE40DD.99DBF893@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzi.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987650130 21263 149.174.88.247 (19 Apr 2001 03:15:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Apr 2001 03:15:30 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19683

>Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary:
>"outdated - outmoded"
>Webster's New Universal Unabridged Dictionary:
>"outdated - outmoded"
>Neither dictionary even mentions "obsolete" under "outdated".

If so, then your 'new' dictionaries place you at an obvious disadvantage for understanding the comprehensive meaning of words, Cecil. The better dictionaries go through the words completely. No shortcuts. A lesson well learned.

>Sorry, Vince, you lose.

A laugher, Cecil. You couldn't win an argument if I handed it over.

>Many things are outdated which are not obsolete. Please stop
>trying to put words into my mouth that I didn't say. It's
>tantamount to lying and an indication of desperation on your
>part.

You can't come crying to me because your books take shortcuts.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 9137711310369947276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 20:17:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 03:17:35 GMT
Lines: 83
Message-ID: <9bllcf$cbi$0@216.155.32.208>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.208
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19684

Dick Carroll wrote:

W1RFI wrote:
> >The difference is that I do have a
> >conscience about such things; a lot of Usenet users do not. Such is the
> >pity.
>
> I would believe this if you were to take the the time to find the attacks that
> are causing you pangs of conscience and apologize to those whom you have

> offended.

> Maybe I can offer a little insight here, Ed. While you and Cecil and othres posting here spent much of your youth hanging out in the hippie culture, presumabely looking for new and creative ways to avoid reality, Larry and I were in the military getting a full dose of reality on an ongoing, daily basis.
> We learned that you don't neutralize an avowed enemy by pandering to his every whim.
> Do I believe that ham radio has enemies which do not wish us well? A good number of whom are present amongst us? Does a bear live in the woods?

Dick:

Thank you very much for your most gracious support. Truly, you understand a great deal more about me than others in this group could, due to having, like myself, sacrificed the most valuable and productive years of your life in the service of our country. My military experience was one of hard work, long hours, the high stress of constantly being forced to perform multiple tasks at once. I also faced the responsibility of supervising quite large groups consisting of more than a fair share of weak, lazy, and unmotivated people who were more interested in their own comfort, convenience, and the benefits of their service than they were in earning them. I carry quite a great bit of resentment over the way the military was used as an alternative form of welfare -- saddling smart, hard-working, motivated, and dedicated people with the arduous task of carrying an equal number of the opposite types on their backs. Many times I have had to take a back seat in job opportunities when they were given to less deserving people who, for reasons that had nothing to do with their job performance. I then was made to "work for" these people, and make them "look good" by doing my own work in addition to theirs, while they continued to massage their careers with square-filling activities which had nothing to do with the mission of the workcenter. When they did involve themselves, they usually made decisions which only placed a greater burden on the good workers, without providing adequate support.

I had a great deal of bad luck during my Air Force career, with regard to the type of people I had to work with and work for. My own subordinates were, for the most part, appreciative of my efforts to properly support them when they demonstrated to me that they were as seriously concerned about the mission as I was. One way I gave that support was to get rid of as many bad apples as I could, in order to not force them to have to carry that dead weight on their backs. I just wish some of my own "superiors" had shown me the same courtesy.

I admit that I carry a great deal of this "baggage" into the C/NC debate, with the desire to demonstrate my support for the strong, traditional values of the Amateur Radio Service. I fully understand that the Morse/CW mode must share a great deal

of the average ham's time and attention with all other modes.
I, myself, am quite enamored of the digital non-voice modes, but due to the fact that I was required by then current licensing standards to learn to effectively employ the Morse/CW mode, I am now a True Believer in the value of that particular communications skill. I also believe that being required to learn this skill at a practical, effective proficiency level, also led me to become a more technically-involved amateur, though I do allow that good technicians can contribute valuably to the service without it. Unfortunately, good technicians are among the minority in our now mostly consumer-oriented service.

I hope this provides some insight to others on the position I hold within this ongoing debate, and why I continue to participate therein. You obviously understand, for reasons which are both commendable and appreciated by myself.
Again, I thank you for your support.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 526301233719956528
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 20:23:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 23:23:52 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net> <XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-177.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987650610 391044 206.74.49.177 (19 Apr 2001 03:23:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 03:23:30 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19685

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> I would be satisfied if the God-Squad
> merely proved the existence of a
> God.

We've went over this before, Cecil. Why should anyone prove to you the existence of anything? God is a personal experience, not something that can be handed to you on a paper plate. If you want to find proof of god, go out and find it yourself. If you're not willing to do that, then at least stop trashing those who do.

> Otherwise, I'm going to have to go
> with the scientific evidence that
> Homo Sapien DNA is 99% virtually
> identical to chimpanzee DNA and 50%
> virtually identical to banana DNA.

And how does DNA, or the relationship of one DNA sample to another, disprove the existence of a god?

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8694041063786258095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 20:26:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 19 Apr 2001 03:26:54 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9blltu$l55$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <9bll8i$kof$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzb.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987650814 21669 149.174.88.254 (19 Apr 2001 03:26:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Apr 2001 03:26:54 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19686

>You can't come crying to me because your books take shortcuts.

By the way, bad texts, and those that take shortcuts are a major reason America's in trouble with education, Cecil. That's no coincidence, as even your 'new' dictionaries (apparently) show! (I'm taking your word for what you say your books say.) Another lesson well learned.

"Boyzzz, you can't even buy a decent book today!"
---paraphrasing George Olson

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

```
From 1539802787530383999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:01:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:58:33 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9blnk2$58b$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <20010416074138.06622.00000728@ng-md1.aol.com>
<20010417190017.11407.00001040@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3ADCD071.8C94D967@uci.edu>
<3ade078a.110547259@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-32.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19687
```

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3ade078a.110547259@news2.dvol.com...
> >I liked Chuck Yeagers saying better: "There are old pilots, and there
> >are bold pilots, but there are no old, bold pilots."
> >
> Yeah, like he isn't both.

Evidently his definition of a bold pilot and yours are not the same.

ac6xg
.

```
From 6923434615289583409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:11:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 21:03:15 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9blnsu$5hl$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC9CF8.40506A99@uci.edu>
<3ADCA4E6.19AEA6DD@IEEE.org> <3ADCCF78.B29673C3@uci.edu>
<3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org> <9bkd6h$c6e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3ADDDE01.AFF8056D@IEEE.org> <3ADE0144.3F46553E@uci.edu>
<3ADE0EAE.B6193699@IEEE.org> <3ADE1D82.4B5B2FD6@uci.edu>
<3ADE3AC9.3C296518@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-32.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19688


W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ADE3AC9.3C296518@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > Where did I write we didn't have to justify our existance?
> > >
> > > You certainly implied that all that was necessary was our mere
existence.
> > > So, exactly what must we *do* to justify our existence?
> >
> > What I wrote
> > is that our existance is justified in ways more fundamental and
> > important than simply emergency communications.
>
> What you wrote was: "Where did I write we didn't have to justify our
> existance?" So do we or don't we have to justify our existence? Your
> choice of passive voice masks the necessary action, if action is
> indeed necessary.

Not necessarily.  Masking of necessary action was not the intent of any of
my remarks.  The intent was just as I stated.  So go blow it out your ear.

ac6xg
```

```
From 4952612204625479301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:11:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-
mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 21:06:00 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <9blo24$5i2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <9bkd6h$c6e$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010418200748.14184.00000009@nso-mj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-32.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19689


Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010418200748.14184.00000009@nso-mj.aol.com...
> In article <9bkd6h$c6e$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley"
<jwkelley@uci.edu>
> writes:
>
> >W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> >news:3ADD098C.FF79F526@IEEE.org...
> >> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >> > One would hope the FCC cares about the health of the ARS.  But the
idea
> >> > seems a bit pollyannaish to be coming from a federal agengy.  Do you
> >> > have anything in the way of fact that might lend support to such a
```

> >> > notion?
> >
> >> Just logic. It's logical that the FCC recognizes and wants to preserve
the
> >> emergency communications function of the ARS. It's logical that the FCC
> >> recognizes the average age of the members of the ARS. The nocode tech
> >> license has contributed a large percentage of the present ARS
membership.
> >> It's logical to continue that same trend of reduced requirements in
order
> >> to attract enough amateur radio operators to provide the emergency
> >> communications function.
> >
> >There's a much more fundamental reason for the ARS to exist. The amateur
> >spectrum is like a National Park. Citizens have a reasonable expectation
to
> >be able to make use of the radio spectrum without a dependence on
commercial
> >radio service providers. Selling amateur frequencies to the highest
bidder
> >is no different than turning Yellowstone Park over for private,
commercial
> >development.
>
> In what part of the EM spectrum has the FCC "sold amateur frequencies
> to the highest bidder?"

Apparently you misunderstood my comments. Please have someone read else and
explain them to you.

From 7562268537246489632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:14:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: "Tammy Faye" <TBakker@GigHair.comm>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 23:17:36 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - http://www.altopia.com
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9blon5$k20$0@dosa.alt.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>
<90876CBA6darrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100> <3ADE4B00.BF5C89CA@sccoast.net>
X-Priority: 3

```
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15430
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19690

Spielburg is a stalwart Clinton supporter. No more need be said.


"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3ADE4B00.BF5C89CA@sccoast.net...
> Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON wrote:
> >
> > bw821@lamvda.com wrote:
> > >
> > > Former Eagle scout and current BSA board
> > > member Steven Spielberg has
> >
> > He is NOT a FORMER Eagle Scout.  He is an
> > Eagle Scout!
>
>
>   If he has renounced the Boy Scouts (which is exactly what it appears
> he has done), he is a "former" Eagle Scout.  You cannot renounce an
> organization and then turn around to claim a title in that
> organization.  The world doesn't work that way, Darryl.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net




From -5865213328046659515
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:21:06 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE6770.1108B318@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 23:20:00 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADD0B14.37F5AFE0@IEEE.org> <9bj2k1$2nt$2@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADD133F.18D387E7@IEEE.org>
<9bk73d$de7$1@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA6CB.FFCF57D1@IEEE.org>
<9blbn9$esq$1@208.207.71.144> <3ADE3CD9.308DC11A@IEEE.org>
<9blk7p$99o$0@208.207.71.144>
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.87
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987653625 64.240.47.87 (18 Apr 2001 23:13:45 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19691

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Of course you are subject to correction, yet once again.(Don't you ever
> get tired of being corrected?) The license manuals contained paragraphs
> and pages of STUDY MATERIAL, not exact questions and answers.

From the 1957 ARRL License Manual: "If our answers sometimes seem to the initiated to be naive or incomplete, rest assured that they are sufficient for the purpose." What is it about "sufficient" that you don't understand?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 537563897142966380
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:34:34 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE6A94.CECA93E0@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 23:33:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can. And possibly Should!
References: <9bll8i$kof$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>

```
<9blltu$l55$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.87
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987654430
64.240.47.87 (18 Apr 2001 23:27:10 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19692

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> By the way, bad texts, and those that take shortcuts are a major
> reason America's in trouble with education, Cecil.

Got news for you, Vince. Nobody died and made you the dictionary god.
I used the word "outdated" and "outdated" is exactly what I meant.
You dishonestly tried to substitute the word "obsolete", a word that I
didn't use. Better go practice your mind fornicating ways on someone
else - it's not working on me.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -5714276214877044355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:37:47 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE6B4C.B78AE91D@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 23:36:28 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
```

```
References: <3ADE4136.DFCF6ADD@IEEE.org> <9blk51$k2r$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.87
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987654614
64.240.47.87 (18 Apr 2001 23:30:14 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.flash.net!easynews!
hub1.nntpserver.com!hub1.nibble.net!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19693

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >Those old obsolete dictionaries? Try Webster's New Universal
> >Unabridged Dictionary to alleviate your ignorance.
>
> You've already demonstrated twice you don't know the meaning of
> 'obsolete,' Cecil, so that dictionary won't get you out from
> under. Like all else, start at grade 1, and learn the BASICS.
> THAT's "universal."

"Obsolete - no longer in use"  That's obviously not true about CW
or Morse code testing and that's why I did NOT use the word. That's
your word, Vince, not mine. Please cease your feeble attempts at
mind fornication.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -1296868278157027817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:43:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
```

```
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!
news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 19 Apr 2001 04:43:03 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9blqcn$n84$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADE6A94.CECA93E0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987655383 23812 149.174.88.251 (19
Apr 2001 04:43:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Apr 2001 04:43:03 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19694

>Got news for you, Vince. Nobody died and made you the dictionary
>god. I used the word "outdated" and "outdated" is exactly what I
>meant. You dishonestly tried to substitute the word "obsolete",
>a word that I didn't use. Better go practice your >mind
>fornicating ways on someone else - it's not working on me.

Well, you're wrong every which way, Cecil. The better
dictionaries, including the better on-line ones, provide one or
more of the following for the definition of "outdated"---old
fashioned, obsolete, archaic, outmoded, no longer in use. So
you're wrong when you say "outdated" isn't equivalent
to "obsolete."

Second, the better dictionaries provide the definition for
"outmoded" as "no longer current," "not acceptable by present
standards," and "gone out of style."

Since Morse is in wide use, neither can you say that Morse
is outdated/outmoded. You don't win this one either, Cecil, even
with your chosen use of words!

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG



From -6250837540770197699
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:48:37 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE6DDA.7C9F09A1@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 23:47:22 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <9bllcf$cbi$0@216.155.32.208>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.87
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987655268 64.240.47.87 (18 Apr 2001 23:41:08 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19695

Larry Roll wrote:
> ... I am now a True Believer in the value of that particular
> communications skill.

Yes you are, Larry, and maybe you should read the book by that
same name to gain some insight into the disfunctional psychology
of the "True Believer".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From -9187741654066256286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:51:14 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!

Date: 19 Apr 2001 04:51:13 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9blqs1$n84$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3ADE6B4C.B78AE91D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdze.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 987655873 23812 149.174.88.251 (19 Apr 2001 04:51:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Apr 2001 04:51:13 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19696

>"Obsolete - no longer in use" That's obviously not true about
>CW or Morse code testing and that's why I did NOT use the word.
>That's your word, Vince, not mine. Please cease your feeble
>attempts at mind fornication.

Good dictionaries, Cecil, list the following for YOUR word
"outdated"---old fashioned, obsolete, archaic, outmoded,
no longer in use. So outdated is synonymous with obsolete,
any which way you turn it. Outdated is also synonymous with
outmoded, as you state. Unfortunately, outmoded means
"no longer current," "not acceptable by present standards,"
and "gone out of style," among others. So since CW
is still in wide use, you can't even say that it's
outdated/outmoded, as per your claim.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 6577333834925417304
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:53:17 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE6EF8.CD8CD99C@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 23:52:08 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3ADBBD6D.A67DDF4D@IEEE.org> <20010417075958.05102.00000574@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9binrs$art$0@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0F02.632FCC0E@IEEE.org> <9bk70i$de7$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDAAE8.ABEAD11@IEEE.org> <9blbt1$esq$3@208.207.71.144> <3ADE43A5.3EC5DF9B@IEEE.org> <9blkdo$99o$1@208.207.71.144>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.87
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987655552

64.240.47.87 (18 Apr 2001 23:45:52 -0500)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19697

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > What a silly thing to say, Dick. The Advanced exam was the entry level
> > > > exam into the Advanced class. The Extra exam is the entry level exam
> > > > into the Extra class. What is it about ENTERING the Extra class by
> > > > passing the Extra exam that you don't understand?
> > >
> > > You are full of crap
> >
> > Like I said, what a silly thing to say.
>
> And you're still full of crap.

Does that mean that you now understand that passing the Extra exam is
a requirement for ENTERING into the set of Extra class licensees? It
is not a graduation certificate.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3626890527895451080
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:55:33 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!

supernews.com!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 19 Apr 2001 04:55:33 GMT
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.208
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19698

In article <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Someday you are going to have to learn to read, Dick. The NCI web page
>says they don't have enmity toward CW or anyone associated with it.
>What they object to is the federal affirmative action program for Morse
>code testing. It ceased to be necessary decades ago and is simply an
>outdated artifact left over from earlier days that needs to be discontinued.
>You continue to be confused about CW VS Morse code testing. Nobody hates
>CW. Lots of people hate the Morse code testing requirement, maybe even
>the majority of hams.

Cecil:

Just exactly what do you "hate" about the code testing requirement(s)? Hate is a pretty powerful emotion, and there is always an underlying reason for it. I'm curious to find out where this passion comes from.

I also used to "hate" the Morse code testing requirements, but that was because, at the time, I possessed a very immature, irrational, self-centered and short-sighted attitude toward them. I wanted the instant gratification of being able to communicate globally, preferably by voice, via amateur radio -- without having to learn no steenking Morris Kode. My attitude changed because I matured, and grew out of my selfish, insecure way of thinking and was able to accept the requirement based on the fact that it produces a valuable communications skill and the dividend of greater operating privilege. What I want to know is -- what's YOUR problem?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3272997438173641857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 21:58:24 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 23:57:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.87
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987655862
64.240.47.87 (18 Apr 2001 23:51:02 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19699

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> We've went over this before, Cecil. Why should anyone prove to you
> the existence of anything?

Because I am forced to live under a set of laws that assumes, without
proof, the existence of a God.

> And how does DNA, or the relationship of one DNA sample to another,
> disprove the existence of a god?

It doesn't disprove the existence of a God. It just makes God
unnecessary. Homo Sapiens are not special at all.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7950370387571141306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 22:13:35 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE73B7.2A110359@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 00:12:23 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADE6A94.CECA93E0@IEEE.org> <9blqcn$n84$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.87
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987656769
64.240.47.87 (19 Apr 2001 00:06:09 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19700

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

> So you're wrong when you say "outdated" isn't equivalent
> to "obsolete."

"Obsolete" means no longer in use. "Outdated" does not mean no
longer in use. I used the word "outdated". I did NOT use the word
"obsolete". Is mind fornication the only discussion technique that
you have left?

> Since Morse is in wide use, neither can you say that Morse

> is outdated/outmoded.

I didn't say that Morse is outdated. Here's what I said:
"As an entrance requirement, it is simply outdated and therefore
is in the act of being dropped."

What is it about "entrance requirement" that you don't understand?
Morse/CW is not being dropped. Morse code *TESTING* is outdated and
is being dropped.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3471215875296649823
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 22:24:38 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE764D.FA210B77@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 00:23:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can. And possibly Should!
References: <3ADE6B4C.B78AE91D@IEEE.org> <9blqs1$n84$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.87
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987657431 64.240.47.87 (19 Apr 2001 00:17:11 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19701

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >"Obsolete - no longer in use"  That's obviously not true about
> >CW or Morse code testing and that's why I did NOT use the word.
> >That's your word, Vince, not mine. Please cease your feeble
> >attempts at mind fornication.
>
> Good dictionaries, Cecil, list the following for YOUR word
> "outdated"---old fashioned, obsolete, archaic, outmoded,
> no longer in use. So outdated is synonymous with obsolete,
> any which way you turn it.

Nope, it isn't, Vince. I used the word so I get to choose the
particular definition. I feel sorry that you cannot accept
reality.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1685053621173153893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 22:45:44 PST
Message-ID: <3ADE7B43.C7180B54@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 00:44:35 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org>
<9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.87
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987658701
64.240.47.87 (19 Apr 2001 00:38:21 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.siscom.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19702

Larry Roll wrote:
> Just exactly what do you "hate" about the code testing requirement(s)?

I hate that a good friend of mine, who had an engineering degree, a
commercial radio license, and a job as chief engineer for an AM
broadcast station, was barred from HF ham bands for 25 years just
because he was unable to get beyond 10wpm even after thousands
of hours of practice. He's an Extra now so exactly what good did
it do to bar him from HF for 25 years? Walk a mile in his shoes,
Larry, and get back to us.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4789683434528033033
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-18 23:25:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ADE76D7.E79F54A9@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com>
<iZmD6.2005$D4.197668@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21

```
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 06:25:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.49
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987661544 207.0.147.49 (Wed, 18 Apr 2001
23:25:44 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 23:25:44 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 18 Apr 2001 23:24:23 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19703

K0HB wrote:
>
> Richard McCollum says:
>
> >If there is a majority group, it is those of us who would wish the God
> >Squad to learn something of faith and respect for the works of God, wish
> >the gays to get the hell out of our face, and wish the Boy Scouts to
> >develop the good sense God gave the average billy goat.  The cacophony of
> >idiots gets old after a while.
>
> Thanks, Dick.
>
> I count myself in that majority.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB, aka "Lefty"

You too, Hans?

73,

Dave K8MN


From 5539159206798712568
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:30:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 11:29:46 GMT
References: <3addd5b7.1357743@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419072946.13630.00000098@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19705
```

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>Now Vince and others may disagree with that assessment,
>but that doesn't change reality. I have several antique autos, each
>is obsolete in terms of contemporary motor vehicles.
>The fact that I occasionally USE those vehicles does
>not make any of them NOT obsolete.

True enough, Bill, but within the Amateur Radio Service, Morse code use is significantly higher than "occasionally." Within the Service, the code is not obsolete at all.

It is obsolete outside of the Amateur Radio Service.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3910847858575927976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:32:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 11:31:48 GMT
References: <3adde8ad.6212227@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419073148.13630.00000099@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19706

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>I'll defer to the court of public opinion as to the appropriate
>use of the descrition obsolete to describe my antique cars.

"Obsolete" would be among the more mild terms used to describe a few of my cars! Though the "Deathmobile" (my '78 GMC pickup) does have a new clutch in it now. But the used 4-speed I put in it seems to have a spun bearing or two. But it still goes, and I am hoping it wears in. :-)

73,
Ed, W1RFI

From -2297728084829877986
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:35:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 11:34:59 GMT
References: <3adde8ad.6212227@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419073459.13630.00000100@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19707

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>Ah, but even "wide use" doesn't justify any need for a
>skill test for the mode (IMHO). Your mileage and
>opinion will likly be different.

I imagine that more people use Morse code within the Amateur Radio Service than conduct emergency communications. Yet there are still questions on emergency communications procedures.

I still like your original idea of having a Morse code test as a part of the overall exam, with a reasonable number of questions about the content of sent text being included in the written exam. It is a compromise that puts Morse code on the same par as other operating modes and techniques that are "occasionally used" within the Service. Completely eliminating Morse code testing appears to be letting the pendulum swing too far in the opposite direction.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7074521487549321335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:37:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 19 Apr 2001 11:36:25 GMT
References: <9bkq8o$5cq$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419073625.13630.00000101@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19708

>From: Vincent Biancomano

>>Ah, but even "wide use" doesn't justify any need for a
>>skill test for the mode (IMHO). Your mileage and
>>opinion will likly be different.

>You can fiddle with issues as you wish, but again, you're not
>good at it.

If that really is the strongest argument you can offer in response to Bill's comment, it is one of the weakest I have seen on this forum.

73,
Ed, W1RFI

From 2884756557822329703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:38:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 11:37:58 GMT
References: <9bkr90$8u8$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419073758.13630.00000102@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19709

>From: Vincent Biancomano

>>So sayeth Vince. As before...
>>I'll defer to the court of public opinion as to who is good at
>>what.

>You always do this to yourself, Bill.

Are you saying that Bill is doing something wrong by deciding that he has said enough and that those that have read this (all 3 of us) can make up our own minds about how we feel?

Are you trying to control my thoughts again, Vince? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5029889512893666980
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:38:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 07:38:26 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tdtji3lko4ns6a@corp.supernews.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdshqi2k25rb95@corp.supernews.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 37
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15434 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19710

Stop the incorrect reference. Men that choose men instead of women are not gay, they are homosexuals. There is nothing gay about being a homosexual.

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message
news:tdshqi2k25rb95@corp.supernews.com...
> Actually, that's not quite true, according to what I read.
>
> Spielberg did not quit.
>
> He just did not renew. Or actually indicated he would not
> renew.
>
> And he did not say it was because of the BSA stand on
> gays. What he DID say was that he felt that his renewing
> and remaining a member of the board he was on was
> construed by some people as his taking sides in the
> issue with the BSA.
>

> So... He did NOT quit. He did NOT say the BSA was
> wrong or right. He's staying out of it.
>
> Mike
>
>
> Bruce W <bw821@lamvda.com> wrote in message
> news:9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > Former Eagle scout and current BSA board member Steven Spielberg has
> > pinned on his pink triangle and left the scouts because of their
> > anti-gay posture. Don't you homophobes ever learn? See the story at
> >
> > http://www.sacbee.com/news/calreport/
> > calrep_story.cgi?story=N2001-04-16-1800-1.html
>
>

From 3851191331688736675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:40:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 11:39:51 GMT
References: <GnjD6.1699$D4.165373@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010419073951.28262.00000221@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19711

>Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 04/18/01 12:35 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id:

>> Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

>�97.1 Basis and purpose......(SNIPPED)

Once again, you are reminded that this forum is "USENET", >>NOT<< Amateur

Radio.

I guess that simple fact alludes you.

So I guess we can feed YOU fish heads.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

From 1013290918793381732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:40:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 11:40:19 GMT
References: <9bll8i$kof$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419074019.13630.00000103@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19712

>From: Vincent Biancomano

>If so, then your 'new' dictionaries place you at an obvious
>disadvantage for understanding the comprehensive meaning of
>words, Cecil.

Can you post the definition from your old dictionary, Vince? I am curious to see the difference between the new and the old that you are tossing about.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4365087840191142228
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:43:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 11:42:48 GMT
References: <3ADE3CD9.308DC11A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419074248.13630.00000104@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19713

>From: W6RCecilA

> After all, the 1957 ARRL License Manual
>had 25 pages of Extra stuff to memorize. The Y2K ARRL Extra License
>Manual had 359 pages.

Boy, they sure dumbed it down, eh? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3416109604436036234
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:44:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 11:43:55 GMT
References: <GnjD6.1699$D4.165373@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Message-ID: <20010419074355.28262.00000223@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19714

>Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 04/18/01 12:35 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 04/18/01 12:43 PM Eastern Daylight Time

I see you were so anxious to get a slap in that you hit the send button before you were finished the first time and had to spam the net twice.

Oh well...Who was it that said someone was so much like Lennie it was impossible to tell WHO apart?

Hmmmmmmmmmm.........Thanks for the laugh, Your Geezerness!

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

From -3637708495699863203
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:52:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 11:51:46 GMT
References: <9blc13$esq$4@208.207.71.144>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010419075146.13630.00000106@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19715

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Who is the "avowed enemy", Dick, and why?

> You can ask them, in fact you might even ask yourself. Seems they all
>hate the code and everyone who has ever used it.

Whew, for a minute, I thought that you saw me as the enemy. Your definition also lets Bill Sohl and Rick Tannehill off the hook. Carl Stevenson has acutally used it on the air, so he is cool, too.

Who IS this alleged enemy, Dick?

73,
Ed, W1RFI

From -165210066114260232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:57:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 11:57:04 GMT
References: <20010418200748.14184.00000009@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419075704.28262.00000227@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19716
```

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/18/01 8:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>In what part of the EM spectrum has the FCC "sold amateur frequencies
>to the highest bidder?"

They haven't yet. That's what we are trying to avoid. Of course, since you are NOT a part of Amateur Radio beyond your own shortsighted agenda, you wouldn't know that.

>Is this something new not covered in the FCC's Daily Digest? Or is it
>some urban myth reborn out of a need to rationalize some paranoia?

How would YOU know about anything in the Digest, Your Putziness? Only 5 days ago you stated that you are not subscribed to it.

And anyone who IS active in REAL Amatuer policy matters would know about the recent attacks on Amatuer allocations.

>The latest and recent-past EM spectrum auctions concerning new
>radio services are on the FCC Wireless Telecommunications
>Bureau home page. None of those are "selling amateur frequencies
>to any highest bidder."

Not everything that threatens ANY allocation is on the FCC's pages. Ask the folks at the ARRL about ONGOING moves against 2M and 70cm. You won't find that on the Digest recently, but it IS a very real threat.

>>It is a mistake to put all our eggs in the emergency communications basket.

>Part 90, Title 47 CFR, has regulations for US Public Safety Radio
>Services. The California Auxilliary Communications Service (ACS)
>integrates amateur emergency communications into a statewide
>emergency plan. Integrates, makes amateurs on an equal footing
>with professionals. Omelettes taste better when mixed well.

Which, you arrogant twerp, still leaves the other 49 states, Puerto Rico, Guam and several other American protectorates in the formula.

It would seem the only thing here "well mixed" is your brain.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

```
From -4659591949192906714
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 04:59:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 11:56:53 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 987681582 44711 63.87.116.25 (19 Apr 2001 11:59:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Apr 2001 11:59:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19717
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > We've went over this before, Cecil. Why should anyone prove to you
> > the existence of anything?
>

> Because I am forced to live under a set of laws that assumes, without
> proof, the existence of a God.

One of those laws is "thou shalt not kill." Should we get rid of it,
just to make you happy?

Until a little over a century ago, radio had not been discovered. Does
that mean that it didn't exist for those thousands of years of human
history which preceded that discovery?

From 2928223291309898125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:00:17 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:00:09 GMT
References: <9bli79$4t2$0@216.155.32.208>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010419080009.28262.00000229@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19718

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com
>Date: 04/18/01 10:23 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Oh well, indeed! While I'm not claiming to be any kind of a saint in this
>regard, I believe your long-running sandbox-level quarrel with Lennie
>Anderson places you squarely in the category of people who should
>refrain from hurling aggregate projectiles -- lest you damage your
>vitreous abode!

Thanks for your opinion, Larry. Where shall I mail the $1.00 for the cup
of coffee to go along with it?

73

Steve, K4YZ
"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

From 1925433212543969677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:02:52 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 12:00:02 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3ADED342.84D2CC74@bctv.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdshqi2k25rb95@corp.supernews.com>
<hqi2k25rb95@lamvda.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 987681771 44711 63.87.116.25 (19 Apr 2001 12:02:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:02:51 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15436
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19719

Bruce W wrote:
>
> On Wed, 18 Apr 2001 22:02:06 -0400, Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com> wrote:
> : Actually, that's not quite true, according to what I read.
> :
> : Spielberg did not quit.
> :
> : He just did not renew. Or actually indicated he would not
> : renew.
>
> Oh my. Then the Associated Press has it all wrong.

It wouldn't be the first time.

Or haven't you seen them make references to ham radio when they meant
CB, and vice versa? Or the time that they declared that "the gunman was
carrying a .380 magnum semi-automatic revolver."

The AP only passes along stories from members.

From -5580852825876086191

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:03:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:03:01 GMT
References: <ZJiD6.1639$D4.158796@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010419080301.28262.00000230@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19720

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 04/18/01 11:51 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Holy RF, Batman, the avowed enemies are after us again!  Time to get
>into our Radio Militia uniforms and spread around some doses of Radio
>Reality™.  Somebody call Steve real quick too, and make sure he is
>"preapred", and Larry ain't trying to kiss that camel again.

     I see Lennie was passing his "Extra Strength Geritol" around, Hans...Get a
snootful, did you?

>(Who spent 21 years bearing arms in my youth, but haven't figured
>out why that has a damned thing to do with how fast somebody can
>beep in Morse.)

     Perhaps that should have been ""bareing" arms, Hans?  How many firefights
did you see from the deck of USS Gray Boat?

     Just curious.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.


From -5234913361012545024
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:04:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
```

```
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:03:36 GMT
References: <9bkbm9$oo5$0@208.207.71.138>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419080336.13630.00000107@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19721

>From: Dick Carroll

>No, Ed, the frosting on the ecake is that many do actually attempt to
>learn the material on the tests, imn spite of the fact that it is not
>really necessary under the present system.

Of course they do.  That is much easier than trying to memorize over a thousand
questions without understanding.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 1675675140198437211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:06:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:05:52 GMT
References: <9bkbt3$p8v$0@208.207.71.138>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419080552.13630.00000108@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19722

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> What could you do in Amateur Radio 10 years ago that you are no longer able
>to
>> do today?

>"Today" isn't the problem, but it is coming closer and closer to that
>day.
```

I see, so the doom and gloom is not actually here, but it is coming...

Okay, let me see if I can find a variation of the question you are willing to answer.

What rules changes do you think the FCC will be making in the forseeable future that will prevent you from doing things in ham radio that you are able to do today?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5066264404337320346
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:09:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:08:31 GMT
References: <20010418090515.12780.00000607@ng-fr1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010419080831.28262.00000233@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19723

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
>Date: 04/18/01 9:05 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>This couldn't possibly have come from the same individual that posted such a
>nice reply re. Ham radio & anger in the "Why are some of us hams?" thread.
>Say it aint so!

Fraid it is, Bert. I tried the "nice guy, roll with the punches" position for several months, but some people in here can only be dealt with in certain language and demeanor.

You just can't be a "nice guy" any more and have anyone appreciate that simple virtue. I assure you that I have my patience and tolerance levels, but some people CAN push the button sufficiently to let the sharks swim.

There are a few folks here that can't really face facts, nor can they accept "I understand your point but I don't agree" as a response. A (thankfully) few find it necessary to analogize anyone who is NOT of thier particular opinion with neonazis, brownshirts, thugs, etc.

I don't tolerate that. No one HAS to tolerate it. No one should.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

```
From -7621422394991023464
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 05:09:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 12:09:10 GMT
References: <9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419080910.13630.00000109@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19724
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I disagree with it in the sense that it is a false statement. The
>> ARS is not a fundamentally technical service and hasn't been for
>> a number of years. The ARS is fundamentally a communications service.

> Which is based on participants' sufficient knowledge of the
>communications technologies ***which are in use in the ARS***.

It is important to Amateur Radio that its communications technologies have relevance to the communications technologies in use in the rest of the radio world.

Had Amateur Radio not had such relevance when it was created, it would not have been created.

Had Amateur Radio not had such relevance after WWI and WWII, it would not have been brought back to life.

If Amateur Radio sets itself apart from the rest of radio techology, we are making a big mistake.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7368044769424471472
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 08:08:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 20 Apr 2001 15:08:04 GMT
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9bpjck$mmu$0@216.155.32.187>
References: <3adde8ad.6212227@news.mindspring.com>
<20010419073459.13630.00000100@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.187
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19877

> W1RFI said to Bill Sohl

> I still like your original idea of having a Morse code test as a part of the
> overall exam, with a reasonable number of questions about the content of sent
> text being included in the written exam. It is a compromise that puts Morse
> code on the same par as other operating modes and techniques that are
> "occasionally used" within the Service. Completely eliminating Morse code
> testing appears to be letting the pendulum swing too far in the opposite
> direction.

Ed:

So, you're saying that the ARRL should fight tooth and nail to preserve, support, and defend the remaining 5 WPM Morse code testing requirement for a General- or Extra-class license? Wow -- you're really sticking your neck out on that one, aren't you? After reducing the code testing requirement down to the level of absolute meaninglessness, you begrudgingly allow that it now should be retained ad infinitum. How magnanimous. A code test that a person can pass without knowing the Morse code at a useful proficiency level is the one which should be kept forever. Well, I happen to disagree. It is now time to show the world exactly what the ARRL, the equipment manufacturers, and the amateur radio publishing houses really think of the ARS' most uniquely practical, effective, efficient, and universal mode. Which is nothing at all. Nothing except a potential barrier to their economic success, that is.

I say eliminate code testing in its entirety. I also say reallocate huge chunks of our valuable spectrum above 500 MHz to more productive and profitable commercial broadcasting and communications services. One hand washes the other.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1435148426989135678
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 08:17:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <MPG.154a1da98b32eb539896e0@news.earthlink.net>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com> <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net> <3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 47
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 15:17:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.118.250
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987779877 63.208.118.250 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 08:17:57 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 08:17:57 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 08:16:35 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19878

In article <3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com>,
billsohl@mindspring.com says...
> On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 12:28:54 GMT, funguy@hotjuno.com
> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>,
> >billsohl@mindspring.com says...
> >> On 19 Apr 2001 01:08:56 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >>

>
> >(NCI) is opposed to the allocations of the CW sub-bands on all HF
> >frequencies..as stated many times by Direktor Stevenson.
>
> NCI has taken NO stance on sub-bands.
> The personal opinion of one NCI director does NOT make
> it NCI policy. There are many people that oppose subbands who
> care not a twit about use of any one mode.

For a man who put some much credence into the opinions of others as it
related to their affiliations, why is he allowed the privilege of stating
his opinion apart from the organization. Sorry Bill, he set the rules a
year or so ago, especially when it came to Ed and the ARRL. He sets
them, he plays by them. Can;t separate the 2 just because it suddenly
seems advantageous to you and your organizations stated goals.

>
> >This equates to
> >opposition to code. Waffle all you want, but eliminate these protected
> >areas and you eliminate code and digital modes as the SSB hordes will
> >descend upon them faster than Gengis did on China
>
> So how come the majority of the rest of the world
> seem to get along fine with no sub-bands?

Seems to me, being on the bands that they honor the sub bands, however
less and less. Maybve you should actually spend time on the HF bands.
;-)

DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From -6968105148979172974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 08:21:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 20 Apr 2001 15:21:08 GMT
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <9bpk54$o24$0@216.155.32.187>

References: <3adfaecc.6198179@news.mindspring.com>
<20010420081835.12859.00000261@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.187
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19879

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>
> >>Yes, it does. It sez (sic) that I have a complete and insightful
> >>understanding of the present situation in the ARS.
>
> >In your opinion anyway.
>
> Let's put it to the test. Larry, what it the complete and insightful present
> situation in the ARS wrt the following topics:
>
> Ultrawideband
> Spread spectrum
> Digital voice
> Home Phone Networking
> Synthetic aperture radar
> Periodic emitters

Personally, Ed, I'm totally in favor of the entire lot. I have absolutely nothing
but the
greatest of enthusiasm for whatever technological wonders the ARS can bring to me.
I have always embraced cutting-edge technology as a radio amateur; I can't get
enough of the digital modes, mainly due to the fact that not enough of my fellow
hams
in this area could be bothered with anything more intellectually taxing than
picking
up a microphone. I've seriously thought of moving to Wilmington just to be within
range of Baltimore's ATV repeater system. The difference between me and the
NCTA is that I realize that Morse code proficiency and technological advances can
coexist, whereas they insist that the two must be mutually exclusive. You tell me
--
what's up with THAT?

> Just to pick a few that I know well enough to be able to judge your response.
>
> IMHO, anyone who thinks he has a complete and insightful understanding of all
> of the issues surrounding Amateur Radio is egomaniacal beyond belief. I realize
> you think you are superior, Larry, but you really should draw the line
> somewhere.

In reality, Ed, I am not anything close to the egomaniac you think I am. I am very

well grounded in reality, and I have no illusions about myself as a person or as a radio amateur. I'm just a normal, ordinarily talented individual. Yes, I will admit
to higher than normal intelligence, but I seem to lack common sense. If I had any, I probably wouldn't waste valuable time in this newsgroup.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4815199938914163787
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 08:21:23 PST
Message-ID: <3AE053AF.8EEC7C3C@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 10:20:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can. And possibly Should!
References: <3adde8ad.6212227@news.mindspring.com> <20010419073459.13630.00000100@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9bpjck$mmu$0@216.155.32.187>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987779640 64.240.47.70 (20 Apr 2001 10:14:00 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19880

Larry Roll wrote:
> I say eliminate code testing in its entirety. I also say reallocate huge chunks
> of our valuable spectrum above 500 MHz to more productive and profitable
> commercial broadcasting and communications services. One hand washes the

> other.

It does? So you advocate bringing back the 13/20wpm code tests so
hams will use code above 500 MHz in order to save UHF spectrum?
I, personally, fail to see the relationship between code testing
and ham spectrum above 500 MHz.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7197380577664034989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 08:32:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com> <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net> <3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <HDYD6.4321$D4.440224@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 15:31:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987780711 209.208.22.130 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 11:31:51 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 11:31:51 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19881

In article <3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl says...

>>NCI (which by the way is a registered trademark of the National Cancer
>>Institute)
>
>Who cares, we have nothing to do with the medical industry.

The National Cancer Institute probably cares a lot, since they use that little
"circle r" � thingy after their logo on their literature.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 5748625739441921423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 08:39:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 20 Apr 2001 15:39:56 GMT
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <9bpl8c$pm4$0@216.155.32.187>
References: <3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org> <20010420080749.26676.00000261@nso-cg.aol.com> <3AE03D13.D7A53B2A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.187
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19882

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Admittedly, nothing was gained, but nothing was lost, either -- except
> > perhaps from his personal point-of-view. However, this loss was self-
> > imposed, due to his unwillingness to meet the licensing standards in
> > place at the time.
>
> He was not unwilling, Larry, he was unable. He spent thousands of hours
> practicing Morse code and could never get past 10wpm. Getting to 13wpm
> was his top priority and had a negative effect on his life and physical
> health. What is it about a biological handicap that you absolutely
> refuse to understand?

Cecil:

One thousand hours is 41.666 days. I went from nothing to 20 WPM in probably 100 hours or less of sending/receiving practice, including scores

of
on-the-air QSO's. I seriously doubt that your friend invested anything
close
to 1,000 hours of code practice. Is there anyone out here that can believe
Cecil's claim?

BTW, I am completely empathetic wrt your friend's "biological handicap,"
if one actually exists. However, I don't subscribe to the notion that
having
an amateur radio license of any particular privilege level is any kind of
"right."
There will be certain people who, no matter what happens, can not be
included among the rolls of radio amateurs. Life is like that. I wasn't
able
to make a career as an Airline Captain because of my eyesight. Do you
think an affirmative action program should have been in place to prevent
me from being "excluded" due to my "handicap?" Remember, with my
eyeglasses, I have BETTER than 20-20 vision. Airline pilots whose vision
deteriorates with age and require corrective lenses are allowed to use them
without being denied their pilot's licenses. So why wasn't I even
considered
for this type of work just because I would have been wearing corrective
lenses from the start? Nevertheless, I accepted the requirements in place
for
both a commercial pilot's license and the Amateur Radio Service. Your
friend proved he was able to live without HF amateur radio privileges just
as well as I was able to live without a career as an aviator. Your argument

has made absolutely zero points here.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -787830070036684330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 08:56:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 15:56:04 GMT
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19883

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>Date: 04/20/01 9:15 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Being responsible, cooperative citizens obviously means nothing. Maybe
>it's time for a ham radio class action suit against the FCC for
>negligence.

You may have an idea.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

From -793528243582146152
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 08:57:39 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!194.25.134.62!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!do.de.uu.net!ams.uu.net!news.mailgate.org!www.ziplip.com!not-for-mail
From: w2dne@ziplip.com ("w2dne")
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 15:57:37 +0000 (UTC)
Organization: Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <200104201557.f3KFvat27851@mail.mailgate.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: www.ziplip.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mailgate.org 987782257 27854 216.33.158.118 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 17:57:36 +0200)
X-Complaints-To: www.ziplip.com@abuse.net abuse@mailgate.org
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 15:57:37 +0000 (UTC)
Mail-From: w2dne@ziplip.com from www.ziplip.com [216.33.158.118]
X-URL: http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19884

k0hb@qwest.net wrote:

> camo-fatigues with "KB1CCE" embroidered on the ass

I though Hollingsworth closed down the RF Commando club calls?

* Get free, secure online email at http://www.ziplip.com/ *

--
Posted from www.ziplip.com [216.33.158.118]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

```
From 8947183538332863475
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 09:02:50 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 61
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 16:02:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 987782571 209.204.160.101 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 09:02:51
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 09:02:51 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19885
```

Jerry:

You raise some good points about allowing local governments to enforce rules against illegal CB equipment. Perhaps the best way for local governments to proceed is to enlist the help of hams in the area who can work as a volunteer advisory committee to point out offenders. Just as local jurisdictions have competent engineering help in other technical areas, they can hire commercial engineering firms to help prosecute offenders they have identified.

Then what I would *really* like to see are sting operations at CB stores that sell illegal equipment, as well as "sweeps" at big truck stops along the major interstates!

Ron N6AHA

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net...
> Bert,
>
> In essence, that was 'feel good' law, designed to get constituents off
> their backs. While it *may* stop some interference, I am inclined to
> believe that it isn't effective for the following reasons:
>
> 1. Local Law isn't qualified on the subject of electronics to discern
>   a legal radio from an illegal one. He *could* mistake a power supply
>   for a linear because it says "amps" on it.
>
> 2. *Would* they consult FCC for advice as provided for in the law
> before declaring a licensed ham's station "illegal" and ordering
>   him off the air, or confiscating his equipment.
>
> 3. *Some* jurisdictions could smell money and attempt to go
> after   fines and fees. Opens the door for corruption on the
> local level.
>
>
> Having said that, won't you join me in writing to your Congressional
> Representatives and asking that funding be restored to FCC to combat
> this problem of illegal operations that has far-reaching consequences
> if it is not stopped. Tis the only weapon we have!
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> K4KWH
>
>
>
>
>
>
> KC2HMN wrote:
> >
> > Good Ideas. Does any body know the correct place to submit your
findings? Any
> > opinions regarding the recent CB "local enforcement" bill?

```
> >
> > 73 de Bert




From 9113158711996677l7
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 09:03:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!
4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 16:02:34 GMT
References: <16XD6.4194$D4.426859@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010420120234.29693.00000270@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19886

>Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 04/20/01 9:47 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>The terms "met in person" and "being at a gatehring(sic)" are not equivalent.
>I have been at a gathering where Duante Culpepper was also present, but I have
>never met the man.

     I see you have found satisfaction in membership in the
"AARP".....Aggitating Arrogant Retired Proofreaders".  God forbid I ever get
THAT bored.

>Good luck on this one now.

     Thanks.  You too.

Steve




"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.


From 7620878726380806293
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 09:10:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!
newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!news.csl-
gmbh.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-
fc1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <TbZD6.4371$D4.445444@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 16:10:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987783027 209.208.22.130 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 12:10:27
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 12:10:27 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19887

In article <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com>, Steve Robeson LPN
says...

>>Being responsible, cooperative citizens obviously means nothing. Maybe
>>it's time for a ham radio class action suit against the FCC for
>>negligence.
>
>     You may have an idea.
>

A dump huck idea, since the FCC is a federal agency.  Your grip on reality seems
to have expired.

Good luck on this one now!

72.5, de Hans, K0HB
```

```
From -2219254585915743219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 09:11:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 16:10:29 GMT
References: <fHXD6.4244$D4.431996@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420121029.29693.00000271@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19888

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 04/20/01 10:27 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>This "protection" exists in the regulations of only
>a small number of countries worldwide. The vast
>majority the ITU signatory countries do not divide
>the amateur bands up be mode, yet we do not see
>"hordes" of Canadian or Japanese or Chinese SSB
>signals descending on the CW end of any band.

This is primarily due to two facts:

(1) With the exception of Japan, we pretty much have the corner on Amatuer Radio operators. Ergo they can afford to let the few Amateurs in thier various administrations be "self-policing" in regards to sub bands.

(2) The Japanese society is very disciplined. If you tell them to NOT operate in certain parts of the spectrum they won't do it. No problem.

>Even in the US, 160 meters has no such "protection", yet
>SSB, CW, and even AM stations seem to coexist just fine
>on that band.

And the relative number of Amatuers operating on 160 meters is....?!?!? Also, the same "lure" of easy DX does NOT exist on 160 meters like it does on the HF bands. If Lower Slobovia starts calling "CQ" at 14.030 SSB, you can bet that the kilowatts will be lined up from 14.000 up.......

>Waffle all you want, but the evidence disproves your "the sky will fall on CW" jeremiad.

Will the "sky fall"...?!?! No...Will CW as an art disappear...? With the exception of a few diehards, yep.

```
"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.


From -6612111858243818406
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 09:25:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 16:25:16 GMT
References: <k4YD6.4283$D4.435125@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010420122516.29693.00000275@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19889

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 04/20/01 10:54 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>I laughed so hard I choked and
>my secretary had to come give me mouth-to-mouth!

     Well...I bet HE was happy!

>Life was funnier then.

     There's no mirrors in your house, Hans?

Steve


"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.


From 6650461777231758701
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 09:28:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
```

portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 16:27:42 GMT
References: <TbZD6.4371$D4.445444@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010420122742.29693.00000276@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19890

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 04/20/01 12:10 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>A dump huck idea, since the FCC is a federal agency.

I said it was an idea. The FCC >IS< a federal agency and subsequently has an obligation to serve the people who fund it...US. A "law suit" may not be the proper vehicle, Hansel, but there ARE avenues of redress availble.

>Your grip on reality seems to have expired.

Coming from you, I am hardly impressed, Hansel.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

From -2079332021825319919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 09:28:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 20 Apr 2001 16:28:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9bpo3u$7b2$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <20010419201736.18912.00000049@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9boe2u$jgt$0@208.206.142.79> <3ADFBDF7.DFD41416@IEEE.org>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19891

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > I believe that our worst enemies are indeed among us, found in those who see
> > > the divisions in our ranks and do their best to pry those divisions farther
> > > apart.
> >
> > As opposed to those of you who would give up the entire farm,
> > capitulating completely just to be able to say "unity is ours!"
>
> Exactly what are we giving up, Dick? Have you lost any privileges?

Nope. But its easy to see that you are of the "gimme my privileges,
forget the responsibilities" school.

From 8139196574762322208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 09:33:23 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 16:33:02 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.157
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.157
X-Trace: 20 Apr 2001 11:29:43 -0500, 64.24.240.157
Lines: 27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15471

```
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19892

On Wed, 18 Apr 2001 07:55:43 -0400, "J. Duffy Beischel"
<duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote:

>Who cares.  If the guy does not want to support morality, then I'm glad he's
>gone.  Thank God one organization is willing to take a stand against
>immorality and depravity.  Won't you heterophobes ever get it?  Now back to
>ham radio.
>
There's a huge trash underway at very high levels in the BSA heirarchy
over this bullshit. Individual troops have cut their ties with the
organization and continuing on their own. There are rumblings at the
council levels about pulling out of the national organization.
>
The problem is not morality or depravity or righteousness, the problem
is that there is no excuse or rationale for the Boy Scouts to get
involved in matters involving sex one way or another. National is a
bunch of Bible-pounding old farts in Dallas who have done a loverly
job of screwing up the whole movement by injecting issues into
scouting which have nothing to do with scouting. .
>
Male Girl Scout leaders have been taking their kids overnite camping
for years. I can just imagine how the morons in Dallas would handle
that one
>
Viva Speilberg.
>
w3rv


From 4556106064073521236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 09:33:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 20 Apr 2001 16:33:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9bpocp$7b2$1@208.207.71.134>
References: <9boe2u$jgt$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420081016.12859.00000257@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19893

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> I believe that our worst enemies are indeed among us, found in those who
> >>see the divisions in our ranks and do their best to pry those divisions
> farther
> >> apart.
>
> > As opposed to those of you who would give up the entire farm,
> >capitulating completely just to be able to say "unity is ours!"
>
> The really sad part, Dick, is that I believe that you see it that way.
>
> I hate to be the one to break it to you, Dick, but the FCC has made its
> decisions wrt code testing and volunteer examining and question pools quite
> some time ago. There is nothing to capitulate.
>
> I guess I feel that unity is more important to ham radio than is your personal
> need to vent your anger about the FCC's decision on those who have done no more
> wrong than to do what the law requires to get a license. To me, the unity of
> ham radio is a more important tradition than nearly any other. It is too bad
> that some are choosing to trample our fine traditions.

From this I glean one definite point of agreement- ARRL has a long
history of vaccilation.

From -4626247764248939837
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 09:34:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 20 Apr 2001 16:34:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9bpoer$7b2$2@208.207.71.134>
References: <3ADFBDF7.DFD41416@IEEE.org> <20010420081130.12859.00000258@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19894

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Exactly what are we giving up, Dick? Have you lost any privileges?
>
> He wouldn't answer that question other than to say that the End is Near. Of
> course he hasn't; no one has. Everyone can continue to do everything they have
> ever done in ham radio.

Yep, "Gimme my privilege, and shove your assertions of personal
responsibility."

From -8836154805643576517
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 09:51:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 09:49:49 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9bpp5b$c25$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <20010416074138.06622.00000728@ng-md1.aol.com>
<20010417190017.11407.00001040@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3ADCD071.8C94D967@uci.edu>
<3ade078a.110547259@news2.dvol.com> <9blnk2$58b$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3adfb215.219737318@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-64.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19895

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3adfb215.219737318@news2.dvol.com...
> On Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:58:33 -0700, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
> >
> >Evidently his definition of a bold pilot and yours are not the same.

> >
> He wuz bold enough to be the first stick & pedal jock to officially
> blow thru the "sound barrier" in a really squirrely rocketship and
> he's older 'n dirt. Last I heard he was still tooling "Glorius
> Glennis" around the sky, the P-51D named after his missus. Beats me,
> you figger if he's old 'n bold himself
> >
> >ac6xg
> >
> w3rv

I work with a guy who was a South Vietnamese fighter pilot in that war.
Even among those guys, Chuck Yeager is a hero. Since Gen. Yeager says there
are no old, bold pilots, I guess I'll take his word for it.

73, Jim

From -8193417160039249871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 09:59:10 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 12:59:05 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <te0qmualvcut7e@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com> <20010419124332.16462.00000248@ng-fc1.aol.com> <tduadve7p2k1e7@corp.supernews.com> <3ADF3E12.281A65FB@IEEE.org> <tduk6d2nivv4c1@corp.supernews.com> <3ADF8E09.5B259E43@IEEE.org> <te0c6omt6qtma2@corp.supernews.com> <3AE04049.252E1448@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 46
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19896

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AE04049.252E1448@IEEE.org...
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> >
> > "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message

> > news:3ADF8E09.5B259E43@IEEE.org...
> > > "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> > > > I think if somebody plays their cards right they can get away with
this
> > > > for a good while.
> > >
> > > That's a lot of trouble to go through just to get to engage in QSOs
> > > about prostate problems. :-)
> >
> > Oh come on now, it would take 10 minutes or less on the Internet to
verify a
> > callsign.
>
> 10 minutes is a lot of trouble to go through just to get to engage
> in QSOs about prostate problems. :-)

At least they know where to go to discuss the condition then. 8-))

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -3925390144547599867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 10:01:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 09:54:15 -0700

Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <9bppdl$c60$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3ADF4EB1.B367564F@uci.edu> <20010419230340.00244.00000052@nso-mn.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-64.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19897

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010419230340.00244.00000052@nso-mn.aol.com...
> In article <3ADF4EB1.B367564F@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >The point is, the discussion was in regard to 'justifying' amateur
> >allocations. If the FCC were to change its stance and decide that the
> >allocations were no longer 'justified', the amateur spectrum would
> >presumably be reallocated.
>
> In that hypothetical future, unjustified allocations would more
> likely result in cancellation of the particular radio service.

I think you're finally starting to get the gist of my comments.

> >In the past few years a prevalent means for
> >allocating frequencies has been by auction.

> Untrue. Auctions have been used by the FCC to select a
> service provider, national or regional, for a particular radio
> service in frequency bands already selected and offered by
> the FCC.

No. Within the context of my remarks, it's true.

> The FCC explains this in the "FCC Handbook."

> >Unlike other bidding
> >processes, things being sold at auction go to the highest bidder rather
> >than to the lowest bidder.
>
> What other auctions sell to the "lowest bidder?!?"   :-)

Read more carefully.   Contracts are awarded in a bidding process. Most
contracts are awarded to the lowest bidder. You started this, Leon. Live
with it.

ac6xg

From -6642663595492060193
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 10:07:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 20 Apr 2001 17:07:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19898

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >But ham radio is not all that far reaching in its basic objective and
> >means- to communicate by radio, using whatever means is at our disposal.
>
> It appears that you are now agreeing with Cecil that Amateur Radio does not
> need to have a strong technical component.
>
> You are full of surprises, Dick.

You are full of misinterpretations, Ed. Never have I said that hams must be technical geniuses, like Cecil claims to be. But neither do I agree with Cecil and you that hams need not know anything serious about radio technology.

You guys seem insistant that hams learn 9only newer mode technology, while your cavilier attitudes toward anything older than yesterday is amazing. Most ham radio communication is done with old technology, and so shall it be for some time to come. Sure, hams have long been able to get by somehow or other on little no knowledge, not that they have always been that productive at it. When one doesn't know how to make an antenna nor troubleshoot a defective one effectively he is sort of hamstrung when trouble strikes. But they press on, oblivious to their own plight. Why not see to it that they get some effective training in advance? How to better accomplish that than to have effective tests, rather than testing them over sattelite operation and other mundanities they more than likely won't soon see?

```
From 3173341471339404899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 10:11:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-
for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 10:06:42 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9bpq4v$cfn$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org>
<9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208> <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105>
<3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org> <3ADF404B.E4D3BE71@uci.edu>
<3ADF8929.8590E373@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-64.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19899
```

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ADF8929.8590E373@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > I never needed an Extra license, Dick. But my good friend was barred
> > > from the HF ham bands for 25 years. Now he is an Extra. Exactly what
> > > was gained by barring from HF ham operation an engineer with a
commercial
> > > radio license and 25 years of experience as an AM broadcast station
chief
> > > engineer?
> >
> > Did it take him 25 years to pass element 1A, or to pass elements 3 and
> > 4?

> He is incapable of copying Morse code faster than 10wpm and has been

> diagnosed with a biologically based AADD.

He never needed to copy Morse code at more than 5wpm in order to use HF.
Why would you claim he was "kept off of HF"? Or was that just another
exaggeration.

I already know "the rest of the story."

> His experience changed my mind about Morse code testing.

Seem it takes a lot more than one guy to change your mind about anything,
Cecil. I'm not convinced. But I formed my opinion about code testing in a
similar way. I knew guys who had been hams for 20 years, and didn't have
the privileges I did as a new guy - just because I could do the code and
they couldn't. I didn't think that was right.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 5269869609270
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 10:11:04 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 10:10:48 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9bpqcl$cl8$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
<3addb65a.2862997@news.mindspring.com> <3ADE139E.88E55FE@IEEE.org>
<3ADF1A93.9B3D54B7@uci.edu> <3ADF1E8C.D4FE7ADE@IEEE.org>
<3ADF426B.8BD9469F@uci.edu> <3ADF89C7.1BFE0A97@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-64.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19900

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ADF89C7.1BFE0A97@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:

> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > >
> > > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > > Maybe we should have a special ham license for guys like Dick.
> > > > > Anyone who digs an eight cubic yard hole and fills it back up
> > > > > a total of twenty times gets an Extra class license.
> > > >
> > > > Hope he can use a backhoe. That's 240 tons of dirt.
> > >
> > > No machines allowed. Dick really, really admires work - the
> > > harder the better. He would probably even consider a pick
> > > and shovel to be an unfair advantage.
> >
> > I think you ought to beta test your proposed system first.
>
> It's not my system. Dick is the one who hates labor-saving devices
> and admires work, work, work ...

My computer shows that the post which suggested digging and filling an 8
cubic yard hole 20 times was posted by W6RCecilA. Are you claiming this
information incorrect? If not, then it was your idea, and I claim you are
therefore responsible for beta testing it. :-)

73, Jim AC6XG

From 7725239722810712093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 10:13:47 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 20 Apr 2001 17:13:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19901

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: funguy@hotjuno.com
>
> >Waffle all you want, but eliminate these protected
> >areas and you eliminate code and digital modes as the SSB hordes will
> >descend upon them faster than Gengis did on China
>
> But I thought CW is a mode that gets through when no other mode will! :-)
>
>

Careful Ed, your True Colors are beginning to shine.
CW can overcome much QRM but no one wants to have to tolerate that sort of crap continuously, few will do it. A mode war would soon ensue and I imagine FCC realizes that. Perhaps that was a reason no change was made to band segments. We hope they are at least that aware up there.

From 1127636851933158492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 10:30:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!news-feed.ssmdata.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <fHXD6.4244$D4.431996@www.newsranger.com>
<20010420121029.29693.00000271@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <Xm_D6.4455$D4.457217@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 17:30:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987787831 209.208.22.130 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:30:31 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:30:31 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19902

In article <20010420121029.29693.00000271@ng-fc1.aol.com>, Steve Robeson LPN says...

> Will CW as an art disappear...? With the
> exception of a few diehards, yep.

Many ancient "skills-cum-arts" have disappeared, except among a core of devotee's.

..muzzle loaded muskets
..farriers
..archers
..steam threshers
..hand-cranked automobiles
..sail driven cargo ships
..the village smithy
..home-made soap and candles
..etc., etc., etc.

At one time these skills were all important parts of mainstream society, but now are quaint arts practiced by "diehards" (your term). So also it is with those of us who practice the art of radiotelegraphy.

So be it.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -2222474795259957416
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 10:48:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AE07615.43DFF672@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 12:47:01 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org> <9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208> <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105> <3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org> <3ADF404B.E4D3BE71@uci.edu> <3ADF8929.8590E373@IEEE.org> <9bpq4v$cfn$1@news.service.uci.edu>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987788446 64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 12:40:46 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19903

Jim Kelley wrote:
> He never needed to copy Morse code at more than 5wpm in order to use HF.
> Why would you claim he was "kept off of HF"? Or was that just another
> exaggeration.

Quoting from the 1957 ARRL License Manual: "The privileges available to the Technician are all those amateur privileges in 50-54 and above 220 Mc., ..." His Novice license had expired after a year and the only way for him to continue as a ham was a Technician license with *zero* HF privileges. Yes Jim, as a 1958 Technician, he ***indeed*** was "kept off of HF".

> Seem it takes a lot more than one guy to change your mind about anything,
> Cecil.

Nope, all it takes is one person, Jim. Can you imagine a murderer's plea, "But, I only killed one person and I deserve mercy because of all the people I didn't kill."

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8046101343854861016
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f6f377335aa3ecb,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 10:49:46 PST

Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!194.25.134.62!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!do.de.uu.net!ams.uu.net!news.mailgate.org!mail2.ziplip.com!not-for-mail
From: w2dne@ziplip.com ("w2dne")
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: A GLOBAL REPORT OF BREAK & ENTER, THEFT, TREPASS, FRAUD, FABRICATION AND COLLUSION CONSPIRED BY VANCOUVER POLICE-A STATE OF WIDESPREAD STINK, OPPORTUNISTIC & RAMPANT THIEVERY, PLAQUE, CHAOS, BARBARISM
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 17:49:44 +0000 (UTC)
Organization: Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Lines: 113
Message-ID: <200104201749.f3KHngt29909@mail.mailgate.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mail2.ziplip.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mailgate.org 987788983 29912 216.33.158.120 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 19:49:43 +0200)
X-Complaints-To: mail2.ziplip.com@abuse.net abuse@mailgate.org
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 17:49:44 +0000 (UTC)
Mail-From: w2dne@ziplip.com from mail2.ziplip.com [216.33.158.120]
X-URL: http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19904

To All Cybercitizens,

The official launching date for our global broadcast: 1 November, 2000 is supposed to be a celebration marking the completion of Global Media Network. But as you're well aware, the reported break and enter, theft and other crimes committed by the Vancouver police which, if unchecked, have the potential to destroy human civilization. We offer our service as an impartial, fair, unbiased media. Our media network possess the power to illuminate the far corners of the globe, not for higher profits, but for higher understanding between the people of this great planet. We are proud that our media network, as its name implies, has the ability to reach every corner of the earth. We offer our declaration of principles to the men and the women, to our every brothers and sisters in the planet whom we humbly serve. We promise to report the news without fear or favor, to be a force for good in this world, fighting injustice, crushing intolerance, battling inhumanity, striking a blow for freedom at every turn. These are the goals of Global Media Network. Join us and visit our official web site at http://globalmedianetwork.org

Global Media Network proudly presents REVIEW OF ONE OF THE TOP NEWS OF THIS MILLENNIUM:- BURGLAR WATCH-A STATE OF WIDESPREAD STINK, OPPORTUNISTIC & RAMPANT THIEVERY, PLAQUE, CHAOS, BARBARISM AND LAWLESS-A GLOBAL REPORT OF BREAK & ENTER, THEFT, TREPASS, FRAUD, FABRICATION AND COLLUSION CONSPIRED BY VANCOUVER POLICE

ENACTMENT OF THE INCIDENTS [DETAILS PROVIDED BY THE VICTIM]:

On 6 June, 94. the victim went out at 2:30 p.m. from his apartment. When he gone back at 2:37 p.m. he discovered that both his apartment and his bedroom were broken and entered. He discovered that his newly bought hi-fi components (bought at A & B Sound) and forty CDs (costed CAD$2,000) were stolen from his bedroom. When he reported the crimes to the police woman badge # 9082, who admitted the fact of surveillance two years afterwards in 1996, she behaved very arrogant. She purposely hanged up the phone several times in order to aggravate him.

Being alerted of constant harassment, out of suspicion, in 21 September, 96, at 6:00 p.m., the victim confirmed with the same police woman badge # 9082 via 911 that the Vancouver police had placed the audio and video surveillance devices inside his apartment. She refused to remove them and asked him to remove them. The Vancouver police were very familiar with the environment inside the apartment. In other words, the Vancouver police specificially targeted at the victim's properties that were located at his bedroom and knew exactly when the residents inside left the apartment. When the residents left the apartment on 6 June 1994, at 2:30 p.m., the Vancouver police sneaked into his apartment, stolen his properties and left within a minutes.

In July, 96, he called the Vancouver police in 320 Main Street, Vancouver, a male police who had sneaky remarks (about twenty something years old, caucasian) lied that the former chief constable Ray Canuel was in Atlanta, Georgia so that he could not handle the complaint. Afterwards, he sent a letter to Ray Canuel (deceased of cancer) in the same month without hearing any response. He then presented the complaint in person directly to the assistant to Ray Canuel. The assistant deceived him that the Vancouver police wouldl respond to his complaint three weeks later. The complaint aroused the police's revenge. Two polices without search and arrest warrant trespassed his apartment in Feb., 97. One caucasian police opened his complaint letter which was originally sealed without his consent and he was falsely arrested by the two polices. One of the police were a Chinese who were about 5"6, 160 lb., black and short hair. Another police were a caucasian who were about 6"2, gray and long hair whir covered his trim, gray-blue eyes, about 220 lb.. Both polices were thirty something years old. The latter one misrepresented and called himself as former Chief Constable Ray Canuel (deceased). The two polices committed trespass and false arrest.

The fact that Vancouver police are morally corruptive makes no difference to his efforts. When the victim filed the complaint through other formal channels three years afterward, i.e. 1999, he received a fabricated letter from Sergeant Mike Bernard, Office of the Chief Constable, Internal Investigation Section, Vancouver Police Department dated 20 May, 99, falsifyinging that police badge #581 Dave Turpin, Tony Zanatta had conducted the investigations. Indeed, they never contacted him nor take any report.

On the other hand, given the Vancouver police are coercive that they collude with every party the victim seeks help from or affiliates with. When the victim booked an appointment with a security company, APC Security in Burnaby, B.C., to do a further sweep on his apartment, the Vancouver police colluded with the company not to show up at the appointment on 26 July, 99. The same circumstances happened for Brian Brown & Associates INC, owner: Brian; operator: Laurie. Ph. (604) 2912121 and Interglobe Investigation Services Inc.. On 6 Aug., 99, the Vancouver police called the property manager of Crosby Property Management Ltd. to follow him when he walked to the garden. The property manager purposely aggravated him and tried to fight with him. The property manager asked him to complain to the management (instead of the police complaint authorities) so that they can cover up the conspiracies....

Please join our global action together with ALL media and ALL political leaders ALL over the world that have received our attention.

* Get free, secure online email at http://www.ziplip.com/ *

--
Posted from mail2.ziplip.com [216.33.158.120]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

```
From 1123824715611144126
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 10:59:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 17:58:50 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 152
Message-ID: <3ae06873.6158232@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3adfaecc.6198179@news.mindspring.com>
<20010420103828.26676.00000279@nso-cg.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.41.37
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 17:59:12 GMT
```

X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19905

On 20 Apr 2001 14:38:28 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3adfaecc.6198179@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>>I would rather attribute it to their lack of first-hand knowledge
>>>of the true value of maintaining a pool of amateur radio
>>>operators capable of proficiently employing the Morse/CW
>>>mode.
>>
>>Why Larry, why can't you be the source of that "first-hand
>>knowledge" which you can then share with them to
>>convince them to leave the test alone?
>
>Bill:
>
>This is a legitimate question, and I'm going to give it the truthful
>answer it deserves. I cannot personally impart "first-hand
>knowledge" of the value of the Morse/CW mode upon prospective
>hams -- they must gain this knowledge on their own.

The people YOU need to impart such knowledge to
are not prospective or current hams, but rather those
subject matter experts on radio regulations that the
various country administrations will send to WRC-2003.

As a front-end to that you can try to convince those "inside"
amateur radio as to your beliefs by having the IARU
support your position...since the IARU is often looked
at for guidance on such issues by the WRC representatives
from each country.

As a front-end to that you can try to convince those "inside"
amateur radio for each country as to your beliefs by having
that country's national organization (e.g. ARRL for the
USA, etc.) support your position...since each respective national
organization is often la party to the IARU decision process for the
individual region it is in (e.g. Regions 1, 2 and 3).

> I can always
>make the attempt to relate my experiences, but that, from their
>point of view, is merely anecdotal evidence of facts they have
>yet to determine for themselves to be true.

Glad you understand that. The policy makers address
such issues based on facts, not isolated anecdotal
evidence. Now if such anecdotal evidence is of sufficient
quantity, hen it can be viewed as significant, but without
such quantity, the isolated incident here and there won't

do it.

>In the absence of the requirement to be tested for CW proficiency at a useful level which would encourage continued on-the-air use of the mode, the problem becomes one of motivating an individual to gain this skill.

I have absolute faith that any argument based on "motivational factors" will not be given any merit by the WRC policy makers anymore than it has with the FCC.

>With the incentive of higher operating privileges already given away for free, there no longer exists any reason for becoming a proficient CW operator.

You are into circular argument again. The first priority for you is to CONVINCE the policy makers that there MUST be a pool of "proficient CW operators." You haven't done that.

>The mere words of CW esthusiasts like myself may not necessarily inspire people who are motivated by amateur radio activities which provide more readily attainable gratification.

Not everyone can be inspired to play golf, to learn CPR, to do (insert any activity you want). The fact remains, however, that if something has some enjoyment/interest for even a small minority of people it will keep a following of loyal users. The burden, however, is on those loyal users to "recruit" new loyal users. That is no different for people that "recruit" others to play golf, to buy, restore and enjoy antique cars, and YES, learn and use CW.

> I can always try, of course, but to be perfectly honest, I am not really interested in "recruiting" CW operators.

Belive it or not, I wrote my prior comments with the "recriting" wording before actually reading this one. The sad commentary of your statement is that you really don't care enough (IMHO) about CW to be a champion of the mode you want every ham to be proficient at. That's a major hypocracy in my book.

>I would prefer to see them come about through a process which I feel develops internal motivation to learn and gain proficiency in the mode. I believe in incentives -- they work virtually every time they're tried. I believe in the testing requirement -- it worked for me and for millions of hams before me.

We obviously disagree, because I only believe in incentives

based on requirements which are related to those incentives.
One example that I personally would endorse is lower power
for the entry license. I believe higher power should then
be tied to the requisite knowledge in the higher license
test syllabus that can show the safe, sane and proper use
of higher power. NOTE: This is BILL SOHL's personal opinion
and NOT an NCI position.

>>>> > Unfortunately, new hams coming into the service after
>>>> >Restructuring have no option but to be painted with the brush created by
>>>> >the lazy majority who whined for, and received, lowered licensing
>>standards.
>>>>
>>>> Your commentary sez volumes about your attitude.
>>>
>>>Yes, it does. It sez (sic) that I have a complete and insightful
>>>understanding of the present situation in the ARS.
>>
>>In your opinion anyway.
>
>Precisely. Everything I state in this newsgroup is MY opinion.
>I also know for an absolute fact that MY opinions are shared with
>a great number of my fellow hams, in and out of this newsgroup.

But, clearly not enough to influence the FCC with sufficient
facts and/or anecdotal examples to sustain the continued morse
requirements of 13 and 20 wpm today...and, likly the end of
any separate stand-alone testing of morse after WRC 2003 (IMHO).

>I am not put off by the fact that there are people out there who
>disagree with me. At least, not as much as you and a lot of the
>no-code test supporters seem to be. Why is that?

Larry,
I have no problem with disagreements and other opinions
which are discussed, debated, shared in a light of
the pure academic exercise of such debate and/or to influence
others. The problem I see, and to which you and others
oft go to, is the attempt to portray others that disagree
with the pro-code position as being "lazy, shiftless, etc.
The reality is that thousands of us that have taken the stance
in opposition to code testing have long histories of being
highly motivated, successful, and anything BUT lazy, shiftless, etc.

Cheers and thanks for at least a reasonable response.
We obviously disagree, so be it.

Bill K2UNK

From 998962829265654136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 10:59:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 17:58:52 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3ae07025.8128955@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3adde8ad.6212227@news.mindspring.com>
<20010419073148.13630.00000099@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9bpid3$ktv$0@216.155.32.187>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.41.37
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 17:59:13 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19906

On 20 Apr 2001 14:51:15 GMT, Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
>
>> >From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>>
>> >I'll defer to the court of public opinion as to the appropriate
>> >use of the descrition obsolete to describe my antique cars.
>>
>> "Obsolete" would be among the more mild terms used to describe a few of my
>> cars! Though the "Deathmobile" (my '78 GMC pickup) does have a new clutch in
>> it now. But the used 4-speed I put in it seems to have a spun bearing or two.
>> But it still goes, and I am hoping it wears in. :-)

>Ed:
>
>OK, I'll bite -- what is a "spun" bearing? I've always scored high on mechanical
>aptitude, but I've never heard that terminology before. 'Splain, Lucy!

When one of the connecting rod bearing halves gets loose enough to
slip and "spin" out of position. It is usually a combination of worn
bearings and worn crankshaft journal. It happened to a SIMCA
I once owned.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 3627198905669713790
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:04:57 PST
Message-ID: <3AE07A08.74D6DA58@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:03:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org> <20010420080749.26676.00000261@nso-cg.aol.com> <3AE03D13.D7A53B2A@IEEE.org> <9bpl8c$pm4$0@216.155.32.187>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987789457 64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 12:57:37 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19907

Larry Roll wrote:
> One thousand hours is 41.666 days. I went from nothing to 20 WPM in
> probably 100 hours or less of sending/receiving practice, ...

All that proves is that *you* are capable of learning Morse code. Are you capable of dunking a basketball? Some people find it just as hard to become skillful at Morse code as you find it hard to become skillful at dunking a basketball. Again, Larry, your attitude is let them eat cake. Well, the FCC gave you exactly what your Royal Highnee deserved.

> I wasn't able
> to make a career as an Airline Captain because of my eyesight.

Did the feds keep you out of any National Parks because of your poor eyesight? If not, your analogy is invalid. Being an Airline Captain is a commercial life and death situation. The national resource of Amateur Radio, open to all qualifying citizens, certainly is not.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4047930917257145372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:06:34 PST
Message-ID: <3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:05:28 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987789551
64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 12:59:11 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19908
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> CW can overcome much QRM but no one wants to have to tolerate that sort
> of crap continuously, few will do it. A mode war would soon ensue and I
> imagine FCC realizes that.

Don't know a lot about foreign amateur radio, do you, Dick?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7528999381836356945
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:09:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AE07B03.A64E4C8E@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:08:03 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3ADFBDF7.DFD41416@IEEE.org> <20010420081130.12859.00000258@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9bpoer$7b2$2@208.207.71.134>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987789706
64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 13:01:46 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19909

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >
> > >Exactly what are we giving up, Dick? Have you lost any privileges?
> >
> > He wouldn't answer that question other than to say that the End is Near.  Of
```

> > course he hasn't; no one has. Everyone can continue to do everything they have
> > ever done in ham radio.
>
> Yep, "Gimme my privilege, and shove your assertions of personal
> responsibility."

Dick, when you can't or won't answer a question, wouldn't it be better
to be silent than froth some drivel out of your mouth, errrr, keyboard.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4950537679146229552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:10:25 PST
Message-ID: <3AE07B4F.59418C1A@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:09:19 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <20010419201736.18912.00000049@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9boe2u$jgt$0@208.206.142.79> <3ADFBDF7.DFD41416@IEEE.org> <9bpo3u$7b2$0@208.207.71.134>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987789782 64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 13:03:02 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19910
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Exactly what are we giving up, Dick? Have you lost any privileges?
>
> Nope. But its easy to see that you are of the "gimme my privileges,
> forget the responsibilities" school.

It's easy to see, eh? Exactly what responsibilities have I forgotten?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4735476901675480005
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:12:00 PST
Message-ID: <3AE07BAD.27C20E75@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:10:53 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
<3addb65a.2862997@news.mindspring.com> <3ADE139E.88E55FE@IEEE.org>
<3ADF1A93.9B3D54B7@uci.edu> <3ADF1E8C.D4FE7ADE@IEEE.org>
<3ADF426B.8BD9469F@uci.edu> <3ADF89C7.1BFE0A97@IEEE.org>
<9bpqcl$cl8$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987789876
64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 13:04:36 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

```
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!
out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19911
```

Jim Kelley wrote:

> My computer shows that the post which suggested digging and filling an 8
> cubic yard hole 20 times was posted by W6RCecilA. Are you claiming this
> information incorrect? If not, then it was your idea, and I claim you are
> therefore responsible for beta testing it. :-)

Nope, it's Dick who favors work. If you read my posting, you know I said
I don't believe in work so I can't possibly be responsible.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1621639477947093530
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:15:32 PST
Message-ID: <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:14:27 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-
fc1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987790091
64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 13:08:11 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

```
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19912

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com  (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
> >Being responsible, cooperative citizens obviously means nothing. Maybe
> >it's time for a ham radio class action suit against the FCC for
> >negligence.
>
>       You may have an idea.

You can't sue your local police department for not protecting you from
criminals. I assume the same principle applies to the FCC.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5866760389014171376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f6f377335aa3ecb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:15:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!
newsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news.stealth.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <200104201749.f3KHngt29909@mail.mailgate.org>
Subject: Re: A GLOBAL REPORT OF BREAK & ENTER,  THEFT, TREPASS, FRAUD, FABRICATION
AND COLLUSION CONSPIRED BY VANCOUVER POLICE-A STATE OF WIDESPREAD STINK,
OPPORTUNISTIC & RAMPANT THIEVERY, PLAQUE, CHAOS, BARBARISM
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <X0%D6.4510$D4.464394@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 18:15:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987790519 209.208.22.130 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 14:15:19
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 14:15:19 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19913

In article <200104201749.f3KHngt29909@mail.mailgate.org>, w2dne says...
>
>To All Cybercitizens,
>
>   The official launching date for our global broadcast: 1
>November, 2000 is supposed to be a celebration marking the
>completion of Global Media Network. But as you're well aware, the
>reported break and enter, theft and other crimes committed by the
>Vancouver police which, if unchecked, have the potential to
>destroy human civilization.

Ohmigawd, Mark Morgan is back, and he can spell!

73, Hans, K0HB

From 365148376253210856
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:16:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 18:15:59 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <3ae07bc7.2241641@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com> <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net> <3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com> <MPG.154a1da98b32eb539896e0@news.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.41.37
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 18:16:33 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19914

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 15:17:57 GMT, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote:

>In article <3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com>,
>billsohl@mindspring.com says...
>> On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 12:28:54 GMT, funguy@hotjuno.com
>> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote:
>>
>> >In article <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>,
>> >billsohl@mindspring.com says...
>> >> On 19 Apr 2001 01:08:56 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>> >>
>> >(NCI) is opposed to the allocations of the CW sub-bands on all HF
>> >frequencies..as stated many times by Direktor Stevenson.
>>
>> NCI has taken NO stance on sub-bands.
>> The personal opinion of one NCI director does NOT make
>> it NCI policy. There are many people that oppose subbands who
>> care not a twit about use of any one mode.
>
>For a man who put some much credence into the opinions of others as it
>related to their affiliations, why is he allowed the privilege of stating
>his opinion apart from the organization. Sorry Bill, he set the rules a
>year or so ago, especially when it came to Ed and the ARRL. He sets
>them, he plays by them. Can;t separate the 2 just because it suddenly
>seems advantageous to you and your organizations stated goals.

The reality is that may have been the case in the past and
it has been generally accepted now by everyone (well most
everyone) that we each have individual opinions that can
and do differ from the organization's policy (be it ARRL, NCI
or any other). Your reliance on a two year old stance on such
attitudes is flat out wrong, and it is not "suddenly advantageous"
to state what I did because this has been so for quite some time now.

>> >This equates to
>> >opposition to code. Waffle all you want, but eliminate these protected
>> >areas and you eliminate code and digital modes as the SSB hordes will
>> >descend upon them faster than Gengis did on China
>>
>> So how come the majority of the rest of the world
>> seem to get along fine with no sub-bands?
>
>Seems to me, being on the bands that they honor the sub bands, however
>less and less. Maybve you should actually spend time on the HF bands.

So why isn't the IARU taking a stance? The issue is yours,
not mine.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -1896196746304052064

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:19:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 18:18:23 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3ae07cfd.2551880@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
<MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com> <HDYD6.4321$D4.440224@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.41.37
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 18:18:58 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19915

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 15:31:51 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:

>In article <3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl says...
>
>>>NCI (which by the way is a registered trademark of the National Cancer
>>>Institute)
>>
>>Who cares, we have nothing to do with the medical industry.
>
>The National Cancer Institute probably cares a lot, since they use that little
>"circle r" � thingy after their logo on their literature.

The fact remains that people use acronyms for many things.  I'm
sure that one can find such acronyms of NCI used in many
ways.  Unless there's some deliberate effort to mislead folks,
nobody cares.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 3278847286546007312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:24:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
```

```
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 18:23:11 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3adfaecc.6198179@news.mindspring.com>
<20010420081835.12859.00000261@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpk54$o24$0@216.155.32.187>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.41.37
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 18:23:50 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19916

On 20 Apr 2001 15:21:08 GMT, Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com> wrote:

>....The difference between me and the
>NCTA is that I realize that Morse code proficiency and technological advances can
>coexist, whereas they insist that the two must be mutually exclusive.  You tell
me
>what's up with THAT?

Larry,
Again you mislead others in your post.  The NCTA (No Code Test
Advocates) have no problem with you or anyone else learning and using
code.  Code learning (voluntarily) and use can certainly coexist with
technology advances the same as my antique auto can coexist
on the highway with the latest from the auto makers.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From -1687257890008124935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:24:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <J9%D6.3500$tx5.87648@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 18:24:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 987791081 209.204.160.101 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 11:24:41
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 11:24:41 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19917
```

I believe you CAN sue the police for not protecting you if you can establish negligence...they knew there was a threat, but did not act. Similar types of suits are used regularly by environmentalists against various government agencies for not enforcing their rules. Examples include suits to place various animals and plants on the endangered species list.

Ron N6AHA

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org...

```
> Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> >
> >From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com  (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
> > >Being responsible, cooperative citizens obviously means nothing. Maybe
> > >it's time for a ham radio class action suit against the FCC for
> > >negligence.
> >
> >      You may have an idea.
>
> You can't sue your local police department for not protecting you from
> criminals. I assume the same principle applies to the FCC.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From 614272742355018357
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:30:17 PST
Message-ID: <3AE07FF6.E4695CB6@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:29:10 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3adfaecc.6198179@news.mindspring.com> <20010420103828.26676.00000279@nso-cg.aol.com> <3ae06873.6158232@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987790974 64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 13:22:54 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!netnews.com!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19918

Bill Sohl wrote:

> The reality is that thousands of us that have taken the stance
> in opposition to code testing have long histories of being
> highly motivated, successful, and anything BUT lazy, shiftless, etc.

Maybe Larry will listen to that German General who said he valued brilliant, lazy officers above all others. He said they figure out the easiest way to win the battle with the least effort.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8005115117942813l3
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:38:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.edgix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!

newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 18:37:26 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <3ae08001.3324290@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.41.37
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 18:38:16 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19919

On 20 Apr 2001 17:07:34 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

...

> You guys seem insistant that hams learn only newer mode technology,
>while your cavilier attitudes toward anything older than yesterday is
>amazing.

The issue is the "requirements" not the learning of
old or new modes. I don't care if anyone wants to
be an expert code user, be an expert on tube circuits, etc.

>Most ham radio communication is done with old technology, and
>so shall it be for some time to come.

Depends on the scope of what you consider technology.
Is SSB and FM old as to the mode itself? Sure it is.
Is the transciever that I use to operate SSB or FM old
in terms of the solid state technology, the DSP
circuitry, etc? Not at all.

>Sure, hams have long been able to
>get by somehow or other on little no knowledge, not that they have
>always been that productive at it. When one doesn't know how to make an
>antenna nor troubleshoot a defective one effectively he is sort of
>hamstrung when trouble strikes.

Most antenna technology is VERY old when compared
with the rest of electronics.

>But they press on, oblivious to their
>own plight. Why not see to it that they get some effective training in
>advance? How to better accomplish that than to have effective tests,
>rather than testing them over sattelite operation and other mundanities
>they more than likely won't soon see?

Of the following, what should be part of testing:
Antenna theory/design

Tube theory and tube circuits
Transistor Circuits

I agree that some of the subject matter in the
testing syllabus is truly nutty. Who cares how many
months before/after one operates a space station that the
FCC has to be notified. Who cares what the frequency
is for black in ATV, etc. Many of those factoids are
meaningless until a person has the interest in and
actually starts using/trying some of those modes.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6905760603972819780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 11:42:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 18:41:00 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3ae08260.3930965@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.41.37
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 18:41:52 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19920

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 16:02:51 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:

>Jerry:
>
>You raise some good points about allowing local governments to enforce rules
>against illegal CB equipment. Perhaps the best way for local governments to
>proceed is to enlist the help of hams in the area who can work as a
>volunteer advisory committee to point out offenders. Just as local
>jurisdictions have competent engineering help in other technical areas, they
>can hire commercial engineering firms to help prosecute offenders they have
>identified.

Such "competent commercial engineering" help costs over $100 an hour.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 4273335873240514503
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 12:02:34 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 13
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 19:03:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 987793393 63.178.69.158 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 19:03:13 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 19:03:13 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19921

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:14:27 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:

>You can't sue your local police department for not protecting you from
>criminals. I assume the same principle applies to the FCC.

Right on target. The courts will not substitute their judgment for the FCC's judgment of enforcement priorities. And the FCC has the ultimate reply anyhow - lack of $$$ from The Congress.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 41837766773295641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 12:02:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!
sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc3lm95.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <TbZD6.4371$D4.445444@www.newsranger.com>
<20010420122742.29693.00000276@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 23
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 19:03:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 987793395 63.178.69.158 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001
19:03:15 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 19:03:15 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19923

On 20 Apr 2001 16:27:42 GMT, Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

>>A dump huck idea, since the FCC is a federal agency.
>
>     I said it was an idea.  The FCC >IS< a federal agency and subsequently has
>an obligation to serve the people who fund it...US.

  You live in a fantasy world.  The people that fund the FCC is The
  Congress, which by their niggardliness has spoken about not doing
  any more enforcement than the bare minimum...except if it's IX to
  their own or their staff's home entertainment equipment at which
  point the FCC field staff is expected to jump to attention.

>A "law suit" may not be
>the proper vehicle, Hansel, but there ARE avenues of redress availble.

  Pressure on The Congress is the only way, and we've all seen how
  effective that is.....

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -1061222411852870480
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 12:02:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 28
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 19:03:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 987793395 63.178.69.158 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001
19:03:15 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 19:03:15 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19922
```

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 16:02:51 GMT, Ron wrote:

>You raise some good points about allowing local governments to enforce rules against illegal CB equipment. Perhaps the best way for local governments to proceed is to enlist the help of hams in the area who can work as a volunteer advisory committee to point out offenders. Just as local jurisdictions have competent engineering help in other technical areas, they can hire commercial engineering firms to help prosecute offenders they have identified.

My erstwhile clients (the sheriff departments of three Bay Area counties) want no part of dealing with the CB problem even though they have excellent in-house and on-call engineering and enforcement assistance available. They look at it as one more pack of "mickey mouse" (i.e. not "real public safety") rules that they would get stuck with enforcing with no increase in staff or budget.

>Then what I would *really* like to see are sting operations at CB stores that sell illegal equipment, as well as "sweeps" at big truck stops along the major interstates!

The enabling legislation does not give the locals any authority to enforce marketing regulations, only operational regs.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 1956496458656936632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 12:10:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc3m296.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae08260.3930965@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 21
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 19:11:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 987793884 63.178.69.158 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 19:11:24 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 19:11:24 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19498

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 18:41:00 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>>You raise some good points about allowing local governments to enforce rules
>>against illegal CB equipment. Perhaps the best way for local governments to
>>proceed is to enlist the help of hams in the area who can work as a
>>volunteer advisory committee to point out offenders. Just as local
>>jurisdictions have competent engineering help in other technical areas, they
>>can hire commercial engineering firms to help prosecute offenders they have
>>identified.
>
>Such "competent commercial engineering" help costs over $100
>an hour.

  That's cheap. The firm that I consult for/with charges a lot more
  than that, and has five of the nine Bay Area counties as long-time
  clients because they do the job *right*.

```
--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From 946055941476056358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 13:09:01 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!
propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!
not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <TbZD6.4371$D4.445444@www.newsranger.com>
<20010420122742.29693.00000276@ng-fc1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3lm95.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <cH0E6.4662$D4.482183@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 20:08:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987797320 209.208.22.130 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 16:08:40
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 16:08:40 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19499
```

Phil Kane wrote:

>
> Pressure on The Congress is the only way, and we've all seen how
> effective that is.....
>

Dear Congressman Snarf,

The CBers are transmitting on 10M. Please have the FCC make
them stop.

Love Always,
Joe Ham

Dear FCC,

Enclosed is a letter from my constituent, Joe Ham, a fine upstanding citizen. Please advise this office of your action on this important matter.

Love Always,
Joe Bureaucrat
(Assistant to Honorable Congressman Snarf)

Honorable Congressman Snarf,

Thank you for forwarding the letter from your constituent Joe Ham.

As you are aware from the recent budget hearings, the FCC will need a significant increase in funding to fully deal with this and hundreds of similar matters in a timely fashion. Until then, we will devote resources on an "as available" basis.

Love Always,
FCC

Dear Joe Ham,

The FCC is looking into it.

Love Always,
Congressman Snarf

```
From 5593265377102649562
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 13:21:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!upp1.onvoy!msc1.onvoy!onvoy.com!tethys.csu.net!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-
```

for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:13:30 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3AE0986A.EA5B35FF@uci.edu>
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
<3addb65a.2862997@news.mindspring.com> <3ADE139E.88E55FE@IEEE.org>
<3ADF1A93.9B3D54B7@uci.edu> <3ADF1E8C.D4FE7ADE@IEEE.org>
<3ADF426B.8BD9469F@uci.edu> <3ADF89C7.1BFE0A97@IEEE.org>
<9bpqcl$cl8$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AE07BAD.27C20E75@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19501

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > My computer shows that the post which suggested digging and filling an 8
> > cubic yard hole 20 times was posted by W6RCecilA. Are you claiming this
> > information incorrect? If not, then it was your idea, and I claim you are
> > therefore responsible for beta testing it. :-)
>
> Nope, it's Dick who favors work. If you read my posting, you know I said
> I don't believe in work so I can't possibly be responsible.

I'm absolutely sure you're the one who suggested a new kind of ham
license that required an unprecidented amount of work. I'm equally sure
that it wasn't Dick's idea.

W6RCecilA wrote: "Maybe we should have a special ham license for guys
like Dick.
Anyone who digs an eight cubic yard hole and fills it back up a total of
twenty times gets an Extra class license."

From -3177775366932722153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:44:17 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 03:43:47 GMT
Message-ID: <3adfb004.219208218@news2.dvol.com>
References: <tVJA6.74867$XV.18750818@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010411131134.01584.00003038@ng-fo1.aol.com>
<FV2B6.81755$XV.20933251@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.200
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.200
X-Trace: 19 Apr 2001 22:40:30 -0500, 64.24.17.200
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!panix!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19826

On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:13:25 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>Licence is spelled with a c up here you yutz ... not to mention colour has a
>u in it ... favourite has one too ...
>
We all need more pointless vowels. You'l upgrarde eventually Squiggy.
>
>--
>Rob St.Denis
>

From -1575213820476674274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:44:42 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:45:06 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3ADFB08D.9B25F2CA@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net

NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987738282 4936 207.144.64.85 (20 Apr 2001 03:44:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 03:44:42 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19827

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> If you can find the word "all" in my posting,
> I'll give you $100.

Your exact words were, "because I am forced to live under a set of laws that assumes, without proof, the existence of a God." Since you did not limit the scope of the laws you mentioned in any way, than it is only reasonable for a person to assume your were talking about all of them. Instead, it now appears you were talking about one law (no alcohol sales on Sunday) as that plural "set of laws."

> One cannot prove a negative. On the subject of
> God, one cannot even prove a positive. I cannot
> afford to believe in things for which there is
> no evidence. [snip]

Then don't believe in such things, Cecil. Absolutely nobody is forcing you to believe, or not believe, in a darn thing. So why don't you extend the same courtesy and allow others to believe, or not believe, in what they want? Why must you constantly challenge the beliefs of others in these newsgroups?

And your nonsense about the laws against beer sales on Sunday just doesn't cut it, Cecil. If you don't like those laws, than attack those laws - not the religious beliefs of the people behind those laws. I have no problem with those laws at all, Cecil, and I'm not in any way religious. So what belief of mine are you going to attack in an effort to get me to change my mind?

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -8127667630913043253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:56:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!
newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 40
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:56:48 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.7
X-Trace: news2.atl 987738957 209.214.185.7 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:55:57 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:55:57 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19828
```

Bert,

In essence, that was 'feel good' law, designed to get constituents off their backs. While it *may* stop some interference, I am inclined to believe that it isn't effective for the following reasons:

1. Local Law isn't qualified on the subject of electronics to discern a legal radio from an illegal one. He *could* mistake a power supply for a linear because it says "amps" on it.

2. *Would* they consult FCC for advice as provided for in the law before declaring a licensed ham's station "illegal" and ordering him off the air, or confiscating his equipment.

3. *Some* jurisdictions could smell money and attempt to go after fines and fees. Opens the door for corruption on the local level.

Having said that, won't you join me in writing to your Congressional Representatives and asking that funding be restored to FCC to combat this problem of illegal operations that has far-reaching consequences if it is not stopped. Tis the only weapon we have!

73

Jerry
K4KWH

KC2HMN wrote:
>
> Good Ideas. Does any body know the correct place to submit your findings? Any
> opinions regarding the recent CB "local enforcement" bill?
>
> 73 de Bert

From -1873478832683988441
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:59:09 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:59:36 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3ADFB3EF.36870740@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdshqi2k25rb95@corp.supernews.com>
<tdtji3lko4ns6a@corp.supernews.com> <3ADEE911.DF25CABF@IEEE.org>
<3ADF663E.99C5D75D@bctv.com> <3ADF8CBA.83EE2C77@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987739149 5292 207.144.64.85 (20 Apr 2001 03:59:09 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 03:59:09 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15459
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19829

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> So is the racist 'N' word but that doesn't
> seem something to be proud of.

Do you realize how silly that sounds, Cecil? You sound like a five
year old saying "pee pee" instead of penis. The word is "nigger" - a

word that has been in the dictionary just as long as the word "gay" to describe homosexuals. Sadly, if the thought police are successful at getting people to use "the "n" word," instead of saying the real word, then it will not exist in dictionaries of the future (several dictionaries have already caved in to the thought police and dropped it).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 3671381277198540668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 21:04:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 04:03:53 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.f9
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 04:04:04 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19832
```

On 19 Apr 2001 01:08:56 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

```
>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > We learned that you don't neutralize an avowed enemy by pandering to
>> > his every whim.
>>
>> Who is the "avowed enemy", Dick, and why?
>
> You mean you have to ask, after all their rantings right here on rrap?
>How about NCI and all their supporters, who have clearly shown their
>enmity toward CW and everyone associated with it? THEY avowed it, not
>us.
```

Pure hogwash Dick!
NCI opposes the code test and that's it. Use it if you
like it. NCI has no opposition to code USE at all.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 4233404622059983000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 21:04:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 04:03:55 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3adfaf9b.6405896@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ADE1215.6610864B@IEEE.org> <9bl5v8$ccb$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.f9
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 04:04:06 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19833
```

On 18 Apr 2001 22:54:32 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>He's better at issues than you are at definitions, Vince. For
>>God's sake, crack open a dictionary every once in awhile.
>
>Keep praying for a bail out, Cecil, although Bill can't save
>you, just as he can't save himself. Starting with his "bull"
>statement in '93/94, when informed "the issue" was easing of
>requirements, not Morse. He needed Clark's statement plus FCC's
>concurring R&O to shut him down. And he's gone from there. Of all
>those arriving on the newsgroup in hopes of rattling cages just
>for the hell of it, then ending up rattling his own, Bill does it
>the fastest.

Help, please, anyone...can someone translate just what
the hell Vince is talking about?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 7945639228228954490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 21:04:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 04:03:52 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3adfad1c.5766632@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9blc13$esq$4@208.207.71.144>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.f9
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 04:04:03 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19830

On 19 Apr 2001 00:37:55 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > We learned that you don't neutralize an avowed enemy by pandering to
>> > his every whim.
>>
>> Who is the "avowed enemy", Dick, and why?
>
> You can ask them, in fact you might even ask yourself. Seems they all
>hate the code and everyone who has ever used it.

Guess I am not the enemy then.  I could care less
about the code itself, I would encourage anyone to
make their own decision as to using code...it is only
the code test that I oppose because it has no rational
need to be.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -9212955777099108379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 21:04:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 04:03:54 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <3adfaecc.6198179@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9bh979$qgf$0@216.155.33.75> <3addaff6.1226698@news.mindspring.com> <9blhkm$3jm$0@216.155.32.208>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.f9
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 04:04:05 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19831

On 19 Apr 2001 02:13:42 GMT, Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> >The truth is, learning the Morse code really isn't "hard work" at all. It is
just
>> >something which doesn't provide the same level of instant gratification that
>> >comes from the voice modes. This unwillingness to defer gratification -- the
>> >unwillingness to invest the time and dedication required to gain a valuable
>> >communications skill -- demonstrates a lack of intellectual maturity on the
>> >part of the NCTA.
>>
>> Larry, that is just so much bull. The reality is that we all
>> make various choices regarding our time and how
>> we spend it. The choice to learn or not learn any
>> particular skill has nothing to do with maturity at all.
>> The issue we NoCodeTestAdvocates raise is that
>> there is simply no longer any valid reason to retain code
>> skill as a requirement. You may disagree with that,
>> but in the end, that decision will be made by a
>> cross-section of the worlds radio regulation policy makers.
>
>Bill:
>
>Yes, my friend, I do disagree with it, and you're absolutely
>correct about the decision being out of the hands of ham
>radio operators. I assure you that I am firmly grounded in
>the reality of the situation. However, here we are debating
>the underlying philosophy of the code/no-code debate. I
>have already accepted the fact that the decision to undo the
>code requirements are the new skyscraper on the skyline,
>blocking out both the Sun and the Moon. This is one of
>the many reasons why I now advocate the reallocation of
>as much of the valuable spectrum above 500 MHz out of
>the hands of wasteful, unproductive, and technically
>ininvolved "consumers" of this formerly worthwhile hobby.
>
>> Feel free to comment to those policy makers that

```
>> you beieve the end of code testing is just a sign
>> of their imaturity in making the decision to do so.
>
>I would rather attribute it to their lack of first-hand knowledge
>of the true value of maintaining a pool of amateur radio
>operators capable of proficiently employing the Morse/CW
>mode.

Why Larry, why can't you be the source of that "first-hand
knowledge" which you can then share with them to
convince them to leave the test alone?

>> > Unfortunately, new hams coming into the service after
>> >Restructuring have no option but to be painted with the brush created by
>> >the lazy majority who whined for, and received, lowered licensing standards.
>>
>> Your commentary sez volumes about your attitude.
>
>Yes, it does.  It sez (sic) that I have a complete and insightful
>understanding of the present situation in the ARS.

In your opinion anyway.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 3640145185192597473
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 21:05:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!
sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc2g6h2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com> <3ADC6F1C.74F5@mobiletel.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbxz752.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3ADCA48D.676C@mobiletel.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc02l20.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<7lND6.522$m31.29903@eagle.america.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Lines: 23
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 04:06:19 GMT
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 987739579 63.178.69.158 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001
04:06:19 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 04:06:19 GMT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19834

On Thu, 19 Apr 2001 22:42:00 -0400, Cajun Luke J wrote:

>          If they are so good at putting out fires and making
>examples, why has an unlicensed FM station operated with
>impunity at their door step for the last two years?
>Actually, I know the answer but would love to see your
>answer.........Cajun

  Most of the time it's because the US Attorney doesn't want to get
  involved in anything less than million-dollar asset forfeitures so
  the agencies are told not to bring cases to them.

  I know of several cases that have excellent evidence but have been
  at the US Attorney for years.  They have their own problems, too.

  It comes to a head when the pirate gets involved in other criminal
  activity or causes harmful interference to public safety or
  government communications.  Then the priority changes.  Same with CB.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -7140722306367620895
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 21:21:32 PST
Message-ID: <3ADFB90B.5F1C736A@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:20:27 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3ADFB08D.9B25F2CA@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.91
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987740051 64.240.47.91 (19 Apr 2001 23:14:11 -0500)
Lines: 33
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19835

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   Your exact words were, "because I am forced to live under a set of
> laws that assumes, without
> proof, the existence of a God." Since you did not limit the scope of
> the laws you mentioned in any way, than it is only reasonable for a
> person to assume your were talking about all of them. Instead, it now
> appears you were talking about one law (no alcohol sales on Sunday) as
> that plural "set of laws."

If I had meant "all", I would have used the word "all". The particular
set of laws to which I was objecting are known in Texas as the "blue laws".

>   Then don't believe in such things, Cecil. Absolutely nobody is
> forcing you to believe, or not believe, in a darn thing. So why don't
> you extend the same courtesy and allow others to believe, or not
> believe, in what they want? Why must you constantly challenge the
> beliefs of others in these newsgroups?

Everyone is free to believe anything they chose. But your freedom to
swing your fist ends at my nose. Please keep your religious laws to
yourself and stop screwing up my life with them.

> So what belief of mine are you going to attack in
> an effort to get me to change my mind?

All of them that forcefully violate my inalienable rights.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 3533146668627330744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 21:31:12 PST
Message-ID: <3ADFBB48.DD3922D1@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:30:00 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADFA914.9AAAB53D@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.91
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987740624
64.240.47.91 (19 Apr 2001 23:23:44 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19836
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Those blue laws are based on community standards, Cecil.

The Texas blue laws are state-wide, not community-wide.

> Why don't you offer objective
> evidence that a supernatural realm does not exist?

Please offer objective evidence that you are not a rapist. It is impossible to prove a negative. The onus of proof is upon the person who asserts the positive premise. That's you, Dwight. Prove away.

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1465056980152108995
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 21:31:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 20 Apr 2001 04:31:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9boe2u$jgt$0@208.206.142.79>
References: <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <20010419201736.18912.00000049@ng-
fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19837

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Do I believe that ham radio has enemies which do not wish us well?
>
> I believe that our worst enemies are indeed among us, found in those who see
> the divisions in our ranks and do their best to pry those divisions farther
> apart.

As opposed to those of you who would give up the entire farm,
capitulating completely just to be able to say "unity is ours!"

From 3701468941756035298
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 21:35:36 PST
Message-ID: <3ADFBC48.B40B0514@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:34:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net> <XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org> <3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org> <3ADFA694.9F40E2B4@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.91
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987740880 64.240.47.91 (19 Apr 2001 23:28:00 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!colt.net!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!newsfeed.icl.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19838

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   What laws are those, Cecil? I see laws based on common sense
> (murder, etc), laws based on accepted community standards (marriage,
> drugs, etc), and laws based on adopted standards (trade, business,
> etc), but I see no laws based on an assumption of the existence of a god.

I'm talking about the Texas blue laws which are indirectly based on an assumption of the existence of a God.

>   Well, you're certainly free to view yourself that way, Cecil, but I
> hope you'll pardon me if I continue to view myself, and other human
> beings, as somewhat special.

Delude yourself all you want, Dwight. It's no different from thinking that Morse code skill makes one special.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3082306537485760943
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 21:40:30 PST
Message-ID: <3ADFBD7C.74DFA187@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:39:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.91
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987741187
64.240.47.91 (19 Apr 2001 23:33:07 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!
propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19839

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> Having said that, won't you join me in writing to your Congressional
> Representatives and asking that funding be restored to FCC to combat
> this problem of illegal operations that has far-reaching consequences
> if it is not stopped. Tis the only weapon we have!

How about a downloadable form letter? That's what the NRA does.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6009786351991484446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 21:42:34 PST
Message-ID: <3ADFBDF7.DFD41416@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:41:27 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <20010419201736.18912.00000049@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9boe2u$jgt$0@208.206.142.79>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.91
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987741310
64.240.47.91 (19 Apr 2001 23:35:10 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!easynet-tele!
easynet.net!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19840
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> W1RFI wrote:
>
> > I believe that our worst enemies are indeed among us, found in those who see
> > the divisions in our ranks and do their best to pry those divisions farther
> > apart.
>
> As opposed to those of you who would give up the entire farm,
> capitulating completely just to be able to say "unity is ours!"

Exactly what are we giving up, Dick? Have you lost any privileges?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5959775251335128457
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,292a5745800d23fc
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,292a5745800d23fc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,292a5745800d23fc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,292a5745800d23fc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,292a5745800d23fc
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 03:31:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!cyclone-west.rr.com!news.rr.com!news-west.rr.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: helmsman <>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Downloadable IOTA List - updated 19-Apr-01
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 06:30:41 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <us30etkv7ddogkbubklv4ri0fleqeda760@4ax.com>
References: <C6LD6.64$Ci3.6210@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.d0.39
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 10:30:41 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:21469 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2375 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12334 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15464 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19841

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 00:09:06 GMT, "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net> wrote:
>The downloadable IOTA list has been updated as of 19-Apr-2001 23:43 UTC
>Found on The IOTA List page of the site listed below. 15k zip file
>(ascii text format readable with Notepad)
>* also includes Top 10 Most Wanted IOTA's for each continent.

```
Neet!
.
Help cure Cancer via Distributed Computing.
It costs you nothing.
http://www.ud.com/home.htm
====================================
http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman
http://www.bbc.co.uk
http://www.itn.co.uk
```

```
From -7494182281188084872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 04:30:25 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 11:29:47 GMT
References: <20010419230341.00244.00000054@nso-mn.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010420072947.16448.00000506@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19843
```

>Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/19/01 11:03 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Who among the inhabitants of this newsgroup have I met
>in person?

>The answer is: None.

Are you SURE?

You ABSOLUTELY knew EVERYONE at EVERY gatehring you have been at in the last 12 months?

You'd bet big money on this?

I think you had better rethink this. Carefully.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

From 1924483833208214440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 04:52:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 83
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 11:52:13 GMT
References: <20010419165527.10634.00000052@nso-cd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010420075213.16448.00000507@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19844

>Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/19/01 4:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Len, DAMN IT!

>Profanity will not make your point in this newsgroup (there are too
>many in here of victorian tastes who think that is smut).

I DON'T believe you said that, Lennie. You make liberal use of profanity to (uneffectively) emphasize your comments.

>A lot of discussion on Marine Radio isn't about ham radio at all.

It is when that operation fosters illegal operations that migrate to Amatuer allocations.

>A heap of abuse piled on CB might be justified
>because the FCC stole the wholly-owned and operated
>11 meter band from US radio amateurs 42 years ago.

If you think that's the reason any of us are adamantly against the proliferation of CB type operations on unauthorized frequencies, you are a bigger idiot than I thought, and Lennie, I already know that you're a pretty big idiot.

>Oxendine has posted to all of those threads...and a couple of
>others which have nothing directly to do with amateur radio.

Pot/Kettle/Black. What's your point. You have pontificated long and wide on topics having NOTHING to do with Amatuer Radio, yet you freely castigate others for doing it.

Putz.

>...now why must there continue to be a morse code test for
>amateur radio licences other than ITU-R S25.5?

YOU are the ONLY one arguing this, Lennie. The rest of us have tried to move on, but you keep INSISTING that "EVERYONE" is trying to somehow retard the growth of Amatuer Radio by insisting on keeping code testing.

Like it or not, just about everyone here EXCEPT YOU has accepted the fact that the FCC will dispense with code testing after the treaty has been ammended and ratified.

>Forgive me, morse code testing may not be about amateur
>radio? Inform the civilians who know nothing about nothing
>because they aren't licensed in the amateur radio service.

We forgive you for being ignorant, Lennie.

We forgive you for being arrogant.

We even forgive you for being intolerant.

But the "Persistantly Nagging" thing is really getting to be a problem.

Again, whether any one of us particularly like or dislike the end of code testing, we are getting on with the business of BEING Amateur Radio operators. That gives us a LOT of room to navigate in, from the basement of the RF spectrum to daylight.

On the other hand, since you refuse to get a license, you are stuck with Part 15 devices, CB radios and TV remotes.

(And don't even THINK of getting into that "I have unlimited access since I have a "commercial license" rant. You have NOTHING without a STATION license...it says so right on the back of your GROL)

Once again, Lennie the Licenseless is relagated to being on the outside looking in...doomed to be the sopping wet kid with his pitiful nose pushed up against the bakery shop window.

Lennie is the Darth Vader of RF. We all know that inside is a nice guy who WANTS to be a part of the party, but he has been too long swayed by the Dark Side...It won't be until his demise that he finally realizes that it COULD have all been his.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

From -6514547263989319290

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 05:07:58 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 12:07:49 GMT
References: <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420080749.26676.00000262@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19845

In article <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>
> Actually it's pretty clear, Larry. He was never able to meet the code
>requirements for Extra until they were virtually eliminated, so he is
>compelled to 'prove' that they were never proper in the first place.

There you go again, Dick...telling the TRUTH! How awfully PoliticKally IncorrecKt of you! You will now be accused of not being "inclusive" in your thinking by all of the mind-numbed, propagandized, led-by-the nose liberal thinkers in this newsgripe just for agreeing with the likes of ME! You are building your house on quicksand. Don't say you wern't warned. The next knock on your door may very likely be the Thought Police.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6822653675371575923
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 05:07:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 12:07:49 GMT
References: <3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420080749.26676.00000261@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19846

In article <3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Actually it's pretty clear, Larry. He was never able to meet the code
>> requirements for Extra until they were virtually eliminated, so he is
>> compelled to 'prove' that they were never proper in the first place.
>
>I never needed an Extra license, Dick. But my good friend was barred
>from the HF ham bands for 25 years. Now he is an Extra. Exactly what
>was gained by barring from HF ham operation an engineer with a commercial
>radio license and 25 years of experience as an AM broadcast station chief
>engineer?

Cecil:

Admittedly, nothing was gained, but nothing was lost, either -- except perhaps from his personal point-of-view. However, this loss was self-imposed, due to his unwillingness to meet the licensing standards in place at the time. It has been proven that people from all walks of life -- including Ph.D's in Electrical Engineering with commercial radio licenses and an armload of professional credentials in the field of electronic communications, can master the Morse Code at a useful proficiency level. So can people who are mere radio hobbyists, who don't have anything even scratching the surface of professional electronics knowledge. If you don't mind my akxsing (just a little Ebonic lingo thrown in to demonstrate my multi-cultural sensitivity) an often repeated question -- what is your point?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3489138468593779273
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 05:10:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 12:10:16 GMT

References: <9boe2u$jgt$0@208.206.142.79>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420081016.12859.00000257@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19847

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I believe that our worst enemies are indeed among us, found in those who
>>see the divisions in our ranks and do their best to pry those divisions
farther
>> apart.

> As opposed to those of you who would give up the entire farm,
>capitulating completely just to be able to say "unity is ours!"

The really sad part, Dick, is that I believe that you see it that way.

I hate to be the one to break it to you, Dick, but the FCC has made its decisions wrt code testing and volunteer examining and question pools quite some time ago. There is nothing to capitulate.

I guess I feel that unity is more important to ham radio than is your personal need to vent your anger about the FCC's decision on those who have done no more wrong than to do what the law requires to get a license. To me, the unity of ham radio is a more important tradition than nearly any other. It is too bad that some are choosing to trample our fine traditions.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1774538905639904562
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 05:12:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 12:11:30 GMT
References: <3ADFBDF7.DFD41416@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420081130.12859.00000258@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19848

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Exactly what are we giving up, Dick? Have you lost any privileges?

He wouldn't answer that question other than to say that the End is Near. Of course he hasn't; no one has. Everyone can continue to do everything they have ever done in ham radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6200457450518894594
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 05:13:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 12:13:19 GMT
References: <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420081319.12859.00000260@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19849

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>>How about NCI and all their supporters, who have clearly shown their
>>enmity toward CW and everyone associated with it? THEY avowed it, not
>>us.

>Pure hogwash Dick!

>NCI opposes the code test and that's it. Use it if you
>like it. NCI has no opposition to code USE at all.

He can't mean me, either; 99% of my on-the-air activity is QRP CW.

Perhaps he would be willing to say just who he does mean with that statement?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9011781678496422867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 05:15:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 60
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 12:15:15 GMT
References: <20010419230340.00244.00000052@nso-mn.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010420081515.16448.00000509@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19850

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/19/01 11:03 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Unlike other bidding
>>processes, things being sold at auction go to the highest bidder rather
>>than to the lowest bidder.

>What other auctions sell to the "lowest bidder?!?

Let's read that sentence reeeeeeeal slooooooow for Lennie.

"Unlike other bidding processes"......(SNIP)

Bidding processes...IE seeking bids to perform a task for hire or provide goods to a governmental or commercial entity,

"....things being sold at auction go to the highest bidder rather than to the lowest bidder."

In an auction where one seeks to PROCURE services or materials, they seek a competitive price by inducing the prospective vendors to provide the LOWEST price.

In an auction where someone seeks to sell or lease something of VALUE, they seek the HIGHEST possible price by competitive bidding of the CUSTOMERS.

Where in that thought process do you get lost, Lennie? Fourteen years of school melt your brain?

>What particular amateur radio bands are now under consideration,
>or even hinted at being under consideration for reallocation?

Just about everything above 144mHz. We can start with "BLUETOOTH" and rattle on a litany of other commercial world programs that covet our spectrum.

1.2gHz, 2.4gHz and 5gHz are under very close scrutiny for this act.

Or which one of two dozen trade periodicals would you care to dispute on this, Lennie?

"TV Technology"...? "Electronic Design"...? "EE Times"...?

I don't need a degree in engineering to understand "....we are seeking access to (enter band of choice here) to further expand the capabilities and improve the flexiblity of (enter pet project here).

>Let the world know so that the black helicopters may be properly fueled.

You ARE an idiot. Flaming, rip-roaring, stupid-as-the-day-is-long idiot.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

```
From 4488321387166564954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 05:19:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 12:18:35 GMT
References: <3adfaecc.6198179@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420081835.12859.00000261@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19851
```

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>>Yes, it does. It sez (sic) that I have a complete and insightful
>>understanding of the present situation in the ARS.

>In your opinion anyway.

Let's put it to the test. Larry, what it the complete and insightful present situation in the ARS wrt the following topics:

Ultrawideband
Spread spectrum
Digital voice
Home Phone Networking
Synthetic aperture radar
Periodic emitters

Just to pick a few that I know well enough to be able to judge your response.

IMHO, anyone who thinks he has a complete and insightful understanding of all of the issues surrounding Amateur Radio is egomaniacal beyond belief. I realize you think you are superior, Larry, but you really should draw the line somewhere.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5592369174529680622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 05:20:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 12:20:11 GMT
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19852

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>But ham radio is not all that far reaching in its basic objective and
>means- to communicate by radio, using whatever means is at our disposal.

It appears that you are now agreeing with Cecil that Amateur Radio does not need to have a strong technical component.

You are full of surprises, Dick.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3631222517929223850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 05:27:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 12:26:48 GMT
References: <20010419230340.00244.00000051@nso-mn.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010420082648.16448.00000512@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19853

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/19/01 11:03 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Bounties and rewards sounds like a vigilante operation to me.

The FBI's "Ten Most Wanted" list is a vigilante operation?

The reward offered in the wake of the OKC bombing was a vigilante operation?

Of course, since I have my suspicions about you anyway, I BET that "bounties" make you nervous, Lennie, and probably with good reason.

>The word "amateur" in amateur radio refers to non-pecuniary
>interest in radio activities.

That pertains to compensation received for OPERATING a radio station for hire. Not that you needed to have that told to you. And ANYONE can participate in "radio activites" FOR PECUNIARY INTEREST with or without a license, as long as it does not involve operating a transmitter or divulging information contrary to The Communications Act of 1934 as ammended.

>What happens when the wrong person is accused due to bad
>evidence?

"Rewards" are paid "for the arrest AND CONVICTION OF" the alleged culprit. In otehr words AFTER the bonafide judicial system has determined that the person(s) alleged to ahve commited the offense did indeed do it.

Lot's of people are, unfortunately, prosecuted "due to bad evidence", and HOPEFULLY the "system" will see through it and "justice be done".

Do the wrong people get convicted? Sure, sometimes. But statistically that number is VERY small.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

```
From 8447592207560566291
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 05:28:54 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 38
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 12:28:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.77.189
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987769734 64.157.77.189 (Fri, 20 Apr
2001 05:28:54 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 05:28:54 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 05:27:32 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19854

In article <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>,
billsohl@mindspring.com says...
> On 19 Apr 2001 01:08:56 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >W6RCecilA wrote:
> >>
> >> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> > We learned that you don't neutralize an avowed enemy by pandering to
> >> > his every whim.
> >>
> >> Who is the "avowed enemy", Dick, and why?
> >
> > You mean you have to ask, after all their rantings right here on rrap?
```

> >How about NCI and all their supporters, who have clearly shown their
> >enmity toward CW and everyone associated with it? THEY avowed it, not
> >us.
>
> Pure hogwash Dick!
> NCI opposes the code test and that's it. Use it if you
> like it. NCI has no opposition to code USE at all.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

NCI (which by the way is a registered trademark of the National Cancer
Institute) is opposed to the allocations of the CW sub-bands on all HF
frequencies..as stated many times by Direktor Stevenson. This equates to
opposition to code. Waffle all you want, but eliminate these protected
areas and you eliminate code and digital modes as the SSB hordes will
descend upon them faster than Gengis did on China

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 5348326663331504397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 05:36:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <MPG.1549f7c817d255d29896dd@news.earthlink.net>
References: <20010419165527.10634.00000052@nso-cd.aol.com>
<20010420075213.16448.00000507@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 31
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 12:36:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.77.189
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987770167 64.157.77.189 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 05:36:07 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 05:36:07 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 05:34:44 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19855

In article <20010420075213.16448.00000507@ng-fc1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com
says...
> >Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
> >From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 04/19/01 4:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
>      Once again, Lennie the Licenseless is relagated to being on the outside
> looking in...doomed to be the sopping wet kid with his pitiful nose pushed up
> against the bakery shop window.


I see him more as the crackhead old derelict that licks the window at
Tad's Steakhouse on W42nd in NYC.  They cook the steaks right up front
and the old bums use to actually lick the windows   That's how I picture
the Upyoursfurher.
>
> Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

Fish heads, fish heads,
yummy yummy fish heads
fish heads fish heads
eat them up  YUMMMM

courtesy of Dr Demento and his original top 20 hits radio show

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)


From -7242650221770147070
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 05:51:37 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-
post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 08:51:35 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <te0c6omt6qtma2@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com> <20010419124332.16462.00000248@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <tduadve7p2k1e7@corp.supernews.com> <3ADF3E12.281A65FB@IEEE.org>
<tduk6d2nivv4c1@corp.supernews.com> <3ADF8E09.5B259E43@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 35
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19856

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ADF8E09.5B259E43@IEEE.org...
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> > I think if somebody plays their cards right they can get away with this
> > for a good while.
>
> That's a lot of trouble to go through just to get to engage in QSOs
> about prostate problems. :-)

Oh come on now, it would take 10 minutes or less on the Internet to verify a callsign.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 6576312538251015491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 06:00:20 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 13:00:14 GMT
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19857

>From: funguy@hotjuno.com

>Waffle all you want, but eliminate these protected
>areas and you eliminate code and digital modes as the SSB hordes will
>descend upon them faster than Gengis did on China

But I thought CW is a mode that gets through when no other mode will! :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 444834443156248171
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 06:04:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 13:03:54 GMT
References: <20010420080749.26676.00000262@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420090354.12866.00000254@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19858

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>> Actually it's pretty clear, Larry. He was never able to meet the code
>>requirements for Extra until they were virtually eliminated, so he is
>>compelled to 'prove' that they were never proper in the first place.

>There you go again, Dick...telling the TRUTH!

It looks more like an opinion to me, Larry. On what basis is there any "truth"
to Dick's surmising about the reasons for Cecil's current code-testing stance?
In a legal sense, truth is proven via evidence. What is the evidence that Dick

is using to fathom what Cecil's reasons are?

I suppose it is easy to believe you have won any arguement when you can pronounce what you say as truth and can pronounce the motivations and thoughts of those who say differently.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8940992005733117677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 06:14:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <MPG.154a00b1e3ec75509896de@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 34
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:14:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.235.168
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987772446 209.244.235.168 (Fri, 20 Apr
2001 06:14:06 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 06:14:06 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 06:12:45 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19859
```

In article <20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com says...

> >From: funguy@hotjuno.com
>
> >Waffle all you want, but eliminate these protected
> >areas and you eliminate code and digital modes as the SSB hordes will
> >descend upon them faster than Gengis did on China
>
> But I thought CW is a mode that gets through when no other mode will! :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

One lone voice in a screaming crowd of 1000's is seldom heard - Confucis

CW gets through when Condx are less than favorable. Today's modern DX'er (read post realignment) feels the need for stacked bleams and mega watts of amplitudinal power to make themselves be heard on SSB. Couple that with their total lack of operating skills, I doubt even an avowed QRP'er like yourself would ever make a contact in some of today's mega pile-ups.

Here's a test Ed. When the next big dx-pedition is on the air. Try working your qrp signal against the SSB boys. Betcha a nice lobster dinner at Ruths Crist you never make it through. Betcha 2 dinners, you're never even heard :-o

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 8752972136416492985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 06:16:10 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:15:47 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ADF222C.146BA230@IEEE.org> <20010419150526.03551.00000276@ng-fi1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.79
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.79
X-Trace: 20 Apr 2001 08:12:28 -0500, 64.24.19.79
Lines: 23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19860

On 19 Apr 2001 19:05:26 GMT, stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
>
> Just as your local PD or Sheriff has the responsibility for law
>enforcement in your town...however they too depend on the support and
>cooperation of the persons in the community. Just as WE should be helping (and
>encouraging) the FCC to go after these ner'-do-wells.
>

The ARRL recently ran a "monitoring fest" for freebanders. Got something like 400 responses which reported some huge number of freebander "sightings".
>
Attaboys all the way 'round. But no action has been taken. No action will be taken.
>
Being responsible, cooperative citizens obviously means nothing. Maybe it's time for a ham radio class action suit against the FCC for negligence.
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
w3rv

```
From -8884017186025479705
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 06:37:38 PST
Message-ID: <3AE03B59.6EEC3231@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 08:36:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987773409 64.240.47.70 (20 Apr 2001 08:30:09 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsxfer.interpacket.net!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19861
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >But ham radio is not all that far reaching in its basic objective and
> >means- to communicate by radio, using whatever means is at our disposal.
>
> It appears that you are now agreeing with Cecil that Amateur Radio does not
> need to have a strong technical component.

He means all a ham needs to know is how to build and operate a QRP kit. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6581159279882307078
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 06:44:55 PST
Message-ID: <3AE03D13.D7A53B2A@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 08:43:47 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org> <20010420080749.26676.00000261@nso-
cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987773852
64.240.47.70 (20 Apr 2001 08:37:32 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
```

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19862

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Admittedly, nothing was gained, but nothing was lost, either -- except
> perhaps from his personal point-of-view. However, this loss was self-
> imposed, due to his unwillingness to meet the licensing standards in
> place at the time.

He was not unwilling, Larry, he was unable. He spent thousands of hours practicing Morse code and could never get past 10wpm. Getting to 13wpm was his top priority and had a negative effect on his life and physical health. What is it about a biological handicap that you absolutely refuse to understand?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7638288249119165723
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 06:53:59 PST
Message-ID: <3AE03D66.298DF9B0@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 08:45:10 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105> <20010420080749.26676.00000262@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987773933 64.240.47.70 (20 Apr 2001 08:38:53 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)

```
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!
out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19863

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The next knock on your door may very likely be the Thought Police.

The same ones who enforce the Morse code affirmative action program?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -3568308040025890897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 06:54:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AE03DDC.18FB7D8C@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 08:47:08 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <20010420080749.26676.00000262@nso-cg.aol.com>
<20010420090354.12866.00000254@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987774052
64.240.47.70 (20 Apr 2001 08:40:52 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!
```

```
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19864

W1RFI wrote:
> What is the evidence that Dick is using to fathom what Cecil's reasons are?

A warped mind? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -8641873141078051974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 06:54:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.interpacket.net!feed-
out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <20010419230341.00244.00000054@nso-mn.aol.com>
<20010420072947.16448.00000506@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <16XD6.4194$D4.426859@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:47:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987774461 209.208.22.130 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 09:47:41
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 09:47:41 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19865

Steve Robeson LPN says...

>>Who among the inhabitants of this newsgroup have I met
>>in person?
>
>>The answer is:  None.
>
>     Are you SURE?
>
>     You ABSOLUTELY knew EVERYONE at EVERY gatehring you have been at in the
>last 12 months?
```

The terms "met in person" and "being at a gatehring(sic)" are not equivalent. I have been at a gathering where Duante Culpepper was also present, but I have never met the man.

Good luck on this one now.

72.5, de Hans, K0HB

From 4446569608485548323
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 06:54:25 PST
Message-ID: <3AE03EB6.B1306B3E@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 08:50:46 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3ADFBDF7.DFD41416@IEEE.org> <20010420081130.12859.00000258@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987774269 64.240.47.70 (20 Apr 2001 08:44:29 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!

194.165.93.117!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!ams-newsfeed.speedport.net!
newsfeed.speedport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19866

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Exactly what are we giving up, Dick? Have you lost any privileges?
>
> He wouldn't answer that question other than to say that the End is Near. Of
> course he hasn't; no one has. Everyone can continue to do everything they have
> ever done in ham radio.

When Dick talks about giving up the farm, he's obviously talking about
the Morse code testing farm.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6277199287119312076
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 06:55:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AE03F80.96AF6104@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 08:54:08 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3adfaecc.6198179@news.mindspring.com>
<20010420081835.12859.00000261@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987774472
64.240.47.70 (20 Apr 2001 08:47:52 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>

```
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19867

W1RFI wrote:
> I realize you think you are superior, Larry, but you really should
> draw the line somewhere.

Psssst Ed, did you know that 99% of Larry's DNA is virtually
identical to a chimpanzee's? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3522989828536290438
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 06:58:38 PST
Message-ID: <3AE04049.252E1448@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 08:57:29 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com> <20010419124332.16462.00000248@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <tduadve7p2k1e7@corp.supernews.com> <3ADF3E12.281A65FB@IEEE.org>
<tduk6d2nivv4c1@corp.supernews.com> <3ADF8E09.5B259E43@IEEE.org>
<te0c6omt6qtma2@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987774673
64.240.47.70 (20 Apr 2001 08:51:13 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
```

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19868

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3ADF8E09.5B259E43@IEEE.org...
> > "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> > > I think if somebody plays their cards right they can get away with this
> > > for a good while.
> >
> > That's a lot of trouble to go through just to get to engage in QSOs
> > about prostate problems. :-)
>
> Oh come on now, it would take 10 minutes or less on the Internet to verify a
> callsign.

10 minutes is a lot of trouble to go through just to get to engage
in QSOs about prostate problems. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5200372434491828599
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 07:27:44 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com> <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <fHXD6.4244$D4.431996@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 14:27:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130

X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987776843 209.208.22.130 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 10:27:23 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 10:27:23 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19869

In article <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com says...

> Waffle all you want, but eliminate these protected areas and you eliminate code and digital modes as the SSB hordes will descend upon them faster than Gengis did on China.

This "protection" exists in the regulations of only a small number of countries worldwide. The vast majority the ITU signatory countries do not divide the amateur bands up be mode, yet we do not see "hordes" of Canadian or Japanese or Chinese SSB signals descending on the CW end of any band.

Even in the US, 160 meters has no such "protection", yet SSB, CW, and even AM stations seem to coexist just fine on that band.

Waffle all you want, but the evidence disproves your "the sky will fall on CW" jeremiad.

73, de Hans, K0HB

From -3266086362870324000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 07:31:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 20 Apr 2001 14:30:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19

Message-ID: <9bph73$ara$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19870

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > In your view all anyone needs to know is how to consume.
>
> Exactly how many vacuum tubes have you built by hand, Dick?
> How many capacitors have you ever rolled out of foil and
> paper? How many pencil leads have you converted to resistors?
> How many grains of silicon have you ever found at the beach
> that would amplify a signal? The only difference between the
> time you got your ham license and now is the level of
> integration. Your black boxes were tubes. Today's black
> boxes are transceivers. The basic concept has not changed.
> Are you really that blind to reality?

"What a maroon!" --Masa

From 5444139928395688l0
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 07:38:01 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 14:35:02 GMT
Message-ID: <3adfb215.219737318@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010416074138.06622.00000728@ng-md1.aol.com>
<20010417190017.11407.00001040@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3ADCD071.8C94D967@uci.edu>
<3ade078a.110547259@news2.dvol.com> <9blnk2$58b$1@news.service.uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.157
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.157
X-Trace: 20 Apr 2001 09:31:45 -0500, 64.24.16.157
Lines: 15

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19871

On Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:58:33 -0700, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:
>
>Evidently his definition of a bold pilot and yours are not the same.
>
He wuz bold enough to be the first stick & pedal jock to officially
blow thru the "sound barrier" in a really squirrely rocketship and
he's older 'n dirt. Last I heard he was still tooling "Glorius
Glennis" around the sky, the P-51D named after his missus. Beats me,
you figger if he's old 'n bold himself
>
>ac6xg
>
w3rv



From -1078637066914908610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 07:39:05 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 60
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 14:38:28 GMT
References: <3adfaecc.6198179@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420103828.26676.00000279@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19872

In article <3adfaecc.6198179@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>>I would rather attribute it to their lack of first-hand knowledge
>>of the true value of maintaining a pool of amateur radio
>>operators capable of proficiently employing the Morse/CW
>>mode.
>
>Why Larry, why can't you be the source of that "first-hand
```

>knowledge" which you can then share with them to
>convince them to leave the test alone?

Bill:

This is a legitimate question, and I'm going to give it the truthful answer it deserves. I cannot personally impart "first-hand knowledge" of the value of the Morse/CW mode upon prospective hams -- they must gain this knowledge on their own. I can always make the attempt to relate my experiences, but that, from their point of view, is merely anecdotal evidence of facts they have yet to determine for themselves to be true. In the absence of the requirement to be tested for CW proficiency at a useful level which would encourage continued on-the-air use of the mode, the problem becomes one of motivating an individual to gain this skill.

With the incentive of higher operating privileges already given away for free, there no longer exists any reason for becoming a proficient CW operator. The mere words of CW esthusiasts like myself may not necessarily inspire people who are motivated by amateur radio activities which provide more readily attainable gratification. I can always try, of course, but to be perfectly honest, I am not really interested in "recruiting" CW operators. I would prefer to see them come about through a process which I feel develops internal motivation to learn and gain proficiency in the mode. I believe in incentives -- they work virtually every time they're tried. I believe in the testing requirement -- it worked for me and for millions of hams before me.

>>> > Unfortunately, new hams coming into the service after
>>> >Restructuring have no option but to be painted with the brush created by
>>> >the lazy majority who whined for, and received, lowered licensing
>standards.
>>>
>>> Your commentary sez volumes about your attitude.
>>
>>Yes, it does. It sez (sic) that I have a complete and insightful
>>understanding of the present situation in the ARS.
>
>In your opinion anyway.

Precisely. Everything I state in this newsgroup is MY opinion. I also know for an absolute fact that MY opinions are shared with a great number of my fellow hams, in and out of this newsgroup. I am not put off by the fact that there are people out there who disagree with me. At least, not as much as you and a lot of the no-code test supporters seem to be. Why is that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -5332399606056479307
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 07:51:16 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 20 Apr 2001 14:51:15 GMT
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9bpid3$ktv$0@216.155.32.187>
References: <3adde8ad.6212227@news.mindspring.com>
<20010419073148.13630.00000099@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.187
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47  (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
CC: w1rfi@aol.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19873

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: billsohl@mindspring.com  (Bill Sohl)
>
> >I'll defer to the court of public opinion as to the appropriate
> >use of the descrition obsolete to describe my antique cars.
>
> "Obsolete" would be among the more mild terms used to describe a few of my
> cars!  Though the "Deathmobile" (my '78 GMC pickup) does have a new clutch in
> it now.  But the used 4-speed I put in it seems to have a spun bearing or two.
> But it still goes, and I am hoping it wears in. :-)

Ed:

OK, I'll bite -- what is a "spun" bearing?  I've always scored high on mechanical
aptitude, but I've never heard that terminology before.  'Splain, Lucy!

73 de Larry, K3LT
```

```
From 7892340216728471817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 07:51:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
```

newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <MPG.154a1772a83c8f3f9896df@news.earthlink.net>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
<MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<fHXD6.4244$D4.431996@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 53
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 14:51:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.118.250
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987778281 63.208.118.250 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 07:51:21 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 07:51:21 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 07:50:00 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19874

In article <fHXD6.4244$D4.431996@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB@QWEST.NET
says...
> In article <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
> says...
>
> > Waffle all you want, but eliminate these protected
> > areas and you eliminate code and digital modes as
> > the SSB hordes will descend upon them faster than
> > Gengis did on China.
>
> This "protection" exists in the regulations of only
> a small number of countries worldwide. The vast
> majority the ITU signatory countries do not divide
> the amateur bands up be mode, yet we do not see
> "hordes" of Canadian or Japanese or Chinese SSB
> signals descending on the CW end of any band.

The mighty river starts at but a trickle in the headwaters in the
mountains. -- Buddha

>
> Even in the US, 160 meters has no such "protection", yet
> SSB, CW, and even AM stations seem to coexist just fine
> on that band.

It's called the "gentleman's agreement" No one has to follow it, but
they do out of courtesy to each other, however, less and less. More and
more you hear the New Hams, who should have listened listened listened
first, just fire up and yak away and then complain out some clicking

noises they hear. If chastised, they get indignant.

>
> Waffle all you want, but the evidence disproves
> your "the sky will fall on CW" jeremiad.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

However, the original premise was that NCI is actively seeking to
eliminate CW by virtue of Carl Stevenson's comments and teachings to the
other sheep...members.

Sucks to be me I guess

semper fi

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From -7662709310952602922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 07:55:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!
sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bph73$ara$0@208.207.71.134>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <k4YD6.4283$D4.435125@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 14:54:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987778448 209.208.22.130 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 10:54:08
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 10:54:08 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19875

In article <9bph73$ara$0@208.207.71.134>, Dick Carroll says...

>
> "What a maroon!" --Masa
>

Dick, you owe me for a coffee soaked keyboard -- I laughed so hard I choked and my secretary had to come give me mouth-to-mouth!

I suppose only a few of us recognize the quote, but I kinda miss old "Cutie" and his contributions to rrap. Life was funnier then.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -1827858064251936096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 08:02:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 15:01:40 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com> <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ea.f1
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 15:02:03 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19876

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 12:28:54 GMT, funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote:

>In article <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>,
>billsohl@mindspring.com says...
>> On 19 Apr 2001 01:08:56 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>>
>> >W6RCecilA wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >> > We learned that you don't neutralize an avowed enemy by pandering to
>> >> > his every whim.
>> >>
>> >> Who is the "avowed enemy", Dick, and why?
>> >
>> > You mean you have to ask, after all their rantings right here on rrap?
>> >How about NCI and all their supporters, who have clearly shown their
>> >enmity toward CW and everyone associated with it? THEY avowed it, not
>> >us.
>>
>> Pure hogwash Dick!
>> NCI opposes the code test and that's it. Use it if you
>> like it. NCI has no opposition to code USE at all.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>NCI (which by the way is a registered trademark of the National Cancer
>Institute)

Who cares, we have nothing to do with the medical industry.

>(NCI) is opposed to the allocations of the CW sub-bands on all HF
>frequencies..as stated many times by Direktor Stevenson.

NCI has taken NO stance on sub-bands.
The personal opinion of one NCI director does NOT make
it NCI policy. There are many people that oppose subbands who
care not a twit about use of any one mode.

>This equates to
>opposition to code. Waffle all you want, but eliminate these protected
>areas and you eliminate code and digital modes as the SSB hordes will
>descend upon them faster than Gengis did on China

So how come the majority of the rest of the world
seem to get along fine with no sub-bands?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6862001831953931346
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 11:41:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson  K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 18:41:00 GMT
References: <3ADF1F31.7CD93DCC@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010419144100.03551.00000269@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19775

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 04/19/01 1:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>What are they going to do when 11m goes dead from lack of sunspot activity?

     (1)  Move down to 40 meters (and thereabouts) as they already have.

     (2)  Move to 151mHz, again, as they already have started.

73

Steve, K4YZ
"A Man Never Stood So Tall As He Who Knelt To Help A Child"

Screw China.  That's What Happens When You Send a Coolie To Do A Pilot's Job



From -2615503635780703464
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 11:57:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson  K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 18:57:13 GMT
References: <ubFD6.3195$D4.315429@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010419145713.03551.00000270@ng-fi1.aol.com>
```

Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19776

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 04/19/01 1:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Since I've never been an SM in Minnesota (or anywhere else),
>I guess you must have me confused with someone else. In fact,
>you seem confused on many points lately. Better ask the doc
>to adjust your dosage.

Excuse me. Wrong post. Still was only one tour. Still not asked back.

By the way, Hans...how is it that everyone else is taking this seriously and YOU are making like Lennie? Lotsa name calling, no facts and basically uncivil conduct. That's what started me in on Lennie.

You gonna act stupid too? I can handle two at once.

Trying to earn some AMP's..?*

No 73 for Mr. Fussybritches,

Steve, K4YZ

* (A)nderson (M)essage (P)oints

"A Man Never Stood So Tall As He Who Knelt To Help A Child"

Screw China. That's What Happens When You Send a Coolie To Do A Pilot's Job

From 8819220212076972146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 12:06:26 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 55
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 19:05:26 GMT
References: <3ADF222C.146BA230@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???

Message-ID: <20010419150526.03551.00000276@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19777

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 04/19/01 1:36 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Words are cheap, Dick. Exactly what are you doing about it? Please
>be action specific. Your rantings and ravings don't seem to have
>had a very large effect so far.

Indeed, as have the rantings of others. However that need not be the case in the furure.

>The FCC has the responsibility for enforcement.

Just as your local PD or Sheriff has the responsibility for law enforcement in your town...however they too depend on the support and cooperation of the persons in the community. Just as WE should be helping (and encouraging) the FCC to go after these ner'-do-wells.

K1TTT has a neat idea. He's got a bounty out on anyone caught bootlegging on 10 meters. I promised to pony up $50 for the kitty if he gets a taker.

>Does it do any good to report lawbreakers to the FCC?

Do I detect an air of apathy? The same apathy that sees local crimes occur but left unreported "cuz it's the cops job"...???

>Is the FCC more likely to respond
>to a lawbreaker within a ham band than a lawbreaker outside a ham
>band?

They have been pretty righteous about getting the pirates at 6955kHz. I bet with a bit more information to go on they will bust others too. Make the local CB scofflaw the next target of a t-hunt, then get the "participants" to submit what they fiond to the FCC.

No...no vigilanteeism, no knocking on doors...just find 'em and rat them out.

>Can a system be set up at the ARRL to screen and funnel violation
>problems to the FCC?

Already exists. Too bad it doesn't get used more often.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"A Man Never Stood So Tall As He Who Knelt To Help A Child"

Screw China. That's What Happens When You Send a Coolie To Do A Pilot's Job

From -9186841252619685961
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 12:13:19 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 19:12:28 GMT
References: <MPG.1548b7b0983d41069896d8@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010419151228.09500.00000062@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19778

In article <MPG.1548b7b0983d41069896d8@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>Vegas odds on LennieNoShow 29:1

Reality odds on forced/demanded meetings with
anonymous insulters: Infinity:1

From -6369800431325695733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 12:13:22 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 19:12:27 GMT
References: <9blo24$5i2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!

```
Message-ID: <20010419151227.09500.00000061@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19779

In article <9blo24$5i2$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:

>> In what part of the EM spectrum has the FCC "sold amateur frequencies
>> to the highest bidder?"
>
>Apparently you misunderstood my comments.  Please have someone read else >and
explain them to you.

In what part of the EM spectrum has the FCC "sold amateur frequencies
to the highest bidder?"  Bidding indicates auction.  Is there an auction
planned or posted by the FCC?  This is merely a question.




From 5968366758310670919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 12:13:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 67
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 19:12:30 GMT
References: <MPG.1547fb124f61d9629896d6@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010419151230.09500.00000063@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19780

In article <MPG.1547fb124f61d9629896d6@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>> In article <MPG.154650331c075c79896d4@news.earthlink.net>,
>funguy@hotjuno.com
>> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:
>>
>> >After extending the invitation...
>> >>
>> >> The anonymous one wishes a personal confrontation?  Fine,
>> >> she can then drop by my QTH and do just that.    :-)
>> >
>> >In article <20010417133342.16316.00000181@nso-cu.aol.com>, Upyours Furher
>> >Anderschmidt aka Leona Anderdaughter (get it??!!) squirms like a fish on
```

>> >a hook after realizing the invitation has been accepted, then weasels his
>> >way out of it by typing....
>
>>
>> Which is it? April 30th or May 23rd?
>
>April 30th Leona, your official day of mourning the death of your Furher,
>who took the Andersonian way (read coward) of kicking off this mortal
>coil.

My father died of natural causes in July, 1975.

Not from any "cowardice."

> I am sure the black arm bands will go well with your brown Hilter
>youth outfit.

Poor attempt at an insult. What next, some attempt at insulting my late mother?

Why are you concentrating on personal attacks of others who object to your initial insults?

>The 23rd is when I plan on flying in.
>
>> Do you need directions?
>
>Nope, I already have your address and phone number. I'll call you when I
>get in.

No need. Unwelcome telephone calls are treated with the same courtesy afforded to telemarketers. None.

>Bank on it ;-)

No. The above anonymous intimidation was a poor attempt at "message poker" which didn't win any "pot." There was no "game" in progress except in the minds of a few furious individuals who cannot communicate through the written word other than scribbling insults as "replies."

Intimidation, overt or implied, only works on those who are able to be intimidated. I am not intimidated, only annoyed with the anonymous idiots who continue to act like they are still schoolchildren.

From 4270051646355072814
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 12:13:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 19:12:27 GMT
References: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010419151227.09500.00000060@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19781

In article <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>   Lennie the Lame has made a point (as have several others) that the
>shennanigans of some CB radio operators are not "any of Amatuer Radio's
>business".

Untrue. Unlawful conduct is everyone's concern.

This includes unlawful amateur radio activities.

What I stated under another thread was that standards and
practices and procedures of amateur radio are not the example
for citizens band radio nor the other way around.

>   I refer the unbelieveing and the contrary to
>"www.coaxkid.com/chart.htm".
>There you will find a "Channel Chart" of "CB Channels" for export rig users
>and those who have "exapnded" their domestic rigs.
>
>   You will note that the chart starts at 25.165 mHz, a full 1.8 mHz below
>the legal CB band.
>
>   The chart then goes up to 29.655 mHz, covering all except 5kHz of the
>Amatuer 10 meter band.
>
>   This is not an isolated occurence, nor is it the fantasies of one errant
>CB/Freebander. Anyone who takes the time to just coast up and down the band
>will find that this is a VERY prevelant problem, and that indeed these
>"channels" are in use by other than the intended services and licensees.

>
>   This is not ""Chicken Little" nor is it any misplaced paranoia. It's
>happening, and it IS our problem. If WE do not take steps, within the
>framework of FCC regulations and other civil law, to stop this, it is OUR
>fault.
>
>   We cannot allow it to go any further.

The civil radio regulatory body in the USA is the Federal Communications Commission. The FCC is open to suggestions, comments, information, and evidence of radio wrongdoing.

The keyword is evidence. Evidence is not personal opinion. Evidence is factual information of unlawful radio activity...in any radio service. Such evidence can be recordings that include dates and times of unlawful operation, frequencies, transmissions. Such evidence can be supported by approximate bearings from an observer's geographic location. Directional antennas are well-known in radio literature.

The alternative to citizens contacting their government on unlawful radio operation is vigilanteeism, itself against the law. Vigilanteeism is a two-way street, not a good thing to consider against another radio service when outnumbered at least ten to one. Vigilanteeism is wrong.

From 2142035103268848987
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 12:36:51 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF3E12.281A65FB@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 14:35:46 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com> <20010419124332.16462.00000248@ng-fc1.aol.com> <tduadve7p2k1e7@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.122
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987708570 64.240.47.122 (19 Apr 2001 14:29:30 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19782

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> This topic comes up from time to time on the CB news group. The "typical"
> answer I get, when asked why many freebanders, etc., don't get a license, is
> the comment I posted. They say "why get a hammie license when I can do
> everything you can do with out one."

One they cannot do is talk to law-abiding hams, at least not for long.
Ask them how they talk to their ham friends.
---
73, Cecil, W6RCA


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -454931144929885876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 12:44:57 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF3FF3.9C6CA5B3@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 14:43:48 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <3ADF222C.146BA230@IEEE.org> <20010419150526.03551.00000276@ng-
fi1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.122
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987709053
64.240.47.122 (19 Apr 2001 14:37:33 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
```

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19783

Steven J Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Does it do any good to report lawbreakers to the FCC?

>       Do I detect an air of apathy?  The same apathy that sees local crimes
> occur but left unreported "cuz it's the cops job"...???

It's not apathy, Steve, maybe it's reality. The local cop is my younger
cousin whom I smacked up aside the head with a 2x4 regularly in our younger
days. The FCC is not my cousin if you get my drift.

> >Can a system be set up at the ARRL to screen and funnel violation
> >problems to the FCC?

>       Already exists.  Too bad it doesn't get used more often.

Got the name and email address of the guy in charge?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2019873774333726655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 12:51:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 12:45:15 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
```

```
Message-ID: <3ADF404B.E4D3BE71@uci.edu>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org>
<9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208> <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105>
<3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19784
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> I never needed an Extra license, Dick. But my good friend was barred
> from the HF ham bands for 25 years. Now he is an Extra. Exactly what
> was gained by barring from HF ham operation an engineer with a commercial
> radio license and 25 years of experience as an AM broadcast station chief
> engineer?

Did it take him 25 years to pass element 1A, or to pass elements 3 and 4?

ac6xg

```
From -2316759651747692628
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 12:51:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 12:47:11 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3ADF40BF.776CD129@uci.edu>
References: <9blo24$5i2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010419151227.09500.00000061@nso-fy.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19785
```

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <9blo24$5i2$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> writes:
>
> >> In what part of the EM spectrum has the FCC "sold amateur frequencies
> >> to the highest bidder?"
> >
> >Apparently you misunderstood my comments. Please have someone read else >and
> explain them to you.
>
> In what part of the EM spectrum has the FCC "sold amateur frequencies
> to the highest bidder?" Bidding indicates auction. Is there an auction
> planned or posted by the FCC? This is merely a question.

I think its an assinine question. Comments?

ac6xg

From -8742705988812260614
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 12:56:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <ubFD6.3195$D4.315429@www.newsranger.com>
<20010419145713.03551.00000270@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <ApHD6.3393$D4.338774@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 19:56:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987710176 209.208.22.130 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 15:56:16
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 15:56:16 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19786

Steven J Robeson K4YZ, still confused, said:

> Still was only one tour.

Wrong guess.

> Still not asked back.

Wrong guess.

Try again?

72.5, Hans, K0HB

From -8235188815045272239
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 12:57:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 30
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 19:57:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 987710261 12.80.44.41 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 19:57:41 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 19:57:41 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19787

On 19 Apr 2001, Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

> Lennie the Lame has made a point (as have several others) that the shennanigans of some CB radio operators are not "any of Amatuer Radio's business".
>
> I refer the unbelieveing and the contrary to "www.coaxkid.com/chart.htm". There you will find a "Channel Chart" of "CB Channels" for export rig users and those who have "exapnded" their domestic rigs.
>
> You will note that the chart starts at 25.165 mHz, a full 1.8 mHz below the legal CB band.
>
> The chart then goes up to 29.655 mHz, covering all except 5kHz of the Amatuer 10 meter band.
>
> This is not an isolated occurence, nor is it the fantasies of one errant CB/Freebander. Anyone who takes the time to just coast up and down the band will find that this is a VERY prevelant problem, and that indeed these "channels" are in use by other than the intended services and licensees.
>
> This is not ""Chicken Little" nor is it any misplaced paranoia. It's happening, and it IS our problem. If WE do not take steps, within the framework of FCC regulations and other civil law, to stop this, it is OUR fault.
>
> We cannot allow it to go any further.

So .... You found the site, so refer it to the FCC for action. Send the URL to Riley.

```
From -5348998276190922654
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 13:01:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 12:54:19 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3ADF426B.8BD9469F@uci.edu>
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
<3addb65a.2862997@news.mindspring.com> <3ADE139E.88E55FE@IEEE.org>
<3ADF1A93.9B3D54B7@uci.edu> <3ADF1E8C.D4FE7ADE@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19788
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Maybe we should have a special ham license for guys like Dick.
> > > Anyone who digs an eight cubic yard hole and fills it back up
> > > a total of twenty times gets an Extra class license.
> >
> > Hope he can use a backhoe. That's 240 tons of dirt.
>
> No machines allowed. Dick really, really admires work - the
> harder the better. He would probably even consider a pick
> and shovel to be an unfair advantage.

I think you ought to beta test your proposed system first.

ac6xg

```
From -5150244948336641870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 13:20:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <MPG.1549121934981c309896da@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.1548b7b0983d41069896d8@news.earthlink.net>
<20010419151228.09500.00000062@nso-fy.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 22
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 20:20:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.114.30
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987711601 209.245.114.30 (Thu, 19 Apr
2001 13:20:01 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:20:01 PDT
X-Received-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:18:40 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
```

```
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19789

In article <20010419151228.09500.00000062@nso-fy.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com says...
> In article <MPG.1548b7b0983d41069896d8@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:
>
> >Vegas odds on LennieNoShow  29:1
>
> Reality odds on forced/demanded meetings with
> anonymous insulters:  Infinity:1
>

what a zinger WOW..And she thought it up without the use of a net ladies
and gentleman.  Holy crow.  Gee whiz

C ya soon sweetie  SWAK

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)



From -6460634467531080215
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 13:20:07 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <MPG.1549127c721bc0f29896db@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.1547fb124f61d9629896d6@news.earthlink.net>
<20010419151230.09500.00000063@nso-fy.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 80
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 20:20:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.114.30
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987711604 209.245.114.30 (Thu, 19 Apr
2001 13:20:04 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:20:04 PDT
X-Received-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:18:44 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19790
```

In article <20010419151230.09500.00000063@nso-fy.aol.com>,
lenover21@aol.com says...
> In article <MPG.1547fb124f61d9629896d6@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:
>
> >> In article <MPG.154650331c075c79896d4@news.earthlink.net>,
> >funguy@hotjuno.com
> >> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>
>
> > I am sure the black arm bands will go well with your brown Hilter
> >youth outfit.
>
> Poor attempt at an insult. What next, some attempt at insulting
> my late mother?
>
> Why are you concentrating on personal attacks of others
> who object to your initial insults?

Gee what's a matter Leona? Is is getting to her that she isn't the only
one who can toss out the "compliments"? And these aren't personal
attacks mind you, these are factual observations based on reading your
posts. Whas a matter.....is it getting too hot in the kitchen for the
poor baby?? HA HA HA Or perhaps, we're hitting a nerve..actually
exposing her to what she really is? hhmmmmmm

>
>
> >The 23rd is when I plan on flying in.
> >
> >> Do you need directions?
> >
> >Nope, I already have your address and phone number. I'll call you when I
> >get in.
>
> No need. Unwelcome telephone calls are treated with the
> same courtesy afforded to telemarketers. None.

Proves a point once again. So I take it you won't be answering the phone
for those 2 days? Heavens to Betsy...it might be Ed McMahon telling you
that you won 10 million dollars...tsk tsk

>
>
> >Bank on it ;-)
>
> No. The above anonymous intimidation was a poor attempt
> at "message poker" which didn't win any "pot." There was
> no "game" in progress except in the minds of a few furious
> individuals who cannot communicate through the written word

> other than scribbling insults as "replies."

Only following in the master's feet steps. Really sucks to have your MO
thrown back at you huhh?? poor little baby ooohhhhh

>
> Intimidation, overt or implied, only works on those who are
> able to be intimidated. I am not intimidated, only annoyed
> with the anonymous idiots who continue to act like they
> are still schoolchildren.

That's OK. Then I've accomplished everything I set out to do. Show you
for the little weaselly nazi loving stooge that you are. I think we've
chatted enough. So until the fact to face, or in your case face to 2
faced (yours of course) Try not to wet your depends when the phone rings
or someone knocks on the door.

C U soon
sweetie   SWAK!!!!

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From -5903814525010750246
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 13:48:35 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 20:48:09 GMT
References: <20010419151227.09500.00000060@nso-fy.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010419164809.21967.00000181@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19791

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/19/01 3:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Lennie the Lame has made a point (as have several others) that the
>>shennanigans of some CB radio operators are not "any of Amatuer Radio's
>>business".

>Untrue. Unlawful conduct is everyone's concern.

You have, on numerous occassions, stated that Amateur Radio operators should not be concerned with the activities of NON hams.

That you may have said something to the contrary in one thread means nothing. You changes faces so quickly as to be untrustworthy and contradictable.

>What I stated under another thread was that standards and
>practices and procedures of amateur radio are not the example
>for citizens band radio nor the other way around.

No one was addressing radio technique or practice. We were discussing illegal activities.

Please pay attention if you are going to comment here.

>The civil radio regulatory body in the USA is the Federal
>Communications Commission. The FCC is open to
>suggestions, comments, information, and evidence of
>radio wrongdoing.

That's waht I said. No vigilanteeism's...just report what you know. Thanks for reiterating the obvious.

>The keyword is evidence. Evidence is not personal opinion.

Again, I stated that clearly. Obtain information and relay it to the authorities. Why do you seem to have a problem with that, Lennie...???

>Such evidence can be supported by
>approximate bearings from an observer's geographic location.
>Directional antennas are well-known in radio literature.

No kidding, Lennie? I didn't know that. I believe that I stated that using a "bandit" as a foxhunting target and then reporting that information to the FCC would be a proper course of action. I SPECIFICALLY said "no door knocks". Just find 'em and report 'em.

>Vigilanteeism is wrong.

I believe I said exactly that, too.

Steve

From 3518901227764189960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 13:50:59 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 13:46:41 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3ADF4EB1.B367564F@uci.edu>
References: <20010418200748.14184.00000009@nso-mj.aol.com>
<20010419075704.28262.00000227@ng-ch1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19792

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 04/18/01 8:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >In what part of the EM spectrum has the FCC "sold amateur frequencies
> >to the highest bidder?"
>
>   They haven't yet. That's what we are trying to avoid. Of course, since
> you are NOT a part of Amateur Radio beyond your own shortsighted agenda, you
> wouldn't know that.
>
> >Is this something new not covered in the FCC's Daily Digest? Or is it
> >some urban myth reborn out of a need to rationalize some paranoia?
>
>   How would YOU know about anything in the Digest, Your Putziness? Only 5
> days ago you stated that you are not subscribed to it.
>
>   And anyone who IS active in REAL Amatuer policy matters would know about
> the recent attacks on Amatuer allocations.

The point is, the discussion was in regard to 'justifying' amateur
allocations. If the FCC were to change its stance and decide that the

allocations were no longer 'justified', the amateur spectrum would presumably be reallocated. In the past few years a prevalent means for allocating frequencies has been by auction. Unlike other bidding processes, things being sold at auction go to the highest bidder rather than to the lowest bidder. Leo is apparently unfamiliar with such concepts.

73, ac6xg

From 978135605705726777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 13:55:41 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 16:55:36 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tduk6d2nivv4c1@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com> <20010419124332.16462.00000248@ng-fc1.aol.com> <tduadve7p2k1e7@corp.supernews.com> <3ADF3E12.281A65FB@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 40
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19793

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:3ADF3E12.281A65FB@IEEE.org...
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> > This topic comes up from time to time on the CB news group. The
"typical"
> > answer I get, when asked why many freebanders, etc., don't get a
license, is
> > the comment I posted. They say "why get a hammie license when I can do
> > everything you can do with out one."
>
> One they cannot do is talk to law-abiding hams, at least not for long.
> Ask them how they talk to their ham friends.

That's a nice question. I gave some thought to it a while back and there is a way, sort of. Each issue of QST has a rather large list of SK's published. All one would need to do is find an issue about 5 to 6 years old or more, then look for a SK listing whose call sign is in the same district where they live. This needs to be done to reduce the chance that somebody will notice the antenna is pointed the wrong way when they talk to them. A simple check on QRZ or Buckmaster will revel if the license is still cataloged as being "good", not expired, and what class it is, and what name to use on the air if it is looked up. The reason for picking from an old listing is to reduce the chance that somebody will "remember" working somebody with that particular call sign. Of course it is not fool proof, the spoofed call may have belonged to a well known and very active operator, or the other party knows the ham whose call it is is a SK! The other problem would be QSL cards too. I think if somebody plays their cards right they can get away with this for a good while.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
 me running, try to keep up. "

```
From -7351903911563866882
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 13:56:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feedeast.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 62
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 20:55:27 GMT
References: <3ADF20E5.752F@bellsouth.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010419165527.10634.00000052@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19794
```

In article <3ADF20E5.752F@bellsouth.net>, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> writes:

>Len, DAMN IT!

Profanity will not make your point in this newsgroup (there are too many in here of victorian tastes who think that is smut).

>It takes two to tangle, and your argument with "funguy" et al, has
>nothing to DO with ham radio at all.

A lot of wasted bandwidth concerning Steven Spielberg and the
Boy Scouts isn't about ham radio.

A lot of discussion on Marine Radio isn't about ham radio at all.

A heap of abuse piled on CB might be justified
because the FCC stole the wholly-owned and operated
11 meter band from US radio amateurs 42 years ago.

Oxendine has posted to all of those threads...and a couple of
others which have nothing directly to do with amateur radio.

>therefore, ALL Democrats are MAD!

Political parties and their faults/goodies have little to do with
amateur radio...despite an extraordinary amount of political
party talk going on in this newsgroup after the General
Election. That isn't ham radio stuff either.

>Even if the subject being argued is
>ham radio, it is an INDIVIDUAL argument between 2 or three people.

That is the norm for 99+ messages in here.

>The
>extraneous responses you get from some others is the result of their
>being denigrated by association--just like mine now.

Oxendine posts an extraneous response and somehow I have
"denigrated him?" Is this through some time-space warping
capability, including telepathy, that is granted under an amateur
radio license?

>Why can't you AND funguy just let it GO!!?

"Let go what?" It is difficult to hold onto something that doesn't
exist...such as self-professed ace holes, excuse me, ace haoles
of WW2 fighting skies who demand and expect physical
confrontations.

...now why must there continue to be a morse code test for
amateur radio licences other than ITU-R S25.5?

Forgive me, morse code testing may not be about amateur
radio? Inform the civilians who know nothing about nothing
because they aren't licensed in the amateur radio service.

From 7932789113491627526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 13:58:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 20:57:29 GMT
References: <3ADF20E5.752F@bellsouth.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010419165729.21967.00000182@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19795

>Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
>From: Jerry Oxendine joxendi@bellsouth.net
>Date: 04/19/01 1:31 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Why can't you AND funguy just let it GO!!?

For the same reason he has been cajoling Amateurs in this forum for years now. He has nothing else to do except elevate himself on a self-carved pedestal. It's truly pathetic.

And trust me, he KNOWS exactly the nature of this forum. He will once ridicule someone who uses the "it's USENET, not Amateur Radio" arguement when HE does not feel that the persons behaviour fits "The Amatuer's Code", but then he will himself launch into tirades and bursts of profanity, immediately humiliating himself and anyone else in his profession.

Just relax, Jerry. Lennie isn't about to follow his own "rules", so might as well sit back and enjoy the fracas.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 4680281583245841501
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 13:59:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!

```
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 20:59:08 GMT
References: <3ADF3FF3.9C6CA5B3@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010419165908.21967.00000183@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19796

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 04/19/01 3:43 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>
>Got the name and email address of the guy in charge?

Not with me, but I will find it.......



"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.


From 5127120183332859208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 14:01:28 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 21:01:06 GMT
References: <20010419151228.09500.00000062@nso-fy.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010419170106.21967.00000184@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19797

>Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/19/01 3:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Reality odds on forced/demanded meetings with
>anonymous insulters:  Infinity:1
```

Forced,,,??? Maybe. But like I said, you seem to be oblivious to some who you have met in person!

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

From 583111396418687971
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 14:06:36 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 21:06:18 GMT
References: <20010419151230.09500.00000063@nso-fy.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010419170618.21967.00000187@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19798

>Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/19/01 3:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>My father died of natural causes in July, 1975.
>
>Not from any "cowardice."

He said Furher, not father, and the dates are otherwise correct.

>> I am sure the black arm bands will go well with your brown Hilter
>>youth outfit.

>Poor attempt at an insult. What next, some attempt at insulting
>my late mother?

OH...I see...It's OK for YOU to make inferences of affiliation with the nazi party, but NOT the other way around?

And even I will not disparge your mother.

>Why are you concentrating on personal attacks of others
>who object to your initial insults?

Pot/kettle/black, Lennie. You set the standard.

>Intimidation, overt or implied, only works on those who are
>able to be intimidated. I am not intimidated, only annoyed
>with the anonymous idiots who continue to act like they
>are still schoolchildren.

To those who have not paid attention, he is anonymous. But since you keep replying, WHO is the idiot?

More engineering logic?

Steve

From -620317764625835325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 14:09:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 Apr 2001 21:09:17 GMT
References: <3ADF40BF.776CD129@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419170917.21967.00000189@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19799

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>> In what part of the EM spectrum has the FCC "sold amateur frequencies
>> to the highest bidder?" Bidding indicates auction. Is there an auction
>> planned or posted by the FCC? This is merely a question.

>I think its an assinine question. Comments?

Commenting is probably futile, Jim, since Lennie has since edited out your original comments and the context in which it was made.

Easier to defend a lame argument that way. I understood your comments,
and I didn't spend 14 years in night school to do it!

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Screw China. Feed 'em fish heads.

```
From -2426730125539996253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 15:28:31 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 22:25:37 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 987719310 74367 63.87.116.25 (19 Apr 2001 22:28:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Apr 2001 22:28:30 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19800
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > > We've went over this before, Cecil. Why should anyone prove to you

> > > > the existence of anything?
> > >
> > > Because I am forced to live under a set of laws that assumes, without
> > > proof, the existence of a God.
> >
> > One of those laws is "thou shalt not kill." Should we get rid of it,
> > just to make you happy?
>
> That's not the one I was complaining about. Last Sunday, I tried to
> buy a beer at 11:55am. They wouldn't sell it to me until 12:00, five
> minutes later.

Oh, I see, it's not that the law is based on religion that is the
problem, it's that it inconveniences you.

Why, then, did you attack all laws which "assume the existence of a
God"?

Make up your mind.

BTW, if you can't wait 5 minutes before you start you Sunday drunk, then
perhaps you have a problem.

> > Until a little over a century ago, radio had not been discovered. Does
> > that mean that it didn't exist for those thousands of years of human
> > history which preceded that discovery?
>
> Radio waves are natural occurrences and ignorance is not proof of non-
> existence.

You have no more way of knowing that there is no God than those folks
that there was no such thing as radio.

> Please provide some objective evidence that a supernatural realm exists.

Cecil, I have no objective evidence that YOU exist.

From 1126975674010120709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 15:30:03 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!xmission!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 22:27:10 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 18

```
Message-ID: <3ADF663E.99C5D75D@bctv.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdshqi2k25rb95@corp.supernews.com>
<tdtji3lko4ns6a@corp.supernews.com> <3ADEE911.DF25CABF@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 987719402 74367 63.87.116.25 (19 Apr 2001 22:30:02 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 19 Apr 2001 22:30:02 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15448
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19801



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> "J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:
> > Stop the incorrect reference.  Men that choose men instead of women are not
> > gay, they are homosexuals.  There is nothing gay about being a homosexual.
>
> From "Webster's New Universal Unabridged Dictionary":
>
> In addition to its original and continuing senses of "merry, lively" and
> "bright or showy", GAY has had various senses dealing with sexual conduct
> since the 17th century. ... and GAY as an adjective meaning "homosexual"
> goes back at least to the early 1900's. ... The word (GAY) has ceased to
> be slang and is not used disparagingly."

Obviously they don't know too many teenagers.  "How GAY!" is still used
a condemnation (as it has been since I was in my teens).


From -57685996336006517
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,292a5745800d23fc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,292a5745800d23fc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,292a5745800d23fc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,292a5745800d23fc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,292a5745800d23fc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 17:09:06 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Downloadable IOTA List - updated 19-Apr-01
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <C6LD6.64$Ci3.6210@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 00:09:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.190.2
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987725346 63.30.190.2 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 17:09:06 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 17:09:06 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 17:07:44 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:21460
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2373 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12310
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15451 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19802

The downloadable IOTA list has been updated as of 19-Apr-2001 23:43 UTC

Found on The IOTA List page of the site listed below. 15k zip file
(ascii text format readable with Notepad)

* also includes Top 10 Most Wanted IOTA's for each continent.

--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

From 3283041938593149810
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 17:13:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 00:12:41 GMT
References: <9bmvlg$k22$3@208.206.142.105>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419201241.18912.00000046@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19803

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >No, Ed, the frosting on the ecake is that many do actually attempt to
>> >learn the material on the tests,

>> Of course they do

> Naw, you're a lost cause.

You even disagree with me when I agree with you...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -188752107359577800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 17:14:01 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 00:13:46 GMT
References: <3ADF0908.B2714218@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419201346.18912.00000047@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19804

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>If you really want to prove your assertion, Dick, memorize the definition
>of 1000 words of Russian and get back to us on how easy it was and how
>long it took you.

That would settle it for me, too. If it is so easy that a child can do it,
Dick should have it done by the end of the weekend. I guess he is really going

to show us, Cecil.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1751401765852991920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 17:16:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 00:16:16 GMT
References: <9bn2d8$s7m$1@208.206.142.105>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010419201616.18912.00000048@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19805

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Your Honor, she MADE me beat her...

> BS..."I finally got tired of all the abuse and put a stop to it..."

See, we are in agreement again, Dick. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7964949000253685080
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 17:17:57 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 00:17:36 GMT
References: <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010419201736.18912.00000049@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19806

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Do I believe that ham radio has enemies which do not wish us well?

I believe that our worst enemies are indeed among us, found in those who see the divisions in our ranks and do their best to pry those divisions farther apart.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3553954018079121768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 17:57:17 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF8929.8590E373@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 19:56:09 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org> <9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208> <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105> <3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org> <3ADF404B.E4D3BE71@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987727794 64.240.47.108 (19 Apr 2001 19:49:54 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!

```
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19807
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I never needed an Extra license, Dick. But my good friend was barred
> > from the HF ham bands for 25 years. Now he is an Extra. Exactly what
> > was gained by barring from HF ham operation an engineer with a commercial
> > radio license and 25 years of experience as an AM broadcast station chief
> > engineer?
>
> Did it take him 25 years to pass element 1A, or to pass elements 3 and
> 4?

He is incapable of copying Morse code faster than 10wpm and has been diagnosed with a biologically based AADD. It seems to me to be akin to interrupt latency on a computer. He is an electronic genius, had no trouble with the theory exams, and was one of the first to upgrade using a code waiver. He is so knowledgeable of ham radio and radio in general that it blew my mind when I first found out he was a Technician since the 1950's. His experience changed my mind about Morse code testing.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -394498910368375277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 17:59:53 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF89C7.1BFE0A97@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 19:58:47 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
<3addb65a.2862997@news.mindspring.com> <3ADE139E.88E55FE@IEEE.org>
<3ADF1A93.9B3D54B7@uci.edu> <3ADF1E8C.D4FE7ADE@IEEE.org>
<3ADF426B.8BD9469F@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
```

X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987727951 64.240.47.108 (19 Apr 2001 19:52:31 -0500)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19808

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > Maybe we should have a special ham license for guys like Dick.
> > > > Anyone who digs an eight cubic yard hole and fills it back up
> > > > a total of twenty times gets an Extra class license.
> > >
> > > Hope he can use a backhoe. That's 240 tons of dirt.
> >
> > No machines allowed. Dick really, really admires work - the
> > harder the better. He would probably even consider a pick
> > and shovel to be an unfair advantage.
>
> I think you ought to beta test your proposed system first.

It's not my system. Dick is the one who hates labor-saving devices
and admires work, work, work ...
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1813809286374147840
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 17:59:56 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 00:58:56 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19809

Good Ideas. Does any body know the correct place to submit your findings? Any opinions regarding the recent CB "local enforcement" bill?

73 de Bert

From 8444464706269437919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 18:10:11 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 20:09:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987728571
64.240.47.108 (19 Apr 2001 20:02:51 -0500)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)

Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19810

Keith Wood wrote:
> Why, then, did you attack all laws which "assume the existence of a
> God"?

If you can find the word "all" in my posting, I'll give you $100.
Please ask your God to forgive your attempt at mind fornication.
Some laws based on religion are rational and logical. A law against
buying a beer at 11:45 on Sunday morning is not one of them.

> BTW, if you can't wait 5 minutes before you start you Sunday drunk, then
> perhaps you have a problem.

I've got better things to do than hang out at the Taylor, TX 7-11.

> You have no more way of knowing that there is no God than those folks
> that there was no such thing as radio.

One cannot prove a negative. On the subject of God, one cannot even prove
a positive. I cannot afford to believe in things for which there is no
evidence. If I told you to have faith that a bunch of gods live under
the surface of the moon, would you buy it? If not, why not?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2350845731002457846
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 18:12:31 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF8CBA.83EE2C77@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 20:11:22 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdshqi2k25rb95@corp.supernews.com>

```
<tdtji3lko4ns6a@corp.supernews.com> <3ADEE911.DF25CABF@IEEE.org>
<3ADF663E.99C5D75D@bctv.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987728706
64.240.47.108 (19 Apr 2001 20:05:06 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15455
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19811

Keith Wood wrote:
> Obviously they don't know too many teenagers.  "How GAY!" is still used
> a condemnation (as it has been since I was in my teens).

So is the racist 'N' word but that doesn't seem something to be proud of.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 841783702234195542
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 18:18:06 PST
Message-ID: <3ADF8E09.5B259E43@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 20:16:57 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com> <20010419124332.16462.00000248@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <tduadve7p2k1e7@corp.supernews.com> <3ADF3E12.281A65FB@IEEE.org>
```

<tduk6d2nivv4c1@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987729041
64.240.47.108 (19 Apr 2001 20:10:41 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19812

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> I think if somebody plays their cards right they can get away with this
> for a good while.

That's a lot of trouble to go through just to get to engage in QSOs
about prostate problems. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6492502034106455607
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 19:22:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!
typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 64
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <I3ND6.3454$tx5.85831@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 02:22:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 987733352 209.204.160.101 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 19:22:32 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 19:22:32 PDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19814

Here is the FCC info on reporting illegal equipment sales in the Internet:

> FCC CONSUMER ALERT
>
> Federal Communications Commission
> Consumer Information Bureau Publication
> 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554
> 1-888-CALL-FCC (voice)
> 1-888-835-5322 (TTY)
> www.fcc.gov/cib
>
> UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS
>
> The Federal Communications Commission receives hundreds of complaints about
> alleged illegal (non-FCC certified) equipment being offered for sale on the
> Internet or in publications. The Commission is monitoring this situation
> carefully and requests your assistance. If you have any information about
> illegal or uncertified equipment being offered for sale, please forward it to
> the FCC. Useful information for the FCC includes:
>
> * An original advertisement or Web address where the illegal equipment is
>   being advertised or offered for sale with the types of equipment about which
>   the allegations are being made, highlighted.
>
> * If it is a Web-auction we will need the name of the auction site, the exact
>   item number, and auction opening and closing dates.
>
> * Name and address of the individual or business offering the item(s) for sale.
>
> * Complainant's name and telephone number in the event follow-up is necessary.
>   This information can remain confidential, if requested.
>
> * Specifically, why you think the equipment is not in compliance with FCC rules.

```
>
> * Number of pieces or types of equipment about which the allegations are
being
>   made (one piece, five pieces, how many models, etc.).
>
> Information can be mailed to the FCC at the address below or e-mailed to
> FCCINFO@FCC.GOV
>
>                              Federal Communications Commission
>                              Consumer Information Bureau
>                              Consumer Complaints
>                              445 12th Street, SW
>                              Washington, DC 20554

"KC2HMN" <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com...
> Good Ideas. Does any body know the correct place to submit your findings?
Any
> opinions regarding the recent CB "local enforcement" bill?
>
> 73 de Bert




From -6432278788607257001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,57b7b9090c09b4ec
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 19:41:08 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!falcon.america.net!
eagle.america.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Cajun Luke J" <cajunluke@nawlins.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <vn1mdtga66rt563931f7221knmkg9hf8dt@4ax.com>
<20010417070009.24650.00000537@nso-mq.aol.com> <3ADC6F1C.74F5@mobiletel.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gbxz752.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <3ADCA48D.676C@mobiletel.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc02l20.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radio
Distribution: x-no-archive: yes
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <7lND6.522$m31.29903@eagle.america.net>
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 22:42:00 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.22.236.155
X-Trace: eagle.america.net 987734467 63.22.236.155 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 22:41:07 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 22:41:07 EDT
Organization: 24hoursupport.com
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19815
```

If they are so good at putting out fires and making examples, why has an unlicensed FM station operated with impunity at their door step for the last two years?
Actually, I know the answer but would love to see your answer.........Cajun

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message

> But if they want to make an example of anyone, they can and
> will, as I know very well.
> Jim Hawkins of the NO Office was a good friend/colleague in
> those days, and very accomplished at "putting out fires".
> --
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 6813158735409778632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:02:00 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:02:21 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3ADFA694.9F40E2B4@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net> <XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org> <3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987735720 3908 207.144.64.85 (20 Apr 2001 03:02:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 03:02:00 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19816

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Because I am forced to live under a

> set of laws that assumes, without
> proof, the existence of a God.

What laws are those, Cecil? I see laws based on common sense (murder, etc), laws based on accepted community standards (marriage, drugs, etc), and laws based on adopted standards (trade, business, etc), but I see no laws based on an assumption of the existence of a god.

> It doesn't disprove the existence
> of a God. It just makes God unnecessary.
> Homo Sapiens are not special at all.

Well, you're certainly free to view yourself that way, Cecil, but I hope you'll pardon me if I continue to view myself, and other human beings, as somewhat special.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -6809052936594718491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:04:27 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 03:03:41 GMT
References: <20010419170106.21967.00000184@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010419230341.00244.00000054@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19817

In article <20010419170106.21967.00000184@ng-md1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Reality odds on forced/demanded meetings with
>>anonymous insulters: Infinity:1
>
>   Forced,,,??? Maybe. But like I said, you seem to be oblivious to some
>who you have met in person!

A new false claim.

Who among the inhabitants of this newsgroup have I met
in person?

The answer is: None.

```
From -6901858440242315126
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:04:29 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 03:03:40 GMT
References: <20010419150526.03551.00000276@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010419230340.00244.00000051@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19818
```

In article <20010419150526.03551.00000276@ng-fi1.aol.com>,
stevenrobeson@aol.com (Steven J Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>   K1TTT has a neat idea. He's got a bounty out on anyone caught
>bootlegging on 10 meters. I promised to pony up $50 for the kitty if he gets a
taker.

Bounties and rewards sounds like a vigilante operation to me.

The word "amateur" in amateur radio refers to non-pecuniary
interest in radio activities.

What happens when the wrong person is accused due to bad
evidence?

```
From 2288977421149982144
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:04:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 03:03:41 GMT
References: <20010419165729.21967.00000182@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010419230341.00244.00000053@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19819

In article <20010419165729.21967.00000182@ng-md1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
>>From: Jerry Oxendine joxendi@bellsouth.net
>>Date: 04/19/01 1:31 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
>>Why can't you AND funguy just let it GO!!?
>
>   For the same reason he has been cajoling Amateurs in this forum for
>years now.

The only "cajoling" is the one who is an "amatuer" [sic].   :-)

>   And trust me, he KNOWS exactly the nature of this forum. He will once
>ridicule someone who uses the "it's USENET, not Amateur Radio" arguement >when
HE does not feel that the persons behaviour fits "The Amatuer's Code", but
>then he will himself launch into tirades and bursts of profanity, immediately
>humiliating himself and anyone else in his profession.

Please show evidence of "bursts of profanity."   :-)

It is not possible to "know exactly the nature of this forum." This
forum is about amateur radio policy. Those not licensed in the
amateur service "know nothing" about amateur radio (repeated
quote from the quoted individual) therefore cannot "know exactly"
anything about this forum. :-)

From 3055091272975095464

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:04:32 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 03:03:40 GMT
References: <3ADF4EB1.B367564F@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010419230340.00244.00000052@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19820

In article <3ADF4EB1.B367564F@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>The point is, the discussion was in regard to 'justifying' amateur
>allocations. If the FCC were to change its stance and decide that the
>allocations were no longer 'justified', the amateur spectrum would
>presumably be reallocated.

In that hypothetical future, unjustified allocations would more likely result in cancellation of the particular radio service.

>In the past few years a prevalent means for
>allocating frequencies has been by auction.

Untrue. Auctions have been used by the FCC to select a service provider, national or regional, for a particular radio service in frequency bands already selected and offered by the FCC.

The FCC explains this in the "FCC Handbook."

>Unlike other bidding
>processes, things being sold at auction go to the highest bidder rather
>than to the lowest bidder.

What other auctions sell to the "lowest bidder?!?"   :-)

What particular amateur radio bands are now under consideration, or even hinted at being under consideration for reallocation? Let the world know so that the black helicopters may be properly fueled.

From -9083450567172687466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:12:40 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:13:02 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3ADFA914.9AAAB53D@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net> <XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org> <3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org> <3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.85
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987736359 4158 207.144.64.85 (20 Apr 2001 03:12:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 03:12:39 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19821

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> That's not the one I was complaining
> about. Last Sunday, I tried to buy a
> beer at 11:55am. They wouldn't sell
> it to me until 12:00, five minutes
> later.

Those blue laws are based on community standards, Cecil. Your community obviously has a good number of people who, for whatever reason (religion, or they just don't like drunks), don't want people to buy beer on Sunday. If those laws were truly based solely on religion, there would be no beer sales at all (any day of the week).

> Radio waves are natural occurrences and
> ignorance is not proof of non-existence.
> Please provide some objective evidence
> that a supernatural realm exists.

Hey, you started this, Cecil. Why don't you offer objective evidence that a supernatural realm does not exist? Sorry, your claim that it doesn't exist is opinion, not objective evidence.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 7363281075661513534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:17:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 03:17:13 GMT
References: <3ADF0029.1CFE3955@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010419231713.10634.00000057@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19822
```

In article <3ADF0029.1CFE3955@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>> This is not ""Chicken Little" nor is it any misplaced paranoia. It's happening, and it IS our problem. If WE do not take steps, within the framework of FCC regulations and other civil law, to stop this, it is OUR fault. We cannot allow it to go any further.
>
>What can we do?

1) Tape-record the intruder's transmissions
2) Send the tape and a letter detailing the intruder transmissions to the FCC. Include date, time, frequency, signal strength, bean heading (if directional antenna used), and any toher pertinent info you can think to include. Send them to Riley Hollingsworth.

Cassette tapes are the preferred medium. Reports alone won't do it - the FCC wants tapes of the actual transmissions.

DON'T make contact with the intruders, or try to deliberately interfere with them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4575144952806597525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:17:30 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 250
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 03:17:15 GMT
References: <20010418200750.14184.00000011@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010419231715.10634.00000058@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19823

In article <20010418200750.14184.00000011@nso-mj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>Do the vast majority of users of the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it
>>>>exists today, obey the rules of that service?
>>>
>>>As far as I can tell, yes. :-)
>>
>>The smiley tells me you are not serious about that answer.
>
>Mistake. Error. Incorrect.

If you WERE serious about that answer, Len, why the smiley?

>"Lie."

WHo is lying? Not me. I saw what you wrote and commented that the ":-)" indicated to me that you were not serious in your answer. That's the truth. So if there is a "lie" involved, it's not mine.
>
>The smiley indicates the expected response from those who
>hear evil Citizen Boogeymen everywhere thousands of miles
>from another's geographic location. Their receivers exceed
>the physical limitations of radio, electronics, and the ionosphere
>beyond rational beings' capabilities.

What ARE you talking about?
>
>>>Why does Miccolis ask?
>>
>>Just wanted your opinion, Len.
>
>I don't think so.

Can you read minds? Are you God?

> Such a "question" submitted in public is a
>rather obvious troll.

No, it's just a question. I wanted your opinion. I'm still trying to figure out what you answer is supposed to mean. Please explain.

>If all that was wanted was an opinion,
>such could have been asked in private mail.

Why? The only reason I can see to move a discussion from a public forum to a private email is because one or both parties want the discussion to be private, for some reason. I saw no reason to for that. In addition, many people consider uninvited email to be "spam" - that is, unwelcome.
>
>>> This is not the proper newsgroup to discuss
>>>citizens band radio.
>>
>>I'll take that as an unsolicited opinion.
>
>It would be a mistake, an error, a faulty judgement to "take"
>it that way.

Why? It's just your opinion, not a provable or disprovable fact.

>The title of this newsgroup is Amateur Policy.

Yup. And what happens in other radio services can have a bearing on amateur radio policy. So discussing other services can be proper in this newsgroup.
>
>Citizen Boogeyman, er, excuse me, Citizens Band radio
>was created first in 1958 (UHF),

Check your sources, please, Len. I think you mean "1948".

> then augmented in 1959
>to add the 11 meter telecommand and communications
>classes.

That latter part is correct.

>Some radio amateurs have complained bitterly
>about the "theft" of their beloved, wholly-owned, ordained

>by God spectrum space on HF...for 42 years.

A few, sure. Not me.

US hams got the shared "11 meter" band immediately after WW2. It was added for a variety of reasons, the most obvious of which was cto compensate for the loss of 160 meters to LORAN. But in the years following WW2, hams got an exclusive 450 kHz band at 15 meters, and regained use of 160 meters a little at a time. The reallocation of 11 meters to other services was not a major loss.
>
>This newsgroup is titled as being for AMATEUR RADIO
>policy. Citizens Band Radio Service is not classified by
>the FCC as amateur radio, has its own Part within Title
>47 CFR (95).

True. But that is not the whole story. Actions by users of the CB service have had negative impacts upon amateur radio. For example:

- Hams are often blamed for RFI situations caused by CB users.
- The public often confuses the two services.
- Some CB users operate outside their assigned channels. Some of them even operate in the amateur bands.
- Amateur radio equipment has to meet certain FCC type-acceptance rules, not because of misuse by hams, but because of misuse by CB users.
- There was a concerted attempt in the mid 1970s by the EIA to get the FCC to reallocate the 220-225 MHz amateur band to a new "Class E" CB service. (This effort was, fortunately, defeated).

>>>>Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as
>>>>an example of how that Service should conduct itself?
>>>
>>>No. There are far too few channels for the number of users based
>>>on reception in the Greater Los Angeles region. That is a subjective
>>>opinion based on the number of "heterodynes" heard on each of the
>>>forty channels.

That's one of the reasons the FCC created the MURS, FRS and GMRS radio services.
>
>>OK
>
>Over a dozen years ago I came across some Electronic Industries
>Association (EIA) statistics indicating over 10 million CB radios
>had been sold to US customers. Those were the 11m voice units
>formerly called "Class D" in the 1959 regulations. In that same
>time period there were 40 channels allocated for CB voice. It is
>fairly obvious that, if all were on the air at the same time and spread
>evenly across the allocated spectrum, that would make it a quarter
>of a million users per channel.

Sure - but that's hardly a reasonable scenario, for many reasons. For example, many of those 10 million sets are no longer in use.
>

>The above is an exaggeration, of course. Not everyone is on the
>air at the same time nor are they spread evenly among 40
>channels.

And since there is no licensing, it is not possible to know how many users actually exist.

>Just the same, there is a crowding in a narrow
>EM spectral region that far exceeds what occurs in the most
>crowded amateur bands during DX contests. [some amateurs
>will object to that since they exaggerate ten to twenty to thirty
>ham stations vying for a rare DX attention as "thousands"]

Not at all, Len. The two scenarios are quite different.
>
>Is there any wonder that a few CB enthusiasts go beyond
>allocations into freebanding?

Yes, it's a wonder. CB operation is supposed to be done with low-power equipment, and limited to local operation.

>That's no excuse for illegal
>action on their part, but neither is it worthy of some Hue and
>Cry for Capital Punishment for the evildoers by some hams.

Who has called for capital punishment? Not me. I do think, however, that illegal operation should be prosecuted.
>
>Radio amateurs should police their own bands first in order
>to justify a self-righteous "opinion" of their own "superiority"
>in all radio.

We do. But the "police" power is actually quite limited. The FCC has to do the actual enforcement.
>
>>>>Would you hold up the Citizens Band Radio Service, as it exists today, as
>>>>an example of how the Amateur Radio Service should be conducted?
>>>
>>>No.
>>
>>OK
>
>That's a very blatant TROLL question, an attempt to manufacture
>some "dispute" for the sake of fighting, for a catharsis of personal
>opinion-and-frustration by the self-righteous.

Nonsense. You are the one who wants to pick a fight, Len. I just wanted your opinion.

>Standards and
>practices of today's amateur radio, including procedure, jargon,
>and everything else, is no role model for other radio services.

Why not?

>Such hasn't even been divinely ordained, yet is included in many
>amateur liturgical documents.

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.
>
>>> Why would that even be a question, other than trolling?   :-)
>>
>>Just wanted to know your opinion, Len. Thank you for sharing.
>
>You are welcome. It would be prudent to remember an old
>saying about "asking for what you want...you may get it."
>
>CB was created by the FCC 42 years ago.

Actually, 53 years ago, 1948. That was UHF, but it was CB.

>The 40 meter band
>allocation changes began at WARC-79, 22 years ago. That
>40 m issue HAS NOT BEEN SETTLED IN 22 YEARS' TIME.

The issue of 40 meters is a lot older than that. It goes back to at least 1947.

>
>The ARRL does not represent CB radio (see subject thread
>header of "Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can.").

Nobody I know of says the ARRL represents CB, or that it should do so. What's the problem?

> It represents
>US amateur radio (by their own claims).

All hams benefit by what the ARRL does.

>Both the ARRL and
>IARU keep talking about the 40 m issue, yet nothing but talk
>has been done yet to settle it. 22 years of such talk.

What would you have them do? Have you ANY idea of what the actual issues are, in the 40 meter question? Have YOU ever dealt with those issues?

> It would
>seem prudent to concentrate on amateur radio matters such as
>that rather than yet-another-castigation of CB radio.

When another radio service has an effect on amateur radio, that's a policy question that deserves attention.

Do you know why hams cannot buy external RF power amplifiers that work on the 10 or 12 meter amateur bands without modification? Do you know why they cannot build more than one amplifier of a given design for those bands per year?

>
>The 40 m issue does not concern me.

I am not surprised.

>Have a blast with it.
>
40 meters is my favorite band. I enjoy it very much. Hopefully, there will be even more to enjoy soon.

N2EY

```
From 948930895513484012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:38:24 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 20 Apr 2001 03:38:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79>
References: <3ADC80C2.1282B296@IEEE.org> <9bi066$7sk$1@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org>
<9biq3k$bnm$4@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0CA2.49EA3F70@IEEE.org>
<9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA8CB.AAC897D7@IEEE.org>
<3ADF13F3.8DCC7D34@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19824
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Technology has out-distanced the
> > abilities of the vast majority of hams.
>
> Yes, but not by as much as it has out-distanced it's other users.

Yes, and it has also far outdistanced the ability of *anyone* to keep abreast of all its various and widely divergent branches and variants. But ham radio is not all that far reaching in its basic objective and means- to communicate by radio, using whatever means is at our disposal.

Dick

From -3849351286904572276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-19 20:43:23 PST
Path: supernews.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3ADFB086.5DA4@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Are We going to Just Complain or Do something? Was: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <tdu2h96gk5f455@corp.supernews.com> <20010419124332.16462.00000248@ng-fc1.aol.com> <tduadve7p2k1e7@corp.supernews.com> <3ADF3E12.281A65FB@IEEE.org> <tduk6d2nivv4c1@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 68
Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:44:06 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.220
X-Trace: news2.atl 987738195 209.214.185.220 (Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:43:15 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001 23:43:15 EDT
Xref: supernews.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19825

Leland and all,

OK, I have spent the better part of 3 hours composing a detailed letter to my Representatives explaining the problem, and asking that funding be restored to FCC to deal with this illegal activity. I, for one, am angry over these unlawful intrusions onto the Amateur Bands, and the activities of others on other HF bands. I had to edit the letter down to 3 pages! LOL!

This may be futile. The Reps *might* ignore my letter, or feel that it is not important enough to warrant attention. Again, maybe not. To me, it beats sitting around just "gumming" the issue to death. This is our most useful weapon. We, as a group, can't just go out and start pinning up coax, or slapping the snot out of outlaws, but we CAN write enough letters to get the issue noticed. My letters will go out tomorrow, not only to my own Reps, but to Mssrs. Feingold and Ehlers as well since they are responsible for the local enforcement bill, and will be somewhat aware of the issue. True, I am not one of their constituents, but this is something that affects ALL of us as users of radio--some of us as users of other forms of utility comms besides Ham.

So. Who's joining me with YOUR letters?

73

Jerry
K4KWH

Leland C. Scott wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3ADF3E12.281A65FB@IEEE.org...
> > "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> > > This topic comes up from time to time on the CB news group. The
> "typical"
> > > answer I get, when asked why many freebanders, etc., don't get a
> license, is
> > > the comment I posted. They say "why get a hammie license when I can do
> > > everything you can do with out one."
> >
> > One they cannot do is talk to law-abiding hams, at least not for long.
> > Ask them how they talk to their ham friends.
>
> That's a nice question. I gave some thought to it a while back and there is
> a way, sort of. Each issue of QST has a rather large list of SK's published.
> All one would need to do is find an issue about 5 to 6 years old or more,
> then look for a SK listing whose call sign is in the same district where
> they live. This needs to be done to reduce the chance that somebody will
> notice the antenna is pointed the wrong way when they talk to them. A simple
> check on QRZ or Buckmaster will revel if the license is still cataloged as
> being "good", not expired, and what class it is, and what name to use on the
> air if it is looked up. The reason for picking from an old listing is to
> reduce the chance that somebody will "remember" working somebody with that
> particular call sign. Of course it is not fool proof, the spoofed call may
> have belonged to a well known and very active operator, or the other party
> knows the ham whose call it is is a SK! The other problem would be QSL cards
> too. I think if somebody plays their cards right they can get away with this
> for a good while.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
> me running, try to keep up. "

```
From -7323635081578913345
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 13:21:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:20:12 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3AE099FC.A2363F7F@uci.edu>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org>
<9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208> <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105>
<3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org> <3ADF404B.E4D3BE71@uci.edu>
<3ADF8929.8590E373@IEEE.org> <9bpq4v$cfn$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AE07615.43DFF672@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19500
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > He never needed to copy Morse code at more than 5wpm in order to use HF.
> > Why would you claim he was "kept off of HF"? Or was that just another
> > exaggeration.

> Quoting from the 1957 ARRL License Manual: "The privileges available
> to the Technician are all those amateur privileges in 50-54 and above
> 220 Mc., ..." His Novice license had expired after a year and the only
> way for him to continue as a ham was a Technician license with *zero* HF
> privileges. Yes Jim, as a 1958 Technician, he ***indeed*** was "kept
> off of HF".

Would I redeem myself if I said I feel sorry for him? How he must have
yearned all those long years to be liked by the other hams, and be
allowed to play reindeer games.

> > Seem it takes a lot more than one guy to change your mind about anything,
> > Cecil.

> Nope, all it takes is one person, Jim.

```
There's a 'last' time for anything, I suppose.

> Can you imagine a murderer's plea,
> "But, I only killed one person and I deserve mercy because of all the people
> I didn't kill."

No.  Only you would think of saying something that ridiculous.

ac6xg


From 6919908490088144136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 13:31:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:31:33 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3AE09CA5.C0816ACF@uci.edu>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
<MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com> <HDYD6.4321$D4.440224@www.newsranger.com>
<3ae07cfd.2551880@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19502

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 15:31:51 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:
>
> >In article <3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl says...
> >
> >>>NCI (which by the way is a registered trademark of the National Cancer
> >>>Institute)
> >>
> >>Who cares, we have nothing to do with the medical industry.

> >The National Cancer Institute probably cares a lot, since they use that little
> >"circle r" � thingy after their logo on their literature.
```

> The fact remains that people use acronyms for many things. I'm
> sure that one can find such acronyms of NCI used in many
> ways. Unless there's some deliberate effort to mislead folks,
> nobody cares.

You're probably okay to start soliciting charitable contributions to NCI
with a return envelope to your house then. ;-)

73, Jim AC6XG

From -7360820827879657341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 13:51:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:46:51 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3AE0A03B.9F50261D@uci.edu>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9blc13$esq$4@208.207.71.144> <3adfad1c.5766632@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19503

Bill Sohl wrote:
> ...it is only
> the code test that I oppose because it has no rational
> need to be.

But, but, you said you wanted to keep the code test, and score it in
with the written test. Why would you say you want to keep a test that
you also say has no rational need to be? That's quite a dichotomy
you're dealing with there.

ac6xg

From 7477723806707812290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 13:59:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 20:58:59 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3ae0a282.796136@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9blc13$esq$4@208.207.71.144> <3adfad1c.5766632@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE0A03B.9F50261D@uci.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.77.9b
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 20:59:18 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19504

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:46:51 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> ...it is only
>> the code test that I oppose because it has no rational
>> need to be.
>
>But, but, you said you wanted to keep the code test, and score it in
>with the written test. Why would you say you want to keep a test that
>you also say has no rational need to be? That's quite a dichotomy
>you're dealing with there.

For your clarification, then...
I oppose the code test as a separate pass/fail exam.
I have no problem with adding a couple of questions to
the theory syllabus relating to code as a mode. That would
put the code on an equal footing (as a mode) with all
others.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2988590981906398988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 14:11:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!

```
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 14:06:35 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3AE0A4DB.DC366977@uci.edu>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9blc13$esq$4@208.207.71.144> <3adfad1c.5766632@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE0A03B.9F50261D@uci.edu> <3ae0a282.796136@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19505



Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:46:51 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> ...it is only
> >> the code test that I oppose because it has no rational
> >> need to be.
> >
> >But, but, you said you wanted to keep the code test, and score it in
> >with the written test.  Why would you say you want to keep a test that
> >you also say has no rational need to be?  That's quite a dichotomy
> >you're dealing with there.
>
> For your clarification, then...
> I oppose the code test as a separate pass/fail exam.
> I have no problem with adding a couple of questions to
> the theory syllabus relating to code as a mode.

That doesn't sound like the same thing as scoring the code test in with
the written test.  Have you backed away from that now?

73, ac6xg


From -619042043164170857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 14:13:35 PST
```

```
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 21:12:50 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <3ae0a5b2.1612086@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
<MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com> <HDYD6.4321$D4.440224@www.newsranger.com>
<3ae07cfd.2551880@news.mindspring.com> <3AE09CA5.C0816ACF@uci.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.77.9b
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 21:13:16 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19506

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:31:33 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 15:31:51 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:
>>
>> >In article <3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl says...
>> >
>> >>>NCI (which by the way is a registered trademark of the National Cancer
>> >>>Institute)
>> >>
>> >>Who cares, we have nothing to do with the medical industry.
>
>> >The National Cancer Institute probably cares a lot, since they use that little
>> >"circle r" � thingy after their logo on their literature.
>
>> The fact remains that people use acronyms for many things.  I'm
>> sure that one can find such acronyms of NCI used in many
>> ways.  Unless there's some deliberate effort to mislead folks,
>> nobody cares.
>
>You're probably okay to start soliciting charitable contributions to NCI
>with a return envelope to your house then. ;-)

Or you can send to any of the following...

NCI Building Services
NCI Management
NCI Conference Calling
Network Concepts Inc
```

see also www.nci-usa.com
National Control Industries (NCI)
...
which are just a few of the entities found by
doing a Yahoo search using NCI.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 7762147556933856586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 14:17:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 21:16:53 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3ae0a68a.1827564@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9blc13$esq$4@208.207.71.144> <3adfad1c.5766632@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE0A03B.9F50261D@uci.edu> <3ae0a282.796136@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE0A4DB.DC366977@uci.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.77.9b
X-Server-Date: 20 Apr 2001 21:17:23 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19507

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 14:06:35 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:46:51 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> ...it is only
>> >> the code test that I oppose because it has no rational
>> >> need to be.
>> >
>> >But, but, you said you wanted to keep the code test, and score it in
>> >with the written test.  Why would you say you want to keep a test that
>> >you also say has no rational need to be?  That's quite a dichotomy
>> >you're dealing with there.
>>
>> For your clarification, then...
>> I oppose the code test as a separate pass/fail exam.
>> I have no problem with adding a couple of questions to
>> the theory syllabus relating to code as a mode.
>
>That doesn't sound like the same thing as scoring the code test in with
>the written test.  Have you backed away from that now?

If you are talking about integrating the 10 questions
of a morse test with the other written tests, you are
correct in that I see no reason to support that any more.
It was a hypothetical that showed how locked in the
code requirement mindset was.  The reality is that
it never was going to happen.  Had it actually gone forward,
I'd have supported it for the interim.  Now there is
absolutely no need to do so.  Times change, positions
change.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -8958690045367980286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 14:50:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AE0AED1.AEAC32AB@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 16:49:05 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org>
<9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208> <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105>
<3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org> <3ADF404B.E4D3BE71@uci.edu>
<3ADF8929.8590E373@IEEE.org> <9bpq4v$cfn$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AE07615.43DFF672@IEEE.org> <3AE099FC.A2363F7F@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987802970
64.240.47.131 (20 Apr 2001 16:42:50 -0500)
Lines: 33
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
```

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-
hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!newspeer.cts.com!feed1-
out.uncensored-news.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19508

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > He never needed to copy Morse code at more than 5wpm in order to use HF.
> > > Why would you claim he was "kept off of HF"?  Or was that just another
> > > exaggeration.
>
> > Quoting from the 1957 ARRL License Manual: "The privileges available
> > to the Technician are all those amateur privileges in 50-54 and above
> > 220 Mc., ..." His Novice license had expired after a year and the only
> > way for him to continue as a ham was a Technician license with *zero* HF
> > privileges. Yes Jim, as a 1958 Technician, he ***indeed*** was "kept
> > off of HF".
>
> Would I redeem myself if I said I feel sorry for him?  How he must have
> yearned all those long years to be liked by the other hams, and be
> allowed to play reindeer games.

When having nothing rational to say, you resort to sillyness? He was more
intelligent than I. He was better educated than I. He was more experienced
than I. He was more knowledgeable than I. The single advantage I had over
him was that I was biologically capable of 13wpm and he wasn't. It was/is
a biological condition beyond his control just like skin color or sex.
Walk a mile in his shoes and then get back to us.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 7461119749033945248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 14:53:22 PST
Message-ID: <3AE0AF8F.C28969BB@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 16:52:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <20010413135556.24219.00000012@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416071257.06622.00000720@ng-md1.aol.com>
<3adb81a2.1605768@news.mindspring.com> <9bge27$mlb$0@208.206.142.79>
<3addb65a.2862997@news.mindspring.com> <3ADE139E.88E55FE@IEEE.org>
<3ADF1A93.9B3D54B7@uci.edu> <3ADF1E8C.D4FE7ADE@IEEE.org>
<3ADF426B.8BD9469F@uci.edu> <3ADF89C7.1BFE0A97@IEEE.org>
<9bpqcl$cl8$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AE07BAD.27C20E75@IEEE.org>
<3AE0986A.EA5B35FF@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987803159
64.240.47.131 (20 Apr 2001 16:45:59 -0500)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!
feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19509

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > My computer shows that the post which suggested digging and filling an 8
> > > cubic yard hole 20 times was posted by W6RCecilA.  Are you claiming this
> > > information incorrect?  If not, then it was your idea, and I claim you are
> > > therefore responsible for beta testing it.  :-)
> >
> > Nope, it's Dick who favors work. If you read my posting, you know I said
> > I don't believe in work so I can't possibly be responsible.
>
> I'm absolutely sure you're the one who suggested a new kind of ham
```

> license that required an unprecidented amount of work. I'm equally sure
> that it wasn't Dick's idea.

I got the idea from Dick. I promise you that I am totally not responsible.
I absolutely hate responsibility and have promised myself never again to
be responsible.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2218891087615004191
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 15:01:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 14:54:57 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3AE0B031.CA3F8C83@uci.edu>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9blc13$esq$4@208.207.71.144> <3adfad1c.5766632@news.mindspring.com> <3AE0A03B.9F50261D@uci.edu> <3ae0a282.796136@news.mindspring.com> <3AE0A4DB.DC366977@uci.edu> <3ae0a68a.1827564@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19510

Bill Sohl wrote:
> >That doesn't sound like the same thing as scoring the code test in with
> >the written test. Have you backed away from that now?

> If you are talking about [you know what I'm talking about, Bill] integrating the
> 10 questions
> of a morse test with the other written tests, you are
> correct in that I see no reason to support that any more.
> It was a hypothetical that showed how locked in the
> code requirement mindset was.

Actually, what it was was the most defensible solution to the code test issue.

> The reality is that
> it never was going to happen. Had it actually gone forward,
> I'd have supported it for the interim. Now there is
> absolutely no need to do so. Times change, positions
> change.

Jeez, that seemed painful for you.

My recollection is that you did more than just support the idea, though. Weren't you the one who actually proposed it?

jim, ac6xg

```
From 7060788376480295657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,91ad9d130a8cc315,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 15:09:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: CB Rules Enforcement
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 18:05:37 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3ae0b2f1$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: f1efefc4.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=Vn_:oWn^O[YL:]83Yo7ZD[LoK8f=:?
J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWBmk_eBQLNMSlBFDaL\d^nU5DUZQV[g^[]
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19511
```

Did someone say that the FCC does not enforce CB Rules?

Check this out.

Larry

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
FCC Daily Digest, Vol. 20 No. 79, April 20, 2001

MR. xxxxx xxxxx.   Issued a $13,500 forfeiture against xxxxx xxxxx for operating a CB radio station with a non-type-accepted transmitter, with a transmitter output power greater than four watts carrier power in the AM mode, and with an external RF power amplifier. Action by: Chief, Enforcement Bureau. Adopted: 04/18/2001 by Forfeiture Order. (DA No. 01-974). ENF
<http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-01-974A1.pdf>
<http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-01-974A1.doc>
<http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-01-974A1.txt>

From -8419289254090096545
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 15:11:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 15:07:33 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3AE0B325.8B2A3BCC@uci.edu>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org> <9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208> <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105> <3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org> <3ADF404B.E4D3BE71@uci.edu> <3ADF8929.8590E373@IEEE.org> <9bpq4v$cfn$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AE07615.43DFF672@IEEE.org> <3AE099FC.A2363F7F@uci.edu> <3AE0AED1.AEAC32AB@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19512

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Would I redeem myself if I said I feel sorry for him? How he must have
> > yearned all those long years to be liked by the other hams, and be
> > allowed to play reindeer games.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

> When having nothing rational to say, you resort to sillyness?

You'd really know more about that sort of thing than I. Weren't you
"100,000 ft tall" when last sighted?

> He was more
> intelligent than I. He was better educated than I. He was more experienced
> than I. He was more knowledgeable than I. The single advantage I had over
> him was that I was biologically capable of 13wpm and he wasn't. It was/is
> a biological condition beyond his control just like skin color or sex.

Okay, alright already. I don't feel sorry for him anymore.

> Walk a mile in his shoes and then get back to us.

What size does he wear? I can't fit into anything much smaller than a
12.
Maybe I could just wear his hat instead.

:-) de ac6xg

From -3760605748114036559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 15:21:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 15:14:50 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3AE0B4DA.22DDD5DC@uci.edu>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
<MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<3ae04e8d.1313113@news.mindspring.com> <HDYD6.4321$D4.440224@www.newsranger.com>
<3ae07cfd.2551880@news.mindspring.com> <3AE09CA5.C0816ACF@uci.edu>
<3ae0a5b2.1612086@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19513

Bill Sohl wrote:

> >You're probably okay to start soliciting charitable contributions to NCI
> >with a return envelope to your house then. ;-)

> Or you can send to any of the following...
>
> NCI Building Services
> NCI Management
> NCI Conference Calling
> Network Concepts Inc
> see also www.nci-usa.com
> National Control Industries (NCI)
> ...
> which are just a few of the entities found by
> doing a Yahoo search using NCI.

Wow, you're right. I got 70 hits for 'NCI' at Yahoo. No Code
International wasn't among them though. Sorry about that, Bill.

AC6XG

From 5155757108720026831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 16:20:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 23:20:01 GMT
References: <3ADFBD7C.74DFA187@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010420192001.13993.00000135@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19514

In article <3ADFBD7C.74DFA187@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>> Having said that, won't you join me in writing to your Congressional
>> Representatives and asking that funding be restored to FCC to combat
>> this problem of illegal operations that has far-reaching consequences
>> if it is not stopped. Tis the only weapon we have!
>
>How about a downloadable form letter? That's what the NRA does.

GREAT IDEA!! Just fill it out and stuff it in a Jiffy bag with the tape cassette.

Works for me!

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 5934801579656954773
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 16:32:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:29:43 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 987809552 43427 63.87.116.25 (20 Apr 2001 23:32:32 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Apr 2001 23:32:32 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15096
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19515

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> The problem is not morality or depravity or righteousness, the problem
> is that there is no excuse or rationale for the Boy Scouts to get
> involved in matters involving sex one way or another.

Wrong.

Adolescents must be protected from those who would exploit their
interest in sexuality. I have no problem with the idea of homosexual
males being on GIRL Scout overnights, I have a real problem with

heterosexual males being there.

If you don't see the risk of having homosexuals leading troops on
campouts, then you are broken.

From 3886890180412678778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 16:34:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:31:37 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AE0C6D9.89C5E8F3@bctv.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 987809666 43427 63.87.116.25 (20 Apr 2001 23:34:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Apr 2001 23:34:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19516

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
> > Why, then, did you attack all laws which "assume the existence of a
> > God"?
>
> If you can find the word "all" in my posting, I'll give you $100.

If you can show me where you state or implied any division between one
group of laws and another, I'll give that $100 to you.

> > BTW, if you can't wait 5 minutes before you start you Sunday drunk, then
> > perhaps you have a problem.
>

> I've got better things to do than hang out at the Taylor, TX 7-11.

Then sleep in another 5 minutes.

```
From 5788757698028222194
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 16:35:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!news.cc.utah.edu!news.aros.net!not-
for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:32:43 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AE0C71B.23AB61C@bctv.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3ADFB08D.9B25F2CA@sccoast.net> <3ADFB90B.5F1C736A@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 987809732 43427 63.87.116.25 (20 Apr 2001 23:35:32 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 20 Apr 2001 23:35:32 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19517
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >   Your exact words were, "because I am forced to live under a set of
> > laws that assumes, without
> > proof, the existence of a God." Since you did not limit the scope of
> > the laws you mentioned in any way, than it is only reasonable for a
> > person to assume your were talking about all of them. Instead, it now
> > appears you were talking about one law (no alcohol sales on Sunday) as
> > that plural "set of laws."
>
> If I had meant "all", I would have used the word "all".

If you had meant something less than all, it is contingent on you
(making the assertion) to specify a subgroup.

From 8300735522004911754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 16:57:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 Apr 2001 23:56:24 GMT
References: <MPG.154a1da98b32eb539896e0@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420195624.12023.00000328@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19518

>From: funguy@hotjuno.com

> Sorry Bill, he set the rules a year or so ago, especially when it came to Ed
> and the ARRL. He sets them, he plays by them.

I went round and round on this one with both Carl and Bill. They came around
to my way of thinking and now both agree that what I post is my personal
opinion, unless obviously otherwise from statement or context.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 398093704647841822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 17:01:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 00:00:53 GMT
References: <9bpjck$mmu$0@216.155.32.187>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!

Message-ID: <20010420200053.12023.00000329@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19519

>From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com

>> I still like your original idea of having a Morse code test as a part of
>>the overall exam, with a reasonable number of questions about the content of
>>sent text being included in the written exam. It is a compromise that puts
>>Morse code on the same par as other operating modes and techniques that are
>> "occasionally used" within the Service. Completely eliminating Morse code
>> testing appears to be letting the pendulum swing too far in the opposite
>> direction.

>So, you're saying that the ARRL should fight tooth and nail to preserve,
>support,
>and defend the remaining 5 WPM Morse code testing requirement for a General-
>or Extra-class license?

No, I am saying:

>> I still like your original idea of having a Morse code test as a part of
>>the overall exam, with a reasonable number of questions about the content of
>>sent text being included in the written exam. It is a compromise that puts
>>Morse code on the same par as other operating modes and techniques that are
>> "occasionally used" within the Service. Completely eliminating Morse code
>> testing appears to be letting the pendulum swing too far in the opposite
>> direction.

Restating my words to make them say something that they did not is a pretty odd
thing to do, Larry. Why did you do it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4368077445210466656
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 17:03:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 00:02:22 GMT
References: <3AE03F80.96AF6104@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420200222.12023.00000330@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19520

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>W1RFI wrote
:
>> I realize you think you are superior, Larry, but you really should
>> draw the line somewhere.

>Psssst Ed, did you know that 99% of Larry's DNA is virtually
>identical to a chimpanzee's? :-)

I read that somewhere. As I thought about it, I realized that which 99% is identical is a critical difference between us all.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1463982074808083339
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 17:05:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 00:05:19 GMT
References: <9bpoer$7b2$2@208.207.71.134>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420200519.12023.00000331@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19521

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>>Everyone can continue to do everything they have
>> ever done in ham radio.

> Yep, "Gimme my privilege, and shove your assertions of personal
>responsibility."

I have no additional privileges do earn, Dick. Can you explain why you said that to me?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

>
>
>
>

From 3823410099274559252
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 17:08:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 00:08:05 GMT
References: <9bpl8c$pm4$0@216.155.32.187>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420200805.12023.00000332@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19522

>From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com

>One thousand hours is 41.666 days. I went from nothing to 20 WPM in
>probably 100 hours or less of sending/receiving practice, including scores
>of on-the-air QSO's. I seriously doubt that your friend invested anything
>close to 1,000 hours of code practice. Is there anyone out here that can
believe
>Cecil's claim?

It seemed excessive to me. I would believe hundreds of hours, but anyone who
takes thousands of hours to realize they are undertaking a task that is beyond
their ability has a pretty shaky grasp of practical reality.

Cecil, can I assume there was some exaggeration there?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>BTW, I am completely empathetic wrt your friend's "biological handicap,"
>if one actually exists. However, I don't subscribe to the notion that
>having
>an amateur radio license of any particular privilege level is any kind of
>"right."
>There will be certain people who, no matter what happens, can not be
>included among the rolls of radio amateurs. Life is like that. I wasn't
>able

>to make a career as an Airline Captain because of my eyesight. Do you
>think an affirmative action program should have been in place to prevent
>me from being "excluded" due to my "handicap?" Remember, with my
>eyeglasses, I have BETTER than 20-20 vision. Airline pilots whose vision
>deteriorates with age and require corrective lenses are allowed to use them
>without being denied their pilot's licenses. So why wasn't I even
>considered
>for this type of work just because I would have been wearing corrective
>lenses from the start? Nevertheless, I accepted the requirements in place
>for
>both a commercial pilot's license and the Amateur Radio Service. Your
>friend proved he was able to live without HF amateur radio privileges just
>as well as I was able to live without a career as an aviator. Your argument
>
>has made absolutely zero points here.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -1304297422487616935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 17:10:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 00:10:08 GMT
References: <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010420201008.12023.00000333@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19523

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> You are full of misinterpretations, Ed.

>But neither do I agree with Cecil and you that hams need not know anything

> serious about radio technology.

You must be confused again, Dick; I believe that hams need to learn more about
modern radio technology than they are learning right now.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5714837110540935161
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 17:16:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 00:15:20 GMT
References: <MPG.154a00b1e3ec75509896de@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420201520.12180.00000363@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19524

>From: funguy@hotjuno.com

>Here's a test Ed. When the next big dx-pedition is on the air. Try
>working your qrp signal against the SSB boys. Betcha a nice lobster
>dinner at Ruths Crist you never make it through. Betcha 2 dinners,
>you're never even heard :-o

Of course, you would have to come out of the closet to pay up, so I am not sure
this is a valid bet. :-)

Tell you what; lets wait until the next DX phone test and I will set up a sked
with a QRPer 500 miles away right on top of a Canadian running 'em. I am sure
we can make the QSO.

If you tell me who you are, I will take you up on your bet.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 186699091864522541
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 17:18:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 00:17:03 GMT
References: <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010420201703.12180.00000364@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19525

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Careful Ed, your True Colors are beginning to shine.

And what, pray tell, are those true colors, Dick?

I am also curious what method you use to be able to read peoples thoughts, emotions and motivations? Are you rich, because anyone who can do that consistently could really make a lot of money relatively easily. Or are you only able to do this on rrap?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5466951978895329424
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7c5ddf60e53b0d25,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 18:01:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Warren Trimble <wtrimble@charter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: License renewal
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 21:03:41 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3AE0DC6D.195CE3D1@charter.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 9
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19527

Folks

I have a "tech-plus" (technician plus 5wpm code) license that I received
in 1991 that is up for renewal in July. With the new fcc rules, what
class would that be renewed to and/or would any additonal testing be
needed? Thanks.

N8PLB

From -1015780894250626035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7c5ddf60e53b0d25
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 18:09:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Sender: usenet@www.newsranger.com
References: <3AE0DC6D.195CE3D1@charter.net>
Subject: Re: License renewal
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <B45E6.4971$D4.511906@www.newsranger.com>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 01:08:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.208.22.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
X-Trace: www.newsranger.com 987815329 209.208.22.130 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 21:08:49
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 21:08:49 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19528

In article <3AE0DC6D.195CE3D1@charter.net>, Warren Trimble says...

>I have a "tech-plus" (technician plus 5wpm code) license that I received
>in 1991 that is up for renewal in July. With the new fcc rules, what
>class would that be renewed to and/or would any additonal testing be
>needed? Thanks.

It would renew as a "Technician" license with 5WPM, thus eligible
for the same priveleges you have now. ("Novice" HF privs plus
everything above 30MHz)

With one additional written exam you could upgrade to General.

With still another written exam you could upgrade to Extra.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From -6813832182407773l8
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 18:24:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <MPG.154aabcd7903cdfb9896e2@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.154a1da98b32eb539896e0@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420195624.12023.00000328@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 33
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 01:24:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.116.173
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987816250 209.245.116.173 (Fri, 20 Apr
2001 18:24:10 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 18:24:10 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 18:22:48 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19529

In article <20010420195624.12023.00000328@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
says...
> >From: funguy@hotjuno.com
>
> > Sorry Bill, he set the rules a year or so ago, especially when it came to Ed
> > and the ARRL.  He sets them, he plays by them.
>
> I went round and round on this one with both Carl and Bill.  They came around
> to my way of thinking and now both agree that what I post is my personal
> opinion, unless obviously otherwise from statement or context.
>
```

```
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Please.  They both realized that they had set standards that they were
being judged by.  After trying to weasel out of holding themselves to the
same standard they set, the NCI board MADE them concede.  This way they
could go about bad mouthing everything around them that didn't agree to
follow the rest of the group.  Archives show that Carl hated everything
about the ARS, especially the ARRL.

BTW..after all his posturing about the elimination of the code..or the
reduction and all the great things he could do but couldn't/wouldn't, it
strikes me as funny that he never used his get of jail free card and bag
that Extra license.  HHHmmmmm



--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)


From -4070618525289632911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 19:27:39 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 02:24:52 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae0761a.269909215@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <TbZD6.4371$D4.445444@www.newsranger.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.157
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.157
X-Trace: 20 Apr 2001 21:21:34 -0500, 64.24.18.157
Lines: 28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19530

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 16:10:27 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:

>In article <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com>, Steve Robeson LPN
>says...
>
>>>Being responsible, cooperative citizens obviously means nothing. Maybe
```

```
>>>it's time for a ham radio class action suit against the FCC for
>>>negligence.
>>
>>     You may have an idea.
>>
>
>A dump huck idea, since the FCC is a federal agency.  Your grip on reality seems
>to have expired.
>
>Good luck on this one now!
>
You want the list of how many times private citizens and groups
thereof have imposed reality checks on laggard and/or wayward federal
regulatory agencies via the courts?
>
They're supposed to be preserving law and order in public assets.
They're not. That's negligence and I'm a taxpayer.
>
>
>72.5, de Hans, K0HB
>
w3rv


From -2071477379840341080
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 19:34:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 21 Apr 2001 02:34:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19531
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > In your view all anyone needs to know is how to consume.
>
> Exactly how many vacuum tubes have you built by hand, Dick?
> How many capacitors have you ever rolled out of foil and
> paper? How many pencil leads have you converted to resistors?
> How many grains of silicon have you ever found at the beach
> that would amplify a signal? The only difference between the
> time you got your ham license and now is the level of
> integration. Your black boxes were tubes. Today's black
> boxes are transceivers. The basic concept has not changed.
> Are you really that blind to reality?

What kind of nonsense is all that?? Of course I don't build my own vacuum tubes nor caps and resistors, but I have a lifetime supply, probably 6K tubes, along with a lot of transistors and IC's and scads of other hard to find parts. So what? Do you build your own pickup trucks? Why are you trying to gete stupid on us?
If you believe that ham radio and CB are one and the same,why not just come right out and say so instead of all this dancing around the subject?

Maybe Bruce was right-- "No CW, it's just CB". Why don't you just overtly acknowledge it instead of trying so hard to ease us into the idea?

From 9124801941521046524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 19:38:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 21 Apr 2001 02:38:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9bqrqg$57b$0@208.207.71.151>
References: <9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138> <20010419080910.13630.00000109@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9bn2b9$s7m$0@208.206.142.105>
<AdED6.3113$D4.302797@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19532
```

K0HB wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll said:
>
> > The history I read says that it was all amateur radio initially,
> > even Marconi himself always claimed to be an amateur.
>
> Marconi was businessman and a promoter. Contrary to popular myth,
> he never invented a thing, but took available technology and showcased
> it to promote his business. Today we would call him a "system integrator".

MOrse didn't invent the code that bears his name, either, ALfred Vail
did. Morse was klutzing aroiund with using numbers for words, and was
really upset when he saw what Vail had done to so seriously upstage him.

>
> His "support" for amateur radio was a shrewd ploy to ensure a large
> supply of QRM for the Navy, so that they would buy his more selective
> receivers.

Makes a good story. May even have a grain of truth in it. If so, power
to him, at least he had what it took to get radio off the ground. Others
who came before didn't get it done.

```
From 7328955960617076287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 19:42:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 21 Apr 2001 02:42:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151>
References: <9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138> <20010419080910.13630.00000109@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9bn2b9$s7m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADF1D9B.C0776BC0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19533

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > It is curious
> > that many posting her in opposition of code testing would rather not
> > have any communications capability rather than to acknowledge that
> > radiotelegraphy, in fully successful use for over a century, be kept
> > available within the ARS.
>
> Nobody wants to make radiotelegraphy unavailable within the ARS.

Mahybe YOU don't. That doesn't mean others are of the same mind, whatever they say for publication.

Some
> of us simply want radiotelegraphy to stand on its own merits and not
> be artificially propped up by a governmental affirmative action program.

Which is tantamount to it's demise. When no one is required to learn it, it is gone,gone,gone in less than a generation.

Why do I really believe that is a huge mistake? From another venue:

"A book with the simple title "Complexity" says that all self-adaptive systems (including us) grow in complexity until we reach the edge of chaos, where being competent is defeated by random events beyond our ability to predict or control."

I have seen too many failures of high technology to believe that it is the total answer to all human needs. It only gets worse with added complexity. When will the lockup occur? Do YOU know?

From 33935266979233119
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 19:47:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 02:46:30 GMT

Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3ae0f456.2080006@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9blc13$esq$4@208.207.71.144> <3adfad1c.5766632@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE0A03B.9F50261D@uci.edu> <3ae0a282.796136@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE0A4DB.DC366977@uci.edu> <3ae0a68a.1827564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE0B031.CA3F8C83@uci.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.e7
X-Server-Date: 21 Apr 2001 02:46:58 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19534

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 14:54:57 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >That doesn't sound like the same thing as scoring the code test in with
>> >the written test. Have you backed away from that now?
>
>> If you are talking about [you know what I'm talking about, Bill] integrating the > 10 questions
>> of a morse test with the other written tests, you are
>> correct in that I see no reason to support that any more.
>> It was a hypothetical that showed how locked in the
>> code requirement mindset was.
>
>Actually, what it was was the most defensible solution to the code test
>issue.
>
>> The reality is that
>> it never was going to happen. Had it actually gone forward,
>> I'd have supported it for the interim. Now there is
>> absolutely no need to do so. Times change, positions
>> change.
>
>Jeez, that seemed painful for you.
>
>My recollection is that you did more than just support the idea,
>though. Weren't you the one who actually proposed it?

Yes, I proposed it and it was also printed in QST.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -6324776732941276166
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 20:07:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 21 Apr 2001 03:07:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 97
Message-ID: <9bqtgn$apj$0@208.207.71.151>
References: <3adfaecc.6198179@news.mindspring.com>
<20010420081835.12859.00000261@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19535

W1RFI wrote:
>

> Let's put it to the test. Larry, what it the complete and insightful present situation in the ARS wrt the following topics:

I'd like a shot at these:

>
> Ultrawideband

Unless you're referring to SS we don't have any wideband, by regulation.

> Spread spectrum

Useful in many venues. I have a cordless telephone which works great. As for ham radio, it is clear where we are with it - nowhere. We can't begin to agree on what the consequences of use of SS are on HF, and it'll be years before that question is adequately addressed.

> Digital voice

Same as above. In ham radio we don't have any, and it doesn't appear

likely we will in the foreeable future. What would be the point?
Ham radio responds to two stimula:
1). developements which solve a need, such as development of SSB which did indeed solve the horrendous band congestion of the late years of the AM days. Anyone who experienced the wall-to-wall heterodynes of 75 and 20 meters in the 50's-60's knows.

2.) New developments which are attractive from an operational point of view, including efficient use of bandspace and power. PACGTOR and PSK31 are two notables. I know that engineers and theorists see great things for digital voice. I have serious reservations that the ham radio populace will agree that the massive convesions needed will be in their best interests given that there is presently no imperitive need. I know that time and proofs of some of the finer benefits will slowly persuade hams that there may be reasons to go digital voice, but I believe that will take longer than the conversion from AM to SSB. The glarin gneed is just not there.

> Home Phone Networking

QRM, QRM, QRM. Sanctioned by our very own FCC. I yelled as loud about that when it was in the NPRM stage as anything I've ever yelled about, both to FCCand my congressman. I imagtine many others did, too. To no avail, as is clear.

> Synthetic aperture radar

Not familar with this one.

> Periodic emitters

More "help" from Friendly Cousin Charlie. Live with it, I guess.

>
> Just to pick a few that I know well enough to be able to judge your response.
>
> IMHO, anyone who thinks he has a complete and insightful understanding of all
> of the issues surrounding Amateur Radio is egomaniacal beyond belief. I realize
> you think you are superior, Larry, but you really should draw the line
> somewhere.

A long-experienced Extra class ham is not a superior performer to the many beginners in ham radio? What is this, becoming a Communist hobby/League? No body said "better" or "exclusive". Despite all your verbiage in criticism, the newcomers know...

Hey, we're paying you to be the expert, Ed, and to handle such stuff for us. If no new hams need to be even minimally technically competent what's your problem?
I know of no better reason to pay my membership dues than to hire you and others to keep ham radio afloat, If you guys can't get it done, well, either we'll have to find someone better at it or give it up.

And again, you have done very well at holding down your end of things. I still think that Newington could have done a lot better job of liason with the FCC in the past couple decades. They need to know us on a first name basis, and that first name should not be Squiggy.

```
From 2150688263621283666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 20:14:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 21 Apr 2001 03:14:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <9bqtut$cbb$0@208.207.71.151>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
<MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<fHXD6.4244$D4.431996@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19536
```

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
> says...
>
> > Waffle all you want, but eliminate these protected
> > areas and you eliminate code and digital modes as
> > the SSB hordes will descend upon them faster than
> > Gengis did on China.
>

> This "protection" exists in the regulations of only a small number of countries worldwide. The vast majority the ITU signatory countries do not divide the amateur bands up be mode, yet we do not see "hordes" of Canadian or Japanese or Chinese SSB signals descending on the CW end of any band.

You don't see "hordes" of hams of any type there. But don't tell me that we don't experience QRM from Canadian SSB stations in the US CW bands. Maybe you're a little close to them, I am not. Imagfine what THEY would experience if every US ratchetjaw with a bloated KW could do SSB down there. They might as well toss in the towel, right along with ALL low powered CW. I rarely ever use an amplifier these days, but I can assure you, it'd be KW day every day on CW if I had to compete with the smug SSBers all the way down.

The subbands were put inplace initially for a very good reason, a reason which still exists. To change that would introduce chaos unknown heretofore.

>
> Even in the US, 160 meters has no such "protection", yet SSB, CW, and even AM stations seem to coexist just fine on that band.

Yeah- the hndful of hams who can get enough antenna to radiate a useful signal on the band. We gripe about CCCR's and the severe antenna restrictioins they cause, and we work around them. But not effectively on 160 meters.

>
> Waffle all you want, but the evidence disproves your "the sky will fall on CW" jeremiad.

If that's what you use for evidence the trial is certain to be a flop.

From -1696518532932917175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,91ad9d130a8cc315
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 20:16:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 3
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 03:16:27 GMT
References: <3ae0b2f1$0$88192$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)

Subject: Re: CB Rules Enforcement
Message-ID: <20010420231627.12474.00000353@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19537

Bravo!

73 de Bert

From 6747245488845836371
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7c5ddf60e53b0d25
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 20:19:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AE0DC6D.195CE3D1@charter.net>
Subject: Re: License renewal
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:18:44 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3ae0fc54$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 2d83ef98.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=5M20P2okBa<NZUVAGj=[M>LoK8f=:?J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7JamboPPn?@;lBFDaL\d^n5[gD7laO1o>4
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19538

Your Technical Plus license will be renewed as Technician, and it is general believed that you will retain your current Technician Plus HF privileges. You must save all of your old FCC licenses and VEC CSCEs to insure you have proof of passing the 5 wpm code exam.

You are not required to take any additional exams. However, I would recommend that you take the Element 3 written test (35 questions) as soon as possible, which will advance you General class licensee.

Larry kc8epo

"Warren Trimble" <wtrimble@charter.net> wrote in message

```
news:3AE0DC6D.195CE3D1@charter.net...
> Folks
>
> I have a "tech-plus" (technician plus 5wpm code) license that I received
> in 1991 that is up for renewal in July.  With the new fcc rules, what
> class would that be renewed to and/or would any additonal testing be
> needed?  Thanks.
>
> N8PLB
>



From 7186111091148924533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 20:23:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:23:40 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3AE0FD0E.9555BC26@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.17
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987823396 22899 207.144.228.17 (21 Apr 2001 03:23:16
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 03:23:16 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15103
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19539

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> There's a huge trash underway at very
> high levels in the BSA heirarchy over
> this bullshit. Individual troops have
> cut their ties with the organization
> and continuing on their own. There are
```

> rumblings at the council levels about
> pulling out of the national organization.

And, if the Boy Scouts didn't have this policy, and one of the boys were molested, there would be multi-million dollar lawsuits involved. Look at the pile of lawsuits directed at the Catholic Church after several "gay" church leaders molested some boys. What other choice does the Boy Scouts have? In my opinion, this policy is an absolute necessity.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 3275258921643021203
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 20:30:33 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 03:30:15 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae0f67e.302770401@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ADFBD7C.74DFA187@IEEE.org> <20010420192001.13993.00000135@nso-bh.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.54
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.54
X-Trace: 20 Apr 2001 22:26:53 -0500, 64.24.18.54
Lines: 34
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19540

On 20 Apr 2001 23:20:01 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>>How about a downloadable form letter? That's what the NRA does.
>
>GREAT IDEA!! Just fill it out and stuff it in a Jiffy bag with the tape
>cassette.
>
>Works for me!
>

Yup, not a bad idea at all. Bury 'em under a pile of tapes & letters. The last thing the FCC wants is pointed questions from Congressmen about anything and a heap of tapes oughta generate some of those questions.
>

Which of course the FCC would dump right back on the Congresscritters
for not having enforcement monies. But somebody gotta make a stink out
of it to get the ball rolling or nothing will happen again.
>
As far as hams really getting the goods on the sumbitches is concerned
hams can't DF 'em with tribanders and tapes are all stale
privately-gathered post-facto evidence. Would not carry much if any
weight in legal proceedings.
>
On the other hand the FCC monitoring stations have very sophisticated
DFing capablities, they can nail a transmitter location within a block
or two from a half continent away in real time. We need an 800 number
hotline to the monitoring stations so that we can put the FCC directly
on 'em in the act. Or maybe a pasword-protected instant messaging
link.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv

From -4776813475360968624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 20:35:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:35:25 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <3AE0FFCC.47574BCE@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3ADFB08D.9B25F2CA@sccoast.net> <3ADFB90B.5F1C736A@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.17
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987824100 23389 207.144.228.17 (21 Apr 2001 03:35:00
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 03:35:00 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19541

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >   Your exact words were, "because I am
> > forced to live under a set of laws that
> > assumes, without proof, the existence
> > of a God." Since you did not limit the
> > scope of the laws you mentioned in any
> > way, than it is only reasonable for a
> > person to assume your were talking about
> > all of them. Instead, it now appears
> > you were talking about one law (no
> > alcohol sales on Sunday) as that plural
> > "set of laws."
>
> If I had meant "all", I would have used
> the word "all". The particular set of laws
> to which I was objecting are known in
> Texas as the "blue laws".

Read your sentence again, Cecil. You said the "set of laws" you are "forced to live under." There is only one Blue Law that you live under - the one in your county or town. So where are the plural "set of laws?" ;-)

> > So what belief of mine are you going to
> > attack inan effort to get me to change
> > my mind?
>
> All of them that forcefully violate my
> inalienable rights.

You have an inalienable right to buy alcohol on Sunday? Lets not get carried away, Cecil. More importantly, lets not reduce inalienable rights to trivial matters like alcohol consumption.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2572803632940309965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 20:45:05 PST

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 03:44:19 GMT
References: <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010420234419.12474.00000360@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19542

Why sure Jerry,

I'll be quite honest though. I'll "rat" out anybody whose illegal operation interferes with my (read our) legal operation. That merely means that I basically take a similar stance to the FCC's "apparant position; You need to attract my attention first. I will NOT be sitting around monitoring the freeband and keeping a log, etc. An errant freebander needs to slip the noose around his own neck. Please don't tell me that they do that by just operating in the freeband, there are many low power stations that we will never hear unless we go looking for them. Quite frankly, I've got better things to do ON the amateur bands rather than OFF on 11 meters.

I have done, do now, and will continue to take a very proactive stance against those who encroach upon our 10 and 12 meter bands. No, I don't lump them all into the same basket. The trucker running a 500 watt amp transmitting on channel 19, "2 up" in the 10 meter ham band greatly concerns me. The guy running 25 watts into a 3 element yagi with good RF grounding who works some DX in the freeband does not. (Besides, that's the guy who'll eventually move up to bigger and better things and get his ticket.) Some might disagree with me and that's ok, that's what makes the world go around. As for letters to my local and congressional representatives, I'm way ahead of you...on a variety of RF related matters.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -6487728893163476216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 20:53:58 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!
sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae08260.3930965@news.mindspring.com>

Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <qv7E6.3549$tx5.89993@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 03:53:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 987825238 209.204.160.101 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 20:53:58 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 20:53:58 PDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19543

It's probably more than double that, but think of what your city or county pays experts to testify in criminal proceedings. While these would most likely be misdemeanor offences, a typical community would only need a few high-profile cases and the rest would back off.

Ron N6AHA

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ae08260.3930965@news.mindspring.com...
> On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 16:02:51 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:
>
> >Jerry:
> >
> >You raise some good points about allowing local governments to enforce
rules
> >against illegal CB equipment. Perhaps the best way for local governments
to
> >proceed is to enlist the help of hams in the area who can work as a
> >volunteer advisory committee to point out offenders. Just as local
> >jurisdictions have competent engineering help in other technical areas,
they
> >can hire commercial engineering firms to help prosecute offenders they
have
> >identified.
>
> Such "competent commercial engineering" help costs over $100
> an hour.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

From 3327266184501988456
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:02:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:02:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19544

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > CW can overcome much QRM but no one wants to have to tolerate that sort
> > of crap continuously, few will do it. A mode war would soon ensue and I
> > imagine FCC realizes that.
>
> Don't know a lot about foreign amateur radio, do you, Dick?

No, although I was DL4RQ for a couple years in the early 60's. Things are sure different on the bands when you leave our shores. I even find it radically different on the bands when on the West coast than here in the center of the country. Probably because there, on one side is nothing but water, with all the ham activity to the East instead of on all sides as here. You may experience some of that from near the south border.

I can tell you that nowhere on the planet exists active hams running higher power in the numbers found in the USA, and for sure not the independent minded individuals. As Larry said, in most other countries most everyone does what they are told, including hams. Here? Well,....

The sheer numbers alone would soon close down all but QRO CW in the event FCC abolished all band mode segments. Since there are far fewer CW ops running high power than SSB ops, the end result is obvious. Many, if not most CW ops would just toss in the towel before long, and quit ham radio. There are many who are on the edge of it now. I know several who have been inactive for years but keep their tickets.

```
From 3997116117397699303
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:04:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:04:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9br0rm$mp3$1@208.207.71.151>
References: <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <20010419201736.18912.00000049@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9boe2u$jgt$0@208.206.142.79> <3ADFBDF7.DFD41416@IEEE.org>
<9bpo3u$7b2$0@208.207.71.134> <3AE07B4F.59418C1A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19545



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Exactly what are we giving up, Dick? Have you lost any privileges?
> >
> >  Nope. But its easy to see that you are of the "gimme my privileges,
> > forget the responsibilities" school.
>
> It's easy to see, eh? Exactly what responsibilities have I forgotten?


 Those of new licensees, of course.
```

```
From 6740688106224873705
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:07:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
```

Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:07:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9br12c$nr7$0@208.207.71.151>
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19546

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> >
> >From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
> > >Being responsible, cooperative citizens obviously means nothing. Maybe
> > >it's time for a ham radio class action suit against the FCC for
> > >negligence.
> >
> >   You may have an idea.
>
> You can't sue your local police department for not protecting you from
> criminals.

That's true, the Supreme Court ruled in1856 that the police are not responsoble for protecting individuals, rather society as a whole. Got that, gun bashers?

> I assume the same principle applies to the FCC.

No, FCC has been sued *by ARRL* a few times. Last time I dropped my membership it was because they sued FCC over something I considered to be far less important than the ill will the lawsuit was certain to generate at FCC HQ.

From 8742835720646503573
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:11:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!

intermedia!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net> <L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:11:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 987826308 209.204.160.101 (Fri, 20 Apr 2001 21:11:48 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 21:11:48 PDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19547

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net...

> My erstwhile clients (the sheriff departments of three Bay Area
> counties) want no part of dealing with the CB problem even though
> they have excellent in-house and on-call engineering and enforcement
> assistance available. They look at it as one more pack of "mickey
> mouse" (i.e. not "real public safety") rules that they would get
> stuck with enforcing with no increase in staff or budget.

They don't like busting hookers, bums or public drunks either, but when the influential folks about town raise a stink, they're out there on-the-double. When a small aircraft misses the runway at the county airport and crashes into a school and someone speculates that 4th or 5th harmonic radiation from a "Texas Star" 6.4 kW (non) linear may have taken out the navigation system, then you'll see more interest in the regulations.

Actually, Phil, effective regulations are already on the books, as you know. Typical Use-Permit regulations will allow antennas under imposed resitrictions, but those restrictions generally require FCC authorization as a minimum condition. I know that's true with both the Sonoma County and Petaluma city regulations. Exisiting Planning and Land Use regulations could at least require the removal of the antenna. That area of law is "well settled".

>
> >Then what I would *really* like to see are sting operations at CB stores
> >that sell illegal equipment, as well as "sweeps" at big truck stops along
> >the major interstates!
>

>   The enabling legislation does not give the locals any authority to
>   enforce marketing regulations, only operational regs.

I think the FCC is already doing the stings. I read a few months ago about
one in Washington or Oregon where some mom 'n' pop CB shop got popped
several thousand bucks.

>
> --
>   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

From 7413441019537654477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:13:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc4b3h0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <TbZD6.4371$D4.445444@www.newsranger.com>
<20010420122742.29693.00000276@ng-fc1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3lm95.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<cH0E6.4662$D4.482183@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 33
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:13:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 987826434 63.178.69.158 (Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:13:54 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:13:54 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19548

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 20:08:40 GMT, K0HB wrote:

>> Pressure on The Congress is the only way, and we've all seen how
>> effective that is.....

>Dear Congressman Snarf,

>
>The CBers are transmitting on 10M. Please have the FCC make
>them stop.
>
>Love Always,
>Joe Ham

That's not pressure. Walking into Rep. Snarf's field office and having a face-to-face with his field rep and explaining in a professional manner why the problem came to exist and how it can be fixed is a bare-minimum start. They say that a face-to-face is equivalent to ten thousand votes.

>Honorable Congressman Snarf,
>
>Thank you for forwarding the letter from your constituent Joe Ham.
>As you are aware from the recent budget hearings, the FCC will need
>a significant increase in funding to fully deal with this and hundreds
>of similar matters in a timely fashion. Until then, we will devote
>resources on an "as available" basis.

I see that you know the script.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 6242499788194933440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:13:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:13:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9br1e2$php$0@208.207.71.151>
References: <3adfaecc.6198179@news.mindspring.com> <20010420103828.26676.00000279@nso-cg.aol.com> <3ae06873.6158232@news.mindspring.com> <3AE07FF6.E4695CB6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19549

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > The reality is that thousands of us that have taken the stance
> > in opposition to code testing have long histories of being
> > highly motivated, successful, and anything BUT lazy, shiftless, etc.
>
> Maybe Larry will listen to that German General who said he valued
> brilliant, lazy officers above all others. He said they figure
> out the easiest way to win the battle with the least effort.

But no officer ever wins a battle. The foot soldier is always the winner, if there is one. The general just calls the shots. If the troops don't perform its all a lost cause.

This has always been a big advantage we have had in war. When our officers fall, there is always some lower ranking person who steps in to fill the gap. Most of our enemies troops were lost when their officers were neutralized.

```
From 4783419150030164682
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:21:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:21:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 77
Message-ID: <9br1sg$rb5$0@208.207.71.151>
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134> <3ae08001.3324290@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19550
```

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 20 Apr 2001 17:07:34 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
> ...
> > You guys seem insistant that hams learn only newer mode technology,
> >while your cavilier attitudes toward anything older than yesterday is
> >amazing.
>
> The issue is the "requirements" not the learning of
> old or new modes. I don't care if anyone wants to
> be an expert code user, be an expert on tube circuits, etc.
>
> >Most ham radio communication is done with old technology, and
> >so shall it be for some time to come.
>
> Depends on the scope of what you consider technology.
> Is SSB and FM old as to the mode itself? Sure it is.
> Is the transciever that I use to operate SSB or FM old
> in terms of the solid state technology, the DSP
> circuitry, etc? Not at all.

And you, unknowledgable soul that you are on the subject of
radiotelegraphy, do not have a clue that the very improvements that you
note also enhance CW operation just the same.

>
> >Sure, hams have long been able to
> >get by somehow or other on little no knowledge, not that they have
> >always been that productive at it. When one doesn't know how to make an
> >antenna nor troubleshoot a defective one effectively he is sort of
> >hamstrung when trouble strikes.
>
> Most antenna technology is VERY old when compared
> with the rest of electronics.

But practical applications have varied all over the landscape. Hams
need practical knowledge about how to implement the old basic
technology.

> >But they press on, oblivious to their
> >own plight. Why not see to it that they get some effective training in
> >advance? How to better accomplish that than to have effective tests,
> >rather than testing them over sattelite operation and other mundanities
> >they more than likely won't soon see?
>
> Of the following, what should be part of testing:
> Antenna theory/design
> Tube theory and tube circuits
> Transistor Circuits

I think all the above, in quantities that introduce the applicant to the basic concepts. Of course there was once an Extra class exam that went far beyond the basics. That's long gone.

>
> I agree that some of the subject matter in the
> testing syllabus is truly nutty. Who cares how many
> months before/after one operates a space station that the
> FCC has to be notified. Who cares what the frequency
> is for black in ATV, etc. Many of those factoids are
> meaningless until a person has the interest in and
> actually starts using/trying some of those modes.

We agree. Sometimes the test content looks like nothing more than filler.

From 3489315073421283578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:22:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:22:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9br1us$rb5$1@208.207.71.151>
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19551

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 13:14:27 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> >You can't sue your local police department for not protecting you from

> >criminals. I assume the same principle applies to the FCC.
>
>   Right on target. The courts will not substitute their judgment for
>   the FCC's judgment of enforcement priorities.

Which made it all the more curious to me why ARRL would sue the FCC.

```
From -2117895800704207253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 11:44:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 18:43:25 GMT
References: <9br3pv$3c8$1@208.207.71.151>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010421144325.29813.00000436@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19602
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> > Yep, "Gimme my privilege, and shove your assertions of personal
>> >responsibility."

>> I have no additional privileges do earn, Dick. Can you explain why you
>> said that to me?

> I know Ed, it's that you and Cecil project that attitude re testing.

I have said that having to memorize over 1000 questions to get all amateur priveleges is a lot harder than my having to study 16 pages of study guide as a 14-year-old kid was.

Does that really say the following to you?:

>> > Yep, "Gimme my privilege, and shove your assertions of personal
>> >responsibility."

If that is what you are perceiving from my words, your perception is way off base. Are you sure you aren't making it up to try to make a point?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6436090883020278882
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 11:46:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 18:45:35 GMT
References: <9br12c$nr7$0@208.207.71.151>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010421144535.29813.00000437@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19603

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>No, FCC has been sued *by ARRL* a few times. Last time I dropped my
>membership it was because they sued FCC over something I considered to
>be far less important than the ill will the lawsuit was certain to
>generate at FCC HQ.

Dick, that was apparently before my time. Can you offer any information about the nature of this lawsuit?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1985957378019625123
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 11:48:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 18:48:00 GMT
References: <9br0rm$mp3$1@208.207.71.151>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010421144800.29813.00000439@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19604

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> It's easy to see, eh? Exactly what responsibilities have I forgotten?

> Those of new licensees, of course.

I have never seen Cecil state that he believes that amateurs do not need to meet the responsibilities outlined in Part 97. Can you provide an example of where he did?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1979008092838910307
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 11:52:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 18:52:03 GMT
References: <9bqtut$cbb$0@208.207.71.151>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010421145203.29813.00000440@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19605

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Imagfine what THEY would experience if every US ratchetjaw with a
> bloated KW could do SSB down there.

Would it be as bad as the US SSB stations experience trying to operate about 7150 kHz in the evening?

I, too, have experienced QRM from non-US SSB stations on 40 meters. I have experienced even more QRM from other CW or digital stations on 40 meters, though. QRM has been part of my hamming experience since the first day I got on the air. If your experience is different, can you share your secret for avoiding QRM?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3016495692990765037
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 11:56:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 18:56:21 GMT
References: <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010421145621.29813.00000441@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19606

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Nobody wants to make radiotelegraphy unavailable within the ARS.

> Mahybe YOU don't.

I don't either, Dick; that is two.

>That doesn't mean others are of the same mind,
>whatever they say for publication.

Are you saying that they must be lying because they might be lying? If you want to convince me that most no-code-test advocates are opposed to CW use, I will need a bit more concrete proof than that. How did you arrive at that conclusion?

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI

From 7634689800308867315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 12:03:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 19:02:38 GMT
References: <MPG.154aabcd7903cdfb9896e2@news.earthlink.net>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010421150238.29813.00000442@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19607

>From: funguy@hotjuno.com

>>They came around
>> to my way of thinking and now both agree that what I post is my personal
>> opinion, unless obviously otherwise from statement or context.

>Please. They both realized that they had set standards that they were
>being judged by.

I have discussed this in person with Carl and Bill, over a lobster dinner. IMHO, they were sincere when they told me that they were wrong that Ed Hare posts ARRL policy on newsgroups. I reached my conclusion bases on all those clues one gets in meeting with someone in person. On what basis have you reached your conclusion that they still believe that I post official ARRL policy but are lying about it?

>After trying to weasel out of holding themselves to the
>same standard they set, the NCI board MADE them concede.

What evidence did you use to reach this conclusion?

>Archives show that Carl hated everything
>about the ARS, especially the ARRL.

I remember the days well, and Carl now feels more like I do -- that ARRL is an organization that does some important things that need support. I think that Bill always felt that way; he has been an ARRL State Government Liaison for as long as I remember. Carl, Bill and I all have some disagreements with the ARRL, but all in all, I think all three of us are still ARRL members.

>BTW..after all his posturing about the elimination of the code..or the
>reduction and all the great things he could do but couldn't/wouldn't, it
>strikes me as funny that he never used his get of jail free card and bag
>that Extra license. HHHmmmmm

I have no doubt at all that Carl could pass the Extra easily. Do you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4466169878898199462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 12:05:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 19:04:35 GMT
References: <9br3v9$3c8$3@208.207.71.151>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010421150435.29813.00000445@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19608

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You seem to think you have a good grasp on mine. So it only works in
>one direction?

I do not recall making any posts where I claimed to know what you were thinking
or what your motivations are. Can you refresh my memory with an example?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 224488932984628027
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 12:09:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 79
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 19:08:16 GMT
References: <20010421003417.06580.00000122@nso-ba.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010421150816.26589.00000157@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19609

In article <20010421003417.06580.00000122@nso-ba.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>I wish to debate the methods used to derive conclusions. You don't want to
>>>debate. All you want to do, Len, is call names and repeat the same mistakes
>>>over and over.
>>
>>Nope. I stated the source of my observations, gave some numbers.

>
>Actually, you have it backwards. I stated some numbers, and you took issue
>with them.

Incorrect. I posted a reply to Hans Brakob's message, giving numbers and the source for those numbers. Miccolis had to jump in after the fact.

>You also derived some numbers by faulty methods. Like your claim of 59
>Technicians reclassified from Tech Plus.

That was not a "faulty method." The "59" number value was the difference between the total number of Technician license class increase in a ten-month period and the number of new Technician licenses in the same period. That was stated at the beginning of a reply to Miccolis' claims of "faulty methods." A difference of numbers, stated as such, is not "faulty" unless the arithmetic is faulty.

The original subject thread began with the effects of restructuring following the April 15, 2000, change. Miccolis tried to use data prior to that date to indicate post-restructuring effects. That in itself is faulty and misleading since it lumped pre- and post-restructuring data together instead of making a comparison.

>You also made statements that are clearly incorrect, like Technicians with
>Element 1 CSCEs having no HF privileges.

No. I said nothing about "Element 1 CSCEs having no HF privileges."

Neither did I say anything about "Easter Bunnies" although Miccolis seems to claim that I did.

>>There is a common thread among long-timers here in which
>>the True Beliefs of US amateur radio are stated as if reading
>>from a hymnal.
>
>Like what? And by whom? Give us some examples, Len, not these vague claims.

"No CW = No Ham. Know CW = Know Ham." Not a "vague claim" but rather a common statement that shows up in this newsgroup from time to time.

Those alleged "vague claims" have shown up in here constantly under true names and pseudonyms. One can begin with Kehler, KH2D, continue on to Roll to Carroll to an assortement of individuals ranging from ex-USN chiefs (not Brakob) to one younger hotshot who even made up a webpage (trying to copy Kehler, but doing it badly) against the NCTAs and even appeared in the 98-143 NPRM comments. The comments of many PCTAs is publicly documented among those 2600+ documents given by the FCC. The support for code testing and emphasis on HF above all else is like a litany of reasons found in old ARRL documents. It is a virtual hymnal.

Labeling tens of thousands of words in publicly available documents as "vauge claims" is itself faulty to an extreme extent.

The trend prior to restructuring had new license growth coming from the new Technician class licensees. So much growth that it offset the attrition from dropping code-tested license classes. The net result was a small increase in the number of total ARS licensees. That trend continues following the April 15, 2000, date with very little change due to Technician Plus renewals shifting to Technician license class.

My only dispute with Hans Brakob's posting was relative to the number of radio amateurs with HF privileges. I did not observe any great change, only a continuation of the trend that had been on-going for several years. I still hold to that observation regardless of allegations of "faulty methods," "errors," or "mistakes" levelled by long-timers who seem to wish things were otherwise.

From -3741713969298716050
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 12:14:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!easynet-tele!
easynet.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9br1us$rb5$1@208.207.71.151>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae186d1$0$62149$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AE19685.4761AB83@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 15:12:15 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3ae1dbd0$0$88191$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 529488eb.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=L0;o?iFEHL@h_P==R2PeVJLoK8f=:?
J8@[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FG=EiAhP[ecXNlBFDaL\d^nE2SK[bXP>51D

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19610
```

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AE19685.4761AB83@IEEE.org...

> Larry Klose wrote:
> > We know today that the Morse code exam requirement is
> > unnecessary (arbitrary), but was the action capricious?
>
> What about laws that evolve to be capricious? There's a law
> still on the books in my home town that anyone must first
> contact the sheriff before bringing a horseless carriage
> to town.

The seems to be good horse-sense to me, what is
capricious about it. :-)

Larry kc8epo

```
From -4251980478490003466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 13:29:43 PST
Message-ID: <3AE1ED71.6E1C4CDA@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 15:28:33 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bpl8c$pm4$0@216.155.32.187> <20010420200805.12023.00000332@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3AE112BD.AB2E4B7D@IEEE.org> <9bsbbn$n2r$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987884537
64.240.47.86 (21 Apr 2001 15:22:17 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
```

```
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19611
```

Jim Kelley wrote:

> What technique was he using?

Probably an Instructrograph but it doesn't matter. If your language processor doesn't work for 200 milliseconds out of every second, you will never be able to copy 13wpm Morse code perfectly for one minute.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5491728513228711206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 13:31:58 PST
Message-ID: <3AE1EDFA.D0A3FD32@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 15:30:51 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bqtut$cbb$0@208.207.71.151> <20010421145203.29813.00000440@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987884673
64.240.47.86 (21 Apr 2001 15:24:33 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
```

newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19612

W1RFI wrote:
> Would it be as bad as the US SSB stations experience trying to operate about
> 7150 kHz in the evening?

I have absolutely no problem working CW stations around the 40m
broadcast stations in the Novice portion of 40m.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1737697166098446491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 13:33:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:31:54 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3ae1e3b9.800893@news.mindspring.com>
References: <TbZD6.4371$D4.445444@www.newsranger.com>
<20010420122742.29693.00000276@ng-fc1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3lm95.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<cH0E6.4662$D4.482183@www.newsranger.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc4b3h0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.92
X-Server-Date: 21 Apr 2001 20:32:40 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19613

On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:13:54 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 20:08:40 GMT, K0HB wrote:
>
>>> Pressure on The Congress is the only way, and we've all seen how
>>> effective that is.....
>
>>Dear Congressman Snarf,

>>
>>The CBers are transmitting on 10M. Please have the FCC make
>>them stop.
>>
>>Love Always,
>>Joe Ham
>
>   That's not pressure. Walking into Rep. Snarf's field office
>   and having a face-to-face with his field rep and explaining in a
>   professional manner why the problem came to exist and how it can be
>   fixed is a bare-minimum start. They say that a face-to-face is
>   equivalent to ten thousand votes.

Phil is absolutely correct...AND you had better be
able to CLEARLY explain the problem using 8th grade
science to be able to truly have the Rep's staffer
understand.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 3737734834021875201
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 13:33:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:32:00 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3ae1ebc5.2860704@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <20010421093925.04823.00000387@ng-fa1.news.cs.com> <3AE1A0C0.CB7F2B8E@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.92
X-Server-Date: 21 Apr 2001 20:32:46 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19618

On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 11:01:36 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>SSB411D wrote:
>>
>> I am "the person" who asked about HR-2346.
>> By "anything attached to the antenna connector

>> of a CB radio without that label is illegal,"
>> may I assume that you're referring to amplifiers?
>>
>> You see, I fed a home-brew two element quad with
>> ladder line via a transmatch from a stock Uniden
>> Grant XL.(40 channels & 12 watts) There is
>> certainly no "type-acceptance label" on the
>> transmatch. Just curious. Thanks again.
>
>
> Yep, I was referring to amplifiers. As for the transmatch, I
>suspect any law written will be a little more specific than the one
>quick line I gave in the previous message ("anything without a
>type-acceptance label attached to the antenna connector"). In other
>words, there will probably be more elaborate definitions of the
>various illegal devices, so I wouldn't worry too much about legal
>devices like a transmatch, antenna tuner, power meter, SWR meter, and
>so forth. Of course, it's in all of our best interests to keep an eye
>on any of those new laws to make absolutely sure the locals do it right.

Any town that passes legislation can ONLY do so by
reference to the federal laws (FCC rules). They can NOT
insert additional constraints or requirements (e.g. anything
without ...) in their local laws.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5870647399527567188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 13:33:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:31:58 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 100
Message-ID: <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.92
X-Server-Date: 21 Apr 2001 20:32:45 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19617

On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 01:45:30 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>>
>> 1. Local Law isn't qualified on the subject
>>   of electronics to discern a legal radio
>>   from an illegal one. He *could* mistake a
>>   power supply for a linear because it says
>>   "amps" on it.
>
> As has been stated many times before, this law does not require any
>special electronics skill to enforce, Jerry. All CB radio equipment
>has a type-acceptance label on it, so anything attached to the antenna
>connector of a CB radio without that label is illegal. The same thing
>with the radio itself - any radio without that label is illegal.

Labels are so easy to falsify that if there was any such
goings on, the ability to generate such "bogus" labels would
spread across the internet in less than 24 hours.

More to the point...the police MUST gain access to that
radio to see it. Does the terms "reasonable cause, search
warrant required" mean anything to you?

> It
>is just about as easy to check power output of a radio using a simple
>power meter calibrated by the local electronics shop (the same place
>police radios are worked on).

On what basis does the police get to "confiscate"
the radio to have that local electronics shop check anything?

>And a cop can be taught in two minutes
>to check for modifications to allow operation outside the proper
>frequencies (turn the knob, look at the dial, etc).

ROTFLMAO!

The police go through a 40 hour training class just to
become qualified on using speed enforcement radar.
Given the 100s of different CB radios, just how much training
do you think it would take to satisfy a judge that the cop
knew anything, much less enough to qualify as
an expert in court.

> And that is more than enough probable cause to seize the radio for
>evidence.

Please explaine the probable cause eveidence. I don't see
it here at all?

>And, just like any other evidence seized, that radio can
>then be tested by a electronics expert, and that electronics expert
>can be called into that courtroom to testify if needed.

Ditto my last comment above.
Also, that electronics expert gets paid $$$ to
testify. How many towns want to take on that cost?

> Enforcement of this can be handled easily by local officers, and no
>special skills are required.

Your statement is at direct odds with your other
comments above.

>> 2. *Would* they consult FCC for advice as
>>   provided for in the law before declaring
>>   a licensed ham's station "illegal" and
>>   ordering him off the air, or confiscating
>>   his equipment.
>
> Jerry, as you should well know, this law specifically excludes any
>licensed radio operation. In fact, HR-2346 requires any local law to
>specifically state that exclusion in writing. Therefore, HR-2346 does
>not give local enforcement officers any authority over ham radio
>operation. Simply show your license and the officer has to walk away.

How does the local cop know the difference?
Are you, therefore, saying anyone with a ham license
is totally exemp from any efforts to have CB enforcement
action taken against them? Consider Bootleg Jack
who has a ham tech licnese and still likes to
operate freeband. Hey, if the locals show up at
his house he just shows them his ham ticket and
off they go?

> As for the person who asked about this, that bill (HR-2346) has been
>passed by both houses of Congress, and signed by the President, so is
>now the law of the land. Therefore, if you want more enforcement of
>CB in your area, feel free to contact your local law makers (city,
>state, or county). Be sure to provide them with a copy of HR-2346 (or
>now 47 U.S.C. 302a, as amended).

I truly wonder how many towns have acted at all under
this new authority.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 7979058048819117855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 13:33:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:31:52 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 65
Message-ID: <3ae1e171.216137@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138> <20010419080910.13630.00000109@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9bn2b9$s7m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADF1D9B.C0776BC0@IEEE.org> <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.92
X-Server-Date: 21 Apr 2001 20:32:39 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19614

On 21 Apr 2001 02:42:25 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > It is curious
>> > that many posting her in opposition of code testing would rather not
>> > have any communications capability rather than to acknowledge that
>> > radiotelegraphy, in fully successful use for over a century, be kept
>> > available within the ARS.
>>
>> Nobody wants to make radiotelegraphy unavailable within the ARS.
>
> Mahybe YOU don't. That doesn't mean others are of the same mind,
>whatever they say for publication.

Oh gee, Dick knows about the vast conspiracy now.

> Some
>> of us simply want radiotelegraphy to stand on its own merits and not
>> be artificially propped up by a governmental affirmative action program.
>
> Which is tantamount to it's demise. When no one is required to learn
>it, it is gone,gone,gone in less than a generation.

If that is tantamount to its demise, then that doesn't say
much at all about the claimed value of morse, be it
based on capability of morse (vs other modes), be
it for the simple enjoyment of morse or both.

Seems to me that the use and enjoyment of archery
hasn't ended because no formal need exists for any

following. Likewise there's still a significant following
of people that prefer and buy cars with manual gearboxes...
indeed there is enough of a following for both these
skills that products are still being made to accomodate
that niche market.

> Why do I really believe that is a huge mistake?

I dunno Dick, tell us.

>From another venue:
>"A book with the simple title "Complexity" says that all self-adaptive
>systems (including us) grow in complexity until we reach the edge of
>chaos, where being competent is defeated by random events beyond our
>ability to predict or control."

Your point?

> I have seen too many failures of high technology to believe that it is
>the total answer to all human needs. It only gets worse with added
>complexity. When will the lockup occur? Do YOU know?

It's not something I give any creedence to, much
less worry about. To submit to such "doomsday"
thinking gains us nothing. We prepare fro the
unexpected as best we can. If we really all were so
concerned about being "ready" for even everyday
emergencies, we'd all be mandated by government
to be CPR certified, to all know how to drive, etc.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -1635740474557704071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 13:33:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!
cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:31:55 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3ae1e4cb.1074642@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae08260.3930965@news.mindspring.com>

```
<qv7E6.3549$tx5.89993@typhoon.sonic.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.92
X-Server-Date: 21 Apr 2001 20:32:41 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19615

The CB problem is NOT so adverse that any one community
is likly to have even one really "high profile" case.  Larger
metropolitatn areas might, but that is not so in suburban and
rural areas where police won't care to begin with.  I live in a
suburban/rural area and have regular contact with other area
has.  Haven't heard of any real "CB problem" on 10m here.

On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 03:53:58 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:

>It's probably more than double that, but think of what your city or county
>pays experts to testify in criminal proceedings.  While these would most
>likely be misdemeanor offences, a typical community would only need a few
>high-profile cases and the rest would back off.
>
>Ron N6AHA
>
>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:3ae08260.3930965@news.mindspring.com...
>> On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 16:02:51 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Jerry:
>> >
>> >You raise some good points about allowing local governments to enforce
>rules
>> >against illegal CB equipment.  Perhaps the best way for local governments
>to
>> >proceed is to enlist the help of hams in the area who can work as a
>> >volunteer advisory committee to point out offenders.  Just as local
>> >jurisdictions have competent engineering help in other technical areas,
>they
>> >can hire commercial engineering firms to help prosecute offenders they
>> > have identified.
>>
>> Such "competent commercial engineering" help costs over $100
>> an hour.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK


From -754777509820753493
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 13:33:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:31:57 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3ae1e798.1792187@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net> <20010420234419.12474.00000360@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.92
X-Server-Date: 21 Apr 2001 20:32:44 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19616

On 21 Apr 2001 03:44:19 GMT, kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN) wrote:

>Why sure Jerry,
>
>I'll be quite honest though. I'll "rat" out anybody whose illegal operation
>interferes with my (read our) legal operation. That merely means that I
>basically take a similar stance to the FCC's "apparant position; You need to
>attract my attention first. I will NOT be sitting around monitoring the
>freeband and keeping a log, etc. An errant freebander needs to slip the noose
>around his own neck. Please don't tell me that they do that by just operating
>in the freeband, there are many low power stations that we will never hear
>unless we go looking for them. Quite frankly, I've got better things to do ON
>the amateur bands rather than OFF on 11 meters.

I agree totally with that too. Indeed, the FCC would help
the situation if the "freeband" was given back to the
ARS service which would then make it monitored by
hundreds of thousands of hams.

>I have done, do now, and will continue to take a very proactive stance against
>those who encroach upon our 10 and 12 meter bands. No, I don't lump them all
>into the same basket. The trucker running a 500 watt amp transmitting on
>channel 19, "2 up" in the 10 meter ham band greatly concerns me. The guy
>running 25 watts into a 3 element yagi with good RF grounding who works some
>DX in the freeband does not. (Besides, that's the guy who'll eventually move up
>to bigger and better things and get his ticket.) Some might disagree with me
>and that's ok, that's what makes the world go around. As for letters to my
>local and congressional representatives, I'm way ahead of you...on a variety
>of RF related matters.

All together, a pretty rational approach to things.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 4636287964317809619
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 13:33:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:31:56 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.92
X-Server-Date: 21 Apr 2001 20:32:42 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19619

On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:11:48 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:

>
>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
>news:cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
>
>>   My erstwhile clients (the sheriff departments of three Bay Area
>>   counties) want no part of dealing with the CB problem even though
>>   they have excellent in-house and on-call engineering and enforcement
>>   assistance available. They look at it as one more pack of "mickey
>>   mouse" (i.e. not "real public safety") rules that they would get
>>   stuck with enforcing with no increase in staff or budget.
>
>They don't like busting hookers, bums or public drunks either, but when the
>influential folks about town raise a stink, they're out there on-the-double.

How many of said "influential" folks in any town have
any concern at all over CB vs ham vs anything. It is
NOT a concern to most governing odies at all. More likly
the case that we, as hams, are viewed by those same "influential"
folks as a nuisance because of our antennas, towers, etc.

>When a small aircraft misses the runway at the county airport and crashes
>into a school and someone speculates that 4th or 5th harmonic radiation from
>a "Texas Star" 6.4 kW (non) linear may have taken out the navigation system,
>then you'll see more interest in the regulations.

Sounds good on paper, but you have nothing but
speculation and a long wait for that to happen.

>Actually, Phil, effective regulations are already on the books, as you know.
>Typical Use-Permit regulations will allow antennas under imposed
>resitrictions, but those restrictions generally require FCC authorization as
>a minimum condition. I know that's true with both the Sonoma County and
>Petaluma city regulations. Exisiting Planning and Land Use regulations
>could at least require the removal of the antenna. That area of law is
>"well settled".

Most towns allow antennas except where the size of the
support structure (tower) becomes an issue. You don't
need anything significant for someone to run 1000 watts
using just a vertical antenna (see any QST or CG for
many possibilities). I suspect the erection of a verticle
would violate very few actual zoning laws. If your town
allows TV antennas, then you have plenty of latitude to
erect a sufficient antenna to handl pirate CB.

>> >Then what I would *really* like to see are sting operations at CB stores
>> >that sell illegal equipment, as well as "sweeps" at big truck stops along
>> >the major interstates!
>>
>>   The enabling legislation does not give the locals any authority to
>>   enforce marketing regulations, only operational regs.
>
>I think the FCC is already doing the stings. I read a few months ago about
>one in Washington or Oregon where some mom 'n' pop CB shop got popped
>several thousand bucks.

FCC yes, others NO, as Phil correctly stated.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3767188668606327200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 13:39:38 PST
Message-ID: <3AE1EFC4.F743E7D9@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 15:38:28 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>

```
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3ADFB08D.9B25F2CA@sccoast.net> <3ADFB90B.5F1C736A@IEEE.org>
<3AE0FFCC.47574BCE@sccoast.net> <3AE11936.91D4CD89@IEEE.org>
<3AE19808.4627CF29@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987885132
64.240.47.86 (21 Apr 2001 15:32:12 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!
newsxfer.interpacket.net!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19620

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Don't know much about Texas, do you. There exist
> > state-wide blue laws.  Those are the ones I object to.
>
>   If it is a state law, then there is still only one law.

Earth to Dwight: Why do you think they call them the Texas
blue *laws*? Hint: an 's' added to "law" indicates more than one.

> > You bet your sweet bippie!
>
>   Sweet bippie?  Do I have one of those to bet with?

Rowan and Martin had one so you and I probably have one also.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4654666217532701928
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 13:48:22 PST
Message-ID: <3AE1F1CE.DD3D522F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 15:47:10 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138> <20010419080910.13630.00000109@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9bn2b9$s7m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADF1D9B.C0776BC0@IEEE.org> <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151> <3ae1e171.216137@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987885654 64.240.47.86 (21 Apr 2001 15:40:54 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19621

Bill Sohl wrote:
> If we really all were so
> concerned about being "ready" for even everyday
> emergencies, we'd all be mandated by government
> to be CPR certified, to all know how to drive, etc.

Crash helmets for all vehicle occupants would save many multitudes
more lives than any Morse code testing requirement.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4308891352874291376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 15:46:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE20E0D.2DB@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADFA914.9AAAB53D@sccoast.net> <3ADFBB48.DD3922D1@IEEE.org>
<3AE10BB4.D72E4470@sccoast.net> <3AE11BDA.E2B86B23@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 18:47:41 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.228
X-Trace: news2.atl 987893204 209.214.185.228 (Sat, 21 Apr 2001 18:46:44 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 18:46:44 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19623
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> That is the absolute truth to which I will swear on a Bible.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

HUH? Vas ist los? I don't understand. You don't believe in God, yet you would use the Bible as an instrument of truth upon which you would swear to your own statements? Why not a comic book or a Playboy?

Cecil, I'm not trying to put words in your mouth, I just don't understand. Are you sincere in your beliefs, or are you just putting us on?

Jerry
K4KWH

>

> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7520734664707825838
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 15:49:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <20010421093925.04823.00000387@ng-fa1.news.cs.com> <3AE1A0C0.CB7F2B8E@sccoast.net> <3ae1ebc5.2860704@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <k7oE6.363$QV4.29065@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 18:48:48 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 22:48:48 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19624

In article <3ae1ebc5.2860704@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl says...

>Any town that passes legislation can ONLY do so by
>reference to the federal laws (FCC rules). They can NOT
>insert additional constraints or requirements (e.g. anything
>without ...) in their local laws.

Of course they can. "All powers not reserved..." and all that crap. Of course, it could be ruled invalid by a court, but someone with deep pockets will have to take the steps to make that happen. Meanwhile, Joe Tribander with 3 kids in college is SOL.

Good luck on this one now, Bill!

73, Hans, K0HB

From -5186465590328231750
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 15:53:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net> <20010420234419.12474.00000360@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <vboE6.370$QV4.29653@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 18:53:15 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 22:53:15 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19625

In article <20010420234419.12474.00000360@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>, KC2HMN says...

>The trucker running a 500 watt amp transmitting on
>channel 19, "2 up" in the 10 meter ham band greatly
>concerns me. The guy running 25 watts into a 3 element
>yagi with good RF grounding who works some DX in the
>freeband does not.

What a two-faced hypocrite! A classic example of NIMBY, worthy
of textbook reference!

73, Hans, K0HB

From -5914025618860302329

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 16:24:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:21:08 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3AE215E4.76B96C9D@bctv.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3ADFB08D.9B25F2CA@sccoast.net> <3ADFB90B.5F1C736A@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C71B.23AB61C@bctv.com> <3AE11809.A6938007@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 987895443 9067 63.87.116.25 (21 Apr 2001 23:24:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 Apr 2001 23:24:03 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19626



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
> > If you had meant something less than all, it is contingent on you
> > (making the assertion) to specify a subgroup.
>
> I did specify the set to which I was referring. They are called blue laws.

You did not specify that set until after I responded.


From -2191789449513976182
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 16:25:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:23:01 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3AE21655.7768D118@bctv.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C6D9.89C5E8F3@bctv.com> <3AE11A1C.9BB79512@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 987895557 9067 63.87.116.25 (21 Apr 2001 23:25:57 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 Apr 2001 23:25:57 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19627

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > If you can find the word "all" in my posting, I'll give you $100.
> >
> > If you can show me where you state or implied any division between one
> > group of laws and another, I'll give that $100 to you.
>
> Here's what I said: "The particular set of laws to which I was objecting
> are known in Texas as the "blue laws". Pay up.

You said that after my response. That is, you changed your story, and
now you demand that I accept the change.

Sorry, I refuse.

> > > I've got better things to do than hang out at the Taylor, TX 7-11.
>
> > Then sleep in another 5 minutes.
>
> I was already late for lunch.

Then why were you stopping to buy a beer?

This story of yours gets leakier by the minute.

From -546581531611323443
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 16:34:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!129.250.35.146!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc5rwo0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9br1us$rb5$1@208.207.71.151>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae186d1$0$62149$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 18
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:34:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 987896095 63.178.69.158 (Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:34:55 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:34:55 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19628

On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 09:09:01 -0400, Larry Klose wrote:

>We know today that the Morse code exam requirement is
>unnecessary (arbitrary), but was the action capricious?

Once again, Larry, "arbitrary and capricious" is a legal term of art in regulatory law whose definition bears no reemblance to the meanings assigned to each of the words in any other context. I've instructed you in this several times in the past and I see no need to rehash it.

If you can't apply the terms properly, don't. Stop trying to play guardhouse lawyer.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 7682760553002042841
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 16:34:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc5sxa2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net> <3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 35
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:34:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 987896097 63.178.69.158 (Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:34:57 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:34:57 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19629

On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:31:58 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>The police go through a 40 hour training class just to
>become qualified on using speed enforcement radar.
>Given the 100s of different CB radios, just how much training
>do you think it would take to satisfy a judge that the cop
>knew anything, much less enough to qualify as
>an expert in court.

I would love to take on such an "expert" on the issue of qualifications.

>How does the local cop know the difference?
>Are you, therefore, saying anyone with a ham license
>is totally exemp from any efforts to have CB enforcement
>action taken against them? Consider Bootleg Jack
>who has a ham tech licnese and still likes to
>operate freeband. Hey, if the locals show up at
>his house he just shows them his ham ticket and
>off they go?

Yup, that's what the statute says. Jurisdiction fails if the suspect holds a valid FCC station license of any type. It then becomes a pure FCC problem once again.

>I truly wonder how many towns have acted at all under this new authority.

Beloit, WI is the city that jacked Sen. Feingold into submitting his bill in the first place. How come they haven't passed the required local legislation yet?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 6855411073695561024
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 16:40:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 23:39:18 GMT
References: <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010421193918.27718.00000466@ng-ma1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19630

This is a really interesting thread. The sheer variety of the replies is absolutely marvelous. It'd be interesting to try and perform a "cause and effect" analysis. What is the desired effect? Take the various course of actions mentioned and attempt to unbiasedly (the toughest task, no doubt) predict the actual outcome. Compare the the desired effect against the probable one and determine how desireable the actual outcome truly is. Sir Isaac Newton suddenly comes to mind for some strange reason. Good luck, this is a nice approach. Take care and 73 to all.

Mark

From -4327632380269586563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 16:42:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!213.56.195.71!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!freenix!sn-xit-01!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail

From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:42:15 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com>
References: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 17
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19631

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote in
<20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>:

>
>   You will note that the chart starts at 25.165 mHz, a full 1.8 mHz
>   below
>the legal CB band.
>
>   The chart then goes up to 29.655 mHz, covering all except 5kHz of
>   the
>Amatuer 10 meter band.

Thanks to the ARRL, since April 15th, 2000 you can't tell the difference.

kb7adl

From 3157406169076269497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,897b4b3791da359a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 16:43:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 3
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 23:42:40 GMT
References: <20010415194016.00160.00000079@nso-mg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Heck with ARRL
Message-ID: <20010421194240.27718.00000467@ng-ma1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19632

Fascinating subject! Take care and 73 to all.

Mark

From -2552671012527741835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,dc403ef1305427fa
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 17:00:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 00:00:10 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <te47oar9aa86a9@news.supernews.com>
References: <9baf1s$ra7$0@208.207.71.157> <20010415065046.26822.00000231@ng-mo1.aol.com> <easterbunny@egg> <3addd104.154748@news.mindspring.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 17
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19633
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15124 rec.radio.swap:28731

billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote in
<3addd104.154748@news.mindspring.com>:

>
>Your understanding of the league position is flawed.
>The vote the league directors took was simply to
>not oppose the removal of code as a requirement.
>It is only the spin that you and others now state that
>is recasting the league position to be one of pushing, rather
>than simply not opposing.

I can't understand how you can believe this. You've must bought a few hundred shares of the League corprate policy Ed Hare has been trying to sell to the newsgroup.

kb7adl

From -3961796594480159175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 17:33:58 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.stealth.net!

```
204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104220031510.2176-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9br1us$rb5$1@208.207.71.151>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 30
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 00:33:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 987899638 12.80.44.38 (Sun, 22 Apr
2001 00:33:58 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 00:33:58 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19634
```

```
On Sat, 21 Apr 2001, Phil Kane wrote:
> On 21 Apr 2001 04:22:52 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >>   Right on target. The courts will not substitute their judgment for
> >>   the FCC's judgment of enforcement priorities.
> >
> >
> > Which made it all the more curious to me why ARRL would sue the FCC.
>
>   The only grounds for a successful suit against administrative
>   agencies are that the agency is not following the law or its own
>   regs or if there is a major issue of public policy.
>
>   In communications regulatory law, a "major issue of public policy"
>   does not include anything having to do solely with amateur radio.
>   (Sorry, guys.)
>
>   IIRC the League claimed that the Commission did not follow case law
>   on administrative procedure (the "arbitary and capricious" rule) in
>   reaching its conclusions on the transfer of 220-222 MHz, but the
>   Court of Appeals did not agree.
```

Also note that a failure to enforce in any specific case or situation is generally within the agency's discretion, especially if its budget is a concern. To suceed here, one would have to show a complete abandonment of enforcement, but to counter, the agency need only show any one case anywhere in the country for the given period to overcome the abandonment argument. As long as there's one CB or "freeband" violation per year acted on, the FCC meets its

```
burden.



From 5047247250225155259
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 17:38:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <3ae186d1$0$62149$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104220036490.2176-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9br1us$rb5$1@208.207.71.151>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae186d1$0$62149$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 44
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 00:38:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 987899929 12.80.44.38 (Sun, 22 Apr
2001 00:38:49 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 00:38:49 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19635

On Sat, 21 Apr 2001, Larry Klose wrote:
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> > On 21 Apr 2001 04:22:52 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > >>   Right on target. The courts will not substitute their judgment for
> > >>   the FCC's judgment of enforcement priorities.
> > >
> > >
> > > Which made it all the more curious to me why ARRL would sue the FCC.
> >
> >   The only grounds for a successful suit against administrative
> >   agencies are that the agency is not following the law or its own
> >   regs or if there is a major issue of public policy.
> >
> >   In communications regulatory law, a "major issue of public policy"
```

> >   does not include anything having to do solely with amateur radio.
> >   (Sorry, guys.)
> >
> >   IIRC the League claimed that the Commission did not follow case law
> >   on administrative procedure (the "arbitary and capricious" rule) in
> >   reaching its conclusions on the transfer of 220-222 MHz, but the
> >   Court of Appeals did not agree.
>
> Oh, you mean the League sued the FCC claiming that
> their agency's action was not in conformity with 5 USC 706.
> Interesting, please site the case and year.
>
> We know today that the Morse code exam requirement is
> unnecessary (arbitrary), but was the action capricious?

Actually, it's not arbitrary nor capricious as long as the U.S. remains a party
to the international treaty that requires it. Once the treaty gets morse code
removed, then you're a step closer - but should the FCC retain the requirement
(even for one day beyond), you can't show that it's "arbitrary" because it was
historically required.

> It not necessary to show that an agencies action
> was capricious, but it would help. So along comes
> Mr. Hollingsworth issuing punishments and sanctions against
> amateur rule violators. The one of punishments and sanctions
> is CW operation only. The FCC must consider one of
> its the primary exam elements a form punishment.

From -7418280271142133237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 17:40:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!
hammer.uoregon.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 17:40:07 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9bt98e$8j1$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3adfb215.219737318@news2.dvol.com>
<20010421132500.14184.00000236@nso-mj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-77.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19636

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010421132500.14184.00000236@nso-mj.aol.com...
> Chuck Yeager's Bell rocket plane was named "Glamorous Glennis."

> I don't know if he ever had a P-51 of his own, but it's not in the
> "survivors" list of Mustangs at:

Try "Glamorous Glen". There were at least three P-51 Glamorous Glens. It appears that number II was the one which augered into the countryside of Southern France in 1944.

From 7034560520323894716
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 17:50:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 17:47:05 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9bt9m1$8rv$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <9bpl8c$pm4$0@216.155.32.187> <20010420200805.12023.00000332@ng-cr1.aol.com> <3AE112BD.AB2E4B7D@IEEE.org> <9bsbbn$n2r$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AE1ED71.6E1C4CDA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-77.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19638

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AE1ED71.6E1C4CDA@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > What technique was he using?

> Probably an Instructrograph but it doesn't matter.

He probably should have just worked CW instead. I never could sit still for code practice either.

73, ac6xg

```
From 7628657593928599083
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 17:50:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 17:42:02 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9bt9c6$8md$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <9bppdl$c60$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<20010421132457.14184.00000230@nso-mj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-77.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19637
```

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010421132457.14184.00000230@nso-mj.aol.com...

> Now you are stating that the FCC's EM spectrum auctions are
> "contracts awarded to the lowest bidder?"

Boy are you ever wrong.....once again. Give it up, Leon. You're congnitive skills are not up to this task.

```
From 7946988103211231137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 18:42:07 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 01:41:42 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae23610.1958018@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0FD0E.9555BC26@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.17
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.17
X-Trace: 21 Apr 2001 20:38:22 -0500, 64.24.17.17
Lines: 27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!
chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15127
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19639

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:23:40 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>>
>> There's a huge trash underway at very
>> high levels in the BSA heirarchy over
>> this bullshit. Individual troops have
>> cut their ties with the organization
>> and continuing on their own. There are
>> rumblings at the council levels about
>> pulling out of the national organization.
>
>
>  And, if the Boy Scouts didn't have this policy, and one of the boys
>were molested, there would be multi-million dollar lawsuits involved.
>Look at the pile of lawsuits directed at the Catholic Church after
>several "gay" church leaders molested some boys.  What other choice
>does the Boy Scouts have?  In my opinion, this policy is an absolute necessity.
>
Has the Roman Church unilaterally excluded gay preists from
involvement with little boys? No. Duh?
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net



From 1281867636561218088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 19:07:40 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 67
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 02:07:22 GMT
References: <MPG.154b85d9a64f7e419896e3@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010421220722.13993.00000188@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19641

In article <MPG.154b85d9a64f7e419896e3@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>In article <20010421003413.06580.00000121@nso-ba.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>says...
>> In article <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>> (Bill Sohl) writes:
>>
>> >NCI opposes the code test and that's it. Use it if you
>> >like it. NCI has no opposition to code USE at all.
>>
>> The avowed purpose of that organization is to end code testing for any
>amateur
>> license. But that's not the only purpose.
>>
>> Several years ago, there used to be a statement on the website that when
>all
>> code testing for any ham license was gone, the organization would fade
>away.
>> That statement is no longer there.
>>
>> Some time back, a VHF/UHF group petitioned the FCC to create a 300 kHz
>subband
>> at the bottom of 2 meters for "narrowband modes" - meaning SSB, CW, and
>data
>> modes narrower than a certain bandwidth. This petition had absolutely
>nothing
>> to do with code testing in any way. Yet NCI filed comments in opposition to
>the
>> proposal, calling for "no protection for 'legacy' modes". If NCI's only
>concern
>> is ending code testing, why did they oppose this proposal?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>THANK YOU JIM!!!!

You're welcome.

>
>The fact that Carl Stevenson wrote the opposition view and that fact that
>Carl Stevenson had made it very clear right here (according to the
>archives)in the EXACT views and words or said opposition, has nothing to
>do with separating personal views and NCI policy.

I'm not sure what you mean. Everybody has the right to comment to the FCC. So do interested organizations.

The point I'm trying to make is that when a group claims to have a single-issue agenda, but then gets involved in issues that have nothing to do with that single issue, the group no longer has a single-issue agenda.
>
>Sure...and Dinosaurs still roam the earth, especially in parts of Texas
>where Monroe County residents have the DNA of unripened bananas.

How similar is human DNA to that of coconuts and European sparrows?
>
>But of course, times have changes and so has NCI policies. (see last
>sentence of above)
>
Bingo.

There have been single-issue amateur radio groups in the past. Remember the "National Amateur Radio Council" and the "Society of American Radio Amateurs" and the influence they had?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4403382352545772660
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 19:07:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 02:07:22 GMT
References: <3ae0f67e.302770401@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010421220722.13993.00000187@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19640

In article <3ae0f67e.302770401@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>Bury 'em under a pile of tapes & letters.
>The last thing the FCC wants is pointed questions from Congressmen
>about anything and a heap of tapes oughta generate some of those
>questions.

The tapes go to the FCC, not the Congress.

For legal reasons, the FCC needs an audio tape of the intruder transmission. "Paper" reports just don't do it. K2ASP (Phil Kane) is my source for this - I kinda think he knows whereof he speaks ;-)

>>
>Which of course the FCC would dump right back on the Congresscritters
>for not having enforcement monies. But somebody gotta make a stink out
>of it to get the ball rolling or nothing will happen again.

If nothing else, the tapes give the FCC some reason to ask for more money and to allocate some of it to ham radio enforcement. I don't know the legal evidence status of a cassette tape of an alleged intruder sent to the FCC by a ham. But that's what the FCC is asking for, so let's give it to 'em!
>>
>As far as hams really getting the goods on the sumbitches is concerned
>hams can't DF 'em with tribanders and tapes are all stale
>privately-gathered post-facto evidence. Would not carry much if any
>weight in legal proceedings.

Riley and Phil both say the FCC wants tapes. Works for me.
>>
>On the other hand the FCC monitoring stations have very sophisticated
>DFing capablities, they can nail a transmitter location within a block
>or two from a half continent away in real time. We need an 800 number
>hotline to the monitoring stations so that we can put the FCC directly
>on 'em in the act. Or maybe a pasword-protected instant messaging
>link.

Sounds good, but I ain't gonna hold me breath.

My uneducated guess is that a tape tells the monitors exactly what to listen for. The accompanying written report tells them where and when to look. The more tapes they get, the more datapoints they have, and when that particular intruder shows up, they have a pile of goods on him.

Another uneducated guess is that the FCC operates on the "example" principle. They can't possibly nab every intruder, so they look for really solid cases and push them hard. A few big fines sends a strong message. Having tape evidence gives them a better idea of who to go after - the intruders who show up repeatedly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 8546400178887688011
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 19:20:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 02:20:13 GMT
References: <20010420090354.12866.00000254@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010421222013.11413.00000356@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19642

In article <20010420090354.12866.00000254@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>> Actually it's pretty clear, Larry. He was never able to meet the code
>>>requirements for Extra until they were virtually eliminated, so he is
>>>compelled to 'prove' that they were never proper in the first place.
>
>>There you go again, Dick...telling the TRUTH!
>
>It looks more like an opinion to me, Larry. On what basis is there any
>"truth"
>to Dick's surmising about the reasons for Cecil's current code-testing
>stance?
>In a legal sense, truth is proven via evidence. What is the evidence that
>Dick
>is using to fathom what Cecil's reasons are?
>
>I suppose it is easy to believe you have won any arguement when you can
>pronounce what you say as truth and can pronounce the motivations and
>thoughts
>of those who say differently.

Ed:

My proclaimation of the truth is based entirely upon experience when dealing with no-coders. They are very original in devising lies, rationalizations, and excuses for not learning the code. However, in virtually case, the truth is that they are just plain lazy. I hate laziness. End of story.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5146569061573434743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 20:25:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 03:24:43 GMT
References: <20010420200222.12023.00000330@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010421232443.11413.00000359@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19643

In article <20010420200222.12023.00000330@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Psssst Ed, did you know that 99% of Larry's DNA is virtually
>>identical to a chimpanzee's? :-)
>
>I read that somewhere. As I thought about it, I realized that which 99% is
>identical is a critical difference between us all.
>

My pet chimpanzee, Cecil, is now filled with pride -- knowing that 99%
of his DNA is identical to mine! Awww, how cute -- he just got me a
bananna from the kitchen! Thanks, Cecil!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5021639308094587713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 20:25:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 57
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 22 Apr 2001 03:24:42 GMT
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19644

In article <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>>....The difference between me and the
>>NCTA is that I realize that Morse code proficiency and technological
>advances can
>>coexist, whereas they insist that the two must be mutually exclusive. You
>tell me
>>what's up with THAT?
>
>Larry,
>Again you mislead others in your post. The NCTA (No Code Test
>Advocates) have no problem with you or anyone else learning and using
>code. Code learning (voluntarily) and use can certainly coexist with
>technology advances the same as my antique auto can coexist
>on the highway with the latest from the auto makers.

Bill:

Sorry, no sale. The NCTA have always used the need to "advance the state of the art" -- presumably in digital communications technology, as a primary reason to reduce or eliminate the Morse code testing requirement…as if the two were, indeed, mutually exclusive. The claim had always been that the code test requirement was discouraging brilliant, computer-literate young people who would magically transform the landscape of amateur radio communications technology if only they had the fetters of this time-honored mode removed from them. They have claimed the Morse code, and it's testing requirement, to be everything from "lame" to "ethnic cleansing" -- and insisted that those who support continuance of the testing requirement were not in step with the "inclusive" nature of this hobby, and, therefore, politically incorrect.

No, Bill -- the NCTA and their apologists throughout the years have done an excellent job of marrying the concepts of Morse code skill with technical stagnation -- thus making proficiency in Morse/CW and technical competence mutually exclusive in the extreme. You would have to undo over a decade's worth of Fidonet/Usenet debate on this topic to convince me otherwise. I have read and authored the equivalent of dozens of full-length novels' worth of messages that relate to this topic, and can call myself an expert on the subject without any fear of exaggeration. This is one place where you can't pull the wool over my eyes with one of your classically specious examples.

The link between technical incompetence and Morse code skill is the very foundation of every argument that has ever been made against the pre-Restructuring status quo in code testing.   This is the truth, the only truth, and the only reality. You cannot ever escape this reality in a feeble attempt to justify what has been done to permanently obviate any future growth in the use of the Morse/CW mode. Such use will indeed decrease, and make it easy to expand the amount of amateur HF spectrum authorized to voice modes. Deny this if you will, but it is the truth.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3923807049173459081
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,306872d2c458f75c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 20:50:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!news.dizum.com!sewer-output!mail2news
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 21:50:14 -0500
From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remailer@xganon.com>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
     It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
     Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
     remailer administrator at <abuse@anon.xg.nu>.
Subject: Re: Forgers N8WWM and KC8LDO
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Message-ID: <27518534c5d351e530d9ac15ee4e88a8@anon.xg.nu>
Mail-To-News-Contact: abuse@dizum.com
Organization: mail2news@dizum.com
Lines: 11
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19645

Subject: Re: Questions on Marine radioNewsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policyX-User-Info: 205.188.198.163 205.188.198.163References: <674d12a2bc747a8f0c8f124983c7417b@anon.xg.nu> <cuvyxnansvbet.gblhv55.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>��������<3AD428E2.7B178518@sccoast.net> <IL3B6.163$5B2.4076@eagle.america.net>��������<3AD65597.B74AD587@sccoast.net> <3ad770a7.311090377@news2.dvol.com>NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39Message-ID: <3ad7cbf7$1@news2.mibx.net>Date: 14 Apr 2001 05:03:03 GMTX-Trace: 14 Apr 2001 05:03:03 GMT, 206.53.132.39Dwight your ignorance is showing once again. Must a lowly CBer point out the error of your ways? HAHAHAHA. I won't even let you in on your gaffe. Figure it out, shithead On April 19, 2001 Leland C. Scott wrote in rec.radio.amateur.policy: From:

This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
http://anon.xg.nu

```
From -2596726494875019699
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 20:54:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!
sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 70
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <fCsE6.3616$tx5.93684@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 03:54:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 987911691 209.204.160.101 (Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:54:51
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:54:51 PDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19646
```

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com...

> On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:11:48 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:
> >
> >They don't like busting hookers, bums or public drunks either, but when
the
> >influential folks about town raise a stink, they're out there
on-the-double.
>
> How many of said "influential" folks in any town have
> any concern at all over CB vs ham vs anything. It is
> NOT a concern to most governing odies at all. More likly
> the case that we, as hams, are viewed by those same "influential"
> folks as a nuisance because of our antennas, towers, etc.

I'm one of those influentual folks, and getting something like this on the City Council agenda isn't all that hard.

>
> >When a small aircraft misses the runway at the county airport and crashes
> >into a school and someone speculates that 4th or 5th harmonic radiation from
> >a "Texas Star" 6.4 kW (non) linear may have taken out the navigation system,
> >then you'll see more interest in the regulations.
>
> Sounds good on paper, but you have nothing but
> speculation and a long wait for that to happen.

The FCC has already busted several pirate FM broadcasters who were interfering with aircraft communications. Just bringing up the possbility of it happening will cause them to squirm. Look at all the debate over RF exposure...and not one shred of evidence that there is any health risk at all.

> Most towns allow antennas except where the size of the
> support structure (tower) becomes an issue. You don't
> need anything significant for someone to run 1000 watts
> using just a vertical antenna (see any QST or CG for
> many possibilities). I suspect the erection of a verticle
> would violate very few actual zoning laws. If your town
> allows TV antennas, then you have plenty of latitude to
> erect a sufficient antenna to handl pirate CB.

Antenna regulations in both our city and our county allow only TV antennas, and even those have restrictions. Anything that transmits a signal, regardless of height, is regulated. I was able to convice the local town fathers to specifically allow mobile antennas, since doing otherwise would restrict TV news coverage, and since this was the town where Polly Klass was kidnapped from, they made the exemption. Everything else is regulated.

> >I think the FCC is already doing the stings. I read a few months ago about
> >one in Washington or Oregon where some mom 'n' pop CB shop got popped
> >several thousand bucks.
>
> FCC yes, others NO, as Phil correctly stated.

If the city has passed the ordinance that HR 2364 provides for, and mom and pop are transmitting with illegal equipment, they can bust 'em. Even if it requires "stings" to get it done.

Ron N6AHA

```
From 2927791675658202389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 22:23:34 PST
Message-ID: <3AE25C7F.CBEC7626@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:22:23 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987916568
64.240.47.86 (22 Apr 2001 00:16:08 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19647
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> The link between technical incompetence and Morse code skill is the
> very foundation of every argument that has ever been made against the
> pre-Restructuring status quo in code testing.

"Every argument that has ever been made"? Larry, if that were a true/false statement, any professional testing expert would tell you that it is prima facie false just because of the wording which allows absolutely no exceptions.

In fact, my argument is that if the med/high speed Morse code requirements had been maintained, the population of the ARS would become too low to sustain the ARS emergency communications function. Nothing said about technical incompetence at all.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4425070956592530085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 22:26:25 PST
Message-ID: <3AE25D2B.866BD1D3@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:25:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3ADFB08D.9B25F2CA@sccoast.net> <3ADFB90B.5F1C736A@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C71B.23AB61C@bctv.com> <3AE11809.A6938007@IEEE.org>
<3AE215E4.76B96C9D@bctv.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987916739
64.240.47.86 (22 Apr 2001 00:18:59 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19648
```

Keith Wood wrote:

>

> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I did specify the set to which I was referring. They are called blue laws.
>
> You did not specify that set until after I responded.

You did not specify any particular posting. Why am I held to a higher
standard that you hold yourself to?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2438279975132751989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 22:39:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AE26023.FC54A719@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:37:55 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C6D9.89C5E8F3@bctv.com> <3AE11A1C.9BB79512@IEEE.org>
<3AE21655.7768D118@bctv.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987917500
64.240.47.86 (22 Apr 2001 00:31:40 -0500)
Lines: 40
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19649
```

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Keith Wood wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > If you can find the word "all" in my posting, I'll give you $100.
> > >
> > > If you can show me where you state or implied any division between one
> > > group of laws and another, I'll give that $100 to you.
> >
> > Here's what I said: "The particular set of laws to which I was objecting
> > are known in Texas as the "blue laws". Pay up.
>
> You said that after my response. That is, you changed your story, and
> now you demand that I accept the change. Sorry, I refuse.

All you have to do is look above at what I had to do to earn the $100.
You said if I could show you where I state or implied any division between
one group of laws and another, You will give me $100. You did NOT specify
which posting it had to be. I presented the posting where I satisfied the
necessary condition. Now you refuse to honor your word.

Why do you try to hold me to a higher standard than you hold yourself to?
You forgot to specify which posting. I forgot to specify which law. What's
the difference? Heads you win, tails I lose?

> Then why were you stopping to buy a beer?

I wasn't stopping to buy beer. I was stopping to buy milk and Sprite.
I just wanted some beer for later and this was the last stop before
arriving at my daughter's apartment.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1622050577322546661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 22:40:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AE26069.5B2E28FA@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:39:05 -0500
```

From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADFA914.9AAAB53D@sccoast.net> <3ADFBB48.DD3922D1@IEEE.org>
<3AE10BB4.D72E4470@sccoast.net> <3AE11BDA.E2B86B23@IEEE.org>
<3AE20E0D.2DB@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987917568
64.240.47.86 (22 Apr 2001 00:32:48 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19650

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> Are you sincere in your beliefs, or are you just putting us on?

Yes
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From -2067453423097222568
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 22:43:52 PST
Message-ID: <3AE2613F.327BE36A@IEEE.org>

Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:42:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9br1us$rb5$1@208.207.71.151>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae186d1$0$62149$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104220036490.2176-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987917784
64.240.47.86 (22 Apr 2001 00:36:24 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19651

"D. Stussy" wrote:
> ... you can't show that it's "arbitrary" because it was
> historically required.

Wouldn't a historical requirement be arbitrary if the reason for
the requirement had ceased to exist?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8699876991943555067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 22:46:12 PST
Message-ID: <3AE261D0.AAF9DDA0@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:45:04 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <3ae0f67e.302770401@news2.dvol.com>
<20010421220722.13993.00000187@nso-bh.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987917928
64.240.47.86 (22 Apr 2001 00:38:48 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19652
```

N2EY wrote:

> My uneducated guess is that a tape tells the monitors exactly what to listen
> for.

Would a video tape be better? One that shows the receiver frequency
and the time?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1637810448634785423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 17:49:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 100
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 00:49:04 GMT
References: <9bqtgn$apj$0@208.207.71.151>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010422204904.12715.00000821@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19703

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>W1RFI wrote:

>> Let's put it to the test. Larry, what it the complete and insightful
>> present situation in the ARS wrt the following topics:

> I'd like a shot at these:

>> Ultrawideband

> Unless you're referring to SS we don't have any wideband, by
>regulation.

That is your "compete and insightful" summary of the present impact of UWB on Amateur Radio?

>> Spread spectrum

> We can't begin to agree on what the consequences of use of SS are
> on HF, and it'll be years before that question is adequately addressed.

I think "complete and insightful" needs to be accurate, Dick. SS is not permitted on HF.

>Same as above. In ham radio we don't have any, and it doesn't appear
>likely we will in the foreeable future. What would be the point?

Do you think that "what would be the point" is really a summary of the potential use of digital voice in amateur radio?

>> Synthetic aperture radar

>Not familar with this one.

It was and may still be an international threat to the amateur use of the 70 cm band.

>> Periodic emitters

> More "help" from Friendly Cousin Charlie. Live with it, I guess.

I agree that we can probably live with the present rules wrt periodic emitters.

>> IMHO, anyone who thinks he has a complete and insightful understanding of
>> all of the issues surrounding Amateur Radio is egomaniacal beyond belief. I
>> realize you think you are superior, Larry, but you really should draw the
line
>> somewhere.

> A long-experienced Extra class ham is not a superior performer to the
>many beginners in ham radio?

Where did that come from? My comment was that Larry's choice of words implied that he knew everything there is to know about Amateur Radio. Do you really believe that I was saying that a long-experienced Extra is not superior to a beginning ham, or were you making that up to try to make a point?

> What is this, becoming a Communist hobby/League?

If you want to make this a communist conspriracy, go ahead, but it really does detract from your credibility.

> Hey, we're paying you to be the expert, Ed, and to handle such stuff
>for us. If no new hams need to be even minimally technically competent
>what's your problem?

I disagree with you if you believe that new hams do not need to be technically competent.

>I know of no better reason to pay my membership dues than to hire you
>and others to keep ham radio afloat, If you guys can't get it done,
>well, either we'll have to find someone better at it or give it up.

That is my reason for paying my membership dues, too, Dick. But I have never, nor can I, do it alone. ARRL's work in RFI, spectrum protection and a number of other areas is not done by a handful of staff in Newington, CT; there are a number of volunteers who are a lot smarter than I am that offer their help on a regular basis.

> And again, you have done very well at holding down your end of things.
>I still think that Newington could have done a lot better job of liason
>with the FCC in the past couple decades. They need to know us on a first
>name basis, and that first name should not be Squiggy.

I agree that there is always room for improvement, but I would imagine that my name, Jim Haynie, Paul Rinaldo and Chris Imlay would be pretty well known in FCC circles. I would also bet a lobster dinner that if you say the name "Squiggy" to the FCC, that not one FCC staffer will have any idea what you are talking about. If you mean Carl Stevenson, chances are real good that those he met in Washington, both as part of his ex parte presentation and as part of his professional contact with the FCC know him well, too.

Of course, you may not have been being serious about the name Squiggy and intended it only as a gratuitous insult.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5794125807726729080
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 17:52:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 00:50:54 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 95
Message-ID: <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4a.c0
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 00:52:27 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19704

On Sun, 22 Apr 2001 03:16:28 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> More to the point...the police MUST gain
>> access to that radio to see it. Does the
>> terms "reasonable cause, search warrant
>> required" mean anything to you?

> Don't be facetious, Bill. Of course that means something to me.
>But it does not apply in this case. According to FCC regulations, a
>CB radio operator must submit to an inspection of his station.
>Failure to do so can result in the loss of all operating privileges
>and a serious fine. In other words, if the operator doesn't comply
>with an inspection request, the local officer simply files a report to
>the FCC and the FCC then takes action to shut that operator down
>permanently (not to ignore any fine, written into the local law, the
>operator may face for failure to comply).

So all Mr. Bootlegger does is set up a plain vanilla 4 watt station
in his house and never shows the Locals that KW amp
and the other equipment he has in the basement.
Allowing an inspection doesn't mandate a complete search of
the entire house.

>> The police go through a 40 hour training
>> class just to become qualified on using
>> speed enforcement radar. Given the 100s
>> of different CB radios, just how much
>> training do you think it would take to
>> satisfy a judge that the cop knew
>> anything, much less enough to qualify as
>> an expert in court.
>
> First, not a single officer in my county has went through a 40 hour
>training program to learn to operate an radar (I asked), yet not a
>single conviction involving a radar has ever been overturned. Second,
>a cop never has to qualify as an "expert" in court. All he is ever
>required to do is prove sufficient knowledge to do his job (and even
>that is not necessary if it cannot be proven he did his job
>incorrectly). If an "expert" is required, that "expert" is usually
>obtained from outside law enforcement circles.

Me thinks in the scope of radio enforcement, any half baked
attorney would clean the clock of a local cop who couldn't
show the required knowledge. As to cops in your state
being "trained" on radar use. I would doubt sincerly that
there isn't some required certification required. NY has a 40
hour training certification.

>> Ditto my last comment above. Also, that
>> electronics expert gets paid $$$ to testify.
>> How many towns want to take on that cost?

> The cost of obtaining an "expert" will be covered by any fines
>imposed. It happens all the time, Bill.

Fines can only be assessed as the law allows.

>> Your statement is at direct odds with your other
>> comments above.
>
> How so? What specialized skills will be required to look at a
>sticker on the side of a radio? And only minimal skills are required
>to hook a power meter to a radio (CB'ers do it all the time with no training).

I will say that 99% of cops today wouldn't know a power
meter from a hole in the ground.

>> How does the local cop know the difference?
>> Are you, therefore, saying anyone with a ham license

>> is totally exemp from any efforts to have CB enforcement
>> action taken against them? Consider Bootleg Jack
>> who has a ham tech licnese and still likes to
>> operate freeband. Hey, if the locals show up at
>> his house he just shows them his ham ticket and
>> off they go?
>
> According to HR-2346 (or now 47 U.S.C. 302a, as amended), yes. If a
>license is shown, the cop has to walk away. However, that does not
>preclude any enforcement effort by the FCC. And I'm sure the FCC will
>take special notice of that licensed operator after a report is filed
>by a local cop (assuming the cop goes through the effort of contacting
>the FCC).

>> I truly wonder how many towns have acted at all
>> under this new authority.

> None that I'm aware of so far.

Thankfully,
Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 1950934672752848564
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 17:54:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 00:53:55 GMT
References: <3ae3799b.6773623@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010422205355.12760.00000707@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19705
```

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>The bottom line is that most communities are reasonable
>and stay out of such nonsense.

In the few instances where I have interacted with town officials wrt RFI and local regulation, they readily accepted the premise that they did not have jurisdiction.

Of course, what added the exclamation on the decision was the statement by the ham that over and above what the FCC regulations required, he wanted to be a good neighbor and help his neighbors find solutions to the RFI problems.

See

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfigen.html
http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/rfi-legal/

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -2410586717563891123
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 17:56:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Lines: 104
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 00:56:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 987987384 24.16.178.66 (Sun, 22 Apr 2001
17:56:24 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 17:56:24 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19706
```

The bottom line is that we need to eliminate code entirely and not worry about classifications based upon code speed. Code is a thing of the past. It's time to get over it!!

Larry Klose <lklose@net-link.net> wrote in message
news:3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net...
>
> "Jim Weir" <jim@rst-engr.com> wrote in message

> news:nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com...
> > In my (not so) humble opinion, the parallel between the "hobby" of
private
> > aircraft and private radio can give us much insight into the old
question
> of the
> > relevance of the code.
> >
> > If you have a person with a certificate that says "private pilot", you
> know that
> > the person is technically competent to fly an aircraft. However, you
have
> no
> > idea what "aircraft" they are qualified in until you read a bit further.
> After
> > the "private pilot", they can have such qualifiers as "airplane",
> "glider", "hot
> > air balloon", "helicopter", "gyroplane" and a few others. Just because
> mine
> > says "airplane" and yours says "glider" doesn't make me any more or less
a
> pilot
> > than you. The fact that I studied about engines and you studied about
> towplanes
> > is only a difference in the type of skill, not the competence of the
> person to
> > fly what they are rated for.
> >
> > So perhaps we need one certificate "amateur radio operator" with
> qualifiers
> > after the first line. You want to study code and pass the test that
> permits you
> > into that part of the band, go for it. If I want to do ATV and get
those
> > privileges, I'll do it. Whatever rots your socks.
> >
> > There are core subjects that we both need to know. How to tune up a rig
> > transcends the type of operation. Most of the regulations are much the
> same for
> > both of us, yet some of the regs pertain to how you do your keying and
> some of
> > them only pertain to how I transmit my video. But don't ask me to
acquire
> a
> > skill for which I have no use.
> >
> > I do not in any way debate your right to do the brass, but I fail to see
> how
> > this skill that I'll never use should be part of my training. Quite
> frankly, if
> > you gave me a big propane bottle and a huge gas bag right now, I'd be at
a
> loss

```
> > as to what to do with it and honestly couldn't care less how it all
works.
> Same
> > for CW.  I'm 57 now, and the last time I touched a key I still couldn't
> legally
> > buy a drink.  I haven't felt the loss.
> >
> > Jim
> > Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.      WX6RST
> > A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup
>
> Good idea.
>
> There current three classes of  license being issued:
> Technician (T), General (G), and Extra (E).
> If FCC the were to make code an endorsement; say
> every 5 wpm would be a plus endorsement.
>
> Existing Extras with 20wpm code endorsement would be E++++.
> New Extras with 5wpm endorsement would be E+
> Old Advanced with 13wpm endorsement would be A+++ (rounded up).
> Old Generals with 13wpm endorsement would be G+++ (rounded up).
> New General with 5wpm endorsement would be G+.
> Old Technical Plus would be T+.
> Old Novice would be N+
> Old Technician depend on their previous code test would be either T or T+.
>
> A person could then pass the Extra test with a code test and be E.
>
> The ARRL could offer code test in 5wpm increments.  So hotshot
> radiotelegraph
> operator who passed 40 wpm could be E++++++++.  the ARRL
> could handout start for each 5wpm increment.  Then we have eight star
> Extras.
>
> Larry KC8EPO
>
>
>
>
```

```
From 5996941040475249641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 17:58:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 00:57:33 GMT
References: <3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010422205733.12760.00000708@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19707

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>>My town has a height limit of 8' foot off the roof and the tallest rooftop
>>is 35' in our town or 8' off the ground.

>Well you can do alot of antenna work in that 8' space.

I don't think that his regulations would stand the test of PRB-1. He may benefit from the advice of counsel.

See http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/PRB-1_Pkg/index.html

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5964316209706292660
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 17:59:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 00:58:35 GMT
References: <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010422205835.12542.00000712@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19708

>From: "Jim Wayda"

>Code is a thing of the past.

Not to me, and no small number of hams, Jim. We use it regularly.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2094731820857889764
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:04:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!news.tele.dk!195.54.122.107!
newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!uio.no!newsfeeds.sol.net!news.execpc.com!
newspeer.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010422174725.07671.00000371@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:03:35 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3ae37fd8$0$62147$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: d91d574c.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=f7iS4GnUCT8NZUVAGj=[M>BITGoVIjmH6[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F77nX3o:=M8U:lBFDaL\d^n5:
]DLeP;?_41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19709

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010422174725.07671.00000371@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> >From: "Larry Klose" lklose@net-link.net
>
> >The ARRL could offer code test in 5wpm increments. So hotshot
> >radiotelegraph
> >operator who passed 40 wpm could be E++++++++. the ARRL
> >could handout start for each 5wpm increment. Then we have eight star
> >Extras.
>
> The ARRL already offers code-proficiency certificates. Unless your idea
is
> tied somehow to legitimate licensing concerns and operating priveleges, I
do
> not think it to be a good one. The ARRL code-proficiency certificates do
not
> affect anyone's operating priveleges.

>
> Changed sides, Larry? :-)

Yes, from LSB to USB. ;-z

Larry

From 7927440632982981950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:06:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 01:05:48 GMT
References: <vboE6.370$QV4.29653@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010422210548.12445.00000678@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19710

>What a two-faced hypocrite! A classic example of NIMBY, worthy
>of textbook reference!

Thanks for the chuckle, Hans.

73 de Bert
N1MBY

From -2153210061952608401
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:12:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 01:11:58 GMT
References: <3AE25C7F.CBEC7626@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler

Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010422211158.28252.00000591@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19711

In article <3AE25C7F.CBEC7626@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>In fact, my argument is that if the med/high speed Morse code requirements
>had been maintained, the population of the ARS would become too low to
>sustain the ARS emergency communications function. Nothing said about
>technical incompetence at all.

Cecil:

First of all, I think you mean the "slow/medium" speed Morse code testing requirments, because 20 WPM is NOT "high speed" code by any stretch of the imagination. The 13 WPM level was intended to demonstrate practical, basic, low-speed code recognition which can easily be copied by non-proficient operators. The 20 WPM test was intended to demonstrate the ability to handle CW traffic at a reasonably productive rate of speed. However, "professional" CW operators in the military, maritime, and commercial services usually BEGAN at 25 WPM and worked their way UP from there!

Now, prior to 1991, when the No-Code Technician license was instituted, the United States had around a half-million licensed radio amateurs. In 1983, there was around 420,000. How many hams does the world need? How big do we need to be in order to provide adequate emergency backup communications capability? Keep in mind, that with the way personal communications capability is exploding in the commercial sector, the role hams play in emergencies is being shoved further into the background. In fact, the only reason why a lot of emergency operations are being conducted by hams at all is because a lot of them are forcing their participation in places where it is neither needed or wanted. The opportunities for radio amateurs to provide meaningful "emergency" communications services these days are shrinking, while, at the same time, reduced licensing standards are creating larger numbers of over-eager wannabe "ham heroes" who may, regretably, create their own "opportunities" to save the day.

We are at the point where we are just as much of a nuisance as anyone's potential salvation. And the sad part is that the HF hams we're creating these days lack the knowledge, skill, and communications proficiency required to be a truly valuable asset under the kind of austere conditions which truly demonstrate our value to society.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 9194317405650863662
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:25:03 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Lines: 76
Message-ID: <uvLE6.1372$QV4.107675@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:24:42 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 01:24:42 GMT
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news.bnb-lp.com!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19712

In article <nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com>, Jim Weir says...

>In my (not so) humble opinion, the parallel between the "hobby" of private
>aircraft and private radio can give us much insight into the old question
>of the relevance of the code.

>So perhaps we need one certificate "amateur radio operator" with
>qualifiers after the first line.

The notion of a "Basic" amateur radio license with "endorsements" after passing tests for various modes is not an idea that should be tossed aside lightly...

..it should be hurled aside with great force!

After all is said and done, the one underlying difference between the amateur radio service and the other personal radio services (CB, FRS, etc.) is the fact that we are licensed to build our own station and put it on the air. We alone are licensed to build a 1.5KW transmitter and put it on the air, without any "inspection" of that transmitter by the FCC before we stroke up on our favorite band.

This "permission to experiment", unique to our hobby both in the equipment *and* the modes we use, is what sets us apart. Restricting our operation and experimentation to only those modes or equipment types we have 'endorsements' for, such as is done in aviation, would be the end of the Amateur Radio Service.

Since we couldn't tinker with anything new until we had studied and tested for the endorsement, experimentation and tinkering would come to an immediate halt. There is little enough of it now, and the idea of qualifying for endorsements before we could tinker with a new mode or technology would end experimentation within the framework of amateur radio for all time.

The only legimimate function of testing in the context of amateur radio licensing is to ensure that the licensee has a basic familiarity of technical concepts, such that they can ensure that their experimenting and operation does not trash the electromatic spectrum for other users, and that they stay within the bands allocated to them.

I firmly believe that the amateur rules ought be simplified to:

97.1 You are required to pass a technical test to show that you understand how to build simple equipment which meets spectral purity specifications of .....blah, blah, blah. You will be issued a callsign when you pass the test. Transmit your call sign once every 10 minutes when on the air.

97.2 Your power limit is 1.5KW to the antenna. Here are your bands. Stay inside of them.

97.3 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and communicate and do public service and talk to strangers in far away lands. The government doesn't care what mode you use for any of this.

97.4 Play nice. Deliberate interference will cause your license to be immediately and permanently revoked.

/signed/ FCC

73, Hans, K0HB

From -4580129637509513745

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:29:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010422174725.07671.00000371@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:24:10 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3ae384ab$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: d91d574c.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=J@Pf5]Pbfdg=>N\5^GS<ljBITGoVIjmHf[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgAOKon[S@RSklBFDaL\d^ne:
]DLeP;?_4a
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19713


"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010422174725.07671.00000371@ng-fm1.aol.com...

Ed hare wrote:
> The ARRL code-proficiency certificates do not
> affect anyone's operating priveleges.

The FCC should follow ARRL lead and make
47 CFR 97.503(a) not effect a person operating
priveleges.

Larry kc8epo





From -2474636997095383816
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:30:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: 23 Apr 2001 01:30:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <9c00is$a37$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org> <9br12c$nr7$0@208.207.71.151> <cuvyxnansvbet.gc4bsn1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19714

Phil Kane wrote:

> On 21 Apr 2001 04:07:40 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > No, FCC has been sued *by ARRL* a few times. Last time I dropped my membership it was because they sued FCC over something I considered to be far less important than the ill will the lawsuit was certain to generate at FCC HQ.
>
> "Ill will" ??
>
> The FCC is well aware that the ONLY remedy that an aggrieved party has is a suit in the US Court of Appeals. Thus sayeth the Comm Act.

And they also know that no court is going to fail to defer to their expertise in technical matters such as licensing, nor is very likely to in any other proceeding. Thus I think we can assume some level of "those &^%$#&*(sued us"?
Now we got to waste a lot of time and effort defending a decision they don't have a prayer of overturning...".

>
> They field them every day, most brought by entities whom the public perceives to have a "sweetheart" relationship with the agency.

And I'd wager not withoug a fair amount of rancor because of the added work to an already shorthanded staff which sure doesn't need another ration of nonsense to deal with unnecessarily. I was once a public employee in similar circumstances and I understand the concept well.

>
> Sneaking around the back door via the Congress for anything but
> increased funding generates real ill-will.

But....look what that can get done. :-)

From -4215489726132355213
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:32:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can. And possibly Should!
Date: 23 Apr 2001 01:32:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19715

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > What kind of nonsense is all that?? Of course I don't build my own
> > vacuum tubes nor caps and resistors, but I have a lifetime supply,
> > probably 6K tubes, along with a lot of transistors and IC's and scads of
> > other hard to find parts. So what? Do you build your own pickup trucks?
> > Why are you trying to get stupid on us?
>
> I'm just trying to get you to realize that 50 years ago, tubes were
> components. In 2001, *transceivers are components*.

 Cecil: It is still completly possible for hams to build their own
stations using discrete components, it is done all the time. But NOT by
many recent licensees who memorized the question pool..

Times have changed,
> Dick. The ARS cannot return to the 50's.

 Your theme song, right? So who wants to return to the 50s or any other
time?

From -4548314067943850084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:35:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 01:34:54 GMT
References: <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010422213454.28252.00000592@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19716

In article <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>, "Jim Wayda"
<jwayda@killspam.home.com> writes:

>
>The bottom line is that we need to eliminate code entirely and not worry
>about classifications based upon code speed.

The code itself, or testing for proficiency in receiving and sending Morse
code texts?

>Code is a thing of the past.

I see. How long do the hundreds of thousands of hams still using it
have to vacate the airwaves?

>It's time to get over it!!

You may as well have signed your name as a liberal, socialist,
Communist. I'm sure you also want to get rid of two-parent families,

any form of religious expression in public places, private firearms ownership, and all forms of energy production. Oh well, this is America -- and until enough people who think like you get in power, you still have the right to your own opinion.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1825808140867242812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:35:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 01:34:55 GMT
References: <20010422110847.16452.00000459@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010422213455.28252.00000593@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19717

In article <20010422110847.16452.00000459@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>> I hate laziness. End of story.
>
>If this sums up your position wrt amateur radio testing, I think I will let
>it stand on its own.

Ed:

Well, thank goodness for that, at least!

>I did a search of FCC regs and didn't find the word "lazy" or any derivative.

Hmmm. I see. Point?

>If you want to effect a rules change, I encourage you to file a petition for
>rulemaking with the FCC that includes the word "lazy" as a proposed
>regulation.

Why would I need or want to do that? Did y'all git a holt of some of Cecil's supply of spoiled grape juice?

>Or do you not think your idea of what the amateur radio service could
>withstand the test of law?

Yep, must be the grape juice talkin'. I can usually understand your postings,
Ed, but tonight you seem to be under the influence. You should have
caught me last night when I had some Jim Beam in me.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3074339453645829917
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:37:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

References: <3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <20010421093925.04823.00000387@ng-fa1.news.cs.com> <3AE1A0C0.CB7F2B8E@sccoast.net>
<3ae1ebc5.2860704@news.mindspring.com> <k7oE6.363$QV4.29065@www.newsranger.com>
<3ae3799b.6773623@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <xGLE6.1377$QV4.108402@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:36:29 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 01:36:29 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19718

In article <3ae3799b.6773623@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl says...

>>Of course, it could be ruled invalid by a court, but
>>someone with deep pockets will have to take the steps to make
>>that happen. Meanwhile, Joe Tribander with 3 kids in college
>>is SOL.
>
>Such cases have been fought by ARRL volunteer attornies and often
>funded by donations.

Not for Joe Tribander .... there are only a small handful of
ARRL VC's (volunteer counsel) who do this (pro bono), and "donations"
are not "often" but only available in narrowly selected cases.

Joe Tribander in East Overshoe, Iowa is simply SOL.

Good luck on this one now!

73, Hans, K0HB

From 6657368695319398460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:43:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp1.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com> <20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com> <nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <AKLE6.3108$lp4.1812445@nntp1.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 20:06:53 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.153.216.165
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp1.onemain.com 987990048 206.153.216.165 (Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:40:48 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:40:48 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19719

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...

> The bottom line is that we need to eliminate code entirely and not worry
> about classifications based upon code speed. Code is a thing of the past.
> It's time to get over it!!
>

I think most of us are at least resigned to seeing it disappear as a testing criterion but you seem to want to outlaw it entirely. Sounds like an emotional problem for the chaplain.

Your problem is simple. If you sold the idea to whoever mattered in the next 38 seconds it would still be at least five years until it could be done in as a testing criterion. And selling the h.f. amateur community serves no purpose whatsoever.

It would seem that downloading Morse Academy http://ah0a.org and devoting the time you are now spending on a meaningless activity trap to a fairly trivial exercise would be a somewhat more adult approach.

Bureaucracies move slowly. Time to get over it.

Dick N�BK

From -7548383942826544013
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,CP1252
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:44:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!hub.org!hub.org!
newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: J1aguair, where are you?
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:41:09 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 3073
Message-ID: <3ae388ab$0$62143$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: d91d574c.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=ePBg04XiN07KcT1HbNQe82BITGoVIjmH6[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F77JN5a]VlI[=lBFDaL\d^n5:
]DLeP;?_41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19720

I miss the good old day when James wrote his epic trolls.

Larry KC8EPO

~~~~~~

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Morse Code
Date: Sunday, March 07, 1999 5:25 PM

What is wrong with everyone. Trying to flush the code requirements for the sake of simplifying the license requirements does not make sense. Morse, or CW is a mode of communications, and a dam good one. Communications is what Amateur Radio is all about, in fact Amateur Radio provides the best communications known. Can you imagine having a the best in communications known and not knowing the Morse Code?

When all else fails, and its a dam good bet were all going to see that happen, its Morse Code that is going get through if its still around.

What good is it going to do to have 1 million Hams that cant do anything but punch a keyboard on a computer or talk into a microphone when all they have is two wires to scratch together to send a signal.

I have even found using a flash light a means of communications using Morse Code, but if the guy on the other end does not know what the on and off sequences mean, like Coast Guard, then its a waist of time.

Same thing with the classes of licenses. . . . What is all the fuss about? One class of license, Extra plus the 20 wpm is not asking too much.

If that seems to be difficult, I strongly recommend basket weaving or watching sports on TV like the rest of the morons.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: ARRL is full of you know what
Date: Sunday, March 07, 1999 5:37 PM

All the ARRL is looking for is to increase the membership in order to increase the flow of bucks. Who is kidding who. Its all politics and money.

Smarten up. . . . Ham Radio is being sold down the river. If the ARRL had their way about it, licenses would be $10 each with a ARRL logo on it.

I would rather spend $100 to renew my license and have the FCC continue on doing things the way they did them once before.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: SSB contest week end
Date: Monday, March 08, 1999 6:15 PM

Have you noticed that when the contests are running up on the phone band,
~~~~~~

SSB that is, its hard to find a CW signal down at the other end. What does that tell you.

I think everyone is on CW, mostly Extra Class, just listen to the calls and try looking the other calls in the book, 99% of the calls you hear on CW are Extra Class stations.

Tell me that CW is not the popular mode and I will tell you your full of it.

A half a century of CW and I can tell you there is more going on there than any other mode today.

The only reason the US Gov. tossed it out is because of man hours, Robots work cheap and they don�t need time off.

The US is still training men for Morse Code, I wonder why ? Your dam right.

Anyone who is either to lazy or stupid that they cant learn the code should be patient because the ARRL is going to hand it to you in trade for your membership, ain�t that the new trend today.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Fractured Fairy Tales
Date: Tuesday, March 09, 1999 8:17 AM

Why is ARRL playing Tinker Bell and changing the rules again. Novices and Technicians automatically becoming General Class Licensed Amateur Radio Operators.

What happened to Mother Goose and her incentive program?

Anyone who tells you that this plan is going to work is out of their mind.

We all know that anything that comes easy is never the same as something we have to work hard for. Nothing can be free.

The old saying, the fish rots from the head down.

Unless we all stand together by saying no to the ARRL and its proposals, the rotting will infect Amateur Radio.

One good way is to discontinue subscriptions to QST and the ARRL membership. It�s the only thing that is going to make the listen up, Money.

If your reading this, you should be able to send your message to ARRL letting them know that the knife is in hand and the rotted head is about to cut off.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Coming Soon
Date: Tuesday, March 09, 1999 9:25 AM

Coming soon to a theater near you. Humpty Dumpty who had a great fall. All the kings men and all the kings horses just kept on passing by and did not even try to put Humpty Dumpty together again.

You know what I think? It was Easter Sunday and rabbit stew was being served at the Kings Castle.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Have You Noticed
Date: Wednesday, March 10, 1999 8:39 AM

Check the FCC listings on upgrades http://www. qrz. com/new_hams. html?

You will notice all those rushing to get the Tech and Novice. Why not, its going to be an easy way into General Class as soon as the ARRL proposal goes into law. If that ain�t cheating.

This is ARRL's idea of incentive licensing just to increase membership?

This is what happens when a magazine publishing company takes over.

What would happen if Private Pilot, or Aviation Magazine was to propose a private pilot licensing restructuring plans to the FAA.

If you have been reading, there is one fellow at the FCC now who is working hard to clear up this mess of jamming and foul mouth activities. What do you think he is going to be up against when this new proposal goes into law?

All I am saying is, if you want to get into Amateur Radio, do it the right way and earn it.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Seven Hundred Thousand Chickens
Date: Thursday, March 11, 1999 9:59 AM

Have you ever seen one of them chicken commercials on TV. Yea, they remind me of the Amateur Radio population. Like them chickens, us Hams are all going to go the same way as them chickens. ARRL is taking us to market, but not till they pull our necks and defeather us. Lets not wait for this one to hatch. Let them chicken pluckers at ARRL know that we are not going to stand for it.

Does ARRL have anyone by the name of Purdue on the Directors list?

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Where is the Incentive?
Date: Thursday, March 11, 1999 3:57 PM

If the Novices and Technician Class operators are automatically upgraded to

General Class I want to know where the incentive plan went.

Is this some kind of joke, or are they really serious? What is the reasoning behind this sort of plan?

Has the ARRL changed its policy on incentive licensing?

I see a lot of new comers just sitting back and waiting for the up grade. The other day I was listening to a conversation on two meters. One fellow who was a Technican had just gone out and purchased a new transciever for the HF bands so that he will be ready for the freebie upgrade. In fact I heard him saying that he has already been on the air with the radio to see if it really worked.

Where is the ARRL taking Amateur Radio in the Y2K. I sure would like to be more supportive and lend a helping hand to all those who are willing, but this sure takes the wind out of me.

In my time I have helped many new youngsters into Ham Radio, and each and everyone of them learned the code and studied. Everyone today has upgraded to the top Class of license, and I may add all have become expert CW operators.

Today I dont see any takers or interest in Amateur Radio. Most of the people today who are seeking an Amateur Radio license are unwilling to learn what radio is all about. It has something to do with computers, and for some reason it makes them feel as though a need to become an Amateur Radio Operator is needed. Some where along the line radio and computers have been linked together and that is a misconception that all to many of us today don
�t understand, especially me.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Fox in the Hen House
Date: Friday, March 12, 1999 10:21 AM

The same old story we all heard before about the fox in the hen house. Well Amateur Radio reminds me of that same story.

Living on farm I have learned to listen to the hens when they make a ruckus and start cackling up a storm.

Like Amateur Radio there is a lot of cackleing going on and it reminds me of the fox in the hen house.

We all know that the fox aint in there to help the hens. As a result the hen population is reduced and we all know what that leads to in the end.

The answer is, you either get rid of the fox or reinforce the hen house to keep the him and other varmints out.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>

Subject: I dont believe it
Date: Saturday, March 13, 1999 5:19 PM

I don�t believe that increasing the ham radio population is going to solve any survival problems in the future. In the past I have always seen that by letting the chips fall where they may was always the best way to find out where its going.

Over control or forceing is a destructive method of tweaking for the future.

By maintainint the high standards of Amateur Radio will indeed make the hobby more attractive. Those who are seeking lower standards are the ones who will destroy Amateur Radio.

I strongly recommend the single class of license of the Extra Class, and a non renewable one or two year term Novice for the entry level.

It wont take long before all those who have nothing to offer and are just waiting to be automatically up graded to General Class will realize that Amateur Radio is something that requires one to buckle down and learn something instead of complaining.

Anything worth having is worth working for. If it comes free it has no value.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Be Really Smart
Date: Tuesday, March 16, 1999 8:04 AM

What I cant understand is why some of those who reply to these postings are the same ones guilty of what the article is about.

Those who are waiting for the other shoe to drop, as in the automatic upgrade to General Class.

Tech and Novice classes who read this with great antisapation. Instead of waisting time knocking the system here and being so smart with their snide remarks, why dont they simply go out and up grade now instead of waiting for a free ride.

So all you big mouths, before you get smart here, try getting smarter by doing what it takes to earn your up grade.

One thing the ARRL has done right and that is the incentive. Actually it is not new as the incentive program has been around for some time long before ARRL suggested it.

The one big mistake is going to allow automatic upgrades for Novice and Tech.

If your a Novice or Tech now the class privilages should remain the same as they are now and when the license term expires it simply can not be renewed.

I dont see any hardship in having to take an exam for the upgrade. All I see is a give away program that is in total contrast to the incentive system.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Good Work
Date: Tuesday, March 16, 1999 8:09 AM

Congratulations to Mr. Hollingsworth for the work he is doing. I must admit that I have not really heard any of the jamming or foul lanquage on the bands my self, but I have heard others complain.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: ARRL
Date: Thursday, March 18, 1999 11:39 AM

I hope that in the end tht the ARRL and FCC can see its way clear to resolve the restructuring program so that those who are now Novice or Technician Classe will not be easily upgraded. After all upgradeing by completing what ever elements are needed for the General Class is no hardship.

Simply put. if your a Novice or Tech. Now is the time to upgrade before your old licence term expires. You wont be sorry.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Read QST
Date: Thursday, March 18, 1999 11:45 AM

No Question, reading QST is one of the best ways to stay informed as to what is happening in Amateur Radio today. Reading this April's issue was like a shot in the arm. Keep up the good work ARRL and Mr. Hollingsworth, its what I have been waiting to hear.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Re: I dont believe it
Date: Friday, March 19, 1999 11:37 AM

Here again. Another fellow who holds a Tech Class license. Time and time again its the same ones who complain and talk about all the reasons why the code should be either reduced to a feable 5 wpm or eliminated.

If the code remains the same and it keeps people like this from ever becoming real Amateur Radio Operators , then I would have to say why lower our standards for the few who can not meet them.

Once again, learning the code and being able to send and recieve it at 13 or 20 wpm is no big deal or for sure no hardship, Unless ofcourse one is unwilling to learn something that he will never regret.

This crappola about the US Government no longer using the Morse Code and that is why Amateur Radio no longer needs to have it is nothing more than a lot of crap. Give me a break.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: NO CODE?
Date: Friday, March 19, 1999 12:32 PM

Since this no code thing has started I hear more and more people using two meters as a cheap way of havng a cell phone.

Husband and wife talking about what is for dinner, or who is picking up the kids after the basket ball game.

Even imigrants who barely speak english never the less read it are using ham radio for the same purpose.

No code is turning amateur radio into another CB form of communications. The only reason they support the local radio clubs is to be able to use the phone patch. They have not interest in amateur radio what so ever.

If allowing to lower the standards of amateur radio, it wont be long before this same type of activity will become popular on the HF frequencies as well.

During the past 44 years I have seen many changes in amateur radio, some good and some not so good, but if we continue to lower the standards it wont be long when we have no amateur radio at all.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Minority
Date: Friday, March 19, 1999 6:58 PM

Those who seek to have the code exams abandoned are a minority. The majority of Amateur Radio operators today have already passed their code test in order to upgrade.

Amateur Radio is not going to be loosing much if the minority groups who are either to stupid or so dam lazy that they cant pass a simple test should be left behind.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Eliminate Reading
Date: Saturday, March 20, 1999 8:41 AM

They should eliminate the requirments for reading or eye exams for automobile drivers licenses. No one today needs to know how to read, Infact most of the youngsters today, not to mention a lot of adults,who never took the time to learn. What else can we think of that we dont really need to know ?

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Dictionary Thumpers
Date: Saturday, March 20, 1999 10:54 AM

A tip of the hat to all those who preach Websters dictations. A word is well written when it conveys its intended message.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Listen to commercial frequencies
Date: Sunday, March 21, 1999 3:56 PM

Any one who listens to short wave will know that the code is still a vital part of the communications used between ships at sea.

You dont need any special equipment, just sit down and listen to the traffic that is being sent.

Anyone who thinks the use of CW is over had better think again.Get a list of the commercial frequencies and listen for your self.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: IQ TEST
Date: Sunday, March 21, 1999 4:30 PM

I think that an IQ test should also be part of the Amateur Radio license exam.Once that is done there should not be any problems.If you think learning code was rough, wait till you try that IQ test.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Anology
Date: Sunday, March 21, 1999 4:39 PM

TECH-PLUS:
Runs into buildings;
Recognizes locomotive two out of three times;
Is not issued ammunition;
Can stay afloat with a life preserver;
Talks to walls.
And last but not least, thinks he is going to get upgrade the easy way.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Hemorrhoids, or possible spinal problems
Date: Sunday, March 21, 1999 4:53 PM

Sitting in front of a computer for as long as it takes to write hundred of postings on this news group making excuses as why the code is no longer required has got to be hazardous to your health. At least you don't have to worry about brain tumors, because you were not in a high RF environment.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Memorizing
Date: Monday, March 22, 1999 3:34 PM

Reading carefully in any of the ARRL license manuals it will advise you to read the text and study all aspects of it carefully.

Nothing can be worse than going to take an exam knowing that all you have to rely on is the answers that you worked so hard to remember. If your looking for a good way to fail and get so discorraged as to not want to try again,go ahead and waist your time memorizing the questions.

The math level for the Amateur Radio exams are at grade school level.The hardest part of the Amateur exams seem to be with the learning of Morse Code.

Its beyond me why that should be so difficult as compared to learning the text because learning the Code is simply memorizing. Its so dam easy that I have to wonder what all the fuss is all about.

Take your exams on at a time, dont rush. Work your way to Extra Class. If you give your self a date, you can have it done in three exam sessions, or three months. You can do it.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Making it easy with ARRL
Date: Monday, March 22, 1999 3:45 PM

All one has to do is read QST. They have an excellent monthly articles. This months issue April page 61, The Doctor Is In, is an excellent article dealing with just about every question that is in the Amateur Radio License Manuals.

They even have a quiz page to test you knowledge.

ARRL provides Code Practice live on all of the Amateur Radio frequencies to make it easy and convieniant to fit your personal schedule.

All it requires is a little effort on the part of the person who wants to get his or her Amateur Radio License.

Try it and you will soon be a happier person for it.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Discrimination ?
Date: Monday, March 22, 1999 7:28 PM

I like these people who cry discrimination when it comes to learning the code. Discrimination would be if you were not allowed to take the code test like everyone else.

As I remember there was no one stopping anyone from taking the code test.

I dont know where you come from, but dont be afraid to take the code test, you wont be breaking any laws.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Cutbacks in Connecticut
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 1999 10:15 AM

Reading March 73 Amateur Radio Today on page 8 was somewhat disturnbing.

Cutbacks in Connecticut, ARRL who is supposingly cutting back the size of QST. Several areas of the magazine will be cut back;the space allotted for Section News will be reduced by 15%.

After exclaiming what all boils down to a financial melt down at the Leaque,it goes on to say that Mr. Rick Palm K1CE paints a grim picture of amateur radio as it faces the next century.

The artical goes on and I get the impression that because of the finacial well being and future of the ARRL it   reflects the future of amateur radio.

Amateur Radio is no doubt loosing its crediblity as the requirments for licenses exams are being lowered in order to attract more into the hobby.

If amateur radio license exams continue on be adjusted to attract those who are, shall I say less than qualified,for the sole purpose increasing the numbers, then it will no doubt become nothing more than a meaningless hobby.

As it is now, the future of Amateur Radio is indeed being threatenened.

Remember the old Chinese proverb"The fish rots from the head down".

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: What I dont want is......
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 1999 1:13 PM

The day my amateur radio license says ARRL on it with the official logo on the it I will know for sure that were doomed.

Like I have said before here, I dont like to hear that the future of Amateur Radio is linked with the financial health of the ARRL. In fact I could care less what the future of ARRL is . But when a publication company, and that is all they are, jepordizes the wellbeing of Amatuer Radio to save its own skin, that I dont want.

I dont care how many people belong to the ARRL membership as long Amateur Radiio standards are not sacrificed in the process.

After all its supposed to be a nonprofit organization.Once again I say, The fish rots from the head down.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Morse Code
Date: Tuesday, March 23, 1999 4:38 PM

Morse Code, or CW as we call it is as much a part of Amateur Radio today as it was in the beginning. Infact its even more so today than ever before.

If the code speeds are reduced it will only be another step in dumbing down the license requirments.

No one should even be allowed to become licensed as an Amateur Radio Operator unless they can qualifiy to meet todays code standards.

Anything less than 13 wpm is totally out of the question, and as for the Extra Class license, 20 wpm is not asking much to become what is known as the ultimate in Amateur Radio licenses.

If you dont have what it takes, no matter if its Aviation, Amateur Radio, or what ever,if one can not make the grade for what ever reason, then its high time to consider something like Bungee Jumping or Basket Ball, Oh yea, I think basket weaving is a code free activity.

One thing for sure, I hope Amateur Radio never reaches the same level as the latter group of activities.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Something For Nothing
Date: Friday, March 26, 1999 9:41 AM

No matter what, there is always someone who will complain about one thing or another.

Like amateur radio license exams.The code seems to be the big stumpling block at this point. Lets assume for the sake of argument there was no code, it was never invented. Then everyone would be looking for another scape goat excuse to make it easier. It goes on and on.

I am willing to bet that all those here who complain about the difficulties they are having to get a ham ticket are also having problems with just about everything in their life.

Think now for a moment, if your one of those who are having problems with this license thing,go to your magic mirror on the wall and ask the mirror who is the laziest one of them all. I have a feeling once you get your answer your going to have seven years bad luck.

Another question should be, is why do you want an amateur radio license. Now just dont take that question lightly, really give it some serious thought if you can. I bet after really thinking hard you really dont give a hoot about amateur radio or what its all about.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Ten Thousand Monkeys
Date: Friday, March 26, 1999 9:48 AM

I am willing to bet if ten thousand monkeys were to take a code exam none of them would ever pass it.

Must be something to do with bananas and swinging from tree to tree and being as happy as a monkey could be.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Morse Code
Date: Friday, March 26, 1999 7:26 PM

I cant understand anyone saying that Morse Code is not being used. I think that the reason most people dont realize its being used is because they cant make the distinction between Morse Code and Teletype. Anyone who knows what Morse Code sounds like should not have any problem finding the many commercial stations that are using it.

Just the other day, I heard a shore station exchanging information with a ship at sea using Morse Code. The ships radio operator was using a bug to send with and I might say he was going a lot faster than the required speed for any amateur radio exam.

Anyone who does SWL and knows the code certainly will agree that in todays communications Morse Code is still being used to a very large degree.

Our US Government uses it all the time, ofcourse not as much as they did at one time, but never the less they still train men to copy Morse Code today.

As for the Amateur Radio bands, there is more activity on CW than all the other modes combined. I am talking world wide CW by every country there is speaking any lanquage you can think of.

Amateurs from every country is using CW and doing it using the English lanquage. Ham radio operators from other countries are expert telegraphers and most capable of using CW at very high speeds with out any problem.

Its a lot easier to understand a Russian or Italian using Morse than it is on SSB.

The other thing is, a small simple low power transmit recieve station and a battery is all that is needed to send and recieve CW world wide, amazing.

Last but not least, it does not require a wide band width.and can be recieved with the simplest of recievers.

Anyone who has used QRP CW will tell you how flawless this system is.

In todays modern technology, satelite communiations uses CW because its the only mode that will get through.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Grind an Axe
Date: Saturday, March 27, 1999 8:49 AM

All these anti code groups have an axe to grind for one reason or another. They are either affiliated with with an organization or buisness that will benifit from increasing the amateur radio population.

During the past several months of reading the postings here I have noticed that the majority of those who protest the code as being apart of amateur radio exams are Tech Plus Class and even Advanced who find the code exam to be more than they care to think about.

Morse code is being looked apon as the sacrificial lamb in which these witch doctors of profit are proposing to send to its death for no other reason other than greed and personal interest.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: State of the Art Personal communications
Date: Saturday, March 27, 1999 12:44 PM

I guess by now everyone has noticed that Yaseu , Icom and I think perhaps Kenwood is manufacturing a small hand held transciever that does not require any license to use.

I may also add, Citizens Band radio no longer requires any FCC permit and has two modes of operation to choose from, amplitude modulation and single side band .As far as I know there is no CW used on CB making it a more up dated class of operation.

Last buy not least,Internet offers world wide communications with no QRM, QRN,or poor band conditions to contend with, and once again no licenseing requirements.

Those considering state of the art communications should be encoraged to explore the many advantages of these new methods of communications.

In fact if telegraphy was available on any of these modes I would consider it my self,but like I said it is state of the art technology.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>

Subject: Cheating Prime Ribs
Date: Saturday, March 27, 1999 4:21 PM

Memorizing just the answers to any exam certainly is more work that actually studing and learning, not to mention all the benifits and self gratitude.

I suppose anything in life can be done by cheating, but the only one your cheating is your self.

Its like sitting down to a prime rib dinner and feeding the dog under the table your prime rib so that everyone will think you ate it all. You sure have to be some kind of stupid dim wit to pass up any thing that you would benifit from.

But we all know it does not work quite that way, cheaters usually eat more than their share of prime rib, especially if its free.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Truth So Help Me
Date: Sunday, March 28, 1999 12:08 PM

If all you NCI or No Code Idiots were to sit before the man himself to be asked as to the true reason why the Morse Code should be removed from todays Amateur Radio licenses exams would be interesting.

For every fib told, he or she would shrink by ten percent in physical size. Each one being asked ten questions.

Remember, CW is Gods gift to Amateur Radio.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Noah
Date: Sunday, March 28, 1999 7:09 PM

I think when God was instructing Noah on how to build the Ark, it must have been on SSB or AM. I would even say FM, but it was not untill Armstrong came along that FM was invented. It was not untill a few thousand years later that Samual Morse came along with the code. So you see CW is the newer mode of communications if you want to consider. All you no coders better start getting with the latest technology.Dont let pumpkin rot prevent you from improving your state or the art skills.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: FCC Proposal
Date: Monday, March 29, 1999 12:00 PM

FCC will maintain the current sending and recieving code tests as they now stand. The FCC has no intention of reducing or eliminating the code tests from Amateur Radio license exams.

As for the those who are now currently licensed as Novice and Technician, and Technician Plus. The Novice class will be eliminated as it stands no credit will be given towards upgrading as its an entry level license and is being completely eliminated.The Technician Class license holders will be allowed to continue using their current privilages until the expiration date, at which time will become void. Technicians have until the expiration date of their current license to upgrade by completing the required 13 word per minute code test,otherwise be required to retake the General Class.

Written Exams for Extra, Advanced,and General class license will be reviewed to include text to increase the knowlede of state of the art communications.

Also as part of the written exams, oral examinations by the V.E. examiner will required along with black board illustrations.

No Amateur Radio license shall be taken unless a complete course in Amateur Radio communications and practices consisting of no less than 100 hours is completed and edorsed by the instructing team of VEC instructors.

Apon reciept of a certicate of completing class, one will be given a certificate of completion that will allow amateur radio privilages on specified frequencies at any ARRL affiliated radio club. The clubs call letters will be used in conjuction with a slash N for identification.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: FCC Proposal
Date: Monday, March 29, 1999 12:00 PM

FCC will maintain the current sending and recieving code tests as they now stand. The FCC has no intention of reducing or eliminating the code tests from Amateur Radio license exams.

As for the those who are now currently licensed as Novice and Technician, and Technician Plus. The Novice class will be eliminated as it stands no credit will be given towards upgrading as its an entry level license and is being completely eliminated.The Technician Class license holders will be allowed to continue using their current privilages until the expiration date, at which time will become void. Technicians have until the expiration date of their current license to upgrade by completing the required 13 word per minute code test,otherwise be required to retake the General Class.

Written Exams for Extra, Advanced,and General class license will be reviewed to include text to increase the knowlede of state of the art communications.

Also as part of the written exams, oral examinations by the V.E. examiner will required along with black board illustrations.

No Amateur Radio license shall be taken unless a complete course in Amateur Radio communications and practices consisting of no less than 100 hours is completed and edorsed by the instructing team of VEC instructors.

Apon reciept of a certicate of completing class, one will be given a certificate of completion that will allow amateur radio privilages on specified frequencies at any ARRL affiliated radio club. The clubs call letters will be used in conjuction with a slash N for identification.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Real Reason For CB Radio
Date: Tuesday, March 30, 1999 11:32 AM

Does anyone know the real reason Citizens Band Radio was put into use? I wont go on to tell you why as no one would believe me anyway. You dont have to think to hard, and I would recomment a little research. All I can say is, thank God CB Radio was never used for its intended purpose.

However CB Radio should be included as part of every automobiles radio system. I know it was at one time as an option, but I do not know if that option is still available. The regular AM and FM radio would squelch when the CB radio was activated allowing one to have the choice of monitoring the CB radio during regular radio listening. I thought that was a nice touch.

I think this is what the majority of people are looking for today, a license free personal communications system.

I suppose now Cell Phones have taken over making communications possible from just about anyplace any time with the capability of direct contact to whom every your seeking to communicate with.

My automobile has long since been equipped with a personal cell phone in the event of an emergency, but I do still keep a small CW transciever tucked neating into the console for them long trips.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: God and CW
Date: Tuesday, March 30, 1999 2:05 PM

If God was to use a mode of operation on Amateur Radio, which I doubt he would, but if he was, you can dam well bet it would be CW.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Amateur Radio means CW only
Date: Tuesday, March 30, 1999 2:15 PM

If they were to change all the rules by allowing anyone who simply wanted to play radio on the radio frequency spectrum could do so.

Amateur Radio would become a CW only thing.Anyone who thinks they would like to use CW could simply take the Amateur Radio license exam for it. All those who dont care for CW can simply be a Non Amateur, Communicators Class operator...

What is all the bull about with Amateur Radio, its only a title and nothing more.

The ARRL would have to change the wording on the front cover of QST to say Devoted Entirely To Communications. Does not have to be devoted to Amateur Radio.

The sooner Amateur Radio gets ARRL out of its hair the better. There drowning in a financial black hole and trying to suck Amateur Radio in with them.

Perhaps a Communications Magazine would help them survive the mess they got them selves into.

Then ARRL could lobby to steal CW frequencies from Amateur Radio for their new group..American Radio Relay Leaque does not have to mean Amateur Radio....

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: CW For Ever
Date: Tuesday, March 30, 1999 6:26 PM

Long live CW, and it will. Who would think in this day and age they are selling electronic keyers, paddles at astronomical rates.

Vibroplex is a good example. They are selling more telegraph,BUGS,keys as now as ever. And the thing about it all is hams are paying the price for them.

Listen to the CW bands, especially 40 meters where its not uncommon to hear the sound of a bug going along at 30 wpm, or a keyer or keyboard at speeds in excess of 50 or 60 wpm. And let me tell you, its all being copied by ear.

Listen to the traffic nets on CW.

There is more happening on CW than any other mode.

Last but not least, Amateur Radio means CW before it can mean anything else.

The day CW or Morse Code standards are lowered or removed from the licenseing exams will be the sadest day in the history of Amateur Radio.

Amateur Radio skills are dwindling at an alarming rate and if allowed to continue there will be nothing to be proud of.

During local radio club gatherings I notice its not like it once was. A less friendly atmosphere. A lack of interesting conversations. I have since given up belonging to a club because all one talks about is computer games, like my Star Wars or Rainbow Seven is terrific.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>

Subject: AM Flashlight
Date: Wednesday, March 31, 1999 9:15 AM

Many years ago my friend and I tried to modulate and detect audio from a flashlight, we even went on to using a more powerful spot light. At close range it worked perfectly and audio was transmitted on the light beam.However using the same light idea for sending Morse Code, a small pen light coudl easily send a signal clear across the harbor to his house. By mounting the lights in a fixed position and attaching a key and buzzer along with the lights we were able to use out communications set up as long as the fog did not roll in. Of course it did not take us long to learn how to build and use radio frequencies and then we could communicate from our bedrooms which were out of the line of sight.

I think superimposing an audio frequency of any kind on a sineusodial wave is total destruction to its purity....Joke.....

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Hiram Maxim -CW ?
Date: Wednesday, March 31, 1999 2:54 PM

Hey!.....hold on a minute, why did I ever think that Hiram liked CW in the first place ? For all I know he could just as well been a no coder .Does anyone know if Hiram was a CW operator? All the photoes of Hiram usually show him wearing a pair of Brandies or CannonBalls, but it never shows a telegraph key or even a microphone in any of them old photo's,you dont think he had a key strapped onto his leg, do you ? Maybe someone can dig out a better photo.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: 30 meters
Date: Wednesday, March 31, 1999 9:58 PM

The one good thing they ever did was 30 meters. I just hope they never open it up to yodeling.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Whole Problem
Date: Thursday, April 01, 1999 1:16 PM

The problem is not with amateur radio or its code requirments. The ARRL is creating a situation here, its the same old tactical manuever of pitting one against the other. Its an old corperate tactical weapon that works well with the simple minded people who fall for it.They will destroy the good to save their own skin.What is really happening is the ARRL is in a financial mess and amateur radio is going to be the sacrificial lamb.They will do anything to please, even if it means destroying the goose that lays the golden eggs. If you really want to cause a shake up, reverse the cards and tell the ARRL that your wise to their diversionary tactics.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Very Well Said
Date: Friday, April 02, 1999 12:16 PM

The posting Re: The Term Amateur by Larry K3LT was well said. I must agree with him. Most of the postings here are simply off the wall with nothing more than dim witted reasons by a few people who either can not learn the code,or simply refuse to do so for what ever reason.

The sooner the ARRL puts the no code thing to bed the better off ham radio will be for the ARRL and for everyone who enjoys the hobby for what it really is.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: I Remember When
Date: Friday, April 02, 1999 10:50 PM

I remember when the ARRL proposed the incentive licenseing plan to beef up amateur radio. Everyone was eyeing the radio frequency spectrum,and unless amateur radio could prove worthy of its name it could very well loose the right to continue using the frequencies that we now have. So the incentive licenses became a class act. Here we go again, only this time its 180 degrees in the opposite direction with eliminating classes, and lowering the standards for license exams. The no code thing is another farce, a gimmick directed at the weak minded that can not muster up enough brain power to cut the mustard. QST has been reduced to a low level reading that offers nothing more than idiotic articals written primarily to attract new comers. I hate to say it, but 73's and Wayne Green, Never Say Die, is more fun to read, at least I can get a good laugh out of it.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Cheaper it is, The Less its Wanted
Date: Sunday, April 04, 1999 11:23 AM

Seems as though everyone is lusting after the hard to get licenses that require a code test of 13 or 20 wpm. That is a good sign because it means that Amateur Radio is still wanted, its value still is high.

As the standards are lowered, more and more people will become hams who simply applied for and recieved a license with little effort on their part.

The value or worth is lessened, and fewer people will have the desire to obtain a license for Amateur Radio.

With even more time the entire Amateur Radio licenseing will be eliminated and everyone will be able to use Amateur Radio frequencies.

When the final end comes and the name Amateur Radio is forgotten, I am willing to bet that CW will still exsist and there will always be those special ones who will be heard through out the waist land of radio frequency space using the old mode of telegraphy.

Who knows. a whole new breed of Amateur Radio Operators may be born that are devoted entirly to the art of ancient telegraphy.

Go to any Yacht Club and meet the high caliber people who own sail boats. Its just not for everyone as it is now only for the upper class.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Thinking could be fatal.
Date: Tuesday, April 06, 1999 6:43 PM

Five percent of the people think and are capable of passing the Extra Class

Ten percent think they think and usually make it as far as Advanced Class

Eighty-five percent would rather die than think and cannot go beyond the Tech Plus.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: When We Are Gone
Date: Wednesday, April 07, 1999 1:57 PM

Long after we who are living now have become silent keys and amateur radio is nothing more than a name in a dictionary for those who want to solve a cross word puzzle. The radio frequency spectrum will still be there.Unregulated and unwanted HF frequency space will be nothing more than atmospheric noise, and perhaps a few indentified signals from some personal control device not far away. However I do feel strongly that in this waist land of HF frequencies there will always be the sound of CW signals by those who delight in the use of the ancient telegraphic art. World wide CW will become once again where it first began,and who knows? at last a code free license.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: When We Are Gone
Date: Wednesday, April 07, 1999 1:57 PM

Long after we who are living now have become silent keys and amateur radio is nothing more than a name in a dictionary for those who want to solve a cross word puzzle. The radio frequency spectrum will still be there.Unregulated and unwanted HF frequency space will be nothing more than atmospheric noise, and perhaps a few indentified signals from some personal control device not far away. However I do feel strongly that in this waist land of HF frequencies there will always be the sound of CW signals by those who delight in the use of the ancient telegraphic art. World wide CW will become once again where it first began,and who knows? at last a code free license.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Cry Baby Syndrome
Date: Thursday, April 08, 1999 10:06 AM

Not once has there ever been anything posted here that reflects what is really going on. One fellow will write about on thing, and several replys will be entirely off the wall and has not bearing what so ever on what is trying to be said.

Everything seems to be based on , I dont want to take the code test because I cant do it, or I refuse to do it.

More excuses for not wanting to do something for one reason or another. Its the same story over and over like a spoiled child crying because he or she cant have her own way.

Seems as though the no code people have one thing on their minds now and they are all in a dither over the notion that for some silly reason they will be able to get something without earning it.

I think the ARRL and FCC should put the whole thing to rest one way or the other and get it all over.

I think a slap on the ass and a firm no is the best answer.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: In Other Words
Date: Thursday, April 08, 1999 11:50 AM

In other words....I know a fellow who has driven big semi's and taxi cabs in New York, Raced cars at Daytona and Indy 500, not to mention a stunt car driver for Universal Studios. The only one thing is he does not have a drivers license in any of the fifty states and thus can not drive legally. He must be some kind of idiot, or perhaps he just does not really want to drive an automobile as you and I do anyway. Hmmmm, yes ofcourse that must be it.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Comets
Date: Thursday, April 08, 1999 8:47 PM

Comets are somthing that passes by earth every so often. Oh did you say coments?

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: No Coders Get Started Now
Date: Friday, April 09, 1999 10:22 AM

All you so called no coders should get started now.Take a day off from work, dont bother with this news group, and above all dont turn on any ham equipment to drool over the the sound of cw. You only have 266 days left before the new Millinium Babies are born.Unlike the code, you should not have any problems. Oh Yea, find the YL and Good Luck

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Boot Legging
Date: Friday, April 09, 1999 10:30 AM

Is boot legging still practiced. Every now and then I hear what sounds like the tapping of a key or keyer without any significant meaning. Some years ago I heard my own call calling CQ so I answered signing with the same call.We had a darn nice QSO and I even got a really nice QSL card post marked in Arkansas. Them were good days. Back then a boot legger was a good operator. Today all I hear is rude jamming and key down carriers to QRM a DX station who is listening 5 khz higher in frequency. Is that still considered bootlegging, or is it now considered jamming?

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Seat Belts,Helmuts and Air Bags
Date: Friday, April 09, 1999 6:29 PM

Do you think for one instant that anyone cares about you flying through the windshield of an automobile, or cracking your head open on the pavement after a motorcycle accident. Think again and get real. The only reason all these protective devices are forced on you is because there is money to be made.

Any law that comes in to play never saves anyone a buck.Think about that.

Now getting back to Ham Radio, think about CW and all the idiots who cant pass the code, no dam money in that is there? Ya gotta sell radios to licensed idiots because idiots spend money on radios.

One thing, if I had a brain that could not copy CW, the last thing in the world I would ware is a dam helmut.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Club Station Operation for no Code Hams
Date: Saturday, April 10, 1999 9:30 AM

The only way I would consider the no code license would be if it could only be used at ARRL affiliated Amateur Radio Clubs only, and that a duly licensed Amateur holding the class of license that is to be used be present during times of operation. Under these circumstances a no code license would provide a way for one to get aquainted with Amateur Radio without the pain. A basic examination of operating procedures could be left with the Clubs VE officials and a written permit could be issued for a one year term. I think this would open the doors more freely to those who want to try their hand at Amateur Radio but are not sure what its all about.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: I BELIEVE IN REINCARNATION
Date: Saturday, April 10, 1999 6:52 PM

Many years ago we had a jack ass on the farm that was as stuborn or lazy as could be. I would have to take the jack ass out in the fields to work and every time we tried to get that jack as to do something it just would kick and stomp and be darn right nasty. One day I got so darn angry I struck the jack ass between the two ears with the axe and it fell over and died. My Father told me that was the worst thing I could have done because that jack ass was going to come back and haunt me in its second life. Well my OM was right as usual. Have you ever had someone badger you and you cant figure out why.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Code Tests Stay as They Are
Date: Saturday, April 10, 1999 10:38 PM

Nothing is going to change.I cant imagine anyone even thinking that the Morse Code requirements are going change.

The Code is not the problem here. The real problem are those who just are to lazy or dim upstairs and dont have what it takes, not to mention a number of other reasons.

Amateur radio operators are still highly skilled comminications experts.To say that the code requirements should be changed is wreckless and will destroy amateur radio.

Its my opinion that anyone who wont learn, to send and recieve code at the present 13 and 20 wpm rate is not worthy of becoming an amateur radio operator.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Mr. Riley
Date: Sunday, April 11, 1999 9:50 AM

Yes. its time we all shake Mr. Rileys hand for the effort on his part in trying to clean up amateur radio.

I hope Mr. Riley, once he has reached his goal can focus on the No Code issue and work to preserve what we have now.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Hi Tech and Hams
Date: Sunday, April 11, 1999 9:57 AM

There is no reason why any ham worth his salt cant repair what he has today.

I am not saying he has to build a Kenwood TS 950 SDX , but he should be able to repair anything that goes wrong with it. All that is needed is the basic tools and every ham should already have them in his tool box. The best tool is on your shoulders.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Actually ....
Date: Sunday, April 11, 1999 10:20 PM

The best proposal would be to shut down the amateur radio frequencies to all modes except CW. This would allow more room for CW to expand. Lets face it, if all you want to do is talk, get your self a cell phone or a no code two meter radio and go talk to someone. All I hear on the phone bands is nothing more than a lot of soap box conversations, I this and I that, yak yak yak about nothing what so ever.As for the digital crappola, and that is exactly what it is," CRAPPOLA", that is what computers are for.Last buy not least, the code tests should include one hundered percent copy on paper. This would leave one class of license of all top gun first class amateur radio operators. After all, amateur radio is telegraphy.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Radio Kraze for Y2K
Date: Monday, April 12, 1999 11:47 AM

Seems as though there is some sort of Kraze going on for personal communications. Most of the people I hear on the VHF repeaters are mostly into hamming simply for personal reasons. Hello Honey, I am on my way home from.........what is for supper.......do you need anything at the store.....tell the kids I will pick them up in a few minutes......Is this what ham radio is coming to ? What happened to the cell phone idea ? Pretty soon we will be hearing drug deals going down on the repeaters and all kinds of other non amateur radio activities. I even hear foreign imagrants speaking non english for hours.One fellow is in a bar talking to another driving a truck. Dont ask
to use the repeater either because they dont understand you. It wont be long before this sort of activity is taking place on the HF frequencies between the USA and the Azores Islands, Hey Manual, how is Juan doing with the cows.Thank goodness for CW, at least I wont hear that crap.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Hams Can Fix an Automobile
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 1999 10:27 AM

Every ham should know what is going on behind the front panel of his radio equipment. Fortythree years of amateur radio and I have built all my own equipment, not to mention antennas. Over the past years I have gone to commercially made ham equipment. Even though I have stopped building my own radio equipment, I do understand and can repair my own amateur radio station when needed. Most of the hams today are not capable of repairing their own radio equipment and I can perfectly understand that.The problem is they are not interested in the technology that goes into the ham equipment they own. I often wonder how anyone today is able to really understand the theory that goes into the license exams. The younger people today seem to be a lot more on the ball than when I was a kid, yet when it comes to learning something they seem to look for the easy way out instead of being curious enough to want to learn. I hear the same excuses, like I own an automobile but I am not a mechanic,so should I need to know what makes my car work to have a license to drive. When I was a youngster no one said I had to be a mechanic either, but I learned how automobiles worked and repaired my own because I was interested in learning,most of all it was fun.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: What A MESS
Date: Tuesday, April 13, 1999 7:18 PM

Cant copy code, cant fix a radio,what a mess.Where are we going. All I read here are a bunch of idiots who just barely got their Tech license or even General, how I dont know.And for some of the Extra Class I read here who cant copy code, how in the world did you ever get your license ? Hmmmmm.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Cat Is Out Of The Bag
Date: Wednesday, April 14, 1999 10:23 PM

Ever since the ARRL announced that a reduction in code requirements may be a reality, everyone who is having difficulty learning the code got weak knees and wet their pants in antisipation. Well catch your breath, because that pussy cat aint going far.I have a feeling the ARRL and FCC are running around now with the net in one hand and the bag in the other. Its going to be tough for them to break the bad news, but I hope they learned the lesson about letting cats out of bags next time around.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Sacrifice Amateur Radio
Date: Friday, April 16, 1999 11:03 AM

Seems as though there are a few,so called no code indivisuals who are willing to sacrifice amateur radio to suit their won personal and greedy habits of getting things for nothing. Lowering standards is certainly not a good choice.

On the air conversations reflects an entirely different view of what I read here on NG. I have yet to personally meet a ham who is in favor of lowering any standards. No one I know has ever had any difficulty in upgrading.

ARRL employees are agaist the lowering of standards but are keeping quiet for obvious reasons.

No code advocates have actually employed Exrta Class amateurs with two letter calls to play devils advocate by using their calls, as if to say I am an extra and I am for it, well anyone who has been around amateur radio for any lengh of time can see through that scheme.

To sacrifice for the sake of a few unworthy indivisuals is not only wrong, its totally against the grain of what America is all about.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: How Old Is FM
Date: Friday, April 16, 1999 1:31 PM

ARMSTRONG, Edwin H. (1890-1954). The static-free circuits that make all radio and television broadcasting possible were invented by Edwin H. Armstrong, an American engineer. When he was only 21, he devised the regenerative, or feedback, circuit that not only brought in signals loud enough to be heard across a room but also generated wireless waves. Edwin Howard Armstrong was born in New York City on Dec. 18, 1890. As a child he was interested in mechanical contraptions. At age 14, having decided to become an inventor, he built a maze of wireless apparatus in the family attic. He attended the Columbia University School of Engineering.

During World War I, while serving in the United States Army Signal Corps, Armstrong invented the superheterodyne circuit. This is a highly selective means of receiving, converting, and greatly amplifying very weak, high-frequency electromagnetic waves. It is the basis for almost all radio, radar, and television reception. In 1933 Armstrong secured the circuit patents that were the basis of the frequency modulation (FM) system. He died in New York City on Jan. 31, 1954. And oh yea! he was a ham.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Percent of What
Date: Friday, April 16, 1999 8:53 PM

What percent of who are in favor of a lowering the code requirments? Seems as though the percentages of Advanced and Tech Plus's, is close to that number. Its easy to understand why there are so many who want the code out of the equation. Advanced hams are drooling for that Extra Class priviage, and of course Tech Plus's are all in some kind of rectal discomfort waiting to automatically move up to take over General Class frequencies. Seems as though all these numbers are manipulated to suit the needs of whom ever it pleases. The bottom line still remains. Why should any changes be made when the Extra Class population is growing faster than the lower classes. Just listen to the CW ends of the bands and it does not take more than a second to realize that its jammed with Extra Class CW activity, especially during a contest week end. The Majority of hams dont sit around crying for sympathy, they are all working DX on the low end of the CW bands.That percentage of no coders are the loosers that make up the percentage against, that is where the magic numbers come from. In fact, the number is so dismal,its pathetic and not even worth considering.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Last Posting
Date: Saturday, April 17, 1999 9:37 PM

Many General Class amateurs who took their exam at FCC field office's have never forgiven ARRL for the incentive licensing. This cost the already General Class licensee privileges that he or she already had. Ofcourse the majority have already upgraded to Advanced or Extra soon after the incentive program went into affect,but there are still those who have'nt Today new proposals being that Novices and Tech Plus amateurs are going to be given credit towards a General Class license certainly is rubbing a lot of old General Class amateurs the wrong way. I suppose they feel as though they should be given credit for at least the Advanced license. Then the Advanced amateur would want to be given the Extra Class. The ARRL really screwed up big time with incentives, only to go full circle and do a non incentive program to fix the mess they made the first time. The more you think about it, the more it begins to sound as though things are out of control. So now what is the ARRL up to now? Reduce the code requirements, make it so that Novices and Tech Plus licensees can move up to General. Is this the kind of leadership amateur radio deserves? And now I read here on the NG that some ARRL people admit that Amateur Radio is being pawned. Quite frankly I was surprized that anyone from the ARRL would post such a statement. I am afraid that amateur radio is changing, and its not for the best.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: ARRL Get Real
Date: Sunday, April 18, 1999 2:21 PM

ARRL You have to get these NCI thoughts out of your head. If the League wants to be around for the future it dam well better start by making Amateur Radio a respected hobby. There is just to much at risk here and those who are in favor of changing things dont know diddley about buisness and they could care less if the ARRL lives or dies.The only thing they are looking for is to use ham radio the way they see fit. I would strongly suggest keeping a firm grip on the helm and downsizing the over head at the leaque to offset the financial problems. Restructure and change within the ARRL and plan for a smarter future by keeping Amateur Radio healthy for the Y2K. Its amateur radio that is going keep the league going,and amateur radio means real hams, not the kind that cant learn how to use CW. Your basing your future on loosers and amateur needs winners.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Old Harley's and CW
Date: Sunday, April 18, 1999 5:33 PM

I Guess riding a Harley without a helmut has to be the way to ride even if one is bald on top, who cares. Certainly not a reason to wear a pot on my head. But what I wanted to say is like the Harley,beautiful as they are,gives me the same pleasure as CW. I wonder if NCI advocates ride Kawasaki or Honda and Yamaha's and let us not forget that silly sounding Suzuki.State of the art technology? Do CW men ride Harleys? I am an avid

CW op and had a Honda and I never quite felt as though I was riding a real motor cycle.Oh yea...I just have to say one more thing, looking at a Vibroplex Bug or a Harley Davidson makes me feel good to. I wish Ford or Chevy would start making them 1934 convertibles again instead of them state of the art plastic wonders.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: No matter what happens
Date: Wednesday, April 21, 1999 10:36 AM

Indecision is the key to flexibility. If you find something you like, buy a lifetime supply, because they will stop making it. All things being equal, fat people use more soap. You can't tell which way the train went by looking at the track. Be kind, everyone you meet is fighting a tough battle too. This is as bad as it can get... but don't bet on it. There is no substitute for genuine lack of preparation. By the time you can make ends meet, they move the ends.Happiness is merely the remission of pain. Nostalgia isn't what it used to be. Sometimes too much drink is not enough. The facts, although interesting, are generally irrelevant. The world gets a little better every day, and worse in the evening. Someone who thinks logically is a nice contrast to the real world. The other line always moves faster... until you get in it. Anything worth fighting for is worth fighting dirty for. Everything should be made as simple as possible, but no simpler. Friends may come and go but enemies accumulate. It's hard to be nostalgic when you can't remember anything good. I have seen the truth and it makes no sense. To live forever, acquire a chronic disease and take care of it. Suicide is the most sincere form of self-criticism. If you think that there is good in everybody, you haven't met everybody. If you can smile when things go wrong, you have someone in mind to blame. One seventh of your life is spent on Monday. The more you run over a dead cat, the flatter it gets. Happiness is good health and a bad memory. Do unto others

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Ham Radio Is Dieing
Date: Wednesday, April 21, 1999 7:23 PM

Ham radio is just about running out of life.The HF frequencies are going to sufficate the life out of hamming with all sorts of home control devices.Cables are radiating rf that is going to make hamming just about impossible.Things are looking grim.The commercial communications industry is eye balling ham radio frequencies for their own use.Groups are gathering to kill the code requirements. Things sure look bad dont they?

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Why A License?
Date: Thursday, April 22, 1999 1:20 PM

Why does one need to have a license to communicate as in ham radio. Actually its a thing of the past and the days of having to regulate ham radio are no longer required. The FCC could simply set up some new form of guide lines to follow. The Citizens band frequencies could once again be combined as part of the allowable frequencies for communications. The name Amateur, or Ham and Even Citizens and Personal can be used as a classification. With this simpler form of communications, anyone could use these frequencies with out the pain and hassle that now exsists. Those who choose to continue on using CW can still do so. There is plenty of room for everyone to use the frequencies that now exsist. As for call letters, Pick anything you like " Handle".

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Focal Points and Fuzzy Images
Date: Friday, April 23, 1999 11:15 AM

The focus seems to be on lowering the code requirements with the possiblity of eliminating them all together in the future. Seems as though because the code is no longer used by the military it is no longer needed. There are those who are having a difficult time mastering it and feel as though its a waste of time to learn something that is no longer needed. The code is said to be a weeder to prevent some from obtaining a ham license Lowering the code will enhance the population of ham radio thus increasing the ARRL membership. Total elimination of a license is frowned apon as that would destroy the fabric of ham radio. And I even read something about perhaps a special code only class for those who want to have exclusive code privilages,I dont know how that would work. ARRL is fighting to keep what we have, and that RFI is going to be a problem in the future and pehaps even now. I dont understand how a change is going to correct any of the above problems. The one main issue that remains strong is the code, its that code that is really the big problem.By changing that one aspect of licensing it will be the answer to our problems,for the time being ofcourse. I dont know about the rest of you,but it seems silly to consider that the only reason the amateur radio population is dropping is because of the code.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: $ave ARRL and Ham Radio
Date: Friday, April 23, 1999 2:59 PM

Why dont we all just write out a check $100. and send it to ARRL to get them back on their feet and for a job well done.In the long run its going to be easier and cheaper to pay. Amateur Radio Operators have been getting a free ride long enough and its about time we stopped complaining and pay our way. Everyone here knows the real problem is money. Its costing a fortune to keep us going. If every licensed ham in this country was to make a donation to the ARRL in order to fight for what we have in stead of changing things that will lower our standards we would all be better off for it down the road. Making the ARRL the most powerful organization on planet earth is certainly not going to hurt amateur radio,and we have the numbers to do just that.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Code Speed
Date: Friday, April 23, 1999 8:43 PM

The current code speeds that are used in the license exams are far from being considered high speed. Twenty words per minute is certainly not a fast speed for CW, infact its very slow and somewhat uncomfortable. CW does not start untill thirty words per minute, that is when things just start to happen.High speed CW does not really start until fifty words per minute.The best CW speed for cashual conversation is between thirtyfive and forty words per minute as send with the conventional electronic keyer,any speed above is best handled by a keyboard keyer,then fifty plus words per minute is more enjoyable as it allows for the freedom of thought flow. To consider twenty words per minute high speed is incorrect, and anything less would be to say the least, painful. One does not have to copy code, like one does not copy someone talking, its just understood.The faster its sent, the easier its understood.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Solomon,My Final Conclusion
Date: Saturday, April 24, 1999 1:42 PM

The only thing that may solve the problem with code and ham radio is to cut the hobby in half. The no coders can take the upper" phone bands" and those who like code and have passed the 20 wpm code test will have exclusive use of the CW end of the bands,as well as the phone end. Ham radio will be divided in two classifications. No Code Amateur Radio, and Extra Class Amateur Radio. The only hitch is that everyone will have to take the Extra Class exam or loose what they now have. With or without 20 wpm depends on what your priorities are. So here it is again. Everyone gets to be on HF. The no coders will get on all HF phone bands and the Extra will have both worlds because of the 20 wpm code test. Two classes of licenses.Oh yea. the beauty part is that any time a no coder decides that he or she wants to get on CW, he or she can take the 20 wpm code test at any time and become Extra. Last but not least, I still think we have another problem that needs solving and its the ARRL. I suggest that every ARRL member should dig into their pocket and help fund the ARRL so that they can continue on being major represenitive of Amateur Radio. Make no question about it, it is going to cost money to support ARRL and unless we all decide to support them, were all going to loose out in the end.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: I Wish
Date: Sunday, April 25, 1999 7:09 PM

I wish the FCC would pass the code free ham ticket. Then open all the ham radio frequencies to CW only.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The CW Bug
Date: Sunday, April 25, 1999 8:59 PM

I think all those who are against the code should be hit over the head with a gold plated Vibroplex Bug . Once the bug bites its hard to shake.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Internet I Phone

Date: Wednesday, April 28, 1999 11:01 AM

I would think that the Internet would have more to offer than ham radio.The most interesting is "I- Phone" that allows world wide communications in both audio and video at anytime of the day.No antennas, QRM or poor band conditions,RFI problems,and all the other problems with radio communications. With all the talk about CW, or Morse Code being out dated is to say the least when considering the possiblities available with todays computer technology. I often wonder why anyone today would even consider ham radio as a hobby. As for me, when I was a youngster radio was the rule of the day. If I was a kid today I would not even consider ham radio for a moment. Actually speaking the only significant aspect of ham radio is the telegraphy part and everything else is by far surpassed by todays computer technology. This is why I really think that ham radio for all its worth should be left to CW. Does anyone really see a future in HF radio besides CW, or perhaps the commercial telecommunications industries? I my self, if I was looking at HF radio for the first time it certainly would not be for communications unless it was to practice the art of telegraphy. The only ones who stand to gain a thing out of keeping ham radio alive is the ARRL and the companies who make ham equipment. The VHF and UHF like in two meters need not even be licensed at all and should be open to anyone who desires to communicate and experiment with computer technology at a higher level. My opinion. Jaguar

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: HF for CW only
Date: Wednesday, April 28, 1999 4:28 PM

HF is for telegraphy.Why would anyone waist time playing with anything that is already being done ten times better on the Internet. Radio in the HF part of the spectrum is pretty near dead and telegraphys death certificate is now being written. I think both telegraphy and HF radio should be allowed to die together and all those seeking high tech communications go to where the action is. Its no wonder young people are not looking towards HF radio, its not because of the code,its simply because there are better ways of communicating than on HF radio. I Phone for instance, any time of the day or night I have had interesting, live audio and video communications to any place on earth. There is a whole world of ultimate techology in communications and that is where the young kids are. The local radio clubs here are mostly older people who are struggleing to learn more about computers instead of radio. and some youngsters who could care less about HF or anything it has to offer. I can remember when an HF station at the club was always in use. Today it sits there and no one gives it a second look or even cares to use it. On the other hand a PC on the internet you cant get your hands on it. What does the club have for lectures these days?Certainly not radio. Its all mostly slide shows and talks on Internet and computer technology.Ham radio classes are almost non exsistant.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Listening
Date: Saturday, May 01, 1999 3:53 PM

Just listening here on 20 meters.I hear very few signals on the phone part,but on CW the signals are coming in from all directions. I turned on the spectrum scope and it also showed strong activity on the lower CW end of the band. During the evening the same is true on 40 meters. CW is the most popular mode of operation. One does not need a crytal ball to know where the action is.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Lesson for the week.
Date: Saturday, May 01, 1999 8:35 PM

A is sounded as "Dit Dah". Next week we will study the letter, B "Dah Dit Dit Dit" Good Luck.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Metaphysics of Learning
Date: Monday, May 03, 1999 10:30 AM

As Mr hare so puts.... >From here, the most productive thing that we ALL can do is to help soothe the >wounds caused by this great debate. The next step will certainly go more >smoothly if we can continue to find compromise solutions. These could include >code testing that is not pass/fail like the current exam, or code testing >related to priveleges that are essentially all CW only, etc. I don't have a >crystal ball, but agree again that the next step will not end the issue. >Wouldn't it be nice if the next step were accomplished by people who are being >nice to each other... :-) > >73, >Ed, W1RFI Ouch! Take it easy Ed, Get out doors and get some fresh air.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Lesson Two
Date: Monday, May 03, 1999 7:58 PM

Well I hope everyone has learned the letter "A". This week we will study the second character in the alphabet, the letter "B". Are you ready..The letter "B: is "DAH DIT DIT DIT". Next week we will progress onto the third letter of the alphabet "C" Dont forget to sound these letters out as often as you can. Good luck

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Lesson Two
Date: Monday, May 03, 1999 7:58 PM

Well I hope everyone has learned the letter "A". This week we will study the second character in the alphabet, the letter "B". Are you ready.. The letter "B: is "DAH DIT DIT DIT". Next week we will progress onto the third letter of the alphabet "C" Dont forget to sound these letters out as often as you can. Good luck......

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Waiting For The Other Shoe To Drop
Date: Tuesday, May 04, 1999 12:40 PM

With all the talk that has been going on here about code, things are awfully quiet up stairs.Everyone is sort of waiting to see which way the FCC is going to go. As for me I think I will get the Windex and clean my crytal ball because the last time I looked into it I saw Mr. Ed dressed in wolfs clothing.I have even tried talking to the magic mirror on the wall and all I can see is the ARRL with a basket of poisened apples that have long since gone rotten.How ever the NCI group for some reason insists on eating from the basket. Getting back to the other shoe,could very well be the FCC does not have a leg to stand on,but does that mean one shoe is missing ? Gosh we could be waiting for nothing.Oh Yea! that reminds me,let me tell you about the fellow a saw once at a Boston ARRL ham fest.This guy had sneakers on, one was sort of a dirty white, and the other was bright red, holding a can of RAID bug killer trying not to be noticed as he stood close to the Vibroplex booth ,what some guys wont do.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Roll Over Hirem
Date: Tuesday, May 04, 1999 8:17 PM

As Amateur Radio slowly decays into what will soon be nothing more than a waist land for the use of personal communications and home control devices, so wont the ARRL. Early into the new century the ARRL will be dismembered and forgotten about. Personal communications will also disapear from the radio frequency spectrum as more and more electronic devices consume and turn the HF spectrum into a waist land of white noise and digital pulses that have no significant meaning other than to turn on and off someones automated home servicing system. Licenses will no longer be required and radio frequencies will simply be there for the taking by anyone who finds a use for them. So what are we all waisting our time over code and licenses for. Let everyone do as they please with what ever is left of it because pretty soon it aint going to be worth listening to anyway.See you later ARRL, the future is coming and it wont be in your favor.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Telegraph Keys
Date: Friday, May 07, 1999 3:55 PM

I wonder who is buying all these keys, paddles, not to mention keyers. I hear the sales on Bugs and other varieties of keys and paddles are at an all time high at some high prices. I took an inventory just for the heck of it to see how much money I have invested in keys and it comes to well over a thousand dollars.Whew! if I had only known a few years ago that the price of keys were going to sky rocket. A key that cost $75   is now selling for $150, and some keys have trippled in price. I have one here that cost almost $300 and I cant remember paying more than $100 for a key in my life. If code is eliminated I wonder if the price of these keys will go even higher ?

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Start Over Again
Date: Sunday, May 09, 1999 9:27 PM

I think every ham today, Extra on down to Novice should be subject to an entirely new exam on electronics theory,non multiple choice along with an oral examination. Every licensed ham should have to build a complete radio station without any help. Non of this appliance plug and play units. The code test should be a grueling solid 5 minutes copy of 20 wpm for Extra. No other class of license. You get the Extra or you dont get to be a ham. Once you prove you can build your won equipment, then you will be allowed to buy a radio.That would reduce the QRM down to where it was some years ago when it was much nicer to be on the air. Get caught without a license and its 20 years and several thousand bucks like the old days. I am sick and tired of listening to all the cry babies, wa wa wa no more code wa wa wa, why do we need code wa wa wa.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: New Game
Date: Monday, May 10, 1999 3:24 PM

If I was a kid all over again ham radio certainly would not be on my list of things I would want to do now. It does not matter if its a code test or what ever, if I wanted to be a ham nothing would stop me from getting to where I waned to go. Looking at what technology has to offer today, ham radio can never compete.Computers are the way to go and it certainly would be my choice of fun things if I was a kid again. I find that just using Internet Phone opens the biggest door for world wide communications using both audio and video. Its difficult to go back and listen to ham radio after playing around on the internet. I have tried SSB and its just not as good as the Internet Phone. I do think CW is at its all time high for modes of operation at this time only because of the contests which I have no interest in other than listening for a moment or two. Towers and antennas not to mention thousand apon thousand of dollars invested in the radio shack here just dont compare to the small investiment of $2500 invested in a PC. What I cant imagine is how in the world is ham radio ever going to spark interest in all these new people by reducing requirements for licenses? If the use of ham radio was for the taking, no licenses needed it still would not be attractive except for a few who write in the NG and that is not a large population. My entire family are Extra Classed and they all have since given up ham radio. Collins S lines and Kenwoods collecting dust and cob webs in some dark corner of basements,antennas that have long since been converted to be used on the VHF marine band.One who has an Icom 706 because its tiny and out of the way, and one who is a retired doctor who still now and then fires up his old Hallicrafters to listen when there is nothing else to do. Ham radio for me may now be nothing more than a bad habit that has been taking up more of my time all these years. I find my self wrestling with the thought of dismantling towers and antennas and selling off all the ham gear while its still worth something. So its not just the new kids who are not interested, its the older hams that are also loosing interest. Ok, I have said more than I should have again and I know I am going to get picked to pieces for it as usual, but go a head and pick as long as your having fun. 73 Jim

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Save CW
Date: Tuesday, May 11, 1999 9:45 AM

The sure cure for NCI and ARRL insisting that a change has to be made is to simple discontinue being an ARRL member. That will kill the no code debate and them arogant NCI nit wits. Seems as though these younger people just want to come walking in and get everything the easy way. If all the old so called Farts who are for keeping things the way they are stopped subscribing to that QST magaizine I think the message would come through loud and clear. I do work many CW Farts as one NCI member so says it ,who by the way earned their license the old fashioned way, have already discontinued QST years ago when ARRL first had the brain storm of improving ham radio's image by inventing the incentive license. That was supposed to give us hams more respect. The only thing the ARRL did was shoot themselves in the left foot and has been financially limping ever sincel. This time it will be the other foot and perhaps Ham Radio can get rid of this ARRL once and forever. The ARRL has lived its day an today its nothing more than a bloody plaque that is going to wipe out ham radio in the end. Once again ARRL has nothing more to gain than increasing memership and they are willing to sacrifice everything that ham radio stands for in the process. Amateur radio is being led to slaughter by a magazine puplishing outfit that is in financial ruin.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Taking It With Them When They Go
Date: Wednesday, May 12, 1999 2:51 PM

The ARRL is going to take everything with them when they go.Amateur Radio is going to be left in ruin. Right now they are slowling, limb by limb dismembering Amateur Radio. Morse is being accused of being the cause of all the problems.Old time radio hams who love telegraphy are being accused of holding things back.The younger" You Owe Me Society"are demanding easier access to ham radio " privilages. " Amateur Radio has been split up into groups and are now fighting among each other, the divide and conquer method approach . All those who are apart of the NCI group are only ruining what once was a good thing just because they are led to believe by the ARRl that code is the major problem.The sooner all Radio Amateurs demand unification by reuniteing and demand that no lowering of any standards be set, the sooner Amateur Radio will regain its strengh into the future,but we can not do it by lowering standards and allowing ARRL to continue on proposing idiotic ideas in order to gain members into the ARRL. The population of Amatuer Radio need not be so large that if it does not support the ARRL financially is considered to low. ARRL has continued on making the population of Radio Amateurs its main goal for no other reason than its own membership.If the ARRL was to simply go out of buisness,things would improve in a more natural way without forceful recruiting programs to attract more members.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Using A Keyboard Mobile CW
Date: Wednesday, May 12, 1999 5:03 PM

Just to consider how easy CW can be. Not long ago I used to QSO a fellow who had a keyboard attached to the steering wheel of his buisness truck.Often I would catch him on the road and keep him company as he drove from one place to another. The CW was super perfect and he was using full QSK which made it better. He would be sending CW at 50 wpm and driving at the same time.Often more than one station would engage in the same QSO using full QSK and not a word was lost, even when more than one was sending at the same time.It was funny, I could always tell when he was making a sharp turn because it was impossible to continue sending with a keyboard that was rotating upside down. However using a buffered keyboard he was able to control such interuptions.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Where did they go?
Date: Friday, May 14, 1999 9:28 AM

Have you noticed how quiet them two ARRL boys have gotten over the past couple of days. Every day they were in here slugging it out here and now they dissapear. I guess they know something and they are not telling. The other day I was reading 73 magazine about the ARRL name change, and presto the same day everyone is talking about it here on the NG.Did anyone ever read that in QST or does 73 get inside information.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Weasles and the Skunks
Date: Friday, May 14, 1999 9:33 AM

I dont know about you, but when you have weasles and skunks around there is going to be trouble in the barn yard.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: NCI And Two Fingers.
Date: Friday, May 14, 1999 12:13 PM

Some years ago I was at the registry of motor vehilces waiting for an eye test. In front of me there was an elderly gentleman who was quite nervouse about having to pass that eye test. After several minutes of struggling and not reading off what he was seeing in the machine correctily, the gal giving the test called one of the registry inspectors who came over and held up to fingers and asked the elderly gentleman how many fingers did he see. The man quickly replied "two" and the inspector said your OK and the lady quickly processed his application and he went in the next room to get his photo ID for his new license.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Old Goats and CW
Date: Sunday, May 16, 1999 2:08 PM

Seems as though cw is aquainted with being an old goat, someone who has age spots how enjoys living in the past. Nothing could be further from the truth. The majority of younger radio amateurs today are using cw on the HF bands and are Extra Class licensed. There is no question that cw is still the most popular mode of ham radio communications. All one has to do is tune across the amateur radio bands, especially during a contest week end and its not difficult to notice that cw is being used on a world wide scale with great skill. I usually try to find some old goat on cw, and there are plenty of them, but the majority of cw hams are younger, and quite a few are teen agers who have learned to master cw as well if not better than any old goat I have ever heard. Last but not least, I hear more old goats on SSB doing nets than I do on cw and that is the truth.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Its Not CW's Fault
Date: Sunday, May 16, 1999 8:59 PM

Its not the fault of CW that the phone bands are almost dead. I guess if they did away with code perhaps then the phone bands may find some use.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Remember This
Date: Sunday, May 16, 1999 9:24 PM

CW is going to survive and be around long after ham radio perhaps forgotten and most of us here today are dead and buried.If anyone thinks otherwise they are most welcome to do so. If you live long enough and turn into an old goat you will remember what I just said and wish that you had taken the time to learn that wonderful art of Morse Code, but then its never to late to learn is it?

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Morse Code Hazing Rituals
Date: Monday, May 17, 1999 8:47 PM

Where do so many of you NCI advocates get the notion that the Morse Code is a form of hazing. If you were to go for your ham exam and a VC person beat you over the head with an old telegraph key because you were not coping the code, then I would say it is a hazing. I think all you NCI people have monkeys on your back and are still afraid of the dark.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: It Makes Sense?
Date: Friday, May 21, 1999 9:12 AM

1. Code is not longer needed.
2. I can not learn code.
3. Trying to learn to copy fast enough has causeed me mental depression.
4. I would not allow my childeren to waist time learning it.
5. I have my Extra and I can copy 25 wpm but never use it.
6. Governments want Amateur Radio frequencies so we need more hams.
7. Younger people would consider ham radio over internet if it were not for the code.
8. Having to learn the code is a form of hazing.
9. Morse code is used to discriminate.
10. Records show that hams who have learned the code and became hams cause more of the problems on the amateur radio bands.
11. Anyone who is against changing the code requirments is said to be old and living in the past.
12. The ARRL would like to see the code requirements changed because it

would attract more interest into the hobby, and money has nothing to do with it.
13. The majority of hams using CW are found to be around 60 years old or older.
14. Keeping code requirements as they are will put the future of Amateur Radio at risk.
15. The future of Amateur Radio is looking grim because ARRL membership is low.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Were In Good Hand
Date: Friday, May 21, 1999 12:09 PM

I guess Amateur Radio is in good hands. As long as it can keep the money makers happy there will be no problem. I suppose if other Governments including ours could not touch ham radio frequencies if   more hams were spending money on new radios and magazines. Then I guess the bands would be so crowded that it would be useless like the CB radio service and no one would want them. Could it be that the reducing or eliminating the code requirements for ham radio licenses be the answer? That must be it, get rid of code and amateur radio will survive, yup that must be it.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: New Tests Requirements
Date: Friday, May 21, 1999 8:39 PM

No one with an IQ of less than 99 is allowed to take a ham exam. A blood test must show no signs of ever having genital infections.General physical GI style that includes a rectal exam, if you have hemrhoids you will be disqualified from taking the Extra Class exam . No one shall be blind, deaf and must have at least one good arm, hand and leg with a foot in order to qualifyfor the code test. All other limbs are not required .All adults must be four feet or taller and not weigh more than 98 pounds. Anyone under the ages of one year do not require a license . Anyone who now holds a license and is 60 years of age or older and sufferes from age spots and memory loss and is a member of the telegraph wireless society is automatically disqualified and no further renewals shall be granted unless he or she is an official of the ARRL and in good standing with NCI with a written letter of approval.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: New Tests Requirements
Date: Friday, May 21, 1999 8:39 PM

No one with an IQ of less than 99 is allowed to take a ham exam. A blood test must show no signs of ever having genital infections.General physical GI style that includes a rectal exam, if you have hemrhoids you will be disqualified from taking the Extra Class exam . No one shall be blind, deaf and must have at least one good arm, hand and leg with a foot in order to qualifyfor the code test. All other limbs are not required .All adults must be four feet or taller and not weigh more than 98 pounds. Anyone under the

ages of one year do not require a license . Anyone who now holds a license and is 60 years of age or older and sufferes from age spots and memory loss and is a member of the telegraph wireless society is automatically disqualified and no further renewals shall be granted unless he or she is an official of the ARRL and in good standing with NCI with a written letter of approval.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Doctors Are Not Required To Know Code
Date: Saturday, May 22, 1999 8:54 PM

God forbid, but lets imagine your about to undergo a very serious surgical procedure that requires much skill and just as your being prepared for the surgery you learn that the surgeon who is going to perform the delicate procedure is also a ham radio operator and that he is unable to learn the code well enough to upgrade beyond the Tech Plus level. As your being wheeled into the operating room in an almost semi commotes condition how do you think you would feel? Would you try to regain your conscience and scream,or would you not give it a second thought ?

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: CW Just To Valuable
Date: Sunday, May 23, 1999 12:02 AM

How can anyone justify not keeping the code requirements as they are now. The code test must remain intact as it is now because changing it in anyway will certainly lower the standards of Amateur Radio. Anyone who learns the code to become a radio ham certainly has not waisted his time in doing so. Knowing the code is a valuable asset to communications.To say that the code requirements should be changed for the sake of attracting more new comers into the hobby is without question not in the best interest of amateur radio and the art of communications. CW is just to valuable and an effort from everyone who wants to become an Amateur Radio Operator should be made to learn how to use it with the upmost skill. Its unthinkable to even consider Amateur Radio licenses being issued without the current code requirements.

From: J1aguiar j1aguiar@aol.com
Subject: Old Generals Get Screwed
Date: Monday, May 24, 1999 4:03 PM

How about the old general class, remember when they used to take the license exams at a FCC field office ? They want to grandfather the Tech Plus and the Novice to general right? How come no one considers grandfather a general class who took his exam some 30 years ago at one of the FCC exam offices.I think it would be only fitting to at least consider giving them the Advanced. Now lets not forget the guy who took his advanced back then also, will he get an automatic grandfathering to Extra? Now this leaves the the Extra, what does he get, a free cigar for his efforts ? This whole idea of free rides is crazy. If the code tests are going to be reduced or even eliminated I think Tech Plus or Novice's should be required to retake the entire upgrade version of the new license. It only seems fair that they take the new exams that will be issued seeing as they never did pass the required code tests. So here it is again. The FCC has eliminated the code or reduced the required speed and all those who have still not upgraded to general will have to take the newly formulated exams inorder to become general.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Big Joke
Date: Monday, May 24, 1999 5:43 PM

Two major initiatives will allow greater access to the full range of facilities that Amateur Radio has to offer, and broaden the appeal of the hobby to a wider audience. More amateurs will have the opportunity to experience worldwide communications, and newcomers to the hobby will find it possible to make contacts on a wider range of frequencies. In the above paragraph they use the words "major initiatives". What initiatives are they talking about ? What they mean is they are going to give access to a full range of facilities that amateur radio has to offer. Hell it did not take any initiative to get that.

From: James Rosenthal <z005381b@bc.seflin.org>
Subject: "Unknown Organization" speaks out
Date: Sunday, May 02, 1999 12:40 AM

To whom it may concern (or even not concern), At this time, alleged "unknown organization" will expose, by using "we" logic, that the ARRL is actually a cover group of NCI. DISCLAIMER: "Unknown organization" makes no claims that this is in any way a statement of policy or belief of said organization or any members or non-members. This is only an example of "inlligence type logic" and this "example" is not to be taken any more seriously than the original. "We" has "proven"; ;Premise #1 - Passing a code test is an achievement. ;Premise #2 - Things are achieved via hard work. ;Conclusion #1 - Passing a code test requires hard work. ;Premise #3 - Whine is defined as "a complaint uttered" {Webster's New ;Collegiate Dictionary} ;Premise #4 - [ARRL] has stated that it wants to lower the code test ;speed. [This could] be "interpreted as a complaint against an unjust CW ;test." ;This is validated by the fact that [ARRL] sent a document to the FCC ;requesting that its complaint be acted upon. ;Premise #5 - Publishing a web page with the intent that the web page ;gets read is synonymous with making an utterance. ;Conclusion #2 - [ARRL] is (and the members are by attribution) whiners. ;Premise #6 - [ARRL's] *stated* goal is [to change] code tests. ;Premise #7 - An organization with the *stated* goal of [changing] ;code tests will tend to primarily attract members who [want to change] ;all, or many, of the code tests required for the licenses they seek. ;Conclusion #3 - The [ARRL] membership is comprised primarily of people ;who have not passed all, or many, of the code tests required for the ;licenses they seek. "We" concluded with; ;I am now allowed to freely call any [ARRL] member a "malcontent", ;"whiner", or "non-achiever" at ill. ---- End of "proof" ---- And using this "logic", it follows that the ARRL is actually a whining cover group -of- NCI. This "logic" can seemingly be used against (almost) -anyone- who wants code test changes made. And since those "whiners" at the FCC are the ones that really started all this with that darn NPRM Jim Rosenthal, WA4STJ (Non-member of ...., or maybe I am.)

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Re: United States only place where code-no code debate is going on?
Date: Sunday, May 30, 1999 8:50 PM

>Subject: Re: United States only place where code-no code debate is going on?
>From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
>Date: 5/30/99 1:30 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <7irslo$eat@newsops.execpc.com>
>
>
>J1aguiar wrote in message <19990529231458.15364.00001834@ng-fm1.aol.com>...
>>>Subject: Re: United States only place where code-no code debate is going on?
>>>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>>>Date: 5/29/99 10:44 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>>>Message-id: <7iq8mv$kjo@news.Hawaii.Edu>

>>>>Larry Klose (lklose@net-link.net) wrote:
>>>>: It amazing that the procoder that are screaming the loudest, such as Eric
>>>>: June and Jim Aguiar, never filed a Comment with the FCC.

>>>We let our ARRL speak for us. Remember, it's the quality of the comments
>>>received, not necessarily the quantity.

>>>73, Jeff KH6O

>>I hate to say it, but I have lost faith in ARRL. I think amateur radio is in
>>the hands of those who aim to profit by it and there is nothing anyone can do.
>>Amateur radio is about to be consumed by the beast of progress. The code issue
>>is being used as the instrument of destruction, and that is sad.
>
>What is sad is that you equate NCI's efforts to delete section 97.503(a)(1),
>97.503(a)(2) and 97.5039a)(3) from the FCC rules; and the deletion of S25.5
>from the ITU rules with the destruction of Amateur Radio. Lighten up!
>
>If this is so important, why didn't you file a comment with the FCC.
>
>Larry Klose   KC8EPO   NCI#1166
>

Larry I am plumb tuckered out. Another few more years it wont make any
difference to me one way or the other.As it stands now I have more money
than Icare to think about in Amateur Radio, not to mention antenna and
tower. Its been all CW for me for the past 44 years and I think its about
time to give it all up and let amateur radio find its way into the future

with you younger folks. In the mean time I may just listen to CW every now and then as its hard to break an old habit.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Here is an Extra Suggestion
Date: Monday, May 31, 1999 1:40 PM

Lets take all the HF frequencies and make them strickty CW. Anyone who wants to commuincate can get into CB radio or get one of them no coder licenses and play on the VHF and UHF bands where they cant hurt do any damage. How is that for a dandy suggestion.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: ARRL Where Are They On Week Ends ?
Date: Monday, May 31, 1999 1:45 PM

Seems as though them computers at ARRL are quiet on week ends. Come Tuesday the NG will light up once again.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Ham Radio and CW
Date: Wednesday, June 02, 1999 11:57 AM

The way I see it, if you want the rainbow, you have to put up with the rain.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Wrong
Date: Wednesday, June 02, 1999 12:05 PM

Joe Della Barba wrote:
> I guess all the RF engineers I know will have to quit work, seeing as how they don't know CW.

Dont be silly, there is no need to quit work over not being able to pass a code test for ham radio.Being an RF engineering does not require knowing the Morse Code. 73's J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: There Back
Date: Wednesday, June 02, 1999 12:09 PM

The internet comes back to life as soon as everyone gets back to work.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Hot Shot Electronic Engineer
Date: Thursday, June 03, 1999 5:27 PM

I remember a fellow some years back, considered as being a hot shot EE, it took him several trips"13" to the FCC office before he came home with his General Class. He was an Electronics Engineer and built his radio shack from scratch. Back then it was AM and his station was a big floor console on casters, Impressive it was, except he was getting into every television, radio and he was even getting into a bathroom next door,which I thought was funny then. As long as I knew this guy he never did correct the TVI problem nor did he know how. The FCC even put him on restricted hours for a spell. This fellow would be on the air constantly during the time he was at home and would stay up until the wee hours talking to who ever was there on his radio and unable to get off to work the next morning. Needless to say he was a disgrace to ham radio and the neighborhood I lived in, and because of him I was ashamed to admit that I was a ham also. Being an electronics engineer does not mean he will make a good ham. This fellow would have made a good NCI person as he hated code. This does not mean of course all electronics engineers are this way. Being an electronics engineer has absolutely nothing to do with being a better radio amateur.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Bottom Line Still Remains
Date: Friday, June 04, 1999 1:28 PM

Nothing has changed. Its the same reasons over and over that all come to the same point. "I cant learn the code so get rid of it". Its a nice trick for those who stand to gain from it. 73 J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Bottom Line Still Remains
Date: Friday, June 04, 1999 1:28 PM

Nothing has changed. Its the same reasons over and over that all come to the same point. "I cant learn the code so get rid of it". Its a nice trick for those who stand to gain from it. 73 J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Devils Of NCI
Date: Friday, June 04, 1999 10:33 PM

MR. TOWNSEND says:
Thanks Dick for that insightful and highly intelligent reply to my post. I will put in a good word for you when the barbarians are at the gate and want to burn you at the stake as a Morse code supporter.

KF4HMN

Mr Townsend , Burning at the stake,barbarians at the gate. What in hell kind of talk is this. The man responds to a posting and he gets a reply like that. You dont sound like the kind of person I would care to have as a fellow ham radio operator. 1ag

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: How Many NCI Are ARRL Members?
Date: Sunday, June 06, 1999 12:05 PM

I wonder just how many NCI who post here are ARRL members and support ARRL by their membership dues ?. The majority of ARRL members are not NCI and the majority of ARRL members are PRO CODE. In this equation, lets assume that we take into consideration all the hams who are currently licensed as Advanced or General, and let us not forget Tecnician Class who have every motivation to see the current code requirements lowered to in order to upgrade to Extra Class. If any adjustments are made to the licenseing requirments to induce growth in the amateur radio population, it will not only be a dismal growth increase that will reflect nothing more than a negligible growth in ARRL membership, amateur radio will also loose its crediblity makeing it less attractive. Once again, were are not selling a product here. 73'es J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: CW I.D.
Date: Saturday, June 12, 1999 8:15 PM

How many hams using repeaters are able to copy the CW ident ? And with no code license classes, I think they should at least change all the repeater idents to Voice so hams can at least know the call letters of the repeater they are listening to. But then again, who really cares. J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The End Is Near As Predicted
Date: Sunday, June 13, 1999 2:23 PM

It sure looks like the end is near for ARRL and VHF and UHF. As for HF radio I think its on its way out as well, but not totally.In the not to distant future HF radio will continue on being the place for CW activity. Telegraphy will dominate the HF bands over any other mode of operation. SSB and digital will soon disapear from HF radio. Those who remain using CW or Telegraphy on HF will have no interest in the ARRL if it should even continue to exsist, which I doubt it will as we know it today. One thing for certain, the quoted " Devoted Entirely To Amateur Radio" will be nothing more than a memory. Change is coming as predicted. J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Does Anyone Know?
Date: Sunday, June 13, 1999 11:19 PM

What is the prime motivation of the ARRL. What do they do that is so really important to ham radio besides publish reading material, insurance not to mention trivia and novelty items,and let us not forget an organization with financial problems. 73 J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Re: How Many NCI Are ARRL Members?
Date: Monday, June 14, 1999 12:05 PM

>Subject: Re: How Many NCI Are ARRL Members?
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: Mon, 14 June 1999 03:35 AM EDT
>Message-id: <19990614033513.16428.00002347@ngol03.aol.com>
>
>In article <19990613112259.29164.00000993@ng-da1.aol.com>, j1aguiar@aol.com
>(J1aguiar) writes:
>
>>Hi Jim...There are many CW operators who are using Vibroplex Bugs and they
all
>>sound good.
>
>Hello J1,
>
>Thank you!
>
>> One thing for sure, call a CQ using a BUG and you will always find
>>a good QSO with a real CW pro at the other end, and that aint no kidding.
>
>That has been my experience for 25 years with my Vibroplex Original. I get
many
>unsolicited compliments from hams wanting to know what keyboard or keyer I
am
>using. That is no joke. In contests I often send while holding the
pencil -
>saves time and keeps me from slapping the bug too hard. Contests can be a
good
>measure of how good your sending is -being asked for repeats from stations
with
>strong signals is an indication of a bum fist.
>
>>I dont have anything against a keyboard. I have used one in the past at
speeds
>>of 70 plus wpm.
>
>My wheels start to fall off at about 45 wpm, so it's not a problem.
>
>> As for weighting, I have found that a normal three to one is ok at slower
speeds,
>> but above 50 wpm I tend to think lightening up on the ratio makes for a
better sounding
>> QRQ, however it does not really matter other than it sounds nicer when
the ratio is matched.
>
>I always shoot for the perfect 3:1 ratio, and no swing. It is not that
hard. Easier than touch > >typing.
>

> Today I simply use the internal

>>keyer in my TS 950 SDX or one of several other keyers on my TS 930.Even
though
>>I have several Vibroplex keys in the shack I tend to lean on the Vibroplex
>>Paddle with the Electronic Keyer. But there aint nothing like a Vibroplex
Bug on CW.
>
>Agreed!
>
>There are several important points to using a "bug" correctly.
>
>First, it must be understood that a bug has a certain speed range. Most
will
>work from about 12 to 50+ wpm. Trying to use a bug to send outside its
speed
>range is the cause of many troubles.
>
>Second, the op must really know the code well, and be able to send good
code
>with a straight key. Some folks try to skip the straight key step, but
that
>is
>a mistake. A bug does not forgive sloppiness.
>
>Third, the bug itself must be a good one. I have never used a bad
Vibroplex -
>but many of the imitators are junk. Lafayette used to sell a cheap Jap
import
>that was a real piece of [expeletive deleted]. The collectors go for the
>narrow-based "Blue Racer" and "Zephyr" models, but in practice you want a
big
>heavy base that will stay put on the table.
>
>Fourth, the bug must be in good repair and properly adjusted. I won't go
into
>the procedure here, but many troubles can be traced to improper adjustment.
I
>frequently go to hamfests, and often find bugs for sale by folks claiming
to
>be
>knolwedgeable about them. Yet very few are properly adjusted.
>
>Fifth, good sending is a skill, and you gotta practice, practice, practice.
>Try
>sending the following sentence on a bug - first fast, then slow.
>
>"She is 55 es she is his sister"
>
>Ten reps with no mistakes is pretty good.
>
>Brian Burke, N0IMD, has made some uncomplimentary remarks about bugs and
>their

>users. I must assume such comments are made from ignorance.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Hi Jim:
I did it "She is 55 es she is his sister" and its a good exercise. I have been using a bug for the past half century and I must say there is no substitute for a Vibroplex. I have seen and tried a lot of so called bugs and they are at best junk.

I am not a Vibroplex collector, but I never refuse buying an old Vibroplex. The other day I was driving past a yard sale and stopped to see what there was.To my surprize I saw an old Vibroplex Original Standard Mode just like brand new. $5 and I walked away with it. Many years ago I owned a Blue Racer and I cant remember where it went. Today I have a new Original Deluxe that I bought when they were being made up in Maine, I also have an old Lightning Bug and the one I got at the yard sale. I have a closet full of paddles that are all brand new and some of which cost as much as $300. However I use two Vibroplex Paddles, one is the older single lever "non Iambic" and the other is a newer double lever "Iambic" which has been modified for non Iambic use. The other non Vibroplex paddles still remain in there boxes and have never been used. I must admit though, most of them are really a work of art and required some heavy design and machine work to produce, not to mention the extensive use of brass and silver. Its amazing though, in todays age that Vibroplex is still able to produce the same product as it did some 50 or so years ago. Some things dont change, thank goodness. Last but not least, the sound of a Vibroplex is the signiture of a pro.HI J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: No Code Idiots Must Have Pumpkin Rot
Date: Tuesday, June 15, 1999 10:58 PM

Reading this months issue of QST where a 10 year old gal gets her Extra Class.
I cant help but wonder what all the fuss is about when I read these kind of stories. Here is the ARRL suggesting the lowering of the code requirements and
they publish a story about a 10 year old gal who gets the ultimate class of ham
radio license. All you No Code Idiots should be ashamed to even complain about
the code. You know what I think, all you NCI all have pumpkin rot and worry
about old hams with age spots and young kids learning the code. HI J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Sour Grapes
Date: Thursday, June 17, 1999 8:21 PM

To all you NCI people, I say raspberries to you. Zane W1HIC made fools of

all you people.I think the ARRL published the story to prove a point. Wake up NCI, everyone is laughting at you. The whole NCI thing is one big sham.
J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Learning Code Is Easy
Date: Saturday, June 19, 1999 12:58 PM

Yes its easy. Actually its so easy that it scares the hell out of most people.All it requires is a minimum amount of effort to be able to use code at 13 wpm, and 20 wpm is not much more faster and a lot more enjoyable. Younger people are not as likely to become intimidated by learning the code. I think older folks are more affraid of failure than anything else and that is why the code has become the stumbling block. Anyone can do it, and even those who have tried for years can do it if they just put their minds to doing it instead of failing. Reducing the code standards is going to cause more harm than good if it means that so many people wont be required to learn it to get their license. Amateur radio is Morse Code and CW is one of the most used and enjoyed modes of operation in amateur radio today.To become a ham and not know code is a horrible thougt. That is the truth. 73'es J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: No Respect
Date: Monday, June 21, 1999 2:14 PM

I think if keeping the Morse Code serves no other purpose but to filter out the rude arogant and self centered greedy indiviuals who call themselves NCI, then its all the more reason that the code requirements remain intact as they are today. Its bad enough that some have already found a way around the code and have obtained an Extra Class license. J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: All CW
Date: Wednesday, June 23, 1999 3:15 PM

The FCC should consider opening all HF radio, general coverage to CW only and require a 50 wpm code test as a weed eater. This way the No Coder Communicators can have a ball without having to put up with CW on their ham frequencies. It would require a new licence for telegraphy only, and to tell you the truth I just as soon it not be called Amateur Radio anymore.
J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Obvious Postings
Date: Tuesday, June 29, 1999 11:53 AM

Why do people continue on trying to promote the no code standard, or at least reduced code requirements. Its obvious that these so called no coders either dont like CW, or they dont even want to learn it,not to mention those who stand to gain by it. They even invent reasons why learning the code is of little importance and that if the code requirements are not reduced it will be the end of ham radio. And even worst, some of these people actually take it apon them selves to teach and promote amateur radio to youngsters. I have never met a youngster yet who has found learning the code difficult or uninteresting and that goes for many of the adults who find learning code something they have always wondered about and never had a reason to learn it until now. Amateur radio is a very good reason why one should learn and practice using the code. A amatuer radio population that is code ignorant is not amateur radio anymore.J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Another Joke
Date: Friday, July 02, 1999 9:30 AM

Here is part of another posting quoting the famous ARRL letter.

"The league has already sent a detailed letter to the Commission recently almost demanding that they adopt their proposal as a middle of the road compromise and claiming that the delay is stopping a lot of Amateurs from upgrading their license class etc."

Reading the above I am wondering how a delay could have stopped anyone from upgrading. Surely by now the ARRL must realize that the term upgrade is no longer a valid one. If the ARRL proposal is to ever be excepted, then there will be no upgrading. The entire incentive program would need to be changed before any new proposals can be considered.

Looking a bit closer at the ARRL letter shows more than a concern for all those who are waiting for the new proposal to upgrade. It shows that the ARRL has once again shot itself in the left foot and is prepared to shoot the other in an attempt to increase a dwindling ARRL membership.

The last time I visited a radio club that was doing VE exams for Amateur Radio Licenses I never once saw any guards standing at the door stopping anyone from upgrading, if fact everyone was welcome to apply for and take the exams. 73 J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: High Values
Date: Friday, July 02, 1999 10:08 AM

Here is a clipping taken from one of the postings that indicates that they are out there.......

"Would a few more of you OT's please resign from the League? "

(THAT MEANS THE MAJORITY OF THE ARRL MEMBERS RESIGN)

"It just makes my recent Life Membership that much more valuable"

(HERE IS A FIRST CLASS LOOSER WHO DOES NOT KNOW VALUE)

" as input to the Directors on policy matters. I'm sure it comes as no surprise to anyone"
(LIFE TIME MEMBERSHIP SPEAKS)

" here that I want the League to support elimination of morse testing ASAP".

(HE DOES NOT CARE WHAT OTHERS WANT)

"As soon as S25.5 is no longer an issue) I would like nothing better than to not have your pro-code input a factor to those Directors."

(I THINK HE READS HIS MONTHLY ISSUE OF QST IN THAT LITTLE BROWN BUILDING OUT BACK LEAVING EACH ISSUE SEVERAL PAGES LESS WITH EACH VISIT.) J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: And Then He Created HF
Date: Monday, July 19, 1999 3:00 PM

There is no question that CW and HF radio go together. Anything else is just a passing fad that comes and goes. All your digital modes are nothing more than computer parlor games for those who like to play computer jocky on the ham radio bands. Digital modes are best left to the cable or the VHF and UHF part of the radio frequency spectrum. The only other mode that I will consider for HF is SSB. Single Side Band works out really great on HF. Why anyone would want to use digital on HF is beyond me, especially when they can sit back and communicate with CW or SSB with out all the computer junk that is required to make digital possible. J1.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Name That Tune
Date: Saturday, August 07, 1999 12:20 PM

Well the Fat Lady has not even arrived to the stage where she will sing the requested songs.
One thing for sure, there will be plenty of tomato's available at the ARRL booth in lobby for those NCI'ers, just in case she is sings the wrong request.

From what I understand,the most popular request has been" When The Moon Comes Over The Mountain". But word has it that she is going to be asked to open with "Your Cheating Heart". The show has been a complete sell out and everyone is expecting a large turn out for the grand performance.J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Name That Tune
Date: Saturday, August 07, 1999 12:54 PM

Well, the Fat Lady has not arrived. The stage is set and all is waiting. One thing for sure, there will be plenty of tomato's available at the ARRLbooth.

From what I understand,the most popular request has been, " When The Moon Comes Over The Mountain". But word has it that she is going to be asked to open with , "Your Cheating Heart". The show has been a complete sell out and everyone is expecting a large turn out for the grand performance.J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The True Story
Date: Tuesday, August 17, 1999 1:17 PM

When all is said and done and the reduction and or elimination of the Morse Code requirements become the standard amateur radio as we know it will change for ever. The change will make it possible for many who simply want to utilize ham radio for personal communications and have no interest in amateur radio for what it is. Amateur radio is not and never has been intended to be used for commercial use. Many who stand to gain financially are anxiously waiting for the change in hopes it will increase the population of licensed amateurs. None of these changes are best interests of amateur radio, it will indeed suffer from the increased numbers of non amateur radio interested people and those who are hoping to financially gain. J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Poor Reasoning
Date: Monday, August 23, 1999 1:03 PM

There is no reason other than laziness or stubornness, not to mention the" YOU OWE ME" attitude for not being able to learn code and get up to speed. Amateur radio is unlike any other kind of communications. It does not matter if the commercial or military has stopped using code or not, its all the more reason why amateur radio must keep the code requirements as they are.In the history of amateur radio everyone learned the code and was never sorry they did, even if they chose not to use it. One could simply say that they would never build or repair their own amateur radio station equipment, so why should they have to learn the technology that makes it all work. There are countless reasons why we all should not learn or do things that we dislike and we try to justify reasons in one way or another. One of the reasons that has really come to light is that code is being forced on one, its a pitty that anyone could even consider that reason as being a valid one. J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: CB and Ham Radio
Date: Saturday, August 28, 1999 1:23 PM

Everyone should be welcomed to join amateur radio. To say that the people

who use CB radio are not capable of becoming a ham is not true. I knew of an old timer who for years was a ham, electroincs engineer, ET in the Navy where he learned electronics and through the years as a ham he built all his own amateur radio equipment. As time passed he gave up amateur radio for CB. But what I am saying is not that, I think everyone should be treated equally. Anyone who wants to get into ham radio should not find it difficult. Most of the radio clubs welcome anyone and everyone is always more than willing to help one get started. I know of many hams who were once a CB'er and have made the transisition without any difficulty what so ever.
J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: CW Forever ?
Date: Sunday, August 29, 1999 9:27 AM

No question, CW is the favorite of all modes and its not about to change, not now and not later. In the future its possible that ARRL may be long gone due to financial illness and Amateur Radio as we know it today will certainly change. There may even be a new magazine that says"Devoted Entirely To Amateur Radio" on its cover. In the future CW will become even stronger than ever before and those who choose not to use it will be left out,not because of any license requirements, but because they chose apon them selves to be left out. I would not be surprized one bit if Amateur Radio becomes a CW only activity some time in the future because nothing else will be of interest in radio other than the use of it, in fact its already happening. J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: To Many Poor Reasons
Date: Saturday, September 04, 1999 2:04 PM

I often wonder if there is an end to the excuses why one should not have to learn code, or why code should be removed from the amateur radio license exams. They are all excuses, one way form or another, non of which have any bearing on amateur radio and, or its requirements. I think anyone who insists on creating reasons to why they should not do something instead of simply doing it , especially when doing is much easier than not is a fool playing a fools game, there are no winners in that game. I hope that the FCC listens up and takes all into consideration in thinking about the new proposals, it would be a sad day when fools can be considered worthy of any changes that would destroy the incentives of those who take pride in what they do. The poorest reason is, " just because you did it, why should I have to be forced to do something if I dont like it" J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Jones List Of Silly Reasons
Date: Thursday, September 09, 1999 9:26 AM

If anyone has any more reasons to add to this list, they are wecome to do so. Reasons 18 through 23 were added by J1.

>1-I did it, so should you.
>2-You might need it in a personal emergency.
>3-You can talk to people that don't know English.
>4-The military needs CW ops.
>5-It weeds out the nuts.
>6-We can't break the treaty(it's ok to break part of it).
>7-If we don't make people learn code CW will die.
>8-There might be an SOS from a ship in distress.
>9-You might be lost in a rowboat with only a flashlight.
>10-Lots and lots of emergency traffic is sent by CW.
>11-Commercial communication satellites use CW.
>12-CW is a ham tradition.
>13-If you don't learn code you're lazy.
>14-It teaches kids something useful.
>15-It's needed in movie scripts.
>16-CW is a religion.
>17-You need CW if you can't hear and/or talk.

>18- I am unable to learn the code,
>19- I can not up grade because I can not pass the required code test.
>20- Amateur Radio die if code remains a license requirement.
>21- Morse Code is obsolete because the US Government no longer uses it.
>22- Why should I learn the code when I will never use it.
>23- Just because the older hams had to learn code does not mean I should.

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Jones List Of Silly Reasons Contest
Date: Thursday, September 09, 1999 1:49 PM

Anyone who has a good reason why code should or should not be used as a license requiremtent feel free to add yours to the list.

>1-I did it, so should you..
>2-You might need it in a personal emergency.....
>3-You can talk to people that don't know English....
>4-The military needs CW ops.
>6-We can't break the treaty
>7-If we don't make people learn code CW will die
>8-There might be an SOS from a ship in distress
>9-You might be lost in a rowboat with only a flashlight.
>10-Lots and lots of emergency traffic is sent by CW...
>11-Commercial communication satellites use CW.
>12-CW is a ham tradition..
>13-If you don't learn code you're lazy.
>14-It teaches kids something useful.
>15-It's needed in(some) movie scripts.
>16-CW is a religion..

>17-You need CW if you can't hear and/or talk
>18- I am unable to learn the code.
>19- I can not up grade because I can not pass the required code test
>20- Amateur Radio die if code remains a license requirement.
>21- Morse Code is obsolete because the US Government no longer uses it.
>22- Why should I learn the code when I will never use it.
>23- Just because the older hams had to learn code does not mean I should.
>24- CW is efficient
>25- CW transmitters are easy to build
>26- We already have enough people in this hobby

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: The Jones List Of Silly Reasons
Date: Thursday, September 09, 1999 1:53 PM

Anyone who has a good reason why code should or should not be used as a license requiremtent feel free to add yours to the list.

>1-I did it, so should you..
>2-You might need it in a personal emergency.....
>3-You can talk to people that don't know English....
>4-The military needs CW ops.
>6-We can't break the treaty
>7-If we don't make people learn code CW will die
>8-There might be an SOS from a ship in distress
>9-You might be lost in a rowboat with only a flashlight.
>10-Lots and lots of emergency traffic is sent by CW...
>11-Commercial communication satellites use CW.
>12-CW is a ham tradition..
>13-If you don't learn code you're lazy.
>14-It teaches kids something useful.
>15-It's needed in(some) movie scripts.
>16-CW is a religion..
>17-You need CW if you can't hear and/or talk
>18- I am unable to learn the code.
>19- I can not up grade because I can not pass the required code test
>20- Amateur Radio die if code remains a license requirement.
>21- Morse Code is obsolete because the US Government no longer uses it.
>22- Why should I learn the code when I will never use it.
>23- Just because the older hams had to learn code does not mean I should.
>24- CW is efficient
>25- CW transmitters are easy to build
>26- We already have enough people in this hobby

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Another Persons Opinion
Date: Monday, September 13, 1999 7:05 PM

I am posting this for another person. After my telling him what has been going on here on the NG he asked me to post this for him until he can find his way clear to responding himself. Here goes the following text.J1

"CW is part of ham radio; do away with CW and you do away with part of ham radio. Sure, cw is not essential but niether is Ham radio, it is just a HOBBY. The CW requirement is like an IQ test. If you can't pass the code, then your mentally retarded and really should not be playing with electricity anyway. Why lower the standard? As it is now, the newer hams I've met are a bunch of wingnuts GIVEN a license. Most hams are wingnuts to begin with. Ever have an eye-ball for the first time with a ham you have heard on the air many times. Quite frankly, I am more times than not, very disappointed. It seems to me that we ought to increase the code speed requirement. Seems to me, like a lot of things today, we are going BACKWARD...FAST! Why pick on CW? Anyone who tells me that the written exam is more difficult now that it was years ago is a mental midget; it has always been a piece of cake. Anyone that complains that the exam is difficult is a cry baby and we don't want them. Same goes with the code test...can't pass it, go to K-mart or radio shack and buy a CB radio and be like the rest of the assholes. Don't ruin my hobby".............That is the end of the message....I found it somewhat interesting coming from a younger fellow, who incidently has an Extra Class License. J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Who
Date: Wednesday, September 15, 1999 7:50 PM

Its bad enough now that Amateur Radio already has a code free license, that in my opinion is a finger in an eye of ham radio. To even consider the reduction of the code requirements is a finger in the other eye. And here we have the ARRL with its left foot already shot off and about to shoot the other one to hell. Amateur radio is in a bad way, half blind with one eye out and about to go blind in the other, and with a crippled organization leading the way I think were going to walk right smack into the gate at Y2K. As for the FCC, I would not blame them if they pulled the plug on ham radio. Lets face it, Amateur Radio has out lived its purpose and were dam lucky they have not pulled the plug on us already. J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Class Of License
Date: Saturday, September 18, 1999 8:51 PM

It seems to me that all the griping about learning code has to have some sort of logical answer. Here we are seeking ways to simplify things and we dont see the answer to the problem because its standing so close to us. There can be two classes of ham radio licenses, the Extra will be called Class A1,and will require the full 20 wpm test. The next to the top Class could be A3 full privilages above 30 MHZ and all phone privilages below . There wont be any need to limit them from using CW, because they wont know how anyway. So there we have two classes. The A1 and the A3.Everyone gets what they want with only two classess of license.Oh yea, the A3 class can not have a vanity call, they must have a 5x5 call, eg, abcde5fghij. That would be Alpha Bravo Charlie Delta Echo FIve Fox Golf Henry Item Juliet, That way we will know them dummies when we hear them on the air. HI J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Unreasonable
Date: Thursday, September 30, 1999 4:58 PM

I don't know who really wrote this one, but its a good example of the mentality thats behind the code requirment changes. Here goes...

I'm not a ham yet Rick, but I have shifted through hours of reading on the internet. I've poured through the IARU site,ARRL site, and email reflectors in order to get a full grasp on the morse code debate that goes on here. Even though I would like to see code eliminated, I still think 5 wpm should be a standard requirement across the board in the future till the requirement is struck from the international treaty. And yes, 12 wpm is reasonable for the Extra class at this time, since no one is required to hold an Extra class license unless he/she so chooses.

So there you have it.....What is so reasonable about a 12 wpm code test for an Extra Class License ? And then he says " no one is required to hold an Extra Class license unless he/she so chooses", are you kidding, with a code test like that you can dam well bet he/she will break a leg getting down to the local radio club for that next Extra Exam. Unless they keep things the way they are, we can expect to see Amateur Radio go down the tubes in the next decade. J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: CW Music
Date: Friday, October 15, 1999 9:52 PM

Anyone who things CW sounds bad, click on this and sit back and enjoy some good CW sounds. http://www.rac.ca/music.htm

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Speaking Out Loud
Date: Thursday, October 28, 1999 6:26 PM

http://www.eham.net/speakout/index.php3?id=16

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Not Alone
Date: Thursday, October 28, 1999 9:38 PM

One thing for sure, when my subscription of QST ends, so does my connection with ARRL. I feel that they are no longer in the best interest of Amateur Radio. I have read postings coming out of ARRL that is enough to make anyone who loves the hobby ready to give it all up. I feel as though I have been supporting an organization that does not seem to be interested in anything I believe in. I am not alone in feeling this way, there are many who share my feelings I am sure. J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Other Opinions
Date: Friday, October 29, 1999 11:26 PM

http://www.dl6fbl.de/cn8ww/oct26.htm

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Other Opinions
Date: Friday, October 29, 1999 11:26 PM

http://www.dl6fbl.de/cn8ww/oct26.htm

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: What A Mess
Date: Saturday, October 30, 1999 5:54 PM

That is what happens when NCI hits the fan. It splattered all over the place and to make it worse, it is beginning to stink. Like fly's, all the no coders are swarming in just as predicted. J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: Why A License?
Date: Tuesday, November 02, 1999 12:53 PM

Is there any reason today for amateur radio licenses? Seems as though the FCC and ARRL and everyone else involved in regulating amateur radio licenses is struggleing to justify a reason   to what I assume as an almost un needed license. I read where "most" amateurs are no longer involved in the technical apsect of radio electronics. Every ham, or I should say the vast majority of hams today buy their radio equipment commercially. Repairs are mostly done at repair centers. Actually there is no need for any license that requires a written exam dealilng with electronic theory. Perhaps a simplified exam dealing with operating proceedure may suffice. As for the Morse Code, there again, it has been proven that the majority of people who desire to obtain a license have no desire to either learn the code or ever use it . It would seem reasonable that a license could be issued on a simplified exam dealing with just the important things such as important FCC rules. My question is, why are licenses required at all. J1

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: What Does A Thankfull Fly Say
Date: Tuesday, November 02, 1999 7:11 PM

Brians wrote:
>

>Thank you. I couldn't bring myself to reply to that steaming pile of excrement.

That is service, they brought it to you, how lazy can you get, Enjoy. J1

From: james f aguiar <aguiar@students.uiuc.edu>
Subject: Why?
Date: Tuesday, September 14, 1999 7:40 AM

Why does the ARRL want to make it easier to become a Ham?

From: J1aguiar <j1aguiar@aol.com>
Subject: What A Mess
Date: Saturday, October 30, 1999 5:54 PM

That is what happens when NCI hits the fan. It splattered all over the place and to make it worse, it is beginning to stink. Like fly's, all the no coders are swarming in just as predicted. J1

From: J1aguiar <>
Subject: Why A License?
Date: Tuesday, November 02, 1999 12:53 PM

Is there any reason today for amateur radio licenses? Seems as though the FCC and ARRL and everyone else involved in regulating amateur radio licenses is struggleing to justify a reason   to what I assume as an almost un needed license. I read where "most" amateurs are no longer involved in the technical apsect of radio electronics. Every ham, or I should say the vast majority of hams today buy their radio equipment commercially. Repairs are mostly done at repair centers. Actually there is no need for any license that requires a written exam dealilng with electronic theory. Perhaps a simplified exam dealing with operating proceedure may suffice. As for the Morse Code,
there again, it has been proven that the majority of people who desire to obtain a license have no desire to either learn the code or ever use it . It would seem reasonable that a license could be issued on a simplified exam dealing with just the important things such as important FCC rules. My question is, why are licenses required at all. J1

From 7395933852966092478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:46:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <_OLE6.1383$QV4.109002@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:45:30 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 01:45:30 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19721

In article <3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl says...

>Well you can do alot of antenna work in that 8' space.

The point is not to "do alot of antenna work".

The point is to construct antennas which have a reasonable chance
of projecting a readable signal to a distant location.

Limiting the space to 8' above the roof is not reasonable, but equivalent
to the dump huck "let them eat fish heads" tag line of K4YZ.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 5359455296782947985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:46:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 23 Apr 2001 01:46:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9c01h2$cc8$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134> <3ae08001.3324290@news.mindspring.com>
<9br1sg$rb5$0@208.207.71.151> <3ae1a904.5133369@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19722
```

Bill Sohl wrote:

> Tube therey should be part of the basics today?
> Why?

For the same reason that Morse code should be. It is still a very viable, frequently used technology that can and does serve the public interest. There are millions of electron tubes in existance, and many hams still use tube type gear, some of which is hybridized such that the drive and final amplifier stages are tube type. Othere still use gear based on vacuum tubes, and many still build simple tube type ham gear for use on the ham bands. All news to you, isnt' it?

The point is clear: Just because more efficient technologies have taken over the mainstream of communications in no invalidates the usefulness of the eariler technilogies still in existance. When they become as hard to find as a wireline telegraph installation, then it might be time to write them off completely.

I don't know of a single wireline telegraph installation still in use. There are a few guys in a St.Louis club who like to play with it in their own meetings.

```
From -3288703227910741454
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:49:38 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 01:48:53 GMT
References: <3AE1A0C0.CB7F2B8E@sccoast.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010422214853.12445.00000683@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19723

Hi Dwight,

Thanks for the clarification. While I've not gotten to the six element level, I did add two more director elements to expand her to a four element quad. I need to clarify though. I have a half-acre plot with some fairly tall trees. When my wife and I first settled in, I threw up a dipole (taped to the wall, no less) and amazed my wife by working xxxxxxx (geographical references removed to protect the guilty) and xxxxxxxx xxxxxx in short order with 12 watts.

She asked if we'd be able to QSO with her Uncle in xxxxxxx, I told her we'd give it a shot but decided to "stack the deck" in our favor by puting up a stationary wire quad, appropriately aimed. Viola, just as if he was in the room next to her. I explained that we'd do even better on 10 meters with 100 watts and clearer frequencies. Now she's studying for her ticket. That's how our stationary wire quad was born. I'd love to erect a six element conventional 10 meter quad. (Yet another project to add to my list.) My next 11 meter project is a three element pseudo-quad with a "bi-square" as the driven element. I'm mulling over exactly how to feed this array. Our trees give us nice height (and camouflage) although my best friend in rural MD laughs at me and jokes that our trees qualify as large branches where he lives. That's ok, for all his space he can't put up an array of any size due to his neighborhood's deed restrictions. His hand are full just camouflaging a 2 meter quad in his trees. Anyway, sorry for getting so long winded on you. Take it easy, Dwight, and thanks again for the info.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 8465169104422605297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 18:50:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

References: <3ae388ab$0$62143$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <oTLE6.1387$QV4.109260@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:50:12 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 01:50:12 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19724

In article <3ae388ab$0$62143$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, Larry Klose says...

>
>I miss the good old day when James wrote his epic trolls.
>
>Larry KC8EPO

At least his trolls were original work, as opposed to mindless juvenile cut
and paste trolling by you.

Grow up.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 7757494638562897918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:03:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 02:03:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9c02hc$f6d$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <9br3pv$3c8$1@208.207.71.151> <20010421144325.29813.00000436@ng-

ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19725

W1RFI wrote:
>
> I have said that having to memorize over 1000 questions to get all amateur
> priveleges is a lot harder than my having to study 16 pages of study guide as a
> 14-year-old kid was.

But that statement does not address the problem. There is NO NEED to memorize questions and answers, and certainly not any such numbers at that. Applicants who prefer just take one element at a time and work with it until they can match enough answers to their questions to pass that test, then move on the the next test element and repeat. You don't seem to think they really do this. Worse, you refuse to believe it when I and many others tell you outright, from both watching it happen and from those who have done it, that it is happening.

From -7205141605745018454
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:12:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sn-xit-01!
sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 22:12:03 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <te73rdoahm3ude@corp.supernews.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200

X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 23
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15175
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19726

Actually, there are many who are suggesting that Hitler was a homosexual.
It is widely known that he did not have sex with women. So, yeah, you could
say that is another reason to hate Hitler and why people who had made that
choice, should not be in the scouts.

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org...
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > ....and I'm supposed to be "TOLERANT" of these people?
>
> Hitler was a heterosexual. Does that make you hate heterosexuals?
> Using terms like "these people" because of the actions of one
> person is collectivism, akin to sexism and racism. Why can't we
> just judge individuals for their good or bad actions?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5507672022503196157
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:14:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 22:14:12 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <te73vel8dvts05@corp.supernews.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0FD0E.9555BC26@sccoast.net>
<3ae23610.1958018@news2.dvol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com

```
Lines: 35
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15177
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19727

Yes, the Catholic Church has wised up.  When they know a priest has chosen
homosexuality, they are kept away from children...Duh..

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3ae23610.1958018@news2.dvol.com...
> On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:23:40 -0400, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>
> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >>
> >> There's a huge trash underway at very
> >> high levels in the BSA heirarchy over
> >> this bullshit. Individual troops have
> >> cut their ties with the organization
> >> and continuing on their own. There are
> >> rumblings at the council levels about
> >> pulling out of the national organization.
> >
> >
> >  And, if the Boy Scouts didn't have this policy, and one of the boys
> >were molested, there would be multi-million dollar lawsuits involved.
> >Look at the pile of lawsuits directed at the Catholic Church after
> >several "gay" church leaders molested some boys.  What other choice
> >does the Boy Scouts have?  In my opinion, this policy is an absolute
necessity.
> >
> Has the Roman Church unilaterally excluded gay preists from
> involvement with little boys? No. Duh?
> >
> >Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
> >
> >http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>




From -1652312915022480146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:24:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 23 Apr 2001 02:24:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
```

Lines: 38
Message-ID: <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151> <20010421145621.29813.00000441@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19728

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Nobody wants to make radiotelegraphy unavailable within the ARS.
>
> > Mahybe YOU don't.
>
> I don't either, Dick; that is two.
>
> >That doesn't mean others are of the same mind,
> >whatever they say for publication.
>
> Are you saying that they must be lying because they might be lying? If you want to convince me that most no-code-test advocates are opposed to CW use, I will need a bit more concrete proof than that. How did you arrive at that conclusion?

So why do you insist on playing coy, Ed? Do you really believe that none of the anticoders would dump code from ham radio instantly if they just had the opportunity? Well, they DON'T have the opportunity - now. So it makes much more sense to just be congenial and say "We really don't care if you use it.." knowing full well there's nothing they can do about it - now. But the drive to abolish mode allocations in the HF bands, should it ever come to fruition, might change that opportunity. So then, things change, don't they? If they decide to populate the traditional CW/Data end of the bands with QRO voice, they have a 'point' then that "there is no room for 'i nefficient' legacy modes.." With the type of help the Commission now had, judging from the initial wording of the restructuring NPRM should we not expect a sympathetic ear there?

To quote Bill Sohl in a very recent posting "Times change, positions change.."
(or at least that's the overt explanation).

From 5099796574415557566

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:24:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 02:24:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9c03po$ioa$1@208.206.142.101>
References: <9br3v9$3c8$3@208.207.71.151> <20010421150435.29813.00000445@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19729



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >You seem to think you have a good grasp on mine. So it only works in
> >one direction?
>
> I do not recall making any posts where I claimed to know what you were thinking
> or what your motivations are.  Can you refresh my memory with an example?
>

 If you read back through your postings of the past few weeks it would
seem to be inescapable.


From -112883546159764229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:26:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 02:26:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
```

Message-ID: <9c03t5$ioa$2@208.206.142.101>
References: <9bpl8c$pm4$0@216.155.32.187> <20010420200805.12023.00000332@ng-cr1.aol.com> <3AE112BD.AB2E4B7D@IEEE.org> <9bsbbn$n2r$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AE1ED71.6E1C4CDA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19730

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > What technique was he using?
>
> Probably an Instructrograph but it doesn't matter. If your language processor
> doesn't work for 200 milliseconds out of every second, you will never be able
> to copy 13wpm Morse code perfectly for one minute.

Makes a good story but I don't buy it.

From 8678689197554880250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:37:40 PST
Message-ID: <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:36:28 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org> <9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org> <9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org> <9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org> <9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org> <9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987993012 64.240.47.81 (22 Apr 2001 21:30:12 -0500)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19731

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I'm just trying to get you to realize that 50 years ago, tubes were
> > components. In 2001, *transceivers are components*.
>
> Cecil: It is still completly possible for hams to build their own
> stations using discrete components, it is done all the time. But NOT by
> many recent licensees who memorized the question pool..

It is still completely possible to build an automobile from scratch, Dick, but virtually nobody does it anymore. Times have changed. Hams simply do not need to know how to build their own rigs anymore. It's nice if they do but it is not necessary just as it was not necessary for you to build your own tubes. As the level of integration goes up, the necessary knowledge goes down. That's the truism of technical progress.

Have you ever asked yourself: What is the most important function that hams perform? What knowledge/skill does a ham need to perform that function? Should arbitrary knowledge/skill requirements keep people capable of performing that most important function out of the ARS?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From 3372964897393886042
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:39:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-

link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com>
<uvLE6.1372$QV4.107675@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 22:34:27 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3ae39515$0$88185$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 5003db36.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=oiI8mn5^?;QiAcBM^EMG<[LoK8f=:?
J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWIn2E7P_87G]lBFDaL\d^nU:]DLeP;?_4Q
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19732

"K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message
news:uvLE6.1372$QV4.107675@www.newsranger.com...
> I firmly believe that the amateur rules ought be simplified to:
>
> 97.1 You are required to pass a technical test to show that you
> understand how to build simple equipment which meets spectral
> purity specifications of .....blah, blah, blah. You will be
> issued a callsign when you pass the test. Transmit your call
> sign once every 10 minutes when on the air.
>
> 97.2 Your power limit is 1.5KW to the antenna. Here are your
> bands. Stay inside of them.
>
> 97.3 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and communicate
> and do public service and talk to strangers in far away lands.
> The government doesn't care what mode you use for any of this.
>
> 97.4 Play nice. Deliberate interference will cause your license to
> be immediately and permanently revoked.
>
> /signed/ FCC

What no code test? Too simple, never fly.

Larry kc8epo

```
From -8518043952494434445
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:42:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AE39636.E2692017@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:40:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134> <3ae08001.3324290@news.mindspring.com>
<9br1sg$rb5$0@208.207.71.151> <3ae1a904.5133369@news.mindspring.com>
<9c01h2$cc8$0@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987993278
64.240.47.81 (22 Apr 2001 21:34:38 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19733
```

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > Dick wrote:
> > > Tube theory should be part of the basics today?

> > Why?
>
>  For the same reason that Morse code should be.
```

What kind of answer did you expect, Bill? :-) Wonder if Dick would
support a test on building one's own tubes as a Morse code exam replacement?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5988236434746887151
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:44:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AE396B1.F58E9A14@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:42:57 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151> <20010421145621.29813.00000441@ng-ff1.aol.com> <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987993400 64.240.47.81 (22 Apr 2001 21:36:40 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19734

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Do you really believe that
> none of the anticoders would dump code from ham radio instantly if they
> just had the opportunity?

What are they going to do, Dick? Storm the FCC Bastille?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1879527651648754969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:47:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AE3976D.3DA411D3@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:46:05 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bpl8c$pm4$0@216.155.32.187> <20010420200805.12023.00000332@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3AE112BD.AB2E4B7D@IEEE.org> <9bsbbn$n2r$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AE1ED71.6E1C4CDA@IEEE.org> <9c03t5$ioa$2@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987993588
64.240.47.81 (22 Apr 2001 21:39:48 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!europa.netcrusader.net!
204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19735
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Makes a good story but I don't buy it.

What you don't buy doesn't matter, Dick. The doctor diagnosed it and the FCC bought it. That code waiver actually worked better than anti-depressants.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6229566697185021792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:51:00 PST
Message-ID: <3AE3984C.ECD49DBF@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:49:48 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9br3pv$3c8$1@208.207.71.151> <20010421144325.29813.00000436@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <9c02hc$f6d$0@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987993812
64.240.47.81 (22 Apr 2001 21:43:32 -0500)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-x.support.nl!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19736
```

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > I have said that having to memorize over 1000 questions to get all amateur
> > priveleges is a lot harder than my having to study 16 pages of study guide as
a
> > 14-year-old kid was.
>
>  But that statement does not address the problem. There is NO NEED to
> memorize questions and answers, and certainly not any such numbers at
```

> that. Applicants who prefer just take one element at a time and work
> with it until they can match enough answers to their questions to pass
> that test, then move on the the next test element and repeat. You don't
> seem to think they really do this. Worse, you refuse to believe it when
> I and many others tell you outright, from both watching it happen and
> from those who have done it, that it is happening.

Well then, those guys are doing exactly what you want, Dick. They are expending a lot of energy doing the unnecessary work, work, work of memorizing the Q&A's when it is a lot easier simply to learn the material. They are taking the hard way out rather than the easy way. That's exactly what you said you wanted.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4162640873375309967
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:55:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AE39948.CA3BBEC5@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:54:00 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3AE25C7F.CBEC7626@IEEE.org> <20010422211158.28252.00000591@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987994065 64.240.47.81 (22 Apr 2001 21:47:45 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!

```
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19737

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> ... I think you mean the "slow/medium" speed Morse code
> testing requirments, because 20 WPM is NOT "high speed" code by
> any stretch of the imagination.

It was not a value judgment, Larry, just a means of identification.
Slow/med/fast for 5/13/20 seems an entirely logical way to identify
the different code *testing* levels.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -5907837278044377208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:57:24 PST
Message-ID: <3AE399CC.119E7620@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:56:12 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987994196
64.240.47.81 (22 Apr 2001 21:49:56 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

```
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19738

Jim Weir wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
> ->May I offer the opinion that it is slightly ridiculous to try to draw
> ->parallels between a life and death situation and a hobby.
>
> You may offer anything you please, but I fail to understand the comment.  Are
> you saying that flying is a life and death situation?  No more so than driving a
> car ...

Of course it is, Jim. A car cannot fall out of the sky. Please stop
being ridiculous.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2067046955089349126
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 19:59:55 PST
Message-ID: <3AE39A61.1950B58B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 21:58:41 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net> <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987994348
64.240.47.81 (22 Apr 2001 21:52:28 -0500)
Lines: 13
```

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19739

Jim Wayda wrote:
> The bottom line is that we need to eliminate code entirely and not worry
> about classifications based upon code speed. Code is a thing of the past.
> It's time to get over it!!

Pretty ridiculous statement, Jim. Do you also want to kill all the horses?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 7760295677412425994
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 20:06:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 03:06:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9c067c$ooc$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <20010420200222.12023.00000330@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<20010421232443.11413.00000359@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19740
```

```
Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20010420200222.12023.00000330@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >
> >>Psssst Ed, did you know that 99% of Larry's DNA is virtually
> >>identical to a chimpanzee's? :-)
> >
> >I read that somewhere.  As I thought about it, I realized that which 99% is
> >identical is a critical difference between us all.
> >
>
> My pet chimpanzee, Cecil, is now filled with pride -- knowing that 99%
> of his DNA is identical to mine!  Awww, how cute -- he just got me a
> bananna from the kitchen!  Thanks, Cecil!


 What I read said that all living things had surprisingly similar DNA.
So Cecil's DNA is close to that of the banana your chimp just brought
you.


From -9139185150233123852
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 20:06:32 PST
Message-ID: <3AE39BE9.A7368164@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 22:05:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<te73rdoahm3ude@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987994741
64.240.47.81 (22 Apr 2001 21:59:01 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
```

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.online.be!sienna.impulse.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15178 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19741

"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:

> Actually, there are many who are suggesting that Hitler was a homosexual.
> It is widely known that he did not have sex with women.

I see you haven't seen the latest History Channel special on Hitler's sexual life. He apparently liked his young female cousin a lot. He was a perverted *heterosexual*.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8969391930256598336
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 20:08:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com> <20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com> <nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <uvLE6.1372$QV4.107675@www.newsranger.com> <3ae39515$0$88185$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <N0NE6.1431$QV4.113652@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request

X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 23:08:29 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 03:08:29 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19742

In article <3ae39515$0$88185$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, Larry Klose says...

>
>What no code test? Too simple, never fly.
>
>Larry kc8epo
>

Larry, your juvenile troll was of such low magnitude that the Troll-O-Meter
(almost) ignored it.

Grow up.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 9065700882898586581
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 20:09:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 23 Apr 2001 03:09:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <9c06dj$q36$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19743

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> (Bill Sohl) writes:
>
> >>....The difference between me and the
> >>NCTA is that I realize that Morse code proficiency and technological
> >advances can
> >>coexist, whereas they insist that the two must be mutually exclusive. You
> >tell me
> >>what's up with THAT?
> >
> >Larry,
> >Again you mislead others in your post. The NCTA (No Code Test
> >Advocates) have no problem with you or anyone else learning and using
> >code. Code learning (voluntarily) and use can certainly coexist with
> >technology advances the same as my antique auto can coexist
> >on the highway with the latest from the auto makers.
>
> Bill:
>
> Sorry, no sale. The NCTA have always used the need to "advance
> the state of the art" -- presumably in digital communications technology,
> as a primary reason to reduce or eliminate the Morse code testing
> requirement…as if the two were, indeed, mutually exclusive. The claim
> had always been that the code test requirement was discouraging
> brilliant, computer-literate young people who would magically transform
> the landscape of amateur radio communications technology if only they
> had the fetters of this time-honored mode removed from them. They
> have claimed the Morse code, and it's testing requirement, to be
> everything from "lame" to "ethnic cleansing" -- and insisted that those
> who support continuance of the testing requirement were not in step
> with the "inclusive" nature of this hobby, and, therefore, politically
> incorrect.
>
> No, Bill -- the NCTA and their apologists throughout the years have done
> an excellent job of marrying the concepts of Morse code skill with
> technical stagnation -- thus making proficiency in Morse/CW and technical
> competence mutually exclusive in the extreme. You would have to undo
> over a decade's worth of Fidonet/Usenet debate on this topic to convince
> me otherwise. I have read and authored the equivalent of dozens of
> full-length novels' worth of messages that relate to this topic, and can
> call myself an expert on the subject without any fear of exaggeration. This
> is one place where you can't pull the wool over my eyes with one of your
> classically specious examples.
>
> The link between technical incompetence and Morse code skill is the
> very foundation of every argument that has ever been made against the
> pre-Restructuring status quo in code testing.   This is the truth, the only

> truth, and the only reality. You cannot ever escape this reality in a
> feeble attempt to justify what has been done to permanently obviate
> any future growth in the use of the Morse/CW mode. Such use will
> indeed decrease, and make it easy to expand the amount of amateur
> HF spectrum authorized to voice modes. Deny this if you will, but it
> is the truth.
>

Well said, that is right on the money.

From -5193968582698930420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 20:11:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AE39CF9.9AC4EF73@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 22:09:45 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADFA914.9AAAB53D@sccoast.net> <3ADFBB48.DD3922D1@IEEE.org>
<3AE10BB4.D72E4470@sccoast.net> <3AE11BDA.E2B86B23@IEEE.org>
<3AE20E0D.2DB@bellsouth.net> <3AE26069.5B2E28FA@IEEE.org>
<3AE37618.76E4@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987995018
64.240.47.81 (22 Apr 2001 22:03:38 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19744

```
Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > Are you sincere in your beliefs, or are you just putting us on?
> >
> > Yes
>
> Then why swear on a Bible if it's just a book of "myths"?  "Wouldn't
> a Playboy do?

I thought it was a pretty humorous thing to say. Haven't you got something
better to do, Jerry, like sack Constantinople again?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3930359193277834109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 20:14:56 PST
Message-ID: <3AE39DDA.D5064AFA@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 22:13:30 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987995249
64.240.47.81 (22 Apr 2001 22:07:29 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

```
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19745

Sam Grasso wrote:
> My town has a height limit of 8' foot off the roof ...

I simply wouldn't live there.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -4490901983823603895
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 20:27:57 PST
Message-ID: <3AE3A0E9.DEA8E609@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 22:26:33 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <20010420200222.12023.00000330@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<20010421232443.11413.00000359@nso-ch.aol.com> <9c067c$ooc$0@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987996026
64.240.47.81 (22 Apr 2001 22:20:26 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
```

```
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!earthquake.efnet.com!
efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19746

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  What I read said that all living things had surprisingly similar DNA.
> So Cecil's DNA is close to that of the banana your chimp just brought
> you.

That's true of you also, Dick. Homo Sapiens are 50% virtually
identical to a banana. Since we were made in God's image, He
must be a lot like a banana also.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -8131536336413588438
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 20:40:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 03:40:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9c086v$e2$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-
fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19747



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
```

> >No sane person wants a mode war
>
> Hmmm, Cecil on the no-code-test side feels there won't be a mode war and Dick,
> on the pro-code-test side feels there will. I wonder if we can surmise which
> side would start the war?

Sure. The side that moved down onto the group residing there, of course. Which would naturally lead the residents, mostly <100 watt users, to go QRO, and well within the rules, mind you, since rules say one can use whatever level of legal power it takes to complete the communication. So then you have CW ops at a KW competing with SSB ops running at least that level. Result? Chaos.

From 4379984454698583959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 20:46:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 03:46:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9c08ic$2n8$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <9buq13$4m$0@208.207.71.161> <20010422110510.16452.00000458@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19748

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >We already knew that and therefore have gooe reason to believe there is
> >more to NCI's agenda than has been admitted.
>
> Other than paranoia and suspicion, I see no reason to believe what you say.
> Can you offer me anything concrete?

I can't be responsible for your refusal to read in detail all the NCI

postings of the past few years. You're the guy who just can't believe
that the question pool exams can't be passed without learning all the
detailed material the questions are based on when all the exact
questiona and their exact matching answers are given in advance, and
many study guides show only the barest minimum of information, that is
only the correct answer choice to each question, and a number of posters
here have stated cagorically that they passed the tests 'studying'
exactly that way.
 You are deliberately turning your back to the realities of the
situation. That sets prececent for your attitude toward NCI and their
goals. See no evil, hear no evil, speak no evil.

From 187344411044932164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 20:51:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 03:51:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9c08rs$3mk$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <9bur4u$203$3@208.207.71.161> <20010422111618.16452.00000463@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19749

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> I have no doubt at all that Carl could pass the Extra easily. Do you?
>
> > Today? A well trained monkey could pass it.
>
> Dick, I bet you a lobster dinner you can't find a single example of a monkey
> who has passed the Extra exam.
>

 As you well know, that is a figure of speech, intended to illustrate
how easy it is to get an Extra class license today. But there ARE lots

of trained (and not so well trained) monkeys on the bands.

```
From -3799621766728606744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 21:05:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 04:05:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9c09mc$58a$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <9bqtgn$apj$0@208.207.71.151> <20010422150418.04047.00000596@ng-
mn1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19750
```

W1RFI wrote:

```
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Home Phone Networking
>
> > QRM, QRM, QRM.  Sanctioned by our very own FCC.
>
> These devices are sanctioned under the same rules that permit all sorts of
> other digital devices.  The regulations are about 20 years old.  What NPRM are
> you referring to?
```

Maybe I was in error in assuming they were a part of the Part 15
expansion of several years ago.

```
From -3073490482820430459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 21:19:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 04:19:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <9c0agm$87c$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
<3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
<3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19751

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > The point is that most other countries don't have bands sliced up into
> > > legal and illegal modes and they seem to get along just fine. Even in
> > > this country, I rarely hear anything except CW in the lower 25KHz even
> > > though the other digital modes are legal there.
> >
> > No sane person wants a mode war, and if you don't think one would
> > result from elimination of band segments you're nuts.
>
> Nuts is believing that "hoards of freebanders" were going to invade the
> ARS after April 15, 2000.

If you had a clue you'r know that the freebanders infiltrated long
before restructuring, and many held ham licenses and continue to to hold
them as they still work their illicit activities.

How do you know your "mode war" is not just
> another one of your many delusions? Do foreign hams with no legal mode
> separation have mode wars?

So you're too clueless to do the numbers and get some factual figures?
How many total hams are in Canada? Germany? England? in ALL of the rest
of the world?   In Japan they have lots of licensees but the vast
majority are severely limited in both power output and band segments.

Most other countries of the world have so few hams it matters not the least where they operate nor how, QRM is not a factor.

USA has several times the numbers of active HF hams of most of the rest of the world combined, all concentrated within a limited area of real estate, all ready to QRM each other. The Tower of Babel personified. Why do the users of different modes mostly stay separate from other modes? Why do you almost never hear a CW QSO up in the phone portions, where they have always been completely legal? Why don't you believe the hams in other countries who state categorically that they are opposed to changing US rules to eliminate the CW/Data portions, for this very reason?
Why don't you spend a little time learning about some of this before running your mouth?

```
From 4840281530605862877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 21:21:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 04:20:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9c0ajb$87c$1@208.206.142.101>
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <3AE337D7.94AF8F45@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19752
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> > >No sane person wants a mode war
> >
> > Hmmm, Cecil on the no-code-test side feels there won't be a mode war and Dick,
> > on the pro-code-test side feels there will. I wonder if we can surmise which
> > side would start the war?
>

> Won't be me, Ed. I'm a military reject.

Cecil has said that his primary interest in ham radio is in
experimenting iwth antennas, so it should come as no surprise that he
know so little about what goes on on the air.

From -5873596219977668082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 22:51:12 PST
Message-ID: <3AE262F7.B3B8CE71@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:49:59 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <20010421093925.04823.00000387@ng-fa1.news.cs.com> <3AE1A0C0.CB7F2B8E@sccoast.net>
<3ae1ebc5.2860704@news.mindspring.com> <k7oE6.363$QV4.29065@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987918224
64.240.47.86 (22 Apr 2001 00:43:44 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19653

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <3ae1ebc5.2860704@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl says...
>
> >Any town that passes legislation can ONLY do so by
> >reference to the federal laws (FCC rules). They can NOT
> >insert additional constraints or requirements (e.g. anything
> >without ...) in their local laws.
>

> Of course they can. "All powers not reserved..." and all that
> crap.

I don't think that is true, Hans. For instance, the state of Texas
couldn't outlaw amateur radio operators because the feds have
jurisdiction.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -9025462051056755606
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 22:55:40 PST
Message-ID: <3AE26402.38388468@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 23:54:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <20010419102808.25013.00000236@ng-mq1.aol.com>
<te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987918491
64.240.47.86 (22 Apr 2001 00:48:11 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!europa.netcrusader.net!
216.65.4.98!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19654
```

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:

>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:
> > You will note that the chart starts at 25.165 mHz, a full 1.8 mHz
> > below the legal CB band.
> > The chart then goes up to 29.655 mHz, covering all except 5kHz of
> > the Amatuer 10 meter band.
>
> Thanks to the ARRL, since April 15th, 2000 you can't tell the difference.

How did you tell the difference before April 15th, 2000? Seems whatever
method worked then would still work. Checking call signs comes to mind.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -458114717042192696
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 23:19:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 02:19:23 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3AE277E3.3ECAA153@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com> <3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0FD0E.9555BC26@sccoast.net> <3ae23610.1958018@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.62
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987920352 69988 207.144.228.62 (22 Apr 2001 06:19:12 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 06:19:12 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15136 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19655

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> Has the Roman Church unilaterally excluded gay preists
> from involvement with little boys? No. Duh?

Different organization, different response. Duh!

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2205948501351876204
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 00:16:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 03:16:28 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 85
Message-ID: <3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net> <3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.62
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987923773 72115 207.144.228.62 (22 Apr 2001 07:16:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 07:16:13 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19656

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> More to the point...the police MUST gain
> access to that radio to see it. Does the
> terms "reasonable cause, search warrant
> required" mean anything to you?

Don't be facetious, Bill. Of course that means something to me.

But it does not apply in this case. According to FCC regulations, a CB radio operator must submit to an inspection of his station. Failure to do so can result in the loss of all operating privileges and a serious fine. In other words, if the operator doesn't comply with an inspection request, the local officer simply files a report to the FCC and the FCC then takes action to shut that operator down permanently (not to ignore any fine, written into the local law, the operator may face for failure to comply).

> The police go through a 40 hour training
> class just to become qualified on using
> speed enforcement radar. Given the 100s
> of different CB radios, just how much
> training do you think it would take to
> satisfy a judge that the cop knew
> anything, much less enough to qualify as
> an expert in court.

First, not a single officer in my county has went through a 40 hour training program to learn to operate an radar (I asked), yet not a single conviction involving a radar has ever been overturned. Second, a cop never has to qualify as an "expert" in court. All he is ever required to do is prove sufficient knowledge to do his job (and even that is not necessary if it cannot be proven he did his job incorrectly). If an "expert" is required, that "expert" is usually obtained from outside law enforcement circles.

> Ditto my last comment above. Also, that
> electronics expert gets paid $$$ to testify.
> How many towns want to take on that cost?

The cost of obtaining an "expert" will be covered by any fines imposed. It happens all the time, Bill.

> Your statement is at direct odds with your other
> comments above.

How so? What specialized skills will be required to look at a sticker on the side of a radio? And only minimal skills are required to hook a power meter to a radio (CB'ers do it all the time with no training).

> How does the local cop know the difference?
> Are you, therefore, saying anyone with a ham license
> is totally exemp from any efforts to have CB enforcement
> action taken against them? Consider Bootleg Jack
> who has a ham tech licnese and still likes to

> operate freeband. Hey, if the locals show up at
> his house he just shows them his ham ticket and
> off they go?

According to HR-2346 (or now 47 U.S.C. 302a, as amended), yes. If a license is shown, the cop has to walk away. However, that does not preclude any enforcement effort by the FCC. And I'm sure the FCC will take special notice of that licensed operator after a report is filed by a local cop (assuming the cop goes through the effort of contacting the FCC).

> I truly wonder how many towns have acted at all
> under this new authority.

None that I'm aware of so far.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 8865525671344445980
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 00:39:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!usenet
From: "Tammy Faye" <TBakker@GigHair.comm>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 02:39:04 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - http://www.altopia.com
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9bu1rd$u5a$0@pita.alt.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0FD0E.9555BC26@sccoast.net>
<3ae23610.1958018@news2.dvol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15138
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19657
```

> Has the Roman Church unilaterally excluded gay preists from

```
> involvement with little boys? No. Duh?


Dwight...that's "Priests". Duz yu hav a spel chek?  NO? Duh!!! Yu ain't the
bestest of intellecktuels, is yu?



> >
> >Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
> >
> >http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>





From 4879454586328185220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 02:18:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <3AE2613F.327BE36A@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104220917510.141-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9br1us$rb5$1@208.207.71.151>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae186d1$0$62149$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104220036490.2176-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AE2613F.327BE36A@IEEE.org>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 11
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 09:18:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.50
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 987931117 12.80.44.50 (Sun, 22 Apr
2001 09:18:37 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 09:18:37 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19658

On Sat, 21 Apr 2001, W6RCecilA wrote:
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
```

> > ... you can't show that it's "arbitrary" because it was
> > historically required.
>
> Wouldn't a historical requirement be arbitrary if the reason for
> the requirement had ceased to exist?

Not on its face. You would have to show that. It's an issue of burdenof proof.

```
From -2192595910307442966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 02:26:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104220919220.141-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 27
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 09:26:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.50
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 987931590 12.80.44.50 (Sun, 22 Apr
2001 09:26:30 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 09:26:30 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19659
```

On Sun, 22 Apr 2001, Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > More to the point...the police MUST gain
> > access to that radio to see it. Does the
> > terms "reasonable cause, search warrant
> > required" mean anything to you?
>
> Don't be facetious, Bill. Of course that means something to me.
> But it does not apply in this case. According to FCC regulations, a
> CB radio operator must submit to an inspection of his station.

...But until the regulations are rewritten to conform to the recent change, a CB radio operator only has to submit to an inspection of his station BY THE FCC. There is no authority for anyone else to inspect. Congress may have passed the law, but until those provisions are reflected in the regulations, ...

> Failure to do so can result in the loss of all operating privileges
> and a serious fine. In other words, if the operator doesn't comply
> with an inspection request, the local officer simply files a report to
> the FCC and the FCC then takes action to shut that operator down
> permanently (not to ignore any fine, written into the local law, the
> operator may face for failure to comply).
>
> > ...

From 9159226919791750910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 03:53:50 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 10:53:23 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae2b804.35229202@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3adfb004.219208218@news2.dvol.com>
<20010421132459.14184.00000233@nso-mj.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.19
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.19
X-Trace: 22 Apr 2001 05:50:04 -0500, 64.24.18.19
Lines: 17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19660

On 21 Apr 2001 17:24:59 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <3adfb004.219208218@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
>Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>
>>On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:13:25 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
>><tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Licence is spelled with a c up here you yutz ... not to mention colour has a
>>>u in it ... favourite has one too ...
>>>

```
>>We all need more pointless vowels. You'l upgrarde eventually Squiggy.
>
>Move your vowels every day or you'll get consonated.   :-)
>
. . . gotta "upgrade" every day . .



From -1705506278818513622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 04:39:46 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 11:39:22 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.109
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.109
X-Trace: 22 Apr 2001 06:36:03 -0500, 64.24.17.109
Lines: 49
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15141
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19661

On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:29:43 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>
>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
>> The problem is not morality or depravity or righteousness, the problem
>> is that there is no excuse or rationale for the Boy Scouts to get
>> involved in matters involving sex one way or another.
>
>Wrong.
>
Tell it to the Council-level bigwigs who are in revolt against Dallas.

>
>
>Adolescents must be protected from those who would exploit their
>interest in sexuality.
>
Who is out there protecting the rest of world from the adolecents bent
```

on exploiting their sexuality?? Where do you think Klebold and Harris
were actually coming from?
>
> I have no problem with the idea of homosexual
>males being on GIRL Scout overnights, I have a real problem with
>heterosexual males being there.
>
Given your mindset that does not come as a surprise.
>
Back when my eldest daughter was in Girl Scouts I got bludgeoned into
pinch-hitting for her troop leader on an overnighter. Not one parent
had any problem with that at all. Everybody had a blast.
>
Oh, and yeah, I was also a Cookie Mother twice.
>
Note that the Girl Scouts have not shot themselves in the foot by
"purging" their lesbians.
>
>If you don't see the risk of having homosexuals leading troops on
>campouts, then you are broken.
>
Yeah, I'm "broken", I'm not paranoid about my masculinity is the real
answer.
>
Fact is that there were just as many queers floating around a half
century ago as there are today and I sure as hell ran into my share.
The only difference between then and now is in the politcs, not in the
"threats" and "abuses". .

```
From -3355213411772028753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 05:23:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <MPG.154c97d2f20d23da9896e4@news.earthlink.net>
References: <MPG.154b85d9a64f7e419896e3@news.earthlink.net>
<20010421220722.13993.00000188@nso-bh.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 101
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 12:23:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.208.113.147
```

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987942205 63.208.113.147 (Sun, 22 Apr
2001 05:23:25 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 05:23:25 PDT
X-Received-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 05:22:01 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19662

In article <20010421220722.13993.00000188@nso-bh.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
says...
> In article <MPG.154b85d9a64f7e419896e3@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
> <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:
>
> >In article <20010421003413.06580.00000121@nso-ba.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> >says...
> >> In article <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> >> (Bill Sohl) writes:
> >>
> >> >NCI opposes the code test and that's it.  Use it if you
> >> >like it.  NCI has no opposition to code USE at all.
> >>
> >> The avowed purpose of that organization is to end code testing for any
> >amateur
> >> license. But that's not the only purpose.
> >>
> >> Several years ago, there used to be a statement on the website that when
> >all
> >> code testing for any ham license was gone, the organization would fade
> >away.
> >> That statement is no longer there.
> >>
> >> Some time back, a VHF/UHF group petitioned the FCC to create a 300 kHz
> >subband
> >> at the bottom of 2 meters for "narrowband modes" - meaning SSB, CW, and
> >data
> >> modes narrower than a certain bandwidth. This petition had absolutely
> >nothing
> >> to do with code testing in any way. Yet NCI filed comments in opposition to
> >the
> >> proposal, calling for "no protection for 'legacy' modes". If NCI's only
> >concern
> >> is ending code testing, why did they oppose this proposal?
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >THANK YOU JIM!!!!
>
> You're welcome.
> >
> >The fact that Carl Stevenson wrote the opposition view and that fact that
> >Carl Stevenson had made it very clear right here (according to the
> >archives)in the EXACT views and words or said opposition, has nothing to
> >do with separating personal views and NCI policy.
```

>
> I'm not sure what you mean. Everybody has the right to comment to the FCC. So
> do interested organizations.

My point was/still is that Carl bellows his opposition to every aspect of
the ARS..rag chewing..dx'ing..cw and of course those are "his" opinions
and then wonders of wonders, the exact same "opinion" almost verbatim
appears before the FCC and of course, it's a group (baaaaaaa) consensus.

>
> The point I'm trying to make is that when a group claims to have a single-issue
> agenda, but then gets involved in issues that have nothing to do with that
> single issue, the group no longer has a single-issue agenda.

Why did you ever expect it to be. Thems in power loves to stay in power
after there's no use for thems in power...look at politicians.

> >
> >Sure...and Dinosaurs still roam the earth, especially in parts of Texas
> >where Monroe County residents have the DNA of unripened bananas.
>
> How similar is human DNA to that of coconuts and European sparrows?

They could carry them between their dorsal primary feathers with a bit of
husk.

> >
> >But of course, times have changes and so has NCI policies. (see last
> >sentence of above)
> >
> Bingo.
>
> There have been single-issue amateur radio groups in the past. Remember the
> "National Amateur Radio Council" and the "Society of American Radio Amateurs"
> and the influence they had?

Same influence as the dinosaurs I think

Before you cross the Bridge of Death
Answer me these questions 3

What is your name?

What is your questions

What is the Capital of Assyria?

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others

an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 2868928428678775394
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 05:35:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 12:35:06 GMT
References: <3AE261D0.AAF9DDA0@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010422083506.24833.00000284@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19663

In article <3AE261D0.AAF9DDA0@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> My uneducated guess is that a tape tells the monitors exactly what to
>listen
>> for.
>
>Would a video tape be better? One that shows the receiver frequency
>and the time?

They ask for audio tapes. Playing a videotape requires more equipment.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 2029462033653940794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 06:49:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!169.207.30.132!newsengine.sol.net!
newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-

fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9br1us$rb5$1@208.207.71.151>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae186d1$0$62149$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104220036490.2176-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 09:47:41 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <3ae2e167$0$62144$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 67848fb5.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=9SHD;B7]J;Bb0EN^dS;UdEBITGoVIjmHF[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FG[Q[75ck2Y5JlBFDaL\d^nE__=`f1<4aPO
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19664

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.10.10104220036490.2176-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Sat, 21 Apr 2001, Larry Klose wrote:
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
> > news:cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> >
> > Oh, you mean the League sued the FCC claiming that
> > their agency's action was not in conformity with 5 USC 706.
> > Interesting, please site the case and year.
> >
> > We know today that the Morse code exam requirement is
> > unnecessary (arbitrary), but was the action capricious?
>
> Actually, it's not arbitrary nor capricious as long as
> the U.S. remains a party to the international treaty that requires it.

At one time in the past Morse code exams were
not "arbitrary and capricious", i.e. when CW was
the only means of radio communication.
As technology changed the justification for
Morse code exams fails. ITU rule S25.5 does not
specify any speed requirement, and therefore
does not justify the 13 & 20 wpm exams, when
5 wpm exam satisfy the requirement.
That is why the FCC could waived the 13 & 20 wpm
exams in its' 1990 Medical Waiver Decision.

The USA also has the option, of taking exception
to ITU rule S25.6 in its Final Acts for WRC 2003,
should the rule not be deleted.

> Once the treaty gets Morse code removed, then you're
> a step closer - but should the FCC retain the requirement
> (even for one day beyond), you can't show that it's "arbitrary"
> because it was historically required.

While is some cases historical arguments can
be used to protect individuals from certain
agency actions and rules, the arguments
does not protect agency laws and actions
that are "arbitrary and capricious". Else how
would a court ever hold an agency rule
unlawful.

Larry kc8epo

From -5309571267048082912
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 07:03:45 PST
Message-ID: <3AE2E479.613D3752@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 09:02:33 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
References: <20010413135601.24219.00000018@nso-fm.aol.com>
<20010416081519.06622.00000733@ng-md1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987947777
64.240.47.73 (22 Apr 2001 08:56:17 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.

```
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19665
```

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

>   The question is not can I prove there are any more HF priviledged
> licensees on the air...the question is can YOU prove that there is not.

I don't know what the argument is, but generally it is impossible to prove a negative. Can you prove that you are not a murderer?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 9009656786186454753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 07:04:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 14:01:46 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3AE2E44A.28437268@bctv.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 987948286 49649 63.87.116.25 (22 Apr 2001 14:04:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Apr 2001 14:04:46 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15147
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19666
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:29:43 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
> >
> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >
> >> The problem is not morality or depravity or righteousness, the problem
> >> is that there is no excuse or rationale for the Boy Scouts to get
> >> involved in matters involving sex one way or another.
> >
> >Wrong.
> >
> Tell it to the Council-level bigwigs who are in revolt against Dallas.

I don't need to. They are "in revolt" over the wrong things, as are
you.

> >Adolescents must be protected from those who would exploit their
> >interest in sexuality.
> >
> Who is out there protecting the rest of world from the adolecents bent
> on exploiting their sexuality??

Ah, I see -- if you can't save them all, don't try to save any?

> Where do you think Klebold and Harris were actually coming from?

Non sequitur -- unless you believe that Klebold and Harris were molested
by homosexuals.

> > I have no problem with the idea of homosexual
> >males being on GIRL Scout overnights, I have a real problem with
> >heterosexual males being there.
> >
> Given your mindset that does not come as a surprise.

Given your mindset, I'm not surprised that you would think there was
something wrong with my comment.

> Back when my eldest daughter was in Girl Scouts I got bludgeoned into
> pinch-hitting for her troop leader on an overnighter. Not one parent
> had any problem with that at all. Everybody had a blast.

If you don't see the difference between the two situations, then I guess
I'm annoying a pig and there is no reason to continue this discussion.

Have a nice life.

From 422015764572818409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 07:06:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 14:03:24 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3AE2E4AC.69D77FB7@bctv.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3ADFB08D.9B25F2CA@sccoast.net> <3ADFB90B.5F1C736A@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C71B.23AB61C@bctv.com> <3AE11809.A6938007@IEEE.org>
<3AE215E4.76B96C9D@bctv.com> <3AE25D2B.866BD1D3@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 987948384 49649 63.87.116.25 (22 Apr 2001 14:06:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 Apr 2001 14:06:24 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19667
```

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Keith Wood wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > I did specify the set to which I was referring. They are called blue laws.
> >
> > You did not specify that set until after I responded.
>
> You did not specify any particular posting. Why am I held to a higher
> standard that you hold yourself to?
```

Nice dodge, Cecil.

However, the fact remains that you didn't specify anything until I called you down on your original comment. Changing the rules halfway through a debate may only be done by mutual consent. As this is the only way that you can salvage any of your original argument, and I don't give you that out, you lose.

Thank you for playing.

```
From 3578990679047268128
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 07:07:14 PST
Message-ID: <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 09:06:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987947986
64.240.47.73 (22 Apr 2001 08:59:46 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15148
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19668
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> Fact is that there were just as many queers floating around a half
> century ago as there are today and I sure as hell ran into my share.

Based on available historical evidence, approximately the same percentage
of the general population has been homosexual as has been left-handed.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7340946597354500555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 07:19:58 PST
Message-ID: <3AE2E84A.C8F6B154@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 09:18:50 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3ADFB08D.9B25F2CA@sccoast.net> <3ADFB90B.5F1C736A@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C71B.23AB61C@bctv.com> <3AE11809.A6938007@IEEE.org>
<3AE215E4.76B96C9D@bctv.com> <3AE25D2B.866BD1D3@IEEE.org>
<3AE2E4AC.69D77FB7@bctv.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987948754
64.240.47.73 (22 Apr 2001 09:12:34 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19669
```

Keith Wood wrote:

> Nice dodge, Cecil.
>
> However, the fact remains that you didn't specify anything until I
> called you down on your original comment. Changing the rules halfway

> through a debate may only be done by mutual consent. As this is the
> only way that you can salvage any of your original argument, and I don't
> give you that out, you lose.
>
> Thank you for playing.

Nice dodge, Keith. You are guilty of exactly the same thing as I so if I lose, you owe me $100. You didn't specify which posting your $100 bet was based on. If you insist on holding my feet to the fire for not specifying something, then if you are a man of your word, you owe me the $100. I'm not going to allow you to hold me to a higher standard than you hold yourself.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3695726996390582427
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 07:32:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 22 Apr 2001 14:32:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9buq13$4m$0@208.207.71.161>
References: <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421003413.06580.00000121@nso-ba.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.161
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19670

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> (Bill Sohl) writes:
>
> >NCI opposes the code test and that's it. Use it if you

> >like it. NCI has no opposition to code USE at all.
>
> The avowed purpose of that organization is to end code testing for any amateur
> license. But that's not the only purpose.
>
> Several years ago, there used to be a statement on the website that when all
> code testing for any ham license was gone, the organization would fade away.
> That statement is no longer there.
>
> Some time back, a VHF/UHF group petitioned the FCC to create a 300 kHz subband
> at the bottom of 2 meters for "narrowband modes" - meaning SSB, CW, and data
> modes narrower than a certain bandwidth. This petition had absolutely nothing
> to do with code testing in any way. Yet NCI filed comments in opposition to the
> proposal, calling for "no protection for 'legacy' modes". If NCI's only concern
> is ending code testing, why did they oppose this proposal?

Wewll, as Bill said recently," Times change. positions change". We
already knew that and therefore have gooe reason to believe there is
more to NCI's agenda than has been admitted. Of course it's not
necessary that all members of NCI be on the same page, but a few key
'leaders"....

From -6586727568692329037
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 07:38:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 22 Apr 2001 14:38:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9buqcl$203$0@208.207.71.161>
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134> <3ae08001.3324290@news.mindspring.com> <9br1sg$rb5$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10EF8.63AC30E7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.161
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19671

W6RCecilA wrote:
>

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Hams need practical knowledge about how to implement the old
> > basic technology.
>
> To what purpose? Do you know how to manually advance the timing
> on your vehicle?

No, I know enough to know that you can't advance the timing, nor should
you have any need to. The computer takes care of that and does a much
better job than any previous scheme. That's one reason why my 2000 GMC
full size pickup with a big V8 engine gets as good mileage as the 90
model with the 6 cyl. I bought 11 years ago. Why don't YOU know all this
if you're so leading-edge? We aren't talking auto engineering, but
rather ham radio as it is, not as it is in your dreams.

```
From 2760566958003810249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 07:41:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 22 Apr 2001 14:41:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9buqjk$203$1@208.207.71.161>
References: <9bpl8c$pm4$0@216.155.32.187> <20010420200805.12023.00000332@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <3AE112BD.AB2E4B7D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.161
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19672
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > It seemed excessive to me. I would believe hundreds of hours, but anyone who
> > takes thousands of hours to realize they are undertaking a task that is
beyond
> > their ability has a pretty shaky grasp of practical reality.
>
> It just shows how dedicated he was to passing the 13wpm Morse code exam.
> 25 years times 52 weeks times 4 hours is 5200 hours.

It'll take more than your word to convince anyone here. I've heard it countless times--"I've spent hours and hours trying to learn the code and I just can't do it". But what they really did was spend hours and hours saying they just couldn't do it.

Henry Ford is credited with "Think you can, think you can't, either way you're right".

```
From 8797281836713333877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 07:43:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 22 Apr 2001 14:43:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
<3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.161
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19673
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > I can tell you that nowhere on the planet exists active hams running higher power in the numbers found in the USA, and for sure not the independent minded individuals.
>
> The point is that most other countries don't have bands sliced up into legal and illegal modes and they seem to get along just fine. Even in this country, I rarely hear anything except CW in the lower 25KHz even though the other digital modes are legal there.

No sane person wants a mode war, and if you don't think one would

result from elimination of band segments you're nuts. FCC believes it
and they don't want to have to referee it. So it will be left alone.

From -7946773926169808783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 07:51:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 22 Apr 2001 14:51:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9bur4u$203$3@208.207.71.161>
References: <MPG.154aabcd7903cdfb9896e2@news.earthlink.net>
<20010421150238.29813.00000442@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.161
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19674

W1RFI wrote:
>
> I have no doubt at all that Carl could pass the Extra easily. Do you?
>

Today? A well trained monkey could pass it.

From -4605193929467059223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 08:04:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 15:03:12 GMT
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19675

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>No sane person wants a mode war

Hmmm, Cecil on the no-code-test side feels there won't be a mode war and Dick,
on the pro-code-test side feels there will. I wonder if we can surmise which
side would start the war?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1949586586323485249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 08:06:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 15:05:10 GMT
References: <9buq13$4m$0@208.207.71.161>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010422110510.16452.00000458@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19676

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>We already knew that and therefore have gooe reason to believe there is
>more to NCI's agenda than has been admitted.

Other than paranoia and suspicion, I see no reason to believe what you say.
Can you offer me anything concrete?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6434885142266025388
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 08:09:06 PST

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 15:08:47 GMT
References: <20010421222013.11413.00000356@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010422110847.16452.00000459@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19677

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> I hate laziness. End of story.

If this sums up your position wrt amateur radio testing, I think I will let it stand on its own.

I did a search of FCC regs and didn't find the word "lazy" or any derivative. If you want to effect a rules change, I encourage you to file a petition for rulemaking with the FCC that includes the word "lazy" as a proposed regulation.

Or do you not think your idea of what the amateur radio service could withstand the test of law?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 420490077535018320
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 08:11:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 15:10:53 GMT
References: <te47oar9aa86a9@news.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010422111053.16452.00000461@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19678

>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)

```
>>The vote the league directors took was simply to
>>not oppose the removal of code as a requirement.

>I can't understand how you can believe this.

Maybe because the Board resolution that was passed said that ARRL position was
not to oppose any change in S25 wrt code testing...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 789795329121396141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 08:12:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 15:11:55 GMT
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010422111155.16452.00000462@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19679

>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net  (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)

>Thanks to the ARRL, since April 15th, 2000 you can't tell the difference.

I have no problem at all telling the difference.  The differences between
Amateur Radio and CB are spelled out pretty clearly in Part 95 and Part 97.
Would you like me to send you copies?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -5138551563125598416
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 08:17:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
```

Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 15:16:18 GMT
References: <9bur4u$203$3@208.207.71.161>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010422111618.16452.00000463@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19680

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>> I have no doubt at all that Carl could pass the Extra easily. Do you?
>
> Today? A well trained monkey could pass it.

Dick, I bet you a lobster dinner you can't find a single example of a monkey who has passed the Extra exam.

Care to do more than just spout off on this one? I am willing to put my money on the table. Do you believe in your words enough to back them up?

As an alternative to keep your honor, I would accept your taking them back...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8248798735591218334
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6eb16692fcac5d07,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 09:04:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!195.158.233.21!
news1.ebone.net!news.ebone.net!easynet-monga!easynet-melon!easynet.net!btnet-
feed5!btnet!mendelevium.btinternet.com!not-for-mail
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com (ian)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: ham radio internet repeater linking
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 16:00:19 GMT
Organization: BT Internet
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3ae6ffdb.1799663@news.btinternet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: host213-122-53-59.btinternet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.452
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19681

why not link your repeater to the internet

a number of repeaters around the world already are
its very easy to do
for more info check many other ham links http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi

73 ian

From 2480082441048421850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 10:19:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 10:19:18 -0700
Organization: RST Engineering
Message-ID: <nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com>
Reply-To: jim@rst-engr.com
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 35
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19682

In my (not so) humble opinion, the parallel between the "hobby" of private aircraft and private radio can give us much insight into the old question of the relevance of the code.

If you have a person with a certificate that says "private pilot", you know that the person is technically competent to fly an aircraft. However, you have no idea what "aircraft" they are qualified in until you read a bit further. After the "private pilot", they can have such qualifiers as "airplane", "glider", "hot air balloon", "helicopter", "gyroplane" and a few others. Just because mine says "airplane" and yours says "glider" doesn't make me any more or less a pilot than you. The fact that I studied about engines and you studied about towplanes is only a difference in the type of skill, not the competence of the person to fly what they are rated for.

So perhaps we need one certificate "amateur radio operator" with qualifiers after the first line. You want to study code and pass the test that permits you into that part of the band, go for it. If I want to do ATV and get those privileges, I'll do it. Whatever rots your socks.

There are core subjects that we both need to know. How to tune up a rig transcends the type of operation. Most of the regulations are much the same for both of us, yet some of the regs pertain to how you do your keying and some of them only pertain to how I transmit my video. But don't ask me to acquire a skill for which I have no use.

I do not in any way debate your right to do the brass, but I fail to see how this skill that I'll never use should be part of my training. Quite frankly, if you gave me a big propane bottle and a huge gas bag right now, I'd be at a loss as to what to do with it and honestly couldn't care less how it all works. Same for CW. I'm 57 now, and the last time I touched a key I still couldn't legally buy a drink. I haven't felt the loss.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.   WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

From 8022586256423511500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e896142d7460c3be,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 10:46:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rag Chewer" <stopthejamming@stopit.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Pretty Red Panties
Lines: 7
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <iOEE6.7444$J74.798492@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 17:46:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.227.195.49
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987961614 65.227.195.49 (Sun, 22 Apr 2001 10:46:54 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 10:46:54 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 10:45:30 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19683

Klaus D. Kramer is the person behind the "Pretty Red Panties" issue that has plauged 11 meters and now the Ham Bands. He should be contacted by every truck driver and other radio operator who has been the victim of this foolishness. Thanks.
http://www.qrz.com/callsign/KA5NUP

From 3447387847897888851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 11:04:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9br1us$rb5$1@208.207.71.151>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae186d1$0$62149$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc5rwo0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 14:00:27 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 89
Message-ID: <3ae31ca9$0$62151$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1dfcc683.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=g?
H3g5Yo\SWNZUVAGj=[M^BITGoVIjmHV[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FW64hfS[c_oQTlBFDaL\d^nUbn\WJ;U
?WMQ
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19684

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gc5rwo0.pminews@or.news.verio.net...

> On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 09:09:01 -0400, Larry Klose wrote:
>
> >We know today that the Morse code exam requirement is
> >unnecessary (arbitrary), but was the action capricious?
>
> Once again, Larry, "arbitrary and capricious" is a legal term of art
> in regulatory law whose definition bears no reemblance to the
> meanings assigned to each of the words in any other context.

No resemblance. Nonsense Phil. See Black's Law Dictionary. To wit:

Arbitrary and capricious. Characterization of a decision or action taken by
an administration agency or inferior court meaning willful and unreasonable

action without consideration or in disregard of facts or law or without determining principle. Elwood Investors Co v. Behme, 79 Misc.2d 910, 361 N.Y.S2d 488, 492. See Rational basis test.

Rational basis test. Under this test, an appellate court will not second-guess the legislature as to the wisdom or rationality of a particular statute if there is a rational basis for its enactment, and if the challenged law bears a reasonable relationship to the attainment of some legitimate government objective. The same test may be applied when a court is reviewing a decision of an administrative body because of the expertise of such body. It has been said that the protection of the public from unwise or improvident statute is to be found at the voting polls or by referendum, not court. Munn v. Illinois, 94 U.S. 113, 134, 24 L.Ed.77. This test does not apply, of course, if the statute or decision is unconstitutional.

As a standard of review for statutory enactments challenged on equal protection grounds, this test requires that classifications created by a state must be reasonable, not arbitrary, and must rest on some ground of difference having a fair and substantial relation to the object of the legislation, so that all persons similarly circumstanced shall be treated alike. Aladdin's Castle, Inc. v. City of Mesquite, C.A.Tex., 630 F.2d 1029, 1039.

Also see:

Orion Communication v. FCC, District of Columbia Circuit, #96-1430, (12/19/1997)
We reverse a Commission decision granting or denying a broadcast license only if that decision is "arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law. 5 USC 706(2)(A); See DirectTV, Inc. v. FCC, 110 F3d 816. 826 (D.C. Cir 1997). The Commission's decisions in this case clearly fail to meet even this deferential standard. The Commission recognized that it had the 'discretion to maintain [Orion's} existing service upon ... remand ... in order to avoid disruption of service to the public." See 11 FCC Rcd 19589 91996). In nonetheless rescinding Orion's authority to provide such service, the Commission abused its discretion and acted arbitrarily and capriciously in the following respects:
....

> I've instructed you in this several times in the past and I see no need
> to rehash it.

Phil, I am not your student. I think you letting your years as FCC employee and volunteer ARRL legal advisor, bias your opinion.

> If you can't apply the terms properly, don't.

I applied the terms correctly, you just don't like the conclusion.
But you not judge, its the FCC decision, and so far they do
not feel that the Morse code exam serves any regulator
purpose.

> Stop trying

No. First amendment, U. S. Constitution, (1791)

> to play guardhouse lawyer.

Of course I am not playing guardhouse lawyer, that is just
opinion. I appreciate if you would stop referring to as a
"guard house lawyer" or any other similar term.

Despite the meaning of "arbitrary and capricious",
FCC rule 4CFR 87.503(a) is not in conformity with
5 U.S.C. 706, but is saved by and only by ITU rule
S25.5. And, that is also questionalable legal position.

Federal subsidization of the CW mode will be an
increasing administrative burden for the FCC.

Larry KC8EPO

From -8198186364484555129
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 11:29:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <_mFE6.1805$RB3.589747@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 13:21:26 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.153.216.167
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 987963962 206.153.216.167 (Sun, 22 Apr 2001 14:26:02
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 14:26:02 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15157
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19685

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org...
> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> > Fact is that there were just as many queers floating around a half
> > century ago as there are today and I sure as hell ran into my share.
>
> Based on available historical evidence, approximately the same
percentage
> of the general population has been homosexual as has been left-handed.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

And for essentially the same reason. Were it not for Caleb, the God Squad would be after them, too.

Dick N�BK

```
From -7637369653814211768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 11:34:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 14:29:45 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3ae32386$0$62141$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1dfcc683.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=T:0JooEm7\aE4XNZ]a2YglBITGoVIjmHf[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;Fg0\i]G7mT6=olBFDaL\d^neb
```

n\WJ;U?WMa
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19686

Good point. The FAA has found good reasons to issue endorsements
for various types of aircraft. The FCC has never found any good reason
to issue endorsements for any operational mode other than Morse code
proficiency. In the 1999 License Restructuring decision, the FCC said
that Morse code exams served no regulatory purpose. The Morse code
test is not in conformity with 5 U.S.C. 706, the FCC will simple delete
47 CFR 97.503(a) after ITU rule S25.5 is deleted in 2003.

A better solution would be for ARRL and others to offer specific courses
in various radio amateur subjects with certificates of completions.
No specific regulation is necessary.

Larry, KC8EPO

From -9192000300129753939
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 12:05:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 64
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 19:04:18 GMT
References: <9bqtgn$apj$0@208.207.71.151>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010422150418.04047.00000596@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19687

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Home Phone Networking

> QRM, QRM, QRM. Sanctioned by our very own FCC.

These devices are sanctioned under the same rules that permit all sorts of
other digital devices. The regulations are about 20 years old. What NPRM are
you referring to?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

I yelled as loud about
>that when it was in the NPRM stage as anything I've ever yelled about,
>both to FCCand my congressman. I imagtine many others did, too. To no
>avail, as is clear.
>
>
>> Synthetic aperture radar
>
>
>Not familar with this one.
>
>
>> Periodic emitters
>
>
> More "help" from Friendly Cousin Charlie. Live with it, I guess.
>
>>
>> Just to pick a few that I know well enough to be able to judge your
>response.
>>
>> IMHO, anyone who thinks he has a complete and insightful understanding of
>all
>> of the issues surrounding Amateur Radio is egomaniacal beyond belief. I
>realize
>> you think you are superior, Larry, but you really should draw the line
>> somewhere.
>
>
> A long-experienced Extra class ham is not a superior performer to the
>many beginners in ham radio? What is this, becoming a Communist
>hobby/League? No body said "better" or "exclusive". Despite all your
>verbiage in criticism, the newcomers know...
>
>
> Hey, we're paying you to be the expert, Ed, and to handle such stuff
>for us. If no new hams need to be even minimally technically competent
>what's your problem?
>I know of no better reason to pay my membership dues than to hire you
>and others to keep ham radio afloat, If you guys can't get it done,
>well, either we'll have to find someone better at it or give it up.
>
> And again, you have done very well at holding down your end of things.
>I still think that Newington could have done a lot better job of liason
>with the FCC in the past couple decades. They need to know us on a first
>name basis, and that first name should not be Squiggy.
>
>

From -5986661724452566449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 12:41:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 32
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 15:41:57 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.16
X-Trace: news1.atl 987968458 209.214.187.16 (Sun, 22 Apr 2001 15:40:58 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 15:40:58 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15160
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19688

>
> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> > Fact is that there were just as many queers floating around a half
> > century ago as there are today and I sure as hell ran into my share

Certainly, most of us have. And I don't care about the "rights" of queers--they have same rights as the rest of us.

When I was in college, I worked part-time at a well-known automotive parts manufacturer as a forklift driver. Most of the guys in that department were college kids. I noticed that whenever I visited the mens' room, the boss would usually come in as well. At first, I just thought he was checking to see I didn't tarry too long. But it happened enough that I mentioned it to one of my co-workers. He told me he was doing the same thing when ANY young male went to the john. So I started to use the private stalls even when just taking a wizz. So did all the other guys, now believing this guy was one of them "funny boys" that liked to peep. I eventually left this temporary job in favor of one more suitable to my school schedule. I never knew for "sure" this boss was queer, but I learned later that he was FIRED after being caught on the roof of the plant groping a kid he hemmed in a corner. He had made the boy go up there on the pretense of helping him repair a loose vent.

I also learned that he had given EVERY college male that "hid" from him in the stalls, a bad work record so that none of us could get another job with the company.

....and I'm supposed to be "TOLERANT" of these people?

Jerry
>

> --

From -1618263280259676316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 12:57:52 PST
Message-ID: <3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 14:56:41 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
<3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.50
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987969025
64.240.47.50 (22 Apr 2001 14:50:25 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!
earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19689

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:

> > The point is that most other countries don't have bands sliced up into
> > legal and illegal modes and they seem to get along just fine. Even in
> > this country, I rarely hear anything except CW in the lower 25KHz even
> > though the other digital modes are legal there.
>
> No sane person wants a mode war, and if you don't think one would
> result from elimination of band segments you're nuts.

Nuts is believing that "hoards of freebanders" were going to invade the
ARS after April 15, 2000. How do you know your "mode war" is not just
another one of your many delusions? Do foreign hams with no legal mode
separation have mode wars?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2435089464659255213
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 12:59:22 PST
Message-ID: <3AE337D7.94AF8F45@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 14:58:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.50
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987969118 64.240.47.50 (22 Apr 2001 14:51:58 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19690

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >No sane person wants a mode war
>
> Hmmm, Cecil on the no-code-test side feels there won't be a mode war and Dick,
> on the pro-code-test side feels there will. I wonder if we can surmise which
> side would start the war?

Won't be me, Ed. I'm a military reject.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8729780217641895022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 13:01:19 PST
Message-ID: <3AE33847.5898AE51@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 15:00:07 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9buq13$4m$0@208.207.71.161> <20010422110510.16452.00000458@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.50
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987969230 64.240.47.50 (22 Apr 2001 14:53:50 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED

Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!
earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19691

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >We already knew that and therefore have gooe reason to believe there is
> >more to NCI's agenda than has been admitted.
>
> Other than paranoia and suspicion, I see no reason to believe what you say.
> Can you offer me anything concrete?

Will his brain suffice? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3308667837128346434
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 13:03:23 PST
Message-ID: <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 15:02:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.50
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987969356
64.240.47.50 (22 Apr 2001 14:55:56 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19692

Jim Weir wrote:
> In my (not so) humble opinion, the parallel between the "hobby" of private
> aircraft and private radio can give us much insight into the old question of the
> relevance of the code.

May I offer the opinion that it is slightly ridiculous to try to draw
parallels between a life and death situation and a hobby.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2108215308236900368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 13:09:29 PST
Message-ID: <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 15:08:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.50
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987969719
64.240.47.50 (22 Apr 2001 15:01:59 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!
earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15162
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19693

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> ....and I'm supposed to be "TOLERANT" of these people?

Hitler was a heterosexual. Does that make you hate heterosexuals?
Using terms like "these people" because of the actions of one
person is collectivism, akin to sexism and racism. Why can't we
just judge individuals for their good or bad actions?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6216158969291842446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 14:19:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 17:15:57 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 87
Message-ID: <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1a400418.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=[o]Z9IgX\mmh_P==R2PeVjLoK8f=:?
J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgDfk03CI;9dilBFDaL\d^nel659:?PSDje
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19694

"Jim Weir" <jim@rst-engr.com> wrote in message
news:nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com...
> In my (not so) humble opinion, the parallel between the "hobby" of private
> aircraft and private radio can give us much insight into the old question
of the
> relevance of the code.
>
> If you have a person with a certificate that says "private pilot", you
know that
> the person is technically competent to fly an aircraft. However, you have
no
> idea what "aircraft" they are qualified in until you read a bit further.
After
> the "private pilot", they can have such qualifiers as "airplane",
"glider", "hot
> air balloon", "helicopter", "gyroplane" and a few others. Just because
mine
> says "airplane" and yours says "glider" doesn't make me any more or less a
pilot
> than you. The fact that I studied about engines and you studied about
towplanes
> is only a difference in the type of skill, not the competence of the
person to
> fly what they are rated for.
>
> So perhaps we need one certificate "amateur radio operator" with
qualifiers
> after the first line. You want to study code and pass the test that
permits you
> into that part of the band, go for it. If I want to do ATV and get those
> privileges, I'll do it. Whatever rots your socks.
>
> There are core subjects that we both need to know. How to tune up a rig
> transcends the type of operation. Most of the regulations are much the
same for
> both of us, yet some of the regs pertain to how you do your keying and
some of
> them only pertain to how I transmit my video. But don't ask me to acquire
a
> skill for which I have no use.
>

> I do not in any way debate your right to do the brass, but I fail to see
how
> this skill that I'll never use should be part of my training. Quite
frankly, if
> you gave me a big propane bottle and a huge gas bag right now, I'd be at a
loss
> as to what to do with it and honestly couldn't care less how it all works.
Same
> for CW. I'm 57 now, and the last time I touched a key I still couldn't
legally
> buy a drink. I haven't felt the loss.
>
> Jim
> Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.   WX6RST
> A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

Good idea.

There current three classes of license being issued:
Technician (T), General (G), and Extra (E).
If FCC the were to make code an endorsement; say
every 5 wpm would be a plus endorsement.

Existing Extras with 20wpm code endorsement would be E++++.
New Extras with 5wpm endorsement would be E+
Old Advanced with 13wpm endorsement would be A+++ (rounded up).
Old Generals with 13wpm endorsement would be G+++ (rounded up).
New General with 5wpm endorsement would be G+.
Old Technical Plus would be T+.
Old Novice would be N+
Old Technician depend on their previous code test would be either T or T+.

A person could then pass the Extra test with a code test and be E.

The ARRL could offer code test in 5wpm increments. So hotshot
radiotelegraph
operator who passed 40 wpm could be E++++++++. the ARRL
could handout start for each 5wpm increment. Then we have eight star
Extras.

Larry KC8EPO

From 6045992063616003447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 14:39:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!newsfeed.cs.wisc.edu!

loops.cs.wisc.edu!nntp.twtelecom.net!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 17:37:16 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3ae34f7c$0$62142$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1a400418.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=f8XgDaj5;bHb0EN^dS;UdEBITGoVIjmHF[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FGJUIjO2?T?
mFlBFDaL\d^nEl659:?PSDjE
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19695

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org...
> Jim Weir wrote:
> > In my (not so) humble opinion, the parallel between the "hobby" of
private
> > aircraft and private radio can give us much insight into the old
question of the
> > relevance of the code.
>
> May I offer the opinion that it is slightly ridiculous to try to draw
> parallels between a life and death situation and a hobby.

Ceil right, the Morse code exam licensing schema
is a life or death issue for RAAP posters. :-)

Larry

From -7264390525958362432
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 14:48:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 Apr 2001 21:47:25 GMT
References: <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010422174725.07671.00000371@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19696

>From: "Larry Klose" lklose@net-link.net

>The ARRL could offer code test in 5wpm increments. So hotshot
>radiotelegraph
>operator who passed 40 wpm could be E++++++++. the ARRL
>could handout start for each 5wpm increment. Then we have eight star
>Extras.

The ARRL already offers code-proficiency certificates. Unless your idea is tied somehow to legitimate licensing concerns and operating priveleges, I do not think it to be a good one. The ARRL code-proficiency certificates do not affect anyone's operating priveleges.

Changed sides, Larry? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3889518527045876084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 15:22:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 83
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

```
Message-ID: <YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 22:22:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 987978168 24.180.184.171 (Sun, 22 Apr 2001
15:22:48 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 15:22:48 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19697

My town has a height limit of 8' foot off the roof and the tallest rooftop
is 35' in our town or 8' off the ground. Otherwise you need a variance for
anything else, there are at least 2 cell towers at 150' tall  in town, and a
State Police installation, one cell site is  less than 200 feet from my
home,directly across the street where i walk out of the house every morning
looking at something I cannot have.Which is a decent antenna system.

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com...
> On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:11:48 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
> >news:cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> >
> >>   My erstwhile clients (the sheriff departments of three Bay Area
> >>   counties) want no part of dealing with the CB problem even though
> >>   they have excellent in-house and on-call engineering and enforcement
> >>   assistance available.  They look at it as one more pack of "mickey
> >>   mouse" (i.e. not "real public safety") rules that they would get
> >>   stuck with enforcing with no increase in staff or budget.
> >
> >They don't like busting hookers, bums or public drunks either, but when
the
> >influential folks about town raise a stink, they're out there
on-the-double.
>
> How many of said "influential" folks in any town have
> any concern at all over CB vs ham vs anything.  It is
> NOT a concern to most governing odies at all.  More likly
> the case that we, as hams, are viewed by those same "influential"
> folks as a nuisance because of our antennas, towers, etc.
>
> >When a small aircraft misses the runway at the county airport and crashes
> >into a school and someone speculates that 4th or 5th harmonic radiation
from
> >a "Texas Star" 6.4 kW (non) linear may have taken out the navigation
system,
> >then you'll see more interest in the regulations.
>
> Sounds good on paper, but you have nothing but
> speculation and a long wait for that to happen.
>
```

> >Actually, Phil, effective regulations are already on the books, as you
know.
> >Typical Use-Permit regulations will allow antennas under imposed
> >resitrictions, but those restrictions generally require FCC authorization
as
> >a minimum condition. I know that's true with both the Sonoma County and
> >Petaluma city regulations. Exisiting Planning and Land Use regulations
> >could at least require the removal of the antenna. That area of law is
> >"well settled".
>
> Most towns allow antennas except where the size of the
> support structure (tower) becomes an issue. You don't
> need anything significant for someone to run 1000 watts
> using just a vertical antenna (see any QST or CG for
> many possibilities). I suspect the erection of a verticle
> would violate very few actual zoning laws. If your town
> allows TV antennas, then you have plenty of latitude to
> erect a sufficient antenna to handl pirate CB.
>
> >> >Then what I would *really* like to see are sting operations at CB
stores
> >> >that sell illegal equipment, as well as "sweeps" at big truck stops
along
> >> >the major interstates!
> >>
> >>   The enabling legislation does not give the locals any authority to
> >>   enforce marketing regulations, only operational regs.
> >
> >I think the FCC is already doing the stings. I read a few months ago
about
> >one in Washington or Oregon where some mom 'n' pop CB shop got popped
> >several thousand bucks.
>
> FCC yes, others NO, as Phil correctly stated.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

From 2966888568832208444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 15:35:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 15:35:45 -0700

Organization: RST Engineering
Message-ID: <03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com>
Reply-To: jim@rst-engr.com
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.7/32.534
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 15
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19698

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->May I offer the opinion that it is slightly ridiculous to try to draw
->parallels between a life and death situation and a hobby.

You may offer anything you please, but I fail to understand the comment. Are you saying that flying is a life and death situation? No more so than driving a car or sticking your big mitts into a 3kV 1amp power supply without not knowing what you are doing.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

From 8531248634982948939
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 17:24:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE37618.76E4@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADFA914.9AAAB53D@sccoast.net> <3ADFBB48.DD3922D1@IEEE.org>
<3AE10BB4.D72E4470@sccoast.net> <3AE11BDA.E2B86B23@IEEE.org>
<3AE20E0D.2DB@bellsouth.net> <3AE26069.5B2E28FA@IEEE.org>

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 20:24:54 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.78.17.43
X-Trace: news2.atl 987985449 216.78.17.43 (Sun, 22 Apr 2001 20:24:09 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 22 Apr 2001 20:24:09 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19699

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > Are you sincere in your beliefs, or are you just putting us on?
>
> Yes

Then why swear on a Bible if it's just a book of "myths"?  "Wouldn't
a Playboy do?

Jerry
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3301695655749293512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 17:37:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 00:35:36 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 99
Message-ID: <3ae376e2.6076445@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<fCsE6.3616$tx5.93684@typhoon.sonic.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4a.c0
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 00:37:08 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19700
```

On Sun, 22 Apr 2001 03:54:51 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com...
>> On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:11:48 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >They don't like busting hookers, bums or public drunks either, but when
>the
>> >influential folks about town raise a stink, they're out there
>on-the-double.
>>
>> How many of said "influential" folks in any town have
>> any concern at all over CB vs ham vs anything. It is
>> NOT a concern to most governing odies at all. More likly
>> the case that we, as hams, are viewed by those same "influential"
>> folks as a nuisance because of our antennas, towers, etc.
>
>I'm one of those influentual folks, and getting something like this on the
>City Council agenda isn't all that hard.

Getting somethin on the agenda may be easy, getting legislation
passed is the test of your endurance. As one of those City Council
folks myself, I'd oppose it as a ham because I know it doesn't
belong in local enforcement.

>> >When a small aircraft misses the runway at the county airport and crashes
>> >into a school and someone speculates that 4th or 5th harmonic radiation
>from
>> >a "Texas Star" 6.4 kW (non) linear may have taken out the navigation
>system,
>> >then you'll see more interest in the regulations.
>>
>> Sounds good on paper, but you have nothing but
>> speculation and a long wait for that to happen.
>
>The FCC has already busted several pirate FM broadcasters who were
>interfering with aircraft communications. Just bringing up the possbility
>of it happening will cause them to squirm. Look at all the debate over RF
>exposure...and not one shred of evidence that there is any health risk at
>all.

Were those pirates doing CB? That is the ONLY thing this
legislation alows locals to go after. If the pirate is
illegal in AIR VHF frequencies, the CB legislation is
of no help there.

>> Most towns allow antennas except where the size of the
>> support structure (tower) becomes an issue. You don't
>> need anything significant for someone to run 1000 watts
>> using just a vertical antenna (see any QST or CG for
>> many possibilities). I suspect the erection of a verticle
>> would violate very few actual zoning laws. If your town

>> allows TV antennas, then you have plenty of latitude to
>> erect a sufficient antenna to handl pirate CB.
>
>Antenna regulations in both our city and our county allow only TV antennas,
>and even those have restrictions. Anything that transmits a signal,
>regardless of height, is regulated.

Please tell us what city you live in. The locals
have no jurisdiction over your right to transmit and to be
reasonably accomodated regarding antennas and towers.
Translation, the locals can NOT prohibit you from
operating.

> I was able to convice the local town
>fathers to specifically allow mobile antennas,

Are you saying that the locals wanted to ban mobile
antennas from vehicles in their jurisdiction?

If you are saying they allow mobile TYPE antennas installed
on structures, well that certainly allows just about any verticle
that can be fixed to an automobile.

>since doing otherwise would
>restrict TV news coverage, and since this was the town where Polly Klass was
>kidnapped from, they made the exemption. Everything else is regulated.

Sounds like just a great place to live, big brother aproving
everythning. Tell me, what would they say about a dipole strung in
my attic?

>> >I think the FCC is already doing the stings. I read a few months ago
>about
>> >one in Washington or Oregon where some mom 'n' pop CB shop got popped
>> >several thousand bucks.
>>
>> FCC yes, others NO, as Phil correctly stated.
>
>If the city has passed the ordinance that HR 2364 provides for, and mom and
>pop are transmitting with illegal equipment, they can bust 'em. Even if it
>requires "stings" to get it done.

That depends on just WHAT they are doing.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 7809884153811050054
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 17:39:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 00:38:05 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4a.c0
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 00:39:39 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19701

On Sun, 22 Apr 2001 22:22:48 GMT, "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
wrote:

>My town has a height limit of 8' foot off the roof and the tallest rooftop
>is 35' in our town or 8' off the ground.

Well you can do alot of antenna work in that 8' space.

>Otherwise you need a variance for
>anything else, there are at least 2 cell towers at 150' tall  in town, and a
>State Police installation, one cell site is  less than 200 feet from my
>home,directly across the street where i walk out of the house every morning
>looking at something I cannot have.Which is a decent antenna system.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK





From -1646540504339780407
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 17:43:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 00:41:38 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3ae3799b.6773623@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <20010421093925.04823.00000387@ng-
fa1.news.cs.com> <3AE1A0C0.CB7F2B8E@sccoast.net>
<3ae1ebc5.2860704@news.mindspring.com> <k7oE6.363$QV4.29065@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4a.c0
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 00:43:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19702

On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 22:48:48 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:

>In article <3ae1ebc5.2860704@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl says...
>
>>Any town that passes legislation can ONLY do so by
>>reference to the federal laws (FCC rules).  They can NOT
>>insert additional constraints or requirements (e.g. anything
>>without ...) in their local laws.
>
>Of course they can.  "All powers not reserved..." and all that
>crap.

And federal premption of radio regulations is and has
been well established for decades.  So much for "all
powers not reserved..."

>Of course, it could be ruled invalid by a court, but
>someone with deep pockets will have to take the steps to make
>that happen.  Meanwhile, Joe Tribander with 3 kids in college
>is SOL.

Such cases have been fought by ARRL volunteer attornies and often
funded by donations.

>Good luck on this one now, Bill!

The bottom line is that most communities are reasonable
and stay out of such nonsense.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 9075050188540440634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:26:23 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 00:26:24 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3AE10BB4.D72E4470@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net> <XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org> <3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org> <3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org> <3ADFA914.9AAAB53D@sccoast.net> <3ADFBB48.DD3922D1@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.17
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987827175 25789 207.144.228.17 (21 Apr 2001 04:26:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:26:15 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19552

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Please offer objective evidence that you
> are not a rapist. It is impossible to
> prove a negative. The onus of proof is
> upon the person who asserts the positive
> premise. That's you, Dwight. Prove away.

I'm not a rapist because I have never unlawfully forced a person to have sexual intercourse. And I'm fully prepared to bring forth as evidence any person with whom you claim I have done otherwise. Therefore, to any reasonable person (and the law), I have proven a negative - I'm not a rapist. True, such evidence would not satisfy an unreasonable person, but I'm assuming you intend to be reasonable during this discussion.

And, sorry, I have never asserted a thing, Cecil. I have never once said during this entire discussion, or in any prior discussion I remember, that a supernatural realm exists, or that a god exists. You, on the other hand, have asserted here, and in previous discussions, that god does not exist. Therefore, between the two of us, the ONLY person making any assertion (positive or negative) is you, and I'm asking you to provide evidence to support the only assertion made - yours.

In fact, so far, the only ones offering any evidence whatsoever to support their assertions are those members of the various religious communities - the testimony of a few hundred million believers. I haven't seen a thing from you that even comes close to equalling that.

Dwight Stewart

```
From 1800403854252287659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:31:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
newsfeed.infoave.net.MISMATCH!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 00:32:13 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3AE10D0F.81FBD78A@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADFA694.9F40E2B4@sccoast.net> <3ADFBC48.B40B0514@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.17
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987827507 26015 207.144.228.17 (21 Apr 2001 04:31:47
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:31:47 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19553
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> I'm talking about the Texas blue laws
> which are indirectly based on an
> assumption of the existence of a God.

I thought I had already addressed that. Wait a minute, I did. In a previous message, I said, "Those blue laws are based on community standards, Cecil. Your community obviously has a good number of people who, for whatever reason (religion, or they just don't like drunks), don't want people to buy beer on Sunday. If those laws were

truly based solely on religion, there would be no beer sales at all
(any day of the week)."

> Delude yourself all you want, Dwight. [snip]

Thank you. When it comes to thinking I'm more special, or you're more special, than a monkey, I will.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -954001106137087198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:33:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can.
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:33:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9br2i4$i2$0@208.207.71.151>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9blc13$esq$4@208.207.71.144> <3adfad1c.5766632@news.mindspring.com> <3AE0A03B.9F50261D@uci.edu> <3ae0a282.796136@news.mindspring.com> <3AE0A4DB.DC366977@uci.edu> <3ae0a68a.1827564@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19554

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
Times change, positions change.
>

Yes they do, don't they? How long do you suppose it'd be before all you anti CW folk changed your mind about "work all the CW you want" if things get crowded on the voice segments?

```
From 6108296650903664429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:34:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:34:13 GMT
References: <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010421003413.06580.00000121@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19555
```

In article <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>NCI opposes the code test and that's it. Use it if you
>like it. NCI has no opposition to code USE at all.

The avowed purpose of that organization is to end code testing for any amateur license. But that's not the only purpose.

Several years ago, there used to be a statement on the website that when all code testing for any ham license was gone, the organization would fade away. That statement is no longer there.

Some time back, a VHF/UHF group petitioned the FCC to create a 300 kHz subband at the bottom of 2 meters for "narrowband modes" - meaning SSB, CW, and data modes narrower than a certain bandwidth. This petition had absolutely nothing to do with code testing in any way. Yet NCI filed comments in opposition to the proposal, calling for "no protection for 'legacy' modes". If NCI's only concern is ending code testing, why did they oppose this proposal?

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -8908997903529587238
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:34:31 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:34:17 GMT
References: <3adfb215.219737318@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Message-ID: <20010421003417.06580.00000123@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19556

In article <3adfb215.219737318@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>He wuz bold enough to be the first stick & pedal jock to officially
>blow thru the "sound barrier" in a really squirrely rocketship and
>he's older 'n dirt.

He flew Mustangs in WW2. Was shot down over France, dragged/carried another shot down pilot (who had a broken leg) across France into Spain where Resistance folks snuck him and his buddy back to England. Then he convinced the brass to let him fly combat missions again, even though it was against policy to let anyone who had been helped by the Resistance back into combat. (The concern was that if they were captured, they might be tortured or tricked into revealing information about those who helped them). Yeager argued that since the front had advanced greatly since he was shot down, everyone who helped him was in liberated territory and there was no danger to them.

> Last I heard he was still tooling "Glorius
>Glennis" around the sky, the P-51D named after his missus. Beats me,
>you figger if he's old 'n bold himself

He had "Glamorous Glennis" painted on his planes whenever possible, including the Bell X-1 in which he broke the sound barrier. With a coupla broken ribs he'd gotten the night before.

Read his autobiography and/or Tom Wolfe's "The RIght Stuff" to see some of his other exploits. His bailout from the NF-104 is worth the whole book..

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -7135020313789702942
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:34:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 472
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:34:17 GMT
References: <20010417133348.16316.00000184@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010421003417.06580.00000122@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19557

In article <20010417133348.16316.00000184@nso-cu.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010416003907.25353.00000133@nso-de.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>I wish to debate the methods used to derive conclusions. You don't want to
>>debate. All you want to do, Len, is call names and repeat the same mistakes
>>over and over.
>
>Nope. I stated the source of my observations, gave some numbers.

Actually, you have it backwards. I stated some numbers, and you took issue with
them.

You also derived some numbers by faulty methods. Like your claim of 59
Technicians reclassified from Tech Plus.

You also made statements that are clearly incorrect, like Technicians with
Element 1 CSCEs having no HF privileges.

>>Your "belief" in something does not make it a fact. I know people who
>believe
>>in the Easter Bunny, but their belief does not mean I must agree with them.
>
>There is a common thread among long-timers here in which
>the True Beliefs of US amateur radio are stated as if reading
>from a hymnal.

Like what? And by whom? Give us some examples, Len, not these vague claims.
>
>>Another example of faulty process on your part, Len. You lump together all
>>classes of amateur license with any HF privileges at all, EXCEPT Techncians
>>with HF. But there is a BIG difference between the minimal Novice HF
>>privileges
>>(tiny slices of four HF bands, and only one mode on three of those bands)

>>granted and those of the General and higher classes. (Generals have all
>>privileges on 5 of the 9 HF/MF amateur bands, and the majority of the
>>spectrum
>>in the other 4 bands. And they have all mode privileges. The other classes
>>are even better).
>
>A True Belief labels as heresy anything against the True Belief,
>therefore all who express such "heresy" are "faulty," "in error,"
>or "mistaken."

In other words, you don't have a disproof of what I wrote.
>
>I was simply observing tabulations of US amateur radio license
>class changes since the end of June 2000 to the end of March
>2001.

No, you did more than that. You made statements about those numbers which were
clearly in error. Like claiming that ALL Technicians have NO HF privileges.

> Miccolis considered this "faulty," "mistaken," "error," etc.
>because I did not include changes that were not available, not
>tabulated.

They ARE faulty, because no allowance was made for factors which clearly affect
the numbers posted.

Your logic on this is like someone saying that since they don't know the exact
amount of rainfall in a certain location, they will assume that it never rains
there and make no allowance for rain.
>
>>You agree, then, that your work is faulty. OK. Why should anyone accept your
>>faulty work?
>
>No agreement on "faulty work." I gave my sources and numbers.
>From there on a True Believer came out with charges of "fault,"
>"error," and "mistake." :-)

No. PROOF of faulty processes. Which you refuse to accept.
>
>>I don't like analysis METHODS that are faulty. Such as counting months
>twice,
>>which you did.
>
>Nope. Was counting only 10 months, as stated.

One month got counted twice, because it had already been added to the total
licenses.
>
>There was nothing wrong with my method.

Yes, there was. Several things. Shall I go over them again?

>Some, like Miccolis, didn't like the results.

I don;'t like sloppy work. You did sloppy work, Len. Simple as that.
>
>> Or ignoring the reclassification of Tech Pluses as Techs upon
>>renewal, which you also did.
>
>That's true. However, despite Miccolis' following demand of
>"fault," Miccolis did not adequately explain how many such
>reclassifications there were or their impact on my numbers.

Yes, I did. The AH0A data shows well over 3000 Technician licneses renewed
after April 15, 2000 but before November 15, 2000. Every single one of these
has to be a Tech Plus reclassified as a Tech, because no codetestless Tech
license was eligible for renewal before November 14, 2000. Later, Jon Bloom
posted that at least 7079 Technician licenses in the FCC database have a "P"
(for Tech Plus) in the "former license class" field, as of a few days ago. I
posted all of this information right in this thread, but you ignored all of it,
because it clearly shows the errors of your methods.
>
>>Or assuming that NO new Technicians upgraded in
>>ten months, which you also did.
>
>Those weren't mentioned.

I mentioned them repeatedly.

> If there is "fault" in any analysis,
>then it is in Miccolis' failure to accept any observation that
>does not jibe with his True Beliefs.

Nonsense.
>
>>How do you know what I think? Can you read minds? Are you God? I don't think
>>so.
>
>I've never claimed any telepathic powers or divinity...not even
>to being some kind of "apostle" of a True Belief.

Yet you claim to know others' motivations.
>
>On the other hand, Miccolis keeps harping on "fault," "mistake,"
>"error" based on nothing else but a failure to accept that this
>True Belief is not longer a creed of newcomers.

I keep pointing out the mistakes you make in your posts. Tell us, Len - if a
current Technician class licensee passes the 5 wpm code test (Element 1) and
gets a CSCE for it, but does not pass any other test, does that licensee have
any amateur HF privileges?
>
>>What is really going on is that I have repeatedly shown that your analysis
>>methods are faulty. So rather than correct them, or admit your mistake, or
>>even try to defend them, you attack the messenger.
>

>There was nothing "faulty" about the observation or interpretation.

The methods used to derive your numbers had errors in them. Some of your
statements do not agree with the facts.
>
>>I didn't ask for it. All I want is answers to simple questions, but you
>don't
>>give those. Because they are too embarrasing for you.
>
>It appears Miccolis merely wants to prolong the issue by "loaded"
>"simple questions." Good technique in newsgroupie battling. :-)

What "loaded" questions? How is the question about the Technician licnesee
(above) "loaded"?

I suppose you consider them "loaded" because they expose your mistakes..
>
>>How? If your numbers and methods are valid, explain and defend them against
>>my critique. Show where MY analysis is faulty.
>
>First of all, Miccolis started with a 12-month period beginning prior
>to 15 April 2000.

So? The subject line of the thread is "Restructuring -- did it make a
difference".

>That would introduce errors for a post-restructure
>observation

There's no requirement that all observations MUST be "post-restructure". What
"errors" are introduced by including those two weeks? Can you quantify them?
>
>Miccolis refused to accept any buffer time in April or May 2000 in
>order for FCC licensing and databases to become updated.

Why is such "buffer time" required? KC8EPO has have posted that some people
found their April 15 upgrades in the FCC database as soon as April 19 - just
four days.

>At
>one time Miccolis insisted that all must count all of April 2000
>class data without giving any valid explanation.

Not correct. I simply countd it in my numbers, rather than ignore the month of
April. You have not given a valid explanation of why all of April and May 2000
must be ignored.
>
>Miccolis continues to ascribe everything in terms of "faulty,"
>"mistaken," "error" etc. over and over and over again as if
>that is a valid or justified counter-argument.

I have given my counter arguments. Do you want to see them again, Len?

> Such is nothing
>more than polite ad hominism.

How? Show your work. Prove that the mistakes I cite are not mistakes at all.

>> You haven't done that - all you do
>>is edit out my proof of your errors and attack the messenger. Then you toss
>>in some dirty words for some reason or other.
>
>Miccolis' "proof" was considered in the obvious light of opinion
>at the time of trying to show that newcomers were somehow
>thronging to HF bands.

Now you claim to read minds. Again.

> My observation was simply on the class
>of license based on readily-available tabulations of publicly-
>available data.

So were mine.

> That data did not include precise numbers of
>changes in class over the 10-month period because such
>tabulations are no longer done at the website I used.

So you ASSUMED that the changes were ZERO in a 10 month period. See above
example about rainfall.

> Miccolis
>insisted that it "should be done" and then statedd that my
>observation was "faulty" because I did not use available data.

I wrote that not allowing for factors like upgrades and reclassifactions from
renewal was a mistake. And it IS a mistake to assume that such factors have
zero effect because you don't have the numbers.
>
>>Nope. I'm acting like a real engineer, who wants to see how the conclusions
>>are derived, and who won't accept sloppy thinking and faulty handwaving
>>processes.
>
>Hmmm..."sloppy thinking" and "faulty handwaving." Is that the
>description of observation of tabulations of classes of amateur radio
>licenses over a stated period using information available to all?

It's an accurate description of what you did when you assumed that NO
Technicians upgraded in a 10 month period because you can't get upgrade numbers
from the AH0A site. It's an accurate description of what you did when you
claimed only 59 Technician Pluses were reclassified to Technician in a 10 month
period because you can't get direct reclassification numbers from the AH0A
site.
>
>>Worse yet, I won't be bullied into accepting something because somebody
>calls

>>me names or uses scatalogical terms.
>
>No one is trying to "bully" Miccolis

HAH! That's funny, Len. You do it to anyone who opposes you in this newsgroup.
>
>>>The trend of newcomers going to Technician was there before
>>>restructuring. It continues.
>>
>>And as I have said dozens of times: NOBODY DENIES THAT!
>
>I do not recall Miccolis saying that more than about five or six
>times. That is less than a dozen. [fault! error!   mistake!   :-)]

The mistake is YOURS, Len. I have written it here dizens of times in the past
3-1/2 years. Your failure to remember it is not my problem.

>>>Such is heresy against Conventional Wisdom (CW) of the
>>>long-time ham conservatives.
>>
>>You said that - not me. Why do you deny that ANY of them upgrade?
>
>Denial was not in any of my observations. :-)

It was in all of them involving Technicians
>
>Nor is the "explanation of what happens in a 'real engineering'
>environment" germane to any discussion of tabulated data of
>radio amateur licenses after April 15, 2000.

Why not? Because it shows you to be in error?

>This forum is decidedly NOT any sort of "design review."

Sure it is. People present ideas, and those ideas are reviewed and discussed.
Often faulty logic is pointed out. Some folks, like you, Len, don;t like having
their faulty logic pointed out in public.

>>> Avocations are NOT engineering.
>>
>>Many of them require engineering. When a ham puts up a tower, it better be
>>engineered correctly, because if it falls down and causes damage, the fact
>>that it's "avocational" doesn't mean a thing.
>
>Irrelevant to the discussion-debate-argument subject. :-)
>
Completely relevant. You just don't like it.

>>The status quo is now one code test and three written tests.
>
>True.
>
>>The status quo is

>>now that support for code testing is only kept alive by the treaty
>>requirement.
>
>Incorrect. All that has been said in regard to post-restructuring
>is that the FCC did not remove Element 1 (code test) due to
>ITU-R S25.5. Nothing at all was said by the FCC as to
>longevity of any code test.

The FCC wrote that there was no regulatory reason for code testing except for the treaty requirement. They also refused to accept - in fact they denied straight-out - several commonly-given reasons (like "emergency communications") as a basis for ANY code testing. That means if the treaty requirement is eliminated, there will be no regulatory reason whatsoever for code testing.

Regulataing is what the FCC does. If there's no regulatory reason for something, it will end.
>
>>The status quo is that support for that treaty requirement is
>>decreasing.
>
>No. That is merely conjecture on Miccolis' part.

Not conjecture at all. In the comments to the restructuring NPRM, support for code testing beyond the 5 wpm minimum was in the majority - but a very slight majority (57% at most). In previous NPRMs, the support was much higher.

At the most recent Region 3 IARU meeting, only the ARRL voted to support continuation of S25.5. All of the other member societies voted to support its removal. This is a radical change from but a few years ago, when support for S25.5 was near-universal. Now the ARRL BOD has removed its support from S25.5.

>There is no
>mention of any debate-discussion of ITU-R S25.5 in any
>agenda for WRC-2003. Until that happens the status quo of
>S25.5 is that it remains as-in.

What I wrote was that support for S25.5 is decreasing.
>
>>The status quo is that the code test will disappear shortly after
>>the ITU meeting in 2003.
>
>No again. Miccolis' sentence is simply a rewording of the
>previous sentence.
>
Not at all.

We shall see in 2003.

>>They aren't? How many "newcomers" do YOU know? How do you derive such a
>>sweeping statement? From your "beliefs"?
>
>Irrelevant and unproveable by data. My conjecture has no

>"poll" or other data.

Typical. You don't know any newcomers, but you want to indoctrinate and set the standards. As if.

>>>radio amateurs are Technician class. The vast majority of that
>>>class has not taken any morse code test
>>
>>Ahhhh - BUT SOME OF THEM HAVE! At least 7079 of them, and probaly many
>>more.
>>Which means they are NOT all "noncodetested" or "non-HF licensees".
>
>Please note what I wrote: "Vast majority" which does not mean
>"all." Vast majority is a subjective quantity but generally taken
>to mean more than half, usually more than three-quarters.

Previously, you claimed that Technicians don't have any HF privileges, CSCEs or no CSCEs. You also lumped all Technicians in the "noncodetested" category. "ALL" is pretty inclusive.
>
>>Pure wish-fulfillment and speculation on your part, Len. Nothing else.
>
>Speculation based on varied media and personal input.

That's just a rewording of what I wrote.
>
>>You
>>don't know how many have taken the code test, so you ASSUME nobody has.
>
>The exact, quantifiable numbers are not available on who took
>a code test and who did not.

We have a lower bound of 7079. A lot more than zero.
>
>Note that I never wrote "nobody (of Technicians) had taken a code
>test."

You lumped all of them in the "noncodetested" category, with no HF. Same thing. Also incorrect.

> Ergo, there can be NO "assumption" as charged.

Disproven.
>
>>You deny the stated proof that thousands have taken the test.
>
>Absolute nonsense, incorrect, faulty, and full of error statement.
>No, absolutely NO such statement was made.

Yes, it was.
>
>There is NO quantifiable data of any kind to state how many
>radio operator code tests were failed, not taken, or anything

>else.

The point is the tests that were PASSED. We know about those. You assume they
don't exist.

> What the long-timer conservatives seem to insist is
>that "thousands and thousands have taken a code test" as if
>that "proves" something valid for any radio operator examination
>in the future.

Nonsense. Nobody claims anything of the sort.
>
>>Of course. That's what they said. So? They said that 4 license classes was
>>the best thing for ham radio in the NPRM, then dropped it to 3 in the R&O.
>They
>>had to issue an errata to the NPRM, and the errata had mistakes in it. They
>say
>>all kinds of things.
>
>An NPRM, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making, simply outlines a
>basis for Comment by interested parties. Final decisions are
>explained in an R&O, the Report and Order.

well, duh. You missed the point. Again.
>
>"They say all kinds of things" is a non-sequitur about the FCC. The
>FCC is the regulatory agency of US civil radio. All civil radio
>licensees ("civil" in denoting non-government, non-military radio)
>must obey the regulations of the FCC.

And they make mistakes. Also change their minds. Say things that make no sense
at all, contradict their own rules, require additional corrections, etc. They
ain't perfect.
>
>>Uh huh. Instead, they should buy YOUR "belief set", founded on hate of
>>anything that you don't like.
>
>I am simply
>advocating a belief that a morse code test for an amateur radio
>license is not needed for licensing purposes in regulation.

You're doing a lot more than that.

> The
>FCC agreed with that viewpoint in 1999 and in 1990.

Then why do you think there will be code testing if S25.5 is removed?
>
>>Try admitting your mistakes instead of attacking the messenger.
>
>No problem. When I make a true error, I acknowledge it.

HAH! That's REALLY funny!

>I will not
>"acknowledge" any "false" errors charged by those who insist on
>responses that suit their opinions.

In other words, you won't admit a mistake if the messenger holds opinions you don't like. Regardless of the proofs posted.

Typical denial.

N2EY

From -8853069241935606536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:34:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc4bsn1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org> <9br12c$nr7$0@208.207.71.151>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 22
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:35:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 987827731 63.178.69.158 (Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:35:31 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:35:31 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19558

On 21 Apr 2001 04:07:40 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> No, FCC has been sued *by ARRL* a few times. Last time I dropped my
>membership it was because they sued FCC over something I considered to
>be far less important than the ill will the lawsuit was certain to
>generate at FCC HQ.

"Ill will" ??

The FCC is well aware that the ONLY remedy that an aggrieved party has is a suit in the US Court of Appeals. Thus sayeth the Comm Act.

They field them every day, most brought by entities whom the public perceives to have a "sweetheart" relationship with the agency.

Sneaking around the back door via the Congress for anything but increased funding generates real ill-will.

```
--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
```

```
From -7232937297683670280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:34:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc4c452.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 21
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:35:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 987827731 63.178.69.158 (Sat, 21 Apr 2001
04:35:31 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:35:31 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19559
```

On Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:11:48 GMT, Ron wrote:

```
>>   The enabling legislation does not give the locals any authority to
>>   enforce marketing regulations, only operational regs.
>
>I think the FCC is already doing the stings.  I read a few months ago about
>one in Washington or Oregon where some mom 'n' pop CB shop got popped
>several thousand bucks.
```

Yup, it's been going on for decades. I did quite a few.

Who would think that a short, fat, bearded and bald guy was a
Federal agent?   We even had a male-female team once pose as a
couple having an argument over spending the price of the "leaner".

The Satchel Paige Rule applies.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 4862452884518626906
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:37:22 PST
Message-ID: <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:36:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987827397
64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 23:29:57 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19560

Dick Carroll wrote:

> What kind of nonsense is all that?? Of course I don't build my own
> vacuum tubes nor caps and resistors, but I have a lifetime supply,
> probably 6K tubes, along with a lot of transistors and IC's and scads of
> other hard to find parts. So what? Do you build your own pickup trucks?
> Why are you trying to get stupid on us?

I'm just trying to get you to realize that 50 years ago, tubes were
components. In 2001, *transceivers are components*. Times have changed,
Dick. The ARS cannot return to the 50's.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3632621873607865206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:40:31 PST
Message-ID: <3AE10EF8.63AC30E7@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:39:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134> <3ae08001.3324290@news.mindspring.com>
<9br1sg$rb5$0@208.207.71.151>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987827584
64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 23:33:04 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
```

```
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19561

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Hams need practical knowledge about how to implement the old
> basic technology.

To what purpose? Do you know how to manually advance the timing
on your vehicle?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From 7459679584794O4724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:47:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:47:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <9br3d2$3c8$0@208.207.71.151>
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org>
<9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208> <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105>
<3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org> <3ADF404B.E4D3BE71@uci.edu>
<3ADF8929.8590E373@IEEE.org> <9bpq4v$cfn$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AE07615.43DFF672@IEEE.org> <3AE099FC.A2363F7F@uci.edu>
<3AE0AED1.AEAC32AB@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19562



W6RCecilA wrote:
```

```
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > He never needed to copy Morse code at more than 5wpm in order to use HF.
> > > > Why would you claim he was "kept off of HF"?  Or was that just another
> > > > exaggeration.
> >
> > > Quoting from the 1957 ARRL License Manual: "The privileges available
> > > to the Technician are all those amateur privileges in 50-54 and above
> > > 220 Mc., ..." His Novice license had expired after a year and the only
> > > way for him to continue as a ham was a Technician license with *zero* HF
> > > privileges. Yes Jim, as a 1958 Technician, he ***indeed*** was "kept
> > > off of HF".
> >
> > Would I redeem myself if I said I feel sorry for him?  How he must have
> > yearned all those long years to be liked by the other hams, and be
> > allowed to play reindeer games.
>
> When having nothing rational to say, you resort to sillyness? He was more
> intelligent than I. He was better educated than I. He was more experienced
> than I. He was more knowledgeable than I. The single advantage I had over
> him was that I was biologically capable of 13wpm and he wasn't. It was/is
> a biological condition beyond his control just like skin color or sex.
> Walk a mile in his shoes and then get back to us.
```

I sit here thinking about how many times I've heard that story - "I've tried and tried, spent hours, weeks, months trying to learn the code and I just can't do it'. But when you dig into it, all that time was actually NOT spent in what the party really thought he was doing...Either he had a terribly wrong technique or he was not really spending the time studying code at all. Does he actually have a mentla disability which prevents him from learning the code at any speed? Do I believe he does just because some doctor said so? Not at all. They'll always find something that the patient can use, whether or not it's real. Maybe it is, maybe it isn't. Doesn't matter does it? I've seen a lot of people who thought they couldn't do it but darn few who couldn't.

But all that is trying to prove a negative and we all know you can't do that don't we?

```
From 5368110052648230289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:51:42 PST
Message-ID: <3AE1119B.F17BE80C@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:50:35 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <9bk6kh$b5j$4@208.207.71.138> <20010419080910.13630.00000109@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9bn2b9$s7m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADF1D9B.C0776BC0@IEEE.org> <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987828259 64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 23:44:19 -0500)
Lines: 35
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19563

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Nobody wants to make radiotelegraphy unavailable within the ARS.
>
> Maybe YOU don't. That doesn't mean others are of the same mind,
> whatever they say for publication.

Dick, there is not a ghost of a chance of making radiotelegraphy
unavailable within the ARS. Why don't you choose to worry about
real problems rather than delusional ones?

> > Some
> > of us simply want radiotelegraphy to stand on its own merits and not
> > be artificially propped up by a governmental affirmative action program.
>
> Which is tantamount to it's demise.

You opinion is obviously that radiotelegraphy doesn't have enough merit
to ensure its survival without a governmental affirmative action program.
I disagree. But if you're right, and radiotelegraphy lacks enough merit
to survive, then it deserves to go the way of buggy whips.

> When will the lockup occur? Do YOU know?

Nope, and you don't know that it will ever occur. The possibility of its occurrence is not a good enough reason to force every HF ham to pass a Morse code exam. That waste of manpower is staggering.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8539687624194106325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:52:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9br1us$rb5$1@208.207.71.151>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 25
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:53:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 987828813 63.178.69.158 (Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:53:33 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 04:53:33 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19564

On 21 Apr 2001 04:22:52 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>>   Right on target. The courts will not substitute their judgment for
>>   the FCC's judgment of enforcement priorities.
>
>
> Which made it all the more curious to me why ARRL would sue the FCC.

The only grounds for a successful suit against administrative agencies are that the agency is not following the law or its own

```
  regs or if there is a major issue of public policy.

  In communications regulatory law, a "major issue of public policy"
  does not include anything having to do solely with amateur radio.
  (Sorry, guys.)

  IIRC the League claimed that the Commission did not follow case law
  on administrative procedure (the "arbitary and capricious" rule) in
  reaching its conclusions on the transfer of 220-222 MHz, but the
  Court of Appeals did not agree.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
```

```
From -6159159136128413751
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:54:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:54:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9br3pv$3c8$1@208.207.71.151>
References: <9bpoer$7b2$2@208.207.71.134> <20010420200519.12023.00000331@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19565
```

```
W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >>Everyone can continue to do everything they have
> >> ever done in ham radio.
>
> > Yep, "Gimme my privilege, and shove your assertions of personal
> >responsibility."
>
```

> I have no additional privileges do earn, Dick. Can you explain why you said
> that to me?

I know Ed, it's that you and Cecil project that attitude re testing.

```
From 799865228244195170
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:55:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:55:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9br3r9$3c8$2@208.207.71.151>
References: <9bpl8c$pm4$0@216.155.32.187> <20010420200805.12023.00000332@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19566
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com
>
> >One thousand hours is 41.666 days. I went from nothing to 20 WPM in
> >probably 100 hours or less of sending/receiving practice, including scores
> >of on-the-air QSO's. I seriously doubt that your friend invested anything
> >close to 1,000 hours of code practice. Is there anyone out here that can
> believe
> >Cecil's claim?
>
> It seemed excessive to me. I would believe hundreds of hours, but anyone who
> takes thousands of hours to realize they are undertaking a task that is beyond
> their ability has a pretty shaky grasp of practical reality.
>
> Cecil, can I assume there was some exaggeration there?

Isn't there always?

From -3412408727278679552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:56:35 PST
Message-ID: <3AE112BD.AB2E4B7D@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 20 Apr 2001 23:55:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bpl8c$pm4$0@216.155.32.187> <20010420200805.12023.00000332@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987828549 64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 23:49:09 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-x.support.nl!earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19567

W1RFI wrote:
> It seemed excessive to me. I would believe hundreds of hours, but anyone who
> takes thousands of hours to realize they are undertaking a task that is beyond
> their ability has a pretty shaky grasp of practical reality.

It just shows how dedicated he was to passing the 13wpm Morse code exam.
25 years times 52 weeks times 4 hours is 5200 hours.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7715754106995159130

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 21:57:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 21 Apr 2001 04:57:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9br3v9$3c8$3@208.207.71.151>
References: <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134> <20010420201703.12180.00000364@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19568



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Careful Ed, your True Colors are beginning to shine.
>
> And what, pray tell, are those true colors, Dick?
>
> I am also curious what method you use to be able to read peoples thoughts,
> emotions and motivations?  Are you rich, because anyone who can do that
> consistently could really make a lot of money relatively easily.  Or are you
> only able to do this on rrap?


 You seem to think you have a good grasp on mine. So it only works in
one direction?


From -470086563112989236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 22:00:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
```

```
Date: 21 Apr 2001 05:00:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9br463$3c8$4@208.207.71.151>
References: <MPG.154a1da98b32eb539896e0@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420195624.12023.00000328@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<MPG.154aabcd7903cdfb9896e2@news.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19569



"funguy@hotjuno.com" wrote:
>
> In article <20010420195624.12023.00000328@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> says...
> > >From: funguy@hotjuno.com
> >
> > > Sorry Bill, he set the rules a year or so ago, especially when it came to Ed
> > > and the ARRL.  He sets them, he plays by them.
> >
> > I went round and round on this one with both Carl and Bill.  They came around
> > to my way of thinking and now both agree that what I post is my personal
> > opinion, unless obviously otherwise from statement or context.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> Please.  They both realized that they had set standards that they were
> being judged by.  After trying to weasel out of holding themselves to the
> same standard they set, the NCI board MADE them concede.  This way they
> could go about bad mouthing everything around them that didn't agree to
> follow the rest of the group.  Archives show that Carl hated everything
> about the ARS, especially the ARRL.
>
> BTW..after all his posturing about the elimination of the code..or the
> reduction and all the great things he could do but couldn't/wouldn't, it
> strikes me as funny that he never used his get of jail free card and bag
> that Extra license.  HHHmmmmm


 Maybe he really doesn't like ham radio, after all.


From -1845856785361836306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 22:02:34 PST
Message-ID: <3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 00:01:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987828908
64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 23:55:08 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19570

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I can tell you that nowhere on the planet exists active hams running
> higher power in the numbers found in the USA, and for sure not the
> independent minded individuals.

The point is that most other countries don't have bands sliced up into legal and illegal modes and they seem to get along just fine. Even in this country, I rarely hear anything except CW in the lower 25KHz even though the other digital modes are legal there.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -195700446866974026
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 22:04:54 PST
Message-ID: <3AE114B6.AEED7D40@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 00:03:50 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134> <20010420201703.12180.00000364@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987829054
64.240.47.69 (20 Apr 2001 23:57:34 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19571

W1RFI wrote:
> I am also curious what method you use to be able to read peoples thoughts,
> emotions and motivations?

Maybe that's Dick in disguise on TV reading those Tarot cards. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 6567758901408861754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 22:08:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AE11581.381C641E@IEEE.org>
```

```
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 00:07:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
<MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<fHXD6.4244$D4.431996@www.newsranger.com> <9bqtut$cbb$0@208.207.71.151>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987829257
64.240.47.69 (21 Apr 2001 00:00:57 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19572
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> To change that would introduce chaos unknown heretofore.

Without change, amateur radio would never have existed. Exactly
when did change stop being good and start being evil - the day
you got your Extra license?

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8883063585740420543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 22:14:43 PST
Message-ID: <3AE116FC.BE189C9@IEEE.org>
```

```
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 00:13:32 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3AE03F80.96AF6104@IEEE.org> <20010420200222.12023.00000330@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987829636
64.240.47.69 (21 Apr 2001 00:07:16 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!
earthquake.efnet.com!efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19573
```

W1RFI wrote:

>
> From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Psssst Ed, did you know that 99% of Larry's DNA is virtually
> >identical to a chimpanzee's? :-)
>
> I read that somewhere. As I thought about it, I realized that which 99% is
> identical is a critical difference between us all.

Seems there are only about 23 genes that make us human.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5988992312826863672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 22:19:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AE11809.A6938007@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 00:18:01 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3ADFB08D.9B25F2CA@sccoast.net> <3ADFB90B.5F1C736A@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C71B.23AB61C@bctv.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987829904
64.240.47.69 (21 Apr 2001 00:11:44 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19574

Keith Wood wrote:
> If you had meant something less than all, it is contingent on you
> (making the assertion) to specify a subgroup.

I did specify the set to which I was referring. They are called blue laws.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3185128469576316177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 22:24:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AE11936.91D4CD89@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 00:23:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3ADFB08D.9B25F2CA@sccoast.net> <3ADFB90B.5F1C736A@IEEE.org>
<3AE0FFCC.47574BCE@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987830208
64.240.47.69 (21 Apr 2001 00:16:48 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19575

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   Read your sentence again, Cecil. You said the "set of laws" you are
> "forced to live under." There is only one Blue Law that you live
> under - the one in your county or town. So where are the plural "set
> of laws?"

Don't know much about Texas, do you. There exist state-wide blue laws.
Those are the ones I object to.

>   You have an inalienable right to buy alcohol on Sunday?

You bet your sweet bippie! The blue laws are absolutely a violation
of my civil rights.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2549734022074656989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 22:28:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AE11A1C.9BB79512@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 00:26:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C6D9.89C5E8F3@bctv.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987830438
64.240.47.69 (21 Apr 2001 00:20:38 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19576
```

Keith Wood wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > If you can find the word "all" in my posting, I'll give you $100.
>
> If you can show me where you state or implied any division between one

> group of laws and another, I'll give that $100 to you.

Here's what I said: "The particular set of laws to which I was objecting
are known in Texas as the "blue laws". Pay up.

> > I've got better things to do than hang out at the Taylor, TX 7-11.

> Then sleep in another 5 minutes.

I was already late for lunch.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -9154639954147430254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 22:35:27 PST
Message-ID: <3AE11BDA.E2B86B23@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 00:34:18 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADFA914.9AAAB53D@sccoast.net> <3ADFBB48.DD3922D1@IEEE.org>
<3AE10BB4.D72E4470@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987830884
64.240.47.69 (21 Apr 2001 00:28:04 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

```
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19577
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> I'm not a rapist because I have never unlawfully forced a person to
> have sexual intercourse.

There is no way you can prove that statement so using your logic of accepting things without evidence, we must assume you are indeed a rapist. I, OTOH, believe that hard evidence must be presented to prove anything.

> You, on the other hand, have asserted here, and in previous
> discussions, that god does not exist.

More of your mind fornication. I have done no such thing. I have asserted that I have seen no evidence of the existence of a God. That is the absolute truth to which I will swear on a Bible.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1999416949596007933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 22:44:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 01:45:30 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.17
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987831890 28740 207.144.228.17 (21 Apr 2001 05:44:50
GMT)
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 05:44:50 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19578

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> 1. Local Law isn't qualified on the subject
>   of electronics to discern a legal radio
>   from an illegal one. He *could* mistake a
>   power supply for a linear because it says
>   "amps" on it.

As has been stated many times before, this law does not require any special electronics skill to enforce, Jerry. All CB radio equipment has a type-acceptance label on it, so anything attached to the antenna connector of a CB radio without that label is illegal. The same thing with the radio itself - any radio without that label is illegal. It is just about as easy to check power output of a radio using a simple power meter calibrated by the local electronics shop (the same place police radios are worked on). And a cop can be taught in two minutes to check for modifications to allow operation outside the proper frequencies (turn the knob, look at the dial, etc).

And that is more than enough probable cause to seize the radio for evidence. And, just like any other evidence seized, that radio can then be tested by a electronics expert, and that electronics expert can be called into that courtroom to testify if needed.

Enforcement of this can be handled easily by local officers, and no special skills are required.

> 2. *Would* they consult FCC for advice as
>   provided for in the law before declaring
>   a licensed ham's station "illegal" and
>   ordering him off the air, or confiscating
>   his equipment.

Jerry, as you should well know, this law specifically excludes any licensed radio operation. In fact, HR-2346 requires any local law to specifically state that exclusion in writing. Therefore, HR-2346 does not give local enforcement officers any authority over ham radio operation. Simply show your license and the officer has to walk away.

As for the person who asked about this, that bill (HR-2346) has been passed by both houses of Congress, and signed by the President, so is now the law of the land. Therefore, if you want more enforcement of CB in your area, feel free to contact your local law makers (city, state, or county). Be sure to provide them with a copy of HR-2346 (or now 47 U.S.C. 302a, as amended).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6788619135400059388
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 22:48:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AE11EDB.E3583001@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 00:47:07 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org> <9blc13$esq$4@208.207.71.144> <3adfad1c.5766632@news.mindspring.com> <3AE0A03B.9F50261D@uci.edu> <3ae0a282.796136@news.mindspring.com> <3AE0A4DB.DC366977@uci.edu> <3ae0a68a.1827564@news.mindspring.com> <9br2i4$i2$0@208.207.71.151>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987831653 64.240.47.69 (21 Apr 2001 00:40:53 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19579

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yes they do, don't they? How long do you suppose it'd be before all you
> anti CW folk changed your mind about "work all the CW you want" if
> things get crowded on the voice segments?

You need to get a new set of Tarot cards, Dick. Those you have
been using are giving you bum steers.

Do you sit with your back to the wall when you go out?
Is someone always following you? Do you have a sense
of impending doom?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6397035151154421405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 22:57:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AE12117.57A0C3A3@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 00:56:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9bj0dj$3hr$0@216.155.32.178> <20010418073052.26389.00000539@ng-
mn1.aol.com> <9bkbc1$o47$0@208.207.71.138> <3ADDB3DA.7A29C08B@IEEE.org>
<9bldr8$mlf$0@208.207.71.144> <3ADE46FB.4652AB@IEEE.org>
<9blr45$c6g$0@216.155.32.208> <9bmv4n$k22$1@208.206.142.105>
<3ADF029D.453BD56D@IEEE.org> <3ADF404B.E4D3BE71@uci.edu>
<3ADF8929.8590E373@IEEE.org> <9bpq4v$cfn$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3AE07615.43DFF672@IEEE.org> <3AE099FC.A2363F7F@uci.edu>
<3AE0AED1.AEAC32AB@IEEE.org> <9br3d2$3c8$0@208.207.71.151>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987832224
64.240.47.69 (21 Apr 2001 00:50:24 -0500)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
```

```
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19580
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Does he actually have a mental
> disability which prevents him from learning the code at any speed?

He managed to pass the 5wpm exam then spent the next 25 years trying to get to 13wpm and failed. His speech processing centers lose a tick of time every few seconds - something akin to a non maskable interrupt.

> Do I believe he does just because some doctor said so?

Yep, I usually listen to doctors. They have saved my life.

> Not at all. They'll
> always find something that the patient can use, whether or not it's
> real. Maybe it is, maybe it isn't. Doesn't matter does it?

From what medical school did you get your MD, Dick? Of course it doesn't matter to you just like it didn't matter to Marie Antoinette that some commoners were starving. "Let them eat cake." It certainly mattered for 25 years. It doesn't matter now because the past cannot be changed.

> But all that is trying to prove a negative and we all know you can't do
> that don't we?

This is a positive that has been proven.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 9153797899189482994
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7b3286da6d5ab0d5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7b3286da6d5ab0d5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-20 23:40:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news3.bellglobal.com!nf2.bellglobal.com!
news20.bellglobal.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "graham dyer" <grahamva3gjb@sympatico.ca>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <i21E6.4691$D4.485866@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Dear Jerry, send us $13,500
Lines: 47
X-Priority: 3
```

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <9X9E6.612291$f36.17913873@news20.bellglobal.com>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 06:40:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.92.82.220
X-Trace: news20.bellglobal.com 987835205 65.92.82.220 (Sat, 21 Apr 2001 02:40:05 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 02:40:05 EDT
Organization: Sympatico
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19581 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15105

they should try to get a few of the dweebs on 20mtr. who are unidentified stn.

graham va3gjb

"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message news:i21E6.4691$D4.485866@www.newsranger.com...
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-01-974A1.pdf
>
>
> FORFEITURE ORDER
>
> Adopted: April 18, 2001   Released: April 20, 2001
>
> By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:
>
> 1. In this Forfeiture Order ("Order"), we issue a monetary forfeiture in
> the amount of thirteen thousand five hundred dollars ($13,500) to Jerry
> Smith for willful and repeated violations of Sections 95.409(a), 95.410(a)
> and 95.411(a)(1) of the Commission's Rules ("Rules"). The noted violations
> involve Mr. Smith's operation of a Citizens Band ("CB") Radio Station with
> a non-type-accepted transmitter, with a transmitter output power greater
> than four watts carrier power in the AM (A3) mode, and with an external RF
> power amplifier.
>
> 2. On January 8, 2001, the Commission's Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Field Office
> issued a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture ("NAL") in the amount
> of thirteen thousand five hundred dollars ($13,500) to Mr. Smith for the
> noted violations. Mr. Smith has not filed a response. Based on the
> information before us, we affirm this forfeiture.
>
> 3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the
> Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("Act"), and Sections 0.111,
> 0.311 and 1.80(f)(4) of the Rules,4 Jerry Smith IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY
> FORFEITURE in the amount of thirteen thousand dollars ($13,500) for
> operating a CB Radio Station with a non-type-accepted transmitter, with
> a transmitter output power greater than four watts carrier power in the

> AM (A3) mode, and with an external RF power amplifier, in willful and
> repeated violation of Sections 95.409(a), 95.410(a) and 95.411(a)(1) of
> the Rules.
>
>

```
From -9150690857589390085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d6b81b7b6bc3cbf,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d6b81b7b6bc3cbf,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 00:18:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!usenet
From: "Tammy Faye" <TBakker@GigHair.comm>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Shitholelicker Lee OR Roger And Michael Jackson
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 02:21:33 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - http://www.altopia.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9brc86$f91$0@pita.alt.net>
References: <5530.3ae01@houston-hunks.com>
<987814385.3ae0d9f10ace1@webmail.cotse.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15106
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19582
```

> AIDS infected Roger Wiseman regurgitated in message
> news:5530.3ae01@houston-hunks.com...

> >: The only reason Stupid Lee got in this thread is because the BSA
rejected him
> >: for membership, due to his sexual preferences.
>

I was given a life membership in the BSA as noted, since I am a choadswallower. I cumgargle on one side of my mouth and recite poetry with the other. If I slur my poetry, it is because I have not swallowed the choad yet. I like to swish it from one side to the other and savor the texture. A good choad load is like a fine wine...I swish it to and fro and enjoy, enjoy, enjoy!

I was wondering about my preferences toward 12 year olds.. I mean, take a look at this
photo of my 12 year old neighbor boy. Heck, even my mommy approves. She even

washes his underwear when he visits me on the overnighters. We share hot
chocolate and wish that Michael Jackson would invite us for a weekend visit.
I have wet dreams about Michael one day sweeping me off my feet and swishing
me away to Never, Never Land where we can have beautiful sex with his
chimpanzees and bleach our skin.
My mommy just does not understand. She says I am ill.

> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99|
> Yaesu FT-920 | Inverted vee @ 40 Feet
> Yaesu FT-90R | Copper J-pole
> --
> K8MN fucked up the local repeater.......oh, FUCK YOU, DAVEY!
>

From -4730623879701375936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 03:04:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 10:04:11 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc4b3h0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010421060411.15362.00000270@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19583

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam
>Date: 4/20/01 11:13 PM Central Daylight Time

>   That's not pressure. Walking into Rep. Snarf's field office
>   and having a face-to-face with his field rep and explaining in a
>   professional manner why the problem came to exist and how it can be
>   fixed is a bare-minimum start.

Nice try, Phil. Trying to discuss professionalism with Hans is like
discussing beauty aids with Cinderella's stepmother.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 799872441799507791
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 06:09:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9br1us$rb5$1@208.207.71.151>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 09:09:01 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <3ae186d1$0$62149$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 36f2a6d3.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=e<IXKJJV:e^L:]83Yo7ZD[BITGoVIjmHV[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FW2XmD1KWTT4\lBFDaL\d^nUR
kBdbgonXh^
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19584


"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On 21 Apr 2001 04:22:52 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >>   Right on target. The courts will not substitute their judgment for
> >>   the FCC's judgment of enforcement priorities.
> >
> >
```

> > Which made it all the more curious to me why ARRL would sue the FCC.
>
> The only grounds for a successful suit against administrative
> agencies are that the agency is not following the law or its own
> regs or if there is a major issue of public policy.
>
> In communications regulatory law, a "major issue of public policy"
> does not include anything having to do solely with amateur radio.
> (Sorry, guys.)
>
> IIRC the League claimed that the Commission did not follow case law
> on administrative procedure (the "arbitary and capricious" rule) in
> reaching its conclusions on the transfer of 220-222 MHz, but the
> Court of Appeals did not agree.

Oh, you mean the League sued the FCC claiming that
their agency's action was not in conformity with 5 USC 706.
Interesting, please site the case and year.

We know today that the Morse code exam requirement is
unnecessary (arbitrary), but was the action capricious?
It not necessary to show that an agencies action
was capricious, but it would help. So along comes
Mr. Hollingsworth issuing punishments and sanctions against
amateur rule violators. The one of punishments and sanctions
is CW operation only. The FCC must consider one of
its the primary exam elements a form punishment.

Capricious?

Larry kc8epo

From 4623857958158740082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 06:40:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: ssb411d@cs.com (SSB411D)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 13:39:25 GMT
References: <3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010421093925.04823.00000387@ng-fa1.news.cs.com>

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19585

Thanks Dwight,

I am "the person" who asked about HR-2346. By "anything attached to the antenna connector of a CB radio without that label is illegal," may I assume that you're referring to amplifiers?

You see, I fed a home-brew two element quad with ladder line via a transmatch from a stock Uniden Grant XL.(40 channels & 12 watts) There is certainly no "type-acceptance label" on the transmatch. Just curious. Thanks again.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 4199747879147817045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 07:18:49 PST
Message-ID: <3AE19685.4761AB83@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 09:17:41 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <3ae0323d.252541786@news2.dvol.com> <20010420115604.29693.00000266@ng-fc1.aol.com> <3AE07C83.899FCFA6@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3kxm3.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9br1us$rb5$1@208.207.71.151>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc4cov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae186d1$0$62149$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 987862285 64.240.47.101 (21 Apr 2001 09:11:25 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!europa.netcrusader.net!
204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19586

Larry Klose wrote:
> We know today that the Morse code exam requirement is
> unnecessary (arbitrary), but was the action capricious?

What about laws that evolve to be capricious? There's a law
still on the books in my home town that anyone must first
contact the sheriff before bringing a horseless carriage
to town.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8905126422185866690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 07:24:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 10:24:16 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AE19808.4627CF29@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3ADFB08D.9B25F2CA@sccoast.net> <3ADFB90B.5F1C736A@IEEE.org>
<3AE0FFCC.47574BCE@sccoast.net> <3AE11936.91D4CD89@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-53.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987863046 42998 207.144.64.183 (21 Apr 2001 14:24:06
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 14:24:06 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19587

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Don't know much about Texas, do you. There exist
> state-wide blue laws. Those are the ones I object to.

If it is a state law, then there is still only one law.

> You bet your sweet bippie!

Sweet bippie? Do I have one of those to bet with?

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 2578351528045480581
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 07:29:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 10:29:48 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AE19953.A6B01C34@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net> <XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org> <3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org> <3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org> <3ADFA914.9AAAB53D@sccoast.net> <3ADFBB48.DD3922D1@IEEE.org> <3AE10BB4.D72E4470@sccoast.net> <3AE11BDA.E2B86B23@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-53.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987863379 43256 207.144.64.183 (21 Apr 2001 14:29:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 14:29:39 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19588

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> More of your mind fornication. I have
> done no such thing. I have asserted
> that I have seen no evidence of the
> existence of a God. That is the
> absolute truth to which I will swear
> on a Bible.

Well, I'm certainly not going to go hunting to find a quote to prove you wrong. We've spent too much time on this discussion already. So, since neither of us has made an assertion, then lets just end the discussion with that.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -1123593712690724246
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 08:01:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 11:01:36 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3AE1A0C0.CB7F2B8E@sccoast.net>
References: <3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <20010421093925.04823.00000387@ng-fa1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-53.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 987865283 43895 207.144.64.183 (21 Apr 2001 15:01:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 15:01:23 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19589

SSB411D wrote:

>
> I am "the person" who asked about HR-2346.
> By "anything attached to the antenna connector
> of a CB radio without that label is illegal,"
> may I assume that you're referring to amplifiers?
>
> You see, I fed a home-brew two element quad with
> ladder line via a transmatch from a stock Uniden
> Grant XL.(40 channels & 12 watts) There is
> certainly no "type-acceptance label" on the
> transmatch. Just curious. Thanks again.

Yep, I was referring to amplifiers. As for the transmatch, I suspect any law written will be a little more specific than the one quick line I gave in the previous message ("anything without a type-acceptance label attached to the antenna connector"). In other words, there will probably be more elaborate definitions of the various illegal devices, so I wouldn't worry too much about legal devices like a transmatch, antenna tuner, power meter, SWR meter, and so forth. Of course, it's in all of our best interests to keep an eye on any of those new laws to make absolutely sure the locals do it right.

By the way, have you ever tried anything bigger than a two element quad? Years ago, we put up a six element quad at a friends house (he paid me to build it). I was an absolute blast watching that huge thing spin around (especially since he lived in a trailer smaller than the antenna). Sadly, it didn't make it through that first Washington winter - his tower broke, bringing the whole thing down to a rather unceremonious ending.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6594379912494215616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 08:41:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can. And possibly Should!
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 15:39:44 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 82
Message-ID: <3ae1a904.5133369@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134> <3ae08001.3324290@news.mindspring.com>

<9br1sg$rb5$0@208.207.71.151>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4d.3d
X-Server-Date: 21 Apr 2001 15:40:52 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19590

On 21 Apr 2001 04:21:36 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On 20 Apr 2001 17:07:34 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>> ...
>> > You guys seem insistant that hams learn only newer mode technology,
>> >while your cavilier attitudes toward anything older than yesterday is
>> >amazing.
>>
>> The issue is the "requirements" not the learning of
>> old or new modes. I don't care if anyone wants to
>> be an expert code user, be an expert on tube circuits, etc.
>>
>> >Most ham radio communication is done with old technology, and
>> >so shall it be for some time to come.
>>
>> Depends on the scope of what you consider technology.
>> Is SSB and FM old as to the mode itself? Sure it is.
>> Is the transciever that I use to operate SSB or FM old
>> in terms of the solid state technology, the DSP
>> circuitry, etc? Not at all.
>
> And you, unknowledgable soul that you are on the subject of
>radiotelegraphy, do not have a clue that the very improvements that you
>note also enhance CW operation just the same.

How you come to that conclusion defies logic. Nothing
I have said imlied any LIMIT to the improved technology
to any mode. I merely used SSB and FM as examples I
use.

>> >Sure, hams have long been able to
>> >get by somehow or other on little no knowledge, not that they have
>> >always been that productive at it. When one doesn't know how to make an
>> >antenna nor troubleshoot a defective one effectively he is sort of
>> >hamstrung when trouble strikes.
>>
>> Most antenna technology is VERY old when compared
>> with the rest of electronics.
>
> But practical applications have varied all over the landscape. Hams
>need practical knowledge about how to implement the old basic
>technology.

Your comment simply endorses mine...thanks.

>> >But they press on, oblivious to their
>> >own plight. Why not see to it that they get some effective training in
>> >advance? How to better accomplish that than to have effective tests,
>> >rather than testing them over sattelite operation and other mundanities
>> >they more than likely won't soon see?
>>
>> Of the following, what should be part of testing:
>> Antenna theory/design
>> Tube theory and tube circuits
>> Transistor Circuits
>
>
> I think all the above, in quantities that introduce the applicant to
>the basic concepts. Of course there was once an Extra class exam that
>went far beyond the basics. That's long gone.

Tube therey should be part of the basics today?
Why?

>> I agree that some of the subject matter in the
>> testing syllabus is truly nutty. Who cares how many
>> months before/after one operates a space station that the
>> FCC has to be notified. Who cares what the frequency
>> is for black in ATV, etc. Many of those factoids are
>> meaningless until a person has the interest in and
>> actually starts using/trying some of those modes.
>
> We agree. Sometimes the test content looks like nothing more than
>filler.

I agree on that also.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2103194966159346303
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 09:10:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 09:11:26 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9bsbbn$n2r$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <9bpl8c$pm4$0@216.155.32.187> <20010420200805.12023.00000332@ng-

cr1.aol.com> <3AE112BD.AB2E4B7D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-30.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19591

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AE112BD.AB2E4B7D@IEEE.org...
> W1RFI wrote:
> > It seemed excessive to me. I would believe hundreds of hours, but
anyone who
> > takes thousands of hours to realize they are undertaking a task that is
beyond
> > their ability has a pretty shaky grasp of practical reality.
>
> It just shows how dedicated he was to passing the 13wpm Morse code exam.
> 25 years times 52 weeks times 4 hours is 5200 hours.

What technique was he using? I want to make sure to tell folks how not to
study for the code test. :-)

ac6xg

From 1952427957894115826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 09:54:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <MPG.154b85d9a64f7e419896e3@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421003413.06580.00000121@nso-ba.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 44
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 16:54:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.65.51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 987872071 64.157.65.51 (Sat, 21 Apr 2001

09:54:31 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 09:54:31 PDT
X-Received-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 09:53:10 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19592

In article <20010421003413.06580.00000121@nso-ba.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
says...
> In article <3adfadae.5912577@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> (Bill Sohl) writes:
>
> >NCI opposes the code test and that's it. Use it if you
> >like it. NCI has no opposition to code USE at all.
>
> The avowed purpose of that organization is to end code testing for any amateur
> license. But that's not the only purpose.
>
> Several years ago, there used to be a statement on the website that when all
> code testing for any ham license was gone, the organization would fade away.
> That statement is no longer there.
>
> Some time back, a VHF/UHF group petitioned the FCC to create a 300 kHz subband
> at the bottom of 2 meters for "narrowband modes" - meaning SSB, CW, and data
> modes narrower than a certain bandwidth. This petition had absolutely nothing
> to do with code testing in any way. Yet NCI filed comments in opposition to the
> proposal, calling for "no protection for 'legacy' modes". If NCI's only concern
> is ending code testing, why did they oppose this proposal?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

THANK YOU JIM!!!!

The fact that Carl Stevenson wrote the opposition view and that fact that
Carl Stevenson had made it very clear right here (according to the
archives)in the EXACT views and words or said opposition, has nothing to
do with separating personal views and NCI policy.

Sure...and Dinosaurs still roam the earth, especially in parts of Texas
where Monroe County residents have the DNA of unripened bananas.

But of course, times have changes and so has NCI policies. (see last
sentence of above)

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 1733053678242479696

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 10:25:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 17:24:58 GMT
References: <20010419165908.21967.00000183@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010421132458.14184.00000231@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19593

In article <20010419165908.21967.00000183@ng-md1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>Date: 04/19/01 3:43 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
>>
>>Got the name and email address of the guy in charge?
>
>Not with me, but I will find it.......

It's been two days now...where is it?








>"An America Not Prepared For Steebie In Newsgroups Will Not
>Know Peace In Any Time."
>
>Screw China. Feed 'em fasteners.





From 710648152800360222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 10:25:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 17:24:57 GMT
References: <9bppdl$c60$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010421132457.14184.00000230@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19594

In article <9bppdl$c60$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:

>> What other auctions sell to the "lowest bidder?!?"   :-)
>
>Read more carefully.   Contracts are awarded in a bidding process. Most
>contracts are awarded to the lowest bidder. You started this, Leon. Live
>with it.

Well, I'm trying to but it is a difficult environment where everyone
spells out the rules of what "should have been stated" some time
after a debate-discussion-argument has started. :-)

In another thread there is chastisement for "not stating numbers"
which were clearly stated in the beginning, then more criticsm
for "not stating correct numbers" about those "non-existant
numbers."

Now you are stating that the FCC's EM spectrum auctions are
"contracts awarded to the lowest bidder?" Sorry, I can't find
that in the FCC Handbook. Please explain.

I'm having trouble finding information on the FCC website that
tells of "ham band auctions" that may take place in the future.
Any tips or information on such future activities would be
appreciated. Thank you.

From -8360039689039339565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 10:26:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 17:24:59 GMT
References: <3AE03F80.96AF6104@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010421132459.14184.00000234@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19595

In article <3AE03F80.96AF6104@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>W1RFI wrote:
>> I realize you think you are superior, Larry, but you really should
>> draw the line somewhere.
>
>Psssst Ed, did you know that 99% of Larry's DNA is virtually
>identical to a chimpanzee's? :-)

Is that why "CW" has the preceding acronym "OOK?"   :-)

From -2410979786698553108
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 10:26:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 17:24:59 GMT
References: <3adfb004.219208218@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Message-ID: <20010421132459.14184.00000233@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19596

In article <3adfb004.219208218@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On Wed, 11 Apr 2001 20:13:25 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
><tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
>>Licence is spelled with a c up here you yutz ... not to mention colour has a
>>u in it ... favourite has one too ...
>>

>We all need more pointless vowels. You'l upgrarde eventually Squiggy.

Move your vowels every day or you'll get consonated.   :-)

```
From -6918553634597228690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 10:26:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 17:25:00 GMT
References: <3adfb215.219737318@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Message-ID: <20010421132500.14184.00000236@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19597
```

In article <3adfb215.219737318@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On Wed, 18 Apr 2001 20:58:33 -0700, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
>wrote:
>>
>>Evidently his definition of a bold pilot and yours are not the same.
>>
>He wuz bold enough to be the first stick & pedal jock to officially
>blow thru the "sound barrier" in a really squirrely rocketship and
>he's older 'n dirt. Last I heard he was still tooling "Glorius
>Glennis" around the sky, the P-51D named after his missus. Beats me,
>you figger if he's old 'n bold himself
>>
>>ac6xg
>>
>w3rv

Chuck Yeager's Bell rocket plane was named "Glamorous Glennis."

I don't know if he ever had a P-51 of his own, but it's not in the "survivors" list of Mustangs at:

www.p-51mustangs-aliveandwell.com

The closest one there is "Glamorous Gal," a P-51D-30NA, tail number N251HR owned by Howard Ross.

```
From -2401040426953028220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 10:26:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-
state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 17:24:58 GMT
References: <16XD6.4194$D4.426859@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010421132458.14184.00000232@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19598

In article <16XD6.4194$D4.426859@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
writes:

>Steve Robeson LPN says...
>
>>>Who among the inhabitants of this newsgroup have I met
>>>in person?
>>
>>>The answer is:  None.
>>
>>     Are you SURE?
>>
>>     You ABSOLUTELY knew EVERYONE at EVERY gatehring you have been at >>in
the last 12 months?
>
>The terms "met in person" and "being at a gatehring(sic)" are not equivalent.
>I have been at a gathering where Duante Culpepper was also present, but I have
>never met the man.
>
>Good luck on this one now.

Nursie is trying to waffle on this one by trying to change the subject
in a following message in this thread.

I'm still waiting to hear who (or whom) I was supposed to meet
in person in the last year, last two years, or last three years
who is also a poster in this newsgroup.

I remember anyone I've talked to in person going back at
least ten or more years.

I think nursie is seeing people that aren't there...
```

From -8762832186815035198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 10:26:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 17:25:00 GMT
References: <20010420082648.16448.00000512@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010421132500.14184.00000235@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19599

In article <20010420082648.16448.00000512@ng-fc1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 04/19/01 11:03 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
>>Bounties and rewards sounds like a vigilante operation to me.
>
>   The FBI's "Ten Most Wanted" list is a vigilante operation?

The Federal Bureau of Investigation is not a vigilante organization.

>   The reward offered in the wake of the OKC bombing was a vigilante
>operation?

The Attorney General of the United States is not a vigilante.

>   Of course, since I have my suspicions about you anyway, I BET that
>"bounties" make you nervous, Lennie, and probably with good reason.

Not really, Capt. Bligh. Quit sticking out your lower lip, that's
a poor imitation of Charles Laughton. Tsk, tsk.

[Charles Laughton was gay...]

```
>"An America Not Prepared For Steebie In Newsgroups Will
>Not Know Peace In Any Time."
>
>Screw China. Feed 'em fanteners.




From 3726272258876347993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 10:26:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 219
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 Apr 2001 17:25:03 GMT
References: <20010420075213.16448.00000507@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010421132503.14184.00000237@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19600

In article <20010420075213.16448.00000507@ng-fc1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>
>>>Len, DAMN IT!
>
>>Profanity will not make your point in this newsgroup (there are too
>>many in here of victorian tastes who think that is smut).
>
>     I DON'T believe you said that, Lennie.  You make liberal use of
>profanity to (uneffectively) emphasize your comments.

Oh, my, bigbadassmarine is all a-twitter over profanity!!!!   :-)

[no wonder the USMC let him go...]

Nursie has to show actual, real quotes of the above "liberal use of
profanity."  Emphasis on liberal.

[you are unaffected by criticsm but the word is "ineffective," nursie]


>>A lot of discussion on Marine Radio isn't about ham radio at all.
```

>
>   It is when that operation fosters illegal operations that migrate to
>Amatuer allocations.

There are no amatuer allocations in US radio.

Nursie consistently writes on amatuer radio. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>   If you think that's the reason any of us are adamantly against the
>proliferation of CB type operations on unauthorized frequencies, you are a
>bigger idiot than I thought, and Lennie, I already know that you're a pretty
>big idiot.

Nursie has a vivid imagination...and no life experience awareness
of the entire 42 year span of CB.

>   Putz.

Nursie uses this word a great deal. It is a not-nice word of
Yiddish equivalent to the colloquial American "dickhead."

Whether written in Yiddish, English, or American, it is still
profanity. Since nursie uses it so much, nursie looked in a
mirror when charging "liberal use of profanity."

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

<snip to another item taken out of context>

>>...now why must there continue to be a morse code test for
>>amateur radio licences other than ITU-R S25.5?
>
>   YOU are the ONLY one arguing this, Lennie.

Incorrect. Faulty. Error. Mistake.

>The rest of us have tried to
>move on, but you keep INSISTING that "EVERYONE" is trying to somehow retard
>the growth of Amatuer Radio by insisting on keeping code testing.

Again incorrect. Faulty. Error. Mistake.

Test element 1 for a US amateur radio license examination is
still code testing, still required for a General or Extra class
license.

>   Like it or not, just about everyone here EXCEPT YOU has accepted the
>fact that the FCC will dispense with code testing after the treaty has been
>ammended and ratified.

Once again incorrect. Faulty. Error. Mistake. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Firstly, the "fact" is nothing of the kind. It is just a supposition by nursie and one or two others in here.

Secondly, the FCC has said nothing about "dispensing with a code test in the future." The FCC said only that, in 1990 and 1999, that the code test is not considered of sufficient value for the FCC's purpose of licensing amateurs as part of its amateur radio regulation task.

Thirdly, the current ITU-R WRC agendas for the whole conference in 2003 and the preliminary conference in 2002 have nothing about S25.5 (commonly and mistakenly called "the treaty") alteration, modification, or changing.

Note: Only S25, the entire body of ITU-R amateur radio simplified standards is indicated as being an assignement of Study Group 8.

>   We forgive you for being ignorant, Lennie.
>
>   We forgive you for being arrogant.
>
>   We even forgive you for being intolerant.

Nursie should do something about those multiple personalities.

>   But the "Persistantly Nagging" thing is really getting to be a problem.

Well now, nursie does admit to her obsession. There is always optimism for a cure when the patient recognizes her disorder.

>   Again, whether any one of us particularly like or dislike the end of
>code testing, we are getting on with the business of BEING Amateur Radio
>operators.

Are all of nursie's multiple personalities officially and credentially licensed or just one? Interesting law condition which might even tax the fine legal minds mumbling in here.

>That gives us a LOT of room to navigate in, from the basement of the RF
>spectrum to daylight.

Actually, not as much as claimed. US radio spectrum allocations go from 9 KHz on up to 300 GHz. [anything above 300 GHz is not allocated] There are no allocated US amateur frequencies below 1800 KHz.

The "basement of RF" is ELF used by USN submarines for world-wide underwater communications (by data, not CW). Nursie has made no claims of USMC experience in ELF.

"Daylight" could be roughly 1000 nanometers (actually the IR component of daylight) at a frequency of about 300 THz. Several have wondered if nursie has seen any daylight.

> On the other hand, since you refuse to get a license, you are stuck with Part 15 devices, CB radios and TV remotes.

There has never been any "refusal to get a license." That is in nursie's vivid out-of-body imagination working overtime.

My discussion, when not spent 95% refuting nursie's erroneous and false charges, is about the elimination of the code test for an amateur radio license.

Also, nursie's list of radio services useable without an amateur radio license is woefully inadequate. All of broadcasting, private land mobile radio, aviation radio service, several fixed land radio services do not require a license to operate...just for starters. Title 47 Code of Federal Regulations explains it all...dull reading for those liking Archie comic books but it is the law for US civil radio.

> Once again, Lennie the Licenseless is relagated to being on the outside looking in...doomed to be the sopping wet kid with his pitiful nose pushed up against the bakery shop window.

Now, now, nursie, you are getting all twittery and fluttery again.

There is no "doom" or other so-so word pictures, nor any personal gain in arguing for the repeal of the amateur radio code test. Nursie apparently cannot understand that simple fact and lets her vivid imagination (or hallucinations?) rule the four brain cells that process reality.

> Lennie is the Darth Vader of RF.

Nursie runs rampant with fiction again. :-)

Has nursie ever taken the temp of an SSME? Made or even held a space-rated payload? Communicated with a station ON the moon?

No? Poor nursie.

> We all know that inside is a nice guy

>who WANTS to be a part of the party, but he has been too long swayed by the
>Dark Side...

Oh, my, truly hallucinogenic! My advocacy in here is to eliminate the amateur radio code test. The TEST. Hello? Does nursie suffer from too much QRM at her QTH? Too many trips to the white room medicine cabinet?

>It won't be until his demise that he finally realizes that it
>COULD have all been his.

Nursie is not immortal although her other persona (as the Emperor) thinks she is. It is strange that a medical health professional (assuming hospitals actually pay her) has such a preoccupation with death and mortality in others...and trying to use that against them. :-)

Is an amateur radio extra class license the epitome of nursie's life, the "all?" Oh, my, what a limited narrow view.

Gestalt ain't what it used to be. :-)

>"An America Not Prepared For Steebie In Newsgroups Will
>Not Know Peace In Any Time."
>
>Screw China. Feed 'em fasteners.

From 6872620305662453966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,292a5745800d23fc
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,292a5745800d23fc
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,292a5745800d23fc
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,292a5745800d23fc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,292a5745800d23fc
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-21 10:38:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.cc.tut.fi!levitin.saunalahti.fi!
uutiset.nic.fi!not-for-mail
From: "Jarno Savonen" <oh6nj@nic.fi>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.rad
io.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <C6LD6.64$Ci3.6210@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Downloadable IOTA List - updated 19-Apr-01
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <yzjE6.2746$d23.44333@uutiset.nic.fi>
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:43:48 +0300
NNTP-Posting-Host: 212.38.225.87
X-Trace: uutiset.nic.fi 987874654 212.38.225.87 (Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:37:34 EET
DST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 20:37:34 EET DST
Organization: NIC Tietoverkot Oy - NIC Data Networks Ltd.
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:21036
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2306 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12029
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15117 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19601
```

Tip: It would be much more usable, if it were also on packetcluster-format (.ful) See: http://www.qrz.com/download/packet/iota.zip

In .ful-format it would be suitable with almost every DX-cluster software.

73 de Jarno, OH6NJ

> Found on The IOTA List page of the site listed below. 15k zip file
> (ascii text format readable with Notepad)
>
> * also includes Top 10 Most Wanted IOTA's for each continent.

```
From 9074080235246669210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 21:28:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
```

Date: 23 Apr 2001 04:28:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15181
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19753

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > ....and I'm supposed to be "TOLERANT" of these people?
>
> Hitler was a heterosexual.

How do you know? There is a school of thought that says otherwise.

Generalizations are dangerous. So is ignoring a large body of experience which shows trends that are dangerous. Many homosexual men are known to prey specifically on young boys. Should parents just ignore that fact since they are a minority of homosexual men?

From 4163731737648394822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 21:36:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 23 Apr 2001 04:36:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19754

Jim Weir wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>
> ->May I offer the opinion that it is slightly ridiculous to try to draw
> ->parallels between a life and death situation and a hobby.
>
> You may offer anything you please, but I fail to understand the comment. Are
> you saying that flying is a life and death situation? No more so than driving a
> car or sticking your big mitts into a 3kV 1amp power supply without not knowing
> what you are doing.

It always amazes me that so many highly educated people are incapable of seeing the larger picture. And in so many different ways. Cecil thinks that just because ham radio is a hobby it can't be serious or dangerous. You'd think that he doesn't know that situations can change very quickly. And Jim thinks that just because he never had an interest in CW that he could possibly ever have a need to use it under any circumstnces.

From 8752712729393532738
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 21:46:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 23 Apr 2001 04:46:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9c0c3k$c5q$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com> <20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com> <nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19755



Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> The bottom line is that we need to eliminate code entirely and not worry
> about classifications based upon code speed. Code is a thing of the past.
> It's time to get over it!!

I think we an conclude that you have absolutely no code qualification of
sort.


From -3358710425408052868
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 22:22:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 23 Apr 2001 05:22:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19756



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
```

> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > I'm just trying to get you to realize that 50 years ago, tubes were
> > > components. In 2001, *transceivers are components*.
> >
> > Cecil: It is still completly possible for hams to build their own
> > stations using discrete components, it is done all the time. But NOT by
> > many recent licensees who memorized the question pool..
>
> It is still completely possible to build an automobile from scratch, Dick,
> but virtually nobody does it anymore. Times have changed. Hams simply do not
> need to know how to build their own rigs anymore.

Nor have thgey since the introduction of factory built units. So you then believe that there is never a need for a ham to be able to build anything at all. Very curious. If you had your way, nothing not on the leding edge would be allowed to remain in existance. You come across as the most zealous of zealots.

It's nice if they do
> but it is not necessary just as it was not necessary for you to build
> your own tubes.

Your comparison is without merit. Building a vacuum tube and constructing a working radio of discrete componants are light years apart.

> As the level of integration goes up, the necessary
> knowledge goes down. That's the truism of technical progress.

Nonsense. The stuff builds itself?

>
> Have you ever asked yourself: What is the most important function that
> hams perform? What knowledge/skill does a ham need to perform that
> function? Should arbitrary knowledge/skill requirements keep people
> capable of performing that most important function out of the ARS?

Ah, so you believe that no ham should be required to fill any function other than the most important one. We see. Whatta concept.

From 5444761312882963885
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 22:28:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!

news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 23 Apr 2001 05:28:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9c0ehg$jb9$0@208.206.142.101>
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134> <3ae08001.3324290@news.mindspring.com> <9br1sg$rb5$0@208.207.71.151> <3ae1a904.5133369@news.mindspring.com> <9c01h2$cc8$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE39636.E2692017@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19757

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick wrote:
> > > > Tube theory should be part of the basics today?
>
> > > Why?
> >
> > For the same reason that Morse code should be.
>
> What kind of answer did you expect, Bill? :-) Wonder if Dick would
> support a test on building one's own tubes as a Morse code exam replacement?
>

Cecil the Lame scores again with a slap shot to the audience.
I already have far more vacuum tubes I could expect to use any any
conceivable circumstances. What hams need is some of the expertise to
use thosetubes and also some transistors to build a working radio set.
Transmitter and receiver. I was looking at a 50's CQ magazine articlethe
other day where a ham built a small transciever for working 540 and 80
meter CW. It had a VFO builtin and a Superheterodye receiver. It used
four vacuum tubes. I was impressed.
You couldn't do that with four transistors.

From -9217358953500780272
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 22:29:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 23 Apr 2001 05:29:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9c0ejc$jb9$1@208.206.142.101>
References: <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151> <20010421145621.29813.00000441@ng-ff1.aol.com> <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE396B1.F58E9A14@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19758

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Do you really believe that
> > none of the anticoders would dump code from ham radio instantly if they
> > just had the opportunity?
>
> What are they going to do, Dick? Storm the FCC Bastille?
>

Oh no, they'll incrementalize it out of existence.

From -821988812933467244
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 22:31:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 23 Apr 2001 05:31:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9c0enc$jb9$2@208.206.142.101>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-

```
link.net> <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<3AE39A61.1950B58B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19759



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Wayda wrote:
> > The bottom line is that we need to eliminate code entirely and not worry
> > about classifications based upon code speed. Code is a thing of the past.
> > It's time to get over it!!
>
> Pretty ridiculous statement, Jim. Do you also want to kill all the horses?


 You're amazing. You live in Texas and you don't know that horses are
very much used on ranches to work cattle.


From 9212218894478792830
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-22 22:32:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: 23 Apr 2001 05:32:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9c0ep5$jb9$3@208.206.142.101>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<te73rdoahm3ude@corp.supernews.com> <3AE39BE9.A7368164@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.101
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15183
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19760

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> "J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:
> > Actually, there are many who are suggesting that Hitler was a homosexual.
> > It is widely known that he did not have sex with women.
>
> I see you haven't seen the latest History Channel special on Hitler's
> sexual life. He apparently liked his young female cousin a lot. He
> was a perverted *heterosexual*.

More likely he was a switch hitter.

From -4405579324724799432
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 00:20:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!network
From: anti-arrl@worthless_org.org (Ringo Ranger)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 07:20:16 GMT
Organization: Wake Up, Wake Up!
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.35.bb.cc
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 07:20:28 GMT
X-Newsreader: News Xpress 2.01
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12117
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15184 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19761

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in
<20010422111053.16452.00000461@ng-fl1.aol.com>:

>Maybe because the Board resolution that was passed said that ARRL
>position was not to oppose any change in S25 wrt code testing...
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

How much bonus money is the ARRL Board paying you for you to imply something to the readers by making a statement like that? For the Board to say they are not going to propose eliminating code, but they won't oppose a change, is the same thing.
That's like saying: we're not going to set the building on fire, but if one starts, we'll let it burn.

It's either the sin of commission or the sin of omission. I guess the ARRL will get what it wants, to dumb down amateur radio further. This time it won't have to lift a finger to do it. They can come out looking like the good-guy. Thank Ed, checks in the mail.

Ringo

From 8981982315273632953
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 00:24:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!newsfeed.infoave.net.MISMATCH!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 03:24:21 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <3AE3D887.6BBE0D15@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net> <XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org> <3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org> <3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org> <3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org> <3AE0C6D9.89C5E8F3@bctv.com> <3AE11A1C.9BB79512@IEEE.org> <3AE21655.7768D118@bctv.com> <3AE26023.FC54A719@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-120.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988010642 108966 204.116.70.121 (23 Apr 2001 07:24:02 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 07:24:02 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19762

W6RCecilA wrote:
>

> I wasn't stopping to buy beer. I was stopping to buy milk and Sprite. I just wanted some beer for later and this was the last stop before arriving at my daughter's apartment.

Hey, Cecil, I saw this in a local paper today and, for some reason, immediately thought of you.

A 24 year old Marshville (NC) man was charged April 8th with shoplifting and disorderly conduct. <name withheld> entered a Conway convenience store and attempted to purchase an alcoholic beverage. When the clerk refused to sell (due to a ban on Sunday alcohol sales), the man berated the clerk, threw money on the counter and left with the alcohol anyway. The clerk called police with a description of the vehicle. Due to the encouragement of a female companion who was waiting in the car, however, <name withheld> shortly reentered the store and put the alcohol back on the counter. Police arrived a few minutes later to arrest the suspect. The suspect was released without bail on a notice to appear citation.

It appears you're not the only person who feels he has inalienable right to buy alcohol on Sunday? However, you can bet your sweet bippie that <name withheld> won't try to exercise that right in exactly the same way again.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -46643786184810387
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 00:48:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 03:49:03 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AE3DE48.B250432B@sccoast.net>
References: <3AE1A0C0.CB7F2B8E@sccoast.net> <20010422214853.12445.00000683@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-120.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988012121 109233 204.116.70.121 (23 Apr 2001 07:48:41 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 07:48:41 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19763

KC2HMN wrote:
>
> [snip] Anyway, sorry for getting so long
> winded on you. [snip]

Hey, no need to ever apologize for talking about radio with me - I truly enjoy the subject. However, I haven't been too involved with CB over the last few years. In fact, all I have right now is the obligatory, but rarely used, CB radio in my SUV. All other equipment has been put away except for the antenna outside the house (which I use for shortwave and scanner reception at the moment - an Antron makes a good antenna for this). But, I did have a blast with CB years ago and do plan to get involved again one day. Take care, Bert.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3817353960696505345
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 01:56:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???

```
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 04:56:23 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-120.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988016157 110047 204.116.70.121 (23 Apr 2001 08:55:57
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 08:55:57 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19764
```

Bill Sohl wrote:

> [snip] Me thinks in the scope of radio
> enforcement, any half baked attorney
> would clean the clock of a local cop who
> couldn't show the required knowledge. [snip]

What "required knowledge," Bill? A cop doesn't have to be an expert to recognize and gather evidence. For example, a cop doesn't have to be a gun expert to pick up stray bullets or shell casings off the ground for evidence. Or a gun expert to recognize a sawed off shotgun. Or a medical expert to recognize an injury has occurred. Or a alcohol expert to recognize the intoxicated. Or a drug expert to recognize illegal drugs. Or an electronics expert to recognize what a television is before deciding it is stolen. And the same with almost everything else the cop does.

In whatever situation, all the cop has to have is enough knowledge to do his job, which is to gather evidence properly or perform whatever test is available properly. If expert testimony is needed, again that usually comes from outside law enforcement circles.

And there is no reason to believe that it will be any different when it comes to enforcing CB regulations. The only skills a cop will need is the ability to recognize an illegal device and gather it for evidence. And, as I've already said in another message, it does not take great skill or knowledge to do that. If expert evidence is needed, that can be obtained by having the device tested by an expert after it is collected from the scene. And, in most cases, that expert will not even have to show up in court - his report will stand unless challenged.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -8176286251227270279
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 02:24:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 05:24:55 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3AE3F4A6.87F5EB6C@sccoast.net>
References: <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <20010422205835.12542.00000712@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-120.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988017863 110597 204.116.70.121 (23 Apr 2001 09:24:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 09:24:23 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19765

"Jim Wayda" wrote...

>
> The bottom line is that we need to
> eliminate code entirely and not worry
> about classifications based upon code
> speed. Code is a thing of the past.
> It's time to get over it!!

Oh, come on, guys (Ed, Richard, Larry, Cecil, and Dick). Read the darn subject line. When Jim said to that "we need to eliminate code entirely," he was obviously addressing the subject (code testing). He even mentioned "classifications based upon code speed," which is a testing issue having little to do with people using code on the radio. And he is right - when it comes to code testing, code probably should be a thing of the past.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 3316125649739379931
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 02:27:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 05:27:47 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AE3F552.F957AAFD@sccoast.net>
References: <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<20010422213454.28252.00000592@nso-mq.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-120.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988018032 110597 204.116.70.121 (23 Apr 2001 09:27:12 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 09:27:12 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19766

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> "Jim Wayda" wrote...
>
> >Code is a thing of the past.
>
> I see. How long do the hundreds of thousands
> of hams still using it have to vacate the airwaves?

Larry, read my response to Ed (it's also addressed to you).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -9102816526565534654
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 02:59:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<20010422205835.12542.00000712@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3AE3F4A6.87F5EB6C@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <8%SE6.2065$RB3.832377@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 04:57:41 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.220
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 988019780 205.138.126.220 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 05:56:20
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 05:56:20 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19767

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3AE3F4A6.87F5EB6C@sccoast.net...
> "Jim Wayda" wrote...
> >
> > The bottom line is that we need to
> > eliminate code entirely and not worry
> > about classifications based upon code
> > speed. Code is a thing of the past.
> > It's time to get over it!!
>
>
>   Oh, come on, guys (Ed, Richard, Larry, Cecil, and Dick). Read the
> darn subject line. When Jim said to that "we need to eliminate code
> entirely," he was obviously addressing the subject (code testing). He
> even mentioned "classifications based upon code speed," which is a
> testing issue having little to do with people using code on the radio.
> And he is right - when it comes to code testing, code probably should
> be a thing of the past.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

It says what it says. And there certainly are those who would outlaw CW
because it makes them feel bad or some other really good reason. Denial
runs deep.

From -6829926560981090002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:06:52 PST
Message-ID: <3AE3FEEA.20EFE0E5@evl.net>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 05:07:39 -0500
From: A Marzo <aerome@evl.net>
Reply-To: nospam@evl.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: rsvp-208-187-66-119.ac01.dlls.eli.net
X-Trace: newsa.ev1.net 988020233 rsvp-208-187-66-119.ac01.dlls.eli.net (23 Apr
2001 05:03:53 -0500)
Lines: 58
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!newsa.ev1.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12120
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15185 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19768

Don't you love these guys that sign their artwork? Can't fault a guy for voicing his opinion, that's how we all learn, but Jeez, have the balls to admit who you are!

I wonder what suggestion or implementation all the folks that love to bash the new rules came up with as a solution to the problem of declining participants. I don't think I've ever read any on the groups. I guess they're too busy protecting their turf. Maybe a ham boot camp that includes PT, engineering, 25 wpm code, demerits, cigarette smoking, etc., all on the prospect's nickel.

I propose a new class of license, the "LORD" Class. Just be able to have a closed mind, no solutions, pass the old advanced test, be able to work at a minimum of 25 wpm and you will have "Lord" privileges. You can do what you please, say what you please, buy what you please, go as fast as you please and not be required to help anyone or anything - ever! Your word will be treated as scripture and all will bow at your presence! Having any ticket less that Lord Class will tag you as incompetent (with a Good Buddy armband requirement), give restaurant owners the right to not serve you and dogs permission to bite you.

I'm not saying that the rule changes were good or bad, we'll all admit the overall abilities of the older hams are far above many of the new folks, but did any of you good ol boys ever think that by relaxing the code requirements, interest in the hobby would increase? How many folks have gotten their tickets under the new rules and advanced themselves because of a greater desire to participate? Come on, raise your hands! I don't feel anyone thinks less of you because of the new rules, but I can tell you that I fully respect the knowledge and talent you have, which is far above mine. At this point I can't keep up with your conversations, but you know what? One day I will. How about that? the "new" rules may have given birth to "fans"!

Ringo Ranger wrote:

> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in
> <20010422111053.16452.00000461@ng-fl1.aol.com>:
>
> >Maybe because the Board resolution that was passed said that ARRL
> >position was not to oppose any change in S25 wrt code testing...
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
>
> How much bonus money is the ARRL Board paying you for you to imply something
> to the readers by making a statement like that? For the Board to say they are
> not going to propose eliminating code, but they won't oppose a change, is the
> same thing.
> That's like saying: we're not going to set the building on fire, but if one
> starts, we'll let it burn.
>
> It's either the sin of commission or the sin of omission. I guess the ARRL
> will get what it wants, to dumb down amateur radio further. This time it
> won't have to lift a finger to do it. They can come out looking like the
> good-guy. Thank Ed, checks in the mail.
>
> Ringo

From 5260476317169495048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:13:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:13:26 GMT
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010423061326.17773.00000673@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19769

>From: anti-arrl@worthless_org.org (Ringo Ranger)

>>Maybe because the Board resolution that was passed said that ARRL
>>position was not to oppose any change in S25 wrt code testing...

>How much bonus money is the ARRL Board paying you for you to imply something
>to the readers by making a statement like that?

I do not get paid any bonus to participate on rec.radio.amateur.policy.

Do you have a particular reason to want to make your post in secret? You raised some points well worth discussing and you need not be ashamed of them.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1266915871524853711
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:17:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:17:06 GMT
References: <9c08rs$3mk$0@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010423061706.17773.00000674@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19770

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >> I have no doubt at all that Carl could pass the Extra easily. Do you?

>> > Today? A well trained monkey could pass it.

>> Dick, I bet you a lobster dinner you can't find a single example of a
>>monkey who has passed the Extra exam.

> As you well know, that is a figure of speech, intended to illustrate
>how easy it is to get an Extra class license today.

I have to chuckle at the use of the "polite" term "figure of speech." It would be more accurate to call it an exaggeration.

> But there ARE lots of trained (and not so well trained) monkeys on the bands.

Let me correct that; it would be more accurate to call it an insult.

Have you memorized those 1000 Russian definitions in a weekend to show us all how easy it is to learn without learning? I thought not...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>
>
>

From 8452008292988034075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:26:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:25:33 GMT
References: <9c08ic$2n8$0@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010423062533.17773.00000677@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19771

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>I can't be responsible for your refusal to read in detail all the NCI
>postings of the past few years.

I have read most of them in detail, Dick, and those that I have read have been very clear that NCI does not oppose the use of Morse code on the ham bands.

Can you provide references to those posts "by NCI" that state otherwise?

> You're the guy who just can't believe that the question pool exams can't be
> passed without learning all the detailed material ...

I am the guy who believes that it is possible for someone to memorize 1000 questions without learning anything, but that most hams do what I did when I got my General -- a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping that some questions are not on the exam. I have posted this view a number of times. Did you miss them, or are you making up an "interpretation" of what I said to bolster your point?

>and many study guides show only the barest minimum of information,

The most popular study guides are the ones that give explanations for why the correct answers are correct.

Maybe the study guide for the General should be reduced to 16 pages like it was in 1964... Instead of those "barest minimum" pages, we now have a Tech study guide of over 200 pages...

> and a number of posters here have stated cagorically that they
> passed the tests 'studying' exactly that way.

"A number?" I don't think that "a number" of posters has discussed what methods they used to pass their tests, and of those that have, I can think of only two who claimed that they didn't learn anything, and one of those was talking about his General back in the 50s. Of the rest, most seem to fall into my category of having learned/memorized/guessed.

>You are deliberately turning your back to the realities of the
>situation

I am deliberately disagreeing with you, Dick.

>That sets prececent for your attitude toward NCI and their
>goals.

I am not a member of NCI. ARRL could care less what organizations I belong to, as long as I do my job.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 997953144674729822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:27:29 PST
```

```
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:26:59 GMT
References: <9c086v$e2$0@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010423062659.17773.00000678@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19772

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Sure. The side that moved down onto the group residing there, of
>course.

Has that happened when digital modes "moved onto" "our" frequencies?

>So then you have CW ops at a KW competing with SSB ops
>running at least that level. Result? Chaos.

Many nations of the world do not regulate by sub-band.  Can you offer any
examples of nations that have had such a mode war?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 8407900485192357230
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:28:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:28:18 GMT
References: <9c0ajb$87c$1@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010423062818.17773.00000679@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19773

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
```

```
>Cecil has said that his primary interest in ham radio is in
>experimenting iwth antennas, so it should come as no surprise that he
>know so little about what goes on on the air.

I operate a fair amount in the lower portion of the HF bands, Dick, and I
haven't heard this mode war.  Can you provide some examples of when and where
it occured?  What did Cecil miss on the air?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -8264697339576541262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:30:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:29:40 GMT
References: <9c03po$ioa$1@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010423062940.17773.00000680@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19774

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I do not recall making any posts where I claimed to know what you were
>thinking
>> or what your motivations are.  Can you refresh my memory with an example?

> If you read back through your postings of the past few weeks it would
>seem to be inescapable.

Can you provide but a single example instead of a sweeping, unsubstantiated
generality?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From -6108691381184473 74
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:31:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:31:00 GMT
References: <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010423063100.17773.00000681@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19775

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>So why do you insist on playing coy, Ed? Do you really believe that
>none of the anticoders would dump code from ham radio instantly if they
>just had the opportunity?

I do not believe that they would try, and I believe even less that they would succeed. Unlike you, I need to have a reason to think nefarious thoughts of someone and I lack your skills at knowing people's secret thoughts and motivations.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3901505381678790728
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:32:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:32:11 GMT
References: <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010423063211.17773.00000682@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19776

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> To quote Bill Sohl in a very recent posting "Times change, positions
>change.."

>(or at least that's the overt explanation).

Seeing as you are the one who possesses the magical skill of reading people's minds, would you be willing to share the covert explanation with us?

Can you teach us how to fathom the unknowable so we can do it, too?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6518846362849537154
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:33:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:32:51 GMT
References: <9c0ejc$jb9$1@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010423063251.17773.00000683@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19777

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Oh no, they'll incrementalize it out of existence.

Not if we keep using it.

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5553973075619443843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:36:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:36:10 GMT
References: <9c02hc$f6d$0@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010423063610.17773.00000684@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19778

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>But that statement does not address the problem. There is NO NEED to
>memorize questions and answers, and certainly not any such numbers at
>that. Applicants who prefer just take one element at a time and work
>with it until they can match enough answers to their questions to pass
>that test, then move on the the next test element and repeat. You don't
>seem to think they really do this.

You can prove it by memorizing those 1000 Russian words in a weekend. But in accordance with the above, you can do so 333 words at a time. If it is as "easy as falling off a log" as you once said, I am sure you would do it just to make your point.

> Worse, you refuse to believe it when I and many others tell you outright,
> from both watching it happen and from those who have done it, that it is
happening.

"Many" others? I can think of only two other people who have made that claim here, Dick. Do 3 make a "many to you?"

Did you know they did it by reading their secret thoughts, or do you have something a bit more concrete for this one?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -3365503658342308501
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:39:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:38:52 GMT
References: <9c01h2$cc8$0@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010423063852.17773.00000685@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19779

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Tube therey should be part of the basics today?
>> Why?

> For the same reason that Morse code should be.

Do you really believe that Morse code testing and the testing about tube theory should be on the same par? If so, do you want to include enough tube theory that people need to spend dozens, if not more, hours to learn it and that they have to answer 7 of the 10 questions about this theory correctly or they don't pass, or do you want to revise the Morse code testing so it can be passed after an hour or two of study and if the applicant gets all the questions wrong, he or she will still pass if the overall score is >75%?

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1130355517939296563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:44:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:43:33 GMT
References: <9c0ehg$jb9$0@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010423064333.17773.00000686@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19780

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Cecil the Lame scores again with a slap shot to the audience.

Uh, oh, this is devolving to gratuitous insult. ..

>What hams need is some of the expertise to
>use thosetubes and also some transistors to build a working radio set.

The 16 pages I studied to pass my General in 1964 did not give me the expertise to build a working radio set. Neither did the Extra I passed in the 70s. Why are you asking that hams today have to achieve more than you or I did? I think I turned out okay after studying 16 pages to get all amateur privleges.

>I was looking at a 50's CQ magazine articlethe
>other day where a ham built a small transciever for working 540 and 80
>meter CW. It had a VFO builtin and a Superheterodye receiver. It used
>four vacuum tubes. I was impressed.

In the 1950s, only a small percentage of hams could have designed that and they could not have designed that on the basis of having passed their Extra Class exam. They learned more electronics than was on the exam, either professionally, or as a result of what they did within Amateur Radio.

>You couldn't do that with four transistors.

You could do that with one IC... And, like the simple construction projects of today, that 4-device circuit was the exception that disproved the rule.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8948711643483080923
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:48:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:48:10 GMT
References: <9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010423064810.17773.00000687@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19781

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Cecil: It is still completly possible for hams to build their own
>stations using discrete components, it is done all the time. But NOT by
>many recent licensees who memorized the question pool..

And you know this how? I could not have built a station from scratch as a result of passing the tests I took in the 60s to get my license. I needed a bit of trial and error.

And today, it is lots easier than it was then to build equipment.

The real fallacy in this 5-miles-to-school scenario is that most "old time" hams built their own equipment. They owned Hallicrafters or Johnson commercial gear, for the most part. Those that did build probably built a Heathkit (connect the red wire to pin 3; there are three wires on that pin now; solder them).

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2121366575905647284
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:52:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:51:42 GMT
References: <9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010423065142.17773.00000688@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19782

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Ah, so you believe that no ham should be required to fill any function
>other than the most important one. We see. Whatta concept.

Hams are not required to fulfill any function, Dick. To require a ham to do emergency communications (or practice thereof) would require a rule that stipulates that a ham must conduct N hours of emergency communication within his or her license term. To require a ham be able to build would require a rule that stipulates certain construction achievements. Those requirements have never existed in the US, to my knowledge, nor have the tests of any era I have direct knowlege of tested the ability of the applicant to do the type of construction you are discussing.

```
73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 1148842512196489093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:53:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:53:11 GMT
References: <3ae39515$0$88185$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010423065311.17773.00000689@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19783

>From: "Larry Klose" lklose@net-link.net

>What no code test?  Too simple, never fly.

>Larry kc8epo

Larry, you HAVE changed your mind!  Who woulda' thought that you would become a
pro-coder. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From 5899669181378547682
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:55:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:55:25 GMT
References: <9c0enc$jb9$2@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
```

Message-ID: <20010423065525.17773.00000690@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19784

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You're amazing. You live in Texas and you don't know that horses are
>very much used on ranches to work cattle.

And Morse code is used on the HF bands for weak-signal work and for the pure enjoyment of using it.

Do I assume correctly that in Texas, one is not required to demonstrate proficiency in using a horse before one is given a license to drive a car?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1230918048244130834
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:57:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:56:49 GMT
References: <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010423065649.17773.00000691@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19785

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> And Jim thinks that just because he never had an interest
>in CW that he could possibly ever have a need to use it under any
>circumstnces.

If he has a need to use it, he will learn it and use it. Not at 40 wpm the first day, mind you, but if it is an urgent need, slow and patient will still win the day.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4128342283379313176
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 03:59:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 10:58:34 GMT
References: <20010422211158.28252.00000591@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010423065834.17773.00000692@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19786

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> And the sad part is that the HF hams
>we're creating these days lack the knowledge, skill, and
>communications proficiency required to be a truly valuable asset
>under the kind of austere conditions which truly demonstrate our
>value to society.

What was contained in the 16 pages of study guide that got me my General in 1964 that is lacking on the hundreds of pages people have to study today, Larry? Do you think that it was those 16 pages that made me a valuable asset, or the things I did after I was given my license?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8210975644801218759
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 05:04:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae39515$0$88185$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010423065311.17773.00000689@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 08:02:57 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3ae41a5e$0$62140$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 48836ce7.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=I2=3EnKhP[oiAcBM^EMG<kBITGoVIjmHf[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;Fgce@j>`FV0ZelBFDaL\d^ne2
iP=^eOAjEa
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19787

Ed,

Hans proposed a substantial simpler Part 97.

To which I replied: "Too simple, never fly".

Larry


"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010423065311.17773.00000689@ng-fl1.aol.com...
> >From: "Larry Klose" lklose@net-link.net
>
> >What no code test?  Too simple, never fly.
>
> >Larry kc8epo
>
> Larry, you HAVE changed your mind!  Who woulda' thought that you would
become a
> pro-coder. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>



From 2927615785983755076
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 06:11:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Dockery" <jdockery@erols.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 09:07:18 -0400
Lines: 66
```

Message-ID: <9c19j8$qsj$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>
<q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl>
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVZtDsw6t76A+nF0WmZXQIiFu65xRZ6DOmUx1boQE+fpreqVYgNtlhGf
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 Apr 2001 13:10:00 GMT
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Priority: 3
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19788

Here in NJ, in Bergen County and a few other surrounding counties, not only the cell phones were out during Floyd, but landline as well.

A major control point for the phone company was under water. You could only make landline calls within your local switch's area. There was NO linking between central offices.

Stayed that way for a few days.

As noted in other posts about CPR, well, CPR does save more lives and does it on a day-to-day basis.

I just happen to own a company that teaches CPR and the use of defibrillators to the general public, corporations, organizations and to anywhere where people tend to gather.

Considering most state laws require reporting and medical oversight everytime an automatic external defibrillator (AED) is used, we have already seen our students save lives.

What's probably better is to have an adult conversation about code vs. no-code, cut the personal attacks out except for emailing each other directly, and yes, learn CPR as well. While you're at it, learn how to use an AED along with the CPR.

For every minute that passes from the time cardiac arrest starts, approximately 7-10 percent of survivability is lost (AHA statistic).

Jim Dockery, WB2HBZ
mailto:jim@Lifesaving-Solutions.com
http://www.Lifesaving-Solutions.com

Visit our company store at: http://www.Lifesaving-Solutions.com/store.html

"Arnie Macy" <kt4st@csam.net> wrote in message
news:rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote ...
>
> > are you telling me that within a 300 mile radius of your station all
there
> > was was destruction ... not a single telephone or person to 3rd party
> calls
> > through telephone ... gimme a break
> >
>
> I already said that the landlines were very busy and that cell phones were
> useless from early on. We made a decision to use the most viable
> communication method available to us. It worked out just fine. It is
quite
> clear to me that you don't have the ability to see through your own
> prejudice against CW. I made my point and I will leave it at that. The
> next time we have a need for CW in our area, we will use it (regardless of
> your esteemed opinion). You, on the other hand, can "tap" out whatever
you
> like on the case of your HT.
>
> Arnie -
> KT4ST
>
>
>
>

From -5695824033415726048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 06:12:27 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:12:07 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae42913.129714945@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E44A.28437268@bctv.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.17
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.17
X-Trace: 23 Apr 2001 08:08:45 -0500, 64.24.18.17
Lines: 8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net

X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15186
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19789

On Sun, 22 Apr 2001 14:01:46 +0000, Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com> wrote:
>
>If you don't see the difference between the two situations, then I guess
>I'm annoying a pig and there is no reason to continue this discussion.
>

They're virtually identical situations but your phobia has scrambled
your ability understand such "complexities".

From 668844424810711753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 06:43:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 13:43:12 GMT
References: <20010421132458.14184.00000231@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010423094312.15601.00001251@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19790

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/21/01 1:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>It's been two days now...where is it?

It's right there in the "to do" pile, along with the questions I have for
YOU on microwave technology...just as soon as YOU start the thread.

Next question?

From -3095455872095247640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 06:50:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 13:49:52 GMT
References: <20010421132500.14184.00000236@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
Message-ID: <20010423094952.15601.00001252@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19791

>Subject: Re: CW or CPR? Hello Mark :)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/21/01 1:25 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I don't know if he ever had a P-51 of his own, but it's not in the
>"survivors" list of Mustangs at:

> www.p-51mustangs-aliveandwell.com

And of course, as we ALL know, any website that Lennie quotes is THE definitive source of information, irrefuteable at any level.

While perhaps not his personal aircraft, Chuck has recently appeared in several advertisements stooping in front of a Mustang with his wife's name on it.

>The closest one there is "Glamorous Gal," a P-51D-30NA, tail
>number N251HR owned by Howard Ross.

And while we are at it, Oh Malcontented One, WHAT does all this have to do with AMATEUR RADIO POLICY, Lennie? Not that I care, but YOU seem to have made a point on SEVERAL occassions in just the last few days with follks who dared deviate from what YOU thought the topic should be.

Seems you have been using that two-faced mirror again.

Not that we would expect any different.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 3646829064522559052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 06:54:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 13:53:17 GMT
References: <20010421132500.14184.00000235@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010423095317.15601.00001253@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19792

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/21/01 1:25 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>[Charles Laughton was gay...]

More "on topic" debate, Lennie?

ROTMFFLMMFAO!

You are SUCH a card!

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -1909568194982448714
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:11:21 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:04:58 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae42a77.130071035@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ae0f67e.302770401@news2.dvol.com>

<20010421220722.13993.00000187@nso-bh.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.190
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.190
X-Trace: 23 Apr 2001 09:01:37 -0500, 64.24.16.190
Lines: 52
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19793

On 22 Apr 2001 02:07:22 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>If nothing else, the tapes give the FCC some reason to ask for more money and
>to allocate some of it to ham radio enforcement. I don't know the legal
>evidence status of a cassette tape of an alleged intruder sent to the FCC by a
>ham. But that's what the FCC is asking for, so let's give it to 'em!
>
Feed 'em both. Tapes to congresscritters would be a political lobbying
maneuver, the tapes to the FCC for their reasons. Work it from the top
down *and* from the bottom up. Keep in mind that the FCC folk would
much rather not get Congress into their turf, 'snot a comfortable
situation for them so of course they wouldn't be big on us taking our
squawks beyond the FCC.
>
>
>Sounds good, but I ain't gonna hold me breath.
>
It's already here. I've called the monitoring station in Maryland a
couple times over the years, they dove right into it while I was on
the phone. The only problem was that it's not a 800 number.
>
>My uneducated guess is that a tape tells the monitors exactly what to listen
>for. The accompanying written report tells them where and when to look.
>
They have professional listeners with radios and antennas we can only
dream about and they're tuning all day all night. They know what's
going on more so than we do.
>
>The
>more tapes they get, the more datapoints they have, and when that particular
>intruder shows up, they have a pile of goods on him.
>
I don't fully understand how that works but if Phil sez do it I agree,
he knows what he's talking about.
>
>Another uneducated guess is that the FCC operates on the "example" principle.
>They can't possibly nab every intruder, so they look for really solid cases and
>push them hard. A few big fines sends a strong message. Having tape evidence
>gives them a better idea of who to go after - the intruders who show up
>repeatedly.

```
>
Yes. Problem being that they don't do it often enough to impress the
horde. They spanked one last week to the tune of $13,500 and yesterday
there were a half dozen of 'em in the 10m cw segement. Getting whacked
by the FCC is statistically a very low risk wherein lies the
ineffectiveness of the rare spankings. Bang ten of 'em a week and
maybe . . .

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv


From 2328853612462270577
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:14:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:12:13 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3ae436d4.6851105@news.mindspring.com>
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
<3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
<3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org> <9c0agm$87c$0@208.206.142.101>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ed.b5
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 14:13:46 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19794

On 23 Apr 2001 04:19:34 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >
>> > W6RCecilA wrote:
>> > > The point is that most other countries don't have bands sliced up into
>> > > legal and illegal modes and they seem to get along just fine. Even in
>> > > this country, I rarely hear anything except CW in the lower 25KHz even
>> > > though the other digital modes are legal there.
>> >
>> >  No sane person wants a mode war, and if you don't think one would
>> > result from elimination of band segments you're nuts.
```

>>
>> Nuts is believing that "hoards of freebanders" were going to invade the
>> ARS after April 15, 2000.
>
> If you had a clue you'r know that the freebanders infiltrated long
>before restructuring, and many held ham licenses and continue to to hold
>them as they still work their illicit activities.

For the most part, freebander activities are of litle
consequence to legitamate hams...as long as that
freebanding stays out of the ham bands. Presumably,
the freebanding is in that "no man's land" of spectrum
that seems to have no stated users at all.

I have posted this before...the best counter to
freebanding is to give the "freeband" back to
hams and then the hams will be proactive
users and monitors of any illegal activity which
can be then passed on (reports, data, audio tapes)
to the FCC for enforcement.

If the freebanders just operate in the freeband space, who
really cares? That's no different than me not worrying
if somebody is speeding on a deserted highway.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -7889214829030109836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:20:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:18:33 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3ae43867.7254272@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9buq13$4m$0@208.207.71.161> <20010422110510.16452.00000458@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c08ic$2n8$0@208.206.142.101>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ed.b5
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 14:20:09 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19795

On 23 Apr 2001 03:46:20 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> >We already knew that and therefore have gooe reason to believe there is
>> >more to NCI's agenda than has been admitted.
>>
>> Other than paranoia and suspicion, I see no reason to believe what you say.
>> Can you offer me anything concrete?
>
> I can't be responsible for your refusal to read in detail all the NCI
>postings of the past few years.

Grand conspiracy theory again Dick?

Let me be very specific: IF NCI ever takes
a position to forbid morse use, I will resign
immediately from the NCI BOD and as a member.

>You're the guy who just can't believe
>that the question pool exams can't be passed without learning all the
>detailed material the questions are based on when all the exact
>questiona and their exact matching answers are given in advance, and
>many study guides show only the barest minimum of information, that is
>only the correct answer choice to each question, and a number of posters
>here have stated cagorically that they passed the tests 'studying'
>exactly that way.

Passing the General theory test in 1957/58 didn't
require much different either. Memorization and
the ability to solve a few basic algebra formulas
(without knowing the theory that established the
formula) was all it took for a teenager.

> You are deliberately turning your back to the realities of the
>situation. That sets prececent for your attitude toward NCI and their
>goals. See no evil, hear no evil, speak no evil.

Yes Dick, that great conspiracy is there. Hey,
we all are part of the one world government movement too,
and then there's the secret society of the bell, and
there's also the (insert name of any paranoid
belief).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -4694680045875373937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:25:23 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:18:57 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae436ef.133262628@news2.dvol.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.190
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.190
X-Trace: 23 Apr 2001 09:15:35 -0500, 64.24.16.190
Lines: 13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12131
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15188 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19796

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 07:20:16 GMT, anti-arrl@worthless_org.org (Ringo
Ranger) wrote:
>It's either the sin of commission or the sin of omission.  I guess the ARRL
>will get what it wants, to dumb down amateur radio further.  This time it
>won't have to lift a finger to do it. They can come out looking like the
>good-guy. Thank Ed, checks in the mail.
>
. . . it's called the Newington Wuss Factor.
>
>Ringo
>
w3rv
```

```
From -6706606765493346233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:25:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: 23 Apr 2001 14:25:31 GMT
```

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9c1e0r$7rd$0@208.206.142.108>
References: <9br12c$nr7$0@208.207.71.151> <20010421144535.29813.00000437@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.108
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19797

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >No, FCC has been sued *by ARRL* a few times. Last time I dropped my
> >membership it was because they sued FCC over something I considered to
> >be far less important than the ill will the lawsuit was certain to
> >generate at FCC HQ.
>
> Dick, that was apparently before my time. Can you offer any information about
> the nature of this lawsuit?

As I said, I frankly don't remember the reason but it seemed entirely
inappropriate and trivial at the time, to me. Maybe they were trying to
get FCC's attention. I imagine they suceeded.

From 8595799939152175702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:27:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW or CPR?
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:25:10 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3ae43a46.7733295@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ac488c0$0$88181$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<pZ0x6.4639$tG3.197857@news1.atl>
<hj1x6.164161$p66.48224839@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9a3nm4$at9$2@208.206.142.87>
<3six6.171321$p66.50159792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3DCx6.4102$aD4.258039@news2.atl> <9a7u4p$d90$0@208.206.142.100>
<1xMx6.182560$p66.53745558@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <oNZx6.784$Rv.38235@news1.atl>

<q1%x6.946$XV.204453@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <rK7y6.1072$Rv.56601@news1.atl>
<9c19j8$qsj$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ed.b5
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 14:26:52 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19798

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 09:07:18 -0400, "Jim Dockery" <jdockery@erols.com>
wrote:

>Here in NJ, in Bergen County and a few other surrounding counties, not only
>the cell phones were out during Floyd, but landline as well.
>
>A major control point for the phone company was under water. You could only
>make landline calls within your local switch's area. There was NO linking
>between central offices.
>
>Stayed that way for a few days.

Yep, and during that outage, the use of VHF FM
served the day quite well. The outages were very localized
since the typical telco central office in NJ probably covers a
radius of 10-20 miles at most...and often considerable
less. Throughout the outages, there were many
VHF repeaters active and in use for emergency comms.

>As noted in other posts about CPR, well, CPR does save more lives and does
>it on a day-to-day basis.

Agree completely.

>I just happen to own a company that teaches CPR and the use of
>defibrillators to the general public, corporations, organizations and to
>anywhere where people tend to gather.
>
>Considering most state laws require reporting and medical oversight
>everytime an automatic external defibrillator (AED) is used, we have already
>seen our students save lives.
>
>What's probably better is to have an adult conversation about code vs.
>no-code, cut the personal attacks out except for emailing each other
>directly, and yes, learn CPR as well. While you're at it, learn how to use
>an AED along with the CPR.
>
>For every minute that passes from the time cardiac arrest starts,
>approximately 7-10 percent of survivability is lost (AHA statistic).
>
>Jim Dockery, WB2HBZ
>mailto:jim@Lifesaving-Solutions.com
>http://www.Lifesaving-Solutions.com

Thanks for the additional CPR info.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5530762586235055554
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:31:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:28:55 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <3ae43b5e.8013073@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ed.b5
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 14:30:43 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19799

On 23 Apr 2001 01:32:13 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > What kind of nonsense is all that?? Of course I don't build my own
>> > vacuum tubes nor caps and resistors, but I have a lifetime supply,
>> > probably 6K tubes, along with a lot of transistors and IC's and scads of
>> > other hard to find parts. So what? Do you build your own pickup trucks?
>> > Why are you trying to get stupid on us?
>>
>> I'm just trying to get you to realize that 50 years ago, tubes were
>> components. In 2001, *transceivers are components*.
>
> Cecil: It is still completly possible for hams to build their own
>stations using discrete components, it is done all the time. But NOT by
>many recent licensees who memorized the question pool..

And if one were to stack up that "homebrew" rig against

a commercial transciever, HT or mobile, just how good
would it be. Most homebrews I see now are targeted
at very special applications, QRP, etc. How many people
would use those in an emergency given the availability
of commercial rigs?

>Times have changed,
>> Dick. The ARS cannot return to the 50's.
>
> Your theme song, right? So who wants to return to the 50s or any other
>time?

I suspect Cecil believes you do and I would
tend to agree with him given your line of reasoning.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -5951027644151902395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:35:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 179
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 14:35:12 GMT
References: <20010421132503.14184.00000237@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010423103512.15601.00001257@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19800
```

>Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/21/01 1:25 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Oh, my, bigbadassmarine is all a-twitter over profanity!!!!

Having been in the Armed Forces somehow makes it "OK" to be guttermouthed?

>Nursie has to show actual, real quotes of the above "liberal use of
>profanity." Emphasis on liberal.

Above quote deeply appreciated to make point. Thanks, Lennie.

>[you are unaffected by criticsm but the word is "ineffective," nursie]

Bored again, I see, Lennie. Must be rough, having all those great retirement options, only to spend them parked in front of the CRT looking for opportunities to elevate yourself.

Nice try.

>Nursie consistently writes on amatuer radio. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Again, I see. No "argument" to the context of the comments. Not that I expect any. You have not been able to defend your positions on other topics, I doubt to see anything meaningful in the future.

>Nursie uses this word a great deal. It is a not-nice word of
>Yiddish equivalent to the colloquial American "dickhead."

Really? I wasn't aware of that particular definition. Interesting how everything in nature finds it's own level, though.

>Test element 1 for a US amateur radio license examination is
>still code testing, still required for a General or Extra class
>license.

Yep, and still the MINIMUM the FCC determined from PUBLIC opinion on what would be appropropriate until the treaty is ammended.

You are STILL trying to act as though this is an issue put thre by the FCC just to taunt you. The FCC has made it clear that it's hands are tied to the treaty. The present code test fulfills both the spirit and the letter of the law.

Phil Kane have very effectively explained to you that a treaty ratified by the United States IS US law.

>Firstly, the "fact" is nothing of the kind. It is just a supposition
>by nursie and one or two others in here

"Supposition" or not, this has been addressed by moe than one FCC official at one time or another and it has been made clear that the FCC is tired of this argument. They WILL eliminate the test after the treaty is allowed.

>Secondly, the FCC has said nothing about "dispensing with a
>code test in the future." The FCC said only that, in 1990 and
>1999, that the code test is not considered of sufficient value
>for the FCC's purpose of licensing amateurs as part of its
>amateur radio regulation task.

You ahve nnot been paying attention to anything except what YOU want to hear. I don't necessarily want code testing to completely go away, but even >>I<< can hear the FCC loud and clear.

What's YOUR problem, Oh Lifeless One?

>Thirdly, the current ITU-R WRC agendas for the whole
>conference in 2003 and the preliminary conference in 2002
>have nothing about S25.5 (commonly and mistakenly
>called "the treaty") alteration, modification, or changing.

Again, Lennie has NOT been paying attention, but that's OK, we accept his oversights.

And there is NOTHING "mistaken" about calling it "the treaty". S25.5 IS part and parcel of overall ITU regulations which ARE themselves part of international treaties to which the United States is signatory.

Perhaps Phil can spend some more time on the intracacies of the United States' legal obligations to you in an 8th-grade level YOU can understand?

>Note: Only S25, the entire body of ITU-R amateur radio
>simplified standards is indicated as being an assignement
>of Study Group 8.

Of which S25.5 is a hotly discussed and important topic to most administrations.

>Nursie should do something about those multiple personalities.

Nothing "multiple" about accepting you as a fool, Lennie.

>Well now, nursie does admit to her obsession. There is always
>optimism for a cure when the patient recognizes her disorder.

Now what can we do to get you to admit yours?

>Actually, not as much as claimed. US radio spectrum allocations
>go from 9 KHz on up to 300 GHz.

And any Amateur allocations still provide me (and most other Radio Amateurs) with somewhere in the "high-90's" of a percent MORE spectrum RIGHT NOW than YOU could use today, Lennie, when one adds up Part 15 and Part 95 subparts that you are "authorized" to use.

>The "basement of RF" is ELF ....

In which we MAY find Lennie at 1750 meters.

>"Daylight" could be roughly 1000 nanometers (actually the IR
>component of daylight) at a frequency of about 300 THz.

On the other end, he may add a modulator to a laser pen for "point-to-point" communications.

>Several have wondered if nursie has seen any daylight.

Just you and Hans...And I still wonder about this since NO ONE has ever seen the two of you in the same room...Hmmmmmm?!?!?!

>There has never been any "refusal to get a license." That is in
>nursie's vivid out-of-body imagination working overtime.

Leonard H. Anderson is again lying.

>My discussion, when not spent 95% refuting nursie's erroneous
>and false charges, is about the elimination of the code test for
>an amateur radio license.

I am not making any "claims". And if it WEREN'T true, why would you have to TRY and refute ANYTHING, Lennie? The archives of USENET would have long ago borne you out.

They haven't.

>Also, nursie's list of radio services useable without an amateur
>radio license is woefully inadequate.

An Amateur Radio license is only good in the Amatuer Radio Service.

>All of broadcasting,
>private land mobile radio, aviation radio service, several fixed
>land radio services do not require a license to operate...just
>for starters.

YOU cannot operate a radio station in ANY service (Parts 15 and 95 notwithstanding) without a STATION license. That station license will specify which discreet channel(s), mode and power levels you may operate that station at. YOUR commercial license says so, right on the back.

UNLESS, od course, you can make a valid argument as to why the FCC is WRONG...?!?!

>My advocacy in here is to eliminate the amateur radio code test. The TEST.

Yep. And the FCC has already made written policy for short term retention of the code TEST, and has stated their intentions, both in formal comments and personal "asides" of Commission officers and members.

It's over with, Lennie. You can pontificate about how you are avenging the weak and downtrodden ALL YOU WANT, but to what avail?

Lennie, PLEASE seek help. Reams of facts and ACTIONS by numerous agencies and organizations prove that you are the proverbial "day late and a dollar short" on almost daily basis, yet you STILL keep trying to ram those windmills.

Help. Lennie...SEEK HELP!

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 1313499994267320113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:38:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:36:30 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 72
Message-ID: <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ed.b5
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 14:38:20 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19801
```

On 23 Apr 2001 05:22:24 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

```
>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >
>> > W6RCecilA wrote:
>> > > I'm just trying to get you to realize that 50 years ago, tubes were
>> > > components. In 2001, *transceivers are components*.
>> >
>> >  Cecil: It is still completly possible for hams to build their own
>> > stations using discrete components, it is done all the time. But NOT by
>> > many recent licensees who memorized the question pool..
>>
>> It is still completely possible to build an automobile from scratch, Dick,
>> but virtually nobody does it anymore. Times have changed. Hams simply do not
>> need to know how to build their own rigs anymore.
```

>
> Nor have thgey since the introduction of factory built units. So you
>then believe that there is never a need for a ham to be able to build
>anything at all. Very curious. If you had your way, nothing not on the
>leding edge would be allowed to remain in existance. You come across as
>the most zealous of zealots.

Commercial gear has been available since at least the
1930s. Since that time (70+ years) it is absolutely
true that a ham might never need to be able to build
anything. The issue isn't the choice to build, Cecil doesn't
oppose that at all. Cecil merely notes that today, for
most hams, building there own is NOT a choice. In
the 50s and 60s, we could "build our own" and save money
because parts were available from junked TVs, old military
surplus, etc. Them days are over.
>
> It's nice if they do
>> but it is not necessary just as it was not necessary for you to build
>> your own tubes.
>
> Your comparison is without merit. Building a vacuum tube and
>constructing a working radio of discrete componants are light years
>apart.

And any discrete component radio today is pretty
basic when compared to most any commercial rigs.

>> As the level of integration goes up, the necessary
>> knowledge goes down. That's the truism of technical progress.
>
>Nonsense. The stuff builds itself?

The engineers build it...the same as they do for
TVs, VCRs, Stereos, etc. The same advances that
make commercial rigs so much more attractive today
are what killed Eico, Heathkit, Knightkit and others.

Do you know ANYONE that builds there own VCRs,
TVs, Stereos, etc? All were, in the best, routinely
homebrewed (except, of course VCRs).

>> Have you ever asked yourself: What is the most important function that
>> hams perform? What knowledge/skill does a ham need to perform that
>> function? Should arbitrary knowledge/skill requirements keep people
>> capable of performing that most important function out of the ARS?
>
> Ah, so you believe that no ham should be required to fill any function
>other than the most important one. We see. Whatta concept.

You haven't answered Cecil's question.

Cheers,

Bill K2UNK

From -6180335940007368630
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:39:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 14:39:16 GMT
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19802

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
>Date: 04/21/01 7:42 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Thanks to the ARRL, since April 15th, 2000 you can't tell the difference.

I am not the most active station on the air, Vince, but I am going to have to ask you to define/prove TWO things:

(1) How is the ARRL responsible for a policy shift the FCC initiated and implemented?

(2) How ahve the bands changed?

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 4263811365585394754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 04:53:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 11:52:39 GMT
References: <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010424075239.20927.00001438@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19955

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>
>Date: 04/23/01 12:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Due to lack of hostile targets in peacetime, preparation for war can consist
>of vectoring allied fighters to shoot down missionary planes, and sinking
>fishing boats full of schoolchildren.

     Tragedies, one and all.







"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html


From 6603589586962841842
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 05:01:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 12:01:07 GMT
References: <3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010424080107.20927.00001442@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19956

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
```

>From: Jerry Oxendine joxendi@bellsouth.net
>Date: 04/23/01 1:38 PM Eastern Daylight Time

Hansel (minu Gretel) slung this crap:

>> Due to lack of hostile targets in peacetime, preparation for war can
>>consist of vectoring allied fighters to shoot down missionary planes, and sinking
>>fishing boats full of schoolchildren.

Yeah...the Joint Chiefs just sit around LOOKING for some innocents to kill for sport, Hans.

>And anyone not prepared to fight for freedon must be prepared to LOSE
>it. I don't know whose that is--it just seemed appropriate.

Forget it, Jerry...Hans spent his first "career" sitting in some radio room in the Navy somewhere and thinks he fought for something.

Now he thinks he can melt away the last few years of his life as some "learned elder statesman" from his keyboard in Minnesota. Fact is that he didn't learn anything...Just marked his time till he could collect his retirement.

The Left Coast Putz and the Great White Yutz. What a pair.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 7844723103893015536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 05:09:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 12:08:32 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc93543.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010424080832.20927.00001444@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19957

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam
>Date: 04/23/01 2:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time

> Are you preaching to U S Navy Master Chief (Ret.) Hans Brakow?

It's Brakob, Phil. And Hans' retired grade do NOT mean that anything he has to say on defense-related topics makes his word sancrosanct, any more than my service in the Marines makes mine immediately beyond reconsideration.

None the less, it would appear that Hans didn't learn anything while accumulating enough frequent flyer miles to acquire that title. Hans was proabaly on the "ROAD" program...(R)etired (O)n (A)ctive (D)uty.

The incidents cited by Hans were tragic and horrific.

Should we STOP trying to intercept drugs or practicing emergency surfacing techniques on submarines because they happened? I don't think so.

Should we learn from them and move forward...?!?! Hmmmmm....?!?!?! Me thinks that a far better way to proceed than to be making the snide, demeaning sleights he has. Not very well thought out and directed at the wrong parties.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 2070475242224214607
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 05:09:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail

From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shoot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:06:40 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <3AE56C50.A493ABF@bctv.com>
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3AE53CEA.62B2F983@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 988114194 76695 63.87.116.25 (24 Apr 2001 12:09:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Apr 2001 12:09:54 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19958

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Keith wrote:
> >
> > Apparently one of the murdered missionaries
> > in Peru was a ham. Sadly they are the latest
> > innocent victims in America's ever growing
> > drug war.
>
> Gee, the deaths of those two people certainly provides another
> opportunity to trash the drug laws again, doesn't it, Keith?

The Dope War is the DIRECT cause of the deaths of two innocent people
and the possibly permanent crippling of another.

Net gain -- none.

> Are you
> planning to write that on their grave stones also? Sorry, but those
> two people are not victims of the drug war. They're victims of a
> flight plan that didn't get listed properly,

Sorry, this is not correct.

> and a pilot that didn't monitor the proper frequencies.

Actually, he was monitoring the frequencies that he was assigned to
monitor.

> Had either of those two things occurred, those people would be alive today
(drug war or not).

You must be so proud that you can find a way to get off the hook for your money being spent to kill a missionary and her 7-month-old daughter.

However, the fact is that they were breaking no law, they were targetted by a multimillion-dollar AMERICAN "interdiction" jet, which spent an hour leading Peruvian fighters to the missionaries. This is not the first time that this activity has resulted in the shootdown of innocent people, it just happens to be the first time that the victims couldn't be smeared as drug smugglers following the crash.

The official statement from the US State Department, made to justify the killing, is a lie (the claim is made that the plane entered Peruvian airspace from Colombia, when in fact the plane never left Peru at any time during the trip).

I dunno about you, Dwight, but when the government has to lie to get out of trouble, clearly what they were doing is WRONG.

When the Dope War is the excuse for this kind of activity, even if NOBODY had died, don't you see a problem here?

```
From 4267112711950662314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 05:11:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:08:04 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AE56CA4.BBBD718C@bctv.com>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 988114277 76695 63.87.116.25 (24 Apr 2001 12:11:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Apr 2001 12:11:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19959
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Since I know a good number here have military backgrounds, I'm sure
> most have followed the events surrounding the submarine accident
> (involving the Japanese boat several weeks ago). Therefore, I know
> most will have heard the results of the Captain's Mast today (written
reprimand).
>
> I don't think the Captain of that submarine should have received any
> form of punishment at all.

Remind me never to be near you when you are driving.

The sub commander disagrees with you, BTW . . .but what does HE know,
huh?

From -2848979595129570479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 05:28:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 12:27:47 GMT
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shoot Down in Peru are Hams
Message-ID: <20010424082747.20927.00001450@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19960

>Subject: Missionaries Shoot Down in Peru are Hams
>From: Keith nospamssdf@home.com
>Date: 04/23/01 5:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Sadly they are the latest innocent victims in America's ever growing drug
>war.

They are just the most obvious.

According to the AMA, there may be as many as 75 to 200 drug related deaths of children (defined as under 21 by them) in the United States alone DAILY. This includes deaths from the drug use itself, and violence related to the culture.

That's EVERY DAY. Where is the indignation and the pontificating from Hans and the others over THOSE deaths?

THOSE deaths aren't spectacular and DON'T fit Hans' rant against me, ergo they go unnoticed and without comment. Go figure.

These were horrific deaths. I hope there are some sort of repercussions for the controller who directed the attack and the pilot who pulled the trigger...but this situation should generate new intercept techniques and visual identification of targets.

A fly-by of the Cessna and a demand to land would have certainly been acknowledged and complied with by the missionary pilot. A tragedy would have been averted.

Drug related deaths and tragedies won't end until the apathy about drug use and it's terrible toll on human life and our families ends.

Steve Robeson, LPN

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -1643868658649418548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 05:37:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 12:36:46 GMT
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Message-ID: <20010424083646.20927.00001451@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19961

>Subject: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
>From: "Larry Klose" lklose@net-link.net
>Date: 04/23/01 8:53 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>As a Technician Plus, she had no HF frequency privileges

You started off on a very tender and compassionate moment, but then suffered and acute cranial rectal inversion and completely runined what could have been a defining moment.

>It long past time to delete...(snipped personal agenda)

It's a long time past to delete people who use horrific accidents to promote a personal agenda.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From -4409051430907617257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 05:46:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!yellow.newsread.com!bad-
news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <3ae57146.743094079@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 102
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:46:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.64
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988116398 216.1.128.64 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001 08:46:38
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 08:46:38 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12183
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15212 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19962
```

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 06:19:16 -0400, "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote:

>I still can't believe people who keep wanting to hold the code so near and
>dear. If you want to use this form of communication, great. It is
>outdated. Keeping it does not attract new hams. Kids with computers are
>just not excited by the prospect of morse code. People have to get used to
>change and quit clinging on to the past.

Kids are not excited by radio....PERIOD.

It is old, it has no mystique, it is nothing special. It like getting excited about a telephone., or a cell phone.

The problem is not CW, or a lack of CW. CW is meaningless, except to those who use it.

>Second, the ARRL can't win. If they support all hams passing a 30 WPM code
>test, the coders are happy and everyone else gets pissed. If they support
>no-code, all the old timers that cling to the past get pissed. They can't
>win.

Getting new activity has nothing to do with old-timers or new timers. Radio used to be cutting edge, and now it isn't. People used to like to fuss around with stuff and build stuff, now they don't.

The world changes, and the current trend is away from working for anything..or learning how stuff actually works.

The effect of eliminating code is simply to piss off the people who enjoy CW, and it will certainly NOT rekindle interest is old stale technology like radio.

It isn't old timers against "new age" radio operators. It is people who grew up loving radio and who were thrilled by the concept of talking to people all over the world trying to understand how people view it as something no more magic than a telephone.

Hell, look at CB. It peaked and died way off. The only real activity that is increasing is pirates without tickets of any kind. They are on 160, 80, 30,20,15, and ten meters...and there are more every month. That's where the future of radio is...in not having ANY license. And nothing we can do will change that, all we can do is make it more uncomfortable for people who used to enjoy it.

73 Tom

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com...
>> On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 07:20:16 GMT, anti-arrl@worthless_org.org (Ringo
>> Ranger) wrote:
>>
>> >w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in
>> ><20010422111053.16452.00000461@ng-fl1.aol.com>:
>> >
>> >>Maybe because the Board resolution that was passed said that ARRL
>> >>position was not to oppose any change in S25 wrt code testing...
>> >>
>> >>73,
>> >>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>> >

```
>> >How much bonus money is the ARRL Board paying you for you to imply
>something
>> >to the readers by making a statement like that?  For the Board to say
>they are
>> >not going to propose eliminating code, but they won't oppose a change,
>is the
>> >same thing.
>>
>> Please take a class in logic and understanding and
>> then revisit what you just said.
>>
>> >That's like saying: we're not going to set the building on fire, but if
>one
>> >starts, we'll let it burn.
>>
>> One is arson, one is not.  If you can't see that...
>>
>> >It's either the sin of commission or the sin of omission.
>>
>> Ahh well, back to the religious endorsement of morse.
>>
>> > I guess the ARRL
>> >will get what it wants, to dumb down amateur radio further.
>>
>> The usual argument that some of the PCTA falls to when
>> they run out of logic.
>>
>> >This time it
>> >won't have to lift a finger to do it. They can come out looking like the
>> >good-guy. Thank Ed, checks in the mail.
>>
>> My renewal went in for 3 years last September.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>>
>
>




From 4549999518500313851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 06:35:53 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 13:35:18 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae580cb.217713173@news2.dvol.com>
```

```
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<b4caetcsh06u97ko565st3jhf1bqkvftko@4ax.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.65
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.65
X-Trace: 24 Apr 2001 08:31:54 -0500, 64.24.17.65
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.scanner:16633 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19963

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 07:54:40 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
<thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:

>Keith <nospamssdf@home.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
>>13.10.010 Shortwave radio receiver defined.
>>
>>As used in this chapter, "shortwave radio receiver" means and includes any
>>radio receiver or other device capable of receiving messages or
>>communications transmitted on any radio transmission station operating on a
>>frequency between 1600 kilocycles and 2500 kilocycles, or on a frequency
>>between 30 megacycles and 40 megacycles, or between 150 megacycles and 160
>>megacycles. (Ord. 5462 � 1, 1950: Ord. 4322 � 1, 1944.)
>
>Cool, almost all AM/FM radios would fall under that prohibition,
>as they usually tune up to at least 1640kc, and many go up past 1700.
>
Notice the dates of these ordnances . . .
>
w3rv


From 7165532155162001232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:00:55 PST
From: Keith <nospamssdf@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 07:00:01 -0700
Reply-To: nworegon@excite.com
Expires: Thu, 7 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<b4caetcsh06u97ko565st3jhf1bqkvftko@4ax.com> <3ae580cb.217713173@news2.dvol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <3ae5861e$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Lines: 17
```

```
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You
may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/
abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-
out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spamkiller.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spk!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!spk
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19964

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 13:35:18 GMT, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
wrote:

>Notice the dates of these ordnances . . .

It doesn't make a difference how old the law is they are still valid laws.

--
Best Regards,

Keith
----------------------------------------------------------------
http://members.home.net/keithew1/nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio topics.
Amateur Radio is a international resource and asset.
Need a daily digest of rec.radio.swap newsgroup? Join
recradioswap at Yahoo! Groups.
----------------------------------------------------------



From -8385842549983255534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:07:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AE58869.3042AB6F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 09:06:33 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADBBCDB.976A66B2@IEEE.org> <9bhaqj$pa7$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988120816
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 09:00:16 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19965

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >> Fools never learn. It's what the code is traded for that is
> >> the fatal flaw.
>
> >It's not traded for anything. As an entrance requirement, it is
> >simply outdated and therefore is in the act of being dropped.
>
> You never learn, Cecil. Outdated means obsolete, i.e., no longer
> in use or practiced.

From Websters: "Outdated - outmoded" I used the word, Vince, so I
get to pick the definition from the list of valid definitions.
If you want to use a different definition, then make your own
statement. My statement stands. You lose.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1038834160077070285
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:10:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: 24 Apr 2001 14:10:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9c41gp$rpg$0@208.207.71.171>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.171
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15214
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19966

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95...
> >
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > If you are going to
> > > condemn all homosexual males for the actions of a few,
> >
> >
> > You can if you wish, I don't and didn't. I said that it is simply
> > prudent to keep homosexual males from young boys based on a considerable
> > body of experience. If you don't agree that's your problem.
>
> It may or may not be prudent but I question whether it is possible.
> Depending on safety devices that don't work is at best futile and at worst
> fatal.

Unfortunately that's the way most things work. You do what you can to prevent known dangers and work with what results as best you can.

You can be sure that keeping known adult homosexuals from leading Boy Scouts will prevent some cases of abuse, if not all.

Homosexuals have the right to be different. They DON'T have the right to force it on the rest.

```
From 8753897086605841252
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:13:01 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 24 Apr 2001 14:13:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9c41ld$rpg$1@208.207.71.171>
References: <9c2jmn$kjv$4@208.206.142.95> <20010424065425.13569.00000723@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.171
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19967



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >The day that band segments are dropped we will see that there is no such
> >reciprocity from the SSBers.
>
> Do you really believe the CW is such a great morality filter?  If so, why is it
> not administered to school teachers, judges, police officers and politicians?


For sure there are some of those who could benefit from it. Just like
some ARRL staffers. <BSEG>


From -8210786019500343993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:14:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 24 Apr 2001 14:14:38 GMT
```

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9c41oe$rpg$2@208.207.71.171>
References: <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424071258.13569.00000729@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.171
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19968

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >A Tecbnician class license, obtainable easily from sitting through a
> >single day of "cram school" ...
>
> Have you memorized the 1000 Russian words in a weekend to prove to everyone how
> easy this is, Dick?
>
> I suspect not.

You suspect right. I don't jumpo through your hoops, and I have no
reason whatever to do any such thing. Go soak your head.

From -9018444330182344961
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:16:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 24 Apr 2001 14:16:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9c41ro$rpg$3@208.207.71.171>
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c3nm1$gi5$0@216.155.33.106>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.171
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19969

Larry Roll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
>
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >No sane person wants a mode war
> >
> > Hmmm, Cecil on the no-code-test side feels there won't be a mode war and Dick,
> > on the pro-code-test side feels there will. I wonder if we can surmise which
> > side would start the war?
>
> Ed:
>
> Obviously, it would be started by the microphone jockeys. They're the ones who
> will
> need the added lebensraum in the future.

Ed likes to ignore facts, like who is it that has stridently demanded
their "right" to the airwaves, whether or not they conformed to the
requirements.

From -5422116707100462532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:18:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 24 Apr 2001 14:18:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9c41va$rpg$4@208.207.71.171>
References: <9c2jbh$kjv$1@208.206.142.95> <20010424065756.13569.00000724@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.171
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19970

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> If they use their call signs and talk with others who also have a call
> >sign, it
> >> is called Amateur Radio, Dick. I have no problem with that.
>
> > Me too. But unlike you, I'm concerned when they don't.
>
> Your allegation that I am not concerned when they don't is either extreme
> ignorance or a bold-faced lie. Can you explain which it is, Dick?

Sure I'll explain it. You say that if they use their callsign you have
no problem with them, them youstudiously ignore the second half of the
issue. What does THAT mean if not that you'd rather ignore them?

From 1242376499769719651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:19:32 PST
Message-ID: <3AE58B30.D603B05F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 09:18:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$418$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE4B8E4.C86B9D11@IEEE.org> <9c2i82$gbb$2@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4DB24.B409A769@IEEE.org> <9c2uth$nu2$0@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988121526
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 09:12:06 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or

```
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19971

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Have you ever asked yourself: What is the most important function that
> > hams perform? What knowledge/skill does a ham need to perform that
> > function? Should arbitrary knowledge/skill requirements keep people
> > capable of performing that most important function out of the ARS?
>
>  Your terminology is suspect as usual. "Most important" may not be
> congruent with all the elements of satisfied by the 'basis and purpose".

Again you refuse to answer the question. One cannot help but wonder why.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 180703647538529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:22:39 PST
Message-ID: <3AE58BE7.99BB30D6@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 09:21:27 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <9c3nm1$gi5$0@216.155.33.106> <9c41ro$rpg$3@208.207.71.171>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988121711
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 09:15:11 -0500)
Lines: 14
```

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19972

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Ed likes to ignore facts, like who is it that has stridently demanded
> their "right" to the airwaves, whether or not they conformed to the
> requirements.

Who are those people, Dick, and do you think the FCC can be intimidated
by their demands?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 4884366180348685185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:24:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 24 Apr 2001 14:24:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9c42ap$sj$0@208.207.71.171>
References: <9c2j7l$kjv$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424070033.13569.00000725@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.171
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19973

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > A lot of the problem is merely the result of prevailing attitudes. If
> >hams were still nearly all gentlemen, as it was, one might suggest that
> >the problem would be self solving.
>
> Perhaps more hams would be gentle if certain old timers would start setting a
> good example and acting gentle instead of spouting insults and innuendo.

Maybe you'd better dig back into the archives and refresh your fading memory on the subject, OM and find out just who did what to whom. I don't take that sort of treaemant lightly. In case you don't know, one cannot deliberately trash someone else then expect to receive the best sort of treatment in return. Some people don't take well to that sort of abuse-that which was handed out by so many of the codehaters posting here. I'll never again have positive feelings about some of them and I don't see that changing. For sure you ignoring all they did and criticising me for responding won't do it. 'insults and innuendo' is basically fact, whether or not you wish to accept it. Yeah, it sure would have been nice if all this crap had never started. But I didn't start it. I can't end it. But I won't capitulate to it.

From 6780331878644583925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:26:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: 24 Apr 2001 14:26:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9c42eo$sj$1@208.207.71.171>
References: <9c2hmk$gbb$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424070835.13569.00000727@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.171
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19974

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> We can play "maybe" all day on things that I am not aware of and you do not
> >> remember...
>
> > Ed, you're turning into a real sourpuss. I mention dropping my League
> >membership in disgust because ARRL sued the FCC over something so
> >trivial that several years later I can't recall what the trifling (in my
> >opinion) matter was about, and suddenly I'm an ogre of some sort. Do you
> >get out of the house much?
>
> Yes, I do, Dick. I do a fair amount of travel, mostly on ARRL business, and
> spend most of my weekends outdoors working on my old pickup truck or my yard.
>
> Why do you ask?
>
> As to my sourpusseshness, I pointed out that it is pretty petty to drop your
> League membership over something not important enough to remember. I still find
> that a bit odd.

So you'd rather ignore that I dropped my membership becasue the ARRL
sued the FCC instead you'd rather focus on the trivial reason why they
did it. I see.

From -6828284905283240254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:30:41 PST
Message-ID: <3AE58DCA.392F3A91@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 09:29:30 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
<3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
<3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org> <9c0agm$87c$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4BEFF.DED854EA@IEEE.org> <9c2jmn$kjv$4@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4DF93.9B2E2B5D@IEEE.org> <9c2v39$nu2$1@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75

```
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988122194
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 09:23:14 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19975

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >  CW ops stay out of the SSB portions of the band because they WANT to.
> >
> > Not because they have to. That defeats your own argument, Dick.
>
>  Only in YOUR mind. Do you believe all the SSB yakkers would refrain
> from using the lower ends of the bands without the mode segmenting? I
> certainly don't.

God believing something turns it into reality, Dick. Does your believing
something turn it into reality? If so, please believe that I will win the
Texas Lottery. I have presented evidence that foreign hams don't cause
chaos in their unsegmented ham bands. You, OTOH, have presented your
feelings instead of any evidence. Why do the PACTOR Extras stay out of
the lower 25 KHz of 40m? It is perfectly legal to operate PACTOR there.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4608124486802183239
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9028c066f7b451b1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:31:37 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: Riley says 40M may be cut - restructuring a stroke of brilliance
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 14:27:54 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae57f98.217406262@news2.dvol.com>
References: <200104231732.f3NHWLt27956@mail.mailgate.org>
<3AE4D036.93EEC619@ix.netcom.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.33
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.33
X-Trace: 24 Apr 2001 09:24:32 -0500, 64.24.240.33
Lines: 34
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19976
```

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:00:39 -0500, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>
>
>Some time ago I heard about a proposal to move the ham band on 40
>
It's been out there for 20-25 years.
>
>down a 100KHz, so it would start at 6.9MHz and end at 7.2MHz. Thus
>avoiding the broadcasters above 7.2MHz. Who/what is allocated in
>6.9 to 7MHz anyway?
>
"Land Mobile" globally from 6765 to 7000. Not much going on that I can
hear.
>
Only 7000-7100 is exclusively ham radio globally. 7100-7300 is shared
with broadcasting globally. Many couutries don't let hams above 7200.
>
Lotta scuttlebutt floating around on this one. It's based on first
getting an agreement with the broadcasters to stay out of the 40m ham
band at the next ITU gathering. Whch may or may not fly at the outset.
SWL broadcsating is growing, the heat is on.
>
 If such an agreement is reached the scuttlebutt indicates that the
40m band could become either 6.900-7.200 or 6.900-7.100. Seems like
there is some possibility of losing 100kHz of the 40m band in trade
for getting rid of the broasdcasters.
>
Personally I see a lotta potential for being pain in the butt no
matter which way it might go if changes are made, might be better to
leave 40m as is. None of the existing "legal" HF ham xcvrs can
transmit between 6900 and 7000 for instance. Snip, snip . .
>
w3rv

From -5345266154246640174

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:37:19 PST
Message-ID: <3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 09:36:09 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988122593
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 09:29:53 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!
feeder.via.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19977
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> And it's not just the new licensee that is involved. Who knows who he
> may inadvertantly and unknowingly expose to hazards?

Who knows when the aliens are going to land? Your paranoid delusions are getting out of hand, Dick. Do you try to interfere with everyone else's personal business in all walks of life or is it confined to the field of amateur radio?

> But "himself" isn't the only one that is involved.

But he has a right to privacy, Dick. He has a right to make his own mistakes. He doesn't appreciate you sticking your nose into his personal business. Please stop trying to protect him from himself. One man's danger

is another man's thrill.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1946842181822231188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:39:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AE58FBC.6E3E2C2@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 09:37:48 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424071258.13569.00000729@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9c41oe$rpg$2@208.207.71.171>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988122694 64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 09:31:34 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19978

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> > >A Tecbnician class license, obtainable easily from sitting through a
> > >single day of "cram school" ...

> >
> > Have you memorized the 1000 Russian words in a weekend to prove to everyone how
> > easy this is, Dick?
> >
> > I suspect not.
>
> You suspect right. I don't jumpo through your hoops, and I have no
> reason whatever to do any such thing. Go soak your head.

So you refuse to back up your assertions with any proof whatsoever.
Why are we not surprised?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5759180492361740155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:40:28 PST
Message-ID: <3AE5900F.B8569522@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 09:39:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kg0$njg$1@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E48E.A6BD7C68@IEEE.org> <9c2vip$pnm$1@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988122782
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 09:33:02 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19979

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I used to jump off two-story houses for fun. But what does falling have
> > to do with ham radio?
>
> You tell us...you brought it into the thread.

The discussion was airplanes falling out of the air, not hams falling
out of the air.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2136278506706393488
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:44:39 PST
Message-ID: <3AE59101.19E41632@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 09:43:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com> <3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com> <3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org> <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988123032

```
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 09:37:12 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15216
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19980

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > If you are going to
> > condemn all homosexual males for the actions of a few,
>
>  You can if you wish, I don't and didn't.

Sure sounds like it to me.

> I said that it is simply
> prudent to keep homosexual males from young boys based on a considerable
> body of experience. If you don't agree that's your problem.

Then you must admit that it is also prudent to keep heterosexual males
from young girls based on a considerable body of experience. You wouldn't
be a hypocrite would you? Has anyone kept you away from young girls
lately, Dick?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1107359268231722924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 07:47:59 PST
Message-ID: <3AE591C7.D9269C33@IEEE.org>
```

Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 09:46:31 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<9c41gp$rpg$0@208.207.71.171>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988123229
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 09:40:29 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15217
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19981

Dick Carroll wrote:
> You can be sure that keeping known adult homosexuals from leading Boy
> Scouts will prevent some cases of abuse, if not all.

You can be sure that keeping known adult heterosexual (males) from leading Girl Scouts will prevent some cases of abuse. You can be sure that staying home in bed will prevent auto accidents. Where does it all end?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6015056627470665106
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 08:02:05 PST
Message-ID: <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 10:00:40 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988124079
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 09:54:39 -0500)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15220
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19982

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > But you missed my Libertarian point. If the
> > Boy Scouts do not accept any public funding
> > then they should have a right to bar anyone
> > for any reason.

>
>   So what do you think of LA's recent decision to limit the boy
> scout's use of public facilities in that city, Cecil?

What we appear to be seeing is the effect of allowing the government to
own public facilities. That is akin to the devine right of kings and
Libertarians are against such.

Don't know the details, Dwight, but I can state the Libertarian position
in general. The individual who owns the property gets to make the rules
concerning the use of the property. "Public facilities" as they exist
today, would be phased out under Libertarianism.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2027549816650252424
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 08:02:30 PST
Message-ID: <3AE59527.E26EF33B@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 10:00:55 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988124103
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 09:55:03 -0500)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or

copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15221
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19983

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > But you missed my Libertarian point. If the
> > Boy Scouts do not accept any public funding
> > then they should have a right to bar anyone
> > for any reason.
>
>   So what do you think of LA's recent decision to limit the boy
> scout's use of public facilities in that city, Cecil?

What we appear to be seeing is the effect of allowing the government to
own public facilities. That is akin to the devine right of kings and
Libertarians are against such.

Don't know the details, Dwight, but I can state the Libertarian position
in general. The individual who owns the property gets to make the rules
concerning the use of the property. "Public facilities" as they exist
today, would be phased out under Libertarianism.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6248073362520124163
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 08:03:01 PST
From: Keith <nospamssdf@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 08:02:09 -0700
Reply-To: nworegon@excite.com
Expires: Thu, 7 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <3ae594aa$1_3@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Lines: 22
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!spamkiller.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spk!anonymous!127.0.0.1!spk
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19984

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 07:05:31 -0400, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
wrote:

>I don't think the Captain of that submarine should have received any
>form of punishment at all.

 When I was in Germany a Sergeant was court-martialed and imprisoned on
murder charges for truck driving accident. Fuck the Navy captain, he should
be up 9 negligent homicide charges and other charges.

--
Best Regards,

Keith
----
http://members.home.net/keithew1/nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio topics.
Amateur Radio is a international resource and asset.
Need a daily digest of rec.radio.swap newsgroup? Join
recradioswap at Yahoo! Groups.

From 686565054177803869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 08:03:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AE59542.BD1C18CA@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 10:01:22 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988124141
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 09:55:41 -0500)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15222
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19985

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > But you missed my Libertarian point. If the
> > Boy Scouts do not accept any public funding
> > then they should have a right to bar anyone
> > for any reason.
>
>   So what do you think of LA's recent decision to limit the boy
> scout's use of public facilities in that city, Cecil?

What we appear to be seeing is the effect of allowing the government to
own public facilities. That is akin to the divine right of kings and
Libertarians are against such.

Don't know the details, Dwight, but I can state the Libertarian position in general. The individual who owns the property gets to make the rules concerning the use of the property. "Public facilities" as they exist today, would be phased out under Libertarianism.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7601980943153213223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 08:14:36 PST
Message-ID: <3AE597F0.C6B5C980@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 10:12:48 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9c2j7l$kjv$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424070033.13569.00000725@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9c42ap$sj$0@208.207.71.171>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988124828 64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 10:07:08 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!feeder.via.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19986
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> In case you don't know, one
> cannot deliberately trash someone else then expect to receive the best

> sort of treatment in return.

To bad you are incapable of applying that rule to yourself. I have
posted a reasonable argument only to suffer an ad hominem attack
from you in return.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2105053721246712448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 08:19:36 PST
Message-ID: <3AE59920.1111C4D5@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 10:17:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C6D9.89C5E8F3@bctv.com> <3AE11A1C.9BB79512@IEEE.org>
<3AE21655.7768D118@bctv.com> <3AE26023.FC54A719@IEEE.org>
<3AE3D887.6BBE0D15@sccoast.net> <6aYE6.1997$QV4.159797@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE51DFB.87BC2824@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988125130
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 10:12:10 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!

```
feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19987

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   I know you don't like not having the ability to buy alcohol on
> Sunday, but you're not the sole resident of this country (and neither
> is Cecil).

Wonder what you will say when the tyranny of the majority is turned
upon you?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1914263920524265815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4bfc1f52789db745
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 08:21:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3ae4f7f7_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Subject: Re: Need Windows 98 compatiable CW Practice.
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <jRgF6.3380$QV4.282810@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 11:20:47 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 15:20:47 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19988

ssdf@jsfkljsdf.com says:

> I am looking for a morse code practice program for Windows 98.
>It can not be a DOS program because my sound card will not work
>with it and my computer has no PC speaker. I have hunted
>around and only find DOS type programs or programs that require
>a PC speaker.
>
>Anyone have any ideas?
```

There's a Windows based program called "NuMorse" at

http://www.jonal.net/marc/files.htm

It can use either the PC speaker or a soundcard for output. Have not used the program, so can't comment on how good it is, but I know some folks who've used it and liked it.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -5555794646372434959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 08:24:48 PST
From: Keith <nospamssdf@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 08:23:58 -0700
Reply-To: nworegon@excite.com
Expires: Thu, 7 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <3ae599c7$1_3@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Lines: 32
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!spamkiller.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spk!anonymous!127.0.0.1!spk

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19989

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 07:05:31 -0400, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
wrote:

>Since I know a good number here have military backgrounds, I'm sure
>most have followed the events surrounding the submarine accident

Let me help you put this Captain's punishment in prospective, Dwight.
When I was in the Army in Bad Kissengen Germany with the 3rd Infantry
Division a Private, the lowest rank in the US Army, refused a Sergeants order
to go into a garbage loader and find some lost military book. Then a officer
ordered him to do the same thing and he refused, demanding protection to help
from being exposed to disease.
For that "criminal act" he received 45 days restriction to barracks,
lost two months pay and was assigned to extra duty for 45 days. Upon
completion of that sentence he was kicked out of the Army, lost his entire
college fund of $30,000 and had to reimburse the Army his enlistment bonus.

And you think this Navy Captain who get a slap on the wrist and will retire
with a nice pension and benefits that negligently killed nine people due
to his dereliction of duty should not be punished?

--
Best Regards,

Keith

----
http://members.home.net/keithew1/nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio topics.
Amateur Radio is a international resource and asset.
Need a daily digest of rec.radio.swap newsgroup? Join
recradioswap at Yahoo! Groups.

From -6110297130589113851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 08:25:23 PST
Message-ID: <3AE59A6E.105D07A9@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 10:23:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net> <Bc_E6.2196$QV4.178951@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE53815.8BA2AF65@sccoast.net>

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.75
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988125477
64.240.47.75 (24 Apr 2001 10:17:57 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19990

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   They were not "practicing interception techniques."  They were
> engaging what they thought was a aircraft transporting drugs, with no
> flight plan filed and no response to radio challenges.

The pilot said he did file a flight plan and did identify himself
over the radio. There is even a recording of his frantic radio
messages.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 4230991611610576974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 08:41:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!uunet!dca.uu.net!
news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424071258.13569.00000729@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9c41oe$rpg$2@208.207.71.171>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
```

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <H8hF6.303$R5.1261555@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 11:40:22 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 988126887 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001 11:41:27 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 11:41:27 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19991

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > Have you memorized the 1000 Russian words in a weekend to prove to
everyone how
> > easy this is, Dick?

> You suspect right. I don't jumpo through your hoops, and I have no
> reason whatever to do any such thing. Go soak your head.

That is rude and insulting, Dick. Is this an example of how old timers are all gentlemen, as you implied in an earlier posts.

If the best you can manage is to devolve this into a petty insult, I see little reason to continue. It reminds me of my grammar school days when a kid would be caught being wrong and respond with an insult to try to salvage what little he could from a losing situation. I had expected better of you, Dick. I am disappointed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2653323122937785347
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 08:50:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 08:45:29 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9c471s$r2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <20010423064810.17773.00000687@ng-fl1.aol.com> <3ae470f3.9303021@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-34.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19992

Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ae470f3.9303021@news.mindspring.com...

> Additionally, very few hams actually DESIGNED and
> built their own station equipment. Most of us that
> did any homebrew usually followed a construction
> article from the pages of the ARRL handbook,
> QST, CQ magazine, Pop. Electronics and/or others.

But it's probably fair to say that hams did originate most of the ham radio designs in those publications.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 3285675263100570956
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 08:51:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: "Dev" <.>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 08:03:14 -0700
Organization: http://extra.newsguy.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9c44eh01253@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <b4caetcsh06u97ko565st3jhf1bqkvftko@4ax.com> <3ae580cb.217713173@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: goat-125-17.castlegar.netidea.bc.ca
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.scanner:16636 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19993

gee how old is it. besides the ordinance of over 60 years ago. Lol. it is funny. When do we ever call it Kilocycles anymore. or Megacyles.

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3ae580cb.217713173@news2.dvol.com...

```
> On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 07:54:40 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
> <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:
>
> >Keith <nospamssdf@home.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
> >
> >>13.10.010 Shortwave radio receiver defined.
> >>
> >>As used in this chapter, "shortwave radio receiver" means and includes
any
> >>radio receiver or other device capable of receiving messages or
> >>communications transmitted on any radio transmission station operating
on a
> >>frequency between 1600 kilocycles and 2500 kilocycles, or on a frequency
> >>between 30 megacycles and 40 megacycles, or between 150 megacycles and
160
> >>megacycles. (Ord. 5462 � 1, 1950: Ord. 4322 � 1, 1944.)
> >
> >Cool, almost all AM/FM radios would fall under that prohibition,
> >as they usually tune up to at least 1640kc, and many go up past 1700.
> >
> Notice the dates of these ordnances . . .
> >
> w3rv




From 3874057147748724317
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 09:03:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!news.good.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9c2hmk$gbb$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424070835.13569.00000727@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9c42eo$sj$1@208.207.71.171>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <ythF6.304$R5.1261653@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:02:37 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 988128222 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:03:42 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:03:42 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19994

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
```

> > As to my sourpusseshness, I pointed out that it is pretty petty to drop
your
> > League membership over something not important enough to remember. I
still find
> > that a bit odd.

> So you'd rather ignore that I dropped my membership becasue the ARRL
> sued the FCC instead you'd rather focus on the trivial reason why they
> did it. I see.

Dick, I have done several posts wrt your dropped membership. Ignoring it
would have meant that I didn't post at all.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5491055447159254111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 09:06:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!news.good.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9c2jbh$kjv$1@208.206.142.95> <20010424065756.13569.00000724@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9c41va$rpg$4@208.207.71.171>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <lwhF6.305$R5.1261615@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:05:35 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 988128401 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:06:41 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:06:41 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19995

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > Your allegation that I am not concerned when they don't is either
extreme
> > ignorance or a bold-faced lie. Can you explain which it is, Dick?

> Sure I'll explain it. You say that if they use their callsign you have
> no problem with them, them youstudiously ignore the second half of the

> issue. What does THAT mean if not that you'd rather ignore them?

Perhaps you are not aware of the number of conversations I have had with Brennan price on the various ways to address the 10-meter intrusion problems. I didn't mention it here because I thought we were all in agreement that 10-meter intrusion is a Bad Thing, so I didn't see a need to state the obvious. Obviously there was a need to state the obvious, so let me do so; 10-meter intrusion by people who are operating outside the scope of Part 97 is a bad thing.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 3785070825401287527
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 09:06:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-
for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 08:55:46 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9c48pd$cur$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-29-158.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.29.158 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19996
```

Keith posted:

> Apparently one of the murdered missionaries in Peru was a ham.
> Sadly they are the latest innocent victims in America's ever growing drug
> war.

Pardon my sarcasm, but I think in the final analysis, this woman and her

infant daughter will be written off as "collateral damage" by the CIA and
their Peruvian minions. Just like the kids at Waco, Oklahoma City and
Ruby Ridge. In their eyes, Law Enforcement is too important to worry about
civilians who wander into the line of fire.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

```
From -5016464072280193881
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 09:18:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 16:17:14 GMT
References: <3ae580cb.217713173@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010424121714.20460.00000078@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19997
```

```
>Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com  (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>Date: 4/24/01 9:35 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3ae580cb.217713173@news2.dvol.com>
>
>On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 07:54:40 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
><thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:
>
>>Keith <nospamssdf@home.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>>
>>>13.10.010 Shortwave radio receiver defined.
>>>
>>>As used in this chapter, "shortwave radio receiver" means and includes any
>>>radio receiver or other device capable of receiving messages or
>>>communications transmitted on any radio transmission station operating on a
>>>frequency between 1600 kilocycles and 2500 kilocycles, or on a frequency
```

```
>>>between 30 megacycles and 40 megacycles, or between 150 megacycles and 160
>>>megacycles. (Ord. 5462 � 1, 1950: Ord. 4322 � 1, 1944.)
>>
>>Cool, almost all AM/FM radios would fall under that prohibition,
>>as they usually tune up to at least 1640kc, and many go up past 1700.
>>
>Notice the dates of these ordnances . . .
>>
>w3rv
>
Yup - back when those frequencies were used by the LAPD.

73 de Jim, N2EY




From 3077812397224929278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 09:22:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!news.good.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9c2j7l$kjv$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424070033.13569.00000725@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9c42ap$sj$0@208.207.71.171>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 68
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <WKhF6.306$R5.1261643@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:21:09 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 988129334 209.140.206.200 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:22:14 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:22:14 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19998

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > Perhaps more hams would be gentle if certain old timers would start
setting a
> > good example and acting gentle instead of spouting insults and innuendo.

>   Maybe you'd better dig back into the archives and refresh your fading
> memory on the subject, OM and find out just who did what to whom. I
> don't take that sort of treaemant lightly.

I remember it well, Dick, and I spoke out against what I felt was
mistreatment back then, too. (Ask Carl.)  And they, like you, presumed that
```

I was speaking out because I disagreed with their views on code testing; I can't count the number of times that Carl Stevenson "knew" that I and ARRL had a "secret agenda" to keep code testing forever. It is unfortunate that things seem to have come full circle. I don't know what it is about being on the "losing" side that seems to bring out the worst in people.

> In case you don't know, one cannot deliberately trash someone else then
expect to
> receive the best sort of treatment in return.

Do you mean like one person telling another to go soak his head? ...

> Some people don't take well to that sort of abuse-that which was handed
out by so
> many of the codehaters posting here.

And who is handing out the abuse now?

> I'll never again have positive feelings about some of them and I
> don't see that changing.

My point exactly, Dick; you are perpetuating a cycle that will leave many newcomers not having positive feelings about you, old timers in general and the message that you think you are offering about the value of Morse code. In case you don't know, one cannot deliberately trash someone else then expect to receive the best sort of treatment in return. What really gets to me is that the conduct you are choosing is really undermining the only way we are going to have left to promote the use of Morse code.

> For sure you ignoring all they did and criticising me for responding won't
do it.

What is with you and this obsession with the word "ignoring," Dick? The reason I am not discussing the reprehensible conduct by some of the NCTA folks several years back is that, well, that was several years back and this is now. If you feel I am "ignoring" things that happened years ago because I don't discuss them now, I will agree with you, as long as we have made it clear what you mean by "ignoring."

>Yeah, it sure would have been nice if all this crap had never started. But
I didn't
> start it. I can't end it. But I won't capitulate to it.

Yes, you can end it, Dick; we are all responsible for our conduct and, if you meant the first half of your post, you know the net effect of the choices you are making right now in "not ending" it. Unfortunately, some of the rest of us have to watch you tear down Morse code and watch you discourage new hams from ever wanting to learn it.

Continue if you must, but don't try to say that someone "made" you do this. You are choosing to do and say everything you do and say and you are completely aware of the consequences and that you are perpetuating the crap.

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3018469997535026993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9028c066f7b451b1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 09:30:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 16:29:38 GMT
References: <3ae57f98.217406262@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Riley says 40M may be cut - restructuring a stroke of brilliance
Message-ID: <20010424122938.20460.00000081@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19999

>None of the existing "legal" HF ham xcvrs can
>transmit between 6900 and 7000 for instance. Snip, snip . .

My Southgate Type 7 transceiver already operates down to 6900 kHz. ;-)
Perfectly legal, too. (the rig, that is. I don't transmit with it below 7000).

Many of the most modern current rigs (Elecraft K2, FT-817, FT-1000MP, etc.) will simply need a firmware upgrade - easy way for the mfrs. to make a few bucks. Classic rigs like the S-line, Drake line and Heath "3395 second IF" rigs will simply need a new heterodyne xtal and a realignment. It's the rigs in the middle (too old to be supported by the mfrs. but new enough to be firmware-controlled) that will suffer the worst.

--

Which is better for ham radio: the 40 meter status quo mess that goes back at least as far as 1938, or accepting a shift to a nonharmonic assignments that eliminates sharing? Or possibly accepting the loss of 100 kHz in return for a worldwide 200 kHz exclusive amateur segment?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 6101688932790913135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4bfc1f52789db745
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 09:45:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "WW - KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Need Windows 98 compatiable CW Practice.
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 09:51:17 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9c44p0$l9i$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <3ae4f7f7_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-orl-02-10.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 988124768 21810 209.43.67.74 (24 Apr 2001 15:06:08
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Apr 2001 15:06:08 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20000
```

Check out the MorseCat program at http://www.qsl.net/dk5ci/ I have it on my Win 98 computer and it
works just fine. You can switch it to use the pc speaker or the sound card and speakers. Best part
is it's FREE not one of those shareware programs.

--
'73' From KB9TMP

"Only two things are infinite,
the universe and human stupidity,
and I'm not sure about the former."
-- Albert Einstein

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
http://www.deja.com
____
<ssdf@jsfkljsdf.com> wrote in message news:3ae4f7f7_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com...

> Hi,
> I am looking for a morse code practice program for Windows 98.
> It can not be a DOS program because my sound card will not work
> with it and my computer has no PC speaker. I have hunted
> around and only find DOS type programs or programs that require
> a PC speaker.
>
> Anyone have any ideas?
>
> Thanks,
>
>
>

```
From -2308994112599279262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 10:33:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3AE3FEEA.20EFE0E5@evl.net>
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ULiF6.103$rk6.2038@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 00:13:57 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.65.168.96
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988133492 63.65.168.96 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:31:32 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 12:31:32 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12191
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15224 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20001
```

"A Marzo" <aerome@evl.net> wrote in message
news:3AE3FEEA.20EFE0E5@evl.net...

>
> I wonder what suggestion or implementation all the folks that love to bash
> the new
> rules came up with as a solution to the problem of declining participants.
> I
> don't think I've ever read any on the groups. I guess they're too busy
> protecting
> their turf.

Don't you know, these guys don't care what happens to amateur radio. They want it to stay exactly the same as it was for the next 20 years, they're too rigid to adapt to any changes. Then in twenty years they'll be dead, and they could care less what happens after that. It's the infantile "I want what I want, and I want it NOW!" syndrome in a 50+ body. Too bad they're too big to spank.

Mark

K5MAR

From -3206074311909478201
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 11:50:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 11:46:10 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3AE5C9F2.9FE31FB4@uci.edu>
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c3nm1$gi5$0@216.155.33.106> <9c41ro$rpg$3@208.207.71.171> <3AE58BE7.99BB30D6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20002

W6RCecilA wrote:
> Who are those people, Dick, and do you think the FCC can be intimidated
> by their demands?

A partial list can be found at:

http://www.nocode.org/board.html

and, no. :-)

ac6xg

From 5029785115559016136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: fa029,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 12:35:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-

```
localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
In-Reply-To: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104241930020.4436-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=X-UNKNOWN
Content-Transfer-Encoding: QUOTED-PRINTABLE
Lines: 95
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 19:35:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.37
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988140930 12.80.44.37 (Tue, 24 Apr
2001 19:35:30 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 19:35:30 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.scanner:16640 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20003

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001, Keith wrote:
> 13.10.010 Shortwave radio receiver defined.
>=20
> As used in this chapter, "shortwave radio receiver" means and includes an=
y
> radio receiver or other device capable of receiving messages or
> communications transmitted on any radio transmission station operating on=
 a
> frequency between 1600 kilocycles and 2500 kilocycles, or on a frequency
> between 30 megacycles and 40 megacycles, or between 150 megacycles and 16=
0
> megacycles. (Ord. 5462 =A7 1, 1950: Ord. 4322 =A7 1, 1944.)=20

I guess that this now includes ALL new vehicles that have stock radios wher=
e
the "AM Broadcast Band" goes up to 1710.....

Also noted in that range is the 160m amateur band.  Federal regulations and
rulings override.  Licensed amateurs do not have to notify the sheriff as p=
er
the exception in "B" below.  FCC ruling 93-17 made that clear:  Licensed
amateurs are exempt from state and local "scanner" laws, including those
regarding scanners in vehicles.  [The ruling also involved amateur transmit=
ters
with "out of band reception capability."]

> 13.10.020 Installing or using shortwave radios in vehicles prohibited wit=
hout
> permit.
>=20
> Except as provided in Section 13.20.050 of this chapter, every person who=
,
> without obtaining a permit from the sheriff or from the forester and fire
> warden authorizing him to do so, equips any vehicle with, or operates any
> vehicle equipped with, a shortwave radio receiver, is guilty of an
> infraction. (Ord. 83-0066 =A7 90, 1983: Ord. 5462 =A7 2, 1950: Ord. 4322 =
=A7 2,
> 1944.)=20
>=20
> 13.10.050 Exemptions from permit requirements.
>=20
> The provisions of Section 13.10.020 of this chapter do not apply to:=20
>=20
> A. A vehicle owned or operated by the United States, the state of Califor=
nia,
> any county, city and county, or municipality of this state, or any
> subdivision, agency or instrumentality of the foregoing if the department
> head or other governmental officer in responsible control over the operat=
ion
> of such vehicle has expressly ordered, authorized or approved the use of =
a
> shortwave radio receiver therein;=20
>=20
> B. Any vehicle owned or operated by a person or association of persons
> licensed by the Federal Communications Commission to operate a fixed or
> mobile shortwave transmission station, one of the authorized purposes of
> which is to communicate by radio with such vehicle or with other vehicles
> owned or operated by such person or association. The owner of such vehicl=
e
> coming within the foregoing exemption shall inform the sheriff of such us=
e
> and give him the license number of the vehicle. Failure to do so is a
> misdemeanor;=20
>=20
> C. Mobile telephone equipment for use in conjunction with communication
> service furnished by public utilities under the jurisdiction of the Publi=
c
> Utilities Commission of the state of California or the Federal Communicat=
ions
> Commission. (Ord. 4861 =A7 1, 1947; Ord. 4322 =A7 4, 1942.)=20
>=20
>=20
> 13.10.070 Violation -- Penalty.
>=20
> Violation of this chapter is an infraction punishable by:=20
>=20
> A. A fine not exceeding $50.00 for a first violation;=20
>=20
> B. A fine not exceeding $100.00 for a second violation within one year;=
=20
>=20
> C. A fine not exceeding $250.00 for each additional violation within one
> year. (Ord. 12264 =A7 1, 1980: Ord. 4322 =A7 7, 1942.)=20
>=20
```

```
>=20
> 13.10.080 Severability.
>=20
> If any provisions of the ordinance codified in this chapter, or the
> application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the
> remainder of such ordinance, and the application of such provision to oth=
er
> persons or circumstances, shall not be affected thereby. (Ord. 4322 =A7 6=
,
> 1942.)=20




From -9107223012906536322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 12:50:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: 24 Apr 2001 19:08:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <9c4iut01p3o@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3ae57146.743094079@news.accessunited.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-361.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12196
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15226 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20004

In article <3ae57146.743094079@news.accessunited.com>, 2w8ji@akorn.net says...

>Kids are not excited by radio....PERIOD.
>
>It is old, it has no mystique, it is nothing special. It like getting
>excited about a telephone., or a cell phone.
>
>The problem is not CW, or a lack of CW. CW is meaningless, except to
>those who use it.
>
>>Second, the ARRL can't win.  If they support all hams passing a 30 WPM code
>>test, the coders are happy and everyone else gets pissed.  If they support
```

>>no-code, all the old timers that cling to the past get pissed. They can't
>>win.
>
>Getting new activity has nothing to do with old-timers or new timers.
>Radio used to be cutting edge, and now it isn't. People used to like
>to fuss around with stuff and build stuff, now they don't.
>
>The world changes, and the current trend is away from working for
>anything..or learning how stuff actually works.
>
>The effect of eliminating code is simply to piss off the people who
>enjoy CW, and it will certainly NOT rekindle interest is old stale
>technology like radio.
>
>It isn't old timers against "new age" radio operators. It is people
>who grew up loving radio and who were thrilled by the concept of
>talking to people all over the world trying to understand how people
>view it as something no more magic than a telephone.
>
>Hell, look at CB. It peaked and died way off. The only real activity
>that is increasing is pirates without tickets of any kind. They are on
>160, 80, 30,20,15, and ten meters...and there are more every month.
>That's where the future of radio is...in not having ANY license. And
>nothing we can do will change that, all we can do is make it more
>uncomfortable for people who used to enjoy it.
>
>73 Tom

Great post! The few kids that have an interest in radio usually have a parent or other older relative that's a ham. Most of the technogeeks today are interested in computers.

Burt Fisher, who is a bane of this newsgroup because of *how* he says things, has essentially been saying the same thing for years. Ham radio is predominately a hobby for white, overweight, retirees who need something to keep them away from their wives. Younger people who a avid hams are usually social outcasts in their peer group. One only needs to attend a large hamfest to confirm this. Dayton draws quite a few characters. (I usually get a laugh from the ones who plaster antennas all over themselves)

Wayne Green amuses me when he gets on his high horse about ham radio's dwindling ranks. The interest isn't there. Even if it is, kids will grow bored with ham radio when they hear a bunch od old men on the HF frequencies talking about the weather, health problems, or "rig hr is...". As for contests, I'm tired of those after about 15 minutes. The same goes for DX and other "wallpaper" collecting.

...and if you dare to trash morse code testing - in particular, the now-defunct high-speed code testing - you're a liberal, communist, pinko (or to quote Rush Limbo, a "long-haired, dope-smoking, FM type".)

```
From -2551420711652866267
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:41:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 14:41:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9c1eu5$7rd$1@208.206.142.108>
References: <9c086v$e2$0@208.206.142.101> <20010423062659.17773.00000678@ng-
fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.108
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19803
```

W1RFI wrote:

```
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Sure. The side that moved down onto the group residing there, of
> >course.
>
> Has that happened when digital modes "moved onto" "our" frequencies?
>
> >So then you have CW ops at a KW competing with SSB ops
> >running at least that level. Result? Chaos.
>
> Many nations of the world do not regulate by sub-band.  Can you offer any
> examples of nations that have had such a mode war?
```

  Can you offer any examples of other nations who have HF licensed
(1.5KW authorized and frequently used on SSB) in the high numbers that
we have?
 I thought not. In fact I was sure of it.

```
From -1322359409499900471
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:42:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 14:42:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9c1f0e$7rd$2@208.206.142.108>
References: <9c0ajb$87c$1@208.206.142.101> <20010423062818.17773.00000679@ng-
fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.108
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19804



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Cecil has said that his primary interest in ham radio is in
> >experimenting iwth antennas, so it should come as no surprise that he
> >know so little about what goes on on the air.
>
> I operate a fair amount in the lower portion of the HF bands, Dick, and I
> haven't heard this mode war.


 And there has been no move to eliminate mode segments yet. Stick around
and see what happens when NCI gets that one through.


From 6095820467059875964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 07:53:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 23 Apr 2001 14:53:00 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9c1fkc$c0u$0@208.206.142.108>
References: <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101> <20010423063100.17773.00000681@ng-
```

fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.108
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19805

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >So why do you insist on playing coy, Ed? Do you really believe that
> >none of the anticoders would dump code from ham radio instantly if they
> >just had the opportunity?
>
> I do not believe that they would try, and I believe even less that they would
> succeed. Unlike you, I need to have a reason to think nefarious thoughts of
> someone and I lack your skills at knowing people's secret thoughts and
> motivations.

Ah, so everyone alway says exactly what they mean, and always means
exactly what they say.Especialaly whren there is an Agenda at work.
After 8 years of Bill Clinton, you still subscribe. Right.

From 1443984500694502991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 08:00:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 23 Apr 2001 15:00:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9c1g2t$c0u$1@208.206.142.108>
References: <9c0enc$jb9$2@208.206.142.101> <20010423065525.17773.00000690@ng-
fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.108
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19806

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >You're amazing. You live in Texas and you don't know that horses are
> >very much used on ranches to work cattle.
>
> And Morse code is used on the HF bands for weak-signal work and for the pure
> enjoyment of using it.
>
> Do I assume correctly that in Texas, one is not required to demonstrate
> proficiency in using a horse before one is given a license to drive a car?
>

Not likely, but he sure is before the roundup.

From -5056933366189356251
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 08:09:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 23 Apr 2001 15:09:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9c1gig$eaf$0@208.206.142.108>
References: <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101> <20010423063211.17773.00000682@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.108
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19807

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > To quote Bill Sohl in a very recent posting "Times change, positions
> >change.."
> >(or at least that's the overt explanation).

>
> Seeing as you are the one who possesses the magical skill of reading people's
> minds, would you be willing to share the covert explanation with us?
>
> Can you teach us how to fathom the unknowable so we can do it, too?

If you can read you already know but prefer to avoid it. It can be a bit unpleasant to reach disturbing conclusions from all the small tips and hints that aggregate into a clear 'position'. Such smokescreening is done routinely, in fact little in politics is done otherwise. I suppose ham radio is different, though. Right.

From 351997110829982910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,aac7afc8ade749a9,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 08:15:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!webtv.net!not-for-mail
From: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Lost Amateur..
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 11:05:13 -0400 (EDT)
Organization: WebTV Subscriber
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <1080-3AE444A9-109@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: localhost.webtv.net
Mime-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: Quoted-Printable
X-WebTV-Signature: 1
     ETAtAhRCHQPX1noQJ3wMndhLfllHRtnYcQIVAL+Wm6uiK81efrUep4KIQ4ICkn7K
Content-Disposition: Inline
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19808

..keeps insisiting I play here. I always decline his invitation, but he has quite a temper, as evidenced below. Anyways, he's home now. Hope y'all can hold onto him. I can't keep any more errant strays.

rec.radio.amateur.policy =A0 Re: CB channels now expanded...???
Path:newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.e=
arthlink.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post=
-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
 From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...??? Date: Thu, 19 Apr 2001
14:08:46 -0400 Organization: Posted via Supernews,
http://www.supernews.com Message-ID: <tduadve7p2k1e7@corp.supernews.com>
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com =A0From: "Leland
C.Scott"<no-spam@junk-mail.net>

And the ever present coincidental path...:
=A0=A0=A0
 Re: this newsgroup has gone too far
Path:newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.e=
arthlink.net!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post=
-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: this newsgroup is really getting out of hand
Date: Sat, 21 Apr 2001 05:12:50 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com

Y'all have a good day!

From -3068873146373464133
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 08:33:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 23 Apr 2001 15:33:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9c1i0d$i0i$0@208.206.142.108>
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
<3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
<3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org> <9c0agm$87c$0@208.206.142.101>
<3ae436d4.6851105@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.108
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19809

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> For the most part, freebander activities are of litle
> consequence to legitamate hams...as long as that

> freebanding stays out of the ham bands. Presumably,
> the freebanding is in that "no man's land" of spectrum
> that seems to have no stated users at all.

That was the prevailing attitude of most hams-until the illegals DID begin infringing on the ham bands. And now easy ham radio licenses brings them on into ham radio, to use their callsign or not, as whim and who is on the other end of the instant QSO dictates.

>
> I have posted this before...the best counter to
> freebanding is to give the "freeband" back to
> hams and then the hams will be proactive
> users and monitors of any illegal activity which
> can be then passed on (reports, data, audio tapes)
> to the FCC for enforcement.

Uh, the 'freeband' never did belong to ham radio, none of it is within international allocations (the freebsand is wherever in the specrum participants want it to be), and even if that could be done, FCC would no more be able to police it than it now is. That's a solution?

> If the freebanders just operate in the freeband space, who
> really cares? That's no different than me not worrying
> if somebody is speeding on a deserted highway.

That's the attitude that has allowed that sctivity to expans so enormously.

From -116774687112494743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 08:37:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<20010422205835.12542.00000712@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3AE3F4A6.87F5EB6C@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200

Message-ID: <m%XE6.2394$kh.1726377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 15:37:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 988040274 24.16.178.66 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 08:37:54 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 08:37:54 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19810

Dwight,

Thanks for the clarification. I did not intend for code to be abolished.
Code is just another mode for which there should be no specific testing.
After all, there is no testing for packet.

-jim

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3AE3F4A6.87F5EB6C@sccoast.net...
> "Jim Wayda" wrote...
> >
> > The bottom line is that we need to
> > eliminate code entirely and not worry
> > about classifications based upon code
> > speed. Code is a thing of the past.
> > It's time to get over it!!
>
>
>   Oh, come on, guys (Ed, Richard, Larry, Cecil, and Dick). Read the
> darn subject line. When Jim said to that "we need to eliminate code
> entirely," he was obviously addressing the subject (code testing). He
> even mentioned "classifications based upon code speed," which is a
> testing issue having little to do with people using code on the radio.
> And he is right - when it comes to code testing, code probably should
> be a thing of the past.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 3363102965490686089
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 08:49:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C6D9.89C5E8F3@bctv.com> <3AE11A1C.9BB79512@IEEE.org>
<3AE21655.7768D118@bctv.com> <3AE26023.FC54A719@IEEE.org>
<3AE3D887.6BBE0D15@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <6aYE6.1997$QV4.159797@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 11:49:22 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 15:49:22 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19811

Dwight Stewart says:

>It appears you're not the only person who feels he has inalienable
>right to buy alcohol on Sunday?

Why does anyone have a problem with Cecil buy beer on Sunday? What is different about having a tall cool one on Sunday as opposed to any other day of the week?

The police have enough work to keep them busy regulating automobile traffic, preventing robberies and crimes of violence and helping lost children and little old ladies find their way home. As long as the police confine themselves to such activities they are respected friends of the public. But as soon as they begin inquiring into people's private morals or enforcing blue laws, they become nothing more than armed clergymen.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -3598149666049683511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 09:19:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!193.251.151.101!opentransit.net!wanadoo.fr!teaser.fr!codeine.org!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 12:19:31 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:19:31 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19812

Steve Robeson LPN in a fit of patriotic fervor exclaimed:

>"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

Due to lack of hostile targets in peacetime, preparation for war can consist of vectoring allied fighters to shoot down missionary planes, and sinking fishing boats full of schoolchildren.

72.5, de Hans, K0HB

```
From 5801601428137768532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 09:42:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151> <20010421145621.29813.00000441@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <ZXYE6.2077$QV4.167682@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 12:42:33 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:42:33 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19813
```

In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, Dick Carroll blurted out:

> Do you really believe that none of the anticoders would dump code
> from ham radio instantly if they just had the opportunity?

> But the drive to abolish mode allocations in the HF
> bands, should it ever come to fruition, might change
> that opportunity.

Since CW is allowed virtually everywhere (no other mode enjoys that status in the US) and is the second most popular mode of operation on HF, your premise that Morse might be banned not only lacks credibility -- it is outright laughable!

I don't doubt that some whacko might propose such a ban, but only a complete paranoid would take the idea seriously.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -1207715374554123746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 10:22:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.newsread.com!bad-news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!
newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <3ae45f14.672889194@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae436ef.133262628@news2.dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 55
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 17:22:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.35
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988046525 216.1.128.35 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:22:05
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:22:05 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12152
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15192 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19814

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:18:57 GMT, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly,
w3rv) wrote:

>On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 07:20:16 GMT, anti-arrl@worthless_org.org (Ringo
>Ranger) wrote:
>>It's either the sin of commission or the sin of omission. I guess the ARRL
>>will get what it wants, to dumb down amateur radio further. This time it
>>won't have to lift a finger to do it. They can come out looking like the
>>good-guy. Thank Ed, checks in the mail.
>>
>. . . it's called the Newington Wuss Factor.
>>
>>Ringo
>>
>w3rv

That's right.

First the ARRL goes ahead and takes away space because they wanted
incentive licensing. That's OK, we should have some goals for
advancement! Everyone got used to that.

Second, the FCC changes testing. Now the same tests are easier to pass. That's OK, it's the trend of the world. But clearly the Extra class is not really "extra" any longer.

Finally, the FCC takes space and effort away by allowing everone to have what amounts to an automatic upgrade. Holders of ALL classes of tickets with more than a "crude test" of 13 wpm are cheated by allowing people to have the same privledges with less effort.

Of course they did that mostly as a result of Kenwood and the no-work people.

Now the ARRL, in spite of overwhelming response that says keep CW, decides they just won't say anything if someone wants to remove CW requirements.

What a bunch of money grabbing fools. Radio isn't dying because of CW, or testing. Radio is dying because no one has the time or wants to make the effort to learn anything. It is no longer magic to sit in a room and talk to people half-way around the world. There is little time or incentive to build things, and anyone who does like to build things can learn more on internet than they ever can on the ham bands.

Ham radio is dying because the world has changed, not because of CW. It will not live no matter what is done, because people aren't all that interested.

All this no-code stuff does is screw up the time we have left, by moving amateur radio towards CB. Hell, CB even died way off. Why would anyone thing Ham radio should be any better at surviving the changes in society?

73 Tom

From -457863889355044845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 10:24:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!iad-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc908y0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com>
<20010422205733.12760.00000708@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 23
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 17:24:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988046681 63.178.69.158 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001
17:24:41 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 17:24:41 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19815

On 23 Apr 2001 00:57:33 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>>>My town has a height limit of 8' foot off the roof and the tallest rooftop
>>>is 35' in our town or 8' off the ground.
>
>>Well you can do alot of antenna work in that 8' space.
>
>I don't think that his regulations would stand the test of PRB-1.  He may
>benefit from the advice of counsel.

  The (in)famous 8 and 12 foot limits come from the BOCA and UBC for
  roof-mounted antennas not requiring a full analysis/building permit.

  BOCA/UBC = "safety" standards, not "zoning" regulations, and
  therefore give the municipalities a lot more wriggle room,
  preemption nothwithstanding.



--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
   ARRL Volunteer Counsel





From -8125627653899028527
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 10:24:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc90xq1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <9br12c$nr7$0@208.207.71.151> <20010421144535.29813.00000437@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <9c1e0r$7rd$0@208.206.142.108>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 30
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 17:24:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988046682 63.178.69.158 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001
17:24:42 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 17:24:42 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19816

On 23 Apr 2001 14:25:31 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>> >No, FCC has been sued *by ARRL* a few times. Last time I dropped my
>> >membership it was because they sued FCC over something I considered to
>> >be far less important than the ill will the lawsuit was certain to
>> >generate at FCC HQ.
>>
>> Dick, that was apparently before my time.  Can you offer any information about
>> the nature of this lawsuit?
>
>
> As I said, I frankly don't remember the reason but it seemed entirely
>inappropriate and trivial at the time, to me. Maybe they were trying to
>get FCC's attention. I imagine they suceeded.

  The last one that I find in the comm law digest is when the
  FCC proposed giving more of the joint ISM-amateur shared bands to
  the non-licensed Part 15 bunch, and the ARRL filed suit in the DC
  Circuit (U S Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit)
  for an immediate stay preventing the FCC's decision from going into
  effect (and the wireless industry flooding the market with gadgets),
  pending the results of further interference studies which were
  underway.  Just as happened with the earlier Petition for
  Reconsideration, "The Fix Was In" and the court felt that there was
  no need for an immediate stay.  Score: Goldberg 2, Imlay 0.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From 6504069292992304843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 10:28:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE46677.6896@bellsouth.net>
```

```
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 85
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:29:27 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.184.212
X-Trace: news2.atl 988046905 209.214.184.212 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:28:25 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:28:25 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19817
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

>
>> What "required knowledge," Bill? A cop doesn't have to be an expert
> to recognize and gather evidence. For example, a cop doesn't have to
> be a gun expert to pick up stray bullets or shell casings off the
> ground for evidence. Or a gun expert to recognize a sawed off
> shotgun. Or a medical expert to recognize an injury has occurred. Or
> a alcohol expert to recognize the intoxicated. Or a drug expert to
> recognize illegal drugs. Or an electronics expert to recognize what a
> television is before deciding it is stolen. And the same with almost
> everything else the cop does.

>
> And there is no reason to believe that it will be any different when
> it comes to enforcing CB regulations. The only skills a cop will need
> is the ability to recognize an illegal device and gather it for
> evidence.

And what if quasi-marine style "Rambo" cop decides to confiscate my legal IC706 because he is convinced that I am the "CBer" causing the interference to the complainant? You see, Dwight, I know of cops like that around here. Once they strap on a gun and a badge, they think their sh** don't stink, they are right regardless, and a citizen is always wrong. Have you ever tried to explain something to one of THOSE kinds of cops, Dwight? Have you ever been told, "You better shut up, boy, or you'll go to jail"? Even though you were RIGHT, and KNEW it, and only got out, "But, sir, I.......", you ever been yelled at by a Rambo cop even when you had done nothing wrong? What happens when you pull out a license that is SUPPOSED to end the episode, and, not amenable to having his "authority" challenged, he ignores your attempts to explain?

I had one incident where I was able to humiliate an NC Highway Patrolman and was damn glad to do it. I, as a member of CAP, was called to respond to the crash of a light Cessna many years ago. Appointed as temporary Incident Commander by the state authorities, I arrived with two primary duties. One was to secure the area from spectators for the NTSB, the other was to silence the ELT. I arrived just a few minutes after the pilot had been taken away, and drove up to find an NCHP trooper snapping out orders to get spectators to move their cars--which was great. Resplendent in his black and grey uniform, Smokey Bear hat, and the requisite flight sunglasses, he was the epitome of military spit and polish.

I drove up, got out of the car and approached the twisted wreck to locate and silence the ELT. Upon noticing me approaching the craft, he whirled, and yelled, "Just what th' HELL do you think YOU'RE doin'? GET OUT OF THERE---NOW!" I stopped and waited as he marched quickly over to me. I introduced myself, started to explain why I was there, "I have orders to......." "I DON'T GIVE A DAMN WHO YOU ARE, YOU LEAVE THE AREA RIGHT NOW OR I WILL ARREST YOU"----in spite of the fact that I was in uniform which should have told him I wasn't just a curious bystander. I returned to the vehicle, got on the HF radio and informed the NC Wing Emergency Services Officer that the trooper had ordered me away. Col. Reese told me to stand by just a minute. He phoned the NCHP HQ in Raleigh. As the trooper once again approached, likely to tell me to drive away. his outside speaker blared, "F741, this is Salisbury." He went to answer. Not knowing what the message was, the speaker once again blared out loudly, "F741, you have a uniformed Civil Air Patrol officer on scene, right?" (answer not known) "TROOPER xxxxxxx, YOU WILL COOPERATE WITH ANY AND ALL CAP MEMBERS ON SCENE AND FOLLOW ANY REASONABLE REQUESTS THEY MIGHT HAVE, IS THAT UNDERSTOOD?!" All this before the civilians he was threatening a few moments before! A much chastened and angry trooper emerged from his car--to the giggles and smirks of those who had witnessed his overbearing and unprofessional conduct towards me. He stared daggers at me during the whole time he was on scene, but never gave me further grief. I just smirked at him every chance I got.

THAT is the kind of officer I am talking about: the one who THINKS he knows it all and the kind that some hapless ham is going to run into someday IF local LEOS do, in fact, enforce regulations. That is what I have always objected to about the local enforcement thing. Most cops are decent, hard working, down to earth people. But there are those who think they can do no wrong.

And *IF* it should happen to me, there will be a lawsuit--guaranteed--if it costs me thousands!

73

Jerry

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 7667674013340480320
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 10:29:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!129.250.35.146!iad-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc91j42.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 16
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 17:29:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988046981 63.178.69.158 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001
17:29:41 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 17:29:41 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19818

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:19:31 GMT, K0HB wrote:

>Steve Robeson LPN in a fit of patriotic fervor exclaimed:
>
>>"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."
>
>Due to lack of hostile targets in peacetime, preparation for war can consist of
>vectoring allied fighters to shoot down missionary planes, and sinking fishing
>boats full of schoolchildren.

  Let's see what today's Admiral's Mast comes up with......

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -5773161815913961654
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9028c066f7b451b1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 10:32:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!62.112.0.25!
```

```
newsfeed.online.be!ams.uu.net!news.mailgate.org!www.ziplip.com!not-for-mail
From: w2dne@ziplip.com ("w2dne")
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Riley says 40M may be cut - restructuring a stroke of brilliance
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 17:32:23 +0000 (UTC)
Organization: Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <200104231732.f3NHWLt27956@mail.mailgate.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: www.ziplip.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mailgate.org 988047143 27959 216.33.158.118 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001
19:32:22 +0200)
X-Complaints-To: www.ziplip.com@abuse.net abuse@mailgate.org
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 17:32:23 +0000 (UTC)
Mail-From: w2dne@ziplip.com from www.ziplip.com [216.33.158.118]
X-URL: http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19819
```

Pleasant Hills, PA, 14 April 2001 -- The FCC Enforcement Bureau's Special Counsel for Amateur Radio, Riley Hollingsworth K4ZDH, spoke for over 45 minutes to a crowd of over 50 Amateur Radio operators at the Blue Flame restaurant on Route 51 this morning. It was one of two talks he was scheduled to give today in the Pittsburgh area, the second being that evening in Monroeville at the Palace Inn hotel.

Hollingsworth covered a wide variety of topics involving the FCC and the Amateur Radio Service, covering enforcement issues, possible International regulatory changes, and some of his views for the future of the Amateur service.

He emphasized several times the importance of "how we sound on the air" both domestically and internationally, and how critical that was at upcoming ITU conferences with other countries - conferences where the rule was, large or small, that "one county(has) one vote -- just like we do." He warned the crowd that there was a good chance that the 40 Meter Amateur band would be reduced by 1/3 (from 300 to 200 kHz wide) at the next World Administrative Radio Conference, and that it was very important that we conduct ourselves well on the air. "Operate as if the whole world is listening!"

On cases of interference and other enforcement issues, Hollingsworth emphasized the need for vigilance against the "proliferation of all that junk out there," citing some specific cases.

He urged amateurs to "hear it, document it, and notify the FCC," and that while it may take 3 to 5 years to get back up to full enforcement levels of years past, enforcement IS back but we should not become complacent about it. He also reminded the audience that enforcement alone won't save Amateur Radio, as "there is no rule against being stupid" on the air.

Hollingsworth compared the existing Amateur Radio spectrum to New York's Central Park, reminding the audience that it was "worth billions" and would become a target if the Amateur service did not manage itself properly. He pointed out that the new demands for spectrum today compared to as little as 15 years ago, and emphasized the need for the Amateur Radio community to have a strong National voice and presence - and that the American Radio Relay League is all we have.

Hollingsworth also discussed briefly the growing problem of restrictive zoning, deeds and covenants, mentioning some of the "horror stories" that the FCC had heard about CC&R's only disclosed at the closing or settlement, when it was too late for the home buyer to do anything about it. And he brought up some recent legislation in Texas that would have essentially prohibited all towers (including Amateur) over 25 feet high throughout the state, that the ARRL was able to resolve in our favor. He emphasized that the FCC would only take action to strengthen PRB-1 over deed restrictions if the Amateur community continued to provide the FCC with factual information and insist that action be taken.

Hollingsworth also had praise for the Amateur community in their efforts to innovate new communications techniques, specifically mentioning the new digital PSK-31 mode as something "amazing" and a "big draw for people." He urged the audience to "spark your own enthusiasm" on this and other new communication modes.

"Amateur Radio is at a crossroads right now," and Hollingsworth added that with the convergence of computer and radio technology, we have "the greatest potential" to grow in the last 20 to 25 years.

He pointed out that while it was important to try and draw younger people into the Service, we should remember that Amateur Radio appeals to all age groups. He also urged the Amateur community to continue to train and educate incoming amateurs, stating that "we
have a duty to teach new operators everything we know."
But he also urged us to "stay away from the negative operators" and to not "give them a safe harbor "as the survival of Amateur Radio was at stake. "Negative operators need to learn that Amateur Radio is not the training ground for "the next Jerry Springer," and that with the technology now available to the FCC monitoring stations (despite the closing of many local field offices) that they "can and will be caught, sooner or later."

He also urged Amateurs to get more publicity out about themselves and what they do, stating that "we're not equipped to give ourselves credit." He cited the publicity following recent pirate attacks on shipping in the Caribbean as an example of what we should be doing, and singling out ABC's "20/20" program for getting the story
right - "the best publicity we've had in 20 years." But the lack of publicity of the importance of Amateur Radio to the Hurricane Watch and other events of that scope is something we need to do more about.

On the matter of the Amateur Service restructuring, Hollingsworth emphasized that it was "done" and that we would look back on this at the end of the decade as a "stroke of brilliance." He also pointed out that a side effect has been an upswing in the use by Amateurs of Morse Code communication. More Amateurs are turning or returning to the mode since higher speeds are no longer mandated, citing the analogy "the best way to kill a good book is to put it on the required reading list." He felt that this showed that it wasn't the code speed that was important, but the code itself.

Hollingsworth also touched briefly on the positive relationship that the ARRL President is developing with the FCC commissioners, and that the ARRL and that the ARRL will be giving a presentation and demonstration to the FCC Commissioners once all of the new members have been seated. He brought up that FCC Chairman Powell is very enthusiastic and thinks very positively of the Amateur service. But he also brought up that without Senator Barry Goldwater or FCC Commissioner Lee in Washington any more, we have "no built in safety mechanism" or "insurance policy" and that it is up to us. He also discussed the ARRL's upcoming Big Project to try and put an Amateur station in every elementary school in the country, and the great potential that AMSAT-OSCAR 40 will present to us, even with all of it's problems.

He concluded by reminding everyone present that we need to realize "what a great gift (Amateur Radio) is," but that like any great gifts, it comes with great responsibilities too.

This EMail distributed by:
Army Telecommunications Directorate
Fort Huachuca, Arizona

* Get free, secure online email at http://www.ziplip.com/ *

--
Posted from www.ziplip.com [216.33.158.118]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

From 1374755784368842584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 10:37:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:38:32 -0400

NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.184.212
X-Trace: news2.atl 988047449 209.214.184.212 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:37:29 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:37:29 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19820

K0HB wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson LPN in a fit of patriotic fervor exclaimed:
>
> >"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."
>
> Due to lack of hostile targets in peacetime, preparation for war can consist of
> vectoring allied fighters to shoot down missionary planes, and sinking fishing
> boats full of schoolchildren.
>
> 72.5, de Hans, K0HB

Yeah, I know--let's just grow our hair long, move to Haiut (sic) Asbury,
play guitars, have love-ins. Let's just get rid of all our defensive
weapons and EVERYBODY in the world will join us in peace. Typical
drippy-hippy, leftist tripe. It is that very preparation for war that
prevents it. And no one goes out and practices on innocent civilians.
At least not the Western nations.

And anyone not prepared to fight for freedon must be prepared to LOSE
it. I don't know whose that is--it just seemed appropriate.

Jerry
K4KWH

From 2012979801140959695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 10:39:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE4691E.477A@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADFA914.9AAAB53D@sccoast.net> <3ADFBB48.DD3922D1@IEEE.org>
<3AE10BB4.D72E4470@sccoast.net> <3AE11BDA.E2B86B23@IEEE.org>
<3AE20E0D.2DB@bellsouth.net> <3AE26069.5B2E28FA@IEEE.org>

```
<3AE37618.76E4@bellsouth.net> <3AE39CF9.9AC4EF73@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:40:47 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.184.212
X-Trace: news2.atl 988047584 209.214.184.212 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:39:44 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:39:44 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19821

Touche, Cecil, touche.

Jerry

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > > Are you sincere in your beliefs, or are you just putting us on?
> > >
> > > Yes
> >
> > Then why swear on a Bible if it's just a book of "myths"?  "Wouldn't
> > a Playboy do?
>
> I thought it was a pretty humorous thing to say. Haven't you got something
> better to do, Jerry, like sack Constantinople again?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -8556533736853073225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 10:55:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE46CDB.60E@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 43
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:56:43 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.184.212
X-Trace: news2.atl 988048541 209.214.184.212 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:55:41 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:55:41 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15193
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19822

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > ....and I'm supposed to be "TOLERANT" of these people?
> >
> > Hitler was a heterosexual.
>
> How do you know? There is a school of thought that says otherwise.
>
> Generalizations are dangerous. So is ignoring a large body of
> experience which shows trends that are dangerous. Many homosexual men
> are known to prey specifically on young boys. Should parents just ignore
> that fact since they are a minority of homosexual men?

Yup,

Dick and I exchanged a couple emails about this very thing. The trouble is that when you mix in a group of "dominant" personalities, this case gay scoutmasters or older scouts who are gay, the young ones are vulnerable due tho the fact that at the ages of 11-13, they are awakening to a whole new set of changes in their bodies and minds. They are curious, eager for information, and even confused about the things that are happening to them. So if a "gay" person (still like the original terms like queer, fag, fairy, etc, because they fit better) kinda brings up the subject of sex to the younger ones, it is possible to lead them into something they are not equipped to deal with--which is why there are age of consent laws. The older Scouts are more settled and not as impressionable--and they are bigger so they can whup hell outta someone that tries that! LOL!

It has always made me wonder why the queers are so all-fired bent on getting into Scouts by and while rubbing their lifestyle in our faces. They already CAN participate in Scouts! All they gotta do is join, do the activities, keep quiet about their sexual prefs., and keep their

hands to themselves. But can they DO that? I would rather deny the
privilege to one group in order to protect our most precious resource:
our kids. Better safe than sorry sounds about right.

73

Jerry

From -4448108551200755641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 11:08:55 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <Bc_E6.2196$QV4.178951@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:08:33 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:08:33 GMT
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news.bnb-lp.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19823

Jerry Oxendine says:

> And no one goes out and practices on innocent civilians.
> At least not the Western nations.

Over the weekend, US and Peruvian warplanes cooperated in shooting
down an unarmed missionary flight, killing Veronica Bowers, KD4CKM
and her infant daughter, while practicing interception techniques.

A few weeks ago a US warship sank a Japanese fishing boat, killing
9, including schoolchildren, while practicing surfacing their boat.

Last I checked, both the US and Peru were "Western nations".

Good luck on this one now.

>And anyone not prepared to fight for freedon must be prepared to LOSE
>it.

You can't even spell freedom. <BSEG>

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 5511877609539507696
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 11:12:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!
feeder.via.net!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!
feed1.onemain.com!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc93543.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 18
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:12:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988049574 63.178.69.158 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001
18:12:54 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:12:54 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19824
```

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 13:38:32 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>Yeah, I know--let's just grow our hair long, move to Haiut (sic) Asbury,
>play guitars, have love-ins. Let's just get rid of all our defensive
>weapons and EVERYBODY in the world will join us in peace. Typical
>drippy-hippy, leftist tripe. It is that very preparation for war that
>prevents it. And no one goes out and practices on innocent civilians.
>At least not the Western nations.
>

>And anyone not prepared to fight for freedon must be prepared to LOSE
>it. I don't know whose that is--it just seemed appropriate.

Are you preaching to U S Navy Master Chief (Ret.) Hans Brakow?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 9039295396021982656
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 12:17:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <20010423061326.17773.00000673@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <xd%E6.33020$IJ1.2812448@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:17:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.175.251
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988053469 12.88.175.251 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:17:49 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:17:49 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19825

Ed, look at the bright point. Thank heavens for the internet. Look at some of the posts. We could be listening to some of these threads on 75 or 20 meters. For what it is worth, do you think that there should be a sanity test for the amateur license? Hey, it's beautiful here in Rochester, NY, for once. Over 80 degrees. I'm gonna start the grill.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

ps - everyone complains, no one helps.

```
From 1650291187529704344
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 12:23:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:22:51 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <3ae471d2.9525530@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134> <3ae08001.3324290@news.mindspring.com>
<9br1sg$rb5$0@208.207.71.151> <3ae1a904.5133369@news.mindspring.com>
<9c01h2$cc8$0@208.206.142.101>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.75.83
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 19:23:04 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19832

On 23 Apr 2001 01:46:10 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> Tube therey should be part of the basics today?
>> Why?
>
> For the same reason that Morse code should be. It is still a very
>viable, frequently used technology that can and does serve the public
>interest.

Except for very limited application (e.g. power amps), what
is any other use of tubes in amateur radio today?
There is not a single tube regularly in use in any ham or consumer
electronics I have in my home.  I have two antique ham
recievers that have tubes...but they are not in any
daily use at all.  The reality is that my ancient S-20R
reciever is just used for AM broadcast when I am in
my garage.

>There are millions of electron tubes in existance, and many
>hams still use tube type gear, some of which is hybridized such that the
>drive and final amplifier stages are tube type.

IF any ham wants to use tube equipment, that's fine, but
that doesn't make it a required subject area for all hams.
Power amps maybe, but that'd be a strech too as many
of the under 300 watt units are also solid state today.
```

>Othere still use gear
>based on vacuum tubes, and many still build simple tube type ham gear
>for use on the ham bands.

Godd for them. That is "live in the past" technology.
Why anyone builds tube equipment today that can
just as easily be done with solid state defies logic.

>All news to you, isnt' it?

Not at all. I just disagree with the need for ALL hams
to be tested on archaic technology. The fact that it is
used by some hams doesn't change the fact that it
is obsolete (stand by for Vinnie B diatribe :-)

> The point is clear: Just because more efficient technologies have taken
>over the mainstream of communications in no invalidates the usefulness
>of the eariler technilogies still in existance. When they become as hard
>to find as a wireline telegraph installation, then it might be time to
>write them off completely.

No one is asking you or anyone else to write-off
the technology IF you like it. The issue is valid
licensing requirements. Just how much tube
technology do you think technician and/or EE
students get these days?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 9014339765696539801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 12:23:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:22:49 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3ae470f3.9303021@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <20010423064810.17773.00000687@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.75.83

X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 19:23:03 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19827

On 23 Apr 2001 10:48:10 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>> Cecil: It is still completly possible for hams to build their own
>>stations using discrete components, it is done all the time. But NOT by
>>many recent licensees who memorized the question pool..
>
>And you know this how? I could not have built a station from scratch as a
>result of passing the tests I took in the 60s to get my license. I needed a
>bit of trial and error.

Additionally, very few hams actually DESIGNED and
built their own station equipment. Most of us that
did any homebrew usually followed a construction
article from the pages of the ARRL handbook,
QST, CQ magazine, Pop. Electronics and/or others.
It was much more of a "kit" project except instead
of Heathkit gathering all the components and providing
all the predrilled/punched/labeled hardware we did it
ourselves.

>And today, it is lots easier than it was then to build equipment.
>
>The real fallacy in this 5-miles-to-school scenario is that most "old time"
>hams built their own equipment. They owned Hallicrafters or Johnson commercial
>gear, for the most part. Those that did build probably built a Heathkit
>(connect the red wire to pin 3; there are three wires on that pin now; solder
>them).

Yep, and or followed "construction articles" per my comment
above.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -8057032089753752024
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 12:23:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement

```
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:22:57 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 70
Message-ID: <3ae47b45.11944594@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com> <9c06dj$q36$0@208.206.142.101>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.75.83
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 19:23:11 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19830

On 23 Apr 2001 03:09:39 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> In article <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>> (Bill Sohl) writes:
>>
>> >>....The difference between me and the
>> >>NCTA is that I realize that Morse code proficiency and technological
>> >advances can
>> >>coexist, whereas they insist that the two must be mutually exclusive.  You
>> >tell me
>> >>what's up with THAT?
>> >
>> >Larry,
>> >Again you mislead others in your post.  The NCTA (No Code Test
>> >Advocates) have no problem with you or anyone else learning and using
>> >code.  Code learning (voluntarily) and use can certainly coexist with
>> >technology advances the same as my antique auto can coexist
>> >on the highway with the latest from the auto makers.
>>
>> Bill:
>>
>> Sorry, no sale.  The NCTA have always used the need to "advance
>> the state of the art" -- presumably in digital communications technology,
>> as a primary reason to reduce or eliminate the Morse code testing
>> requirement…as if the two were, indeed, mutually exclusive.  The claim
>> had always been that the code test requirement was discouraging
>> brilliant, computer-literate young people who would magically transform
>> the landscape of amateur radio communications technology if only they
>> had the fetters of this time-honored mode removed from them.  They
>> have claimed the Morse code, and it's testing requirement, to be
>> everything from "lame" to "ethnic cleansing" -- and insisted that those
>> who support continuance of the testing requirement were not in step
>> with the "inclusive" nature of this hobby, and, therefore, politically
>> incorrect.
>>
>>  No, Bill -- the NCTA and their apologists throughout the years have done
>> an excellent job of marrying the concepts of Morse code skill with
>> technical stagnation -- thus making proficiency in Morse/CW and technical
>> competence mutually exclusive in the extreme.  You would have to undo
```

>> over a decade's worth of Fidonet/Usenet debate on this topic to convince
>> me otherwise. I have read and authored the equivalent of dozens of
>> full-length novels' worth of messages that relate to this topic, and can
>> call myself an expert on the subject without any fear of exaggeration. This
>> is one place where you can't pull the wool over my eyes with one of your
>> classically specious examples.
>>
>> The link between technical incompetence and Morse code skill is the
>> very foundation of every argument that has ever been made against the
>> pre-Restructuring status quo in code testing.   This is the truth, the only
>> truth, and the only reality. You cannot ever escape this reality in a
>> feeble attempt to justify what has been done to permanently obviate
>> any future growth in the use of the Morse/CW mode. Such use will
>> indeed decrease, and make it easy to expand the amount of amateur
>> HF spectrum authorized to voice modes. Deny this if you will, but it
>> is the truth.
>>
>
> Well said, that is right on the money.

Don't try spending it though.

The fact remains that only some people have raised that
argument and I am not oe of them. The code test should
end simly because it isn't needed. Any talk of increasing
hams should be a different discussion.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -5147933114586475066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 12:23:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:22:52 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <3ae47437.10138303@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134> <3ae08001.3324290@news.mindspring.com> <9br1sg$rb5$0@208.207.71.151> <3ae1a904.5133369@news.mindspring.com> <9c01h2$cc8$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE39636.E2692017@IEEE.org> <9c0ehg$jb9$0@208.206.142.101>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.75.83

X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 19:23:06 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19826

On 23 Apr 2001 05:28:16 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Dick wrote:
>> > > > Tube theory should be part of the basics today?
>>
>> > > Why?
>> >
>> > For the same reason that Morse code should be.
>>
>> What kind of answer did you expect, Bill? :-) Wonder if Dick would
>> support a test on building one's own tubes as a Morse code exam replacement?
>
> Cecil the Lame scores again with a slap shot to the audience.
>I already have far more vacuum tubes I could expect to use any any
>conceivable circumstances.

I had over 100 tubes, many brand new. I donated
them all to a fellow employee that is heavy into
antique radios. He was very pleased.

>What hams need is some of the expertise to
>use thosetubes and also some transistors to build a working radio set.

Why? There are excellent chip sets that do it all
these days.

>Transmitter and receiver. I was looking at a 50's CQ magazine articlethe
>other day where a ham built a small transciever for working 540 and 80
>meter CW. It had a VFO builtin and a Superheterodye receiver. It used
>four vacuum tubes. I was impressed.
>You couldn't do that with four transistors.

One reason that 4 tubes cut it was because the later tubes
were often two or even three tubes in one tube envelope.
Could you replace one dual triode with one transistor...
not at all, but you can often replace multiple tubes/transistors with
one IC chip.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -4845056837422898979

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 12:23:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!
sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:22:55 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3ae47767.10954797@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com> <_OLE6.1383$QV4.109002@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.75.83
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 19:23:08 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19831
```

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 01:45:30 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:

>In article <3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl says...
>
>>Well you can do alot of antenna work in that 8' space.
>
>The point is not to "do alot of antenna work".
>
>The point is to construct antennas which have a reasonable chance
>of projecting a readable signal to a distant location.
>
>Limiting the space to 8' above the roof is not reasonable, but equivalent
>to the dump huck "let them eat fish heads" tag line of K4YZ.

Without passing judgement on the 8' limit,
the fact remains that you can engage in
very credible communications with antennae
that fits in that 8' limit. I have worked Europe, Hawaii
and others with just a 10m dipole INSIDE my attic.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -4731027170111821702

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 12:23:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!
rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:22:56 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 87
Message-ID: <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.75.83
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 19:23:09 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19829

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 04:56:23 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> [snip] Me thinks in the scope of radio
>> enforcement, any half baked attorney
>> would clean the clock of a local cop who
>> couldn't show the required knowledge. [snip]
>
> What "required knowledge," Bill? A cop doesn't have to be an expert
>to recognize and gather evidence. For example, a cop doesn't have to
>be a gun expert to pick up stray bullets or shell casings off the
>ground for evidence.

True, but then you had better have a ballistics
expert testify as to the meaning of what was found.

>Or a gun expert to recognize a sawed off shotgun.

An obvious situation for anyone. Bad example.

>Or a medical expert to recognize an injury has occurred. Or
>a alcohol expert to recognize the intoxicated.

Actually, the police are quizzed extensively on DWI
testing and lose caes where proper procedures are

not followed.

>Or a drug expert to
>recognize illegal drugs.

If I hand pills to a cop, how does s/he tell if they are
legal medications (prescription or over the counter)
or if they are illicit drugs. It takes an expert analysis
to testify as to what something (drugs) actually is.

>Or an electronics expert to recognize what a
>television is before deciding it is stolen.

Actually, unless it can be identified by some particular
aspect, such proof is not as easy as it might appear.

>And the same with almost
>everything else the cop does.

Dream on.

> In whatever situation, all the cop has to have is enough knowledge
>to do his job, which is to gather evidence properly or perform
>whatever test is available properly.

If you don't think cops need to be qualified/certified
on certain technologies, you have much to learn.
Here in NJ, a cop needs to be trained and "certified"
to use and be able to testify as to the readings
obtained with a noise meter in cases involving nuisance
complaints due to noise.

> If expert testimony is needed,
>again that usually comes from outside law enforcement circles.

If the "measurment process is flawed" that taints
the evidence.

> And there is no reason to believe that it will be any different when
>it comes to enforcing CB regulations. The only skills a cop will need
>is the ability to recognize an illegal device and gather it for
>evidence.

PLEASE tell us how a cop can do that at all? There are
thousands of legal CB radios that can modified without
much difficulty and which, when modified,
show no external signs of being modified.

>And, as I've already said in another message, it does not
>take great skill or knowledge to do that. If expert evidence is
>needed, that can be obtained by having the device tested by an expert
>after it is collected from the scene. And, in most cases, that expert
>will not even have to show up in court - his report will stand unless challenged.

No attorney of any credibility will allow an expert witness
report stand on stipulation.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -8681382853284342O5
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 12:23:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:22:53 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <3ae475ee.10577745@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151> <20010421145621.29813.00000441@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.75.83
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 19:23:07 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19828
```

On 23 Apr 2001 02:24:01 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

```
>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> >> Nobody wants to make radiotelegraphy unavailable within the ARS.
>>
>> > Mahybe YOU don't.
>>
>> I don't either, Dick; that is two.
>>
>> >That doesn't mean others are of the same mind,
>> >whatever they say for publication.
>>
>> Are you saying that they must be lying because they might be lying?  If you
>> want to convince me that most no-code-test advocates are opposed to CW use, I
>> will  need a bit more concrete proof than that.  How did you arrive at that
>> conclusion?
>
> So why do you insist on playing coy, Ed? Do you really believe that
```

>none of the anticoders would dump code from ham radio instantly if they
>just had the opportunity? Well, they DON'T have the opportunity - now.

How could we even "dump" code at all? Do you
believe that code, as a mode, would ever be "outlawed"
at the international level? much less by the FCC?

>So it makes much more sense to just be congenial and say "We really
>don't care if you use it.." knowing full well there's nothing they can
>do about it - now. But the drive to abolish mode allocations in the HF
>bands, should it ever come to fruition, might change that opportunity.

Even assuming there was such a drive to end mode
allocations, how does that END code use?

>So then, things change, don't they? If they decide to populate the
>traditional CW/Data end of the bands with QRO voice, they have a
>'point' then that "there is no room for 'i nefficient' legacy modes.."

Even assuming no mode allocations, I hear CW QSOs in the
middle of 7 Mhz AM SW broadcasts all the time.

>With the type of help the Commission now had, judging from the initial
>wording of the restructuring NPRM should we not expect a sympathetic ear
>there?

???

> To quote Bill Sohl in a very recent posting "Times change, positions
>change.." (or at least that's the overt explanation).

Yep, the only two things not subject to change
are death and taxes.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -5522750487440043641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 12:23:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:

rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:23:04 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.75.83
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 19:23:19 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12163
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15196 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19833

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 07:20:16 GMT, anti-arrl@worthless_org.org (Ringo
Ranger) wrote:

>w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in
><20010422111053.16452.00000461@ng-fl1.aol.com>:
>
>>Maybe because the Board resolution that was passed said that ARRL
>>position was not to oppose any change in S25 wrt code testing...
>>
>>73,
>>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>How much bonus money is the ARRL Board paying you for you to imply something
>to the readers by making a statement like that? For the Board to say they are
>not going to propose eliminating code, but they won't oppose a change, is the
>same thing.

Please take a class in logic and understanding and
then revisit what you just said.

>That's like saying: we're not going to set the building on fire, but if one
>starts, we'll let it burn.

One is arson, one is not. If you can't see that...

>It's either the sin of commission or the sin of omission.

Ahh well, back to the religious endorsement of morse.

> I guess the ARRL
>will get what it wants, to dumb down amateur radio further.

The usual argument that some of the PCTA falls to when
they run out of logic.

>This time it
>won't have to lift a finger to do it. They can come out looking like the
>good-guy. Thank Ed, checks in the mail.

My renewal went in for 3 years last September.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -8595035161419705957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 12:30:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:29:20 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3ae481c4.942105@news.mindspring.com>
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
<3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
<3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org> <9c0agm$87c$0@208.206.142.101>
<3ae436d4.6851105@news.mindspring.com> <9c1i0d$i0i$0@208.206.142.108>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.75.83
X-Server-Date: 23 Apr 2001 19:29:40 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19834
```

On 23 Apr 2001 15:33:33 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> For the most part, freebander activities are of litle
>> consequence to legitamate hams...as long as that
>> freebanding stays out of the ham bands. Presumably,
>> the freebanding is in that "no man's land" of spectrum
>> that seems to have no stated users at all.
>
> That was the prevailing attitude of most hams-until the illegals DID
>begin infringing on the ham bands. And now easy ham radio licenses
>brings them on into ham radio, to use their callsign or not, as whim and
>who is on the other end of the instant QSO dictates.

Frankly, such invasions of ham bands seem
more the exception than the rule.

>> I have posted this before...the best counter to

>> freebanding is to give the "freeband" back to
>> hams and then the hams will be proactive
>> users and monitors of any illegal activity which
>> can be then passed on (reports, data, audio tapes)
>> to the FCC for enforcement.
>
> Uh, the 'freeband' never did belong to ham radio, none of it is within
>international allocations (the freebsand is wherever in the specrum
>participants want it to be), and even if that could be done, FCC would
>no more be able to police it than it now is. That's a solution?

It could be. The FCC could consider making that "freeband"
spectrum a US ham band allowing limited power to avoid
interference to other services which are in other countries.

The question is: Just what and where is any legal use being
made of that "freeband" spectrum?

>> If the freebanders just operate in the freeband space, who
>> really cares? That's no different than me not worrying
>> if somebody is speeding on a deserted highway.
>
>That's the attitude that has allowed that sctivity to expans so
>enormously.

But the enforcement has NEVER been something expected
of nor committed to by hams at all.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5032384992371117405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9028c066f7b451b1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 13:39:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!falcon.america.net!
eagle.america.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Yeah Right" <yeahright@westerntko.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <200104231732.f3NHWLt27956@mail.mailgate.org>
Subject: Re: Riley says 40M may be cut - restructuring a stroke of brilliance
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <uq0F6.777$58.34777@eagle.america.net>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:41:11 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.42.186.29

X-Trace: eagle.america.net 988058394 63.42.186.29 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:39:54 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:39:54 EDT
Organization: 24hoursupport.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19836

BULLSHIT!   What technology?   More details please.

The commission is running a bargain basement interferometer system that they built in house, after stealing the plans from a commercial outfit in California.   It has never worked worth a shit and is down more than it is up. They've never solved the problem of lightning strikes. When it's up, the accuracy stinks.   Their stupidity was in not contracting out to have a superior system built. The piece of shit system they built is a standard joke within private industry circles. The software they're using was stolen from the U.S. Navy and was never intended to be used with the hardware they stole.   The commission has never solved the software-hardware mismatch and never will.   At an industry trade show, I once heard the FCC system referred to as a "Chinese mattress spring, held together with bubble gum and bailing wire."

Somebody was duped and wrote the following fairy tale:

and that with the technology now available to the FCC monitoring stations (despite the closing of many local field offices) that they "can and will be caught, sooner or later."

From 6259026650749493154
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 13:52:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101> <20010423063100.17773.00000681@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c1fkc$c0u$0@208.206.142.108>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <YB0F6.284$R5.1229313@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:49:56 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200

X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 988059128 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:52:08 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:52:08 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19837

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9c1fkc$c0u$0@208.206.142.108...

> > I do not believe that they would try, and I believe even less that they would
> > succeed. Unlike you, I need to have a reason to think nefarious thoughts of
> > someone and I lack your skills at knowing people's secret thoughts and
> > motivations.

> Ah, so everyone alway says exactly what they mean, and always means
> exactly what they say.Especialaly whren there is an Agenda at work.
> After 8 years of Bill Clinton, you still subscribe. Right.

I can tell you why I don't believe Bill Clinton any longer; he lied and got
caught at it several times.

It seems that your only reason for assuming that people are lying is that
sometimes some people lie. I need more to call a person a liar, Dick, and I
need more to be persuaded that someone is lying. "Might be so is" might be
a good enough standard for you, but it is not good enough for me.

But thanks for the explanation of how you came to your conclusions. It does
put things into a better perspective.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 406641000175043540
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 13:56:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101> <20010423063211.17773.00000682@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c1gig$eaf$0@208.206.142.108>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Lines: 41
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <mG0F6.285$R5.1229600@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:54:38 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 988059410 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:56:50 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:56:50 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19838

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > > To quote Bill Sohl in a very recent posting  "Times change, positions
> > >change.."
> > >(or at least that's the overt explanation).

> > Seeing as you are the one who possesses the magical skill of reading
people's
> > minds, would you be willing to share the covert explanation with us?

> > Can you teach us how to fathom the unknowable so we can do it, too?

>  If you can read you already know but prefer to avoid it.

I have read the same words you have read and do not believe there is a
secret agenda to ban Morse code use from the ham bands.

> It can be a bit unpleasant to reach disturbing conclusions from all the
small tips
> and hints that aggregate into a clear 'position'.

I agree, reaching "conclusions" from non-existant "small tips and hints" is
unpleasant, indeed. If you believe it to be unpleasant, why do you do it?

> Such smokescreening is done routinely, in fact little in politics is done
otherwise.

Most of the smokescreening I have seen in politics involves trying to
persuade people that there is some secret and nefarious agenda in place by
the opposing party.  It is usually done with inuendo, rather than concrete
examples.

Can you offer more than a vague generalization that there are small tips and
hints that "prove" that Bill is lying when he tells us that NCI does not
have a secret agenda to eliminate Morse code use on the ham bands?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 6060744496202938896
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 14:00:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9c086v$e2$0@208.206.142.101> <20010423062659.17773.00000678@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c1eu5$7rd$1@208.206.142.108>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <4K0F6.286$R5.1229665@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 16:58:36 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 988059648 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 17:00:48 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 17:00:48 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19839

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > Many nations of the world do not regulate by sub-band. Can you offer any
> > examples of nations that have had such a mode war?

>   Can you offer any examples of other nations who have HF licensed
> (1.5KW authorized and frequently used on SSB) in the high numbers that
> we have?
> I thought not. In fact I was sure of it.

There are none that meet those criteria.

Now, I answered your question; will you answer mine?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6541775222257213439
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 14:49:16 PST
From: Keith <nospamssdf@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Missionaries Shoot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:48:24 -0700
Reply-To: nworegon@excite.com
Expires: Thu, 7 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Lines: 17
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You
may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/
abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
spamkiller.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spk!anonymous!127.0.0.1!spk
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19840

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/04/23/1/?nc=1

 Apparently one of the murdered missionaries in Peru was a ham.
Sadly they are the latest innocent victims in America's ever growing drug
war.



--
Best Regards,

Keith
----------------------------------------------------------------
Web page-> members.home.net/keithew1/nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio topics.
Amateur Radio is a international resource and asset.
Need a daily digest of rec.radio.swap newsgroup? Join
recradioswap at Yahoo! Groups.
----------------------------------------------------------



From 8649568361035214087
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 14:59:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

From: "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <YA1F6.25260$B22.6007880@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 21:59:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 988063160 24.180.184.171 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:59:20 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:59:20 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19841

Well I would like to see you talk uhf/vhf with a 8' height restriction, can you do it yes barely, and only if you are into repeaters. Is it reasonable No Not at all.. I cannot even put up a R-7 in my backyard the size of the lot is 150' x 225' plenty of room . There is a Home depot within 200' of my front door the roof top is higher than my antennas are allowed, the local post office which is directly across the street, the flag pole is higher than any antenna I can have. A ham in our town got a variance for a 35' tower 2 months before I applied for my permit. The top of my house is 22' to the peak, so any other ham/ non-ham with a roof top of 35' in my town will at least have better coverage then me. Why should I have to use dipoles, and inferior compromise antennas Where is the reasonable accommodation. I have had legal counsel the ARRL's said they couldn't do anything, I have went to Superior Court to fight the planning boards desicion the judges discussion was for me to re- apply and the town was to consider Prb-1, which they knew about all along and did consider in the first place. But they felt the towns concerns out-weighed Prb-1. My antenna was labeled an attractive nuisance, an eyesore, among other things. I had spoken to Chris Imlay when this happened and he was going to try and help, but of course he did not. I just hope other hams don't run into this problem because when someone like me gets turned down they now have shot down the rest of us.
"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com...
> On Sun, 22 Apr 2001 22:22:48 GMT, "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >My town has a height limit of 8' foot off the roof and the tallest rooftop
> >is 35' in our town or 8' off the ground.

>
> Well you can do alot of antenna work in that 8' space.
>
> >Otherwise you need a variance for
> >anything else, there are at least 2 cell towers at 150' tall in town,
and a
> >State Police installation, one cell site is less than 200 feet from my
> >home,directly across the street where i walk out of the house every
morning
> >looking at something I cannot have.Which is a decent antenna system.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

```
From 5782599994931980597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 14:59:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!newshub2.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE39DDA.D5064AFA@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <oB1F6.25261$B22.6008154@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 21:59:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 988063188 24.180.184.171 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001
14:59:48 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 14:59:48 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19842
```

Easier said than done.

```
"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AE39DDA.D5064AFA@IEEE.org...
> Sam Grasso wrote:
> > My town has a height limit of 8' foot off the roof ...
>
> I simply wouldn't live there.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From 5810884337909734860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 15:01:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com>
<20010422205733.12760.00000708@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc908y0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <OC1F6.25262$B22.6008810@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 22:01:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 988063278 24.180.184.171 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001
15:01:18 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 15:01:18 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19843

Phil, they stuck there nose up at Prb-1, like it meant nothing to them.

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gc908y0.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On 23 Apr 2001 00:57:33 GMT, W1RFI wrote:
>
> >>>My town has a height limit of 8' foot off the roof and the tallest
```

```
rooftop
> >>>is 35' in our town or 8' off the ground.
> >
> >>Well you can do alot of antenna work in that 8' space.
> >
> >I don't think that his regulations would stand the test of PRB-1.  He may
> >benefit from the advice of counsel.
>
>   The (in)famous 8 and 12 foot limits come from the BOCA and UBC for
>   roof-mounted antennas not requiring a full analysis/building permit.
>
>   BOCA/UBC = "safety" standards, not "zoning" regulations, and
>   therefore give the municipalities a lot more wriggle room,
>   preemption nothwithstanding.
>
>
> --
>    73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>    ARRL Volunteer Counsel
>
>
```

```
From 5157510709358659479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 15:05:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE4A75B.78C4@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net> <Bc_E6.2196$QV4.178951@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:06:19 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.51
X-Trace: news2.atl 988063516 209.214.187.51 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:05:16 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:05:16 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19844
```

Oh, so you don't make typos?

```
K0HB wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine says:
>
> > And no one goes out and practices on innocent civilians.
> > At least not the Western nations.
>
> Over the weekend, US and Peruvian warplanes cooperated in shooting
> down an unarmed missionary flight, killing Veronica Bowers, KD4CKM
> and her infant daughter, while practicing interception techniques.
>
> A few weeks ago a US warship sank a Japanese fishing boat, killing
> 9, including schoolchildren, while practicing surfacing their boat.
>
> Last I checked, both the US and Peru were "Western nations".
>
> Good luck on this one now.
>
> >And anyone not prepared to fight for freedon must be prepared to LOSE
> >it.
>
> You can't even spell freedom. <BSEG>
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB


From 1000975056895375150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 15:09:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com> <_OLE6.1383$QV4.109002@www.newsranger.com>
<3ae47767.10954797@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
```

Message-ID: <7K1F6.25268$B22.6013190@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 22:09:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 988063747 24.180.184.171 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 15:09:07 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 15:09:07 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19845

Bill, So am I stuck on 10 meters then?
Don't think I can fit a 80 meter dipole in my attic, nor 40 nor 20 I would like a beam antenna is that reasonable, perhaps I want a vertical antenna I cannot have one I don't live in a town house, or condo I live on a residential/commercial street in a rural type area, The light posts at the Post office across the street from my house are Higher than any antenna I can Have. You try to live in a town like this. Any Offers of help are appreciated.

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ae47767.10954797@news.mindspring.com...
> On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 01:45:30 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:
>
> >In article <3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl says...
> >
> >>Well you can do alot of antenna work in that 8' space.
> >
> >The point is not to "do alot of antenna work".
> >
> >The point is to construct antennas which have a reasonable chance
> >of projecting a readable signal to a distant location.
> >
> >Limiting the space to 8' above the roof is not reasonable, but equivalent
> >to the dump huck "let them eat fish heads" tag line of K4YZ.
>
> Without passing judgement on the 8' limit,
> the fact remains that you can engage in
> very credible communications with antennae
> that fits in that 8' limit. I have worked Europe, Hawaii
> and others with just a 10m dipole INSIDE my attic.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

From 6364281972378812001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 15:14:50 PST

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <YA1F6.25260$B22.6007880@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104232213530.2817-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com>
<YA1F6.25260$B22.6007880@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 43
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 22:14:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988064090 12.80.44.10 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 22:14:50 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 22:14:50 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19846

Why you don't just put a small ball and pulley on the top of the antenna and call it a flagpole. What's their requirements for flagpoles?

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001, Sam Grasso wrote:

> Well I would like to see you talk uhf/vhf with a 8' height restriction, can
> you do it yes barely, and only if you are into repeaters. Is it reasonable
> No Not at all.. I cannot even put up a R-7 in my backyard the size of the
> lot is 150' x 225' plenty of room . There is a Home depot within 200' of my
> front door the roof top is higher than my antennas are allowed, the local
> post office which is directly across the street, the flag pole is higher
> than any antenna I can have. A ham in our town got a variance for a 35'
> tower 2 months before I applied for my permit. The top of my house is 22' to
> the peak, so any other ham/ non-ham with a roof top of 35' in my town will
> at least have better coverage then me. Why should I have to use dipoles, and
> inferior compromise antennas Where is the reasonable accommodation. I have
> had legal counsel the ARRL's said they couldn't do anything, I have went to
> Superior Court to fight the planning boards desicion the judges discussion
> was for me to re- apply and the town was to consider Prb-1, which they knew
> about all along and did consider in the first place. But they felt the towns
> concerns out-weighed Prb-1. My antenna was labeled an attractive nuisance,
> an eyesore, among other things. I had spoken to Chris Imlay when this
> happened and he was going to try and help, but of course he did not. I just
> hope other hams don't run into this problem because when someone like me

```
> gets turned down they now have shot down the rest of us.
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com...
> > On Sun, 22 Apr 2001 22:22:48 GMT, "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >My town has a height limit of 8' foot off the roof and the tallest
> rooftop
> > >is 35' in our town or 8' off the ground.
> >
> > Well you can do alot of antenna work in that 8' space.
> >
> > >Otherwise you need a variance for
> > >anything else, there are at least 2 cell towers at 150' tall  in town,
> and a
> > >State Police installation, one cell site is  less than 200 feet from my
> > >home,directly across the street where i walk out of the house every
> morning
> > >looking at something I cannot have.Which is a decent antenna system.



From 1695397710941289728
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9028c066f7b451b1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 15:14:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE4A996.513B@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Riley says 40M may be cut - restructuring a stroke of brilliance
References: <200104231732.f3NHWLt27956@mail.mailgate.org>
<uq0F6.777$58.34777@eagle.america.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 35
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:15:50 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.51
X-Trace: news2.atl 988064087 209.214.187.51 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:14:47 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:14:47 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19847

Dear Troll,

And since you are too much of a coward to post your name/call, we can
give this equal credit to your cowardice.  Likely, a Chicken  Bander
who doesn't believe/want to believe that enforcement is/will be back
```

```
on the egenda.  Just ask that "Smith" character they smacked with a
$13,500 NAL and re-affirmed last week.
And my name is Jerry
Call: K4KWH




Yeah Right wrote:
>
>           BULLSHIT!     What technology?   More details please.
>
>            The commission is running a bargain basement interferometer
> system that they built in house, after stealing the plans from a
> commercial outfit in California.   It has never worked worth a shit and
> is down more than it is up.  They've never solved the problem of lightning
> strikes.  When it's up, the accuracy stinks.   Their stupidity was in not
> contracting out to have a superior system built.  The piece of shit system
> they built is a standard joke within private industry circles.  The software
> they're using was stolen from the U.S. Navy and was never intended to
> be used with the hardware they stole.   The commission has never solved
> the software-hardware mismatch and never will.    At an industry trade
> show, I once heard the FCC system referred to as a "Chinese mattress
> spring, held together with bubble gum and bailing wire."
>
> Somebody was duped and wrote the following fairy tale:
>
> and that with the technology now available to the FCC monitoring stations
> (despite the closing of many local field offices) that they "can and will be
> caught, sooner or later."


From -3240332570850886921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 15:26:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 100
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
```

Message-ID: <F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 22:26:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 988064805 24.180.184.171 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 15:26:45 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 15:26:45 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19848

I deal with over 400 police depts in NJ. I fix the traffic radar they use, the video cameras and the mobile data terminals(computers)most have no clue about electronics, nor the desire to learn about it They have a hard time figuring which brand radar they use what Band it transmits on etc, How in the world are they going to know about CB radios unless they take a training course and are well versed in CB's I just don't see that happening at this time there are many mor problems to solve ...

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com...
> On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 04:56:23 -0400, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>
> >> [snip] Me thinks in the scope of radio
> >> enforcement, any half baked attorney
> >> would clean the clock of a local cop who
> >> couldn't show the required knowledge. [snip]
> >
> > What "required knowledge," Bill? A cop doesn't have to be an expert
> >to recognize and gather evidence. For example, a cop doesn't have to
> >be a gun expert to pick up stray bullets or shell casings off the
> >ground for evidence.
>
> True, but then you had better have a ballistics
> expert testify as to the meaning of what was found.
>
> >Or a gun expert to recognize a sawed off shotgun.
>
> An obvious situation for anyone. Bad example.
>
> >Or a medical expert to recognize an injury has occurred. Or
> >a alcohol expert to recognize the intoxicated.
>
> Actually, the police are quizzed extensively on DWI
> testing and lose caes where proper procedures are
> not followed.
>
> >Or a drug expert to
> >recognize illegal drugs.
>
> If I hand pills to a cop, how does s/he tell if they are

> legal medications (prescription or over the counter)
> or if they are illicit drugs. It takes an expert analysis
> to testify as to what something (drugs) actually is.
>
> >Or an electronics expert to recognize what a
> >television is before deciding it is stolen.
>
> Actually, unless it can be identified by some particular
> aspect, such proof is not as easy as it might appear.
>
> >And the same with almost
> >everything else the cop does.
>
> Dream on.
>
> > In whatever situation, all the cop has to have is enough knowledge
> >to do his job, which is to gather evidence properly or perform
> >whatever test is available properly.
>
> If you don't think cops need to be qualified/certified
> on certain technologies, you have much to learn.
> Here in NJ, a cop needs to be trained and "certified"
> to use and be able to testify as to the readings
> obtained with a noise meter in cases involving nuisance
> complaints due to noise.
>
> > If expert testimony is needed,
> >again that usually comes from outside law enforcement circles.
>
> If the "measurment process is flawed" that taints
> the evidence.
>
> > And there is no reason to believe that it will be any different when
> >it comes to enforcing CB regulations. The only skills a cop will need
> >is the ability to recognize an illegal device and gather it for
> >evidence.
>
> PLEASE tell us how a cop can do that at all? There are
> thousands of legal CB radios that can modified without
> much difficulty and which, when modified,
> show no external signs of being modified.
>
> >And, as I've already said in another message, it does not
> >take great skill or knowledge to do that. If expert evidence is
> >needed, that can be obtained by having the device tested by an expert
> >after it is collected from the scene. And, in most cases, that expert
> >will not even have to show up in court - his report will stand unless
challenged.
>
> No attorney of any credibility will allow an expert witness
> report stand on stipulation.
>
> Cheers,

> Bill K2UNK
>

From 4707027756584507138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:10:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:09:08 GMT
References: <3ae470f3.9303021@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010423190908.12987.00000729@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19849

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>Yep, and or followed "construction articles" per my comment
>above.

This is pretty much what hams are doing to day, too, only I believe that there are more of them now than then. I think the little Tuna Tin 2 kit sold over 800. I doubt that more than 800 people duplicated any particular project from the 50s and 60s.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1694326247007365990
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:13:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:12:26 GMT
References: <3ae471d2.9525530@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!

```
Message-ID: <20010423191226.12987.00000730@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19850
```

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>Godd for them. That is "live in the past" technology.
>Why anyone builds tube equipment today that can
>just as easily be done with solid state defies logic.

Bill, those who build tube equipment today do so for the sheer joy of it all.
There is something satisfying to that soft red glow.

>Not at all. I just disagree with the need for ALL hams
>to be tested on archaic technology.

I think there is room in the question pool for a few of the fundamentals of
tube operation. It is not like it requires hundreds of hours to learn the
basic theory.

>Just how much tube technology do you think technician and/or EE
>students get these days?

Who will design the tube amplifiers of the future, Bill?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 8477267219584917090
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:17:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:16:26 GMT
References: <ZXYE6.2077$QV4.167682@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010423191626.12987.00000731@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19851
```

Dick Carroll writes:

>> Do you really believe that none of the anticoders would dump code
>> from ham radio instantly if they just had the opportunity?

I am sure that there are some who would.

Do you believe that most would? Do you believe they would prevail with the FCC?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -2217101713518148694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:17:42 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4B7CE.CB8648BF@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:16:30 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988067414
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 18:10:14 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!193.251.151.101!
opentransit.net!wanadoo.fr!isdnet!news-x.support.nl!earthquake.efnet.com!
efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19852
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So you

> then believe that there is never a need for a ham to be able to build
> anything at all. Very curious. If you had your way, nothing not on the
> leding edge would be allowed to remain in existance. You come across as
> the most zealous of zealots.

Actually, you come across as the fascist - trying to force people to learn something for their own good. The need for a ham to be able to build anything comes from that ham as an act of freedom of choice. I know that you do NOT believe in freedom of choice, Dick, but freedom of choice is imminently appropriate in a hobby in a free society.

Building electronic equipment is NOT a requirement for hams. Some choose to build and some choose not to build. That is exactly as it should be. We don't need fascism in this country.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6589827168120521721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:19:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:18:31 GMT
References: <9c1f0e$7rd$2@208.206.142.108>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010423191831.12987.00000733@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19853
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> And there has been no move to eliminate mode segments yet. Stick around
>and see what happens when NCI gets that one through.

It is a big if to assume that NCI will someday set a policy that it wants to eliminate mode segments. With that big if in mind, do you think that they should have the right to ask the FCC for that rules change, or that they should be stopped somehow?

What end result do you envision to this thread?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6229772604875903729
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:43:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: 24 Apr 2001 01:43:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <9c2loh$rdm$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19904

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> Mrs. Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, and infant daughter, silent key.
>
> From QRZ, written by PATRICK HERMAN
> ~~~~~~
> The Missionary plane shot down over Peru by the Peruvian military, included
> the loss of a ham. Veronica "RONNIE" Bowers KD4CKN. Ronnie and her husband
> Jim Bowers KD4CKM were on there way to Iquitos Peru from Benjamin Constant
> in Brazil.
>
> Muskegon, Mich-native Veronica "Roni" Bowers, 37, and her 7-month-old
> daughter, Charity, were killed and pilot Kevin Donaldson was wounded when
> their Cessna was shot down by a drug-sniffing Peruvian aircraft. A U.S.
> surveillance plane monitored Peru's downing of the plane carrying American
> missionaries, a U.S. Embassy official said Saturday. Map courtesy
> www.larepublica.com.pe "There was a US government tracking aircraft in the
> area in support of the Peruvian intercept mission," was the official
> statement from an embassy official in Lima. "As part of an agreement between
> the US and Peru, U.S. radar and aircraft provide tracking information to the
> Peruvian Air Force on planes suspected of smuggling illegal drugs in the
> region. U.S. government tracking aircraft used for this program are unarmed
> and do not participate in any way in shooting down suspect planes Jim and
> Corey returned to the United States Sunday. They are in North Carolina,

> where they will spend time with family members before returning home to
> Muskegon.
>
> Thanks for all the Prayers, Patrick Herman KI8EP cousin of Jim Bowers"
> ~~~~~~
>
> The United States government is involves to aerial warfare
> in a far off land trying to solve domestic consumption problem.
> Makes no sense.
>
> As a Technician Plus, she had no HF frequency privileges
> below 28.5mhz other then Morse code CW emissions.
> Like many United States missionaries that travel to
> distance lands to help other, they need access to
> HF bands for long distance communications back
> to the United States. Until just recently our government
> required applicants to pass an unnecessary 13 & 20 wpm
> Morse code exam. This test served no regulator purpose,
> and is not in conformity with 5 U.S.C 706.
> Makes no sense.
>
> It long past time to delete ITU rule S25.5
> and FCC rule 47 CFR 97.503(a).
>
> Larry KC8EPO

You have just reached a new and very deep, like outta sight, LOW.
To opportunistically use this tragic, preventable incident to further
your private jihad against all code testing is reprehensible beyond all
rationalization.

From 3953808488238519959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:45:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE4CC94.EE3DA42E@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-

```
link.net> <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<3ae4d6a1$0$88186$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 01:45:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988076709 207.0.147.38 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001
18:45:09 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:45:09 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:43:45 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19905

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > The bottom line is that we need to eliminate code entirely and not worry
> > about classifications based upon code speed. Code is a thing of the past.
> > It's time to get over it!!
>
> It long past time to delete ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47CFR 97.503(a).
> However, we have no objection to the continued use of CW emissions.
>
> Go to http://www.nocode.org/
>
> Larry kc8epo

We?  That's awfully big of the plural "you".

Dave K8MN


From 3369946378911967377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:48:50 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4DB24.B409A769@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:47:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
```

<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE4B8E4.C86B9D11@IEEE.org> <9c2i82$gbb$2@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988076484
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 20:41:24 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19906

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The issue was testing to qualify hams to fulfill the basis and purpose
> of ham radio, and Cecil and Ed both know it. They continue to niggle and
> nibble at any and all code supporters who stand up for it.

Here's what the discussion was about, Dick.
Have you ever asked yourself: What is the most important function that
hams perform? What knowledge/skill does a ham need to perform that
function? Should arbitrary knowledge/skill requirements keep people
capable of performing that most important function out of the ARS?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3729859280200562217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:51:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!

newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 58
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 01:51:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988077099 207.0.147.38 (Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:51:39 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:51:39 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:50:15 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19907

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> Mrs. Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, and infant daughter, silent key.
>
> From QRZ, written by PATRICK HERMAN
> ~~~~~~
> The Missionary plane shot down over Peru by the Peruvian military, included
> the loss of a ham. Veronica "RONNIE" Bowers KD4CKN. Ronnie and her husband
> Jim Bowers KD4CKM were on there way to Iquitos Peru from Benjamin Constant
> in Brazil.
>
> Muskegon, Mich-native Veronica "Roni" Bowers, 37, and her 7-month-old
> daughter, Charity, were killed and pilot Kevin Donaldson was wounded when
> their Cessna was shot down by a drug-sniffing Peruvian aircraft. A U.S.
> surveillance plane monitored Peru's downing of the plane carrying American
> missionaries, a U.S. Embassy official said Saturday. Map courtesy
> www.larepublica.com.pe "There was a US government tracking aircraft in the
> area in support of the Peruvian intercept mission," was the official
> statement from an embassy official in Lima. "As part of an agreement between
> the US and Peru, U.S. radar and aircraft provide tracking information to the
> Peruvian Air Force on planes suspected of smuggling illegal drugs in the
> region. U.S. government tracking aircraft used for this program are unarmed
> and do not participate in any way in shooting down suspect planes Jim and
> Corey returned to the United States Sunday. They are in North Carolina,
> where they will spend time with family members before returning home to
> Muskegon.
>
> Thanks for all the Prayers, Patrick Herman KI8EP cousin of Jim Bowers"
> ~~~~~~
>
> The United States government is involves to aerial warfare

> in a far off land trying to solve domestic consumption problem.
> Makes no sense.
>
> As a Technician Plus, she had no HF frequency privileges
> below 28.5mhz other then Morse code CW emissions.
> Like many United States missionaries that travel to
> distance lands to help other, they need access to
> HF bands for long distance communications back
> to the United States. Until just recently our government
> required applicants to pass an unnecessary 13 & 20 wpm
> Morse code exam. This test served no regulator purpose,
> and is not in conformity with 5 U.S.C 706.
> Makes no sense.
>
> It long past time to delete ITU rule S25.5
> and FCC rule 47 CFR 97.503(a).
>
> Larry KC8EPO

Larry,

To use the story of two tragic deaths in order to further editorialize on your pet anti-morse testing peeve makes you look like an unfeeling jerk. The woman's death had nothing to do with amateur radio or with her lack of HF access.

Dave K8MN

From -6850023526134103145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:54:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4DC5B.C8D52CBB@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:52:27 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3AE4B7CE.CB8648BF@IEEE.org> <20010423195415.12845.00000792@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988076796 64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 20:46:36 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.

```
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19908

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Building electronic equipment is NOT a requirement for hams. Some choose to
> >build and some choose not to build. That is exactly as it should be. We
> >don't need fascism in this country.
>
> It this analogy were true, Cecil, one could argue that the FCC regs do not
> require a ham to even operate, so under the Cecil principle, no exams would
> need be given.

But taking an exam *IS* a requirement for hams so there's no analogy. But
it is true that someone who is not going to operate need not take an exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -8775763666178108655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,e4240a326bcb1af1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e4240a326bcb1af1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e4240a326bcb1af1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,e4240a326bcb1af1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,e4240a326bcb1af1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,e4240a326bcb1af1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,e4240a326bcb1af1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:56:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
```

```
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.r
adio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio
.amateur.policy
Subject: Visit today at   - www.ab7sl.com -
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <j%4F6.4096$5t3.320229@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 01:52:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.44.223.204
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988077135 63.44.223.204 (Mon, 23 Apr
2001 18:52:15 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:52:15 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:50:51 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:21221
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8179 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2319
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12173 rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5152
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15202 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19909
```

Lots of STUFF to do at www.ab7sl.com such as...

All - NEW - W9INN antenna page!!

1. Ham Radio Links (some unusual)
2. Several Ham Radio Web Rings !
3.AB7SL Biography
4.Personal Photos
5.Station Description
6.Software Downloads
7.The DX Page (w / Telnet links)
8.Downloadable IOTA List
9.W7NH - Elmer Tribute
8.Prescott Arizona Page
9.Online DX Logbook
10.3D Art Gallery (downloads)
11.Music Page (downloads)
12.Frequently Asked Questions
13.Free-For-All Links Page
14.Guestbook
15.Equipment Photos
16.Downloadable DXCC Countries List
17.Downloadable ITU Prefixes List

18.W9INN Antennas Page
--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

```
From -4970755691725641077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:56:59 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4DD02.904BF0F9@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:55:14 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4B7CE.CB8648BF@IEEE.org>
```

```
<9c2i9r$gbb$3@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988076973
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 20:49:33 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19910

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  You have made it cler what you want of ham testing - no requirements at
> all.

I have made it clear, Dick, but you must have missed it. I would require
knowledge of rules, regulations, operating procedures, and safety. Anything
else can be learned as needed.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -6792236720235445448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 19:03:12 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4DE76.E7F3A2C0@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 21:01:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <9c086v$e2$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4BCD5.47989DA0@IEEE.org>
```

```
<9c2jhu$kjv$3@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988077345
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 20:55:45 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19911

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > So then you have CW ops at a KW competing with SSB ops
> > > running at least that level. Result? Chaos.
> >
> > The foreign SSB ops in the US CW/data portion of of 40m have
> > been doing it for many, many years without any detectable chaos.
>
>  Yeah, both of them.

Give us a break, Dick. There are hundreds off and on.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1238130247854693522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 19:08:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4DF93.9B2E2B5D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 21:06:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
<3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
<3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org> <9c0agm$87c$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4BEFF.DED854EA@IEEE.org> <9c2jmn$kjv$4@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988077642
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 21:00:42 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19912

Dick Carroll wrote:
> CW ops stay out of the SSB portions of the band because they WANT to.

Not because they have to. That defeats your own argument, Dick.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5745570313242577025
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 19:12:59 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4E0B3.D395474F@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 21:10:59 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9buq13$4m$0@208.207.71.161> <20010422110510.16452.00000458@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c08ic$2n8$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4C0C8.1B52B3E3@IEEE.org> <9c2jtd$kjv$5@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988077932 64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 21:05:32 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19913

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > See no evil, hear no evil, speak no evil.
> >
> > You, OTOH, see evil everywhere, hear evil everywhere, speak
> > evil everywhere. It's called paranoia, Dick.
>
> Uh, Cecil it shouldn't be necessary to point out that it is YOU who are
> the Libertarian....

Libertarians are the the most skillful people that I know of at
recognizing real evil, Dick. Evil does not lurk behind every bush.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3661681882832772939
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 19:23:23 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 21:21:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988078558
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 21:15:58 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19914

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Evidently you've never seen the inside of a high powered transmitter.
> Anyone groping within had better know, and know well, in advance where
> the gotchas are located.

I don't work on stuff that I know nothing about, Dick, do you?
If someone is foolish enough to work on a 1,500 watt transmitter without
knowing what he's doing, he deserves to be on the list for the Darwin awards.
And I don't recall any Q&As on any of the exams that would qualify someone to
work on a 1,500 watt transmitter.

Is there a governmental requirement to pass a test on poisonous snakes
before anyone is allowed to pick one up? Get real, Dick. Only a fool
would think there is a way to protect a fool from himself.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4292398943636989624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 19:29:38 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4E48E.A6BD7C68@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 21:27:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kg0$njg$1@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988078934
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 21:22:14 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19915

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > Ham radio is simply not like falling 10k feet out of the sky. Anyone

> > who thinks ham radio is anywhere near as dangerous as piloting an
> > airplane is too far gone to reason with.
>
> In case you hadn't heard- you might as well fall 10,000 feet as 10,
> most of the time. The medics say that if you fall your own height you
> are at high risk for life threatening injury.

I used to jump off two-story houses for fun. But what does falling have
to do with ham radio? Maybe you need a T-shirt that says:

"I'VE FALLEN AND CAN'T REACH MY VIBROPLEX"
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4014992195818250448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 19:38:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
In-Reply-To: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104240236580.3189-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 8
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 02:38:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988079893 12.80.44.18 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001 02:38:13 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 02:38:13 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19916

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001, Larry Klose wrote:
> As a Technician Plus, she had no HF frequency privileges
> below 28.5mhz other then Morse code CW emissions.

Excuse me. So 28.3-28.5MHz was withdrawn from voice modes? As of when?

> Like many United States missionaries that travel to ...

```
From 1890858587324220887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 19:43:40 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 21:41:42 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988079774
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 21:36:14 -0500)
Lines: 36
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15203
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19917
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Pedophiles, adult males who prey sexually on juvenile males, are a
> presumably small subset of homosexual males, who comprise a small
> percentage of all males. How the duece can you equate that to "murderers

> are a minority of men" and act as though it was in some way equivalent?
> Are you really that far out of touch?

Your statement says exactly the same thing, Dick. If you are going to condemn all homosexual males for the actions of a few, then to be consistent, you must condemn all males for the actions of a few or all blacks for the actions of the few or all whites for the actions of a few or all Jews for the actions of a few or all Germans for the actions of a few. It is a never-ending slippery slope.

> The Boy Scouts should not allow homosexuals to be scout leaders for
> the simple reason that it is well known that pedophiles naturally gyrate
> toward group settings where vulnerable juvenile males are concentrated,
> and those settings where they are most likely to be able to have close
> isolated personal contact with the youths.
> That alone is sufficient reason to eliminate homosexuals as scout
> leaders or officials in any capacity.

Then using exactly the same logic, heterosexual males should not be involved in the Girl Scouts where all those juvenile females are concentrated. After all, there are twice as many pedophiles among heterosexual males as there are among homosexual males.

But you missed my Libertarian point. If the Boy Scouts do not accept any public funding then they should have a right to bar anyone for any reason.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5649464949386703596
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 19:47:40 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4E8C7.E52CA815@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 21:45:27 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <9c1e0r$7rd$0@208.206.142.108> <20010423192141.12987.00000734@ng-cs1.aol.com> <9c2hmk$gbb$0@208.206.142.95>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988080014

```
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 21:40:14 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19918

Dick Carroll wrote:
> ... suddenly I'm an ogre of some sort.

Nope, you're wrong, Dick. It wasn't sudden. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 2266943700235555620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 20:13:25 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 03:12:43 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae4a8f4.162454457@news2.dvol.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3AE3FEEA.20EFE0E5@evl.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.174
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.174
X-Trace: 23 Apr 2001 22:09:19 -0500, 64.24.18.174
Lines: 63
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12175 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15204 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19919

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 05:07:39 -0500, A Marzo <aerome@evl.net> wrote:

>Don't you love these guys that sign their artwork? Can't fault a guy for voicing
>his opinion, that's how we all learn, but Jeez, have the balls to admit who you
>are!
>
What did you say your call was?
>
>I wonder what suggestion or implementation all the folks that love to bash the new
>rules came up with as a solution to the problem of declining participants.
>
Been a year now, we're still waiting . . .
>I
>don't think I've ever read any on the groups. I guess they're too busy protecting
>their turf. Maybe a ham boot camp that includes PT, engineering, 25 wpm code,
>demerits, cigarette smoking, etc., all on the prospect's nickel.
>
Would work for me.
>
>I propose a new class of license, the "LORD" Class. Just be able to have a closed
>mind, no solutions, pass the old advanced test,
>
Extra test.
>
> be able to work at a minimum of 25
>wpm and you will have "Lord" privileges. You can do what you please, say what you
>please, buy what you please, go as fast as you please and not be required to help
>anyone or anything - ever! Your word will be treated as scripture and all will
>bow at your presence!
>
Now we're really cookin'! Yeah, I like it.
>
>Having any ticket less that Lord Class will tag you as
>incompetent (with a Good Buddy armband requirement), give restaurant owners the
>right to not serve you and dogs permission to bite you.
>
No need for that.
>
>I'm not saying that the rule changes were good or bad, we'll all admit the overall
>abilities of the older hams are far above many of the new folks, but did any of
>you good ol boys ever think that by relaxing the code requirements, interest in
>the hobby would increase?
>

```
The zero-code ham ticket has been out there for 11 years.
>
> How many folks have gotten their tickets under the new
>rules and advanced themselves because of a greater desire to participate?
>
Care to explain how the act of getting a ham license "advances"
anything or anybody?
>
>Come
>on, raise your hands!  I don't feel anyone thinks less of you because of the new
>rules, but I can tell you that I fully respect the knowledge and talent you have,
>which is far above mine.
> At this point I can't keep up with your conversations,
>but you know what?  One day I will.  How about that?  the "new" rules may have
>given  birth to "fans"!
>
Then offhand I reckon you'd be better off working on catching up than
dorking around in this endless swamp.
>
w3rv



From -5187140430497374242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4bfc1f52789db745,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 20:54:26 PST
From: <ssdf@jsfkljsdf.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Need Windows 98 compatiable CW Practice.
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:53:34 -0700
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <3ae4f7f7_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Lines: 13
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You
may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/
abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!out!
spamkiller.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spk!anonymous!127.0.0.1!spk
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19920
```

Hi,
I am looking for a morse code practice program for Windows 98.
It can not be a DOS program because my sound card will not work
with it and my computer has no PC speaker. I have hunted
around and only find DOS type programs or programs that require
a PC speaker.

Anyone have any ideas?

Thanks,

From 5765472032344921287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 21:11:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 24 Apr 2001 04:11:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9c2ucu$lfk$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<3ae4d6a1$0$88186$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19921

Lary Klose wrote:
>
> It long past time to delete ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47CFR 97.503(a).
> However, we have no objection to the continued use of CW emissions.

It's long past time for you to shut the hell up about it.

From 3058229644664319780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 21:20:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 24 Apr 2001 04:20:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9c2uth$nu2$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org> <9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org> <9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org> <9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org> <9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org> <9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org> <9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com> <3AE4B8E4.C86B9D11@IEEE.org> <9c2i82$gbb$2@208.206.142.95> <3AE4DB24.B409A769@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19922

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The issue was testing to qualify hams to fulfill the basis and purpose
> > of ham radio, and Cecil and Ed both know it. They continue to niggle and
> > nibble at any and all code supporters who stand up for it.
>
> Here's what the discussion was about, Dick.
> Have you ever asked yourself: What is the most important function that
> hams perform? What knowledge/skill does a ham need to perform that
> function? Should arbitrary knowledge/skill requirements keep people
> capable of performing that most important function out of the ARS?

Your terminology is suspect as usual. "Most important" may not be congruent with all the elements of satisfied by the 'basis and purpose". If there was no reason for ham radio other than for emergency communications and the only requirement for those operators was merely

PTT or keyboard operating skill, then there would be no valid for the existance of an amateur radio service. You can't separate that single operator function from the other parts. An operator may need technical skills as well as just the ability to talk into a microphine. He might have to install a station and build and erect an antenna, solder PL259's to coax, install open wire line, build a twin lead Jpole for 2meters etc. in an emergency situation. Your point is way too dull to be effective.

From 5160746935965922893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 21:23:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 24 Apr 2001 04:23:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9c2v39$nu2$1@208.206.142.95>
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net> <20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134> <3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org> <9c0agm$87c$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4BEFF.DED854EA@IEEE.org> <9c2jmn$kjv$4@208.206.142.95> <3AE4DF93.9B2E2B5D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19923

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > CW ops stay out of the SSB portions of the band because they WANT to.
>
> Not because they have to. That defeats your own argument, Dick.

Only in YOUR mind. Do you believe all the SSB yakkers would refrain from using the lower ends of the bands without the mode segmenting? I certainly don't.

From -4888698097493452877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 21:30:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 24 Apr 2001 04:30:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19924

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Evidently you've never seen the inside of a high powered transmitter.
> > Anyone groping within had better know, and know well, in advance where
> > the gotchas are located.
>
> I don't work on stuff that I know nothing about, Dick, do you?

The difference is that you and I have knowledge enough to learn what we
are about to get into. Licensees whose entire body of technical
knowledge consists of an afternoon with Gordon West do not.

> If someone is foolish enough to work on a 1,500 watt transmitter without
> knowing what he's doing, he deserves to be on the list for the Darwin awards.
> And I don't recall any Q&As on any of the exams that would qualify someone to
> work on a 1,500 watt transmitter.

And it's not just the new licensee that is involved. Who knows who he
may inadvertantly and unknowingly expose to hazards?

>
> Is there a governmental requirement to pass a test on poisonous snakes
> before anyone is allowed to pick one up? Get real, Dick. Only a fool
> would think there is a way to protect a fool from himself.

 But "himself" isn't the only one that is involved.
 Hey Cecil, pick up that pretty black, yellow, and red rope lying there
will you?

From -6735504178113088037
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 21:31:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 24 Apr 2001 04:31:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9c2vip$pnm$1@208.206.142.95>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kg0$njg$1@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E48E.A6BD7C68@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19925

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > > Ham radio is simply not like falling 10k feet out of the sky. Anyone
> > > who thinks ham radio is anywhere near as dangerous as piloting an
> > > airplane is too far gone to reason with.
> >

> > In case you hadn't heard- you might as well fall 10,000 feet as 10,
> > most of the time. The medics say that if you fall your own height you
> > are at high risk for life threatening injury.
>
> I used to jump off two-story houses for fun. But what does falling have
> to do with ham radio?

You tell us...you brought it into the thread.

From 1711500445991275507
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 21:37:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: 24 Apr 2001 04:37:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15205
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19926

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
If you are going to
> condemn all homosexual males for the actions of a few,

You can if you wish, I don't and didn't. I said that it is simply
prudent to keep homosexual males from young boys based on a considerable

body of experience. If you don't agree that's your problem.

```
From 6265384865421498190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 22:51:01 PST
From: Keith <nospamssdf@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 22:50:02 -0700
Reply-To: nworegon@excite.com
Expires: Thu, 7 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <3ae51342$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Lines: 25
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You
may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/
abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-
out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
spamkiller.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spk!anonymous!127.0.0.1!spk
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19927
```

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 01:51:39 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:

>Larry,
>
>To use the story of two tragic deaths in order to further editorialize
>on your pet anti-morse testing peeve makes you look like an unfeeling
>jerk. The woman's death had nothing to do with amateur radio or with
>her lack of HF access.
>
>Dave K8MN

Besides there are no frequency restrictions on hams in South America.
If you have a license you get to operate.

--
Best Regards,

Keith
----
http://members.home.net/keithew1/nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio topics.
Amateur Radio is a international resource and asset.
Need a daily digest of rec.radio.swap newsgroup? Join
recradioswap at Yahoo! Groups.

From 8570754887033092202
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 22:55:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 01:55:44 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-127.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988091732 164045 206.74.49.127 (24 Apr 2001 05:55:32
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 05:55:32 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15206
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19928

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> But you missed my Libertarian point. If the
> Boy Scouts do not accept any public funding

> then they should have a right to bar anyone
> for any reason.

So what do you think of LA's recent decision to limit the boy scout's use of public facilities in that city, Cecil? A large number of groups, like the boy scouts, use those facilities each year and I'm sure the city has not checked membership rules of those other groups. In other words, the boy scouts were singled out solely because gay groups decided to target that specific organization. Of course, this leaves the boy scouts in a no-win situation - the best way to fight this rule is to find other organizations with similar rules (showing the rule was unfairly applied to them alone), however to do so would mean those other organizations might face the same harassment the scouts are now facing (something that nobody would wish on their worst enemy). I'm ashamed the city of Los Angeles, one of the largest cities in this country, would choose gay groups over the BSA (and I'm sure those gay groups still get to use the public facilities in LA).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -8030557369366849673
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 23:32:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 02:33:01 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <3AE51DFB.87BC2824@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C6D9.89C5E8F3@bctv.com> <3AE11A1C.9BB79512@IEEE.org>
<3AE21655.7768D118@bctv.com> <3AE26023.FC54A719@IEEE.org>
<3AE3D887.6BBE0D15@sccoast.net> <6aYE6.1997$QV4.159797@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-127.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988093962 164742 206.74.49.127 (24 Apr 2001 06:32:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 06:32:42 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19929

K0HB wrote:
>
> Why does anyone have a problem with
> Cecil buy beer on Sunday? What
> is different about having a tall cool
> one on Sunday as opposed to any other
> day of the week?

Anyone can have a beer anytime they want, Hans. However, since the state is responsible for licensing alcohol sales, they have the right to set rules concerning those sales. And, in some parts of this country, the residents of those states feel a law restricting sales on Sunday is exactly what they want. By the way, the primary reason for these laws is to shut down bars, and strip clubs, so people don't have to deal with that nonsense on their way to and from church on Sunday (or, for those not so religious, while out on family recreational activities).

> [snip] But as soon as they begin
> inquiring into people's private morals
> or enforcing blue laws, they become
> nothing more than armed clergymen.

The police don't enforce the blue laws, Hans. That is the responsibility of the businesses with alcohol licenses (in the story I gave, the police were enforcing the shoplifting law, not the blue law). And, again, nobody is "inquiring into people's private morals." You're free to get as drunk as your morals desire - even on Sunday, Hans.

I know you don't like not having the ability to buy alcohol on Sunday, but you're not the sole resident of this country (and neither is Cecil). When the state makes laws, they have to find a balance between what you want and what others want. And I'm sure lawmakers felt that allowing you to buy alcohol six days a week, and banning sales one day a week, was a more than generous balance between the desires of those who want to get drunk and those who want no sales at all.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2621488179451534488
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: fa029,f00ac64e5fa6fad5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 23:54:42 PST
From: Keith <nospamssdf@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 23:53:50 -0700
Reply-To: nworegon@excite.com
Expires: Thu, 7 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Lines: 76
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!spamkiller.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spk!anonymous!127.0.0.1!spk
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.scanner:16624 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19930

13.10.010 Shortwave radio receiver defined.

As used in this chapter, "shortwave radio receiver" means and includes any radio receiver or other device capable of receiving messages or communications transmitted on any radio transmission station operating on a frequency between 1600 kilocycles and 2500 kilocycles, or on a frequency between 30 megacycles and 40 megacycles, or between 150 megacycles and 160 megacycles. (Ord. 5462 � 1, 1950: Ord. 4322 � 1, 1944.)

13.10.020 Installing or using shortwave radios in vehicles prohibited without permit.

Except as provided in Section 13.20.050 of this chapter, every person who, without obtaining a permit from the sheriff or from the forester and fire warden authorizing him to do so, equips any vehicle with, or operates any vehicle equipped with, a shortwave radio receiver, is guilty of an infraction. (Ord. 83-0066 � 90, 1983: Ord. 5462 � 2, 1950: Ord. 4322 � 2, 1944.)

13.10.050 Exemptions from permit requirements.

The provisions of Section 13.10.020 of this chapter do not apply to:

A. A vehicle owned or operated by the United States, the state of California, any county, city and county, or municipality of this state, or any subdivision, agency or instrumentality of the foregoing if the department head or other governmental officer in responsible control over the operation of such vehicle has expressly ordered, authorized or approved the use of a shortwave radio receiver therein;

B. Any vehicle owned or operated by a person or association of persons licensed by the Federal Communications Commission to operate a fixed or mobile shortwave transmission station, one of the authorized purposes of which is to communicate by radio with such vehicle or with other vehicles owned or operated by such person or association. The owner of such vehicle coming within the foregoing exemption shall inform the sheriff of such use and give him the license number of the vehicle. Failure to do so is a misdemeanor;

C. Mobile telephone equipment for use in conjunction with communication service furnished by public utilities under the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission of the state of California or the Federal Communications Commission. (Ord. 4861 � 1, 1947; Ord. 4322 � 4, 1942.)

13.10.070 Violation -- Penalty.

Violation of this chapter is an infraction punishable by:

A. A fine not exceeding $50.00 for a first violation;

B. A fine not exceeding $100.00 for a second violation within one year;

C. A fine not exceeding $250.00 for each additional violation within one year. (Ord. 12264 � 1, 1980: Ord. 4322 � 7, 1942.)

13.10.080 Severability.

If any provisions of the ordinance codified in this chapter, or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of such ordinance, and the application of such provision to other persons or circumstances, shall not be affected thereby. (Ord. 4322 � 6, 1942.)

--
Best Regards,

Keith

```
----------------------------------------------------------------
http://members.home.net/keithew1/nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio topics.
Amateur Radio is a international resource and asset.
Need a daily digest of rec.radio.swap newsgroup? Join
recradioswap at Yahoo! Groups.
----------------------------------------------------------



From 6350895309325311049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 23:57:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 02:57:26 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3AE523AB.A03FBD40@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3AE46677.6896@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-127.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988095424 165071 206.74.49.127 (24 Apr 2001 06:57:04
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 06:57:04 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19931

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> And what if quasi-marine style "Rambo" cop
> decides to confiscate my legal IC706 because
> he is convinced that I am the "CBer" causing
> the interference to the complainant?  You see,
> Dwight, I know of cops like that around here.
> Once they strap on a gun and a badge, they think
> their sh** don't stink, they are right
> regardless, and a citizen is always wrong.  Have
> you ever tried to explain something to one of
> THOSE kinds of cops, Dwight?
```

Yes, I've met arrogant cops before, Jerry. But I've never met one
who would openly, and willfully, violate the law over something
anywhere near as simple as a CB radio complaint would be (and that
includes the cop in your little story). Therefore, to suggest this is
something that the average person should be gravely concerned about
when it comes to HR-2346 is absolutely absurd. In other words, lets
try to keep this discussion within the realm of reality.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 7919878440849444007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 00:39:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 03:39:54 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 88
Message-ID: <3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-127.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988097970 166670 206.74.49.127 (24 Apr 2001 07:39:30
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 07:39:30 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19932
```

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > What "required knowledge," Bill? A cop
> > doesn't have to be an expert to recognize

> > and gather evidence. For example, a cop
> > doesn't have to be a gun expert to pick
> > up stray bullets or shell casings off the
> > ground for evidence.
>
> True, but then you had better have a
> ballistics expert testify as to the meaning
> of what was found.

No kidding, Bill. That's what I've been saying all along. A cop doesn't have to be an expert to do his job - experts are brought in later, if needed, to testify about any evidence gathered. All the cop has to have is enough knowledge to recognize a probable violation, gather information, and gather evidence. Again, when it comes to HR-2346, any testimony of an electronics nature would involve an expert called during any legal hearing into the matter.

> > In whatever situation, all the cop has to have
> > is enough knowledge to do his job, which is to
> > gather evidence properly or perform whatever
> > test is available properly.
>
> If you don't think cops need to be qualified/
> certified on certain technologies, you have
> much to learn. Here in NJ, a cop needs to be
> trained and "certified" to use and be able to
> testify as to the readings obtained with a noise
> meter in cases involving nuisance complaints
> due to noise.

Are we talking in circles here, Bill? I just got through saying that a cop has to have enough knowledge to do his job (gather evidence and perform whatever test), and then you come back with a rant about me not thinking a cop needs to be qualified. I'll repeat it again (using your word this time so you'll understand what I'm saying) - yes, a cop has to be "qualified" to do his job. But that does not suggest for a moment that the cop has to be an electronics expert to do his job, or any other kind of an expert to do his job. If expert testimony is required to give details about that illegal radio (or whatever), that expert can be called later in the courtroom.

> PLEASE tell us how a cop can do that at all?
> There are thousands of legal CB radios that
> can modified without much difficulty and
> which, when modified, show no external signs
> of being modified.

Oh, good grief! I just answered that a few messages ago in this

very thread, Bill. So that I don't have to keep repeating myself for
every single person who joins this thread, please go back and read my
previous answer.

> > And, as I've already said in another message,
> > it does not take great skill or knowledge to
> > do that. If expert evidence is needed, that
> > can be obtained by having the device tested
> > by an expert after it is collected from the
> > scene. And, in most cases, that expert will
> > not even have to show up in court - his
> > report will stand unless challenged.
>
> No attorney of any credibility will allow an
> expert witness report stand on stipulation.

Reports from experts are entered into evidence every day in
courtrooms across America, Bill. And, in the great majority of those
cases, the report is never challenged. In fact, about the only time
it would be challenged is if the lawyer, or his expert, found a
weakness in the report. Otherwise, most opposing lawyers would rather
not draw too much attention to a report that adds evidence against his client.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3690924298803195024
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 00:51:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 03:52:18 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net

NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-127.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988098712 166993 206.74.49.127 (24 Apr 2001 07:51:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 07:51:52 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19933

Sam Grasso wrote:
>
> [snip] How in the world are they going to
> know about CB radios unless they take a
> training course and are well versed in
> CB's [snip]

Actually, Sam (and here is your answer also, Bill), since legal CB equipment has a type-acceptance sticker on it, and illegal equipment does not, here is one extremely simple method that could be used to determine if the device is illegal for the unlicensed operator. And I can think of several additional methods that would be just about as simple.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 5150048712492859575
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 01:24:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 04:24:53 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3AE53815.8BA2AF65@sccoast.net>
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net> <Bc_E6.2196$QV4.178951@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-127.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988100664 167097 206.74.49.127 (24 Apr 2001 08:24:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 08:24:24 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19935

K0HB wrote:
>
> Over the weekend, US and Peruvian warplanes
> cooperated in shooting down an unarmed
> missionary flight, killing Veronica Bowers,
> KD4CKM and her infant daughter, while
> practicing interception techniques.

They were not "practicing interception techniques." They were engaging what they thought was a aircraft transporting drugs, with no flight plan filed and no response to radio challenges.

> A few weeks ago a US warship sank a Japanese
> fishing boat, killing 9, including
> schoolchildren, while practicing surfacing
> their boat.

They were not "practicing surfacing their boat." They were giving a demonstration of that submarine's ability to surface quickly - a demonstration the Captain was ordered to do by fleet headquarters when conducting tours for visitors (more in another thread).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 3229372749361152068
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 01:45:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!newsfeed.infoave.net.MISMATCH!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shoot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 04:45:34 -0400

Organization: -
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3AE53CEA.62B2F983@sccoast.net>
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-127.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988101904 167914 206.74.49.127 (24 Apr 2001 08:45:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 08:45:04 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19936

Keith wrote:
>
> Apparently one of the murdered missionaries
> in Peru was a ham. Sadly they are the latest
> innocent victims in America's ever growing
> drug war.

Gee, the deaths of those two people certainly provides another opportunity to trash the drug laws again, doesn't it, Keith? Are you planning to write that on their grave stones also? Sorry, but those two people are not victims of the drug war. They're victims of a flight plan that didn't get listed properly, and a pilot that didn't monitor the proper frequencies. Had either of those two things occurred, those people would be alive today (drug war or not).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 504134340593417491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 02:14:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 05:15:02 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3AE543CB.4C54E824@sccoast.net>

```
References: <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<20010422205835.12542.00000712@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-127.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988103668 168322 206.74.49.127 (24 Apr 2001 09:14:28
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 09:14:28 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19937
```

"Jim Wayda" wrote...

>
> The bottom line is that we need to
> eliminate code entirely and not worry
> about classifications based upon code
> speed. Code is a thing of the past.
> It's time to get over it!!

Oh, come on, guys (Ed, Richard, Larry, Cecil, and Dick). Read the darn subject line. When Jim said to that "we need to eliminate code entirely," he was obviously addressing the subject (code testing). He even mentioned "classifications based upon code speed," which is a testing issue having little to do with people using code on the radio. And he is right - when it comes to code testing, code probably should be a thing of the past.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -6490830793397450509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 03:11:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
```

```
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 04:43:43 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.233
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 988106859 208.154.158.233 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001 06:07:39
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 06:07:39 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15207
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19938


"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95...
>
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
>  If you are going to
> > condemn all homosexual males for the actions of a few,
>
>
>  You can if you wish, I don't and didn't. I said that it is simply
> prudent to keep homosexual males from young boys based on a considerable
> body of experience. If you don't agree that's your problem.

It may or may not be prudent but I question whether it is possible.
Depending on safety devices that don't work is at best futile and at worst
fatal.

Dick N�BK




From -1937045029924935802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 03:11:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C6D9.89C5E8F3@bctv.com> <3AE11A1C.9BB79512@IEEE.org>
<3AE21655.7768D118@bctv.com> <3AE26023.FC54A719@IEEE.org>
<3AE3D887.6BBE0D15@sccoast.net> <6aYE6.1997$QV4.159797@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE51DFB.87BC2824@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 60
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <MfcF6.2534$RB3.1111117@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 04:52:01 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.233
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 988106860 208.154.158.233 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001 06:07:40 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 06:07:40 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19939

Don't overthink the problem Dwight. The biggest reason bars close on
Sunday is that the bar owners want to.

Douglas County in NE is 'dry' which in NE means beer and package. Omaha
which covers most of it is quite wet but doesn't open until noon on
Sunday. You have never seen so much beer sold in a six hour period from
6AM to noon anywhere outside the Quebec ballparks where they don't sell in
the stands..

Dick BK
"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3AE51DFB.87BC2824@sccoast.net...
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > Why does anyone have a problem with
> > Cecil buy beer on Sunday? What
> > is different about having a tall cool
> > one on Sunday as opposed to any other
> > day of the week?
>
>
>   Anyone can have a beer anytime they want, Hans. However, since the
> state is responsible for licensing alcohol sales, they have the right
> to set rules concerning those sales. And, in some parts of this
> country, the residents of those states feel a law restricting sales on
> Sunday is exactly what they want. By the way, the primary reason for
> these laws is to shut down bars, and strip clubs, so people don't have
> to deal with that nonsense on their way to and from church on Sunday

```
> (or, for those not so religious, while out on family recreational
activities).
>
>
> > [snip] But as soon as they begin
> > inquiring into people's private morals
> > or enforcing blue laws, they become
> > nothing more than armed clergymen.
>
>
>   The police don't enforce the blue laws, Hans.  That is the
> responsibility of the businesses with alcohol licenses (in the story I
> gave, the police were enforcing the shoplifting law, not the blue
> law).  And, again, nobody is "inquiring into people's private morals."
>  You're free to get as drunk as your morals desire - even on Sunday,
Hans.
>
>   I know you don't like not having the ability to buy alcohol on
> Sunday, but you're not the sole resident of this country (and neither
> is Cecil).  When the state makes laws, they have to find a balance
> between what you want and what others want.  And I'm sure lawmakers
> felt that allowing you to buy alcohol six days a week, and banning
> sales one day a week, was a more than generous balance between the
> desires of those who want to get drunk and those who want no sales at
all.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net




From -7329331585865722428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 03:19:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 06:19:16 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
```

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 62
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12180
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15208 rec.radio.amateur.policy:19940

I still can't believe people who keep wanting to hold the code so near and dear. If you want to use this form of communication, great. It is outdated. Keeping it does not attract new hams. Kids with computers are just not excited by the prospect of morse code. People have to get used to change and quit clinging on to the past.

Second, the ARRL can't win. If they support all hams passing a 30 WPM code test, the coders are happy and everyone else gets pissed. If they support no-code, all the old timers that cling to the past get pissed. They can't win.
"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com...
> On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 07:20:16 GMT, anti-arrl@worthless_org.org (Ringo
> Ranger) wrote:
>
> >w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in
> ><20010422111053.16452.00000461@ng-fl1.aol.com>:
> >
> >>Maybe because the Board resolution that was passed said that ARRL
> >>position was not to oppose any change in S25 wrt code testing...
> >>
> >>73,
> >>Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> >How much bonus money is the ARRL Board paying you for you to imply
something
> >to the readers by making a statement like that? For the Board to say
they are
> >not going to propose eliminating code, but they won't oppose a change,
is the
> >same thing.
>
> Please take a class in logic and understanding and
> then revisit what you just said.
>
> >That's like saying: we're not going to set the building on fire, but if
one
> >starts, we'll let it burn.
>
> One is arson, one is not. If you can't see that...
>
> >It's either the sin of commission or the sin of omission.
>
> Ahh well, back to the religious endorsement of morse.

```
>
> > I guess the ARRL
> >will get what it wants, to dumb down amateur radio further.
>
> The usual argument that some of the PCTA falls to when
> they run out of logic.
>
> >This time it
> >won't have to lift a finger to do it. They can come out looking like the
> >good-guy. Thank Ed, checks in the mail.
>
> My renewal went in for 3 years last September.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
```

```
From -5048885363121454989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 03:27:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 06:27:17 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <teal7lqmps8ua4@corp.supernews.com>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 58
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15209
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19941
```

If little boys are twice as likely to molest little girls, then we keep
little boys away from the Girl Scouts.

If homosexual mean are more likely to molest boys, we keep homosexual men away from Boy Scouts.

So yes, does that answer the question?

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > > ....and I'm supposed to be "TOLERANT" of these people?
> > >
> > > Hitler was a heterosexual.
> >
> > How do you know? There is a school of thought that says otherwise.
>
> There was a special on Hitler's sex life on the History Channel a
> few weeks ago. He drove his female cousin crazy with his perversions.
>
> > Generalizations are dangerous. So is ignoring a large body of
> > experience which shows trends that are dangerous. Many homosexual men
> > are known to prey specifically on young boys. Should parents just ignore
> > that fact since they are a minority of homosexual men?
>
> A minority of men are murderers. Do you ignore them? Do you consider
> every man a murderer until proven otherwise? There is an extreme
> danger in collectivism. That has been proven over and over down
> through history. When are we ever going to learn to protect ourselves
> and our loved ones in general and judge each individual in particular?
>
> Little girls are twice as likely to be molested by a heterosexual male
> as little boys are likely to be molested by a homosexual male. Should
> we not protect our little girls? A strange male is twice as likely to
> be a molester of little girls as he is to be a molester of little boys.
>
> Condemning all members of any group for the actions of some members of the
> group is simply an evil thing to do. That is the basis for collectivisms
> like racism and sexism.
>
> However, private organizations should have the right to admit or reject
> anyone they choose. If the Boy Scouts don't accept any public money,
> they have the logical right to reject men with brown eyes if they so
> choose. I know that's politically incorrect, but that's the way things
> should be. It broadly comes under the heading of property rights.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -340589919054714979
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 03:53:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 10:52:35 GMT
References: <3ae4d3e2$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010424065235.13569.00000722@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19942

>From: "Larry Klose" lklose@net-link.net

>It my semantics Ed

If your words don't mean what words mean, how can anyone have any faith in your word?

>If don't like QSY. :-)

That would make a great motto -- "Have it our way or go somewhere else." :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 487944033088572306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 03:55:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 10:54:25 GMT
References: <9c2jmn$kjv$4@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010424065425.13569.00000723@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19943

```
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>The day that band segments are dropped we will see that there is no such
>reciprocity from the SSBers.

Do you really believe the CW is such a great morality filter?  If so, why is it
not administered to school teachers, judges, police officers and politicians?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -3709518878215702466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 03:58:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 10:57:56 GMT
References: <9c2jbh$kjv$1@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010424065756.13569.00000724@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19944

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> If they use their call signs and talk with others who also have a call
>sign, it
>> is called Amateur Radio, Dick.  I have no problem with that.

> Me too. But unlike you, I'm concerned when they don't.

Your allegation that I am not concerned when they don't is either extreme
ignorance or a bold-faced lie.  Can you explain which it is, Dick?  You are
getting a bit below the belt with such tactics and they do not speak well for
you.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

From 897392980226654579
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 04:01:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 11:00:33 GMT
References: <9c2j7l$kjv$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010424070033.13569.00000725@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19945

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> A lot of the problem is merely the result of prevailing attitudes. If
>hams were still nearly all gentlemen, as it was, one might suggest that
>the problem would be self solving.

Perhaps more hams would be gentle if certain old timers would start setting a good example and acting gentle instead of spouting insults and innuendo. I will believe you are concerned about the gentleness of hams when you feel it applies to your conduct here. I have seen you do many things that I do not consider to be good examples.

Can you explain why you feel that gentle conduct should apply to others and not yourself?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8016896795477866941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 04:05:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 07:05:31 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-69.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988110323 171678 204.116.70.70 (24 Apr 2001 11:05:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 11:05:23 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19946

Since I know a good number here have military backgrounds, I'm sure most have followed the events surrounding the submarine accident (involving the Japanese boat several weeks ago). Therefore, I know most will have heard the results of the Captain's Mast today (written reprimand).

I don't think the Captain of that submarine should have received any form of punishment at all. If fleet wanted such a dangerous activity like that done while visitors were aboard, they should at least stand behind the Captain if, and when, an accident occurs. Instead, we have what appears to be yet another military member falling on his sword to cover the shortsightedness of his superiors.

This is especially true when, in my opinion, the Captain didn't really do anything wrong. A big fuss was made about the amount of time he looked through the periscope (about 90 seconds instead of the recommended 180). However, that recommended three minutes is for normal surfacing operations, not the procedure that was being demonstrated - zero seconds are recommended for that procedure (emergency surfacing), so 90 seconds was more than reasonable for a simulation like that.

Furthermore, it really doesn't matter how long he looked through the periscope. Since he had not seen the approaching vessel during the time he was looking (the vessel was not visible in the conditions that existed that day), he would not have saw it approaching no matter how long he had been looking - two minutes or two hours. The accident would still have occurred if that submarine surfaced at that moment.

People have to understand that the military's job is a dangerous one, and occasionally accidents like this are going to happen. There is no way to prevent them. Heck, we have civilian boating accidents all the time, even without the complexities of military missions thrown in. In reality, it is a wonder, and a credit to the military, that we don't have far more accidents. And, like there is often no way to prevent those accidents, there is often no particular person at fault - the accident is simply the result of pushing men and machines to the limits. This incident appears to be one of those accidents where nobody was truly at fault. The procedure that submarine was doing is dramatic and obviously dangerous to nearby vessels. The crew did it's job well (even if not perfectly). The Captain did his job well (even if not perfectly). What more can we expect from human beings?

Anyway, I understand why the Navy decided to punish the Captain, and why the Captain decided to take that punishment without a fight, but I don't think it is right in the end. Doing it for the good of the country, or for the good of the Navy, is not right if an innocent person is punished, or if that hides a real problem (the military's tendency to find a scapegoat whenever something goes wrong, or even when something doesn't go wrong but it looks bad).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -7399977452059394440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 04:06:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 11:05:33 GMT
References: <9c2i3u$gbb$1@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010424070533.13569.00000726@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19947

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Can you offer more than a vague generalization that there are small tips
>>and hints that "prove" that Bill is lying when he tells us that NCI does not
>> have a secret agenda to eliminate Morse code use on the ham bands?

> So who says that Bill sets NCI's agenda?

You have, Dick, on numerous occasions. Bill has said that he speaks for NCI when he states that the organization is not opposed to the use of Morse code. The NCI web page states that the organization is not opposed to the use of Morse code. Yet you persist in this "secret agenda" paranoia. NCI has a secret agenda, ARRL has a secret agenda. You probably believe it is coincidence that all of those organizations and individuals whose views differ from your own are hiding some secret.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 5132810204559495780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 04:09:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 11:08:35 GMT
References: <9c2hmk$gbb$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010424070835.13569.00000727@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19948
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> We can play "maybe" all day on things that I am not aware of and you do not
>> remember...

> Ed, you're turning into a real sourpuss. I mention dropping my League
>membership in disgust because ARRL sued the FCC over something so
>trivial that several years later I can't recall what the trifling (in my
>opinion) matter was about, and suddenly I'm an ogre of some sort. Do you
>get out of the house much?

Yes, I do, Dick. I do a fair amount of travel, mostly on ARRL business, and spend most of my weekends outdoors working on my old pickup truck or my yard.

Why do you ask?

As to my sourpusseshness, I pointed out that it is pretty petty to drop your League membership over something not important enough to remember. I still find that a bit odd.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>
>

```
>



From 8126597738229719480
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 04:12:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 11:11:13 GMT
References: <9c2hgc$fo6$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010424071113.13569.00000728@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19949
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Dick, could this be the filing that you are so bitter about so many years
>> later?

> There you go again, creating attitudes that were never there. I was not
>bitter then. I WAS a bit disgusted with ARRL heirarchy.

I wasn't talking about then, Dick; I have no way of knowing how you felt then. Perhaps you chose not to renew your membership without having any bitter feelings at the time.

I just assumed that you were bitter now because you have brought up this undefined and unremembered issue repeatedly on the newsgroup, in a context that led me to believe you were bitter about it. If you assure me that you were not bitter, but disgusted, I will accept you at your word.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
And it as not
>the case Phil cited. I remember that one.
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 8185713862181527699
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 04:13:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 11:12:58 GMT
References: <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010424071258.13569.00000729@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19950

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>A Tecbnician class license, obtainable easily from sitting through a
>single day of "cram school" ...

Have you memorized the 1000 Russian words in a weekend to prove to everyone how easy this is, Dick?

I suspect not.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -905083088412413843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 04:15:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 11:15:01 GMT
References: <9c2ucu$lfk$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010424071501.13569.00000730@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19951

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> It long past time to delete ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47CFR 97.503(a).
>> However, we have no objection to the continued use of CW emissions.

> It's long past time for you to shut the hell up about it.

Another example of how gentleness has become lost within Amateur Radio, Dick? Good thing you only expect that gentleness be exhibited by others avoiding the use of the CW part of the band with other modes.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3397020776543330371
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 04:18:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 11:17:19 GMT
References: <9c2fbc$97l$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010424071719.13569.00000731@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19952

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>These clowns prove it more and more with every post.

More examples from Dick on how to be a gentleman?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3953278601716l9213
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 04:22:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet

From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 24 Apr 2001 11:22:41 GMT
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9c3nm1$gi5$0@216.155.33.106>
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.33.106
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19953

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >No sane person wants a mode war
>
> Hmmm, Cecil on the no-code-test side feels there won't be a mode war and Dick,
> on the pro-code-test side feels there will. I wonder if we can surmise which
> side would start the war?

Ed:

Obviously, it would be started by the microphone jockeys. They're the ones who
will
need the added lebensraum in the future.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5125969886132957308
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 04:42:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 11:42:28 GMT
References: <20010421132458.14184.00000232@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010424074228.20927.00001434@ng-cg1.aol.com>

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19954

>Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/21/01 1:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>I think nursie is seeing people that aren't there...

As opposed to Lennie the Blind who can't see people who ARE there!

LOL!

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 6959464017041737450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:20:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4B85F.2AA7FC2@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:18:55 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can. And possibly Should!
References: <9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <20010423065142.17773.00000688@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988067558 64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 18:12:38 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!

```
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19854

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > Ah, so you believe that no ham should be required to fill any function
> >other than the most important one. We see. Whatta concept.
>
> Hams are not required to fulfill any function, Dick.

Note that I didn't say anything about requiring hams to fulfill any function.
Dick is big on forcing hams to satisfy his idea of what a ham should be.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3618921896874538921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:22:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:21:41 GMT
References: <9c1e0r$7rd$0@208.206.142.108>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010423192141.12987.00000734@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19855

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> As I said, I frankly don't remember the reason but it seemed entirely
>inappropriate and trivial at the time, to me.

I see; it is not important enough to remember and not important enough to
forget.  If it was really trivial at the time, why has it become so important
to you now that you feel it necessary to bring it up on this forum several
times running now.

>Maybe they were trying to get FCC's attention. I imagine they suceeded.
```

We can play "maybe" all day on things that I am not aware of and you do not remember...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3143711470171491834
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:22:20 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4B8E4.C86B9D11@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:21:08 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988067691 64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 18:14:51 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19856

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> > Ah, so you believe that no ham should be required to fill any function
> >other than the most important one. We see. Whatta concept.
>
> You haven't answered Cecil's question.

Not only that, but he falsely implied that I think hams should be required
to fill the most important function. I, not being a fascist, did not say
any such thing.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3021533688622023328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:24:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:23:12 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc90xq1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010423192312.12987.00000735@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19857

>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam

>The last one that I find in the comm law digest is when the
> FCC proposed giving more of the joint ISM-amateur shared bands to
> the non-licensed Part 15 bunch, and the ARRL filed suit in the DC
> Circuit (U S Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit)
> for an immediate stay preventing the FCC's decision from going into
> effect (and the wireless industry flooding the market with gadgets),
> pending the results of further interference studies which were
> underway.

Dick, could this be the filing that you are so bitter about so many years
later?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9132792223209516105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:26:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:25:59 GMT
References: <3ae43867.7254272@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010423192559.12987.00000738@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19858

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>Let me be very specific: IF NCI ever takes
>a position to forbid morse use, I will resign
>immediately from the NCI BOD and as a member.

While we are speculating, what do you think your reaction will be if NCI takes
a position that band/mode allocations should be eliminated?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4059057550985690175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:27:30 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4BA1C.CD845EA0@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:26:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <9boavf$fum$0@208.206.142.79> <20010420082011.12859.00000262@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqcm$eh1$0@208.207.71.134> <3ae08001.3324290@news.mindspring.com>
<9br1sg$rb5$0@208.207.71.151> <3ae1a904.5133369@news.mindspring.com>
<9c01h2$cc8$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE39636.E2692017@IEEE.org>
<9c0ehg$jb9$0@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988068004
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 18:20:04 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
news.stealth.net!newspeer.cts.com!feed1-out.uncensored-news.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19859

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I already have far more vacuum tubes I could expect to use any any
> conceivable circumstances.

And I have far more HF transceivers than I could expect to use under
any conceivable circumstances. There's no conceptual difference, Dick.
Both are simply black boxes to which to be interfaced.

> You couldn't do that with four transistors.

Of course, 20 transistors cost less than four tubes. And there probably
exists one IC that will do the job of those 4 tubes.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3054198010636026810
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:30:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:29:19 GMT
References: <3ae436d4.6851105@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010423192919.12987.00000739@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19860

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>For the most part, freebander activities are of litle
>consequence to legitamate hams...as long as that
>freebanding stays out of the ham bands.

Somehow, the term "freebander" makes it sound so noble. Substitute "federal felon" for the term "freebander" and see how it reads.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8959700340525785802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:31:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:30:21 GMT
References: <9c1i0d$i0i$0@208.206.142.108>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010423193021.12987.00000740@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19861

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>And now easy ham radio licenses
>brings them on into ham radio, to use their callsign or not, as whim and
>who is on the other end of the instant QSO dictates.

If they use their call signs and talk with others who also have a call sign, it is called Amateur Radio, Dick. I have no problem with that.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7643502116773212965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:33:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:32:25 GMT
References: <3ae41a5e$0$62140$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010423193225.12987.00000742@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19862

>From: "Larry Klose" lklose@net-link.net

>Hans proposed a substantial simpler Part 97.

>To which I replied: "Too simple, never fly".

No, you replied:

>> >What no code test? Too simple, never fly.

The first sentence adds an entirely different "feel" to it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5717779425851563072
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:33:32 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4BB87.40BAF2EF@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:32:23 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
References: <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151> <20010421145621.29813.00000441@ng-ff1.aol.com> <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101>
<ZXYE6.2077$QV4.167682@www.newsranger.com>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988068365
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 18:26:05 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19863

K0HB wrote:
> I don't doubt that some whacko might propose such a ban, but
> only a complete paranoid would take the idea seriously.

So the question to ask is: Is Dick taking the idea seriously?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3557871591095425024
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:36:27 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4BC34.38E0BB55@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:35:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-fl1.aol.com> <3AE337D7.94AF8F45@IEEE.org> <9c0ajb$87c$1@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121

```
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988068539
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 18:28:59 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19864
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Cecil has said that his primary interest in ham radio is in
> experimenting iwth antennas, so it should come as no surprise that he
> know so little about what goes on on the air.

I work 40m SSB splits, Dick, with the foreign hams transmitting in
the middle of the CW/data portion of the band. I haven't seen any
wars yet.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 3889796160980423194
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:39:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4BCD5.47989DA0@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:37:57 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <9c086v$e2$0@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988068700
```

64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 18:31:40 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19865

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So then you have CW ops at a KW competing with SSB ops
> running at least that level. Result? Chaos.

The foreign SSB ops in the US CW/data portion of of 40m have
been doing it for many, many years without any detectable chaos.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3309563485315058850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:47:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:47:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9c2etm$7p5$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org> <9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org> <9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org> <9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org> <9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org> <9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3ae43b5e.8013073@news.mindspring.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19866

Bill Sohl wrote:
> It is still completly possible for hams to build their own
> >stations using discrete components, it is done all the time. But NOT by
> >many recent licensees who memorized the question pool..
>
> And if one were to stack up that "homebrew" rig against
> a commercial transciever, HT or mobile, just how good
> would it be.

??? As good as it was built to be, of course.
 Let's see now - you're saying that since no individual can readily
build gear that competes with commercial gear, hams shouldn't need to
build anything. Since they don't need to ever build anything, you agree
with Cecil that there is no reason for hams to know anything about radio
or electronics technology. So we are in the final analysis nothing but a
bunch of CBers in your and NCI's view.

From -8128369747947149537
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:48:35 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4BEFF.DED854EA@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:47:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
<3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
<3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org> <9c0agm$87c$0@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988069271
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 18:41:11 -0500)
Lines: 28

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19867

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Nuts is believing that "hoards of freebanders" were going to invade the
> > ARS after April 15, 2000.
>
> If you had a clue you'r know that the freebanders infiltrated long
> before restructuring, and many held ham licenses and continue to to hold
> them as they still work their illicit activities.

Then you admit that, contrary to your earlier prediction, freebanding is
not associated with restructuring and freebanders were there before
restructuring.

> Why do you almost never hear a CW QSO up in the phone portions, where
> they have always been completely legal?

Your question disproves your argument, Dick. CW is NOT prohibited from
the phone part of the band yet the gentlemen's agreement works there just
fine like it works just fine for foreign ham bands. You shot your own
argument down.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7185870489044095651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:54:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:54:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9c2fbc$97l$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19868

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 23 Apr 2001 05:22:24 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >W6RCecilA wrote:

> >> It is still completely possible to build an automobile from scratch, Dick,
> >> but virtually nobody does it anymore. Times have changed. Hams simply do not
> >> need to know how to build their own rigs anymore.
> >
> > Nor have they since the introduction of factory built units. So you
> >then believe that there is never a need for a ham to be able to build
> >anything at all. Very curious. If you had your way, nothing not on the
> >leding edge would be allowed to remain in existance. You come across as
> >the most zealous of zealots.
>
> Commercial gear has been available since at least the
> 1930s. Since that time (70+ years) it is absolutely
> true that a ham might never need to be able to build
> anything. The issue isn't the choice to build, Cecil doesn't
> oppose that at all. Cecil merely notes that today, for
> most hams, building there own is NOT a choice. In
> the 50s and 60s, we could "build our own" and save money
> because parts were available from junked TVs, old military
> surplus, etc. Them days are over.
>

Really!!?? No wonder for most hams building is not a choice! Today they don't learn enough to throw their own HF dipole together! So how the devil would they ever begin go think about building a radio?

Hey Bruce, where are you? You had it right all along,"Without CW it's Just CB"!
These clowns prove it more and more with every post.

From 195787321827554217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:54:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:54:15 GMT
References: <3AE4B7CE.CB8648BF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010423195415.12845.00000792@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19869

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Building electronic equipment is NOT a requirement for hams. Some choose to
>build and some choose not to build. That is exactly as it should be. We
>don't need fascism in this country.

It this analogy were true, Cecil, one could argue that the FCC regs do not require a ham to even operate, so under the Cecil principle, no exams would need be given.

I don't think that many are going to buy that one, Cec'.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6971607706701999155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:56:01 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4C0C8.1B52B3E3@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:54:49 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9buq13$4m$0@208.207.71.161> <20010422110510.16452.00000458@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <9c08ic$2n8$0@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988069712
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 18:48:32 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!earthquake.efnet.com!
efnet.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19870

Dick Carroll wrote:
> See no evil, hear no evil, speak no evil.

You, OTOH, see evil everywhere, hear evil everywhere, speak
evil everywhere. It's called paranoia, Dick.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6586975827811589559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 16:57:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 Apr 2001 23:57:23 GMT
References: <9c2etm$7p5$0@208.206.142.95>
```

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010423195723.12845.00000793@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19871

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>So we are in the final analysis nothing but a
>bunch of CBers in your and NCI's view.

Bill, is it an NCI policy that hams should not have to know any radio or electronics technology?

Do you think a majority of the present NCI Board of Directors would vote for such a policy?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2995169040538693299
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:11:40 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:10:32 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988070655
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 19:04:15 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19872

Dick Carroll wrote:
> It always amazes me that so many highly educated people are incapable
> of seeing the larger picture. And in so many different ways. Cecil
> thinks that just because ham radio is a hobby it can't be serious or
> dangerous.

It's hard to see how any ham could badly injure himself with his IC-706.
It is the responsibility of each individual ham to protect himself from
danger. One shouldn't climb a 100ft tower without a safety harness and I
didn't see a word about that on any of the amateur radio exams I have
ever taken (including the Extra). Please present the Q&A from any of
the exams that will ensure foolproof safety protection for hams.

Ham radio is simply not like falling 10k feet out of the sky. Anyone
who thinks ham radio is anywhere near as dangerous as piloting an
airplane is too far gone to reason with.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -833042515540615 27
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:19:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 24 Apr 2001 00:18:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9c2gpj$c29$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151> <20010421145621.29813.00000441@ng-ff1.aol.com> <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101>
<ZXYE6.2077$QV4.167682@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19873

K0HB wrote:
>
> In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, Dick Carroll blurted out:
>
> > Do you really believe that none of the anticoders would dump code
> > from ham radio instantly if they just had the opportunity?
>
> > But the drive to abolish mode allocations in the HF
> > bands, should it ever come to fruition, might change
> > that opportunity.
>
> Since CW is allowed virtually everywhere (no other mode enjoys
> that status in the US) and is the second most popular mode of
> operation on HF, your premise that Morse might be banned not only
> lacks credibility -- it is outright laughable!
>
> I don't doubt that some whacko might propose such a ban, but
> only a complete paranoid would take the idea seriously.
>

And you're the genius who is so certain there will be 'no problem' with
dropping all band segments. Where'd you buy all those brains?

```
From 257573405497330249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:19:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4C63D.B5CC44CF@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:18:05 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<20010422205835.12542.00000712@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3AE3F4A6.87F5EB6C@sccoast.net>
<m%XE6.2394$kh.1726377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988071110
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 19:11:50 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
```

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19874

Jim Wayda wrote:
> Thanks for the clarification. I did not intend for code to be abolished.

Read what you wrote again and consider what it says:

> The bottom line is that we need to eliminate code entirely ...

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6581667013193470721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:22:10 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4C6EC.BD167CA1@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:21:00 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com> <20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com> <nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AE39A61.1950B58B@IEEE.org> <9c0enc$jb9$2@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988071284 64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 19:14:44 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19875

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jim Wayda wrote:
> > > The bottom line is that we need to eliminate code entirely and not worry
> > > about classifications based upon code speed. Code is a thing of the past.
> > > It's time to get over it!!
> >
> > Pretty ridiculous statement, Jim. Do you also want to kill all the horses?
>
>  You're amazing. You live in Texas and you don't know that horses are
> very much used on ranches to work cattle.

I know that. And I know that CW is very much used within the ARS. The same
logic holds. If code should be eliminated then so should horses for the
same reason.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -988965482721149679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:26:41 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4C7F6.763CCC0D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:25:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <9c0enc$jb9$2@208.206.142.101> <20010423065525.17773.00000690@ng-
fl1.aol.com>
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988071554
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 19:19:14 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19876

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >You're amazing. You live in Texas and you don't know that horses are
> >very much used on ranches to work cattle.
>
> And Morse code is used on the HF bands for weak-signal work and for the pure
> enjoyment of using it.
>
> Do I assume correctly that in Texas, one is not required to demonstrate
> proficiency in using a horse before one is given a license to drive a car?

One time there was a 19th century outlaw who killed a guy in Arkansas, stole
his horse, and fled to Texas. He was hanged in Texas for horse theft, not
for murder.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2860921727289405527
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:31:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: 24 Apr 2001 00:31:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9c2hgc$fo6$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc90xq1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<20010423192312.12987.00000735@ng-cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19877
```

W1RFI wrote:

```
>
> >From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam
>
> >The last one that I find in the comm law digest is when the
> >  FCC proposed giving more of the joint ISM-amateur shared bands to
> >  the non-licensed Part 15 bunch, and the ARRL filed suit in the DC
> >  Circuit (U S Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit)
> >  for an immediate stay preventing the FCC's decision from going into
> >  effect (and the wireless industry flooding the market with gadgets),
> >  pending the results of further interference studies which were
> >  underway.
>
> Dick, could this be the filing that you are so bitter about so many years
> later?
```

There you go again, creating attitudes that were never there. I was not bitter then. I WAS a bit disgusted with ARRL heirarchy. And it as not the case Phil cited. I remember that one.

```
From 8313722897508494483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:34:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: 24 Apr 2001 00:34:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
```

```
Message-ID: <9c2hmk$gbb$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <9c1e0r$7rd$0@208.206.142.108> <20010423192141.12987.00000734@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19878
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > As I said, I frankly don't remember the reason but it seemed entirely
> >inappropriate and trivial at the time, to me.
>
> I see; it is not important enough to remember and not important enough to
> forget. If it was really trivial at the time, why has it become so important
> to you now that you feel it necessary to bring it up on this forum several
> times running now.
>
> >Maybe they were trying to get FCC's attention. I imagine they suceeded.
>
> We can play "maybe" all day on things that I am not aware of and you do not
> remember...

Ed, you're turning into a real sourpuss. I mention dropping my League
membership in disgust because ARRL sued the FCC over something so
trivial that several years later I can't recall what the trifling (in my
opinion) matter was about, and suddenly I'm an ogre of some sort. Do you
get out of the house much?

```
From 5704673951831437072
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:41:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 24 Apr 2001 00:41:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9c2i3u$gbb$1@208.206.142.95>
References: <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101> <20010423063211.17773.00000682@ng-
```

fl1.aol.com> <9c1gig$eaf$0@208.206.142.108> <mG0F6.285$R5.1229600@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19879

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>

> Can you offer more than a vague generalization that there are small tips and
> hints that "prove" that Bill is lying when he tells us that NCI does not
> have a secret agenda to eliminate Morse code use on the ham bands?

So who says that Bill sets NCI's agenda?

From -3128543209648387038
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:43:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 24 Apr 2001 00:43:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9c2i82$gbb$2@208.206.142.95>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE4B8E4.C86B9D11@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19880

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> > > Ah, so you believe that no ham should be required to fill any function
> > >other than the most important one. We see. Whatta concept.
> >
> > You haven't answered Cecil's question.
>
> Not only that, but he falsely implied that I think hams should be required
> to fill the most important function. I, not being a fascist, did not say
> any such thing.

The issue was testing to qualify hams to fulfill the basis and purpose
of ham radio, and Cecil and Ed both know it. They continue to niggle and
nibble at any and all code supporters who stand up for it.

From -6945649277124131115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:44:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 24 Apr 2001 00:44:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9c2i9r$gbb$3@208.206.142.95>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4B7CE.CB8648BF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19881

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So you
> > then believe that there is never a need for a ham to be able to build
> > anything at all. Very curious. If you had your way, nothing not on the
> > leding edge would be allowed to remain in existance. You come across as
> > the most zealous of zealots.
>
> Actually, you come across as the fascist - trying to force people to learn
> something for their own good. The need for a ham to be able to build anything
> comes from that ham as an act of freedom of choice. I know that you do NOT
> believe in freedom of choice, Dick, but freedom of choice is imminently
> appropriate in a hobby in a free society.
>
> Building electronic equipment is NOT a requirement for hams. Some choose to
> build and some choose not to build. That is exactly as it should be. We
> don't need fascism in this country.

You have made it cler what you want of ham testing - no requirements at
all.

```
From 1403820325560523902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:46:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shoot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 00:43:12 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AE4CC20.79E3731B@bctv.com>
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 988073182 46634 63.87.116.25 (24 Apr 2001 00:46:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Apr 2001 00:46:22 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19882
```

Keith wrote:

>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/04/23/1/?nc=1
>
> Apparently one of the murdered missionaries in Peru was a ham.
> Sadly they are the latest innocent victims in America's ever growing drug
> war.
>

Dope war, you mean.

Only a dope would think it's A) winnable, or B), worth fighting in the
first place.

```
From 3792578633548547581
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:49:54 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:48:45 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988072949
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 19:42:29 -0500)
Lines: 46
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15199
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19883

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > ....and I'm supposed to be "TOLERANT" of these people?
> >
> > Hitler was a heterosexual.
>
> How do you know? There is a school of thought that says otherwise.

There was a special on Hitler's sex life on the History Channel a few weeks ago. He drove his female cousin crazy with his perversions.

> Generalizations are dangerous. So is ignoring a large body of
> experience which shows trends that are dangerous. Many homosexual men
> are known to prey specifically on young boys. Should parents just ignore
> that fact since they are a minority of homosexual men?

A minority of men are murderers. Do you ignore them? Do you consider every man a murderer until proven otherwise? There is an extreme danger in collectivism. That has been proven over and over down through history. When are we ever going to learn to protect ourselves and our loved ones in general and judge each individual in particular?

Little girls are twice as likely to be molested by a heterosexual male as little boys are likely to be molested by a homosexual male. Should we not protect our little girls? A strange male is twice as likely to be a molester of little girls as he is to be a molester of little boys.

Condemning all members of any group for the actions of some members of the group is simply an evil thing to do. That is the basis for collectivisms like racism and sexism.

However, private organizations should have the right to admit or reject anyone they choose. If the Boy Scouts don't accept any public money, they have the logical right to reject men with brown eyes if they so choose. I know that's politically incorrect, but that's the way things should be. It broadly comes under the heading of property rights.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 536714229779218937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:54:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:53:41 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 823b07d8.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=S]:W[X`fJg7iAcBM^EMG<;LoK8f=:?
J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7eb6IGfBBQ06lBFDaL\d^n56V@[Y8NgC]2
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19884

Mrs. Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, and infant daughter, silent key.

From QRZ, written by PATRICK HERMAN
~~~~~~
The Missionary plane shot down over Peru by the Peruvian military, included the loss of a ham. Veronica "RONNIE" Bowers KD4CKN. Ronnie and her husband Jim Bowers KD4CKM were on there way to Iquitos Peru from Benjamin Constant in Brazil.

Muskegon, Mich-native Veronica "Roni" Bowers, 37, and her 7-month-old daughter, Charity, were killed and pilot Kevin Donaldson was wounded when their Cessna was shot down by a drug-sniffing Peruvian aircraft. A U.S. surveillance plane monitored Peru's downing of the plane carrying American missionaries, a U.S. Embassy official said Saturday. Map courtesy www.larepublica.com.pe "There was a US government tracking aircraft in the area in support of the Peruvian intercept mission," was the official statement from an embassy official in Lima. "As part of an agreement between the US and Peru, U.S. radar and aircraft provide tracking information to the Peruvian Air Force on planes suspected of smuggling illegal drugs in the region. U.S. government tracking aircraft used for this program are unarmed and do not participate in any way in shooting down suspect planes Jim and Corey returned to the United States Sunday. They are in North Carolina, where they will spend time with family members before returning home to
~~~~~~

Muskegon.

Thanks for all the Prayers, Patrick Herman KI8EP cousin of Jim Bowers"
~~~~~~

The United States government is involves to aerial warfare
in a far off land trying to solve domestic consumption problem.
Makes no sense.

As a Technician Plus, she had no HF frequency privileges
below 28.5mhz other then Morse code CW emissions.
Like many United States missionaries that travel to
distance lands to help other, they need access to
HF bands for long distance communications back
to the United States. Until just recently our government
required applicants to pass an unnecessary 13 & 20 wpm
Morse code exam. This test served no regulator purpose,
and is not in conformity with 5 U.S.C 706.
Makes no sense.

It long past time to delete ITU rule S25.5
and FCC rule 47 CFR 97.503(a).

Larry KC8EPO

From -2565663916925796917
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:56:33 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4CEFC.161A612F@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:55:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE46CDB.60E@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
~~~~~~

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988073349
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 19:49:09 -0500)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15200
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19885

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> It has always made me wonder why the queers are so all-fired bent on
> getting into Scouts by and while rubbing their lifestyle in our faces.
> They already CAN participate in Scouts! All they gotta do is join, do
> the activities, keep quiet about their sexual prefs., and keep their
> hands to themselves.

No doubt a lot of them do just that.

> I would rather deny the
> privilege to one group in order to protect our most precious resource:
> our kids. Better safe than sorry sounds about right.

Thus are the seeds of collectivism. If only one child's life is saved,
guns should be outlawed. It's a slippery slope.

The solution is to allow private organizations to select their members
and reject *anyone* for *any* reason. It falls under the heading of property
rights. IMO, if the Boy Scouts don't accept any public money, then they
have a logical right to bar gays or even anyone with brown eyes.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7903197462601659707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 17:59:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4CF96.4A7634CF@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 19:57:58 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADFA914.9AAAB53D@sccoast.net> <3ADFBB48.DD3922D1@IEEE.org>
<3AE10BB4.D72E4470@sccoast.net> <3AE11BDA.E2B86B23@IEEE.org>
<3AE20E0D.2DB@bellsouth.net> <3AE26069.5B2E28FA@IEEE.org>
<3AE37618.76E4@bellsouth.net> <3AE39CF9.9AC4EF73@IEEE.org>
<3AE4691E.477A@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988073502
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 19:51:42 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19886

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> Touche, Cecil, touche.

Hope you got a chuckle out of that. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1743898947309686510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:00:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 24 Apr 2001 01:00:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9c2j7l$kjv$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <9c086v$e2$0@208.206.142.101> <20010423062659.17773.00000678@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c1eu5$7rd$1@208.206.142.108> <4K0F6.286$R5.1229665@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19887

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > > Many nations of the world do not regulate by sub-band. Can you offer
> any
> > > examples of nations that have had such a mode war?
>
> >   Can you offer any examples of other nations who have HF licensed
> > (1.5KW authorized and frequently used on SSB) in the high numbers that
> > we have?
> > I thought not. In fact I was sure of it.
>
> There are none that meet those criteria.
>
> Now, I answered your question; will you answer mine?

So far as I am informed there has been no other nation with the numbers of hams that would cause the crossmode congestion of the sort that is rather certain to result if the band mode segments were dropped. I do clearly remember when SSB was beginning to make inroads into AM in the 50's and 60's, here within the US of A... lots of contentious scrapping was invloved.

A lot of the problem is merely the result of prevailing attitudes. If hams were still nearly all gentlemen, as it was, one might suggest that the problem would be self solving. Unfortunately we have far too many hams today who disdain the term.

And at that, the FCC saw fit (rightly I am convinced) to partition the bands long ago, and with good and sufficient reason (probably as much to protect foreigh operations as anything else). Nothing has since happened that would indicate that hams have moved in the direction of better cooperation such that any modification of the band segments is indicated.

From -5519994107464970763
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9028c066f7b451b1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:01:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Riley says 40M may be cut - restructuring a stroke of brilliance
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:00:39 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3AE4D036.93EEC619@ix.netcom.com>
References: <200104231732.f3NHWLt27956@mail.mailgate.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0e.8e.40
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 01:00:40 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19888

Some time ago I heard about a proposal to move the ham band on 40 down a 100KHz, so it would start at 6.9MHz and end at 7.2MHz. Thus avoiding the broadcasters above 7.2MHz. Who/what is allocated in 6.9 to 7MHz anyway?

From -63514797985698570
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:02:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.

Date: 24 Apr 2001 01:02:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9c2jbh$kjv$1@208.206.142.95>
References: <9c1i0d$i0i$0@208.206.142.108> <20010423193021.12987.00000740@ng-cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19889

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >And now easy ham radio licenses
> >brings them on into ham radio, to use their callsign or not, as whim and
> >who is on the other end of the instant QSO dictates.
>
> If they use their call signs and talk with others who also have a call sign, it
> is called Amateur Radio, Dick. I have no problem with that.
>

Me too. But unlike you, I'm concerned when they don't.

From -2550044341922539037
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:05:17 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4D104.695E13A0@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:04:04 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com> <_OLE6.1383$QV4.109002@www.newsranger.com>
<3ae47767.10954797@news.mindspring.com>
<7K1F6.25268$B22.6013190@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988073873 64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 19:57:53 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19890

Sam Grasso wrote:

> Bill, So am I stuck on 10 meters then?

You can do a decent job with an 8ft vertical section and a
large top hat along with a large ground plane. If I were you,
I would install a 100ft by 50ft top hat at exactly the legal
height limit and I would make it as ugly as possible. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6415172239209318269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:05:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 24 Apr 2001 01:05:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9c2jgs$kjv$2@208.206.142.95>
References: <9bqs2h$5vc$0@208.207.71.151> <20010421145621.29813.00000441@ng-

ff1.aol.com> <9c03o1$ioa$0@208.206.142.101>
<ZXYE6.2077$QV4.167682@www.newsranger.com> <3AE4BB87.40BAF2EF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19891

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> K0HB wrote:
> > I don't doubt that some whacko might propose such a ban, but
> > only a complete paranoid would take the idea seriously.
>
> So the question to ask is: Is Dick taking the idea seriously?

Ya never k now, Hans was whacko enough to demand ending band mode
segments.

From -1505105700246001869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:06:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 24 Apr 2001 01:06:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9c2jhu$kjv$3@208.206.142.95>
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <9c086v$e2$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4BCD5.47989DA0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19892

W6RCecilA wrote:
>

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So then you have CW ops at a KW competing with SSB ops
> > running at least that level. Result? Chaos.
>
> The foreign SSB ops in the US CW/data portion of of 40m have
> been doing it for many, many years without any detectable chaos.

Yeah, both of them.

From 7850765728435164614
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:08:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 24 Apr 2001 01:08:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9c2jmn$kjv$4@208.206.142.95>
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
<3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
<3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org> <9c0agm$87c$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4BEFF.DED854EA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19893

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Nuts is believing that "hoards of freebanders" were going to invade the
> > > ARS after April 15, 2000.
> >
> > If you had a clue you'r know that the freebanders infiltrated long
> > before restructuring, and many held ham licenses and continue to to hold
> > them as they still work their illicit activities.
>

> Then you admit that, contrary to your earlier prediction, freebanding is
> not associated with restructuring and freebanders were there before
> restructuring.
>
> > Why do you almost never hear a CW QSO up in the phone portions, where
> > they have always been completely legal?
>
> Your question disproves your argument, Dick. CW is NOT prohibited from
> the phone part of the band yet the gentlemen's agreement works there just
> fine like it works just fine for foreign ham bands. You shot your own
> argument down.

You really have no clue, do you? Despite your longtime license.
CW ops stay out of the SSB portions of the band because they WANT to.
The day that band segments are dropped we will see that there is no such
reciprocity from the SSBers. In particular, the code haters.

```
From -8577906255903582401
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:08:55 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4D1DD.9717AA2F@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:07:41 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE39DDA.D5064AFA@IEEE.org>
<oB1F6.25261$B22.6008154@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988074089
64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 20:01:29 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
```

Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!feeder.via.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19894

Sam Grasso wrote:
> Easier said than done.

Well, failing that, I would put up the biggest, ugliest legal
antenna that I could. :-) An 8ft vertical section between a
large ground plane and a large top hat would make a pretty
effective antenna. You could even mount a screwdriver antenna
in those dimensions.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1397464705040297922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:12:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 24 Apr 2001 01:12:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9c2jtd$kjv$5@208.206.142.95>
References: <9buq13$4m$0@208.207.71.161> <20010422110510.16452.00000458@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c08ic$2n8$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4C0C8.1B52B3E3@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19895

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:

> > See no evil, hear no evil, speak no evil.
>
> You, OTOH, see evil everywhere, hear evil everywhere, speak
> evil everywhere. It's called paranoia, Dick.

Uh, Cecil it shouldn't be necessary to point out that it is YOU who are the Libertarian....

From -47527638483261106
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:14:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4D30D.38A9EFD8@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:12:45 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988074397 64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 20:06:37 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19896

K0HB wrote:
> Due to lack of hostile targets in peacetime, preparation for war can consist of
> vectoring allied fighters to shoot down missionary planes, and sinking fishing
> boats full of schoolchildren.

Isn't it OK to kill if it saves just one life?
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6826257906017413034
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:15:41 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4D367.1397E84@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:14:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com> <3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988074494 64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 20:08:14 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19897

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> And no one goes out and practices on innocent civilians.
> At least not the Western nations.

Is Peru a Western nation?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4350539169838234978
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:19:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 24 Apr 2001 01:19:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19898
```

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  It always amazes me that so many highly educated people are incapable
> > of seeing the larger picture. And in so many different ways. Cecil
> > thinks that just because ham radio is a hobby it can't be serious or
> > dangerous.
>
> It's hard to see how any ham could badly injure himself with his IC-706.
> It is the responsibility of each individual ham to protect himself from
> danger. One shouldn't climb a 100ft tower without a safety harness and I
> didn't see a word about that on any of the amateur radio exams I have
> ever taken (including the Extra). Please present the Q&A from any of
> the exams that will ensure foolproof safety protection for hams.
>
> Ham radio is simply not like falling 10k feet out of the sky. Anyone
> who thinks ham radio is anywhere near as dangerous as piloting an
> airplane is too far gone to reason with.
```

How CAN a fellow of your education be so far off base??

A Tecbnician class license, obtainable easily from sitting through a single day of "cram school" wherein the applicant learns nothing beyond a few memory tricks with which to answer at least 70% of the very questions with their exact answers he learned in the same session -- the graduate gets full 1,500 watt privileges on a vast array of frequencies using gear that he has no clue to what is inside..which at that power level definitely has hazardous voltages that -to me as a former Aircoupe owner and Cessna jock- make a simple takeoff and landing a piece of cake.

Evidently you've never seen the inside of a high powered transmitter. Anyone groping within had better know, and know well, in advance where the gotchas are located.

```
From 1792045065099306967
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:19:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae41a5e$0$62140$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010423193225.12987.00000742@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 21:15:02 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3ae4d3e2$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 70a7a3ab.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=Q17<hA>oG3SNZUVAGj=[M^LoK8f=:?J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWC8BT6R[J?
8VlBFDaL\d^nU6V@[Y8NgC]R
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19899
```

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010423193225.12987.00000742@ng-cs1.aol.com...

> >From: "Larry Klose" lklose@net-link.net
>
> >Hans proposed a substantial simpler Part 97.
>
> >To which I replied: "Too simple, never fly".
>
> No, you replied:
>
> >> >What no code test? Too simple, never fly.
>
> The first sentence adds an entirely different "feel" to it.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

It my semantics Ed, I also send code with my shoe on.
If don't like QSY. :-)

Larry

From 6284348257583621163
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:22:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 24 Apr 2001 01:22:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9c2kg0$njg$1@208.206.142.95>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19900

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Ham radio is simply not like falling 10k feet out of the sky. Anyone
> who thinks ham radio is anywhere near as dangerous as piloting an
> airplane is too far gone to reason with.

In case you hadn't heard- you might as well fall 10,000 feet as 10, most of the time. The medics say that if you fall your own height you are at high risk for life threatening injury.

From 8211094134408645677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:24:49 PST
Message-ID: <3AE4D58A.EAB5D368@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:23:22 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shoot Down in Peru are Hams
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988075042 64.240.47.121 (23 Apr 2001 20:17:22 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19901

Keith wrote:
> Apparently one of the murdered missionaries in Peru was a ham.
> Sadly they are the latest innocent victims in America's ever growing drug
> war.

Shades of Orwell's "1984".
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8817896184843737044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:29:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3ae34a56$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-
link.net> <Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2001 21:26:45 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3ae4d6a1$0$88186$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 70a7a3ab.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=mj5m:78]gX_L:]83Yo7ZD[LoK8f=:?
J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWBdaO<NBoDJRlBFDaL\d^nU6V@[Y8NgC]R
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:19902
```

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:Y4LE6.1888$kh.1116951@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...

> The bottom line is that we need to eliminate code entirely and not worry
> about classifications based upon code speed. Code is a thing of the past.
> It's time to get over it!!

It long past time to delete ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47CFR 97.503(a).
However, we have no objection to the continued use of CW emissions.

Go to http://www.nocode.org/

Larry kc8epo

```
From -1930285072830151473
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-23 18:39:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: 24 Apr 2001 01:39:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.95
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15201
rec.radio.amateur.policy:19903



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > > ....and I'm supposed to be "TOLERANT" of these people?
> > >
> > > Hitler was a heterosexual.
> >
> >  How do you know? There is a school of thought that says otherwise.
>
> There was a special on Hitler's sex life on the History Channel a
> few weeks ago. He drove his female cousin crazy with his perversions.
>
```

> > Generalizations are dangerous. So is ignoring a large body of experience which shows trends that are dangerous. Many homosexual men are known to prey specifically on young boys. Should parents just ignore that fact since they are a minority of homosexual men?
>
> A minority of men are murderers. Do you ignore them? Do you consider every man a murderer until proven otherwise? There is an extreme danger in collectivism. That has been proven over and over down through history. When are we ever going to learn to protect ourselves and our loved ones in general and judge each individual in particular?
>
> Little girls are twice as likely to be molested by a heterosexual male as little boys are likely to be molested by a homosexual male. Should we not protect our little girls? A strange male is twice as likely to be a molester of little girls as he is to be a molester of little boys.
>
> Condemning all members of any group for the actions of some members of the group is simply an evil thing to do. That is the basis for collectivisms like racism and sexism.
>
> However, private organizations should have the right to admit or reject anyone they choose. If the Boy Scouts don't accept any public money, they have the logical right to reject men with brown eyes if they so choose. I know that's politically incorrect, but that's the way things should be. It broadly comes under the heading of property rights.

Your logic is really amazing.

Pedophiles, adult males who prey sexually on juvenile males, are a presumably small subset of homosexual males, who comprise a small percentage of all males. How the duece can you equate that to "murderers are a minority of men" and act as though it was in some way equivalent? Are you really that far out of touch?

The Boy Scouts should not allow homosexuals to be scout leaders for the simple reason that it is well known that pedophiles naturally gyrate toward group settings where vulnerable juvenile males are concentrated, and those settings where they are most likely to be able to have close isolated personal contact with the youths.

That alone is sufficient reason to eliminate homosexuals as scout leaders or officials in any capacity.

```
From 8161029912651767778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 13:03:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 100
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 Apr 2001 20:03:25 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Message-ID: <20010424160325.08623.00001328@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20005

QUOTE FROM ARRL WEB PAGE

Amateur Radio Numbers Show Post-Restructuring Growth Spurt (Apr 20, 2001) -- Amateur Radio is experiencing a bit of a growth spurt in the wake of the amateur license restructuring that became effective a year ago this month. FCC licensing statistics as of the end of March--the most recent complete figures available--show a net gain of approximately 6600 current licensees, or about 1%, from last April, when restructuring went into effect. Prior to that, the number of amateurs had remained relatively stable since 1998.

END QUOTE

So much for the "new blood" theory of those who professed "Give Them No Code And They Will Come" camp.

Any "salvation" of Amateur Radio will NOT come from dropping the code, either as a testing requirement for HF or no-code for the rest of it, not that any salvation is necessary, but some seem to think so.

This is what Lennie calls a "strawman". The issue has been out there for 40 years now, 10 of which have had a no-code alternative. It's been a panacea, at best.

Other than occassional spurts here and there as other technolgy cycles have come and gone, Amateur Radio has pretty much appealed to a certain type of mindset, and try as some will to make it otherwise so, I imagine it will always remain this way.

Many will argue that there are faster, better ways to communicate. This is true.

But Amateur Radio is as much about FUN as it is about "technology". Anyone can pick up a cellphone, dial a series of numbers and be in contact with someone in Brisbane, Tokyo or Moscow in seconds.

But just dial up "any old number" on the old cellphone and say "howdy", and let me know what your reception on the other end is. When you send "CQ", however, regardless of the mode, you know you are going to be chatting with a like-minded person on the other end.

Not so if you just dial 867-5309 and take your chances...Jenny might just as easily call the cops on you for harrasment as engage you in a conversation.

It's also about learning. And like any other technology or technique, it requires the user to crawl before they can walk and to walk before they can run. And yes, there are those who can stand right up and take off in a trot "in no time flat", but that is the exception...NOT the rule.

Thankfully the FCC has not seen fit to micromanage the licensing structure, but they have, through the input of the ARRL and numerous public comments, created a licensing structure that SHOULD allow the average citizen to advance in such a manner as to have a certain degree of knowledge and competencey when they reach certain levels.

UNfortunately, we presently hamstring (pardon the pun) ourselves with a "program" which does absolutely nothing to really create a learning enviroment, nor does it foster the same degree of respect that it once did BECAUSE of that lack of enviroment.

Amateur licensees USED to have a degree of respect in as much as holding an Amatuer license meant that the holder had assimilated a certain degree of radio technology knowledge.

No longer.

How can we change this?

(1) Close the question pools. I know, "We have heard that before". However often repeated, it still does not make it any less true. Closing the pools to SPECIFIC Q's and A's will force prospective licensees to KNOW something about the subject matter.

(2) Foster and support the "Elmer" concept. Local clubs and individual volunteers who are ready, willing and able to walk the student through the hoops.

(3) Peer pressure of non-compliants. Just like it says. If someone doesn't want to "play nice", we let them know. I whole heartedly agree with those who suggest taht many "newcomers" don't ant to be subjected to the goings-on of some ner'-do-wells. And if this doesn't work, enforcement will. No one want's to swim in a pool that has a certain brown effluent in it...Jammers and the "Liberty Network" idiots (and likeminded jerks) are OUR brown effluent.

(4) Encourage club PARTICIPATION. Thee are lot's of folks who get an Amateur License for no other use than to pass "honey-do" traffic, and that's fine, I guess, but what a waste!

Whatever you do, it's RADIO! Have fun.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -7815072346311103362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 13:12:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE5DEA9.BAE5133A@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com> <teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3ae57146.743094079@news.accessunited.com> <9c4iut01p3o@enews2.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 20:12:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.174.235
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988143140 209.245.174.235 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001 13:12:20 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 13:12:20 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 13:10:56 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12197 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15227 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20006

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:

> The few kids that have an interest in radio usually have a parent
> or other older relative that's a ham. Most of the technogeeks today are
> interested in computers.

That's not going to last either. Once the "computer" becomes just another
appliance
that controls data transfer from fiber optic cables to various digital video and

data home gizmos, that'll be the end of it. Who the hell's gonna waste time with an appliance that downloads the latest bread recipes to your digital toaster? Maybe ham radio gets rediscovered.

> Burt Fisher, who is a bane of this newsgroup because of *how* he says things,
> has essentially been saying the same thing for years. Ham radio is
> predominately a hobby for white, overweight, retirees who need something to
> keep them away from their wives.

Great, so there is future for ham radio after all...

> Wayne Green amuses me when he gets on his high horse about ham radio's
> dwindling ranks. The interest isn't there. Even if it is, kids will grow bored
> with ham radio when they hear a bunch od old men on the HF frequencies talking
> about the weather, health problems, or "rig hr is...". As for contests, I'm
> tired of those after about 15 minutes. The same goes for DX and other
> "wallpaper" collecting.

Give it a few more years and the Internet as we know it today will be as boring as "rig hr is". Hell, I am already bored with it. You can only absorb so much Usenet crap, e-Bay hype, idiotic personal web pages, chat rooms for morons and porn. In the words of Homer Simpson: "Internet?! Is that thing still around?"

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From -7062769463945794429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 13:24:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:

rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 39
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 20:24:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.174.235
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988143892 209.245.174.235 (Tue, 24 Apr
2001 13:24:52 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 13:24:52 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 13:23:28 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12198
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15228 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20007

"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:

> I still can't believe people who keep wanting to hold the code so near and dear. If you want to use this form of communication, great. It is outdated.

With all due respect, this opinion is subjective. Fly fishing is still around but one would hardly call it obsolete just because there are commercial fishing boats out there. When the conditions get marginal and SSB signals fade into the noise, CW is a reliable form of communication. Therefore, people will still be able to dx around the world while those without CW knowledge are restricted by sunspots to talking to their neighbors.

> Keeping it does not attract new hams. Kids with computers are just not excited by the prospect of morse code. People have to get used to change and quit clinging on to the past.

Discarding it doesn't seem to be attracting new hams either. People who view ham radio as a substitute for cellphones are not hams in my dictionary.

> Second, the ARRL can't win. If they support all hams passing a 30 WPM code test, the coders are happy and everyone else gets pissed. If they support no-code, all the old timers that cling to the past get pissed. They can't win.

I somehow fail to see a ten mile lineup of people who can't wait for Morse code to be discontinued so they can jump into 160 m dxing, contesting and building radios. Would eliminating any and all testing increase the number of ham radio operators? Sure it would. Do we want to increase our numbers in such a way? No, we don't.

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

From 4285981709375003615
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 13:37:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AE5E3AB.83DFDE59@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 15:35:55 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c3nm1$gi5$0@216.155.33.106> <9c41ro$rpg$3@208.207.71.171> <3AE58BE7.99BB30D6@IEEE.org> <3AE5C9F2.9FE31FB4@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.41
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988144176 64.240.47.41 (24 Apr 2001 15:29:36 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!newspeer.cts.com!feed1-out.uncensored-news.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20008

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Who are those people, Dick, and do you think the FCC can be intimidated
> > by their demands?
>
> A partial list can be found at:
>
> http://www.nocode.org/board.html

Awwwwwhhhh Jim, give us an ever-loving break. Nobody at NCI wants to end CW use. They only want to end Morse code skill testing. Skill at PACTOR is not tested for typing ability.

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From -7979583250688649598
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 13:39:36 PST
Message-ID: <3AE5E440.AA9536CE@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 15:38:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9c2jbh$kjv$1@208.206.142.95> <20010424065756.13569.00000724@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9c41va$rpg$4@208.207.71.171> <lwhF6.305$R5.1261615@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.41
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988144327 64.240.47.41 (24 Apr 2001 15:32:07 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20009
```

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> Perhaps you are not aware of the number of conversations I have had with
> Brennan price on the various ways to address the 10-meter intrusion
> problems. I didn't mention it here because I thought we were all in

> agreement that 10-meter intrusion is a Bad Thing, so I didn't see a need to
> state the obvious. Obviously there was a need to state the obvious, so let
> me do so; 10-meter intrusion by people who are operating outside the scope
> of Part 97 is a bad thing.

Perhaps, if Dick would give us a list of licensed amateur radio operators
operating outside of Part 97, that would help.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1275353981096531798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 13:48:50 PST
Message-ID: <3AE5E66C.4277E179@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 15:47:40 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.41
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988144884
64.240.47.41 (24 Apr 2001 15:41:24 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!
```

```
feeder.via.net!204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12200
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15230 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20010

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> Therefore, people will still be
> able to dx around the world while those without CW knowledge are restricted by
> sunspots to talking to their neighbors.

All the hams to whom DXing around the world during the low of the sunspot
cycle is important, will be forced by reality to learn Morse code. Being
forced by reality to learn Morse code is magnitudes superior to being forced
by the FCC to learn Morse code.

> Discarding it doesn't seem to be attracting new hams either.

What????? Subtract out the nocode techs and what have you got?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3071271604587883527
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 13:50:27 PST
Message-ID: <3AE5E6C7.C54811E1@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 15:49:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3AE3FEEA.20EFE0E5@evl.net>
<ULiF6.103$rk6.2038@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.41
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988144975
64.240.47.41 (24 Apr 2001 15:42:55 -0500)
Lines: 11
```

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!newspeer.cts.com!feed1-out.uncensored-news.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12201 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15231 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20011

"M. Schneider" wrote:
> Too bad they're too big to spank.

Maybe we could hire Shaq to spank 'em.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3242144775019672419
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 14:04:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AE5EA08.49E5DEF2@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 16:03:04 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shot Down in Peru are Hams
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <9c48pd$cur$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.41
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988145809 64.240.47.41 (24 Apr 2001 15:56:49 -0500)
Lines: 34
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20012

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> Keith posted:
>
> > Apparently one of the murdered missionaries in Peru was a ham.
> > Sadly they are the latest innocent victims in America's ever growing drug
> > war.
>
> Pardon my sarcasm, but I think in the final analysis, this woman and her
> infant daughter will be written off as "collateral damage" by the CIA and
> their Peruvian minions. Just like the kids at Waco, Oklahoma City and
> Ruby Ridge. In their eyes, Law Enforcement is too important to worry about
> civilians who wander into the line of fire.

But, but, but, Jim, using their own argument - isn't it worth it if only one life is saved by calling off the drug war? Is the drug war worth the killing of children? I'm sure this is not the first time but of course, there is a bright side. That dead child will never use drugs so they did him/her a favor. A lot of drug users have been killed by the authorities and saved from ever using drugs again. Some drug users have apparently been murdered by the authorities in order to obtain their possessions under the RICO(sp?) laws.

When a civilian pilot is maimed/blamed and his passengers killed for not being on the "right" frequency over a Western country during peace time, the cat is out of the bag. The anti-drug Nazis are in control. God help the innocents who get stepped on in this fascist process.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5806562080456391268
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 14:10:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 14:07:22 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3AE5EB0A.A3E28884@uci.edu>
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <9c3nm1$gi5$0@216.155.33.106> <9c41ro$rpg$3@208.207.71.171>
<3AE58BE7.99BB30D6@IEEE.org> <3AE5C9F2.9FE31FB4@uci.edu>
<3AE5E3AB.83DFDE59@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20013
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Who are those people, Dick, and do you think the FCC can be intimidated
> > > by their demands?
> >
> > A partial list can be found at:
> >
> > http://www.nocode.org/board.html
>
> Awwwwwhhhh Jim, give us an ever-loving break. Nobody at NCI wants to
> end CW use. They only want to end Morse code skill testing. Skill at
> PACTOR is not tested for typing ability.

These are the words which preceded the question you asked:

> "like who is it that has stridently demanded
> their "right" to the airwaves, whether or not they conformed to the
> requirements."

I find nothing in those words about eliminating CW use. And similarly my response was unrelated to such an idea. Some of the folks on the list have indeed argued that requirements they have not met be eliminated - presumably in order that they might be able to obtain greater privileges.

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From -5878252112954624390
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 14:24:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9c2loh$rdm$0@208.206.142.95>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 17:19:28 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3ae5ee2a$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 5759bd67.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=Zb@F80loIZa=>N\5^GS<ljLoK8f=:?J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgC8BT6R[J?
8flBFDaL\d^nec\D7RL]0_Z`
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20014
```

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9c2loh$rdm$0@208.206.142.95...

>
> You have just reached a new and very deep, like outta sight, LOW.
> To opportunistically use this tragic, preventable incident to further
> your private jihad against all code testing is reprehensible beyond all
> rationalization.

The use of 13 & 20 wpm Morse code exams to bar otherwise
competent missionary operators was also preventable.
Unfortunately, a few procode forever over advocates corrupted
the public due process of FCC. The 13 & 20 wpm exams
should have ended in 1990, not 2000.

Larry KC8EPO

From -7607064855708172252

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 14:29:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!195.158.233.21!
news1.ebone.net!news.ebone.net!skynet.be!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104240236580.3189-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 17:26:27 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3ae5efce$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 5759bd67.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=\ElS@Ma8n\]L:]83Yo7ZD[LoK8f=:?J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWJamboPPn?
@[lBFDaL\d^nUc\D7RL]0_ZP
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20015

"D. Stacy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.10.10104240236580.3189-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Mon, 23 Apr 2001, Larry Klose wrote:

> > As a Technician Plus, she had no HF frequency privileges
> > below 28.5mhz other then Morse code CW emissions.
>
> Excuse me.  So 28.3-28.5MHz was withdrawn from voice modes?

You right, below 28.3mhz, or more precisely 28.1mhz,
since Novice and Tech Plus can use data between
28.1 and 28.3mhz.

Larry kc8epo




From 8055223751939953551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 14:39:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!newsfeed.cs.wisc.edu!
loops.cs.wisc.edu!nntp.twtelecom.net!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010424083646.20927.00001451@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 17:36:48 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3ae5f23b$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 5759bd67.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=kgah0^Z^Neo=>N\5^GS<ljLoK8f=:?J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgJamboPPn?
@klBFDaL\d^nec\D7RL]0_Z`
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20016

"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010424083646.20927.00001451@ng-cg1.aol.com...
>
>      It's a long time past to delete people who use horrific accidents to
> promote a personal agenda.

Is that a personal threat, or do mean you are going
to put me in your block sender list?

Larry  kc8epo




From -2216895994583817336
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:13:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!news.aros.net!not-for-mail
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shoot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:10:30 +0000
Organization: ArosNet Internet Services
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <3AE5F9D6.584FAA30@bctv.com>
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<20010424082747.20927.00001450@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Reply-To: kw@bctv.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: dsl-63-87-116-25.dsl.aros.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.aros.net 988150425 868 63.87.116.25 (24 Apr 2001 22:13:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@aros.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:13:45 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20017

Actually, the vast majority of these doe-related deaths are a direct result of the Dope War, in the same way similar deaths were common during Prohibition but stopped when that absurd policy went away.

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >Subject: Missionaries Shoot Down in Peru are Hams
> >From: Keith nospamssdf@home.com
> >Date: 04/23/01 5:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >Sadly they are the latest innocent victims in America's ever growing drug
> >war.
>
>   They are just the most obvious.
>
>   According to the AMA, there may be as many as 75 to 200 drug related
> deaths of children (defined as under 21 by them) in the United States alone
> DAILY. This includes deaths from the drug use itself, and violence related to
> the culture.
>
>   That's EVERY DAY. Where is the indignation and the pontificating from
> Hans and the others over THOSE deaths?
>
>   THOSE deaths aren't spectacular and DON'T fit Hans' rant against me, ergo
> they go unnoticed and without comment. Go figure.
>
>   These were horrific deaths. I hope there are some sort of repercussions
> for the controller who directed the attack and the pilot who pulled the
> trigger...but this situation should generate new intercept techniques and

```
> visual identification of targets.
>
>       A fly-by of the Cessna and a demand to land would have certainly been
> acknowledged and complied with by the missionary pilot.  A tragedy would have
> been averted.
>
>      Drug related deaths and tragedies won't end until the apathy about drug
> use and it's terrible toll on human life and our families ends.
>
> Steve Robeson, LPN
>
> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html


From -1572407033087361856
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:19:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!upp1.onvoy!onvoy.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!
newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae51342$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 18:17:17 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3ae5fbba$0$88186$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 00b0ceb5.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=en_V[2g_JoWKcT1HbNQe8RLoK8f=:?
J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWBdaO<NBoDJRlBFDaL\d^nUc\D7RL]0_ZP
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20018

"Keith" <nospamssdf@home.com> wrote in message
news:3ae51342$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com...
> On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 01:51:39 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
>
> Besides there are no frequency restrictions on hams in South America.
```

> If you have a license you get to operate.

In regards the foreign operation by USA citizens in South America, the FCC web site states: "The FCC does not have or provide information on the specific requirements for reciprocal operation in foreign countries. FCC-licensed amateur operators should make their arrangements with the appropriate governmental agency in the foreign country."

If what you say is true, then we have South American countries permitting USA citizens frequency privileges that their own country denies. Makes no sense.

When the privileges authorized by the FCC license grant are Technician Plus operation privileges, the corresponding CEPT operating privileges are CEPT radio amateur class 1. The Technician plus operating in Europe can use 20 meters, but can not use 20 while in the USA. Makes no sense.

Larry KC8EPO

From 18500550330816413
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:36:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:36:00 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3ae5ae08.1887634@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AE4B7CE.CB8648BF@IEEE.org> <20010423195415.12845.00000792@ng-cs1.aol.com> <3AE4DC5B.C8D52CBB@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:36:07 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20022

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 20:52:27 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>>
>> >Building electronic equipment is NOT a requirement for hams. Some choose to
>> >build and some choose not to build. That is exactly as it should be. We
>> >don't need fascism in this country.
>>
>> It this analogy were true, Cecil, one could argue that the FCC regs do not
>> require a ham to even operate, so under the Cecil principle, no exams would
>> need be given.
>
>But taking an exam *IS* a requirement for hams so there's no analogy. But
>it is true that someone who is not going to operate need not take an exam.

Very true indeed as I certainly owned ham gear before
I was licensed. Likewise the ownership and/or the home
brewing of ham equipment is NOT illegal at all. Individuals
can do so as long as they do not put a ham transmitter on
the air.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 650583210990929376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:36:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:36:05 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3ae5afc4.2331431@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9c2etm$7p5$0@208.206.142.95> <20010423195723.12845.00000793@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:36:12 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20026

On 23 Apr 2001 23:57:23 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>So we are in the final analysis nothing but a
>>bunch of CBers in your and NCI's view.
>

>Bill, is it an NCI policy that hams should not have to know any radio or
>electronics technology?

That is absolutely not NCI policy.

>Do you think a majority of the present NCI Board of Directors would vote for
>such a policy?

I believe such a vote would fail. I personally, as you and
others know, submitted suggestions to VCC to improve the testing
to make it less prone to memorization. I believe the ability
to radiate power at 1500 watts PEP is not adequately addressed
by ham theory required for technician licenses. I believe
no hams should be limited more regarding power that is
better reflected in the test syllabus for each licnese class.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 801141653693022695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:36:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:35:56 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3ae5aa23.890545@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ae43867.7254272@news.mindspring.com>
<20010423192559.12987.00000738@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:36:03 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20019

On 23 Apr 2001 23:25:59 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>
>>Let me be very specific: IF NCI ever takes
>>a position to forbid morse use, I will resign
>>immediately from the NCI BOD and as a member.
>
>While we are speculating, what do you think your reaction will be if NCI takes

>a position that band/mode allocations should be eliminated?

As a hypothetical, I would have to look at the
specifics. Frankly, I don't see that happening
in any case in the immediate future (1-5 yrs).

The issue may well come to the table, if and
when use of the "non-phone" segments subsides
to where there is clearly congestion in the phone
segments but less than full usage in the non-phone.
That may hinge heavily on the code users to
keep up their use...i.e. use it or lose it.
The refarming of the Novice segments made
good sense to me even though the FCC avoided
it. Ain't much, if any, activity in those segments
at all.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 1199664468548639249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:36:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:35:59 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3ae5acd0.1575844@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<9c2fbc$97l$0@208.206.142.95>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:36:06 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20023

On 23 Apr 2001 23:54:20 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On 23 Apr 2001 05:22:24 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>>
>> >W6RCecilA wrote:
>
>> >> It is still completely possible to build an automobile from scratch, Dick,
>> >> but virtually nobody does it anymore. Times have changed. Hams simply do not
>> >> need to know how to build their own rigs anymore.
>> >
>> > Nor have they since the introduction of factory built units. So you
>> >then believe that there is never a need for a ham to be able to build
>> >anything at all. Very curious. If you had your way, nothing not on the
>> >leding edge would be allowed to remain in existance. You come across as
>> >the most zealous of zealots.
>>
>> Commercial gear has been available since at least the
>> 1930s. Since that time (70+ years) it is absolutely
>> true that a ham might never need to be able to build
>> anything. The issue isn't the choice to build, Cecil doesn't
>> oppose that at all. Cecil merely notes that today, for
>> most hams, building there own is NOT a choice. In
>> the 50s and 60s, we could "build our own" and save money
>> because parts were available from junked TVs, old military
>> surplus, etc. Them days are over.
>
> Really!!?? No wonder for most hams building is not a choice! Today they
>don't learn enough to throw their own HF dipole together! So how the
>devil would they ever begin go think about building a radio?

Give us one real reason to build their own radio?
It sure can't be the economics, it sure can't be
to get a better rig, ...

> Hey Bruce, where are you? You had it right all along,"Without CW it's
>Just CB"!
>These clowns prove it more and more with every post.

The problem you and some others have is that you just don't
want to deal with reality. How many people do any work on
their own vehicles anymore...because the complexity
has surpassed the backyard mechanics skill set (and
tool set)? The same is true for most consumer, ham
and industry radio equipment.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2760791428835904160
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:36:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:35:57 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3ae5abc9.1312683@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE4B8E4.C86B9D11@IEEE.org> <9c2i82$gbb$2@208.206.142.95>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:36:04 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20020

On 24 Apr 2001 00:43:46 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >
>> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>> > > Ah, so you believe that no ham should be required to fill any function
>> > >other than the most important one. We see. Whatta concept.
>> >
>> > You haven't answered Cecil's question.
>>
>> Not only that, but he falsely implied that I think hams should be required
>> to fill the most important function. I, not being a fascist, did not say
>> any such thing.
>
> The issue was testing to qualify hams to fulfill the basis and purpose
>of ham radio, and Cecil and Ed both know it.

Yet you avoid making the specifics as to what that should be.
Are you saying that one of those basis and purpose of
ham radio is to have hams that are capable of DESIGNING
and building their own radio equipment from components?

If you say yes, then I'd say that has generally NOT been the case since beforee WW-II.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 4215375757343527163
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:36:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:36:06 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <3ae5b10a.2657572@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ae471d2.9525530@news.mindspring.com>
<20010423191226.12987.00000730@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:36:13 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20025

On 23 Apr 2001 23:12:26 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>
>>Godd for them. That is "live in the past" technology.
>>Why anyone builds tube equipment today that can
>>just as easily be done with solid state defies logic.
>
>Bill, those who build tube equipment today do so for the sheer joy of it all.
>There is something satisfying to that soft red glow.

Agreed, and that is their right to which I say, build it
if you like.

>>Not at all. I just disagree with the need for ALL hams
>>to be tested on archaic technology.
>
>I think there is room in the question pool for a few of the fundamentals of
>tube operation. It is not like it requires hundreds of hours to learn the
>basic theory.

I could live with that.

>>Just how much tube technology do you think technician and/or EE
>>students get these days?
>
>Who will design the tube amplifiers of the future, Bill?

The point is that the design of those tube amps is far more
a specialty today than the general theory of all tubes
which I learned in tech school in 1962/3. The focus today of
basic circuitry, basic components is solid state. The use
of tubes at all now is very specialized applications.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5264395649275757019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:36:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:35:55 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3ae5a983.731099@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c086v$e2$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4BCD5.47989DA0@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:36:02 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20021

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 18:37:57 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> So then you have CW ops at a KW competing with SSB ops
>> running at least that level. Result? Chaos.
>
>The foreign SSB ops in the US CW/data portion of of 40m have
>been doing it for many, many years without any detectable chaos.

And those foriegn ops, for the most part,
are limited to power levels well below that KW.

Cheers,

Bill K2UNK

```
From 7918888125607206922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:36:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:36:01 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <3ae5aeac.2052285@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3ae43b5e.8013073@news.mindspring.com>
<9c2etm$7p5$0@208.206.142.95>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:36:08 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20024

On 23 Apr 2001 23:47:02 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>  It is still completly possible for hams to build their own
>> >stations using discrete components, it is done all the time. But NOT by
>> >many recent licensees who memorized the question pool..
>>
>> And if one were to stack up that "homebrew" rig against
>> a commercial transciever, HT or mobile, just how good
>> would it be.
>
>??? As good as it was built to be, of course.
> Let's see now - you're saying that since no individual can readily
>build gear that competes with commercial gear, hams shouldn't need to
>build anything.

I'm saying there is no requirement to do so.  The CHOICE of any
individual to build something is their choice.  Build it
```

if you want. I believe, however, that there is no expectation in the FCC that the ability to design and build homebrewed equipment by hams at all.

>Since they don't need to ever build anything, you agree
>with Cecil that there is no reason for hams to know anything about radio
>or electronics technology.

Not at all. There is, I believe, justification for knowing the basics, especially regarding safety to one's self and others, interference, etc. You can not adequately be safety conscience without knowing the basics.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

>So we are in the final analysis nothing but a
>bunch of CBers in your and NCI's view.

Not as long as we have test requirements we aren't.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -6114364905470271924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:36:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:36:10 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <3ae5b4e0.3640255@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com>
<YA1F6.25260$B22.6007880@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:36:17 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20027

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 21:59:20 GMT, "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
wrote:

>Well I would like to see you talk uhf/vhf with a 8' height restriction, can
>you do it yes barely, and only if you are into repeaters. Is it reasonable
>No Not at all..

Actually, it is very reasoonable for VHF/UHF.

I worked CA, TX, CO and many other states
from my home QTH in NJ on
6m with just a 5 element beam on a short tripod that is only
about 7 (maybe 8) feet above the peak of my roof.

> I cannot even put up a R-7 in my backyard the size of the
>lot is 150' x 225' plenty of room .

You have more than adequate space for an 80m dipole then.

>There is a Home depot within 200' of my
>front door the roof top is higher than my antennas are allowed, the local
>post office which is directly across the street, the flag pole is higher
>than any antenna I can have.

Sounds like you have good reasons to be able to apply
for and get a variance then. YOU are the one that must
live across from that Home Depot. The Home Depot
folks don't care if you want a variance. Woud your
immediate residential neighbors care?

>A ham in our town got a variance for a 35'
>tower 2 months before I applied for my permit. The top of my house is 22' to
>the peak, so any other ham/ non-ham with a roof top of 35' in my town will
>at least have better coverage then me. Why should I have to use dipoles, and
>inferior compromise antennas Where is the reasonable accommodation.

The perception of what is reasonable often is considerably
different between people, etc.

> I have
>had legal counsel the ARRL's said they couldn't do anything, I have went to
>Superior Court to fight the planning boards desicion the judges discussion
>was for me to re- apply and the town was to consider Prb-1, which they knew
>about all along and did consider in the first place. But they felt the towns
>concerns out-weighed Prb-1. My antenna was labeled an attractive nuisance,
>an eyesore, among other things. I had spoken to Chris Imlay when this
>happened and he was going to try and help, but of course he did not. I just
>hope other hams don't run into this problem because when someone like me
>gets turned down they now have shot down the rest of us.

What was it you actually tried to get a permit for?

(You may have previously stated it in an earlier email,
but I don't recall it).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -7295690802291733552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:36:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:36:11 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3ae5b782.4314361@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:36:18 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20028

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 03:52:18 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Sam Grasso wrote:
>>
>> [snip] How in the world are they going to
>> know about CB radios unless they take a
>> training course and are well versed in
>> CB's [snip]
>
> Actually, Sam (and here is your answer also, Bill), since legal CB
>equipment has a type-acceptance sticker on it, and illegal equipment
>does not, here is one extremely simple method that could be used to
>determine if the device is illegal for the unlicensed operator.

Making phony stickers would be a piece of cake for
anyone with a printer. Those stickers are just paper
with the right words on them.

>And I
>can think of several additional methods that would be just about as simple.

Please tell us...and, again, please tell us how the cop
gets to come in the house to begin with. Search
warrant, no knock break-in, let's be real here!

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -7069415313361491330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:36:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:36:07 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <3ae5b290.3047534@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<L4ZD6.3480$tx5.87495@typhoon.sonic.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gc3laf4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<8M7E6.3551$tx5.90143@typhoon.sonic.net> <3ae1e594.1276070@news.mindspring.com>
<YQIE6.23324$B22.5424698@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com> <_OLE6.1383$QV4.109002@www.newsranger.com>
<3ae47767.10954797@news.mindspring.com>
<7K1F6.25268$B22.6013190@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:36:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20029

On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 22:09:07 GMT, "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
wrote:

>Bill, So am I stuck on 10 meters then?
>Don't think I can fit a 80 meter dipole in my attic, nor 40 nor 20 I would
>like a beam antenna is that reasonable, perhaps I want a vertical antenna I
>cannot have one I don't live in a town house, or condo I live on a
>residential/commercial street in a rural type area, The light posts at the
>Post office across the street from my house are Higher than any antenna I
>can Have. You try to live in a town like this. Any Offers of help are

>appreciated.

Have you applied for a variance for a simple verticle? If you wanted a 10/12 foot verticle, that would seem to be a pretty reasonable request to get granted.

Have you tried getting other hams in your town to get together to present a proposal to allow the height to be greater than 8 feet? Odds are that 8 foot hight limit was put on the books years ago and none of the current city council members even know why it would be limited to only 8 foot. You might just be able to get them to change it.

For a 40m or 80m dipole, you could run that between trees if the property is big enough. There are also other designs, less than idela perhaps, that could get you on 40m or 80m within that 8 foot space.

If you are just waiting for something in your city to change, don't hold your breath, existing laws are rarely reviewed for current applicability exceptwhen attention is brought to them. The ball is in your court.

Heck, you might think about running for town council yourself to help make things better.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
been there, done that!

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:3ae47767.10954797@news.mindspring.com...
>> On Mon, 23 Apr 2001 01:45:30 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:
>>
>> >In article <3ae37908.6626099@news.mindspring.com>, Bill Sohl says...
>> >
>> >>Well you can do alot of antenna work in that 8' space.
>> >
>> >The point is not to "do alot of antenna work".
>> >
>> >The point is to construct antennas which have a reasonable chance
>> >of projecting a readable signal to a distant location.
>> >
>> >Limiting the space to 8' above the roof is not reasonable, but equivalent
>> >to the dump huck "let them eat fish heads" tag line of K4YZ.
>>
>> Without passing judgement on the 8' limit,
>> the fact remains that you can engage in
>> very credible communications with antennae
>> that fits in that 8' limit. I have worked Europe, Hawaii
>> and others with just a 10m dipole INSIDE my attic.
>> Cheers,

>> Bill K2UNK

From -4516681159161807393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:42:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:41:29 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3ae60078.445344@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c3nm1$gi5$0@216.155.33.106> <9c41ro$rpg$3@208.207.71.171>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:41:40 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20030

On 24 Apr 2001 14:16:24 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Larry Roll wrote:
>>
>> W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>> >
>> > >No sane person wants a mode war
>> >
>> > Hmmm, Cecil on the no-code-test side feels there won't be a mode war and Dick,
>> > on the pro-code-test side feels there will. I wonder if we can surmise which
>> > side would start the war?
>>
>> Ed:
>>
>> Obviously, it would be started by the microphone jockeys. They're the ones who
>> will need the added lebensraum in the future.
>
> Ed likes to ignore facts, like who is it that has stridently demanded
>their "right" to the airwaves, whether or not they conformed to the
>requirements.

Just who has demanded their "right" to the airwaves
where they have NOT conformed to the requirements?
Not one person on the NCI board has ever made such

a demand. Have we (NCI) petitioned for, commented
on and endorsed changing requirements? Yes we have,
but that is certainly not "demanded their "right" to the airwaves
where they have NOT conformed to the requirements?"

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6918615973745985222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:45:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:45:13 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3ae601a7.748734@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <20010423064810.17773.00000687@ng-fl1.aol.com> <3ae470f3.9303021@news.mindspring.com>
<9c471s$r2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ef.68
X-Server-Date: 24 Apr 2001 22:45:30 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20031

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 08:45:29 -0700, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:3ae470f3.9303021@news.mindspring.com...
>> Additionally, very few hams actually DESIGNED and
>> built their own station equipment. Most of us that
>> did any homebrew usually followed a construction
>> article from the pages of the ARRL handbook,
>> QST, CQ magazine, Pop. Electronics and/or others.
>
>But it's probably fair to say that hams did originate most of the ham radio
>designs in those publications.

I think that is totally correct. Just as is the case today
with QST and CQ magazine. Pop Electronics? Are they
even still around? If yes, do they devote any space to ham
radio?

Cheers,

Bill K2UNK

```
From -1364854633804810283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:49:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 18:47:17 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 00b0ceb5.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=Pl\Hmkb2QL^KcT1HbNQe8RLoK8f=:?J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FW<g=3`97?
3V]lBFDaL\d^nUc\D7RL]0_ZP
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20032
```

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net...

> To use the story of two tragic deaths in order to further editorialize
> on your pet anti-morse testing peeve makes you look like an unfeeling
> jerk. The woman's death had nothing to do with amateur radio or with
> her lack of HF access.

Dave, you did not disappoint me with your reply. What else would one expect from a employee of a government agency that recently described the hacking to death of ethic-group women and children as not meeting the precise legal definition genocide.

The death of Ronie Bower and her child is indeed a tragedy. It also shows the failure of US policy. We find USA personal directing a Peru war plane into position to shoot down civilian aircraft. Would we permit that in the United States? I think not.

As for the connection the FCC amateur radio I would recommend that you read
the WT Docket #98-143 comments filed missionaries. They may enlighten you.

Larry KC8EPO

```
From 2139478241796031009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 15:54:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <3AE4CC20.79E3731B@bctv.com>
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shoot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 18:52:22 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3ae603f2$0$88179$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 00b0ceb5.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=mO6E:?fW\>lb0EN^dS;UdeLoK8f=:?
J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgbDdQ6]_o]8flBFDaL\d^nec\D7RL]0_Z`
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20033
```

"Keith Wood" <kw@bctv.com> wrote in message
news:3AE4CC20.79E3731B@bctv.com...
>
>
> Keith wrote:
> >
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/04/23/1/?nc=1
> >
> > Apparently one of the murdered missionaries in Peru was a ham.
> > Sadly they are the latest innocent victims in America's ever growing
drug
> > war.
> >

>
> Dope war, you mean.
>
> Only a dope would think it's A) winnable, or B), worth fighting in the
> first place.

And, C) that it can be won with guns and bullets, D) that we can ignore the consumer public health aspect.

Larry KC8EPO

```
From -6888540979498247710
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 16:19:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<20010424082747.20927.00001450@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shoot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 19:14:36 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <3ae60929$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: c8cb93d9.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=aeNS?HM]m7XKcT1HbNQe8RLoK8f=:?
J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWDfk03CI;9dYlBFDaL\d^nUc\D7RL]0_ZP
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20034
```

"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010424082747.20927.00001450@ng-cg1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Missionaries Shoot Down in Peru are Hams
> >From: Keith nospamssdf@home.com
> >Date: 04/23/01 5:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >Sadly they are the latest innocent victims in America's ever growing drug

> >war.
>
>   They are just the most obvious.
>
>   According to the AMA, there may be as many as 75 to 200 drug related
> deaths of children (defined as under 21 by them) in the United States
alone
> DAILY. This includes deaths from the drug use itself, and violence
related to
> the culture.
>
>   That's EVERY DAY. Where is the indignation and the pontificating
from
> Hans and the others over THOSE deaths?
>
>   THOSE deaths aren't spectacular and DON'T fit Hans' rant against me,
ergo
> they go unnoticed and without comment. Go figure.
>
>   These were horrific deaths. I hope there are some sort of
repercussions
> for the controller who directed the attack and the pilot who pulled the
> trigger...but this situation should generate new intercept techniques and
> visual identification of targets.
>
>   A fly-by of the Cessna and a demand to land would have certainly
been
> acknowledged and complied with by the missionary pilot. A tragedy would
have
> been averted.
>
>   Drug related deaths and tragedies won't end until the apathy about
drug
> use and it's terrible toll on human life and our families ends.
>
> Steve Robeson, LPN

Well I have to agree with you on this one Steve. However, I don't see the connection with Hans. The illegal usage of drug in our country is a very serious public health problem. Aerial combat in Peru with civilian aircraft is not going to solve the problem. You should ask some of your local elected representatives to spent a weekend in ER with you. The solution is here not there.

Larry KC8EPO

From 669095595562782744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 16:20:17 PST

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 16:19:13 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3AE609F1.58010866@uci.edu>
References: <9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <20010423064810.17773.00000687@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <3ae470f3.9303021@news.mindspring.com>
<9c471s$r2$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ae601a7.748734@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20035

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 08:45:29 -0700, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> >news:3ae470f3.9303021@news.mindspring.com...
> >> Additionally, very few hams actually DESIGNED and
> >> built their own station equipment. Most of us that
> >> did any homebrew usually followed a construction
> >> article from the pages of the ARRL handbook,
> >> QST, CQ magazine, Pop. Electronics and/or others.
> >
> >But it's probably fair to say that hams did originate most of the ham radio
> >designs in those publications.
>
> I think that is totally correct. Just as is the case today
> with QST and CQ magazine. Pop Electronics? Are they
> even still around? If yes, do they devote any space to ham
> radio?

Don't know. Once I got into ham radio, I started reading ham radio
magazines - figuring that would be the place to read about ham radio
related topics. Even today, most of the ham radio electronics
construction projects articles are written by hams.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 6591855183680666979
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 17:19:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<9c48pd$cur$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AE5EA08.49E5DEF2@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 20:14:55 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <3ae61776$0$62152$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 8d29a6a6.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=0X9X:J1RG8oE4XNZ]a2YglBITGoVIjmHf[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgPJUKNJ8cPIolBFDaL\d^neH
Q^D];I6RXm
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20036
```

WASHINGTON (CNN) -- In 1994, the Pentagon opposed providing "real-time" aerial tracking data to assist Peru in its policy of shooting down civilian aircraft suspected of drug smuggling because it could lead "to the death of innocent persons and would likely be a serious diplomatic embarrassment," declassified documents show.

The documents, obtained by the National Security Archive under the Freedom of Information Act, are posted on the Internet at http://www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/

Two Americans died Friday when a Peruvian fighter -- with guidance from a U.S. surveillance aircraft that was looking for drug smugglers -- shot down the missionaries' plane.

A State Department memo dated May 1, 1994, outlined the vigorous internal debate over the policy, and warned that the Pentagon had ceased providing "real-time tracking data because of its belief that using weapons against civilian aircraft is "inconsistent with international law."

At one point, the memo warned that "mistakes are likely to occur under any policy that contemplates the use of weapons against civil aircraft in flight, even as a last resort," and that by providing real-time tracking information to Peru and Colombia, the United States is "closely involved in the operation and arguable bears some responsibility."

The Pentagon pointed out that the United States argued vigorously after the Soviet Union shot down a civilian Korean jetliner "such actions violate article 3(d) of the 1944 Convention on Internal Civil Aviation and customary international law."

The State Department was divided on the policy, some arguing that aiding the Peruvians actually saved lives, because it lessened the chances of mistakes. "In a perverse way the DoD (Department of Defense) position increases the risk of loss of innocent life in the Andes," the May 10th memo stated. "DoD provides excellent real-time tracking data. By terminating it they do not necessarily force the Colombian and Peruvian governments to cease their policies."

The issue was settled the next year when President Clinton decided to allow the sharing of tracking assistance "if the President has determined that such actions are necessary because of the threat posed by drugtrafficking [sic] to the national security of that country and that the country has appropriate procedures in place to protect innocent aircraft."

From -5983665335635586996
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 17:30:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Date: 25 Apr 2001 00:30:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 117
Message-ID: <9c55qt$h6f$0@208.206.142.94>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20037

A great eulogy to HPM, but the last word is on page 15, citing the Old Man himself in QST September 1927:

" And as the last embers of the old pipe turn to grey ash, I ask how it all came about : that the ARRL should have succeeded and all its opponents failed. The answer is clear. It is because with our opponents there was always some kind of selfish motive to be served for someone, whereas in ARRL we insisted from the beginning that no selfish motive for anybody or anything should ever prevail. Everything that ARRL undertakes must be 100% for the general good. That policy bred loyalty and confidence. With these two things an organisation can prosper forever."

Food for thought.

In my opinion Maxim's acheivement was the creation of amateur radio as a social organisation.
There were all manner of people experimenting in the early days.
Maxim connected many of them for a common purpose: Relaying messages and thereby overcoming the limits of what was then for most a short range means of communication. He also set up a social organisation with rank and position and defined roles, plus a system of ethics, symbols and signs of recognition.
This system is with today, but sadly is taken for granted and many mistakes are being made at the organisational level, in my opinion.
There were other models: In the UK before WWII the model was the gentlemen amateur scientist: There was an "artificial aerial" ie dummy load license class so chaps could experiment with transmitting technology without actually radiating a signal. And calling CQ was forbidden. They called "testing, testing".
The Soviets ran amateur radio under the Ministry of Sport.
Nowadays because of falling numbers of new entrants to amateur radio there is a push to lower entry standards and remove code qualifications. In the process everything that makes us unique will go.
In ZL all amateurs once had to spend six months on 80m before getting other band privileges. In that six months Elmers and new hams met on the air, assisted one another and in many cases made life long friendships.
Now new hams go on hf and like Tony Hancock in the famous television sketch
"....have friends all over the world. Just don't have any around here."
Removing the probationary period on 80 was meant to encourage new operators,
but instead the numbers dropped and many gave up after a period on the

air.

And in ZL the greatest loss of amateurs is amongst no code licensees.

There is an attempt to solve the problems of amateur radio at by administrative and technical means. I believe these are bound to fail as the problems are social and environmental.

Where are you Hiram? We need you.

73.

What *would* T.O.M say?

I dare not pretend to step into his shoes, but I might dare a synopsis of an imaginery article from TOM, based on his reaction to other observations he had made in print, in yon days of yore . . .

First thing he might do is remind us that we are all young squirts.
Only he had the right to do that.

Then he might remind us about the rotten radio practices that almost beached Amateur radio in the pre 1912 era, because every man did "what was good in his own eyes".

Then, i think, he would walk us down memory lane, as only the Old Man could, considering it would be HIS first hand memory. He would remind us about the previous crisis points, and how we managed to rescue Amateur Radio time and time again thru the demonstration of our SERVICE to our fellows, our competancy and our conduct. Our ability to adapt to a changing environment, and our supreme willingness to study, to show ourselves approved to our fellows, and to the world.

Then he might interject a cameo of sevices performed during the Monongahela Flood Disasters, where Amateur Radio distinguished herself with valour and bravery, especially before the press.

He might remind us that it was this SERVICE, and not our NUMBERS that saved us at Cairo in '27.

Then he would probably conclude with a summary, a typical Maxim maxim: anything worth having is worth working for. We do not build our treasures by lowering it's worth, but by buttressing it's value, it's sanctity, and it's purpose.

We stretch ourselves to meet our goals, not the reverse. And No-Code for the sake of numbers is Rotten Radio, indeed.

And if I would be so rash to conclude, he would
sign off with " T.O.M. "

And I echo your last sentance,:
Where are you, Hiram ? We need you.

From -1223469465151568740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 17:36:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: 25 Apr 2001 00:36:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9c565u$h6f$1@208.206.142.94>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE59101.19E41632@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15237
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20038

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > If you are going to
> > > condemn all homosexual males for the actions of a few,
> > >
> > You can if you wish, I don't and didn't.
>
> Sure sounds like it to me.

>
> > I said that it is simply
> > prudent to keep homosexual males from young boys based on a considerable
> > body of experience. If you don't agree that's your problem.
>
> Then you must admit that it is also prudent to keep heterosexual males
> from young girls based on a considerable body of experience. You wouldn't
> be a hypocrite would you? Has anyone kept you away from young girls
> lately, Dick?

Are YOU a scoutmaster applicant by any chance, Cecil?????

From 6654754871274036595
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 17:41:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: 25 Apr 2001 00:41:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9c56gh$ji9$0@208.206.142.94>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<9c41gp$rpg$0@208.207.71.171> <3AE591C7.D9269C33@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15238
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20039

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > You can be sure that keeping known adult homosexuals from leading Boy

> > Scouts will prevent some cases of abuse, if not all.
>
> You can be sure that keeping known adult heterosexual (males) from leading
> Girl Scouts will prevent some cases of abuse. You can be sure that staying
> home in bed will prevent auto accidents. Where does it all end?

NOwhere if it isn't allowed to begin. No prudent parent knowingly allows
his children to be accessed by predators.

From 7625115123098711485
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 17:46:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 25 Apr 2001 00:46:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9c56p7$kc4$0@208.206.142.94>
References: <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424071258.13569.00000729@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9c41oe$rpg$2@208.207.71.171> <H8hF6.303$R5.1261555@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20040

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > > Have you memorized the 1000 Russian words in a weekend to prove to
> everyone how
> > > easy this is, Dick?
>
> > You suspect right. I don't jumpo through your hoops, and I have no
> > reason whatever to do any such thing. Go soak your head.
>
> That is rude and insulting, Dick. Is this an example of how old timers are
> all gentlemen, as you implied in an earlier posts.
>
> If the best you can manage is to devolve this into a petty insult, I see
> little reason to continue. It reminds me of my grammar school days when a

> kid would be caught being wrong and respond with an insult to try to salvage
> what little he could from a losing situation. I had expected better of you,
> Dick. I am disappointed.

You'd do well to be disappointed with yourself. Your own conduct is not exactly exemplary here if I may say. You've cone everything you could to belittle me and my firm assertions on testing which are based on years of hands on experience as a VE while you have none. You seem to believe that you can't be wrong and I can't be right.

```
From -1454777844521536886
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 17:47:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: 25 Apr 2001 00:47:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9c56rs$kca$0@208.206.142.94>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE4B8E4.C86B9D11@IEEE.org> <9c2i82$gbb$2@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4DB24.B409A769@IEEE.org> <9c2uth$nu2$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58B30.D603B05F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20041
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Have you ever asked yourself: What is the most important function that

> > > hams perform? What knowledge/skill does a ham need to perform that
> > > function? Should arbitrary knowledge/skill requirements keep people
> > > capable of performing that most important function out of the ARS?
> >
> > Your terminology is suspect as usual. "Most important" may not be
> > congruent with all the elements of satisfied by the 'basis and purpose".
>
> Again you refuse to answer the question. One cannot help but wonder why.

Could it be that it's a stupid question?

```
From 1964596113077944838
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 17:50:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 25 Apr 2001 00:50:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9c5702$kca$1@208.206.142.94>
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
<3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
<3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org> <9c0agm$87c$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4BEFF.DED854EA@IEEE.org> <9c2jmn$kjv$4@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4DF93.9B2E2B5D@IEEE.org> <9c2v39$nu2$1@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58DCA.392F3A91@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20042
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:

> > > > CW ops stay out of the SSB portions of the band because they WANT to.
> > >
> > > Not because they have to. That defeats your own argument, Dick.
> >
> > Only in YOUR mind. Do you believe all the SSB yakkers would refrain
> > from using the lower ends of the bands without the mode segmenting? I
> > certainly don't.
>
> God believing something turns it into reality, Dick. Does your believing
> something turn it into reality? If so, please believe that I will win the
> Texas Lottery. I have presented evidence that foreign hams don't cause
> chaos in their unsegmented ham bands.

And I have given you the very reason why- and exactly why that chaos
WILL result when they abandon band plans in the USA -- there are too few
foreign hams. If you had a clue you'r already know that. But you spend
all your time tinkering with antennas so don't know much about what goes
on on the air.

From 841896062202993911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 17:52:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 25 Apr 2001 00:52:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20043

W6RCecilA wrote:
>

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > And it's not just the new licensee that is involved. Who knows who he
> > may inadvertantly and unknowingly expose to hazards?
>
> Who knows when the aliens are going to land? Your paranoid delusions
> are getting out of hand, Dick. Do you try to interfere with everyone
> else's personal business in all walks of life or is it confined to
> the field of amateur radio?
>
> > But "himself" isn't the only one that is involved.
>
> But he has a right to privacy, Dick. He has a right to make his own
> mistakes. He doesn't appreciate you sticking your nose into his personal
> business. Please stop trying to protect him from himself. One man's danger
> is another man's thrill.

Ah Cecil but his children deserve to be protected from his stupidity if
he can'd do it himself.

```
From 9075854589686781980
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 17:55:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 25 Apr 2001 00:55:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9c579a$kca$3@208.206.142.94>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kg0$njg$1@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E48E.A6BD7C68@IEEE.org> <9c2vip$pnm$1@208.206.142.95>
<3AE5900F.B8569522@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20044
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > I used to jump off two-story houses for fun. But what does falling have
> > > to do with ham radio?
> >
> > You tell us...you brought it into the thread.
>
> The discussion was airplanes falling out of the air, not hams falling
> out of the air.

 And you're too dense to make a comparison between an airplane falling a
long way to a person falling a few feet? Wonder why that is? You find
another stash of that "one hit shit" again?

From -755163514820288967
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 17:56:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 25 Apr 2001 00:56:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9c57bs$kca$4@208.206.142.94>
References: <9c2j7l$kjv$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424070033.13569.00000725@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9c42ap$sj$0@208.207.71.171> <3AE597F0.C6B5C980@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20045

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > In case you don't know, one
> > cannot deliberately trash someone else then expect to receive the best
> > sort of treatment in return.
>
> To bad you are incapable of applying that rule to yourself. I have
> posted a reasonable argument only to suffer an ad hominem attack

```
> from you in return.
>

 Why Cecil, ALL your arguments are "reasonable"! (Right!-to hear you
tell it)


From 2454682240284678123
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 18:09:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: 25 Apr 2001 01:09:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 71
Message-ID: <9c584b$kca$5@208.206.142.94>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3ae57146.743094079@news.accessunited.com>
<9c4iut01p3o@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12228
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15239 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20047



"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <3ae57146.743094079@news.accessunited.com>, 2w8ji@akorn.net says...
>
> >Kids are not excited by radio....PERIOD.
> >
> >It is old, it has no mystique, it is nothing special. It like getting
> >excited about a telephone., or a cell phone.
> >
> >The problem is not CW, or a lack of CW. CW is meaningless, except to
> >those who use it.
> >
> >>Second, the ARRL can't win.  If they support all hams passing a 30 WPM code
```

> >>test, the coders are happy and everyone else gets pissed. If they support
> >>no-code, all the old timers that cling to the past get pissed. They can't
> >>win.
> >
> >Getting new activity has nothing to do with old-timers or new timers.
> >Radio used to be cutting edge, and now it isn't. People used to like
> >to fuss around with stuff and build stuff, now they don't.
> >
> >The world changes, and the current trend is away from working for
> >anything..or learning how stuff actually works.
> >
> >The effect of eliminating code is simply to piss off the people who
> >enjoy CW, and it will certainly NOT rekindle interest is old stale
> >technology like radio.
> >
> >It isn't old timers against "new age" radio operators. It is people
> >who grew up loving radio and who were thrilled by the concept of
> >talking to people all over the world trying to understand how people
> >view it as something no more magic than a telephone.
> >
> >Hell, look at CB. It peaked and died way off. The only real activity
> >that is increasing is pirates without tickets of any kind. They are on
> >160, 80, 30,20,15, and ten meters...and there are more every month.
> >That's where the future of radio is...in not having ANY license. And
> >nothing we can do will change that, all we can do is make it more
> >uncomfortable for people who used to enjoy it.
> >
> >73 Tom
>
> Great post! The few kids that have an interest in radio usually have a parent
> or other older relative that's a ham. Most of the technogeeks today are
> interested in computers.
>
> Burt Fisher, who is a bane of this newsgroup because of *how* he says things,
> has essentially been saying the same thing for years. Ham radio is
> predominately a hobby for white, overweight, retirees who need something to
> keep them away from their wives. Younger people who a avid hams are usually
> social outcasts in their peer group. One only needs to attend a large hamfest
> to confirm this. Dayton draws quite a few characters. (I usually get a laugh
> from the ones who plaster antennas all over themselves)
>
> Wayne Green amuses me when he gets on his high horse about ham radio's
> dwindling ranks. The interest isn't there. Even if it is, kids will grow bored
> with ham radio when they hear a bunch od old men on the HF frequencies talking
> about the weather, health problems, or "rig hr is...". As for contests, I'm
> tired of those after about 15 minutes. The same goes for DX and other
> "wallpaper" collecting.
>
> ...and if you dare to trash morse code testing - in particular, the now-defunct
> high-speed code testing - you're a liberal, communist, pinko (or to quote Rush
> Limbo, a "long-haired, dope-smoking, FM type".)

And somebody said this hobby isn't dying???

```
From -8928177106508460587
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 18:11:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: 25 Apr 2001 01:11:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9c587l$kca$6@208.206.142.94>
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<9c2loh$rdm$0@208.206.142.95> <3ae5ee2a$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20048
```

Larry Klose wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9c2loh$rdm$0@208.206.142.95...
>
> > You have just reached a new and very deep, like outta sight, LOW.
> > To opportunistically use this tragic, preventable incident to further
> > your private jihad against all code testing is reprehensible beyond all
> > rationalization.
>
> The use of 13 & 20 wpm Morse code exams to bar otherwise
> competent missionary operators was also preventable.
> Unfortunately, a few procode forever over advocates corrupted
> the public due process of FCC. The 13 & 20 wpm exams
> should have ended in 1990, not 2000.

Poor Larry. He'll go to his grave blaming somebody else.

```
From 7727230041571985256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 18:11:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 01:10:07 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <3ae62359.6076472@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424071258.13569.00000729@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9c41oe$rpg$2@208.207.71.171> <H8hF6.303$R5.1261555@news.ntplx.net>
<9c56p7$kc4$0@208.206.142.94>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.8c
X-Server-Date: 25 Apr 2001 01:11:30 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20049

On 25 Apr 2001 00:46:31 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>>
>> > > Have you memorized the 1000 Russian words in a weekend to prove to
>> everyone how
>> > > easy this is, Dick?
>>
>> > You suspect right. I don't jumpo through your hoops, and I have no
>> > reason whatever to do any such thing. Go soak your head.
>>
>> That is rude and insulting, Dick. Is this an example of how old timers are
>> all gentlemen, as you implied in an earlier posts.
>>
>> If the best you can manage is to devolve this into a petty insult, I see
>> little reason to continue.  It reminds me of my grammar school days when a
>> kid would be caught being wrong and respond with an insult to try to salvage
>> what little he could from a losing situation. I had expected better of you,
>> Dick. I am disappointed.
>
> You'd do well to be disappointed with yourself. Your own conduct is not
>exactly exemplary here if I may say.

If you can show us any post from Ed where he was anything
but civil and proper I'd truly be amazed.

>You've done everything you could to
>belittle me and my firm assertions on testing which are based on years
>of hands on experience as a VE while you have none.
```

Raising counter positions, opinons and information
does NOT constitute belittling anyone.

>You seem to believe
>that you can't be wrong and I can't be right.

Perhaps you are unable to accept that very same thing?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -2657066733896860219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 18:15:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: 25 Apr 2001 01:15:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9c58fo$kca$7@208.206.142.94>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<3AE5E66C.4277E179@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12229
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15240 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20050

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
Subtract out the nocode techs and what have you got?
>

Subtract out the non-nocode techs and what have you got? Darn little.

```
From 4932928946602061386
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 18:20:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-
for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 01:18:06 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3ae62542.6566043@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104241930020.4436-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<qp7cet4om6867ruvslmf4l2mpdl599diu2@4ax.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.8c
X-Server-Date: 25 Apr 2001 01:19:43 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.scanner:16650 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20051

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 00:58:09 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
<thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:

>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
>> Federal regulations and
>>rulings override.  Licensed amateurs do not have to notify the sheriff as per
>>the exception in "B" below.  FCC ruling 93-17 made that clear:  Licensed
>>amateurs are exempt from state and local "scanner" laws, including those
>>regarding scanners in vehicles.  [The ruling also involved amateur transmitters
>>with "out of band reception capability."]
>
>Wrong.  Go read that notice again.
>It does NOT cover scanners.

That is correct.  The FCC pre-emption specifically stated
"transcievers".  No mention was given to separate
recievers (i.e. such as a scanner).  The FCC preemption
protects you if your 2m mobile or HT also can receive
any of the cited frequencies.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
```

```
From 1857301459495093727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 18:40:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE61CE9.5F92E3FB@ovis.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 40
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 01:40:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.0.147.58
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988162808 207.0.147.58 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001
18:40:08 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 18:40:08 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 18:38:43 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20052
```

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net...
>
> > To use the story of two tragic deaths in order to further editorialize
> > on your pet anti-morse testing peeve makes you look like an unfeeling
> > jerk. The woman's death had nothing to do with amateur radio or with
> > her lack of HF access.
>
> Dave, you did not disappoint me with your reply. What else would one expect
> from a employee of a government agency that recently described the hacking
> to death of ethic-group women and children as not meeting the precise legal
> definition genocide.

1. I am no longer in the employee of the USG.

2. I do not and did not formulate U.S. Foreign Policy in my work in the
communications and information management field.

3. Your attempt to use my past State Department connection as an "Aha!"

is feeble at best.

> The death of Ronie Bower and her child is indeed a tragedy. It also
> shows the failure of US policy. We find USA personal directing a Peru
> war plane into position to shoot down civilian aircraft. Would we permit
> that in the United States? I think not.

Larry, do me a favor. Read my response and then attempt a response.
I did not address U.S. drug policy at all.

> As for the connection the FCC amateur radio I would recommend that you read
> the WT Docket #98-143 comments filed missionaries. They may enlighten you.

Take this as fact: The death of the woman and her child had nothing to
do with amateur radio operation or with morse code testing. That you
tried linking the two reveals you to an opportunistic and self-serving
boob.

Dave K8MN

From -4583702895732320611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4bfc1f52789db745
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 19:00:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4f7f7_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<jRgF6.3380$QV4.282810@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Need Windows 98 compatiable CW Practice.
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <ccqF6.34068$RF1.2899427@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 01:59:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.172.129
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988163976 12.88.172.129 (Wed, 25 Apr
2001 01:59:36 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 01:59:36 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20053

Hans, thanks a million for that URL! I read the thread and tried
downloading it. Can't say I was impressed by NuMorse as it is really stuck
in LOW gear - complete with the spacing and all. However, at the URL you
gave there was also MorseCat. Now there is a neat program! Ran really nice

in Win98. I tried the cypher groups at 30 - I've got a bit of work to do.
All text is easier, but it has been many years since I copied 40 without
error (that was the fastest tape the US Navy had at Bainbridge Md when I was
there in 1967). Heck, I've got something to play with! I'll have to see
what I can get back to before I get the HF rig.

Thanks and 73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

From 2991541884210773231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 19:02:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 124
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:02:59 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.126
X-Trace: news1.atl 988164114 209.214.187.126 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:01:54 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:01:54 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15241
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20054

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95...
> >
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:

> > >
> > If you are going to
> > > condemn all homosexual males for the actions of a few,
> >
> >
> > You can if you wish, I don't and didn't. I said that it is simply
> > prudent to keep homosexual males from young boys based on a considerable
> > body of experience. If you don't agree that's your problem.
>
> It may or may not be prudent but I question whether it is possible.
> Depending on safety devices that don't work is at best futile and at worst
> fatal.
>
> Dick N�BK

While the following is not *exactly* directly following the line of thought in the thread, I think it is relevant in the light of changes on societal thinking in its pursuit of "enlightenment" and the discarding of past mores.

Historically, homosexual activity has been regarded as deviant, abnormal and, indeed, disgusting. I can agree that to mistreat ANY human being just because that person is known to be "gay" is wrong, however. As long as that person conducts him/herself in a manner that is proper, dignified, and circumspect in public, then I should treat that person the same as anyone else I might deal with in the public arena. Hetersexual persons may be uncomfortable in that person's presence, but the obligation to treat that person kindly is there nonetheless. And I, as well as others not of their persuasion, DO feel ill at ease with those who appear to be "effeminate" or a little too "friendly".

There is another "group" of people called Pedophiles who have an unnatural attraction to children and, indeed, cannot relate to adults of either sex. Their attraction may be to boys or girls, but the majority of pedophiles *seem* to be men for whatever reason.

Everybody has an agenda these days. Remember, I stated earlier that the idea of "gay-ness" was something that was not accepted, or, rather, the attending ACTIVITIES of gays was not accepted. In, say, 1965, the idea of having known gay Scouts, or Scout Masters would not have even been brought up. Today, the issues has taken on the cloak of "rights", and in a more liberal, humanistic society, the gays have seized upon this liberalism to bring forth, not the fact that they are gay, but that they should be allowed to openly practice same, and even teach this "lifestyle" as an equal alternative to the hetrosexual lifestyle to our children.

It has been argued that pedophiles are not necessarily gay, but even using the slide rule of ratios (so many non gay pedos to so many gay ones, etc), it would follow that there ARE some pedophiles that are gay. A certain number of those gay pedos WOULD prey on young children. It is not possible to tell who, how many, where, or when one of those would molest a Scout. In years past, the BSA did have some kids molested by other (gay?) Scouts and they were sued. Farther back, a defined policy to protect Scouts was not in place simply because the mores of the time were *usually* sufficient to deter most molestations. Sure, they did occur, I am sure, but not on a scale that appears to have increased in lockstep and in proportion to the relaxing mores of our society. Thus, a policy to exclude sex offenders, felons, and known gays from scouting was put into place. And that galls the gay/lesbian "rights" crowd.

Back to the pedophiles. There is another movement behind the scenes that hasn't achieved any acceptance-----yet. And they are counting on the lessening of standards, the cheapening of morality to bring forth yet another form of perversion to "normality" under the guise of "rights". Yes, these are the pedophiles, the sex offenders, the "boy lovers" who want laws against adult/child sex lifted so they can engage in sex with our underaged sons and daughters! And if you think it is NOT true, then do what I did. Search on the internet for "Pedophile", NAMBLA (North American Man/Boy Love Association), and "boylover". Find a website---www.boylinks.net. If you haven't been aware of this before, you will be shocked, dismayed, and angered. If you are a parent, as I am, you should be alarmed upon viewing the agenda, the goals of these people, photos of kids, AND stories that will/should infuriate you. Some of it is quite harmless--pictures of kids playing sports and kids just being kids. BUT there are others of NUDE boys that shouldn't even be on the 'net. They are there to appeal to the deviant, sick minds of perverts--perverts that would LOVE to be Scout Masters!

NOW! Those that say, "WHY, society would NEVER accept or legalize what now is considered abhorent, sick, deviant and illegal molestation of our children". And I ask WHY NOT? Again, in 1965 or even later, society would not accept an open, legal "marriage" of gays. It was abhorent, sick, deviant and illegal behavior. Yet today, there are alleged "clergy" that will "marry" queers. Society has become so "enlightened", so sensitive to the issue of "civil rights", that we are blinded to what is RIGHT. So is it, therefore, a surprise that any group with an agenda brings forth said agenda, no matter how deviant and wrong, by cloaking it in a righteous robe of "CIVIL" rights? Yes, they know that this is the only path to their goals--the path that takes a route thru the briars and brambles, the underbrush of liberalism where we cannot see the adversary, and, ultimately blindsides us into conceding THEM their "rights".

Therefore, in order to protect even ONE Scout, one Church youth group, one child from being placed in a position that he/she neither expects or understands, the battle line MUST be drawn and the only way to do that, even at the expense of one homosexual who might NOT molest a child, is to exclude the entire group. The rights of children must be given priority because an adult should be old and mature enough for his wants not to hurt him--especially if he "wants" to molest MY kid!!

In conclusion, two things come to mind. The first is, referring to a comment Dick made earlier; "body of experience". I ask WHOSE body do you want a pervert to have EXPERIENCE with? Your son? Your daughter?

Your 12 year old? Is it OK with you if it happens to be MY 13 year old and not yours? Again, the line must be drawn in the sand somewhere.

The second item is something I have heard and never forgot: "You MUST stand for something, or you'll fall for anything"!

Thanks and 73

Jerry
K4KWH

From 433871981342387241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 19:10:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010424160325.08623.00001328@ng-md1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <CmqF6.34093$RF1.2901375@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 02:10:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.172.129
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988164642 12.88.172.129 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 02:10:42 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 02:10:42 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20055

Steve, I agree with you 100% except for the cellphone thing. That would not have worked very well a couple of years ago in the Northeast. Neither would the internet. People forget that the large infrastucture of our 'normal' communications systems can also be its' downfall. Take out the power over a large area (say a state or three) and suddenly you are either 'wireless' or without communications!

I sure do agree about the Q&A stuff. Heck, let a computer generate the numbers on the spot for the various resistance, reactance, resonant frequency, and other stuff. No two exams the same. Sure, the safety questions, operating proceedure, and similar may be mutiple guess, but again - don't publish them.

Morse code may not make much sense, but the technical aspects have been so dumbed down that I simply checked off random answers to resonant frequency problems since I didn't have a calculator, didn't feel like extracting square roots (I can without guessing), and knew I had enough correct answers to not have to worry about it. Besides, going through the whole smash at once in 1993 took enough time without trying to see how high a score I could get.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

```
From -5711802924426658201
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 19:45:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newscon06.news.prodigy.com!newsmst01.news.prodigy.com!
prodigy.com!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE62B8F.94C66EC7@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 1
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.188.42.77
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com 988166138 6004826 208.188.42.77 (Tue, 24
Apr 2001 22:35:38 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 22:35:38 EDT
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 02:35:38 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15244
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20056
```

What he said.

```
From 3707537205920213267
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9028c066f7b451b1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 19:55:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbcj45.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <200104231732.f3NHWLt27956@mail.mailgate.org>
<3AE4D036.93EEC619@ix.netcom.com> <3ae57f98.217406262@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Riley says 40M may be cut - restructuring a stroke of brilliance
Lines: 21
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 02:56:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988167396 63.178.67.59 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 02:56:36
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 02:56:36 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20057
```

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 14:27:54 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

>>avoiding the broadcasters above 7.2MHz. Who/what is allocated in
>>6.9 to 7MHz anyway?
>>
>"Land Mobile" globally from 6765 to 7000. Not much going on that I can
>hear.

You mean "Fixed and Mobile" (an international designation). "Land Mobile" is US slang for same.

International agencies such as national Red Cross/Red Crescent Societies and the Salvation Army use spot frequencies in that region when they need to talk to each other in international disaster relief operations.   In the US, the ARC gets such allocations on an STA basis.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

```
From 5400216074067540535
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 19:55:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbd0q7.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc90xq1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<20010423192312.12987.00000735@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 26
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 02:56:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988167397 63.178.67.59 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 02:56:37
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 02:56:37 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20058
```

On 23 Apr 2001 23:23:12 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

```
>>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam
>
>>The last one that I find in the comm law digest is when the
>>  FCC proposed giving more of the joint ISM-amateur shared bands to
>>  the non-licensed Part 15 bunch, and the ARRL filed suit in the DC
>>  Circuit (U S Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit)
>>  for an immediate stay preventing the FCC's decision from going into
>>  effect (and the wireless industry flooding the market with gadgets),
>>  pending the results of further interference studies which were
>>  underway.
>
>Dick, could this be the filing that you are so bitter about so many years
>later?
```

Or was iot the one that the League filed after the loss of the
220-222 MHz portion, and the DC Circuit ruled against the League?
That one doesn't show up in Pike & Fischer so I assume that it may
have been an unpublished summary decision. (Chris is at NAB this
week.)

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -8114979758921319113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 19:58:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<3ae5b782.4314361@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <73rF6.3904$tx5.103719@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 02:58:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 988167491 209.204.160.101 (Tue, 24 Apr 2001 19:58:11
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 19:58:11 PDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20059

It's not just a problem of counterfeit stickers. A Type Accepted radio can
have a diode snipped out without disturbing it's sticker.

Ron N6AHA

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ae5b782.4314361@news.mindspring.com...
> On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 03:52:18 -0400, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>
> >Sam Grasso wrote:
> >>
> >> [snip] How in the world are they going to
> >> know about CB radios unless they take a
> >> training course and are well versed in

```
> >> CB's  [snip]
> >
> >  Actually, Sam (and here is your answer also, Bill), since legal CB
> >equipment has a type-acceptance sticker on it, and illegal equipment
> >does not, here is one extremely simple method that could be used to
> >determine if the device is illegal for the unlicensed operator.
>
> Making phony stickers would be a piece of cake for
> anyone with a printer.  Those stickers are just paper
> with the right words on them.
>
> >And I
> >can think of several additional methods that would be just about as
simple.
>
> Please tell us...and, again, please tell us how the cop
> gets to come in the house to begin with.  Search
> warrant, no knock break-in, let's be real here!
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
```

```
From 3657708176113789515
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 20:00:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 19
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.59
```

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988167692 63.178.67.59 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:32
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:32 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20060

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 03:39:54 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>  No kidding, Bill.  That's what I've been saying all along.  A cop
>doesn't have to be an expert to do his job - experts are brought in
>later, if needed, to testify about any evidence gathered.  All the cop
>has to have is enough knowledge to recognize a probable violation,
>gather information, and gather evidence.  Again, when it comes to
>HR-2346, any testimony of an electronics nature would involve an
>expert called during any legal hearing into the matter.

  If the cop wants to be a "radio cop" he damned well better have
  the qualifications and knowledge that an FCC enforcement agent has
  (electronics technician level) or else he's no better than a "police
  wannabe" trying to do more familiar police duties.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From 7725813654745480988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 20:01:01 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbe2zb.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc93543.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<20010424080832.20927.00001444@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 17
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988167702 63.178.67.59 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:42
GMT)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:42 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20061

On 24 Apr 2001 12:08:32 GMT, Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

>>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam
>>Date: 04/23/01 2:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
>
>> Are you preaching to U S Navy Master Chief (Ret.) Hans Brakow?
>
>   It's Brakob, Phil.

My error and apologies to Hans.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -8563803370028513035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 20:01:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net> <3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com> <3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com> <3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com> <F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 22
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988167704 63.178.67.59 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:44 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:44 GMT

```
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20062

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 03:52:18 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>  Actually, Sam (and here is your answer also, Bill), since legal CB
>equipment has a type-acceptance sticker on it, and illegal equipment
>does not, here is one extremely simple method that could be used to
>determine if the device is illegal for the unlicensed operator.  And I
>can think of several additional methods that would be just about as simple.

  Your reliance on a sticker/label to determine compliance is about
  as accurate as reading the nameplate on a transformer to determine
  the output voltage that it is supplying.

  The FCC will not and does not rely on that alone to intitate seizure
  of operating equipment (marketing violations are another story) -
  technical measurements are required.

  Rules of evidence.....

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From 5183111613786662079
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 20:01:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbekic.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<b4caetcsh06u97ko565st3jhf1bqkvftko@4ax.com> <3ae580cb.217713173@news2.dvol.com>
<3ae5861e$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Lines: 19
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988167707 63.178.67.59 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:47
GMT)
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:47 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20063

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 07:00:01 -0700, Keith wrote:

>>Notice the dates of these ordnances . . .
>
>It doesn't make a difference how old the law is they are still valid laws.

  Totally preempted by FCC pronouncement.  Note the frequencies  -
  1940s era.

  I was with the LA Sheriff's Department in the late 1950s and the
  only persons who applied for such permits were sheriff's deputies
  who were required to do so by departmental regulations.

  Minus 2 on the troll-o-meter.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -2737651350356051297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 21:51:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AE65772.BDD3F1CF@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 23:49:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE4B8E4.C86B9D11@IEEE.org> <9c2i82$gbb$2@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4DB24.B409A769@IEEE.org> <9c2uth$nu2$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58B30.D603B05F@IEEE.org> <9c56rs$kca$0@208.206.142.94>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988173817
64.240.47.105 (24 Apr 2001 23:43:37 -0500)
```

```
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!sienna.impulse.net!
204.71.68.178.MISMATCH!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20064

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > Have you ever asked yourself: What is the most important function that
> > > > hams perform? What knowledge/skill does a ham need to perform that
> > > > function? Should arbitrary knowledge/skill requirements keep people
> > > > capable of performing that most important function out of the ARS?
> > >
> > >  Your terminology is suspect as usual. "Most important" may not be
> > > congruent with all the elements of satisfied by the 'basis and purpose".
> >
> > Again you refuse to answer the question. One cannot help but wonder why.
>
>  Could it be that it's a stupid question?

Asking what is the most important function that hams perform is a stupid
question?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2769400382927160588
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 21:54:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbrwog.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 25
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 04:54:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988174491 63.178.67.59 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 04:54:51
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 04:54:51 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20065

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 02:57:26 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>  Yes, I've met arrogant cops before, Jerry.  But I've never met one
>who would openly, and willfully, violate the law over something
>anywhere near as simple as a CB radio complaint would be (and that
>includes the cop in your little story).  Therefore, to suggest this is
>something that the average person should be gravely concerned about
>when it comes to HR-2346 is absolutely absurd.  In other words, lets
>try to keep this discussion within the realm of reality.

  Doesn't the news about the "toilet plunger" assault in NY or the
  Rampart Division falsification-of-evidence mess in LA or the asset
  forfeiture scandals across the country get to your part of the
  world?  (I saw some of that first-hand when I was a reserve officer
  in a major police department.)

  Rodney King's beating was about a speeding violation that went
  "downhill from there".

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
```

From -7243605331950160965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 21:57:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AE65902.26CB8CBC@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 24 Apr 2001 23:56:34 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net>
<20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134>
<3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151>
<3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161>
<3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org> <9c0agm$87c$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4BEFF.DED854EA@IEEE.org> <9c2jmn$kjv$4@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4DF93.9B2E2B5D@IEEE.org> <9c2v39$nu2$1@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58DCA.392F3A91@IEEE.org> <9c5702$kca$1@208.206.142.94>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988174217 64.240.47.105 (24 Apr 2001 23:50:17 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20066

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I have presented evidence that foreign hams don't cause
> > chaos in their unsegmented ham bands.
>
> And I have given you the very reason why- and exactly why that chaos
> WILL result when they abandon band plans in the USA --

All you've given is an opinion, Dick. Will chaos happen simply because
your opinion is that it will?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7287994043037561437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 21:58:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!129.250.35.146!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbeqbd.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<b4caetcsh06u97ko565st3jhf1bqkvftko@4ax.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Lines: 14
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 04:58:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988174727 63.178.67.59 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 04:58:47 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 04:58:47 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20067 rec.radio.scanner:16671

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 07:54:40 GMT, Never anonymous Bud wrote:

>Cool, almost all AM/FM radios would fall under that prohibition,
>as they usually tune up to at least 1640kc, and many go up past 1700.

Until the 1965 Watts riots, the LAPD used 1730 and 2366 kHz as dispatch frequencies. The rioters jammed them, so the department finally shut down the 1930s-era AM transmitters. I used to earn coffee money by tuning auto radios to receive 1730 in the mid-1950s.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 7483004329654472670
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 22:01:28 PST
Message-ID: <3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 00:00:14 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988174438
64.240.47.105 (24 Apr 2001 23:53:58 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20068

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Ah Cecil but his children deserve to be protected from his stupidity if
> he can'd do it himself.

Been taking lessons from Hillary Clinton? You liberal do-gooders are
taking over the country in the name of protecting the children.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4132404583319140066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 22:03:36 PST
Message-ID: <3AE65A62.46E9EBE9@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 00:02:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kg0$njg$1@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E48E.A6BD7C68@IEEE.org> <9c2vip$pnm$1@208.206.142.95>
<3AE5900F.B8569522@IEEE.org> <9c579a$kca$3@208.206.142.94>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988174568
64.240.47.105 (24 Apr 2001 23:56:08 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-
out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20069
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> And you're too dense to make a comparison between an airplane falling a
> long way to a person falling a few feet?

Therefore, we need to have falling questions on ham exams?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7460190655624491487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 22:10:16 PST
Message-ID: <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 00:09:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988174967
64.240.47.105 (25 Apr 2001 00:02:47 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15248
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20070
```

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> Therefore, in order to protect even ONE Scout, one Church youth group,
> one child from being placed in a position that he/she neither expects or
> understands, the battle line MUST be drawn and the only way to do that,
> even at the expense of one homosexual who might NOT molest a child, is
> to exclude the entire group.

Following exactly the same reasoning and given that the majority of child molesters are heterosexual, would you say the battle line MUST be drawn and the only way to do that, even at the expense of one heterosexual who might NOT molest a child, is to exclude the entire group?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6330900850719063283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 22:38:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 25 Apr 2001 05:38:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9c5nt6$hsc$0@208.206.142.94>
References: <MPG.1549e7f0c898d5aa9896dc@news.earthlink.net> <20010420090014.12866.00000253@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9bpqoa$fqo$0@208.207.71.134> <3AE07A68.8F88588@IEEE.org> <9br0p7$mp3$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE11424.F7DEFB61@IEEE.org> <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <3AE33779.35F0B819@IEEE.org> <9c0agm$87c$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4BEFF.DED854EA@IEEE.org> <9c2jmn$kjv$4@208.206.142.95> <3AE4DF93.9B2E2B5D@IEEE.org> <9c2v39$nu2$1@208.206.142.95> <3AE58DCA.392F3A91@IEEE.org> <9c5702$kca$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE65902.26CB8CBC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20071

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > I have presented evidence that foreign hams don't cause
> > > chaos in their unsegmented ham bands.
> >
> > And I have given you the very reason why- and exactly why that chaos
> > WILL result when they abandon band plans in the USA --
>
> All you've given is an opinion, Dick. Will chaos happen simply because
> your opinion is that it will?

Oh well, maybe not. Maybe so many present hams will lose interest and
drop out that there WON'T be so many left.

From 5508121944221554329
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 22:38:58 PST
Message-ID: <3AE662AB.2B78755E@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 00:37:47 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbrwog.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988176692
64.240.47.105 (25 Apr 2001 00:31:32 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20072

Phil Kane wrote:
> Rodney King's beating was about a speeding violation that went
> "downhill from there".

How about the California guy who was shot because they wanted his
property? I saw that on "Investigative Reports".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7557144012824198866
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 22:42:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 25 Apr 2001 05:42:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20073



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  Ah Cecil but his children deserve to be protected from his stupidity if
> > he can'd do it himself.
>
> Been taking lessons from Hillary Clinton? You liberal do-gooders are
> taking over the country in the name of protecting the children.

Man you are *the* study in strangeness....
Should the FCC then be responsible for him legally allowing a child to
have free access to uncovered high voltage because he was not required
to learn that he was dealing with dangerous hardware, and the need to
protect himself and others from it? FCC should become a party to child
neglect?



From -5715665176029649359
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 22:44:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
Date: 25 Apr 2001 05:44:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15249
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20074
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > Therefore, in order to protect even ONE Scout, one Church youth group,
> > one child from being placed in a position that he/she neither expects or
> > understands, the battle line MUST be drawn and the only way to do that,
> > even at the expense of one homosexual who might NOT molest a child, is
> > to exclude the entire group.
>
> Following exactly the same reasoning and given that the majority of
> child molesters are heterosexual, would you say the battle line MUST
> be drawn and the only way to do that, even at the expense of one
> heterosexual who might NOT molest a child, is to exclude the entire
> group?

So I suppose then that you sent your daughters out under the
supervision of known child molesters?

From 5418673068700845840
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 22:46:14 PST
Message-ID: <3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 00:44:56 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988177123
64.240.47.105 (25 Apr 2001 00:38:43 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20075

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Should the FCC then be responsible for him legally allowing a child to
> have free access to uncovered high voltage because he was not required
> to learn that he was dealing with dangerous hardware, and the need to
> protect himself and others from it? FCC should become a party to child
> neglect?

The FCC is not responsible for it. The stupid parent is responsible for it.
Is the federal government responsible for stupid parents allowing their
children access to guns? You liberals want to make individual responsibility
a thing of the past.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2389004609390422538
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 22:50:47 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 00:49:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com> <3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com> <3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org> <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>

```
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988177388
64.240.47.105 (25 Apr 2001 00:43:08 -0500)
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15250
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20076

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > Therefore, in order to protect even ONE Scout, one Church youth group,
> > > one child from being placed in a position that he/she neither expects or
> > > understands, the battle line MUST be drawn and the only way to do that,
> > > even at the expense of one homosexual who might NOT molest a child, is
> > > to exclude the entire group.
> >
> > Following exactly the same reasoning and given that the majority of
> > child molesters are heterosexual, would you say the battle line MUST
> > be drawn and the only way to do that, even at the expense of one
> > heterosexual who might NOT molest a child, is to exclude the entire
> > group?
>
>  So I suppose then that you sent your daughters out under the
> supervision of known child molesters?

We are not talking about known child molesters, Dick. We are talking
about unknown child molesters. There are twice as many heterosexual
child molesters as there are homosexual child molesters.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
```

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1901794089154180525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 23:08:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 06:08:29 GMT
References: <9c41oe$rpg$2@208.207.71.171>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010425020829.00311.00000247@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20077

In article <9c41oe$rpg$2@208.207.71.171>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>You suspect right. I don't jumpo through your hoops, and I have no
>reason whatever to do any such thing. Go soak your head.

Another "civility" lesson from the long-timer...
```

```
From 3293223079089648850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 23:08:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 06:08:30 GMT
References: <9c2ucu$lfk$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010425020830.00311.00000248@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20078
```

In article <9c2ucu$lfk$0@208.206.142.95>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Lary Klose wrote:
>>
>> It long past time to delete ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47CFR 97.503(a).
>> However, we have no objection to the continued use of CW emissions.
>
> It's long past time for you to shut the hell up about it.

The new "civility" of the long-timer...

From -44839829024339467
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 23:08:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 06:08:32 GMT
References: <20010424074228.20927.00001434@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010425020832.00311.00000250@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20079

In article <20010424074228.20927.00001434@ng-cg1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>>I think nursie is seeing people that aren't there...
>
>   As opposed to Lennie the Blind who can't see people who ARE there!
>
>   LOL!

Nursie has not answered the question. I will repeat it -

Who in here am I supposed to have met personally?

Good luck on evading that one now...

From 7069535993305905713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 23:08:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 06:08:33 GMT
References: <20010424080107.20927.00001442@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010425020833.00311.00000252@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20080

In article <20010424080107.20927.00001442@ng-cg1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

> The Left Coast Putz and the Great White Yutz. What a pair.

The Yiddish pejoratives "Putz" and "Yutz" are examples of the
kind of civility practiced by a maximally-"upgraded" amateur...who
then complains about "liberal" swear words by others.

That tag line was uncalled for and apologies should be tendered.

From 8322266471414512125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 23:08:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 06:08:33 GMT
References: <3ae5b10a.2657572@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010425020833.00311.00000251@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20081

In article <3ae5b10a.2657572@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>>Who will design the tube amplifiers of the future, Bill?
>
>The point is that the design of those tube amps is far more
>a specialty today than the general theory of all tubes
>which I learned in tech school in 1962/3. The focus today of
>basic circuitry, basic components is solid state. The use
>of tubes at all now is very specialized applications.

Vacuum tube design theory, technology, and techniques are
now well known. Specific designs are aided, improved, and
controlled on the production line by solid-state architecture
sensors and computers (TV picture tubes and computer
monitors).

In heavy production worldwide, right now, descending order:

Color screen cathode ray tubes
Magnetrons (microwave oven production)
Travelling Wave Amplifiers (broadband microwave amplifiers)
Broadcast-use power amplifier tubes
Photomultiplier tubes
Electron-multiplier imagers (night vision scopes)

```
From 7417276080504527210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 23:08:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 88
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 06:08:29 GMT
References: <3ae57146.743094079@news.accessunited.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010425020829.00311.00000246@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20083
```

In article <3ae57146.743094079@news.accessunited.com>, 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom
W8JI) writes:

>On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 06:19:16 -0400, "J. Duffy Beischel"
><duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote:
>
>>I still can't believe people who keep wanting to hold the code so near and
>>dear. If you want to use this form of communication, great. It is

>>outdated. Keeping it does not attract new hams. Kids with computers are
>>just not excited by the prospect of morse code. People have to get used to
>>change and quit clinging on to the past.
>
>Kids are not excited by radio....PERIOD.
>
>It is old, it has no mystique, it is nothing special. It like getting
>excited about a telephone., or a cell phone.
>
>The problem is not CW, or a lack of CW. CW is meaningless, except to
>those who use it.

If it is so "meaningless," why is it still required (internationally)
as an HF-privilige license test? Oh...I understand, it is for those
who have been licensed as a long time and had to take the test
in order to get that license. Yup, understandable.

Has the FCC ever, repeat ever hinted at outlawing CW on the
US amateur radio bands? I don't think so.

>>Second, the ARRL can't win. If they support all hams passing a 30 WPM code
>>test, the coders are happy and everyone else gets pissed. If they support
>>no-code, all the old timers that cling to the past get pissed. They can't
>>win.
>
>Getting new activity has nothing to do with old-timers or new timers.
>Radio used to be cutting edge, and now it isn't. People used to like
>to fuss around with stuff and build stuff, now they don't.
>
>The world changes, and the current trend is away from working for
>anything..or learning how stuff actually works.

Strange...I've not seen that in the professional radio-electronics
world. Must be an amateur thing.

>The effect of eliminating code is simply to piss off the people who
>enjoy CW, and it will certainly NOT rekindle interest is old stale
>technology like radio.

Interesting conclusion. "Effect of eliminating code is simply to
piss off the people who enjoy CW..." No one, including NCTAs
in here, are advocating "elimination" of the code.

>It isn't old timers against "new age" radio operators.

No? Define "new age."

>It is people
>who grew up loving radio and who were thrilled by the concept of
>talking to people all over the world trying to understand how people
>view it as something no more magic than a telephone.

NCTAs are a spoiled bunch, no doubt. I'm an NCTA. I was just hitting legal age when I QSYed a 1 KW transmitter for the first time. In 1953.

"Magic." I was at the Magic Castle in Hollywood recently. That's basically a professional magician's club, non-magicians get in only on the invitation of a member (a professional magician). Plenty "magic" there, but it is treated as a guild, a craft, actually a technology of effects. People can appreciate such "magic" even if the magicians think of themselves as entertainer-illusionists.

"Magic" is what you make it, but if entertainer-illusionists make bad magic, folks won't like it.

>Hell, look at CB. It peaked and died way off. The only real activity
>that is increasing is pirates without tickets of any kind. They are on
>160, 80, 30,20,15, and ten meters...and there are more every month.
>That's where the future of radio is...in not having ANY license. And
>nothing we can do will change that, all we can do is make it more
>uncomfortable for people who used to enjoy it.

"Discomfort" is caused by trying to keep the past the same even though the technology and everything else has passed them by.

There is plenty that can be done. Learn, grow, keep on advancing. Or complain about discomfort when trying to hold onto the past.

From -5779906543512147057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 23:08:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 86
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 06:08:32 GMT
References: <20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010425020832.00311.00000249@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20082

In article <20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam

(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>Bill:
>
>Sorry, no sale. The NCTA have always used the need to "advance
>the state of the art" -- presumably in digital communications technology,
>as a primary reason to reduce or eliminate the Morse code testing
>requirement…as if the two were, indeed, mutually exclusive.

Incorrect.

>The claim
>had always been that the code test requirement was discouraging
>brilliant, computer-literate young people who would magically transform
>the landscape of amateur radio communications technology if only they
>had the fetters of this time-honored mode removed from them.

Incorrect and an attribution of the PCTAs, not the NCTAs. False.

>They
>have claimed the Morse code, and it's testing requirement, to be
>everything from "lame" to "ethnic cleansing" -- and insisted that those
>who support continuance of the testing requirement were not in step
>with the "inclusive" nature of this hobby, and, therefore, politically
>incorrect.

Factually and logically incorrect. NCI is advocating only the
elimination of the morse code TEST.

> No, Bill -- the NCTA and their apologists throughout the years have done
>an excellent job of marrying the concepts of Morse code skill with
>technical stagnation -- thus making proficiency in Morse/CW and technical
>competence mutually exclusive in the extreme.

Morse code skill has never been proven to advance any state of
the radio art in any radio service.

>You would have to undo
>over a decade's worth of Fidonet/Usenet debate on this topic to convince
>me otherwise.

This is not Fidonet. This is not a BBS net of any kind.

>I have read and authored the equivalent of dozens of
>full-length novels' worth of messages that relate to this topic, and can
>call myself an expert on the subject without any fear of exaggeration.

tRoll calls himself an "expert" without any fear. Not a problem.
The problem is that no one else considers him an expert.

>The link between technical incompetence and Morse code skill is the
>very foundation of every argument that has ever been made against the

>pre-Restructuring status quo in code testing.

Incorrect.

>This is the truth, the only truth, and the only reality.

Delusion.

>You cannot ever escape this reality in a
>feeble attempt to justify what has been done to permanently obviate
>any future growth in the use of the Morse/CW mode.

Morse code in its present form of aperiodic on-off signals representing English language alphanumeric and punctuation characters is approximately 157 years old. Given the highly untechnical nature of that, it is unlikely to see any "growth" in technology as applied to radio.

While radio in its infancy could not use any method except on-off keying, radio has developed enormously in the 105 years since its birth. The development no longer requires morse code for any regulatory license test reason.

> Deny this if you will, but it is the truth.

tRoll is advised to follow his own words. There is no longer any valid reason to keep a code test for amateur radio licensing. Deny that if you will, but it IS the truth.

```
From 3901937852488804770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 23:09:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 06:08:36 GMT
References: <20010423061706.17773.00000674@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010425020836.00311.00000257@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20085
```

```
In article <20010423061706.17773.00000674@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>> But there ARE lots of trained (and not so well trained) monkeys on the
>bands.
>
>Let me correct that; it would be more accurate to call it an insult.
>
>Have you memorized those 1000 Russian definitions in a weekend to show us all
>how easy it is to learn without learning?  I thought not...

Russian is written in Cyrillic.  Dickie is painted with Acyrillic...



From -2331908011546192607
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 23:09:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 06:08:34 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gc93543.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010425020834.00311.00000253@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20084

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gc93543.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>>And anyone not prepared to fight for freedon must be prepared to LOSE
>>it.  I don't know whose that is--it just seemed appropriate.
>
>  Are you preaching to U S Navy Master Chief (Ret.) Hans Brakow?

Thank you Oliver Wendell Ohms...



From -7014429850690328752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 23:09:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
```

Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 06:08:35 GMT
References: <20010423094312.15601.00001251@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010425020835.00311.00000255@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20086

In article <20010423094312.15601.00001251@ng-fc1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>It's been two days now...where is it?
>
>   It's right there in the "to do" pile, along with the questions I have
>for YOU on microwave technology...just as soon as YOU start the thread.

Nursie should have paid attention to what another posted in here on the FCC's Enforcement Bureau...which was correct.

This newsgroup is about policy, not technology. Biot and Savart's Law is not practiced the same as codification of regulations...no more than E and H planes concern aviation. Anyone may initiate the beginning of another newsgroup on microwave technology by contacting two of the radio amateurs who sporadically post in here.

From -344646892388992434
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 23:09:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 06:08:35 GMT
References: <3AE5EB0A.A3E28884@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010425020835.00311.00000256@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20087

In article <3AE5EB0A.A3E28884@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>I find nothing in those words about eliminating CW use. And similarly my
>response was unrelated to such an idea.

Nobody can argue with those first sentences in the paragraph...but:

>Some of the folks on the list
>have indeed argued that requirements they have not met be eliminated -
>presumably in order that they might be able to obtain greater
>privileges.

The last sentence is a presumption...of guilt. Some will see
that presumption as the biased opinions of those who would
personally keep the rank, status, and privileges obtained
through old standards and practices.

Is amateur radio to be graded and judged primarily on the
tested code skills of those who are licensed? If so, why?

From -5111395745689465397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-24 23:09:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 06:08:34 GMT
References: <20010423095317.15601.00001253@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010425020834.00311.00000254@nso-mo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20088

In article <20010423095317.15601.00001253@ng-fc1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>>[Charles Laughton was gay...]
>
>   More "on topic" debate, Lennie?

No. No more on topic than what has gone down in this
newsgroups in the last few days such as these "subjects:"

Submarines
Ship sinkings

CB radio
Missionary killed
Admiral's Masts
Improper conduct of law enforcement officers
Pedophilia
Homosexuality
Boy Scouts of America
3rd Infantry
Ordinances of Los Angeles County
Presidential politics (particularly Democratic presidents)
Religion

Yes...all very "on topic" to amateur radio policy, amateur radio regulations. Of course, nursie, of course.

```
From 7198121120056292950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 01:03:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!news-
out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: "Roger's Nemesis" <GotchaBitch@Woger.comm>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:03:05 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - http://www.altopia.com
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9c60bs$53n$0@pita.alt.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15251
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20089
```

"> We are not talking about known child molesters, Dick. We are talking
> about unknown child molesters. There are twice as many heterosexual
> child molesters as there are homosexual child molesters.

So...if we eliminate the homosexuals, we have eliminated one third right off
the bat. Of course your figures are most probably wrong. There is no such
thing as "known child molesters" that usurp such a large group. Your numbers
are wrong and self-serving, especially when dealing with what you admit to
be "unknown" child molesters.
Drop back to square one. In all actuality, you have no numbers, no real
facts to back up your claims. Your claims are nebulous at the very best,
shameful at the worst.

From 2762251404263018924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 02:02:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 09:02:27 GMT
References: <3AE39948.CA3BBEC5@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010425050227.11413.00000714@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20090

In article <3AE39948.CA3BBEC5@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> ... I think you mean the "slow/medium" speed Morse code
>> testing requirments, because 20 WPM is NOT "high speed" code by
>> any stretch of the imagination.
>
>It was not a value judgment, Larry, just a means of identification.
>Slow/med/fast for 5/13/20 seems an entirely logical way to identify
>the different code *testing* levels.

Cecil:

I know -- no harm, no foul. Personally, I'd define 5/13/20 as Basic
Character Recognition/Slow/Medium, respectively.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2837020525796351253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 02:02:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 09:02:28 GMT
References: <20010423065834.17773.00000692@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010425050228.11413.00000715@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20092

In article <20010423065834.17773.00000692@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>> And the sad part is that the HF hams
>>we're creating these days lack the knowledge, skill, and
>>communications proficiency required to be a truly valuable asset
>>under the kind of austere conditions which truly demonstrate our
>>value to society.
>
>What was contained in the 16 pages of study guide that got me my General in
>1964 that is lacking on the hundreds of pages people have to study today,
>Larry?

Ed:

Pretty much the same stuff, I rather suspect. The only reason why ham study guides are bigger now is because they contain an entire pool of questions and the exact answers, to be memorized by prospective test-takers. The study guides I used in the early '80's didn't seem that big, either -- but I had learned most of what I knew as a result of 15 years as an electronics hobbyist, so all I really needed to learn were the rules, operational techniques, and other ham-specific details.

>Do you think that it was those 16 pages that made me a valuable
>asset,
>or the things I did after I was given my license?

Both, obviously. I also believe that you are a more valuable asset as a ham because you possess functional proficiency in the Morse code -- something I believe all fully-privileged hams should have. I also believe that functional proficiency in Morse code naturally tends to lead to greater technical competence. People capable of gaining good Morse code skills are also usually at the high end of technical involvement for the same reason -- they aren't afraid to work at learning something useful. The overwhelming majority of the hams I've known that have the highest technical skills have also -- almost to the man -- been code proficient, CW-using, CW-loving Extra-class hams.

There are exceptions, naturally, but for the most part the above is the typical pattern among hams. The exceptions are usually the "repeater gurus" who work full-time in the LMR industry, and can build a linked, wide area coverage system out of the scraps left over from a day's work. I know several of them, and they are "Real Hams" in spite of the fact that they don't know a dit from a beer bottle. However, at least for as long as I've been a ham, they have always been accommodated by the Technician class ticket with it's slow 5 WPM requirement, or, more recently, the No-Code Technician license. They had nothing to complain about, and they usually didn't. It's the people who don't want to know or learn anything other than how to work the PTT that need to be addressed in licensing requirements.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3191933930416295600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 02:02:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 09:02:26 GMT
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010425050226.11413.00000713@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20091

In article <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl>, anti-arrl@worthless_org.org (Ringo Ranger) writes:

>
>It's either the sin of commission or the sin of omission. I guess the ARRL
>will get what it wants, to dumb down amateur radio further. This time it

>won't have to lift a finger to do it. They can come out looking like the
>good-guy. Thank Ed, checks in the mail.
>
>Ringo

The ARRL has been two-faced on the code requirements issue for at least the last decade. On one hand, they're afraid of offending the "older" hams who comprise a large part of their membership base by giving away too much too fast. On the other, they are chomping at the bit to throw away ALL licensing requirements beyond filling out an application form as quickly as possible, in the belief that this will bring in more potential members and more business for their QST advertisers. They once walked a plank over shark-infested waters; it's been a 2x4 since '91, and will soon be a matchstick.

BTW you're completely wrong about Ed Hare. He's his own man here in r.r.a.p; and has proven so many times. The fact that he's totally in the tank with ARRL policies just means he's working for the right firm. If he worked for me, and said one word not in keeping with company policy, I'd stuff him in a cannon and shoot him out the front door, just to make extra sure it didn't hit him in the ass on his way out!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 3658313671215640824
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 03:50:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 06:51:02 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3AE6AC0E.9E11343@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<3ae5b782.4314361@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-13.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988195847 229621 207.144.64.143 (25 Apr 2001 10:50:47 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 10:50:47 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20093

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> Making phony stickers would be a piece of
> cake for anyone with a printer. Those
> stickers are just paper with the right
> words on them.

Bill, I'm not going to get into great details here, but it is not as easy as you imagine. Locate a legal piece of CB radio equipment, locate the FCC info, and then tell me it would be so easy to make up a phony sticker. Let me give you a hint - the FCC is not as stupid as you appear to think.

And, of course, you completely avoided my comment that the FCC info is just one method that could be used to detect an illegal device - that I could think of several other methods that could be used also.

> Please tell us...and, again, please tell
> us how the cop gets to come in the house
> to begin with. Search warrant, no knock
> break-in, let's be real here!

Bill, as you well know, I've already answered that question (you asked it a couple of days ago in this thread). Now, if you don't like the answer I gave, fine. But don't keep repeating the same question like you've never received an answer.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 5640671818673340532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 03:54:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 06:55:11 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AE6AD06.5C5E09AB@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-13.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988196095 229867 207.144.64.143 (25 Apr 2001 10:54:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 10:54:55 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20094

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> Your reliance on a sticker/label to determine
> compliance is about as accurate as reading the
> nameplate on a transformer to determine the
> output voltage that it is supplying.

First of all, I'm not relying on anything, Phil. And, as for the police relying on that, if you read back over my comments in this thread, you will see that this was offered as only one method, in a range of methods, that could be used to determine if a device is illegal.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 5573600800461954696
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 04:33:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 11:32:35 GMT
References: <20010425050228.11413.00000715@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010425073235.13085.00000375@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20095

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>The only reason why
>ham study guides are bigger now is because they contain an entire
>pool of questions and the exact answers, to be memorized by
>prospective test-takers.

In the 200+ page Technician study guide, Now You're Talking, last time I counted, about 80 pages are devoted to the questions. That leaves over 120 pages of other material -- over 100 pages of which are explanatory material for the 80 pages of questions. The Technician is the typical entry point of ham radio today. In my day, it was the Novice, which had a 4-page study guide. Not exactly the "same stuff" by my counts.   Your count may vary.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 584385121477767356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 04:43:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 07:44:08 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <3AE6B871.B29DBB3A@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net> <3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com> <3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com> <3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com> <F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbrwog.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net

NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-13.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988199028 232385 207.144.64.143 (25 Apr 2001 11:43:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 11:43:48 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20096

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Yes, I've met arrogant cops before, Jerry.
> > But I've never met one who would openly, and
> > willfully, violate the law over something
> > anywhere near as simple as a CB radio complaint
> > would be (and that includes the cop in your
> > little story). Therefore, to suggest this is
> > something that the average person should be
> > gravely concerned about when it comes to HR-2346
> > is absolutely absurd. In other words, lets try
> > to keep this discussion within the realm of
> > reality.
>
>   Doesn't the news about the "toilet plunger"
> assault in NY or the Rampart Division
> falsification-of-evidence mess in LA or the
> asset forfeiture scandals across the country
> get to your part of the world? (I saw some of
> that first-hand when I was a reserve officer
> in a major police department.)

Didn't you once work as an FCC field investigator, Phil? You know, I can think of one or two incidents where federal law enforcement personnel have went over the edge also. Since you seem to be suggesting that all local law enforcement officers are evil because a handful occasionally go over the edge, should we also think of federal officers the same way because a few occasionally go over the edge also?

What is even more distressing is that you seem to be suggesting that we should have no new laws because of potential police abuse. In fact, your whole opposition to HR-2346 seems to be based on your low opinion of local law enforcement (they're too stupid to enforce this law, too evil, etc). Well, I've got news for you - there are millions in this country that don't think that much more highly of federal law enforcement officers.

For example, when it comes to CB enforcement, I support HR-2346

exactly because I think FCC enforcement personnel has failed miserably
over the last 30 years to enforce the citizens band (a situation that
began long before the FCC started using budgets as an excuse for
everything). In my opinion, local law enforcement can't do any worse
at CB enforcement then FCC personnel have done over the years.

  I'm sorry, contrary to the apparent attitude of many in this
newsgroup, I'm not willing to end law enforcement, and the rule of law
in this country, because a few bad cops occasionally go over the edge.
 The solution is to deal with those out-of-control police officers,
not throw out the laws or resist new ones.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -8155852472169200661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 04:55:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 11:55:03 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <3ae6ba47.1587910@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<3ae5b782.4314361@news.mindspring.com> <3AE6AC0E.9E11343@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.50
X-Server-Date: 25 Apr 2001 11:55:29 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20097

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 06:51:02 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> Making phony stickers would be a piece of
>> cake for anyone with a printer. Those

>> stickers are just paper with the right
>> words on them.
>
> Bill, I'm not going to get into great details here, but it is not as
>easy as you imagine. Locate a legal piece of CB radio equipment,
>locate the FCC info, and then tell me it would be so easy to make up a
>phony sticker. Let me give you a hint - the FCC is not as stupid as
>you appear to think.
>
> And, of course, you completely avoided my comment that the FCC info
>is just one method that could be used to detect an illegal device -
>that I could think of several other methods that could be used also.

Not at all, I asked you to please describe some of those
"other" methods. You han't done that at all.

>> Please tell us...and, again, please tell
>> us how the cop gets to come in the house
>> to begin with. Search warrant, no knock
>> break-in, let's be real here!
>
> Bill, as you well know, I've already answered that question (you
>asked it a couple of days ago in this thread). Now, if you don't like
>the answer I gave, fine. But don't keep repeating the same question
>like you've never received an answer.

If you think they have an automatic "right" to inspect anyone's
premise because the FCC gets to "inspect a station" on request,
then you have it all wrong. Phil Karn has already
pointed that out to you and others...there is no extension
of that "inspect the station" extended to local police in
the federal law.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 9041308650256603216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9028c066f7b451b1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 04:59:07 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Riley says 40M may be cut - restructuring a stroke of brilliance
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 11:53:36 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae6b95a.297728704@news2.dvol.com>
References: <200104231732.f3NHWLt27956@mail.mailgate.org>
<3AE4D036.93EEC619@ix.netcom.com> <3ae57f98.217406262@news2.dvol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbcj45.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.165

```
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.165
X-Trace: 25 Apr 2001 06:50:09 -0500, 64.24.18.165
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20098

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 02:56:36 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:
>
>  You mean "Fixed and Mobile" (an international designation).  "Land
>  Mobile" is US slang for same.
>
>  International agencies such as national Red Cross/Red Crescent
>  Societies and the Salvation Army use spot frequencies in that region
>  when they need to talk to each other in international disaster
>  relief operations.   In the US, the ARC gets such allocations on an
>  STA basis.
>
Thankew.
>
Sure is a quiet stretch of spectrum space.
>--
>   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
w3rv



From 8373752131326722437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:00:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 11:59:12 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3ae6bb50.1852435@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
```

```
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbrwog.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.50
X-Server-Date: 25 Apr 2001 11:59:42 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20099

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 04:54:51 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 02:57:26 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
>>  Yes, I've met arrogant cops before, Jerry.  But I've never met one
>>who would openly, and willfully, violate the law over something
>>anywhere near as simple as a CB radio complaint would be (and that
>>includes the cop in your little story).  Therefore, to suggest this is
>>something that the average person should be gravely concerned about
>>when it comes to HR-2346 is absolutely absurd.  In other words, lets
>>try to keep this discussion within the realm of reality.
>
>  Doesn't the news about the "toilet plunger" assault in NY or the
>  Rampart Division falsification-of-evidence mess in LA or the asset
>  forfeiture scandals across the country get to your part of the
>  world?  (I saw some of that first-hand when I was a reserve officer
>  in a major police department.)
>
>  Rodney King's beating was about a speeding violation that went
>  "downhill from there".
>   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Add to that the arrest and handcuffing of a woman for
a seat belt violation in TX.

I am a conservative, but that type of arrest for motor
vehicle violations (other than DUI/DWI) should be
considered illegal.  Sadly, he Supreme Ct ruled 5/4
yesterday that the police can do so.

No cheers on that note.
Bill K2UNK




From 7586851726284344612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:09:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:08:26 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 87
Message-ID: <3ae6bc39.2086137@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbrwog.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE6B871.B29DBB3A@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.50
X-Server-Date: 25 Apr 2001 12:09:04 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20100

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 07:44:08 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>
>> >  Yes, I've met arrogant cops before, Jerry.
>> > But I've never met one who would openly, and
>> > willfully, violate the law over something
>> > anywhere near as simple as a CB radio complaint
>> > would be (and that includes the cop in your
>> > little story).  Therefore, to suggest this is
>> > something that the average person should be
>> > gravely concerned about when it comes to HR-2346
>> > is absolutely absurd.  In other words, lets try
>> > to keep this discussion within the realm of
>> > reality.
>>
>>   Doesn't the news about the "toilet plunger"
>> assault in NY or the Rampart Division
>> falsification-of-evidence mess in LA or the
>> asset forfeiture scandals across the country
>> get to your part of the world?  (I saw some of
>> that first-hand when I was a reserve officer
>> in a major police department.)
>
>  Didn't you once work as an FCC field investigator, Phil?  You know,
>I can think of one or two incidents where federal law enforcement
>personnel have went over the edge also.  Since you seem to be
>suggesting that all local law enforcement officers are evil because a
>handful occasionally go over the edge, should we also think of federal
>officers the same way because a few occasionally go over the edge also?
```

Neither Phil, nor I, nor anyone else is suggesting that ALL local
law enforcement officers are evil. The point is that there
should not be ANY locals that are in that catagory...AND the
law should be such that it does not foster or offer opportunities
for "evil" behavior by any local cop.

> What is even more distressing is that you seem to be suggesting that
>we should have no new laws because of potential police abuse.

We should be VERRRRY careful to avoid crafting any new
laws that open the door to such abuse. HR-2346 (IMHO) does that.

> In
>fact, your whole opposition to HR-2346 seems to be based on your low
>opinion of local law enforcement (they're too stupid to enforce this
>law, too evil, etc).

They are not too stupid, they simply do not have the technical
competency to get involved with radio regulations of any kind.

>Well, I've got news for you - there are millions
>in this country that don't think that much more highly of federal law
>enforcement officers.

Abuse by any enforcement agaency (local, county, state or federal)
should be viewed with great disgust by all.

> For example, when it comes to CB enforcement, I support HR-2346
>exactly because I think FCC enforcement personnel has failed miserably
>over the last 30 years to enforce the citizens band (a situation that
>began long before the FCC started using budgets as an excuse for
>everything). In my opinion, local law enforcement can't do any worse
>at CB enforcement then FCC personnel have done over the years.

We obviously disagree...and, apparently so does most jurisdictions
since there has been NO rush to enact local legislation as
permitted by HR-2346. I know I would totally oppose it in
my community and I am certain I could convince my town council
peers that it is a subject area best left to the FCC.

> I'm sorry, contrary to the apparent attitude of many in this
>newsgroup, I'm not willing to end law enforcement, and the rule of law
>in this country, because a few bad cops occasionally go over the edge.
> The solution is to deal with those out-of-control police officers,
>not throw out the laws or resist new ones.

We must certainly DEAL with the bad apples. We also need to
avoid creating situations that can foster such bad behavior
under the guise of "doing good."

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -7731270755166224875
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:11:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 12:10:24 GMT
References: <20010425020834.00311.00000253@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010425081024.24993.00001900@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20101

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/25/01 2:08 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Thank you Oliver Wendell Ohms...

Uh oh, Phil....You spanked him pretty good over that international treaty stuff...Now you are on his "perjorative" list!

Cute, though! Even Lennie can overcome his shortcomings from time to time and make a funny.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

---

From 4604234562731237709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:11:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???

```
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:10:09 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3ae6be4d.2617512@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE6AD06.5C5E09AB@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.50
X-Server-Date: 25 Apr 2001 12:10:47 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20102

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 06:55:11 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>   Your reliance on a sticker/label to determine
>> compliance is about as accurate as reading the
>> nameplate on a transformer to determine the
>> output voltage that it is supplying.
>
>  First of all, I'm not relying on anything, Phil.  And, as for the
>police relying on that, if you read back over my comments in this
>thread, you will see that this was offered as only one method, in a
>range of methods, that could be used to determine if a device is illegal.

And you can also nnote the LACK of any specific methods
as suggested by Dwight.  He claims there are several others
he can think of...BUT offers no details.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 8993628781043654498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:13:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 12:12:29 GMT
```

References: <20010425020833.00311.00000252@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010425081229.24993.00001901@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20103

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/25/01 2:08 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>That tag line was uncalled for and apologies should be tendered.

RIGHT AFTER I get a "no misconceptions" apology for the 2 years of Nazi and thug stuff from you, Your Putziness.

Which means I am NOT holding my breath.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 965038697452915125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:17:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 12:16:58 GMT
References: <20010425020835.00311.00000255@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010425081658.24993.00001902@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20104

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/25/01 2:08 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>This newsgroup is about policy, not technology.

And once again it is pointed out that His Geezerness has "published" reams of "data" when it suited his rant, yet throws out the "this is about policy" rant when it means squirming out from doing something productive.

Not that I'd expect anything else. It's old pattern stuff now. Same ranting. Same Lennie.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 3764058915818619694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:17:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 08:17:52 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-13.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988201049 232955 207.144.64.143 (25 Apr 2001 12:17:29
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:17:29 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20105
```

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> If the cop wants to be a "radio cop" he
> damned well better have the qualifications
> and knowledge that an FCC enforcement agent
> has (electronics technician level) or else
> he's no better than a "police wannabe"

> trying to do more familiar police duties.

Nonsense, Phil. Police enforce laws all the time without technical knowledge of the technology surrounding the laws they're enforcing. Police have been enforcing scanner laws for years without technical knowledge of radio. Likewise, they have just begun to enforce cellular laws, again without technical knowledge of radio. And local communities have enforced laws regarding antenna structures for years with little or no technical knowledge of radio.

And the same with laws outside of radio - police routinely enforce laws without technical knowledge of the technology surrounding those laws. An officer does not have to know how a bank operates to know that a bank robbery has occurred. A game warden does not have to know about ecology to enforce gaming laws. An officer does not have to know about vehicle design to write a citation for bad brakes. And I could go on and on with examples almost indefinitely.

The point is that it is absurd to suggest that an officer must have technical knowledge before he can enforce a law. As I have said before, all that is required of an officer is that he have enough knowledge to gather information and evidence properly. If "expert" knowledge is required for a piece of evidence, that evidence can be studied by 'experts" after it is gathered from the scene, and that "expert" can be present in the courtroom to give testimony about that evidence.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -8411515791353158105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 11:32:38 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:24:52 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae70dd6.2278482@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<b4caetcsh06u97ko565st3jhf1bqkvftko@4ax.com> <3ae580cb.217713173@news2.dvol.com>
<3ae5861e$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbekic.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.157
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.157
X-Trace: 25 Apr 2001 13:21:25 -0500, 64.24.19.157
Lines: 41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
```

```
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20156

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 03:01:47 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 07:00:01 -0700, Keith wrote:
>
>>>Notice the dates of these ordnances . . .
>>
>>It doesn't make a difference how old the law is they are still valid laws.
>
>  Totally preempted by FCC pronouncement.  Note the frequencies  -
>  1940s era.
>
>  I was with the LA Sheriff's Department in the late 1950s and the
>  only persons who applied for such permits were sheriff's deputies
>  who were required to do so by departmental regulations.
>
>  Minus 2 on the troll-o-meter.
>
I was raised in a tiny burg hard by the city limits of Philly. Five
similar surrounding PDs pooled resources to support the communal
police dispatch facility which in the 40s & 50s opersted on some red
Chebby rear fender ball mount & 8 foot whip freq. or another.
>
So anyway Henny Carr the only fulltime cop in town and he lived just
down the street caught wind of the fact that I was eavedropping on
that freq, I guess maybe because I biked my way to one too many
fender-benders looking for blood & gore. Henny was also a bit tired of
the neighbors telling him to do something about my felonious assaults
on their TV reception. Henny caught up with my Dad one day and told
him that I shouldn't be doing either, etc. Was not a good day for
wn3yik.
>
Thus it came to pass that my plea bargain deal was cut: I padded
Henny's living room  AM broadcast rcvr up the dispatch freq so he
could listen too and the rest was forgiven.
>
>--
>   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
w3rv



From 8919169957694772444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 11:34:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC808578E35@www.premiersi.com>
<CnDF6.5065$QV4.431659@www.newsranger.com>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC80858266D@www.premiersi.com>
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <kMEF6.5259$QV4.447344@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 14:33:52 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:33:52 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20157

jimmy john says:

>The only way to impress civilians is to give them "live" rides? No.

Take it up with your congress-critter.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 8197472415729004431
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 11:44:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!peer.news.verio.net.MISMATCH!iad-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gccrac5.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>

```
<3ae5b782.4314361@news.mindspring.com> <3AE6AC0E.9E11343@sccoast.net>
<3ae6ba47.1587910@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 16
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:45:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.228
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988224335 63.178.69.228 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001
18:45:35 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:45:35 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20158

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 11:55:03 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>Phil Karn has already
>pointed that out to you and others...there is no extension
>of that "inspect the station" extended to local police in
>the federal law.

  I feel flattered that you confuse me with the esteemed modulation
  theory engineering expert (Qualcomm) and erstwhile boyfriend of
  another friend of mine.  We get confused with each other, and
  occasionally with Phil Katz, the PK of PKZip.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -6742020986768330217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 11:51:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: 25 Apr 2001 18:06:21 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
```

```
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-350.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12270
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15274 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20159

In article <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>, zbrlecic@earthlink.net says...
>
>"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:
>
>> I still can't believe people who keep wanting to hold the code so near and
>> dear.  If you want to use this form of communication, great.  It is
>> outdated.
>
>With all due respect, this opinion is subjective. Fly fishing is still around
>but one would hardly call it obsolete just because there are commercial
fishing


Do commercial fishermen have to take a test to demonstrate their fly fishing
skills in order to work on a commercial fishing boat?





From -1777160240263479227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 12:25:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
In-Reply-To: <qp7cet4om6867ruvslmf4l2mpdl599diu2@4ax.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104251920060.648-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104241930020.4436-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<qp7cet4om6867ruvslmf4l2mpdl599diu2@4ax.com>
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 25
```

```
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 19:25:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988226712 12.80.44.61 (Wed, 25 Apr
2001 19:25:12 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 19:25:12 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.scanner:16683 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20160

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001, Never anonymous Bud wrote:
> "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
> > Federal regulations and
> >rulings override.  Licensed amateurs do not have to notify the sheriff as per
> >the exception in "B" below.  FCC ruling 93-17 made that clear:  Licensed
> >amateurs are exempt from state and local "scanner" laws, including those
> >regarding scanners in vehicles.  [The ruling also involved amateur transmitters
> >with "out of band reception capability."]
>
> Wrong.  Go read that notice again.
>
> It does NOT cover scanners.

It originally addressed amateur transceivers which have out-of-band RX of
public safety frequencies.  The FCC's RESPONSE does encompass scanners also in
that they found that RX reception of public safety frequencies (HOWEVER
RECEIVED) by licensed amateur radio operators WAS for the "public good."  The
dispute that gave rise to the ruling was that of an amateur transmitter with
expanded RX (i.e. public safety band included) installed in a vehicle and that
local jurisdiction's law banning "mobile scanners."  The local jurisdiction
claimed that the transmitter, by having the expanded RX, violated its scanner
law.

Try reading the BACKGROUND of the notice.



From -4937389808969196641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 12:33:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!yellow.newsread.com!
netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
```

```
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 23
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 19:33:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.49
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988227218 216.1.128.49 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:33:38
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:33:38 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12272
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15275 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20161

On 25 Apr 2001 18:06:21 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:

>In article <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>, zbrlecic@earthlink.net says...
>>
>>"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:
>>
>>> I still can't believe people who keep wanting to hold the code so near and
>>> dear.  If you want to use this form of communication, great.  It is
>>> outdated.
>>
>>With all due respect, this opinion is subjective. Fly fishing is still around
>>but one would hardly call it obsolete just because there are commercial
>fishing
>
>
>Do commercial fishermen have to take a test to demonstrate their fly fishing
>skills in order to work on a commercial fishing boat?

I know you have a "point" hidden somewhere in your mind when you asked
that question. What is the point?

73 Tom


From 7504380405153760412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 12:56:47 PST
Message-ID: <3AE72BB9.6D6D6AA3@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 14:55:37 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104241930020.4436-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<qp7cet4om6867ruvslmf4l2mpdl599diu2@4ax.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104251920060.648-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988228159
64.240.47.79 (25 Apr 2001 14:49:19 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.scanner:16684 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20162

"D. Stussy" wrote:
> It originally addressed amateur transceivers which have out-of-band RX of
> public safety frequencies. The FCC's RESPONSE does encompass scanners also in
> that they found that RX reception of public safety frequencies (HOWEVER
> RECEIVED) by licensed amateur radio operators WAS for the "public good."

So as long as it's not our ox being gored, everything is just fine?
That is the way fascists operate. Divide and conquer. Does any thinking
person realize that if hams are the only group left with scanner
privileges, those privileges can disappear without much of a whimper.
The ploy is to take away scanner privileges one group at a time.
In the meantime, hams can feel really special since they will be the
last group to lose scanner privileges.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5973268543208338413
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 13:00:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:53:17 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3AE72B2D.D377245B@uci.edu>
References: <3AE5EB0A.A3E28884@uci.edu> <20010425020835.00311.00000256@nso-mo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20163

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AE5EB0A.A3E28884@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

> >Some of the folks on the list
> >have indeed argued that requirements they have not met be eliminated -
> >presumably in order that they might be able to obtain greater
> >privileges.

> The last sentence is a presumption...of guilt.

Actually, it's simply a presumption of motivations. Others on the list
have no expectation of personal gain from changes in the requirements.

> Some will see
> that presumption as the biased opinions of those who would
> personally keep the rank, status, and privileges obtained
> through old standards and practices.

You mean you will write about these imaginary things.

> Is amateur radio to be graded and judged primarily on the
> tested code skills of those who are licensed? If so, why?

I have no absolutely idea. That topic extends beyond the scope of my
comments.

ac6xg

From -3969558860406069294

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 13:15:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 20:14:36 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3ae72f8b.1538662@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mjv$dfi$1@208.207.71.157>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.40.9e
X-Server-Date: 25 Apr 2001 20:15:01 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20164

On 25 Apr 2001 14:22:55 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > Should the FCC then be responsible for him legally allowing a child to
>> > have free access to uncovered high voltage because he was not required
>> > to learn that he was dealing with dangerous hardware, and the need to
>> > protect himself and others from it? FCC should become a party to child
>> > neglect?
>>
>> The FCC is not responsible for it. The stupid parent is responsible for it.
>> Is the federal government responsible for stupid parents allowing their
>> children access to guns? You liberals want to make individual responsibility
>> a thing of the past.
>
>Yeah, Right! The FCC licenses a new ham to use high power supplied by
>high voltage based on testing ol flawed and that he isn't required to
>know the characteristics of his own hardware, and they have no
>responsibility for it.
>
>Why is testing needed at all?

Testing never totally prohibits stupid behavior.
```

The state licenses drivers who, immediately upon
being licensed can go buy and drive ANY automobile
they want that is "street legal" which could be
a HIGH POWER Porsche, Ferrari, etc and ten go drive
it at excessive speeds. There is NO liability in such
situations attached at all to the licensing entity.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 7163947540134552719
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 13:48:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 60
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 20:48:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 988231731 24.180.184.171 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001
13:48:51 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 13:48:51 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20165
```

Phil, Dwight lives in his own world, i have read many of his posts and well
he just doesnt get it.

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 08:17:52 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:
>

```
> >Phil Kane wrote:
> >>
> >>   If the cop wants to be a "radio cop" he
> >> damned well better have the qualifications
> >> and knowledge that an FCC enforcement agent
> >> has (electronics technician level) or else
> >> he's no better than a "police wannabe"
> >> trying to do more familiar police duties.
> >
> >
> >  Nonsense, Phil.  Police enforce laws all the time without technical
> >knowledge of the technology surrounding the laws they're enforcing.
>
> >  And the same with laws outside of radio - police routinely enforce
> >laws without technical knowledge of the technology surrounding those
> >laws.
>
> >  The point is that it is absurd to suggest that an officer must have
> >technical knowledge before he can enforce a law.
>
>   How is the officer to know if a violation of technical standards
>   exists if s/he cannot make AND EVALUATE the requisite technical
>   measurments?
>
> >As I have said
> >before, all that is required of an officer is that he have enough
> >knowledge to gather information and evidence properly.
>
>   How is the officer to know if a violation of technical standards
>   exists if s/he cannot make AND EVALUATE the requisite technical
>   measurments?
>
> >  The point is that it is absurd to suggest that an officer must have
> >technical knowledge before he can enforce a law.  As I have said
> >before, all that is required of an officer is that he have enough
> >knowledge to gather information and evidence properly.  If "expert"
> >knowledge is required for a piece of evidence, that evidence can be
> >studied by 'experts" after it is gathered from the scene, and that
> >"expert" can be present in the courtroom to give testimony about
> >that evidence.
>
>   And how is said "expert" going to evaluate something that is
>   volatile, i.e. the frequency and power of operation at the time of
>   observation, without being "on scene"?  No "on scene" determination
>   of improper operation, no probable cause for a seizure and/or
>   charge, let alone entry.
>
> --
>    73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
```

From -5161982836334322038
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 14:09:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 21:09:54 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <teef92tbj4tge5@news.supernews.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <20010425050226.11413.00000713@nso-ch.aol.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 42
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20166

Mega-dittos Larry.

KB7ADL

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in
<20010425050226.11413.00000713@nso-ch.aol.com>:

>In article <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl>, anti-arrl@worthless_org.org
>(Ringo Ranger) writes:
>
>>
>>It's either the sin of commission or the sin of omission. I guess the
>>ARRL will get what it wants, to dumb down amateur radio further. This
>>time it won't have to lift a finger to do it. They can come out looking
>>like the good-guy. Thank Ed, checks in the mail.
>>
>>Ringo
>
>The ARRL has been two-faced on the code requirements issue for at
>least the last decade. On one hand, they're afraid of offending the
>"older" hams who comprise a large part of their membership base by
>giving away too much too fast. On the other, they are chomping at
>the bit to throw away ALL licensing requirements beyond filling out
>an application form as quickly as possible, in the belief that this will
>bring in more potential members and more business for their QST
>advertisers. They once walked a plank over shark-infested waters;
>it's been a 2x4 since '91, and will soon be a matchstick.
>
>BTW you're completely wrong about Ed Hare. He's his own man

```
>here in r.r.a.p; and has proven so many times.  The fact that he's
>totally in the tank with ARRL policies just means he's working for
>the right firm.  If he worked for me, and said one word not in keeping
>with company policy, I'd stuff him in a cannon and shoot him out
>the front door, just to make extra sure it didn't hit him in the ass on
>his way out!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>



From -5303045710450942892
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 14:26:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
news.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you! ,The BOD wouldn't listen anyway.
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 21:26:53 -0000
Organization: MCN
Message-ID: <teeg8tkq1v524b@news.supernews.com>
References: <9c55qt$h6f$0@208.206.142.94>
User-Agent: Xnews/4.01.30
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 132
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20167

It's a good thing for Hiram that he isn't around.  If he were around, the
present ARRL board of directors and League employees would seek him out and
attempt to destroy him.  They won't accept anyone with an opinion on amateur
radio that differs from their opinion.  The present ARRL leadership would
feel threatened by Hiram because he would not agree that their dumbing down
amateur radio policies would be a bennifit to the service. So, they would
need to destroy him in order to continue their subversive activities.




Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in <9c55qt$h6f$0@208.206.142.94>:

>
>
>
>   A great eulogy to HPM, but the last word is on page 15, citing the
>Old Man
> himself in QST September 1927:
>
> " And as the last embers of the old pipe turn to grey ash, I ask how it
```

>all
> came about : that the ARRL should have succeeded and all its opponents
> failed. The answer is clear. It is because with our opponents there was
> always some kind of selfish motive to be served for someone, whereas in
>ARRL we insisted from the beginning that no selfish motive for anybody
>or
> anything should ever prevail. Everything that ARRL undertakes must be
>100%
> for the general good. That policy bred loyalty and confidence. With
>these
> two things an organisation can prosper forever."
>
> Food for thought.
>--------------
>   In my opinion Maxim's acheivement was the creation of amateur radio
>as a social organisation.
> There were all manner of people experimenting in the early days.
> Maxim connected many of them for a common purpose: Relaying messages
>and
> thereby overcoming the limits of what was then for most a short range
>means
> of communication. He also set up a social organisation with rank and
> position and defined roles, plus a system of ethics, symbols and signs
>of recognition.
>This system is with today, but sadly is taken for granted and many
>mistakes
>are being made at the organisational level, in my opinion.
> There were other models: In the UK before WWII the model was the
>gentlemen
> amateur scientist: There was an "artificial aerial" ie dummy load
>license
> class so chaps could experiment with transmitting technology without
> actually radiating a signal. And calling CQ was forbidden. They called
>"testing, testing".
>The Soviets ran amateur radio under the Ministry of Sport.
> Nowadays because of falling numbers of new entrants to amateur radio
>there
> is a push to lower entry standards and remove code qualifications. In
>the
> process everything that makes us unique will go.
> In ZL all amateurs once had to spend six months on 80m before getting
>other
> band privileges. In that six months Elmers and new hams met on the air,
> assisted one another and in many cases made life long friendships.
> Now new hams go on hf and like Tony Hancock in the famous television
>sketch
> "....have friends all over the world. Just don't have any around here."
> Removing the probationary period on 80 was meant to encourage new
>operators,
> but instead the numbers dropped and many gave up after a period on the
>air.
> And in ZL the greatest loss of amateurs is amongst no code licensees.
> There is an attempt to solve the problems of amateur radio at by

> administrative and technical means. I believe these are bound to fail
>as the
> problems are social and environmental.
> Where are you Hiram? We need you.
> 73.
>-------------------
> What *would* T.O.M say?
>
> I dare not pretend to step into his shoes,
>but I might dare a synopsis of an imaginery article
> from TOM, based on his reaction to other observations
> he had made in print, in yon days of yore . . .
>
> First thing he might do is remind us that we are
> all young squirts.
> Only he had the right to do that.
>
> Then he might remind us about the rotten radio practices
>that almost beached Amateur radio in
> the pre 1912 era, because every man did
>"what was good in his own eyes".
>
> Then, i think, he would walk us down memory lane,
>as only the Old Man could, considering it would be
> HIS first hand memory. He would remind us about
>the previous crisis points, and how we managed
> to rescue Amateur Radio time and time again thru
> the demonstration of our SERVICE to our fellows,
> our competancy and our conduct. Our ability to
>adapt to a changing environment, and our supreme
> willingness to study, to show ourselves approved
>to our fellows, and to the world.
>
> Then he might interject a cameo of sevices performed
>during the Monongahela Flood Disasters, where
> Amateur Radio distinguished herself with valour
>and bravery, especially before the press.
>
> He might remind us that it was this SERVICE,
>and not our NUMBERS that saved us at Cairo in '27.
>
> Then he would probably conclude with a summary,
>a typical Maxim maxim: anything worth having
> is worth working for. We do not build our treasures
> by lowering it's worth, but by buttressing it's value,
> it's sanctity, and it's purpose.
>
> We stretch ourselves to meet our goals, not
>the reverse. And No-Code for the sake of numbers
> is Rotten Radio, indeed.
>
> And if I would be so rash to conclude, he would
> sign off with " T.O.M. "

>
> And I echo your last sentance,:
> Where are you, Hiram ? We need you.
>

From -7695720221724907108
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 14:56:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 21:55:34 GMT
References: <20010425020833.00311.00000251@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010425175534.13561.00000914@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20168

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>Vacuum tube design theory, technology, and techniques are
>now well known.

A good point. A modern engineer who needs to design a tube circuit will find no shortage of reference material and can probably whip one up pretty quickly. It is certainly easier to design a tube amp than it is to design some of the modern VLSI ICs.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8504896016014678502
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 14:59:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 21:59:23 GMT

References: <3AE5C9F2.9FE31FB4@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010425175923.13561.00000916@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20169

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>A partial list can be found at:

>http://www.nocode.org/board.html

I haven't seen any one on the NCI Board propose that people should have access to spectrum without meeting the requirements in Part 97. Can you provide any examples?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8968500408687367699
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 15:02:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 22:01:34 GMT
References: <3AE5EB0A.A3E28884@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010425180134.13561.00000917@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20170

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>> "like who is it that has stridently demanded
>> their "right" to the airwaves, whether or not they conformed to the
>> requirements."

> Some of the folks on the list have indeed argued that requirements they
> have not met be eliminated - presumably in order that they might be able
> to obtain greater privileges.

There is a big difference between asking that requirements be changed and asking that people be given priveleges without meeting the priveleges.

Are you suggesting that those who serve on the NCI Board should not have the right to petition their government for redress?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -774751847862193509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 15:04:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 22:04:03 GMT
References: <3AE72B2D.D377245B@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010425180403.13561.00000918@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20171

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>Actually, it's simply a presumption of motivations.

>Actually, it's simply a presumption of motivations. Others on the list
>have no expectation of personal gain from changes in the requirements.

I am glad you added the qualifier. I will offer that even those who stand to gain may not have that gain be their primary reason for seeking change. Motivations are really impossible to know, and in all cases where I have seen people here try to proclaim the motivations or others, that proclamation (happenstance?) matches their agenda perfectly.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6647496275040184489
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 15:15:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!

```
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<9bmv6a$k22$2@208.206.142.105> <3ADF0862.678EAEBE@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <R%HF6.881$A4.95323@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 22:15:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 129.111.92.164
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988236913 129.111.92.164 (Wed, 25 Apr
2001 15:15:13 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:15:13 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:13:47 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20172
```

Your DNA is also about 50% identical to a green pea. Which half of you is the green pea? You share about 95% of your DNA with a toad, which part is that? There are many aspects of life that are identical across all life on Earth. The genes that control cellular mitosis in a green pea, a spider, a frog and you are identical.

Kevin, WB5RUE

```
"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3ADF0862.678EAEBE@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  So you're a monkey's uncle? Speak for yourself.
>
>... Your DNA is 99% virtually identical to a chimpanzee.
...
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
```

```
From -4899512541345641б7
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 15:15:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 70
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 22:14:47 GMT
References: <20010424160325.08623.00001328@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Message-ID: <20010425181447.13561.00000919@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20173

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>How can we change this?

>   (1) Close the question pools. I know, "We have heard that before".
>However often repeated, it still does not make it any less true. Closing the
>pools to SPECIFIC Q's and A's will force prospective licensees to KNOW
>something about the subject matter.

How would you address the inevitability of these "closed" pools, sent to thousands of VEs, being posted anonymously and thereby "opened?" I have yet to see what I consider to be a workable answer to that one. Back in the days of "closed" pools there were about 2X the number of questions in the FCC pool than would appear on the exam. Half of the time, when one failed a test and went for a retest, he or she was given the exact same test. "Closed" pool? I think not.

Even so, it is easier to learn the fundamental material, at least to the extent that I did when I passed my General, than it is to learn over a thousand questions without understanding. If you disagree, prove me wrong by learning a thousand Russian definitions and I will cede the point.

>   (2) Foster and support the "Elmer" concept. Local clubs and individual
>volunteers who are ready, willing and able to walk the student through the
>hoops.

This is happening right now, Steve. There is always room for more, but I know of few hams who think that Elmers are not important. They all have the ability to be Elmers right now, but are choosing not to. Just what would you do that would make a significant number of hams who are not Elmers right now choose to do so?

>   (3) Peer pressure of non-compliants. Just like it says. If someone
>doesn't want to "play nice", we let them know. I whole heartedly agree with
>those who suggest taht many "newcomers" don't ant to be subjected to the
>goings-on of some ner'-do-wells. And if this doesn't work, enforcement will.

This is happening right now, too, and that is probably the biggest enforcement problem that the FCC has right now. Some of this "peer pressure" consists of carriers and other unwanted interference to those who dare to say "10-4" on the local repeater.

>No one want's to swim in a pool that has a certain brown effluent in
>it...Jammers and the "Liberty Network" idiots (and likeminded jerks) are OUR
>brown effluent.

If the Liberty Net folks jam ongoing communications, they are rulebreakers. If they fail to ID as required, they are rule breakers. But as much as I disagree with some the things that some of them say , I also believe that our Constitution gives them the right to speak their minds. The biggest rules violation related to nets like the Liberty Net is not being done by the net participants, from my listen.

>   (4) Encourage club PARTICIPATION. Thee are lot's of folks who get an
>Amateur License for no other use than to pass "honey-do" traffic, and that's
>fine, I guess, but what a waste!

Ditto my comments about Elmers. Those who are not participating in clubs have every opportunity to do so right now, and are choosing not to. What would you do to get people who are making that choice right now to make a new choice?

>   Whatever you do, it's RADIO! Have fun.

That one just might work.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5069380595428158795
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 15:19:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>

<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 22:19:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 129.111.92.164
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988237155 129.111.92.164 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:19:15 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:19:15 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:17:49 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15278 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20174

Liberals have rights, real and imagined. They want government to pass laws that guarantee that no one will violate any of their rights. They have no responsibilities, it is up to government to take responsibility for everything.

Libertarians have rights, real and imagined. They want government to pass no laws that would violate their right to do what they feel is right, which is up to their own individual interpretation. Responsibilities? They take responsibility for only those things that would not hinder the exercising of their rights.

Conservatives have rights, real and imagined as well as responsibilities. They want government to pass laws to remind us the difference between our rights and responsibilities. There can be no freedom without responsibilities.

Power comes not from the freedom to do what you want but from the freedom to do what you ought.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message news:3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net...
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > What we appear to be seeing is the effect
> > of allowing the government to own public
> > facilities. That is akin to the devine

```
> > right of kings and Libertarians are against
> > such.
>
>
>   Interesting response.  I don't want to get into a libertarian versus
> whatever discussion at this point (I've got too many discussions going
> on already), but I do intent to talk with you further about this in
> the future.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>




From 1851059244286620002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 15:20:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:19:53 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3AE74D89.4C19BAC2@uci.edu>
References: <3AE5EB0A.A3E28884@uci.edu> <20010425180134.13561.00000917@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20175

W1RFI wrote:

> Are you suggesting that those who serve on the NCI Board should not have the
> right to petition their government for redress?

Of course, Ed.  I'm a tremendous asshole and I always make ludicrous
demands like that.  Thanks for saying so.

ac6xg
```

```
From 3674359593133570289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 15:30:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:26:18 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3AE74F0A.29C62349@uci.edu>
References: <3AE5C9F2.9FE31FB4@uci.edu> <20010425175923.13561.00000916@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20176
```

W1RFI wrote:

> I haven't seen any one on the NCI Board propose that people should have access
> to spectrum without meeting the requirements in Part 97. Can you provide any
> examples?

I think it might be more the appearance of not being able to meet
requirements, and deciding that the easiest thing to do would be to
change the requirements.

ac6xg

```
From -3751044583032112922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 15:30:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:31:24 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AE7503C.719A274F@uci.edu>
```

```
References: <20010425020833.00311.00000251@nso-mo.aol.com>
<20010425175534.13561.00000914@ng-cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20177



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>
> >Vacuum tube design theory, technology, and techniques are
> >now well known.
>
> A good point. A modern engineer who needs to design a tube circuit will find no
> shortage of reference material and can probably whip one up pretty quickly.  It
> is certainly easier to design a tube amp than it is to design some of the
> modern VLSI ICs.

Yes.  Now if I could only find where I put that P-Spice vacuum tube
library....


From 7968175802589816955
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 15:38:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE75234.52B8@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

Lines: 82
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:39:48 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.150
X-Trace: news2.atl 988238322 209.214.186.150 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:38:42 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:38:42 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15279
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20178

And, Cecil, people paralyzed from the neck down are suffering from an affliction, either from birth or accident, right? They are not able to fly airplanes and cannot pass the requirements to get a an ATR rating, or get a job as a pilot. So using the theory of not excluding a group, then we must allowed paralyzed men and women to fly airliners? Out of that group of people, we may find a 'few' that could overcome the obstacles, maybe using special control devices. Yet, finding a way to accomodate those few would be prohibitively expensive, so, in a sense, we are "discriminating" against handicapped people. Still, people who have disabilities, or limiting factors in their lives, learn to accept these limitations, and go on with life. That is what the "gays" should do in this case--realize why such restrictions are in place, realize that the risks are too high not to do our best to keep even one abuser away from the kids, and find other adults of *like* (shudder) propensities instead of insisting on access to Scouts. But they are NOT going to do that. I still wonder why it is just SO important to allow "gays" to be Scout leaders. Yet, knowing that assaults HAVE occurred, organizations have been sued, STILL people want to open the doors to the kids to queer leaders. Come on, fellas, we KNOW why they want in. Organizations that have been sued by parents have put into place youth protection policies and background checks which ferreted out a surprising number of undesirables. Civil Air Patrol's Cadet Protection program is modeled on that of the Scouts--almost word for word. Adult members are required to be fingerprinted and submit to a full FBI background check. If there is ANYTHING to indicate homosexuality, child abuse, felonies, or other brushes with the law, that person doesn't get in. When these things began to be put in place, the fags and perverts screamed, "AAAAACK"! "NO FAIR"! "DISCRIMINATION"! "CIVIL RIGHTS"! Simple and plain: they want access to our "cute" little boys! And I say NEVER, NEVER, NEVER, NOT ONLY NO, BUT HELL NEVER!!!!!!!!!!

Unfortunately, there is no way to protect ALL every minute of the day. The never-ending (I'm afraid) slippery slope is the liberalism, the slacking and tearing down of morality, the things that were once considered wrong are now considered right (divorce, excess drinking, headlong pursuit of money, sex outside of marriage, and, of course, being queer). I often wish it were 1946 instead of 2001. All those things went on then, but it wasn't "approved" of. Now it's just BAU (business as usual.)

73

Jerry

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >   Pedophiles, adult males who prey sexually on juvenile males, are a
> > presumably small subset of homosexual males, who comprise a small
> > percentage of all males. How the duece can you equate that to "murderers
> > are a minority of men" and act as though it was in some way equivalent?
> > Are you really that far out of touch?
>
> Your statement says exactly the same thing, Dick. If you are going to
> condemn all homosexual males for the actions of a few, then to be
> consistent, you must condemn all males for the actions of a few or
> all blacks for the actions of the few or all whites for the actions
> of a few or all Jews for the actions of a few or all Germans for
> the actions of a few. It is a never-ending slippery slope.
>
> >   The Boy Scouts should not allow homosexuals to be scout leaders for
> > the simple reason that it is well known that pedophiles naturally gyrate
> > toward group settings where vulnerable juvenile males are concentrated,
> > and those settings where they are most likely to be able to have close
> > isolated personal contact with the youths.
> > That alone is sufficient reason to eliminate homosexuals as scout
> > leaders or officials in any capacity.
>
> Then using exactly the same logic, heterosexual males should not be
> involved in the Girl Scouts where all those juvenile females are
> concentrated. After all, there are twice as many pedophiles among
> heterosexual males as there are among homosexual males.
>
> But you missed my Libertarian point. If the Boy Scouts do not accept any
> public funding then they should have a right to bar anyone for any reason.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5074578493065490749
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 15:42:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE75314.15CB@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<3AE6FD29.5322@bellsouth.net> <3AE7094A.21F931FC@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 43
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:43:32 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.150
X-Trace: news2.atl 988238543 209.214.186.150 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:42:23 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:42:23 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15280
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20179

Nice try, Cecil, but that was a mistake--I meant to say HOMO-sexuals--
which I have already corrected in this thread> I made a boo boo, OK?

Jerry

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > EXACTLY!
>
> So you want to exclude homosexuals and heterosexuals. Who's left?
>
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > > Therefore, in order to protect even ONE Scout, one Church youth group,
> > > > one child from being placed in a position that he/she neither expects or
> > > > understands, the battle line MUST be drawn and the only way to do that,
> > > > even at the expense of one homosexual who might NOT molest a child, is
> > > > to exclude the entire group.
> > >
> > > Following exactly the same reasoning and given that the majority of
> > > child molesters are heterosexual, would you say the battle line MUST
> > > be drawn and the only way to do that, even at the expense of one
> > > heterosexual who might NOT molest a child, is to exclude the entire
> > > group?
> > > --

```
> > > http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 7782485163918353850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 16:10:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 16:02:19 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3AE7577B.90D633F8@uci.edu>
References: <3AE72B2D.D377245B@uci.edu> <20010425180403.13561.00000918@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20180



W1RFI wrote:
> I will offer that even those who stand to
> gain may not have that gain be their primary reason for seeking change.

We are certainly both free to speculate based upon appearances.

73, ac6xg


From -114061272331289847
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 16:49:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE76305.F1A3F1C8@earthlink.net>
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Organization: Organization for Disorganized Organizations
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en,hr
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3ae57146.743094079@news.accessunited.com>
<9c4iut01p3o@enews2.newsguy.com> <3AE5DEA9.BAE5133A@earthlink.net>
<3ae6bdd3.298873825@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 46
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 23:49:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.214.11.97
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988242592 63.214.11.97 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 16:49:52 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 16:49:52 PDT
X-Received-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 16:48:24 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12281
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15281 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20181

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> <zbrlecic@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >That's not going to last either. Once the "computer" becomes just another appliance
> >that controls data transfer from fiber optic cables to various digital video and
> >data home gizmos, that'll be the end of it. Who the hell's gonna waste time with an
> >appliance that downloads the latest bread recipes to your digital toaster? Maybe
> >ham radio gets rediscovered.
> >
> There was an article in a recent educator's magazine which pointed out
> that is not a good idea to point kids in the direction of becoming
> code warriors (C++, VB code, not Morris Code) and such because those

> kinds of career paths are going to become extinct.

Interesting, but incorrect, to say the least. I haven't read the article, but I can't imagine what the author thought was going to replace code crunching. While the Internet certainly changed a lot in the everyday life and technology, that is nothing compared to what's coming when the fiber optics gets into our homes and replaces telephone wires and coax cable. All will be video and video will be all. In order to process that enormous amount of digital video, someone will have to write the code. Unless the author imagined that computers will write the code themselves, but this is more of an A.C.Clarke novel.

> San Francisco is now being flooded with unemployed programmers as the
> dot comms go belly-up.

True, but temporary.

> As far as "rediscovery" is concerned there appears to be a noticable
> increase in qrp activity with hams who have not been using cw getting
> into or back into cw. Along with a big jump in qrp PSK.
>
> w3rv

I don't think ham radio is going anywhere. We may witness a dramatic drop in numbers and activity when the older generations begin to die off, but I have a feeling the developing countries may keep it alive and well. Who knows - maybe there will be a huge pile up of BY and ZA ops trying to work a rare WA7 call.

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

```
From -6748880322727963261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 17:16:12 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcd9qe1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3ae57146.743094079@news.accessunited.com>
<9c4iut01p3o@enews2.newsguy.com> <3AE5DEA9.BAE5133A@earthlink.net>
<3ae6bdd3.298873825@news2.dvol.com> <3AE76305.F1A3F1C8@earthlink.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Lines: 23
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 00:16:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.117
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988244207 63.178.67.117 (Thu, 26 Apr 2001 00:16:47 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 00:16:47 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12284 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15282 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20182

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 23:49:52 GMT, Zoran Brlecic wrote:

>> There was an article in a recent educator's magazine which pointed out
>> that is not a good idea to point kids in the direction of becoming
>> code warriors (C++, VB code, not Morris Code) and such because those
>> kinds of career paths are going to become extinct.
>
>Interesting, but incorrect, to say the least. I haven't read the
>article, but I can't imagine what the author thought was going to
>replace code crunching. While the Internet certainly changed a lot in
>the everyday life and technology, that is nothing compared to what's
>coming when the fiber optics gets into our homes and replaces
>telephone wires and coax cable. All will be video and video will be
>all. In order to process that enormous amount of digital video,
>someone will have to write the code. Unless the author imagined that
>computers will write the code themselves, but this is more of an
>A.C.Clarke novel.

How many programmers out there can program in Jovial nowadays??

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 6275615932982152731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 18:00:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!
news.tele.dk!134.222.94.5!npeer.kpnqwest.net!uunet!sac.uu.net!news.juniper.net!
not-for-mail
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: 26 Apr 2001 00:51:08 GMT
Organization: none at all
Lines: 127
Message-ID: <9c7rds$1k0s$1@heap.juniper.net>
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <3AE53815.8BA2AF65@sccoast.net>
<3AE59A6E.105D07A9@IEEE.org> <3AE6C86B.FD1BA47E@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: wawa.juniper.net
X-Trace: heap.juniper.net 988246268 53276 172.17.20.55 (26 Apr 2001 00:51:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@juniper.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 26 Apr 2001 00:51:08 GMT
X-Newsreader: trn 4.0-test72 (19 April 1999)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20183

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> The pilot said he did file a flight plan
>> and did identify himself over the radio.
>> There is even a recording of his frantic
>> radio messages.
>
>
> Cecil, I said they were "engaging what they _THOUGHT_ was a aircraft
>transporting drugs,

And that _THOUGHT_ justifies shooting them down? I guess if someone
THINKS you are transporting drugs, you'll feel that shooting you
is okay too?

>   with no flight plan filed and no response to radio
>challenges." An investigation is under way and it may take some time
>before we know all the details. However, according to reports, either
>the flight plan didn't actually get filed (contrary to claims
>otherwise) or flight plan didn't get listed properly.

Uh, CNN has a copy of the flight plan on their web site, obtained
from the flight service company in Iquitos it was filed with. Flight
plans are apparently required for all flights leaving from Iquitos,
so the air traffic control that cleared them would have known of
the flight plan. If CNN could find this, don't you think that the
people who were going to blast them out of the sky could have
been bothered to look as hard as CNN did?

I don't know about those reports you put so much faith in, either. The original report said the plane had been in both Brazil and Columbia prior to the shooting, but there doesn't seem to be any evidence that the plane ever landed anywhere outside Peru. The flight plan had the plane flying from the Amazon River at Iquitos in Peru, to the Rio Yavari, which is the border between Brazil and Peru, at Islandia, Peru. The purpose of the trip was to visit the Peruvian consulate in Benjamin Constant, Brazil, to get a visa for the 7-month-old girl who was shot. The plane flew them to the border, which they crossed on land. The only confusion was that the flight plan was amended with the tower in Iquitos when they left to include an othernight stay in Islandia, rather than a same-day round trip (the flight service company also has a copy of the letter requesting this).

> As for the radio messages, the law enforcement personnel involved
>claim the pilot didn't respond to challenges. Now, that could mean
>that the law enforcement personnel are wrong, or it could mean that
>the pilot didn't respond (still a possibility), or it could mean that
>the pilot was transmitting on a wrong frequency. Whatever the case,
>the parts of the tape I heard didn't contain any attempt by the pilot
>to ID his aircraft - only an unidentified person yelling that they
>were shooting at them.

The recording you are hearing was made by air traffic control at Iquitos with whom the plane was in routine contact with, having been handed off through air traffic control in Columbia (the plane was flying along the Amazon, which is the border between Peru and Columbia for at least some of the flight). Air traffic control in Iquitos report that they were in contact with the plane, and knew who it was. The plane was transmitting on precisely the frequency it was supposed to be or the air traffic center that was controlling the plane wouldn't have had a recording. Neither Iquitos nor Columbian air traffic control reported ever hearing a challenge to the plane on their frequencies, so there is a lot of independent evidence that the pilot was listening to his radio on the frequency he was supposed to be on, and no evidence that he was ever challenged on that frequency.

> I'd like to add one more thing at this point - we still have to
>consider the possibility that this plane was indeed transporting
>drugs. Until the investigation is over, which I assume will include
>attempts to locate the plane, we do not know the whole facts
>surrounding this incident and should not jump to conclusions until we do.

Of course we will have to consider the possibility that you are the drug dealer they were smuggling for too, since while the reports we've had didn't mention anyone who thought that either the missionaries or you were smuggling drugs, that is a negative which is still hard to preclude with certainty. Even if they don't have drugs with them they could have dropped them into the river earlier. But let's consider what independent evidence we do have. The plane was on a route that was consistent with a previously filed flight plan. It was flying at 4,000 feet in broad daylight above the Amazon river, which is exactly where you would expect any float plane following that flight plan to be. It was in contact with air traffic control along the entire route, the air traffic control involved confirms this. The pilot was a missionary who'd been in Peru for 18 years, the passengers were a missionary family with two young children who had a verifiable legitimate purpose for making the trip. It is still possible that they were transporting drugs for you, but it is hard to see how anything they did would make this conclusion so certain that they deserved to be shot down out of the sky and the survivors strafed in the river after the emergency landing.

And in fact all of the above is from reports from the same media you heard your report from, just discovered after the official government version you seem to be relying on. That first report also said the monitoring plane was staffed by "CIA contractors", implying at least a certain arms-length relationship to the government, when in fact the "contractor" turned out to be the US DoD which owned and operated the plane and which is even on record (via FOIA) as being uncomfortable in the role because of the possibility of shooting innocent people. And, of course, only news about Americans makes American news, so we have no idea how many innocent Peruvians, Columbians and Brazilians died this way. Except we do know that the program was suspended for a time in 1997 until congress passed a law absolving the monitoring plane crew of any responsibility for accidents for which the Peruvians were held responsible, so it is a safe bet this wasn't the first such accident.

I've seen estimates that 35,000 people die drug-related deaths in the US every year. Of these the number who die from actual illegal drug use (who the laws were presumably designed to protect?) is about 6,000, with the remainder dying from violence often associated with the distribution, sale and purchase of drugs. And we can surmise that many of the latter deaths are a direct consequence of the laws against drugs since the country has been here before; violent crime in the US dropped by 63% in the year following the repeal of prohibition. I don't use those drugs, and hence couldn't personally care less whether they're legal or not, but I'm still at risk because of the violence. The drug laws are a cure that seem to be worse than the problem being cured. They don't help people who use drugs to stay out of harm's way, and they put people who don't use drugs in harm's way. Like the people in Peru.

Dennis Ferguson

From -6842006166518116127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 18:01:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
newsfeed.infoave.net.MISMATCH!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 21:00:00 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-11.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988246888 264997 206.74.49.11 (26 Apr 2001 01:01:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 01:01:28 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20184

Sam Grasso wrote:

> Phil, Dwight lives in his own world, i have
> read many of his posts and well he just
> doesnt get it.

Someone is deleting messages again. I see that you've replied to a message from Phil, but that message is missing now (or at least it isn't showing up on my server). So, instead, I'll quickly respond to your message with my response to Phil (or at lease the key point to his message).

> "Phil Kane" wrote...
>
> > How is the officer to know if a violation
> > of technical standards exists if s/he cannot
> > make AND EVALUATE the requisite technical
> > measurments?

The same way police officers have been enforcing other laws for years without technical measurements or technical knowledge, Phil. The city (county, or whatever) writes a law that defines what a violation is and the officer enforces that law. Now, I have a question for you - why would an officer have to have technical knowledge of radio to enforce a simple CB violation when that same officer does not have to be an expert on anything else to enforce the many other laws out there? If police officers have to be experts on everything relating to the laws they enforce, there could not possibly be any law enforcement in this country. Your suggestion that enforcement of the potential CB laws will somehow be entirely different than enforcement of other existing laws simply doesn't ring true.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6067698809823207631
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 18:13:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 01:12:41 GMT
References: <3AE6DEF1.473D1314@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010425211241.19814.00000442@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20185

In article <3AE6DEF1.473D1314@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Yeah, Right! The FCC licenses a new ham to use high power supplied by
>> high voltage based on testing ol flawed and that he isn't required to
>> know the characteristics of his own hardware, and they have no
>> responsibility for it.
>>
>> Why is testing needed at all?
>
>For rules, regulations, operating, and safety.

Doesn't knowing how to deal with high voltage come under the heading of "safety"?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 3641575421851028907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 18:25:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE78749.FF42E6CF@home.com>
From: GAR GARIGUE <garigue@home.com>
Organization: @Home Network Member
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en]C-AtHome0405 (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae51342$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<3ae5fbba$0$88186$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 01:25:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.8.212.227
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 988248317 24.8.212.227 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:25:17
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:25:17 PDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20186

I'll tell you Larry what makes no sense ..... your interweaving of this
unfortunate situation and your no code agenda. 73 Tom KI3R

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Keith" <nospamssdf@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3ae51342$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com...
> > On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 01:51:39 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote:
> >
> > Besides there are no frequency restrictions on hams in South America.
> > If you have a license you get to operate.
>
> In regards the foreign operation by USA citizens in South America, the FCC
> web site states: "The FCC does not have or provide information on the
> specific requirements for reciprocal operation in foreign countries.
> FCC-licensed amateur operators should make their arrangements with the
> appropriate governmental agency in the foreign country."
>
> If what you say is true, then we have South American countries permitting

```
> USA citizens frequency privileges that their own country denies.  Makes no
> sense.
>
> When the privileges authorized by the FCC license grant are Technician Plus
> operation privileges, the corresponding CEPT operating privileges are CEPT
> radio amateur class 1.  The Technician plus operating in Europe can use 20
> meters, but can not use 20 while in the USA.  Makes no sense.
>
> Larry  KC8EPO


From -8993202408964207499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 18:35:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 01:34:57 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <3ae77ac8.940384@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.73.05
X-Server-Date: 26 Apr 2001 01:35:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20187

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 21:00:00 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Sam Grasso wrote:
>>
>> Phil, Dwight lives in his own world, i have
>> read many of his posts and well he just
>> doesnt get it.
>
>  Someone is deleting messages again.  I see that you've replied to a
>message from Phil, but that message is missing now (or at least it
>isn't showing up on my server).  So, instead, I'll quickly respond to
>your message with my response to Phil (or at lease the key point to
```

>his message).
>
>> "Phil Kane" wrote...
>>
>> >   How is the officer to know if a violation
>> > of technical standards exists if s/he cannot
>> > make AND EVALUATE the requisite technical
>> > measurments?
>
> The same way police officers have been enforcing other laws for
>years without technical measurements or technical knowledge, Phil.
>The city (county, or whatever) writes a law that defines what a
>violation is and the officer enforces that law. Now, I have a
>question for you - why would an officer have to have technical
>knowledge of radio to enforce a simple CB violation when that same
>officer does not have to be an expert on anything else to enforce the
>many other laws out there?

How does the cop even know IF any transmission has
occurred at all? How does s/he know what frequency,
what power, with what equipment?

> If police officers have to be experts on
>everything relating to the laws they enforce, there could not possibly
>be any law enforcement in this country. Your suggestion that
>enforcement of the potential CB laws will somehow be entirely
>different than enforcement of other existing laws simply doesn't ring true.

Just answer the questions above for starters.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5649937937228754413
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 18:50:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 01:49:53 GMT
References: <3AE6DEF1.473D1314@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010425214953.12759.00000368@nso-fz.aol.com>

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20188

In article <3AE6DEF1.473D1314@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Yeah, Right! The FCC licenses a new ham to use high power supplied by
>> high voltage based on testing ol flawed and that he isn't required to
>> know the characteristics of his own hardware, and they have no
>> responsibility for it.
>>
>> Why is testing needed at all?
>
>For rules, regulations, operating, and safety.

I'll differ with that by reducing the requirement to just regulation.

The FCC's lawful task is to regulate US civil (non-government) radio. The FCC has determined that licensing of individuals of certain RF emitters along with tests of those individuals is in their task of civil radio regulation.

Test question content, while approved by the FCC, includes operation and safety. The only direct safety aspect is in the (relatively new) questions concerning regulation of RF fields that affect the human body. There is no other regulation concerning the safety of operators who expose themselves to lethal voltages or who fail to take precautions on antenna erection and thereby injure themselves. The test questions on health and safety other than RF exposure fall under the "good operating practices" general questioning group.

Much of the hard-line arguments in here revolve around the "academic" aspect of the license test itself as if it "proves" some form of "expertise" in radio..."proof" of "qualification" (credentialism) above and beyond others by "upgrading."

The FCC is not an academic body. It uses licensing and the tests for licenses as a means of regulation of US civil radio. Hard-line credentialists exaggerate the regulatory testing well beyond its intended purpose.

US amateur radio is an activity carried on without pecuniary interest, hence the name "amateur." It is a hobby which requires regulation due to the physics of radio waves. Amateur radio is not professional radio but so many hard-liners desperately want to be just like professionals in an amateur environment.

From -4683200596544954675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 19:04:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.news.qwest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: no@spam.org (Group Netizen)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <3ae780b2.6361050@news.uswest.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbrwog.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae6bb50.1852435@news.mindspring.com> <3AE6F913.682F@bellsouth.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 54
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 02:05:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.227.241.9
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 988250692 63.227.241.9 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 21:04:52 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 21:04:52 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20189

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:19:31 -0400, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Did you see the one that hit national TV where the South Carolina troop-
>er dragged a woman out of her car screaming a cursing at her over a
>mere traffic stop?  It was caught by his OWN camera.
>
>Jerry
>
>
>
>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 04:54:51 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 02:57:26 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:
>> >
>> >>  Yes, I've met arrogant cops before, Jerry.  But I've never met one
>> >>who would openly, and willfully, violate the law over something
>> >>anywhere near as simple as a CB radio complaint would be (and that
>> >>includes the cop in your little story).  Therefore, to suggest this is
>> >>something that the average person should be gravely concerned about
>> >>when it comes to HR-2346 is absolutely absurd.  In other words, lets
>> >>try to keep this discussion within the realm of reality.
>> >
>> >  Doesn't the news about the "toilet plunger" assault in NY or the
```

>> > Rampart Division falsification-of-evidence mess in LA or the asset
>> > forfeiture scandals across the country get to your part of the
>> > world? (I saw some of that first-hand when I was a reserve officer
>> > in a major police department.)
>> >
>> > Rodney King's beating was about a speeding violation that went
>> > "downhill from there".
>> >   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>>
>> Add to that the arrest and handcuffing of a woman for
>> a seat belt violation in TX.
>>
>> I am a conservative, but that type of arrest for motor
>> vehicle violations (other than DUI/DWI) should be
>> considered illegal. Sadly, he Supreme Ct ruled 5/4
>> yesterday that the police can do so.

This surely calls for a big "ZEIG HIEL"

Sadly, it represents the police reacting to the long downward slide of
the citizenry. Can we take comfort that 4 of the Justices thought the
police were wrong?

>>
>> No cheers on that note.
>> Bill K2UNK

From -4426211669053215944
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 19:12:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 26 Apr 2001 02:12:46 GMT
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9c806u$nhp$0@216.155.32.123>
References: <9c2jbh$kjv$1@208.206.142.95> <20010424065756.13569.00000724@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9c41va$rpg$4@208.207.71.171> <lwhF6.305$R5.1261615@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.123
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47 (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20190

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:

> 10-meter intrusion by people who are operating outside the scope
> of Part 97 is a bad thing.

That's pretty obvious, Ed -- no need to state it here!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -3316102184333048924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 19:30:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!magpage.com!usenet
From: Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 26 Apr 2001 02:30:53 GMT
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <9c818t$roo$0@216.155.32.123>
References: <20010425050228.11413.00000715@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010425073235.13085.00000375@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.155.32.123
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-CCK-MCD NSCPCD47  (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20191
```

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >The only reason why
> >ham study guides are bigger now is because they contain an entire
> >pool of questions and the exact answers, to be memorized by
> >prospective test-takers.
>
> In the 200+ page Technician study guide, Now You're Talking, last time I
> counted, about 80 pages are devoted to the questions. That leaves over 120
> pages of other material -- over 100 pages of which are explanatory material for
> the 80 pages of questions. The Technician is the typical entry point of ham

> radio today. In my day, it was the Novice, which had a 4-page study guide. Not
> exactly the "same stuff" by my counts. Your count may vary.

Ed:

It would be interesting to learn how many prospective Technicians actually read (note I didn't say "studied" or "learned") the 100-plus pages of explanatory material, as opposed to those who merely learned the right answers to the questions. I learned most of my electronics by building homebrew projects out of magazines (stuff like 6-transistor AM Broadcast radios, light dimmers, power supplies, motor speed controls, etc.) and a couple of dozen Heathkits -- including two HW-8's and an HW-101. These were mainly kits I built for other people -- including 100% of the ham gear! The only Heathkit I ever built for my own personal use was that great little "micro-matic" keyer with the capacitive "touch" paddles! I sold it to KA3T; wish I had it back! Rick - are you out there?

Anyway, I digress...

You're right, the study guides are bigger today than they were in the Jurassic era when you were first licensed. However, I am willing to bet that the average New Ham of today knows a lot less about the technical aspects of the hobby than you and your contemporaries did. Back then, your "Elmers" patiently showed you how to neutralize the finals in your transmitter, or sat with you when you checked into your first CW net. Today, "Elmers" are called on to read instruction manuals for brand new HT's so they can help their "students" figure out how to set the repeater offset and PL tones!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 9176291106708707064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 19:50:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 02:49:47 GMT
References: <3AE74D89.4C19BAC2@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL? Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010425224947.24866.00000335@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20192

In article <3AE74D89.4C19BAC2@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>W1RFI wrote:
>
>> Are you suggesting that those who serve on the NCI Board should not have
>> the right to petition their government for redress?
>
>Of course, Ed. I'm a tremendous asshole and I always make ludicrous
>demands like that. Thanks for saying so.

No comment despite a very obvious straight-line setup available... :-)

From -1282971385179038623
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 19:50:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 02:49:46 GMT
References: <20010425175534.13561.00000914@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010425224946.24866.00000334@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20193

In article <20010425175534.13561.00000914@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>Vacuum tube design theory, technology, and techniques are
>>now well known.
>
>A good point. A modern engineer who needs to design a tube circuit will find
>no shortage of reference material and can probably whip one up pretty quickly.
>It is certainly easier to design a tube amp than it is to design some of the
>modern VLSI ICs.

I was only making a point on what is available in current
production of vacuum tubes.

I will differ in your last sentence in that there is no comparison
between "a tube amp" and a "modern VLSI IC." Very Large
Scale Integrated (VLSI) devices do much more than what "a
tube amp" can do. A better comparison is between a tube amp
[amplifier, sic] and a bipolar or field-effect transistor.

On the other hand, the necessary manufacturing equipment and technology needed to make a transistor is far more complicated than for any vacuum tube. That same capital equipment investment permits true mass production of semiconductors, far more than was possible with vacuum tubes.

Long-timers familiar with tubes but not familiar with semiconductors will invariably try to compare semiconductors with tubes using tubes as a baseline. That is not a personal fault but it simply shows an inability to understand the circuit possibilities of semiconductors if not a lack of flexibility to accommodate circuitry not bound by tube architectural rules.

```
From -4448997782491467587
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 19:50:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-
state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 59
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 02:49:46 GMT
References: <9c55qt$h6f$0@208.206.142.94>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Message-ID: <20010425224946.24866.00000333@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20194
```

In article <9c55qt$h6f$0@208.206.142.94>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

> A great eulogy to HPM, but the last word is on page 15, citing the
> Old Man himself in QST September 1927:

<snip>

Eulogy? According to my 1978 ARRL Radio Amateur's Handbook, Hiram Percy Maxim was president of that organization from the start in 1914 to 1936. Unless Maxim's title was "president for life and beyond," he was still alive by 1936.

1936 is five years before the USA became directly involved in World War Two. 1936 is 65 years ago from today. Those born in 1936 are now eligible for retirement benefits.

I have no qualms on praising someone who started the ARRL. I do have quite a bit of a problem with those who long, yearn, or desperately desire a return to the past, complete with all the limitations of technology, the limitations of fewer HF bands of 65 years ago. The standards and practices of 1936 cannot be taken verbatim for the year 2001.

My father and my father in law were both born in the year 1900. That year was one year prior to Marconi's demonstration of the first radio transmission across the atlantic, three years prior to the first successful sustained heavier-than-air flight by the Wright brothers. Both lived to watch Armstrong and Aldrin set foot on the earth's moon over live television. What an exciting time for a single man's life experience!

Dick Carroll wants to return to the past for the "simpler" time of US amateur radio. He can do so less a number of conveniences and medical advances available to humans of today. He can do so using only ordinary, less-advanced vacuum tube technology of the 1930s, using radio equipment manufactured by National Radio or Hallicrafters. He will have to depend on OOK CW when using simpler equipment in order to work above the inherent higher noise level of receiver first-stage tubes. He will have to depend on CW for transmitting at any high power since so few in the 30s could afford the massive audio power amplifiers needed for AM of those times. There would be little or no VHF equipment possible for hams of the 30s (Yagi's parasitic-element beam antenna paper would not be available until after WW2) due to lack of tube technology and lack of theory of such "ultrashort" wavelengths.

Return to 1936 and a "simpler" life? Not for me. I've been privileged to live through most of a technological explosion of knowledge and physics involving electronics and radio. No way would I want to miss such an opportunity by going back to a "simpler" life in the 30s.

I've adapted and continue to adapt to the new, have learned and continue to learn, have appreciated and continue to appreciate the advances, developements, and some utterly new things in radio. I'm sorry that some in amateur radio cannot do any of those. Their loss. I love TODAY and can't wait until tomorrow!

```
From 6815140582171620934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 20:35:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 26 Apr 2001 03:35:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9c851k$idr$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mlp$dfi$2@208.207.71.157>
<3AE6DF77.A8414BFC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20195

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > If you think I'm a liberal it just completes the confirmation that
> > you're an idiot.
>
> You resort to the liberal argument, "If it affects children then it
> is worth it." If you walk like a liberal and quack like a liberal ...

So you made no effort to protect your children from accidental electrocution from your exposed ham gear, which you knew so little about that you too were exposed, even though you had passed a ham license test that authorized you to run high power?

From 9038059814691941240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 20:40:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 20:38:46 -0700

```
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9c853i$8vq$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AE74D89.4C19BAC2@uci.edu> <20010425224947.24866.00000335@nso-
cu.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-70.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20196


Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010425224947.24866.00000335@nso-cu.aol.com...
> In article <3AE74D89.4C19BAC2@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:
>
> >W1RFI wrote:
> >
> >> Are you suggesting that those who serve on the NCI Board should not
have
> >> the right to petition their government for redress?
> >
> >Of course, Ed.  I'm a tremendous asshole and I always make ludicrous
> >demands like that.  Thanks for saying so.
>
> No comment despite a very obvious straight-line setup available... :-)

Go for it, dude.

ac6xg




From -6895561191186978990
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 20:51:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
Date: 26 Apr 2001 03:51:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <9c85vn$mh0$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
```

```
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
<9c60bs$53n$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE6D6B9.4E1D5C61@IEEE.org>
<9c6nem$h1d$0@208.207.71.157> <3AE6EA35.5F665BA9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15289
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20197
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Tell ya what Cecil.... You support me in keeping the homosexual
> > predators away from my grandsons in the Boy Scouts and for darn sure
> > I'll support whatever method you deem appropriate in kkeeping the
> > heterosexual predators from your girls in their scouting activities.
>
> That's good to hear, Dick. Why did you wait so long to say it? I was
> always suspicious of males around my girls.

So why did you wait so long to say it????

Have you heard about the
> rash of statutory rapes by high school coaches?

Yep. I say bust the butt of each and every one of them, and it IS being done.

Is that no big deal
> to you?

Did you draw that conclusion out of your a$$ like you ususlly do?

>
> > But somehow your drift here is toward letting them all have at all kids

> > in every instance. WHy is that?
>
> You're wrong about that, Dick. I advocate being suspicious of everyone,
> not just homosexuals. You, OTOH, seem to advocate suspicion only of
> homosexuals. Why is that, Dick? Do you have some hidden agenda?

Why are you so dense between the ears, Cecil???? At no time did I ever intimate any such thing in any way. The original subject was Boy Scouts and homosexuals being banned from them. You introduced all sorts of oddball side subjects and then 'create' all sorts of attitudes in others which do not exist. Why is that?

No one ever suggested that hetero predator males should be given a pass, except you. Why is that?

From -5434958310501061082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 20:52:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
Date: 26 Apr 2001 03:52:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9c862f$mh0$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
<9c6mog$dfi$3@208.207.71.157> <3AE6EAA2.DA7F59B8@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15290
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20198

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > >  So I suppose then that you sent your daughters out under the
> > > > supervision of known child molesters?
> > >
> > > We are not talking about known child molesters, Dick. We are talking
> > > about unknown child molesters. There are twice as many heterosexual
> > > child molesters as there are homosexual child molesters.
> >
> >  So it's OK to send your kids out with the homosexual kind because
> > there's only half as many of them. I think we understand where you're
> > coming from.
>
> You got it backwards, Dick. It is not OK to send your kids out with
> anyone without checking the person out. Your little girls are in
> twice as much danger as your little boys, or don't you care?
>


   Then you should try saying what you mean, because so far you have
not.



From 1273855560047604539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 20:52:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
```

Lines: 52
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 03:53:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.117
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988257211 63.178.67.117 (Thu, 26 Apr 2001 03:53:31 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 03:53:31 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20199

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 21:00:00 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
>> How is the officer to know if a violation
>> of technical standards exists if s/he cannot
>> make AND EVALUATE the requisite technical
>> measurments?

> The same way police officers have been enforcing other laws for
>years without technical measurements or technical knowledge, Phil.
>The city (county, or whatever) writes a law that defines what a
>violation is and the officer enforces that law.

In accordance with the enabling legislation a city passes an ordinance that no non-licensed operator may transmit outside the authorized 40 CB channels or with transmitter power exceeding 4 watts output. That's what the enabling Federal legislation is all about..

>Now, I have aquestion for you - why would an officer have to have technical
>knowledge of radio to enforce a simple CB violation when that same
>officer does not have to be an expert on anything else to enforce the
>many other laws out there?

An officer investigating any crime, say a robbery, still has to evaluate "what happened" in terms of the elements of the crime, i.e. did the perpetrator take property from the victim by force or fear (or whatever that state defines as the elemenst of the crime).

How can an officer enforce the radio law, i.e. determine if a transmitting station is in violation of that ordinance, without making technical measurements and evaluating the results of those measurements?

And to open another can of worms which has barely been touched on, how will that officer conclusively determine thzt the suspect is in fact theperson making the suspected transmissions? Will the officer be equipped with a very proprietary (and long out of production) FCC Model DR-27 fit-in-the-palm-of-the hand "snifter" (directional receiver) which can determine which of two antennas five feet apart (say on two adjacent buildings) the signal is coming from? And know how to use it and interpret what he finds?

As I said earlier, if the officer is going to enforce radio laws, he has to be qualified to understand what he is doing. And when those

measurements are made and evaluated, the qualifications of the
maker/evaluator bear directly on the credibility of those
measurments and conclusions. Any decent defense attorney will jump
on that first thing.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -5558747168810549377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 21:03:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: 26 Apr 2001 04:03:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9c86m5$mh0$2@208.207.71.157>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20200

Phil Kane wrote:
>

> How is the officer to know if a violation of technical standards
> exists if s/he cannot make AND EVALUATE the requisite technical
> measurments?

Oh, no problemo - He'll merely make a 'judgement call'.

From 8858640601696958531

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 21:46:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: 26 Apr 2001 04:46:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <9c897p$3jt$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbrwog.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae6bb50.1852435@news.mindspring.com> <3AE6F913.682F@bellsouth.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gccrkh6.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20201

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:19:31 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> >Did you see the one that hit national TV where the South Carolina troop-
> >er dragged a woman out of her car screaming a cursing at her over a
> >mere traffic stop? It was caught by his OWN camera.
>
>   I was a passenger in an auto in NYC where one of New York's Finest
>   (also known as the Finest Department Money Can Buy) let loose a
>   string of "language that would make a sailor blush" when our driver
>   made a turn that was more defensive than aggressive.

Must be their training.

'Bout 40 years ago I spent a couple Army years stationed in New Jersey within commuting distance of NYC. I was riding the local commuter bus into Port Authority Terminal going on leave one busy, congested Friday afternoon. The long line of busses exited the Holland Tunnel and continued to the first intersection where they turned right to go the last several blocks to the terminal. The traffic cop at the intersection was busily directing all the busses to make the right turn, but when my bus got there, he inexplicibly directed the driver to go straight ahead. Well, the driver didn't WANT to go straight ahead, he was on on final approach to the terminal, almost had it in sight, and he wasn't about to be shunted away, deep into the city, away from his destination, for no obvious reason. So he made the right turn same as all the other busses had, against the traffic cop's directions.

But Alas, we had to stop in a short distance due to traffic at a red light ahead. Of course the traffic cop was right there, rap! rap! rap! ing on the bus door. The driver opened it, and the stream of invective was immediate and at a high dB level. But - the light ahead turned green, the bus driver closed the door in the cop's face and away down the street we went. But - again, traffic stopped at a red light ahead halted us quickly. Again, Rap! Rap! Rap! on the door. Again, the driver opened it. This time instead of the jabbing finger on the hand accompanying the loud invective, the hand held a waving Service Revolver, the dB level was louder, if that was possible. And .....again, the traffic light ahead turned green, and damned if the driver didn't again close the door - but this time, he trapped the cop's hand and arm, gun and all, and literally dragged the cop down the street, with the arm, hand, waving revolver, all too visible to the stunned passengres - to yet another red light where we again stopped.

Where they came from I have no idea, but suddenly the bus was swarmed with blue uniforms. They pounced on the driver and dragged him away, leaving the bus with engine idling and loaded with passengers, sitting in the middle of the busy New York street.

By that time we were within a few blocks of Port Authority terminal, and I had another bus to catch, so I grabbed my bags and hiked the rest of the distance.

I later read in the paper that they charged the driver with a long list of offenses including assaulting an officer.

Whew.....

From -7474668533222915109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 21:51:31 PST
Message-ID: <3AE7A90C.B3A5A3CC@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 23:50:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <20010425050228.11413.00000715@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010425073235.13085.00000375@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9c818t$roo$0@216.155.32.123>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988260241 64.240.47.60 (25 Apr 2001 23:44:01 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20202

Larry Roll wrote:
> However, I am willing to bet that the average
> New Ham of today knows a lot less about the technical aspects of the hobby
> than you and your contemporaries did.

You would lose the bet, Larry. It is impossible to know less about the technical aspects of the hobby than I did when I got my Conditional license in 1952.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7781036085260356210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 21:55:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
Date: 26 Apr 2001 04:55:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9c89nq$3jt$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>

```
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<3AE6FD29.5322@bellsouth.net> <3AE7094A.21F931FC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15291
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20203



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > EXACTLY!
>
> So you want to exclude homosexuals and heterosexuals. Who's left?
>

 Cecil you can be a eunuch and go yourself.


From 9187272404185781954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 21:58:50 PST
Message-ID: <3AE7AAC0.88654A9@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 23:57:36 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3AE6DEF1.473D1314@IEEE.org> <20010425211241.19814.00000442@nso-
fr.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988260679
64.240.47.60 (25 Apr 2001 23:51:19 -0500)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
```

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20204

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3AE6DEF1.473D1314@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> Yeah, Right! The FCC licenses a new ham to use high power supplied by
> >> high voltage based on testing ol flawed and that he isn't required to
> >> know the characteristics of his own hardware, and they have no
> >> responsibility for it.
> >>
> >> Why is testing needed at all?
> >
> >For rules, regulations, operating, and safety.
>
> Doesn't knowing how to deal with high voltage come under the heading of
> "safety"?

Yep, in my opinion it does. Other opinions may vary. But, to the best of my knowledge, it's not on the exams now so may be considered trivial. One wonders what the Q&A would be like. "One should not grab 2500 volts DC because: A. ..., B. ..., C. ..., D. ..."
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 41674569711494435
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 22:08:40 PST
Message-ID: <3AE7AD11.E86466FE@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 00:07:29 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mlp$dfi$2@208.207.71.157>
<3AE6DF77.A8414BFC@IEEE.org> <9c851k$idr$0@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988261271 64.240.47.60 (26 Apr 2001 00:01:11 -0500)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20205

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > You resort to the liberal argument, "If it affects children then it
> > is worth it." If you walk like a liberal and quack like a liberal ...
>
> So you made no effort to protect your children from accidental
> electrocution from your exposed ham gear, which you knew so little about
> that you too were exposed, even though you had passed a ham license test
> that authorized you to run high power?

I didn't have any exposed ham gear and I didn't run high power while I had kids, Dick. It is the responsibility of anyone who runs high power to deal with the associated problems. It is not the responsibility of the feds. You do-gooder liberals are always poking your noses into other people's business for the purpose of satisfying your personal power trips.

Please post some details about kids who have gotten hurt while messing
with their Dad's ham gear.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3185511635849751065
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:20:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:18:56 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3ae6c022.3087429@news.mindspring.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <20010425050226.11413.00000713@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.50
X-Server-Date: 25 Apr 2001 12:19:40 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20106

On 25 Apr 2001 09:02:26 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:
>The ARRL has been two-faced on the code requirements issue for at
>least the last decade. On one hand, they're afraid of offending the
>"older" hams who comprise a large part of their membership base by
>giving away too much too fast. On the other, they are chomping at
>the bit to throw away ALL licensing requirements beyond filling out
>an application form as quickly as possible, in the belief that this will
>bring in more potential members and more business for their QST
>advertisers.

Larry,

Throw away ALL licensing requirements? Just what
in the history of the code/no code deabte has been
said by ANY ARRL member, Director, staffer that
even remotely could be viewed as supporting such
an extreme position?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -2850188694371278880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:25:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 12:24:58 GMT
References: <20010425020834.00311.00000254@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010425082458.24993.00001903@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20107
```

>Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/25/01 2:08 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Yes...all very "on topic" to amateur radio policy, amateur radio
>regulations. Of course, nursie, of course.

The point, Your Geezerness, is not what OTHERS have posted.

It's about you.

YOU frequently assail myself and others about what is and is not "proper" discussions in this NG, yet you frequently take liberties with what is "allowable".

If you walked the walk for the talk you talk, I'd take no issue with you on it. BUT...just like just about everything ELSE you post in here, there's what you SAY and there is what you DO.

The two are NOT the same.

As usual.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -1421548359123202633
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:32:45 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:24:40 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae6bdd3.298873825@news2.dvol.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3ae57146.743094079@news.accessunited.com>
<9c4iut01p3o@enews2.newsguy.com> <3AE5DEA9.BAE5133A@earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.44
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.44
X-Trace: 25 Apr 2001 07:21:14 -0500, 64.24.17.44
Lines: 23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.binc.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12251
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15254 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20108

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 20:12:20 GMT, Zoran Brlecic
<zbrlecic@earthlink.net> wrote:

>
>That's not going to last either. Once the "computer" becomes just another appliance
>that controls data transfer from fiber optic cables to various digital video and
>data home gizmos, that'll be the end of it. Who the hell's gonna waste time with an
>appliance that downloads the latest bread recipes to your digital toaster? Maybe
>ham radio gets rediscovered.
>
There was an article in a recent educator's magazine which pointed out
that is not a good idea to point kids in the direction of becoming
code warriors (C++, VB code, not Morris Code) and such because those
kinds of career paths are going to become extinct.
>
San Francisco is now being flooded with unemployed programmers as the
dot comms go belly-up.
>
As far as "rediscovery" is concerned there appears to be a noticable

```
increase in qrp activity with hams who have not been using cw getting
into or back into cw. Along with a big jump in qrp PSK.
>
w3rv


From 1459245599681810340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:44:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:43:33 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 90
Message-ID: <3ae6c1dc.3528753@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.50
X-Server-Date: 25 Apr 2001 12:44:35 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20109

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 08:17:52 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>>   If the cop wants to be a "radio cop" he
>> damned well better have the qualifications
>> and knowledge that an FCC enforcement agent
>> has (electronics technician level) or else
>> he's no better than a "police wannabe"
>> trying to do more familiar police duties.
>
>  Nonsense, Phil.  Police enforce laws all the time without technical
>knowledge of the technology surrounding the laws they're enforcing.
>Police have been enforcing scanner laws for years without technical
>knowledge of radio.

First, there are only a handful of states that even have scanner
laws.  Second, the enforcement of such a law is far less
technical because the radio is in plain sight during a
```

traffic stop. Third, in those states with scanner laws, the locals, prior to federal preemption rling, DID abuse hams with mobiles that had extended recieve capability.

>Likewise, they have just begun to enforce
>cellular laws, again without technical knowledge of radio.

I know of NO local enforcement enforcing cellular laws... unless you mean the outright prohibition of using a cellphone while driving. That, again, is an overt act that is not "technical" in terms of determination by a local.

>And local
>communities have enforced laws regarding antenna structures for years
>with little or no technical knowledge of radio.

That's because the issues and facts are NOT technical (as in radio technical), but rather they are solely a function of physical construction, dimensions, materials, etc. Enforcement of a zoning violation regarding an antenna simply requires visual appearances and need never involve any actual use of that antenna (or antenna structure) for recieving or transmitting.

> And the same with laws outside of radio - police routinely enforce
>laws without technical knowledge of the technology surrounding those
>laws. An officer does not have to know how a bank operates to know
>that a bank robbery has occurred. A game warden does not have to know
>about ecology to enforce gaming laws.

These are all totally "physical" evidence issues. None involve technical competence...although gaming laws can involve the very specific identification of species (e.g. shooting a protected animal vs one not protected).

>An officer does not have to
>know about vehicle design to write a citation for bad brakes.

Police issue tickets for failure to stop. How can they issue a ticket for faulty brakes unless there is a test of those brakes. 40+ years of driving and I have never met anyone that has been cited for "faulty brakes."
Bad example.

>And I
>could go on and on with examples almost indefinitely.

And none of these examples get into the issues that surround operating a CB (legal or otherwise) from the confines of someone's home. That is still something you won't address with any common sense (IMHO).

> The point is that it is absurd to suggest that an officer must have
>technical knowledge before he can enforce a law. As I have said
>before, all that is required of an officer is that he have enough
>knowledge to gather information and evidence properly. If "expert"
>knowledge is required for a piece of evidence, that evidence can be
>studied by 'experts" after it is gathered from the scene, and that
>"expert" can be present in the courtroom to give testimony about that evidence.

And in the HR-2346 situation, you are (IMHO as well as that
of Phil Kane (sorry Phil, in another post I said Phil Karn))
wrong. Your comments and opinons so far fail to adequately
address just how the local LEO gets to first "gather any
evidence at all in situations involving private residences.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 4761735495802467205
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:52:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 08:52:40 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3AE6C86B.FD1BA47E@sccoast.net>
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net> <Bc_E6.2196$QV4.178951@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE53815.8BA2AF65@sccoast.net> <3AE59A6E.105D07A9@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-13.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988203132 235309 207.144.64.143 (25 Apr 2001 12:52:12 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:52:12 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20110

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> The pilot said he did file a flight plan

> and did identify himself over the radio.
> There is even a recording of his frantic
> radio messages.

Cecil, I said they were "engaging what they _THOUGHT_ was a aircraft transporting drugs, with no flight plan filed and no response to radio challenges." An investigation is under way and it may take some time before we know all the details. However, according to reports, either the flight plan didn't actually get filed (contrary to claims otherwise) or flight plan didn't get listed properly.

As for the radio messages, the law enforcement personnel involved claim the pilot didn't respond to challenges. Now, that could mean that the law enforcement personnel are wrong, or it could mean that the pilot didn't respond (still a possibility), or it could mean that the pilot was transmitting on a wrong frequency. Whatever the case, the parts of the tape I heard didn't contain any attempt by the pilot to ID his aircraft - only an unidentified person yelling that they were shooting at them.

I'd like to add one more thing at this point - we still have to consider the possibility that this plane was indeed transporting drugs. Until the investigation is over, which I assume will include attempts to locate the plane, we do not know the whole facts surrounding this incident and should not jump to conclusions until we do.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 7249434140358719735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 05:56:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 08:56:38 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com> <3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com> <3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org> <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>

```
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-13.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988203370 236169 207.144.64.143 (25 Apr 2001 12:56:10
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:56:10 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15255
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20111
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> What we appear to be seeing is the effect
> of allowing the government to own public
> facilities. That is akin to the devine
> right of kings and Libertarians are against
> such.

Interesting response. I don't want to get into a libertarian versus whatever discussion at this point (I've got too many discussions going on already), but I do intent to talk with you further about this in the future.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 5986637008747009288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 06:02:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 09:02:54 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AE6CAD0.1E02920F@sccoast.net>
```

```
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59542.BD1C18CA@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-13.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988203748 235937 207.144.64.143 (25 Apr 2001 13:02:28
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 13:02:28 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15256
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20112

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> What we appear to be seeing is the effect
> of allowing the government to own public
> facilities. That is akin to the divine
> right of kings and Libertarians are
> against such.


  Wow, the newsgroups must have been really acting up last night.
I've seen a lot of the same messages posted over and over last night,
which suggests users must have had a problem getting messages to send properly.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net



From 7420696637680306727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 06:07:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 09:07:58 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 14
```

Message-ID: <3AE6CBFE.C0A99C4A@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<3ADED285.4079DA19@bctv.com> <3ADEE6EC.9CB2A666@IEEE.org>
<3ADF65E1.CD93AA50@bctv.com> <3ADF8C32.86EC2363@IEEE.org>
<3AE0C6D9.89C5E8F3@bctv.com> <3AE11A1C.9BB79512@IEEE.org>
<3AE21655.7768D118@bctv.com> <3AE26023.FC54A719@IEEE.org>
<3AE3D887.6BBE0D15@sccoast.net> <6aYE6.1997$QV4.159797@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE51DFB.87BC2824@sccoast.net> <3AE59920.1111C4D5@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-13.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988204049 236698 207.144.64.143 (25 Apr 2001 13:07:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 13:07:29 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20113

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Wonder what you will say when the tyranny of
> the majority is turned upon you?

Already has, Cecil. There are many things I like to do that I can't because the majority thinks differently. But, if I'm going to live in a society with other people, that is a part of it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 3253885586549932971
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 06:17:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 09:17:05 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tedjimamfiga48@corp.supernews.com>
References: <20010424160325.08623.00001328@ng-md1.aol.com>

<CmqF6.34093$RF1.2901375@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 50
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20114

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:CmqF6.34093$RF1.2901375@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> I sure do agree about the Q&A stuff. Heck, let a computer generate the numbers on the spot for the various resistance, reactance, resonant frequency, and other stuff. No two exams the same. Sure, the safety questions, operating proceedure, and similar may be mutiple guess, but again - don't publish them.

I remember this issue has come up here before from time to time, and I agree with your point about the exact questions and answers not being published. While you don't want to make the exams at the "engineering" level, all Hams need to have a grasp of the basics however. Memorizing the answers, by highlighting them in a "study guide", then picking them out on the exam just does not do it. I am surprised by the fair number of Hams who don't feel "comfortable" without the means to memorize the exam questions. I feel as time goes by that more Hams are turning out to be "appliance operators" who can read the owners manual, but have little to no understanding of what goes on under the covers.

While real project construction many not be as common as it once was Hams should be encouraged to do at least some basic "paper" design work. I may not do much construction work for Ham Radio, I do plenty for work where I spend half my time in the lab, I do like to understand what make my equipment tick. In that light I get out the CAD software, calculator, and books to analyze something I'm interested in. I don't see where learning in Ham Radio should stop with the last page in the rigs owners manual.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex

transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

```
From 8312808508006250787
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 06:44:51 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6D48A.EBC5E5D8@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 08:43:38 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <20010423065834.17773.00000692@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010425050228.11413.00000715@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988205841
64.240.47.108 (25 Apr 2001 08:37:21 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20115
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> The study guides I used in the early '80's
> didn't seem that big, either -- but I had learned most of what I knew
> as a result of 15 years as an electronics hobbyist, so all I really
> needed to learn were the rules, operational techniques, and other
> ham-specific details.

Oh no, Larry didn't work, work, work and expend a large amount of effort
and energy in the learning process. He already knew most of the material.

That's cheating. Shame, shame!

> The overwhelming majority of the hams
> I've known that have the highest technical skills have also -- almost
> to the man -- been code proficient, CW-using, CW-loving Extra-
> class hams.

At Intel, the most technically qualified hams were Advanced class.
Most of the Extras were engineer-wannabes.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7609660613442745692
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 06:54:10 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6D6B9.4E1D5C61@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 08:52:57 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
<9c60bs$53n$0@pita.alt.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988206400
64.240.47.108 (25 Apr 2001 08:46:40 -0500)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or

```
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15257
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20116

Roger's Nemesis wrote:
>
> > We are not talking about known child molesters, Dick. We are talking
> > about unknown child molesters. There are twice as many heterosexual
> > child molesters as there are homosexual child molesters.
>
> So...if we eliminate the homosexuals, we have eliminated one third right off
> the bat.

And if we eliminate the heterosexuals, we have eliminated two thirds
right off the bat.

> Of course your figures are most probably wrong.

Check them out yourself. Records indicate that twice as many little
girls as little boys are molested.

Since I have daughters, I am sensitive to this issue. Why is most
of the energy focused on molested boys when there are twice as many
molested girls? Is it somehow OK for little girls to be molested?
Is molestation of little girls more "natural" thus more understandable
and acceptable?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -4000074535709597731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 07:19:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
```

```
Date: 25 Apr 2001 14:19:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9c6md3$dfi$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE4B8E4.C86B9D11@IEEE.org> <9c2i82$gbb$2@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4DB24.B409A769@IEEE.org> <9c2uth$nu2$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58B30.D603B05F@IEEE.org> <9c56rs$kca$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE65772.BDD3F1CF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20117
```

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > > Have you ever asked yourself: What is the most important function that
> > > > > hams perform? What knowledge/skill does a ham need to perform that
> > > > > function? Should arbitrary knowledge/skill requirements keep people
> > > > > capable of performing that most important function out of the ARS?
> > > >
> > > >  Your terminology is suspect as usual. "Most important" may not be
> > > > congruent with all the elements of satisfied by the 'basis and purpose".
> > >
> > > Again you refuse to answer the question. One cannot help but wonder why.
> >
> >  Could it be that it's a stupid question?
>
> Asking what is the most important function that hams perform is a stupid
> question?


 You ask a LOT of stupid questions.
```

```
From 1151026869580602340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 07:22:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 25 Apr 2001 14:22:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9c6mjv$dfi$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20118
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Should the FCC then be responsible for him legally allowing a child to
> > have free access to uncovered high voltage because he was not required
> > to learn that he was dealing with dangerous hardware, and the need to
> > protect himself and others from it? FCC should become a party to child
> > neglect?
>
> The FCC is not responsible for it. The stupid parent is responsible for it.
> Is the federal government responsible for stupid parents allowing their
> children access to guns? You liberals want to make individual responsibility
> a thing of the past.

Yeah, Right! The FCC licenses a new ham to use high power supplied by high voltage based on testing ol flawed and that he isn't required to know the characteristics of his own hardware, and they have no responsibility for it.

Why is testing needed at all?

```
From -5604403683641047736
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 07:23:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 25 Apr 2001 14:23:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9c6mlp$dfi$2@208.207.71.157>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20119
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> You liberals

If you think I'm a liberal it just completes the confirmation that you're an idiot.

```
From 8895973935127665656
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 07:25:20 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
Date: 25 Apr 2001 14:25:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9c6mog$dfi$3@208.207.71.157>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15258
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20120

W6RCecilA wrote:

> > So I suppose then that you sent your daughters out under the
> > supervision of known child molesters?
>
> We are not talking about known child molesters, Dick. We are talking
> about unknown child molesters. There are twice as many heterosexual
> child molesters as there are homosexual child molesters.

So it's OK to send your kids out with the homosexual kind because
there's only half as many of them. I think we understand where you're
coming from.

From 1881788391990052035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 07:29:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6DEF1.473D1314@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 09:28:01 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mjv$dfi$1@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988208502 64.240.47.108 (25 Apr 2001 09:21:42 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.online.be!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20121

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yeah, Right! The FCC licenses a new ham to use high power supplied by
> high voltage based on testing ol flawed and that he isn't required to
> know the characteristics of his own hardware, and they have no
> responsibility for it.
>
> Why is testing needed at all?

For rules, regulations, operating, and safety.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From 5972901338029671753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 07:31:27 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6DF77.A8414BFC@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 09:30:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mlp$dfi$2@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988208637
64.240.47.108 (25 Apr 2001 09:23:57 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20122

Dick Carroll wrote:
> If you think I'm a liberal it just completes the confirmation that
> you're an idiot.

You resort to the liberal argument, "If it affects children then it
is worth it." If you walk like a liberal and quack like a liberal ...
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1428232276065395876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 07:34:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6E016.65C29201@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 09:32:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59542.BD1C18CA@IEEE.org>
<3AE6CAD0.1E02920F@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988208796
64.240.47.108 (25 Apr 2001 09:26:36 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!europa.netcrusader.net!
204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15259
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20123
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > What we appear to be seeing is the effect

> > of allowing the government to own public
> > facilities. That is akin to the divine
> > right of kings and Libertarians are
> > against such.
>
>   Wow, the newsgroups must have been really acting up last night.
> I've seen a lot of the same messages posted over and over last night,
> which suggests users must have had a problem getting messages to send properly.

It means when one hits the cancel button, the posting is not canceled.
I had a misspelled word and was trying to correct it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6250541708073019958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 07:37:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
Date: 25 Apr 2001 14:37:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9c6nem$h1d$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
<9c60bs$53n$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE6D6B9.4E1D5C61@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15260

rec.radio.amateur.policy:20124

W6RCecilA wrote:
>

> Since I have daughters, I am sensitive to this issue. Why is most
> of the energy focused on molested boys when there are twice as many
> molested girls? Is it somehow OK for little girls to be molested?
> Is molestation of little girls more "natural" thus more understandable
> and acceptable?

Tell ya what Cecil.... You support me in keeping the homosexual predators away from my grandsons in the Boy Scouts and for darn sure I'll support whatever method you deem appropriate in kkeeping the heterosexual predators from your girls in their scouting activities.

But somehow your drift here is toward letting them all have at all kids in every instance. WHy is that?

```
From 4565910905639242112
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 07:42:54 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6E223.84120074@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 09:41:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbrwog.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae6bb50.1852435@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988209322
64.240.47.108 (25 Apr 2001 09:35:22 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
```

illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20125

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Add to that the arrest and handcuffing of a woman for
> a seat belt violation in TX.
>
> I am a conservative, but that type of arrest for motor
> vehicle violations (other than DUI/DWI) should be
> considered illegal. Sadly, the Supreme Ct ruled 5/4
> yesterday that the police can do so.

If you don't submit to arrest for a seat belt violation
then you will be arrested for resisting arrest.

Vote Libertarian. We are against victimless crime laws.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4348726399144855405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 07:49:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!yellow.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.newshog.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <3ae6e2a5.3867163@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com> <teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>

<3AE5E66C.4277E179@IEEE.org> <9c58fo$kca$7@208.206.142.94>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 29
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 14:49:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.27
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: newshog.newsread.com 988210188 216.1.128.27 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 10:49:48 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 10:49:48 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12255 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15261 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20126

On 25 Apr 2001 01:15:36 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>Subtract out the nocode techs and what have you got?
>>
>
> Subtract out the non-nocode techs and what have you got? Darn little.

Actually the no-code techs had only a slight impact in active amateurs. Most of them are never active.

For example, at MFJ Enterprises dozens of employees became no-code techs. Within a year, only ONE remained active.

Among the people I know, most of the no-code techs are wives of amateurs who got a license to avoid cellular phone charges, or couples who did the same. Now that cell rates have dropped, so have the antennas.

No-code numbers look good on paper, but I'm sure most of them are actually not active. We ran into that here in my county, when we tried to get people interested in Skywarn and emergency communication. Virtually all no-code techs who replied d said they were no longer interested.

73 Tom

From 4447544139192117165
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 07:51:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6E439.2648514A@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 09:50:33 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com> <3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net> <Bc_E6.2196$QV4.178951@www.newsranger.com> <3AE53815.8BA2AF65@sccoast.net> <3AE59A6E.105D07A9@IEEE.org> <3AE6C86B.FD1BA47E@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988209856 64.240.47.108 (25 Apr 2001 09:44:16 -0500)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20127

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   As for the radio messages, the law enforcement personnel involved
> claim the pilot didn't respond to challenges. Now, that could mean
> that the law enforcement personnel are wrong, or it could mean that
> the pilot didn't respond (still a possibility), or it could mean that
> the pilot was transmitting on a wrong frequency. Whatever the case,
> the parts of the tape I heard didn't contain any attempt by the pilot
> to ID his aircraft - only an unidentified person yelling that they
> were shooting at them.

So an innocent pilot flying over Western territory in peacetime
deserves to be shot down simply for making a human error? Everyone
makes human errors at one time or another so everyone should be
shot? I think I understand you, Dwight, and it frightens me.

>   I'd like to add one more thing at this point - we still have to
> consider the possibility that this plane was indeed transporting
> drugs. Until the investigation is over, which I assume will include
> attempts to locate the plane, we do not know the whole facts
> surrounding this incident and should not jump to conclusions until we do.

By all means, kill everyone associated with drugs. That will certainly
eliminate the problem. Killing innocents in the process is merely

acceptable collateral damage.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4085691314992285547
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 07:55:35 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6E51F.67B19ED6@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 09:54:23 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <20010425050226.11413.00000713@nso-
ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988210085
64.240.47.108 (25 Apr 2001 09:48:05 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20128
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> On the other, they are chomping at
> the bit to throw away ALL licensing requirements beyond filling out
> an application form as quickly as possible, in the belief that this will
> bring in more potential members and more business for their QST
> advertisers.

Got any proof, Larry?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2069048307914681300
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 08:02:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mjv$dfi$1@208.207.71.157>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <tFBF6.4906$QV4.408858@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 11:01:45 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:01:45 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20129

Dick Carroll said:

>Yeah, Right! The FCC licenses a new ham to use high power supplied by
>high voltage based on testing ol flawed and that he isn't required to
>know the characteristics of his own hardware, and they have no
>responsibility for it.

HUMOR IMPAIRED INDUHVIDUALS HIT DELETE KEY NOW.

The world is methodically being dumbed down by government efforts to protect us from stupid people.

Stupid drivers don't recognize the dangers of speed, so they drive too fast and kill themselves. The government regulates speed, so now more stupid people survive.

Stupid pedestrians don't know enough to not step out into a busy street, so they get injured and killed trying to cross the street. The government makes laws giving them the right-of-way, so now more stupid people survive.

Stupid parents don't recognize the value of childhood innoculations. The government makes them vaccinate their children before entering school, so now more stupid people survive.

Stupid hams don't know enough to keep their fingers out of 3KV plate transformers, so they get fried in their own juice. Dick would have the government protect them, and more stupid people would survive.

In the ways of Mother Nature, stupidity was kept in check because stupid people generally didn't survive to breeding age, thus our species tended to get smarter over time.

But stupidity begets stupidity, so we are being overwhelmed by a tsunami of stupidity. The tsunami is triggered by the government protection of stupid people, which allows them to survive to reproduce.

<BSEG>

Hans

```
From 4852762377870822820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 08:09:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6E847.C1C288D8@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 10:07:51 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
```

```
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mjv$dfi$1@208.207.71.157>
<tFBF6.4906$QV4.408858@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988210893 64.240.47.108 (25 Apr 2001 10:01:33 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20130
```

K0HB wrote:

> Stupid hams don't know enough to keep their fingers out of 3KV plate
> transformers, so they get fried in their own juice. Dick would have the
> government protect them, and more stupid people would survive.

That is akin to grabbing a fan belt when the motor is running. I don't recall any Q&A on my driver's license exam about not grabbing a fan belt while the motor is running and not allowing children to grab it either.

Libertarians are against laws that try to protect people from themselves and laws for which there is no victim. Dick, like many liberals, advocate such laws to advance his personal agenda. He took lessons from Hillary Clinton.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5790234665690377124
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 08:12:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net> <Bc_E6.2196$QV4.178951@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE53815.8BA2AF65@sccoast.net> <3AE59A6E.105D07A9@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C86B.FD1BA47E@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <%OBF6.4924$QV4.410259@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 11:11:55 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:11:55 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20131

In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, Dwight Stewart blurted out:

> However, according to reports, either the flight plan didn't
> actually get filed (contrary to claims otherwise) or flight
> plan didn't get listed properly.

Last time I checked, "failure to file a flight plan" was not an offense punishable by death.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -5347474492396493905
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 08:17:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6EA35.5F665BA9@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 10:16:05 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
<9c60bs$53n$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE6D6B9.4E1D5C61@IEEE.org>
<9c6nem$h1d$0@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988211390
64.240.47.108 (25 Apr 2001 10:09:50 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!news-hog.berkeley.edu!
ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!newspeer.cts.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news-in-
la.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15264
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20132

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Tell ya what Cecil.... You support me in keeping the homosexual
> predators away from my grandsons in the Boy Scouts and for darn sure
> I'll support whatever method you deem appropriate in kkeeping the
> heterosexual predators from your girls in their scouting activities.

That's good to hear, Dick. Why did you wait so long to say it? I was
always suspicious of males around my girls. Have you heard about the
rash of statutory rapes by high school coaches? Is that no big deal
to you?

> But somehow your drift here is toward letting them all have at all kids
> in every instance. WHy is that?

You're wrong about that, Dick. I advocate being suspicious of everyone,
not just homosexuals. You, OTOH, seem to advocate suspicion only of
homosexuals. Why is that, Dick? Do you have some hidden agenda?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2081143886551682694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 08:19:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!newspeer.cts.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<3ae5b782.4314361@news.mindspring.com> <3AE6AC0E.9E11343@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <qVBF6.4936$QV4.410489@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 11:18:46 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:18:46 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20133

In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, Dwight Stewart blurted out:

> Bill, I'm not going to get into great details here, but it is not as
>easy as you imagine. Locate a legal piece of CB radio equipment,
>locate the FCC info, and then tell me it would be so easy to make up a

>phony sticker.

With my flatbed scanner, some touchup with a graphics program, and sticky-back labels through my printer, I could produce them by the hundreds in the next ten minutes.   Wouldn't be adequate to fool an FCC instspector, but it sure would be more than enough to fool Deputy Barney Fife.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 3576806208083407966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 08:19:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6EAA2.DA7F59B8@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 10:17:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
<9c6mog$dfi$3@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988211495
64.240.47.108 (25 Apr 2001 10:11:35 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!europa.netcrusader.net!204.71.68.178!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15266
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20134

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >  So I suppose then that you sent your daughters out under the
> > > supervision of known child molesters?
> >
> > We are not talking about known child molesters, Dick. We are talking
> > about unknown child molesters. There are twice as many heterosexual
> > child molesters as there are homosexual child molesters.
>
>  So it's OK to send your kids out with the homosexual kind because
> there's only half as many of them. I think we understand where you're
> coming from.

You got it backwards, Dick. It is not OK to send your kids out with
anyone without checking the person out. Your little girls are in
twice as much danger as your little boys, or don't you care?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 2041684197449632805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 08:28:59 PST
Message-ID: <3AE6ECF1.AD394032@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 10:27:45 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
```

```
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net> <Bc_E6.2196$QV4.178951@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE53815.8BA2AF65@sccoast.net> <3AE59A6E.105D07A9@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C86B.FD1BA47E@sccoast.net> <%OBF6.4924$QV4.410259@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.108
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988212088
64.240.47.108 (25 Apr 2001 10:21:28 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20135

K0HB wrote:
>
> In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, Dwight Stewart blurted out:
>
> > However, according to reports, either the flight plan didn't
> > actually get filed (contrary to claims otherwise) or flight
> > plan didn't get listed properly.
>
> Last time I checked, "failure to file a flight plan" was not an offense
> punishable by death.

Maybe in wartime, but certainly not in peacetime over a "friendly"
country. But you have to admit, Hans, if we kill off the human race
in the process of protecting it from drugs, the drug problem will
go away.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -8080657200594814958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 08:36:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mjv$dfi$1@208.207.71.157>
<tFBF6.4906$QV4.408858@www.newsranger.com> <3AE6E847.C1C288D8@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <O9CF6.4962$QV4.415145@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 11:36:14 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:36:14 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20136

W6RCecilA blurted out:

>That is akin to grabbing a fan belt when the motor is running. I don't
>recall any Q&A on my driver's license exam about not grabbing a fan
>belt while the motor is running and not allowing children to grab it
>either.

The current trend toward protect-you-from-yourself government (put you in cuffs for not wearing a seat belt, for example) will eventually get us to the point of a over-reaching catch-all law which says:

"Everything not specifically prohibited is mandatory.
Violation of this rule is punishable by summary execution."

73, Hans, K0HB

From 2925355592009359237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 08:51:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net> <Bc_E6.2196$QV4.178951@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE53815.8BA2AF65@sccoast.net> <3AE59A6E.105D07A9@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C86B.FD1BA47E@sccoast.net> <%OBF6.4924$QV4.410259@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE6ECF1.AD394032@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <mnCF6.4986$QV4.417433@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 11:50:42 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 15:50:42 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20137

In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, W6RCecilA blurted out:

>Maybe in wartime, but certainly not in peacetime over a "friendly"
>country. But you have to admit, Hans, if we kill off the human race
>in the process of protecting it from drugs, the drug problem will
>go away.

I'm all for offing the dope peddlers, but there was no evidence (zilch, none, nada, zero) that this craft was carrying drugs. It engaged in no evasive maneuvers, it was in contact with it's destination airfield by radio, and even a superficial visual flyby would have revealed that it was occupied by a couple of children and their parents.

Pure and simple murder, but it will be brushed off as a "regrettable accident".

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 6200421036824463705
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 09:06:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!
SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.30
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 10:55:21 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC808578E35@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20138
```

Although the ship's commander is ultimately responsible for what happens to his ship, there has been NO discussion (at last any that I've seen or heard) regarding the reason for having civilians onboard. I'm reasonably certain Cmdr Waddle did not invite them, but that he was ordered ("required"?) to take them for a ride. Who is responsible for THAT?

The policy for siteseeing excursions needs to be dumped. If it wasn't for that, they'd never been at sea. Give'em tours dockside, it's not Seaworld, it's the Navy dammit.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net...
[...]
I don't think the Captain of that submarine should have received any

> form of punishment at all. If fleet wanted such a dangerous activity
> like that done while visitors were aboard, they should at least stand
> behind the Captain if, and when, an accident occurs. Instead, we have
> what appears to be yet another military member falling on his sword to
> cover the shortsightedness of his superiors.

[...]

From -4086798409232904703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 09:06:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <iCCF6.5012$QV4.421289@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:06:38 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 16:06:38 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20139

Dwight Stewart says:

> I don't think the Captain of that submarine should have received any
>form of punishment at all. If fleet wanted such a dangerous activity
>like that done while visitors were aboard, they should at least stand
>behind the Captain if, and when, an accident occurs.

In my view, the skipper and some crewmembers of Greenville are guilty of manslaughter. Through carelessness, lack of diligent seamanship, and lacksidaisical internal communications, this ultramodern attack submarine failed to detect a 500-ton ship steaming in their oparea, and subsequently sank it with loss of 9 souls embarked. CDR Waddle got off way too easy, no matter how many crocodile tears he sheds on "60 Minutes".

73, Hans, K0HB

From -4771804814947828172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 09:08:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 Apr 2001 16:07:12 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbeqbd.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Message-ID: <20010425120712.26001.00000306@nso-cr.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20140

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbeqbd.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

> Until the 1965 Watts riots, the LAPD used 1730 and 2366 kHz as
> dispatch frequencies. The rioters jammed them, so the department
> finally shut down the 1930s-era AM transmitters.

"Jamming?!?" During the first Watts riots I was living at this same
residence and listening in each night with my old National NC-57.
Never heard any jamming. Where was that jamming?

From 8175096408549382666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 09:18:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE6F913.682F@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>

<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbrwog.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae6bb50.1852435@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 43
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:19:31 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.106
X-Trace: news2.atl 988215503 209.214.187.106 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:18:23 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:18:23 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20141

Did you see the one that hit national TV where the South Carolina trooper dragged a woman out of her car screaming a cursing at her over a mere traffic stop? It was caught by his OWN camera.

Jerry

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 04:54:51 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
> wrote:
>
> >On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 02:57:26 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> >> Yes, I've met arrogant cops before, Jerry. But I've never met one
> >>who would openly, and willfully, violate the law over something
> >>anywhere near as simple as a CB radio complaint would be (and that
> >>includes the cop in your little story). Therefore, to suggest this is
> >>something that the average person should be gravely concerned about
> >>when it comes to HR-2346 is absolutely absurd. In other words, lets
> >>try to keep this discussion within the realm of reality.
> >
> > Doesn't the news about the "toilet plunger" assault in NY or the
> > Rampart Division falsification-of-evidence mess in LA or the asset
> > forfeiture scandals across the country get to your part of the
> > world? (I saw some of that first-hand when I was a reserve officer
> > in a major police department.)
> >
> > Rodney King's beating was about a speeding violation that went
> > "downhill from there".
> >   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> Add to that the arrest and handcuffing of a woman for
> a seat belt violation in TX.
>
> I am a conservative, but that type of arrest for motor
> vehicle violations (other than DUI/DWI) should be
> considered illegal. Sadly, he Supreme Ct ruled 5/4
> yesterday that the police can do so.
>
> No cheers on that note.

> Bill K2UNK

From -8597939119977502769
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 09:27:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE6FB44.7865@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net> <Bc_E6.2196$QV4.178951@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE53815.8BA2AF65@sccoast.net> <3AE59A6E.105D07A9@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C86B.FD1BA47E@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 44
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:28:52 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.106
X-Trace: news2.atl 988216063 209.214.187.106 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:27:43 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:27:43 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20142

I *think* that when an aircraft is challenged by hostile planes, it is recognizable internationally(?) that one can slow down, drop flaps, and landing gear (if any) to signify surrender????? Would this have been the correct thing to do by the pilot of the aircraft being challenged to prevent being shot down? Anybody got more details?

Jerry

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > The pilot said he did file a flight plan
> > and did identify himself over the radio.
> > There is even a recording of his frantic
> > radio messages.
>
>   Cecil, I said they were "engaging what they _THOUGHT_ was a aircraft
> transporting drugs, with no flight plan filed and no response to radio

```
> challenges."  An investigation is under way and it may take some time
> before we know all the details.  However, according to reports, either
> the flight plan didn't actually get filed (contrary to claims
> otherwise) or flight plan didn't get listed properly.
>
>   As for the radio messages, the law enforcement personnel involved
> claim the pilot didn't respond to challenges.  Now, that could mean
> that the law enforcement personnel are wrong, or it could mean that
> the pilot didn't respond (still a possibility), or it could mean that
> the pilot was transmitting on a wrong frequency.  Whatever the case,
> the parts of the tape I heard didn't contain any attempt by the pilot
> to ID his aircraft - only an unidentified person yelling that they
> were shooting at them.
>
>   I'd like to add one more thing at this point - we still have to
> consider the possibility that this plane was indeed transporting
> drugs.  Until the investigation is over, which I assume will include
> attempts to locate the plane, we do not know the whole facts
> surrounding this incident and should not jump to conclusions until we do.
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net


From 6530863915381518356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 09:35:58 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE6FD29.5322@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
```

```
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:36:58 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.195
X-Trace: news2.atl 988216549 209.214.186.195 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:35:49 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:35:49 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15268
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20143

EXACTLY!




W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > Therefore, in order to protect even ONE Scout, one Church youth group,
> > one child from being placed in a position that he/she neither expects or
> > understands, the battle line MUST be drawn and the only way to do that,
> > even at the expense of one homosexual who might NOT molest a child, is
> > to exclude the entire group.
>
> Following exactly the same reasoning and given that the majority of
> child molesters are heterosexual, would you say the battle line MUST
> be drawn and the only way to do that, even at the expense of one
> heterosexual who might NOT molest a child, is to exclude the entire
> group?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 1084078298637896170
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 09:37:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE6FD7B.4A80@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
```

```
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 29
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:38:19 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.195
X-Trace: news2.atl 988216631 209.214.186.195 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:37:11 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:37:11 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15269
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20144

Dick,

Mea Culpa,

I meant to say "homosexual"  I made an error.\

Jerry



Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > Therefore, in order to protect even ONE Scout, one Church youth group,
> > > one child from being placed in a position that he/she neither expects or
> > > understands, the battle line MUST be drawn and the only way to do that,
> > > even at the expense of one homosexual who might NOT molest a child, is
> > > to exclude the entire group.
> >
> > Following exactly the same reasoning and given that the majority of
> > child molesters are heterosexual, would you say the battle line MUST
> > be drawn and the only way to do that, even at the expense of one
> > heterosexual who might NOT molest a child, is to exclude the entire
> > group?
>
>  So I suppose then that you sent your daughters out under the
> supervision of known child molesters?


From -3380656165794717530
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 09:59:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!news.stealth.net!newspeer.cts.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC808578E35@www.premiersi.com>
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <CnDF6.5065$QV4.431659@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:59:14 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 16:59:14 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20145

jimmy john says:

>Although the ship's commander is ultimately responsible for what happens to
>his ship, there has been NO discussion (at last any that I've seen or heard)
>regarding the reason for having civilians onboard.

Carrying civilians in warships, giving them rides in bombers or tanks, and other similar practices go back generations and are done for very good reasons.

Congressmen are embarked to impress them that the defense dollars are well spent, and to get them to vote favorably for new programs.

High school guidance counselors are taken to sea to see the high tech training available to their students.

Parents/spouses/children of sailors are embarked on "family cruises" to involve them in the environment where their family member works and to make them "part of the Navy team".

Media representatives (TV/newspaper reporters) are embarked to gain favorable press for the military, useful in recruiting campaigns, etc.

Entertainers are embarked on deployed units during the holiday season for morale purposes.

I could go on and on with good reasons why civilians may be embarked in warships.

None of those reasons, however, absolve the ships' captain and crew from the proper and vigilant exectution of their duties. Period.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 5116131972007533715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 10:20:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-
hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!newspeer.cts.com!feed1-in.uncensored-
news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!
not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<3AE6FD29.5322@bellsouth.net>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <JHDF6.5093$QV4.435706@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 13:20:41 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 17:20:41 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15270
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20146
```

Jerry Oxendine says:

>
>EXACTLY!
>

Really, Jerry? If we bar the homosexuals and also the heterosexuals, I guess

that leaves only the bi-sexuals and trans-sexuals (who have not yet arrived at
their new gender-orientation) in charge of youth groups.

Kinda makes you say hmmmmmmmmmmmmmm!

73, Hans, K0HB

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>> > Therefore, in order to protect even ONE Scout, one Church youth group,
>> > one child from being placed in a position that he/she neither expects or
>> > understands, the battle line MUST be drawn and the only way to do that,
>> > even at the expense of one homosexual who might NOT molest a child, is
>> > to exclude the entire group.
>>
>> Following exactly the same reasoning and given that the majority of
>> child molesters are heterosexual, would you say the battle line MUST
>> be drawn and the only way to do that, even at the expense of one
>> heterosexual who might NOT molest a child, is to exclude the entire
>> group?

From -5054668132036701188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 10:25:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!yellow.newsread.com!bad-news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.newshog.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <3ae7072c.13219758@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<3AE5E66C.4277E179@IEEE.org> <9c58fo$kca$7@208.206.142.94>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 27
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 17:24:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.229.59.193
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@webga.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: newshog.newsread.com 988219464 155.229.59.193 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001 13:24:24
EDT)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 13:24:24 EDT
Organization: United Bank (webga.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12263
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15271 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20147

On 25 Apr 2001 01:15:36 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>Subtract out the nocode techs and what have you got?
>>
>
> Subtract out the non-nocode techs and what have you got? Darn little.

As another noteworthy example, look at the statistics since code was reduced.

We gain ONLY 6600 new amateurs (1%) in the last year since the code was reduced. We UPGRADED 30% of the amateur population.

Clearly, there were no massive lines of people waiting to get in but hampered by testing. Just as clearly, we gave a "free upgrade" to somewhat less than 1/3 of the amateurs we already had.

Face it folks, the problem isn't testing or anything else. It is social. The cure isn't going to be giving something away that no one wants.

73 Tom

From -7900352218898997882
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 10:29:54 PST
Message-ID: <3AE7094A.21F931FC@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:28:42 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>

```
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<3AE6FD29.5322@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988219344
64.240.47.79 (25 Apr 2001 12:22:24 -0500)
Lines: 35
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15272
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20148

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> EXACTLY!

So you want to exclude homosexuals and heterosexuals. Who's left?

> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > Therefore, in order to protect even ONE Scout, one Church youth group,
> > > one child from being placed in a position that he/she neither expects or
> > > understands, the battle line MUST be drawn and the only way to do that,
> > > even at the expense of one homosexual who might NOT molest a child, is
> > > to exclude the entire group.
> >
> > Following exactly the same reasoning and given that the majority of
> > child molesters are heterosexual, would you say the battle line MUST
> > be drawn and the only way to do that, even at the expense of one
> > heterosexual who might NOT molest a child, is to exclude the entire
> > group?
> > --
> > http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2851259644212511557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 10:44:27 PST
Message-ID: <3AE70CB2.47A0109A@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:43:14 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <20010423103916.15601.00001258@ng-
fc1.aol.com> <nCYE6.2039$QV4.163920@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE46898.34EE@bellsouth.net> <Bc_E6.2196$QV4.178951@www.newsranger.com>
<3AE53815.8BA2AF65@sccoast.net> <3AE59A6E.105D07A9@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C86B.FD1BA47E@sccoast.net> <3AE6FB44.7865@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988220215
64.240.47.79 (25 Apr 2001 12:36:55 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20149
```

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> I *think* that when an aircraft is challenged by hostile planes, it is
> recognizable internationally(?) that one can slow down, drop flaps, and
> landing gear (if any) to signify surrender????? Would this have been
> the correct thing to do by the pilot of the aircraft being challenged to

> prevent being shot down?

Is it a choice of remembering exactly what to do during a stressful
situation or death by being shot down? Whatever the pilot did or
didn't do, he's only a human civilian noncombatant and may be ignorant
of military aircraft protocol. The fault and blame lies squarely with
the drug policies of the United States and the support of an abjectly
evil agenda which is power, control, and the destruction of individual
rights. Today it's drugs, tomorrow it will be T-bone steaks and SUV's.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 9171689546606568070
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 10:57:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!peer.news.verio.net.MISMATCH!iad-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gccq4t3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbrwog.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE662AB.2B78755E@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 17
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 17:58:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.229
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988221514 63.178.69.229 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001
17:58:34 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 17:58:34 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20150

```
On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 00:37:47 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>   Rodney King's beating was about a speeding violation that went
>>   "downhill from there".
>
>How about the California guy who was shot because they wanted his
>property? I saw that on "Investigative Reports".

  I hate to say that that was the LA Sheriff's Department, my Alma
  Mater.  I'm glad that I got out before I turned into a complete
  bigot.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -6213742021835391011
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 10:57:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 53
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 17:58:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.229
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988221515 63.178.69.229 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001
17:58:35 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 17:58:35 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20151
```

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 08:17:52 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>>   If the cop wants to be a "radio cop" he
>> damned well better have the qualifications
>> and knowledge that an FCC enforcement agent
>> has (electronics technician level) or else
>> he's no better than a "police wannabe"
>> trying to do more familiar police duties.
>
>
> Nonsense, Phil. Police enforce laws all the time without technical
>knowledge of the technology surrounding the laws they're enforcing.

> And the same with laws outside of radio - police routinely enforce
>laws without technical knowledge of the technology surrounding those
>laws.

> The point is that it is absurd to suggest that an officer must have
>technical knowledge before he can enforce a law.

How is the officer to know if a violation of technical standards
exists if s/he cannot make AND EVALUATE the requisite technical
measurments?

>As I have said
>before, all that is required of an officer is that he have enough
>knowledge to gather information and evidence properly.

How is the officer to know if a violation of technical standards
exists if s/he cannot make AND EVALUATE the requisite technical
measurments?

> The point is that it is absurd to suggest that an officer must have
>technical knowledge before he can enforce a law. As I have said
>before, all that is required of an officer is that he have enough
>knowledge to gather information and evidence properly. If "expert"
>knowledge is required for a piece of evidence, that evidence can be
>studied by 'experts" after it is gathered from the scene, and that
>"expert" can be present in the courtroom to give testimony about
>that evidence.

And how is said "expert" going to evaluate something that is
volatile, i.e. the frequency and power of operation at the time of
observation, without being "on scene"? No "on scene" determination
of improper operation, no probable cause for a seizure and/or
charge, let alone entry.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

```
From 2797693595427930162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 10:57:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gccrkh6.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbrwog.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae6bb50.1852435@news.mindspring.com> <3AE6F913.682F@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 15
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 17:58:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.229
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988221517 63.178.69.229 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001
17:58:37 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 17:58:37 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20152

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:19:31 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>Did you see the one that hit national TV where the South Carolina troop-
>er dragged a woman out of her car screaming a cursing at her over a
>mere traffic stop?  It was caught by his OWN camera.

  I was a passenger in an auto in NYC where one of New York's Finest
  (also known as the Finest Department Money Can Buy) let loose a
  string of "language that would make a sailor blush" when our driver
  made a turn that was more defensive than aggressive.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
```

From -2146755942516175156
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 10:58:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <7fEF6.5177$QV4.441661@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 13:58:27 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 17:58:27 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20153

Dwight Stewart says:

> The crew did it's job well (even if not perfectly). The Captain did
> his job well (even if not perfectly).

No they did not do their job well.

The crew and the skipper *failed miserably* in this evolution, to the extent that another ship and 9 embarked souls are at the bottom of the Pacific.

The facts are that this crew and skipper, with the facilities of an ultra-modern attack submarine at their disposal, failed to recognize a 500-ton surface vessel steaming within easy acquisition of their active and passive sensors, including (but not limited to) the Mark-I Eyeball.

A number of people were obviously asleep at the switch, and *not* "doing their well" at all!

73, Hans, K0HB

From -7284360029593703934

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 11:00:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gccrw77.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbeqbd.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<20010425120712.26001.00000306@nso-cr.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Lines: 18
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:00:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.229
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988221644 63.178.69.229 (Wed, 25 Apr 2001
18:00:44 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 18:00:44 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20154

On 25 Apr 2001 16:07:12 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>>  Until the 1965 Watts riots, the LAPD used 1730 and 2366 kHz as
>>  dispatch frequencies.  The rioters jammed them,  so the department
>>  finally shut down the 1930s-era AM transmitters.
>
>"Jamming?!?"  During the first Watts riots I was living at this same
>residence and listening in each night with my old National NC-57.
>Never heard any jamming.  Where was that jamming?

  That's what I was told by one of the techs at the LA City radio
  shop.....the jamming may have been local to 117th Street and Mona
  Blvd (my old territory just off Central Avenue...)

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -8468836197451175337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 11:27:40 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.30
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 13:27:34 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC80858266D@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net> <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC808578E35@www.premiersi.com> <CnDF6.5065$QV4.431659@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 63
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20155

Absolve? No. Interfere? Yes.

The only way to impress civilians is to give them "live" rides? No.

These are machines of war with incredible power for destruction, not Disney attractions. If a ride is necessary, give it to one or two at a time when there is a REALLY good reason (although I can't imagine a reason that good), not a dozen or two random thrillseekers all at once.

"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:CnDF6.5065$QV4.431659@www.newsranger.com...

> jimmy john says:
>
> >Although the ship's commander is ultimately responsible for what happens to
> >his ship, there has been NO discussion (at last any that I've seen or heard)
> >regarding the reason for having civilians onboard.
>
> Carrying civilians in warships, giving them rides in bombers or tanks, and other
> similar practices go back generations and are done for very good reasons.
>
> Congressmen are embarked to impress them that the defense dollars are well
> spent, and to get them to vote favorably for new programs.
>
> High school guidance counselors are taken to sea to see the high tech training
> available to their students.
>
> Parents/spouses/children of sailors are embarked on "family cruises" to involve
> them in the environment where their family member works and to make them

"part
> of the Navy team".
>
> Media representatives (TV/newspaper reporters) are embarked to gain
favorable
> press for the military, useful in recruiting campaigns, etc.
>
> Entertainers are embarked on deployed units during the holiday season for
morale
> purposes.
>
> I could go on and on with good reasons why civilians may be embarked in
> warships.
>
> None of those reasons, however, absolve the ships' captain and crew from
the
> proper and vigilant exectution of their duties. Period.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 1077337108789820661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 22:12:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!europa.netcrusader.net!
207.172.3.44!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Date: 26 Apr 2001 05:12:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9c8aoq$7qa$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <20010424160325.08623.00001328@ng-md1.aol.com>
<20010425181447.13561.00000919@ng-cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20206

W1RFI wrote:
>
 Back in the days of
> "closed" pools there were about 2X the number of questions in the FCC pool than
> would appear on the exam.

 No way. There were several tests for each class of license. Dick Bash
had to spend a lot of time gathering to get a substantial portion of the
questions.

  Half of the time, when one failed a test and went
> for a retest, he or she was given the exact same test. "Closed" pool? I think
> not.
>

 Of course FCC kept the tests secret. You must be getting desperate for
material to use here.

> Even so, it is easier to learn the fundamental material, at least to the extent
> that I did when I passed my General, than it is to learn over a thousand
> questions without understanding. If you disagree, prove me wrong by learning a
> thousand Russian definitions and I will cede the point.

 Watch him, Steve, he's got this new mind twist that for some obscure
reason involves Russian definitions.....I guess those English
definitions from Cecil's IEEE dictionary have caused him mental stress.

From -69300914207731785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 22:19:47 PST
Message-ID: <3AE7AFA6.4F4B6BF4@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 00:18:30 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)

References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
<9c60bs$53n$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE6D6B9.4E1D5C61@IEEE.org>
<9c6nem$h1d$0@208.207.71.157> <3AE6EA35.5F665BA9@IEEE.org>
<9c85vn$mh0$0@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988261938
64.240.47.60 (26 Apr 2001 00:12:18 -0500)
Lines: 34
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15293
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20207

Dick Carroll wrote:

> W6RCA wrote:
> > Is that no big deal to you?
>
> Did you draw that conclusion out of your a$$ like you ususlly do?

I'm just throwing your tactics back at you, Dick. Surely you recognize
them.

> > You, OTOH, seem to advocate suspicion only of
> > homosexuals. Why is that, Dick? Do you have some hidden agenda?
>
> Why are you so dense between the ears, Cecil???? At no time did I ever
> intimate any such thing in any way.

So exactly how does it feel to be subjected to false innuendo? I'm
simply using your own techniques against you, Dick. Why is it OK for
you to accuse me of something I didn't say but not OK for me to do
the same thing to you?

> No one ever suggested that hetero predator males should be given a
> pass, except you. Why is that?

There you go subjecting me to a false innuendo again, Dick. Please
quote my posting where I said predator males should be given a pass.
If you cannot, you will have proven that you are just a liar.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6696696856822142879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 22:23:14 PST
Message-ID: <3AE7B07B.6D0A6633@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 00:22:03 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
<9c6mog$dfi$3@208.207.71.157> <3AE6EAA2.DA7F59B8@IEEE.org>
<9c862f$mh0$1@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988262145
64.240.47.60 (26 Apr 2001 00:15:45 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
```

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15294
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20208

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > You got it backwards, Dick. It is not OK to send your kids out with
> > anyone without checking the person out. Your little girls are in
> > twice as much danger as your little boys, or don't you care?
>
> Then you should try saying what you mean, because so far you have
> not.

You should try reading what I said, Dick, instead of pulling meanings
out of your posterior. What I have said is to check out and judge people
as individuals whether they be homosexual, heterosexual, or whatever.
Your best heterosexual drinking buddy may have his sights set on your
young daughter.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4174213433024592325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 22:26:36 PST
Message-ID: <3AE7B141.7C00BB1C@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 00:25:21 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>

```
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<3AE6FD29.5322@bellsouth.net> <3AE7094A.21F931FC@IEEE.org>
<3AE75314.15CB@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988262343
64.240.47.60 (26 Apr 2001 00:19:03 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!
feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15295
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20209
```

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> Nice try, Cecil, but that was a mistake--I meant to say HOMO-sexuals--
> which I have already corrected in this thread> I made a boo boo, OK?

Well, now you have me confused. If homosexuals should be barred because
it might save a little boy from being molested, shouldn't heterosexuals
be barred because it might save a little girl from being molested? Don't
little girls matter as much as little boys?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5302028434971367679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 22:35:22 PST
```

Message-ID: <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 00:34:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988262873
64.240.47.60 (26 Apr 2001 00:27:53 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15296
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20210

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
> Libertarians have rights, real and imagined. They want government to pass
> no laws that would violate their right to do what they feel is right, which
> is up to their own individual interpretation. Responsibilities? They take
> responsibility for only those things that would not hinder the exercising of
> their rights.

Incorrect, Kevin. Libertarians believe that the use of force and the threat of force in human interactions should be illegal, i.e. when there is a real victim of a crime, e.g. an armed robbery. We believe that victimless crimes should be repealed. Victimless crimes are the path toward fascism.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2758324878007871447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 22:41:36 PST
Message-ID: <3AE7B4C8.C8FB1A51@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 00:40:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE75234.52B8@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988263246
64.240.47.60 (26 Apr 2001 00:34:06 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15297
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20211
```

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> That is what the "gays" should
> do in this case--realize why such restrictions are in place, realize
> that the risks are too high not to do our best to keep even one abuser

> away from the kids, and find other adults of *like* (shudder) propensi-
> ties instead of insisting on access to Scouts.

Your seemingly don't-care attitude toward the possible molestation of
little girls is very troubling. How about keeping "even one abuser"
away from little girls?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2433734890589783788
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 22:43:13 PST
Message-ID: <3AE7B52A.57998F06@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 00:42:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<9bmv6a$k22$2@208.206.142.105> <3ADF0862.678EAEBE@IEEE.org>
<R%HF6.881$A4.95323@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.60
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988263344
64.240.47.60 (26 Apr 2001 00:35:44 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20212

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
> Your DNA is also about 50% identical to a green pea. Which half of you is
> the green pea? You share about 95% of your DNA with a toad, ...

Does that tell you anything about God's sense of humor?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2940310205335755355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 22:51:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 26 Apr 2001 05:51:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9c8d19$eqo$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <9c2ucu$lfk$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424071501.13569.00000730@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20213
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> It long past time to delete ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47CFR 97.503(a).
> >> However, we have no objection to the continued use of CW emissions.
>
> > It's long past time for you to shut the hell up about it.
>
> Another example of how gentleness has become lost within Amateur Radio, Dick?

Gee, Ed. You STILL think this is Amateur Radio?

From 1131803111026445287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 22:52:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 26 Apr 2001 05:52:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9c8d24$eqo$1@208.207.71.157>
References: <9c2fbc$97l$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424071719.13569.00000731@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20214

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >These clowns prove it more and more with every post.
>
> More examples from Dick on how to be a gentleman?

We don't have any of those on rrap.

From -7058677073862420561
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 22:53:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 26 Apr 2001 05:53:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 72
Message-ID: <9c8d4i$eqo$2@208.207.71.157>
References: <9c2j7l$kjv$0@208.206.142.95> <20010424070033.13569.00000725@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9c42ap$sj$0@208.207.71.171> <WKhF6.306$R5.1261643@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20215

Nice sermon, Ed. But I'd just suggest - physician, heal thy self.

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > > Perhaps more hams would be gentle if certain old timers would start
> setting a
> > > good example and acting gentle instead of spouting insults and innuendo.
>
> >   Maybe you'd better dig back into the archives and refresh your fading
> > memory on the subject, OM and find out just who did what to whom. I
> > don't take that sort of treaemant lightly.
>
> I remember it well, Dick, and I spoke out against what I felt was
> mistreatment back then, too. (Ask Carl.) And they, like you, presumed that
> I was speaking out because I disagreed with their views on code testing; I
> can't count the number of times that Carl Stevenson "knew" that I and ARRL
> had a "secret agenda" to keep code testing forever. It is unfortunate that
> things seem to have come full circle. I don't know what it is about being
> on the "losing" side that seems to bring out the worst in people.
>
> > In case you don't know, one cannot deliberately trash someone else then
> expect to
> > receive the best sort of treatment in return.
>
> Do you mean like one person telling another to go soak his head? ...
>
> > Some people don't take well to that sort of abuse-that which was handed
> out by so
> > many of the codehaters posting here.
>
> And who is handing out the abuse now?
>
> > I'll never again have positive feelings about some of them and I
> > don't see that changing.
>
> My point exactly, Dick; you are perpetuating a cycle that will leave many
> newcomers not having positive feelings about you, old timers in general and

```
> the message that you think you are offering about the value of Morse code.
> In case you don't know, one cannot deliberately trash someone else then
> expect to receive the best sort of treatment in return.  What really gets to
> me is that the conduct you are choosing is really undermining the only way
> we are going to have left to promote the use of Morse code.
>
> > For sure you ignoring all they did and criticising me for responding won't
> do it.
>
> What is with you and this obsession with the word "ignoring," Dick?  The
> reason I am not discussing the reprehensible conduct by some of the NCTA
> folks several years back is that, well, that was several years back and this
> is now.  If  you feel I am "ignoring" things that happened years ago because
> I don't discuss them now, I will agree with you, as long as we have made it
> clear what you mean by "ignoring."
>
> >Yeah, it sure  would have been nice if all this crap had never started. But
> I didn't
> > start it. I can't end it. But I won't capitulate to it.
>
> Yes, you can end it, Dick; we are all responsible for our conduct and, if
> you meant the first half of your post, you know the net effect of the
> choices you are making right now in "not ending" it.  Unfortunately, some of
> the rest of us have to watch you tear down Morse code and watch you
> discourage new hams from ever wanting to learn it.
>
> Continue if you must, but don't try to say that someone "made" you do this.
> You are choosing to do and say everything you do and say and you are
> completely aware of the consequences and that you are perpetuating the crap.
>
> 73, Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -7597396885470785450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 23:20:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 23:13:05 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9c8e4t$dp8$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
```

<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<9c2fbc$97l$0@208.206.142.95> <3ae5acd0.1575844@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-68.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20216

Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ae5acd0.1575844@news.mindspring.com...
> Give us one real reason to build their own radio?
> It sure can't be the economics, it sure can't be
> to get a better rig, ...

Geez Bill, I sure hope I never get that cynical.

ac6xg

From 4175870629964874085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-25 23:30:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2001 23:28:45 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9c8f2a$e56$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c3nm1$gi5$0@216.155.33.106> <9c41ro$rpg$3@208.207.71.171>
<3ae60078.445344@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-68.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20217

Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3ae60078.445344@news.mindspring.com...
> Just who has demanded their "right" to the airwaves
> where they have NOT conformed to the requirements?
> Not one person on the NCI board has ever made such

> a demand. Have we (NCI) petitioned for, commented
> on and endorsed changing requirements? Yes we have,
> but that is certainly not "demanded their "right" to the airwaves
> where they have NOT conformed to the requirements?"

Some of your colleagues have claimed to have all sorts of "rights" with respect to transmitting on the airwaves. Among them are people who had not "conformed to the requirements" they sought to change. That was the truth I saw in the comment.

73, ac6xg

From -2128302717974641063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 02:48:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 09:47:51 GMT
References: <9c818t$roo$0@216.155.32.123>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010426054751.07856.00000760@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20218

>From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com

>It would be interesting to learn how many prospective Technicians actually
>read (note I didn't say "studied" or "learned") the 100-plus pages of
>explanatory
>material, as opposed to those who merely learned the right answers to the
>questions.

If it wasn't at least many of them, there would be no market for the book. The question pools are downloadable for free.

>However, I am willing to bet that the average
>New Ham of today knows a lot less about the technical aspects of the hobby
>than you and your contemporaries did.

I gave this some thought a year or so ago when I was standing in front of a Tech class, teaching them about the E and H fields in the near-field of antennas. I don't think that my Novice test asked any questions about antennas at all.

> Today, "Elmers" are called on to read instruction manuals for brand new
> HT's so they can help their "students" figure out how to set the repeater
> offset and PL tones!

I have fiddled with a few of these new radios, Larry; I am not sure I could easily set a non-standard offset on all of them, even with the manuals. The menus on these things can be several layers deep, with undocumented features.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6020031920077605932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 02:51:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 09:50:52 GMT
References: <9c8aoq$7qa$0@208.207.71.157>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Message-ID: <20010426055052.07856.00000761@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20219

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Watch him, Steve, he's got this new mind twist that for some obscure
>reason involves Russian definitions.

It makes as much sense to claim that one can easily memorize 1000 Russian defininitions without understanding as it does to claim that one can easily memorize 1000 questions about Amateur Radio without understanding.

I can understand why you choose to call it a "mind twist" instead of doing to demonstrate that your claims are right, though. I would say "good try," but it really was pretty weak.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8673227068568696934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 02:53:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 09:53:32 GMT
References: <3AE7577B.90D633F8@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010426055332.07856.00000762@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20220
```

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>W1RFI wrote:

>> I will offer that even those who stand to
>> gain may not have that gain be their primary reason for seeking change.

>We are certainly both free to speculate based upon appearances.

Yes, we are. Now, as to appearances, would you say that those on the NCI Board that you speculate are trying to reduce the requirements so they don't have to work to gain Amateur Priveleges have put more, or less, work into their work on the NCI Board than they would have put into learning the material to pass the current exams?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>73, ac6xg
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -1425058276217884123
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 02:56:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 09:56:08 GMT
References: <3AE74F0A.29C62349@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010426055608.07856.00000763@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20221

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>I think it might be more the appearance of not being able to meet
>requirements, and deciding that the easiest thing to do would be to
>change the requirements.

Do you think the work they have put in to trying to change the requirements has been less work than it would have been to meet the requirements?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5532754058470556204
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 02:59:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 09:59:33 GMT
References: <teeg8tkq1v524b@news.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you! ,The BOD wouldn't listen anyway.
Message-ID: <20010426055933.07856.00000764@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20222

>From: vlfiscus@mcn.net (Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL)

> If he were around, the present ARRL board of directors and League
> employees would seek him out and attempt to destroy him.

Can you provide any examples of people the present ARRL Board has sought out and attempted to destroy?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3010216474029762364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 03:05:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 10:04:46 GMT
References: <9c851k$idr$0@208.207.71.157>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010426060446.07856.00000765@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20223

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>So you made no effort to protect your children from accidental
>electrocution from your exposed ham gear, which you knew so little about
>that you too were exposed, even though you had passed a ham license test
>that authorized you to run high power?

I think we can settle this one from the historical record. Dick, you have been a ham longer than I have; can you recall any instance where the child of a ham was electrocuted by touching the uncovered high voltage of a ham radio apparatus? This certainly would have been reported in one or more of the ham rags.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2925634968729694826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 03:09:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 10:08:57 GMT
References: <9c8d24$eqo$1@208.207.71.157>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010426060857.07856.00000766@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20224

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> We don't have any of those on rrap.

And you seem proud of it...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8812279227352782913
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 03:11:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 10:10:45 GMT
References: <9c8d19$eqo$0@208.207.71.157>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010426061045.07856.00000767@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20225

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Another example of how gentleness has become lost within Amateur Radio,
>Dick?

> Gee, Ed. You STILL think this is Amateur Radio?

Let me check the newsgroup name and get back to you...

Do you think this is real life, Dick, or some cyber existance in which all rules of gentle social conduct can be suspended?

Do you use the term "go soak your head" in the rest of your life with people who disagree with you, or just here?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2590464210327211977
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 03:15:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 10:14:39 GMT
References: <3ae62359.6076472@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010426061439.07856.00000768@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20226

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>> You'd do well to be disappointed with yourself. Your own conduct is not
>>exactly exemplary here if I may say.

>If you can show us any post from Ed where he was anything
>but civil and proper I'd truly be amazed.

I can recall a post or two that I regret having made. I recall that I apologized for them.

I do recall that I have never resorted to the grammar-school phrase "go soak your head." In grammar school, that sort of conduct would generally get one standing in the corner for a while. I certainly would have disciplined my child if she had acted that way in a public situation.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6020416695442142298
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 03:18:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 10:17:55 GMT
References: <9c806u$nhp$0@216.155.32.123>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010426061755.07856.00000769@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20227

>From: Larry Roll k3lt@magpage.com

>> 10-meter intrusion by people who are operating outside the scope
>> of Part 97 is a bad thing.

>That's pretty obvious, Ed -- no need to state it here!

Well, as I have learned, if the obvious isn't stated in as simple terms as I
can muster, I will be accused of "ignoring" all sorts of things.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 2986040500621712428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 03:20:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 10:19:42 GMT
References: <9c41ld$rpg$1@208.207.71.171>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010426061942.07856.00000770@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20228

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
```

>> Do you really believe the CW is such a great morality filter? If so, why
>> is it not administered to school teachers, judges, police officers and
>> politicians?

>For sure there are some of those who could benefit from it. Just like
>some ARRL staffers. <BSEG>

Okay, I'll bite. How many words per minute do you think I just demonstrate to
be worthy, Dick? Can you tell me what VE team is administering the exam so I
can go to a session? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8047277054774240305
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 03:21:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 10:21:22 GMT
References: <9c584b$kca$5@208.206.142.94>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010426062122.07856.00000771@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20229

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>And somebody said this hobby isn't dying???

So which is it, is rrap "ham radio" or is it not? You keep changing the
context to fit what you want to say.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1318200312199546140
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 04:00:38 PST

```
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 11:00:09 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3ae7ff4a.295969@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AE7577B.90D633F8@uci.edu> <20010426055332.07856.00000762@ng-
fm1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.49.b6
X-Server-Date: 26 Apr 2001 11:00:17 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20230

On 26 Apr 2001 09:53:32 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
>>W1RFI wrote:
>
>>> I will offer that even those who stand to
>>> gain may not have that gain be their primary reason for seeking change.
>
>>We are certainly both free to speculate based upon appearances.
>
>Yes, we are. Now, as to appearances, would you say that those on the NCI Board
>that you speculate are trying to reduce the requirements so they don't have to
>work to gain Amateur Priveleges have put more, or less, work into their work on
>the NCI Board than they would have put into learning the material to pass the
>current exams?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Additionally, most, if not all, NCI BOD members already
have either an Extra or they have already passed even
the minimum 5wpm now in place.  Ending ALL code
testing doesn't benefit any of the current NCI BOD
members.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From -2859157836820328151
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 04:02:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 11:02:00 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3ae7ffea.455936@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <9c3nm1$gi5$0@216.155.33.106> <9c41ro$rpg$3@208.207.71.171>
<3ae60078.445344@news.mindspring.com> <9c8f2a$e56$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.49.b6
X-Server-Date: 26 Apr 2001 11:02:09 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20231

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 23:28:45 -0700, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:3ae60078.445344@news.mindspring.com...
>> Just who has demanded their "right" to the airwaves
>> where they have NOT conformed to the requirements?
>> Not one person on the NCI board has ever made such
>> a demand.  Have we (NCI) petitioned for, commented
>> on and endorsed changing requirements?  Yes we have,
>> but that is certainly not "demanded their "right" to the airwaves
>> where they have NOT conformed to the requirements?"
>
>Some of your colleagues have claimed to have all sorts of "rights" with
>respect to transmitting on the airwaves.  Among them are people who had not
>"conformed to the requirements" they sought to change.  That was the truth I
>saw in the comment.

Such being the case, are you saying they do NOT have
the right to ask/petition for change?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -464076194580080496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 04:05:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
```

newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 11:04:32 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3ae8004e.555986@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<9c2fbc$97l$0@208.206.142.95> <3ae5acd0.1575844@news.mindspring.com>
<9c8e4t$dp8$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.49.b6
X-Server-Date: 26 Apr 2001 11:04:43 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20232

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 23:13:05 -0700, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:3ae5acd0.1575844@news.mindspring.com...
>> Give us one real reason to build their own radio?
>> It sure can't be the economics, it sure can't be
>> to get a better rig, ...
>
>Geez Bill, I sure hope I never get that cynical.
>ac6xg

Not cynical at all. Practical is the situation.
Tell me you do it for the pure enjoyment and that's
fine. What ever floats your boat, but if enjoyment
is the only reason it doesn't then become an
expectation in the minds of the regulators.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -7757610059458205530
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 04:54:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!

```
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 11:53:45 GMT
References: <20010425020832.00311.00000250@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010426075345.00621.00001434@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20233

>Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/25/01 2:08 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Nursie has not answered the question.  I will repeat it -

     Lennie has not answered a great MANY questions...Repeating THEM has done
no good.

>Who in here am I supposed to have met personally?

     Me, Lennie.  And two others who are in here on an infrequent basis but
also know you for the creep you are.

>Good luck on evading that one now...

     I am not evading ANYTHING, least of all you.



"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html


From 3279196232166233935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 05:33:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 126
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 12:32:29 GMT
References: <20010425020832.00311.00000249@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010426083229.00621.00001445@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20234

>Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/25/01 2:08 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Sorry, no sale. The NCTA have always used the need to "advance
>>the state of the art" -- presumably in digital communications technology,
>>as a primary reason to reduce or eliminate the Morse code testing
>>requirement…as if the two were, indeed, mutually exclusive.

>Incorrect.

Leonard H. Anderson is once again LYING. Lennie, YOU YOURSELF have argued that if the code test were completely dropped, all these scores of longing engineers would flood the Amateur Radio ranks and dramatically improve "the state of the art."

What I can't understand is why would these guys be waiting for the opportunity to do this for free, when they could make money at it in the course of thier employ?

>>The claim
>>had always been that the code test requirement was discouraging
>>brilliant, computer-literate young people who would magically transform
>>the landscape of amateur radio communications technology if only they
>>had the fetters of this time-honored mode removed from them.

>Incorrect and an attribution of the PCTAs, not the NCTAs. False.

Leonard H. Anderson is once again LYING. YOU YOURSELF have made these very assertions. These were some of the very first exchanges we had, Lennie.

You are such a lying idiot that you can't even keep your OWN lies straight.

>>They have claimed the Morse code, and it's testing requirement, to be
>>everything from "lame" to "ethnic cleansing" -- and insisted that those
>>who support continuance of the testing requirement were not in step
>>with the "inclusive" nature of this hobby, and, therefore, politically
>>incorrect.

>Factually and logically incorrect. NCI is advocating only the
>elimination of the morse code TEST.

Only correct as applied to NCI. The rest is completely and unalterably correct when applied to YOU, in as much as you are dead center in the "NCTA" camp and have USED such phraseology in many of the thouands of posts you ahve polluted this NG with. To assert otherwisewould only be ONE MORE nail in the "Lennie's Lies" coffin.

>>You would have to undo over a decade's worth of Fidonet/Usenet debate on this topic to >>convince me otherwise.

>This is not Fidonet. This is not a BBS net of any kind.

He did not mention ANY "BBS", and his comments vis-a-vis "Fidonet/Usenet" were correct. How would you claim otherwise?

>tRoll calls himself an "expert" without any fear. Not a problem.
>The problem is that no one else considers him an expert.

Pot/Kettle/Black.

>>The link between technical incompetence and Morse code skill is the
>>very foundation of every argument that has ever been made against the
>>pre-Restructuring status quo in code testing.

>Incorrect.

NOT "incorrect". YOU YOURSELF have claimed that a great number of persons, yourself included, persumably, have not become licensed because of thier intolerance to the concept of learning the Morse Code.

This is an archived fact.

>>This is the truth, the only truth, and the only reality.

>Delusion.

"Delussion"...?!?! Coming from YOU?

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Perhaps not a practical statement, but no more "delusion(al) than anything YOU have posted!

>Morse code in its present form of aperiodic on-off signals
>representing English language alphanumeric and punctuation
>characters is approximately 157 years old. Given the highly
>untechnical nature of that, it is unlikely to see any "growth"
>in technology as applied to radio.

And dropping a line with a hook and some form of bait into water in order to coax a fish onto that hook is centuries old, but it still works.

>tRoll is advised to follow his own words

Pot/kettle/black...AGAIN!

>There is no longer any valid reason to keep a code test for amateur radio
>licensing.

The law, Lennie. The ITU says it's the law. The Congress of the United States has ratified the treaty, thereby MAKING it the law of the United States. The FCC has said, officially, that it will "revisit" the code testing issue when they are no longer bound by the treaty. FCC officials, including Riley, have said in publically made comments that they have every expectation that Morse Code testing will be dropped shortly after the treaty is ammended and ratified.

That, however, has not prevented or detered YOU from continuing to charge full-bore at windmills, now has it?

>Deny that if you will, but it IS the truth.

Double on you.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From -8026354103234068352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 05:34:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 12:33:51 GMT
References: <20010425020829.00311.00000247@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010426083351.00621.00001446@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20235
```

>Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/25/01 2:08 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Go soak your head.

>Another "civility" lesson from the long-timer...

Lennie...?!?! Talking about CIVILITY...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -2451036530837110093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 06:08:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 71
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 13:07:25 GMT
References: <20010425020829.00311.00000246@nso-mo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010426090725.00621.00001451@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20236

>Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/25/01 2:08 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>>
>>The problem is not CW, or a lack of CW. CW is meaningless, except to
>>those who use it.

>If it is so "meaningless," why is it still required (internationally)
>as an HF-privilige license test?

Because the appropriate legal entity has not addressed it while conviened.
Most if not all of the member entities have expressed their determination to
drastically reduce if not completely eliminate Morse Code testing.

>Oh...I understand, it is for those
>who have been licensed as a long time and had to take the test

>in order to get that license.

Why don't you give that dead horse one more whelp, Lennie? I am sure you'll teach it a lesson it will never forget.

>>The world changes, and the current trend is away from working for
>>anything..or learning how stuff actually works.

>Strange...I've not seen that in the professional radio-electronics
>world. Must be an amateur thing.

And this from the "idiot savant" who professes such respect for Amatuer Radio and Amateur Radio operators.

>>It is people who grew up loving radio and who were thrilled by the concept of
>>talking to people all over the world trying to understand how people
>>view it as something no more magic than a telephone.

>NCTAs are a spoiled bunch, no doubt. I'm an NCTA. I was just
>hitting legal age when I QSYed a 1 KW transmitter for the first
>time. In 1953.

Geez-O-Mighty...Here we go with the "back when I was a whipper-snapper..." rant again.

>"Discomfort" is caused by trying to keep the past the same even
>though the technology and everything else has passed them by.

Only YOU are trying to keep anything "the same", Lennie. You continue to try (unsucessfully) to make people believe that anyone/everyone who disagrees with you that "they" are trying to maintain some archaic standards.

You are, of course, wrong, but you keep repeating it. And in order to offset the "Lie Oft Repeated" syndrome, it must be continually rebuked.

>Learn, grow, keep on advancing.
>Or complain about discomfort when trying to hold onto the past.

It must be VERY uncomfortable for YOU, Lennie, trying to perpetuate your jihad against "old tymee" Amateur Radio afficiondos as "trying to hold onto the past" when every indication in every forum is that you are wrong.

VERY uncomfortable.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -3299789986393952248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 06:39:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<9c2fbc$97l$0@208.206.142.95> <3ae5acd0.1575844@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <HxVF6.6313$QV4.528746@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 09:38:47 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 13:38:47 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20237

NCI Director Bill Sohl says:

>Give us one real reason to build their own radio?
>It sure can't be the economics, it sure can't be
>to get a better rig, ...

This is from the same fellow who thinks "8 feet above the roof" is an acceptable height limit for amateur antennas.

There are any number of "real reasons" (what is an un-real reason, BTW?) an amateur might want to build their own radio.

..maybe they want to experiment with a new DSP filtering scheme.
..maybe they'd like to try class D amplification.
..maybe they have a new idea for a "mainframe radio" architecture.
..maybe they were just bored on a cold Saturday afternoon and wanted something to do to warm their hands.
..maybe they took 97.1(b) seriously.
..maybe they wanted to revoke their membership in QCAO.

Are you typical of other NCI Directors, or are there some who understand the difference between Part 95 and Part 97 radio services.

Hans, K0HB

From 4814848396299464546
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 06:51:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 09:50:43 -0400
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <cf9getk80gr4p8i6n9gu2mievt5jbfg2jc@4ax.com>
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104241930020.4436-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<qp7cet4om6867ruvslmf4l2mpdl599diu2@4ax.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104251920060.648-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AE72BB9.6D6D6AA3@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: frussle@erols.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVZ4drBO1tMA99Io9Ta+xmQ+NLiu0yfNQyDfBnAGE4XeJ0EdbbQTSH0v
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 26 Apr 2001 13:50:46 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.scanner:16720 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20238

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 14:55:37 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>"D. Stussy" wrote:
>> It originally addressed amateur transceivers which have out-of-band RX of
>> public safety frequencies. The FCC's RESPONSE does encompass scanners also in
>> that they found that RX reception of public safety frequencies (HOWEVER
>> RECEIVED) by licensed amateur radio operators WAS for the "public good."

>
>So as long as it's not our ox being gored, everything is just fine?
>That is the way fascists operate. Divide and conquer. Does any thinking
>person realize that if hams are the only group left with scanner
>privileges, those privileges can disappear without much of a whimper.
>The ploy is to take away scanner privileges one group at a time.
>In the meantime, hams can feel really special since they will be the
>last group to lose scanner privileges.

So, did you write to them and explain what's wrong with this picture? Or are you bitching here because it's easy?

In any case, the FCC doesn't have any reason to tell the states what to do unless the person is already licensed at the federal level.

The states are free to make laws governing roads and road vehicles all they want. How else can you explain California's separate environmental regulation for cars? And likewise, states can tell you what you may and may not transport in that car.

So this battle has already been divided up at the state level. The only place to win it is at the state level. Unfortunately, I don't live in that state. The day Maryland decides to do anything this stupid, they'll hear from me. Unfortunately, a resident of the State of Maryland has only so much pull in other state houses...

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

From 2323760009758794361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 07:19:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 26 Apr 2001 14:19:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9c9aot$6j5$0@208.207.71.163>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>

<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mlp$dfi$2@208.207.71.157>
<3AE6DF77.A8414BFC@IEEE.org> <9c851k$idr$0@208.207.71.157>
<3AE7AD11.E86466FE@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20239

W6RCecilA wrote:
>

It is the responsibility of anyone who runs high
> power to deal with the associated problems.

Sure is.

It is not the responsibility
> of the feds.

Right, their responsibility is to see that people the ylicense are basically qualified to fulfill the responsibilitied for which they are being licensed. Including the safety responsibilities. What is it about this that you can't understand?

You do-gooder liberals are always poking your noses into
> other people's business for the purpose of satisfying your personal
> power trips.
>

Ah, reverting again to your favorite theme, the whatchacallits- ad hominies.

> Please post some details about kids who have gotten hurt while messing
> with their Dad's ham gear.

Who said it has to be the kids? They're just one of the many REAL possibilities involved, the scope of which cannot be predicted. Hence the safety factor involved necesary in licensing. Understanding is necessary. Word associations made to match a group of words which the testee has not learned the meanings with questioins which he similarly has not learned the background material for do not teach concepts.

From -1648454104262596797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fa029,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 07:20:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AE82E75.78E2A35F@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 09:19:33 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104241930020.4436-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<qp7cet4om6867ruvslmf4l2mpdl599diu2@4ax.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104251920060.648-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AE72BB9.6D6D6AA3@IEEE.org> <cf9getk80gr4p8i6n9gu2mievt5jbfg2jc@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.46
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988294394
64.240.47.46 (26 Apr 2001 09:13:14 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.scanner:16721 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20240

Jake Brodsky wrote:
> So, did you write to them and explain what's wrong with this picture?
> Or are you bitching here because it's easy?

Texas doesn't (yet) have a scanner law. When and if we do,
I will certainly contact my representatives. Time was when
it was legal to collect and listen to any RF. IMO, that's
the way things should be. If someone doesn't want their
RF transmissions to be monitored, they should encrypt them.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2929808770199260315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 07:33:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: 26 Apr 2001 14:33:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9c9bjp$9m4$0@208.207.71.163>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<9bmv6a$k22$2@208.206.142.105> <3ADF0862.678EAEBE@IEEE.org>
<R%HF6.881$A4.95323@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B52A.57998F06@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20241
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
> > Your DNA is also about 50% identical to a green pea. Which half of you is
> > the green pea? You share about 95% of your DNA with a toad, ...
>
> Does that tell you anything about God's sense of humor?

No, but it does reveal some basic clues to life as it is known on this planet.

```
From -3393087861138073210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 07:38:02 PST
```

Message-ID: <3AE83284.61096B51@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 09:36:53 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mlp$dfi$2@208.207.71.157>
<3AE6DF77.A8414BFC@IEEE.org> <9c851k$idr$0@208.207.71.157>
<3AE7AD11.E86466FE@IEEE.org> <9c9aot$6j5$0@208.207.71.163>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.46
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988295434
64.240.47.46 (26 Apr 2001 09:30:34 -0500)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!
feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20242

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > It is not the responsibility of the feds.
>
> Right, their responsibility is to see that people the license are
> basically   qualified to fulfill the responsibilitied for which they are
> being licensed. Including the safety responsibilities. What is it about
> this that you can't understand?

What is it about the legal concept of an ordinary prudent man that
you do not understand? On the driver's license exam, nobody is tested
on whether to grab a hot radiator or not.

> > Please post some details about kids who have gotten hurt while messing
> > with their Dad's ham gear.
>
> Who said it has to be the kids?

As usual, you present absolutely zero evidence to back up your claims.
Where did you get the idea that the world should revolve around your
personal whims?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7230672391510825991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 07:47:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <9c55qt$h6f$0@208.206.142.94> <teeg8tkq1v524b@news.supernews.com>
Subject: Where are the black helicopters? (Was: Where are you, Hiram?)
Lines: 72
Message-ID: <kxWF6.6412$QV4.536897@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 10:46:40 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 14:46:40 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20243

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL says:

>It's a good thing for Hiram that he isn't around. If he were around, the
>present ARRL board of directors and League employees would seek him out and
>attempt to destroy him. They won't accept anyone with an opinion on amateur
>radio that differs from their opinion. The present ARRL leadership would
>feel threatened by Hiram because he would not agree that their dumbing down
>amateur radio policies would be a bennifit to the service. So, they would
>need to destroy him in order to continue their subversive activities.

I enjoy a cordial relationship with many current and former members of the ARRL
Board, current and former ARRL officers, and various League employees and other

ARRL volunteer leadership types.

My opinions on amateur radio matters often differ markedly from theirs, but so far none of them have tried to destroy me, members of my family, my pets, or my property or reputation.

I have noticed they sometimes seek me out, but rather than attempt to destroy me they solicit my opinion. They have not seemed threatened if that opinion is not the same as theirs.

I'd be very interested in hearing specific details where ARRL has destroyed another ham, and the details of the subversion which followed.

73, Hans, K0HB

PS: Maybe they are using microwaves or infrared energy against me, like someone is using against KH6UH?

April 17, 2001

Mr. Jerry P. Wine
98 281 Ualo Street
Honolulu, HI 96701-4644

RE: Warning Notice--Amateur License KH6UH

Dear Mr. Wine:

On March 22, 2001, we notified you that information before the Commission indicated that on March 12, 2001, you used the frequency 146.52 MHz, and the WH6CZB frequency of 148.80 MHz, for lengthy broadcasting, effectively preventing the use of those frequencies by other Amateurs.

In our letter we warned that if such operation were repeated, we would initiate enforcement action against your license. Pursuant to Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 308(b), we required you to respond to our letter within 20 days. Finally, we notified you that you would be expected to comply with any requests by the licensee or control operator of the WH6CZB repeater not to utilize the repeater system.

As near as we are able to determine from your responses dated March 27 and April 11, 2001, you stated that you hold several patents, are an inventor and that several acquaintances who saw a patent application of yours passed away unexpectedly. You stated that you considered such situation to be an emergency because you were being targeted with microwaves, infrared energy, or poisoned, and therefore you utilized the WH6CZB repeater.

Please be advised that the information in your reply does not justify or excuse your actions on the repeater. Concerns such as those are more appropriately the jurisdiction of local authorities. Future incidents such as those described above will lead to enforcement action against your license.

From -1393346794069067487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 07:50:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Date: 26 Apr 2001 14:50:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9c9ck0$e08$0@208.207.71.163>
References: <9c8aoq$7qa$0@208.207.71.157> <20010426055052.07856.00000761@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20244

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Watch him, Steve, he's got this new mind twist that for some obscure
> >reason involves Russian definitions.
>
> It makes as much sense to claim that one can easily memorize 1000 Russian
> defininitions without understanding as it does to claim that one can easily
> memorize 1000 questions about Amateur Radio without understanding.
>
> I can understand why you choose to call it a "mind twist" instead of doing to
> demonstrate that your claims are right, though. I would say "good try," but it
> really was pretty weak.

And I can understand why you refuse to acknowledge that which I figured
out after some time as a VE. The people who study the question pools

with only the intent of getting the license - and there are numerous of these people - don't memorize the questions and answers since that would be a very difficult and uninformed, and unnecessary, way to do it. They read the questions and the single correct answer until they can pick out the two as pairs on the test. There is absolutely no need to know what the content means, nor any need to memorize. It's called association. It works and it is used. Ignore and refuse to believe it as you prefer.

I do know that the setting in which one gets started means everything to the amount of learning the newcomer gets. If he attends a class where the intent is to teach, as opposed to a cram course where the tricks and memory associations are taught "the test is taught", then he comes away with a wholly different base of knowledge, which is to say he has one. Those who study the pools with the sole intent of getting the license wind up with little learned. So Elmering is crucial, and unfortunatly much more rare than once was the case. And one intent only on getting the license has little use for an Elmer anyway.

From 5696628088059202022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 07:56:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 26 Apr 2001 14:56:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9c9cvp$ff9$0@208.207.71.163>
References: <9c851k$idr$0@208.207.71.157> <20010426060446.07856.00000765@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20245

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >So you made no effort to protect your children from accidental
> >electrocution from your exposed ham gear, which you knew so little about
> >that you too were exposed, even though you had passed a ham license test
> >that authorized you to run high power?

>
> I think we can settle this one from the historical record. Dick, you have been
> a ham longer than I have; can you recall any instance where the child of a ham
> was electrocuted by touching the uncovered high voltage of a ham radio
> apparatus? This certainly would have been reported in one or more of the ham
> rags.

The point is, we hav not had easy licensing for all that long a time, of course, basically only for a year now. And that single example is only one of the many possibilities .

Yeah, I know- look at the record and you don't see all that much negative result of ham radio licensing haveing been easy at the introductory level. There was a good reason for the Novice tohave been limited to 75 then later 200 watts however. I can't imagine how it can be justified to turn unknowledgable people loose with highpowered radio gear when you can't legally drive around the block without your seatbelt on.

```
From -8569122853601985286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 07:57:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AE836FE.2B62F2B5@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 09:55:58 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
References: <9c8aoq$7qa$0@208.207.71.157> <20010426055052.07856.00000761@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9c9ck0$e08$0@208.207.71.163>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.46
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988296579
64.240.47.46 (26 Apr 2001 09:49:39 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!
```

feed.cgocable.net!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20246

Dick Carroll wrote:
> There is absolutely no need to know what
> the content means, nor any need to memorize. It's called association. It
> works and it is used. Ignore and refuse to believe it as you prefer.

That's exactly how I passed my Novice and Conditional exams back before
you ever thought about getting a ham license. So what's your point?
It has always been possible to memorize the word associations. You act
as if you have discovered something new when the only problem is that
you wake up in a new world every morning.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6143879452153902851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 07:58:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: 26 Apr 2001 14:58:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9c9d26$ff9$1@208.207.71.163>
References: <9c8d24$eqo$1@208.207.71.157> <20010426060857.07856.00000766@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20247

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>
> > We don't have any of those on rrap.
>
> And you seem proud of it...
>

Not at all, Ed, in fact learning that early on was quite a
disappointment, and continues to be.

From 1353774130184531584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 08:12:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!sjc-feed.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 26 Apr 2001 15:12:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9c9dsn$j7b$0@208.207.71.163>
References: <9c8d19$eqo$0@208.207.71.157> <20010426061045.07856.00000767@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20248

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Another example of how gentleness has become lost within Amateur Radio,
> >Dick?
>
> > Gee, Ed. You STILL think this is Amateur Radio?
>
> Let me check the newsgroup name and get back to you...
>
> Do you think this is real life, Dick, or some cyber existance in which all
> rules of gentle social conduct can be suspended?
>
> Do you use the term "go soak your head" in the rest of your life with people

> who disagree with you, or just here?

This newsgroup bears very little resemblence to real live amateur radio
as practiced on the air, as we have all learned long ago. Or so I
thought.
If I ever encountered the sort of treatment on the air that I have here
there would be little attraction to ham radio. Here there is a wide
variety of
attitudes, many of which are flagrantly hateful toward the hobby as it
has long existed, and who clearly wish it no good, even lots of evil.
You seem insistant on holding me and other code supporters to one
standard while consistantly ignoring all the carap from the other side.
Why is that? Just to maintain some sort of "come on in folks, the hobby
is fine" regardless of their intent or what they bring?
I know from long experience that ham radio is not for everyone who is
mildly interested and there are many of them that ham radio is better
off without. We already have too many we'd be better off without. It
cannot be a pure numbers game without destroying it at it's source. That
is fact as I see it from a perspective of 45 yearsparticipation.

Hey, I was trying to get you to wake up! Headsoaking will do it every
time.

From 4992885775627351361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 08:15:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 26 Apr 2001 15:15:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9c9e2u$j7b$1@208.207.71.163>
References: <3AE7577B.90D633F8@uci.edu> <20010426055332.07856.00000762@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3ae7ff4a.295969@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20249

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
if not all, NCI BOD members already

> have either an Extra or they have already passed even
> the minimum 5wpm now in place. Ending ALL code
> testing doesn't benefit any of the current NCI BOD
> members.

Just provides for them another ego boost. They helped destroy that old code boogeyman.

From -7686450959269639715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 08:19:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: 26 Apr 2001 15:19:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9c9e9t$j7b$2@208.207.71.163>
References: <9c584b$kca$5@208.206.142.94> <20010426062122.07856.00000771@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20250

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >And somebody said this hobby isn't dying???
>
> So which is it, is rrap "ham radio" or is it not? You keep changing the
> context to fit what you want to say.

Uh, Ed I thought by now you'd know. This NG is *about* ham radio. It is NOT ham radio.

From 1850566979874875280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 08:21:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 26 Apr 2001 15:21:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9c9ed4$j7b$3@208.207.71.163>
References: <9c41ld$rpg$1@208.207.71.171> <20010426061942.07856.00000770@ng-
fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.163
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20251



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Do you really believe the CW is such a great morality filter?  If so, why
> >> is it not administered to school teachers, judges, police officers and
> >> politicians?
>
> >For sure there are some of those who could benefit from it. Just like
> >some ARRL staffers. <BSEG>
>
> Okay, I'll bite.  How many words per minute do you think I just demonstrate to
> be worthy, Dick?  Can you tell me what VE team is administering the exam so I
> can go to a session?  :-)


 If Bill Clinton had learned and practiced CW do you think he might have
been a more moral person?


From -3983045765519276030
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 08:37:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

Message-ID: <3AE840F4.F7D@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com> <3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com> <3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org> <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com> <3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org> <3AE6FD29.5322@bellsouth.net> <3AE7094A.21F931FC@IEEE.org> <3AE75314.15CB@bellsouth.net> <3AE7B141.7C00BB1C@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 11:38:28 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.46
X-Trace: news1.atl 988299435 209.214.185.46 (Thu, 26 Apr 2001 11:37:15 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 11:37:15 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15305 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20252

Again, Cecil, the original subject weas BOY Scouts and, while I DID mention girls and "kids", I was trying to stick with the subject of the thread. So far, we haven't heard too much about Lesbians and Girl Scouts. BUT we've heard lots about queers and Boy Scouts. I fully admit that I am not the best writer in the world. I said it wrong, OK? EX-CUUUUYUUSSE ME!

Jerry
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > Nice try, Cecil, but that was a mistake--I meant to say HOMO-sexuals--
> > which I have already corrected in this thread> I made a boo boo, OK?
>
> Well, now you have me confused. If homosexuals should be barred because
> it might save a little boy from being molested, shouldn't heterosexuals
> be barred because it might save a little girl from being molested? Don't
> little girls matter as much as little boys?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5719748955184955698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 08:46:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!colt.net!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!newsfeed.freenet.de!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 11:46:08 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 15:46:08 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20253

Dwight Stewart :

> I don't think the Captain of that submarine should have received any
> form of punishment at all.

I'm sure the opinion of a Division Communications Services Officer of the USCG Auxiliary in South Carolina is valuable in determining punishment for this collision and subsequent loss of a ship and 9 lives.

US Navy Admiral Tom Fargo, Commander in Chief of the Pacific Fleet also has some opinions on the matter, and the contrast from your expert opinion is striking.

�a serious departure from the high standards expected of officers in command.�

�This tragic accident could and should have been avoided by simply following Navy standards.�

"Waddle fostered an artificial sense of urgency in preparation for the drill, ensuring the failure of the ship�s watch team to work together and pass information about the surface contact.�

�Managing these contacts was well within the capability of any ship,�

73, Hans, K0HB

From 6082233776088427341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4bfc1f52789db745
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 08:53:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Need Windows 98 compatiable CW Practice.
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 15:53:24 -0000
Organization: Shecora
Message-ID: <908F7204DdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
References: <3ae4f7f7_1@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<9c44p0$l9i$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 31
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20254

kb9tmp@my-deja.com (WW - KB9TMP) wrote in <9c44p0$l9i$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>:

>Check out the MorseCat program at http://www.qsl.net/dk5ci/ I have it on my Win 98 computer and it works just fine. You can switch it to use the pc speaker or the sound card and speakers. Best part is it's FREE not one of those shareware programs.

Stay away from Morse cat release version. It will only do Fansworth at 13 WPM. The beta version is very good.

Check out http://www.teklasoft.com/java/applets/morse/morse.htm

It has a very good java program that can be configured for Koch method.

Good luck.

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com

http://www.trustedQSL.org

```
From -4685207336193683634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 08:54:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <9c41ld$rpg$1@208.207.71.171> <20010426061942.07856.00000770@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9c9ed4$j7b$3@208.207.71.163>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <MwXF6.6521$QV4.548565@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 11:54:20 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 15:54:20 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20255
```

Dick Carroll asked:

> If Bill Clinton had learned and practiced CW do you think he might have
> been a more moral person?

No.

Would you be a less moral person if you had not learned Morse code?

Did Pope Paul learn and practice CW? If not, how did he get to be so moral and upright?

Does George W. Bush know and practice CW? If not, will he ultimately also get a clandestine blow job in the oval office?

73, Hans, K0HB

From 839533915799219516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 08:58:38 PST
Message-ID: <3AE84560.BA64CA1F@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 10:57:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <9c41ld$rpg$1@208.207.71.171> <20010426061942.07856.00000770@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9c9ed4$j7b$3@208.207.71.163> <MwXF6.6521$QV4.548565@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.46
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988300260 64.240.47.46 (26 Apr 2001 10:51:00 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20257

K0HB wrote:
> Does George W. Bush know and practice CW? If not, will he ultimately also get a
> clandestine blow job in the oval office?

If I renounce my Morse code ability, will I get one? :-)
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5108571607932619241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 09:00:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE8466A.5BEB@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<F_1F6.25366$B22.6028388@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE53077.8214CEF9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdv4a.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbrwog.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3ae6bb50.1852435@news.mindspring.com> <3AE6F913.682F@bellsouth.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gccrkh6.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9c897p$3jt$0@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 92
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 12:01:46 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.46
X-Trace: news1.atl 988300835 209.214.185.46 (Thu, 26 Apr 2001 12:00:35 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 12:00:35 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20258

In that case, Dick, the driver was wrong to have shut the door on the officer's arm. He DID commit an assault, he DID resist arrest, etc. The SC trooper was, however, WAY out of line. I have forgotten the reason the lady was stopped, but I seem to remember the reason for his misplaced anger was that she didn't stop quickly enough to suit him. This does point out that officers *sometimes* get TOO wrapped up in their authority, and that was the point I was trying to make about officers' reactions to being told that you have a license and/or trying to explain the Federal law as it applies to you. It *could* happen that he might react by seizing your equipment, etc, and saying, "You can tell it to the judge".

I believe part of this authority thing comes from the way they are trained, i.e., like car salesmen, they are told to get control of the situation, do not allow the subject to undermine or question your authority, make certain subjects know *you* are in charge no matter what. Officers are under a great deal of stress, and constantly going into situations full of "unknowns", and it takes a toll. For example, I've seen films of cops who overly manhandled a subject right after a high speed chase because the adrenalin is pumping SO hard they just can't come down to *normal* that quickly, and because the subject ran, didn't stop for their lights, he defied their authority (how DARE him), and the adrenalin heightened their sense of anger. In a more calm situation, the same cop might not even raise his voice.

Then there are the RAMBO cops who strap on a gun and a badge, and the concept of public servant goes out the window. They feel like kings who rule over their subjects (Y'all gonna do whut AH say, boy), and they trip out on the idea that they are Gods to be obeyed regardless. Legends in their own minds, etc. That's the cop I don't want coming to my house and telling ME about radio laws.

Jerry
K4KWH

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 12:19:31 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > >Did you see the one that hit national TV where the South Carolina troop-
> > >er dragged a woman out of her car screaming a cursing at her over a
> > >mere traffic stop? It was caught by his OWN camera.
> >
> >   I was a passenger in an auto in NYC where one of New York's Finest
> >   (also known as the Finest Department Money Can Buy) let loose a
> >   string of "language that would make a sailor blush" when our driver
> >   made a turn that was more defensive than aggressive.
>
>   Must be their training.
>   'Bout 40 years ago I spent a couple Army years stationed in New Jersey
> within commuting distance of NYC. I was riding the local commuter bus
> into Port Authority Terminal going on leave one busy, congested Friday
> afternoon. The long line of busses exited the Holland Tunnel and
> continued to the first intersection where they turned right to go the
> last several blocks to the terminal. The traffic cop at the intersection
> was busily directing all the busses to make the right turn, but when my
> bus got there, he inexplicibly directed the driver to go straight ahead.
> Well, the driver didn't WANT to go straight ahead, he was on on final
> approach to the terminal, almost had it in sight, and he wasn't about to
> be shunted away, deep into the city, away from his destination, for no

```
> obvious reason. So he made the right turn same as all the other busses
> had, against the traffic cop's directions.
>   But Alas, we had to stop in a short distance due to traffic at a red
> light ahead. Of course the traffic cop was right there, rap! rap! rap!
> ing on the bus door. The driver opened it, and the stream of invective
> was immediate and at a high dB level. But - the light ahead turned
> green, the bus driver closed the door in the cop's face and away down
> the street we went. But - again, traffic stopped at a red light ahead
> halted us quickly. Again, Rap! Rap! Rap! on the door. Again, the driver
> opened it. This time instead of the jabbing finger on the hand
> accompanying the loud invective, the hand held a waving Service
> Revolver, the dB level was louder, if that was possible. And .....again,
> the traffic light ahead turned green, and damned if the driver didn't
> again close the door - but this time, he trapped the cop's hand and arm,
> gun and all, and literally dragged the cop down the street, with the
> arm, hand, waving revolver, all too visible to the stunned passengres -
> to yet another red light where we again stopped.
>
>  Where they came from I have no idea, but suddenly the bus was swarmed
> with blue uniforms. They pounced on the driver and dragged him away,
> leaving the bus with engine idling and loaded with passengers, sitting
> in the middle of the busy New York street.
>  By that time we were within a few blocks of Port Authority terminal,
> and I had another bus to catch, so I grabbed my bags and hiked the rest
> of the distance.
>  I later read in the paper that they charged the driver with a long list
> of offenses including assaulting an officer.
>  Whew.....


From 5301246986538316946
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 09:03:39 PST
Message-ID: <3AE84693.9F907A75@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 11:02:27 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
```

<3AE6FD29.5322@bellsouth.net> <3AE7094A.21F931FC@IEEE.org>
<3AE75314.15CB@bellsouth.net> <3AE7B141.7C00BB1C@IEEE.org>
<3AE840F4.F7D@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.46
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988300568
64.240.47.46 (26 Apr 2001 10:56:08 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15307
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20259

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> Again, Cecil, the original subject weas BOY Scouts and, while I DID
> mention girls and "kids", I was trying to stick with the subject of the
> thread. So far, we haven't heard too much about Lesbians and Girl
> Scouts.

But Jerry, you have missed the point entirely. Lesbians and the Girl
Scouts may not be the problem. The greatest threat to the Girl Scouts
is the perverted *HETEROSEXUAL* male. What do you propose to do about
them? Molestation of little girls by heterosexual males is twice as
big a problem as molestation of little boys by homosexual males.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2556670669416163368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 09:04:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE846CB.1AEF@bellsouth.net>

```
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<9bmv6a$k22$2@208.206.142.105> <3ADF0862.678EAEBE@IEEE.org>
<R%HF6.881$A4.95323@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B52A.57998F06@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 12:03:23 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.46
X-Trace: news1.atl 988301077 209.214.185.46 (Thu, 26 Apr 2001 12:04:37 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 12:04:37 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20260

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Does that tell you anything about God's sense of humor?


I thought you said there *was* no God?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 50170087311609202
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 09:10:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!msc1.onvoy!onvoy.com!tethys.csu.net!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 09:02:23 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3AE8468F.4A26223F@uci.edu>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
```

```
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<9c2fbc$97l$0@208.206.142.95> <3ae5acd0.1575844@news.mindspring.com>
<9c8e4t$dp8$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ae8004e.555986@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20261



Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 23:13:05 -0700, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> >news:3ae5acd0.1575844@news.mindspring.com...
> >> Give us one real reason to build their own radio?
> >> It sure can't be the economics, it sure can't be
> >> to get a better rig, ....
> >
> >Geez Bill, I sure hope I never get that cynical.
> >ac6xg

> Not cynical at all.  Practical is the situation.

It seems very cynical to me to be so imphatic on making a point of
debate that you would belittle one of the most fundamental fascinations
amateur radio holds for most of us.

Cheese, ac6xg


From 4358714339166107528
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 09:10:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 09:06:59 -0700
```

Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AE847A3.5DE67B24@uci.edu>
References: <3AE74F0A.29C62349@uci.edu> <20010426055608.07856.00000763@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20262

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

> >I think it might be more the appearance of not being able to meet
> >requirements, and deciding that the easiest thing to do would be to
> >change the requirements.

> Do you think the work they have put in to trying to change the requirements has
> been less work than it would have been to meet the requirements?

I know a lot of guys who would rather sit around and jawbone in meetings
than do actual work. Don't you?

73, ac6xg

From 4659094603138922508
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 09:10:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 09:09:52 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3AE84850.2E35E822@uci.edu>
References: <9buqn3$203$2@208.207.71.161> <20010422110312.16452.00000457@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9c3nm1$gi5$0@216.155.33.106> <9c41ro$rpg$3@208.207.71.171> <3ae60078.445344@news.mindspring.com> <9c8f2a$e56$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ae7ffea.455936@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20263



Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 23:28:45 -0700, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> >news:3ae60078.445344@news.mindspring.com...
> >> Just who has demanded their "right" to the airwaves
> >> where they have NOT conformed to the requirements?
> >> Not one person on the NCI board has ever made such
> >> a demand.  Have we (NCI) petitioned for, commented
> >> on and endorsed changing requirements?  Yes we have,
> >> but that is certainly not "demanded their "right" to the airwaves
> >> where they have NOT conformed to the requirements?"
> >
> >Some of your colleagues have claimed to have all sorts of "rights" with
> >respect to transmitting on the airwaves.  Among them are people who had not
> >"conformed to the requirements" they sought to change.  That was the truth I
> >saw in the comment.

> Such being the case, are you saying they do NOT have
> the right to ask/petition for change?

That's the same straw man that Ed just tried to build.  If I had said
then you wouldn't have to ask me if I said that.  Just so ya know.

ac6xg


From -5550154296774218638
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 09:15:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <9c41ld$rpg$1@208.207.71.171> <20010426061942.07856.00000770@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9c9ed4$j7b$3@208.207.71.163>
<MwXF6.6521$QV4.548565@www.newsranger.com> <3AE84560.BA64CA1F@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 15
```

Message-ID: <UPXF6.6548$QV4.551585@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 12:14:44 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 16:14:44 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20264

W6RCecilA wants to know:

>If I renounce my Morse code ability, will I get one? :-)

Check with an NCI Director.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 7256122710171721241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 09:28:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 16:28:04 GMT
References: <HxVF6.6313$QV4.528746@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010426122804.08623.00001826@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20265

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>
>Date: 04/26/01 9:38 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Are you typical of other NCI Directors, or are there some who understand the

>difference between Part 95 and Part 97 radio services.

When you are right, you are right.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 119801720520405072
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 09:30:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 09:29:16 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3AE84CDC.4588E03B@uci.edu>
References: <9c8d19$eqo$0@208.207.71.157> <20010426061045.07856.00000767@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9c9dsn$j7b$0@208.207.71.163>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20266

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >> Another example of how gentleness has become lost within Amateur Radio,
> > >Dick?
> >
> > > Gee, Ed. You STILL think this is Amateur Radio?
> >
> > Let me check the newsgroup name and get back to you...
> >

```
> > Do you think this is real life, Dick, or some cyber existance in which all
> > rules of gentle social conduct can be suspended?
> >
> > Do you use the term "go soak your head" in the rest of your life with people
> > who disagree with you, or just here?
>
>  This newsgroup bears very little resemblence to real live amateur radio
> as practiced on the air, as we have all learned long ago. Or so I
> thought.

Well, to tell you the truth, the level of decorum bears a tremendous
resemblence to the 435 repeater.  Only difference here is nobody gets
jammed.

73, ac6xg


From 3201363626388185052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 09:32:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 16:31:36 GMT
References: <kxWF6.6412$QV4.536897@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Where are the black helicopters? (Was:  Where are you, Hiram?)
Message-ID: <20010426123136.08623.00001827@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20267

>Subject: Where are the black helicopters? (Was:  Where are you, Hiram?)
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>
>Date: 04/26/01 10:46 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>My opinions on amateur radio matters often differ markedly from theirs, but
>so far none of them have tried to destroy ....my reputation.

     Why should they?  You are doing a pretty fair job all on your own.

>I have noticed they sometimes seek me out...(snip)

    Better to have that potential DUI ahead of you where you can keep your eyes
on them.

73
```

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -1595509022597963526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 10:00:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 09:56:27 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3AE8533B.38862831@uci.edu>
References: <3AE7577B.90D633F8@uci.edu> <20010426055332.07856.00000762@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20268

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
> >W1RFI wrote:

> >> I will offer that even those who stand to
> >> gain may not have that gain be their primary reason for seeking change.

> >We are certainly both free to speculate based upon appearances.

> Yes, we are. Now, as to appearances, would you say that those on the NCI Board
> that you speculate are trying to reduce the requirements so they don't have to
> work to gain Amateur Priveleges have put more, or less, work into their work on
> the NCI Board than they would have put into learning the material to pass the
> current exams?

Certain peoples deeply held beliefs and heartfelt humanitarian concerns for the betterment of all mankind tend to sound a little whiney and contrived after a while. Sorry. I just calls 'em as I sees 'em. But rest easy. I'm quite sure I must be profoundly wrong about all this.

ac6xg

```
From -7002037418976687290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 10:01:17 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <HxVF6.6313$QV4.528746@www.newsranger.com>
<20010426122804.08623.00001826@ng-md1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <avYF6.6590$QV4.557391@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 13:00:54 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 17:00:54 GMT
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!out.nntp.be!
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!news.bnb-lp.com!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20269
```

In a sudden fit truthfulness, Steve Robeson LPN blurted out:

> When you are right, you are right.

I've only been wrong one time in my life; that was the time I thought I was wrong but I wasn't.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 1085476207369188496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 10:55:55 PST
Message-ID: <3AE860E3.31EDEB70@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 12:54:43 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<9bmv6a$k22$2@208.206.142.105> <3ADF0862.678EAEBE@IEEE.org>
<R%HF6.881$A4.95323@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B52A.57998F06@IEEE.org>
<3AE846CB.1AEF@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.129
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988307304
64.240.47.129 (26 Apr 2001 12:48:24 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!
anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20270
```

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Does that tell you anything about God's sense of humor?
>

> I thought you said there *was* no God?

Please stop misquoting me, Jerry. I said that I, personally, have seen no evidence that made the existence of a God necessary. IMHO, the Elohim were not gods although they appeared god-like at the time they combined our genes with theirs about 250,000 years ago.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3260895805136351188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 11:27:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<9bmv6a$k22$2@208.206.142.105> <3ADF0862.678EAEBE@IEEE.org>
<R%HF6.881$A4.95323@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B52A.57998F06@IEEE.org>
<3AE846CB.1AEF@bellsouth.net> <3AE860E3.31EDEB70@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <QLZF6.6744$QV4.572727@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 14:26:56 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 18:26:56 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20271
```

W6RCecilA blurted says:

>IMHO, the Elohim were not gods although they appeared god-like
>at the time they combined our genes with theirs about 250,000
>years ago.

No, you've got it all wrong, Cecil. The mixed their genes with the genes of pond scum to form green peas. The green peas turned out fine, but we were an unintended and undesirable byproduct of the experiment.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -6540013256115375626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 11:40:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp3.onemain.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<9bmv6a$k22$2@208.206.142.105> <3ADF0862.678EAEBE@IEEE.org>
<R%HF6.881$A4.95323@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B52A.57998F06@IEEE.org>
<3AE846CB.1AEF@bellsouth.net> <3AE860E3.31EDEB70@IEEE.org>
<QLZF6.6744$QV4.572727@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ZUZF6.3766$W91.2112665@nntp3.onemain.com>
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 13:36:39 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.151
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp3.onemain.com 988310201 205.138.126.151 (Thu, 26 Apr 2001 14:36:41
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 14:36:41 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20272

"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:QLZF6.6744$QV4.572727@www.newsranger.com...

> W6RCecilA blurted says:
>
> >IMHO, the Elohim were not gods although they appeared god-like
> >at the time they combined our genes with theirs about 250,000
> >years ago.
>
> No, you've got it all wrong, Cecil. The mixed their genes with
> the genes of pond scum to form green peas. The green peas turned
> out fine, but we were an unintended and undesirable byproduct of
> the experiment.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

Nah, this planet is a galactic lunatic asylum. Pond scum and green peas
are the cover story.

Dick N�BK

From 604999290361714055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7a0e1e91fb21902f,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 11:55:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 128
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 18:55:21 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Are You A Licensed KKK Member?
Message-ID: <20010426145521.15622.00002306@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20273

Hello,

Many of you are tired of the Steve-vs-Lennie rounds, as well you should
probably be. But if you have wondered what drives me to keep on his poisoned
heel, the answer can be found in his own words:

From: Avery Fine (averyfine@aol.com)

>>Amateur radio is supposed to be FUN. A hobby. An activity not
>>for profit in itself, carried out for enjoyment and entertainment of
>>the participant. According to many in here it has evolved to
>>something rather different.

No, it hasn't (evolved to something different than what the FCC charters it to be), and no, no one has stated that it has...but let him continue....

>>Some seem to use amateur radio as a KKK surrogate, donning
>>virtual white sheets (license class, rigid rule and tradition system)
>>and attempting to lynch all critics (denunciation, denigration of
>>those not accepting their belief system).

This is Leonard H. Anderson's opinion of Amatuer Radio and Amateur Radio operators. WE (yes, a majority... from what I have seen here) do NOT agree with him, ergo we MUST be members of some terroristic organization.

I know most of you take a "just ignore him" attitude, the problem is he WON'T go away. If he can't hang on to the tail of one of "us", he'll wait for the next unsuspecting person to come along and utter the right keywords and he will be off and running again.

I have no doubt that was exactly what he did with me. For a couple of weeks he was almost pleasant to talk to, but after I made it clear that I value Morse Code as an integral part of Amatuer Radio, I was a "Nazi", "thug", and "brown shirt".

I am none of those. I do not mind saying that if I lived any closer to His Putziness when those insinuations were first voiced, accusations like that would have earned him a rather nasty shiner and probably a deviated septum. Those are cold, callous and evil things to analogize ANYONE with unless there is some pretty good evidence to prove otherwise.

Luckily, a cooler mind prevails. The opportunity WAS mine, but it was allowed to pass without manifestation. Lucky Lennie, and lucky me (for avoiding the probable fine and brig time)

Such vileness is spoken with the sole intent of generating hatred and argument, and that's exactly what he got. One AMP (Andersonian Message Point) for Lennie.

If anyone in a professional enviroment acted or espoused the degree of singular hatred and bigotry that he has demonstrated against US (yes, again a majority) he would be facing civil rights violations cases from now until his demise.

Lennie, take a hint. YOU NEED HELP. The sheer volume of hatred you pour into this forum is evidence of your sole preocupation WITH your hatred and single minded mission to defile Amateurs everywhere.

Your frequent and LIBERAL use of analogy to the KKK and Nazi's only underscores your problem. Do you not see this? Are you REALLY oblivious to the foolheartiness of this "quest" of yours? It has long since passed from being a "desire" to see some regulatory change to allow you to avoid taking a test YOU deem "humilating" to your superior intellect.

(1) No one here (except you) believes that ANYONE in Amateur Radio WANTS Amateur Radio to be as it was in the 30's, 40's, ad nauseum. Do many lament a change in the mindset and VALUES of many? Of course. It's a generational

phenomena that EVERY generation goes through. Does anyone want to go back to crystal control and regen receivers of that era, though? I thik not!

(2) No one here (that I am aware of) is affiliated with the American Nazi Party, any of it's spin-offs or other self-proclaimed leftist organizations of that ilk. Likewise no one here is affiliated with the KKK or any other organization dedicated to the repression of ANYONE'S rights.

To assert to the contrary would be to again lie in a public forum unless you have some "evidence" of that memebership.

(3) The Amateur Radio SERVICE has gone through CONSTANT metamorphosis since it's inception, and there is NO hint of stopping now. Any suggestion of "stagnation" or failure to advance is foolish and NOT true.

(4) The Amateur Radio service DOES provide NECESSARY and VALUED >EMERGENCY< and OTHER important public service related communications, your attempts to assert that Amateur Radio is not a "public safety" service notwithstanding. It happens on a nearly daily basis. It's a FACT. Deal with it.

(5) Amateur Radio IS a radio SERVICE, and the people of the United States, as expressed via representation in the Congress of the United States, expect that if WE are going to occupy valuable electromagnetic spectrum, we WILL "pay" our way. As the recent assaults by Little LEO and other spectrum users have proven, "our" allocations are NOT above reconsideration for commercial applications.

By the way, at my current position I am aware of at least TWO projects being considered that will require the client to go to the FCC and seek permission to implement the project as it will DIRECTLY impact both the Amateur and broadcasting services. And we are only one small company. I do not believe that this is the only type project being considered that will impact us. Occupancy and USE of these bands is of vital importance. "Service" helps to meet that need.

Facts dispell almost all of Lennie's rants, yet he perseveres in his attempt to denigrate and defile Amatuer Radio, and he continues to feel that he and ONLY he is in any position to reasonably determine what it is we need "for (our) own good".

He knows nothing of the kind. He has NO insight as to the needs or future of Amateur Radio and as has laready been proven in just the last two years, there ahs been almost NO significant impact on Amateur Radio census due to Morse Code issues.

People who want to get an Amatuer license will do so, code or no code. And they DON'T need your insults, hatred or lying to make them do something YOU won't do yourself.

Join the Klan or Nazi party if YOU want to, Lennie, but STOP these assinine assertions of similarity between them and anyone who doesn't have the "clarity" to see your opinion as the guiding light, Lennie. You are neither enlightened, nor can you see the light of day, and YOUR day has passed. Back in 1953, I believe.

Steven J Robeson

From 4275162823672255294
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 11:57:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 18:57:31 GMT
References: <avYF6.6590$QV4.557391@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010426145731.15622.00002307@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20274

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>
>Date: 04/26/01 1:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>In a sudden fit truthfulness, Steve Robeson LPN blurted out:

Very magnanomous of you, Hansel.

(Why am I not surprised?)

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 6338885877103061228
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,793963eecd68b70e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108778,793963eecd68b70e,start

X-Google-Attributes: gid108778,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,793963eecd68b70e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 12:07:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.radio.amateur
Subject: Great prep program for the FCC amateur test.
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 19:07:33 -0000
Organization: Shecora
Message-ID: <908F9DD9FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 35
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20275
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15309

This is the first public release of the Ham quiz software. I think this is the best amateur radio testing program going because it doesn't randomly give you questions. It remembers which questions you have problems with and those are the ones that are given most often. The end result is if you can pass this test after taken a dozen times or more, then you won't have any problem passing the real test.

License info:

This source is licensed under GNU Public Licenses and does come with source.

Let me know if have any problems.

I would also like to know what your test score was on both the program and on the real test.

thanks & 73

http://sourceforge.net/project/showfiles.php?group_id=4524&release_id=32802
--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

From 2825240749120311331
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2c136a5b2e6d1341,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 12:32:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

Subject: Let's take a vote
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3J_F6.6820$QV4.581635@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 15:32:15 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 19:32:15 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20276

How many here would like to see the "Lennie and Steve" show:

(a) continue.
(b) cease.
(c) doesn't matter to me -- both dump hucks are in my kill file.

From -6488829549126684134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2c136a5b2e6d1341
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 12:52:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

References: <3J_F6.6820$QV4.581635@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Let's take a vote
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <i%_F6.6842$QV4.584068@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please

X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 15:51:42 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 19:51:42 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20277

In article <3J_F6.6820$QV4.581635@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB says...
>
>How many here would like to see the "Lennie and Steve" show:
>
> (a) continue.
> (b) cease.
> (c) doesn't matter to me -- both dump hucks are in my kill file.

Kill file? Great idea! Hey, call down to the machine room and tell
them to empty the bit bucket, and FAST, before that baby overflows.

dit dit

Hans, K0HB

From -7951967841669534735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 13:37:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 20:36:27 GMT
References: <3AE7AAC0.88654A9@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010426163627.27024.00000331@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20278

In article <3AE7AAC0.88654A9@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> Doesn't knowing how to deal with high voltage come under the heading of
>> "safety"?
>
>Yep, in my opinion it does.

Well, there you go.

>Other opinions may vary. But, to the best of
>my knowledge, it's not on the exams now so may be considered trivial.

Nonsense. The mere fact that something is or is not on the exams does not prove a thing. The exams are not perfect.

And the discussion is about what should be on the exams. We agree that safety is one subject that should be on the exams, and that proper handling of high voltage is a safety subject.

>One wonders what the Q&A would be like. "One should not grab 2500 volts
>DC because: A. ..., B. ..., C. ..., D. ..."

How about:

"Which of the following methods should be used to safely determine if an electrical circuit is energized?"

"At what voltage level do energized circuits represent a serious threat to human life?"

"Can a radio or electronic device that has been turned off still be a shock hazard?"

"Can a radio or electronic device that has been turned off and disconnected from all sources of electric current still be a shock hazard?"

There are lots more. I would not be surprised to find that many of these are already in the question pools.

And high voltage is not the only issue. While a 2400 volt 1 amp supply for a tube legal-limit amplifier poses one sort of threat, a 24 volt 100 amp supply for a solid-state legal-limit amplifier poses another, different threat.

The situation is not at all like "grabbing the fan belt of a running engine". In that case, the engine, pulleys and belt can be seen to be moving, they make noise, and the vibration can be felt without putting oneself in danger. Most energized electrical circuits don't do any of that - hence the danger.

Switch to Safety!

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1319058488006754012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 13:42:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 16:41:44 -0400
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <5v1hetssr02k6cgquvf0teodd3q2079lg0@4ax.com>
References: <3ae52237$1_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104241930020.4436-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<qp7cet4om6867ruvslmf4l2mpdl599diu2@4ax.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104251920060.648-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AE72BB9.6D6D6AA3@IEEE.org> <cf9getk80gr4p8i6n9gu2mievt5jbfg2jc@4ax.com>
<3AE82E75.78E2A35F@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: frussle@erols.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVaeuib0+w8TmeBV4dkKMszIpHKmH1gOQ2RMMLvTsdOekPRmIT0tDLIK
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 26 Apr 2001 20:41:46 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.scanner:16735 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20279

On Thu, 26 Apr 2001 09:19:33 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Texas doesn't (yet) have a scanner law. When and if we do,
>I will certainly contact my representatives. Time was when
>it was legal to collect and listen to any RF. IMO, that's

```
>the way things should be. If someone doesn't want their
>RF transmissions to be monitored, they should encrypt them.

Agreed.  Hey, I know I'm an old timer for doing this, but I saw the 6
in your call and figured....


Jake Brodsky, AB3A  mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"


From 7671217471937840288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 14:19:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 21:18:49 GMT
References: <9c8d24$eqo$1@208.207.71.157>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010426171849.22234.00000324@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20280

In article <9c8d24$eqo$1@208.207.71.157>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> >These clowns prove it more and more with every post.
>>
>> More examples from Dick on how to be a gentleman?
>
> We don't have any of those on rrap.

In that case Dick Carroll is no gentleman.   :-)


From 2994505484961682714
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 14:19:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 21:18:51 GMT
References: <20010426075345.00621.00001434@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010426171851.22234.00000327@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20283

In article <20010426075345.00621.00001434@ng-ch1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>>Nursie has not answered the question. I will repeat it -
>
>   Lennie has not answered a great MANY questions...Repeating THEM has done
>no good.

That is not an answer.

>>Who in here am I supposed to have met personally?
>
>   Me, Lennie.

Incorrect. A break-in attempt via AOL during a private chat with
my wife is definitely not "meeting someone in person."   :-)

I have not met any regular or irregular participant in this newsgroup
in person.

>And two others who are in here on an infrequent basis but
>also know you for the creep you are.

Incorrect again, with or without the "creep" pejorative.

>>Good luck on evading that one now...
>
>   I am not evading ANYTHING, least of all you.

Nursie is still obsessed...and evades an answer with
several falsehoods.

Nursie must live in some virtual reality of imagination as if
this was a role-playing game. This is not an RPG.

From -6283229848076125667

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 14:19:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 21:18:50 GMT
References: <20010426055332.07856.00000762@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010426171850.22234.00000326@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20282

In article <20010426055332.07856.00000762@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
>>W1RFI wrote:
>
>>> I will offer that even those who stand to
>>> gain may not have that gain be their primary reason for seeking change.
>
>>We are certainly both free to speculate based upon appearances.
>
>Yes, we are. Now, as to appearances, would you say that those on the NCI
>Board
>that you speculate are trying to reduce the requirements so they don't have
>to
>work to gain Amateur Priveleges have put more, or less, work into their work
>on
>the NCI Board than they would have put into learning the material to pass the
>current exams?

Judging solely by appearances, it would seem that the members
of the Board of No Code Interenational have already met those
qualifications by test.  See any database of call sign v. class.

If the code test were dropped tomorrow there would be no loss of
privileges of those already licensed.




From -4055183433401727606
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 14:19:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 21:18:50 GMT
References: <3AE7503C.719A274F@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.     And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010426171850.22234.00000325@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20281

In article <3AE7503C.719A274F@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>>
>> >Vacuum tube design theory, technology, and techniques are
>> >now well known.
>>
>> A good point. A modern engineer who needs to design a tube circuit will
>find no
>> shortage of reference material and can probably whip one up pretty quickly.
>It
>> is certainly easier to design a tube amp than it is to design some of the
>> modern VLSI ICs.
>
>Yes.  Now if I could only find where I put that P-Spice vacuum tube
>library....

The SPICE program family will not design an amplifier for you, with
or without extra-cost Libraries.  That is true whether the "amp"
[amplifier] is vacuum tube or semiconductor based.  The SPICE
programs analyze an existing circuit in time and frequency
domains.

The ARRL no longer sells the ARRL Radio Designer program set.
It is built on the free-source-code SPICE core.


From 4975026345961224176
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 14:19:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
```

portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 86
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 21:18:45 GMT
References: <20010426090725.00621.00001451@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010426171845.22234.00000322@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20284

In article <20010426090725.00621.00001451@ng-ch1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>>>The problem is not CW, or a lack of CW. CW is meaningless, except to
>>>those who use it.
>
>>If it is so "meaningless," why is it still required (internationally)
>>as an HF-privilige license test?
>
>   Because the appropriate legal entity has not addressed it while
>conviened.
> Most if not all of the member entities have expressed their determination to
>drastically reduce if not completely eliminate Morse Code testing.

Where is this indicated in the publicly-available agendas for
the 2002 pre-WRC meeting, WRC-2003, ITU-R Study Groups,
or the FCC International Bureau?

>   Why don't you give that dead horse one more whelp, Lennie? I am sure
>you'll teach it a lesson it will never forget.

There are a number of "dead horses" already licensed.

>>Strange...I've not seen that in the professional radio-electronics
>>world. Must be an amateur thing.
>
>   And this from the "idiot savant" who professes such respect for Amatuer
>Radio and Amateur Radio operators.

Nursie needs to work on her pejorative statements.

>>NCTAs are a spoiled bunch, no doubt. I'm an NCTA. I was just
>>hitting legal age when I QSYed a 1 KW transmitter for the first
>>time. In 1953.
>
>   Geez-O-Mighty...Here we go with the "back when I was a

>whipper-snapper..." rant again.

1953 was 48 years ago and the place was a 36-transmitter site
for US Army radio station ADA in Tokyo, part of the long-haul
HF trans-Pacific military network. ADA didn't use OOK CW
48 years ago. The time element was to create a point that the
big users of HF gave up on CW a long time ago.

>>"Discomfort" is caused by trying to keep the past the same even
>>though the technology and everything else has passed them by.
>
>   Only YOU are trying to keep anything "the same", Lennie. You continue
>to try (unsucessfully) to make people believe that anyone/everyone who
disagrees
>with you that "they" are trying to maintain some archaic standards.

The long-time PCTAs are trying to keep the past as it was.
That is evident by their postings in this newsgroup, by their
writings, not mine.

>   You are, of course, wrong, but you keep repeating it. And in order to
>offset the "Lie Oft Repeated" syndrome, it must be continually rebuked.

Nursie has not rebuked anything by stating lies of her own.

>   It must be VERY uncomfortable for YOU, Lennie, trying to perpetuate your
>jihad against "old tymee" Amateur Radio afficiondos as "trying to hold onto
>the past" when every indication in every forum is that you are wrong.

Nursie has simply stated a pejorative group. Nursie claims "lie"
when there are no lies, yet lies herself.

A "jihad" is approximate Arabic for a religious war, something
engaged in to promote certain religious beliefs. Removal of an
unneccessary test element for a federally-required license to
engage in a radio hobby does not involve religion.

There are some who are steadfast in their "beliefs" of "serving
their service" in certain ways and only those certain ways or
be called "unbelievers." They call removal of certain ways as
a "jihad." They are the fedayin. The rest of us are simply
advocating a government regulation change.

Some fedayin will never yield until the last "infidel" is gone.   :-)

From -3042567828293209632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 14:19:50 PST

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 162
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 21:18:49 GMT
References: <20010426083229.00621.00001445@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010426171849.22234.00000323@nso-cs.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20285

In article <20010426083229.00621.00001445@ng-ch1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>>>Sorry, no sale. The NCTA have always used the need to "advance
>>>the state of the art" -- presumably in digital communications technology,
>>>as a primary reason to reduce or eliminate the Morse code testing
>>>requirement…as if the two were, indeed, mutually exclusive.
>
>>Incorrect.
>
>   Leonard H. Anderson is once again LYING. Lennie, YOU YOURSELF have
>argued that if the code test were completely dropped, all these scores of
longing
>engineers would flood the Amateur Radio ranks and dramatically improve "the
>state of the art."

Incorrect. I have not used such a phrase. PCTAs have used
that phrase, incorrectly attributing it to NCTAs.

>>>The claim
>>>had always been that the code test requirement was discouraging
>>>brilliant, computer-literate young people who would magically transform
>>>the landscape of amateur radio communications technology if only they
>>>had the fetters of this time-honored mode removed from them.
>
>>Incorrect and an attribution of the PCTAs, not the NCTAs. False.
>
>   Leonard H. Anderson is once again LYING. YOU YOURSELF have made these
very assertions. These were some of the very first exchanges we had, Lennie.

Incorrect on all accounts.

>   You are such a lying idiot that you can't even keep your OWN lies
>straight.

Nursie resorts to pejoratives to attempt proving a point. No point
of nursie's has been proven other than an ability to write nasty.

>>Factually and logically incorrect. NCI is advocating only the
>>elimination of the morse code TEST.
>
>   Only correct as applied to NCI. The rest is completely and unalterably
>correct when applied to YOU, in as much as you are dead center in the "NCTA"
>camp and have USED such phraseology in many of the thouands of posts you >ahve
polluted this NG with.

I am not a member of No Code International. I agree with their
views. My viewpoint on code testing for an amateur radio
license remains as it was long before No Code International
was organized.

> To assert otherwisewould only be ONE MORE nail in the
>"Lennie's Lies" coffin.

Repetition of "lie" charges does not prove anything. Nursie
has no factual basis for that statement other than imagination..

>>This is not Fidonet. This is not a BBS net of any kind.
>
>   He did not mention ANY "BBS", and his comments vis-a-vis
>"Fidonet/Usenet" were correct. How would you claim otherwise?

Fidonet began as a network of Bulletin Board Systems (BBS),
well before Internet became public-access carrying Usenet
newsgroups with it.

>>>The link between technical incompetence and Morse code skill is the
>>>very foundation of every argument that has ever been made against the
>>>pre-Restructuring status quo in code testing.
>
>>Incorrect.
>
>   NOT "incorrect". YOU YOURSELF have claimed that a great number of
>persons, yourself included, persumably, have not become licensed because of
>thier intolerance to the concept of learning the Morse Code.

Incorrect again. I have claimed, publicly, that a morse code test should
not be a requirement for an amateur radio license test. That is not a
presumption. There is no "because of thier [sic] intolerance to the
concept of learning the Morse Code."

My advocacy of elimination of the morse code test is not based on
any "concept of learning" but rather as an unneccessary regulatory
requirment for the amateur radio license test.

>   This is an archived fact.

No such "archived fact" exists. It is impossible to prove a negative.

>   Perhaps not a practical statement, but no more "delusion(al) than
>anything YOU have posted!

Pejorative comment having no relevance.

>
>>Morse code in its present form of aperiodic on-off signals
>>representing English language alphanumeric and punctuation
>>characters is approximately 157 years old. Given the highly
>>untechnical nature of that, it is unlikely to see any "growth"
>>in technology as applied to radio.
>
>   And dropping a line with a hook and some form of bait into water in
>order to coax a fish onto that hook is centuries old, but it still works.

Irrelevant. There is no direct or causal relationship between
fishing and morse code.

>>There is no longer any valid reason to keep a code test for amateur radio
>>licensing.
>
>   The law, Lennie. The ITU says it's the law.

No. The International Telecommunications Union is a UN body
wherein member nations agree to abide by decisions reached
by that body. The ITU cannot make laws.

>The Congress of the United
>States has ratified the treaty, thereby MAKING it the law of the United
>States.

Popular misconception. The Congress of the United States can
and does make laws for the states and territories of the USA but
any ITU standard by itself is not a law.

> The FCC has said, officially, that it will "revisit" the code testing issue
>when they are no longer bound by the treaty.

The FCC has stated only that the code test is not relevant to
their regulation of US amateur radio. In 1990 in the 90-43 R&O
establishing the Technician Class license, again in 1999 in the
99-412 R&O for "restructuring."

Test element 1 is still required for any General or Extra class
amateur radio license examination.

>FCC officials, including Riley,
>have said in publically made comments that they have every expectation that
>Morse Code testing will be dropped shortly after the treaty is ammended and
>ratified.

There is no separate treaty regarding morse code testing. There
is only S25.5 under the general heading of S25 setting ITU-R
standards for amateur radio.

Unless there is something added in the WRC agendas or in Study
Groups of the ITU or in the FCC International Bureau agendas since
last week, there is nothing to be found concerning any future action
regarding ITU-R S25.5.

>   That, however, has not prevented or detered YOU from continuing to
>charge full-bore at windmills, now has it?

Test element 1 is still part of the license examination for a General
or Extra class US amateur radio license.

```
From 8258254385344894998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 14:20:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: 26 Apr 2001 20:20:22 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-472.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12347
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15311 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20286
```

In article <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>, 2w8ji@akorn.net says...

>>Do commercial fishermen have to take a test to demonstrate their fly fishing
>>skills in order to work on a commercial fishing boat?
>
>I know you have a "point" hidden somewhere in your mind when you asked
>that question. What is the point?
>
>73 Tom

Sheesh! Talk about having to explain the "joke"!

It's not code usage. No one is denying anyone the privelege of using morse to communicate. It's code *testing* that has everyone in an uproar in this newsgroup. Anything past what is required the treaty is nothing but a worthless hazing ritual for Ye Olde Farhtz who think that testees are learning a "valuable communications skill"

From 2142644081933255722
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2c136a5b2e6d1341
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 14:48:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 21:48:13 GMT
References: <i%_F6.6842$QV4.584068@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Let's take a vote
Message-ID: <20010426174813.27223.00002352@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20287

>Subject: Re: Let's take a vote
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>
>Date: 04/26/01 3:51 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Kill file? Great idea!

And being in YOUR killfile would bother me....HOW...?!?!

Please do. You are part and parcel of the same cloth as Lennie the Lame.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 8651266346244315464
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2c136a5b2e6d1341
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 14:54:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 21:54:31 GMT
References: <i%_F6.6842$QV4.584068@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Let's take a vote
Message-ID: <20010426175431.24866.00000378@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20288

In article <i%_F6.6842$QV4.584068@www.newsranger.com>, presumably
K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> writes:

>In article <3J_F6.6820$QV4.581635@www.newsranger.com>,
>presumably K0HB says...
>>
>>How many here would like to see the "Lennie and Steve" show:
>>
>> (a) continue.
>> (b) cease.
>> (c) doesn't matter to me -- both dump hucks are in my kill file.
>
>Kill file? Great idea! Hey, call down to the machine room and tell
>them to empty the bit bucket, and FAST, before that baby overflows.

Not a problem, "Hans." Simply contact America On Line, ask to
speak to the person in charge and tell them to cancel the accounts
because you are irritated with newsgroup behavior by these two.
I'm sure they will listen and take appropriate action. After all, who
knows better than you what is good for amateur radio?

There...wasn't that easy?   :-)

From -9080477126252784172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 14:57:32 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 21:54:29 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae86ac9.91616033@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9c55qt$h6f$0@208.206.142.94> <20010425224946.24866.00000333@nso-
cu.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.137
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.137
X-Trace: 26 Apr 2001 16:51:00 -0500, 64.24.18.137
Lines: 22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20289

On 26 Apr 2001 02:49:46 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
> He will have to
>depend on CW for transmitting at any high power since so few
>in the 30s could afford the massive audio power amplifiers
>needed for AM of those times.  There would be little or no VHF
>equipment possible for hams of the 30s (Yagi's parasitic-
>element beam antenna paper would not be available until after
>WW2) due to lack of tube technology and lack of theory of such
>"ultrashort" wavelengths.
>
Lenny, you're straining your imagination again and as usual are
twisting Dick's post completely out of context also as usual. Dick is
wishing as do I that the ARRL had leadership today like it had in
HPM's days and nothing more.
>
I'm about to take an FT-817 out for a test drive. SSB, CW, PSK, FM,
etc 1.8 thru 440Mhz in a shirt pocket. That's current ham radio
technology and nobody is interested in going back to 1936 technology,
Lenny.
>
w3rv



From -6756728851961647499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2a3768c3e30b5062
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 14:57:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 21:57:15 GMT
References: <20010426171851.22234.00000327@nso-cs.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
Message-ID: <20010426175715.27223.00002361@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20290

>Subject: Re: Thanks for proving my point...
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/26/01 5:18 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Lennie has not answered a great MANY questions...Repeating THEM has done
>>no good.

>That is not an answer.

Yes it was. It was not the one you wanted, but it was an answer.

>Incorrect. A break-in attempt via AOL during a private chat with
>my wife is definitely not "meeting someone in person."

Lennie puts on his dancing shoes. Wasn't paying attention now he has to do his best to NOT look a fool. OK, Lennie, dance that dance. I'll turn up the music.

>I have not met any regular or irregular participant in this newsgroup
>in person.

Not true.

>>And two others who are in here on an infrequent basis but
>>also know you for the creep you are.

>Incorrect again, with or without the "creep" pejorative

Is true, whether you care to admit it or not. Sorry.

>Nursie is still obsessed...and evades an answer with
>several falsehoods.

What "evades"..?!?! I was in a group of other persons in which you were a part of. You are "focused" on the "pudgy" person you see on a web page. Sorry.

>Nursie must live in some virtual reality of imagination as if
>this was a role-playing game. This is not an RPG

If that's what makes you secure at night, Lennie, be happy in your bliss.

From -7031380766663792066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 15:00:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 15:00:32 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AE89A80.87CAEE52@uci.edu>
References: <3AE7503C.719A274F@uci.edu> <20010426171850.22234.00000325@nso-
cs.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20291

Len Over 21 wrote:
> >Yes. Now if I could only find where I put that P-Spice vacuum tube
> >library....

> The SPICE program family will not design an amplifier for you, with
> or without extra-cost Libraries.

P-Spice is used for computer aided design TESTING. And I don't need you
to lecture to me about tube amp design. You can find a couple of my
production designs in the Tube Amp Manual by Aspen Pitman - or so I've
been told.

ac6xg

From 4723990705962803340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 15:10:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 15:07:57 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3AE89C3D.ED84367D@uci.edu>
References: <20010426055332.07856.00000762@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010426171850.22234.00000326@nso-cs.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20292

Len Over 21 wrote:

> If the code test were dropped tomorrow there would be no loss of
> privileges of those already licensed.

...and also if it had been dropped yesterday, or today. That makes it a clean sweep! (if nothing else)

ac6xg

From 5522318983847508830
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 15:11:32 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 22:10:56 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae89a85.103838171@news2.dvol.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3ae57146.743094079@news.accessunited.com>
<9c4iut01p3o@enews2.newsguy.com> <3AE5DEA9.BAE5133A@earthlink.net>
<3ae6bdd3.298873825@news2.dvol.com> <3AE76305.F1A3F1C8@earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.137
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.137
X-Trace: 26 Apr 2001 17:07:28 -0500, 64.24.18.137
Lines: 22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1

```
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
news.gtei.net.MISMATCH!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12350
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15313 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20293

On Wed, 25 Apr 2001 23:49:52 GMT, Zoran Brlecic
<zbrlecic@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>Unless the author imagined
>that computers will write the code themselves, but this is more of an A.C.Clarke
novel.
>
That's exactly what the gurus are predicting. In fact it's already in
operation in early forms. The new Canadian robotic arm now on the
International Space Station writes it own control system code to a
limited extent.
>
>I don't think ham radio is going anywhere. We may witness a dramatic drop in
numbers and
>activity when the older generations begin to die off, but I have a feeling the
>developing countries may keep it alive and well. Who knows - maybe there will be
a huge
>pile up of BY and ZA ops trying to work a rare WA7 call.
>
Ham radio is not exactly growing by leaps & bounds but I don't believe
it's dying by any means.
>
>73 ........ Zoran WA7AA
>
w3rv


From -6330723228432715481
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 15:33:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 122
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 Apr 2001 22:33:05 GMT
References: <20010426171849.22234.00000323@nso-cs.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010426183305.27223.00002376@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20294
```

>Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/26/01 5:18 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>>Leonard H. Anderson is once again LYING. Lennie, YOU YOURSELF have
>>argued that if the code test were completely dropped, all these scores of
longing
>>engineers would flood the Amateur Radio ranks and dramatically improve "the
>>state of the art."

>Incorrect. I have not used such a phrase. PCTAs have used
>that phrase, incorrectly attributing it to NCTAs.

NOT "incorrect". From the very keyboard of "NoCwTest". You argued
extensively that you and your colleagues were "put off" by the code issue.

YOU insisted that the dropping of the code test was necessary to encourage
these folks to enter the Amateur Radio service.

>> Leonard H. Anderson is once again LYING. YOU YOURSELF have made these
>>very assertions. These were some of the very first exchanges we had, Lennie.

>Incorrect on all accounts.

NOT incorrect. Truth. I know you have lied to us so many times, Lennie,
that you can no longer remember what you DID write.

Better get Googling, because you need to read up on what YOU wrote.

>Nursie resorts to pejoratives to attempt proving a point.

No, Lennie, I don't have to result to "pejoratives" to prove anything. You
do it nicely for me.

You really DO need to sit down and go back and read what YOU have wrote

>I am not a member of No Code International.

I didn't say you were.

>Repetition of "lie" charges does not prove anything.

I do not seek to "prove" anything, nor do I need to. A trip through the
archives will validate anything I ahve had to say.

>>NOT "incorrect". YOU YOURSELF have claimed that a great number of
>>persons, yourself included, persumably, have not become licensed because of
>>thier intolerance to the concept of learning the Morse Code.

>Incorrect again. I have claimed, publicly, that a morse code test should
>not be a requirement for an amateur radio license test. That is not a
>presumption. There is no "because of thier [sic] intolerance to the
>concept of learning the Morse Code."

Again...anyone with the determination to determine who is not being factual here will find out, Lennie.

And you HAVE made such statements.

>My advocacy of elimination of the morse code test is not based on
>any "concept of learning" but rather as an unneccessary regulatory
>requirment for the amateur radio license test.

No, your "advocacy" is based on hatred and rhetoric.

>Pejorative comment having no relevance.

Which would eliminate about 95% of your posts, Lennie.

>Irrelevant. There is no direct or causal relationship between
>fishing and morse code.

Archived Note: It's OK for Lennie to use analogies but not me.

>>The law, Lennie. The ITU says it's the law.

>No. The International Telecommunications Union is a UN body
>wherein member nations agree to abide by decisions reached
>by that body. The ITU cannot make laws.

And the ITU is the organ by which said treaty was enabled.

>>The Congress of the United
>>States has ratified the treaty, thereby MAKING it the law of the United
>>States.

>Popular misconception. The Congress of the United States can
>and does make laws for the states and territories of the USA but
>any ITU standard by itself is not a law.

Again...the treaty was ratified by the Congress of the United States. You may argue it all you want, Lennie. S25 and all it's paragraphs are US law.

>Test element 1 is still required for any General or Extra class
>amateur radio license examination.

As required by international treaty. You really are delving deeper into Putziness, Lennie.

>>FCC officials, including Riley,
>>have said in publically made comments that they have every expectation that
>>Morse Code testing will be dropped shortly after the treaty is ammended and
>>ratified.

>There is no separate treaty regarding morse code testing. There
>is only S25.5 under the general heading of S25 setting ITU-R

>standards for amateur radio.

Of which the US is signatory. What part of IT'S THE LAW do you not understand, your Geezerness?

>Test element 1 is still part of the license examination for a General
>or Extra class US amateur radio license.

Previous facts reiterated. Lennie's anti-windmill crusade duly noted.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 1483062951118176952
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 16:33:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AE8AFF6.4D8B46B5@worldnet.att.net>
From: John Siegel <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mlp$dfi$2@208.207.71.157>
<3AE6DF77.A8414BFC@IEEE.org> <9c851k$idr$0@208.207.71.157>
<3AE7AD11.E86466FE@IEEE.org> <9c9aot$6j5$0@208.207.71.163>
<3AE83284.61096B51@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 41
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 23:33:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.79.175.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988328028 12.79.175.59 (Thu, 26 Apr

```
2001 23:33:48 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 23:33:48 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20295

I think you have been around log enough to remember this one.  I believe
it was a SS weekend quite some years ago when the FCC decided it was a
good opportunity for some enforcement action against the "California
kilowatt" operators.  One instance was reported in QST where the guy was
cited not just for illegal power but unsafe engineering practices.
Why?  His amplifier was on the other side of his shack wall (presumably
to cut down on blower noise) in his garage.  Garage door open,
unshielded high voltage and kids playing in the driveway.  No, noone was
hurt but how close was it?
John

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > It is not the responsibility of the feds.
> >
> >  Right, their responsibility is to see that people the license are
> > basically       qualified to fulfill the responsibilitied for which they are
> > being licensed. Including the safety responsibilities. What is it about
> > this that you can't understand?
>
> What is it about the legal concept of an ordinary prudent man that
> you do not understand? On the driver's license exam, nobody is tested
> on whether to grab a hot radiator or not.
>
> > > Please post some details about kids who have gotten hurt while messing
> > > with their Dad's ham gear.
> >
> >  Who said it has to be the kids?
>
> As usual, you present absolutely zero evidence to back up your claims.
> Where did you get the idea that the world should revolve around your
> personal whims?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -8484750262214568631
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 17:18:17 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 00:17:56 GMT
References: <3AE89A80.87CAEE52@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Message-ID: <20010426201756.26454.00000411@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20296

In article <3AE89A80.87CAEE52@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >Yes. Now if I could only find where I put that P-Spice vacuum tube
>> >library....
>
>> The SPICE program family will not design an amplifier for you, with
>> or without extra-cost Libraries.
>
>P-Spice is used for computer aided design TESTING.

Analysis.

There exist CAE that do synthesis, exact part values for certain
configurations such as filters.

>And I don't need you
>to lecture to me about tube amp design.

Wasn't "lecturing" on production versions of tube amplifiers
for musical instrument applications. Not my field. Having
written some CAE for both analysis and synthesis and
having used ECAP, LECAP, and several SPICE family
versions, I have some familiarity with CAE.

>You can find a couple of my
>production designs in the Tube Amp Manual by Aspen Pitman - or so I've
>been told.

"Tube Amp Manual" by Aspen Pitman, 1993, 4th Edition, is
available from Old Colony Sound for $29.95. 768 pages,
softbound. Looked it up in the paper catalog from Old Colony.

Good for you. :-)

From -4052194021359664552

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 17:18:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!yellow.newsread.com!bad-news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 36
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 00:18:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.47
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988330721 216.1.128.47 (Thu, 26 Apr 2001 20:18:41 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 20:18:41 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12355
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15315 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20297

On 26 Apr 2001 20:20:22 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:

>It's not code usage. No one is denying anyone the privelege of using morse to
>communicate. It's code *testing* that has everyone in an uproar in this
>newsgroup. Anything past what is required the treaty is nothing but a worthless
>hazing ritual for Ye Olde Farhtz who think that testees are learning a
>"valuable communications skill"

I think I see what you are saying, but factually CW is still the most efficient form of exchanging information available to amateurs when conditions are marginal.

I certainly have no objection to people who never use CW not taking a fast CW test, but it is stupid to allow 5 WPM people access to high-speed CW segments. It actually causes problems.

No matter how we look at it, CW isn't the problem. It isn't a barrier, and hasn't been for a long time except to a very few lazy people. The problem is people aren't interested in radio.

The recent results of lowering speed to 5 WPM (along with the much more simple test we have had for the past ten years or whatever) clearly show very few (if any) people are attracted by the reduction in speed.

So it is really a useless issue....it's mostly people who want to get a "free upgrade" that are doing all the bitching. 1% increase in new amateurs and 30% increase in upgrades shows we are whipping a dead horse.

It's a social problem. People are lazy and want the best of everything for no effort or work. They won't fish for a living anyway, it's too much effort when we have welfare.

73 Tom

From -8183392486561033924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 17:32:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 00:31:38 GMT
References: <9c9aot$6j5$0@208.207.71.163>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010426203138.12772.00001300@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20298

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Ah, reverting again to your favorite theme, the whatchacallits- ad
>hominies.

Yeah, Cecil; can't you be refined and just tell people you disagree with to soak their heads?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2125283027972182259
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 17:36:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 00:36:21 GMT
References: <9c9e9t$j7b$2@208.207.71.163>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010426203621.12772.00001301@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20299

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> So which is it, is rrap "ham radio" or is it not?  You keep changing the
>> context to fit what you want to say.

> Uh, Ed I thought by now you'd know. This NG is *about* ham radio. It is
>NOT ham radio.

Oh, like club meetings and hamfests and ham magazines are not ham radio,
either, I imagine you will offer?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>




From 8709352886777761107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 17:37:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 00:37:28 GMT
References: <9c9ed4$j7b$3@208.207.71.163>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010426203728.12772.00001302@ng-mj1.aol.com>
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20300

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>If Bill Clinton had learned and practiced CW do you think he might have
>been a more moral person?

Not at all, Dick.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2926616076408300007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 17:37:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 99
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 00:37:14 GMT
References: <MPG.154c97d2f20d23da9896e4@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010426203714.24833.00000509@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20301

In article <MPG.154c97d2f20d23da9896e4@news.earthlink.net>, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>> >THANK YOU JIM!!!!
>>
>> You're welcome.
>> >
>> >The fact that Carl Stevenson wrote the opposition view and that fact that
>> >Carl Stevenson had made it very clear right here (according to the
>> >archives)in the EXACT views and words or said opposition, has nothing to
>> >do with separating personal views and NCI policy.
>>
>> I'm not sure what you mean. Everybody has the right to comment to the FCC.
>So
>> do interested organizations.
>
>My point was/still is that Carl bellows his opposition to every aspect of
>the ARS..rag chewing..dx'ing..cw

actually, it's not just opposition to CW but to any "legacy" modes (meaning any modes currently in use, I suppose). VHF/UHF voice FM is such a "legacy" mode.

There are also the put-downs of any sort of competitive/sporting radio
operating activity (contests, dxing, award-chasing, mountaintopping, etc).
"Electronic paintball wars" was one catchphrase.

> and of course those are "his" opinions
>and then wonders of wonders, the exact same "opinion" almost verbatim
>appears before the FCC and of course, it's a group (baaaaaaa) consensus.

If the group agrees, that's fine. But as soon as the issue under discussion
goes away from let's-end-mandatory-code-testing, the alleged single-issue group
is no longer following its charter.

>> The point I'm trying to make is that when a group claims to have a
>single-issue
>> agenda, but then gets involved in issues that have nothing to do with that
>> single issue, the group no longer has a single-issue agenda.
>
>Why did you ever expect it to be. Thems in power loves to stay in power
>after there's no use for thems in power...look at politicians.

Just pointing out the simple facts.
>> >
>> >Sure...and Dinosaurs still roam the earth, especially in parts of Texas
>> >where Monroe County residents have the DNA of unripened bananas.
>>
>> How similar is human DNA to that of coconuts and European sparrows?
>
>They could carry them between their dorsal primary feathers with a bit of
>husk.
>
No they couldn't! There's no way a four ounce sparrow can generate enough lift
to carry a two-and-a-half-pound coconut!
>> >
>> >But of course, times have changes and so has NCI policies. (see last
>> >sentence of above)
>> >
>> Bingo.
>>
>> There have been single-issue amateur radio groups in the past. Remember the
>> "National Amateur Radio Council" and the "Society of American Radio
>Amateurs"
>> and the influence they had?
>
>Same influence as the dinosaurs I think

The above mentioned two groups had quite an influence in their day. Then they
simply vanished. I wonder if anyone reading these words know when those groups
existed, and what their agendas were.
>
>Before you cross the Bridge of Death
>Answer me these questions 3

>
>What is your name?

Sir Lancelot of Camelot (I could use a little peril right now)
>
>What is your questions
>
To seek the Holy Grail

>What is the Capital of Assyria?

Damascus? WAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHHH!!!!

--

Boy, I sure could go for a Pan-Galactic Gargle-Blaster right now. Just had to defend myself against the Ravenous Bugblatter Beast of Traal.

(The Ravenous Bugblatter Beast of Traal is perhaps the most voracious life form in the universe, and will attack and eat almost anything. The one effective defense against it is based on the fact that it is very stupid. The Ravenous Bugblatter Beast of Traal is so mind-numbingly stupid that if you cover your eyes (with, say, a towel) when it is attacking you, it will simply go away, because it thinks if you can't see it, then it can't see you.)

73 de Jim "Daffodil-14", N2EY

From 3536280457403851573
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 17:39:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 00:39:14 GMT
References: <9c9dsn$j7b$0@208.207.71.163>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010426203914.12772.00001303@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20302

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Hey, I was trying to get you to wake up! Headsoaking will do it every
>time.

Is this "I did it for your own good," "Your honor, he made me beat him" or "Do

```
what I say, not what I do?"

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -8382383172592673091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 17:43:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 00:43:21 GMT
References: <9c9cvp$ff9$0@208.207.71.163>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010426204321.12772.00001304@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20303

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>The point is, we hav not had easy licensing for all that long a time,
>of course, basically only for a year now.

>And that single example is
>only one of the many possibilities .

Can you provide any single example of anything as bad as your example that has
happened to hams who have been licensed or upgraded in the past year?

>Yeah, I know- look at the record and you don't see all that much
>negative result of ham radio licensing haveing been easy at the
>introductory level.

I guess not.

I can go to the archives in QST and find a few examples of hams tested prior to
one year ago who have suffered harm as serious as your example, though, Dick.
These examples range from tower accidents to electrocution.
73, Ed Hare, W1RFI




From -5169597294311727494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 17:45:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 00:44:38 GMT
References: <3AE847A3.5DE67B24@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20304

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>> Do you think the work they have put in to trying to change the requirements
>has
>> been less work than it would have been to meet the requirements?

>I know a lot of guys who would rather sit around and jawbone in meetings
>than do actual work.  Don't you?

Yes, I do.  I believe that the effort that the NCI BOD has put into what they
are trying to accomplish is well past jawboning at local club meetings.  Do you
disagree?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From 8953554450054320780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 17:50:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 17:42:37 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AE8C07D.173AFBB0@uci.edu>
References: <9c9aot$6j5$0@208.207.71.163> <20010426203138.12772.00001300@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20305
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > Ah, reverting again to your favorite theme, the whatchacallits- ad
> >hominies.

> Yeah, Cecil; can't you be refined and just tell people you disagree with to
> soak their heads?

Which did you find more offensive - when Dick said it, or when Hans said
it earlier in the week?

ac6xg

```
From -6295284923439441040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 18:27:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 21:27:49 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 70
Message-ID: <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-88.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988334850 316507 206.74.49.88 (27 Apr 2001 01:27:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 01:27:30 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20306

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> An officer investigating any crime, say
> a robbery, still has to evaluate "what
> happened" in terms of the elements of the
> crime, i.e. did the perpetrator take
> property from the victim by force or fear
> (or whatever that state defines as the
> elemenst of the crime).

Okay, we've covered all that (the skills necessary to gather information and evidence).

> How can an officer enforce the radio law,
> i.e. determine if a transmitting station is
> in violation of that ordinance, without
> making technical measurements and evaluating
> the results of those measurements?

We've covered this before (however, I do remember you think it is impossible). Anyway, what about a simple list of the most common illegal CB radio devices on the market? Cops use a "hot sheet" all the time to determine if a car may be stolen. That "hot sheet" gives them the authority to stop a suspected car, arrest the driver, and seize the car. If a "hot sheet" of stolen cars is legal (routinely stands up in court), why not a "hot sheet" of the most common illegal CB radio equipment? It certainly should not take a knowledgeable FCC employee more than a few hours to make up a list every few months that would cover about 95 percent of the illegal CB radio devices commonly used.

> And to open another can of worms which has
> barely been touched on, how will that officer
> conclusively determine thzt the suspect is in
> fact the person making the suspected
> transmissions? [snip]

I don't know, Phil. I suspect he won't have to "conclusively" determine where a signal is coming from. In most cases, it will be a simple matter of deduction - a neighbor complains, says the signal is coming from that house, the officer does see an antenna at that location, the officer knocks on the door, and asks to inspect the station.

> As I said earlier, if the officer is going
> to enforce radio laws, he has to be qualified
> to understand what he is doing. And when those
> measurements are made and evaluated, the
> qualifications of the maker/evaluator bear
> directly on the credibility of those measurments
> and conclusions. Any decent defense attorney
> will jump on that first thing.

Why are you so certain an attorney will even be involved? I suspect CB enforcement at the local level will be treated as a misdemeanor, with nothing more than a minor fine involved. And, like most other misdemeanor offenses (traffic offenses, minor citations, etc), few will ever make it to a courtroom. The FCC will probably continue to handle the more serious offenses (or complicates cases involving technical evaluation).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6869924174610906582
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 18:53:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 27 Apr 2001 01:53:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9cajf4$eo9$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mlp$dfi$2@208.207.71.157>
<3AE6DF77.A8414BFC@IEEE.org> <9c851k$idr$0@208.207.71.157>
<3AE7AD11.E86466FE@IEEE.org> <9c9aot$6j5$0@208.207.71.163>
<3AE83284.61096B51@IEEE.org>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20307

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > It is not the responsibility of the feds.
> >
> > Right, their responsibility is to see that people the license are
> > basically qualified to fulfill the responsibilitied for which they are
> > being licensed. Including the safety responsibilities. What is it about
> > this that you can't understand?
>
> What is it about the legal concept of an ordinary prudent man that
> you do not understand? On the driver's license exam, nobody is tested
> on whether to grab a hot radiator or not.
>

That could be because you can grab a hot radiator and suffer no
permanent damage, in fact it can be a learning experience. No such
assurances accrue to grabbing a HV terminal which gives no prior warning
eminations to the unknowledgable.

> > > Please post some details about kids who have gotten hurt while messing
> > > with their Dad's ham gear.
> >
> > Who said it has to be the kids?
>
> As usual, you present absolutely zero evidence to back up your claims.
> Where did you get the idea that the world should revolve around your
> personal whims?

Ah, yes. It is my personal whim that the victim might be the licensee
himself or his wife or mother-in-law or visiting firemen or his illicit
mistress or......

From -1157512078286235336
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 19:08:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
Date: 27 Apr 2001 02:08:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9cakb9$h6a$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<3AE6FD29.5322@bellsouth.net> <3AE7094A.21F931FC@IEEE.org>
<3AE75314.15CB@bellsouth.net> <3AE7B141.7C00BB1C@IEEE.org>
<3AE840F4.F7D@bellsouth.net> <3AE84693.9F907A75@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15318
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20308
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > Again, Cecil, the original subject weas BOY Scouts and, while I DID
> > mention girls and "kids", I was trying to stick with the subject of the
> > thread. So far, we haven't heard too much about Lesbians and Girl
> > Scouts.
>
> But Jerry, you have missed the point entirely. Lesbians and the Girl
> Scouts may not be the problem. The greatest threat to the Girl Scouts
> is the perverted *HETEROSEXUAL* male. What do you propose to do about
> them? Molestation of little girls by heterosexual males is twice as
> big a problem as molestation of little boys by homosexual males.

Cecil:
What ARE you having such a probem with here? You don't routinely permit
males to be in charge of little girls and their activities, and
screening those who are is mandatory.

What is it that so complex about all this? If you do whatever you can to protect kids of whatever sex by protecting them from predators to the maximjum extent possible, the liklihood of a problem decreases.

You wouldn't have made it as a rocket scientist.

From -4877841130398452012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 19:09:58 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: 27 Apr 2001 02:09:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9cakdl$h6a$1@208.207.71.175>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<9bmv6a$k22$2@208.206.142.105> <3ADF0862.678EAEBE@IEEE.org>
<R%HF6.881$A4.95323@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B52A.57998F06@IEEE.org>
<3AE846CB.1AEF@bellsouth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20309

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> >
> > Does that tell you anything about God's sense of humor?
>
> I thought you said there *was* no God?

Looks like he's having some difficulty making up his mind.

From -9015269091455788641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 19:12:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 27 Apr 2001 02:12:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9cakhl$h6a$2@208.207.71.175>
References: <9c41ld$rpg$1@208.207.71.171> <20010426061942.07856.00000770@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9c9ed4$j7b$3@208.207.71.163>
<MwXF6.6521$QV4.548565@www.newsranger.com> <3AE84560.BA64CA1F@IEEE.org>
<UPXF6.6548$QV4.551585@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20310



K0HB wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wants to know:
>
> >If I renounce my Morse code ability, will I get one? :-)
>
> Check with an NCI Director.


  HAR DE HAR HAR!!!


From -4838774887875339777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 19:18:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Date: 27 Apr 2001 02:18:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9cakua$io4$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <9c55qt$h6f$0@208.206.142.94> <20010425224946.24866.00000333@nso-
cu.aol.com> <3ae86ac9.91616033@news2.dvol.com>
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20311

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>

> I'm about to take an FT-817 out for a test drive. SSB, CW, PSK, FM,
> etc 1.8 thru 440Mhz in a shirt pocket.

 Hey, let us know what you think. That's the only piece I don't already
have that makes my mouth water...

 That's current ham radio
> technology and nobody is interested in going back to 1936 technology,
> Lenny.

 Right on. Somehow all the geekos can't visualize that all this
improvement helps CW just as well as the other modes. It's another
'don't want to'.

Dick W�EX

From 476509292460069037
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 19:20:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 27 Apr 2001 02:20:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9cal0m$io4$1@208.207.71.175>
References: <3AE7577B.90D633F8@uci.edu> <20010426055332.07856.00000762@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3AE8533B.38862831@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20312

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
> >
> > >W1RFI wrote:
>
> > >> I will offer that even those who stand to
> > >> gain may not have that gain be their primary reason for seeking change.
>
> > >We are certainly both free to speculate based upon appearances.
>
> > Yes, we are. Now, as to appearances, would you say that those on the NCI Board
> > that you speculate are trying to reduce the requirements so they don't have to
> > work to gain Amateur Priveleges have put more, or less, work into their work
on
> > the NCI Board than they would have put into learning the material to pass the
> > current exams?
>
> Certain peoples deeply held beliefs and heartfelt humanitarian concerns
> for the betterment of all mankind tend to sound a little whiney and
> contrived after a while. Sorry. I just calls 'em as I sees 'em. But
> rest easy. I'm quite sure I must be profoundly wrong about all this.

I'm not.

From -2291216270285571231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 19:27:37 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 02:23:32 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae8cece.831229@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.114
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.114
X-Trace: 26 Apr 2001 21:20:03 -0500, 64.24.18.114
Lines: 43
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-

snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20313

On Thu, 26 Apr 2001 15:46:08 GMT, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:

>US Navy Admiral Tom Fargo, Commander in Chief of the Pacific Fleet also has some
>opinions on the matter, and the contrast from your expert opinion is striking.
>
>�a serious departure from the high standards expected of officers
>in command.�
>
>�This tragic accident could and should have been avoided by simply
>following Navy standards.�
>
>"Waddle fostered an artificial sense of urgency in preparation
>for the drill, ensuring the failure of the ship�s watch team
>to work together and pass information about the surface contact.�
>
>�Managing these contacts was well within the capability of any ship,�
>
There's another less obvious analysis of the way the aftermath of this
incident was handled Hans. I've read a couple articles about it in
which legal experts stated that if Waddle had been court-martialed he
would have been found not quilty based on insufficient evidence wrt to
his own personal conduct to warrant his being found criminally
negligent.
>
 If he had been court-martialed and found innocent he would have been
reinstated as the CO of the boat involved or some other boat or put in
command of a desk somewhere and would be continuing his career with an
unblemished record.
>
None of this is my own opinion, it's from legal types.
>
As is quite often the case in horrific accidents there were multiple
failures involved. Everybody from the trainee Sonar operator up to
Fargo and well beyond owns a piece of this one and I hope they're all
losing a lot of sleep these days.
>
Based on these realities it is my opinion that Waddle took the
honorable option, he didn't have to do that, he could have easily
walked.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>
w3rv

From -6582482865750250426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 19:32:09 PST

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 22:32:32 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 93
Message-ID: <3AE8DA1D.7E4EB000@sccoast.net>
References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <3AE53815.8BA2AF65@sccoast.net> <3AE59A6E.105D07A9@IEEE.org> <3AE6C86B.FD1BA47E@sccoast.net> <9c7rds$1k0s$1@heap.juniper.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-88.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988338728 319036 206.74.49.88 (27 Apr 2001 02:32:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 02:32:08 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20314

Dennis Ferguson wrote:

> And that _THOUGHT_ justifies shooting
> them down? I guess if someone _THINKS_
> you are transporting drugs, you'll feel
> that shooting you is okay too?

Whoa, calm down, Dennis. I didn't say a "thought" justifies a thing, nor am I really trying to justify anything. I simply stated the facts as I heard them. By the way, a "thought" didn't justify it for the people who shot down the plane either - the justification was a plane flying a common drug route, a plane approaching a border, a flight plan that didn't show up, and the failure of a pilot to respond to several radio challenges.

> Uh, CNN has a copy of the flight plan on
> their web site, obtained from the flight
> service company in Iquitos it was filed
> with. [snip] If CNN could find this,
> don't you think that the people who were
> going to blast them out of the sky could
> have been bothered to look as hard as
> CNN did?

Well, gee, could it be that CNN was not actively involved in a chase of a suspected aircraft when they did their search?

> I don't know about those reports you put
> so much faith in, either. The original
> report said the plane had been in both
> Brazil and Columbia prior to the shooting,
> but there doesn't seem to be any evidence
> that the plane ever landed anywhere
> outside Peru. The flight plan had the
> plane flying from the Amazon River at
> Iquitos in Peru, to the Rio Yavari, which
> is the border between Brazil and Peru, at
> Islandia, Peru. The purpose of the trip
> was to visit [snip]

What value is any of this to the discussion? According to reports, none of that information was available at the time of the incident, so it really doesn't matter. Again, according to the reports, no flight plan was found for the aircraft when it was requested. In addition, the plane was flying on a route commonly used to transport drugs, the pilot did not respond to radio challenges, and the plane was approaching a border. That is the only information that matters at this point, and it is that information that has to be verified before we will know the full truth.

> Of course we will have to consider the
> possibility that you are the drug dealer
> they were smuggling for too, since while
> the reports we've had didn't mention
> anyone who thought that either the
> missionaries or you were smuggling drugs,
> that is a negative which is still hard
> to preclude with certainty.

Boy, you really are taking this personally, aren't you? Again, I never said the plane was carrying drugs, only that this was one possibility that has to be considered until all the evidence is in. Until we know all the evidence, I'm not going to jump to any conclusion and start blaming anyone - the people on the plane, the people who shot them down, or anyone else. And, likewise, I'm not going to dismiss any reasonable possibility until a full investigation reveals all the facts.

> [snip] The drug laws are a cure that seem
> to be worse than the problem being cured.
> They don't help people who use drugs to stay
> out of harm's way, and they put people who
> don't use drugs in harm's way. Like the

> people in Peru.

Ah, now I see why you're so determined to jump to conclusions with this situation - its an opportunity to further your agenda to legalize drugs. Well, never mind then - you're too busy pointing a finger at the drug laws to wait for all the evidence to come in.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 2921007690502903272
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 19:39:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 71
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 02:40:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988339218 63.178.69.234 (Fri, 27 Apr 2001
02:40:18 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 02:40:18 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20315

On Thu, 26 Apr 2001 21:27:49 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>>   And to open another can of worms which has

>> barely been touched on, how will that officer
>> conclusively determine thzt the suspect is in
>> fact the person making the suspected
>> transmissions? [snip]
>
>
> I don't know, Phil. I suspect he won't have to "conclusively"
>determine where a signal is coming from.

No conclusive determination of the origin of the signal, no case.
Anything less is sloppy evidence-gathering and guesswork, which is
a p-poor basis for any legal case.

>In most cases, it will be a
>simple matter of deduction - a neighbor complains, says the signal is
>coming from that house, the officer does see an antenna at that
>location, the officer knocks on the door, and asks to inspect the station.

Wide open to the "dude defense" -- "its not me, officer, it's some
dude elsewhere". No conclusive evidence that the alleged unlawful
nonconforming signals are coming from that station, no case. Period.

Dwight - analysis of enforcement cases for sufficiency before they
are brought is MY bag - I was the Commission's expert on such things
for years and taught it at the Academy and in OJT. It's sloppy
prosecutorial technique to issue a forfeiture or take a case into
court where the prosecution cannot conclusively prove every element
of the violation and overcome any foreseeable defense. That goes
for everything from spitting on the sidewalk to premediatated murder.

>>   As I said earlier, if the officer is going
>> to enforce radio laws, he has to be qualified
>> to understand what he is doing. And when those
>> measurements are made and evaluated, the
>> qualifications of the maker/evaluator bear
>> directly on the credibility of those measurments
>> and conclusions. Any decent defense attorney
>> will jump on that first thing.
>
>
> Why are you so certain an attorney will even be involved? I suspect
>CB enforcement at the local level will be treated as a misdemeanor,
>with nothing more than a minor fine involved. And, like most other
>misdemeanor offenses (traffic offenses, minor citations, etc), few
>will ever make it to a courtroom.

Any operator who does not get legal advice and "go the distance" in
a situation such as this is a fool. I would love to take on some of
these cases if and when they arise.

>The FCC will probably continue to
>handle the more serious offenses (or complicates cases involving

```
>technical evaluation).

  Tell me the scenario of someone operating overpower and/or
  out-of-band that would not involve technical evaluation to
  conclusively prove the violation.

  A charge of "using non-type-accepted equipment" is the icing on the
  cake, not the cake itself.  No probative evidence that the station is
  operating "out of tolerance", no reasonable cause to make the
  inspection to determine if the equipment is type-accepted or not.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -882862192073672897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 19:43:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 27 Apr 2001 02:43:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9cambv$kcv$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <9c9dsn$j7b$0@208.207.71.163> <20010426203914.12772.00001303@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20316



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Hey, I was trying to get you to wake up! Headsoaking will do it every
> >time.
>
> Is this "I did it for your own good," "Your honor, he made me beat  him" or "Do
> what I say, not what I do?"
```

```
 Either way it seems to have worked.


From -1022223578389567733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 19:44:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 27 Apr 2001 02:44:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9camed$kcv$1@208.207.71.175>
References: <9c9cvp$ff9$0@208.207.71.163> <20010426204321.12772.00001304@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20317



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >The point is, we hav not had easy licensing for all that long a time,
> >of course, basically only for a year now.
>
> >And that single example is
> >only one of the many possibilities .
>
> Can you provide any single example of anything as bad as your example that has
> happened to hams who have been licensed or upgraded in the past year?
>
> >Yeah, I know- look at the record and you don't see all that much
> >negative result of ham radio licensing haveing been easy at the
> >introductory level.
>
> I guess not.
>
> I can go to the archives in QST and find a few examples of hams tested prior to
> one year ago who have suffered harm as serious as your example, though, Dick.
> These examples range from tower accidents to electrocution.
```

Well, I guess that settles it. Prevention doesn't always work, so why bother?

From -5179497178691500824
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 19:56:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: 27 Apr 2001 02:56:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9can4g$p06$0@208.207.71.175>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net> <4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3ae8cece.831229@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.175
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20318

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> Everybody from the trainee Sonar operator up to
> Fargo and well beyond owns a piece of this one

Looks like that to me. A big Q mark popped up when I first learned that it was a demo for a busload of civilian VIP's when it all went wrong. Talk about distractions, should never happen. But the late word is that they do not intend to discontinue these VIP demos. The commander in chief himself should nix this stuff.

From 2899926960790279911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 20:05:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 3
X-Admin: news@cs.com

From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 03:04:45 GMT
References: <20010419080831.28262.00000233@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010426230445.04016.00001192@ng-fa1.news.cs.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20319

I understand completely, Steve...no sweat. Take it easy.

73 de Bert

From 7973199369244258323
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 20:18:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3ae8cece.831229@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <Fx5G6.320$SZ5.23712@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 23:17:57 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 03:17:57 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20320

In article <3ae8cece.831229@news2.dvol.com>, Brian Kelly, w3rv says...

> If he had been court-martialed and found innocent he would have been
>reinstated as the CO of the boat involved or some other boat or put in
>command of a desk somewhere and would be continuing his career with an
>unblemished record.

Hardly! Even if acquitted (no one is "found innocent") he would still have been "the guy who sunk that JA fishing boat". I spent most of my adult life in the community, and that guys career was ended either way. The legal beagles can blow smoke as they wish, but he never would have seen another promotion nor another command. The competition for four rings on your sleeve, and for any command (let alone a seagoing command) if far too intense to allow him any hope for either.

By the way, there was no "honorable option" for Waddle to take.
The decision regarding NJP vs. Court Martial is not made by the
alleged offender, but his superior (in this case CINCPACFLT).

73, Hans, K0HB

From -2687137408637226259
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 20:37:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Sam Grasso" <grasso@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 79
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <yP5G6.34240$B22.7856278@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 03:37:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 988342622 24.180.184.171 (Thu, 26 Apr 2001
20:37:02 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 26 Apr 2001 20:37:02 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20321

Phil K, it is a pleasure to read your posts. It is like watching a master at work.

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On Thu, 26 Apr 2001 21:27:49 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> >>   And to open another can of worms which has
> >> barely been touched on, how will that officer
> >> conclusively determine thzt the suspect is in
> >> fact the person making the suspected
> >> transmissions? [snip]
> >
> >
> > I don't know, Phil. I suspect he won't have to "conclusively"
> >determine where a signal is coming from.
>
>   No conclusive determination of the origin of the signal, no case.
>   Anything less is sloppy evidence-gathering and guesswork, which is
>   a p-poor basis for any legal case.
>
> >In most cases, it will be a
> >simple matter of deduction - a neighbor complains, says the signal is
> >coming from that house, the officer does see an antenna at that
> >location, the officer knocks on the door, and asks to inspect the
station.
>
>   Wide open to the "dude defense" -- "its not me, officer, it's some
>   dude elsewhere". No conclusive evidence that the alleged unlawful
>   nonconforming signals are coming from that station, no case. Period.
>
>   Dwight - analysis of enforcement cases for sufficiency before they
>   are brought is MY bag - I was the Commission's expert on such things
>   for years and taught it at the Academy and in OJT. It's sloppy
>   prosecutorial technique to issue a forfeiture or take a case into
>   court where the prosecution cannot conclusively prove every element
>   of the violation and overcome any foreseeable defense. That goes
>   for everything from spitting on the sidewalk to premediatated murder.
>
>
> >>   As I said earlier, if the officer is going
> >> to enforce radio laws, he has to be qualified
> >> to understand what he is doing. And when those
> >> measurements are made and evaluated, the
> >> qualifications of the maker/evaluator bear
> >> directly on the credibility of those measurments
> >> and conclusions. Any decent defense attorney
> >> will jump on that first thing.
> >
> >
> > Why are you so certain an attorney will even be involved? I suspect
> >CB enforcement at the local level will be treated as a misdemeanor,
> >with nothing more than a minor fine involved. And, like most other
> >misdemeanor offenses (traffic offenses, minor citations, etc), few

> >will ever make it to a courtroom.
>
>   Any operator who does not get legal advice and "go the distance" in
>   a situation such as this is a fool. I would love to take on some of
>   these cases if and when they arise.
>
> >The FCC will probably continue to
> >handle the more serious offenses (or complicates cases involving
> >technical evaluation).
>
>   Tell me the scenario of someone operating overpower and/or
>   out-of-band that would not involve technical evaluation to
>   conclusively prove the violation.
>
>   A charge of "using non-type-accepted equipment" is the icing on the
>   cake, not the cake itself. No probative evidence that the station is
>   operating "out of tolerance", no reasonable cause to make the
>   inspection to determine if the equipment is type-accepted or not.
>
> --
>   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

From -4630303258021173301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 21:36:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 00:36:29 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-88.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988346149 324657 206.74.49.88 (27 Apr 2001 04:35:49
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 04:35:49 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20322

K0HB wrote:

>
> I'm sure the opinion of a Division
> Communications Services Officer of
> the USCG Auxiliary in South Carolina
> is valuable in determining punishment
> for this collision and subsequent
> loss of a ship and 9 lives.

Hans, the opinions expressed are solely my own (based on information heard through public news sources). They do not represent the views of the U.S. Coast Guard or Auxiliary, nor do they represent a statement of my position as a member or representative of either organization. This is further underlined by the fact that I did not attempt to identify any association with either organization when I made those statements.

Furthermore, I'm not a member of the Auxiliary in South Carolina. I'm a member in District 13 (Washington, Oregon, Idaho, Wyoming, and Montana). My Division is located on the Olympic Peninsula of Washington State, with activities extending throughout Puget Sound, the Strait of Juan de Fuca, and the coastal waters of the Pacific Ocean. I'm simply in South Carolina for an extended visit to deal with personal family matters.

> US Navy Admiral Tom Fargo, Commander in
> Chief of the Pacific Fleet, also has some
> opinions on the matter, and the contrast
> from your expert opinion is striking.

Oh, I'm sure Admiral Fargo does have a different opinion. If I were in his shoes, I probably would be forced to express a different opinion also. However, when writing as a private individual, I don't have to consider public opinion when offering my views on the matter.

> "Waddle fostered an artificial sense of
> urgency in preparation for the drill,
> ensuring the failure of the ship�s watch
> team to work together and pass information
> about the surface contact.�

Since the drill involved what would normally be considered an urgent procedure, it was not unreasonable for it to be done with a sense of urgency. Furthermore, the Captain of a submarine capable of carrying nuclear weapons should be able to expect his crew to conduct their duties effectively regardless of any sense of urgency (real or artificial).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3824511174776435460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 21:37:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 111
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 04:36:55 GMT
References: <9c818t$roo$0@216.155.32.123>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010427003655.06351.00000431@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20323

In article <9c818t$roo$0@216.155.32.123>, Larry Roll <k3lt@magpage.com> writes:

>W1RFI wrote:
>
>> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>>
>> >The only reason why
>> >ham study guides are bigger now is because they contain an entire
>> >pool of questions and the exact answers, to be memorized by
>> >prospective test-takers.
>>
>> In the 200+ page Technician study guide, Now You're Talking, last time I
>> counted, about 80 pages are devoted to the questions. That leaves over 120
>> pages of other material -- over 100 pages of which are explanatory material
>for
>> the 80 pages of questions. The Technician is the typical entry point of
>ham
>> radio today. In my day, it was the Novice, which had a 4-page study guide.
>Not
>> exactly the "same stuff" by my counts. Your count may vary.

It's not the size of the study guide that matters, it's the information
contained therein and how well the student must learn it in order to pass the
test.
>

>Ed:
>
>It would be interesting to learn how many prospective Technicians actually
>read (note I didn't say "studied" or "learned") the 100-plus pages of
>explanatory
>material, as opposed to those who merely learned the right answers to the
>questions.

I think most hams make an effort to actually learn the material, not just memorize the pools. Some just word-associate and memory-trick their way through, of course, but that's nothing new at all.

I had an experience recently where a prospective Extra on another NG could not understand the Thevenin equivalent problem, even after reading the explanation in several study guides. He knew he could just memorize the answers to the 10 questions in the pool, but he did NOT want to do that - he wanted to learn! So I gave him an explanation, and after a few go-rounds he got the idea. I learned a lot, too, because it's one thing to know how to solve a certain type of problem and quite another to teach someone else how to do it.

> I learned most of my electronics by building homebrew projects
>out of magazines (stuff like 6-transistor AM Broadcast radios, light dimmers,
>power supplies, motor speed controls, etc.) and a couple of dozen Heathkits
>--
>including two HW-8's and an HW-101. These were mainly kits I built for
>other people -- including 100% of the ham gear! The only Heathkit I ever
>built for my own personal use was that great little "micro-matic" keyer with
>the capacitive "touch" paddles! I sold it to KA3T; wish I had it back! Rick
>-
>are you out there?
>
>Anyway, I digress...

I learned my fundamentals by designing and building ham rigs from "recycled" parts back in the mid-60s. My "study guides" ran to several hundred pages - they were the Handbook, Understanding Amateur Radio, and other books.

>
>You're right, the study guides are bigger today than they were in the
>Jurassic
>era when you were first licensed. However, I am willing to bet that the
>average
>New Ham of today knows a lot less about the technical aspects of the hobby
>than you and your contemporaries did.

The range of technical knowledge back then was wide. Some hams were very knowledgeable while others were clueless. Like the guy who brought home a new XXX receiver, hooked it up and learned to use it (sort of), then plugged a mike into the PHONES jack, flipped the SEND-RECEIVE switch to SEND and started calling other hams. He complained to the manufacturer that the set was no good because he did not work anyone.

Then as now, some built from scratch while others bought their stuff. And everything in between. And the guy who was an expert in one area might be a rank beginner in another area.

>Back then, your "Elmers" patiently
>showed you how to neutralize the finals in your transmitter, or sat with you
>when you checked into your first CW net.

NOT! I, for one, did not have that sort of help. My Elmers were books, but I had to read the books and figure out how the stuff in them applied to what I was doing. Of course the books were really good, so in effect I had Elmers with names like Grammer, DeMaw, McCoy, and Goodman.

By the way, the term "Elmer" did not exist until the '70s. It's one of the few ham radio terms whose exact source and origin can be pinpointed. THERE'S a question for the pools!

>Today, "Elmers" are called on to
>read instruction manuals for brand new HT's so they can help their "students"
>figure out how to set the repeater offset and PL tones!

Some things never change. The Old Man wrote of Final Authority, Young Squirt, Radical, and other characters whose counterparts are still around today.

What matters, though, is HOW the Elmer helps out.

--

Today we have more opportunities to Elmer than ever before. And there are more resources than ever before, 'specially with newsgroups, reflectors, and websites. Heck, there are over 500 (that's FIVE HUNDRED) technical articles online at the ARRL website alone! Many times all a new ham needs is a pointer to where the info is located.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -5805678003683444931
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-26 22:56:38 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 05:55:23 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae902df.14161406@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<9c48pd$cur$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AE5EA08.49E5DEF2@IEEE.org>
<3ae61776$0$62152$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.207
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.207
X-Trace: 27 Apr 2001 00:51:55 -0500, 64.24.17.207
Lines: 30
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20324

On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 20:14:55 -0400, "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> wrote:

>
>The issue was settled the next year when President Clinton decided to allow
>the sharing of tracking assistance "if the President has determined that
>such actions are necessary because of the threat posed by drugtrafficking
>[sic] to the national security of that country and that the country has
>appropriate procedures in place to protect innocent aircraft."
>
Whatta crock.
>
Legalize the goddam stuff and treat it the same way we treat alcholic beverages. Legalization would wipe out the drug cartels right on down to the street-corner peddlers on the spot, would get rid of the gunfire and get the CIA and assorted militaries out of this mission-impossible circle-jerk. Taxation of the crap would produce enormous unending positive cash flows into to the public coffers just like legal booze sales do. Instead of bleeding the public coffers by the billions now spent on "enforcement".
>
Imagine how many "enforcers" would have to dredge up other ways to churn their daily bread if legalization came to pass . . which is the real story.
>
We learned *nothing* from Prohibtion, the most infamously failed of all chunks of "social engineering". But not all of us missed that message.
>
w3rv

From -7336433699799392217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 00:53:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>

Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104270749210.145-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com> <3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net>
<3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com> <3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net>
<3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com> <3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 19
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 07:53:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.34
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988358006 12.80.44.34 (Fri, 27 Apr 2001 07:53:26 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 07:53:26 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20325

On Thu, 26 Apr 2001, Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   We've covered this before (however, I do remember you think it is
> impossible). Anyway, what about a simple list of the most common
> illegal CB radio devices on the market? Cops use a "hot sheet" all
> the time to determine if a car may be stolen. That "hot sheet" gives
> them the authority to stop a suspected car, arrest the driver, and
> seize the car. If a "hot sheet" of stolen cars is legal (routinely
> stands up in court), why not a "hot sheet" of the most common illegal
> CB radio equipment? It certainly should not take a knowledgeable FCC
> employee more than a few hours to make up a list every few months that
> would cover about 95 percent of the illegal CB radio devices commonly used.

...And this introduces another problem if the "most used" illegal CB radio devices list includes those devices which are perfectly legal in another radio service.....   (e.g. Uniden HR 2510 - a local favorite in my area, which when unmodified, is a perfectly legal 10m amateur radio transceiver). With that, we return to the most common point of opposition to this law when it was still just a bill: Using the law as an excuse to harass other radio services.....

From 6764649787606444387
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 03:12:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 10:12:34 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104270749210.145-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010427061234.04826.00000001@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20326

>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org

>>Anyway, what about a simple list of the most common
>> illegal CB radio devices on the market?

Like Ranger 10-meter rigs that have been modified to operate outside the ham bands?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2437236273344160295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 03:15:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 10:15:39 GMT
References: <9cajf4$eo9$0@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010427061539.04826.00000002@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20327

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> That could be because you can grab a hot radiator and suffer no
>permanent damage, in fact it can be a learning experience. No such
>assurances accrue to grabbing a HV terminal which gives no prior warning
>eminations to the unknowledgable.

Perhaps you think the consequences of overheated radiators are universally harmless, but I disagree. A hot radiator hose can burst, causing permanent blindness if one happens to have a head inside the vehicle. Jumping a battery incorrectly can have similar consequences.

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -4511381532765004572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 03:17:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 10:17:16 GMT
References: <9camed$kcv$1@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010427061716.04826.00000003@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20328
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Well, I guess that settles it. Prevention doesn't always work, so why
>bother?

I guess the only positions you are capable of taking are extremes. Most people are capable of a wide range of feelings and beliefs. I expect that those are the views that will prevail.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3520200139897687600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 03:18:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 10:18:10 GMT
References: <3AE8C07D.173AFBB0@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010427061810.04826.00000004@ng-fm1.aol.com>
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20329

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>> Yeah, Cecil; can't you be refined and just tell people you disagree with to
>> soak their heads?

>Which did you find more offensive - when Dick said it, or when Hans said
>it earlier in the week?

Which one was said to me?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6000091471195598024
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f00ac64e5fa6fad5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 03:20:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 10:19:31 GMT
References: <3AE82E75.78E2A35F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Los Angeles County Law on Scanners
Message-ID: <20010427061931.06351.00000434@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20330

In article <3AE82E75.78E2A35F@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Texas doesn't (yet) have a scanner law. When and if we do,
>I will certainly contact my representatives.

By then it will probably be too late, Cecil.

> Time was when
>it was legal to collect and listen to any RF.

During WW1, not only were hams shut down from transmitting, but from receiving, too. Equipment had to be disconnected and sealed to prevent operation.

>IMO, that's

>the way things should be.

"The waves come upon us, whether we bid them or not". I see it as a question of property rights - if my RF reaches your property, and you can intercept it without preventing others from doing so, you should be able to listen to it. What you do with the information contained in it is another matter. .

>If someone doesn't want their
>RF transmissions to be monitored, they should encrypt them.

Agreed!!

The real problem is that many politicians are so technologically ignorant that they do not understand the most basic concepts. For example, the original analog cellphone systems are completely unencrypted, yet folks like Newt Gingrich had embarrassing conversations using them. Then the embarrassed Newt and other pols pushed through unenforceable laws in an effort to prevent a recurrence. They treated it like wiretapping, which is of course not the same thing at all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -8492702390328070694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 03:20:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 10:19:58 GMT
References: <9cambv$kcv$0@208.207.71.175>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010427061958.04826.00000005@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20331
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >Hey, I was trying to get you to wake up! Headsoaking will do it every
>> >time.

>> Is this "I did it for your own good," "Your honor, he made me beat him" or
>> "Do what I say, not what I do?"

> Either way it seems to have worked.

In what way do you think you have changed my mind about anything by exhibiting
a reversion to grammar-school conduct, Dick?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>
>
>

From 3855536762767819235
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 05:33:35 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 12:33:11 GMT
Message-ID: <3ae962d3.38726647@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9c55qt$h6f$0@208.206.142.94> <20010425224946.24866.00000333@nso-cu.aol.com> <3ae86ac9.91616033@news2.dvol.com> <9cakua$io4$0@208.207.71.175>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.81
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.81
X-Trace: 27 Apr 2001 07:29:41 -0500, 64.24.16.81
Lines: 29
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20332

On 27 Apr 2001 02:18:50 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>> I'm about to take an FT-817 out for a test drive. SSB, CW, PSK, FM,
>> etc 1.8 thru 440Mhz in a shirt pocket.
>
> Hey, let us know what you think. That's the only piece I don't already
>have that makes my mouth water...
>
I'll do that. It was reviewed in QST a few months ago. A buddy of mine
who just took a Golden Handshake early retirement deal from Honeywell
lives a mile from here and has one.
>
> That's current ham radio

>> technology and nobody is interested in going back to 1936 technology,
>> Lenny.
>
> Right on. Somehow all the geekos can't visualize that all this
>improvement helps CW just as well as the other modes. It's another
>'don't want to'.
>
The guy works a day or two as a week at the local HRO. That one store
is selling 50 817s a month. Two a day! Gotta be one of the hottest
items to hit the ham radio shelves ever. It's gettting scads of ops
back into cw who haven't used cw for years, he's one of 'em.
>
>Dick W�EX
>
w3rv

From 6015248224863265916
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 05:38:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 12:37:55 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <3ae8ba43.1372575@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-
i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>
<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<9c2fbc$97l$0@208.206.142.95> <3ae5acd0.1575844@news.mindspring.com>
<HxVF6.6313$QV4.528746@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ed.b4
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 12:38:41 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20333

On Thu, 26 Apr 2001 13:38:47 GMT, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:

>NCI Director Bill Sohl says:
>

>>Give us one real reason to build their own radio?
>>It sure can't be the economics, it sure can't be
>>to get a better rig, ...
>
>This is from the same fellow who thinks "8 feet above the roof" is an
>acceptable height limit for amateur antennas.

Hans, I have said one can accomplish quite a bit
in that 8' of space. I would not want to live with
such restrictions myself, nor have I said that the
8' is acceptable...it may ust be that you have little
choice in dealing with the 8' if that's your situation.

>There are any number of "real reasons" (what is an un-real reason, BTW?) an
>amateur might want to build their own radio.
>
>..maybe they want to experiment with a new DSP filtering scheme.
>..maybe they'd like to try class D amplification.
>..maybe they have a new idea for a "mainframe radio" architecture.

As before, that is your choice.

>..maybe they were just bored on a cold Saturday afternoon and wanted something
>to do to warm their hands.

godd for a laugh anyway :-)

>..maybe they took 97.1(b) seriously.

Are you saying you can only take 97.1(b) seriously
if you homebrew?

>..maybe they wanted to revoke their membership in QCAO.
>
>Are you typical of other NCI Directors, or are there some who understand the
>difference between Part 95 and Part 97 radio services.

I absolutly understand the difference and homebrewing
isn't a requirement to conform to Part 97.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

>
>Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

```
From -3583421943389419822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 05:38:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 12:37:54 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3ae8b75a.627041@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AE7577B.90D633F8@uci.edu> <20010426055332.07856.00000762@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3ae7ff4a.295969@news.mindspring.com> <9c9e2u$j7b$1@208.207.71.163>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ed.b4
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 12:38:38 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20334
```

On 26 Apr 2001 15:15:42 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

```
>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> if not all, NCI BOD members already
>> have either an Extra or they have already passed even
>> the minimum 5wpm now in place.  Ending ALL code
>> testing doesn't benefit any of the current NCI BOD
>> members.
>
> Just provides for them another ego boost. They helped destroy that old
>code boogeyman.
```

No ego boost needed. But I will agree that there is a certain pleasure in setting out to accomplish a goal and then achieving it.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 5619699098288171272
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 05:38:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!howland.erols.net!
```

newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 12:37:59 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3ae8bd3f.2136059@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9c851k$idr$0@208.207.71.157> <20010426060446.07856.00000765@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9c9cvp$ff9$0@208.207.71.163>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ed.b4
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 12:38:43 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20335

On 26 Apr 2001 14:56:57 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> >So you made no effort to protect your children from accidental
>> >electrocution from your exposed ham gear, which you knew so little about
>> >that you too were exposed, even though you had passed a ham license test
>> >that authorized you to run high power?
>>
>> I think we can settle this one from the historical record. Dick, you have been
>> a ham longer than I have; can you recall any instance where the child of a ham
>> was electrocuted by touching the uncovered high voltage of a ham radio
>> apparatus? This certainly would have been reported in one or more of the ham
>> rags.
>
> The point is, we hav not had easy licensing for all that long a time,
>of course, basically only for a year now. And that single example is
>only one of the many possibilities .

1958, pass the simple general test and a Technician
licensee could run KW equipment. I was only 16 at the time.

> Yeah, I know- look at the record and you don't see all that much
>negative result of ham radio licensing haveing been easy at the
>introductory level. There was a good reason for the Novice tohave been
>limited to 75 then later 200 watts however. I can't imagine how it can
>be justified to turn unknowledgable people loose with highpowered radio
>gear when you can't legally drive around the block without your seatbelt
>on.

Forget the seatbelt, as stated in another post a
day or so back, a new driver can buy ANY car they
want with no limitations as to power under the hood.

Cheers,

Bill K2UNK

From 3423213165181386440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 05:50:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 12:48:56 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 82
Message-ID: <3ae96924.2456370@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ed.b4
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 12:49:37 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20336

On Thu, 26 Apr 2001 21:27:49 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>>   An officer investigating any crime, say
>> a robbery, still has to evaluate "what
>> happened" in terms of the elements of the
>> crime, i.e. did the perpetrator take
>> property from the victim by force or fear
>> (or whatever that state defines as the
>> elemenst of the crime).
>
> Okay, we've covered all that (the skills necessary to gather
>information and evidence).
>
>>   How can an officer enforce the radio law,
>> i.e. determine if a transmitting station is
>> in violation of that ordinance, without

>> making technical measurements and evaluating
>> the results of those measurements?
>
> We've covered this before (however, I do remember you think it is
>impossible). Anyway, what about a simple list of the most common
>illegal CB radio devices on the market? Cops use a "hot sheet" all
>the time to determine if a car may be stolen. That "hot sheet" gives
>them the authority to stop a suspected car, arrest the driver, and
>seize the car. If a "hot sheet" of stolen cars is legal (routinely
>stands up in court), why not a "hot sheet" of the most common illegal
>CB radio equipment? It certainly should not take a knowledgeable FCC
>employee more than a few hours to make up a list every few months that
>would cover about 95 percent of the illegal CB radio devices commonly used.

Dwight...pay attention carefully...

Even assuming such an all enclusive list can be generated...
JUST HOW DOES THE COP GET TO SEE THE RADIO IN
A PERSON'S HOME. The cop has NO right of access.
You continue to ignore that vital step needed to look at
any radio in a person's home.

>>   And to open another can of worms which has
>> barely been touched on, how will that officer
>> conclusively determine thzt the suspect is in
>> fact the person making the suspected
>> transmissions? [snip]
>
> I don't know, Phil. I suspect he won't have to "conclusively"
>determine where a signal is coming from. In most cases, it will be a
>simple matter of deduction - a neighbor complains, says the signal is
>coming from that house, the officer does see an antenna at that
>location, the officer knocks on the door, and asks to inspect the station.

And as Phil Kane noted before...the cop has NO right to insect
any station at all. Indeed, the person could simply state:
"I have NO station of any kind."

>>   As I said earlier, if the officer is going
>> to enforce radio laws, he has to be qualified
>> to understand what he is doing. And when those
>> measurements are made and evaluated, the
>> qualifications of the maker/evaluator bear
>> directly on the credibility of those measurments
>> and conclusions. Any decent defense attorney
>> will jump on that first thing.
>
> Why are you so certain an attorney will even be involved? I suspect
>CB enforcement at the local level will be treated as a misdemeanor,
>with nothing more than a minor fine involved. And, like most other
>misdemeanor offenses (traffic offenses, minor citations, etc), few
>will ever make it to a courtroom. The FCC will probably continue to
>handle the more serious offenses (or complicates cases involving

>technical evaluation).

The rules of law, prosecution, etc still prevail.
Assuming someone is "charged", the person can always
enlist the help of an attorney. Indeed, I suspect that would
happen far more than you think.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6911206470459524245
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 05:58:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 12:57:09 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3ae96c29.3229378@news.mindspring.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com> <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ed.b4
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 12:58:01 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12375
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15321 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20337

On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 00:18:41 GMT, 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI) wrote:

>On 26 Apr 2001 20:20:22 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
>wrote:
>
>>It's not code usage. No one is denying anyone the privelege of using morse to
>>communicate. It's code *testing* that has everyone in an uproar in this
>>newsgroup. Anything past what is required the treaty is nothing but a worthless
>>hazing ritual for Ye Olde Farhtz who think that testees are learning a
>>"valuable communications skill"

>
>I think I see what you are saying, but factually CW is still the most
>efficient form of exchanging information available to amateurs when
>conditions are marginal.
>
>I certainly have no objection to people who never use CW not taking a
>fast CW test, but it is stupid to allow 5 WPM people access to
>high-speed CW segments. It actually causes problems.

Where are those "high-speed" segments. I have never
seen anything in Part 97 that designates any band
segment as requiring a Minimum code speed during
any QSO.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 8218009278585521866
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 06:26:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 08:25:07 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3AE97333.24FBCA21@IEEE.org>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mlp$dfi$2@208.207.71.157>
<3AE6DF77.A8414BFC@IEEE.org> <9c851k$idr$0@208.207.71.157>
<3AE7AD11.E86466FE@IEEE.org> <9c9aot$6j5$0@208.207.71.163>
<3AE83284.61096B51@IEEE.org> <9cajf4$eo9$0@208.207.71.175>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.88.74
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 13:26:21 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20338

Dick Carroll wrote:
> That could be because you can grab a hot radiator and suffer no
> permanent damage, in fact it can be a learning experience.

Well, how about the learning experience of grabbing a fanbelt while
the motor is running? Get real, Dick. It is not your or my or the
feds responsibility to protect people from themselves. It has never
been the purpose of ham radio testing to protect hams from themselves.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -8657067691318498835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 06:37:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.stealth.net!
newspeer.cts.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 09:36:46 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 13:36:46 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20339

Dwight Stewart says:

>> "Waddle fostered an artificial sense of
>> urgency in preparation for the drill,
>> ensuring the failure of the ship�s watch
>> team to work together and pass information
>> about the surface contact.�
>
>Since the drill involved what would normally be considered an urgent
>procedure, it was not unreasonable for it to be done with a sense of
>urgency.

Peacetime drills, first and foremost, require the strictest attention to safety
```

concerns, especially when the drill is conducted only for benefit of observers, and in waters in sight of land and known to be used by other shipping. The fact that Greenville shortcut safety procedures, and had a breakdown of team communications regarding the surface picture demonstrates that the skipper of Greenville had not properly prepared himself, his ship, nor his crew for this evolution.

>Furthermore, the Captain of a submarine capable of carrying
>nuclear weapons should be able to expect his crew to conduct their
>duties effectively regardless of any sense of urgency (real or artificial).

According to the findings of a Board of Inquiry, neither CDR Waddle nor his crew conducted their duties effectively in this drill. The responsibility for that lies directly on the Captain.

At sea, the power and authority of a Navy Captain is total and absolute. So is his responsibility and accountability for the safety and performance of his ship and crew. I'm surprised the same is not true in the Coast Guard.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -7469943367518482248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 06:51:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!yellow.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <3ae975ae.172605888@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com> <teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net> <9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com> <9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com> <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com> <3ae96c29.3229378@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 38

Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 13:51:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.42
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988379506 216.1.128.42 (Fri, 27 Apr 2001 09:51:46 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 09:51:46 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12380 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15322 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20340

On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 12:57:09 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:

>Where are those "high-speed" segments. I have never
>seen anything in Part 97 that designates any band
>segment as requiring a Minimum code speed during
>any QSO.

By saying "high speed segments" I was alluding to the areas outside the novice and tech bands where 5 WPM testing gains access only to a specific CW segment.

I wanted to say that without referring to the novice or tech holders as "lower skilled CW ops" or as "novices", since that might have other implicatiuons than simply being a lower speed area operating area.

But if you prefer, in plainer language, the lower CW-skill areas (such as 7100-7150 on 40 meters) as a general rule used to contain all of the slow struggling CW work.

Now it has moved into other areas of the bands, and is causing problems. On a nightly basis, I am called by several people who obviously haven't a clue what I am sending, or who I am working. They call me on top of the people I am working, and are really having a struggle. In most cases, not matter how I send "QRL" or ".-...", they are lost! They simply don't understand I am working someone else. Some of them continue for a few transmissions before grasping it isn't them that I am working.

Not that I don't want to work them, or appreciate they are trying to work or learn CW, but having the band sorted out so less skilled CW ops are in one area was a lot better idea than letting people who only barely make 5WPM have access to the entire CW area.

I hope this is clearer, although I prefer to think of it as high-speed and low-speed areas instead of thinking of CW skill levels.

73 Tom

From 1540494797524432956
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029

X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 07:05:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 14:05:02 -0000
Organization: Shecora
Message-ID: <90906236FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com> <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 65
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12382
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15323 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20341

2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI) wrote in
<3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>:

>I think I see what you are saying, but factually CW is still the most
>efficient form of exchanging information available to amateurs when
>conditions are marginal.

Maybe 10 or 20 years ago, but not today. For better or worse amateur radio is no longer the primary or secondary backup to normal means. For example high speed (200 kbits or faster) internet connection can be set up anywhere in North America in a few hours for less than $1000.00. Sat phones work anywhere in the world and will give point to point connections and they cost less than learning CW.

If you or anyone else thinks that at some point there will be a need for CW, you should think again. You are just wasting your time and skills. On the other hand if you enjoy CW and get a kick out making CW contacts then go for it. That is great. Just don't try to ram it down everyone else throat. They may not wish to spend their time learning CW, except for fun it has a very poor return on investment. If they don't enjoy it, it is a waste of time.

>I certainly have no objection to people who never use CW not taking a
>fast CW test, but it is stupid to allow 5 WPM people access to
>high-speed CW segments. It actually causes problems.

It would seem like it is time for a new CW band plan. Since class of license no longer split the CW subband why not adopt a CW band plan to the different speeds of CW and for data.

>No matter how we look at it, CW isn't the problem. It isn't a barrier,
>and hasn't been for a long time except to a very few lazy people. The
>problem is people aren't interested in radio.

You know you are not winning any points by name calling. Just because
a person has choosen not to learn high speed CW doesn't make them
lazy.   I have known a lot of people who have elected either not
learn the code or learn the just enough to pass the test, who
are anything but lazy.

>So it is really a useless issue....it's mostly people who want to get
>a "free upgrade" that are doing all the bitching. 1% increase in new
>amateurs and 30% increase in upgrades shows we are whipping a dead
>horse.

The only thing the test is for is to make sure you know the rules
and you have a clue how not to kill yourself. It also serves to
reduce the number of low class CBer's types. There isn't any point
in testing skills anymore because there is so much to the hobby.
The amateur license is a license to learn by doing.

73
--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

From 7487885003306874410
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 07:05:17 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3ADC8DDF.398927BF@IEEE.org> <9bi4bu$dcj$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3ADCA44E.E81AC64A@IEEE.org>
<9biqh0$i10$1@208.207.71.157> <3ADD0BF6.B3B15F4B@IEEE.org>
<9bk6h5$b5j$3@208.207.71.138> <3ADDA404.607271A5@IEEE.org>
<9bmtpd$h5m$0@208.206.142.105> <3ADEFF60.7EE87604@IEEE.org>
<9bqrk7$4l8$0@208.207.71.151> <3AE10E3D.5A6959D@IEEE.org>

<9c00mt$a37$1@208.206.142.101> <3AE3952C.AFDBC1A0@IEEE.org>
<9c0e6g$iff$0@208.206.142.101> <3ae43c5a.8265699@news.mindspring.com>
<9c2fbc$97l$0@208.206.142.95> <3ae5acd0.1575844@news.mindspring.com>
<HxVF6.6313$QV4.528746@www.newsranger.com> <3ae8ba43.1372575@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.   And possibly Should!
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <a0fG6.714$SZ5.58633@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 10:04:54 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 14:04:54 GMT
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!news.bnb-lp.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20342

NCI Director Bill Sohl says:

>>>Give us one real reason to build their own radio?

I gave you a whole laundry list.

>>..maybe they want to experiment with a new DSP filtering scheme.
>>..maybe they'd like to try class D amplification.
>>..maybe they have a new idea for a "mainframe radio" architecture.
>>..maybe they were just bored on a cold Saturday afternoon and wanted something
>>to do to warm their hands.
>>..maybe they took 97.1(b) seriously.
>>..maybe they wanted to revoke their membership in QCAO.

Bill patronizingly responds:

>Are you saying you can only take 97.1(b) seriously
>if you homebrew?

No, I said nothing of the sort. You asked for reasons why someone might build their own radio. I gave you a list of possible reasons, never suggesting that they were the *only* reasons for anything. As any reasonable person (does that include you?) knows, 97.1(b) can include many activities besides homebrewing.

73's, Hans, K0HB

From -3952871066848735318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 07:27:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 27 Apr 2001 14:27:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9cbvl3$m5p$0@208.206.142.111>
References: <9cajf4$eo9$0@208.207.71.175> <20010427061539.04826.00000002@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.111
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20343

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > That could be because you can grab a hot radiator and suffer no
> >permanent damage, in fact it can be a learning experience. No such
> >assurances accrue to grabbing a HV terminal which gives no prior warning
> >eminations to the unknowledgable.
>
> Perhaps you think the consequences of overheated radiators are universally
> harmless, but I disagree. A hot radiator hose can burst, causing permanent
> blindness if one happens to have a head inside the vehicle.

The scenario Cecil offered was a HOT radiator (normal for automobile operation) and not an overheated one, Ed. So that is the scenario I followed. I am aware of the hazards of an overheated one.

Jumping a battery
> incorrectly can have similar consequences.

You got it. Besides the possibility of fire or explosion, there are all sorts of gotchas out there.

At work we once had a training program proposed where untrained personnel were supposed to, on their own with no supervision, open up powered-up mobile radios and learn about them. Bad idea. The mere act of touching the wrong teminal with a hand which has a ring on a finger can cause an instant high-current short circuit that can have serious consequences, like causing the loss of a finger when the ing becomes a redhod heating element. I knew a guy in the service who had lost a ring finger in that way. A wristwatch is similiarly dangerous. And an unknowledgable individual mucking around inside a solid state radio can quickly cause terminal damage that he may never know he caused.

Dick

```
From 4564538457309829899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 07:28:57 PST
Message-ID: <3AE98268.58822387@evl.net>
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 09:30:00 -0500
From: A Marzo <aerome@evl.net>
Reply-To: nospam@evl.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com> <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>
<3ae96c29.3229378@news.mindspring.com> <3ae975ae.172605888@news.accessunited.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: rsvp-208-187-65-238.ac01.dlls.eli.net
X-Trace: newsa.ev1.net 988381544 rsvp-208-187-65-238.ac01.dlls.eli.net (27 Apr
2001 09:25:44 -0500)
Lines: 37
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
```

newsfeed.twtelecom.net!newsa.ev1.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12386
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15324 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20344

Valid and great point. As a community this should be loudly brought to
the attention of those that can make a difference. Remember, the
squeakiest wheel gets the most oil (as long as the wheel can still turn!).

Tom W8JI wrote:

> By saying "high speed segments" I was alluding to the areas outside
> the novice and tech bands where 5 WPM testing gains access only to a
> specific CW segment.
>
> I wanted to say that without referring to the novice or tech holders
> as "lower skilled CW ops" or as "novices", since that might have other
> implicatiuons than simply being a lower speed area operating area.
>
> But if you prefer, in plainer language, the lower CW-skill areas (such
> as 7100-7150 on 40 meters) as a general rule used to contain all of
> the slow struggling CW work.
>
> Now it has moved into other areas of the bands, and is causing
> problems. On a nightly basis, I am called by several people who
> obviously haven't a clue what I am sending, or who I am working. They
> call me on top of the people I am working, and are really having a
> struggle. In most cases, not matter how I send "QRL" or ".-...", they
> are lost! They simply don't understand I am working someone else. Some
> of them continue for a few transmissions before grasping it isn't them
> that I am working.
>
> Not that I don't want to work them, or appreciate they are trying to
> work or learn CW, but having the band sorted out so less skilled CW
> ops are in one area was a lot better idea than letting people who only
> barely make 5WPM have access to the entire CW area.
>
> I hope this is clearer, although I prefer to think of it as high-speed
> and low-speed areas instead of thinking of CW skill levels.
>
> 73 Tom

From -5957265324428634546
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 07:34:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.

```
Date: 27 Apr 2001 14:34:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9cc01q$m5p$1@208.206.142.111>
References: <3AE7577B.90D633F8@uci.edu> <20010426055332.07856.00000762@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3ae7ff4a.295969@news.mindspring.com> <9c9e2u$j7b$1@208.207.71.163>
<3ae8b75a.627041@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.111
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20345



Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 26 Apr 2001 15:15:42 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> if not all, NCI BOD members already
> >> have either an Extra or they have already passed even
> >> the minimum 5wpm now in place.  Ending ALL code
> >> testing doesn't benefit any of the current NCI BOD
> >> members.
> >
> > Just provides for them another ego boost. They helped destroy that old
> >code boogeyman.
>
> No ego boost needed.  But I will agree that there is
> a certain pleasure in setting out to accomplish a
> goal and then achieving it.
>

 What I said. Of course, the medical code waiver headache was what
actually got it done. NCI just liked to take the credit.


From 5843968567783333226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 07:37:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
```

rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: 27 Apr 2001 14:37:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9cc07s$m5p$2@208.206.142.111>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com> <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>
<3ae96c29.3229378@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.111
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12388
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15325 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20346

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 00:18:41 GMT, 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI) wrote:
>
> >On 26 Apr 2001 20:20:22 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
> >wrote:
> >
> >>It's not code usage. No one is denying anyone the privelege of using morse to
> >>communicate. It's code *testing* that has everyone in an uproar in this
> >>newsgroup. Anything past what is required the treaty is nothing but a
worthless
> >>hazing ritual for Ye Olde Farhtz who think that testees are learning a
> >>"valuable communications skill"
> >
> >I think I see what you are saying, but factually CW is still the most
> >efficient form of exchanging information available to amateurs when
> >conditions are marginal.
> >
> >I certainly have no objection to people who never use CW not taking a
> >fast CW test, but it is stupid to allow 5 WPM people access to
> >high-speed CW segments. It actually causes problems.
>
> Where are those "high-speed" segments. I have never
> seen anything in Part 97 that designates any band
> segment as requiring a Minimum code speed during
> any QSO.

Ah, right! Now the theme is "there are no high sped segments". Is this
a surprise? Don't think so. Next, "why have CW/Data segments" which we
see is already out there.

From 1759468999209596749
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 07:39:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 27 Apr 2001 14:39:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9cc0aj$m5p$3@208.206.142.111>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mlp$dfi$2@208.207.71.157>
<3AE6DF77.A8414BFC@IEEE.org> <9c851k$idr$0@208.207.71.157>
<3AE7AD11.E86466FE@IEEE.org> <9c9aot$6j5$0@208.207.71.163>
<3AE83284.61096B51@IEEE.org> <9cajf4$eo9$0@208.207.71.175>
<3AE97333.24FBCA21@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.111
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20347

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > That could be because you can grab a hot radiator and suffer no
> > permanent damage, in fact it can be a learning experience.
>
> Well, how about the learning experience of grabbing a fanbelt while
> the motor is running? Get real, Dick. It is not your or my or the
> feds responsibility to protect people from themselves. It has never
> been the purpose of ham radio testing to protect hams from themselves.

You don't seem to realize that you just said "safety is not a part of
it at all".

I doubt FCC will agree, at all.

From -628250559759415940
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 07:45:30 PST
Message-ID: <415.988233636504@iw1.etin.com>
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 07:45:51 -0700 (PDT)
From: Hans K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net>
Subject: Re: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Organization: Confusion and Chaos, PTD LTD
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Size: 836
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!etin.com
Lines: 24
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20348

N2EY said

> Today we have more opportunities to Elmer than ever before. And
> there are more resources than ever before, 'specially with
> newsgroups, reflectors, and websites. Heck, there are over 500
> (that's FIVE HUNDRED) technical articles online at the ARRL
> website alone! Many times all a new ham needs is a pointer
> to where the info is located.

Jim, I love it when someone cuts through all the whining about "it ain't like it used to be" and reminds us of an obvious fact, like you did above. The fact is we've never had more technical resources available to us as hams, and essentially free for the asking in many cases.

73, Hans, K0HB

Posted via http://www.etin.com - the FREE public USENET portal on the Web
Complete SEARCHING, BROWSING, and POSTING of text and BINARY messages!

From 5193177649252118731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 08:22:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!yellow.newsread.com!bad-news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.newshog.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <3ae98a47.177880068@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com> <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>
<90906236FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 122
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 15:22:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.24
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: newshog.newsread.com 988384928 216.1.128.24 (Fri, 27 Apr 2001 11:22:08 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 11:22:08 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12390
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15326 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20349

On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 14:05:02 -0000, darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl
Wagoner - WA1GON) wrote:

>>I think I see what you are saying, but factually CW is still the most
>>efficient form of exchanging information available to amateurs when
>>conditions are marginal.
>
>Maybe 10 or 20 years ago, but not today. For better or worse amateur
>radio is no longer the primary or secondary backup to normal means.
>For example high speed (200 kbits or faster) internet connection
>can be set up anywhere in North America in a few hours for less than
>$1000.00. Sat phones work anywhere in the world and will give point
>to point connections and they cost less than learning CW.

Ahh, I was referring to HF radio comnmunications...where CW is used.

I was not alluding to land-line or satellite communications.

>If you or anyone else thinks that at some point there will be a need
>for CW, you should think again. You are just wasting your time and
>skills. On the other hand if you enjoy CW and get a kick out making
>CW contacts then go for it. That is great. Just don't try to ram
>it down everyone else throat. They may not wish to spend their time
>learning CW, except for fun it has a very poor return on investment.
>If they don't enjoy it, it is a waste of time.

True enough. There is a big phone band for those people to enjoy. They
should be happy working phone, and not ask for extra class CW

frequencies.

But you are incorrect if t you think CW is not an efficient mode when
conditions are poor, power is limited, and you don't have a rack full
of other support gear to process signals.

>>I certainly have no objection to people who never use CW not taking a
>>fast CW test, but it is stupid to allow 5 WPM people access to
>>high-speed CW segments. It actually causes problems.
>
>It would seem like it is time for a new CW band plan. Since class
>of license no longer split the CW subband why not adopt a CW band
>plan to the different speeds of CW and for data.

Gosh, we had one. The FCC turned it over when they listened to Kenwood
and W5YI.

>>No matter how we look at it, CW isn't the problem. It isn't a barrier,
>>and hasn't been for a long time except to a very few lazy people. The
>>problem is people aren't interested in radio.
>
>You know you are not winning any points by name calling. Just because
>a person has choosen not to learn high speed CW doesn't make them
>lazy.   I have known a lot of people who have elected either not
>learn the code or learn the just enough to pass the test, who
>are anything but lazy.

OK, lazy or unmotivated.

My point is CW is not a barrier UNLESS the person chooses to NOT learn
CW. It is a barrier by choice of the person, not by the fact it is
there.

I don't ever plan on doing may things in life, and I still have to
learn things I think I don't need. That is MY choice, not a "barrier".
If I am too lazy or unmotivated to learn something, it's really my
problem. I quit whining about such stuff when I was a teenager, I quit
saying "that's unfair" because usually the whining takes more work
than the effort.

For example, when I was 16 I whined about having to pass a parallel
parking test to be able to get a driver's license. "Unfair", no one
parallel parks! Hey, now I think it is a good idea.

>>So it is really a useless issue....it's mostly people who want to get
>>a "free upgrade" that are doing all the bitching. 1% increase in new
>>amateurs and 30% increase in upgrades shows we are whipping a dead
>>horse.
>
>The only thing the test is for is to make sure you know the rules
>and you have a clue how not to kill yourself. It also serves to
>reduce the number of low class CBer's types. There isn't any point
>in testing skills anymore because there is so much to the hobby.

>The amateur license is a license to learn by doing.

No problem, that's why we need steps!!! We should have logical steps that encourage progress, and keep people from operating outside the limits of their experience or skills.

Why should a person who can't handle CW gain full CW access? Why should a person who can't understand SSB gain full SSB access? Why should a person who can't understand how to operate a station operate HF, where he has a dozen things he can screw up and bother other people with?

I'm not for or against CW testing, I just think the test should fit the end use. I think CW has become a scapegoat for people who are unwilling to admit as a society we are getting lazy and want everything for nothing, and as a socienty radio is boring. It is not cutting edge technology at all....so why pick on CW?? Why not ban AM radio?

The fact is we all like different things, and there is room for ALL of us. Let's just not turn the thing open like a free-band, which is how it is headed. That's the next step, now that 5WPM testing did not turn things around like all the whiners thought.

73 Tom
>
>73
>--
>Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON
>
>Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
>Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
>Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
>List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
>http://www.trustedQSL.org
>
>
>
>
>

```
From 2464632606746194198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 08:30:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 10:28:52 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AE99034.931B6998@IEEE.org>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com> <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>
<90906236FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<3ae98a47.177880068@news.accessunited.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8c.19
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 15:29:59 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12391
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15327 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20350

Tom W8JI wrote:
> >>I think I see what you are saying, but factually CW is still the most
> >>efficient form of exchanging information available to amateurs when
> >>conditions are marginal.

> But you are incorrect if you think CW is not an efficient mode when
> conditions are poor, power is limited, and you don't have a rack full
> of other support gear to process signals.

A small modem box is not "a rack full of other support gear". I can
establish reliable contact with Germany using 50 watts on PACTOR II
when 100 watt CW is virtually impossible because of fading. CW is
certainly one efficient way of exchanging information but, IMO, it
is not as efficient as PACTOR II.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -4814809485317378445
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 08:59:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
```

References: <9cajf4$eo9$0@208.207.71.175> <20010427061539.04826.00000002@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Lines: 67
Message-ID: <xHgG6.905$SZ5.72476@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 11:59:25 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 15:59:25 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20351

For those of you who need warning signs like "Don't grab the fan belt when the motor is turning", here are additional ones you may wish to save for later use.

1. On a blanket from Taiwan - NOT TO BE USED AS PROTECTION FROM A TORNADO.

2. On a helmet mounted mirror used by US cyclists - REMEMBER, OBJECTS IN THE MIRROR ARE ACTUALLY BEHIND YOU.

3. On a Taiwanese shampoo - USE REPEATEDLY FOR SEVERE DAMAGE.

4. On the bottle-top of a (UK) flavoured milk drink AFTER OPENING, KEEP UPRIGHT.

5. On a New Zealand insect spray - THIS PRODUCT NOT TESTED ON ANIMALS.

6. In a US guide to setting up a new computer - TO AVOID CONDENSATION FORMING, ALLOW THE BOXES TO WARM UP TO ROOM TEMPERATURE BEFORE OPENING. (Sensible, but the instruction was INSIDE the box.)

7. On a Japanese product used to relieve painful haemorrhoids LIE DOWN ON BED AND INSERT POSCOOL SLOWLY UP TO THE PROJECTED PORTION LIKE A SWORD-GUARD INTO ANAL DUCT. WHILE INSERTING POSCOOL FOR APPROXIMATELY 5 MINUTES, KEEP QUIET.

8. In some countries, on the bottom of Coke bottles OPEN OTHER END.

9. On a packet of Sunmaid raisins - WHY NOT TRY TOSSING OVER YOUR FAVOURITE BREAKFAST CEREAL?

10. On a Sears hairdryer - DO NOT USE WHILE SLEEPING.

11. On a bag of Fritos - YOU COULD BE A WINNER! NO PURCHASE NECESSARY. DETAILS INSIDE.

12. On a bar of Dial soap - DIRECTIONS - USE LIKE REGULAR SOAP.

13. On Tesco's Tiramisu dessert (printed on bottom of the box)- DO NOT TURN UPSIDE DOWN.

14. On Marks & Spencer Bread Pudding - PRODUCT WILL BE HOT AFTER HEATING.

15. On a Korean kitchen knife - WARNING KEEP OUT OF CHILDREN.

16. On a string of Chinese-made Christmas lights - FOR INDOOR OR OUTDOOR USE ONLY.

17. On a Japanese food processor - NOT TO BE USED FOR THE OTHER USE.

18. On Sainsbury's peanuts - WARNING - CONTAINS NUTS.

19. On an American Airlines packet of nuts INSTRUCTIONS - OPEN PACKET, EAT NUTS.

20. On a Swedish chainsaw - DO NOT ATTEMPT TO STOP CHAIN WITH YOUR HANDS.

21. On a child's superman costume - WEARING OF THIS GARMENT DOES NOT ENABLE YOU TO FLY.

22. On some frozen dinners SERVING SUGGESTION DEFROST.

23. On a hotel provided shower cap in a box FITS ONE HEAD.

24. On packaging for a Rowenta iron DO NOT IRON CLOTHES ON BODY.

25. On Boot's "Children's" cough medicine DO NOT DRIVE CAR OR OPERATE MACHINERY.

26. On Nytol sleep aid WARNING MAY CAUSE DROWSINESS.

```
From 7967183263478384904
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 09:20:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 09:20:29 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9cc63r$ec1$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AE847A3.5DE67B24@uci.edu> <20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-79.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20352
```

```
W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-mj1.aol.com...
> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
> >I know a lot of guys who would rather sit around and jawbone in meetings
> >than do actual work.  Don't you?

> Yes, I do.  I believe that the effort that the NCI BOD has put into what
they
> are trying to accomplish is well past jawboning at local club meetings.
Do you
> disagree?

I was thinking more along the lines of Board meetings.  Other than write
letters to the FCC, I'm not exactly sure what NCI actually does for anybody.
I prefer the ARRL.  How about you?

73, Jim AC6XG




From 2595285125333538485
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 09:34:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 16:34:29 GMT
References: <415.988233636504@iw1.etin.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010427123429.00662.00001430@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20353

>Subject: Re: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
>From: Hans K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 4/27/01 10:45 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <415.988233636504@iw1.etin.com>
>
>N2EY said
>
>> Today we have more opportunities to Elmer than ever before. And
>> there are more resources than ever before, 'specially with
>> newsgroups, reflectors, and websites. Heck, there are over 500
>> (that's FIVE HUNDRED) technical articles online at the ARRL
>> website alone! Many times all a new ham needs is a pointer
```

>> to where the info is located.
>
>Jim, I love it when someone cuts through all the whining about "it ain't
>like it used to be" and reminds us of an obvious fact, like you did
>above.

Thanks, Hans.

>The fact is we've never had more technical resources
>available to us as hams, and essentially free for the asking in many
>cases.

Absolutley agree. And even when it's not free, the price is often far below
what it used to be.

For example, becoming an ARRL member gives you access to online "members only"
goodies like every QST Product Review since 1980. Those without the space or
patience to collect old QSTs,QEXs or NCJs can buy the CD-ROMs. Freeware and
inexpensive software for all sorts of ham radio activities abounds.

My favorite is N0HFF's excellent book on "The Art And Skill of
Radiotelegraphy". Just search on that call in Yahoo and there it is - free.

Of course Elmering is needed now as much as ever - perhaps moreso, to point
those in need in the right direction to find what they are looking for.

--

You wanna see Elmering in action, just read the Elecraft or glowbugs
reflectors. Really cool!
>
--

73 de Jim, N2EY

>

From 9181138408992009758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2c136a5b2e6d1341
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 09:40:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "koc on the wall" <snaggletooth31@hotmail.com>
From: "koc on the wall" <snaggletooth31@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3J_F6.6820$QV4.581635@www.newsranger.com>

Subject: Re: Let's take a vote
Lines: 17
Organization: alphabeis bravo charlie queebeck
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <3ihG6.1557$P61.198476@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 16:40:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988389631 209.204.84.71 (Fri, 27 Apr 2001 09:40:31 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 09:40:31 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 09:39:03 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20354

"K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message news:3J_F6.6820$QV4.581635@www.newsranger.com...
> How many here would like to see the "Lennie and Steve" show:
>
> (a) continue.
> (b) cease.
> (c) doesn't matter to me -- both dump hucks are in my kill file.

The correct answers are:

* d) * Put them on 14.313 as Brother John and MXL are so booooring on weekends.

* e) * At night put them up as co-hosts with corney-ell steve on 3.260 USB

From -3952045611236610922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 09:51:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <te46mnt9qlun46@news.supernews.com> <3AE53815.8BA2AF65@sccoast.net> <3AE59A6E.105D07A9@IEEE.org> <3AE6C86B.FD1BA47E@sccoast.net> <9c7rds$1k0s$1@heap.juniper.net> <3AE8DA1D.7E4EB000@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <YrhG6.971$SZ5.78344@www.newsranger.com>

X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 12:51:04 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 16:51:04 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20355

Dwight Stewart says:

> Boy, you really are taking this personally, aren't you? Again, I
>never said the plane was carrying drugs, only that this was one
>possibility that has to be considered until all the evidence is in.

You mean to say that the death penalty was imposed based on a
"possibility"?

Damn, you Coast Guard Auxiliary guys can be mean summabitches!

73, Hans, K0HB

From -3931085742030346067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 09:53:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "koc on the wall" <snaggletooth31@hotmail.com>
From: "koc on the wall" <snaggletooth31@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010424160325.08623.00001328@ng-md1.aol.com>
<20010425181447.13561.00000919@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Lines: 33
Organization: alphabeis bravo charlie queebeck
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <TthG6.1594$P61.203873@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 16:53:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988390387 209.204.84.71 (Fri, 27 Apr
2001 09:53:07 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 09:53:07 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 09:51:39 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20356
```

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> reproduced a comment in message
news:20010425181447.13561.00000919@ng-cs1.aol.com...

> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
> >which said:
>
> >No one want's to swim in a pool that has a certain brown effluent in
> >it...Jammers and the "Liberty Network" idiots (and likeminded jerks) are OUR
> >brown effluent.
>
> If the Liberty Net folks jam ongoing communications, they are rulebreakers. If they fail to ID as required, they are rule breakers. But as much as I disagree with some the things that some of them say , I also believe that our Constitution gives them the right to speak their minds. The biggest rules violation related to nets like the Liberty Net is not being done by the net participants, from my listen.

There's another possible angle to this. Has anyone considered It was likely the
radio practices by "fringe" ham radio nets that gave the impetus for
operations like the current 3.260 USB KSM clandestine station to start?
I often wonder if Reily H. can percieve the possible (?) link between the
fringe ham stuff and what has become America's first genuine
clandestine broadcaster on 3.260 USB ?

Off the wall? Non-sequitor? I wonder.

```
From 7895139185550284666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 21:05:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!
```

```
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 00:05:25 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AEA4162.79B1C158@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104270749210.145-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010427061234.04826.00000001@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-161.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988430702 371987 206.74.49.161 (28 Apr 2001 04:05:02
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 04:05:02 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20410

W1RFI wrote:
>
> Like Ranger 10-meter rigs that have been
> modified to operate outside the ham bands?


  Yep, both the 2950 and 2970.  And lets not forget the Uniden
HR-2510.  And then there are the "export" radios - the galaxy models,
the SuperStar models, and so forth.  And add in the most common
linears - the Texas Star models, and so forth.  It would be one heck
of a list.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net


From -3898126762590585154
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 21:29:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!
feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
```

```
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<3ae96924.2456370@news.mindspring.com> <3AEA3E99.A4D87423@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <uGrG6.1620$SZ5.136065@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 00:29:14 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 04:29:14 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20411

In article <3AEA3E99.A4D87423@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart says...

>HR-2346 is completely unenforceable.  The attorneys at the FCC who
>helped to write HR-2346 were wrong.  The attorneys on capital hill who
>sponsored the bill were wrong.  The attorneys on capital hill who voted
>to pass the bill were wrong.  The attorneys who handed the bill to the
>president to sign were wrong.  All of that effort was a complete waste
>of time - the new law is absolutely unenforceable.

HR-2346 is just one of dozens of meaningless "feel good" bills that
gets passed each session so the congress-critters can go back to
Wisconsin (or where-ever) and say "look what I did for you".

I'll be surprised if it eventually leads to a single conviction.
Hell, I'll be surprised if it even leads to a single court case!

73, Hans, K0HB







From 1241917257755478561
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 21:45:38 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "WW - KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 23:09:06 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9cdgm2$9bp$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-orl-02-02.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 988431874 9593 209.43.67.66 (28 Apr 2001 04:24:34 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 Apr 2001 04:24:34 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15353
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20412

Maybe you would be willing to step up and
take his place so he wouldn't have to.

OR maybe offer to pay the room and board bill for
him the next oh say 50 to 70 years so he could
have life in prison.
--
'73' From KB9TMP

"Only two things are infinite,
the universe and human stupidity,
and I'm not sure about the former."
-- Albert Einstein

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
http://www.deja.com
____
"Bill Hammack" <bhamack@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
news:39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net...
>
> Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection
> of a poisonous substance on the 16th day of May anno Domini 2001
> at precisely 7:00 AM EST at the U.S. penitentiary on Rural Route
> 21 Terre Haute, IN 47802; USGS coordinates 87W27:05.0 39N24:59.5
> (Terre Haute, Vigo County, one of 77 counties on EST year-round)

From -1213094372190179145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 22:04:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 00:03:08 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3AEA4F0C.BF6730F9@IEEE.org>
References: <3ae8bd3f.2136059@news.mindspring.com>
<20010427182832.03074.00001351@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9cda6q$mhn$0@208.207.71.166>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.cc
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Apr 2001 05:04:16 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20413

Dick Carroll wrote:
> No, you and Bill and Cecil say you believe that. Others, not so. You
> haven't yet convinced me and many others that your opinion prevails over
> a large body of evidence and testimony to the contrary. It is not likely
> you ever will.

We fully understand why you are forced to take that position, Dick, and ignore the reality of our experiences which incidentally, in my case, happened before you passed your first ham exam. You have painted yourself into a corner from which there is no escape that will save face for you - thus, the denials.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -663955225235508610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 22:15:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 00:13:57 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises

```
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3AEA5195.C720409A@IEEE.org>
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<9c48pd$cur$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AE5EA08.49E5DEF2@IEEE.org>
<3ae61776$0$62152$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3ae902df.14161406@news2.dvol.com>
<9cd8il$i27$0@208.207.71.166>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.cc
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Apr 2001 05:15:04 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20414

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Sure wish it was that simple, Brian. It ain't. Alcahol can affect
> different persons somewhat differently, but there is a wide variation in
> the effects of the different drugs. Some are instantly addictive, one
> time and you're hooked, your life taken over by the craving.

Which ones, Dick? In my younger days I tried most of the ones that
don't require a needle and never got hooked on anything except alcohol.

> And evidently Cecil would be happy.

Freedom for adults makes me happy, Dick. Your attitude toward legalizing
drugs and dropping the Morse code requirement are exactly the same - the
sky will fall! I simply don't see why treating marijuana like alcohol
would not be an improvement over the present situation where people
are sent to prison and their property seized just because they are
in possession of some God-given weed. The governor of New Mexico
agrees, along with lots of other rational people.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 2938621668397565283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 22:16:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 00:15:04 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3AEA51D8.AC862E@IEEE.org>
```

References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AEA0BDD.C2C49E21@IEEE.org>
<39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.cc
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Apr 2001 05:16:10 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15355
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20415

Bill Hammack wrote:
>
> On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 19:16:29 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> : Bill Hammack wrote:
> : > Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered ...
> :
> : Murder is a crime.
>
> Indeed. Forbidden by God, it is a crime when done by McVeigh or when
> done by the state.

Methinks you don't understand the definition of "crime".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 1670857429401518987
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 22:18:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!network
From: anti-arrl@worthless_org.org (Ringo Ranger)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 05:17:52 GMT
Organization: Wake Up, Wake Up!
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <no-diff@no-diff>
References: <oTLE6.1387$QV4.109260@www.newsranger.com>
<20010427235000.26269.00001292@ng-fq1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.35.bb.74
X-Server-Date: 28 Apr 2001 05:17:54 GMT
X-Newsreader: News Xpress 2.01
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20416

In article <20010427235000.26269.00001292@ng-fq1.aol.com>, j1aguiar@aol.com
(J1aguiar) wrote:
>After one year and only 1 % growth in Amateur Radio licenses, Geeze. J1

All we got for it is less capable amateurs.

New ARRL motto: They're only amateurs, they don't have to be good.

Ringo

From 4965922436574572791
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 22:26:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!network
From: anti-arrl@worthless_org.org (Ringo Ranger)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 05:25:52 GMT
Organization: Wake Up, Wake Up!
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <ed.hare@arrl.screwball>
References: <3ae975ae.172605888@news.accessunited.com>
<20010427183724.03074.00001355@ng-mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.35.bb.74
X-Server-Date: 28 Apr 2001 05:25:53 GMT
X-Newsreader: News Xpress 2.01
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20417

In article <20010427183724.03074.00001355@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
wrote:

>
>How about the other CW ops here; has this been a nightly occurrence when you
>operate?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Not as bad as it could be because most new restructured ARRL Hams probably
don't own a key and wouldn't know how to hook it up if you gave them one.

Ringo

From -7769975832168213975
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 22:28:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 28 Apr 2001 05:28:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9cdkdd$km4$0@208.207.71.166>
References: <3ae8bd3f.2136059@news.mindspring.com>
<20010427182832.03074.00001351@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9cda6q$mhn$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AEA4F0C.BF6730F9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20418

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > No, you and Bill and Cecil say you believe that. Others, not so. You
> > haven't yet convinced me and many others that your opinion prevails over
> > a large body of evidence and testimony to the contrary. It is not likely
> > you ever will.
>
> We fully understand why you are forced to take that position, Dick,
> and ignore the reality of our experiences which incidentally, in my
> case, happened before you passed your first ham exam. You have painted
> yourself into a corner from which there is no escape that will save
> face for you - thus, the denials.

I have stated repeatedly, and you have just as often ignored, that the entry level tests were never designed to be that difficult, and any half bright kid could learn enough about the material to understand it sufficiently to pass the tests. They were NOT based on exact previously-given Q&A, as you well know. The Advanced was tougher by a bunch, and the Extra was more involved and it would not have been possible to memorize your way through it in any concievable time frame, at least. Of course you wouldn't know about that, since you just recently took your Extra written, which you naturally made 100% on, having had all the exact questiona and their exact answers to memorize well in advance. So keep up the fiction if you wish, we all know the facts.

From 9117552194273597555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 22:49:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!rupert.mfn.org!mfn!
nntp1.savvis.net!news.dp.net!not-for-mail
From: "Lou" <tech@dp.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 01:14:25 -0400
Organization: A poorly-installed InterNetNews site
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <9cdjkk$79j$1@news.dp.net>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin16.bv.dp.net
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15357
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20419

I'm at a bit confused here.

1. Why in the radio news group?
2. "Crucified"?
3. "Murdered by injection"?

The 100 + people "killed" by him were what? MURDERED! They didn't choose to be "put to sleep - permanently". They had no warning. No chance to say good bye. Why is it all the sudden we are supposed to feel sorry for the murderers? I don't get it. What about the VICTIMS? What about their rights?

I don't like to see anyone die either. Putting him to death will not bring the others back. But Death is the law of the land in most cases. He knew it before he planted the bomb. He rolled the dice and lost. Let him face the Angel of Death like all before him, especially at his hands.

I can't hold remorse for anyone who kills in cold blood. Self Defense is one thing, but this was not in self defense. As to his motive of what happened in Texas, two wrongs again, do not make a right. WE as a nation need to put a stop to all the violence if we don't want to see ANYONE else die in cold blood, be it by a murderer, law enforcement or other wise (i.e. Death Sentence). Death in this country anymore, is a game. It has to stop.
I don't know all the answers, if any, but it definitely has to stop.

One last point..... He had a chance to ask for Clemency. He refused. Now they are asking a President, who by the way, comes from a state that has had a lot of, if not the most Death Sentences carried out in recent history, to stop it. I doubt that even if he could stop it, he would.

If all who are begging for his life to be spared, were to have had a loved one killed, especially one of the children who did nothing to anyone, I doubt they would be begging for his life to be saved. They would most likely be begging for a chance to stick the injection in personally. If God wants him spared, he will be spared. Nothing will cut that short.

Now, can we get back to what this forum is supposed to be for.........
Radios.
Seems funny, all the forums anymore are being corrupted with everything BUT
their intended topic!

My "personal" opinion only........does not reflect the opinion of the ISP or
anyone else affiliated with the transfer of this message.

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

"Bill Hammack" <bhamack@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
news:39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net...
>
> Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection
> of a poisonous substance on the 16th day of May anno Domini 2001
> at precisely 7:00 AM EST at the U.S. penitentiary on Rural Route
> 21 Terre Haute, IN 47802; USGS coordinates 87W27:05.0 39N24:59.5
> (Terre Haute, Vigo County, one of 77 counties on EST year-round)

From 5198206976887002381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 23:40:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 02:40:29 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-161.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988440033 377217 206.74.49.161 (28 Apr 2001 06:40:33
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 06:40:33 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20420

K0HB wrote:
>
> According to the findings of a Board of
> Inquiry, neither CDR Waddle nor his
> crew conducted their duties effectively
> in this drill. The responsibility for
> that lies directly on the Captain.

Yes, I'm aware of the findings of that board. However, I'm very uncomfortable with the idea of ending a career based on how many seconds a person looks through a periscope. I don't think a single submarine commander has ever thought to have a stop watch counting down the "recommended" seconds to look through a periscope. In other words, the punishment just does not fit the offense. In fact, I'm not totally convinced that an offense that justifies punishment actually occurred.

> At sea, the power and authority of a Navy
> Captain is total and absolute.

No, it isn't. There are literally hundreds of rules and regulations that control the actions and behavior of a ship's Captain (in almost every situation). Even under the most dire circumstances (actual combat), a Captain's every move is subject to review by his superiors.

> So is his responsibility and accountability
> for the safety and performance of his ship
> and crew.

Accountability does not equal punishment, Hans. Yes, a ship's Captain has a responsibility to see that his ship and crew perform properly. If he is not able to do that in a reasonable manner, than he should be removed from command (accountability). However, that does not suggest that a Captain should be punished for the mistakes of a crew member. Even accountability (removal from command) does not extend that far.

Modern naval vessels are extremely complex. Today, there is no way for a ship's Captain to even be aware of what is going on throughout a vessel, much less have total control. As I said earlier, at some point a Captain must be able to depend on his crew to perform their job correctly. This is especially true for a vessel as complex as the submarine involved in this incident. So, by all means, a captain should be held accountable for his actions, or for that affected by his actions, but no person should ever be punished for something outside his control.

> I'm surprised the same is not true in the Coast Guard.

Hans, I've already said the opinions expressed are my own. The Coast Guard is not involved in this discussion, so please leave them out of it. Thanks.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6544316017651204036
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 00:12:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 03:13:20 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3AEA6D3F.F0BBE5C3@sccoast.net>
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<9c48pd$cur$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AE5EA08.49E5DEF2@IEEE.org>
<3ae61776$0$62152$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3ae902df.14161406@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-161.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988441955 376579 206.74.49.161 (28 Apr 2001 07:12:35 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 07:12:35 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20421

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> Legalize the goddam stuff and treat it
> the same way we treat alcholic beverages.
> Legalization would wipe out the drug
> cartels right on down to the street-corner
> peddlers on the spot, [snip]
>
> We learned *nothing* from Prohibtion, [snip]

The illegal alcohol trade did not end with prohibition's end, Brian. Actually, if you check your history books, you'll see that the illegal alcohol trade actually increased in the years after prohibition came to an end. During prohibition, the illegal alcohol trade was limited mostly to the larger cities. However, in the years following the end of prohibition, the illegal stuff just got cheaper and trade spread into small towns throughout the country. In fact, the war on illegal alcohol did not reach its peak until the late 50's and early 60's, and it still exists to some extent today.

Likewise, and perhaps more important, the use of alcohol increased dramatically following the end of prohibition, and has continued to rise to this day. And, likewise, the negative effects of alcohol (alcoholism, DUI, etc) has risen steadily since the end of prohibition.

So, actually, we learned a lot from prohibition, and very few want to repeat those mistakes with drugs.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -7011908614826273433
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:20:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-
state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
From: "David J. Windisch" <davidw@copper.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <1GxG6.712$st.2803@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 07:20:22 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.28.167.24
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988456701 216.28.167.24 (Sat, 28 Apr 2001 06:18:21
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 06:18:21 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15364
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20424
```

Bill Hammack wrote:

What part of "Thou shalt not kill" don't you understand?
>>>>>>

The part which you, and the usual group of liberal whiners from which you may exclude yourself if you wish, interpret as meaning that I and others, as a society, cannot protect ourselves from the perversity of mankind with requisite means, including capital punishment, when individual guilt has been established beyond reasonable doubt.

A few general remarks about 'crucifixion': it seems to me that McVeigh of late sounds not like Dismas, but like Gesmas.   McVeigh can be glad his passage from time into eternity will be effected not with having his body subjected to the unexpected and large-scale movement of the physical world surrounding him, or by the reality of Roman-style crucifixion (those goodfellas knew their biz), but by OD.   How poetically perverse.

K3BHJ

From 6860815200881327409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:21:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AEA0BDD.C2C49E21@IEEE.org>
<39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <9cdboq01ljs@enews4.newsguy.com>
<39N24.59.7@bellsowth.net>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <OIxG6.81777$_P.22123879@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 11:21:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988456878 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 28 Apr 2001 04:21:18 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 04:21:18 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15365

rec.radio.amateur.policy:20425

What if one is not christian (since religion is a bunch of hooie anyways) ?

--
Rob St.Denis

```
"Bill Hammack" <bhamack@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
news:39N24.59.7@bellsowth.net...
>
> On 28 Apr 2001 03:00:42 GMT, T. L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote:
> : In article <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net>, bhamack@bellsowth.net says...
>
> : Forbidden by god? You'd better read your bible a little more thorougly.
That
> : part about "suffer not to let a witch live" comes to mind.
> :
>
> One only has to know the 10 commandments to find the unambiguous law
> "Thou shalt not kill."
>
> What part of it don't you understand?
>
> The Pope seems to understand it quite well.  See the URL
> http://www.cnn.com/2001/WORLD/europe/04/28/vatican.mcveigh/index.html
```

```
From 7526187653825770389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:27:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
From: "David J. Windisch" <davidw@copper.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <oTLE6.1387$QV4.109260@www.newsranger.com>
<20010427235000.26269.00001292@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <cMxG6.732$st.2722@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 07:26:57 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.28.167.24
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988457096 216.28.167.24 (Sat, 28 Apr 2001 06:24:56
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 06:24:56 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20426
```

After one year and only 1 % growth in Amateur Radio licenses, Geeze. J1
>>>>>>>>>

Geeze Loweeze indeed.

What have we done about this?   'Bout all I've done is invite the neighbors over for 807s and demo-hamming ;o).   No converts yet :o(

--

73, Dave, K3BHJ

From -8306302949482087881
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:30:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
From: "David J. Windisch" <davidw@copper.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <1GxG6.712$st.2803@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 8
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <VOxG6.741$st.2670@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 07:29:50 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.28.167.24
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988457269 216.28.167.24 (Sat, 28 Apr 2001 06:27:49 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 06:27:49 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15366 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20427

I wrote blah-blah ...

and dis-remembered advocating tempering the OD with a bit of carbolic acid for you blood-thirsters out there.

K3BHJ

```
From 4931289397638346719
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:38:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 11:38:16 GMT
References: <ed.hare@arrl.screwball>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010428073816.02960.00001108@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20428

>From: anti-arrl@worthless_org.org  (Ringo Ranger)

>Not as bad as it could be because most new restructured ARRL Hams probably
>don't own a key and  wouldn't know how to hook it up if you gave them one.

Why do you call them ARRL hams?  They were licensed by the FCC, not the ARRL.

I think I can understand why you feel you have to post under a "handle."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -4088892682207025277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:39:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 11:39:42 GMT
References: <20010427235000.26269.00001292@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010428073942.02960.00001109@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20429

>From: j1aguiar@aol.com  (J1aguiar)
```

>After one year and only 1 % growth in Amateur Radio licenses, Geeze. J1

Yeah, makes it a bit harder for those who feel a need to proclaim that Amateur Radio is dying if the numbers are actually going up, eh? Is the wail now going to be that Amateur Radio is dying because the number of hams is only going up a little?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2014737798919651964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:40:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 11:40:21 GMT
References: <no-diff@no-diff>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010428074021.02960.00001110@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20430

>From: anti-arrl@worthless_org.org (Ringo Ranger)

>New ARRL motto: They're only amateurs, they don't have to be good.

I couldn't find that on the ARRL web page, can you post a URL?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4712198947186314006
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:45:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 28 Apr 2001 11:45:30 GMT
References: <9090D69C5darrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010428074530.02960.00001111@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20431

>From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)

>The point that I was trying to make is that CW doesn't really
>fit into the picture like it did once upon a time.

I think it has been decades since OOK CW has played a major role in emergency communications. Voice or digital modes have been the method of choice for a number of valid reasons.

It would be interesting to know if there are any specific examples of CW use in a time of actual emergency other than those cases where it was simply the mode of choice of the operator. Has there really been a time when SSB would not get through and only CW was able to get the message out of a disaster area?

Even if so, there are modes and techniques that can beat CW by quite a margin. If the purpose of CW testing really is to ensure that there is a mode that will get through when nothing else will, it would be even better yet to mandate that amateurs maintain digital capability using some of the modes that can communicate at levels tens of dB below the ambient noise level in a 500 Hz bandwidth. Of course, that isn't likely to happen, primarily because the FCC does not see the purpose of CW testing to be to ensure that the mode is available in times of emergency.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6437879012424818338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:48:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 11:48:42 GMT
References: <9cda6q$mhn$0@208.207.71.166>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010428074842.02960.00001112@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20432

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I was 14 when I passed the General after studying 16 pages of study guide.
>If
>> anyone alleges it is "broken," they should qualify that they feel it has
>been
>> ruined since 1958...

> No, you and Bill and Cecil say you believe that.

No, we don't. Making stuff up to "prove" your point undermines your
credibility pretty badly, Dick. You choice, though...

> You haven't yet convinced me and many others that your opinion prevails
> over a large body of evidence and testimony to the contrary.

I don't think I need to convince many others that Amateur Radio has not been
ruined since 1958.

> It is not likely you ever will.

I am sure you are correct.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8461659374090048448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:51:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 72
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 11:50:50 GMT
References: <20010427192716.26589.00000706@nso-mb.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.
Message-ID: <20010428075050.18592.00000020@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20433

>Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 4/27/01 6:27 PM Central Daylight Time

>>I think I see what you are saying, but factually CW is still the most
>>efficient form of exchanging information available to amateurs when

>>conditions are marginal.

>Technically flawed under technology available to radio amateurs
>as far back as a decade ago.

Only flawed in as much as he said "the" most efficient. In terms of throughput, it no longer is. In terms of simplicity and reliability, someoone will have to go a loooooog way to replace it.

>>> It's code *testing* that has everyone in an uproar in this
>>>newsgroup. Anything past what is required the treaty is nothing but
>>>a worthless hazing ritual for Ye Olde Farhtz who think that testees
>>>are learning a "valuable communications skill"

>That flawed claim is invalid for the retention of a code test for an
>amateur radio license.

Watch out, Lennie A...someone is trying to steal your steam.

>>No matter how we look at it, CW isn't the problem. It isn't a barrier,
>>and hasn't been for a long time except to a very few lazy people. The
>>problem is people aren't interested in radio.

>Sorry, there are people interested in radio. Most of those same
>people aren't interested in morse code communications...either
>by itself or as a license test requirement.

Sorry, Lennie...dumb logic. I didn't want to spend time on RTTY or "specialized modes" when studying for my licenses. Still had to do it. Got it done, got past it, moved on.

Now if we could only teach YOU that trick.

>>1% increase in new
>>amateurs and 30% increase in upgrades shows we are whipping a >>dead horse.

>The horse was probably dead from faulty data.

The data is directly from the folks who process and issue the licenses, Lennie. YOU are the one who always reminds us that the FCC, NOT the Amateur Radio community that issues licenses.

Are you now disputing THEM, too? YOU know more about the applications they process than they do?

>>It's a social problem. People are lazy and want the best of everything
>>for no effort or work.

>Oh, my, the posturing and preening on amateur radio morals
>and ethics!

If it were only NOT true. How ever this attitude prevails in ALL functions of life.

>Or have you merely baited a hook to go trolling for another
>chance at denigrating all those who did not buy into Your
>"necessary amateur radio morals and ethics?"

Here we go again...Lennie, "The Troller Supreme", lambasting someone ELSE over perceived trolling!

Lennie...WHAT A CARD!

```
From 2328774596752203184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:54:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <oTLE6.1387$QV4.109260@www.newsranger.com>
<20010427235000.26269.00001292@ng-fq1.aol.com> <no-diff@no-diff>
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 07:52:31 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3aeaaf84$0$62151$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 178243ad.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=1O^Sa<Da3o1KcT1HbNQe82BITGoVIjmH6[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F764hfS[c_oQ4lBFDaL\d^n5_
Zfb6KHh9e=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20434
```

"Ringo Ranger" <anti-arrl@worthless_org.org> wrote in message
news:no-diff@no-diff...
> In article <20010427235000.26269.00001292@ng-fq1.aol.com>,

j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar) wrote:
> >After one year and only 1 % growth in Amateur Radio licenses, Geeze. J1
>
> All we got for it is less capable amateurs.
>
> New ARRL motto: They're only amateurs, they don't have to be good.

Is your goodness scale based on the numbers from 5 to 20?

Larry kc8epo

From -304222470757098548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:56:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 11:56:05 GMT
References: <9cdkdd$km4$0@208.207.71.166>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010428075605.02960.00001113@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20435

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>I have stated repeatedly, and you have just as often ignored, that the
>entry level tests were never designed to be that difficult, and any half
>bright kid could learn enough about the material to understand it
>sufficiently to pass the tests.

The entry level tests now are harder than they were when I studied the 4-page Novice study guide for my entry-level exam.

>They were NOT based on exact previously-given Q&A, as you well know.

"Exact?" Not exactly. Close enough that I was able to "study" questions that were virtually identical to the exam the FCC gave me. Yes.

>The Advanced was tougher by a bunch, and the Extra was more involved
> and it would not have been possible to memorize your way through it in
> any concievable time frame, at least.

I believe you are correct. However, let's make sure that new hams understand that in context, Dick. Back in the days I took my General, that General gave me all amateur privleges for studying a 16-page study guide until I had it memorized. The Advanced and Extra were "vanity" licenses that conveyed no additional privleges other than the ability to pay for a 1X2 call sign, if memory serves. Most hams stopped at the General.

>So keep up the fiction if you wish, we all know the
>facts.

Yes, the facts are that hams in my era passed their General exams by a combination of studying, memorizing, guessing and just plain hoping a subject or two didn't appear on their exam. The facts are that the General that conveyed all amateur priveleges could be passed by studying a 16-page study guide until one had it memorized.

The fiction is that the hams of your era learned every single detail for their exams and the new hams of this era are memorizing over a thousand questions without understanding. I have little doubt that you actually believe that, but for the life of me, I can't imagine why.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3687772135978649805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:57:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 11:56:23 GMT
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010428075623.18592.00000021@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20436

>Subject: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: bhamack@bellsowth.net (Bill Hammack)
>Date: 4/27/01 7:11 PM Central Daylight Time

> Timothy McVeigh is scheduled...(SNIP)

And I plan to be standing outside the prison with one of those "Peter Maxx", 60's looking "flower power" posters that say:

From 1754879892204100649
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:59:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <oTLE6.1387$QV4.109260@www.newsranger.com>
<20010427235000.26269.00001292@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 07:58:01 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3aeab0ce$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: b5d80be7.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=EY4FFI\ZO_oE4XNZ]a2YglBITGoVIjmHf[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgAOKon[S@RSklBFDaL\d^ne_Zfb6KHh9em
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20437

"J1aguiar" <j1aguiar@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010427235000.26269.00001292@ng-fq1.aol.com...
> After one year and only 1 % growth in Amateur Radio licenses, Geeze. J1

Welcome back, James. Now that you had time
to think about this, what you current view?

Larry KC8EPO

From 8917015439802001582
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 04:59:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 11:58:50 GMT
References: <20010427192717.26589.00000707@nso-mb.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010428075850.02960.00001114@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20438

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>More and more of the on-line QST and QEX articles are marked
>"for members only" at the ARRL TIS site compared with a year
>before. [is that a "pointer" to join the League? :-)]

Yes, it is, Len.

I am the one who made the decisions about which articles should be members only and which articles should be public. Those that serve the goals of Amateur Radio as a whole are in the public domain -- RFI, safety, helping new hams get started. Those that help individual hams with their personal hamming are on the members-only site.

I am sure that those who choose not to receive QST magazine will understand that they do not have access to some of the articles that have been paid for by those that do choose to get it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6484605436264979442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 05:01:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 12:00:57 GMT
References: <20010427213629.20255.00000374@nso-bj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010428080057.02960.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20439

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Can you give us some exact numbers? How many of each kind were there a year
>ago, and how many of each kind are there now?

A year ago, none of the 500+ articles on the Tech Info part of the ARRL Web were members only. I believe about half of them are now available to ARRL members.

>Besides the Product Reviews, how many of the articles that would be of
>interest to a newcomer are in the members only area?

If I did it correctly in the TIS area, the articles that help a newcomer get started are public. I welcome feedback on my choices.
(http://www.arrl.org/tis).

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7830434632527826301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 05:05:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 12:05:04 GMT
References: <9c9ck0$e08$0@208.207.71.163>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Message-ID: <20010428080504.02960.00001116@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20440

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>And I can understand why you refuse to acknowledge that which I figured

>out after some time as a VE. The people who study the question pools
>with only the intent of getting the license - and there are numerous of
>these people - don't memorize the questions and answers since that would
>be a very difficult and uninformed, and unnecessary, way to do it. They
>read the questions and the single correct answer until they can pick out
>the two as pairs on the test.

Did you learn this by talking to the applicants? Are we really to assume that your demeanor with them was so kindly that they opened up to you and confessed that they, to a soul, chose to enter ham radio and "recognize correct" answers to over a thousand questions because they didn't want to learn anything?

I offer that the majority of those who choose to enter ham radio do so because they want to learn what ham radio is about and enter something that will give them a lifetime of learning and experience. Just like nearly everyone here did, whenever they enter ham radio.

The premise that you offer that old time hams all wanted to learn and that new hams all don't want to learn is not believeable.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

There is absolutely no need to know what
>the content means, nor any need to memorize. It's called association. It
>works and it is used. Ignore and refuse to believe it as you prefer.
>
> I do know that the setting in which one gets started means everything
>to the amount of learning the newcomer gets. If he attends a class where
>the intent is to teach, as opposed to a cram course where the tricks and
>memory associations are taught "the test is taught", then he comes away
>with a wholly different base of knowledge, which is to say he has one.
>Those who study the pools with the sole intent of getting the license
>wind up with little learned. So Elmering is crucial, and unfortunatly
>much more rare than once was the case. And one intent only on getting
>the license has little use for an Elmer anyway.
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -8931026694812989832
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 05:07:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 12:06:42 GMT
References: <1GxG6.712$st.2803@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010428080642.18592.00000023@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20441

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: "David J. Windisch" davidw@copper.net
>Date: 4/28/01 6:20 AM Central Daylight Time

>McVeigh can be glad his
>passage from time into eternity will be effected not with having his body
>subjected to the unexpected and large-scale movement of the physical
>world surrounding him, or by the reality of Roman-style crucifixion
>(those goodfellas knew their biz), but by OD.

We all hope that we will pass without distress sat sleep in our homes. This coward sent tons of shrapnel through the bodies of toddlers for some perverse personal political agenda.

God can slap my hand later. If it were up to me, McVeigh would find himself awoken abruptly in the middle of the night, dargged naked to the courtyard of the prison and hanged in the presence of other anti-social ner-do-wells who NEED to see REAL death and REAL suffering.

McVeigh's legal termination may serve as "closure" to the survivors and other victims of his acts, but his death should also be a warning and example to others like him that our society will tolerate (and even encourage) dissent, but will NOT tolerate terrorism.

From -3154020400413907487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 05:09:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 12:08:19 GMT
References: <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010428080819.18592.00000024@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20442

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>
>Date: 4/27/01 7:41 PM Central Daylight Time

>It's a shame the government can't find a way to do it to him once
>a week, until the count matches the number of his victims in Oklahoma
>City.

     Seconded.

73

Steve, K4YZ


From -4115940930457021908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 05:09:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 12:09:22 GMT
References: <20010427192718.26589.00000708@nso-mb.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Message-ID: <20010428080922.02960.00001117@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20443

>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)

> Do back-packing amateurs prefer CW over other modes?
>And why?

For the most part, I think they do. With the marginal antennas and low power,
CW is more effective than SSB most of the time.

>How is that applicable to 1966 standards and practices and the
>alleged inability to govern the US ARS from Newington?
```

ARRL does not govern the ARS, so its alleged ability to do so is moot.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3417740417168647088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 05:19:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae975ae.172605888@news.accessunited.com>
<20010427183724.03074.00001355@ng-mo1.aol.com> <ed.hare@arrl.screwball>
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL employee of the month club.
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 08:16:34 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3aeab527$0$62150$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: b5d80be7.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=C^2H<J\gS\8NZUVAGj=[M>BITGoVIjmH6[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7>E`adQ0UKh9lBFDaL\d^n5_
Zfb6KHh9e=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20444
```

"Ringo Ranger" <anti-arrl@worthless_org.org> wrote in message
news:ed.hare@arrl.screwball...

```
> In article <20010427183724.03074.00001355@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) wrote:
>
> >How about the other CW ops here; has this been
> >a nightly occurrence when you operate?
> >
> >73,
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
```

> Not as bad as it could be because most new
> restructured ARRL Hams probably don't own
> a key and wouldn't know how to hook it up
> if you gave them one.
>
> Ringo

There is not any more new hams this year
then there was last year. The changes have
been class upgrades.

The solution to this key wiring deficiency is
to enact an international requirement for
a pass/fail exam requiring an applicant to
physically wire up a key. Generals would be
require to wire-up a two wire straight key, and Extras a
three-wire lambic key. Since this is a new requirement,
all hams would be required to pass the exam
with one year or lose their license.

Larry KC8EPO

From 4543414563851615971
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 05:59:45 PST
Message-ID: <3AEABE47.A7835740@qnet.com>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 05:57:43 -0700
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.05 [en] (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <eFoG6.4312$gv1.481727@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 56k-socal-01-37.dial.qnet.com
X-Trace: 28 Apr 2001 12:57:11 GMT, 56k-socal-01-37.dial.qnet.com
Lines: 29
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!feeder.via.net!news.he.net!newsfeed.qnet.com!news.qnet.com!
56k-socal-01-37.dial.qnet.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15368
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20445

Hello W6DHK: Yes good point, this could make a lot of money if produced
correctly.

They could also auction off the "Throw the Switch Job" on E-Bay, and
free closed circuit TV to the victims.

Then this brings up the possibility, of all the others on death row.....

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the
fillin station.

W6DKN wrote:
>
> "Bill Hammack" <bhamack@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
> news:39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net...
> >
> > Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection
>
> Wrong. Murder is a crime. This is a legal court mandated execution.
>
> > of a poisonous substance on the 16th day of May anno Domini 2001
> > at precisely 7:00 AM EST at the U.S. penitentiary on Rural Route
> > 21 Terre Haute, IN 47802; USGS coordinates 87W27:05.0 39N24:59.5
> > (Terre Haute, Vigo County, one of 77 counties on EST year-round)
>
> Too bad it's not the electric chair - if they put that on Pay-Per-View GW
> could knock a hell of a dent in the National Debt with the proceeds... :>)
>
> 73 de W6DKN

From 68240052817231210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 06:01:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 08:00:10 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3AEABEDA.4EC4C8C7@IEEE.org>
References: <3ae8bd3f.2136059@news.mindspring.com>
<20010427182832.03074.00001351@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9cda6q$mhn$0@208.207.71.166>
<3AEA4F0C.BF6730F9@IEEE.org> <9cdkdd$km4$0@208.207.71.166>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.d7
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Apr 2001 13:01:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20446

Dick Carroll wrote:
> They were NOT based on exact previously-given Q&A, ...

They were close enough. From the 1957 ARRL License Manual:
"If our answers sometimes seem to the initiated to be naive or
incomplete, rest assured that they are sufficient for the purpose."
What is it about "sufficient" that you don't understand.

> Of course you wouldn't know about that, since you just
> recently took your Extra written, which you naturally made 100% on,
> having had all the exact questiona and their exact answers to memorize
> well in advance.

If I memorized them, how did I know that some of the questions were
invalid and therefore without answers?

> So keep up the fiction if you wish, we all know the facts.

Are you saying that Bill, Ed, and I are lying?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From -1716010424325288061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 06:17:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 13:17:04 GMT
References: <3AEA4162.79B1C158@sccoast.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010428091704.27280.00001173@ng-bk1.news.cs.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20447

What about the Yaesus, Kenwoods, and Icoms. Back in the mid 80's, Most of the
freebanders seemed to run Yaesus and Kenwoods with the FT-757GX (& GXII) and
the TS-140S being the most popular models. Now it seems the FT-840 and the
IC-760MKIIG seems to be the prevalent "high end" 11 meter "weapon of choice."
Shouldn't we include them on the list as well?

I know plenty of licensed amateurs who own Rangers and Lincolns (The latest
incarnation of the HR-2510) capable of 11 meter transmit. My question is are
licensed amateurs "exempt" from the list? What about an unlicensed person who

claims he's just SWLing until he gets his ticket? Can a ham legally own and use a Texas Star on 10 meters? Remember, these are just questions because I'm curious. No flames please. Thanks and g'day. (I'm in an Aussie mood today:-))

73 de Bert

```
From 5779248155081427959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 06:20:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-
state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 08:19:17 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3AEAC355.1055FDD0@IEEE.org>
References: <9cdkdd$km4$0@208.207.71.166> <20010428075605.02960.00001113@ng-
da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.d7
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Apr 2001 13:20:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20448
```

W1RFI wrote:

> The fiction is that the hams of your era learned every single detail for their
> exams and the new hams of this era are memorizing over a thousand questions
> without understanding. I have little doubt that you actually believe that, but
> for the life of me, I can't imagine why.

Not only was the way Dick did it superior, but it is now impossible for anyone else to do it the way he did it in the past so all new hams are automatically inferior to him with no hope of ever catching up and being his equal. There are at least three others on this newsgroup with that same delusion.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -597784606720999457
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 06:26:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
```

```
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: 28 Apr 2001 13:26:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9cege6$976$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20449



Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > According to the findings of a Board of
> > Inquiry, neither CDR Waddle nor his
> > crew conducted their duties effectively
> > in this drill.  The responsibility for
> > that lies directly on the Captain.
>
>   Yes, I'm aware of the findings of that board.  However, I'm very
> uncomfortable with the idea of ending a career based on how many
> seconds a person looks through a periscope.

  Uh, Dwight, it's called "going through the motions" instead of getting
the job done. We see a lot of that these days, and even more of it here
on this NG.


From -4904421441620918634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 06:28:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Date: 28 Apr 2001 13:28:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
```

Message-ID: <9cegi9$976$1@208.206.142.67>
References: <20010427235000.26269.00001292@ng-fq1.aol.com>
<20010428073942.02960.00001109@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20450

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar)
>
> >After one year and only 1 % growth in Amateur Radio licenses, Geeze. J1
>
> Yeah, makes it a bit harder for those who feel a need to proclaim that Amateur
> Radio is dying if the numbers are actually going up, eh? Is the wail now going
> to be that Amateur Radio is dying because the number of hams is only going up a
> little?

No, Ed, kit just illustrates how hard it is to give a ham ticket away
these days.

From 7418305634770620381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 06:41:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Date: 28 Apr 2001 13:41:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <9ceh9t$c3l$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <9c9ck0$e08$0@208.207.71.163> <20010428080504.02960.00001116@ng-
da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20451

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >And I can understand why you refuse to acknowledge that which I figured
> >out after some time as a VE. The people who study the question pools
> >with only the intent of getting the license - and there are numerous of
> >these people - don't memorize the questions and answers since that would
> >be a very difficult and uninformed, and unnecessary, way to do it. They
> >read the questions and the single correct answer until they can pick out
> >the two as pairs on the test.
>
> Did you learn this by talking to the applicants?

In some cases, yes. In others, it became quite apparent through
extended conversations in which they had no knowledge of basic subject
matter which was clearly a part of actual study for their tests. Then,
of course some have stated categorically, even here, that was what they
did. But of course we can ignore all that, can't we?

Are we really to assume that
> your demeanor with them was so kindly that they opened up to you and confessed
> that they, to a soul, chose to enter ham radio and "recognize correct" answers
> to over a thousand questions because they didn't want to learn anything?

This little tidbit just illustrates how far off base you really are.

>
> I offer that the majority of those who choose to enter ham radio do so because
> they want to learn what ham radio is about and enter something that will give
> them a lifetime of learning and experience. Just like nearly everyone here
> did, whenever they enter ham radio.
>

I offer that your attitude is as outmoded as an NCI director sees Morse
code. Most who choose to enter ham radio do it to talk on the radio. Of
course some are already technically involved, and these people pose no
problem to the ARs, nor the testing to the m. But the official attitude
of "let's load it up with warm bodies at all costs" is dangerous and
destructive.

> The premise that you offer that old time hams all wanted to learn and that new
> hams all don't want to learn is not believeable.

Thanks for misstating amy premise, yet again. My premise is that for any license beyond entry level the applicant had little choice but to learn. Today that is not so.

Dick

From -2077869488249604329
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 06:44:16 PST
Message-ID: <3AEAC8EB.CFC5B1F5@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 08:43:08 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shot Down in Peru are Hams
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com>
<9c48pd$cur$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AE5EA08.49E5DEF2@IEEE.org>
<3ae61776$0$62152$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3ae902df.14161406@news2.dvol.com>
<3AEA6D3F.F0BBE5C3@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988465007
64.240.47.59 (28 Apr 2001 08:36:47 -0500)
Lines: 38
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20452

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   The illegal alcohol trade did not end with prohibition's end, Brian.
> Actually, if you check your history books, you'll see that the
> illegal alcohol trade actually increased in the years after
> prohibition came to an end. During prohibition, the illegal alcohol
> trade was limited mostly to the larger cities. However, in the years
> following the end of prohibition, the illegal stuff just got cheaper

> and trade spread into small towns throughout the country. In fact,
> the war on illegal alcohol did not reach its peak until the late 50's
> and early 60's, and it still exists to some extent today.

All that is the predictable outcome of making it illegal in the first place. It took 30 years to recover from the abomination that was prohibition. Organized crime that was spawned during prohibition still plagues us today. Now we are repeating our past mistakes as far as drugs are concerned and organized crime is bigger than most governments. When will we ever learn? Legalization is an idea whose time has come. Legalize it and tax it. Turn it into a governmental cash cow instead of a governmental black hole.

>   Likewise, and perhaps more important, the use of alcohol increased
> dramatically following the end of prohibition, and has continued to
> rise to this day. And, likewise, the negative effects of alcohol
> (alcoholism, DUI, etc) has risen steadily since the end of prohibition.

The positive effects of alcohol have also been recognized. The latest study shows that two drinks a day is good for your health and is preventative of heart attacks and strokes. Everything in the world can be used either for good or evil, Dwight. Medicinal marijuana has some outstanding characteristics. If it wasn't a class 1 drug, it would be an effective prescription drug.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -8433757052659703062
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 06:57:53 PST
Message-ID: <3AEACC1B.94D8C5EF@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 08:56:43 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
References: <9c9ck0$e08$0@208.207.71.163> <20010428080504.02960.00001116@ng-
da1.aol.com> <9ceh9t$c3l$0@208.206.142.67>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988465822
64.240.47.59 (28 Apr 2001 08:50:22 -0500)
```

Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20453

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Then,
> of course some have stated categorically, even here, that was what they
> did. But of course we can ignore all that, can't we?

That's what I did in 1951 and 1952, Dick, yet you choose to ignore that.
You ignore everything that doesn't fit your agenda.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5977319943419287447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 07:03:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!204.71.34.15!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 14:03:16 GMT
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010428100316.05666.00001323@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20454

In article <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>, bhamack@bellsowth.net (Bill Hammack) writes:

>
> Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection
> of a poisonous substance on the 16th day of May anno Domini 2001
> at precisely 7:00 AM EST at the U.S. penitentiary on Rural Route
> 21 Terre Haute, IN 47802; USGS coordinates 87W27:05.0 39N24:59.5
> (Terre Haute, Vigo County, one of 77 counties on EST year-round)
>

Bill:

I also abhor the idea of Timothy McVeigh being executed, in spite of his heinous act. I believe he should be forced to live out his life spending 23 hours a day in an 8x10 cell, reflecting on the misery he has inflicted on his victims and their families. In these days of social enlightenment and modern penal technology, there is no longer any need to impose death sentences on even the most barbaric of violent criminals. If "an eye for an eye" is in the Bible, then the Bible is wrong.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 1282288924581789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2c136a5b2e6d1341
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 07:03:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!extra.newsguy.com!
lotsanews.com!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 14:03:16 GMT
References: <3J_F6.6820$QV4.581635@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Let's take a vote
Message-ID: <20010428100316.05666.00001324@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20456
```

In article <3J_F6.6820$QV4.581635@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> writes:

>
>(a) continue.
>(b) cease.
>(c) doesn't matter to me -- both dump hucks are in my kill file.

Hansl:

My primary access to the newsgripe is thru AOL's "newsreader" which
doesn't provide a "killfile." Therefore, my vote is (b).

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -5665768421226171666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 07:03:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 14:03:18 GMT
References: <3AE6D48A.EBC5E5D8@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010428100318.05666.00001328@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20455
```

In article <3AE6D48A.EBC5E5D8@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>At Intel, the most technically qualified hams were Advanced class.
>Most of the Extras were engineer-wannabes.

Cecil:

So you've said -- dozens of times. What I still want to know is: What
do *professional* engineering credentials have to do with one's status
as an *amateur* radio operator? Will you please devote a few paragraphs
of your logical reasoning to *that* issue? Show all of us why a person
who holds at least a B.S. EE and doesn't know the Morse code is
more qualified to be an Extra-class AMATEUR radio operator than
any other Extra *with* useful proficiency in this mode? In fact, leave
out the Morse code and just relate your professional engineer's
technical knowledge to the AMATEUR radio service in terms of
practical communications capability in ANY mode. I'm sure that
thousands of Extra-class hams who are doctors, lawyers, teachers,
bus drivers, airline pilots, sea captains, policemen, judges, janitors,
housewives, high-school kids, rock stars, TV and movie actors and
actresses, clergymen, nurses, and paramedics -- not to mention
thousands of other non-engineering professions -- want to know!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2254881990529811997
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 07:03:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 14:03:15 GMT
References: <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20458

In article <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
writes:

>> Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection
>> of a poisonous substance ......
>
>He's getting off easy.
>
>It's a shame the government can't find a way to do it to him once
>a week, until the count matches the number of his victims in Oklahoma
>City.

Hans:

To say this places you on the same low moral plane as Mr. McVeigh himself. I personally do not subscribe to the notion of "an eye for an eye." Capital punishment serves no purpose other than to satisfy an ancient urge for revenge which still poisons the psyche of modern man. We cannot claim to be civilized people until we can see our way clear to spare the lives of even the Tim McVeigh's of the world, in order to give them the time they need to adequately reflect on their evil deeds, accept responsibility for them, beg the forgiveness of their victims, and seek the salvation of their souls before their own deaths. In the meantime, their bodies can be warehoused economically and efficiently in stark, isolated quarters -- given the bare essential necessities of life, for a mere fraction of the cost of the expensive, publicly funded appeals and legal acrobatics needed to keep them alive on Death Row for decades after their convictions.

Capital Punishment is indeed cruel and unusual punishment in these enlightened times. I am in favor of total abolition of the death penalty.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -9026064837396988730
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 07:03:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 14:03:17 GMT
References: <3ae5ee2a$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Message-ID: <20010428100317.05666.00001326@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20457

In article <3ae5ee2a$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> writes:

>> You have just reached a new and very deep, like outta sight, LOW.
>> To opportunistically use this tragic, preventable incident to further
>> your private jihad against all code testing is reprehensible beyond all
>> rationalization.
>
>The use of 13 & 20 wpm Morse code exams to bar otherwise
>competent missionary operators was also preventable.
>Unfortunately, a few procode forever over advocates corrupted
>the public due process of FCC. The 13 & 20 wpm exams
>should have ended in 1990, not 2000.

Larry:

Interesting. I never realized that the purpose of the 13- and 20-WPM code tests was to "block competent missionary operators" from holding General-and-above amateur radio licenses. I would have assumed that truly "competent" hams, regardless of their profession, would have no problem with code testing at those speed levels, considering the fact that tens of thousands of hams from ALL walks of life have successfully met that standard in the past.

BTW -- when I was first licensed in the early '80's, and living in
Maryland while stationed at Andrews AFB, I had good friends who
were Extra-class hams, knew and used CW extensively, and were
missionaries in the Seventh Day Adventist church. I would use
them as my model for "competent" missionary hams. I'm sure they
would exceed your own standard by a considerable margin.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6327537827629306935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 07:04:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 14:03:17 GMT
References: <3AE6E51F.67B19ED6@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010428100317.05666.00001325@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20459

In article <3AE6E51F.67B19ED6@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> On the other, they are chomping at
>> the bit to throw away ALL licensing requirements beyond filling out
>> an application form as quickly as possible, in the belief that this will
>> bring in more potential members and more business for their QST
>> advertisers.
>
>Got any proof, Larry?

Sure, Cecil. And as soon as you explain the connection between
professional engineering credentials and AMATEUR radio licensing
requirements, I'll give it to you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7752023367485160978

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 07:04:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 14:03:18 GMT
References: <9c2loh$rdm$0@208.206.142.95>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Message-ID: <20010428100318.05666.00001327@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20460

In article <9c2loh$rdm$0@208.206.142.95>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>
> You have just reached a new and very deep, like outta sight, LOW.
> To opportunistically use this tragic, preventable incident to further
>your private jihad against all code testing is reprehensible beyond all
>rationalization.
>

Dick:

I couldn't have said it better myself. What we're seeing here is the true nature of the NCTA. Like all liberals, they will stop at nothing to discredit their opposition, while using discreditable tactics in the process. The good thing is that among reasonable, logical, and truthful people, the liberal no-coders tend to cancel themselves out.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6807578493526095062
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 08:16:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
<20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com>

```
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 92
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Y5BG6.4295$p6.342532@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 10:08:56 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.154.158.246
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 988470776 208.154.158.246 (Sat, 28 Apr 2001 11:12:56
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 11:12:56 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20462


"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com...
> In article <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>,
K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
> writes:
>
> >> Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection
> >> of a poisonous substance ......
> >
> >He's getting off easy.
> >
> >It's a shame the government can't find a way to do it to him once
> >a week, until the count matches the number of his victims in Oklahoma
> >City.
>
> Hans:
>
> To say this places you on the same low moral plane as Mr. McVeigh
> himself.  I personally do not subscribe to the notion of "an eye for an
> eye."  Capital punishment serves no purpose other than to satisfy an
> ancient urge for revenge which still poisons the psyche of modern man.
> We cannot claim to be civilized people until we can see our way clear
> to spare the lives of even the Tim McVeigh's of the world, in order to
> give them the time they need to adequately reflect on their evil deeds,
> accept responsibility for them, beg the forgiveness of their victims,
and
> seek the salvation of their souls before their own deaths.  In the
> meantime, their bodies can be warehoused economically and efficiently
> in stark, isolated quarters -- given the bare essential necessities of
> life, for a mere fraction of the cost of the expensive, publicly funded
> appeals and legal acrobatics needed to keep them alive on Death Row
> for decades after their convictions.
>
> Capital Punishment is indeed cruel and unusual punishment in these
> enlightened times.  I am in favor of total abolition of the death
penalty.
>
```

> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

It is probably cases like McVeigh's that keep it around. Before it became so hideously expensive to execute anyone, it was cheaper to hang the criminal -- look at the long list of crimes that had capital punishment up to the 19th Century and the use of 'transportation' to keep the bloodbath at a gentle ladylike belch.

The problem with capital punishment in practice if not in theory, as Justice Blackmun finally decided for himself, is that it is applied far too often to the racial minorities, retarded, and poor of society (not asking it for O.J. to my mind makes virtually all California executions illegitimate) and not to WASP-types.

There is also the minor problem of getting the wrong man. I lived in Wisconsin for 18 years which executed the wrong man in 1852 and hasn't done it since. Given a judicial system which has no mechanism for investigating wrongful incarcerations and executions (in fact is designed to sweep them under the carpet) that doesn't come near the level of investigation of air crashes or train wrecks get, it does not seem reasonable or moral to trust the system to kill anyone. Several states have come to this conclusion.

Finally, executions are extremely corrosive to the prison staffs that have to carry them out. Nebraska, where I am living these days, puts Death Row in one slammer and does executions in the old bastille in Lincoln to keep this down to a minimum. At least the staff in Lincoln does not get to know the condemned. Lethal injection states often have a problem finding staff with the medical training who will do an execution. Personally, I always thought the US Army MP manual had the formula for doing a first-rate humane hanging.

Before it opened I had the chance to take the tour of the Columbia Correctional Center in Portage WI where Jeffery Dahmer was sent; even took a whiz in the very bathroom where an inmate hid to brain him. The place has 450 beds, 250 for those being rehabbed (?), 100 for lifers who are there to scoop mashed potatoes, and 100 for head-cases who have to be somewhere. In the area away from I-39 and behind the buildings is the coming, going, and discipline area; believe me it is not nice to make them mad at you. If you get the chance, take the tour. If this place rehabilitates anyone, he deserves a pardon some years later. Of more recent years we have this chain-gang mentality that keeps a kid who did something remarkably stupid at 19 from ever voting among other things again.

At the very least you will come away with the certainty that vast quantities of money are being spent to achieve a very half-vast result. Capital punishment is only part of the idiocy.

Dick N�BK

```
From -5976979807246157162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 10:51:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 12:49:35 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3AE9B12F.3D63C8E5@IEEE.org>
References: <9cajf4$eo9$0@208.207.71.175> <20010427061539.04826.00000002@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <xHgG6.905$SZ5.72476@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ef
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 17:50:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20357
```

K0HB wrote:

> For those of you who need warning signs like "Don't grab the fan belt when the
> motor is turning", here are additional ones you may wish to save for later use.

27. On my bottle of Bayer aspirin: USE ONLY IF SEAL UNDER CAP WITH BLUE
Bayer Corporation PRINT IS INTACT. (How do I get them out if the seal
is intact?)

28. In a Japanese microcomputer manual: The user functions are functions
that are used by the user.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 1666123995227043136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 10:55:32 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 17:55:32 -0000
Organization: Shecora
Message-ID: <9090812C7darrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com> <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>
<90906236FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<3ae98a47.177880068@news.accessunited.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 101
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12396
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15328 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20358

2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI) wrote in
<3ae98a47.177880068@news.accessunited.com>:

>On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 14:05:02 -0000, darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl
>Wagoner - WA1GON) wrote:
>
>Ahh, I was referring to HF radio comnmunications...where CW is used.
>
>I was not alluding to land-line or satellite communications.

But what is the point? Except for enjoyment. Don't get me wrong
I think fast CW is really cool.

>True enough. There is a big phone band for those people to enjoy. They
>should be happy working phone, and not ask for extra class CW
>frequencies.

I agree!

>But you are incorrect if t you think CW is not an efficient mode when
>conditions are poor, power is limited, and you don't have a rack full
>of other support gear to process signals.

Again it is a cool mode, but just a mode. It is efficient with a
skilled op.

>>It would seem like it is time for a new CW band plan. Since class
>>of license no longer split the CW subband why not adopt a CW band
>>plan to the different speeds of CW and for data.
>
>Gosh, we had one. The FCC turned it over when they listened to Kenwood
>and W5YI.

What does the FCC have to do with it? There is a lot in the ARRL band plan that isn't law. It is just a gentlemen agree that these freqs will be used for a given purpose. I think this is far better than having the FCC set the rules. They are away too slow in changing.

>OK, lazy or unmotivated.

Is there a differents? It is still name calling.

>I don't ever plan on doing many things in life, and I still have to
>learn things I think I don't need. That is MY choice, not a "barrier".
>If I am too lazy or unmotivated to learn something, it's really my
>problem.

Why is it a problem? It isn't a matter of being lazy, but priorities. We have a budget of X heartbeats, we must invest them wisely. For some learning CW is a good investment, for others it isn't.

>No problem, that's why we need steps!!! We should have logical steps
>that encourage progress, and keep people from operating outside the
>limits of their experience or skills.

I agree!

>Why should a person who can't handle CW gain full CW access? Why
>should a person who can't understand SSB gain full SSB access? Why
>should a person who can't understand how to operate a station operate
>HF, where he has a dozen things he can screw up and bother other
>people with?

They shouldn't.

>I'm not for or against CW testing, I just think the test should fit
>the end use. I think CW has become a scapegoat for people who are
>unwilling to admit as a society we are getting lazy and want
>everything for nothing, and as a socienty radio is boring. It is not
>cutting edge technology at all....so why pick on CW?? Why not ban AM
>radio?

I would like to see the CW requirement removed completely. I would also like to see the CW subband preserve as long as there is amateurs that are using it.

>The fact is we all like different things, and there is room for ALL of
>us. Let's just not turn the thing open like a free-band, which is how
>it is headed. That's the next step, now that 5WPM testing did not turn
>things around like all the whiners thought.

I think we are very much in agreement on this one. I don't see any signs of it going to freeband, but I am new enough that I may not

see the pattern. If it is going in that direction then we shouldn't
lose sight of the brass ring with unimportant issues like CW testing.

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

From 6547890758688543990
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 11:40:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 11:32:52 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AE9BB54.CC53B910@uci.edu>
References: <3AE8C07D.173AFBB0@uci.edu> <20010427061810.04826.00000004@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20359

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
> >> Yeah, Cecil; can't you be refined and just tell people you disagree with to
> >> soak their heads?
>
> >Which did you find more offensive - when Dick said it, or when Hans said
> >it earlier in the week?

> Which one was said to me?

Is it more, or less refined when it is said to someone else?

ac6xg

```
From -5326286386994765131
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 11:41:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!
POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <3ae9bbda.190572263@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com> <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>
<90906236FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<3ae98a47.177880068@news.accessunited.com> <3AE99034.931B6998@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 31
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 18:41:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.38
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988396860 216.1.128.38 (Fri, 27 Apr 2001 14:41:00
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 14:41:00 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12397
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15329 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20360
```

On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 10:28:52 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Tom W8JI wrote:
>> >>I think I see what you are saying, but factually CW is still the most
>> >>efficient form of exchanging information available to amateurs when
>> >>conditions are marginal.
>
>> But you are incorrect if you think CW is not an efficient mode when
>> conditions are poor, power is limited, and you don't have a rack full

>> of other support gear to process signals.
>
>A small modem box is not "a rack full of other support gear". I can
>establish reliable contact with Germany using 50 watts on PACTOR II
>when 100 watt CW is virtually impossible because of fading. CW is
>certainly one efficient way of exchanging information but, IMO, it
>is not as efficient as PACTOR II.

Any system that sends error correcting information and uses a narrow
bandwidth would be better, I agree with that. But there is nothing
worng with CW.

It is better than any other non-error correcting mode we have.

I have no agenda against any mode, or anyone else's pleasure. The fact
is that CW is not a problem with amateur radio, it is not the reason
there isn't more interest. It is a whipping-boy for those hell-bent on
changing amateur radio into an expanded personal radio sevice, and it
is in the way of Kenwood dishing out radios to anyone who wants one.

From -1064870767953772662
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 11:49:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3AE8C07D.173AFBB0@uci.edu> <20010427061810.04826.00000004@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3AE9BB54.CC53B910@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <JajG6.1118$SZ5.93093@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 14:49:13 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 18:49:13 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20361

Jim Kelley asked:

>
>Is it more, or less refined when it is said to someone else?
>

Doncha be comin' 'round me lookin' fer no refinement, Jim. I'll tell ya to go soak yer haid!

dit dit

Hans, K0HB

```
From 5216563458018578731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 12:00:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 18:59:50 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3ae9c0dd.1737969@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AE847A3.5DE67B24@uci.edu> <20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9cc63r$ec1$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.eb.32
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 19:00:14 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20362
```

On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 09:20:29 -0700, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

```
>W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-mj1.aol.com...
>> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>> >I know a lot of guys who would rather sit around and jawbone in meetings
>> >than do actual work.  Don't you?
>
>> Yes, I do.  I believe that the effort that the NCI BOD has put into what
>they
>> are trying to accomplish is well past jawboning at local club meetings.
>Do you
>> disagree?
>
```

>I was thinking more along the lines of Board meetings.

All NCI business is conducted via email. We have very limited
funds and obviously can't afford to hold face-to-face meetings.
That factor alone makes for very limited "jawboning" and keeps
things very focused for us.

>Other than write
>letters to the FCC, I'm not exactly sure what NCI actually does for anybody.

Well we actually meet with the FCC too. Carl and I did
that last year as an ex parte. We both "funded" our respective
travel to DC ourselves.

>I prefer the ARRL. How about you?

I'm an ARRL member myself as well as NCI BOD member.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -5398165826287254924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 12:25:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 14:24:21 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3AE9C765.D666DABB@IEEE.org>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com> <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>
<90906236FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<3ae98a47.177880068@news.accessunited.com> <3AE99034.931B6998@IEEE.org>
<3ae9bbda.190572263@news.accessunited.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.8b
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 19:25:28 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12400
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15331 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20363

Tom W8JI wrote:
> >Tom W8JI wrote:
> >> >>I think I see what you are saying, but factually CW is still the most
> >> >>efficient form of exchanging information available to amateurs when
> >> >>conditions are marginal.

> It (CW) is better than any other non-error correcting mode we have.

Consider the difference in your two statements, Tom, from "most
efficient" to "better than any other non-error correcting mode".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
From 2829746806648972187
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 12:27:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 14:26:14 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3AE9C7D6.E91BE8A3@IEEE.org>
References: <3AE8C07D.173AFBB0@uci.edu> <20010427061810.04826.00000004@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3AE9BB54.CC53B910@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.8b
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 19:27:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20364

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
> >
> > >> Yeah, Cecil; can't you be refined and just tell people you disagree with to
> > >> soak their heads?
> >
> > >Which did you find more offensive - when Dick said it, or when Hans said
> > >it earlier in the week?
>
> > Which one was said to me?
>
> Is it more, or less refined when it is said to someone else?

No, he finds the one said to him to be more offensive. OTOH, I find the
one said to him to be less offensive (than the one said to me :-).
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -3516500506085421998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 12:52:01 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <20010427061234.04826.00000001@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104271951390.782-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104270749210.145-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010427061234.04826.00000001@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 15
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 19:52:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988401121 12.80.44.41 (Fri, 27 Apr
2001 19:52:01 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 19:52:01 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20365

On 27 Apr 2001, W1RFI wrote:
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>
> >>Anyway, what about a simple list of the most common
> >> illegal CB radio devices on the market?
>
> Like Ranger 10-meter rigs that have been modified to operate outside the ham
> bands?
>
```

```
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Why are you quoting ME as the one asking the question?  I did not ask that
question.  You're off by one level of quotation.



From -2123366532751229250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 12:52:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: 27 Apr 2001 19:03:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9ccfqs01oit@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104270749210.145-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010427061234.04826.00000001@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-277.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20366

In article <20010427061234.04826.00000001@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
says...
>
>>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>
>>>Anyway, what about a simple list of the most common
>>> illegal CB radio devices on the market?
>
>Like Ranger 10-meter rigs that have been modified to operate outside the ham
>bands?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

...or a Yaesu FT-840 that has been re-programmed.



From 4130255705982047912
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 12:53:43 PST
```

```
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 27 Apr 2001 19:11:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9ccg9a11oit@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3AE847A3.5DE67B24@uci.edu> <20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9cc63r$ec1$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-579.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20367

In article <9cc63r$ec1$1@news.service.uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>
>W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-mj1.aol.com...
>> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>> >I know a lot of guys who would rather sit around and jawbone in meetings
>> >than do actual work.  Don't you?
>
>> Yes, I do.  I believe that the effort that the NCI BOD has put into what
>they
>> are trying to accomplish is well past jawboning at local club meetings.
>Do you
>> disagree?
>
>I was thinking more along the lines of Board meetings.  Other than write
>letters to the FCC, I'm not exactly sure what NCI actually does for anybody.
>I prefer the ARRL.  How about you?
>
>73, Jim AC6XG


I would like to know how many NCI members are also ARRL members.



From 8505369239920271227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 13:20:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!upp1.onvoy!msc1.onvoy!onvoy.com!tethys.csu.net!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
```

```
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 13:21:14 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3AE9D4BA.163B4F97@uci.edu>
References: <3AE8C07D.173AFBB0@uci.edu> <20010427061810.04826.00000004@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3AE9BB54.CC53B910@uci.edu> <3AE9C7D6.E91BE8A3@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20368



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > >
> > > >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
> > >
> > > >> Yeah, Cecil; can't you be refined and just tell people you disagree with
to
> > > >> soak their heads?
> > >
> > > >Which did you find more offensive - when Dick said it, or when Hans said
> > > >it earlier in the week?
> >
> > > Which one was said to me?
> >
> > Is it more, or less refined when it is said to someone else?

> No, he finds the one said to him to be more offensive. OTOH, I find the
> one said to him to be less offensive (than the one said to me :-).

Let's ALL soak our heads!  (We should anyway, for continuing to
participate in this newsgroup.)  I'll be soakin' mine in Catalina this
weekend.

Happy Soaking.

ac6xg


From -6716936639271845429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 13:30:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 13:31:22 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3AE9D71A.83DACE63@uci.edu>
References: <3AE847A3.5DE67B24@uci.edu> <20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9cc63r$ec1$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3ae9c0dd.1737969@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20369

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Well we actually meet with the FCC too.  Carl and I did
> that last year as an ex parte.  We both "funded" our respective
> travel to DC ourselves.

Yes, I remember.  Even saw the picture.  I just didn't think it was
worth mentioning.  :-)

> I'm an ARRL member myself as well as NCI BOD member.

That's just fantastic, Bill.  Sounds like a full plate.

73, Jim AC6XG


From -1406304388888503632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 13:40:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news-in-
austin.nuthinbutnews.com!usc.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 13:33:25 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3AE9D795.E6F09099@uci.edu>
References: <3AE847A3.5DE67B24@uci.edu> <20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9cc63r$ec1$1@news.service.uci.edu> <9ccg9a11oit@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
```

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20370

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:

> I would like to know how many NCI members are also ARRL members.

Well, just think about how many ARRL members are NCI members and it won't seem so important. :-)

ac6xg

From 2652889600694952590
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 14:50:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 14:42:50 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3AE9E7DA.E4D98524@uci.edu>
References: <3AE847A3.5DE67B24@uci.edu> <20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9cc63r$ec1$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3ae9c0dd.1737969@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20371

Can we sue the ARRL?

Better question: Can we sue NCI?

Just kidding, guys. The reason we have that list of stupid label warnings and stupid instructions Hans posted is because we are already too litigous.

best 73 from jim, ac6xg

From 3491566486603497781
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 15:20:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 22:20:25 GMT
References: <9cc63r$ec1$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010427182025.03074.00001348@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20372

>From: "Jim Kelley" jwkelley@uci.edu

>I was thinking more along the lines of Board meetings. Other than write
>letters to the FCC, I'm not exactly sure what NCI actually does for anybody.
>I prefer the ARRL. How about you?

I think that NCI's views on code testing are closer to my own than ARRL's.

ARRL is the only organization I know of that consistently represents Amateur
Radio across a wide range of venues.

I am a current ARRL member; I am not a member of NCI.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2935183160584686879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 15:22:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 22:22:21 GMT
References: <3AE9D71A.83DACE63@uci.edu>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010427182221.03074.00001349@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20373

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>> I'm an ARRL member myself as well as NCI BOD member.

>That's just fantastic, Bill. Sounds like a full plate.

Probably full and then some. Unless Bill stepped down, he serves as a State Government Liaison in ARRL's Field Organization (if memory serves).

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5176118736601152993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 15:24:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 22:24:37 GMT
References: <9ccg9a11oit@enews2.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010427182437.03074.00001350@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20374

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

>I would like to know how many NCI members are also ARRL members.

If NCI is willing to send me 100 random call signs, I can answer that question.
:-)

I would guess about 60%.

The times I have taken "snapshots" of posters to RRAP, I have found 50-85% were current ARRL members. The times I have taken snapshots of posters to rra.equipment, I have found about 85% pretty consistently.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 25050557430504367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4618e32b9c5a4feb,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 15:26:01 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Dockery" <jdockery@erols.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: US-NJ/NY Defbrillators Save Lives - Learn How
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 18:25:00 -0400
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9ccrl2$2vg$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVauyWUok5XeQamXRoczmM8J+CvaS4F6SZ26K54qg6ebKkYiwqzFIYf0
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 Apr 2001 22:25:38 GMT
X-MimeOLE:  Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Priority:  3
X-Newsreader:  Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MSMail-Priority:  Normal
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20375
```

I had to post this here in view of earlier posts....

Learn how to save lives with CPR and the use of an Automatic External Defibrillator.

It can be done with no liability for the user and purchaser of a defibrillator.

Thousands of people succumb annually to Sudden Cardiac Arrest. Many of those people would be here today if a defibrillator and trained people were nearby.

Think of your workplace. Where do you spend most of your waking hours?

For the equivalent cost of a decent PC at market prices along with software, your organization can acquire a defibrillator, become properly trained and save the life of a fellow employee.

Contact Lifesaving Solutions today for more information on this Lifesaving Solution.

mailto:jim@Lifesaving-Solutions.com
http://www.Lifesaving-Solutions.com

Visit our "company store" at http://www.Lifesaving-Solutions.com/store.html

```
From -1007052743592370653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 15:28:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 22:28:32 GMT
References: <3ae8bd3f.2136059@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010427182832.03074.00001351@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20376
```

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>1958, pass the simple general test and a Technician
>licensee could run KW equipment. I was only 16 at the time.

I was 14 when I passed the General after studying 16 pages of study guide. If anyone alleges it is "broken," they should qualify that they feel it has been ruined since 1958...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 8166953778283069347
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 15:30:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 22:30:04 GMT
References: <3AE9BB54.CC53B910@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010427183004.03074.00001352@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20377
```

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

```
>> Which one was said to me?

>Is it more, or less refined when it is said to someone else?

It is equally reprehensible, of course.

One I read; the other I didn't.

Which one did you criticize?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From 3678891683139042636
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 15:33:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 22:33:27 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104271951390.782-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010427183327.03074.00001353@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20378

>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org

>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org

>> >>Anyway, what about a simple list of the most common
>> >> illegal CB radio devices on the market?

>> Like Ranger 10-meter rigs that have been modified to operate outside the
>> ham bands?

>Why are you quoting ME as the one asking the question?  I did not ask that
>question.  You're off by one level of quotation.

My apology.  I usually do better, but I must have gotten carried away with the
cut and paste.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
```

From -6724575689080729192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 15:37:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 22:37:24 GMT
References: <3ae975ae.172605888@news.accessunited.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010427183724.03074.00001355@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20379

>From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)

>On a nightly basis, I am called by several people who
>obviously haven't a clue what I am sending, or who I am working. They
>call me on top of the people I am working, and are really having a
>struggle.

I wonder why our experiences are so different, Tom? I don't think that has happened to me more than once or twice in the past couple of years. I don't get on as much as I once did, but such faux pas do not occur very often when I do.

How about the other CW ops here; has this been a nightly occurrence when you operate?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5479079441258036366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 15:39:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 22:39:25 GMT
References: <90906236FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010427183925.03074.00001357@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20380

>From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)

>For better or worse amateur radio is no longer the primary or secondary backup to normal means.

Darryl,

From the record, it appears that in times of widespread disaster, those "primary" means of communication either fail altogether or are so overloaded as to be inaccessible. Amateur Radio has provided a valuable secondary resource in those cases.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1158131137654665845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 15:44:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 22:43:55 GMT
References: <9090812C7darrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010427184355.03074.00001359@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20381

>From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)

>There is a lot in the ARRL band plan that isn't law. It is just a gentlemen agree that these freqs will be used for a given purpose. I think this is far better than having the FCC set the rules. They are away too slow in changing.

I agree that hams need flexibility, but, OTOH, give a listen to 160 meters and compare the activity vis a vis the band plan. See what happens if a "violator" is told he is operating outside the ARRL band plan. Those band plans will, and should, remain as recommendations; ARRL does not want to have regulatory power.

```
73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -3151103107183737420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 15:54:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 22:53:39 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3ae9f847.1516364@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AE9D71A.83DACE63@uci.edu> <20010427182221.03074.00001349@ng-
mo1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.34
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 22:54:04 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20382

On 27 Apr 2001 22:22:21 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
>>> I'm an ARRL member myself as well as NCI BOD member.
>
>>That's just fantastic, Bill.  Sounds like a full plate.
>
>Probably full and then some.  Unless Bill stepped down, he serves as a State
>Government Liaison in ARRL's Field Organization (if memory serves).

To be accurate, I am an LGL (Local Gov't Liaison).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 8815355094613936877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 15:56:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
```

From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 22:55:18 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3ae9f89c.1601306@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AE847A3.5DE67B24@uci.edu> <20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9cc63r$ec1$1@news.service.uci.edu> <9ccg9a11oit@enews2.newsguy.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.34
X-Server-Date: 27 Apr 2001 22:55:43 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20383

On 27 Apr 2001 19:11:38 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:

>In article <9cc63r$ec1$1@news.service.uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...
>>
>>W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
>>news:20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-mj1.aol.com...
>>> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>>> >I know a lot of guys who would rather sit around and jawbone in meetings
>>> >than do actual work. Don't you?
>>
>>> Yes, I do. I believe that the effort that the NCI BOD has put into what
>>they
>>> are trying to accomplish is well past jawboning at local club meetings.
>>Do you
>>> disagree?
>>
>>I was thinking more along the lines of Board meetings. Other than write
>>letters to the FCC, I'm not exactly sure what NCI actually does for anybody.
>>I prefer the ARRL. How about you?
>>
>>73, Jim AC6XG
>
>I would like to know how many NCI members are also ARRL members.

As a member of both, I can tell you that neither asks
its members about their afiliation with the other. Bottom
line: No one knows.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 8655288742617652396
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 16:27:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!yellow.newsread.com!bad-
news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Message-ID: <3ae9ff6c.207872688@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<9c9vu602jk8@enews3.newsguy.com> <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>
<90906236FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<3ae98a47.177880068@news.accessunited.com> <3AE99034.931B6998@IEEE.org>
<3ae9bbda.190572263@news.accessunited.com> <3AE9C765.D666DABB@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 18
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 23:27:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.27
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988414025 216.1.128.27 (Fri, 27 Apr 2001 19:27:05
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 19:27:05 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12413
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15335 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20384
```

On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 14:24:21 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Consider the difference in your two statements, Tom, from "most
>efficient" to "better than any other non-error correcting mode".
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Well, when I think of Pactor and the rest I think of a computer and a big HF rig.

When I think of CW, I think of a key and a small radio and very low power drain...something you can tote around in the field.

I guess if you consider a laptop and a SSB rig readily available, then there are better modes (although they consume more energy).

73 Tom

From -8847850809704918967

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 16:28:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 23:27:17 GMT
References: <20010427003655.06351.00000431@nso-bk.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010427192717.26589.00000707@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20385

In article <20010427003655.06351.00000431@nso-bk.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>Today we have more opportunities to Elmer than ever before. And there are
>more
>resources than ever before, 'specially with newsgroups, reflectors, and
>websites. Heck, there are over 500 (that's FIVE HUNDRED) technical articles
>online at the ARRL website alone! Many times all a new ham needs is a pointer
>to where the info is located.

More and more of the on-line QST and QEX articles are marked
"for members only" at the ARRL TIS site compared with a year
before.  [is that a "pointer" to join the League?  :-) ]


From 5261324093189179263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 16:28:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 52
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 23:27:18 GMT
References: <3ae962d3.38726647@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Message-ID: <20010427192718.26589.00000708@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20386
```

In article <3ae962d3.38726647@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>>> I'm about to take an FT-817 out for a test drive. SSB, CW, PSK, FM,
>>> etc 1.8 thru 440Mhz in a shirt pocket.

>> That's current ham radio
>>> technology and nobody is interested in going back to 1936 technology,
>>> Lenny.

[apparently the FT-817 made it through FCC testing...:-)]

What about 1936 standards and practices? I just used technology
as a point of reference...most in here weren't alive yet in 1936.

>> Right on. Somehow all the geekos can't visualize that all this
>>improvement helps CW just as well as the other modes. It's another
>>'don't want to'.

The improvement in technology is worthwhile for all modes, but
that is still not a reason to retain OOK CW codings as a test
element or epitomize CW as amateur radio skills.

>The guy works a day or two as a week at the local HRO. That one store
>is selling 50 817s a month. Two a day! Gotta be one of the hottest
>items to hit the ham radio shelves ever. It's gettting scads of ops
>back into cw who haven't used cw for years, he's one of 'em.

Yaesu dropped the price of the FT-817 from $950 suggested list
down to $760. I should think that would be sufficient impetus to
have more amateurs visit their radio stores. :-)

I'm puzzled by the "scads of ops back into cw" as the "reason"
(implied) for the FT-817's success. It is all-mode (allocated) and
works all the way up to 70 cm (missing the 220 band) but is only
5 W maximum PEP. It is advertised as a "back-packer" sort of
portable. Do back-packing amateurs prefer CW over other modes?
And why?

The FT-817 won't fit into a shirt pocket but maybe a coat pocket.
Hopefull two pockets on the coat since the other pocket has to
hold the key and antenna wire. [it is 5.3"W x 1.5"H x 6.5"D]

Was this all-mode, "all-band," portability state of the art in 1956?
If so, or not, how did such technology affect standards and
practices of that time, a whole generation after Maxim's demise?

How is that applicable to 1966 standards and practices and the
alleged inability to govern the US ARS from Newington?

From -4114756356987720730
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 16:28:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 71
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 Apr 2001 23:27:16 GMT
References: <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.
Message-ID: <20010427192716.26589.00000706@nso-mb.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20387

In article <3ae8b85d.124133368@news.accessunited.com>, 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom
W8JI) writes:

>On 26 Apr 2001 20:20:22 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
>wrote:
>
>>It's not code usage. No one is denying anyone the privelege of using morse
>to
>>communicate. It's code *testing* that has everyone in an uproar in this
>>newsgroup. Anything past what is required the treaty is nothing but a
>worthless
>>hazing ritual for Ye Olde Farhtz who think that testees are learning a
>>"valuable communications skill"
>
>I think I see what you are saying, but factually CW is still the most
>efficient form of exchanging information available to amateurs when
>conditions are marginal.

Technically flawed under technology available to radio amateurs
as far back as a decade ago.

That flawed claim is invalid for the retention of a code test for an
amateur radio license.

>I certainly have no objection to people who never use CW not taking a
>fast CW test, but it is stupid to allow 5 WPM people access to
>high-speed CW segments. It actually causes problems.
>
>No matter how we look at it, CW isn't the problem. It isn't a barrier,
>and hasn't been for a long time except to a very few lazy people. The
>problem is people aren't interested in radio.

Sorry, there are people interested in radio. Most of those same

people aren't interested in morse code communications...either
by itself or as a license test requirement.

>The recent results of lowering speed to 5 WPM (along with the much
>more simple test we have had for the past ten years or whatever)
>clearly show very few (if any) people are attracted by the reduction
>in speed.

The no-code-test Technician license class has continued to grow
since it was authorized. That's a ten-year period. Prior to the
April 15, 2000, no-code-test Technicians were a quarter of all
licensed US radio amateurs.

>So it is really a useless issue....it's mostly people who want to get
>a "free upgrade" that are doing all the bitching.

Thank you for the "mostly" qualifier. :-)

>1% increase in new
>amateurs and 30% increase in upgrades shows we are whipping a dead
>horse.

The horse was probably dead from faulty data.

>It's a social problem. People are lazy and want the best of everything
>for no effort or work.

Oh, my, the posturing and preening on amateur radio morals
and ethics!

>They won't fish for a living anyway, it's too much effort when we have
>welfare.

Is fishing or not fishing some kind of welfare qualification?

Or have you merely baited a hook to go trolling for another
chance at denigrating all those who did not buy into Your
"necessary amateur radio morals and ethics?"

```
From 1132221662938805851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 17:10:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 19:08:48 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
```

```
Message-ID: <3AEA0A10.2724B19C@IEEE.org>
References: <3ae8bd3f.2136059@news.mindspring.com>
<20010427182832.03074.00001351@ng-mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ef
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Apr 2001 00:09:54 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20388

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: billsohl@mindspring.com  (Bill Sohl)
>
> >1958, pass the simple general test and a Technician
> >licensee could run KW equipment.  I was only 16 at the time.
>
> I was 14 when I passed the General after studying 16 pages of study guide.  If
> anyone alleges it is "broken," they should qualify that they feel it has been
> ruined since 1958...

Make that 1951. I've been a ham for half a century. :-(
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 445813697956824119
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 17:17:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 19:16:29 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3AEA0BDD.C2C49E21@IEEE.org>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.97.ef
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 28 Apr 2001 00:17:34 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15337
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20390

Bill Hammack wrote:
> Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered ...

Murder is a crime.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 7016317036117879208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 17:28:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 00:28:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988417692 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 27 Apr 2001 17:28:12
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 17:28:12 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15339
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20392

He's an admitted killer, he commited a crime and deserves to be punished
according to the laws of the land he is in. He should have done his bombing
somewhere without the death penalty. Then he could spend his days getting
raped in a penn on the gov't tip.

--
Rob St.Denis

"Bill Hammack" <bhamack@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
news:39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net...
>
> Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection

> of a poisonous substance on the 16th day of May anno Domini 2001
> at precisely 7:00 AM EST at the U.S. penitentiary on Rural Route
> 21 Terre Haute, IN 47802; USGS coordinates 87W27:05.0 39N24:59.5
> (Terre Haute, Vigo County, one of 77 counties on EST year-round)

```
From 238122699630768304
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 17:31:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 93
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 00:31:23 GMT
References: <3ae9bbda.190572263@news.accessunited.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.
Message-ID: <20010427203123.09500.00000388@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20393
```

In article <3ae9bbda.190572263@news.accessunited.com>, 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI) writes:

>Any system that sends error correcting information and uses a narrow bandwidth would be better, I agree with that. But there is nothing worng with CW.

Faulty premise there of equating TEST with USE. Nobody is suggesting or implying elimination of CW USE in amateur radio. The NCTAs simply want to remove the code test.

Please cite the "necessities" of retaining the code TEST for all would-be radio amateurs. Concentrate on the test. Remember than the FCC licenses radio amateurs as part of a regulatory task. The license test is for the FCC's purpose and the FCC is not an academic institution.

The FCC is not chartered with any "moral and ethical" task of maintenance of some old, antiquated standards and practices or mythos about amateur radio.

>It is better than any other non-error correcting mode we have.

No. There is the faulty presumption that skilled code operators never (or hardly ever) make mistakes. The entire history of manual telegraphy is rife with mistakes happening in communications. That and the greater speed of teleprinting led the conversion of the commercial and military world to rely on teleprinters some while ago.

Morse code, even with very skilled operators running routinely at 40 WPM still take longer than slow voice by a factor of 3.

Morse code is not a mandatory mode for US radio amateurs except in two small VHF band segments, yet is the only mode skill ever required for any license class test.

Morse code has only one advantage that might possibly fit the regulatory task of the FCC. It permits communications, using a western alphabet set, between two amateurs who do not speak the same language. However, international communications' skill is not a requirement in Part 97 or 2.

>I have no agenda against any mode, or anyone else's pleasure. The fact
>is that CW is not a problem with amateur radio, it is not the reason
>there isn't more interest.

Are you the definite source of opinion expertise on why there isn't more interest? I don't think so. You have expressed your opinion. Nothing wrong with that. The fault is trying to denigrate "civilians" with morals, ethics, and motivation of your personal choosing.

It is easy to see why so many never bother with amateur radio as a hobby. That lies in a sometimes overbearing patrician and self-enobled opinion of their "expertise" in radio and the continuation of old, outdated standards and practices as the "goal of skill enhancement."

US amateur radio is a hobby. It is not some quasi-military "service." It is not some emergency "service." It does not need self-important torch-carriers and lawgivers to "enforce" traditions, standards, or practice beyond what is necessary for the FCC to regulate that radio service.

>It is a whipping-boy for those hell-bent on
>changing amateur radio into an expanded personal radio sevice, and it
>is in the way of Kenwood dishing out radios to anyone who wants one.

Is it? Or isn't it the "lack of motivation (for learning CW)" is the false and misleading phrasing of those who consider themselves elite and "leaders" of some virtual community?

Amateur radio is defined as an activity engaged in not for pecuniary compensation. That is why it is amateur. That is also a fancy phrasing for a hobby. An avocation.

It seems to be that hobbies ARE personal to the individuals
involved. The ITU-R standards define amateur communications
as having "trivial value." All of that adds up to a personal radio
communications service. Personal.

If you wish to state that "civilians" are too lazy and unmotivated
(to learn CW for a test) then I will have to ask who made you
the great moral arbiter of amateur radio?

Why is there a CW test and how is it justified?

Oh, and Yaesu is doing the current round of price reductions
in ready-built amateur radio equipment, not Kenwood.

From -2698780025724782867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 17:42:30 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 20:41:57 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 00:41:57 GMT
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!news.bnb-lp.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15342
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20394

In article <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>, Bill Hammack says...

> Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection
> of a poisonous substance ......

He's getting off easy.

It's a shame the government can't find a way to do it to him once
a week, until the count matches the number of his victims in Oklahoma

City.

```
From 2597501813097367162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 17:49:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL screw-ball of the month club.
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 00:49:10 -0000
Organization: Shecora
Message-ID: <9090D69C5darrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
References: <90906236FdarrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
<20010427183925.03074.00001357@ng-mo1.aol.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 40
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20395
```

>Darryl,
>
>From the record, it appears that in times of widespread disaster, those
>"primary" means of communication either fail altogether or are so
>overloaded as to be inaccessible. Amateur Radio has provided a valuable
>secondary resource in those cases.

Ed,

Of course your are correct. I meant to add that I think ARES
and RACES is very important to help with local disaster, even
the wide spread such as hurricanes. It may also be true that
EM units may still be working with old tech when disaster strikes
and the amateurs must come to the rescue. The point I am trying
to make is that mobile sat based high speed digital comm can be

purchased for less than $1000-2000 now including computer.

What can't be replace (at least not yet ;-) is having a human
out in the field. I think this is where ARES and RACES really
shine and save lives! I for one am really glad that ARES and
RACES is there!

The point that I was trying to make is that CW doesn't really
fit into the picture like it did once upon a time.

--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

From -907011332391988104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 18:03:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 19
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <eFoG6.4312$gv1.481727@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /wObnZkYj2tDoBaZyA6Anmx/ZBh2BPdipEjKjncjRiQ/lnuuCydlBpHqii0i6fW8qDDj/t0e7THM!PQXtKSKIUPyqGC3cjT4izLSYfy1nOmXrwjU25xTTryKSp1JLCQe/8WZKR/96hh9onRLuN43NLDDX!fYKNJlDtRA==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 01:03:06 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 01:03:06 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15344
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20397

"Bill Hammack" <bhamack@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
news:39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net...
>
> Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection

Wrong. Murder is a crime. This is a legal court mandated execution.

> of a poisonous substance on the 16th day of May anno Domini 2001
> at precisely 7:00 AM EST at the U.S. penitentiary on Rural Route
> 21 Terre Haute, IN 47802; USGS coordinates 87W27:05.0 39N24:59.5
> (Terre Haute, Vigo County, one of 77 counties on EST year-round)

Too bad it's not the electric chair - if they put that on Pay-Per-View GW
could knock a hell of a dent in the National Debt with the proceeds... :>)

73 de W6DKN

From 2938095778595218487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 18:27:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <MPG.1553e69f67bd475b9896f7@news.earthlink.net>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 16
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 01:27:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.75.81
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988421226 64.157.75.81 (Fri, 27 Apr 2001
18:27:06 PDT)

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 18:27:06 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 18:25:39 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15345
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20398

In article <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB@QWEST.NET
says...
> In article <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>, Bill Hammack says...
>
> > Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection
> > of a poisonous substance ......
>
> He's getting off easy.
>
> It's a shame the government can't find a way to do it to him once
> a week, until the count matches the number of his victims in Oklahoma
> City.

Better yet, put his family to death and make him push the plungers.  Let
him feel what the families of his victims did.  Boy, that would be great



From 6148642858512156261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 18:36:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 01:36:29 GMT
References: <20010427182832.03074.00001351@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010427213629.20255.00000373@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20399

In article <20010427182832.03074.00001351@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: billsohl@mindspring.com  (Bill Sohl)
>
>>1958, pass the simple general test and a Technician
>>licensee could run KW equipment.  I was only 16 at the time.
>
>I was 14 when I passed the General after studying 16 pages of study guide.
```

>If
>anyone alleges it is "broken," they should qualify that they feel it has been
>ruined since 1958...

HAH! Got ya beat! Advanced in 1968, at the age of 14. Extra in 1970, at the age of 16. (2-year wait back then). Now you gotta collect on that lobster-dinner bet, Ed.

Back then we had 12 year old Extras. A few years ago, we had at least two Extras under the age of 11. And some really young Techs.

In my Novice test of 1967, there was at least one safety question that dealt with the precautions around high voltage. I remember laughing because one of the choices was "take out double-indemnity life insurance". I had to go home and look up what double-indemnity meant.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Switch to Safety

From 7356927857745445896
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 18:36:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 01:36:29 GMT
References: <20010427192717.26589.00000707@nso-mb.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010427213629.20255.00000374@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20400

In article <20010427192717.26589.00000707@nso-mb.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010427003655.06351.00000431@nso-bk.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>Today we have more opportunities to Elmer than ever before. And there are
>>more
>>resources than ever before, 'specially with newsgroups, reflectors, and
>>websites. Heck, there are over 500 (that's FIVE HUNDRED) technical articles
>>online at the ARRL website alone! Many times all a new ham needs is a

>pointer
>>to where the info is located.
>
>More and more of the on-line QST and QEX articles are marked
>"for members only" at the ARRL TIS site compared with a year
>before.

Can you give us some exact numbers? How many of each kind were there a year ago, and how many of each kind are there now?

Besides the Product Reviews, how many of the articles that would be of interest to a newcomer are in the members only area?

W1RFI has explained the criteria for what gets put in the members only category and what is wide open. I think the criteria used makes a lot of sense.

Do you think the ARRL should simply put all of their copyrighted material online for free?

>[is that a "pointer" to join the League? :-)]

Joining the ARRL is about the best deal a radio amateur or would-be radio amateur can make. QST alone is worth the price.

N2EY

Member since 1968

"I'm the ARRL"

From 1479903746358308727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd05a9d0e9085619
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 19:06:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Missionaries Shot Down in Peru are Hams
Date: 28 Apr 2001 02:06:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 71
Message-ID: <9cd8il$i27$0@208.207.71.166>
References: <3ae4a262_2@spamkiller.newsfeeds.com> <9c48pd$cur$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AE5EA08.49E5DEF2@IEEE.org> <3ae61776$0$62152$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3ae902df.14161406@news2.dvol.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20401

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Tue, 24 Apr 2001 20:14:55 -0400, "Larry Klose"
> <lklose@net-link.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >The issue was settled the next year when President Clinton decided to allow
> >the sharing of tracking assistance "if the President has determined that
> >such actions are necessary because of the threat posed by drugtrafficking
> >[sic] to the national security of that country and that the country has
> >appropriate procedures in place to protect innocent aircraft."
> >
> Whatta crock.
> >
> Legalize the goddam stuff and treat it the same way we treat alcholic
> beverages. Legalization would wipe out the drug cartels right on down
> to the street-corner peddlers on the spot, would get rid of the
> gunfire and get the CIA and assorted militaries out of this
> mission-impossible circle-jerk. Taxation of the crap would produce
> enormous unending positive cash flows into to the public coffers just
> like legal booze sales do. Instead of bleeding the public coffers by
> the billions now spent on "enforcement".
> >
> Imagine how many "enforcers" would have to dredge up other ways to
> churn their daily bread if legalization came to pass . . which is the
> real story.
> >
> We learned *nothing* from Prohibtion, the most infamously failed of
> all chunks of "social engineering". But not all of us missed that
> message.

Sure wish it was that simple, Brian. It ain't. Alcahol can affect different persons somewhat differently, but there is a wide variation in the effects of the different drugs. Some are instantly addictive, one time and you're hooked, your life taken over by the craving. Far more crime is driven by drugs that is generally recognized. Much of the stuff wipes out the ability to think rationally, nothing matters except getting the next hit. And there is a fairly wide variation in the way different people react to many drugs. Some can use some drugs "recreationally" while others cannot, falling to the instant addiction.
Some drugs can absolutely wipe out a normally functioning brain, causing the user to do things that are absolutely crazy, that he

wouldn't think of doing normally. An 18 year old kid here, normal by all accounts and a good kid, got a snoot full of Meth and went absolutely nuts, shooting a rifle into passing cars, houses, at people. It was sheer good fortune that no one was killed. He is now serving 40 years and wondering what happened.

I just saw on TV the other day where another Meth addict was in court for being a "hired gun" where a husband paid him to kill his wife. He needed money for more Meth. Today any fool with a few bucks for common supplies and a little time can make meth.

This is just a small sample. I know that some substances probably are fairly benign, to many users. And alcahol is no saint for sure. But turning it all loose would be a sure recipe for disaster for huge numbers of young, the clueless and those with poor self control. I think our problems would magnify overnight, bad is it is now. The crime rate would soar. And evidently Cecil would be happy.

All that said, I sure agree that what is now in place is pitiful, ineffecive and at that, selfperpetuating. It's not a war that we will ever win at this rate. I agree with Jesse Ventura, Hans' governor. He says we will never win the war on drugs by tryint to cut off the supply, they'll just change to some other source or route of delivery. It's got to be won at the consumer end if it is ever won. Don't seem to likely at this point.

Dick

From -4183781703151226780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 19:25:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 28 Apr 2001 02:25:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9cd9ma$jh5$0@208.207.71.166>
References: <3AE8C07D.173AFBB0@uci.edu> <20010427061810.04826.00000004@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3AE9BB54.CC53B910@uci.edu> <JajG6.1118$SZ5.93093@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20402

K0HB wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley asked:
>
> >
> >Is it more, or less refined when it is said to someone else?
> >
>
> Doncha be comin' 'round me lookin' fer no refinement, Jim. I'll tell ya to go
> soak yer haid!

 Well, it cud be worse...the advice could be to go soak your
hemorrhoids.

```
From 5589015575850481798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 19:28:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: 28 Apr 2001 02:28:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9cd9s2$jh5$1@208.207.71.166>
References: <3AE847A3.5DE67B24@uci.edu> <20010426204438.12772.00001305@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9cc63r$ec1$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3ae9c0dd.1737969@news.mindspring.com> <3AE9D71A.83DACE63@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20403
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > Well we actually meet with the FCC too. Carl and I did
> > that last year as an ex parte. We both "funded" our respective
> > travel to DC ourselves.
>
> Yes, I remember. Even saw the picture. I just didn't think it was
> worth mentioning. :-)

>
> > I'm an ARRL member myself as well as NCI BOD member.
>
> That's just fantastic, Bill. Sounds like a full plate.
>

But nothing good to eat...

From 333932184616980435
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 19:34:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 28 Apr 2001 02:34:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9cda6q$mhn$0@208.207.71.166>
References: <3ae8bd3f.2136059@news.mindspring.com> <20010427182832.03074.00001351@ng-mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.166
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20404

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>
> >1958, pass the simple general test and a Technician
> >licensee could run KW equipment. I was only 16 at the time.
>
> I was 14 when I passed the General after studying 16 pages of study guide. If
> anyone alleges it is "broken," they should qualify that they feel it has been
> ruined since 1958...

No, you and Bill and Cecil say you believe that. Others, not so. You haven't yet convinced me and many others that your opinion prevails over a large body of evidence and testimony to the contrary. It is not likely you ever will.

From -2884362718486280355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 20:02:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AEA3308.3FFE@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 23:03:36 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.184.69
X-Trace: news2.atl 988426940 209.214.184.69 (Fri, 27 Apr 2001 23:02:20 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 23:02:20 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15347
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20405

Don't you DARE come in here with that "murdered" crap! Funny thing, the only thing the anti-capital punishment people do is whine over the criminal and NEVER do they say a thing about the VICTIMS of their crimes. He should have to suffer in death and not just go to "sleep" after an injection. I would LOVE to witness him swing at the end of a rope or squirm in a gas chamber, or dance to the tune of 20,000 volts!
GRRRRRR!

Jerry
K4KWH

Bill Hammack wrote:
>
> Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection
> of a poisonous substance on the 16th day of May anno Domini 2001
> at precisely 7:00 AM EST at the U.S. penitentiary on Rural Route
> 21 Terre Haute, IN 47802; USGS coordinates 87W27:05.0 39N24:59.5
> (Terre Haute, Vigo County, one of 77 counties on EST year-round)

From 4976518017561237897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 20:24:00 PST

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 23:24:19 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-161.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988428239 370242 206.74.49.161 (28 Apr 2001 03:23:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 03:23:59 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20406

Phil Kane wrote:

> Wide open to the "dude defense" -- "its
> not me, officer, it's some dude elsewhere".
> No conclusive evidence that the alleged unlawful
> nonconforming signals are coming from that
> station, no case. Period.

Phil, I don't think it really matters what the person claims. According to Title 47, Sec. 95.426, the operator must allow an inspection of his station upon request, which would include all radio equipment used. If, during that inspection, an illegal device is discovered attached his station (one indication, in addition to the neighbor's complaint, that it has been used), the use of the illegal device in itself is a violation under HR-2346. At that point, it really doesn't matter where the original non-conforming signal came from. Now, we could sit here and argue for days whether a device attached to a station, along with an interference complaint, is enough to prove that the device has been used, but neither of us will be certain until a number of these HR-2346 cases are resolved in the courts.

>   Dwight - analysis of enforcement cases for
> sufficiency before they are brought is MY bag -
> I was the Commission's expert on such things
> for years and taught it at the Academy and in
> OJT.

I understand that, Phil. However, as I said earlier, these cases will be somewhat different than the ones you were involved with at the FCC (misdemeanor, smaller fines, etc).

>   A charge of "using non-type-accepted equipment"
> is the icing on the cake, not the cake itself.
> No probative evidence that the station is
> operating "out of tolerance", no reasonable cause
> to make the inspection to determine if the
> equipment is type-accepted or not.

Title 47, Sec. 95.426

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -4854543507531381134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 20:50:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 1
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 03:50:00 GMT
References: <oTLE6.1387$QV4.109260@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010427235000.26269.00001292@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20407

After one year and only 1 % growth in Amateur Radio licenses, Geeze. J1

From -4719843954848581409

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 20:51:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: 28 Apr 2001 03:00:42 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9cdboq01ljs@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AEA0BDD.C2C49E21@IEEE.org>
<39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-656.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15351
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20408

In article <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net>, bhamack@bellsowth.net says...
>
>
>On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 19:16:29 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>: Bill Hammack wrote:
>: >  Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered ...
>:
>: Murder is a crime.
>
>Indeed.  Forbidden by God, it is a crime when done by McVeigh or when
>done by the state.

Forbidden by god? You'd better read your bible a little more thorougly. That
part about "suffer not to let a witch live" comes to mind.



From -3094867101418616330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-27 20:53:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Fri, 27 Apr 2001 23:53:28 -0400
Organization: -
```

Lines: 53
Message-ID: <3AEA3E99.A4D87423@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<3ae96924.2456370@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-161.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988429987 371256 206.74.49.161 (28 Apr 2001 03:53:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 03:53:07 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20409

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> Dwight...pay attention carefully...
>
> Even assuming such an all enclusive list can
> be generated... JUST HOW DOES THE COP GET TO
> SEE THE RADIO IN A PERSON'S HOME. The cop
> has NO right of access. You continue to
> ignore that vital step needed to look at
> any radio in a person's home.

No, Bill, I have a better idea - you pay careful attention (because I've answered that very question at least seven or eight times in this thread already). According to Title 47, Sec. 95.426, an operator must make his station available for inspection upon request, which includes all of the radio equipment used. That, Bill, is how the cop gets to see the radio in a person's home.

> And as Phil Kane noted before...the cop has NO
> right to insect any station at all. Indeed,
> the person could simply state: "I have NO station
> of any kind."

Title 47, Sec. 95.426 (and please don't ask what happens if the operator refuses to allow the inspection - I've answered that several times before also)

> The rules of law, prosecution, etc still prevail.
> Assuming someone is "charged", the person can always
> enlist the help of an attorney. Indeed, I suspect
> that would happen far more than you think.

We're just going round and round in circles, Bill (and Phil). All of the stuff raised in the last few messages has been discussed in this thread before (much of it several times), so why keep repeating the same stuff? I, for one, have better things to do. So, with that in mind, I give up - you're right, Bill (and Phil), HR-2346 is completely unenforceable. The attorneys at the FCC who helped to write HR-2346 were wrong. The attorneys on capital hill who sponsored the bill were wrong. The attorneys on capital hill who voted to pass the bill were wrong. The attorneys who handed the bill to the president to sign were wrong. All of that effort was a complete waste of time - the new law is absolutely unenforceable.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6912048079346152766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 08:18:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 15:17:50 GMT
References: <20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010428111750.25061.00002746@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20463

>Capital Punishment is indeed cruel and unusual punishment in these
>enlightened times. I am in favor of total abolition of the death penalty.
>
>73 de Larry, K3L

BURN HIM

```
From 1503386536222749751
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 08:20:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 15:20:42 GMT
References: <20010428080922.02960.00001117@ng-da1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Message-ID: <20010428112042.05666.00001336@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20464
```

In article <20010428080922.02960.00001117@ng-da1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>> Do back-packing amateurs prefer CW over other modes?
>>And why?
>
>For the most part, I think they do. With the marginal antennas and low power,
>CW is more effective than SSB most of the time.

Ed:

Only "most of the time?" When would SSB be more effective than CW under "backpacking" conditions?

>>How is that applicable to 1966 standards and practices and the
>>alleged inability to govern the US ARS from Newington?
>
>ARRL does not govern the ARS, so its alleged ability to do so is moot.

One cannot deny the fact that the ARRL has a great deal of influence with the FCC when it comes to the regulation of the ARS.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -4433624580630261990
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 08:20:51 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 15:20:43 GMT
References: <3aeab527$0$62150$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL employee of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010428112043.05666.00001337@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20465

In article <3aeab527$0$62150$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net> writes:

>
>The solution to this key wiring deficiency is
>to enact an international requirement for
>a pass/fail exam requiring an applicant to
>physically wire up a key. Generals would be
>require to wire-up a two wire straight key, and Extras a
>three-wire lambic key. Since this is a new requirement,
>all hams would be required to pass the exam
>with one year or lose their license.
>
>Larry KC8EPO

Larry:

Great idea -- I'm all in favor of it. And since those who vigorously supported a reduction in the code testing requirements have always claimed that this would bring about a higher level of technical knowledge in the ARS, I believe that there should be an international requirement for all new hams to take a stack of old TV's and VCR's and build a functional, multi-mode, 160 thru 450 MHz transceiver with the components thereof. The only new components that would be permitted would be metal or plastic components for a hand-built case for the completed rig. This should be completely within the capabilities of all of the young, computer-literate, technical geniuses who have been "excluded" from amateur radio by the archaic code testing requirements for so long. I can't wait to read about the results of the first round of new ham "tests" in QST and 73.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8598112665869175586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 08:26:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 15:26:07 GMT
References: <20010428100318.05666.00001328@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010428112607.25061.00002748@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20466

>Show all of us why a person
>who holds at least a B.S. EE and doesn't know the Morse code is
>more qualified to be an Extra-class AMATEUR radio operator than
>any other Extra *with* useful proficiency in this mode?

Since knowledge is the key, and all these new yahoos are Technical Gurus, then I guess what we should do is get rid of CW Testing, and present Memorization Test, and require all new No-Codes have at least a B.S. EE, if thats to tough then lets require at least and 8th Grade Education. Now that sounds like a good compromise for getting rid of that nasty CW thing.

From -6474426779218223166
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 09:04:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 12:03:41 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>

Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 86446034.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=FTe9J7n9M^Mh_P==R2PeVJLoK8f=:?J8@[JFGR4UMKE@7De4II:F;FGJamboPPn?@KlBFDaL\d^nEO67ZeD?S]9A
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20467

The common element between the tragedy and the Morse code exam requirement is misguided US national and international policies. Policies that defy common sense and law.

From a CNN story: The Pentagon pointed out [in 1994] that the United States argued vigorously after the Soviet Union shot down a civilian Korean jetliner "such actions violate article 3(d) of the 1944 Convention on Internal Civil Aviation and customary international law."

ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47 CFR 97.503(a) are unnecessary, bad public policy, and not in conformity with 5 USC 706.

The FCC is moving right along with its housecleaning work, now time for President GW Bush and Secretary Powell to review President Clinton "war on drug" aerial combat polices.

Larry KC8EPO

****
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net...
> The death of Ronie Bower and her child is indeed a tragedy. It also
> shows the failure of US policy. We find USA personal directing a Peru
> war plane into position to shoot down civilian aircraft. Would we permit
> that in the United States? I think not.

Larry, do me a favor. Read my response and then attempt a response.
I did not address U.S. drug policy at all.

> As for the connection the FCC amateur radio I would recommend that you read
> the WT Docket #98-143 comments filed missionaries. They may enlighten you.

Take this as fact: The death of the woman and her child had nothing to do with amateur radio operation or with mores code testing. That you tried linking the two reveals you to an opportunistic and self-serving boob.

From -5936333064833481585
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 09:19:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <no-diff@no-diff> <20010428074021.02960.00001110@ng-da1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 12:16:02 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3aeaed26$1$88189$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 86446034.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=XI;MFkHj:0l=>N\5^GS<ljLoK8f=:?J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgRD?
Q^fG_f6llBFDaL\d^neO67ZeD?S]9a
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20469


"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010428074021.02960.00001110@ng-da1.aol.com...
> >From: anti-arrl@worthless_org.org  (Ringo Ranger)
>
> >New ARRL motto: They're only amateurs, they don't have to be good.
>
> I couldn't find that on the ARRL web page, can you post a URL?

There no URL for in-his-dreams@worthless-rrap-poster.dum

Larry  KC8EPO




From -2877814763460568084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 09:19:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010427235000.26269.00001292@ng-fq1.aol.com>
<20010428073942.02960.00001109@ng-da1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 12:12:45 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3aeaed26$0$88189$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 86446034.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=XI;MFkHj:0\L:]83Yo7ZD[LoK8f=:?J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWRD?
Q^fG_f6\lBFDaL\d^nUO67ZeD?S]9Q
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20468

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010428073942.02960.00001109@ng-da1.aol.com...
> >From: j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar)
>
> >After one year and only 1 % growth in Amateur Radio licenses, Geeze. J1
>
> Yeah, makes it a bit harder for those who feel a need to proclaim that
Amateur
> Radio is dying if the numbers are actually going up, eh? Is the wail now
going
> to be that Amateur Radio is dying because the number of hams is only going
up a
> little?

Ed, the FCC dropped the 13 & 20 wpm code exam because it failed to serve a regulatory purpose, not in conformity with 5 US 706. While some argue that it was adversely effecting the numbers, it was not the primary reason for the change.

If the number of licensees was growing at 5% per year, do you think the FCC would have come to a different conclusion?

Larry KC8EPO

From -381413241281634586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 09:34:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
news.gtei.net.MISMATCH!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aeab527$0$62150$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010428112043.05666.00001337@nso-fo.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL employee of the month club.
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 12:32:46 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3aeaf112$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 86446034.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=[`M48eHU\T[iAcBM^EMG<[LoK8f=:?
J8P[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWDfk03CI;9dYlBFDaL\d^nUO67ZeD?S]9Q
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20470

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010428112043.05666.00001337@nso-fo.aol.com...

> Great idea -- I'm all in favor of it. And since those who vigorously
> supported a reduction in the code testing requirements have always
> claimed that this would bring about a higher level of technical
> knowledge in the ARS, I believe that there should be an international
> requirement for all new hams to take a stack of old TV's and VCR's
> and build a functional, multi-mode, 160 thru 450 MHz transceiver
> with the components thereof. The only new components that would
> be permitted would be metal or plastic components for a hand-built
> case for the completed rig. This should be completely within the
> capabilities of all of the young, computer-literate, technical geniuses
> who have been "excluded" from amateur radio by the archaic code
> testing requirements for so long. I can't wait to read about the
> results of the first round of new ham "tests" in QST and 73.

I assume this would only be for Extra class applicant and licensee.
All Extras would have the privelges reduce to General until the met
the new HIGHER standard.

Several years ago, 73 Magazine had some good articles on build thing
out of old TV sets.

Larry

```
From -2275207699782612616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 09:44:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae5ee2a$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010428100317.05666.00001326@nso-fo.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 12:40:59 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3aeaf2ff$0$88179$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 86446034.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=iYL_OBhlblgb0EN^dS;UdeLoK8f=:?
J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgbDdQ6]_o]8flBFDaL\d^neO67ZeD?S]9a
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20471
```

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010428100317.05666.00001326@nso-fo.aol.com...

> In article <3ae5ee2a$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose"
> <lklose@net-link.net> writes:
>
> >The use of 13 & 20 wpm Morse code exams to bar otherwise
> >competent missionary operators was also preventable.
> >Unfortunately, a few procode forever over advocates corrupted
> >the public due process of FCC. The 13 & 20 wpm exams
> >should have ended in 1990, not 2000.
>
> Interesting. I never realized that the purpose of the 13- and 20-WPM
> code tests was to "block competent missionary operators" from
> holding General-and-above amateur radio licenses. I would have
> assumed that truly "competent" hams, regardless of their profession,

> would have no problem with code testing at those speed levels,
> considering the fact that tens of thousands of hams from ALL walks
> of life have successfully met that standard in the past.

So why did the FCC drop this "'no problem" requirement?

> BTW -- when I was first licensed in the early '80's, and living in
> Maryland while stationed at Andrews AFB, I had good friends who
> were Extra-class hams, knew and used CW extensively, and were
> missionaries in the Seventh Day Adventist church. I would use
> them as my model for "competent" missionary hams. I'm sure they
> would exceed your own standard by a considerable margin.

Are saying that missionary hams that hold licenses lower
then Extra class are incompetent?

Larry KC8EPO

From 2827873360143100951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 11:46:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 14:47:21 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.212
X-Trace: news1.atl 988483561 209.214.185.212 (Sat, 28 Apr 2001 14:46:01 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 14:46:01 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15382
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20472

Bill Hammack wrote:
>
> On 28 Apr 2001 03:31:54 GMT, KK4TL <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote:
> : >or when done by the state.

```
> : >
> : Not even close.
>
> What part of "Thou shalt not kill" don't you understand?

     WHAT PART OF "THOU SHALT NOT KILL DID MCVEIGH NOT UNDERSTAND!?

Jerry


From 5749852304371332914
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 11:59:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AEB1369.41A6@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
<20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 15:00:57 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.212
X-Trace: news1.atl 988484377 209.214.185.212 (Sat, 28 Apr 2001 14:59:37 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 14:59:37 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20473

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>, beg the forgiveness of their victims,
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

How do "they" beg the forgiveness of their victims if the victims are
already dead, Larry?  Why should a murderer's life be spared when he/she
has taken away the life of another(s).  Why should he live when he
has deprived a child of his parents, or parents of a child, a wife of
her husband?  I say BURN every last one of 'em.

Jerry
K4KWH


From 2310659358359608189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 12:04:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AEB1487.475C@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
<20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com>
<Y5BG6.4295$p6.342532@nntp2.onemain.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 12
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 15:05:43 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.212
X-Trace: news1.atl 988484675 209.214.185.212 (Sat, 28 Apr 2001 15:04:35 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 15:04:35 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20474
```

Richard McCollum wrote:

> At the very least you will come away with the certainty that vast
> quantities of money are being spent to achieve a very half-vast result.
>
> Dick N�BK

All the better reason to hang them as soon as possible.

Jerry

```
From 2357517465317357774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,62fd4a7a2f597128,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62fd4a7a2f597128,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 12:38:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
References: <jdiap58.23@bellsowth.net>
Subject: Re: Colin Powell praises ruthless dictator Castro
```

Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <n%EG6.267$kA1.18213@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 19:38:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.180.251
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988486739 12.88.180.251 (Sat, 28 Apr 2001 19:38:59 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 19:38:59 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20475 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15384

I don't know if this is liberal, conservative, or BIG BUSINESS. Lately, all I read about is how this large corporation or that large corporation is building in China. Don't kid yourself, big business is running the country. I hope you don't think they only contribute to the Republican party. They want whoever ends up in office to be indebted to them. I look at the huge tax breaks given to big business and want to cry. Spend hundreds of thousands of dollars in tax exemptions, forgiven loans, whatever - just to create a job or two (divide the number of buck$ spent by the jobs created). This leaves small businesses and individuals to pick up the tab. Even natural gas and electricity - now there is a charge just to be connected. Oh, then the storage charge. This means the utility (Rochester Gas & Electric in my case) can charge only 8.5 cents per kilowatt hour - by adding the $17.00 monthly charge for electric, it averages over 12.5 cents per kilowatt hour to me - but the charge disappears, in essence, with the large usages of big users. Same with natural gas. Can you imagine, with the average price of gasoline at say $1.70 per gallon, a station charging a fee of $5.00 to use their pump (storage fee?) and then sell it for $1.50 per gallon? As long as you fill up to the tune of over 25 gallons, you make out. Said gas station would be out of business very quickly, but the utilities are still monopolies and that isn't about to change.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

From 8809590209826267131
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 13:10:43 PST
Message-ID: <3AEB2380.7F0E77BE@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 15:09:36 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare.  ARRL employee of the month club.
References: <3aeab527$0$62150$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010428112043.05666.00001337@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.76
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988488195
64.240.47.76 (28 Apr 2001 15:03:15 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20476

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> ... I believe that there should be an international
> requirement for all new hams to take a stack of old TV's and VCR's
> and build a functional, multi-mode, 160 thru 450 MHz transceiver
> with the components thereof.

Larry, let's see you take five LSI chips and make a ham radio out
of them.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 2172584124695767698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 13:14:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-
hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!nntp.wetware.com!sjc-
feed.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 28 Apr 2001 20:14:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9cf8b4$fi$0@208.207.71.134>
References: <9cdkdd$km4$0@208.207.71.166> <20010428075605.02960.00001113@ng-da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.134
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20477

W1RFI wrote:
>
> Yes, the facts are that hams in my era passed their General exams by a
> combination of studying, memorizing, guessing and just plain hoping a subject
> or two didn't appear on their exam.

You left out one - Learning.

The facts are that the General that
> conveyed all amateur priveleges could be passed by studying a 16-page study
> guide until one had it memorized.

Maybe so...but by the time you did you LEARNED, necessarily, something
about the subject matter. It was not just a matter of making word
associations that you could recognize as pairs, as it is today.

> The fiction is that the hams of your era learned every single detail for their
> exams and the new hams of this era are memorizing over a thousand questions
> without understanding.

The fiction is that you would even make such an invalid statement. I
know that when I took the Exra exam I was far more learned than as when
I took the Novice or General, and I've sakid that many times, for you to
ignore. Just as you continjuously ignore the fact that no one EVER
attempts to memorize the entire question pool.

> I have little doubt that you actually believe that, but
> for the life of me, I can't imagine why.

You have your own views of where you want to help the ARS go, and I amd my 'assertions' don't fit, so you have to see to it that I can't be accorded any real credibility. That's reason enouth, evidently.

```
From -5156223709540207174
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 13:16:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AEB24CD.855A230@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 15:15:09 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
<20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com>
<Y5BG6.4295$p6.342532@nntp2.onemain.com> <3AEB1487.475C@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.76
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988488529
64.240.47.76 (28 Apr 2001 15:08:49 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20478
```

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> All the better reason to hang them as soon as possible.

Who was it who said, "Kill 'em all and let God sort it out."?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 227356697856727267
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 13:17:36 PST
Message-ID: <3AEB2517.A6B2F007@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 15:16:23 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
<20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.76
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988488604
64.240.47.76 (28 Apr 2001 15:10:04 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!212.43.194.69!
fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20479

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Capital Punishment is indeed cruel and unusual punishment in these
> enlightened times. I am in favor of total abolition of the death penalty.

I think believing in total abolition of the death penalty should be
a capital offense.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6353037317227394797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 13:20:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <gCFG6.2301$SZ5.177175@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 16:20:28 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 20:20:28 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20480

In article <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart says...

> However, I'm very uncomfortable with the idea of ending a career
> based on how many seconds a person looks through a periscope.

His career didn't end because of how many seconds he peered through a periscope. His career ended because USS Greenville was so poorly prepared for a routine peacetime demonstration drill that it failed to detect a 500-ton fishing vessel, and sank the vessel at a cost of 9 lives, while negligently hazarding a multi-billion dollar nuclear submarine and it's crew.

The responsibility for the training and preparation for that drill, plus the safe execution of the drill lies directly on the Commanding Officer. He got off easy.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -5046068109116687338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 13:25:33 PST
Message-ID: <3AEB26F1.E9FEEDC5@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 15:24:17 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.76
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988489081 64.240.47.76 (28 Apr 2001 15:18:01 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15387 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20481

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> Bill Hammack wrote:
> > What part of "Thou shalt not kill" don't you understand?
>
> WHAT PART OF "THOU SHALT NOT KILL DID MCVEIGH NOT UNDERSTAND!?

"Thou shalt not kill" lost something in the translation. It should have been "Thou shalt not commit murder". Consider all the people who were killed, at the command of God Himself, at the Battle of Jericho and elsewhere. God Himself, killed all the first born of the Egyptians and drowned their fathers.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8266906024000430658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 13:29:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AEB27C8.7C030D92@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 15:27:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
References: <20010428080922.02960.00001117@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010428112042.05666.00001336@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.76
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988489295
64.240.47.76 (28 Apr 2001 15:21:35 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20482

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Only "most of the time?" When would SSB be more effective than CW
> under "backpacking" conditions?

Well, I used to backpack within range of mountain-top repeaters,
Larry, and FM was certainly more effective than CW.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 456778616795386063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 13:37:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 20:37:27 GMT
References: <20010428080057.02960.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010428163727.17372.00000602@nso-fg.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20483

In article <20010428080057.02960.00001115@ng-da1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>Can you give us some exact numbers? How many of each kind were there a year
>>ago, and how many of each kind are there now?
>
>A year ago, none of the 500+ articles on the Tech Info part of the ARRL Web
>were members only. I believe about half of them are now available to ARRL
>members.

Actually, ALL of them are available to ARRL members. I think you meant that about half of them are only available to ARRL members.

But were there 500+ articles there a year ago? I visit arrl.org several times a week, and the impression I get is that new stuff is constantly being added.
>
>>Besides the Product Reviews, how many of the articles that would be of
>>interest to a newcomer are in the members only area?
>
>If I did it correctly in the TIS area, the articles that help a newcomer get
>started are public. I welcome feedback on my choices.
>(http://www.arrl.org/tis).

From what I see, the choices are pretty good. The only info that would be of real, near-universal interest to a newcomer, that requires membership for access, is the Product Reviews. But they fall under the classification of "being primarily of interest to the individual" rather than "ham radio as a whole".

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1403357012165974590
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 14:09:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 14:08:55 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9cfc6e$aq7$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
<20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-30-171.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.30.171 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20484

Hans Brakob, K0HB, originally wrote:

> >He's getting off easy.
> >
> >It's a shame the government can't find a way to do it to him once
> >a week, until the count matches the number of his victims in Oklahoma
> >City.

Larry Roll K3LT replied:

> Hans:

>
> To say this places you on the same low moral plane as Mr. McVeigh
> himself. I personally do not subscribe to the notion of "an eye for an
> eye." Capital punishment serves no purpose other than to satisfy an
> ancient urge for revenge which still poisons the psyche of modern man...

Not only that, Larry, but the Feds are doing exactly what McVeigh wants them to do -- allowing him to "commit suicide-by-cop, deluxe style". Besides being undeterred from his act by the death penalty, he actually increased the scope of his crime so that he would be assured of receiving it upon conviction. He is an enthusiastic product of the 'culture of death'.

BTW, this thread is coming in at 30 over S9 on my troll-o-meter down here in Arizona.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From -1126305710415275545
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 14:19:41 PST
Message-ID: <3AEB33A3.DE296F6A@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 16:18:27 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <9cdkdd$km4$0@208.207.71.166> <20010428075605.02960.00001113@ng-da1.aol.com> <9cf8b4$fi$0@208.207.71.134>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.76
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988492332 64.240.47.76 (28 Apr 2001 16:12:12 -0500)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED

Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!out!
corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20485

Dick Carroll wrote:

> It was not just a matter of making word
> associations that you could recognize as pairs, as it is today.

Give us an ever-loving break, Dick. Boosting your sagging ego is not a good enough reason to ignore the truth. Please try to enhance your self-esteem without denying reality.

The 1957 ARRL License Manual states: "If our *ANSWERS* sometimes seem to the initiated to be naive or incomplete, rest assured than they are *SUFFICIENT* for the purpose." Which means that word associations have always been possible (at least since 1951). What is it about " our answers are sufficient" that you do not understand?

Ed, Bill, and I all rote-memorized the Q&A to pass our first exams. We would not have passed them otherwise. That it hair-lips you to admit the truth is your problem, not ours.

Your argument that you achieved a level of knowledge that is not possible today is sheer nonsense. You could have just as easily rote-memorized the Q&A as Ed, Bill, and I did. You probably did just that and presently cannot accept the psychological pain of knowing that is exactly what you did so you are compelled to deny reality. You are addicted to your irrational beliefs about past ARS testing. We understand your pain, Dick, but we are not going to enable you to ignore reality. It's called "Tough Love".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4836130852639633215
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 14:33:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AEB36C9.493417B0@cmcorp.com>
From: "Thomas C. Sefranek" <tcs@cmcorp.com>
Organization: NeuroPhysics Corporation

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AEA0BDD.C2C49E21@IEEE.org>
<39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <9cdboq01ljs@enews4.newsguy.com>
<39N24.59.7@bellsowth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 37
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 21:33:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.214.90.186
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988493606 63.214.90.186 (Sat, 28 Apr
2001 14:33:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 14:33:26 PDT
X-Received-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 14:31:58 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15388
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20486

Bill Hammack wrote:

> On 28 Apr 2001 03:00:42 GMT, T. L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote:
> : In article <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net>, bhamack@bellsowth.net says...
>
> : Forbidden by god? You'd better read your bible a little more thorougly. That
> : part about "suffer not to let a witch live" comes to mind.
> :
>
> One only has to know the 10 commandments to find the unambiguous law
> "Thou shalt not kill."
>
> What part of it don't you understand?
>
> The Pope seems to understand it quite well. See the URL
> http://www.cnn.com/2001/WORLD/europe/04/28/vatican.mcveigh/index.html

Yea, it's real easy to memorize some simple sayings, laws, slogans...
Catholics are good for that.

It's harder to actually THINK about the God who commanded that command,
and then told the same people to go into the land an UTTERLY destroy all the
inhabitants.
Obviously, there is a problem with simple interpretations.

```
--
  *
  |  __O    Thomas C. Sefranek   tcs@cmcorp.com
  |_-\<,_   Amateur Radio Operator: WA1RHP
  (*)/ (*)  Bicycle mobile on 145.41, 448.625 MHz

http://hamradio.cmcorp.com/inventory/Inventory.html
http://www.harvardrepeater.org





From -1699528190465604999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 14:50:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 21:49:39 GMT
References: <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010428174939.14184.00000578@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20487

In article <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>>   Wide open to the "dude defense" -- "its
>> not me, officer, it's some dude elsewhere".
>> No conclusive evidence that the alleged unlawful
>> nonconforming signals are coming from that
>> station, no case. Period.
>
>  Phil, I don't think it really matters what the person claims.
>According to Title 47, Sec. 95.426, the operator must allow an
>inspection of his station upon request, which would include all radio
>equipment used.  If, during that inspection, an illegal device is
>discovered attached his station (one indication, in addition to the
>neighbor's complaint, that it has been used), the use of the illegal
>device in itself is a violation under HR-2346.  At that point, it
>really doesn't matter where the original non-conforming signal came
>from.  Now, we could sit here and argue for days whether a device
>attached to a station, along with an interference complaint, is enough
>to prove that the device has been used, but neither of us will be
>certain until a number of these HR-2346 cases are resolved in the courts.

Cites of 47 CFR 95.426 or HR-2346 aren't needed since a look at
```

the FCC Enforcement Bureau "Inspection Fact Sheet" page will
show that authority to inspect radio transmitting equipment is
covered under Section 303(n) of the Communications Act of 1934
and 47 CFR 0.111(a). Those cites from the FCC's web page
mentioned. Part 0 outlines the organization and function of the FCC,
is available free from the USGPO via link on the FCC website.

From 6571407282780261587
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 14:50:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 70
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 21:49:38 GMT
References: <20010428075850.02960.00001114@ng-da1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010428174938.14184.00000577@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20489

In article <20010428075850.02960.00001114@ng-da1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>>More and more of the on-line QST and QEX articles are marked
>>"for members only" at the ARRL TIS site compared with a year
>>before. [is that a "pointer" to join the League? :-)]
>
>Yes, it is, Len.
>
>I am the one who made the decisions about which articles should be members
>only and which articles should be public. Those that serve the goals of
Amateur
>Radio as a whole are in the public domain -- RFI, safety, helping new hams
>get started. Those that help individual hams with their personal hamming are
on
>the members-only site.

"Those that serve the goals" are then in your personal judgment.

I'll have to ask the reasoning for a couple of the "members only"
category -

Receiving Wire Antennas. [specifically labeled as 'receiving'] Is there something "different" about radio physics where receiving radio signals from hams is different from receiving radio signals from all other radio services? I'm not trying to be snarly there since, as a non-member, I can't find out what is under those articles but I have to wonder about the "receiving" part as it pertains to only members.

History of Amateur Radio. It would seem that newcomers would want to learn more about that history written by the ARRL to find out more. Of course, that could have an alternate of having to buy the Handbook which contains an encapsulation of that ARRL history. :-)

Smith Charts. Tutorials, I'm sure. Everywhere else in the RF world those Phil Smith charts are Primary as to knowledge and relate very well to impedance/admittance, transmission lines, and so forth. Sorry, but I cannot see that as a restricted-access item unless there is some strange need to keep beginners or newcomers or non-members from basic knowledge of Z and Y. Okay, I'll agree that one is borderline.

>I am sure that those who choose not to receive QST magazine will understand
>that they do not have access to some of the articles that have been paid for
>by those that do choose to get it.

I'm also sure that the ARRL would think that the new past-issue sets of QST and QEX on CD-ROMs will sell better and make more profit.

Now don't get me wrong and get all overheated. I will accept a $5 charge for xerocopies of particular articles as being generous. No problem. Anything under a $100 for a whole year's worth of issues on CDs seems reasonable to me.

But, I can also access many past articles in the industry trades such as EDN, Electronic Design, RF Design, Microwaves and RF all for free through their website even though I get those in hardcopy every month.

I'll defer on discussing copyrights, author's compensation, and free legal reprinting of articles as I've done that before.

I'm not sure I see where all the restricted access on past articles is being of any benefit other than selling CDs. Particular membership-only information makes sense in having restrictions. Restricting information on technology (as in "we know what is best for you" et al) can backfire.

From 9153238132081563391
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 14:50:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 Apr 2001 21:49:40 GMT
References: <20010428080922.02960.00001117@ng-da1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Message-ID: <20010428174940.14184.00000579@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20488

In article <20010428080922.02960.00001117@ng-da1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>
>> Do back-packing amateurs prefer CW over other modes?
>>And why?
>
>For the most part, I think they do. With the marginal antennas and low power,
>CW is more effective than SSB most of the time.

Voice communications can be done "mobile-in-motion" (while hiking, especially with a staff in hand). It is difficult (but not impossible) to do that while transmitting with a key. I will agree on the low-power aspect for portability being important.

In the context of my message comment, I was citing the FT-817, a new lightweight, low-power, ALL MODE transceiver that covers 160m through 70cm. In that context I was wondering how this new product benefitted the CW operator compared to all other modes available in the transceiver. The FT-817 is a new Yaesu product and a check of the Yaesu web page still shows only the preliminary data having the "not yet approved by FCC" disclaimer at the bottom. If there is some new feature enabling better CW in this particular product, then that should be interesting to many.

>>How is that applicable to 1966 standards and practices and the
>>alleged inability to govern the US ARS from Newington?
>
>ARRL does not govern the ARS, so its alleged ability to do so is moot.

I disagree on the use of "moot" in context. The ARRL has so strongly influenced the FCC for so long a time and made that influence and resulting action clear to so many that the "ARRL decisions" are almost a de facto "ruling" of the US amateur radio community.

The large membership count (162,671 in June 2000 according to QST Publisher's Statement) and the publishing part (profit making) of the ARRL enables retention of a legal firm in Washington to represent and lobby ARRL decisions and policy with the FCC over and above that of any other US amateur radio organization, certainly over the same abilities of individual radio amateurs or citizens. That, along with a very long-time self-promotional advertising of itself in its own publications has certainly "imprinted" the "authority" of the ARRL in many radio amateurs' and radio-involved citizens' minds.

I'm not saying the ARRL is doing a "bad thing" with the above, but denial of the most obvious quasi-offialdom of the ARRL for decades is somewhat ludicrous.

From -1605075006936828539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 15:22:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: 28 Apr 2001 21:36:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9cfd4d07mo@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AEA0BDD.C2C49E21@IEEE.org> <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <9cdboq01ljs@enews4.newsguy.com> <39N24.59.7@bellsowth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-474.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15389 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20490

In article <39N24.59.7@bellsowth.net>, bhamack@bellsowth.net says...
>
>
>On 28 Apr 2001 03:00:42 GMT, T. L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote:
>: In article <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net>, bhamack@bellsowth.net says...
>
>: Forbidden by god? You'd better read your bible a little more thorougly. That
>: part about "suffer not to let a witch live" comes to mind.
>:
>
>One only has to know the 10 commandments to find the unambiguous law

>"Thou shalt not kill."
>
>What part of it don't you understand?

I understand that you are a spewing hypocrite that picks and choses only the parts of the bible that suit you.

>
>The Pope seems to understand it quite well. See the URL

They certainly didn't understand it during "the burning times".

```
From -5494031933458331822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 15:43:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <3AEA4162.79B1C158@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282239400.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104270749210.145-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010427061234.04826.00000001@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3AEA4162.79B1C158@sccoast.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 24
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:43:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988497805 12.80.44.14 (Sat, 28 Apr
2001 22:43:25 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:43:25 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20491
```

On Sat, 28 Apr 2001, Dwight Stewart wrote:
> W1RFI wrote:
> > Like Ranger 10-meter rigs that have been
> > modified to operate outside the ham bands?
>
>   Yep, both the 2950 and 2970. And lets not forget the Uniden
> HR-2510. And then there are the "export" radios - the galaxy models,

> the SuperStar models, and so forth. And add in the most common
> linears - the Texas Star models, and so forth. It would be one heck
> of a list.

Which I mentioned in my reply of two days ago (cf: HR-2510).

The problem with having an "illegal CB list" with these radios on it is that these radios are perfectly legal in the radio service they were built for (amateur). That's bound to get some local cop going after amateur operators, not realizing that he has no authority to do so, with the amateurs then going after the cops via a 42 USC 1983 lawsuit aimed at having their [4th amendment et. al.] rights violated.

All this will do is teach cops to treat this law, formerly HR-2346, as a "blue law" at best, and definently something to ignore, thus nullifying it, but only after significant taxpayer expense as a result of being sued.

From 3366172567114419040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 15:45:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <uGrG6.1620$SZ5.136065@www.newsranger.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282244310.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com> <3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net> <3ae96924.2456370@news.mindspring.com> <3AEA3E99.A4D87423@sccoast.net> <uGrG6.1620$SZ5.136065@www.newsranger.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 9
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:45:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988497933 12.80.44.14 (Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:45:33 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:45:33 GMT

```
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20492
```

On Sat, 28 Apr 2001, K0HB wrote:
> I'll be surprised if it eventually leads to a single conviction.
> Hell, I'll be surprised if it even leads to a single court case!

I do believe that it will lead to a court case: Of some FCC licenseholder suing a municipality over having taken the law too far (i.e. having been arrested as being an "illegal CB'er" when operating properly within a licensed service he holds a license for).

```
From 4066144095605255131
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 15:46:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <20010428091704.27280.00001173@ng-bk1.news.cs.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282246550.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3AEA4162.79B1C158@sccoast.net> <20010428091704.27280.00001173@ng-
bk1.news.cs.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 16
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:46:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988498006 12.80.44.14 (Sat, 28 Apr
2001 22:46:46 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:46:46 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20493
```

Your questions have been answered already in other responses to this thread.

On 28 Apr 2001, KC2HMN wrote:
> What about the Yaesus, Kenwoods, and Icoms. Back in the mid 80's, Most of the
> freebanders seemed to run Yaesus and Kenwoods with the FT-757GX (& GXII) and
> the TS-140S being the most popular models. Now it seems the FT-840 and the
> IC-760MKIIG seems to be the prevalent "high end" 11 meter "weapon of choice."
> Shouldn't we include them on the list as well?
>

> I know plenty of licensed amateurs who own Rangers and Lincolns (The latest
> incarnation of the HR-2510) capable of 11 meter transmit. My question is are
> licensed amateurs "exempt" from the list? What about an unlicensed person who
> claims he's just SWLing until he gets his ticket? Can a ham legally own and use
> a Texas Star on 10 meters? Remember, these are just questions because I'm
> curious. No flames please. Thanks and g'day. (I'm in an Aussie mood today:-))

From 4630054465518767752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 15:48:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <20010428174939.14184.00000578@nso-mj.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282247500.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net> <20010428174939.14184.00000578@nso-mj.aol.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 37
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:48:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988498119 12.80.44.14 (Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:48:39 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:48:39 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20494

On 28 Apr 2001, Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
> >Phil Kane wrote:
> >>
> >>   Wide open to the "dude defense" -- "its
> >> not me, officer, it's some dude elsewhere".
> >> No conclusive evidence that the alleged unlawful
> >> nonconforming signals are coming from that
> >> station, no case. Period.
> >
> > Phil, I don't think it really matters what the person claims.
> >According to Title 47, Sec. 95.426, the operator must allow an

> >inspection of his station upon request, which would include all radio
> >equipment used. If, during that inspection, an illegal device is
> >discovered attached his station (one indication, in addition to the
> >neighbor's complaint, that it has been used), the use of the illegal
> >device in itself is a violation under HR-2346. At that point, it
> >really doesn't matter where the original non-conforming signal came
> >from. Now, we could sit here and argue for days whether a device
> >attached to a station, along with an interference complaint, is enough
> >to prove that the device has been used, but neither of us will be
> >certain until a number of these HR-2346 cases are resolved in the courts.
>
> Cites of 47 CFR 95.426 or HR-2346 aren't needed since a look at
> the FCC Enforcement Bureau "Inspection Fact Sheet" page will
> show that authority to inspect radio transmitting equipment is
> covered under Section 303(n) of the Communications Act of 1934
> and 47 CFR 0.111(a). Those cites from the FCC's web page
> mentioned. Part 0 outlines the organization and function of the FCC,
> is available free from the USGPO via link on the FCC website.

...But have those citations and regulation sections yet been amended to permit
inspection by non-FCC personnel who are properly charged with enforcing these
new local "CB laws?" I doubt it. Until the FCC makes that change, there can be
no inspection by non-FCC employees.

From 140857889490687436
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 17:38:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 00:37:42 GMT
References: <yuUC6.1758$3n4.1362918@nntp2.onemain.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010428203742.18977.00000479@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20495

In article <yuUC6.1758$3n4.1362918@nntp2.onemain.com>, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010417003915.25196.00000112@nso-fk.aol.com...
>>
>> Many of the symbols and customs surrounding Christian holidays have

>their
>> origins in pagan rites. The eggs, chicks, bunnies and other Easter
>symbols are
>> right out of spring fertility festivals. The Yule log and Christmas tree
>have
>> similar origins.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>
>
>When Constantine, for whatever reason, made Christianity official lots of
>things got co-opted. Imagine the local version of Father Emil down at Our
>Lady of Perpetual Responsibility a Sunday or two after Connie dropped the
>bomb. 'You got an Earth Mother, padre?'

Bingo.

Chrisitanity was not the only religion under consideration for becoming the state religion at the time of Constantine, either.

The idea of separation of religion and government is quite recent - only goes back a little over 300 years. It was considered a radical "experiment" at the time....
>
>Taking the easy path of co-opting the locals is probably the only way to
>get the job done. But it does confuse hell out later generations. Given
>that Halloween is now second only to Christmas for selling stuff, maybe
>later generations deserve it.

Actually, I see it a little different. People all have certain basic psycho-spiritual needs, and religions adapt themselves to meet those needs.

Consider Christmas. In Europe, the days grow VERY short in winter (Philadelphia and Naples are at approximately the same latitude. Northern Europe is about as far north as Newfoundland). In pre-Edison days, winter was a very dark time for most Europeans, and it was easy to think that the light would never come again. Long before SAD (Seasonal Affective Disorder) was described by medical folks, the mental problems associated with lack of daylight were being experienced.

Most Euro cultures developed ways of determining when the days were actually getting longer (the light was returning) and had festivals to celebrate the "coming of the light". Since Christians describe Christ as "the light of the world", it didn't take much to see that it made lots of sense to put Christmas (the day the light of the world arrived) on the first day when the days were measurably longer with the available technology - Dec. 25.

If a religion doesn't address people's needs, they change it or go elsewhere if they can.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -5647701500506441782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 18:14:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 01:13:56 GMT
References: <3aeaf112$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL employee of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010428211356.26676.00001326@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20496

In article <3aeaf112$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose"
<lklose@net-link.net> writes:

>> Great idea -- I'm all in favor of it. And since those who vigorously
>> supported a reduction in the code testing requirements have always
>> claimed that this would bring about a higher level of technical
>> knowledge in the ARS, I believe that there should be an international
>> requirement for all new hams to take a stack of old TV's and VCR's
>> and build a functional, multi-mode, 160 thru 450 MHz transceiver
>> with the components thereof. The only new components that would
>> be permitted would be metal or plastic components for a hand-built
>> case for the completed rig. This should be completely within the
>> capabilities of all of the young, computer-literate, technical geniuses
>> who have been "excluded" from amateur radio by the archaic code
>> testing requirements for so long. I can't wait to read about the
>> results of the first round of new ham "tests" in QST and 73.
>
>I assume this would only be for Extra class applicant and licensee.
>All Extras would have the privelges reduce to General until the met
>the new HIGHER standard.

No, this requirement would apply only to post-Restructuring hams --
you know, the ones who made the claims about how eliminating the
code testing requirements would bring in the bright, young, computer-literate technical whiz-kids into the ARS?

>Several years ago, 73 Magazine had some good articles on build thing
>out of old TV sets.

Fine. If you have a copy, my old Sony TV set is yours for the carrying
away. The only thing I, as an AMATEUR radio operator without any
professional electrical engineering credentials can make of it is a dust
collector! If I can't give it away soon, I'm going to use it for target

practice!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6404293076082763803
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 18:30:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 01:29:37 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282247500.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010428212937.26454.00000507@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20498

In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282247500.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

>> Cites of 47 CFR 95.426 or HR-2346 aren't needed since a look at
>> the FCC Enforcement Bureau "Inspection Fact Sheet" page will
>> show that authority to inspect radio transmitting equipment is
>> covered under Section 303(n) of the Communications Act of 1934
>> and 47 CFR 0.111(a). Those cites from the FCC's web page
>> mentioned. Part 0 outlines the organization and function of the FCC,
>> is available free from the USGPO via link on the FCC website.
>
>...But have those citations and regulation sections yet been amended to
>permit inspection by non-FCC personnel who are properly charged with enforcing these
>new local "CB laws?" I doubt it. Until the FCC makes that change, there can
>be no inspection by non-FCC employees.

Dwight Stewart made a mention of a Part 95 regulation. I just added
an overall note to show that inspection is possible in any radio
service (including no search warrant required according to the FCC).
Any radio service. That includes amateur radio.

It might be fun to prognosticate on a House Bill not yet passed into
law...but, isn't it more prudent to consider what is already law now?

From 7012240312741338635
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 18:51:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: 29 Apr 2001 01:08:40 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9cfpio0ror@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
<20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-382.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20499

In article <20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...

>To say this places you on the same low moral plane as Mr. McVeigh
>himself. I personally do not subscribe to the notion of "an eye for an
>eye." Capital punishment serves no purpose other than to satisfy an
>ancient urge for revenge which still poisons the psyche of modern man.

That ancient urge isn't going to disappear anytime soon. You wouldn't believe the number of my co-workers who wanted to nuke a Chinese city because they were holding the crew of the spy plane that collided with the Chinese fighter. These are educated people I'm talking about, not some back-woods redneck that can't sign his own name.

>We cannot claim to be civilized people until we can see our way clear
>to spare the lives of even the Tim McVeigh's of the world, in order to
>give them the time they need to adequately reflect on their evil deeds,
>accept responsibility for them, beg the forgiveness of their victims, and
>seek the salvation of their souls before their own deaths. In the
>meantime, their bodies can be warehoused economically and efficiently
>in stark, isolated quarters -- given the bare essential necessities of
>life, for a mere fraction of the cost of the expensive, publicly funded
>appeals and legal acrobatics needed to keep them alive on Death Row
>for decades after their convictions.
>
>Capital Punishment is indeed cruel and unusual punishment in these
>enlightened times. I am in favor of total abolition of the death penalty.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

The death penality doesn't make any sense in McVeigh's case because he *wants* to die. How is death a punishment if that's what the person wants?

Room 101 would be the best punishment.

```
From 39694027648902900
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 20:02:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-
state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
nntp2.onemain.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <yuUC6.1758$3n4.1362918@nntp2.onemain.com>
<20010428203742.18977.00000479@nso-fa.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Lines: 146
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <bsLG6.5101$p6.619365@nntp2.onemain.com>
Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 21:27:30 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.138.126.139
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onemain.com
X-Trace: nntp2.onemain.com 988513159 205.138.126.139 (Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:59:19
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:59:19 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20500
```

```
"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010428203742.18977.00000479@nso-fa.aol.com...
> In article <yuUC6.1758$3n4.1362918@nntp2.onemain.com>, "Richard
McCollum"
> <rmccoll@radiks.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20010417003915.25196.00000112@nso-fk.aol.com...
> >>
> >> Many of the symbols and customs surrounding Christian holidays have
> >their
> >> origins in pagan rites. The eggs, chicks, bunnies and other Easter
> >symbols are
> >> right out of spring fertility festivals. The Yule log and Christmas
tree
> >have
> >> similar origins.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >>
> >
> >When Constantine, for whatever reason, made Christianity official lots
of
> >things got co-opted.  Imagine the local version of Father Emil down at
Our
> >Lady of Perpetual Responsibility a Sunday or two after Connie dropped
the
> >bomb.  'You got an Earth Mother, padre?'
>
> Bingo.
>
> Chrisitanity was not the only religion under consideration for becoming
the
> state religion at the time of Constantine, either.
>
> The idea of separation of religion and government is quite recent - only
goes
> back a little over 300 years. It was considered a radical "experiment"
at the
> time....
> >
> >Taking the easy path of co-opting the locals is probably the only way
to
> >get the job done.  But it does confuse hell out later generations.
Given
> >that Halloween is now second only to Christmas for selling stuff, maybe
> >later generations deserve it.
>
> Actually, I see it a little different. People all have certain basic
> psycho-spiritual needs, and religions adapt themselves to meet those
needs.
>
> Consider Christmas. In Europe, the days grow VERY short in winter
(Philadelphia
> and Naples are at approximately the same latitude. Northern Europe is
about as
> far north as Newfoundland). In pre-Edison days, winter was a very dark
time for
> most Europeans, and it was easy to think that the light would never come
again.
> Long before SAD (Seasonal Affective Disorder) was described by medical
folks,
> the mental problems associated with lack of daylight were being
experienced.
>
> Most Euro cultures developed ways of determining when the days were
actually
> getting longer (the light was returning) and had festivals to celebrate
the
```

> "coming of the light". Since Christians describe Christ as "the light of the world", it didn't take much to see that it made lots of sense to put Christmas (the day the light of the world arrived) on the first day when the days were measurably longer with the available technology - Dec. 25.
>
> If a religion doesn't address people's needs, they change it or go elsewhere if they can.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Having lived in Wisconsin right on the 45th parallel I can testify about 6 hour days.

Celebrating the return of the Sun when you don't have a clue of the process is fairly universal and not confined to northern latitudes. One of the competitors was in the chain of Persian Dualism where the God of Light (Mazda; the Japanese company got started making gas-filled light bulbs) and the God of Darkness fought it out with the outcome in doubt. Christianity skirts Dualism with all of the dancing ability of Fred Astaire.

I agree the human race seems to have urges both to explain and commemorate (if not to control like hurricanes off the East Coast). It has always interested me that most religious ceremonies are celebrated at the Church and the Saloon in that order. The Unitarian clergy will generally perform virtually any ceremony one finds meaningful. This led Jack Mendelsohn from Indianapolis (the man who did the grunt work for Jesse Jackson in Syria) to be among many other things the chaplain for the Albert Schweitzer Motorcycle Club -- once performing a wedding sitting backward on a Harley.

This urge seems to explain the human interest in the T O and E of the various gods which led to the Doctrine of the Trinity, a concept which about 2 people in 100k actually understand (me not among them) and half of them are atheists. One of my favorite OT stories is the man so impressed by Elijah that he took home a box of dirt to worship Yahweh. The idea that a local area had its primary god was prevalent at the time. For a king to kill his son on the walls of the city was pretty effective at spooking the attacking Israelites; seems they weren't too sure that Yahweh's moxie extended beyond his turf.

Festivals at the solstices and equinoxes are pretty common. By the time the date for Christmas was set, the Julian calendar (that gains 3 days over 400 years on the present Gregorian one) had already made the Winter Solstice come on 25 December. George Washington's birthday in the family Bible was 12 Feb, he made it the 22nd when England adopted the Gregorian calendar. Russia was the last, making the October Revolution occur in November. Had the Church not made the change, Christmas would be occurring in Lent.

You are of course familiar with the Nicean or Apostles' Creed which only makes sense if there were two sabbaths in the same week, which the early church fathers did not realize was really the case. So Good Friday occurred on Wednesday; the three days in question being the Passover Sabbath, a feast of some sort, and the regular Saturday sabbath. This made the tomb-watching required before sealing a tomb a bit difficult (no one wants to bury the living). Whether one believes in a resurrection or not, it is a fair bet that it was a tad after sundown on Saturday when the stone was found to be out of the doorway.

Incidentally, the Eastern and Western Churchs use slightly different formulas for Easter, the Orthodox requiring Easter to follow Passover.

Years started and ended near the equinoxes, days started at sunup or sundown. In fact March 1 was New Years at the time of Washington. Just how in hell did we get started beginning years in the dead of winter and days in the middle of the nite???

Dick N�BK

```
From 3126873575257989369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 20:04:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcj0wx0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 70
```

```
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 03:05:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988513508 63.178.67.78 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 03:05:08
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 03:05:08 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20501

On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 23:24:19 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>>   Wide open to the "dude defense" -- "its
>> not me, officer, it's some dude elsewhere".
>> No conclusive evidence that the alleged unlawful
>> nonconforming signals are coming from that
>> station, no case. Period.
>
>
>  Phil, I don't think it really matters what the person claims.
>According to Title 47, Sec. 95.426, the operator must allow an
>inspection of his station upon request, which would include all radio
>equipment used.

  One more time with feeling ... the enabling legislation DID NOT
  delegate the requirement to make the station available on request to
  the locals. Sec. 97.426 applies only to the FCC.

  The FCC procedures for inspection (based on relevant case law and
  policy) are very specific - a station operator cannot be cited for
  refusal to permit an inspection by FCC agents unless the station is
  found in operation (residential or business premises) or during
  normal business hours (business premises).  Even if the inspection
  rquirements were delegated to the locals, they would have to follow
  these guidelines.

>Now, we could sit here and argue for days whether a device
>attached to a station, along with an interference complaint, is enough
>to prove that the device has been used, but neither of us will be
>certain until a number of these HR-2346 cases are resolved in the courts.

  It's already been resolved by the FCC -- it's not.  There has to be
  proof of use.

  That's why, when the station is inspected after delay at the door
  and the amp is hidden, the only acceptable FCC power determination
  includes one agent inside measuring station power with an in-line
  meter and another agent outside observing any changes in the
  station antenna orientation and measuring a signal level (usually on
  a calibrated field strength meter or spectrum analyzer) to see what,
  if any, decrease occurred between the observed transmission and the
  transmission under supervision.

>>   A charge of "using non-type-accepted equipment"
>> is the icing on the cake, not the cake itself.
```

>> No probative evidence that the station is
>> operating "out of tolerance", no reasonable cause
>> to make the inspection to determine if the
>> equipment is type-accepted or not.
>
>
> Title 47, Sec. 95.426

The cat chasing its own tail. Failure to make the station
available for inspection is not reasonable cause to require that
inspection. There has to be reasonable cause BEFORE the request
for inspection.

The FCC has no authority to break down the doors, so to speak,
and the locals can't assume that authority in enforcing powers
delegated to them by the FCC.

For any city that tries, I do hope that their coffers include LOTS
of Sect. 1983 (damages for illegal actions under color of authority)
money.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 4159385549625864686
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 20:04:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!peer.news.verio.net.MISMATCH!iad-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcj16p1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net>
<3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com> <3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<3ae96924.2456370@news.mindspring.com> <3AEA3E99.A4D87423@sccoast.net>
<uGrG6.1620$SZ5.136065@www.newsranger.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282244310.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 19
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 03:05:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988513508 63.178.67.78 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 03:05:08
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 03:05:08 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20502

On Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:45:33 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Apr 2001, K0HB wrote:
>> I'll be surprised if it eventually leads to a single conviction.
>> Hell, I'll be surprised if it even leads to a single court case!
>
>I do believe that it will lead to a court case:  Of some FCC
>licenseholder suing a municipality over having taken the law too far
>(i.e. having been arrested as being an "illegal CB'er" when operating
>properly within a licensed service he holds a license for).

  I'd love to take a case like that...Sect 1983 also provides that
  the loser city/county/state pay attorney's fees.  And I won't come
  cheap......

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -6912982571980110736
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 20:12:24 PST
Message-ID: <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 02:12:21 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s15.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 28 Apr 2001 23:07:24 -0400, s15.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 52
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!intgwpad.nntp.telstra.net!news1.optus.net.au!optus!pullfeed!
```

pluto.ovis.net!s15.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20503

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> The common element between the tragedy and the Morse code exam requirement
> is misguided US national and international policies. Policies that defy
> common sense and law.

There is no common element which ties morse code testing to the shooting
down of a missionary aircraft in Peru, Larry. You've gone from being a
bad homemade lawyer to a bad homemade expert on U.S. policy foreign and
domestic. Did you find a book on U.S. policy in that musty trunk where
you stumbled across the law book?

> From a CNN story: The Pentagon pointed out [in 1994] that the United States
> argued vigorously after the Soviet Union shot down a civilian Korean
> jetliner "such actions violate article 3(d) of the 1944 Convention on
> Internal Civil Aviation and customary international law."

...and this has what to do with morse code testing in amateur radio?

> ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47 CFR 97.503(a) are unnecessary, bad public
> policy, and not in conformity with 5 USC 706.
>
> The FCC is moving right along with its housecleaning work, now time for
> President GW Bush and Secretary Powell to review President Clinton "war on
> drug" aerial combat polices.
>
> Larry KC8EPO

This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if any
experience in either field.

Dave K8MN
****
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > news:3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net...
> > The death of Ronie Bower and her child is indeed a tragedy. It also
> > shows the failure of US policy. We find USA personal directing a Peru
> > war plane into position to shoot down civilian aircraft. Would we permit
> > that in the United States? I think not.
>
> Larry, do me a favor. Read my response and then attempt a response.
> I did not address U.S. drug policy at all.
>
> > As for the connection the FCC amateur radio I would recommend that you
> read
> > the WT Docket #98-143 comments filed missionaries. They may enlighten
> you.
>
> Take this as fact: The death of the woman and her child had nothing to
> do with amateur radio operation or with mores code testing. That you

```
> tried linking the two reveals you to an opportunistic and self-serving
> boob.


From -1547032540681309768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 21:38:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 278
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 04:37:57 GMT
References: <20010421150816.26589.00000157@nso-mb.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010429003757.12553.00000861@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20504

In article <20010421150816.26589.00000157@nso-mb.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010421003417.06580.00000122@nso-ba.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>>I wish to debate the methods used to derive conclusions. You don't want to
>>>>debate. All you want to do, Len, is call names and repeat the same
>mistakes
>>>>over and over.
>>>
>>>Nope.  I stated the source of my observations, gave some numbers.
>>
>>Actually, you have it backwards. I stated some numbers, and you took issue
>>with them.
>
>Incorrect.  I posted a reply to Hans Brakob's message, giving numbers and
>the source for those numbers.  Miccolis had to jump in after the fact.

No, Len, that's NOT what happened.

K0HB started this thread with a post about the license totals. Several people,
including me, replied to his original post. But nobody replied to my post
commenting on K0HB's original post.

Then on April 4 I posted some new numbers, based on a different time frame and
a few observations on those numbers. My April 4 post was not a reply to anyone
else's post - it stood by itself. Only one paragraph comments on K0HB's post,
```

and that is in the form of an explanation.

Here is my post of April 4, in its entirety.

(begin quote)

Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
From: N2EY
To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 4/4/01

Here are some numbers from the AH0A website to consider.

From March 31, 2000 to March 31, 2001:

- The total number of US ham licenses rose from 677,784 to 684,359 - a gain of 6,575 (1%)

- The total number of Extras rose from 75,985 to 95,243 - a gain of 19,258 (25.3%)

- The total number of Advanceds dropped from 103,048 to 88,082 - a loss of 14,966 (14.5%)

- The total number of Generals rose from 109,787 to 136,056 - a gain of 26,269 (23.9%)

- The total number of Technician Pluses dropped from 133,688 to 96,145 - a loss of 37,543 (28%) (See discussion)

- The total number of Techncians rose from 204,646 to 224,867 - a gain of 20,221 (9.9%) (See discussion)

- The total number of Novices dropped from 50,630 to 43,966 - a loss of 6,664 (13.1%)

The above totals are for individual licenses. Note that from a percentage viewpoint, the fastest growing class is the Extra, while from a number of licenses viewpoint, the fastest growing class is the General.

Since April 15, 2000, the FCC has renewed all Technician and Technician Plus licenses as Technician. Also, a ham who holds a Technician license and has passed Element 1 (5 wpm code) has Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges, even though the database simply shows "Technician" as the license class. There is no easy way to determine exactly how many Techs are actually Techs with HF, because the FCC does not keep that information in its database. A lower bound on this number can be found by adding up the number of Technician renewals from April of 2000 until October of 2000 (3273) This works out to an average of 467 per month, or 5,604 per year, being reclassified from Tech Plus to Tech because of renewal.

There is also no easy way to determine the number of upgrades for most of the classes, because upgrades are recorded as "modifications", just like address or name changes.

The total number of "lots of HF" licenses (General/Advanced/Extra) rose from 288,820 to 319,381 - a gain of 30,561 (10.5%). This is what K0HB is referring to in his post - more hams with lots of HF privileges.

The above figures reflect only one year's change, starting on the eve of restructuring. It will be interesting to see how the trends evolve over longer time frames.

73 de Jim, N2EY

(end quote)

I did not start a new thread because this thread's subject line was just right. You did not like several things I posted, and replied to my April 4 post, criticizing the choice of time interval and other things. I explained my postion, but you kept on criticizing it, adding comments whose logic and facts contained errors. I commented on those errors, but you refuse to admit to them.
>
>>You also derived some numbers by faulty methods. Like your claim of 59
>>Technicians reclassified from Tech Plus.
>
>That was not a "faulty method." The "59" number value was the difference
>between the total number of Technician license class increase in a ten-
>month period and the number of new Technician licenses in the same
>period.

Which is a faulty method because it assumes, among other things, that ALL of the new Tech licenses issued in that ten month period stayed Technicians. Because you didn't have upgrade data available, you ASSUMED that the upgrade number was ZERO for that ten-month period. THAT is a FAULTY METHOD, Len.

KE3Z posted information derived from the FCC database showing that 7079 current Technicians were reclassified from Tech Plus. 7079 is a lot more than 59. And because it is derived from the database directly, it is the most reliable number.

Note that in my original post, I gave a lower bound of 3273 reclassifications.

>That was stated at the beginning of a reply to Miccolis' claims of
>"faulty methods." A difference of numbers, stated as such, is not "faulty"
>unless the arithmetic is faulty.

It's faulty because you use the wrong numbers to begin with, and because you don't consider things like upgrades.

Here's a partial quote from my post of April 13, which explains how off base that 59 number really is:

(begin quote)

>In the ten months from the end of June 2000 to the end of March

>2001 the number of Technician class licensees increased by
>16,029

Let's check that out. AH0A says 208,838 at the end of June 00 and 224,867 at the end of Mar 01. Difference is 16,029. That part you got right.

> but of that, 15,970 were new licensees

No. That's NOT correct.

First off, the number of new Techncian licenses in the period you mentioned is 13,494, not 15,970. This is because the new license total for the period is figured by adding up the monthly new licenses of July 2000 to March 2001. The new licenses issued in June 2000 are already included in the 208,838 total, because those are end of month totals. So you are already off by 2,476.

Second, the situation is more complex than you present. Here's how it works:

There were 208,838 Technician licenses in the database at the end of June 2000. At the end of March 2001 there were 224,867. This increase is equal to the following sum:

```
   (new Techncian licenses)
+ (Technician and Technician Plus licenses renewed in the grace period)
+ (upgrades from Novice)
+ (Technician Plus renewals before expiration)
-  (expired Technician licenses)
-  (cancelled Technician licenses)
-  (upgrades to General or Extra)
```

You ignore most of those factors and just ASSUME that every new Technician did not upgrade in the ten month period.

> exclusive of any
>upgrading or modification or anything else except being new.

Wrong. See above.

>That leaves a difference of 59 which can be attributed to anything
>other than a new license.

Wrong. See above. You presume that none of the new licensees upgraded in ten months.

> Those 59 MAY include all those
>Tech-Plusses who were automatically "renewed" as Techs, but
>that group only represents 0.37% of the total change.

Nope.

A few days ago it was posted here by Jon Bloom that, in the current database, there were 7079 currently active "T" (Technician) licenses with "P" (Technician Plus) in the "previous license class" field. That's straight data from the FCC

database. 7079, not 59. That number is a minimum, because the FCC continues to renew Tech Pluses as Technicians every day.
>
>>SO? The effect is still there.
>
>How BIG of an "effect" did you say it was? :-)

7079 out of 13,494 is a bit more than half.

(end quote)

Really faulty methods there. Len.
>
>The original subject thread began with the effects of restructuring
>following the April 15, 2000, change.

That's true.

> Miccolis tried to use data prior to
>that date to indicate post-restructuring effects.

Nope. I started a whole new subthread. The subject line is "Restructuring -- did it make a difference". Nowhere does that constrain the time period considered. Imminent restructuring caused some hams to get licenses or upgrade BEFORE April 15, 2000, for several reasons.

>That in itself is faulty
>and misleading since it lumped pre- and post-restructuring data
>together instead of making a comparison.

Nope. The time period was clearly spelled out. And the effects of restructuring began before April 15, 2000.
>
>>You also made statements that are clearly incorrect, like Technicians with
>>Element 1 CSCEs having no HF privileges.
>
>No. I said nothing about "Element 1 CSCEs having no HF privileges."

Yes, you did, Len. Here are your exact words:

"Those 15,970 new Technicians still don't have any HF access with/without CSCEs."

Go to Google and look it up.

I have asked you the following question repeatedly, but you avoid answering for some reason. So I ask yet again: If a Technician licensee passes Element 1 and gets a CSCE for it, but does not take any other tests, does that Technician have any HF privileges or not?
>
>Neither did I say anything about "Easter Bunnies" although Miccolis seems
>to claim that I did.
>

Nonsense. I simply pointed out that your belief in something doesn't make it so.
>
>The trend prior to restructuring had new license growth coming from
>the new Technician class licensees. So much growth that it offset
>the attrition from dropping code-tested license classes. The net
>result was a small increase in the number of total ARS licensees.
>That trend continues following the April 15, 2000, date with very
>little change due to Technician Plus renewals shifting to Technician
>license class.

7079 documented reclassifications from Tech Plus to Tech out of about 15,000 total growth in the Tech license class is hardly "very little change".
>
>My only dispute with Hans Brakob's posting was relative to the
>number of radio amateurs with HF privileges.

So?

> I did not observe any
>great change, only a continuation of the trend that had been
>on-going for several years.

> I still hold to that observation regardless
>of allegations of "faulty methods," "errors," or "mistakes" levelled by
>long-timers who seem to wish things were otherwise.

No. You made other statements in response to MY post which clearly contained faulty methods, errors and mistakes. You refuse, for some reason, to examine your mistakes, or admit to them.

Shall I post them AGAIN?

N2EY

From -5285034788627591315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 22:34:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <20010428212937.26454.00000507@nso-da.aol.com>

Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104290529360.3731-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282247500.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <20010428212937.26454.00000507@nso-da.aol.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 34
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 05:34:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988522445 12.80.44.17 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 05:34:05 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 05:34:05 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20505

On 29 Apr 2001, Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282247500.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> >> Cites of 47 CFR 95.426 or HR-2346 aren't needed since a look at
> >> the FCC Enforcement Bureau "Inspection Fact Sheet" page will
> >> show that authority to inspect radio transmitting equipment is
> >> covered under Section 303(n) of the Communications Act of 1934
> >> and 47 CFR 0.111(a). Those cites from the FCC's web page
> >> mentioned. Part 0 outlines the organization and function of the FCC,
> >> is available free from the USGPO via link on the FCC website.
> >
> >...But have those citations and regulation sections yet been amended to
> >permit inspection by non-FCC personnel who are properly charged with enforcing
> these
> >new local "CB laws?" I doubt it. Until the FCC makes that change, there can
> >be no inspection by non-FCC employees.
>
> Dwight Stewart made a mention of a Part 95 regulation. I just added
> an overall note to show that inspection is possible in any radio
> service (including no search warrant required according to the FCC).
> Any radio service. That includes amateur radio.
>
> It might be fun to prognosticate on a House Bill not yet passed into
> law...but, isn't it more prudent to consider what is already law now?

Considering current law:

It's nice that Congress has co-delegated authority over enforcement of the CB radio service to local law enforcement (upon local laws to fill in the gaps being created), but the problem is that if the federal regulations continue to say that inspection need only be granted upon FCC request, there will be no authority for local law enforcement to act: Without inspection, how can they legally move upon "probable cause" to the enforcement action. They're barred from a fundamental step needed in the evidence gathering process.

From -3083446761872186504
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 23:15:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 02:15:39 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3AEBB185.33603E01@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcj0wx0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-218.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988524931 424660 204.116.70.219 (29 Apr 2001 06:15:31 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 06:15:31 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20506

Phil Kane wrote:

> One more time with feeling ... the
> enabling legislation DID NOT delegate
> the requirement to make the station
> available on request to the locals.
> Sec. 97.426 applies only to the FCC.

I give up, Phil. We're just going in circles with this. You don't seem to remember what I said two messages ago and I'm tired of repeating everything (only to have you forget what I said that led up to repeating it, which means that I then have to repeat that - starting the whole thing over again). I know you don't like HR-2346 and have convinced yourself it will not work. However, I think your negative feelings about this bill has clouded your judgment. This legislation is now on the books and it is eventually going lead to local legislation and enforcement. And, in spite of your thoughts otherwise, I strongly suspect that enforcement is going to stand up in courts. Of course, like I said earlier (repeating myself once again), we'll just have to wait to see. Take care.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -5001450315894163463
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 23:35:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 02:34:55 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <3AEBB601.38AE1868@sccoast.net>
References: <3AEA4162.79B1C158@sccoast.net> <20010428091704.27280.00001173@ng-
bk1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-218.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988526087 424926 204.116.70.219 (29 Apr 2001 06:34:47
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 06:34:47 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20507
```

KC2HMN wrote:

>
> What about the Yaesus, Kenwoods, and Icoms.
> Back in the mid 80's, Most of the freebanders
> seemed to run Yaesus and Kenwoods with the
> FT-757GX (& GXII) and the TS-140S being the
> most popular models. Now it seems the FT-840
> and the IC-760MKIIG seems to be the prevalent
> "high end" 11 meter "weapon of choice."
> Shouldn't we include them on the list as well?

I don't know. There aren't too many "freebanders" running equipment that expensive. I suspect a list should only include the most common stuff, not necessarily everything a person could use (a list like that could go on forever).

> I know plenty of licensed amateurs who own
> Rangers and Lincolns (The latest incarnation
> of the HR-2510) capable of 11 meter transmit.
> My question is are licensed amateurs "exempt"
> from the list?

HR-2346 exempts any person with a license in any radio service. The FCC will remain the sole enforcement agency when it comes to licensed operators.

> What about an unlicensed person who claims he's
> just SWLing until he gets his ticket? [snip]

As long as he doesn't transmit with it, he has nothing to worry about (HR-2346 covers operation, not possession).

> Can a ham legally own and use a Texas Star on 10 meters?

Yep, as long as he stays in the ham bands. However, watch out for stray emissions - some of those home-brew CB linears are fairly dirty.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -4682514610970865114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-28 23:51:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 02:51:48 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3AEBB9F3.834AE83B@sccoast.net>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>

<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<0BeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
<9cege6$976$0@208.206.142.67>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-218.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988527088 426355 204.116.70.219 (29 Apr 2001 06:51:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 06:51:28 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20508

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Uh, Dwight, it's called "going through the motions" instead of getting the job done. We see a lot of that these days, and even more of it here on this NG.

You're right. And we also love to assign blame today. Few seem to understand that accidents happen all the time, but that does not necessarily mean someone at fault. It reminds me of the woman who sued the city because a tree limb fell on her during a wind storm (the tree was on city property). I cannot imagine how anyone could hold the city responsible for a wind storm or tree limb. However, the court did exactly that - the woman won.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2502905508014018608
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 03:20:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.news.qwest.net!news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AEBEAD6.4A43CD58@hotmail.com>
From: Eric Oyen <n7zzt@hotmail.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (X11; U; Linux 2.2.19-4.1mdk i586)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AEA0BDD.C2C49E21@IEEE.org>
<39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <9cdboq01ljs@enews4.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 03:20:06 -0700
NNTP-Posting-Host: 130.13.127.246
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 988539607 130.13.127.246 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 05:20:07 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 05:20:07 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15409
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20509

how about 4 little words:
"thou shalt not kill"

ring a bell?

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:

> In article <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net>, bhamack@bellsowth.net says...
> >
> >
> >On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 19:16:29 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >: Bill Hammack wrote:
> >: >  Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered ...
> >:
> >: Murder is a crime.
> >
> >Indeed.  Forbidden by God, it is a crime when done by McVeigh or when
> >done by the state.
>
> Forbidden by god? You'd better read your bible a little more thorougly. That
> part about "suffer not to let a witch live" comes to mind.



From -4306545311707904028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 05:33:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-xfer.netaxs.com.MISMATCH!news-
xfer.newsread.com!bad-news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!
POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: "Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9buq13$4m$0@208.207.71.161> <20010422110510.16452.00000458@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <9c08ic$2n8$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4C0C8.1B52B3E3@IEEE.org>
<9c2jtd$kjv$5@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E0B3.D395474F@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 9
```

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <CSTG6.5611$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 12:33:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.7.162.70
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@tm.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988547618 64.7.162.70 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 08:33:38 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 08:33:38 EDT
Organization: The Mercury Network (tm.net) @is2.tm.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20510


> Libertarians are the the most skillful people that I know of at
> recognizing real evil, Dick. Evil does not lurk behind every bush.

Hmmmmm..... What about George W.---------------------^^^^^






From 7973375815607425025
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 05:33:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!news-
xfer.newsread.com!bad-news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!
POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: "Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
Subject: hmmmm......
Lines: 52
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 12:33:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.7.162.70
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@tm.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
```

X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988547617 64.7.162.70 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 08:33:37 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 08:33:37 EDT
Organization: The Mercury Network (tm.net) @is2.tm.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12496
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15411 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20511

All this debate begs one question.......

What are you all doing to help PROMOTE amateur radio?? (all that may read this)

Pretty soon, I will be posting a list of ideas and suggestions to help promote our hobby to youth, (in this hobby that would be most anyone with the aptitude that is under 30 years of age) that I have collected from hams from postings on QRZ.COM and here in the newsgroups....

Its interesting, but I AM the youngest ham in my county.... and I am going to be 31 in July. Hopefully my efforts of promoting amateur radio to youth (ages 30 and under) will provide to have been useful.

73's to all!

Ryan KC8PMX

"Tom W8JI" <2w8ji@akorn.net> wrote in message
news:3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com...
> On 25 Apr 2001 18:06:21 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
> wrote:
>
> >In article <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>, zbrlecic@earthlink.net
says...
> >>
> >>"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:
> >>
> >>> I still can't believe people who keep wanting to hold the code so near
and
> >>> dear. If you want to use this form of communication, great. It is
> >>> outdated.
> >>
> >>With all due respect, this opinion is subjective. Fly fishing is still
around
> >>but one would hardly call it obsolete just because there are commercial
> >fishing
> >
> >
> >Do commercial fishermen have to take a test to demonstrate their fly
fishing
> >skills in order to work on a commercial fishing boat?
>
> I know you have a "point" hidden somewhere in your mind when you asked

```
> that question. What is the point?
>
> 73 Tom




From -6967224719981532128
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 05:53:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 08:53:39 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3AEC0EA4.26ECACFF@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcj0wx0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.16
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988548799 3102 207.144.64.16 (29 Apr 2001 12:53:19 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 12:53:19 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20512

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > [snip] According to Title 47, Sec. 95.426,
> > the operator must allow an inspection of
> > his station upon request, which would
> > include all radio equipment used.
>
```

> One more time with feeling ... the enabling
> legislation DID NOT delegate the requirement
> to make the station available on request to
> the locals. Sec. 97.426 applies only to the
> FCC. [snip]
>
> [snip] There has to be reasonable cause
> BEFORE the request for inspection.

Before I go, let me ask these final questions relating to the probable cause issue, Phil. If, as you say, Sec. 95.426 (inspection of station upon request) does not apply to local enforcement, and probable cause is required before ANY enforcement of HR-2346, why would there be a need for a paragraph (paragraph 7) describing a specific situation where probable cause is required (as if that were the sole exception)? For that matter, if probable cause is required (Sec. 95.426 does not apply), then why would only one paragraph (paragraph 7) mention probable cause?

And, with that, I'll back out and let my involvement in this discussion end with your reply.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -113230069715274664
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 06:27:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 13:27:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.225.56

X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988550842 209.245.225.56 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 06:27:22 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 06:27:22 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 06:25:55 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20513

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
>
> > ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47 CFR 97.503(a) are unnecessary, bad public
> > policy, and not in conformity with 5 USC 706.
> >
> > The FCC is moving right along with its housecleaning work, now time for
> > President GW Bush and Secretary Powell to review President Clinton "war
on
> > drug" aerial combat polices.
> >
> > Larry KC8EPO
>
> This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if any
> experience in either field.
>
> Dave K8MN

Leaving out whatever this thread has been about, who the authors are, etc., etc. Should one presume that it is being suggested we must all be political science majors, have worked in public policy groups, be board approved as an attorney, etc., before we are entitled to have opinions now? In the United States, *experience* is not required for us to vote and have opinions.

Kim - W5TIT

From -7594676014219675217
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 07:27:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "etagg" <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
From: "etagg" <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>

```
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 4
Organization: N2WKW
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <0vVG6.21092$r3.3419274@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:25:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.92.233.128
X-Complaints-To: abuse@twcny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 988554300 24.92.233.128 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 10:25:00
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 10:25:00 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15414
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20514

kill that fuck before he kill more of us
         MAYBE YOU SOULD BE WITH HIM




From 8863487396503698273
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 08:10:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:10:09 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s10.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 29 Apr 2001 11:05:19 -0400, s10.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 64
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s10.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20515

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
> >
```

> > > ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47 CFR 97.503(a) are unnecessary, bad public
> > > policy, and not in conformity with 5 USC 706.
> > >
> > > The FCC is moving right along with its housecleaning work, now time for
> > > President GW Bush and Secretary Powell to review President Clinton "war
> on
> > > drug" aerial combat polices.
> > >
> > > Larry KC8EPO
> >
> > This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if any
> > experience in either field.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Leaving out whatever this thread has been about, who the authors are, etc.,
> etc. Should one presume that it is being suggested we must all be political
> science majors, have worked in public policy groups, be board approved as an
> attorney, etc., before we are entitled to have opinions now? In the United
> States, *experience* is not required for us to vote and have opinions.
>
> Kim - W5TIT

It would be difficult to make such a presumption since context is important to the issue. A man who is not an attorney but who regularly posts as if he was an expert on law probably will likely not be taken very seriously. In this case, the man who frequently does so has tried to link the tragic shoot-down in Peru to morse code testing. He has proclaimed that bad U.S. policy ties the two issues. He also added his personal eulogy bemoaning the late missionary radio amateur's denied HF access in her home country (as if being shot from the sky wasn't enough of a reason to mourn her).

Anyone in the U.S. is entitled to an opinion--even if it is based on flawed thinking and lack of information. Those who reach eighteen years of age may vote. That vote can be cast based on flawed thinking and lack of information. No one is forced to read a newspaper, a news magazine or even to watch what passes for news on TV. Those of us who stayed awake during civics class in school remember that we have the responsibility to make informed choices. No one forces us to carry out that responsibility. Stupidity has not been banned so we are also free to make bad or poor choices.

We don't vote on each local, state or national issue. We elect representatives who vote on these for us. So, Kim, you need not be a political science major or graduate nor do you need to be an attorney before holding opinions. You should have some idea of what is going on before you form those opinions.

So, in your comments regarding the thread, do you see a link between morse testing and the death of a missionary woman who happened to hold an amateur radio license? Do you agree or disagree with Larry's attempt

to use the issue of the shoot down as a means of delivering additional
anti-morse code testing rhetoric?

Think carefully....

Dave K8MN

From 3321081065915289884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 08:24:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
<9cege6$976$0@208.206.142.67> <3AEBB9F3.834AE83B@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <2mWG6.2918$SZ5.225731@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 11:23:42 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 15:23:42 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20516

In article <3AEBB9F3.834AE83B@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart says...

>And we also love to assign blame today. Few seem to
>understand that accidents happen all the time, but that does not
>necessarily mean someone at fault.

USS Greenville did not surface by accident, but deliberately
without proper regard for other shipping in the area, resulting
in the loss of another ship and nine lives.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 7801102636631675612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 08:33:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 15:33:05 GMT
References: <20010428163727.17372.00000602@nso-fg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010429113305.13582.00001472@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20517
```

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>But were there 500+ articles there a year ago? I visit arrl.org several times
>a
>week, and the impression I get is that new stuff is constantly being added.

Mea culpa. I think there were about 400 articles available for download on the TIS pages. One other benefit to the members-only aspect of some of the articles is that I can put more of them up there without raising the eyebrows of the ARRL bean counters. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8779633584896105780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 08:37:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 15:36:55 GMT
References: <20010428112042.05666.00001336@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Message-ID: <20010429113655.13582.00001473@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20518

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>>How is that applicable to 1966 standards and practices and the
>>>alleged inability to govern the US ARS from Newington?

>>ARRL does not govern the ARS, so its alleged ability to do so is moot.

>One cannot deny the fact that the ARRL has a great deal of influence
>with the FCC when it comes to the regulation of the ARS.

I do not believe that the ARRL's influence extends so far that I would choose
the word "governing" in describing it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6888343718561710345
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 08:40:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 15:39:51 GMT
References: <20010428112042.05666.00001336@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Message-ID: <20010429113951.13582.00001474@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20519

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>For the most part, I think they do. With the marginal antennas and low
>power,
>>CW is more effective than SSB most of the time.

>Only "most of the time?" When would SSB be more effective than CW
>under "backpacking" conditions?

Even under backpacking conditions, SSB can exhibit an excellent S/N margin
under some conditions. Under those conditions, SSB is more effective than CW at

getting information from point to point. Once the S/N exceeds what is needed, further improvement is moot and the effectiveness of a mode then becomes primarily a function of throughput. Spoken communication is faster than manually sent and decoded OOK Morse CW.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3518676660661479983
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 08:45:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 15:44:53 GMT
References: <20010428174940.14184.00000579@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Message-ID: <20010429114453.13582.00001475@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20520

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>Voice communications can be done "mobile-in-motion" (while
>hiking, especially with a staff in hand). It is difficult (but not
>impossible) to do that while transmitting with a key.

I probably would not find that to be very difficult. :-)

>In that context I was wondering how this
>new product benefitted the CW operator compared to all other
>modes available in the transceiver.

The portability benefits of the '817 apply to all of its included modes and bands.

>The FT-817 is a new Yaesu
>product and a check of the Yaesu web page still shows only the
>preliminary data having the "not yet approved by FCC" disclaimer
>at the bottom

The product has been approved by the FCC; that is why it is being offered for sale. The Product Review didn't get under way until the FCC process was complete.

>The ARRL has so
>strongly influenced the FCC for so long a time and made that
>influence and resulting action clear to so many that the "ARRL
>decisions" are almost a de facto "ruling" of the US amateur
>radio community.

I disagree that the influence of ARRL is best described as "ruling."

>I'm not saying the ARRL is doing a "bad thing" with the above,
>but denial of the most obvious quasi-offialdom of the ARRL for
>decades is somewhat ludicrous.

I would not have disagreed with the detailed explanation you offered, Len; I disagreed with the far-simpler summary that ARRL in any way governs amateur radio. Those governed by law have no reasonable choice but to follow the law; people still have the choice to follow or not follow ARRL's leadership or influence.

Surely you see the difference.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3383298594477435450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 08:49:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 15:48:54 GMT
References: <9ceh9t$c3l$0@208.206.142.67>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Message-ID: <20010429114854.13582.00001476@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20521

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>But the official attitude
>of "let's load it up with warm bodies at all costs" is dangerous and
>destructive.

I know of no official attitude that says that, Dick. Can you provide any quotes or references to anything you consider "official?"

> My premise is that for any license beyond entry level the applicant

> had little choice but to learn. Today that is not so.

I believe that a General Class license that could be passed with a week's worth of "studying" a 16-page study guide was no more forcing learning that the requirement to learn the correct answers to over 1000 questions today to get the same priveleges.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8368482170912696416
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 08:50:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 15:50:08 GMT
References: <9cegi9$976$1@208.206.142.67>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010429115008.13582.00001477@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20522

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>No, Ed, kit just illustrates how hard it is to give a ham ticket away
>these days.

I went to Manchester, CT high school. In my high school there were about 1000 students. Two of us were hams. It has and never will be for everyone.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8626829935770276625
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 08:53:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 15:53:21 GMT
References: <9cf8b4$fi$0@208.207.71.134>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010429115321.13582.00001478@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20523
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Yes, the facts are that hams in my era passed their General exams by a
>> combination of studying, memorizing, guessing and just plain hoping a
>subject
>> or two didn't appear on their exam.

> You left out one - Learning.

I thought folks would reason that learning resulted from the studying part of my opinion.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 153734396144197158
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 08:54:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AEC397E.D8502AFA@ev1.net>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 10:55:43 -0500
From: A Marzo <aerome@ev1.net>
Reply-To: nospam@evl.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: rsvp-208-187-66-102.ac01.dlls.eli.net
```

X-Trace: newsa.ev1.net 988559613 rsvp-208-187-66-102.ac01.dlls.eli.net (29 Apr 2001 10:53:33 -0500)
Lines: 56
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!newsa.ev1.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12505 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15419 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20524

Figures some snot nosed kid would come in and try to tell all the old pros (timers) how to improve! Ryan, don't you know that if they can't come up with it themselves, it can't be done? Besides, if any of your whipper snapper tactics work, they'll all have a touch time trying to agree on what's next on the bitch list! Hey, maybe increase the code requirements!

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:

> All this debate begs one question.......
>
> What are you all doing to help PROMOTE amateur radio?? (all that may read
> this)
>
> Pretty soon, I will be posting a list of ideas and suggestions to help
> promote our hobby to youth, (in this hobby that would be most anyone with
> the aptitude that is under 30 years of age) that I have collected from hams
> from postings on QRZ.COM and here in the newsgroups....
>
> Its interesting, but I AM the youngest ham in my county.... and I am going
> to be 31 in July. Hopefully my efforts of promoting amateur radio to youth
> (ages 30 and under) will provide to have been useful.
>
> 73's to all!
>
> Ryan KC8PMX
>
> "Tom W8JI" <2w8ji@akorn.net> wrote in message
> news:3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com...
> > On 25 Apr 2001 18:06:21 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
> > wrote:
> >
> > >In article <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>, zbrlecic@earthlink.net
> says...
> > >>
> > >>"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:
> > >>
> > >>> I still can't believe people who keep wanting to hold the code so near
> and
> > >>> dear. If you want to use this form of communication, great. It is
> > >>> outdated.
> > >>
> > >>With all due respect, this opinion is subjective. Fly fishing is still
> around
> > >>but one would hardly call it obsolete just because there are commercial
> > >fishing

```
> > >
> > >
> > >Do commercial fishermen have to take a test to demonstrate their fly
> fishing
> > >skills in order to work on a commercial fishing boat?
> >
> > I know you have a "point" hidden somewhere in your mind when you asked
> > that question. What is the point?
> >
> > 73 Tom



From -7874261879950404289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 08:55:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Dana <muck@mdbs.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 10:55:48 -0500
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3AEC3984.A1FF5261@mdbs.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 34
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12506
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15420 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20525

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
> All this debate begs one question.......
>
> What are you all doing to help PROMOTE amateur radio?? . . .

One of the BEST ways to generate interest is involvement.
We need to expose people to what we do and somehow engage
```

tjem into our activities.

I've suggested to the ARRL that the Field Day novice/tech bonus station should be replaced with a third-party station (operated under similar rules). Intended or not, club focus upon "points" would encourage more pro-active recruitment of new people.

Instead of just handing out brochures to our visitors, we can give them a taste of the fun by getting them involved.

Field day could become even more family oriented by giving more people a more direct role in the activity.

News coverage would probably be better. I can imagine getting a newscaster behind one of our microphones for a longer human interest piece.

We could invite the people we've worked with and helped throughout the year (law enforcement, Red Cross, Salvation Army, YMCA, etc...) to come join us in our premiere activity. What better way to encourage comradiere?

Of course, no single change will generate the total effect we want, but I feel that this is a step in the right direction.

From -3954786334311256261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 08:59:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 60
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 15:59:06 GMT
References: <9cf8b4$fi$0@208.207.71.134>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010429115906.13582.00001479@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20526

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>The facts are that the General that
>> conveyed all amateur priveleges could be passed by studying a 16-page study
>> guide until one had it memorized.

> Maybe so...but by the time you did you LEARNED, necessarily, something
>about the subject matter. It was not just a matter of making word
>associations that you could recognize as pairs, as it is today.

That would be the hard way, Dick; it is easier to learn the underlying concepts.

> The fiction is that you would even make such an invalid statement. I
>know that when I took the Exra exam I was far more learned than as when
>I took the Novice or General, and I've sakid that many times, for you to
>ignore. Just as you continjuously ignore the fact that no one EVER
>attempts to memorize the entire question pool.

EVER? Okay, a handful of people memorize over 1000 questions without understanding. The larger majority do what I did which is to pass their exams with a combination of studying, memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping that some subjects don't appear on their exam.

What is with you and "ignore," Dick? On a number of occasions I have agreed with you that the Extra of your era is exactly as you describe. How is that "ignoring?" Can I assume you play the "ignore" card if you think you are losing the discussion?

What you ignore is the fact that in the days you took that Extra, it was strictly a "vanity" license; the General of that day carried all operating priveleges. That one could pass by studying and memorizing a 16-page study guide.

If we had gone from an exam of 1000 questions to a simple exam that could be passed by studying a 16-page study guide, you would be leading the howls of protest that we had dumbed down Amateur Radio. Would you like to go back to the days when a ham earned the whole ball of wax by studying 16 pages?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>> I have little doubt that you actually believe that, but
>> for the life of me, I can't imagine why.
>
>
> You have your own views of where you want to help the ARS go, and I
>amd my 'assertions' don't fit, so you have to see to it that I can't be
>accorded any real credibility. That's reason enouth, evidently.
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -6073306235101116729
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 09:00:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 16:00:22 GMT
References: <3AEB33A3.DE296F6A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010429120022.13582.00001480@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20527

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Ed, Bill, and I all rote-memorized the Q&A to pass our first exams.

No, Cecil, I did a combination of studying, memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping that some subjects would not appear on my exam.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1785341455805411328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 09:14:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 Apr 2001 16:13:52 GMT
References: <3aeab0ce$0$62145$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010429121352.03899.00001668@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20528

My views are as they have always been, the cheaper it gets the less its wanted.

Sure it all looks good, but cheap just don't make it better. Amateur radio is almost being offered at a give away and the sales are down. I bet that 1 % percent increase would have been higher if everything was left the way it was.Who said our frequencies will be taken away and all sorts of other bad things are going to happen if the ham radio population does not increase. I think the worst thing that has happened so far is the restucturing. Yup, the left foot gets shot again, ouch! J1

From -4557921822578638032
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 10:03:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <yuUC6.1758$3n4.1362918@nntp2.onemain.com>
<20010428203742.18977.00000479@nso-fa.aol.com>
<bsLG6.5101$p6.619365@nntp2.onemain.com>
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Lines: 7
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <SPXG6.46047$IJ1.3562815@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 17:03:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.178.221
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988563826 12.88.178.221 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 17:03:46 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 17:03:46 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20529

Dick, it was the National Bureau of Standards. Those leap-seconds crept up on 'em!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

From -6876974409255745509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 10:13:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 17:13:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.198.62.13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988564415 216.198.62.13 (Sun, 29 Apr
2001 10:13:35 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 10:13:35 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 10:12:08 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15425
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20530
```

Please, give me an example of a "victimless crime."

You can't use "drugs" because they are not victimless crimes. Someone almost always has to pick up the slack or suffer as a result of someone using and abusing both legal and illegal drugs. Who suffers? Friends, family, co-workers, tax-payers -- the list is pretty long. Friends and family suffer emotionally and/or financially. Co-workers have to take up the slack and tax-payers pay for the so-called "free clinics" where these people generally end up. No I am not for socialized medicine but I think that if someone TRULY can't afford treatment that the government (you, me and the doctors) should pick up the tab.

Given your thinking below I can sneak up behind someone and pick his pocket. No use of force in that interaction so it should be legal right? I do agree with certain aspects of libertarian philosophy. You can't legislate away stupidity for one. But certain laws are to protect the "innocent", for lack of a better term, from the stupidity of others. For instance, in Texas it

is illegal for a person under the age of 18 to ride in the back of a pickup
truck at speeds in excess of 30MPH. I think it should be illegal period but
many disagree. I have witnessed for myself a child cartwheel out of the
back of a pickup at 60MPH. The result wasn't pretty. The parents got a
slap on the wrist, "child endangerment." A $2000 fine and suspended
sentence. What was their crime? They just killed their kid, that's all.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
> > Libertarians have rights, real and imagined. They want government to
pass
> > no laws that would violate their right to do what they feel is right,
which
> > is up to their own individual interpretation. Responsibilities? They
take
> > responsibility for only those things that would not hinder the
exercising of
> > their rights.
>
> Incorrect, Kevin. Libertarians believe that the use of force and the
threat
> of force in human interactions should be illegal, i.e. when there is a
real
> victim of a crime, e.g. an armed robbery. We believe that victimless
crimes
> should be repealed. Victimless crimes are the path toward fascism.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>

```
From -1939789459365641447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 10:16:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news2.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AEC4CA5.6EF8@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
```

References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcj0wx0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 81
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 13:17:25 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.67
X-Trace: news2.atl 988564565 209.214.186.67 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 13:16:05 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 13:16:05 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20531

Phil,

I know that many visits to "questionable" stations were done around here by FCC agents and US Marshals. Is this common practice around the country? If so, what are the reasons for having them, or other officers, acompany the agents?

Tnx,

Jerry

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 23:24:19 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> >>   Wide open to the "dude defense" -- "its
> >> not me, officer, it's some dude elsewhere".
> >> No conclusive evidence that the alleged unlawful
> >> nonconforming signals are coming from that
> >> station, no case. Period.
> >
> >
> > Phil, I don't think it really matters what the person claims.
> >According to Title 47, Sec. 95.426, the operator must allow an
> >inspection of his station upon request, which would include all radio
> >equipment used.
>
>   One more time with feeling ... the enabling legislation DID NOT
>   delegate the requirement to make the station available on request to
>   the locals. Sec. 97.426 applies only to the FCC.
>
>   The FCC procedures for inspection (based on relevant case law and
>   policy) are very specific - a station operator cannot be cited for

```
>   refusal to permit an inspection by FCC agents unless the station is
>   found in operation (residential or business premises) or during
>   normal business hours (business premises).  Even if the inspection
>   rquirements were delegated to the locals, they would have to follow
>   these guidelines.
>
> >Now, we could sit here and argue for days whether a device
> >attached to a station, along with an interference complaint, is enough
> >to prove that the device has been used, but neither of us will be
> >certain until a number of these HR-2346 cases are resolved in the courts.
>
>   It's already been resolved by the FCC -- it's not.  There has to be
>   proof of use.
>
>   That's why, when the station is inspected after delay at the door
>   and the amp is hidden, the only acceptable FCC power determination
>   includes one agent inside measuring station power with an in-line
>   meter and another agent outside observing any changes in the
>   station antenna orientation and measuring a signal level (usually on
>   a calibrated field strength meter or spectrum analyzer) to see what,
>   if any, decrease occurred between the observed transmission and the
>   transmission under supervision.
>
> >>   A charge of "using non-type-accepted equipment"
> >> is the icing on the cake, not the cake itself.
> >> No probative evidence that the station is
> >> operating "out of tolerance", no reasonable cause
> >> to make the inspection to determine if the
> >> equipment is type-accepted or not.
> >
> >
> >   Title 47, Sec. 95.426
>
>   The cat chasing its own tail.  Failure to make the station
>   available for inspection is not reasonable cause to require that
>   inspection.  There has to be reasonable cause BEFORE the request
>   for inspection.
>
>   The FCC has no authority to break down the doors, so to speak,
>   and the locals can't assume that authority in enforcing powers
>   delegated to them by the FCC.
>
>   For any city that tries, I do hope that their coffers include LOTS
>   of Sect. 1983 (damages for illegal actions under color of authority)
>   money.
>
> --
>    73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane


From 7941190012981265109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 10:20:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<9bmv6a$k22$2@208.206.142.105> <3ADF0862.678EAEBE@IEEE.org>
<R%HF6.881$A4.95323@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B52A.57998F06@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <93YG6.1092$YI1.126173@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 17:20:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.198.62.13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988564805 216.198.62.13 (Sun, 29 Apr
2001 10:20:05 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 10:20:05 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 10:18:38 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20532

No it tells me that He didn't want or need to re-invent the wheel as He
created live on this planet.  While the parts are not interchangeable the
principles behind their operation remain constant from species to species.
Just like the engine in my Mercury Villager works basically the same way as
the engine in my Model T.

73/
Kevin, WB5RUE
http://www.qsl.net/wb5rue



"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AE7B52A.57998F06@IEEE.org...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
> > Your DNA is also about 50% identical to a green pea.  Which half of you
is
> > the green pea?  You share about 95% of your DNA with a toad, ...
>
> Does that tell you anything about God's sense of humor?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
>




From 4595115135630303200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 10:39:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Lines: 84
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <MlYG6.81$LO6.11929@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 17:39:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.119.2
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988565996 64.157.119.2 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001
10:39:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 10:39:56 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 10:38:27 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20534

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
> > >
> > > > ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47 CFR 97.503(a) are unnecessary, bad
public
> > > > policy, and not in conformity with 5 USC 706.
> > > >
> > > > The FCC is moving right along with its housecleaning work, now time
for
```

> > > > President GW Bush and Secretary Powell to review President Clinton
"war
> > on
> > > > drug" aerial combat polices.
> > > >
> > > > Larry KC8EPO
> > >
> > > This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if any
> > > experience in either field.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Leaving out whatever this thread has been about, who the authors are,
etc.,
> > etc. Should one presume that it is being suggested we must all be
political
> > science majors, have worked in public policy groups, be board approved
as an
> > attorney, etc., before we are entitled to have opinions now? In the
United
> > States, *experience* is not required for us to vote and have opinions.
> >
> > Kim - W5TIT
>
>
> It would be difficult to make such a presumption since context is
> important to the issue. A man who is not an attorney but who regularly
> posts as if he was an expert on law probably will likely not be taken
> very seriously. In this case, the man who frequently does so has tried
> to link the tragic shoot-down in Peru to morse code testing. He has
> proclaimed that bad U.S. policy ties the two issues. He also added his
> personal eulogy bemoaning the late missionary radio amateur's denied HF
> access in her home country (as if being shot from the sky wasn't enough
> of a reason to mourn her).
>
> Anyone in the U.S. is entitled to an opinion--even if it is based on
> flawed thinking and lack of information. Those who reach eighteen years
> of age may vote. That vote can be cast based on flawed thinking and
> lack of information. No one is forced to read a newspaper, a news
> magazine or even to watch what passes for news on TV. Those of us who
> stayed awake during civics class in school remember that we have the
> responsibility to make informed choices. No one forces us to carry out
> that responsibility. Stupidity has not been banned so we are also free
> to make bad or poor choices.
>
> We don't vote on each local, state or national issue. We elect
> representatives who vote on these for us. So, Kim, you need not be a
> political science major or graduate nor do you need to be an attorney
> before holding opinions. You should have some idea of what is going on
> before you form those opinions.
>
> So, in your comments regarding the thread, do you see a link between
> morse testing and the death of a missionary woman who happened to hold

> an amateur radio license? Do you agree or disagree with Larry's attempt
> to use the issue of the shoot down as a means of delivering additional
> anti-morse code testing rhetoric?
>
> Think carefully....
>
> Dave K8MN

My submission to the newsgroup was not dependent upon topic or credentials. My post to the newsgroup was on the line: "This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if any experience in either field." It seems that most of your observations above, in direct response to my comment, would agree with my comments. It seems that your admonition (if you will) was more out of emotional response to something you disagreed with. Thank you.

Kim W5TIT

```
From 568929568507121898
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 11:35:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone1.ba-dsg.net!typhoon2.ba-
dsg.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Reply-To: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3aec5d2a.13550881@news5.bellatlantic.net>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 30
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 18:35:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 138.89.90.248
X-Complaints-To: business-support@verizon.com
X-Trace: typhoon2.ba-dsg.net 988569307 138.89.90.248 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:35:07
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:35:07 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12514
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15429 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20535
```

```
>All this debate begs one question.......
>
>What are you all doing to help PROMOTE amateur radio?? (all that may read
>this)
>
>Pretty soon, I will be posting a list of ideas and suggestions to help
>promote our hobby to youth, (in this hobby that would be most anyone with
>the aptitude that is under 30 years of age) that I have collected from hams
>from postings on QRZ.COM and here in the newsgroups....
>
>Its interesting, but I AM the youngest ham in my county.... and I am going
>to be 31 in July.  Hopefully my efforts of promoting amateur radio to youth
>(ages 30 and under) will provide to have been useful.
>
>
>73's to all!
>
>Ryan KC8PMX
>
>
Ryan...

Lots of Luck..

As long as they keep that SILLY code requirement, you are very
unlikely to get young folks into an archaic hobby.

Dan



From -7017861444251730177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 11:37:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!cyclone1.ba-dsg.net!typhoon2.ba-dsg.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Reply-To: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3aec5dec.13745033@news5.bellatlantic.net>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
```

```
<BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com> <3AEC3984.A1FF5261@mdbs.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 38
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 18:37:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 138.89.90.248
X-Complaints-To: business-support@verizon.com
X-Trace: typhoon2.ba-dsg.net 988569463 138.89.90.248 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:37:43
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:37:43 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12515
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15430 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20536

On Sun, 29 Apr 2001 10:55:48 -0500, Dana <muck@mdbs.com> wrote:

>Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>>
>> All this debate begs one question.......
>>
>> What are you all doing to help PROMOTE amateur radio?? . . .
>
>One of the BEST ways to generate interest is involvement.
>We need to expose people to what we do and somehow engage
>tjem into our activities.
>
>I've suggested to the ARRL that the Field Day novice/tech
>bonus station should be replaced with a third-party station
>(operated under similar rules).  Intended or not, club focus
>upon "points" would encourage more pro-active recruitment
>of new people.
>
>Instead of just handing out brochures to our visitors, we
>can give them a taste of the fun by getting them involved.
>
>Field day could become even more family oriented by giving
>more people a more direct role in the activity.
>
>News coverage would probably be better.  I can imagine
>getting a newscaster behind one of our microphones for a
>longer human interest piece.
>
>We could invite the people we've worked with and helped
>throughout the year (law enforcement, Red Cross, Salvation
>Army, YMCA, etc...) to come join us in our premiere activity.
>What better way to encourage comradiere?
>
>Of course, no single change will generate the total effect
>we want, but I feel that this is a step in the right
>direction.

Good luck, and have another drink on me!


From -3384524856860235322
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 12:24:31 PST
Message-ID: <3AEC6A2A.FD30A0B8@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:23:22 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcj0wx0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEC4CA5.6EF8@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988571821
64.240.47.109 (29 Apr 2001 14:17:01 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20537

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> I know that many visits to "questionable" stations were done around here
> by FCC agents and US Marshals. Is this common practice around the country?

Probably just around your house, Jerry. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 30426299565178067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,657277a46c8a61dc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,657277a46c8a61dc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 12:26:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-
mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The 11:11 fifth gate will soon open
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 12:26:32 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9chqig$rj3$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
References: <zorg.zorg@bimminy.org>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-27-134.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.27.134 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15434
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20538

Zorg <Zorg@bimminy.org> wrote:
>
> Soon the 11:11 fifth gate will open.  Knowledgable amateur operators
> have already detected the telltale cosmic signals.

I thought all the vanity callsign gates had already opened.

Oh, wait, this is the gate that lets you trade in your ham radio
callsign for a bootleg 'handle'.  Ah, yes!

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB     k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona
```

From 2967385231509143702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 12:42:25 PST
Message-ID: <3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:41:14 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988572895
64.240.47.109 (29 Apr 2001 14:34:55 -0500)
Lines: 40
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15435
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20539

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
> Please, give me an example of a "victimless crime."

Me smoking a joint in the privacy of my own home.

> You can't use "drugs" because they are not victimless crimes. Someone

> almost always has to pick up the slack or suffer as a result of someone
> using and abusing both legal and illegal drugs. Who suffers? Friends,
> family, co-workers, tax-payers -- the list is pretty long.

I'm retired and living alone, Kevin. Please explain how me having a
joint alone in the privacy of my own home causes anyone to suffer. When
I was married and living in CA, my wife and I smoked pot regularly. It
enhanced our sex life and contributed to our marital bliss. We were
responsible adults and only smoked it at night after the kids had gone
to bed. Drugs can be used responsibly or irresponsibly, just like guns.

> Given your thinking below I can sneak up behind someone and pick his pocket.
> No use of force in that interaction so it should be legal right?

Of course, that's using force, Kevin. That victim is being forced to
give up his wallet against his will even if he doesn't realize it
until later.

> For instance, in Texas it
> is illegal for a person under the age of 18 to ride in the back of a pickup
> truck at speeds in excess of 30MPH. I think it should be illegal period but
> many disagree.

It should be illegal for kids. It should not be illegal for adults. Kids
should be protected from stupid parents. Adults should not be protected
from themselves. Otherwise, someone may force you to get a facelift to
save you from being so ugly.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7790144837040069527
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 12:48:55 PST
Message-ID: <3AEC6FE0.40EB06F2@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:47:44 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ADDC97E.45DB@bellsouth.net>
<XlkD6.3166$AF2.1563877@nntp3.onemain.com> <3ADE155D.2A120866@IEEE.org>
<3ADE5A1E.DFC36ACB@sccoast.net> <3ADE702C.4DA178FC@IEEE.org>
<9bmv6a$k22$2@208.206.142.105> <3ADF0862.678EAEBE@IEEE.org>

```
<R%HF6.881$A4.95323@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B52A.57998F06@IEEE.org>
<93YG6.1092$YI1.126173@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988573284
64.240.47.109 (29 Apr 2001 14:41:24 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!
feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!
127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20540

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
> No it tells me that He didn't want or need to re-invent the wheel as He
> created live on this planet.  While the parts are not interchangeable the
> principles behind their operation remain constant from species to species.

So when God said he created man in His own image, he was pulling our legs.
I can believe that. I've always thought God had a weird sense of humor.
So did Mark Twain: Why did God design a man to desire all women and barely
be able to satisfy one, then design a women, who could satisfy any number
of men, to desire only one man? From: "Letters to Earth"
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 3429118736179495757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 12:51:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AEC706D.A458ED5F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:50:05 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3AEB33A3.DE296F6A@IEEE.org> <20010429120022.13582.00001480@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988573424 64.240.47.109 (29 Apr 2001 14:43:44 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!212.74.64.35!colt.net!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!easynet-tele!easynet.net!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20541

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Ed, Bill, and I all rote-memorized the Q&A to pass our first exams.
>
> No, Cecil, I did a combination of studying, memorizing, lucky guessing and just
> plain hoping that some subjects would not appear on my exam.

I didn't say *all* we did was rote-memorize, Ed. Question is: Would you have
passed without any rote-memorization at all? Careful now, I don't know any
way to know the band edges without simply rote-memorizing them.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5275844102521662976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029

X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 12:52:02 PST
From: "JWheeler" <kd5bcu at sydcom dot net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:50:47 -0500
Lines: 69
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.96.24.30
Message-ID: <3aec6f1f_3@corp.newsfeeds.com>
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988573471
204.96.24.30 (29 Apr 2001 14:44:31 -0500)
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
out!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12516
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15437 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20542

Ryan

I am right there with you. I am 29 years old, and the youngest member of my local club. Any ideas you come up with, send them my way. I've been tinkering with the same thoughts myself.

JWheeler
kd5bcu at qsl dot net

Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com...
> All this debate begs one question.......
>
> What are you all doing to help PROMOTE amateur radio?? (all that may read
> this)
>
> Pretty soon, I will be posting a list of ideas and suggestions to help

```
> promote our hobby to youth, (in this hobby that would be most anyone with
> the aptitude that is under 30 years of age) that I have collected from
hams
> from postings on QRZ.COM and here in the newsgroups....
>
> Its interesting, but I AM the youngest ham in my county.... and I am going
> to be 31 in July.  Hopefully my efforts of promoting amateur radio to
youth
> (ages 30 and under) will provide to have been useful.
>
>
> 73's to all!
>
> Ryan KC8PMX
>
>
>
> "Tom W8JI" <2w8ji@akorn.net> wrote in message
> news:3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com...
> > On 25 Apr 2001 18:06:21 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
> > wrote:
> >
> > >In article <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>, zbrlecic@earthlink.net
> says...
> > >>
> > >>"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:
> > >>
> > >>> I still can't believe people who keep wanting to hold the code so
near
> and
> > >>> dear.  If you want to use this form of communication, great.  It is
> > >>> outdated.
> > >>
> > >>With all due respect, this opinion is subjective. Fly fishing is still
> around
> > >>but one would hardly call it obsolete just because there are
commercial
> > >fishing
> > >
> > >
> > >Do commercial fishermen have to take a test to demonstrate their fly
> fishing
> > >skills in order to work on a commercial fishing boat?
> >
> > I know you have a "point" hidden somewhere in your mind when you asked
> > that question. What is the point?
> >
> > 73 Tom
>
>
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6571998593149001155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 13:20:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: 29 Apr 2001 19:20:24 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9chpho1dq6@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AEA0BDD.C2C49E21@IEEE.org>
<39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <9cdboq01ljs@enews4.newsguy.com>
<39N24.59.7@bellsowth.net> <9cfd4d07mo@enews1.newsguy.com> <BDD.C2C49E21@houston-
hunks.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-552.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15438
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20543
```

In article <BDD.C2C49E21@houston-hunks.com>, SLee@houston-hunks.com says...

>
>
> On 28 Apr 2001 21:36:13 GMT, T. L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> : I understand that you are a spewing hypocrite that picks and choses only the
> : parts of the bible that suit you.
>
> Someone quotes one of the ten commandments and you say he is choosing
> "parts of the bible that suit you."

My, what a keen eye you have!

> Am I to understand, then, that you think the ten commandments are
> optional biblical mandates quoted by "spewing hypocrites?"

Wow! Two for two! Don't stop now - you're on a roll!

From -4777094018747860594
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 13:21:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: 29 Apr 2001 19:32:13 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9chq7t2dq6@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AEA0BDD.C2C49E21@IEEE.org>
<39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <9cdboq01ljs@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3AEBEAD6.4A43CD58@hotmail.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-837.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15439
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20544

Go back and read the rest of the bible, Oy Boy. Especially the parts where god actually condoned killing, and the murder of innocents. Every one of you seem to want to ignore this, and continue to only quote Exodus 20:13

In article <3AEBEAD6.4A43CD58@hotmail.com>, n7zzt@hotmail.com says...
>
>how about 4 little words:
>"thou shalt not kill"
>
>ring a bell?
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
>> In article <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net>, bhamack@bellsowth.net says...
>> >
>> >
>> >On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 19:16:29 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:
>> >: Bill Hammack wrote:
>> >: > Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered ...
>> >:
>> >: Murder is a crime.
>> >
>> >Indeed. Forbidden by God, it is a crime when done by McVeigh or when
>> >done by the state.
>>

>> Forbidden by god? You'd better read your bible a little more thorougly. That
>> part about "suffer not to let a witch live" comes to mind.
>

From 8906368188460895969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 14:56:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AEA0BDD.C2C49E21@IEEE.org>
<39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <9cdboq01ljs@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3AEBEAD6.4A43CD58@hotmail.com> <9chq7t2dq6@enews4.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <D60H6.93658$_P.25692955@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 21:56:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988581411 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:56:51 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:56:51 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15444
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20545

How about this ... your arbitrary belief in "god" (he's the invisible guy
inside your head who tells you to be good right) has no bearing on life
whatsoever

--
Rob St.Denis

"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9chq7t2dq6@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Go back and read the rest of the bible, Oy Boy. Especially the parts where god
> actually condoned killing, and the murder of innocents. Every one of you seem
> to want to ignore this, and continue to only quote Exodus 20:13
>

```
>
> In article <3AEBEAD6.4A43CD58@hotmail.com>, n7zzt@hotmail.com says...
> >
> >how about 4 little words:
> >"thou shalt not kill"
> >
> >ring a bell?
> >
> >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >
> >> In article <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net>, bhamack@bellsowth.net says...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 19:16:29 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
> >> >: Bill Hammack wrote:
> >> >: >  Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered ...
> >> >:
> >> >: Murder is a crime.
> >> >
> >> >Indeed.  Forbidden by God, it is a crime when done by McVeigh or when
> >> >done by the state.
> >>
> >> Forbidden by god? You'd better read your bible a little more thorougly.
That
> >> part about "suffer not to let a witch live" comes to mind.
> >
>
```

```
From 9049204280656516307
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 15:34:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 18:31:46 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
```

```
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3aec96ae$0$88191$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: b4afcd3c.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=?iMXPVUk28ab0EN^dS;UdeLoK8f=:?
J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;Fg=EiAhP[ecXnlBFDaL\d^neDODZ`lE6LGf
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20547


"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
> Larry Klose wrote:
> >
> > The common element between the tragedy and the Morse code exam
requirement
> > is misguided US national and international policies.  Policies that defy
> > common sense and law.
>
> There is no common element which ties morse code testing to the shooting
> down of a missionary aircraft in Peru, Larry.

I did not say that, Dave.  You keep distorting the fact to satify your
conclusion.

I said that it is wrong for miltiary frighter jet to shoot down unarmed
civilian aircraft, and its wrong for communications adminstrations
to impose a Morse code exam requirement that is unnecessary,
bad public policy, and not in conformity with 5 USC 706.

Larry  KC8EPO
```

```
From -5070228501766711846
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 15:39:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!
newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-
link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net>
```

```
<3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com> <3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<3ae96924.2456370@news.mindspring.com> <3AEA3E99.A4D87423@sccoast.net>
<uGrG6.1620$SZ5.136065@www.newsranger.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282244310.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcj16p1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 18:35:05 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3aec9776$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: b4afcd3c.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=B=:lF^GnG?jb0EN^dS;UdeLoK8f=:?J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgC8BT6R[J?
8flBFDaL\d^neDODZ`lE6LGf
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20548


"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gcj16p1.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:45:33 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>
> >On Sat, 28 Apr 2001, K0HB wrote:
> >> I'll be surprised if it eventually leads to a single conviction.
> >> Hell, I'll be surprised if it even leads to a single court case!
> >
> >I do believe that it will lead to a court case:  Of some FCC
> >licenseholder suing a municipality over having taken the law too far
> >(i.e. having been arrested as being an "illegal CB'er" when operating
> >properly within a licensed service he holds a license for).
>
>   I'd love to take a case like that...Sect 1983 also provides that
>   the loser city/county/state pay attorney's fees.  And I won't come
>   cheap......

Section 1983?  What is the CFR or USC cite?

Larry



From 696195121160406195
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 15:51:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AEA0BDD.C2C49E21@IEEE.org>
<39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <9cdboq01ljs@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3AEBEAD6.4A43CD58@hotmail.com> <9chq7t2dq6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<D60H6.93658$_P.25692955@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <jabba.de.hut@bimminy.org>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <6W0H6.93857$_P.25803814@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 22:51:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988584706 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 15:51:46
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 15:51:46 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15448
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20549

"Zorg" <Zorg@bimminy.org> wrote in message news:jabba.de.hut@bimminy.org...
>
> On Sun, 29 Apr 2001 21:56:51 GMT, Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com>
wrote:
> : How about this ... your arbitrary belief in "god" (he's the invisible
guy
> : inside your head who tells you to be good right) has no bearing on life
> : whatsoever
>
> Zorg think you wrong.  Very much wrong.
>
> For long time, mebbe even 100 years, govnerments make up laws from what
> they think the invisible guy want dem to do.  People make war over what
> they think the invisible guy want dem to do.  People in middle east blow
> each other up over what they think the invisible guy will do after they
> dead.  They even foolish enough to think war can be holy and killing
> other people be a virtue.
>
> That why belief in God have big bearing on our life (and death) no
> matter what we ourselves belief.  Only foolish man think God have no
> bearing on life whatsoever.
```

Did your "god" tell you to spell that way ? So a bunch of people are crazy enough to believe that there is a god ... doesn't make him exist any more than he ever did.

If one person believes in an invisible being who's all powerful and such he is crazy ... if a few people do it it's a cult ... if a population does it its a religion ... why isn't it a population of crazy people ?

--
Rob St.Denis

```
From -7133724887772919724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 16:14:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeeds.sol.net!
newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 19:10:29 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <3aec9fc3$0$88189$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 72bbac19.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=Q1JXT[n3hl9KcT1HbNQe82LoK8f=:?J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7RD?
Q^fG_f6<lBFDaL\d^n5DODZ`lE6LG6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20550
```

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
> > >
> > > This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if any
> > > experience in either field.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Leaving out whatever this thread has been about, who the authors are,
etc.,
> > etc. Should one presume that it is being suggested we must all be
political
> > science majors, have worked in public policy groups, be board approved
as an
> > attorney, etc., before we are entitled to have opinions now? In the
United
> > States, *experience* is not required for us to vote and have opinions.
> >
> > Kim - W5TIT
>
> It would be difficult to make such a presumption since context is
> important to the issue. A man who is not an attorney but who regularly
> posts as if he was an expert on law probably will likely not be taken
> very seriously.

Non-sense Dave, I cite a Federal statutes to assert that a
particular ITU and FCC rule are wrong. You have no reply,
except to attack me personally, shows the weakness of
your position.

> In this case, the man who frequently does so has tried
> to link the tragic shoot-down in Peru to morse code testing.

Dave, do you believe that it is a proper US policy to US
military resources to direct foreign warplanes against
suspect civilian aircraft, particularly after the Penagon
warned in 1994 that this had a high risk of killing innocent
people.

> He has proclaimed that bad U.S. policy ties the two issues.

Dave, the United States morse code policy has already changed,
and I suspect that the US aerial combat support policy against
civilian aircraft will change.

Larry KC8EPO

```
From -9124556206683784809
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 18:10:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 01:09:54 GMT
References: <3AEB27C8.7C030D92@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Message-ID: <20010429210954.06566.00001589@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20552

In article <3AEB27C8.7C030D92@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Only "most of the time?"  When would SSB be more effective than CW
>> under "backpacking" conditions?
>
>Well, I used to backpack within range of mountain-top repeaters,
>Larry, and FM was certainly more effective than CW.

Cecil:

That's very interesting, but not responsive to the question.  FM was
never mentioned; SSB and CW were.  We're talking about backpacking
with one of those new Yaesu FT-817's or a comparable rig on the HF
bands (yes, I know the thing goes to 450 MHz on all modes).  Sooo,
if you have a response that is germane to the discussion at hand,
let's hear it.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -3269388204232326887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 18:10:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 01:09:55 GMT
References: <3AEB2380.7F0E77BE@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL employee of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010429210955.06566.00001590@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20553

In article <3AEB2380.7F0E77BE@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> ... I believe that there should be an international
>> requirement for all new hams to take a stack of old TV's and VCR's
>> and build a functional, multi-mode, 160 thru 450 MHz transceiver
>> with the components thereof.
>
>Larry, let's see you take five LSI chips and make a ham radio out
>of them.

Sorry Cecil, you've got the wrong feller. I've never made any claims linking Morse code proficiency to technical competence, except as a coincidence. I possess purely AMATEUR technical skills -- and I'm not so sure I even know what an LSI chip is, except that I think the acronym means Large Scale Integration and that they combine the functions of several related systems on a single chip, reducing the parts count dramatically in devices like cell phones, 2-meter HT's, and mousetraps. I'm sure that 5 LSI chips may be two or three more than I need, if I knew what kind to get and what to do with them. However, I don't need anyone to show me how to program the memory channels in my HT -- because that is covered in the owner's manual, which I can read and understand without the assistance of an "Elmer." I can also have a CW QSO at 20 WPM, a PSK31 QSO at 60 WPM, and a RTTY QSO also at 60 WPM -- all within a few minutes of each other and using less than 25 watts of power into the indoor dipole I use from my 3rd floor apartment. Now that's *real ham* stuff in action!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1858404814601280040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c537fc309f8b3f7f,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 19:20:25 PST

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 22:23:46 -0400
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3AECCCB2.4DB5B56E@dirtball.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 162.39.205.97
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 988597224 22422 162.39.205.97 (30 Apr 2001 02:20:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 Apr 2001 02:20:24 GMT
To: Bill Hammack <bhamack@bellsowth.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15454 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20554

I rate Tim McVeigh on the same level as Lee Harvey Oswell. He is no more than a government scape goat. I don't believe for one minute that a truck bomb cause that much damage to that building. Why were all the other government employees in the building and not one FBI agent or ATF terriost in the building at the time of the explosion? How come there was no other devastation to surrounding buildings. Mighty big explosion to take down a whole building and just that one. There where reports of other charges in the building then nothing else heard about them (one way or another). I do believe that there was a truck bomb and the government terrorists new it was coming. I don't think that that truck bomb could of caused that much damage. What about the rumors about the the no longer need for the ATF? Could this be the way to save a no longer needed government terrorist agency? Why was the building so quickly removed? I would of liked to see more investagation of the way the building came down.

Yes people died I wonder how many lives where saved by this dastardly deed. In standoffs with the United States terrorists (FBI, ATF, DEA) since the building was blown up the government has been less likely to use deadly force like Ruby ridge and WACO! Its funny how people don't remember the stand off in Montana weeks after the bombing. Nobody was killed. Thus the press doesn't have a field day with and thus we the American (sheep) public don't remember or even know about it. To the people in OK I'm sorry that you have lost loved ones and I'm sorry that April 14 is such a bad day for that part of the nation but the death of Tim McVeigh isn't the end of this and isn't true justice. To put it in a nutshell I don't beleive for one minute that his bomb did that much damage (it was a inside job)!
This is my opinion.

73 From Oris

Bill Hammack wrote:

> Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection
> of a poisonous substance on the 16th day of May anno Domini 2001
> at precisely 7:00 AM EST at the U.S. penitentiary on Rural Route
> 21 Terre Haute, IN 47802; USGS coordinates 87W27:05.0 39N24:59.5
> (Terre Haute, Vigo County, one of 77 counties on EST year-round)

From 4844430827049670969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 19:34:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 02:34:36 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104290529360.3731-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010429223436.24866.00000488@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20555

In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104290529360.3731-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

>Considering current law:
>   It's nice that Congress has co-delegated authority over enforcement of
>the CB radio service to local law enforcement (upon local laws to fill in the
>gaps being created), but the problem is that if the federal regulations
>continue
>to say that inspection need only be granted upon FCC request, there will be
>no
>authority for local law enforcement to act: Without inspection, how can they
>legally move upon "probable cause" to the enforcement action. They're barred
>from a fundamental step needed in the evidence gathering process.

Would it be difficult to have a local police officer accompany an
FCC field office inspection person? :-)

I don't think any non-attorney opinions on this are valid in here.
A former State Department employee has ruled that all such
discussions are inadmissable in this newsgroup. :-)

From -7833428715831295549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 19:35:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 96
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 02:34:38 GMT
References: <20010429114453.13582.00001475@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Message-ID: <20010429223438.24866.00000489@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20557

In article <20010429114453.13582.00001475@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>>The ARRL has so
>>strongly influenced the FCC for so long a time and made that
>>influence and resulting action clear to so many that the "ARRL
>>decisions" are almost a de facto "ruling" of the US amateur
>>radio community.
>
>I disagree that the influence of ARRL is best described as "ruling."

Please note words I used such as "almost," "de facto," and
in putting the word "ruling" in quotation marks.

Sorry to disagree, but the ARRL influence on the US amateur
radio community up to roughly 5 to 10 years ago was so strong
that it virtually "ruled" the ethics, procedures, and some
activities of that group. It was a de facto "rule" but not a
de jure rule. The FCC does the de jure rule part.

>>I'm not saying the ARRL is doing a "bad thing" with the above,
>>but denial of the most obvious quasi-offialdom of the ARRL for
>>decades is somewhat ludicrous.
>
>I would not have disagreed with the detailed explanation you offered, Len; I
>disagreed with the far-simpler summary that ARRL in any way governs amateur
>radio. Those governed by law have no reasonable choice but to follow the
>law; people still have the choice to follow or not follow ARRL's leadership or
>influence.

People have been turned away from the ARRL through many factors: The patronizing, implicit "we know what is best for you" attitudes (aka "Big Brother" or "1984" syndrome); constant bombardment of viewers/readers of ARRL text seeing the self-promotions (the "PBS pledging/membership" syndrome); at least a decade's worth of League Positions on FCC NPRMs (affecting amateurs) which were either dismissed or only partially adopted followed by ARRL publicity endorsing the changes post-facto as if they were "right" before the fact.

In June of 2000 the ARRL membership was only 23.9% of all licensed US radio amateurs. It could be more if the hierarchy would adopt some better "selling" techniques, get out of the eastern conservative environment more, recognize the rest of the radio world (beyond amateur activities) and admit that the ARRL is not infallible in Setting Policy for radio amateurs. One thing that could be toned down the continued reliance on "the past as prologue" of somehow being the bedrock for what is "good" in US amateur ethics (and even morals) and recognize that the whole of the radio world has changed and advanced despite all the "pioneering" done so many generations in the past. History is nostalgic and interesting but it is still history, something that happened long ago.

Hiram Percy Maxim was the first ARRL President and continued in that post for 22 years until 1936. During that time there was a great deal of radio pioneering done in and by the non-amateur radio world. From 1914 until into the 1960s there were several amateur radio organizations but the ARRL was the survivor. Was that due to some (mythical or mystical) qualities of leadership or was it due more to a constant self-promotional propaganda using its publishing arm to persuade membership? I'll let someone outside the ARRL determine that although it seems clear which one it was to me.

Doing as Carroll has done, to "call on (the spirit of HPM) to provide leadership" in this time looks more like a self-enobling effort to retain conservative amateur ethics of a past era. It is no different than in other newsgroups using the first USA leaders (the "founding fathers") as some kind of idealistic role-model to "prove" the users' viewpoint. Such is nonsense and mythos, the effort more spite expressed because others will not accept his particular viewpoints.

This is the new millenium, radio is 105 years old, the center of population of the USA is _west_ of the Mississippi, there are far more non-CB radios than there are amateur radios, the design center for amateur radios is in Asia and not centered around Chicago or Iowa, communication satellites have long since proven their independence of the ionosphere, mainframe computers of the 1970s have been made antiques by desktop and portable computers purchaseable in chain stores...and some still yearn for the "simplicity" of the 1930s and some imaginary gifts of "leadership" of long ago...and one organization cannot recognize itself when viewed from the outside.

>Surely you see the difference.

Between 2001 and 1936? Absolutely.

Please don't call me Surely. :-)

From -1186525172546031920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 19:35:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 68
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 02:34:36 GMT
References: <20010429003757.12553.00000861@nso-ck.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010429223436.24866.00000487@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20556

In article <20010429003757.12553.00000861@nso-ck.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes another episode in another Endless Story:

<snip>

>>That was not a "faulty method." The "59" number value was the difference
>>between the total number of Technician license class increase in a ten-
>>month period and the number of new Technician licenses in the same
>>period.
>
>Which is a faulty method because it assumes, among other things, that ALL of
>the new Tech licenses issued in that ten month period stayed Technicians.
>Because you didn't have upgrade data available, you ASSUMED that the upgrade
>number was ZERO for that ten-month period. THAT is a FAULTY METHOD, Len.

I "assumed" nothing. I took the difference between the total number of Technician class licensees' increase and the number of NEW Technician class licenses granted came out to be 59. That was what I said. Simple artithmetic and a functioning calculator aren't "faulty."

What I see is just a lot of wordage (and considerable propaganda
and/or psywar tactics in newsgroups) to somehow "prove" that
that, indirectly, morse-code-tested radio amateurs are flocking to
HF as originally claimed. :-)

>KE3Z posted information derived from the FCC database showing

Directly from the FCC database?!? That database is about 55 MB
in size. What was the search program? Does it adequately
handle the differences between the old FCC database and the new
ULS database?

Seems to me it is easier to use Joe Speroni's tabulations.

<snip to the ending...>

>> I still hold to that observation regardless
>>of allegations of "faulty methods," "errors," or "mistakes" levelled by
>>long-timers who seem to wish things were otherwise.
>
>No. You made other statements in response to MY post which clearly contained
>faulty methods, errors and mistakes. You refuse, for some reason, to examine
>your mistakes, or admit to them.

God forbid I should ever respond to YOUR postings? :-)

I explained my method of arriving at an observation, gave the
numbers. Nobody disagreed with the numbers, therefore the
arithmetic seems to have met with approval.

I used a post-restructuring period (past April 15, 2000) for the
reasons given. Miccolis used PRE-restructuring numbers. Is
pre-restructuring data a "correct" method for post-restructuring
observation?. I don't think so. Miccolis' mileage varies...

The only significant change in US amateur radio licensing in
the POST-restructuring period to me is a number of already-
licensed amateurs got "higher" class licenses. The NEW,
never-had-a-ham-license-before, not-code-tested Technician
class licensees are still on the increase as they were before
April 15, 2000. At the end of May at least one out of three
US amateur radio licensees will be a Technician class (they
are just shy of that at the end of March 2001).

>Shall I post them AGAIN?

Knock yourself out... :-)

From 4690469961968157071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 19:46:41 PST
Message-ID: <3AECD1CB.A14D99C6@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 21:45:31 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL employee of the month club.
References: <3AEB2380.7F0E77BE@IEEE.org> <20010429210955.06566.00001590@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988598351 64.240.47.131 (29 Apr 2001 21:39:11 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20558

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I possess purely AMATEUR technical skills -- and I'm
> not so sure I even know what an LSI chip is, except that I think the
> acronym means Large Scale Integration and that they combine the
> functions of several related systems on a single chip, reducing the
> parts count dramatically in devices like cell phones, 2-meter HT's,
> and mousetraps.

And TV's, Larry. Modern TV's are chock full of LSI chips and I don't
think you can make ham radios out of them.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4828862588312008459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 19:50:26 PST
Message-ID: <3AECD2A9.320699BE@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 21:49:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
References: <3AEB27C8.7C030D92@IEEE.org> <20010429210954.06566.00001589@nso-
fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988598573
64.240.47.131 (29 Apr 2001 21:42:53 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20559

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Sooo, if you have a response that is germane to the
> discussion at hand, let's hear it.

I do believe my personal backpacking experiences are germane to the
backpacking discussion, Larry. Since you disagree, I must have hit
a nerve.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -5371260762396136514
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 19:52:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AECD3D3.417B@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcj0wx0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEC4CA5.6EF8@bellsouth.net>
<3AEC6A2A.FD30A0B8@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 22:54:11 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.57
X-Trace: news1.atl 988599169 209.214.186.57 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 22:52:49 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 22:52:49 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20560

OOOOoooo, Cecil! That was mean! LOL! I walked right into that one!

73

Jerry

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > I know that many visits to "questionable" stations were done around here
> > by FCC agents and US Marshals. Is this common practice around the country?
>
> Probably just around your house, Jerry. :-)
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3064921745999814135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 20:19:15 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 03:18:52 GMT
Message-ID: <3aecd275.1812179@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3ae962d3.38726647@news2.dvol.com> <20010427192718.26589.00000708@nso-mb.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.16
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.16
X-Trace: 29 Apr 2001 22:15:17 -0500, 64.24.18.16
Lines: 49
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20561

On 27 Apr 2001 23:27:18 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>
>What about 1936 standards and practices? I just used technology
>as a point of reference...most in here weren't alive yet in 1936.
>

Quoting Lenny here: "Dick Carroll wants to return to the past for the
"simpler" time of US amateur radio . . . He can do so using only
ordinary, less-advanced vacuum tube technology of the 1930s, . . .
he will have to depend on OOK CW when using simpler equipment . . "

>
>I'm puzzled by the "scads of ops back into cw" as the "reason"
>(implied) for the FT-817's success.
>

No, you have it ass backward as usual Lenny, it's the success of the
817 which is apparently luring a noticeable number of ops into running
cw who don't normally run the mode.

>
>It is all-mode (allocated) and
>works all the way up to 70 cm (missing the 220 band) but is only
>5 W maximum PEP. It is advertised as a "back-packer" sort of
>portable. Do back-packing amateurs prefer CW over other modes?
>And why?
>

Hey Lenny, remind me sometime to get you up to speed on the realities of advertising. I venture to guess that 99% of the 817s sold never get anywhere near the trails. To answer the core question five watt radios don't squirt much of a signal on the HF bands so cw is preferable to the other modes for blatently obvious reasons.
>
>The FT-817 won't fit into a shirt pocket but maybe a coat pocket.
>Hopefull two pockets on the coat since the other pocket has to
>hold the key and antenna wire. [it is 5.3"W x 1.5"H x 6.5"D]
>
. . . I have a couple two-pocket Eddie Bauer denim shirts . .
>
>Was this all-mode, "all-band," portability state of the art in 1956?
>If so, or not, how did such technology affect standards and
>practices of that time, a whole generation after Maxim's demise?
>
Beats me, why would anybody care Lenny??
>
>How is that applicable to 1966 standards and practices and the
>alleged inability to govern the US ARS from Newington?
>
See immediately preceeding.
>
w3rv

From 5683421253470146867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 20:44:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politics.misc

```
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /w3Za/AgvBLmFIjbOOkVySzwzEHiEPT9nkcmHCzpaCOBufH3hH/nkn9+D3kg/vXkeeH5RdoT/
T92!7CZ53fn09TE4bf6fMym3Ng1/dTSCCDDrvaoQxfuDMsnA497BPET8XOJhczBEV690BJ+gyJ6pu9ab!
zhcd
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 03:42:17 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 03:42:17 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:248982 alt.law-enforcement:35793
alt.politics.bush:279324 alt.rush-limbaugh:94540 misc.survivalism:58381
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20562 talk.politics.guns:190205 talk.politics.misc:213585

What the hell is this? Do we have an espionage
group passing commo via the Internet?

As per Rec.Radio.Amateur.Policy
Screw Liberals <dx302-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com...
: Condition:  YELLOW-2
:
:      The following HF frequency rotas are in effect due to
: setting of Conditon alert YELLOW-2,  use decode Key-T3:
:
:
: FCCKQ MCMEW LFIEV VCNWF DQMFL  GKVUP ZKDWM DKXMZ ZLEHV CDMRI
:
:




From 2511367351659337984
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 20:45:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 03:43:55 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
```

Lines: 66
Message-ID: <3aeccc40.3932407@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<3ae96924.2456370@news.mindspring.com> <3AEA3E99.A4D87423@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.ec
X-Server-Date: 30 Apr 2001 03:44:00 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20563

On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 23:53:28 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> Dwight...pay attention carefully...
>>
>> Even assuming such an all enclusive list can
>> be generated... JUST HOW DOES THE COP GET TO
>> SEE THE RADIO IN A PERSON'S HOME. The cop
>> has NO right of access. You continue to
>> ignore that vital step needed to look at
>> any radio in a person's home.
>
> No, Bill, I have a better idea - you pay careful attention (because
>I've answered that very question at least seven or eight times in this
>thread already). According to Title 47, Sec. 95.426, an operator must
>make his station available for inspection upon request, which includes
>all of the radio equipment used. That, Bill, is how the cop gets to
>see the radio in a person's home.

And as Phil and others have pointed out...that ability
is NOT passed on to the locals.

And as I have pointed out, without PROOF of operation,
there is NO access.

>> And as Phil Kane noted before...the cop has NO
>> right to insect any station at all. Indeed,
>> the person could simply state: "I have NO station
>> of any kind."
>
>   Title 47, Sec. 95.426 (and please don't ask what happens if the
>operator refuses to allow the inspection - I've answered that several
>times before also)

And yet you IGNORE the reality that it is perfectly
OK to refuse.

>> The rules of law, prosecution, etc still prevail.
>> Assuming someone is "charged", the person can always
>> enlist the help of an attorney. Indeed, I suspect
>> that would happen far more than you think.
>
> We're just going round and round in circles, Bill (and Phil). All
>of the stuff raised in the last few messages has been discussed in
>this thread before (much of it several times), so why keep repeating
>the same stuff? I, for one, have better things to do. So, with that
>in mind, I give up - you're right, Bill (and Phil), HR-2346 is
>completely unenforceable.

Thanks. And it seems that there's no rush to put local
enforsment efforts on the books anywhere.

>The attorneys at the FCC who helped to
>write HR-2346 were wrong. The attorneys on capital hill who sponsored
>the bill were wrong. The attorneys on capital hill who voted to pass
>the bill were wrong. The attorneys who handed the bill to the
>president to sign were wrong. All of that effort was a complete waste
>of time - the new law is absolutely unenforceable.

I agree!

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 7426614261038090321
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 20:45:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 03:43:54 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <3aecc805.2848887@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>

<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.ec
X-Server-Date: 30 Apr 2001 03:43:59 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20564

On Fri, 27 Apr 2001 23:24:19 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Phil Kane wrote:
>>
>>   Wide open to the "dude defense" -- "its
>> not me, officer, it's some dude elsewhere".
>> No conclusive evidence that the alleged unlawful
>> nonconforming signals are coming from that
>> station, no case. Period.
>
> Phil, I don't think it really matters what the person claims.
>According to Title 47, Sec. 95.426, the operator must allow an
>inspection of his station upon request, which would include all radio
>equipment used.

Dwight:

What IF the person sez..."I don't have ANY such station. The
FCC only has a right to "inspect" if it knows or otherwise can
PROVE there is a station in the residence.

> If, during that inspection, an illegal device is
>discovered attached his station (one indication, in addition to the
>neighbor's complaint, that it has been used), the use of the illegal
>device in itself is a violation under HR-2346.

As before...
What IF the person sez..."I don't have ANY such station. The
FCC only has a right to "inspect" if it knows or otherwise can
PROVE there is a station in the residence.

> At that point, it
>really doesn't matter where the original non-conforming signal came
>from. Now, we could sit here and argue for days whether a device
>attached to a station, along with an interference complaint, is enough
>to prove that the device has been used, but neither of us will be
>certain until a number of these HR-2346 cases are resolved in the courts.

So far there isn't ANY such reliance on HR-2346 at all...thankfully.

>>   Dwight - analysis of enforcement cases for

>> sufficiency before they are brought is MY bag -
>> I was the Commission's expert on such things
>> for years and taught it at the Academy and in
>> OJT.
>
> I understand that, Phil. However, as I said earlier, these cases
>will be somewhat different than the ones you were involved with at the
>FCC (misdemeanor, smaller fines, etc).

You got that right. Whatever mistakes may have
been involved with actual FCC enforcement personnel
are far more likly to happen with local law enforcement.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -201184051650147490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 20:45:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 03:43:57 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3aecd10b.5159284@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AEB33A3.DE296F6A@IEEE.org> <20010429120022.13582.00001480@ng-
cs1.aol.com> <3AEC706D.A458ED5F@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.43.ec
X-Server-Date: 30 Apr 2001 03:44:01 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20565

On Sun, 29 Apr 2001 14:50:05 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>
>> >Ed, Bill, and I all rote-memorized the Q&A to pass our first exams.
>>
>> No, Cecil, I did a combination of studying, memorizing, lucky guessing and just
>> plain hoping that some subjects would not appear on my exam.
>

>I didn't say *all* we did was rote-memorize, Ed. Question is: Would you have
>passed without any rote-memorization at all? Careful now, I don't know any
>way to know the band edges without simply rote-memorizing them.

And there were 14 schematics I memorized so I could
regurgitate them on the test...and, indeed, there were
five EXACT such questions on my test.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 3349239158536992094
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 21:02:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Kenneth Coney <superc@visuallink.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politics.misc
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 23:59:27 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3AECE31F.BE58701B@visuallink.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 24
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:248996 alt.law-enforcement:35798 alt.politics.bush:279351 alt.rush-limbaugh:94547 misc.survivalism:58383

rec.radio.amateur.policy:20566 talk.politics.guns:190207 talk.politics.misc:213595

Yup. Go to some of their fringe web sites. Some of them have encrypted
home pages. Need the code and the key just to log in. Nothing new
here.   What you got is probably a reference to a decryption of a packet
radio signal. Or someone is jerking the NSA's chain.   ;)

N9NWO wrote:

```
> What the hell is this? Do we have an espionage
> group passing commo via the Internet?
>
> As per Rec.Radio.Amateur.Policy
> Screw Liberals <dx302-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
> news:988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com...
> : Condition:  YELLOW-2
> :
> :      The following HF frequency rotas are in effect due to
> : setting of Conditon alert YELLOW-2,  use decode Key-T3:
> :
> :
> : FCCKQ MCMEW LFIEV VCNWF DQMFL  GKVUP ZKDWM DKXMZ ZLEHV CDMRI
> :
> :
```

```
From 6191254832140631529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 21:45:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Date: 30 Apr 2001 04:45:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9ciql1$m0n$0@208.207.71.138>
References: <20010428112042.05666.00001336@nso-fo.aol.com>
<20010429113655.13582.00001473@ng-cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20567
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >>>How is that applicable to 1966 standards and practices and the
> >>>alleged inability to govern the US ARS from Newington?
>
> >>ARRL does not govern the ARS, so its alleged ability to do so is moot.
>
> >One cannot deny the fact that the ARRL has a great deal of influence
> >with the FCC when it comes to the regulation of the ARS.
>
> I do not believe that the ARRL's influence extends so far that I would choose
> the word "governing" in describing it.

No, but it certainly would be helpful if the word "influence' Applied.

From -519406320465757515
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 21:50:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Date: 30 Apr 2001 04:50:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9ciqu7$m0n$1@208.207.71.138>
References: <9ceh9t$c3l$0@208.206.142.67> <20010429114854.13582.00001476@ng-cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20568

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >But the official attitude
> >of "let's load it up with warm bodies at all costs" is dangerous and
> >destructive.

>
> I know of no official attitude that says that, Dick. Can you provide any quotes
> or references to anything you consider "official?"
>

Oh, Balderdash!!! every ARRL official who has spoken on the issue has
said some version of it! You are NOT doing your credibility any good by
ignoring it all.

```
From -6828427121757377395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 21:51:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 30 Apr 2001 04:51:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9cir10$m0n$2@208.207.71.138>
References: <9cf8b4$fi$0@208.207.71.134> <20010429115321.13582.00001478@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20569
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Yes, the facts are that hams in my era passed their General exams by a
> >> combination of studying, memorizing, guessing and just plain hoping a
> >subject
> >> or two didn't appear on their exam.
>
> > You left out one - Learning.
>
> I thought folks would reason that learning resulted from the studying part of
> my opinion.
>
>

NOt if they were memorizing the questions and answers, as severall of

```
you have insisted.


From -8029921782206854828
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 22:28:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.news.qwest.net!
news.uswest.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Br. Roulle" <borgbuster@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-
limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politic
s.misc
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <EI6H6.967$532.574192@news.uswest.net>
Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 23:26:57 -0600
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.224.81.189
X-Trace: news.uswest.net 988608420 63.224.81.189 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 00:27:00 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 00:27:00 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:249036 alt.law-enforcement:35835
alt.politics.bush:279440 alt.rush-limbaugh:94571 misc.survivalism:58405
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20570 talk.politics.guns:190240 talk.politics.misc:213626

"N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> What the hell is this? Do we have an espionage
> group passing commo via the Internet?
>
> As per Rec.Radio.Amateur.Policy
> Screw Liberals <dx302-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
```

> news:988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com...
> : Condition: YELLOW-2
> :
> :   The following HF frequency rotas are in effect due to
> : setting of Conditon alert YELLOW-2, use decode Key-T3:
> :
> :
> : FCCKQ MCMEW LFIEV VCNWF DQMFL GKVUP ZKDWM DKXMZ ZLEHV CDMRI
> :
> :
>
>
>

My guess is that someone doesn't want you to know what they are doing ...
R

From -2098891476915039299
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 22:45:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AECCCB2.4DB5B56E@dirtball.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 63
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <ZZ6H6.97359$_P.26800202@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 05:45:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988609529 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 29 Apr 2001 22:45:29
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 29 Apr 2001 22:45:29 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15464
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20571

Are you nut bags magnetically attracted or something? You certainly come
out of the wood work when one of your fellow mentally deficient somewhat
humans say something stupid.

Think of it this way, they LEVEL buildings with far less explosive than what he was believed to have in the truck.

There was video proof of him driving the truck that was known to be the point of the blast.

He had receipts for the deisel and fertiliser, not to mention he was in possesion of other bomb making materials when he was picked up.

Did you think that perhaps there wasn't an ATF or FBI office in the building?

And all that aside, he admitted to the bombing!

--
Rob St.Denis

"Oris Grim" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
news:3AECCCB2.4DB5B56E@dirtball.com...
> I rate Tim McVeigh on the same level as Lee Harvey Oswell. He is no more
> than a government scape goat. I don't believe for one minute that a
> truck bomb cause that much damage to that building. Why were all the
> other government employees in the building and not one FBI agent or ATF
> terriost in the building at the time of the explosion? How come there
> was no other devastation to surrounding buildings. Mighty big explosion
> to take down a whole building and just that one. There where reports of
> other charges in the building then nothing else heard about them (one
> way or another). I do believe that there was a truck bomb and the
> government terrorists new it was coming. I don't think that that truck
> bomb could of caused that much damage. What about the rumors about the
> the no longer need for the ATF? Could this be the way to save a no
> longer needed government terrorist agency? Why was the building so
> quickly removed? I would of liked to see more investagation of the way
> the building came down.
>   Yes people died I wonder how many lives where saved by this
> dastardly deed. In standoffs with the United States terrorists (FBI,
> ATF, DEA) since the building was blown up the government has been less
> likely to use deadly force like Ruby ridge and WACO! Its funny how
> people don't remember the stand off in Montana weeks after the bombing.
> Nobody was killed. Thus the press doesn't have a field day with and thus
> we the American (sheep) public don't remember or even know about it. To
> the people in OK I'm sorry that you have lost loved ones and I'm sorry
> that April 14 is such a bad day for that part of the nation but the
> death of Tim McVeigh isn't the end of this and isn't true justice. To
> put it in a nutshell I don't beleive for one minute that his bomb did
> that much damage (it was a inside job)!
> This is my opinion.
>   73 From Oris
>
> Bill Hammack wrote:
>
> > Timothy McVeigh is scheduled to be murdered via forced injection

```
> >  of a poisonous substance on the 16th day of May anno Domini 2001
> >  at precisely 7:00 AM EST at the U.S. penitentiary on Rural Route
> >  21 Terre Haute, IN 47802; USGS coordinates 87W27:05.0 39N24:59.5
> >  (Terre Haute, Vigo County, one of 77 counties on EST year-round)
>




From 3404285499146127361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 23:02:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: Az_Redneck
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-
limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politic
s.misc
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 05:59:33 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3aecff1e.40614168@news.c2i2.com>
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<EI6H6.967$532.574192@news.uswest.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 29
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:249045 alt.law-enforcement:35841
alt.politics.bush:279463 alt.rush-limbaugh:94576 misc.survivalism:58411
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20572 talk.politics.guns:190257 talk.politics.misc:213635

On Sun, 29 Apr 2001 23:26:57 -0600, "Br. Roulle"
<borgbuster@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
>news:ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
```

```
>> What the hell is this? Do we have an espionage
>> group passing commo via the Internet?
>>
>> As per Rec.Radio.Amateur.Policy
>> Screw Liberals <dx302-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
>> news:988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com...
>> : Condition:  YELLOW-2
>> :
>> :      The following HF frequency rotas are in effect due to
>> : setting of Conditon alert YELLOW-2,  use decode Key-T3:
>> :
>> :
>> : FCCKQ MCMEW LFIEV VCNWF DQMFL  GKVUP ZKDWM DKXMZ ZLEHV CDMRI
>> :
>> :
>>
>>
>>
>
>My guess is that someone doesn't want you to know what they are doing ...
>R
>
>
And is there a problem with that?  :)


From 541564763116912337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 23:29:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <3aec9776$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104300610370.5578-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<3ae96924.2456370@news.mindspring.com> <3AEA3E99.A4D87423@sccoast.net>
<uGrG6.1620$SZ5.136065@www.newsranger.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282244310.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcj16p1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3aec9776$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
```

Lines: 54
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 06:29:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988612196 12.80.44.47 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 06:29:56 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 06:29:56 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20573

On Sun, 29 Apr 2001, Larry Klose wrote:
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.gcj16p1.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> > On Sat, 28 Apr 2001 22:45:33 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
> >
> > >On Sat, 28 Apr 2001, K0HB wrote:
> > >> I'll be surprised if it eventually leads to a single conviction.
> > >> Hell, I'll be surprised if it even leads to a single court case!
> > >
> > >I do believe that it will lead to a court case: Of some FCC
> > >licenseholder suing a municipality over having taken the law too far
> > >(i.e. having been arrested as being an "illegal CB'er" when operating
> > >properly within a licensed service he holds a license for).
> >
> >   I'd love to take a case like that...Sect 1983 also provides that
> >   the loser city/county/state pay attorney's fees. And I won't come
> >   cheap......
>
> Section 1983? What is the CFR or USC cite?

The full cite is "42 USC 1983" - which is the right of a citizen (or other person) to recover civil damages against a government entity for the infringement of one or more Constitutional rights on account of malice or extreme negligence by that entity in not preventing the rights violation while carrying out some other duty. Usually the violation comes about by a policy, custom, or usage which does not sufficently protect the right, including a specific failure to train the government entity's personnel regarding law, regulation, or criminal procedure, or intentionally training them in a procedure which regularly violates a right.

Feel free to read the actual text of the statute for the proper legal definitions, etc.....

A real example would be that of a city attorney simply sending notice of a criminal misdeameanor filing to the defendant, using regular U.S. mail to an address for the defendant supplied by the alleged victim without any step to actually ascertain that the address used does in fact pertain to the defendant. In addition to being defective process service (i.e. no proof of delivery), should the address be incorrect, it violates the defendant's Sixth Amendment right of notification ("nature and cause of the accusation") should a subsequent bench warrant for "failure to appear" be issued.

[The real case I am thinking of resulted in an immediate dismissal with prejudice once the defendant had been made aware some 3 years later of the complaint. Since misdeameanor complaints only have a 120 period of limitations for service in the State involved, the "speedy trial" and many other violations occurred as well.]

In the radio context, a problem would be an improper seizure of equipment (e.g. a 10m rig possessed by a licensed amateur) under this "local CB enforcement authority" because the local cop was never trained on the difference, or was trained and simply didn't care (the latter being a stronger case).

From -1487157855701197559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-29 23:32:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <20010429223436.24866.00000488@nso-cu.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104300631060.5578-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104290529360.3731-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <20010429223436.24866.00000488@nso-cu.aol.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 25
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 06:32:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988612320 12.80.44.47 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 06:32:00 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 06:32:00 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20574

On 30 Apr 2001, Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104290529360.3731-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
> >Considering current law:
> > It's nice that Congress has co-delegated authority over enforcement of
> >the CB radio service to local law enforcement (upon local laws to fill in the
> >gaps being created), but the problem is that if the federal regulations
> >continue
> >to say that inspection need only be granted upon FCC request, there will be
> >no

> >authority for local law enforcement to act: Without inspection, how can they
> >legally move upon "probable cause" to the enforcement action. They're barred
> >from a fundamental step needed in the evidence gathering process.
>
> Would it be difficult to have a local police officer accompany an
> FCC field office inspection person? :-)

That defeats the purpose (delegation) of HR-2346, now doesn't it?

> I don't think any non-attorney opinions on this are valid in here.
> A former State Department employee has ruled that all such
> discussions are inadmissable in this newsgroup. :-)
>
>

From 8159994794612818925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 03:33:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 10:32:59 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3aed3ec9.1200239@news.mindspring.com>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<3ae96924.2456370@news.mindspring.com> <3AEA3E99.A4D87423@sccoast.net>
<uGrG6.1620$SZ5.136065@www.newsranger.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282244310.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcj16p1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3aec9776$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104300610370.5578-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.73.14
X-Server-Date: 30 Apr 2001 10:33:33 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20575

On Mon, 30 Apr 2001 06:29:56 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
>-------
>In the radio context, a problem would be an improper seizure of equipment (e.g.
>a 10m rig possessed by a licensed amateur) under this "local CB enforcement
>authority" because the local cop was never trained on the difference, or was
>trained and simply didn't care (the latter being a stronger case).

In reality, it is perfectly legal to OWN a 10m rig without being
an amatuer. Many of us did so before we actually were
licensed. The issue, as always, comes back to USE (i.e. operation).
Simple possession of a "legal" ham rig wouldn't stand up in
court without concurrant USE of that rig as an illegal
CB operator.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 787407279682330824
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 03:45:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 10:44:56 GMT
References: <20010429210955.06566.00001590@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL employee of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010430064456.13449.00001653@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20576

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Larry, let's see you take five LSI chips and make a ham radio out
>>of them.

>I'm sure that 5 LSI chips may be two or three more
>than I need, if I knew what kind to get and what to do with them.

>I can also have a CW QSO at 20 WPM, a PSK31 QSO
>at 60 WPM, and a RTTY QSO also at 60 WPM -- all within a few
>minutes of each other and using less than 25 watts of power into the
>indoor dipole I use from my 3rd floor apartment. Now that's *real
>ham* stuff in action!

In our far distant past, hams were focused on being able to design and build
equipment using the technology of the day. If Larry, our most elite, has lost
that ability, are we all doomed to obscurity?

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4833559736239916112
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 03:48:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 10:47:46 GMT
References: <20010429121352.03899.00001668@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010430064746.13449.00001654@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20577

>From: j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar)

>My views are as they have always been, the cheaper it gets the less its
>wanted.

Why do people buy more when items are on sale than when they are sold at the regular price?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4538598909021326000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 03:51:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 10:50:40 GMT
References: <20010429121352.03899.00001668@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010430065040.13449.00001655@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20578

>From: j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar)

> Amateur radio is almost being offered at a give away and the sales are down.
> I bet that 1% percent increase would have been higher if everything was
> left the way it was.

If there was a 1% increase, sales are up, Jim, not down.

The record does not support your conclusion. Prior to restructuring, there has been about a 0.5% decrease in the number of hams. If you could see a 1% increase as "sales are down," then you can imagine the "precipitous drop" that was forcast by some with that 0.5% decrease. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2085723709090482485
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 03:52:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 10:51:48 GMT
References: <3AEC706D.A458ED5F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010430065148.13449.00001656@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20579

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

> Question is: Would you have passed without any rote-memorization at all?
> Careful now, I don't know any way to know the band edges without simply
> rote-memorizing them.

According to some, I could have learned to recognize the correct answer when I saw it. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4841637453618547020
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 03:55:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 10:54:33 GMT
References: <9cir10$m0n$2@208.207.71.138>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010430065433.13449.00001657@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20580

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>NOt if they were memorizing the questions and answers, as severall of
>you have insisted.

You mean several of "them," don't you, Dick?  I believe that people passed
their exams by a combination of studying, memorizing, lucky guessing and just
plain hoping that a few subjects did not appear on their exam.

Did you really mean me when you used the term "severall of you?"  If so, was
your misuse accidental, deliberate or did you really see only the word
memorizing when you have read the several times I have had to repost my views
to clarify them to you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -3702717229177448387
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 03:57:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 10:57:13 GMT
References: <9ciqu7$m0n$1@208.207.71.138>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Message-ID: <20010430065713.13449.00001658@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20581
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I know of no official attitude that says that, Dick. Can you provide any
>quotes
>> or references to anything you consider "official?"

>Oh, Balderdash!!! every ARRL official who has spoken on the issue has
>said some version of it! You are NOT doing your credibility any good by
>ignoring it all.

I know of no ARRL official that has said that Amateur Radio needs warm bodies at all costs. I have heard them say that continued growth is important to the health of the Amateur Radio service in the face of increasing spectrum pressures.

I am sure the same words were spoken before you; did you really hear them the way you have twisted them here?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -5119892408598837586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 03:59:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 10:58:57 GMT
References: <9ciql1$m0n$0@208.207.71.138>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Message-ID: <20010430065857.13449.00001659@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20582

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I do not believe that the ARRL's influence extends so far that I would
>choose
>> the word "governing" in describing it.

> No, but it certainly would be helpful if the word "influence' Applied.

That is why I used the word "influence" in my post, Dick...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1142635408212593245
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 04:01:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 11:00:49 GMT
References: <3AEC397E.D8502AFA@ev1.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010430070049.13449.00001660@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20583

>From: A Marzo aerome@ev1.net

>Figures some snot nosed kid would come in and try to tell all the old pros
>(timers) how to improve!

I think his approach was constructive and meant to be helpful. If he is an
example of the next generation of hams, I think our future is secure.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6541505788223789207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 04:03:15 PST

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 07:03:50 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.192
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988628594 30908 207.144.227.192 (30 Apr 2001 11:03:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 11:03:14 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15468
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20584

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
> > Please, give me an example of a "victimless crime."
>
> Me smoking a joint in the privacy of my own home.
>
> [snip]
>
> I'm retired and living alone, Kevin. Please explain
> how me having a joint alone in the privacy of my own
> home causes anyone to suffer. When I was married and
> living in CA, my wife and I smoked pot regularly. It
> enhanced our sex life and contributed to our marital
> bliss. We were responsible adults and only smoked it
> at night after the kids had gone to bed. Drugs can be
> used responsibly or irresponsibly, just like guns.

And when was the last time the police kicked in your door and busted you for smoking a "joint," Cecil? If that were the end of the story, I don't think a soul in the world would care. However, the drug problem doesn't end with just that "joint" in the home. For example, somebody had to transport that drug for you to smoke - and we all know the problems associated with the illegal drug trade. Likewise, for every person you can show who uses drugs as responsibly as you claim, I can show ten others who have not - our jails are full of them (so your example of responsible drug use is certainly not at all the norm).

By the way, when your said that "drugs [plural] can be used responsibly," are you saying that all drugs can be used responsibly, or just the one drug used in your example? I've noticed in previous discussions that you like to offer only one example (marijuana) when advocating the legalization of plural drugs. If your intent is to advocate the legalization of plural drugs, lets get off this marijuana smoke-screen and talk about the more serious drugs. For example, I'd really love to see you explain how crack, cocaine, or heroin, can be used responsibly.

Anyway, back to your comments. Why would one want to use marijuana to enhance sex life for marital bliss? Almost any man should be able to keep a wife happy without first using drugs to mess up her mind. And how can you say that you used marijuana responsibly when you used that illegal drug with children in your home - children who would have lost their mother and father if that illegal activity resulted in a jail sentence? Doesn't sound even remotely responsible to me.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6762190534279421150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 04:03:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 11:02:48 GMT
References: <3AEC3984.A1FF5261@mdbs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010430070248.13449.00001662@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20585

>From: Dana muck@mdbs.com

>I've suggested to the ARRL that the Field Day novice/tech
>bonus station should be replaced with a third-party station
>(operated under similar rules).

An excellent idea. I have passed this along to Tom Frenaye with a "me, too" appended.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5441521963735232727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 05:59:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 12:58:29 GMT
References: <20010429223438.24866.00000489@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Message-ID: <20010430085829.25851.00002835@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20586

>Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 04/29/01 10:34 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Please note words I used such as "almost," "de facto," and
>in putting the word "ruling" in quotation marks.

It's pretty irritating when someone ignores that, isn't it, Lennie? Don't know how many times I have done THAT VERY THING only to have YOU tear it apart as "fact" or "precise".

Perhaps if you will follow ONE set of "Message Point" rules we can all exchange comments on the same basis?

>People have been turned away from the ARRL through many
>factors:

No one, to the best of my knowledge, has EVER "been turned away" from the ARRL. Now if you mean they have been "put off" or disenfranchised", perhaps you might be a bit more accurate.

>Was that due to some (mythical
>or mystical) qualities of leadership or was it due more to a
>constant self-promotional propaganda using its publishing
>arm to persuade membership? I'll let someone outside the
>ARRL determine that although it seems clear which one it
>was to me.

Perhaps they simply delivered that which they promised.

>>Surely you see the difference.

>Between 2001 and 1936? Absolutely.

>Please don't call me Surely.

Well Surely you can tell the difference between your opinion and facts?

I doubt it, but it's worth a try.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 1074692539246613168
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 06:10:36 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 13:10:06 GMT
Message-ID: <3aed6317.38840918@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010428080922.02960.00001117@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010428112042.05666.00001336@nso-fo.aol.com> <3AEB27C8.7C030D92@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.65
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.65
X-Trace: 30 Apr 2001 08:06:32 -0500, 64.24.18.65
Lines: 13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20587

```
On Sat, 28 Apr 2001 15:27:52 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:
>
>Well, I used to backpack within range of mountain-top repeaters,
>Larry, and FM was certainly more effective than CW.
>--
. . . some of us prefer working farther than the top of a nearby hill.

>
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv




From 7891118870019433037
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 06:31:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-
limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politic
s.misc
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <aJdH6.3913$SZ5.307877@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 09:25:26 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 13:25:26 GMT
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:249125 alt.law-enforcement:35887
alt.politics.bush:279572 alt.rush-limbaugh:94610 misc.survivalism:58442
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20588 talk.politics.guns:190312 talk.politics.misc:213700

N9NWO blurted out:

>What the hell is this? Do we have an espionage
>group passing commo via the Internet?

Naw, a bunch of dump huck dufus'es trying to pretend they're grown ups.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -4148181949486274557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 07:00:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>
<3aecc805.2848887@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: HR-2346
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <LdeH6.3961$SZ5.311572@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 10:00:11 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 14:00:11 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20589

Somebody says:

> ...the use of the illegal device in itself is a violation under HR-2346.

HR-2346 is a CB issue, not a ham radio issue.

Would all you CB-lawyer-wannabes take this cluster-phuck over to rec.radio.CB.whatever.

Thanks.

Hans

```
From 2621874087458403218
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 07:09:14 PST
Message-ID: <3AED71C2.D07C1B04@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 09:08:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
References: <20010428080922.02960.00001117@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010428112042.05666.00001336@nso-fo.aol.com> <3AEB27C8.7C030D92@IEEE.org>
<3aed6317.38840918@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.91
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988639301
64.240.47.91 (30 Apr 2001 09:01:41 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
```

```
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!dfw-feed.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!out!corp.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20590

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Well, I used to backpack within range of mountain-top repeaters,
> >Larry, and FM was certainly more effective than CW.

> . . . some of us prefer working farther than the top of a nearby hill.

The concern was safety. The closest, most reliable equipment is
probably best.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 7032511075237810036
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 07:17:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsout.onlynews.com!
news1.onlynews.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "WavokA" <wavoka3@mydeja.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-
limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politic
s.misc
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
```

```
<EI6H6.967$532.574192@news.uswest.net>
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Lines: 33
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Message-ID: <pueH6.52627$E63.678412@news1.onlynews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: onlyNews customer
X-Complaints-To: abuse@onlynews.com
X-Trace: onlyNews customer
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 07:17:57 PDT
Organization: www.onlynews.com
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 14:17:57 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:249150 alt.law-enforcement:35899
alt.politics.bush:279596 alt.rush-limbaugh:94623 misc.survivalism:58451
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20591 talk.politics.guns:190322 talk.politics.misc:213722


Br. Roulle wrote in message ...
>"N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
>news:ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
>> What the hell is this? Do we have an espionage
>> group passing commo via the Internet?
>>
>> As per Rec.Radio.Amateur.Policy
>> Screw Liberals <dx302-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
>> news:988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com...
>> : Condition:  YELLOW-2
>> :
>> :       The following HF frequency rotas are in effect due to
>> : setting of Conditon alert YELLOW-2,  use decode Key-T3:
>> :
>> :
>> : FCCKQ MCMEW LFIEV VCNWF DQMFL  GKVUP ZKDWM DKXMZ ZLEHV CDMRI
>> :
>> :
>>
>>
>>
>
>My guess is that someone doesn't want you to know what they are doing ...
>R
>
>
There are no state militias, there are only "paramilitary organizations"
that claim to be state militias.

-*MORT*-




From 7395411714869938429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 07:22:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!peer.news.verio.net.MISMATCH!iad-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Brown Thumb <BrownThumb@RemOvEtHisGrrAttitude.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-
limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politic
s.misc
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Message-ID: <tvsqetk3et1thtushv1amdaq7ek9mpv1kn@4ax.com>
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<aJdH6.3913$SZ5.307877@www.newsranger.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548 trialware
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 14:19:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.184.134.7
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 988640393 206.184.134.7 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001
14:19:53 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 14:19:53 GMT
Organization: Verio
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:249152 alt.law-enforcement:35900
alt.politics.bush:279598 alt.rush-limbaugh:94625 misc.survivalism:58453
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20592 talk.politics.guns:190324 talk.politics.misc:213724

On Mon, 30 Apr 2001 13:25:26 GMT, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:

>N9NWO blurted out:
>
>>What the hell is this? Do we have an espionage
>>group passing commo via the Internet?
>
>Naw, a bunch of dump huck dufus'es trying to pretend they're grown ups.
```

>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>

Or the encrypted key to a porn site.

From -5440226608294462775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 07:24:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AED6736.2E6A0DF7@ovis.net>
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 13:23:02 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104290529360.3731-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010429223436.24866.00000488@nso-cu.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s22.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 30 Apr 2001 10:18:12 -0400, s22.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 32
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s22.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20593

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104290529360.3731-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
> Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:
>
> >Considering current law:
> >   It's nice that Congress has co-delegated authority over enforcement of
> >the CB radio service to local law enforcement (upon local laws to fill in the
> >gaps being created), but the problem is that if the federal regulations
> >continue
> >to say that inspection need only be granted upon FCC request, there will be
> >no
> >authority for local law enforcement to act: Without inspection, how can they
> >legally move upon "probable cause" to the enforcement action. They're barred
> >from a fundamental step needed in the evidence gathering process.
>
> Would it be difficult to have a local police officer accompany an
> FCC field office inspection person? :-)
>
> I don't think any non-attorney opinions on this are valid in here.
> A former State Department employee has ruled that all such
> discussions are inadmissable in this newsgroup. :-)

Per my response to Kim: You can have all of the opinions you like whether they are based in fact or knowledge or not. You can form an opinion based upon revelations divined from sitting under a pyramid while holding a crystal in your palm. There are in existence numerous bad or invalid opinions. :-)

Didja get that Extra out of the box yet?

Dave K8MN

```
From 7678510127498569264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 07:33:26 PST
Message-ID: <3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 09:32:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.91
X-Trace: corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp 988640746
64.240.47.91 (30 Apr 2001 09:25:46 -0500)
Lines: 58
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!crp!anonymous!127.0.0.1!crp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15473 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20594

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> And when was the last time the police kicked in your door and busted you for smoking a "joint," Cecil? If that were the end of the story, I don't think a soul in the world would care. However, the drug problem doesn't end with just that "joint" in the home.

That's a Catch-22, Dwight. "Bad things might happen because it is illegal." Sounds like "1984" to me. You could argue that the alcohol problem doesn't end with just that drink in the home.

Just because someone may use a gun irresponsibly is not a good reason to take away my guns.

Just because someone may use marijuana irresponsibly is not a good reason to take away my marijuana.

Those two arguments are conceptually identical.

> By the way, when your said that "drugs [plural] can be used responsibly," are you saying that all drugs can be used responsibly, or just the one drug used in your example? I've noticed in previous discussions that you like to offer only one example (marijuana) when advocating the legalization of plural drugs. If your intent is to advocate the legalization of plural drugs, lets get off this marijuana smoke-screen and talk about the more serious drugs. For example, I'd really love to see you explain how crack, cocaine, or heroin, can be used responsibly.

I talk about my experiences, Dwight. I've never used crack or heroin. But, when I was younger, half of Silicon Valley used cocaine. I used it responsibly. I knew it was addictive so I didn't use it often enough to become addicted. What do you think the world did before cocaine was made illegal? Coca Cola once contained cocaine. Education, knowledge, and treatment are the keys to the drug problem, not the war on drugs which has turned out to be a war on private citizens.

> And how can you say that you used marijuana responsibly when you used that illegal drug with children in your home - children who would have lost their mother and father if that illegal activity resulted in a jail sentence? Doesn't sound even remotely responsible to me.

Again, just because unfair laws exist doesn't make it right. There is wholesale violation of the drug laws and citizens are losing respect for the government that is enforcing them. Most of my friends at all the CA companies I worked for used illegal drugs. Do you avoid oral sex with your wife just because it is illegal? Do you teach your kids that missionary style is the only proper position for sex?

```
The purpose of the drug laws is not to keep people from using drugs.
Their purpose is power, control, and confiscation of private property.
If we don't stand up for our rights, we are going to lose them.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2743903159030769154
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 07:37:53 PST
Message-ID: <3AED6A28.A267DAFC@ovis.net>
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 13:35:36 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net> <MlYG6.81$LO6.11929@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s22.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 30 Apr 2001 10:30:46 -0400, s22.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 96
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!
pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s22.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20595

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net...
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
> > > >
> > > > > ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47 CFR 97.503(a) are unnecessary, bad
> public
> > > > > policy, and not in conformity with 5 USC 706.
> > > > >
```

> > > > > The FCC is moving right along with its housecleaning work, now time
> for
> > > > > President GW Bush and Secretary Powell to review President Clinton
> "war
> > > on
> > > > > drug" aerial combat polices.
> > > > >
> > > > > Larry KC8EPO
> > > >
> > > > This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if any
> > > > experience in either field.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Leaving out whatever this thread has been about, who the authors are,
> etc.,
> > > etc. Should one presume that it is being suggested we must all be
> political
> > > science majors, have worked in public policy groups, be board approved
> as an
> > > attorney, etc., before we are entitled to have opinions now? In the
> United
> > > States, *experience* is not required for us to vote and have opinions.
> > >
> > > Kim - W5TIT
> >
> >
> > It would be difficult to make such a presumption since context is
> > important to the issue. A man who is not an attorney but who regularly
> > posts as if he was an expert on law probably will likely not be taken
> > very seriously. In this case, the man who frequently does so has tried
> > to link the tragic shoot-down in Peru to morse code testing. He has
> > proclaimed that bad U.S. policy ties the two issues. He also added his
> > personal eulogy bemoaning the late missionary radio amateur's denied HF
> > access in her home country (as if being shot from the sky wasn't enough
> > of a reason to mourn her).
> >
> > Anyone in the U.S. is entitled to an opinion--even if it is based on
> > flawed thinking and lack of information. Those who reach eighteen years
> > of age may vote. That vote can be cast based on flawed thinking and
> > lack of information. No one is forced to read a newspaper, a news
> > magazine or even to watch what passes for news on TV. Those of us who
> > stayed awake during civics class in school remember that we have the
> > responsibility to make informed choices. No one forces us to carry out
> > that responsibility. Stupidity has not been banned so we are also free
> > to make bad or poor choices.
> >
> > We don't vote on each local, state or national issue. We elect
> > representatives who vote on these for us. So, Kim, you need not be a
> > political science major or graduate nor do you need to be an attorney
> > before holding opinions. You should have some idea of what is going on
> > before you form those opinions.
> >

> > So, in your comments regarding the thread, do you see a link between
> > morse testing and the death of a missionary woman who happened to hold
> > an amateur radio license? Do you agree or disagree with Larry's attempt
> > to use the issue of the shoot down as a means of delivering additional
> > anti-morse code testing rhetoric?
> >
> > Think carefully....
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> My submission to the newsgroup was not dependent upon topic or credentials.
> My post to the newsgroup was on the line: "This is most interesting coming
> from a fellow with little if any experience in either field." It seems that
> most of your observations above, in direct response to my comment, would
> agree with my comments. It seems that your admonition (if you will) was
> more out of emotional response to something you disagreed with. Thank you.
>
> Kim W5TIT

I found little in your comment to agree with since it consisted mostly
of a question. Certainly your post addressed the material concerning
credentials because you questioned whether specific credentials should
be required.

Let's see if we happen to be in agreement. Would you agree to the
statement, "Larry Klose has no experience as an attorney, no experience
in the formulation of U.S. foreign or domestic policy and little
experience as a radio amateur."?

Dave K8MN

From -1784014702532316572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 09:24:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 16:23:41 GMT
References: <pueH6.52627$E63.678412@news1.onlynews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Message-ID: <20010430122341.03374.00003351@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20596

>Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
>From: "WavokA" wavoka3@mydeja.com

>Date: 04/30/01 10:17 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>There are no state militias, there are only "paramilitary organizations"
>that claim to be state militias.

I beg to differ. Please refer to "www.sgaus.org." This is the site for the State Guard Association of the United States.

Many states (of which Tennessee is one) have state militias such as the "Tennessee State Guard", "Georgia Defense Force", etc, that are LEGALLY CHARTERED state militias and organized under the authority of the Adjutant General of the various states.

These organizations recognize the Governor as the Commander in Chief of thier specific organization, have NO federal role, and may not be deployed or mustered as federal units, and comply with the various orders and regulations of the parent force, usually the National Guard.

They are the ONLY legal "militias" in the United States, unless you care to also count the United States Coast Guard Auxiliary and the United States Air Force Auxiliary (CAP) as part of those organizations. Both are "paramilitary" organizations, but DO have a federal role and are under the control of the parent organization. (USCG and USAF)

Paratus et Vigilans

73

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

From 6095336791805727329
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 10:40:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 10:41:24 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3AEDA3C4.5B0D29AA@uci.edu>
References: <3AE9BB54.CC53B910@uci.edu> <20010427183004.03074.00001352@ng-mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20597
```

```
W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
> >> Which one was said to me?
>
> >Is it more, or less refined when it is said to someone else?
>
> It is equally reprehensible, of course.
>
> One I read; the other I didn't.
>
> Which one did you criticize?
```

Neither. That particular expression is one of the more inert ones I've seen used here. Now if on the other hand he had called you a doofus, then that would have made me really mad. :-)

73, Jim AC6XG

```
From 408303483112465827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 10:47:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!peer.news.verio.net.MISMATCH!iad-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gck5bz0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
<9cege6$976$0@208.206.142.67> <3AEBB9F3.834AE83B@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 26
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:47:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
```

X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988652863 63.178.67.78 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:47:43 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:47:43 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20598

On Sun, 29 Apr 2001 02:51:48 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

> You're right. And we also love to assign blame today. Few seem to understand that accidents happen all the time, but that does not necessarily mean someone at fault. It reminds me of the woman who sued the city because a tree limb fell on her during a wind storm (the tree was on city property). I cannot imagine how anyone could hold the city responsible for a wind storm or tree limb. However, the court did exactly that - the woman won.

Standard tort law - a property owner has a duty of care toward passersby or persons on the property - lawfully or otherwise - to maintain the trees in such condition that a wind storm would not break off a limb and cause injury.

This is the kind of case that everyone studies in first-year law school.

(I'm surprised that the city didn't settle outright - that's why cities carry insurance. Perhaps the amount of the claim was outrageous and therefore the city litigated THAT issue.)

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -1991299598699939238
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 10:53:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
In-Reply-To: <3aed3ec9.1200239@news.mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301750540.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net> <3ae96924.2456370@news.mindspring.com> <3AEA3E99.A4D87423@sccoast.net> <uGrG6.1620$SZ5.136065@www.newsranger.com> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282244310.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcj16p1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>

<3aec9776$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104300610370.5578-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3aed3ec9.1200239@news.mindspring.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 22
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:53:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988653204 12.80.44.59 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:53:24 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:53:24 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20600

On Mon, 30 Apr 2001, Bill Sohl wrote:
> On Mon, 30 Apr 2001 06:29:56 GMT, "D. Stussy"
> <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
> >-------
> >In the radio context, a problem would be an improper seizure of equipment (e.g.
> >a 10m rig possessed by a licensed amateur) under this "local CB enforcement
> >authority" because the local cop was never trained on the difference, or was
> >trained and simply didn't care (the latter being a stronger case).
>
> In reality, it is perfectly legal to OWN a 10m rig without being
> an amatuer. Many of us did so before we actually were
> licensed. The issue, as always, comes back to USE (i.e. operation).
> Simple possession of a "legal" ham rig wouldn't stand up in
> court without concurrant USE of that rig as an illegal
> CB operator.

No kidding. You asked for an example. The law (HR-2346) makes USE illegal. My example intentionally showed a misapplication of the law because you asked what would constitute a violation that leads to a 42 USC 1983 suit..... Clearly, the cop in my example disregarded what the law said ("illegal use" and "FCC licenseholders exempt") and acted anyway.

From -2230888864624504254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 10:55:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: HR-2346

In-Reply-To: <LdeH6.3961$SZ5.311572@www.newsranger.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>
<3aecc805.2848887@news.mindspring.com> <LdeH6.3961$SZ5.311572@www.newsranger.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 15
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:55:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988653324 12.80.44.59 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:55:24 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:55:24 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20601

I disagree. It is an amateur issue should an amateur be "misclassified" as a CB'er and [improperly] subjected to the law. That's why some of us are bitching about it. The average person doesn't know the difference. It gets worse when considering that there is an overlap of equipment used in both services.

On Mon, 30 Apr 2001, K0HB wrote:
> Somebody says:
>
> > ...the use of the illegal device in itself is a violation under HR-2346.
>
> HR-2346 is a CB issue, not a ham radio issue.
>
> Would all you CB-lawyer-wannabes take this cluster-phuck over to
> rec.radio.CB.whatever.

From -4192217588665096669
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2607c4141694521e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,2607c4141694521e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 10:57:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "polycarbonate" <hdpe90454@hotmail.com>
From: "polycarbonate" <hdpe90454@hotmail.com>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Calif ISO Status / Procedures link
Lines: 14
Organization: celophame
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <fIhH6.2572$G77.306018@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:57:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988653451 209.204.84.71 (Mon, 30 Apr
2001 10:57:31 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 10:57:31 PDT
X-Received-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 10:56:01 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20602 rec.radio.scanner:16919
```

The following page will take you to
the emergency procedures page for
the California ISO. RACES/ARES members
and EOC's may want to review this data:

http://www.caiso.com/thegrid/operations/opsdoc/emergency/

Current status of the power grid may be viewed from
the following link:

http://www1.caiso.com/thegrid/

```
From 3386300406794786148
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 11:00:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 10:52:52 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AEDA674.E0BF9EA6@uci.edu>
References: <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
<20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20603

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> In the
> meantime, their bodies can be warehoused economically and efficiently
> in stark, isolated quarters -- given the bare essential necessities of
> life, for a mere fraction of the cost of the expensive, publicly funded
> appeals and legal acrobatics needed to keep them alive on Death Row
> for decades after their convictions.

How 'bout we do a trial run first. We'll move Charlie Manson from his
accomodations here California over to your house, and you can watch
after him 24/7, and you can pick up his tab.

Sound pretty good?

ac6xg

From 6305899915199690916
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 11:57:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 18:57:16 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Message-ID: <20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20604

>Subject: Re: HR-2346
>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>Date: 4/30/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
>
>I disagree. It is an amateur issue should an amateur be "misclassified" as a
>CB'er and [improperly] subjected to the law.

Agreed! This is one of the main reasons for the hostility some amateurs display
towards CBers.

> That's why some of us are
>bitching
>about it. The average person doesn't know the difference.

A problem the amateur radio service has suffered from for more than 30 years. The general public does not think more highly of radio amateurs because of this confusion.

> It gets worse
>when
>considering that there is an overlap of equipment used in both services.
>

Except for antennas, transmission lines and power supplies, what equipment is used in both services? CB equipment must be type accepted for that service. Modifying it for ham use means it is no longer cb gear.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -6324503954112195O6
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 12:05:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <GHiH6.4325$SZ5.354008@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 15:05:10 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:05:10 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20605

N2EY says:

>Agreed! This is one of the main reasons for the hostility some amateurs display
>towards CBers.

Why would an amateur give a rip about what CBers do? I couldn't care less, just as I don't care what FRS or GMRS or any other xxRS does. It's the FCC's job to take care of, not mine, not the local deputy Barney Fife, nor the USCG Auxiliary.

73, de Hans, K0HB

```
From 4076362283722123028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,efa2bc547fa5b539,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,efa2bc547fa5b539,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,efa2bc547fa5b539,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,efa2bc547fa5b539,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,efa2bc547fa5b539,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,efa2bc547fa5b539,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,efa2bc547fa5b539,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 12:10:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!newspeer1.nac.net!netnews.com!
xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.r
adio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio
.amateur.policy
Subject: Downloadable IOTA List - Update 30-Apr-2001
Lines: 43
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <dIiH6.2886$eZ2.305833@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:05:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.190.55
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988657545 63.30.190.55 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 12:05:45 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 12:05:45 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 12:04:16 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22016 rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8401 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2369 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12565 rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5278 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15488 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20606

Lots of STUFF to do at www.ab7sl.com such as...

Downloadable IOTA List - updated 30-Apr-2001
- on IOTA List Page of site listed above

All - NEW - W9INN antenna page!!

1. Ham Radio Links (some unusual)
2. Several Ham Radio Web Rings !
3.AB7SL Biography
4.Personal Photos
5.Station Description
6.Software Page (downloads)
7.The DX Page (w / Live - DX cluster links)
8.Downloadable IOTA List (updated weekly)
9.W7NH - Elmer Tribute
8.Prescott Arizona Photos
9.Online DX Logbook
10.3D Art Gallery (downloads)
11.Music Page (downloads)
12.Frequently Asked Questions
13.Free-For-All Links Page
14.Guestbook
15.Many Equipment Photos
16.Downloadable DXCC Countries List
17.Downloadable ITU Prefixes List
18.W9INN Antennas Page
--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

From 1387834523617516279
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 12:13:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcm2w31.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcfawc0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEA37CD.28BF5FB9@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcj0wx0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEC4CA5.6EF8@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 22
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:13:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988658029 63.178.67.78 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:13:49 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:13:49 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20607

On Sun, 29 Apr 2001 13:17:25 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>Phil,
>
>I know that many visits to "questionable" stations were done around here by FCC agents and US Marshals. Is this common practice around the country? If so, what are the reasons for having them, or other officers, acompany the agents?

The U S Marshal Service, not the FCC, executes Federal court

```
  orders (warrants for search, seizure, or arrest).

  The function of FCC agents is to advise the Marshals what
  equipment is to be seized in accordance with a search and
  seizure warrant.  The Marshals can request assistance from other
  Federal or local law enforcement officers at their discretion.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP





From 537687217416400905
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 12:13:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcm32f2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104290529360.3731-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010429223436.24866.00000488@nso-cu.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 13
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:13:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988658029 63.178.67.78 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:13:49
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:13:49 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20608

On 30 Apr 2001 02:34:36 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>Would it be difficult to have a local police officer accompany an
>FCC field office inspection person?  :-)

  The reason for the Finegold Bill was that there weren't enough
  FCC agents to go around.  If there are FCC agents on scene, why
  have the local law accompany them except to "keep the peace" while
  the FCC agents do their job??
```

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From 2438094774854628577
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 12:13:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!
iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcm4ak4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104191957500.30766-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010419205856.22371.00000182@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <3ADFB324.37ED@bellsouth.net>
<3AE11E28.AC3EBB90@sccoast.net> <3ae1e841.1960531@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE28529.33B0DD0@sccoast.net> <3ae37ac8.7074564@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE3EDFC.6AF389A6@sccoast.net> <3ae47822.11141586@news.mindspring.com>
<3AE52D96.A4F97488@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gcbdm79.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3AE6C04D.A94960BC@sccoast.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<3ae96924.2456370@news.mindspring.com> <3AEA3E99.A4D87423@sccoast.net>
<3aeccc40.3932407@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 44
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:13:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988658031 63.178.67.78 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:13:51
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:13:51 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20610
```

On Mon, 30 Apr 2001 03:43:55 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>>The attorneys at the FCC who helped to write HR-2346 were wrong.

The only function of the attorney at the FCC (with whom I have been on a first name basis for over 20 years) was to make sure that the FCC wasn't being stabbed in the back too badly by this dilution of jurisdiction (so he told me).

>>The attorneys on capital hill who sponsored the bill were wrong.

  The only consideration of the above was to mollify the good burghers
  of Beloit, Wisconsin who badgered Sen. Finegold until he put the
  original bill in. The rest of the process was the usual "you
  co-sponsor mine, I'll co-sponsor yours" bill-rolling.

  (And after all the hoopla, why hasn't Beloit passed a local
  ordinance??)

>>The attorneys on capital hill who voted to pass the bill were wrong.

  Members of The Congress know not and care less about CB
  enforcement, which to them has less importance than does
  cleaning the manhole covers in a Federal parking lot. Those
  who did even look at it saw it from the "enlightened" standpoint
  of "good, they can do the work and we won't have to pay for
  it".

>>The attorneys who handed the bill to the president to sign were wrong.

  IIRC that sort of work is done by a clerk who is performing a
  ministerial act, i.e. one mandated by law or procedure with no
  discretion or initiative required.

All of that effort was a complete waste
>>of time - the new law is absolutely unenforceable.
>
>I agree!

  Make that two.....

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From 3094961493695359130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 12:13:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!howland.erols.net!
peer.news.verio.net.MISMATCH!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcm3gu3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gccqtc4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<TKGF6.30541$B22.7098204@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AE77308.E1053326@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcdiw00.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AE8CB09.7A8025C5@sccoast.net>
<3ae96924.2456370@news.mindspring.com> <3AEA3E99.A4D87423@sccoast.net>
<uGrG6.1620$SZ5.136065@www.newsranger.com>

<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104282244310.3073-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcj16p1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3aec9776$0$88184$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10104300610370.5578-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3aed3ec9.1200239@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 19
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:13:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988658030 63.178.67.78 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:13:50 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 19:13:50 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20609

On Mon, 30 Apr 2001 10:32:59 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>In reality, it is perfectly legal to OWN a 10m rig without being
>an amatuer. Many of us did so before we actually were
>licensed. The issue, as always, comes back to USE (i.e. operation).
>Simple possession of a "legal" ham rig wouldn't stand up in
>court without concurrant USE of that rig as an illegal
>CB operator.

Under present law, it is perfectly legal to OWN a Texas Star CB
Linear Amp, as long as there is no actual or implied use.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -6446721366408451684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c

```
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 12:42:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!bignews.mediaways.net!news-fra1.dfn.de!news-
koe1.dfn.de!news-was.dfn.de!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!usenet
From: "Aaron R. Kulkis" <akulkis@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-
limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politic
s.misc
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 15:40:28 -0400
Organization: Kulkis Consulting
Lines: 76
Message-ID: <3AEDBFAC.2FCC54E8@yahoo.com>
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en]C-CCK-MCD {TLC;RETAIL}  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:249376 alt.law-enforcement:35984
alt.politics.bush:279862 alt.rush-limbaugh:94690 misc.survivalism:58512
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20611 talk.politics.guns:190411 talk.politics.misc:213866

N9NWO wrote:
>
> What the hell is this? Do we have an espionage
> group passing commo via the Internet?
>
> As per Rec.Radio.Amateur.Policy
> Screw Liberals <dx302-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
> news:988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com...
> : Condition:  YELLOW-2
> :
> :      The following HF frequency rotas are in effect due to
         ^^^^^^^^^^^^^              ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> : setting of Conditon alert YELLOW-2,  use decode Key-T3:
> :
> :
> : FCCKQ MCMEW LFIEV VCNWF DQMFL  GKVUP ZKDWM DKXMZ ZLEHV CDMRI
> :
> :

From the description, its a list of KEYS, not actual commo.
```

--
Aaron R. Kulkis
Unix Systems Engineer
DNRC Minister of all I survey
ICQ # 3056642

L: This seems to have reduced my spam. Maybe if everyone does it we
can defeat the email search bots. tosspam@aol.com abuse@aol.com
abuse@yahoo.com abuse@hotmail.com abuse@msn.com abuse@sprint.com
abuse@earthlink.com

K: Truth in advertising:
Left Wing Extremists Charles Schumer and Donna Shalala,
Black Seperatist Anti-Semite Louis Farrakhan,
Special Interest Sierra Club,
Anarchist Members of the ACLU
Left Wing Corporate Extremist Ted Turner
The Drunken Woman Killer Ted Kennedy
Grass Roots Pro-Gun movement,

J: Other knee_jerk reactionaries: billh, david casey, redc1c4,
The retarded sisters: Raunchy (rauni) and Anencephielle (Enielle),
also known as old hags who've hit the wall....

I: Loren Petrich's 2-week stubborn refusal to respond to the
challenge to describe even one philosophical difference
between himself and the communists demonstrates that, in fact,
Loren Petrich is a COMMUNIST ***hole

H: "Having found not one single carbon monoxide leak on the entire
premises, it is my belief, and Willard concurs, that the reason
you folks feel listless and disoriented is simply because
you are lazy, stupid people"

G: Knackos...you're a retard.

F: Unit_4's "Kook hunt" reminds me of "Jimmy Baker's" harangues against
adultery while concurrently committing adultery with Tammy Hahn.

E: Jet is not worthy of the time to compose a response until
her behavior improves.

D: Jet Silverman now follows me from newgroup to newsgroup
...despite (C) above.

C: Jet Silverman claims to have killfiled me.

B: Jet Silverman plays the fool and spews out nonsense as a
method of sidetracking discussions which are headed in a
direction that she doesn't like.

A: The wise man is mocked by fools.

From 5740147437624720911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 12:54:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 19:52:59 GMT
References: <3aecd10b.5159284@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010430155259.09500.00000489@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20612

In article <3aecd10b.5159284@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>And there were 14 schematics I memorized so I could
>regurgitate them on the test...and, indeed, there were
>five EXACT such questions on my test.

Not-quite-on-topic question: Does anyone have a source for
any example FCC radio operator license examinations of the
past? Other than Q&A books, that is.

From -1813691162836281490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 12:54:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 86
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 19:53:00 GMT
References: <3aecd275.1812179@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!

Message-ID: <20010430155300.09500.00000490@nso-fy.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20613

In article <3aecd275.1812179@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>>What about 1936 standards and practices? I just used technology
>>as a point of reference...most in here weren't alive yet in 1936.
>>
>Quoting Lenny here: "Dick Carroll wants to return to the past for the
>"simpler" time of US amateur radio . . . He can do so using only
>ordinary, less-advanced vacuum tube technology of the 1930s, . . .
>he will have to depend on OOK CW when using simpler equipment . . "

OOK CW is simpler technology. It was the simplest mode for
radio way back in time. What is it about that that is so hard to
understand?

>>I'm puzzled by the "scads of ops back into cw" as the "reason"
>>(implied) for the FT-817's success.
>>
>No, you have it ass backward as usual Lenny, it's the success of the
>817 which is apparently luring a noticeable number of ops into running
>cw who don't normally run the mode.

So, a wideband, ALL-MODE, portable transceiver "lures CW ops?"

There should be all sorts of QRP radios out there that feature CW
at slightly less price. Why aren't those more attractive to CW
ops?

>Hey Lenny, remind me sometime to get you up to speed on the realities
>of advertising. I venture to guess that 99% of the 817s sold never get
>anywhere near the trails.

Of course. There are very few SUVs on the road today that are
used off-road. (a non-radio analogy)

On the other hand, the Stoner Goral Company has sold a number
of little transceivers to private maritime users as well as amateurs.
Elecraft sells some nice little transceivers are comparable and lower
prices, too.

Since CW is primarily a mode used on HF by amateurs, why is
there a "reason" that CW ops would flock to an HF-VHF-UHF
ALL-mode, low-power transceiver? Why wouldn't they flock to
an HF-only transceiver?

>To answer the core question five watt radios
>don't squirt much of a signal on the HF bands so cw is preferable to
>the other modes for blatently obvious reasons.

Heh. Better check out the specs for the SGC products then.
:-)

>>The FT-817 won't fit into a shirt pocket but maybe a coat pocket.
>>Hopefull two pockets on the coat since the other pocket has to
>>hold the key and antenna wire. [it is 5.3"W x 1.5"H x 6.5"D]
>>
>. . . I have a couple two-pocket Eddie Bauer denim shirts . .

Don't all CW ops have brand name shirts with big pockets?
:-)

>>Was this all-mode, "all-band," portability state of the art in 1956?
>>If so, or not, how did such technology affect standards and
>>practices of that time, a whole generation after Maxim's demise?
>>
>Beats me, why would anybody care Lenny??

Dick Carroll seems to care a lot. He wants "leadership" a la HPM style when HPM led only from 1914 to 1936. Was there a lot of technology in radio back then compared to now? Were there a lot of modes, bandplans, standards and conventions then as compared to now?

Seems like the "standard" response from "CW ops" is that "return to yester-year" nostalgia when life was simpler, more straightforward, fewer options, and everyone was "in their place" following those who "knew better." As expected, I got one of those "replies." :-)

Shall I save some tuna tins for you, Brian? I can throw in a couple of 2N2218s and a color burst crystal. You can use those in a high-tech added-doubler-stage to be rockbound on 40m at 7159 MHz. :-)

```
From 8763373485688130434
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 12:57:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: KC8JBO <rogerwkc8jbo@MailAndNews.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: RE: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 15:57:00 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3B0F9FED@MailAndNews.com>
```

```
X-InterChange-Posted-By: rogerwkc8jbo@MailAndNews.com
Sender: KC8JBO <rogerwkc8jbo@MailAndNews.com>
X-EXP32-SerialNo: 50000000
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="ISO-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Newsreader: InterChange (Hydra) News v3.61.08
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 25
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15490
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20614

From: Zorg@bimminy.org (Zorg)
Message-ID: <jabba.de.hut@bimminy.org>
X-No-Archive: yes
Path:
pullfeed!newsfeed.cwix.com!news!nntp.wetware.com!sjc-feed.news.verio.net!new
s.
verio.net!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!Zorg
                                                ^^^^^^^^^
Shitholelicker Lee need new material....

"Zorg" <Zorg@bimminy.org> wrote in message news:jabba.de.hut@bimminy.org...
>
> On Sun, 29 Apr 2001 21:56:51 GMT, Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com>
wrote:
> : How about this ... your arbitrary belief in "god" (he's the invisible guy
> : inside your head who tells you to be good right) has no bearing on life
> : whatsoever
>
> Zorg think you wrong.  Very much wrong. <flush!>

Zorg do cheap imitation of Tor Johnson.


73 de Roger, KC8JBO




From 7800664470624032921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 13:14:07 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 20:13:40 GMT
```

```
Message-ID: <3aedc446.63723470@news2.dvol.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
<3aec5d2a.13550881@news5.bellatlantic.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.159
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.159
X-Trace: 30 Apr 2001 15:10:08 -0500, 64.24.19.159
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12566
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15491 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20615

On Sun, 29 Apr 2001 18:35:07 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M.
Conti) wrote:


>Lots of Luck..
>
>As long as they keep that SILLY code requirement, you are very
>unlikely to get young folks into an archaic hobby.
>
Oh, I dunno. Depends on their perspective and values and what they
want out of ham radio. "Bout a year or so ago we had a 13-year-old in
the neighborhood who decided he'd rather have a 20wpm Extra ticket
than a 5wpm Extra ticket so he scambled to get his code speed up to
20wpm thus he beat the April 15 date by a couple months.
>
>Dan
>
w3rv



From 8164470112532529788
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 13:17:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 20:16:43 GMT
```

```
References: <GHiH6.4325$SZ5.354008@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Message-ID: <20010430161643.16030.00001649@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20616

>Subject: Re: HR-2346
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>
>Date: 4/30/01 3:05 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <GHiH6.4325$SZ5.354008@www.newsranger.com>
>
>N2EY says:
>
>
>>Agreed! This is one of the main reasons for the hostility some amateurs
>display
>>towards CBers.
>
>Why would an amateur give a rip about what CBers do?

Sorry if I wasn't clear, Hans. What I was referring to is the fact that the ARS
and cb get mixed up in many folks' minds. For example, hams sometimes get
blamed for RFI caused by cb and freeband types.

> I couldn't care less,
>just as I don't care what FRS or GMRS or any other xxRS does.

Me, neither - until the users of another radio service tear up the
neighborhood's TVs and hams get blamed for it. Or the regs for the ARS are made
increasingly restrictive because of the violations done by non-hams. Stuff like
that.

I don't care what happens on other services'  channels as long as it stays
there and doesn't affect ham radio.

> It's the
>FCC's job to take care of, not mine, not the local deputy Barney Fife, nor
>the USCG Auxiliary.

Agreed!

73 de Jim, N2EY


From -4649968460630002520
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 13:40:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
```

logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 13:34:45 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3AEDCC65.F445547E@uci.edu>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AECCCB2.4DB5B56E@dirtball.com>
<ZZ6H6.97359$_P.26800202@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15492
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20617

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> Are you nut bags magnetically attracted or something? You certainly come
> out of the wood work when one of your fellow mentally deficient somewhat
> humans say something stupid.
>
> Think of it this way, they LEVEL buildings with far less explosive than
> what he was believed to have in the truck.
>
> There was video proof of him driving the truck that was known to be the
> point of the blast.
>
> He had receipts for the deisel and fertiliser, not to mention he was in
> possesion of other bomb making materials when he was picked up.
>
> Did you think that perhaps there wasn't an ATF or FBI office in the
> building?
>
> And all that aside, he admitted to the bombing!

All absolutely correct. You see Rob, some of these guys here see
McVeigh as being their avenger for Waco. He is their hero, and they
don't want to see anything bad happen to him.

From -3162757060311464634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 13:54:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!falcon.america.net!

eagle.america.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Son Of Liberty" <sonofliberty@apply.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <pueH6.52627$E63.678412@news1.onlynews.com>
<20010430122341.03374.00003351@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Distribution: x-no-archive: yes
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <yikH6.1079$%B3.46041@eagle.america.net>
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 16:54:22 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.22.236.40
X-Trace: eagle.america.net 988664094 63.22.236.40 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 16:54:54 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 16:54:54 EDT
Organization: 24hoursupport.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20618

"I ask, Who are the Militia? They consist now of the whole people, except a few public officers."

- George Masson, June 1788 at the Virginia convention to ratify the U.S. Constitution.

The state of Georgia maintains a LEGALLY CHARTERED State Defense Force, which is NOT subject to federal control or federalizaton. While federal bureaucrats conveniently ignore the Second and Tenth Amendments, they ARE still part of the U.S. Constitution. The Founding Fathers, should they return today, would be nauseated at the present day power of the federal government.........something NEVER intended under any circumstance NOR allowed by a literal reading of the U.S. Constitution.

Keep your powder dry.

From 8911307617811118850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 13:55:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!

audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 20:54:40 GMT
References: <GHiH6.4325$SZ5.354008@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Message-ID: <20010430165440.27429.00003141@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20620

>Subject: Re: HR-2346
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net

>N2EY says:
>>Agreed! This is one of the main reasons for the hostility some amateurs
display towards >>CBers.

>Why would an amateur give a rip about what CBers do? I couldn't care less,
>just as I don't care what FRS or GMRS or any other xxRS does. It's the
>FCC's job to take care of, not mine, not the local deputy Barney Fife, nor
>the USCG Auxiliary.

And it's that "ignore it, it will go away" attitude that allows problems like this to fester. The less INFORMED citizens squawk about it, the more the lawbreakers like it. And the LESS likely the Feds are to do anything about it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -6181743439846763633
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 13:56:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 30 Apr 2001 20:56:14 GMT
References: <3AEDCC65.F445547E@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010430165614.27429.00003142@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20621

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>He is their hero, and they
>don't want to see anything bad happen to him.
>

Ooops...too late!

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 5509831474052017591
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 14:16:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 62
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 21:15:34 GMT
References: <LdeH6.3961$SZ5.311572@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Message-ID: <20010430171534.27429.00003144@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20622

>Subject: HR-2346
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net

>HR-2346 is a CB issue, not a ham radio issue.

This is a self-deluding attitude. Millions of citizens think Ham is CB and vice/versa. It IS our business when THIER lawlessness impacts MY ability to operate.

How does THIER actions impact me?

(1) The average citizen doesn't know the difference between "Ham" and "CB".

(2) Same Ignorant Citizen winds up watching hashbars and listening to "Whiskey Ridge Runner" calling "Helllooooooo Skiiiiiiipland" in the last 30 seconds of a hotly contested sporting event on which he has a bet riding. The score is too close to call.

(3) Disgusted with attempts to get his CB'ing neighbor to lay off, he hit's up the local city council to enact legislation to bar towers and antennas. Since Ignorant Citizen is also the well-know publisher of a local newspaper, they act quickly.

(4) Local Amatuer Radio operators find themselves now fighting City Hall when trying to get a tower installed.

(5) Tired with being ignored or blown off with excuses of "no money for enforcement" by the FCC, the local politicos approach Senator Chestbeater and encourage him to stop the gross abuse of privacy and "domestic tranqulity" and enact legislation to allow local cops and deputy sheriff's the power to enforce certain parts of FCC Rules and Regulations.

(6) Now entrusted with new Congressionally-granted powers, local law enforcement acts quickly to overcome other negative media by ridding thier peaceful neighborhoods of illegal radio operators. Despite caveats of "....except Amateur Radio operators" having been written into that new law, local cops arrest dozens of legal operators and/or confiscate tons of privately owned two-way radio equipment. Local Hams and all three of the local LEGAL CBers are now having to spend hundreds if not thousands of dollars in legal fees to get thier gear UNconfiscated and get back on the air.

All of this was avoidable with a little prevention in the beginning.

> Would all you CB-lawyer-wannabes take this cluster-phuck over to
> rec.radio.CB.whatever.

The EPA is gonna catch up to you sooner or later, Hans.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 2588996951186007225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 15:04:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net> <MlYG6.81$LO6.11929@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AED6A28.A267DAFC@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 18:02:35 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3aede157$0$88189$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 089f7a03.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=JY3<C:n6`^`RK32]9ZGcdmLoK8f=:?J8`[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgRD?Q^fG_f6llBFDaL\d^neXaXdl8LmJKn
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20623

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AED6A28.A267DAFC@ovis.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:

> Let's see if we happen to be in agreement. Would you agree to the
> statement, "Larry Klose has no experience as an attorney, no experience
> in the formulation of U.S. foreign or domestic policy and little
> experience as a radio amateur."?

Kim,

The Gospel According Dave would have you believe that:
(a) no citizens can petition an administrative agency alleging
that a rule is unnecessary, bad public policy, and not in
conformity with law, unless they are attorney; and,

(b) no citizens can oppose the use of military force
against civilian aircraft unless they have experience
formulation U.S. foreign or domestic policy; and,
(c) and most importantly, no citizen can oppose
47 CFR 97.503(a) unless they have passed the
code exam.

Larry KC8EPO

From -9155958073461339927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 16:50:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 2
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 Apr 2001 23:50:26 GMT
References: <20010430065040.13449.00001655@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010430195026.25159.00001922@ng-co1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20624

If the best I could do is to increase my present sales by .5 percent I would
get out of that buisness. J1

From 8177285045472751510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 16:52:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!
enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: 30 Apr 2001 23:12:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9ckrg30242l@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AECCCB2.4DB5B56E@dirtball.com>
<ZZ6H6.97359$_P.26800202@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AEDCC65.F445547E@uci.edu>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-681.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15498
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20625
```

In article <3AEDCC65.F445547E@uci.edu>, jwkelley@uci.edu says...

>All absolutely correct. You see Rob, some of these guys here see
>McVeigh as being their avenger for Waco. He is their hero, and they
>don't want to see anything bad happen to him.

How's this for conspiracies? Some of these kooks claim that at the time of his arrest, McVeigh shouted out to reporters that the government put a chip in his butt. That's why he planted the bomb.

My sides hurt for a week from laughing at that one.

```
From 492803412412527179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 16:52:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 30 Apr 2001 23:16:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9ckrng1242l@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
<3aec5d2a.13550881@news5.bellatlantic.net> <3aedc446.63723470@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-717.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12579
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15499 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20626
```

In article <3aedc446.63723470@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com says...

```
>
>On Sun, 29 Apr 2001 18:35:07 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M.
>Conti) wrote:
>
>
>>Lots of Luck..
>>
>>As long as they keep that SILLY code requirement, you are very
>>unlikely to get young folks into an archaic hobby.
>>
>Oh, I dunno. Depends on their perspective and values and what they
>want out of ham radio. "Bout a year or so ago we had a 13-year-old in
>the neighborhood who decided he'd rather have a 20wpm Extra ticket
>than a 5wpm Extra ticket so he scambled to get his code speed up to
>20wpm thus he beat the April 15 date by a couple months.
>>
>>Dan
>>
>w3rv
```

Exceptions are everywhere. I doubt, however, that this 13-year-old represents any majority.

```
From -6824232069599186894
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 17:00:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 20:03:47 -0400
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3AEDFD63.F471DCF@dirtball.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AECCCB2.4DB5B56E@dirtball.com>
<ZZ6H6.97359$_P.26800202@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AEDCC65.F445547E@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 162.39.205.97
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 988675217 27902 162.39.205.97 (1 May 2001 00:00:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 1 May 2001 00:00:17 GMT
To: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15501
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20627

And what the government did in Waco was right? Why didn't they nail him in town? Then like cats in the kitty litter they tried to cover it up. For the information of this group that federal building housed the headquarters for the terrorists that set that fire in Waco Tex.   Was what happened in Ruby Ridge right too? Before that there was that thing in Missouri? It seems to me that the FBI and the ATF where growing out of control until the bombing in OK. So as I said in the previous post I wonder how many lives the bombing saved.

Just my opinion
73 Oris

Jim Kelley wrote:

> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > Are you nut bags magnetically attracted or something? You certainly come out of the wood work when one of your fellow mentally deficient somewhat humans say something stupid.
> >
> > Think of it this way, they LEVEL buildings with far less explosive than what he was believed to have in the truck.
> >
> > There was video proof of him driving the truck that was known to be the point of the blast.
> >
> > He had receipts for the deisel and fertiliser, not to mention he was in possesion of other bomb making materials when he was picked up.
> >
> > Did you think that perhaps there wasn't an ATF or FBI office in the building?
> >
> > And all that aside, he admitted to the bombing!
>
> All absolutely correct. You see Rob, some of these guys here see McVeigh as being their avenger for Waco. He is their hero, and they don't want to see anything bad happen to him.

From 3647541349975292040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 17:10:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:08:35 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AEDFE83.1BEBAE53@uci.edu>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AECCCB2.4DB5B56E@dirtball.com>
<ZZ6H6.97359$_P.26800202@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AEDCC65.F445547E@uci.edu>
<3AEDFD63.F471DCF@dirtball.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15502
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20628

Oris Grim wrote:
>
> And what the government did in Waco was right? Why didn't they nail him in
> town? Then like cats in the kitty litter they tried to cover it up. For the
> information of this group that federal building housed the headquarters for the
> terrorists that set that fire in Waco Tex.   Was what happened in Ruby Ridge
> right too? Before that there was that thing in Missouri? It seems to me that
> the FBI and the ATF where growing out of control until the bombing in OK. So as
> I said in the previous post I wonder how many lives the bombing saved.
>                    Just my opinion
>                            73 Oris

I rest my case.

> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > All absolutely correct.  You see Rob, some of these guys here see
> > McVeigh as being their avenger for Waco.  He is their hero, and they
> > don't want to see anything bad happen to him.


From 3335328033869810020
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 17:29:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AECCCB2.4DB5B56E@dirtball.com>
<ZZ6H6.97359$_P.26800202@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AEDCC65.F445547E@uci.edu>
<3AEDFD63.F471DCF@dirtball.com>
```

Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <4snH6.102766$_P.28405465@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 00:29:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988676992 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:29:52 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 17:29:52 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15503 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20629

Can you tell me how cs and loud music sets fires ?

oops ... it doesn't its the christian fundamentalist nut bags who set the place a blaze.

--
Rob St.Denis

"Oris Grim" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message news:3AEDFD63.F471DCF@dirtball.com...

```
> And what the government did in Waco was right? Why didn't they nail him in
> town? Then like cats in the kitty litter they tried to cover it up. For
the
> information of this group that federal building housed the headquarters
for the
> terrorists that set that fire in Waco Tex.   Was what happened in Ruby
Ridge
> right too? Before that there was that thing in Missouri? It seems to me
that
> the FBI and the ATF where growing out of control until the bombing in OK.
So as
> I said in the previous post I wonder how many lives the bombing saved.
>                     Just my opinion
>                             73 Oris
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > >
> > > Are you nut bags magnetically attracted or something?  You certainly
come
> > > out of the wood work when one of your fellow mentally deficient
somewhat
> > > humans say something stupid.
> > >
> > > Think of it this way,  they LEVEL buildings with far less explosive
```

```
than
> > > what he was believed to have in the truck.
> > >
> > > There was video proof of him driving the truck that was known to be
the
> > > point of the blast.
> > >
> > > He had receipts for the deisel and fertiliser, not to mention he was
in
> > > possesion of other bomb making materials when he was picked up.
> > >
> > > Did you think that perhaps there wasn't an ATF or FBI office in the
> > > building?
> > >
> > > And all that aside, he admitted to the bombing!
> >
> > All absolutely correct.  You see Rob, some of these guys here see
> > McVeigh as being their avenger for Waco.  He is their hero, and they
> > don't want to see anything bad happen to him.
>
```

```
From -2685988353125587910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 18:18:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!hub1.nntpserver.com!news-in.nibble.net!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AEE0F01.12CE0338@earthlink.com>
From: protocol <protocols@earthlink.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-
limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politic
s.misc
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3AEDBFAC.2FCC54E8@yahoo.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 39
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 01:17:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 199.174.55.245
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988679858 199.174.55.245 (Mon, 30 Apr
2001 18:17:38 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 18:17:38 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 18:16:06 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:249556 alt.law-enforcement:36046
alt.politics.bush:280225 alt.rush-limbaugh:94746 misc.survivalism:58611
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20630 talk.politics.guns:190567 talk.politics.misc:213996



"Aaron R. Kulkis" wrote:
>
> N9NWO wrote:
> >
> > What the hell is this? Do we have an espionage
> > group passing commo via the Internet?
> >
> > As per Rec.Radio.Amateur.Policy
> > Screw Liberals <dx302-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
> > news:988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com...
> > : Condition:  YELLOW-2
> > :
> > :      The following HF frequency rotas are in effect due to
>          ^^^^^^^^^^^^^              ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> > : setting of Conditon alert YELLOW-2,  use decode Key-T3:
> > :
> > :
> > : FCCKQ MCMEW LFIEV VCNWF DQMFL  GKVUP ZKDWM DKXMZ ZLEHV CDMRI
> > :
> > :
>
> From the description, its a list of KEYS, not actual commo.
>
> --
> Aaron R. Kulkis
> Unix Systems Engineer
> DNRC Minister of all I survey
> ICQ # 3056642
>
```

Could be, I am not familiar with the format for such keys, But I am very familiar with a format that this matches exactly. These are (or appear to be) five letter groups from a one time double encryption pad. There are exactly ten groups of five letters each. It's classic stuff and without the 2 correct ten letter decoding keywords, yup, they may as well transmit right over the Internet. You will not be able to crack the message in under a few thousand years.... give or take.

From -5833167286263093152
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 19:08:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!news1.iquest.net!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
From: alice@kramden.moon (Alice)
Organization: One 'o these days!
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.9 (Released Version) (x86 32bit)
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <oRoH6.867$OS1.115630@news1.iquest.net>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 02:05:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.43.54.34
X-Complaints-To: news-abuse@iquest.net
X-Trace: news1.iquest.net 988682708 209.43.54.34 (Mon, 30 Apr 2001 21:05:08 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 21:05:08 EST
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20631

I have partially decoded it: "FCCKQ" and the horse you rode in on.

In article <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>,
dx302-no-spam@cotse.com was of the opinion...
>
>Condition: YELLOW-2
>
> The following HF frequency rotas are in effect due to
>setting of Conditon alert YELLOW-2, use decode Key-T3:
>
>
>FCCKQ MCMEW LFIEV VCNWF DQMFL GKVUP ZKDWM DKXMZ ZLEHV CDMRI
>
>

From 3660148484021875147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 19:35:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>

References: <LdeH6.3961$SZ5.311572@www.newsranger.com>
<20010430171534.27429.00003144@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <yhpH6.4896$SZ5.393520@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 30 Apr 2001 22:35:10 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 02:35:10 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20632

Steve Robeson LPN says...

> This is a self-deluding attitude. Millions of citizens think Ham is CB
>and vice/versa. It IS our business when THIER lawlessness impacts MY ability
>to operate.

.......Clip a bunch of self-righteous holier-than-thou rhetoric......

> All of this was avoidable with a little prevention in the beginning.

It's an FCC problem and CBer problem, not a ham radio problem.

>>Would all you CB-lawyer-wannabes take this cluster-phuck over to
>>rec.radio.CB.whatever.
>
> The EPA is gonna catch up to you sooner or later, Hans.

Hey, bring 'em on. My address is no secret. Tell 'em to pack
a lunch.

Hans

From 5484622597034497956
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 19:57:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politics.misc
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Date: 1 May 2001 02:56:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9cl8l7$pb2$0@208.206.142.87>
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com> <ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <EI6H6.967$532.574192@news.uswest.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:249621 alt.law-enforcement:36084 alt.politics.bush:280313 alt.rush-limbaugh:94772 misc.survivalism:58648 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20633 talk.politics.guns:190599 talk.politics.misc:214028

"Br. Roulle" wrote:

```
>
> "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> > What the hell is this? Do we have an espionage
> > group passing commo via the Internet?
> >
> > As per Rec.Radio.Amateur.Policy
> > Screw Liberals <dx302-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
> > news:988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com...
> > : Condition:  YELLOW-2
> > :
> > :      The following HF frequency rotas are in effect due to
> > : setting of Conditon alert YELLOW-2,  use decode Key-T3:
> > :
> > :
> > : FCCKQ MCMEW LFIEV VCNWF DQMFL  GKVUP ZKDWM DKXMZ ZLEHV CDMRI
> > :
> > :
> >
> >
> >
>
> My guess is that someone doesn't want you to know what they are doing ...

 My guess is someone is fishin', as in trolling...
> R
```

```
From 3184759853717428907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 20:02:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 1 May 2001 03:02:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9cl90e$pb2$1@208.206.142.87>
References: <3AEC706D.A458ED5F@IEEE.org> <20010430065148.13449.00001656@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20634
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> > Question is: Would you have passed without any rote-memorization at all?
> > Careful now, I don't know any way to know the band edges without simply
> > rote-memorizing them.
>
> According to some, I could have learned to recognize the correct answer when I
> saw it. :-)

That's right. And you wouldn't have needed to know anything whatever
about "bands" nor "edges". Just the numbers.

```
From -4065434142252767653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 20:19:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: 1 May 2001 03:19:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9cla0o$1hb$0@208.206.142.87>
References: <ploG6.1498$SZ5.125295@www.newsranger.com>
<20010428100315.05666.00001322@nso-fo.aol.com> <3AEDA674.E0BF9EA6@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20635
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > In the
> > meantime, their bodies can be warehoused economically and efficiently
> > in stark, isolated quarters -- given the bare essential necessities of
> > life, for a mere fraction of the cost of the expensive, publicly funded
> > appeals and legal acrobatics needed to keep them alive on Death Row
> > for decades after their convictions.
>

> How 'bout we do a trial run first. We'll move Charlie Manson from his
> accomodations here California over to your house, and you can watch
> after him 24/7, and you can pick up his tab.

I got a better idea....

From 8077636336076755802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 20:33:19 PST
Message-ID: <3AEE2029.E5DE054@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 02:32:09 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net> <3aec9fc3$0$88189$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s25.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 30 Apr 2001 23:27:52 -0400, s25.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 69
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news1.optus.net.au!optus!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s25.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20636

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net...
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
> > > >
> > > > This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if any
> > > > experience in either field.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Leaving out whatever this thread has been about, who the authors are,
> etc.,
> > > etc. Should one presume that it is being suggested we must all be
> political

> > > science majors, have worked in public policy groups, be board approved
> as an
> > > attorney, etc., before we are entitled to have opinions now? In the
> United
> > > States, *experience* is not required for us to vote and have opinions.
> > >
> > > Kim - W5TIT
> >
> > It would be difficult to make such a presumption since context is
> > important to the issue. A man who is not an attorney but who regularly
> > posts as if he was an expert on law probably will likely not be taken
> > very seriously.
>
> Non-sense Dave, I cite a Federal statutes to assert that a
> particular ITU and FCC rule are wrong. You have no reply,
> except to attack me personally, shows the weakness of
> your position.

Larry, I know of no one else but you in this group goofy enough to try
arguing communications law with Phil Kane. My reply to you regarding
your citing statues is that you frequently choose one not relating to
the matter under discussion and try to make it fit your conditions (like
the one relating to commercial broadcasting which you trotted out
recently).

A question for you: Are you now or have you ever been a practicing
attorney?

> > In this case, the man who frequently does so has tried
> > to link the tragic shoot-down in Peru to morse code testing.
>
> Dave, do you believe that it is a proper US policy to US
> military resources to direct foreign warplanes against
> suspect civilian aircraft, particularly after the Penagon
> warned in 1994 that this had a high risk of killing innocent
> people.

What I believe and have previously stated here Larry is that your
attempt to draw a link between a tragic shoot down and morse code
testing is pathetic. They are not linked in any manner.

> > He has proclaimed that bad U.S. policy ties the two issues.
>
> Dave, the United States morse code policy has already changed,
> and I suspect that the US aerial combat support policy against
> civilian aircraft will change.

If your comment regarding "morse code policy" is true, why are you still
railing about the morse code testing issue?

Dave K8MN

```
From 7795711369733422553
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 20:33:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: 1 May 2001 03:33:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9claqr$3pk$0@208.206.142.87>
References: <GHiH6.4325$SZ5.354008@www.newsranger.com>
<20010430161643.16030.00001649@ng-cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20637
```

> >From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>
> I don't care what happens on other services' channels as long as it stays
> there and doesn't affect ham radio.
>
> > It's the
> >FCC's job to take care of, not mine, not the local deputy Barney Fife, nor
> >the USCG Auxiliary.

Uh, don't look now, but Barney just got deputized as a Fed Junior. What
you suppose you'll think when he ID's your ham rig as an "Illegal CB
running high power"....(he knows what a 5 watt CB looks like and yours
ain't one - he's also seen a few leeenears, and whatcha got sure looks
like one to him).
  Ham radio? Whass dat?

```
From -2475352755279747870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 20:38:36 PST
Message-ID: <3AEE2181.95B8121D@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 02:37:53 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
```

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<3aec96ae$0$88191$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s25.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 30 Apr 2001 23:33:36 -0400, s25.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 33
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news1.optus.net.au!optus!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s25.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20638

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
> > Larry Klose wrote:
> > >
> > > The common element between the tragedy and the Morse code exam
> requirement
> > > is misguided US national and international policies. Policies that defy
> > > common sense and law.
> >
> > There is no common element which ties morse code testing to the shooting
> > down of a missionary aircraft in Peru, Larry.
>
> I did not say that, Dave. You keep distorting the fact to satify your
> conclusion.
>
> I said that it is wrong for miltiary frighter jet to shoot down unarmed
> civilian aircraft, and its wrong for communications adminstrations
> to impose a Morse code exam requirement that is unnecessary,
> bad public policy, and not in conformity with 5 USC 706.

Sure you did, Larry. You posted an addendum to a notice of this woman's
death bewailing the fact that she died without having access to the HF
bands (which wasn't exactly correct) as if that made a rat's ass. You
went on to post the drivel equating the wrongness of the shoot down with
the "wrongness" of continued morse testing for HF access. You were a
churl to post it.

I didn't think much of you for posting it then and don't think much of
you for trying to defend it now.

Dave K8MN

From -2521271666733004810

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 21:43:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AEE3F41.6650@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
References: <LdeH6.3961$SZ5.311572@www.newsranger.com>
<20010430171534.27429.00003144@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 86
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 00:44:49 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.184.42
X-Trace: news1.atl 988692202 209.214.184.42 (Tue, 01 May 2001 00:43:22 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 00:43:22 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20639

Steve,

I totally agree with you and the majority; this *could* cause a lot of trouble.

Here's an example that would actually be funny. Sometimes members of Civil Air Patrol are issued HF and VHF radios purchased with USAF/DOD funds. The member signs for it on a certain form. OK, now let's say fat Bubba sheriff waltzes in and says, "OK, bud, y'all gonna quit a-using that 'ere reddy-o and botherin' ole John, ya heah?" Now let's say, the operator, who holds a valid license issued by CAP/USAF tries to explain he ain't no dadgum CBer. Ole Sheriff Bubba then decides that he's just gonna take that 'ere reddy-o because "AH'm, th' law 'round h'yar and AH ain't a-puttin' up wid no backtalk. NOW. He and his county have a REAL problem. Angry radio op calls his state (Wing) director of communications who then calls DOD internal audits. Imagine ole sheriff's surprise when the government agents walk in and proceed to charge him with unlawful seizure of US Gov't property in addition to whatever action the radio op takes! Have you ever seen DOD audit guys at work? It ain't pretty! and I'd be laughing my *** off if it happened to me. Matter of fact, I would WELCOME such a thing. It'd be SOOO freakin' funny!

Jerry
K4KWH

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >Subject: HR-2346
> >From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>
> >HR-2346 is a CB issue, not a ham radio issue.
>
> This is a self-deluding attitude. Millions of citizens think Ham is CB
> and vice/versa. It IS our business when THIER lawlessness impacts MY ability
> to operate.
>
> How does THIER actions impact me?
>
> (1) The average citizen doesn't know the difference between "Ham" and
> "CB".
>
> (2) Same Ignorant Citizen winds up watching hashbars and listening to
> "Whiskey Ridge Runner" calling "Helllooooooo Skiiiiiiiipland" in the last 30
> seconds of a hotly contested sporting event on which he has a bet riding. The
> score is too close to call.
>
> (3) Disgusted with attempts to get his CB'ing neighbor to lay off, he
> hit's up the local city council to enact legislation to bar towers and
> antennas. Since Ignorant Citizen is also the well-know publisher of a local
> newspaper, they act quickly.
>
> (4) Local Amatuer Radio operators find themselves now fighting City Hall
> when trying to get a tower installed.
>
> (5) Tired with being ignored or blown off with excuses of "no money for
> enforcement" by the FCC, the local politicos approach Senator Chestbeater and
> encourage him to stop the gross abuse of privacy and "domestic tranqulity" and
> enact legislation to allow local cops and deputy sheriff's the power to enforce
> certain parts of FCC Rules and Regulations.
>
> (6) Now entrusted with new Congressionally-granted powers, local law
> enforcement acts quickly to overcome other negative media by ridding thier
> peaceful neighborhoods of illegal radio operators. Despite caveats of
> "....except Amateur Radio operators" having been written into that new law,
> local cops arrest dozens of legal operators and/or confiscate tons of privately
> owned two-way radio equipment. Local Hams and all three of the local LEGAL
> CBers are now having to spend hundreds if not thousands of dollars in legal
> fees to get thier gear UNconfiscated and get back on the air.
>
> All of this was avoidable with a little prevention in the beginning.
>
> >Would all you CB-lawyer-wannabes take this cluster-phuck over to
> >rec.radio.CB.whatever.
>
> The EPA is gonna catch up to you sooner or later, Hans.
>
> 73
>

```
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html


From -8255121714362181526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 22:40:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <pueH6.52627$E63.678412@news1.onlynews.com>
<20010430122341.03374.00003351@ng-fi1.aol.com> <yikH6.1079$
%B3.46041@eagle.america.net>
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <x_rH6.10219$WZ4.643207@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /bV2/7b26B8Jf4/VPtD0C9Tks1J4bTn/7RO6PgT5cY/
k5mBn2oiqMWM45dnykoWlW3LcuXv1e+ao!VabhVvcNofOQX3vGlRNwktzqH4zrxXrMc9mw5/
CRcxTx6QxVWsWBNectPPPVZAcW3AkC/HAE/RKn!CCMprw==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 05:39:41 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 05:39:41 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20640

By that definition then it must be mandated that
every male, between the ages of 17 and 45, who
if fit to serve (not a cripple, mentally ill, a felon or
a homosexual) must be trained and equipped by the
state.  Or, in other words, mandatory military service
in the National Guard.

:           "I ask, Who are the Militia?  They consist now of
: the whole people, except a few public officers."
:
:               - George Masson, June 1788 at the Virginia
: convention to ratify the U.S. Constitution.
:
:
:                The state of Georgia maintains a LEGALLY
: CHARTERED  State Defense Force, which is NOT
: subject to federal control or federalizaton.   While federal
: bureaucrats conveniently ignore the Second and Tenth
: Amendments, they ARE still part of the U.S. Constitution.
: The Founding Fathers, should they return today, would
: be nauseated at the present day power of the federal
: government.........something NEVER intended under any
: circumstance NOR allowed by a literal reading of the
: U.S. Constitution.
:
:                Keep your powder dry.
:
:
:
:
:




From -2179089213094320834
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-04-30 23:47:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 02:48:21 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 116
Message-ID: <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.76
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988699671 70196 207.144.64.76 (1 May 2001 06:47:51 GMT)
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 1 May 2001 06:47:51 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15506
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20641

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> That's a Catch-22, Dwight. "Bad things might
> happen because it is illegal."

Actually, I don't think that is exactly what I said, Cecil. However, I'll accept a variation of that - bad things have happened, do happen, and will happen, because of drugs - both from their use and from the illegal trade.

> You could argue that the alcohol
> problem doesn't end with just
> that drink in the home.

A very common argument for drug legalization. Yes, alcohol does cause problems. However, why would we want to add to those problems by legalizing drugs? It seems to me that if we want to solve a problem, the most obvious step is to not make the situation worse by adding to it. In other words, alcohol is not a good argument for legalizing drugs.

> Just because someone may use a gun irresponsibly
> is not a good reason to take away my guns.
>
> Just because someone may use marijuana
> irresponsibly is not a good reason to take away
> my marijuana.

A gun can be used responsibly. However I have yet to see a situation where marijuana, or any other illegal drug, can be used responsibly. Even the example you gave in the previous message (using drugs after your kids were asleep) failed to show a responsible use (it was more an example of irresponsible use - keeping and using illegal drugs in a home with kids).

> I talk about my experiences, Dwight. I've
> never used crack or heroin. But, when I was
> younger, half of Silicon Valley used cocaine.
> I used it responsibly.

Cecil, you cannot possibly use something that is illegal responsibly. That is like saying that you can use a stolen car responsibly. Or you can use stolen money responsibly. Yes, you can use drugs in a prudent manner, but it is still a crime (and there is absolutely nothing responsible about criminal behavior).

> Education, knowledge, and treatment are the
> keys to the drug problem, not the war on
> drugs which has turned out to be a war on
> private citizens.

Cecil, you sound like a fairly well educated and knowledgeable person (certainly knowledgeable enough to seek your own treatment), yet none of that has prevented you from using drugs. If none of that has stopped you, why should we assume for a moment that any of that will stop anyone else? In the end, if a criminal is not willing to change his behavior, the only option left is the laws. By the way, to be more accurate (and honest), the war on drugs is a war on private citizens who commit crimes (very few law-abiding citizens are even bothered by it).

> Again, just because unfair laws exist doesn't
> make it right. There is wholesale violation
> of the drug laws and citizens are losing
> respect for the government that is enforcing
> them.

Blaming the government is the game used by malcontents - like the government is some evil, big brother-like, entity that is always wrong, therefore has to be wrong about whatever issue the person who invokes that is promoting. Sorry, but I'm smart enough to know that just because the government occasionally does something wrong, not everything the government does is wrong. And, in the case of drugs, the government is not wrong - it is doing exactly what millions of Americans expect it to do.

> Most of my friends at all the CA companies I
> worked for used illegal drugs.

What is your point? So you had friends who used drugs at those companies. It is certainly not uncommon for drug users to have friends who use drugs. Most of my friends who work, or have worked, at California companies do not, and did not, use drugs. All any of this proves is that we choose different types of people to have as friends.

> The purpose of the drug laws is not to keep
> people from using drugs. Their purpose is power,
> control, and confiscation of private property.
> If we don't stand up for our rights, we are
> going to lose them.

If confiscation of private property was the goal of the drug laws, why did it take decades after the war on drugs started to enact the forfeiture laws? And, I am standing up for my rights, Cecil. I'm standing up for my right, and my family's right, to live in this country without the many problems associated with drugs.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 8222844274613748968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 00:21:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 03:21:57 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3AEE63DD.DC78CD27@sccoast.net>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
<9cege6$976$0@208.206.142.67> <3AEBB9F3.834AE83B@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gck5bz0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.76
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988701683 70746 207.144.64.76 (1 May 2001 07:21:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 1 May 2001 07:21:23 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20642
```

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:

>
> > [snip] It reminds me of the woman who sued the
> > city because a tree limb fell on her during a
> > wind storm (the tree was on city property). I
> > cannot imagine how anyone could hold the city
> > responsible for a wind storm or tree limb.
>
>
> Standard tort law - a property owner has a duty of care toward passersby or persons on the property - lawfully or otherwise - to maintain the trees in such condition that a wind storm would not break off a limb and cause injury.
>
> This is the kind of case that everyone studies in first-year law school.
>
> (I'm surprised that the city didn't settle outright - that's why cities carry insurance. Perhaps the amount of the claim was outrageous and therefore the city litigated THAT issue.)

As I understand it, the insurance company did eventually pay (and raised it's rates in the process). Because of that (more lawsuits and still higher insurance rates), the city made sure nothing like this would happen again. Two months after the lawsuit ended, they started cutting down every single tree on public property throughout the city. And, to this day, there is not a single tree on public property in that town that is taller than six feet.

And, to be perfectly honest with you, Phil, when they cut down that beautiful Oak tree in the park across the street, I would have paid money to get at that woman and her attorney. Of course, I would have had to stand in line - most of the residents were mad as hell at those two.

It is exactly this type of lawsuit that makes people so angry at lawyers. There is no possible way to maintain a tree so that a limb cannot possibly fall off. Therefore, to avoid a BS lawsuit like this, the only option is to cut down every single tree. What a crappy world we would live in if we made it impervious to the lawsuits of lawyers. Sorry, but I would rather get rid of the lawyers instead.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 7478943627212735799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 01:14:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 08:14:46 GMT
References: <9cl90e$pb2$1@208.206.142.87>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010501041446.08249.00001677@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20643

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> According to some, I could have learned to recognize the correct answer
>> when I saw it. :-)

> That's right. And you wouldn't have needed to know anything whatever
>about "bands" nor "edges". Just the numbers.

I think, Dick, that most ordinary people understand the meaning of the terms
"band" and "edge." They won't lose that understanding by studying for their
tests.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -8117753780620466666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 01:16:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 08:16:20 GMT
References: <20010430195026.25159.00001922@ng-co1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010501041620.08249.00001678@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20644

>From: j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar)

>If the best I could do is to increase my present sales by .5 percent I would
>get out of that buisness. J1

When I was first licensed, there were about 150,000 hams. There are 650,000 today. The long-term growth has been reasonable.

Why do some hams feel a need to perceive the hobby as dying on the vine?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3596764676142267015
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 02:05:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 09:04:38 GMT
References: <3aec5d2a.13550881@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010501050438.26676.00001697@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20645

In article <3aec5d2a.13550881@news5.bellatlantic.net>, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) writes:

>
>Lots of Luck..
>
>As long as they keep that SILLY code requirement, you are very
>unlikely to get young folks into an archaic hobby.
>
>Dan

I agree. Another silly and archaic thing we do as hams is to spend a lot of time talking into microphones. This is supposed to be the "digital age." The emphasis is now on computers and keyboards, not microphones and CW keys. Let's get rid of the voice modes, and expand the use of digital data communications. I say let's abolish ALL voice modes on

```
HF at the same time we get rid of the remaining 5 WPM code testing
requirement.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 7259518589066865887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 02:34:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 09:33:23 GMT
References: <3AECCCB2.4DB5B56E@dirtball.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010501053323.26676.00001698@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20646

In article <3AECCCB2.4DB5B56E@dirtball.com>, Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
writes:

>
>I rate Tim McVeigh on the same level as Lee Harvey Oswell.

Who's that?  Did he do something notable?  Is he any relation to Doug
and Diana Oswell?

>He is no more
>than a government scape goat.

Mr. Oswell is a government scape goat?  What's his GS rating?

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 5644607281955628734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 02:34:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 09:33:24 GMT
References: <3AEDA674.E0BF9EA6@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010501053324.26676.00001699@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20647

In article <3AEDA674.E0BF9EA6@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> In the
>> meantime, their bodies can be warehoused economically and efficiently
>> in stark, isolated quarters -- given the bare essential necessities of
>> life, for a mere fraction of the cost of the expensive, publicly funded
>> appeals and legal acrobatics needed to keep them alive on Death Row
>> for decades after their convictions.
>
>How 'bout we do a trial run first. We'll move Charlie Manson from his
>accomodations here California over to your house, and you can watch
>after him 24/7, and you can pick up his tab.
>
>Sound pretty good?
>
>ac6xg

Jim:

My quarters are neither "stark" nor "isolated" enough to do justice to Mr. Manson, nor could I provide adequate security. Realizing this, I willingly pay a portion of my taxes to support the State and Federal penal institutions that perform this task in my behalf. And since it is a proven fact that it is much more economical to keep the likes of Charlie Manson and Tim McVeigh alive than it is to mercifully put them out of their misery with an intervenous injection of barbituates, I prefer to keep them alive, and therefore, miserable. Why are you such a wimp when it comes to punishing violent murderers?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1790312089322673529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd27f189d72f2dff,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 03:03:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!cyclone.kc.rr.com!news.kc.rr.com!cyclone3.kc.rr.com!news3.kc.rr.com!typhoon.kc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail

Subject: The Eroding of Amatuer Radio ?
From: "MIchael W. McGinley" <mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Posted-And-Mailed: yes
Message-ID: <0105200105032458 57%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit
User-Agent: YA-NewsWatcher/5.0.1
Lines: 41
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 10:03:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.27.104.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.kc.rr.com 988711386 65.27.104.163 (Tue, 01 May 2001 05:03:06 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 05:03:06 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20648

[[This message was both posted and mailed: see
the "To," "Cc," and "Newsgroups" headers for details.]]

Hello All.

I was browsing QRZ.com when i stumbled across this little article

http://www.qrz.com/articles/00/10/15/1215251.shtml

It was talking about a new Lic free MURS (Multi User Radio Service) in the 151 Mhz Range....what i found distrubing was what the article said about the possiability of no-code & Possiably no test amatuer radio service with only one class of licence (If i read it correctly) and read between the lines right

Is this true ? - Did i just misread it ? - or is this a possable setup by the fcc to lump all the " Hobby " radio users into 1 basket then at a later date the FCC may or will yank the rug out under everone's feet ? - Let's face it radio spectrum space is very valuable in todays market and will only get more scarce.

While i have to admit i wasn't too concerned about the advent of no-code tech class (I'm actually a old school tech - 5WPM & General written) would'nt the no code/ no test type of radio service just open up the floodgate for a free for all and ruin it for everyone ?

This is not ment as Fuel for a flamewar, Just for cussin & Discussing

Have a nice one all

Michael - N0JVT

P.S: Don't forget to remove the STOPSPAM from the end of my e-mail
adderess to reply

--
   E-Mail Encouraged - Flames put out with HALON(tm)

```
From 2926716094512918039
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 04:36:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!yellow.newsread.com!bad-
news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.newshog.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <3aee9e2e.510704988@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
<3aec5d2a.13550881@news5.bellatlantic.net> <3aedc446.63723470@news2.dvol.com>
<9ckrng1242l@enews4.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 22
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 11:36:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.46
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: newshog.newsread.com 988716961 216.1.128.46 (Tue, 01 May 2001 07:36:01
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 07:36:01 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12597
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15515 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20649
```

On 30 Apr 2001 23:16:00 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:

>Exceptions are everywhere. I doubt, however, that this 13-year-old represents
>any majority.

In the year following the date code was dropped to 5 WPM, there was no
significant change in the age or number of new Hams. We only gained
about 1% increase.

The only major change was about 30% of those who were already Ham's upgraded.

If code is such a barrier, where are the lines of people? All reducing code does is give existing people free upgrades.

Face it folks, young kids (and other people) aren't interested because this is old boring stuff. It isn't a video game. It is work and learning, not recreational.

73 Tom

From 370411512874561920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 05:20:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 12:19:04 GMT
References: <3aee9e2e.510704988@news.accessunited.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010501081904.02798.00000087@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20650

>From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)

>Face it folks, young kids (and other people) aren't interested because
>this is old boring stuff. It isn't a video game. It is work and
>learning, not recreational.

It is no more or less work and learning that it was when I was attracted to ham radio, Tom. In my high school of 1000 students, there were 2 hams -- not a majority by any stretch. There were teen-aged hams, but they were a minority even back then.

There may have been a somewhat larger percentage of youth in ham radio those days that today, but that was primarily due to the Novice license. The rules have made the entry-level exams so much harder than they were in those days, and at the same time some are telling all the youth that if they pass the tests they are taking a "dumbed down" exam that gives them a license that is virtually useless. If you consider that the entry-level HF license is now the General, and compare it to the Novice, it starts to look a bit different. How can we expect to attract youth in the same numbers we once did?

For the most part, I don't buy the old, boring stuff. When I was a kid, telephones were routine items, but the kid next door and I both gathered our tin cans and wire and made our own. When I was a kid, I could have (risking parental wrath) picked up the phone and called Europe, but I got a real t thrill out of talking a few states away with a station I had assembled myself.

The exception today may be that VHF HT operation is a bit boring, but the rules set that up as the entry-level license for most people and that just doesn't look as exciting as does HF operation. Even if the entry-level op decides to pass the code test and become a Tech+, those small slivers of HF aren't very exciting any more and if one works only the occasional QSO, it will not be as exciting as the wall-to-wall signals I remember on the same band segments. If ham radio has become old and boring, as you put it, it is not because its potential is old and boring, but because those first steps that people are allowed to take are on some ground that we have not made very exciting.

Today, we have Amateur Radio technology I couldn't have even dreamed of as a 13-year old Novice, what with it being much easier to put together a station that will do really cool things like satellite communication, meteor scatter, moonbounce, a host of computer-controlled digital modes, and the like. A group of hams recently used one of these digital modes to do a 2-way QRP moonbounce contact using old home-satellite dishes. When I was a kid, this stuff would have been fascinating to me -- a lot more fascinating than the tin-can phones, I tell ya.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2284162821849136329
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 05:24:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net> <3aec9fc3$0$88189$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AEE2029.E5DE054@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Tue, 1 May 2001 08:20:41 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 89
Message-ID: <3aeeaaad$0$62153$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 90356aff.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=F?OB4INJXcYbV?
_m\CJ]DVBITGoVIjmHV[JFGR4UMKEP7De4II:F;FWL_lBhL5fW3^lBFDaL\d^nU53\DhY13egX
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20651


"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AEE2029.E5DE054@ovis.net...
> Larry Klose wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > news:3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net...
> > > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if any
> > > > > experience in either field.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Leaving out whatever this thread has been about, who the authors
are,
> > etc.,
> > > > etc.  Should one presume that it is being suggested we must all be
> > political
> > > > science majors, have worked in public policy groups, be board
approved
> > as an
> > > > attorney, etc., before we are entitled to have opinions now?  In the
> > United
> > > > States, *experience* is not required for us to vote and have
opinions.
> > > >
> > > > Kim - W5TIT
> > >
> > > It would be difficult to make such a presumption since context is
> > > important to the issue.  A man who is not an attorney but who
regularly
> > > posts as if he was an expert on law probably will likely not be taken
> > > very seriously.
> >
> > Non-sense Dave, I cite a Federal statutes to assert that a
> > particular ITU and FCC rule are wrong.  You have no reply,
> > except to attack me personally, shows the weakness of
> > your position.
```

>
> Larry, I know of no one else but you in this group goofy enough to try
> arguing communications law with Phil Kane. My reply to you regarding
> your citing statues is that you frequently choose one not relating to
> the matter under discussion and try to make it fit your conditions (like
> the one relating to commercial broadcasting which you trotted out
> recently).

The commercial broadcasting case cited was very much to the point.
In that case the court rules against the FCC holding that actions and
rules once proper can become improper because of technological
changes. That case is relevant to the Morse code exam requirement
now before the Commission.

> A question for you: Are you now or have you ever been a practicing
> attorney?

No. Dave, do I need to be attorney to petition the FCC to delete
an unnecessary and non-conforming rule? Do I need to be an
attorney discuss and debate the law with Phil or any one else
on this newsgroup?

> > > In this case, the man who frequently does so has tried
> > > to link the tragic shoot-down in Peru to morse code testing.
> >
> > Dave, do you believe that it is a proper US policy to US
> > military resources to direct foreign warplanes against
> > suspect civilian aircraft, particularly after the Penagon
> > warned in 1994 that this had a high risk of killing innocent
> > people.
>
> What I believe and have previously stated here Larry is that your
> attempt to draw a link between a tragic shoot down and morse code
> testing is pathetic. They are not linked in any manner.

Well of course they not linked, but they are both the result of
government action/rules that are unnecessary, not in the
public interest, and not in conformity with law. It was government
policies, actions, and rules that created these situations.

Dave, you offer no defense of these policies or rules, so what your
point?

Larry KC8EPO

From -1224535476202682252
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 05:34:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<3aec96ae$0$88191$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEE2181.95B8121D@ovis.net>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Tue, 1 May 2001 08:33:42 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3aeeadba$0$62147$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 90356aff.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=KDgJ1P>FXkfh_P==R2PeVjBITGoVIjmHf[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;Fg7nX3o:=M8UjlBFDaL\d^ne5
3\DhY13egh
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20652

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AEE2181.95B8121D@ovis.net...
> Larry Klose wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
> > > Larry Klose wrote:
> > > >
> > > > The common element between the tragedy and the Morse code exam
> > requirement
> > > > is misguided US national and international policies. Policies that
defy
> > > > common sense and law.
> > >
> > > There is no common element which ties morse code testing to the
shooting
> > > down of a missionary aircraft in Peru, Larry.
> >
> > I did not say that, Dave. You keep distorting the fact to satify your
> > conclusion.
> >

> > I said that it is wrong for miltiary frighter jet to shoot down unarmed
> > civilian aircraft, and its wrong for communications adminstrations
> > to impose a Morse code exam requirement that is unnecessary,
> > bad public policy, and not in conformity with 5 USC 706.
>
> Sure you did, Larry. You posted an addendum to a notice of this woman's
> death bewailing the fact that she died without having access to the HF
> bands (which wasn't exactly correct) as if that made a rat's ass. You
> went on to post the drivel equating the wrongness of the shoot down with
> the "wrongness" of continued morse testing for HF access. You were a
> churl to post it.

Dave, you are the one trying to equate the two. I argue that both are
wrong,
and both are the result of government policies, actions, and rules.
The fact that results are dramatically different does not make
the discussion of the cause irrelevant.

Larry KC8EPO

From 4337171254081024355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 05:44:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 12:43:58 GMT
References: <3AEDFD63.F471DCF@dirtball.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010501084358.20134.00001779@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20653

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: Oris Grim oris@dirtball.com
>Date: 04/30/01 8:03 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>And what the government did in Waco was right?

Right or wrong, The Murderer McVeigh had NO RIGHT to end the life of 168
innocents.

>It seems to me that the FBI and the ATF where growing out of control until the
bombing in >OK. So as I said in the previous post I wonder how many lives the
bombing saved.

You are in serious need of mental health counselling, Oris. If you'll tell me what state you are in (other than dazed and confused), I will try to direct you to a proper facility.

Killing infants and toddlers to make a point is the most HIDEOUS and HORRIFIC crimes imaginable. Anyone who even TRIES to "justify" this kind of "revenge" is among the sickest of the sick.

Save yourself. Seek Help.

Steve Robeson, LPN

From -35168530105042438
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 05:46:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 12:45:49 GMT
References: <4snH6.102766$_P.28405465@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010501084549.20134.00001780@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20654

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 04/30/01 8:29 PM Eastern

>Can you tell me how cs and loud music sets fires ?

Question: What happens when you ignite a caustic gas in a confined space, "Rob"...?

Answer: Fire.

Nuff said.

Steve

```
"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html


From 1268193515441916818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 05:48:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 12:48:05 GMT
References: <yhpH6.4896$SZ5.393520@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Message-ID: <20010501084805.20134.00001781@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20655

>Subject: Re: HR-2346
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 04/30/01 10:35 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>.......Clip a bunch of self-righteous holier-than-thou rhetoric......

    As opposed to YOUR "self-righteous holier than thou rhetoric", Hans...???

>It's an FCC problem and CBer problem, not a ham radio problem.

     Guess YOUR neck allows YOUR heaad to get a bit deeper in the sand than
others, Hans.

73

The Gunny, K4YZ


"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html


From 4991042667779237974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 06:13:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 13:13:11 GMT
References: <3AEE3F41.6650@bellsouth.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Message-ID: <20010501091311.20134.00001785@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20656

>Subject: Re: HR-2346
>From: Jerry Oxendine joxendi@bellsouth.net
>Date: 05/01/01 12:44 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>OK, now let's say
>fat Bubba sheriff waltzes in and says, "OK, bud, y'all gonna quit a-
>using that 'ere reddy-o and botherin' ole John, ya heah?"

Hi Jerry,

The problem is NOT so remote. The Sheriff's Department in my home county has been using 151.625mHz as a "talk-around" channel for several years and has threatened arrest of anyone "jamming the Sheriff's frequency".

I have approached three different dispatchers with copies of FCC frequency tables and copies of the FCC's databases with the Sheriff's Departments allowed frequencies and callsigns and shown them that the itinerant channels are NOT "Sheriff's Channels".

The problem is usually "resolved" until their is a change over in staff. Thier local VHF repeater is still IDing with the wrong callsign, though. Sooner or later it will catch up to them and they will cerainly whine about "WE DIDN'T KNOW!"

To say they are ignorant of radio spectrum programs or policies is a GROSS understatement! It doesn't take a college degree or a career in Naval Communications to see how quickly this kind of "power" to "enforce" FCC regulations can be misused or abused.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -3210163700508767208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 06:14:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 08:11:51 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 988722372 64.240.47.43 (1 May 2001 08:06:12 -0500)
Lines: 75
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15516 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20657

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> However, I'll accept a variation of that - bad things have happened,
> do happen, and will happen, because of drugs - both from their use and
> from the illegal trade.

Bad things have happened, do happen, and will happen, because of the obsolete sodomy laws which prohibit oral sex between married people. Just because a law exists doesn't mean it is a good law.

> In other words, alcohol is not a good argument for legalizing drugs.

Alcohol is a perfect argument for legalizing drugs. Alcohol *IS* a legal drug. For your argument to be consistent, you must also advocate making alcohol illegal.

> A gun can be used responsibly. However I have yet to see a situation where marijuana, or any other illegal drug, can be used responsibly.

Are you ignorant of medical marijuana? It is being prescribed right now in CA for nausea and other ailments. For certain conditions, it is a wonder drug. People who can't take their medicine or keep food down because of nausea, can do so after smoking a joint.

> Even the example you gave in the previous message (using drugs after your kids were asleep) failed to show a responsible use (it was more an example of irresponsible use - keeping and using illegal drugs in a home with kids).

Adults have a perfect right to be irresponsible. Are you going to outlaw food because fat people are irresponsible?

> Cecil, you cannot possibly use something that is illegal responsibly.

Then you cannot use free speech responsibly in Cuba. Then you cannot use oral sex with your wife responsibly. There are things that are illegal that shouldn't be illegal, Dwight. Not all laws are good laws.

> Cecil, you sound like a fairly well educated and knowledgeable person (certainly knowledgeable enough to seek your own treatment), yet none of that has prevented you from using drugs.

If I had consumed alcohol during prohibition, would that have been such a bad thing? The difference between you and me, Dwight, is that I believe an individual's life belongs to that individual. You, OTOH, believe an individual's life belongs to the state. Happy socialism!

> Blaming the government is the game used by malcontents - like the government is some evil, big brother-like, entity that is always wrong, therefore has to be wrong about whatever issue the person who invokes that is promoting.

Neither is the government always right as you seem to believe. Some laws are just bad laws. The present set of US drug laws needs to be overhauled. According to the History Channel, the purpose of making marijuana illegal was to be able to discriminate against Mexican nationals. NY mayor La Guardia commissioned a study of

marijuana and found it to be no worse than alcohol, and better
in a some ways, i.e. less addictive, easier on the liver, etc.

>   If confiscation of private property was the goal of the drug laws,
> why did it take decades after the war on drugs started to enact the
> forfeiture laws?

It takes awhile to take away the freedoms from a once-free people,
Dwight, but it is certainly happening. It's hard believe that you
support murdering citizens so the state can acquire their property
which is exactly what happened in CA.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5136481060664159576
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd27f189d72f2dff
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 06:17:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Eroding of Amatuer Radio ?
Date: Tue, 1 May 2001 09:17:39 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tetds1gkp30r91@corp.supernews.com>
References: <010520010503245857%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 39
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20658

"MIchael W. McGinley" <mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM> wrote in message
news:010520010503245857%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM...
> I was browsing QRZ.com when i stumbled across this
> little article
>
> http://www.qrz.com/articles/00/10/15/1215251.shtml
>
> It was talking about a new Lic free MURS (Multi User Radio Service)
> in the 151 Mhz Range....

What it really comes down to is too many business band radios got sold without the people who brought them getting the required FCC license. So the FCC just made things simpler for themselves by making it a license free service on 5 of the old business band frequencies. Now anyone can buy one of the "MURS" radios and use it for just about anything they want, and the FCC does not have to worry about the licensing issue any more.

So when you get down to it there are now effectively 3 bands for unlicensed Part 95 "CB Radio", 40 channels on H.F. (11m band) - 14 channels on UHF (FRS radios just above the Ham 70cm band) - and now 5 channels on VHF (MURS radios just above the Ham 2m band). In a way CB Radio is expanding in to a limited version of Ham Radio, but with heavy restrictions on power, antennas, and types of emissions allowed. Of course those restrictions have never seem to be a problem for the many CBers running power, out of band operations etc. If I had a guess for the next area where problems will emerge it would be with MURS radios.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
 me running, try to keep up. "

```
From -1701025333423799951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 06:20:34 PST
Message-ID: <3AEEB7CF.84059112@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 08:19:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
<9cege6$976$0@208.206.142.67> <3AEBB9F3.834AE83B@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gck5bz0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEE63DD.DC78CD27@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 988722771 64.240.47.43 (1 May 2001 08:12:51 -0500)
Lines: 16
```

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20659
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

>   And, to be perfectly honest with you, Phil, when they cut down that beautiful Oak tree in the park across the street, I would have paid money to get at that woman and her attorney.

You can't have it both ways, Dwight. You love all laws so you cannot possibly object to this one. You cannot be allowed to pick and choose the laws you like. It's different when it's your ox getting gored, isn't it?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7076076754196158684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 06:35:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3AEE3F41.6650@bellsouth.net> <20010501091311.20134.00001785@ng-
fi1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <SXyH6.5272$SZ5.422492@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 09:34:42 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
```

Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 13:34:42 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20660

Steve Robeson LPN whined

>   The problem is NOT so remote. The Sheriff's Department in my home county
>has been using 151.625mHz as a "talk-around" channel for several years and has
>threatened arrest of anyone "jamming the Sheriff's frequency".
>
>   I have approached three different dispatchers with copies of FCC frequency
>tables and copies of the FCC's databases with the Sheriff's Departments allowed
>frequencies and callsigns and shown them that the itinerant channels are NOT
>"Sheriff's Channels".

And who appointed you the county branch of the FCC -- just another
self-important wannabe radio cop!

I bet they can hardly wait for you to come flashing your charts and your
radio-cop badge again and tell them how to run their department!

de Hans, K0HB

From -4372801410235040328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 06:53:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <4snH6.102766$_P.28405465@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010501084549.20134.00001780@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <8dzH6.106229$_P.29836142@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 13:53:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988725188 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 01 May 2001 06:53:08
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 06:53:08 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20661

Who ignited this "caustic gas" the loud music?

And btw ... cs is not flamable.

--
Rob St.Denis

"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010501084549.20134.00001780@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 04/30/01 8:29 PM Eastern
>
> >Can you tell me how cs and loud music sets fires ?
>
>       Question:  What happens when you ignite a caustic gas in a confined
space,
> "Rob"...?
>
>       Answer:  Fire.
>
>       Nuff said.
>
> Steve
>
>
> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
Time."
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html




From -2760695894255360893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 07:09:39 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 78
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <CszH6.1336$9w6.117862@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:09:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 129.111.92.164
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988726178 129.111.92.164 (Tue, 01 May 2001 07:09:38 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 07:09:38 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 07:08:08 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15518
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20662

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
> > Please, give me an example of a "victimless crime."
>
> Me smoking a joint in the privacy of my own home.
>

You are myopic Cecil. How many had to suffer to get you that one joint? How many people were killed to get you the dope for that one joint? What was the total cost in dollars and human lives to get you that one little joint? It is not a victimless crime. You will probably say "none," but you don't know that. How many people were killed or injured to protect the crop of marajuana from which your little joint was made? Those like you like to seperate yourselves from this information. You don't like to think about

what goes on from planting to joint making. It makes what you do seem
harmless and it helps to keep your conscience clear doesn't it.

> > You can't use "drugs" because they are not victimless crimes. Someone
> > almost always has to pick up the slack or suffer as a result of someone
> > using and abusing both legal and illegal drugs. Who suffers? Friends,
> > family, co-workers, tax-payers -- the list is pretty long.
>
> I'm retired and living alone, Kevin. Please explain how me having a
> joint alone in the privacy of my own home causes anyone to suffer. When
> I was married and living in CA, my wife and I smoked pot regularly. It
> enhanced our sex life and contributed to our marital bliss. We were
> responsible adults and only smoked it at night after the kids had gone
> to bed. Drugs can be used responsibly or irresponsibly, just like guns.

One thing I notice about libertarians is that they are experts at
justification. "I'm retired and living alone...." Again, how many people
were hurt or killed so that you could have that one little joint? One is
too many. There is no responsible way to use an illegal drug.
>
> > Given your thinking below I can sneak up behind someone and pick his
pocket.
> > No use of force in that interaction so it should be legal right?
>
> Of course, that's using force, Kevin. That victim is being forced to
> give up his wallet against his will even if he doesn't realize it
> until later.

Explain the use of force here. He wasn't forced to do anything. It was
there and I took the opertunity. No force involved. Involuntary doesn't
equate with force.

> > For instance, in Texas it
> > is illegal for a person under the age of 18 to ride in the back of a
pickup
> > truck at speeds in excess of 30MPH. I think it should be illegal period
but
> > many disagree.
>
> It should be illegal for kids. It should not be illegal for adults. Kids
> should be protected from stupid parents. Adults should not be protected
> from themselves.

If kids should be protected from stupid parents then why shouldn't I be
protected from stupid adults? That's why it is illegal to fire a gun within
the city limits of most cities. That's why the speed limit is 30MPH on most
city streets. You can't legislate away stupidity but you can make stupidity
very costly.

> Otherwise, someone may force you to get a facelift to
> save you from being so ugly.

If being ugly was against the law there would be lots of us in jail! Just

ask Rodney Dangerfield, his first date was a two-bagger. He wore a bag on
his head just in case the bag on her head fell off!

73/
Kevin, WB5RUE
2+2=5 for extremely large values of 2.

From 3870712788959711795
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 07:22:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 100
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 14:21:12 GMT
References: <3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Message-ID: <20010501102112.18644.00003345@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20663

>Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 05/01/01 9:11 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Bad things have happened, do happen, and will happen, because of the
>obsolete sodomy laws which prohibit oral sex between married people.

Oral sex is not sodomy.

>Just because a law exists doesn't mean it is a good law.

Yep.

>Alcohol is a perfect argument for legalizing drugs. Alcohol *IS* a
>legal drug. For your argument to be consistent, you must also
>advocate making alcohol illegal

Nope. The rabbit is out of the bag as far as alcohol goes. Legalizing other drugs does not make them less problematic or more "right". Drug abuse is drug abuse, whether it's pot, beer, or Granny's Magic Elixr.

>>   A gun can be used responsibly. However I have yet to see a
>> situation where marijuana, or any other illegal drug, can be used
>> responsibly.

>Are you ignorant of medical marijuana?

There are responsible uses of pot, just as there is a bonafide use for MODERATE amounts of alcohol. The way I read Dwight's comments is that it's possible to IRresponsibly use a LEGAL drug. No use compounding the problems.

>Adults have a perfect right to be irresponsible.

No they don't. Irresponsiblity places others in jeopardy and NO ONE has the right to jepoardize MY life or that of my family.

>Are you going to outlaw food because fat people are irresponsible?

A fat person is not likely to drive left of center just because they ate too much. A drunk or junkie is, however. How can you analogize the two, Cecil?

>Then you cannot use free speech responsibly in Cuba.

Sure you can...as long as that "free speech" supports Castro or dem\nounces the United States. (LOL!)

>Then you cannot use oral sex with your wife responsibly.

You haven't seen my wife, have you?

>There are things that are illegal that shouldn't be illegal, Dwight.

Then campaign for their change, Cecil...Make it a ballot issue. The thing here is that organizations like NORML (National Organization for the Repeal of Marijuana Laws) has been campaigning for such repeals, yet they cannot convince any majority of the electorate that such an action would be in the communities best interests.

This is not a matter of the bureaucracy "supressing" them or denying them due process...it's a matter of society deciding what's in THIER best interests...and so far a majority of Americans are NOT sufficiently swayed that another legalized drug is in thier best interests.

>NY mayor La Guardia commissioned a study of
>marijuana and found it to be no worse than alcohol, and better
>in a some ways, i.e. less addictive, easier on the liver, etc.

And in MODERN studies (ie: NOT the 1930's!) have determined that permanent brain damage DOES occur with the use of certain forms of cannibis and in certain other persons due to otehr metabolic functions. This per the AMA, AAOS and ATS.(*)

This was in the 1990's, by the way, Cecil. I have no doubt that LaGuardia's study may have been enacted for the purposes you cite, but the other medical effects have been since proven valid.

>It takes awhile to take away the freedoms from a once-free people,
>Dwight, but it is certainly happening.

And the embarrassing thing is it's done with our consent. We have so "First Amendmented" and "Civil Right's" ourselves to death that we can no longer say what we want without fear of prosecution. Neither can we associate with those whom we care to at the risk of violating someone else's Civil Rights.

The lack of foresight and responsible THINKING is our problem..........

73

Steve, K4YZ

(*) American Medical Assoc., American Assoc of Osteopathic Surgeons and the American Trauma Assoc., all of whom who have reported at various occasions in their respective journals long term damage from cannibis consumption and it's contributory nature to otehr disease processes and injuries.

From -4073321996773818303
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 07:37:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AECCCB2.4DB5B56E@dirtball.com>
<ZZ6H6.97359$_P.26800202@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AEDCC65.F445547E@uci.edu>
<3AEDFD63.F471DCF@dirtball.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <fSzH6.5356$SZ5.432265@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 10:36:59 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:36:59 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15519
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20664

In a sudden fit of brain activity, Oris Grim blurted out:

> It seems to me that the FBI and the ATF where growing out
> of control until the bombing in OK.

Yeah, killing all those babies surely showed those feds!

TM is getting off >WAY< too easy!

Hans

From -2677026387415434537
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 07:45:52 PST
Message-ID: <3AEECBCD.AC3818B7@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 09:44:29 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <20010501102112.18644.00003345@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 988727888 64.240.47.43 (1 May 2001 09:38:08 -0500)
Lines: 41
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!feeder.via.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20665

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Bad things have happened, do happen, and will happen, because of the
> >obsolete sodomy laws which prohibit oral sex between married people.
>
>   Oral sex is not sodomy.

Oral sex is (was?) defined as sodomy in the Texas Sodomy Laws. I believe
that is still true in Louisiana.

>   Nope. The rabbit is out of the bag as far as alcohol goes. Legalizing
> other drugs does not make them less problematic or more "right". Drug abuse is
> drug abuse, whether it's pot, beer, or Granny's Magic Elixr.

But one is legal and one is not. That's certainly not right.

> >Adults have a perfect right to be irresponsible.
>
> No they don't.

Fat people don't have a right to be fat?

> >Are you going to outlaw food because fat people are irresponsible?
>
>   A fat person is not likely to drive left of center just because they ate
> too much. A drunk or junkie is, however. How can you analogize the two,
> Cecil?

Seems you believe driving left of center is OK if one is fat and sober.

> Then campaign for their change, Cecil...

That's exactly what I'm doing, Steve, along with the Governer of NM.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2134540121511165957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 07:49:25 PST
Message-ID: <3AEECC9D.7275AAA4@IEEE.org>

```
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 09:47:57 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org>
<CszH6.1336$9w6.117862@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 988728096 64.240.47.43 (1 May 2001 09:41:36 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!
feed3.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15520
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20666
```

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:

>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > Me smoking a joint in the privacy of my own home.
>
> You are myopic Cecil. How many had to suffer to get you that one joint?
> How many people were killed to get you the dope for that one joint? What
> was the total cost in dollars and human lives to get you that one little
> joint? It is not a victimless crime.

Kevin, you are like the kid who murdered his parents and then threw himself on the mercy of the courts because he was an orphan. The immoral, illogical drug laws are the cause of all that misery you list. It's certainly not my fault. All that occurs whether I smoke

a joint or not.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7612010445123010583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 07:56:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Date: 1 May 2001 14:56:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9cmiqp$qfj$0@208.206.142.108>
References: <9ciqu7$m0n$1@208.207.71.138> <20010430065713.13449.00001658@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.108
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20667

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> I know of no official attitude that says that, Dick. Can you provide any
> >quotes
> >> or references to anything you consider "official?"
>
> >Oh, Balderdash!!! every ARRL official who has spoken on the issue has
> >said some version of it! You are NOT doing your credibility any good by
> >ignoring it all.
>
> I know of no ARRL official that has said that Amateur Radio needs warm bodies
> at all costs.

Of course not, Ed. When did they ever say anything in words that explain their exact thoughts?

I have heard them say that continued growth is important to the
> health of the Amateur Radio service in the face of increasing spectrum
> pressures.

Right! and by Jove, if the warm bodies 'don't want to', we'll help
make it so easy that they will (won't they? WON'T THEY?)

From 7794351347408766016
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 08:00:58 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: 1 May 2001 15:00:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9cmj1q$r0m$0@208.206.142.108>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.108
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20668

N2EY wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: HR-2346
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 4/30/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
> >
> >I disagree. It is an amateur issue should an amateur be "misclassified" as a
> >CB'er and [improperly] subjected to the law.
>
> Agreed! This is one of the main reasons for the hostility some amateurs display
> towards CBers.
>
> > That's why some of us are
> >bitching

> >about it. The average person doesn't know the difference.
>
> A problem the amateur radio service has suffered from for more than 30 years.
> The general public does not think more highly of radio amateurs because of this
> confusion.
>
> > It gets worse
> >when
> >considering that there is an overlap of equipment used in both services.
> >
> Except for antennas, transmission lines and power supplies, what equipment is
> used in both services? CB equipment must be type accepted for that service.
> Modifying it for ham use means it is no longer cb gear.

The probelm is that Freebanders and CBers illegally running VFO and
High power operation on the legal 40 also use modified ham gear, and
illegal gear built for that market. The public doesn't know the
difference between ham radio, legal CB, illegal CB, and out-and-out
freebanding. All looks the same to the unknowing.

From 3851372389620716463
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 16:52:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
<NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com>
<JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<F5GH6.24551$qD1.474232@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <4%HH6.109814$_P.30722177@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 23:52:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988761152 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 01 May 2001 16:52:32
PDT)

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 16:52:32 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15543
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20719

To tell ya the truth I'm not too sure ... but I heard it was up close to the
cost of a year in jail

--
Rob St.Denis

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:F5GH6.24551$qD1.474232@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
>
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > And at a cost of what 44000$ us per year ?
>
> I doubt he would even have lasted a year so the cost would have been less.
> By the way do you know what it costs the state to "properly" conduct an
> execution?
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
> NCI Member
> --
> "We learn in time that it is not subjects which
> are controversial, but the manner in which
> we communicate about them and the
> elements of personal blame we
> add to them in anger."
>




From 3618545388707628878
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 17:56:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 3
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 00:56:11 GMT
```

References: <3AEBB601.38AE1868@sccoast.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010501205611.03385.00000756@ng-mm1.news.cs.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20720

Thanks Dwight.

73 de Bert

From -1698703528922O2239
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 18:18:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 01:17:39 GMT
References: <3aef3ffd.93705978@news.idsi.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010501211739.12882.00001924@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20721

>From: Garvin@Truss.net

>>Why do some hams feel a need to perceive the hobby as dying on the vine?

>Because they are bored or just plain pulling our legs. The hobby
>isn't dying at all. Period. A 1% increase doesn't mean the hobby is
>dying.

A 1% decrease wouldn't mean the hobby is dying, either. I expect to see some decrease as the many Techs who became licensed when the no-code Tech license was introduced, but never became active as hams, do not renew their licenses. The numbers will drop, maybe as much as 20%, by my finger in the wind. I am sure there will be those that proclaim how it is dying, in spite of the fact that these hams were lost to us as long as 10 years ago.

I guess I have a long term view of investment, rather than short term. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4962154623993230133
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 18:19:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 01:19:03 GMT
References: <3AEF07CE.E01EDC28@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Message-ID: <20010501211903.12882.00001925@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20722

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>In my book, that makes you a liberal (or possibly a fascist which is even worse).

Cecil, comparing Dick's views of Morse code to an oppressive regime in the middle of the last century is absurd. It looks to me like you are just trying to get his goat.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8539387232744875138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a9be294a2201e7c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 18:22:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 01:21:37 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011942380.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARES vs RACES
Message-ID: <20010501212137.12882.00001926@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20723

>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org

> Although individuals participating in ARES are licensed amateurs,

>there is no additional licensing such as in RACES, nor is there any
>government
>sanctioned tie-in to the civil defense infrastructure.

This ignores the numerous memoranda of understanding between ARES and various served agencies.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4407747259200115956
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 18:24:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 01:24:00 GMT
References: <9cmjql$sho$1@208.206.142.108>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010501212400.12882.00001927@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20724

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>But Tom! ED Hare insists that people only get into ham radio because
>they WANT to learn this old hard technical stuff!

Yes, I said that.

> They NEVER just memorize nor word associate their way through
> the tests (the few who are actually taking tests).

Didn't say that.

Good thing you are not tested on your understanding of the issues on rrap. On this one, you didn't make the requisite 75%. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1395994135481606311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7db8ae910442cbfd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 18:27:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 01:26:42 GMT
References: <9cmiqp$qfj$0@208.206.142.108>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: It's Not About Numbers, It's About Quality
Message-ID: <20010501212642.12882.00001928@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20725

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I know of no ARRL official that has said that Amateur Radio needs warm
>bodies
>> at all costs.

> Of course not, Ed. When did they ever say anything in words that
>explain their exact thoughts?

Okay, as long as we all understand that you are using that special ability to read people's secret thoughts, I can let it go at that.

Thanks for the clarification. I am curious, though, not having your special ability. Why would people with no vested financial interest in the outcome have secret thoughts that Amateur Radio needs warm bodies at all costs?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3109873983817440022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 18:32:17 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF6353.7E0D9A66@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 20:30:59 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>

<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <l_FH6.42412$B22.10324779@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp 988766677 64.240.47.59 (1 May 2001 20:24:37 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20726

HelmutSweenie wrote:
> Because owning a Ranger 2950 is not illegal, nor using one to listen to is
> not illegal. regardless if you have a license or not. Now what ?

I missed some of the postings but I thought we were talking about a ham
using a transmitter VS a CBer using the same transmitter. How's the cop
going to tell the difference? - the FCC license.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4783099649153200305
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 18:36:29 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF6454.EDFA5838@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 20:35:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>

```
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
<NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com>
<JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<F5GH6.24551$qD1.474232@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp 988766934 64.240.47.59 (1 May 2001 20:28:54 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!in.nntp.be!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15545
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20727

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> I doubt he would even have lasted a year so the cost would have been less.
> By the way do you know what it costs the state to "properly" conduct an
> execution?

How about a 50 cent cartridge?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 8884536172597506409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 18:39:16 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF64F9.31B21DC8@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 20:38:01 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3AEF07CE.E01EDC28@IEEE.org> <20010501211903.12882.00001925@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp 988767098 64.240.47.59 (1 May 2001 20:31:38 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20728

W1RFI wrote:
> Cecil, comparing Dick's views of Morse code to an oppressive regime in the
> middle of the last century is absurd. It looks to me like you are just trying
> to get his goat.

I'm going for the rest of the herd, Ed.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From -8226643353836936529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 18:51:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
<NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com>

```
<JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<F5GH6.24551$qD1.474232@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 23
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <dKJH6.1747$Gf1.520739@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /Kp2lrwpa82rvtcvBaozO8e6E0cVqhNutzji14aS7kqkzIlmRuvRSTBVjYl6/
v4c2LupjokiPQJ6!
rzKJ8XmrtVwU6Ke72+m8LkR0QeXU5WbilGX7YfykNZC0b+Q5+xNUiLusJO4dif3Og9xGcuh8gs4P!
VeAEDAOFpg==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 01:51:05 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 01:51:05 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15548
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20729
```

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message news:F5GH6.24551$qD1.474232@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...

>
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > And at a cost of what 44000$ us per year ?
>
> I doubt he would even have lasted a year so the cost would have been less.
> By the way do you know what it costs the state to "properly" conduct an
> execution?
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

If they promoted it properly, they would make a huge profit, rather than being an expense to the taxpayers...

73 de W6DKN

```
From -2122747535817377864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 18:53:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!
lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 21:57:05 -0400
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3AEF6971.DD694EA@dirtball.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 162.39.205.194
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 988768406 7068 162.39.205.194 (2 May 2001 01:53:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 May 2001 01:53:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15549
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20730

Yet the FBI and the ATF can kill babies!

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > He's an admitted killer, he commited a crime and deserves to be punished
> > according to the laws of the land he is in.  He should have done his
> bombing
> > somewhere without the death penalty.  Then he could spend his days getting
> > raped in a penn on the gov't tip.
>
> There is a pecking order even in a prison. A child molester often has to be
> placed in a more secured section to keep the other prisoners from killing
> them. Remember that many prisoners have kids too, and they don't like child
> molesters. I remember a while back here in Michigan a guy was found guilty
> of molesting some kids and went to prison. It was in the news for a few
> weeks. Shortly after getting there somebody, in the prison, put a contract
> on his life. I found this all out because my ex-wife worked at the county
> jail for a while, and she spoke to the officers in charge of running the
> prisoners between the jail and the prison. In Timothy McVeigh's case I think
> he would find himself in the same position as the child molester so I doubt
> he would live all that long in prison before somebody killed him.
```

>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
> me running, try to keep up. "

From -3903991364465152284
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd27f189d72f2dff
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 19:14:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <010520010503245857%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
<tetds1gkp30r91@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: The Eroding of Amatuer Radio ?
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <s4KH6.890$t12.68317@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 02:14:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.176.180
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988769688 12.88.176.180 (Wed, 02 May 2001 02:14:48 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 02:14:48 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20731

Well, the problems are on the way. Guys have discovered that if you turn this cute little pot, the radio is 'louder' (read, I'm trying to transmit wideband FM with my radio). Amps are being discussed. How to replace the dummy load antenna on an FRS radio with a 'real' antenna. The big thing is that they are moving the mess out of the HF bands where there won't be a problem with F2 reflection. Of course, there is sporadic E and tropo effects, but hopefully it will tend to keep transmission within the United States and reduce the incentive to run high power.

As far as business band, I assume true business owners will switch to trunking radios - anywhere to get out of the way. A co-worker of mine bought a boat last year and is enjoying the marine radio. On land, of course.

Sigh.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

ps - one can only hope that the new bands will attract people who only want to communicate locally. This is not an insignificant number of CBers. From talking with some (face to face, here, locally) I am finding out the only reason some of them run amps (small, like 50 watts) is so they can actually communicate 10 miles what with all the big radios out there in 'skipland'. If a large portion of the CBers move to VHF or UHF to obtain reliable local communication, it might just become possible to actually enforce regulations back on 11 meters.

```
From -3006895799693163289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 19:17:47 PST
From: Leo <leonard@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 16:34:19 -0700
Organization: SSO-IT, Hewlett-Packard Co.
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <3AEF47FB.6030BA9@hotmail.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
<3aec5d2a.13550881@news5.bellatlantic.net> <3aedc446.63723470@news2.dvol.com>
<9ckrng1242l@enews4.newsguy.com> <3aee9e2e.510704988@news.accessunited.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ndcp5018.cup.hp.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!sdd.hp.com!hp-corv.cv.hp.com!hpb10302.boi.hp.com!
news.cup.hp.com!not-for-mail
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12635
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15550 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20732
```

Tom W8JI wrote:
>
> On 30 Apr 2001 23:16:00 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
> wrote:
>
> >Exceptions are everywhere. I doubt, however, that this 13-year-old represents
> >any majority.
>
> In the year following the date code was dropped to 5 WPM, there was no
> significant change in the age or number of new Hams. We only gained
> about 1% increase.

Even if it were 1 WPM it'd be still a waste of time to have to learn it and
not to use it at all once licensed. In my opinion code is the single most
significant factor that HAM loses ground as a popular hobby.

>
> The only major change was about 30% of those who were already Ham's
> upgraded.

Naturally.

>
> If code is such a barrier, where are the lines of people? All reducing
> code does is give existing people free upgrades.

The code is still there so there is no people on the line, simple as that.

>
> Face it folks, young kids (and other people) aren't interested because
> this is old boring stuff. It isn't a video game. It is work and
> learning, not recreational.

Believe me, I am MSEE major and I can be as, if not more, qualified to be
licensed but when I started to learn code in order to be licensed I cooled
down. I am still hanging in there but I am not going to be on the line
any time soon. I am just that close to begin transmitting. A license would
tip me to get a microphone to get me started.

That's a bit exaggerated of course. I have transmitting equipment but I don't
have any receiver yet. The code requirement has kept me in the mode of being
a frequent HRO visitor but not customer for a long time. I am afraid HRO
won't get my business any time soon except I kept on picking up free catalog
from them each time I visit..

Leo

From 4231909620881427856
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 19:20:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com> <3AEF6971.DD694EA@dirtball.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 5
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <o9KH6.110596$_P.31115959@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 02:20:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988770004 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 01 May 2001 19:20:04
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:20:04 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15551
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20733
```

> Yet the FBI and the ATF can kill babies!

When did they ever kill babies ?

```
From -7960269852073540597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 19:22:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net> <MlYG6.81$LO6.11929@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AED6A28.A267DAFC@ovis.net>
```

```
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Lines: 138
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <vbKH6.157$Cq3.11598@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 02:22:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.115.180
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988770139 64.157.115.180 (Tue, 01 May
2001 19:22:19 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:22:19 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:20:46 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20734
```

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:3AED6A28.A267DAFC@ovis.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > news:3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net...
> > > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > > ITU rule S25.5 and FCC rule 47 CFR 97.503(a) are unnecessary,
bad
> > public
> > > > > > policy, and not in conformity with 5 USC 706.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The FCC is moving right along with its housecleaning work, now
time
> > for
> > > > > > President GW Bush and Secretary Powell to review President
Clinton
> > "war
> > > > on
> > > > > > drug" aerial combat polices.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Larry KC8EPO
> > > > >
> > > > > This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if any
> > > > > experience in either field.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Leaving out whatever this thread has been about, who the authors
are,
> > etc.,
```

> > > > etc. Should one presume that it is being suggested we must all be
> > political
> > > > science majors, have worked in public policy groups, be board
approved
> > as an
> > > > attorney, etc., before we are entitled to have opinions now? In the
> > United
> > > > States, *experience* is not required for us to vote and have
opinions.
> > > >
> > > > Kim - W5TIT
> > >
> > >
> > > It would be difficult to make such a presumption since context is
> > > important to the issue. A man who is not an attorney but who
regularly
> > > posts as if he was an expert on law probably will likely not be taken
> > > very seriously. In this case, the man who frequently does so has
tried
> > > to link the tragic shoot-down in Peru to morse code testing. He has
> > > proclaimed that bad U.S. policy ties the two issues. He also added
his
> > > personal eulogy bemoaning the late missionary radio amateur's denied
HF
> > > access in her home country (as if being shot from the sky wasn't
enough
> > > of a reason to mourn her).
> > >
> > > Anyone in the U.S. is entitled to an opinion--even if it is based on
> > > flawed thinking and lack of information. Those who reach eighteen
years
> > > of age may vote. That vote can be cast based on flawed thinking and
> > > lack of information. No one is forced to read a newspaper, a news
> > > magazine or even to watch what passes for news on TV. Those of us who
> > > stayed awake during civics class in school remember that we have the
> > > responsibility to make informed choices. No one forces us to carry
out
> > > that responsibility. Stupidity has not been banned so we are also free
> > > to make bad or poor choices.
> > >
> > > We don't vote on each local, state or national issue. We elect
> > > representatives who vote on these for us. So, Kim, you need not be a
> > > political science major or graduate nor do you need to be an attorney
> > > before holding opinions. You should have some idea of what is going
on
> > > before you form those opinions.
> > >
> > > So, in your comments regarding the thread, do you see a link between
> > > morse testing and the death of a missionary woman who happened to hold
> > > an amateur radio license? Do you agree or disagree with Larry's
attempt
> > > to use the issue of the shoot down as a means of delivering additional
> > > anti-morse code testing rhetoric?

> > >
> > > Think carefully....
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > My submission to the newsgroup was not dependent upon topic or
credentials.
> > My post to the newsgroup was on the line: "This is most interesting
coming
> > from a fellow with little if any experience in either field." It seems
that
> > most of your observations above, in direct response to my comment, would
> > agree with my comments. It seems that your admonition (if you will) was
> > more out of emotional response to something you disagreed with. Thank
you.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> I found little in your comment to agree with since it consisted mostly
> of a question. Certainly your post addressed the material concerning
> credentials because you questioned whether specific credentials should
> be required.
>
> Let's see if we happen to be in agreement. Would you agree to the
> statement, "Larry Klose has no experience as an attorney, no experience
> in the formulation of U.S. foreign or domestic policy and little
> experience as a radio amateur."?
>
> Dave K8MN

Oh, I found you agreeing to a major degree; however perception is
everything.

Since I do not know Larry Klose, how would I presume to know whether he has
experience as an attorney? I do not know. He has obviously had lots of
experience in the formulation of US foreign and domestic policy--if he has
ever voted. But again, I do not know. And, again, I do not know if
anything of his experience as a radio amateur.

And, again, my comments have nothing to with the authors or topical
discussion at the time of my posting. My comments had everyting to do with
your one line: "This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if
any experience in either field." My observation regarding your comment--and
I am of the opinion it would bridge over any opinions expressed here under
any topic--was that one need not have experience to hold a particular
opinion in any particular subject. That is all. Nothing more.

Kim W5TIT

From -4291149123340771409

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 20:21:58 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.newsread.com!bad-news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!
newsread.com!POSTED.newshog.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <3aef7719.566244026@news.accessunited.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
<3aec5d2a.13550881@news5.bellatlantic.net> <3aedc446.63723470@news2.dvol.com>
<9ckrng1242l@enews4.newsguy.com> <3aee9e2e.510704988@news.accessunited.com>
<3AEF47FB.6030BA9@hotmail.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 78
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 03:21:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.43
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: newshog.newsread.com 988773710 216.1.128.43 (Tue, 01 May 2001 23:21:50 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 23:21:50 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12641
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15552 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20735

On Tue, 01 May 2001 16:34:19 -0700, Leo <leonard@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> In the year following the date code was dropped to 5 WPM, there was no
>> significant change in the age or number of new Hams. We only gained
>> about 1% increase.
>
>Even if it were 1 WPM it'd be still a waste of time to have to learn it and
>not to use it at all once licensed. In my opinion code is the single most
>significant factor that HAM loses ground as a popular hobby.

Statistically, there was virtually no difference in lowering CW from 13 WPM to 5 WPM. This is a repeat of earlier test reductions. The big sales pitch we all heard was we were going to get an influx of new Hams.

That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$

Didn't work.

We created a no-code tech because it was going to be "the answer", yet many of them turned out to not have the faintest interest in the hobby. Of those I know first hand, some never actually took the tests they were supposed to take. Few of them are active or interested. I know about 30 people who received no-codes...only two remain active. One is a 13 WPM General, and the other is a wife who uses the radio to talk to her husband.

At MFJ, where the people work with radios, over a dozen people became no-code hams. Of those, only one is active.

I would think if CW was a barrier, there would have been a steady stream of no-coders entering the door. Not a big shot that tapered to nothing. It would seem logical that a reduction from 13 WPM to 5 WPM for HF operation would have a large impact on newcomers...yet it didn't.

I wopuld think the no-code class would be a hit, didn't happen.

>> If code is such a barrier, where are the lines of people? All reducing
>> code does is give existing people free upgrades.
>
>The code is still there so there is no people on the line, simple as that.

Umm, no code has been available for a long time. It hasn't worked so far. Should we wait longer?

>> Face it folks, young kids (and other people) aren't interested because
>> this is old boring stuff. It isn't a video game. It is work and
>> learning, not recreational.
>
>Believe me, I am MSEE major and I can be as, if not more, qualified to be
>licensed but when I started to learn code in order to be licensed I cooled
>down. I am still hanging in there but I am not going to be on the line
>any time soon. I am just that close to begin transmitting. A license would
>tip me to get a microphone to get me started.
>
>That's a bit exaggerated of course. I have transmitting equipment but I don't
>have any receiver yet. The code requirement has kept me in the mode of being
>a frequent HRO visitor but not customer for a long time. I am afraid HRO
>won't get my business any time soon except I kept on picking up free catalog
>from them each time I visit..

There are all sorts of people in the world. Virtually all people get interested by listening and so have receiving equipment or experience. That is the general rule.

CB radio has no code test, activity there has dropped like a rock from the years when it peaked.

I'm not discrediting you, but there is nothing that has happened so far that indicates what you predict is true. Suppose we drop CW, and nothing happens except problems. Do you suppose we can put it back later?

Have you know anything that was deregulated or opened-up that became more orderly?

73 Tom

```
From 3893091090977103578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 20:24:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Tim Randa <randas@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 23:24:03 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 61
Message-ID: <3AEF7DD3.13916B8B@mindspring.com>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
<3aec5d2a.13550881@news5.bellatlantic.net> <3aedc446.63723470@news2.dvol.com>
<9ckrng1242l@enews4.newsguy.com> <3aee9e2e.510704988@news.accessunited.com>
<3AEF47FB.6030BA9@hotmail.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.56.2c.18
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 03:24:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12642
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15553 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20736
```

Well Leo.......it seems to me you have 3 options.

#1. You can quit your crying, suck it up, and learn the code.

#2. You can sell all your equipment and spend your time doing something you can handle

#3. You can hold your breath and wait for the code requirement to go away.

I recommend number 3
de K0FL

Leo wrote:

> Tom W8JI wrote:
> >
> > On 30 Apr 2001 23:16:00 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Exceptions are everywhere. I doubt, however, that this 13-year-old represents
> > >any majority.
> >
> > In the year following the date code was dropped to 5 WPM, there was no
> > significant change in the age or number of new Hams. We only gained
> > about 1% increase.
>
> Even if it were 1 WPM it'd be still a waste of time to have to learn it and
> not to use it at all once licensed. In my opinion code is the single most
> significant factor that HAM loses ground as a popular hobby.
>
> >
> > The only major change was about 30% of those who were already Ham's
> > upgraded.
>
> Naturally.
>
> >
> > If code is such a barrier, where are the lines of people? All reducing
> > code does is give existing people free upgrades.
>
> The code is still there so there is no people on the line, simple as that.
>
> >
> > Face it folks, young kids (and other people) aren't interested because
> > this is old boring stuff. It isn't a video game. It is work and
> > learning, not recreational.
>
> Believe me, I am MSEE major and I can be as, if not more, qualified to be
> licensed but when I started to learn code in order to be licensed I cooled
> down. I am still hanging in there but I am not going to be on the line
> any time soon. I am just that close to begin transmitting. A license would
> tip me to get a microphone to get me started.
>
> That's a bit exaggerated of course. I have transmitting equipment but I don't
> have any receiver yet. The code requirement has kept me in the mode of being
> a frequent HRO visitor but not customer for a long time. I am afraid HRO
> won't get my business any time soon except I kept on picking up free catalog
> from them each time I visit..
>
> Leo

From 4014906826651588476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 20:50:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: 2 May 2001 03:01:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9cntb70146@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com> <3AEF6971.DD694EA@dirtball.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-027.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15554
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20737

...and you obviously don't believe in the philosophy/cliche about the two wrongs.

In article <3AEF6971.DD694EA@dirtball.com>, oris@dirtball.com says...
>
>Yet the FBI and the ATF can kill babies!
>
>"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
>> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
>> news:w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
>> > He's an admitted killer, he commited a crime and deserves to be punished
>> > according to the laws of the land he is in. He should have done his
>> bombing
>> > somewhere without the death penalty. Then he could spend his days getting
>> > raped in a penn on the gov't tip.
>>
>> There is a pecking order even in a prison. A child molester often has to be
>> placed in a more secured section to keep the other prisoners from killing
>> them. Remember that many prisoners have kids too, and they don't like child
>> molesters. I remember a while back here in Michigan a guy was found guilty
>> of molesting some kids and went to prison. It was in the news for a few
>> weeks. Shortly after getting there somebody, in the prison, put a contract
>> on his life. I found this all out because my ex-wife worked at the county

```
>> jail for a while, and she spoke to the officers in charge of running the
>> prisoners between the jail and the prison. In Timothy McVeigh's case I think
>> he would find himself in the same position as the child molester so I doubt
>> he would live all that long in prison before somebody killed him.
>>
>> --
>> Leland C. Scott
>> KC8LDO
>>
>> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>>
>> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
>>  me running, try to keep up. "
>



From -3835473556539805071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 20:56:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 2 May 2001 03:56:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9co0he$kuk$0@208.206.142.73>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mlp$dfi$2@208.207.71.157>
<3AEF07CE.E01EDC28@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20738



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
```

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > You liberals
> >
> > If you think I'm a liberal it just completes the confirmation that
> > you're an idiot.
>
> If you walk like a liberal and quack like a liberal, Dick, what other
> conclusion can I draw? Liberals love affirmative action programs. You
> love affirmative action programs for Morse code. In my book, that
> makes you a liberal (or possibly a fascist which is even worse).

Wow! The grape juice must be flowing tonight. Or is it.......?

From -8116155683679074064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 20:59:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: 2 May 2001 03:59:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9co0n7$lu1$0@208.206.142.73>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mjv$dfi$1@208.207.71.157>
<3AEF090D.A3618715@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20739

W6RCecilA wrote:
>

Liberals don't believe in personal
> responsibility.

Well, that lets me out. I've been responsible for myself for a LONG
time, and so far I have managed to avoid all the vices that you freely
acknowledge your participation into. Wonder what that means?

```
From 8248513551962042189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 21:02:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: 2 May 2001 04:02:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9co0ta$m9q$0@208.206.142.73>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
<9cege6$976$0@208.206.142.67> <3AEBB9F3.834AE83B@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gck5bz0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEE63DD.DC78CD27@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcnw0r1.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEF09D2.9491BBB1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20740
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >   A classmate of mine was involved in a case where a taxi driver
> >   pinned a robber to the wall with his cab until the cops arrived. The
> >   taxi company was sued - and lost - for damages in the vicinity of
> > $45,000, which is what the City and County Health Services claimed
> >   was its expenses for medical care in putting the robber back
> >   together again.
>
> There's a moral there. Don't leave enough to be put back together.
> How does an individual live rationally in an irrational society?

Yep. If you have to shoot the invader breaking into your house, and the

shot blows him back outside, drag him back inside the house before
calling the cops.

```
From -5385538528541990361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 21:08:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
Date: 2 May 2001 04:08:10 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9co17a$n0r$0@208.206.142.73>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
<9c6mog$dfi$3@208.207.71.157> <3AEF0AE9.B1E0C5AC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15555
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20741
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So it's OK to send your kids out with the homosexual kind because
> > there's only half as many of them. I think we understand where you're
> > coming from.
>
> As usual, your phase is 180 degrees away from my meaning which is:
>
> Since there are twice as many heterosexual child molesters as
> homosexual child molesters, be very careful with your little
> girls.

So what does that have to do with the singular subject of homosexuals and the Boy Scouts? NOTHING, of course. You always inject some off topic twist then point the finger with no data whatever except what you can pull from certain of your nether orfices.

Why would you want me not to be careful with my little
> girls, Dick? I think I understand where you're coming from.

Where you BEEN?

From 3685004284593753900
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 21:27:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 00:27:49 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-171.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988777654 108503 204.116.70.172 (2 May 2001 04:27:34 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 04:27:34 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20742

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> True that there is no PROPER equipment in both
> services, but if one were to follow Dwight
> Stewart's suggestion, a majority of amateur
> 10m rigs would be on his proposed "illegal CB

> equipment list." In such a situation, what is
> a cop going to do: See the radio on the list
> and arrest, or see the radio on the list
> and KNOW that it could be used in a licensed
> service and do nothing (or nothing more than
> inquire)? I'm willing to bet the former
> outcome more likely.

From H. R. 2346 (now 47 U.S.C. 302a, as amended)...

(2) A station that is licensed by the Commission
pursuant to section 301 in any radio service
for the operation at issue shall not be subject
to action by a State or local government under
this subsection. A State or local government
statute or ordinance enacted for purposes of
this subsection shall identify the exemption
available under this paragraph.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2755760157814607899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5b95c3d4e9bc808
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 21:42:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF7415.376A04E3@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 02:42:29 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Where are you, Hiram? We need you!
References: <3aecd275.1812179@news2.dvol.com> <20010430155300.09500.00000490@nso-fy.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s14.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 1 May 2001 23:37:39 -0400, s14.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 11
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s14.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20743

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Shall I save some tuna tins for you, Brian? I can throw in a
> couple of 2N2218s and a color burst crystal. You can use

> those in a high-tech added-doubler-stage to be rockbound
> on 40m at 7159 MHz. :-)

Be sure to save some of each for your rig, Len. You can use it after
you go for that Extra out of the box.

Dave K8MN

```
From 1555018605007418948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a9be294a2201e7c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 22:00:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcoqk31.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3AEF03F4.D0D00650@crosslink.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011942380.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARES vs RACES
Lines: 37
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 05:00:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.114
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988779642 63.178.67.114 (Wed, 02 May 2001
05:00:42 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 05:00:42 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20744
```

On Tue, 01 May 2001 19:49:07 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>RACES: A once FCC licensed [sub- or overlapping] service,
>comprised of civil defense officials and amateur radio operators,
>recently eliminated at the same time as the amateur radio rules
>restructuring that took place on April 15, 2000. Existing
>licensees can continue to operate witin the terms of their
>licenses until expiration, whereby they will not be renewed.

Wrong-o. RACES as a (sub)service still exists. What was
eliminated was separate stations (= call signs) for RACES
stations. The way out - license the station as an amateur
radio club or use the call sign of any member or honcho.

>ARES: The ARRL's delusion of an "emergency service" that

>carries no weight or authority whatsoever with regard to any law,
>rule, or regulation at any level of government. Although
>individuals participating in ARES are licensed amateurs, there is
>no additional licensing such as in RACES, nor is there any
>government sanctioned tie-in to the civil defense infrastructure.

Maybe not where you are, but here in Oregon the RACES and ARES
are co-terminous - same folks, same repeaters. ARES acts when
the situation is not serious enough for the formal emergency
services to be fired up.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County), Oregon

ARES Oregon District One Net
Daily, 147.32 MHz, 7:30 pm

From 3967639559306065200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 22:03:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 01:03:24 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3AEF94F5.AE98B64A@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105012055260.8544-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-171.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988779785 109383 204.116.70.172 (2 May 2001 05:03:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 05:03:05 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20745

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> It's more likely that one would be thrown
> against the nearest wall, frisked, have
> the gun taken, then have it returned when
> a valid permit to CCW is discovered. Since
> there's a safety issue here, a police
> officer is going to be more concerned about
> his own safety than in the rights of the
> suspect, even if there is no actual violation
> or arrest.

Most states that issue a permit to carry a concealed weapon will also require the holder to immediately announce that he/she is carrying a concealed weapon when approached by a law enforcement officer (even off duty law enforcement officers are required to do this in some states). The choice to frisk is based on the circumstances, not on an announcement of a concealed weapon. If the circumstance warrants, a person will be frisked to discover if a weapon is present regardless of any claims regarding a weapon. If the circumstance does not warrant, the person will not be frisked even following the announcement of a concealed weapon.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -5107307060616461271
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 22:14:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 64
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 05:13:59 GMT
References: <20010501211739.12882.00001924@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010502011359.26454.00000652@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20746

In article <20010501211739.12882.00001924@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: Garvin@Truss.net
>
>>>Why do some hams feel a need to perceive the hobby as dying on the vine?
>
>>Because they are bored or just plain pulling our legs. The hobby
>>isn't dying at all. Period. A 1% increase doesn't mean the hobby is
>>dying.
>
>A 1% decrease wouldn't mean the hobby is dying, either. I expect to see some
>decrease as the many Techs who became licensed when the no-code Tech >license
was introduced, but never became active as hams, do not renew their >licenses.

I detect a tad of that "special ability" of divination at work here.

How has it been determined that Technician class licensees
"never became active as hams?" Or that they will "not renew
their licenses?

In the PRE-restructuring time from end of March 1998 to the end
of March 2000, the number of total US amateur radio licensees
grew from 674,767 to 677,784 for a gain of 0.45 percent in that
period of two years (based on Mar 98 total licensees).

In that same time Technician class licensees grew by 21,408
but all the other five classes, together, lost 18,391.

Technician class license numbers did not jump up immediately
ten years ago but have grown steadily. If there is any validity to
the claim that Techs will all fail to renew (implausible) then there
will be an additional drop of approximately 20K (give or take) in
US ARS numbers over the next year. Since the total of non-
Technician licensees has been steadily dropping (but at a small
amount, roughly equal to about 80% of the increase of Techs),
then there will be a marked change in the total licensees.

>The numbers will drop, maybe as much as 20%, by my finger in the wind.

Which "numbers?" At the end of March 1998 Technician class
licensees represented 27.2% of all US ARS licensees. At the
end of March 2000 Technician class licensees represented
30.2% of all US ARS licensees. Steady growth there. Steady
decline in the total number of non-Technician licensees and
those declines cannot be all blamed on the lack of renewal of
the Novice class licensees.

>I am
>sure there will be those that proclaim how it is dying, in spite of the fact
>that these hams were lost to us as long as 10 years ago.

Which class are "these hams [that] were lost?"

What about the 18,391 licensees who did not renew between
Mar 1998 and Mar 2000 that were NOT technician class?

Note that these numbers I've given do NOT encompass the
restructuring date of 15 April 2000 nor can they be
explained away in some long, drawn-out denial-syndrome
posting (as another has done). The code-tested licensees'
numbers are dropping, they were dropping before, they will
continue to drop by all the database tabulations. That's
not a "finger in the wind," it is merely a "salute." :-)

From 3764579243559226568
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 01:53:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 04:54:31 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 115
Message-ID: <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.44
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988793628 112919 207.144.64.44 (2 May 2001 08:53:48
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 08:53:48 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15556
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20747

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Just because a law exists doesn't mean
> it is a good law.

Don't think I said otherwise, Cecil. However, the existing drug laws are just fine by me.

> Alcohol is a perfect argument for
> legalizing drugs. Alcohol *IS* a
> legal drug. For your argument to
> be consistent, you must also
> advocate making alcohol illegal.

Sorry, but I disagree - alcohol is not a good argument for legalizing drugs. The fact that alcohol is legal does not even suggest that we have to, or should, legalize drugs. As I said before, alcohol already causes enough problems, so we certainly should not add to those problems by legalizing drugs.

And, there is absolutely nothing that requires us to treat drugs in a consistent manner - we don't treat prescription pain killers and aspirin the same, for example. Illegal drugs and alcohol are two different things, so there should be different laws for each.

> Are you ignorant of medical marijuana?

Stop trying to demean anyone who disagrees with you, Cecil. Yes, I'm aware of so-called "medical" marijuana. However, I agree with those doctors who think it is a bunch of nonsense - promoted primarily by those who want drugs legalized.

> Adults have a perfect right to be
> irresponsible. Are you going to outlaw
> food because fat people are irresponsible?

You brought up the "responsibility" junk, Cecil. As far as I'm concerned, it has absolutely nothing to do with a conversation about drug legalization - it is not the reason certain drugs are illegal.

> Then you cannot use free speech responsibly
> in Cuba. Then you cannot use oral sex with

> your wife responsibly.

Stick to the subject. Sex and Cuba have absolutely nothing to do with drug legalization. For that matter, as far as I'm concerned, alcohol has nothing to do with drug legalization either.

> The difference between you and me, Dwight, is
> that I believe an individual's life belongs
> to that individual. You, OTOH, believe an
> individual's life belongs to the state. Happy
> socialism!

Cecil, we've already talked about this. The effects of illegal drugs goes far beyond your life or your living room. Therefore, this is not solely a matter of your personal freedom or your personal life.

> Neither is the government always right as you
> seem to believe.

Now you're flat twisting what I've said. In the part you cut off, I said, "I'm smart enough to know that just because the government occasionally does something wrong, not everything the government does is wrong." Now, since I specifically said that "the government occasionally does something wrong," how can you possibly support your statement above that I seem to believe that the government is always right.

> According to the History Channel, the purpose
> of making marijuana illegal was to be able to
> discriminate against Mexican nationals.

If you're going to try the "race card," why don't you add in blacks and indians?

> NY mayor La Guardia commissioned a study of
> marijuana and found it to be no worse than
> alcohol, and better in a some ways, i.e. less
> addictive, easier on the liver, etc.

Who cares? As I said before, alcohol kills thousands each year, so why add to that problem by legalizing drugs.

> [snip] It's hard to believe that you support
> murdering citizens so the state can acquire

> their property which is exactly what happened
> in CA.

That is really sick, Cecil. Obviously, you'll say anything, attack anybody, in defense of your darn drugs. Are they really that important to you? If so, I really do think you need help.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -5727111376538145800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 02:40:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 05:41:00 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3AEFD5EB.53C991E0@sccoast.net>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
<9cege6$976$0@208.206.142.67> <3AEBB9F3.834AE83B@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gck5bz0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEE63DD.DC78CD27@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcnw0r1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.44
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988796413 114618 207.144.64.44 (2 May 2001 09:40:13
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 09:40:13 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20748
```

Phil Kane wrote:
>
>   In those situations who is supposed to pay
> the medical bills?

It's called "nature," Phil. Who would you sue if a tree branch fell on you while walking through the woods? If there were medical expenses involved, you would pay them yourself. Why should it be any different for a tree located in town? I know we ask a lot from the government, but I sincerely think asking them to be "mother nature" is taking things a bit too far.

But, in the end, this really wasn't a government versus that lady issue. The residents of that town had to pay for that lawsuit in the form of increased insurance rates. What did the residents do to deserve that? As a resident, she didn't say anything about the tree until she realized that she could make money. The same with her lawyer.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8423074362703164356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 02:44:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 05:44:44 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AEFD6CB.D4BC2A73@sccoast.net>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
<9cege6$976$0@208.206.142.67> <3AEBB9F3.834AE83B@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gck5bz0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEE63DD.DC78CD27@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB7CF.84059112@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.44
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988796644 114692 207.144.64.44 (2 May 2001 09:44:04
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 09:44:04 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20749

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> You can't have it both ways, Dwight. You
> love all laws so you cannot possibly
> object to this one. You cannot be allowed
> to pick and choose the laws you like. It's
> different when it's your ox getting gored,
> isn't it?

Don't be silly, Cecil. I have never, even once, claimed to "love all laws."

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -4026493338664135399
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 03:39:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 10:39:05 GMT
References: <20010502011359.26454.00000652@nso-da.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010502063905.12832.00001780@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20750

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>>I expect to see

>I detect a tad of that "special ability" of divination at work here.

Not at all, Len; if I had special ability, I would have said "We will see..."

>How has it been determined that Technician class licensees
>"never became active as hams?" Or that they will "not renew
>their licenses?

The basis for my speculation is anecdotal. Of the hams I have seen go through local classes, some of them become active in the local clubs, while others are never heard on the air. Some of them are certainly not active; it is a matter of speculation as to how many.

> If there is any validity to the claim that Techs will all fail to renew

I must have missed that claim. Can you repost it so I can take issue with the poster?

>Which class are "these hams [that] were lost?"

I think that was pretty clear from context, but if not, I was saying that those Tech licencees who became licensed but never became active and will not renew were lost as much as 10 years ago.

>What about the 18,391 licensees who did not renew between
>Mar 1998 and Mar 2000 that were NOT technician class?

Yes, those are lost to us, too, Len.

> That's not a "finger in the wind," it is merely a "salute." :-)

Then allow me to salute you, too. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -2235806130839661O5
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 03:44:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 10:43:47 GMT
References: <3AEF47FB.6030BA9@hotmail.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010502064347.12832.00001781@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20751
```

>From: Leo leonard@hotmail.com

>> If code is such a barrier, where are the lines of people? All reducing
>> code does is give existing people free upgrades.

>The code is still there so there is no people on the line, simple as that.

Even if I felt that Morse code testing were an unecessary barrier, if I wanted to be licensed, I would learn the code, meet the requirements then do whatever I darn well pleased in ham radio. I don't think that code is a barrier for most.

What I do believe it to be is an unecessary requirement in today's radio age. There are some questions on the Extra exam about Lissajous figures; they are not a barrier because the concept is easily learned, but in today's age, I don't think they are a necessary part of the test.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -2704583196278075682
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 03:46:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 10:45:47 GMT
References: <3aef7719.566244026@news.accessunited.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010502064547.12832.00001782@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20752
```

>From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)

>CB radio has no code test, activity there has dropped like a rock from
>the years when it peaked.

Good point, Tom. I will add that during that time, the number of licensed Amateurs increased, and continues to do so, albeit at a low rate.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 6418148176962047089
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 03:54:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
```

Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 10:54:06 GMT
References: <3AEF7DD3.13916B8B@mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010502065406.12832.00001783@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20753

>From: Tim Randa randas@mindspring.com

>#3. You can hold your breath and wait for the code requirement to go away.

>I recommend number 3

Have you decided that you don't want to see him become a ham?

Leo, there is a good possibility that amateur HF access will be granted eventually without a code test. Waiting is an option, but that will take years off of the fun you could have in ham radio.

Most folks can learn the code to 5 wpm in a few weeks at about a half-hour a day, and once you do, with your qualifications, you will probably be an Extra pretty quickly. It really is worth not waiting, especially with the sunspots expected to be so good over the coming few years.

Once you pass the test, if you wish, you can have a code-key-burying cermony in your back yard, surrounded by all of your friends, but I would encourage you to try the mode from time to time. I did, and found that I absolutely enjoy it.

I also encourage you to become licensed as a Tech right now. There really is more to that class of license than FM on a local repeater. You have access to lots of spectrum and can do some interesting things with satellite, earth-moon-earth communication, meteor scatter, SSB and CW over hundreds of miles, various digital modes, etc. A couple of hams recently did a 2-way 5-watt moonbounce QSO using 12-foot home satellite dishes, using a multitone digital mode and long-term integration techniques. Cool. From there, if the allure of HF is what attracts you to radio, the code test will not seem like such a large jump. Even if I had not found myself really enjoying CW operation after a while, I think the prize would have been worth not waiting.

Good luck.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2895207712566469904
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 06:03:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!
newspeer1.nac.net!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 13:02:30 GMT
References: <20010502063905.12832.00001780@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010502090230.07173.00001658@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20754

It was the ARRL who said Ham Radio was in big trouble and it was the ARRL who decided that all kinds of programs should be invoked in order to spurt growth. The whole problem is not with Ham Radio being slow, its more of a problem of how it feeds the cash cows. Amateur Radio was doing just fine. I bet the ARRL's annual growth figures show a lot more than a 1 % growth, which is not bad for a feable and almost stagnent 1% percent Ham Radio growth. The whole problem is trying to run a magazine publishing buisness, and all the other related buisness at the expence of Amateur Radio has become a dangerous set of circumstances. I like the term of the tale wagging the dog. If there was ever a reciepe for the destruction of Ham Radio, weve got it. Don't get me wrong, if there can be a buck made all well and good, but lets not try to ruin a good thing to do it. J1

From 5038937872753564764
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 06:15:17 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 13:13:22 GMT
Message-ID: <3aef8015.36238085@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aee9e2e.510704988@news.accessunited.com>
<20010501081904.02798.00000087@ng-mn1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.21
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.21
X-Trace: 2 May 2001 08:09:43 -0500, 64.24.17.21
Lines: 112
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20755

On 01 May 2001 12:19:04 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
>
>>Face it folks, young kids (and other people) aren't interested because
>>this is old boring stuff. It isn't a video game. It is work and
>>learning, not recreational.
>
Dunno abt that either, Tom. I've had some fun learning over the years
and learning radio when I was a kid was one such experience.
>
>It is no more or less work and learning that it was when I was attracted to ham
>radio, Tom. In my high school of 1000 students, there were 2 hams -- not a
>majority by any stretch. There were teen-aged hams, but they were a minority
>even back then.
>
>There may have been a somewhat larger percentage of youth in ham radio those
>days that today, but that was primarily due to the Novice license.
>
The huge difference between yesteryear and today and what attracted
kids to techo pastimes back then vs. now is the availability of the
family computer(s) today which didn't exist back then.
>
>The rules
>have made the entry-level exams so much harder than they were in those days,
>
How tough is the nocode test??
>
>and at the same time some are telling all the youth that if they pass the tests
>they are taking a "dumbed down" exam that gives them a license that is
>virtually useless.
>
Happens very rarely out there in reality. The only place those kinds
of remarks are made in any volume is right here in rrap. Which ain't
reality.
>
> If you consider that the entry-level HF license is now the
>General, and compare it to the Novice, it starts to look a bit different. How
>can we expect to attract youth in the same numbers we once did?
>
Disagree, Ed. The Novice bands are intentionally positioned to isolate
Novices from the lower ends of the bands where most of the operating
is done and has been done going 'way back. The net effect is that a
Novice had to get a General to get into the HF mainstream back when
just like any Tech does today.
>
Novices were far more restricted wrt to overall priveleges than are
either flavor of Tech today. The Novice ticket was no "gift" at all.
Considering that vhf/uhf ham radio barely existed then kids had no
choice but to become Novices if they wanted to get into ham radio.
>

>For the most part, I don't buy the old, boring stuff. When I was a kid,
>telephones were routine items, but the kid next door and I both gathered our
>tin cans and wire and made our own. When I was a kid, I could have (risking
>parental wrath) picked up the phone and called Europe, but I got a real t
>thrill out of talking a few states away with a station I had assembled myself.
>
Yeah, and today they do it in chat rooms and with instant messaging
and they don't have to build anything or put up any antennas in their
cc&r laced back yards.
>
>The exception today may be that VHF HT operation is a bit boring, but the rules
>set that up as the entry-level license for most people and that just doesn't
>look as exciting as does HF operation. Even if the entry-level op decides to
>pass the code test and become a Tech+, those small slivers of HF aren't very
>exciting any more and if one works only the occasional QSO, it will not be as
>exciting as the wall-to-wall signals I remember on the same band segments.
>
The "wall to wall" entry-level signals have moved up the spectrum to
the machines. I daresay that if we could hear all the machines within
say a thousand mile radius like we could hear Novices on 7.180 back
when I'm convinced that we'd hear a tower of babble that would make
the entry-level activity on the old Novice bands sound pale in
comparison.
>
Bottom line here is that comparing ham radio from back when to the ham
radio of today is getting to be pretty much a stretch particularly
with respect to the incentives kids have to get into ham radio today
and the modes which light their fires.
>
> If
>ham radio has become old and boring, as you put it, it is not because its
>potential is old and boring, but because those first steps that people are
>allowed to take are on some ground that we have not made very exciting.
>
C'mon Ed! Ever since the Tech license was created in 1951 there has
been a divide within ham radio: Some of us only care abt HF, others
only care abt vhf/uhf. A few do both in depth. Every ham out there has
his own interpretation of what constitutes "excitement".
>
I'm an HFer to the core, the only aspect of vhf/uhf I care abt is
being able to use the 2m machines as neighborhod intercoms and a bit
of packet dx spots. I have no clue what the fuss abt APRS is about,
who cares where the other guy is at the moment?? Well some guys do
care and I ain't gonna bash it. Conversley they don't give a rat's
patooie abt me working VKs on 80m, yadda, yadda.
>
>Today, we have Amateur Radio technology I couldn't have even dreamed of as a
>13-year old Novice, what with it being much easier to put together a station
>that will do really cool things like satellite communication, meteor scatter,
>moonbounce, a host of computer-controlled digital modes, and the like. A group
>of hams recently used one of these digital modes to do a 2-way QRP moonbounce
>contact using old home-satellite dishes. When I was a kid, this stuff would
>have been fascinating to me -- a lot more fascinating than the tin-can phones,

>I tell ya.
>
A nocode can do all of those except for the HF digital modes.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From -3725199269414875792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd27f189d72f2dff
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 06:40:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.he.net!sn-xit-03!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Eroding of Amatuer Radio ?
Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 09:40:11 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tf03hti9iiasf7@corp.supernews.com>
References: <010520010503245857%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM> <tetds1gkp30r91@corp.supernews.com> <s4KH6.890$t12.68317@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 67
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20756

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:s4KH6.890$t12.68317@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Well, the problems are on the way. Guys have discovered that if you turn
> this cute little pot, the radio is 'louder' (read, I'm trying to transmit
> wideband FM with my radio). Amps are being discussed. How to replace the
> dummy load antenna on an FRS radio with a 'real' antenna. The big thing
is
> that they are moving the mess out of the HF bands where there won't be a
> problem with F2 reflection. Of course, there is sporadic E and tropo
> effects, but hopefully it will tend to keep transmission within the United
> States and reduce the incentive to run high power.

Yeah I know. I remember a while back some kid was asking about getting an amplifier, on the vhf-uhf news group, to use with his FRS radio so he could talk with his buddy on the other side of town. I wonder where he got that idea from.

The problem with MURS radios is you can use a modified 2m rig for more power. Then when the 5 channels get too busy then drop down to the 2m band. When the average CBer discovers he can talk all over town by jumping on the local repeater then the problem gets serious.

I don't hear hardly any activity on the 5 MURS frequencies yet. I suspect when the likes of Radio Shack starts pushing MURS radios the word will get out. With the higher power available (2w ERIP) and external antennas people will discover it's a better deal than the FRS radio. That will be of particular interest to people wanting mobile communications without the big antennas, and the current crap you hear on 11m.

> As far as business band, I assume true business owners will switch to
> trunking radios - anywhere to get out of the way. A co-worker of mine
> bought a boat last year and is enjoying the marine radio. On land, of
> course.

The Michigan state Police just went digital, and a number of other local cities are doing the same. If MURS get "popular" then more of the business frequencies may get turned over to MURS so there may not be much choice.

> ps - one can only hope that the new bands will attract people who only want
> to communicate locally. This is not an insignificant number of CBers. From
> talking with some (face to face, here, locally) I am finding out the only
> reason some of them run amps (small, like 50 watts) is so they can actually
> communicate 10 miles what with all the big radios out there in 'skipland'.
> If a large portion of the CBers move to VHF or UHF to obtain reliable local
> communication, it might just become possible to actually enforce regulations
> back on 11 meters.

One can hope that will happen.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

From -7561288163661233338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 07:03:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 09:01:58 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3AF01356.9D09700B@IEEE.org>
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mjv$dfi$1@208.207.71.157>
<3AEF090D.A3618715@IEEE.org> <9co0n7$lu1$0@208.206.142.73>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.db
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 14:03:05 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20757

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Well, that lets me out. I've been responsible for myself for a LONG
> time, and so far I have managed to avoid all the vices that you freely
> acknowledge your participation into. Wonder what that means?

That I'm a fun lovin' guy and you are a kill joy?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 395618557045775772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 07:05:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 09:04:13 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3AF013DD.E58BDAF@IEEE.org>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
<9c6mog$dfi$3@208.207.71.157> <3AEF0AE9.B1E0C5AC@IEEE.org>
<9co17a$n0r$0@208.206.142.73>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.db
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 14:05:20 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15557
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20758

Dick Carroll wrote:
>   So what does that have to do with the singular subject of homosexuals
> and the Boy Scouts? NOTHING, of course.

They're called threads, Dick, they wander all over the place. Of
course, you subject matter never, ever wanders.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5682780572651681976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 07:16:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 09:15:12 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>

```
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8d.db
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 14:16:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15558
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20759
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   Sorry, but I disagree - alcohol is not a good argument for
> legalizing drugs. The fact that alcohol is legal does not even
> suggest that we have to, or should, legalize drugs. As I said before,
> alcohol already causes enough problems, so we certainly should not add
> to those problems by legalizing drugs.

It follows then that you would like to decrease the alcohol problem
by criminalizing the drug that is alcohol. Nothing's perfect, Dwight.
Legalized drugs would result in fewer problems than we have now.
We apparently didn't learn a thing from prohibition.

> I'm aware of so-called "medical" marijuana. However, I agree with
> those doctors who think it is a bunch of nonsense - promoted primarily
> by those who want drugs legalized.

Wait until you can't keep anything down unless you smoke marijuana and
you will, no doubt, change your tune. Who cares if someone else is
suffering, eh, Dwight? If it's not Dwight suffering, then the
suffering doesn't matter?

> ... alcohol has nothing to do with drug legalization either.

Huh????? Alcohol is a legal drug. It has everything to do with
drug legalization.

>   Cecil, we've already talked about this. The effects of illegal
> drugs goes far beyond your life or your living room. Therefore, this
> is not solely a matter of your personal freedom or your personal life.

Spoken like a true socialist. People with that attitude wind up with no freedom at all.

> That is really sick, Cecil. Obviously, you'll say anything, attack
> anybody, in defense of your darn drugs. Are they really that
> important to you? If so, I really do think you need help.

The story is absolutely true, Dwight. Check it out for yourself. Members of the LA County sheriff's office murdered a private citizen in order to confiscate his possessions under the RICO(sp?) laws. This was covered on "Investigative Reports" on one of the cable channels.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -6743588339635137566
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 07:42:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Bill <bwillis9@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-
limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politic
s.misc
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 10:38:51 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3AF01BFB.558792E@ix.netcom.com>
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<EI6H6.967$532.574192@news.uswest.net> <9cl8l7$pb2$0@208.206.142.87>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c6.d3.16.e1
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 14:39:55 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win98; I)
```

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:250812 alt.law-enforcement:36620
alt.politics.bush:281898 alt.rush-limbaugh:95317 misc.survivalism:59159
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20760 talk.politics.guns:191049 talk.politics.misc:214924

> > > : Condition:  YELLOW-2
> > > :
> > > :      The following HF frequency rotas are in effect due to
> > > : setting of Conditon alert YELLOW-2,  use decode Key-T3:
> > > :
> > > :
> > > : FCCKQ MCMEW LFIEV VCNWF DQMFL  GKVUP ZKDWM DKXMZ ZLEHV CDMRI

heh heh heh ... they spelled "VCMWF" wrong...





From 920776371040518507
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7e5c4fa2ff04992e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,d1a7d4d8c40fd076
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,7e5c4fa2ff04992e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,7e5c4fa2ff04992e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 07:52:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Joe Blo" <windskoss@hotmail.com>
From: "Joe Blo" <windskoss@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb
References: <mc2uet04e3pebh61iapjs5vocpqlglomsb@4ax.com>
<3aef2044$1@news2.mibx.net> <tqauetk59ds38t14ju46l83v0oild7nb5g@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: K40KC: SAM CEDRAS, CB PIRATE, ILLEGAL HAM
Lines: 41
Organization: high of 78�
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <DaVH6.1766$Cq3.161991@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 14:52:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
```

```
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988815139 209.204.84.71 (Wed, 02 May
2001 07:52:19 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 07:52:19 PDT
X-Received-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 07:50:48 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:11825
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15559 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20761 rec.radio.cb:31638

Is Sam a friend of big Al ?

"Sam" <k4oc@k4oc.com> wrote in message
news:tqauetk59ds38t14ju46l83v0oild7nb5g@4ax.com...
> Go ahead with my pleasure.   I am like most hams I know and have met
> over the years....who secretly listen and talk on CB frequencies on
> their hf rigs.
> I do not hide the fact that I talk on CB.  The problem is that there
> are so many dickheads such as yourself who lead a double life and at
> the drop of the hat claim that the cb people are illegal idiots and
> are low life.  They also condemn the activity while secretly getting
> on the cb frequencies and talking whether it is intelligent
> conversation or by trying to jam the cbers.
> Get a life 'jose.  You can borrow mine if you like.
>
> Hippocrites everywhere I turn and the world still spins.
> -Sam Cederas
> K4OC
> Cary, N.C.
>
> P.S.  what a pitiful waste of a mind....forwarding to the fcc.
> oooohhh I am scared.
>
> On 1 May 2001 21:44:52 GMT, "Illegal CB Amps SUCK"
> <and_so_do_you@org.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >Sam <k4oc@k4oc.com> wrote:
> >>I do not believe in driving
> >>amplifiers hard.
> >
> >Too bad you don't believe in obeying FCC rules.
> >
> >What a disgrace you are to ham radio.
> >
> >Your FOR SALE ad proudly forwarded to FCC.
> >
>
>




From 7617460360084768757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f3f4e07b71d7dc2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 08:33:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <taCH6.5554$SZ5.455445@www.newsranger.com>
<20010501143714.26864.00003135@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<pSDH6.5710$SZ5.470259@www.newsranger.com> <3AEF237F.F6A73B22@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Professional Courtesy
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <WMVH6.6940$SZ5.558620@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 11:33:10 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 15:33:10 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20762

W6RCecilA axed me:

>Does a payoff in 807's count? :-)

Sure, or a couple of doobies.

73, Hans, K0HB
```

```
From -7574867059095896031
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 08:40:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 11:40:23 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tf0aj8l69pn55c@corp.supernews.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
<NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com>
<JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<F5GH6.24551$qD1.474232@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <3AEF6454.EDFA5838@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 25
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15560
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20763


"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AEF6454.EDFA5838@IEEE.org...
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> > I doubt he would even have lasted a year so the cost would have been
less.
> > By the way do you know what it costs the state to "properly" conduct an
> > execution?
>
> How about a 50 cent cartridge?

You forget that the firing squad gets paid too. Do you remember the Gilmore
case many years ago?


--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
 me running, try to keep up. "




From 2248827429319920637
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 08:45:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 11:45:05 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tf0as5o8gnd44c@corp.supernews.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
<NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com>
<JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<F5GH6.24551$qD1.474232@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <dKJH6.1747$Gf1.520739@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 21
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15561
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20764

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:dKJH6.1747$Gf1.520739@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...

> If they promoted it properly, they would make a huge profit, rather than
> being an expense to the taxpayers...

You mean like put it on "Pay-Per-View" cable TV? Then the state gets a cut of the proceeds. Hummmm..... Who would admit to actually watching the "show"?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

From -7804929583811572207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 08:49:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 11:49:50 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tf0b507kpt8s18@corp.supernews.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com> <3AEF6971.DD694EA@dirtball.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 21
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15562
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20765

"Oris Grim" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
news:3AEF6971.DD694EA@dirtball.com...
> Yet the FBI and the ATF can kill babies!

You mean in Wacko Texas with the media circus watching?

Oh, I also heard on the radio today that the FBI director quit with two years remaining on his term. Some pressure from the White House maybe?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

From -5093356309887961566
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 08:50:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-

```
snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 15:50:07 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3af02bab.1624141@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.75.68
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 15:50:37 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20766

On Tue, 01 May 2001 19:29:25 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

>On 30 Apr 2001, N2EY wrote:
>> Except for antennas, transmission lines and power supplies, what equipment is
>> used in both services? CB equipment must be type accepted for that service.
>> Modifying it for ham use means it is no longer cb gear.
>
>True that there is no PROPER equipment in both services, but if one were to
>follow Dwight Stewart's suggestion, a majority of amateur 10m rigs would be on
>his proposed "illegal CB equipment list."  In such a situation, what is a cop
>going to do:  See the radio on the list and arrest, or see the radio on the list
>and KNOW that it could be used in a licensed service and do nothing (or nothing
>more than inquire)?  I'm willing to bet the former outcome more likely.

Additionally, there is a great deal of older (pre-digital frequency
synthesis) that can be used for illegal operation with NO
modifications at all.  Even newer rigs can be EASILY
modified to operate outside ham frequencies yet have NO
external indicatins that "the diode was clipped" to
allow non-ham frequency transmission.

The local enforcements could never be totally onboard as
to all the equipment that, while legal in one service, can
be illegally used on other frequencies.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK


From 2304598578586797352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 08:52:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc1.tn.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Survivalist" <survivalist2@(remove)home.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-
limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politic
s.misc
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<EI6H6.967$532.574192@news.uswest.net> <9cl8l7$pb2$0@208.206.142.87>
<3AF01BFB.558792E@ix.netcom.com>
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Lines: 3
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <61WH6.50394$U4.11884770@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 15:50:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.8.198.191
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.tn.home.com 988818626 65.8.198.191 (Wed, 02 May 2001 08:50:26
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 08:50:26 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:250865 alt.law-enforcement:36629
alt.politics.bush:281939 alt.rush-limbaugh:95335 misc.survivalism:59193
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20767 talk.politics.guns:191064 talk.politics.misc:214972
```

The chair is against the wall, John has a long mustache................

```
From -5352611890487356507
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 08:53:41 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 15:52:44 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.75.68
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 15:53:16 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20768

On Tue, 01 May 2001 15:51:28 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>"D. Stussy" wrote:
>> True that there is no PROPER equipment in both services, but if one were to
>> follow Dwight Stewart's suggestion, a majority of amateur 10m rigs would be on
>> his proposed "illegal CB equipment list." In such a situation, what is a cop
>> going to do: See the radio on the list and arrest, or see the radio on the
list
>> and KNOW that it could be used in a licensed service and do nothing (or nothing
>> more than inquire)? I'm willing to bet the former outcome more likely.
>
>I haven't been following the discussion but it seems to me the cop could
>do the same thing as he does if he discovers someone carrying a gun.
>
>In a grocery store, a cop walks up to me and asks, "Are you carrying
>a handgun?" I say, yes, and I have a permit. He asks to see the permit
>and suggests that I keep it better concealed. Without the permit, I
>would have been arrested.
>
>Why can't the same conditions apply? Without an FCC license, the operator
>of the equipment on the list probably should be arrested.

Cecil,
OWNERSHIP of ham gear, even if not a ham, is NOT illegal.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -6711036179772454790
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd27f189d72f2dff

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 08:10:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Eroding of Amatuer Radio ?
Date: 1 May 2001 15:10:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9cmjm1$sho$0@208.206.142.108>
References: <010520010503245857%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.108
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20669



"MIchael W. McGinley" wrote:
>
> [[ This message was both posted and mailed: see
>    the "To," "Cc," and "Newsgroups" headers for details. ]]
>
> Hello All.
>
>  I was browsing QRZ.com when i stumbled across this
> little article
>
> http://www.qrz.com/articles/00/10/15/1215251.shtml
>
>  It was talking about a new Lic free MURS ( Multi User Radio Service )
> in the 151 Mhz Range....what i found distrubing was what the article
> said about the possiability of no-code & Possiably no test amatuer
> radio service with only one class of licence ( If i read it correctly )
> and read between the lines right
>
>  Is this true ? - Did i just misread it ?


 You probably read something by Stew Teaze where he proposed such a "new
ham band" to the FCC. They have already turned thumbs down on it.



 - or is this a possable setup
> by the fcc to lump all the " Hobby " radio users into 1 basket then at
> a later date the FCC may or will yank the rug out under everone's feet
> ?
```

Always a possibility, anyway.

```
From -1540392091229325190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 08:13:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 1 May 2001 15:13:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9cmjql$sho$1@208.206.142.108>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com>
<teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net>
<9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com>
<BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com>
<3aec5d2a.13550881@news5.bellatlantic.net> <3aedc446.63723470@news2.dvol.com>
<9ckrng1242l@enews4.newsguy.com> <3aee9e2e.510704988@news.accessunited.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.108
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12612
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15521 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20670
```

Tom W8JI wrote:
>
> On 30 Apr 2001 23:16:00 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
> wrote:
>
> >Exceptions are everywhere. I doubt, however, that this 13-year-old represents
> >any majority.
>
> In the year following the date code was dropped to 5 WPM, there was no
> significant change in the age or number of new Hams. We only gained
> about 1% increase.
>
> The only major change was about 30% of those who were already Ham's

> upgraded.
>
> If code is such a barrier, where are the lines of people? All reducing
> code does is give existing people free upgrades.
>
> Face it folks, young kids (and other people) aren't interested because
> this is old boring stuff. It isn't a video game. It is work and
> learning, not recreational.

But Tom! ED Hare insists that people only get into ham radio because
they WANT to learn this old hard technical stuff! They NEVER just
memorize nor word associate their way through the tests (the few who are
actually taking tests).

Dick

From -994533132216784486
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 08:13:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Tue, 1 May 2001 11:13:50 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 32
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15522
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20671

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> He's an admitted killer, he commited a crime and deserves to be punished
> according to the laws of the land he is in. He should have done his
bombing
> somewhere without the death penalty. Then he could spend his days getting
> raped in a penn on the gov't tip.

There is a pecking order even in a prison. A child molester often has to be placed in a more secured section to keep the other prisoners from killing them. Remember that many prisoners have kids too, and they don't like child molesters. I remember a while back here in Michigan a guy was found guilty of molesting some kids and went to prison. It was in the news for a few weeks. Shortly after getting there somebody, in the prison, put a contract on his life. I found this all out because my ex-wife worked at the county jail for a while, and she spoke to the officers in charge of running the prisoners between the jail and the prison. In Timothy McVeigh's case I think he would find himself in the same position as the child molester so I doubt he would live all that long in prison before somebody killed him.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
 me running, try to keep up. "

From -1747358117979661108
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 08:34:50 PST
Message-ID: <3AEEC982.7CE03934@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:34:42 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net> <3aec9fc3$0$88189$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AEE2029.E5DE054@ovis.net> <3aeeaaad$0$62153$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s27.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 1 May 2001 11:29:49 -0400, s27.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 109
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s27.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20672

Larry Klose wrote:

>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3AEE2029.E5DE054@ovis.net...
> > Larry Klose wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net...
> > > > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if any
> > > > > > experience in either field.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Leaving out whatever this thread has been about, who the authors
> are,
> > > etc.,
> > > > > etc. Should one presume that it is being suggested we must all be
> > > political
> > > > > science majors, have worked in public policy groups, be board
> approved
> > > as an
> > > > > attorney, etc., before we are entitled to have opinions now? In the
> > > United
> > > > > States, *experience* is not required for us to vote and have
> opinions.
> > > > >
> > > > > Kim - W5TIT
> > > >
> > > > It would be difficult to make such a presumption since context is
> > > > important to the issue. A man who is not an attorney but who
> regularly
> > > > posts as if he was an expert on law probably will likely not be taken
> > > > very seriously.
> > >
> > > Non-sense Dave, I cite a Federal statutes to assert that a
> > > particular ITU and FCC rule are wrong. You have no reply,
> > > except to attack me personally, shows the weakness of
> > > your position.
> >
> > Larry, I know of no one else but you in this group goofy enough to try
> > arguing communications law with Phil Kane. My reply to you regarding
> > your citing statues is that you frequently choose one not relating to
> > the matter under discussion and try to make it fit your conditions (like
> > the one relating to commercial broadcasting which you trotted out
> > recently).
>
> The commercial broadcasting case cited was very much to the point.
> In that case the court rules against the FCC holding that actions and
> rules once proper can become improper because of technological

> changes. That case is relevant to the Morse code exam requirement
> now before the Commission.

That's the homemade lawyer in you. YOU have decided that the case cited was to the point.

> > A question for you: Are you now or have you ever been a practicing
> > attorney?
>
> No. Dave, do I need to be attorney to petition the FCC to delete
> an unnecessary and non-conforming rule? Do I need to be an
> attorney discuss and debate the law with Phil or any one else
> on this newsgroup?

Discussing is one thing, Larry. You don't discuss. You make outlandish statements and for your proof, you quote a dictionary of legal terms which you found somewhere or quote chapter and verse from previous FCC cases which may or may not have bearing on the issue at hand.

> > > > In this case, the man who frequently does so has tried
> > > > to link the tragic shoot-down in Peru to morse code testing.
> > >
> > > Dave, do you believe that it is a proper US policy to US
> > > military resources to direct foreign warplanes against
> > > suspect civilian aircraft, particularly after the Penagon
> > > warned in 1994 that this had a high risk of killing innocent
> > > people.
> >
> > What I believe and have previously stated here Larry is that your
> > attempt to draw a link between a tragic shoot down and morse code
> > testing is pathetic. They are not linked in any manner.
>
> Well of course they not linked, but they are both the result of
> government action/rules that are unnecessary, not in the
> public interest, and not in conformity with law. It was government
> policies, actions, and rules that created these situations.

...because YOU have decided that two are not necessary, not in the public interest and not in conformity with the law. I'll wager that there are thousands who would not only disagree with you but who would say that you don't know what you are talking about. My view is that your ideas have been formed through a lack of information or through misinterpretation or misunderstanding of the information available.

> Dave, you offer no defense of these policies or rules, so what your
> point?

I'm not here to discuss U.S. drug enforcement policy and my views on the retention of morse testing are already known here. They are at odds with yours. I don't quote the law in efforts to make a square peg fit into a round hole. My point was that it was in extremely poor taste for you to have used the shoot down incident to further your anti-morse code testing agenda.

```
Dave K8MN


From 1022640634983330575
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 08:49:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AEECCD9.6B321A2F@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:48:57 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<3aec96ae$0$88191$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEE2181.95B8121D@ovis.net>
<3aeeadba$0$62147$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s13.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 1 May 2001 11:44:04 -0400, s13.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 54
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.abs.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!
s13.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20673

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3AEE2181.95B8121D@ovis.net...
> > Larry Klose wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net...
> > > > Larry Klose wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > The common element between the tragedy and the Morse code exam
> > > requirement
> > > > > is misguided US national and international policies.  Policies that
> defy
> > > > > common sense and law.
> > > >
> > > > There is no common element which ties morse code testing to the
> shooting
> > > > down of a missionary aircraft in Peru, Larry.
> > >
> > > I did not say that, Dave.  You keep distorting the fact to satify your
> > > conclusion.
> > >
> > > I said that it is wrong for miltiary frighter jet to shoot down unarmed
> > > civilian aircraft, and its wrong for communications adminstrations
> > > to impose a Morse code exam requirement that is unnecessary,
> > > bad public policy, and not in conformity with 5 USC 706.
> >
> > Sure you did, Larry.  You posted an addendum to a notice of this woman's
> > death bewailing the fact that she died without having access to the HF
> > bands (which wasn't exactly correct) as if that made a rat's ass.  You
> > went on to post the drivel equating the wrongness of the shoot down with
> > the "wrongness" of continued morse testing for HF access.  You were a
> > churl to post it.
>
> Dave, you are the one trying to equate the two.  I argue that both are
> wrong,
> and both are the result of government policies, actions, and rules.
> The fact that results are dramatically different does not make
> the discussion of the cause irrelevant.
>
> Larry  KC8EPO

Both are wrong?  There are countless wrongs in this world Larry.  The
Palestinian-Israeli conflict is wrong.  The ethnic cleansing in Kosovo
was wrong.  The costs for health care in America are wrong. Your silly
morse testing problem not only pales in comparison to the wrongs in the
world, you haven't even established that it is wrong.  You've got a
personal problem with morse code, Larry.

You, Larry Klose, posted the material equating two incidents. Now you
look like an even bigger fool for trying to defend your action.

Dave K8MN


From -7712416559779812782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 08:52:45 PST
Message-ID: <3AEECDB6.B0BE015C@ovis.net>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:52:38 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net> <3AECCCB2.4DB5B56E@dirtball.com>
<ZZ6H6.97359$_P.26800202@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AEDCC65.F445547E@uci.edu>
<3AEDFD63.F471DCF@dirtball.com> <3AEDFE83.1BEBAE53@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: s13.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 1 May 2001 11:47:45 -0400, s13.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 25
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!
pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s13.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15523
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20674

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Oris Grim wrote:
> >
> > And what the government did in Waco was right? Why didn't they nail him in
> > town? Then like cats in the kitty litter they tried to cover it up. For the
> > information of this group that federal building housed the headquarters for
the
> > terrorists that set that fire in Waco Tex.   Was what happened in Ruby Ridge
> > right too? Before that there was that thing in Missouri? It seems to me that
> > the FBI and the ATF where growing out of control until the bombing in OK. So
as
> > I said in the previous post I wonder how many lives the bombing saved.
> >                    Just my opinion
> >                            73 Oris
>
> I rest my case.
>
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > All absolutely correct.  You see Rob, some of these guys here see
> > > McVeigh as being their avenger for Waco.  He is their hero, and they
> > > don't want to see anything bad happen to him.

Loons have a haunting call, Jim.  Did you know that they are not very
intelligent birds?

Dave K8MN



From 1238015702469128557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f3f4e07b71d7dc2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 10:02:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 17:01:50 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Professional Courtesy
```

Message-ID: <20010501130150.26864.00003089@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20675

>Subject: Re: HR-2346
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 05/01/01 9:34 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>And who appointed you the county branch of the FCC -- just another
>self-important wannabe radio cop!

It's a practice I am sure you are unfamiliar with, Hansel...it's called "Professional Courtesy".

A REAL "radio cop" could have simply forward a letter to the FCC with a "cc" to the County Commissioners, the County Executive Officer and the Sheriff. This would have put the easiest and least expensive fix out of option and created REAL animosity.

But just as they have exended ME the courtesy of a "warning" when I was travelling a "bit too fast", I pointed out thier errant operation at the least complicated level...personal contact. They fixed it. No fuss, no muss, and I slowed down on that particular stretch of road...everyone is happy.

>I bet they can hardly wait for you to come flashing your charts and your
>radio-cop badge again and tell them how to run their department!

Even a retired Sailor can (I assume) appreciate having a potentially expensive error addressed to you in private and "unofficially", rather than having it brought to your attention through official federal, and hence recordable, avenues.

Do you have a problem with a citizen interacting with local law enforcement when they see what they know to be an unlawful act being perpetuated by that agency, Hans?

Or was it because it was a RADIO issue you think it wasn't necessary to tell them of thier error? "The Lesser Of Many Evils" concept? (More like "Bury My Head Deeper In The Sand" syndrome on YOUR part, I think)

Was it "OK" for them (the Sheriff's department) to continue to TELL and THREATEN >>LICENSED<< users of the frequency that thier operation might subject them to arrest and to cease?

Actually, I just think you are very lonely and depressed and have nothing better to do than agitate and insinuate...Just like Lennie. Matter of fact, EXACTLY like Lennie.

No "73" for Hans. He's being mean again.

The Gunny, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -5460933591844913332
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 10:06:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 17:05:17 GMT
References: <8dzH6.106229$_P.29836142@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010501130517.26864.00003092@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20676

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 05/01/01 9:53 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>And btw ... cs is not flamable.

Ever pick up a CS canister after it has been deployed, Rob? It's a bit warm!

Ever seen a grain elevator explode? You can't put wheat in your gas tank, but it sure enough CAN explode.

Live and learn.

Steve

From 5891373804352045907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,24120ca9c49b31e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 10:12:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 17:11:19 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: A Respectful Suggestion
Message-ID: <20010501131119.26864.00003097@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20677

Not wishing to appear to appear to be suggesting any form of censorship, may I respectfully suggest that the present on-going threads that refer to the recently killed missionary be cut-and-pasted to a new thread title?

None of the on-going threads have any pertinence to her or her tragic death or the death of her infant daughter, and I think it would be an act of respect to stop posting to threads that would seem to be discussing THEM...NOT the code/FCC/ITU debates that it has

Respectfully,

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 2891421870231080271
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f3f4e07b71d7dc2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 10:15:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <20010501130150.26864.00003089@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Professional Courtesy
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <taCH6.5554$SZ5.455445@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 13:15:05 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com

Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 17:15:05 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20678

Steve Robeson LPN says:

> it's called "Professional Courtesy".

ROTFLMAO!!!! You're not a "professional" -- you're an "amateur" and a radio cop wannabe. Do you have one of those "radio badges" they used to sell in the back pages of "CQ" to pin on your blue coveralls with the US flag on the shoulder and your call embroidered above the pocket? 10-4?

Hans

From 5909791711681413930
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 10:19:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <8dzH6.106229$_P.29836142@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010501130517.26864.00003092@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Subject: Exploding Jarheads
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <deCH6.5562$SZ5.456147@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 13:19:05 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 17:19:05 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20679

Steve Robeson LPN:

> Ever seen a grain elevator explode? You can't put wheat in your gas tank,
> but it sure enough CAN explode.

Wrong again, Steve.

Elevator explosions are caused by exploding dust, not by exploding wheat.

Good luck on this one now!

Hans

From -1110081195736446029
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 10:20:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 10:18:59 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3AEEF003.4253692B@uci.edu>
References: <3AEDA674.E0BF9EA6@uci.edu> <20010501053324.26676.00001699@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20680

```
Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3AEDA674.E0BF9EA6@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> In the
> >> meantime, their bodies can be warehoused economically and efficiently
> >> in stark, isolated quarters -- given the bare essential necessities of
> >> life, for a mere fraction of the cost of the expensive, publicly funded
> >> appeals and legal acrobatics needed to keep them alive on Death Row
> >> for decades after their convictions.
> >
> >How 'bout we do a trial run first.  We'll move Charlie Manson from his
> >accomodations here California over to your house, and you can watch
> >after him 24/7, and you can pick up his tab.
> >
> >Sound pretty good?
> >
> >ac6xg
>
> Jim:
>
> My quarters are neither "stark" nor "isolated" enough to do justice
> to Mr. Manson, nor could I provide adequate security.

Everybody's got an excuse.


From 8719501687800912298
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 10:47:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org>
<CszH6.1336$9w6.117862@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
```

Lines: 27
Message-ID: <KECH6.5604$SZ5.459706@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 13:47:22 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 17:47:22 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15531
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20681

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE says:

>You are myopic Cecil. How many had to suffer to get you that one joint?
>How many people were killed to get you the dope for that one joint? What
>was the total cost in dollars and human lives to get you that one little
>joint? It is not a victimless crime. You will probably say "none," but you
>don't know that. How many people were killed or injured to protect the crop
>of marajuana from which your little joint was made? Those like you like to
>seperate yourselves from this information. You don't like to think about
>what goes on from planting to joint making. It makes what you do seem
>harmless and it helps to keep your conscience clear doesn't it.

Hey, if it were legal like tobacco, then Phillip Morris of Liggett Meyers
would produce it without all that bad shit happening to illicit growers and
distributors. Think of all the lives saved!

Good luck on this one now!

73, Hans, K0HB

PS: Blow jobs are illegal under "sodomy" laws in a couple of states. Who was
the victim, Bill or Monica?

From -789915896609152393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 10:54:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>

From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org>
<CszH6.1336$9w6.117862@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEECC9D.7275AAA4@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <mLCH6.699$RK.79450@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 17:54:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 129.111.92.164
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988739666 129.111.92.164 (Tue, 01 May
2001 10:54:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 10:54:26 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 10:52:54 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15532
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20682

Am I? Again, libertarian justification, "I'm not REALLY contributing with my occasional one joint." If there was no market then none of those things would happen. You clear your conscience by the miniscule amount of joints you smoke compared to the total market. Yes, you are myopic. It may not be your fault that people are dying so that you can have a moment of pleasure but you share in the responsibility for their death whether you choose to or not.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AEECC9D.7275AAA4@IEEE.org...
> Kevin, you are like the kid who murdered his parents and then threw
> himself on the mercy of the courts because he was an orphan. The
> immoral, illogical drug laws are the cause of all that misery you
> list. It's certainly not my fault. All that occurs whether I smoke
> a joint or not.
> --

```
From 12866399879668510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 11:01:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 47
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 18:01:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988740077 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 01 May 2001 11:01:17
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 11:01:17 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15533
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20683

Thats my point ... regardless of why he did it ... he did it ... and should
be punished accordingly.

--
Rob St.Denis

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > He's an admitted killer, he commited a crime and deserves to be punished
> > according to the laws of the land he is in.  He should have done his
> bombing
> > somewhere without the death penalty.  Then he could spend his days
getting
> > raped in a penn on the gov't tip.
```

>
> There is a pecking order even in a prison. A child molester often has to
be
> placed in a more secured section to keep the other prisoners from killing
> them. Remember that many prisoners have kids too, and they don't like
child
> molesters. I remember a while back here in Michigan a guy was found guilty
> of molesting some kids and went to prison. It was in the news for a few
> weeks. Shortly after getting there somebody, in the prison, put a contract
> on his life. I found this all out because my ex-wife worked at the county
> jail for a while, and she spoke to the officers in charge of running the
> prisoners between the jail and the prison. In Timothy McVeigh's case I
think
> he would find himself in the same position as the child molester so I
doubt
> he would live all that long in prison before somebody killed him.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
> me running, try to keep up. "
>
>

From -8339057867301749027
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 11:01:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!iad-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcnw0r1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
<9cege6$976$0@208.206.142.67> <3AEBB9F3.834AE83B@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gck5bz0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEE63DD.DC78CD27@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Lines: 73
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 18:02:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.204
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988740159 63.178.69.204 (Tue, 01 May 2001 18:02:39 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 18:02:39 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20684

On Tue, 01 May 2001 03:21:57 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

> As I understand it, the insurance company did eventually pay (and raised it's rates in the process). Because of that (more lawsuits and still higher insurance rates), the city made sure nothing like this would happen again. Two months after the lawsuit ended, they started cutting down every single tree on public property throughout the city. And, to this day, there is not a single tree on public property in that town that is taller than six feet.

If they would have done that while the claim was pending, it would be admissable as evidence that the city knew that there were problems.....

> And, to be perfectly honest with you, Phil, when they cut down that beautiful Oak tree in the park across the street, I would have paid money to get at that woman and her attorney. Of course, I would have had to stand in line - most of the residents were mad as hell at those two.

Why not be mad at the doctors and hospitals who billed her for their fees...they would have jumped into the case as well because of subrogation (in return for their providing services, you grant them the right to recover those expenses on your behalf).

A classmate of mine was involved in a case where a taxi driver pinned a robber to the wall with his cab until the cops arrived. The taxi company was sued - and lost - for damages in the vicinity of $45,000, which is what the City and County Health Services claimed was its expenses for medical care in putting the robber back together again.

> It is exactly this type of lawsuit that makes people so angry at lawyers.

In those situations who is supposed to pay the medical bills?

Fortunately my medical insurance plan covers full expenses for injuries of any type, but if they smell on-the-job or third-party liabilities, they're right there with subrogated claims.

>There is no possible way to maintain a tree so that a limb
>cannot possibly fall off.

The "what is required of the reasonable defendant" theory
applies.

>Therefore, to avoid a BS lawsuit like this,
>the only option is to cut down every single tree.

Bad choice. What would have been required is an inspection of
every tree to determine their safety and propensity for damage,
and remedial tree-work as necessary. Probably cost more than
the tree-ectomy, though.

And if the city would have had warning of this possibly happening -
such as prior claims for damages from errant tree limbs - its
failure to take adequate protection is grounds for punitive damages
as well.

>What a crappy world
>>we would live in if we made it impervious to the lawsuits of lawyers.
>Sorry, but I would rather get rid of the lawyers instead.

To each his own......

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -8191709526900836896
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 11:07:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <8dzH6.106229$_P.29836142@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010501130517.26864.00003092@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <0YCH6.108449$_P.30154604@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 18:07:56 GMT

NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988740476 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 01 May 2001 11:07:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 11:07:56 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20685

it is warm not hot. You can pick it up with a pair of work gloves. ASnd those work gloves won't ignite! they won't even singe. cs ((o-Chlorobenzylidene)malononitrile) is not flamable. To burn it would need to be oxidized (a little high school chemistry would help you out here ...) regardless of concentration it will not burn.

Your analogy of the grain elevator is notanalogous to thissituation in that wheat burns, it will "explode" (a violent redox reaction) occurs when the wheat is at a concentration with the oxidation such that it will react quickly. cs on the other hand will never react violently in air.

--
Rob St.Denis

"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010501130517.26864.00003092@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 05/01/01 9:53 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>
> >And btw ... cs is not flamable.
>
>   Ever pick up a CS canister after it has been deployed, Rob? It's a
bit
> warm!
>
>   Ever seen a grain elevator explode? You can't put wheat in your gas
tank,
> but it sure enough CAN explode.
>
>   Live and learn.
>
> Steve

From -7393462911143616403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 11:20:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 11:17:21 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AEEFDB1.7FDC41D4@uci.edu>
References: <8dzH6.106229$_P.29836142@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010501130517.26864.00003092@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<deCH6.5562$SZ5.456147@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20686



K0HB wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson LPN:
>
> > Ever seen a grain elevator explode?  You can't put wheat in your gas tank,
> > but it sure enough CAN explode.
>
> Wrong again, Steve.
>
> Elevator explosions are caused by exploding dust, not by exploding wheat.
>
> Good luck on this one now!

I've got to ask.  What kind of 'dust' do you think one might ordinarily
find in a grain elevator with a lot of wheat in it?

ac6xg



From 5187795070491029041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 11:30:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 11:22:35 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3AEEFEEB.165329EE@uci.edu>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
```

<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
<9cege6$976$0@208.206.142.67> <3AEBB9F3.834AE83B@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gck5bz0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEE63DD.DC78CD27@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcnw0r1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20687

Phil Kane wrote:
> > It is exactly this type of lawsuit that makes people so angry at
> >lawyers.
>
>   In those situations who is supposed to pay the medical bills?

Here's a thought - maybe the person for whom the services are being
rendered should pay the bills.

I guess that'll never fly.

ac6xg

From 4592799955325435352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f3f4e07b71d7dc2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 11:38:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 52
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 18:37:14 GMT
References: <taCH6.5554$SZ5.455445@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Professional Courtesy
Message-ID: <20010501143714.26864.00003135@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20688

>Subject: Re: Professional Courtesy
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 05/01/01 1:15 PM Eastern

>> it's called "Professional Courtesy".

>ROTFLMAO!!!! You're not a "professional" -- you're an "amateur" and a radio cop
>wannabe.

Hansel, Hansel, Hansel....

Was ANY of the information that I stated incorrect?

If challenged by the FCC, would they NOT be (potentially) liable for NAL's for improper and unlicensed operation? (And as a local resident, those "fines" would have been paid with MY taxes, ergo it IS "my business")

Do you assert that my suggestions that it is NOT a citizens right to redress improper conduct to the agency concerned? (As a local resident, the professioanl reputation and proper conduct of MY Sheriff's Deaprtment IS "my business")

With many other posters I would await a reasonable response. However, this is YOU, and you have proven beyond any reasonable doubt that any response will be in THIS genre. Lennie did it and I fell prey to his shennanigans.

I used to hold you in some respect simply by virtue of your previous service in the Navy. Not that I am worried about what YOU think any more, but that is gone.

You "twist my tail" about my jabs at Lennie's rhetoric, but then you go right ahead and do the very same thing yourself. More "Do As I Say...Not Do What I Do" philosophy.

Very chic. Very professional.

The lack of a "paycheck" for what I do may grant me "amateur" status, Hansel, but it does NOT mean I cannot do what I do in a "professional" manner.

That YOU may not agree does not concern me. That I DID gain the respect of the local agencies both for my knowledge of those regulations AND a willingness to handle this at the lowest common denominator DOES.

It makes my "job" as a local ARRL EC so much easier.

A simple yes or no, as difficult as it may be for you, will suffice.

Steve

From 8129380315063705560
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a9be294a2201e7c7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 11:45:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AEF03F4.D0D00650@crosslink.net>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:44:05 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: ARES vs RACES
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.216
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 988742746 4948 207.199.164.216
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20689

The *volunteer* fire and rescue folks in out rural county recently held a meeting to discuss what could/should be done to integrate amateur radio into their emergency/disaster plans. Rep's from both ARES and RACES both attended but sadly got into such a heated arguement that neither my wife and I nor the fire & rescue people were able to make any sense out of their proposals. The only thing they agreed on was that the 50-odd hams in the county who were not in one or the other organization were neither real hams nor people who could be trusted "to obey their rules". The one group (RACES?) said they were (once?) a federally sponsored corp. and would flood the county with outside volunteers provided the county agreed to their disaster plans for us, just before half of them left to protest the other group's "lies". The second group (ARES) seemed to loose interest after finding that we had no repeaters in the county they could comandeer for emergency drills. The county officials, hearing this, decided "none of the above" and have pretty much given up on amateur radio.

OTOH, I have heard so many good things said that I hate to give up. What's the story guys?

KA3DWW

From -9026075178093915466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 11:46:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 18:45:42 GMT
References: <deCH6.5562$SZ5.456147@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
Message-ID: <20010501144542.26864.00003139@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20690

>Subject: Exploding Jarheads
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 05/01/01 1:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>Wrong again, Steve.

>Elevator explosions are caused by exploding dust, not by exploding wheat.

Filled, primarily, with wheat. The dust is created by the chaff. Is this incorrect? Can you DISprove that dust created by wheat chaff is the culprit, Hansel?

>Good luck on this one now!

Good luck to you. I dare say your efforts to avoid the above response will be more problematic than my proving my point.

Did I cite EVERY possible form of grain that can casue this...? No.

Was my answer incorrect? No.

Can a hot CS cannister ingnite a fire? Yes. It has happened on numerous occassions. Ask any law enforcement agency.

Back to trolling for you, Hansel.

You are doing your darnedest to be cute, Hansel, but you are just being foolish.

Is that a Minnesota thing, or are ALL retired sailors this inadequate? I note this in as much as you have repeatedly DENIED any interservice rivalry between sailors and Marines, yet you change the subject thread to one that is certainly instigating.

More "Do What I Say, Not Do What I Do", Hans?

Steve

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 2247538789097961142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 11:49:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!193.190.198.17!
newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!ams-newsfeed.speedport.net!
newsfeed.speedport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <8dzH6.106229$_P.29836142@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010501130517.26864.00003092@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<deCH6.5562$SZ5.456147@www.newsranger.com> <3AEEFDB1.7FDC41D4@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <UyDH6.5677$SZ5.467787@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:49:24 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 18:49:24 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20691

Jim Kelley axed me:

>I've got to ask. What kind of 'dust' do you think one might ordinarily
>find in a grain elevator with a lot of wheat in it?

Grain dust. Legume dust. Saflour dust. All sorts of explosive dust.

Nice try, but sorry, no exploding wheat, and no exploding CS either.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 4050789813523443369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 11:55:14 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF063F.60406C76@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 13:53:51 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org>
<CszH6.1336$9w6.117862@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEECC9D.7275AAA4@IEEE.org> <mLCH6.699$RK.79450@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 988742849 64.240.47.134 (1 May 2001 13:47:29 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15534
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20692
```

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:

>
> Am I? Again, libertarian justification, "I'm not REALLY contributing with
> my occasional one joint." If there was no market then none of those things
> would happen.

"If is for children, spinning daydreams." Roger Whittaker
Keep spinning daydreams, Kevin, it keeps you from facing reality and
allows the government to violate my rights, one at a time, until no
rights are left.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 3723259229119540821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 11:58:39 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF0709.91453A43@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 13:57:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org>
<CszH6.1336$9w6.117862@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<KECH6.5604$SZ5.459706@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 988743052 64.240.47.134 (1 May 2001 13:50:52 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
```

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15535
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20693
```

K0HB wrote:

> Hey, if it were legal like tobacco, then Phillip Morris of Liggett Meyers
> would produce it without all that bad shit happening to illicit growers and
> distributors. Think of all the lives saved!

The ignorant might be surprised to learn that all the major cigarette
companies have copyrighted their own names for marijuana cigarettes
in anticipation of the inevitable time when the feds legalize marijuana
(again). What, you didn't know it was legal for more than a century?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8224569896411534367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:00:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 54
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 May 2001 18:59:48 GMT
References: <0YCH6.108449$_P.30154604@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010501145948.26864.00003145@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20694
```

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 05/01/01 2:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time

>it is warm not hot. You can pick it up with a pair of work gloves.

If it's hot enough to require gloves to pick up, it is hot enough in amny cases to initiate combustion.

>ASnd those work gloves won't ignite! they won't even singe.

Next time I consider doing some act which might potentially induce local law enforcement or the Armed Forces to hurl a CS canister into my house, I will remember to have a pair of those gloves handy, Rob.

>To burn it would need to be oxidized

If it is getting hot, it is already oxidizing. And just in case, I will remember to be in an air-tight room when that CS is activated.

>(a little high school chemistry would help you out here ...) regardless of concentration it will not burn.

Fire requires three elements to occur...Oxygen, Fuel and a heat source.

We live in an enviroment that provides approximately 21% oxygen in most concentrations. (less in Los Angeles, New York and obviously some Canadian provinces.) In most cases, this is sufficent to support combustion.

Secondly, we live in an enviroment that provides MORE than adequate sources of combustion. Papers, trash, curtains, clothing, etc are NOT safe from fire.

Lastly, a CS can gets more than sufficiently hot enough to ignite certain materials. It's happened before...it WILL happen again.

>Your analogy of the grain elevator is notanalogous to thissituation in that
>wheat burns, it will "explode" (a violent redox reaction) occurs when the
>wheat is at a concentration with the oxidation such that it will react
>quickly.

Sure it is. You just wish to dismiss it in support of your tirade. No problem. Carry on.

>cs on the other hand will never react violently in air.

However certain Canadian rent-a-cops in between real jobs WILL react violently when their rants are derailed.

See ya

Steve

```
From -3970290775791025231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:01:57 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF07CE.E01EDC28@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:00:31 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mlp$dfi$2@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 988743249 64.240.47.134 (1 May 2001 13:54:09 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20695
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > You liberals
>
> If you think I'm a liberal it just completes the confirmation that
> you're an idiot.

If you walk like a liberal and quack like a liberal, Dick, what other conclusion can I draw? Liberals love affirmative action programs. You love affirmative action programs for Morse code. In my book, that

makes you a liberal (or possibly a fascist which is even worse).
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5939868596384285375
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:07:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF090D.A3618715@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:05:49 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Testing vs. Technical Advancement
References: <3ae07db1.2731403@news.mindspring.com>
<20010421232442.11413.00000358@nso-ch.aol.com>
<nj36et0kqc37jag0i870n5rq2rtd045f3t@4ax.com> <3AE338C5.C19FA693@IEEE.org>
<03n6etk02io18ico2d0vu3m5j2d66qh8f7@4ax.com> <9c0bgg$auj$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4C478.F5649B59@IEEE.org> <9c2kb8$njg$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E326.5482BD2A@IEEE.org> <9c2vha$pnm$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE58F59.8A15AF18@IEEE.org> <9c574o$kca$2@208.206.142.94>
<3AE659DE.8FF7AA26@IEEE.org> <9c5o44$mfj$0@208.206.142.94>
<3AE66458.1ECCF543@IEEE.org> <9c6mjv$dfi$1@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 988743568 64.240.47.134 (1 May 2001 13:59:28 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20696

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yeah, Right! The FCC licenses a new ham to use high power supplied by

> high voltage based on testing ol flawed and that he isn't required to
> know the characteristics of his own hardware, and they have no
> responsibility for it.

Spoken like a true liberal, Dick. Liberals don't believe in personal responsibility. They believe the government is responsible for protecting people from themselves. You have just shown your true colors and I am very disappointed. Given your above attitudes, for the sake of consistency, you must believe that citizens must be protected from themselves as far as guns go, by the feds.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3774431809047887609
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:10:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 12:10:48 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AEF0A38.1C74BB8F@uci.edu>
References: <8dzH6.106229$_P.29836142@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010501130517.26864.00003092@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<deCH6.5562$SZ5.456147@www.newsranger.com> <3AEEFDB1.7FDC41D4@uci.edu>
<UyDH6.5677$SZ5.467787@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20697

K0HB wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley axed me:
>
> >I've got to ask. What kind of 'dust' do you think one might ordinarily
> >find in a grain elevator with a lot of wheat in it?

```
>
> Grain dust. Legume dust. Saflour dust. All sorts of explosive dust.
>
> Nice try, but sorry, no exploding wheat, and no exploding CS either.

Don't know what CS is - or whether it explodes or not.  But I do know
that grain dust explodes.  Or are you claiming there's gasoline or
something in grain elevators?

ac6xg



From 8862358573380831253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:10:25 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF09D2.9491BBB1@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:09:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
<9cege6$976$0@208.206.142.67> <3AEBB9F3.834AE83B@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gck5bz0.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3AEE63DD.DC78CD27@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcnw0r1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 988743764 64.240.47.134 (1 May 2001 14:02:44 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!sjc-feed.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!feed1-in.uncensored-
news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20698

Phil Kane wrote:
>   A classmate of mine was involved in a case where a taxi driver
```

> pinned a robber to the wall with his cab until the cops arrived. The
> taxi company was sued - and lost - for damages in the vicinity of
> $45,000, which is what the City and County Health Services claimed
> was its expenses for medical care in putting the robber back
> together again.

There's a moral there. Don't leave enough to be put back together.
How does an individual live rationally in an irrational society?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4356727400172445485
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f3f4e07b71d7dc2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:10:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!fu-berlin.de!feed-
out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <taCH6.5554$SZ5.455445@www.newsranger.com>
<20010501143714.26864.00003135@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Professional Courtesy
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <pSDH6.5710$SZ5.470259@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 15:10:13 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:10:13 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20699
```

Steve Robeson LPN still doesn't "get it" and asks:
>
>>Subject: Re: Professional Courtesy
>>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>>Date: 05/01/01 1:15 PM Eastern
>
>>> it's called "Professional Courtesy".
>
>>ROTFLMAO!!!! You're not a "professional" -- you're an "amateur" and a radio
>>cop wannabe.

>
> Was ANY of the information that I stated incorrect?
>

Yes. The statment "it's called Professional Courtesy" is flatly incorrect.

Professional Courtesy is a specific term for a free or reduced price service performed by a professional person (ie., Medical Doctor, Attorney, etc.) for another person in the same profession for which he would otherwise charge a fee to the general public. For example, a dentist might treat the spouse or children of other dentists for free or at a reduced rate as a professional courtesy.

By definition, an "amateur" cannot extend a "professional courtesy".

Good luck on this one now!

Hans

From -2052670540852280725
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:15:12 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF0AE9.B1E0C5AC@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:13:45 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination(LONG)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com> <3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com> <3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org> <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <9c2vtg$qn2$0@208.206.142.95> <LfcF6.2533$RB3.1110385@nntp2.onemain.com>

```
<3AE63053.17E9@bellsouth.net> <3AE65BEE.B458D55C@IEEE.org>
<9c5o7b$mfj$1@208.206.142.94> <3AE6655B.28DCE4B@IEEE.org>
<9c6mog$dfi$3@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 988744045 64.240.47.134 (1 May 2001 14:07:25 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15536
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20700

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  So it's OK to send your kids out with the homosexual kind because
> there's only half as many of them. I think we understand where you're
> coming from.

As usual, your phase is 180 degrees away from my meaning which is:

Since there are twice as many heterosexual child molesters as
homosexual child molesters, be very careful with your little
girls. Why would you want me not to be careful with my little
girls, Dick? I think I understand where you're coming from.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 942329638686051948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:19:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-
out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
```

References: <deCH6.5562$SZ5.456147@www.newsranger.com>
<20010501144542.26864.00003139@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <p_DH6.5723$SZ5.471513@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 15:18:45 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:18:45 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20701

Steve Robeson LPN got it wrong again:
>
>>Subject: Exploding Jarheads
>>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>>Date: 05/01/01 1:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>
>>Wrong again, Steve.
>
>>Elevator explosions are caused by exploding dust, not by exploding wheat.
>
>
>   Filled, primarily, with wheat. The dust is created by the chaff. Is this
>incorrect?

Yes, that is incorrect.

The chaff stays in the field and never goes to the elevator, thus it is not the source of the elevator dust explosion.

Regardless of the source of the dust, the explosion is exploding DUST, not exploding WHEAT as you stated. Sorry, Steve, but there is no wiggle-room for you here. You were simply wrong when you talked of exploding wheat.

Good luck on this one now!

Hans

From 5832749451979164840

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:25:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <8dzH6.106229$_P.29836142@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010501130517.26864.00003092@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<deCH6.5562$SZ5.456147@www.newsranger.com> <3AEEFDB1.7FDC41D4@uci.edu>
<UyDH6.5677$SZ5.467787@www.newsranger.com> <3AEF0A38.1C74BB8F@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <I2EH6.5730$SZ5.472327@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 15:23:20 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:23:20 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20702
```

Jim Kelley axed me:

>But I do know that grain dust explodes.

You are exactly correct. That is also my claim.

Steve Robeson LPN, on the other hand, stated that exploding wheat causes the disaster, a statement which is simply incorrect.

>Or are you claiming there's gasoline or something in grain elevators?

Nope.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From -4449762443867514216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:29:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
```

news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: HR-2346
In-Reply-To: <20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 35
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:29:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988745365 12.80.44.14 (Tue, 01 May 2001 19:29:25 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:29:25 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20703

On 30 Apr 2001, N2EY wrote:
> >Subject: Re: HR-2346
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >Date: 4/30/01 1:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
> >
> >I disagree. It is an amateur issue should an amateur be "misclassified" as a
> >CB'er and [improperly] subjected to the law.
>
> Agreed! This is one of the main reasons for the hostility some amateurs display
> towards CBers.
>
> > That's why some of us are
> >bitching
> >about it. The average person doesn't know the difference.
>
> A problem the amateur radio service has suffered from for more than 30 years.
> The general public does not think more highly of radio amateurs because of this
> confusion.
>
> > It gets worse
> >when
> >considering that there is an overlap of equipment used in both services.
> >
> Except for antennas, transmission lines and power supplies, what equipment is
> used in both services? CB equipment must be type accepted for that service.
> Modifying it for ham use means it is no longer cb gear.

True that there is no PROPER equipment in both services, but if one were to
follow Dwight Stewart's suggestion, a majority of amateur 10m rigs would be on

his proposed "illegal CB equipment list." In such a situation, what is a cop going to do: See the radio on the list and arrest, or see the radio on the list and KNOW that it could be used in a licensed service and do nothing (or nothing more than inquire)? I'm willing to bet the former outcome more likely.

From 3954372058135570579
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:32:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: HR-2346
In-Reply-To: <GHiH6.4325$SZ5.354008@www.newsranger.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011930480.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<GHiH6.4325$SZ5.354008@www.newsranger.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 15
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:32:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988745537 12.80.44.14 (Tue, 01 May
2001 19:32:17 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:32:17 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20704

On Mon, 30 Apr 2001, K0HB wrote:
> >Agreed! This is one of the main reasons for the hostility some amateurs display
> >towards CBers.
>
> Why would an amateur give a rip about what CBers do? I couldn't care less,
> just as I don't care what FRS or GMRS or any other xxRS does. It's the
> FCC's job to take care of, not mine, not the local deputy Barney Fife, nor
> the USCG Auxiliary.

With HR-2346 becomming law, just why do you say that it's not "local deputy Barney Fife['s]" responsibility? Isn't that exactly what the federal law now permits - local enforcement.... If he can't tell the difference between CB (which he may now enforce, provided the supplemental legislation is passed) and amateur, you should care.

```
From 9193013434221352130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd27f189d72f2dff
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:38:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: The Eroding of Amatuer Radio ?
In-Reply-To: <010520010503245857%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011939020.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <010520010503245857%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 11
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:38:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988745922 12.80.44.14 (Tue, 01 May
2001 19:38:42 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:38:42 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20705

All I can say:  Not this sh*t again.

On Tue, 1 May 2001, MIchael W. McGinley wrote:
>  I was browsing QRZ.com when i stumbled across this
> little article
>
> http://www.qrz.com/articles/00/10/15/1215251.shtml
>
>  It was talking about a new Lic free MURS ( Multi User Radio Service )
> in the 151 Mhz Range....what i found distrubing was what the article ....
```

```
From 1355612872396821615
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:42:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <0YCH6.108449$_P.30154604@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <20010501145948.26864.00003145@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 77
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <5lEH6.108687$_P.30259363@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:42:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988746177 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 01 May 2001 12:42:57 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 12:42:57 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20706

> If it's hot enough to require gloves to pick up, it is hot enough in amny
> cases to initiate combustion.

The canister is about 60-70c after it has been fired. The heat is caused by the charge that fires it not by the gas. Thats about the same temperature as water coming from a hot water heater ... they certainly don't catch fire in normal use.

> Next time I consider doing some act which might potentially induce local
> law enforcement or the Armed Forces to hurl a CS canister into my house, I will
> remember to have a pair of those gloves handy, Rob.

You'd have to be an idiot to do anything that would require them to anyways ... but then again you have already given proof that you are silly enough to do so.

> If it is getting hot, it is already oxidizing. And just in case, I will
> remember to be in an air-tight room when that CS is activated.

cs isn't activated ... it evaporates ... and as you should know ... thats an endothermic reaction (takes in heat not gives it up). Oxidizing occurs when a material loses an electron to the other material. Not all hot objects do this ... especially not at 70c

> Fire requires three elements to occur...Oxygen, Fuel and a heat source.

70c isn't enough to ignite anything in your house.

> We live in an enviroment that provides approximately 21% oxygen in
most
> concentrations. (less in Los Angeles, New York and obviously some Canadian
> provinces.) In most cases, this is sufficent to support combustion.

Most certainly ... but you still need the heat requirement.

> Secondly, we live in an enviroment that provides MORE than adequate
> sources of combustion. Papers, trash, curtains, clothing, etc are NOT
safe
> from fire.

All those will burn ... but again not at 70c.

> Lastly, a CS can gets more than sufficiently hot enough to ignite
certain
> materials. It's happened before...it WILL happen again.

I have used cs before (cn would be better for a building but the argument
was about cs). And trust me ... unless you fire it right into a pool of gas
a couple feet away (such that the fuel used as a propellant would still be
burning) it won't ignite anything. Cite me a proof that it has ... and
something more credible than jsut "I said so".

> Sure it is. You just wish to dismiss it in support of your tirade.
No
> problem. Carry on.

Grain elevators explode because they have a volatile concentration of the
powder from the grain and oxygen. This is subsequently ignited by a heat
source sufficient enough that it provides the energy to start the exothermic
reaction. This exothermic reaction then ignites further material rapidly as
the surface area of the reaction increases with the surface area of the
sphere from the ignition point.

> However certain Canadian rent-a-cops in between real jobs WILL react
> violently when their rants are derailed.

I actually started school again today ... so go right ahead and insult the
security field all you want.

```
From 905887147771435869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a9be294a2201e7c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 12:49:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!
```

135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: ARES vs RACES
In-Reply-To: <3AEF03F4.D0D00650@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011942380.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3AEF03F4.D0D00650@crosslink.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 37
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:49:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988746547 12.80.44.14 (Tue, 01 May 2001 19:49:07 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 19:49:07 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20707

On Tue, 1 May 2001, Old Biker wrote:

> The *volunteer* fire and rescue folks in out rural county
> recently held a meeting to discuss what could/should be done to
> integrate amateur radio into their emergency/disaster plans.
> Rep's from both ARES and RACES both attended but sadly got into
> such a heated arguement that neither my wife and I nor the fire
> & rescue people were able to make any sense out of their
> proposals. The only thing they agreed on was that the 50-odd
> hams in the county who were not in one or the other organization
> were neither real hams nor people who could be trusted "to obey
> their rules". The one group (RACES?) said they were (once?) a
> federally sponsored corp. and would flood the county with
> outside volunteers provided the county agreed to their disaster
> plans for us, just before half of them left to protest the other
> group's "lies". The second group (ARES) seemed to loose interest
> after finding that we had no repeaters in the county they could
> comandeer for emergency drills. The county officials, hearing
> this, decided "none of the above" and have pretty much given up
> on amateur radio.
>
> OTOH, I have heard so many good things said that I hate to give
> up. What's the story guys?

Simple:

RACES: A once FCC licensed [sub- or overlapping] service, comprised of civil defense officials and amateur radio operators, recently eliminated at the same time as the amateur radio rules restructuring that took place on April 15, 2000. Existing licensees can continue to operate witin the terms of their licenses until expiration, whereby they will not be renewed.

ARES: The ARRL's delusion of an "emergency service" that carries no weight or authority whatsoever with regard to any law, rule, or regulation at any level of government. Although individuals participating in ARES are licensed amateurs, there is no additional licensing such as in RACES, nor is there any government sanctioned tie-in to the civil defense infrastructure.

From -1675178027310883458
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 13:53:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 15:51:28 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 988749907 64.240.47.134 (1 May 2001 15:45:07 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!sjc-feed.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20708

"D. Stussy" wrote:
> True that there is no PROPER equipment in both services, but if one were to
> follow Dwight Stewart's suggestion, a majority of amateur 10m rigs would be on
> his proposed "illegal CB equipment list." In such a situation, what is a cop
> going to do: See the radio on the list and arrest, or see the radio on the list
> and KNOW that it could be used in a licensed service and do nothing (or nothing
> more than inquire)? I'm willing to bet the former outcome more likely.

I haven't been following the discussion but it seems to me the cop could
do the same thing as he does if he discovers someone carrying a gun.

In a grocery store, a cop walks up to me and asks, "Are you carrying
a handgun?" I say, yes, and I have a permit. He asks to see the permit
and suggests that I keep it better concealed. Without the permit, I
would have been arrested.

Why can't the same conditions apply? Without an FCC license, the operator
of the equipment on the list probably should be arrested.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3195012070411868262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 13:55:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF227F.EB0CC69C@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 15:54:23 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
References: <8dzH6.106229$_P.29836142@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010501130517.26864.00003092@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<deCH6.5562$SZ5.456147@www.newsranger.com> <3AEEFDB1.7FDC41D4@uci.edu>
<UyDH6.5677$SZ5.467787@www.newsranger.com> <3AEF0A38.1C74BB8F@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 988750081 64.240.47.134 (1 May 2001 15:48:01 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!
```

```
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20709

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Don't know what CS is - or whether it explodes or not.  But I do know
> that grain dust explodes.  Or are you claiming there's gasoline or
> something in grain elevators?

Nope, he's claiming that there may be something besides "wheat" dust
in a "grain" elevator. Did you ever put corn starch in a drinking straw
and blow it at a candle?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -4249379698650335276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 13:58:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: HR-2346
In-Reply-To: <3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105012055260.8544-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 28
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 20:58:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988750693 12.80.44.14 (Tue, 01 May
2001 20:58:13 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 20:58:13 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20710

On Tue, 1 May 2001, W6RCecilA wrote:
```

> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > True that there is no PROPER equipment in both services, but if one were to
> > follow Dwight Stewart's suggestion, a majority of amateur 10m rigs would be on
> > his proposed "illegal CB equipment list." In such a situation, what is a cop
> > going to do: See the radio on the list and arrest, or see the radio on the
list
> > and KNOW that it could be used in a licensed service and do nothing (or
nothing
> > more than inquire)? I'm willing to bet the former outcome more likely.
>
> I haven't been following the discussion but it seems to me the cop could
> do the same thing as he does if he discovers someone carrying a gun.
>
> In a grocery store, a cop walks up to me and asks, "Are you carrying
> a handgun?" I say, yes, and I have a permit. He asks to see the permit
> and suggests that I keep it better concealed. Without the permit, I
> would have been arrested.
>
> Why can't the same conditions apply? Without an FCC license, the operator
> of the equipment on the list probably should be arrested.

It's more likely that one would be thrown against the nearest wall, frisked,
have the gun taken, then have it returned when a valid permit to CCW is
discovered. Since there's a safety issue here, a police officer is going to be
more concerned about his own safety than in the rights of the suspect, even if
there is no actual violation or arrest.

Stop dreaming and come and join us in the real world.

From -6265078257401713070
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f3f4e07b71d7dc2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 13:59:55 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF237F.F6A73B22@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 15:58:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Professional Courtesy
References: <taCH6.5554$SZ5.455445@www.newsranger.com>
<20010501143714.26864.00003135@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<pSDH6.5710$SZ5.470259@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 988750337 64.240.47.134 (1 May 2001 15:52:17 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

```
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!sjc-feed.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!feed1-in.uncensored-
news.com!news-in-la.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20711

K0HB wrote:
> By definition, an "amateur" cannot extend a "professional courtesy".

Does a payoff in 807's count? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 1704888596557029815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 14:00:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Tue, 1 May 2001 17:00:16 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
<NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 21
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15539
```

rec.radio.amateur.policy:20712

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> Thats my point ... regardless of why he did it ... he did it ... and
should
> be punished accordingly.

The punishment he would have got would not have been one handed out by a
court, but by his fellow prisoners.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
 me running, try to keep up. "

From 4066990933915626803
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 14:17:37 PST
Message-ID: <3AEF2798.8DCBBF1A@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 16:16:08 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105012055260.8544-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 988751389 64.240.47.134 (1 May 2001 16:09:49 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!

```
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20713

"D. Stussy" wrote:
> It's more likely that one would be thrown against the nearest wall, frisked,
> have the gun taken, then have it returned when a valid permit to CCW is
> discovered.  Since there's a safety issue here, a police officer is going to be
> more concerned about his own safety than in the rights of the suspect, even if
> there is no actual violation or arrest.
>
> Stop dreaming and come and join us in the real world.

Maybe my perspective is different from yours. I'm 6'4" and weigh
215 lbs, fairly imposing for most cops, who are required by reality
to consider physical size before trying to throw anybody against the
nearest wall. How about you?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 1034615902550636951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 14:32:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
<NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
```

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 21:32:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988752745 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 01 May 2001 14:32:25
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:32:25 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15540
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20714

And at a cost of what 44000$ us per year ?

--
Rob St.Denis

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
> news:NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > Thats my point ... regardless of why he did it ... he did it ... and
> should
> > be punished accordingly.
>
> The punishment he would have got would not have been one handed out by a
> court, but by his fellow prisoners.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
>  me running, try to keep up. "
>
>




From 727413806329051626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 14:35:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-
snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!news-
out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!24.0.0.38!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
```

mail
From: "HelmutSweenie" <helmutsweenie@youranus.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <l_FH6.42412$B22.10324779@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 21:35:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 988752913 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 01 May 2001
14:35:13 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 14:35:13 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20715

Because owning a Ranger 2950 is not illegal, nor using one to listen to is
not illegal. regardless if you have a license or not. Now what ?

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org...
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > True that there is no PROPER equipment in both services, but if one were
to
> > follow Dwight Stewart's suggestion, a majority of amateur 10m rigs would
be on
> > his proposed "illegal CB equipment list." In such a situation, what is
a cop
> > going to do: See the radio on the list and arrest, or see the radio on
the list
> > and KNOW that it could be used in a licensed service and do nothing (or
nothing
> > more than inquire)? I'm willing to bet the former outcome more likely.
>
> I haven't been following the discussion but it seems to me the cop could
> do the same thing as he does if he discovers someone carrying a gun.
>
> In a grocery store, a cop walks up to me and asks, "Are you carrying
> a handgun?" I say, yes, and I have a permit. He asks to see the permit
> and suggests that I keep it better concealed. Without the permit, I
> would have been arrested.
>
> Why can't the same conditions apply? Without an FCC license, the operator
> of the equipment on the list probably should be arrested.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6147883675675116947
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 14:43:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!
news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
<NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com>
<JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 23
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <F5GH6.24551$qD1.474232@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 21:43:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 988753381 24.131.233.143 (Tue, 01 May 2001
17:43:01 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 17:43:01 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15541
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20716
```

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> And at a cost of what 44000$ us per year ?

```
I doubt he would even have lasted a year so the cost would have been less.
By the way do you know what it costs the state to "properly" conduct an
execution?



--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"We learn in time that it is not subjects which
are controversial, but the manner in which
we communicate about them and the
elements of personal blame we
add to them in anger."




From -1898557257864550299
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 15:10:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!upp1.onvoy!msc1.onvoy!onvoy.com!tethys.csu.net!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 15:05:34 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3AEF332E.12090837@uci.edu>
References: <8dzH6.106229$_P.29836142@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010501130517.26864.00003092@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<deCH6.5562$SZ5.456147@www.newsranger.com> <3AEEFDB1.7FDC41D4@uci.edu>
<UyDH6.5677$SZ5.467787@www.newsranger.com> <3AEF0A38.1C74BB8F@uci.edu>
<3AEF227F.EB0CC69C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20717



W6RCecilA wrote:
> Did you ever put corn starch in a drinking straw
> and blow it at a candle?

Missed out on that one.  Guess I never had that much free time.  :-)

ac6xg


From 4532711239699498206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-01 16:03:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Date: Tue, 01 May 2001 23:03:50 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3aef3ffd.93705978@news.idsi.net>
References: <20010430195026.25159.00001922@ng-co1.aol.com>
<20010501041620.08249.00001678@ng-fy1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 20
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20718

On 01 May 2001 08:16:20 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: j1aguiar@aol.com  (J1aguiar)
>
>>If the best I could do is to increase my present sales by .5 percent I would
>>get out of that buisness. J1
>
>When I was first licensed, there were about 150,000 hams.  There are 650,000
>today.  The long-term growth has been reasonable.
>
>Why do some hams feel a need to perceive the hobby as dying on the vine?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

 Because they are bored or just plain pulling our legs. The hobby
isn't dying at all. Period. A 1% increase doesn't mean the hobby is
dying.  Some people just like to shovel S**T I guess....




From -8549171414442748856
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 08:56:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 15:55:21 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3af02d9f.2123643@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <l_FH6.42412$B22.10324779@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AEF6353.7E0D9A66@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.75.68
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 15:55:52 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20769

On Tue, 01 May 2001 20:30:59 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>HelmutSweenie wrote:
>> Because owning a Ranger 2950 is not illegal, nor using one to listen to is
>> not illegal. regardless if you have a license or not. Now what ?
>
>I missed some of the postings but I thought we were talking about a ham
>using a transmitter VS a CBer using the same transmitter. How's the cop
>going to tell the difference? - the FCC license.

The key word is, as you state: USING.  How does the cop
know anyoe is using any radio (as opposed to merely
owning and listening)?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -277947787685497368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 09:11:17 PST
Message-ID: <3AF0315B.C07FF011@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 11:10:03 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: HR-2346
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.93
X-Trace: corp 988819419 64.240.47.93 (2 May 2001 11:03:39 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20770

Bill Sohl wrote:
> >Why can't the same conditions apply? Without an FCC license, the operator
> >of the equipment on the list probably should be arrested.
>
> OWNERSHIP of ham gear, even if not a ham, is NOT illegal.

Bill, "operator" implies transmitting.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -7749378593767773765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a9be294a2201e7c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 09:11:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARES vs RACES
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 16:10:17 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
```

Lines: 47
Message-ID: <3af0304f.2811675@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AEF03F4.D0D00650@crosslink.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.75.68
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 16:10:59 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20771

On Tue, 01 May 2001 14:44:05 -0400, Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net> wrote:

>The *volunteer* fire and rescue folks in out rural county
>recently held a meeting to discuss what could/should be done to
>integrate amateur radio into their emergency/disaster plans.
>Rep's from both ARES and RACES both attended but sadly got into
>such a heated arguement that neither my wife and I nor the fire
>& rescue people were able to make any sense out of their
>proposals. The only thing they agreed on was that the 50-odd
>hams in the county who were not in one or the other organization
>were neither real hams nor people who could be trusted "to obey
>their rules". The one group (RACES?) said they were (once?) a
>federally sponsored corp. and would flood the county with
>outside volunteers provided the county agreed to their disaster
>plans for us, just before half of them left to protest the other
>group's "lies". The second group (ARES) seemed to loose interest
>after finding that we had no repeaters in the county they could
>comandeer for emergency drills. The county officials, hearing
>this, decided "none of the above" and have pretty much given up
>on amateur radio.
>
>OTOH, I have heard so many good things said that I hate to give
>up. What's the story guys?
>KA3DWW

This is a sad and tragic example of where ham's "personal" attitudes stand in the way of doing something beneficial.
If you want to continue to pursue this, I'd suggest the following two options:

1. Try to get the key individuals from both ARES and RACES together outside the contact with the county officials and then (if that happens) reapproach the county as a unified entity.

2. Work with the county directly (yourself and other hams willing to do so) without any reliance on ARES or RACES. Neither ARES nor RACES has any "turf guarantee" regarding their organizations being used and/or recognized on individual county efforts.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -7224973246901706461
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a9be294a2201e7c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 09:12:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARES vs RACES
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 16:11:39 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3af0318a.3126954@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011942380.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010501212137.12882.00001926@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.75.68
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 16:12:28 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20772
```

On 02 May 2001 01:21:37 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>
>> Although individuals participating in ARES are licensed amateurs,
>>there is no additional licensing such as in RACES, nor is there any
>>government
>>sanctioned tie-in to the civil defense infrastructure.
>
>This ignores the numerous memoranda of understanding between ARES and various
>served agencies.
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

But those "memos of understanding" hold no weight
on OTHER agencies not so served.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -5206286327608572870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 09:15:40 PST
```

```
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 16:14:10 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3af03218.3268505@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF0315B.C07FF011@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.75.68
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 16:14:55 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20773

On Wed, 02 May 2001 11:10:03 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >Why can't the same conditions apply? Without an FCC license, the operator
>> >of the equipment on the list probably should be arrested.
>>
>> OWNERSHIP of ham gear, even if not a ham, is NOT illegal.
>
>Bill, "operator" implies transmitting.

True, so let me rephrase: "How does the local cop know
that a person IS operating at all?"

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -8655296619943985436
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 09:31:33 PST
Message-ID: <3AF02847.7041DF97@ovis.net>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 15:31:19 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
```

```
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net> <MlYG6.81$LO6.11929@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AED6A28.A267DAFC@ovis.net> <3aede157$0$88189$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s19.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 2 May 2001 12:26:24 -0400, s19.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 30
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s19.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20774

Larry Klose wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@ovis.net> wrote in message
> news:3AED6A28.A267DAFC@ovis.net...
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> > Let's see if we happen to be in agreement.  Would you agree to the
> > statement, "Larry Klose has no experience as an attorney, no experience
> > in the formulation of U.S. foreign or domestic policy and little
> > experience as a radio amateur."?
>
> Kim,
>
> The Gospel According Dave would have you believe that:
> (a) no citizens can petition an administrative agency alleging
> that a rule is unnecessary, bad public policy, and not in
> conformity with law, unless they are attorney; and,
> (b) no citizens can oppose the use of military force
> against civilian aircraft unless they have experience
> formulation U.S. foreign or domestic policy; and,
> (c) and most importantly, no citizen can oppose
> 47 CFR 97.503(a) unless they have passed the
> code exam.
>
> Larry  KC8EPO

It is as I suspected, Larry.  You can't even read one of my posts
correctly much less read a law book and understand what is written.

Dave K8MN


From -6824842418875523500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 10:09:50 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
```

```
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 17:07:14 GMT
Message-ID: <3af0389e.83483938@news2.dvol.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <l_FH6.42412$B22.10324779@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AEF6353.7E0D9A66@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.48
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.48
X-Trace: 2 May 2001 12:03:36 -0500, 64.24.17.48
Lines: 24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!4.24.21.153!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!
chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20775

On Tue, 01 May 2001 20:30:59 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>I missed some of the postings but I thought we were talking about a ham
>using a transmitter VS a CBer using the same transmitter. How's the cop
>going to tell the difference? - the FCC license.
>
Absolutely. Tuck a copy of yer ham license into the glove compartment
along with highlighted copies of the applicable federal regs and doan
worry about it. No cop in his right mind will seriously hassle
somebody waving papers with the FCC seal on 'em and with a name &
address which match the driver's license info. Unless they're nutcases
or kids right out of the academy they're always looking for good
excuses to get disengaged from mindless chicken-shit like pinching
radioheads.
>
Mobile scanners and radar detectors have been "illegal" in Connecticut
and Virginia for decades. I have yet to hear of a ham who got really
hassled  in either of those states for having a scanning vhf/uhf xcvr
aboard the vehicle.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv


From -4796467662970504380
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 10:20:26 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
```

Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 17:20:02 GMT
Message-ID: <3af03f9b.85272756@news2.dvol.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3af02bab.1624141@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.48
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.48
X-Trace: 2 May 2001 12:16:24 -0500, 64.24.17.48
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20776

On Wed, 02 May 2001 15:50:07 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
wrote:
>
>Additionally, there is a great deal of older (pre-digital frequency
>synthesis) that can be used for illegal operation with NO
>modifications at all. Even newer rigs can be EASILY
>modified to operate outside ham frequencies yet have NO
>external indicatins that "the diode was clipped" to
>allow non-ham frequency transmission.
>

I have a factory-stock unmodified FCC type accepted Kenwood TS-50 and
it will transmit well down into the 27Mhz CB band and it also squirts
it's full 100w on 6900Khz which might eventually become the new lower
band edge of the 40m ham band. Beats me . .
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>

w3rv

From -5909186268344030256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 10:22:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 17:21:50 GMT

```
References: <I2EH6.5730$SZ5.472327@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
Message-ID: <20010502132150.26257.00002026@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20777

>Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/1/01 2:23 PM Central Daylight Time

>Steve Robeson LPN, on the other hand, stated that exploding wheat >causes the
disaster, a statement which is simply incorrect.

     I guess you had better ask EMS personnel about grain elevator explosions
and elevator rescues.

     Have fun with THAT one, Hans!

Steve







From 3881538547204050968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 10:24:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 83
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 17:24:00 GMT
References: <3aef7719.566244026@news.accessunited.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010502132400.29921.00002175@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20778

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: 2w8ji@akorn.net  (Tom W8JI)
>Date: 5/1/01 11:21 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3aef7719.566244026@news.accessunited.com>
(some old stuff removed)

>Statistically, there was virtually no difference in lowering CW from
>13 WPM to 5 WPM. This is a repeat of earlier test reductions. The big
>sales pitch we all heard was we were going to get an influx of new
```

>Hams.
>
Yup. There has been a surge in the number of Techs over the past year, put that is due in part to the FCC renewing Tech Pluses as Techs after April 15, 2000.

>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$

Bingo.
>
>Didn't work.
>
Too soon to tell, I'd say.

>We created a no-code tech because it was going to be "the answer",
>yet many of them turned out to not have the faintest interest in the
>hobby. Of those I know first hand, some never actually took the tests
>they were supposed to take. Few of them are active or interested. I
>know about 30 people who received no-codes...only two remain active.
>One is a 13 WPM General, and the other is a wife who uses the radio to
>talk to her husband.
>
My experience has been quite different. I know many post-1991 Techs who are very active.

But if you look at the growth of the ARS in the USA in the decade before 1991, and compare it to the decade since 1991, you will NOT see any lasting surge of newcomers in the latter decade.

>At MFJ, where the people work with radios, over a dozen people became
>no-code hams. Of those, only one is active.

Busman's holiday, probably.
>
>I would think if CW was a barrier, there would have been a steady
>stream of no-coders entering the door. Not a big shot that tapered to
>nothing. It would seem logical that a reduction from 13 WPM to 5 WPM
>for HF operation would have a large impact on newcomers...yet it
>didn't.

True. Several reasons:

1) Lotsa folks don't know about the changes

2) Most folks aren't interested, even if you eliminated all tests and gave the gear away.

3) Many folks think they don't have the time, money, or resources (like antenna space) to do ham radio.
>
>I wopuld think the no-code class would be a hit, didn't happen.

It was as much of a hit as its predecessor.
>

>Umm, no code has been available for a long time. It hasn't worked so
>far. Should we wait longer?
>
>I'm not discrediting you, but there is nothing that has happened so
>far that indicates what you predict is true. Suppose we drop CW, and
>nothing happens except problems. Do you suppose we can put it back
>later?

Double bingo. No way. The FCC has said for over a decade that they think the
code test only indicates code ability, nothing more or less. The ONLY reason
the FCC kept 5 wpm was because of the international treaty requirement.

Like it or not, the FCC's hands are tied on this. It's 5 wpm till the treaty
changes.
>
>Have you know anything that was deregulated or opened-up that became
>more orderly?

Nope.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -980982326027105777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 10:27:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 17:26:45 GMT
References: <5lEH6.108687$_P.30259363@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010502132645.26257.00002027@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20779

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 5/1/01 2:42 PM Central Daylight Time

>The canister is about 60-70c after it has been fired. The heat is caused >by
the charge that fires it not by the gas.

Gee...no kidding Rob...?!?! Thanks!

>You'd have to be an idiot to do anything that would require them to >anyways
... but then again you have already given proof that you are >silly enough to

do so.

Proof? Proof is the scientific or unrefuteable evidence of fact, Rob.
Care to provide your "proof"...?!?!

>I actually started school again today ... so go right ahead and insult the
>security field all you want.

Congratulations, Rob, but you do it sufficient "credit" all on your own.

Adios

Steve

```
From 7436616874915169521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 10:39:06 PST
Message-ID: <3AF045EE.52C8F5@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 12:37:50 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF0315B.C07FF011@IEEE.org> <3af03218.3268505@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 988824688 64.240.47.134 (2 May 2001 12:31:28 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
```

out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20780

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Bill, "operator" implies transmitting.
>
> True, so let me rephrase: "How does the local cop know
> that a person IS operating at all?"

Because of the original complaint, of course, which drew the attention
of the local cop in the first place. Example: My neighbor calls the cops
and says my high-power CB is knocking out their TV. Cop shows up at my
door. I present my federal ARS license along with Part 97 stating that
I'm legally allowed to run high power.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8510734706886561644
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 10:53:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <I2EH6.5730$SZ5.472327@www.newsranger.com> <20010502132150.26257.00002026@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <kQXH6.7195$SZ5.580846@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 13:53:20 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 17:53:20 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20781

Steve Robeson LPN:

> I guess you had better ask EMS personnel about grain elevator explosions

> and elevator rescues.

The EMS "personnel" present here on rrap has already displayed a dismal
lack of knowledge about the subject. He thinks that wheat explodes.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 1980384614435865244
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 10:56:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newscon02.news.prodigy.com!newsmst01.news.prodigy.com!
prodigy.com!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!not-for-mail
From: "Joel C Simon" <JOEL.SIMON@prodigy.net>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politics.misc
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Date: Tue, 1 May 2001 22:54:50 -0700
Organization: Prodigy http://www.prodigy.com
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9cphkp$65me$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<EI6H6.967$532.574192@news.uswest.net> <9cl8l7$pb2$0@208.206.142.87>

<3AF01BFB.558792E@ix.netcom.com> <61WH6.50394$U4.11884770@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a050-0193.lsan.splitrock.net
X-Trace: newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com 988826074 4893404 63.255.40.193 (2 May 2001 17:54:34 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 May 2001 17:54:34 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Priority: 3
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:250979 alt.law-enforcement:36660 alt.politics.bush:282042 alt.rush-limbaugh:95370 misc.survivalism:59257 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20782 talk.politics.guns:191095 talk.politics.misc:215041

Survivalist <survivalist2@(remove)home.com> wrote in message
news:61WH6.50394$U4.11884770@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> The chair is against the wall, John has a long mustache...............
>
>

The turkeys are in the toaster. Repeat: The turkeys are in the toaster.

From -391514907411231856
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 11:12:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 93
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 18:11:48 GMT
References: <20010502063905.12832.00001780@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010502141148.14184.00000873@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20783

In article <20010502063905.12832.00001780@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>>I expect to see
>
>>I detect a tad of that "special ability" of divination at work here.
>

>Not at all, Len; if I had special ability, I would have said "We will see..."

Not necessary. Those of "special ability" may use the royal
we and put themselves in a place of Authority and Judgement
on lesser beings. Others do it in here on a regular basis.

>>How has it been determined that Technician class licensees
>>"never became active as hams?" Or that they will "not renew
>>their licenses?
>
>The basis for my speculation is anecdotal.

Ah, understand. Having an amateur license makes all anecdotes
"factual" while those who do not have amateur licenses are not
factual. [that fits the pattern]

>Of the hams I have seen go through
>local classes, some of them become active in the local clubs, while others
>are never heard on the air. Some of them are certainly not active; it is a
>matter of speculation as to how many.

[on Technician class] "...are never heard on the air."

Technician class licensees without any code test are relegated to
VHF and above bands. Radio propagation characteristics at VHF
and above is basically line-of-sight. While there exist ducting
effects and tropospheric scatter long-distance effects, it is difficult
for New England amateurs to hear Techs-without-code-tests
transmitting from, say, the northwestern USA.

>
>> If there is any validity to the claim that Techs will all fail to renew
>
>I must have missed that claim. Can you repost it so I can take issue with
>the poster?

Here is what poster Hare wrote:

"A 1% decrease wouldn't mean the hobby is dying, either. I expect to see some
decrease as the many Techs who became licensed when the no-code Tech license
was introduced, but never became active as hams, do not renew their licenses.
The numbers will drop, maybe as much as 20%, by my finger in the wind."

In order to reach an "as much as 20%" *ALL* renewing Techs
will have to fail to renew...plus some more.

Considering the 10-year time period of all licenses and that the
no-code-test Technician class was created about 10 years ago,
no more than 10% drop could be expected in the next year if,
and only if, all renewable Techs failed to renew.

There seems to be a fair number of persons here wearing blinders
as to the number of Technician class licensees and the growth
of that class since the beginning.

Poster Hare will have to have some talking to...

>>Which class are "these hams [that] were lost?"
>
>I think that was pretty clear from context, but if not, I was saying that
>those Tech licencees who became licensed but never became active and will not
>renew were lost as much as 10 years ago.

That is still speculation, a personal viewpoint. There is no factual
basis for that

>>What about the 18,391 licensees who did not renew between
>>Mar 1998 and Mar 2000 that were NOT technician class?
>
>Yes, those are lost to us, too, Len.

Those are not "lost" to me or many hundreds of thousands of
others. If anything, it will mean more call signs available
under the Vanity Call regulations. :-)

So...there is nothing detectable in the number of 18,391 "lost"
amateurs relative to the 21,408 *new* Technician class
licensees between end of March 1998 and end of March 2000?
The difference between those two numbers is exactly the
growth in total US ARS licensees in the same period.

```
From -1071133712515274445
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 11:12:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 93
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 18:11:51 GMT
References: <3aef7719.566244026@news.accessunited.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010502141151.14184.00000875@nso-mj.aol.com>
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20784

In article <3aef7719.566244026@news.accessunited.com>, 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI) writes:

>On Tue, 01 May 2001 16:34:19 -0700, Leo <leonard@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>> In the year following the date code was dropped to 5 WPM, there was no
>>> significant change in the age or number of new Hams. We only gained
>>> about 1% increase.
>>
>>Even if it were 1 WPM it'd be still a waste of time to have to learn it and
>>not to use it at all once licensed. In my opinion code is the single most
>>significant factor that HAM loses ground as a popular hobby.
>
>Statistically, there was virtually no difference in lowering CW from
>13 WPM to 5 WPM. This is a repeat of earlier test reductions. The big
>sales pitch we all heard was we were going to get an influx of new
>Hams.

Over 200 Thousand new licensees were added to the US ARS
as a result of R&O 90-43 ten years ago.

Without those no-code-test Technician class licensees the US
ARS numbers would be dropping.

>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$
>
>Didn't work.

Kenwood did not "push the FCC" harder than anyone else
commenting on NPRM 98-143. All the documentation
(over 2600 Comments) on that NPRM is public record, including
Comments from Kenwood.

Some of the "other" manufacturers who were not "pushing hard:"
ICOM, Yaesu, JRC, SGC, Ten-Tec, Elecraft, etc., etc., etc.
All of those sell equipment on the amateur radio consumer
market.

>We created a no-code tech because it was going to be "the answer",
>yet many of them turned out to not have the faintest interest in the
>hobby.

There is a "poll" or questionaire result to confirm this? Did over
TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND individuals take the trouble to
pass a test for a license because they had "not the faintest
interest in the hobby?!?!?"

>Of those I know first hand, some never actually took the tests
>they were supposed to take. Few of them are active or interested. I
>know about 30 people who received no-codes...only two remain active.
>One is a 13 WPM General, and the other is a wife who uses the radio to

>talk to her husband.

...and that is an "authoritative" observation of the entire US ARS?!?

>At MFJ, where the people work with radios, over a dozen people became
>no-code hams. Of those, only one is active.

...and MFJ Company is some "bellweather" of US ARS activity?!?

>I would think if CW was a barrier, there would have been a steady
>stream of no-coders entering the door.

Over TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND "entered that door."

>I wopuld think the no-code class would be a hit, didn't happen.

By the end of approximately June 2001 (estimate), one in three US ARS licensees will be a no-code-test Technician class. At the end of March 2000, Technician class licensees were already 30 percent of the total licensees.

That R&O 90-43 is "not a hit" is like looking at the Grand Canyon and remarking "it's just a ditch made by water."

>Umm, no code has been available for a long time. It hasn't worked so
>far. Should we wait longer?

Ten years ago there were no no-code-test Technicians. A year ago there were over 200,000. Newcomers are going for the no-code-test Technician class far more than all the other classes combined, a trend started a decade ago and continuing on through the April 15, 2000, date.

>I'm not discrediting you, but there is nothing that has happened so
>far that indicates what you predict is true.

Rauch has demonstrated a remarkable blindness and delusion relative to publicly-known information.

From 466082095248366709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 11:12:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 90

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 18:11:49 GMT
References: <20010502065406.12832.00001783@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20785

In article <20010502065406.12832.00001783@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: Tim Randa randas@mindspring.com
>
>>#3. You can hold your breath and wait for the code requirement to go away.
>
>>I recommend number 3
>
>Have you decided that you don't want to see him become a ham?
>
>Leo, there is a good possibility that amateur HF access will be granted
>eventually without a code test. Waiting is an option, but that will take
>years off of the fun you could have in ham radio.

Some of the observed fun seen and heard OFF the computer:

1. Go to club meetings and be quiet so as to let all the "upgrades" tell ALL about radio...as if the only radio is amateur radio.

2. Listen to the QRN at some local HRO store or equivalent where customers and salesfolk exchange fish stories about rare DX...or hear "technical explanations" of radio which sound terribly close to pre-printed sales ad text from manufacturer's hand-outs.

3. See and hear how "vital" amateur communications is in times of emergency, especially when a viewer/listener is a veteran of public safety services and has already been through a major disaster where amateur radio played no noticeable role.

4. Observe "civilians" (the unlicensed in amateur radio) be disregarded as unlearned, unlicensed, unwashed and of a lowly caste barely able to be human...by those whose technical knowledge is based on memorizing the sales ads or reading letters to the editor in QST.

5. Being a part of the Elite who consider morse code mode skill as the pinnacle of amateur radio expertise and thus qualified by credentials in keeping the faith and mythos of morse code forever and ever.

6. Being a member of the True Hams who seldom, if ever, venture above 30 MHz (where 99+% of the ham bands are) and always talking down Technicians as unworthy, ignorant, and not of the True Faith.

7. Being a member of a group that NEVER questions rules or regulations unless they are spoken of by the ARRL because rules and regulations are LAW and cannot be changed. Ever. Unless the believer is grandfathered into some more prestigious class signifying greater self-importance through credentialism.

8. Be a member of an Elite group that has passed the TEST, an equivalent of a Nobel Prize achievement or cure for cancer or discovery of immortality, far and away better than any other possible test given by the federal government.

9. Above all, never express any thoughts of individual interest, fun of learning something new, or experiencing any personal achievements unless those things have already been stated or expressed in authoritative journals of hamdom as the "correct" ways to have fun.

>Most folks can learn the code to 5 wpm in a few weeks at about a half-hour a
>day, and once you do, with your qualifications, you will probably be an Extra
>pretty quickly. It really is worth not waiting, especially with the sunspots
>expected to be so good over the coming few years.
>
> Once you pass the test, if you wish, you can have a code-key-burying cermony
>in your back yard, surrounded by all of your friends, but I would encourage
>you to try the mode from time to time.

So, it is a "necessity" to keep that code test for HF privileges in order to have "ceremonies" of burying the code key?

Of course it is "necessary" to learn the morse code. A code test is LAW and can never be broken since it is "established by international treaty!" After all, thousands upon thousands learned code and passed the test as is proven by all the licensee statistics. [there are no statistics showing the numbers who didn't take such a test, didn't want to, or could not pass it...they are unimportant to believers of the True Faith]

Harmony in All, Joy Forever as a result of passing a morse code test. Amen.

```
From 6673728760765571849
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 11:25:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
<NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com>
<JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<F5GH6.24551$qD1.474232@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <dKJH6.1747$Gf1.520739@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <tf0as5o8gnd44c@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 33
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <EiYH6.1127$aR4.222617@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /bV2/4ssucIudf/BnkKndNUqoqoXmtlSSeiu62O2dUv1mOPwBP5kaYo8k2rlbI/
hhVrBueCqsebo!+hwNKv+
+FbDWSJFDTr7FkHiYKsX0tMO4WY0dxvfLFP084YiCLAfZttANAGbuxoV1NEmVGWlSh6+t!EtG7E0rwmQ==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 18:25:40 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 18:25:40 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15566
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20786
```

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:tf0as5o8gnd44c@corp.supernews.com...

> "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:dKJH6.1747$Gf1.520739@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
>
> > If they promoted it properly, they would make a huge profit, rather
than
> > being an expense to the taxpayers...
>
> You mean like put it on "Pay-Per-View" cable TV? Then the state gets a
cut
> of the proceeds. Hummmm..... Who would admit to actually watching the
> "show"?

>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

Fist off, they would need to use a fitting execution method, not the lame "just go to sleep now little boy" lethal injection. An execution should be punishment AND retribution if it is to have any real deterrent value. An electric chair or a Guillotine would guarantee incredible media market share.

As far as who would admit to watching the show, who cares as long as they watch (and the state makes it's money). But you know people would watch by the millions - just look at the popularity of all the "reality" and "WWF" type shows on the air today.

73 de W6DKN

From 1141119798726588059
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 11:29:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <3aef7719.566244026@news.accessunited.com>
<20010502141151.14184.00000875@nso-mj.aol.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <olYH6.7254$SZ5.586427@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 14:28:36 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 18:28:36 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20787

Len Over 21 says:

> Over 200 Thousand new licensees were added to the US ARS
> as a result of R&O 90-43 ten years ago.

Those 200K licensees would have otherwise obtained 5WPM Tech or Novice licenses.

Good luck on this one now!

73, Hans, K0HB

From -4488440820956895879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5b3b35c0a6a4d474
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 11:30:10 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Submarine & Captain's Mast
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 18:29:48 GMT
Message-ID: <3af05043.89536937@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AE55DEB.A40606A4@sccoast.net>
<4pXF6.6509$QV4.546831@www.newsranger.com> <3AE8F709.B07AD96D@sccoast.net>
<OBeG6.687$SZ5.55171@www.newsranger.com> <3AEA6592.93AB9199@sccoast.net>
<gCFG6.2301$SZ5.177175@www.newsranger.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.48
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.48
X-Trace: 2 May 2001 13:26:10 -0500, 64.24.17.48
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!panix!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20788

On Sat, 28 Apr 2001 20:20:28 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:
>
>The responsibility for the training and preparation for that drill,
>plus the safe execution of the drill lies directly on the Commanding
>Officer. He got off easy.
>
He's being flooded with big-bucks commercial job offers. Because he
played his cards right even before he eased the Greenville to the
dock. Welcome to world of modern "heroism", "justice" and 5wpm Extras.

>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>

w3rv

From 5802760263992071475
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 11:43:40 PST
Message-ID: <3AF05505.71C4C65D@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 13:42:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3aef7719.566244026@news.accessunited.com>
<20010502141151.14184.00000875@nso-mj.aol.com>
<olYH6.7254$SZ5.586427@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 988828550 64.240.47.134 (2 May 2001 13:35:50 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20789

K0HB wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 says:
>
> > Over 200 Thousand new licensees were added to the US ARS
> > as a result of R&O 90-43 ten years ago.
>
> Those 200K licensees would have otherwise obtained 5WPM Tech
> or Novice licenses.

Obviously, not all of them. I would be inclined to think maybe 50%.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8543621716119405953
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 12:00:01 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!SLee
From: SLee@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: 2 May 2001 19:00:01 GMT
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9cplfh$cet$0@dosa.alt.net>
References: <3B0F9FED@MailAndNews.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15568
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20790

On Mon, 30 Apr 2001 15:57:00 -0400, KC8JBO
<rogerwkc8jbo@MailAndNews.com> wrote:

> Shitholelicker Lee need new material....

My butt monkey sees me everywhere ...

P.S. An ellipsis consists of only three dots (...)

=====
IGNORAMUS kc8jbo@ovis.net was caught in his own lack of education and thought that the following was such bad English that he put it in his Sig:

"You too need to get a newsreader which generates a References header."
=====

From -3491455054444357325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 12:30:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-
limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politic
s.misc
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<EI6H6.967$532.574192@news.uswest.net> <9cl8l7$pb2$0@208.206.142.87>
<3AF01BFB.558792E@ix.netcom.com> <61WH6.50394$U4.11884770@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
<9cphkp$65me$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <HeZH6.2676$2_.600069@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 19:29:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988831783 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 02 May 2001 12:29:43
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 12:29:43 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:251065 alt.law-enforcement:36679
alt.politics.bush:282109 alt.rush-limbaugh:95393 misc.survivalism:59286
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20791 talk.politics.guns:191115 talk.politics.misc:215093
```

You forgot to put the beep at the end of your transmission ... now they know
yyou aren't a cool cb'er as we know all those dopie little millitia types
are.

--
Rob St.Denis

"Joel C Simon" <JOEL.SIMON@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:9cphkp$65me$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Survivalist <survivalist2@(remove)home.com> wrote in message
> news:61WH6.50394$U4.11884770@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> > The chair is against the wall, John has a long mustache...............

> >
> >
>
> The turkeys are in the toaster. Repeat: The turkeys are in the toaster.
>
>

```
From -1154363513955569141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a9be294a2201e7c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 12:49:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!wn1feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: ARES vs RACES
In-Reply-To: <20010501212137.12882.00001926@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021947540.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011942380.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010501212137.12882.00001926@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 15
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 19:49:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988832980 12.80.44.46 (Wed, 02 May
2001 19:49:40 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 19:49:40 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20792
```

On 2 May 2001, W1RFI wrote:
> >From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> > Although individuals participating in ARES are licensed amateurs,
> >there is no additional licensing such as in RACES, nor is there any
> >government
> >sanctioned tie-in to the civil defense infrastructure.
>
> This ignores the numerous memoranda of understanding between ARES and various
> served agencies.

A private contract that a government agency is a party to is a far cry from a statutory provision providing for a similar relationship. In my area, the ARES structure is completely separate from government, or at best, is a volunteer situation, while RACES is not volunteer.

```
From -247600589813714037
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 12:50:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!news-
out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!
worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: HR-2346
In-Reply-To: <3AEF6353.7E0D9A66@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021950450.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <l_FH6.42412$B22.10324779@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AEF6353.7E0D9A66@IEEE.org>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 12
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 19:50:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988833035 12.80.44.46 (Wed, 02 May
2001 19:50:35 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 19:50:35 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20793
```

On Tue, 1 May 2001, W6RCecilA wrote:
> HelmutSweenie wrote:
> > Because owning a Ranger 2950 is not illegal, nor using one to listen to is
> > not illegal. regardless if you have a license or not. Now what ?
>
> I missed some of the postings but I thought we were talking about a ham
> using a transmitter VS a CBer using the same transmitter. How's the cop
> going to tell the difference? - the FCC license.

...And what you missed is that the cop isn't even going to bother with some such "nonsense" as a license and act anyway.....

From 242633027236522972
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 12:51:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: HR-2346
In-Reply-To: <3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 28
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 19:51:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988833107 12.80.44.46 (Wed, 02 May
2001 19:51:47 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 19:51:47 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20794

On Wed, 2 May 2001, Dwight Stewart wrote:
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > True that there is no PROPER equipment in both
> > services, but if one were to follow Dwight
> > Stewart's suggestion, a majority of amateur
> > 10m rigs would be on his proposed "illegal CB
> > equipment list." In such a situation, what is
> > a cop going to do: See the radio on the list
> > and arrest, or see the radio on the list
> > and KNOW that it could be used in a licensed
> > service and do nothing (or nothing more than
> > inquire)? I'm willing to bet the former
> > outcome more likely.
>
>   From H. R. 2346 (now 47 U.S.C. 302a, as amended)...
>
>   (2) A station that is licensed by the Commission
>   pursuant to section 301 in any radio service
>   for the operation at issue shall not be subject
>   to action by a State or local government under

>   this subsection. A State or local government
>   statute or ordinance enacted for purposes of
>   this subsection shall identify the exemption
>   available under this paragraph.

But you failed to realize my other point: The cop isn't going to waste his time
to bother to check for a license.....

```
From -4297896497851191784
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 12:54:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: HR-2346
In-Reply-To: <3AEF94F5.AE98B64A@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021953010.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105012055260.8544-100000@exp.bde-
arc.ampr.org> <3AEF94F5.AE98B64A@sccoast.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 28
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 19:54:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.46
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988833269 12.80.44.46 (Wed, 02 May
2001 19:54:29 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 19:54:29 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20795
```

On Wed, 2 May 2001, Dwight Stewart wrote:
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > It's more likely that one would be thrown
> > against the nearest wall, frisked, have
> > the gun taken, then have it returned when
> > a valid permit to CCW is discovered. Since
> > there's a safety issue here, a police
> > officer is going to be more concerned about
> > his own safety than in the rights of the

> > suspect, even if there is no actual violation
> > or arrest.
>
>   Most states that issue a permit to carry a concealed weapon will
> also require the holder to immediately announce that he/she is
> carrying a concealed weapon when approached by a law enforcement
> officer (even off duty law enforcement officers are required to do
> this in some states). The choice to frisk is based on the
> circumstances, not on an announcement of a concealed weapon. If the
> circumstance warrants, a person will be frisked to discover if a
> weapon is present regardless of any claims regarding a weapon. If the
> circumstance does not warrant, the person will not be frisked even
> following the announcement of a concealed weapon.

...And as I have said, responses like this indicate what SHOULD happen in our perfect world, not what is most likely to happen. It's more likely that the cop will immediately restrain the person, take the weapon, find the CCW permit, and return both. There will be insufficent time to announce anything.

From -4333278626999067867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 12:57:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 15:57:26 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tf0pla953uav1f@corp.supernews.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
<NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com>
<JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<F5GH6.24551$qD1.474232@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <dKJH6.1747$Gf1.520739@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <tf0as5o8gnd44c@corp.supernews.com>
<EiYH6.1127$aR4.222617@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 40
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15571

rec.radio.amateur.policy:20796

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:EiYH6.1127$aR4.222617@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...

> Fist off, they would need to use a fitting execution method, not the lame "just go to sleep now little boy" lethal injection. An execution should be punishment AND retribution if it is to have any real deterrent value. An electric chair or a Guillotine would guarantee incredible media market share.

Well they could do what was done during the French revolution. Use a guillotine to chop off the head where you can see the blood pulsing out of the neck stump afterward. Then to just to make it clear to the victim, since the head would still be alive for a few seconds because you could see the eyes move back and forth, blink etc, they would pick up the head so the eyes could now view it's now headless body. They say you could see the look of horror in the face of the severed head until the brain died. You think that would work?

Another method would like was done in the old Soviet Union. A condemned prisoner would not have a fixed execution date. All the prisoner knew is by a certain date he was to be dead. So each time the cell door opened the prisoner was in terror not knowing if he was going to get his food or the revolver was going to be pressed against his head. It was up to the prison etc, when they got to "off" the condemned prisoner.

For media coverage they could have a show called "Execution TV" on "Pay-Per-View" with a PG-13 rating. That way somebody can make a few bucks off the weeks' "Featured Condemned Prisoner ". They could even find some tall blond, with big boobs, to pull the lever to increase market share.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
 me running, try to keep up. "

From 2225499798616081215
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 13:19:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 20:18:26 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 90
Message-ID: <3af06a9f.577404@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3aef7719.566244026@news.accessunited.com>
<20010502132400.29921.00002175@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4f.81
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 20:18:40 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20797

On 02 May 2001 17:24:00 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>>From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
>>Statistically, there was virtually no difference in lowering CW from
>>13 WPM to 5 WPM. This is a repeat of earlier test reductions. The big
>>sales pitch we all heard was we were going to get an influx of new
>>Hams.
>>
>Yup. There has been a surge in the number of Techs over the past year, put that
>is due in part to the FCC renewing Tech Pluses as Techs after April 15, 2000.
>
>>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$
>
>Bingo.

Could you provide some evidence of any such finacial
influence?

>>Didn't work.
>>
>Too soon to tell, I'd say.

Too soon to tell what?

>>We created a no-code tech because it was going to be "the answer",
>>yet many of them turned out to not have the faintest interest in the
>>hobby. Of those I know first hand, some never actually took the tests
>>they were supposed to take. Few of them are active or interested. I
>>know about 30 people who received no-codes...only two remain active.
>>One is a 13 WPM General, and the other is a wife who uses the radio to
>>talk to her husband.
>>
>My experience has been quite different. I know many post-1991 Techs who are
>very active.
>
>But if you look at the growth of the ARS in the USA in the decade before 1991,
>and compare it to the decade since 1991, you will NOT see any lasting surge of
>newcomers in the latter decade.

>
>>At MFJ, where the people work with radios, over a dozen people became
>>no-code hams. Of those, only one is active.
>
>Busman's holiday, probably.
>>
>>I would think if CW was a barrier, there would have been a steady
>>stream of no-coders entering the door. Not a big shot that tapered to
>>nothing. It would seem logical that a reduction from 13 WPM to 5 WPM
>>for HF operation would have a large impact on newcomers...yet it
>>didn't.
>
>True. Several reasons:
>
>1) Lotsa folks don't know about the changes
>
>2) Most folks aren't interested, even if you eliminated all tests and gave the
>gear away.
>
>3) Many folks think they don't have the time, money, or resources (like antenna
>space) to do ham radio.
>>
>>I wopuld think the no-code class would be a hit, didn't happen.
>
>It was as much of a hit as its predecessor.
>>
>>Umm, no code has been available for a long time. It hasn't worked so
>>far. Should we wait longer?
>>
>>I'm not discrediting you, but there is nothing that has happened so
>>far that indicates what you predict is true. Suppose we drop CW, and
>>nothing happens except problems. Do you suppose we can put it back
>>later?
>
>Double bingo. No way. The FCC has said for over a decade that they think the
>code test only indicates code ability, nothing more or less. The ONLY reason
>the FCC kept 5 wpm was because of the international treaty requirement.

Has there been any chaos because of nocode techs?
Why would going to total NOcode result in problems?

>Like it or not, the FCC's hands are tied on this. It's 5 wpm till the treaty
>changes.
>>
>>Have you know anything that was deregulated or opened-up that became
>>more orderly?
>
>Nope.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

From -8302214864430613206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 13:22:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 20:22:14 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3af06c2a.972163@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF0315B.C07FF011@IEEE.org> <3af03218.3268505@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF045EE.52C8F5@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4f.81
X-Server-Date: 2 May 2001 20:22:30 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20798

On Wed, 02 May 2001 12:37:50 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> >Bill, "operator" implies transmitting.
>>
>> True, so let me rephrase: "How does the local cop know
>> that a person IS operating at all?"
>
>Because of the original complaint, of course, which drew the attention
>of the local cop in the first place. Example: My neighbor calls the cops
>and says my high-power CB is knocking out their TV. Cop shows up at my
>door. I present my federal ARS license along with Part 97 stating that
>I'm legally allowed to run high power.

BUT... in the case of the NON-ham, just how does local cop
KNOW with any certainty that anyone is operating at all.
The hearsay of a neighbor with TVI is not sufficient to
pinpoint any source.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 888590932314383661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 13:40:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 13:37:03 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3AF06FEF.4F76CE3C@uci.edu>
References: <20010502065406.12832.00001783@ng-cs1.aol.com>
<20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20799
```

Len Over 21 wrote:

```
> 6.  Being a member of the True Hams who seldom, if ever,
>      venture above 30 MHz (where 99+% of the ham bands
>      are) and always talking down Technicians as unworthy,
>      ignorant, and not of the True Faith.
```

Let's see. Below 30 MHz there's 10 meters, 12 meters, 15 meters, 17 meters, 20 meters, 30 meters, 40 meters, 80 meters, and 160 meters. That's nine bands. Nine is one percent of 900. So according to you, there are 900 ham bands above 30 MHz.

```
From -8055090581297622718
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 13:44:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 20:44:16 GMT
References: <3AF02847.7041DF97@ovis.net>
```

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Message-ID: <20010502164416.00244.00000789@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20800

In article <3AF02847.7041DF97@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>It is as I suspected, Larry. You can't even read one of my posts
>correctly much less read a law book and understand what is written.

When is Heil going to take his state's Bar exam?

From 5770389791553255370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 13:58:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 20:57:52 GMT
References: <kQXH6.7195$SZ5.580846@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
Message-ID: <20010502165752.26453.00000979@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20801

>Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/2/01 12:53 PM Central Daylight Time

>The EMS "personnel" present here on rrap has already displayed a >dismal lack of knowledge about the subject. He thinks that wheat >explodes.

No...YOU say that, Hans. And if you keep repeating it over and over, no one EXCEPT you will believe it, but it will make you feel better...Just like it does Lenie when HE keeps repeating things over and over.

A "life", Hans...go get a LIFE.

73

The Gunny, K4YZ

```
From 1940899893016509154
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 14:10:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 14:06:47 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3AF076E7.F5E6B9FD@uci.edu>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF0315B.C07FF011@IEEE.org> <3af03218.3268505@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF045EE.52C8F5@IEEE.org> <3af06c2a.972163@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20802

Bill Sohl wrote:
> BUT... in the case of the NON-ham, just how does local cop
> KNOW with any certainty that anyone is operating at all.
> The hearsay of a neighbor with TVI is not sufficient to
> pinpoint any source.

I can think of tougher cases to solve.

ac6xg


From -3845782834719635842
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 14:20:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

References: <kQXH6.7195$SZ5.580846@www.newsranger.com>
<20010502165752.26453.00000979@ng-fv1.aol.com>
```

Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <kS_H6.7517$SZ5.616367@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 17:20:16 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 21:20:16 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20803

Steve Robeson LPN claims:

> No...YOU say that, Hans.

In case your memory is failing you, here is a direct quote from Steve Robeson LPN:

"You can't put wheat in your gas tank, but it sure enough CAN explode."

Good luck on this one now! Bwahahahahahahahaha

73, Hans, K0HB

From -5424044319469088113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 14:23:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 21:22:33 GMT
References: <olYH6.7254$SZ5.586427@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010502172233.26454.00000684@nso-da.aol.com>

```
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20804

In article <olYH6.7254$SZ5.586427@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
writes:

>> Over 200 Thousand new licensees were added to the US ARS
>> as a result of R&O 90-43 ten years ago.
>
>Those 200K licensees would have otherwise obtained 5WPM Tech or Novice
>licenses.
>
>Good luck on this one now!

Oh, my, another instance of "special abilities" to divine what
others "would have done."  Remarkable.

Brakob is invited to prove that they would have done what he
said.

Lots and lots of luck on that one.

It will be needed.


From -1997103403544271131
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 14:23:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 21:22:33 GMT
References: <3AF06FEF.4F76CE3C@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010502172233.26454.00000683@nso-da.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20805

In article <3AF06FEF.4F76CE3C@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>> 6.  Being a member of the True Hams who seldom, if ever,
>>      venture above 30 MHz (where 99+% of the ham bands
>>      are) and always talking down Technicians as unworthy,
>>      ignorant, and not of the True Faith.
>
>Let's see.  Below 30 MHz there's 10 meters, 12 meters, 15 meters, 17
>meters, 20 meters, 30 meters, 40 meters, 80 meters, and 160 meters.
```

>That's nine bands. Nine is one percent of 900. So according to you,
>there are 900 ham bands above 30 MHz.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, TSK!

There are 16 amateur radio bands above 30 MHz in Region 2,
allocated a total of 23,193 MHz spectrum space, exclusive of
the unallocated spectrum above 300 GHz..

There are 9 amateur radio bands below 30 MHz in Region 2,
allocated a total of 3.75 MHz spectrum space.

Total spectrum space allocated to Region 2 radio amateurs,
exclusive of frequencies above 300 GHz, is 23,196.75 MHz.

Percentage of spectrum space above 30 MHz allocated to
radio amateurs in Region 2 is 99.984 percent.

What part of the October, 2000, issue of Part 97, Title 47 CFR
does Kelley not understand?

From 4234766587172092594
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 14:39:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aee9e2e.510704988@news.accessunited.com>
<20010501081904.02798.00000087@ng-mn1.aol.com> <3aef8015.36238085@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 95
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Z7%H6.20$95.4937@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 17:37:00 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 988839545 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 02 May 2001 17:39:05 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 17:39:05 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20806

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote:

> >It is no more or less work and learning that it was when I was attracted
to ham
> >radio, Tom. In my high school of 1000 students, there were 2 hams -- not
a

> >majority by any stretch. There were teen-aged hams, but they were a
minority
> >even back then.

> >There may have been a somewhat larger percentage of youth in ham radio
those
> >days that today, but that was primarily due to the Novice license.

> The huge difference between yesteryear and today and what attracted
> kids to techo pastimes back then vs. now is the availability of the
> family computer(s) today which didn't exist back then.

Learning to write applications using Visual <fill in language> is a
technical use of the computer. Using the family computer to web surf or
play a video game is not. Only a few of those thousand students in my high
school would have wanted to do the former; the other 998 would have done the
latter. We had plenty of distractions available in my wild youth, too, and
still a small percentage of us chose to become hams. Both Roy Sanden and I
are still active.

> >The rules have made the entry-level exams so much harder than they were
in those days,

> How tough is the nocode test??

Compared to my studing a 4-page study guide and memorizing 26 characters, 10
numbers and a few punctuations and prosigns? Quite a bit tougher, IMHO.

> >and at the same time some are telling all the youth that if they pass the
tests
> >they are taking a "dumbed down" exam that gives them a license that is
> >virtually useless.

> Happens very rarely out there in reality. The only place those kinds
> of remarks are made in any volume is right here in rrap. Which ain't
> reality.

It ain't some mythical "cyberspace," either. I feel I am having real
convesations with real people.

> > If you consider that the entry-level HF license is now the
> >General, and compare it to the Novice, it starts to look a bit different.
How
> >can we expect to attract youth in the same numbers we once did?

> Disagree, Ed. The Novice bands are intentionally positioned to isolate
> Novices from the lower ends of the bands where most of the operating
> is done and has been done going 'way back. The net effect is that a
> Novice had to get a General to get into the HF mainstream back when
> just like any Tech does today.

Yes, but back then, there actually was significant activity on the Novice
segments. I bet if you tune the 80 meter Novice/Tech+ segment of the band

right now, you hear no more than 5 signals, and 3 of those will be Canadians
if you are in the Northeast.

> >For the most part, I don't buy the old, boring stuff. When I was a kid,
> >telephones were routine items, but the kid next door and I both gathered
our
> >tin cans and wire and made our own. When I was a kid, I could have
(risking
> >parental wrath) picked up the phone and called Europe, but I got a real t
> >thrill out of talking a few states away with a station I had assembled
myself.

> Yeah, and today they do it in chat rooms and with instant messaging
> and they don't have to build anything or put up any antennas in their
> cc&r laced back yards.

Well, back then, there were 150,000 hams and there are 650,000 today. Seems
pretty odd that we have seen such an increase in what some claim no one is
doing.

> The "wall to wall" entry-level signals have moved up the spectrum to
> the machines. I daresay that if we could hear all the machines within
> say a thousand mile radius like we could hear Novices on 7.180 back
> when I'm convinced that we'd hear a tower of babble that would make
> the entry-level activity on the old Novice bands sound pale in
> comparison.

Good point; I like it. But that tower of babble comes from all the hams
that some say are playing with computers instead of ham radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4901089895483952832
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 14:42:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aef7719.566244026@news.accessunited.com>
<20010502132400.29921.00002175@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Ha%H6.21$95.5294@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 17:39:55 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 988839719 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 02 May 2001 17:41:59 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 17:41:59 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20807
```

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:

> >At MFJ, where the people work with radios, over a dozen people became
> >no-code hams. Of those, only one is active.

> Busman's holiday, probably.

Same effect seen at ARRL HQ, probably for the same reason.

> 2) Most folks aren't interested, even if you eliminated all tests and gave
the
> gear away.

If you stood on the corner and handed out free Amateur Radio licences, most of those who received them would toss them on the ground.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1885576035817124025
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 14:44:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010502065406.12832.00001783@ng-cs1.aol.com>
<20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <ld%H6.23$95.5051@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 17:42:44 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 988839889 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 02 May 2001 17:44:49 EDT)
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 17:44:49 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20808


Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com...

> >>I recommend number 3

> >Have you decided that you don't want to see him become a ham?

> >Leo, there is a good possibility that amateur HF access will be granted
> >eventually without a code test.  Waiting is an option, but that will take
> >years off of the fun you could have in ham radio.

> Some of the observed fun seen and heard OFF the computer:

<snip>

Have you decided you don't want him to become a ham, too? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI





From -8332015437915216496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 14:45:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Message-ID: <MPG.155a4aa04b50df729896f8@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3AF02847.7041DF97@ovis.net> <20010502164416.00244.00000789@nso-
mn.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 22
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 21:45:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.79.104
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988839929 64.157.79.104 (Wed, 02 May
2001 14:45:29 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 14:45:29 PDT
X-Received-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 14:43:57 PDT
```

(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20809

In article <20010502164416.00244.00000789@nso-mn.aol.com>, Upyours Furher
Leona Anderschmidt says...
> In article <3AF02847.7041DF97@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >It is as I suspected, Larry. You can't even read one of my posts
> >correctly much less read a law book and understand what is written.
>
> When is Heil going to take his state's Bar exam?
>

Probably at the same time you take your amateur radio licensing exam.

Thanks for the laughs Leona. C U soon

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 4000874039014999591
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 14:47:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010502065406.12832.00001783@ng-cs1.aol.com>
<20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Uf%H6.24$95.5292@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 17:45:27 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 988840052 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 02 May 2001 17:47:32 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 17:47:32 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20810

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> > Once you pass the test, if you wish, you can have a code-key-burying cermony
> >in your back yard, surrounded by all of your friends, but I would encourage
> >you to try the mode from time to time.

> So, it is a "necessity" to keep that code test for HF privileges
> in order to have "ceremonies" of burying the code key?

I don't think so. Did you really think that I was trying to make that point in my posts? It is necessary to pass the code test right now if he wants HF privleges because that is what the regulations require.

> Of course it is "necessary" to learn the morse code. A code
> test is LAW and can never be broken since it is "established
> by international treaty!"

That seems to be the case, except I think you need to remove the word "never" from your post. The international treaty will be coming up for consideration relatively soon, I imagine.

> Harmony in All, Joy Forever as a result of passing a morse
> code test. Amen.

Bit too off the wall for me, Len. Enjoy.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7722991608788725878
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c537fc309f8b3f7f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 14:52:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 21:52:23 GMT
References: <kS_H6.7517$SZ5.616367@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads
Message-ID: <20010502175223.03651.00002023@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20811

>Subject: Re: Exploding Jarheads

>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/2/01 4:20 PM Central Daylight Time

Hans, The Lifeless states:

>"You can't put wheat in your gas tank, but it sure enough CAN explode."

>Good luck on this one now!   Bwahahahahahahahaha

Thanks, Hans. I guess you are even more boring and lifeless than I expected. Kinda boring up there in the great white north with nothing to do and no sex.

Guess it takes the load off of Mrs. Brakob, though...you pounding out "zingers" on RRAP instead of spending time with her.

Or perhaps you spend all that "retirement" time sitting around the old fireplace weaving together stories you ahve read in "Soldier of Fortune" and your exploits on RRAP to impress the old lady?

Making up for the things you DIDN'T do in the Navy?

Good luck on this one now!   BWAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

By the way, Hansel...You have yet to answer the questions put to you about "Professional Courtesty".

Can't find the words to answer the questions honestly without completely humiliating yourself, or just the coward I expect you are!

A real "hero" on the keyboard, I see...As long as you are doing your best to elevate yourself at someone elses expense.

Just like Lennie.

Aren't YOU proud? The NEW Putz on the block. Now we have bookends.

See ya, Chief.

From -747667374429865398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 14:55:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010502063905.12832.00001780@ng-cs1.aol.com> <20010502141148.14184.00000873@nso-mj.aol.com>
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?

Lines: 75
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <zn%H6.25$95.5339@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 17:53:37 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 988840543 209.140.206.200 (Wed, 02 May 2001 17:55:43 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 17:55:43 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20812

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> >>>I expect to see

> >>I detect a tad of that "special ability" of divination at work here.

> >Not at all, Len; if I had special ability, I would have said "We will
see..."

> Not necessary. Those of "special ability" may use the royal
> we and put themselves in a place of Authority and Judgement
> on lesser beings. Others do it in here on a regular basis.

Okay, but I used the words "I" and "expect." In what way do you feel what
others say indicates a "tad of that special ability" in my posts?

> >>How has it been determined that Technician class licensees
> >>"never became active as hams?" Or that they will "not renew
> >>their licenses?

> >The basis for my speculation is anecdotal.

> Ah, understand. Having an amateur license makes all anecdotes
> "factual" while those who do not have amateur licenses are not
> factual. [that fits the pattern]

I wasn't trying to change the defintion of speculation or anecodtal, Len.
In what way do you feel I am fitting what pattern?

> >> If there is any validity to the claim that Techs will all fail to renew

> >I must have missed that claim. Can you repost it so I can take issue
with
> >the poster?

> Here is what poster Hare wrote:

> "A 1% decrease wouldn't mean the hobby is dying, either. I expect to see
some
> decrease as the many Techs who became licensed when the no-code Tech

```
license
> was introduced, but never became active as hams, do not renew their
licenses.
> The numbers will drop, maybe as much as 20%, by my finger in the wind."

> In order to reach an "as much as 20%" *ALL* renewing Techs
> will have to fail to renew...plus some more.

Then my estimate was too high.

> Considering the 10-year time period of all licenses and that the
> no-code-test Technician class was created about 10 years ago,
> no more than 10% drop could be expected in the next year if,
> and only if, all renewable Techs failed to renew.

I will accept that as better-analyzed than my off-the-cuff estimate.

> >I think that was pretty clear from context, but if not, I was saying that
> >those Tech licencees who became licensed but never became active and will
not
> >renew were lost as much as 10 years ago.

> That is still speculation, a personal viewpoint.  There is no factual
> basis for that

I used the term "I think" to indicate that I was offering a personal
viewpoint.  No need to belabor the obvious. I am sure that some of the Techs
who became licensed but never active will renew.  Those that don't were lost
to ham radio as much as 10 years ago.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI





From 5962143446577057863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
```

X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 15:00:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: HerrGlock <herrglock@usa.net>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politics.misc
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 17:59:45 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3AF08351.C97D7C3C@usa.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<EI6H6.967$532.574192@news.uswest.net> <9cl8l7$pb2$0@208.206.142.87>
<3AF01BFB.558792E@ix.netcom.com> <61WH6.50394$U4.11884770@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
<9cphkp$65me$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 22
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:251188 alt.law-enforcement:36713
alt.politics.bush:282249 alt.rush-limbaugh:95450 misc.survivalism:59339
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20813 talk.politics.guns:191152 talk.politics.misc:215186

Joel C Simon wrote:
>
> Survivalist <survivalist2@(remove)home.com> wrote in message
> news:61WH6.50394$U4.11884770@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> > The chair is against the wall, John has a long mustache................
> >
> >
>
> The turkeys are in the toaster. Repeat: The turkeys are in the toaster.

During one simulated alert in the Army we couldn't use the actual code
words of the day but I have not idea WHO came up with

'Pink Flamingos fly at night.'

It's just not NATURAL to wake up to someone saying that over the phone.

HerrGlock
--
UNIX - Not just for Vestal Virgins anymore
NRA Life Member, thanks to the postings of gun control advocates on
talk.politics.guns

From -1077256888088212111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7f2b3182f9d4bb94,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 15:07:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 73
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 02 May 2001 22:07:01 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: In Case You Forgot The Question, Hansel......
Message-ID: <20010502180701.03651.00002029@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20814

Well...it appears that we have ANOTHER Lennie. And just like his mentor, he makes insulting and demeaning posts, yet when has his feet are held to the fire, he tries to "forget" the question put to him.

Well, Hansel, here they are. Are you man enough to answer them honestly and without your special blend of Andersonian wit?

The questions (abridged) were:

(1) Was the information incorrect?

(2) If left uncorrected, could NAL's have not been issued?

(3) Does a citizen NOT have the right to address irregularities in his/her local government (in this case, the sheriff's office)...???

Simple questions, Hansel...Study hard.

Subject: Re: Professional Courtesy
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Date: 5/1/01 1:37 PM Central Daylight Time
Message-id: <20010501143714.26864.00003135@ng-fi1.aol.com>

>Subject: Re: Professional Courtesy
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 05/01/01 1:15 PM Eastern

>> it's called "Professional Courtesy".

>ROTFLMAO!!!! You're not a "professional" -- you're an "amateur" and a radio
cop
>wannabe.

Hansel, Hansel, Hansel....

Was ANY of the information that I stated incorrect?

If challenged by the FCC, would they NOT be (potentially) liable for NAL's for improper and unlicensed operation? (And as a local resident, those "fines" would have been paid with MY taxes, ergo it IS "my business")

Do you assert that my suggestions that it is NOT a citizens right to redress improper conduct to the agency concerned? (As a local resident, the professioanl reputation and proper conduct of MY Sheriff's Deaprtment IS "my business")

With many other posters I would await a reasonable response. However, this is YOU, and you have proven beyond any reasonable doubt that any response will be in THIS genre. Lennie did it and I fell prey to his shennanigans.

I used to hold you in some respect simply by virtue of your previous service in the Navy. Not that I am worried about what YOU think any more, but that is gone.

You "twist my tail" about my jabs at Lennie's rhetoric, but then you go right ahead and do the very same thing yourself. More "Do As I Say...Not Do What I Do" philosophy.

Very chic. Very professional.

The lack of a "paycheck" for what I do may grant me "amateur" status, Hansel, but it does NOT mean I cannot do what I do in a "professional" manner.

That YOU may not agree does not concern me. That I DID gain the respect of the local agencies both for my knowledge of those regulations AND a willingness to handle this at the lowest common denominator DOES.

It makes my "job" as a local ARRL EC so much easier.

A simple yes or no, as difficult as it may be for you, will suffice.

```
From -5921749446831275999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549,start
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 15:18:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 22:16:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.44.223.105
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988841812 63.44.223.105 (Wed, 02 May 2001 15:16:52 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 15:16:52 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 15:15:20 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22208 rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8467 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2477 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2381 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12665 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20815 rec.radio.cb:31685

I chuckled out loud when this thread first got started...and I haven't stopped yet.

There is - NO RESPECT - for freebanders because - CRIMINALS - deserve none!

--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

```
From -7299916870844300804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 15:20:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 15:17:12 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3AF08768.B4D205EF@uci.edu>
References: <3AF06FEF.4F76CE3C@uci.edu> <20010502172233.26454.00000683@nso-
da.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20816

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AF06FEF.4F76CE3C@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >> 6.  Being a member of the True Hams who seldom, if ever,
> >>      venture above 30 MHz (where 99+% of the ham bands
> >>      are) and always talking down Technicians as unworthy,
> >>      ignorant, and not of the True Faith.
> >
> >Let's see.  Below 30 MHz there's 10 meters, 12 meters, 15 meters, 17
> >meters, 20 meters, 30 meters, 40 meters, 80 meters, and 160 meters.
> >That's nine bands.  Nine is one percent of 900.  So according to you,
> >there are 900 ham bands above 30 MHz.

> There are 16 amateur radio bands above 30 MHz in Region 2,
> allocated a total of 23,193 MHz spectrum space, exclusive of
> the unallocated spectrum above 300 GHz..

So in other words, you were grossly inaccurate when you said that 99% of
the ham bands are above 30 MHz.  That's what I thought.

ac6xg


From 271012421630652597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a9be294a2201e7c7
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 16:30:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!iad-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcq69p0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011942380.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010501212137.12882.00001926@ng-cs1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021947540.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARES vs RACES
Lines: 16
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 23:31:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.154
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988846279 63.178.69.154 (Wed, 02 May 2001
23:31:19 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 23:31:19 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20817

On Wed, 02 May 2001 19:49:40 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>A private contract that a government agency is a party to is a
>far cry from a statutory provision providing for a similar
>relationship.  In my area, the ARES structure is completely
>separate from government, or at best, is a volunteer situation,
>while RACES is not volunteer.

  Oh?  Are the RACES members paid  or drafted?

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
```

```
From -6835082178480588258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
```

X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 16:35:49 PST
Message-ID: <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 19:37:01 -0400
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Organization: Unorganized.
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.133.22.73
X-Trace: 2 May 2001 23:45:20 GMT, 198.133.22.73
Lines: 70
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prserv.net
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.us.prserv.net!prserv.net!news1.prserv.net!198.133.22.73
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5351
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15573 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22216
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20818

Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.

And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted to speed, you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey man, you do EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver and do your speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper steps to becoming a race car driver:

1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man. Our forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you learn.
2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing the answers to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats, planes, etc.
3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be chastised by old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the unicycle and can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps bad people from becoming race car drivers.
4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't fit race car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire combination.

Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to take those 15 turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well, ham radio IS NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit everybody. Maybe its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my equipment and

make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for hams. I can operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the minimum amount
of power necessary for a contact.

Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it immoral? A crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is a far more worse crime.

BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally interfering with any service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non essential) services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on 27.405 - 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.

Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't black and white.

Bob.

Scott Townley wrote:

> But you *do* have all the respect you deserve...the same respect we
> all grant to FELONS, which is what you are, buddy...
>
> On 30 Apr 2001 21:04:39 GMT, "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >I am posting here because of some of the nasty things I have read in this
> >group and in QRZ discussions regarding freebanders. We who use the frequencies
> >from 27.405 to 28.000 have more in common with hams than you might think.
> >We are good operators and have knowledge of propagation and skip conditions.
> >We use Yasus, Kenwoods and Icomm radios just like you do, so we know technical
> >issues as well or better. However, the attitude of some hams toward us is
> >rude and condescending. We are treated like 2nd class citizens. This should
> >not be. We have the same rights under the constitution as legal hams do.
> >For those that do not wish to be under Uncle Sam's thumb, freebanding is
> >a viable alternative to getting a ham radio license. What I am saying is
> >that maybe the next time you meet a freebander it might be wise to talk with
> >him before you condemn him.
> >
> >I am QRT and standing by. (No flames answered. Please reply via email)
> >
> >Unit 2711, Florida
> >

From 5603794276641364076
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 16:49:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!extra.newsguy.com!
lotsanews.com!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 89
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: 9mEwYpqwsyUGGlfIrqJBgild2fOb+LlP5G+ZjF3PkY79Vp5rvD/
1QrChUQ6bQRIpXNNRJKUP57D+!
vrj+tyD9ELytaKnTbaaTGzZVmHFqj+N+eKTG4QYwkZnoXvN3b3ZXnnGPpDCmAPsez+S8w/fPqjSI!
08w6SQk1Xg==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 23:49:11 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 23:49:11 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5352
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15574 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22218
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20819
```

No amount of self justification will erase the simple fact that what you are doing is a crime. That makes you a criminal, and therefore not worthy of respect. You sound like the gang bangers in LA bitching because they are treated like hoodlums.

By the way, I do have a cherry 1974 427 FI ' Vette that I take out to LA County Raceway when I want to speed (it turns low 12's with street tires). When I am driving my Blazer on city streets, I obey the traffic laws. That's what honest people do...

73 de W6DKN

"Bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com...
> Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
>
> And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted to speed,
> you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey man, you do
> EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver and do your
> speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper steps to
> becoming a race car driver:
>
> 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man. Our
> forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you learn.
> 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing the answers
> to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats, planes, etc.
> 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be chastised by
> old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the unicycle and
> can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps bad people
> from becoming race car drivers.
> 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't fit race
> car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire combination.
>
> Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to take those 15
> turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well, ham radio IS
> NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit everybody. Maybe
> its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my equipment and
> make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for hams. I can
> operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the minimum amount
> of power necessary for a contact.
>
> Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it immoral? A
> crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is a far more
> worse crime.

```
>
> BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally interfering
with any
> service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
essential)
> services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
27.405 -
> 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
>
> Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's
illegal,
> yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't
black and
> white.
>
> Bob.
>
>
> Scott Townley wrote:
>
> > But you *do* have all the respect you deserve...the same respect we
> > all grant to FELONS, which is what you are, buddy...
> >
```

```
From -6539049066909990372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 16:58:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc1.ct.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
```

```
Message-ID: <ua1I6.41876$Ce4.3186846@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 23:58:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.23.12.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.ct.home.com 988847898 24.23.12.101 (Wed, 02 May 2001 16:58:18
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 16:58:18 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5353
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15575 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22219
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20820


"Bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com...
> Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
Hey, I can operate my equipment and
> make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for hams.

FCC rules mandates amateurs operate on frequencies
authorized by their their class of license.  How do you
manage to comply with FCC laws when:
]
1:  operating out of band?
2:  operating without a license?
3:  operating non type accepted equipment?







From 6523814293492131528
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 17:07:51 PST
Message-ID: <3AF0A101.CD48E752@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 19:06:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
```

```
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.133
X-Trace: corp 988848004 64.240.47.133 (2 May 2001 19:00:04 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5354
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15576 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22220
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20821
```

W6DKN wrote:
> No amount of self justification will erase the simple fact that what you
> are doing is a crime.

Are there any victims or is it like the crime of oral sex?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1719316540598324090
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 17:12:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
```

```
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 19:12:59 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 115
Message-ID: <9cq7on$qpr$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.e9.9b
X-Server-Date: 3 May 2001 00:12:07 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5355
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15577 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22221
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20822

Look dumbass...you ain't going to convince the people that have a license.
So quite trying to explain what you think is alright.  The bottom line is
YOU ARE ILLEGAL.  PERIOD.

Dan/W4NTI

"Bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com...
> Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
>
> And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted to
speed,
> you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey man,
you do
> EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver
and do your
> speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper steps
to
> becoming a race car driver:
>
> 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man. Our
> forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you
learn.
> 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing the
answers
> to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats, planes,
etc.
> 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be
chastised by
> old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the
unicycle and
> can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps bad
people
> from becoming race car drivers.
> 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't
fit race
```

> car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire
combination.
>
> Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to take
those 15
> turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well, ham
radio IS
> NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit
everybody. Maybe
> its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my
equipment and
> make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for hams.
I can
> operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the
minimum amount
> of power necessary for a contact.
>
> Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it
immoral? A
> crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is a
far more
> worse crime.
>
> BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally interfering
with any
> service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
essential)
> services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
27.405 -
> 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
>
> Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's
illegal,
> yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't
black and
> white.
>
> Bob.
>
>
> Scott Townley wrote:
>
> > But you *do* have all the respect you deserve...the same respect we
> > all grant to FELONS, which is what you are, buddy...
> >
> > On 30 Apr 2001 21:04:39 GMT, "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >I am posting here because of some of the nasty things I have read in
this
> > >group and in QRZ discussions regarding freebanders. We who use the
frequencies

```
> > >from 27.405 to 28.000 have more in common with hams than you might
think.
> > >We are good operators and have knowledge of propagation and skip
conditions.
> > >We use Yasus, Kenwoods and Icomm radios just like you do, so we know
technical
> > >issues as well or better. However, the attitude of some hams toward us
is
> > >rude and condescending.  We are treated like 2nd class citizens.  This
should
> > >not be. We have the same rights under the constitution as legal hams
do.
> > >For those that do not wish to be under Uncle Sam's thumb, freebanding
is
> > >a viable alternative to getting a ham radio license. What I am saying
is
> > >that maybe the next time you meet a freebander it might be wise to talk
with
> > >him before you condemn him.
> > >
> > >I am QRT and standing by. (No flames answered. Please reply via email)
> > >
> > >Unit 2711, Florida
> > >
>




From -7279598727199051046
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 17:13:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 59
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 00:13:02 GMT
References: <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <20010502201302.15528.00000128@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20823

>Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
>From: Bob bob@bob.com
>Date: 5/2/01 6:37 PM Central Daylight Time

>Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's
```

>illegal, yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't >black and white.

Yes, it IS black and white. If you are in the United States and you operate a radio transmitter without an appropriate license or other lawful authorization, you ARE breaking the law....REGARDLESS of what "band" you operate it on or what rationalization you use to justify it.

No maybe. No "ifs". No "they really don't care and won't prosecutre me because "they" really don't care". "They" DO care.

There is nothing "liberating" or "free" about "freeband". It's illegal. Period. If you operate there you break the law. If you operate Amateur Radio equipment there (or on the "legal" 40) you break the law. Need I go on?

IF you HAVE a license you have a selection of radio bands from 1.8mHz up through microwaves at power levels that LEGALLY put you in the kilowatt levels.

IF you have a license you have certain PROTECTIONS:

If you do not have the appropriate license, you are NOT protected by certain federal statutes that limit LOCAL prohibitions against your antennas.

If you do not have an appropriate license, you are not protected by certain STATE statutes that ALLOW you to have a "scanner" or portable receiver in your car that may be capable of receivng law enforcement. Most states that DISallow scanners in cars ALLOW them for persons who hold an Amatuer Radio license.

IF you get caught you are subject to fines that can be in the THOUSANDS of dollars. And please don't tell me "they" don't prosecute freebanders. "They" do.

IF you get caught they may confiscate your equipment.

IF you get caught and they decide they REALLY don't like you, "they" may decide that fines and confiscation aren't enough. You may then be prohibited from operating ANY radio in the judgement, and your conviction is a FEDERAL one. Hopefully you aren't employed in a job that is interested in whether or not you have a federal conviction.

That's a lot of potential "loss" just to avoid taking the Amateur exams or the adrenalline rush of "getting away with it."

It's just not worth it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From -2428405604324831921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 17:24:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF0A5BE.486CBDA9@coqui.net>
From: Bill Meacham <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net>
Reply-To: exray@coqui.net
Organization: http://www.sparkbench.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en,bg
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF0A101.CD48E752@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 20:21:35 EDT
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 20:26:38 -0400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5356
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15578 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22226
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20824

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> W6DKN wrote:
> > No amount of self justification will erase the simple fact that what you
> > are doing is a crime.
>
> Are there any victims or is it like the crime of oral sex?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

Well, if allowing this renegade swatch of the social fabric to
choose not to obey laws is any example of where we are headed, I
guess we are all victims.
-BM

From -4885259616377445417
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 17:42:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 00:41:18 GMT
References: <MPG.155a4aa04b50df729896f8@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Message-ID: <20010502204118.10634.00000600@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20825

In article <MPG.155a4aa04b50df729896f8@news.earthlink.net>, fungi@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> writes:

>> In article <3AF02847.7041DF97@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>>
>> >It is as I suspected, Larry. You can't even read one of my posts
>> >correctly much less read a law book and understand what is written.
>>
>> When is Heil going to take his state's Bar exam?
>
>Probably at the same time you take your amateur radio licensing exam.
>
>Thanks for the laughs Leona. C U soon

Fungi is anonymous. Fungi is hostile and attempts intimidation
through demanding personal confrontation. Fungi is attempting
stalking. Stalking is a crime.

There will be no welcome for Fungi, no meeting. If Fungi goes
through with the implied threat, Fungi will be dealt with as
deserves all stalkers and criminals. No problem.

From -8146244914777940925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 17:42:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 00:41:20 GMT
References: <ld%H6.23$95.5051@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010502204120.10634.00000602@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20827

In article <ld%H6.23$95.5051@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>> >>I recommend number 3
>
>> >Have you decided that you don't want to see him become a ham?
>
>> >Leo, there is a good possibility that amateur HF access will be granted
>> >eventually without a code test. Waiting is an option, but that will take
>> >years off of the fun you could have in ham radio.
>
>> Some of the observed fun seen and heard OFF the computer:
>
><snip>
>
>Have you decided you don't want him to become a ham, too? :-)

I made no statement about any "wanting" by someone else. I was commenting on what I've experienced as an observer OFF the computer (as in NOT accessing newsgroups or websites) as to the appearance of already-licensed radio amateurs to "ordinary civilians." Observations of the last two decades.

Some don't like those observations. Tough on them. I'm not causing the attitudes. I'm fairly certain Ed Hare doesn't have the attitude alluded to, but Hare did go into some of the usual phrasing of "recruitment," ergo my comments.

My comments may have been too strong for some. One anonymous participant in here insists on a personal confrontation to "settle the matter." Has amateur radio evolved to some so as to condone them pushing civilians around? It would seem so. That is a progression to primitive barbarism involving overstuffed egos instead of radio.

From -5678859391977892953
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 17:42:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 00:41:19 GMT
References: <Uf%H6.24$95.5292@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010502204119.10634.00000601@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20826

In article <Uf%H6.24$95.5292@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>> So, it is a "necessity" to keep that code test for HF privileges
>> in order to have "ceremonies" of burying the code key?
>
>I don't think so. Did you really think that I was trying to make that point
>in my posts? It is necessary to pass the code test right now if he wants HF
>privleges because that is what the regulations require.

It's the LAW!   :-)

>That seems to be the case, except I think you need to remove the word
>"never" from your post. The international treaty will be coming up for
>consideration relatively soon, I imagine.

So far there is nothing found on searches for WRC agendas other than a general statement about amateur radio. See Study Group 8 at the ITU-R. There is no mention of elimination of S25.5 in any searches of the subject.

>> Harmony in All, Joy Forever as a result of passing a morse
>> code test. Amen.
>
>Bit too off the wall for me, Len. Enjoy.

I was looking for the religious state of the True Believers of the

Church of the Morseodists. I don't think I found it yet...but I
really did try. :-)

From -2515450387925351729
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 17:42:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 59
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 00:41:17 GMT
References: <zn%H6.25$95.5339@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010502204117.10634.00000599@nso-cd.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20828

In article <zn%H6.25$95.5339@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
writes:

>> >>I detect a tad of that "special ability" of divination at work here.
>
>> >Not at all, Len; if I had special ability, I would have said "We will
>see..."
>
>> Not necessary. Those of "special ability" may use the royal
>> we and put themselves in a place of Authority and Judgement
>> on lesser beings. Others do it in here on a regular basis.
>
>Okay, but I used the words "I" and "expect." In what way do you feel what
>others say indicates a "tad of that special ability" in my posts?

If Hare is able to "see" certain things not borne out by references
available to the public then that "seeing" is personal opinion or it
is a repetition of an urban myth. See the "seeing" comments
between Hare and Carroll of the past two weeks.

An example of the urban myth is the already-code-tested who
continue in the Belief that renewal-time Technician class
licensees will not renew, that they were never active, along with
allusions that they are "not really hams."

>I wasn't trying to change the defintion of speculation or anecodtal, Len.
>In what way do you feel I am fitting what pattern?

By the blanket statement that "Techs will not renew" at renewal time. The urban myth was born rather before the first no-code-test Technician class could renew, reinforced by "tabulations" on the AH0A website.

An interesting side-observation is that anecdotal reference is to be disregarded if made by a civilian or one of "lesser" class license. It is rather obvious that "anecdotal evidence" of something is only "factual" if one is of a "superior" class... amply demonstrated by others of such "superior" class license status.

>I used the term "I think" to indicate that I was offering a personal
>viewpoint. No need to belabor the obvious. I am sure that some of the Techs
>who became licensed but never active will renew. Those that don't were lost
>to ham radio as much as 10 years ago.

So were the 18,391 in the whole groupting of Extras, Advanceds, Generals, Tech-Plusses, and Novices who did not renew in the two year period ending on the close of March 2000.

The emphasis on Technicians not doing something (real or imagined) is to put some onus on those that did not have to take a code test for their license. The lack of emphasis on those that were code tested originally but did not renew is overlooked or virtually dismissed. The combination of the two is to lay blame on Technician class licensees for some "fault." Such "fault-finding" seems too much like putting them as "not real hams" or "unwanted" in US amateur radio.

From 35700559082990287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 17:59:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsengine.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aeaf112$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <20010428211356.26676.00001326@nso-cg.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL employee of the month club.
Date: Wed, 2 May 2001 20:58:15 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <3af0ad8b$0$88191$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 57e44413.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=A0gOV;cWed1iAcBM^EMG<;LoK8f=:?
J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7=EiAhP[ecX>lBFDaL\d^n5`[\27FGT4:9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20829

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010428211356.26676.00001326@nso-cg.aol.com...
> In article <3aeaf112$0$88182$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose"
> <lklose@net-link.net> writes:
>
> >> Great idea -- I'm all in favor of it. And since those who vigorously
> >> supported a reduction in the code testing requirements have always
> >> claimed that this would bring about a higher level of technical
> >> knowledge in the ARS, I believe that there should be an international
> >> requirement for all new hams to take a stack of old TV's and VCR's
> >> and build a functional, multi-mode, 160 thru 450 MHz transceiver
> >> with the components thereof. The only new components that would
> >> be permitted would be metal or plastic components for a hand-built
> >> case for the completed rig. This should be completely within the
> >> capabilities of all of the young, computer-literate, technical geniuses
> >> who have been "excluded" from amateur radio by the archaic code
> >> testing requirements for so long. I can't wait to read about the
> >> results of the first round of new ham "tests" in QST and 73.
> >
> >I assume this would only be for Extra class applicant and licensee.
> >All Extras would have the privelges reduce to General until the met
> >the new HIGHER standard.
>
> No, this requirement would apply only to post-Restructuring hams --
> you know, the ones who made the claims about how eliminating the
> code testing requirements would bring in the bright, young, computer-
> literate technical whiz-kids into the ARS?

But Larry K3LT, how do we know that the current Extra have
maintained their skills. The FCC need to insure that everyone
has maintained their skills. No more wanna-continue Extras
without retesting.

> >Several years ago, 73 Magazine had some good articles on build thing
> >out of old TV sets.
>
> Fine. If you have a copy, my old Sony TV set is yours for the carrying
> away. The only thing I, as an AMATEUR radio operator without any
> professional electrical engineering credentials can make of it is a dust
> collector! If I can't give it away soon, I'm going to use it for target
> practice!

With a pickup truck and four hours on dump day I pickup 20 Sony's.
Interesting that you mention Sony, because their front end is very
suspectable to RF overload from six meter operation. This would not
effect the frequency conversion sections. But we live in throw away
economy. Too bad because 90% of their electronic circuits are still good.

I believe that Amateur Radio has much to offer to our education system.
Unfortunately, we are arguing among ourselves, instead of contributing
to the next generation.

What I would really like is a 200mhz plus scope. You can show the kids
your radios, but if can show them an image of the actual RF signal you
can open their eyes to a new world of underrstanding.

Larry KC8EPO

```
From 4515786205253857785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 18:08:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 01:08:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988852131 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 02 May 2001 18:08:51
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 18:08:51 PDT
```

```
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5359
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15580 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22227
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20830

> BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally interfering
with any
> service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
essential)
> services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
27.405 -
> 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.

Hams don't break the law ... hams learned what they needed to know to get
where they are ... you took it ... you demean their effort ... go back on cb
(and trust me you aren't any more of a techie if you say 11m)




From -2608014359887029459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 18:21:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AF0B237.6672F147@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 20:19:51 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF0A101.CD48E752@IEEE.org> <3AF0A5BE.486CBDA9@coqui.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.106
X-Trace: corp 988852407 64.240.47.106 (2 May 2001 20:13:27 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

```
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5361
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15581 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22230
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20831

Bill Meacham wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > W6DKN wrote:
> > > No amount of self justification will erase the simple fact that what you
> > > are doing is a crime.
> >
> > Are there any victims or is it like the crime of oral sex?
>
> Well, if allowing this renegade swatch of the social fabric to
> choose not to obey laws is any example of where we are headed, I
> guess we are all victims.

How have we been hurt? Not implying anything, just questioning.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3192280301547044031
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 18:22:37 PST
Message-ID: <3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 20:21:14 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.106
X-Trace: corp 988852491 64.240.47.106 (2 May 2001 20:14:51 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5362 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15582 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22231 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20832

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> Hams don't break the law ...

Maybe you should change that to: Most hams don't break the law.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7167696532073227955
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 18:41:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 01:41:02 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16

```
Message-ID: <3af0b706.1666967@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF0315B.C07FF011@IEEE.org> <3af03218.3268505@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF045EE.52C8F5@IEEE.org> <3af06c2a.972163@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF076E7.F5E6B9FD@uci.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.73.f4
X-Server-Date: 3 May 2001 01:41:28 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20833

On Wed, 02 May 2001 14:06:47 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> BUT... in the case of the NON-ham, just how does local cop
>> KNOW with any certainty that anyone is operating at all.
>> The hearsay of a neighbor with TVI is not sufficient to
>> pinpoint any source.
>
>I can think of tougher cases to solve.

However you offer no practical solution to the question.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 2618680736558772950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 19:05:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org>
```

```
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 02:05:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988855511 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 02 May 2001 19:05:11
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 19:05:11 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5366
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15584 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22232
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20834

A ham by definition does not break the law ... a freebander does

--
Rob St.Denis

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > Hams don't break the law ...
>
> Maybe you should change that to: Most hams don't break the law.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----




From 3746940756003663106
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,24120ca9c49b31e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 19:06:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 02:05:57 GMT
```

References: <20010501131119.26864.00003097@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: A Respectful Suggestion
Message-ID: <20010502220557.26676.00001848@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20835

In article <20010501131119.26864.00003097@ng-fi1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>
>   Not wishing to appear to appear to be suggesting any form of censorship,
>may I respectfully suggest that the present on-going threads that refer to
>the
>recently killed missionary be cut-and-pasted to a new thread title?
>
>   None of the on-going threads have any pertinence to her or her tragic
>death or the death of her infant daughter, and I think it would be an act of
>respect to stop posting to threads that would seem to be discussing
>THEM...NOT
>the code/FCC/ITU debates that it has
>
>Respectfully,
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Steve:

Disengage from your childish, name-calling, personal squabble with
Len Anderson, and you might just get a little "respect" in this
newsgroup.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -957418865550458677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 20:35:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net

X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 123
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 23:36:58 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.85
X-Trace: news1.atl 988860926 209.214.187.85 (Wed, 02 May 2001 23:35:26 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 23:35:26 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5367
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15587 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22241
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20836

Bob,

Yours is the kind of misunderstanding that, try as people might, just can't seem to be overcome. I know FIRSTHAND that there are agencies that are assigned frequencies above and below the 11 meter CB band. Tell me, what is "unessential" about forest rangers. What is "unessential" about military comms? The difference is the type and duration of commercial and military comms as opposed to civilians who are there just to talk, often as much as possible, to as many people as possible. Business or military comms are short and to the point.

I know the regulars get tired of reading this example, but it is a perfect example of why NOT to "freeband". Some years ago, I was acting as communications officer for Civil Air Patrol on a live search for a light plane that disappeared during a storm. We planned to use a frequency near 11 meters which is a USAF frequency assigned to CAP. Like many rescue agencies, both civilian and military, we use our assigned frequencies so as to separate various kinds of traffic, take advantage of atmospherics (time of day), and keep from "interfering" with ourselves--air to ground on one freq., mobile to base on another, and yet another for "incidental comms" such as flight line, safety, or even sending out for food for the searchers. Another reason for choosing the low power 26 MHZ band was to prevent news hounds, ambulance chasers, and even possibly the family of the pilot from hearing adverse news via scanner. *Most* scanners don't deal too well with SSB. We quickly discovered that there was a "freebander" on that frequency calling, "BREAK, BREAK, skipland", etc with a linear that made it difficult or impossible to use this frequency forcing a lot of incidental traffic onto VHF. I was LIVID! There was NO excuse for this person operating on that frequency whatsoever. He was interfering with an active USAF authorized mission, a real emergency where a life was hanging in the balance. In the following week after the mission was suspended, I began to DF this pirate, determined to put a stop to his outlaw operations. One evening, I happened to be in a nearby town, and absently switched on one of my radios in the car not expecting to hear anything. The guy was pegging my meter and almost busted my speaker. On my way out of town, I made a screeching U-turn and went back into town, stopping at the town PD to ask if they recognized that voice. Yup, they knew the fella and where he lived. I drove to his house and confirmed the location. Outlaw was reported to FCC field office, and was busted for out-of-band operation AND interfering with emergency communications--a serious Federal offense. Betcha he got tired of eating beans from a .10 can!

There IS no excuse for operating on unauthorized frequencies. You might *think* these freqs are unoccupied and that the agencies thereto assigned are "non-essential". That is not for individuals to decide. Just because *you* don't hear anyone doesn't mean they aren't there and that there is no traffic being passed that you could hinder.

Finally, let's say I, or you, rent/lease a piece of property with a nice lake on it. Intending to do a little fishing, you stock that lake with a variety of game fish--bass, crappy, catfish, perch, or whatever. You are busy and don't get out to your lake real often, but one day you decide it would be a good day to go fishing; remember, it's "your" lake by virtue of your lease to use as you see fit within the guidelines of your agreement with the owner. When you arrive, you discover a large group of people on your property, at YOUR lake, catching the fish YOU stocked--in spite of the fact there are No Trespassing signs all over-even right where the trespassers are fishing. I'd be angry and I'd bring charges against each and every one that trespassed on my land. It doesn't make any difference if I don't come to the lake every day, it is STILL property assigned to me by virtue of rent or lease and nobody has any business on it without permission.

"Freebanders" don't have "permission" (license) to operate on frequencies outside the 11 meter band. They are trespassing just as surely as those people I cited in the above paragraph. And when they DO trespass, it pisses off those who are legitimately assigned said frequencies for their exclusive use and it don't make ANY difference if they "visit" (use) their "property" (frequency) once a minute or once a year--the "freebanders" are trespassing just as surely as if they just walked into your/my house uninvited. There is no respect due, and none forthcoming for trespassers, "freebanders", or outlaw radio ops.

Jerry
K4KWH

Bob wrote:
>
> Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
>
> And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted to speed,
> you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey man, you do
> EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver and do your

```
> speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper steps to
> becoming a race car driver:
>
> 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man. Our
> forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you learn.
> 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing the
answers
> to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats, planes, etc.
> 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be chastised
by
> old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the unicycle
and
> can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps bad people
> from becoming race car drivers.
> 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't fit race
> car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire combination.
>
> Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to take those
15
> turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well, ham radio
IS
> NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit everybody.
Maybe
> its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my equipment and
> make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for hams. I can
> operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the minimum
amount
> of power necessary for a contact.
>
> Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it immoral?
A
> crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is a far
more
> worse crime.
>
> BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally interfering with
any
> service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non essential)
> services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on 27.405 -
> 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
>
> Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's illegal,
> yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't black and
> white.
>
> Bob.

> > >


From -2262231398192186496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 20:49:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF0D598.1734@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF0A101.CD48E752@IEEE.org> <3AF0A5BE.486CBDA9@coqui.net>
<3AF0B237.6672F147@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 50
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 23:50:48 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.85
X-Trace: news1.atl 988861754 209.214.187.85 (Wed, 02 May 2001 23:49:14 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 23:49:14 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5368
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15588 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22243
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20837
```

Cecil,

If it were YOU on an international flight from London, and an outlaw operator decided to operate on 6.628 MHZ one of the HF aviation frequencies or even a tower frequency of 118.1 MHZ causing your plane to misread instructions, stray into the path of another plane and crash, would that hurt YOU? Of course. Operating on any frequency not assigned to an individual carries the risk of causing loss of lives or property--forest rangers attempting to determine, for example, the direction of a fire's travel in order to evacuate people from its path. US Forest Rangers DO operate above 27 MHZ. Would you want YOUR home destroyed or you life put at risk because somebody thought his hobby was more important than your life? Simply because there was someone with a "leen-yar" covering up fire comms keeping the fire fighters from getting the right instructions?

THAT is the potential harm. That is the reason it is illegal--not because FCC is trying to mess up somebody's fun.

73

Jerry
K4KWH

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Bill Meacham wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > W6DKN wrote:
> > > > No amount of self justification will erase the simple fact that what you
> > > > are doing is a crime.
> > >
> > > Are there any victims or is it like the crime of oral sex?
> >
> > Well, if allowing this renegade swatch of the social fabric to
> > choose not to obey laws is any example of where we are headed, I
> > guess we are all victims.
>
> How have we been hurt? Not implying anything, just questioning.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6000056403502858407
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 21:16:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: ccom2@socket.net
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 04:23:08 GMT

Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3af0dcb3.3549117@news.socket.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 134
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5370
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15589 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22244
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20838

Preach it Brother
It could not have been said any better.

FreeBanders are bottom feeding scum.
That should have all their equipment taken away.
Mike
AB0IV

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Bob,
>
>Yours is the kind of misunderstanding that, try as people might, just
>can't seem to be overcome. I know FIRSTHAND that there are agencies
>that are assigned frequencies above and below the 11 meter CB band.
>Tell me, what is "unessential" about forest rangers. What is "unessen-
>tial" about military comms? The difference is the type and duration of
>commercial and military comms as opposed to civilians who are there just
>to talk, often as much as possible, to as many people as possible. Busi-
>ness or military comms are short and to the point.
>
>I know the regulars get tired of reading this example, but it is a per-
>fect example of why NOT to "freeband". Some years ago, I was acting
>as communications officer for Civil Air Patrol on a live search for a
>light plane that disappeared during a storm. We planned to use a freq-
>uency near 11 meters which is a USAF frequency assigned to CAP. Like
>many rescue agencies, both civilian and military, we use our assigned
>frequencies so as to separate various kinds of traffic, take advantage
>of atmospherics (time of day), and keep from "interfering" with our-
>selves--air to ground on one freq., mobile to base on another, and yet
>another for "incidental comms" such as flight line, safety, or even
>sending out for food for the searchers. Another reason for choosing the
>low power 26 MHZ band was to prevent news hounds, ambulance chasers,
>and even possibly the family of the pilot from hearing adverse news via
>scanner. *Most* scanners don't deal too well with SSB. We quickly dis-
>covered that there was a "freebander" on that frequency calling, "BREAK,
>BREAK, skipland", etc with a linear that made it difficult or impossible
>to use this frequency forcing a lot of incidental traffic onto VHF. I
>was LIVID! There was NO excuse for this person operating on that freq-
>uency whatsoever. He was interfering with an active USAF authorized
>mission, a real emergency where a life was hanging in the balance. In
>the following week after the mission was suspended, I began to DF this

>pirate, determined to put a stop to his outlaw operations. One evening,
>I happened to be in a nearby town, and absently switched on one of my
>radios in the car not expecting to hear anything. The guy was pegging
>my meter and almost busted my speaker. On my way out of town, I made
>a screeching U-turn and went back into town, stopping at the town PD to
>ask if they recognized that voice. Yup, they knew the fella and where he
>lived. I drove to his house and confirmed the location. Outlaw was
>reported to FCC field office, and was busted for out-of-band operation
>AND interfering with emergency communications--a serious Federal
>offense. Betcha he got tired of eating beans from a .10 can!
>
>There IS no excuse for operating on unauthorized frequencies. You might
>*think* these freqs are unoccupied and that the agencies thereto assign-
>ed are "non-essential". That is not for individuals to decide. Just
>because *you* don't hear anyone doesn't mean they aren't there and that
>there is no traffic being passed that you could hinder.
>
>Finally, let's say I, or you, rent/lease a piece of property with a nice
>lake on it. Intending to do a little fishing, you stock that lake with
>a variety of game fish--bass, crappy, catfish, perch, or whatever. You
>are busy and don't get out to your lake real often, but one day you
>decide it would be a good day to go fishing; remember, it's "your" lake
>by virtue of your lease to use as you see fit within the guidelines of
>your agreement with the owner. When you arrive, you discover a large
>group of people on your property, at YOUR lake, catching the fish YOU
>stocked--in spite of the fact there are No Trespassing signs all over-
>even right where the trespassers are fishing. I'd be angry and I'd bring
>charges against each and every one that trespassed on my land. It
>doesn't make any difference if I don't come to the lake every day, it
>is STILL property assigned to me by virtue of rent or lease and nobody
>has any business on it without permission.
>
>"Freebanders" don't have "permission" (license) to operate on frequen-
>cies outside the 11 meter band. They are trespassing just as surely
>as those people I cited in the above paragraph. And when they DO tres-
>pass, it pisses off those who are legitimately assigned said frequencies
>for their exclusive use and it don't make ANY difference if they "visit"
>(use) their "property" (frequency) once a minute or once a year--the
>"freebanders" are trespassing just as surely as if they just walked into
>your/my house uninvited. There is no respect due, and none forthcoming
>for trespassers, "freebanders", or outlaw radio ops.
>
>Jerry
>K4KWH
>
>
>Bob wrote:
>>
>> Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
>>
>> And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted to
speed,
>> you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey man, you

do
>> EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver and do
your
>> speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper steps to
>> becoming a race car driver:
>>
>> 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man. Our
>> forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you learn.
>> 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing the
answers
>> to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats, planes, etc.
>> 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be chastised
by
>> old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the unicycle
and
>> can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps bad
people
>> from becoming race car drivers.
>> 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't fit
race
>> car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire combination.
>>
>> Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to take those
15
>> turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well, ham radio
IS
>> NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit everybody.
Maybe
>> its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my equipment
and
>> make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for hams. I can
>> operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the minimum
amount
>> of power necessary for a contact.
>>
>> Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it
immoral? A
>> crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is a far
more
>> worse crime.
>>
>> BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally interfering with
any
>> service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non essential)
>> services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on 27.405 -
>> 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
>>
>> Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's
illegal,
>> yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't black
and
>> white.
>>

```
>> Bob.
>
>> > >



From 1984043771888047806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 21:38:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 04:37:23 GMT
References: <Ha%H6.21$95.5294@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503003723.24362.00000506@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20839

In article <Ha%H6.21$95.5294@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
writes:

>N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> >At MFJ, where the people work with radios, over a dozen people became
>> >no-code hams. Of those, only one is active.
>
>> Busman's holiday, probably.
>
>Same effect seen at ARRL HQ, probably for the same reason.

Of course. How many railroad employees do you think have model RR layouts at
home?
>
>> 2) Most folks aren't interested, even if you eliminated all tests and gave
>the
>> gear away.
>
>If you stood on the corner and handed out free Amateur Radio licences, most
>of those who received them would toss them on the ground.

Agreed.

73 de Jim, N2EY
```

```
From 7413063368258521098
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 21:38:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 115
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 04:37:22 GMT
References: <3af06a9f.577404@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503003722.24362.00000505@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20840

In article <3af06a9f.577404@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill
Sohl) writes:

>On 02 May 2001 17:24:00 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>>>From: 2w8ji@akorn.net  (Tom W8JI)
>>>Statistically, there was virtually no difference in lowering CW from
>>>13 WPM to 5 WPM. This is a repeat of earlier test reductions. The big
>>>sales pitch we all heard was we were going to get an influx of new
>>>Hams.
>>>
>>Yup. There has been a surge in the number of Techs over the past year, put
>that
>>is due in part to the FCC renewing Tech Pluses as  Techs after April 15,
>2000.
>>
>>>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$
>>
>>Bingo.
>
>Could you provide some evidence of any such finacial
>influence?

Kenwood will make more money if they sell more radios to hams. More hams means
more potential customers.

I'm Yaecomwood's worst nightmare, by the way.
>
>>>Didn't work.
>>>
```

>>Too soon to tell, I'd say.
>
>Too soon to tell what?

To soon to tell what the long-term effects are. It's been barely a year.
>
>>>We created a no-code tech because it was going to be "the answer",
>>>yet many of them turned out to not have the faintest interest in the
>>>hobby. Of those I know first hand, some never actually took the tests
>>>they were supposed to take. Few of them are active or interested. I
>>>know about 30 people who received no-codes...only two remain active.
>>>One is a 13 WPM General, and the other is a wife who uses the radio to
>>>talk to her husband.
>>>
>>My experience has been quite different. I know many post-1991 Techs who are
>>very active.
>>
>>But if you look at the growth of the ARS in the USA in the decade before
>1991,
>>and compare it to the decade since 1991, you will NOT see any lasting surge
>of
>>newcomers in the latter decade.
>>
>>>At MFJ, where the people work with radios, over a dozen people became
>>>no-code hams. Of those, only one is active.
>>
>>Busman's holiday, probably.
>>>
>>>I would think if CW was a barrier, there would have been a steady
>>>stream of no-coders entering the door. Not a big shot that tapered to
>>>nothing. It would seem logical that a reduction from 13 WPM to 5 WPM
>>>for HF operation would have a large impact on newcomers...yet it
>>>didn't.
>>
>>True. Several reasons:
>>
>>1) Lotsa folks don't know about the changes
>>
>>2) Most folks aren't interested, even if you eliminated all tests and gave
>the
>>gear away.
>>
>>3) Many folks think they don't have the time, money, or resources (like
>antenna
>>space) to do ham radio.
>>>
>>>I wopuld think the no-code class would be a hit, didn't happen.
>>
>>It was as much of a hit as its predecessor.
>>>
>>>Umm, no code has been available for a long time. It hasn't worked so
>>>far. Should we wait longer?
>>>

>>>I'm not discrediting you, but there is nothing that has happened so
>>>far that indicates what you predict is true. Suppose we drop CW, and
>>>nothing happens except problems. Do you suppose we can put it back
>>>later?
>>
>>Double bingo. No way. The FCC has said for over a decade that they think the
>>code test only indicates code ability, nothing more or less. The ONLY reason
>>the FCC kept 5 wpm was because of the international treaty requirement.
>
>Has there been any chaos because of nocode techs?

How would you define "chaos", Bill? I hear things on the ham bands today that
were unheard-of years ago. Is it because of license changes or because of other
factors? Who knows?

>Why would going to total NOcode result in problems?

No CODE or no CODE TEST?
>
>>Like it or not, the FCC's hands are tied on this. It's 5 wpm till the treaty
>>changes.
>>>
>>>Have you know anything that was deregulated or opened-up that became
>>>more orderly?
>>
>>Nope.
>>
73 de Jim, N2EY

From 8017302802115055276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 22:02:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 01:02:43 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net

NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-74.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988866135 151118 206.74.49.74 (3 May 2001 05:02:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 05:02:15 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20841

"D. Stussy" wrote:

>
> But you failed to realize my other point:
> The cop isn't going to waste his time
> to bother to check for a license.....

Lets be realistic here, Stussy. No cop in his right mind is going to get that aggressive, or that excited, over a simple radio violation. Cops have been enforcing scanner laws for years, and I have yet to hear of a case where the cop overreacted simply because a person had a scanner.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -4501187471570496585
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 22:20:08 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 05:19:34 GMT
Message-ID: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aef7719.566244026@news.accessunited.com>
<20010502141151.14184.00000875@nso-mj.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.163
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.163
X-Trace: 3 May 2001 00:15:57 -0500, 64.24.17.163
Lines: 30
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20842

On 02 May 2001 18:11:51 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>
>There is a "poll" or questionaire result to confirm this? Did over
>TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND individuals take the trouble to
>pass a test for a license because they had "not the faintest
>interest in the hobby?!?!?"
>
It's a "matter of degree". Passing the nocode test is not exactly an
intellectual triumpf. The question is how many of the nocodes have
remained active? Nobody knows. But there is something of a gut
consensus that the nocodes tend to come and go at a higher rate than
do the holders of the other licenses. No doubt related to the old
"easy come, easy go" routine.
>
>Ten years ago there were no no-code-test Technicians. A year
>ago there were over 200,000. Newcomers are going for the
>no-code-test Technician class far more than all the other
>classes combined, a trend started a decade ago and continuing
>on through the April 15, 2000, date.
>
So what? Is that some kind of surprise? The nocode is the easiest
test. Why WOULDN'T the necomers opt for a nocode ticket?? I got into
the game as a Novice for the same reason. So did tens of thousands of
others.
>
The fascination with statistics juggling in this ng is asinine.
License counts mean nothing. Band occupancy and spectral efficiency
mean everything.

From 3433628709856965970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 22:20:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 01:21:00 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3AF0EAA6.4B69624B@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3af02bab.1624141@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-74.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988867228 151339 204.116.70.75 (3 May 2001 05:20:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 05:20:28 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20843

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> The local enforcements could never be totally
> onboard as to all the equipment that, while
> legal in one service, can be illegally used
> on other frequencies.

Cops don't have to be "totally onboard," Bill. Remember that CB enforcement will be a joint effort involving both local law enforcement AND the FCC. HR-2346 isn't designed to be a catch all, in all circumstances, law. Instead, it is only one part of a total enforcement effort. In all likelihood, local cops will handle the most obvious, blatant, violations, leaving the FCC free to solve the more difficult ones - the violations several in this thread insist the locals cannot handle.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 7624177513087478840
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 22:23:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news1.atl.POSTED!w9wi
From: w9wi@linux1.localdomain (Doug Smith)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders

References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF0A101.CD48E752@IEEE.org> <3AF0A5BE.486CBDA9@coqui.net>
<3AF0B237.6672F147@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: me
Message-ID: <slrn9f1nl6.5c7.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 12
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 05:23:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.113.26
X-Trace: news1.atl 988867424 209.214.113.26 (Thu, 03 May 2001 01:23:44 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 01:23:44 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5371
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15592 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22248
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20844

On Wed, 02 May 2001 20:19:51 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>How have we been hurt? Not implying anything, just questioning.

In most cases, we aren't.

The most apparent case of damage is when freebanders get above 28MHz.

--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

From 4634214285802769878
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 22:38:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 01:39:08 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>

<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-74.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988868321 151633 204.116.70.75 (3 May 2001 05:38:41 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 05:38:41 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15593
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20845

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> [snip] Legalized drugs would result in fewer
> problems than we have now. We apparently
> didn't learn a thing from prohibition.

Actually, we learned a lot after prohibition ended. Alcohol use has increased virtually every year since prohibition ended. Alcohol abuse has increased virtually every year since prohibition ended. And the problems associated with alcohol abuse (DUI, etc) has increased virtually every year since prohibition ended. There is no reason to believe the results would be any different when it comes to drugs if we legalize those now illegal drugs.

> The story is absolutely true, Dwight.

I was not talking about your silly story, Cecil. I was talking about your darn insulting suggestion that I support murder. If you cannot keep the conversation civil, then I would rather not be involved in this discussion.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 4883734126433394994
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 22:44:18 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 05:43:57 GMT
Message-ID: <3af0efed.35213649@news2.dvol.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.163
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.163
X-Trace: 3 May 2001 00:40:17 -0500, 64.24.17.163
Lines: 8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20846

On Wed, 02 May 2001 19:51:47 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:
>
>
>But you failed to realize my other point:  The cop isn't going to waste his time
>to bother to check for a license.....
>
Fine with me, I need the money . .


From -8657209926754016769
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a9be294a2201e7c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 22:48:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!coop.net!
newsfeed1.global.lmco.com!svlnews.lmms.lmco.com!not-for-mail
From: David Pearce <david.e.pearce@lmco.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARES vs RACES
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 16:00:39 -0600
Organization: Lockheed Martin Astronautics - Denver, CO
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <3AF08387.6F0A5E41@lmco.com>
References: <3AEF03F4.D0D00650@crosslink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 160.205.45.89
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20847

Old Biker wrote:
>
> The *volunteer* fire and rescue folks in out rural county
> recently held a meeting to discuss what could/should be done to
> integrate amateur radio into their emergency/disaster plans.
> Rep's from both ARES and RACES both attended but sadly got into
> such a heated arguement that neither my wife and I nor the fire
> & rescue people were able to make any sense out of their
> proposals. The only thing they agreed on was that the 50-odd
> hams in the county who were not in one or the other organization
> were neither real hams nor people who could be trusted "to obey
> their rules". The one group (RACES?) said they were (once?) a
> federally sponsored corp. and would flood the county with
> outside volunteers provided the county agreed to their disaster
> plans for us, just before half of them left to protest the other
> group's "lies". The second group (ARES) seemed to loose interest
> after finding that we had no repeaters in the county they could
> comandeer for emergency drills. The county officials, hearing
> this, decided "none of the above" and have pretty much given up
> on amateur radio.
>
> OTOH, I have heard so many good things said that I hate to give
> up. What's the story guys?
>
> KA3DWW

Just about every ARES/RACES/Served Agency scenario is different. I live in one mountainous County in Colorado and work in an adjacient county that is more urban than rural but it still has rural problems. I belong to both ARES county groups. The Urban one is a combined ARES/RACES one.

In my home county which is very rural (15,000 population for the entire county) our ARES group has only been called upon 2 times in 5 years. Once for a forest fire, once when a man went crazy and bulldozed a telephone station and a town building. Whereas, in the other county, the ARES org gets called out 5 to 8 times a year, mostly for wildfires, where they act mostly as human mobile repeaters for the fire crews.

From what I remember, RACES can only be used during a civil emergency where ARES can be used for just about anything else.

So, Just where does ARES/RACES belong in a county's emergency/disaster plan?

You have to remember a couple of things about ARES and RACES. A) Not a first response group. B) Their expertise is in communications when normal forms of communications are ineffective or overloaded.

With the above in mind, the 2 most effective uses of amateur radio operators in an emergency are as spotters and relays or communications links.

They can also be used to give directions to emergency groups coming from outside of the emergency area that do not know the area. This frees the Fire and Police from having to expend resources not related directly to the emergency. Amateur Radio Operators can also be used as frequency translators. When emergency groups from different areas are working together but their equipment operates on different frequencies, A few strategically placed Amateur Radio Operators can be used to get messages from one unit on one frequency to another unit on another frequency by using the amateurs as human cross band repeaters. They can also be used when eemergency services looses their own radio communications (base station) ability.

```
From -7309556510886351395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-02 23:13:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: pyotr filipivich <phamp@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-
limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politic
s.misc
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert
Date: Wed, 02 May 2001 23:10:11 -0700
Organization: Fortesque Labs
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <bqn1ftktlt07ub2t3jqfup5i90vdechd9k@4ax.com>
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<EI6H6.967$532.574192@news.uswest.net> <9cl8l7$pb2$0@208.206.142.87>
<3AF01BFB.558792E@ix.netcom.com> <61WH6.50394$U4.11884770@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
<9cphkp$65me$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com> <3AF08351.C97D7C3C@usa.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.25.c4
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 3 May 2001 06:07:44 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/16.548
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.law-enforcement:36872 alt.politics.bush:282682
alt.rush-limbaugh:95587 misc.survivalism:59472 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20848
talk.politics.guns:191324 talk.politics.misc:215411

In  talk.politics.guns on Wed, 02 May 2001 17:59:45 -0400 , HerrGlock
<herrglock@usa.net> was inspired to write:

>Joel C Simon wrote:
>> Survivalist <survivalist2@(remove)home.com> wrote:
>> > The chair is against the wall, John has a long mustache...............
>>
>> The turkeys are in the toaster.  Repeat: The turkeys are in the toaster.
>
>During one simulated alert in the Army we couldn't use the actual code
>words of the day but I have not idea WHO came up with
>
>'Pink Flamingos fly at night.'
>
>It's just not NATURAL to wake up to someone saying that over the phone.

     Maybe not, but it no doubt got you awake in a hurry. :-)


tschus

pyotr "The pearl is in the liver" filipivich
pyotr filipivich
Ah - the good old days, when might made right, and the victors got the spoils
- at knife point.  One thing about knives, swords & axes - they don't run out
of ammo, and they don't misfire.


From 1416772066159857025
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 01:23:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!usenet
From: "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberal.comz>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders Response
Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 03:23:38 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - http://www.altopia.com
Lines: 169
Message-ID: <9cr4i5$ale$0@pita.alt.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
<3af0dcb3.3549117@news.socket.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5373
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15595 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22250
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20849

Gee whiz! When you guys gonna learn to keep your responses short and to the
point? Don't write a darn book....nobody reads past the first two paragraphs
anyway.
If you can't say it in a few sentences, nobody cares.
Save your editorializing for the New York Times....

<ccom2@socket.net> wrote in message news:3af0dcb3.3549117@news.socket.net...
> Preach it Brother
> It could not have been said any better.
>
> FreeBanders are bottom feeding scum.
> That should have all their equipment taken away.
> Mike
> AB0IV
>
> Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> >Bob,
> >
> >Yours is the kind of misunderstanding that, try as people might, just
> >can't seem to be overcome. I know FIRSTHAND that there are agencies
> >that are assigned frequencies above and below the 11 meter CB band.
> >Tell me, what is "unessential" about forest rangers. What is "unessen-
> >tial" about military comms? The difference is the type and duration of
> >commercial and military comms as opposed to civilians who are there just
> >to talk, often as much as possible, to as many people as possible. Busi-
> >ness or military comms are short and to the point.
> >
> >I know the regulars get tired of reading this example, but it is a per-
> >fect example of why NOT to "freeband". Some years ago, I was acting
> >as communications officer for Civil Air Patrol on a live search for a
> >light plane that disappeared during a storm. We planned to use a freq-
> >uency near 11 meters which is a USAF frequency assigned to CAP. Like
> >many rescue agencies, both civilian and military, we use our assigned
> >frequencies so as to separate various kinds of traffic, take advantage
> >of atmospherics (time of day), and keep from "interfering" with our-
> >selves--air to ground on one freq., mobile to base on another, and yet

> >another for "incidental comms" such as flight line, safety, or even
> >sending out for food for the searchers. Another reason for choosing the
> >low power 26 MHZ band was to prevent news hounds, ambulance chasers,
> >and even possibly the family of the pilot from hearing adverse news via
> >scanner. *Most* scanners don't deal too well with SSB. We quickly dis-
> >covered that there was a "freebander" on that frequency calling, "BREAK,
> >BREAK, skipland", etc with a linear that made it difficult or impossible
> >to use this frequency forcing a lot of incidental traffic onto VHF. I
> >was LIVID! There was NO excuse for this person operating on that freq-
> >uency whatsoever. He was interfering with an active USAF authorized
> >mission, a real emergency where a life was hanging in the balance. In
> >the following week after the mission was suspended, I began to DF this
> >pirate, determined to put a stop to his outlaw operations. One evening,
> >I happened to be in a nearby town, and absently switched on one of my
> >radios in the car not expecting to hear anything. The guy was pegging
> >my meter and almost busted my speaker. On my way out of town, I made
> >a screeching U-turn and went back into town, stopping at the town PD to
> >ask if they recognized that voice. Yup, they knew the fella and where he
> >lived. I drove to his house and confirmed the location. Outlaw was
> >reported to FCC field office, and was busted for out-of-band operation
> >AND interfering with emergency communications--a serious Federal
> >offense. Betcha he got tired of eating beans from a .10 can!
> >
> >There IS no excuse for operating on unauthorized frequencies. You might
> >*think* these freqs are unoccupied and that the agencies thereto assign-
> >ed are "non-essential". That is not for individuals to decide. Just
> >because *you* don't hear anyone doesn't mean they aren't there and that
> >there is no traffic being passed that you could hinder.
> >
> >Finally, let's say I, or you, rent/lease a piece of property with a nice
> >lake on it. Intending to do a little fishing, you stock that lake with
> >a variety of game fish--bass, crappy, catfish, perch, or whatever. You
> >are busy and don't get out to your lake real often, but one day you
> >decide it would be a good day to go fishing; remember, it's "your" lake
> >by virtue of your lease to use as you see fit within the guidelines of
> >your agreement with the owner. When you arrive, you discover a large
> >group of people on your property, at YOUR lake, catching the fish YOU
> >stocked--in spite of the fact there are No Trespassing signs all over-
> >even right where the trespassers are fishing. I'd be angry and I'd bring
> >charges against each and every one that trespassed on my land. It
> >doesn't make any difference if I don't come to the lake every day, it
> >is STILL property assigned to me by virtue of rent or lease and nobody
> >has any business on it without permission.
> >
> >"Freebanders" don't have "permission" (license) to operate on frequen-
> >cies outside the 11 meter band. They are trespassing just as surely
> >as those people I cited in the above paragraph. And when they DO tres-
> >pass, it pisses off those who are legitimately assigned said frequencies
> >for their exclusive use and it don't make ANY difference if they "visit"
> >(use) their "property" (frequency) once a minute or once a year--the
> >"freebanders" are trespassing just as surely as if they just walked into
> >your/my house uninvited. There is no respect due, and none forthcoming
> >for trespassers, "freebanders", or outlaw radio ops.

> >
> >Jerry
> >K4KWH
> >
> >
> >Bob wrote:
> >>
> >> Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
> >>
> >> And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted to speed,
> >> you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey man, you do
> >> EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver and do your
> >> speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper steps to
> >> becoming a race car driver:
> >>
> >> 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man. Our
> >> forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you learn.
> >> 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing the answers
> >> to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats, planes, etc.
> >> 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be chastised by
> >> old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the unicycle and
> >> can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps bad people
> >> from becoming race car drivers.
> >> 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't fit race
> >> car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire combination.
> >>
> >> Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to take those 15
> >> turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well, ham radio IS
> >> NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit everybody. Maybe
> >> its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my equipment and
> >> make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for hams. I can
> >> operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the minimum amount
> >> of power necessary for a contact.
> >>

```
> >> Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it
immoral? A
> >> crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is
a far more
> >> worse crime.
> >>
> >> BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally
interfering with any
> >> service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
essential)
> >> services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
27.405 -
> >> 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
> >>
> >> Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's
illegal,
> >> yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't
black and
> >> white.
> >>
> >> Bob.
> >
> >> > >
>




From -2964232721246808960
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 01:26:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!usenet
From: "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberal.comz>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 03:26:58 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - http://www.altopia.com
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9cr4ob$au2$0@pita.alt.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
```

```
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15596
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20850


"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net...
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > [snip] Legalized drugs would result in fewer
> > problems than we have now.  We apparently
> > didn't learn a thing from prohibition.
>
>
>   Actually, we learned a lot after prohibition ended.  Alcohol use has
> increased virtually every year since prohibition ended.  Alcohol abuse
> has increased virtually every year since prohibition ended.  And the
> problems associated with alcohol abuse (DUI, etc) has increased
> virtually every year since prohibition ended.  There is no reason to
> believe the results would be any different when it comes to drugs if
> we legalize those now illegal drugs.
>

Are you suggesting that drug use and abuse has not increased at the same
rate as alcohol abuse?






From -6394321891594213173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 02:19:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
```

```
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
<3af0dcb3.3549117@news.socket.net> <9cr4i5$ale$0@pita.alt.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders Response
Lines: 20
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <jo9I6.2816$aR4.814387@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /Kkf2LRgJZm6ePXqgDMGixJZtVFiu2B13biauStNsZqt/
BG7dTGbXL5wyNvjo+xXV648ARQ1oZWA!
e6H6PkbUGFIquhkzPIybM9XHVr8u0GNTuI7FEP6Ru5XBuheF7VbsTZB0WFkQNClaW6x/VXyRc5Q4!
GM0vhkjvnw==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:19:11 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:19:11 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5375
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15597 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22253
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20851

"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberal.comz> wrote in message
news:9cr4i5$ale$0@pita.alt.net...
> Gee whiz! When you guys gonna learn to keep your responses short and to
the
> point? Don't write a darn book....nobody reads past the first two
paragraphs
> anyway.
> If you can't say it in a few sentences, nobody cares.
> Save your editorializing for the New York Times....

And how did you make these determinations? Did you take a poll of everybody
who reads these newsgroups in order to validate your statements, or did you
just make an assumption based on your own habits - in which case your
statements are only a personal opinion (often referred to as an editorial)
?   :>)

73 de W6DKN



From 8448884830427272l7
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 03:34:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 10:34:04 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 119
Message-ID: <3af13286.995646@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af06a9f.577404@news.mindspring.com>
<20010503003722.24362.00000505@nso-fj.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.77.1d
X-Server-Date: 3 May 2001 10:34:24 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20853

On 03 May 2001 04:37:22 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3af06a9f.577404@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill
>Sohl) writes:
>
>>On 02 May 2001 17:24:00 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>>>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>>>>From: 2w8ji@akorn.net  (Tom W8JI)
>>>>Statistically, there was virtually no difference in lowering CW from
>>>>13 WPM to 5 WPM. This is a repeat of earlier test reductions. The big
>>>>sales pitch we all heard was we were going to get an influx of new
>>>>Hams.
>>>>
>>>Yup. There has been a surge in the number of Techs over the past year, put
>>that
>>>is due in part to the FCC renewing Tech Pluses as  Techs after April 15,
>>2000.
>>>
>>>>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$
>>>
>>>Bingo.
>>
>>Could you provide some evidence of any such finacial
>>influence?
>
>Kenwood will make more money if they sell more radios to hams. More hams means
>more potential customers.
>
>I'm Yaecomwood's worst nightmare, by the way.
```

Your original comment implied financial INFLUENCE over the FCC.
In any case, are you suggesting that Kenwood should NOT have
filed any comments with the FCC regarding the NPRM?

>>>>Didn't work.
>>>>
>>>Too soon to tell, I'd say.
>>
>>Too soon to tell what?
>
>To soon to tell what the long-term effects are. It's been barely a year.

We'd have seen SOME degree of change if it is going to
happen at all.

>>>>We created a no-code tech because it was going to be "the answer",
>>>>yet many of them turned out to not have the faintest interest in the
>>>>hobby. Of those I know first hand, some never actually took the tests
>>>>they were supposed to take. Few of them are active or interested. I
>>>>know about 30 people who received no-codes...only two remain active.
>>>>One is a 13 WPM General, and the other is a wife who uses the radio to
>>>>talk to her husband.
>>>>
>>>My experience has been quite different. I know many post-1991 Techs who are
>>>very active.
>>>
>>>But if you look at the growth of the ARS in the USA in the decade before
>>>1991, and compare it to the decade since 1991, you will NOT see any
>>>lasting surge newcomers in the latter decade.
>>>
>>>>At MFJ, where the people work with radios, over a dozen people became
>>>>no-code hams. Of those, only one is active.
>>>
>>>Busman's holiday, probably.
>>>>
>>>>I would think if CW was a barrier, there would have been a steady
>>>>stream of no-coders entering the door. Not a big shot that tapered to
>>>>nothing. It would seem logical that a reduction from 13 WPM to 5 WPM
>>>>for HF operation would have a large impact on newcomers...yet it
>>>>didn't.
>>>
>>>True. Several reasons:
>>>
>>>1) Lotsa folks don't know about the changes
>>>
>>>2) Most folks aren't interested, even if you eliminated all tests and gave
>>>the gear away.
>>>
>>>3) Many folks think they don't have the time, money, or resources (like
>>>antenna space) to do ham radio.
>>>>
>>>>I would think the no-code class would be a hit, didn't happen.
>>>

>>>It was as much of a hit as its predecessor.
>>>>
>>>>Umm, no code has been available for a long time. It hasn't worked so
>>>>far. Should we wait longer?
>>>>
>>>>I'm not discrediting you, but there is nothing that has happened so
>>>>far that indicates what you predict is true. Suppose we drop CW, and
>>>>nothing happens except problems. Do you suppose we can put it back
>>>>later?
>>>
>>>Double bingo. No way. The FCC has said for over a decade that they think the
>>>code test only indicates code ability, nothing more or less. The ONLY reason
>>>the FCC kept 5 wpm was because of the international treaty requirement.
>>
>>Has there been any chaos because of nocode techs?
>
>How would you define "chaos", Bill? I hear things on the ham bands today that
>were unheard-of years ago. Is it because of license changes or because of other
>factors? Who knows?

And those things that we hear today preceeded the nocode
tech. Indeed, MOST of the real verbal potty mouth
and other less than courteous behavior is STILL on
the HF bands and has been for years BEFORE the
nocode tech.

>>Why would going to total NOcode result in problems?
>
>No CODE or no CODE TEST?

No Code TEST!

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5616137154307892825
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 03:39:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 10:39:01 GMT
References: <20010502132400.29921.00002175@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......

Message-ID: <20010503063901.01812.00000290@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20854

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$

>Bingo.

Is there also not an element that seeking more hams is generally healthy for
the Amateur Radio Service?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3209804739276306603
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 03:39:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 10:38:31 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3af1349f.1533009@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3af02bab.1624141@news.mindspring.com> <3AF0EAA6.4B69624B@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.77.1d
X-Server-Date: 3 May 2001 10:38:56 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20855

On Thu, 03 May 2001 01:21:00 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> The local enforcements could never be totally
>> onboard as to all the equipment that, while
>> legal in one service, can be illegally used
>> on other frequencies.
>
> Cops don't have to be "totally onboard," Bill. Remember that CB
>enforcement will be a joint effort involving both local law

```
>enforcement AND the FCC.

Dwight... the reason for HR-2346 was to give the locals
the ability to ACT without the FCC.

>HR-2346 isn't designed to be a catch all, in
>all circumstances, law.  Instead, it is only one part of a total
>enforcement effort.  In all likelihood, local cops will handle the
>most obvious, blatant, violations, leaving the FCC free to solve the
>more difficult ones - the violations several in this thread insist the
>locals cannot handle.

Sure they will.  Thankfully, however, no city or town
has yet to so impower their locals...perhaps having enough
sense as legislators to know better than to open that can
of worms.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 321391294887652094
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 03:42:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 10:41:39 GMT
References: <3af06a9f.577404@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503064139.01812.00000291@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20856

>>>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$

>>Bingo.

>Could you provide some evidence of any such finacial
>influence?

I didn't think there was any implication of financial influence by either
poster, just an implication that the only reason Kenwood sought change was that
they believed that Kenwood sought to gain financially.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI





From 5658445684270056105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 03:43:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 10:43:15 GMT
References: <20010503003722.24362.00000505@nso-fj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503064315.01812.00000292@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20857

>From: n2ey@aol.com  (N2EY)

>I'm Yaecomwood's worst nightmare, by the way.

In what way, and why?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 2046964776179948744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 03:46:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 10:46:00 GMT
References: <olYH6.7254$SZ5.586427@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503064600.01812.00000293@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20858
```

>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net

>> Over 200 Thousand new licensees were added to the US ARS
>> as a result of R&O 90-43 ten years ago.

>Those 200K licensees would have otherwise obtained 5WPM Tech or Novice
>licenses.

I don't believe that most of them would have. There was quite a surge of new licencees when the NCT was introduced.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>Good luck on this one now!
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 8465541938314214391
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 03:51:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 10:50:25 GMT
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20859

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>It's a "matter of degree". Passing the nocode test is not exactly an
>intellectual triumpf.

It is a lot more of an "intellectual triumph" than was my passing my Novice in 1963. I had a 4-page study guide to memorize; those who passed the Tech written had over 80 pages of printed questions in the pool alone, not counting the 120 pages of additional support material in study guides like Now You're Talking.

>No doubt related to the old "easy come, easy go" routine.

That didn't apply to the dirt-easy Novice of my day; why do you feel it applies to the harder Tech tests of today?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2431573064234072383
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 03:55:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 10:54:25 GMT
References: <20010502204117.10634.00000599@nso-cd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010503065425.01812.00000295@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20860

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>I wasn't trying to change the defintion of speculation or anecodtal, Len.
>>In what way do you feel I am fitting what pattern?

>By the blanket statement that "Techs will not renew" at renewal
>time.

But I said that Techs who never became active won't renew, Len. Lacking the

special ability that you are bantering about, I can't tell whether you are
misperceiving things that badly or are misrepresenting them. Can you explain?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
The urban myth was born rather before the first no-code-
>test Technician class could renew, reinforced by "tabulations"
>on the AH0A website.
>
>An interesting side-observation is that anecdotal reference is to
>be disregarded if made by a civilian or one of "lesser" class
>license. It is rather obvious that "anecdotal evidence" of
>something is only "factual" if one is of a "superior" class...
>amply demonstrated by others of such "superior" class license
>status.
>
>
>>I used the term "I think" to indicate that I was offering a personal
>>viewpoint. No need to belabor the obvious. I am sure that some of the Techs
>>who became licensed but never active will renew. Those that don't were lost
>>to ham radio as much as 10 years ago.
>
>So were the 18,391 in the whole groupting of Extras, Advanceds,
>Generals, Tech-Plusses, and Novices who did not renew in the
>two year period ending on the close of March 2000.
>
>The emphasis on Technicians not doing something (real or
>imagined) is to put some onus on those that did not have to
>take a code test for their license. The lack of emphasis on
>those that were code tested originally but did not renew is
>overlooked or virtually dismissed. The combination of the two
>is to lay blame on Technician class licensees for some "fault."
>Such "fault-finding" seems too much like putting them as "not
>real hams" or "unwanted" in US amateur radio.
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 6449119138494165176
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 03:57:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 10:56:29 GMT
References: <20010502204117.10634.00000599@nso-cd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010503065629.01812.00000296@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20861

>The emphasis on Technicians not doing something (real or
>imagined) is to put some onus on those that did not have to
>take a code test for their license. The lack of emphasis on
>those that were code tested originally but did not renew is
>overlooked or virtually dismissed. The combination of the two
>is to lay blame on Technician class licensees for some "fault."
>Such "fault-finding" seems too much like putting them as "not
>real hams" or "unwanted" in US amateur radio.

My emphasis was on whether they were active, Len, not whether they had passed a code test. Obviously you wish I had said something else so badly that you are trying to convince yourself that I did. For the life of me, I can't figure out why.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8682127666306638432
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 04:02:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 11:02:21 GMT
References: <3af0ad8b$0$88191$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL employee of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010503070221.01812.00000297@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20862

>From: "Larry Klose" lklose@net-link.net

>What I would really like is a 200mhz plus scope. You can show the kids
>your radios, but if can show them an image of the actual RF signal you
>can open their eyes to a new world of underrstanding.

I am bringing a mess of old Tektronix and HP scopes to Hosstraders this weekend. My price on the mainframes is $10.00 each or two for $10.00. While they don't go to 200 MHz, there are 30, 50 or 85 MHz models in there and these would be quite adequate to show what you want. That, and one of the plug-ins for $10.00 or two for $10.00 will solve your problem. I will cut you a deal, if you like, but you gotta' pick up the scope, or let me bring it to Dayton.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3640891738713805O9
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 04:26:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 11:25:36 GMT
References: <3AF05505.71C4C65D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503072536.27024.00000652@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20863
```

In article <3AF05505.71C4C65D@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>K0HB wrote:
>>
>> Len Over 21 says:
>>
>> > Over 200 Thousand new licensees were added to the US ARS
>> > as a result of R&O 90-43 ten years ago.
>>
>> Those 200K licensees would have otherwise obtained 5WPM Tech
>> or Novice licenses.
>
>Obviously, not all of them. I would be inclined to think maybe 50%.
>--

There's no way to know what would have happened. But note this: The total number of US hams grew from 350,000 in 1979 to over 600,000 by 1990. That's not 250,000 new hams - it's GROWTH of 250,000, back when ALL hams had to pass at least 5 wpm code. The total number of new hams in that period is even greater because of attrition during most of that time. (Because of the switch to 10 year licenses in 1984, no US ham licenses expired from 1989 to 1994).

Note also that the excellent QRP EME work reported in another thread was done by a couple of old-time 13/20 wpm code-tested upgraded hams.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1678047981623207477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fb665e0ea90a52ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 04:26:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 165
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 11:25:34 GMT
References: <20010429223436.24866.00000487@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring -- did it make a difference
Message-ID: <20010503072534.27024.00000650@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20864

In article <20010429223436.24866.00000487@nso-cu.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010429003757.12553.00000861@nso-ck.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes another episode in another Endless Story:

Is there a limit on a thread's length?
>
(lenover21/lenof21/averyfine/nocwtest wrote:)
>
>>>That was not a "faulty method." The "59" number value was the difference
>>>between the total number of Technician license class increase in a ten-
>>>month period and the number of new Technician licenses in the same
>>>period.
>>
>>Which is a faulty method because it assumes, among other things, that ALL of
>>the new Tech licenses issued in that ten month period stayed Technicians.
>>Because you didn't have upgrade data available, you ASSUMED that the upgrade
>
>>number was ZERO for that ten-month period. THAT is a FAULTY METHOD, Len.
>
>I "assumed" nothing.

Precisely. You assumed zero upgrades. Zero = nothing.

>I took the difference between the total
>number of Technician class licensees' increase and the number
>of NEW Technician class licenses granted came out to be 59.

Which you labeled as the number of Tech Pluses reclassified as Techs.

>That was what I said.

That was not all you said. Your method was faulty becaue you did not allow for the more than 7000 reclassifactions from Tech Plus, nor for any possible upgrades to other license classes.

>Simple artithmetic and a functioning
>calculator aren't "faulty."

It's your METHOD that's faulty, Len.
>
>What I see is just a lot of wordage (and considerable propaganda
>and/or psywar tactics in newsgroups) to somehow "prove" that
>that, indirectly, morse-code-tested radio amateurs are flocking to
>HF as originally claimed. :-)

As originally claimed by whom?

What I see is just a lot of wordage and denial of simple facts (plus considerable propaganda and/or psywar tactics in newsgroups) to somehow "prove" that, none of the new, non-morse-code-tested radio amateurs are upgrading to have HF/MF privileges.
>
>>KE3Z posted information derived from the FCC database showing
>
>Directly from the FCC database?!?

Why yes. Did you miss the post? It's right in this thread. Check google.

>That database is about 55 MB
>in size.

So? That's very big, nowadays. Not everybody is limited to dialup service. Ever hear of DSL?

> What was the search program?

I dunno. Ask KE3Z. Why do you doubt it?

> Does it adequately
>handle the differences between the old FCC database and the new
>ULS database?
>
Doesn't have to. Conversion to ULS was long before restructuring became effective. All of the Technician Pluses who were reclassified as Techs since April 15, 2000 would be in the new database.

>Seems to me it is easier to use Joe Speroni's tabulations.

"Easier" because, when you apply your faulty methods, it APPEARS to support your predetermined conclusion.

What was the search program? Does it adequately handle the differences between the old FCC database and the new ULS database?

>>> I still hold to that observation regardless
>>>of allegations of "faulty methods," "errors," or "mistakes" levelled by
>>>long-timers who seem to wish things were otherwise.
>>
>>No. You made other statements in response to MY post which clearly contained
>>faulty methods, errors and mistakes. You refuse, for some reason, to examine
>>your mistakes, or admit to them.
>
>God forbid I should ever respond to YOUR postings? :-)

You can respond to whatever you want, Len. Just don't expect everyone to meekly accept your faulty methods, errors of fact, and lapses of logic without comment.
>
>I explained my method of arriving at an observation, gave the
>numbers.

Your method is faulty, based on non-facts and illogical reasoning.

> Nobody disagreed with the numbers, therefore the
>arithmetic seems to have met with approval.

I disagree with the arithmetic. You counted one month twice.
>
>I used a post-restructuring period (past April 15, 2000) for the
>reasons given.

So?

> Miccolis used PRE-restructuring numbers.

No.

I used a time period that began two weeks before April 15, 2000. You used a period that started six weeks after April 15, 2000.

>Is
>pre-restructuring data a "correct" method for post-restructuring
>observation?.

Two weeks out of a year. You ignored six weeks out of ten months. Which is more accurate?

"Restructuring" began the minute the FCC announced the NPRM, by the way.
>

>The only significant change in US amateur radio licensing in
>the POST-restructuring period to me is a number of already-
>licensed amateurs got "higher" class licenses.

Which is all that was claimed in the first place.

>The NEW,
>never-had-a-ham-license-before, not-code-tested Technician
>class licensees are still on the increase as they were before
>April 15, 2000.

True - for several reasons:

1) Easiest to get
2) None of them expired before Feb 14, 2001
3) Thousands of Tech Pluses reclassified as Techs.

>At the end of May at least one out of three
>US amateur radio licensees will be a Technician class (they
>are just shy of that at the end of March 2001).

Are they ALL new hams? Are they ALL non-code-tested?
>
>>Shall I post them AGAIN?
>
>Knock yourself out... :-)
>
It takes very little effort on my part, Len.

N2EY

```
From 3205659823665927652
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 04:54:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.eurekanet.com!reader0-
klmzmi.news.eurekanet.com!not-for-mail
From: "scooter" <scooter9@richnet.net>
Newsgroups:
```

rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 07:53:34 -0400
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Lines: 158
Message-ID: <3af14658$0$88188$6d5eecc5@news.eurekanet.com>
Organization: ComNet USA LLC - Athens, OH
NNTP-Posting-Host: e9cad341.news.eurekanet.com
X-Trace: DXC=PGiaIHWI:P<E4XNZ]a2Yg<LoK8f=:?J80m__ZXhggn92SRK:M_2MHN;<W7J]QojBS8ZnC?QY2Em\4E2LZe\`b:h6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@eurekanet.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5378 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15603 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22262 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20865

Excellent! I agree totally.

Chris Van Hesteren
KB8ZHW
AEC Ashland County ARES

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net...

> Bob,
>
> Yours is the kind of misunderstanding that, try as people might, just can't seem to be overcome. I know FIRSTHAND that there are agencies that are assigned frequencies above and below the 11 meter CB band. Tell me, what is "unessential" about forest rangers. What is "unessential" about military comms? The difference is the type and duration of commercial and military comms as opposed to civilians who are there just to talk, often as much as possible, to as many people as possible. Business or military comms are short and to the point.
>
> I know the regulars get tired of reading this example, but it is a perfect example of why NOT to "freeband". Some years ago, I was acting as communications officer for Civil Air Patrol on a live search for a light plane that disappeared during a storm. We planned to use a frequency near 11 meters which is a USAF frequency assigned to CAP. Like many rescue agencies, both civilian and military, we use our assigned frequencies so as to separate various kinds of traffic, take advantage of atmospherics (time of day), and keep from "interfering" with ourselves--air to ground on one freq., mobile to base on another, and yet another for "incidental comms" such as flight line, safety, or even sending out for food for the searchers. Another reason for choosing the low power 26 MHZ band was to prevent news hounds, ambulance chasers, and even possibly the family of the pilot from hearing adverse news via

> scanner. *Most* scanners don't deal too well with SSB. We quickly discovered that there was a "freebander" on that frequency calling, "BREAK, BREAK, skipland", etc with a linear that made it difficult or impossible to use this frequency forcing a lot of incidental traffic onto VHF. I was LIVID! There was NO excuse for this person operating on that frequency whatsoever. He was interfering with an active USAF authorized mission, a real emergency where a life was hanging in the balance. In the following week after the mission was suspended, I began to DF this pirate, determined to put a stop to his outlaw operations. One evening, I happened to be in a nearby town, and absently switched on one of my radios in the car not expecting to hear anything. The guy was pegging my meter and almost busted my speaker. On my way out of town, I made a screeching U-turn and went back into town, stopping at the town PD to ask if they recognized that voice. Yup, they knew the fella and where he lived. I drove to his house and confirmed the location. Outlaw was reported to FCC field office, and was busted for out-of-band operation AND interfering with emergency communications--a serious Federal offense. Betcha he got tired of eating beans from a .10 can!
>
> There IS no excuse for operating on unauthorized frequencies. You might *think* these freqs are unoccupied and that the agencies thereto assigned are "non-essential". That is not for individuals to decide. Just because *you* don't hear anyone doesn't mean they aren't there and that there is no traffic being passed that you could hinder.
>
> Finally, let's say I, or you, rent/lease a piece of property with a nice lake on it. Intending to do a little fishing, you stock that lake with a variety of game fish--bass, crappy, catfish, perch, or whatever. You are busy and don't get out to your lake real often, but one day you decide it would be a good day to go fishing; remember, it's "your" lake by virtue of your lease to use as you see fit within the guidelines of your agreement with the owner. When you arrive, you discover a large group of people on your property, at YOUR lake, catching the fish YOU stocked--in spite of the fact there are No Trespassing signs all over-even right where the trespassers are fishing. I'd be angry and I'd bring charges against each and every one that trespassed on my land. It doesn't make any difference if I don't come to the lake every day, it is STILL property assigned to me by virtue of rent or lease and nobody has any business on it without permission.
>
> "Freebanders" don't have "permission" (license) to operate on frequencies outside the 11 meter band. They are trespassing just as surely as those people I cited in the above paragraph. And when they DO trespass, it pisses off those who are legitimately assigned said frequencies for their exclusive use and it don't make ANY difference if they "visit" (use) their "property" (frequency) once a minute or once a year--the "freebanders" are trespassing just as surely as if they just walked into your/my house uninvited. There is no respect due, and none forthcoming for trespassers, "freebanders", or outlaw radio ops.
>
> Jerry
> K4KWH
>

>
> Bob wrote:
> >
> > Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
> >
> > And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted
to speed,
> > you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey
man, you do
> > EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver
and do your
> > speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper
steps to
> > becoming a race car driver:
> >
> > 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man. Our
> > forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you
learn.
> > 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing
the answers
> > to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats,
planes, etc.
> > 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be
chastised by
> > old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the
unicycle and
> > can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps
bad people
> > from becoming race car drivers.
> > 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't
fit race
> > car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire
combination.
> >
> > Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to
take those 15
> > turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well,
ham radio IS
> > NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit
everybody. Maybe
> > its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my
equipment and
> > make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for
hams. I can
> > operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the
minimum amount
> > of power necessary for a contact.
> >
> > Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it
immoral? A
> > crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is
a far more
> > worse crime.

> >
> > BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally
interfering with any
> > service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
essential)
> > services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
27.405 -
> > 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
> >
> > Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's
illegal,
> > yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't
black and
> > white.
> >
> > Bob.
>
> > > >

From -5003300313374981586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 05:17:58 PST
Message-ID: <3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 07:16:42 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.65
X-Trace: corp 988891818 64.240.47.65 (3 May 2001 07:10:18 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5379
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15604 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22263
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20866

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> A ham by definition does not break the law ... a freebander does

You mean those ARRL newsletter reports of hams breaking the law
and being fined are just a hoax?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 5789363612381034531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 05:21:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF14CDC.EB79E4EF@crosslink.net>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 08:19:40 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF0315B.C07FF011@IEEE.org> <3af03218.3268505@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF045EE.52C8F5@IEEE.org> <3af06c2a.972163@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.100
```

```
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 988892487 7082 207.199.164.100
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20867

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> The hearsay of a neighbor with TVI is not sufficient to
> pinpoint any source.
>
When 1st licensed I lived cheek-to-jowl in a townhouse
development with many LEOs. One day one of them began berating
me for jamming his TV during "the big game". Said he recognized
my voice even tho I could and did only send Morse and hadn't
even been home at the time. All the while his kid kept tugging
his sleeve and importuning "Daddy, daddy". Finally he turned on
her and shouted "WHAT!". "Don't you remember daddy" the dear
child said, "it was mama's hair dryer ..."

73
KA3DWW



From 4208376697752187498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 1109af,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid1109af,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 05:41:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc1.mi.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: nunya@bizness.com (Nunya Bizness)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.
swap
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <3af1500a.1236708@news.rdc1.mi.home.com>
References: <j.b@b_good.com> <td3tfj6ap8cedc@news.supernews.com>
<5SnA6.232817$t67.5749160@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
<3ad2374f$0$12819$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <9au2np$rg7$0@208.206.142.93>
<td9gc6ndekrbcf@news.supernews.com> <9b2pmf$3kt$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<3ad5b6b4.197947665@news2.dvol.com> <9b4hpj$9mj$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
<3ad61bae$0$14443$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 24
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 12:41:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.22.81.191
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.mi.home.com 988893703 24.22.81.191 (Thu, 03 May 2001 05:41:43
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 05:41:43 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15608
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20868 rec.radio.amateur.space:2596 rec.radio.swap:30032

On Thu, 12 Apr 2001 17:18:03 -0400, "Larry Klose"
<lklose@net-link.net> wrote:

>Big Orange Dog
>
>Larry Kc8EPO
>
>"W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:9b4hpj$9mj$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
>> What the heck is a 'BOD' ??
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>

Is the Big Orange Dog related, in any way whatsoever, to Clifford, the
Big Red Dog? And, if so, does Clifford have any influence with League
policies and incentives?

(Ligthen up, folks! You are eating regularly, and have enough money to
play with computers and radios!)

Gonzo The Mildly Amusing
WD4LCM - Tech PLUS+ and proud!
(c'mon...I can't even TYPE at 13 WPM!!!)


From -2882573393561982439
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 05:43:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

```
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 12:43:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988893827 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 03 May 2001 05:43:47
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 05:43:47 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5380
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15609 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22265
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20869

What I mean is that the definition of a ham does is not indicative of
breaking the law ... albeit some do.  A freebander by definition is breaking
the law when they operate.

--
Rob St.Denis

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > A ham by definition does not break the law ... a freebander does
>
> You mean those ARRL newsletter reports of hams breaking the law
> and being fined are just a hoax?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----




From 1643715892742886177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
```

X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 05:59:34 PST
Message-ID: <3AF1569A.CA7116C@clearsail.net>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 08:01:14 -0500
From: ? & The Mysterians <nospam@clearsail.net>
Organization: Disorganized
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: rsvp-208-187-67-77.ac01.dlls.eli.net
X-Trace: newsa.ev1.net 988894720 rsvp-208-187-67-77.ac01.dlls.eli.net (3 May 2001 07:58:40 -0500)
Lines: 17
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!newsa.ev1.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5381
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15611 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22270
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20870

SOME hams may break the law, ALL freebanders break the law. Both need a spanking.

W6RCecilA wrote:

> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > A ham by definition does not break the law ... a freebander does
>
> You mean those ARRL newsletter reports of hams breaking the law
> and being fined are just a hoax?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8871021964335566773
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50

X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 06:00:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF14857.4AF91BFB@berkshire.net>
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@berkshire.net>
X-Sender: "David Robbins" <@mail.berkshire.net> (Unverified)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en]C-gatewaynet (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 08:57:01 EDT
Organization: WebUseNet Corp http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 13:00:23 +0100
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5382
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15612 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22271
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20871

why do those who break the law always assume that everyone else does also and
use that to justify what they do? this argument goes right around in a circle
and shows how hypocritical these freebanders are... if you chastise someone by
assuming that he is speeding and then tell him to go become a race car driver to
do it legally, why don't you get down to work and learn how to be a legal ham so
you can speed on the legal ham bands properly instead of slinking around
illegally.

Bob wrote:
>
> Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
>
> And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted to
speed,
> you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey man, you do
> EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver and do
your
> speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper steps to

> becoming a race car driver:

--
David Robbins K1TTT
e-mail: mailto://k1ttt@berkshire.net
web: http://www.berkshire.net/~robbins/k1ttt.html or http://www.k1ttt.net
AR-Cluster node: 145.69MHz or telnet://k1ttt.net

From -2294492468435801516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 06:01:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 08:59:22 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD (Was Steven Spielberg quits....)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 44
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.100
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 988894870 7082 207.199.164.100
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15613
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20872

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >

> > [snip] Legalized drugs would result in fewer
> > problems than we have now. We apparently
> > didn't learn a thing from prohibition.
>
>   Actually, we learned a lot after prohibition ended. Alcohol use has
> increased virtually every year since prohibition ended. Alcohol abuse
> has increased virtually every year since prohibition ended. ....

You're both right, but Dwight is missing a point. There are many people with alchohol problems but those problems primarily affect themselves and the ones who love them. The same would be true of drug problems if drugs were legalized. I've never heard of a wino mugging people to buy a $1.69 bottle of wine but addicts commonly rob and kill us to support $500/day habits that'd be $5/day habits if drugs were legal. Truth is drug laws have done little to reduce the supply, they have simply driven prices so high that innocent people are being robbed and worse.

Besides that, the WOD has given each and every one of us a "drug problem" in the form of overzealous laws and enforcement. For example a local man was on his way to buy a new motorcycle when police stopped him for looking like a druggie (profiles). They asked if he had any drugs or money. No and yes, he didn't use drugs but had $5000 to pay for the bike. Police promptly confiscated both the $5000 and the bike he was riding, leaving him to walk home! No arrest, no due process, just suspicion! He got a lawyer and months later got his bike and $2500 back. The other $2500? Well, that'd have been the cops share if he hadn't posted the bond and paperwork needed to get his stuff back and they'd already spent "their" $2500! He'd have to wait til they confiscated somebody else's $$$ to get his back. He was already out lawyer and bond fees even tho no drugs were found and he hadn't been arrested let alone charged!!! Now thats another drug problem that potentially affects us all.

What if somebody started a rumor among police that drug dealers were using ham radios to conduct drug deals? Remember, all it takes is suspicion .... :o(

73 de KA3DWW

From -8162230963999593989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 06:08:28 PST

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 40
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:08:09 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988895167 216.234.103.49 (Thu, 03 May 2001 08:06:07 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 08:06:07 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5384 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15615 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22274 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20873

So when a freebander is transmitting with legal power levels on the legal 40 they're still breaking the law?

I'm a CB'er (not for long. I take my written tests early this month), and I, unfortunately, get sneered at for not having my ticket, simply because there are those who DO choose to violate FCC rules.

I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see a sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this could "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies, much in the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.

Just my two cents, here... Of course, your mileage may vary.

-SSB

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> What I mean is that the definition of a ham does is not indicative of breaking the law ... albeit some do. A freebander by definition is breaking the law when they operate.
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>

```
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org...
> > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > > A ham by definition does not break the law ... a freebander does
> >
> > You mean those ARRL newsletter reports of hams breaking the law
> > and being fined are just a hoax?
> > --
> > http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 7601547984149092830
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 06:19:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 13:19:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988895991 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 03 May 2001 06:19:51
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 06:19:51 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5385
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15616 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22275
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20874

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not...
> So when a freebander is transmitting with legal power levels on the
> legal 40 they're still breaking the law?

No when you transmit of the 40 channels you are authorised to use you are a
cb'er. As soon as you turn up the power passed the 4/12 or go outside those
channels you are breaking the law

> I'm a CB'er (not for long. I take my written tests early this month),
> and I, unfortunately, get sneered at for not having my ticket, simply
> because there are those who DO choose to violate FCC rules.

I have no problem with cb'ers ... I was one when I was a kid too ... I do
however have problems with people taking what I had to work for.

> I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see a
> sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
> limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this could
> "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies, much in
> the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.

Why? I regularily work world wide on 10m with as little as 10watts on ssb
(min required for the autotuner to work) and that is from the car.

Rob

From -6517874320544454748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,24120ca9c49b31e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 06:21:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 13:21:29 GMT
References: <20010502220557.26676.00001848@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: A Respectful Suggestion
Message-ID: <20010503092129.13321.00002456@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20875

>Subject: Re: A Respectful Suggestion
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/2/01 9:05 PM Central Daylight Time

>Disengage from your childish, name-calling, personal squabble with
>Len Anderson, and you might just get a little "respect" in this
>newsgroup.

Larry,

This post had absolutely NOTHING to do with ANY respect for me. It had EVERYTHING to do with honoring a woman and a child who were tragically killed and who could have not given a flip over ITU regulations, Morse Code, or the goings on in RRAP.

Was there something about the way I worded that that escaped your superior education? I re-read the item several times before I posted it the first time to make sure there was nothing "self-serving" in it and I have re-read it several times now.

I assume that in that rather impressive sounding degree you recently finished, that there was some instruction in the use of the English language?

Perhaps YOU made THIS post in order to do a little hand washing of your own over some of YOUR past conduct?

I find it somewhat humorous that someone who had a four month long running battle with a woman over a callsign (including some very ugly comments over appearence, etc...) has NO business trying to pass any judgement over any other person about what is "childish".

Now, Dr. Pot, if you are done calling Pa Kettle black, maybe you can find something constructive to do with all that education you have recently completed?

Steve

From -2472694864564119130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 06:26:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 03 May 2001 13:26:09 GMT
References: <20010502204118.10634.00000600@nso-cd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Message-ID: <20010503092609.13321.00002457@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20876

>Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/2/01 7:41 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010502204118.10634.00000600@nso-cd.aol.com>

Perhaps you guys missed this?

Or perhaps you don't care?

Subject: A Respectful Suggestion
Message-id: <20010501131119.26864.00003097@ng-fi1.aol.com>

Not wishing to appear to appear to be suggesting any form of censorship, may I respectfully suggest that the present on-going threads that refer to the recently killed missionary be cut-and-pasted to a new thread title?

None of the on-going threads have any pertinence to her or her tragic death or the death of her infant daughter, and I think it would be an act of respect to stop posting to threads that would seem to be discussing THEM...NOT the code/FCC/ITU debates that it has

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -8052793724144393863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 06:31:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 27
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988896574 216.234.103.49 (Thu, 03 May 2001 08:29:34 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 08:29:34 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5386
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15617 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22278
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20877

Comments below:

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:

<snip>

>
> Why? I regularily work world wide on 10m with as little as 10watts on ssb
> (min required for the autotuner to work) and that is from the car.
>
> Rob

Because most HAM's know how to run their radios, and MOST CB'ers dont. A majority of CB'ers think that turning the modulation up past 100%, using negative peak modulation compression, and other "tricks" makes them louder. In reality, it makes for a noisy band. I know better... My GrantXL, with the 2 watt carrier, at 99% modulation, sounds better than most of the "export" radios in the trucks out there, and "walks" over them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two 4' Wilson Silver Load antennae, over and under), tuned properly, so I'm probably radiating more than they are, but hey, it works.

At any rate, a band for those who do know how to run a radio would be nice... It's a pipe dream... one I won't have to worry about later this month, hopefully, but still.. it would be nice.

-SSB

From -4473038037000015435
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 06:33:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 13:33:22 GMT
References: <3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <20010503093322.13321.00002458@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20878

>Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
>From: Sideband sideband@spam.me.not
>Date: 5/3/01 8:08 AM Central Daylight Time

>So when a freebander is transmitting with legal power levels on the
>legal 40 they're still breaking the law?

Using a type accepted radio? No, it's not "freebanding". Then they are legal. Using an Amateur transmitter or a "opened" 11 meter "export" radio? Yes...it's illegal.

>However, I would like to see a
>sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
>limit, for CB'ers to DX on...

Then submit a thoughtfully worded petition to the FCC to get them to open an NPRM. However the FCC has had SEVERAL very well prepared petitions for the very same thing, and always with the same result: That part of the radio spectrum is INTERNATIONALLY allocated for other purposes. Hobby radio is NOT one of them. But give it a try...

>The frequencies allocated for this could
>"go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies, much in
>the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.

And of course, since regulations will require that no one operate on THOSE frequencies, just like they do for those RC channels now, no one will transmit there. Yeah...right!

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From -5013579675531639844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 06:41:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 13:40:53 GMT
References: <20010502204120.10634.00000602@nso-cd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503094053.13321.00002459@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20879
```

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/2/01 7:41 PM Central Daylight Time

>My comments may have been too strong for some. One
>anonymous participant in here insists on a personal
>confrontation to "settle the matter." Has amateur radio
>evolved to some so as to condone them pushing civilians
>around? It would seem so. That is a progression to
>primitive barbarism involving overstuffed egos instead of
>radio.

I love it, Lennie. You keep waving the red cape at the bull and daring it to charge, then cry foul when he does.

Why am I not surprised...?!?!

```
From -1937016995717215334
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 06:49:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AF16178.D104B374@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 08:47:36 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
```

```
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD (Was Steven Spielberg quits....)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.65
X-Trace: corp 988897273 64.240.47.65 (3 May 2001 08:41:13 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15618
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20880

Old Biker wrote:
> ... a local man was on his way to buy a new motorcycle when
> police stopped him for looking like a druggie (profiles). They
> asked if he had any drugs or money. No and yes, he didn't use
> drugs but had $5000 to pay for the bike. Police promptly
> confiscated both the $5000 and the bike he was riding, leaving
> him to walk home! No arrest, no due process, just suspicion! He
> got a lawyer and months later got his bike and $2500 back. The
> other $2500? Well, that'd have been the cops share if he hadn't
> posted the bond and paperwork needed to get his stuff back and
> they'd already spent "their" $2500! He'd have to wait til they
> confiscated somebody else's $$$ to get his back. He was already
> out lawyer and bond fees even tho no drugs were found and he
> hadn't been arrested let alone charged!!! Now thats another drug
```

> problem that potentially affects us all.

$2500 is a cheap price to pay for keeping our children safe.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7693135820645054777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 06:49:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <lldI6.8274$SZ5.678754@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:49:05 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 13:49:05 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5387
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15619 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22282
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20881

Sideband says:

>At any rate, a band for those who do know how to run a radio would be
>nice...

There are several bands like that. They're called "Amateur Radio" bands.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From -2806530845042175998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 06:55:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF163EE.796F9016@coqui.net>
From: Bill Meacham <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net>
Reply-To: exray@coqui.net
Organization: http://www.sparkbench.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en,bg
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:53:35 EDT
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:58:06 -0400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5388
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15620 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22284
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20882

Sideband wrote:
>

>
> I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see a
> sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
> limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this could
> "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies, much in
> the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.
>
> Just my two cents, here... Of course, your mileage may vary.

There already is one. 10 meters. You just have to get a
license. Whats the point in creating another special band for
people who don't want to get a license? You already have channels
1-40 and it got messed up. So now you've got FRS...It doesn't
make sense to just creating bands for people to use in a manner
inconsistent with the intent. Any unlicensed band is going to be
bedlam. Do you think if the FCC legislated a "freebander band"
from 27.455 to 27.800 that it would be any different? Would the
guys turn off the lean-yars and start using legitimate callsigns?

Bill Meacham
Vieques, PR

From -8241493070374169335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 07:29:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!w9wi
From: w9wi@linux1.localdomain (Doug Smith)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra

dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not>
Reply-To: me
Message-ID: <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 14
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 14:29:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.118.51
X-Trace: news1.atl 988900180 209.214.118.51 (Thu, 03 May 2001 10:29:40 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 10:29:40 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5389
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15621 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22288
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20883

On Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400, Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote:
>them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two 4' Wilson

Uh, 8 feet is not 5/8 wave on 11 meters. A 102" (8-1/2') whip is 1/4 wave,
so a 5/8 wave would be a bit more than 17 feet long.

(you should try a 102" whip, I think you're likely to find it more effective
than the twin four-footers. Because you won't have loading-coil losses.
Mine worked *fantastically* well on 10 meters with a converted SSB CB.)
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

From -7449952315160663205
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 07:39:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!
nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF15F1B.CE0AE130@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-oBmpykONMXP1nTj14RSg9dVx/cEXV1phwxoZlHDFzl2pzsnkkKaNSYwAlY=
Lines: 19
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:39:07 CDT
X-Trace: sv3-
j1JVIRAabMDsETiJPtuOSV8K57hJprCohC8GJJ88nTuSOtVahfghQ3Zl+hNY9dBmDylyEMLt5csiQWZ!
fr/nGfVkWhhCRbNqgBIBCS2VQIcfPEWQLT9f+hWnCYTHekoRHZxuezeG
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 14:39:08 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5390
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15622 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22291
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20884

Sideband wrote:
>
> So when a freebander is transmitting with legal power levels on the
> legal 40 they're still breaking the law?

That isn't freebanding, that is operating on the cb channels legally.

>
> I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see a
> sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
> limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this could
> "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies, much in
> the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.
>

Why should there be a need for that? If anyone wants to operate SSB at
50 watts or more and work DX legally, get a ham license.

From 7933482453506296548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 07:40:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!
news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF15F7F.D36B7314@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-nU9h9lzS/xavBXaVUU0GFXOqgFhqLXqHqDg2OdeLyas+c8cioZ4eiVnV5Q=
Lines: 74
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:40:38 CDT
X-Trace: sv3-jsWEu84uTCt3yu3LVeMTmVw6hdXoQ7QOhhCXAhq8RWlLBWm/
7YyL1nJNgAeKGjpyjNLjgL/z7wkUeoZ!
7J4BVzuHhr7EIoZ7a7z0PzTHmf26dlzVCW+a58tz3eDLYdqUCtjzk1iU
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 14:40:38 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5391
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15623 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22292
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20885

Try and argue the point all you want, but there will never be any respect for illegal freebanding except maybe from other illegal freebanders. If you want respect do something that deserves respect.

Bob wrote:
>
> Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
>
> And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted to speed,
> you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey man, you do
> EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver and do your

> speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper steps to
> becoming a race car driver:
>
> 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man. Our
> forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you learn.
> 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing the
answers
> to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats, planes, etc.
> 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be chastised
by
> old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the unicycle
and
> can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps bad people
> from becoming race car drivers.
> 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't fit race
> car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire combination.
>
> Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to take those
15
> turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well, ham radio
IS
> NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit everybody.
Maybe
> its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my equipment and
> make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for hams. I can
> operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the minimum
amount
> of power necessary for a contact.
>
> Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it immoral?
A
> crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is a far
more
> worse crime.
>
> BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally interfering with
any
> service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non essential)
> services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on 27.405 -
> 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
>
> Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's illegal,
> yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't black and
> white.
>
> Bob.
>
> Scott Townley wrote:
>
> > But you *do* have all the respect you deserve...the same respect we
> > all grant to FELONS, which is what you are, buddy...
> >
> > On 30 Apr 2001 21:04:39 GMT, "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>

```
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >I am posting here because of some of the nasty things I have read in this
> > >group and in QRZ discussions regarding freebanders. We who use the
frequencies
> > >from 27.405 to 28.000 have more in common with hams than you might think.
> > >We are good operators and have knowledge of propagation and skip conditions.
> > >We use Yasus, Kenwoods and Icomm radios just like you do, so we know
technical
> > >issues as well or better. However, the attitude of some hams toward us is
> > >rude and condescending.  We are treated like 2nd class citizens.  This should
> > >not be. We have the same rights under the constitution as legal hams do.
> > >For those that do not wish to be under Uncle Sam's thumb, freebanding is
> > >a viable alternative to getting a ham radio license. What I am saying is
> > >that maybe the next time you meet a freebander it might be wise to talk with
> > >him before you condemn him.
> > >
> > >I am QRT and standing by. (No flames answered. Please reply via email)
> > >
> > >Unit 2711, Florida
> > >


From -1231692028760013468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7f2b3182f9d4bb94
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 07:48:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <20010502180701.03651.00002029@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: In Case You Forgot The Question, Hansel......
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <mceI6.8383$SZ5.687781@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 10:47:46 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 14:47:46 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20886

Steve Robeson LPN axed:


> it's called "Professional Courtesy".
>
> Was ANY of the information that I stated incorrect?
>
```

Yes. The statment "it's called Professional Courtesy" is flatly incorrect.

Professional Courtesy is a specific term for a free or reduced price service performed by a professional person (ie., Medical Doctor, Attorney, etc.) for another person in the same profession for which he would otherwise charge a fee to the general public. For example, a dentist might treat the spouse or children of other dentists for free or at a reduced rate as a professional courtesy.

By definition, an "amateur" cannot extend a "professional courtesy".

Good luck on this one now!

Hans

```
From -6478128011395980048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 07:48:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!nntp.giganews.com!
nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF16151.24AD1ECF@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-kNCCTSFFOAAh8Ebuo4KshhxRSj42O1E3FkIDT6KQoABjuU4Bc08jPeh+fI=
Lines: 67
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:48:28 CDT
X-Trace: sv3-
```

JCvYUFXmCakIIn2MzT3qcfwIux1ycuIoXpJcpzvXD41GxgD6IKLyJTq7GFODTEqKZxCSEDNrLErHE5g!
IN4diQGi3OiF7+FGWsOAFjdPw+N6ibI8+Xqro2EeDVfEHrPGTlHgQjLq
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 14:48:28 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5392
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15624 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22294
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20887

These "freeband" morons think that if they listen to a frequency and
they don't hear anyone, it is OK for them to use it. That's why so many
are now moving up to 10 meters. It simply amazes me how anyone can think
that just because they don't hear any communications on a frequency it
must be OK for them to transmit on it. Typical of the cb mentality.

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> Bob,
>
> Yours is the kind of misunderstanding that, try as people might, just
> can't seem to be overcome. I know FIRSTHAND that there are agencies
> that are assigned frequencies above and below the 11 meter CB band.
> Tell me, what is "unessential" about forest rangers. What is "unessen-
> tial" about military comms? The difference is the type and duration of
> commercial and military comms as opposed to civilians who are there just
> to talk, often as much as possible, to as many people as possible. Busi-
> ness or military comms are short and to the point.

> Jerry
> K4KWH
>
> Bob wrote:
> >
> > Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
> >
> > And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted to speed,
> > you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey man, you do
> > EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver and do your
> > speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper steps to
> > becoming a race car driver:
> >
> > 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man. Our
> > forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you learn.
> > 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing the answers
> > to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats, planes, etc.
> > 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be chastised by

> > old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the unicycle
and
> > can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps bad
people
> > from becoming race car drivers.
> > 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't fit
race
> > car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire combination.
> >
> > Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to take
those 15
> > turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well, ham
radio IS
> > NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit everybody.
Maybe
> > its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my equipment
and
> > make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for hams. I
can
> > operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the minimum
amount
> > of power necessary for a contact.
> >
> > Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it
immoral? A
> > crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is a far
more
> > worse crime.
> >
> > BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally interfering with
any
> > service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non essential)
> > services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on 27.405 -
> > 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
> >
> > Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's
illegal,
> > yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't black
and
> > white.
> >
> > Bob.
>
> > > >

From -6146733835012951268
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218

X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 07:58:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 10:58:16 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988901774 216.234.103.49 (Thu, 03 May 2001 09:56:14
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:56:14 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5393
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15625 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22295
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20888

Doug:

Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....

Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
top loaded coil antenna. Two of them make 1 1/4 wave. Maybe I should
have said 1 1/4 wave dipole?

-SSB

Doug Smith wrote:
>
> On Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400, Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote:
> >them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two 4' Wilson
>
> Uh, 8 feet is not 5/8 wave on 11 meters. A 102" (8-1/2') whip is 1/4 wave,
> so a 5/8 wave would be a bit more than 17 feet long.
>
> (you should try a 102" whip, I think you're likely to find it more effective
> than the twin four-footers. Because you won't have loading-coil losses.

> Mine worked *fantastically* well on 10 meters with a converted SSB CB.)
> --
> Doug Smith W9WI
> Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> http://www.w9wi.com

From 2686026857188160055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 08:01:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.flash.net!newscon02.news.prodigy.com!
newsmst01.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!postmaster.news.prodigy.com!
newssvr16.news.prodigy.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF17278.D9B33D81@dioxine.com>
From: "Dana H. Myers" <dana@dioxine.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.202.222.154
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
X-Trace: newssvr16.news.prodigy.com 988902011 6075067 63.202.222.154 (Thu, 03 May 2001 11:00:11 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 11:00:11 EDT
Organization: Prodigy Internet http://www.prodigy.com
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 15:00:11 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5394
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15626 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22296
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20889

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:

> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not...
> > So when a freebander is transmitting with legal power levels on the
> > legal 40 they're still breaking the law?
>
> No when you transmit of the 40 channels you are authorised to use you are a
> cb'er. As soon as you turn up the power passed the 4/12 or go outside those
> channels you are breaking the law

A technicality overlooked by many is that Part 95 operation on 27MHz, better known as CB, not only sets a maximum legal power level, it also requires the use of a 'type accepted' transmitter/transceiver. So, even if you turn the power down on an amateur transceiver and stick the CB channels, it's still not legal. The law is that CB radios are used on CB.

Not that it functionally makes that much of a difference, but that's the law.

Dana

```
From 4550713503849177137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 08:01:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF172C4.E40DD7AE@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <3AF163EE.796F9016@coqui.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 11:01:24 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988901962 216.234.103.49 (Thu, 03 May 2001 09:59:22
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:59:22 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5395
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15627 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22297
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20890

Bill:

Apparently I shouldn't have voiced my opinion. With a few taps of your
keycaps I've been crammed in with the freebanders. Perhaps I should go
out and get an 800W linear, run at 130% modulation, start calling CQ on
28.085 AM, and forget about taking my Tech and General written exams
next week?

What do you think?

-SSB

Bill Meacham wrote:
>
> Sideband wrote:
> >
>
> >
> > I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see a
> > sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
> > limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this could
> > "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies, much in
> > the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.
> >
> > Just my two cents, here... Of course, your mileage may vary.
>
> There already is one.  10 meters.  You just have to get a
> license.  Whats the point in creating another special band for
> people who don't want to get a license?  You already have channels
> 1-40 and it got messed up.  So now you've got FRS...It doesn't
> make sense to just creating bands for people to use in a manner
> inconsistent with the intent.  Any unlicensed band is going to be
> bedlam.  Do you think if the FCC legislated a "freebander band"
> from 27.455 to 27.800 that it would be any different?  Would the
> guys turn off the lean-yars and start using legitimate callsigns?
> ---
> Bill Meacham
> Vieques, PR


From 7697393903183963627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:00:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 08:55:47 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3AF17F83.98B8802A@uci.edu>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF0315B.C07FF011@IEEE.org> <3af03218.3268505@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF045EE.52C8F5@IEEE.org> <3af06c2a.972163@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF076E7.F5E6B9FD@uci.edu> <3af0b706.1666967@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20891

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Wed, 02 May 2001 14:06:47 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> BUT... in the case of the NON-ham, just how does local cop
> >> KNOW with any certainty that anyone is operating at all.
> >> The hearsay of a neighbor with TVI is not sufficient to
> >> pinpoint any source.
> >
> >I can think of tougher cases to solve.
>
> However you offer no practical solution to the question.

I would if my job depended on it.


> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK


From 5700968003373932558
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:03:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF181B4.A5E988EF@coqui.net>
From: Bill Meacham <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net>
Reply-To: exray@coqui.net
Organization: http://www.sparkbench.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en,bg
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <3AF163EE.796F9016@coqui.net>
<3AF172C4.E40DD7AE@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 12:00:43 EDT
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 12:05:08 -0400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5399
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15630 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22302
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20892
```

Sideband wrote:

> Bill:
>
> Apparently I shouldn't have voiced my opinion. With a few taps of your
> keycaps I've been crammed in with the freebanders. Perhaps I should go
> out and get an 800W linear, run at 130% modulation, start calling CQ on
> 28.085 AM, and forget about taking my Tech and General written exams
> next week?
>
> What do you think?
>
> -SSB

I don't know how you extracted that defense out of what I said,
but I have no problem with you voicing your opinion. I just don't
agree with the concept of a special band for illegal operators.
Maybe you were just being sarcastic, I dunno.
I support your studying and taking the exams and upgrading. Thats
very commendable. Check out some antenna theory on the side and
you'll also better understand some of the merchandising
terminology referred to in your other post.
Good luck to you. I hope you accomplish your goals.
>
-BM

From -7981482252537960800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:10:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:06:45 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3AF18215.A2F085E5@uci.edu>
References: <20010502204117.10634.00000599@nso-cd.aol.com>
<20010503065425.01812.00000295@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20893

W1RFI wrote:

> Lacking the
> special ability that you are bantering about, I can't tell whether you are
> misperceiving things that badly or are misrepresenting them. Can you explain?

I can. Leon has a little reality disconnect problem. He tries not to
let the truth stand in the way of his single minded quest for message
points.

From -3808111568324091840
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:13:50 PST
Message-ID: <3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 12:14:56 -0400
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Organization: Unorganized.
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.133.22.93
X-Trace: 3 May 2001 16:23:23 GMT, 198.133.22.93
Lines: 105
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prserv.net
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-was.dfn.de!znr.news.ans.net!abq.news.ans.net!
newsfeed.us.prserv.net!prserv.net!news1.prserv.net!198.133.22.93
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5402
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15632 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22305
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20894

Good work, Sherlock - you've pointed out it's illegal, *again*. We've established that.

How serious a crime is it? Your assignment - think of all the crimes you can, where does freebanding fit it? Maybe you should go spend your time protesting real crimes (I can provide a list if you need one, where do I start...how about NAFTA).

And, of course you don't speed. You're a decent, upstanding citizen - who turns in your neighbor for digging without a permit - thanks for making the world a better, safer place.

Bob.

W6DKN wrote:

> No amount of self justification will erase the simple fact that what you
> are doing is a crime. That makes you a criminal, and therefore not worthy
> of respect. You sound like the gang bangers in LA bitching because they are
> treated like hoodlums.
>
> By the way, I do have a cherry 1974 427 FI ' Vette that I take out to LA
> County Raceway when I want to speed (it turns low 12's with street tires).
> When I am driving my Blazer on city streets, I obey the traffic laws.
> That's what honest people do...
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
> "Bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com...
> > Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
> >
> > And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted
> to speed,
> > you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey man,
> you do
> > EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver
> and do your
> > speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper steps
> to
> > becoming a race car driver:
> >
> > 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man. Our
> > forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you
> learn.
> > 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing
> the answers
> > to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats, planes,
> etc.
> > 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be
> chastised by
> > old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the
> unicycle and
> > can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps bad
> people
> > from becoming race car drivers.
> > 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't
> fit race
> > car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire
> combination.
> >
> > Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to take
> those 15
> > turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well, ham
> radio IS
> > NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit
> everybody. Maybe
> > its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my
> equipment and
> > make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for hams.

```
> I can
> > operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the
> minimum amount
> > of power necessary for a contact.
> >
> > Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it
> immoral? A
> > crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is a
> far more
> > worse crime.
> >
> > BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally interfering
> with any
> > service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
> essential)
> > services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
> 27.405 -
> > 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
> >
> > Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's
> illegal,
> > yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't
> black and
> > white.
> >
> > Bob.
> >
> >
> > Scott Townley wrote:
> >
> > > But you *do* have all the respect you deserve...the same respect we
> > > all grant to FELONS, which is what you are, buddy...
> > >



From -3141838347478505733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:20:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
```

dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 11:20:50 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.86.6f
X-Server-Date: 3 May 2001 16:19:52 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5403
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15633 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22307
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20895

Why? To accomidate a bunch of LAZY illegal fools? You must be a liberal. I bet you support dropping the standards of a SAT just to accomidate some lazy bum that cant pass it...right?

Dan/W4NTI

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not...
> I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see a
> sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
> limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this could
> "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies, much in
> the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.
>
> Just my two cents, here... Of course, your mileage may vary.
>
> -SSB
>

From 945750741537727557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public

X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:30:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 37
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 12:30:35 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988907313 216.234.103.49 (Thu, 03 May 2001 11:28:33 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 11:28:33 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5405
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15635 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22308
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20896

Dan:

I honestly don't know how to respond to this. Your post below is so thick with sarcasm I fail to see your point. Perhaps you could tone it back a few notches and provide some facts (if you can find any) to back up your assertion that (1) I'm a liberal, (2) I'm lazy, (3) that I've operated illegally, and (4) Just what the SAT's have to do with it.

After you've done that, I may consider explaining my reasoning behind my opinion so that you might understand it.

Again, I caution you, do not include me amongst the freebanders; I'm neither one of them, nor do I condone what they do (as I stated in the very first sentence of the quote you so vitriously lambasted.)

-SSB

W4NTI wrote:
>
> Why? To accomidate a bunch of LAZY illegal fools? You must be a liberal. I
> bet you support dropping the standards of a SAT just to accomidate some lazy
> bum that cant pass it...right?

```
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not...
> > I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see a
> > sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
> > limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this could
> > "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies, much in
> > the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.
> >
> > Just my two cents, here... Of course, your mileage may vary.
> >
> > -SSB
> >


From 3130891717899719060
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:32:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders Response
Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 11:33:00 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 201
Message-ID: <9cs163$dkb$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
<3af0dcb3.3549117@news.socket.net> <9cr4i5$ale$0@pita.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.86.6f
X-Server-Date: 3 May 2001 16:32:03 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5406
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15636 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22309
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20897
```

Yeah yourn right, I stopped reading yours after the Ge Whiz!...bark bark.

Dan/W4NTI
"Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberal.comz> wrote in message
news:9cr4i5$ale$0@pita.alt.net...
> Gee whiz! When you guys gonna learn to keep your responses short and to
the
> point? Don't write a darn book....nobody reads past the first two
paragraphs
> anyway.
> If you can't say it in a few sentences, nobody cares.
> Save your editorializing for the New York Times....
>
> <ccom2@socket.net> wrote in message
news:3af0dcb3.3549117@news.socket.net...
> > Preach it Brother
> > It could not have been said any better.
> >
> > FreeBanders are bottom feeding scum.
> > That should have all their equipment taken away.
> > Mike
> > AB0IV
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Bob,
> > >
> > >Yours is the kind of misunderstanding that, try as people might, just
> > >can't seem to be overcome. I know FIRSTHAND that there are agencies
> > >that are assigned frequencies above and below the 11 meter CB band.
> > >Tell me, what is "unessential" about forest rangers. What is "unessen-
> > >tial" about military comms? The difference is the type and duration of
> > >commercial and military comms as opposed to civilians who are there
just
> > >to talk, often as much as possible, to as many people as possible.
Busi-
> > >ness or military comms are short and to the point.
> > >
> > >I know the regulars get tired of reading this example, but it is a per-
> > >fect example of why NOT to "freeband". Some years ago, I was acting
> > >as communications officer for Civil Air Patrol on a live search for a
> > >light plane that disappeared during a storm. We planned to use a freq-
> > >uency near 11 meters which is a USAF frequency assigned to CAP. Like
> > >many rescue agencies, both civilian and military, we use our assigned
> > >frequencies so as to separate various kinds of traffic, take advantage
> > >of atmospherics (time of day), and keep from "interfering" with our-
> > >selves--air to ground on one freq., mobile to base on another, and yet
> > >another for "incidental comms" such as flight line, safety, or even
> > >sending out for food for the searchers. Another reason for choosing
the
> > >low power 26 MHZ band was to prevent news hounds, ambulance chasers,
> > >and even possibly the family of the pilot from hearing adverse news via
> > >scanner. *Most* scanners don't deal too well with SSB. We quickly

dis-
> > >covered that there was a "freebander" on that frequency calling,
"BREAK,
> > >BREAK, skipland", etc with a linear that made it difficult or
impossible
> > >to use this frequency forcing a lot of incidental traffic onto VHF. I
> > >was LIVID! There was NO excuse for this person operating on that freq-
> > >uency whatsoever. He was interfering with an active USAF authorized
> > >mission, a real emergency where a life was hanging in the balance. In
> > >the following week after the mission was suspended, I began to DF this
> > >pirate, determined to put a stop to his outlaw operations. One
evening,
> > >I happened to be in a nearby town, and absently switched on one of my
> > >radios in the car not expecting to hear anything. The guy was pegging
> > >my meter and almost busted my speaker. On my way out of town, I made
> > >a screeching U-turn and went back into town, stopping at the town PD to
> > >ask if they recognized that voice. Yup, they knew the fella and where
he
> > >lived. I drove to his house and confirmed the location. Outlaw was
> > >reported to FCC field office, and was busted for out-of-band operation
> > >AND interfering with emergency communications--a serious Federal
> > >offense. Betcha he got tired of eating beans from a .10 can!
> > >
> > >There IS no excuse for operating on unauthorized frequencies. You might
> > >*think* these freqs are unoccupied and that the agencies thereto
assign-
> > >ed are "non-essential". That is not for individuals to decide. Just
> > >because *you* don't hear anyone doesn't mean they aren't there and that
> > >there is no traffic being passed that you could hinder.
> > >
> > >Finally, let's say I, or you, rent/lease a piece of property with a
nice
> > >lake on it. Intending to do a little fishing, you stock that lake with
> > >a variety of game fish--bass, crappy, catfish, perch, or whatever. You
> > >are busy and don't get out to your lake real often, but one day you
> > >decide it would be a good day to go fishing; remember, it's "your" lake
> > >by virtue of your lease to use as you see fit within the guidelines of
> > >your agreement with the owner. When you arrive, you discover a large
> > >group of people on your property, at YOUR lake, catching the fish YOU
> > >stocked--in spite of the fact there are No Trespassing signs all over-
> > >even right where the trespassers are fishing. I'd be angry and I'd
bring
> > >charges against each and every one that trespassed on my land. It
> > >doesn't make any difference if I don't come to the lake every day, it
> > >is STILL property assigned to me by virtue of rent or lease and nobody
> > >has any business on it without permission.
> > >
> > >"Freebanders" don't have "permission" (license) to operate on frequen-
> > >cies outside the 11 meter band. They are trespassing just as surely
> > >as those people I cited in the above paragraph. And when they DO tres-
> > >pass, it pisses off those who are legitimately assigned said
frequencies
> > >for their exclusive use and it don't make ANY difference if they

"visit"
> > >(use) their "property" (frequency) once a minute or once a year--the
> > >"freebanders" are trespassing just as surely as if they just walked
into
> > >your/my house uninvited. There is no respect due, and none forthcoming
> > >for trespassers, "freebanders", or outlaw radio ops.
> > >
> > >Jerry
> > >K4KWH
> > >
> > >
> > >Bob wrote:
> > >>
> > >> Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
> > >>
> > >> And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you
wanted
> to speed,
> > >> you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey
> man, you do
> > >> EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car
driver
> and do your
> > >> speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper
> steps to
> > >> becoming a race car driver:
> > >>
> > >> 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man.
> Our
> > >> forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you
> learn.
> > >> 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including
memorizing
> the answers
> > >> to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats,
> planes, etc.
> > >> 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be
> chastised by
> > >> old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the
> unicycle and
> > >> can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps
> bad people
> > >> from becoming race car drivers.
> > >> 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it
doesn't
> fit race
> > >> car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire
> combination.
> > >>
> > >> Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to
> take those 15
> > >> turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well,
> ham radio IS

```
> > >> NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit
> everybody. Maybe
> > >> its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my
> equipment and
> > >> make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for
> hams. I can
> > >> operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the
> minimum amount
> > >> of power necessary for a contact.
> > >>
> > >> Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is
it
> immoral? A
> > >> crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car
is
> a far more
> > >> worse crime.
> > >>
> > >> BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally
> interfering with any
> > >> service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
> essential)
> > >> services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
> 27.405 -
> > >> 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
> > >>
> > >> Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but
it's
> illegal,
> > >> yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue
isn't
> black and
> > >> white.
> > >>
> > >> Bob.
> > >
> > >> > >
> >
>
>




From -6402768329135909602
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:33:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 11:34:12 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 99
Message-ID: <9cs189$4j1$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
<3AF16151.24AD1ECF@some.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.86.6f
X-Server-Date: 3 May 2001 16:33:13 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5407
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15637 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22310
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20898

You heard 20 phone lately?  Same mentality.

Dan/W4NTI
"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3AF16151.24AD1ECF@some.net...
> These "freeband" morons think that if they listen to a frequency and
> they don't hear anyone, it is OK for them to use it. That's why so many
> are now moving up to 10 meters. It simply amazes me how anyone can think
> that just because they don't hear any communications on a frequency it
> must be OK for them to transmit on it. Typical of the cb mentality.
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > Bob,
> >
> > Yours is the kind of misunderstanding that, try as people might, just
> > can't seem to be overcome. I know FIRSTHAND that there are agencies
> > that are assigned frequencies above and below the 11 meter CB band.
> > Tell me, what is "unessential" about forest rangers.  What is "unessen-
> > tial" about military comms?  The difference is the type and duration of
> > commercial and military comms as opposed to civilians who are there just
> > to talk, often as much as possible, to as many people as possible. Busi-
> > ness or military comms are short and to the point.
>
> > Jerry
> > K4KWH
> >
```

> > Bob wrote:
> > >
> > > Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
> > >
> > > And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you
wanted to speed,
> > > you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey
man, you do
> > > EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car
driver and do your
> > > speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper
steps to
> > > becoming a race car driver:
> > >
> > > 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man.
Our
> > > forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you
learn.
> > > 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing
the answers
> > > to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats,
planes, etc.
> > > 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be
chastised by
> > > old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the
unicycle and
> > > can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps
bad people
> > > from becoming race car drivers.
> > > 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it
doesn't fit race
> > > car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire
combination.
> > >
> > > Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to
take those 15
> > > turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well,
ham radio IS
> > > NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit
everybody. Maybe
> > > its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my
equipment and
> > > make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for
hams. I can
> > > operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the
minimum amount
> > > of power necessary for a contact.
> > >
> > > Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is
it immoral? A
> > > crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car
is a far more
> > > worse crime.

```
> > >
> > > BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally
interfering with any
> > > service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
essential)
> > > services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
27.405 -
> > > 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
> > >
> > > Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but
it's illegal,
> > > yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't
black and
> > > white.
> > >
> > > Bob.
> >
> > > > >
```

```
From 1372097336371398459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:34:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <%LfI6.7806$2_.2901741@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 16:34:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988907643 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 03 May 2001 09:34:03 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:34:03 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5408 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15638 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22311 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20899

So you are telling me theres no such thing as a pile up on a ham band ... where there may be hundreds of people talking at the same time ? Happens on them too ... my 10 does fine ... more is irrelevant.

--
Rob St.Denis

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message news:3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not...
> Comments below:
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
> >
> > Why? I regularily work world wide on 10m with as little as 10watts on ssb
> > (min required for the autotuner to work) and that is from the car.
> >
> > Rob
>
> Because most HAM's know how to run their radios, and MOST CB'ers dont. A
> majority of CB'ers think that turning the modulation up past 100%, using
> negative peak modulation compression, and other "tricks" makes them
> louder. In reality, it makes for a noisy band. I know better... My
> GrantXL, with the 2 watt carrier, at 99% modulation, sounds better than
> most of the "export" radios in the trucks out there, and "walks" over
> them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two 4' Wilson
> Silver Load antennae, over and under), tuned properly, so I'm probably
> radiating more than they are, but hey, it works.
>
> At any rate, a band for those who do know how to run a radio would be
> nice... It's a pipe dream... one I won't have to worry about later this
> month, hopefully, but still.. it would be nice.
>
> -SSB

From 1081549441081284737

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:36:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF17278.D9B33D81@dioxine.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <q0fI6.7827$2_.2905337@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 16:36:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988907798 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 03 May 2001 09:36:38
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 09:36:38 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20900
```

I never insinuated otherwise. Was simply stating that a majority of the guys who play on cb turn up the power on their radio shack specials ...

--
Rob St.Denis

"Dana H. Myers" <dana@dioxine.com> wrote in message
news:3AF17278.D9B33D81@dioxine.com...

> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > news:3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not...
> > > So when a freebander is transmitting with legal power levels on the
> > > legal 40 they're still breaking the law?
> >
> > No when you transmit of the 40 channels you are authorised to use you
> > are a
> > cb'er. As soon as you turn up the power passed the 4/12 or go outside
> > those
> > channels you are breaking the law

```
>
> A technicality overlooked by many is that Part 95 operation on 27MHz,
> better known as CB, not only sets a maximum legal power level, it also
> requires the use of a 'type accepted' transmitter/transceiver.  So, even
> if you turn the power down on an amateur transceiver and stick the CB
> channels, it's still not legal.  The law is that CB radios are used on CB.
>
> Not that it functionally makes that much of a difference, but that's the
> law.
>
> Dana
>
>
```

```
From 2834306684922716140
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:41:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: "Steve" <ai7w@NoSpam.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 16:40:47 +0100
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 143
Message-ID: <9cs1ml$208$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0e.dc.fd
X-Server-Date: 3 May 2001 16:40:53 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5409
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15640 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22315
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20901
```

Bob,
    Over the years I've discovered that when someone defends an obviously
illegal activity, they usually have some ulterior motive. What is your
motive?
Do you operate outside the amateur bands?
    Why in the world would you defend those who flaunt the laws that make
amateur
radio (and the other radio services) possible?
Steve

Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com...
> Good work, Sherlock - you've pointed out it's illegal, *again*. We've
> established that.
>
> How serious a crime is it? Your assignment - think of all the crimes you
can,
> where does freebanding fit it? Maybe you should go spend your time
protesting
> real crimes (I can provide a list if you need one, where do I start...how
about
> NAFTA).
>
> And, of course you don't speed. You're a decent, upstanding citizen - who
turns
> in your neighbor for digging without a permit - thanks for making the
world a
> better, safer place.
>
> Bob.
>
>
>
> W6DKN wrote:
>
> > No amount of self justification will erase the simple fact that what you
> > are doing is a crime. That makes you a criminal, and therefore not
worthy
> > of respect. You sound like the gang bangers in LA bitching because they
are
> > treated like hoodlums.
> >
> > By the way, I do have a cherry 1974 427 FI ' Vette that I take out to LA
> > County Raceway when I want to speed (it turns low 12's with street
tires).
> > When I am driving my Blazer on city streets, I obey the traffic laws.
> > That's what honest people do...
> >
> > 73 de W6DKN
> >
> > "Bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com...
> > > Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.

> > >
> > > And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you
wanted
> > to speed,
> > > you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey
man,
> > you do
> > > EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car
driver
> > and do your
> > > speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper
steps
> > to
> > > becoming a race car driver:
> > >
> > > 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man.
Our
> > > forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you
> > learn.
> > > 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing
> > the answers
> > > to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats,
planes,
> > etc.
> > > 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be
> > chastised by
> > > old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the
> > unicycle and
> > > can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps
bad
> > people
> > > from becoming race car drivers.
> > > 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it
doesn't
> > fit race
> > > car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire
> > combination.
> > >
> > > Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to
take
> > those 15
> > > turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well,
ham
> > radio IS
> > > NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit
> > everybody. Maybe
> > > its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my
> > equipment and
> > > make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for
hams.
> > I can
> > > operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the
> > minimum amount

> > > of power necessary for a contact.
> > >
> > > Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is
it
> > immoral? A
> > > crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car
is a
> > far more
> > > worse crime.
> > >
> > > BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally
interfering
> > with any
> > > service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
> > essential)
> > > services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
> > 27.405 -
> > > 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
> > >
> > > Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but
it's
> > illegal,
> > > yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't
> > black and
> > > white.
> > >
> > > Bob.
> > >
> > >
> > > Scott Townley wrote:
> > >
> > > > But you *do* have all the respect you deserve...the same respect we
> > > > all grant to FELONS, which is what you are, buddy...
> > > >
>

From 8354206746979526521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:46:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 16:45:16 GMT
References: <20010503063901.01812.00000290@ng-fm1.aol.com>

```
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503124516.24866.00000596@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20902

In article <20010503063901.01812.00000290@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com  (N2EY)
>
>>>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$
>
>>Bingo.
>
>Is there also not an element that seeking more hams is generally healthy for
>the Amateur Radio Service?

At least one in this newsgroup says that "license counts mean
nothing."   :-)


From -5326166320160114047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:46:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 16:45:15 GMT
References: <20010503065629.01812.00000296@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010503124515.24866.00000595@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20903

In article <20010503065629.01812.00000296@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>My emphasis was on whether they were active, Len, not whether they had passed
>a code test.

Of the three US amateur radio licenses that may be acquired now,
only the Technician class test omits the code test.  Of the six
license classes existing before April 15, 2000, only the Technician
class test omits the code test.
```

>Obviously you wish I had said something else so badly that you
>are trying to convince yourself that I did.

Hare mentioned no Extra, Advanced, General, Novice or Technician
Plus licensees. Only the Technician class, some who "were not
active." Why the emphasis on Technician class?

I am acquainted with an Advanced class licensee and a General
class licensee, neither of which has been active for at least 15
years. I don't think they are the only inactive code-tested
amateurs in the USA...but I cannot "prove" that.

>For the life of me, I can't figure out why.

Irrelevant trolling question. :-)

There exist renewed licensees of all amateur radio classes
who are not active, partially active, mostly active, and a few
whose seeming only reason for being is to be active.
"Inactive" licensees counts or percentages are not on
public record. Ergo, all such statements are simply personal
opinions and must be taken as such.

From -8250369288239024993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:46:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 16:45:14 GMT
References: <20010503065425.01812.00000295@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010503124514.24866.00000594@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20904

In article <20010503065425.01812.00000295@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>I wasn't trying to change the defintion of speculation or anecodtal, Len.
>>>In what way do you feel I am fitting what pattern?
>
>>By the blanket statement that "Techs will not renew" at renewal

>>time.
>
>But I said that Techs who never became active won't renew, Len.

Is that a provable, factual statement or just a personal opinion?

Just on an anecdotal basis, I know one Technician class licensee who has only been "active" on ham bands for the telecommand of model aircraft. No other purpose. I've met three others whose only reason for getting a Technician license was to communicate during hang gliding activities. None of the four are "active" in the sense of "activity" done on HF or VHF communications by radio amateurs. None of those have to renew for at least another three years.

I know one code-tested Advanced who has renewed and has never been "active," does not have any amateur transmitting equipment now.

I know one Novice class licensee, a double Emmy award winner, who has not, as far as I know, operated in any Novice band with his own equipment. He is extremely competent in hardware of electronics and radio. Will he renew to change classes by test? I don't think so, but I cannot tell the future two years from now.

There have been public statements made in here beginning two years ago that "Techs will not renew" or "few Techs will renew" (so that their numbers will "drop drastically"). That has been a common urban myth propagated in here by many a PCTA, all of whom seem to have a "special ability" of "knowing" the future.

> Lacking the
>special ability that you are bantering about, I can't tell whether you are
>misperceiving things that badly or are misrepresenting them. Can you explain?

Another irrelevant semi-trolling question, perhaps written out of irritation or aggravation?

I asked for reasons why Technician class licensees were singled out as "not renewing." Lacking any "special ability" to foretell the future, I was wondering why the (no-code-test) Technicians were being singled out as examples of non-renewal or inactivity.

From 4037296839350501484
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:46:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!

audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 91
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 03 May 2001 16:45:17 GMT
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503124517.24866.00000597@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20905

In article <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>>There is a "poll" or questionaire result to confirm this? Did over
>>TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND individuals take the trouble to
>>pass a test for a license because they had "not the faintest
>>interest in the hobby?!?!?"
>>
>It's a "matter of degree". Passing the nocode test is not exactly an
>intellectual triumpf.

Passing any or all of the test elements, including the code test,
is not an intellectual triumph. :-)

>The question is how many of the nocodes have
>remained active? Nobody knows.

It would seem that some do know, else why would they charge
that "nocodes" were not active.

>But there is something of a gut
>consensus that the nocodes tend to come and go at a higher rate than
>do the holders of the other licenses.

That's a very subjective observation, especially so when made
by an obvious PCTA. :-)

>No doubt related to the old "easy come, easy go" routine.

An amateur radio license is just a regulatory mechanism
used by the FCC as part of its task to regulate US civil
radio. None of the test elements are either "intellectual
triumphs" or academic achievements. Amateur radio is
not essential to the well-being or safety of the nation's
citizens taken as a whole.

If already-licensed radio amateurs insist on some "hard"
testing as a result of work and arduous study, then they
do that insistence for their own peculiar personal reasons.
Those reasons have nothing at all to do with the FCC's

task of regulating radio in the USA.

>So what? Is that some kind of surprise? The nocode is the easiest
>test. Why WOULDN'T the necomers opt for a nocode ticket?? I got into
>the game as a Novice for the same reason.

Kelly got a Novice license without taking a code test?!? :-)

>So did tens of thousands of others.

How many are still active? How many dropped out soon after receiving that license? How many did NOT pass, never receiving a license?

The claim that "tens of thousands of others" did something has no validity in arguments that require a comparison with trying but not doing that same something. Are there any counts of those who tried and failed? Are there any counts of those who did not take any amateur radio test at all?

>The fascination with statistics juggling in this ng is asinine.

That would be the "gut feel" of those who cannot argue a subject involving statistics? :-)

It seems to me that the government does indeed look into statistics of such things as licensing in its task of regulating radio.

Joe Speroni, AH0A, is an evident PCTA, on public record as being an advocate of amateur radio code testing. Speroni has been tabulating amateur radio statistics for about three years now. Is Speroni "asinine" for doing that task?

>License counts mean nothing.

I can't believe Kelly really believes that. If the number of radio amateurs in the FCC database falls to a very low number, is the FCC going to maintain US amateur radio?

>Band occupancy and spectral efficiency mean everything.

So...how is the 2mm band occupancy in Kelly's geographic area? It is 7 GHz wide, the largest bandspace allocation for Region 2 amateurs. Does Kelly advocate dropping all VHF and higher amateur bands because their use does not show "spectral efficiency?"

From 5931124806024367085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 09:55:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF18D59.70E3DCBD@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <3AF163EE.796F9016@coqui.net>
<3AF172C4.E40DD7AE@spam.me.not> <3AF181B4.A5E988EF@coqui.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 12:54:49 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988908767 216.234.103.49 (Thu, 03 May 2001 11:52:47 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 11:52:47 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5410
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15642 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22316
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20906

Bill Meacham wrote:

<snip>

> I just don't
> agree with the concept of a special band for illegal operators.

The point I was trying to make, Bill, is this: If the frequencies get "thick" on a particular HAM band, HAMs have a multitude of other frequencies and bands to go to. Not to mention the fact that if the FCC would allocate a sideband-only band in the area I suggested for unlicensed CB, the people running on that band wouldn't be illegals. They would be transmitting in accordance with FCC rules.

> Maybe you were just being sarcastic, I dunno.

Only a little. I suggested the FCC allocate a new band for CB for sideband transmissions. Yes, it would be thick, but it would make the regular 40 less crowded, and give a spot for sidebanders to transmit without (hopefully) being interfered with by AM carriers and the like.

I did not suggest this to help support freebanding; quite the opposite. I suggested it as a way to give those CBers who enjoy transmitting on sideband (like I do) to do so with less interference from the riffraff who run dirty, over-modulated, noise-toy filled, echo-boxed, over-reverb'ed, export trash radios.

> I support your studying and taking the exams and upgrading. Thats
> very commendable. Check out some antenna theory on the side and
> you'll also better understand some of the merchandising
> terminology referred to in your other post.
> Good luck to you. I hope you accomplish your goals.
> >
> -BM

-SSB

```
From -5103347529537199461
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 10:10:28 PST
Message-ID: <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 12:08:56 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp 988909353 64.240.47.72 (3 May 2001 12:02:33 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5411
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15643 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22317
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20907

Bob wrote:
> How serious a crime is it? Your assignment - think of all the crimes you can,
> where does freebanding fit it?

That's a pretty good question. Unless I'm missing something, I would
rank it right up there with driving on the shoulder of the road where
such is illegal.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 6950636414041003136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 10:29:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF195FE.1EE44C4E@coqui.net>
From: Bill Meacham <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net>
Reply-To: exray@coqui.net
```

Organization: http://www.sparkbench.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en,bg
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <3AF163EE.796F9016@coqui.net>
<3AF172C4.E40DD7AE@spam.me.not> <3AF181B4.A5E988EF@coqui.net>
<3AF18D59.70E3DCBD@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 63
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 13:26:40 EDT
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 13:31:43 -0400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5412
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15644 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22319
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20908

SSB-
I think I'm giving you the same answer that the FCC would. If you want radio communications as a hobby, get an amateur license. Allocating HF spectrum is an international concern, and really the FCC can't arbitrarily choose to do that. The only reason CB was able to be implemented back in the 50's, is because that spectrum was taken away from hams. The intent was "personal communications" for small business or those who had personal needs, and had no other good two-way radio option at the time. It never was intended to be a band for radio hobbyists and AFAIK, the FCC still looks at it that way.
They gave up trying to license operators on the Citizens Band many years ago, which to a lot of minds, was like throwing their hands up at the problem...and future concerns. To dodge the fact that their 27 Mhz creation became hopelessly useless for what it was intended for, they set up FRS to continue giving back the desired basic two-way service to the public.
I don't think they would be interested in cycling backwards. They have a place for hobbyists, and they have a place for 'personal business' communications. Nothing more is justifiable.

-Bill WX4A

Sideband wrote:

```
>
> Bill Meacham wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
> > I just don't
> > agree with the concept of a special band for illegal operators.
>
> The point I was trying to make, Bill, is this: If the frequencies get
> "thick" on a particular HAM band, HAMs have a multitude of other
> frequencies and bands to go to. Not to mention the fact that if the FCC
> would allocate a sideband-only band in the area I suggested for
> unlicensed CB, the people running on that band wouldn't be illegals.
> They would be transmitting in accordance with FCC rules.
>
> > Maybe you were just being sarcastic, I dunno.
>
> Only a little. I suggested the FCC allocate a new band for CB for
> sideband transmissions. Yes, it would be thick, but it would make the
> regular 40 less crowded, and give a spot for sidebanders to transmit
> without (hopefully) being interfered with by AM carriers and the like.
>
> I did not suggest this to help support freebanding; quite the opposite.
> I suggested it as a way to give those CBers who enjoy transmitting on
> sideband (like I do) to do so with less interference from the riffraff
> who run dirty, over-modulated, noise-toy filled, echo-boxed,
> over-reverb'ed, export trash radios.
>
> > I support your studying and taking the exams and upgrading.  Thats
> > very commendable.  Check out some antenna theory on the side and
> > you'll also better understand some of the merchandising
> > terminology referred to in your other post.
> > Good luck to you.  I hope you accomplish your goals.
> > >
> > -BM
>
> -SSB



From 6185218520053418998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 10:30:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-
hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-
```

```
for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 10:29:37 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AF19581.8E84CD40@uci.edu>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5413
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15645 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22320
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20909
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Bob wrote:
> > How serious a crime is it? Your assignment - think of all the crimes you can,
> > where does freebanding fit it?
>
> That's a pretty good question. Unless I'm missing something, I would
> rank it right up there with driving on the shoulder of the road where
> such is illegal.

That's pretty good. Or maybe it's like throwing beer bottles and McDonalds wrappers out the window on the highway, or driving really slow in the ultrafast lane, or parking in handicapped spaces. Ya know, something Dennis Leary would sing about.

ac6xg

```
From 3449851447300284367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 10:30:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-
```

state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF19612.2DD4@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <3AF163EE.796F9016@coqui.net>
<3AF172C4.E40DD7AE@spam.me.not> <3AF181B4.A5E988EF@coqui.net>
<3AF18D59.70E3DCBD@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 61
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 13:32:03 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.151
X-Trace: news1.atl 988911026 209.214.187.151 (Thu, 03 May 2001 13:30:26 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 13:30:26 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5414
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15646 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22321
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20910

SSB,

I'm not arguing with your points here, but there is something that many people are not aware of. Quite a bit of that spectrum, either above or below, is not within FCC's power to give or even regulate--except in the cases of unlicensed operators. Much of those areas are under an agency called NTIA--National Telecommunications Information Agency. They have more "pull" than FCC, and that spectrum is mainly under their jurisdiction. NTIA assigns and regulates US Gov't frequencies including US Forestry, and military, for example. The remainder IS assigned to forest products and various state forestry/fire agencies. IOW, there is no spectrum for FCC to give because what areas they do control are assigned, the rest isn't theirs to "give". I hope that sheds light on your proposal.

73

Jerry
K4KWH

Sideband wrote:

```
>
> Bill Meacham wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
> > I just don't
> > agree with the concept of a special band for illegal operators.
>
> The point I was trying to make, Bill, is this: If the frequencies get
> "thick" on a particular HAM band, HAMs have a multitude of other
> frequencies and bands to go to. Not to mention the fact that if the FCC
> would allocate a sideband-only band in the area I suggested for
> unlicensed CB, the people running on that band wouldn't be illegals.
> They would be transmitting in accordance with FCC rules.
>
> > Maybe you were just being sarcastic, I dunno.
>
> Only a little. I suggested the FCC allocate a new band for CB for
> sideband transmissions. Yes, it would be thick, but it would make the
> regular 40 less crowded, and give a spot for sidebanders to transmit
> without (hopefully) being interfered with by AM carriers and the like.
>
> I did not suggest this to help support freebanding; quite the opposite.
> I suggested it as a way to give those CBers who enjoy transmitting on
> sideband (like I do) to do so with less interference from the riffraff
> who run dirty, over-modulated, noise-toy filled, echo-boxed,
> over-reverb'ed, export trash radios.
>
> > I support your studying and taking the exams and upgrading.  Thats
> > very commendable.  Check out some antenna theory on the side and
> > you'll also better understand some of the merchandising
> > terminology referred to in your other post.
> > Good luck to you.  I hope you accomplish your goals.
> > >
> > -BM
>
> -SSB


From 8034778196526467229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 10:43:59 PST
From: "Newton Minow" <nminow@miibx.net>
Sender: "Newton Minow" <nminow@miibx.net>
Reply-To: "Newton Minow" <nminow@miibx.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 64.12.105.49 64.12.105.49
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <3AF163EE.796F9016@coqui.net>
<3AF172C4.E40DD7AE@spam.me.not> <3AF181B4.A5E988EF@coqui.net>
<3AF18D59.70E3DCBD@spam.me.not>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Message-ID: <3af197e9$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 3 May 2001 18:39:53 GMT
X-Trace: 3 May 2001 18:39:53 GMT, pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Lines: 16
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news-reader.ntrnet.net!uunet!
ash.uu.net!nfeed1.dnvrcoidc.firstworld.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20911

Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote:
>a way to give those CBers who enjoy transmitting on
>sideband (like I do) to do so with less interference from the riffraff
>who run dirty, over-modulated, noise-toy filled, echo-boxed,
>over-reverb'ed, export trash radios.
>

Sideband,

CBers, since they are unwilling to self-regulate or obey any rules at all,
ALLOW the "riffraff" to flourish. Since CB is an unlicensed, and virtually
unregulated service, what is to stop ANY 27 MHz frequency allocated to it
being "filled with dirty, over-modulated, noise-toy filled, echo-boxed over-reverb'ed,
export trash radios"?
-Newton

From -6682372247343439103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 10:50:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.flash.net!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF199F5.D852CF37@crosslink.net>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 13:48:37 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD (Was Steven Spielberg quits....)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>

<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF16178.D104B374@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.205
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 988912249 7082 207.199.164.205
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15647
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20912

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> $2500 is a cheap price to pay for keeping our children safe.
> --

Never thot of it that way. OK everybody, each & every one of you
send me $2500 and I'll keep kids as safe as the WOD has (Can't
do any worse). I'm in the book .. :o)

KA3DWW

From 5044253712353108524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 10:52:12 PST
From: "Newton Minow" <nminow@miibx.net>
Sender: "Newton Minow" <nminow@miibx.net>
Reply-To: "Newton Minow" <nminow@miibx.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 64.12.105.49 64.12.105.49
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <3AF163EE.796F9016@coqui.net>
<3AF172C4.E40DD7AE@spam.me.not> <3AF181B4.A5E988EF@coqui.net>
<3AF18D59.70E3DCBD@spam.me.not>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Message-ID: <3af199d6$1@news2.mibx.net>

Date: 3 May 2001 18:48:06 GMT
X-Trace: 3 May 2001 18:48:06 GMT, pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Lines: 16
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!news-hub.cableinet.net!blueyonder!uunet!ash.uu.net!
nfeed1.dnvrcoidc.firstworld.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20913

Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote:
>a way to give those CBers who enjoy transmitting on
>sideband (like I do) to do so with less interference from the riffraff
>who run dirty, over-modulated, noise-toy filled, echo-boxed,
>over-reverb'ed, export trash radios.
>

Sideband,

CBers, since they are unwilling to self-regulate or obey any rules at all,
ALLOW the "riffraff" to flourish. Since CB is an unlicensed, and virtually
unregulated service, what is to stop ANY 27 MHz frequency allocated to it
being "filled with dirty, over-modulated, noise-toy filled, echo-boxed over-
reverb'ed,
export trash radios"?
-Newton

From -150123034281076557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 10:58:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF19CAD.5A75@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 37
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 14:00:13 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.187.207

X-Trace: news1.atl 988912717 209.214.187.207 (Thu, 03 May 2001 13:58:37 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 13:58:37 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20914

Then, Dwight,

You must've not read about the teenage ham in Kentucky a couple years back. Seems he was at some sort of public event, or something, and had his handheld with him. Cops "overreacted" by seizing his radio and charging the hapless ham with having a "scanner". Sure, the charges were eventually dropped, but not without a lot of hassle and inconvenience to the ham, and, likely, money spent. Took awhile to get his HT replaced by the LE agency. It is easy to say it won't cause trouble until a big-headed cop seizes YOUR equipment. I don't like the law, and I've said it before; now that it IS law, if it DOES happen to me, I'll sue the sons of bitches and see to it the story is on the news.

73

Jerry
K4KWH

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> >
> > But you failed to realize my other point:
> > The cop isn't going to waste his time
> > to bother to check for a license.....
>
>   Lets be realistic here, Stussy. No cop in his right mind is going
> to get that aggressive, or that excited, over a simple radio
> violation. Cops have been enforcing scanner laws for years, and I
> have yet to hear of a case where the cop overreacted simply because a
> person had a scanner.
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6312704786218002275
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 11:10:46 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 18:10:21 GMT
Message-ID: <3af19c6f.79380617@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF0A101.CD48E752@IEEE.org> <3AF0A5BE.486CBDA9@coqui.net>
<3AF0B237.6672F147@IEEE.org> <3AF0D598.1734@bellsouth.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.41
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.41
X-Trace: 3 May 2001 13:06:42 -0500, 64.24.17.41
Lines: 21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5415
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15648 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22324
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20915

On Wed, 02 May 2001 23:50:48 -0400, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:



>
>THAT is the potential harm.  That is the reason it is illegal--not be-
>cause FCC is trying to mess up somebody's fun.
>
Right on the button Jerry. What we're dealing with here is a severly
underfunded federal regulatory agency who has lost it's teeth and
would rather roll over and play dead and surrender to anarchy rather
than make a stink. Some day somewhere some people will die, there will
be CYA "congressional investigations", yeah, right: Too late as usual.

>
>73
>
>Jerry
>K4KWH
>
w3rv


From -3884651728193284398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 11:23:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!usenet01.sei.cmu.edu!nntp.club.cc.cmu.edu!pitt.edu!not-for-mail
From: szekeres@pitt.edu (GregS)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 18:23:00 GMT
Organization: Why?
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <9cs7mu$31f$1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu>
References: <arrl.is.worthless@anti.arrl> <3ae47be0.12100290@news.mindspring.com> <teakok8p2ocj28@corp.supernews.com> <3AE5E19B.DF975D91@earthlink.net> <9c73mt02s9d@enews2.newsguy.com> <3ae72630.21160543@news.accessunited.com> <BSTG6.5610$Uu6.521076@monger.newsread.com> <3aec5d2a.13550881@news5.bellatlantic.net> <3aedc446.63723470@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: electronic2.cbp.pitt.edu
X-Newsreader: News Xpress 2.01
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12696 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15649 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20916

In article <3aedc446.63723470@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote:
>On Sun, 29 Apr 2001 18:35:07 GMT, dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M.
>Conti) wrote:
>
>
>>Lots of Luck..
>>
>>As long as they keep that SILLY code requirement, you are very
>>unlikely to get young folks into an archaic hobby.
>>
>Oh, I dunno. Depends on their perspective and values and what they
>want out of ham radio. "Bout a year or so ago we had a 13-year-old in
>the neighborhood who decided he'd rather have a 20wpm Extra ticket
>than a 5wpm Extra ticket so he scambled to get his code speed up to
>20wpm thus he beat the April 15 date by a couple months.

I think code will always be around. Those various tv and repeater
ID's are absoluty best heard by CW, and don't interfere with voice.

I always wanted to go for the highest level of testing myself. Felt
bad they stopped at Extra. Course I got my 2nd Telegraph.

I think all people should have rights to portions of our bands,
with only a price of a ticket. That in no way stops advanced levels
of testing from having special privileges. Heck, its more fun to talk
with the public on FRS, than trying to talk with a bunch of old buzzards
on ham radio. Don't even need an FRS license. You just go to the store

and your on the air.
I got interested in radio because it was interesting to me. Opperating CW is always the best in fun and enjoyment looking back. Whatever it may be, the newcommer must have something to interest them, and I don't really see much there today. Too bad you don't need a han license to opperate the internet!!

greg
N6GS

From 4353045133590072524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 11:36:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 19
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <jyhI6.4387$O71.254545@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /wObnZZctbaQ1MXETtbClZbA4x/N3X1J4zUrR/
vNZJNBv8Tc0DPc3lKUgqAKYWieFfS3M3nIT3n0!AfMTGIesYoSEt+v27Yao/
R7qodweJg1QcOTw08BM97nu1sl5z8mhAocfj1U7juvlPR1BgKydVDjx!II9nA6Op
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 18:35:59 GMT

Distribution: world
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 18:35:59 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5416 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15650 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22327 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20917

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message news:3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not...

> So when a freebander is transmitting with legal power levels on the
> legal 40 they're still breaking the law?
>

No, by definition this is not "free banding". There is no "legal" anything if freebanding. If you are operating properly as a CB'er on the allocated frequencies using authorized equipment and power levels, your not breaking the law.

If your asking if your only a criminal while operating in the "free band", I would ask is a person only a bank robber while committing the act, or are they still a bank robber afterwards?

73 de W6DKN

From 3184678101630101431
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e901,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e901,public
X-Google-Thread: fe6ac,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfe6ac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 104158,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid104158,public
X-Google-Thread: 1044e7,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid1044e7,public
X-Google-Thread: f4d80,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidf4d80,public
X-Google-Thread: 106349,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gid106349,public
X-Google-Thread: fd321,8b45f5ac25821c6c
X-Google-Attributes: gidfd321,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 11:38:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Kenneth Coney <superc@visuallink.com>
Newsgroups: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.rush-limbaugh,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,talk.politics.guns,talk.politics.misc
Subject: Re: State Militia Comms Alert

```
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 14:36:05 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3AF1A515.F065DE65@visuallink.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <988589950.3aecaf7ed6dfe@packetderm.cotse.com>
<ta5H6.1235$Rn1.576534@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3AEDBFAC.2FCC54E8@yahoo.com>
<3AEE0F01.12CE0338@earthlink.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 46
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.fan.rush-limbaugh:251928 alt.law-enforcement:37048
alt.politics.bush:283156 alt.rush-limbaugh:95771 misc.survivalism:59629
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20918 talk.politics.guns:191454 talk.politics.misc:215737
```

protocol wrote:

> Agreed, Or someone is jerking C-1 and the NSAs chain. :)

There are several militia group websites where you need the proper decryption software running just to log on. Of course it is a waste of time to send an encrypted communication these days. The govt has all of the commo power of the internet at its disposal (read Tom Clancy's novels. He talks way too much.). Eventually even if it takes a decade they will decrypt it. PGP ain't that good anymore. Fact. Someone I know has just started to get decryption on some PGP v1.1 stuff written on a 386. State of the art stuff back then. Sad, when matched against 1.5 gig processors daisy chained together a few years later. If you sent mails that would get you in trouble using the old routines then they will take you away, or at the least pencil you in for routine tax audits and put you under surveillance, and listen for EMP from your current PC. One time usage code groups are smarter. Just don't reuse them or share them. But then what about the other guy? How good are his security procedures?

1000 pentium IV chips chained together can generate a thousand years of decryption time pretty quickly. Look at project SETI and see what they have done with their screen saver.

And wait 10 years and see what kind of CPU power is coming. You would have to be totally stupid (or 100% sure of operational success) to write an encrypted message that could hurt you.

```
>
> >
> > --
> > Aaron R. Kulkis
> > Unix Systems Engineer
> > DNRC Minister of all I survey
> > ICQ # 3056642
```

> >
>
> Could be, I am not familiar with the format for such keys, But I am very
> familiar with a format that this matches exactly. These are (or appear
> to be) five letter groups from a one time double encryption pad. There
> are exactly ten groups of five letters each. It's classic stuff and
> without the 2 correct ten letter decoding keywords, yup, they may as
> well transmit right over the Internet. You will not be able to crack
> the message in under a few thousand years.... give or take.

From 2847537573297849533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 11:48:20 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders Response
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 18:47:50 GMT
Message-ID: <3af1a5de.81796205@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
<3af0dcb3.3549117@news.socket.net> <9cr4i5$ale$0@pita.alt.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.123
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.123
X-Trace: 3 May 2001 13:44:11 -0500, 64.24.240.123
Lines: 17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5417
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15651 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22331
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20919

On Thu, 3 May 2001 03:23:38 -0500, "Arf! Arf!"
<DoggieDaze@Liberal.comz> wrote:

>Gee whiz! When you guys gonna learn to keep your responses short and to the
>point? Don't write a darn book....nobody reads past the first two paragraphs
>anyway.
>If you can't say it in a few sentences, nobody cares.

>Save your editorializing for the New York Times....
>
We all know about your lame attention spans and lack of intellectual
acuity so to make it simple enough for you dullard outlaws to perhaps
understand be advised that Riley wants your ass on his platter tonite.
>
Billy the Kid had an IQ of around 75. You're next.
>
w3rv
Licensed . . .

From 4040353376313773218
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:41:33 PST
Message-ID: <3AF224D5.15801421@bob.com>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 23:41:09 -0400
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Organization: Unorganized.
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3af1f030.22142745@news.accessunited.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 32.101.7.7
X-Trace: 4 May 2001 03:51:05 GMT, 32.101.7.7
Lines: 9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prserv.net
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-was.dfn.de!znr.news.ans.net!abq.news.ans.net!
newsfeed.us.prserv.net!prserv.net!news1.prserv.net!32.101.7.7
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5454
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15699 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22402
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20971

Tom W8JI wrote:

>
> They are just to stupid or uneducated to understand that.

You mean "too stupid"?

From 825296082058364650
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:42:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 22:41:20 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3AF224E0.958CF884@IEEE.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF0315B.C07FF011@IEEE.org> <3af03218.3268505@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF045EE.52C8F5@IEEE.org> <3af06c2a.972163@news.mindspring.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105032101470.11829-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3af2131d.666193@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.64
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 May 2001 03:42:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20972

Bill Sohl wrote:
> I agree that may be the start of an investigation,
> BUT what would the local police do next?
> What could they do next?

Well, since 1934 the only murder committed with a legal machine gun was committed by a law enforcement officer.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6414467431237172971

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:44:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeeds.sol.net!
newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.corecomm.net!reader0-nwblwi.news.corecomm.net!not-for-mail
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 22:42:30 -0500
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not> <3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3af2252c$0$14451$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Organization: CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL
NNTP-Posting-Host: a7f35bd6.news.corecomm.net
X-Trace: DXC=ld`@NNgHL]ERK32]9ZGcdM2BE>7J@WeMLbo1K;GlJd>KdAcKco4nOkJ`;1?
A5m_7DOL^:NkYe\2VI?lSQkB7Y<XL
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corecomm.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5455
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15700 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22404
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20973
```

If you really want to know the answer. Yes the Examiners will be more than happy to go to an invalids house to administer an Amateur exam. This is what amateur radio is also about. Helping anyone become a Ham. Ham's will even go to the invalid's house and give them instruction in order to gain the knowledge needed to pass the tests and become Ham's. I should qualify the above to state that I would require the invalid to be a long term invalid and not just have a cold.

Dave WD9BDZ

```
>
> Additional comment:
>
> Will a tester come out to an invalid's house in order to test them? If
> not, how would someone who cannot leave the house get tested to attain
> their license?
>
> I've heard the "if you want to DX and run power, get a license" argument
> ad nauseum. Some people are incapable of passing a code test due to
> dyslexia or other disorders. Some people are incapable of even leaving
> their house. How do those people attain their license?
>
> -SSB


From -3032642388189694141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:53:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 23:53:52 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3AF2279E.8FCB157D@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<9cr4ob$au2$0@pita.alt.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-52.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988948397 184861 204.116.70.53 (4 May 2001 03:53:17
GMT)
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 03:53:17 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15701
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20974

"Arf! Arf!" wrote:
>
> Are you suggesting that drug use and
> abuse has not increased at the same
> rate as alcohol abuse?

There is no known correlation between drug and alcohol use/abuse. For example, drug use had a dramatic increase in the mid to late sixties, while alcohol use remained relatively flat during that same time period. By comparison, alcohol use had a sharp, but much smaller, increase in the late seventies, while drug use remained relatively stable. In the early eighties, alcohol had a sharp decrease, while certain drugs had a sharp increase. In the late eighties, both saw a sharp increase. However, in the early nineties, alcohol remained relatively flat, while certain drugs increase and others decreased. People have looked for a pattern between the two for years, but they just don't seem to follow the same patterns or trends.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -5112211448582921638
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:56:43 PST
Message-ID: <3AF22823.30BA920D@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 22:55:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>

```
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<9cr4ob$au2$0@pita.alt.net> <3AF2279E.8FCB157D@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp 988948131 64.240.47.89 (3 May 2001 22:48:51 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15702
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20975

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> There is no known correlation between drug and alcohol use/abuse.

What???? I saw a TV show on drugs yesterday that said alcohol is
the entry level drug of choice. Almost all illegal drug users
use alcohol first.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -6503235605221394189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 21:01:59 PST
Message-ID: <3AF2299F.AD0CFBF0@bob.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 00:01:36 -0400
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Organization: Unorganized.
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
<%UlI6.6071$Cq3.588332@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.72.232.131
X-Trace: 4 May 2001 04:11:31 GMT, 166.72.232.131
Lines: 10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prserv.net
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!193.174.75.178!news-
fra1.dfn.de!bignews.mediaways.net!abq.news.ans.net!newsfeed.us.prserv.net!
prserv.net!news1.prserv.net!166.72.232.131
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5456
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15703 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22410
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20976
```

"Dennis P. Stahr" wrote:

> What you are to stupid

You mean "too stupid"? Sounds like you and Tom, W8JI, shouldn't be calling
anybody stupid. I can understand taking cheap swings when you really have
nothing to say, though.

```
From 919137565725428810
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 21:03:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "HelmutSweenie" <helmutsweenie@youranus.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
Lines: 116
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <5SpI6.46277$B22.11646129@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 04:03:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 988948993 24.180.184.171 (Thu, 03 May 2001 21:03:13 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:03:13 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5457
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15704 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22411
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20977

What you are saying Sideband is You are asking for a band that is set aside for SSB only use, between certain freqs And you think this might help the congestion, well this band already exists(27.405-27.999)and people are using hundreds of watts with rules and regulations already in place and they are not respected and are ignored, They already have this band yet they still come on 10m. What will be any different then. We should be thankful the FCC hasn't pulled the plug on 11m it is more trouble than what its worth. One day they will cut their losses and axe 11m.
"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not...
> Dan:
>
> Well, apparently you missed the point; or is it that you choose to
> ignore it? Either way, your attitude towards me on this is a bit
> disconcerting. I'll state this again just in case it didn't get through
> the first time" I'm not a freebander, nor do I encourage ANYONE to
> violate FCC rules.
>
> I'm sorry to hear about your mood disorder.
>
> The point was this: Set aside another band for sideband transmissions
> only, to help alleviate some of the congestion on CM (they have no other

> place to go), and perhaps the encroachment on 10M frequencies would tone
> down; perhaps those frequencies between 27.405 and 28.000 that are
> allocated to other services won't be interfered with people calling CQ,
> and perhaps sideband operators on CB's won't get interfered with as much
> by AM carriers.
>
> I'm not proposing a reduction in standards. Personally, I feel when the
> FCC removed the licensing requirement from CB they made a BIG mistake.
> I'm proposing a broadening of bandwidth to help alleviate the congestion
> on CB that causes people who don't know any better to venture onto the
> HAM bands and interfere with licensed operators. If the bands weren't so
> congested, CB'ers might not feel the need to run an amp, because they'd
> be able to make contacts on much less power.
>
> If you're so shortsighted that you don't see the potential good this
> could do, then I'm sorry you feel that way.
>
> Will some idiots out there still run illegal power? Probably. Will
> people who don't know any better still venture out onto bands and
> frequencies where they don't belong? Probably. Unfortunately, without a
> licensing requirement, the lack of knowledge by CB'ers helps cause this
> problem.
>
> This is just my opinion. Your mileage may vary
>
> W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > Did you not suggest a band be set aside just for the illegal idiots?
They
> > you should be able to figure out my response.
> >
> > The SATs having to do with it is....the lowering of the bar. The
reduction
> > of standards to accomidate some person and/or group that is unable, too
> > ignorant, or just STUPID. See my point?
> >
> > Also there ALREADY exists plenty of places to work DX and have fun. Its
> > called Amateur Radio. Unfortunatly without a valid callsign you will be
> > attacked much worse than here.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > news:3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not...
> > > Dan:
> > >
> > > I honestly don't know how to respond to this. Your post below is so
> > > thick with sarcasm I fail to see your point. Perhaps you could tone it
> > > back a few notches and provide some facts (if you can find any) to
back
> > > up your assertion that (1) I'm a liberal, (2) I'm lazy, (3) that I've
> > > operated illegally, and (4) Just what the SAT's have to do with it.
> > >

```
> > > After you've done that, I may consider explaining my reasoning behind
my
> > > opinion so that you might understand it.
> > >
> > > Again, I caution you, do not include me amongst the freebanders; I'm
> > > neither one of them, nor do I condone what they do (as I stated in the
> > > very first sentence of the quote you so vitriously lambasted.)
> > >
> > > -SSB
> > >
> > > W4NTI wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Why? To accomidate a bunch of LAZY illegal fools?  You must be a
> > liberal.  I
> > > > bet you support dropping the standards of a SAT just to accomidate
some
> > lazy
> > > > bum that cant pass it...right?
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > > > news:3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not...
> > > > > I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to
see
> > a
> > > > > sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50
watt
> > > > > limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this
could
> > > > > "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies,
much
> > in
> > > > > the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.
> > > > >
> > > > > Just my two cents, here... Of course, your mileage may vary.
> > > > >
> > > > > -SSB
> > > > >




From -6251018244692981599
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 21:36:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 04:35:55 GMT
References: <20010503064315.01812.00000292@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010504003555.07982.00000959@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20978

In article <20010503064315.01812.00000292@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>I'm Yaecomwood's worst nightmare, by the way.
>
>In what way, and why?

Because I design and build almost all of my own ham gear, Ed. Test equipment, too. And I have done so since I got my license in 1967. I've never owned a non-American-made piece of ham gear, new or used. The equipment I haven't designed/built has mostly been "basket case" American made stuff that I rebuilt into usable shape.

You wanna see a list?

("Yaecomwood" is a term used to indicate the Big Three JA ham equipment manufacturers - Yaesu, Icom and Kenwood)

I've used all sorts of stuff at other hams' stations, so I'm familiar with their products. But I have no desire nor need to buy any "Yaecomwood" products.

Since the ham gear makers depend on hams buying their products, an active ham who has no need of their products is their "worst nightmare". Perhaps that's a bit overstated, because the loss of one customer isn't going to break them. Maybe I should rephrase it to "Yaecomwood's worst customer".

Does Hq get Electric Radio magazine? If so, dig out the issue for July, 1995. Also QST for June, 1994, page 55.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 6121943035616880094
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 21:36:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 155
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 04:35:55 GMT
References: <3af13286.995646@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010504003555.07982.00000958@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20979

In article <3af13286.995646@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill
Sohl) writes:

>>In article <3af06a9f.577404@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill
>>Sohl) writes:
>>
>>>On 02 May 2001 17:24:00 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>>
>>>>>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>>>>>From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
>>>>>Statistically, there was virtually no difference in lowering CW from
>>>>>13 WPM to 5 WPM. This is a repeat of earlier test reductions. The big
>>>>>sales pitch we all heard was we were going to get an influx of new
>>>>>Hams.
>>>>>
>>>>Yup. There has been a surge in the number of Techs over the past year, put
>>>that
>>>>is due in part to the FCC renewing Tech Pluses as Techs after April 15,
>>>2000.
>>>>
>>>>>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$
>>>>
>>>>Bingo.
>>>
>>>Could you provide some evidence of any such finacial
>>>influence?
>>
>>Kenwood will make more money if they sell more radios to hams. More hams
>means
>>more potential customers.
>>
>>I'm Yaecomwood's worst nightmare, by the way.
>
>Your original comment implied financial INFLUENCE over the FCC.

You inferred what I did not imply. The $$$$$ meant that Kenwood would make
money by selling more ham rigs, nothing more.

>In any case, are you suggesting that Kenwood should NOT have
>filed any comments with the FCC regarding the NPRM?

Not at all - everybody has the right to comment.

But I think that it is not in the best interest of the Amateur Radio Service
for the FCC to be so influenced by the comments of a foreign equipment
manufacturer. Just my opinion.
>
Would you defend Kenwood if they had commented that the code tests should be
kept as they were? Would you defend Kenwood if they had commented that the code
tests should be kept as they were and the FCC accepted their comments and acted
on them?

>>>>>Didn't work.
>>>>>
>>>>Too soon to tell, I'd say.
>>>
>>>Too soon to tell what?
>>
>>To soon to tell what the long-term effects are. It's been barely a year.
>
>We'd have seen SOME degree of change if it is going to
>happen at all.

The "didn't work" referred to the changes of 1991 as being intended to increase
growth and failing.
>
>>>>>We created a no-code tech because it was going to be "the answer",
>>>>>yet many of them turned out to not have the faintest interest in the
>>>>>hobby. Of those I know first hand, some never actually took the tests
>>>>>they were supposed to take. Few of them are active or interested. I
>>>>>know about 30 people who received no-codes...only two remain active.
>>>>>One is a 13 WPM General, and the other is a wife who uses the radio to
>>>>>talk to her husband.
>>>>>
>>>>My experience has been quite different. I know many post-1991 Techs who
>are
>>>>very active.
>>>>
>>>>But if you look at the growth of the ARS in the USA in the decade before
>>>>1991, and compare it to the decade since 1991, you will NOT see any
>>>>lasting surge newcomers in the latter decade.
>>>>
>>>>>At MFJ, where the people work with radios, over a dozen people became
>>>>>no-code hams. Of those, only one is active.
>>>>
>>>>Busman's holiday, probably.
>>>>>
>>>>>I would think if CW was a barrier, there would have been a steady
>>>>>stream of no-coders entering the door. Not a big shot that tapered to
>>>>>nothing. It would seem logical that a reduction from 13 WPM to 5 WPM
>>>>>for HF operation would have a large impact on newcomers...yet it
>>>>>didn't.
>>>>
>>>>True. Several reasons:
>>>>

>>>>1) Lotsa folks don't know about the changes
>>>>
>>>>2) Most folks aren't interested, even if you eliminated all tests and gave
>>>>the gear away.
>>>>
>>>>3) Many folks think they don't have the time, money, or resources (like
>>>>antenna space) to do ham radio.
>>>>>
>>>>>I would think the no-code class would be a hit, didn't happen.
>>>>
>>>>It was as much of a hit as its predecessor.
>>>>>
>>>>>Umm, no code has been available for a long time. It hasn't worked so
>>>>>far. Should we wait longer?
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm not discrediting you, but there is nothing that has happened so
>>>>>far that indicates what you predict is true. Suppose we drop CW, and
>>>>>nothing happens except problems. Do you suppose we can put it back
>>>>>later?
>>>>
>>>>Double bingo. No way. The FCC has said for over a decade that they think
>the
>>>>code test only indicates code ability, nothing more or less. The ONLY
>reason
>>>>the FCC kept 5 wpm was because of the international treaty requirement.
>>>
>>>Has there been any chaos because of nocode techs?
>>
>>How would you define "chaos", Bill? I hear things on the ham bands today
>that
>>were unheard-of years ago. Is it because of license changes or because of
>other
>>factors? Who knows?
>
>And those things that we hear today preceeded the nocode
>tech.

They did?

> Indeed, MOST of the real verbal potty mouth
>and other less than courteous behavior is STILL on
>the HF bands and has been for years BEFORE the
>nocode tech.

On VOICE modes. NOT Morse code.
>
>>>Why would going to total NOcode result in problems?
>>
>>No CODE or no CODE TEST?
>
>No Code TEST!

I am relieved to read that.

We shall see what happens in 2003. I don;t think S25.5 has much of a chance, do you?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1253700348428318601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 21:57:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD (Was Steven Spielberg quits....)
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 00:58:39 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com> <3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com> <3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org> <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net> <D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org> <3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net> <3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net> <3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net> <3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net> <3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-52.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988952275 187216 204.116.70.53 (4 May 2001 04:57:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 04:57:55 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15705 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20980

Old Biker wrote:

>
> You're both right, but Dwight is missing
> a point. There are many people with
> alchohol problems but those problems
> primarily affect themselves and the ones
> who love them. The same would be true
> of drug problems if drugs were legalized.
> [snip]   Truth is drug laws have done
> little to reduce the supply, they have
> simply driven prices so high that innocent
> people are being robbed and worse.

I'm not missing the point - I just don't agree with the premise. Yes, alcohol is a major problem for the family, but we cannot so quickly dismiss it's effects on society (DUI, lost production, on the job accidents, and so forth). Drugs, even if legalized, present the same problems for society.

More importantly, the use of alcohol did not decrease following the end of prohibition. In fact, alcohol use, and abuse, has increased every year since prohibition ended (and so have the problems associated with that). Therefore, there is no reason to even suspect that drug use will decrease if drugs are legalized. In fact, if prohibition is any gauge, the use and abuse of those now illegal drugs may actually increase. And, as I said earlier, why compound the alcohol-related problems in our society by adding the problems associated with a growing drug problem (even if we ignore the crimes associated with the illegal drug trade)?

Still more importantly, contrary to the popular myth, the illegal alcohol trade did not decrease after prohibition either. In fact, it actually increased in the years following prohibition as illegal alcohol peddlers simply switched to stronger alcohol products and new markets in smaller towns. In fact, the illegal alcohol trade still exists to this day, though on a much smaller scale than it did in the fifties and sixties (when the trade of illegal alcohol hit it's peak more than 20 years after prohibition ended). Therefore, again if prohibition is any gauge, there is no reason to believe that the illegal drug trade will decrease following any form of drug legalization either. As long as there is money involved, drug dealers will simply follow the early illegal alcohol peddler's lead by switching to even stronger drugs and/or new markets.

So, in the end, the premise that drug legalization will reduce the problems associated with the drug trade, or the problems associated with drug use, is simply not supported by our experience with prohibition.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 7587722174131598608
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 22:00:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!w9wi
From: w9wi@linux1.localdomain (Doug Smith)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Reply-To: me
Message-ID: <slrn9f4al2.phd.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 35
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 05:00:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.116.156
X-Trace: news1.atl 988952412 209.214.116.156 (Fri, 04 May 2001 01:00:12 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 01:00:12 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5458
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15706 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22415
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20981

>Sideband wrote:
>>
>> Doug:
>>
>> Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
>>
>> Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
>> top loaded coil antenna. Two of them make 1 1/4 wave. Maybe I should
>> have said 1 1/4 wave dipole?

Be careful how seriously you take claims on an antenna manufacturer's site.
The major ham magazine QST no longer accepts claims of antenna gain in
advertising, as there were too many attempts to compare apples & oranges.

We may have a difference in definitions.

In amateur radio, loading coils don't count when explaining how long an antenna is. It's the whip that actually does the radiating; loading coils serve to make the impedance of the antenna reasonably close to 50 ohms resistive, to keep the transmitter happy. (an important function certainly, but a very different one from that of the whip. If you redesigned the transmitter and feedline to accomodate the non-resonant load, the loading coil would be completely unnecessary and the antenna would radiate exactly as well and in the same directions.)

Amateurs often use 5/8 wavelength antennas for the 2-meter VHF band. 5/8 of a wavelength at 2 meters is approximately 125cm, or roughly 50". I just measured the one on my truck, it's 47" long. (well within the tolerance of my non-calculator-enhanced math!) There is a coil in the bottom of the antenna, but its sole purpose is to match the antenna to the transmitter.
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

From -5122582576105957117
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 22:06:00 PST
Message-ID: <3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 01:03:43 -0400
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Organization: Unorganized.
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders

```
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 32.100.114.137
X-Trace: 4 May 2001 05:13:43 GMT, 32.100.114.137
Lines: 33
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prserv.net
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!hermes2.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!washdc3-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.us.prserv.net!prserv.net!news1.prserv.net!
32.100.114.137
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22416
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8519 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2493
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2392 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12713
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20982 rec.radio.cb:31826
```

Your statement is unoriginal and weak.

So, you base your respect for people on whether or not they follow the laws
that your local, state and federal government imposes on them?

Let me ask you this then, if you were around during prohibition (were you?),
would you have disrespected bootleggers and consumers of alcoholic beverages
up until the very point where the 18th amendment was repealed?

Good thing all those amendments came along abolishing slavery and granting
women the right to vote or you still wouldn't have respect for women or
Negroes.

http://pages.prodigy.net/krtq73aa/reed2.htm

Bob.

Charles Johnston wrote:

>
> There is - NO RESPECT - for freebanders because - CRIMINALS - deserve
> none!
>
> --
>
> Charles Johnston
> charles@ab7sl.com
>
> AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
> http://www.ab7sl.com

From 7257070875029592835

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 22:11:04 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 05:09:01 GMT
Message-ID: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aee9e2e.510704988@news.accessunited.com>
<20010501081904.02798.00000087@ng-mn1.aol.com> <3aef8015.36238085@news2.dvol.com>
<Z7%H6.20$95.4937@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.77
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.77
X-Trace: 4 May 2001 00:05:22 -0500, 64.24.17.77
Lines: 134
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20983

On Wed, 2 May 2001 17:37:00 -0400, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
wrote:

>Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote:
>
>> The huge difference between yesteryear and today and what attracted
>> kids to techo pastimes back then vs. now is the availability of the
>> family computer(s) today which didn't exist back then.
>
>Learning to write applications using Visual <fill in language> is a
>technical use of the computer. Using the family computer to web surf or
>play a video game is not.
>
Computers compete for kid's time, my 9-year-old grandson packs a
Daytimers, sometimes I manage to squeeze into his schedule. Surfing is
a pastime which kids in general today find is more fun than ham radio.
>
Brings up the discussion about the "kinds" of hams we're talking
about. There were two basic kinds of Novices back then. Those who
bought off-the-shelf equipment and those who rolled their own,
operators & soldering iron jocks, rather different critters and that
gulf is clearly still out there.
>
Those who rolled their own are analogous to the VB and .html warriors
of today. Those who bought their gear instead are analagous to the
plug 'n play Web surfers of today.
>
There was a hybrid certainly in the earliest days of the Novice
license - those who built their own or boogered cheap military surplus

gear to get onto the Novice bands because we couldn't afford the plug 'n play catalog goodies thus we couldn't get on the air unless the soddering arn came into play. I was one of those and still am. If I can afford to buy it I ain't about to build it. Sometimes if what I want isn't in the catalogs I grudgingly drag out the damned soddering arn again. Fortunately I don't have to do that very often any more, thankew fat ham gear catalogs which we didn't have back when.
>
That whole build or die ethos has been lost of course.
>
>Only a few of those thousand students in my high
>school would have wanted to do the former; the other 998 would have done the
>latter. We had plenty of distractions available in my wild youth, too, and
>still a small percentage of us chose to become hams. Both Roy Sanden and I
>are still active.
>
I was pretty lucky in this respect I guess. We had 1,500 kids in my public high school including a *bunch* of hams and a very active school-sponsored ham station. Those guys were on 220nHz with an 829B in 1952. Plus I was raised in a tiny town which has always had a disproportionate number of hams. It was a great enviornment for a wannabe ham kid. Most of the hometown OTs have passed away but I can count five of us former UDHS Novices who are still active.
>
Yeah, sure we had "distractions". My General ticket arrived the day of my Junior Prom. Immediately after the prom (like ten minutes after) amidst much feminine screeching and tears I dumped my hormonally-crazed redhead squeeze on her doorstep at midnite, laid a patch outta there with Dad's Pontiac and split for home to get on the radio. Cranked the ARC-5 down to 3.505 and pounded out a CQ with my heart racing and yahoo holyshit here comes g5zk, my first dx contact. In my tuxedo. How good can ham radio get? . .
>
(PS) Isabelle went on to marry a typical EE and had ten kids and she keeps telling me we're still not speaking.
>
>Compared to my studing a 4-page study guide and memorizing 26 characters, 10
>numbers and a few punctuations and prosigns? Quite a bit tougher, IMHO.
>
Nah. The test technical "challenges" are roughly the same but all ya got was crystal-controlled 50w worth of cw in the HF Novice bands. Nobody bothered with the 2m phone thing.
>
>
Trudge to the nearest FCC office (100 miles? 150 miles?) to take the entry-level test during their 9-5 office hours. Yeah, sure: Like Reverend Ralph the Dean of Boys passed out excuse slips to skip class so ya could go downtown to take a stupid ham radio license test.
>
Screw up the test, any element and don't come back to try again for 30 days then take the whole test over again, ALL of it, writtens and code test. Do 13wpm within a year or forget ham radio forever.
>

Get a string of 25 5wpm characters correct on paper without any
errors, none, or come back next month and do the whole drill AGAIN.
>
Ignoring the code test if the nocodes had to put up with that regime
there wouldn't be anywhere near as many of 'em as we have now.
>
>It ain't some mythical "cyberspace," either. I feel I am having real
>convesations with real people.
>
There is no difference at all in this respect between a "wave orf the
future" PSK qso and an instant messaging "qso". Except that the
instant messaging "band" is always open, ya don't need to hang any
antennas and ya don't need to accumulate CSCEs to be allowed to do
it.
>
>
>Yes, but back then, there actually was significant activity on the Novice
>segments.
>
Hell, you're preaching to the choir dude. I nailed an 80m Novice WAS.
>
> I bet if you tune the 80 meter Novice/Tech+ segment of the band
>right now, you hear no more than 5 signals, and 3 of those will be Canadians
>if you are in the Northeast.
>
I've done that several times recently. Nobody home. Dismal. My roots
have died. Whatta shame.
>
>
>Well, back then, there were 150,000 hams and there are 650,000 today. Seems
>pretty odd that we have seen such an increase in what some claim no one is
>doing.
>
Bingo. The growth of ham radio has completely outstripped the growth
of the population in this country and I fully expect it will continue
to do so. I just luv the "ham radio is dying" posts. As if!
>
>Good point; I like it. But that tower of babble comes from all the hams
>that some say are playing with computers instead of ham radio.
>
I'll twist it further. It's a matter of understanding the marketing
dynamics and accepting current realities. Kids are not gonna dive into
ham radio like they did in days of yore, they have "more exciting"
things to do like surfing. Ham radio will increasing become an older
folks pastime, it takes maturity to get the drift and attractions of
ham radio these days and they should be the target market. They have
to money and the time the kids don't have.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

```
From 860560767602649713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 22:25:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!
news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF23D4F.161058C@mailcity.com>
From: GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3AF1EC7E.D997F2B6@IEEE.org> <3AF1F495.4387880D@mailcity.com>
<3AF21EBA.25225B14@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-wpugckoGFLlneuM3qFcoHO0LxkDTjU/O3dKerWugIjIlc/n
Lines: 26
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 00:25:08 CDT
Organization: Giganews.Com - Premium News Outsourcing
X-Trace: sv3-
qZReP46oIlKXgEgQpKeq4mqHQJQkZ7vVilUeIrzd1FSCofFWpGs2szhXPEEDbp3PYmxycAjaGXvFl1B!
RnmkXKsBjHzMT6vBjMzRC1xeYb72kIK8JRd7M5DbbgesrNwlJbmHrlGZdw0x
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 05:25:08 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5459
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15707 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22417
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20984
```

Sorry, but in Texas if the owner is paying the taxes on the property, it doesn't matter if he never uses it, it still belongs to him and no one can claim ownership by just using it. I have some pasture land in west Texas that hasn't been used in some years, but I pay the taxes on it every year. You go and try to use it and claim it as yours and see how far you get. If however I fail to pay the taxes for a period of

time and you come along and pay the taxes for a number of years (I
don't off hand recall just how many years are required), then you may
have claim.

W6RCecilA wrote:

```
> GG wrote:
> > I picked a good analogy, I have no right to use his property just
> > because I observe it does not appear to be in use by the owner.
>
> Don't know about other states, but in Texas you have every right
> to use property that does not appear to be in use by the owner.
> If you use it long enough, it legally belongs to you.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From 5262160404965531500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 22:35:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 01:36:46 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3AF23FA5.9DDDC691@sccoast.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-52.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988954555 188121 204.116.70.53 (4 May 2001 05:35:55
```

GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 05:35:55 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5460
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15708 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22418
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20985

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Bob wrote:
> > How serious a crime is it? Your assignment -
> > think of all the crimes you can, where does
> > freebanding fit it?
>
> That's a pretty good question. Unless I'm
> missing something, I would rank it right up
> there with driving on the shoulder of the
> road where such is illegal.

Can I move next door to you and operate an illegal CB radio with an old 250 watt tube linear? After about a week of hearing me on your television, stereo, telephone, and who knows what else, I doubt you will consider it such a minor problem. But, don't get excited, I'm only going to be your neighbor for the rest of your life (or until you're forced to move).

And don't think for a moment you're going to filter your equipment to get rid of the problem - my over modulated radio, and my old tube type linear, are so dirty it will take a dozen filters just to cut some of the problem on your television (after that you can worry about all the other stuff you own). Besides, don't waste your effort - a friend has a 600 watt tube type linear that I want and it is in even worse shape then the one I have now.

Cecil, only a person who has never lived next door to one of these "freebanders," or a person running an illegal setup himself, would attempt to minimize the problem like you did above. Since I don't believe you're running an illegal setup, I can only assume that you've never lived next door to one of these fools.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -7073693031376494443
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public

X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 23:03:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 02:04:01 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3AF245FF.D57915AF@sccoast.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-52.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988956188 188793 204.116.70.53 (4 May 2001 06:03:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 06:03:08 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5461 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15709 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22419 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20986

Sideband wrote:
>
> So when a freebander is transmitting with
> legal power levels on the legal 40 they're
> still breaking the law?

If they're using the same radio they use to "freeband" with, yes. The radio is either not approved for CB use or has been illegally modified to operate outside the CB frequencies.

> I don't condone violations of FCC rules.
> However, I would like to see a sideband
> only band above channel 40, but below

> 27.800, with a 50 watt limit, for CB'ers
> to DX on... The frequencies allocated
> for this could "go around" the currently
> allocated forestry, etc, frequencies,
> much in the same way the CB frequencies
> skip over the RC freq's.

And what are you going to do with all of the users those frequencies are already assigned to? I've seen a list of government frequencies (especially military) and there is very little free space available between the CB band and the 10-meter ham band. They all seem to be special use (used under specific circumstances), but they are assigned none the less.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 1954599669100022309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 23:18:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 06:20:42 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3af2410a.21171500@news.cet.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/16.235

X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 38
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22420
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8520 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2494
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2393 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12714
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20987 rec.radio.cb:31836

On Fri, 04 May 2001 01:03:43 -0400, Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:

>Your statement is unoriginal and weak.
>
>So, you base your respect for people on whether or not they follow the laws
>that your local, state and federal government imposes on them?

Well, just take a look at Bill Clinton ....

>Let me ask you this then, if you were around during prohibition (were you?),
>would you have disrespected bootleggers and consumers of alcoholic beverages
>up until the very point where the 18th amendment was repealed?

Probably not. However I do respect the values of H.D.T. At least he broke the law for much better reasons than to get drunk or to make an easy buck.

>Good thing all those amendments came along abolishing slavery and granting
>women the right to vote or you still wouldn't have respect for women or
>Negroes.

You are way off base, here. Many people gave their lives in order to abolish slavery, and many of those that died weren't slaves. The right for women to vote wasn't granted because the government gave up chasing women out of the toll booths. These examples don't even compare to the actions of most radio pirates. There are right ways and wrong ways to change the law, but radio pirates don't even try.

In fact, freebanders do NOTHING to try and change the law except to whine about the FCC. I haven't seen anyone in rec.radio.cb, or anywhere else for that matter, make even a half-assed effort to try and change the laws regarding CB radio. That's because the collective mentality for freebanders is to "do what you want and fuck the law, at least until you get caught." They, like most criminals, want something for nothing at the expense of others. I'm sorry, Bob, but that just isn't an attitude that deserves ANY respect.

posted from rec.radio.cb

From -5916310918084889436
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 23:20:30 PST
Message-ID: <3AF24A0D.156C452C@bob.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 02:19:57 -0400
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Organization: Unorganized.
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3af1f030.22142745@news.accessunited.com> <3AF1F3C9.19DF1A6C@mailcity.com>
<3af203ab.27130215@news.accessunited.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 32.100.114.97
X-Trace: 4 May 2001 06:29:55 GMT, 32.100.114.97
Lines: 22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prserv.net
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-
snh1.gtei.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.us.prserv.net!
prserv.net!news1.prserv.net!32.100.114.97
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5462
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15711 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22421
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20988
```

Tom W8JI wrote:

> They are also spreading to all other frequencies in the HF spectrum.

You really are "out of the know". Do you really think Freebanding CBers are getting smarter and they are all spreading out over the entire HF band? 99% don't even know anything other than "40 below" and "40 above". HF? Multiband antennas? Yeah right.

Most of the interference I hear on HF is cause by spanish speaking stations from Central and South America. I have no problem working around interference, with DSP and my highly tuned directional antennas. Perhaps you should upgrade from that G5RV and maybe come up with some ways to deal with interference, you know try to advance the technology or your operating skills. Nahhh....I would rather listen to you bitch on this newsgroup.

Bob.

From 272722960847516315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 23:25:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 02:26:08 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3AF24B28.8139C70@sccoast.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-52.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988957513 189186 204.116.70.53 (4 May 2001 06:25:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 06:25:13 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5463
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15712 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22422
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20989

Sideband wrote:
>
> I honestly don't know how to respond to
> this. Your post below is so thick with
> sarcasm I fail to see your point. Perhaps

> you could tone it back a few notches and
> provide some facts (if you can find any)
> to back up your assertion that (1) I'm a
> liberal, (2) I'm lazy, (3) that I've
> operated illegally, and (4) Just what
> the SAT's have to do with it.

Sideband, since Dan was talking about your suggestion of a new band for "freebanders," I think he was referring to "freebanders" when he said "a bunch of LAZY illegal fools." Since you insist you're not a "freebander," why would you get so offended by that? And he said you "must" be a liberal, not that you "are" a liberal. There is a slight difference.

Take the chip off your shoulder and you might not be so offended by what others are saying. You've raised a touchy issue in the ham radio community, so you should not be overly surprised that you're hearing a lot of strong opinions on the matter.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 5412097939635613033
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 23:39:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 02:40:44 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3AF24E92.F1F20B41@sccoast.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net> <3AF16151.24AD1ECF@some.net> <9cs189$4j1$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net> <3AF1BAD4.AA7CD86D@mailcity.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-52.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988958388 189339 204.116.70.53 (4 May 2001 06:39:48
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 06:39:48 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5464
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15713 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22423
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20990

GG wrote:
>
> W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > You heard 20 phone lately?  Same mentality.
>
>
> As Charlie Brown would say, "good grief".
> There is always someone who spouts this to
> try to compare the ham bands to cb. Have you
> listened to such and such band, such and such
> frequency? No one argues that there are morons
 on the ham bands who act like cbers and on certain
> frequencies you can find them, but on 99.99
> percent of the other frequencies you find hams
> obeying the rules and engaging in courteous
> communication. Even with the few morons on the
> ham bands, there is no comparison between ham
> radio and cb. It's like comparing apples to a
> sewer pit.


  Hear! Hear!  I've listened to 20 meters many times and have never
heard anything close to the garbage I hear all the time on the local
CB frequencies.  I have the obligatory CB radio in my SUV and I'm
actually embarrassed to even turn it on while passengers are in the
vehicle.  Even the worst of ham radio does not compare to CB.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net


From -2500416409572295489
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 00:17:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!usenet
From: "Arf! Arf!" <DoggieDaze@Liberal.comz>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 02:17:27 -0500
Organization: Altopia Corp. - Usenet Access - http://www.altopia.com
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9ctl1v$le$0@pita.alt.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
<3AF16151.24AD1ECF@some.net> <9cs189$4j1$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF1BAD4.AA7CD86D@mailcity.com> <3AF24E92.F1F20B41@sccoast.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5465
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15716 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22426
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20991


"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3AF24E92.F1F20B41@sccoast.net...
> GG wrote:
> >
> > W4NTI wrote:
> > >
> > > You heard 20 phone lately?  Same mentality.

The bottom line here is that "freebanders" are running illegally. No ifs, no
ands, and no buts about it. Illegal is just that....illegal. Now go take on
the day.




From 2646568350136946487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
```

X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 00:50:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 45
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:50:15 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988962491 216.234.103.49 (Fri, 04 May 2001 02:48:11 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 02:48:11 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5466
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15720 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22427
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20992

Well, I'm heard with a 2 watt carrier over 20 miles away straight shot,
so I must have done something right.

::shrug::

-SSB

"David G. Nagel" wrote:
>
> No. Two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's do not make a 1 1/4 wave dipole. They
> make a dipole composed of two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's. This
> configuration will not make a truly efficient antenna. Read up on
> collinear antenna's and dipole antenna's. You will see that what you
> have is nothing. However for you sake I do hope it works to your
> satisfaction. I prefer something else...
>
> Dave WD9BDZ
>
> Sideband wrote:
> >
> > Doug:

> >
> > Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
> >
> > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
> > top loaded coil antenna. Two of them make 1 1/4 wave. Maybe I should
> > have said 1 1/4 wave dipole?
> >
> > -SSB
> >
> > Doug Smith wrote:
> > >
> > > On Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400, Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote:
> > > >them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two 4' Wilson
> > >
> > > Uh, 8 feet is not 5/8 wave on 11 meters. A 102" (8-1/2') whip is 1/4 wave,
> > > so a 5/8 wave would be a bit more than 17 feet long.
> > >
> > > (you should try a 102" whip, I think you're likely to find it more effective
> > > than the twin four-footers. Because you won't have loading-coil losses.
> > > Mine worked *fantastically* well on 10 meters with a converted SSB CB.)
> > > --
> > > Doug Smith W9WI
> > > Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> > > http://www.w9wi.com

From -1657189151041245844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 02:10:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 09:09:20 GMT
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010504050920.12863.00002095@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20993

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>Computers compete for kid's time, my 9-year-old grandson packs a
>Daytimers, sometimes I manage to squeeze into his schedule. Surfing is
>a pastime which kids in general today find is more fun than ham radio.

There were plenty of things to compete for kids' time in my era, too, and most
of my friends preferred them to ham radio way back then.

>There were two basic kinds of Novices back then. Those who
>bought off-the-shelf equipment and those who rolled their own,
>operators & soldering iron jocks, rather different critters and that
>gulf is clearly still out there.

The former were a much larger number than the latter, especially for the Novice class. I did not build my own rig.

>That whole build or die ethos has been lost of course.

I go to many ham flea markets and I see rows of dealers and individuals selling parts. I see hams buying them. They are doing something with them.

>I've done that several times recently. Nobody home. Dismal. My roots
>have died. Whatta shame.

Yeah, but keep in mind that the crowded Novice band made it very easy for us to go from 5 wpm to 13 wpm doing what is fun -- making contacts on the air. The ham of today has to do it with code-practice or computer drills, not nearly the same. If it looks different to that ham, it is because it IS different, and any old timer who tells him that learning code to 13 wpm is easy is not taking that difference into account.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3044133035957235734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 02:14:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 09:13:43 GMT
References: <20010503124515.24866.00000595@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010504051343.12863.00002096@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20994

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>Hare mentioned no Extra, Advanced, General, Novice or Technician
>Plus licensees. Only the Technician class, some who "were not
>active." Why the emphasis on Technician class?

Because I believe that more of the Technician Class did not become active on the air than the Extra Class.

73,
EdHare, W1RFI

From -8783175581121101463
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 02:53:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not> <3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
Lines: 50
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <X_uI6.4415$4f7.313003@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 09:53:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.221
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988970039 12.75.102.221 (Fri, 04 May 2001 09:53:59 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 09:53:59 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5467
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15724 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22428
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20995

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not...
> Will a tester come out to an invalid's house in order to test them? If

> not, how would someone who cannot leave the house get tested to attain their license?
>
> I've heard the "if you want to DX and run power, get a license" argument ad nauseum. Some people are incapable of passing a code test due to dyslexia or other disorders. Some people are incapable of even leaving their house. How do those people attain their license?
>
> -SSB

For what it is worth, in my VE days in Wausau WI I went up to Merrill to administer an exam to a housebound man who for reasons known only unto him wanted DXCC before he died. I and my partners read an Extra to a blind man after a regular testing session in Wisconsin Rapids at about -35 degrees costing me a few bucks to get moving again.

I have a friend of many years standing who tried to learn code as both a left and right-handed activity at the same time and ran into a brutal 'hump' at about 6wpm. We had to literally tie his left hand in his belt, pump code into the right ear only, and such things to get him to do it only one way. As he holds an Advanced, it must have worked. This is not dyslexia by any means but 5wpm can be worked around.

I have long advocated the eventual outlawing of AM on CB freqs simply because it is nitro in the hands of children. To do this, it would seem that setting up a truckers band (FM, PL, the whole enchilada) to prevent these 'opinion leaders' into ownership mode instead of wandering farther afield would make sense. Adding a sideband segment might fit into this. Marine freqs are also plagued by this sort of thing. The early phone companies did not view pointless babbling and time wasting as valid activities either and lived to regret it. If your point is that we are making the same mistake I tend to agree.

Some years ago a university I happened to be attending built a new library. They installed a few paved paths in obvious places to get to it and waited a few years to pave the paths the students made. This was a simple and practical approach as opposed to putting them in right away and planting landmines to keep the students on the paths. As Flaubert, a French poobah, once said of taxation, the object of plucking a goose is maximum feathers with minimum hissing.

Dick N�BK

From 1696380037153586618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025

X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 03:59:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not> <3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcsbum4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <pYvI6.13260$2_.4726857@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 10:59:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988973973 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 04 May 2001 03:59:33
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:59:33 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22434
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5468 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15727
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20996

Not to mention most ve's did testing at thte testee's (that doesn't sound
quite right ...) house ...

--
Rob St.Denis

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gcsbum4.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On Thu, 03 May 2001 22:31:46 -0400, Sideband wrote:
>
> >Will a tester come out to an invalid's house in order to test them? If
> >not, how would someone who cannot leave the house get tested to attain
> >their license?
>

```
>   The answer is yes.  Even back when the tests were only given
>   at FCC examination points, special arrangements were always
>   made for folks who could not travel or who were blind or
>   partially deaf.
>
> --
>   73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

```
From 2624371718734135475
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 04:12:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 55
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 11:11:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988974663 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 04 May 2001 04:11:03 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 04:11:03 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22436 rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8530 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2499 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2394 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12730 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20997 rec.radio.cb:31906

"Bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com...
> Your statement is unoriginal and weak.

You mean short and to the point ...

>
> So, you base your respect for people on whether or not they follow the
laws
> that your local, state and federal government imposes on them?

It's a simple law that you choose to disobey, you obviously think yourself grandiose enough to decide which law should be followed and which shouldn't. If you choose to disobey those laws what about others?

>
> Let me ask you this then, if you were around during prohibition (were
you?),
> would you have disrespected bootleggers and consumers of alcoholic
beverages
> up until the very point where the 18th amendment was repealed?

You are getting the idea ... why respect criminals ??? I'm pretty sure you don't go to local penn's to drop off stuff for the criminals inside (as you would call them ... "good buddy")

> Good thing all those amendments came along abolishing slavery and granting
> women the right to vote or you still wouldn't have respect for women or
> Negroes.

If a law is wrong lobby to change it ... don't sit back in your homes breaking it every chance you get ... and please don't ever compare yourself to women and african americans of back then ... you are not oppressed.

The test is a joke ... I did mine when I was 11 with a grand total of about 2 weeks of studying for it (on my own I might add) ... its 100 questions multiple choice (up here anyways) and is general knowledge any operator should know.

The only thing stopping you from operating legally on the ham bands is your ignorance and your spite for rules and regs.

>
> http://pages.prodigy.net/krtq73aa/reed2.htm
>
>
> Bob.

--
Rob St.Denis

From -6629797635243122294
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 04:18:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF29002.97AB689C@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not> <3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not>
<X_uI6.4415$4f7.313003@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 82
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 07:18:26 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988974982 216.234.103.49 (Fri, 04 May 2001 06:16:22 CDT)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 06:16:22 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5469
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15729 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22437
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20998

Comments within:

Richard McCollum wrote:

> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not...
> > Will a tester come out to an invalid's house in order to test them? If
> > not, how would someone who cannot leave the house get tested to attain
> > their license?
> >
> > I've heard the "if you want to DX and run power, get a license" argument
> > ad nauseum. Some people are incapable of passing a code test due to
> > dyslexia or other disorders. Some people are incapable of even leaving
> > their house. How do those people attain their license?
> >
> > -SSB
>
> For what it is worth, in my VE days in Wausau WI I went up to Merrill to
> administer an exam to a housebound man who for reasons known only unto him
> wanted DXCC before he died. I and my partners read an Extra to a blind man
> after a regular testing session in Wisconsin Rapids at about -35 degrees
> costing me a few bucks to get moving again.

I didn't know this went on. Kudos to you, Dick. Highly commendable.

> I have a friend of many years standing who tried to learn code as both a
> left and right-handed activity at the same time and ran into a brutal 'hump'
> at about 6wpm. We had to literally tie his left hand in his belt, pump code
> into the right ear only, and such things to get him to do it only one way.
> As he holds an Advanced, it must have worked. This is not dyslexia by any
> means but 5wpm can be worked around.

And I'm still trying to work around it, only my "hump" is right at about
2 WPM.

> I have long advocated the eventual outlawing of AM on CB freqs simply
> because it is nitro in the hands of children. To do this, it would seem
> that setting up a truckers band (FM, PL, the whole enchilada) to prevent
> these 'opinion leaders' into ownership mode instead of wandering farther
> afield would make sense. Adding a sideband segment might fit into this.
> Marine freqs are also plagued by this sort of thing. The early phone
> companies did not view pointless babbling and time wasting as valid
> activities either and lived to regret it. If your point is that we are
> making the same mistake I tend to agree.

Actually, Dick, you just reminded me of this precise point, which I had
wanted to make, yet had forgotten to.

AM is a volatile emission mode, IMHO. Of course, FM isn't much better; if the deviation is turned up too high, it "bleeds over" just as badly as over modulation and carrier instabilities bleed over on AM. I prefer sideband for a multitude of reasons. This is one of them.

> Some years ago a university I happened to be attending built a new library. They installed a few paved paths in obvious places to get to it and waited a few years to pave the paths the students made. This was a simple and practical approach as opposed to putting them in right away and planting landmines to keep the students on the paths. As Flaubert, a French poobah, once said of taxation, the object of plucking a goose is maximum feathers with minimum hissing.

If you're speaking of Calvin College, in Grand Rapids, MI, I attended the same School. Escher started this trend when the school was designed and first built, in keeping with his ideals of letting his buildings flow with nature as much as possible.

>
> Dick N�BK

Basically, CB needs to be revamped. CB'ers need to be educated about what's "loud" and what causes interference, what "gets out" and what bleeds over. Modes need to change, and bandwidth needs to be reallocated. Leave 1-40 for the AM'ers, and set aside a band for FM and a band for SSB either above 40 or below 1. There are some unallocated frequencies there, I'm sure. I DO NOT want to make HAMs give up any more bandwidth, and I don't want to take seldom used emergency frequencies from other services, but the whole of 27.405-27.800 can't be allocated.... at least I've never seen a chart that shows anything allocated in that area. (Maybe someone has a URL to a chart/page that lists all allocated frequencies?)

-SSB

From -2966457206656928011
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 05:09:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra

dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <b_wI6.13720$2_.4816958@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 12:09:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988978183 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 04 May 2001 05:09:43 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 05:09:43 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5471
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15730 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22442
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20999

I regularily do simplex on the bike from more than that with a 2m ht with 1/2 watt out ... big deal. I drive to the fiancee's place 50miles from where I sit and chit chat back and forth with my father on 10m with 10w output on ssb ... so what? I use a 1/4 wave antenna mounted on the trunk of my car ...

--
Rob St.Denis

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not...
> Well, I'm heard with a 2 watt carrier over 20 miles away straight shot,
> so I must have done something right.
>
> ::shrug::
>
> -SSB

From 150200974012476517
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 05:38:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!
news-xfer.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-
mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
Message-ID: <3af2a15c.67505698@news.accessunited.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not> <5SpI6.46277$B22.11646129@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 25
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 12:38:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.40
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988979884 216.1.128.40 (Fri, 04 May 2001 08:38:04
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 08:38:04 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5473
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15732 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22444
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21000
```

On Fri, 04 May 2001 04:03:13 GMT, "HelmutSweenie"
<helmutsweenie@youranus.com> wrote:

>What you are saying Sideband is You are asking for a band that is set aside for SSB only use, between certain freqs And you think this might help the congestion, well this band already exists(27.405-27.999)and people are using hundreds of watts with rules and regulations already in place and they are not respected and are ignored, They already have this band yet they still come on 10m. What will be any different then. We should be thankful the FCC hasn't pulled the plug on 11m it is more trouble than what its worth. One day they will cut their losses and axe 11m.

Ten emeters is already ruined, for the most part. There are SSB operators and truck drivers all over the low end.

It is happening on 160 meters through ten meters, and even in the two-way land mobile bands.

We don't need to reduce code requirements, or expand bands. The idiots operating "freeband" are taking care of it all on their own. They have no respect for anyone else, and demand we accept them and respect them for ruining whatever frquencies they choose. And the SSB ops are the worse of the lot.

What a joke.

From 5479642995287235197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7f2b3182f9d4bb94
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 05:38:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 12:37:48 GMT
References: <mceI6.8383$SZ5.687781@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: In Case You Forgot The Question, Hansel......
Message-ID: <20010504083748.00134.00003324@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21001

>Subject: Re: In Case You Forgot The Question, Hansel......
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net

>Professional Courtesy is a specific term for a free or reduced price service
>performed by a professional person (ie., Medical Doctor, Attorney, etc.) for
>another person in the same profession for which he would otherwise charge a
>fee to the general public. For example, a dentist might treat the spouse or
>children of other dentists for free or at a reduced rate as a professional
>courtesy.

OK, Hansel...if you need to extricate yorself from this with some dictionary definition to justify your NON-answer, then be my guest.

However like most other applications of a term or definition in the English language, this one too can be interpreted in more than one context.

Nothing stops me from acting professionally although the "strictest" definition of "professional" in YOUR application does not exist.

Your ranting notwithstanding, I did extend them the "professional courtesy" of dealing with the problem in the manner that I did.

You have still not answered the questions, however, and I guess I did not expect you too.

You haven't got the guts.

(1) Was the information incorrect?

(2) Could failure to correct the situation NOT have cost the department NAL's had the FCC sought to do so? (of which MY taxes would have been used to pay any fine)

(3) Do I not have the right to interact with local government when something I know to be wrong is occuring?

>By definition, an "amateur" cannot extend a "professional courtesy".

Perhaps YOU can't, Hans. I CAN.

>Good luck on this one now!

No luck needed here, Hans. You have made it too easy this time. As you ususally do.

Answer the questions, please.

The Gunny

```
From 5695064187176412541
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 05:38:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: 4 May 2001 12:38:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9cu7rv$6ar$0@208.207.71.168>
```

```
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF0A101.CD48E752@IEEE.org> <3AF0A5BE.486CBDA9@coqui.net>
<3AF0B237.6672F147@IEEE.org> <3AF0D598.1734@bellsouth.net>
<3af19c6f.79380617@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.168
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5474
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15733 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22445
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21002



"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Wed, 02 May 2001 23:50:48 -0400, Jerry Oxendine
> <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >THAT is the potential harm.  That is the reason it is illegal--not be-
> >cause FCC is trying to mess up somebody's fun.
> >
> Right on the button Jerry. What we're dealing with here is a severly
> underfunded federal regulatory agency who has lost it's teeth and
> would rather roll over and play dead and surrender to anarchy rather
> than make a stink.


 Reality is that they don't have much choice, other than to incurr the
wrath of the people holding not only the purse but also the
hammer....Yell, and you flush your carreer...


> Some day somewhere some people will die, there will
> be CYA "congressional investigations", yeah, right: Too late as usual.


 Yet again...


From 6390021310550662861
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7f2b3182f9d4bb94
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 05:52:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
```

References: <mceI6.8383$SZ5.687781@www.newsranger.com>
<20010504083748.00134.00003324@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: In Case You Forgot The Question, Hansel......
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <hBxI6.909$vg1.70120@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 08:51:25 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 12:51:25 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21003

Steve Robeson LPN axed me yet another time:

>
>   (1) Was the information incorrect?
>

For the third time, Steve -- pay attention here now - I'll type slowly so you can keep up........

NO

Now go soak your head. You're boring me.

Hans, K0HB

From 7402356084413950214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 05:56:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>

```
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<9cr4ob$au2$0@pita.alt.net> <3AF2279E.8FCB157D@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <lGxI6.1166$Hk.110613@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 12:56:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.235.64
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988981009 64.152.235.64 (Fri, 04 May
2001 05:56:49 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 05:56:49 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 05:55:16 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21004
```

May we have the cites you reference?

Kim W5TIT

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3AF2279E.8FCB157D@sccoast.net...

```
> "Arf! Arf!" wrote:
> >
> > Are you suggesting that drug use and
> > abuse has not increased at the same
> > rate as alcohol abuse?
>
>
>   There is no known correlation between drug and alcohol use/abuse.
> For example, drug use had a dramatic increase in the mid to late
> sixties, while alcohol use remained relatively flat during that same
> time period.  By comparison, alcohol use had a sharp, but much
> smaller, increase in the late seventies, while drug use remained
> relatively stable.  In the early eighties, alcohol had a sharp
> decrease, while certain drugs had a sharp increase.  In the late
> eighties, both saw a sharp increase.  However, in the early nineties,
> alcohol remained relatively flat, while certain drugs increase and
```

> others decreased.   People have looked for a pattern between the two
> for years, but they just don't seem to follow the same patterns or trends.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>

From -4664466502368029828
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 05:58:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!
POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <3af2a5cd.68642498@news.accessunited.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3af1f030.22142745@news.accessunited.com> <3AF1F3C9.19DF1A6C@mailcity.com>
<3af203ab.27130215@news.accessunited.com> <3AF24A0D.156C452C@bob.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 34
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 12:58:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.40
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988981114 216.1.128.40 (Fri, 04 May 2001 08:58:34 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 08:58:34 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5475
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15734 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22447
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21005

On Fri, 04 May 2001 02:19:57 -0400, Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:

T

>Most of the interference I hear on HF is cause by spanish speaking
>stations from Central and South America. I have no problem working around
>interference, with DSP and my highly tuned directional antennas. Perhaps
>you should upgrade from that G5RV and maybe come up with some ways to deal
>with interference, you know try to advance the technology or your
>operating skills. Nahhh....I would rather listen to you bitch on this
>newsgroup.
>
>Bob.

What a laugh. You think a DSP filter will remove SSB or AM from over
CW?

Get a clue about how filters work.

A narrow signal can be removed from a wide one without affecting the wide signal much, so a very strong CW signal can be removed from a weak SSB signal with little ill effect on the SSB . The converse is not true.

As for your "higly directive antennas" that doesn't work unless the direction of the signals is quite diferent.

The point is the free banders are causing problems, and it is getting worse year by year. Most people who operate HF legally, especially CW, know that.

BTW, what is you callsign?

73 Tom

```
From -2142992135987609346
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 05:59:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!newsfeed.icl.net!
netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!news-xfer.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!
POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <3af2a75b.69041133@news.accessunited.com>
```

References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3af1f030.22142745@news.accessunited.com> <3AF224D5.15801421@bob.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 13
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 12:59:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.40
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988981176 216.1.128.40 (Fri, 04 May 2001 08:59:36
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 08:59:36 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5476
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15735 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22448
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21006

On Thu, 03 May 2001 23:41:09 -0400, Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:

>
>
>Tom W8JI wrote:
>
>>
>> They are just to stupid or uneducated to understand that.
>
>You mean "too stupid"?
 Yes, like you. Also or more
than enough.

From 3984541801578453111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 06:00:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!news-xfer.netaxs.com.MISMATCH!news-xfer.newsread.com!netaxs.com!
newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <3af2a79d.69106999@news.accessunited.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>

```
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
<%UlI6.6071$Cq3.588332@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2299F.AD0CFBF0@bob.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 14
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 13:00:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.40
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988981224 216.1.128.40 (Fri, 04 May 2001 09:00:24
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 09:00:24 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5477
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15736 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22449
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21007

On Fri, 04 May 2001 00:01:36 -0400, Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:

>
>
>"Dennis P. Stahr" wrote:
>
>> What you are to stupid
>
>You mean "too stupid"? Sounds like you and Tom, W8JI, shouldn't be calling
>anybody stupid. I can understand taking cheap swings when you really have
>nothing to say, though.
 Like you do Bob?




From -5558893597104403950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 06:00:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3af02bab.1624141@news.mindspring.com> <3AF0EAA6.4B69624B@sccoast.net>
<3af1349f.1533009@news.mindspring.com> <3AF222C3.5464706E@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <zJxI6.924$vg1.71423@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 09:00:15 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 13:00:15 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21008

Dwight Stewart declared:

> Again, CB enforcement (not local enforcement - CB enforcement as
> a whole) will be a joint effort involving both local law enforcement
> AND the FCC.

"Earth-to-Dwight, earth-to-Dwight, come in Dwight!"

There is a perfect 8-letter word to describe your statement above, and the first four letters are B U L L.

FCC hasn't "enforced CB" for about a quarter-century, and now they are even LESS likely because they have a perfect excuse to pass it off as a local issue.

Good luck on this one now.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -7077008903562135918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 06:09:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
newsfeed.infoave.net.MISMATCH!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 09:09:46 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com>

Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-174.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988981745 197933 204.116.70.175 (4 May 2001 13:09:05
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 13:09:05 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15737
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21009

Bob wrote:
>
> So, you base your respect for people on
> whether or not they follow the laws
> that your local, state and federal
> government imposes on them?

Do you really feel laws are an imposition, Bob? Are you referring to all laws or just the ones you happen to disagree with at any given moment? And, if you truly feel that laws are imposed on you by a government, what system of laws would you prefer instead - no laws, only the laws you agree with, only the laws I agree with, or something else? This "evil, big brother like, government forcing it's will on the people" nonsense is getting a little boring, people. Please do try to come up with something more creative and more accurate.

> Let me ask you this then, if you were around
> during prohibition (were you?), would you
> have disrespected bootleggers and consumers
> of alcoholic beverages up until the very
> point where the 18th amendment was repealed?

I have very little respect for those who blatantly violate the law and that would hardly change just because the law was later changed. In my opinion, that individual would still be a person who is willing to break the law and I could never really trust a person like that - once a person has chosen to break laws, I could never be certain what law that person might choose to break next. Now, please note I'm not talking about minor crap like spitting on the sidewalk or speeding. I'm talking about major laws like prohibition was in it's time, or the federal laws governing radio are today.

> Good thing all those amendments came along
> abolishing slavery and granting women the
> right to vote or you still wouldn't have

> respect for women or Negroes.

Oh, come on, Bob. It is a little absurd to equate "freebanding" with slavery or the women's suffrage movement. The three are not even in the same ballpark.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 1056456508524679587
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 06:22:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 4 May 2001 13:22:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9cuaf4$f81$0@208.207.71.168>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <20010504050920.12863.00002095@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.168
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21010
```

W1RFI wrote:

keep in mind that the crowded Novice band made it very easy for us to
> go from 5 wpm to 13 wpm doing what is fun -- making contacts on the air. The
> ham of today has to do it with code-practice or computer drills, not nearly the
> same. If it looks different to that ham, it is because it IS different, and
> any old timer who tells him that learning code to 13 wpm is easy is not taking
> that difference into account.

Right. And these considerations were basically why I supported a 5wpm General, with expanded privileges. And an effective written test that assures some minimal level of learning.

```
From -5334670818413685684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 06:26:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 08:25:22 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3AF2ADC2.1A363751@IEEE.org>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3AF1EC7E.D997F2B6@IEEE.org> <3AF1F495.4387880D@mailcity.com>
<3AF21EBA.25225B14@IEEE.org> <3AF23D4F.161058C@mailcity.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 May 2001 13:26:51 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5480
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15739 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22453
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21011
```

GG wrote:

> Sorry, but in Texas if the owner is paying the taxes on the property,
> it doesn't matter if he never uses it, it still belongs to him and no
> one can claim ownership by just using it. I have some pasture land in
> west Texas that hasn't been used in some years, but I pay the taxes on
> it every year. You go and try to use it and claim it as yours and see
> how far you get.

If you allow me to nest for a certain number of years without protest, and it is I and not you who does improvements to the property, I can go to court and obtain ownership of the property. Certain conditions must be meet and your property becomes mine.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -799653714543451058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 06:31:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<9cr4ob$au2$0@pita.alt.net> <3AF2279E.8FCB157D@sccoast.net>
<3AF22823.30BA920D@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <PayI6.1278$Hk.118779@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 13:31:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 129.111.93.239
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988983087 129.111.93.239 (Fri, 04 May
2001 06:31:27 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 06:31:27 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 06:29:54 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15740
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21012
```

This doesn't necessarily mean that an increase in one automatically and simultaneously causes an increase in the other. Read Dwight's post again.

AFTER the increase in alcohol use/abuse there was an increase in drug abuse.

One thing that I will note --- there hasn't been a decrease in either.

Kevin, WB5RUE
Why use a big word when a diminutive one will do?

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AF22823.30BA920D@IEEE.org...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > There is no known correlation between drug and alcohol use/abuse.
>
> What???? I saw a TV show on drugs yesterday that said alcohol is
> the entry level drug of choice. Almost all illegal drug users
> use alcohol first.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

From 6908627797317065721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 06:32:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 08:30:25 -0500

```
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 May 2001 13:31:52 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22454
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8536 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2502
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2397 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12735
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21013 rec.radio.cb:31916

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> It's a simple law that you choose to disobey, you obviously think yourself
> grandiose enough to decide which law should be followed and which shouldn't.

You are implying that you have never driven above the speed limit, Rob.
Will you swear that you have never broken a traffic law? Have you never
gotten a traffic ticket or a parking ticket?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 5121678701123548382
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 06:32:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
```

<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<9cr4ob$au2$0@pita.alt.net> <3AF2279E.8FCB157D@sccoast.net>
<lGxI6.1166$Hk.110613@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <WbyI6.1287$Hk.118832@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 13:32:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 129.111.93.239
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988983158 129.111.93.239 (Fri, 04 May
2001 06:32:38 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 06:32:38 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 06:31:05 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21014

Pick any large city at random and the pattern Dwight sites will hold.

Kevin, WB5RUE
Don't be so open-minded that your brain falls out!

"Kim-W5TIT" wrote:
> May we have the cites you reference?
>
> Kim W5TIT

From 8885870638895386254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 06:43:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra

dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 08:41:54 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3AF2B1A2.4F40AE5E@IEEE.org>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF23FA5.9DDDC691@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 May 2001 13:43:05 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5481
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15741 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22455
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21015

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > That's a pretty good question. Unless I'm
> > missing something, I would rank it right up
> > there with driving on the shoulder of the
> > road where such is illegal.
>
>   Can I move next door to you and operate an illegal CB radio with an
> old 250 watt tube linear? After about a week of hearing me on your
> television, stereo, telephone, and who knows what else, I doubt you
> will consider it such a minor problem.

What gave you the idea that I consider it a minor problem? I don't
consider illegally driving on the shoulder of the road to be a minor
problem, do you? Bicyclists can die because of that problem. Do you
care about the RF emissions of freebanders more than the lives of
bicyclists?

>   Cecil, only a person who has never lived next door to one of these
> "freebanders," or a person running an illegal setup himself, would
> attempt to minimize the problem like you did above.

On a scale of crimes, is freebanding a minimum offense compared to
rape and murder? If you shoot the freebander, who would be in the
worst trouble? I am not attempting to minimize the problem, Dwight.
I am attempting to put it in perspective.

Seems you are the one who has minimized the problem of people illegally
driving on the shoulders of roads, putting bicyclists' lives at risk.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -6297127254239199464
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 06:56:01 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 13:54:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988984457 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 04 May 2001 06:54:17
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 06:54:17 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22457
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8537 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2503
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2398 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12736
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21016 rec.radio.cb:31920
```

> You are implying that you have never driven above the speed limit, Rob.
> Will you swear that you have never broken a traffic law? Have you never

> gotten a traffic ticket or a parking ticket?

I have never received a speeding or any other traffic infraction. I have never received a warning. I never speed. I always use my turn signals/mirrors/shoulder check when turning and changing lanes. There is no need to. I always give myself ample time to get anywhere. I have never received a parking ticket either. Speeding is stupid AND illegal.

--
Rob St.Denis

From 7126935416028282040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 07:00:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD (Was Steven Spielberg quits....)
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 08:59:07 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3AF2B5AB.B3848D4F@IEEE.org>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 May 2001 14:00:17 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15743
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21017

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   I'm not missing the point - I just don't agree with the premise.
> Yes, alcohol is a major problem for the family, but we cannot so
> quickly dismiss it's effects on society (DUI, lost production, on the
> job accidents, and so forth).  Drugs, even if legalized, present the
> same problems for society.

Illegal drugs present problems for society. I believe the set of problems
that illegal drugs present for society is more severe than the set of
problems legal drugs present for society. You believe the opposite.
What we are arguing over is the lesser of two evils.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 2030182658062727024
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 07:05:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Dennis P. Stahr" <irfutures@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
<%UlI6.6071$Cq3.588332@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2299F.AD0CFBF0@bob.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <8HyI6.205$M6.37643@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 14:05:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.13.234.28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988985156 63.13.234.28 (Fri, 04 May 2001
```

07:05:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 07:05:56 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 07:04:22 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5483
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15744 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22458
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21018

I stand corrected. Thanks for the cheap swing Bob.

Dennis

>
>
> "Dennis P. Stahr" wrote:
>
> > What you are to stupid
>
> You mean "too stupid"? Sounds like you and Tom, W8JI, shouldn't be calling
> anybody stupid. I can understand taking cheap swings when you really have
> nothing to say, though.
>
>

From 5379703052519688355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 07:05:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>   <3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com>
<3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com> <3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com>
<3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org> <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net>
<3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>

<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<9cr4ob$au2$0@pita.alt.net> <3AF2279E.8FCB157D@sccoast.net>
<lGxI6.1166$Hk.110613@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<WbyI6.1287$Hk.118832@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <dHyI6.206$M6.37515@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 14:06:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.235.64
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988985161 64.152.235.64 (Fri, 04 May 2001 07:06:01 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 07:06:01 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 07:04:27 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21019

It's been so very importantly pointed out many times in this NG that things stated as facts should have true statistical reference. I am just wondering what references Dwight may have used--since his statement seems so factual. I also wonder if the references are available on the WWW. They would be interesting to review--because my *opinion* has always been the opposite. Maybe I should change my opinion--but not just because "I read it here."
:)

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@arrl.net> wrote in message news:WbyI6.1287$Hk.118832@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> Pick any large city at random and the pattern Dwight sites will hold.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
> Don't be so open-minded that your brain falls out!
>
>
> "Kim-W5TIT" wrote:
> > May we have the cites you reference?
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
>
>
>

From 2048803788005024872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 07:10:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 09:07:23 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 May 2001 14:08:43 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22459
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8538 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2505
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2399 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12737
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21020 rec.radio.cb:31922

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> I have never received a speeding or any other traffic infraction. I have
> never received a warning. I never speed. I always use my turn
> signals/mirrors/shoulder check when turning and changing lanes. There is no
> need to. I always give myself ample time to get anywhere. I have never
> received a parking ticket either. Speeding is stupid AND illegal.

I don't believe you, Rob, but if you are telling the truth, you are abnormal and among an insignificant number of citizens.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5770184758718993008
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 11:59:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 14:59:45 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tf3al3maot952a@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 32
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5418
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15652 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22333
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20920

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not...
>
> I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see a
> sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
> limit, for CB'ers to DX on...

Yeah, they are call Ham Radio Bands, and all they need is a Ham Radio License like the one your getting. If CBers want to shoot skip, then let them get a license too. I doubt you had any big problem getting your

license. The written exams up to a General are easy, and for those who want
H.F. the 5 wpm code test is a reasonable speed until the requirement is
eliminated.

What you propose to do is if the FCC can't enforce the rules, then heck,
lets just make it legal, just like the folks who want to legalize drugs. The
only problem with that is where does it stop? Today 26.965 -27.405, tomorrow
26.965 - 27.800, ? 26.965 -29.700, 12m - 10m, ..., you get the idea. 8-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

From 4691231661000522000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 12:22:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!yellow.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!netaxs.newsread.com!POSTED.newshog.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <3af1ad63.5038854@news.accessunited.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF19581.8E84CD40@uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 40
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 19:21:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.64
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: newshog.newsread.com 988917674 216.1.128.64 (Thu, 03 May 2001 15:21:14

EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 15:21:14 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5420
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15656 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22335
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20922

On Thu, 03 May 2001 10:29:37 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>
>> Bob wrote:
>> > How serious a crime is it? Your assignment - think of all the crimes you can,
>> > where does freebanding fit it?
>
>> That's a pretty good question. Unless I'm missing something, I would
>> rank it right up there with driving on the shoulder of the road where
>> such is illegal.
>
>That's pretty good. Or maybe it's like throwing beer bottles and
>McDonalds wrappers out the window on the highway, or driving really slow
>in the ultrafast lane, or parking in handicapped spaces. Ya know,
>something Dennis Leary would sing about.
>
>ac6xg

There is a major difference between something that is simply unsightly, and something that is dangerous.

Freebanding is closer to the example of driving on the shoulder of the road...or the wrong way on a one-way street....or driving without a license...than it is to littering.

If you don't think it has caused problems, look at virtually ALL of the HF amateur bands and many commercial bands. The free-band idiots have ruined commercial services above and below 27 MHz, and are now working on all other frequencies. It ranges from 160 meters up into the two-way land mobile bands.

This is where enforcement come in. What we need to do, is catch a few of them and fine them. If we find a few who fail to comply after repeated warnings, we need to send them to jail. If that fails, we need to execute them...and if that fails, we need to force them to live with N1IR for a week. Eventually they will get the message.

From -4306966154847238148
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 13:31:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "CW" <cmagers@sprynet.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 13:24:43 -0700
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 138
Message-ID: <9csf6h$h25$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.25.71
X-Server-Date: 3 May 2001 20:31:13 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5421
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15660 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22338
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20923

So you're saying that its up to each individual to decide which laws they
should obay?
If you can't see a problem with that then a jail cell is probably going to
be your next place of residence.
--
CW
KC7NOD
"Bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com...
> Good work, Sherlock - you've pointed out it's illegal, *again*. We've
> established that.
>
> How serious a crime is it? Your assignment - think of all the crimes you
can,
> where does freebanding fit it? Maybe you should go spend your time
protesting
> real crimes (I can provide a list if you need one, where do I start...how
about
> NAFTA).
>
> And, of course you don't speed. You're a decent, upstanding citizen - who
turns

> in your neighbor for digging without a permit - thanks for making the
world a
> better, safer place.
>
> Bob.
>
>
>
> W6DKN wrote:
>
> > No amount of self justification will erase the simple fact that what you
> > are doing is a crime. That makes you a criminal, and therefore not
worthy
> > of respect. You sound like the gang bangers in LA bitching because they
are
> > treated like hoodlums.
> >
> > By the way, I do have a cherry 1974 427 FI ' Vette that I take out to LA
> > County Raceway when I want to speed (it turns low 12's with street
tires).
> > When I am driving my Blazer on city streets, I obey the traffic laws.
> > That's what honest people do...
> >
> > 73 de W6DKN
> >
> > "Bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com...
> > > Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
> > >
> > > And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you
wanted
> > to speed,
> > > you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey
man,
> > you do
> > > EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car
driver
> > and do your
> > > speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper
steps
> > to
> > > becoming a race car driver:
> > >
> > > 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man.
Our
> > > forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you
> > learn.
> > > 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing
> > the answers
> > > to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats,
planes,
> > etc.
> > > 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be
> > chastised by

> > > old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the
> > unicycle and
> > > can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps
bad
> > people
> > > from becoming race car drivers.
> > > 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it
doesn't
> > fit race
> > > car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire
> > combination.
> > >
> > > Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to
take
> > those 15
> > > turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well,
ham
> > radio IS
> > > NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit
> > everybody. Maybe
> > > its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my
> > equipment and
> > > make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for
hams.
> > I can
> > > operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the
> > minimum amount
> > > of power necessary for a contact.
> > >
> > > Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is
it
> > immoral? A
> > > crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car
is a
> > far more
> > > worse crime.
> > >
> > > BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally
interfering
> > with any
> > > service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
> > essential)
> > > services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
> > 27.405 -
> > > 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
> > >
> > > Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but
it's
> > illegal,
> > > yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't
> > black and
> > > white.
> > >

> > > Bob.
> > >
> > >
> > > Scott Townley wrote:
> > >
> > > > But you *do* have all the respect you deserve...the same respect we
> > > > all grant to FELONS, which is what you are, buddy...
> > > >
>

```
From -2763714316515751961
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 13:52:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!207.207.0.27!
nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
From: GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-A5+tt9jAX5y048gAE5OXxegnBMhmO5B4dzlD+xblwwKu88=
Lines: 29
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 15:52:22 CDT
X-Trace: sv3-YM340GEUIflf8HcXe4CI5El0MAR7cktYxOZiHShNhOSg3yelG/
CXom+VfFiMynwzeU5OyOtVi6N4Qgz!
jDo0ZooHLEcloymbd4xKBCNJnbD42GFqTOToCD1g+BRFjiGFJfU6jiXcTJppkQ==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
```

Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 20:52:22 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5422
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15661 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22341
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20924

You live in town but you purchased 20 acres of land out in the boonies with the idea of maybe moving there when you retire in the future. I come along and see your land and I don't see a house or anyone using the land. I observe this for a short while and come to the conclusion that no one is using this land. So I build a little shack there, plant my garden, run a cow or two. Is that OK with you? Don't I have a right to do that? After all, you aren't using it. Maybe I am doing something illegal, but after all, why should you object, you aren't using the land and I am apparently hurting no one and there are others committing much more horrendous crimes. Maybe instead of coming out and trying to get me off your land that you aren't using, you should spend you time protesting real crimes.

Bob wrote:
>
> Good work, Sherlock - you've pointed out it's illegal, *again*. We've
> established that.
>
> How serious a crime is it? Your assignment - think of all the crimes you can,
> where does freebanding fit it? Maybe you should go spend your time protesting
> real crimes (I can provide a list if you need one, where do I start...how about
> NAFTA).
>
> And, of course you don't speed. You're a decent, upstanding citizen - who turns
> in your neighbor for digging without a permit - thanks for making the world a
> better, safer place.
>
> Bob.
>

From 7953589858557955858
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 14:01:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: HR-2346
In-Reply-To: <3af06c2a.972163@news.mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105032101470.11829-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>

```
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF0315B.C07FF011@IEEE.org> <3af03218.3268505@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF045EE.52C8F5@IEEE.org> <3af06c2a.972163@news.mindspring.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 25
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:01:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988923688 12.80.44.16 (Thu, 03 May
2001 21:01:28 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:01:28 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20925

On Wed, 2 May 2001, Bill Sohl wrote:
> On Wed, 02 May 2001 12:37:50 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>
> >> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >> >Bill, "operator" implies transmitting.
> >>
> >> True, so let me rephrase: "How does the local cop know
> >> that a person IS operating at all?"
> >
> >Because of the original complaint, of course, which drew the attention
> >of the local cop in the first place. Example: My neighbor calls the cops
> >and says my high-power CB is knocking out their TV. Cop shows up at my
> >door. I present my federal ARS license along with Part 97 stating that
> >I'm legally allowed to run high power.
>
> BUT... in the case of the NON-ham, just how does local cop
> KNOW with any certainty that anyone is operating at all.
> The hearsay of a neighbor with TVI is not sufficient to
> pinpoint any source.

But an investigation or action has to start somewhere...  Why not with a
complaint?




From -7076252312885970198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 14:03:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!195.158.233.21!
news1.ebone.net!news.ebone.net!diablo.theplanet.net!news.indigo.ie!
localhost.localdomain!nobody
From: Eamon Skelton <Xnospam@oceanfree.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Reply-To: Xnospam@oceanfree.net
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
Lines: 18
User-Agent: KNode/0.4
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7Bit
Message-ID: <rq5sc9.68e.ln@localhost.localdomain>
Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 18:51:23 +0100
NNTP-Posting-Host: 194.125.165.108
X-Complaints-To: news@indigo.ie
X-Trace: news.indigo.ie 988923833 194.125.165.108 (Thu, 03 May 2001 22:03:53 BST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 22:03:53 BST
Organization: Indigo
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5423
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15664 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22343
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20926

Bob wrote:

> Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
>
> And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit...........

Do we really need this on r.r.a.homebrew?   Please don't cross-post
this to a 'homebrew' discussion group.

Followup set to: rec.radio.amateur.misc

73, Ed. EI9GQ.

--
Remove 'X' to reply.

Linux 2.4.4
http://www.qsl.net/ei9gq

From 7467670610836605941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 14:10:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!207.207.0.27!
nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF1BAD4.AA7CD86D@mailcity.com>
From: GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
<3AF16151.24AD1ECF@some.net> <9cs189$4j1$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-+fsMsx4k33iTS9K21Ou4GGOST0sBjqPsHXzEhLv97Yu+h4=
Lines: 15
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 16:10:18 CDT
X-Trace: sv3-iLWlE9WxN2nID7kw1OAsfd3cC0y79G8Y/ztt9M3uFzfDSJPrK50BN143v/
YPLxwm049gRxKwzuz2cxT!
19g3Djq4Dz4YSQlVhoI5bGMDj6Y6JSdlcVd1E3lHHPsizs77tJA369MF4TNz1w==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:10:18 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5424
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15665 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22344
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20927
```

As Charlie Brown would say, "good grief". There is always someone who spouts this to try to compare the ham bands to cb. Have you listened to such and such band, such and such frequency? No one argues that there are morons on the ham bands who act like cbers and on certain frequencies you can find them, but on 99.99 percent of the other frequencies you find hams obeying the rules and engaging in courteous communication. Even with the few morons on the ham bands, there is no comparison between ham radio and cb. It's like comparing apples to a sewer pit.

W4NTI wrote:
>
> You heard 20 phone lately? Same mentality.
>
>

From -1769302536655445078
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a9be294a2201e7c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 14:13:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: ARES vs RACES
In-Reply-To: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcq69p0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105032102430.11829-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011942380.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010501212137.12882.00001926@ng-cs1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021947540.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcq69p0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 34
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:13:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988924403 12.80.44.16 (Thu, 03 May 2001 21:13:23 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:13:23 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20928

On Wed, 2 May 2001, Phil Kane wrote:
> On Wed, 02 May 2001 19:49:40 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
>
> >A private contract that a government agency is a party to is a
> >far cry from a statutory provision providing for a similar
> >relationship. In my area, the ARES structure is completely
> >separate from government, or at best, is a volunteer situation,
> >while RACES is not volunteer.
>
>   Oh? Are the RACES members paid or drafted?

Yes - at least one: The person in charge of the local civil defense organization is usually an employee (of the municipality or county government, in its emergency preparedness unit), and thus, paid.

Remember that the "trustee" of a RACES station need not even be a licensed amateur. He only need be a member of the CD organization that applied for the license. Take a look at the trustees of the few RACES licenses that still exist and tell me, what percentage of them work for that city's/county's emergency preparedness department as employees. In order for the CD organization to be what it is, it must be government sponsored, and that means at least one paid member of the organization (the head supervisor).

I'm NOT saying that ALL of the participants under a given RACES license are paid. There are volunteer amateur radio operators in most of these organizations as well. Also, when operating in RACES, these operators are NOT considered as simulataneously operating in the amateur service (cf. WWII operations, which RACES was born out of).

ARES need not have any government affiliation whatsoever. Some ARES groups perform their services incident to private aid organizations such as the Red Cross.

From -7530050335584818948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 14:25:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: HR-2346
In-Reply-To: <3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105032114330.11829-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 40
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:25:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988925121 12.80.44.16 (Thu, 03 May 2001 21:25:21 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:25:21 GMT

Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20929

On Thu, 3 May 2001, Dwight Stewart wrote:
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> > But you failed to realize my other point:
> > The cop isn't going to waste his time
> > to bother to check for a license.....
>
>   Lets be realistic here, Stussy. No cop in his right mind is going
> to get that aggressive, or that excited, over a simple radio
> violation. Cops have been enforcing scanner laws for years, and I
> have yet to hear of a case where the cop overreacted simply because a
> person had a scanner.

Obviously, you have had your head in the sand, Stewart. (Well, since you're going to address me by only my last name, you deserve the same.)

There have been cases where police have arrested licensed amateurs just because their radios were capable of receiving the police department's allocated frequency. Just how do you think the question posed in FCC ruling 93-17 originally came about?

One situation that comes to mind was in Loudonville, NY (Albany area) about 2-3 years ago. This was reported on "This Week in Amateur Radio," and it is not an isolated incident. It turned out that the police were overly paranoid because they believed that the suspects in a robbery were using scanners to evade them. However, in that situation, they arrested a licensed amateur (who had nothing to do with the robbery) simply because his amateur transceiver could pick up their frequency. He was originally stopped for a minor traffic violation.

About 8 months later, there was a similar case somewhere in the "South" (Georgia, I believe, but I could be wrong) involving a teenage (17-19 years) who was also involved in ARES. He was also originally charged with "impersonation of a government official" (his ARES organizational title), but all charges were dropped later and his radio returned. Again, this was because his amateur HT could pick up the public safety band and in this case, the cop heard his own voice come out of the licensee's radio.

So, you were saying that "no cop ... is going to get that aggressive ... [or] overreact simply because [of] a scanner." I think it's time for you to return to reality.

From 8909976702079661148
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 14:28:58 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-

news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: HR-2346
In-Reply-To: <3AF19CAD.5A75@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105032129000.11829-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net> <3AF19CAD.5A75@bellsouth.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 15
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:28:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 988925338 12.80.44.16 (Thu, 03 May 2001 21:28:58 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:28:58 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20930

On Thu, 3 May 2001, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> You must've not read about the teenage ham in Kentucky a couple years
> back. Seems he was at some sort of public event, or something, and had
> his handheld with him. Cops "overreacted" by seizing his radio and
> charging the hapless ham with having a "scanner". Sure, the charges
> were eventually dropped, but not without a lot of hassle and inconven-
> ience to the ham, and, likely, money spent. Took awhile to get his
> HT replaced by the LE agency. It is easy to say it won't cause trouble
> until a big-headed cop seizes YOUR equipment. I don't like the law,
> and I've said it before; now that it IS law, if it DOES happen to me,
> I'll sue the sons of bitches and see to it the story is on the news.

AH! That's the one I was thinking of when I believed it occured in Georgia.
Thanks.

From -5129116949545786647
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 14:34:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader1-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010502204118.10634.00000600@nso-cd.aol.com>
<20010503092609.13321.00002457@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 17:29:50 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <3af1ce6e$0$62152$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: dcc48ec5.news.net-link.net
X-Trace:
DXC=JaS768IVgfch_P==R2PeVjBITGoVIjmHf[JFGR4UMKE`7De4II:F;FgPJUKNJ8cPIolBFDaL\d^neN
l^2DTgnMcd
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20931

I missed it because I did not receive it.
I look back through thread and can not
find the message. How did you get the
message and I did not?

Who is nq-fi1.aol.com?

This will be my final post on this thread.

Reply on a new thread, I will find it evenly.

Larry KC8EPO

~~~~~~

"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010503092609.13321.00002457@ng-ci1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Roni L. Bower, KD4CKM, Silent key
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 5/2/01 7:41 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20010502204118.10634.00000600@nso-cd.aol.com>
>
>
>   Perhaps you guys missed this?
>
>   Or perhaps you don't care?
>
>
> Subject: A Respectful Suggestion
~~~~~~

```
> Message-id: <20010501131119.26864.00003097@ng-fi1.aol.com>
>
>      Not wishing to appear to appear to be suggesting any form of
censorship,
> may I respectfully suggest that the present on-going threads that refer to
the
> recently killed missionary be cut-and-pasted to a new thread title?
>
>      None of the on-going threads have any pertinence to her or her tragic
> death or the death of her infant daughter, and I think it would be an act
of
> respect to stop posting to threads that would seem to be discussing
THEM...NOT
> the code/FCC/ITU debates that it has
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any
Time."
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html



From -5534975791480750432
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a9be294a2201e7c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 15:20:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcrwcf1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011942380.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010501212137.12882.00001926@ng-cs1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021947540.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcq69p0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105032102430.11829-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARES vs RACES
Lines: 42
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 22:21:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.63
```

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988928471 63.178.67.63 (Thu, 03 May 2001 22:21:11
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 22:21:11 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20932

On Thu, 03 May 2001 21:13:23 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>> >A private contract that a government agency is a party to is a
>> >far cry from a statutory provision providing for a similar
>> >relationship.  In my area, the ARES structure is completely
>> >separate from government, or at best, is a volunteer situation,
>> >while RACES is not volunteer.
>>
>>   Oh?  Are the RACES members paid  or drafted?
>
>Yes - at least one:  The person in charge of the local civil defense
>organization is usually an employee (of the municipality or county government,
>in its emergency preparedness unit), and thus, paid.

  Lucky (and rare) is the paid emergency services professional
  whose sole assignment is RACES.  If the rest of the RACES
  organization does not include a very large dollop of
  amateur-licensed volunteers, the RACES structure will crumble
  and blow away.  The paid professionals' services are too
  valuable (and costly) to have them do routine radio operator
  tasks.

>I'm NOT saying that ALL of the participants under a given RACES
>license are paid.  There are volunteer amateur radio operators in
>most of these organizations as well.

  At one time, non-amateurs could be operators at RACES stations.
  That was dropped many years ago.

> cf. WWII operations, which RACES was born out of.

  RACES was born from "Civil Defense Radio", not exactly the same
  thing as the "Wartime Amateur Radio Service" of WWII fame, but
  close.  I first started in "CD" as a college student in NY in the
  mid-50s, before RACES was organized, and we operated on non-amateur
  channels.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From -8631020815279885617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 15:20:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcrx3b2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF19581.8E84CD40@uci.edu> <3af1ad63.5038854@news.accessunited.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 37
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 22:21:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.63
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988928470 63.178.67.63 (Thu, 03 May 2001 22:21:10
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 22:21:10 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22347
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5426 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15669
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20933
```

On Thu, 03 May 2001 19:21:14 GMT, Tom W8JI wrote:

>This is where enforcement come in. What we need to do, is catch a few
>of them and fine them. If we find a few who fail to comply after
>repeated warnings, we need to send them to jail.

El Presidente has announced that he intends to split the INS into two agencies - one that deals with immigration and naturalization paperwork and the other that does enforcement (the Border Patrol).

Those of us in FCC field enforcement have advocated that sort of split for the FCC for decades. Once freed from the "who gets a license and which non-technical rules apply to whom" political mess (which is the only "FCC" that The Congress can comprehend), real enforcement can be done when the technical standards and enforcement functions and people are absorbed into NTIA which has

```
  scads of funds. The Canadians did that several years back when the
  functions of the Ministry of Communications were split between
  Industry Canada (the equivalentof the Department of Commerce, home
  of NTIA) and the Canadian Radio and Television Commission (CRTC).

>If that fails, we need to execute them...

  You are certainly making the jest, eh????

>and if that fails, we need to force them to
>live with N1IR for a week. Eventually they will get the message.

  Hey, Richard Burton in Southern Cal has been prosecuted six
  times, spent time in jail three times, and still operates on
  ham radio without a license whenever he gets out.

  When is HE going to learn?

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP



From 4794985306395264913
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 15:30:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 15:30:04 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3AF1DBEC.FF7BB77@uci.edu>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF19581.8E84CD40@uci.edu> <3af1ad63.5038854@news.accessunited.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5428
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15671 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22349
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20934
```

Tom W8JI wrote:

> Freebanding is closer to the example of driving on the shoulder of the
> road...or the wrong way on a one-way street....or driving without a
> license...than it is to littering.

I take it you haven't heard the Dennis Leary song. It's called "The
A$$hole Song."

ac6xg

```
From 104252289135351732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 16:22:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Thu, 3 May 2001 18:23:12 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.eb.40
X-Server-Date: 3 May 2001 23:22:26 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5430
```

rec.radio.amateur.misc:15673 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22354
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20935

Did you not suggest a band be set aside just for the illegal idiots? They
you should be able to figure out my response.

The SATs having to do with it is....the lowering of the bar. The reduction
of standards to accomidate some person and/or group that is unable, too
ignorant, or just STUPID. See my point?

Also there ALREADY exists plenty of places to work DX and have fun. Its
called Amateur Radio. Unfortunatly without a valid callsign you will be
attacked much worse than here.

Dan/W4NTI

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not...
> Dan:
>
> I honestly don't know how to respond to this. Your post below is so
> thick with sarcasm I fail to see your point. Perhaps you could tone it
> back a few notches and provide some facts (if you can find any) to back
> up your assertion that (1) I'm a liberal, (2) I'm lazy, (3) that I've
> operated illegally, and (4) Just what the SAT's have to do with it.
>
> After you've done that, I may consider explaining my reasoning behind my
> opinion so that you might understand it.
>
> Again, I caution you, do not include me amongst the freebanders; I'm
> neither one of them, nor do I condone what they do (as I stated in the
> very first sentence of the quote you so vitriously lambasted.)
>
> -SSB
>
> W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > Why? To accomidate a bunch of LAZY illegal fools? You must be a
liberal. I
> > bet you support dropping the standards of a SAT just to accomidate some
lazy
> > bum that cant pass it...right?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > news:3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not...
> > > I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see
a
> > > sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
> > > limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this could
> > > "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies, much
in

> > > the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.
> > >
> > > Just my two cents, here... Of course, your mileage may vary.
> > >
> > > -SSB
> > >

From -4362215351588956835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 16:25:55 PST
Message-ID: <3AF1E8A9.284EEE0F@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 18:24:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF0315B.C07FF011@IEEE.org> <3af03218.3268505@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF045EE.52C8F5@IEEE.org> <3af06c2a.972163@news.mindspring.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105032101470.11829-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.42
X-Trace: corp 988931882 64.240.47.42 (3 May 2001 18:18:02 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20936

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> On Wed, 2 May 2001, Bill Sohl wrote:
> > BUT... in the case of the NON-ham, just how does local cop

> > KNOW with any certainty that anyone is operating at all.
> > The hearsay of a neighbor with TVI is not sufficient to
> > pinpoint any source.
>
> But an investigation or action has to start somewhere... Why not with a
> complaint?

Bill's posting hasn't made it to my server. Someone must complain for a cop to need to do anything about a problem. With my antenna farm, the cops would know exactly where to start their investigation. CBers, like hams, are not likely to run high power with a stealth antenna.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5057382863012755966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 16:33:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Dennis P. Stahr" <irfutures@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 135
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <%UlI6.6071$Cq3.588332@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 23:33:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.13.234.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 988932795 63.13.234.27 (Thu, 03 May 2001 16:33:15 PDT)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 16:33:15 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 16:31:41 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5431
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15674 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22355
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20937

People like you still suck!!!!

You are the "gimme" generation and have no respect for what others have
worked for.

What you are to stupid or lazy to earn, you take for free...

I proudly repeat.... People like you still suck!

Dennis Stahr

--

****
Investment Reference

Phone - 858.538.6345   Fax - 858.484.3484
Sites: http://www.irfutures.com or if the ISP is down:
http://home.earthlink.net/~irfutures

email: irfutures@earthlink.net

"Teacher and Consultant to the futures Industry since 1983"
****
"Bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com...
> Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
>
> And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted to
speed,
> you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey man,
you do
> EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver
and do your
> speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper steps
to
> becoming a race car driver:
>
> 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man. Our
> forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you
learn.
> 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing the
answers
> to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats, planes,
etc.

> 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be
chastised by
> old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the
unicycle and
> can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps bad
people
> from becoming race car drivers.
> 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't
fit race
> car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire
combination.
>
> Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to take
those 15
> turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well, ham
radio IS
> NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit
everybody. Maybe
> its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my
equipment and
> make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for hams.
I can
> operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the
minimum amount
> of power necessary for a contact.
>
> Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it
immoral? A
> crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is a
far more
> worse crime.
>
> BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally interfering
with any
> service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
essential)
> services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
27.405 -
> 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
>
> Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's
illegal,
> yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't
black and
> white.
>
> Bob.
>
>
> Scott Townley wrote:
>
> > But you *do* have all the respect you deserve...the same respect we
> > all grant to FELONS, which is what you are, buddy...

```
> >
> > On 30 Apr 2001 21:04:39 GMT, "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >I am posting here because of some of the nasty things I have read in
this
> > >group and in QRZ discussions regarding freebanders. We who use the
frequencies
> > >from 27.405 to 28.000 have more in common with hams than you might
think.
> > >We are good operators and have knowledge of propagation and skip
conditions.
> > >We use Yasus, Kenwoods and Icomm radios just like you do, so we know
technical
> > >issues as well or better. However, the attitude of some hams toward us
is
> > >rude and condescending.  We are treated like 2nd class citizens.  This
should
> > >not be. We have the same rights under the constitution as legal hams
do.
> > >For those that do not wish to be under Uncle Sam's thumb, freebanding
is
> > >a viable alternative to getting a ham radio license. What I am saying
is
> > >that maybe the next time you meet a freebander it might be wise to talk
with
> > >him before you condemn him.
> > >
> > >I am QRT and standing by. (No flames answered. Please reply via email)
> > >
> > >Unit 2711, Florida
> > >
>
>
```

```
From -1509707627284292352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 16:36:10 PST
Message-ID: <3AF1EB0B.A0C35093@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 18:34:35 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <9csf6h$h25$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.42
X-Trace: corp 988932494 64.240.47.42 (3 May 2001 18:28:14 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5432
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15675 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22356
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20938

CW wrote:
> So you're saying that its up to each individual to decide which laws they
> should obey?

That's exactly the way it is. Everyone decides which laws they should obey.
Lot's of people decide to obey them all assuming they know about them all.
Some people decide that they shouldn't obey the speed laws. Have you
ever driven faster than the speed limit? I personally don't like and
rarely wear a seat belt even though it is illegal to do so. Technically,
I am also in violation of the Texas motorcycle helmet law.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6485918407951184652
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025

X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 16:42:19 PST
Message-ID: <3AF1EC7E.D997F2B6@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 18:40:46 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.42
X-Trace: corp 988932870 64.240.47.42 (3 May 2001 18:34:30 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5433
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15676 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22359
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20939

GG wrote:
> You live in town but you purchased 20 acres of land out in the boonies
> with the idea of maybe moving there when you retire in the future. I
> come along and see your land and I don't see a house or anyone using the
> land. I observe this for a short while and come to the conclusion that
> no one is using this land. So I build a little shack there, plant my
> garden, run a cow or two. Is that OK with you? Don't I have a right to
> do that? After all, you aren't using it.

You picked a bad analogy. A person did just that on some land in my
home county of Madison in Texas. Nobody noticed him living there.

After N number of years he was discovered. The case went to court
and the trespasser was awarded the property under the Texas homestead
laws. So you better keep an eye on your property or some homesteader
will wind up owning it. Homesteading is a good description of what the
freebanders have done between the CB band and the ham band. Time was
when amateur radio operators homesteaded the radio frequencies.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1878175000536084102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 16:55:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!panix!yellow.newsread.com!netaxs.com!
newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <3af1ee63.21682008@news.accessunited.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF19581.8E84CD40@uci.edu> <3af1ad63.5038854@news.accessunited.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcrx3b2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 19
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 23:55:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.47
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988934135 216.1.128.47 (Thu, 03 May 2001 19:55:35
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 19:55:35 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22363
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5434 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15677
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20940
```

On Thu, 03 May 2001 22:21:10 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
>
>>If that fails, we need to execute them...
>
> You are certainly making the jest, eh????
>
>>and if that fails, we need to force them to
>>live with N1IR for a week. Eventually they will get the message.
>
> Hey, Richard Burton in Southern Cal has been prosecuted six
> times, spent time in jail three times, and still operates on
> ham radio without a license whenever he gets out.
>
> When is HE going to learn?

Some people like jail. For those people, we send them to Boston and let them build Fractal antennas under the direct supervision of Dr. Nathan Cohen, pHd.

From 4485927336983167423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 16:56:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!yellow.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <3af1efce.22044118@news.accessunited.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF19581.8E84CD40@uci.edu> <3af1ad63.5038854@news.accessunited.com>
<3AF1DBEC.FF7BB77@uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 15
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 23:56:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.47
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988934181 216.1.128.47 (Thu, 03 May 2001 19:56:21 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 19:56:21 EDT

```
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5435
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15678 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22364
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20941

On Thu, 03 May 2001 15:30:04 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Tom W8JI wrote:
>
>> Freebanding is closer to the example of driving on the shoulder of the
>> road...or the wrong way on a one-way street....or driving without a
>> license...than it is to littering.
>
>I take it you haven't heard the Dennis Leary song.  It's called "The
>A$$hole Song."
>
>ac6xg

Never heard it. Is he related to Tim Leary?



From 958111583926156905
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 17:00:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!panix!yellow.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!
POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <3af1f030.22142745@news.accessunited.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 24
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 00:00:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.47
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988934426 216.1.128.47 (Thu, 03 May 2001 20:00:26
EDT)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 20:00:26 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5436
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15679 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22365
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20942

On Thu, 03 May 2001 20:52:22 GMT, GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com> wrote:

>You live in town but you purchased 20 acres of land out in the boonies
>with the idea of maybe moving there when you retire in the future. I
>come along and see your land and I don't see a house or anyone using the
>land. I observe this for a short while and come to the conclusion that
>no one is using this land. So I build a little shack there, plant my
>garden, run a cow or two. Is that OK with you? Don't I have a right to
>do that? After all, you aren't using it. Maybe I am doing something
>illegal, but after all, why should you object, you aren't using the land
>and I am apparently hurting no one and there are others committing much
>more horrendous crimes. Maybe instead of coming out and trying to get me
>off your land that you aren't using, you should spend you time
>protesting real crimes.

The problem with your logic is you are using land that is being used.
Freebanders are screwing things up for licensed services, and have
been for years.

They are just to stupid or uneducated to understand that.

Sorta like the mentality that thinks it is OK to "borrow" things, like
someone's property or land, without asking because "they aren't using
it".

From -3247550386365185794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 17:47:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 00:46:39 GMT
References: <3af0ad8b$0$88191$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Congratulations Ed Hare. ARRL employee of the month club.
Message-ID: <20010503204639.05666.00002120@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20943

In article <3af0ad8b$0$88191$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>, "Larry Klose"

<lklose@net-link.net> writes:

>> Fine. If you have a copy, my old Sony TV set is yours for the carrying
>> away. The only thing I, as an AMATEUR radio operator without any
>> professional electrical engineering credentials can make of it is a dust
>> collector! If I can't give it away soon, I'm going to use it for target
>> practice!
>
>With a pickup truck and four hours on dump day I pickup 20 Sony's.
>Interesting that you mention Sony, because their front end is very
>suspectable to RF overload from six meter operation. This would not
>effect the frequency conversion sections. But we live in throw away
>economy. Too bad because 90% of their electronic circuits are still good.

Larry:

This particular Sony is 17 years old, and there's nothing much wrong with
it except for around 4" of rollover at the top of the screen. However, I
wanted
to replace it with something with more up-to-date features -- now I have a
new 20" JVC.

>I believe that Amateur Radio has much to offer to our education system.
>Unfortunately, we are arguing among ourselves, instead of contributing
>to the next generation.

I don't disagree with any of that. The problem with ham radio and young
people is the fact that in order to participate in a meaningful way, the
kid needs access to a capable station. This means that money needs
to be spent on rigs, towers, antennae, etc. to establish a station at the
home QTH. Without that, there will be no ongoing participation at a
school or "club" station due to the inability to accommodate more than
a very few truly "active" participants at a time. Unless the parents are
well-heeled or are also hams, the resources to create a ham station usually
won't be there -- especially if the kid already has all the requisite
computers,
TV's, DVD's, game toys, etc.

>What I would really like is a 200mhz plus scope. You can show the kids
>your radios, but if can show them an image of the actual RF signal you
>can open their eyes to a new world of underrstanding.

I'd like a 200 MHz plus scope myself -- if for nothing else but to learn
what the heck to do with one!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4602531632668988077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 17:55:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!64.152.100.70!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF1FDF2.DFEBDF11@hiwaay.net>
From: Bill Aycock <baycock@hiwaay.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF19581.8E84CD40@uci.edu> <3af1ad63.5038854@news.accessunited.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 20:52:16 EDT
Organization: WebUseNet Corp  http://www.usenetserver.com - Home of the fastest
NNTP servers on the Net.
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 19:55:14 -0500
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5438
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15680 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22374
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20944
```

Tom W8JI wrote:

> need to execute them...and if that fails, we need to force them to
> live with N1IR for a week. Eventually they will get the message.

Tom- that's going TOO far- making one live with N1IR is Sadism, and
"cruel and Unusual" punishment.
How could you?

From -4649897886987544699

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 18:13:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!nntp.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF1F3C9.19DF1A6C@mailcity.com>
From: GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3af1f030.22142745@news.accessunited.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-izwQ7G8tI3f+u4f3/2z67SAsLCAPN9awHLXg8EUsNuH9r7RJyiXQ3F6PfkM
Lines: 19
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 20:13:25 CDT
X-Trace: sv3-BXU60w9hb3zyOkh2xopYj/ftXwsIBfk7FfRz1geZC+cX3GWMGYile8y9iVC/2YsY/7vonmK2OoUXCb9!guzwEBTmNfz55qdc6lpdIGVus3Sf7NsyO8UwC88i6wUBzEi2zlp8/7t8bsVuQA==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 01:13:26 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5439
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15681 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22376
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20945

Tom W8JI wrote:
>>
> The problem with your logic is you are using land that is being used.
>
>
Read it again, I said I did not observe the land being used, so assumed
it would be OK if I used it.

> They are just to stupid or uneducated to understand that.
>
> Sorta like the mentality that thinks it is OK to "borrow" things, like
> someone's property or land, without asking because "they aren't using
> it".

The logic of my post, I assumed I could "borrow" the property without asking because it was apparent that "they aren't using it".

```
From 581234690835045118
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 18:17:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!
news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF1F495.4387880D@mailcity.com>
From: GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3AF1EC7E.D997F2B6@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-+fsDuGCCrHqDoIstleGmPw8EpbUaGZLVyWzO6tZc/xbsVI4H3D+sFoxX7fw
Lines: 20
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 20:17:06 CDT
X-Trace:
sv3-1vTHt+ObcrB09znO6EhCDCr5BnG0NbzFiwGHv6qjFB8qdKUXcfvkixrEER1x8QhvHt8F2uxioYUvog
T!wuA8Twr1u45mpNWIwF0yLjoJNJt6mTeeGiqt7Hjh7MNlmVpdCBgrxM3sBQrN3w==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 01:17:06 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5440
```

rec.radio.amateur.misc:15682 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22377
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20946

I picked a good analogy, I have no right to use his property just
because I observe it does not appear to be in use by the owner.

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
>
> You picked a bad analogy. A person did just that on some land in my
> home county of Madison in Texas. Nobody noticed him living there.
> After N number of years he was discovered. The case went to court
> and the trespasser was awarded the property under the Texas homestead
> laws. So you better keep an eye on your property or some homesteader
> will wind up owning it. Homesteading is a good description of what the
> freebanders have done between the CB band and the ham band. Time was
> when amateur radio operators homesteaded the radio frequencies.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1001751812061847816
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 18:26:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!yellow.newsread.com!bad-news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <3af203ab.27130215@news.accessunited.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3af1f030.22142745@news.accessunited.com> <3AF1F3C9.19DF1A6C@mailcity.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 15
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 01:26:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.27
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>

```
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 988939564 216.1.128.27 (Thu, 03 May 2001 21:26:04
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:26:04 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5441
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15683 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22380
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20947

On Fri, 04 May 2001 01:13:26 GMT, GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com> wrote:

>The logic of my post, I assumed I could "borrow" the property without
>asking because it was apparent that "they aren't using it".

Borrowing something that isn't yours without asking the owner is
called "theft", but I guess we all have different morals.

Take a listen to ten meters, and tell me freebanders haven't cause a
big problem. Not only have they driven legitiment users off the
commercial areas around 27 MHz, and ruiened things for the R/C people
who used to use adjacent-to-CB channel  frequencies, they are now
ruining ten meters.

They are also spreading to all other frequencies in the HF spectrum.


From -7139278055736733376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 18:35:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 95
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:35:22 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988939999 216.234.103.49 (Thu, 03 May 2001 20:33:19 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 20:33:19 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5442 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15685 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22381 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20948

Dan:

Well, apparently you missed the point; or is it that you choose to ignore it? Either way, your attitude towards me on this is a bit disconcerting. I'll state this again just in case it didn't get through the first time" I'm not a freebander, nor do I encourage ANYONE to violate FCC rules.

I'm sorry to hear about your mood disorder.

The point was this: Set aside another band for sideband transmissions only, to help alleviate some of the congestion on CM (they have no other place to go), and perhaps the encroachment on 10M frequencies would tone down; perhaps those frequencies between 27.405 and 28.000 that are allocated to other services won't be interfered with people calling CQ, and perhaps sideband operators on CB's won't get interfered with as much by AM carriers.

I'm not proposing a reduction in standards. Personally, I feel when the FCC removed the licensing requirement from CB they made a BIG mistake. I'm proposing a broadening of bandwidth to help alleviate the congestion on CB that causes people who don't know any better to venture onto the HAM bands and interfere with licensed operators. If the bands weren't so congested, CB'ers might not feel the need to run an amp, because they'd be able to make contacts on much less power.

If you're so shortsighted that you don't see the potential good this could do, then I'm sorry you feel that way.

Will some idiots out there still run illegal power? Probably. Will people who don't know any better still venture out onto bands and frequencies where they don't belong? Probably. Unfortunately, without a licensing requirement, the lack of knowledge by CB'ers helps cause this problem.

This is just my opinion. Your mileage may vary

W4NTI wrote:
>
> Did you not suggest a band be set aside just for the illegal idiots? They
> you should be able to figure out my response.

```
>
> The SATs having to do with it is....the lowering of the bar.  The reduction
> of standards to accomidate some person and/or group that is unable, too
> ignorant, or just STUPID. See my point?
>
> Also there ALREADY exists plenty of places to work DX and have fun.  Its
> called Amateur Radio.  Unfortunatly without a valid callsign you will be
> attacked much worse than here.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not...
> > Dan:
> >
> > I honestly don't know how to respond to this. Your post below is so
> > thick with sarcasm I fail to see your point. Perhaps you could tone it
> > back a few notches and provide some facts (if you can find any) to back
> > up your assertion that (1) I'm a liberal, (2) I'm lazy, (3) that I've
> > operated illegally, and (4) Just what the SAT's have to do with it.
> >
> > After you've done that, I may consider explaining my reasoning behind my
> > opinion so that you might understand it.
> >
> > Again, I caution you, do not include me amongst the freebanders; I'm
> > neither one of them, nor do I condone what they do (as I stated in the
> > very first sentence of the quote you so vitriously lambasted.)
> >
> > -SSB
> >
> > W4NTI wrote:
> > >
> > > Why? To accomidate a bunch of LAZY illegal fools?  You must be a
> liberal.  I
> > > bet you support dropping the standards of a SAT just to accomidate some
> lazy
> > > bum that cant pass it...right?
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > > news:3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not...
> > > > I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see
> a
> > > > sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
> > > > limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this could
> > > > "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies, much
> in
> > > > the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.
> > > >
> > > > Just my two cents, here... Of course, your mileage may vary.
> > > >
> > > > -SSB
> > > >


From -8216331611083981683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 18:42:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF2090C.2C9DD715@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <tf3al3maot952a@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 54
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:42:36 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988940433 216.234.103.49 (Thu, 03 May 2001 20:40:33
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 20:40:33 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5443
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15687 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22382
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20949

Leland:

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not...
> >
> > I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see a
> > sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
> > limit, for CB'ers to DX on...
>
```

> Yeah, they are call Ham Radio Bands, and all they need is a Ham Radio
> License like the one your getting. If CBers want to shoot skip, then let
> them get a license too.

So you're saying that HAMs are licensed to operate between 27.405 and
28.000? Wow! When did that happen? News to me. ::grimace::

> I doubt you had any big problem getting your
> license. The written exams up to a General are easy, and for those who want
> H.F. the 5 wpm code test is a reasonable speed until the requirement is
> eliminated.

Actually, I don't have my license yet. I go for my written tests next
week. I've been studying code for over two years (see the other thread:
Ticket test suggestions for more info on this) without much result. I
won't go into that rigmarole again here. It's already in that other
thread.

> What you propose to do is if the FCC can't enforce the rules, then heck,
> lets just make it legal, just like the folks who want to legalize drugs. The
> only problem with that is where does it stop? Today 26.965 -27.405, tomorrow
> 26.965 - 27.800, ? 26.965 -29.700, 12m - 10m, ..., you get the idea. 8-))
>

That's a bit reactionary, Leland. I don't think legalizing drugs is an
option. I don't see the connection between the two.

I'm proposing additional bandwidth for a particular mode of operation in
order to help alleviate some of the congestion on an already overtaxed
and overused band, which may just help to reduce the encroachment by
unlicensed radio operators on HAM bands.
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
> me running, try to keep up. "

Again, It's just my opinion. Your mileage may vary.

-SSB

From 3238183073750059637
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 19:18:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail

From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 22:18:57 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3AF21179.187E3EF0@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net> <3AF19CAD.5A75@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-52.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988942716 181726 204.116.70.53 (4 May 2001 02:18:36 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 02:18:36 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20950

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> Then, Dwight,
>
> You must've not read about the teenage ham
> in Kentucky a couple years back. Seems he
> was at some sort of public event, or
> something, and had his handheld with him.
> Cops "overreacted" by seizing his radio
> and charging the hapless ham with having
> a "scanner".

There has to be more to the story, Jerry. Was there a specific ban on scanners at this particular event? Was the ham doing something to attract attention to himself? Anyway, without the full story, all I can go on is my own experience and observations and I haven't seen any aggressive tendency on the part of the cops to go after scanner users. In fact, most of the scanner cases I've heard about first involved other offenses. As for myself, my Explorer looks like a porcupine with all the antennas on it (scanner, ham, marine, etc) and I've never experienced any problems with law enforcement. In fact, I've recruited a couple of law enforcement people into ham radio following discussions about my equipment. So, while I'm sure isolated events like you describe happen, I just don't see this as a major problem that the majority of hams should worry about.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6231794326468654319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 19:19:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 02:19:39 GMT
References: <20010503124517.24866.00000597@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503221939.02863.00001735@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20951

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>It would seem that some do know, else why would they charge
>that "nocodes" were not active.

Who did that, Len? I recall a fellow who said that those that were not active probably wouldn't renew.

Can you explain?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5093228499015058448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 19:22:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 02:22:32 GMT
References: <20010503072536.27024.00000652@nso-ma.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503222232.02863.00001736@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20952

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Note also that the excellent QRP EME work reported in another thread was done
>by a couple of old-time 13/20 wpm code-tested upgraded hams.

I believe that the knowledge they used to do so was not related to either the code tests or the written tests that they took.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1730806207352685926
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 19:24:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.corecomm.net!
reader3-nwblwi.news.corecomm.net!not-for-mail
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:23:10 -0500
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3af212aa$0$18886$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Organization: CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL
NNTP-Posting-Host: c1a87090.news.corecomm.net

X-Trace:
DXC=KAgQb2Yc:Hdb0EN^dS;Ude@h7X@\0oLOmbo1K;GlJd>kdAcKco4nOkjLC_IFa]0h`cL^:NkYe\2Vi?
lSQkB7Y<Xl
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corecomm.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5444
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15689 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22385
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20953

Someone transmitting with legal power levels on the legal 40 are not breaking the law they are also not freebanding. They are operating in a proper and legal manner as prescribed in the regulations. Any other operation is illegal without FCC/NTIA authorization. No more no less.

Dave WD9BDZ

Sideband wrote:
>
> So when a freebander is transmitting with legal power levels on the
> legal 40 they're still breaking the law?
>
> I'm a CB'er (not for long. I take my written tests early this month),
> and I, unfortunately, get sneered at for not having my ticket, simply
> because there are those who DO choose to violate FCC rules.
>
> I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see a
> sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
> limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this could
> "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies, much in
> the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.
>
> Just my two cents, here... Of course, your mileage may vary.
>
> -SSB
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > What I mean is that the definition of a ham does is not indicative of
> > breaking the law ... albeit some do. A freebander by definition is breaking
> > the law when they operate.
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> > "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > news:3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org...
> > > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > > > A ham by definition does not break the law ... a freebander does
> > >
> > > You mean those ARRL newsletter reports of hams breaking the law
> > > and being fined are just a hoax?
> > > --
> > > http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
> > >

```
> > >
> > > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > > -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 7060212105351476348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 19:27:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 02:26:57 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3af2131d.666193@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF21D0.B03D6775@IEEE.org> <3af02cd7.1923259@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF0315B.C07FF011@IEEE.org> <3af03218.3268505@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF045EE.52C8F5@IEEE.org> <3af06c2a.972163@news.mindspring.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105032101470.11829-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ee.2b
X-Server-Date: 4 May 2001 02:27:11 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20954

On Thu, 03 May 2001 21:01:28 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

>On Wed, 2 May 2001, Bill Sohl wrote:
>> On Wed, 02 May 2001 12:37:50 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>> wrote:
>> >Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >>
>> >> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> >> >Bill, "operator" implies transmitting.
>> >>
>> >> True, so let me rephrase: "How does the local cop know
>> >> that a person IS operating at all?"
>> >
>> >Because of the original complaint, of course, which drew the attention
>> >of the local cop in the first place. Example: My neighbor calls the cops
>> >and says my high-power CB is knocking out their TV. Cop shows up at my
>> >door. I present my federal ARS license along with Part 97 stating that
>> >I'm legally allowed to run high power.
```

>>
>> BUT... in the case of the NON-ham, just how does local cop
>> KNOW with any certainty that anyone is operating at all.
>> The hearsay of a neighbor with TVI is not sufficient to
>> pinpoint any source.
>
>But an investigation or action has to start somewhere... Why not with a
>complaint?

I agree that may be the start of an investigation,
BUT what would the local police do next?
What could they do next?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 4015970064096534618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 19:29:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!
newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!
posts.news.corecomm.net!reader3-nwblwi.news.corecomm.net!not-for-mail
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:29:28 -0500
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <3AF163EE.796F9016@coqui.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3af21425$0$18886$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Organization: CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL
NNTP-Posting-Host: c1a87090.news.corecomm.net
```

```
X-Trace:
DXC=`CbNa<3QFFGRK32]9ZGcdM@h7X@\0oLOMbo1K;GlJd>KdAcKco4nOkJLC_IFa]0h`CL^:NkYe\2VI?
lSQkB7Y<XL
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corecomm.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5445
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15690 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22386
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20955
```

One of the objectives of the creation of the GMRS Class D (i.e. Citizens Band) radio service was to provide low cost licensed radio communications for small businesses and family communications. This service was to be limited to 50 miles from the transmitting station and to a maximum power input of 5 watts. This was later changed to, I believe, 3 watts output. Modulation started out as AM phone only and changed to include SSB. For a special channel to be assigned which allows the use of more than 3/5 watts would be in violation of this principle.

Dave WD9BDZ

Bill Meacham wrote:
>
> Sideband wrote:
> >
>
> >
> > I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to see a
> > sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50 watt
> > limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this could
> > "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies, much in
> > the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.
> >
> > Just my two cents, here... Of course, your mileage may vary.
>
> There already is one. 10 meters. You just have to get a
> license. Whats the point in creating another special band for
> people who don't want to get a license? You already have channels
> 1-40 and it got messed up. So now you've got FRS...It doesn't
> make sense to just creating bands for people to use in a manner
> inconsistent with the intent. Any unlicensed band is going to be
> bedlam. Do you think if the FCC legislated a "freebander band"
> from 27.455 to 27.800 that it would be any different? Would the
> guys turn off the lean-yars and start using legitimate callsigns?
> ---
> Bill Meacham
> Vieques, PR

```
From 8924541421395956342
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 19:29:36 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 71
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 02:29:07 GMT
References: <20010503063901.01812.00000290@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Growth in the ARS
Message-ID: <20010503222907.03759.00000197@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20957

rrapgrowthinthears.doc

In article <20010503063901.01812.00000290@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Is there also not an element that seeking more hams is generally healthy for
>the Amateur Radio Service?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

I can see how the ARRL and their QST advertisers -- the equipment manufacturers, would see it that way! And, as always, if you follow the money, you learn the truth! My personal belief that it's the League and the equipment manufacturers FIRST -- and the ARS and hams themselves -- nowhere!

Where is it written that the United States needs more than around a half-million hams in order for the ARS to remain viable? Where is it written that we have to selfishly, greedily hang on to ever possible kHz of spectrum allocated to us? Where is the sense in that -- considering the absolutely nil commercial viability of our "service?" And how would having, let's say, twice as many amateur radio licensees (note I didn't say "hams") in the FCC database change that reality? Would that automatically lead to major new inroads in amateur communications technology -- as has always been touted as the primary reason to eliminate meaningful Morse code testing standards? Would it lead to the building of a national network of interconnected "cells" on the ham bands above 1 Ghz -- this giving us hams the equivalent of coast-to-coast addressable cell-phone service for the price of another HT handie-toy and maybe membership in a local radio club?

How many hams does it take to do everything imaginable in ham radio -- and what does it take to get them? Keep in mind, amateur radio licensing standards -- even at their most "difficult" levels with

regard to code and theory testing -- have always been achievable
by people from all walks of life, with or without professional
technical training and experience. Now that they're dumbed down
to total insignificance, we're still not seeing any meaningful growth.
A one-percent increase in the first year of the post-Restructuring
era? What's up with that? Even I expected around ten percent --
and I was going to admit that I was totally wrong about code testing
if we got 20 percent. HA!!! All we've seen is that code testing
had NOTHING to do with growth -- or lack thereof -- in the ARS.
If I were anyone of any significance at the ARRL -- I'd be
campaigning for fill-in-the-application-and-send-it-in-to-the-FCC
type licensing for at least the Technician-class license, because
that's about the ONLY way you'll EVER see any "growth" based on
the relative lack of effort required by the licensing process.

Healthy growth in the ARS is probably not going to happen -- not
to the expectations or satisfaction of anyone involved in any sort
of "official" capacity. And -- we're going to lose spectrum -- big
time -- in the critical region above 500 MHz. No amount of
"growth" will stop that. Giving away privileges that are mainly
centered in the HF and lower VHF region is a meaningless
gesture -- since the threat there is virtually non-existent. To answer
the question -- sure, seeking more hams is generally healthy for
the ARS. Unfortunately, by proving our lack of worth by lowering
licensing standards, we have taken careful aim at our "growth"
target and shot ourselves right in the big toe! If we get ten percent
TOTAL growth in the next three years, I'll gladly eat my words
and another lobster dinner with you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -9001545098557278271
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 19:29:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 59
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 02:29:06 GMT
References: <9cs7mu$31f$1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010503222906.03759.00000196@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20956

In article <9cs7mu$31f$1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu>, szekeres@pitt.edu (GregS) writes:

>I think all people should have rights to portions of our bands,
>with only a price of a ticket.

Greg:

I agree 100 percent. I think we should immediately go to completely testing-free licensing for the Technician class license. Fill out the application blank, pick a Vanity call sign (if desired), and send it in to the FCC. While you're waiting, you can yak on the local repeater using your initials and your Zip Code as your call sign. With a system like that, at least the sloppy, awkward, hopelessly clueless new Techs on the air won't have the right to claim that they "earned" their privileges in any meaningful standard -- which never was the case anyway.

>That in no way stops advanced levels
>of testing from having special privileges. Heck, its more fun to talk
>with the public on FRS, than trying to talk with a bunch of old buzzards
>on ham radio. Don't even need an FRS license.

As someone who has tried to talk to the public on FRS, I have only one question -- how do you get to do so? I monitor FRS ch. 1 full time using the extended receive feature of one of my ADI AR-446 transceivers. Nada. Once I did hear someone sending calling tones, so I grabbed my Icom IC-4008A and tried to call back -- but all I got was a childish giggle followed by dead air. Now -- THERE's real communications excitement for you!

>You just go to the store and your on the air.

Right. The only problem with FRS is that the rigs truly only work in pairs. My brother and I use 'em to coordinate our activities while doing long-range rifle shooting. Beyond that, they collect a lot of dust.

>I got interested in radio because it was interesting to me. Opperating CW
>is always the best in fun and enjoyment looking back.

Same here -- although I also get a big bang out of the digital modes. But there's nothing like the challenge of "digging out" a QRP QSO on 40 meters using CW. That's what ham radio is and should be. Yakking into a microphone to the same people day in and day out is the most deadly boring thing I can think of -- and those who do so are the most dull, unimaginative, and uninteresting people I can think of. However, that's exactly what the majority of prospective hams want to do.

>Whatever it may be,
>the newcommer must have something to interest them, and I don't really
>see much there today. Too bad you don't need a han license to opperate

>the internet!!

How much do you want to bet that if some sort of license WERE required to get on the 'net, that there would be millions of people eagerly lining up to buy the study guides and take the tests?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -6557044261149478221
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 19:32:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 74
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 22:31:46 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988943383 216.234.103.49 (Thu, 03 May 2001 21:29:43
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:29:43 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5446
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15691 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22388
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20958
```

Sheesh! I should probably have two cups of coffee before I start posting after waking up... a few typo's in here:

Sideband wrote:
>
> Dan:
>
> Well, apparently you missed the point; or is it that you choose to
> ignore it? Either way, your attitude towards me on this is a bit
> disconcerting. I'll state this again just in case it didn't get through
> the first time" I'm not a freebander, nor do I encourage ANYONE to

s/"/:

> violate FCC rules.
>
> I'm sorry to hear about your mood disorder.
>
> The point was this: Set aside another band for sideband transmissions
> only, to help alleviate some of the congestion on CM (they have no other

s/CM/CB

> place to go), and perhaps the encroachment on 10M frequencies would tone
> down; perhaps those frequencies between 27.405 and 28.000 that are
> allocated to other services won't be interfered with people calling CQ,
> and perhaps sideband operators on CB's won't get interfered with as much
> by AM carriers.
>
> I'm not proposing a reduction in standards. Personally, I feel when the
> FCC removed the licensing requirement from CB they made a BIG mistake.
> I'm proposing a broadening of bandwidth to help alleviate the congestion
> on CB that causes people who don't know any better to venture onto the
> HAM bands and interfere with licensed operators. If the bands weren't so
> congested, CB'ers might not feel the need to run an amp, because they'd
> be able to make contacts on much less power.
>
> If you're so shortsighted that you don't see the potential good this
> could do, then I'm sorry you feel that way.
>
> Will some idiots out there still run illegal power? Probably. Will
> people who don't know any better still venture out onto bands and
> frequencies where they don't belong? Probably. Unfortunately, without a
> licensing requirement, the lack of knowledge by CB'ers helps cause this
> problem.
>
> This is just my opinion. Your mileage may vary
>
> W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > Did you not suggest a band be set aside just for the illegal idiots? They
> > you should be able to figure out my response.
> >
> > The SATs having to do with it is....the lowering of the bar. The reduction
> > of standards to accomidate some person and/or group that is unable, too
> > ignorant, or just STUPID. See my point?

> >
> > Also there ALREADY exists plenty of places to work DX and have fun. Its
> > called Amateur Radio. Unfortunatly without a valid callsign you will be
> > attacked much worse than here.
> >

Additional comment:

Will a tester come out to an invalid's house in order to test them? If not, how would someone who cannot leave the house get tested to attain their license?

I've heard the "if you want to DX and run power, get a license" argument ad nauseum. Some people are incapable of passing a code test due to dyslexia or other disorders. Some people are incapable of even leaving their house. How do those people attain their license?

-SSB

From -5292233370702051690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 19:33:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 4 May 2001 02:33:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9ct4de$je$0@208.207.71.153>
References: <20010502132400.29921.00002175@ng-ff1.aol.com> <20010503063901.01812.00000290@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.153
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20959

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
> >>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$
>
> >Bingo.

>
> Is there also not an element that seeking more hams is generally healthy for
> the Amateur Radio Service?

Yeah, that woud be the "more warm bodies" movement.

```
From -1563155238411339027
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 19:34:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.corecomm.net!
reader3-nwblwi.news.corecomm.net!not-for-mail
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 21:34:34 -0500
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Organization: CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL
NNTP-Posting-Host: c1a87090.news.corecomm.net
X-Trace:
DXC=8bkf0E^g`=j=>N\5^GS<lj@h7X@\0oLOmbo1K;GlJd>kdAcKco4nOkj]k:LEc8S1doL^:NkYe\2Vi?
lSQkB7Y<Xl
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corecomm.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5447
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15692 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22389
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20960
```

No. Two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's do not make a 1 1/4 wave dipole. They
make a dipole composed of two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's. This
configuration will not make a truly efficient antenna. Read up on
collinear antenna's and dipole antenna's. You will see that what you
have is nothing. However for you sake I do hope it works to your
satisfaction. I prefer something else...

Dave WD9BDZ

Sideband wrote:
>
> Doug:
>
> Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
>
> Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
> top loaded coil antenna. Two of them make 1 1/4 wave. Maybe I should
> have said 1 1/4 wave dipole?
>
> -SSB
>
> Doug Smith wrote:
> >
> > On Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400, Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote:
> > >them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two 4' Wilson
> >
> > Uh, 8 feet is not 5/8 wave on 11 meters. A 102" (8-1/2') whip is 1/4 wave,
> > so a 5/8 wave would be a bit more than 17 feet long.
> >
> > (you should try a 102" whip, I think you're likely to find it more effective
> > than the twin four-footers. Because you won't have loading-coil losses.
> > Mine worked *fantastically* well on 10 meters with a converted SSB CB.)
> > --
> > Doug Smith W9WI
> > Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> > http://www.w9wi.com

From 7513990799584182111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 19:37:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 02:36:20 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <3af21412.911887@news.mindspring.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>

<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net> <3AF19CAD.5A75@bellsouth.net>
<3AF21179.187E3EF0@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ee.2b
X-Server-Date: 4 May 2001 02:36:40 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20961

On Thu, 03 May 2001 22:18:57 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>>
>> Then, Dwight,
>>
>> You must've not read about the teenage ham
>> in Kentucky a couple years back. Seems he
>> was at some sort of public event, or
>> something, and had his handheld with him.
>> Cops "overreacted" by seizing his radio
>> and charging the hapless ham with having
>> a "scanner".
>
> There has to be more to the story, Jerry. Was there a specific ban
>on scanners at this particular event?

Kentucky law prohibits scanners ANYWHERE except in a person's
home. You can not have a scanner on you while outside your
home without a special police permit. KY ST s 432.570

>Was the ham doing something to
>attract attention to himself?

As I recall, he was just there and the police overheard
their own transmissions on the guys scanner or HT.
I believe since that incident, KY may now exempt
amateurs from such enforcement.

>Anyway, without the full story, all I
>can go on is my own experience and observations and I haven't seen any
>aggressive tendency on the part of the cops to go after scanner users.

They use it as just another means to an end when they
want to.

> In fact, most of the scanner cases I've heard about first involved
>other offenses. As for myself, my Explorer looks like a porcupine
>with all the antennas on it (scanner, ham, marine, etc) and I've never
>experienced any problems with law enforcement.

Most states do NOT have such laws to begin with. I don't know
the current status, but the following at one time did prohibit
scanners in autos and/or other places: CA, FL, IN, KY, ME, MI,
NE, NY, ND, OK, RI, SD, VT, WV.

NJ used to also BUT we got the law changed in 1994.

> In fact, I've
>recruited a couple of law enforcement people into ham radio following
>discussions about my equipment. So, while I'm sure isolated events
>like you describe happen, I just don't see this as a major problem
>that the majority of hams should worry about.

If it happened to you it would be a major problem.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -6757347718692630768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:03:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcsaki0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3AF1EC7E.D997F2B6@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 36
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:04:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.47

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988945441 63.178.67.47 (Fri, 04 May 2001 03:04:01
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:04:01 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22391
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5448 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15693
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20962

On Thu, 03 May 2001 18:40:46 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:

>GG wrote:
>> You live in town but you purchased 20 acres of land out in the boonies
>> with the idea of maybe moving there when you retire in the future. I
>> come along and see your land and I don't see a house or anyone using the
>> land. I observe this for a short while and come to the conclusion that
>> no one is using this land. So I build a little shack there, plant my
>> garden, run a cow or two. Is that OK with you? Don't I have a right to
>> do that? After all, you aren't using it.
>
>You picked a bad analogy. A person did just that on some land in my
>home county of Madison in Texas. Nobody noticed him living there.
>After N number of years he was discovered. The case went to court
>and the trespasser was awarded the property under the Texas homestead
>laws. So you better keep an eye on your property or some homesteader
>will wind up owning it. Homesteading is a good description of what the
>freebanders have done between the CB band and the ham band. Time was
>when amateur radio operators homesteaded the radio frequencies.

  This is a classic case of "adverse possession", a gem of
  Anglo-American real property law.  After X number of years of
  use of the property without the owner objecting or otherwise
  attempting to oust them, the user gains ownership of the
  property.  The number of years varies from state to state, and
  there's a bunch of loopholes if the owner is unable to inspect
  the property for a number of reasons.

  "Homesteading" is something else, starting with lawful
  occupancy.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From -6910700657888334754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
```

X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:03:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcsarb1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com> <3af1f030.22142745@news.accessunited.com> <3AF1F3C9.19DF1A6C@mailcity.com> <3af203ab.27130215@news.accessunited.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 15
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:04:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988945443 63.178.67.47 (Fri, 04 May 2001 03:04:03 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:04:03 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22392 rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5449 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15694 rec.radio.amateur.policy:20963

On Fri, 04 May 2001 01:26:04 GMT, Tom W8JI wrote:

>Borrowing something that isn't yours without asking the owner is
>called "theft", but I guess we all have different morals.

In Anglo-American common law, theft requires an intent on the part of the thief to deprive the rightful owner of the property in question. If there's no such intent, it's called misappropriation or unlawful possession.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -7018689418991853013
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:16:25 PST
Message-ID: <3AF21EBA.25225B14@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 22:15:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3AF1EC7E.D997F2B6@IEEE.org> <3AF1F495.4387880D@mailcity.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.118
X-Trace: corp 988945722 64.240.47.118 (3 May 2001 22:08:42 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5450
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15695 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22395
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20964

GG wrote:
> I picked a good analogy, I have no right to use his property just
> because I observe it does not appear to be in use by the owner.

Don't know about other states, but in Texas you have every right
to use property that does not appear to be in use by the owner.
If you use it long enough, it legally belongs to you.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4054925059641228640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:16:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 23:16:58 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 61
Message-ID: <3AF21F00.2FDBDAA1@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105032114330.11829-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-52.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988946185 183211 204.116.70.53 (4 May 2001 03:16:25
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 03:16:25 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20965
```

"D. Stussy" wrote:

> Obviously, you have had your head in the
> sand, Stewart. (Well, since you're going
> to address me by only my last name, you
> deserve the same.)

Sorry if you were offended by my use of your last name. However, since that is all that you have offered for identification, that is all that is available to me (unless you want me to call you "D"). And I don't have my head in the sand - I'm just not an alarmist (see below).

> There have been cases where police have
> arrested licensed amateurs just because
> their radios were capable of receiving
> the police department's allocated frequency.
> Just how do you think the question posed
> in FCC ruling 93-17 originally came about?

I'm sure there are isolated cases that you could point at, but I'll repeat what I've said all along - these are isolated events, not a major problem that the majority of ham operators need worry about. Every single day, many thousands of ham operators leave their homes with HT's hooked to their belts. They head off to work, the mall, the grocery store, the beach, community events, government buildings, and a thousand other places in their daily lives.

With that in mind, the fact that you can name one, or two, or even ten, isolated incidents over a period of several years is simply not that big of a deal. This simple fact remains - the overwhelming majority of ham operators will never experience any problem whatsoever with local law enforcement concerning their equipment. And, when it comes to that tiny minority that might experience problems, I suspect that only a small percentage of those will have any problems relating to future HR-2346 enforcement.

Again, for 99.9 percents of the operators out there, the isolated examples you gave are not even an issue in their lives. Therefore, you're going to have a difficult time convincing me, and I suspect many other more level headed hams, to oppose HR-2346 enforcement based on a somewhat alarmist view of law enforcement relating to this issue.

> So, you were saying that "no cop ... is
> going to get that aggressive ... [or]
> overreact simply because [of] a scanner."
> I think it's time for you to return to
> reality.

If you're going to quote someone, at least do so accurately. My exact sentence was, "No cop in his right mind is going to get that aggressive, or that excited, over a simple radio violation."

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -7189641739779758061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:23:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!nntp.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF220B5.AA57121D@mailcity.com>
From: GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3af1f030.22142745@news.accessunited.com> <3AF1F3C9.19DF1A6C@mailcity.com>
<3af203ab.27130215@news.accessunited.com>
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
 boundary="------------51C2A2B807FBF6FA6D37411C"
X-GC-Trace: gv1-LoBfhwtM4cSDcxn43QfZCxHUFjLheyzSLBLz5/wCoKNWbI=
Lines: 83
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 22:23:10 CDT
Organization: Giganews.Com - Premium News Outsourcing
X-Trace: sv3-
IT6O6sDaLjWbJQF2iGJTdYvUFonzoYjve0zn65sskVsF+NyHPyU17Y5WeK+NOL0XoJ8+y9rVHwz4tbz!
hkMNziuZCULCpsR0NoQyBPxr6jeHYyIfCTLxoVXMyUow1qbHYB/RCxXs9qV+tg==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:23:10 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5451
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15696 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22396
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20966


--------------51C2A2B807FBF6FA6D37411C
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

Tom W8JI wrote:

```
> On Fri, 04 May 2001 01:13:26 GMT, GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
> >The logic of my post, I assumed I could "borrow" the property without
> >asking because it was apparent that "they aren't using it".
>
> Borrowing something that isn't yours without asking the owner is

> called "theft", but I guess we all have different morals.
>
.

Exactly, my "borrowing" would be nothing more than theft. Just like the
freebanders "steal" what they called unused frequencies. It is beyond me
how they can expect anyone to have respect for what they do..

>
>
>
> Take a listen to ten meters, and tell me freebanders haven't cause a
> big problem. Not only have they driven legitiment users off the
> commercial areas around 27 MHz, and ruiened things for the R/C people
> who used to use adjacent-to-CB channel  frequencies, they are now
> ruining ten meters.
>
> They are also spreading to all other frequencies in the HF spectrum.

Yep, freebanders (illegal operators) have intruded into the 10 meter band,
and other frequencies,  and are causing problems. I on occasion have
caught an illegal operator on 10 and when challenged often the response
is, "well, we didn't hear anyone on this channel so what does it hurt?"
Typical of my analogy... no one is using it so I guess I have a right to
take it.


--------------51C2A2B807FBF6FA6D37411C
Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

<!doctype html public "-//w3c//dtd html 4.0 transitional//en">
<html>
&nbsp;
<p>Tom W8JI wrote:
<blockquote TYPE=CITE>On Fri, 04 May 2001 01:13:26 GMT, GG
&lt;nbc_red@mailcity.com>
wrote:
<p>>The logic of my post, I assumed I could "borrow" the property without
<br>>asking because it was apparent that "they aren't using it".
<p>Borrowing something that isn't yours without asking the owner is</blockquote>

<blockquote TYPE=CITE>
<pre>called "theft", but I guess we all have different morals.</pre>
</blockquote>
.
```

```
<p>Exactly, my "borrowing" would be nothing more than theft. Just like
the freebanders "steal" what they called unused frequencies. It is beyond
me how they can expect anyone to have respect for what they do..
<blockquote TYPE=CITE>&nbsp;
<br>&nbsp;
<p>Take a listen to ten meters, and tell me freebanders haven't cause a
<br>big problem. Not only have they driven legitiment users off the
<br>commercial areas around 27 MHz, and ruiened things for the R/C people
<br>who used to use adjacent-to-CB channel&nbsp; frequencies, they are
now
<br>ruining ten meters.
<p>They are also spreading to all other frequencies in the HF spectrum.</
blockquote>
Yep, freebanders (illegal operators) have intruded into the 10 meter band,
and other frequencies,&nbsp; and are causing problems. I on occasion have
caught an illegal operator on 10 and when challenged often the response
is, "well, we didn't hear anyone on this channel so what does it hurt?"
Typical of my analogy... no one is using it so I guess I have a right to
take it.
<br>&nbsp;</html>

--------------51C2A2B807FBF6FA6D37411C--




From 8626944551053837137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:28:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcsbov3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net> <3AF19CAD.5A75@bellsouth.net>
<3AF21179.187E3EF0@sccoast.net> <3af21412.911887@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Lines: 27
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:29:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
```

X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988946951 63.178.67.47 (Fri, 04 May 2001 03:29:11 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:29:11 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20967

On Fri, 04 May 2001 02:36:20 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>Most states do NOT have such laws to begin with. I don't know
>the current status, but the following at one time did prohibit
>scanners in autos and/or other places: CA, FL, IN, KY, ME, MI,
>NE, NY, ND, OK, RI, SD, VT, WV.
>
>NJ used to also BUT we got the law changed in 1994.

Most states either amended their sbanner/receiver laws or adopted new ones to prohibit possession and use in a vehicle (or otherwise) if used with criminal intent or to interfere with lawful public safety activities. The ARRL preemption does not apply in those cases, BTW.

In the Kentucky case, IIRC, the police noticed their tramsmissions coming out of the ham radio because their vhf mobile was overloading the transceiver front end, and from that declared it a receiver "capable of receiving police transmissions".

That's the kind of "conclusion" that we're afraid will erupt if local officers - with little or no knowledge of audio rectification and front end overload - get into CB enforcement.

--
73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -7599272236055663633
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:28:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcsbum4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>

```
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not> <3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
Lines: 19
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:29:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988946952 63.178.67.47 (Fri, 04 May 2001 03:29:12
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:29:12 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22397
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5452 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15697
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20968

On Thu, 03 May 2001 22:31:46 -0400, Sideband wrote:

>Will a tester come out to an invalid's house in order to test them? If
>not, how would someone who cannot leave the house get tested to attain
>their license?

  The answer is yes.  Even back when the tests were only given
  at FCC examination points, special arrangements were always
  made for folks who could not travel or who were blind or
  partially deaf.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP




From -8749431536052375237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:28:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcsb872.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <3AF163EE.796F9016@coqui.net>
<3af21425$0$18886$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 26
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:29:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 988946951 63.178.67.47 (Fri, 04 May 2001 03:29:11
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 03:29:11 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22398
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5453 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15698
rec.radio.amateur.policy:20969
```

On Thu, 03 May 2001 21:29:28 -0500, David G. Nagel wrote:

>One of the objectives of the creation of the GMRS Class D (i.e. Citizens
>Band) radio service was to provide low cost licensed radio
>communications for small businesses and family communications. This
>service was to be limited to 50 miles from the transmitting station and
>to a maximum power input of 5 watts. This was later changed to, I
>believe, 3 watts output.

"Close" only counts in hand grenades and horseshoes.

The maximum distance figure was (and for all intents and purposes still is) 150 miles.

The transmitter power was originally defined as 5 watts DC input (AM). This was later redefined to 4 watts carrier output (AM), 12 watts PEP (SSB). No change for all intents and purposes.

--
  73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

From -4510085069674486871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-03 20:32:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
newsfeed.infoave.net.MISMATCH!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Thu, 03 May 2001 23:33:03 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3AF222C3.5464706E@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3af02bab.1624141@news.mindspring.com> <3AF0EAA6.4B69624B@sccoast.net>
<3af1349f.1533009@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-52.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 988947151 184214 204.116.70.53 (4 May 2001 03:32:31
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 03:32:31 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:20970

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>
> > Cops don't have to be "totally onboard," Bill.
> > Remember that CB enforcement will be a joint
> > effort involving both local law enforcement AND
> > the FCC.
>
> Dwight... the reason for HR-2346 was to give the
> locals the ability to ACT without the FCC.

Did I say otherwise, Bill? Again, CB enforcement (not local enforcement - CB enforcement as a whole) will be a joint effort involving both local law enforcement AND the FCC.

> Sure they will. Thankfully, however, no city or
> town has yet to so impower their locals...perhaps
> having enough sense as legislators to know better
> than to open that can of worms.

Give it time, Bill. The legislation was only signed into law a few months ago. I don't expect to see the first local laws relating to this for another year or two.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 1321720341059598306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7f2b3182f9d4bb94
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 07:11:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 14:10:45 GMT
References: <hBxI6.909$vg1.70120@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: In Case You Forgot The Question, Hansel......
Message-ID: <20010504101045.20724.00002511@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21021

>Subject: Re: In Case You Forgot The Question, Hansel......
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 05/04/01 8:51 AM Eastern Daylight Time

>Steve Robeson LPN axed me yet another time:

Obviously, Hansel Brakob is a racist.

Not that I am surprised

>For the third time, Steve -- pay attention here now - I'll type slowly so you

>can keep up........

>NO

Hansel, I have gone through the other posts and I have yet to see where you posted that response the other "two" times.

But you have yet to answer the OTHER two questions directly. I will type THOSE slowly so you can fully comprehend the question being put to you.....

(1) Could the FCC have NOT issued an NAL, >>if they so chose<<, for the problems cited had such conduct NOT been corrected, and:

(2) Do I NOT have the right to address matters of impropriety when it pertains to local government matters?

So far you have only answered 33 1/3% of the questions. You CAN do better.

>Now go soak your head. You're boring me.

I have no doubt that I am. I am holding your feet to the fire to answer simple, direct questions with simple, direct answers. You don't like it that I don't submit to your bullying, so you become MORE insulting.

YOUR posts and answers to OTHER posts was to call me a "radio cop" and make other insulting remarks.

Very professional. Very mature. Of course YOU are one of three people (the others being Larry Roll and Lennie Anderson...THERE'S a combination!...) who presume to tell me what is acceptable and responsible while at the same time being yourself profane and insulting.

Now...be a nice boy...cut and paste the questions I placed before you and answer each one in turn. "YES" or "NO" are acceptable. Digress if you like, but it only exacerbates your humiliation.

Now have fun with THAT one, Hans!

```
From 6561014936035777533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 07:12:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 09:10:29 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.8b.e2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 May 2001 14:11:42 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15745
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21022

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   I have very little respect for those who blatantly violate the law
> and that would hardly change just because the law was later changed.

A law is either good or evil, Dwight. When a law is changed, was it
good before and evil after, or was it evil before and good after.
Either way, it was evil sometime. I advocate getting rid of evil
laws.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From -3054537768240434l7
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 07:22:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF2BAD1.E2EA5CA5@crosslink.net>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 10:21:05 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Growth in the ARS
References: <20010503063901.01812.00000290@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010503222907.03759.00000197@nso-ch.aol.com>
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 53
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.218
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 988986176 8109 207.199.164.218
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21023

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
> >Is there also not an element that seeking more hams is generally healthy for
> >the Amateur Radio Service?
> >
> ....
> Where is it written that the United States needs more than around
> a half-million hams in order for the ARS to remain viable? Where is
> it written that we have to selfishly, greedily hang on to ever possible
> kHz of spectrum allocated to us? Where is the sense in that --
> considering the absolutely nil commercial viability of our "service?"

We have very different views on what the purpose of amateur radio is and should be. IMHO it is *primarily* recreational, not commercial or anything else. The once important need for "a pool of skilled operators" has been long negated by technology, as has the ability to write software in machine languages. Whatever "the service" once was and however much we may miss the good old days, both are gone; swallowed by time. Amateur radio is now a hobby, pursued for recreation and it's portion of the spectrum is now set aside for the people to enjoy in much the same way that portions of our public lands have been set aside. If we also provide other services whilst enjoying radio that's icing on the cake.

From that viewpoint, which I understand many reject, the more people enjoying the spectrum allotted to us the better because if it isn't very heavily used for *recreation* it will be diverted to other uses; and as you say rightly so. Gone are the days when MARS and RACES provided services important enough to justify the service on their own. Today, the kid on a ship whips out a cell phone to call mama and Amateur Radio has become a park for hobbiests to enjoy but if nobody ever goes to a park we might as well use the land for a shopping mall or parking lot and ditto the spectrum.

Since I enjoy radio as a hobby, I'd like to see it not only stick around but expand and to do that people have to be seen "visiting the park"; and the number of licensed amateurs is one indicator of how many are doing so. My desire to see the hobby grow happens to coincide with some mfg.'s desire to sell equipment, but that hardly comprises any evil conspiracy.

I perceive Morse from the same viewpoint. If enough people enjoy

using it then by all means reserve spectrum for their enjoyment!
However, requiring others to pass a Morse test in order to
pursue other aspects of out hobby is akin to requiring everybody
to demo skill with flint and steel before they can go see Old
Faithful.

KA3DWW

```
From -7056934993016513054
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7f2b3182f9d4bb94
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 07:23:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <hBxI6.909$vg1.70120@www.newsranger.com>
<20010504101045.20724.00002511@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: In Case You Forgot The Question, Hansel......
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <2XyI6.1080$vg1.81361@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 10:22:54 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 14:22:54 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21024
```

Steve Robeson axed me yet ANOTHER time:

> (1) Could the FCC have NOT issued an NAL, >>if they so chose<<, for the
>problems cited had such conduct NOT been corrected, and:

I have no idea. I'm an Amateur Radio licensee, not a Radio Kop wannabe. Ask the Commission.

> (2) Do I NOT have the right to address matters of impropriety when it
>pertains to local government matters?

Everyone has the right to put on their blue coveralls, pin on their Radio Kop badge, and embarass themselves in front of the professionals. Have fun.

Hans, K0HB

```
From 71681255949048306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 08:03:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF2C43A.63D8E97B@crosslink.net>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 11:01:14 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD (Was Steven Spielberg quits....)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 60
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.218
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 988988586 8109 207.199.164.218
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15747
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21025
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Old Biker wrote:
>
> > You're both right, but Dwight is missing
> > a point. ....
>
> I'm not missing the point - I just don't agree with the premise.

I said "a" point, not "the" point, an important difference.

> Yes, alcohol is a major problem for the family, but we cannot so quickly dismiss it's effects on society (DUI, lost production, on the job accidents, and so forth). Drugs, even if legalized, present the same problems for society.

No arguement! The point you miss, or avoid, is that while all you say bad about booze is true, all of those ills pale compared to the evils of prohibition itself. We *may* have had fewer alchoholics during prohibition, altho that's arguable, but we had innocent people being caught in the crossfire of shoot-outs between bootleggers, police harrassment of ordinary citizens, rights given up in hope of security; in short all of the ills now associated with the WOD but no longer associated with alchohol. I emphatically agree that " Drugs, even if legalized, (would) present the same problems ..." as alchohol does but we wouldn't have people being robbed and murdered so addicts could afford drugs because drugs would be as cheap as wine. We wouldn't have little kids and their grandmothers being killed by warring dealers because the dealers would be settling their disputes in courts just like liqueur store owners. We wouldn't have police and innocent bystanders being, not to mention addicts and dealers being killed in drug raids. All that'd go away just like it did after prohibition, leaving us with manageable social problems.

>
> Still more importantly, contrary to the popular myth, the illegal alcohol trade did not decrease after prohibition either.

That's because prohibition didn't end abruptly. Many states and localities continued to outlaw alcohol, especially "by the drink" until well into the 1970's and a few still do. But when's the last time you read of a kid being shot in a fight over illegal alchohol distribution, or of a wino killing anyone for the price of a bottle of Ripple.

>
> So, in the end, the premise that drug legalization will reduce the problems associated with the drug trade, or the problems associated with drug use, is simply not supported by our experience with prohibition.
>

That's not true. Our experience with prohibition demonstrates that legalization will not remove *all* problems but clearly shows that legalization will practically eliminate the ones that affect *me* and most other people who do not abuse drugs. It will not reduce the # of addicts or help their families but it will eliminate the need for addicts to rob and kill to support their habits and it will minimize the assault on our rights, and
....

From -6146674256341587437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7f2b3182f9d4bb94
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 08:18:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 15:18:37 GMT
References: <2XyI6.1080$vg1.81361@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: In Case You Forgot The Question, Hansel......
Message-ID: <20010504111837.23950.00000768@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21026

>Subject: Re: In Case You Forgot The Question, Hansel......
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 05/04/01 10:22 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id:

>Steve Robeson axed me yet ANOTHER time:

And Hans Once again avoided answering a straightforward question witha straight forward answer.

>> (1) Could the FCC have NOT issued an NAL, >>if they so chose<<, for the
>>problems cited had such conduct NOT been corrected, and:

>I have no idea. I'm an Amateur Radio licensee, not a Radio Kop wannabe. Ask
>the Commission.

Brakob, you are a coward. Nothing more...nothing less....

>> (2) Do I NOT have the right to address matters of impropriety when it
>>pertains to local government matters?

>Everyone has the right to put on their blue coveralls, pin on their Radio Kop
>badge, and embarass themselves in front of the professionals. Have fun.

Embarrassed (my)self...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

You ARE an idiot, Hans! No holds barred IDIOT!

You go RIGHT AHEAD and think that if it makes you happy! Most idiots ARE blissful in thier ignorance, and YOU must be laughing your booty off!

I am LAUGHING at the superior intelect!

Good luck, Hans. You have superceeded STUPID by a parsec!

What A PUTZ!

Steve

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 2339972180121037456
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 08:20:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron in NC" <kc4yoy@pop.trellis.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <01c0d4ad$00c64b40$6d4c96d0@ron>
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Internet News 4.70.1161
Lines: 10
```

Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 15:17:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.150.76.109
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 988989440 208.150.76.109 (Fri, 04 May 2001 10:17:20 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 10:17:20 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22465 rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8539 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2507 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2402 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12739 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21027 rec.radio.cb:31930

> I don't believe you, Rob,

You may not believe him, but there's one I believe,
(no make that KNOW) and that is that most of
the folks on this NG, like me are not interested
in your BS and wish you would do it by private email.

Ron KC4YOY

From -6363886662134831396
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 08:21:39 PST
Message-ID: <3AF2C971.55CA754E@bob.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 11:23:29 -0400
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Organization: Unorganized.
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net> <oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 198.133.22.73
X-Trace: 4 May 2001 15:31:12 GMT, 198.133.22.73
Lines: 26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prserv.net
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.us.prserv.net!prserv.net!news1.prserv.net!198.133.22.73
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15748 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21028

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> This "evil, big brother like, government forcing it's will on
> the people" nonsense is getting a little boring, people. Please do
> try to come up with something more creative and more accurate.

I'm glad England wasn't full of people with your attitude around 1620.

>
> Now, please note I'm not talking about minor crap like spitting on the
> sidewalk or speeding.

Woah now, those are serious crimes in my book. As a matter of fact, go gather 500 people from the local mall - ask them, which is a worse crime, "speeding, spitting on the sidewalk or freebanding." How many of them know what freebanding even is? Probably not even one...if it was such a serious problem to the public, the problem would have been fixed. It was hams who urged their senators to push for local enforcement of the CB laws...not the non-ham public.

```
From -8228486442059300214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 08:27:48 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 15:24:29 GMT
Message-ID: <3af2c890.156220179@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not> <5SpI6.46277$B22.11646129@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
```

```
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.99
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.99
X-Trace: 4 May 2001 10:20:51 -0500, 64.24.16.99
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5487
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15750 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22466
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21029

On Fri, 04 May 2001 04:03:13 GMT, "HelmutSweenie"
<helmutsweenie@youranus.com> wrote:


>We should be thankful the FCC
>hasn't pulled the plug on 11m it is more trouble than what its worth. One
>day they will cut their losses and axe 11m.
>
Wait until maybe five years from now when the spots are down, Mother
Nature will do a much better job of shutting 11m down than the FCC
can.
>
w3rv




From 7227871734352167241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 08:35:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 10:35:55 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 120
Message-ID: <9cui70$tng$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
```

<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.91.46
X-Server-Date: 4 May 2001 15:34:56 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5488
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15752 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22467
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21030

I see you say you don't support a position, then go on to do just that. In anycase this will be my last on this one......you can not reward outlaw behavior by giving them more frequencies.

Based on the obvious dis-regard and dis-respect for regulations, already in effect, I see no possibility that the rag tag bunch you refere to would obey any new ones.

End of discussion.

Dan/W4NTI

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not...
> Dan:
>
> Well, apparently you missed the point; or is it that you choose to
> ignore it? Either way, your attitude towards me on this is a bit
> disconcerting. I'll state this again just in case it didn't get through
> the first time" I'm not a freebander, nor do I encourage ANYONE to
> violate FCC rules.
>
> I'm sorry to hear about your mood disorder.
>
> The point was this: Set aside another band for sideband transmissions
> only, to help alleviate some of the congestion on CM (they have no other
> place to go), and perhaps the encroachment on 10M frequencies would tone
> down; perhaps those frequencies between 27.405 and 28.000 that are
> allocated to other services won't be interfered with people calling CQ,
> and perhaps sideband operators on CB's won't get interfered with as much
> by AM carriers.
>
> I'm not proposing a reduction in standards. Personally, I feel when the
> FCC removed the licensing requirement from CB they made a BIG mistake.
> I'm proposing a broadening of bandwidth to help alleviate the congestion
> on CB that causes people who don't know any better to venture onto the
> HAM bands and interfere with licensed operators. If the bands weren't so

> congested, CB'ers might not feel the need to run an amp, because they'd
> be able to make contacts on much less power.
>
> If you're so shortsighted that you don't see the potential good this
> could do, then I'm sorry you feel that way.
>
> Will some idiots out there still run illegal power? Probably. Will
> people who don't know any better still venture out onto bands and
> frequencies where they don't belong? Probably. Unfortunately, without a
> licensing requirement, the lack of knowledge by CB'ers helps cause this
> problem.
>
> This is just my opinion. Your mileage may vary
>
> W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > Did you not suggest a band be set aside just for the illegal idiots?
They
> > you should be able to figure out my response.
> >
> > The SATs having to do with it is....the lowering of the bar. The
reduction
> > of standards to accomidate some person and/or group that is unable, too
> > ignorant, or just STUPID. See my point?
> >
> > Also there ALREADY exists plenty of places to work DX and have fun. Its
> > called Amateur Radio. Unfortunatly without a valid callsign you will be
> > attacked much worse than here.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > news:3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not...
> > > Dan:
> > >
> > > I honestly don't know how to respond to this. Your post below is so
> > > thick with sarcasm I fail to see your point. Perhaps you could tone it
> > > back a few notches and provide some facts (if you can find any) to
back
> > > up your assertion that (1) I'm a liberal, (2) I'm lazy, (3) that I've
> > > operated illegally, and (4) Just what the SAT's have to do with it.
> > >
> > > After you've done that, I may consider explaining my reasoning behind
my
> > > opinion so that you might understand it.
> > >
> > > Again, I caution you, do not include me amongst the freebanders; I'm
> > > neither one of them, nor do I condone what they do (as I stated in the
> > > very first sentence of the quote you so vitriously lambasted.)
> > >
> > > -SSB
> > >
> > > W4NTI wrote:

```
> > > >
> > > > Why? To accomidate a bunch of LAZY illegal fools?  You must be a
> > liberal.  I
> > > > bet you support dropping the standards of a SAT just to accomidate
some
> > lazy
> > > > bum that cant pass it...right?
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > > > news:3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not...
> > > > > I don't condone violations of FCC rules. However, I would like to
see
> > a
> > > > > sideband only band above channel 40, but below 27.800, with a 50
watt
> > > > > limit, for CB'ers to DX on... The frequencies allocated for this
could
> > > > > "go around" the currently allocated forestry, etc, frequencies,
much
> > in
> > > > > the same way the CB frequencies skip over the RC freq's.
> > > > >
> > > > > Just my two cents, here... Of course, your mileage may vary.
> > > > >
> > > > > -SSB
> > > > >




From -5464040650581826536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 08:44:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.corecomm.net!reader3-
nwblwi.news.corecomm.net!not-for-mail
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 10:43:33 -0500
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <3AF163EE.796F9016@coqui.net>
<3af21425$0$18886$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcsb872.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3af2ce42$0$18887$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Organization: CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL
NNTP-Posting-Host: 901b79c9.news.corecomm.net
X-Trace: DXC=?
_^^WRP:FE_L:]83Yo7ZD[@h7X@\0oLO]bo1K;GlJd>[dAcKco4nOkZ2nPo@3Kb=lRL^:NkYe\2VY3K7?
BS\Z_V^
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corecomm.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22468
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5489 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15753
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21031

Phil:
Thank you for correcting my mistakes. You are correct in you numbers. It
has been a very long time since I have visited Part 19.
Dave

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Thu, 03 May 2001 21:29:28 -0500, David G. Nagel wrote:
>
> >One of the objectives of the creation of the GMRS Class D (i.e. Citizens
> >Band) radio service was to provide low cost licensed radio
> >communications for small businesses and family communications. This
> >service was to be limited to 50 miles from the transmitting station and
> >to a maximum power input of 5 watts. This was later changed to, I
> >believe, 3 watts output.
>
>   "Close" only counts in hand grenades and horseshoes.
>
>   The maximum distance figure was (and for all intents and
>   purposes still is) 150 miles.
>
>   The transmitter power was originally defined as 5 watts DC
>   input (AM). This was later redefined to 4 watts carrier
>   output (AM), 12 watts PEP (SSB). No change for all intents
>   and purposes.
>
> --
>   73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

```
From -3108837891104080107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 08:50:27 PST
Message-ID: <3AF2CF6E.17B48ACF@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 10:49:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2C971.55CA754E@bob.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.93
X-Trace: corp 988990957 64.240.47.93 (4 May 2001 10:42:37 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15754
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21032
```

Bob wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > This "evil, big brother like, government forcing it's will on
> > the people" nonsense is getting a little boring, people.
>
> I'm glad England wasn't full of people with your attitude around 1620.

I'm glad the colonies had some people who disagreed with Dwight's
views in 1776.
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3307528287102437998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 08:54:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <OfAI6.14508$2_.5137771@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 15:53:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 988991598 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 04 May 2001 08:53:18
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 08:53:18 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22471
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8540 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2508
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2404 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12741
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21033 rec.radio.cb:31938

> I don't believe you, Rob, but if you are telling the truth, you are
> abnormal and among an insignificant number of citizens.

Well it certainly is hard to find people with the courage of their own convictions today. The way I figure it, those laws are there for a reason. Who am I to contest them or break them. The way I look at it is a criminal is a criminal regardless of his crime. I can't stand criminals of any sort, so, why would I lump myself in the same group as them? As for speeding is concerned, I don't see a point to it. The farthest I go on a daily basis is 110kms. Speed limit's 80kmh ... if I tossed on say 15kmh to that (2 points off my licence if I get caught too) it will take me 1hr 9mins, if I do the speed limit it will take 1hr 23mins. 14 extra minutes is no big deal with me. And is certainly not worth the trouble if I get caught.

As for the signals and such, using them is very important, kind of a good idea to keep the other drivers on the road informed of my intentions.
--
Rob St.Denis

From 3587600125482704061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 09:29:30 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 16:28:57 GMT
Message-ID: <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-fm1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.110
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.110
X-Trace: 4 May 2001 11:25:15 -0500, 64.24.16.110
Lines: 57
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21034

On 03 May 2001 10:50:25 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>
>It is a lot more of an "intellectual triumph" than was my passing my Novice in
>1963. I had a 4-page study guide to memorize; those who passed the Tech written
>had over 80 pages of printed questions in the pool alone,
>
There were no "question pools" in 1953, all we had was the ARRL
license study guide and w1aw. The FCC test authors seemed to take
delight in putting questions in the tests which were not in the ARRL
book. Us 13-14 year-olds had precious little help from anybody or
anything except the neighborhood Elmers and/or each other.
>
> not counting the 120
>pages of additional support material in study guides like Now You're Talking.
>
I have no idea what "Now You're Talking" is. Didn't exist then and I
sure don't need it now.
>
>>No doubt related to the old "easy come, easy go" routine.
>
>That didn't apply to the dirt-easy Novice of my day; why do you feel it applies
>to the harder Tech tests of today?
>
First I'm not the least bit convinced that the old Novice writtens
were easier than the nocode test. When was the last time a nocode had
to pull a schematic for a particular type of oscillator out of his
head and actually sketch the circuit??
>
Second, there is also a *lot* more to it than just the relative
difficulties of the technical questions. That one is almost irrelvant.
It involves a much broader set of circumstances and realities which
have changed dramatically over the years. These range from simply
having access to an examiner to drop-dead mandatory upgrading to
educational practices to econmics and lifstyles to the differences in
the available technologies, long list.
>
Getting a ham license always been a packaged process under the
specific conditions of the timeframe, not just a written exam plus
today, an optional code test.
>
Wander on down to the local ham club meeting, check a bunch of boxes
on a multiple choice exam and pop out an instant ham radio operator.
If you screw up an element you just hang around a few minutes and take
it again. Yeah, whatever, giggle.
>
No wonder there's more of a "blase factor" amongst entry-level types
today than there was back when. Along with a healthy dose of disgust
amongst us crumudgeons.
>

>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

w3rv

From 6211613844006633239
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 09:44:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <f%AI6.1269$vg1.100666@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 12:43:55 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 16:43:55 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21035

Brian Kelly, w3rv says:

>I'm not the least bit convinced that the old Novice writtens
>were easier than the nocode test. When was the last time a nocode had
>to pull a schematic for a particular type of oscillator out of his
>head and actually sketch the circuit

I have my 1954 ARRL License Manual here. The Novice section has not a SINGLE schematic, nor a SINGLE "calculation" question. There are exactly 4 pages, and 33 sample questions like "what is a frequency multiplier", "what is the relationship between a cycle, a kilocycle, and a megacycle", and "what is the purpose of a radio-frequency choke".

73, Hans, K0HB

From 254279413210037405

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 10:00:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 98
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 17:00:26 GMT
References: <3AF2C43A.63D8E97B@crosslink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD (Was Steven Spielberg quits....)
Message-ID: <20010504130026.13630.00002427@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21036

>> Yes, alcohol is a major problem for the family, but we cannot so
>> quickly dismiss it's effects on society (DUI, lost production, on the
>> job accidents, and so forth). Drugs, even if legalized, present the
>> same problems for society.
>
>No arguement! The point you miss, or avoid, is that while all
>you say bad about booze is true, all of those ills pale compared
>to the evils of prohibition itself. We *may* have had fewer
>alchoholics during prohibition, altho that's arguable, but we
>had innocent people being caught in the crossfire of shoot-outs
>between bootleggers, police harrassment of ordinary citizens,
>rights given up in hope of security; in short all of the ills
>now associated with the WOD but no longer associated with
>alchohol.

All true, but there's even more:

- Corruption of law enforcement officials
- Redistribution of wealth to suppliers of the illegal substances
- Diversion of resources from other needed government functions to enforcement of unpopular laws
- Creation and growth of criminal organizations

> I emphatically agree that " Drugs, even if legalized,
>(would) present the same problems ..." as alchohol does but we
>wouldn't have people being robbed and murdered so addicts could
>afford drugs because drugs would be as cheap as wine.

Drug abusers would probably have shorter lifespans because they could afford a much higher level of abuse. For a short time, anyway. Many abused drugs are not as self-limiting as alcohol.

> We
>wouldn't have little kids and their grandmothers being killed by

>warring dealers because the dealers would be settling their
>disputes in courts just like liqueur store owners. We wouldn't
>have police and innocent bystanders being, not to mention
>addicts and dealers being killed in drug raids. All that'd go
>away just like it did after prohibition, leaving us with
>manageable social problems.
>
More manageable, anyway.

>>   Still more importantly, contrary to the popular myth, the illegal
>> alcohol trade did not decrease after prohibition either.
>
>That's because prohibition didn't end abruptly. Many states and
>localities continued to outlaw alcohol, especially "by the
>drink" until well into the 1970's and a few still do. But when's
>the last time you read of a kid being shot in a fight over
>illegal alchohol distribution, or of a wino killing anyone for
>the price of a bottle of Ripple.

Bingo! The illegal alcohol supply network had to be price- and
quality-competitive with the legal one to survive. Here in EPA, virtually no
illegal alcohol suppliers exist. The main focus of enforcement is stopping
underage drinking, issuing liquor licenses in accordance with community
standards, and being sure the liquor taxes are paid.
>>
>>   So, in the end, the premise that drug legalization will reduce the
>> problems associated with the drug trade, or the problems associated
>> with drug use, is simply not supported by our experience with prohibition.
>>
Would you go back to prohibition, then?
 >
>That's not true. Our experience with prohibition demonstrates
>that legalization will not remove *all* problems but clearly
>shows that legalization will practically eliminate the ones that
>affect *me* and most other people who do not abuse drugs. It
>will not reduce the # of addicts or help their families but it
>will eliminate the need for addicts to rob and kill to support
>their habits and it will minimize the assault on our rights, and
>....

Exactly.

But the most important issue isn't being addressed. The "WOD" (why must the
language of force and violence be used?) focuses on reducing/eliminating the
supply. The theory is that the prohibited substances can somehow be made
unavailable, but this approach rarely works. Instead, it raises the prices
(Econ 101) and so makes distribution profitable for those willing to violate
the law.

The question that is avoided by the "WOD" is: Why do so many people WANT to
abuse substances that are clearly harmful and addictive? That's where the real
problem is. Did prohibition, of itself, "cure" anyone of alcohol abuse? I don't
think so.

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Only in America would a guy invent crack. Only in America would there be a guy
that cocaine wasn't good enough for.   [A guy who said] 'that cocaine's pretty
good, but I want something that makes my heart explode as soon as I smoke it'"
- Denis Leary
>

From -4692251300366290745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 10:10:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 10:11:20 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3AF2E2B8.79908566@uci.edu>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF19581.8E84CD40@uci.edu> <3af1ad63.5038854@news.accessunited.com>
<3AF1DBEC.FF7BB77@uci.edu> <3af1efce.22044118@news.accessunited.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5490
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15755 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22477
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21037

Tom W8JI wrote:
>

> On Thu, 03 May 2001 15:30:04 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> wrote:
>
> >Tom W8JI wrote:

> >> Freebanding is closer to the example of driving on the shoulder of the
> >> road...or the wrong way on a one-way street....or driving without a
> >> license...than it is to littering.

> >I take it you haven't heard the Dennis Leary song. It's called "The
> >A$$hole Song."

> Never heard it. Is he related to Tim Leary?

I have no idea. He does have a prime time television show on ABC
however.
It's a JOKE Tom. Go buy a sense of humor.

```
From 7390876202836629008
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 10:40:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 12:37:20 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3AF2E8D0.C63B6346@IEEE.org>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org> <01c0d4ad$00c64b40$6d4c96d0@ron>
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 May 2001 17:38:28 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22480
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8544 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2509
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2407 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12743
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21038 rec.radio.cb:31946

Ron in NC wrote:
> You may not believe him, but there's one I believe,
> (no make that KNOW) and that is that most of
> the folks on this NG, like me are not interested
> in your BS and wish you would do it by private email.

Compared to the other BS on this rec.radio.amateur.policy
NG, this one is minor.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


From 2315193301806267728
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 10:41:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 12:40:29 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3AF2E98D.6CAAA013@IEEE.org>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org> <OfAI6.14508$2_.5137771@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 4 May 2001 17:41:49 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

```
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21039 rec.radio.cb:31947

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> > I don't believe you, Rob, but if you are telling the truth, you are
> > abnormal and among an insignificant number of citizens.
>
> Well it certainly is hard to find people with the courage of their own
> convictions today.  The way I figure it, those laws are there for a reason.
> Who am I to contest them or break them.  The way I look at it is a criminal
> is a criminal regardless of his crime.

You are simply too good to be true, Rob. Not many people consider murder
and spitting on the sidewalk to be equal crimes.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From -3588870321959989427
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 10:52:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
cambridge1-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!bos-service1.ext.raytheon.com!dfw-
service2.ext.raytheon.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF243D2.9DBB0365@hotmail.com>
From: JA <jungleandy@hotmail.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en]C-CCK-MCD {RSC}  (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

```
<3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org> <01c0d4ad$00c64b40$6d4c96d0@ron>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 00:53:22 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 138.126.195.2
X-Complaints-To: news@ext.ray.com
X-Trace: dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com 988998644 138.126.195.2 (Fri, 04 May 2001
12:50:44 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 12:50:44 CDT
Organization: Raytheon Company
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22482
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8545 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2510
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2408 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12745
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21040 rec.radio.cb:31948
```

Ditto for me, too !!! ---   W4OAH

****

Ron in NC wrote:

>
> > I don't believe you, Rob,
>
> You may not believe him, but there's one I believe,
> (no make that KNOW) and that is that most of
> the folks on this NG, like me are not interested
> in your BS and wish you would do it by private email.
>
> Ron KC4YOY

```
From -3691707484712300051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 10:56:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
```

```
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 34
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <91CI6.206$B%4.118512@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /
bJ8XXBkSpiHthaA0FyUIvIcWD5hefwfualXh9jfkUFdOxFwo56tPUTfrvF0k6ir82AP8kZtkbWk!W/
1xUlAQqbIll40/e4WzYO4pj8CXmIxElXhya6ruJkK7aXJlytju8oWVcHWuCiBJYaAo8z7I4g6V!
wdRDEF8=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 17:54:13 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 17:54:13 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22483
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8546 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2511
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2409 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12746
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21041 rec.radio.cb:31949

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > I have never received a speeding or any other traffic infraction.  I
have
> > never received a warning.  I never speed.  I always use my turn
> > signals/mirrors/shoulder check when turning and changing lanes.  There
is no
> > need to.  I always give myself ample time to get anywhere.  I have
never
> > received a parking ticket either.  Speeding is stupid AND illegal.
>
> I don't believe you, Rob, but if you are telling the truth, you are
> abnormal and among an insignificant number of citizens.
> --

I don't know about that. I have been driving on the Los Angeles area
freeways and city streets for 32 years and I have never received a traffic
ticket either. I don't think this is as rare as you think - I know of
```

several other people who have also never been cited for a moving violation.

I have, however, received a parking ticket from a city that did not allow overnight street parking in residential neighborhoods, and "forgot" to post any warning signs. A new twist on the old "speed traps" ? :>)

Anyway, I challenged the citation on the basis of 1) the violation was not done intentionally, and 2) the violation was a direct result of the city's failure to post adequate signage informing the public of the ordinance. The judge concurred and dismissed the citation.

73 de W6DKN

From 4331415865712883185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 11:18:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2C971.55CA754E@bob.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 43
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <EmCI6.215$B%4.125190@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: +r4CDg3WweaTMXFqUzIPo8oTw1HO+vpMpXdsh4rA+7d+HamxVwqZ/
0cfx6D1jZ7cB5PjYT5u2Mc+!hh3UDGLq+/
MgBVwXIhmhetao0iys2BOxF5g83Ynm3qaqplGBBJ7LFs56dFV2e4/YmNvLX1URT37p!AgQOH0g=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 18:17:08 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 18:17:08 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15756

rec.radio.amateur.policy:21042

"Bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:3AF2C971.55CA754E@bob.com...

> <<SNIP>>
> It was hams who urged their senators to push for local enforcement of the
> CBlaws...not the non-ham public.
> << SNIP >>

Wrong. The legislation was initiated as a direct result of the voters pressuring their representatives to do something to stop the interference being generated in their homes by high powered CB operators. And it only became a matter for the Congress when the homeowners and local police became frustrated with their inability to address the issue locally.

FYI, a quick search if the web found this news blurb:

"The bill was introduced bt Rep. Russell Feingold (D-Wisconsin). The text of his bill would allow states, cities and other municipal regulatory agencies the to prohibit the use of any equipment on the 11 meter band that is not specifically authorized by the FCC. This would mean that they could take a CB operator off the air (and presumably confiscate their station) for using things such as transceivers modified for out of band use and illegal high-power amplifiers. It also prohibits CBers from causing interference -- even if they are using legal equipment.

Feingold says that his bill is in answer to a myriad of consumer complaints about CB operators he has received. He points to all the interference coming from CBers and his bill specifically excludes all other radio services -- including GMRS, ham radio, cellular, broadcast, PLMRS, etc. from state/local oversight.

It appears now as if the Feingold bill has reasonable expectation of passage this congressional session. He calls it "a common sense solution to a problem that cannot be addressed under existing communications law."
----

73 de W6DKN

From 6977821161894858272
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 11:43:58 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD (Was Steven Spielberg quits....)
Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 11:42:39 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-31-189.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @64.212.31.189 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15757
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21043

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

> I'm not missing the point - I just don't agree with the premise.
> Yes, alcohol is a major problem for the family, but we cannot so
> quickly dismiss it's effects on society (DUI, lost production, on the
> job accidents, and so forth). Drugs, even if legalized, present the
> same problems for society.

I just hope these drug warriors show the same aggressive concern for my well-being when I need those hugely expensive anti-cancer drugs that they have shown in keeping me from ingesting the smoke of the

Cannabis Sativa plant.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From 414060545312559590
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 11:51:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org> <OfAI6.14508$2_.5137771@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2E98D.6CAAA013@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <XSCI6.15393$2_.5424150@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 18:51:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989002295 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 04 May 2001 11:51:35
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 11:51:35 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21044 rec.radio.cb:31951

Spitting used to be illegal here too ... not anymore since hte amalgamation.
But, cursing in public still is so I curb my toungue. See the biggest thing
ya have to understand about why people detest murders so much is not because
someone is dead but because they have tossed off what we believe to be right
for their purposes. So in that case what difference does it make if how ya
did it was by killing someone or spitting on the sidewalk ?

```
--
Rob St.Denis

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AF2E98D.6CAAA013@IEEE.org...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > > I don't believe you, Rob, but if you are telling the truth, you are
> > > abnormal and among an insignificant number of citizens.
> >
> > Well it certainly is hard to find people with the courage of their own
> > convictions today.  The way I figure it, those laws are there for a
reason.
> > Who am I to contest them or break them.  The way I look at it is a
criminal
> > is a criminal regardless of his crime.
>
> You are simply too good to be true, Rob. Not many people consider murder
> and spitting on the sidewalk to be equal crimes.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca




From -2904066058977033857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 11:54:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
```

```
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org> <91CI6.206$B%4.118512@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 49
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <OUCI6.15396$2_.5427521@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 18:53:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989002414 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 04 May 2001 11:53:34
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 11:53:34 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22488
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8547 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2512
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2411 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12749
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21045 rec.radio.cb:31952

Plus on a rather hedonistic point of view ... it annoys the hell out of
those drivers who are in such a hurry to get nowhere as to risk their and
others lives.  I have to bring the camera one of these days to catch their
expressions =)

--
Rob St.Denis

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:91CI6.206$B%4.118512@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org...
> > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > > I have never received a speeding or any other traffic infraction.  I
> have
> > > never received a warning.  I never speed.  I always use my turn
> > > signals/mirrors/shoulder check when turning and changing lanes.  There
> is no
> > > need to.  I always give myself ample time to get anywhere.  I have
> never
> > > received a parking ticket either.  Speeding is stupid AND illegal.
> >
> > I don't believe you, Rob, but if you are telling the truth, you are
> > abnormal and among an insignificant number of citizens.
> > --
>
> I don't know about that. I have been driving on the Los Angeles area
> freeways and city streets for 32 years and I have never received a traffic
> ticket either. I don't think this is as rare as you think - I know of
> several other people who have also never been cited for a moving
violation.
```

```
>
> I have, however, received a parking ticket from a city that did not allow
> overnight street parking in residential neighborhoods, and "forgot" to
post
> any warning signs. A new twist on the old "speed traps" ?  :>)
>
> Anyway, I challenged the citation on the basis of 1) the violation was not
> done intentionally, and 2) the violation was a direct result of the city's
> failure to post adequate signage informing the public of the ordinance.
The
> judge concurred and dismissed the citation.
>
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
>




From 6995335689071631450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 12:02:01 PST
Message-ID: <3AF2FC57.23872E22@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 14:00:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org> <OfAI6.14508$2_.5137771@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2E98D.6CAAA013@IEEE.org> <XSCI6.15393$2_.5424150@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp 989002455 64.240.47.128 (4 May 2001 13:54:15 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21046 rec.radio.cb:31953

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> So in that case what difference does it make if how ya
> did it was by killing someone or spitting on the sidewalk ?

Rob, that attitude should be a crime. It's a lot worse than
freebanding.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1206030651051714856
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 12:16:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 19:16:05 GMT
References: <f%AI6.1269$vg1.100666@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010504151605.12760.00002290@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21047

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/4/01 12:43 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <f%AI6.1269$vg1.100666@www.newsranger.com>
>
>Brian Kelly, w3rv says:
>
>>I'm not the least bit convinced that the old Novice writtens
>>were easier than the nocode test. When was the last time a nocode had
>>to pull a schematic for a particular type of oscillator out of his
>>head and actually sketch the circuit
>

>I have my 1954 ARRL License Manual here. The Novice section has not a SINGLE
>schematic, nor a SINGLE "calculation" question. There are exactly 4 pages,
>and
>33 sample questions like "what is a frequency multiplier", "what is the
>relationship between a cycle, a kilocycle, and a megacycle", and "what is the
>purpose of a radio-frequency choke".
>

Later revisions added things like simple Ohm's Law questions and the like. But the Novice test was always the simplest written test given.

However, remember these fun facts:

1) The exact Q&A were secret
2) Retesting was a long drawn out process. In 1967, when I took the Novice, the drill was that after you passed the code test the volunteer examiner sent the papers to the FCC and you waited 6 to 8 weeks for the written exam to show up. After you took it, the volunteer examiner sent it off to the FCC, and you waited another 6 to 8 weeks for the FCC to respond. If you made it, you got a license, if not, you did the whole drill all over again. The cycle time was 12 to 16 weeks - that's about 3 to 4 MONTHS. End result was that most Novices with any sense went into the tests way overprepared.
3) The Novice license so earned gave very minimal privileges.
4) The Novice license so earned was only good for a year (later, two years). Before 1975 or so, the Novice was a one-shot deal, too.
5) The oft-quoted number of pages was not the entire study guide, particularly for the higher classes. The LMs also included the radio regs for the ARS, and questions on any of the regs could be asked.

Of course the old tests aren't available for comparison (they were secret, remember?). So it's all impressions and opinions.

73 de Jim, N2EY
Novice in 1967
Tech/Advanced in 1968
Extra in 1970

From 5890382282621830006
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 12:27:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>

<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2B79B.1F7EA7FB@IEEE.org> <OfAI6.14508$2_.5137771@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2E98D.6CAAA013@IEEE.org> <XSCI6.15393$2_.5424150@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2FC57.23872E22@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <EoDI6.15563$2_.5476015@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 19:27:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989004452 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 04 May 2001 12:27:32 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 12:27:32 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21048 rec.radio.cb:31954

Murder is wrong depending on its context in our society ... self defence is not wrong ... in many countries execution isn't wrong ... only reason walking up to someone on the street and killing them is illegal is because we say so .. .because we put a law to it ... same goes for the spitting on the sidewalk analogy.

--
Rob St.Denis

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AF2FC57.23872E22@IEEE.org...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > So in that case what difference does it make if how ya
> > did it was by killing someone or spitting on the sidewalk ?
>
> Rob, that attitude should be a crime. It's a lot worse than
> freebanding.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3102748062022280747
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 12:52:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 19:52:27 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/16.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 15
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22496
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8549 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2513
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2412 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12753
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21049 rec.radio.cb:31957
```

On Fri, 04 May 2001 08:30:25 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

```
>"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>> It's a simple law that you choose to disobey, you obviously think yourself
>> grandiose enough to decide which law should be followed and which shouldn't.
>
>You are implying that you have never driven above the speed limit, Rob.
>Will you swear that you have never broken a traffic law? Have you never
>gotten a traffic ticket or a parking ticket?
>--
```

The vast majority of speeders don't purchase and install special equipment (linear amps) and modify their rigs (frequency mods) with the sole intention of breaking the law.

```
From 1453355380204911653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 13:00:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 19:59:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989006394 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 04 May 2001 12:59:54
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 12:59:54 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22498
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8551 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2514
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2413 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12754
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21050 rec.radio.cb:31958
```

No their equipment (cars) are capable of breaking laws without any modification at all.

--
Rob St.Denis

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com...
> On Fri, 04 May 2001 08:30:25 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >> It's a simple law that you choose to disobey, you obviously think
yourself
> >> grandiose enough to decide which law should be followed and which
shouldn't.
> >
> >You are implying that you have never driven above the speed limit, Rob.
> >Will you swear that you have never broken a traffic law? Have you never
> >gotten a traffic ticket or a parking ticket?
> >--
>
> The vast majority of speeders don't purchase and install special
> equipment (linear amps) and modify their rigs (frequency mods) with
> the sole intention of breaking the law.

From -4537937788298113489
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a9be294a2201e7c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 13:29:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: ARES vs RACES
In-Reply-To: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcrwcf1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105042026580.13564-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011942380.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010501212137.12882.00001926@ng-cs1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021947540.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcq69p0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105032102430.11829-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcrwcf1.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 45
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 20:29:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.36

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989008199 12.80.44.36 (Fri, 04 May
2001 20:29:59 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 20:29:59 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21051

On Thu, 3 May 2001, Phil Kane wrote:
> On Thu, 03 May 2001 21:13:23 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
> >> >A private contract that a government agency is a party to is a
> >> >far cry from a statutory provision providing for a similar
> >> >relationship.  In my area, the ARES structure is completely
> >> >separate from government, or at best, is a volunteer situation,
> >> >while RACES is not volunteer.
> >>
> >>   Oh?  Are the RACES members paid  or drafted?
> >
> >Yes - at least one:  The person in charge of the local civil defense
> >organization is usually an employee (of the municipality or county government,
> >in its emergency preparedness unit), and thus, paid.
>
>   Lucky (and rare) is the paid emergency services professional
>   whose sole assignment is RACES.  If the rest of the RACES
>   organization does not include a very large dollop of
>   amateur-licensed volunteers, the RACES structure will crumble
>   and blow away.  The paid professionals' services are too
>   valuable (and costly) to have them do routine radio operator
>   tasks.

OK, but where did I say that the government employee's SOLE responsibility was
to adminster/oversee its RACES organization?  This person could be someone who
has responsibility to the local police and fire departments as well....

> >I'm NOT saying that ALL of the participants under a given RACES
> >license are paid.  There are volunteer amateur radio operators in
> >most of these organizations as well.
>
>   At one time, non-amateurs could be operators at RACES stations.
>   That was dropped many years ago.
>
> > cf. WWII operations, which RACES was born out of.
>
>   RACES was born from "Civil Defense Radio", not exactly the same
>   thing as the "Wartime Amateur Radio Service" of WWII fame, but
>   close.  I first started in "CD" as a college student in NY in the
>   mid-50s, before RACES was organized, and we operated on non-amateur
>   channels.

...And the CD radio came from the WWII wartime service.  You make it sound as if
"born out of" isn't equivalent to "derrivative from" (whether directly or
indirectly)....
```

```
From 9182867878621784782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 13:33:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
In-Reply-To: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcrx3b2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105042032180.13564-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF19581.8E84CD40@uci.edu> <3af1ad63.5038854@news.accessunited.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcrx3b2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 11
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 20:33:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.36
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989008405 12.80.44.36 (Fri, 04 May
2001 20:33:25 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 20:33:25 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15758
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21052
```

On Thu, 3 May 2001, Phil Kane wrote:

> Hey, Richard Burton in Southern Cal has been prosecuted six
> times, spent time in jail three times, and still operates on
> ham radio without a license whenever he gets out.
>
> When is HE going to learn?

Actually, he's serving his FOURTH sentence now, of 3 months. I think that they reduced (as compared to his last conviction) the sentence to 3 months only because of health reasons and the cost to the taxpayer.

```
From -5524261392567878195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 13:40:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 20:43:15 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/16.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 20
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22502
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8554 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2515
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2414 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12756
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21053 rec.radio.cb:31963
```

On Fri, 04 May 2001 19:59:54 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>No their equipment (cars) are capable of breaking laws without any
>modification at all.

My point exactly. 'Freebanding' is a deliberate act, carefully planned and executed. But just for the sake of argument, suppose you live on a street where the speed limit is posted at 4mph. How long until you get fed up with people cruising past your house at 1000mph? More realistically, your street is probably 25mph, and that would clock those speeders at 6250MPH!!!! Not just that, but these speeders hold drag races, don't use any mufflers, and drive off-road right through private property. When these speeders are caught, the fines are typically more than ten times that of speeders on the highway. So how do you compare radio pirates to speeding?

>Rob St.Denis

BTW, any relation to Bill St.Denis in Spokane?

From -8541413946927242858
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 13:40:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: HR-2346
In-Reply-To: <3af21412.911887@news.mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105042036140.13564-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net> <3AF19CAD.5A75@bellsouth.net>
<3AF21179.187E3EF0@sccoast.net> <3af21412.911887@news.mindspring.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 72
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 20:40:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.36
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989008820 12.80.44.36 (Fri, 04 May
2001 20:40:20 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 20:40:20 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21054

On Fri, 4 May 2001, Bill Sohl wrote:
> On Thu, 03 May 2001 22:18:57 -0400, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
> >Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >>
> >> Then, Dwight,
> >>
> >> You must've not read about the teenage ham
> >> in Kentucky a couple years back. Seems he
> >> was at some sort of public event, or

> >> something, and had his handheld with him.
> >> Cops "overreacted" by seizing his radio
> >> and charging the hapless ham with having
> >> a "scanner".
> >
> > There has to be more to the story, Jerry. Was there a specific ban
> >on scanners at this particular event?
>
> Kentucky law prohibits scanners ANYWHERE except in a person's
> home. You can not have a scanner on you while outside your
> home without a special police permit. KY ST s 432.570
>
> >Was the ham doing something to
> >attract attention to himself?
>
> As I recall, he was just there and the police overheard
> their own transmissions on the guys scanner or HT.
> I believe since that incident, KY may now exempt
> amateurs from such enforcement.

Whether or not KY exempts them, federal law does - a corrolary of ruling 93-17.

> >Anyway, without the full story, all I
> >can go on is my own experience and observations and I haven't seen any
> >aggressive tendency on the part of the cops to go after scanner users.
>
> They use it as just another means to an end when they
> want to.
>
> > In fact, most of the scanner cases I've heard about first involved
> >other offenses. As for myself, my Explorer looks like a porcupine
> >with all the antennas on it (scanner, ham, marine, etc) and I've never
> >experienced any problems with law enforcement.
>
> Most states do NOT have such laws to begin with. I don't know
> the current status, but the following at one time did prohibit
> scanners in autos and/or other places: CA, FL, IN, KY, ME, MI,
> NE, NY, ND, OK, RI, SD, VT, WV.

In CA, it was not statewide, but each city was free to enact their own
ordinance. However, federal law overrides when a licensed amateur is involved.

> NJ used to also BUT we got the law changed in 1994.
>
> > In fact, I've
> >recruited a couple of law enforcement people into ham radio following
> >discussions about my equipment. So, while I'm sure isolated events
> >like you describe happen, I just don't see this as a major problem
> >that the majority of hams should worry about.
>
> If it happened to you it would be a major problem.

True. What it comes down to is: Are you likely to be the next person such a major problem will happen to? My position is that due to a lack of proper training, the answer is more likely YES than NO.

I've seen examples of how well or poorly some local cops are trained with regard to my state's penal code by their departments, and in some cases, if they were to be tested, they would all receive failing grades. If they can't even properly enforce the criminal statutes, how can we expect them to understand any HR-2346 derrivative law?

From 8064642783796683129
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 13:43:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: HR-2346
In-Reply-To: <3AF21F00.2FDBDAA1@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105042041210.13564-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105032114330.11829-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF21F00.2FDBDAA1@sccoast.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 61
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 20:43:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.36
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989009017 12.80.44.36 (Fri, 04 May 2001 20:43:37 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 20:43:37 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21055

On Thu, 3 May 2001, Dwight Stewart wrote:
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> >
> > Obviously, you have had your head in the
> > sand, Stewart. (Well, since you're going
> > to address me by only my last name, you

> > deserve the same.)
>
>   Sorry if you were offended by my use of your last name. However,
> since that is all that you have offered for identification, that is
> all that is available to me (unless you want me to call you "D"). And
> I don't have my head in the sand - I'm just not an alarmist (see below).

Try "Mr. Stussy." That's what common courtesy dictates, Mr. Stewart.

> > There have been cases where police have
> > arrested licensed amateurs just because
> > their radios were capable of receiving
> > the police department's allocated frequency.
> > Just how do you think the question posed
> > in FCC ruling 93-17 originally came about?
>
>   I'm sure there are isolated cases that you could point at, but I'll
> repeat what I've said all along - these are isolated events, not a
> major problem that the majority of ham operators need worry about.
> Every single day, many thousands of ham operators leave their homes
> with HT's hooked to their belts. They head off to work, the mall, the
> grocery store, the beach, community events, government buildings, and
> a thousand other places in their daily lives.
>
>   With that in mind, the fact that you can name one, or two, or even
> ten, isolated incidents over a period of several years is simply not
> that big of a deal. This simple fact remains - the overwhelming
> majority of ham operators will never experience any problem whatsoever
> with local law enforcement concerning their equipment. And, when it
> comes to that tiny minority that might experience problems, I suspect
> that only a small percentage of those will have any problems relating
> to future HR-2346 enforcement.
>
>   Again, for 99.9 percents of the operators out there, the isolated
> examples you gave are not even an issue in their lives. Therefore,
> you're going to have a difficult time convincing me, and I suspect
> many other more level headed hams, to oppose HR-2346 enforcement based
> on a somewhat alarmist view of law enforcement relating to this issue.
>
> > So, you were saying that "no cop ... is
> > going to get that aggressive ... [or]
> > overreact simply because [of] a scanner."
> > I think it's time for you to return to
> > reality.
>
>   If you're going to quote someone, at least do so accurately. My
> exact sentence was, "No cop in his right mind is going to get that
> aggressive, or that excited, over a simple radio violation."

I felt that the omitted portions of the quote were unnecessary.

Granted, there will always be some extreme case. However, what I see here is a
likelyhood of many more problems (i.e. the average moves toward the extreme) for

those properly operating as compared to those the law intended to target.

From 3836023603322210185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 13:56:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 16:56:27 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tf65rusmrlfnda@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <tf3al3maot952a@corp.supernews.com> <3AF2090C.2C9DD715@spam.me.not>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 69
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5494 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15759 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22505 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21056

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF2090C.2C9DD715@spam.me.not...
> Actually, I don't have my license yet. I go for my written tests next
> week.

I wish you good luck and hope you make it!!! You have any equipment yet? If
not what are you looking at getting?

> I've been studying code for over two years (see the other thread:
> Ticket test suggestions for more info on this) without much result. I
> won't go into that rigmarole again here. It's already in that other

> thread.

Yeah, I know what you mean there.

> That's a bit reactionary, Leland. I don't think legalizing drugs is an
> option. I don't see the connection between the two.

To a point true, but I think you get my drift however. Until somebody makes a stand and says "NO MORE" they will just keep taking what is not theirs to take.

> I'm proposing additional bandwidth for a particular mode of operation in
> order to help alleviate some of the congestion on an already overtaxed
> and overused band, which may just help to reduce the encroachment by
> unlicensed radio operators on HAM bands.

You have to stop and think about the mechanics a little bit. If a new band was created, as you suggest, then there will appear commercial rigs to operate on the new band. Once that happens then hordes of other CBers, looking for a clear channel to talk on, will show up over running the operators there now. Now we have the same situation all over again. SSB operators looking for the open band. They have to learn to be happy with what they already "legally" have.

Ham Radio is not going to be any different either once you get your ticket. Sometimes the bands get crowed so you either put up with it, move, or turn the rig off. We don't just spin the VFO knob looking for an open frequency outside of our legal bands like the freebanders currently do on 11m. Hams seem to have much more respect for their privileges than freeband CBers do. I think Hams have more sense of respect for others rights to radio spectrum than the freebanders do. If there is any spectrum use disagreement it is taken up with the FCC, and let them decide. Hams don't just "occupy" spectrum outside of our bands because we feel we have a "right" to it.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

```
From -2692703898647438714
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 14:06:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 42
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 21:05:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989010345 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 04 May 2001 14:05:45
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 14:05:45 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22507
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8555 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2516
```

rec.radio.amateur.dx:2415 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12757
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21057 rec.radio.cb:31965

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com...
> On Fri, 04 May 2001 19:59:54 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
> >No their equipment (cars) are capable of breaking laws without any
> >modification at all.
>
> My point exactly. 'Freebanding' is a deliberate act, carefully planned

so is speeding, I'm not talking touching the accelerator a little too much off a light or anything I'm talking hitting the highway at 120kmh ... people do it and say well its not that much ... when that can land a hefty fine and 2 points on your licence ...

> and executed. But just for the sake of argument, suppose you live on a
> street where the speed limit is posted at 4mph. How long until you get
> fed up with people cruising past your house at 1000mph? More
> realistically, your street is probably 25mph, and that would clock
> those speeders at 6250MPH!!!! Not just that, but these speeders hold
> drag races, don't use any mufflers, and drive off-road right through
> private property. When these speeders are caught, the fines are
> typically more than ten times that of speeders on the highway. So how
> do you compare radio pirates to speeding?

They both willfully break the law. They both know that they did it (although ignorance is no excuse), heck "freebanders" have a term for their crime, "freebanding". They use a portion of the spectra reserved for other services, which in turn can lead to prolonging those services and in the case of millitary and SAR that could mean someones life the same as doing +20% on the high way makes you more of a danger to other drivers.

> BTW, any relation to Bill St.Denis in Spokane?

Nope ... I'm a canuck.

--
Rob St.Denis

From 1897545536026222364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public

X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 14:36:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 21:38:48 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/16.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 41
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22509
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8556 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2517
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2416 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12759
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21058 rec.radio.cb:31969

On Fri, 04 May 2001 21:05:45 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>> My point exactly. 'Freebanding' is a deliberate act, carefully planned
>
>so is speeding, I'm not talking touching the accelerator a little too much
>off a light or anything I'm talking hitting the highway at 120kmh ... people
>do it and say well its not that much ... when that can land a hefty fine and
>2 points on your licence ...

The difference is that when you run a kilowatt amp, you do it with the sole intent of breaking the law -- you purchased the amp in order to break the law and nothing else. Not true with a car, unless your car can only operate above the speed limit.

>> and executed. But just for the sake of argument, suppose you live on a
>> street where the speed limit is posted at 4mph. How long until you get

>> fed up with people cruising past your house at 1000mph? More
>> realistically, your street is probably 25mph, and that would clock
>> those speeders at 6250MPH!!!! Not just that, but these speeders hold
>> drag races, don't use any mufflers, and drive off-road right through
>> private property. When these speeders are caught, the fines are
>> typically more than ten times that of speeders on the highway. So how
>> do you compare radio pirates to speeding?
>
>They both willfully break the law. They both know that they did it
>(although ignorance is no excuse), heck "freebanders" have a term for their
>crime, "freebanding". They use a portion of the spectra reserved for other
>services, which in turn can lead to prolonging those services and in the
>case of millitary and SAR that could mean someones life the same as doing
>+20% on the high way makes you more of a danger to other drivers.

I agree with you there, as it is yet another reason against pirate
radio.

>> BTW, any relation to Bill St.Denis in Spokane?
>
>Nope ... I'm a canuck.

He family is from Quebec, but he just told me that just about every
other person there is a St.Denis ;-)

From -4014051923930191474
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 14:50:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer.monmouth.com!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!
nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF315A0.CB0F1A8B@mailcity.com>
From: GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>

```
<3AF1EC7E.D997F2B6@IEEE.org> <3AF1F495.4387880D@mailcity.com>
<3AF21EBA.25225B14@IEEE.org> <3AF23D4F.161058C@mailcity.com>
<3AF2ADC2.1A363751@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-0dS9QERzXiVm5Uq2ZLNVaFZjgOU29SX5M/9qL18dLxkjCqQ+k+BC5DR7sNv
Lines: 21
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 16:50:06 CDT
X-Trace: sv3-yIysJIgksxpI7z/
pa4FGAba1vFZfYyhmGMV8FN70aZweRt4YvYjUGyeybS4c0zCZ+S3PCaHAloiE6rq!v/
8d0gtZwBIGQpPXhAxG5xRXKNkSulaTbSHhnDhfvkJc0S04nXRXzvbBRgCLzQ==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 21:50:06 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5495
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15760 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22510
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21059
```

That might hold only if I know you are there and don't protest or make
an effort to have you removed. If you are using the land without my
knowledge, and you have made no effort to contact me, not only will I
retain the property but your improvements as well.

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> GG wrote:
> > Sorry, but in Texas if the owner is paying the taxes on the property,
> > it doesn't matter if he never uses it, it still belongs to him and no
> > one can claim ownership by just using it. I have some pasture land in
> > west Texas that hasn't been used in some years, but I pay the taxes on
> > it every year. You go and try to use it and claim it as yours and see
> > how far you get.
>
> If you allow me to nest for a certain number of years without protest,
> and it is I and not you who does improvements to the property, I can
> go to court and obtain ownership of the property. Certain conditions
> must be meet and your property becomes mine.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From -6484893203836195448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 15:05:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 22:05:03 GMT
References: <3af21412.911887@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Message-ID: <20010504180503.02623.00000603@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21060

In article <3af21412.911887@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>Kentucky law prohibits scanners ANYWHERE except in a person's
>home. You can not have a scanner on you while outside your
>home without a special police permit. KY ST s 432.570
>

How does a Kentuckian get the scanner home from the store?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 6116047865353618986
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 15:48:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news3.bellglobal.com!nf2.bellglobal.com!news20.bellglobal.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "graham dyer" <grahamva3gjb@sympatico.ca>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <9cq7on$qpr$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 141
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <9hGI6.15668$nC6.1870418@news20.bellglobal.com>
Date: Fri, 4 May 2001 18:57:53 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.92.94.184

X-Complaints-To: abuse@sympatico.ca
X-Trace: news20.bellglobal.com 989016261 65.92.94.184 (Fri, 04 May 2001 18:44:21 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 18:44:21 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5496
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15761 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22511
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21061

hey you got a ticket or you don't there is no in betweens
goofball!!!!!!!!!!!!!

graham va3gjb

"W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9cq7on$qpr$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> Look dumbass...you ain't going to convince the people that have a license.
> So quite trying to explain what you think is alright. The bottom line is
> YOU ARE ILLEGAL. PERIOD.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Bob" <bob@bob.com> wrote in message news:3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com...
> > Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
> >
> > And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted
to
> speed,
> > you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey
man,
> you do
> > EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver
> and do your
> > speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper
steps
> to
> > becoming a race car driver:
> >
> > 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man. Our
> > forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you
> learn.
> > 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing
the
> answers
> > to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats,
planes,
> etc.
> > 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be
> chastised by
> > old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the
> unicycle and
> > can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps

bad
> people
> > from becoming race car drivers.
> > 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't
> fit race
> > car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire
> combination.
> >
> > Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to
take
> those 15
> > turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well,
ham
> radio IS
> > NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit
> everybody. Maybe
> > its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my
> equipment and
> > make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for
hams.
> I can
> > operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the
> minimum amount
> > of power necessary for a contact.
> >
> > Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it
> immoral? A
> > crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is
a
> far more
> > worse crime.
> >
> > BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally
interfering
> with any
> > service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
> essential)
> > services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
> 27.405 -
> > 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
> >
> > Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's
> illegal,
> > yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't
> black and
> > white.
> >
> > Bob.
> >
> >
> > Scott Townley wrote:
> >
> > > But you *do* have all the respect you deserve...the same respect we

```
> > > all grant to FELONS, which is what you are, buddy...
> > >
> > > On 30 Apr 2001 21:04:39 GMT, "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >I am posting here because of some of the nasty things I have read in
> this
> > > >group and in QRZ discussions regarding freebanders. We who use the
> frequencies
> > > >from 27.405 to 28.000 have more in common with hams than you might
> think.
> > > >We are good operators and have knowledge of propagation and skip
> conditions.
> > > >We use Yasus, Kenwoods and Icomm radios just like you do, so we know
> technical
> > > >issues as well or better. However, the attitude of some hams toward
us
> is
> > > >rude and condescending.  We are treated like 2nd class citizens.
This
> should
> > > >not be. We have the same rights under the constitution as legal hams
> do.
> > > >For those that do not wish to be under Uncle Sam's thumb, freebanding
> is
> > > >a viable alternative to getting a ham radio license. What I am saying
> is
> > > >that maybe the next time you meet a freebander it might be wise to
talk
> with
> > > >him before you condemn him.
> > > >
> > > >I am QRT and standing by. (No flames answered. Please reply via
email)
> > > >
> > > >Unit 2711, Florida
> > > >
> >
>
>




From -849355772189170156
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
```

X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 15:50:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "HelmutSweenie" <helmutsweenie@youranus.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 22:50:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989016641 24.180.184.171 (Fri, 04 May 2001
15:50:41 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 15:50:41 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5497
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15762 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22512
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21062

A 2 watt carrier? do you just sit there and throw dead keys or do you talk.
If you do talk I am sure it is more than 2 watts so your deadkey figure is
irrelavent.

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not...
> Well, I'm heard with a 2 watt carrier over 20 miles away straight shot,
> so I must have done something right.
>
> ::shrug::
>
> -SSB
>
> "David G. Nagel" wrote:
> >
> > No. Two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's do not make a 1 1/4 wave dipole. They
> > make a dipole composed of two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's. This

> > configuration will not make a truly efficient antenna. Read up on
> > collinear antenna's and dipole antenna's. You will see that what you
> > have is nothing. However for you sake I do hope it works to your
> > satisfaction. I prefer something else...
> >
> > Dave WD9BDZ
> >
> > Sideband wrote:
> > >
> > > Doug:
> > >
> > > Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
> > >
> > > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
> > > top loaded coil antenna. Two of them make 1 1/4 wave. Maybe I should
> > > have said 1 1/4 wave dipole?
> > >
> > > -SSB
> > >
> > > Doug Smith wrote:
> > > >
> > > > On Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400, Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
wrote:
> > > > >them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two 4' Wilson
> > > >
> > > > Uh, 8 feet is not 5/8 wave on 11 meters. A 102" (8-1/2') whip is
1/4 wave,
> > > > so a 5/8 wave would be a bit more than 17 feet long.
> > > >
> > > > (you should try a 102" whip, I think you're likely to find it more
effective
> > > > than the twin four-footers. Because you won't have loading-coil
losses.
> > > > Mine worked *fantastically* well on 10 meters with a converted SSB
CB.)
> > > > --
> > > > Doug Smith W9WI
> > > > Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> > > > http://www.w9wi.com

From 9128458466269456356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 16:35:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 04 May 2001 23:34:22 GMT
References: <20010504180503.02623.00000603@nso-fp.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Message-ID: <20010504193422.25541.00000622@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21063

>Subject: Re: HR-2346
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 5/4/01 5:05 PM Central Daylight Time

>How does a Kentuckian get the scanner home from the store?

UPS...??? FedEX...??? Scotty beam's it up?

If I remember correctly, KY was the state where a young Ham/ARRL appointee had his HT confiscated by some bumpkin deputies. Seems' they didn't know anything about radio regulations or even thier own state code.

Perhaps someone with some knowledge of such things could have approached the Sheriff's Office at some time prior to the incident and discussed Amatuer Radio and it's capabilities....

But then, maybe not...don't want a bunch of radio kop's running around pretending to know something when they are ONLY "amateurs".

Huh, Hansel...?!?!

From 2371063244135383089
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 16:37:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups:

rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not> <3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not>
<X_uI6.4415$4f7.313003@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3AF29002.97AB689C@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
Lines: 185
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <P2HI6.5143$t12.365467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 23:37:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.2
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989019439 12.75.102.2 (Fri, 04 May 2001 23:37:19 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 23:37:19 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5498
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15763 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22520
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21064

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF29002.97AB689C@spam.me.not...
> Comments within:
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > news:3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not...
> > > Will a tester come out to an invalid's house in order to test them? If
> > > not, how would someone who cannot leave the house get tested to attain
> > > their license?
> > >
> > > I've heard the "if you want to DX and run power, get a license"
argument
> > > ad nauseum. Some people are incapable of passing a code test due to
> > > dyslexia or other disorders. Some people are incapable of even leaving
> > > their house. How do those people attain their license?
> > >
> > > -SSB
> >
> > For what it is worth, in my VE days in Wausau WI I went up to Merrill to
> > administer an exam to a housebound man who for reasons known only unto
him

> > wanted DXCC before he died. I and my partners read an Extra to a blind
man
> > after a regular testing session in Wisconsin Rapids at about -35 degrees
> > costing me a few bucks to get moving again.
>
> I didn't know this went on. Kudos to you, Dick. Highly commendable.

****
Not looking for a medal. VEs are required to make reasonable accomodation
to disabilities and medical conditions. Besides, we are generally pretty
good people with a streak of insanity for volunteering.

****
>
> > I have a friend of many years standing who tried to learn code as both a
> > left and right-handed activity at the same time and ran into a brutal
'hump'
> > at about 6wpm. We had to literally tie his left hand in his belt, pump
code
> > into the right ear only, and such things to get him to do it only one
way.
> > As he holds an Advanced, it must have worked. This is not dyslexia by
any
> > means but 5wpm can be worked around.
>
> And I'm still trying to work around it, only my "hump" is right at about
> 2 WPM.

****
Might I suggest Morse Academy from http://ah0a.org. If you have a problem
recognizing sounds the freq can be adjusted as well as the spacing. If you
type decently, learn the code typing. Seven lessons, tried and true
software, read the instructions before installing. Buy a cheap set of cans
from Walmart before those near and dear berate you strongly about the head
and shoulders with large cudgels to stop the incessant beeping.

****

>
> > I have long advocated the eventual outlawing of AM on CB freqs simply
> > because it is nitro in the hands of children. To do this, it would seem
> > that setting up a truckers band (FM, PL, the whole enchilada) to prevent
> > these 'opinion leaders' into ownership mode instead of wandering farther
> > afield would make sense. Adding a sideband segment might fit into this.
> > Marine freqs are also plagued by this sort of thing. The early phone
> > companies did not view pointless babbling and time wasting as valid
> > activities either and lived to regret it. If your point is that we are
> > making the same mistake I tend to agree.
>
> Actually, Dick, you just reminded me of this precise point, which I had
> wanted to make, yet had forgotten to.
>
> AM is a volatile emission mode, IMHO. Of course, FM isn't much better;

> if the deviation is turned up too high, it "bleeds over" just as badly
> as over modulation and carrier instabilities bleed over on AM. I prefer
> sideband for a multitude of reasons. This is one of them.

****
FM has the advantage of being receiver-dependent. Pump 15 kHz deviation
into a receiver expecting five and all you get is 'What did you say?'

****
>
> > Some years ago a university I happened to be attending built a new
library.
> > They installed a few paved paths in obvious places to get to it and
waited a
> > few years to pave the paths the students made. This was a simple and
> > practical approach as opposed to putting them in right away and planting
> > landmines to keep the students on the paths. As Flaubert, a French
poobah,
> > once said of taxation, the object of plucking a goose is maximum
feathers
> > with minimum hissing.
>
> If you're speaking of Calvin College, in Grand Rapids, MI, I attended
> the same School. Escher started this trend when the school was designed
> and first built, in keeping with his ideals of letting his buildings
> flow with nature as much as possible.

****
Washington U St. Louis
****
>
> >
> > Dick N�BK
>
> Basically, CB needs to be revamped. CB'ers need to be educated about
> what's "loud" and what causes interference, what "gets out" and what
> bleeds over. Modes need to change, and bandwidth needs to be
> reallocated. Leave 1-40 for the AM'ers, and set aside a band for FM and
> a band for SSB either above 40 or below 1. There are some unallocated
> frequencies there, I'm sure. I DO NOT want to make HAMs give up any more
> bandwidth, and I don't want to take seldom used emergency frequencies
> from other services, but the whole of 27.405-27.800 can't be
> allocated.... at least I've never seen a chart that shows anything
> allocated in that area. (Maybe someone has a URL to a chart/page that
> lists all allocated frequencies?)
>
> -SSB

Considering that 28-29.7 is a treaty ham band as is the 24.89-24.99 band,
the Commission could not give you them if they wanted to.

The 25-30 range are garbage frequencies to begin with so even the allocated
ones are probably never used. If they are used, it is with hardware that

probably takes three minutes for the tubes to lite and the dynamotor to come up to speed. It is damned hard to tell just how vital these were and at one time the FCC was going to a 99-channel CB and clearing everything up toe 27.99. There is no great way to tell. Below CB is also a land mobile allocation. Hard to tell just what is there. The 11 meter broadcast band has some STLs in it, one of which plays reggae to keep the freebanders away. You should remember, however, that while the treaty definition of land mobile is pretty broad, it really does not include hobbyists.

This thread has identified problems broader than the one you proposed originally:

Equipment: So long as equipment that is within a pair of dykes of out-of-band operation is allowed to come thru the ports we are dead in the water. These things can be made more bullet-proof than they are and whether intended for CB or 2 meter amateur or marine VHF they need to be. Time to get Customs involved. Engineering firms can be hired to do the grunt work just as they are for everything else; the FCC (properly) does no grunt work.

Enforcement: Phil Kane, as usual, makes a good point with respect to separating the enforcement and technical from the political. The priorities and funding are just too different.

History: It is not 1958 but some of the uses of the garbage band predate CB. There is a lot of history to wade thru. I agree fully that Ancient Modulation needs to be kept in the 40 until it dies. While it is an interesting nostagia trip, there is no good reason for ham gear to be AM-capable; another equipment problem.

Attitude: This is a tough one. While I tend to like to legalize facts on the ground to try to get people in the tent pissing out rather than outside the tent pissing in (TNX LBJ) the two good objections are the rewarding of outlawry and the lack of a guarantee that this will stop the problem. In this case, justice lies on the side of the truckers who are for the most part the English speakers on 28.085. There is a real use for a trucking service, it does meet the treaty requirements, and it could be designed to use the present antennas etc on an increasingly electronically-cluttered cab. As they are pretty much the 'opinion leaders' in CB circles giving them ownership interest in a band that was hard to bootleg (13.2 channel spacing for example and PL required) might ultimately help cool things down.

There is less justification for opening a new SSB-only hobbyist service on say 5kHz USB channels simply because you don't fit any reasonable definition of Land Mobile. This is the reason for the skip limits in the regs to begin with and the requirement in a number of countries that at least one party to a conversation be literally mobile. I tend to favor it only because it would accelerate the scrapping of Antique Modulation and the TVI, non-Linears, etc that go with it.

Download the CW software, use my e-mail (God, I love ATT) if need be, and let's get you on the ham bands before the spots go down

Dick N�BK

```
From -6364735058960211194
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 17:49:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF34E5A.31D0@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20  (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
References: <3af21412.911887@news.mindspring.com>
<20010504180503.02623.00000603@nso-fp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 20:50:34 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.185.83
X-Trace: news1.atl 989023735 209.214.185.83 (Fri, 04 May 2001 20:48:55 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 20:48:55 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21065

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3af21412.911887@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill
> Sohl) writes:
>
> >Kentucky law prohibits scanners ANYWHERE except in a person's
> >home.  You can not have a scanner on you while outside your
> >home without a special police permit.  KY ST s 432.570
> >
> How does a Kentuckian get the scanner home from the store?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
Uh......VERY quietly?  LOL!

Jerry


From 7996303182655477820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 17:57:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
```

```
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gctz9h7.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net> <3AF19CAD.5A75@bellsouth.net>
<3AF21179.187E3EF0@sccoast.net> <3af21412.911887@news.mindspring.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105042036140.13564-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Lines: 13
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 00:57:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 989024263 63.178.67.47 (Sat, 05 May 2001 00:57:43
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 00:57:43 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21066

On Fri, 04 May 2001 20:40:20 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>In CA, it was not statewide, but each city was free to enact
>their own ordinance.  However, federal law overrides when a
>licensed amateur is involved.

  California now has statewide jurisdiction codified in the
  California Penal Code regarding scanner/receiver possession
  and use in connection with the commission of a crime.

--
  73  de  Phil Kane - K2ASP



From 5908485766833079699
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 19:50:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!skynet.be!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.corecomm.net!
reader1-nwblwi.news.corecomm.net!not-for-mail
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 21:49:56 -0500
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3af36a53$0$12824$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Organization: CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL
NNTP-Posting-Host: 986a9504.news.corecomm.net
X-Trace: DXC=CMNiSTF`g@<iAcBM^EMG<;`<WTGT>OiB>bo1K;GlJd>;dAcKco4nOk:?
R<DcV9Gd<3L^:NkYe\2V9DU`RYK?mPE0
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corecomm.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22530
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8568 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2521
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2417 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12772
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21067 rec.radio.cb:32006
```

```
Sparky wrote:
>
> On Fri, 04 May 2001 08:30:25 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >> It's a simple law that you choose to disobey, you obviously think yourself
> >> grandiose enough to decide which law should be followed and which shouldn't.
> >
> >You are implying that you have never driven above the speed limit, Rob.
> >Will you swear that you have never broken a traffic law? Have you never
> >gotten a traffic ticket or a parking ticket?
```

> >--
>
> The vast majority of speeders don't purchase and install special
> equipment (linear amps) and modify their rigs (frequency mods) with
> the sole intention of breaking the law.

No. They purchase speed radar detectors so they can slow down when they approach a cop out trying to bust them....

Dave Nagel

From -6086447230920407439
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 19:53:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 May 2001 02:53:14 GMT
References: <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <20010504225314.07174.00001560@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21068

The group has fallen victim to a Troll.

Get wise. Stop fighting. The troll is laughing as he watches the message count in this thread.

QRM

From -7553561930643707397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 20:11:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 May 2001 03:10:46 GMT
References: <3ADF0029.1CFE3955@IEEE.org>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Message-ID: <20010504231046.07174.00001565@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21069

>
>What can we do?
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

Scan 10 Meters, using 10 KHz steps ending in "5". The CB operaters have
"channels" into 10 meters beginning at 28.005, 28.015, 28.025, 28.035, etc.

Log what you hear.

If mobile, you especially will be valuable if you monitor 28,085 for truckers.
If they are strong, be alert. If they pass you, try to identify the trucking
company. We've having excellent luck writing to company presidents with
suggestions that they speak to their legal departments about their drivers who
refer to 28.085 as a "company channel".

QRM

From -3530066627144770069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 20:32:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 May 2001 03:31:43 GMT
References: <20010503221939.02863.00001735@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010504233143.24866.00000661@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21070

In article <20010503221939.02863.00001735@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>It would seem that some do know, else why would they charge
>>that "nocodes" were not active.
>
>Who did that, Len? I recall a fellow who said that those that were not

```
>active probably wouldn't renew.
>
>Can you explain?

Can a question to a question be an explanation?   :-)


From 3020093689491838231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 20:32:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 May 2001 03:31:41 GMT
References: <20010504051343.12863.00002096@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010504233141.24866.00000658@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21071

In article <20010504051343.12863.00002096@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>Hare mentioned no Extra, Advanced, General, Novice or Technician
>>Plus licensees.  Only the Technician class, some who "were not
>>active."  Why the emphasis on Technician class?
>
>Because I believe that more of the Technician Class did not become active on
>the air than the Extra Class.

Why does Hare believe this?


From 3130706984708833916
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 20:32:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 May 2001 03:31:43 GMT
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com>
```

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010504233143.24866.00000660@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21072

In article <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>Yeah, sure we had "distractions". My General ticket arrived the day of
>my Junior Prom. Immediately after the prom (like ten minutes after)
>amidst much feminine screeching and tears I dumped my
>hormonally-crazed redhead squeeze on her doorstep at midnite, laid a
>patch outta there with Dad's Pontiac and split for home to get on the
>radio. Cranked the ARC-5 down to 3.505 and pounded out a CQ with my
>heart racing and yahoo holyshit here comes g5zk, my first dx contact.
>In my tuxedo. How good can ham radio get? . .
>>
>(PS) Isabelle went on to marry a typical EE and had ten kids and she
>keeps telling me we're still not speaking.

Hmmm...that may explain a few things...   :-)

From 5320231049782624940
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 20:32:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 May 2001 03:31:42 GMT
References: <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010504233142.24866.00000659@nso-cu.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21073

In article <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>No wonder there's more of a "blase factor" amongst entry-level types
>today than there was back when. Along with a healthy dose of disgust
>amongst us crumudgeons.

Hmmm..."us crumudgeons?!?"   :-)

All the caterwailing, sniveling, and snorting from curmudgeons, tsk, tsk, tsk. Oh, my, the world is going to hell because some curmudgeons (or crumudgeons as the case may be) don't like the modern-day ethos. They ply the pathos via instant finger-tripping on the Internet to demand, nay command, the "necessity" to do as they (the morsemudgeons) did.

Among the pros who were into already into radio before certain morsemudgeons discovered sex, there is still a very healthy disgust (over the counter, not prescription) of those who demand a return to yesteryear and all the silver bullets of standards and practices of long ago. Hiyo sliver...

```
From 551697404132371949
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 20:38:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 23:39:01 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3AF375B9.C3B79937@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AEF8CA6.967EC1D5@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105021951410.10116-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF0E662.3A05B433@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105032114330.11829-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<3AF21F00.2FDBDAA1@sccoast.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105042041210.13564-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989033909 223564 207.144.64.90 (5 May 2001 03:38:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 5 May 2001 03:38:29 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21074
```

"D. Stussy" wrote:

>
> >   Sorry if you were offended by my use

> > of your last name. However, since that
> > is all that you have offered for
> > identification, that is all that is
> > available to me (unless you want me to
> > call you "D"). And I don't have my
> > head in the sand - I'm just not an
> > alarmist (see below).
>
> Try "Mr. Stussy." That's what common
> courtesy dictates, Mr. Stewart.

Well, since you've addressed me as "Dwight" on several occasions, and it is rather commonplace to use first names in these informal discussions, I never expected you to demand such formality. My apologies again, Mr. Stussy.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -1911104570535707382
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 20:46:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 23:46:52 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AF37790.2ABA6CEC@sccoast.net>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10104301754000.6461-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <20010430145716.12873.00001613@ng-cr1.aol.com> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105011926520.8418-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org> <3af02bab.1624141@news.mindspring.com> <3AF0EAA6.4B69624B@sccoast.net> <3af1349f.1533009@news.mindspring.com> <3AF222C3.5464706E@sccoast.net> <zJxI6.924$vg1.71423@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989034379 222744 207.144.64.90 (5 May 2001 03:46:19 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 5 May 2001 03:46:19 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21075

K0HB wrote:
>
> FCC hasn't "enforced CB" for about a
> quarter-century, and now they are even
> LESS likely because they have a perfect
> excuse to pass it off as a local issue.

Well, since I'm certainly no fan of the FCC's record when it comes to enforcement of CB, I'm not going to dispute that for one moment. However, we can at least hope that Riley's claims of increased enforcement of all radio services will also include the Citizen's Band. However, I'm no more convinced of that then you seem to be.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8090167734811276685
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 21:00:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 00:01:17 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3AF37AE9.7A3F995C@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<9cr4ob$au2$0@pita.alt.net> <3AF2279E.8FCB157D@sccoast.net>
<lGxI6.1166$Hk.110613@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989035242 224547 207.144.64.90 (5 May 2001 04:00:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 5 May 2001 04:00:42 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21076

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> May we have the cites you reference?

Illustrated Medicine, Henry S. Wagman MD, Doubleday/Fergusen, 1998, Substance Abuse, page 626 to 665 (discussion of drug and alcohol correlation on pages 653 to 654).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 4413012821864957084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 21:18:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF37F10.94C61DDD@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not> <3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not>
<X_uI6.4415$4f7.313003@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3AF29002.97AB689C@spam.me.not> <P2HI6.5143$t12.365467@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 210
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 00:18:24 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989036178 216.234.103.49 (Fri, 04 May 2001 23:16:18
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 23:16:18 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5505
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15766 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22543
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21078

Richard:

Thanks for the kind words... You've brought up a few points that I hadn't thought of, and that I need to give some thought to before responding..

I take my written Tech and General tests on the 8th. I'm going to work on my code with KC8HEZ and others here in Livingston county on 2M when I get my set up. Once I get the code down, I'll take the code test, find an HF set, and hopefully be talking on HF by the end of the year.

The next problem is going to be an antenna here in the apt. I've thought of throwing out a longwire and using a tuner, trying to use the gutters on the building as a large loop antenna with a tuner, and a few other options. The 2M setup shouldn't be too difficult. I do have a rail outside my window I can mount an antenna to... I just hope it's high enough to get to the repeater.

Hopefully, by the 10th, I'll have a callsign to send you guys... and by the 15th I should have the domain name corresponding to that callsign.. I'm going to put a site up here at the house for those who are curious.

73's and Hopefully I'll be chatting with you all on the air soon.

-SSB

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF29002.97AB689C@spam.me.not...
> > Comments within:
> >
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > >

```
> > > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > > news:3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not...
> > > > Will a tester come out to an invalid's house in order to test them? If
> > > > not, how would someone who cannot leave the house get tested to attain
> > > > their license?
> > > >
> > > > I've heard the "if you want to DX and run power, get a license"
> argument
> > > > ad nauseum. Some people are incapable of passing a code test due to
> > > > dyslexia or other disorders. Some people are incapable of even leaving
> > > > their house. How do those people attain their license?
> > > >
> > > > -SSB
> > >
> > > For what it is worth, in my VE days in Wausau WI I went up to Merrill to
> > > administer an exam to a housebound man who for reasons known only unto
> him
> > > wanted DXCC before he died.  I and my partners read an Extra to a blind
> man
> > > after a regular testing session in Wisconsin Rapids at about -35 degrees
> > > costing me a few bucks to get moving again.
> >
> > I didn't know this went on. Kudos to you, Dick. Highly commendable.
>
> *******************************************************************
> Not looking for a medal.  VEs are required to make reasonable accomodation
> to disabilities and medical conditions.  Besides, we are generally pretty
> good people with a streak of insanity for volunteering.
>
> ******************************************************************
> >
> > > I have a friend of many years standing who tried to learn code as both a
> > > left and right-handed activity at the same time and ran into a brutal
> 'hump'
> > > at about 6wpm.  We had to literally tie his left hand in his belt, pump
> code
> > > into the right ear only, and such things to get him to do it only one
> way.
> > > As he holds an Advanced, it must have worked.  This is not dyslexia by
> any
> > > means but 5wpm can be worked around.
> >
> > And I'm still trying to work around it, only my "hump" is right at about
> > 2 WPM.
>
> *************************************************************************
> Might I suggest Morse Academy from http://ah0a.org.  If you have a problem
> recognizing sounds the freq can be adjusted as well as the spacing.  If you
> type decently, learn the code typing.  Seven lessons, tried and true
> software, read the instructions before installing.  Buy a cheap set of cans
> from Walmart before those near and dear berate you strongly about the head
> and shoulders with large cudgels to stop the incessant beeping.
>
```

> **
>
> >
> > > I have long advocated the eventual outlawing of AM on CB freqs simply
> > > because it is nitro in the hands of children. To do this, it would seem
> > > that setting up a truckers band (FM, PL, the whole enchilada) to prevent
> > > these 'opinion leaders' into ownership mode instead of wandering farther
> > > afield would make sense. Adding a sideband segment might fit into this.
> > > Marine freqs are also plagued by this sort of thing. The early phone
> > > companies did not view pointless babbling and time wasting as valid
> > > activities either and lived to regret it. If your point is that we are
> > > making the same mistake I tend to agree.
> >
> > Actually, Dick, you just reminded me of this precise point, which I had
> > wanted to make, yet had forgotten to.
> >
> > AM is a volatile emission mode, IMHO. Of course, FM isn't much better;
> > if the deviation is turned up too high, it "bleeds over" just as badly
> > as over modulation and carrier instabilities bleed over on AM. I prefer
> > sideband for a multitude of reasons. This is one of them.
>
> **
> FM has the advantage of being receiver-dependent. Pump 15 kHz deviation
> into a receiver expecting five and all you get is 'What did you say?'
>
> ***
> >
> > > Some years ago a university I happened to be attending built a new
> library.
> > > They installed a few paved paths in obvious places to get to it and
> waited a
> > > few years to pave the paths the students made. This was a simple and
> > > practical approach as opposed to putting them in right away and planting
> > > landmines to keep the students on the paths. As Flaubert, a French
> poobah,
> > > once said of taxation, the object of plucking a goose is maximum
> feathers
> > > with minimum hissing.
> >
> > If you're speaking of Calvin College, in Grand Rapids, MI, I attended
> > the same School. Escher started this trend when the school was designed
> > and first built, in keeping with his ideals of letting his buildings
> > flow with nature as much as possible.
>
> **
> Washington U St. Louis
> **
> >
> > >
> > > Dick N�BK
> >
> > Basically, CB needs to be revamped. CB'ers need to be educated about
> > what's "loud" and what causes interference, what "gets out" and what

> > bleeds over. Modes need to change, and bandwidth needs to be
> > reallocated. Leave 1-40 for the AM'ers, and set aside a band for FM and
> > a band for SSB either above 40 or below 1. There are some unallocated
> > frequencies there, I'm sure. I DO NOT want to make HAMs give up any more
> > bandwidth, and I don't want to take seldom used emergency frequencies
> > from other services, but the whole of 27.405-27.800 can't be
> > allocated.... at least I've never seen a chart that shows anything
> > allocated in that area. (Maybe someone has a URL to a chart/page that
> > lists all allocated frequencies?)
> >
> > -SSB
>
> Considering that 28-29.7 is a treaty ham band as is the 24.89-24.99 band,
> the Commission could not give you them if they wanted to.
>
> The 25-30 range are garbage frequencies to begin with so even the allocated
> ones are probably never used. If they are used, it is with hardware that
> probably takes three minutes for the tubes to lite and the dynamotor to come
> up to speed. It is damned hard to tell just how vital these were and at one
> time the FCC was going to a 99-channel CB and clearing everything up toe
> 27.99. There is no great way to tell. Below CB is also a land mobile
> allocation. Hard to tell just what is there. The 11 meter broadcast band
> has some STLs in it, one of which plays reggae to keep the freebanders away.
> You should remember, however, that while the treaty definition of land
> mobile is pretty broad, it really does not include hobbyists.
>
> This thread has identified problems broader than the one you proposed
> originally:
>
> Equipment: So long as equipment that is within a pair of dykes of
> out-of-band operation is allowed to come thru the ports we are dead in the
> water. These things can be made more bullet-proof than they are and whether
> intended for CB or 2 meter amateur or marine VHF they need to be. Time to
> get Customs involved. Engineering firms can be hired to do the grunt work
> just as they are for everything else; the FCC (properly) does no grunt work.
>
> Enforcement: Phil Kane, as usual, makes a good point with respect to
> separating the enforcement and technical from the political. The priorities
> and funding are just too different.
>
> History: It is not 1958 but some of the uses of the garbage band predate
> CB. There is a lot of history to wade thru. I agree fully that Ancient
> Modulation needs to be kept in the 40 until it dies. While it is an
> interesting nostagia trip, there is no good reason for ham gear to be
> AM-capable; another equipment problem.
>
> Attitude: This is a tough one. While I tend to like to legalize facts on
> the ground to try to get people in the tent pissing out rather than outside
> the tent pissing in (TNX LBJ) the two good objections are the rewarding of
> outlawry and the lack of a guarantee that this will stop the problem. In
> this case, justice lies on the side of the truckers who are for the most
> part the English speakers on 28.085. There is a real use for a trucking
> service, it does meet the treaty requirements, and it could be designed to

> use the present antennas etc on an increasingly electronically-cluttered
> cab. As they are pretty much the 'opinion leaders' in CB circles giving
> them ownership interest in a band that was hard to bootleg (13.2 channel
> spacing for example and PL required) might ultimately help cool things down.
>
> There is less justification for opening a new SSB-only hobbyist service on
> say 5kHz USB channels simply because you don't fit any reasonable definition
> of Land Mobile. This is the reason for the skip limits in the regs to begin
> with and the requirement in a number of countries that at least one party to
> a conversation be literally mobile. I tend to favor it only because it
> would accelerate the scrapping of Antique Modulation and the TVI,
> non-Linears, etc that go with it.
>
> Download the CW software, use my e-mail (God, I love ATT) if need be, and
> let's get you on the ham bands before the spots go down
>
> Dick N�BK

```
From -2192806368500332031
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 21:26:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF38101.858C2075@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <tf3al3maot952a@corp.supernews.com>
<3AF2090C.2C9DD715@spam.me.not> <tf65rusmrlfnda@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 89
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 00:26:41 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989036675 216.234.103.49 (Fri, 04 May 2001 23:24:35
```

CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 23:24:35 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5506
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15767 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22547
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21079

Comments within:

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF2090C.2C9DD715@spam.me.not...
> > Actually, I don't have my license yet. I go for my written tests next
> > week.
>
> I wish you good luck and hope you make it!!! You have any equipment yet? If
> not what are you looking at getting?

I'm starting out taking the Tech and General written tests. Code will
come later this year, hopefully. I'm going to need a 2M set to start,
and an HF set later, once I've passed the code requirement.

>
> > I've been studying code for over two years (see the other thread:
> > Ticket test suggestions for more info on this) without much result. I
> > won't go into that rigmarole again here. It's already in that other
> > thread.
>
> Yeah, I know what you mean there.
>
> > That's a bit reactionary, Leland. I don't think legalizing drugs is an
> > option. I don't see the connection between the two.
>
> To a point true, but I think you get my drift however. Until somebody makes
> a stand and says "NO MORE" they will just keep taking what is not theirs to
> take.

I did get that, Leland.. But honestly, the problem with CB'ers venturing
out where they don't belong is twofold: (1) There just isn't enough
bandwidth for CB. and (2) Export Radios that do too much. I think we can
both agree on these two, so I won't go into it too deeply here.

>
> > I'm proposing additional bandwidth for a particular mode of operation in
> > order to help alleviate some of the congestion on an already overtaxed
> > and overused band, which may just help to reduce the encroachment by
> > unlicensed radio operators on HAM bands.
>
> You have to stop and think about the mechanics a little bit. If a new band
> was created, as you suggest, then there will appear commercial rigs to
> operate on the new band. Once that happens then hordes of other CBers,
> looking for a clear channel to talk on, will show up over running the
> operators there now. Now we have the same situation all over again. SSB

> operators looking for the open band. They have to learn to be happy with
> what they already "legally" have.
>
> Ham Radio is not going to be any different either once you get your ticket.
> Sometimes the bands get crowed so you either put up with it, move, or turn
> the rig off. We don't just spin the VFO knob looking for an open frequency
> outside of our legal bands like the freebanders currently do on 11m. Hams
> seem to have much more respect for their privileges than freeband CBers do.
> I think Hams have more sense of respect for others rights to radio spectrum
> than the freebanders do. If there is any spectrum use disagreement it is
> taken up with the FCC, and let them decide. Hams don't just "occupy"
> spectrum outside of our bands because we feel we have a "right" to it.

No, but you can look for an open frequency within the bands HAMs are
allowed to transmit on. That's my point... HAMs have the bandwidth.
CB'ers don't. So when a CB'er goes off to find an empty frequency to
talk on, they're in violation of FCC rules when they go outside of the
standard-40. HAMs have MUCH more bandwidth with which to search for an
"open channel"...

>
> --
> --
> 73 de,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL member
> NCI member
>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> functions."

73's

-SSB

From -4054796064915174968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50

X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 21:29:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 64
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 00:29:00 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989036813 216.234.103.49 (Fri, 04 May 2001 23:26:53 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 04 May 2001 23:26:53 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5507
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15768 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22551
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21080

I've turned down the carrier on my Grant XL to 2 watts. The radio swings
to 5 on AM, and does 0-12 on SSB. the AM measurements are RMS, the SSB
measurements are PEP.

-SSB

HelmutSweenie wrote:
>
> A 2 watt carrier? do you just sit there and throw dead keys or do you talk.
> If you do talk I am sure it is more than 2 watts so your deadkey figure is
> irrelavent.
>
> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not...
> > Well, I'm heard with a 2 watt carrier over 20 miles away straight shot,
> > so I must have done something right.
> >
> > ::shrug::
> >
> > -SSB

```
> >
> > "David G. Nagel" wrote:
> > >
> > > No. Two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's do not make a 1 1/4 wave dipole. They
> > > make a dipole composed of two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's. This
> > > configuration will not make a truly efficient antenna. Read up on
> > > collinear antenna's and dipole antenna's. You will see that what you
> > > have is nothing. However for you sake I do hope it works to your
> > > satisfaction. I prefer something else...
> > >
> > > Dave WD9BDZ
> > >
> > > Sideband wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Doug:
> > > >
> > > > Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
> > > >
> > > > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
> > > > top loaded coil antenna. Two of them make 1 1/4 wave. Maybe I should
> > > > have said 1 1/4 wave dipole?
> > > >
> > > > -SSB
> > > >
> > > > Doug Smith wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > On Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400, Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
> wrote:
> > > > > >them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two 4' Wilson
> > > > >
> > > > > Uh, 8 feet is not 5/8 wave on 11 meters.  A 102" (8-1/2') whip is
> 1/4 wave,
> > > > > so a 5/8 wave would be a bit more than 17 feet long.
> > > > >
> > > > > (you should try a 102" whip, I think you're likely to find it more
> effective
> > > > > than the twin four-footers.  Because you won't have loading-coil
> losses.
> > > > > Mine worked *fantastically* well on 10 meters with a converted SSB
> CB.)
> > > > > --
> > > > > Doug Smith W9WI
> > > > > Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> > > > > http://www.w9wi.com


From -4799709125814357805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 21:58:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
```

From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 00:59:13 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <3AF3886A.22100343@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com>
<3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org> <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net>
<3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<9cr4ob$au2$0@pita.alt.net> <3AF2279E.8FCB157D@sccoast.net>
<lGxI6.1166$Hk.110613@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<WbyI6.1287$Hk.118832@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<dHyI6.206$M6.37515@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989038712 226153 207.144.64.90 (5 May 2001 04:58:32 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 5 May 2001 04:58:32 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21081

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> It's been so very importantly pointed
> out many times in this NG that things
> stated as facts should have true
> statistical reference. I am just
> wondering what references Dwight may
> have used--since his statement seems
> so factual. I also wonder if the
> references are available on the WWW.
> They would be interesting to review--
> because my *opinion* has always been
> the opposite. Maybe I should change
> my opinion--but not just because "I
> read it here."

I don't agree with your basic premise, Kim. We are not professionals, and this is not a professional discussion. In most cases, the things written here are pure opinion, not absolute fact (and few claim more than that when posting).

A requirement to substantiate (through statistical reference or some other method) each message prior to posting would totally stifle free and open expression by the members of these newsgroups. In addition to the elimination of opinion, such a demand would require hours of research before any message could be posted. Few, if any, would be willing to put such an effort into a simple newsgroup discussion.

Furthermore, while reference and verification are important in professional discussions, so are the unsubstantiated opinions of those professionals, and the unsubstantiated opinions of those who view the results of those professionals. Should it be any less for those involved in these newsgroup discussions?

As for your determination not to allow the unsubstantiated opinions posted in newsgroups to affect your own opinion, perhaps I should remind you that this is not that different then the way opinions are often formed outside of newsgroups - through discussions with friends, co-workers, associates, and neighbors. And I would find it very hard to believe that you have never changed your own opinion based on something said by others in your life. Now that is not saying that you should suspend common sense and believe everything you read here (no more than you would believe everything a neighbor or friend says), but a totally closed mind to everything you read here would be just as unwise (if nothing else, it offers a window to how others are thinking about various issues).

By the way, as for the message you asked me to cite, you caught me at a good moment. I often post opinion, without ability to substantiate, in these newsgroups. This time, however, my wife just finished a report on drug abuse for a college class and one part of that report addressed drugs and alcohol. I've been using several books she obtained from the college for some of the material I've posted to this discussion.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -5446373999890605394
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-04 23:23:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD (Was Steven Spielberg quits....)
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 02:24:37 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <3AF39C57.2E8D70F6@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<3AF2C43A.63D8E97B@crosslink.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989043828 227920 207.144.64.90 (5 May 2001 06:23:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 5 May 2001 06:23:48 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15769 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21082

Old Biker wrote:

> No arguement! The point you miss, or
> avoid, is that while all you say bad
> about booze is true, all of those
> ills pale compared to the evils of
> prohibition itself.

I clearly addressed that in my previous message - if we use alcohol prohibition as a guide, there is no evidence that the "evils" of the drug trade will be reduced if the now illegal drugs are legalized. In fact, if we do use prohibition as a guide, the opposite is more likely true - the illegal drug trade will continue to grow. As long as money is involved, drug dealers would simply switch emphasis to more dangerous drugs.

In other words, the only way your argument (the reduction of crime relating to the war on drugs) can hold true is if we completely legalize all drugs - heroin, crack, and so forth. Therefore, you cannot use that argument to advocate just the legalization of marijuana - the effects of the war on drugs will not decrease if marijuana alone is legalized.

However, if you are going to advocate the legalization of all drugs, you cannot continue to downplay their effects by comparing them to alcohol - the effects of heroin, crack, and so on, are not at all comparable to the effects associated with alcohol (on the individual, on society, etc.). Likewise, your attempt to use prohibition as an argument also goes right out the door - the growing use of hard drugs following legalization would be catastrophic on this society, far beyond anything ever experienced following the end of prohibition.

So, I guess we better clearly define the discussion before we go any further. Up to this point, I have been addressing alcohol and prohibition as they relate to Cecil's argument about the legalization of marijuana. However, if we're going to change the discussion to include the legalization of all drugs, then we really need to get off this alcohol nonsense (alcohol does not relate to the harder drugs). In addition, if we're talking about the legalization of all drugs, the emphasis of my argument would change. Instead of talking about the drug trade, I would instead center on the harmful effects of these drugs as the core reason why they should not be legalized.

In fact, to be perfectly honest, if we're talking about the legalization of all drugs, this conversation is effectively over. No country in the world today has legalized all drugs, and no country in it's right mind would ever think of doing so. The possible effects would be so horrible, no country would even imagine doing such a thing. Therefore, to even discuss such a notion is a utter waste of time.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 5684554093654715200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 00:04:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD (Was Steven Spielberg quits....)
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 03:05:44 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989046290 229130 207.144.64.90 (5 May 2001 07:04:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 5 May 2001 07:04:50 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15771 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21083

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> I just hope these drug warriors show the same
> aggressive concern for my well-being when I
> need those hugely expensive anti-cancer drugs
> that they have shown in keeping me from
> ingesting the smoke of the Cannabis Sativa
> plant.

What anti-cancer drugs? Are you talking about the drugs used to help reduce the nausea associated with certain cancer treatments? If so, they are no more expensive than marijuana.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 5548247733034117547
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 00:23:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 03:24:13 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3AF3AA40.AD6DA43B@sccoast.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF23FA5.9DDDC691@sccoast.net> <3AF2B1A2.4F40AE5E@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989047398 229461 207.144.64.90 (5 May 2001 07:23:18
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 5 May 2001 07:23:18 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5508
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15772 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22569
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21084

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> What gave you the idea that I consider it
> a minor problem? I don't consider illegally
> driving on the shoulder of the road to be a
> minor problem, do you? Bicyclists can die
> because of that problem. Do you care about
> the RF emissions of freebanders more than
> the lives of bicyclists?
```

I must have missed that part - I don't remember any mention of bicyclists. All I remember is a mention about driving on the side of the road, which is indeed minor (unless you hit a bicyclist).

> On a scale of crimes, is freebanding a
> minimum offense compared to rape and murder?

Well, that is certainly stating the obvious.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -3211195556345412758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 00:31:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 03:32:25 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989047886 229461 207.144.64.90 (5 May 2001 07:31:26
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 5 May 2001 07:31:26 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15773
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21085
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> A law is either good or evil, Dwight. When
> a law is changed, was it good before and
> evil after, or was it evil before and good
> after. Either way, it was evil sometime.
> I advocate getting rid of evil laws.

So do I, Cecil. I just don't advocate breaking them.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 2422256305580092416
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 01:45:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 04:46:36 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <3AF3BD78.285221@sccoast.net>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2C971.55CA754E@bob.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.186
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989052331 230275 207.144.227.186 (5 May 2001 08:45:31
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 5 May 2001 08:45:31 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15776
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21086
```

Bob wrote:

>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > This "evil, big brother like, government forcing it's will on the people" nonsense is getting a little boring, people. Please do try to come up with something more creative and more accurate.
>
> I'm glad England wasn't full of people with your attitude around 1620.

This isn't the 1620's, Bob. It is the year 2001 and this nonsense has been repeated over and over for decades. Republicans say it whenever Democrats do something. Democrats say it whenever Republicans do something. Political groups say it and those opposed to those political groups say it. Every person who has a gripe about some law says it. In fact, it has been repeated so much, the whole premise is getting a little tedious.

> > Now, please note I'm not talking about minor crap like spitting on the sidewalk or speeding.
>
> Woah now, those are serious crimes in my book. As a matter of fact, go gather 500 people from the local mall - ask them, which is a worse crime, "speeding, spitting on the sidewalk or freebanding." How many of them know what freebanding even is? Probably not even one... if it was such a serious problem to the public, the problem would have been fixed. It was hams who urged their senators to push for local enforcement of the CB laws...not the non-ham public.

They may not recognize the word "freebanding," Bob. However, ask those very same people about CB'ers bleeding over onto televisions, and telephones, and I'm sure you'll get a very strong response. And that (heavily modified radios and big linears screwing up the neighbor's electronics) is just as much a part of "freebanding" as the use of frequencies outside the CB band. And notice I didn't exclude those "freebanders" who use modified ham radios - many of those radios are so heavily modified (modulation, power output, etc) they are not much better then the cheap CB radios others have modified to use outside the citizens band (and that does not even include the dirty linears often used with those ham radios).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

```
http://www.qsl.net/w5net


From -5613263967937935091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 03:23:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 06:24:23 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3AF3D44E.36D5AECA@sccoast.net>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2C971.55CA754E@bob.com> <3AF2CF6E.17B48ACF@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.186
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989058186 232126 207.144.227.186 (5 May 2001 10:23:06
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 5 May 2001 10:23:06 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15777
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21088

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Bob wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > >  This "evil, big brother like, government
> > > forcing it's will on the people" nonsense
> > > is getting a little boring, people.
> >
> > I'm glad England wasn't full of people with
> > your attitude around 1620.
>
> I'm glad the colonies had some people who
> disagreed with Dwight's views in 1776.
```

And I'm sure those forefathers (the men who wrote a constitution of laws and the first laws of this land) would think it a little silly to compare my views about illegal "freebanding" with their views about this country's creation. But this is exactly what I was talking about when I said the entire premise behind this "evil government forcing it's will on the people" nonsense is a little tedious. It is absurd to paint the laws against "freebanding" as some kind of oppression worthy of association with the struggles our forefathers faced while creating this country, and equally absurd to paint anyone opposed to "freebanding" as someone with views out of tune with those of our forefathers. Such hyperbole over a minor issue like this is neither accurate or effective. Hence it becomes very tedious to see it attempted over and over again with one minor issue after another.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3943482730739287319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 05:36:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 May 2001 12:36:01 GMT
References: <3AE07FF6.E4695CB6@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010505083601.24833.00000833@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21089

In article <3AE07FF6.E4695CB6@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Maybe Larry will listen to that German General who said he valued
>brilliant, lazy officers above all others. He said they figure
>out the easiest way to win the battle with the least effort.

History shows us how well that philosophy worked out.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -8073392610982506910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 05:36:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 May 2001 12:36:01 GMT
References: <20010503222232.02863.00001736@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010505083601.24833.00000832@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21090
```

In article <20010503222232.02863.00001736@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>Note also that the excellent QRP EME work reported in another thread was
>done
>>by a couple of old-time 13/20 wpm code-tested upgraded hams.
>
>I believe that the knowledge they used to do so was not related to either the
>code tests or the written tests that they took.
>
"Not related?" Hard to say - the basics are all there. But the details of what
they did are quite far beyond the tests taken.

Point is, in their case, the code test was not a "barrier" that kept out
"technically knowledgeable individuals" who would "advance the state of the
art".

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 8896826257614790991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 05:54:11 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF3F7F3.CB14DB51@att.net>
From: Tad Danley <taddanley@att.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not> <3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not>
<X_uI6.4415$4f7.313003@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3AF29002.97AB689C@spam.me.not> <P2HI6.5143$t12.365467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3AF37F10.94C61DDD@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 41
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 12:54:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.78.245.43
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989067251 12.78.245.43 (Sat, 05 May 2001 12:54:11 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 12:54:11 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5511
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15782 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22582
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21091

Sideband wrote:

> Richard:
>
> Thanks for the kind words... You've brought up a few points that I
> hadn't thought of, and that I need to give some thought to before
> responding..
>
> I take my written Tech and General tests on the 8th. I'm going to work
> on my code with KC8HEZ and others here in Livingston county on 2M when I
> get my set up. Once I get the code down, I'll take the code test, find
> an HF set, and hopefully be talking on HF by the end of the year.
>
> The next problem is going to be an antenna here in the apt. I've thought
> of throwing out a longwire and using a tuner, trying to use the gutters
> on the building as a large loop antenna with a tuner, and a few other
> options. The 2M setup shouldn't be too difficult. I do have a rail

> outside my window I can mount an antenna to... I just hope it's high
> enough to get to the repeater.
>
> Hopefully, by the 10th, I'll have a callsign to send you guys... and by
> the 15th I should have the domain name corresponding to that callsign..
> I'm going to put a site up here at the house for those who are curious.
>
> 73's and Hopefully I'll be chatting with you all on the air soon.

Good luck with your tests, and welcome to ham radio! As far as antennas go, they are always a challenge in an apartment setting, but you can get creative and will learn much in the process!

Don't forget about going mobile if you are not able to get satisfactory performance with your base antennas or have RF interference problems with your neighbors. I have lots of fun with a Yaesu FT-100D and Hamsticks.

73,

--
Tad Danley, K3TD
mailto:taddanley@att.net

From -7886988819043111752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 06:26:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AE07FF6.E4695CB6@IEEE.org> <20010505083601.24833.00000833@nso-bd.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <pcTI6.5743$t12.435612@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 13:26:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.152
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989069205 12.75.102.152 (Sat, 05 May 2001 13:26:45 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 13:26:45 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21092

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010505083601.24833.00000833@nso-bd.aol.com...
> In article <3AE07FF6.E4695CB6@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA
<Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >Maybe Larry will listen to that German General who said he valued
> >brilliant, lazy officers above all others. He said they figure
> >out the easiest way to win the battle with the least effort.
>
> History shows us how well that philosophy worked out.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Right up there with the Schlieffen Plan and warfare being diplomacy by other means.

73 Dick N�BK

From 3403246911112710398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 06:36:32 PST
Message-ID: <3AF3F3C5.A85BEE76@ovis.net>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 12:36:21 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<20010504233142.24866.00000659@nso-cu.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s2.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 5 May 2001 09:31:25 -0400, s2.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 35
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s2.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21093

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
> Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>
> >No wonder there's more of a "blase factor" amongst entry-level types

> >today than there was back when. Along with a healthy dose of disgust
> >amongst us crumudgeons.
>
> Hmmm..."us crumudgeons?!?"   :-)
>
> All the caterwailing, sniveling, and snorting from curmudgeons,
> tsk, tsk, tsk. Oh, my, the world is going to hell because some
> curmudgeons (or crumudgeons as the case may be) don't like
> the modern-day ethos. They ply the pathos via instant finger-
> tripping on the Internet to demand, nay command, the "necessity"
> to do as they (the morsemudgeons) did.

...and some curmudgeons curse the system in place for licensing out of a
perception that they are being excluded from the fun. They never
realize that they must take the necessary steps to obtain an amateur
radio license of any class before they can be more than wannabees.

> Among the pros who were into already into radio before certain
> morsemudgeons discovered sex, there is still a very healthy
> disgust (over the counter, not prescription) of those who demand
> a return to yesteryear and all the silver bullets of standards and
> practices of long ago. Hiyo sliver...

Are you now speaking for everyone with professional involvement in
communications, Len or is this particular quixotic rant the product of
your mind only? You don't need to return to yesteryear. How about if
you just pass one of today's exams?

Dave K8MN

From 8105748036831291201
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 08:26:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 84
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 15:26:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989076388 24.180.184.171 (Sat, 05 May 2001
08:26:28 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 08:26:28 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5518
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15783 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22591
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21094

Yes but what you are saying is you are heard with a 2 watt carrier. It is
irrelavent what your carrier power is when you are talking. If you had a 10
watt carrier and when you talk it went back to 5 watts then all you are
doing is 5 watts not 10.

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not...
> I've turned down the carrier on my Grant XL to 2 watts. The radio swings
> to 5 on AM, and does 0-12 on SSB. the AM measurements are RMS, the SSB
> measurements are PEP.
>
> -SSB
>
> HelmutSweenie wrote:
> >
> > A 2 watt carrier? do you just sit there and throw dead keys or do you
talk.
> > If you do talk I am sure it is more than 2 watts so your deadkey figure
is
> > irrelavent.
> >
> > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > news:3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not...
> > > Well, I'm heard with a 2 watt carrier over 20 miles away straight
shot,
> > > so I must have done something right.
> > >
> > > ::shrug::
> > >
> > > -SSB

> > >
> > > "David G. Nagel" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > No. Two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's do not make a 1 1/4 wave dipole.
They
> > > > make a dipole composed of two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's. This
> > > > configuration will not make a truly efficient antenna. Read up on
> > > > collinear antenna's and dipole antenna's. You will see that what you
> > > > have is nothing. However for you sake I do hope it works to your
> > > > satisfaction. I prefer something else...
> > > >
> > > > Dave WD9BDZ
> > > >
> > > > Sideband wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Doug:
> > > > >
> > > > > Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
> > > > >
> > > > > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on
11M)
> > > > > top loaded coil antenna. Two of them make 1 1/4 wave. Maybe I
should
> > > > > have said 1 1/4 wave dipole?
> > > > >
> > > > > -SSB
> > > > >
> > > > > Doug Smith wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > On Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400, Sideband
<sideband@spam.me.not>
> > wrote:
> > > > > > >them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two 4'
Wilson
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Uh, 8 feet is not 5/8 wave on 11 meters. A 102" (8-1/2') whip
is
> > 1/4 wave,
> > > > > > so a 5/8 wave would be a bit more than 17 feet long.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > (you should try a 102" whip, I think you're likely to find it
more
> > effective
> > > > > > than the twin four-footers. Because you won't have loading-coil
> > losses.
> > > > > > Mine worked *fantastically* well on 10 meters with a converted
SSB
> > CB.)
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > Doug Smith W9WI
> > > > > > Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> > > > > > http://www.w9wi.com

```
From 9602973186499O9197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 08:50:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 89
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 11:50:08 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989077679 216.234.103.49 (Sat, 05 May 2001 10:47:59
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 10:47:59 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5519
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15784 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22592
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21095
```

well, if a 2 watt carrier is full quieting 20 miles away, then the
antenna must be doing its job, wouldn't you think?

-SSB

Rough Rider wrote:
>

> Yes but what you are saying is you are heard with a 2 watt carrier. It is
> irrelavent what your carrier power is when you are talking. If you had a 10
> watt carrier and when you talk it went back to 5 watts then all you are
> doing is 5 watts not 10.
>
> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not...
> > I've turned down the carrier on my Grant XL to 2 watts. The radio swings
> > to 5 on AM, and does 0-12 on SSB. the AM measurements are RMS, the SSB
> > measurements are PEP.
> >
> > -SSB
> >
> > HelmutSweenie wrote:
> > >
> > > A 2 watt carrier? do you just sit there and throw dead keys or do you
> talk.
> > > If you do talk I am sure it is more than 2 watts so your deadkey figure
> is
> > > irrelavent.
> > >
> > > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > > news:3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not...
> > > > Well, I'm heard with a 2 watt carrier over 20 miles away straight
> shot,
> > > > so I must have done something right.
> > > >
> > > > ::shrug::
> > > >
> > > > -SSB
> > > >
> > > > "David G. Nagel" wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > No. Two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's do not make a 1 1/4 wave dipole.
> They
> > > > > make a dipole composed of two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's. This
> > > > > configuration will not make a truly efficient antenna. Read up on
> > > > > collinear antenna's and dipole antenna's. You will see that what you
> > > > > have is nothing. However for you sake I do hope it works to your
> > > > > satisfaction. I prefer something else...
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave WD9BDZ
> > > > >
> > > > > Sideband wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Doug:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on
> 11M)
> > > > > > top loaded coil antenna. Two of them make 1 1/4 wave. Maybe I
> should

```
> > > > > > have said 1 1/4 wave dipole?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > -SSB
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Doug Smith wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > On Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400, Sideband
> <sideband@spam.me.not>
> > > wrote:
> > > > > > > > >them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two 4'
> Wilson
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Uh, 8 feet is not 5/8 wave on 11 meters.  A 102" (8-1/2') whip
> is
> > > 1/4 wave,
> > > > > > > > so a 5/8 wave would be a bit more than 17 feet long.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > (you should try a 102" whip, I think you're likely to find it
> more
> > > effective
> > > > > > > > than the twin four-footers.  Because you won't have loading-coil
> > > losses.
> > > > > > > > Mine worked *fantastically* well on 10 meters with a converted
> SSB
> > > CB.)
> > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > Doug Smith W9WI
> > > > > > > > Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> > > > > > > > http://www.w9wi.com


From -1942581460452958004
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 09:04:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

```
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 115
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <XvVI6.48662$B22.12323325@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 16:04:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989078647 24.180.184.171 (Sat, 05 May 2001
09:04:07 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 09:04:07 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5520
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15785 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22594
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21096

Full quieting is normally associated with FM use.  Who cares and what does
it really mean you have a 2 watt carrier, unless you go around deadkeying
and never say anything . your carrier power is a useless figure. What is
your carrier power on ssb?



"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not...
> well, if a 2 watt carrier is full quieting 20 miles away, then the
> antenna must be doing its job, wouldn't you think?
>
> -SSB
>
> Rough Rider wrote:
> >
> > Yes but what you are saying is you are heard with a 2 watt carrier. It
is
> > irrelavent what your carrier power is when you are talking. If you had a
10
> > watt carrier and when you talk it went back to 5 watts then all you are
> > doing is 5 watts not 10.
> >
> > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > news:3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not...
> > > I've turned down the carrier on my Grant XL to 2 watts. The radio
swings
> > > to 5 on AM, and does 0-12 on SSB. the AM measurements are RMS, the SSB
> > > measurements are PEP.
```

> > >
> > > -SSB
> > >
> > > HelmutSweenie wrote:
> > > >
> > > > A 2 watt carrier? do you just sit there and throw dead keys or do
you
> > talk.
> > > > If you do talk I am sure it is more than 2 watts so your deadkey
figure
> > is
> > > > irrelavent.
> > > >
> > > > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > > > news:3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not...
> > > > > Well, I'm heard with a 2 watt carrier over 20 miles away straight
> > shot,
> > > > > so I must have done something right.
> > > > >
> > > > > ::shrug::
> > > > >
> > > > > -SSB
> > > > >
> > > > > "David G. Nagel" wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No. Two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's do not make a 1 1/4 wave
dipole.
> > They
> > > > > > make a dipole composed of two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's. This
> > > > > > configuration will not make a truly efficient antenna. Read up
on
> > > > > > collinear antenna's and dipole antenna's. You will see that what
you
> > > > > > have is nothing. However for you sake I do hope it works to your
> > > > > > satisfaction. I prefer something else...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave WD9BDZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sideband wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Doug:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load
is....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8
(on
> > 11M)
> > > > > > > top loaded coil antenna. Two of them make 1 1/4 wave. Maybe I
> > should
> > > > > > > have said 1 1/4 wave dipole?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > -SSB

```
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Doug Smith wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > On Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400, Sideband
> > <sideband@spam.me.not>
> > > > wrote:
> > > > > > > > >them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two
4'
> > Wilson
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Uh, 8 feet is not 5/8 wave on 11 meters.  A 102" (8-1/2')
whip
> > is
> > > > 1/4 wave,
> > > > > > > > so a 5/8 wave would be a bit more than 17 feet long.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > (you should try a 102" whip, I think you're likely to find
it
> > more
> > > > effective
> > > > > > > > than the twin four-footers.  Because you won't have
loading-coil
> > > > losses.
> > > > > > > > Mine worked *fantastically* well on 10 meters with a
converted
> > SSB
> > > > CB.)
> > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > Doug Smith W9WI
> > > > > > > > Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> > > > > > > > http://www.w9wi.com




From -6700359108898568010
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 09:29:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Karl <wa7ycp@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A potential new band for CB'ers? [Was: Re: Respect For Freebanders]
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 09:24:05 -0700
Organization: Spokane Repeater Group
Message-ID: <3AF42925.2CCC297C@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: wa7ycp@yahoo.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <9cs0f8$pt$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF187AB.44CC02EB@spam.me.not> <9csp7i$mvu$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
<3AF2075A.A566FBDB@spam.me.not> <3AF21492.23260143@spam.me.not>
<X_uI6.4415$4f7.313003@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3AF29002.97AB689C@spam.me.not> <P2HI6.5143$t12.365467@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3AF37F10.94C61DDD@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 6
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5521
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15786 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22596
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21097

Very, very good attitute :)  May others follow this example.
Karl

--
for info and email go to:
http://www.dalek.org/srg


From -2150451881424342126
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 09:41:25 PST
From: "dentronman" <w9jcm@.reno.quik.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
```

```
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010505001234.870$gb@newsreader.com>
<j9g7ftsl5i999vod9pa42njbnlv8ha34vv@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <eXVI6.101$Io2.1640@newsfeed.intelenet.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.213.147.176
X-Complaints-To: abuse@quik.com
X-Trace: newsfeed.intelenet.net 989080394 209.213.147.176 (Sat, 05 May 2001
09:33:14 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 09:33:14 PDT
Organization: Quik Internet -- http://www.quik.com/
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 16:33:14 GMT
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
netnews.com!xfer02.netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!novia!nntp3.cerf.net!
mvb.saic.com!news.unocal.com!newsfeed.intelenet.net!news.quik.com!not-for-mail
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22597
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8587 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2525
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2423 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12791
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21098 rec.radio.cb:32051

thats not that fast come one try 0 150 in 10 seconds then talk to me.
"Walt Davidson" <walt@f1racing.co.uk> wrote in message
news:j9g7ftsl5i999vod9pa42njbnlv8ha34vv@4ax.com...
> On 05 May 2001 04:12:35 GMT, moparholic@home.net wrote:
>
> >0 to 140 in 11 seconds is actually a lot of fun. Sorry you'll never
experience it.
>
> Especially on a motorcycle!   (I have the ticket to prove it ... the
> only one I have ever received.)
>
> :-)
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson                      Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com




From 8329703278097052009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 09:49:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 17
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /
w3Za+cFnYHODAi3loi6YmEpoIA4veNTrpWDnZ1jENuoQIW41RkSgsehD8lU7TOPEpqWYvuKRSra!
XZSm6aTXTGXWtTiikMM5evxsOEGIIN/5Y+jsm4G12GcCfbO4dMC54FAoGuvV9PDWo6aeZCclAtDi!
uh0oElE=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 16:44:56 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 16:44:57 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5522
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15787 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22601
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21099
```

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not...

> well, if a 2 watt carrier is full quieting 20 miles away, then the
> antenna must be doing its job, wouldn't you think?

>
> -SSB

Not really. How about 4 million + miles per watt ?

(Full quieting? Then you must have been using FM emissions, an illegal mode
on 11 meters. :>)

http://radioworks.com/hpmain.html

73 de W6DKN

From -2265768953299724996
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 09:55:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
Date: Sat, 5 May 2001 09:54:02 -0700
Organization: Amateur Radio International
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Jim Bromley, K7JEB" <w5gyj@primenet.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-31-52.nas1.phx1.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net

```
X-Posted-By: @64.212.31.52 (w5gyj)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21100
```

>> I originally wrote:
>> I just hope these drug warriors show the same
>> aggressive concern for my well-being when I
>> need those hugely expensive anti-cancer drugs
>> that they have shown in keeping me from
>> ingesting the smoke of the Cannabis Sativa
>> plant.

> Dwight Stewart replied:
> What anti-cancer drugs? Are you talking about the drugs used to
> help reduce the nausea associated with certain cancer treatments? If
> so, they are no more expensive than marijuana.

What I was asking for was a bit of consistency. If the WoD is so hugely concerned with the health and well-being of U.S. society, it shouldn't be so adamantly opposed to a comprehensive national health insurance program paid for from the General Fund. That way, everyone in society could be protected from disease and injury as they are now from the evils of illegal drugs. And, since it would be picking up the health care tab, I think it would then be appropriate to dictate what we could and could not put into our bodies for whatever reason.

The ailment I mostly had in mind as us being in need of protection against was cancer. 20 billion dollars a year, about what is spent now on the WoD, could fund a hell of a lot of cancer research.

It really is just a matter of correctly setting our national priorities, Dwight.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB   k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

From -7186708921652932748

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 10:07:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!nntp.primenet.com!nntp.gblx.net!news.phx.gblx.net!not-for-
mail
From: unkadean <dnorris@k7nomyclothes.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 10:07:48 -0700
Organization: Global Crossing Telecommunications Inc.
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <kqc8ftoip9cl7ji5rs12jfibqqof12q3es@4ax.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: radsl-dnorris1.phx.primenet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
X-Posted-By: @208.48.202.58 (dnorris1)
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22605
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8589 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2526
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2424 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12792
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21101 rec.radio.cb:32055
```

On Fri, 04 May 2001 13:54:17 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>> You are implying that you have never driven above the speed limit, Rob.
>> Will you swear that you have never broken a traffic law? Have you never
>> gotten a traffic ticket or a parking ticket?
>
>

>I have never received a speeding or any other traffic infraction. I have
>never received a warning. I never speed. I always use my turn
>signals/mirrors/shoulder check when turning and changing lanes. There is no
>need to. I always give myself ample time to get anywhere. I have never
>received a parking ticket either. Speeding is stupid AND illegal.

I bow to perfection!

unkadean

____
Visit www.k7no.com   Under construction but getting there.

From -6613439972119961416
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 11:16:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 May 2001 18:15:13 GMT
References: <3AF3F3C5.A85BEE76@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010505141513.02492.00000490@nso-mk.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21102

In article <3AF3F3C5.A85BEE76@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

>Are you now speaking for everyone with professional involvement in
>communications, Len or is this particular quixotic rant the product of
>your mind only?

An off-the-cuff poll can be taken by anyone who is IN the radio-
electronics industry as to the "pioneering" of radio by amateurs,
or of any of the recent or post-1920s "advancement of the state
of the radio art" by amateurs. Heil will not like the results.

Heil doesn't realize his own attitude towards anyone not
"credentialled" as a "qualified" amateur is at the heart of such
antagonism.

>You don't need to return to yesteryear.

Thank the Lord for that! There's far more interest among the professionals for the existing state of the art of radio and progressing further. There's no need to regress and remain at the standards and practices of the 1930s.

>How about if you just pass one of today's exams?

Heil has no valid rejoinder on the subject so he falls back on the one-note mantra of insisting that all should do as he did: Pass an exam to be "qualified" as an amateur so that he can attempt being "superior" in front of lesser (in his eyes) mortals.

BTW, is there any truth to Heil writing a reveiw of Black's Law Dictionary for the Federal Communications Law Journal?

From 2266954182886209289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 11:16:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 May 2001 18:15:14 GMT
References: <20010505083601.24833.00000832@nso-bd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010505141514.02492.00000491@nso-mk.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21103

In article <20010505083601.24833.00000832@nso-bd.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>In article <20010503222232.02863.00001736@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) writes:
>
>>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>
>>>Note also that the excellent QRP EME work reported in another thread was
>>>done by a couple of old-time 13/20 wpm code-tested upgraded hams.
>>
>>I believe that the knowledge they used to do so was not related to either
>>the code tests or the written tests that they took.

>>
>"Not related?" Hard to say - the basics are all there. But the details of
>what they did are quite far beyond the tests taken.
>
>Point is, in their case, the code test was not a "barrier" that kept out
>"technically knowledgeable individuals" who would "advance the state of the
>art".

Project Diana achieved the first moonbounce on 10 January 1946
from Camp Evans (later to become Evans Signal Laboratory), NJ,
outside of Fort Monmouth, NJ. On 111.5 MHz. For a technical
explanation, block diagrams, photos, go to:

http://www.campevans.com/diarnof.html

Project Diana was done 55 years ago. By technically knowledgeable
individuals who actually advanced the state of the radio art. No CW
or code skills involved. The US Army Signal Corps personnel,
including civilians of Evans Signal Labs, didn't need amateur radio
licenses or passing code tests to make them "qualified."

Have NCTAs claimed that the code test was a "barrier" for the
"technically knowledgeable?" Maybe one or two, but the overwhelming use of that phrase in here has been a mantra of the
PCTAs' dissing of NCTAs...as if the PCTAs accomplished so
much in the way of "advancing the state of the amateur radio
art." As a claimant to "amateur radio historian," N2EY should
look up the first amateur moonbounce to see when it was done
and by whom (amateurs who were also working in the
radio-electronics industry) and with what (see a number of
mentions of equipment "loans" done by industry).

From 5435567183213205690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 11:27:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not> <c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <9CXI6.22981$2_.7980964@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 18:27:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989087237 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 05 May 2001 11:27:17 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 11:27:17 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5524 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15789 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22610 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21104

I take it you are a fan of EME?

--
Rob St.Denis

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not...
> > well, if a 2 watt carrier is full quieting 20 miles away, then the
> > antenna must be doing its job, wouldn't you think?
> >
> > -SSB
>
> Not really. How about 4 million + miles per watt ?
>
> (Full quieting? Then you must have been using FM emissions, an illegal
mode
> on 11 meters. :>)
>
> http://radioworks.com/hpmain.html
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
>

```
From -8965151627430341117
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 11:28:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <dwyI6.14009$2_.4965037@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<kqc8ftoip9cl7ji5rs12jfibqqof12q3es@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <ZCXI6.22985$2_.7978926@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 18:28:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989087289 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 05 May 2001 11:28:09
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 11:28:09 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22611
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8591 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2527
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2425 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12797
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21105 rec.radio.cb:32069
```

> I bow to perfection!

Perfection is irrelevant ... it doesnt take a genious to simply not break the laws ...

--
Rob St.Denis

From -6640817514093606770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 13:03:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 May 2001 19:47:38 GMT
References: <3AF224E0.958CF884@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Message-ID: <20010505154738.24362.00000568@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21106

In article <3AF224E0.958CF884@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Well, since 1934 the only murder committed with a legal machine
>gun was committed by a law enforcement officer.

In the entire world?

Including WW2?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -994462746400496913
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 14:20:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc1.ct.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Peter J. Bertini" <commquart@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <58_I6.48304$Ce4.3715578@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 21:20:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.23.12.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.ct.home.com 989097601 24.23.12.101 (Sat, 05 May 2001 14:20:01
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 14:20:01 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5526
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15793 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22620
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21107


"> Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
>
> Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
> top loaded coil antenna.


Runs chills up and down my spine just thinking about
a Wilson Silver Load.  Gives me the urge to run and
buy two, and co-phase them on the sidemirrors for
anudder 10dB or so..  Ten Fer.






From -8849264960311920787
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 14:22:50 PST
Message-ID: <3AF46ED5.D697AC5F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 16:21:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
References: <3AF224E0.958CF884@IEEE.org> <20010505154738.24362.00000568@nso-
fj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 989097300 64.240.47.131 (5 May 2001 16:15:00 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21108

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3AF224E0.958CF884@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >Well, since 1934 the only murder committed with a legal machine
> >gun was committed by a law enforcement officer.
>
> In the entire world?

In the United States, silly. I saw it on the History Channel.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 9172349655440533397
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 14:27:25 PST
Message-ID: <3AF46FEB.61E4457E@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 16:26:03 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <3AE07FF6.E4695CB6@IEEE.org> <20010505083601.24833.00000833@nso-
bd.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 989097578 64.240.47.131 (5 May 2001 16:19:38 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21109

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3AE07FF6.E4695CB6@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >Maybe Larry will listen to that German General who said he valued
> >brilliant, lazy officers above all others. He said they figure
> >out the easiest way to win the battle with the least effort.
>
> History shows us how well that philosophy worked out.

It was a long time ago, Jim. I don't know what war.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7155715647767516494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 14:32:30 PST
Message-ID: <3AF47113.567ABF1A@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 16:30:59 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 989097876 64.240.47.131 (5 May 2001 16:24:36 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15794 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21110

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > A law is either good or evil, Dwight. When
> > a law is changed, was it good before and
> > evil after, or was it evil before and good
> > after. Either way, it was evil sometime.
> > I advocate getting rid of evil laws.
>
> So do I, Cecil. I just don't advocate breaking them.

I don't advocate breaking them, Dwight, I just ignore them

as if they didn't exist because they are evil and irrational.
I've never been arrested or convicted for a misdemeanor or
a felony so it has worked for me for 63 years.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From -4701590921221137559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,19403287323096f5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 15:27:01 PST
Date: Sat, 5 May 2001 16:26:59 -0500
From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remailer@xganon.com>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
     It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
     Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
     remailer administrator at <abuse@anon.xg.nu>.
Subject: -----Leland caught spoofing again----
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Message-ID: <cbd42294c46da75a58e807fbfce08b12@anon.xg.nu>
Mail-To-News-Contact: abuse@dizum.com
Organization: mail2news@dizum.com
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-feed.riddles.org.uk!news.itconsult.net!news.dizum.com!sewer-output!mail2news
Lines: 10
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21111

-------------------------NEWSFLASH--------------------: From: Your most reliable anonymous radio source on the web-------------------------------KC8LDO Leland Scott again caght spoofing-------(Madison Heights) KC8LDO Leland Scott continues to deny any wrongdoing when confronted with evidence indicating otherwise. Scott has, on many occasions, spoofed and been caught.Recently Scott has escalated his campaign of spoofing by crossposting to many newsgroups (Scott is the spoofing author of the recently titled and crossposted   thread,

---
This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
http://anon.xg.nu

From 8283518331110029534

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 18:18:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 01:18:32 GMT
References: <20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010505211832.28252.00002337@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21112

In article <20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>
>Harmony in All, Joy Forever as a result of passing a morse
>code test.  Amen.

I'll buy that!  Thanks for the gratuitous validation, Len!

73 de Larry, K3LT


From -7332560243335533846
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 18:18:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 01:18:34 GMT
References: <20010502064347.12832.00001781@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010505211834.28252.00002339@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21113

In article <20010502064347.12832.00001781@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:
```

>
>Even if I felt that Morse code testing were an unecessary barrier, if I
>wanted
>to be licensed, I would learn the code, meet the requirements then do
>whatever
>I darn well pleased in ham radio. I don't think that code is a barrier for
>most.
>
>What I do believe it to be is an unecessary requirement in today's radio age.
>
>There are some questions on the Extra exam about Lissajous figures; they are
>not a barrier because the concept is easily learned, but in today's age, I
>don't think they are a necessary part of the test.

Ed:

Funny you should mention that. In almost 20 years as a ham, I've never seen a Lissajous pattern on an oscilloscope. However, I have never complained even once about having to learn about it and be tested on knowledge I'd never use. The difference is -- I know *something" about it, what it means, and I know basically how to find out more information about it should I need it in the future. And even though I've never worked RTTY with anything less modern than a Kantronics terminal unit, I consider knowledge about Lissajous patterns to be quite practical and applicable in the ARS. Too bad the no-coders can't say the same thing about the Morse code. But then, they can memorize the correct answer to a question on Lissajous patterns, but being tested on Morse code at a practical speed would actually require more effort than committing some obscure facts to short-term memory for the purpose of passing a test.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1448949867888480748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 18:18:58 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 107
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 01:18:33 GMT
References: <20010502065406.12832.00001783@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......

Message-ID: <20010505211833.28252.00002338@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21114

In article <20010502065406.12832.00001783@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Leo, there is a good possibility that amateur HF access will be granted
>eventually without a code test. Waiting is an option, but that will take
>years off of the fun you could have in ham radio.

Leo/Ed:

I'll take it one step further and give you an absolutely airtight, lobster-dinner backed GUARANTEE that full access to the amateur HF bands, with ZERO code testing, will occurr within the first decade of the 21st Century. My non-guaranteed guess is that the S25.5 treaty requirement will go away at the next WRC, thus causing the U.S. FCC 5 WPM requirement to do the same.

>Most folks can learn the code to 5 wpm in a few weeks at about a half-hour a
>day,

Change that from "5 wpm" (sic) to 13 WPM and I'll say you've covered at least 99-percent of all who give it that much effort.

>and once you do, with your qualifications, you will probably be an Extra
>pretty quickly. It really is worth not waiting, especially with the sunspots
>expected to be so good over the coming few years.

I became a ham, learned the code, and upgraded to Extra at 20 WPM just to take advantage of a quickly waning sunspot cycle in the early '80's. I remember working Australia on 15-meter SSB from my car while parked in front of the White House...then being called by a guy in Hawaii immediately thereafter! I used to work stations in California on 10-meter AM from my car just as easily as I worked locals on the 2-meter repeaters. I'd work Germany on a daily basis from a 10-meter FM repeater in the Caribbean. Then I went to Germany and reversed the process. When the bands were hot, all that yakking was a lot of fun, I must admit. But when the bands slammed shut, I was amazed by my ability to continue to work far and wide with comparatively low power on CW -- which really hooked me on that mode. The thing that got me hooked on CW was the fact that I had attained useful proficiency -- not a constantly struggling bare recognition level at 5 WPM but the ability to operate comfortabley at 15 - 18 WPM because I had to take a 20 WPM test to get full HF privileges. It was the testing REQUIREMENT that made me a CW operator, more than anything else.

At a recent meeting of the radio club I belong to, a colleague on the Executive Committee made the comment that people are now "trying" to learn the Morse code now that it isn't being "shoved down their throat." You know, I used to say the same thing myself. However, one fine day I woke up and decided that I've had a lot of things "shoved down my throat" that ended up being just what I needed at the time. Things like education, financial responsibility, hard work, and the Morse code. The truth is, when things I didn't want to have anything to do with were "shoved down my throat," I found out that if I gave it a try, instead of endlessly avoiding it and whining about it, they actually did me a lot of good! This has led me to being a person who has no problem with "shoving" the Morse code "down the throats" of prospective amateur radio operators. As for my friend in the radio club, I hope he goes beyond 5 WPM now that his throat is clear. He is now my local example and guide as to whether I've been right or wrong all of these years.

> Once you pass the test, if you wish, you can have a code-key-burying cermony
>in your back yard, surrounded by all of your friends, but I would encourage
>you to try the mode from time to time. I did, and found that I absolutely
enjoy
>it.

The above reads 50 over S9 on my PoliticKal CorrecKtness meter. You followed ARRL Morse Code policy guidelines to the letter; your Kommissars at 225 Main St. must be proud of you, Ed!

>I also encourage you to become licensed as a Tech right now. There really is
>more to that class of license than FM on a local repeater. You have access
>to lots

99.7 percent of the entire Amateur spectrum allocation is merely "lots?" Never let it be said that you aren't a master of understatement! The 20-meter band alone is "lots" of spectrum when viewed with respect to the global communications potential it gives the average ham...and that's just one of nine bands in a region of the spectrum that occupies just .3 percent of the entire amateur spectrum allocations!

>of spectrum and can do some interesting things with satellite,
>earth-moon-earth communication, meteor scatter, SSB and CW over hundreds of
>miles, various digital modes, etc. A couple of hams recently did a 2-way
>5-watt moonbounce QSO using 12-foot home satellite dishes, using a multitone
>digital mode and long-term integration techniques. Cool. From there, if the
>allure of HF is what attracts you to radio, the code test will not seem like
>such a large jump. Even if I had not found myself really enjoying CW
>operation after a while, I think the prize would have been worth not waiting.

Ed, you're absolutely right. The only thing is -- ALL of the people I've known who've ever come close to doing any of this stuff have, to the man, been code-proficient, CW-loving, pre-restructuring Amateur Extra class licensees, usually with non-Vanity 1x2 and 2x1 callsigns. I don't personally know a single "no-code" Technican who has done ANY of this cutting-edge V/UHF weak signal mode stuff. With them, it's been strictly FM repeaters and the occasional simplex chat -- with a lot of talk about CW - either whining about it or claiming to want to get involved with it "someday."

>Good luck.

Absolutely!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -664852101745352634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 18:34:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 01:34:06 GMT
References: <20010504233141.24866.00000658@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010505213406.05430.00001828@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21115

>Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/4/01 10:31 PM Central Daylight Time

>Why does Hare believe this?

Practical experience. Licensed operators are more likely to know what's going on than bench-sitters.

Steve

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 8420910119478344743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 18:43:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 01:42:29 GMT
References: <20010504233142.24866.00000659@nso-cu.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010505214229.05430.00001829@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21116

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/4/01 10:31 PM Central Daylight Time

>They ply the pathos via instant finger-
>tripping on the Internet to demand, nay command, the "necessity"
>to do as they (the morsemudgeons) did.

Who said it's necessary? Seems to me the FCC said it was LESS necessary, and will soon be even less necessary.

Only YOU keep insisting that "they" insist it it is.

You have yet to defy "they".

>Among the pros who were into already into radio before certain
>morsemudgeons discovered sex, there is still a very healthy
>disgust (over the counter, not prescription) of those who demand
>a return to yesteryear and all the silver bullets of standards and
>practices of long ago.

Who? Return to WHAT standards? There's not a single NPRM pending that says otherwise.

>Hiyo sliver...

That was Hi Ho Silver....Guess some "radio pros" weren't paying attention.

Adios

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -5299149013870830993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 18:57:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!

audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 01:57:16 GMT
References: <20010505141513.02492.00000490@nso-mk.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010505215716.05430.00001831@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21117

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/5/01 1:15 PM Central Daylight Time

>An off-the-cuff poll can be taken by anyone who is IN the radio-
>electronics industry as to the "pioneering" of radio by amateurs,
>or of any of the recent or post-1920s "advancement of the state
>of the radio art" by amateurs.

At Electronic System Products, an ANTEC subsidiary, (of which I have intimate knowledge), 9 of 12 engineers and one of 4 technicians are licensed Amateurs.

Of the engineers, 66% state that they persued a career in professional electrical engineering as a result of thier exposure to same via Amatuer Radio.

The other 33% became Amateurs in engineering school or sometime after, but have used thier license to some degree to facilitate thier careers.

Two of three members of tghe purchasing department hold Amateur Extra licenses, one of which is the trustee for the club callsign.

(The company actually encourages Amateur Radio, sponsors a club station and provides funds and office space for the station.)

>Heil will not like the results.

Was this "off the cuff" enough for you? I dare say Anderson will like THESE results even less.

>Heil doesn't realize his own attitude towards anyone not
>"credentialled" as a "qualified" amateur is at the heart of such
>antagonism.

If that "antagonized" person wasn't in the habit of being himself an antagonist, the situation would not manifest.

>Heil has no valid rejoinder on the subject so he falls back
>on the one-note mantra of insisting that all should do as
>he did: Pass an exam to be "qualified" as an amateur so
>that he can attempt being "superior" in front of lesser (in

>his eyes) mortals.

No "mantra". Just wondering if you are ever going to "put up or shut up".

No matter. WE know you don't have it in you to do. Might make you just a bit too "mortal".

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -8489376700831119412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 19:35:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 02:35:11 GMT
References: <20010505214229.05430.00001829@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010505223511.14764.00001584@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21118

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
>Date: 5/5/01 8:42 PM Central Daylight Time

>You have yet to defy "they".

Well, well...didn't I let a big typo go!

Of course you defy EVERYONE, so who could tell anyway...?!?!

And the original statement was "You have yet to define "they".

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

```
http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html


From 3370706844491276701
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 20:00:01 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 02:59:40 GMT
Message-ID: <3af42d58.247553286@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<20010504233142.24866.00000659@nso-cu.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.65
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.65
X-Trace: 5 May 2001 21:55:58 -0500, 64.24.17.65
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21119

On 05 May 2001 03:31:42 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
>Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>
>>No wonder there's more of a "blase factor" amongst entry-level types
>>today than there was back when. Along with a healthy dose of disgust
>>amongst us crumudgeons.
>
>Hmmm..."us crumudgeons?!?"   :-)
>
Yeah, like YOU, you define the term.
>



From -785160361346835624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 20:04:10 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 02:59:53 GMT
Message-ID: <3af43512.249531537@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <20010504233143.24866.00000660@nso-
```

```
cu.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.65
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.65
X-Trace: 5 May 2001 21:56:11 -0500, 64.24.17.65
Lines: 21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21120

On 05 May 2001 03:31:43 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
>Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>
>>Yeah, sure we had "distractions". My General ticket arrived the day of
>>my Junior Prom. Immediately after the prom (like ten minutes after)
>>amidst much feminine screeching and tears I dumped my
>>hormonally-crazed redhead squeeze on her doorstep at midnite, laid a
>>patch outta there with Dad's Pontiac and split for home to get on the
>>radio. Cranked the ARC-5 down to 3.505 and pounded out a CQ with my
>>heart racing and yahoo holyshit here comes g5zk, my first dx contact.
>>In my tuxedo. How good can ham radio get? . .
>>>
>>(PS) Isabelle went on to marry a typical EE and had ten kids and she
>>keeps telling me we're still not speaking.
>
>Hmmm...that may explain a few things...   :-)
>
Yeah it should. Heeee! . . too many dry nerds in this NG.



From -2210832377036850223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 20:05:03 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 03:00:28 GMT
Message-ID: <3af2ecba.165475562@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503124517.24866.00000597@nso-
cu.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.65
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.65
X-Trace: 5 May 2001 21:56:46 -0500, 64.24.17.65
Lines: 90
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
```

X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21121

On 03 May 2001 16:45:17 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>
>Passing any or all of the test elements, including the code test,
>is not an intellectual triumph. :-)
>
. . . particularly since copying Morse has little or nothing to do
with intellect.
>
>>The question is how many of the nocodes have
>>remained active? Nobody knows.
>
>It would seem that some do know, else why would they charge
>that "nocodes" were not active.
>
I just said "nobody knows". I know a helluva lot of hams. I know more
inactive nocodes on a percetage basis than I know inactive hams with
other licenses.
>
>An amateur radio license is just a regulatory mechanism
>used by the FCC as part of its task to regulate US civil
>radio. None of the test elements are either "intellectual
>triumphs" or academic achievements. Amateur radio is
>not essential to the well-being or safety of the nation's
>citizens taken as a whole.
>
If ham radio didn't exist to give you something to rail against your
life would be even more dismal than it is. Be thankful we're out here.

>
>>So what? Is that some kind of surprise? The nocode is the easiest
>>test. Why WOULDN'T the necomers opt for a nocode ticket?? I got into
>>the game as a Novice for the same reason.
>
>Kelly got a Novice license without taking a code test?!?   :-)
>
Of course not. But if the nocode had been out there then I might very
well have grabbed one while I working on passing the Novice test.
>

>It seems to me that the government does indeed look into
>statistics of such things as licensing in its task of regulating
>radio.
>
In the long term of course. Count on them not giving a hoot about the
stats changes between last April and now having any significance wrt
to regulation but which are all the rage in this NG.

```
>
>Joe Speroni, AH0A, is an evident PCTA, on public record as
>being an advocate of amateur radio code testing.  Speroni has
>been tabulating amateur radio statistics for about three years
>now.  Is Speroni "asinine" for doing that task?
>
No, it's the way his stats get tossed around which is asinine.
>
>>License counts mean nothing.
>
>I can't believe Kelly really believes that.  If the number of radio
>amateurs in the FCC database falls to a very low number, is
>the FCC going to maintain US amateur radio?
>
The FCC is not about to take any action on micro-count stats like the
churning of the month-to-month numbers. They look at trends over
significant periods like the 5 & 10 year curves and that's also all I
can be bothered with. Which is why I don't pay any attention to the
Speroni site.
>

>>Band occupancy and spectral efficiency mean everything.
>
>So...how is the 2mm band occupancy in Kelly's geographic
>area?
>
Loaded but with plenty of unused freqs. You think occupancy doesn't
matter?  Ask the PA State Troopers, the FCC monitored then grabbed a
flock of their underutilized freqs a few years back and "refarmed"
'em.
>
>It is 7 GHz wide, the largest bandspace allocation for
>Region 2 amateurs.
>
What?? The 2m band here runs from 144 to 148 Mhz. Back to remedial
math for you, Lenny.
>
>Does Kelly advocate dropping all VHF
>and higher amateur bands because their use does not show
>"spectral efficiency?"
>
Of course not again, Lenny. But then the FCC might not give us any
options but to get more spectrally efficient, eh?


From -6599766072833352665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 20:21:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.gamma.ru!Gamma.RU!
colt.net!ams-newsfeed.speedport.net!newsfeed.speedport.net!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!
news.cs.utwente.nl!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
```

From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 6 May 2001 02:46:40 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9d2dug01ak7@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <20010502064347.12832.00001781@ng-cs1.aol.com>
<20010505211834.28252.00002339@nso-mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-551.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21122

In article <20010505211834.28252.00002339@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...

>Funny you should mention that. In almost 20 years as a ham, I've never
>seen a Lissajous pattern on an oscilloscope. However, I have never
>complained even once about having to learn about it and be tested on
>knowledge I'd never use. The difference is -- I know *something" about
>it, what it means, and I know basically how to find out more information
>about it should I need it in the future. And even though I've never worked
>RTTY with anything less modern than a Kantronics terminal unit, I
>consider knowledge about Lissajous patterns to be quite practical and
>applicable in the ARS. Too bad the no-coders can't say the same
>thing about the Morse code. But then, they can memorize the correct
>answer to a question on Lissajous patterns, but being tested on Morse
>code at a practical speed would actually require more effort than
>committing some obscure facts to short-term memory for the purpose
>of passing a test.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

I'm sure previous generations of hams had the same attitude about spark gap
usage before it was banned altogether.

You're going to give yourself an ulser if you keep lamenting about it.

From -1417446789946016277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 17:39:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.

```
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 00:38:30 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3af5ee29.1308600@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010506104429.10625.00001316@ng-mn1.aol.com>
<20010506112422.07702.00000674@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.0f
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 00:39:03 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21174
```

On 06 May 2001 15:24:22 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:

```
>>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>>From: radiohead11m@aol.com  (RadioHead11m)
>>Date: 5/6/01 9:44 AM Central Daylight Time
>
>>Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>
>     In a nation that can find a man innocent of criminal charges yet still
>find him financially responsible for the same allegations in a civil actions,
>ANYTHING is possible.
```

Steve,

The burden of proof in a criminal case is, as you probably know,
"beyond a reasonable doubt." In the civial courts, the
burden is much less... i.e. by a preponderance of the
evidence.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 5505547937248802883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 17:45:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 00:45:09 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 161
Message-ID: <3af5eee4.1495915@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af13286.995646@news.mindspring.com>
<20010504003555.07982.00000958@nso-cm.aol.com>
```

```
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.0f
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 00:45:57 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21175

On 04 May 2001 04:35:55 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3af13286.995646@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill
>Sohl) writes:
>
>>>In article <3af06a9f.577404@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>>(Bill
>>>Sohl) writes:
>>>
>>>>On 02 May 2001 17:24:00 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>>>>>>From: 2w8ji@akorn.net  (Tom W8JI)
>>>>>>Statistically, there was virtually no difference in lowering CW from
>>>>>>13 WPM to 5 WPM. This is a repeat of earlier test reductions. The big
>>>>>>sales pitch we all heard was we were going to get an influx of new
>>>>>>Hams.
>>>>>>
>>>>>Yup. There has been a surge in the number of Techs over the past year, put
>>>>that
>>>>>is due in part to the FCC renewing Tech Pluses as  Techs after April 15,
>>>>2000.
>>>>>
>>>>>>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$
>>>>>
>>>>>Bingo.
>>>>
>>>>Could you provide some evidence of any such finacial
>>>>influence?
>>>
>>>Kenwood will make more money if they sell more radios to hams. More hams
>>means
>>>more potential customers.
>>>
>>>I'm Yaecomwood's worst nightmare, by the way.
>>
>>Your original comment implied financial INFLUENCE over the FCC.
>
>You inferred what I did not imply. The $$$$$ meant that Kenwood would make
>money by selling more ham rigs, nothing more.
>
>>In any case, are you suggesting that Kenwood should NOT have
>>filed any comments with the FCC regarding the NPRM?
>
>Not at all - everybody has the right to comment.
>
>But I think that it is not in the best interest of the Amateur Radio Service
```

>for the FCC to be so influenced by the comments of a foreign equipment
>manufacturer. Just my opinion.

But there was nothing in the R&O that suggested that the FCC was so influenced by Kenwood. Indeed, the vast bulk of referenced comments wer from amateurs, the ARRL, NCI, etc.

>Would you defend Kenwood if they had commented that the code tests should be
>kept as they were? Would you defend Kenwood if they had commented that the code
>tests should be kept as they were and the FCC accepted their comments and acted
>on them?

Have I opposed ANYONE filing comments on either side of the discussion? You know the answer.

>>>>>>We created a no-code tech because it was going to be "the answer",
>>>>>>yet many of them turned out to not have the faintest interest in the
>>>>>>hobby. Of those I know first hand, some never actually took the tests
>>>>>>they were supposed to take. Few of them are active or interested. I
>>>>>>know about 30 people who received no-codes...only two remain active.
>>>>>>One is a 13 WPM General, and the other is a wife who uses the radio to
>>>>>>talk to her husband.
>>>>>>
>>>>>My experience has been quite different. I know many post-1991 Techs who
>>>>>are very active.
>>>>>
>>>>>But if you look at the growth of the ARS in the USA in the decade before
>>>>>1991, and compare it to the decade since 1991, you will NOT see any
>>>>>lasting surge newcomers in the latter decade.
>>>>>
>>>>>>At MFJ, where the people work with radios, over a dozen people became
>>>>>>no-code hams. Of those, only one is active.
>>>>>
>>>>>Busman's holiday, probably.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I would think if CW was a barrier, there would have been a steady
>>>>>>stream of no-coders entering the door. Not a big shot that tapered to
>>>>>>nothing. It would seem logical that a reduction from 13 WPM to 5 WPM
>>>>>>for HF operation would have a large impact on newcomers...yet it
>>>>>>didn't.
>>>>>
>>>>>True. Several reasons:
>>>>>
>>>>>1) Lotsa folks don't know about the changes
>>>>>
>>>>>2) Most folks aren't interested, even if you eliminated all tests and gave
>>>>>the gear away.
>>>>>
>>>>>3) Many folks think they don't have the time, money, or resources (like
>>>>>antenna space) to do ham radio.
>>>>>>

>>>>>>I would think the no-code class would be a hit, didn't happen.
>>>>>
>>>>>It was as much of a hit as its predecessor.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Umm, no code has been available for a long time. It hasn't worked so
>>>>>>far. Should we wait longer?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I'm not discrediting you, but there is nothing that has happened so
>>>>>>far that indicates what you predict is true. Suppose we drop CW, and
>>>>>>nothing happens except problems. Do you suppose we can put it back
>>>>>>later?
>>>>>
>>>>>Double bingo. No way. The FCC has said for over a decade that they think
>>the
>>>>>code test only indicates code ability, nothing more or less. The ONLY
>>reason
>>>>>the FCC kept 5 wpm was because of the international treaty requirement.
>>>>
>>>>Has there been any chaos because of nocode techs?
>>>
>>>How would you define "chaos", Bill? I hear things on the ham bands today
>>that
>>>were unheard-of years ago. Is it because of license changes or because of
>>other
>>>factors? Who knows?
>>
>>And those things that we hear today preceeded the nocode
>>tech.
>
>They did?

Sure they did.

>> Indeed, MOST of the real verbal potty mouth
>>and other less than courteous behavior is STILL on
>>the HF bands and has been for years BEFORE the
>>nocode tech.
>
>On VOICE modes. NOT Morse code.

By people who ALL had to pass a code test to
get on those voice modes for HF.

>>>>Why would going to total NOcode result in problems?
>>>
>>>No CODE or no CODE TEST?
>>
>>No Code TEST!
>
>I am relieved to read that.

You should know that by now.

>We shall see what happens in 2003. I don;t think S25.5 has much of a chance, do
>you?

Nope.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 3278624036308600444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 17:48:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 00:47:56 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <3af5f030.1828168@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010503064315.01812.00000292@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010504003555.07982.00000959@nso-cm.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.0f
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 00:48:46 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21176

On 04 May 2001 04:35:55 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <20010503064315.01812.00000292@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) writes:
>
>>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>
>>>I'm Yaecomwood's worst nightmare, by the way.
>>
>>In what way, and why?
>
>Because I design and build almost all of my own ham gear, Ed. Test equipment,
>too. And I have done so since I got my license in 1967. I've never owned a
>non-American-made piece of ham gear, new or used. The equipment I haven't
>designed/built has mostly been "basket case" American made stuff that I rebuilt
>into usable shape.

Somehow I doubt that the commercial manufacturers
lose much sleep over homebrewers.

>You wanna see a list?

>
>("Yaecomwood" is a term used to indicate the Big Three JA ham equipment
>manufacturers - Yaesu, Icom and Kenwood)
>
>I've used all sorts of stuff at other hams' stations, so I'm familiar with
>their products. But I have no desire nor need to buy any "Yaecomwood" products.

And that is as it should be. What ever floats
your boat.

>Since the ham gear makers depend on hams buying their products, an active ham
>who has no need of their products is their "worst nightmare". Perhaps that's a
>bit overstated, because the loss of one customer isn't going to break them.
>Maybe I should rephrase it to "Yaecomwood's worst customer".

Yes, that is probably a bit closer to reality :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5789199233981044393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 17:55:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HR-2346
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 00:54:23 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3af5f21d.2321268@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af21412.911887@news.mindspring.com>
<20010504180503.02623.00000603@nso-fp.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.0f
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 00:54:55 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21177

On 04 May 2001 22:05:03 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3af21412.911887@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill
>Sohl) writes:
>
>>Kentucky law prohibits scanners ANYWHERE except in a person's
>>home. You can not have a scanner on you while outside your
>>home without a special police permit. KY ST s 432.570

>>
>How does a Kentuckian get the scanner home from the store?

In the trunk? :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -9188199034465122695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:04:13 PST
Message-ID: <3AF5F43C.3714888@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 20:02:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
<vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net>
<9ceJ6.27806$2_.10082893@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF5A231.643087EB@IEEE.org>
<aOkJ6.33319$2_.11051428@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.129
X-Trace: corp 989196987 64.240.47.129 (6 May 2001 19:56:27 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15836 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21178

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> > Rob, are you about 12 years old? If the choice is to kill or be killed,
> > which would you choose? Wouldn't it be better for a good guy to kill

> > a bad guy than for a bad guy to kill a good guy, and then kill another
> > good guy, and then kill another good guy, and ...
>
> Surprisingly the only people he'd kill in prison would be other criminals
> ... how is that bad ?

What makes you think he would get caught?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

```
From 6107155239691597038
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:06:40 PST
Message-ID: <3AF5F504.5A399F25@bob.com>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 21:06:12 -0400
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Organization: Unorganized.
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
References: <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net> <9d3b8512rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3AF54AC0.534430D4@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 32.101.144.238
X-Trace: 7 May 2001 01:16:13 GMT, 32.101.144.238
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prserv.net
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!newsjunkie.ans.net!
newsfeed.us.prserv.net!prserv.net!news1.prserv.net!32.101.144.238
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15837
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21179
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> But this time, hopefully they'll catch more than one percent of them.

Like it or not, CBers out number amateurs easily. You can find more people operating at any one given moment between 26.555 - 28.000 than you'll find on all the HF ham bands put together.

It would take an incredible amount of time and money to clean up that mess.

From -7191477581473024916
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:08:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!oleane.net!oleane!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 01:02:47 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3af5f3db.2766643@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net> <oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.0f
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 01:03:26 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22704 rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8647 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2540 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2437 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12860 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21180 rec.radio.cb:32317

On Fri, 04 May 2001 19:52:27 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

```
>On Fri, 04 May 2001 08:30:25 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>wrote:
>
>>"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>>> It's a simple law that you choose to disobey, you obviously think yourself
>>> grandiose enough to decide which law should be followed and which shouldn't.
>>
>>You are implying that you have never driven above the speed limit, Rob.
>>Will you swear that you have never broken a traffic law? Have you never
>>gotten a traffic ticket or a parking ticket?
>
>The vast majority of speeders don't purchase and install special
>equipment (linear amps) and modify their rigs (frequency mods) with
>the sole intention of breaking the law.

Additionally, speed limits in many places are arbitrarily set
low.  The USA population routinely exceeds highway speed
limits and the police don't care one bit.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 9125886919899213958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:10:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feeder.qis.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 01:05:50 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
```

```
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.0f
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 01:06:31 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22705
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8649 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2541
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2438 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12861
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21181 rec.radio.cb:32318

On Fri, 04 May 2001 21:38:48 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

>On Fri, 04 May 2001 21:05:45 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
><tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
>>> My point exactly. 'Freebanding' is a deliberate act, carefully planned
>>
>>so is speeding, I'm not talking touching the accelerator a little too much
>>off a light or anything I'm talking hitting the highway at 120kmh ... people
>>do it and say well its not that much ... when that can land a hefty fine and
>>2 points on your licence ...
>
>The difference is that when you run a kilowatt amp, you do it with the
>sole intent of breaking the law -- you purchased the amp in order to
>break the law and nothing else. Not true with a car, unless your car
>can only operate above the speed limit.

And for most people, they are speeding just staying with
the flow of traffic on American highways.  Interstae 80 in NJ
is 55 for 30 miles before NYC.  The AVERAGE flow of traffic
is usually 65/70 or higher.  Anyone doing 55 is (or should
be) in the extreme right lane.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 6339701446863878263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:10:30 PST
Message-ID: <3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 20:09:00 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.129
X-Trace: corp 989197357 64.240.47.129 (6 May 2001 20:02:37 -0500)
Lines: 41
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21182

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Nobody gives a crap what you put into your body so long as it doesn't
> adversely effect anyone else. But long years of experience show quite
> clearly that the vast majority who use certain substances cannot be
> trusted to be able to control themselves adequately, I.E. the drugs take
> over control and the capacity for rational thought and action (and
> reaction) evaporates. Thus the development of laws prohibitimng their
> use.

You can make exactly the same argument concerning guns, Dick. The gun takes the person over and makes him do evil things. Why not punish the criminals for their violent crimes no matter what the cause? Why not hold the individual responsible instead of the object or substance?

> Sure, marijuana can be totally innocuous, to an individual who is smart
> enough (and can stay that way after consuming it) to stay out from
> behind the wheel and off the highways, and out of public where most seem
> to stand out like a sore thumb. Far too may users aren't capable of
> keeping themselves from becoming a direct problem to society by their
> inability to control themeselves after they use it. Ask any cop. A high
> driver is a real hazard to himself and everyone else on the road.

You can make exactly the same argument concerning alcohol, Dick. Why
not hold the individual responsible instead of the object or substance?
Punish criminals for actual crimes. Do not punish free citizens because
they *might* commit an actual crime.

> It's part of The Way it IS. Society's way of keeping the druggies off
> the road and out of normal people's hair (everybody else's-the majority,
> who make the laws for us all).

How do you keep the guns off the road and eliminate the road rage shootings?
Outlaw guns in vehicles? How do you keep drunks off the road? Outlaw alcohol
again?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4681870904554456365
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:11:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 May 2001 01:10:55 GMT
References: <9d3anq02rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010506211055.18644.00002507@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21183

In article <9d3anq02rds@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
Bryant) writes:

>>> >Harmony in All, Joy Forever as a result of passing a morse
>>> >code test. Amen.
>>>
>>> I'll buy that! Thanks for the gratuitous validation, Len!
>>
>>We can all be of the body. Landreu has spoken. Peace and tranquility
>>be unto you, brother.
>>--
>>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>That probably went right over Larry's head. Anyone unfamiliar with Star Trek
>wouldn't know what you were talking about.

Hmmm--let's see -- that quote was from the episode "Return of the Archons."
I believe that was episode number 22, original airdate Feb. 9, 1967, and
"stardate" 3156.2.

>That probably went right over Larry's head. Anyone unfamiliar with Star Trek
>wouldn't know what you were talking about.

My only response: ROFLMAO!!! I clearly remember sitting at my TV set the
first time I heard the mysterious opening notes of the theme, and then heard
William Shatner utter those marvelous words, "Space...The Final Frontier."
I've been boldly going where no man has gone before ever since. I'm pretty
sure I've seen every episode of Star Trek and all of it's spin-off series many
times, and will see them many more times. If you're looking for something
to trip me up on, you'd better pick something else!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5637725037317495407
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:11:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 01:10:43 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>

Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.0f
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 01:11:28 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15838
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21184

On Sat, 05 May 2001 03:32:25 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> A law is either good or evil, Dwight. When
>> a law is changed, was it good before and
>> evil after, or was it evil before and good
>> after. Either way, it was evil sometime.
>> I advocate getting rid of evil laws.
>
> So do I, Cecil. I just don't advocate breaking them.

So you ALWAYS drove 55 when the national speedlimit
was 55? That 55 farce was instituted in 1973 to save fuel during
an oil crisis but the lamebrains in DC failed to set an expiration
for it and it took years before the safety nazis lost their
stranglehold on the legislators to finally end the 55 NHSL
only a few years ago.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -607986958178704801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:22:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AF5F876.3918D41E@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 20:20:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <9d3anq02rds@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010506211055.18644.00002507@nso-fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.129
X-Trace: corp 989198069 64.240.47.129 (6 May 2001 20:14:29 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21185

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> My only response: ROFLMAO!!! I clearly remember sitting at my TV set the
> first time I heard the mysterious opening notes of the theme, and then heard
> William Shatner utter those marvelous words, "Space...The Final Frontier."
> I've been boldly going where no man has gone before ever since. I'm pretty
> sure I've seen every episode of Star Trek and all of it's spin-off series many
> times, and will see them many more times. If you're looking for something
> to trip me up on, you'd better pick something else!

What was the Morse code message in the Botany Bay episode?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3378289128820972182
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:45:34 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 01:44:05 GMT
Message-ID: <3af5fdc9.37026186@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010505141514.02492.00000491@nso-mk.aol.com>
<20010506003408.17372.00000953@nso-fg.aol.com>

```
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.17
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.17
X-Trace: 6 May 2001 20:40:23 -0500, 64.24.240.17
Lines: 127
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21186

On 06 May 2001 04:34:08 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:



>
>Yeah, Len, I read about that in 1964. They used a converted SCR-271 radar - the
>same kind used at Pearl Harbor in 1941. Hardly "state of the art" in 1946.
>
>111.5 Mc. is not an amateur frequency, and wasn't one in 1946. What does
>Project Diana have to do with amateur EME?
>
>> For a technical
>>explanation, block diagrams, photos, go to:
>>
>>     http://www.campevans.com/diarnof.html
>
>And you'll get "that page does not exist".
>
>The correct URL is:
>
>http://www.campevans.com/diana.html
>>
>>Project Diana was done 55 years ago.
>
>So? It was a moon RADAR experiment, not a communications system.
>
>> By technically knowledgeable
>>individuals who actually advanced the state of the radio art.
>
>Nobody disputes that. How many taxpayer dollars were spent on that project?
>What would that be in today's money?
>
>> No CW
>>or code skills involved.
>
>Wrong. The mode used was OOK CW. The echoes were heard as beeps. Had there been
>a second station, communication could have been done by Morse.
>
>No Morse was used because no communication was done. There was no second
>station to communicate with.
>
```

>> The US Army Signal Corps personnel,
>>including civilians of Evans Signal Labs, didn't need amateur radio
>>licenses or passing code tests to make them "qualified."
>
>Who said they did? We're talking about amateur radio, not the
>military-industrial complex.
>
>Those Diana folks had a some hams involved, though - all code tested at at
>least 13 wpm:
>
>Lt. Col John H. DeWitt, officer-in-charge, W4ERI, ex-W4FU
>E.K. Stodola, head of the lab's Research Section, W3IVF
>F. Elacker, Mechanical Engineer, ex-W2DMD
>H.P.Kaufmann, W2OQU was also involved at a high level.
>
>Those are just the hams I know of that were involved. There were probably more.
>Note that a good number of the top people were radio amateurs.
>
>They used power levels 9 dB above those permitted to amateurs at the time, and
>an antenna that was quite beyond "backyard construction". They had lots of
>resources.
>
>Lt. Col. DeWitt, W4ERI, was the driving force behind the whole idea, which he
>first began working on in 1940.
>>
>>Have NCTAs claimed that the code test was a "barrier" for the
>>"technically knowledgeable?"
>
>Yes. Many, many times. There is also a companion claim that "So-and-so is more
>than qualified to get a ham license because of (fill in professional/academic
>achievement here) but the code test keeps him/her out".
>
>> Maybe one or two,
>
>More than that. Even Kenwood made that claim in its comments to the FCC.
>
>> but the over-
>>whelming use of that phrase in here has been a mantra of the
>>PCTAs' dissing of NCTAs...
>
>Nonsense. It has been a rallying call of many nocodetest advocates.
>
>>as if the PCTAs accomplished so
>>much in the way of "advancing the state of the amateur radio
>>art."
>
>By your standards, no radio amateur has ever done anything of technical value
>in radio, Len.
>
>Was the 2 way low power EME contact reported here done by noncodetested
>amateurs? Yes or no, Len?
>
>> As a claimant to "amateur radio historian," N2EY should

```
>>look up the first amateur moonbounce to see when it was done
>>and by whom (amateurs who were also working in the
>>radio-electronics industry) and with what (see a number of
>>mentions of equipment "loans" done by industry).
>
>How do you define "amateur moonbounce", Len? Hearing one's own echoes, as was
>done in Project Diana? One way reception between two different stations via the
>moon? Or a 2-way contact over a considerable terrestrial distance?
>
>I already know when all three of the above were done by hams. Do you?
>
>Only the 2-way QSO used loaned equipment, in the form of rusty old surplus
>dishes and a high power tube or two. They used available resources. They were
>not paid for their efforts.
>
>They used Morse code for the 2-way QSO, too. Is that the problem?
>
>What does any of this have to do with the excellent achievement of W7SZ and
>W7LHL? Nobody claimed their achievement was the first EME contact.
>
>Have any of those paid professional " technically knowledgeable individuals"
>managed 2 way EME radiocommunication using low power (<10 watts), and modest
>antennas (<2 meter dishes)?
>
>"Advancing the state of the art" isn't just doing something for the first time,
>with huge resources. It's also doing more with less.
>
>N2EY
>
. . . One of the true rrap masterpieces. Bug.Lenny, Squash.
>
w3rv



From 6614165597788094968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:50:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 May 2001 01:50:06 GMT
References: <3AF5F504.5A399F25@bob.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Message-ID: <20010506215006.27681.00002118@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21187
```

>Like it or not, CBers out number amateurs easily. You can find more people
>operating at any one given moment between 26.555 - 28.000 than you'll find on
>all
>the HF ham bands put together.
>It would take an incredible amount of time and money to clean up that mess.

Cecil,

You are applying two principles here that are commonly ignored when dealing with such passionate issues. Real-world data combined with a generous helping of common sense. I too recognize that "freebanding" is illegal and definitely a practice NOT to be encouraged.
Having said that, a question does come to mind...With the declining interest of this country's youth in amateur radio, (starting cold that is) what segment of our society will likely inherit amateur radio? Combined with attrition of the "old guard"...could it be a large group of people interested in making long distance contacts via sky-wave propagation on the hf spectrum? Gee...besides licensed amateur radio operators, who else fits that description?

As far as the enforcement issues re. "freebanders," we have a thirty year (plus) real-life model to study. I'm sure all those fellas (& ladies) on www.sugardelta.com will be more than happy to set down their microphones once we ask 'em. I won't bother trying to recruit them as many are already licensed ARO's. Jeepers, if some are already licensed ARO's, why still remain loyal to the 11 meter crowd? Mysterious, eh? Seems to defy common sense...could it be passion?!

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -5181591428486632097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:54:58 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 21:59:12 -0400
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3AF60170.1865AC19@dirtball.com>
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>

```
<vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 162.39.205.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 989200497 14834 162.39.205.79 (7 May 2001 01:54:57 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 May 2001 01:54:57 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15839
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21188

What part of "Thou shalt not kill" did the ATF and FBI didn't understand at
Ruby Ridge and WACO!
Alice wrote:

> Evidently the "NOT" part.
>
> In article <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>, joxendi@bellsouth.net was of the
> opinion...
> >
> >Bill Hammack wrote:
> >>
> >> On 28 Apr 2001 03:31:54 GMT, KK4TL <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote:
> >> : >or when done by the state.
> >> : >
> >> : Not even close.
> >>
> >> What part of "Thou shalt not kill" don't you understand?
> >
> >        WHAT PART OF "THOU SHALT NOT KILL DID MCVEIGH NOT UNDERSTAND!?
> >
> >Jerry



From 3997746228524514752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:56:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!uunet!lax.uu.net!
news.navix.net!not-for-mail
From: Oris Grim <oris@dirtball.com>
Newsgroups:
```

rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders Response
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 22:00:55 -0400
Organization: Navix Internet Subscribers
Lines: 173
Message-ID: <3AF601D7.1594700@dirtball.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
<3af0dcb3.3549117@news.socket.net> <9cr4i5$ale$0@pita.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 162.39.205.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: iac5.navix.net 989200600 14834 162.39.205.79 (7 May 2001 01:56:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@navix.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 May 2001 01:56:40 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5554
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15840 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22714
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21189

Just get your ham ticket and become Legal!

"Arf! Arf!" wrote:

> Gee whiz! When you guys gonna learn to keep your responses short and to the
> point? Don't write a darn book....nobody reads past the first two paragraphs
> anyway.
> If you can't say it in a few sentences, nobody cares.
> Save your editorializing for the New York Times....
>
> <ccom2@socket.net> wrote in message news:3af0dcb3.3549117@news.socket.net...
> > Preach it Brother
> > It could not have been said any better.
> >
> > FreeBanders are bottom feeding scum.
> > That should have all their equipment taken away.
> > Mike
> > AB0IV
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Bob,
> > >
> > >Yours is the kind of misunderstanding that, try as people might, just
> > >can't seem to be overcome. I know FIRSTHAND that there are agencies
> > >that are assigned frequencies above and below the 11 meter CB band.
> > >Tell me, what is "unessential" about forest rangers. What is "unessen-
> > >tial" about military comms? The difference is the type and duration of
> > >commercial and military comms as opposed to civilians who are there just

> > >to talk, often as much as possible, to as many people as possible. Busi-
> > >ness or military comms are short and to the point.
> > >
> > >I know the regulars get tired of reading this example, but it is a per-
> > >fect example of why NOT to "freeband". Some years ago, I was acting
> > >as communications officer for Civil Air Patrol on a live search for a
> > >light plane that disappeared during a storm. We planned to use a freq-
> > >uency near 11 meters which is a USAF frequency assigned to CAP. Like
> > >many rescue agencies, both civilian and military, we use our assigned
> > >frequencies so as to separate various kinds of traffic, take advantage
> > >of atmospherics (time of day), and keep from "interfering" with our-
> > >selves--air to ground on one freq., mobile to base on another, and yet
> > >another for "incidental comms" such as flight line, safety, or even
> > >sending out for food for the searchers. Another reason for choosing the
> > >low power 26 MHZ band was to prevent news hounds, ambulance chasers,
> > >and even possibly the family of the pilot from hearing adverse news via
> > >scanner. *Most* scanners don't deal too well with SSB. We quickly dis-
> > >covered that there was a "freebander" on that frequency calling, "BREAK,
> > >BREAK, skipland", etc with a linear that made it difficult or impossible
> > >to use this frequency forcing a lot of incidental traffic onto VHF. I
> > >was LIVID! There was NO excuse for this person operating on that freq-
> > >uency whatsoever. He was interfering with an active USAF authorized
> > >mission, a real emergency where a life was hanging in the balance. In
> > >the following week after the mission was suspended, I began to DF this
> > >pirate, determined to put a stop to his outlaw operations. One evening,
> > >I happened to be in a nearby town, and absently switched on one of my
> > >radios in the car not expecting to hear anything. The guy was pegging
> > >my meter and almost busted my speaker. On my way out of town, I made
> > >a screeching U-turn and went back into town, stopping at the town PD to
> > >ask if they recognized that voice. Yup, they knew the fella and where he
> > >lived. I drove to his house and confirmed the location. Outlaw was
> > >reported to FCC field office, and was busted for out-of-band operation
> > >AND interfering with emergency communications--a serious Federal
> > >offense. Betcha he got tired of eating beans from a .10 can!
> > >
> > >There IS no excuse for operating on unauthorized frequencies. You might
> > >*think* these freqs are unoccupied and that the agencies thereto assign-
> > >ed are "non-essential". That is not for individuals to decide. Just
> > >because *you* don't hear anyone doesn't mean they aren't there and that
> > >there is no traffic being passed that you could hinder.
> > >
> > >Finally, let's say I, or you, rent/lease a piece of property with a nice
> > >lake on it. Intending to do a little fishing, you stock that lake with
> > >a variety of game fish--bass, crappy, catfish, perch, or whatever. You
> > >are busy and don't get out to your lake real often, but one day you
> > >decide it would be a good day to go fishing; remember, it's "your" lake
> > >by virtue of your lease to use as you see fit within the guidelines of
> > >your agreement with the owner. When you arrive, you discover a large
> > >group of people on your property, at YOUR lake, catching the fish YOU
> > >stocked--in spite of the fact there are No Trespassing signs all over-
> > >even right where the trespassers are fishing. I'd be angry and I'd bring
> > >charges against each and every one that trespassed on my land. It
> > >doesn't make any difference if I don't come to the lake every day, it

> > >is STILL property assigned to me by virtue of rent or lease and nobody
> > >has any business on it without permission.
> > >
> > >"Freebanders" don't have "permission" (license) to operate on frequen-
> > >cies outside the 11 meter band. They are trespassing just as surely
> > >as those people I cited in the above paragraph. And when they DO tres-
> > >pass, it pisses off those who are legitimately assigned said frequencies
> > >for their exclusive use and it don't make ANY difference if they "visit"
> > >(use) their "property" (frequency) once a minute or once a year--the
> > >"freebanders" are trespassing just as surely as if they just walked into
> > >your/my house uninvited. There is no respect due, and none forthcoming
> > >for trespassers, "freebanders", or outlaw radio ops.
> > >
> > >Jerry
> > >K4KWH
> > >
> > >
> > >Bob wrote:
> > >>
> > >> Ok, Mr. Letter of the Law.
> > >>
> > >> And I bet you ALWAYS obey the maximum posted speed limit. If you wanted
> to speed,
> > >> you would take the right approach to speeding legally - because, hey
> man, you do
> > >> EVERYTHING right. You would put your mind to becoming a race car driver
> and do your
> > >> speeding on the track where it belongs. You would follow the proper
> steps to
> > >> becoming a race car driver:
> > >>
> > >> 1. Learn to ride a unicycle, because that's how it all started, man.
> Our
> > >> forefather's rode unicycles before fast cars were around, so must you
> learn.
> > >> 2. Learn everything there is to know about cars - including memorizing
> the answers
> > >> to questions about walking, unicycles, horse and carriage, boats,
> planes, etc.
> > >> 3. If you skip the step on learning to ride a unicycle, prepare to be
> chastised by
> > >> old-timers that had to learn how to ride it and by some who love the
> unicycle and
> > >> can ride it at over 20 mph! BTW - learning to ride the unicycle keeps
> bad people
> > >> from becoming race car drivers.
> > >> 4. Prepare to spend some money. Your standard car won't do - it doesn't
> fit race
> > >> car specs! And every race track requires a different car and tire
> combination.
> > >>
> > >> Is becoming a race car driver what you should do because you like to
> take those 15

```
> > >> turns of Bear Mountain road at an faster than the posted speed? Well,
> ham radio IS
> > >> NOT a replacement for the "freeband" either. Ham radio doesn't fit
> everybody. Maybe
> > >> its a bit of an extreme commitment for some. Hey, I can operate my
> equipment and
> > >> make sure that it complies with the same rules the FCC mandates for
> hams. I can
> > >> operate it responsibly. I can operate it courteously . I can use the
> minimum amount
> > >> of power necessary for a contact.
> > >>
> > >> Still, is it illegal to operate between 27.405 - 28.000Mhz ? Yes. Is it
> immoral? A
> > >> crime against humanity? Absolutely not. To me - speeding in your car is
> a far more
> > >> worse crime.
> > >>
> > >> BTW, I do not condone operating on ham bands or intentionally
> interfering with any
> > >> service that I am aware of. Yes, I know there are (very few, non
> essential)
> > >> services allocated between 27.405 and 28Mhz. I support operations on
> 27.405 -
> > >> 27.855 Mhz that follow the same regulations that hams follow.
> > >>
> > >> Hopefully this metaphor can crack the thick skull of the "yes, but it's
> illegal,
> > >> yes, but it's illegal, yes, but it's illegal" ham. This is issue isn't
> black and
> > >> white.
> > >>
> > >> Bob.
> > >
> > >> > >
> >



From 2868473728183963122
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 18:57:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net> <9d3b8512rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
<NNhJ6.2538$TC4.277490@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcxmi72.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
```

```
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Lines: 81
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <JhnJ6.4840$TC4.554108@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace:
9mmuQ9xlLvzgSMl8KxexbP0nP0SOfOjHjC3mrDa2H2Ngs1ohjjG9lv0zcpfODGNsu4p+I7OVdG/t!
9fxCy591kl+QuTcnpFajRIxW0g6QBP4dhbSyHq9zz3NZMNP64nKFeJ3fsPbmbMwNprZX7Gf7GZyu!Cna+/
94cKA==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 01:56:57 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 01:56:57 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15842
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21190

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gcxmi72.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On Sun, 06 May 2001 19:41:34 GMT, W6DKN wrote:
>
> >It could be similar to the programs used by police where they get a
piece
> >of the proceeds from each drug bust where cash and/or property is
> >confiscated. Since many of the offenders that would be caught would also
be
> >in possession of radio equipment that could be of value to legitimate
radio
> >services, this equipment could confiscated and auctioned off to licensed
> >operators. The proceeds of these auctions would then be added to the
> >enforcement fund to help pay for more apprehensions.
>
>   Much as I support your good thoughts, when the enforcers get a
>   "slice of the action", "the action" is open to widespead abuses, as
>   we have seen in the so-called "drug war".
>

That's why I suggested that the payment of any monies be controlled by an
independent review authority on a case by case basis - the civilian
enforcement fund management.

>   The FCC has always prided itself on being "squeaky clean" and making
>   short shrift of its own folks who didn't want to be so, and I
>   for one would rather see it continue that way.
>
```

I agee. Still, if implemented correctly, the plan could work to everyone's (except the illegal operator's) advantage. I only spent five minutes thinking about it. With some real effort and expertise I'm sure a workable system could be put together.

> >(and once a search warrant was executed I wouldn't be too surprised if
they
> >found drugs, stolen property, etc. during the search that could also be
> >confiscated - and would generate additional criminal charges for the
> >suspect)
>
>   According to U S criminal law, that could only happen if the
>   contraband was in plain sight or in a place where one could expect
>   to find the items specified in the warrant. The U S Marshals do that
>   sort of search today.
>

Very true. However, in my past law enforcement experiences, it is usually the case that TV's, VCR's, stereo equipment, etc. are displayed in plain sight - stolen and legal stuff is often on the same shelf. And many of the druggies leave their stash and paraphenalia lying right on a coffee table or nightstand. Once one piece of stolen property - or a stash of dope - is found, a quick call to a judge (while still at the suspect's residence) and a telephonic extended search warrant will be issued.

>   Contraband is contraband - it is material that cannot be resold
>   to others. Again, seizing stuff for resale leads to "dirty
>   opportunities" when the enforcement agency gets a slice of the
>   action. That's why the FCC is ordered by the court to destroy all
>   equipment seized on its behalf by the U S Marshal Service.

True, but as I understand it "contraband" is stuff that is illeagal to own by anybody. Things like fake Rolex watches, elephant tusks, tiger skins, etc. However, things which are otherwise lawful to own by the proper persons (like radio equipment) are often auctioned off by various police agencies every few years when they clean out their property rooms. Even firearms once used in a crime are sometimes re-sold to licensed dealers.

And, according to my suggestion, the proceeds of these auctions would go directly to the civilian enforcement fund administrator. Only later, on a case by case review basis, would the fund administrator release any of the funds back to the enforcement agency.

>
> --
>   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

73 de W6DKN

```
From -1857954732384991731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 20:01:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Subject: Restructuring class shifts
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 23:00:52 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 03:00:52 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21191
```

Some interesting numbers starting to show from the restructuring.

Using figures from the 12 month period ending April 30, 2001 here are the trends.

The Amateur Service gained a net of 5,413 licensees.

The General thru Extra classes gained 29,419 licensees.

The Tech/+/Novice classes lost 24,006 licensees.

As you'd expect, all the legacy classes (Novice, Tech+, Advanced) lost licensees.

Tech gained 20,354 hams (+9.9%)
General gained 25,177 hams (+22.6%)
Extra gained 18,114 hams (+23.4%)

Expirations last two months (a measure of who is interested enough in the Amateur Service to send in the paperwork to renew their license) show an alarming trend in the lower classes of license, with lapses due expiration exceeding the higher classes by a factor of almost 3.5 to 1. What can be done to turn this trend around?

Extra	198	expired
Advanced	312	expired
General	495	expired
Total	1,005	

Tech +	1,079	expired

Tech	1,050	expired
Novice	1,266	expired
Total	3,395	

73, Hans, K0HB

From -7572935191976547539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 20:42:32 PST
Message-ID: <3AF6195B.A9B7E09B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 22:41:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
References: <3AF5F504.5A399F25@bob.com> <20010506215006.27681.00002118@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.80
X-Trace: corp 989206489 64.240.47.80 (6 May 2001 22:34:49 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21192

KC2HMN wrote:

> bob@bob.com wrote:
> >Like it or not, CBers out number amateurs easily. You can find more people
> >operating at any one given moment between 26.555 - 28.000 than you'll find on
> >all
> >the HF ham bands put together.
> >It would take an incredible amount of time and money to clean up that mess.
>
> Cecil,
> You are applying two principles here that are commonly ignored when dealing
> with such passionate issues.

Not me. Bob@bob.com wrote that, not I.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5411229876413491543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 21:20:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: 7 May 2001 03:28:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9d54oq12ae4@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
<vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net> <3AF60170.1865AC19@dirtball.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-327.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15846
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21193

In article <3AF60170.1865AC19@dirtball.com>, oris@dirtball.com says...
>
>What part of "Thou shalt not kill" did the ATF and FBI didn't understand at
>Ruby Ridge and WACO!

Two wrongs. 'Nuff said.

From 8145335575062464308
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 21:20:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 7 May 2001 03:23:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9d54fj02ae4@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <9d3anq02rds@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010506211055.18644.00002507@nso-fc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-290.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21194

In article <20010506211055.18644.00002507@nso-fc.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...

>Hmmm--let's see -- that quote was from the episode "Return of the Archons."
>I believe that was episode number 22, original airdate Feb. 9, 1967, and
>"stardate" 3156.2.
>
>>That probably went right over Larry's head. Anyone unfamiliar with Star Trek
>>wouldn't know what you were talking about.
>
>My only response: ROFLMAO!!! I clearly remember sitting at my TV set the
>first time I heard the mysterious opening notes of the theme, and then heard
>William Shatner utter those marvelous words, "Space...The Final Frontier."
>I've been boldly going where no man has gone before ever since. I'm pretty
>sure I've seen every episode of Star Trek and all of it's spin-off series many
>times, and will see them many more times. If you're looking for something
>to trip me up on, you'd better pick something else!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

I would have never pegged you as being a Trekkie. At least you watch one of the only two decent shows that network television ever produced. M*A*S*H being the other one. BTW, that's "decent" in the sense that they actually made people think while entertaining them.

```
From -8595002326386034355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 21:21:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: 7 May 2001 03:35:58 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9d556u22ae4@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-390.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15847
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21195
```

In article <3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com says...

```
>So you ALWAYS drove 55 when the national speedlimit
>was 55?  That 55 farce was instituted in 1973 to save fuel during
>an oil crisis but the lamebrains in DC failed to set an expiration
>for it and it took years before the safety nazis lost their
>stranglehold on the legislators to finally end the 55 NHSL
>only a few years ago.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
```

Most of the "Safety Nazis" were/are highway patrol men and women who see the 55 MPH limit as a great way to generate revenue through fines. The evidence that a crash at 65 MPH is less survivable than a crash at 55 MPH is anecdotal at best.

```
From 6042627733090106088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 21:56:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.abs.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: RanHefner <ranNOSPAMhefner@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 7 May 2001 01:13:30 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9d4srq$3ss$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3AF47113.567ABF1A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 989198010 3996 205.139.138.14 (7 May 2001 01:13:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 May 2001 01:13:30 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.misc
X-NewsOnePostHost: 204.96.8.2
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 204.96.8.2
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15848
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21196

So now the question is...Who determines which laws are good or evil and by this which do I "ignore" and which do I obey.

If I determine that grand theft-auto is an evil law, do I have to right to ignore that law and steal your car?

In article <3AF47113.567ABF1A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>I don't advocate breaking them, Dwight, I just ignore them
>as if they didn't exist because they are evil and irrational.
>I've never been arrested or convicted for a misdemeanor or
>a felony so it has worked for me for 63 years.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts

made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From 2617785064246856620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 22:00:40 PST
Message-ID: <3AF60C45.3B9F0700@ovis.net>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 02:45:25 +0000
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3AF3F3C5.A85BEE76@ovis.net> <20010505141513.02492.00000490@nso-mk.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: s1.pm1.ovis.net
X-Trace: 6 May 2001 23:40:23 -0400, s1.pm1.ovis.net
Lines: 58
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.cwix.com!pullfeed!pluto.ovis.net!s1.pm1.ovis.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21197

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AF3F3C5.A85BEE76@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>
> >Are you now speaking for everyone with professional involvement in communications, Len or is this particular quixotic rant the product of your mind only?
>
> An off-the-cuff poll can be taken by anyone who is IN the radio-electronics industry as to the "pioneering" of radio by amateurs, or of any of the recent or post-1920s "advancement of the state of the radio art" by amateurs. Heil will not like the results.

How do you know I wouldn't like the results? You don't have any data, haven't taken any such poll and speak solely from your own emotion. You are also the first to chastise anyone for presuming to speak for a group. Why not just say, "I think..." instead of leading us down the merry path?

> Heil doesn't realize his own attitude towards anyone not "credentialled" as a "qualified" amateur is at the heart of such antagonism.

Heil realizes that you have a personal problem with any radio amateur who doesn't kow tow to you because of your professional credentials.

> >You don't need to return to yesteryear.
>
> Thank the Lord for that! There's far more interest among the
> professionals for the existing state of the art of radio and
> progressing further. There's no need to regress and remain
> at the standards and practices of the 1930s.
>
> >How about if you just pass one of today's exams?
>
> Heil has no valid rejoinder on the subject so he falls back
> on the one-note mantra of insisting that all should do as
> he did: Pass an exam to be "qualified" as an amateur so
> that he can attempt being "superior" in front of lesser (in
> his eyes) mortals.

Do as I did? Hardly! Just go out and pass any current exam--even the
Technician. If you'd like HF access, go for the WHOLE 5 wpm. You don't
have to return to yesteryear, just come up with a ticket by meeting
today's requirements. It's gotta be a snap for a smooth professional
such as yourself. You did want to join the ranks of radio amateurs did
you not? I say this because you have a long history here of letting
hams know how things should be. You constantly post material in an
amateur radio newsgroup so I would think that many assume that you have
some interest in becoming a radio amateur. Otherwise, why the fixation?

> BTW, is there any truth to Heil writing a reveiw of Black's
> Law Dictionary for the Federal Communications Law
> Journal?

Dunno. You started the rumor. Why not write an outcome for it as well?

Dave K8MN

From -8447668858784299466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 22:04:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Date: 7 May 2001 05:04:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21198

K0HB wrote:
>   What can be done
> to turn this trend around?

Maybe include a free Extra ticket in each box of Cocoa Puffs, along
with a certificate for a year's free ARRL membership and a $25 rebate
form for a ARRl handbook?

From -1738887941440270285
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 22:05:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Lines: 124
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <u2qJ6.8388$kA2.652327@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 05:05:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.231
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989211930 12.75.102.231 (Mon, 07 May 2001 05:05:30 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 05:05:30 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21199

"K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message
news:EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com...
> Some interesting numbers starting to show from the restructuring.
>
> Using figures from the 12 month period ending April 30, 2001 here are the
> trends.
>
> The Amateur Service gained a net of 5,413 licensees.
>

> The General thru Extra classes gained 29,419 licensees.
>
> The Tech/+/Novice classes lost 24,006 licensees.
>
> As you'd expect, all the legacy classes (Novice, Tech+, Advanced) lost
> licensees.
>
> Tech gained 20,354 hams (+9.9%)
> General gained 25,177 hams (+22.6%)
> Extra gained 18,114 hams (+23.4%)
>
> Expirations last two months (a measure of who is interested enough in the
> Amateur Service to send in the paperwork to renew their license) show an
> alarming trend in the lower classes of license, with lapses due expiration
> exceeding the higher classes by a factor of almost 3.5 to 1. What can be
done
> to turn this trend around?
>
> Extra   198 expired
> Advanced 312 expired
> General   495 expired
> Total   1,005
>
>
> Tech +   1,079 expired
> Tech   1,050 expired
> Novice   1,266 expired
> Total   3,395
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>

Remember two things, Hans. Pluses are being renewed as Techs and have been for a while. And there are a fair number of grace period renewals each month so it is not a total loss. In April, 1510 renewals which may also be from May and June expirations were issued against the 2100 expirations among the now-merged Tech classes. Viewed in total, more licenses were renewed in April than expired in April. This will probably happen again in May with a big July coming up -- you almost need a delayed four-month moving series to make any sense of these. I could not save my spreadsheet when I had to blow up my system to get rid of Earthlink.

So while 1266 Novice tickets expired, 284 renewed or 22.5%. The interesting thing here is that it is that high. Just who in hell renews a Novice given the alternative to take the trivial Tech exam or for that matter the General?? And 134 changed their address in the two months so it would appear they will be with us for a while for reasons I find difficult to fathom.

Try this on for size. In a given month, licenses over a four month period are available for renewal so we will compute a Renewal Base composed of half of the current month, the next two months, and half of the month that comes into the 90-day window and divide the whole shooting match by three because each month appears three times. Novices only

Month	Renewed	Expired	Base	Renewal Pct
Jan	140	535	605	23.1
Feb	198	648	627	31.6
Mar	138	535	587	23.5
Apr	146	731	510	28.6
May		583		
June		357		
July		750		
Totals	622		2379	26.1

Your guess is as good as mine.

The calculation of the base is the problem so let us look at the situation at the end of April.

Month	Expiry	Renewed@4/30	PCT	%of Ultimate
Apr	731	223	30.5	100.0
May	583	126	21.6	70.8
June	357	64	17.9	58.6
July	750	72	9.6	31.5

So it appears that July is about a third along even tho it was not available for renewal for the whole month of April, June has been around for half its time but is already 2/3 to ultimate, May has been around for 75 of its 90 days but is only 3/4 complete, and April caught up by doing another third of its renewals in the last 15 days. Glorioski

So my weightings in the base should be near .29, .12, .27, and .32 for the four months based on a totally inadequate sample.

Now you can look at expiration month of 2001 vs 2011 to get a renewal ratio.

Month	expired01	expires11	pct
Jan	535	144	26.9
Feb	648	164	25.3
Mar	535	145	27.1
Apr	731	223	30.5
Total	2449	676	27.6

See the fun you can have with the numbers? Still doesn't explain the renewal of a Novice ticket at all.

This is the kind of thing insurance companies do all the time. But when I did it, it was on a 3090 six pack and I didn't punch the data.

Get yourself a get-up and be a statistician, too.

Dick N�BK

From -15474021514136264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 22:06:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: 7 May 2001 05:06:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9d5ags$frt$1@208.206.142.67>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net> <oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net> <3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net> <3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com> <9d556u22ae4@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15849 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21200

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> says...
>
> >So you ALWAYS drove 55 when the national speedlimit
> >was 55? That 55 farce was instituted in 1973 to save fuel during
> >an oil crisis but the lamebrains in DC failed to set an expiration
> >for it and it took years before the safety nazis lost their
> >stranglehold on the legislators to finally end the 55 NHSL
> >only a few years ago.

```
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Bill K2UNK
>
> Most of the "Safety Nazis" were/are highway patrol men and women who see the 55
> MPH limit as a great way to generate revenue through fines. The evidence that a
> crash at 65 MPH is less survivable than a crash at 55 MPH is anecdotal at best.


 T L, I always thought you were an idiot, and you just proved it.


From 6569707099699068136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 01:07:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 04:08:29 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <3AF657EC.C9CA670@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>   <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<9cr4ob$au2$0@pita.alt.net> <3AF2279E.8FCB157D@sccoast.net>
<lGxI6.1166$Hk.110613@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<WbyI6.1287$Hk.118832@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<dHyI6.206$M6.37515@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF3886A.22100343@sccoast.net>
<BLeJ6.84$Kb.18736@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989222877 310783 207.144.227.157 (7 May 2001 08:07:57
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 08:07:57 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21202

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> [snip] At most, I used that statement as a
> lighthearted reason to feel "justified" in
> asking you for references--i.e., I was not
> trying to establish an argument.

And no argument whatsoever intended. Your message just provided me an opportunity to rant at those who constantly demand proof for everything said in these newsgroups.

> [snip] I'm glad you are one of few who can
> recognize that the hugest part of this NG
> is nothing more than opnion--although many,
> in an attempt to denegrade and insult those
> with whom they choose to disagree, also
> choose to validate themselves in some sort
> of defense hidden in factual references
> they cannot provide.

Kim, you're being too kind - the largest part of this newsgroup, and most others, is absolute BS. Occasionally you might see some really interesting stuff (well written and well thought out), but one has to wade through a lot of garbage to find it.

> [snip] Rather than try to collect the data
> myself and make a feeble attempt at
> correlating it--maybe there is a good
> reference "out there" somewhere that has
> the information.

Good luck. There is a lot of stuff out there about drugs, on all sides of the issue. Digging through all that can be difficult at best. The book I mentioned is actually pretty dull (most college library books seem to be). It had a chapter about drugs - mostly basic discussion with the occasional fact or figure thrown in. In fact, the chart I used to write that earlier message was about the best there was in this book.

> I think I'd love to perview some of them--
> if you'd care to point me in the right
> direction.

Too late. Classes ended last week and my wife has already taken all those books back to the library. Is there a college in your home town? You may want to check if they allow non-students to check out books (some do - this one does). If so, I'm sure you'll find a good selection on the subject.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -2034562139808483902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 01:58:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 04:59:24 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3AF663CC.9C6B7EB@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989225927 307047 207.144.227.157 (7 May 2001 08:58:47
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 08:58:47 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21203

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >   When looking at national policy, you
> > can't just look at personal health care
> > costs, Jim. There are also social and
> > economic issues to consider. In other
> > words, it is not just a matter of what
> > you put into your body, but also how
> > your body affects the rest of society
> > as a whole. You do not live alone -
> > you live in a world filled with people
> > affected in one way or another by
> > everything you do.
>
> In other words, you believe a person's life
> belongs to the state, not to the person.

No, I don't believe that, Cecil. I said exactly what I believe.

> So have all the other socialists and
> fascists down through history.

The fascist is usually the first person to call someone a fascist in an effort to stifle the expression of opposing views. Luckily, most are smart enough today not to fall for such nonsense.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6461072286159071412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 02:12:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
newsfeed.infoave.net.MISMATCH!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 05:12:22 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>

References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net>
<3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989226714 312000 207.144.227.157 (7 May 2001 09:11:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 09:11:54 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21204

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> You can make exactly the same argument
> concerning guns, Dick. The gun takes
> the person over and makes him do evil
> things.

That is absolutely silly, Cecil. Unlike drugs, a gun does not have any chemical effect on the brain or body.

> [snip] Why not hold the individual
> responsible instead of the object or
> substance?

We already do hold the individual responsible for the illegal use of the substance.

> [snip] Punish criminals for actual
> crimes. Do not punish free citizens
> because they *might* commit an actual

> crime.

Illegal drug use is an actual crime.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 8031495085875786l8
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 02:12:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
howland.erols.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<3af5f3db.2766643@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 7
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <wCtJ6.36147$2_.12329513@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 09:08:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989226524 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 07 May 2001 02:08:44
PDT)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 02:08:44 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22727
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8654 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2545
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2440 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12873
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21205 rec.radio.cb:32344

> Additionally, speed limits in many places are arbitrarily set
> low. The USA population routinely exceeds highway speed
> limits and the police don't care one bit.

SO speeding tickets are given out just to be mean?

From 6632542163325100760
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 02:15:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 09:11:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989226702 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 07 May 2001 02:11:42
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 02:11:42 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22728
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8655 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2546
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2441 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12874
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21206 rec.radio.cb:32345

> And for most people, they are speeding just staying with
> the flow of traffic on American highways.  Interstae 80 in NJ
> is 55 for 30 miles before NYC.  The AVERAGE flow of traffic
> is usually 65/70 or higher.  Anyone doing 55 is (or should
> be) in the extreme right lane.

See thats what people don't understand a speed limit is a maximum not a
minimum.

It should go like this

55    <55    <<55

not

>>55    >55    55

People hitting 70+ should be the ones getting off teh road and having their
heads checked.




From -2362837382801258695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 02:15:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
```

```
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com> <9d556u22ae4@enews4.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <SItJ6.36151$2_.12333947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 09:15:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989226930 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 07 May 2001 02:15:30
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 02:15:30 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15850
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21207

Its all about kinetic energy.  The fact that people don't leave the correct
spacing etc etc etc ... Most peopl only leave about 25m between cars at
100kmh ... its simply not enough to stop in ... regardless of hos fast you
believe your "reaction time" to be.

--
Rob St.Denis

"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9d556u22ae4@enews4.newsguy.com...
> In article <3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> says...
>
> >So you ALWAYS drove 55 when the national speedlimit
> >was 55?  That 55 farce was instituted in 1973 to save fuel during
> >an oil crisis but the lamebrains in DC failed to set an expiration
> >for it and it took years before the safety nazis lost their
> >stranglehold on the legislators to finally end the 55 NHSL
> >only a few years ago.
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Bill K2UNK
>
> Most of the "Safety Nazis" were/are highway patrol men and women who see
the 55
> MPH limit as a great way to generate revenue through fines. The evidence
that a
> crash at 65 MPH is less survivable than a crash at 55 MPH is anecdotal at
best.
>
```

```
From -722476058901896870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 02:17:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 05:18:24 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3AF66838.45F45CD2@sccoast.net>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989227062 312000 207.144.227.157 (7 May 2001 09:17:42
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 09:17:42 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15851
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21208
```

Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> So you ALWAYS drove 55 when the national
> speedlimit was 55? [snip]

If you read back over the previous messages, you will see that I stated a few messages ago that I'm not talking about minor laws like speeding and spitting on the sidewalk.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 3834365957105196827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 02:17:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
<vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net>
<9ceJ6.27806$2_.10082893@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF5A231.643087EB@IEEE.org>
<aOkJ6.33319$2_.11051428@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF5F43C.3714888@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <7LtJ6.36153$2_.12335753@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 09:17:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989227075 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 07 May 2001 02:17:55
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 02:17:55 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15852
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21209

He is already caught ... if he kills other inmates who cares ...
surprisingly con's aren't the greatest people to begin with anyways

--
Rob St.Denis

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AF5F43C.3714888@IEEE.org...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > > Rob, are you about 12 years old? If the choice is to kill or be
killed,
> > > which would you choose? Wouldn't it be better for a good guy to kill
> > > a bad guy than for a bad guy to kill a good guy, and then kill another
> > > good guy, and then kill another good guy, and ...
> >
> > Surprisingly the only people he'd kill in prison  would be other
criminals
> > ... how is that bad ?
>
> What makes you think he would get caught?
```

> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8846443536780909359
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 02:19:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
<vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net> <3AF60170.1865AC19@dirtball.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 8
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <gMtJ6.36154$2_.12336463@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 09:19:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989227148 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 07 May 2001 02:19:08
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 02:19:08 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15853
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21210
```

> What part of "Thou shalt not kill" did the ATF and FBI didn't understand
at
> Ruby Ridge and WACO!

Loud music and cs gas didnt kill anyone ... now stop drinking that red juice
your cult leader keeps giving you and get some serious mental help

```
From 3926502910513614863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 02:20:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 09:16:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989226987 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 07 May 2001 02:16:27
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 02:16:27 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22729
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8656 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2547
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2442 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12875
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21211 rec.radio.cb:32346
```

Just because there are a lot of idiots out there that do it doesn't make it right!

--
Rob St.Denis

"Walt Davidson" <walt@f1racing.co.uk> wrote in message
news:ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 07 May 2001 01:05:50 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
> wrote:
>
> >And for most people, they are speeding just staying with
> >the flow of traffic on American highways. Interstae 80 in NJ
> >is 55 for 30 miles before NYC. The AVERAGE flow of traffic
> >is usually 65/70 or higher. Anyone doing 55 is (or should
> >be) in the extreme right lane.
>
> The speed limit on most UK motorways is 70 mph. If you drive at 70
> mph even in the center lane, you have people tailgating you, flashing
> their headlights and sounding their horn. Eventually they will
> overtake you on the wrong side. The average speed on motorways is
> probably 85 mph.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson   Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

From 4669158606805510295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 02:49:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 May 2001 09:49:07 GMT
References: <5NkJ6.33311$2_.11049073@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010507054907.29451.00002041@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21212

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 5/6/01 6:05 PM Central Daylight Time

>Paramedics are not from the correctional services.

If ignorance is bliss, you must be one very happy young man. Many US correctional facilities do indeed utilized BOTH paramedics and nurses.

Even if for only one day, I was one. I "worked" for a company called "Correctional Corporation of America" at their Silverdale facility in Chattanooga, TN. UNTIL I had a chance to see just how they "saved" the taxpayers money.

Try again, Rob.

73

Steve

From -3287495476750435923
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 02:53:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 May 2001 09:53:09 GMT
References: <aOkJ6.33319$2_.11051428@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010507055309.29451.00002042@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21213

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 5/6/01 6:06 PM Central Daylight Time

>Surprisingly the only people he'd kill in prison would be other criminals
>... how is that bad ?

First of all, can you guarantee me 100% that every person in prison is really guilty?

And that ALL of the crimes committed by those incarcerated would be of such a nature that if they were killed, society would be better off without them?

Sure...rapists, child molesters, etc..But some guy who cheated on his taxes or got caught embezzling some money is worthy of the death penalty?

Try again, Rob....

From -3696724485462522064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 02:55:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 May 2001 09:55:18 GMT
References: <7LtJ6.36153$2_.12335753@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010507055518.29451.00002043@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21214

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 5/7/01 4:17 AM Central Daylight Time

>He is already caught ... if he kills other inmates who cares ...
>surprisingly con's aren't the greatest people to begin with anyways

And of course EVERY person convicted of a crime is worthy of being murdered in prison...?!?!

EVERY person in prison is, without a doubt, 100% guilty...?!?!

Try again, Rob.

From -3695235260983199499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 02:59:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 May 2001 09:59:00 GMT
References: <3af5ee29.1308600@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010507055900.29451.00002044@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21215

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>Date: 5/6/01 7:38 PM Central Daylight Time

>The burden of proof in a criminal case is, as you probably know,
>"beyond a reasonable doubt." In the civial courts, the
>burden is much less... i.e. by a preponderance of the
>evidence

Still does not negate the fact that if ONE court finds you innocent, there should be NO fear of some other court finding you "guilty" and punish you anyway. "Not Guilty" in a CRIMINAL court, of which the stricter rules of evidence weigh, should be sufficient.

That it is NOT that way is evident...just my opinion.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -6231782944548397708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 03:05:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 May 2001 10:04:48 GMT
References: <3AF60C45.3B9F0700@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010507060448.29451.00002046@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21216

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@ovis.net

>Date: 5/6/01 9:45 PM Central Daylight Time

>You did want to join the ranks of radio amateurs did
>you not? I say this because you have a long history here of letting
>hams know how things should be.

The problem with this, Dave, is then he would be obligated to walk the walk of all his own talk, and I don't think he's capable of it in any event.

>You constantly post material in an amateur radio newsgroup so I would >think that many assume that you have some interest in becoming a >radio amateur. Otherwise, why the fixation?

The keyboard is the only thing he can pound on and find any satisfaction, Dave.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 8144654310949368838
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 03:40:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 10:40:14 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3af67b44.494838@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af5ee29.1308600@news.mindspring.com>
<20010507055900.29451.00002044@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.fb
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 10:40:45 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21217
```

On 07 May 2001 09:59:00 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>>Date: 5/6/01 7:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>
>>The burden of proof in a criminal case is, as you probably know,
>>"beyond a reasonable doubt." In the civial courts, the
>>burden is much less... i.e. by a preponderance of the
>>evidence
>
>   Still does not negate the fact that if ONE court finds you innocent, there
>should be NO fear of some other court finding you "guilty" and punish you
>anyway. "Not Guilty" in a CRIMINAL court, of which the stricter rules of
>evidence weigh, should be sufficient.
>
>   That it is NOT that way is evident...just my opinion.

That it is NOT that way is by design. There is nothing
new, unusual or of significance that changes that.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6108173098597163616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 03:46:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 10:42:49 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises

Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.fb
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 10:43:22 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22731
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8657 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2548
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2443 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12876
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21218 rec.radio.cb:32347

On Mon, 07 May 2001 09:16:27 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>Just because there are a lot of idiots out there that do it doesn't make it
>right!

Not idiots...just common evryday people that recognize BAD
law when they see it and act accordingly.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

>--
>Rob St.Denis
>
>"Walt Davidson" <walt@f1racing.co.uk> wrote in message
>news:ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com...
>> On Mon, 07 May 2001 01:05:50 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>> wrote:
>>
>> >And for most people, they are speeding just staying with
>> >the flow of traffic on American highways. Interstae 80 in NJ
>> >is 55 for 30 miles before NYC. The AVERAGE flow of traffic
>> >is usually 65/70 or higher. Anyone doing 55 is (or should
>> >be) in the extreme right lane.
>>
>> The speed limit on most UK motorways is 70 mph. If you drive at 70
>> mph even in the center lane, you have people tailgating you, flashing
>> their headlights and sounding their horn. Eventually they will
>> overtake you on the wrong side. The average speed on motorways is
>> probably 85 mph.
>>
>> 73 de G3NYY

```
>>
>> --
>> Walt Davidson                      Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com
>
>



From -1428689369128462678
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 03:47:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: helmsman <>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 06:41:03 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <ejucftc884a82olkuf5vaqpnggklgq54po@4ax.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<3af5f3db.2766643@news.mindspring.com>
<wCtJ6.36147$2_.12329513@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.d0.d2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 10:41:04 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22732
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8658 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2549
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2444 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12877
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21219 rec.radio.cb:32348

On Mon, 07 May 2001 09:08:44 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>> Additionally, speed limits in many places are arbitrarily set
>> low.  The USA population routinely exceeds highway speed
>> limits and the police don't care one bit.
>
>SO speeding tickets are given out just to be mean?
>

No it's for revenue enhansment. The cities need the money and most
cities use "traffic" for generating money as a lot of it isn't paid
for by residents. GOD help you if you violate in Royal Oak,Mi as they
have a brand new court bldg that is NOT paid for! Royal Oak police
allowed a video to be made once and it was broadcast, the sargent is
giving the beat cops hell so they will PRODUCE more. $$$


.
Help cure Cancer via Distributed Computing.
It costs you nothing.
http://www.ud.com/home.htm
====================================
http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman



From 3118857089107040757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 03:50:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 10:45:27 GMT
```

Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.fb
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 10:46:01 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22733
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8659 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2550
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2445 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12878
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21220 rec.radio.cb:32349

On Mon, 07 May 2001 09:11:42 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>> And for most people, they are speeding just staying with
>> the flow of traffic on American highways. Interstae 80 in NJ
>> is 55 for 30 miles before NYC. The AVERAGE flow of traffic
>> is usually 65/70 or higher. Anyone doing 55 is (or should
>> be) in the extreme right lane.
>
>See thats what people don't understand a speed limit is a maximum not a
>minimum.
>
>It should go like this
>
>55   <55   <<55
>
>not
>
>>>55   >55   55
>
>People hitting 70+ should be the ones getting off teh road and having their
>heads checked.

WHY? Do you believe for one second that the speed
limits on American highways are realistic? Do they have
any resemblemce to reality. Is 70 mph across Kansas
logical when there is visibility to the horizon, light traffic
and not a bend in the load for miles ahead?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 6655248373228149137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 03:50:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!
rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 10:46:21 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3af67cd1.891932@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<3af5f3db.2766643@news.mindspring.com>
<wCtJ6.36147$2_.12329513@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.fb
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 10:46:56 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22734
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8660 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2551
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2446 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12879
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21221 rec.radio.cb:32350

On Mon, 07 May 2001 09:08:44 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>> Additionally, speed limits in many places are arbitrarily set
>> low.  The USA population routinely exceeds highway speed
>> limits and the police don't care one bit.
>
```

>SO speeding tickets are given out just to be mean?

More to just make $$$$ for the gov't entity involved.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6570973035488268250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 04:02:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: helmsman <>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 06:58:11 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <7mvcft8pffd6i5hb7sjulbftjlt0d3nv5i@4ax.com>
References: <oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.d0.d2
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 10:58:12 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548

```
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22736
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8661 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2552
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2447 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12880
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21223 rec.radio.cb:32351

On Mon, 07 May 2001 10:45:27 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
wrote:
>
>WHY?  Do you believe for one second that the speed
>limits on American highways are realistic?  Do they have
>any resemblemce to reality.  Is 70 mph across Kansas
>logical when there is visibility to the horizon, light traffic
>and not a bend in the load for miles ahead?
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

The intrastate highways were engineered for 70 mph even in the city,
and I do miss the days of "no speed limit zone". Problem is with fuel
economy, doing away with frames, lawyers and millions of idiots who
can't control there machine at speed.


.
Help cure Cancer via Distributed Computing.
It costs you nothing.
http://www.ud.com/home.htm
====================================
http://www.mindspring.com/~helmsman



From 7030303026701785948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 04:44:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-
hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!tethys.csu.net!nntp.csufresno.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-uk-
post-02!sn-uk-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.co.uk!not-for-mail
From: "mbz07" <mbz07@lineone.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 12:44:37 +0100
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tfd2k1m2jaua6@corp.supernews.co.uk>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
```

```
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not> <c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 9
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5557
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15857 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22738
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21224
```

>
> (Full quieting? Then you must have been using FM emissions, an illegal
mode
> on 11 meters. :>)

Not in the UK and most or Europe....

```
From -6824642517020214658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 04:53:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: 7 May 2001 11:08:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9d5vmp0eae@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com> <9d556u22ae4@enews4.newsguy.com>
<9d5ags$frt$1@208.206.142.67>
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-699.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15858
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21225

In article <9d5ags$frt$1@208.206.142.67>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>> >So you ALWAYS drove 55 when the national speedlimit
>> >was 55?  That 55 farce was instituted in 1973 to save fuel during
>> >an oil crisis but the lamebrains in DC failed to set an expiration
>> >for it and it took years before the safety nazis lost their
>> >stranglehold on the legislators to finally end the 55 NHSL
>> >only a few years ago.
>> >
>> >Cheers,
>> >Bill K2UNK
>>
>> Most of the "Safety Nazis" were/are highway patrol men and women who see the
5
>5
>> MPH limit as a great way to generate revenue through fines. The evidence
that
>a
>> crash at 65 MPH is less survivable than a crash at 55 MPH is anecdotal at
best
>.
>
>
> T L, I always thought you were an idiot, and you just proved it.

Go back to sleep, Dick.



From -5632863720593177410
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 04:53:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: 7 May 2001 11:10:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9d5vq81eae@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
```

```
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com> <9d556u22ae4@enews4.newsguy.com>
<SItJ6.36151$2_.12333947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-726.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15859
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21226

In article <SItJ6.36151$2_.12333947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
tstdenis3160@home.com says...

>
>Its all about kinetic energy.  The fact that people don't leave the correct
>spacing etc etc etc ... Most peopl only leave about 25m between cars at
>100kmh ... its simply not enough to stop in ... regardless of hos fast you
>believe your "reaction time" to be.
>
>--
>Rob St.Denis

...and if you try to leave any space, some idiot cuts you off.



From -6655495076690298210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 05:21:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: 7 May 2001 11:19:32 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9d60c42eae@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3AF47113.567ABF1A@IEEE.org> <9d4srq$3ss$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-989.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15860 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21227

In article <9d4srq$3ss$1@news.netmar.com>, ranNOSPAMhefner@my-deja.com says...

>
>So now the question is...Who determines which laws are good or evil and by
>this which do I "ignore" and which do I obey.
>
>If I determine that grand theft-auto is an evil law, do I have to right to
>ignore that law and steal your car?

I think that laws respecting the life and property of others are pretty much universal, so let's use an example that has a little more common sense.

150 years ago, it was a felony to harbour a runaway slave in the free states. The crime was punishable by imprisonment of up to one year. Would you obey this law, or would you break it, knowing what the penalty would be if caught?

From -7732666536099597306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 05:24:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not> <c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 08:24:08 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989238115 216.234.103.49 (Mon, 07 May 2001 07:21:55
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 07:21:55 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5558
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15861 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22741
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21228

Maybe I've got my definitions confused, but isn't full quieting when a
transmitted carrier is strong enough to cover all background noise at
the receiver?

I don't recall ever hearing/being told/reading that Full Quieting
applied only to FM. I could be wrong.

-SSB

W6DKN wrote:
>
> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not...
> > well, if a 2 watt carrier is full quieting 20 miles away, then the
> > antenna must be doing its job, wouldn't you think?
> >
> > -SSB
>
> Not really. How about 4 million + miles per watt ?
>
> (Full quieting? Then you must have been using FM emissions, an illegal mode
> on 11 meters. :>)
>
> http://radioworks.com/hpmain.html
>
> 73 de W6DKN


From -6812319749320460787
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 05:30:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF69475.4904E2B1@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 08:26:29 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989238256 216.234.103.49 (Mon, 07 May 2001 07:24:16
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 07:24:16 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22742
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8664 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2554
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2448 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12883
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21229 rec.radio.cb:32353
```

I hope the load doesn't bend... That would make it useless to the
receiver, and the shipper might get a little peeved.

::Grin::

-SSB

Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> WHY? Do you believe for one second that the speed
> limits on American highways are realistic? Do they have
> any resemblemce to reality. Is 70 mph across Kansas
> logical when there is visibility to the horizon, light traffic
> and not a bend in the load for miles ahead?

>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

```
From 8328695575380705521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 05:51:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF699C3.4D62A6DE@crosslink.net>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 08:49:07 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<3AF2C43A.63D8E97B@crosslink.net> <3AF39C57.2E8D70F6@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 68
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.214
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 989239872 15936 207.199.164.214
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15862
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21230
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

>
> In other words, the only way your argument (the reduction of crime
> relating to the war on drugs) can hold true is if we completely
> legalize all drugs - heroin, crack, and so forth.

Yes, my apologies, I thot that's what we were talking about.

> However, if you are going to advocate the legalization of all drugs, you cannot continue to downplay their effects by comparing them to alcohol ...

Agreed

> .....Instead of talking about the drug trade, I would instead center on the harmful effects of these drugs as the core reason why they should not be legalized.

The harmful effects are well know but *largely* affect only the addict and people who love or depend on him/her. Rather or not the WOD has alleviated these problems is questionable. It certainly hasn't eliminated them.

What it has done is extend "drug problems" to those of us who do not use drugs by increasing the price of addiction, and drug profits 1000 fold. As you point out, the gangsters who used to machine gun each other and innocent bystanders over liqueur territory, etc., are now doing the same over drug territory, etc. Perhaps worse, many drugs are even more addictive that booze and by driving the cost of maintaining their addictions thru the roof the WOD causes literally 1000s of crimes every day: robbings, burglaries and muggings that too often become rapes and murders. Call me selfish, but addicts have a choice of whether to use drugs knowing there is a price. The victims of these WOD-driven crimes do not.

>
> In fact, to be perfectly honest, if we're talking about the legalization of all drugs, this conversation is effectively over.

OK

> No country in the world today has legalized all drugs, and no country in it's right mind would ever think of doing so.

But I must disagree on that. The USA had *no* federal drug laws what so ever for the first 100+ years that marked our greatest expansion. Coca Cola was "the real thing". Every major city and town had opium dens. Some were eyesores and addicts wasted away and died just like alcoholics because they their spent every cent on drugs instead of food and other needs. But they weren't killing ordinary citizens like they are now.

Moreover, police were few and couldn't make warrentless (i.e. "unwarranted") arrests. If an officer tried to arrest you without a warrant you had every right to defend yourself as tho it was a kidnapping! We could buy guns thru the mail and dynamite at the hardware store! Yet there was less crime than there is today ... and all to protect drug addicts from themselves. I know people who are or were addicts. I'm sorry for them and sorry there are such a things as addiction or addictive

drugs but there are and I'm not God. Neither is our government.
I fail to see how blowing $billions every year, that should have
been spent on schools, civic projects, or even new radio gear,
has bettered the situation compared to, say, 1890.

Guess we'll just have to agree to disagree.

73 de KA3DWW

```
From 6355259400087651769
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 05:55:33 PST
Message-ID: <3AF69AF8.8F36C4A@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 07:54:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
<vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net>
<9ceJ6.27806$2_.10082893@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF5A231.643087EB@IEEE.org>
<aOkJ6.33319$2_.11051428@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF5F43C.3714888@IEEE.org>
<7LtJ6.36153$2_.12335753@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 989239669 64.240.47.79 (7 May 2001 07:47:49 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!212.74.64.35!
colt.net!easynet-quince!easynet.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15863
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21231
```

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:

> He is already caught ... if he kills other inmates who cares ...
> surprisingly con's aren't the greatest people to begin with anyways

They guy who killed you is already caught??? I didn't even know you
were dead. The question was: Would *you* rather kill or be killed?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4617158112417125161
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 06:01:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AF69C2E.94D55FFE@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 07:59:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
<vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net> <3AF60170.1865AC19@dirtball.com>
<gMtJ6.36154$2_.12336463@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 989239982 64.240.47.79 (7 May 2001 07:53:02 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15864
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21232

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:

>
> > What part of "Thou shalt not kill" did the ATF and FBI didn't understand at
> > Ruby Ridge and WACO!
>
> Loud music and cs gas didnt kill anyone ... now stop drinking that red juice
> your cult leader keeps giving you and get some serious mental help

Using tank turrets to poke holes in a building where kerosene lanterns are burning is a perfect way to start a fire. Using incendiary gas bombs is a perfect way to start a fire. Why do you think the authorities lied about them for so long?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1999512091804528176
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 06:17:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 08:15:43 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
```

```
X-Trace: corp 989240958 64.240.47.79 (7 May 2001 08:09:18 -0500)
Lines: 52
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21233

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > You can make exactly the same argument
> > concerning guns, Dick. The gun takes
> > the person over and makes him do evil
> > things.
>
> That is absolutely silly, Cecil.  Unlike drugs, a gun does not have
> any chemical effect on the brain or body.

Of course it does, Dwight. If you think the mental power rush that a
gun in the hands of a gangbanger provides is not due to brain chemicals
being released, I feel sorry that you are so ignorant. My concealed
carry weapon causes chemicals to be released in my brain that makes
me feel more confident and secure in dangerous situations. All feelings
are due to brain chemistry, Dwight. If you feel good about watching a
sunrise, that sunrise is affecting your brain chemistry. Everything
that causes you to have a feeling is affecting your brain chemistry.

> > [snip] Why not hold the individual
> > responsible instead of the object or
> > substance?
>
> We already do hold the individual responsible for the illegal use of
> the substance.

But that is a victimless crime, i.e. no real crime at all. That is an
arbitrary "crime" defined by the state, like being a black free man
was once a crime in some states. Like females trying to vote was once
a crime. Some laws are bad laws and should be abolished. What if they
passed a law that made it illegal for people to be named "Dwight"?
Would you think that was a good law?

> > [snip] Punish criminals for actual
> > crimes. Do not punish free citizens
> > because they *might* commit an actual
```

> > crime.
>
> Illegal drug use is an actual crime.

Only by definition, Dwight. It is no *real* crime at all. If it was
a *real* crime like murder, it wouldn't have been legal at the turn
of the last century. One by one, we are losing our freedoms until
sometime in the future, we will have none. Happy fascism, Dwight.

```
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From -3235901013865067476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 06:25:58 PST
Message-ID: <3AF6A214.6C19367E@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 08:24:36 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net>
<3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF663CC.9C6B7EB@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 989241490 64.240.47.79 (7 May 2001 08:18:10 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
```

illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21234

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> No, I don't believe that, Cecil. I said exactly what I believe.

No use to deny it, Dwight, the implications of what you said are perfectly apparent.

> The fascist is usually the first person to call someone a fascist in
> an effort to stifle the expression of opposing views. Luckily, most
> are smart enough today not to fall for such nonsense.

A fascist believes in private ownership of property but 100% governmental control over that property and the lives of individual citizens. Fascism does NOT imply Nazi-ism. WWII Italy was fascist. The USA is on its way to fascism.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6531811895274191056
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 06:33:52 PST
Message-ID: <3AF6A3E8.5F001C5F@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 08:32:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:

rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not> <c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 989241958 64.240.47.79 (7 May 2001 08:25:58 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!195.54.122.107!newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5559 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15865 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22746 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21235

Sideband wrote:
> I don't recall ever hearing/being told/reading that Full Quieting
> applied only to FM. I could be wrong.

From the IEEE Dictionary: "quieting sensitivity (frequency-modulation receivers) The minimum unmodulated signal input for which the output signal-noise ratio does not exceed a specified limit, under specified conditions."

Note the "unmodulated" requirement which would prohibit this term from being used associated with SSB.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -135767289090935978
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 06:37:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<u2qJ6.8388$kA2.652327@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <UxxJ6.4349$vg1.339533@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 09:36:52 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:36:52 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21236

In article Richard McCollum says...

>So while 1266 Novice tickets expired, 284 renewed or 22.5%. The interesting
>thing here is that it is that high. Just who in hell renews a Novice given
>the alternative to take the trivial Tech exam or for that matter the
>General?? And 134 changed their address in the two months so it would
>appear they will be with us for a while for reasons I find difficult to
>fathom.

Out here in "flyover country" the novice class still has some legs. There's an awful lot of geography in W0 and W7 (and KL7) where a tech licensee would be awful lonesome with the nearest repeater a couple hundred miles away.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 8080221511136081674
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 06:50:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-
out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "etagg" <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
From: "etagg" <etagg@twcny.rr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
<NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com>
<JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<F5GH6.24551$qD1.474232@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <3AEF6454.EDFA5838@IEEE.org>
<tf0aj8l69pn55c@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 3
Organization: N2WKW
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <sIxJ6.53131$r3.10679494@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:48:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.92.233.128
X-Complaints-To: abuse@twcny.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 989243288 24.92.233.128 (Mon, 07 May 2001 09:48:08
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 09:48:08 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15866
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21237
```

3 feet of rope and a tree...in public

```
From 6741882219872353394
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 07:03:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
```

```
<9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67>
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <eWxJ6.4402$vg1.342494@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 10:02:50 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 14:02:50 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21238

Dick Carroll says...

>K0HB wrote:
>>   What can be done
>> to turn this trend around?
>
>
> Maybe include a free Extra ticket in each box of Cocoa Puffs, along
> with a certificate for a year's free ARRL membership and a $25 rebate
> form for a ARRl handbook?

Don't patronize me, Dick.  I asked a serious question.

In the past couple months almost 3,500 Novices and Techs let their license
expire.  At some time in the past these folks had enough interest in the
hobby to study, find a test site, and get a license.  Seems a shame that
the interest has evaporated -- or maybe it's not a shame (I really don't
claim to know) -- but there's an old saying to the effect that "it's a lot
easier to keep an old customer, than it is to find a new one".

Why are we losing these "old customers"?

73, Hans, K0HB
```

```
From -5149372240578732094
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
```

X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 07:48:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF6B5C7.501194DA@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain> <3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not> <c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not> <3AF6A3E8.5F001C5F@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 10:48:39 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989246786 216.234.103.49 (Mon, 07 May 2001 09:46:26 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 09:46:26 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5561 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15868 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22750 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21239

So it could apply to an unmodulated AM signal, then? If so, my statement was correct. If not, I stand corrected. I hope someone will let me know which it is.

-SSB

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Sideband wrote:
> > I don't recall ever hearing/being told/reading that Full Quieting
> > applied only to FM. I could be wrong.
>
> From the IEEE Dictionary: "quieting sensitivity (frequency-modulation
> receivers) The minimum unmodulated signal input for which the output

> signal-noise ratio does not exceed a specified limit, under specified
> conditions."
>
> Note the "unmodulated" requirement which would prohibit this term
> from being used associated with SSB.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4342383306928183941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 07:59:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
cyclone.kc.rr.com!news.kc.rr.com!cyclone3.kc.rr.com!news3.kc.rr.com!
typhoon.kc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
From: "MIchael W. McGinley" <mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Message-ID: <0705200109595066699%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67> <eWxJ6.4402$vg1.342494@www.newsranger.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit
User-Agent: YA-NewsWatcher/5.0.1
Lines: 51
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 14:59:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.27.104.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.kc.rr.com 989247563 65.27.104.163 (Mon, 07 May 2001 09:59:23 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 09:59:23 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21240

In article <eWxJ6.4402$vg1.342494@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB
<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:

Sniped to Save bandwith - See Eariler Post in Thread for Full Text ---

easier to keep an old customer, than it is to find a new one".
>
> Why are we losing these "old customers"?

This problem of non renweal has seemed to accellerated since the
advent of cheap & easy internet access and very high performance home
computer systems...the magic and wonder of radio commucations has
seemed to been lost to the beige box (Home Computer) in the family

livingroom.

For example in my case i was heavily into packet and was living in kansas city...with packet i could keyboard directly with some ham friends 200 miles away though a series of digipeaters....now a days packet (Keyboard to keyboard anyways) seems to be dead in my area yes there is the local bbs and packet cluster but no way to commucate out of my local area ...

this will give you a idea of why i almost did not renew my tech licence.

```
6 Mtr       <- to many Problems with local cableTV to be of much Use
2 Mtr FM    <- Has become the Ham Version of FRS
2 Mtr PKT   <- nothing but aprs/bbs/dx clusters - nobody to hold a qso
               with
2 mtr ssb&cw<- no cw contacts & not any better on ssb
2 Mtr EME   <- After 6 Months of building i think i heard my return
echo once !
220         <- Never did anything else but call CQ into a over priced HT
220 Pkt     <- A wastland absoulty nothing
440         <- Mostly Dead except for repeater control links
440 Pkt     <- a 9600 baud link between 2 local  bbs's nothing else
ATV         <- a little activity but not much
902-928     <- overrun by home electronics ( cordless Phones Etc )
1.2 Gig     <- A wastland nobody there at all
microwave   <- forget it...no point in even trying, no intrest in
               microwave around here at all except for me it seems
```

Now please keep in mind that i wanted the tech lic so i could experiment/commuicate on vhf/uhf/microwave i did not see the tech class lic as a steepingstone to a genral lic as h.f did not thrill me.

If it wasnt for a ham friend of mine talking me into renewing my lic
i'd might have let my licence go altogather

Have a nice one all

Michael - N0JVT

From 6986761112881933757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 08:12:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron in NC" <kc4yoy@pop.trellis.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<3af5f3db.2766643@news.mindspring.com>
<wCtJ6.36147$2_.12329513@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ejucftc884a82olkuf5vaqpnggklgq54po@4ax.com>
Message-ID: <01c0d707$57798ae0$a54c96d0@ron>
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Internet News 4.70.1161
Lines: 7
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 15:08:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.150.76.165
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989248105 208.150.76.165 (Mon, 07 May 2001 10:08:25
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 10:08:25 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22752
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8674 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2557
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2452 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12898
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21241 rec.radio.cb:32361

WOULD SOMEONE PLEASE TELL ME WHAT ALL
THIS HAS TO DO WITH AMATEUR RADIO
BOATANCHORS?

PLEASE STOP THIS CRAP......

Ron, KC4YOY

From -9139894559831087685
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 08:19:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.

Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 11:19:33 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tfdf89plpris31@corp.supernews.com>
References: <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net> <9d3b8512rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
<NNhJ6.2538$TC4.277490@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 46
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15869
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21242

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:NNhJ6.2538$TC4.277490@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
>this equipment could confiscated and auctioned off to licensed
> operators. The proceeds of these auctions would then be added to the
> enforcement fund to help pay for more apprehensions.

Funny you should bring this point up. My brother, a fellow Ham too (General Class), asked that question at the Detroit FCC office. He works with a guy, whose father was the head of that office until he died a while back. So he has some indirect connections to the FCC office. When he asked about confiscated equipment, figuring we could get some great gear (modified Ham rigs) cheap, we found out you can't buy any of it, period. In fact the Detroit FCC office has a contract with a local junk yard, they won't tell who, where they take everything. One it gets there everything goes right in to the car carcass crusher, no exceptions.

If you think about it that does make some sense. Why would the FCC put equipment back out on the "streets" where some licensed Ham will end up selling it back to some CBer who then uses it on 11m again. I can just picture some Ham bidding on a pile of used illegal 11m junk, then going around to local flea markets selling it back to CBers at a nice "mark up". That sort of defeats the propose of enforcement don't you think?

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial

Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -7146518206616422370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 08:37:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Date: 7 May 2001 15:37:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <9d6fg5$4g$0@208.207.71.137>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67> <eWxJ6.4402$vg1.342494@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.137
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21243

K0HB wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll says...
>
> >K0HB wrote:
> >>   What can be done
> >> to turn this trend around?
> >
> >
> > Maybe include a free Extra ticket in each box of Cocoa Puffs, along
> > with a certificate for a year's free ARRL membership and a $25 rebate
> > form for a ARRl handbook?
>
> Don't patronize me, Dick. I asked a serious question.
>
> In the past couple months almost 3,500 Novices and Techs let their license
> expire. At some time in the past these folks had enough interest in the
> hobby to study, find a test site, and get a license. Seems a shame that

> the interest has evaporated -- or maybe it's not a shame (I really don't
> claim to know) -- but there's an old saying to the effect that "it's a lot
> easier to keep an old customer, than it is to find a new one".
>
> Why are we losing these "old customers"?

1. These are the "try it out" licenses, and large levels of attrition are to be expected, particularly today with the small amount of personal investement required todo so. I don't find it at all surprising that lots of Techs drop out and have expected that to happenallalong, once the first 10 year license expirations were reached.

2. Novice? What good is that ticket these days, and why in the world would anyone keep one if he had any abiding interest in the hobby? a couple nobrainer written tests and a General is theirs. Anyone left with a Novice can be considered to be lost, with few exceptions.

3. In truth, if you did what I suggeste in my "patronizing" post virtually all the inclusions would wind up in the trash with the rest of today's junk mail, unread.

4. The Answer? I'm not sure we have a good grasp of the question. Aggressive action years ago on the part of our representatives -The ARRL- might have helped, but far too many of us left all that up to the League and our elected representatives to handle. They seem to have been too busy congratulating each other to notice what was taking place.

Dick

From -4747487496593871239
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 09:39:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67>
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <UcAJ6.61$95.22658@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 12:38:08 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 989253556 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 07 May 2001 12:39:16 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 12:39:16 EDT

```
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21244


Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67...

> K0HB wrote:
> >   What can be done
> > to turn this trend around?

>  Maybe include a free Extra ticket in each box of Cocoa Puffs, along
> with a certificate for a year's free ARRL membership and a $25 rebate
> form for a ARRl handbook?

Do you have any serious suggestions, Dick, or is cynicism the best you can
do?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI





From 1652183676507792051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 09:41:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67> <eWxJ6.4402$vg1.342494@www.newsranger.com>
<070520010959506699%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <QeAJ6.62$95.22691@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 12:40:13 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 989253680 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 07 May 2001 12:41:20 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 12:41:20 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21245

MIchael W. McGinley <mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM> wrote:

> 2 Mtr EME   <- After 6 Months of building i think i heard my return
```

> echo once !

It is not necessary to hear your own echoes to be able to work EME. Some of the bigger stations will be quite workable.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -785594837147227454
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 09:41:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.flash.net!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF6CFC6.CE9B2043@crosslink.net>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 12:39:34 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh - different approach.
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
<vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net>
<9ceJ6.27806$2_.10082893@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF5A231.643087EB@IEEE.org>
<aOkJ6.33319$2_.11051428@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF5F43C.3714888@IEEE.org>
<7LtJ6.36153$2_.12335753@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF69AF8.8F36C4A@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.222
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 989253697 15935 207.199.164.222
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15870
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21246

My problem with all this is that McVeigh will be executed and the other criminals responsible will be forgotten. Indeed they already are. Anybody remember John Doe #2 .. the guy several witnesses saw with McVeigh both in the truck at the scene of the crime and before? Well, some investigative reporters checked into who McVeigh had phoned in the weeks before the bombing and showed pictures of them to witnesses who in turn ID's John Doe #2 as a German intellegence operator in this country to spy on neonazis; but a couple jurors who demanded to pursue that got kicked off the grand jury that indicted McVeigh. Then there were the seismeographs that recorded multiple explosions. Perhaps more telling is the testamony of USAF General Partin (sp?), an expert on bomb damage assessment, who said that McVeigh's fertilizer bomb couldn't possibly have done all the damage, and other explosives experts who said that some of the building's critical supports had been taken down by contact explosives. And the list goes on to include the commo clad leg found in the bomb crater.

I'm not complaining about McVeigh's punishment, I just want to know what happened to John Doe #2 and whoever set the charges inside the building. Stinks worse than the JFK assasination.

From 728528730130448490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c06c643a4f7c7c34
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 09:46:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ADF0029.1CFE3955@IEEE.org> <20010504231046.07174.00001565@ng-
fj1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: CB Channels Now Expanded...???
Lines: 17
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <IjAJ6.63$95.22706@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 12:45:24 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 989253992 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 07 May 2001 12:46:32 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 12:46:32 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21247

Qrm2000 <qrm2000@aol.com> wrote:

> >What can we do?

> Scan 10 Meters, using 10 KHz steps ending in "5". The CB operaters have
> "channels" into 10 meters beginning at 28.005, 28.015, 28.025, 28.035,
etc.

> Log what you hear.

In order for anything to go before the FCC, it must be recorded. Better advice would be to record it and log all of the details.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 6747817178641581255
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 09:48:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.tufts.edu!uunet!dca.uu.net!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Uf%H6.24$95.5292@news.ntplx.net> <20010502204119.10634.00000601@nso-
cd.aol.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <VlAJ6.64$95.22652@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 12:47:45 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 989254133 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 07 May 2001 12:48:53 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 12:48:53 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21248
```

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> I was looking for the religious state of the True Believers of the
> Church of the Morseodists. I don't think I found it yet...but I
> really did try. :-)

When it devolves into buzzwords and Capitalization, probably best to let the thread die. There are other threads that still have real content. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 4669435600641861804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 10:01:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: 1963 Novice study guide (was: Hmmmmmmmm)
Lines: 485
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 12:59:53 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 989254861 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 07 May 2001 13:01:01 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:01:01 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21249

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote:

> >It is a lot more of an "intellectual triumph" than was my passing my
Novice in
> >1963. I had a 4-page study guide to memorize; those who passed the Tech
written
> >had over 80 pages of printed questions in the pool alone,

> There were no "question pools" in 1953, all we had was the ARRL
> license study guide and w1aw. The FCC test authors seemed to take
> delight in putting questions in the tests which were not in the ARRL
> book. Us 13-14 year-olds had precious little help from anybody or
> anything except the neighborhood Elmers and/or each other.

By my recollection, there were no surprises on my Novice exam. Even the
General was all familiar to me, having read the 16 pages of General study
guide.

> > not counting the 120
> >pages of additional support material in study guides like Now You're
Talking.

> I have no idea what "Now You're Talking" is. Didn't exist then and I
> sure don't need it now.

Now You're Talking is the 200+ page ARRL Technician Class study guide.

> First I'm not the least bit convinced that the old Novice writtens
> were easier than the nocode test. When was the last time a nocode had
> to pull a schematic for a particular type of oscillator out of his
> head and actually sketch the circuit??

I didn't even have to do that for the General in 1964. The exam showed a
schematic and asked me what it was.

As to easier, there is absolutely no doubt in my mind that the 1963 Novice written was much easier than today's Technician exam. I have appended the text of the 1963 Novice Study guide. I read this for about a week or two before I took and easily passed the test.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

Copyright 1963 American Radio Relay League. All rights reserved.

ARRL Study Guide - 1963

CHAPTER 2

The Novice License

The Novice Class license offers an ideal way to get started in amateur radio -- reduced code speed and a simplified written examination. It grants a portion of amateur privileges on an "apprenticeship" basis for one year. The examination is available only by mail. This chapter explains the license in detail and contains sample questions for the written exam.

In an incentive to encourage a greater number of people to engage in the hobby of amateur radio, the Federal Communications Commission has established a Novice Class of license with greatly reduced requirements and only a few of the privileges available to amateurs. This class of license might well he termed an apprenticeship. It has a term of but one year, compared with the five-yea terms of other amateur licenses, and the objective is to give a newcomer a period of a year of actual on-the-air experience or training in amateur operation so that he may develop his skills toward one of the permanent classes of license more rapidly than he would by textbook study and audio-oscillator code practice.

The Novice Class license examination may now be taken only by mail, under the direct supervision of an amateur holding a General Class license or higher, or a commercial radiotelegraph license. This is true no matter where the applicant lives. Refer to the section "Examination Procedures " in Chapter 5 for details on the rules governing the routine for making application and obtaining a set of examination papers. Requirements for the Novice license are the passing of a code test in sending and receiving at the rate of 5 words per minute, and a written examination in the most elementary aspects of amateur regulations and theory.

The privileges which are currently available to the Novice licensee are:

3700 3750 kc. - telegraphy
7150-7200 kc. - telegraphy
21,100-21,250 kc. - telegraphy
145-147 MC. --telegraphy or voice

In addition, the transmitter used by a Novice licensee must be crystal-controlled, and may not have an input exceeding 75 watts. Of course, the

Novice may operate portable or mobile on any of these frequencies. (SeeChapter 7).

The most important point concerning the Novice license is that it is valid for only one year and may not be renewed. Before the end of his license term the Novice must qualify for one of the other grades of amateur licenses, or go off the air. He may try for a Technician Class or a General Class license (or Conditional Class, if eligible to take the mail examination). Whatever his choice, he must qualify for all requirements of the particular license class inasmuch as the Novice Class license, being obtained by mail, carries no credit toward a higher grade of license even where the examination elements are identical (such as the Technician 5 w.p.m. code test). He may not try for a class higher than those mentioned, of course, since experience as a Novice operator does not qualify for the service requirements for the Extra Class license.

Anyone who is a citizen of the United States may apply for the Novice, license, except a person who holds or ever has held an amateur license of any class. Thus a Novice not only is unable to renew his license at the end of his term, but he may not again apply for Novice privileges. If an applicant for Novice privileges feels that he can pass the standard written examination for amateur licenses but is unable to meet the 13 w.p.m. code requirement, he may simultaneously apply also for the Technician Class of license, taking the 5 w.p.m. code test, the elementary Novice written exam, and the standard written exam.

A Novice may operate any FCC-licensed amateur radio station, but only to the extent of the privileges available to the Novice and similarly available to the licensee of the station being operated. For example, when a Novice visits a station licensed to a General Class amateur, he may operate in only the Novice bands, using crystal control and with 75 watts input power or less. He uses his host's call sign exactly as issued, however. When a Novice operates a Technician's station, he may use only the 145-147 Mc band, since that is the only band common to both Novices and Technicians.

As stated, the written examination for the Novice license is quite simple. It consists of about 20 questions dealing with basic amateur regulations, and certain points of theory and technique. The questions are of the "multiple-choice" type, as explained in Chapter 1. There are no diagrams required. Following is a set of questions similar to those which are asked in the examination. If you are thoroughly able to answer each of these sample questions, you will have no difficulty in passing the written exam. However, in any event we recommend additional study of at least the technical material in How to Become a Radio Amateur and preferably of the introductory chapters of The Radio Amateur's Handbook ($3.50), both available postpaid from the ARRL, West Hartford 7, Conn.

The receiving code test for the Novice Class license consists of 25 five-letter words, mostly common ones. No punctuation marks or numerals are included. To pass, the applicant must copy at least 25 consecutive letters accurately. In the sending test, numerals and simple punctuation marks may be included.

QUESTIONS:

1. What is the maximum input power permitted to the final stage of the transmitter in a station licensed to the holder of a Novice Class license or operated by such an operator?

The maximum input power permitted a Novice is 75 watts.

2. What is the maximum penalty for a violation of the rules and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission?

A fine of up to $500 for each day during which the offense occurs, suspension of operator license, and revocation of station license.

3. On what frequency bands may the holder of a Novice Class license operate an amateur radio station?

3700-3750 kc.
7150-7200 kc.
21,100-21,250 kc.
145-147 MC.

4. On what frequency bands may the holder of a Novice Class license operate an amateur radiotelephone station?

5. What is the log of an amateur station, and what information is required to be entered therein? How long must it be preserved ?

The log of an amateur station is the written record of transmissions.
The log must show:
1) the date and time of transmission
2) the signature of each licensed operator operating the equipment and the name of any person not holding a license who speaks over a radiotelephone transmitter directly or by recording, or operates a teleprinter keyboard
3) call of the station called
4) the input power to the transmitter
5) the frequency band used
6) the type of emission used
7) the location of the station at the time of transmission
8) the message traffic handled

Information such as the input power, frequency band, type of emission, location of station, need be entered only once provided the conditions are not changed. Similarly, one entry of the date need not be repeated for other transmissions made on that date. If the station is mobile, the approximate geographic location can be indicated in the log.

The log of an amateur station must be preserved for at least one year following the last date of entry. Similarly, any message traffic handled must be kept on file for at least one year.

6. What is the term of an amateur Novice Class license? Under what conditions may this license be renewed?

The term of an amateur Novice Class license is one year. It may not be renewed under any conditions.

7. What are the rules and regulations regarding the transmission of improper language, false signals, or malicious interference?

The transmission of obscene, indecent or profane language, or of false or deceptive signals or call letters, or of malicious interference is expressly prohibited and there are heavy penalties for violation.

8. What are the rules and regulations regarding purity and stability of emissions?

Below 144 megacycles, spurious radiations must be reduced in accordance with good engineering practice, and must not cause interference to nearby receivers of good engineering design not tuned to the transmitter. Voice modulation of a transmitter must not cause spurious emissions; the maximum modulation percentage is 100%. Simultaneous frequency modulation and amplitude modulation is not permitted. The frequency of the signal transmitted must be as constant as the state of the art permits.

9. What method of frequency control is required to be used in the transmitter of a station licensed to the holder of a Novice Class license?

The frequency must be crystal-controlled.

10. What are the rules and regulations regarding the measurement of the frequencies of the emissions of an amateur radio station?

Regular measurement of the frequency of the transmitter is required. This measurement must be by means independent of the means used to control the transmitting frequency and must be of sufficient accuracy to ensure operation within the frequency band used.

11. Who may be permitted to operate the transmitter of an amateur radio station licensed to the holder of a Novice Class license?

Any amateur radio operator (though a Technician may operate a Novice's station only in the band 145-147 Mc., since that is the only band the two classes have in common).

12. Under what circumstances may an amateur radio station be used by a person who does not hold a valid license?

A person not properly licensed may not operate an amateur station. However, he may speak over the microphone of an amateur radiotelephone station or use the keyboard of an amateur radio-teleprinter, provided a duly licensed operator is present to control the emissions.

13. What is the maximum permissible percentage of modulation of an amateur radiotelephone station?

One hundred per cent.

14. At what intervals must an amateur station be identified by the transmission of its call sign? May any transmission be made without identification of the station?

An amateur station must identify its call sign at the beginning and end of each transmission and at least every ten minutes if a single transmission lasts longer than ten minutes. No transmission by itself may be made without identification of the station, except that during a sequence of transmissions each less than three minutes long, the call sign needs to be given only once each ten minutes as well as at the beginning and end of the work.

15. Under what conditions is notice of portable or mobile operation required to be given, and to whom in each case?

Notice of intended portable operation, or mobile operation, must be given the FCC Engineer-in-Charge of the inspection district in which such portable or mobile operation is contemplated only when the operation is or is expected to be for a period longer than 48 hours.

16. What are the recognized abbreviations for: kilocycles, megacycles, Eastern Standard Time, Greenwich Mean Time, continuous wave, frequency modulation, amplitude modulation?

kilocycles - kc.
megacycles - MC.
Eastern Standard Time - EST
Greenwich Mean Time - GMT
continuous wave - C.W.
frequency modulation - f.m.
amplitude modulation - a.m.

17. What is the relationship between a fundamental frequency and its second harmonic; its third harmonic, etc.?

The second harmonic is twice the frequency of the fundamental, the third harmonic is three times the fundamental frequency, and so on. A harmonic is always related to its fundamental frequency by an integral multiplier; i.e., 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, etc.

18. What is the relationship between a cycle, a kilocycle, and a megacycle?

1 kilocycle = 1000 cycles
1 megacycle = 1000 kilocycles = 1,000,000 cycles

19. What instrument is used to measure: electrical potential; electrical

current; electrical power; electrical energy?

Electrical potential is measured by a voltmeter.
Electrical current is measured by an ammeter, milliammeter, or microammeter.
Electrical power is measured by a wattmeter.
Electrical energy is measured by a watt-hour meter.

20. What is the purpose of: a modulator; an amplifier; a rectifier; a filter?

A modulator is used to vary the amplitude, frequency or phase of the output of a transmitter for the purpose of transmitting information. An amplifier is used to increase the amplitude, or power level, of a signal. A rectifier is used to change alternating current into pulsating direct, current. The purpose of a filter is to attenuate undesired frequencies while simultaneously passing, without appreciable attenuation, a desired band of frequencies and/or direct current. Examples: The power-supply "smoothing filter," which eliminates the alternating-current ripple from the output of a rectifier but permits direct current to flow with little or no attenuation; the "low-pass" filter, which attenuates all frequencies (such as harmonics of a transmitter) above a given frequency but passes all lower frequencies.

21. What is meant by: amplification; modulation; detection; attenuation?

Amplification is the process of increasing the amplitude, or power level, of a signal. Modulation is the process of varying the amplitude, frequency or phase of the radio-frequency output of a transmitter. Modulation is normally employed for the purpose of transmitting information. However, it may also occur inadvertently, as in the case of "hum" modulation of a signal resulting from ripple in the output of an insufficiently-filtered d.c. power supply. Detection or demodulation is the process of extracting the information contained in the modulation on a radio-frequency signal. Attenuation is a reduction in amplitude.

22. What is the purpose of: a radio-frequency choke; an audio-frequency choke; a filter choke?

The purpose of a radio-frequency choke is to oppose the flow of radio-frequency current while permitting direct current and audio frequencies to flow without appreciable opposition. The purpose of an audio-frequency choke is to oppose the flow of audio-frequency currents while permitting direct current to flow. The purpose of a filter choke is to aid in smoothing the direct-current output of a rectifier.

23. How is the actual power input to the tube or tubes supplying energy to the antenna of an amateur transmitter determined?

The input power is determined by measuring the direct-current plate voltage and the d.c. plate current to the tube or tubes in the final stage in the transmitter. The power input is equal to the plate voltage multiplied by the plate current in amperes. Example: Two tubes in the final stage of the transmitter take 50 milliamperes each, at a plate voltage of 500 volts. The total plate current is 2 X 50 = 100 milliamperes, or 0.1 ampere. The power input is therefore 500 X 0.1 = 50 watts.

24. Why are a rectifier and filter required in the plate power supply system of an amateur transmitter when operated from alternating current?

The amateur regulations require that an adequately-filtered plate supply be used on transmitters operating below 144 Mc. The rectifier is used to convert the alternating current into direct current. However, its d.c. output is pulsating, not constant, and the filter must be used to smooth out the pulsations so that the output is essentially "pure" -- that is free from pulsations or "ripple."

25. What is a frequency multiplier?

A frequency multiplier is a device that delivers output at an integral multiple (i.e., 2, 3, 4 times, etc.) of the applied frequency. The output of a frequency multiplier is consequently on a frequency that is a harmonic of the fundamental (applied) frequency.

26. What are the undesirable effects of overmodulation in radiotelephony?

Overmodulation results in the generation of spurious sidebands - that is, frequencies lying outside the band of frequencies or "channel" actually required for transmitting the information contained in the modulation. Those spurious frequencies, called "splatter," will interfere with communication on near-by channels and may even lie outside an amateur band. At close range they may also cause interference with broadcast reception.

27. What is meant by a "parasitic" oscillation?

A parasitic oscillation is one not essential to the operation of the equipment and usually occurring on a frequency considerably removed from the operating frequency.

28. What is the purpose of a "key-click filter" and when should it be used?

The purpose of a key-click filter is to reduce spurious radiation generally when keying a radiotelegraph transmitter. It should be used whenever required for suppressing such spurious radiations.

29. What is Ohm's Law?

Ohm's Law expresses the relationship between potential, current and resistance in any circuit.

It is stated as I = E / R where I = current in amperes, E = potential in volts and R = resistance in ohms. By simple algebra it is also E = I

* R and R = E / I.

30. What precautions should be taken to avoid the danger of shock from high-voltage electrical circuits?

Such circuits in transmitters and receivers should not be exposed where the operator can inadvertently come in contact with them when the power is turned on. All work on equipment involving the possibility of contact with such circuits should be done only after the power has been turned off. All power-supply capacitors should have bleeder resistors connected across them, to discharge the capacitors after the power has been turned off.

31. What is the relationship between the frequency and the wavelength of a radio wave if its velocity in space is 300,000,000 meters per second?

The frequency in cycles multiplied by the wavelength in meters is 300,000,000. Therefore, the wavelength is inversely proportional to the frequency; in other words, the longer the wavelength, the lower the frequency. The formula for calculating frequency (f) in cycles when the wavelength in meters is known is f = 3,000,000 / wavelength ; similarly, wavelength = 3,000,000 / f. Example: The wavelength of a signal whose frequency is 3700 kilocycles is 300,000,000 / 3,700,000 or 81.08 meters.

32. What symbol is used in the amateur rules to designate amplitude-modulated telegraphy without the use of modulating audio frequencies (on-off keying)?

Al. (The "A" indicates amplitude modulation, and the numeral "1" is used to indicate telegraphy by on-off keying).

33. What is the ruling regarding eligibility for reexamination?

An applicant who fails an operator examination may not take another examination for the same or higher privileges within 30 days (except that this does not apply to an examination for a General Class license following a mail examination for a Conditional, Novice or Technician Class license).

34. What factors are commonly responsible for harmonic radiation and what practical means can be used to detect and correct such condition?

The principal factors responsible for harmonic radiation are (1) improper operating conditions in the final amplifier, such as excessive grid bias and excessive drive, causing the tube or tubes to develop unnecessarily large harmonic output: (2) poor selectivity interstage tank circuits, resulting in excessive harmonic content in the excitation voltage applied to the final stage (3) inadequate selectivity in the final tank circuit because of too-low operating Q; and (4) insufficient selectivity in the coupling circuit between the final-amplifier tank circuit and the antenna, or omission of such a coupling circuit.

These defects can be corrected by (1) reducing grid bias and drive to the minimum necessary for reasonably efficient operation of the final tube or tubes; (2) using higher-Q interstage circuits, usually by increasing the ratio of capacitance to inductance or by decreasing the coupling to lighten the loading on the circuit; (3) adjusting the final tank inductance so that the tuning capacitor will be set for higher capacitance at the operating frequency: and (4) installing a tuned antenna-coupling or matching circuit between the transmitter and the transmission line or antenna.

The presence of harmonics in the output of a transmitter can be detected by the use of an absorption wavemeter, having a sensitive indicator, coupled to the final tank circuit or to the antenna coupling circuit, and successively tuned through the harmonics of the operating frequency. Alternatively, tests can be conducted with a nearby amateur station, with the cooperating amateur listening on the various harmonic frequencies while the transmitter is in operation. The receiving station should not be so close that the fundamental-frequency signal strength will be such as to overload the receiver and thus cause spurious harmonic responses in the receiver itself.

```
From 5124352207979692205
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 10:02:10 PST
Message-ID: <3AF6D5BD.D4C1833B@zylay.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:05:01 -0400
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <58_I6.48304$Ce4.3715578@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 7 May 2001 13:02:04 -0400, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 19
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!205.143.240.121
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5564
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15871 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22762
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21250

> "> Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
> >
> > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
> > top loaded coil antenna.
>
> Runs chills up and down my spine just thinking about
> a Wilson Silver Load. Gives me the urge to run and
> buy two, and co-phase them on the sidemirrors for
> anudder 10dB or so.. Ten Fer.

That's a big 10-fer good buddy. I'm sure you gets lots of horizontal
gain with a 4-ft-long half-wave antenna. These dumbasses don't even
recognize marketing hype when it's served to them on a silver platter.

```
--
Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                    __O
                                                           _-\<,_
Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/
```

From -7728251164794087523
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 10:05:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <f%AI6.1269$vg1.100666@www.newsranger.com>
<20010504151605.12760.00002290@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 48
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <sBAJ6.66$95.22861@news.ntplx.net>

Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 13:04:21 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 989255128 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 07 May 2001 13:05:28 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:05:28 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21251

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:

> 1) The exact Q&A were secret

The 4-page study guide more than prepared me for the exam. The questions on
the test were close enough to the ARRL study-guide material that there is in
my mind, nothing "secret" about it.

> 2) Retesting was a long drawn out process. In 1967, when I took the
Novice,
> the drill was that after you passed the code test the volunteer examiner
sent
> the papers to the FCC and you waited 6 to 8 weeks for the written exam to
show
> up. After you took it, the volunteer examiner sent it off to the FCC, and
you
> waited another 6 to 8 weeks for the FCC to respond. If you made it, you
got a
> license, if not, you did the whole drill all over again. The cycle time
was 12
> to 16 weeks - that's about 3 to 4 MONTHS. End result was that most Novices
with
> any sense went into the tests way overprepared.

I think this was ridiculous in the opposite direction. Personally, I would
love to see a 30-day waiting period rule. What is the required waiting
period if one fails a driver's license exam?

> 5) The oft-quoted number of pages was not the entire study guide,
particularly
> for the higher classes. The LMs also included the radio regs for the ARS,
and
> questions on any of the regs could be asked.

I don't recall any questions on the regs that were not covered in the
study-guide text.

> Of course the old tests aren't available for comparison (they were secret,
> remember?). So it's all impressions and opinions.

I am still trying. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7741278970415881107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 10:07:37 PST
Message-ID: <3AF6D6C3.2C6EDA34@zylay.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:09:23 -0400
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 7 May 2001 13:06:26 -0400, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 24
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!205.143.240.121
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5565
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15872 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22764
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21252
```

> > How serious a crime is it? Your assignment - think of all the crimes you can,
> > where does freebanding fit it?
>
> That's a pretty good question. Unless I'm missing something, I would
> rank it right up there with driving on the shoulder of the road where
> such is illegal.

I don't see where it's that minor at all. If one of my kids got hurt because of someone driving down the side of the road, I'd hunt them down and slowly kill them. If someone scared them with a near-miss, their car would not be recognizable when I was done with it. In short, nobody screws with my babies, else I become the mother of all mother bears.

Lots of people take driving infractions lightly until someone gets killed. All of a sudden there is a great wailing and gnashing of teeth as everyone wonders what can be done to make our roads safe. For starters, might I suggest taking ALL laws seriously, not just the ones that fit your own personal agenda?

```
--
Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                   __O
                                                          _-\<,_
Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/
```

```
From -2242298887767261052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 10:08:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AF6D6F7.935627C@zylay.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:10:15 -0400
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF19581.8E84CD40@uci.edu> <3af1ad63.5038854@news.accessunited.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcrx3b2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105042032180.13564-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 7 May 2001 13:07:18 -0400, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 17
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!205.143.240.121
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15873
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21253
```

> >   Hey, Richard Burton in Southern Cal has been prosecuted six
> >   times, spent time in jail three times, and still operates on
> >   ham radio without a license whenever he gets out.
> >
> >   When is HE going to learn?
>
> Actually, he's serving his FOURTH sentence now, of 3 months. I think that they
> reduced (as compared to his last conviction) the sentence to 3 months only

> because of health reasons and the cost to the taxpayer.

Is terminal stupidity a legal and valid excuse?

```
--
Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                   __O
                                                          _-\<,_
Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/
```

```
From -1476286194301970732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 10:13:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010503063901.01812.00000290@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010503222907.03759.00000197@nso-ch.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Growth in the ARS
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <OIAJ6.67$95.22914@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 13:12:11 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 989255598 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 07 May 2001 13:13:18 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:13:18 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21254
```

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> I can see how the ARRL and their QST advertisers -- the equipment
> manufacturers, would see it that way! And, as always, if you follow the
> money, you learn the truth! My personal belief that it's the League
> and the equipment manufacturers FIRST -- and the ARS and hams
> themselves -- nowhere!

Those that make the policy decisions for the ARRL have no vested financial
interest in Amatuer Radio whatsoever. In what way are we following the
money and learning the truth, Larry?

> If I were anyone of any significance at the ARRL -- I'd be
> campaigning for fill-in-the-application-and-send-it-in-to-the-FCC
> type licensing for at least the Technician-class license, because
> that's about the ONLY way you'll EVER see any "growth" based on

> the relative lack of effort required by the licensing process.

The Board has not elected to do that. If you think that ARRL should
campaign as such, suggest it to Bernie. I know him fairly well, so I will
go out on a limb and say that I think he will not agree with you.

> If we get ten percent TOTAL growth in the next three years, I'll gladly
eat my words
> *and* another lobster dinner with you!

I will take that bet, Larry. Let's go with the Speroni site data for
5/1/2001 and 5/1/2002. Acceptible?

73, Ed, W1RFI

>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

From 217673956345300678
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 10:13:24 PST
Message-ID: <3AF6D75E.91D1ECD1@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 12:11:58 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF6D6C3.2C6EDA34@zylay.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124

```
X-Trace: corp 989255131 64.240.47.124 (7 May 2001 12:05:31 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5566
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15874 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22767
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21255

Gene wrote:
>
> > > How serious a crime is it? Your assignment - think of all the crimes you
can,
> > > where does freebanding fit it?
> >
> > That's a pretty good question. Unless I'm missing something, I would
> > rank it right up there with driving on the shoulder of the road where
> > such is illegal.
>
> I don't see where it's that minor at all. If one of my kids got hurt
> because of someone driving down the side of the road, I'd hunt them down
> and slowly kill them.

I'm with you, Gene. If you think I said "right down there", you are
mistaken. I ride a bicycle. Vehicles driving on the shoulder are
deadly weapons. What gave you the idea I consider it minor?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 4620479045401959124
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,f7bc3d7b5018f830,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,f7bc3d7b5018f830,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f7bc3d7b5018f830,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f7bc3d7b5018f830,start
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,f7bc3d7b5018f830,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,f7bc3d7b5018f830,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,f7bc3d7b5018f830,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 10:23:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Visit at   - www.ab7sl.com -
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <wRAJ6.3$Qx.302@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 17:22:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.44.223.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989256156 63.44.223.156 (Mon, 07 May 2001 10:22:36 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 10:22:36 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 10:21:01 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22770 rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8678 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2453 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12903 rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5568 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15875 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21256

Lots of STUFF to do at www.ab7sl.com such as...

All - NEW - W9INN antenna page!!

1. Ham Radio Links (some unusual)
2. Several Ham Radio Web Rings !
3.AB7SL Biography
4.Personal Photos
5.Station Description
6.Software Downloads
7.The DX Page (w / Telnet links)
8.Downloadable IOTA List
9.W7NH - Elmer Tribute
8.Prescott Arizona Page
9.Online DX Logbook

10.3D Art Gallery (downloads)
11.Music Page (downloads)
12.Frequently Asked Questions
13.Free-For-All Links Page
14.Guestbook
15.Equipment Photos
16.Downloadable DXCC Countries List
17.Downloadable ITU Prefixes List
18.W9INN Antennas Page
--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

From -6000377492740566121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 10:40:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<u2qJ6.8388$kA2.652327@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<UxxJ6.4349$vg1.339533@www.newsranger.com>

Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <T5BJ6.8684$kA2.695020@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 17:40:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.223
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989257203 12.75.179.223 (Mon, 07 May 2001 17:40:03 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 17:40:03 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21257

"K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message
news:UxxJ6.4349$vg1.339533@www.newsranger.com...
> In article Richard McCollum says...
>
> >So while 1266 Novice tickets expired, 284 renewed or 22.5%. The
interesting
> >thing here is that it is that high. Just who in hell renews a Novice
given
> >the alternative to take the trivial Tech exam or for that matter the
> >General?? And 134 changed their address in the two months so it would
> >appear they will be with us for a while for reasons I find difficult to
> >fathom.
>
> Out here in "flyover country" the novice class still has some legs.
There's an
> awful lot of geography in W0 and W7 (and KL7) where a tech licensee would
be
> awful lonesome with the nearest repeater a couple hundred miles away.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>

Granted, but with the coded cert he has both
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

```
From 4539287942474763620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 11:12:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcyzw52.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <i.hate.taxes@houston-hunks.com>
<989233294.3af6808eaaae7@public.webmail.cotse.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Lines: 17
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 18:13:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.81
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 989259215 63.178.67.81 (Mon, 07 May 2001 18:13:35
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 18:13:35 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15876
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21258

On Mon, 07 May 2001 07:01:34 -0400, KC8JBO wrote:

>> It is against the law for the federal government to take donations or
>> gifts aimed at funding its continuing operations.  That's intended to
>> prevent givers from having undue influence over decisions.
>
>Whoooooooooo...We have ourselves a real jailhouse lawyer here.

    BZZZZT. He's right.  It's called "unauthorized augmentation" and
    if the right auditor gets someone doing it in his sights, the
    "stuff" can fly just as fast as when someone spends Federal funds
    on personal things.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -1908471903117127661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 11:13:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcz0pz3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3af5ee29.1308600@news.mindspring.com>
<20010507055900.29451.00002044@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 35
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 18:13:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.81
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 989259215 63.178.67.81 (Mon, 07 May 2001 18:13:35
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 18:13:35 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21259
```

On 07 May 2001 09:59:00 GMT, Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

```
>>The burden of proof in a criminal case is, as you probably know,
>>"beyond a reasonable doubt."  In the civial courts, the
>>burden is much less... i.e. by a preponderance of the
>>evidence
>
>     Still does not negate the fact that if ONE court finds you innocent, there
>should be NO fear of some other court finding you "guilty" and punish you
>anyway.  "Not Guilty" in a CRIMINAL court, of which the stricter rules of
>evidence weigh, should be sufficient.
>
>     That it is NOT that way is evident...just my opinion.
```

The criminal court finds whether the defendant did or did not commit a CRIME with a particular definition. The civil court finds whether the defendant committed a CIVIL "wrong" (tort) with a DIFFERENT definition. A civil court does not find anyone "innocent" or "guilty"; that's exclusively the purview of a criminal court. The civil court finds whether the defendant is liable for civil damages, which is usually money.

In OJ's case, the criminal jury found (rightly or wrongly) that OJ did not MURDER the victim (murder requires proof beyond a reasonable doubt of specific elements) but the civil jury found that he did, indeed, cause or contribute to the death of the victim (which requires proof by a preponderance of the evidence of different elements) and

```
  ruled only on what monetary damages he owed to the victims' familiies.

  Big difference.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -6406548758947566640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 11:21:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 190
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 May 2001 18:20:45 GMT
References: <3AF60C45.3B9F0700@ovis.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010507142045.20174.00000248@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21260
```

In article <3AF60C45.3B9F0700@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:

```
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3AF3F3C5.A85BEE76@ovis.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@ovis.net> writes:
>>
>> >Are you now speaking for everyone with professional involvement in
>> >communications, Len or is this particular quixotic rant the product of
>> >your mind only?
>>
>> An off-the-cuff poll can be taken by anyone who is IN the radio-
>> electronics industry as to the "pioneering" of radio by amateurs,
>> or of any of the recent or post-1920s "advancement of the state
>> of the radio art" by amateurs.  Heil will not like the results.
>
>How do you know I wouldn't like the results?  You don't have any data,
>haven't taken any such poll and speak solely from your own emotion.
```

Heil is invited to peruse electronics industry trade magazines instead of relying solely on amateur radio publications. One such document was the 50th Anniversary edition of Electronics magazine (biweekly published by McGraw-Hill) that presented (in 650 pages) a history of electronics and radio up to April 17,

1980. [Vince Biancomano was on the staff of that magazine at the time] There are just the briefest mentions of radio amateurs' activities and those are in the pre-1930s era.

The IEEE History Society has a great number of "milestones" in electronics and radio, notable advancements which have been done by true pioneers. Are there any amateurs of note there? [accessible by non-IEEE members on the web]

Those who actually search libraries, the web, industry sources, military sources rather than relying on the lodge hall fraternalism presented in ARRL publications will find scant mention of "pioneering" by radio amateurs.

It is my personal opinion that the ARRL offers a great many services for the radio amateur membership. That's a definite plus for them and nobody can deny that. Problem is, the ARRL is also guilty of a long-term, almost-patronizing viewpoint in its publications that yields the impression of radio amateurs doing the majority of radio pioneering. They have not done much in amateur-radio-only activities. The ARRL publications over-emphasize amateur "affiliations" of professionals engaged in radio and electronics as if the amateur affiliation is the only driving force behind their efforts. It seldom is, verifiable by any pick-up personal polling of electronics industry professionals done by other professionals...or by non-industry pros who don't have some agenda for propagandizing amateurism over and above all professionalism.

Amateur radio is an avocation, a hobby. It is also an arena which is a perfect launching pad for some who wish to dream of "superiority" in radio by virtue of "credentials" in radio which are not recognized as "superior" by the rest of the radio world.

>You are also the first to chastise anyone for presuming to speak for a
>group. Why not just say, "I think..." instead of leading us down the
>merry path?

No, not the "first." There are several others in here who seem to live solely for the chance to put down others for reasons of spite and petulance at their not being awarded the accolades they feel they richly deserve. :-)

Actually, given a perusal of archives, Heil is as guilty as anyone of failure to use the "I think" claim. Heil speaks as if he were the Voice of Ham Heaven come to "educate" the children. :-)

Do I speak for any "group?" Yes and no. My opinions on amateur radio regulations are generally shared with over two dozen acquaintences, only a few of which are "qualified" (by amateur radio license) to speak (be judgemental) about radio in general. I do not presuppose that this "group" is all-embracing with my own opinions due to "credentialized affiliation" with hundreds of thousands of similarly "credentialed" individuals who are of Heil's hive mind. :-)

>> Heil doesn't realize his own attitude towards anyone not "credentialled" as a "qualified" amateur is at the heart of such antagonism.
>
>Heil realizes that you have a personal problem with any radio amateur who doesn't kow tow to you because of your professional credentials.

No. I have never had any "personal problem" with other professionals concerning radio. Only with those amateurs who feel their amateur "qualifications," "credentials," and accomplishments are somehow "superior" to the professionals...including those who feel they can chastize other amateurs about law even though they are as amateur in such matters as anyone.

I have no especial credentials as a professional, have never claimed any. I do have some experience gathered over the last 49 years in a variety of radio applications. I have been fortunate to have had a rewarding career in a field that was and continues to be most fascinating.

There are a few in here who resent others' life experiences, will wave their credentialed affiliations as "superior" and seek actively to put down others who don't share their personal viewpoints.

>Do as I did? Hardly! Just go out and pass any current exam--even the Technician. If you'd like HF access, go for the WHOLE 5 wpm. You don't have to return to yesteryear, just come up with a ticket by meeting today's requirements.

Why is it so "necessary" that everyone "must" have this "qualification" to discuss amateur radio regulations?

Actually, Heil's insistence is null, a non-sequitur. If the FCC, who regulate amateur radio and set the regulations, does not require staff or commissioners to hold amateur radio licenses to perform their legal task, why is it so "necessary" for non-radio-amateurs to need such a credential?

>It's gotta be a snap for a smooth professional such as yourself.

Irrelevant sarcastic comment.

>You did want to join the ranks of radio amateurs did you not?

I've not stated that explicitly nor implied as such. Heil falls into the judgemental group that requires personal experience in something prior to talking of law, regulations covering something.

>I say this because you have a long history here of letting hams know how things should be.

Correction: How things should NOT be, specifically on the elimination of the unneccessary morse code test for an amateur radio license.

>You constantly post material in an amateur radio newsgroup so I would think that many assume that you have some interest in becoming a radio amateur.

Heil thinks wrongly. Heil makes assumptions without data and then tries to present them as "factual."

I do not post messages on other newsgroups concerning amateur radio activities. As I've stated before, my interest is eliminating the morse code test for an amateur license. This newsgroup is supposed to be the forum for that. However, it appears more as an arena where the self-alleged "superiors" can tell off the "inferiors" by any means possible. I do not think that is standard practice in amateur radio but if it were, it would certainly not be any enticement to "join the ranks."

>Otherwise, why the fixation?

Why the "fixation" with some who want to play "judge" to put down others just for the sake of putting them down?

Why the "fixation" with trying to immortalize regulations of yesteryear as the only "good and true values" of today?

Why the "fixation" with morality, sex, citizenship, intelligence, military policy, national policy, national politics, non-amateur radio services, and imposition of personal values on all citizens as shown in here on other threads?

It would seem those who will never ever have to take any amateur radio tests are highly FIXATED on keeping the regulations the same, cast in concrete, armor protected so that they can keep their "credentials" of "superiority" intact.

"If it ain't broke, don't fixate it."

>
>> BTW, is there any truth to Heil writing a reveiw of Black's

>> Law Dictionary for the Federal Communications Law
>> Journal?
>
>Dunno. You started the rumor. Why not write an outcome for it as well?
>
>Dave K8MN

```
From 1102325603589172270
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 11:27:34 PST
Message-ID: <3AF6E9C8.C0788842@zylay.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 14:30:32 -0400
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF6D6C3.2C6EDA34@zylay.com> <3AF6D75E.91D1ECD1@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 7 May 2001 14:27:35 -0400, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 27
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!205.143.240.121
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5569
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15877 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22784
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21261
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Gene wrote:
> >
> > > > How serious a crime is it? Your assignment - think of all the crimes you can,

> > > > where does freebanding fit it?
> > >
> > > That's a pretty good question. Unless I'm missing something, I would
> > > rank it right up there with driving on the shoulder of the road where
> > > such is illegal.
> >
> > I don't see where it's that minor at all. If one of my kids got hurt
> > because of someone driving down the side of the road, I'd hunt them down
> > and slowly kill them.
>
> I'm with you, Gene. If you think I said "right down there", you are
> mistaken. I ride a bicycle. Vehicles driving on the shoulder are
> deadly weapons. What gave you the idea I consider it minor?

Maybe not you personally. Just making a point that while many people
might consider something a very minor violation of the law, it might not
be so minor (and perhaps even for a very good reason) to another person.

```
--
Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                  __O
                                                         _-\<,_
Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/
```

From -1704534327807649400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 11:40:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 May 2001 18:40:20 GMT
References: <VlAJ6.64$95.22652@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010507144020.00244.00001087@nso-mn.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21262

In article <VlAJ6.64$95.22652@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI"
<w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>When it devolves into buzzwords and Capitalization, probably best to let the
>thread die. There are other threads that still have real content. :-)

it will be easier without any capitalization, of course, mr. hare.

maybe you can work out some arrangement with the e.e.cummings newsgroup?

of course, now everyone who wants to be serious has to
write fcc, arrl, fists, qst, cq, etc, to eliminate the trivial. those
will have real content, of course.

all the keyboards will have to throw their shift out...

From 2928846857664988278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 12:07:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh - different approach.
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 14:08:21 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9d6rpa$hf$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
<vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net>
<9ceJ6.27806$2_.10082893@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF5A231.643087EB@IEEE.org>
<aOkJ6.33319$2_.11051428@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF5F43C.3714888@IEEE.org>
<7LtJ6.36153$2_.12335753@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF69AF8.8F36C4A@IEEE.org>
<3AF6CFC6.CE9B2043@crosslink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.8b.a8
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 19:07:22 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15878
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21263

As a lot of us have "Old Biker", but you know something? We never will.
Just like the JFK, RFK, Martin Luther King, and anyone that is against
'certain' folks and groups. We stopped living in a constitutional republic
in 1865. No, thats not a typo.

Dan/W4NTI

"Old Biker" <vito@crosslink.net> wrote in message
news:3AF6CFC6.CE9B2043@crosslink.net...

> I'm not complaining about McVeigh's punishment, I just want to
> know what happened to John Doe #2 and whoever set the charges

> inside the building. Stinks worse than the JFK assasination.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.251 / Virus Database: 124 - Release Date: 4/26/01

```
From -3996852416173361252
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 12:33:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net> <9d3b8512rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
<NNhJ6.2538$TC4.277490@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <tfdf89plpris31@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Lines: 70
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <5MCJ6.3874$YT.254881@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: +SWaIsRSGN1m9KJolKVopVhGiVNKCeOeBLa5legnnvSGpc2uZcRV2KtxqcI6yxRqU9C/
WUSmeEDX!sCM7tOgAn6aXMjK5kyvZ5fDbpeurlGErfYO7cz/
6DybdauWytrdN3jotZAJgH40IOLhG1+XdFFHw!YC0iKTZ4
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 19:33:21 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 19:33:22 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15880
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21264
```

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:tfdf89plpris31@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:NNhJ6.2538$TC4.277490@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> >this equipment could confiscated and auctioned off to licensed

> > operators. The proceeds of these auctions would then be added to the enforcement fund to help pay for more apprehensions.
>
> Funny you should bring this point up. My brother, a fellow Ham too (General Class), asked that question at the Detroit FCC office. He works with a guy, whose father was the head of that office until he died a while back. So he has some indirect connections to the FCC office. When he asked about confiscated equipment, figuring we could get some great gear (modified Ham rigs) cheap, we found out you can't buy any of it, period. In fact the Detroit FCC office has a contract with a local junk yard, they won't tell who, where they take everything. One it gets there everything goes right in to the car carcass crusher, no exceptions.
>
> If you think about it that does make some sense. Why would the FCC put equipment back out on the "streets" where some licensed Ham will end up selling it back to some CBer who then uses it on 11m again. I can just picture some Ham bidding on a pile of used illegal 11m junk, then going around to local flea markets selling it back to CBers at a nice "mark up".
> That sort of defeats the propose of enforcement don't you think?

Agreed, the piles of crap radios, i.e. Cobra, RCI, Galaxy, etc. should all be put under the hammer. However, a lot of these pirates are running good Yaesu, Icom, and Kenwood gear which have only had the "MARS" diode clip mod done.

Additionally, a system could be put in place where the items were sold to specific licensed individuals for personal use only. There is a similar program in place where the military sells surplus M1 Garrand rifles to qualified civilians on a one by one basis.

Like I said in an earlier post, I only gave it five minutes of thought. If addressed seriously by the right people a workable system could be established. After all, this is America and I don't believe that all of the ingenuity and problem solving skills we once possessed as a country are all gone. I know there are current regulations which might prevent the concept execution, but regulations can be changed once it was shown that it would benefit the public and help with the enforcement effort.

I guess it boils down to one's mindset - is the glass half full, or half empty? :>) As with all issues, change takes effort. The greater the change the more effort it would take. But nothing is impossible, and if only half the effort put forth in complaining about the issue could be applied to creating a solution, it would become a reality.

But that's the catch. Just like the code issue, for many people it's easier to complain about it than to put forth the effort to achieve it. It's easy to get a large group of people to agree to a concept, but when you ask the individuals to "put their money where their mouth is" all of a sudden your group has evaporated...

If this thread has done nothing but made people think about solving the problem, then it had done it's job. Who knows what may develop over time...

73 de W6DKN

```
From 7988062247593122642
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 12:36:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <i.hate.taxes@houston-hunks.com>
<989233294.3af6808eaaae7@public.webmail.cotse.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcyzw52.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Lines: 26
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <COCJ6.3886$YT.256123@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /K+neWtcU1fo3j2Ucw4fbaYH2+QEfbvwa+M12rexRcuVztknSj6pExkaxCuX/
K3YxEjVXxP8JmiN!FtVsKuqQGlUiqfcVAOn/xu9COD/
DSgHk7Tr2+G3fv8vz5lRTWv7rBV29QTgYmHlh2DItqR6UTixf!taE3D/EB
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 19:36:02 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 19:36:02 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15881
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21265
```

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gcyzw52.pminews@or.news.verio.net...

> On Mon, 07 May 2001 07:01:34 -0400, KC8JBO wrote:

```
>
> >> It is against the law for the federal government to take donations or
> >> gifts aimed at funding its continuing operations.  That's intended to
> >> prevent givers from having undue influence over decisions.
> >
> >Whooooooooo...We have ourselves a real jailhouse lawyer here.
>
>     BZZZZT. He's right.  It's called "unauthorized augmentation" and
>     if the right auditor gets someone doing it in his sights, the
>     "stuff" can fly just as fast as when someone spends Federal funds
>     on personal things.
>
> --
>    73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

The keyword here is "unauthorized". A simple stroke of the right person's
pen and it would become "Authorized".  Just like Income Tax ...

73 de W6DKN






From -5920684402906929221
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 12:51:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 12:33:11 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3AF6F877.71CBDAC5@uci.edu>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67> <eWxJ6.4402$vg1.342494@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21266



K0HB wrote:
> Why are we losing these "old customers"?
```

Not enough free time to pursue the hobby?

73, AC6XG

```
From 3443638725041781819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 12:51:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-
mail
From: <sparksw4eas@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 15:43:59 -0400
Organization: Broadcast Consultant
Lines: 4
Message-ID: <9d6tu1$1gg$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF6D6C3.2C6EDA34@zylay.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: cf.45.74.f6
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 19:44:01 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.2106.4
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.2106.4
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5574
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15882 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22798
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21267
```

Amen Gene! 73 Sparks

```
From -6850522332974310406
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3f7250cb2113ab0e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f7250cb2113ab0e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 13:04:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Stop by - www.ab7sl.com - today!
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <ZcDJ6.178$dU.17362@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 20:04:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.44.223.180
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989265849 63.44.223.180 (Mon, 07 May 2001 13:04:09 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:04:09 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:02:36 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15883 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21268

Lots of STUFF to do at www.ab7sl.com such as...

All - NEW - W9INN antenna page!!

1. Ham Radio Links (some unusual)
2. Several Ham Radio Web Rings !
3.AB7SL Biography
4.Personal Photos
5.Station Description
6.Software Downloads
7.The DX Page (w / Telnet links)
8.Downloadable IOTA List
9.W7NH - Elmer Tribute
8.Prescott Arizona Page
9.Online DX Logbook
10.3D Art Gallery (downloads)
11.Music Page (downloads)
12.Frequently Asked Questions
13.Free-For-All Links Page
14.Guestbook
15.Equipment Photos
16.Downloadable DXCC Countries List
17.Downloadable ITU Prefixes List
18.W9INN Antennas Page
--

Charles Johnston

charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

```
From -5183635104892831042
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 13:30:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:28:59 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AF7058B.54BA3F6E@uci.edu>
References: <20010504051343.12863.00002096@ng-cs1.aol.com>
<20010504233141.24866.00000658@nso-cu.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21269
```

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20010504051343.12863.00002096@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >>Hare mentioned no Extra, Advanced, General, Novice or Technician
> >>Plus licensees. Only the Technician class, some who "were not
> >>active." Why the emphasis on Technician class?
> >
> >Because I believe that more of the Technician Class did not become active on
> >the air than the Extra Class.
>
> Why does Hare believe this?

Why don't you ask him?

From -825585422506791880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 13:40:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:34:34 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3AF706DA.7A2CF8DC@uci.edu>
References: <3af13286.995646@news.mindspring.com>
<20010504003555.07982.00000958@nso-cm.aol.com>
<3af5eee4.1495915@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21270

Bill Sohl wrote:
> But there was nothing in the R&O that suggested that
> the FCC was so influenced by Kenwood.

......aside from the references to Kenwoods comments. Beyond
referencing comments, the FCC gave no indication that they were
"influenced" by anyone.

73 de ac6xg

From 2096139169999071982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 13:45:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org>
<3AF6D6C3.2C6EDA34@zylay.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <KPDJ6.72$95.23895@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 16:44:21 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 989268330 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 07 May 2001 16:45:30 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 16:45:30 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15884
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21271

Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:

> I don't see where it's that minor at all. If one of my kids got hurt
> because of someone driving down the side of the road, I'd hunt them down
> and slowly kill them. If someone scared them with a near-miss, their car
> would not be recognizable when I was done with it. In short, nobody
> screws with my babies, else I become the mother of all mother bears.

> Lots of people take driving infractions lightly until someone gets
> killed. All of a sudden there is a great wailing and gnashing of teeth
> as everyone wonders what can be done to make our roads safe. For
> starters, might I suggest taking ALL laws seriously, not just the ones
> that fit your own personal agenda?

Do you mean that people should take the laws that prohibit the vigilante
actions you described in your first paragraph seriously?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4937093765414914962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 13:50:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!newsfeed.cs.wisc.edu!
loops.cs.wisc.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 13:42:55 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3AF708CF.E5C7FC8B@uci.edu>
References: <20010506003408.17372.00000953@nso-fg.aol.com>
<20010506154851.09228.00000606@nso-cc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21272

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20010506003408.17372.00000953@nso-fg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes another never-ending story in petulance:
> >Yeah, Len, I read about that in 1964. They used a converted SCR-271 radar -
> >the same kind used at Pearl Harbor in 1941. Hardly "state of the art" in 1946.

> Delete the word "converted" and insert "highly modified."

Why? It reads the same either way.

> Project Diana is the first, repeat first, moonbounce
> demonstration. Someone had to be first. In this case
> the US Army Signal Corps was first.

And this is supposed to illustrate how Morse code keeps people from
advancing the art of amateur radio state?

ac6xg

From 8082054003650729444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 13:52:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <zn%H6.25$95.5339@news.ntplx.net> <20010502204117.10634.00000599@nso-
cd.aol.com>
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <WVDJ6.74$95.23763@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 16:50:57 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 989268726 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 07 May 2001 16:52:06 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 16:52:06 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21273
```

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> >Okay, but I used the words "I" and "expect." In what way do you feel
what
> >others say indicates a "tad of that special ability" in my posts?

> If Hare is able to "see" certain things not borne out by references
> available to the public then that "seeing" is personal opinion or it
> is a repetition of an urban myth. See the "seeing" comments
> between Hare and Carroll of the past two weeks.

I guess you will never understand the meaning of opinion, Len. I am willing to let it rest.

> An example of the urban myth is the already-code-tested who
> continue in the Belief that renewal-time Technician class
> licensees will not renew, that they were never active, along with
> allusions that they are "not really hams."

I will let this rest, too. If you want to persist in misrepresenting my statement that those Techs who are not active will not renew, you are welcome to do so. You look a bit foolish, but you are welcome to that, too.

> >I wasn't trying to change the defintion of speculation or anecodtal, Len.
> >In what way do you feel I am fitting what pattern?

> By the blanket statement that "Techs will not renew" at renewal
> time.

Too bad the blanket statement didn't say that, eh? Nice "spin," though.
For the life of me, I can't figure out what you are trying to accomplish.

> An interesting side-observation is that anecdotal reference is to
> be disregarded if made by a civilian or one of "lesser" class
> license. It is rather obvious that "anecdotal evidence" of
> something is only "factual" if one is of a "superior" class...
> amply demonstrated by others of such "superior" class license
> status.

Uh, oh; Len is getting religious on us again. :-)

> >I used the term "I think" to indicate that I was offering a personal
> >viewpoint. No need to belabor the obvious. I am sure that some of the
Techs
> >who became licensed but never active will renew. Those that don't were
lost
> >to ham radio as much as 10 years ago.

> So were the 18,391 in the whole groupting of Extras, Advanceds,
> Generals, Tech-Plusses, and Novices who did not renew in the
> two year period ending on the close of March 2000.

Okay, so you feel a need to belabor the obvious. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6023206618705500925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 13:52:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feedeast.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!news.stealth.net!news-
east.rr.com!news.rr.com!cyclone.kc.rr.com!news.kc.rr.com!cyclone3.kc.rr.com!
news3.kc.rr.com!typhoon.kc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
From: "MIchael W. McGinley" <mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Message-ID: <0705200115524466683%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67> <eWxJ6.4402$vg1.342494@www.newsranger.com>
<070520010959506699%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
<QeAJ6.62$95.22691@news.ntplx.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit
User-Agent: YA-NewsWatcher/5.0.1
Lines: 27
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 20:52:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.27.104.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com

X-Trace: typhoon.kc.rr.com 989268737 65.27.104.163 (Mon, 07 May 2001 15:52:17 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 15:52:17 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21274

i heard the big gun station down in Tx several times
i can't remember the call but he was loud & clear...
I would have kept after eme if i didn't have to move in to
a apartment and give up my array up on the roof

it was fun while it lasted though.... i may give in and go to the
general class and work PSK-31 on 20 Mtrs

Have a nice one and 73

Michael - N0JVT

In article <QeAJ6.62$95.22691@news.ntplx.net>, Ed Hare, W1RFI
<w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

> MIchael W. McGinley <mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM> wrote:
>
> > 2 Mtr EME   <- After 6 Months of building i think i heard my return
> > echo once !
>
> It is not necessary to hear your own echoes to be able to work EME. Some of
> the bigger stations will be quite workable.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8141373924717214609
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 13:53:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010503065425.01812.00000295@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010503124514.24866.00000594@nso-cu.aol.com>
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <qXDJ6.75$95.23760@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 16:52:33 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200

X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 989268822 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 07 May 2001 16:53:42 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 16:53:42 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21275

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> >But I said that Techs who never became active won't renew, Len.

> Is that a provable, factual statement or just a personal opinion?

I think that the newsgroup archives will prove whether I said it or not.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7547242880835049982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 20:38:24 PST
Message-ID: <3AF4C6E0.D0D42CF4@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 22:37:04 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com> <20010505211832.28252.00002337@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp 989119839 64.240.47.124 (5 May 2001 22:30:39 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21123

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >
> >Harmony in All, Joy Forever as a result of passing a morse
> >code test. Amen.
>
> I'll buy that! Thanks for the gratuitous validation, Len!

We can all be of the body. Landreu has spoken. Peace and tranquility
be unto you, brother.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8251685977855580800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 20:41:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF4C7D6.4B517D73@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>

```
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not> <XvVI6.48662$B22.12323325@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 123
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 23:41:10 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989120341 216.234.103.49 (Sat, 05 May 2001 22:39:01
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 22:39:01 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5534
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15804 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22645
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21124

Um... Either you're being ignorant, or you're trying to test me...

either way.. my answer is...

DUH!

SSB radio emissions have no carrier.

-SSB

Rough Rider wrote:
>
> Full quieting is normally associated with FM use.  Who cares and what does
> it really mean you have a 2 watt carrier, unless you go around deadkeying
> and never say anything . your carrier power is a useless figure. What is
> your carrier power on ssb?
>
> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not...
> > well, if a 2 watt carrier is full quieting 20 miles away, then the
> > antenna must be doing its job, wouldn't you think?
> >
> > -SSB
> >
> > Rough Rider wrote:
> > >
> > > Yes but what you are saying is you are heard with a 2 watt carrier. It
> is
> > > irrelavent what your carrier power is when you are talking. If you had a
> 10
> > > watt carrier and when you talk it went back to 5 watts then all you are
> > > doing is 5 watts not 10.
> > >
> > > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > > news:3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not...
> > > > I've turned down the carrier on my Grant XL to 2 watts. The radio
> swings
> > > > to 5 on AM, and does 0-12 on SSB. the AM measurements are RMS, the SSB
> > > > measurements are PEP.
```

> > > >
> > > > -SSB
> > > >
> > > > HelmutSweenie wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > A 2 watt carrier? do you just sit there and throw dead keys or do
> you
> > > talk.
> > > > > If you do talk I am sure it is more than 2 watts so your deadkey
> figure
> > > is
> > > > > irrelavent.
> > > > >
> > > > > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not...
> > > > > > Well, I'm heard with a 2 watt carrier over 20 miles away straight
> > > shot,
> > > > > > so I must have done something right.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ::shrug::
> > > > > >
> > > > > > -SSB
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "David G. Nagel" wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No. Two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's do not make a 1 1/4 wave
> dipole.
> > > They
> > > > > > > make a dipole composed of two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's. This
> > > > > > > configuration will not make a truly efficient antenna. Read up
> on
> > > > > > > collinear antenna's and dipole antenna's. You will see that what
> you
> > > > > > > have is nothing. However for you sake I do hope it works to your
> > > > > > > satisfaction. I prefer something else...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave WD9BDZ
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Sideband wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Doug:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load
> is....
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8
> (on
> > > 11M)
> > > > > > > > top loaded coil antenna. Two of them make 1 1/4 wave. Maybe I
> > > should
> > > > > > > > have said 1 1/4 wave dipole?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > -SSB

```
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Doug Smith wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > On Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400, Sideband
> > > <sideband@spam.me.not>
> > > > > wrote:
> > > > > > > > > >them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two
> 4'
> > > Wilson
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Uh, 8 feet is not 5/8 wave on 11 meters.  A 102" (8-1/2')
> whip
> > > is
> > > > > 1/4 wave,
> > > > > > > > > so a 5/8 wave would be a bit more than 17 feet long.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > (you should try a 102" whip, I think you're likely to find
> it
> > > more
> > > > > effective
> > > > > > > > > than the twin four-footers.  Because you won't have
> loading-coil
> > > > > losses.
> > > > > > > > > Mine worked *fantastically* well on 10 meters with a
> converted
> > > SSB
> > > > > CB.)
> > > > > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > > > Doug Smith W9WI
> > > > > > > > > Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> > > > > > > > > http://www.w9wi.com


From -7624897772951788903
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 20:44:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF4C89C.25CB3C84@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
```

```
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <58_I6.48304$Ce4.3715578@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 23:44:28 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989120538 216.234.103.49 (Sat, 05 May 2001 22:42:18
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 22:42:18 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5535
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15805 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22646
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21125

Um.... Ok...

So I drive a truck.. Big Deal. I have never cophased antennae on my
truck. I find it counterproductive to even attempt to do so.

But if you want to play CB games...


Uh, 10-9 good buddy?

-SSB

"Peter J. Bertini" wrote:
>
> "> Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
> >
> > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
> > top loaded coil antenna.
>
> Runs chills up and down my spine just thinking about
> a Wilson Silver Load.  Gives me the urge to run and
> buy two, and co-phase them on the sidemirrors for
> anudder 10dB or so..  Ten Fer.


From -4323379871260595057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 20:56:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
```

From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
Date: Sat, 05 May 2001 23:57:05 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com>
<3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com> <3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com>
<3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org> <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net>
<3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.203
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989121399 261919 207.144.227.203 (6 May 2001 03:56:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 6 May 2001 03:56:39 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21126

"Jim Bromley, K7JEB" wrote:
>
> What I was asking for was a bit of consistency.
> If the WoD is so hugely concerned with the health
> and well-being of U.S. society, it shouldn't
> be so adamantly opposed to a comprehensive national
> health insurance program paid for from the General
> Fund. That way, everyone in society could be
> protected from disease and injury as they are now
> from the evils of illegal drugs. And, since
> it would be picking up the health care tab, I think
> it would then be appropriate to dictate what we
> could and could not put into our bodies for
> whatever reason.

When looking at national policy, you can't just look at personal

health care costs, Jim. There are also social and economic issues to
consider. In other words, it is not just a matter of what you put
into your body, but also how your body affects the rest of society as
a whole. You do not live alone - you live in a world filled with
people affected in one way or another by everything you do.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 8507760092991603742
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 21:27:46 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 04:26:48 GMT
Message-ID: <3af2edc0.165737949@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <20010504050920.12863.00002095@ng-cs1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.20
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.20
X-Trace: 5 May 2001 23:23:08 -0500, 64.24.19.20
Lines: 64
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21127

On 04 May 2001 09:09:20 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>There were two basic kinds of Novices back then. Those who
>>bought off-the-shelf equipment and those who rolled their own,
>>operators & soldering iron jocks, rather different critters and that
>>gulf is clearly still out there.
>
>The former were a much larger number than the latter, especially for the Novice
>class. I did not build my own rig.
>
I think we've been here before, Ed. We're not reading off the same
page because we come from chronologically close but in many respects
significantly different "eras" in ham radio if you will. I got into
ham radio two years after the Novice license was created, you got into
it 12 years after the license was created. 1963 Novice ham radio was
quite different from 1953 Novice ham radio wrt to the equipment.
Reasonably priced ham/swl catalog receivers of course were out there
in some volume but there were very few "Novice transmitters" for

instance so almost all of us 1953 types had to do all kindsa soldering
iron pushups to get on the air. A 32V3? Me? Yeah, right . . ! I was
making seven bucks a week delivering the Evening Bulletin
>
By 1963 the catalogs were overflowing with low-end Novice gear from
Heath, Johnson, Globe, Knight WRL and a bunch of others thus plug 'n
play Novice ham radio became far more available when you got there.
Pull 1953 and 1963 QSTs and lookit the ads.
>
>>That whole build or die ethos has been lost of course.
>
>I go to many ham flea markets and I see rows of dealers and individuals selling
>parts. I see hams buying them. They are doing something with them.
>
I've never been to a hamfest and I have a radio junquebox you'd have
to see to believe. Once in great while I "do" something with it . .
>
>>I've done that several times recently. Nobody home. Dismal. My roots
>>have died. Whatta shame.
>
>Yeah, but keep in mind that the crowded Novice band made it very easy for us to
>go from 5 wpm to 13 wpm doing what is fun -- making contacts on the air.
>
Sort of.
>
>The
>ham of today has to do it with code-practice or computer drills, not nearly the
>same. If it looks different to that ham, it is because it IS different, and
>any old timer who tells him that learning code to 13 wpm is easy is not taking
>that difference into account.
>
Whoa! I have *never* stated that attaining any of the test speeds was
"easy". I had to work my buns off to pass all three of the code tests
I took and I flat out flunked the 13wpm test the first time I took it.
I have a glitch, I've always been able to copy the stuff in my head
far in excess of the license requirement. But I still have to struggle
to get 20wpm on paper vs.the 30wpm I coast along with on the bands.

>
 . . I'm still workin' on it . . .
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From 2182301244802722966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 21:27:50 PST

```
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 04:26:52 GMT
Message-ID: <3af2ed54.165630148@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<f%AI6.1269$vg1.100666@www.newsranger.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.20
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.20
X-Trace: 5 May 2001 23:23:11 -0500, 64.24.19.20
Lines: 21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21128
```

On Fri, 04 May 2001 16:43:55 GMT, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:

>Brian Kelly, w3rv says:
>
>I have my 1954 ARRL License Manual here. The Novice section has not a SINGLE
>schematic, nor a SINGLE "calculation" question. There are exactly 4 pages, and
>33 sample questions like "what is a frequency multiplier", "what is the
>relationship between a cycle, a kilocycle, and a megacycle", and "what is the
>purpose of a radio-frequency choke".
>
Well I'll just be damned. I'd have sworn there were "sketch the
circuit" questions in the Novice exam. That point humbly conceded but
cut me some slack willya, it was 48 years ago.
>
But I'll stick with my basic contention that particularly in the case
of kids getting a Novice ticket back then was a far more daunting
process overall than is getting a nocode today.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>
w3rv

```
From 7517374272308461159
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 21:34:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 137
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 04:34:08 GMT
References: <20010505141514.02492.00000491@nso-mk.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010506003408.17372.00000953@nso-fg.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21129

In article <20010505141514.02492.00000491@nso-mk.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>Note also that the excellent QRP EME work reported in another thread was
>>>>done by a couple of old-time 13/20 wpm code-tested upgraded hams.
>>>
>>>I believe that the knowledge they used to do so was not related to either
>>>the code tests or the written tests that they took.
>>>
>>"Not related?" Hard to say - the basics are all there. But the details of
>>what they did are quite far beyond the tests taken.
>>
>>Point is, in their case, the code test was not a "barrier" that kept out
>>"technically knowledgeable individuals" who would "advance the state of the
>>art".
>
>Project Diana achieved the first moonbounce on 10 January 1946
>from Camp Evans (later to become Evans Signal Laboratory), NJ,
>outside of Fort Monmouth, NJ. On 111.5 MHz.

Yeah, Len, I read about that in 1964. They used a converted SCR-271 radar - the
same kind used at Pearl Harbor in 1941. Hardly "state of the art" in 1946.

111.5 Mc. is not an amateur frequency, and wasn't one in 1946. What does
Project Diana have to do with amateur EME?

> For a technical
>explanation, block diagrams, photos, go to:
>
>   http://www.campevans.com/diarnof.html

And you'll get "that page does not exist".

The correct URL is:

http://www.campevans.com/diana.html
>
>Project Diana was done 55 years ago.

So? It was a moon RADAR experiment, not a communications system.

> By technically knowledgeable
>individuals who actually advanced the state of the radio art.

Nobody disputes that. How many taxpayer dollars were spent on that project? What would that be in today's money?

> No CW
>or code skills involved.

Wrong. The mode used was OOK CW. The echoes were heard as beeps. Had there been a second station, communication could have been done by Morse.

No Morse was used because no communication was done. There was no second station to communicate with.

> The US Army Signal Corps personnel,
>including civilians of Evans Signal Labs, didn't need amateur radio
>licenses or passing code tests to make them "qualified."

Who said they did? We're talking about amateur radio, not the military-industrial complex.

Those Diana folks had a some hams involved, though - all code tested at at least 13 wpm:

Lt. Col John H. DeWitt, officer-in-charge, W4ERI, ex-W4FU
E.K. Stodola, head of the lab's Research Section, W3IVF
F. Elacker, Mechanical Engineer, ex-W2DMD
H.P.Kaufmann, W2OQU was also involved at a high level.

Those are just the hams I know of that were involved. There were probably more. Note that a good number of the top people were radio amateurs.

They used power levels 9 dB above those permitted to amateurs at the time, and an antenna that was quite beyond "backyard construction". They had lots of resources.

Lt. Col. DeWitt, W4ERI, was the driving force behind the whole idea, which he first began working on in 1940.
>
>Have NCTAs claimed that the code test was a "barrier" for the
>"technically knowledgeable?"

Yes. Many, many times. There is also a companion claim that "So-and-so is more than qualified to get a ham license because of (fill in professional/academic achievement here) but the code test keeps him/her out".

> Maybe one or two,

More than that. Even Kenwood made that claim in its comments to the FCC.

> but the over-
>whelming use of that phrase in here has been a mantra of the
>PCTAs' dissing of NCTAs...

Nonsense. It has been a rallying call of many nocodetest advocates.

>as if the PCTAs accomplished so
>much in the way of "advancing the state of the amateur radio
>art."

By your standards, no radio amateur has ever done anything of technical value in radio, Len.

Was the 2 way low power EME contact reported here done by noncodetested amateurs? Yes or no, Len?

> As a claimant to "amateur radio historian," N2EY should
>look up the first amateur moonbounce to see when it was done
>and by whom (amateurs who were also working in the
>radio-electronics industry) and with what (see a number of
>mentions of equipment "loans" done by industry).

How do you define "amateur moonbounce", Len? Hearing one's own echoes, as was done in Project Diana? One way reception between two different stations via the moon? Or a 2-way contact over a considerable terrestrial distance?

I already know when all three of the above were done by hams. Do you?

Only the 2-way QSO used loaned equipment, in the form of rusty old surplus dishes and a high power tube or two. They used available resources. They were not paid for their efforts.

They used Morse code for the 2-way QSO, too. Is that the problem?

What does any of this have to do with the excellent achievement of W7SZ and W7LHL? Nobody claimed their achievement was the first EME contact.

Have any of those paid professional " technically knowledgeable individuals" managed 2 way EME radiocommunication using low power (<10 watts), and modest antennas (<2 meter dishes)?

"Advancing the state of the art" isn't just doing something for the first time, with huge resources. It's also doing more with less.

N2EY

```
From -5071257671625393145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-05 23:18:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <tf3al3maot952a@corp.supernews.com> <3AF2090C.2C9DD715@spam.me.not> <tf65rusmrlfnda@corp.supernews.com> <3AF38101.858C2075@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 50
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <s06J6.38783$qD1.727134@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 06:18:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 989129880 24.131.233.143 (Sun, 06 May 2001 02:18:00 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 02:18:00 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5541 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15810 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22647 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21130

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF38101.858C2075@spam.me.not...
> Comments within:
>
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> >
> > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > news:3AF2090C.2C9DD715@spam.me.not...
> > > Actually, I don't have my license yet. I go for my written tests next
> > > week.
> >
> > I wish you good luck and hope you make it!!! You have any equipment yet?
If
> > not what are you looking at getting?
>

> I'm starting out taking the Tech and General written tests. Code will
> come later this year, hopefully. I'm going to need a 2M set to start,
> and an HF set later, once I've passed the code requirement.

That sounds good. The credit for the written elements are good for a year I think. If you
can find somebody to practice code with that would be great help I'm sure.
Depending on your finances, if your looking to save some money, check out
some of the local Hamfests in your area. The ARRL web site has a list of
them all over the country. A used radio can save you a big chunk of coin.
That's maybe your best bet until you figure out what you want or need in the
way of features in a rig. Once you know that then you are in a better
position to spend some money on a new, or newer, radio knowing it will do
what you want. Also don't let some of the Old Timers on this news group get
under your skin either about anything. Some like to take cheap shots at Tech
Class operators. Regardless of what class license you get, or the code speed
you test at, your a Ham at that point, and don't let anybody tell you
different.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

From 1709059579334903005
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 04:50:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 6 May 2001 10:58:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9d3anq02rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com> <20010505211832.28252.00002337@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AF4C6E0.D0D42CF4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-938.newsdawg.com

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21131

In article <3AF4C6E0.D0D42CF4@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> In article <20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>
>> >
>> >Harmony in All, Joy Forever as a result of passing a morse
>> >code test.  Amen.
>>
>> I'll buy that!  Thanks for the gratuitous validation, Len!
>
>We can all be of the body. Landreu has spoken. Peace and tranquility
>be unto you, brother.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

That probably went right over Larry's head. Anyone unfamiliar with Star Trek
wouldn't know what you were talking about.



From -966863226501854287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 04:51:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Date: 6 May 2001 11:06:45 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9d3b8512rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-111.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15813
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21132

In article <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net>, DoggieDaze@Liberal.comz says...
```

>
>   Bottom line. Freebanding is illegal as per FCC rules and regulations.
>What part of "illegal" is so difficult for the rogue CB'ers to understand?
>   For CB operators to run out of band, run more than the legally alloted
>power, and to use illegally modified Ham radios to "play" in the netherlands
>below 11 and into 10 meters is still grossly illegal.
>   Illegal is illegal.

I don't question the legality of freebanders. I quesiton the FCCs failure to do anything about them.

The last time anything was done was a sweep about ten years ago that nabbed nearly 40 violators. It's time to do it again.

```
From -2004861525795005666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 05:57:37 PST
Message-ID: <3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 07:56:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp 989153391 64.240.47.99 (6 May 2001 07:49:51 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
```

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21133

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> When looking at national policy, you can't just look at personal
> health care costs, Jim. There are also social and economic issues to
> consider. In other words, it is not just a matter of what you put
> into your body, but also how your body affects the rest of society as
> a whole. You do not live alone - you live in a world filled with
> people affected in one way or another by everything you do.

In other words, you believe a person's life belongs to the state, not to the person. So have all the other socialists and fascists down through history. Libertarians, OTOH, believe that a person's life belongs to that person. Thus our philosophical differences.

Libertarians believe that a person has an inalienable right to mistreat his/her body if he/she so chooses. You believe that a person must be protected from himself/herself. So don't whine when your freedoms are completely gone in the name of protecting you from yourself. Hope you don't like beefsteak and ice cream.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3956723422461391966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 06:01:11 PST
Message-ID: <3AF54AC0.534430D4@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 07:59:44 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
References: <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net> <9d3b8512rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp 989153598 64.240.47.99 (6 May 2001 07:53:18 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15815 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21134

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> The last time anything was done was a sweep about ten years ago that nabbed
> nearly 40 violators. It's time to do it again.

But this time, hopefully they'll catch more than one percent of them.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4078191642644467506
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 06:25:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 13:25:14 GMT
References: <3AF54AC0.534430D4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Message-ID: <20010506092514.12873.00002317@ng-cr1.aol.com>

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21135

>But this time, hopefully they'll catch more than one percent of them.

Cecil, you beat me to the punch line. To tell you the truth, I honestly think the one precent figure is a bit high. Take care and 73.

Mark

From 2316546652972850335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,19403287323096f5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 06:30:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <cbd42294c46da75a58e807fbfce08b12@anon.xg.nu>
Subject: Re: -----Leland caught spoofing again----
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <slcJ6.50756$B22.12864143@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 13:30:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989155800 24.180.184.171 (Sun, 06 May 2001
06:30:00 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 06:30:00 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21136

Thats all well and good but you provide no proof other than hearsay which is unacceptable in a NG, So you my friend are a Troll ...Post some evidance maybe we will take you seriously.

"Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:cbd42294c46da75a58e807fbfce08b12@anon.xg.nu...
> -------------------------NEWSFLASH--------------------: From: Your most reliable anonymous radio source on the web--------------------------------KC8LDO Leland Scott again caght spoofing-------(Madison Heights) KC8LDO Leland Scott continues to deny any wrongdoing when confronted with evidence indicating otherwise. Scott has, on many occasions, spoofed and been caught.Recently Scott has escalated his campaign of spoofing by crossposting to many newsgroups (Scott is the spoofing author of the recently titled and crossposted   thread,
>
>

>
> ---
> This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
> It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
> http://anon.xg.nu
> ---
>
>

From -7358074052086024959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 06:47:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!news1.iquest.net!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
From: alice@kramden.moon (Alice)
Organization: One 'o these days!
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.9 (Released Version) (x86 32bit)
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 13:43:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.43.48.6
X-Complaints-To: news-abuse@iquest.net
X-Trace: news1.iquest.net 989156635 209.43.48.6 (Sun, 06 May 2001 08:43:55 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 08:43:55 EST
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15818
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21137

Evidently the "NOT" part.

In article <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>, joxendi@bellsouth.net was of the
opinion...
>
>Bill Hammack wrote:
>>
>> On 28 Apr 2001 03:31:54 GMT, KK4TL <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote:
>> : >or when done by the state.
>> : >
>> : Not even close.

```
>>
>> What part of "Thou shalt not kill" don't you understand?
>
>       WHAT PART OF "THOU SHALT NOT KILL DID MCVEIGH NOT UNDERSTAND!?
>
>Jerry



From -7797536535492042974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 07:43:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 14:43:12 GMT
References: <3AF4C6E0.D0D42CF4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010506104312.17372.00000993@nso-fg.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21138

In article <3AF4C6E0.D0D42CF4@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>We can all be of the body.

Works for me.

> Landreu has spoken.

That's "Landru". brother.

> Peace and tranquility
>be unto you, brother.

When's Festival?

73 de Jim, N2EY




From -3552924030465517991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 07:45:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 14:44:29 GMT
References: <20010423192919.12987.00000739@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010506104429.10625.00001316@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21139

>Somehow, the term "freebander" makes it sound so noble. Substitute "federal
>felon" for the term "freebander" and see how it reads.
>

In the news this morning, a man from <your pick> state was issued a "Notice of Apparent Liability" in the amount of $17,000.00 for operation of a radio transmitter on unauthorized frequencies. John Q. Public (Enemy?) was using a modified CB radio to talk with other unauthorized radio operators, also known as "federal felons" on the frequencies directly above the citizen's band and below the 10 meter ham band.

Unlike other citizens accused of crimes, these "federal felons" are guilty until proven innocent in an "administrative" court of law. The onus is on these "federal felons" to take their case to court or pay their relatively minor "NAL" fine, similar to a traffic ticket. These "federal felons" have 30 days to pay their fine.

In other news this morning; President Bush answers more questions re. his charge of drunk driving.; Four NY polive officers are acquitted of murder charges after firing forty-one rounds into a man reaching for his wallet in the vestibule of his home.; Former President Clinton pulls in a cool million on the lecture circuit to help pay his rising legal fees.; A police officer arrests, cuffs, and drags a soccer mom from her car for not having her seat belt fastened...all this while her terrified kids look on. NOTE: Seat belts are designed to protect us from harm.

Todays weather forecast...there's a storm on the horizon.

Yeah, Ed...sound much better. Take care and 73.

Mark

From -6055298901616194496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 08:19:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 15:19:30 GMT
References: <20010506003408.17372.00000953@nso-fg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010506111930.07702.00000673@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21140

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 5/5/01 11:34 PM Central Daylight Time

>"Advancing the state of the art" isn't just doing something for the first
>time, with huge resources. It's also doing more with less.

Well said, Jim.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -3735593024671127583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 08:21:46 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 15:21:09 GMT
Message-ID: <3af56aa7.328774004@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AF4C6E0.D0D42CF4@IEEE.org> <20010506104312.17372.00000993@nso-fg.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.156
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.156
X-Trace: 6 May 2001 10:17:25 -0500, 64.24.17.156
Lines: 13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1

```
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21141

On 06 May 2001 14:43:12 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:



>
>When's Festival?
>
In a couple hours. I was just  presented with a 20-year-old bottle of
Irish single-malt and it's ALL MINE. You'll just have to excuse my
sloppy fist come 5pm.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv



From -767325776213449670
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,51c3d8a3af62a900
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 08:21:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF56C0E.87702EDE@att.net>
From: Tad Danley <taddanley@att.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bootlegger using my call
References: <9abi5g$jgk$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9ai2q2$fhk$1@news.netmar.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 15:21:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.78.245.241
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989162507 12.78.245.241 (Sun, 06 May
2001 15:21:47 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 15:21:47 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21142

K0HB@arrl.org wrote:

> Is the number 65840?
>
> It belongs to KH6MT.
>
```

> Now don't you feel silly with your "bootlegging" conspiracy rant?
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

Wow ... think of the mischief we could foster with the summary UBN report from
CQWW
SSB!

73,

--
Tad Danley, K3TD
mailto:taddanley@att.net

From 6843680825570374751
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 08:24:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 15:24:22 GMT
References: <20010506104429.10625.00001316@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Message-ID: <20010506112422.07702.00000674@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21143

>Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
>From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
>Date: 5/6/01 9:44 AM Central Daylight Time

>Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.

In a nation that can find a man innocent of criminal charges yet still
find him financially responsible for the same allegations in a civil actions,
ANYTHING is possible.

>Todays weather forecast...there's a storm on the horizon.

Sounds like someone has been listening to 3.270mHz a bit.

73

Steve

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -5634021643047611909
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 08:36:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
<vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <9ceJ6.27806$2_.10082893@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 15:36:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989163397 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 06 May 2001 08:36:37 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 08:36:37 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15820
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21144

Leave god and his babbling idiot fanatics aside ... killing is wrong because you wouldn't want someone to kill you ... plain and simple.

--
Rob St.Denis

"Alice" <alice@kramden.moon> wrote in message
news:vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net...
> Evidently the "NOT" part.
>
>
> In article <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>, joxendi@bellsouth.net was of the

```
> opinion...
> >
> >Bill Hammack wrote:
> >>
> >> On 28 Apr 2001 03:31:54 GMT, KK4TL <kk4tl@aol.com> wrote:
> >> : >or when done by the state.
> >> : >
> >> : Not even close.
> >>
> >> What part of "Thou shalt not kill" don't you understand?
> >
> >        WHAT PART OF "THOU SHALT NOT KILL DID MCVEIGH NOT UNDERSTAND!?
> >
> >Jerry
>




From 1216187103643739305
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 08:48:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
cyclone.kc.rr.com!news.kc.rr.com!cyclone3.kc.rr.com!news3.kc.rr.com!
typhoon.kc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
From: "MIchael W. McGinley" <mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Message-ID: <060520011048147038%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3AF0D25A.910@bellsouth.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit
User-Agent: YA-NewsWatcher/5.0.1
Lines: 9
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 15:47:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.27.104.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.kc.rr.com 989164068 65.27.104.163 (Sun, 06 May 2001 10:47:48 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 10:47:48 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5547
```

rec.radio.amateur.misc:15821 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22668
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21145

No Respect - No Quarter - No Mercy

There is no such thing as a freebander
I'll call Freebanders what They really are... FELONS !

Nuff Said

Michael - N0JVT

From 4289045242963257109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 08:53:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 15:53:25 GMT
References: <9ceJ6.27806$2_.10082893@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010506115325.07702.00000676@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21146

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 5/6/01 10:36 AM Central Daylight Time

>Leave god and his babbling idiot fanatics aside

You really do know how to alienate yorself, don't you, "Rob"..?!?!

>... killing is wrong because you wouldn't want someone to kill you ... plain
and simple.

True...but I feel a whole lot better knowing this idiot will be wormbait in
a few days.

If anyone in the federal corrections system gets woozie trying to start
his IV, I will be glad to volunteer my services. And I WILL get it on the
first stick.

TODAY IS THE FIRST DAY OF THE REST OF YOUR LIFE

(Unless you are Timothy McVeigh!)

```
From -8248480545059642862
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 09:14:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net>   <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<9cr4ob$au2$0@pita.alt.net> <3AF2279E.8FCB157D@sccoast.net>
<lGxI6.1166$Hk.110613@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<WbyI6.1287$Hk.118832@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<dHyI6.206$M6.37515@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF3886A.22100343@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 141
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <BLeJ6.84$Kb.18736@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 16:14:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.93.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989165665 209.244.93.3 (Sun, 06 May 2001
09:14:25 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 09:14:25 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 09:12:52 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21147
```

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message

news:3AF3886A.22100343@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > It's been so very importantly pointed
> > out many times in this NG that things
> > stated as facts should have true
> > statistical reference. I am just
> > wondering what references Dwight may
> > have used--since his statement seems
> > so factual. I also wonder if the
> > references are available on the WWW.
> > They would be interesting to review--
> > because my *opinion* has always been
> > the opposite. Maybe I should change
> > my opinion--but not just because "I
> > read it here."
>
>
>   I don't agree with your basic premise, Kim.

If I did not make it clear, above, that it has been "so very importantly
pointed out many times in this NG that things stated as facts should have
true statistical reference," then let me clarify now: *I* have not ever made
that point--nor would I. But it has been made, many times. At most, I used
that statement as a lighthearted reason to feel "justified" in asking you
for references--i.e., I was not trying to establish an argument.

> We are not
> professionals, and this is not a professional discussion. In most
> cases, the things written here are pure opinion, not absolute fact
> (and few claim more than that when posting).
>

Then what appeared to be factual statements you were making were, indeed,
opinion. Thanks for the clarification. I'm glad you are one of few who can
recognize that the hugest part of this NG is nothing more than
opnion--although many, in an attempt to denegrade and insult those with whom
they choose to disagree, also choose to validate themselves in some sort of
defense hidden in factual references they cannot provide.

>   A requirement to substantiate (through statistical reference or some
> other method) each message prior to posting would totally stifle free
> and open expression by the members of these newsgroups. In addition
> to the elimination of opinion, such a demand would require hours of
> research before any message could be posted. Few, if any, would be
> willing to put such an effort into a simple newsgroup discussion.
>

But, imagine how much more intellectual the conversations could
become--perhaps even constructive! : o And, so much better representative
of ham radio as a whole.

>   Furthermore, while reference and verification are important in

> professional discussions, so are the unsubstantiated opinions of those
> professionals, and the unsubstantiated opinions of those who view the
> results of those professionals. Should it be any less for those
> involved in these newsgroup discussions?
>

I hope those who have demanded references for others' opinions (as a way of trying to "prove" others wrong) are reading your comments. It would be nice to believe that those participants in this newsgroup--when confronted with the potential of having just expressed "hype" rather than facts--would remember your words.

> As for your determination not to allow the unsubstantiated opinions
> posted in newsgroups to affect your own opinion, perhaps I should
> remind you that this is not that different then the way opinions are
> often formed outside of newsgroups - through discussions with friends,
> co-workers, associates, and neighbors.

You make quite an assumption above. It is a given that I cannot, nor wish to, allow or disallow, unsubstantiated opinions posted in newsgroups. I have *only* ever posted opinions--nothing more--and they haven't even been based on any references other than my observations in life. Please subside your opinion that I am making any determinations about the abilities of others to post here in this newsgroup! The premise I made in my request to you is that I believe quite differently than you on the issues--often hear the same arguments that you present; and had decided it might be worth invetstigating. Rather than try to collect the data myself and make a feeble attempt at correlating it--maybe there is a good reference "out there" somewhere that has the information.

> And I would find it very hard
> to believe that you have never changed your own opinion based on
> something said by others in your life.

I cannot remember a time that I have changed my opinion based just on something someone has said. I am very opinionated. I also accept the opinions of others. When facts, however, are brought to bear, I don't mind at all considering them, and often have had to "rethink" the *way* in which I arrive at my opinion of something--maybe even change my opinion. Consider that most major issues in life are so affected by more than most of us care to become involved with, that opinion is probably the safest bet to rely upon. (That is my opinion, by the way).

> Now that is not saying that
> you should suspend common sense and believe everything you read here
> (no more than you would believe everything a neighbor or friend says),
> but a totally closed mind to everything you read here would be just as
> unwise (if nothing else, it offers a window to how others are thinking
> about various issues).
>

When I used to participate in this newsgroup, I found "intellectualism" severely lacking. Most people here that inspire even the slightest of

feelings that we'd rather not admit we're capable of (I'd love to believe I
can't truly despise another human being--but there are people in this
newsgroup quite capable of bringing that emotion to the surface of my
expressions). In my opinion, any topic presented here, by the second or
third post, has denegraded into nothing more than denegration of others--the
topic being lost in the insults that fly. I dare say the only "awareness"
or "belief" that I got from participating in this newsgroup for nearly a
year is just how mean some people really can be to others--using their
"opinions" as the means to express that hate and anger (bigots-perhaps).

>   By the way, as for the message you asked me to cite, you caught me
> at a good moment. I often post opinion, without ability to
> substantiate, in these newsgroups. This time, however, my wife just
> finished a report on drug abuse for a college class and one part of
> that report addressed drugs and alcohol. I've been using several
> books she obtained from the college for some of the material I've
> posted to this discussion.
>

I think I'd love to perview some of them--if you'd care to point me in the
right direction. I'd also caution, and you already know this, that even
professional statisticians will tell you that facts and statistics can,
generally, be swayed by the very way in which data is collected. I know of
very few times I have heard "expert" opinions able to be argued against by
other "experts." It is all very interesting, indeed!

>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

Kim W5TIT

From 5350258623204750049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 09:15:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <tdr06260e0qkdd@corp.supernews.com>
<3ae05fcd.264203645@news2.dvol.com> <3AE0C667.EAB1B952@bctv.com>
<3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com> <3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org>
<3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net> <3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org>
<9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>

<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<9cr4ob$au2$0@pita.alt.net> <3AF2279E.8FCB157D@sccoast.net>
<lGxI6.1166$Hk.110613@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF37AE9.7A3F995C@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Steven Spielberg quits BSA over discrimination
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <cMeJ6.87$Kb.18907@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 16:15:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.93.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989165704 209.244.93.3 (Sun, 06 May 2001 09:15:04 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 09:15:04 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 09:13:30 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21148

Thank you!!!

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3AF37AE9.7A3F995C@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > May we have the cites you reference?
>
>
>   Illustrated Medicine, Henry S. Wagman MD, Doubleday/Fergusen, 1998,
> Substance Abuse, page 626 to 665 (discussion of drug and alcohol
> correlation on pages 653 to 654).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>

```
From -8990822047360569176
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 09:20:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <1GxG6.712$st.2803@newsfeed.slurp.net>
<20010428080642.18592.00000023@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 48
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <fReJ6.95$Kb.20330@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 16:20:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.93.3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989166027 209.244.93.3 (Sun, 06 May 2001
09:20:27 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 09:20:27 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 09:18:53 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21149
```

I understand your anger, Steve, definitely. But, Timothy McVeigh didn't care or flinch a bit when he did what he. Never did, never will. Neither will any others who will come after him--and his death, nor anyone else's, will change their mind or suddenly "make them realize" that they're wrong.

We don't have to "tolerate" terrorism. It will happen whether we tolerate it or not.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010428080642.18592.00000023@ng-fw1.aol.com...

```
> >Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
> >From: "David J. Windisch" davidw@copper.net
> >Date: 4/28/01 6:20 AM Central Daylight Time
>
> >McVeigh can be glad his
> >passage from time into eternity will be effected not with having his body
> >subjected to the unexpected and large-scale movement of the physical
> >world surrounding him, or by the reality of Roman-style crucifixion
> >(those goodfellas knew their biz), but by OD.
>
>       We all hope that we will pass without distress sat sleep in our
homes.
> This coward sent tons of shrapnel through the bodies of toddlers for some
> perverse personal political agenda.
>
>       God can slap my hand later.  If it were up to me, McVeigh would find
> himself awoken abruptly in the middle of the night, dargged naked to the
> courtyard of the prison and hanged in the presence of other anti-social
> ner-do-wells who NEED to see REAL death and REAL suffering.
>
>       McVeigh's legal termination may serve as "closure" to the survivors
and
> other victims of his acts, but his death should also be a warning and
example
> to others like him that our society will tolerate (and even encourage)
dissent,
> but will NOT tolerate terrorism.
>
>
>
>
>




From -5832013020070239792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 09:30:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcx1702.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <20010423192919.12987.00000739@ng-cs1.aol.com>
<20010506104429.10625.00001316@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
Lines: 35
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 16:31:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.81
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 989166665 63.178.67.81 (Sun, 06 May 2001 16:31:05 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 16:31:05 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21150

On 06 May 2001 14:44:29 GMT, RadioHead11m wrote:

>Unlike other citizens accused of crimes, these "federal felons" are
>guilty until proven innocent in an "administrative" court of law. The
>onus is on these "federal felons" to take their case to court or pay
>their relatively minor "NAL" fine, similar to a traffic ticket. These
>"federal felons" have 30 days to pay their fine.

Not so...the burden of proof is always on the FCC to show that the operator was in violation.

You may not have been around r.r.a.p. a while back when I posted a detailed breakdown of the law and procedure that the FCC follows in both monetary forfeitures and equipment seizures. In strict compliance with US law, the burden of proof is always on the government to show that the violation occurred, and, where appropriate, that the accused was the violator. The accused always is given the opportunity to offer proof that the violation did not occur and, where appropriate, that s/he was not the violator.

It may appear to the outsider that radio law violators are "guilty until proved innocent" but that is because it is long established procedure that the FCC will not bring a case unless they have slam-dunk cold proof that the violation occurred. It was my job for many years to review each case before issuance of an NAL or referral to prosecution to determine that the evidence met those standards, or else the case was dropped.

The best way to avoid getting involved with this - don't do the violation.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -8352514622190562491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 10:37:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
References: <9ceJ6.27806$2_.10082893@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010506115325.07702.00000676@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <gZfJ6.29252$2_.10363446@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 17:37:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989170636 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 06 May 2001 10:37:16
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 10:37:16 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21151

>      You really do know how to alienate yorself, don't you, "Rob"..?!?!

One person who believes in magical beings is crazy ... a few people who do
make a cult a few more make a religion.

>     True...but I feel a whole lot better knowing this idiot will be
wormbait in
> a few days.

He's being cremated if you didn't hear.

>      If anyone in the federal corrections system gets woozie trying to
start
> his IV, I will be glad to volunteer my services.  And I WILL get it on the
> first stick.

Paramedics do it ... not the guards ...

>
>         TODAY IS THE FIRST DAY OF THE REST OF YOUR LIFE
>
>                            (Unless you are Timothy McVeigh!)
>
>




From -4876127324039088339
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 10:54:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 6 May 2001 17:54:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9d4350$733$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<f%AI6.1269$vg1.100666@www.newsranger.com> <3af2ed54.165630148@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21152

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> On Fri, 04 May 2001 16:43:55 GMT, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:
>
> >Brian Kelly, w3rv says:
> >
> >I have my 1954 ARRL License Manual here. The Novice section has not a SINGLE
> >schematic, nor a SINGLE "calculation" question. There are exactly 4 pages, and
> >33 sample questions like "what is a frequency multiplier", "what is the
> >relationship between a cycle, a kilocycle, and a megacycle", and "what is the
> >purpose of a radio-frequency choke".
> >
> Well I'll just be damned. I'd have sworn there were "sketch the
> circuit" questions in the Novice exam.

The test itself DID have some "sketch the...." but what I recall was
the 'Draw a block diagram of a superheterodyne receiver" and 'Draw a
block diagram of a MOPA transmitter with buffer stage" sort of thing.
I also remember some 'Draw a diagram of a Colpitts (or Pierce)
oscillator'. That was on the General.

Dick

From 6475645689927234543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 11:04:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>

```
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcx5uj0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<f%AI6.1269$vg1.100666@www.newsranger.com> <3af2ed54.165630148@news2.dvol.com>
<9d4350$733$0@208.206.142.67>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 14
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 18:05:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.81
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 989172300 63.178.67.81 (Sun, 06 May 2001 18:05:00
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 18:05:00 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21153

>Brian Kelly, w3rv says:
>
>I have my 1954 ARRL License Manual here.  The Novice section has not a SINGLE
>schematic, nor a SINGLE "calculation" question.  There are exactly 4 pages, and
>33 sample questions like "what is a frequency multiplier", "what is the
>relationship between a cycle, a kilocycle, and a megacycle", and "what is the
>purpose of a radio-frequency choke".

  What is the relationship between a megaphone and a microphone?

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From 945134469327325338
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 11:17:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 6 May 2001 18:17:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <9d44f0$bdf$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <20010505141514.02492.00000491@nso-mk.aol.com>
<20010506003408.17372.00000953@nso-fg.aol.com>
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21154

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20010505141514.02492.00000491@nso-mk.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:

> > The US Army Signal Corps personnel,
> >including civilians of Evans Signal Labs, didn't need amateur radio
> >licenses or passing code tests to make them "qualified."
>
> Who said they did? We're talking about amateur radio, not the
> military-industrial complex.
>
> Those Diana folks had a some hams involved, though - all code tested at at
> least 13 wpm:
>
> Lt. Col John H. DeWitt, officer-in-charge, W4ERI, ex-W4FU
> E.K. Stodola, head of the lab's Research Section, W3IVF
> F. Elacker, Mechanical Engineer, ex-W2DMD
> H.P.Kaufmann, W2OQU was also involved at a high level.
>
> Those are just the hams I know of that were involved. There were probably more.
> Note that a good number of the top people were radio amateurs.

The Signal Corps was always loaded with licensed hams. When I was at Fort Monmouth in the early 60's the NYC office of the FCC sent a testing team down to the Fort on a Saturday to conduct a field test session. The crowd of GI's taking all sorts of FCC tests nearly swamped the facilities. I knew a lieutenant, a ham and a EE, who took and passed everything they FCC had to offer that day, amateur and commercial.

You shoulda seen the Ham Radio club and MARS station. A WW2 vintage double decker building with some dozen different stations set up so that most could be on at the time on different bands and modes, through 2 meters. Collins, Johnson, National, Hammarlund equipment too numerous to describe, along with my favorite, a station running a T368 transmitter and an R390A receiver, on CW. Beautiful.

Full size Signal Corps rhombic mounted on 75 foot utility poles. 40 meter full size tribander at 50 feet, just for openers. Also had a couple VHF stations set up. Even had some early transistorized solar powered stuff. Pretty much the epitome of Ham Radio communications at the time.

Len still chafes because he was too lame to knuckle down and get the code so he could join in. Still bitter 40 years later. Whatta shame. And he has plenty company, unfortunately.

Dick

From 6318072563046800296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 11:29:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 18:28:16 GMT
References: <gZfJ6.29252$2_.10363446@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Message-ID: <20010506142816.14522.00001235@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21155

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 5/6/01 12:37 PM Central Daylight Time

>One person who believes in magical beings is crazy ... a few people >who do make a cult a few more make a religion.

Which, of course, makes it OK for you to insult them, huh?

>He's being cremated if you didn't hear

As if he won't be burning soon enough...?!?!

>>If anyone in the federal corrections system gets woozie trying to
>>start his IV,...(SNIP)

>Paramedics do it ... not the guards ...

Which still does not negate my offer to do it. I never said the "guards" did it, Rob. Please re-read the statement.

From -4600933747911076119
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 12:14:14 PST
Message-ID: <3AF5A231.643087EB@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 14:12:49 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net> <vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net> <9ceJ6.27806$2_.10082893@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.74
X-Trace: corp 989175984 64.240.47.74 (6 May 2001 14:06:24 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15823 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21156

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> Leave god and his babbling idiot fanatics aside ... killing is wrong because
> you wouldn't want someone to kill you ... plain and simple.

Rob, are you about 12 years old? If the choice is to kill or be killed,
which would you choose? Wouldn't it be better for a good guy to kill
a bad guy than for a bad guy to kill a good guy, and then kill another
good guy, and then kill another good guy, and ...
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1225794624469256169
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 12:17:02 PST
Message-ID: <3AF5A2E1.B3F799CE@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 14:15:45 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3AF4C6E0.D0D42CF4@IEEE.org> <20010506104312.17372.00000993@nso-
fg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.74
X-Trace: corp 989176159 64.240.47.74 (6 May 2001 14:09:19 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21157

N2EY wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> > Landreu has spoken.
>
> That's "Landru". brother.

Wasn't that a French computer?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 5692340110011339106
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,596ab308f39961f7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 12:20:26 PST
Message-ID: <3AF5A3A7.21BC4206@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 14:19:03 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Can we sue the ARRL?   Yes we can.
References: <20010423192919.12987.00000739@ng-cs1.aol.com> <20010506104429.10625.00001316@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.74
X-Trace: corp 989176357 64.240.47.74 (6 May 2001 14:12:37 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21158

RadioHead11m wrote:
> NOTE: Seat belts are designed to protect us from harm.

And some cops are determined to protect us from ourselves even
if it kills us.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7501986741870683263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 12:41:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net> <9d3b8512rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Lines: 67
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <NNhJ6.2538$TC4.277490@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace:
+L2tqB0hWGSMBIS+nbKiJOmlD4UbXankDuzMQ0BmegI9XIliOSNY7B1FAfZotvz6FwSVpQ1M3gum!
t0hqERXOh4b9YSlXG+f5070k5leFhMbLV5rNlgQxJVD0yfl8r7evjXCGKqmozJol2OPvLYL0wR0F!
2NN92aqwYQ==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 19:41:33 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 19:41:34 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15824
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21159

"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9d3b8512rds@enews4.newsguy.com...
> In article <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net>, DoggieDaze@Liberal.comz says...
> >
> >    Bottom line. Freebanding is illegal as per FCC rules and
regulations.
> >What part of "illegal" is so difficult for the rogue CB'ers to
understand?
> >    For CB operators to run out of band, run more than the legally
alloted
> >power, and to use illegally modified Ham radios to "play" in the
netherlands
> >below 11 and  into 10 meters is still grossly illegal.
> >    Illegal is illegal.
>
> I don't question the legality of freebanders. I quesiton the FCCs failure
to do
> anything about them.
>
> The last time anything was done was a sweep about ten years ago that
nabbed
> nearly 40 violators. It's time to do it again.
>
```

Enforcement usually boils down to economics. The FCC handles a lot of spectrum, with a minimum of budget and manpower. As usual, the "customers" with the most money - like commercial interests - get the best service.

Since there are so many hams and members of the general public that support more enforcement maybe we could establish a fund that would be used specifically to subsidize increased FCC enforcement of the spectrum at issue. I would be glad to donate on regular basis to such a fund provided it showed results for my money.

I don't mean that we should just send our money in, and hope it gets used for the purpose intended. Rather, let the FCC establish a cost figure for the apprehension of each offender. Then, on a monthly or quarterly basis they could submit to the fund manager a list of those offenders caught during this time period- and the disposition of each case.

The fund manager would review the cases presented, and reimburse the FCC - on a percentage basis, 50-50, 30-70, etc. - for those apprehensions which were of benefit to the ham community.

It could be similar to the programs used by police where they get a piece of the proceeds from each drug bust where cash and/or property is confiscated. Since many of the offenders that would be caught would also be in possession of radio equipment that could be of value to legitimate radio services, this equipment could confiscated and auctioned off to licensed operators. The proceeds of these auctions would then be added to the enforcement fund to help pay for more apprehensions.

(and once a search warrant was executed I wouldn't be too surprised if they found drugs, stolen property, etc. during the search that could also be confiscated - and would generate additional criminal charges for the suspect)

Therefore, the harder the FCC worked, the more they would receive in return. This would change the FCC enforcement efforts from an overhead expense, into an actual profit center - a very rare thing in Government.

**** flame suit on :>) ***

73 de W6DKN

From -5323993816705624231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 12:49:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!

```
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 19:48:52 GMT
References: <3af43512.249531537@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010506154852.09228.00000607@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21161

In article <3af43512.249531537@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
Kelly, w3rv) writes:


>>>Yeah, sure we had "distractions". My General ticket arrived the day of
>>>my Junior Prom. Immediately after the prom (like ten minutes after)
>>>amidst much feminine screeching and tears I dumped my
>>>hormonally-crazed redhead squeeze on her doorstep at midnite, laid a
>>>patch outta there with Dad's Pontiac and split for home to get on the
>>>radio. Cranked the ARC-5 down to 3.505 and pounded out a CQ with my
>>>heart racing and yahoo holyshit here comes g5zk, my first dx contact.
>>>In my tuxedo. How good can ham radio get? . .
>>>>
>>>(PS) Isabelle went on to marry a typical EE and had ten kids and she
>>>keeps telling me we're still not speaking.
>>
>>Hmmm...that may explain a few things...   :-)
>>
>Yeah it should. Heeee! . . too many dry nerds in this NG.

Does Kelly advocate amateur radio taking precedence over
normal teen-age hormonal influences?

That seems the most severe moralistic radio discipline
ethic seen in here for a long time...  :-)



From -5262751368684977901
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 12:49:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 19:48:48 GMT
```

```
References: <9d3anq02rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010506154848.09228.00000605@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21160

In article <9d3anq02rds@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
Bryant) writes:

>In article <3AF4C6E0.D0D42CF4@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>>
>>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>>
>>> In article <20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com>,
>lenover21@aol.com
>>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>>
>>> >
>>> >Harmony in All, Joy Forever as a result of passing a morse
>>> >code test.  Amen.
>>>
>>> I'll buy that!  Thanks for the gratuitous validation, Len!
>>
>>We can all be of the body. Landreu has spoken. Peace and tranquility
>>be unto you, brother.
>>--
>>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>That probably went right over Larry's head. Anyone unfamiliar with Star Trek
>wouldn't know what you were talking about.


TOS, First air on NBC February 9, 1967, "Return of the Archons."
Voice of Landru by Charles Macaulay.        :-)


From 1723038552636867 54
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 12:49:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 238
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 19:48:51 GMT
References: <20010506003408.17372.00000953@nso-fg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
```

Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010506154851.09228.00000606@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21163

In article <20010506003408.17372.00000953@nso-fg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes another never-ending story in petulance:

>>>>>Note also that the excellent QRP EME work reported in another thread was
>>>>>done by a couple of old-time 13/20 wpm code-tested upgraded hams.
>>>>
>>>>I believe that the knowledge they used to do so was not related to either
>>>>the code tests or the written tests that they took.
>>>>
>>>"Not related?" Hard to say - the basics are all there. But the details of
>>>what they did are quite far beyond the tests taken.
>>>
>>>Point is, in their case, the code test was not a "barrier" that kept out
>>>"technically knowledgeable individuals" who would "advance the state of the
>>>art".
>>
>>Project Diana achieved the first moonbounce on 10 January 1946
>>from Camp Evans (later to become Evans Signal Laboratory), NJ,
>>outside of Fort Monmouth, NJ. On 111.5 MHz.
>
>Yeah, Len, I read about that in 1964. They used a converted SCR-271 radar -
>the same kind used at Pearl Harbor in 1941. Hardly "state of the art" in 1946.

Delete the word "converted" and insert "highly modified."
The project was begun in September 1945, was
experimental. There was not a great deal of funding
for experiments in the immediate post-WW2 period.
The 64-dipole "bedspring" antenna (24 dbi gain) was left
over from wartime work.

>111.5 Mc. is not an amateur frequency, and wasn't one in 1946. What does
>Project Diana have to do with amateur EME?

Project Diana is the first, repeat first, moonbounce
demonstration. Someone had to be first. In this case
the US Army Signal Corps was first.

>> For a technical
>>explanation, block diagrams, photos, go to:
>>
>>   http://www.campevans.com/diarnof.html
>
>And you'll get "that page does not exist".

True. I mistakenly typed "diarnof" instead of the
correct "diamof." My error.

For a technical explanation, block diagrams, photos,
of the article "Radar Echoes From The Moon," by

Jack Mofenson (apparently from an Electronics magazine article of 1946), go to:

http://www.campevans.com/diamof.html

>>Project Diana was done 55 years ago.
>
>So? It was a moon RADAR experiment, not a communications system.

Not according to Jack Mofenson, one of the civilian experimenters. There was no need to use RADAR (or radar) to find the moon. Astonomers already knew were it was, is, would be.

The whole point of Project Diana was to use the moon as a REFLECTOR of radio waves as a supplement to the irregular ionospheric conditions of HF. This is clearly stated in Jack Mofenson's article copy on the (corrected) web address given.

Note: One can get a link from www.campevans.com/diana.html to the Mofenson article copy...it is given near the bottom of the "/diana.html" page.

>... How many taxpayer dollars were spent on that project?
>What would that be in today's money?

:-) How is that relevant except to open up yet-another thread of diatribe about "wasteful government spending?"

Project Diana was done FIFTY FIVE YEARS AGO. No doubt that somewhere in a warehouse there are records of accounting dating back to 1945-1946 concerning Evans Signal Corps Laboratory (part of the triad of Coles-Evans-Squire Labs that I passed many times just outside of Fort Monmouth, NJ, in 1952).

If OLD records are "so important," why not go into a separate thread on the wanton waste of WW2 radios that were sold as surplus to civilians beginning in 1946. "Command Sets" and BC-358s were very popular items back then...to hams that were in existance then.

How many taxpayer dollars were spent on those surplus Command Sets where brand-new-in-the-carton receivers could be bought for $6, transmitters for $12, antenna-coupler and modulators for $18?

>Wrong. The mode used was OOK CW. The echoes were heard as beeps. Had >there been a second station, communication could have been done by Morse.

What would be the object of using morse codings in a REFLECTOR

experiment? The original "keyer" was mechanical, later replaced
with an "electronic keyer" variable over a 0.2 to 2.0 second "on"
time. That's not the highly-touted/prized/triumphantly-presented
greater-than-20-WPM copy-in-the-head sort of environment said to
be the ultimate achievement in amateur radio (according to PCTAs).

>No Morse was used because no communication was done. There was no second
>station to communicate with.

Yes, and Heinrich Hertz, "fooling around in a lab" never pioneered
anything about EM waves, either. :-)   [but he did use Spark]

>> The US Army Signal Corps personnel,
>>including civilians of Evans Signal Labs, didn't need amateur radio
>>licenses or passing code tests to make them "qualified."
>
>Who said they did? We're talking about amateur radio, not the
>military-industrial complex.

"MILITARY-INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX" in 1946?!?!?   :-)   :-)   :-)

>Those Diana folks had a some hams involved, though - all code tested at at
>least 13 wpm:
>
>Lt. Col John H. DeWitt, officer-in-charge, W4ERI, ex-W4FU
>E.K. Stodola, head of the lab's Research Section, W3IVF
>F. Elacker, Mechanical Engineer, ex-W2DMD
>H.P.Kaufmann, W2OQU was also involved at a high level.

Oh, wow, yes, cribbing from a later ham publication source.

Oddly, the Jack Mofeson article copy doesn't mention ham
licenses. The OIC, Col. DeWitt, is described as "former
chief engineer of WSM." Of course, McGraw-Hill's
Electronics biweekly wasn't oriented towards amateurs. :-)

>They used power levels 9 dB above those permitted to amateurs at the time,
>and an antenna that was quite beyond "backyard construction". They had lots of
>resources.

Scrap. Left-overs. Evans Lab didn't erect that tower to do
Diana...it was already there as was the bedspring.

>Lt. Col. DeWitt, W4ERI, was the driving force behind the whole idea, which he
>first began working on in 1940.

Really? Mofeson's article says that Project Diana "began" in
1945. Mofeson must be in error then, right?

>>Have NCTAs claimed that the code test was a "barrier" for the
>>"technically knowledgeable?"
>

>Yes. Many, many times.

Error. Faulty memory.

Firstly, there is NO valid necessity for a code test except in the
personally-possessive, do-it-like-I-did-it attitude of the PCTAs.

Secondly, the code test TURNS AWAY those, certainly those
with previous radio experience, from bothering with a license
test involving morse code.

>Nonsense. It has been a rallying call of many nocodetest advocates.

Delusion, rationalization. The FCC said that morse code
ability was not of use in determining licensing of radio
amateurs. In 1990 as well as in 1999.

Is the FCC guilty of some "rallying call?" I don't think so.

>By your standards, no radio amateur has ever done anything of technical value
>in radio, Len.

Error. Incorrect. False charge.

Insofar as the ENTIRE RADIO WORLD is concerned, the state-of-the-art of all of radio has NOT been much improved since the
early US amateur radio operators were reallocated below 200m
wavelengths. The resulting proof of long-distance propagation
prompted more research into HF by academics, industry, and
the military. Radio astronomy got its essential start through
the efforts of an experimenter who was also a radio amateur.
[radio astonomy has not yet proved to be "communications"
in the N2EY absolute definition...:-)]

>I already know when all three of the above were done by hams. Do you?

No. I've only sent commands to a station on the moon and
received a "reply" from that. :-)

>Only the 2-way QSO used loaned equipment, in the form of rusty old surplus
>dishes and a high power tube or two. They used available resources. They were
>not paid for their efforts.

So...it's okay to use "available resources" if they are amateurs,
but it's "military-industrial complex" stuff if done by pros?   :-)

>They used Morse code for the 2-way QSO, too. Is that the problem?

Why does N2EY ask?   :-)   :-)   :-)

>What does any of this have to do with the excellent achievement of W7SZ and
>W7LHL? Nobody claimed their achievement was the first EME contact.

Someone had to be first. Were these two radio amateurs the
first amateurs to do moonbounce? [excuse me, EME in the
correct parlance] Were they they first ever to prove the earth's
moon could be a radio wave reflector?

>Have any of those paid professional " technically knowledgeable individuals"
>managed 2 way EME radiocommunication using low power (<10 watts), and >modest
antennas (<2 meter dishes)?

I don't know, suppose that N2EY tells me.   :-)

One can look into NASA communications for the entire Apollo
project and find out power levels and all technical information
on vehicle communications as well as the ALSEP stations
(Apollo Lunar Surface Experiment Package) set out on the
moon. Apollo didn't use CW. Besides, it was done by the
"military-industrial complex" and so it isn't imporant here, right? :-)

How about the unmanned space vehicles? Their transmit
powers aren't large but they are surely in communications at
DX ranges. Nope, they don't count either...done by the
"military-industrial complex" and not a single one of them
used morse code for communications. Can't be important
or pioneering if those didn't use CW.

Pioneering isn't pioneering unless it involves "qualified-by-test"
CW. So let it be codified by the sages in here. :-)

From 702674756570176036
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 12:49:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 136
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 19:48:53 GMT
References: <3af2ecba.165475562@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010506154853.09228.00000608@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21162

In article <3af2ecba.165475562@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian

Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>>Passing any or all of the test elements, including the code test,
>>is not an intellectual triumph. :-)
>>
>. . . particularly since copying Morse has little or nothing to do
>with intellect.

I'm glad that an Extra finally admits such... :-)

>>>The question is how many of the nocodes have
>>>remained active? Nobody knows.
>>
>>It would seem that some do know, else why would they charge
>>that "nocodes" were not active.
>>
>I just said "nobody knows". I know a helluva lot of hams. I know more
>inactive nocodes on a percetage basis than I know inactive hams with
>other licenses.

So, Kelly specifies conditions for the entire 50 states on the
basis of personal acquaintences?

>>An amateur radio license is just a regulatory mechanism
>>used by the FCC as part of its task to regulate US civil
>>radio. None of the test elements are either "intellectual
>>triumphs" or academic achievements. Amateur radio is
>>not essential to the well-being or safety of the nation's
>>citizens taken as a whole.
>>
>If ham radio didn't exist to give you something to rail against your
>life would be even more dismal than it is. Be thankful we're out here.

Now, now...Kelly is getting heated and losing a sense of
subjectivity (few Extras can claim objectivity). :-)

>>>So what? Is that some kind of surprise? The nocode is the easiest
>>>test. Why WOULDN'T the necomers opt for a nocode ticket?? I got into
>>>the game as a Novice for the same reason.
>>
>>Kelly got a Novice license without taking a code test?!?   :-)
>>
>Of course not. But if the nocode had been out there then I might very
>well have grabbed one while I working on passing the Novice test.

Hmmm...according to tRoll, such "laziness" isn't part of the
WORK ethic to which he aspires. According to him and
(judging from message content) Kelly, amateur radio is all
about morse code skill.

>>It seems to me that the government does indeed look into
>>statistics of such things as licensing in its task of regulating
>>radio.
>>
>In the long term of course. Count on them not giving a hoot about the
>stats changes between last April and now having any significance wrt
>to regulation but which are all the rage in this NG.

Kelly has "insider" information on FCC decision-making?
Interesting, that makes a total of three in here with such
insider information who know all about FCC internal workings.
Amazing.

>>Joe Speroni, AH0A, is an evident PCTA, on public record as
>>being an advocate of amateur radio code testing. Speroni has
>>been tabulating amateur radio statistics for about three years
>>now. Is Speroni "asinine" for doing that task?
>>
>No, it's the way his stats get tossed around which is asinine.

Hmmm...if the stats were to favor the ethos and mythos of
Kelly's ideas about US amateur radio, would the "tossing
around of stats" still be asinine?

>The FCC is not about to take any action on micro-count stats like the
>churning of the month-to-month numbers. They look at trends over
>significant periods like the 5 & 10 year curves and that's also all I
>can be bothered with. Which is why I don't pay any attention to the
>Speroni site.

Translation: "I don't like the way the trends are going, therefore
I don't pay any attention to them." :-)

>>>Band occupancy and spectral efficiency mean everything.
>>
>>So...how is the 2mm band occupancy in Kelly's geographic
>>area?
>>
>Loaded but with plenty of unused freqs. You think occupancy doesn't
>matter? Ask the PA State Troopers, the FCC monitored then grabbed a
>flock of their underutilized freqs a few years back and "refarmed"
>'em.

The 2mm amateur band is 142 to 149 GHz. Whether or not any PA
State Troopers use that band, or any others, is irrelevant, but I digress...

>>It is 7 GHz wide, the largest bandspace allocation for
>>Region 2 amateurs.
>>
>What?? The 2m band here runs from 144 to 148 Mhz. Back to remedial

>math for you, Lenny.

No, it is back to remedial reading for Kelly.

I wrote "2mm," not '2 m.' "mm" = millimeters.

I also wrote a deliberate misstatement to see if Kelly understood.
The 2mm band is NOT the "largest bandspace allocation." The
1mm band is, at 9 GHz bandwidth, going from 241 to 250 GHz.
In all three Regions of the ITU. Kelly didn't understand.

Kelly seems unacquainted with the 14 allocated ham bands
above 2 METERS. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

[a typical Kelly comeback is expected to this...]

>>Does Kelly advocate dropping all VHF
>>and higher amateur bands because their use does not show
>>"spectral efficiency?"
>>
>Of course not again, Lenny. But then the FCC might not give us any
>options but to get more spectrally efficient, eh?

Without the "special talent" of "insider knowledge" of the FCC, it is
difficult to tell, isn't it?

Kelly never defined anything specific about "spectrally efficient."
No doubt this would have to feature OOK CW codings.   :-)

From 7581982426231208248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 13:21:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 63
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 20:21:34 GMT
References: <9d44f0$bdf$0@208.206.142.67>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010506162134.08242.00000314@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21164

In article <9d44f0$bdf$0@208.206.142.67>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> The Signal Corps was always loaded with licensed hams. When I was at
>Fort Monmouth in the early 60's the NYC office of the FCC sent a testing
>team down to the Fort on a Saturday to conduct a field test session. The
>crowd of GI's taking all sorts of FCC tests nearly swamped the
>facilities. I knew a lieutenant, a ham and a EE, who took and passed
>everything they FCC had to offer that day, amateur and commercial.

Must have been part of the "military-industrial complex" cooperation that N2EY cites.   :-)   :-)   :-)

> You shoulda seen the Ham Radio club and MARS station. A WW2 vintage
>double decker building with some dozen different stations set up so that
>most could be on at the time on different bands and modes, through 2
>meters. Collins, Johnson, National, Hammarlund equipment too numerous to
>describe, along with my favorite, a station running a T368 transmitter
>and an R390A receiver, on CW. Beautiful.

Interesting how the "military-industrial complex" can build up a place. Probably did all that "testing" at the Molly Pitcher Hotel which caused all the "crowding".   :-)

Just ten years before (1952) I was at the Signal School at Fort Monmouth, NJ, and their amateur radio building was small, single-story, had only two operator desk positions with older commercial equipment. No big antennas that I recall, just some poles set in the ground with wire antennas. I could be wrong, of course (since I don't champion morse code testing) and it was 49 years ago but, of the two times I was in and at the little amateur building, it didn't impress me.

> Full size Signal Corps rhombic mounted on 75 foot utility poles. 40
>meter full size tribander at 50 feet, just for openers. Also had a
>couple VHF stations set up. Even had some early transistorized solar
>powered stuff. Pretty much the epitome of Ham Radio communications at
>the time.
>
> Len still chafes because he was too lame to knuckle down and get the
>code so he could join in. Still bitter 40 years later. Whatta shame. And
>he has plenty company, unfortunately.

Awww, poor me. :-)

Dickie bird didn't have the advantage of being assigned to a REAL Signal Corps Radio Station...like one built on an old airfield with rhombics on 90-foot poles for 42 transmitters varying in power from 1 KW (FSK CW) to 40 KW (PEP SSB)...or a receiver site shared with the USAF so large it was the largest such site in the world (1953-1956)...or a TTY relay facility so large it handled 200K messages a month on 220 p-tape machines...or many

24-voice-channel microwave equipments linking everything 24/7.
Not a single circuit used CW so I guess I "missed a lot" and
"couldn't join in the fun."   :-)

It must be that the "military-industrial complex" wiped out that
nasty old non-morse-code communications stuff and invested
it all into club and hobby communications at a place near
Red Bank, NJ. Sho boss, whatever y'all say.   :-)   :-)   :-)

From -5291230907919155038
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 14:08:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
spamz.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 21:07:38 GMT
References: <20010506154852.09228.00000607@nso-cc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010506170738.27878.00001810@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21165

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/6/01 2:48 PM Central Daylight Time

>Does Kelly advocate amateur radio taking precedence over
>normal teen-age hormonal influences?

Hmmmm.....Do I want one of my kids participating in a potentially mind enriching past time or making out in the back of a Chevvy, perhaps becoming one of those "statistics"...?!?!

[Jeopardy jingle playing...]

>That seems the most severe moralistic radio discipline
>ethic seen in here for a long time...

What would you know of "morals"...???

From -4587323584829232151
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 14:46:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 170
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 May 2001 21:45:17 GMT
References: <20010506154851.09228.00000606@nso-cc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010506174517.27878.00001814@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21166

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/6/01 2:48 PM Central Daylight Time

> There was not a great deal of funding
>for experiments in the immediate post-WW2 period.

I guess it only counts if you spend a lot of money on it, huh...?!?!

>The 64-dipole "bedspring" antenna (24 dbi gain) was left
>over from wartime work.

I guess it only counts if you order it to specification AND spend lots of money on it.

>>111.5 Mc. is not an amateur frequency, and wasn't one in 1946. What >>does Project Diana have to do with amateur EME?

>Project Diana is the first, repeat first, moonbounce
>demonstration. Someone had to be first. In this case
>the US Army Signal Corps was first.

Jim, you didn't expect Lennie to give an HONEST answer, did you?

>>>Project Diana was done 55 years ago.

>>So? It was a moon RADAR experiment, not a communications system.

>Not according to Jack Mofenson, one of the civilian experimenters.
>There was no need to use RADAR (or radar) to find the moon.
>Astonomers already knew were it was, is, would be.

Nonetheless, the technique used WAS "radar". It was NOT a communications technique they used.

>>How many taxpayer dollars were spent on that project?
>>What would that be in today's money?

>How is that relevant except to open up yet-another thread
>of diatribe about "wasteful government spending?"

Sure...Why "open up yet-another thread or diatribe about "wasteful government spending" when we can open up yet-another thread or diatribe about how Morse Code testing is the damnation of Amateur Radio...?!?!

Lord knows we don't have enough of THOSE in here.........

>"MILITARY-INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX" in 1946?!?!?

You have a problem with facts, Lennie?

Those thousands of aircraft, hundreds of ships and millions of weapons and other tools of war were just picked up Woolworth's...?!?!

>Oh, wow, yes, cribbing from a later ham publication source.

JIM! How dare you use facts from some source that LENNIE didn't know of first or approve of... ! ! ! !

>>>Have NCTAs claimed that the code test was a "barrier" for the
>>>"technically knowledgeable?"

>>Yes. Many, many times.

>Error. Faulty memory.

Lie. Attempt to obfuscate. Sorry Lennie. We're smarter than you.

>Firstly, there is NO valid necessity for a code test except in the
>personally-possessive, do-it-like-I-did-it attitude of the PCTAs.

Error. International law is the necessity for the test at present. It has nothing to do with your personal joust with a few persons in this NG.

>Secondly, the code test TURNS AWAY those, certainly those
>with previous radio experience, from bothering with a license
>test involving morse code.

Error. An Amateur Radio license has been avavilable for over 10 years now without a Morse Code examination. I know PH.D's, BSEE's and people with far greater credentials than YOU will ever own, Lennie, who have acquired and USE this license.

YOUR only "turn-off" is that even in that brief few minutes in front of an examiner team, you will be but a mere common citizen, and that rips your hide.

Too bad for you.

>Delusion, rationalization.

If it doesn't fit a "Lennie Rant", it's "delusion" or "rationalization". Of course any reason issuing forth from the keyboard of Lennie the Loser is The Truth, The Whole Truth, And Nothing But The Truth...yeah...RIGHT!

>>By your standards, no radio amateur has ever done anything of >>technical value in radio, Len.

>Error. Incorrect. False charge.

Other than your former employers at "Ham Radio", who have you recognizedas knowing ANYTHING, Lennie?

Not in THIS NG, that's for sure.

>Insofar as the ENTIRE RADIO WORLD is concerned, the state-
>of-the-art of all of radio has NOT been much improved since the
>early US amateur radio operators were reallocated below 200m
>wavelengths.

This is ripe. A lie, but really ripe.

>>They used Morse code for the 2-way QSO, too. Is that the problem?

>Why does N2EY ask?

Why DOESN'T Lennie answer the question?

>>What does any of this have to do with the excellent achievement of >>W7SZ and W7LHL? Nobody claimed their achievement was the first >>EME contact.

>Someone had to be first. Were these two radio amateurs the
>first amateurs to do moonbounce? [excuse me, EME in the
>correct parlance] Were they they first ever to prove the earth's
>moon could be a radio wave reflector?

None of those facts were in contention. Perhaps some review on YOUR part and then addressing the ORIGINAL comments IN CONTEXT might be appropriate...?!?! Of course, THAT would derail your "Hams don't do anything noteworthy" or "Morse Code Is Evil" rants.

>>Have any of those paid professional " technically knowledgeable
>>individuals" managed 2 way EME radiocommunication using low >>power (<10 watts), and modest antennas (<2 meter dishes)?

>I don't know, suppose that N2EY tells me.

You mean htere was something you couldn't find on a website, Lennie...?!?!

>One can look into NASA communications for the entire Apollo
>project and find out power levels and all technical information
>on vehicle communications as well as the ALSEP stations
>(Apollo Lunar Surface Experiment Package) set out on the
>moon.

Which has WHAT to do with "moonbounce"...???

>Apollo didn't use CW.

I love how you ALWAYS try to work "CW" in to a conversation where it was NOT intorduced by anyone else.

>How about the unmanned space vehicles? Their transmit
>powers aren't large but they are surely in communications at
>DX ranges.

Sure do. To receiving stations like the VLA, JPL, Goldstone, etc etc etc. You can hear a mouse break wind in the Yukon with that much surface area in an antenna.

>Pioneering isn't pioneering unless it involves "qualified-by-test"
>CW. So let it be codified by the sages in here.

Who needs "sages" to do it Lennie when YOU are the Lead Flag Waver for CW...?!?!

From 2046279624640622988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 14:51:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 6 May 2001 21:04:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9d4e9r017qo@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <9d3anq02rds@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010506154848.09228.00000605@nso-cc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-801.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21168

In article <20010506154848.09228.00000605@nso-cc.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com says...

```
>
>In article <9d3anq02rds@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
>Bryant) writes:
>
>>In article <3AF4C6E0.D0D42CF4@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>>>
>>>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>>>
>>>> In article <20010502141149.14184.00000874@nso-mj.aol.com>,
>>lenover21@aol.com
>>>> (Len Over 21) writes:
>>>>
>>>> >
>>>> >Harmony in All, Joy Forever as a result of passing a morse
>>>> >code test.  Amen.
>>>>
>>>> I'll buy that!  Thanks for the gratuitous validation, Len!
>>>
>>>We can all be of the body. Landreu has spoken. Peace and tranquility
>>>be unto you, brother.
>>>--
>>>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>>
>>That probably went right over Larry's head. Anyone unfamiliar with Star Trek
>>wouldn't know what you were talking about.
>
>
>TOS, First air on NBC February 9, 1967, "Return of the Archons."
>Voice of Landru by Charles Macaulay.        :-)

My favorite is "...and the children shall lead", but it's only because I had
the hots for Pamelyn Ferdin when I was ten years old.



From -7461109794886610179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 14:52:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Date: 6 May 2001 21:10:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9d4ekk117qo@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net> <9d3b8512rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3AF54AC0.534430D4@IEEE.org>
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-998.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15828
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21169

In article <3AF54AC0.534430D4@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>> The last time anything was done was a sweep about ten years ago that nabbed
>> nearly 40 violators. It's time to do it again.
>
>But this time, hopefully they'll catch more than one percent of them.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

If enough examples are made of the ones that are caught, it will certainly have
an impact - for awhile. All that's needed are some heavy fines and a lot of
publicity.



From -679043181100460388
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 16:03:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
Date: 6 May 2001 23:03:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3ae2b8a1.35386400@news2.dvol.com>
<3AE2E54A.20DA997D@IEEE.org> <3AE33405.800@bellsouth.net>
<3AE33A2F.905890A2@IEEE.org> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org>
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.67
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21170

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >   When looking at national policy, you can't just look at personal
> > health care costs, Jim. There are also social and economic issues to
> > consider. In other words, it is not just a matter of what you put
> > into your body, but also how your body affects the rest of society as
> > a whole. You do not live alone - you live in a world filled with
> > people affected in one way or another by everything you do.
>
> In other words, you believe a person's life belongs to the state,
> not to the person. So have all the other socialists and fascists
> down through history. Libertarians, OTOH, believe that a person's
> life belongs to that person. Thus our philosophical differences.
>

Nobody gives a crap what you put into your body so long as it doesn't adversely effect anyone else. But long years of experience show quite clearly that the vast majority who use certain substances cannot be trusted to be able to control themselves adequately, I.E. the drugs take over control and the capacity for rational thought and action (and reaction) evaporates. Thus the development of laws prohibitimng their use.

Sure, marijuana can be totally innocuous, to an individual who is smart enough (and can stay that way after consuming it) to stay out from behind the wheel and off the highways, and out of public where most seem to stand out like a sore thumb. Far too may users aren't capable of keeping themselves from becoming a direct problem to society by their inability to control themeselves after they use it. Ask any cop. A high driver is a real hazard to himself and everyone else on the road.

So it looks like you, along with the other marijuana (and stronger stuff) users will just have to stay off the roads and shut up in the house, where no one is likely to ever bother you while you do it. So long as you're just a consumer and not a retailer.
It's part of The Way it IS. Society's way of keeping the druggies off the road and out of normal people's hair (everybody else's-the majority, who make the laws for us all).

From 5846286796017105755
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 16:05:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <gZfJ6.29252$2_.10363446@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010506142816.14522.00001235@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <5NkJ6.33311$2_.11049073@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 23:05:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989190337 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 06 May 2001 16:05:37
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 16:05:37 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21171

>      Which still does not negate my offer to do it.  I never said the
"guards"
> did it, Rob.  Please re-read the statement.

> >>If anyone in the federal corrections system gets woozie trying to

Paramedics are not from the correctional services.

--
Rob St.Denis






From -4541112352820263490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 16:06:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
References: <39N24.59.6@bellsowth.net> <20010427233154.28286.00002517@ng-
ch1.aol.com> <3154.28286@bellsowth.net> <3AEB1039.1B7F@bellsouth.net>
<vycJ6.1547$OS1.201046@news1.iquest.net>
<9ceJ6.27806$2_.10082893@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF5A231.643087EB@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Lines: 9
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <aOkJ6.33319$2_.11051428@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 23:06:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989190406 24.112.8.24 (Sun, 06 May 2001 16:06:46
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 06 May 2001 16:06:46 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15831
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21172
```

> Rob, are you about 12 years old? If the choice is to kill or be killed,
> which would you choose? Wouldn't it be better for a good guy to kill
> a bad guy than for a bad guy to kill a good guy, and then kill another
> good guy, and then kill another good guy, and ...

Surprisingly the only people he'd kill in prison would be other criminals
... how is that bad ?

```
From -7470241510262447924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-06 17:07:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcxmi72.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net> <9d3b8512rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
<NNhJ6.2538$TC4.277490@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
```

Lines: 38
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 00:08:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.81
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 989194109 63.178.67.81 (Mon, 07 May 2001 00:08:29 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 00:08:29 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15834 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21173

On Sun, 06 May 2001 19:41:34 GMT, W6DKN wrote:

>It could be similar to the programs used by police where they get a piece of the proceeds from each drug bust where cash and/or property is confiscated. Since many of the offenders that would be caught would also be in possession of radio equipment that could be of value to legitimate radio services, this equipment could confiscated and auctioned off to licensed operators. The proceeds of these auctions would then be added to the enforcement fund to help pay for more apprehensions.

Much as I support your good thoughts, when the enforcers get a "slice of the action", "the action" is open to widespead abuses, as we have seen in the so-called "drug war".

The FCC has always prided itself on being "squeaky clean" and making short shrift of its own folks who didn't want to be so, and I for one would rather see it continue that way.

>(and once a search warrant was executed I wouldn't be too surprised if they found drugs, stolen property, etc. during the search that could also be confiscated - and would generate additional criminal charges for the suspect)

According to U S criminal law, that could only happen if the contraband was in plain sight or in a place where one could expect to find the items specified in the warrant. The U S Marshals do that sort of search today.

Contraband is contraband - it is material that cannot be resold to others. Again, seizing stuff for resale leads to "dirty opportunities" when the enforcement agency gets a slice of the action. That's why the FCC is ordered by the court to destroy all equipment seized on its behalf by the U S Marshal Service.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -1103459570691495010
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 13:55:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!news.ntplx.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010503065425.01812.00000295@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010503124514.24866.00000594@nso-cu.aol.com>
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <YYDJ6.76$95.23496@news.ntplx.net>
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 16:54:11 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.140.206.200
X-Trace: news.ntplx.net 989268920 209.140.206.200 (Mon, 07 May 2001 16:55:20 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 16:55:20 EDT
Organization: NETPLEX Internet Services - http://www.ntplx.net/
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21276

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:

> Just on an anecdotal basis, I know one Technician class licensee
> who has only been "active" on ham bands for the telecommand of
> model aircraft. No other purpose. I've met three others whose
> only reason for getting a Technician license was to communicate
> during hang gliding activities. None of the four are "active" in the
> sense of "activity" done on HF or VHF communications by radio
> amateurs. None of those have to renew for at least another three
> years.

They are active. I expect that they will renew.

> > Lacking the
> >special ability that you are bantering about, I can't tell whether you
are
> >misperceiving things that badly or are misrepresenting them. Can you
explain?

> Another irrelevant semi-trolling question, perhaps written out of
> irritation or aggravation?

I think irritation, Len.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4710359482934108910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3f7250cb2113ab0e
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f7250cb2113ab0e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 14:58:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: John <uglyjohn@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Stop by - www.ab7sl.com - today!
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 17:00:50 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 4
Message-ID: <en6eftgge26g5lmmmvpd4k543vfk437m9a@4ax.com>
References: <ZcDJ6.178$dU.17362@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.e8.08
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 7 May 2001 21:58:36 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15887
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21277

On Mon, 07 May 2001 20:04:09 GMT, "Charles Johnston"
<ctj92@earthlink.net> wrote:

We see this every week. No one cares.

From 7913391340019148800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 15:02:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.tele.dk!128.230.129.106!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!dispose.news.demon.net!news.demon.co.uk!demon!
g3ohx.demon.co.uk!ianjackson

From: Ian Jackson <ianjackson@g3ohx.demon.co.uk>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 7 May 2001 22:56:56 +0100
Message-ID: <sSP7ZFAoox96Ews4@g3ohx.demon.co.uk>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: g3ohx.demon.co.uk
X-NNTP-Posting-Host: g3ohx.demon.co.uk:212.229.105.168
X-Trace: news.demon.co.uk 989272685 nnrp-13:24705 NO-IDENT
g3ohx.demon.co.uk:212.229.105.168
X-Complaints-To: abuse@demon.net
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Newsreader: Turnpike (32) Trial Version 3.05 <TJ0VKlySlxi7kGP8boxQjhGbRe>
Lines: 40
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22823
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8682 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2563
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2456 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12915
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21278 rec.radio.cb:32388

In article <ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>, Walt Davidson
<walt@f1racing.co.uk> writes
>On Mon, 07 May 2001 01:05:50 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>wrote:
>
>>And for most people, they are speeding just staying with
>>the flow of traffic on American highways. Interstae 80 in NJ
>>is 55 for 30 miles before NYC. The AVERAGE flow of traffic
>>is usually 65/70 or higher. Anyone doing 55 is (or should
>>be) in the extreme right lane.
>
>The speed limit on most UK motorways is 70 mph. If you drive at 70
>mph even in the center lane, you have people tailgating you, flashing
>their headlights and sounding their horn. Eventually they will
>overtake you on the wrong side. The average speed on motorways is
>probably 85 mph.
>
>73 de G3NYY
>
If they can overtake you on the wrong side, then you have NO business
sitting in the middle lane. There are no 'slow' and 'fast' lanes on
British motorways. The Rule of the Road applies - you 'drive on the left
and overtake on the right'. If lane #1 is clear, you should use it, no

matter how fast you are going (provided that you are not constantly having to move into lane #2 or #3 to overtake). It is not your job to try and be a policeman, and create an obstruction by driving at the legal limit in the middle of the road.

Anyway, Walt, you used to ride a motorcycle. We car drivers KNOW that motoring laws don't apply to motorcyclists. When did YOU ever stick to the speed limits???
Ian. ;*)

PS
I think that the UK motorway limit should generally be 80mph (but rigourously enforced) but, in built-up areas, as low as 10mph where conditions call for it. Unfortunately, in the UK it is very difficult to put in place a limit of less than 30mph (I think it takes an Act of Parliament, or even an Act of God).

From 8179952825020662675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 15:03:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 May 2001 22:03:25 GMT
References: <3AF6195B.A9B7E09B@IEEE.org>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Message-ID: <20010507180325.07948.00001288@ng-cm1.news.cs.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21279

Sorry about that Cecil, I see that Bob wrote it. Thanks.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -6728967542930349597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 15:04:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
Date: 7 May 2001 22:04:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9d765f$1an$0@208.206.142.69>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.69
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21280

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > You can make exactly the same argument
> > > concerning guns, Dick. The gun takes
> > > the person over and makes him do evil
> > > things.
> >
> > That is absolutely silly, Cecil. Unlike drugs, a gun does not have
> > any chemical effect on the brain or body.
>
> Of course it does, Dwight. If you think the mental power rush that a
> gun in the hands of a gangbanger provides is not due to brain chemicals
> being released, I feel sorry that you are so ignorant. My concealed
> carry weapon causes chemicals to be released in my brain that makes
> me feel more confident and secure in dangerous situations. All feelings
> are due to brain chemistry, Dwight. If you feel good about watching a
> sunrise, that sunrise is affecting your brain chemistry. Everything
> that causes you to have a feeling is affecting your brain chemistry.

All of which are normal human responses to natural stimula. The fact that you equate those with illicit drugs effects is revealing.

```
From -4289990796194615120
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 15:19:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!usenet
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 17:19:21 -0500
Organization: Houston's Least Reliable ISP
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3AF71F69.458A36CA@houston-hunks.com>
References: <i.hate.taxes@houston-hunks.com>
<989233294.3af6808eaaae7@public.webmail.cotse.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gcyzw52.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15888
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21281
```

Phil Kane wrote:

```
>
> On Mon, 07 May 2001 07:01:34 -0400, KC8JBO wrote:
>
> >Whoooooooooo...We have ourselves a real jailhouse lawyer here.
>
>     BZZZZT. He's right.  It's called "unauthorized augmentation" and
>     if the right auditor gets someone doing it in his sights, the
>     "stuff" can fly just as fast as when someone spends Federal funds
>     on personal things.
```

JBO is consistently always wrong. Foul mouth flapping, he constantly embarrasses himself in front of everyone else.

```
From 8644877810476854768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 15:24:00 PST
Message-ID: <3AF72028.94A42502@IEEE.org>
```

Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 17:22:32 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org> <9d765f$1an$0@208.206.142.69>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp 989273768 64.240.47.124 (7 May 2001 17:16:08 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21283

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > My concealed
> > carry weapon causes chemicals to be released in my brain that makes
> > me feel more confident and secure in dangerous situations.
>
> All of which are normal human responses to natural stimula. The fact
> that you equate those with illicit drugs effects is revealing.

I know some people who would argue with you that guns are not a

"normal" stimuli. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5462934533939171457
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 15:27:10 PST
Message-ID: <3AF720ED.FDB7DE80@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 17:25:49 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org> <9d765f$1an$0@208.206.142.69>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp 989273963 64.240.47.124 (7 May 2001 17:19:23 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED

Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21284

Dick Carroll wrote:
> All of which are normal human responses to natural stimula. The fact
> that you equate those with illicit drugs effects is revealing.

Are you saying that marijuana, a God-given herb, is not a "natural"
stimulus, Dick? If marijuana is unnatural, why did God create it
and give us dominion over it? If marijuana is not natural, I can't
think of anything that is.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2296141477504638499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f7250cb2113ab0e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 15:37:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 May 2001 22:37:24 GMT
References: <ZcDJ6.178$dU.17362@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Stop by - www.ab7sl.com - today!
Message-ID: <20010507183724.04537.00000387@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21285

>All - NEW - W9INN antenna page!

Thanks Charles. Good stuff.

73 de Bert

From 3013803385755717523
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed

X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 15:52:01 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net> <9d3b8512rds@enews4.newsguy.com> <NNhJ6.2538$TC4.277490@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <tfdf89plpris31@corp.supernews.com> <5MCJ6.3874$YT.254881@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Lines: 37
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <VFFJ6.46701$qD1.819824@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 22:51:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 989275893 24.131.233.143 (Mon, 07 May 2001 18:51:33 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 18:51:33 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15891 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21286

"W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message news:5MCJ6.3874$YT.254881@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...

> Agreed, the piles of crap radios, i.e. Cobra, RCI, Galaxy, etc. should all
> be put under the hammer. However, a lot of these pirates are running good
> Yaesu, Icom, and Kenwood gear which have only had the "MARS" diode clip mod
> done.

> Like I said in an earlier post, I only gave it five minutes of thought. If
> addressed seriously by the right people a workable system could be
> established.

Could start a new sport, "Pirate Hunt", to replace Fox Hunting, where the local Hams track down local CB Radio pirate operators, and collect enough evidence to get them busted. Then the Hams who took part in the evidence collection are given an opportunity to bid on the confiscated equipment, on auction day, as a reward for their "public service" to the community. I doubt most hams would pass up a chance to pick up a nice Yaesu, Icom, Kenwood rig, base or mobile, for $100. It's sort of like taking a chance that you might win something at a Hamfest for the cost of the entrance ticket. In large metro areas, with a large population of pirates, I'm sure there are many chances to win. ;-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"I care about truth not for truth's sake
but for my own."

Samuel Butler

From -1203349199418223777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 15:55:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 May 2001 22:55:05 GMT
References: <UcAJ6.61$95.22658@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Message-ID: <20010507185505.04537.00000390@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21287

>Do you have any serious suggestions, Dick, or is cynicism the best you can
>do?

Actually Ed,

Therein lies the answer to the original query "Why are we losing these "old customers"?"...attitude.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 8567892566549150074
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3f7250cb2113ab0e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 15:55:56 PST

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!news-out.nntp.airnews.net.MISMATCH!cabal10.airnews.net!
news.airnews.net!cabal1.airnews.net!news-f.iadfw.net!usenet
From: Charles Johnston <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Stop by - www.ab7sl.com - today!
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 15:55:50 -0700
Organization: Airnews.net! at Internet America
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3EAD44A5A1D336EB.73E6845C573838C1.1D609DE16A20EB41@lp.airnews.net>
X-Orig-Message-ID: <3v9efto6si55svob84trhrggne3d3uafbb@4ax.com>
References: <ZcDJ6.178$dU.17362@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010507183724.04537.00000387@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
Abuse-Reports-To: abuse at airmail.net to report improper postings
NNTP-Proxy-Relay: library2.airnews.net
NNTP-Posting-Time: Mon May 7 17:53:31 2001
NNTP-Posting-Host: !Xb\J1k-Y*>Z:bM&7g61(iFB' (Encoded at Airnews!)
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21288

You're welcome Bert.... 73 / DX

Charles T Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

From -2270213336108181376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 16:08:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>

```
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<3af5f3db.2766643@news.mindspring.com>
<wCtJ6.36147$2_.12329513@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<ejucftc884a82olkuf5vaqpnggklgq54po@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <sSFJ6.38780$2_.13560363@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 23:04:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989276696 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 07 May 2001 16:04:56
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 16:04:56 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22834
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8685 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2565
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2459 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12921
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21289 rec.radio.cb:32398
```

> No it's for revenue enhansment. The cities need the money and most
> cities use "traffic" for generating money as a lot of it isn't paid
> for by residents. GOD help you if you violate in Royal Oak,Mi as they
> have a brand new court bldg that is NOT paid for! Royal Oak police
> allowed a video to be made once and it was broadcast, the sargent is
> giving the beat cops hell so they will PRODUCE more. $$$

Well think of the logistics. The average officer gives out what 10 tickets a day around 75$ a pop. 750$. Now his salary is 240$ a day. He will probably pay 50$ in gas for the touring he does. Administration as well is probably another 100$. So figure after all that he clears 350$. That is being very generous. In bumwad idaho (not an insult just my way of saying nowhere) they proably have 2 cops out per day and chances are they will split those 10 tickets between the two since population is small. Now the police pay 800$ a day for that 750$ income from tickets ... tell me where that makes sense that they do it for the profit ?

```
From -4742359927760737348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 16:12:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <WUFJ6.38791$2_.13566909@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 23:07:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989276854 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 07 May 2001 16:07:34
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 16:07:34 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22836
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8686 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2566
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2460 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12922
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21290 rec.radio.cb:32399
```

> Not idiots...just common evryday people that recognize BAD
> law when they see it and act accordingly.

Tell me how its a bad law ? People speed, simple physics will tell you that that increases kinetic energy and momentum thus increasing stopping distances. People already don't leave enough room at the slower speeds and keep the same distances at the faster ones. So not only were they doomed from the start before they started speeding since every accident that could happen with buddy in front would result in them being involved, but, now their stopping distances are even greater and they will impact with a higher velocity.

```
From 6473895620568333303
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 16:12:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com> <9d556u22ae4@enews4.newsguy.com>
<SItJ6.36151$2_.12333947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9d5vq81eae@enews2.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 7
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <NZFJ6.38814$2_.13581300@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 23:12:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989277165 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 07 May 2001 16:12:45
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 16:12:45 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15895
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21291
```

> ...and if you try to leave any space, some idiot cuts you off.

Then you leave more space ... plain and simple ... as frustrating as it may be its what makes you a safe driver ... getting the 2second disatance only means stepping off the accelerator for a moment anyways

```
From 4929790185463396672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 16:16:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 23:11:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989277073 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 07 May 2001 16:11:13
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 16:11:13 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
```

```
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22839
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8687 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2567
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2461 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12924
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21292 rec.radio.cb:32400
```

> WHY? Do you believe for one second that the speed
> limits on American highways are realistic? Do they have
> any resemblemce to reality. Is 70 mph across Kansas
> logical when there is visibility to the horizon, light traffic
> and not a bend in the load for miles ahead?

First off its law. You hams don't like those cb'ers breaking the law because of some little arbitrary thing like needing a licence to operate (having the sheet of paper does not make you a better op) but yet you find the "arbitrary" speed laws rediculous? They are there to lower the risk of accidents. Car's do not handle as well at the higher velocities, reaction times are required to be far faster etc etc etc ... They do have engineers and such who do determine hte maximum velocity that is safe on a particular road given its conditions or give it the max of 55 (its 65 now isnt it ?) since that is about what the average driver can safely handle.

```
From 5410042039216928889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 16:16:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not> <c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not> <3AF6A3E8.5F001C5F@IEEE.org>
```

<3AF6B5C7.501194DA@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <n1GJ6.53673$B22.13704741@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 23:16:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989277395 24.180.184.171 (Mon, 07 May 2001 16:16:35 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 16:16:35 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5577 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15896 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22840 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21293

Sideband, I will put a cup out for you since you are begging to be correct about full quieting. Notice Cecils definition, frequency modulation recievers, does this give you enough of a hint- that it is FM only. Do yourself a favor while studying for the ham test don't just memorize the answers actually learn what the question mean. If it was fill in the blanks the ham population would decrease by two fold.

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message news:3AF6B5C7.501194DA@spam.me.not...
> So it could apply to an unmodulated AM signal, then? If so, my statement
> was correct. If not, I stand corrected. I hope someone will let me know
> which it is.
>
> -SSB
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Sideband wrote:
> > > I don't recall ever hearing/being told/reading that Full Quieting
> > > applied only to FM. I could be wrong.
> >
> > From the IEEE Dictionary: "quieting sensitivity (frequency-modulation
> > receivers) The minimum unmodulated signal input for which the output
> > signal-noise ratio does not exceed a specified limit, under specified
> > conditions."
> >
> > Note the "unmodulated" requirement which would prohibit this term
> > from being used associated with SSB.
> > --
> > http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8442293687940347196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 16:19:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com> <9d556u22ae4@enews4.newsguy.com>
<SItJ6.36151$2_.12333947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9d5vq81eae@enews2.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <H3GJ6.53679$B22.13706291@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 23:19:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989277543 24.180.184.171 (Mon, 07 May 2001
16:19:03 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 16:19:03 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15897
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21294

Exactly, TL they think that 5 foot space between you and the car in front of
you is enough space to fit at 70 mph.
"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9d5vq81eae@enews2.newsguy.com...
> In article <SItJ6.36151$2_.12333947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> tstdenis3160@home.com says...
>
> >
> >Its all about kinetic energy. The fact that people don't leave the
correct
> >spacing etc etc etc ... Most peopl only leave about 25m between cars at
> >100kmh ... its simply not enough to stop in ... regardless of hos fast
you
> >believe your "reaction time" to be.

```
> >
> >--
> >Rob St.Denis
>
> ...and if you try to leave any space, some idiot cuts you off.
>
```

```
From 6321862241367503006
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 16:19:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not> <c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 9
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <f4GJ6.38846$2_.13598101@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 23:19:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989277579 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 07 May 2001 16:19:39
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 16:19:39 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5578
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15898 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22843
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21295

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not...
> Maybe I've got my definitions confused, but isn't full quieting when a
> transmitted carrier is strong enough to cover all background noise at
> the receiver?

AM always has background noise

From 4130863321020212676
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 16:20:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com> <3AF66838.45F45CD2@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <v5GJ6.53683$B22.13708461@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 23:20:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989277659 24.180.184.171 (Mon, 07 May 2001
16:20:59 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 16:20:59 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15899
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21296

Dwight everyone liks to use the speeding comparision, even though it is
about as minor a law to break as any. Operating on the freeband and speeding
a far from the same thing, One is a hundred dollar fine the other a 10,000
fine ... this isnt rocket science ...

```
"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3AF66838.45F45CD2@sccoast.net...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > So you ALWAYS drove 55 when the national
> > speedlimit was 55?  [snip]
>
>
>   If you read back over the previous messages, you will see that I
> stated a few messages ago that I'm not talking about minor laws like
> speeding and spitting on the sidewalk.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net
```

```
From -7092121577645189348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 16:27:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 23:28:19 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
```

```
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/16.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 17
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22846
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8688 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2568
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2462 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12925
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21297 rec.radio.cb:32403

On Mon, 07 May 2001 10:42:49 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
wrote:

>On Mon, 07 May 2001 09:16:27 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
><tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
>>Just because there are a lot of idiots out there that do it doesn't make it
>>right!
>
>Not idiots...just common evryday people that recognize BAD
>law when they see it and act accordingly.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

Probably the same common, everyday people that recognized a BAD
presidential candidate and voted him into office - twice.


From 1791091579757864801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 17:11:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gczhem0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcyzw52.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<989274101.3af71ff55508f@public.webmail.cotse.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Lines: 22
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 00:12:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.81
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 989280750 63.178.67.81 (Tue, 08 May 2001 00:12:30
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 00:12:30 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15903
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21298

On Mon, 07 May 2001 18:21:41 -0400, KC8JBO wrote:

>>     BZZZZT. He's right.  It's called "unauthorized augmentation" and
>>     if the right auditor gets someone doing it in his sights, the
>>     "stuff" can fly just as fast as when someone spends Federal funds
>>     on personal things.
>>
>It may well be, but I'll just bet it still goes on...unreported.

  I bought my own ballpoint pens for 30 years...I got what I wanted.

  When the stuff hit the fan was when someone used his personal boat
  for a DF platform to solve a case and then put in for the gas used.
  The fact that he saved the goverment the cost of renting a boat for
  a day was never taken into account.

  "The games people play...."

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -1433839749081276390
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,3ebf4d9bfda989ed
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5aae43d8a8eeac4e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 18:09:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-
snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9d31a3$j2s$0@dosa.alt.net> <9d3b8512rds@enews4.newsguy.com>
<NNhJ6.2538$TC4.277490@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <tfdf89plpris31@corp.supernews.com>
<5MCJ6.3874$YT.254881@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<VFFJ6.46701$qD1.819824@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Subject: Re: Freebanders are Illegal. Like it or Not.
Lines: 48
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <eHHJ6.5380$YT.462605@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: +rUPLskZlHefCCMLSqFOp1sOoyG6Qxr+2H3vyiQ3KbYlCIYLeeL0AkTl/2fOseUZYU/Xoz/b/
Zrq!CNaufQoktvc+QPfEFgp8nkjAdTugpbV9mCekYXammPHCI/cAd9xzxxkCImxMuusaTZRoNMJBYUk/!
Bmr2O0Mb
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 01:09:30 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 01:09:30 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15906
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21299
```

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:VFFJ6.46701$qD1.819824@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...
>
> "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:5MCJ6.3874$YT.254881@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> > Agreed, the piles of crap radios, i.e. Cobra, RCI, Galaxy, etc. should
all
> > be put under the hammer. However, a lot of these pirates are running
good
> > Yaesu, Icom, and Kenwood gear which have only had the "MARS" diode clip
> mod
> > done.
>
> > Like I said in an earlier post, I only gave it five minutes of thought.
If
> > addressed seriously by the right people a workable system could be
> > established.
>
> Could start a new sport, "Pirate Hunt", to replace Fox Hunting, where the
> local Hams track down local CB Radio pirate operators, and collect enough
> evidence to get them busted. Then the Hams who took part in the evidence
> collection are given an opportunity to bid on the confiscated equipment,
on
> auction day, as a reward for their "public service" to the community. I
> doubt most hams would pass up a chance to pick up a nice Yaesu, Icom,
> Kenwood rig, base or mobile, for $100. It's sort of like taking a chance
> that you might win something at a Hamfest for the cost of the entrance
> ticket. In large metro areas, with a large population of pirates, I'm
sure
> there are many chances to win. ;-))
>
>

> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

Now there you go! Another good idea - see what can happen when people start focusing on a solution instead of just complaining about the problem? There is no reason why there couldn't be more than one type of active program to address the problem. Several groups active in executing any type of solution would go a long way in reducing and/or eliminating the problem.

Pirate hunts... Excellent idea - a lot more fun, and considerably more rewarding.

73 de W6DKN

From -4688437356883201867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 18:14:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 01:14:18 GMT
References: <20010506162134.08242.00000314@nso-mf.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010507211418.26676.00002429@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21300

In article <20010506162134.08242.00000314@nso-mf.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>Dickie bird didn't have the advantage of being assigned to a REAL
>Signal Corps Radio Station...like one built on an old airfield with
>rhombics on 90-foot poles for 42 transmitters varying in power
>from 1 KW (FSK CW) to 40 KW (PEP SSB)...or a receiver site
>shared with the USAF so large it was the largest such site in
>the world (1953-1956)...or a TTY relay facility so large it handled
>200K messages a month on 220 p-tape machines...or many
>24-voice-channel microwave equipments linking everything 24/7.
>Not a single circuit used CW so I guess I "missed a lot" and
>"couldn't join in the fun."   :-)

Hmmm -- the FSK CW transmitter was 1KW, and the SSB

transmitter was emitting a 40 KW Death Ray. Kinda makes one
wonder. In spite of all that power on SSB, I'll still bet there were
plenty of places that couldn't hear that station! OTOH, the 1 KW
on CW should have been enough to communicate with everyone
between here and the Moon. That is -- everyone who knew the
code, that is!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2999911834279483726
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 18:54:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF751CB.B955FE6C@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <58_I6.48304$Ce4.3715578@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
<3AF6D5BD.D4C1833B@zylay.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 21:54:19 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989286725 216.234.103.49 (Mon, 07 May 2001 20:52:05
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 20:52:05 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5581
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15907 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22866
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21301

```
Now, there, Hand, yer just showin yer igernence, tin-fur! I ne'er said
it were horizontal, roge-o?

:-{P~

-SSB

Gene wrote:
>
> > "> Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
> > >
> > > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
> > > top loaded coil antenna.
> >
> > Runs chills up and down my spine just thinking about
> > a Wilson Silver Load.  Gives me the urge to run and
> > buy two, and co-phase them on the sidemirrors for
> > anudder 10dB or so..  Ten Fer.
>
> That's a big 10-fer good buddy. I'm sure you gets lots of horizontal
> gain with a 4-ft-long half-wave antenna. These dumbasses don't even
> recognize marketing hype when it's served to them on a silver platter.
>
> --
> Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                      __O
>                                                              _-\<,_
> Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
> Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/


From -8265213383859872251
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 19:54:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!
newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 224
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 02:54:16 GMT
References: <20010507142045.20174.00000248@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010507225416.07452.00000826@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21303

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/7/01 1:20 PM Central Daylight Time

>Heil is invited to peruse electronics industry trade magazines
```

>instead of relying solely on amateur radio publications.

Anderson has been REPEATEDLY offered the suggestion that HE might peruse some of the AMATEUR perodicals so that he might gain a more broadened perspective of AMATEUR matters before running his mouth off.

Judging by the usual lack of situational awareness he demonstrates vis-a-vis Amateur matters, it would appear he has decided to not persue this option.

>The IEEE History Society has a great number of "milestones"
>in electronics and radio, notable advancements which have
>been done by true pioneers. Are there any amateurs of note
>there? [accessible by non-IEEE members on the web]

Of course if "we" point out that Lennie's choices of "reference" material favor his particular opinion the same as any ARRL material might favor ours, I am sure he would find some reason to "rationalize" why HIS references are more "reliable" than "ours".

>Those who actually search libraries, the web, industry
>sources, military sources rather than relying on the lodge
>hall fraternalism presented in ARRL publications will find
>scant mention of "pioneering" by radio amateurs.

Most other "sources" have the funds and resources to promote thier positions and "history" more prolifically than hobbyists and volunteers.

Hence the "amateur" vs "professional".

>Problem
>is, the ARRL is also guilty of a long-term, almost-patronizing
>viewpoint in its publications that yields the impression of
>radio amateurs doing the majority of radio pioneering.

And of course this is an unjaded "professional" opinion. Thanks for the nice "warm and fuzzy" about the ARRL's services in the lead-in to this sentence...I felt the hammer falling before I got here, though.

>They have not done much in amateur-radio-only activities.

The ARRL has not done much in Amateur Radio only activites..?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>The ARRL publications over-emphasize amateur "affiliations"
>of professionals engaged in radio and electronics as if the
>amateur affiliation is the only driving force behind their
>efforts.

More "unjaded opinion"...?!?!

>It seldom is, verifiable by any pick-up personal

>polling of electronics industry professionals done by
>other professionals...or by non-industry pros who don't have
>some agenda for propagandizing amateurism over and
>above all professionalism.

"Pick-up personal polling"...?!?!

BuuuuuuWHA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA

Real scientific stuff there, Lennie!

>Amateur radio is an avocation, a hobby. It is also an
>arena which is a perfect launching pad for some who wish
>to dream of "superiority" in radio by virtue of "credentials"
>in radio which are not recognized as "superior" by the rest
>of the radio world.

And once again Lennie starts off with the "warm fuzzy" about what Amatuer Radio is supposed to be then starts his anti-Amateur rhetoric again.

>No, not the "first." There are several others in here who seem
>to live solely for the chance to put down others for reasons of
>spite and petulance at their not being awarded the accolades
>they feel they richly deserve.

Other than yourself, Lennie, whom did you have in mind?

>Actually, given a perusal of archives, Heil is as guilty as anyone
>of failure to use the "I think" claim. Heil speaks as if he were
>the Voice of Ham Heaven come to "educate" the children.

Not "children"...just one spoiled brat.

>Do I speak for any "group?" Yes and no.

You speak for NO group. Unless you can provide us with some documentation as to appointment or election? You may share similar opinions, but you are NOT the "elected spokesperson" for ANYONE.

>My opinions on
>amateur radio regulations are generally shared with over two
>dozen acquaintences, only a few of which are "qualified" (by
>amateur radio license) to speak (be judgemental) about
>radio in general.

None of whom seem to be sufficiently motivated to put thier names to posts in support of you, your opinions, or "professional judgements".

I dare say if any of these "acquaintences" review any of the archives of this NG, whey will be wont to do that at all......

>No. I have never had any "personal problem" with other
>professionals concerning radio.

I bet if I go back to MY "acquaintences" at NADC, we will find out differently. Not a SURE bet, but one DOES wonder...........

>Only with those amateurs
>who feel their amateur "qualifications," "credentials," and
>accomplishments are somehow "superior" to the
>professionals

And only because YOU feel compelled to shove your tripe under our noses and dare to tell you what it smells like, and then have the temerity to become indignant when YOUR "infinite wisdom" is not universally embraced.

>I have no especial credentials as a professional, have never
>claimed any.

A lie. Plain and simple.

>I have been
>fortunate to have had a rewarding career in a field that was
>and continues to be most fascinating.

To bad it's not a real, "on the air" experience. Oh, I am sure you can run on down to wherever you might get some part time "consulting" woprk and eminate some RF, but with whom..???

>There are a few in here who resent others' life experiences,
>will wave their credentialed affiliations as "superior" and
>seek actively to put down others who don't share their
>personal viewpoints.

Other than yourself, Lennie, whom did you have in mind?

>Why is it so "necessary" that everyone "must" have this
>"qualification" to discuss amateur radio regulations?

Practical experience in Amatuer matters and activites....?!?!

Naaaah...that might mean you'd then have to make an INFORMED opinion, rather than the emotional, egocentric rantings you spew forth here. Can't have THAT now, can we...?!?!

>Actually, Heil's insistence is null, a non-sequitur. If the FCC,
>who regulate amateur radio and set the regulations, does not
>require staff or commissioners to hold amateur radio licenses
>to perform their legal task, why is it so "necessary" for non-
>radio-amateurs to need such a credential?

YOU are not an FCC commissioner. And to the best of my knowledge, no FCC staffers or commissioners participate in this forum. (save for Counsellor Kane's affiliations)

And if they did, I doubt we'd have them trying to rub our collective noses in the kind of hate-filled, rhetorical ripe you espouse.

>>It's gotta be a snap for a smooth professional such as yourself.

>Irrelevant sarcastic comment.

About a sarcastic, irrelevant commentor.

>Heil thinks wrongly. Heil makes assumptions without data and
>then tries to present them as "factual."

Pot/kettle/black. Often embellished with yet another rendering of the Anderson CV Extrodinare.

>I do not post messages on other newsgroups concerning
>amateur radio activities.

To the best of anyone's determination, you don't post anywhere else BUT here.

> As I've stated before, my interest is
>eliminating the morse code test for an amateur license

It's about eliminating ANY test that might make you realize your are no more/no less tahn any other citizen who has to sit the exams.

>This newsgroup is supposed to be the forum for that. However, it
>appears more as an arena where the self-alleged "superiors"
>can tell off the "inferiors" by any means possible.

Witness the postings of "NoCWTest", "Avery Fine", "Lenof21", Len Over 21", etc, etc etc............

>I do not
>think that is standard practice in amateur radio but if it were,
>it would certainly not be any enticement to "join the ranks."

If the love of radio itself is not "enticement" to "join the ranks", then you have once again demonstrated complete ignorance of what Amatuer Radio is about and have completely laid waste to many of your OWN rants........

>Why the "fixation" with some who want to play "judge" to
>put down others just for the sake of putting them down?

Witness the postings of "NoCWTest", "Avery Fine", "Lenof21", Len Over 21", etc, etc etc............

>It would seem those who will never ever have to take any
>amateur radio tests are highly FIXATED on keeping the
>regulations the same, cast in concrete, armor protected
>so that they can keep their "credentials" of "superiority"
>intact.

Keep repeating this to yourself over and over and over and over, Lennie...no one ELSE believes it....

```
From -1518380639211809632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 19:56:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
Date: 8 May 2001 02:56:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9d7n9c$pt3$1@208.206.142.69>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org> <9d765f$1an$0@208.206.142.69>
<3AF72028.94A42502@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.69
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21304
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >

```
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > My concealed
> > > carry weapon causes chemicals to be released in my brain that makes
> > > me feel more confident and secure in dangerous situations.
> >
> >  All of which are normal human responses to natural stimula. The fact
> > that you equate those with illicit drugs effects is revealing.
>
> I know some people who would argue with you that guns are not a
> "normal" stimuli. :-)
>
```

Oh, I dunno...where I worked for 30 years almost everyone carried a gun. :-)

```
From -1892012693637939333
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 19:59:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!
newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
Date: 8 May 2001 02:59:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9d7nev$pt3$2@208.206.142.69>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org> <9d765f$1an$0@208.206.142.69>
<3AF720ED.FDB7DE80@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.69
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

```
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21305



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  All of which are normal human responses to natural stimula. The fact
> > that you equate those with illicit drugs effects is revealing.
>
> Are you saying that marijuana, a God-given herb, is not a "natural"
> stimulus, Dick? If marijuana is unnatural, why did God create it
> and give us dominion over it? If marijuana is not natural, I can't
> think of anything that is.


 Yep, it sure is, right along with opium, cocaine, lead arsenic and
numerous other products of nature. Doesn't mean it's something that
contributes to your wellbeing, even if it might seem to.


From 3589326182224534170
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 20:06:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 03:06:17 GMT
References: <3AF7058B.54BA3F6E@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010507230617.20174.00000258@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21306

In article <3AF7058B.54BA3F6E@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <20010504051343.12863.00002096@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>> (W1RFI) writes:
>>
>> >>Hare mentioned no Extra, Advanced, General, Novice or Technician
>> >>Plus licensees.  Only the Technician class, some who "were not
>> >>active."  Why the emphasis on Technician class?
>> >
>> >Because I believe that more of the Technician Class did not become active
```

>on
>> >the air than the Extra Class.
>>
>> Why does Hare believe this?
>
>Why don't you ask him?

that's what was written, a public question to mr. ed hare. See
the beginning quotes above.   :-)

[no capital letters were killed to write this message]

From 7592227282730233483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 20:06:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 03:06:17 GMT
References: <20010507211418.26676.00002429@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010507230617.20174.00000257@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21307

In article <20010507211418.26676.00002429@nso-cg.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>>Signal Corps Radio Station...like one built on an old airfield with
>>rhombics on 90-foot poles for 42 transmitters varying in power
>>from 1 KW (FSK CW) to 40 KW (PEP SSB)...or a receiver site
>>shared with the USAF so large it was the largest such site in
>>the world (1953-1956)...or a TTY relay facility so large it handled
>>200K messages a month on 220 p-tape machines...or many
>>24-voice-channel microwave equipments linking everything 24/7.
>>Not a single circuit used CW so I guess I "missed a lot" and
>>"couldn't join in the fun."   :-)
>
>Hmmm -- the FSK CW transmitter was 1KW, and the SSB
>transmitter was emitting a 40 KW Death Ray.

"FSK" is an acronym for Frequency Shift Keying. As in the original US military plan from 1948, no CW codings were used in the worldwide net from fixed-point stations to fixed-point stations. ADA in Tokyo never used CW.

The "40 KW" PEP transmitter was a Collins commercial unit running as a linear amplifier for a 4 KW Western Electric SSB transmitter. Commercial and military SSB at the time had a 12 KHz wide modulation carrying four 3 KHz voice channels or two voice channels and AFSK TTY tones (number of circuits varied from 4 to 12 depending on whether the carrier equipment generated frequency-diversity pairs).

>Kinda makes one
>wonder. In spite of all that power on SSB, I'll still bet there were
>plenty of places that couldn't hear that station!

Irrelevant. Since all antennas were directional and the rhombics (aimed towards San Francisco and Honolulu and Seattle) had at least 10 dbi gain, the object was to maintain 24/7 serivce. That was done. REAL communications is not sporadic or of the "work lots of DX" activities of amateurs.

>OTOH, the 1 KW
>on CW should have been enough to communicate with everyone
>between here and the Moon. That is -- everyone who knew the
>code, that is!

Again, irrelevant. Unmanned spacecraft beyond the orbit of Mars have typically used less than 10 Watts of RF.

The object of REAL communications in a 24-hour, 7-day a week environment is to maintain communications despite vagarities of the ionosphere on HF propagation.

From 2349798155564363726
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 20:07:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Date: 8 May 2001 03:07:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9d7ntq$d5$0@208.206.142.69>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>

<9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67> <UcAJ6.61$95.22658@news.ntplx.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.69
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21308

"Ed Hare, W1RFI" wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67...
>
> > K0HB wrote:
> > > What can be done
> > > to turn this trend around?
>
> > Maybe include a free Extra ticket in each box of Cocoa Puffs, along
> > with a certificate for a year's free ARRL membership and a $25 rebate
> > form for a ARRl handbook?
>
> Do you have any serious suggestions, Dick, or is cynicism the best you can
> do?

Just the facts, Ed. Give them a license and they'll still lack the
interest to do anything with it. Give them a handbook and it'll go on
the shelf to gather dust, if not in the trash. ARRL? What's that?
Ham radio grew by leaps and bounds for one basic reason - people were
looking for something recreational to do that looked interesting,
inviting and to some, challenging. That they leave it basically means
that other outlets proved more so, to them. Nothing cynical about it,
all the rhetoric aside.

Dick

From -7564477478981153969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 20:25:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.stealth.net!
204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: A minor puzzlement
Lines: 19

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <VGJJ6.9301$4f7.725949@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 03:25:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.107
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989292341 12.75.103.107 (Tue, 08 May
2001 03:25:41 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 03:25:41 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21309
```

Looking at ah0a.org licensing stats, there are 741 Extra tickets expiring in Apr 2011. Where might these have come from?

a. Renewals. But only 112 expired in April.
b. New. Presumably those issued in April will expire in April. All of 15.
c. Vanity for which the fee covers 10 years and generally result in a new 10-year term. 220
d. Grace period renewals, presumably also issued 10 years. 31

At 100% renewal, this still only adds to 378 of the 741. Upgrading generally does not change the expiration date.

This is true for each class and the service as a whole.

Was kommt??

Dick N�BK

```
From -300556255443734557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 20:28:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 03:25:13 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3af7666c.2467862@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com>
<WUFJ6.38791$2_.13566909@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.93
X-Server-Date: 8 May 2001 03:25:50 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22876
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8704 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2569
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2463 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12940
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21310 rec.radio.cb:32426

On Mon, 07 May 2001 23:07:34 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>> Not idiots...just common evryday people that recognize BAD
>> law when they see it and act accordingly.
>
>Tell me how its a bad law ? People speed, simple physics will tell you that
>that increases kinetic energy and momentum thus increasing stopping
>distances.

It is bad law because people do not want the government
telling them how to live their lives and to what degree of
risk they can/should afford themselves. No one is mandated
to drive 70 MPH. If you don't want to drive a that speed,
then don't.

>People already don't leave enough room at the slower speeds and
>keep the same distances at the faster ones. So not only were they doomed
>from the start before they started speeding since every accident that could
>happen with buddy in front would result in them being involved, but, now
>their stopping distances are even greater and they will impact with a higher
>velocity.

Sign up with the Naderites then and push for a rollback
of highway speeds if you feel so strongly about it.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3802728541131550271
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 20:30:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!fr.usenet-edu.net!
usenet-edu.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 03:26:40 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3af7672b.2658424@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.93
X-Server-Date: 8 May 2001 03:27:18 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235

```
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22877
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8705 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2570
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2464 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12941
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21311 rec.radio.cb:32427

On Mon, 07 May 2001 23:28:19 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

>On Mon, 07 May 2001 10:42:49 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 07 May 2001 09:16:27 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
>><tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Just because there are a lot of idiots out there that do it doesn't make it
>>>right!
>>
>>Not idiots...just common evryday people that recognize BAD
>>law when they see it and act accordingly.
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Bill K2UNK
>
>Probably the same common, everyday people that recognized a BAD
>presidential candidate and voted him into office - twice.

If you mean Clinton, you sure have that wrong.  The safety nazis
love Cintonites because they all want to tell you and me how
we should live our lives.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -5552699040280354212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 20:34:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
```

From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 03:31:50 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <3af767ab.2786570@news.mindspring.com>
References: <oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com> <7mvcft8pffd6i5hb7sjulbftjlt0d3nv5i@4ax.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.93
X-Server-Date: 8 May 2001 03:32:33 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22878
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8707 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2571
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2465 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12942
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21312 rec.radio.cb:32429

On Mon, 07 May 2001 06:58:11 -0400, helmsman <> wrote:

>On Mon, 07 May 2001 10:45:27 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>wrote:
>>
>>WHY? Do you believe for one second that the speed
>>limits on American highways are realistic? Do they have
>>any resemblemce to reality. Is 70 mph across Kansas
>>logical when there is visibility to the horizon, light traffic
>>and not a bend in the load for miles ahead?
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Bill K2UNK
>
>The intrastate highways were engineered for 70 mph even in the city,
>and I do miss the days of "no speed limit zone".

They were engineered for 70 when cars of far less technological
ability as to road handling were the norm. I-70 across Kansas
could easily sustain speeds well over 70 today even though the
road itself was engineered years ago.

>Problem is with fuel
>economy,

Fuel economy is an issue that impacts only ME. It is

none of the gov'ts business if I drive in a manner that
costs me fuel economy.

>doing away with frames,

Actually, todays "frameless" cars are better than those with frames in
the same accident.

>lawyers and millions of idiots who
>can't control there machine at speed.

Few speeding accidents ever involve other cars. Most
accidents are caused by non-speeding factors (alcohol,
reckless driving, etc.).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 4911469501644408364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 20:38:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!codeine.org!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 03:36:29 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>

<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.93
X-Server-Date: 8 May 2001 03:37:16 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22879
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8709 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2572
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2466 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12943
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21313 rec.radio.cb:32430

On Mon, 07 May 2001 23:11:13 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>> WHY? Do you believe for one second that the speed
>> limits on American highways are realistic? Do they have
>> any resemblemce to reality. Is 70 mph across Kansas
>> logical when there is visibility to the horizon, light traffic
>> and not a bend in the load for miles ahead?
>
>First off its law. You hams don't like those cb'ers breaking the law
>because of some little arbitrary thing like needing a licence to operate
>(having the sheet of paper does not make you a better op) but yet you find
>the "arbitrary" speed laws rediculous? They are there to lower the risk of
>accidents.

More that they are there to garner revenue.

>Car's do not handle as well at the higher velocities, reaction
>times are required to be far faster etc etc etc ...

If YOU can't handle it, then don't. No one is forcing anyone to
drive 70.

>They do have engineers
>and such who do determine hte maximum velocity that is safe on a particular
>road given its conditions or give it the max of 55

Nope, the national 55 in effect for some 15 years had NOTHING to do
with safety when imposed. Since it has been lifted, highway detaths
per year are STILL dropping.

>(its 65 now isnt it ?)
>since that is about what the average driver can safely handle.

Many states it is now 70 or even 75. In NJ people routinely
drive 70-75 everywhere on the highway...totally ignoring
the posted 55 or 65 limits.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3041157579578808529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 21:23:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 04:23:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 989295816 24.16.178.66 (Mon, 07 May 2001 21:23:36 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 21:23:36 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21314

I understand that CW is required to conform to the ITU. When will this archaic testing requirement be eliminated? Please do no misinterpret this message. I am advocating elimination of code test. We should not eliminate CW. IF CW is your thing, by all means go for it!

-jim

From -3528443014892244583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 21:54:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 04:56:17 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3af77b97.372885@news.cet.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<3af7672b.2658424@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/16.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 12
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22893
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8713 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2574
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2467 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12947
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21315 rec.radio.cb:32433

On Tue, 08 May 2001 03:26:40 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
wrote:

>If you mean Clinton, you sure have that wrong.  The safety nazis
>love Cintonites because they all want to tell you and me how
>we should live our lives.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

Attack the example or address the issue: ad populum is still a logical
fallacy.


From 9198083057201063476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 21:59:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!w9wi
From: w9wi@linux1.localdomain (Doug Smith)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not> <c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not> <f4GJ6.38846$2_.13598101@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: me
Message-ID: <slrn9fes4u.mac.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 24
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 04:59:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.119.229
X-Trace: news1.atl 989297985 209.214.119.229 (Tue, 08 May 2001 00:59:45 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 00:59:45 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5585
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15909 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22897
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21316

On Mon, 07 May 2001 23:19:39 GMT, Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
>news:3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not...
>> Maybe I've got my definitions confused, but isn't full quieting when a
>> transmitted carrier is strong enough to cover all background noise at
>> the receiver?
>
>AM always has background noise

But so does FM. True, the noise vs. signal curve is different but it's
still there.

The cited definition does specify FM, but really the concept of "full quieting" is not at all meaningless on AM. Especially when you consider the effects of AGC, which will back off receiver gain and take the channel noise with it.

It's a bit more of a stretch, but really the concept of quieting isn't completely meaningless for SSB either, again considering AGC effects.

--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

From -2179194409436731944
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 22:04:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Disgusted Taxpayer" <disgustedtaxpayer@noenforcement.org>
From: "Disgusted Taxpayer" <disgustedtaxpayer@noenforcement.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
Distribution: world
Keywords: Riley walloring around the buffet on the taxpayer's expense while addressing wannbe radio cops at hamfests
Lines: 67
Organization: Dewey, Screwem & Howe
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 05:03:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.25.142.141
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989298239 63.25.142.141 (Mon, 07 May 2001 22:03:59 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 22:03:59 PDT
X-Received-Date: Mon, 07 May 2001 22:02:25 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21317 rec.radio.shortwave:33698

How long will the United Patriot Radio pirate station be allowed to operate EVERY day on 6.900 USB & every night on 3.260 USB without any penalty for operating without a license? Could it be that the FCC is quite afraid of the "militia element" involved with this operation?

While this blatent, unlicensed operation is CLEARLY not mobile, neither is "Riley the Radio Enforcement Hero" who supposedly earns his living by ACTIVELY ENFORCING Communications Law on behalf of the taxpayers as set forth by Congress. He should spend less time PREACHING to hams what "the spirit of ham radio" is as he sees it & more time in the field ENFORCING against flagrant lawbreaking.

Talk is cheap, actions are priceless.

How long will it take to "take him down" when the FCC can DF a signal in 11 seconds? Perhaps 11 weeks? Anderson has been on the air for at least that long so maybe it will take 11 months?

Riley hasn't made a true red-handed bust since KA2YBP, the now infamous 7240 SWL Net jammer, was caught in NJ back on October 18, 1998 after 11 weeks of weekly intentional jamming. KA2YBP later plea-bargained his way down from a $7500 fine down to a 3 year voluntary suspension of his license. All the other "busts" have been made on 3rd party hearsay. I guess this pirate has little or nothing to fear since nothing has been done thus far, he has already surrendered his ham license & clearly operates on regular daily schedule. You can see the website for schedule http://www.pbn.4mg.com/ His intentions are pretty clear & continue uncontested even tolerated by those who are supposed to be in authority. What kind of enforcement is that?

It seems clear to me who is scared of who. Riley prefers preaching to passive, wanna-be ham radio cops so they can go out & turn on each other while the FCC Enforcement "Head" willfully turns a blind eye toward a much more consistent & defiant lawbreaker!

You have far more to fear trying to sell a full coverage 800 MHz scanner on eBay than operating an unlicensed broadcast station. On eBay, Riley sees to it that even legal items get his IMMEDIATE ACTION. They're covertly pulled off auction for no legal reason since the ECPA does NOT regulate the resale of analog, cell-capable scanner radios manufactured & type accepted before the ECPA ban took effect! This is clearly unethical & Riley ought to know this since he is a lawyer, right? Enforce non-existant laws while ignoring violation of basic ones? Hmmmm...So much for guts, balance, & conviction in enforcement!!!

"We're working on it" doesn't cut it while slouching around the hotel buffet waiting to do your next hamfest road show speech Riley!

Maybe we need to replace you with someone who will, swiftly, on our behalf... Law is clearly no place for double standards!

I am listening to them right now, as usual, on 6900USB @ 2025Z 06MAY2001. So get busy & do what you are paid to do, ENFORCE!!!

Disgusted Taxpayer

```
From 8483789778228415973
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 22:15:25 PST
Message-ID: <3AF7808A.8EE3A651@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 00:13:46 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org> <9d765f$1an$0@208.206.142.69>
<3AF720ED.FDB7DE80@IEEE.org> <9d7nev$pt3$2@208.206.142.69>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.89
X-Trace: corp 989298441 64.240.47.89 (8 May 2001 00:07:21 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
```

```
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21318

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Yep, it sure is, right along with opium, cocaine, lead arsenic and
> numerous other products of nature. Doesn't mean it's something that
> contributes to your wellbeing, even if it might seem to.

Dick, where I worked in CA in the 70's, marijuana smoking was tolerated
at work. The VP of Engineering office often reeked of marijuana smoke.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6989199885516979491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 22:45:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 01:46:08 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3AF787E9.972CACE2@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <9c0b0j$9ih$0@208.206.142.101>
<3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-74.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989300721 344812 207.144.64.204 (8 May 2001 05:45:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 05:45:21 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21319

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Of course it does, Dwight. If you think the
> mental power rush that a gun in the hands
> of a gangbanger provides is not due to
> brain chemicals being released, [snip]

I have no idea what is going on in the mind of a gangbanger, Cecil. However, since so many people use a weapon daily (target shooting, hunting, etc) without any chemical reaction that I'm aware of, perhaps the "power rush" you describe comes from the situation, not the weapon.

> > Illegal drug use is an actual crime.
>
> Only by definition. It is no *real* crime
> at all. If it was a *real* crime like murder,
> it wouldn't have been legal at the turn
> of the last century.

Cecil, you have to come up with something better than that. At "the turn of the century," driving a car while intoxicated was not a crime (heck, the car itself was not even that much of an issue back then). However, it is illegal to drive while intoxicated today. Likewise, drugs were not a problem at "the turn of the century." Today they are, so we have laws today to address them. The same can be said for a long list of other situations that were not a problem, or didn't exist, at "the turn of the century."

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 2030690859750665323
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 22:52:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 01:53:05 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AF78988.F5A7B46F@sccoast.net>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org> <9d765f$1an$0@208.206.142.69>
<3AF720ED.FDB7DE80@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-74.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989301137 344927 207.144.64.204 (8 May 2001 05:52:17
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 05:52:17 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21320

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Are you saying that marijuana, a God-given
> herb, is not a "natural" stimulus, Dick? If
> marijuana is unnatural, why did God create it
> and give us dominion over it? If marijuana
> is not natural, I can't think of anything
> that is.

God also made dog manure, Cecil. Of course, that does not suggest that someone should pick it up and try to smoke it.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -6090279288378736317
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 23:03:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!
news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF77DBD.B4813F55@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not> <c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not> <3AF6A3E8.5F001C5F@IEEE.org>
<3AF6B5C7.501194DA@spam.me.not> <n1GJ6.53673$B22.13704741@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-dSjPfQM7rOFkNLjLqQ684iQv95roWXOm1nZs3XCcjHrp5yczc+y4S29ul6q
Lines: 14
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 01:03:35 CDT
X-Trace: sv3-
SJPieno4Y73r8igYEGsd6ZEJSjbxB3jYTdkPcteMDPtlOKGONd2RtZDomjlv27iR6PRIPmBPvs/4Caa!
MmsShfN2Jc1TkVWvZa85cAsPlHRq/KngNrDytSAnhsPhAjM6v8iizid3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
```

Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 06:03:35 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5586
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15910 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22902
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21321

An AM carrier without modulation looks just like an FM carrier without modulation. So it seems to me that "quieting sensitivity" could apply to an AM receiver as well although the term "full quieting" is generally understood to apply to FM.

Rough Rider wrote:
>
> Sideband, I will put a cup out for you since you are begging to be correct
> about full quieting. Notice Cecils definition, frequency modulation
> recievers, does this give you enough of a hint- that it is FM only. Do
> yourself a favor while studying for the ham test don't just memorize the
> answers actually learn what the question mean. If it was fill in the blanks
> the ham population would decrease by two fold.
>

From 3384259024709952799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 23:07:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 02:08:03 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3AF78D06.D9D7D38A@sccoast.net>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3AF47113.567ABF1A@IEEE.org> <9d4srq$3ss$1@news.netmar.com>
<9d60c42eae@enews2.newsguy.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-74.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989302033 345536 207.144.64.204 (8 May 2001 06:07:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 06:07:13 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15911
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21322
```

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> 150 years ago, it was a felony to harbour
> a runaway slave in the free states. The
> crime was punishable by imprisonment of
> up to one year. Would you obey this law,
> or would you break it, knowing what the
> penalty would be if caught?

Since we don't live in that time, your question doesn't really mean anything. You don't even know what you would have done if you had lived back then. Remember, you would not have known what you know today - slavery was common back then and very few opposed it. What makes you think that you would have been one of those few? For all you know, you might have been a slave owner instead. In other words, if we're going to talk about today, lets stick to realistic situations today.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -9149239206262213219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-07 23:11:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 02:12:04 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AF78DF4.EA336768@sccoast.net>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com> <3AF66838.45F45CD2@sccoast.net>
<v5GJ6.53683$B22.13708461@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-74.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989302273 345311 207.144.64.204 (8 May 2001 06:11:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 06:11:13 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15912 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21323

Rough Rider wrote:
>
> Dwight everyone likes to use the speeding
> comparision, even though it is about as
> minor a law to break as any. Operating
> on the freeband and speeding is far from
> the same thing, One is a hundred dollar
> fine the other a 10,000 fine ... this
> isn't rocket science ...

I agree. In fact, that is almost the exact same point I made a few messages ago.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -8535829033937254570
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 01:13:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF7AAAB.D597E6CF@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders

References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not> <c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not> <3AF6A3E8.5F001C5F@IEEE.org>
<3AF6B5C7.501194DA@spam.me.not> <n1GJ6.53673$B22.13704741@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF77DBD.B4813F55@some.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 04:13:31 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989309477 216.234.103.49 (Tue, 08 May 2001 03:11:17 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 03:11:17 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5587
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15914 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22907
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21327

Ok...

I stand corrected.

Allow me to rephrase what I'd originally stated, then..

My 2 watt AM carrier covered up all the background noise on the other guy's CB, which was roughly 20 miles away, according to his signal report.

-SSB

JR wrote:
>
> An AM carrier without modulation looks just like an FM carrier without
> modulation. So it seems to me that "quieting sensitivity" could apply to
> an AM receiver as well although the term "full quieting" is generally
> understood to apply to FM.
>
> Rough Rider wrote:
> >
> > Sideband, I will put a cup out for you since you are begging to be correct
> > about full quieting. Notice Cecils definition, frequency modulation
> > recievers, does this give you enough of a hint- that it is FM only. Do
> > yourself a favor while studying for the ham test don't just memorize the
> > answers actually learn what the question mean. If it was fill in the blanks
> > the ham population would decrease by two fold.
> >

From -3296194172635491232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 01:43:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: (gunslinger)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 08:43:01 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 74
Message-ID: <3af7b12f.31330897@nntp.mindspring.com>
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.93.18
X-Server-Date: 8 May 2001 08:43:22 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21328 rec.radio.shortwave:33707

Hmm,sounds like someone doesnt have a life.

On Tue, 08 May 2001 05:03:59 GMT, "Disgusted Taxpayer"
<disgustedtaxpayer@noenforcement.org> wrote:

>How long will the United Patriot Radio pirate station be allowed to operate
>EVERY day on 6.900 USB & every night on 3.260 USB without any penalty for
>operating without a license? Could it be that the FCC is quite afraid of the
>"militia element" involved with this operation?
>
>While this blatent, unlicensed operation is CLEARLY not mobile, neither is
>"Riley the Radio Enforcement Hero" who supposedly earns his living by
>ACTIVELY ENFORCING Communications Law on behalf of the taxpayers as set
>forth by Congress. He should spend less time PREACHING to hams what "the
>spirit of ham radio" is as he sees it & more time in the field ENFORCING
>against flagrant lawbreaking.
>
>Talk is cheap, actions are priceless.
>
>How long will it take to "take him down" when the FCC can DF a signal in 11
>seconds? Perhaps 11 weeks? Anderson has been on the air for at least that
>long so maybe it will take 11 months?
>
>Riley hasn't made a true red-handed bust since KA2YBP, the now infamous 7240
>SWL Net jammer, was caught in NJ back on October 18, 1998 after 11 weeks of
>weekly intentional jamming. KA2YBP later plea-bargained his way down from a
>$7500 fine down to a 3 year voluntary suspension of his license. All the
>other "busts" have been made on 3rd party hearsay. I guess this pirate has

>little or nothing to fear since nothing has been done thus far, he has
>already surrendered his ham license & clearly operates on regular daily
>schedule. You can see the website for schedule http://www.pbn.4mg.com/ His
>intentions are pretty clear & continue uncontested even
>tolerated by those who are supposed to be in authority. What kind of
>enforcement is that?
>
>It seems clear to me who is scared of who. Riley prefers preaching to
>passive, wanna-be ham radio cops so they can go out & turn on each other
>while the FCC Enforcement "Head" willfully turns a blind eye toward a much
>more consistent & defiant lawbreaker!
>
>You have far more to fear trying to sell a full coverage 800 MHz scanner on
>eBay than operating an unlicensed broadcast station. On eBay, Riley sees to
>it that even legal items get his IMMEDIATE ACTION. They're covertly pulled
>off auction for no legal reason since the ECPA does NOT regulate the resale
>of analog, cell-capable scanner radios manufactured & type accepted before
>the ECPA ban took effect! This is clearly unethical & Riley ought to know
>this since he is a lawyer, right? Enforce non-existant laws while ignoring
>violation of basic ones? Hmmmm...So much for guts, balance, & conviction in
>enforcement!!!
>
>"We're working on it" doesn't cut it while slouching around the hotel buffet
>waiting to do your next hamfest road show speech Riley!
>
>Maybe we need to replace you with someone who will, swiftly, on our
>behalf... Law is clearly no place for double standards!
>
>I am listening to them right now, as usual, on 6900USB @ 2025Z 06MAY2001. So
>get busy & do what you are paid to do, ENFORCE!!!
>
>Disgusted Taxpayer
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 5314480706706306445
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 02:49:01 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <hiPJ6.9638$4f7.760778@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 09:49:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.179
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989315341 12.75.102.179 (Tue, 08 May 2001 09:49:01 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 09:49:01 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21329

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...

> I understand that CW is required to conform to the ITU. When will this
> archaic testing requirement be eliminated? Please do no misinterpret this
> message. I am advocating elimination of code test. We should not eliminate
> CW. IF CW is your thing, by all means go for it!
>
>
> -jim

The stumbling block, if indeed that is what it is is, that the very definition of an Amateur in the treaties requires Morse knowlege - taken these days to be 5wpm.

The earliest this can be considered is 2003 and probably only then if there is general concensus among governments and amateur societies to do it.

Given that it is done, and Congress does its thing, the rule-making process will take some more time. Even if the treaty allows it, it is not a given that we will be right in line to do it (although that is probably the way to bet).

A good guess is 2005. Morse Academy is at http://ah0a.org.

Dick N�BK

From 4101879010891738351

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 03:09:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com>
<WUFJ6.38791$2_.13566909@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af7666c.2467862@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <AzPJ6.43771$2_.15204332@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 10:07:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989316448 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 08 May 2001 03:07:28
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 03:07:28 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22908
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8717 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2578
```

rec.radio.amateur.dx:2474 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12954
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21330 rec.radio.cb:32447

> It is bad law because people do not want the government
> telling them how to live their lives and to what degree of
> risk they can/should afford themselves. No one is mandated
> to drive 70 MPH. If you don't want to drive a that speed,
> then don't.

Still doesn't stop that idiot who is going to end up losing control of his car because he feels he should drive whatever speed he wants (since there wouldn't be any limit for him to abide by) and then crashing into my car. See believe it or not, MOST laws are there for the protection of OTHER people, not the offender.

Ya can't drive drunk under law because you are a danger to others, not yourself.

From -6827716939244753468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 03:13:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<3af7672b.2658424@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <HBPJ6.43783$2_.15205767@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 10:09:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989316583 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 08 May 2001 03:09:43 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 03:09:43 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22909
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8718 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2579
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2475 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12955
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21331 rec.radio.cb:32448

> If you mean Clinton, you sure have that wrong. The safety nazis
> love Cintonites because they all want to tell you and me how
> we should live our lives.

The amusing thing is ... the laws you do enjoy are exactly that. You know
like: murder, rape, robbery, assault, stalking, etc etc etc ....

The laws are there because the average person is too stupid to realise just
what is good and what isnt

From 9121180401103797292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 03:17:54 PST

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not> <EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not> <c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not> <3AF6A3E8.5F001C5F@IEEE.org>
<3AF6B5C7.501194DA@spam.me.not> <n1GJ6.53673$B22.13704741@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3AF77DBD.B4813F55@some.net> <3AF7AAAB.D597E6CF@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 43
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <lJPJ6.43826$2_.15211996@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 10:17:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989317073 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 08 May 2001 03:17:53 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 03:17:53 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5588
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15915 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22910
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21332

A signal report comming from a cb'er, a lets turn up mic gain until its completely distorted, then put an echo on it and a loud roger beep so that I get out better, radio operator ... there's usefull

--
Rob St.Denis

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
news:3AF7AAAB.D597E6CF@spam.me.not...
> Ok...
>
> I stand corrected.
>
> Allow me to rephrase what I'd originally stated, then..

```
>
> My 2 watt AM carrier covered up all the background noise on the other
> guy's CB, which was roughly 20 miles away, according to his signal
> report.
>
> -SSB
>
> JR wrote:
> >
> > An AM carrier without modulation looks just like an FM carrier without
> > modulation. So it seems to me that "quieting sensitivity" could apply to
> > an AM receiver as well although the term "full quieting" is generally
> > understood to apply to FM.
> >
> > Rough Rider wrote:
> > >
> > > Sideband, I will put a cup out for you since you are begging to be
correct
> > > about full quieting. Notice Cecils definition, frequency modulation
> > > recievers, does this give you enough of a hint- that it is FM only. Do
> > > yourself a favor while studying for the ham test don't just memorize
the
> > > answers actually learn what the question mean. If it was fill in the
blanks
> > > the ham population would decrease by two fold.
> > >




From -5557626183875043565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 03:18:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
```

rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <aFPJ6.43802$2_.15208823@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 10:13:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989316806 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 08 May 2001 03:13:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 03:13:26 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22911
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8719 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2580
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2476 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12956
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21333 rec.radio.cb:32449

> If YOU can't handle it, then don't. No one is forcing anyone to
> drive 70.

My ability to handle the road is irreevant. Fact is there will be 10 idiots out there that will take full advantage of a no speed limit idea that can't handle the road well at 65 let alone 70+ and end up killing off a great deal of the population ...

> Nope, the national 55 in effect for some 15 years had NOTHING to do
> with safety when imposed. Since it has been lifted, highway detaths
> per year are STILL dropping.

What source did you get that info from?

> Many states it is now 70 or even 75. In NJ people routinely
> drive 70-75 everywhere on the highway...totally ignoring
> the posted 55 or 65 limits.

And New Jersey (Joisey I should say) is a state to model after?

```
From 7378823742318549193
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 03:22:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!freenix!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com>
<b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<k84fft03oen0457c88nc303bu7cjrt5e0t@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <mHPJ6.43814$2_.15210556@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 10:15:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989316946 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 08 May 2001 03:15:46
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 03:15:46 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22912
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8720 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2581
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2477 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12957
```

rec.radio.amateur.policy:21334 rec.radio.cb:32450

All hale the brit for thinking he is the all mighty internet cop and has
every right to tell people just how they can post

All hale the brit for thinking he is the all mighty internet cop and has
every right to tell people just how they can post

All hale the brit for thinking he is the all mighty internet cop and has
every right to tell people just how they can post
> You are referring to "top-posting", I assume?

All hale the brit for thinking he is the all mighty internet cop and has
every right to tell people just how they can post

All hale the brit for thinking he is the all mighty internet cop and has
every right to tell people just how they can post

All hale the brit for thinking he is the all mighty internet cop and has
every right to tell people just how they can post

From 2659635086165890919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 03:22:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 10:21:37 GMT
References: <20010507230617.20174.00000258@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Message-ID: <20010508062137.10013.00000978@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21335

>Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/7/01 10:06 PM Central Daylight Time

>[no capital letters were killed to write this message]

Neither were any brain cells, it would seem.

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 8288470531638046265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 03:28:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 10:27:45 GMT
References: <20010507230617.20174.00000257@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010508062745.10013.00000980@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21336

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/7/01 10:06 PM Central Daylight Time

>"FSK" is an acronym....

     Which has "what" to do with ARS "policy"...?!?!

>The "40 KW" PEP transmitter was a Collins...

     Which has "what" to do with ARS "policy"...?!?!

>Irrelevant.  Since all antennas were directional and the rhombics...

     Which has "what" to do with ARS "policy"...?!?!

>Again, irrelevant.  Unmanned spacecraft beyond the orbit of
>Mars have typically used less than 10 Watts of RF.

     Which has "what" to do with ARS "policy"...?!?!

>The object of REAL communications in a 24-hour, 7-day a week
>environment is to maintain communications despite vagarities
>of the ionosphere on HF propagation.

     "We" have the resources to do that, Lennie.  Right now.  No "commercial"
license...No "40KW FSK"...No half-mile long rhombics.

>REAL communications is not sporadic or of
>the "work lots of DX" activities of amateurs.
```

Arrogance. Nothing but arrogance.

Nothing new.

From -3995175994285965094
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 03:46:25 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 67
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 10:45:41 GMT
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
Message-ID: <20010508064541.10013.00000981@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21337

>Subject: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
>From: "Disgusted Taxpayer" disgustedtaxpayer@noenforcement.org

>Talk is cheap, actions are priceless.

These are people who, in some cases, actively advocate the overthrow of the US government by force.

You think it's a prudent thing to walk up to thier front door and tell them they are breaking the law (which they already consider to not be THIER law...?!?!)

>Riley hasn't made a true red-handed bust since...

And KA2YBP was operating from a "camp" in the mountains surrounded by like-minded, ARMED friends...?!?!

>It seems clear to me who is scared of who.

It doesn't take a rocket scientist to come to the decision to NOT confront someone who is armed to the teeth when all you are "armed" with is a copy of the Code of Federal Regulations.

>Riley prefers preaching to passive, wanna-be ham radio cops so they >can go

out & turn on each other while the FCC Enforcement "Head" >willfully turns a blind eye toward a much more consistent & defiant >lawbreaker!

Riley is not a sworn police officer. He is not armed. Do you presume to suggest that he blindly approach this character without the appropriate US Marshals in tow?

>This is clearly unethical & Riley ought to know
>this since he is a lawyer, right?

So challenge him in a class-action suit.

>"We're working on it" doesn't cut it while slouching around the hotel >buffet waiting to do your next hamfest road show speech Riley!

Brave talk from someone who is himself (?) hiding behind an anonymous domain.

>Maybe we need to replace you with someone who will, swiftly, on our
>behalf... Law is clearly no place for double standards!

You demand "bravery" yet you throw insults from no-name-land.

>I am listening to them right now, as usual, on 6900USB @ 2025Z >06MAY2001. So get busy & do what you are paid to do, ENFORCE!!!

His job IS enforcement...NOT voluntary suicide.

Get a life, anaonymous coward. Write a REAL letter to the FCC and sign your name to it. Better yet, write to your electeed representitives and complain to THEM.

Of course, anonymous cowards such as yourself are usually NOT registered voters, or if they are "registered", had some "real good reason" why they haven't participated in ANY voting in YEARS.

>Disgusted Taxpayer

Anonymous jerk.

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

From 940203836302776633
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 03:50:22 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

```
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 10:50:02 GMT
References: <mHPJ6.43814$2_.15210556@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <20010508065002.10013.00000982@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21338

>Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 5/8/01 5:15 AM Central Daylight Time

>All hale the brit for thinking he is the all mighty internet cop and has
>every right to tell people just how they can post

     That's "hail" and Brit is capitalised.

     And if you have the "right" to presume to tell us what is right and wrong
about the death penalty for terrorists, I guess he has the right to chastise
YOU for not following posting convention.

     Not to mention repeating it six times in one post.

     Guess the rent-a-cop business must be slow in Canada these days.
```

```
From 7325600877107774706
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 03:54:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "CW" <cmagers@sprynet.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 03:46:33 -0700
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9d8j81$mik$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
```

```
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3af7b12f.31330897@nntp.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.22.64
X-Server-Date: 8 May 2001 10:53:53 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21339 rec.radio.shortwave:33711

Sounds more like someone is advertizing the station.
--
CW
KC7NOD
www.kc7nod.20m.com
<gunslinger> wrote in message news:3af7b12f.31330897@nntp.mindspring.com...
> Hmm,sounds like someone doesnt have a life.
>
>





From -8370490267194655548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 04:26:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news1.optus.net.au!optus!
newshub1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au!news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: hamish@cloud.net.au
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

```
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
User-Agent: tin/1.5.8-20010221 ("Blue Water") (UNIX) (Linux/2.4.3 (i586))
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 11:23:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.164.64.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@optushome.com.au
X-Trace: news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au 989320992 203.164.64.6 (Tue, 08 May
2001 21:23:12 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 21:23:12 EST
Organization: @Home Network
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22913
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8725 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2582
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2478 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12959
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21340 rec.radio.cb:32451

In rec.radio.amateur.dx Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote:
> Probably the same common, everyday people that recognized a BAD
> presidential candidate and voted him into office - twice.

Unlike George Dubya, who didn't actually get voted in at all?


Hamish
--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@debian.org> <hamish@cloud.net.au>


From -259084968993625964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 05:01:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!
not-for-mail
From: sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
Newsgroups:
```

rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 12:05:02 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3af7df69.8840343@news.cet.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/16.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 16
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22917
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8727 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2584
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2480 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12961
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21342 rec.radio.cb:32455

On Tue, 08 May 2001 11:23:12 GMT, hamish@cloud.net.au wrote:

>In rec.radio.amateur.dx Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote:
>> Probably the same common, everyday people that recognized a BAD
>> presidential candidate and voted him into office - twice.
>
>Unlike George Dubya, who didn't actually get voted in at all?
>
>
>Hamish
>--
>Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@debian.org> <hamish@cloud.net.au>

Very true. Few people are aware that he was actually appointed to the
office by a committee of Elvis impersonators. GW was the second
choice, but since Barney declined the offer ....

From 9045010679844310579
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 05:32:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF7E6D2.2865FA81@crosslink.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 08:30:10 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.240
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 989325134 16981 207.199.164.240
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21343 rec.radio.shortwave:33717
```

Disgusted Taxpayer wrote:
>
> How long will the United Patriot Radio pirate station be allowed to operate....

Why ask us? Call the FCC then the White House and your
Congressman. Or, better yet, do something about it yourself.
When I was a boy my dad took me to see an example of civic
involvement. The dog catcher had spent the funds given him to
euthanize animals on booze and was instead using automobile
exhaust gas. The good old boys beat him into submission, covered
him in tar, broke a feather pillow over him and symbolically
carted him off on a rail, never to be seen again. That makes
more sense than whining to people with no more horsepower than
you have in a carefully anonymous NG post.

KA3DWW

```
From -7393471046361053945
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 05:37:39 PST
Message-ID: <3AF7E841.908B7004@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 07:36:17 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
```

```
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org> <9d765f$1an$0@208.206.142.69>
<3AF720ED.FDB7DE80@IEEE.org> <3AF78988.F5A7B46F@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp 989324990 64.240.47.61 (8 May 2001 07:29:50 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21344

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Are you saying that marijuana, a God-given
> > herb, is not a "natural" stimulus, Dick? If
> > marijuana is unnatural, why did God create it
> > and give us dominion over it? If marijuana
> > is not natural, I can't think of anything
> > that is.
>
> God also made dog manure, Cecil.  Of course, that does not suggest
> that someone should pick it up and try to smoke it.

Point is, Dwight, we don't need no steenkin' law against smoking
dog turds.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 8373886214799973694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 05:45:14 PST
Message-ID: <3AF7EA05.E1A6AF65@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 07:43:49 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE4CD6D.425BF4B4@IEEE.org>
<9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95> <3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org>
<3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org> <3AF787E9.972CACE2@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp 989325443 64.240.47.61 (8 May 2001 07:37:23 -0500)
Lines: 41
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21345
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > Of course it does, Dwight. If you think the
> > mental power rush that a gun in the hands
> > of a gangbanger provides is not due to

```
> > brain chemicals being released, [snip]
>
>   I have no idea what is going on in the mind of a gangbanger, Cecil.
> However, since so many people use a weapon daily (target shooting,
> hunting, etc) without any chemical reaction that I'm aware of, perhaps
> the "power rush" you describe comes from the situation, not the weapon.

Wow, you sure don't know how the brain works. If people didn't get
pleasure out of target shooting and hunting, they wouldn't do it.
The pleasure they feel is the release of a brain chemical. If I
remember correctly, the chemical is dopamine. When you feel good,
Dwight, you are on dopa.

> > > Illegal drug use is an actual crime.
> >
> > Only by definition. It is no *real* crime
> > at all. If it was a *real* crime like murder,
> > it wouldn't have been legal at the turn
> > of the last century.
>
>   Cecil, you have to come up with something better than that.  At "the
> turn of the century," driving a car while intoxicated was not a crime
> (heck, the car itself was not even that much of an issue back then).

Bad analogy, Dwight. Marijuana has been around longer than man. There
were not enough newly invented cars at the turn of the last century
to be a problem.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1371236307614021135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 05:48:48 PST
Message-ID: <3AF7EAD7.F171A915@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 07:47:19 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
```

```
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3AF47113.567ABF1A@IEEE.org> <9d4srq$3ss$1@news.netmar.com>
<9d60c42eae@enews2.newsguy.com> <3AF78D06.D9D7D38A@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp 989325653 64.240.47.61 (8 May 2001 07:40:53 -0500)
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15918
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21346
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> > 150 years ago, it was a felony to harbour a runaway slave in the free states. The crime was punishable by imprisonment of up to one year. Would you obey this law, or would you break it, knowing what the penalty would be if caught?
>
> Since we don't live in that time, your question doesn't really mean anything. You don't even know what you would have done if you had lived back then. Remember, you would not have known what you know today - slavery was common back then and very few opposed it. What makes you think that you would have been one of those few? For all you know, you might have been a slave owner instead. In other words, if we're going to talk about today, lets stick to realistic situations today.

Nice attempt at diverting the issue, Dwight. The point is that your attitude toward marijuana is just as unenlightened today as the attitude toward slavery was 150 years ago. We are in another era of prohibition. If we remain a free country, it will be repealed.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2386542284062356685
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 05:51:52 PST
Message-ID: <3AF7EB92.7A4043BC@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 07:50:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com> <3AF66838.45F45CD2@sccoast.net>
<v5GJ6.53683$B22.13708461@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF78DF4.EA336768@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp 989325839 64.240.47.61 (8 May 2001 07:43:59 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15919
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21347

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Rough Rider wrote:
> >
> > Dwight everyone likes to use the speeding
> > comparision, even though it is about as
> > minor a law to break as any. Operating
> > on the freeband and speeding is far from
> > the same thing, One is a hundred dollar

> > fine the other a 10,000 fine ... this
> > isn't rocket science ...
>
> I agree. In fact, that is almost the exact same point I made a few
> messages ago.

What percentage of freebanders get caught? What percentage of speeders
get caught?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7004120668610231739
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 05:53:49 PST
Message-ID: <3AF7EC0D.507ACDB@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 07:52:29 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<hiPJ6.9638$4f7.760778@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp 989325962 64.240.47.61 (8 May 2001 07:46:02 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21348

Richard McCollum wrote:
> The stumbling block, if indeed that is what it is is, that the very

> definition of an Amateur in the treaties requires Morse knowlege - taken
> these days to be 5wpm.

Make that "... the very definition of an HF Amateur ...".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6957519461845865378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 06:22:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <VGJJ6.9301$4f7.725949@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <eqSJ6.5934$vg1.461399@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 09:22:18 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 13:22:18 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21349

In article Richard McCollum says...

>Looking at ah0a.org licensing stats, there are 741 Extra tickets expiring in
>Apr 2011. Where might these have come from?
>
>a. Renewals. But only 112 expired in April.
>b. New. Presumably those issued in April will expire in April. All of 15.
>c. Vanity for which the fee covers 10 years and generally result in a new
>10-year term. 220
>d. Grace period renewals, presumably also issued 10 years. 31

Hi Dick,

I haven't fully digested the question yet, but your point "c" (Vanity) doesn't work for me, since there are no vanity calls which are 10 years old. IIRC the first vanity calls were issued in November of 1996, so none of those should be due for renewal for another 5 years.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -2037993160152561897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 06:26:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF7E5E6.828E417A@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The homemade lawyer (was Silent key)
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net> <MlYG6.81$LO6.11929@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AED6A28.A267DAFC@ovis.net>
<vbKH6.157$Cq3.11598@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 32
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 13:26:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.139
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989328412 63.17.222.139 (Tue, 08 May
2001 06:26:52 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 06:26:52 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 06:25:18 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21350

Kim-W5TIT wrote:

> Oh, I found you agreeing to a major degree; however perception is
> everything.
>
> Since I do not know Larry Klose, how would I presume to know whether he has
> experience as an attorney? I do not know.

You could start by reading any of his posts. That should give you a clue.

> He has obviously had lots of
> experience in the formulation of US foreign and domestic policy--if he has
> ever voted. But again, I do not know. And, again, I do not know if
> anything of his experience as a radio amateur.

Voting in an election hardly counts as formulation of policy. Even the uninformed and stupid can and do vote. As to Larry's experience as a radio amateur: read any of his posts. That should provide a clue.

> And, again, my comments have nothing to with the authors or topical
> discussion at the time of my posting. My comments had everyting to do with
> your one line: "This is most interesting coming from a fellow with little if
> any experience in either field." My observation regarding your comment--and
> I am of the opinion it would bridge over any opinions expressed here under
> any topic--was that one need not have experience to hold a particular
> opinion in any particular subject. That is all. Nothing more.

I see. No one need know much about something in order to form an opinion. Brilliant!

Dave K8MN

From -5647098508126087278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 06:38:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Darkstar" <@.>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
Lines: 8
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <WESJ6.939$tv4.88415@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace:
9ngR9hlsoUZVJHln17jQweovQ9p1g1GxOMjqU6ZBMz3+gnXpOSkcjoesKHT2tj2cMSqdibr02pgK!
T79WsPKJiMX8Nj1OAZuHDCTRbQP3Di27tr2blc5LF1hbNvDe3RNmmmEY95wst8FIUmlcOZRQIJ0j!cFtz
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 13:37:58 GMT
Distribution: world

Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 13:37:58 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21351 rec.radio.shortwave:33718

It's none of your business.
What do you care if a pirate operates or not?
Are they hurting you or affecting you in any way?
Fact is, you don't like the conservative right view, it has nothing
to do with the station being illegal, you just want to shut up the view.
Typical liberal, instead of turning the dial, instead you want to turn the station
off.

From 3634730830232953474
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 06:55:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!news-
xfer.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.newshog.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@akorn.net (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 19
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 13:52:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.51

X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: newshog.newsread.com 989329941 216.1.128.51 (Tue, 08 May 2001 09:52:21 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 09:52:21 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22932
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8733 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2586
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2481 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12969
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21352 rec.radio.cb:32459

On Tue, 08 May 2001 11:23:12 GMT, hamish@cloud.net.au wrote:

>In rec.radio.amateur.dx Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote:
>> Probably the same common, everyday people that recognized a BAD
>> presidential candidate and voted him into office - twice.
>
>Unlike George Dubya, who didn't actually get voted in at all?

Face it, George looks like a monkey and is dumb as snot. As bad as
Clinton was, and as slug-like as Gore was, the republicans are so bad
they can't honestly win against them. Think about that!!!!

A top republican in Atlanta that was heading the impeach Clinton
movement resigned recently because he was caught (a second time)
exposing himself in a public park...and soliciting sex from an
undercover policeMAN (not police WOman).

Republicans are more likely to have sex with Yasser Araofat and his
male camel than women. Like they did with congressional pages.

From 2204060965730153110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 07:04:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 8 May 2001 14:04:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9d8ueb$dsg$0@208.207.71.146>
References: <20010506162134.08242.00000314@nso-mf.aol.com>
<20010507211418.26676.00002429@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.146
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21353

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20010506162134.08242.00000314@nso-mf.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >Dickie bird didn't have the advantage of being assigned to a REAL
> >Signal Corps Radio Station...like one built on an old airfield with
> >rhombics on 90-foot poles for 42 transmitters varying in power
> >from 1 KW (FSK CW) to 40 KW (PEP SSB)...or a receiver site
> >shared with the USAF so large it was the largest such site in
> >the world (1953-1956)...or a TTY relay facility so large it handled
> >200K messages a month on 220 p-tape machines...or many
> >24-voice-channel microwave equipments linking everything 24/7.
> >Not a single circuit used CW so I guess I "missed a lot" and
> >"couldn't join in the fun."   :-)
>
> Hmmm -- the FSK CW transmitter was 1KW, and the SSB
> transmitter was emitting a 40 KW Death Ray. Kinda makes one
> wonder. In spite of all that power on SSB, I'll still bet there were
> plenty of places that couldn't hear that station! OTOH, the 1 KW
> on CW should have been enough to communicate with everyone
> between here and the Moon. That is -- everyone who knew the
> code, that is!

Surely by now everyone knows not to pay any serious attention to Lennie
the Loose, who still can't tell the difference between Amateur radio and
any other kind. The station I described was the HAM RADIO station at
Fort Monmouth, not the big military stuff. And - I wasn't even assigned
to a radio station, rather as a broadcast engineer at a *TELEVISION*
station!

And Loose Lennie *still* can't join in the fun.

Dick

From 7909963371416836019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 07:12:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <9c2lfu$qq9$0@208.206.142.95>
<3AE4E7E6.55D1821A@IEEE.org> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>

<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org> <9d765f$1an$0@208.206.142.69>
<3AF720ED.FDB7DE80@IEEE.org> <3AF78988.F5A7B46F@sccoast.net>
Subject: Dog Doobies (Was: Prohibition & WOD)
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <09TJ6.6011$vg1.468375@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 10:12:12 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 14:12:12 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21354

In article Dwight Stewart says...

> God also made dog manure, Cecil.

What, are you dislexic or something? doG makes doG manure. God makes God manure.

If I were God, I don't think I'd make any kind of manure. If I were God, I damned sure wouldn't try to smoke dogshit. If I were God, I'd get together with all my other God friends and have a big party. We'd all get stoned/drunk and create unliftable rocks, then try to lift them. It would be loads of fun! Then I'd probably just destroy the rocks with a lightning bolt. Then I'd probably pass out.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -6856181636179971826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 07:17:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!easynews!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!feed.textport.net!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <AdTJ6.6020$vg1.469364@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 10:17:04 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 14:17:04 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22934
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8735 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2587
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2482 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12971
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21355 rec.radio.cb:32460
```

In article <3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>, Tom W8JI says...

>Face it, George looks like a monkey and is dumb as snot.

Tom,

Duh-bya Shrub is not as dumb as he acts. He couldn't be!

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 6851244125317972609
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 07:21:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Date: 8 May 2001 14:21:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9d8vdf$gdv$0@208.207.71.146>
References: <VGJJ6.9301$4f7.725949@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.146
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21356
```

Richard McCollum wrote:
>

 Upgrading
> generally does not change the expiration date.
>

 You sure, Dick? As I recall upgrading generates a new 10 year license.
Unless they changed that, too.

Dick

From 2972466226852767397

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 07:36:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!grolier!
newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!newscore.gigabell.net!fu-berlin.de!uni-
berlin.de!209-165-2.21.lightspeed.NET!not-for-mail
From: "JerryL" <jlockett@onemain.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 07:32:26 -0700
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209-165-2.21.lightspeed.net (209.165.2.21)
X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 989332288 17845332 209.165.2.21 (16 [55752])
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22936
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8736 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2588
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2483 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12972
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21357 rec.radio.cb:32461
```

How about some technical data proving your claim about republicans not liking to have sex with women? Statements like this cast a 'lot of doubt' about your abilities in other areas, such as electronics etc. I mean this is such obvious bullshit, it makes me wonder if your learned comments on other things might also be bullshit!

And yea, I'm a new republican, got so disgusted with Clinton and company, I changed parties, and I still like women!

I presume you still support Clinton and hold him in high regard. He was such a outstanding example of a President of the United States, wasn't he, ugh! puke! I don't know about you, but I was totally embarassed and ashamed to have him represent us/me to the entire world...!

de Jerry -n6jp-

"Tom W8JI" <2w8ji@akorn.net> wrote in message
news:3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com...
> On Tue, 08 May 2001 11:23:12 GMT, hamish@cloud.net.au wrote:
>
> Republicans are more likely to have sex with Yasser Araofat and his
> male camel than women. Like they did with congressional pages.

```
From 1961168672348692801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 08:25:23 PST
From: Keith <nospamssdf@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 08:24:52 -0700
Reply-To: nworegon@excite.com
Expires: Thu, 7 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <3af80ed9$1_3@spamkiller>
Lines: 19
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You
may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/
abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-
out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!spamkiller
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21358
```

On Tue, 08 May 2001 05:03:59 GMT, "Disgusted Taxpayer"
<disgustedtaxpayer@noenforcement.org> wrote:

>How long will the United Patriot Radio pirate station be allowed to operate
>EVERY day on 6.900 USB & every night on 3.260 USB without any penalty for
>operating without a license?

As long as they stay out of the ham bands, I don't care.

--
Best Regards,

Keith
----
http://members.home.net/keithew1/nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio topics.
Amateur Radio is a international resource and asset.
Need a daily digest of rec.radio.swap newsgroup? Join
recradioswap at Yahoo! Groups.

From 1724447116498608627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 08:28:12 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <OfUJ6.6140$vg1.480145@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 11:27:42 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 15:27:42 GMT
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news.bnb-lp.com!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21359

From the homeland of Harold E. Holt, hamish@cloud.net.au says...

>Unlike George Dubya, who didn't actually get voted in at all?

You guys seem pretty expert at that yourownselves!

The Shrub is an idiot, but he's our idiot. Butt out.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 3350819734505215370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 08:39:27 PST
Message-ID: <3AF812E3.EAB99107@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 10:38:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Dog Doobies (Was: Prohibition & WOD)
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org> <9d765f$1an$0@208.206.142.69>
<3AF720ED.FDB7DE80@IEEE.org> <3AF78988.F5A7B46F@sccoast.net>
<09TJ6.6011$vg1.468375@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61
X-Trace: corp 989335904 64.240.47.61 (8 May 2001 10:31:44 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21360

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article Dwight Stewart says...
> > God also made dog manure, Cecil.
>
> What, are you dislexic or something? doG makes doG manure. God makes God
> manure.

You think God takes a crap? Has He ever crapped on you?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6224349099069795626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 08:44:28 PST
Message-ID: <3AF81404.A888CE8A@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 10:43:00 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
References: <VGJJ6.9301$4f7.725949@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9d8vdf$gdv$0@208.207.71.146>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.61

```
X-Trace: corp 989336194 64.240.47.61 (8 May 2001 10:36:34 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21361

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> > Upgrading generally does not change the expiration date.
>
>  You sure, Dick? As I recall upgrading generates a new 10 year license.
> Unless they changed that, too.

I don't understand. My license says "Grant Date" 8-14-1998,
"Effective Date" 6-1-2000, and "Expiration Date" 6-18-2006
Nothing to do with ten years.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 4601299956922272367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 10:34:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!
bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <VGJJ6.9301$4f7.725949@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<eqSJ6.5934$vg1.461399@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
```

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <c6WJ6.11206$t12.822778@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 17:34:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989343240 12.75.103.44 (Tue, 08 May
2001 17:34:00 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 17:34:00 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21362


"K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message
news:eqSJ6.5934$vg1.461399@www.newsranger.com...
> In article Richard McCollum says...
>
> >Looking at ah0a.org licensing stats, there are 741 Extra tickets expiring
in
> >Apr 2011.  Where might these have come from?
> >
> >a. Renewals.  But only 112 expired in April.
> >b. New.  Presumably those issued in April will expire in April.  All of
15.
> >c. Vanity for which the fee covers 10 years and generally result in a new
> >10-year term.  220
> >d. Grace period renewals, presumably also issued 10 years.  31
>
> Hi Dick,
>
> I haven't fully digested the question yet, but your point "c" (Vanity)
doesn't
> work for me, since there are no vanity calls which are 10 years old.  IIRC
the
> first vanity calls were issued in November of 1996, so none of those
should be
> due for renewal for another 5 years.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

Say a guy with an Extra from 1999 changes his call sign.  The fee covers ten
years so a new license is issued and the old one cancelled.  No effect on
the totals but it moves from one expiration month to another

Dick




From 643833431758590260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 10:36:03 PST
```

```
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<hiPJ6.9638$4f7.760778@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3AF7EC0D.507ACDB@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <78WJ6.11210$t12.823271@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 17:36:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989343363 12.75.103.44 (Tue, 08 May
2001 17:36:03 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 17:36:03 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21363


"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AF7EC0D.507ACDB@IEEE.org...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> > The stumbling block, if indeed that is what it is is, that the very
> > definition of an Amateur in the treaties requires Morse knowlege - taken
> > these days to be 5wpm.
>
> Make that "... the very definition of an HF Amateur ...".
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

You're in pedant mode again.  The treaty makes no distinction.  In practice,
we take the position that as VHF operation has no international effect, who
cares what the treaty says.




From -7198244878912899991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 10:54:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AF8336D.6C258B2A@zylay.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 13:57:01 -0400
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3AF1EC7E.D997F2B6@IEEE.org> <3AF1F495.4387880D@mailcity.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 8 May 2001 13:54:00 -0400, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 35
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!205.143.240.121
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5593
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15929 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22962
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21364

GG wrote:
>
> I picked a good analogy, I have no right to use his property just
> because I observe it does not appear to be in use by the owner.

In your case of hte squatter, you stated that noone had noticed him. The
major and important difference here is that freebanders have been
noticed and lots of people are raising hell about it. To bring your
analogy into line with freebanding, the squatter would have had to hold
onto the land for the required number of years through either armed
force, or simple civil disobediance, not by not being noticed.

> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> >
> > You picked a bad analogy. A person did just that on some land in my
> > home county of Madison in Texas. Nobody noticed him living there.
> > After N number of years he was discovered. The case went to court
> > and the trespasser was awarded the property under the Texas homestead
> > laws. So you better keep an eye on your property or some homesteader
> > will wind up owning it. Homesteading is a good description of what the
> > freebanders have done between the CB band and the ham band. Time was
> > when amateur radio operators homesteaded the radio frequencies.
> > --
> > http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

--
Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                      __O
                                                             _-\<,_
Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/



From 4970089029302413892
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 11:13:35 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF83741.387451A6@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
<Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org>
<r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org>
<7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
<XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not>
<slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain> <3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not>
<3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not>
<5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not>
<EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not>
<c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not>
<3AF6A3E8.5F001C5F@IEEE.org> <3AF6B5C7.501194DA@spam.me.not>
<n1GJ6.53673$B22.13704741@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF77DBD.B4813F55@some.net>
<3AF7AAAB.D597E6CF@spam.me.not> <lJPJ6.43826$2_.15211996@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 62
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 14:13:21 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989345471 216.234.103.49 (Tue, 08 May 2001 13:11:11
```

CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 13:11:11 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5594
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15930 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22968
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21365

I have never run my CB this way. I do have an echo mic on my GrantXL, but the echo "volume" is barely cracked open. According to three different modulation meters, I'm set at 99% modulation.

The fellow I was talking to runs his radio in much the same way. He has a Cobra 148 (same radio, basically), and has his set similarly.

Any more than 100% modulation is going to sound like crap, and probably bleed over. I know this, and those CB'ers who know this try to avoid bleeding over, etc...

Now, if you don't mind, I need a nap before I go down to the testing center to test for my ticket. Have a nice day, Rob, and I hope "huked on fonix" continues to help your English grammar. ("there's usefull"? Come On!)

-SSB

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> A signal report comming from a cb'er, a lets turn up mic gain until its
> completely distorted, then put an echo on it and a loud roger beep so that I
> get out better, radio operator ... there's usefull
>
> --
> Rob St.Denis
>
> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF7AAAB.D597E6CF@spam.me.not...
> > Ok...
> >
> > I stand corrected.
> >
> > Allow me to rephrase what I'd originally stated, then..
> >
> > My 2 watt AM carrier covered up all the background noise on the other
> > guy's CB, which was roughly 20 miles away, according to his signal
> > report.
> >
> > -SSB
> >
> > JR wrote:
> > >
> > > An AM carrier without modulation looks just like an FM carrier without
> > > modulation. So it seems to me that "quieting sensitivity" could apply to

```
> > > an AM receiver as well although the term "full quieting" is generally
> > > understood to apply to FM.
> > >
> > > Rough Rider wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Sideband, I will put a cup out for you since you are begging to be
> correct
> > > > about full quieting. Notice Cecils definition, frequency modulation
> > > > recievers, does this give you enough of a hint- that it is FM only. Do
> > > > yourself a favor while studying for the ham test don't just memorize
> the
> > > > answers actually learn what the question mean. If it was fill in the
> blanks
> > > > the ham population would decrease by two fold.
> > > >


From -7614228659699994492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 11:15:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!webtv.net!not-for-mail
From: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 14:02:06 -0400 (EDT)
Organization: WebTV Subscriber
Lines: 84
Message-ID: <1403-3AF8349E-42@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
References: <9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
NNTP-Posting-Host: localhost.webtv.net
Mime-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: Quoted-Printable
X-WebTV-Signature: 1
     ETAuAhUAn4/KRmt/KujMc/GLmex14TqvjaECFQC+NB+AcjQwLXLdUVlbew6RnC0Fvw==
Content-Disposition: Inline
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22969
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8743 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2590
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12992 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21366
```

Technical Data:

"JerryL" <jlockett@onemain.com> Group: =A0=A0
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.=
digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.am=
ateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: =A0=A0 Re: Respect For Freebanders Date: =A0=A0 Tue, May 8,
2001, 7:32am (EDT-3) X-Trace: =A0=A0 fu-berlin.de 989332288 17845332
209.165.2.21 (16 [55752])

And since we are on the subject of headers:

It is time for my monthly disclaimer, in case any newbies have arrived since Leland's last folly with my nic and email.

.

KC8LDO Leland C. Scott forged the original post, it wasn't me. His number of aliases and forged posts and emails are staggering, but he especially likes forging me. Please check the headers. Leland C. Scott, KC8LDO, and Doug Adair, N8wwm, and their many, MANY aliases are responsible for ninety five point nine percent of the strife on the rec.radio.cb ng, and probably on many of your amateur forums as well, especially lately. A good number of posts appearing with no call signs have been easily identified as the same forgers in the cb ng.

Thank you all for the replies via email, as most were polite and well written, as were the many offers to assist me in attaining a ham license, however, I believe in returning things to their rightful owners, so any additional correspondence should be directed at the author of the forged post and troll.

After exposing Leland for forging me over a month ago with the assistance of another licensed amateur, he has waged a personal vendetta against me.

He searched the web and found an article I wrote on freebanding for a hobby magazine over a year ago and has posted it numerous times in an effort to justify his own behavior towards myself and other cbers. He draws his own inferences and hurls unfounded accusations and insults. Leland's main line of defense has always been attack his accuser, no matter who it may be. If anyone would dare suggest Leland may be wrong, he has no defense other than to attack about "What you did" and it is usually a lie (from questioning one's sexual preference to threatening them).

He has repeatedly accused me and others of pirating on amateur frequencies, and nothing could be further from the truth. I understand how an amateur could feel so strongly as to defend his allocated frequencies, but attacking countless individuals behind phony names, forging people, forging email headers in his posts, throwing accusations left and right and refusal to remove anything personal and not on subject off the ng exemplifies what this particular individual and his two cronies are about. He also reported me to my ISP for "forging" (the gall) and was so certain it was me that he made many posts threatening that I was about to lose my internet service. When he received word it wasn't me from my ISP, this sent him into a rage, as it did when I first exposed him. He has accused me of forging for so long (pot, kettle, black) he now accuses my ISP of "lying" to him. I guess the more Leland decides to forge me in your amateur forums, the more frequent I must point out the error of his ways.

I asked Leland and "Sparky" to take whatever personal problem they may have with me to email and I will be glad to debate whatever merit they see fit, but as all will see, these two are more interested in calling names, perpetuating problems between operators and will not cease their childish games....hence the crossposts They don't WANT the strife to go away. I apologize that they feel the need to make you all a part of it, and I was going to ignore most of the emails and what was forged of me, but then the email I received from amateurs was so far from what I expected. Leland forged me and posted that article in a manner to which I would be flamed, and instead, I received email from a great number of amateurs who didn't flame at all.

Quite the opposite, many offered to assist in getting my ticket, and for that I thank you. Turning the tables, if it had been me that were a licensed operator and someone posted an article about freebanding in an amateur format I frequented, I may have been one of the flamers, but as I said, the reaction from amateurs across the land was quite different than what the original author intended. If a post appears to be from me in anything other than the cb ng, please check the headers....if it has any other path than this one, it is a forge. All emails answered. Have a good day.

From 593448297091635532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 11:17:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 14:18:27 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21367

Now that I'm virtually finished with learning the cw portion of my soon to be new ticket, I guess I can feel a bit of empathy for the old timers who are p****d off for the reduction in cw speed for new applicants.

I worked my butt off to learn the cw, and am kinda proud of it. Yes I know it isn't 13 wpm or higher, but it was quite an effort to get this far.

And I suspect that I'll be a little annoyed when the requirement is dropped altogether. I hadn't originally planned to use cw mode, but now I think I just might. Funny how yer outlook can change.

So I know where you guys are coming from.

- mike - KB3EIA -

From -7296207601672027544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 11:19:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AF83949.6BFB4599@zylay.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 14:22:01 -0400
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com> <3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF190A8.F319F677@IEEE.org> <3AF6D6C3.2C6EDA34@zylay.com> <KPDJ6.72$95.23895@news.ntplx.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 8 May 2001 14:19:01 -0400, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 23
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!205.143.240.121
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15932 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21368

> > I don't see where it's that minor at all. If one of my kids got hurt
> > because of someone driving down the side of the road, I'd hunt them down
> > and slowly kill them. If someone scared them with a near-miss, their car
> > would not be recognizable when I was done with it. In short, nobody
> > screws with my babies, else I become the mother of all mother bears.

>
> > Lots of people take driving infractions lightly until someone gets
> > killed. All of a sudden there is a great wailing and gnashing of teeth
> > as everyone wonders what can be done to make our roads safe. For
> > starters, might I suggest taking ALL laws seriously, not just the ones
> > that fit your own personal agenda?
>
> Do you mean that people should take the laws that prohibit the vigilante
> actions you described in your first paragraph seriously?

Sure....even if you're avenging the murder or attempted murder of your
child, you are still subject to the law and any resulting consequences.

```
--
Ride to eat, eat to ride.                               __O
                                                      _-\<,_
Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/
```

From -3039975953407801525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 11:21:17 PST
Message-ID: <3AF839FF.6B2FCE12@zylay.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 14:25:03 -0400
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com> <9d556u22ae4@enews4.newsguy.com>
<SItJ6.36151$2_.12333947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9d5vq81eae@enews2.newsguy.com>
<H3GJ6.53679$B22.13706291@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 8 May 2001 14:22:02 -0400, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 13
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!205.143.240.121
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15933
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21369

> Exactly, TL they think that 5 foot space between you and the car in front of
> you is enough space to fit at 70 mph.

So you let off the gas some more. It doesn't take a brainiac to figure out that arriving at your destination a few seconds later is still much better than dying in a high-speed crash on the freeway.

```
--
Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                __O
                                                       _-\<,_
Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/
```

```
From -3582067886572685745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 11:23:32 PST
Message-ID: <3AF83A8D.11224D89@zylay.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 14:27:25 -0400
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <58_I6.48304$Ce4.3715578@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
<3AF6D5BD.D4C1833B@zylay.com> <3AF751CB.B955FE6C@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 8 May 2001 14:24:24 -0400, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 40
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!4.1.16.34!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.atl!newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!
205.143.240.121
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5595
```

```
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15934 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22973
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21370

Sideband wrote:
>
> Now, there, Hand, yer just showin yer igernence, tin-fur! I ne'er said
> it were horizontal, roge-o?

Do you even know the difference between horizontal gain and vertical
gain? I never said a word about antenna orientation.



--
Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                      __O
                                                             _-\<,_
Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/

> :-{P~
>
> -SSB
>
> Gene wrote:
> >
> > > "> Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
> > > >
> > > > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
> > > > top loaded coil antenna.
> > >
> > > Runs chills up and down my spine just thinking about
> > > a Wilson Silver Load.  Gives me the urge to run and
> > > buy two, and co-phase them on the sidemirrors for
> > > anudder 10dB or so..  Ten Fer.
> >
> > That's a big 10-fer good buddy. I'm sure you gets lots of horizontal
> > gain with a 4-ft-long half-wave antenna. These dumbasses don't even
> > recognize marketing hype when it's served to them on a silver platter.
> >
> > --
> > Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                      __O
> >                                                              _-\<,_
> > Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
> > Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/


From 5065837395718876821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 11:34:10 PST
Message-ID: <3AF83BCB.243AF4A5@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 13:32:43 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3AF1EC7E.D997F2B6@IEEE.org> <3AF1F495.4387880D@mailcity.com>
<3AF8336D.6C258B2A@zylay.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.113
X-Trace: corp 989346376 64.240.47.113 (8 May 2001 13:26:16 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5596
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15935 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22975
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21371

Gene wrote:
>
> GG wrote:
> >
> > I picked a good analogy, I have no right to use his property just
> > because I observe it does not appear to be in use by the owner.
>
> In your case of hte squatter, you stated that noone had noticed him. The
> major and important difference here is that freebanders have been
> noticed and lots of people are raising hell about it. To bring your
> analogy into line with freebanding, the squatter would have had to hold
> onto the land for the required number of years through either armed
> force, or simple civil disobediance, not by not being noticed.
```

The freebanders go relatively unnoticed by the FCC and the FCC is
who matters.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7254534715825200711
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 11:37:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF83CE0.9024A5B1@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <58_I6.48304$Ce4.3715578@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
<3AF6D5BD.D4C1833B@zylay.com> <3AF751CB.B955FE6C@spam.me.not>
<3AF83A8D.11224D89@zylay.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 47
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 14:37:20 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989346910 216.234.103.49 (Tue, 08 May 2001 13:35:10
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 13:35:10 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5597
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15936 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22979
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21372
```

```
And, of course, I never said anything about a half wave antenna, either.

Goes both ways, I guess.

-SSB

Gene wrote:
>
> Sideband wrote:
> >
> > Now, there, Hand, yer just showin yer igernence, tin-fur! I ne'er said
> > it were horizontal, roge-o?
>
> Do you even know the difference between horizontal gain and vertical
> gain? I never said a word about antenna orientation.
>
> --
> Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                      __O
>                                                              _-\<,_
> Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
> Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/
>
> > :-{P~
> >
> > -SSB
> >
> > Gene wrote:
> > >
> > > > "> Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
> > > > >
> > > > > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
> > > > > top loaded coil antenna.
> > > >
> > > > Runs chills up and down my spine just thinking about
> > > > a Wilson Silver Load.  Gives me the urge to run and
> > > > buy two, and co-phase them on the sidemirrors for
> > > > anudder 10dB or so..  Ten Fer.
> > >
> > > That's a big 10-fer good buddy. I'm sure you gets lots of horizontal
> > > gain with a 4-ft-long half-wave antenna. These dumbasses don't even
> > > recognize marketing hype when it's served to them on a silver platter.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                      __O
> > >                                                              _-\<,_
> > > Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
> > > Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/


From 6626617772424683620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 11:50:40 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 18:50:17 GMT
Message-ID: <3af82fea.180940211@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af2ecba.165475562@news2.dvol.com>
<20010506154853.09228.00000608@nso-cc.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.61
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.61
X-Trace: 8 May 2001 13:46:29 -0500, 64.24.240.61
Lines: 83
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21373

On 06 May 2001 19:48:53 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>>. . . particularly since copying Morse has little or nothing to do
>>with intellect.
>
>I'm glad that an Extra finally admits such... :-)
>
There are a lot of usefu skills which require WORK to acquire and have
little or nothing to do with intellectual processes.
>
>>I just said "nobody knows". I know a helluva lot of hams. I know more
>>inactive nocodes on a percetage basis than I know inactive hams with
>>other licenses.
>
>So, Kelly specifies conditions for the entire 50 states on the
>basis of personal acquaintences?
>
There are no factors in play in this large metropolitan area which
make ham radio here any different from ham radio anywhere else. With
the exception of the volume of repeater abuse in California which we
don't have here.
>
>>Of course not. But if the nocode had been out there then I might very
>>well have grabbed one while I working on passing the Novice test.
>
>Hmmm...according to tRoll, such "laziness" isn't part of the
>WORK ethic to which he aspires. According to him and
>(judging from message content) Kelly, amateur radio is all
>about morse code skill.
>
I'm not Larry and Morse is a means, not an end. One needs the skill to
have the means to achieve the end result. I developed my skill with

Morse in order to achieve my operating objectives. Which in the case
of Morse in ham radio also means that I have additional bandwidth and
a mode for communicating vs. those who have not developed that skill.

>
>
>Kelly has "insider" information on FCC decision-making?
>Interesting, that makes a total of three in here with such
>insider information who know all about FCC internal workings.
>Amazing.
>
The FCC modus operandi in this context is blatenly obvious based on
their regulatory lurches over at least the past half century as they
relate to Part 97.
>.
>Hmmm...if the stats were to favor the ethos and mythos of
>Kelly's ideas about US amateur radio, would the "tossing
>around of stats" still be asinine?
>
Absolutely.
>
>Translation: "I don't like the way the trends are going, therefore
>I don't pay any attention to them."   :-)
>
Horsepucky. I'll look at the curves in five years, don't bore me with
it until then.
>
>I also wrote a deliberate misstatement to see if Kelly understood.
>The 2mm band is NOT the "largest bandspace allocation."
>
I assumed an innocent typo. You're so "clever" Lenny.
>
>>Of course not again, Lenny. But then the FCC might not give us any
>>options but to get more spectrally efficient, eh?
>
>Without the "special talent" of "insider knowledge" of the FCC, it is
>difficult to tell, isn't it?
>
Watch it happen.
>
>Kelly never defined anything specific about "spectrally efficient."
>
Definitipn: More stations in the same bandwidth. Duh?
>
>No doubt this would have to feature OOK CW codings.   :-)
>
Nope again Lenny. More selective vhf/uhf receiver front ends so that
the FM repeaters can be packed closer together. For one. I'm waiting
for the technical geniuses who had been previously prevented from
getting on the air because they can't hack the code to strut their
stuff and come up with radios which are more spectrally efficient.

```
From 3614277309754952537
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 11:52:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sn-
xit-01!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 18:56:36 GMT
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3af83f7e.1183084@news.cet.com>
References: <9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<1403-3AF8349E-42@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/16.235
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 8
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22982
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8745 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2591
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12995 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21374

On Tue, 8 May 2001 14:02:06 -0400 (EDT), twistedhed@webtv.net
(Twistedhed) wrote:

<snip incoherent rant>

Who am I this week, twistie? Leland? Dougie? Professor? Griffey? Glen
Sparks? Frank Gilliland? Go away, twit. Everybody is sick and tired of
you and your paranoid delusions.


From -2876257661145265664
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,27f4315373c03de1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,853d867350ae2aea,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,853d867350ae2aea,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 11:52:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Zippy the Pinhead" <kc4cucunbers@aol.com>
From: "Zippy the Pinhead" <kc4cucunbers@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3af7161b.1120740@news.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: NO ARRL - NO QST
Lines: 24
Organization: red ink pen
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <UfXJ6.459$E65.42907@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 18:52:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989347956 209.204.84.71 (Tue, 08 May 2001 11:52:36 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 11:52:36 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 11:51:01 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:11986
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15938 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21375

<zz@321cue.com> wrote in message news:3af7161b.1120740@news.earthlink.net...

> NO MORE ARRL AND QST FOR ME.......IT'S A JOKE....
> Mostly ads.......Mostly black and white.......Mostly sucks!!
> WHAT HAS THE ARRL DONE FOR YOU LATELY?

I kicked the ARRL habit 20 yrs ago. I now have a life and no longer believe that the world revolves around ham radio. I often wonder if the ARRL has ties to the Unification Church? It would not suprise me if upon visiting the airport if I saw a Moonie, a Hare Krishina and an ARRL member, each within 10 feet of each other all in a row, with tables hawking their "brand of religion". The Moonie would be selling subscriptions, the Hare Krishina would be bangin his tamboreen and the ARRL guy would be sending "jesus & morse code saves" via a 30 year old Lafayette Radio code practice oscilator with a half-dead 9V battery inside it. That's what I love about ham radio. Still the only hobby with more per-capata screwballs in it than Bellevue State Hospital.

```
From -2825083008693839776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 11:54:39 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 18:54:07 GMT
Message-ID: <3af84048.185131319@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af13286.995646@news.mindspring.com>
<20010504003555.07982.00000958@nso-cm.aol.com>
<3af5eee4.1495915@news.mindspring.com> <3AF706DA.7A2CF8DC@uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.61
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.61
X-Trace: 8 May 2001 13:50:19 -0500, 64.24.240.61
Lines: 18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-
snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21376

On Mon, 07 May 2001 13:34:34 -0700, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> But there was nothing in the R&O that suggested that
>> the FCC was so influenced by Kenwood.
>
>......aside from the references to Kenwoods comments.  Beyond
>referencing comments, the FCC gave no indication that they were
>"influenced" by anyone.
>
Which in retrospect is exactly what happened and Bill has previously
agreed with this general premise.
>
>73 de ac6xg
>
w3rv




From -8480471442278281507
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 12:11:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF8446A.F57EAF08@crosslink.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 15:09:31 -0400
```

From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.199
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 989349097 16980 207.199.164.199
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21377

mike coslo wrote:

> Now that I'm virtually finished with learning the cw portion of my soon
> to be new ticket, I guess I can feel a bit of empathy for the old timers
> who are p****d off for the reduction in cw speed for new applicants.

Pride of achievement is A-OK but empathy for selfishness?? I
think not! The fact that one (or many) individuals had to endure
a hardship is no excuse for making others do the same.

Morse was once the only practical method of radio communications
and a major purpose for amateur radio was to provide a pool of
Morse-capable operators. But that is no longer true! So there is
no valid reason to require new Hams to endure Morse training. We
might as well require them to pole vault 14 feet.

When I was 16, police locked me up and stole $150 I'd earned
busting my butt 6 hours a night after school and all day on
weekends for a month. Should *everybody* have to endure a being
robbed and jailed just because I did? Seasonal allergies are
tearing me up right now. Should you have to suffer just because
I'm suffering? More to the point, do I have any right to be
"p****d off" because others are "getting away" without allergies
and without being robbed by police? Not in my book! Call a spade
a spade: people with that kind of attitude are spiteful SOBs and
deserve to be treated accordingly!

KA3DWW

From 6814514554969288071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 12:13:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!

newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Zippy the Pinhead" <kc4cucunbers@aol.com>
From: "Zippy the Pinhead" <kc4cucunbers@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: (WWFV jammed again?)
Lines: 34
Organization: red ink pen
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <RzXJ6.483$E65.48606@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 19:13:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989349233 209.204.84.71 (Tue, 08 May 2001 12:13:53 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 12:13:53 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 12:12:19 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21378 rec.radio.shortwave:33753

"Disgusted Taxpayer" <disgustedtaxpayer@noenforcement.org> wrote in message news:37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> How long will the United Patriot Radio pirate station be allowed to operate
> EVERY day on 6.900 USB & every night on 3.260 USB without any penalty for
> operating without a license?

Give it time. Their letting UPR run with their screw-ball on a string before they yank then good and hard. BTW, did you catch the deliberite jamming of WWFV on Sunday night from about 11:00PM to Midnite on 5.085? WWFV was broadcasting a program which was offering constructive criticism of the "pay-triot movement" and presenting felon Koernkie as an excellent example of just how kooky some of these shortwave morons are. They weren't 10 minutes into the program when a powerful AM carrier came up on 5.085, moved frequency just a bit to get the best jamming effect, and parked on the frequency for the next 45 minutes jamming the legitimate WWFV transmitter.
The only reason I mention this is that a month before UPR fired up, there was an alleged incident where a then-licenced ham Op from Kentucky was cited by the FCC's Riely Hollingsworth for jamming [guess who?] WWFV on 6.890!
Story goes the jammer was pissed off that WWFV had canceled Koernkie's program. (WWFV canceled it for non-payment of airtime and the station manager stated his reasons for doing so on several consecutive nights) Shortly thereafter, WWFV was being jammed by a station DF'd by the FCC to Kentucky. Go figure.

Let's take a listen on 5.085 from 11PM - 12AM EST this Sunday night
and see if WWFV is jammed again.

From -6748541587880844400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,515ff8d62a7ef2ce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 12:20:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: J1aguair, where are you?
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 12:15:44 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3AF845E0.6D54008A@uci.edu>
References: <3AF7058B.54BA3F6E@uci.edu> <20010507230617.20174.00000258@nso-
mr.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21379

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AF7058B.54BA3F6E@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <20010504051343.12863.00002096@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> >> (W1RFI) writes:
> >>
> >> >>Hare mentioned no Extra, Advanced, General, Novice or Technician
> >> >>Plus licensees. Only the Technician class, some who "were not
> >> >>active." Why the emphasis on Technician class?
> >> >
> >> >Because I believe that more of the Technician Class did not become active
> >on
> >> >the air than the Extra Class.
> >>
> >> Why does Hare believe this?
> >
> >Why don't you ask him?

>
> that's what was written, a public question to mr. ed hare.

Sounded like a question to the public ABOUT Mr. Hare.

> See the beginning quotes above.   :-)

> [no capital letters were killed to write this message]

Except for a capital 'S'.

From -6294344203666099659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 12:21:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AF846D8.2941F770@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 14:19:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <hiPJ6.9638$4f7.760778@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3AF7EC0D.507ACDB@IEEE.org> <78WJ6.11210$t12.823271@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.113
X-Trace: corp 989349205 64.240.47.113 (8 May 2001 14:13:25 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21380

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> > Make that "... the very definition of an HF Amateur ...".

> The treaty makes no distinction.

The treaty makes no distinction between HF and VHF???
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5323371483195411711
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 12:26:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
netnews.com!newspeer1.nac.net!yellow.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!
POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@contesting.com (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 65
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 19:21:02 GMT

NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.39
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 989349662 216.1.128.39 (Tue, 08 May 2001 15:21:02 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 15:21:02 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22997 rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8746 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2592 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2493 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12999 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21381 rec.radio.cb:32473

Hi Jerry,

You have poor reading skills OM.

On Tue, 8 May 2001 07:32:26 -0700, "JerryL" <jlockett@onemain.com> wrote:

>How about some technical data proving your claim about republicans not
>liking to have sex with women?

Never said that. One example is Newt. He had an affair with a female member of his staff before he resigned..

Here is what I said:

>Republicans are more likely to have sex with Yasser Araofat and his
>male camel than women. Like they did with congressional pages.

>Statements like this cast a 'lot of doubt'
>about your abilities in other areas, such as electronics etc. I mean this
>is such obvious bullshit, it makes me wonder if your learned comments on
>other things might also be bullshit!

What is BS about it?

1.) Bush looks like a monkey

2.) We had a problem in the House and Senate with congressmen and senators having sex with pages

3.) The Republican heading the "let's get Clinton" group was arrested TWICE for exposing himself in a park and for soliciting sex with a male undercover decoy in an Atlanta park

>And yea, I'm a new republican, got so disgusted with Clinton and company, I
>changed parties, and I still like women!

Good for you. We really ought to throw all the bums out.

Before someone whines about Clinton, we should insist he not have an extramarital affair himself. Before someone heads a crusade to prosecute someone else for banging a 21 year-old-female and lying about it, we should make sure he isn't "hanging out" in public parks trolling for young males.

>I presume you still support Clinton and hold him in high regard. He was such
>a outstanding example of a President of the United States, wasn't he, ugh!
>puke! I don't know about you, but I was totally embarassed and ashamed to
>have him represent us/me to the entire world...!

Frankly, both parties embarass me. I'm ashamed of many of them, and embarassed that people think either party does not have more than its fair share of dishonest members and even the occasional pervert.

Why on earth would anyone think that a certain party affiliation means the people are honest or moral?

We let them all get away with far too much.

Now think about this...it is important. As bad as Gore was...and as disliked as Clinton was....the best the Republicans could do was Bush. They fielded a candidate that actually LOST the popular vote to someone like Gore!!!! Phew!

Embarassing, isn't it?

From 8457331521996178580
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 12:33:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 19:32:29 GMT
References: <9d8ueb$dsg$0@208.207.71.146>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010508153229.08242.00000336@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21382

In article <9d8ueb$dsg$0@208.207.71.146>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

> Surely by now everyone knows not to pay any serious attention to Lennie
>the Loose, who still can't tell the difference between Amateur radio and
>any other kind. The station I described was the HAM RADIO station at
>Fort Monmouth, not the big military stuff.

If the time period was actually 1962, then the so-called ham radio station at Fort Monmouth was really a MARS station. As such it would allow fully-credentialled amateurs to use the station for recreational purposes. However, that is the definiton from 1952 when I was at the Signal School at Monmouth. Obviously, according to Carroll, things had changed in ten years and this "ham radio station" was a beehive and hotbed of amateur activity at a military base.

>And - I wasn't even assigned
>to a radio station, rather as a broadcast engineer at a *TELEVISION*
>station!

Ah yes, another change from Carroll's early claim of "combat training" in the Signal Corps. :-)

[mental picture of a TV combat soldier carrying a TK-30 camera at right-shoulder arms...]

"Broadcast engineer" involves as much "engineering" as a railroad engineer "engineers" his locomotive on the tracks. Broadcast engineers ride gain, keep the white pedestal correct (with TV), watch meters, and leave actual fixing of equipment to the select "engineering" crew who can actually read schematics, consigned to the graveyard shift from oh-dark-thirty to sunup. Know it well at WREX-TV in 1956. :-)

Interesting that, to one who espouses CW on HF, television in the US military is considered superior to military HF operations involving communications on HF. Obviously, some do not have the "big picture" of TV and its relationship to CW on HF.

>And Loose Lennie *still* can't join in the fun.

Incorrect. Carroll doesn't understand that the object is to change certain radio regulations for the benefit of many, not one individual. Carroll cannot understand that because he cannot argue against changes but must preserve them in order to maintain his delusion of superiority in amateur matters.

The "fun" seems entirely of putting down "inferiors" who have not accomplished Carroll's personal achievements. Is that "fun" and a role-model for newcomers?

From 1772363228481368421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 12:33:01 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 19:32:28 GMT
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010508153228.08242.00000335@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21383

In article <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> writes:

>I understand that CW is required to conform to the ITU. When will this
>archaic testing requirement be eliminated?

ITU-R S25 is a group of standards which define adminstrations' (governments of ITU member nations) requirements as to amateur radio activity and licensing. S25.5 specifically states that morse code skill ("reception by ear, transmission by hand") is required for any license having below-30-MHz privileges. S25.5 does not state any rate of reception or transmission. Definitions of amateur radio are found in ITU-R S1.

The United States administration does NOT have any current agenda item concerning S25.5 specifically. Agenda items for the ITU's WRC-03 are, at present, the following:

1.7 Issues concerning amateur radio that may _possibly_ affect amateur radio (1.7.1), call sign revisions to possibly increase the number of characters (1.7.2), a review of S1 terms and definitions as a result of any S25 changes (1.7.3).

1.23 Realignment of the 40 meter band allocations worldwide so as to separate SW BC carrier frequencies from amateur allocations. Note: This has been on-going since WARC-79, 22 years, no real realignment by any administration.

1.38 Consideration of allocating 6 MHz from the 420-470 MHz bandspace for another radio service. It is not clear whether this is primary, secondary, or tertiary in possible allocation.

According to the IWG-6 (Informal Working Group 6) of the FCC's International Bureau, their meeting minutes of 16 April 2001 do not indicate anything specific nor any draft of possible revised ITU Standards as of that time. Note: The only voting members of the US ITU-R delegation consist of personnel from the State Department, National Telecommunications and Information Agency, and the Federal Communications Commission. NTIA documents (three) referencing WRC-03 are available from a link at the FCC's International Bureau web pages.

In a news item on the ARRL's web page, the ARRL is reported
to have "considered" these items and downplayed any
"changes" to license requirments having morse code testing.
The ARRL erred by omission in eliminating the telephone
bridge input of No Code International...which was reported in
the Minutes of the 4th IWG-6 Meeting at the FCC.

From 7876549234623333051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 12:33:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 85
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 19:32:30 GMT
References: <9d8ueb$dsg$0@208.207.71.146>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010508153230.08242.00000337@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21384

In article <9d8ueb$dsg$0@208.207.71.146>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> Surely by now everyone knows not to pay any serious attention to Lennie
>the Loose, who still can't tell the difference between Amateur radio and
>any other kind.

That's an easy distinction. "Any other kind" of radio involves either
"civilians" using consumer-item, type-accepted radios for pleasure
or professionals using radios and equipment few amateurs can
even dream about doing actual radio communications work.

Amateur radio stations fall into sub-categories. The largest category
has already-designed-and-manufactured ham-consumer-market
equipment well illustrated in the advertisements of QST, QEX, CQ,
and 73 magazines. Photos of amateur stations in almost every
issue confirm this. Those same photos usually have some kludge
in the corner hammered together to show their "homebrewing
capability." A slightly smaller sub-sub-category must always
include the requisite morse code keying appliance in such station
photos to illustrate their support for the "spirit" of amateur radio
that venerates morse code over everything else.

Another sub-sub-category is the "real radios glow in the dark" amateur who seldom or never learned anything about semiconductor electronics, has an over-abundance of nostalgia for youthful times, and considers radio technology to have achieved its pinnacle with the advent of the 6146 "miniature" version of the 807. They also venerate the morse code mode above all others. A few radicals of this group have and use electronic keyers under the excuse of "arthritis" or similar ailments of age rather than admitting they were never really as good with morse as they claim.

A decided minority of amateur radio stations use mostly home-brewed equipment, converted surplus, assembled kits, and the like. Those fall into two smaller categories: The "glow in the dark" radioactivists who are stuck or fixated on vacuum tube technology; the professional who carries ability over to recreational radio and likes working with hardware and new technology. That latter group is never taken seriously, generally shunned by the majority as "geeks" or "nerds" unless they consider morse code as the ultimate skill achievement of amateurism, over and above any knowledge of advanced technology.

An extremely large minority group, disparagingly called "technicians" or "shack on a belt" individuals, are always shunned by longer-time "upgraded" amateurs and given "back of the bus seats" and must drink from separate water fountains. No older, long-time amateur would ever let his daughter marry one of these second-class citizens unless they made gratuitous complementary commentary on the efficacy of morse code modes.

A large but decreasing sub-category of longer-time radio amateurs are the "credentialists" who collect paper objects of veneration and glory. These are framed and hung proudly (a few have made semi-permanent plaques of these) to show their expertise and efforts in radio. This indicates that this sub-category are indeed far better than professionals who seldom get to do this frame work and wallpaper cover-up.

True or "real" radio amateurs must always venerate CW modes and HF band operating, all as emphasized in the "official" amateur publications. They must adhere to "correct" jargon at all times. They must keep the memory of the "loss" of 11 meters alive after 42 years and despise anyone who operates on Citizens Band. They must sneer and pejorate all radio professionals because they are, by their own admission, infinitely "superior" over professionals because they are amateurs.

Above all, true/real amateurs must discount any radio

physics not appearing in the Handbook or approved by
"official" membership organizations. They think that
amateur radio physics is "different" than the radio physics
of every other radio service. Further, anyone not an
amateur or not believing in the ethos and mythos of ham
radio has no experience worthy of comparison.

Yes, it is very easy to tell the differences. :-)

```
From -6874805086433391449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 12:51:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!
news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 15:44:44 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <3AF84CAB.8A841FFB@psu.edu>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <3AF8446A.F57EAF08@crosslink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21385
```

Old Biker wrote:
>
> mike coslo wrote:
> >
> > Now that I'm virtually finished with learning the cw portion of my soon
> > to be new ticket, I guess I can feel a bit of empathy for the old timers
> > who are p****d off for the reduction in cw speed for new applicants.
>
> Pride of achievement is A-OK but empathy for selfishness?? I
> think not! The fact that one (or many) individuals had to endure
> a hardship is no excuse for making others do the same.

Not selfishness. Empathy isn't quite the same thing as agreement. Just
a bit of understanding. I don't have empathy for cranky bitterness.
>
> Morse was once the only practical method of radio communications

> and a major purpose for amateur radio was to provide a pool of
> Morse-capable operators. But that is no longer true! So there is
> no valid reason to require new Hams to endure Morse training. We
> might as well require them to pole vault 14 feet.

I think instead of strictly a Morse code requirement, the applicant should be required to show proficiency in some type of amateur mode. It would be in the form of a sub-section to the test. Subjects might include cw, ssb, fm, propagation, etc. the applicant would take a test element dealing with one of these subjects. Or what the heck, pole vaulting! 8^)

> robbed and jailed just because I did? Seasonal allergies are
> tearing me up right now. Should you have to suffer just because
> I'm suffering?

No but I am! Right now it feels like my nose is stuffed with weasels and my head full of water balloons. Gawd, I hate it when the oaks shed their pollen.

- Mike -

From -7440100456980380320
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 13:16:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
In-Reply-To: <20010508153228.08242.00000335@nso-mf.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105082002210.4081-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <20010508153228.08242.00000335@nso-mf.aol.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 10
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 20:03:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989352198 12.80.44.59 (Tue, 08 May 2001 20:03:18 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 20:03:18 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21386

On 8 May 2001, Len Over 21 wrote:
> ...
> The ARRL erred by omission in eliminating the telephone
> bridge input of No Code International...which was reported in
> the Minutes of the 4th IWG-6 Meeting at the FCC.

With the feeling that some people have toward the ARRL, are you certain that the
ommission was an error (vs. intentional - to serve its political agenda or
that of its members)?

From 7971698901273817079
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f1a1802425f1c0ce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 13:17:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF85365.F85@chipsnet.com>
From: daughert <daughert@chipsnet.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01 (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: New group
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 15:13:26 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.43.14.139
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 989352671 216.43.14.139 (Tue, 08 May 2001 15:11:11
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 15:11:11 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21387

Hello,

I've started this new group on yahoo. feel free to join. The address
is http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RadioFederationgroup

Todd N9OGL
RADIO FEDERATION

From -6613297804773950989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549

X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 13:17:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!
news.tele.dk!193.174.75.178!news-fra1.dfn.de!fu-berlin.de!uni-berlin.de!
1cust147.tnt3.bakersfield.ca.da.uu.NET!not-for-mail
From: "JerryL" <jlockett@onemain.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 13:02:38 -0700
Lines: 93
Message-ID: <9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1cust147.tnt3.bakersfield.ca.da.uu.net (63.48.184.147)
X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 989352103 17374658 63.48.184.147 (16 [55752])
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23010
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8749 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2593
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2494 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13002
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21388 rec.radio.cb:32476

Well I may have 'poor reading skills' but I take issue with you painting all people of a certain grouping with the same paint brush about their sexual perferences...There are wierdo's in any group, but not the whole group, and there is a hellva difference between Joe Blow congressman or anyone else and the President of the United States..No matter what, the President should keep him or herself above reproach in all matters, or at least be decrete about it for crying out loud and then lying about it on the national media, sheesh! To much!!!

As for ....the best the Republicans could do was Bush. They fielded a candidate that actually LOST the popular vote to someone like Gore!!!! Phew!...the last I heard on the evening news was that 'all the big time newspapers' that paid to finish the recount down in Florida, much to their chagrin, finally admitted that Bush won anyhow no matter how Gore and company tried to slant it!! As a note of interest, I didn't vote for either Bush or Gore, my candidate lost out early on....!

de jerry -n6jp-

"Tom W8JI" <2w8ji@contesting.com> wrote in message
news:3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com...
> Hi Jerry,
>
> You have poor reading skills OM.
>
> On Tue, 8 May 2001 07:32:26 -0700, "JerryL" <jlockett@onemain.com>
> wrote:
>
> >How about some technical data proving your claim about republicans not
> >liking to have sex with women?
>
> Never said that. One example is Newt. He had an affair with a female
> member of his staff before he resigned..
>
> Here is what I said:
>
> >Republicans are more likely to have sex with Yasser Araofat and his
> >male camel than women. Like they did with congressional pages.
>
> >Statements like this cast a 'lot of doubt'
> >about your abilities in other areas, such as electronics etc. I mean
this
> >is such obvious bullshit, it makes me wonder if your learned comments on
> >other things might also be bullshit!
>
> What is BS about it?
>
> 1.) Bush looks like a monkey
>
> 2.) We had a problem in the House and Senate with congressmen and
> senators having sex with pages
>
> 3.) The Republican heading the "let's get Clinton" group was arrested
> TWICE for exposing himself in a park and for soliciting sex with a
> male undercover decoy in an Atlanta park
>
> >And yea, I'm a new republican, got so disgusted with Clinton and company,
I
> >changed parties, and I still like women!
>

```
> Good for you. We really ought to throw all the bums out.
>
> Before someone whines about Clinton, we should insist he not have an
> extramarital affair himself. Before someone heads a crusade to
> prosecute someone else for banging a 21 year-old-female and lying
> about it, we should make sure he isn't "hanging out" in public parks
> trolling for young males.
>
> >I presume you still support Clinton and hold him in high regard. He was
such
> >a outstanding example of a President of the United States, wasn't he,
ugh!
> >puke!  I don't know about you, but I was totally embarassed and ashamed
to
> >have him represent us/me to the entire world...!
>
> Frankly, both parties embarass me. I'm ashamed of many of them, and
> embarassed that people think either party does not have more than its
> fair share of dishonest members and even the occasional pervert.
>
> Why on earth would anyone think that a certain party affiliation means
> the people are honest or moral?
>
> We let them all get away with far too much.
>
> Now think about this...it is important.  As bad as Gore was...and as
> disliked as Clinton was....the best the Republicans could do was Bush.
> They fielded a candidate that actually LOST the popular vote to
> someone like Gore!!!! Phew!
>
> Embarassing, isn't it?




From 5487143897295898962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,853d867350ae2aea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,27f4315373c03de1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,853d867350ae2aea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 13:17:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-
snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!newspump.sol.net!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!sn-xit-01!sn-
post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: NO ARRL - NO QST
Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 16:15:41 -0400
```

```
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tfgkvet3kjav92@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3af7161b.1120740@news.earthlink.net>
<UfXJ6.459$E65.42907@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 32
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:11991
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15942 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21389


"Zippy the Pinhead" <kc4cucunbers@aol.com> wrote in message
news:UfXJ6.459$E65.42907@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> <zz@321cue.com> wrote in message
news:3af7161b.1120740@news.earthlink.net...
> > .......Mostly black and white.......

Not any more. 8->>


--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."







From -2137889017355757444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
```

X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 13:25:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 15:26:04 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 92
Message-ID: <9d9kno$cq0$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net>
<Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org>
<r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org>
<7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
<XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not>
<slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain> <3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not>
<3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not>
<5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not>
<EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not>
<c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not>
<3AF6A3E8.5F001C5F@IEEE.org> <3AF6B5C7.501194DA@spam.me.not>
<n1GJ6.53673$B22.13704741@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF77DBD.B4813F55@some.net>
<3AF7AAAB.D597E6CF@spam.me.not> <lJPJ6.43826$2_.15211996@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF83741.387451A6@spam.me.not>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.ef.61
X-Server-Date: 8 May 2001 20:25:28 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5599
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15944 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23012
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21390

Actually you can run good sounding audio at more than 100%. This requires a modulation scheme other than you have in your 'CB' set. So this leads me to ask this....how can a meter read 'modulation' in the first place?

I was under the impression that in order to read Peak Power, than one needs a O-scope, one that is calibrated preferably.

Not to mention the fact, that unless you are running class C RF, and class B AF (for AM) then you can NOT ACHIEVE 99% anyway.

Dan/W4NTI

"Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message

news:3AF83741.387451A6@spam.me.not...
> I have never run my CB this way. I do have an echo mic on my GrantXL,
> but the echo "volume" is barely cracked open. According to three
> different modulation meters, I'm set at 99% modulation.
>
> The fellow I was talking to runs his radio in much the same way. He has
> a Cobra 148 (same radio, basically), and has his set similarly.
>
> Any more than 100% modulation is going to sound like crap, and probably
> bleed over. I know this, and those CB'ers who know this try to avoid
> bleeding over, etc...
>
> Now, if you don't mind, I need a nap before I go down to the testing
> center to test for my ticket. Have a nice day, Rob, and I hope "huked on
> fonix" continues to help your English grammar. ("there's usefull"? Come
> On!)
>
> -SSB
>
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > A signal report comming from a cb'er, a lets turn up mic gain until its
> > completely distorted, then put an echo on it and a loud roger beep so
that I
> > get out better, radio operator ... there's usefull
> >
> > --
> > Rob St.Denis
> >
> > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > news:3AF7AAAB.D597E6CF@spam.me.not...
> > > Ok...
> > >
> > > I stand corrected.
> > >
> > > Allow me to rephrase what I'd originally stated, then..
> > >
> > > My 2 watt AM carrier covered up all the background noise on the other
> > > guy's CB, which was roughly 20 miles away, according to his signal
> > > report.
> > >
> > > -SSB
> > >
> > > JR wrote:
> > > >
> > > > An AM carrier without modulation looks just like an FM carrier
without
> > > > modulation. So it seems to me that "quieting sensitivity" could
apply to
> > > > an AM receiver as well although the term "full quieting" is
generally

```
> > > > understood to apply to FM.
> > > >
> > > > Rough Rider wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Sideband, I will put a cup out for you since you are begging to be
> > correct
> > > > > about full quieting. Notice Cecils definition, frequency
modulation
> > > > > recievers, does this give you enough of a hint- that it is FM
only. Do
> > > > > yourself a favor while studying for the ham test don't just
memorize
> > the
> > > > > answers actually learn what the question mean. If it was fill in
the
> > blanks
> > > > > the ham population would decrease by two fold.
> > > > >


---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.251 / Virus Database: 124 - Release Date: 4/26/01
```

```
From 6304146222010366087
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 14:16:20 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!cambridge1-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!bos-service1.ext.raytheon.com!cyclone.swbell.net!
nnrp2.sbc.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF86239.7A27@yahoo.com>
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: kg0wx@yahoo.com
Organization: Design Services Company
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-SBXA  (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3af80ed9$1_3@spamkiller>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 15
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 16:16:41 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.217.142.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@swbell.net
```

X-Trace: nnrp2.sbc.net 989356570 64.217.142.163 (Tue, 08 May 2001 16:16:10 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 16:16:10 CDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21392

Keith wrote:
>
> As long as they stay out of the ham bands, I don't care.
>

I remember we had the same type of thinking toward the japs and
nazis before december 7th, 1941. The valiant crew of USS Arizona
(among others) paid dearly for that blunder.
--

Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KG�WX
Design Services Company
http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com/
Smoke free since 9/30/96!
ICQ# 63379650�

From -5892340077571909651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 14:40:18 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 21:40:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 989358017 24.16.178.66 (Tue, 08 May 2001 14:40:17 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 14:40:17 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21393

Grow up and get over it!

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message

news:3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu...
> Now that I'm virtually finished with learning the cw portion of my soon
> to be new ticket, I guess I can feel a bit of empathy for the old timers
> who are p****d off for the reduction in cw speed for new applicants.
>
> I worked my butt off to learn the cw, and am kinda proud of it. Yes I
> know it isn't 13 wpm or higher, but it was quite an effort to get this far.
>
> And I suspect that I'll be a little annoyed when the requirement is
> dropped altogether. I hadn't originally planned to use cw mode, but now
> I think I just might. Funny how yer outlook can change.
>
> So I know where you guys are coming from.
>
> - mike - KB3EIA -

From -3804620045797599715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 14:41:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news2.rdc2.tx.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF86719.37E410C6@home.com>
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>

<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<AdTJ6.6020$vg1.469364@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 21:37:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.7.30.169
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news2.rdc2.tx.home.com 989357853 24.7.30.169 (Tue, 08 May 2001 14:37:33 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 14:37:33 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23029 rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8752 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2594 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2496 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13011 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21394 rec.radio.cb:32484

Take a hard look at what Dubya is doing/not doing with the oil companies right now, think back to what he had to say about the oil business during his campaign, and then take a look at the the modern Bush oil pricing structure. Now, aren't you proud to have voted for such a guy? I didn't think he could sell out in such a short order, but I underestimated the fool.

K0HB wrote:

> In article <3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>, Tom W8JI says...
>
> >Face it, George looks like a monkey and is dumb as snot.
>
> Tom,
>
> Duh-bya Shrub is not as dumb as he acts. He couldn't be!
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

From 3717972005085017588
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 15:13:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 114

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 22:12:36 GMT
References: <3af82fea.180940211@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010508181236.08242.00000339@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21396

In article <3af82fea.180940211@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 06 May 2001 19:48:53 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>>. . . particularly since copying Morse has little or nothing to do
>>>with intellect.
>>
>>I'm glad that an Extra finally admits such... :-)
>>
>There are a lot of usefu skills which require WORK to acquire and have
>little or nothing to do with intellectual processes.

This is at odds with what other Extras are saying. You guys
don't have a consensus, do you?   :-)

>>So, Kelly specifies conditions for the entire 50 states on the
>>basis of personal acquaintences?
>>
>There are no factors in play in this large metropolitan area which
>make ham radio here any different from ham radio anywhere else. With
>the exception of the volume of repeater abuse in California which we
>don't have here.

So...Kelly has personally experienced the "volume of repeater abuse
in California" or is he being geographically-specific just as a
message point item? :-)

>I'm not Larry and Morse is a means, not an end. One needs the skill to
>have the means to achieve the end result. I developed my skill with
>Morse in order to achieve my operating objectives. Which in the case
>of Morse in ham radio also means that I have additional bandwidth and
>a mode for communicating vs. those who have not developed that skill.

Was the "additional bandwidth" a Divine grant or wasn't it more
a result of a lobbying effort by the ARRL on the basis of their
more vocal membership including a lot of favor-morse-only
licensees? That was done way back in time as part of the
"incentive plan" license-class system, a thinly-disguised
exclusivity for certain long-timers through band planning.

The ARS "community" does not grant licenses. The FCC grants amateur radio licenses. The FCC has already said (in 1990 and 1999) that a morse code test for any license is not valid for their regulatory purposes. The FCC must keep on with morse code testing until ITU-R S25.5 is deleted or changed to remove code testing as a necessity for an amateur radio license having below-30-MHz privileges.

The "reasoning" behind long-timers' insistence on the code test is purely subjective and illogical on the following points.

1. Claim that "morse code testing is necessary because there is much morse code used.": Circular logic. If morse code testing is retained, more will be required to be exposed to it, and more will try using it. Such is a self-sustaining semi-perpetual-motion machine concept.

2. Claim that "morse code skill shows motive and dedication": No "motive or dedication" is needed beyond a desire to achieve passing a test. The FCC is not in the "motive" or "dedication" (to amateur radio) business nor should they be...the FCC establishes and enforces certain regulations, not the ethics of a hobby activity. There is nothing in radio regulations requiring any new amateur to meet existing amateur's "necessities" to rule on "motive or dedication."

3. Claim that: "Morse code is a universal language" therefore all amateurs must know it to communicate worldwide: International morse code is a representation of "western" alphanumeric and punctuation characters. The "western" written language is not a majority primary language in the world. There is no requirement that all licensed amateurs must communicate worldwide. The claim is made often by English-speaking morse code proponents yet one wonders how they would feel if the international code required skills at Farsi or any Asian "character" representations? Or even Cyrillic? The choice of English-letter representations is one of business and commerce and about 55 years of landline standardizations having little to do with radio per se. None of that is any valid justification for keeping a code test for an amateur radio license having below-30-MHz privileges.

4. Claim that "Morse code is a spectrally-efficient mode" has some validity, albeit small. Such is valid only for the "narrowband" modes (non-spread-spectrum). However, certain other modes have as little bandwidth necessity (such as PSK31) yet offer higher throughput rates even without any error-correction and without the possibility of human error in coding-decoding.

5. Claim that "morse code is basic radio communication and should be known by all amateurs": Nonsense, OOK codings were the first practical communications means in early radio due to simplistic technology of first radio. That is a long-defunct emotional hold-over from early days in radio, a product largely of myth and legend. The original licensing of radio operators required code skill because that was the only practical means for communicating between radio services for emergencies or to mitigate interference. There is little morse code used in the rest of the radio world outside amateur radio. No such skill is required to mitigate interference today.

6. Claim that "morse code test is the LAW, just DO it!": Laws are man-made and can be man-UN-made. That's such an egregious piece of bullshit that it is nothing but emotion of a dill instructor dissing recruits.

From -2062415548627827093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 15:13:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 116
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 22:12:34 GMT
References: <3af82fea.180940211@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010508181234.08242.00000338@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21395

In article <3af82fea.180940211@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>>Kelly has "insider" information on FCC decision-making?
>>Interesting, that makes a total of three in here with such
>>insider information who know all about FCC internal workings.
>>Amazing.
>>
>The FCC modus operandi in this context is blatenly obvious based on
>their regulatory lurches over at least the past half century as they
>relate to Part 97.

What is "blatenly" [sic] obvious is that subjectivity is ruling Kelly's comments.

US amateur radio (rather than the "Part" number which wasn't always "97") has had such "regulatory lurches" such as the "incentive plan" licensing scheme to establish 5 license classes (more than other countries' number at the time), the "vanity call" system whereby long-timers could appear to be very-old-timers and therefore "expert" (1x2 calls such as "W3RV" for example), privatization of all radio operator licensing (both commercial and amateur), the VEC Question Pool Committee ability to pick and choose the questions for amateur exams, a continuation of no-further-testing renewal of licenses despite radio technology and radio regulation changes since the last license test taken.

Do all of those "lurches" sound personally unfavorable to Kelly?   :-)

>>Translation: "I don't like the way the trends are going, therefore
>>I don't pay any attention to them."   :-)
>>
>Horsepucky. I'll look at the curves in five years, don't bore me with
>it until then.

Then they won't be the trends of today, will they? Nice patronizing response...has a touch of the nobility about it...:-)

>>I also wrote a deliberate misstatement to see if Kelly understood.
>>The 2mm band is NOT the "largest bandspace allocation."
>>
>I assumed an innocent typo. You're so "clever" Lenny.

How could an "innocent typo" of "mm" and "5500 MHz bandspace" be so "innocent? The abreviation of "mm" is millimeter and is found on mechanical drawings. It should be pointed out that "5500" could not be compared with "4" as any typo, nor even "4000" if 'KHz' were substituted for MHz.

The US amateur band of 2 mm is allocated in all Regions. All amateurs should be aware of amateur radio regulations, yes? Or is that confined only to below 30 MHz and Extra class licensees? Perhaps it is such that "Gigahertz are for gigasquirts." [KH2D, three years ago, in here]

>>Kelly never defined anything specific about "spectrally efficient."
>>
>Definitipn: More stations in the same bandwidth. Duh?

Spread spectrum, specifically FHSS, a mode entering the rest of the radio world beyond the military ten years ago, can do this now, does it now. More stations in the same bandwidth. Duh 40 db over S9..

>>No doubt this would have to feature OOK CW codings.   :-)
>>
>Nope again Lenny. More selective vhf/uhf receiver front ends so that
>the FM repeaters can be packed closer together.

??? PLMRS was already going to narrower FM bandwidths a decade ago. They did not need just "more selective front ends" to accomplish that task. Hint: Private Land Mobile Radio Service operates on VHF/UHF. Hint again: Try to self-educate on frequency modulation and modulation index, "Carson's Rule" and other basic items concerning FM. [Carson's Rule has been around since 1922]   Basic things, not electrical engineering stuff

>... I'm waiting
>for the technical geniuses who had been previously prevented from
>getting on the air because they can't hack the code to strut their
>stuff and come up with radios which are more spectrally efficient.

"Strut their stuff?" :-)

Peter Martinez devised PSK31. G3PLX is not some newcomer (he was in a '74 issue of the RSGB monthly Radio Communication).

DSP techniques in and for specific amateur radio uses has been done by code-tested amateurs and non-code-tested professionals for years. See the 2000 Handbook explanation of one Jon Bloom.

How does "knowing" morse code (as in being skilled in its use) allow Extras et al to "strut their stuff?" Is that as in being able to show everyone else how an amateur operator, using 1930s standards and practices, can operate better than anyone else in the year 2001? Or maybe, just maybe that code ability really doesn't have any bearing on innovation or invention or adaptation of other-radio-service techniques to amateur radio?

"Strutting their stuff."   :-)   When was that ever stated by an NCTA? Oh, yes, stated by a PCTA to "explain" what the NCTAs were "really" saying. Of course. :-)

Could it be that all those new Technician class licensees (far more new Tech licenses granted than any other class combined, has been that way for years) just like radio and the new technology? Maybe they like LOS propagation and the short wavelengths? Maybe they aren't interested in beeping away the nights doing DX with strangers in foreign lands? Couldn't be! That's against all the hymnals and sermons of good and true amateurism of the beepers' youth!   :-)

```
From 3194221449501618617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd404e0dcdede944,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,fd404e0dcdede944,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 15:43:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: John <uglyjohn@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bush supports gay marriages (yes!)
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 17:43:01 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <kitgftkuf40pditif587pd4headmgjls4v@4ax.com>
References: <23HITL.290UYD@lamvda.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.85.48
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 8 May 2001 22:43:15 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15949
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21397

On 8 May 2001 20:30:30 GMT, bw821@lamvda.com (Bruce W) wrote:

>
>At long last the Republicans have gotten it right.  Gay couples will now
>receive social security benefits if Bush has his way.  See
>
>http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2001/5/7/210245.shtml
>
>for the full story.
>
>And thanks, guys, for electing Bush!  He's a better friend to gays than
>Clinton ever was.
This is HAM RADIO. Hit the road.


From 8038056111269669936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 15:44:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!newshub2.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 22:42:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989361747 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 08 May 2001
15:42:27 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 15:42:27 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23040
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8754 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2595
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2497 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13012
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21398 rec.radio.cb:32494
```

You are correct there Jerry as much as they cried and whined Bush still came out on top after the Newspapers finished counting. Tom by what percentage did Bush lose the popular vote? Pretty miniscual wouldnt you say. Last time I looked this country elects presidents on electroal votes. Since the democrats had dead people voting and convicts perhaps we should have a recount .

"JerryL" <jlockett@onemain.com> wrote in message
news:9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de...

> Phew!...the last I heard on the evening news was that 'all the big time
> newspapers' that paid to finish the recount down in Florida, much to their
> chagrin, finally admitted that Bush won anyhow no matter how Gore and
> company tried to slant it!! As a note of

From 3374312193008368283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 15:49:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 52
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <pG_J6.55923$B22.14197800@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>

Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 22:45:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989361941 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 08 May 2001
15:45:41 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 15:45:41 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23043
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8755 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2596
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2498 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13014
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21399 rec.radio.cb:32495

Bill I travel the NJ turnpike in excess of 80 mph daily. And cars still pass
me. Then you have the idiot who rides the left lane doing 55-65, and not
passing anyone.

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com...
> On Mon, 07 May 2001 23:11:13 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
> >> WHY? Do you believe for one second that the speed
> >> limits on American highways are realistic? Do they have
> >> any resemblemce to reality. Is 70 mph across Kansas
> >> logical when there is visibility to the horizon, light traffic
> >> and not a bend in the load for miles ahead?
> >
> >First off its law. You hams don't like those cb'ers breaking the law
> >because of some little arbitrary thing like needing a licence to operate
> >(having the sheet of paper does not make you a better op) but yet you
find
> >the "arbitrary" speed laws rediculous? They are there to lower the risk
of
> >accidents.
>
> More that they are there to garner revenue.
>
> >Car's do not handle as well at the higher velocities, reaction
> >times are required to be far faster etc etc etc ...
>
> If YOU can't handle it, then don't. No one is forcing anyone to
> drive 70.
>
> >They do have engineers
> >and such who do determine hte maximum velocity that is safe on a
particular
> >road given its conditions or give it the max of 55
>
> Nope, the national 55 in effect for some 15 years had NOTHING to do
> with safety when imposed. Since it has been lifted, highway detaths
> per year are STILL dropping.
>
> >(its 65 now isnt it ?)

```
> >since that is about what the average driver can safely handle.
>
> Many states it is now 70 or even 75.  In NJ people routinely
> drive 70-75 everywhere on the highway...totally ignoring
> the posted 55 or 65 limits.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
```

```
From 4835481488598509405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 15:52:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
<aFPJ6.43802$2_.15208823@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
```

```
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <gK_J6.55926$B22.14199866@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 22:49:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989362188 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 08 May 2001
15:49:48 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 15:49:48 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23044
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8756 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2597
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2499 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13015
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21400 rec.radio.cb:32496

No you shouldn't say that, it is New Jersey(Jerzee), perhaps if you knew how
to speak correctly you would be able to say the name of the state correctly.
Which state do you think we should model? Oh that's right you aren't from
the USA, what the hell would you know.
.

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:aFPJ6.43802$2_.15208823@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...

> And New Jersey (Joisey I should say) is a state to model after?
>
>




From -8159768476200654669
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 15:52:26 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: "David Edwards" <ham@cybercomm.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 17:58:33 -0400
```

Organization: http://extra.newsguy.com
Lines: 91
Message-ID: <9d9q3202knr@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<1403-3AF8349E-42@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: tc3-ccnj-109.ppp.cybercomm.net
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23045
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8757 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2598
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13016 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21401

How is this of any interest to anyone??

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:1403-3AF8349E-42@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
Technical Data:

"JerryL" <jlockett@onemain.com> Group:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders Date: Tue, May 8,
2001, 7:32am (EDT-3) X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 989332288 17845332
209.165.2.21 (16 [55752])

And since we are on the subject of headers:

It is time for my monthly disclaimer, in case any newbies have arrived since Leland's last folly with my nic and email.

.

KC8LDO Leland C. Scott forged the original post, it wasn't me. His number of aliases and forged posts and emails are staggering, but he especially likes forging me. Please check the headers. Leland C. Scott, KC8LDO, and Doug Adair, N8wwm, and their many, MANY aliases are responsible for ninety five point nine percent of the strife on the rec.radio.cb ng, and probably on many of your amateur forums as well, especially lately. A good number of posts appearing with no call signs have been easily identified as the same forgers in the cb ng.

Thank you all for the replies via email, as most were polite and well written, as were the many offers to assist me in attaining a ham license, however, I believe in returning things to their rightful owners, so any additional correspondence should be directed at the author of the forged post and troll.

After exposing Leland for forging me over a month ago with the assistance of another licensed amateur, he has waged a personal vendetta against me.

He searched the web and found an article I wrote on freebanding for a hobby magazine over a year ago and has posted it numerous times in an effort to justify his own behavior towards myself and other cbers. He draws his own inferences and hurls unfounded accusations and insults. Leland's main line of defense has always been attack his accuser, no matter who it may be. If anyone would dare suggest Leland may be wrong, he has no defense other than to attack about "What you did" and it is usually a lie (from questioning one's sexual preference to threatening them).

He has repeatedly accused me and others of pirating on amateur frequencies, and nothing could be further from the truth. I understand how an amateur could feel so strongly as to defend his allocated frequencies, but attacking countless individuals behind phony names, forging people, forging email headers in his posts, throwing accusations left and right and refusal to remove anything personal and not on subject off the ng exemplifies what this particular individual and his two cronies are about. He also reported me to my ISP for "forging" (the gall) and was so certain it was me that he made many posts threatening that I was about to lose my internet service. When he received word it wasn't me from my ISP, this sent him into a rage, as it did when I first exposed him. He has accused me of forging for so long (pot, kettle, black) he now accuses my ISP of "lying" to him. I guess the more Leland decides to forge me in your amateur forums, the more frequent I must point out the error of his ways.

I asked Leland and "Sparky" to take whatever personal problem they may have with me to email and I will be glad to debate whatever merit they see fit, but as all will see, these two are more interested in calling names, perpetuating problems between operators and will not cease their childish games....hence the crossposts They don't WANT the strife to go away. I apologize that they feel the need to make you all a part of it, and I was going to ignore most of the emails and what was forged of me, but then the email I received from amateurs was so far from what I expected. Leland forged me and posted that article in a manner to which I would be flamed, and instead, I received email from a great number of amateurs who didn't flame at all.

Quite the opposite, many offered to assist in getting my ticket, and for that I thank you. Turning the tables, if it had been me that were a licensed operator and someone posted an article about freebanding in an amateur format I frequented, I may have been one of the flamers, but as I said, the reaction from amateurs across the land was quite different than what the original author intended. If a post appears to be from me in anything other than the cb ng, please check the headers....if it has any other path than this one, it is a forge. All emails answered. Have a good day.

From -1886790825877262890
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 15:55:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 22:55:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.85
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989362501 12.75.103.85 (Tue, 08 May
2001 22:55:01 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 22:55:01 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21402

Spoken like a man who has never accomplished doodly.

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> Grow up and get over it!
>
> "mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu...
> > Now that I'm virtually finished with learning the cw portion of my soon
> > to be new ticket, I guess I can feel a bit of empathy for the old timers
> > who are p****d off for the reduction in cw speed for new applicants.
> >
> > I worked my butt off to learn the cw, and am kinda proud of it. Yes I
> > know it isn't 13 wpm or higher, but it was quite an effort to get this
> far.
> >
> > And I suspect that I'll be a little annoyed when the requirement is
> > dropped altogether. I hadn't originally planned to use cw mode, but now
> > I think I just might. Funny how yer outlook can change.
> >
> > So I know where you guys are coming from.
> >
> > - mike - KB3EIA -

>
>

From 6221621087238132943
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:01:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<hiPJ6.9638$4f7.760778@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3AF7EC0D.507ACDB@IEEE.org> <78WJ6.11210$t12.823271@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3AF846D8.2941F770@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <LU_J6.10872$4f7.844795@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:00:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.85
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989362859 12.75.103.85 (Tue, 08 May
2001 23:00:59 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:00:59 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21403

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AF846D8.2941F770@IEEE.org...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> while in grand mal Pedant Mode
wrote in message

> > > Make that "... the very definition of an HF Amateur ...".
>
> > The treaty makes no distinction.
>
Continuing in pedantry, we read:

> The treaty makes no distinction between HF and VHF???

Struggling to retain his calmnity and dignitude, our hero replies:

Damfino and I doubt you do, either

From -3502259954892678223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:04:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<1403-3AF8349E-42@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 92
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <kY_J6.55932$B22.14207967@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:04:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989363088 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 08 May 2001
16:04:48 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 16:04:48 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23046
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8758 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2599
rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13017 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21404

Pure and simple BS from a known admitted freebander such as yourself
twistie. You are a paranoied person who has accused many people of various
things with not 1 shred of proof. I forgot you are a Saint and could do no
wrong.
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:1403-3AF8349E-42@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
Technical Data:

"JerryL" <jlockett@onemain.com> Group:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders Date: Tue, May 8,
2001, 7:32am (EDT-3) X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 989332288 17845332
209.165.2.21 (16 [55752])

And since we are on the subject of headers:

It is time for my monthly disclaimer, in case any newbies have arrived since Leland's last folly with my nic and email.

.

KC8LDO Leland C. Scott forged the original post, it wasn't me. His number of aliases and forged posts and emails are staggering, but he especially likes forging me. Please check the headers. Leland C. Scott, KC8LDO, and Doug Adair, N8wwm, and their many, MANY aliases are responsible for ninety five point nine percent of the strife on the rec.radio.cb ng, and probably on many of your amateur forums as well, especially lately. A good number of posts appearing with no call signs have been easily identified as the same forgers in the cb ng.

Thank you all for the replies via email, as most were polite and well written, as were the many offers to assist me in attaining a ham license, however, I believe in returning things to their rightful owners, so any additional correspondence should be directed at the author of the forged post and troll.

After exposing Leland for forging me over a month ago with the assistance of another licensed amateur, he has waged a personal vendetta against me.

He searched the web and found an article I wrote on freebanding for a hobby magazine over a year ago and has posted it numerous times in an effort to justify his own behavior towards myself and other cbers. He draws his own inferences and hurls unfounded accusations and insults. Leland's main line of defense has always been attack his accuser, no matter who it may be. If anyone would dare suggest Leland may be wrong, he has no defense other than to attack about "What you did" and it is usually a lie (from questioning one's sexual preference to threatening them).

He has repeatedly accused me and others of pirating on amateur frequencies, and nothing could be further from the truth. I understand how an amateur could feel so strongly as to defend his allocated frequencies, but attacking countless individuals behind phony names, forging people, forging email headers in his posts, throwing accusations left and right and refusal to remove anything personal and not on subject off the ng exemplifies what this particular individual and his two cronies are about. He also reported me to my ISP for "forging" (the gall) and was so certain it was me that he made many posts threatening that I was about to lose my internet service. When he received word it wasn't me from my ISP, this sent him into a rage, as it did when I first exposed him. He has accused me of forging for so long (pot, kettle, black) he now accuses my ISP of "lying" to him. I guess the more Leland decides to forge me in your amateur forums, the more frequent I must point out the error of his ways.

I asked Leland and "Sparky" to take whatever personal problem they may have with me to email and I will be glad to debate whatever merit they see fit, but as all will see, these two are more interested in calling names, perpetuating problems between operators and will not cease their childish games....hence the crossposts They don't WANT the strife to go away. I apologize that they feel the need to make you all a part of it, and I was going to ignore most of the emails and what was forged of me, but then the email I received from amateurs was so far from what I expected. Leland forged me and posted that article in a manner to which I would be flamed, and instead, I received email from a great number of amateurs who didn't flame at all.

Quite the opposite, many offered to assist in getting my ticket, and for that I thank you. Turning the tables, if it had been me that were a licensed operator and someone posted an article about freebanding in an amateur format I frequented, I may have been one of the flamers, but as I said, the reaction from amateurs across the land was quite different than what the original author intended. If a post appears to be from me in anything other than the cb ng, please check the headers....if it has any other path than this one, it is a forge. All emails answered. Have a good day.

From 5082203977625667000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:06:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net> <oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net> <3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net> <3AF47113.567ABF1A@IEEE.org> <9d4srq$3ss$1@news.netmar.com> <9d60c42eae@enews2.newsguy.com> <3AF78D06.D9D7D38A@sccoast.net> <3AF7EAD7.F171A915@IEEE.org>

```
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 8
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <0__J6.55935$B22.14209142@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:06:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989363196 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 08 May 2001
16:06:36 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 16:06:36 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15951
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21405
```

Cecil did you get your crop planted early this year.

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message :

> The point is that your
> attitude toward marijuana is just as unenlightened today as the

```
From 8293992211953884130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:07:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <mHPJ6.43814$2_.15210556@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010508065002.10013.00000982@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <U__J6.47453$2_.16342391@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:07:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989363252 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 08 May 2001 16:07:32
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 16:07:32 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
```

```
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21406

>      And if you have the "right" to presume to tell us what is right and
wrong
> about the death penalty for terrorists, I guess he has the right to
chastise
> YOU for not following posting convention.

The only reason its wrong is the reason you do it ... you don't execute to
give others a reason not to commit murder but out of revenge plain and
simple ... americans are typically hostile and vengeful people ... it's in
your nature ...

>      Not to mention repeating it six times in one post.

Just wanted to make sure you got the point

>      Guess the rent-a-cop business must be slow in Canada these days.

Actually I'm working for Lapp Hancock Telecomms now ...




From -6610197621808555929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:11:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net>
<Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org>
<r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org>
<7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
<XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not>
<slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain> <3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not>
<3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not>
<5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not>
<EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not>
<c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not>
<3AF6A3E8.5F001C5F@IEEE.org> <3AF6B5C7.501194DA@spam.me.not>
```

```
<n1GJ6.53673$B22.13704741@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF77DBD.B4813F55@some.net>
<3AF7AAAB.D597E6CF@spam.me.not> <lJPJ6.43826$2_.15211996@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF83741.387451A6@spam.me.not>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 9
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <p2%J6.47480$2_.16353523@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:11:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989363477 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 08 May 2001 16:11:17
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 16:11:17 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5610
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15952 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23047
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21407

> Now, if you don't mind, I need a nap before I go down to the testing
> center to test for my ticket. Have a nice day, Rob, and I hope "huked on
> fonix" continues to help your English grammar. ("there's usefull"? Come
> On!)

I appologize for my use of vernacular and spelling errors ... I don't
usually spend much time on my posts ...




From -1515156651822985063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:13:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3af5f552.3141924@news.mindspring.com> <3AF66838.45F45CD2@sccoast.net>
<v5GJ6.53683$B22.13708461@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF78DF4.EA336768@sccoast.net>
<3AF7EB92.7A4043BC@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 35
```

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <s4%J6.55938$B22.14214617@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:13:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989363608 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 08 May 2001
16:13:28 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 16:13:28 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15953
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21408

Cecil, It is much easier to catch speeders.
First Fact How many vehicles are on the road?  It is like crapshooting.
Talked to a State Trooper today at 4:00pm 1/2 way thru his shift he had
written 14 tickets. Why because there are many asshole breaking traffic laws
daily, and there people out there enforcing the Law. How many people use the
freeband? As compared to the minute number of FCC agents enforcing the Law

W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3AF7EB92.7A4043BC@IEEE.org...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Rough Rider wrote:
> > >
> > > Dwight everyone likes to use the speeding
> > > comparision, even though it is about as
> > > minor a law to break as any. Operating
> > > on the freeband and speeding is far from
> > > the same thing, One is a hundred dollar
> > > fine the other a 10,000 fine ... this
> > > isn't rocket science ...
> >
> > I agree.  In fact, that is almost the exact same point I made a few
> > messages ago.
>
> What percentage of freebanders get caught? What percentage of speeders
> get caught?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 7047349194185546290
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:20:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
<aFPJ6.43802$2_.15208823@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<gK_J6.55926$B22.14199866@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <n6%J6.47509$2_.16364374@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:15:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989363731 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 08 May 2001 16:15:31
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 16:15:31 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23048
```

rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8760 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2600
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2500 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13018
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21409 rec.radio.cb:32500

"Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com> wrote in message
news:gK_J6.55926$B22.14199866@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> No you shouldn't say that, it is New Jersey(Jerzee), perhaps if you knew
how
> to speak correctly you would be able to say the name of the state
correctly.
> Which state do you think we should model? Oh that's right you aren't from
> the USA, what the hell would you know.

Don't need to live there ... spend enough time in the hamptons and driving
to and fro in the upper east states ... And from my experience inahbitants
in NJ do pronounce it Joisey rather than Jersey

From -4138706317925924122
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:20:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 51
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:20:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 989364035 24.16.178.66 (Tue, 08 May 2001
16:20:35 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 16:20:35 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21410

This is an example of the problem that is currently plaguing the amateur
community. It is analogous to:

I started my car with a hand crank, so you should learn this method before
you can drive an automobile. Some people collect antique cars that are
started with a hand crank. This is their choice, and I respect their choice.

CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary. Just because you
consider it a personal accomplishment does not justify why all amateurs
should learn CW.

There are many professional engineers (myself included) that share this
opinion. You will get no empathy from me.

-jim

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net> wrote in message
news:9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...> Spoken
like a man who has never accomplished doodly.
>
> "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > Grow up and get over it!
> >
> > "mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> > news:3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu...
> > > Now that I'm virtually finished with learning the cw portion of my
soon
> > > to be new ticket, I guess I can feel a bit of empathy for the old
timers
> > > who are p****d off for the reduction in cw speed for new applicants.
> > >
> > > I worked my butt off to learn the cw, and am kinda proud of it. Yes I
> > > know it isn't 13 wpm or higher, but it was quite an effort to get this
> > far.
> > >
> > > And I suspect that I'll be a little annoyed when the requirement is
> > > dropped altogether. I hadn't originally planned to use cw mode, but
now
> > > I think I just might. Funny how yer outlook can change.
> > >
> > > So I know where you guys are coming from.
> > >
> > > - mike - KB3EIA -
> >
> >
>
>

From -5879402006312042208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:31:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!209.50.235.254!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 23:31:28 GMT
References: <U__J6.47453$2_.16342391@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <20010508193128.25638.00001216@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21411

>Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 5/8/01 6:07 PM Central Daylight Time

>The only reason its wrong is the reason you do it ... you don't execute >to
give others a reason not to commit murder but out of revenge
>plain and simple

    This is your opinion.  Nothing of substantial fact.  And besides, there ARE
some people who ARE alive today that are "proof" that capital punishment DOES
deter capital crime.

>Just wanted to make sure you got the point

     Actually, you just looked foolish.  THAT you accomplished well.

>Actually I'm working for Lapp Hancock Telecomms now ...

     Congratulations.  Guess things are slow there, too.






From -7137011063616358847
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd404e0dcdede944
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:33:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 08 May 2001 23:33:01 GMT
References: <23HITL.290UYD@lamvda.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bush supports gay marriages (yes!)
Message-ID: <20010508193301.25638.00001217@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21412

>Subject: Bush supports gay marriages (yes!)
>From: bw821@lamvda.com (Bruce W)
>Date: 5/8/01 3:30 PM Central Daylight Time

>And thanks, guys, for electing Bush! He's a better friend to gays than
>Clinton ever was.

I don't know about that....rumor has it that the "cigar" trick with Monica was just as an afterthought........

Steve....

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 6976701462672387249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:33:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 63
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 23:33:11 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105082002210.4081-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010508193311.09228.00000650@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21413

In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105082002210.4081-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

> On 8 May 2001, Len Over 21 wrote:
>> ...
>> The ARRL erred by omission in eliminating the telephone bridge input of No Code International...which was reported in the Minutes of the 4th IWG-6 Meeting at the FCC.
>
> With the feeling that some people have toward the ARRL, are you certain that the ommission was an error (vs. intentional - to serve its political agenda or that of its members)?

"Erring in omission" is not an error per se. It means leaving out some item of information for whatever the writer had in mind. There are many and varied rationales for such in professional journalism but it seems a fairly constant device used by most nationally-oriented fraternal orders.

The way I see it, and have seen it for a half century, the ARRL is simply boosting itself, wherever it can, however it can. There's nothing wrong with boosterism of congratulatory self-praise, but sometimes it gets way too deep. :-)

Let's take the ARRL opening page's article on the 40m band. At first glance it would seem that IWG-6 is the "only" "advisory committee." Actually no, it is, as stated later, an "informal working group." According to the article, Walt Ireland of the ARRL is "chairman." Not quite. Ireland is Vice-Chair and Larry Miller is Chairman (absent during the April 16 meeting). According to the article, the ARRL's Paul Rinaldo was the only presenter but there were two others mentioned in the meeting minutes, one by telephone and the other being the President of the National Association of Shortwave Broadcasters (NASB). The NASB in concerned with the 4 to 10 MHz part of the HF spectrum.

The title of IWG-6 (there are 7 in all) is "Public Protection and Other Issues." An IWG does not set everything but advises the IB of the FCC. However, never fear, the ARRL is "on the job" and will protect everyone's interests because ARRL personnel are the only ones written up in the article... even a League "representative" to a CITEL meeting to be held in the near future.

Errors? Not really. Judicious rewording and omission of those facts reported elsewhere. Pecking order misnaming at this "advisory committee" can be explained due to the absence of the real Chairman, etc., etc., etc.

The end result of judicious and clever wordcrafting is that the ARRL can be shown as the "only" group looking out for US amateur radio.

Harken back a few years to the "Little LEO" NPRM. If one would read the ARRL "news" it would seem that only

the ARRL "defeated" it. Going to the FCC it would seem
that there were about two dozen others commenting as
much and more which contributed to the defeat. According
to ARRL news, the ARRL "did it all." Again, clever judicious
use of error-of-omission made the ARRL a self-proclaimed
hero. That's bad journalism but very good political spin
doctoring. QED.

From -5587456686643865878
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:38:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
<aFPJ6.43802$2_.15208823@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<gK_J6.55926$B22.14199866@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<n6%J6.47509$2_.16364374@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <qn%J6.55965$B22.14224558@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:33:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 989364822 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 08 May 2001 16:33:42 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 16:33:42 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23049 rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8762 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2601 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2501 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13020 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21414 rec.radio.cb:32503

Thats funny as a lifetime inhabitant I have never heard anyone who lived here call it that. The only "people" I have heard say it are outsiders such as yourself who have no clue
and mimic what others say.

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:n6%J6.47509$2_.16364374@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com> wrote in message
> news:gK_J6.55926$B22.14199866@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> > No you shouldn't say that, it is New Jersey(Jerzee), perhaps if you knew
> how
> > to speak correctly you would be able to say the name of the state
> correctly.
> > Which state do you think we should model? Oh that's right you aren't
from
> > the USA, what the hell would you know.
>
> Don't need to live there ... spend enough time in the hamptons and driving
> to and fro in the upper east states ... And from my experience inahbitants
> in NJ do pronounce it Joisey rather than Jersey
>
>

From -5639850928977297207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:40:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>

<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 80
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <cu%J6.10957$4f7.850907@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:40:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.85
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989365256 12.75.103.85 (Tue, 08 May 2001 23:40:56 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:40:56 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21415

Good, you accomplished something. And so you know how it feels. Mike has accomplished something and you piss on his shoes and tell him it is raining.

1. Accomplishment is personal and relative. If one happens to be two, jumping off the first step and not falling on your diaper is an accomplishment.

2. As it happens, I am one of those old fogies at 57 coming up on 46 years in the hobby who happens to agree that the days of code testing are over. An era will have passed when it goes but eras have passed before and will again. Mike merely indicated that he had a bit of the sense us old turds have when it does -- much like outgrowing your Little League baseball glove.

3. At no point did Mike opine that his accomplishment meant everyone had to do it and for what it is worth, as a coded Extra, neither am I. You are reading something into it that was never said and not intended. Scratch your personal problems with the code on someone else's leg; cats have been drowned for less. Perhaps the chaplain is holding a two-for-one sale.

Dick N�BK

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> This is an example of the problem that is currently plaguing the amateur
> community. It is analogous to:
>
> I started my car with a hand crank, so you should learn this method before
> you can drive an automobile. Some people collect antique cars that are
> started with a hand crank. This is their choice, and I respect their
choice.
>
> CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary. Just because
you
> consider it a personal accomplishment does not justify why all amateurs
> should learn CW.

```
>
> There are many professional engineers (myself included) that share this
> opinion. You will get no empathy from me.
>
> -jim
>
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net> wrote in message
> news:9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...> Spoken
> like a man who has never accomplished doodly.
> >
> > "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> > news:5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > > Grow up and get over it!
> > >
> > > "mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> > > news:3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu...
> > > > Now that I'm virtually finished with learning the cw portion of my
> soon
> > > > to be new ticket, I guess I can feel a bit of empathy for the old
> timers
> > > > who are p****d off for the reduction in cw speed for new applicants.
> > > >
> > > > I worked my butt off to learn the cw, and am kinda proud of it. Yes
I
> > > > know it isn't 13 wpm or higher, but it was quite an effort to get
this
> > > far.
> > > >
> > > > And I suspect that I'll be a little annoyed when the requirement is
> > > > dropped altogether. I hadn't originally planned to use cw mode, but
> now
> > > > I think I just might. Funny how yer outlook can change.
> > > >
> > > > So I know where you guys are coming from.
> > > >
> > > > - mike - KB3EIA -
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>




From 6240953044946259254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:48:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

```
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 May 2001 23:48:01 GMT
References: <WESJ6.939$tv4.88415@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
Message-ID: <20010508194801.25638.00001218@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21416

>Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what
>law?
>From: "Darkstar" @.
>Date: 5/8/01 8:37 AM Central Daylight Time

>It's none of your business.

     Sure it is.  The electromagnetic spectrum is a resource for ALL of us.
People who arbitrarily "take" over it's use without consideration of who they
may be interfering with are a problem.

>What do you care if a pirate operates or not?

     See above.

>Fact is, you don't like the conservative right view, it has nothing
>to do with the station being illegal, you just want to shut up the view.

     There are lot's of outlets for almost ANY political view.  Operating a
"clandestine" radio station gives some the aura of being a "patriot".

>Typical liberal, instead of turning the dial, instead you want to turn the
>station off.

     THIS I can agree with.






From 1355224949202309789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:56:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AF88755.40D22159@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 18:55:01 -0500
```

From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3AF47113.567ABF1A@IEEE.org> <9d4srq$3ss$1@news.netmar.com>
<9d60c42eae@enews2.newsguy.com> <3AF78D06.D9D7D38A@sccoast.net>
<3AF7EAD7.F171A915@IEEE.org> <0__J6.55935$B22.14209142@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp 989365714 64.240.47.66 (8 May 2001 18:48:34 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15954 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21417

Rough Rider wrote:
> Cecil did you get your crop planted early this year.

Send me some seeds.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1623317699271983318
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 16:58:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!

worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 59
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <XK%J6.11673$t12.870137@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:58:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.173.84
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989366327 12.88.173.84 (Tue, 08 May 2001 23:58:47 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:58:47 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21418

Unfortunately, I think we all tend to get wrapped around the axel over this code/no code business. My main concern has been the general dumbing down of requirements in general (both inside and outside of amateur radio). No one seems to want to learn anything technical (sheesh, if they don't have a calculator or cash register, a lot of people even have a problem making change!). You should see the mess I get to work with. Any amateur that has been around and actually learned something should know something about grounds - and ground loops. Finally, at work some brilliant individuals (after some prodding - I won't do that again, it gets one in trouble) decided to start bonding things together inside of the panel boxes. Forget the National Electric Code - hey, that means you have to learn something. Programmable ladder controllers used to loose their marbles quite often - as often as three controllers in any given 12 hour shift! I had warned them about their safety circuits. Hmmm ... all software (not totally tested, of course). One guy got 12 stitches in his hand when a turntable took off with a guard door open! Then they wanted me to rewire it. Nope. I am not an expert on the National Electric Code, but those tiny little relays (which weren't wired properly anyways) wouldn't cut it. They tend to fail quite a bit in other applications. Contacts stick together (hey, they bought 'em cheap!) ... you name it. We need 90 PSIG air since the pallet lockup cylinders do their whole job over about 1/4 inch with two line contacts - and the cylinders are about the diameter of my little finger; meanwhile, on a bench line, we have to push a six ounce product about four inches into a chute. Little cylinder, right? How about a two inch bore? One operator got pinched by that once. That had to hurt - I know I can't pull the thing back against its' force (what's pi? Apple, pumpkin, or what. Of course it is irrational, math is irrational).

This seems to be the mode that we Americans are operating in. I hope I'm wrong. The amateur test is a joke. Same with the commercial ticket. I dropped my tickets (1st phone, telegraph, and amateur extra) back around 1980. I decided to pick them back up in 1993. They were simple. How simple? Well, when I tested for my GROL, I was informed (remember, I had not studied at all for any of this and it was new for me) that I could also take, at no extra charge, the Global Marine whatchamacallit thingy. Ok, but I didn't even know what it was. Guess what? I failed that by exactly ONE question. One question kept me from being considered as 'qualified', even though I didn't squat about the subject.

Of course, we can just continue to purchase Japanese goods - but just what are we hoping to accomplish with amateur radio? Is it truely a 'training ground' for people to develope a decent background in communications and electronics, or are we engaged in a race to the lowest common denominator?

Forgive the length of the post. I know the argument is CW forever vs CW never - but what do we want? I should hope we are not trying to exclude as many people as possible, yet something here just doesn't make good sense to me. (no, I don't see the need for CW either, I'm just concerned about the rest of the tests)

10-4, good buddy?
;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim (please don't take offense, just consider what I am wondering about)

From -26251685418172218
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 17:20:13 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 17:15:37 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21419

Jim Wayda wrote:

> CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary.

> There are many professional engineers (myself included) that share this
> opinion.

I think this is great, Jim. Perhaps you could help me build a list of
the amateur requirements which are necessary. (Probably ought to just
stick to the inarguable ones.)

73, Jim AC6XG

From 3494923600591808051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 17:39:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <U__J6.47453$2_.16342391@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010508193128.25638.00001216@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 5
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <9l0K6.48146$2_.16597665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 00:39:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989368773 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 08 May 2001 17:39:33
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 17:39:33 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21420

>   Congratulations. Guess things are slow there, too.

Somewhat ... not too bad

```
From -6926095504599526093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 18:35:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!
hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!
news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!howland.erols.net!panix!
newsmaster.cc.columbia.edu!phl-feed.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!
nntp.frontiernet.net!nntp-new.frontiernet.net!nntp.gblx.net!news.frontiernet.net!
not-for-mail
From: "Chas" <wg2a@frontiernet.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 21:31:23 -0400
Organization: Global Crossing Telecommunications
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9da6iu$j5k$1@node17.cwnet.roc.gblx.net>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Reply-To: "Chas" <wg2a@frontiernet.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-212-195-1.nas8.roc.gblx.net
X-Trace: node17.cwnet.roc.gblx.net 989371807 19636 64.212.195.1 (9 May 2001
01:30:07 GMT)
```

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 9 May 2001 01:30:07 +0000 (UTC)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23071
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8769 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2602
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2506 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13023
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21421 rec.radio.cb:32522

    Not to mention that most states didn't count their absentee ballots
because they wouldn't change the outcome. Most of those were from our armed
forces that voted almost 3 to 1 in favor of Bush, that could have made up
most of the "popular vote".

"Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com> wrote in message
news:nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> You are correct there Jerry as much as they cried and whined Bush still
came
> out on top after the Newspapers finished counting. Tom by what percentage
> did Bush lose the popular vote?  Pretty miniscual wouldnt you say. Last
time
> I looked this country elects presidents on electroal votes. Since the
> democrats had dead people voting and convicts perhaps we should have a
> recount .
>
>
> "JerryL" <jlockett@onemain.com> wrote in message
> news:9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de...
> > Phew!...the last I heard on the evening news was that 'all the big time
> > newspapers' that paid to finish the recount down in Florida, much to
their
> > chagrin, finally admitted that Bush won anyhow no matter how Gore and
> > company tried to slant it!!  As a note of
>
>




From -1526142541408901615
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 18:57:46 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!pln-e!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: 9 May 2001 00:55:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9da4ht01d3t@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3AF47113.567ABF1A@IEEE.org> <9d4srq$3ss$1@news.netmar.com>
<9d60c42eae@enews2.newsguy.com> <3AF78D06.D9D7D38A@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-509.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15957
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21422

In article <3AF78D06.D9D7D38A@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>
>> 150 years ago, it was a felony to harbour
>> a runaway slave in the free states.  The
>> crime was punishable by imprisonment of
>> up to one year. Would you obey this law,
>> or would you break it, knowing what the
>> penalty would be if caught?
>
>
>  Since we don't live in that time, your question doesn't really mean

<snip>

Your response is a cop-out.



From 8691672434897546093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 19:15:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 22:15:48 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
```

```
Message-ID: <3AF8A830.5F8DA114@sccoast.net>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3AF47113.567ABF1A@IEEE.org> <9d4srq$3ss$1@news.netmar.com>
<9d60c42eae@enews2.newsguy.com> <3AF78D06.D9D7D38A@sccoast.net>
<9da4ht01d3t@enews1.newsguy.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-8.r2.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989374518 379658 204.116.71.9 (9 May 2001 02:15:18 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 9 May 2001 02:15:18 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15958
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21423

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> stewartx@sccoast.net says...
> >
> >  Since we don't live in that time, your
> > question doesn't really mean
>
> <snip>
>
> Your response is a cop-out.


  No, my response is reality.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net


From -6489855335601779138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
```

X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 19:16:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feeder.qis.net!yellow.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!
POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@contesting.com (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <3af8a59e.461871406@news.accessunited.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 13
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 02:12:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.24
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 989374338 216.1.128.24 (Tue, 08 May 2001 22:12:18
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 22:12:18 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23075
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8771 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2603
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2507 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13027
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21424 rec.radio.cb:32524

On Tue, 08 May 2001 22:42:27 GMT, "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
wrote:

>You are correct there Jerry as much as they cried and whined Bush still came
>out on top after the Newspapers finished counting. Tom by what percentage
>did Bush lose the popular vote? Pretty miniscual wouldnt you say. Last time
>I looked this country elects presidents on electroal votes. Since the
>democrats had dead people voting and convicts perhaps we should have a
>recount .

Some of us may not like hearing it, but that's how it is. I guess it will take a tie with Jessie Jackson before people wise up and field better choices.

```
From 6586302850980880882
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 19:24:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
Date: 9 May 2001 02:24:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9da9ou$41l$0@208.207.71.137>
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE51544.A2DFCC97@sccoast.net>
<3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org> <3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org> <9d765f$1an$0@208.206.142.69>
<3AF720ED.FDB7DE80@IEEE.org> <9d7nev$pt3$2@208.206.142.69>
<3AF7808A.8EE3A651@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.137
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21425
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Yep, it sure is, right along with opium, cocaine, lead arsenic and
> > numerous other products of nature. Doesn't mean it's something that
> > contributes to your wellbeing, even if it might seem to.
>
> Dick, where I worked in CA in the 70's, marijuana smoking was tolerated

> at work. The VP of Engineering office often reeked of marijuana smoke.

 Sounds like it was more than just tolerated. Did that 'other' Moore
know about this?

From -6875868396131790242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 19:32:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feeder.qis.net!yellow.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!
POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: 2w8ji@contesting.com (Tom W8JI)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <3af8a755.462310443@news.accessunited.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<9da6iu$j5k$1@node17.cwnet.roc.gblx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Lines: 13
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 02:27:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.1.128.24
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@akorn.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 989375234 216.1.128.24 (Tue, 08 May 2001 22:27:14

EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 22:27:14 EDT
Organization: Akorn Access (akorn.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23076
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8773 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2604
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2508 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13028
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21426 rec.radio.cb:32527

On Tue, 8 May 2001 21:31:23 -0400, "Chas" <wg2a@frontiernet.net>
wrote:

>   Not to mention that most states didn't count their absentee ballots
>because they wouldn't change the outcome. Most of those were from our armed
>forces that voted almost 3 to 1 in favor of Bush, that could have made up
>most of the "popular vote".

I'm embarassed at the quality of candidates we get with this system. I
can't understand why some people feel good about barely squeeking past
Gore, like that is a major accomplishment!

We are lucky Jesse Jackson didn't run, he would have probably won.

From 1737430142785853214
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 19:40:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 May 2001 02:39:50 GMT
References: <3AF5F876.3918D41E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010508223950.26676.00002601@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21427

In article <3AF5F876.3918D41E@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> My only response: ROFLMAO!!! I clearly remember sitting at my TV set the
>> first time I heard the mysterious opening notes of the theme, and then
>heard
>> William Shatner utter those marvelous words, "Space...The Final Frontier."
>> I've been boldly going where no man has gone before ever since. I'm pretty

>> sure I've seen every episode of Star Trek and all of it's spin-off series
>many
>> times, and will see them many more times. If you're looking for something
>> to trip me up on, you'd better pick something else!
>
>What was the Morse code message in the Botany Bay episode?

Cecil:

The only thing I recall without reviewing the episode "Space Seed" is
that there was a CW beacon coming from the spaceship Botany Bay;
I don't remember the text. In the episode, however, Lt. Uhura reads
it aloud as "CQ, CQ..." and Kirk, who obviously felt that proficiency in
the Morse Code was a valuable skill for 23rd Century Starship
Captains, confirms the same. Obviously, Kirk and Uhura wern't no-coders.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4168846528238836933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 19:40:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 54
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 May 2001 02:39:50 GMT
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Message-ID: <20010508223950.26676.00002600@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21428

In article <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
writes:

>
>The Amateur Service gained a net of 5,413 licensees.
>
>The General thru Extra classes gained 29,419 licensees.
>
>The Tech/+/Novice classes lost 24,006 licensees.
>
>As you'd expect, all the legacy classes (Novice, Tech+, Advanced) lost
>licensees.
>

>Tech gained 20,354 hams (+9.9%)
>General gained 25,177 hams (+22.6%)
>Extra gained 18,114 hams (+23.4%)
>
>Expirations last two months (a measure of who is interested enough in the
>Amateur Service to send in the paperwork to renew their license) show an
>alarming trend in the lower classes of license, with lapses due expiration
>exceeding the higher classes by a factor of almost 3.5 to 1. What can be
>done
>to turn this trend around?
>
>Extra   198 expired
>Advanced 312 expired
>General   495 expired
>Total   1,005
>
>
>Tech +   1,079 expired
>Tech   1,050 expired
>Novice   1,266 expired
>Total   3,395

Hansl:

I think the figures on the higher rate of lapse among the "legacy"
classes can be explained quite easily. The more effort that was
expended to attain full (Extra-class) privileges would tend to create
a more active and successful amateur experience -- leading the
ham to value his privileges more highly. Those who got involved
and licensed at the lower privilege levels, but without a genuine,
ongoing interest, naturally became distracted by other interests
and allowed their ham tickets to lapse. Of course, some of the
Extras, being no-coders at heart, didn't want to have to go through
the Morse code testing "hazing ritual" again, so were inclined to
renew their expiring licenses whether or not they were active at
the time -- as a hedge against future renewed desire to stick an
HF microphone in their face and blow hot air into it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2400812736853924709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 19:46:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
In-Reply-To: <20010508223950.26676.00002600@nso-cg.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105090245340.4694-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<20010508223950.26676.00002600@nso-cg.aol.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 52
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 02:46:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.7
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989376363 12.80.44.7 (Wed, 09 May 2001 02:46:03 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 02:46:03 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21429

On 9 May 2001, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> In article <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
> writes:
> >The Amateur Service gained a net of 5,413 licensees.
> >
> >The General thru Extra classes gained 29,419 licensees.
> >
> >The Tech/+/Novice classes lost 24,006 licensees.
> >
> >As you'd expect, all the legacy classes (Novice, Tech+, Advanced) lost
> >licensees.
> >
> >Tech gained 20,354 hams (+9.9%)
> >General gained 25,177 hams (+22.6%)
> >Extra gained 18,114 hams (+23.4%)
> >
> >Expirations last two months (a measure of who is interested enough in the
> >Amateur Service to send in the paperwork to renew their license) show an
> >alarming trend in the lower classes of license, with lapses due expiration
> >exceeding the higher classes by a factor of almost 3.5 to 1. What can be
> >done
> >to turn this trend around?
> >
> >Extra   198 expired
> >Advanced 312 expired
> >General   495 expired
> >Total   1,005
> >
> >
> >Tech +   1,079 expired
> >Tech   1,050 expired
> >Novice   1,266 expired

> >Total   3,395
>
> Hansl:
>
> I think the figures on the higher rate of lapse among the "legacy"
> classes can be explained quite easily. The more effort that was
> expended to attain full (Extra-class) privileges would tend to create
> a more active and successful amateur experience -- leading the
> ham to value his privileges more highly. Those who got involved
> and licensed at the lower privilege levels, but without a genuine,
> ongoing interest, naturally became distracted by other interests
> and allowed their ham tickets to lapse. Of course, some of the
> Extras, being no-coders at heart, didn't want to have to go through
> the Morse code testing "hazing ritual" again, so were inclined to
> renew their expiring licenses whether or not they were active at
> the time -- as a hedge against future renewed desire to stick an
> HF microphone in their face and blow hot air into it.

...Don't forget a failure to renew due to DEATH....

From -6484709799185653850
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 19:48:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 9 May 2001 02:48:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <9dab5u$7vt$0@208.207.71.137>
References: <20010507230617.20174.00000257@nso-mr.aol.com>
<20010508062745.10013.00000980@ng-ft1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.137
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21430

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: hmmmm......
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 5/7/01 10:06 PM Central Daylight Time

```
>
> >"FSK" is an acronym....
>
>      Which has "what" to do with ARS "policy"...?!?!
>
> >The "40 KW" PEP transmitter was a Collins...
>
>      Which has "what" to do with ARS "policy"...?!?!
>
> >Irrelevant.  Since all antennas were directional and the rhombics...
>
>      Which has "what" to do with ARS "policy"...?!?!
>
> >Again, irrelevant.  Unmanned spacecraft beyond the orbit of
> >Mars have typically used less than 10 Watts of RF.
>
>      Which has "what" to do with ARS "policy"...?!?!
>
> >The object of REAL communications in a 24-hour, 7-day a week
> >environment is to maintain communications despite vagarities
> >of the ionosphere on HF propagation.
>
>      "We" have the resources to do that, Lennie.  Right now.  No "commercial"
> license...No "40KW FSK"...No half-mile long rhombics.
>
> >REAL communications is not sporadic or of
> >the "work lots of DX" activities of amateurs.
>
>      Arrogance.  Nothing but arrogance.
>
>     Nothing new.


Aren't a$$holes usually arrogant?


From 7097419972459902141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 19:58:06 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!hub1.nntpserver.com!news-in.nibble.net!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news2.rdc2.tx.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "J Frank Fields" <Frank.Fields@boeing.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<9da6iu$j5k$1@node17.cwnet.roc.gblx.net>
<3af8a755.462310443@news.accessunited.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 35
Organization: Airborne Particle Beam Weapons Lab
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <Mj2K6.48046$2U.20551467@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 02:54:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.14.60.229
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news2.rdc2.tx.home.com 989376876 24.14.60.229 (Tue, 08 May 2001 19:54:36
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 19:54:36 PDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23081
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8775 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2605
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2510 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13030
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21431 rec.radio.cb:32529

I don't want to see this point go unchallenged. The vote in each state is completely tabulated. To say otherwise is supreme ignorance. Every vote was counted, and was submitted. It is a fact that Gore won the popular vote, but it is also true that this is immaterial to winning the Presidency.

Americans do not vote directly for President. They vote for Electors. The candidate with the most Electors wins. The popular vote is an interesting count, but has no bearing on the Presidential election. It is equal in importance, to the

count of voters complaining of constipation, in relation to
those who have syphilis.

Finally, Bush won the Republican party because he had the
most money. There were other good candidates, but Americans
froze them out of the process by not contributing to their
campaign. Both parties received a fraction of the total votes
possible. More than 50% of voting age Americans never went
to the polls. These same 50% always whine about the result.

"Tom W8JI" <2w8ji@contesting.com> wrote
> "Chas" <wg2a@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>
> >   Not to mention that most states didn't count their absentee ballots
> >because they wouldn't change the outcome. Most of those were from our armed
> >forces that voted almost 3 to 1 in favor of Bush, that could have made up
> >most of the "popular vote".
>
> I'm embarassed at the quality of candidates we get with this system. I
> can't understand why some people feel good about barely squeeking past
> Gore, like that is a major accomplishment!
>
> We are lucky Jesse Jackson didn't run, he would have probably won.

From -6994115622213779010
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 20:02:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!hub.org!hub.org!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!fu-berlin.de!uni-berlin.de!1cust198.tnt2.bakersfield.ca.da.uu.NET!not-for-mail
From: "JerryL" <jlockett@onemain.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec

.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 19:59:11 -0700
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <9dabo4$ha7ga$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3af8a59e.461871406@news.accessunited.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1cust198.tnt2.bakersfield.ca.da.uu.net (63.48.182.198)
X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 989377093 18161162 63.48.182.198 (16 [55752])
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23082
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8776 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2606
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2511 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13031
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21432 rec.radio.cb:32530

Yawn....this whole line is a bore... you win Tom, have a nice life with old
blow-job BillC!!! I'm gone...!!!

de Jerry -n6jp-

>Your sense of humor sucks as bad as you sense of perspective.
You need to get a life away from thinking Republicans are better
than anyone else..or more moral.

Although it was intended as sarcastic humor, you must be aware
the Republican in Atlanta heading the "crusade" to get Clinton
disbarred for having sex (or whatever) has resigned because he
was caught a second time exposing himself in a public park and
soliciting a young male undercover cop for sex.

Where is Newt? Gone, because he was poking a woman on his
staff.

Frankly, Republicans and Democrats are both very poor examples
of what out public servants should be like. Now go away and stop
bothering me with this silly "Republicans are moral" crap. You can
pretend whatever you like, but don't fault me if you don't like the
>facts.

```
>73, Tom W8JI
>W8JI@contesting.com


"Tom W8JI" <2w8ji@contesting.com> wrote in message
news:3af8a59e.461871406@news.accessunited.com...
> On Tue, 08 May 2001 22:42:27 GMT, "Rough Rider" <roughrider@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >You are correct there Jerry as much as they cried and whined Bush still
came
> >out on top after the Newspapers finished counting. Tom by what percentage
> >did Bush lose the popular vote?  Pretty miniscual wouldnt you say. Last
time
> >I looked this country elects presidents on electroal votes. Since the
> >democrats had dead people voting and convicts perhaps we should have a
> >recount .
>
> Some of us may not like hearing it, but that's how it is. I guess it
> will take a tie with Jessie Jackson before people wise up and field
> better choices.




From -7176498800753697601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 20:09:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Date: 9 May 2001 03:09:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9daccf$big$0@208.207.71.137>
References: <VGJJ6.9301$4f7.725949@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9d8vdf$gdv$0@208.207.71.146> <3AF81404.A888CE8A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.137
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21433
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > Upgrading generally does not change the expiration date.
> >
> > You sure, Dick? As I recall upgrading generates a new 10 year license.
> > Unless they changed that, too.
>
> I don't understand. My license says "Grant Date" 8-14-1998,
> "Effective Date" 6-1-2000, and "Expiration Date" 6-18-2006
> Nothing to do with ten years.

Evidently that was changed, to what new scheme I cannot determine.

Dick

From -4497308355680985074
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9ff47098dd2a3718
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 20:09:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3ae4cedf$0$88190$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3AE4CE1A.77887DC5@ovis.net> <3ae602c1$0$88188$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<3aeaea41$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net> <3AEB7885.A10EB125@ovis.net>
<_EUG6.509$YI1.69914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC20C1.CC94197B@ovis.net> <MlYG6.81$LO6.11929@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AED6A28.A267DAFC@ovis.net>
<vbKH6.157$Cq3.11598@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF7E5E6.828E417A@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: The homemade lawyer (was Silent key)
Lines: 56
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Nx2K6.1949$x62.263596@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 03:09:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.226.135
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989377773 209.245.226.135 (Tue, 08 May 2001 20:09:33 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 20:09:33 PDT

Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 20:07:57 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21434

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3AF7E5E6.828E417A@earthlink.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> > Oh, I found you agreeing to a major degree; however perception is
> > everything.
> >
> > Since I do not know Larry Klose, how would I presume to know whether he
has
> > experience as an attorney? I do not know.
>
> You could start by reading any of his posts. That should give you a
> clue.
>
> > He has obviously had lots of
> > experience in the formulation of US foreign and domestic policy--if he
has
> > ever voted. But again, I do not know. And, again, I do not know if
> > anything of his experience as a radio amateur.
>
> Voting in an election hardly counts as formulation of policy. Even the
> uninformed and stupid can and do vote. As to Larry's experience as a
> radio amateur: read any of his posts. That should provide a clue.
>
> > And, again, my comments have nothing to with the authors or topical
> > discussion at the time of my posting. My comments had everyting to do
with
> > your one line: "This is most interesting coming from a fellow with
little if
> > any experience in either field." My observation regarding your
comment--and
> > I am of the opinion it would bridge over any opinions expressed here
under
> > any topic--was that one need not have experience to hold a particular
> > opinion in any particular subject. That is all. Nothing more.
>
> I see. No one need know much about something in order to form an
> opinion. Brilliant!
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Thank you. And, brilliant of you to finally realize that. I would make the
guess that you seek expert opinions, of which there are many and easily
accessible--in other venues than this. I would express the opinion that you
find Larry Klose's opinion not only lacking in expertise, but also
objectionable to you. Since I do not know much about you or Larry, of
course, that is only my opinion.

Kim W5TIT

PS--Just for the record, I have won three cases in a court of law thusfar. Two pertaining to UCC and one in Civil Court. Your Subject Line is quite appropriate :).

```
From -4113973509735952504
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 20:20:06 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Clif Holland" <clif@avvid.com>
From: "Clif Holland" <radio.service@verizon.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<9da6iu$j5k$1@node17.cwnet.roc.gblx.net>
<3af8a755.462310443@news.accessunited.com>
<Mj2K6.48046$2U.20551467@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
```

```
Lines: 48
Organization: AVVid
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <lF2K6.4138$tv4.527141@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace:
+rUPLsDu+Z9bcik8pPY+hMS+gF6jBKW92aCZqaeUqN48DGtdB4uQa9q1P5IMqJvJsyMYgwYKRPvF!
Jom60zXOrdAnrHFlSw1JsC/+kvCD0OdsQOU+r8ezAfUNj/KqkIQDT7fEjVwF5u5mQyaSL3bTR0Tq!
qrfS1EUq
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 03:17:37 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 03:17:37 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23087
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8777 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2607
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2512 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13032
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21435 rec.radio.cb:32532
```

Check the states final tallies. Bush won the Popular vote but did not get a majority (over 50%)

--
Clif

"J Frank Fields" <Frank.Fields@boeing.com> wrote in message
news:Mj2K6.48046$2U.20551467@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com...

> I don't want to see this point go unchallenged. The vote in each state is completely tabulated. To say otherwise is supreme ignorance. Every vote was counted, and was submitted. It is a fact that Gore won the popular vote, but it is also true that this is immaterial to winning the Presidency.
>
> Americans do not vote directly for President. They vote for Electors. The candidate with the most Electors wins. The popular vote is an interesting count, but has no bearing on the Presidential election. It is equal in importance, to the count of voters complaining of constipation, in relation to those who have syphilis.
>
> Finally, Bush won the Republican party because he had the most money. There were other good candidates, but Americans froze them out of the process by not contributing to their campaign. Both parties received a fraction of the total votes possible. More than 50% of voting age Americans never went to the polls. These same 50% always whine about the result.
>
> "Tom W8JI" <2w8ji@contesting.com> wrote
> > "Chas" <wg2a@frontiernet.net> wrote:

```
> >
> > >    Not to mention that most states didn't count their absentee ballots
> > >because they wouldn't change the outcome. Most of those were from our
armed
> > >forces that voted almost 3 to 1 in favor of Bush, that could have made
up
> > >most of the "popular vote".
> >
> > I'm embarassed at the quality of candidates we get with this system. I
> > can't understand why some people feel good about barely squeeking past
> > Gore, like that is a major accomplishment!
> >
> > We are lucky Jesse Jackson didn't run, he would have probably won.
>
>




From 3259735320138823248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 20:28:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: 9 May 2001 03:26:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9dadcn$irm$0@208.207.71.137>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
```

```
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<AdTJ6.6020$vg1.469364@www.newsranger.com> <3AF86719.37E410C6@home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.137
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23088
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8779 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2608
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2513 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13033
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21436 rec.radio.cb:32535
```

Gary Danaher wrote:

>
> Take a hard look at what Dubya is doing/not doing with the oil companies
> right now, think back to what he had to say about the oil business during
> his campaign, and then take a look at the the modern Bush oil pricing
> structure. Now, aren't you proud to have voted for such a guy? I didn't
> think he could sell out in such a short order, but I underestimated the
> fool.
>

Oh, it wasn't up to him. It's just that his "friends" in the oil business know that he'll never use price caps nor controls. They couldn't care less that the extortionary oil price increases will be cause of Bush being evicted in four years. No matter- their additional billions will already be banked and Bush will be fully expendible and ousted at the polls, the economy trashed by universal inflation caused by the fuel price increases which impact *everything*.

So in the midst of record high profits by oil companies, and no sign of anything approaching a REAL shortage of petroleum products, just that allegation by those making the profits, prices rise to record levels (by collusion, no doubt). Nary an 'Out of gas" nor "limited to 10 gallon" sign to be seen (as in '73 when there was a REAL shortage).

Just today, radio news sources "within the oil industry" say that now the pipelines are again full, the 'shortage' is abating, so prices should start to come down, "Except on the West Coast and Midwest where there are special circumstances".(?) Of course, "down" will be somewhere around $1.50-1.75, which was exactly the objective of the entire exercise- get the prices up without just raising them "arbitrarily". (gotta have a 'valid' reason.) Fools that the pubic are, they'll never know what happened.

Sure wish we had a real political party in this good ol' USA. The Demoncrats turned out to be fools and the other side, crooks. Oh, the Clintoon admin. was able to work it both ways.

From 2016062138826066845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 20:32:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 9 May 2001 03:32:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9dadoc$irm$1@208.207.71.137>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.137
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21437

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> Spoken like a man who has never accomplished doodly.

Which is all that is expected these days, and forever onward.

From 4306750895910956651
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 20:43:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<20010508223950.26676.00002600@nso-cg.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105090245340.4694-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <%03K6.7158$vg1.580395@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or

```
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 08 May 2001 23:42:51 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 03:42:51 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21438

In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105090245340.4694-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, D.
Stussy says...
>> >Extra     198  expired
>> >Advanced  312  expired
>> >General   495  expired
>> >Total   1,005
>> >
>> >
>> >Tech +   1,079 expired
>> >Tech     1,050 expired
>> >Novice   1,266 expired
>> >Total    3,395

>
>...Don't forget a failure to renew due to DEATH....
>

Are you suggesting a higher mortality rate among Tech/+/Novices?
Due to what cause?

73, Hans, K0HB








From 2911629398051125642
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 20:56:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!
newspump.monmouth.com!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: 9 May 2001 03:54:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9daf1i$ojs$0@208.207.71.137>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<9da6iu$j5k$1@node17.cwnet.roc.gblx.net>
<3af8a755.462310443@news.accessunited.com>
<Mj2K6.48046$2U.20551467@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.137
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23091
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8780 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2609
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2514 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13034
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21439 rec.radio.cb:32536
```

J Frank Fields wrote:

>
> I don't want to see this point go unchallenged. The vote
> in each state is completely tabulated. To say otherwise is
> supreme ignorance.

Or maybe the reverse. News reports (not generally reported by the liberal part of the media who almost universally supported Gore) say that millions of absentee ballots were NOT counted, ever, some 3 million in California alone, simply because they could not affect the outcome in the state in which they were cast. Absentees historically favor conservative candidates. So it could easily be that the popular vote is never going to be known accurately.

And you may ignore another fact, if you wish, but I live in a state where the Democratic machine routinely steals elections in metropolitian areas, and gets away with it. So it happened this time, too, with plenty pf press coverage that wss unavoidable under the circumstances, and do you *really* believe that the Democratic administration installed by that fact will proceed to do a proper job of investigating?

```
From 7323888592140484668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 21:01:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Date: 9 May 2001 04:01:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9daffi$q5n$0@208.207.71.137>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<20010508223950.26676.00002600@nso-cg.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105090245340.4694-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<%03K6.7158$vg1.580395@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.137
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21440
```

```
K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105090245340.4694-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, D.
> Stussy says...
> >> >Extra     198  expired
> >> >Advanced  312  expired
> >> >General   495  expired
> >> >Total   1,005
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Tech +   1,079 expired
> >> >Tech     1,050 expired
> >> >Novice   1,266 expired
> >> >Total    3,395
>
> >
> >...Don't forget a failure to renew due to DEATH....
> >
>
> Are you suggesting a higher mortality rate among Tech/+/Novices?
> Due to what cause?


 Terminal cluelessness?


From 1586331429620653066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 21:26:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!130.133.1.3!fu-
berlin.de!uni-berlin.de!216.detroit-06-07rs.mi.dial-access.att.NET!not-for-mail
From: "Johnny Neutron" <johnnyneutronZZ@juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
Date: Wed, 9 May 2001 00:26:54 -0400
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9dagt7$h9sro$1@ID-54995.news.dfncis.de>
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF7E6D2.2865FA81@crosslink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.detroit-06-07rs.mi.dial-access.att.net (12.76.66.216)
X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 989382376 18150264 12.76.66.216 (16 [54995])
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21441 rec.radio.shortwave:33810


"Old Biker" <vito@crosslink.net> wrote in message
news:3AF7E6D2.2865FA81@crosslink.net...
> Disgusted Taxpayer wrote:
> >
> > How long will the United Patriot Radio pirate station be allowed to
operate....
>
> Why ask us? Call the FCC then the White House and your
> Congressman. Or, better yet, do something about it yourself.
> When I was a boy my dad took me to see an example of civic
> involvement. The dog catcher had spent the funds given him to
> euthanize animals on booze and was instead using automobile
> exhaust gas. The good old boys beat him into submission, covered
```

> him in tar, broke a feather pillow over him and symbolically
> carted him off on a rail, never to be seen again. That makes
> more sense than whining to people with no more horsepower than
> you have in a carefully anonymous NG post.
>
> KA3DWW

Oh, but that's a "hate crime" and YOU'D probably end up "disappearing or
killing yourself in two different places".

```
From -6368863899761732802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 21:37:58 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.idc.ad.jp!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!global-news-
master
From: k9uwa@arrl.net (John Goller, K9UWA)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: 09 May 2001 04:33:07 GMT
Organization: http://JohnJeanAntiqueRadio.com
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9daha3$phl@freepress.concentric.net>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
```

<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <9daf1i$ojs$0@208.207.71.137>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.83.68.40
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=US-ASCII
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.9 (Released Version) (16bit)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23097
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8782 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2611
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2515 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13036
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21442 rec.radio.cb:32540

In article <9daf1i$ojs$0@208.207.71.137>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>but I live in a state
>where the Democratic machine routinely steals elections in metropolitian
>areas, and gets away with it.

Direct Quote from "Mayor Daley" ...Vote Early and Vote Often!
John k9uwa

From 4265638198481835927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 22:12:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Ross Archer" <dogbert@mindless.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: (WWFV jammed again?)
Date: Tue, 8 May 2001 22:14:15 -0700
Organization: Entropy Maximizers Inc.
Message-ID: <989385340.92193@sj-nntpcache-3>
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<RzXJ6.483$E65.48606@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Cache-Post-Path: sj-nntpcache-3!unknown@blab-nat-10.cisco.com
X-Cache: nntpcache 2.4.0b2 (see http://www.nntpcache.org/)
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 21
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21443 rec.radio.shortwave:33820

Zippy the Pinhead <kc4cucunbers@aol.com> wrote in message
news:RzXJ6.483$E65.48606@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> Let's take a listen on 5.085 from 11PM - 12AM EST this Sunday night
> and see if WWFV is jammed again.

Why bother with D and F-student stuff like that
when there's so much that's infinitely superior
to listen to on shortwave?

(Listening to R. Netherlands Music 52-15.)

"The only thing we have to fear is... a nitwit
with his finger on the 'Big Red Button'..."
-- Ross

```
From 4541613891694539531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3303d086a672101b
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 23:45:18 PST
Message-ID: <3AF8E72A.A43B5F8B@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 01:43:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Prohibition & WOD
References: <9bjomk$h0r$0@pita.alt.net> <3AE59518.2F164ED4@IEEE.org>
<3AE6C959.6647935A@sccoast.net>
<D3IF6.901$A4.96850@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AE7B353.D732DA0C@IEEE.org>
<3ZXG6.1069$YI1.120863@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AEC6E5A.C9B55AF3@IEEE.org> <3AED466D.4AC24579@sccoast.net>
<3AED7766.6AC8C4FE@IEEE.org> <3AEE5C03.40532519@sccoast.net>
<3AEEB616.2046BC99@IEEE.org> <3AEFCB16.B2F3E37B@sccoast.net>
<3AF01670.6A66CACA@IEEE.org> <3AF0EEDF.83D7D246@sccoast.net>
<3AF1562A.FA9C7211@crosslink.net> <3AF236BF.DB7D2CC6@sccoast.net>
<9cuu3m$r7q$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net> <3AF3A5ED.3B5118D2@sccoast.net>
<9d1c5d$pqj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net> <3AF4CB7C.FCEAB438@sccoast.net>
<3AF549EF.58FFC673@IEEE.org> <9d4l8m$77d$0@208.206.142.67>
<3AF5F5AC.24F89AF6@IEEE.org> <3AF666CF.F22193DE@sccoast.net>
<3AF69FFF.1AFFD007@IEEE.org> <9d765f$1an$0@208.206.142.69>
<3AF720ED.FDB7DE80@IEEE.org> <9d7nev$pt3$2@208.206.142.69>
<3AF7808A.8EE3A651@IEEE.org> <9da9ou$41l$0@208.207.71.137>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.103
X-Trace: corp 989390249 64.240.47.103 (9 May 2001 01:37:29 -0500)
```

Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21444

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Sounds like it was more than just tolerated. Did that 'other' Moore
> know about this?

Nope, he had already sold out to an Oakland, CA conglomerate. The 70's
in CA were something else. I was sitting on the sidewalk in San Francisco
one afternoon waiting for a rock concert to open at the Cow Palace. Cops
were walking around keeping the peace. Half the people waiting in line
were smoking marijuana right out in the open and the cops just ignored it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -380959038697183404
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-08 23:47:36 PST
Message-ID: <3AF8E7B5.996A600E@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 01:46:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3AF5F876.3918D41E@IEEE.org> <20010508223950.26676.00002601@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.103
X-Trace: corp 989390386 64.240.47.103 (9 May 2001 01:39:46 -0500)
Lines: 18

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21445

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The only thing I recall without reviewing the episode "Space Seed" is
> that there was a CW beacon coming from the spaceship Botany Bay;
> I don't remember the text. In the episode, however, Lt. Uhura reads
> it aloud as "CQ, CQ..." and Kirk, who obviously felt that proficiency in
> the Morse Code was a valuable skill for 23rd Century Starship
> Captains, confirms the same. Obviously, Kirk and Uhura wern't no-coders.

So why are you worried about people knowing Morse code, Larry? If they
were still using it in the 23rd century, you don't have anything to
worry about.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6349329626329168976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 01:03:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
In-Reply-To: <%03K6.7158$vg1.580395@www.newsranger.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105090801490.5082-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<20010508223950.26676.00002600@nso-cg.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105090245340.4694-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<%03K6.7158$vg1.580395@www.newsranger.com>

```
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 28
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 08:03:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989395423 12.80.44.13 (Wed, 09 May
2001 08:03:43 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 08:03:43 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21446

On Wed, 9 May 2001, K0HB wrote:
> In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105090245340.4694-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, D.
> Stussy says...
> >> >Extra     198  expired
> >> >Advanced  312  expired
> >> >General   495  expired
> >> >Total   1,005
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Tech +   1,079 expired
> >> >Tech     1,050 expired
> >> >Novice   1,266 expired
> >> >Total    3,395
>
> >
> >...Don't forget a failure to renew due to DEATH....
>
> Are you suggesting a higher mortality rate among Tech/+/Novices?
> Due to what cause?

Actually, the opposite:  Because of the effort involved, those with the higher
classes who haven't renewed probably did not do so because they're 6' under.

------
However, here in Southern California, where we have a certain repeater that
actually had bullet slugs removed from it at one time, I don't rule anything
out!  ;-)




From -1658569573717498071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 03:10:44 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide (was: Hmmmmmmmm)
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 10:10:16 GMT
```

Message-ID: <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.78
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.78
X-Trace: 9 May 2001 05:06:27 -0500, 64.24.17.78
Lines: 71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!4.24.21.153!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21447

On Mon, 7 May 2001 12:59:53 -0400, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote:

>Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote:
>
>By my recollection, there were no surprises on my Novice exam. Even the
>General was all familiar to me, having read the 16 pages of General study
>guide.
>

We all have different recollections particularly when we're musing about experiences of decades ago. And once more the ham radio of 1963 was quite different in many respects from 1953. I don't recall the nitty-gritty details of the Novice test. What I do clearly remember is that going from scratch to actually getting a signal on the air as a 1953 Novice was overall involved a lot more work and/or relative expense than the average nocode has to put into it today. The writtens were only one of pushups one had to go thru to get on the air.

>

The bottom line is, at least where I'm coming from, is the collection of factors which affected the growth of ham radio, the comparison between then and now as they relate to a prospective young ham deciding if the pushups were/are worth it to become a ham.

>

"Liitle" issues like the Novice non-optional code test, getting an HF antenna up, etc. and all for a dismally restriced set of priveleges in spectrum space nobody else nobody bothered with for the most part all played roles my contention that the old Novices had it tougher than the nocodes have today.

>

What's it take for a nocode to get on the air today? Pass a test given at a local ham club VE session, drop $150 on the counter of the local Radio Shack, pick up a mag-mount antenna, plug it all together and bingo on the air with a microphone.

>

Woulda been incomprehensible back then.

>

>> First I'm not the least bit convinced that the old Novice writtens

>> were easier than the nocode test. When was the last time a nocode had
>> to pull a schematic for a particular type of oscillator out of his
>> head and actually sketch the circuit??
>
>I didn't even have to do that for the General in 1964. The exam showed a
>schematic and asked me what it was.
>
Like I said, there were changes. I most definitely had to actually
hand sketch at lesast a couple circuits for either my Novice or my
General or both.
>
>As to easier, there is absolutely no doubt in my mind that the 1963 Novice
>written was much easier than today's Technician exam.
>
The Tech test has always been more difficult than the Novice, not
really a discussion. The Tech was simply a 5wpm General.
>
> I have appended the
>text of the 1963 Novice Study guide.
>
Well that was a trip back! Tnx.
>
> I read this for about a week or two
>before I took and easily passed the test.
>
I could have easily passed the Tech writtens when I took my Novice. So
could just about every other Novice I knew.
>
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From 486775529697434300
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,853d867350ae2aea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 03:52:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 May 2001 10:52:28 GMT
References: <UfXJ6.459$E65.42907@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: NO ARRL - NO QST
Message-ID: <20010509065228.18357.00002100@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21448

><zz@321cue.com> wrote in message news:3af7161b.1120740@news.earthlink.net...

> NO MORE ARRL AND QST FOR ME.......IT'S A JOKE....
> Mostly ads.......Mostly black and white.......Mostly sucks!!

If he feels it is "mostly black and white," it is pretty clear he hasn't seen a QST in a while. :-)

Could this be a troll?

> WHAT HAS THE ARRL DONE FOR YOU LATELY?

If you can convince me you are not just trolling, I will gladly answer the question.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI>

From 6524352217393687213
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 03:54:37 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 May 2001 10:53:42 GMT
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21449

>From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu

>And I suspect that I'll be a little annoyed when the requirement is
>dropped altogether. I hadn't originally planned to use cw mode, but now
>I think I just might. Funny how yer outlook can change.
>

Dropping the CW requirement will not change your ability to use the mode one bit. Why would you be annoyed?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5466786659662060
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd404e0dcdede944
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,fd404e0dcdede944
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 05:06:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bush supports gay marriages (yes!)
Date: Wed, 9 May 2001 08:07:16 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tficmf5l33jk37@corp.supernews.com>
References: <23HITL.290UYD@lamvda.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 19
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15961
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21450

Clinton was a friend of no one except Red Chinese and Criminals. But most homosexuals were too stupid to see that and kept voting for Clinton the Terrible anyway. I guess, among other things, homosexuals' brains are in their ass.

"Bruce W" <bw821@lamvda.com> wrote in message
news:23HITL.290UYD@lamvda.com...
>
> At long last the Republicans have gotten it right. Gay couples will now
> receive social security benefits if Bush has his way. See
>
> http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2001/5/7/210245.shtml
>
> for the full story.
>
> And thanks, guys, for electing Bush! He's a better friend to gays than
> Clinton ever was.

From 2090175506505559509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 05:12:11 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 12:11:46 GMT
Message-ID: <3af9336e.247381230@news2.dvol.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105082002210.4081-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010508193311.09228.00000650@nso-cc.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.96
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.96
X-Trace: 9 May 2001 07:07:57 -0500, 64.24.17.96
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21451

On 08 May 2001 23:33:11 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>use of error-of-omission made the ARRL a self-proclaimed
>hero. That's bad journalism but very good political spin
>doctoring. QED.
>
Which is exactly what is expected of PACs and other advocacy groups
and is one of the reasons I'm an ARRL member.
>
w3rv

From -5392722122413277799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 05:23:27 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!
news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 08:20:44 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3AF9361C.27BAB341@psu.edu>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)

```
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21452

     Ohhh....Okay....that pretty well squelched me! ;^)

     - mike -

Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> Grow up and get over it!
>
> "mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu...
> > Now that I'm virtually finished with learning the cw portion of my soon
> > to be new ticket, I guess I can feel a bit of empathy for the old timers
> > who are p****d off for the reduction in cw speed for new applicants.
> >
> > I worked my butt off to learn the cw, and am kinda proud of it. Yes I
> > know it isn't 13 wpm or higher, but it was quite an effort to get this
> far.
> >
> > And I suspect that I'll be a little annoyed when the requirement is
> > dropped altogether. I hadn't originally planned to use cw mode, but now
> > I think I just might. Funny how yer outlook can change.
> >
> > So I know where you guys are coming from.
> >
> > - mike - KB3EIA -


From -2776611886984166640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 05:24:32 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.flash.net!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF9367E.BB0B0746@crosslink.net>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 08:22:23 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <3AF8446A.F57EAF08@crosslink.net>
<3AF84CAB.8A841FFB@psu.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.201
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 989411071 18111 207.199.164.201
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21453

mike coslo wrote:
>
> Old Biker wrote:
> >
> > mike coslo wrote:
> > >
> > > Now that I'm virtually finished with learning the cw portion of my soon
> > > to be new ticket, I guess I can feel a bit of empathy for the old timers
> > > who are p****d off for the reduction in cw speed for new applicants.
> >
> > Pride of achievement is A-OK but empathy for selfishness?? I
> > think not! The fact that one (or many) individuals had to endure
> > a hardship is no excuse for making others do the same.
>
>   Not selfishness. Empathy isn't quite the same thing as agreement.

Sorry, didn't mean to attack you personally Mike; I just have
little sympathy or empathy for people who believe that everybody
should have to suffer every misfortune that befalls them. I'm
particularly sorry you share my allergies ;o).

73 de KA3DWW

From 1012337464931281114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 05:36:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Zippy the Pinhead" <kc4cucunbers@aol.com>
From: "Zippy the Pinhead" <kc4cucunbers@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<RzXJ6.483$E65.48606@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <989385340.92193@sj-
nntpcache-3>
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: (WWFV jammed again?)
Lines: 28
Organization: red ink pen
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <2RaK6.10$8x4.1496@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 12:36:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71

X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989411774 209.204.84.71 (Wed, 09 May 2001 05:36:14 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 05:36:14 PDT
X-Received-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 05:34:38 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21454 rec.radio.shortwave:33834

"Ross Archer" <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
news:989385340.92193@sj-nntpcache-3...
>
> Zippy the Pinhead <kc4cucunbers@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:RzXJ6.483$E65.48606@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Let's take a listen on 5.085 from 11PM - 12AM EST this Sunday night
> > and see if WWFV is jammed again.
>
> Why bother with D and F-student stuff like that
> when there's so much that's infinitely superior
> to listen to on shortwave?

I agree with you 100% Ross. I just wanted to point out that
the guy who's running KSM,UPR,whatever-he-calls-it Radio
is a dangerous nutcase. All one has to do is listen to him and
see this guy needs a heavy regimine of Zoloft or Prozac, if not
complete incarceration in a mental health facility. I'm sure alot
of people have reached this conclusion already. I also know
that all his broadcast rantings are being taped. He'll be made
an example of before too long. Check out the links below to
see just who's behind this kind of radio

http://www.splcenter.org/

http://www.splcenter.org/intelligenceproject/ip-index.html

From 4948727481544337333
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 05:37:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 08:35:56 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3AF939AB.3B86467@psu.edu>

References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21455

Where exactly did I suggest that I was pro-cw or anti-cw? Pride of accomplishment is just that. nothing more, nothing less. And I don't look for empathy from you, I'm not sure where you got that idea.

Weird days when someone catches flack for saying they understand another's viewpoint.

- Mike -

Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> This is an example of the problem that is currently plaguing the amateur
> community. It is analogous to:
>
> I started my car with a hand crank, so you should learn this method before
> you can drive an automobile. Some people collect antique cars that are
> started with a hand crank. This is their choice, and I respect their choice.
>
> CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary. Just because you
> consider it a personal accomplishment does not justify why all amateurs
> should learn CW.
>
> There are many professional engineers (myself included) that share this
> opinion. You will get no empathy from me.
>
> -jim

From -467273505537138038
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 05:58:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 12:55:03 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3af93d7d.2720046@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com>
<WUFJ6.38791$2_.13566909@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af7666c.2467862@news.mindspring.com>
<AzPJ6.43771$2_.15204332@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.6c
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 12:55:41 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23112
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8785 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2614
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2518 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13042
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21456 rec.radio.cb:32593

On Tue, 08 May 2001 10:07:28 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>> It is bad law because people do not want the government
>> telling them how to live their lives and to what degree of
>> risk they can/should afford themselves.  No one is mandated
>> to drive 70 MPH.  If you don't want to drive a that speed,
>> then don't.
>
>Still doesn't stop that idiot who is going to end up losing control of his
>car because he feels he should drive whatever speed he wants (since there
>wouldn't be any limit for him to abide by) and then crashing into my car.
```

>See believe it or not, MOST laws are there for the protection of OTHER
>people, not the offender.
>
>Ya can't drive drunk under law because you are a danger to others, not
>yourself.

I have no problem with DWI laws. I do have problems where
speed limits are set lower than anyone rationally drives. I have
never suggested allowing unlimited speeds...although there
are certainly highways in the west that can and have had
only "reasonable and proper" as the limit.

The problem is that the safety nazis want everyone to abide by
their version of what is or should be safe. None of that
crowd trusts anyone as an individual.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2278789634060122187
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:01:17 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!
news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 12:56:59 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3af93e4c.2927339@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

```
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<3af7672b.2658424@news.mindspring.com>
<HBPJ6.43783$2_.15205767@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.6c
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 12:57:38 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23114
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8786 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2616
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2519 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13043
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21457 rec.radio.cb:32594

On Tue, 08 May 2001 10:09:43 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>> If you mean Clinton, you sure have that wrong.  The safety nazis
>> love Cintonites because they all want to tell you and me how
>> we should live our lives.
>
>The amusing thing is ... the laws you do enjoy are exactly that.  You know
>like: murder, rape, robbery, assault, stalking, etc etc etc ....
>
>The laws are there because the average person is too stupid to realise just
>what is good and what isnt

That statement alone tells me you are very pro-government and
have little or no respect for individual responsibility unless it is
"enforced" by government.  Pretty sad.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 7635170087355012791
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:05:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
```

Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 13:04:07 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3af93f6b.3213846@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com> <ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com> <LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com> <AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au> <3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com> <9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de> <3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com> <9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de> <nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <9da6iu$j5k$1@node17.cwnet.roc.gblx.net> <3af8a755.462310443@news.accessunited.com> <Mj2K6.48046$2U.20551467@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com> <lF2K6.4138$tv4.527141@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.6c
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 13:04:52 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21458 rec.radio.cb:32595

On Wed, 09 May 2001 03:17:37 GMT, "Clif Holland" <radio.service@verizon.net> wrote:

>Check the states final tallies. Bush won the Popular vote but did not get a
>majority (over 50%)

Neither did Clinton, gaining only about 43% of popular vote when he was first elected and something like 48/49% for his second term.

As others have noted, the system that counts is the electoral college process...and that's not likly to change because smaller states end up with diluted power if the electoral college ended.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

(Most other newsgroups deleted from this reply)

From -3351950615955494205
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,853d867350ae2aea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,853d867350ae2aea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,27f4315373c03de1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:06:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: NO ARRL - NO QST
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 09:06:32 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9dbfca$7ce$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3af7161b.1120740@news.earthlink.net>
      <UfXJ6.459$E65.42907@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.3e.3d.df
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 13:06:18 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express Macintosh Edition - 4.5 (0410)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:12007
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15962 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21459

A great post. Succinct and hilarious. Congrats.
AF2Y

In article <UfXJ6.459$E65.42907@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Zippy
the Pinhead" <kc4cucunbers@aol.com> wrote:

>
> <zz@321cue.com> wrote in message news:3af7161b.1120740@news.earthlink.net...
>> NO MORE ARRL AND QST FOR ME.......IT'S A JOKE....
>> Mostly ads.......Mostly black and white.......Mostly sucks!!
>> WHAT HAS THE ARRL DONE FOR YOU LATELY?
>
>
> I kicked the ARRL habit 20 yrs ago. I now have a life and no
> longer believe that the world revolves around ham radio. I often
> wonder if the ARRL has ties to the Unification Church? It would
> not suprise me if upon visiting the airport if I saw a Moonie, a Hare
> Krishina and an ARRL member, each within 10 feet of each
> other all in a row, with tables hawking their "brand of religion".
> The Moonie would be selling subscriptions, the Hare Krishina
> would be bangin his tamboreen and the ARRL guy would be
> sending "jesus & morse code saves" via a 30 year old Lafayette
> Radio code practice oscilator with a half-dead 9V battery inside it.
> That's what I love about ham radio. Still the only hobby with more

```
> per-capata screwballs in it than Bellevue State Hospital.
>
>
>
>
>


From 310089764240418433
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:12:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 13:11:21 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3af940c4.3558857@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
<aFPJ6.43802$2_.15208823@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.6c
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 13:12:12 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21460 rec.radio.cb:32596

On Tue, 08 May 2001 10:13:26 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
<tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:

>> If YOU can't handle it, then don't.  No one is forcing anyone to
>> drive 70.
>
>My ability to handle the road is irreevant.  Fact is there will be 10 idiots
>out there that will take full advantage of a no speed limit idea that can't
>handle the road well at 65 let alone 70+ and end up killing off a great deal
>of the population ...
```

The statistics are totally contrary to your claim. Highway
deaths continue to go down each year inspite of increased
speeds...70+ on many western highways as the posted limit.

>> Nope, the national 55 in effect for some 15 years had NOTHING to do
>> with safety when imposed. Since it has been lifted, highway detaths
>> per year are STILL dropping.
>
>What source did you get that info from?

National Motorists Association. Indeed, this has
been reported almost every year when the stats are
announced. It is something the lower speed limit
proponents hate to hear.

>> Many states it is now 70 or even 75. In NJ people routinely
>> drive 70-75 everywhere on the highway...totally ignoring
>> the posted 55 or 65 limits.
>
>And New Jersey (Joisey I should say) is a state to model after?

NJ highway deaths have gone DOWN also. The reality
is that NJ is probably in the middle to low end of state
speed limits as many states have 70 and higher limits with
concurrant higher average speeds.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

all newsgroups but rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb deleted from
this reply

From -634822859207516152
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:17:31 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!
usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 09:17:09 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AF94355.10B13F1B@psu.edu>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21461

Because I've put in the time and effort, and it will be dropped for others. That is pretty simple and just plain human. Who wouldn't be? I won't begrudge the new hams anything though, they are just taking the test in force at the time of their exam, just as I am taking the tests available in my own time.

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>
> >And I suspect that I'll be a little annoyed when the requirement is
> >dropped altogether. I hadn't originally planned to use cw mode, but now
> >I think I just might. Funny how yer outlook can change.
> >
>
> Dropping the CW requirement will not change your ability to use the mode one
> bit. Why would you be annoyed?

From 2451907573185864535
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:20:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 13:19:09 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3af94332.4181751@news.mindspring.com>
References: <VGJJ6.9301$4f7.725949@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9d8vdf$gdv$0@208.207.71.146> <3AF81404.A888CE8A@IEEE.org>
<9daccf$big$0@208.207.71.137>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.6c
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 13:20:04 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21462

On 9 May 2001 03:09:03 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>

>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >
>> > Richard McCollum wrote:
>> > > Upgrading generally does not change the expiration date.
>> >
>> > You sure, Dick? As I recall upgrading generates a new 10 year license.
>> > Unless they changed that, too.
>>
>> I don't understand. My license says "Grant Date" 8-14-1998,
>> "Effective Date" 6-1-2000, and "Expiration Date" 6-18-2006
>> Nothing to do with ten years.
>
> Evidently that was changed, to what new scheme I cannot determine.
>Dick

About 8 years ago the FCC changed the process and now does
NOT issue new expiration dates for licenses that have upgrades,
address changes, etc as they once did. Unless the FCC makes
changes again, the date your license expires will not change until
it is renewd for a subseqient 10 year period.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -7092363325605942922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:22:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 13:21:18 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3af94403.4390156@news.mindspring.com>
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<hiPJ6.9638$4f7.760778@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.6c
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 13:22:15 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21463

On Tue, 08 May 2001 09:49:01 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@att.net> wrote:

>
>"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message

>news:cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
>> I understand that CW is required to conform to the ITU. When will this
>> archaic testing requirement be eliminated? Please do no misinterpret this
>> message. I am advocating elimination of code test. We should not eliminate
>> CW. IF CW is your thing, by all means go for it!
>> -jim
>
>The stumbling block, if indeed that is what it is is, that the very
>definition of an Amateur in the treaties requires Morse knowlege - taken
>these days to be 5wpm.
>
>The earliest this can be considered is 2003 and probably only then if there
>is general concensus among governments and amateur societies to do it.
>
>Given that it is done, and Congress does its thing, the rule-making process
>will take some more time. Even if the treaty allows it, it is not a given
>that we will be right in line to do it (although that is probably the way to
>bet).
>
>A good guess is 2005. Morse Academy is at http://ah0a.org.
>Dick N�BK

If thetreaty changes in 2003, I predict the USA wil
change within 6 months or less. WRC 2003 is scheduled
for June of 2003 as I recall.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 1857340662855965551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:30:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 08:29:07 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3AF94623.9B6E7195@IEEE.org>
References: <20010507230617.20174.00000257@nso-mr.aol.com>
<20010508062745.10013.00000980@ng-ft1.aol.com> <9dab5u$7vt$0@208.207.71.137>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.6f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 13:30:19 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21464

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Aren't a$$holes usually arrogant?

Is yours? Does it talk back or what? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



From 675512189365152399
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:34:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 08:32:58 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <3AF9470A.71D55BEC@IEEE.org>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<9da6iu$j5k$1@node17.cwnet.roc.gblx.net>
<3af8a755.462310443@news.accessunited.com>
<Mj2K6.48046$2U.20551467@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com> <lF2K6.4138$tv4.527141@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <3af93f6b.3213846@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.6f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 13:34:13 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21465 rec.radio.cb:32599
```

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Neither did Clinton, gaining only about 43% of popular
> vote when he was first elected ...

What's scary is that only about 1/4 of registered voters
voted for Clinton.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 2566346738264604097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:41:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 08:39:30 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3AF94892.4F379230@IEEE.org>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
<aFPJ6.43802$2_.15208823@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af940c4.3558857@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.6f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 13:40:44 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21466 rec.radio.cb:32600

Bill Sohl wrote:
> The statistics are totally contrary to your claim. Highway
> deaths continue to go down each year inspite of increased
> speeds...70+ on many western highways as the posted limit.

And Kennesaw, Ga, which has a law requiring every house to
have a gun, has the lowest crime rate of any city its size
in the country. The crime rate dropped 89% after the passage
of that law.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 2644801717739628128
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:43:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF94919.7CD32597@crosslink.net>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 09:41:45 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide (was: Hmmmmmmmm)
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net> <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 48
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.201
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 989415834 18111 207.199.164.201
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21467

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> We all have different recollections ... What I do clearly remember is
> that going from scratch to actually getting a signal on the air as a
> 1953 Novice .. involved a lot more work ... The writtens
> were only one of pushups one had to go thru to get on the air.
> >
> "Little" issues like the Novice non-optional code test, getting an HF
> antenna up, etc. .. all
> played roles my contention that the old Novices had it tougher than
> the nocodes have today.
> >
> What's it take for a nocode to get on the air today? Pass a test given
> at a local ham club VE session, drop $150 on the counter of the local
> Radio Shack, pick up a mag-mount antenna, plug it all together and
> bingo on the air with a microphone.
> >
> Woulda been incomprehensible back then.
> >

Thanks for the insights! Technology, or rather the lack thereof, once demanded that anyone entering the hobby have certain skills and knowledge so it was completely reasonable to test for those skills and knowledge. The "need" for those skills and knowledge was real, not artificial, so it was palatable. Tests were a minor hurdle when one had to design and build their own station - a reward and source of pride in itself - then use Morse to make contacts before building expensive "modulators".

But what was normal and acceptable back then is incomprehensible today. Passing a test, particularly a Morse test, has become *the* primary hurdle now that one can buy a whole station and operate it with less skill than a typical video game. Worse, much of the test, and especially code, no longer has any valid purpose. One can buy and operate a modern station without knowing the difference between a Hartley or Colpitts oscillator and without knowing a bit of Morse. And even worse, certain selfish hams long used those artificial hurdles to keep others off "their bands".

Progress is sad in a way. Whatever pride there is in buying an appliance station is tiny compared to having built your own gear in a time when not one person in 1000 could do so. Too bad new hams will never know that feeling but forcing them to memorize info they'll never use won't bring back those feelings; it only creates resentment.

73 .. KA3DWW

```
From 5327822860365942818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:47:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 08:46:24 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AF94A30.957ACB55@IEEE.org>
References: <VGJJ6.9301$4f7.725949@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9d8vdf$gdv$0@208.207.71.146> <3AF81404.A888CE8A@IEEE.org>
<9daccf$big$0@208.207.71.137> <3af94332.4181751@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.6f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 13:47:37 GMT
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21468

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 9 May 2001 03:09:03 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >W6RCecilA wrote:
> >> I don't understand. My license says "Grant Date" 8-14-1998,
> >> "Effective Date" 6-1-2000, and "Expiration Date" 6-18-2006
> >> Nothing to do with ten years.
> >
> > Evidently that was changed, to what new scheme I cannot determine.
> >Dick
>
> About 8 years ago the FCC changed the process ...

So, looking at my above posting, would you say my renewal date was
6-18-1996, my change of address happened on 8-14-1998, and my upgrade
happened on 6-1-2000?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 6998432170834788369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 06:59:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 08:58:17 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3AF94CF9.9B04BA98@IEEE.org>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-cr1.aol.com> <3AF94355.10B13F1B@psu.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.6f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 13:59:31 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21469

mike coslo wrote:
> Because I've put in the time and effort, and it will be dropped for
> others. That is pretty simple and just plain human. Who wouldn't be?

Except for my conditional exam back in 1952, all my ham exams have been effortless. The worth of a ham license resides with the privileges granted by the license. Your privileges probably won't change when the code requirement is dropped. Even though I passed a 13wpm code exam, it didn't bother me at all when the code requirement was reduced to 5wpm. Times change. Requirements change.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

```
From 9124268631154978075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,853d867350ae2aea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 07:02:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: NO ARRL - NO QST
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 09:00:35 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AF94D83.FA980B31@IEEE.org>
References: <UfXJ6.459$E65.42907@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010509065228.18357.00002100@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.34.89.6f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 14:01:49 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21470
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> ><zz@321cue.com> wrote in message news:3af7161b.1120740@news.earthlink.net...
>
> > NO MORE ARRL AND QST FOR ME.......IT'S A JOKE....
> > Mostly ads.......Mostly black and white.......Mostly sucks!!
>
> If he feels it is "mostly black and white," it is pretty clear he hasn't seen a
> QST in a while. :-)
>
> Could this be a troll?

Well Ed, the paper is obviously white and I'll bet if you get an itemized list of ink use from the printer, more black ink is used than any other color. :-)

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

From 5227437005960182954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 07:03:12 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-cr1.aol.com> <3AF94355.10B13F1B@psu.edu>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <A6cK6.12032$4f7.968816@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 14:03:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.202
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989416992 12.75.102.202 (Wed, 09 May 2001 14:03:12 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 14:03:12 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21471

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3AF94355.10B13F1B@psu.edu...

> Because I've put in the time and effort, and it will be dropped for
> others. That is pretty simple and just plain human. Who wouldn't be? I
> won't begrudge the new hams anything though, they are just taking the
> test in force at the time of their exam, just as I am taking the tests
> available in my own time.
>
>

Bingo. Us OTs can gather at the local Rusty Zipper club and tell war stories each other understands about the gimlet-eyed FCC lady. The 5wpm brigade will have their war stories and are welcome to them. About the only thing we have lost is the audience for our war stories. What else is new?

When the 5wpm notice and order came out, I will admit to having a devalued sense -- for all of about 38 seconds until reality set in and perspective was regained. Mike is in that sense worse off than those of us who did it years ago, who wants to be the last casualty of a war grinding to a truce? Which is why I tried to give the guy asking 'when' a reasonable and civil answer. Morse is like scots whisky or sauerkraut -- no one likes them the first time.

After one has done it - at any speed - the view from the back of the mountain is a bit different. The process is like playing Nintendo with my nephew, a hand-eye coordination exercise learned by doing and not a lot else. The kid cleans my clock as well he should. One learns by doing it until it is a reflex; it doesn't respect age, intelligence, money, white teeth, or coolitude. To a competitive person this is the height of agony but for some silly reason there are 300k+ people on the licensing lists who have done it. When one has done it, he has a sense of achievement and like my nephew he should. It is right up there today with being the Duncan Yo-Yo Champion of PS182 for cosmic importance but an achievement it be.

The hobby will lose part of the glue that holds it together - the knowlege that the man on the other end did, at some speed, suspend ego and belief and learn the bloody code. It will not be an easy shift; the fact that egos are never suspended is one reason CB is a wasteland. The fear of the OT that the whole thing will turn to garbage is to some degree well-founded. We will live in interesting times. This happened because of history; whether one would include a code test given a clean sheet of paper in 2001 is another story.

Mike, welcome to the fraternity of those who have paid the price. If nothing else, a coded license will give you the right of pontification for the rest of your life as well as the warm glow of achieving an objective over time. That some have an overblown emotional problem with Morse is not your problem nor mine.

Dick N�BK

From -6208823583519619872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 07:09:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<hiPJ6.9638$4f7.760778@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3af94403.4390156@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Lines: 50
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <CccK6.12038$4f7.968991@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 14:09:38 GMT

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.202
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989417378 12.75.102.202 (Wed, 09 May
2001 14:09:38 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 14:09:38 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21472


"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3af94403.4390156@news.mindspring.com...
> On Tue, 08 May 2001 09:49:01 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccollOMA@att.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> >news:cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> >> I understand that CW is required to conform to the ITU. When will this
> >> archaic testing requirement be eliminated? Please do no misinterpret
this
> >> message. I am advocating elimination of code test. We should not
eliminate
> >> CW. IF CW is your thing, by all means go for it!
> >> -jim
> >
> >The stumbling block, if indeed that is what it is is, that the very
> >definition of an Amateur in the treaties requires Morse knowlege - taken
> >these days to be 5wpm.
> >
> >The earliest this can be considered is 2003 and probably only then if
there
> >is general concensus among governments and amateur societies to do it.
> >
> >Given that it is done, and Congress does its thing, the rule-making
process
> >will take some more time.  Even if the treaty allows it, it is not a
given
> >that we will be right in line to do it (although that is probably the way
to
> >bet).
> >
> >A good guess is 2005.  Morse Academy is at http://ah0a.org.
> >Dick N�BK
>
> If thetreaty changes in 2003, I predict the USA wil
> change within 6 months or less.  WRC 2003 is scheduled
> for June of 2003 as I recall.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

Could be.  But part of the problem is that the FCC has exactly nothing to
```

gain, this time, by doing it. They do not administer code tests and it
saves them nothing whatsoever. Given the emotion from both sides, I would
suspect they will let the rule-making process grind on.

From 3036114913687824465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 07:11:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k0hb@arrl.org
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net> <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com>
<3AF94919.7CD32597@crosslink.net>
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <wdcK6.7632$vg1.625098@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 10:10:36 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 14:10:36 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21473

Old Biker says:

>Progress is sad in a way. Whatever pride there is in buying an
>appliance station is tiny compared to having built your own gear
>in a time when not one person in 1000 could do so. Too bad new
>hams will never know that feeling but forcing them to memorize
>info they'll never use won't bring back those feelings; it only
>creates resentment.

Sorry, but that tale just doesn't wash in the name of "progress".

Every new ham is licensed to build their own station, just like
we were when we became licensed. Therefor the technical test is
just as valid now as it was then; not an occasion for resentment
any more than it was then. I could easily make an argument that
the technical test should be more difficult than it was then, simply
based on the advances in technology -- "progress" if you will.

The QCAO members will just have to deal with that, like they
have had to since the first license tests in 1912.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -4805265811673881918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 08:06:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<hans_brakob@adc.com>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net>
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide (was: Hmmmmmmmm)
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <B1dK6.7743$vg1.634236@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 11:06:09 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 15:06:09 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21474

Ed Hare, W1RFI says:

> I have appended the text of the 1963 Novice Study guide.

.. clip clip clip ...

>34. What factors are commonly responsible for harmonic radiation and
>what practical means can be used to detect and correct such condition?

Ed,

My 1954 version of the Novice study guide is *exactly verbatim*, except it only has 33 questions. Guess the 34th question (about harmonics) was added somewhere during those intervening 9 years.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 1223190209266036658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 08:07:52 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!
news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF94EB6.1573B77B@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net>
<Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org>
<r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org>
<7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
<XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not>
<slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain> <3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not>
<3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not>
<5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not>
<EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not>
<c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not>
<3AF6A3E8.5F001C5F@IEEE.org> <3AF6B5C7.501194DA@spam.me.not>
<n1GJ6.53673$B22.13704741@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF77DBD.B4813F55@some.net>
<3AF7AAAB.D597E6CF@spam.me.not> <lJPJ6.43826$2_.15211996@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF83741.387451A6@spam.me.not>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-DA55htjBGK7SoPlqOWLQqWRd4RNrGyD+Rrn8rBZv8TuFkeYDqnnLBKfxUU=
```

Lines: 25
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 10:07:36 CDT
X-Trace: sv3-SidDpYPAnXsiddotjGLBLO9JzUgo7KXwB/m/
TV5tnv87F6rk9ejQa25BigptfliWia+afl3xQ1LTFbn!
3O7isSHBbvzZO0wuVpvyqsYiNtYM5Zj+lWpSBNZsBPo+LCkc2betpbbu
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 15:07:36 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5624
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15966 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23121
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21475

I would suggest you forget the echo completely when getting on the ham bands. It may please all the 12 year olds and those who think like 12 year olds on cb, but it doesn't fly on ham radio.

Sideband wrote:
>
> I have never run my CB this way. I do have an echo mic on my GrantXL,
> but the echo "volume" is barely cracked open. According to three
> different modulation meters, I'm set at 99% modulation.
>
> The fellow I was talking to runs his radio in much the same way. He has
> a Cobra 148 (same radio, basically), and has his set similarly.
>
> Any more than 100% modulation is going to sound like crap, and probably
> bleed over. I know this, and those CB'ers who know this try to avoid
> bleeding over, etc...
>
> Now, if you don't mind, I need a nap before I go down to the testing
> center to test for my ticket. Have a nice day, Rob, and I hope "huked on
> fonix" continues to help your English grammar. ("there's usefull"? Come
> On!)
>
> -SSB
>
>

From -8408035217219317965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 08:11:27 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 15:07:26 GMT
Message-ID: <3af95657.256319869@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>

```
<hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net> <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com>
<3AF94919.7CD32597@crosslink.net> <wdcK6.7632$vg1.625098@www.newsranger.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.83
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.83
X-Trace: 9 May 2001 10:03:39 -0500, 64.24.19.83
Lines: 33
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21476

On Wed, 09 May 2001 14:10:36 GMT, k0hb@arrl.org wrote:

>Old Biker says:
>
>>Progress is sad in a way. Whatever pride there is in buying an
>>appliance station is tiny compared to having built your own gear
>>in a time when not one person in 1000 could do so. Too bad new
>>hams will never know that feeling but forcing them to memorize
>>info they'll never use won't bring back those feelings; it only
>>creates resentment.
>
I agree, been a *long* time since I actually built a radio but the
technical skills & insights I gained back then still holds me in good
stead when I have to do the soldering iron to fix some glitch or
another. I just dove into an MFJ tuner and butchered it with the
soldering iron and some junk parts to get it working on 160. How many
of today's button-pushers would have clue one?
>
The techncal tests promote education which is a worthwhile objective
and they've proven many times to be to the benefit of the ham. Even if
they to have to get off their butts and learn something. God forbid.
>
Of course the educational benefits are are not the reason for the FCC
technical tests. They're out there to at least take at a poke at
minimizing the amount of damage hams can do with their broad technical
freedoms to occupy spectrum space with Lord knows what all these
days. Considering the huge advances in technology over the years there
is much more potential today for technical no-clues to generate
mischief than there was back then.
>
Tighten up the writtens . .
>
w3rv


From 7238889320803052655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 08:12:16 PST
From: "JWheeler" <kd5bcu at sydcom dot net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Wed, 9 May 2001 10:10:05 -0500
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.96.24.115
Message-ID: <3af95c70_3@newsfeeds>
X-Trace: corp 989420656 204.96.24.115 (9 May 2001 10:04:16 -0500)
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5625
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15967 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23122
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21477

You, sir, assume too much. And you know what happens when you assume. I, for one, do happen to obey speed limits as well as frequency ranges and power contstraints. But thanks for your concern.

JWheeler
kd5bcu
Bob <boob@boob.com> wrote in message

<snip>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6186491464039954691
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,fd404e0dcdede944
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fd404e0dcdede944
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 08:14:40 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!
nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF95053.CC54936@some.net>
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bush supports gay marriages (yes!)
References: <23HITL.290UYD@lamvda.com> <tficmf5l33jk37@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-+fsDuGCCrHqDoIstleGmPw8EpbUaGZLVyWzO6tZc/xbsVI4H3D+sFoxWuk=
Lines: 11
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 10:14:23 CDT
X-Trace: sv3-esMVA8KQ8H4tBoxASR/2VMIUwGpQJHH/
CvuDNtbzgiTGoiOXpo+gFoSD4toutgn80DSssHbt7w1YP5m!
NbgKi2CGxV9sPQB14x5hL4BOINvS9WfngiecgYENiN7MFbwE7zn3VNM=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 15:14:23 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15968
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21478
```

The reason the queers liked Clinton is because like them he has no morals either.

"J. Duffy Beischel" wrote:
>
> Clinton was a friend of no one except Red Chinese and Criminals. But most
> homosexuals were too stupid to see that and kept voting for Clinton the
> Terrible anyway. I guess, among other things, homosexuals' brains are in
> their ass.
>
>

```
From -3317606144057904448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 08:18:16 PST
Message-ID: <3AF96044.151D1E70@zylay.com>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 11:20:36 -0400
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3af95c70_3@newsfeeds>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 9 May 2001 11:17:33 -0400, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 13
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
newsfeed.mia!news.hcs.net!205.143.240.121
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5627
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15969 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23124
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21479

> You, sir, assume too much.  And you know what happens when you assume.  I,
> for one, do happen to obey speed limits as well as frequency ranges and
> power contstraints.  But thanks for your concern.

Don't take it too hard. Some folks simply can't comprehend what it must
be like to drive without constantly paying attention to potential hiding
places for cops rather than the road itself.

--
Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                      __O
                                                             _-\<,_
Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/


From -1712230611406930225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 08:38:03 PST
From: "JWheeler" <kd5bcu at sydcom dot net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3AF1EC7E.D997F2B6@IEEE.org> <3AF1F495.4387880D@mailcity.com>
<3AF21EBA.25225B14@IEEE.org> <3AF23D4F.161058C@mailcity.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Wed, 9 May 2001 10:35:48 -0500
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
NNTP-Posting-Host: 204.96.24.115
Message-ID: <3af96278_3@newsfeeds>
X-Trace: corp 989422200 204.96.24.115 (9 May 2001 10:30:00 -0500)
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5629
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15970 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23126
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21480
```

That's not completely correct. I believe that the time limit is about 4 years. If I went and 'established' myself on that land for long enough and no one said anything about it, then while I may have been there illegally in the beginning, I do have a legal right to be there, and the courts in Texas will back me up on it. I'm not sure if it falls under homestead or imminent domain, but it is the law, at least here in Texas. I know of people who have lost several feet off of their property line in this very same manner.

JWheeler
kd5bcu
GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com> wrote in message n

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2589879107787076951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 08:40:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <gxdK6.7796$vg1.639213@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 11:39:56 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 15:39:56 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21481

W1RFI asked:

>Dropping the CW requirement will not change your ability to use the
>mode one bit. Why would you be annoyed?

I think for some folks it's similar to "seeing something on sale for 50%-off, after you just paid full retail". Maybe not a 'rational' reaction, but certainly an understandable one.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 2065599373799878787
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 08:43:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AF96559.72A0E8C0@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 10:42:17 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com> <hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net> <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com> <3AF94919.7CD32597@crosslink.net> <wdcK6.7632$vg1.625098@www.newsranger.com> <3af95657.256319869@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp 989422550 64.240.47.95 (9 May 2001 10:35:50 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21482

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> I agree, been a *long* time since I actually built a radio but the
> technical skills & insights I gained back then still holds me in good
> stead when I have to do the soldering iron to fix some glitch or
> another.

Unfortunately, my technical skills don't do a thing to help my
inherited tremors. I'm dangerous with a soldering iron.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7226603271274768292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 09:18:59 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@arrl.org>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
<aFPJ6.43802$2_.15208823@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af940c4.3558857@news.mindspring.com> <3AF94892.4F379230@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <k5eK6.7868$vg1.645912@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 12:18:24 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 16:18:24 GMT
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news.bnb-lp.com!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21483

W6RCecilA declares:

>And Kennesaw, Ga, which has a law requiring every house to
>have a gun, has the lowest crime rate of any city its size
>in the country. The crime rate dropped 89% after the passage
>of that law.

Sounds like an urban myth to me, but regardless, whoever
voted for such a law is clearly about 8 inches taller than
their blood supply.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 7930282609619858569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 09:22:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 May 2001 16:22:13 GMT
References: <3AF94EB6.1573B77B@some.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <20010509122213.24459.00002784@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21484

>Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
>From: JR jr@some.net
>Date: 5/9/01 10:07 AM Central Daylight Time

>I would suggest you forget the echo completely when getting on the
>ham bands. It may please all the 12 year olds and those who think like
>12 year olds on cb, but it doesn't fly on ham radio.

I know where you were going with this, and I agree, but we have several 12 year old ops in the Chattanooga area, and they are some very attentive, "professional" sounding operators.

After 30 +/- years in and working with Civil Air Patrol's cadet program, I have come to believe that almost any adolecent who is given some responsibility and allowed the opportunity to "run" with it will do rather well.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 948314973896490243
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 09:45:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF973BD.E128A04C@crosslink.net>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 12:43:41 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AF939AB.3B86467@psu.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 28
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.108
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 989426755 18110 207.199.164.108
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21485

mike coslo wrote:
>
>   Where exactly did I suggest that I was pro-cw or anti-cw? ...

Where did I say you did?
>
>   Weird days when someone catches flack for saying they understand
> another's viewpoint.
>
There are/were many hams who selfishly demanded that everybody
be made to pass the same tests they did and they did it so
stridently and successfully that Morse testing kept "CBrs" and
others out of the hobby for decades after it had outlived any
nonrecreational usefullness. Thanks to them, we failed to cash
in on the CB craze and must now compete with the internet and
other media for survival. Thank's to them we habe 100s (1000s)
of "Freebanders" who should have been attracted to Ham DX but
were instead turned into outlaws not supporters. Thanks to them
our hobby may well die, but they don't care; they used code to
keep "their bands" for themselves until *they* died or retired
and who cares after that? Certainly not those selfish SOBs! All
they ever really cared about was me, me and me!

So IMHO "understanding their viewpoint" is like understanding"
Hitler's viewpoint. I believe both are evil and deserve no
"empathy". YMMV.

KA3DWW

From -7799283788570097910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 09:56:36 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!
news1.atl.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF9772B.43BD@bellsouth.net>
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Reply-To: joxendi@bellsouth.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01C-BLS20 (Win95; U)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<XK%J6.11673$t12.870137@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 96
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 12:58:19 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.214.186.201
X-Trace: news1.atl 989427387 209.214.186.201 (Wed, 09 May 2001 12:56:27 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 12:56:27 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21486

James,

I see where both you and Dick McCollum are coming from. I, too, am not happy about the "dumbing" down of Amateur Radio--and society in general. (Why is it so hard to teach people to make change manually?)

As most everybody here knows, I build screwdriver antennas and advertise only occasionally. When I first started doing this, I had Extra Class hams coming back to me saying my antennas don't work. HUH? Checking them out, I found not ONE single fault with the antennas, but checking their installations, I found many mistakes--such as faulty grounds, antenna installed so coil was BELOW top of vans and right up against the metal, inpedance matching omitted, etc. I was amazed to find that people with higher class license than I hold (Advanced) had no clue about antennas..one fella mounted the base directly to the metal (shorted) with no insulators! He wondered what those little "donut thingys" were for!

CW is not the real issue for me. What I dislike is the lack of learning incentive in the new scheme of things. The emphasis is on jumping thru a "hoop" (memorization) to be able to TALK! And talking is the ONLY reason for getting a ticket. What I appreciate about my license is that it enables me to discover a new lesson almost every day, and I spend as much time, or more, experimenting as I do on the air. Many experiments are a failure, but I STILL learn something from it. I consider myself a perpetual "newbie" realizing I don't know it all, so I spend time with my hobby reading, tinkering and "blowing up" stuff to find out what makes it tick--like the clocks I used to take apart as a kid. I could not wait for Dad to give me the old alarm clock that quit so I could take it apart or make a "tractor" out of it. Smart about electronics, I'm not, but I *do* have an insatiable curiosity about radio--and that will keep me busy for the rest of my life.

73

Jerry
K4KWH

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>
> Unfortunately, I think we all tend to get wrapped around the axel over this code/no code business. My main concern has been the general dumbing down of requirements in general (both inside and outside of amateur radio). No one seems to want to learn anything technical (sheesh, if they don't have a calculator or cash register, a lot of people even have a problem making change!). You should see the mess I get to work with. Any amateur that has been around and actually learned something should know something about grounds - and ground loops. Finally, at work some brilliant individuals (after some prodding - I won't do that again, it gets one in trouble) decided to start bonding things together inside of the panel boxes. Forget the National Electric Code - hey, that means you have to learn something. Programmable ladder controllers used to loose their marbles quite often - as often as three controllers in any given 12 hour shift! I had warned them about their safety circuits. Hmmm ... all software (not totally tested, of course). One guy got 12 stitches in his hand when a turntable took off with a guard door open! Then they wanted me to rewire it. Nope. I am not an expert on the National Electric Code, but those tiny little relays (which weren't wired properly anyways) wouldn't cut it. They tend to fail quite a bit in other applications. Contacts stick together (hey, they bought 'em cheap!) ... you name it. We need 90 PSIG air since the pallet lockup cylinders do their whole job over about 1/4 inch with two line contacts - and the cylinders are about the diameter of my little finger; meanwhile, on a bench line, we have to push a six ounce product about four inches into a chute. Little cylinder, right? How about a two inch bore? One operator got pinched by that once. That had to hurt - I know I can't pull the thing back against its' force (what's pi? Apple, pumpkin, or what. Of course it is irrational, math is irrational).
>
> This seems to be the mode that we Americans are operating in. I hope I'm wrong. The amateur test is a joke. Same with the commercial ticket. I dropped my tickets (1st phone, telegraph, and amateur extra) back around 1980. I decided to pick them back up in 1993. They were simple. How simple? Well, when I tested for my GROL, I was informed (remember, I had not studied at all for any of this and it was new for me) that I could also take, at no extra charge, the Global Marine whatchamacallit thingy. Ok, but I didn't even know what it was. Guess what? I failed that by exactly ONE

> question. One question kept me from being considered as 'qualified', even
> though I didn't squat about the subject.
>
> Of course, we can just continue to purchase Japanese goods - but just what
> are we hoping to accomplish with amateur radio? Is it truely a 'training
> ground' for people to develope a decent background in communications and
> electronics, or are we engaged in a race to the lowest common denominator?
>
> Forgive the length of the post. I know the argument is CW forever vs CW
> never - but what do we want? I should hope we are not trying to exclude as
> many people as possible, yet something here just doesn't make good sense to
> me. (no, I don't see the need for CW either, I'm just concerned about the
> rest of the tests)
>
> 10-4, good buddy?
> ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim (please don't take offense, just consider what I am wondering about)

From -7832419022024066812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 10:07:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.flash.net!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF978C8.1BA1E9D6@crosslink.net>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 13:05:12 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Electoral College (was Freebanders)
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<9da6iu$j5k$1@node17.cwnet.roc.gblx.net>

<3af8a755.462310443@news.accessunited.com>
<Mj2K6.48046$2U.20551467@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com> <lF2K6.4138$tv4.527141@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3af93f6b.3213846@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 31
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.108
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 989428042 18112 207.199.164.108
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21487 rec.radio.cb:32618

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> "Clif Holland" <radio.service@verizon.net> wrote:
>
> >Check the states final tallies. Bush won the Popular vote but did not get a
> >majority (over 50%)
>
> Neither did Clinton, ...
> As others have noted, the system that counts is the
> electoral college process...and that's not likly to change
> because smaller states end up with diluted power if
> the electoral college ended.

An man I knew gouged patients enough to buy a farmette in the country. It came with a veggie garden, rabbits and chickens. The previous owner had cruelly kept the rabbits in cages he called "hutches" but the good doctor let them run free. They were (predictably) killed and eaten by his dogs, who at least had enough sense to know what rabbits are good for. The eggs had to be thrown away because the stupid chickens deficated on them! So he got rid of the chickens. He also threw all the root veggies (eg carrots) away; after all who'd eat carrots, etc., that'd been "soaked" in dirt?

Sorry but I don't want people that divorced from reality electing others to pass or enforce laws that I must obey, even if they are basically intellegent. IMHO we should invoke the same laws once applied to slaves and Indians: votes from people living in cities should only count for 3/5 of a real persons' vote.
KA3DWW

From -8509117089694208220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 10:19:19 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@arrl.org>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>

<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AF939AB.3B86467@psu.edu>
<3AF973BD.E128A04C@crosslink.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <SZeK6.7960$vg1.656257@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 13:18:42 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 17:18:42 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21488

Old Biker says...

>So IMHO "understanding their viewpoint" is like understanding"
>Hitler's viewpoint. I believe both are evil and deserve no
>"empathy".

In my personal -- constitutionally protected -- opinion, the
current technical examinations are only marginally adequate to
protect the RF environment from damage by ill-prepared users.

It is my further personal opinion that any presumptive twit
who suggests that my viewpoint is "evil" like Hitler lacks the
level of native intelligence and good manners we might normally
ascribe to a rabid possum.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 2347149691061954377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 10:33:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF97ECA.D0033025@crosslink.net>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 13:30:50 -0400

From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net> <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com>
<3AF94919.7CD32597@crosslink.net> <wdcK6.7632$vg1.625098@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 27
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.108
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 989429582 18111 207.199.164.108
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21489

k0hb@arrl.org wrote:
>
> Every new ham is licensed to build their own station, just like
> we were when we became licensed. Therefor the technical test is
> just as valid now as it was then; not an occasion for resentment
> any more than it was then. I could easily make an argument that
> the technical test should be more difficult than it was then, simply
> based on the advances in technology -- "progress" if you will.
>

You are right and the solution is obvious. Determine what
percentage of hams currently do *not* design and build their own
xmtrs and reallocate that same percentage of each and every ham
band to a new service for "Appliance Hams"; which has
essentially no technical nor Morse testing but is restricted to
"type accepted" COTS transmitting equipments.

Keep the remaining parts of each band for "Amateur Radio
Engineering"; upgrade the technical tests to include all new
technology every 5 years, make them as comprehensive as 1st
Class Commercial tests, and require retesting for license
renewals.

Of course, these "Amateur Radio Engineering" bands would be
Morse only ... ;o)

KA3DWW

From 1788138464495528965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 10:37:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet

From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 13:38:04 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <3AF9807C.34F0E94@psu.edu>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AF939AB.3B86467@psu.edu>
<3AF973BD.E128A04C@crosslink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21490

Old Biker wrote:
>
> mike coslo wrote:
> >
> >   Where exactly did I suggest that I was pro-cw or anti-cw? ...
>
> Where did I say you did?

You didn't! My reply was to the person I was quoting in my message.
And he certainly seemed to think so

I'm reposting in case you missed that part

____
Jim Wayda wrote:

This is an example of the problem that is currently plaguing the amateur
community. It is analogous to:

I started my car with a hand crank, so you should learn this method before
you can drive an automobile. Some people collect antique cars that are
started with a hand crank. This is their choice, and I respect their choice.

CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary. Just
because you
consider it a personal accomplishment does not justify why all amateurs
should learn CW.

There are many professional engineers (myself included) that share this
opinion. You will get no empathy from me.

Back to your post:

> So IMHO "understanding their viewpoint" is like understanding"
> Hitler's viewpoint. I believe both are evil and deserve no
> "empathy". YMMV.
>
> KA3DWW

What is it with people immediately playing the "Hitler" card these days? Its a big stretch equating pro cw people to him. And it really diminishes your argument.

- mike -

From -2012595772895986536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 11:20:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 9 May 2001 18:20:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9dc1oo$89d$0@208.206.142.97>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.97
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21491

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>
> >And I suspect that I'll be a little annoyed when the requirement is
> >dropped altogether. I hadn't originally planned to use cw mode, but now
> >I think I just might. Funny how yer outlook can change.
> >
>
> Dropping the CW requirement will not change your ability to use the mode one

> bit. Why would you be annoyed?

The fact that all hams are being licensed without being able to communicate in the most basic level of radio communications, that of on-off keying of a carrier using a basic aplphanumeric code. It's skipping a 'troublesome' step in the basics.

From -9184024231391946621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 11:22:38 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com> <hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net> <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com> <3AF94919.7CD32597@crosslink.net> <wdcK6.7632$vg1.625098@www.newsranger.com> <3AF97ECA.D0033025@crosslink.net>
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Lines: 84
Message-ID: <bVfK6.8067$vg1.668301@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 14:21:59 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 18:21:59 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21492

Old Biker says...

> Determine what percentage of hams currently do *not* design and
> build their own xmtrs and reallocate that same percentage of each
> and every ham band to a new service for "Appliance Hams";

Humor Impaired induhviduals may be offended by the following message and should depress the -->Next button NOW.

Otherwise, enjoy!

De Hans, K0HB

News Release:

QCAO Reorganizes

The Quarter Century Appliance Operators was founded in the early 1950's by a small group of Amateur Radio operators from the Pacific Northwest. They had been active in their hobby for over 25 years, yet still lacked the basic knowledge of radio electronics and had no idea of how their equipment worked.

They banded together to try and protect each others honor and pride. At radio gatherings and club meetings in the 1950s one was considered unworthy of the name Ham Radio Operator if he or she couldn't not only name components, but know how to solder them together and make a radio work, or fix a broken set!

When faced with insults and dreision, those few hardy pioneers banded together and formed the First Chapter and National Organization of the QCAO. This was known as the "Cold Solder" Chapter. They even coined the now-famous club byword "e pluribus ignoramae" which is Latin for "We don't have to know how to solder, we just wanna talk on our radios."

No veterans of that first chapter are known to be active on the air today. In the late 1950s and early '60s, with the worldwide interest in science and space and technology, the QCAO membership went underground.

It is with great pride and dignity that today in the 21st Century the revived QCAO stands ready to rise from the ashes, and become the standard of mediocrity it once proudly was. In honor of those first pioneering members, QCAO hereby invites all eligible applicants to step forward and join!

The benefits of QCAO include not only the pride of membership. Think of the warm glow you will feel at club meetings and gatherings showing off your new all-plastic imprinted QCAO pocket protector! And that's not all! For your minimal membership fee, you will also receive a handsome, suitable-for-framing, certificate of honor, with hand-lettered name and Charter Membership Number. Other QCAO memorabilia will soon be available for members, including T-shirts, caps, pins, etc. At this date charter membership numbers are still available.   Membership requires a 25 years (more or less) interest in Amateur Radio, coupled with a basic ignorance of how radios work and how to repair them.

Think of meeting other QCAO members on the air! No more embarrassing pauses when someone in the QSO mentions an RF choke or a parasitic bleeder...Be able to exchange meaningful sharing, talk about real things, yes, even swap QCAO numbers with each other! And soon perhaps . . . a worldwide QCAO contest!

You no longer have to shrink to the back of the room at post-meeting sessions of your radio club. Just display your QCAO protector and others will be able to identify you immediately. Who knows? Perhaps one of the originals from that old QCAO Chapter is just waiting for you to find him. Join now! Remember "We don't have to know how to solder, we just wanna talk on our radios"! Don't let technoids embarrass you and kick jargon in your face. Stand up for what's right! Join QCAO!

"e pluribus ignoramae"

From -2625586136805524548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 11:23:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!205.252.116.205!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 18:22:28 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3af98a74.1372889@news.mindspring.com>
References: <VGJJ6.9301$4f7.725949@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9d8vdf$gdv$0@208.207.71.146> <3AF81404.A888CE8A@IEEE.org>
<9daccf$big$0@208.207.71.137> <3af94332.4181751@news.mindspring.com>
<3AF94A30.957ACB55@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.49.c1
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 18:22:50 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21493

On Wed, 09 May 2001 08:46:24 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> >W6RCecilA wrote:
>> >> I don't understand. My license says "Grant Date" 8-14-1998,
>> >> "Effective Date" 6-1-2000, and "Expiration Date" 6-18-2006
>> >> Nothing to do with ten years.
>> >
>> > Evidently that was changed, to what new scheme I cannot determine.
>> >Dick
>>

```
>> About 8 years ago the FCC changed the process ...
>
>So, looking at my above posting, would you say my renewal date was
>6-18-1996, my change of address happened on 8-14-1998, and my upgrade
>happened on 6-1-2000?

That seems like the most logical explanation of the dates which
matches your known license history.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 1582844195487967594
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 11:31:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AF98CA0.CCBBD5F4@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 13:29:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
<aFPJ6.43802$2_.15208823@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af940c4.3558857@news.mindspring.com> <3AF94892.4F379230@IEEE.org>
<k5eK6.7868$vg1.645912@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.134
X-Trace: corp 989432611 64.240.47.134 (9 May 2001 13:23:31 -0500)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

```
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21494

K0HB wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA declares:
>
> >And Kennesaw, Ga, which has a law requiring every house to
> >have a gun, has the lowest crime rate of any city its size
> >in the country. The crime rate dropped 89% after the passage
> >of that law.
>
> Sounds like an urban myth to me, but regardless, whoever
> voted for such a law is clearly about 8 inches taller than
> their blood supply.

Hans, it's no myth. 200 years ago, on the frontier, it took the
sheriff a long time to respond to a crime. Thus, citizens were
forced to be responsible for their own protection for a certain
length of time. The situation has not changed. It takes a long
time for the present-day cops to respond and in the meantime, a
citizen is responsible for his/her own safety and the safety
of loved ones. Every citizen needs to be armed at least as well
(and preferably better armed) than the criminals he/she is
likely to encounter. In any area, world-wide, where guns are
required by law, the crime rate is very low. Would you break into
a private residence in Switzerland where every male is required
to have a military rifle ready to fight with?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From 7717505135047486891
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 11:32:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!denver-snf1.gtei.net!
news.gtei.net!coop.net!newsfeed1.global.lmco.com!svlnews.lmms.lmco.com!not-for-mail
From: K7MEM <jda@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 10:35:00 -0700
Organization: is a myth
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3AF97FC4.FF6D5DF@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com>
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3af95c70_3@newsfeeds> <3AF96044.151D1E70@zylay.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 166.29.83.238
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.01Gold (X11; I; SunOS 4.1.4 sun4m)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5636
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15971 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23144
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21495

Gene wrote:
>
> JWheeler wrote:
> > You, sir, assume too much. And you know what happens when you assume. I,
> > for one, do happen to obey speed limits as well as frequency ranges and
> > power contstraints. But thanks for your concern.
>
> Don't take it too hard. Some folks simply can't comprehend what it must
> be like to drive without constantly paying attention to potential hiding
> places for cops rather than the road itself.
>

It works both ways. Some people can't comprehend the peace of mind
that you have when you don't care where the cops are hiding.

All you have to do is go a little slower then all those other
drivers, that are looking over their sholders for cops, and the
cops won't pay any attention to you. In the end, does it really
matter whether you get there a minute or two before me? If you
get there first, do you win? If so, what do you win?

--
Martin E. Meserve, K7MEM
martin.e.meserve AT lmco.com

I respond to news groups and E-Mail on my own time and therefore
my responses may not be as timely as you, or I, would like them to
be. If I seem to be ignoring you, send me another E-Mail to jog my
memory. Opinions are my own and do not reflect the opinions of my
employer.

From 602986624588179063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 11:33:28 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 9 May 2001 18:33:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <9dc2ho$9rn$0@208.206.142.97>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AF939AB.3B86467@psu.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.97
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21496

mike coslo wrote:
>
> Where exactly did I suggest that I was pro-cw or anti-cw? Pride of accomplishment is just that. nothing more, nothing less. And I don't look for empathy from you, I'm not sure where you got that idea.
>
> Weird days when someone catches flack for saying they understand another's viewpoint.
>
> - Mike -

You need teo realize, Mike, that these CW haters have chewed on their bile for years, some for decades. Don't expect anything except abuse and rancor from them. They don't have a clue and never will have.

Dick

```
> Jim Wayda wrote:
> >
> > This is an example of the problem that is currently plaguing the amateur
> > community. It is analogous to:
> >
> > I started my car with a hand crank, so you should learn this method before
> > you can drive an automobile. Some people collect antique cars that are
> > started with a hand crank. This is their choice, and I respect their choice.
> >
> > CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary. Just because you
> > consider it a personal accomplishment does not justify why all amateurs
> > should learn CW.
> >
> > There are many professional engineers (myself included) that share this
> > opinion. You will get no empathy from me.
> >
> > -jim


From 2522363960163911721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 11:41:45 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 18:40:17 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <3af98afe.1511242@news.mindspring.com>
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<hiPJ6.9638$4f7.760778@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3af94403.4390156@news.mindspring.com> <CccK6.12038$4f7.968991@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.49.c1
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 18:40:53 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21497

On Wed, 09 May 2001 14:09:38 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@att.net> wrote:

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:3af94403.4390156@news.mindspring.com...
>> On Tue, 08 May 2001 09:49:01 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
>> <rmccollOMA@att.net> wrote:
>>
```

>> >"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
>> >news:cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
>> >> I understand that CW is required to conform to the ITU. When will this
>> >> archaic testing requirement be eliminated? Please do no misinterpret
>this
>> >> message. I am advocating elimination of code test. We should not
>eliminate
>> >> CW. IF CW is your thing, by all means go for it!
>> >> -jim
>> >
>> >The stumbling block, if indeed that is what it is is, that the very
>> >definition of an Amateur in the treaties requires Morse knowlege - taken
>> >these days to be 5wpm.
>> >
>> >The earliest this can be considered is 2003 and probably only then if
>there
>> >is general concensus among governments and amateur societies to do it.
>> >
>> >Given that it is done, and Congress does its thing, the rule-making
>process
>> >will take some more time. Even if the treaty allows it, it is not a
>given
>> >that we will be right in line to do it (although that is probably the way
>to
>> >bet).
>> >
>> >A good guess is 2005. Morse Academy is at http://ah0a.org.
>> >Dick N�BK
>>
>> If thetreaty changes in 2003, I predict the USA wil
>> change within 6 months or less. WRC 2003 is scheduled
>> for June of 2003 as I recall.
>> Bill K2UNK
>
>Could be. But part of the problem is that the FCC has exactly nothing to
>gain, this time, by doing it.

Sure they do, they gain simplified rules and a permanent end
to the code test debate.

>Given the emotion from both sides, I would
>suspect they will let the rule-making process grind on.

Given the simple rules change, I see a much shorter public
response time-frame and if the treaty is changed, there will
be an immediate RM request to have the FCC institute a
rules change to get the process moving.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -8706432575649297851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 11:52:24 PST
Message-ID: <3AF98EF5.647902AE@zylay.com>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 14:39:49 -0400
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <3af95c70_3@newsfeeds> <3AF96044.151D1E70@zylay.com>
<3AF97FC4.FF6D5DF@rupert.tds-az.lmco.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.143.240.121
X-Trace: 9 May 2001 14:36:45 -0400, 205.143.240.121
Lines: 25
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-
snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.atl!newsfeed.mia!
news.hcs.net!205.143.240.121
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5637
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15972 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23148
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21498

> > > You, sir, assume too much.  And you know what happens when you assume.  I,
> > > for one, do happen to obey speed limits as well as frequency ranges and
> > > power contstraints.  But thanks for your concern.
> >
> > Don't take it too hard. Some folks simply can't comprehend what it must
> > be like to drive without constantly paying attention to potential hiding
> > places for cops rather than the road itself.
> >
>
> It works both ways. Some people can't comprehend the peace of mind
> that you have when you don't care where the cops are hiding.
>
> All you have to do is go a little slower then all those other
> drivers, that are looking over their sholders for cops, and the
> cops won't pay any attention to you. In the end, does it really
> matter whether you get there a minute or two before me? If you
> get there first, do you win? If so, what do you win?

I think we said the same thing in different words LOL

--
Ride to eat, eat to ride.                                       __O
                                                              _-\<,_
Support Gene, his kids, and the Children's Miracle Network  (_)/ (_)
Please visit http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/


From 4814542336167194259
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 11:54:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 9 May 2001 18:54:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9dc3ol$c4r$0@208.206.142.97>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AF939AB.3B86467@psu.edu>
<3AF973BD.E128A04C@crosslink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.97
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21499



Old Biker wrote:
>
> mike coslo wrote:
> >
> >         Where exactly did I suggest that I was pro-cw or anti-cw? ...
>
> Where did I say you did?
> >
> >         Weird days when someone catches flack for saying they understand
> > another's viewpoint.
> >
> There are/were many hams who selfishly demanded that everybody
> be made to pass the same tests they did and they did it so
> stridently and successfully that Morse testing kept "CBrs" and
> others out of the hobby for decades after it had outlived any
> nonrecreational usefullness. Thanks to them, we failed to cash
> in on the CB craze and must now compete with the internet and
> other media for survival. Thank's to them we habe 100s (1000s)
> of "Freebanders" who should have been attracted to Ham DX but
> were instead turned into outlaws not supporters. Thanks to them
> our hobby may well die, but they don't care; they used code to
> keep "their bands" for themselves until *they* died or retired
> and who cares after that? Certainly not those selfish SOBs! All
> they ever really cared about was me, me and me!
>
> So IMHO "understanding their viewpoint" is like understanding"
> Hitler's viewpoint. I believe both are evil and deserve no
> "empathy".  YMMV.
>
> KA3DWW


 AQnd you, sir are a freakinng idiot. Go back up to 27 mhz.



From -1556095891256199799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 12:37:28 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide (was: Hmmmmmmmm)
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 19:35:32 GMT
Message-ID: <3af98be6.270028995@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net> <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com>
<3AF94919.7CD32597@crosslink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.111
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.111
X-Trace: 9 May 2001 14:31:41 -0500, 64.24.17.111
Lines: 82
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21500

On Wed, 09 May 2001 09:41:45 -0400, Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
wrote:
>
>Thanks for the insights! Technology, or rather the lack thereof,
>once demanded that anyone entering the hobby have certain skills
```

>and knowledge so it was completely reasonable to test for those
>skills and knowledge. The "need" for those skills and knowledge
>was real, not artificial, so it was palatable. Tests were a
>minor hurdle when one had to design and build their own station
>
That's going a bit too far. Novices didn't all "design and build"
their own complete stations, in fact very few did. Particularly we
didn't all build our own receivers in any big volume. We usually used
the cheap swl (read: crappy which meant developing operating skills)
receivers or modified WWII military surplus gear which usually took
some work to get running in ham service. All kinds of mods to rcvrs
and transmitters were very common, back to the midnite soldering iron.
Transmitters quite often were another story and often very simple, a
single 6L6 oscillator coupled to hunk of wire and off ya went. There
were no "heroics" at all but all of it added up to much more
ingenuity, technical involvement and operating skill than we normally
see in today's enry-level ham radio. Hell, parts-scrounging was a
art-form.
>
>- a reward and source of pride in itself - then use Morse to
>make contacts before building expensive "modulators".
>
Novices weren't allowed to use modulators on HF and ssb was coming
down the tracks like an express locomotive. I've never owned a plate
modulator.
>
>But what was normal and acceptable back then is incomprehensible
>today. Passing a test, particularly a Morse test, has become
>*the* primary hurdle now that one can buy a whole station and
>operate it with less skill than a typical video game.
>
THAT I agree with!
>
> Worse,
>much of the test, and especially code, no longer has any valid
>purpose. One can buy and operate a modern station without
>knowing the difference between a Hartley or Colpitts oscillator
>and without knowing a bit of Morse.
>
CW contesting is one of the fastest growing HF activities. There is no
resolution this endless circular argument. I pass.
>
> And even worse, certain
>selfish hams long used those artificial hurdles to keep others
>off "their bands".
>
"Selfish" hams don't foist any regulations on us, the regulations are
written by the FCC and the ITU who erected the "hurdles". Most of the
rest of the world's other country's seem to agree with FCC plus or
minus. Tell them about it.
>
>Progress is sad in a way. Whatever pride there is in buying an
>appliance station is tiny compared to having built your own gear

```
>in a time when not one person in 1000 could do so.
>
To hell with "pride" "Pride cometh before the fall." There's no way in
hell I'd trade my current collection of whizzy digital radios for that
utter CRAP I had to put up with when I was a Novice. My only point is
that the two good things I got out of having to deal with those crap
radios were the non-optional development of some technical smarts and
operating skills. I'm still exercising both today in profusion.
>
That's what today's newbies are missing and I think that's a major
loss to the differentiation between between the Amateur Radio Service
and all junk hobby radio which is out there now.
>
>Too bad new
>hams will never know that feeling but forcing them to memorize
>info they'll never use won't bring back those feelings; it only
>creates resentment.
>
Not my problem.
>
>73 .. KA3DWW
>
w3rv




From -6039976979090558336
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 12:49:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 92
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 May 2001 19:48:02 GMT
References: <3af9336e.247381230@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010509154802.05668.00000137@nso-dd.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21501

In article <3af9336e.247381230@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 08 May 2001 23:33:11 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>use of error-of-omission made the ARRL a self-proclaimed
>>hero.  That's bad journalism but very good political spin
```

>>doctoring. QED.
>>
>Which is exactly what is expected of PACs and other advocacy groups
>and is one of the reasons I'm an ARRL member.

If Kelly wishes to be told a "truth" (that is incomplete) then he is free to exist in ignorance.

As of about four years ago, the major source of current US amateur radio "news" was the ARRL Bulletin, W1AW transmissions (for those amateurs in good propagation areas, not universal among the 50 states), packet messages, and the beginning ARRL website. Less current were the publications, periodicals having about a two-month lag for "late-breaking" news but four to five months for regular articles. Unless one was in the communications law business or had connections through such a firm, or possibly visited the FCC reading room in DC, any other news came second, third, or n-multiple hand through other communications. That is where the ARRL excelled as a major purveyor of US amateur radio information.

Things have changed. US amateur radio news is now carried on many venues, some very current, some not. Examples: The new look to the QRZ website which is becoming a serious challenger to the ARRL home page news; Ham Radio On-Line, the RAIN Report, newsgroups, public access to the FCC, NTIA, Department of State by anyone with a modem and computer. Anyone so equipped can peruse the websites of CITEL, EURO, the ITU itself (within limits of what they make available free of charge to the public). The decade-old public-access Internet has opened up vast new areas of information which are free for the access. There is no longer a "need" to access a limited "official" membership organization but those who wish to be spoon-fed selected information are welcome to be so "informed."

Less than an hour's searching here uncovered an interesting bit of information on S25.5 relative to WRC-03:

An NTIA draft proposal for the US adminstration's position on ITU-R agenda item 1.7, dated 10 April 2001, states that the US administration should seek to "suppress" (eliminate S25.5). That is available in NTIA's document 1345 found as a link on the FCC's IB page, one of three such documents pertaining to WRC-03.

The NTIA explanation for this is their statement that the suppression of S25.5 was sought at WARC-95 but tabled (read 'stonewalled') by the IARU's request for coordination among IARU members due to their every-three-year meetings. [the IARU has no vote

at WARCs or WRCs, is technically an observer and
is also rather hand-in-glove with the ARRL] We now
know that the "policy" of the ARRL is to do "nothing"
about S25.5 according to the ARRL release of the
last policy meeting. Has the ARRL stated openly
that it requested tableing of the S25.5 through the
IARU? Did the IARU web page (at the ARRL site)
say so? How many radio amateurs are acquainted
with this bit of recent history in detail?

Note: The NTIA does not regulate any civil radio in
the USA but one of its chartered tasks is to
analyze and report on spectrum use by both civil
and governmental radio users. The NTIA has no
vested interest in amateur radio. The NTIA is part
of the US voting administration team at WRCs.
The ARRL and the IARU have no vote at WRCs.

Kelly is free to say anything he wants but it seems
rather odd that suppression or omission of facts by
the largest US amateur organization is a good
inducement to membership, PAC or SIG or whatever.

Is the receipt of "news" so written to be what the
membership wishes to hear a good thing? I don't
think so. It is certainly not accurate reporting.
Some would call it "mind control" but that is a bit
too strong for certain morse-codified members.
Let's call it "soothing syrup" verbiage for those who
will never need be concerned about tests or
"qualifications" in their lifetime...if they renew
every ten years.

```
From 7145731429480358865
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 13:05:40 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
<aFPJ6.43802$2_.15208823@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

<3af940c4.3558857@news.mindspring.com> <3AF94892.4F379230@IEEE.org>
<k5eK6.7868$vg1.645912@www.newsranger.com> <3AF98CA0.CCBBD5F4@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <RphK6.8218$vg1.685769@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 16:05:05 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 20:05:05 GMT
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news.bnb-lp.com!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21502

W6RCecilA declares...

>> >And Kennesaw, Ga, which has a law requiring every house to
>> >have a gun......

..clip, clip, clip.

> Would you break into a private residence in Switzerland where
> every male is required to.......

I wouldn't break into a private residence *anywhere*, so your question
is moot.

And both your assertations are pure bullshit anyhow, because I am
certain that in both cited locations there are laws restricting the
ownership of firearms away from any number of classes of innapropriate
citizens such as convicted 'violent' felons, mentally infirm, etc.,
as well as dispensations for religious or personal moral objections.

"Every house" in Kennesaw and "every male" in HB9 -- urban mythology,
Cecil, pure and simple!

Come on back when you have a believable story to tell -- this one is
a comical hoot, even below the low credibility standards of r.r.a.p.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From -4562270656665626190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 14:08:58 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 May 2001 21:07:53 GMT
References: <k5eK6.7868$vg1.645912@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <20010509170753.03931.00002298@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21503
```

>Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
>From: K0HB k0hb@arrl.org
>Date: 5/9/01 11:18 AM Central Daylight Time

>>And Kennesaw, Ga, which has a law requiring every house to
>>have a gun, has the lowest crime rate of any city its size
>>in the country. The crime rate dropped 89% after the passage
>>of that law.

>Sounds like an urban myth to me, but regardless, whoever
>voted for such a law is clearly about 8 inches taller than
>their blood supply.

Nope...archived facts. Located in northern Cobb County, GA, Kennssaw STILL has the lowest per-capita crime rate of almost any other municipality in the metro Atlanta area.

As for the law itself, seems to me that this clearly falls into the "ounce of prevention" negating the need for a "pound of cure". I seriously doubt that the majority of homes in that area really do COMPLY with the law, however I would not want to be the one to find out if they do or not!

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From 6300800523392433045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 14:13:45 PST
```

Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 May 2001 21:12:43 GMT
References: <3af5f3db.2766643@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <20010509171243.12759.00000845@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21504

In article <3af5f3db.2766643@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>Additionally, speed limits in many places are arbitrarily set
>low.

Often the "low" speed limit is due to accident experience, the presence of schools, high pedestrian/bicycle traffic, and unusual circumstances like a high senior citizen/handicapped population.

>The USA population routinely exceeds highway speed
>limits and the police don't care one bit.

In Radnor Township the police care A LOT!. No, I haven't been ticketed - because I know how much they care.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -6067502076919995506
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 14:13:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 May 2001 21:12:44 GMT
References: <3AF5F876.3918D41E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010509171244.12759.00000846@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21505

In article <3AF5F876.3918D41E@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> My only response: ROFLMAO!!! I clearly remember sitting at my TV set the
>> first time I heard the mysterious opening notes of the theme, and then
>heard
>> William Shatner utter those marvelous words, "Space...The Final Frontier."
>> I've been boldly going where no man has gone before ever since. I'm pretty
>> sure I've seen every episode of Star Trek and all of it's spin-off series
>many
>> times, and will see them many more times. If you're looking for something
>> to trip me up on, you'd better pick something else!
>
>What was the Morse code message in the Botany Bay episode?

Something about "fine Corinthian leather..."   ;-)

No, actually it was just "CQ". Kirk and Uhura could read it, of course.

Then there was the classic "City On The Edge Of Forever", where Spock has to "build a mnemonic memory circuit out of bear skins and stone knives" (or words to that effect).

But as good as that series was, the best TV to come out of the late '60s was the British miniseries "the prisoner".

Be seeing you!

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -2449571230063183259
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 14:14:07 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 21:11:19 GMT
Message-ID: <3af9abee.278230185@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af9336e.247381230@news2.dvol.com>
<20010509154802.05668.00000137@nso-dd.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.95
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.95
X-Trace: 9 May 2001 16:07:30 -0500, 64.24.19.95
Lines: 122
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
```

```
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21506

On 09 May 2001 19:48:02 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <3af9336e.247381230@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
>Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>
>>On 08 May 2001 23:33:11 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>>
>>>use of error-of-omission made the ARRL a self-proclaimed
>>>hero.  That's bad journalism but very good political spin
>>>doctoring.  QED.
>>>
>>Which is exactly what is expected of PACs and other advocacy groups
>>and is one of the reasons I'm an ARRL member.
>
>If Kelly wishes to be told a "truth" (that is incomplete) then
>he is free to exist in ignorance.
>
>As of about four years ago, the major source of current US
>amateur radio "news" was the ARRL Bulletin, W1AW
>transmissions (for those amateurs in good propagation
>areas, not universal among the 50 states), packet messages,
>and the beginning ARRL website.  Less current were the
>publications, periodicals having about a two-month lag for
>"late-breaking" news but four to five months for regular
>articles.  Unless one was in the communications law
>business or had connections through such a firm, or
>possibly visited the FCC reading room in DC, any other
>news came second, third, or n-multiple hand through
>other communications.  That is where the ARRL excelled
>as a major purveyor of US amateur radio information.
>
No kidding? Really?
>
>Things have changed.  US amateur radio news is now
>carried on many venues, some very current, some not.
>Examples:  The new look to the QRZ website which is
>becoming a serious challenger to the ARRL home page
>news; Ham Radio On-Line, the RAIN Report, newsgroups,
>public access to the FCC, NTIA, Department of State by
>anyone with a modem and computer.  Anyone so
>equipped can peruse the websites of CITEL, EURO, the
>ITU itself (within limits of what they make available free
>of charge to the public).  The decade-old public-access
>Internet has opened up vast new areas of information
>which are free for the access.  There is no longer a
>"need" to access a limited "official" membership
>organization but those who wish to be spoon-fed
```

>selected information are welcome to be so "informed."
>
Geez Lenny, I had no clue. Thanks a bunch (?!)
>
>Less than an hour's searching here uncovered an
>interesting bit of information on S25.5 relative to WRC-03:
>
Ya mean ya spent the best part of an hour dorking around with that?
>
>An NTIA draft proposal for the US adminstration's
>position on ITU-R agenda item 1.7, dated 10 April 2001,
>states that the US administration should seek to
>"suppress" (eliminate S25.5). That is available in NTIA's
>document 1345 found as a link on the FCC's IB page,
>one of three such documents pertaining to WRC-03.
>
Why in the name of God would a toothless frequency coordination
advisory group give a bleep about ham rado code tests?? Unless
somebody in that group has an "agenda" of course.
>
>The NTIA explanation for this is their statement that
>the suppression of S25.5 was sought at WARC-95
>but tabled (read 'stonewalled') by the IARU's request
>for coordination among IARU members due to their
>every-three-year meetings. [the IARU has no vote
>at WARCs or WRCs, is technically an observer and
>is also rather hand-in-glove with the ARRL]
>
Sounds to me like the IARU sandbagged NTIA. Works for me.
>
> We now
>know that the "policy" of the ARRL is to do "nothing"
>about S25.5 according to the ARRL release of the
>last policy meeting. Has the ARRL stated openly
>that it requested tableing of the S25.5 through the
>IARU? Did the IARU web page (at the ARRL site)
>say so? How many radio amateurs are acquainted
>with this bit of recent history in detail?
>
I sure as hell am.
>
>Note: The NTIA does not regulate any civil radio in
>the USA but one of its chartered tasks is to
>analyze and report on spectrum use by both civil
>and governmental radio users. The NTIA has no
>vested interest in amateur radio. The NTIA is part
>of the US voting administration team at WRCs.
>The ARRL and the IARU have no vote at WRCs.
>
You're really obsessed this NTIA outfit aren't you? Have you noticed
yet that nobody else in this NG gives a bleep about NTIA?
>
>Kelly is free to say anything he wants but it seems

```
>rather odd that suppression or omission of facts by
>the largest US amateur organization is a good
>inducement to membership, PAC or SIG or whatever.
>
>Is the receipt of "news" so written to be what the
>membership wishes to hear a good thing?  I don't
>think so.  It is certainly not accurate reporting.
>Some would call it "mind control" but that is a bit
>too strong for certain morse-codified members.
>Let's call it "soothing syrup" verbiage for those who
>will never need be concerned about tests or
>"qualifications" in their lifetime...if they renew
>every ten years.
>
Actually Lenny I had to giggle at it.
>
The simple reality here is that it increasingly seems like the ITU
won't bother addressing S25.5 just like they did last time and that
prospect has your knickers in a terminal twist.
>
Rant on Lenny.



From -5604055661808357258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 14:28:04 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 May 2001 21:27:16 GMT
References: <RphK6.8218$vg1.685769@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <20010509172716.03931.00002299@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21507

>Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 5/9/01 3:05 PM Central Daylight Time

(W6RCA)
>> Would you break into a private residence in Switzerland where
>> every male is required to.......

>I wouldn't break into a private residence *anywhere*, so your question
>is moot.
```

The question as it pertains to YOU is moot. The rest is fact. Every abled-bodied male in Switzerland has compulsory military training and maintains a weapon in thier home.

>And both your assertations are pure bullshit anyhow, because I am
>certain that in both cited locations there are laws restricting the
>ownership of firearms away from any number of classes of innapropriate
>citizens such as convicted 'violent' felons, mentally infirm, etc.,
>as well as dispensations for religious or personal moral objections.

I am sure there are "dispensations" for religious reasons, too. However, do YOU think that the person claiming such exclusion is going to put a sign on the door that says: "NO GUNS HERE DUE TO RELIGIOUS CONVICTIONS"...?!?!

PLEASE don't rob my neighbor who may have a firearm when it is SOOOOO much easier to rob me!

Yeah...right.

And felons and the "mentally infirmed" could care less about laws either way.

>"Every house" in Kennesaw and "every male" in HB9 -- urban >mythology, Cecil, pure and simple!

He didn't say that every house HAS firearms...just that it REQUIRES a firearm.

And every "able bodied" man, which I presume means those who are NOT convicted felons or "mentally infirmed", ARE required by Swiss law to undergo military training.

>Come on back when you have a believable story to tell -- this one is
>a comical hoot, even below the low credibility standards of r.r.a.p

And YOU come back when you get your head out of your butt and do a little bit of simple research. Facts, Hans...they are ALL facts.

Have fun with this one now, Hans!

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -4980672944530634885
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 14:30:16 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cletus.bright.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron Dayton" <dayt7676@bright.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<RzXJ6.483$E65.48606@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <989385340.92193@sj-
nntpcache-3> <2RaK6.10$8x4.1496@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: (WWFV jammed again?)
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <pqiK6.9049$Os.1269617@cletus.bright.net>
Date: Wed, 9 May 2001 17:13:57 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.143.29.180
X-Complaints-To: abuse@bright.net
X-Trace: cletus.bright.net 989442837 209.143.29.180 (Wed, 09 May 2001 17:13:57
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 17:13:57 EDT
Organization: bright.net Ohio
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21509 rec.radio.shortwave:33889
```

This guy's rantings are no more dangerous than the Clinton News Network's, the All 'Bout Clinton's, the Nothing But Clinton's, and the Clinton Broadcasting Sytem's rantings.

Ron

"Zippy the Pinhead" <kc4cucunbers@aol.com> wrote in message
news:2RaK6.10$8x4.1496@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

```
>
> "Ross Archer" <dogbert@mindless.com> wrote in message
> news:989385340.92193@sj-nntpcache-3...
> >
> > Zippy the Pinhead <kc4cucunbers@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:RzXJ6.483$E65.48606@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > Let's take a listen on 5.085 from 11PM - 12AM EST  this Sunday night
> > > and see if WWFV is jammed again.
> >
> > Why bother with D and F-student stuff like that
> > when there's so much that's infinitely superior
> > to listen to on shortwave?
>
> I agree with you 100% Ross. I just wanted to point out that
> the guy who's running KSM,UPR,whatever-he-calls-it Radio
> is a dangerous nutcase. All one has to do is listen to him and
> see this guy needs a heavy regimine of Zoloft or Prozac, if not
```

> complete incarceration in a mental health facility. I'm sure alot
> of people have reached this conclusion already. I also know
> that all his broadcast rantings are being taped. He'll be made
> an example of before too long. Check out the links below to
> see just who's behind this kind of radio
>
> http://www.splcenter.org/
>
> http://www.splcenter.org/intelligenceproject/ip-index.html
>
>

From 4208740854191723711
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 14:59:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 157
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 May 2001 21:58:45 GMT
References: <20010509154802.05668.00000137@nso-dd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010509175845.03931.00002300@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21510

>Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/9/01 2:48 PM Central Daylight Time

>If Kelly wishes to be told a "truth" (that is incomplete) then
>he is free to exist in ignorance.

Coming from you, I am sure this statement is made from a position of prior experience.

>As of about four years ago, the major source of current US
>amateur radio "news" was the ARRL Bulletin, W1AW
>transmissions (for those amateurs in good propagation
>areas, not universal among the 50 states), packet messages,
>and the beginning ARRL website.

AND "CQ" magazine

AND "Newsline"

AND "73" Magazine

AND "Worldradio"...

>Examples: The new look to the QRZ website which is
>becoming a serious challenger to the ARRL home page
>news; Ham Radio On-Line, the RAIN Report, newsgroups,
>public access to the FCC, NTIA, Department of State by
>anyone with a modem and computer. Anyone so
>equipped can peruse the websites of CITEL, EURO, the
>ITU itself (within limits of what they make available free
>of charge to the public).

"Challenger"...?!?! Nope. Just another source. The ARRL is a MEMBERSHIP organization, and it's forcus is first-and-foremost membership matters. The other sources you cite are not.

By the way, judging by the number of inaccurate assertions you make vis-a-vis Amateur related matters, it's obvious YOU don't peruse these sites, either.

>The decade-old public-access
>Internet has opened up vast new areas of information
>which are free for the access. There is no longer a
>"need" to access a limited "official" membership
>organization but those who wish to be spoon-fed
>selected information are welcome to be so "informed."

Thank you Lennie the Licenseless for determining what a membership-oriented organization has the right to do or not do.

>An NTIA draft proposal for the US adminstration's
>position on ITU-R agenda item 1.7, dated 10 April 2001,
>states that the US administration should seek to
>"suppress" (eliminate S25.5).

Well gee-willikers, Mr. Wilson, where have I heard THAT before? Seems some feller in Tennessee has been saying that all along, but YOU have been asserting it's NOT a "happening thing".

>The NTIA explanation for this is their statement that
>the suppression of S25.5 was sought at WARC-95
>but tabled (read 'stonewalled')

Jump to.....

>We now know that the "policy" of the ARRL is to do "nothing"
>about S25.5 according to the ARRL release of the
>last policy meeting. Has the ARRL stated openly
>that it requested tableing of the S25.5 through the
>IARU? Did the IARU web page (at the ARRL site)

>say so? How many radio amateurs are acquainted

There's been a 6 year jump there, Lennie...(you are pretty rotten at math, for an alleged engineer).

So the League's change of policy in that period of time is some sort of "cover-up" or "conspiracy"...?!?!

>with this bit of recent history in detail?

>Note: The NTIA does not regulate any civil radio in
>the USA but one of its chartered tasks is to
>analyze and report on spectrum use by both civil
>and governmental radio users.

Wrong. Civil AIr Patrol and Coast Guard Auxiliary communications ARE controlled by NTIA and both, despite their "paramilitary" programs, ARE civilian organizations....

>The NTIA has no vested interest in amateur radio.

Wrong again. NTIA works routinely with ARRL and other Amateur organizations on spectrum matters since many of our allocations are jointly occupied by federal users, specifically the 70cm band, among others.

>The NTIA is part
>of the US voting administration team at WRCs.
>The ARRL and the IARU have no vote at WRCs.

True, but all work together for EVERYONE'S mutual benefit.

>Kelly is free to say anything he wants but it seems
>rather odd that suppression or omission of facts by
>the largest US amateur organization is a good
>inducement to membership, PAC or SIG or whatever.

I am sure he welcomes your acceptance of his freedom to say what he wants...especially since YOU are pretty good at supression of certain facts yourself.

>Is the receipt of "news" so written to be what the
>membership wishes to hear a good thing? I don't
>think so.

The League doesn't inform us of "bad things" going on? Little LEO, broadcasting incursions, etc?

They only tell us what we want to hear? Hmmmm....Seems I have read a few things in there I NEVER asked for...

>It is certainly not accurate reporting.

How would YOU know? You have very specifically stated that you do not subscribe to any Amatuer related journals.

Guess your two coffee cup buddies pass on thier old copies of QST or 73 to you? THAT'S real timely.

Guess you're just torqued over the fact that YOU can't get on to the ARRL's website, depriving you of the opportunity to arm yourself with stuff to make more of your spite filled rhetoric for FREE.

>Some would call it "mind control" but that is a bit
>too strong for certain morse-codified members.

Here we go...Morse Code is the culprit.

>Let's call it "soothing syrup" verbiage for those who
>will never need be concerned about tests or
>"qualifications" in their lifetime...if they renew
>every ten years.

Regardless of any tests I or any other Amateur may or may not need to take, any and all changes to the Amateur Radio service DO impact all of us, Novice through Extra.

And at this point, none of them effect YOU.

Go figure.

From 6067905338072355007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 15:31:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net> <oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>

```
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
<aFPJ6.43802$2_.15208823@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af940c4.3558857@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <ozjK6.53391$2_.18875849@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 22:31:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989447508 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 09 May 2001 15:31:48
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 15:31:48 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21511 rec.radio.cb:32647

> National Motorists Association.  Indeed, this has
> been reported almost every year when the stats are
> announced.  It is something the lower speed limit
> proponents hate to hear.

Perhaps posting a link to a survey would be appropriate ...







From 3567710314753675557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 15:32:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
```

out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com>
<WUFJ6.38791$2_.13566909@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af7666c.2467862@news.mindspring.com>
<AzPJ6.43771$2_.15204332@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af93d7d.2720046@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 9
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <kvjK6.53382$2_.18867936@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 22:27:28 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989447248 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 09 May 2001 15:27:28 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 15:27:28 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23174
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8799 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2622
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2528 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13064
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21512 rec.radio.cb:32648

> The problem is that the safety nazis want everyone to abide by
> their version of what is or should be safe. None of that
> crowd trusts anyone as an individual.

That is because the rules are made for the masses not the individual. I doubtr any law says Mike Smith of Nowheresville can drive this speed And Betty Sue Timmins of Godknowswhere can drive this speed.

From -286852971463703061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 15:32:07 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<3af7672b.2658424@news.mindspring.com>
<HBPJ6.43783$2_.15205767@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af93e4c.2927339@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <AxjK6.53387$2_.18872602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 22:29:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989447392 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 09 May 2001 15:29:52
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 15:29:52 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23175
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8800 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2623
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2529 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13065
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21513 rec.radio.cb:32649
```

> That statement alone tells me you are very pro-government and
> have little or no respect for individual responsibility unless it is
> "enforced" by government. Pretty sad.

First thing ... we elect the gov't so why not trust them to some extent?

Next, when you consider people still do stupid things regardless of there being laws there, what do you think would happen if they are not?

```
From -6619054812786076300
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 15:34:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp2.aus1.giganews.com!nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!
news3.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF9B764.5AEEBD5A@mailcity.com>
From: GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com>
Organization: None
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.ra
dio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <X11I6.1360$aR4.401482@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
<3AF183FF.2EB4C568@bob.com> <3AF1B69C.4A28673E@mailcity.com>
<3AF1EC7E.D997F2B6@IEEE.org> <3AF1F495.4387880D@mailcity.com>
<3AF21EBA.25225B14@IEEE.org> <3AF23D4F.161058C@mailcity.com>
<3af96278_3@newsfeeds>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-GC-Trace: gv1-U9yWzTScCAYWb+/2Qf5FfqmvbQN1H5Gvf4KIzE5SnjooUM=
Lines: 20
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 17:34:07 CDT
X-Trace: sv3-UwW1F0U7lkXMBI8VIdVB9M6X2NBK7BgGf4Gq38FeLjo3gKdv/
NTLx5rnmMipxyrl+9KDN0Rj4MrlUul!BcWjZUJ+rOl/84S7rNfLJ6Xpi0Rq8OPiAWdVWIhLF/
EIW+588HpXwrNNrn6cqw==
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 22:34:07 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5640
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15975 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23176
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21514

Suggest you check with a lawyer, it isn't that simple. Homesteading and
imminent domain have nothing to do with it.

JWheeler wrote:
>
> That's not completely correct. I believe that the time limit is about 4
> years. If I went and 'established' myself on that land for long enough and
> no one said anything about it, then while I may have been there illegally in
> the beginning, I do have a legal right to be there, and the courts in Texas
> will back me up on it. I'm not sure if it falls under homestead or imminent
> domain, but it is the law, at least here in Texas. I know of people who
> have lost several feet off of their property line in this very same manner.
>
> JWheeler
> kd5bcu
> GG <nbc_red@mailcity.com> wrote in message n
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2206073657269243471
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 15:52:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<hiPJ6.9638$4f7.760778@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3af94403.4390156@news.mindspring.com> <CccK6.12038$4f7.968991@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3af98afe.1511242@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Lines: 95
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ySjK6.12934$4f7.1028140@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 22:52:14 GMT

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.191
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989448734 12.75.103.191 (Wed, 09 May
2001 22:52:14 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 22:52:14 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21515


"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3af98afe.1511242@news.mindspring.com...
> On Wed, 09 May 2001 14:09:38 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccollOMA@att.net> wrote:
>
> >"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> >news:3af94403.4390156@news.mindspring.com...
> >> On Tue, 08 May 2001 09:49:01 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
> >> <rmccollOMA@att.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> >> >> I understand that CW is required to conform to the ITU. When will
this
> >> >> archaic testing requirement be eliminated? Please do no misinterpret
> >this
> >> >> message. I am advocating elimination of code test. We should not
> >eliminate
> >> >> CW. IF CW is your thing, by all means go for it!
> >> >> -jim
> >> >
> >> >The stumbling block, if indeed that is what it is is, that the very
> >> >definition of an Amateur in the treaties requires Morse knowlege -
taken
> >> >these days to be 5wpm.
> >> >
> >> >The earliest this can be considered is 2003 and probably only then if
> >there
> >> >is general concensus among governments and amateur societies to do it.
> >> >
> >> >Given that it is done, and Congress does its thing, the rule-making
> >process
> >> >will take some more time.  Even if the treaty allows it, it is not a
> >given
> >> >that we will be right in line to do it (although that is probably the
way
> >to
> >> >bet).
> >> >
> >> >A good guess is 2005.  Morse Academy is at http://ah0a.org.
> >> >Dick N�BK
> >>
> >> If thetreaty changes in 2003, I predict the USA wil
> >> change within 6 months or less.  WRC 2003 is scheduled
```

> >> for June of 2003 as I recall.
> >> Bill K2UNK
> >
> >Could be. But part of the problem is that the FCC has exactly nothing to
> >gain, this time, by doing it.
>
> Sure they do, they gain simplified rules and a permanent end
> to the code test debate.
>
> >Given the emotion from both sides, I would
> >suspect they will let the rule-making process grind on.
>
> Given the simple rules change, I see a much shorter public
> response time-frame and if the treaty is changed, there will
> be an immediate RM request to have the FCC institute a
> rules change to get the process moving.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

We agree on the cause, we differ on the effect. Being that there is no budgetary gains to be made except perhaps by taking the opportunity to eliminate Advance, Plus, and Novice classes in their entirety, it would seem that the object of the exercise is to pluck the goose with minimum hissing.

There are some issues here: the present exams as Hans points out are really not adequate, there will be those ready to pounce to do everything from widening the phone bands to outlawing CW completely. Your own group opines in properly Yuppie language 'no protection for legacy modes'. The Commission will be inundated by RM petitions an hour and five minutes after the WRC chairs cool. To squelch debate by unilateral action is just not politically wise if you are the FCC. My congressman sits on the House Commerce committee with Billy Tauzin; you can depend he will know my feelings. So I expect the Commission to allow the debate to run its course; in the interest of getting it over with permanently.

Of course if the Commission uses the opportunity to correct a number of post-Restructuring stupidities and save itself the dinero from 3 license classes, the whole shebang is up for grabs.

There is, of course, the possibility that the code test will be ended by fiat leaving things in the breeze. I just don't think the Commission is that silly. The Amateur Service is too unimportant to expend the political capital on what is a fairly trivial and non time-essential issue.

Dick N�BK

From -5608762972417769414

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 16:02:01 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 May 2001 23:01:45 GMT
References: <XK%J6.11673$t12.870137@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010509190145.26800.00000185@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21516

>From: "JAMES HAMPTON" j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net

> My main concern has been the general dumbing down of
>requirements in general (both inside and outside of amateur radio).

Inside of Amateur Radio, the requirements have not been dumbed down since the days I took my exams. The entry level exam of my era was the Novice, containing 20 questions. The study guide for that exam was 4 pages. The entry level exam for today's era is the Technician. The exam contains, if memory serves, 30 questions, and the study guide for the exam is over 200 pages. For my General, I was given an exam that required a study guide of 16 pages. For that, I was given all Amateur priveleges. Today, to obtain the same, one must take the Tech, General and Extra, with about 500 pages of study guide.

I will add that when I studied those 16 pages, with studying being a combination of learning, memorizing and lucky guessing, I felt I had climbed a mountain.

> Any amateur that has been around and actually learned something should
> know something about grounds - and ground loops.

There were no questions about ground loops on the General exam I took in 1964.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3920048298951331409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 16:06:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
feed.cgocable.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Wed, 9 May 2001 18:02:56 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9dci9s$ri2$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<3af7672b.2658424@news.mindspring.com>
<HBPJ6.43783$2_.15205767@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af93e4c.2927339@news.mindspring.com>
<AxjK6.53387$2_.18872602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.96.d0
X-Server-Date: 9 May 2001 23:02:20 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23179
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8801 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2624
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2530 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13067
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21517 rec.radio.cb:32652
```

Well....there is one thing about it.....Ya just cain't fisk stupid.

Dan/W4NTI
"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:AxjK6.53387$2_.18872602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > That statement alone tells me you are very pro-government and
> > have little or no respect for individual responsibility unless it is
> > "enforced" by government. Pretty sad.
>
> First thing ... we elect the gov't so why not trust them to some extent?
>
> Next, when you consider people still do stupid things regardless of there
> being laws there, what do you think would happen if they are not?
>
>

From -8188404138448569312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 17:08:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <RphK6.8218$vg1.685769@www.newsranger.com>
<20010509172716.03931.00002299@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <QYkK6.219$bi2.18532@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 20:07:12 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 00:07:12 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21518

Cecil is a person who speaks clearly, and means what he says.

In both examples (Kennesaw, GA and Switzerland) he said "every", without
qualification or modifier.

What part of "every" does the jarhead not understand?

Cecils declarations are both ludicrous on their face!

Good luck on this one now, Jarhead.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 4853028702931952168
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 17:23:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF9DF70.AE143BED@att.net>
From: Tad Danley <taddanley@nospamatatt.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<iFtJ6.36148$2_.12331098@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67c43.749653@news.mindspring.com>
<lYFJ6.38806$2_.13576566@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af768d4.3083405@news.mindspring.com>
<aFPJ6.43802$2_.15208823@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af940c4.3558857@news.mindspring.com> <3AF94892.4F379230@IEEE.org>
<k5eK6.7868$vg1.645912@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 33
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 00:23:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.91.29.208
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989454182 12.91.29.208 (Thu, 10 May
2001 00:23:02 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 00:23:02 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21519

K0HB wrote:

> W6RCecilA declares:
>
> >And Kennesaw, Ga, which has a law requiring every house to
> >have a gun, has the lowest crime rate of any city its size
> >in the country. The crime rate dropped 89% after the passage
> >of that law.
>
> Sounds like an urban myth to me, but regardless, whoever
> voted for such a law is clearly about 8 inches taller than
> their blood supply.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

It's true, and the law worked. I lived in the Atlanta area for 8 years, and the law was well known there, but didn't get much publicity elsewhere. I'm willing to bet that if the law had produced an increase in accidental gun deaths, CNN (Clinton New Network) would have made sure everyone in the world knew about it.

http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2001/3/27/223955.shtml

73,

--
Tad Danley, K3TD
mailto:taddanley@att.net

Caution!
Anti-SPAM e-mail return address in use.

```
From 7855134489674161404
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 17:30:23 PST
Message-ID: <3AF9E0D2.DB2221B2@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 19:29:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <RphK6.8218$vg1.685769@www.newsranger.com>
<20010509172716.03931.00002299@ng-mp1.aol.com>
<QYkK6.219$bi2.18532@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 989454159 64.240.47.54 (9 May 2001 19:22:39 -0500)
```

```
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21520

K0HB wrote:
>
> Cecil is a person who speaks clearly, and means what he says.
>
> In both examples (Kennesaw, GA and Switzerland) he said "every", without
> qualification or modifier.
>
> What part of "every" does the jarhead not understand?
>
> Cecils declarations are both ludicrous on their face!

Gimme a break, Hans. You know I meant every qualified male.
Do you demand perfection in yourself or just in me?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 7409913340846964109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 17:35:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 464
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 00:35:01 GMT
References: <20010506154851.09228.00000606@nso-cc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
```

Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010509203501.18977.00000995@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21521

In article <20010506154851.09228.00000606@nso-cc.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>>>Note also that the excellent QRP EME work reported in another thread was
>>>>>>done by a couple of old-time 13/20 wpm code-tested upgraded hams.
>>>>>
>>>>>I believe that the knowledge they used to do so was not related to either
>>>>>the code tests or the written tests that they took.
>>>>>
>>>>"Not related?" Hard to say - the basics are all there. But the details of
>>>>what they did are quite far beyond the tests taken.
>>>>
>>>>Point is, in their case, the code test was not a "barrier" that kept out
>>>>"technically knowledgeable individuals" who would "advance the state of
>the
>>>>art".
>>>
>>>Project Diana achieved the first moonbounce on 10 January 1946
>>>from Camp Evans (later to become Evans Signal Laboratory), NJ,
>>>outside of Fort Monmouth, NJ. On 111.5 MHz.
>>
>>Yeah, Len, I read about that in 1964. They used a converted SCR-271 radar -
>>the same kind used at Pearl Harbor in 1941. Hardly "state of the art" in
>1946.
>
>Delete the word "converted" and insert "highly modified."

Why? Jack Mosefson wrote "modified", not "highly modified". The receiver was designed by Major Edwin H. Armstrong (ex-GS) who gave the world regeneration, superregeneration, neutralization, the superheterodyne, practical FM and FM stereo multiplex, and lots of other things. He also designed the 1BCG transmitter used in the 1921 Transatlantic Tests.

>The project was begun in September 1945, was
>experimental.

The project got its name and funding then. But as Mofenson writes in his article:

"Prior to entering the Signal Corps, Colonel DeWitt, who at that time was the chief engineer of Radio Station WSM in Nashville, designed and constructed transmitting and receiving equipment for the purpose of of receiving echoes from the moon. This equipment used substantially similar transmitter power and frequency to that used by the Signal Corps, but the attempt was a failure due to insuddicient sensitivity of the receiver. Colonel DeWitt's appreciation of the problem and personal supervision were the driving forces that made the present experiment successful."

DeWitt was better known to radio amateurs as W4ERI and W4FU. His pre-Signal

Corps work was done in May, 1940. Amateurs had a band at 112 Mc. then.

>There was not a great deal of funding
>for experiments in the immediate post-WW2 period.

There was enough to pay several good people to work on Project Diana.

>The 64-dipole "bedspring" antenna (24 dbi gain) was left
>over from wartime work.
>

The original antenna was only 32 dipoles. Attempts to bounce a signal using it failed. W3IYF (E.K. Stodola, head of the research section) and others in the research section decided that if the antenna size were doubled (by adding 32 more dipoles), the 6 dB path gain would be a decided advantage. It was with this enlarged antenna that successful echoes were heard.

>>111.5 Mc. is not an amateur frequency, and wasn't one in 1946. What does
>>Project Diana have to do with amateur EME?
>
>Project Diana is the first, repeat first, moonbounce
>demonstration.

SO? The discussion wasn't about who was first. I knew about Project Diana 36 or so years ago. I read Jack Gould's book.

> Someone had to be first. In this case
>the US Army Signal Corps was first.

Obvious. No one disputes that.

Does this mean that no other acomplishments in EME are worth talking about?

>
>>> For a technical
>>>explanation, block diagrams, photos, go to:
>>>
>>>   http://www.campevans.com/diarnof.html
>>
>>And you'll get "that page does not exist".
>
>True. I mistakenly typed "diarnof" instead of the
>correct "diamof." My error.

Admitting your errors is the first step, Len. It's a healthy thing. Now try this: If a Technician licensee passes Element 1, (5 wpm Morse code) and gets a CSCE for doing so, does that Technician licensee have any HF amateur radio privileges? Previously you said that Technicians don't have any HF - "CSCEs or no CSCEs". Was that earlier statement an error?

>
>For a technical explanation, block diagrams, photos,
>of the article "Radar Echoes From The Moon," by
>Jack Mofenson (apparently from an Electronics
>magazine article of 1946), go to:
>

>   http://www.campevans.com/diamof.html

Been there. Done that. The whole site is neat.
>
>>>Project Diana was done 55 years ago.
>>
>>So? It was a moon RADAR experiment, not a communications system.
>
>Not according to Jack Mofenson, one of the civilian experimenters.

Sorry, Len, that's another error.

The title of the Mofenson article is: "Radar Echoes From the Moon". Note the first word: RADAR.

>There was no need to use RADAR (or radar) to find the moon.
>Astonomers already knew were it was, is, would be.

Then why the word in the title? It wasn't a communications system.
>
>The whole point of Project Diana was to use the moon as a
>REFLECTOR of radio waves as a supplement to the irregular
>ionospheric conditions of HF.

That was only one of the purposes of the experiment, and it was pretty far down the list. Quoting Mofenson:

"The practical implications of RADAR CONTACT [my emphasis] with the moon are numerous. During the war the Germans used the V2 Rocket which climbed some 70 miles above the earth, and the future holds the unhappy prospect of missiles going far higher than this. The matter of transmission of radio signals to great distances above the earth for detection and control of such weapons becomes a problem of military importance. Further, the use of a reflector far beyond the earth for radio waves makes possible direct measurement of the ability of radio waves to penetrate the iononsphere. A more complete investigation in this direction is indicated. The possibility of using the moon as a reflector for a part-time long-distance point-to-point communication is also being considered, as well as using the moon as a target to measure field strength patterns."

Mofenson refers to the system as "radar" and says the result was "radar contact" and "radar echoes". Was he in error, Len, or you?

>This is clearly stated in Jack
>Mofenson's article copy on the (corrected) web address given.

Yes - it's clearly stated that it ewas a radar system, not a communications system.
>
>Note: One can get a link from www.campevans.com/diana.html
>to the Mofenson article copy...it is given near the bottom of the
>"/diana.html" page.

Been there, done that. Fun stuff.
>
>>...   How many taxpayer dollars were spent on that project?
>>What would that be in today's money?
>
>:-) How is that relevant except to open up yet-another thread
>of diatribe about "wasteful government spending?"

Did I say it was wasted? Not at all. Another one of your errors, Len, if you
think that way.

I think it was money well spent. Plus the use of an old obsolete prewar radar
set for a new use was typical ham radio ingenuity.
>
>Project Diana was done FIFTY FIVE YEARS AGO.

Then why bring it up? The discussion was about a couple of hams who were doing
2 way communications via EME using an exciting new mode and low power ("QRP"
means "low power" to hams, Len). Big parts of their rigs were homebrew, too,
like the software-defined 2 meter transceivers used to drive the transverters.
That transceiver was in QST.

> No doubt
>that somewhere in a warehouse there are records of
>accounting dating back to 1945-1946 concerning Evans
>Signal Corps Laboratory (part of the triad of Coles-Evans-
>Squire Labs that I passed many times just outside of
>Fort Monmouth, NJ, in 1952).

How is that relevant? The point is that the government spent taxpayer dollars
and supplied lots of resources far beyond those available to the radio amateurs
of that time.
>
>If OLD records are "so important," why not go into a separate
>thread on the wanton waste of WW2 radios that were sold as
>surplus to civilians beginning in 1946.

How was it "wanton waste" to sell them to civilians? Civilians paid for them to
be developed and manufactured. They were no longer needed. Lots of stuff was
sold as surplus back then, not just radio sets. Much of it had been built for
the invasion of Japan, and became surplus when Japan surrendered.

>"Command Sets" and
>BC-358s were very popular items back then

BC-358s? I don't know that set. I've owned BC-348-Rs and a BC-342-N, and even a
BC-14. I know lots of other common surplus stuff but not the BC-358.

Could it be that you made a mistake and meant BC-348?

>...to hams that
>were in existance then.

And for many years afterward. Until a few years ago they were common
flea-market items, available for a few dollars.
>
>How many taxpayer dollars were spent on those surplus
>Command Sets where brand-new-in-the-carton receivers
>could be bought for $6, transmitters for $12, antenna-
>coupler and modulators for $18?

I don't know - probably millions. The military decided they were not worth
warehousing and auctioned them off as surplus just to get rid of them. Anyone
could bid on the various surplus lots. Was that a bad thing?

The point I was making was that the SIgnal Corps team members were paid, and
did not spend their own money on their equipment . Hams are not paid, and they
have to finance their own stations in most cases. BIG difference.
>
>>Wrong. The mode used was OOK CW. The echoes were heard as beeps. Had >there
>been a second station, communication could have been done by Morse.
>
>What would be the object of using morse codings in a REFLECTOR
>experiment?

Communication. But it wasn't a communication experiment.

>The original "keyer" was mechanical, later replaced
>with an "electronic keyer" variable over a 0.2 to 2.0 second "on"
>time.

Yes, I know. What of it?

> That's not the highly-touted/prized/triumphantly-presented
>greater-than-20-WPM copy-in-the-head sort of environment said to
>be the ultimate achievement in amateur radio (according to PCTAs).

What ARE you blubbering about, Len?
>
>>No Morse was used because no communication was done. There was no second
>>station to communicate with.
>
>Yes, and Heinrich Hertz, "fooling around in a lab" never pioneered
>anything about EM waves, either. :-)

Who said that? Not me.

> [but he did use Spark]

So?
>
>>> The US Army Signal Corps personnel,
>>>including civilians of Evans Signal Labs, didn't need amateur radio
>>>licenses or passing code tests to make them "qualified."
>>
>>Who said they did? We're talking about amateur radio, not the

>>military-industrial complex.
>
>"MILITARY-INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX" in 1946?!?!?   :-)   :-)   :-)

Yep. The term was not used then, of course, but that's what it was. Do you know
who made up the phrase?
>
>>Those Diana folks had a some hams involved, though - all code tested at at
>>least 13 wpm:
>>
>>Lt. Col John H. DeWitt, officer-in-charge, W4ERI, ex-W4FU
>>E.K. Stodola, head of the lab's Research Section, W3IVF
>>F. Elacker, Mechanical Engineer, ex-W2DMD
>>H.P.Kaufmann, W2OQU was also involved at a high level.
>
>Oh, wow, yes, cribbing from a later ham publication source.

Is the information incorrect? Were the above not radio amateurs?

Where do you think I got the above information, Len?
>
>Oddly, the Jack Mofeson article copy doesn't mention ham
>licenses.

No, it doesn't, even though all of the above had them. What's your point?

>The OIC, Col. DeWitt, is described as "former
>chief engineer of WSM."

He was also W4ERI and ex-W4FU.

>Of course, McGraw-Hill's
>Electronics biweekly wasn't oriented towards amateurs. :-)

Biased against them, I'd say. Like you, Len.
>
>>They used power levels 9 dB above those permitted to amateurs at the time,
>>and an antenna that was quite beyond "backyard construction". They had lots
>of
>>resources.
>
>Scrap. Left-overs.

Swords into plowshares. Typical ham radio ingenuity and resourcefulness. Works
for me.

>Evans Lab didn't erect that tower to do
>Diana...it was already there as was the bedspring.

The big antenna needed to be doubled in size to get the job done.

And most hams don't have stuff like that available.
>

>>Lt. Col. DeWitt, W4ERI, was the driving force behind the whole idea, which
>he
>>first began working on in 1940.
>
>Really? Mofeson's article says that Project Diana "began" in
>1945.

It also says:

"Prior to entering the Signal Corps, Colonel DeWitt, who at that time was the chief engineer of Radio Station WSM in Nashville, designed and constructed transmitting and receiving equipment for the purpose of of receiving echoes from the moon. This equipment used substantially similar transmitter power and frequency to that used by the Signal Corps, but the attempt was a failure due to insuddicient sensitivity of the receiver. Colonel DeWitt's appreciation of the problem and personal supervision were the driving forces that made the present experiment successful."

> Mofeson must be in error then, right?

No, Len, you are the one in error. Again. See what Jack Mofeson actually wrote.
>
>>>Have NCTAs claimed that the code test was a "barrier" for the
>>>"technically knowledgeable?"
>>
>>Yes. Many, many times.
>
>Error. Faulty memory.

Truth. Actual claims.
>
>Firstly, there is NO valid necessity for a code test except in the
>personally-possessive, do-it-like-I-did-it attitude of the PCTAs.

The FCC thinks differently. Tell it to them.
>
>Secondly, the code test TURNS AWAY those, certainly those
>with previous radio experience, from bothering with a license
>test involving morse code.

That's just another way of making the claim that the code test was a "barrier" for the "technically knowledgeable?"
>
>>Nonsense. It has been a rallying call of many nocodetest advocates.
>
>Delusion, rationalization.

That's what you're doing all right, Len.

> The FCC said that morse code
>ability was not of use in determining licensing of radio
>amateurs. In 1990 as well as in 1999.

No, they didn't. They said it was a test of morse code skill, nothing more or less, and was still required because of international treaty. They dismissed all other reasons for continuing the code tests.
>
>Is the FCC guilty of some "rallying call?" I don't think so.

I have no idea what that is supposed to mean.
>
>>By your standards, no radio amateur has ever done anything of technical
>value
>>in radio, Len.
>
>Error. Incorrect. False charge.

Nope. A valid opinion based on reading many of your posts here over the past 3-1/2 years.
>
>Insofar as the ENTIRE RADIO WORLD is concerned, the state-
>of-the-art of all of radio has NOT been much improved since the
>early US amateur radio operators were reallocated below 200m
>wavelengths.

Really? I don't think so.

>The resulting proof of long-distance propagation
>prompted more research into HF by academics, industry, and
>the military.

Yup. Hams led the way then. They still do, in some ways. Has any other radio service used the moon for 2 way long distance terrestrial communication?

> Radio astronomy got its essential start through
>the efforts of an experimenter who was also a radio amateur.

Yep - I know.

>[radio astonomy has not yet proved to be "communications"
>in the N2EY absolute definition...:-)]

What does that mean?
>
>>I already know when all three of the above were done by hams. Do you?
>
>No.

Go look it up.

>I've only sent commands to a station on the moon and
>received a "reply" from that. :-)

So? The Soviet Union did that first. Path loss to a device on the moon is far less than for EME.
>

>>Only the 2-way QSO used loaned equipment, in the form of rusty old surplus
>>dishes and a high power tube or two. They used available resources. They
>were
>>not paid for their efforts.
>
>So...it's okay to use "available resources" if they are amateurs,
>but it's "military-industrial complex" stuff if done by pros?   :-)

Exactly. Big difference.
>
>>They used Morse code for the 2-way QSO, too. Is that the problem?
>
>Why does N2EY ask?   :-)   :-)   :-)

Because you seem to denigrate anything hams do. You compare self-funded
spare-time experimenters to taxpayer-funded professionals and have nothing but
contempt for the former and praise for the latter.
>
>>What does any of this have to do with the excellent achievement of W7SZ and
>>W7LHL? Nobody claimed their achievement was the first EME contact.
>
>Someone had to be first.

Is the one who does it first the only one that matters?

>Were these two radio amateurs the
>first amateurs to do moonbounce? [excuse me, EME in the
>correct parlance] Were they they first ever to prove the earth's
>moon could be a radio wave reflector?

No on both. But they probably set a new record for doing so with minimal
equipment. A pioneering achievement.
>
>>Have any of those paid professional " technically knowledgeable individuals"
>>managed 2 way EME radiocommunication using low power (<10 watts), and
>>modest
>antennas (<2 meter dishes)?
>
>I don't know, suppose that N2EY tells me.   :-)

I thought you knew everything about radio, Len. Guess I was mistaken.

N2EY

From -3124752211182865175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 17:45:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!

audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 75
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 00:44:38 GMT
References: <3af95657.256319869@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Message-ID: <20010509204438.09228.00000676@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21522

In article <3af95657.256319869@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On Wed, 09 May 2001 14:10:36 GMT, k0hb@arrl.org wrote:
>
>>Old Biker says:
>>
>>>Progress is sad in a way. Whatever pride there is in buying an
>>>appliance station is tiny compared to having built your own gear
>>>in a time when not one person in 1000 could do so. Too bad new
>>>hams will never know that feeling but forcing them to memorize
>>>info they'll never use won't bring back those feelings; it only
>>>creates resentment.
>>
>I agree, been a *long* time since I actually built a radio but the
>technical skills & insights I gained back then still holds me in good
>stead when I have to do the soldering iron to fix some glitch or
>another. I just dove into an MFJ tuner and butchered it with the
>soldering iron and some junk parts to get it working on 160. How many
>of today's button-pushers would have clue one?

Oh, goodie, an old-timer who can swing a 100 W American
Beauty to solder two wires together. A real "clue" that one.

How is the "clueful" one on surface mount technology?
Can he "butcher" some SMDs in the same manner?

Gotta love this...all sorts of tales of surplus and Novices
doing the midnight thing with irons to do "all those mods."
Most of it is bullsh*t. Most Novices way back when
didn't have a clue. They were clueless. Some learned
more...other than soldering skills. Some dropped out.
Some stayed in, got other class licenses. Some
stayed in for life and remained clueless to the very end,
loudly proclaiming their "expertise."

>The techncal tests promote education which is a worthwhile objective
>and they've proven many times to be to the benefit of the ham. Even if
>they to have to get off their butts and learn something. God forbid.

FCC tests are just regulatory tools for the FCC. They aren't "technical tests" of any academic kind.

>Of course the educational benefits are are not the reason for the FCC
>technical tests.

Really?!? Did the nature of the FCC's regulatory task finally sink in? Good for Kelly.

>They're out there to at least take at a poke at
>minimizing the amount of damage hams can do with their broad technical
>freedoms to occupy spectrum space with Lord knows what all these
>days.

The FCC knows "Lord knows what" in their OET. Check 'em out. [God forbid any Extra actually learning something...?]

>Considering the huge advances in technology over the years there
>is much more potential today for technical no-clues to generate
>mischief than there was back then.

Kelly can get on the amateur 2 mm band and "mess up" 7 GHz of spectrum bandspace if he wants. Or experiment. Or communicate. Even in CW. He is "qualified" even if a total noclue on bands above 70 cm.   :-)

>Tighten up the writtens . .

The QPC does the question and answer generation nowadays. The FCC merely specifies the number of questions per element and minimum number in the pools.

Contact the nearest neighborhood QPC and tell them how to do things in no uncertain terms! I'm sure they will appreciate being told all about how amateur radio testing should be done.

From 3952429734412725712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 17:45:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 122
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 00:44:40 GMT
References: <ySjK6.12934$4f7.1028140@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler

Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010509204440.09228.00000677@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21524

In article <ySjK6.12934$4f7.1028140@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net> writes:

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:3af98afe.1511242@news.mindspring.com...
>> On Wed, 09 May 2001 14:09:38 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
>> <rmccollOMA@att.net> wrote:
>>
>> >"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> >news:3af94403.4390156@news.mindspring.com...

>> >> If the treaty changes in 2003, I predict the USA wil
>> >> change within 6 months or less. WRC 2003 is scheduled
>> >> for June of 2003 as I recall.
>> >> Bill K2UNK
>> >
>> >Could be. But part of the problem is that the FCC has exactly nothing to
>> >gain, this time, by doing it.
>>
>> Sure they do, they gain simplified rules and a permanent end
>> to the code test debate.
>>
>> >Given the emotion from both sides, I would
>> >suspect they will let the rule-making process grind on.
>>
>> Given the simple rules change, I see a much shorter public
>> response time-frame and if the treaty is changed, there will
>> be an immediate RM request to have the FCC institute a
>> rules change to get the process moving.
>
>We agree on the cause, we differ on the effect. Being that there is no
>budgetary gains to be made except perhaps by taking the opportunity to
>eliminate Advance, Plus, and Novice classes in their entirety, it would seem
>that the object of the exercise is to pluck the goose with minimum hissing.

The FCC Budget is now released. Where is the evidence that
any "budgetary gains" were to be made by not simplifying and
restructuring?

>There are some issues here: the present exams as Hans points out are really
>not adequate, there will be those ready to pounce to do everything from
>widening the phone bands to outlawing CW completely.

The FCC merely specifies the number of questions per test
element and the minimum number of possible questions in
the pool. If there is a dispute with the type, kind, quality of
questions, please contact your friendly neighborhood QPC.

The greatest fear seen is the paranoia over "outlawing CW

completely." False fear...but it seems the only possible
rejoinder to eliminating the code test.

>Your own group opines
>in properly Yuppie language 'no protection for legacy modes'.

What is "properly Yuppie language?" Please educate the
audience with some examples so that all may share the
wisdom of a few.

Radiotelephone is a "legacy mode," is it not? Is there any
test for radiotelephone skills in a separate operator test?
Has there ever been one? How about "legacy modes"
other than CW?

>The
>Commission will be inundated by RM petitions an hour and five minutes after
>the WRC chairs cool. To squelch debate by unilateral action is just not
>politically wise if you are the FCC.

More "insider" information. Amazing the depth and breadth of
wisdom among the old-timers.

>My congressman sits on the House
>Commerce committee with Billy Tauzin; you can depend he will know my
>feelings.

This sounds suspiciously to a modified schoolyard challenge:
"My congressman can beat your congressman!"   :-)

All US citizens are free to contact their elected representatives
in the Congress. Even now, before the IWGs are finished
"advising."

>So I expect the Commission to allow the debate to run its course;
>in the interest of getting it over with permanently.

It is normal in all US radio services for the FCC to issue an NPRM
on any regulation changes, inviting Comments. The FCC will then
study all Comments, making all public record, then decide in the
following R&O. All of it public. Why would there be any change
from that procedure?

>Of course if the Commission uses the opportunity to correct a number of
>post-Restructuring stupidities and save itself the dinero from 3 license
>classes, the whole shebang is up for grabs.

Please explain the "post-restructuring stupidities."

>There is, of course, the possibility that the code test will be ended by
>fiat leaving things in the breeze. I just don't think the Commission is
>that silly. The Amateur Service is too unimportant to expend the political
>capital on what is a fairly trivial and non time-essential issue.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, cynical-chic to the bone. :-)

Is a group of 680 thousand US citizens "trivial?" What "political capital" expenditures are done in any radio service? Part 15 radio services? Part 90 radio services? Part 95 radio services? Part 97 radio services?

The FCC must consider the wishes of the citizenry. All of them. On any radio service. Since 1934 they have managed a fair job of that, all things considering. The FCC is no longer swayed by an almost-monopolistic ARRL influence, something they learned with the 98-143 NPRM.

Problem is that some old-timers who got nice little federal merit badges and "credentials" are now throwing hissy-fits because of loss of status. The TS card punching line starts over there to the right...

From 7861227841344759831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 17:45:50 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 92
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 00:44:41 GMT
References: <3af9abee.278230185@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010509204441.09228.00000678@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21523

In article <3af9abee.278230185@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

[on WRC-03 agenda/info searching]

>Ya mean ya spent the best part of an hour dorking around with that?

Not "dorking around." I'm involved with two other radio services. WRC-03 may change that, may not. Since WRC-03 may or may not change S25, S1, or S17, that information was available.

Do I understand Kelly that he wants amateur information
pre-digested, sorted, and sanitized for his amateur tummy?

[on the NTIA being involved in WRC-03]

>Why in the name of God would a toothless frequency coordination
>advisory group give a bleep about ham rado code tests?? Unless
>somebody in that group has an "agenda" of course.

The NTIA is PART of the US administration representation
at WRC-03. Neither the ARRL nor the IARU has any voting
capability at WRCs.

The NTIA is not "toothless." They coordinate government
frequency use and also act in concert with the FCC on
spectral allocations for various radio services. Only some
long-timers, clueless because of being fed pre-digested
information are "toothless."

[on the NTIA draft for WRC-03 in document 1345]

>Sounds to me like the IARU sandbagged NTIA. Works for me.

Gum it all you want if it makes your mouth better.

The IARU appears to have pulled a nice bit of sandbagging
of the code test issue. "They needed to coordinate with
other members." For three years. Involved in communications.
The US administration, more concerned with other radio
issues, was either unconcerned or got voted down at WARC-
95...full minutes aren't available to non-subscribers at the ITU.

Please consult with the ARRL-IARU. The ARRL got into
co-chair position in IWG-6 at which Paul Rinaldo seems a
"permanent presenter." Both are ARRL troops.

Agenda anyone? It is not cynicsm to see the "loading" at
an IWG of the FCC IB, coincident with a serious error of
ommission of IWG news at the ARRL home page.

[on the code test issues since WARC-95]

>I sure as hell am.

You should be now, but past public statements of Kelly
are to the contrary.

>You're really obsessed this NTIA outfit aren't you? Have you noticed
>yet that nobody else in this NG gives a bleep about NTIA?

Line up behind McCollum...I'll punch Kelly's TS card as
soon as possible. Whether or not anyone in this newsgroup
"gives a bleep about NTIA" is irrelevant. All who are interested

in the future of any radio service should be aware of their existance and charter. Those who "don't give a bleep" can go gum their pre-digested information and have tummy aches when they don't get the right information vitamins.

The voting members of the US adminstration at WRCs consist of Department of State, NTIA, and FCC personnel. Neither the ARRL nor IARU have any voting privileges. They are observers and "advisers," nothing more.

>The simple reality here is that it increasingly seems like the ITU
>won't bother addressing S25.5 just like they did last time and that
>prospect has your knickers in a terminal twist.

Wrong. My "knickers are twisted" by toothless old-timers who let a Big Brother do all the controlling, arranging, and information handling so that they can enjoy a hobby activity that seems to consist more about putting down "noclue inferiors." Chew on that.

Now go and invent more war stories of your struggles in first adventures with amateur radio, social interactions, and over-exaggerated amateur technology tales of the fifties. Don't worry, I'll correct you on technical gaffes such as "24 VDC" military jeeps in WW2 or "lots of mods needed for WW2 radio surplus."   After all, someone has to clue in the toothless. Chew, chew.

From -6649353064376333143
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 17:50:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: 10 May 2001 00:16:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9dcmll0ltl@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3AF47113.567ABF1A@IEEE.org> <9d4srq$3ss$1@news.netmar.com>
<9d60c42eae@enews2.newsguy.com> <3AF78D06.D9D7D38A@sccoast.net>
<9da4ht01d3t@enews1.newsguy.com> <3AF8A830.5F8DA114@sccoast.net>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-483.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15977
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21525
```

```
In article <3AF8A830.5F8DA114@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>
>> stewartx@sccoast.net says...
>> >
>> >  Since we don't live in that time, your
>> > question doesn't really mean
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>> Your response is a cop-out.
>
>
>  No, my response is reality.
```

Then let's move things up about one hundred years. Does the name Rosa Parks strike a chord, or is that too far out of your definition of reality as well?

```
From 6459832679935574132
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 18:17:09 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 01:16:48 GMT
Message-ID: <3af9e9b9.294046737@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net> <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com>
<3AF94919.7CD32597@crosslink.net> <wdcK6.7632$vg1.625098@www.newsranger.com>
<3af95657.256319869@news2.dvol.com> <3AF96559.72A0E8C0@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.152
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.152
X-Trace: 9 May 2001 20:12:57 -0500, 64.24.17.152
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21526

On Wed, 09 May 2001 10:42:17 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>
>Unfortunately, my technical skills don't do a thing to help my
>inherited tremors. I'm dangerous with a soldering iron.
>--
That really stinks, Cecil. But you did great with the straight key on
that memorable evening when we rrap to the airwaves. My ex is coming
up with a nasty dose.
>
I recently had to dive into a surface-mount board with a pen iron, my
first experience with one of those boards. OhMigawd . . ! Ya need four
hands and a microscope. Nobody over 30 should even think about it.
>
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv

From 872405984174938103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 18:23:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com (MailfrmPA)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 01:23:11 GMT
References: <20010509204440.09228.00000677@nso-cc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010509212311.26481.00003192@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21527

In my opinion the hidden cause is our being a signer of the CEPT agreement.
This excuse was already used as a reason to water down the code speed, as it
would make the U.S. require a test in speed different than the other CEPT
signers.

So, as soon as one of the other signers rolls over on the code, the U.S. will
follow without any PRM or comment period.

K0MB
former ham

From -822930240155229237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 18:30:24 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ySjK6.12934$4f7.1028140@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <20010509204440.09228.00000677@nso-cc.aol.com>
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <QamK6.13456$4f7.1054459@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 01:30:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.177.248
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989458224 12.88.177.248 (Thu, 10 May 2001 01:30:24 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 01:30:24 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21528

Good thought, listen to the citizens. Hmmm... lemme see, maybe there could be some MONEY in this idea. The FCC could sell free freebanders' licenses to Crackerjax, which in turn would triple their price on a box of Crackerjax and state that one out of 50 boxes of Crackerjax would contain a free FCC license to operate anywhere you want. Nice. As far as 'outmoded' modes go, you should probably go past CW and AM and throw in sideband also. It's been around since before the 50's. I recall about 20 years ago some companies (I believe in California) started increasing paid annuity pensions for men since they didn't live as long (ie; the companies were contributing more to the women with the same pay and length of service). The women's groups threw a fit and this was changed. I noticed in our local paper last week a woman is going to her congresswoman since her husband passed away. Seems he was a fitness nut, jogged, was a vegetarian, and was in excellent health; therefore, he did not buy down his annuity (I believe it was a bit over $3000 per month) for a joint survivorship. Then he developed cancer (after retirement) and passsed away. She now has nothing (except Social Security). I wonder why he didn't take out a survivorship on his annuity? A friend of mine retired some years back and I asked what he did - he had his wife sign off on the joint survivorship because it would have reduced the pension a HECK of a lot. Her life expectancy was considerably longer than his even though both were in good health. He decided on a big life insurance policy. He will find out the downside of this if he lives into his eighties. If Jane Doe and John Smith each work for the same company for the same number of years at the same job, both will have the same annuity - UNTIL they find out about the joint survivorship. If Mr. Doe and Mrs. Smith are to be covered with a survivorship, the Smith's annuity will be reduced more than the Doe's annuity simply because they figure what the single annuity is worth and then reduce it using actuary tables. What does this have to do with the thread? Just be careful of what you are wishing for, you might just get it.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

```
From 1580858284925327820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 18:52:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF9E5C4.8E0C8029@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <ySjK6.12934$4f7.1028140@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010509204440.09228.00000677@nso-cc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 01:52:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.192
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989459523 63.17.223.192 (Wed, 09 May
2001 18:52:03 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 18:52:03 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 18:50:26 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21529
```

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Problem is that some old-timers who got nice little federal merit badges and "credentials" are now throwing hissy-fits because of loss of status. The TS card punching line starts over there to the right...

Yeah, I believe it is next to the line for the amateur radio op

wannabees. If you couldn't make a go of the "Extra out of the box" at
the 5 wpm level, maybe your chance will come in a couple of years.
Personally, I doubt you'll be entering amateur radio in this lifetime.

Why are you throwing hissy fits, Leonard H.--no merit badges or
credentials?

Dave K8MN

From -6302133282922259242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 19:47:45 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <RphK6.8218$vg1.685769@www.newsranger.com>
<20010509172716.03931.00002299@ng-mp1.aol.com>
<QYkK6.219$bi2.18532@www.newsranger.com> <3AF9E0D2.DB2221B2@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <QinK6.405$bi2.30543@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 22:47:12 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 02:47:12 GMT
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news.bnb-lp.com!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21530

In article W6RCecilA says...

>Gimme a break, Hans. You know I meant every qualified male.
>Do you demand perfection in yourself or just in me?

C'mon Cecil, I tried to get a clarification. When I challenged
your assertion about EVERY home that Georgia town, you not only
affirmed it, but then added the story about EVERY male in Switzerland.

Since you are notorious for dictionary-whipping others here on
rrap, I assumed you meant exactly what you said, especially after
I questioned the first example.

BTW, I've only been wrong one time in my life -- that's the time
I thought I was wrong but it turned out I wasn't. <BSEG>

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From -8418478288743409l0
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 19:58:09 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.tele.dk!212.74.64.35!colt.net!
dispose.news.demon.net!demon!skynet.be!newspump.sol.net!169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!
posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.corecomm.net!reader2-
nwblwi.news.corecomm.net!not-for-mail
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 21:51:19 -0500
From: "David G. Nagel" <nagel@core.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <9daf1i$ojs$0@208.207.71.137>
<9daha3$phl@freepress.concentric.net>
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3afa0252$0$42883$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Organization: CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL
NNTP-Posting-Host: b01b4432.news.corecomm.net
X-Trace:
DXC=IFJm_HV1<mb=>N\5^GS<ljZacKj`b3Y4hbo1K;GlJd>kdAcKco4nOkjh61F4nNV[mjL^:NkYe\2ViO
Me<HKA1FNn
X-Complaints-To: abuse@corecomm.net
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23214
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8811 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2625
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2531 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13069
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21531 rec.radio.cb:32679



"John Goller, K9UWA" wrote:
>
> In article <9daf1i$ojs$0@208.207.71.137>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >but I live in a state
> >where the Democratic machine routinely steals elections in metropolitian
> >areas, and gets away with it.
>
> Direct Quote from "Mayor Daley" ...Vote Early and Vote Often!
> John k9uwa
And stop by the cemetery on your way to the poles...

Dave WD9BDZ


From -6642329162086633251
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 19:58:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 111
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 02:57:38 GMT
References: <20010509203501.18977.00000995@nso-fa.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010509225738.20174.00000289@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21532

In article <20010509203501.18977.00000995@nso-fa.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:
```

[large snip from a "correction" posting, another "endless story" type]

>>>They used Morse code for the 2-way QSO, too. Is that the problem?
>>
>>Why does N2EY ask?   :-)   :-)   :-)
>
>Because you seem to denigrate anything hams do. You compare self-funded
>spare-time experimenters to taxpayer-funded professionals and have nothing
>but contempt for the former and praise for the latter.

Really? :-)   I was commenting on the 1946 Project Diana experiment as the first demonstration of the capability of the moon as a radio wave reflector.

N2EY wishes to have fame of amateurs noted above such experiments because those experiments were done by "tax-payer funded professionals." [hack, spit, ptui on pros]

N2EY bristles with righteous anger at anyone not of the amateur persuasion disturbing the self-delusions of radio pioneering. No problem. There's been precious little pioneering in radio by radio amateurs since WW2. Only the ones I named in a previous post...and those might be arguable. "Pioneering" is one of the chief articles of faith in an organization's publications, but the pioneering actually done was over a half century in the past. [Project Diana was done 55 years ago]

Why the antipathy demonstrated against professionals? In here. Elsewhere. Why?

Perhaps it is because all of radio is interesting as a hobby to those with a technical bent. Amateur radio is a hobby. Some like to immerse themselves so deeply that amateur activities become a lifestyle. That is okay with me, but why the constant anger and resentment against professionals [of the "military-industrial complex" greedily consuming hard-earned tax dollars of ordinary Americans?] for not wanting to share some obsessive-compulsive complex?

It certainly seems so in here. On one side are the stuffy ultra-conservatives extremely defensive in the preservation of the hobby started in their youth, holding to the status quo with all their might, fighting all usurpers, all the heretics threatening their belief systems. On the other side are those who want some freedom, lesser restrictions than the "official" viewpoints, even rebels who don't hesitate to stomp on old, outmoded ways. This other side views amateur radio as just a hobby. The first side seems to think that it is more than a hobby, it is a lifestyle that must be followed at all costs, holding rigidly to tradition and belief. The first side wants to lock in all possible hobby activities in unchangeable law. The second side thinks of it as just a hobby done for relaxation...with as much freedom as possible.

I am not going to argue endless fly-speck details of Project Diana. Yes, I did mistype the website...and corrected it. Is it important that the Diana antenna have such-and-so many dipoles? Apparently to members of the first side who must have exactness in every sentence. I'm of the second side who simply thought it was a fine pioneering thing done by anyone and had actually seen the Diana antenna and tower from a Fort Monmouth bus in 1952. No biggie at the time but the Diana project was definitely the first true demonstration of using the moon as a radio reflector.

Someone had to be first. In this case it was the greedy, "military-industrial complex" personnel actually paid (!) for their efforts...as if that were some major pejorative on anyone trying out an experiment or actually pioneering.

Some time ago elsewhere I made a casual mention of the US Army Signal Corps "starting US military aviation," more as a bit of gentle ribbing at some stalwart ex-USAF types. The amount of flak received was remarkable! "Couldn't have been true!" and "inconsistent with known reality" were the more gentle responses. :-) Just the same, the first heavier-than-air aircraft contract and delivery in the US military was let by and received by the US Army Signal Corps. Mistyping one character in that exchange meant the entire message was "invalid!" :-)

This seems to be the environment here. The "first siders" (the traditionalists, steeped in legend and glory tales) busy with fly-speck corrections, admonitions, and charges of "unprofessional errors" and "mistakes" that invalidate any viewpoint contrary to the first siders. :-)

>I thought you knew everything about radio, Len. Guess I was mistaken.

Oh, oh, a snarly comment! Shame! :-)

Never licensed in telepathy, I cannot know what N2EY is thinking. I have some capability in radio design, operation, testing, and theory...and am not afraid of destroying some cherished belief set by looking elsewhere for more data, especially in regards to radio regulations. I know that is a "crime" according to some, very much so in regards to amateur radio and its devotees of the "first side."

FB on the fly-specks, N2EY, my fly-swatter is tired. Let me clean it and have the big bat ready for next time. :-)

Len Anderson
Retired member of the evil, greedy "military-industrial complex"

```
From -4134241566912353752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 20:22:11 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-
snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AF9FAD4.310E1739@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3af9abee.278230185@news2.dvol.com>
<20010509204441.09228.00000678@nso-cc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 117
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 03:22:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.165.128
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989464931 63.30.165.128 (Wed, 09 May
2001 20:22:11 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 20:22:11 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 20:20:34 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21533
```

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <3af9abee.278230185@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
> Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>
>   [on WRC-03 agenda/info searching]
>
> >Ya mean ya spent the best part of an hour dorking around with that?
>
> Not "dorking around." I'm involved with two other radio services.
> WRC-03 may change that, may not. Since WRC-03 may or
> may not change S25, S1, or S17, that information was available.

"Now run along and play with your little friends, Dennis!" I believe
you have just completed the transformation to "Good Old Mr. Wilson",
Len. I also believe that you spend a great amount of your time dorking
around. You have to fill up those hours in some way. Your web-based
research must be a help in killing the hours that your lengthy posts

here don't take up. Maybe you should go for an amateur license. You could perhaps find some fellows on the air who might find your breadth of knowledge fascinating.

> Do I understand Kelly that he wants amateur information
> pre-digested, sorted, and sanitized for his amateur tummy?

Is this more proof of your lack of bias toward the ARRL? Is there any chance that the League rejected an article penned by you in the past?

>   [on the NTIA being involved in WRC-03]
>
> >Why in the name of God would a toothless frequency coordination
> >advisory group give a bleep about ham rado code tests?? Unless
> >somebody in that group has an "agenda" of course.
>
> The NTIA is PART of the US administration representation
> at WRC-03. Neither the ARRL nor the IARU has any voting
> capability at WRCs.

Now tell us something we don't already know...

> The NTIA is not "toothless." They coordinate government
> frequency use and also act in concert with the FCC on
> spectral allocations for various radio services. Only some
> long-timers, clueless because of being fed pre-digested
> information are "toothless."

>   [on the NTIA draft for WRC-03 in document 1345]
>
> >Sounds to me like the IARU sandbagged NTIA. Works for me.
>
> Gum it all you want if it makes your mouth better.
>
> The IARU appears to have pulled a nice bit of sandbagging
> of the code test issue. "They needed to coordinate with
> other members." For three years. Involved in communications.
> The US administration, more concerned with other radio
> issues, was either unconcerned or got voted down at WARC-
> 95...full minutes aren't available to non-subscribers at the ITU.
>
> Please consult with the ARRL-IARU. The ARRL got into
> co-chair position in IWG-6 at which Paul Rinaldo seems a
> "permanent presenter." Both are ARRL troops.

Do you see a problem with that?

> Agenda anyone? It is not cynicsm to see the "loading" at
> an IWG of the FCC IB, coincident with a serious error of
> ommission of IWG news at the ARRL home page.

You may be on to something, Len. The League board has likely met in secret session to get its story straight. The board has decided to keep

membership in the dark. What's your take on the Kennedy assassination
and the Warren Report?

>
> Line up behind McCollum...I'll punch Kelly's TS card as
> soon as possible. Whether or not anyone in this newsgroup
> "gives a bleep about NTIA" is irrelevant. All who are interested
> in the future of any radio service should be aware of their
> existance and charter. Those who "don't give a bleep" can
> go gum their pre-digested information and have tummy aches
> when they don't get the right information vitamins.

Who put you in charge of TS card punching or of anything for that
matter? All in favor of Len Anderson being in charge of setting amateur
radio policy, say "aye". Damn! The "nays" have it.

> The voting members of the US adminstration at WRCs consist
> of Department of State, NTIA, and FCC personnel. Neither the
> ARRL nor IARU have any voting privileges. They are observers
> and "advisers," nothing more.
>
> >The simple reality here is that it increasingly seems like the ITU
> >won't bother addressing S25.5 just like they did last time and that
> >prospect has your knickers in a terminal twist.
>
> Wrong. My "knickers are twisted" by toothless old-timers who
> let a Big Brother do all the controlling, arranging, and information
> handling so that they can enjoy a hobby activity that seems to
> consist more about putting down "noclue inferiors." Chew on
> that.

Tell us again why you are interested in amateur radio? Give us that
part about you having no axe to grind with the ARRL too.

> Now go and invent more war stories of your struggles in first
> adventures with amateur radio, social interactions, and over-
> exaggerated amateur technology tales of the fifties. Don't
> worry, I'll correct you on technical gaffes such as "24 VDC"
> military jeeps in WW2 or "lots of mods needed for WW2
> radio surplus."   After all, someone has to clue in the
> toothless. Chew, chew.

Len, when you finally have a chance to regale us with your amateur radio
tales, they won't go back very far, will they?

Dave K8MN

From -2015346665799438227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,20c68b1776a672ef
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,20c68b1776a672ef

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 20:32:41 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!usenet
From: thumper <botkin@jorsm.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 22:32:35 -0500
Organization: i don;t know
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <8q2kft4d2l9fmktbmsq91dbupbiav2uaeq@4ax.com>
References: <39N24.59.5@bellsowth.net>
<w8oG6.76690$_P.21028808@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tetklgc69781bc@corp.supernews.com>
<NRCH6.108446$_P.30147170@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<teu8v4qv1pn67d@corp.supernews.com>
<JXFH6.108924$_P.30427044@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<F5GH6.24551$qD1.474232@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net> <3AEF6454.EDFA5838@IEEE.org>
<tf0aj8l69pn55c@corp.supernews.com> <sIxJ6.53131$r3.10679494@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15978
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21534

The hell you say !  That would be way too quick.   A 20 bleed out
would be more appropriate.

Consider the kids in the nursery that he killed and those that
survived with trauma they will carry for the rest of their lives.

Slooooooooooower is better

Thumper the Cat


On Mon, 07 May 2001 13:48:08 GMT, "etagg" <etagg@twcny.rr.com> wrote:

>3 feet of rope and a tree...in public
>




From 5530213383125596573
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 20:34:02 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

Message-ID: <3AF9FDA7.16D5618A@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
References: <3af95657.256319869@news2.dvol.com>
<20010509204438.09228.00000676@nso-cc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 98
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 03:34:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.165.128
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989465641 63.30.165.128 (Wed, 09 May 2001 20:34:01 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 20:34:01 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 20:32:24 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21535

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3af95657.256319869@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
> Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>
> >On Wed, 09 May 2001 14:10:36 GMT, k0hb@arrl.org wrote:
> >
> >>Old Biker says:
> >>
> >>>Progress is sad in a way. Whatever pride there is in buying an
> >>>appliance station is tiny compared to having built your own gear
> >>>in a time when not one person in 1000 could do so. Too bad new
> >>>hams will never know that feeling but forcing them to memorize
> >>>info they'll never use won't bring back those feelings; it only
> >>>creates resentment.
> >>
> >I agree, been a *long* time since I actually built a radio but the
> >technical skills & insights I gained back then still holds me in good
> >stead when I have to do the soldering iron to fix some glitch or
> >another. I just dove into an MFJ tuner and butchered it with the
> >soldering iron and some junk parts to get it working on 160. How many
> >of today's button-pushers would have clue one?
>
> Oh, goodie, an old-timer who can swing a 100 W American
> Beauty to solder two wires together. A real "clue" that one.

The "clue" comes from knowing which two wires to solder together.

> How is the "clueful" one on surface mount technology?
> Can he "butcher" some SMDs in the same manner?

In your seventh decade, how good are you at handling SMDs, Len?

> Gotta love this...all sorts of tales of surplus and Novices
> doing the midnight thing with irons to do "all those mods."
> Most of it is bullsh*t. Most Novices way back when
> didn't have a clue. They were clueless. Some learned
> more...other than soldering skills. Some dropped out.
> Some stayed in, got other class licenses. Some
> stayed in for life and remained clueless to the very end,
> loudly proclaiming their "expertise."

You really are an envious boor, Len. To sum up your paragraph above, no
ham ever did anything to amount to much. You've left no room for those
who really learned electronics, became excellent operators (regardless
of mode) or who turned amateur radio into a stepping stone to a career.
The question which begs asking after reading each of your posts is:
Why are you interested in amateur radio at all? What is your fixation?

> >The techncal tests promote education which is a worthwhile objective
> >and they've proven many times to be to the benefit of the ham. Even if
> >they to have to get off their butts and learn something. God forbid.
>
> FCC tests are just regulatory tools for the FCC. They aren't
> "technical tests" of any academic kind.

No one gets any credit hours toward graduation but one would have to
have the IQ of a mushroom not to pick up a lot of electronics knowledge
simply by studying to pass such an exam.

> >Of course the educational benefits are are not the reason for the FCC
> >technical tests.
>
> Really?!? Did the nature of the FCC's regulatory task finally
> sink in? Good for Kelly.
>
> >They're out there to at least take at a poke at
> >minimizing the amount of damage hams can do with their broad technical
> >freedoms to occupy spectrum space with Lord knows what all these
> >days.
>
> The FCC knows "Lord knows what" in their OET. Check 'em
> out. [God forbid any Extra actually learning something...?]
>
> >Considering the huge advances in technology over the years there
> >is much more potential today for technical no-clues to generate
> >mischief than there was back then.
>
> Kelly can get on the amateur 2 mm band and "mess up" 7 GHz
> of spectrum bandspace if he wants. Or experiment. Or
> communicate. Even in CW. He is "qualified" even if a total
> noclue on bands above 70 cm.   :-)
>
> >Tighten up the writtens . .

>
> The QPC does the question and answer generation nowadays.
> The FCC merely specifies the number of questions per element
> and minimum number in the pools.
>
> Contact the nearest neighborhood QPC and tell them how to
> do things in no uncertain terms! I'm sure they will appreciate
> being told all about how amateur radio testing should be done.

They might prefer to hear it from some grump in California who has never been licensed but who is certain that he knows how things should be done in the amateur service.

Dave Heil K8MN

From -2433216362982200464
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 20:35:49 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9dc1oo$89d$0@208.206.142.97>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <p0oK6.29585$kh.17136037@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 03:35:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 989465749 24.16.178.66 (Wed, 09 May 2001 20:35:49 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 20:35:49 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21536

There is absolutely nothing troublesome about it! Again, this is analogous to starting a car using a hand crank. You simply want new hams to undergo this hazing ritual because you were subjected to it! It's time to move on! Get over it before this hobby dies and amateur radio eventually loses more spectrum.

Why not replace it with a college hazing ritual that involves a jellybean?
... just joking :)

```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9dc1oo$89d$0@208.206.142.97...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu
> >
> > >And I suspect that I'll be a little annoyed when the requirement is
> > >dropped altogether. I hadn't originally planned to use cw mode, but now
> > >I think I just might. Funny how yer outlook can change.
> > >
> >
> > Dropping the CW requirement will not change your ability to use the mode
one
> > bit.  Why would you be annoyed?
>
>
>  The fact that all hams are being licensed without being able to
> communicate in the most basic level of radio communications, that of
> on-off keying of a carrier using a basic aplphanumeric code.  It's
> skipping a 'troublesome' step in the basics.




From -4939893811074355941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 20:38:23 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AF939AB.3B86467@psu.edu>
<9dc2ho$9rn$0@208.206.142.97>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <O2oK6.29586$kh.17138190@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 03:38:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
```

```
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 989465902 24.16.178.66 (Wed, 09 May 2001
20:38:22 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 20:38:22 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21537

You will never have a clue because your mind is totally closed. Try opening
your mind to progressive thoughts and concepts.


"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9dc2ho$9rn$0@208.206.142.97...
>
>
> mike coslo wrote:
> >
> >         Where exactly did I suggest that I was pro-cw or anti-cw? Pride
of
> > accomplishment is just that. nothing more, nothing less. And I don't
> > look for empathy from you, I'm not sure where you got that idea.
> >
> >         Weird days when someone catches flack for saying they understand
> > another's viewpoint.
> >
> >         - Mike -
>
>
>  You need teo realize, Mike, that these CW haters have chewed on their
> bile for years, some for decades. Don't expect anything except abuse and
> rancor from them. They don't have a clue and never will have.
>
> Dick
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > Jim Wayda wrote:
> > >
> > > This is an example of the problem that is currently plaguing the
amateur
> > > community. It is analogous to:
> > >
> > > I started my car with a hand crank, so you should learn this method
before
> > > you can drive an automobile. Some people collect antique cars that are
> > > started with a hand crank. This is their choice, and I respect their
choice.
> > >
> > > CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary. Just
because you
```

> > > consider it a personal accomplishment does not justify why all
amateurs
> > > should learn CW.
> > >
> > > There are many professional engineers (myself included) that share
this
> > > opinion. You will get no empathy from me.
> > >
> > > -jim

```
From -2228680115479022271
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 20:46:57 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9dc1oo$89d$0@208.206.142.97>
<p0oK6.29585$kh.17136037@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 24
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <QaoK6.14037$4f7.1082812@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 03:46:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.209
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989466416 12.75.103.209 (Thu, 10 May
2001 03:46:56 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 03:46:56 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21538
```

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:p0oK6.29585$kh.17136037@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> There is absolutely nothing troublesome about it! Again, this is analogous
> to starting a car using a hand crank. You simply want new hams to undergo
> this hazing ritual because you were subjected to it! It's time to move
on!
> Get over it before this hobby dies and amateur radio eventually loses more
> spectrum.
>

> Why not replace it with a college hazing ritual that involves a jellybean?
> ... just joking :)

Jim, why do you have this hazing ritual hang-up? And better yet, why drag
the same old crap by people who have done it, most of whom have long since
adjusted to the idea that the day of code testing is over. Finally, what
makes you think our opinion, even should you sell the tired old arguments,
is relevant to the process?

Just who in hell are you trying to convince and why?

Dick N�BK

From -1202920783395213771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 20:57:10 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Wed, 09 May 2001 23:57:45 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3AFA118A.A59AE97B@sccoast.net>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <3AF2A9EE.9373C8AA@sccoast.net>
<3AF2B855.996E175B@IEEE.org> <3AF3AC29.7CA17818@sccoast.net>
<3AF47113.567ABF1A@IEEE.org> <9d4srq$3ss$1@news.netmar.com>
<9d60c42eae@enews2.newsguy.com> <3AF78D06.D9D7D38A@sccoast.net>
<9da4ht01d3t@enews1.newsguy.com> <3AF8A830.5F8DA114@sccoast.net>
<9dcml10ltl@enews3.newsguy.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-19.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989467023 421901 204.116.70.20 (10 May 2001 03:57:03
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 03:57:03 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15979
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21539

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> Then let's move things up about one
> hundred years. Does the name Rosa Parks
> strike a chord, [snip]

Nope. ;-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -5202451902661626740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 21:38:48 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 04:37:52 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3afa1aae.1119873@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af5f3db.2766643@news.mindspring.com>
<20010509171243.12759.00000845@nso-fz.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4e.a0
X-Server-Date: 10 May 2001 04:38:10 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21540

On 09 May 2001 21:12:43 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3af5f3db.2766643@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>Additionally, speed limits in many places are arbitrarily set
>>low.
>
>Often the "low" speed limit is due to accident experience, the presence of
>schools, high pedestrian/bicycle traffic, and unusual circumstances like a high
>senior citizen/handicapped population.

The circumstances you site constitute valid reasons why
a lower speed limit is not arbitrary. That doesn't change the

fact that there are other areas with lower limits that are
pure speed traps only.

>>The USA population routinely exceeds highway speed
>>limits and the police don't care one bit.
>
>In Radnor Township the police care A LOT!. No, I haven't been ticketed -
>because I know how much they care.

Sounds like a good place to avoid.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -7169517383376453705
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 22:16:44 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp.kreonet.re.kr!feeder.kornet.net!news1.kornet.net!not-for-mail
From: "Brenda Ann" <brendaannd@uswest.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 14:16:35 +0900
Organization: Korea Telecom
Lines: 91
Message-ID: <9dd7so$f07$1@news2-2.kornet.net>
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 211.197.206.236
X-Trace: news2-2.kornet.net 989471448 15367 211.197.206.236 (10 May 2001 05:10:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news.kornet.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 05:10:48 +0000 (UTC)
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21541 rec.radio.shortwave:33934

Oh for Cry-Yi.... at the rate that stations are bailing out of SW, there
won't be anything left BUT pirates... if we get rid of them, there won't be
ANYTHING to listen to on HF.. and folks.. for those that seem sure that the
big radio corporations WANT the ham frequencies... all these Int'l broadcast
stations shutting down should show you that the HF bands are not
commercially feasible...

"Disgusted Taxpayer" <disgustedtaxpayer@noenforcement.org> wrote in message

news:37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> How long will the United Patriot Radio pirate station be allowed to
operate
> EVERY day on 6.900 USB & every night on 3.260 USB without any penalty for
> operating without a license? Could it be that the FCC is quite afraid of
the
> "militia element" involved with this operation?
>
> While this blatent, unlicensed operation is CLEARLY not mobile, neither is
> "Riley the Radio Enforcement Hero" who supposedly earns his living by
> ACTIVELY ENFORCING Communications Law on behalf of the taxpayers as set
> forth by Congress. He should spend less time PREACHING to hams what "the
> spirit of ham radio" is as he sees it & more time in the field ENFORCING
> against flagrant lawbreaking.
>
> Talk is cheap, actions are priceless.
>
> How long will it take to "take him down" when the FCC can DF a signal in
11
> seconds? Perhaps 11 weeks? Anderson has been on the air for at least that
> long so maybe it will take 11 months?
>
> Riley hasn't made a true red-handed bust since KA2YBP, the now infamous
7240
> SWL Net jammer, was caught in NJ back on October 18, 1998 after 11 weeks
of
> weekly intentional jamming. KA2YBP later plea-bargained his way down from
a
> $7500 fine down to a 3 year voluntary suspension of his license. All the
> other "busts" have been made on 3rd party hearsay. I guess this pirate has
> little or nothing to fear since nothing has been done thus far, he has
> already surrendered his ham license & clearly operates on regular daily
> schedule. You can see the website for schedule http://www.pbn.4mg.com/ His
> intentions are pretty clear & continue uncontested even
> tolerated by those who are supposed to be in authority. What kind of
> enforcement is that?
>
> It seems clear to me who is scared of who. Riley prefers preaching to
> passive, wanna-be ham radio cops so they can go out & turn on each other
> while the FCC Enforcement "Head" willfully turns a blind eye toward a much
> more consistent & defiant lawbreaker!
>
> You have far more to fear trying to sell a full coverage 800 MHz scanner
on
> eBay than operating an unlicensed broadcast station. On eBay, Riley sees
to
> it that even legal items get his IMMEDIATE ACTION. They're covertly pulled
> off auction for no legal reason since the ECPA does NOT regulate the
resale
> of analog, cell-capable scanner radios manufactured & type accepted before
> the ECPA ban took effect! This is clearly unethical & Riley ought to know
> this since he is a lawyer, right? Enforce non-existant laws while ignoring
> violation of basic ones? Hmmmm...So much for guts, balance, & conviction

```
in
> enforcement!!!
>
> "We're working on it" doesn't cut it while slouching around the hotel
buffet
> waiting to do your next hamfest road show speech Riley!
>
> Maybe we need to replace you with someone who will, swiftly, on our
> behalf... Law is clearly no place for double standards!
>
> I am listening to them right now, as usual, on 6900USB @ 2025Z 06MAY2001.
So
> get busy & do what you are paid to do, ENFORCE!!!
>
> Disgusted Taxpayer
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>




From -7769145808981953817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-09 23:46:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.abs.net!news.abs.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AFA3937.F20C78EB@hotmail.com>
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Organization: http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy.html
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>   <3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org>
<3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com> <_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com> <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
```

```
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<AGQJ6.119$25.1308@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
<3af7f71a.417187879@news.accessunited.com>
<9d8vvv$h0j2k$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<3af83f94.435744935@news.accessunited.com>
<9d9jb3$gi7e2$1@ID-55752.news.dfncis.de>
<nD_J6.55922$B22.14196297@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <9daf1i$ojs$0@208.207.71.137>
<9daha3$phl@freepress.concentric.net>
<3afa0252$0$42883$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 5
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 02:46:15 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.3.61.159
X-Complaints-To: abuse@abs.net
X-Trace: news.abs.net 989477212 24.3.61.159 (Thu, 10 May 2001 02:46:52 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 02:46:52 EDT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21542 rec.radio.cb:32693
```

"David G. Nagel" wrote:

> And stop by the cemetery on your way to the poles...

```
                                              polls...
```

```
From 4306711243728795984
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 02:16:37 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 09:16:06 GMT
Message-ID: <3afa52ad.320911176@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af95657.256319869@news2.dvol.com>
<20010509204438.09228.00000676@nso-cc.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.191
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.191
X-Trace: 10 May 2001 04:12:14 -0500, 64.24.17.191
Lines: 44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21543
```

On 10 May 2001 00:44:38 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

```
>In article <3af95657.256319869@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
>Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>
>
>How is the "clueful" one on surface mount technology?
>Can he "butcher" some SMDs in the same manner?
>
Actually, yes Lenny. Did that recenly. TS-50 second IF. I'll leave it
to you bench techs next time, engineers have loftier things to do with
their time.
>
>
>Most Novices way back when
>didn't have a clue.  They were clueless.  Some learned
>more...other than soldering skills.
>
I can supply a long list of the  "noclue Novices" just in my crowd who
went on to electronics-based academic, gummint and corporate
acheivements so far beyond anything you've ever accomplished it's a
snicker Lenny. Ya oughta jumped in back then like we did Lenny, ya
missed yer ticket to ride. Novice ticket that is.
>
> Some dropped out.
>Some stayed in, got other class licenses.
>
Higher classes of licenses Lenny, was not optional.
>
>Kelly can get on the amateur 2 mm band and "mess up" 7 GHz
>of spectrum bandspace if he wants.  Or experiment.  Or
>communicate.  Even in CW.  He is "qualified" even if a total
>noclue on bands above 70 cm.    :-)
>
Yeah, I'm LICENSED to do that but yiu're NOT. Keep tuning for me
Lenny, but for God's sake don't transmit, you're not allowed to do
that. Just listen: That's all you're allowed to do.
>
>Contact the nearest neighborhood QPC and tell them how to
>do things in no uncertain terms!  I'm sure they will appreciate
>being told all about how amateur radio testing should be done.
>
How did they react to your "instructions"?



From 2371251346165798920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 03:34:33 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 10:34:10 GMT
```

Message-ID: <3afa5c89.323435522@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af9336e.247381230@news2.dvol.com>
<20010509154802.05668.00000137@nso-dd.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.158
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.158
X-Trace: 10 May 2001 05:30:19 -0500, 64.24.17.158
Lines: 42
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21544

On 09 May 2001 19:48:02 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>last policy meeting. Has the ARRL stated openly
>that it requested tableing of the S25.5 through the
>IARU? Did the IARU web page (at the ARRL site)
>say so? How many radio amateurs are acquainted
>with this bit of recent history in detail?
>
Most of us. You think you're the only one who knows what's up??
Egomaniac ham radio "expert" in a ham NG with no ham license, gotta
love it. The political maneuver is akin to "letting the matter die in
committee". Done all the time Lenny. Once again this one works for me.

>
The simple and obvious fact of the matter in this case Lenny is that
S25.5 is such a weenie piece of trivia in the big picture that the
upcoming WRC most likely will not bother with it. As in they have more
important issues to handle within the limited timeframe they have to
deal with anything .
>
So of course that prospect just pisses you off no end Lenny, they're
probably once again gonna brush off the opportunirty for you to
achieve your lifetime "victory"over ham radio. Keep twisting Lenny,
whine, whine, rant, rant, ya just never know Lenny . .
>
>Note: The NTIA does not regulate any civil radio in
>the USA but one of its chartered tasks is to
>analyze and report on spectrum use by both civil
>and governmental radio users. The NTIA has no
>vested interest in amateur radio.
>
And ham radio has no interest whatsoever in the NTIA. The NTIA is a
low-level advisory frequency bookeeping operation period Lenny, get
past it. Keep thrashing for somebody else to get S25.5 on the agenda
Lenny, your're running out of rope quick, the NTIA ain't gonna save
your bacon.
>
>The NTIA is part

>of the US voting administration team at WRCs.
>The ARRL and the IARU have no vote at WRCs.
>
Yeah, Like the NRA has "no vote in the U.S. Congress".

```
From -1612256914290894584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 04:40:30 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!e420r-chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AFA79B1.5D5C@worldlynx.net>
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Organization: Spew Radio Inc.
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04 (WinNT; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com>
<WUFJ6.38791$2_.13566909@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af7666c.2467862@news.mindspring.com>
<AzPJ6.43771$2_.15204332@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af93d7d.2720046@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 47
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 07:36:49 EDT
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 07:21:21 -0400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23242
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8823 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2628
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2533 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13081
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21545 rec.radio.cb:32705

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Tue, 08 May 2001 10:07:28 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
> >> It is bad law because people do not want the government
> >> telling them how to live their lives and to what degree of
> >> risk they can/should afford themselves. No one is mandated
> >> to drive 70 MPH. If you don't want to drive a that speed,
> >> then don't.
> >
> >Still doesn't stop that idiot who is going to end up losing control of his
> >car because he feels he should drive whatever speed he wants (since there
> >wouldn't be any limit for him to abide by) and then crashing into my car.
> >See believe it or not, MOST laws are there for the protection of OTHER
> >people, not the offender.
> >
> >Ya can't drive drunk under law because you are a danger to others, not
> >yourself.
>
> I have no problem with DWI laws. I do have problems where
> speed limits are set lower than anyone rationally drives. I have
> never suggested allowing unlimited speeds...although there
> are certainly highways in the west that can and have had
> only "reasonable and proper" as the limit.
>
> The problem is that the safety nazis want everyone to abide by
> their version of what is or should be safe. None of that
> crowd trusts anyone as an individual.

You have to remember that most "safety" related laws and rules are written for the lowest common denominator in society. Just because YOU can safely drive a car on a particular road at 70 MPH, does not mean that everyone else can. And since personal responsibility and prudent judgement seems to be at an all time low in this country, we have been more or less forced to have the rules dictated to us. It's a shame for those of us that feel that we're "better" than that, but the law is the law, and you do not have the right to arbitrarily disregard them. Organize a lobby, study and document your evidence as to why a particular law should be changed, and present it to the proper governing body, and let the process do its thing.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

```
From 5416068358621735510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 04:42:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!
news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-
out.usenetserver.com!e420r-chi1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AFA7AED.1ABB@worldlynx.net>
From: Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Organization: Spew Radio Inc.
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.04 (WinNT; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<3af7672b.2658424@news.mindspring.com>
<HBPJ6.43783$2_.15205767@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af93e4c.2927339@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 34
```

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 07:36:50 EDT
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 07:26:37 -0400
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23243
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8824 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2629
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2534 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13082
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21546 rec.radio.cb:32707

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On Tue, 08 May 2001 10:09:43 GMT, "Rob St.Denis"
> <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>
> >> If you mean Clinton, you sure have that wrong.  The safety nazis
> >> love Cintonites because they all want to tell you and me how
> >> we should live our lives.
> >
> >The amusing thing is ... the laws you do enjoy are exactly that.  You know
> >like: murder, rape, robbery, assault, stalking, etc etc etc ....
> >
> >The laws are there because the average person is too stupid to realise just
> >what is good and what isnt
>
> That statement alone tells me you are very pro-government and
> have little or no respect for individual responsibility unless it is
> "enforced" by government.  Pretty sad.

I am very much in favor of personal responsibility, and despise the
government meddling in my life. But you have to realize that there are a
great many peope in this country that are NOT responsible, and do NOT
exercise good judgement. It is THESE people that the laws were written
for. As long as people demonstrate that they could not behave themselves
if left to their own desires, then the government will have to regulate
them. This news group is a perfect example of why we need rules and
regs. Too many people operate potentially dangerous equipment with
little or no regard for other people's rights, yet they are the first to
piss and moan when the law bites them. It's hard to make a case in favor
of that type of mentality.

Dave
"Sandbagger"




From 705952738222267685
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 04:57:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AF939AB.3B86467@psu.edu>
<9dc2ho$9rn$0@208.206.142.97> <O2oK6.29586$kh.17138190@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 36
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <4nvK6.14694$t12.1142920@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 11:57:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.205
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989495872 12.75.103.205 (Thu, 10 May 2001 11:57:52 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 11:57:52 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21547

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:O2oK6.29586$kh.17138190@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...

> You will never have a clue because your mind is totally closed. Try opening
> your mind to progressive thoughts and concepts.
>

Let's hear it for progressive:

AM: dates from when someone plugged a carbon mike into the cathode keying jack of his MOPA and pronounced AUDDDDDDIIIIIIOOOO.

FM: Edwin Armstrong's contribution to civilized society give or take 1930.

SSB: Invented by the phone company before the war, sold by Art Collins after it.

RTTY: Old idea made to work on landlines by Teletype Corp in the 30s, and on radio by others. God, can you smell the machine oil burning. Good old Mr. Bow-dot's code has been supplanted by 117 things ending in TOR and of late PSK31 which actually works.

Let's don't hear it for progressive:

DIGITAL ANYTHING: No one has yet come up with a digital encoding scheme which does not have a modulation index of at least three and suffer multipath and selective fading out the waz. So the bright shiny digital modes closely resemble the wideband FM abolished in the late 50s but don't work as well. Gag me with a forklift.

Ugh, me progressive. New good, old bad. Ugh.

Dick N�BK

From -385983877866012636
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 05:35:33 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 12:34:55 GMT
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010510083455.24833.00001104@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21548

In article <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> writes:

>I understand that CW is required to conform to the ITU.

International treaty says that a morse code TEST is required of all who want amateur radio licenses. This test may be waived for licenses that only allow operation above 30 MHz. The FCC has stated that this treaty requirement is now the only reason they retain the Morse code test, and only at 5 wpm.

In other words, the FCC's hands are tied until the treaty changes.

>When will this
>archaic testing requirement be eliminated?

Some of us don't think it's "archaic". But the way the FCC talks, the test cannot be eliminated until after the treaty changes. The soonest the treaty could change is 2003.

FCC explained all this in their Report and Order.

> Please do no misinterpret this

>message. I am advocating elimination of code test. We should not eliminate
>CW. IF CW is your thing, by all means go for it!

Thanks!

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -3012341287922958767
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 06:53:51 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 13:53:49 GMT
References: <VGJJ6.9301$4f7.725949@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Message-ID: <20010510095349.28252.00003066@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21549

In article <VGJJ6.9301$4f7.725949@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net> writes:

>
>Was kommt??

Das Hund.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1047351024933588085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 06:53:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 13:53:47 GMT

```
References: <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21551

In article <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>
>I think this is great, Jim.  Perhaps you could help me build a list of
>the amateur requirements which are necessary.  (Probably ought to just
>stick to the inarguable ones.)
>
>73, Jim AC6XG
>

OK let's give it a go.  I think it's pretty "inarguable" that each applicant
for an Amateur Radio License should be required to provide his name,
street address, city, state, and Zip code on his license application.
What do you think?  Is this axeing too much?

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -362416271411266595
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 06:53:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 13:53:49 GMT
References: <9d8vdf$gdv$0@208.207.71.146>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Message-ID: <20010510095349.28252.00003065@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21550

In article <9d8vdf$gdv$0@208.207.71.146>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>
> You sure, Dick? As I recall upgrading generates a new 10 year license.
>Unless they changed that, too.
```

Upgrading does result in an automatically renewed license. Changing address or other information (other than license class) does not result in an administrative renewal of the license. It used to, but not anymore. I think they had to eliminate this feature due to problems associated with the renewal dates of Vanity call signs.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4083213244438826959
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 06:53:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 13:53:48 GMT
References: <7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510095348.28252.00003064@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21552

In article <7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> writes:

>
>There are many professional engineers (myself included) that share this
>opinion. You will get no empathy from me.
>
>-jim

Jim:

Maybe you can answer this question. I've been asking Cecil this for years, and he can't come up with an answer -- so perhaps you can.

What is the relationship between professional engineering credentials and working experience, and the testing requirements for an AMATEUR radio license? And while you're at it, please explain why people who ride on busses aren't required to possess Commercial Driver's Licenses.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6981299125836221292

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 06:53:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 13:53:50 GMT
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010510095350.28252.00003067@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21553

In article <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>, "Jim Wayda"
<jwayda@killspam.home.com> writes:

>
>I understand that CW is required to conform to the ITU. When will this
>archaic testing requirement be eliminated? Please do no misinterpret this
>message. I am advocating elimination of code test. We should not eliminate
>CW. IF CW is your thing, by all means go for it!
>
>
>-jim

Jim:

I'd say it will be pretty soon now -- thanks to weak, whining wimps
like you who can't see the value of learning a uniquely practical,
effective, efficient, and universal communications mode.  If the ITU
Treaty Requirement is voted out of existence at WRC '03, the
legislative and regulatory process to "sunset" the U.S. FCC
requirement could still take another year or two.  It's a WHOLE
lot easier than learning the Morse Code at 5 WPM!

BTW -- you smoked my Troll-O-Meter with this post, so you owe
me a new one.  Ask Hansl for the ordering information.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -3603255704486896920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 06:54:22 PST
```

```
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp.newsfirst.net!dingus.crosslink.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AFA9D0B.95A17BF7@crosslink.net>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 09:52:11 -0400
From: Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide (was: Hmmmmmmmm)
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net> <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com>
<3AF94919.7CD32597@crosslink.net> <3af98be6.270028995@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 12
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.199.164.76
X-Trace: dingus.crosslink.net 989502862 19212 207.199.164.76
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21554

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> That's going a bit too far. Novices didn't all "design and build"
> their own complete stations,... There were no "heroics"
> >

But Brian, don't sell yourself short.  From a modern kid's
standpoint these *are* heroics (and you were a hero) compared to
having dad pick a rig up for you at Radio Shack in an effort to
keep you off hard drugs. :o)

KA3DWW


From -6282549267763785600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 07:12:54 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 14:12:42 GMT
References: <3AF8E7B5.996A600E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010510101242.28252.00003077@nso-mq.aol.com>
```

Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21555

In article <3AF8E7B5.996A600E@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>In the episode, however, Lt. Uhura reads
>> it aloud as "CQ, CQ..." and Kirk, who obviously felt that proficiency in
>> the Morse Code was a valuable skill for 23rd Century Starship
>> Captains, confirms the same. Obviously, Kirk and Uhura wern't no-coders.
>
>So why are you worried about people knowing Morse code, Larry? If they
>were still using it in the 23rd century, you don't have anything to
>worry about.

What, me worry?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -6705016191633870964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 07:12:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 14:12:42 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105090245340.4694-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts
Message-ID: <20010510101242.28252.00003078@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21556
```

In article <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105090245340.4694-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>, "D.
Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> writes:

>Of course, some of the
>> Extras, being no-coders at heart, didn't want to have to go through
>> the Morse code testing "hazing ritual" again, so were inclined to
>> renew their expiring licenses whether or not they were active at
>> the time -- as a hedge against future renewed desire to stick an
>> HF microphone in their face and blow hot air into it.
>
>...Don't forget a failure to renew due to DEATH....

Excuses, excuses...

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 1566261553714013569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 07:41:00 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<20010510095348.28252.00003064@nso-mq.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 34
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <0MxK6.29762$kh.17572112@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 14:41:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 989505660 24.16.178.66 (Thu, 10 May 2001
07:41:00 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 07:41:00 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21557
```

It is usually very simple for an electrical engineer to pass theory exams. Rather than memorizing the theory, engineers learn it. They also have learned basic theory such as Ohms Law, and AC theory as part of their curriculum. Many engineers are also inclined to have an interest in amateur radio, This was even more true before the widespread availability of Internet Access.

-jim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010510095348.28252.00003064@nso-mq.aol.com...

```
> In article <7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>, "Jim Wayda"
> <jwayda@killspam.home.com> writes:
>
> >
> >There are many professional engineers (myself included) that share this
> >opinion. You will get no empathy from me.
> >
> >-jim
>
> Jim:
```

>
> Maybe you can answer this question. I've been asking Cecil this for
> years, and he can't come up with an answer -- so perhaps you can.
>
> What is the relationship between professional engineering credentials
> and working experience, and the testing requirements for an AMATEUR
> radio license? And while you're at it, please explain why people who
> ride on busses aren't required to possess Commercial Driver's Licenses.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2604685330644040269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 07:42:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu> <20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-
mq.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <TNxK6.29764$kh.17572782@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 14:42:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 989505779 24.16.178.66 (Thu, 10 May 2001
07:42:59 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 07:42:59 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21558

I will try to help you build a list. I can see that this will be the start
of a long thread...

-jim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-mq.aol.com...
> In article <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:
>
> >

> >I think this is great, Jim. Perhaps you could help me build a list of
> >the amateur requirements which are necessary. (Probably ought to just
> >stick to the inarguable ones.)
> >
> >73, Jim AC6XG
> >

From 6432562614434771518
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 08:26:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 10 May 2001 15:26:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <9debum$h8i$0@208.206.142.79>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9dc1oo$89d$0@208.206.142.97>
<p0oK6.29585$kh.17136037@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21559

Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> There is absolutely nothing troublesome about it!

Ah, good! you won't find it to be to difficult, then?

Again, this is analogous
> to starting a car using a hand crank.

So where you going to find a car these days that is set up for starting
with a hand crank? You that far behind the curve on technology?

You simply want new hams to undergo
> this hazing ritual because you were subjected to it!

You are simply full of crap. I want hams to learn the radiotlegraph code becuse it is the most basic method of radiocommunications. You come off as looking like a complete doofus with such statements. And CW is widely used today, aside from ham radio. There are *many* services which use it to ID their transmitters. So we have hams today who shout "What's that??" when the repeater ID goes off. I guess you're another one. What the hay, you don't need no steenking IDer anyway, up there on 27 megacycles.

It's time to move on!
> Get over it before this hobby dies and amateur radio eventually loses more
> spectrum.

Ham radio is basically withering on the vine even as the license numbers in the FCC database reach record proportions. It has nothing to do with numbers. And if you really believe that CB style hobbyists will prevail over commercial need for our spectrum, you need a good dose of reality.

```
From -1795444833591827250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 08:31:43 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 10 May 2001 15:31:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9dec8v$i2o$0@208.206.142.79>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AF939AB.3B86467@psu.edu>
<9dc2ho$9rn$0@208.206.142.97> <O2oK6.29586$kh.17138190@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21560
```

Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> You will never have a clue because your mind is totally closed. Try opening
> your mind to progressive thoughts and concepts.

Go rent a clue and check out how stupid you really are. Anyone who summarily dismisses any individual as "closed minded" on the sole principle that he supports the teaching and testing of the most basic radiocommunications mode within ham radio is beyond comment. Live with it.

From -7419761462279355142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 08:37:29 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 11:37:42 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <3AFAB5C5.CF7CD19@psu.edu>
References: <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu> <20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-mq.aol.com> <TNxK6.29764$kh.17572782@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21561

The amateur should have proficiency in:

knowing where they are allowed to transmit
basic amateur rules
rf safety, similar to now, perhaps scaled back a bit
non-rf voltage safety
basic electronics - similar to now
knowlege of different modes of transmission

Here is the controversial part:

I think the amateur should be able to demonstrate via testing, an in depth knowledge of one particular mode of transmission. This could be cw

with a morse code test, ssb with tested knowledge in that. Packet, EME,
etc could be tested for. Pick a subject and do your test in that. The
tests would not be easy, they would assume similar effort expended
learning the particualr mode as learning the cw part.

Those for who Morse code is such a waste would be able to demonstrate
their proficiency in another area, the service would not be dumbed down,
so everyone should be happy, right? 8^)

I don't doubt that there could be a problem or two along the way, but I
kind of like it.

- mike -

Jim Wayda wrote:
>
> I will try to help you build a list. I can see that this will be the start
> of a long thread...
>
> -jim
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-mq.aol.com...
> > In article <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> writes:

From -4900117873738544626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,d503718a5d5b06b3,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d503718a5d5b06b3,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,d503718a5d5b06b3,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 08:40:03 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!SLee
From: SLee@houston-hunks.com (Stagger Lee)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Web sites Roger Wiseman would rather forget
Date: 10 May 2001 15:40:02 GMT
Organization: Houston's least reliable ISP
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <chugga.chugga@houston-hunks.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21562
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15989 rec.radio.shortwave:33969

Try these links to see how much Roger is loved:

http://extra.newsguy.com/~shpxurnq/archive/raoul30.html

http://extra.newsguy.com/~shpxurnq/songs.html#song3

http://extra.newsguy.com/~shpxurnq/songs.html#song5

```
From -6029184997289863253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 08:46:56 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 10 May 2001 15:46:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <9ded5g$jsn$0@208.206.142.79>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu>
<5JZJ6.28120$kh.15929377@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<9P_J6.10857$4f7.842618@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AF939AB.3B86467@psu.edu>
<9dc2ho$9rn$0@208.206.142.97> <O2oK6.29586$kh.17138190@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<4nvK6.14694$t12.1142920@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21563
```

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:O2oK6.29586$kh.17138190@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > You will never have a clue because your mind is totally closed. Try
> opening
> > your mind to progressive thoughts and concepts.
> >
>
> Let's hear it for progressive:
>
> AM: dates from when someone plugged a carbon mike into the cathode keying
> jack of his MOPA and pronounced AUDDDDDDIIIIIIOOOO.
>

> FM: Edwin Armstrong's contribution to civilized society give or take 1930.
>
> SSB: Invented by the phone company before the war, sold by Art Collins after
> it.
>
> RTTY: Old idea made to work on landlines by Teletype Corp in the 30s, and on
> radio by others. God, can you smell the machine oil burning. Good old Mr.
> Bow-dot's code has been supplanted by 117 things ending in TOR and of late
> PSK31 which actually works.
>
> Let's don't hear it for progressive:
>
> DIGITAL ANYTHING: No one has yet come up with a digital encoding scheme
> which does not have a modulation index of at least three and suffer
> multipath and selective fading out the waz. So the bright shiny digital
> modes closely resemble the wideband FM abolished in the late 50s but don't
> work as well. Gag me with a forklift.
>
> Ugh, me progressive. New good, old bad. Ugh.

"Progressive" is just another term for "gimmie a pass on the basics".

Dick W�EX

From 7886647547617334776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 09:49:47 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 16:49:06 GMT
References: <QamK6.13456$4f7.1054459@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010510124906.15339.00000522@nso-df.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21564

In article <QamK6.13456$4f7.1054459@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Good thought, listen to the citizens.

The FCC must. The US government does listen. No charge except for postage or ISP time.

>Hmmm... lemme see, maybe there could
>be some MONEY in this idea.

How so? [he asked as if that weren't a trolling comment]

>The FCC could sell free freebanders' licenses
>to Crackerjax, which in turn would triple their price on a box of Crackerjax
>and state that one out of 50 boxes of Crackerjax would contain a free FCC
>license to operate anywhere you want.

"Freebanding" is already license-free...and against the law.

>Nice. As far as 'outmoded' modes go,
>you should probably go past CW and AM and throw in sideband also. It's been
>around since before the 50's.

There was never a manual operator-demonstration test for AM
or SSB or any other non-OOK-CW mode in US amateur testing.
Never.

SSB on radio has been around since the 1930s, on landline
since the 1920s.

     <snip of irrelevant pension-annuity commentary>

>What does this have to do
>with the thread? Just be careful of what you are wishing for, you might
>just get it.

Good!   :-)

From 7498856445046727184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 10:00:14 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 09:55:24 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 114
Message-ID: <3AFAC7FC.7EE269F4@uci.edu>
References: <20010509203501.18977.00000995@nso-fa.aol.com>
<20010509225738.20174.00000289@nso-mr.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21565



Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20010509203501.18977.00000995@nso-fa.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
>    [  large snip from a "correction" posting, another "endless story" type ]
>
> >>>They used Morse code for the 2-way QSO, too. Is that the problem?
> >>
> >>Why does N2EY ask?      :-)    :-)    :-)
> >
> >Because you seem to denigrate anything hams do. You compare self-funded
> >spare-time experimenters to taxpayer-funded professionals and have nothing
> >but contempt for the former and praise for the latter.
>
> Really?  :-)   I was commenting on the 1946 Project Diana
> experiment as the first demonstration of the capability of
> the moon as a radio wave reflector.
>
> N2EY wishes to have fame of amateurs noted above such
> experiments because those experiments were done by
> "tax-payer funded professionals."  [hack, spit, ptui on pros]
>
> N2EY bristles with righteous anger at anyone not of the
> amateur persuasion disturbing the self-delusions of radio
> pioneering.  No problem.  There's been precious little
> pioneering in radio by radio amateurs since WW2.  Only
> the ones I named in a previous post...and those might
> be arguable.  "Pioneering" is one of the chief articles of
> faith in an organization's publications, but the pioneering
> actually done was over a half century in the past.  [Project
> Diana was done 55 years ago]
>
> Why the antipathy demonstrated against professionals?
> In here.  Elsewhere.  Why?
>
> Perhaps it is because all of radio is interesting as a hobby
> to those with a technical bent.  Amateur radio is a hobby.
> Some like to immerse themselves so deeply that amateur
> activities become a lifestyle.  That is okay with me, but why
> the constant anger and resentment against professionals
> [of the "military-industrial complex" greedily consuming
> hard-earned tax dollars of ordinary Americans?] for not
> wanting to share some obsessive-compulsive complex?
```

>
> It certainly seems so in here. On one side are the stuffy
> ultra-conservatives extremely defensive in the preservation
> of the hobby started in their youth, holding to the status
> quo with all their might, fighting all usurpers, all the heretics
> threatening their belief systems. On the other side are those
> who want some freedom, lesser restrictions than the
> "official" viewpoints, even rebels who don't hesitate to stomp
> on old, outmoded ways. This other side views amateur radio
> as just a hobby. The first side seems to think that it is more
> than a hobby, it is a lifestyle that must be followed at all
> costs, holding rigidly to tradition and belief. The first side
> wants to lock in all possible hobby activities in unchangeable
> law. The second side thinks of it as just a hobby done for
> relaxation...with as much freedom as possible.
>
> I am not going to argue endless fly-speck details of Project
> Diana. Yes, I did mistype the website...and corrected it.
> Is it important that the Diana antenna have such-and-so
> many dipoles? Apparently to members of the first side who
> must have exactness in every sentence. I'm of the second
> side who simply thought it was a fine pioneering thing done
> by anyone and had actually seen the Diana antenna and
> tower from a Fort Monmouth bus in 1952. No biggie at the
> time but the Diana project was definitely the first true
> demonstration of using the moon as a radio reflector.
>
> Someone had to be first. In this case it was the greedy,
> "military-industrial complex" personnel actually paid (!)
> for their efforts...as if that were some major pejorative on
> anyone trying out an experiment or actually pioneering.
>
> Some time ago elsewhere I made a casual mention of
> the US Army Signal Corps "starting US military aviation,"
> more as a bit of gentle ribbing at some stalwart ex-USAF
> types. The amount of flak received was remarkable!
> "Couldn't have been true!" and "inconsistent with known
> reality" were the more gentle responses. :-)   Just the
> same, the first heavier-than-air aircraft contract and
> delivery in the US military was let by and received by the
> US Army Signal Corps. Mistyping one character in that
> exchange meant the entire message was "invalid!"   :-)
>
> This seems to be the environment here. The "first siders"
> (the traditionalists, steeped in legend and glory tales)
> busy with fly-speck corrections, admonitions, and charges
> of "unprofessional errors" and "mistakes" that invalidate
> any viewpoint contrary to the first siders. :-)
>
> >I thought you knew everything about radio, Len. Guess I was mistaken.
>
> Oh, oh, a snarly comment! Shame!   :-)
>

> Never licensed in telepathy, I cannot know what N2EY is thinking.
> I have some capability in radio design, operation, testing, and
> theory...and am not afraid of destroying some cherished belief
> set by looking elsewhere for more data, especially in regards to
> radio regulations. I know that is a "crime" according to some,
> very much so in regards to amateur radio and its devotees of
> the "first side."
>
> FB on the fly-specks, N2EY, my fly-swatter is tired. Let me
> clean it and have the big bat ready for next time. :-)
>
> Len Anderson
> Retired member of the evil, greedy "military-industrial complex"

...and tremendous Ahole.

```
From -2890002342377400388
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 10:17:39 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu> <20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-
mq.aol.com> <TNxK6.29764$kh.17572782@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<3AFAB5C5.CF7CD19@psu.edu>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 48
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <S2AK6.15121$t12.1175378@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 17:17:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.152
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989515058 12.75.103.152 (Thu, 10 May
2001 17:17:38 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 17:17:38 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21566
```

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3AFAB5C5.CF7CD19@psu.edu...

> The amateur should have proficiency in:
>
> knowing where they are allowed to transmit
> basic amateur rules

> rf safety, similar to now, perhaps scaled back a bit
> non-rf voltage safety
> basic electronics - similar to now
> knowlege of different modes of transmission
>
> Here is the controversial part:
>
> I think the amateur should be able to demonstrate via testing, an in
> depth knowledge of one particular mode of transmission. This could be cw
> with a morse code test, ssb with tested knowledge in that. Packet, EME,
> etc could be tested for. Pick a subject and do your test in that. The
> tests would not be easy, they would assume similar effort expended
> learning the particualr mode as learning the cw part.
>
> Those for who Morse code is such a waste would be able to demonstrate
> their proficiency in another area, the service would not be dumbed down,
> so everyone should be happy, right? 8^)
>
> I don't doubt that there could be a problem or two along the way, but I
> kind of like it.
>
> - mike -
>

Your first part boils down to enough familiarity with the basics to avoid being a threat to himself and others. Depending upon your cynicism, either so the licensing authority can sleep nites or keep from being sued.

As for the second part, I'd settle for significant achievement in ANY solitary human activity that isn't illegal and quite possibly some that are. Doing a marathon or even 10K in a time measurable with anything but a sundial qualifies. Doing fifty burglaries without getting caught is worthy of at least consideration.

But anyone convicted of self-deception, self-justification, and general whining over a fairly simple code test from now until it goes away is permanently barred.

Dick N�BK

From -3154699741879152022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 11:20:15 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!
arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 11:14:47 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <3AFADA97.23CFCF2F@uci.edu>
References: <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu> <20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-mq.aol.com> <TNxK6.29764$kh.17572782@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AFAB5C5.CF7CD19@psu.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21567

The point being missed here, is that somebody has already come up with a pretty good list of things amateurs should be proficient in - none of which pass muster as being "necessary" in the sense Mr. Wayda applies solely to Morse code.

73, Jim AC6XG

mike coslo wrote:

> The amateur should have proficiency in:
>
> knowing where they are allowed to transmit
> basic amateur rules
> rf safety, similar to now, perhaps scaled back a bit
> non-rf voltage safety
> basic electronics - similar to now
> knowlege of different modes of transmission
>
> Here is the controversial part:
>
> I think the amateur should be able to demonstrate via testing, an in depth knowledge of one particular mode of transmission. This could be cw with a morse code test, ssb with tested knowledge in that. Packet, EME, etc could be tested for. Pick a subject and do your test in that. The tests would not be easy, they would assume similar effort expended learning the particualr mode as learning the cw part.
>
> Those for who Morse code is such a waste would be able to demonstrate their proficiency in another area, the service would not be dumbed down, so everyone should be happy, right? 8^)
>
> I don't doubt that there could be a problem or two along the way, but I kind of like it.
>
> - mike -

```
>
>
> Jim Wayda wrote:
> >
> > I will try to help you build a list. I can see that this will be the start
> > of a long thread...
> >
> > -jim
> >
> > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-mq.aol.com...
> > > In article <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
> > writes:


From 8892776257445905180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 12:08:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 19:08:09 GMT
References: <4nvK6.14694$t12.1142920@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510150809.08242.00000353@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21568

In article <4nvK6.14694$t12.1142920@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net> writes:

>Gag me with a forklift.

A Cat D10R will do nicely.  Have two in my back yard.   :-)


From 9130867884978011287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 12:08:53 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 19:08:08 GMT
References: <20010510095348.28252.00003064@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510150808.08242.00000352@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21569

In article <20010510095348.28252.00003064@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>And while you're at it, please explain why people who
>ride on busses aren't required to possess Commercial Driver's Licenses.

Simple. They are "third-party traffic."   :-)

From -373334779797841884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 12:08:55 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 19:08:10 GMT
References: <20010510083455.24833.00001104@nso-bd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010510150810.08242.00000355@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21570

In article <20010510083455.24833.00001104@nso-bd.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>, "Jim Wayda"
><jwayda@killspam.home.com> writes:
>
>>I understand that CW is required to conform to the ITU.
>
>International treaty says that a morse code TEST is required of all who want
>amateur radio licenses. This test may be waived for licenses that only allow
>operation above 30 MHz. The FCC has stated that this treaty requirement is
>now the only reason they retain the Morse code test, and only at 5 wpm.

S25.5 does not specify any code test rate.

Every administration is free to set their own testing rate.

From 1235892787447692564
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 12:08:59 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 19:08:09 GMT
References: <QaoK6.14037$4f7.1082812@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510150809.08242.00000354@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21571

In article <QaoK6.14037$4f7.1082812@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net> writes:

>"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
>news:p0oK6.29585$kh.17136037@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
>> There is absolutely nothing troublesome about it! Again, this is analogous
>> to starting a car using a hand crank. You simply want new hams to undergo
>> this hazing ritual because you were subjected to it! It's time to move
>on!
>> Get over it before this hobby dies and amateur radio eventually loses more
>> spectrum.
>>
>> Why not replace it with a college hazing ritual that involves a jellybean?
>> ... just joking :)
>
>Jim, why do you have this hazing ritual hang-up? And better yet, why drag
>the same old crap by people who have done it, most of whom have long since
>adjusted to the idea that the day of code testing is over. Finally, what
>makes you think our opinion, even should you sell the tired old arguments,
>is relevant to the process?
>
>Just who in hell are you trying to convince and why?

Just who in the hell are you that you should be newsgroup moderator?
:-)

From 3267060446487868421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 12:09:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 62
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 19:08:08 GMT
References: <3afa52ad.320911176@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Message-ID: <20010510150808.08242.00000351@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21573

In article <3afa52ad.320911176@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 10 May 2001 00:44:38 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <3af95657.256319869@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
>>Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>>
>>
>>How is the "clueful" one on surface mount technology?
>>Can he "butcher" some SMDs in the same manner?
>>
>Actually, yes Lenny. Did that recenly. TS-50 second IF. I'll leave it
>to you bench techs next time, engineers have loftier things to do with
>their time.

:-)   Kelly admits to butchering SMDs also?

Does a TS-50 have SMDs? How did Kelly "butcher" some
surface mount devices? Nothing specific there, senior.

>I can supply a long list of the "noclue Novices" just in my crowd who
>went on to electronics-based academic, gummint and corporate
>acheivements so far beyond anything you've ever accomplished it's a
>snicker Lenny.

Nobel Prize winners? Canonized Catholic Saints? "Leaders?"

All because of having a noclue Novice license? Remarkable!

>Ya oughta jumped in back then like we did Lenny, ya
>missed yer ticket to ride. Novice ticket that is.

Of course, of course, senior. My "ride" wasn't on a hobby
merry-go-round like yours.   :-)

<on microwave band amateur operations>

>Yeah, I'm LICENSED to do that but yiu're NOT. Keep tuning for me
>Lenny, but for God's sake don't transmit, you're not allowed to do
>that. Just listen: That's all you're allowed to do.

:-) True, on some of the amateur microwave bands, but not on
others.

I'd like to see some of Kelly's "qualifications" on proving
suppression of harmonic content below FCC amateur radio
limits. Any band. Engineers do that. Maybe Kelly has
"loftier things to do" and should not be bothered by that?   :-)

>>Contact the nearest neighborhood QPC and tell them how to
>>do things in no uncertain terms! I'm sure they will appreciate
>>being told all about how amateur radio testing should be done.
>>
>How did they react to your "instructions"?

I haven't contacted the VEC QPC. I see no need to.

Kelly is the one in dispute with today's amateur written tests,
not me. :-)

From -5523845650571092993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 12:09:05 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 74
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 19:08:13 GMT
References: <3AF9FAD4.310E1739@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010510150813.08242.00000357@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21572

In article <3AF9FAD4.310E1739@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:

>Len, when you finally have a chance to regale us with your amateur radio
>tales, they won't go back very far, will they?

:-)

Expected. Heil manufactured a sub-thread of "wanna-bes slavering after an amateur radio license and sick at not having one," and now comes the prologue to what would happen if and only if such a license would be required. Heil was transparent before and now he has proved the transparency. :-)

Heil seems to have only one motive: Be angry at everyone who doesn't hold dear those values and viewpoints Heil holds...and will continue to attempt put-downs on everyone not agreeing with hid sacred, divine views. No problem. Such individuals are bountiful in and out of amateur radio, busy waving their "credentials" and shouting "I can do it but you can't" like some well-over-the-hill schoolkids. Heil will then put on his emperor's new clothes suit and demand subservience because of his innate (self-professed) "superiority." :-)

The subject thread (indeed, this very newsgroup) is the retention or elimination of the morse code test for an amateur radio license. Instead, it becomes a war of personalities, of the flag rank who have "made it" up in arms against those who did not "enlist" in the "service." Heil insists on all those who storm any barricades of thought first be on Heil's side (do as Heil did) before being "allowed" to argue with his exhalted presence. Pfui.

Heil is too wrapped up in his own personal credentialed viewpoint to dispassionately or logically argue any case for or against regulations. Regulations of US government, indeed all US laws, can be argued for or against by all citizens of the US. There is no sacred "bill of rights" in the US Constitution that requires "proof of motive," "adherence to a fraternal order's tradition," or any other ethos or mythos to discuss, debate, or argue regulations concerning US amateur radio. None.

Heil seems to argue "in order to argue against 'us' you first have to 'be' like 'us.'" as if the 'us' in this case were some nobility created by divine providence. Subjective and illogical non-qualification. Others of Heil's ilk, sept, and clan seem to use equally illogical subjective "arguements" demanding such credentialism and "qualification" but in cruder, more immature ways.

"Tales of the last century" are historical facts and artifacts and "old war stories" are merely personal anecdotes. But, the "spirit" of olde tyme hamme radio seems to be an extremely personal experience, all such "propagation" going on inside individual heads, virtual worlds imagined while poised behind solo-operated radios. Having achieved personal goals at whatever level demanded by forebears, the olde tyme hamme falls into the demand "to be worthy of discussion with 'us' you must first be one of 'us!'" The codicil of this demand of will is then attached, "Do it or shut up!"   :-)

Heil can do several things in response to this message:

1. Try arguing the case for a code test on the basis for the code as a radio regulatory tool by governments.
2. Seek out the Internet newsgroup nabobs who control newsgroup creations and demand a closed fraternal-order-qualified access wherein all with access can busy themselves with make-work of self-congratulations and braggadoccio on morse code proficiency (no others will have access and cannot see the glory and majesty of this achievement).
3. Do nothing, continue with the hobby and seek personal vengence against personal viewpoint opposition elsewhere.

From -8235259557737616554
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 12:09:08 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 96
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 19:08:11 GMT
References: <3afa5c89.323435522@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010510150811.08242.00000356@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21574

In article <3afa5c89.323435522@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>>... Has the ARRL stated openly
>>that it requested tableing of the S25.5 through the
>>IARU? Did the IARU web page (at the ARRL site)
>>say so? How many radio amateurs are acquainted
>>with this bit of recent history in detail?
>>
>Most of us. You think you're the only one who knows what's up??

There is no proof whatsoever in Kelly's claim that "most of 'us' (radio amateurs)" know what is up. This can be seen on many of the questions and new subject thread starts begun by many in amateur newsgroups. :-)

>Egomaniac ham radio "expert" in a ham NG with no ham license, gotta
>love it.

:-)   Kelly has become disturbed because his own ego is threatened?

Following Kelly's "logic," the FCC itself is a bunch of "egomaniacs" because they regulate US amateur radio and are not required to have amateur radio licenses!   :-)

>The simple and obvious fact of the matter in this case Lenny is that
>S25.5 is such a weenie piece of trivia in the big picture that the
>upcoming WRC most likely will not bother with it. As in they have more
>important issues to handle within the limited timeframe they have to
>deal with anything .

The agenda (as of now) for WRC-03 has 38 separate articles for consideration by adminstrations. Of those, 9 articles affect amateur radio in whole or in part. WRC-03 is two years away...how is that a "limited timeframe?"

If S25.5 is such a "weenie piece of trivia" then why is morse code skill demonstrated by testing such an important subject for amateur radio?

>So of course that prospect just pisses you off no end Lenny, they're
>probably once again gonna brush off the opportunirty for you to
>achieve your lifetime "victory"over ham radio. Keep twisting Lenny,
>whine, whine, rant, rant, ya just never know Lenny . .

There is no "victory" or "battle" going on except in the minds of those who fear reduction in their amateur titles, status, and privileges. I have no "battle against ham radio." My desire is simply to have an old test element eliminated. Oddly, the FCC wants to eliminate it as well. Oh, my, the effrontery of the FCC eliminating that test! Who do they think they are, the civil radio regulators?!?   :-)

Elimination of the code test should not affect Kelly's license privileges. If Kelly keeps to a timely schedule of renewals, he will never have to take another radio test in his life. No worries at all about having to learn something new. :-)

>And ham radio has no interest whatsoever in the NTIA. The NTIA is a
>low-level advisory frequency bookeeping operation period Lenny, get
>past it.

:-)   If so, then Kelly should forthwith contact his congressperson and have such a wasteful government agency eliminated. However, the NTIA is a part of the voting US administration team at WRCs.

>Keep thrashing for somebody else to get S25.5 on the agenda
>Lenny, your're running out of rope quick, the NTIA ain't gonna save
>your bacon.

No "bacon" is desired to be "saved," save for some now and then for a bacon-lettuce-and-tomato sandwich for lunch. :-)

Kelly is manufacturing a dispute again, unable to see what was being discussed.

Kelly doesn't realize that S25.5 was not specifically an agenda item for any US WARC or WRC agenda list, minutes, or mention until this April. So far the only mention specifically on S25.5 is the NTIA's draft paper.

>>The NTIA is part
>>of the US voting administration team at WRCs.
>>The ARRL and the IARU have no vote at WRCs.
>>
>Yeah, Like the NRA has "no vote in the U.S. Congress".

The NRA has no vote in the US Congress. The ARRL-IARU has no vote in the ITU.

Does Kelly wish to promote personal arms carry for WRC meeting members?   :-)

Kelly could use a dose of diazepam before the next message session. :-)

From 866687079609261800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b52ecf0f1eb9624a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 12:18:42 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!opentransit.net!wanadoo.fr!isdnet!newsfeed.icl.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: AntiKeyClownLawyer <nospam@newsranger.com>
References: <tfl2k8l82hi6e8@corp.supernews.com> <20010510100310.10524.00002831@nso-md.aol.com> <tfle757939isea@corp.supernews.com> <SbBK6.1451$bi2.121586@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: "Export" Radios
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <VPBK6.25$j65.893@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please

```
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 15:18:13 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 19:18:13 GMT
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21575
```

The majority of so-called "10 meter radios" built today are targeted for use by CBers - as evidenced by their channelized design, built-in roger beeps, echo boards, etc. There is no reason (other than the illegal cb market) that these radios exist.

Check out this web page written by export radio fans. The 3rd paragraph is especially outrageous. The intent seem to be to open up 10 meters to CBers. No where is there talk of using these rigs legitimately.

http://www.mods.dk/mods.php3?model=hr2510&radio=uniden

"Author: Josh Steele

As you know, the HR2510 is a mobile 10-meter transceiver radio recently introduced into the market by the Uniden Corporation. Rumor has if that if is easily convertible to on 11-meter radio, but the manufacturer would never admit so that. Let me fell you, however, that the rumor is definitely true.

This radio is hot!. It is so hot that distributors can't keep the radio in stock. And, as Jack reports to me, Uniden can't fill their orders to heir distributors. They cannot produce enough the radios in the Orient. A large shipment was received in the states recently, but it only mounted to a fraction of the number that has seen ordered from the company.

The HR2510 is for the CBer who has verything. If can expand your horizon into a hole new area. As Uniden's own literature tates, it "opens up 10 Meters to more people that ever before." If you're a lover of export radios, you'll love this unit. It is an idea whose has

```
From -3786416727185386657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 13:01:21 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
```

news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cletus.bright.net!not-for-mail
From: "Henry County American Red Cross" <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org>
<r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org>
<7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not>
<XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not>
<slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain> <3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not>
<3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net> <3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not>
<5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF3818C.28F440BF@spam.me.not>
<EYUI6.48645$B22.12307263@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF42130.7083E136@spam.me.not>
<c6WI6.376$R01.172960@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <3AF693E8.EF77E40@spam.me.not>
<3AF6A3E8.5F001C5F@IEEE.org> <3AF6B5C7.501194DA@spam.me.not>
<n1GJ6.53673$B22.13704741@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com> <3AF77DBD.B4813F55@some.net>
<3AF7AAAB.D597E6CF@spam.me.not> <lJPJ6.43826$2_.15211996@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF83741.387451A6@spam.me.not> <3AF94EB6.1573B77B@some.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Message-ID: <0jCK6.9390$Os.1295162@cletus.bright.net>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 15:47:27 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.201.42.134
X-Complaints-To: abuse@bright.net
X-Trace: cletus.bright.net 989524284 216.201.42.134 (Thu, 10 May 2001 15:51:24 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 15:51:24 EDT
Organization: bright.net Ohio
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5652 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15995 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:23277 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21578

JR <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3AF94EB6.1573B77B@some.net...
> I would suggest you forget the echo completely when getting on the ham
> bands. It may please all the 12 year olds and those who think like 12
> year olds on cb, but it doesn't fly on ham radio.
>

echo definately sucks on 11m sideband as well, especially thse jokers who get their kicks by echoing their roger beeps. I never saw the need for the modulation toys, I have only ever seen two good reasons for a power mic, one was a friend of mine who had a really soft voice due to his suffering throat cancer, and he had his setup on a O-scope and had it set at 100% (or as close to it as possible) by a local radio sho that is owned by a local HAM, the other was a friend who had a radio with weak modulation, he had it in every shop in the tri state area and nobody could trace the problem, so he had a power mic that he barely cracked open. Other than this, power mics and modulation kits (connex boards) are a waste and useless for good sounding radio.

WebDoc

From -5805959679837489003
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bfab42cfcbc9bb75,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 14:30:34 PST
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: A real chance
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <_LDK6.15499$4f7.1195834@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 21:30:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.174.199
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989530234 12.88.174.199 (Thu, 10 May 2001 21:30:34 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 21:30:34 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21579

I received an e-mail in response to a reply I had made to a post. Groping for words, I did not use the phrase 'actuarilly reduce'. My spelling may be in error, but I think you have the idea. I responded 'using actuary tables (at least I think). I received an e-mail stating the adjective should be 'actuarial'. I thank the gentleman for this response. Gang, I would hope that we could use this group as an exchange of ideas - and an opportunity to learn! We needn't flame each other; rather, we can use the newsgroup for purposes as I stated - an opportunity to exchange ideas and to learn. To Andrew, thanks for the correction. It has been noted. Now, gang, how about some real discussions about amateur radio (and, unless you have something serious to point out, leave the CW vs no CW and the gay issues alone? It's just too hot with no real answers (I believe, but if you have something constructive to add, I'm certainly willing to listen!)

Thanks especially to Andrew and 73 to all from Rochester, NY
Jim (AA2QA)
ps - next time I'll use the excuse that my bifocals aren't all that good!
:)
pps - Andy, I'll getcha sometime, but for now, I do thank you!

From 2158681462293347912
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 15:10:10 PST
Message-ID: <3AFB1167.9F6F2C9F@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 17:08:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <RphK6.8218$vg1.685769@www.newsranger.com>
<20010509172716.03931.00002299@ng-mp1.aol.com>
<QYkK6.219$bi2.18532@www.newsranger.com> <3AF9E0D2.DB2221B2@IEEE.org>
<QinK6.405$bi2.30543@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 989532132 64.240.47.54 (10 May 2001 17:02:12 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21580

K0HB wrote:
> C'mon Cecil, I tried to get a clarification. When I challenged
> your assertion about EVERY home that Georgia town, you not only
> affirmed it, ...

Hans, I did NOT affirm the above statement, I said it (the law) was
no myth and that is perfectly true. The law is no myth. Georgia residents
affirmed my statement. Now please apologize and say three "Hell Marys".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3624647965599723064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 15:11:53 PST
Message-ID: <3AFB11D0.47262925@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 17:10:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
References: <9d8vdf$gdv$0@208.207.71.146> <20010510095349.28252.00003065@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 989532236 64.240.47.54 (10 May 2001 17:03:56 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21581

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Upgrading does result in an automatically renewed license.

Nope it doesn't, Larry. I upgraded to Extra and my license was
NOT renewed. It still has the same expiration date as my
Advanced.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6542076370868256712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 15:18:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 17:16:40 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <7b%J6.28137$kh.15981824@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<20010510095348.28252.00003064@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 989532614 64.240.47.54 (10 May 2001 17:10:14 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: newsfeed.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21582

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Maybe you can answer this question. I've been asking Cecil this for
> years, and he can't come up with an answer -- so perhaps you can.
>
> What is the relationship between professional engineering credentials
> and working experience, and the testing requirements for an AMATEUR
> radio license?

I've answered the question tens of times, Larry. You just don't like
the answer which is: Electronics is electronics. There is nothing
special about amateur radio electronics. That an engineer finds the
amateur radio test effortless is irrelevant. No effort is required
by the FCC.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3806699543917815254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b52ecf0f1eb9624a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:21:30 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 23:20:53 GMT
References: <VPBK6.25$j65.893@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: "Export" Radios
Message-ID: <20010510192053.20819.00002234@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21010

```
1 3 5 7 9 +20 +40 +60
|||||
TROLL-O-METER
```
(Yes, the troll-o-meter's gone digital.)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 5437358625266360934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:22:07 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
yellow.newsread.com!bad-news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!
POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: "Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67> <eWxJ6.4402$vg1.342494@www.newsranger.com>
<3AF6F877.71CBDAC5@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts----Renters
Lines: 43
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <OnFK6.547$gA.179321@monger.newsread.com>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 23:21:18 GMT

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.7.166.19
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@tm.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 989536878 64.7.166.19 (Thu, 10 May 2001 19:21:18 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 19:21:18 EDT
Organization: The Mercury Network (tm.net) @is2.tm.net
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21011
```

"Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message
news:3AF6F877.71CBDAC5@uci.edu...

>
>
> K0HB wrote:
> > Why are we losing these "old customers"?
>
> Not enough free time to pursue the hobby?
>
> 73, AC6XG

Another thought that hasn't been covered, but my main reason why I probably will not get on HF for quite a long time is I am a RENTER. There are a ton (don't have exact figures) of hams that basically fall into the RENTER category, this would also include lessees (i.e. condo's, townhouses etc.) and there seems to be not any group whatsoever lobbying for the support of people who are hams, and wish to deal with any form of antennas.

With my landlord, they have a very vague policy written in the rules, "No Bases." Apparently they seem to think every transmitting device MUST be a CB, and I even ran into serious problems trying to make them believe my dual band HT was a scanner.... (it is a HT, but in order to keep from eviction I had to convince them it was a scanner.....)

Hmmmmm..... where is the ARRL on this one???? I know this is not the answer to the question, but may be part of it. I know there are a bunch of "stealth" antennas and disguise ideas for the equipment itself, but why should we have to do this? My personal free time is at an EXTREME premium when I am not at work (work 65-80 hours per week) , so I do not have a ton of time to experiment with stuff. I need tried and true equipment and accessories, that allow me to communicate as soon as possible. Maybe that the majority of hams are in retirement age category would explain why they have "all the time in the world."

Just some thoughts....

Ryan KC8PMX

From -1898482359708352233

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bfab42cfcbc9bb75
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:23:32 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 23:23:24 GMT
References: <_LDK6.15499$4f7.1195834@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: A real chance
Message-ID: <20010510192324.20819.00002235@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21012

Amen!

>Now, gang, how about
>some real discussions about amateur radio (and, unless you have something
>serious to point out, leave the CW vs no CW and the gay issues alone?

I second that emotion, Jim.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN





From -241608827136116878
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:26:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
news.tele.dk!64.152.100.70!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-
sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
```

mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com>
<WUFJ6.38791$2_.13566909@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af7666c.2467862@news.mindspring.com>
<AzPJ6.43771$2_.15204332@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af93d7d.2720046@news.mindspring.com> <3AFA79B1.5D5C@worldlynx.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <doFK6.59497$2_.21445966@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 23:21:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989536905 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 10 May 2001 16:21:45 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 16:21:45 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22691
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8598 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2604
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2498 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12772
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21013 rec.radio.cb:32152

> You have to remember that most "safety" related laws and rules are
> written for the lowest common denominator in society. Just because YOU
> can safely drive a car on a particular road at 70 MPH, does not mean
> that everyone else can. And since personal responsibility and prudent
> judgement seems to be at an all time low in this country, we have been
> more or less forced to have the rules dictated to us. It's a shame for
> those of us that feel that we're "better" than that, but the law is the
> law, and you do not have the right to arbitrarily disregard them.
> Organize a lobby, study and document your evidence as to why a
> particular law should be changed, and present it to the proper governing
> body, and let the process do its thing.

Not to mention not inconvenient either

```
From 3267994749392494304
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:26:04 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<3af7672b.2658424@news.mindspring.com>
<HBPJ6.43783$2_.15205767@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af93e4c.2927339@news.mindspring.com>
<AxjK6.53387$2_.18872602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9dci9s$ri2$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 5
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <coFK6.59496$2_.21446736@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 23:21:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
```

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989536904 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 10 May 2001 16:21:44
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 16:21:44 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22692
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8599 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2605
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2499 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12773
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21014 rec.radio.cb:32153
```

> Well....there is one thing about it.....Ya just cain't fisk stupid.

No but we can certainly have guidelines to punish the stupid by

---

```
From -5524001629602989979
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:26:23 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
news.tele.dk!64.152.100.70!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-
sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com> <3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<3af7672b.2658424@news.mindspring.com>
<HBPJ6.43783$2_.15205767@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af93e4c.2927339@news.mindspring.com>
<AxjK6.53387$2_.18872602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
```

<jfqkftkoefp585p4oo41of24rhgrrp47rj@4ax.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 8
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <eoFK6.59498$2_.21446119@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 23:21:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989536906 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 10 May 2001 16:21:46 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 16:21:46 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22693 rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8600 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2606 rec.radio.amateur.dx:2500 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12774 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21015 rec.radio.cb:32154

> Really??
>
> It didn't look that way from where I'm sitting!

I remember the floridians complaining about a ballot for quite a long time there ...

From 8181894043771823657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:41:34 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 23:40:51 GMT
References: <20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510194051.12866.00002627@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21016

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>OK let's give it a go. I think it's pretty "inarguable" that each applicant
>for an Amateur Radio License should be required to provide his name,

>street address, city, state, and Zip code on his license application.
>What do you think? Is this axeing too much?

Do you have anything serious to say, or is cynicism the best you can really manage?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 5913098527230821308
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:44:34 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 23:44:28 GMT
References: <9dadoc$irm$1@208.207.71.137>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510194428.12866.00002630@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21017
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Spoken like a man who has never accomplished doodly.

> Which is all that is expected these days, and forever onward.

You are, of course, speaking for yourself, Dick. I expect more than doodly from people. There is probably deep meaning to be found in the fact that we each seem to be getting exactly what we expect out of Amateur Radio...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1714232130962068253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:47:37 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
```

mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 23:47:18 GMT
References: <3AF94355.10B13F1B@psu.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510194718.12866.00002634@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21018

>From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu

>Because I've put in the time and effort, and it will be dropped for
>others. That is pretty simple and just plain human. Who wouldn't be?

Would you have similar, but opposite, feelings if they significantly increased the code speed requirement for new hams? Perhaps that one had to attain 40 wpm to get all Amateur priveleges?

> I won't begrudge the new hams anything though, they are just taking the
>test in force at the time of their exam, just as I am taking the tests
>available in my own time.

And I respect you for that. The ONLY tests that new hams can take are the ones stipulated by the rules -- they are taking the test that is required, just as I did in 1963 and 1964. There are some, however, that fault those who have done no more wrong than to do what the law requires to get a license.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -483430136069982885
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:49:35 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 23:48:51 GMT
References: <gxdK6.7796$vg1.639213@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

```
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510194851.12866.00002635@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21019

>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net

>>Dropping the CW requirement will not change your ability to use the
>>mode one bit.  Why would you be annoyed?

>I think for some folks it's similar to "seeing something on sale for 50%-off,
>after you just paid full retail".  Maybe not a 'rational' reaction, but
>certainly an understandable one.

I have never viewed the skill I have attained in Morse code as something I have
paid; it is something I have gained.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>




From 1686637443898215145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:50:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 16:49:45 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
```

```
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AFB2919.12D2B872@uci.edu>
References: <20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010510194051.12866.00002627@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21020



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >OK let's give it a go.  I think it's pretty "inarguable" that each applicant
> >for an Amateur Radio License should be required to provide his name,
> >street address, city, state, and Zip code on his license application.
> >What do you think?  Is this axeing too much?
>
> Do you have anything serious to say, or is cynicism the best  you can really
> manage?

What inarguably necessary requirements can you offer-up for the list,
Ed?

73, Jim AC6XG


From 3327188348597383021
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:50:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 16:47:45 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AFB28A1.1FF18188@uci.edu>
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9dd7so$f07$1@news2-2.kornet.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21021
rec.radio.shortwave:33347

Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> Oh for Cry-Yi.... at the rate that stations are bailing out of SW, there
> won't be anything left BUT pirates... if we get rid of them, there won't be
> ANYTHING to listen to on HF.. and folks.. for those that seem sure that the
> big radio corporations WANT the ham frequencies... all these Int'l broadcast
> stations shutting down should show you that the HF bands are not
> commercially feasible...

This bailing out you refer to, could you possibly ask them to please
bail out of the 40 meter ham band?

Thanks,

Jim AC6XG

From 6995275225336134373
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:50:35 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 23:50:07 GMT
References: <9dc1oo$89d$0@208.206.142.97>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510195007.12866.00002636@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21022

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Dropping the CW requirement will not change your ability to use the mode
>one
>> bit. Why would you be annoyed?

> The fact that all hams are being licensed without being able to
>communicate in the most basic level of radio communications, that of

>on-off keying of a carrier using a basic aplphanumeric code. It's
>skipping a 'troublesome' step in the basics.

That change was made over 10 years ago, Dick.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3474582913063820210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:52:34 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 23:51:46 GMT
References: <9debum$h8i$0@208.206.142.79>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510195146.12866.00002637@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21023

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Again, this is analogous to starting a car using a hand crank.

> So where you going to find a car these days that is set up for starting
>with a hand crank? You that far behind the curve on technology?

Outside of Amateur Radio, where are we going to find any other part of radio that is set up for using manual Morse encoding and decoding? Is Amateur Radio that far behind the curve on technology? ...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3673001175000250957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:53:31 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-

beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 23:53:17 GMT
References: <9debum$h8i$0@208.206.142.79>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510195317.12866.00002638@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21024

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You are simply full of crap.

A replacement for "go soak your head," Dick? A blast from the grammar-school past.

>You come off as looking like a complete doofus with such statements.

'Nuff said, eh?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4992838505670021536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 16:56:34 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 May 2001 23:55:46 GMT
References: <9debum$h8i$0@208.206.142.79>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510195546.12866.00002639@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21025

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Ham radio is basically withering on the vine even as the license
>numbers in the FCC database reach record proportions.

In what way? We have harder tests than we did when I was licensed. Those tests cover more technical ground. Hams are using modes we never dreamed were possible. We have more HF spectrum than we had when I was first a General. Looking over the past 15 years, we have lost a bit of VHF spectrum, gained a bit of UHF spectrum and lost a bit of microwave spectrum.

Why the doom and gloom?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -49323555461610128
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 17:00:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 16:58:45 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AFB2B35.F8CC616@uci.edu>
References: <9debum$h8i$0@208.206.142.79> <20010510195146.12866.00002637@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21026

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Again, this is analogous to starting a car using a hand crank.
>
> > So where you going to find a car these days that is set up for starting
> >with a hand crank? You that far behind the curve on technology?
>
> Outside of Amateur Radio, where are we going to find any other part of radio
> that is set up for using manual Morse encoding and decoding? Is Amateur Radio
> that far behind the curve on technology? ...

The curve is not that far behind. However one might call the amateur
radio curve broader than anverage.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -7362066498500209361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 18:05:38 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 11 May 2001 01:05:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9dfdt1$hjc$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <3AF94355.10B13F1B@psu.edu> <20010510194718.12866.00002634@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21027

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>
> >Because I've put in the time and effort, and it will be dropped for
> >others. That is pretty simple and just plain human. Who wouldn't be?
>
> Would you have similar, but opposite, feelings if they significantly increased
> the code speed requirement for new hams? Perhaps that one had to attain 40 wpm
> to get all Amateur priveleges?

Do you have anything constructive to say, or you just going to be
cynical???

> > I won't begrudge the new hams anything though, they are just taking the
> >test in force at the time of their exam, just as I am taking the tests
> >available in my own time.
>
> And I respect you for that. The ONLY tests that new hams can take are the ones

> stipulated by the rules -- they are taking the test that is required, just as I
> did in 1963 and 1964. There are some, however, that fault those who have done
> no more wrong than to do what the law requires to get a license.

And who might that be?? There is no escaping the fact that
newcomers/upgraders are not responsible for the fact that their tests
are a farce. That doesn't mean they should be applauded in case where
they sat on their hands for half a lifetime waiting for it.

From 88052938688191761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 18:09:50 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 11 May 2001 01:09:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9dfe4u$i6m$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <gxdK6.7796$vg1.639213@www.newsranger.com>
<20010510194851.12866.00002635@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21028

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>
> >>Dropping the CW requirement will not change your ability to use the
> >>mode one bit. Why would you be annoyed?
>
> >I think for some folks it's similar to "seeing something on sale for 50%-off,
> >after you just paid full retail". Maybe not a 'rational' reaction, but
> >certainly an understandable one.
>
> I have never viewed the skill I have attained in Morse code as something I have
> paid; it is something I have gained.

Why doesn't any one of you want to accept CW skill for what it is - the

```
most basic method of radiocommunication?


From 6138820391589540440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 18:14:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 11 May 2001 01:14:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9dfee3$i6m$1@208.207.71.177>
References: <9debum$h8i$0@208.206.142.79> <20010510195317.12866.00002638@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21029



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >You are simply full of crap.
>
> A replacement for "go soak your head," Dick?


 Evidently you didn't follow the suggestion as yours still needs
soaking. The proof is that you skip right over the idiots blasts to
concentrate on the defender. You'r a real piece of work. I guess that's
what they promote in Newington these days.


From -7311831625208895310
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 19:04:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

Message-ID: <3AFB3AA3.C0DCF452@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3AF9FAD4.310E1739@earthlink.net> <20010510150813.08242.00000357@nso-mf.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 121
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 02:04:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.202
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989546693 63.17.223.202 (Thu, 10 May 2001 19:04:53 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 19:04:53 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 19:03:16 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21030

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AF9FAD4.310E1739@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len, when you finally have a chance to regale us with your amateur radio
> >tales, they won't go back very far, will they?
>
> :-)
>
> Expected. Heil manufactured a sub-thread of "wanna-bes slavering
> after an amateur radio license and sick at not having one," and now
> comes the prologue to what would happen if and only if such a
> license would be required. Heil was transparent before and now he
> has proved the transparency. :-)

Excuse me. From your diatribe, it was evident that you didn't care for
hams regaling anyone with their tales. If you don't care for ham radio,
why do you haunt a ham radio newsgroup? If you don't desire a license,
why the fixation with amateur radio? If you aren't a wannabee, why
lengthy posts on amateur radio?

> Heil seems to have only one motive: Be angry at everyone who
> doesn't hold dear those values and viewpoints Heil holds...and
> will continue to attempt put-downs on everyone not agreeing with
> hid sacred, divine views. No problem. Such individuals are
> bountiful in and out of amateur radio, busy waving their "credentials"
> and shouting "I can do it but you can't" like some well-over-the-hill
> schoolkids. Heil will then put on his emperor's new clothes
> suit and demand subservience because of his innate (self-professed)
> "superiority." :-)

My neighbor and I don't share the same religious or political views. We get along famously. Then again, he isn't a pontificating wind bag. I'm not angry very often, Len. I don't much care for you. If you don't want to get your feelings hurt just can your "expert in amateur radio" routine.

> The subject thread (indeed, this very newsgroup) is the retention or elimination of the morse code test for an amateur radio license. Instead, it becomes a war of personalities, of the flag rank who have "made it" up in arms against those who did not "enlist" in the "service." Heil insists on all those who storm any barricades of thought first be on Heil's side (do as Heil did) before being "allowed" to argue with his exhalted presence. Pfui.

Len, what stops you from obtaining a code free ticket? What physical or mental handicap prohibits your learning the code at 5 wpm and passing the exam for an HF ticket? If you want in on the enjoyment of amateur radio, why should you not be required to meet the requirements in place for obtaining a license? You are a mass of wind and bluster.

> Heil is too wrapped up in his own personal credentialed viewpoint to dispassionately or logically argue any case for or against regulations. Regulations of US government, indeed all US laws, can be argued for or against by all citizens of the US. There is no sacred "bill of rights" in the US Constitution that requires "proof of motive," "adherence to a fraternal order's tradition," or any other ethos or mythos to discuss, debate, or argue regulations concerning US amateur radio. None.

Len, I have never seen you idspassionately argue anything here. You've made your case to the Feds, who are you preaching to here? My rights extend to not having to accept your idea of ham radio nirvana, not being forced to read your crap without being able to present a dissenting view and to encourange others to see you for what you are.

> Heil seems to argue "in order to argue against 'us' you first have to 'be' like 'us.'" as if the 'us' in this case were some nobility created by divine providence. Subjective and illogical non-qualification. Others of Heil's ilk, sept, and clan seem to use equally illogical subjective "arguements" demanding such credentialism and "qualification" but in cruder, more immature ways.

Anderson has no amateur license, no amateur radio experience and, as far as I can tell, doesn't enjoy much credence here. I wouldn't take advice about buying a guitar from a guy who doesn't play guitar even if he presented me with loads of information he had gleaned from the web.

> "Tales of the last century" are historical facts and artifacts and "old war stories" are merely personal anecdotes. But, the "spirit" of olde tyme hamme radio seems to be an extremely personal experience, all such "propagation" going on inside

> individual heads, virtual worlds imagined while poised behind
> solo-operated radios. Having achieved personal goals at
> whatever level demanded by forebears, the olde tyme hamme
> falls into the demand "to be worthy of discussion with 'us'
> you must first be one of 'us!'" The codicil of this demand of
> will is then attached, "Do it or shut up!"   :-)

You are the one doing the Walter Mitty bit, Len. There you sit in front
of your computer imaging that you fire up that new KW rig, direct your
stacked yagis toward Agalega and break the pile with your first call.
The rest of us are doing those things in amateur radio which please us.
Do you expect me to buy your notion of how amateur radio should be
"fixed" when you've never had one minute's experience as a ham.
That is preposterous.

> Heil can do several things in response to this message:
>
> 1. Try arguing the case for a code test on the basis for
>   the code as a radio regulatory tool by governments.
> 2. Seek out the Internet newsgroup nabobs who control
>   newsgroup creations and demand a closed fraternal-
>   order-qualified access wherein all with access can
>   busy themselves with make-work of self-congratulations
>   and braggadoccio on morse code proficiency (no others
>   will have access and cannot see the glory and majesty
>   of this achievement).
> 3. Do nothing, continue with the hobby and seek personal
>   vengence against personal viewpoint opposition
>   elsewhere.

Anderson left out several additional options. Of those, the one I like
it: Lambast Anderson here whenever one of his posts annoys me enough.
I do plan to continue with amateur radio as I have for the past 38
years. What is holding you up?

Dave K8MN

From -8229749661487349350
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 19:08:40 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3AFB3B94.2568FC02@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3afa5c89.323435522@news2.dvol.com>
<20010510150811.08242.00000356@nso-mf.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 02:08:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.202
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989546920 63.17.223.202 (Thu, 10 May 2001 19:08:40 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 19:08:40 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 19:07:03 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21031

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3afa5c89.323435522@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
> Kelly, w3rv) writes:

> >Egomaniac ham radio "expert" in a ham NG with no ham license, gotta
> >love it.
>
> :-)   Kelly has become disturbed because his own ego is
> threatened?
>
> Following Kelly's "logic," the FCC itself is a bunch of "egomaniacs"
> because they regulate US amateur radio and are not required to
> have amateur radio licenses!   :-)

Let me clear up a misconception you seem to have, Len. You don't work
for the FCC. You are not in charge of amateur radio or any other type
of licensing. Your role here is as the geezer with the axe to grind. :)

Dave K8MN

From -8528955884174154249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 19:45:36 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 11 May 2001 02:45:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15

Message-ID: <9dfjof$5mc$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <9dadoc$irm$1@208.207.71.137> <20010510194428.12866.00002630@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21032

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Spoken like a man who has never accomplished doodly.
>
> > Which is all that is expected these days, and forever onward.
>
> You are, of course, speaking for yourself, Dick.

The system speaks for itself. Loud and Clear. It says "No code. And damn little of anything else".

From 1964355837334882141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 19:54:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!news-west.eli.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AFB533E.A8EAE425@ctaz.com>
From: Jerry France <grf@ctaz.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.51 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

```
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<3af7672b.2658424@news.mindspring.com>
<HBPJ6.43783$2_.15205767@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af93e4c.2927339@news.mindspring.com>
<AxjK6.53387$2_.18872602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9dci9s$ri2$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<coFK6.59496$2_.21446736@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 02:50:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.203.252
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ctaz.com
X-Trace: news-west.eli.net 989549439 216.67.203.252 (Thu, 10 May 2001 20:50:39
MDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 20:50:39 MDT
Organization: Ctaz.com NewsReader Service
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22729
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8605 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2607
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2501 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12783
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21033 rec.radio.cb:32164
```

Hey Rob...Why are you and your freeband idiots crossbanding your petty junk into an amateur antenna newsgroup? Can't you keep this crap in some "who cares" newsgroup?

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:

>
> > Well....there is one thing about it.....Ya just cain't fisk stupid.
>
> No but we can certainly have guidelines to punish the stupid by

```
From -2825659636870568679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 19:54:21 PST
Message-ID: <3AFB5409.9871FD18@IEEE.org>
```

Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 21:52:57 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <gxdK6.7796$vg1.639213@www.newsranger.com>
<20010510194851.12866.00002635@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9dfe4u$i6m$0@208.207.71.177>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.138
X-Trace: corp 989549189 64.240.47.138 (10 May 2001 21:46:29 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21034

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Why doesn't any one of you want to accept CW skill for what it is - the
> most basic method of radiocommunication?

Do you feel that skill has been taken away from you? If not, why are
you whining?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2532578845055036230
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 19:56:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 11 May 2001 02:56:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9dfkce$7gn$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <9debum$h8i$0@208.206.142.79> <20010510195146.12866.00002637@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21035

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Again, this is analogous to starting a car using a hand crank.
>
> > So where you going to find a car these days that is set up for starting
> >with a hand crank? You that far behind the curve on technology?
>
> Outside of Amateur Radio, where are we going to find any other part of radio
> that is set up for using manual Morse encoding and decoding? Is Amateur Radio
> that far behind the curve on technology? ...

Basic automobiles would include an engine, transmission, wheels, transmission, gears, steering, brakes and a few other fundamentsls....

Basic radio communication would include a RF carrier generator, means of encoding and decoding information, the simplest and most basic (and, incidently one of the easiest to implement and use) would be on-off keyed radiotelegraphy...

Sorry you choose to look the other way and concurrently ignore all the codebashers vitrolic hatred of all of us who long ago just did whatever it took, and who now take the blame for the codehaters' own personal problems and shortcomings. Your message comes through loud and clear, RST599.

Whether or not you know it, or acknowledge it, the League WILL get credit for your attitude here. No one believes for a minute that you wouldn't be shut off quick as a flash if your public attitude here clashed in any serious way with the official League viewpoint.

From -352152375887277683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 20:05:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9dadoc$irm$1@208.207.71.137> <20010510194428.12866.00002630@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9dfjof$5mc$0@208.207.71.177>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 34
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <mGIK6.16603$t12.1256667@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 03:05:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.130
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989550354 12.75.179.130 (Fri, 11 May 2001 03:05:54 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 03:05:54 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21036

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9dfjof$5mc$0@208.207.71.177...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >> Spoken like a man who has never accomplished doodly.
> >
> > > Which is all that is expected these days, and forever onward.
> >
> > You are, of course, speaking for yourself, Dick.
>
>
> The system speaks for itself. Loud and Clear. It says "No code. And
> damn little of anything else".

As the writer of the line right under Dick's name, I for one am getting damned tired of bozos reacting to Morse like Dracula to the Cross. When Mike is personally attacked for passing a code test, all the rules of civility go right out the window (being of Bohemian ancestry, defenstration has always struck me as interesting for some reason). We get the same old 'hazing ritual' nonsense and a denigration of what is a minor achievement but an achievement none the less.

I have long since adjusted to the reality that code testing is, probably
justifiably, on its way out. But I have no intention whatsoever of
apologizing to the likes of Jim for having passed one.

Dick McCollum N�BK

From 6748061877441779934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 20:21:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Date: 11 May 2001 02:30:56 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9dfit001eqs@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <20010510095350.28252.00003067@nso-mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-172.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21037

In article <20010510095350.28252.00003067@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...

>Jim:
>
>I'd say it will be pretty soon now -- thanks to weak, whining wimps
>like you who can't see the value of learning a uniquely practical,
>effective, efficient, and universal communications mode. If the ITU

Poor Larry. Still can't get over the fact that your sacred cow has been ground up for hamburger, can you?

>Treaty Requirement is voted out of existence at WRC '03, the
>legislative and regulatory process to "sunset" the U.S. FCC
>requirement could still take another year or two. It's a WHOLE
>lot easier than learning the Morse Code at 5 WPM!

Kind of like turning the screw as tight as you can, and then giving
it just....one....last....twist....and.....SNAP! Striping the threads.

>

>BTW -- you smoked my Troll-O-Meter with this post, so you owe
>me a new one. Ask Hansl for the ordering information.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

As many as you've smoked over the years, you've got one helluva set of
you-know-whats on you to ask for a new Troll-O-Meter.

From 8951919312674721308
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 20:24:58 PST
Message-ID: <3AFB5B2D.2C8D2C7A@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 22:23:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <cxKJ6.27050$kh.15296051@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<20010510095350.28252.00003067@nso-mq.aol.com> <9dfit001eqs@enews4.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.138
X-Trace: corp 989551017 64.240.47.138 (10 May 2001 22:16:57 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21038

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> Poor Larry. Still can't get over the fact that your sacred cow has been ground
> up for hamburger, can you?

That reminds me of the time a bunch of Texas Aggies stole the Texas U.
longhorn mascot, ground him up for hamburger, and served him in the
mess hall at Texas A&M.
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8551157875372350655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 21:04:29 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 03:52:06 GMT
References: <20010510194051.12866.00002627@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510235206.03759.00000884@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21039

In article <20010510194051.12866.00002627@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>OK let's give it a go. I think it's pretty "inarguable" that each applicant
>>for an Amateur Radio License should be required to provide his name,
>>street address, city, state, and Zip code on his license application.
>>What do you think? Is this axeing too much?
>
>Do you have anything serious to say, or is cynicism the best you can really
>manage?

Ed:

I *did* say something serious. I seriously want you to answer my
question! The only reason why I ask questions is because I don't
know the answer, and I believe the person I'm asking, does!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7297487469594896549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b52ecf0f1eb9624a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 21:04:30 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 03:52:03 GMT
References: <20010510192053.20819.00002234@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: "Export" Radios
Message-ID: <20010510235203.03759.00000879@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21040

In article <20010510192053.20819.00002234@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>, kc2hmn@cs.com
(KC2HMN) writes:

>
>1 3 5 7 9 +20 +40 +60
>|||||
>TROLL-O-METER
>(Yes, the troll-o-meter's gone digital.)
>
>73 de Bert
>KC2HMN

Bert:

That's about the same reading I got, but I had to kick in the preamp.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 5763781311391310835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 21:04:32 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 03:52:03 GMT
```

References: <3AFB11D0.47262925@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Message-ID: <20010510235203.03759.00000880@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21041

In article <3AFB11D0.47262925@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Upgrading does result in an automatically renewed license.
>
>Nope it doesn't, Larry. I upgraded to Extra and my license was
>NOT renewed. It still has the same expiration date as my
>Advanced.

That's odd. You'd think that since there's no fee involved, the FCC
would just as soon kill two birds with one administrative stone, thus
ensuring that they don't have to do anything with your steenking ham
ticket for (hopefully) another ten years. Oh well.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8377021091647597735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 21:04:32 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
Lines: 54
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 03:52:05 GMT
References: <3AFAB5C5.CF7CD19@psu.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510235205.03759.00000882@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21042

In article <3AFAB5C5.CF7CD19@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>
>The amateur should have proficiency in:
>

>   knowing where they are allowed to transmit
>   basic amateur rules
>   rf safety, similar to now, perhaps scaled back a bit
>   non-rf voltage safety
>   basic electronics - similar to now
>   knowlege of different modes of transmission
>
>Here is the controversial part:
>
>   I think the amateur should be able to demonstrate via testing, an in
>depth knowledge of one particular mode of transmission.

Mike:

I totally agree, and wholeheartedly endorse this idea -- except that I would
extend it to ALL of the PRIMARY modes of Amateur Radio communication,
based on common usage. This would mean that the prospective amateur
have "in depth" knowledge in FM, SSB, CW, RTTY, and PSK31. These
are by far the most commonly used modes at this time. Now -- "in depth"
knowledge is going to really impose a load on the prospective amateur.
It will mean being able to go back to the good 'ole days, and draw block
diagrams and schematics of practical circuits for all of these modes,
answer technical questions far in advance of the current requirements, and,
in the case of CW, it means that demonstrating sending and receiving
capability at a lousy 20 WPM simply won't cut it -- since the military
and commercial standards were at least 25 WPM for beginning operators.
So -- be careful what you ask for -- you may get it!

>This could be cw
>with a morse code test, ssb with tested knowledge in that. Packet, EME,
>etc could be tested for. Pick a subject and do your test in that. The
>tests would not be easy, they would assume similar effort expended
>learning the particualr mode as learning the cw part.

I certainly hope so, since you've now increased minimum code testing
speed by a factor of five times!

>   Those for who Morse code is such a waste would be able to demonstrate
>their proficiency in another area, the service would not be dumbed down,
>so everyone should be happy, right? 8^)

Yep. And those who learned Morse Code at 25 WPM and above would
find that it isn't "such a waste." Of course, I also think that a minimum of
2500 logged hours of monitoring 75-meter SSB QSO's should also be
a requirement for licensing in that mode, just to give the prospective ham
a good idea of what "such a waste" really means!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4385975383058165656
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 21:04:33 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 03:52:04 GMT
References: <0MxK6.29762$kh.17572112@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510235204.03759.00000881@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21043
```

In article <0MxK6.29762$kh.17572112@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> writes:

>
>It is usually very simple for an electrical engineer to pass theory exams.
>Rather than memorizing the theory, engineers learn it. They also have
>learned basic theory such as Ohms Law, and AC theory as part of their
>curriculum. Many engineers are also inclined to have an interest in amateur
>radio, This was even more true before the widespread availability of
>Internet Access.
>
>-jim

Jim:

Are you saying that mature, educated, and professional electronics engineers are no longer as attracted to amateur for the same reasons as high-school kids in baggy pants and multiple tatoos and piercings? That hardly seems likely, but if you say so, I'll take your word for it! That being the case, just who *is* attracted to ham radio, and why?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -7848555833475245028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 21:04:34 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 03:52:06 GMT
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21044

In article <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Maybe you can answer this question. I've been asking Cecil this for
>> years, and he can't come up with an answer -- so perhaps you can.
>>
>> What is the relationship between professional engineering credentials
>> and working experience, and the testing requirements for an AMATEUR
>> radio license?
>
>I've answered the question tens of times, Larry. You just don't like
>the answer which is: Electronics is electronics. There is nothing
>special about amateur radio electronics. That an engineer finds the
>amateur radio test effortless is irrelevant. No effort is required
>by the FCC.

Cecil:

Hmmm -- I still don't think you've responded to the question in the context of the topic of this newsgroup, i.e. code testing requirements. I would expect the electronics theory part of the amateur radio written tests to be completely effortless for professional electronics engineers and technicians. That is not really an issue with me. What I want to know is why these same professional engineers and technicians, at least the ones you know, think and/or feel that they deserve a special exemption from the code testing requirements, just BECAUSE they ARE professional electronics engineers? Of all of the praticipants in this newsgroup, you and Len Anderson have whined about this the loudest. So please answer the question.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2187315108178985848
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 21:35:47 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 62
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 04:35:20 GMT
References: <OnFK6.547$gA.179321@monger.newsread.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts----Renters
Message-ID: <20010511003520.27024.00001052@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21045

In article <OnFK6.547$gA.179321@monger.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

>Another thought that hasn't been covered, but my main reason why I probably
>will not get on HF for quite a long time is I am a RENTER. There are a ton
>(don't have exact figures) of hams that basically fall into the RENTER
>category, this would also include lessees (i.e. condo's, townhouses etc.)
>and there seems to be not any group whatsoever lobbying for the support of
>people who are hams, and wish to deal with any form of antennas.

You make a very good point. There are several reasons for all the restrictions,
all of them based on fear:

- fear of RFI
- fear of "ugly" antennas
- fear of damage to the property
- fear of complaints of all sorts from other tenants

Landlords want as little hassle as possible - and because it's their property,
they have a lot of legal protection. Many landlords put all sorts of
prohibitions in their leases - no pets, no loud stereos, no working on your
car, no outside antennas of any kind, etc., etc.
>
>With my landlord, they have a very vague policy written in the rules, "No
>Bases." Apparently they seem to think every transmitting device MUST be a
>CB, and I even ran into serious problems trying to make them believe my dual
>band HT was a scanner.... (it is a HT, but in order to keep from eviction I
>had to convince them it was a scanner.....)

Ignorance and fear, of course. You can bet they had bad experiences in the
past. Easiest way to avoid such problems is to simply ban them.
>
>Hmmmmm..... where is the ARRL on this one????

They have worked on this issue for many years. ARRL has pushed for PRB-1 and
other laws to fight unreasonable antenna restrictions. But there is little
legal precedent that I know of to force landlords to permit antennas.

> I know this is not the answer
>to the question, but may be part of it. I know there are a bunch of
>"stealth" antennas and disguise ideas for the equipment itself, but why

>should we have to do this?

Because you don't own the property if you rent.

> My personal free time is at an EXTREME premium
>when I am not at work (work 65-80 hours per week) , so I do not have a ton
>of time to experiment with stuff.   I need tried and true equipment and
>accessories, that allow me to communicate as soon as possible.

We all do.

>Maybe that
>the majority of hams are in retirement age category would explain why they
>have "all the time in the world."
>
Most of the hams I know are NOT of retirement age. I'm 47 - time is a premium.

>Just some thoughts....
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

From -3832392520032925787
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 21:49:46 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 66
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 04:49:24 GMT
References: <20010510195546.12866.00002639@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511004924.03759.00000887@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21046

In article <20010510195546.12866.00002639@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>Ham radio is basically withering on the vine even as the license
>>numbers in the FCC database reach record proportions.
>
>In what way? We have harder tests than we did when I was licensed. Those

>tests
>cover more technical ground.

Ed:

That may be so in your case, but today's tests are NOT harder then when I was first licensed in the early '80's. Obviously, the tests had been made a lot harder between your initial licensure and my own. Just as obviously, they have been subsequently "dumbed down."

>Hams are using modes we never dreamed were
>possible. We have more HF spectrum than we had when I was first a General.
>Looking over the past 15 years, we have lost a bit of VHF spectrum, gained a
>bit of UHF spectrum and lost a bit of microwave spectrum.
>
>Why the doom and gloom?

Because ham radio is going to hell in a handbasket, that's why! We have lowered standards, new hams who don't know the most basic things about the service, who need "Elmering" to get an out-of-the-box VHF/UHF HT to work, and, for the most part, are at or close to retirement age -- and that's the NEW hams we're getting today! We're totally unable to attract teen agers, but Bert and Ethel are chomping at the bit to keep in touch with their kids in Buffalo via HF phone from their motor home in Ft. Lauderdale.

Ham radio operators these days have zilch in the way of technical interest, and even less interest in truly mastering any sort of communications skills which involve physical and mental activity beyond button pushing and talking. To make matters worse, we are seeing an increasing amount of whining in newsgroups like this one about a silly, insignificant, and wholly inconsequential 5 WPM code test. The entire thought of expending any physical, mental, or emotional effort to gain valuable amateur radio privileges has become completely taboo. But, you should know all about that, because you work for the organization that did more than anyone else to let this happen, when it was completely within your power to retain the former meaning and prestige of an amateur radio license. And the worst part (for you and your employer) is that the expected "payoff" probably won't come!

Here's some free advice. Have the ARRL BoD get down on bended knee to the FCC, the Bush Administration, and anyone else they can find and BEG for a return to post-Restructuring license standards. Include a proviso totally separating U. S. code testing requirements from the ITU treaty requirement, thus eliminating the "sunset" issue. If you do that -- do you know what's going to happen? You probably won't lose ten times more "old" hams and ARRL members like myself as you get new members due to Restructuring. Ten years ago I wouldn't have dreamed of letting my ARRL membership lapse. Can you give me any reason why I should renew a couple of years from now, considering the current sorry state of the service in general?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6306504029249994747
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,417887dab3a92fdd,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 21:53:40 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!feeder.via.net!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AFB6EBD.3F532BBA@post.com>
From: Jonathan Knowles <jknowles@post.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en]C-AtHome0407 (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: 6m, 2m, and 70cm in Mexico
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 9
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 04:53:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.15.218.79
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com 989556819 24.15.218.79 (Thu, 10 May 2001 21:53:39 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 21:53:39 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21047

Howdy.

Anyone know the rules (or where I can find the rules) for U.S. amateurs traveling in Mexico. Specifically, the 6m, 2m, and 70cm bands.

Thanks in advance,

Jonathan
KG6GKY

From 1719490301856042614
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 22:13:31 PST
Message-ID: <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 00:12:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.138
X-Trace: corp 989557536 64.240.47.138 (11 May 2001 00:05:36 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21048

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> What I want to know is why these same professional engineers and
> technicians, at least the ones you know, think and/or feel that they
> deserve a special exemption from the code testing requirements,
> just BECAUSE they ARE professional electronics engineers?

They don't think they deserve a special exemption, Larry. To a lot
of them, an HF ham license is simply not worth the effort it takes
to learn Morse code and thus amateur radio is degraded by their
absence. It's amateur radio's loss, not theirs.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -4667882932491838847
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 23:16:33 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 06:15:50 GMT
References: <3AFB1167.9F6F2C9F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <20010511021550.05537.00002882@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21049

>Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 5/10/01 5:08 PM Central Daylight Time

>Hans, I did NOT affirm the above statement, I said it (the law) was
>no myth and that is perfectly true. The law is no myth. Georgia
>residents affirmed my statement. Now please apologize and say three >"Hell
Marys

Forget it, Cecil. Hans left any pretense of civility or maturity months ago. Next to Lennie, he is the master of the two-faced-assertion.

He'sa trolling. Nothing more...nothing less....

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -6105264072913794785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 23:23:35 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 06:22:42 GMT
References: <QYkK6.219$bi2.18532@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <20010511022242.05537.00002883@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21050

>Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 5/9/01 7:07 PM Central Daylight Time

>In both examples (Kennesaw, GA and Switzerland) he said "every", without
>qualification or modifier.

I re-read his statements.

I understood them. Why didn't you? A bit to anxious to find a reason to troll?

>What part of "every" does the jarhead not understand?

Guess I already knew what he was getting at. Didn't need to wait for your childish taunts to get the points.

>Cecils declarations are both ludicrous on their face!

What is ludicrous is that despite easily obtained information to the contrary, you continue to ignore facts.

Not that I am surprised.

>Good luck on this one now, Jarhead.

No sweat off of my back, Master Chief. You are the one rushing to self humiliation. And you are good at it.

And I am enjoying it!

Thanks!

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 8421346150560949395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-10 23:26:39 PST

```
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 06:26:07 GMT
References: <20010510150809.08242.00000353@nso-mf.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511022607.05537.00002884@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21051

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/10/01 2:08 PM Central Daylight Time

>>Gag me with a forklift.

>A Cat D10R will do nicely.  Have two in my back yard.

     Considering the amount of BS you generate, I am surprised Mrs. A doesn't
demand a third.





From 2990667820672867658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 03:30:07 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
```

.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>
<3af7672b.2658424@news.mindspring.com>
<HBPJ6.43783$2_.15205767@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af93e4c.2927339@news.mindspring.com>
<AxjK6.53387$2_.18872602@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9dci9s$ri2$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
<coFK6.59496$2_.21446736@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3AFB533E.A8EAE425@ctaz.com>
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <Y7PK6.64086$2_.22804559@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 10:27:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989576824 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 11 May 2001 03:27:04 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 03:27:04 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22748
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8608 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2609
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2504 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12793
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21052 rec.radio.cb:32175

Hey joe ... Why do you believe I give a damn about making sure the posts are in the correct forum? This is where it started and this is where it will end. And trust me I do not condone freebanding whatsoever.

--
Rob St.Denis

"Jerry France" <grf@ctaz.com> wrote in message
news:3AFB533E.A8EAE425@ctaz.com...
> Hey Rob...Why are you and your freeband idiots crossbanding your petty
> junk into an amateur antenna newsgroup? Can't you keep this crap in
> some "who cares" newsgroup?
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > > Well....there is one thing about it.....Ya just cain't fisk stupid.
> >

```
> > No but we can certainly have guidelines to punish the stupid by




From 3820967794788431608
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:18:41 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:18:13 GMT
References: <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511071813.02763.00002796@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21053

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>They don't think they deserve a special exemption, Larry. To a lot
>of them, an HF ham license is simply not worth the effort it takes
>to learn Morse code and thus amateur radio is degraded by their
>absence. It's amateur radio's loss, not theirs.

Careful, Cecil; for the next 10 years, Larry will claim that you promised that
removing Morse code requirements will result in only high-tech people entering
ham radio and he will point to the average ham as an example of how you broke
your promise.

73,
Ed, W1RFI



From 7499498355811553441
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:20:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 77
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:19:06 GMT
References: <sBAJ6.66$95.22861@news.ntplx.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010511071906.06351.00000977@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21055

In article <sBAJ6.66$95.22861@news.ntplx.net>, "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net> writes:

>N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> 1) The exact Q&A were secret
>
>The 4-page study guide more than prepared me for the exam. The questions on
>the test were close enough to the ARRL study-guide material that there is in
>my mind, nothing "secret" about it.

The "ARRL" study guide was actually a reprint of the study guide put out by the FCC. No secret to this - it says so in the LM.

We did not have the exact Q&A to study, and did not know that the test would be so similar to the guide.
>
>> 2) Retesting was a long drawn out process. In 1967, when I took the
>Novice,
>> the drill was that after you passed the code test the volunteer examiner
>sent
>> the papers to the FCC and you waited 6 to 8 weeks for the written exam to
>show
>> up. After you took it, the volunteer examiner sent it off to the FCC, and
>you
>> waited another 6 to 8 weeks for the FCC to respond. If you made it, you
>got a
>> license, if not, you did the whole drill all over again. The cycle time
>was 12
>> to 16 weeks - that's about 3 to 4 MONTHS. End result was that most Novices
>with
>> any sense went into the tests way overprepared.
>
>I think this was ridiculous in the opposite direction.

I'm not sure what you mean. My point was that the long drawn out retest process caused most of us to prepare for the test, particularly the written, in every way possible. When you say the new test is "harder", be sure to include all aspects of the test.

The long drawn out process also caused some prospects to give up trying. In my case, it gave me time to build a transmitter and get a station set up so I was ready to go when the license finally arrived.

>Personally, I would
>love to see a 30-day waiting period rule.

How could it be enforced without more work for the FCC?

>What is the required waiting
>period if one fails a driver's license exam?
>
Varies with the state.

>> 5) The oft-quoted number of pages was not the entire study guide,
>particularly
>> for the higher classes. The LMs also included the radio regs for the ARS,
>and
>> questions on any of the regs could be asked.
>
>I don't recall any questions on the regs that were not covered in the
>study-guide text.

But we did not know that beforehand.
>
>> Of course the old tests aren't available for comparison (they were secret,
>> remember?). So it's all impressions and opinions.
>
>I am still trying. :-)
>
The best guess would be to dig up an old Bash book.

Take a look at the Extra exam study guide in 1963.......

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1686801714073954049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:20:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 173
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:19:08 GMT
References: <3af5eee4.1495915@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......

Message-ID: <20010511071908.06351.00000978@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21056

In article <3af5eee4.1495915@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>>>>>>Yup. There has been a surge in the number of Techs over the past year,
>put
>>>>>that
>>>>>>is due in part to the FCC renewing Tech Pluses as Techs after April 15,
>>>>>2000.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Bingo.
>>>>>
>>>>>Could you provide some evidence of any such finacial
>>>>>influence?
>>>>
>>>>Kenwood will make more money if they sell more radios to hams. More hams
>>>means
>>>>more potential customers.
>>>>
>>>>I'm Yaecomwood's worst nightmare, by the way.
>>>
>>>Your original comment implied financial INFLUENCE over the FCC.
>>
>>You inferred what I did not imply. The $$$$$ meant that Kenwood would make
>>money by selling more ham rigs, nothing more.
>>
>>>In any case, are you suggesting that Kenwood should NOT have
>>>filed any comments with the FCC regarding the NPRM?
>>
>>Not at all - everybody has the right to comment.
>>
>>But I think that it is not in the best interest of the Amateur Radio Service
>>for the FCC to be so influenced by the comments of a foreign equipment
>>manufacturer. Just my opinion.
>
>But there was nothing in the R&O that suggested that
>the FCC was so influenced by Kenwood.

Get out your copy of the R&O. See how many times the FCC mentions and agrees
with Kenwood's comments, then see how many times they mention the comments of
any other individual or group, including NCI or ARRL.

>Indeed, the
>vast bulk of referenced comments wer from amateurs,
>the ARRL, NCI, etc.

See above. How many other mentions did any other individual or group get?
Particularly with agreement?
>

>>Would you defend Kenwood if they had commented that the code tests should be
>>kept as they were? Would you defend Kenwood if they had commented that the
>code
>>tests should be kept as they were and the FCC accepted their comments and
>acted
>>on them?
>
>Have I opposed ANYONE filing comments on either
>side of the discussion? You know the answer.

Avoids the question, Bill. I'm not saying that anyone should be prevented from
commenting. I'm not criticizing Kenwood. It's the FCC's excessive attention to
what Kenwood says that is the concern.
>
>>>>>>>We created a no-code tech because it was going to be "the answer",
>>>>>>>yet many of them turned out to not have the faintest interest in the
>>>>>>>hobby. Of those I know first hand, some never actually took the tests
>>>>>>>they were supposed to take. Few of them are active or interested. I
>>>>>>>know about 30 people who received no-codes...only two remain active.
>>>>>>>One is a 13 WPM General, and the other is a wife who uses the radio to
>>>>>>>talk to her husband.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>My experience has been quite different. I know many post-1991 Techs who
>>>>>>are very active.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>But if you look at the growth of the ARS in the USA in the decade before
>>>>>>1991, and compare it to the decade since 1991, you will NOT see any
>>>>>>lasting surge newcomers in the latter decade.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>At MFJ, where the people work with radios, over a dozen people became
>>>>>>>no-code hams. Of those, only one is active.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Busman's holiday, probably.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I would think if CW was a barrier, there would have been a steady
>>>>>>>stream of no-coders entering the door. Not a big shot that tapered to
>>>>>>>nothing. It would seem logical that a reduction from 13 WPM to 5 WPM
>>>>>>>for HF operation would have a large impact on newcomers...yet it
>>>>>>>didn't.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>True. Several reasons:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>1) Lotsa folks don't know about the changes
>>>>>>
>>>>>>2) Most folks aren't interested, even if you eliminated all tests and
>gave
>>>>>>the gear away.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>3) Many folks think they don't have the time, money, or resources (like
>>>>>>antenna space) to do ham radio.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I would think the no-code class would be a hit, didn't happen.
>>>>>>

>>>>>>It was as much of a hit as its predecessor.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Umm, no code has been available for a long time. It hasn't worked so
>>>>>>>far. Should we wait longer?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>I'm not discrediting you, but there is nothing that has happened so
>>>>>>>far that indicates what you predict is true. Suppose we drop CW, and
>>>>>>>nothing happens except problems. Do you suppose we can put it back
>>>>>>>later?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Double bingo. No way. The FCC has said for over a decade that they think
>>>the
>>>>>>code test only indicates code ability, nothing more or less. The ONLY
>>>reason
>>>>>>the FCC kept 5 wpm was because of the international treaty requirement.
>>>>>
>>>>>Has there been any chaos because of nocode techs?
>>>>
>>>>How would you define "chaos", Bill? I hear things on the ham bands today
>>>that
>>>>were unheard-of years ago. Is it because of license changes or because of
>>>other
>>>>factors? Who knows?
>>>
>>>And those things that we hear today preceeded the nocode
>>>tech.
>>
>>They did?
>
>Sure they did.

You might want to check out the FCC Enforcement Letter, (ARRL website). Note
the distribution of enforcement actions against the various license classes,
and the nature of the offenses.
>
>>> Indeed, MOST of the real verbal potty mouth
>>>and other less than courteous behavior is STILL on
>>>the HF bands and has been for years BEFORE the
>>>nocode tech.
>>
>>On VOICE modes. NOT Morse code.
>
>By people who ALL had to pass a code test to
>get on those voice modes for HF.

Which says that the key is mode use, not testing. Works for me!
>
>>>>>Why would going to total NOcode result in problems?
>>>>
>>>>No CODE or no CODE TEST?
>>>
>>>No Code TEST!
>>

>>I am relieved to read that.
>
>You should know that by now.

I have learned to assume nothing.
>
>>We shall see what happens in 2003. I don;t think S25.5 has much of a chance,
>do
>>you?
>
>Nope.
>
There ya go. And the FCC has said that the only reason they kept the code test
was to satisfy the treaty. So if the treaty requirement ends, the FCC will have
no reason to keep any code test.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1106061549638711916
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:20:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 59
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:19:09 GMT
References: <3af5f030.1828168@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010511071909.06351.00000979@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21054

In article <3af5f030.1828168@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>On 04 May 2001 04:35:55 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>In article <20010503064315.01812.00000292@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>>(W1RFI) writes:
>>
>>>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>>
>>>>I'm Yaecomwood's worst nightmare, by the way.
>>>
>>>In what way, and why?

>>
>>Because I design and build almost all of my own ham gear, Ed. Test
>equipment,
>>too. And I have done so since I got my license in 1967. I've never owned a
>>non-American-made piece of ham gear, new or used. The equipment I haven't
>>designed/built has mostly been "basket case" American made stuff that I
>rebuilt
>>into usable shape.
>
>Somehow I doubt that the commercial manufacturers
>lose much sleep over homebrewers.

Agreed! But that's only true because we are relatively few and relatively
invisible. But we're out there. And homebuilt stations (that includes kits as
well as scratch-built ones) are becoming more common.
>
>>You wanna see a list?

HAH! No takers!
>>
>>("Yaecomwood" is a term used to indicate the Big Three JA ham equipment
>>manufacturers - Yaesu, Icom and Kenwood)
>>
>>I've used all sorts of stuff at other hams' stations, so I'm familiar with
>>their products. But I have no desire nor need to buy any "Yaecomwood"
>products.
>
>And that is as it should be. What ever floats
>your boat.

There's a unique thrill and satisfaction in using a rig you built yourself. Too
many hams miss out on it these days.
>
>>Since the ham gear makers depend on hams buying their products, an active
>ham
>>who has no need of their products is their "worst nightmare". Perhaps that's
>a
>>bit overstated, because the loss of one customer isn't going to break them.
>>Maybe I should rephrase it to "Yaecomwood's worst customer".
>
>Yes, that is probably a bit closer to reality :-)
>
Ordering an Elecraft today.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -3578285729386280562
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:21:41 PST

Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:20:57 GMT
References: <9dfe4u$i6m$0@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511072057.02763.00002797@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21057

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>W1RFI wrote:

>> >>Dropping the CW requirement will not change your ability to use the
>> >>mode one bit. Why would you be annoyed?

>>>I think for some folks it's similar to "seeing something on sale for
>>>50%-off, after you just paid full retail". Maybe not a 'rational' reaction, but
>> >certainly an understandable one.

>> I have never viewed the skill I have attained in Morse code as something I
>>have paid; it is something I have gained.

> Why doesn't any one of you want to accept CW skill for what it is - the
>most basic method of radiocommunication?

Sure it is, and if that is the emphasis is has for you, you can cite that first. My first emphasis is that it is a fun mode for me to operate and that I have been enriched by learning it.

To each his own.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1499151148161418032
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:22:19 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

Date: 11 May 2001 11:22:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21058

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > What I want to know is why these same professional engineers and
> > technicians, at least the ones you know, think and/or feel that they
> > deserve a special exemption from the code testing requirements,
> > just BECAUSE they ARE professional electronics engineers?
>
> They don't think they deserve a special exemption, Larry. To a lot
> of them, an HF ham license is simply not worth the effort it takes
> to learn Morse code and thus amateur radio is degraded by their
> absence. It's amateur radio's loss, not theirs.

Lets see if I got that right- the written test is trivial and of no consequence to them, and they find the code "not worth ANY effort" in spite of the fact that it is the most basic of radiocommunications modes.
They want a total free pass on the whole enchilada.
So what is it about ham radio that attracts ANY of them?

From -8569489255704220461
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:25:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:25:31 GMT
References: <9dfdt1$hjc$0@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511072531.02763.00002798@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21059

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> And I respect you for that. The ONLY tests that new hams can take are the
>>ones stipulated by the rules -- they are taking the test that is required, just
>>as I did in 1963 and 1964. There are some, however, that fault those who have
>>done no more wrong than to do what the law requires to get a license.

> And who might that be?? There is no escaping the fact that
>newcomers/upgraders are not responsible for the fact that their tests
>are a farce.

I believe the Tech tests are harder than the one I took in 1963 after studying
a 4-page study guide, Dick. I believe that the Extra tests are harder than the
one I took in 1964 to get the same priveleges by studying a 16-page study
guide. Do you believe those tests were farces, too?

> That doesn't mean they should be applauded in case where
>they sat on their hands for half a lifetime waiting for it.

At what point will you know that newcomers to ham radio are entering ham radio
because they are generally interested instead of entering ham radio after
sitting on their hands? You claim to know the motivations of people entering
ham radio and I wonder if you could explain how you accomplish that?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>
>
>

From -4352598993662160456
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:27:41 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:26:39 GMT
References: <9dfjof$5mc$0@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511072639.02763.00002799@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21060

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>The system speaks for itself. Loud and Clear. It says "No code. And
>damn little of anything else".

It appears to me that you are speaking for the system, Dick. If the system speaks for itself, why do you feel the need to keep telling everyone what they should think it means? Because only you have the wisdom to see it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 948343560631498609
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:29:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:28:45 GMT
References: <mGIK6.16603$t12.1256667@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511072845.02763.00002800@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21061

>From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@att.net

>I have long since adjusted to the reality that code testing is, probably
>justifiably, on its way out. But I have no intention whatsoever of
>apologizing to the likes of Jim for having passed one.

Nor should you. I don't apologize for mine. But wen the reality comes to pass, those who enter ham radio without the code test should not be asked to apologize, either. We have all entered ham radio by meeting the requirements in place at the time we are licensed. Once we do, those requirements pale in comparison to the things we do within ham radio. If there is judging to be done, the latter is a much better guage of the worth of hams.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3534730289561007863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:31:41 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:30:52 GMT
References: <3AFB2919.12D2B872@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511073052.02763.00002801@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21062

>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu

>What inarguably necessary requirements can you offer-up for the list,
>Ed?

Tech

Permitted frequencies
Power limits
Basics of modulation
Ohm's law
Use of voltmeter/ammeter/ohmmeter
Basic Antenna
Basic VHF and HF propagation
Electrical safety
Station safety
RF safety

73,
Ed

From -2617019436933754447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bfab42cfcbc9bb75
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:21:18 PST

Message-ID: <3AFC0314.CDD76AF0@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 10:19:48 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A real chance
References: <20010511101835.28252.00003196@nso-mq.aol.com> <20010511102536.16589.00002338@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp 989594000 64.240.47.59 (11 May 2001 10:13:20 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21113

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >This one is specifically for the code vs. no-code debate, and that is the
> >primary topic here.
>
> This NG is not limited to the code-vs-no-code debate, Larry.

Guess you didn't hear yet, Ed. God died and made Larry moderator
of this newsgroup.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7897820144451089251
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49284bb79b4bbe48
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:24:46 PST

Message-ID: <3AFC03E7.56BBA690@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 10:23:19 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Slow/No Code and the New Gentiles...
References: <slrn9fntmd.1bv.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp 989594212 64.240.47.59 (11 May 2001 10:16:52 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21114

Queequeg wrote:
> Will any 5
> wpm ham who regularly *uses* cw stay at 5? Of course not,

But will he ever be truly circumsized? And what if he is a she?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From 1903246952718739248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:25:32 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

References: <3AF94355.10B13F1B@psu.edu> <20010510194718.12866.00002634@ng-cr1.aol.com> <3AFBE1FD.E844E693@psu.edu>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 32
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <LvTK6.17518$t12.1343633@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 15:25:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.215
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989594731 12.75.179.215 (Fri, 11 May 2001 15:25:31 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 15:25:31 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21115

"mike coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3AFBE1FD.E844E693@psu.edu...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu
> >
> > >Because I've put in the time and effort, and it will be dropped for
> > >others. That is pretty simple and just plain human. Who wouldn't be?
> >
> > Would you have similar, but opposite, feelings if they significantly
increased
> > the code speed requirement for new hams? Perhaps that one had to attain
40 wpm
> > to get all Amateur priveleges?
>
> In all honesty, I think I would be a little embarrassed or awkward
> about it. I think I'd make the attempt to work cw faster. But just like
> the other way around, any annoyance would be for about five minutes,
> then I'd get on with it.
>
> - mike -

And you more than likely would, just like you faced the requirement existent
at the time, made a plan and executed it. In contrast to some who will
spend endless hours deconstructing the achievement and the achievers.

Dick N�BK

From -3317467214039399200

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:27:35 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts----Renters
Date: Thu, 10 May 2001 19:48:19 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3AFB36D2.83F16DDC@ix.netcom.com>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com> <9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67> <eWxJ6.4402$vg1.342494@www.newsranger.com> <3AF6F877.71CBDAC5@uci.edu> <OnFK6.547$gA.179321@monger.newsread.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0b.bb.71
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 11 May 2001 00:48:23 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21116

When I was apartment hunting I selected a place on the top floor of the garden apartment building (wood frame construction). And also had an access hatch in the ceiling of a closet to allow me to toss a crude HF antenna up in the "attic" space. I never said a word to the landlord. They can't see it from outside, and let them figure out what SSB sounds like without a proper demodulator.

Just ask "what do you think the police use when they talk back to base?"
Ans: an HT.

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:

>
> Another thought that hasn't been covered, but my main reason why I probably will not get on HF for quite a long time is I am a RENTER. There are a ton (don't have exact figures) of hams that basically fall into the RENTER category, this would also include lessees (i.e. condo's, townhouses etc.) and there seems to be not any group whatsoever lobbying for the support of people who are hams, and wish to deal with any form of antennas.
>
> With my landlord, they have a very vague policy written in the rules, "No Bases." Apparently they seem to think every transmitting device MUST be a CB, and I even ran into serious problems trying to make them believe my dual band HT was a scanner.... (it is a HT, but in order to keep from eviction I had to convince them it was a scanner.....)
>

> Hmmmmm..... where is the ARRL on this one???? I know this is not the answer
> to the question, but may be part of it. I know there are a bunch of
> "stealth" antennas and disguise ideas for the equipment itself, but why
> should we have to do this? My personal free time is at an EXTREME premium
> when I am not at work (work 65-80 hours per week) , so I do not have a ton
> of time to experiment with stuff.   I need tried and true equipment and
> accessories, that allow me to communicate as soon as possible. Maybe that
> the majority of hams are in retirement age category would explain why they
> have "all the time in the world."
>

From 1306309084044150452
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:28:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-xfer.nuri.net!newsfeed.dacom.co.kr!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 00:37:10 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3afb33b5.1274108@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net> <3AF083B1.F5D8C69D@clearsail.net>
<oH%H6.2831$Cq3.259821@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3AF2382E.28F357EC@bob.com> <b7wI6.13313$2_.4739984@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF2AEF1.6223EC9D@IEEE.org> <3af307b4.357836@news.cet.com>
<_SDI6.15713$2_.5522308@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af311f1.2978637@news.cet.com>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com> <3af72f09.1543502@news.cet.com>

<3af7672b.2658424@news.mindspring.com>
<HBPJ6.43783$2_.15205767@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af93e4c.2927339@news.mindspring.com> <3AFA7AED.1ABB@worldlynx.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.72.99
X-Server-Date: 11 May 2001 00:37:32 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22769
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8613 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2613
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2509 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12810
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21117 rec.radio.cb:32187

FYI on lower speed limits...

The NMA recently received a special report from the Montana Department of Transportation on highway accidents and fatalities. Chad Dornsife, NMA Nevada Activist, has created a web page that compares Montana's last 12 months WITHOUT a speed limit to their first full year of experience WITH a speed limit.

The new information shows that fatalities have more than doubled on rural interstates and has also increased on rural primary highways. This information contradicts the state officials who claimed speed limits, higher fines, and more enforcement would lower traffic deaths.

You can find the full story at
http://www.hwysafety.com/hwy_montana_2001.htm
If you have any questions, you can contact:

Chad Dornsife
NMA Nevada Activist
chad@hwysafety.com
775.721.2423 cell
800.708.5723 voice mail/fax

National Motorists Association 608/849-6000
nma@motorists.org www.motorists.org
Advocating, Protecting, and Representing the Rights of North American Motorists

From 350270298413852124
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 09:10:15 PST

```
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 09:07:16 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AFB2919.12D2B872@uci.edu> <20010511073052.02763.00002801@ng-
mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53a-23.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21118
```

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010511073052.02763.00002801@ng-mo1.aol.com...

```
> >From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>
> >What inarguably necessary requirements can you offer-up for the list,
> >Ed?
>
> Tech
>
> Permitted frequencies
> Power limits
> Basics of modulation
> Ohm's law
> Use of voltmeter/ammeter/ohmmeter
> Basic Antenna
> Basic VHF and HF propagation
> Electrical safety
> Station safety
> RF safety
>
> 73,
> Ed
```

I agree those are all good things (not all of which are currently covered to a fully useful extent.) However none of these things are inarguably necessary requirements For instance: I challenge you name a single example in which a licensed operator must solve an ohms law problem in order to successfully operate a typical VHF station and thus prevent him from violating an FCC regulation.

One must at least clearly define the distinction between what are useful or practical requirements, and what are necessary requirements before one can claim the subject is inarguable. The motivations for each test topic may each be unique, are not necessarily justified by necessity alone. The justifications for the requirements of an amateur radio license are clearly arguable and hence we have r.r.a.p. ;-)

73, Jim AC6XG

From 5305628633289871626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bfab42cfcbc9bb75
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 09:10:41 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 16:10:18 GMT
References: <3AFC0314.CDD76AF0@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: A real chance
Message-ID: <20010511121018.16452.00002599@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21119

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Guess you didn't hear yet, Ed. God died and made Larry moderator
>of this newsgroup.

From what I see, Cecil, God doesn't moderate this newsgroup, either. I suspect that He keeps hoping that we will moderate ourselves.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5897562657361679530
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,91001558f1ae53c4,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,91001558f1ae53c4,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-Thread: fa029,91001558f1ae53c4,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 09:43:41 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "PL Yevginev" <chich9999@aol.com>
From: "PL Yevginev" <chich9999@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner
Subject: Part 15 revision (2.4 GHz)
Lines: 28
Organization: take
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <0FUK6.1013$xO1.119366@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 16:43:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.69
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989599420 209.204.84.69 (Fri, 11 May
2001 09:43:40 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 09:43:40 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 09:42:03 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15734
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21120 rec.radio.scanner:17179

COMMISSION PROPOSES AMENDMENTS TO PART 15 OF RULES TO FACILITATE DEPLOYMENT OF NEW HIGH SPEED WIRELESS DEVICES.

The FCC proposed revising its rules for spread spectrum systems to reduce the amount of spectrum that must be used for frequency hopping spread spectrum systems operating in the 2.4 GHz band (2400-2483.5 MHz). News Release. Adopted: 05/10/2001. News Media Contact: Maureen Peratino at 202-418-0506 CMMR. Contact Neal McNeil at 202-418-2408

<http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-212714A1.pdf>
<http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-212714A1.doc>
<http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-212714A1.txt>

AMENDMENT OF PART 15 OF THE COMMISSION'S RULES REGARDING SPREAD SPECTRUM DEVICES, WI-LAN, INC. ET AL..

Proposed to amend Part 15 of the Commission's rules. Denied the Application for Review filed by Wi-LAN, Inc. on September 20, 2000.. (Dkt No. 99-231). Action by: The Commission. Adopted: 05/10/2001 by NPRM. (FCC No. 01-158). OET

<http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-01-158A1.pdf>
<http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-01-158A1.doc>
<http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/FCC-01-158A1.txt>

From -6203089669567987694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 10:29:27 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 13:29:16 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3AFC216C.59ED4B83@psu.edu>
References: <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu> <20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-mq.aol.com> <TNxK6.29764$kh.17572782@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AFAB5C5.CF7CD19@psu.edu> <3afb8c4a.401186808@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21121

Test answers don't have to be "standard". You look at the question, and write your answer. Just as the cw test isn't something that is in a pool that you are given the answers to, this test wouldn't have to be be either. Packet is concept, history and software, then tell us about it, ssb is circuitry and concept and history, eme could probably be written about for hours. You could have several questions that would require a written, essay type answer.

People write essays all the time about things that have several ways to perform a function. I have books which expound at great length on these subjects.

The only people who would be punished by this system would be the VE's who would have to wade through the answers. the test would take a little longer. The VE's I know would probably welcome it tho'.

- mike -

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> How would you test, for instance, for in-depth Packet or ssb
> "knowledge"? Both are just a bunch of circuitry and/or software, there
> are a gazillion ways to do both. EME is even worse in this respect.

> There are no standards for any of 'em. How do you test if you can't
> test against accepted standards?? Makes no sense.

From 9083443636394476786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b52ecf0f1eb9624a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 10:42:35 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!
news.rr.com!cyclone.kc.rr.com!news.kc.rr.com!cyclone3.kc.rr.com!news3.kc.rr.com!
typhoon.kc.rr.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Subject: Re: "Export" Radios
From: Mike <mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Posted-And-Mailed: yes
Message-ID: <110520011242345069%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
References: <VPBK6.25$j65.893@www.newsranger.com>
<20010510192053.20819.00002234@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 8bit
User-Agent: YA-NewsWatcher/5.0.1
Lines: 22
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 17:42:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.27.104.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.kc.rr.com 989602922 65.27.104.163 (Fri, 11 May 2001 12:42:02 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 12:42:02 CDT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21122

[[This message was both posted and mailed: see
the "To," "Cc," and "Newsgroups" headers for details.]]

LOL !!! :-)

Have a nice one

Mike - N0JVT

In article <20010510192053.20819.00002234@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>, KC2HMN
<kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote:

> 1 3 5 7 9 +20 +40 +60
> |||||
> TROLL-O-METER
> (Yes, the troll-o-meter's gone digital.)
>
> 73 de Bert

```
> KC2HMN


From -7480311423453143717
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bfab42cfcbc9bb75
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 11:13:27 PST
Message-ID: <3AFC2B74.3010E136@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 13:12:04 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A real chance
References: <3AFC0314.CDD76AF0@IEEE.org> <20010511121018.16452.00002599@ng-
fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.122
X-Trace: corp 989604335 64.240.47.122 (11 May 2001 13:05:35 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21123

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Guess you didn't hear yet, Ed. God died and made Larry moderator
> >of this newsgroup.
>
> From what I see, Cecil, God doesn't moderate this newsgroup, either.  I suspect
> that He keeps hoping that we will moderate ourselves.

Didn't God advocate moderation in all things?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
```

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7727248758051268291
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,27b685a93ecc8074
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,27b685a93ecc8074
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,27b685a93ecc8074
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 11:16:45 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Alinco restructuring it's Sales & Service in USA market
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 11:31:56 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9dh0kt$dv7$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <DsSK6.624$x01.86979@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 41.e3.80.fb
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 11 May 2001 15:31:41 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express Macintosh Edition - 4.5 (0410)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:11950
rec.radio.amateur.misc:15738 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21124

Nakata, Yuan and Schwartzman? Ya gotta be kidding.
73,
Abraham O'Reilly

In article <DsSK6.624$x01.86979@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "PL Yevginev" <chich9999@aol.com> wrote:

> Katsumi "NAKY" Nakata
> KE6RD / JE3AVS
> Branch Manager
>
> Liu Zhi Yuan
> AD6OF
> Technician
>
> Alexander Schwartzman
> K6POD
> Customer-Service

From -3952731966885076012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,27f4315373c03de1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,853d867350ae2aea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,853d867350ae2aea
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 11:32:38 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: NO ARRL - NO QST
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 18:32:37 -0000
Organization: Shecora
Message-ID: <909E97E73darrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
References: <3af7161b.1120740@news.earthlink.net> <UfXJ6.459$E65.42907@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 57
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:11951 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15740 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21125

kc4cucunbers@aol.com (Zippy the Pinhead) wrote in <UfXJ6.459$E65.42907@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>:

>> WHAT HAS THE ARRL DONE FOR YOU LATELY?

Gee, what has the ARRL done for my lately?

1. They have given me a loud voice in Washington

2. A voice in the ITU

3. They have provided VEs for my son, my wife and myself so that we didn't have wait for months or travel long distances to get our license.

4. They sponsor events, contest and award programs.

5. The co-sponsor JOTA with the Boy and Girl Scouts to get our young people interested in amateur radio.

6. The provide me via email updates about real time amateur news.

I could go on and on. Do I agree with ARRL all the time. Of course not and I will bet you can find a single person that you agree with all the time. Do I think I am getting my moneys? You bet I do!

To paraprase Pres. Kennedy instead of asking what the ARRL has done for you, ask what you can do for the ARRL? I know it sounds corny, but gee whiz it is really true. If you don't like the way they are doing something, then try to work with them to change it. Make your case to your section manager using logic, not this low life name calling. Just think what could be done if every amateur donated an hour a month to support the amateur radio in a focused effort what could be done. Most of us won't even take the time to write our congress person, never mind do some real work.

So you hate the ARRL, then don't join, don't use the buro, don't get ARRL awards, watch TV instead of being at Field Day or other ARRL sponsored events and most of all get a life!

73
--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

From 7303112856845389836
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 12:03:26 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 15:00:51 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <3AFC36E2.2BC53308@psu.edu>
References: <3AFB2919.12D2B872@uci.edu> <20010511073052.02763.00002801@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21126

Jim Kelley wrote:

> I agree those are all good things (not all of which are currently covered to
> a fully useful extent.) However none of these things are inarguably
> necessary requirements For instance: I challenge you name a single example
> in which a licensed operator must solve an ohms law problem in order to
> successfully operate a typical VHF station and thus prevent him from
> violating an FCC regulation.

Well, the "typical station" you refer to is the problem. When we get a license above the technician level, we are granted privileges which allow us to do many things, including building our own equipment. For this, some experience with Ohms law (among many other things) is pretty darn useful. If all a person is going to do is plunk down some cash for their YaKenLinco HF transceiver, have someone install an antenna for them, and tune the thing, maybe they don't need quite so much knowledge. Even then, they might have so interference problems for which a little bit of book smarts might be helpful.

After I'm set up with my base HF rig, I plan on building a lot of stuff. That is my favorite part of the hobby.

And since the FCC and the ARS doesn't quite know exactly *what* I might decide to get into, it is prudent to test for a wider, not narrower knowledge base.

- mike -

> One must at least clearly define the distinction between what are useful or
> practical requirements, and what are necessary requirements before one can
> claim the subject is inarguable. The motivations for each test topic may
> each be unique, are not necessarily justified by necessity alone. The
> justifications for the requirements of an amateur radio license are clearly
> arguable and hence we have r.r.a.p. ;-)
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG

From -8166971636688829679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fec1bd06ca7bc974,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 12:23:22 PST

Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Ed, welcome to flyover country
Lines: 12
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <J_WK6.17849$t12.1359089@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 19:23:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.113
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989609001 12.75.102.113 (Fri, 11 May 2001 19:23:21 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 19:23:21 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21127

In my snailmail box today is an announcement of Hamboree 2001 in beautiful
So Sioux City NE.

And who should appear as a Special Guest but our own Ed Hare. Welcome to
God's Country, Ed! Within a year it's Oh God why did I move here?

While I probably won't make it up I-29 for the hamfest, good to see you out
where the tall corn grows. Will they let you into the QLF contest???

Dick N�BK

From -392963525555632657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 12:40:16 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!msc1.onvoy!onvoy.com!tethys.csu.net!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 12:35:51 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <3AFC3F17.109948C1@uci.edu>
References: <3AFB2919.12D2B872@uci.edu> <20010511073052.02763.00002801@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AFC36E2.2BC53308@psu.edu>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21128



mike coslo wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> > I agree those are all good things (not all of which are currently covered to
> > a fully useful extent.)  However none of these things are inarguably
> > necessary requirements  For instance: I challenge you name a single example
> > in which a licensed operator must solve an ohms law problem in order to
> > successfully operate a typical VHF station and thus prevent him from
> > violating an FCC regulation.
>
>         Well, the "typical station" you refer to is the problem. When we get a
> license above the technician level, we are granted privileges which
> allow us to do many things, including building our own equipment. For
> this, some experience with Ohms law (among many other things) is pretty
> darn useful.

Of that there is no doubt.

> If all a person is going to do is plunk down some cash for
> their YaKenLinco HF transceiver, have someone install an antenna for
> them, and tune the thing, maybe they don't need quite so much knowledge.
> Even then, they might have so interference problems for which a little
> bit of book smarts might be helpful.

Absolutely.

>         After I'm set up with my base HF rig, I plan on building a lot of
> stuff. That is my favorite part of the hobby.

Great!  I like to build stuff too.  Although I use Ohms law regularly in
my work, I can't think of a single ocassion I've had to rely upon it for
my hobby.

>         And since the FCC and the ARS doesn't quite know exactly *what* I might
> decide to get into, it is prudent to test for a wider, not narrower
> knowledge base.

Mike.  I hope you know that I would be the last person to advocate
eliminating ohms law from the requirements.  Please understand that my
comments are in response to someone who is advocating eliminating a
requirement on the basis of lack of necessity.  My only point is to
illustrate that one can similarly argue a lack of necessity for any of
```

the other requirements. As you will see, people will likewise argue that our current requirements ARE necessary. I believe the truth is that our requirements are simply guidelines or recommendations for skill and knowlege that is useful to us as radio amateurs.

73, Jim AC6XG

From 5290966590147288315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,52d09a16038df665,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 13:21:28 PST
From: Keith <nospamssdf@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: ATTN ARRL: FCC has other plans for 2.4 GHz
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 13:07:04 -0700
Reply-To: nworegon@excite.com
Expires: Thu, 7 Mar 2002 11:42:11 GMT
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-ID: <3afc4587$1_1@spamkiller>
Lines: 20
X-Authenticated-User: 2580741
X-Comments: This message was posted through Spamkiller.Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!spamkiller
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21129

COMMISSION PROPOSES AMENDMENTS TO PART 15 OF RULES TO FACILITATE DEPLOYMENT OF NEW HIGH SPEED WIRELESS DEVICES.   The FCC proposed revising its rules for spread spectrum systems to reduce the amount of spectrum that must be used for frequency hopping spread spectrum systems operating in the 2.4 GHz band (2400-2483.5 MHz). News Release. Adopted: 05/10/2001. News Media Contact: Maureen Peratino at 202-418-0506 CMMR. Contact Neal McNeil at 202-418-2408
<http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-212714A1.pdf>
<http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-212714A1.doc>
<http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov:8835/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-212714A1.txt>
--

Best Regards,

Keith
----
http://members.home.net/keithew1/nworegon/ NW Oregon Radio topics.
Amateur Radio is a international resource and asset.
Need a daily digest of rec.radio.swap newsgroup? Join
recradioswap at Yahoo! Groups.

From -3907290820601540751
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 15:40:14 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 15:41:14 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AFC6A8A.3991D118@uci.edu>
References: <9d8ueb$dsg$0@208.207.71.146> <20010511075345.02763.00002809@ng-mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21130

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> >And Loose Lennie *still* can't join in the fun.

> Are you trying to encourage him to be a ham, or discourage him.

I guess he is at a very impressionable age right now. A desperate ship in search of a rudder as it were. He's come here humbly asking for guidance and advice, only to be swatted away. His delicate sensitivities crushed beyond hope of recovery.

I think I'll have to go and have a good cry about it now, Ed.

```
From -8937587332858128449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bfab42cfcbc9bb75
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 17:45:56 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!
wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010510192324.20819.00002235@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>
<20010511101835.28252.00003196@nso-mq.aol.com>
Subject: Re: A real chance
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <7J%K6.18104$t12.1382212@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 00:45:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.173.188
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989628355 12.88.173.188 (Sat, 12 May
2001 00:45:55 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 00:45:55 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21131
```

Well, I guess I can always try and keep an open mind. The last guy that kept that up on the local repeater got his wish - I switched to about 40 WPM F2. No problem.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim (AA2QA)
ps - am considering getting my WN2 call back just for grins. That should cause a stir. Will they reissue a previously held call starting WN2 (I still have the old ticket for proof)?

```
From 6075696521674042098
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 17:47:40 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 31
```

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 00:47:14 GMT
References: <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511204714.03281.00000245@nso-de.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21132
```

In article <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

> For instance: I challenge you name a single example
>in which a licensed operator must solve an ohms law problem in order to
>successfully operate a typical VHF station and thus prevent him from
>violating an FCC regulation.

OK, here we go:

Many "typical VHF stations" consist of a transceiver connected to some sort of power supply - car electrical system, external AC power supply, etc. Many of these transceivers draw several amperes on transmit. Some of them do not behave well if the supply voltage is too low - the synthesizer unlocks and all sorts of spurs come out. Of course many rigs have undervoltage protection (they just won't work without enough juice) but some do not. FCC acceptance doesn't require such protection of ham rigs.

If the ham hooking up the station doesn't use an adequately sized power supply or power wiring, and has one of those rigs, he/she can emit spurious signals when transmitting, violate FCC rules and cause all kinds of havoc. Yet the rig will still appear to "work OK" to the unknowing amateur.

Figuring out the voltage drop when using a certain length of a certain type of power wiring is a real-world Ohm's Law problem, I'd say.

Shoulda bet a lobster dinner on that one.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 5446217079744123058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bfab42cfcbc9bb75
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 18:00:49 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010510192324.20819.00002235@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>
<20010511101835.28252.00003196@nso-mq.aol.com> <7J%K6.18104$t12.1382212@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: A real chance
Lines: 36
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <4X%K6.898$he5.42881@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 01:00:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989629248 12.75.103.23 (Sat, 12 May 2001 01:00:48 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 01:00:48 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21133

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:7J%K6.18104$t12.1382212@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Well, I guess I can always try and keep an open mind. The last guy that
> kept that up on the local repeater got his wish - I switched to about 40
WPM
> F2. No problem.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim (AA2QA)
> ps - am considering getting my WN2 call back just for grins. That should
> cause a stir. Will they reissue a previously held call starting WN2 (I
> still have the old ticket for proof)?
>
>

Some years ago there was a soap opera spoof on the tube called Fresno that
was shown once and never rerun. Those who watched soaps were offended and
no one else got the joke.

There aren't that many who would get the WN2 and those of us who do would
wonder why you bothered.

Mark Twain in his newspapering days wrote a send-up of the local officialdom
in The Incredible Petrified Man in which if you read carefully the stone man
was sitting crosslegged with this left thumb to the nose, open handed, and
the right hand to the left. He was getting requests for information from
learned professors until he died and regretted ever doing it.

We got too used to being younger than 2/3 of the population, now we are
older than 2/3 of it.
Oh Well.

Dick N�BK

From 973005321672146986
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 18:19:59 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide (was: Hmmmmmmmm)
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 01:19:37 GMT
Message-ID: <3afc6d52.458787339@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com> <20010511075629.02763.00002810@ng-mo1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.166
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.166
X-Trace: 11 May 2001 20:15:42 -0500, 64.24.16.166
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21134

On 11 May 2001 11:56:29 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>
>>What I do clearly remember is
>>that going from scratch to actually getting a signal on the air as a
>>1953 Novice was overall involved a lot more work and/or relative
>>expense than the average nocode has to put into it today.
>
>Agreed, but the old boat anchors abound, so the new ham could indeed go through
>all that work, if he or she chose to do so. :-)
>
Today certainly. All my current xcvrs came out of .swap. But looking
back I'm glad I went thru the days when Novice kids nickel & dimed
their way onto the airwaves with junkboxes and soldering irons.
>
I recently asked a young nephew if he'd learned how to do division
yet. "Sure Uncle Brian!" and he whipped out his calculator . . .
>
w3rv

From -6726785678317462241

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 18:40:46 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 01:38:48 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 215
Message-ID: <3afc90e9.3157714@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3af5eee4.1495915@news.mindspring.com>
<20010511071908.06351.00000978@nso-bk.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.41.80
X-Server-Date: 12 May 2001 01:39:42 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21135

On 11 May 2001 11:19:08 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3af5eee4.1495915@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>>>>>>Yup. There has been a surge in the number of Techs over the past year,
>>put
>>>>>>that
>>>>>>>is due in part to the FCC renewing Tech Pluses as  Techs after April 15,
>>>>>>2000.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>That's why Kenwood pushed the FCC so hard. $$$$$
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Bingo.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Could you provide some evidence of any such finacial
>>>>>>influence?
>>>>>
>>>>>Kenwood will make more money if they sell more radios to hams. More hams
>>>>means
>>>>>more potential customers.
>>>>>
>>>>>I'm Yaecomwood's worst nightmare, by the way.
>>>>
>>>>Your original comment implied financial INFLUENCE over the FCC.
>>>
>>>You inferred what I did not imply. The $$$$$ meant that Kenwood would make
>>>money by selling more ham rigs, nothing more.
>>>
>>>>In any case, are you suggesting that Kenwood should NOT have
>>>>filed any comments with the FCC regarding the NPRM?
```

>>>
>>>Not at all - everybody has the right to comment.
>>>
>>>But I think that it is not in the best interest of the Amateur Radio Service
>>>for the FCC to be so influenced by the comments of a foreign equipment
>>>manufacturer. Just my opinion.
>>
>>But there was nothing in the R&O that suggested that
>>the FCC was so influenced by Kenwood.
>
>Get out your copy of the R&O. See how many times the FCC mentions and agrees
>with Kenwood's comments, then see how many times they mention the comments of
>any other individual or group, including NCI or ARRL.

I still see more amateurs and amateur organizations mentioned
than Kenwood.

>>Indeed, the
>>vast bulk of referenced comments wer from amateurs,
>>the ARRL, NCI, etc.
>
>See above. How many other mentions did any other individual or group get?
>Particularly with agreement?

Well NCI (Carl Stevenson) had several mentions.

>>>Would you defend Kenwood if they had commented that the code tests should be
>>>kept as they were? Would you defend Kenwood if they had commented that the
>>>code tests should be kept as they were and the FCC accepted their comments
>>>and acted on them?
>>
>>Have I opposed ANYONE filing comments on either
>>side of the discussion? You know the answer.
>
>Avoids the question, Bill.

It answers the question straight up.

>I'm not saying that anyone should be prevented from
>commenting. I'm not criticizing Kenwood.

Then why'd you ask the question you did?

> It's the FCC's excessive attention to
>what Kenwood says that is the concern.

Why? The FCC is charged with paying attention to EVERY
comment filed. The fact that Kenwood had sufficiently
valued comments that were referenced in no way impunes
the FCC process. Would you say the ame thing about
comments referenced from NON-hams?

What I am "hearing" from you is (IMHO) the oft repeated

comment that the FCC shouldn't listen to anyone BUT hams in
making decisions regarding ham issues. Sorry, it just
doesn't work that way.

>>>>>>>>We created a no-code tech because it was going to be "the answer",
>>>>>>>>yet many of them turned out to not have the faintest interest in the
>>>>>>>>hobby. Of those I know first hand, some never actually took the tests
>>>>>>>>they were supposed to take. Few of them are active or interested. I
>>>>>>>>know about 30 people who received no-codes...only two remain active.
>>>>>>>>One is a 13 WPM General, and the other is a wife who uses the radio to
>>>>>>>>talk to her husband.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>My experience has been quite different. I know many post-1991 Techs who
>>>>>>>are very active.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>But if you look at the growth of the ARS in the USA in the decade before
>>>>>>>1991, and compare it to the decade since 1991, you will NOT see any
>>>>>>>lasting surge newcomers in the latter decade.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>At MFJ, where the people work with radios, over a dozen people became
>>>>>>>>no-code hams. Of those, only one is active.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Busman's holiday, probably.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I would think if CW was a barrier, there would have been a steady
>>>>>>>>stream of no-coders entering the door. Not a big shot that tapered to
>>>>>>>>nothing. It would seem logical that a reduction from 13 WPM to 5 WPM
>>>>>>>>for HF operation would have a large impact on newcomers...yet it
>>>>>>>>didn't.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>True. Several reasons:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>1) Lotsa folks don't know about the changes
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>2) Most folks aren't interested, even if you eliminated all tests and
>>gave
>>>>>>>the gear away.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>3) Many folks think they don't have the time, money, or resources (like
>>>>>>>antenna space) to do ham radio.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I would think the no-code class would be a hit, didn't happen.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>It was as much of a hit as its predecessor.
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Umm, no code has been available for a long time. It hasn't worked so
>>>>>>>>far. Should we wait longer?
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>I'm not discrediting you, but there is nothing that has happened so
>>>>>>>>far that indicates what you predict is true. Suppose we drop CW, and
>>>>>>>>nothing happens except problems. Do you suppose we can put it back
>>>>>>>>later?
>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>Double bingo. No way. The FCC has said for over a decade that they think
>>>>the
>>>>>>>code test only indicates code ability, nothing more or less. The ONLY
>>>>reason
>>>>>>>the FCC kept 5 wpm was because of the international treaty requirement.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Has there been any chaos because of nocode techs?
>>>>>
>>>>>How would you define "chaos", Bill? I hear things on the ham bands today
>>>>that
>>>>>were unheard-of years ago. Is it because of license changes or because of
>>>>other
>>>>>factors? Who knows?
>>>>
>>>>And those things that we hear today preceeded the nocode
>>>>tech.
>>>
>>>They did?
>>
>>Sure they did.
>
>You might want to check out the FCC Enforcement Letter, (ARRL website). Note
>the distribution of enforcement actions against the various license classes,
>and the nature of the offenses.

Bad comparison as we know that the FCC went through a LONG
period of almost NO enforcement. The point I am making
is that the garbage mouth activity within the ham bands,
the unruly behavior by some, etc. has been around BEFORE
the nocode tech began. There is no apparent cause/effect
that can be shown that suggests hams that haven't passed a
code test are any more or less likly to be behavioral problems.

>>>> Indeed, MOST of the real verbal potty mouth
>>>>and other less than courteous behavior is STILL on
>>>>the HF bands and has been for years BEFORE the
>>>>nocode tech.
>>>
>>>On VOICE modes. NOT Morse code.
>>
>>By people who ALL had to pass a code test to
>>get on those voice modes for HF.
>
>Which says that the key is mode use, not testing. Works for me!

I have no problem with that.

>>>>>>Why would going to total NOcode result in problems?
>>>>>
>>>>>No CODE or no CODE TEST?
>>>>
>>>>No Code TEST!
>>>

>>>I am relieved to read that.
>>
>>You should know that by now.
>
>I have learned to assume nothing.

A valid point :-)

>>>We shall see what happens in 2003. I don;t think S25.5 has much of a chance,
>>>chance, do you?
>>
>>Nope.
>>
>There ya go. And the FCC has said that the only reason they kept the code test
>was to satisfy the treaty. So if the treaty requirement ends, the FCC will have
>no reason to keep any code test.

Before the R&O I wouldn't have assumed that. With the FCC
comments in the R&O, I agree that once the treaty requirement
goes, the USA requirement will also. There will, of course, be
an RM and NPRM, but that will be formality.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -5264555344860367155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,41d6d9b1eb27d85d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 19:06:47 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Restructuring class shifts----Renters
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 02:05:29 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3afc98dd.5193975@news.mindspring.com>
References: <EdoJ6.4032$vg1.308466@www.newsranger.com>
<9d5adc$frt$0@208.206.142.67> <eWxJ6.4402$vg1.342494@www.newsranger.com>
<3AF6F877.71CBDAC5@uci.edu> <OnFK6.547$gA.179321@monger.newsread.com>
<3AFB36D2.83F16DDC@ix.netcom.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.41.80
X-Server-Date: 12 May 2001 02:06:44 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21136

On Thu, 10 May 2001 19:48:19 -0500, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>When I was apartment hunting I selected a place on the top floor of the
>garden apartment building (wood frame construction). And also had an
>access hatch in the ceiling of a closet to allow me to toss a crude HF antenna
>up in the "attic" space. I never said a word to the landlord. They can't see
>it from outside, and let them figure out what SSB sounds like without a
>proper demodulator.
>
>Just ask "what do you think the police use when they talk back to base?"
>Ans: an HT.
>
>Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
>> Another thought that hasn't been covered, but my main reason why I probably
>> will not get on HF for quite a long time is I am a RENTER. There are a ton
>> (don't have exact figures) of hams that basically fall into the RENTER
>> category, this would also include lessees (i.e. condo's, townhouses etc.)
>> and there seems to be not any group whatsoever lobbying for the support of
>> people who are hams, and wish to deal with any form of antennas.
>>
>> With my landlord, they have a very vague policy written in the rules, "No
>> Bases." Apparently they seem to think every transmitting device MUST be a
>> CB, and I even ran into serious problems trying to make them believe my dual
>> band HT was a scanner.... (it is a HT, but in order to keep from eviction I
>> had to convince them it was a scanner.....)

How did the landlord ever get to see your HT in the first place?
I have lived in apartments before and there'd be no way the
landlord would have knowledge of my belongings in that
apartment unless the landlord entered with my permission...
and ONLY when I was present.

Additional question: On what basis was the landlord going
to evict you even if you said the HT was a transmitter?   The
lease prohibition against base stations would be (IMHO) enforcable
only if it could be shown that you USED the HT in the apartment.

Cheers,
Bil K2UNK

From -5415076749273055389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,417887dab3a92fdd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 19:10:46 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 6m, 2m, and 70cm in Mexico

Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 02:08:54 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3afc9aaf.5660431@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AFB6EBD.3F532BBA@post.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.41.80
X-Server-Date: 12 May 2001 02:10:11 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21137

On Fri, 11 May 2001 04:53:39 GMT, Jonathan Knowles <jknowles@post.com>
wrote:

>Howdy.
>
>Anyone know the rules (or where I can find the rules) for U.S. amateurs
>traveling in Mexico. Specifically, the 6m, 2m, and 70cm bands.
>
>Thanks in advance,
>Jonathan
>KG6GKY

The rules are those that Mexico requires of their own hams.
Assuming you have a tech+ license or higher, you have
full operating ability equal to the full CEPT reciprocal license
agreements.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -2338268461342476185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,52d09a16038df665
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 19:32:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3afc4587$1_1@spamkiller>
Subject: Re: FCC has other plans for 2.4 GHz - here is a URL
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <Dg1L6.17559$4f7.1380039@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 02:32:03 GMT

NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.173.136
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989634723 12.88.173.136 (Sat, 12 May 2001 02:32:03 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 02:32:03 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21138

At http://www.ieee802.org/15/ you will find pointers to some groups working on both low speed (1 Mbs) and high speed (20 Mbs) Wireless Personal Area Networking. Yep, 2.4 GHz. Now, perhaps we will find drivers using wireless cameras as well as yakking on the cell phones while they drive. Heck, I'd best fish my HA-1 TO keyer back out of the attic. (oh sheeet! Wasn't I the idiot that asked no more discussions about CW?)

73 to all from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

From 5997088683666606602
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 19:33:48 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 02:30:43 GMT
Message-ID: <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142> <3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.171
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.171
X-Trace: 11 May 2001 21:26:46 -0500, 64.24.19.171
Lines: 27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21139

On Fri, 11 May 2001 08:49:06 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> They want a total free pass on the whole enchilada.
>
>Only you would think a PhD degree in electronics is a free pass.

>
>> So what is it about ham radio that attracts ANY of them?
>
>For the ones I knew, it was being able to talk to their camping
>buddies over an area of 5000 square miles using a mountaintop
>repeater. The Tech license was effortless for them.
>

I see. In other words all ham radio means to them is that when they're
out in that 5,000 square miles their ham HTs do a better job than
their cellphone service providers do.

>
>They will
>not even consider upgrading until the Morse code requirement
>is history.
>

Good. Who needs 'em?

>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

w3rv

```
From -1891330482055293231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bfab42cfcbc9bb75
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 19:56:44 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
Message-ID: <3AFCA66C.3522C2AF@att.net>
From: Tad Danley <taddanley@nospamatatt.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A real chance
References: <20010510192324.20819.00002235@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>
<20010511101835.28252.00003196@nso-mq.aol.com> <7J%K6.18104$t12.1382212@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 02:56:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.91.29.183
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989636203 12.91.29.183 (Sat, 12 May
2001 02:56:43 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 02:56:43 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21140
```

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

> ps - am considering getting my WN2 call back just for grins. That should
> cause a stir. Will they reissue a previously held call starting WN2 (I
> still have the old ticket for proof)?

You don't need proof. If the call has been unassigned for two years, you can apply for it under the Vanity Callsign program. Check out
http://www.carroll-usa.com/vanity/
There are lots of WN and KN calls in use!

Good luck and 73,

--
Tad Danley, K3TD
mailto:taddanley@att.net

Caution!
Anti-SPAM e-mail return address in use.

```
From -6634058458977941107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 20:06:19 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 12 May 2001 03:06:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9di9ba$5q1$0@208.207.71.177>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu>
<3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.177
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21141
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> mike coslo wrote:

> > Why is it amateur radio's loss?
>
> Because of the knowledge base those guys would bring to amateur radio.
> Amateur radio needs them more than they need amateur radio.

Now you speak from the other side of your mouth. You have stated you
don't believe that hams need to have technical knowledge. So all that
technical knowledge is useless, according to you.

From 6481302341412810075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 20:15:25 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!wn1feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu> <20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-
mq.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <gV1L6.1258$he5.58962@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 03:15:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.173.136
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989637324 12.88.173.136 (Sat, 12 May
2001 03:15:24 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 03:15:24 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21142

Larry, I don't know. It just might be too much if the applicant has to
spell his or her name correctly.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim Hatamer err ... uh .. Hamp ... uhh ... oh, just AA2QA
(geeze, maybe we best not fail them if they can't spell their name
correctly)
Jim Hampton

From 3824514380025751342
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 21:19:19 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 00:19:59 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3AFCB9BA.279536CB@sccoast.net>
References: <3AFB2919.12D2B872@uci.edu> <20010511073052.02763.00002801@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AFC36E2.2BC53308@psu.edu>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989641156 497384 207.144.227.92 (12 May 2001 04:19:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 04:19:16 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21143

mike coslo wrote:

> [snip] When we get a license above the
> technician level, we are granted privileges
> which allow us to do many things, including
> building our own equipment. [snip]

Mike, the Technician is also "granted privileges" to build equipment, without any more restrictions than the other license classes. Ohms law is included in the Technician license exam exactly for those who want to build their own equipment.

> For this, some experience with Ohms law
> (among many other [snip]

With my first paragraph in mind, the rest of your message (about those with higher license classes having a greater need for Ohm's law to build their own equipment) is not valid.

In addition, you should note that those who promote the "appliance operator" myth today never offer proof that ham operators in the same license class twenty or thirty years ago built their own equipment at

a rate higher than today's operators. Likewise, it has never even
been demonstrated that higher license class operators today build
their own equipment at a rate higher than Technician class operators
today. In other words, this "appliance operator" myth is absolute
nonsense - a claim made with no basis in fact.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 5672954393264572892
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 21:37:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 138
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 04:36:22 GMT
References: <3afc90e9.3157714@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010512003622.02623.00000826@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21144

In article <3afc90e9.3157714@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>>Get out your copy of the R&O. See how many times the FCC mentions and agrees
>>with Kenwood's comments, then see how many times they mention the comments
>of
>>any other individual or group, including NCI or ARRL.
>
>I still see more amateurs and amateur organizations mentioned
>than Kenwood.

But no single group or individual gets the sort of response that Kenwood did.
Heck, Bill, you and Carl took the time to actually go to the FCC, as well as
filing both individual and group comments, yet Kenwood gets the ink.
>
>>>Indeed, the
>>>vast bulk of referenced comments wer from amateurs,
>>>the ARRL, NCI, etc.
>>
>>See above. How many other mentions did any other individual or group get?
>>Particularly with agreement?

>
>Well NCI (Carl Stevenson) had several mentions.

But I bet that Kenwood is mentioned more than Carl, NCI, and you all put
together.
>
>> It's the FCC's excessive attention to
>>what Kenwood says that is the concern.
>
>Why? The FCC is charged with paying attention to EVERY
>comment filed. The fact that Kenwood had sufficiently
>valued comments that were referenced in no way impunes
>the FCC process. Would you say the ame thing about
>comments referenced from NON-hams?

Nope. But I would wonder why a nonham would be interested.
>
>What I am "hearing" from you is (IMHO) the oft repeated
>comment that the FCC shouldn't listen to anyone BUT hams in
>making decisions regarding ham issues.

Since practically anyone can get a ham license, why would nonhams be
interested?

>Sorry, it just
>doesn't work that way.

I know!

>>>>>And those things that we hear today preceeded the nocode
>>>>>tech.
>>>>
>>>>They did?
>>>
>>>Sure they did.
>>
>>You might want to check out the FCC Enforcement Letter, (ARRL website). Note
>>the distribution of enforcement actions against the various license classes,
>>and the nature of the offenses.
>
>Bad comparison as we know that the FCC went through a LONG
>period of almost NO enforcement. The point I am making
>is that the garbage mouth activity within the ham bands,
>the unruly behavior by some, etc. has been around BEFORE
>the nocode tech began. There is no apparent cause/effect
>that can be shown that suggests hams that haven't passed a
>code test are any more or less likly to be behavioral problems.

Sorry, Bill, but you've just disproved your own claim. If the FCC hasn't been
doing enforcement for a long time, there's no way to tell what the effects of
the code test/nocodetest are.
>
>>>>> Indeed, MOST of the real verbal potty mouth

>>>>>and other less than courteous behavior is STILL on
>>>>>the HF bands and has been for years BEFORE the
>>>>>nocode tech.
>>>>
>>>>On VOICE modes. NOT Morse code.
>>>
>>>By people who ALL had to pass a code test to
>>>get on those voice modes for HF.
>>
>>Which says that the key is mode use, not testing. Works for me!
>
>I have no problem with that.

Agreed!
>
>>>>>>>Why would going to total NOcode result in problems?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>No CODE or no CODE TEST?
>>>>>
>>>>>No Code TEST!
>>>>
>>>>I am relieved to read that.
>>>
>>>You should know that by now.
>>
>>I have learned to assume nothing.
>
>A valid point :-)

Agree again!
>
>>>>We shall see what happens in 2003. I don;t think S25.5 has much of a
>chance,
>>>>chance, do you?
>>>
>>>Nope.
>>>
>>There ya go. And the FCC has said that the only reason they kept the code
>test
>>was to satisfy the treaty. So if the treaty requirement ends, the FCC will
>have
>>no reason to keep any code test.
>
>Before the R&O I wouldn't have assumed that.

Me neither, even though they had made similar comments in 1990.

>With the FCC
>comments in the R&O, I agree that once the treaty requirement
>goes, the USA requirement will also. There will, of course, be
>an RM and NPRM, but that will be formality.
>
Maybe not even that. Was there an RM and NPRM for the VE system or medical

waivers? FCC could cite their R&O comments of 1990 and 1999 and say "we have twice said that the code test does not indicate anything other than code ability. We have also said that the only reason it has been retained is the treaty requirement. With the treaty requirement eliminated, there is no regulatory reason to keep the code test any longer". No NPRM, RM, R&O or anything, since they have already laid the groundwork.

Not saying I want that to happen, but I won't be surprised if it does.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 4315414942351642040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 21:50:38 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 00:51:12 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AFCC101.190C3F0D@sccoast.net>
References: <20010511073408.02763.00002802@ng-mo1.aol.com>
<20010511101837.28252.00003198@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AFBFF5C.6967B5F7@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989643027 497528 207.144.227.92 (12 May 2001 04:50:27
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 04:50:27 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21145
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Dumb-$hit Rednecks are protected by federal
> law??? How can I get in on the action?

Very pleasant, Cecil. Why would "So I be axing you, bruh -- word up!" be something that a "redneck" would say? For that matter, since you love to use it so much, what the heck is a "redneck?" The dictionary definition of "redneck" as a word to bring discredit on

"poorly educated whites from the south" does not apply since whites in
the south are as well educated as people in any other part of the
country. Why then would you single out white southerners with your
"dumb-shit" comment?

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3971834501726355799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 22:07:42 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 05:07:15 GMT
References: <20010511101855.16573.00002996@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010512010715.10524.00003034@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21146

In article <20010511101855.16573.00002996@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>If you're looking for something to trip me up on, you'd better pick
>something
>>else!
>
>Yeah, trip him up on Part 97 questions. :-)

Ed:

Yeah, that seems to work, of late! However, I'm not known for staying
up late on the Part 97 Trivia web site!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -370665176718638288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 22:07:47 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 05:07:16 GMT
References: <3AFC0209.2A0D04FF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010512010716.10524.00003035@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21147

In article <3AFC0209.2A0D04FF@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> How could amateur radio possibly be "degraded" by the absence of
>> people who don't think that participation is "worth the effort" of learning
>> one of the most uniquely practical, effective, efficient, and universal
>> non-voice communications modes?
>
>Vanilla ice cream may be the most uniquely practical, effective, efficient,
>and universal ice-cream in the world, Larry, but some people just don't
>like it. Don't you see the contradiction? If Morse code is as good as
>you say it is, it can stand on its own merits without an affirmative
>action program from the feds. If it is not good enough to stand on its
>own merits, it certainly does not deserve a stand-alone pass/fail exam.

Cecil:

How many times have you seen me say that I DO NOT believe that the Morse Code can "stand on its own merits," and that a testing requirement is necessary to ensure that an adequate amount of hams are exposed to Morse/CW operation at a reasonable proficiency level? I dare say, at least a couple of dozen times in the last couple of years. I say Morse/CW is important enough to justify a stand-alone exam -- the problem is, the lazy whiners will never agree, and neither will their self-appointed apologists, such as yourself.

>> Who gave you the right to speak for them?
>
>Most of these guys are my Intel buddies. Intel has an official policy called
>"assumption of authority". If one looks around and cannot find the person
>responsible for something, one is urged to assume the authority concerning
>that something. I am simply following the Intel cultural guidelines.

And Intel's corporate culture has nothing whatsoever to do with this newsgroup! Sorry -- no cigar.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8736780803787113845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bfab42cfcbc9bb75
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 22:44:18 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "WW - KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A real chance
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 00:04:31 -0500
Organization: acme
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <9dih7m$3f4$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <_LDK6.15499$4f7.1195834@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-orl-02-04.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 989644854 3556 209.43.67.68 (12 May 2001 05:20:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 12 May 2001 05:20:54 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21148

____
"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:_LDK6.15499$4f7.1195834@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I received an e-mail in response to a reply I had made to a post. Groping
> for words, I did not use the phrase 'actuarilly reduce'. My spelling may be
> in error, but I think you have the idea. I responded 'using actuary tables
> (at least I think). I received an e-mail stating the adjective should be
> 'actuarial'. I thank the gentleman for this response. Gang, I would hope
> that we could use this group as an exchange of ideas - and an opportunity to
> learn! We needn't flame each other; rather, we can use the newsgroup for
> purposes as I stated - an opportunity to exchange ideas and to learn. To
> Andrew, thanks for the correction. It has been noted. Now, gang, how about
> some real discussions about amateur radio (and, unless you have something
> serious to point out, leave the CW vs no CW and the gay issues alone? It's
> just too hot with no real answers (I believe, but if you have something
> constructive to add, I'm certainly willing to listen!)

So in other words you don't want any discussion about gay CW users? :0

>
> Thanks especially to Andrew and 73 to all from Rochester, NY
> Jim (AA2QA)
> ps - next time I'll use the excuse that my bifocals aren't all that good!
> :)
> pps - Andy, I'll getcha sometime, but for now, I do thank you!
>

--

'73' From KB9TMP

"Only two things are infinite,
the universe and human stupidity,
and I'm not sure about the former."
-- Albert Einstein

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
http://www.deja.com

From -5688237939441871314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 22:44:45 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 01:45:36 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu> <3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989646285 497602 207.144.227.92 (12 May 2001 05:44:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net

NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 05:44:45 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21149

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Because of the knowledge base those
> guys would bring to amateur radio.
> Amateur radio needs them more than
> they need amateur radio.

I agree, Cecil. Years ago, amateur radio was considered part of the learning process for those seeking a career in radio and electronics (a place to test ideas and experiment). Today, I believe mainly because of the code requirement, that is no longer the case. Since code is no longer required for a career in radio, and those interested in other electronics careers see little need for code, the desire to spend time to learn code is simply no longer there. That fact alone makes Amateur Radio far less attractive to those seeking careers in the very fields that might eventually benefit Amateur Radio.

And I think this has already had a serious impact on Amateur Radio. Lets face it, Amateur Radio today is in an evolution mode instead of a revolution mode. The big radio manufacturers have no desire to take major risks - no desire to push Amateur Radio into new directions. Instead, we see bells and whistles slowly added to the same old technology. It takes those with vision, AND the skills necessary to make those visions work, to truly take Amateur Radio in new directions. We have people with vision, but we are slowly losing those with the skills necessary to make those visions a reality.

The codeless Technician license was a step in the right direction. This is especially true since radio technology outside of Amateur Radio has slowly shifted away from HF to VHF and above. Sadly, it may take a decade or more before those entering radio and electronic career fields rediscover Amateur Radio (they didn't lose interest overnight and they won't regain it overnight either). Once they do regain an interest in Amateur Radio (and I think they will - I'm seeing signs of it already), HF will continue to suffer the same fate as does now if the code testing requirement still exists.

Amateur Radio always has had, and always will have, it's share of "appliance operators." However, in the past, Amateur Radio also had it's share of those with the skills to go far beyond that - to take Amateur Radio beyond that. Will Amateur Radio of the future also have them? How much we accept the realities of today will decide that. One of those realities is a decreasing need for a code testing requirement.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

```
http://www.qsl.net/w5net


From 2923981655883896144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 00:04:50 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: CWnotCB <realopr@gtel.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY...
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 01:04:40 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3AFCE088.790F4F67@gtel.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.e4.b4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 May 2001 07:04:18 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21150

What's a "Real Ham?" Real easy.  It's the opposite of a PHONY HAM.

What a bunch of dumb CBers.

NO CODE = NO HAM.  KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.



From 2267121830477335754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbd2716361e30b64,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 00:06:49 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: CWnotCB <realopr@gtel.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 01:07:10 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <3AFCE11E.A195852A@gtel.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.e4.b4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Server-Date: 12 May 2001 07:06:49 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21151

NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.

Amateur Raio's Eternal Truth. Proven every day.

CW OR CB -- No other choice.

From -996794964379525839
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 00:07:31 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 03:08:29 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 88
Message-ID: <3AFCE102.4956C010@sccoast.net>
References: <20010510195546.12866.00002639@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<20010511004924.03759.00000887@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.92
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989651251 501178 207.144.227.92 (12 May 2001 07:07:31 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 07:07:31 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21152

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Because ham radio is going to hell in
> a handbasket, that's why! We have lowered
> standards, new hams who don't know the most
> basic things about the service, who needs
> "Elmering" to get an out-of-the-box VHF/UHF
> HT to work, and, for the most part, are at
> or close to retirement age -- and that's
> the NEW hams we're getting today! [snip]

Larry, when I got into ham radio a few years ago, I asked a lot of questions. And, in spite of seeing lots of messages from you about how "ham radio is going to hell in a handbasket" due to the then recently reduced morse code requirement (yes, you were ranting about the same thing way back then), I don't remember you ever answering a single question I asked. I wanted to learn (I still do today), and I asked lots of questions, but you offered nothing to help with that learning process.

And I still see you doing the same thing today - lots of talk about how "ham radio is going to hell in a handbasket," but very little when it comes to answering questions from those who post to these newsgroups - very little to help guide those new to ham radio. Sadly, that appears to be the trend among those who share your attitude towards the newer hams - the messages with disparaging remarks greatly outnumber the messages with useful information.

Luckily, I didn't have to depend on you, or your peers, to learn about ham radio. And, in spite of my "ignorance" (about code or anything else you've convinced yourself that you know while others like myself don't), I have been able to put together a decent radio setup and even enjoy this hobby.

> [snip] Ham radio operators these days have
> zilch in the way of technical interest,
> and even less interest in truly mastering
> any sort of communications skills which
> involve physical and mental activity beyond
> button pushing and talking. [snip]

Then I'm some great exception to that rule? I've had an interest in electronics that dates back years before you became a ham radio operator in the early 80's. And that interest in electronics continues to this day (I'm in the process of taking another electronics course right now). And, by the way, while my initial introduction to ham radio dates back to the 60's (my father), I did no become involved myself until the code requirement was dropped (no interest in code - I didn't even need code for my military communications job in the early 70's).

> To make matters worse, we are seeing an
> increasing amount of whining in newsgroups
> like this one about a silly, insignificant,
> and wholly inconsequential 5 WPM code test.
> The entire thought of expending any physical,
> mental, or emotional effort to gain valuable
> amateur radio privileges has become
> completely taboo.

If the code test is as "silly, insignificant, and wholly inconsequential" as you openly admit it is above, why would anyone want to expend effort to do it? For that matter, why are you making such an effort to defend it? Do you always get so bothered over "insignificant" things?

> But, you should know all about that, because
> you work for the organization that did more
> than anyone else to let this happen, when it
> was completely within your power to retain
> the former meaning and prestige of an amateur
> radio license. [snip]

For myself, and many others, the license does have meaning and prestige. If it no longer does for you, then perhaps your attitude is the problem, not the license.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -4018108009688490793
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 00:10:50 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: CWnotCB <realopr@gtel.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: NO CODE = NO HAM.
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 01:11:01 -0600
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <3AFCE205.92FF3D8B@gtel.net>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu> <3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org> <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.e4.b4
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 May 2001 07:10:39 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21153

NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
CW or CB -- no other choice.

Breaker, Breaker!!! LID!!!!!!

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Because of the knowledge base those
> > guys would bring to amateur radio.
> > Amateur radio needs them more than
> > they need amateur radio.
>
>   I agree, Cecil. Years ago, amateur radio was considered part of the
> learning process for those seeking a career in radio and electronics
> (a place to test ideas and experiment). Today, I believe mainly
> because of the code requirement, that is no longer the case. Since
> code is no longer required for a career in radio, and those interested
> in other electronics careers see little need for code, the desire to
> spend time to learn code is simply no longer there. That fact alone
> makes Amateur Radio far less attractive to those seeking careers in
> the very fields that might eventually benefit Amateur Radio.
>
>   And I think this has already had a serious impact on Amateur Radio.
> Lets face it, Amateur Radio today is in an evolution mode instead of a
> revolution mode. The big radio manufacturers have no desire to take
> major risks - no desire to push Amateur Radio into new directions.
> Instead, we see bells and whistles slowly added to the same old
> technology. It takes those with vision, AND the skills necessary to
> make those visions work, to truly take Amateur Radio in new
> directions. We have people with vision, but we are slowly losing
> those with the skills necessary to make those visions a reality.
>
>   The codeless Technician license was a step in the right direction.
> This is especially true since radio technology outside of Amateur
> Radio has slowly shifted away from HF to VHF and above. Sadly, it may
> take a decade or more before those entering radio and electronic
> career fields rediscover Amateur Radio (they didn't lose interest
> overnight and they won't regain it overnight either). Once they do
> regain an interest in Amateur Radio (and I think they will - I'm
> seeing signs of it already), HF will continue to suffer the same fate
> as does now if the code testing requirement still exists.
>
>   Amateur Radio always has had, and always will have, it's share of
> "appliance operators." However, in the past, Amateur Radio also had
> it's share of those with the skills to go far beyond that - to take
> Amateur Radio beyond that. Will Amateur Radio of the future also have
> them? How much we accept the realities of today will decide that.
> One of those realities is a decreasing need for a code testing requirement.
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -8362585983392802610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 03:20:57 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!feeder.via.net!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AFCE088.790F4F67@gtel.net>
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY...
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <c88L6.72879$2_.25159940@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 10:20:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 989662856 24.112.8.24 (Sat, 12 May 2001 03:20:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 03:20:56 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21154

IF CW is all so special why are you going around with that $2000 rig that
for the most mart its components are used specifically for PHONE!

Go get yourself one of those 1watt cw transmitters you fool!

--
Rob St.Denis

"CWnotCB" <realopr@gtel.net> wrote in message news:3AFCE088.790F4F67@gtel.net...
> What's a "Real Ham?" Real easy. It's the opposite of a PHONY HAM.
>
> What a bunch of dumb CBers.
>
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>

From 5909276414686593814

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,417887dab3a92fdd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 06:21:58 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 6m, 2m, and 70cm in Mexico
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 13:21:38 GMT
Message-ID: <3afd34cb.33771211@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AFB6EBD.3F532BBA@post.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.55
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.55
X-Trace: 12 May 2001 08:17:40 -0500, 64.24.18.55
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21155

On Fri, 11 May 2001 04:53:39 GMT, Jonathan Knowles <jknowles@post.com>
wrote:

>Howdy.
>
>Anyone know the rules (or where I can find the rules) for U.S. amateurs
>traveling in Mexico. Specifically, the 6m, 2m, and 70cm bands.
>
Somewhere I heard that the Mexican band edges/modes/splits/power rules
are very similar to ours. The ARRL can supply the details but they're
not in the ARRL website.
>
>Thanks in advance,
>
>Jonathan
>KG6GKY
>
w3rv




From -3613145772086514602
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 06:51:23 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 13:49:45 GMT
Message-ID: <3afd33e0.33535348@news2.dvol.com>
```

References: <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu> <20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-mq.aol.com> <TNxK6.29764$kh.17572782@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <3AFAB5C5.CF7CD19@psu.edu> <3afb8c4a.401186808@news2.dvol.com> <3AFC216C.59ED4B83@psu.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.114
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.114
X-Trace: 12 May 2001 08:45:47 -0500, 64.24.17.114
Lines: 34
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21156

On Fri, 11 May 2001 13:29:16 -0400, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote:

> Test answers don't have to be "standard". You look at the question, and
>write your answer. Just as the cw test isn't something that is in a pool
>that you are given the answers to, this test wouldn't have to be be
>either. Packet is concept, history and software, then tell us about it,
>ssb is circuitry and concept and history, eme could probably be written
>about for hours. You could have several questions that would require a
>written, essay type answer.
>
> People write essays all the time about things that have several ways to
>perform a function. I have books which expound at great length on these subjects.
>
> The only people who would be punished by this system would be the VE's
>who would have to wade through the answers. the test would take a little
>longer. The VE's I know would probably welcome it tho'.
>
Sorry but I completely disagree. If you dig back into the history of
FCC testing you will find that up through the late 1930s the FCC did
in fact have essay-type exam questions. These types of questions gave
rise to all kinds of disputes because the examiner had to use his
personal judgement in the marking process. And those were professional
examiners, not VEs. In today's enviornmrnent those old disputes would
bury the FCC in litigation.
>
The bottom line was that the FCC decided sixty-some years ago to take
personal judgement out of the test scoring process entirely and format
the tests so that the answers were either right or wrong, period.
>

> - mike -
>
w3rv

From 5877272193330717044

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 07:28:17 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 14:26:26 GMT
Message-ID: <3afd44a5.37829385@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AFB2919.12D2B872@uci.edu> <20010511073052.02763.00002801@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AFC36E2.2BC53308@psu.edu> <3AFCB9BA.279536CB@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.58
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.58
X-Trace: 12 May 2001 09:22:29 -0500, 64.24.18.58
Lines: 27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21157

On Sat, 12 May 2001 00:19:59 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>
> In addition, you should note that those who promote the "appliance
>operator" myth today never offer proof that ham operators in the same
>license class twenty or thirty years ago built their own equipment at
>a rate higher than today's operators. Likewise, it has never even
>been demonstrated that higher license class operators today build
>their own equipment at a rate higher than Technician class operators
>today. In other words, this "appliance operator" myth is absolute
>nonsense - a claim made with no basis in fact.
>

There are reasons beyond just home-brewing for topics like Ohm's Law
being valid test questions. A knowledge of electronics basics is a
step in the direction of promoting proper maintenance of the station,
a subject dear the FCCs heart.

>

The "definition" of an "appliance operator" is an individual who
doesn't know or care about knowing much if anything about what goes on
behind the panel. Got a BUNCH of them out here these days.

>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>

w3rv

```
From 1553780036800204421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 08:19:25 PST
Message-ID: <3AFD541F.23FB2D33@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 10:17:51 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010511073408.02763.00002802@ng-mo1.aol.com>
<20010511101837.28252.00003198@nso-mq.aol.com> <3AFBFF5C.6967B5F7@IEEE.org>
<3AFCC101.190C3F0D@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 989680283 64.240.47.43 (12 May 2001 10:11:23 -0500)
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21158
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > Dumb-$hit Rednecks are protected by federal
> > law??? How can I get in on the action?
>
> Very pleasant, Cecil. Why would "So I be axing you, bruh -- word
> up!" be something that a "redneck" would say?

The only word being discussed was "axed", Dwight. My redneck family has been saying "axed" for as long as I can remember. Many of the words the blacks use originated with Southern rednecks. Here's the particular statement to which I was responding:

"BTW, I use the form "axed" as a tribute to a particular group of Americans who speak in that manner, and whom, as a group, are protected by federal law from most of the responsibilities of citizenship, but granted superior rights and privileges."

This objection is akin to some hams objection of the use of the word "handle" instead of "name" not knowing that the CBers copied that habit from amateur radio operators.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3453491176413441506
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 08:32:35 PST
Message-ID: <3AFD573C.575BDFD7@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 10:31:08 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142>
<3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org> <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 989681080 64.240.47.43 (12 May 2001 10:24:40 -0500)
Lines: 37
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21159
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >For the ones I knew, it was being able to talk to their camping

> >buddies over an area of 5000 square miles using a mountaintop
> >repeater. The Tech license was effortless for them.

> I see. In other words all ham radio means to them is that when they're
> out in that 5,000 square miles their ham HTs do a better job than
> their cellphone service providers do.

The group use aspect of amateur repeater operation is much more conducive to camper group communications, Dick. I don't see that the FCC requires that all hams adopt your personal reasons for entering ham radio. Unlike you, a lot of hams do not belong to the ARS for reasons of self-esteem. Asking if they are proud to be hams is a non sequitur for a lot of them. For a lot of hams, amateur radio performs a real-world useful function and that real-world function may be the most important thing about ham radio to them. What is wrong with live and let live?

> >They will
> >not even consider upgrading until the Morse code requirement
> >is history.

> Good. Who needs 'em?

Amateur radio needs 'em, Dick. You are always complaining that hams are not technical enough. What better way to ensure hams are technical than encouraging engineers and scientists to join the ARS?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 435365828553365583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 08:38:54 PST
Message-ID: <3AFD58B8.58103702@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 10:37:28 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu> <3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org> <9di9ba$5q1$0@208.207.71.177>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 989681460 64.240.47.43 (12 May 2001 10:31:00 -0500)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!
out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21160
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> > mike coslo wrote:
> > > Why is it amateur radio's loss?
> >
> > Because of the knowledge base those guys would bring to amateur radio.
> > Amateur radio needs them more than they need amateur radio.
>
> Now you speak from the other side of your mouth. You have stated you don't believe that hams need to have technical knowledge. So all that technical knowledge is useless, according to you.

How wrong you are, Dick. I believe that hams should be extremely technical, more technical than you are. The argument was not over how much hams need to know or should know after they have been hams for 20 years. The argument was over how much hams need to know to pass an *entrance* exam.

How much does a six-year old need to know to gain *entrance* into school VS how much does a 12th grader need to know to *graduate* from school?

Not everyone is like you, Dick. Not everyone stops learning when he/she gets his/her ham ticket. Not everyone feels like they have "arrived" and are content to rest on their "rosey red laurels".

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2620548258885792140

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 08:44:44 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 15:44:41 GMT
References: <3AFBECD8.8C8A385@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010512114441.25599.00001644@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21161

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 5/11/01 9:44 AM Central Daylight Time

>He has friends who are
>hams, has had decades of exposure and has been posting here for >years.

Lennie telling us that he has "friends who are licensed hams" reminds me of the bigot who claims to have "many black friends."

>If he was truly interested, he'd have obtained a license by now.

Who'd want him?

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 4450001607768669751
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 08:44:59 PST
Message-ID: <3AFD5A1D.92E3CDC9@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 10:43:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFC0209.2A0D04FF@IEEE.org> <20010512010716.10524.00003035@nso-

md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 989681817 64.240.47.43 (12 May 2001 10:36:57 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21162

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> How many times have you seen me say that I DO NOT believe that
> the Morse Code can "stand on its own merits," ...

Larry, the simple truth is that if, as you say, Morse Code cannot stand on its own merits, then it doesn't deserve to survive. Why are you so enamored with this particular governmental affirmative action program when you are against most of the others? Why are you against a free market of modes in a hobby in a free country? Have you joined the Socialist Party?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -9130247293971361583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 08:47:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 15:47:11 GMT

References: <3AFBEAC9.79433A97@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Message-ID: <20010512114711.25599.00001645@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21163

>Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 5/11/01 8:36 AM Central

>>   He'sa trolling. Nothing more...nothing less....

>(Pssssst, Steve, so was I.)

Still doesn't negate the fact that the information stated was factual.

Unless you are now stating that it is NOT factual?

Steve

From -1002182588867195819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 08:56:47 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 15:56:27 GMT
References: <3afba66d.407879442@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010512115627.25599.00001650@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21164

>Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>Date: 5/11/01 10:16 AM Central Daylight Time

>>My desire is
>>simply to have an old test element eliminated. Oddly, the
>>FCC wants to eliminate it as well.

>That's another total crock. Cite your source Lenny.

Sure IS a crock. Follow the LennieLies and you find NUMEROUS threads wherein Lennie ASSERTS the FCC has NO INTENTION or DESIRE to act on the code issue whenever I cite FCC statements that they fully DO intend to do it.

When I state that the FCC has said that it is thier intention to delete code testing when the opportunity arises, HE argues that no such intent is there.

Now he says that the FCC DOES want the test eliminated.

Go figure.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From 113676889115309363
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 09:10:02 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 16:09:46 GMT
Message-ID: <3afd57db.42747950@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu>
<3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org> <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.18
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.18
X-Trace: 12 May 2001 11:05:49 -0500, 64.24.17.18
Lines: 22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21165
```

On Sat, 12 May 2001 01:45:36 -0400, Dwight Stewart

```
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:


>  And I think this has already had a serious impact on Amateur Radio.
>Lets face it, Amateur Radio today is in an evolution mode instead of a
>revolution mode.
>
And just when Sire was the last "revolution" in ham radio?? Ham radio
has been slowly evolving ever since Marconi did his stunt over a
hundred years ago. None of it has had anything to do with the
policies, practices or license tests given by the series of spectrum
regulators who have issued U.S. ham licenses over the years. And sure
as hell there has never been any established relationship between the
rate of evolution of ham radio and code tests as the advent of the
nocde license eleven years ago has clearly demonstrated again.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
w3rv
```

```
From 4816281049906900015
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 12:35:04 PST
Message-ID: <3AFD9013.30F5C9ED@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 14:33:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3AFBEAC9.79433A97@IEEE.org> <20010512114711.25599.00001645@ng-
mh1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp 989695629 64.240.47.95 (12 May 2001 14:27:09 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
```

Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21166

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 5/11/01 8:36 AM Central
>
> >>   He'sa trolling. Nothing more...nothing less....
>
> >(Pssssst, Steve, so was I.)
>
>   Still doesn't negate the fact that the information stated was factual.
>   Unless you are now stating that it is NOT factual?

You really think, "Hell Mary", is factual?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4743116734031188684
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,417887dab3a92fdd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 12:35:08 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 6m, 2m, and 70cm in Mexico
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 19:34:48 GMT
Message-ID: <3afd8ffb.57118218@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AFB6EBD.3F532BBA@post.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.184
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.184
X-Trace: 12 May 2001 14:30:51 -0500, 64.24.19.184
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21167

On Fri, 11 May 2001 04:53:39 GMT, Jonathan Knowles <jknowles@post.com>
wrote:

```
>Howdy.
>
>Anyone know the rules (or where I can find the rules) for U.S. amateurs
>traveling in Mexico. Specifically, the 6m, 2m, and 70cm bands.
>
>Thanks in advance,
>
Here ya go, I knew they had to be somewhere on the Web
>
http://www.qsl.net/wd9ewk/xe-regs.html
>
>
>Jonathan
>KG6GKY
>
w3rv



From -6304927600838172320
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 13:03:32 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 19:35:53 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 155
Message-ID: <3afd8f53.2026885@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3afc90e9.3157714@news.mindspring.com>
<20010512003622.02623.00000826@nso-fp.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.46.97
X-Server-Date: 12 May 2001 19:36:41 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21168

On 12 May 2001 04:36:22 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3afc90e9.3157714@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>>Get out your copy of the R&O. See how many times the FCC mentions and agrees
>>>with Kenwood's comments, then see how many times they mention the comments
>>of
>>>any other individual or group, including NCI or ARRL.
>>
>>I still see more amateurs and amateur organizations mentioned
```

>>than Kenwood.
>
>But no single group or individual gets the sort of response that Kenwood did.
>Heck, Bill, you and Carl took the time to actually go to the FCC, as well as
>filing both individual and group comments, yet Kenwood gets the ink.
>>
>>>>Indeed, the
>>>>vast bulk of referenced comments wer from amateurs,
>>>>the ARRL, NCI, etc.
>>>
>>>See above. How many other mentions did any other individual or group get?
>>>Particularly with agreement?
>>
>>Well NCI (Carl Stevenson) had several mentions.
>
>But I bet that Kenwood is mentioned more than Carl, NCI, and you all put
>together.
>>
>>> It's the FCC's excessive attention to
>>>what Kenwood says that is the concern.
>>
>>Why? The FCC is charged with paying attention to EVERY
>>comment filed. The fact that Kenwood had sufficiently
>>valued comments that were referenced in no way impunes
>>the FCC process. Would you say the ame thing about
>>comments referenced from NON-hams?
>
>Nope. But I would wonder why a nonham would be interested.
>>
>>What I am "hearing" from you is (IMHO) the oft repeated
>>comment that the FCC shouldn't listen to anyone BUT hams in
>>making decisions regarding ham issues.
>
>Since practically anyone can get a ham license, why would nonhams be
>interested?
>
>>Sorry, it just
>>doesn't work that way.
>
>I know!
>
>>>>>>And those things that we hear today preceeded the nocode
>>>>>>tech.
>>>>>
>>>>>They did?
>>>>
>>>>Sure they did.
>>>
>>>You might want to check out the FCC Enforcement Letter, (ARRL website). Note
>>>the distribution of enforcement actions against the various license classes,
>>>and the nature of the offenses.
>>
>>Bad comparison as we know that the FCC went through a LONG

>>period of almost NO enforcement. The point I am making
>>is that the garbage mouth activity within the ham bands,
>>the unruly behavior by some, etc. has been around BEFORE
>>the nocode tech began. There is no apparent cause/effect
>>that can be shown that suggests hams that haven't passed a
>>code test are any more or less likly to be behavioral problems.
>
>Sorry, Bill, but you've just disproved your own claim. If the FCC hasn't been
>doing enforcement for a long time, there's no way to tell what the effects of
>the code test/nocodetest are.

The evidence is certainly not quantitative, but more anecdoatal.
Do you dispute that HF hams even before no-code test, or slow
code as now, had sufficient "bad apples" amongs them that
it was already being viewed as a problem within ham ranks?

>>>>>> Indeed, MOST of the real verbal potty mouth
>>>>>>and other less than courteous behavior is STILL on
>>>>>>the HF bands and has been for years BEFORE the
>>>>>>nocode tech.
>>>>>
>>>>>On VOICE modes. NOT Morse code.
>>>>
>>>>By people who ALL had to pass a code test to
>>>>get on those voice modes for HF.
>>>
>>>Which says that the key is mode use, not testing. Works for me!
>>
>>I have no problem with that.
>
>Agreed!
>>
>>>>>>>>Why would going to total NOcode result in problems?
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>No CODE or no CODE TEST?
>>>>>>
>>>>>>No Code TEST!
>>>>>
>>>>>I am relieved to read that.
>>>>
>>>>You should know that by now.
>>>
>>>I have learned to assume nothing.
>>
>>A valid point :-)
>
>Agree again!
>>
>>>>>We shall see what happens in 2003. I don;t think S25.5 has much of a
>>chance,
>>>>>chance, do you?
>>>>
>>>>Nope.

>>>>
>>>There ya go. And the FCC has said that the only reason they kept the code
>>test
>>>was to satisfy the treaty. So if the treaty requirement ends, the FCC will
>>have
>>>no reason to keep any code test.
>>
>>Before the R&O I wouldn't have assumed that.
>
>Me neither, even though they had made similar comments in 1990.
>
>>With the FCC
>>comments in the R&O, I agree that once the treaty requirement
>>goes, the USA requirement will also. There will, of course, be
>>an RM and NPRM, but that will be formality.
>>
>Maybe not even that. Was there an RM and NPRM for the VE system or medical
>waivers? FCC could cite their R&O comments of 1990 and 1999 and say "we have
>twice said that the code test does not indicate anything other than code
>ability. We have also said that the only reason it has been retained is the
>treaty requirement. With the treaty requirement eliminated, there is no
>regulatory reason to keep the code test any longer". No NPRM, RM, R&O or
>anything, since they have already laid the groundwork.

I don't know if an RM is necessary (the RMs are, as I recall)
input from outside the FCC requesting change. The FCC could,
based solely on the treaty change, go immediately to an
NPRM.

>Not saying I want that to happen, but I won't be surprised if it does.

I believe some degree of public input/process would still
be warranted. Perhaps Phil K, our resident, former FCC barrister,
could shed more light on the process.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6158698624568483281
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 13:54:46 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY...
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 15:53:51 -0500
Organization: wa2ise

Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3AFDA2DF.817EC6FF@ix.netcom.com>
References: <3AFCE088.790F4F67@gtel.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0b.ba.a3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 May 2001 20:53:53 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21169

Trollometer:

```
1 3 5 7 9 +20 +40 +60
                /
               /
             /
           /
```

CWnotCB wrote:

> What's a "Real Ham?" Real easy. It's the opposite of a PHONY HAM.
>
> What a bunch of dumb CBers.
>
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.

From -7338751477229558173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbd2716361e30b64
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 13:54:46 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 15:54:25 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3AFDA301.D386E957@ix.netcom.com>
References: <3AFCE11E.A195852A@gtel.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0b.ba.a3
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 12 May 2001 20:54:27 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21170

This troll is getting old....

CWnotCB wrote:

> NO CODE = NO HAM.  KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
> Amateur Raio's Eternal Truth.  Proven every day.
>
> CW OR CB -- No other choice.



From 3917361208376154547
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 14:12:58 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 21:12:21 GMT
References: <3AFB3B94.2568FC02@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010512171221.08242.00000430@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21171

In article <3AFB3B94.2568FC02@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Let me clear up a misconception you seem to have, Len.  You don't work
>for the FCC.

Is Heil working for the FCC?

>You are not in charge of amateur radio or any other type
>of licensing.

Is Heil in charge of amateur radio or any other type of licensing.

However, to see Heil arrogantly state the "true nature of things"
(as Heil sees it) all about amateur radio, it would appear that
Heil is "in charge here."    :-)
```

From 5135119693224648102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 14:13:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 21:12:19 GMT
References: <3afd33e0.33535348@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010512171219.08242.00000428@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21172

In article <3afd33e0.33535348@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On Fri, 11 May 2001 13:29:16 -0400, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote:
>
>>   Test answers don't have to be "standard". You look at the question, and
>>write your answer. Just as the cw test isn't something that is in a pool
>>that you are given the answers to, this test wouldn't have to be be
>>either. Packet is concept, history and software, then tell us about it,
>>ssb is circuitry and concept and history, eme could probably be written
>>about for hours. You could have several questions that would require a
>>written, essay type answer.
>>
>>   People write essays all the time about things that have several ways to
>>perform a function. I have books which expound at great length on these
>subjects.
>>
>>   The only people who would be punished by this system would be the VE's
>>who would have to wade through the answers. the test would take a little
>>longer. The VE's I know would probably welcome it tho'.
>>
>Sorry but I completely disagree. If you dig back into the history of
>FCC testing you will find that up through the late 1930s the FCC did
>in fact have essay-type exam questions. These types of questions gave
>rise to all kinds of disputes because the examiner had to use his
>personal judgement in the marking process. And those were professional
>examiners, not VEs.

The FCC radio operator testing up to and past 1956 had essay
questions, at least for the commercial licenses. Testing for all
radio operator licenses was performed at FCC field offices by

FCC personnel for both amateur and commercial radio operator licenses. In the sense that FCC personnel got paid for their work, they were professional. In the sense that FCC field office personnel were assigned to give examinations, they were examiners.

>The bottom line was that the FCC decided sixty-some years ago to take
>personal judgement out of the test scoring process entirely and format
>the tests so that the answers were either right or wrong, period.

Kelly's memory seems inadequate on the FCC's decisions. Essay type questions did appear on radio operator tests in the mid-1950s which makes that about 45 years ago, not 60.

From -3638897666321740193
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 14:13:01 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 89
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 21:12:16 GMT
References: <3afb9aa7.404864541@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Message-ID: <20010512171216.08242.00000426@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21173

In article <3afb9aa7.404864541@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>>:-)   Kelly admits to butchering SMDs also?
>>
>Certainly. This a a big ham policy deal or what?
>>
>>Does a TS-50 have SMDs?
>>
>No you dim bulb, the TS-50 second IF design is based on a pair of
>5U4GB amps . .

Interesting...a technical breakthrough! "A pair of 5U4GB amps."

A dual-plate somewhat high current vacuum tube diode is an amplifier?

A surface mount device it is not. :-)

>You know all about 50s military RTTY boxes but you have no clue about
>current-tech ham boxes. Whatta big surprise.

I know about 90s military "boxes" having all sorts of fun technical
modes. :-)

I don't read amateur radio ads on a regular basis. Is reading
the hieroglyphics of ad copy some kind of Rosetta Stone
leading to the compleat understanding of the all?

>>>I can supply a long list of the "noclue Novices" just in my crowd who
>>>went on to electronics-based academic, gummint and corporate
>>>acheivements so far beyond anything you've ever accomplished it's a
>>>snicker Lenny.
>>
>>Nobel Prize winners? Canonized Catholic Saints? "Leaders?"
>>
>Nah, just working slob graduate engineer with a nocode ham ticket will
>trump your "credentials" six ways from Sunday.

Anyone who claims full-wave rectifier tubes are SMDs could
not trump a Donald. :-)

>Of course others took
>it a lot further. Hell, even another bench tech with a nocode would
>trump your non-credentials for that matter.

Is this a "bridge to the next century?" With all the technical
trumping you attempt I think you've played all your cards.   :-)

>>Of course, of course, senior. My "ride" wasn't on a hobby
>>merry-go-round like yours.   :-)
>>
>You and the neighborhood TV repairman. Which is why you really have no
>clue about AMATEUR radio.

Is amateur radio all about putting down professionals who do
not see Kelly's viewpoints or any other amateur long-timer who
wishes to keep everything in regulations static and unyielding?

>>I'd like to see some of Kelly's "qualifications" on proving
>>suppression of harmonic content below FCC amateur radio
>>limits. Any band. Engineers do that. Maybe Kelly has
>>"loftier things to do" and should not be bothered by that?   :-)
>>
>If I gave a bleep in the first place, which I don't, I'd run it thru
>Mathcad in a wink then sweep the prototype. Whatta no-brainer.
>Which, as you know, is not my technical field at all but I can do it
>anyway.

Suppression of harmonic content is very much a requirement of 97.307 (harmonics are part of spurious output). All amateur licensees are responsible for their own transmitters meeting FCC technical requirements. There is no justification to "let the manufacturer do it."

MathCad is an expensive all-purpose mathematically-oriented problem-solving computer program. So far the program publishers have not claimed any ability of analyzing any radio transmitter's signal quality.

Claiming that a computer program can analyze spurious amateur transmitter outputs is a "no clue" statement along with a dual-plate diode vacuum tube being capable of amplification or as being a surface mount device.

So far Kelly has not shown the slightest possibility of "doing it [technical competence in radio]" in this message. I am confident he can do high rate morse code communications on HF and that is apparently all that counts in amateur radio.

```
From 8813435461849164872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 14:13:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 97
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 21:12:21 GMT
References: <3afba66d.407879442@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010512171221.08242.00000429@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21174
```

In article <3afba66d.407879442@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>>:-)   Kelly has become disturbed because his own ego is
>>threatened?
>>
>It's YOU with the ego problem Lenny, I have nothing left to prove,
>I've done it all, you know, the old theme about actions speaking
>louder than words, etc. All you have is words and nothing more.

That must be true. Kelly has been able to make dual-diodes perform as amplifiers. I've not been able to do that. :-(

>The timeframe is not from now until then Lenny, the critical timeframe
>is how much time they have to dork around in meetings. they're
>prioritizing their agenda items and S25.5 is coming up 'way down their
>list of priorities Lenny. Based on WRC history some of the more
>important agenda items which make the list will probably be tabled too
>because they ran outta time to deal with those.

More "insider" information! Amazing what one can learn by not
seeking out the agencies directly involved with radio regulations.

>>I have no "battle against ham radio."
>>
>Whatta hypocritical crock!! Every one of your posts oozes anti-ham
>radio venom Lenny.

No problem. The only clinical problems involving venom is a
current shortage of rattlesnake anti-venom.

Kelly has identified his own viewpoints as synonymous with US
amateur radio. Verbatim. As such, he is correct only in his
mind that my battle against the morse code test retention is a
"battle against ham radio."

>Are you aware of what you write or do you have some
>clinical issues ib play?

:-)

>>My desire is
>>simply to have an old test element eliminated. Oddly, the
>>FCC wants to eliminate it as well.
>>
>That's another total crock. Cite your source Lenny.

FCC Report and Orders 90-53 and 99-412.

>The FCC could care
>less about code tests now that they have gotten away from having to
>deal with processing disability waivers. Whatever hassles over the
>code tests still exist as far administration is concerned was
>downloaded on the VEs eons ago.

More "insider" information!   :-)

>>Elimination of the code test should not affect Kelly's license
>>privileges. If Kelly keeps to a timely schedule of renewals,
>>he will never have to take another radio test in his life. No
>>worries at all about having to learn something new. :-)
>>

>Not the way ham radio works Lenny, another demonstration of your total
>cluelessness. It's impossible to be an active ham and not continue
>learning no matter the class of license or how long the ham has been
>licensed.

Learning what?   :-)

Kelly must try to seek a consensus of opinion on this "how it works" explanation. Some other Extras in here have wildly differing viewpoints.

>I'll tellya what the deal just might be on S25.5 Lenny: The FCC
>certainly has no stake in 25.5 one way or the other.

We are drowning in a sea of "insider" information. :-)

Is there any congressional law requiring the FCC to have any "stake" about amateur radio?

>The ARRL/IARU knows full well that no matter which way 25.5 goes
>they'll get pilloried by half their membership. Which is why the ARRL
>board has already declined to take a stand. So they all cut a deal:
>Don't put 25.5 on the agenda, no work for the FCC and all of 'em can
>point the finger at the ongoing treaty provision, "our hands are
>tied", ye olde punt & deflect maneuver.

Yet another bit of "insider" information. We are all the recipients of a veritable glut of "insider" material...all of which is about as good as the "technical information" of making dual-vacuum diode second IF amplifiers.

```
From -3665468540399091494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 14:13:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 116
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 21:12:18 GMT
References: <3AF9FDA7.16D5618A@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Message-ID: <20010512171218.08242.00000427@nso-mf.aol.com>
```

Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21175

In article <3AF9FDA7.16D5618A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3af95657.256319869@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com
>(Brian
>> Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>>
>> >On Wed, 09 May 2001 14:10:36 GMT, k0hb@arrl.org wrote:
>> >
>> >>Old Biker says:
>> >>
>> >>>Progress is sad in a way. Whatever pride there is in buying an
>> >>>appliance station is tiny compared to having built your own gear
>> >>>in a time when not one person in 1000 could do so. Too bad new
>> >>>hams will never know that feeling but forcing them to memorize
>> >>>info they'll never use won't bring back those feelings; it only
>> >>>creates resentment.
>> >>
>> >I agree, been a *long* time since I actually built a radio but the
>> >technical skills & insights I gained back then still holds me in good
>> >stead when I have to do the soldering iron to fix some glitch or
>> >another. I just dove into an MFJ tuner and butchered it with the
>> >soldering iron and some junk parts to get it working on 160. How many
>> >of today's button-pushers would have clue one?
>>
>> Oh, goodie, an old-timer who can swing a 100 W American
>> Beauty to solder two wires together. A real "clue" that one.
>
>The "clue" comes from knowing which two wires to solder together.

Which two?   :-)

>> How is the "clueful" one on surface mount technology?
>> Can he "butcher" some SMDs in the same manner?
>
>In your seventh decade, how good are you at handling SMDs, Len?

Sixth decade. Not too shabby at installing the TSOPs, no muscle
tremors to impair motor skills, myopia helps see teeny things. :-)

SMDs are best handled with tweezers, solder-paste applied with
a toothpick. I've never liked the micro-flame kind of "iron" and
prefer a small high-temperature tiny tip conventional iron (less
than 10 W dissipation). A controlled-temperature-rise and -fall
oven would be a nice addition to the workshop. Maybe next year.
:-)

>> Gotta love this...all sorts of tales of surplus and Novices
>> doing the midnight thing with irons to do "all those mods."

>> Most of it is bullsh*t. Most Novices way back when
>> didn't have a clue. They were clueless. Some learned
>> more...other than soldering skills. Some dropped out.
>> Some stayed in, got other class licenses. Some
>> stayed in for life and remained clueless to the very end,
>> loudly proclaiming their "expertise."
>
>You really are an envious boor, Len. To sum up your paragraph above, no
>ham ever did anything to amount to much.

Heil needs to work on his perception of personal inadequacy.

>You've left no room for those
>who really learned electronics, became excellent operators (regardless
>of mode) or who turned amateur radio into a stepping stone to a career.

Does anyone passing any US amateur radio written test element
have credentials of "really learning electronics?" Really?

Heil, as usual, took my paragraph out of context. It was a
comment to Brian Kelly in regards to Kelly's previous message.
My context was not about "turning amateur radio into a stepping
stone to a career." Heil is just manufacturing another diatribe
subject thread in an attempt to suppress someone who does
not agree with his views.

Amateur radio is a hobby, an activity about radio, done without
pecuniary compensation, that involves federal regulation due to
the nature of electromagnetic waves and federal laws concerning
such electromagnetic waves.

>The question which begs asking after reading each of your posts is:
>Why are you interested in amateur radio at all? What is your fixation?

My fixation is the elimination of the morse code test for an
amateur radio license examination. That is what I have said
in public before and, seemingly, must continue to do so for
the benefit of those who do not read well. The regulation
requiring a morse code test for some US amateur radio
licenses is not inviolate, just a man-made regulation which
can be man-unmade.

What is Heil's fixation? Heil seems fixated on putting down
everyone who does not agree with his views. Constantly.

>
>> >The techncal tests promote education which is a worthwhile objective
>> >and they've proven many times to be to the benefit of the ham. Even if
>> >they to have to get off their butts and learn something. God forbid.
>>
>> FCC tests are just regulatory tools for the FCC. They aren't

>> "technical tests" of any academic kind.
>
>No one gets any credit hours toward graduation but one would have to
>have the IQ of a mushroom not to pick up a lot of electronics knowledge
>simply by studying to pass such an exam.

Heil should seek a consensus with other Extras in here. Some
Extras contend that today's written examinations are nothing
but meaningless memorization feats and have no redeeming
quality insofar as amateur radio.

From 642703199818835978
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 14:13:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 160
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 21:12:23 GMT
References: <3AFB3AA3.C0DCF452@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010512171223.08242.00000431@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21176

In article <3AFB3AA3.C0DCF452@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3AF9FAD4.310E1739@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Len, when you finally have a chance to regale us with your amateur radio
>> >tales, they won't go back very far, will they?
>>
>> :-)
>>
>> Expected. Heil manufactured a sub-thread of "wanna-bes slavering
>> after an amateur radio license and sick at not having one," and now
>> comes the prologue to what would happen if and only if such a
>> license would be required. Heil was transparent before and now he
>> has proved the transparency. :-)

>
>Excuse me. From your diatribe, it was evident that you didn't care for
>hams regaling anyone with their tales.

I enjoy factual tales of any kind. I don't enjoy fairy tales to support
fantasies.

>If you don't care for ham radio,
>why do you haunt a ham radio newsgroup?

Heil has a memory problem. I am here to advocate elimination of
the morse code test for an amateur radio license.

>If you don't desire a license, why the fixation with amateur radio?

Why is Heil fixated on his demand to prove to Heil one's
motivation?

>If you aren't a wannabee, why lengthy posts on amateur radio?

I advocate the elimination of the morse code test for an amateur
radio license. This newsgroup is the one chartered for such
discussion.

>My neighbor and I don't share the same religious or political views. We
>get along famously. Then again, he isn't a pontificating wind bag. I'm
>not angry very often, Len. I don't much care for you. If you don't
>want to get your feelings hurt just can your "expert in amateur radio"
>routine.

Apparently Heil is in the presumption that morse code skills
represent the "expertise" in US amateur radio. I have no
"expertise" in morse code and do not consider it necessary
for the federal government's needs in licensing radio amateurs.
The federal government agency that regulates amateur radio
licensing has the same viewpoint. Heil is against that viewpoint.

The same federal agency that regulates US amateur radio
licensing says openly that it accepts input on any radio
service from any citizen, regardless of that citizen's
"license qualification." Heil does not favor this democratic
process.

>Len, what stops you from obtaining a code free ticket?

Nothing. Why does Heil insist on having a "ticket" first
before commenting on US federal regulations?

>What physical or
>mental handicap prohibits your learning the code at 5 wpm and passing
>the exam for an HF ticket? If you want in on the enjoyment of amateur
>radio, why should you not be required to meet the requirements in place

>for obtaining a license? You are a mass of wind and bluster.

Heil thinks he may have a "squelch" in the insistence on obtaining a license credential to discuss a radio matter. Heil's fallacy lies in not understanding that obtaining a license would not change a viewpoint that the code test is unneccessary for reason's already repeated numerous times...repeated by those already licensed (and "qualified to discuss" according to Heil's false logic).

>Len, I have never seen you idspassionately argue anything here. You've
>made your case to the Feds, who are you preaching to here?

The same audience that Hiel preaches to, glorifying the standards and practices of 1933 and/or 1963.

A problem exists in Heil's mistaking his personal viewpoints as representative of the majority of US radio amateurs (who are presumably the only ones "qualified" to discuss federal regulations concerning US amateur radio).

>My rights
>extend to not having to accept your idea of ham radio nirvana, not being
>forced to read your crap without being able to present a dissenting view
>and to encourange others to see you for what you are.

Heil has a perfect right to diss anyone not believing as he does, use whatever language he feels "necessary" to accomplish shutting up dissenters. Such is neither discussion, debate, or even argument but rather a patronizing arrogant order-giving "leader of the standards" thinking he is addressing some young troop. Heil wants his hobby world tidy according to his personal tastes, everything in its place, and "lesser" inhabitants doing what they are told.

>Anderson has no amateur license, no amateur radio experience and, as far
>as I can tell, doesn't enjoy much credence here.

No "credence" to Heil's personal tastes nor does he do what Heil orders him to do. :-)

>I wouldn't take advice
>about buying a guitar from a guy who doesn't play guitar even if he
>presented me with loads of information he had gleaned from the web.

Music is not allowed to be transmitted by radio amateurs unless it is incidental to space shuttle communications. :-)

Under Heil's insistent illogic, each and every astronaut should refuse to accept any information and opinions about shuttles or other spacecraft from spacecraft engineers and technicians unless those had also been in space as astronauts.

>You are the one doing the Walter Mitty bit, Len. There you sit in front
>of your computer imaging that you fire up that new KW rig, direct your
>stacked yagis toward Agalega and break the pile with your first call.

Imaginary world of Heil at loose again. I have no desire at all to work DX with any radio equipment in amateur bands.

>The rest of us are doing those things in amateur radio which please us.

Eliminating the code test for a US amateur radio license should not affect any US radio amateur already licensed.

>Do you expect me to buy your notion of how amateur radio should be
>"fixed" when you've never had one minute's experience as a ham.
>That is preposterous.

I have not argued any case against existing US amateur radio practices, only on removal of the morse code test from the US amateur radio license examination...and tried to refute a large number of others' comments about my person for advocating such "preposterous" notions as eliminating a morse code test.

License tests are a function of the FCC's lawful role in regulating US civil radio. While such tests are the (seeming) Holy Grail or Nobel Prize equivalent to the already-licensed, regulations made and changed by a federal agency are still open to comment by citizens.

What is truly preposterous (and rather fascistic) is the notion that only certain "qualified" (by license) individuals have any especial power over and above other citizens regarding federal regulations.

From 1549364107417051003
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbd2716361e30b64
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 15:16:57 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 22:16:38 GMT
References: <3AFDA301.D386E957@ix.netcom.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<
Message-ID: <20010512181638.12634.00004116@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21177

Someone actually turned this around on QRZ and caused quite a stir, claiming t-shirts and caps that read "WITHOUT CW, IT'S JUST CB" were on sale. The original troll got very upset that his own words were turned around and used against him. Interesting approach. Take care and 73 to all. Happy Mother's Day to all ops and XYL's to which it applies.

Mark

From 9090078017218769568
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bfab42cfcbc9bb75
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 16:23:40 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 May 2001 23:23:05 GMT
References: <7J%K6.18104$t12.1382212@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: A real chance
Message-ID: <20010512192305.03281.00000309@nso-de.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21178

In article <7J%K6.18104$t12.1382212@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>ps - am considering getting my WN2 call back just for grins. That should
>cause a stir. Will they reissue a previously held call starting WN2 (I
>still have the old ticket for proof)?
>
If nobody else holds it, they will give it to you if you do the vanity thing.
Look up WN4KKN, if he still holds that call.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1399142687067671859
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 19:06:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-

beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 02:05:04 GMT
References: <20010512171221.08242.00000430@nso-mf.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010512220504.22459.00001786@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21179

>Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/12/01 4:12 PM Central Daylight Time

>Is Heil working for the FCC?

Does Anderson know what FCC stands for?

>Is Heil in charge of amateur radio or any other type of licensing.

Is Anderson incharge of Amateur Radio or posses a radio station license in ANY radio service?

>However, to see Heil arrogantly state the "true nature of things"
>(as Heil sees it) all about amateur radio, it would appear that
>Heil is "in charge here."

And to see Anderson state the "true nature of things" (as Anderson sees it) all about Amateur Radio it would appear that Anderson is in charge here.

But then too, some of us know what happens to the aged mind at Lennie's age, and we make allowances.

From 3900496965288570369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 20:02:09 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 200

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 03:01:24 GMT
References: <20010512171223.08242.00000431@nso-mf.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010512230124.22459.00001796@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21180

>Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/12/01 4:12 PM Central Daylight Time

>I enjoy factual tales of any kind. I don't enjoy fairy tales to support
>fantasies.

You should. You have created enough of them. However I am convinced you do enjoy some fairy tail to fulfill your fantasies from time to time.

>Heil has a memory problem. I am here to advocate elimination of
>the morse code test for an amateur radio license.

No...You are here to inflict yourself upon those whom you deem to be inferior to you, however Federal regulations allow any citizen that can complete the tests to operate radio stations that YOU think only a EE should be allowed to do.

Grade school kids with a knack for rote memorization can do what you went to 14 years of night school for. Has to be a serious kick in the family ego.

>Why is Heil fixated on his demand to prove to Heil one's
>motivation?

Why does Anderson demonstrate an unwillingness to answer direct questions directly?

>I advocate the elimination of the morse code test for an amateur
>radio license. This newsgroup is the one chartered for such
>discussion.

And it's a topic that has been beaten way beyond morbidity. Not one single act or consensus has been created by this "discussion". Regardless of it's "charter", this is nothing more than a flamefest...sometimes a civilized flamefest, but one nonetheless.

>Apparently Heil is in the presumption that morse code skills
>represent the "expertise" in US amateur radio.

You are the only one making ANY assertion vis-a-vis morse code representing any kind of "expertise" in Amateur Radio. Yet you insist the rest of us are trying to hold to some antiquated standards. The "rest of us" have moved on and are already addressing REAL policy matters IRT Amateur Radio.

However not in THIS forum.

>I have no
>"expertise" in morse code and do not consider it necessary
>for the federal government's needs in licensing radio amateurs.

Your opinion and $3.00 will get you an overpriced cup of coffee in Seattle.

>The federal government agency that regulates amateur radio
>licensing has the same viewpoint. Heil is against that viewpoint.

Against it or not, YOU are the only one making an issue of it. And the federal agency to which you refer has stated that once it's hands are no longer tied by international treaty, morse code testing shall go away.

However YOU keep propping up the body to see how much longer someone will keep flogging it.

>The same federal agency that regulates US amateur radio
>licensing says openly that it accepts input on any radio
>service from any citizen, regardless of that citizen's
>"license qualification." Heil does not favor this democratic
>process.

I think Heil and I share the same opinion...You are at liberty to discuss your opinions with the federal government. However your presence and your tactics herein are nothing shy of cyberterrorism.

Your "opinions" and the manner in which you "present" them are meant to do nothing more than incite anger and arguement. In that regard, you have suceeded.

>Nothing. Why does Heil insist on having a "ticket" first
>before commenting on US federal regulations?

Like me, I think he does not believe that. However, IF you were INVOLVED in Amateur Radio, your opinions would become INFORMED and you might gain some insight as to what you have been otherwise rambling aimlessly about.

>Heil's fallacy lies
>in not understanding that obtaining a license would not change a
>viewpoint that the code test is unneccessary for reason's already
>repeated numerous times...repeated by those already licensed
>(and "qualified to discuss" according to Heil's false logic).

Again...the license does not "qualify" you to discuss the subject matter. Being INVOLVED and INFORMED would.

You are neither...which leads any person who has even the most marginal understanding of the engineering processes to doubt any of your other assertions about your dubious credentials. You violate some of the most basic of engineering principles in your "debates".

>The same audience that Hiel preaches to, glorifying the
>standards and practices of 1933 and/or 1963.

An assertion that you and you alone make.

>A problem exists in Heil's mistaking his personal viewpoints
>as representative of the majority of US radio amateurs (who
>are presumably the only ones "qualified" to discuss federal
>regulations concerning US amateur radio).

His opinions are no more problematic than your assertions as representative of all engineers who allegedly shun Amateur Radio due to the presence of the code test for HF access.

>Heil has a perfect right to diss anyone not believing as he does,
>use whatever language he feels "necessary" to accomplish
>shutting up dissenters. Such is neither discussion, debate, or
>even argument but rather a patronizing arrogant order-giving
>"leader of the standards" thinking he is addressing some young
>troop.

Anderson adores his reflection in the mirror longingly as he commits the above words to NG archives.

>Heil wants his hobby world tidy according to his personal
>tastes, everything in its place, and "lesser" inhabitants doing
>what they are told.

Anderson wants to see Amateur Radio to be the sole domain of the research engineer...delving only into the workings of the box...never having to "reach out and touch someone". Being devoid of any credible social skills renders him incapable of understading that Amateur Radio is NOT just about how the trons move through the box.

>No "credence" to Heil's personal tastes nor does he do what Heil
>orders him to do

Nope...YOU repeatedly demonstrate a complete lack of WORKING knowledge of Amatuer practice or technique. You insist that Amateur Radio is something other than what it really is. That, Lennie, is ignorance AND arrogance.

>Imaginary world of Heil at loose again. I have no desire at all to
>work DX with any radio equipment in amateur bands.

Yet you feel completely at ease chastising those who prefer to follow that pursuit...all-the-while "reminding" us that Amateur Radio is an "avocation". Obviously you are unable to understand the meaning of many of the words YOU choose to use in your often changing assaults on Amateur Radio in general.

>>The rest of us are doing those things in amateur radio which please >>us.

>Eliminating the code test for a US amateur radio license should
>not affect any US radio amateur already licensed.

What does your comment have to do with Daves?

>I have not argued any case against existing US amateur radio
>practices, only on removal of the morse code test from the US
>amateur radio license examination...(SNIP)

Such a unifocal effort leaves you blind as to the nature of the program, but you have resisted any and all suggestions that getting a license and getting involved.

To do so might expose Lennie to REAL Amatuer Radio, not the phantom spectre that he has created in his mind. Such "rapid immersion" might subject him to some sort of emotional shock from which he might never recover.

>License tests are a function of the FCC's lawful role in regulating
>US civil radio.

Yet you insist that the FCC does not have to adhere to the treaties that the United States is signatory to. You insist on lawfulness and adhering to regulatory process when it suits you, yet you simulataneously suggest that the FCC shouold just ignore those same treaties on those matters YOU find objectionable.

>While such tests are the (seeming) Holy Grail or
>Nobel Prize equivalent to the already-licensed, regulations made
>and changed by a federal agency are still open to comment by
>citizens.

And so far the citizens, much to Anderson's chagrin, have indicated that they expect the FCC to adhere to duly ratified treaties.

>What is truly preposterous (and rather fascistic) is the notion
>that only certain "qualified" (by license) individuals have any
>especial power over and above other citizens regarding federal
>regulations.

What is TRULY "preposterous" is that you continue to make this assertion when the point is about your ranting and flaming in this forum with an obviously uninformed "opinion", not your "right" to discuss matters with the federal government.

dididit didit

From -8817561520228087340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 20:14:32 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 13 May 2001 03:14:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9dku6n$p4$0@208.206.142.113>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142> <3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org> <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com> <3AFD573C.575BDFD7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21181

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > >For the ones I knew, it was being able to talk to their camping
> > >buddies over an area of 5000 square miles using a mountaintop
> > >repeater. The Tech license was effortless for them.
>
> > I see. In other words all ham radio means to them is that when they're
> > out in that 5,000 square miles their ham HTs do a better job than
> > their cellphone service providers do.
>
> The group use aspect of amateur repeater operation is much more
> conducive to camper group communications, Dick. I don't see that
> the FCC requires that all hams adopt your personal reasons for
> entering ham radio. Unlike you, a lot of hams do not belong to
> the ARS for reasons of self-esteem. Asking if they are proud to
> be hams is a non sequitur for a lot of them. For a lot of hams,
> amateur radio performs a real-world useful function and that real-world function may be the most important thing about ham radio to
> them. What is wrong with live and let live?
>
> > >They will
> > >not even consider upgrading until the Morse code requirement

```
> > >is history.
>
> > Good. Who needs 'em?
>
> Amateur radio needs 'em, Dick. You are always complaining that hams
> are not technical enough. What better way to ensure hams are technical
> than encouraging engineers and scientists to join the ARS?
```

Hey Cecil -- the grape juice flowing easy tonight? Want to try again
and chck the name on the header this time???

```
From -2784727980558969743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 20:16:05 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 13 May 2001 03:16:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9dku9k$p4$1@208.206.142.113>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu>
<3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org> <9di9ba$5q1$0@208.207.71.177>
<3AFD58B8.58103702@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21182
```

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > mike coslo wrote:
> > > > Why is it amateur radio's loss?
> > >
> > > Because of the knowledge base those guys would bring to amateur radio.
> > > Amateur radio needs them more than they need amateur radio.
```

> >
> > Now you speak from the other side of your mouth. You have stated you
> > don't believe that hams need to have technical knowledge. So all that
> > technical knowledge is useless, according to you.
>
> How wrong you are, Dick. I believe that hams should be extremely technical,
> more technical than you are.

Well, gag me with a whiskey sour! Cecil has 'changed his mind' again!!

```
From 2448922995319381891
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbd2716361e30b64
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 20:20:22 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<
Date: 13 May 2001 03:20:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9dkuhl$p4$2@208.206.142.113>
References: <3AFCE11E.A195852A@gtel.net> <3AFDA301.D386E957@ix.netcom.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.113
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21183
```

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> This troll is getting old....

Truth generally does.

>
> CWnotCB wrote:
>
> > NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
> >
> > Amateur Raio's Eternal Truth. Proven every day.

```
> >
> > CW OR CB -- No other choice.


From 4940825624750128893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 20:57:14 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AFDF7E2.4BDCFCFE@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
References: <3AF9FDA7.16D5618A@earthlink.net> <20010512171218.08242.00000427@nso-
mf.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 155
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 03:57:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.104
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989726232 63.17.223.104 (Sat, 12 May
2001 20:57:12 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 20:57:12 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 20:55:35 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21184

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AF9FDA7.16D5618A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3af95657.256319869@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com
> >(Brian
> >> Kelly, w3rv) writes:
> >>
> >> >On Wed, 09 May 2001 14:10:36 GMT, k0hb@arrl.org wrote:
> >> >
> >> >>Old Biker says:
> >> >>
> >> >>>Progress is sad in a way. Whatever pride there is in buying an
> >> >>>appliance station is tiny compared to having built your own gear
> >> >>>in a time when not one person in 1000 could do so. Too bad new
```

> >> >>>hams will never know that feeling but forcing them to memorize
> >> >>>info they'll never use won't bring back those feelings; it only
> >> >>>creates resentment.
> >> >>
> >> >I agree, been a *long* time since I actually built a radio but the
> >> >technical skills & insights I gained back then still holds me in good
> >> >stead when I have to do the soldering iron to fix some glitch or
> >> >another. I just dove into an MFJ tuner and butchered it with the
> >> >soldering iron and some junk parts to get it working on 160. How many
> >> >of today's button-pushers would have clue one?
> >>
> >> Oh, goodie, an old-timer who can swing a 100 W American
> >> Beauty to solder two wires together. A real "clue" that one.
> >
> >The "clue" comes from knowing which two wires to solder together.
>
> Which two?   :-)

...the correct two :)

> >> How is the "clueful" one on surface mount technology?
> >> Can he "butcher" some SMDs in the same manner?
> >
> >In your seventh decade, how good are you at handling SMDs, Len?
>
> Sixth decade. Not too shabby at installing the TSOPs, no muscle
> tremors to impair motor skills, myopia helps see teeny things. :-)

Ummmm, Len, if you are over sixty, you are in your seventh decade.
The opposite eye sight problem makes it possible for me to repair things
which are, "way over there". In close, I have to use my glasses and a
magnifier for SMDs.

> SMDs are best handled with tweezers, solder-paste applied with
> a toothpick. I've never liked the micro-flame kind of "iron" and
> prefer a small high-temperature tiny tip conventional iron (less
> than 10 W dissipation). A controlled-temperature-rise and -fall
> oven would be a nice addition to the workshop. Maybe next year.
>   :-)

> >> Gotta love this...all sorts of tales of surplus and Novices
> >> doing the midnight thing with irons to do "all those mods."
> >> Most of it is bullsh*t. Most Novices way back when
> >> didn't have a clue. They were clueless. Some learned
> >> more...other than soldering skills. Some dropped out.
> >> Some stayed in, got other class licenses. Some
> >> stayed in for life and remained clueless to the very end,
> >> loudly proclaiming their "expertise."
> >
> >You really are an envious boor, Len. To sum up your paragraph above, no
> >ham ever did anything to amount to much.
>
> Heil needs to work on his perception of personal inadequacy.

Heil needs to call a spade a spade from time to time.

> >You've left no room for those
> >who really learned electronics, became excellent operators (regardless
> >of mode) or who turned amateur radio into a stepping stone to a career.
>
> Does anyone passing any US amateur radio written test element
> have credentials of "really learning electronics?" Really?

It doesn't matter whether anyone passes or not. It matters whether someone studies the material.

> Heil, as usual, took my paragraph out of context. It was a
> comment to Brian Kelly in regards to Kelly's previous message.
> My context was not about "turning amateur radio into a stepping
> stone to a career." Heil is just manufacturing another diatribe
> subject thread in an attempt to suppress someone who does
> not agree with his views.

What context was omitted, Len? I quoted the paragraph as it was written. I did not address only those who have used amateur radio as a stepping stone to a career. I mentioned those who learned electronics and those who become excellent operators. If all you got was a message about turning amateur radio into a career, you've got static between the cans.

> Amateur radio is a hobby, an activity about radio, done without
> pecuniary compensation, that involves federal regulation due to
> the nature of electromagnetic waves and federal laws concerning
> such electromagnetic waves.

I know what amateur radio is. I AM a radio amateur. What is your hobby? It certainly isn't amateur radio.

> >The question which begs asking after reading each of your posts is:
> >Why are you interested in amateur radio at all? What is your fixation?
>
> My fixation is the elimination of the morse code test for an
> amateur radio license examination. That is what I have said
> in public before and, seemingly, must continue to do so for
> the benefit of those who do not read well. The regulation
> requiring a morse code test for some US amateur radio
> licenses is not inviolate, just a man-made regulation which
> can be man-unmade.

That's not your fixation, Len. Why would anyone who isn't a radio amateur or who is about to become a radio amateur give a rat's ass about morse code testing in the amateur service? What you've said in public does not provide any motivation whatever. My state's regulations on beekeeping can be changed too. I can even petition for that change as a citizen. If I don't keep bees or live next door to a beekeeper, why would I care?

> What is Heil's fixation? Heil seems fixated on putting down
> everyone who does not agree with his views. Constantly.

How does expressing my disagreement with your views and questioning your
motive differ from what you do here? Constantly.

> >
> >> >The techncal tests promote education which is a worthwhile objective
> >> >and they've proven many times to be to the benefit of the ham. Even if
> >> >they to have to get off their butts and learn something. God forbid.
> >>
> >> FCC tests are just regulatory tools for the FCC. They aren't
> >> "technical tests" of any academic kind.
> >
> >No one gets any credit hours toward graduation but one would have to
> >have the IQ of a mushroom not to pick up a lot of electronics knowledge
> >simply by studying to pass such an exam.
>
> Heil should seek a consensus with other Extras in here. Some
> Extras contend that today's written examinations are nothing
> but meaningless memorization feats and have no redeeming
> quality insofar as amateur radio.

Why, pray tell, should I do that? Do you speak for someone other than
yourself? Is it your belief that I speak for someone else?
It is *possible* for someone to rote memorize material without learning
anything. I do not think that anyone who studies the material comes
away without electronics knowledge.

Dave Heil K8MN

From 5759517194048378045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 21:37:10 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3AFB3AA3.C0DCF452@earthlink.net> <20010512171223.08242.00000431@nso-mf.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 233

Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 04:37:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.104
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989728630 63.17.223.104 (Sat, 12 May 2001 21:37:10 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 21:37:10 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 21:35:32 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21185

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AFB3AA3.C0DCF452@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3AF9FAD4.310E1739@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >Len, when you finally have a chance to regale us with your amateur radio
> >> >tales, they won't go back very far, will they?
> >>
> >> :-)
> >>
> >> Expected. Heil manufactured a sub-thread of "wanna-bes slavering
> >> after an amateur radio license and sick at not having one," and now
> >> comes the prologue to what would happen if and only if such a
> >> license would be required. Heil was transparent before and now he
> >> has proved the transparency. :-)
> >
> >Excuse me. From your diatribe, it was evident that you didn't care for
> >hams regaling anyone with their tales.
>
> I enjoy factual tales of any kind. I don't enjoy fairy tales to support
> fantasies.

May you enjoy the wisdom to tell the difference.

> >If you don't care for ham radio,
> >why do you haunt a ham radio newsgroup?
>
> Heil has a memory problem. I am here to advocate elimination of
> the morse code test for an amateur radio license.

To what end? Why would an adult male who has no apparent interest in a
field lobby to change the rules regulating it?

> >If you don't desire a license, why the fixation with amateur radio?
>
> Why is Heil fixated on his demand to prove to Heil one's

> motivation?

I don't equate asking you a simple question with making a demand. Are
you embarrassed to be asked the question? Are you not proud of your
motive?

> >If you aren't a wannabee, why lengthy posts on amateur radio?
>
> I advocate the elimination of the morse code test for an amateur
> radio license. This newsgroup is the one chartered for such
> discussion.

I know what you do. I asked you why you do it if you have no intention
of obtaining an amateur license? Your posts do not limit themselves to
issues of morse testing so there must be something to your fixation.

> >My neighbor and I don't share the same religious or political views. We
> >get along famously. Then again, he isn't a pontificating wind bag. I'm
> >not angry very often, Len. I don't much care for you. If you don't
> >want to get your feelings hurt just can your "expert in amateur radio"
> >routine.
>
> Apparently Heil is in the presumption that morse code skills
> represent the "expertise" in US amateur radio. I have no
> "expertise" in morse code and do not consider it necessary
> for the federal government's needs in licensing radio amateurs.
> The federal government agency that regulates amateur radio
> licensing has the same viewpoint. Heil is against that viewpoint.

What leads you to that presumption? I certainly have never made that
claim. The Federal Communications Commission has set the code testing
speed for HF access at 5 wpm. I don't argue with that. You do.
I'm arguing not with the FCC but with you.

 > The same federal agency that regulates US amateur radio
> licensing says openly that it accepts input on any radio
> service from any citizen, regardless of that citizen's
> "license qualification." Heil does not favor this democratic
> process.

You've made your comment to the government. What law or regulation
requires me to take your guff here at face value. What law or
regulation prohibits me from telling you that you have no credentials as
an radio amateur? I don't think I'd ask a guy who reads car magazines
about repairing a car. I wouldn't try to learn sailing from a book and
I wouldn't accept Len Anderson as my source on how amateur radio should
be regulated.

> >Len, what stops you from obtaining a code free ticket?
>
> Nothing. Why does Heil insist on having a "ticket" first
> before commenting on US federal regulations?

You made your comments ages ago. Why haven't you obtained a ticket
since then?

> >What physical or
> >mental handicap prohibits your learning the code at 5 wpm and passing
> >the exam for an HF ticket? If you want in on the enjoyment of amateur
> >radio, why should you not be required to meet the requirements in place
> >for obtaining a license? You are a mass of wind and bluster.
>
> Heil thinks he may have a "squelch" in the insistence on obtaining
> a license credential to discuss a radio matter. Heil's fallacy lies
> in not understanding that obtaining a license would not change a
> viewpoint that the code test is unneccessary for reason's already
> repeated numerous times...repeated by those already licensed
> (and "qualified to discuss" according to Heil's false logic).

How would a non-radio amateur know what is best for the amateur service?
In what way have you developed an insight into ways that amateur radio
can be made better? Is it through your work in electronics? Is it
through your experience running RTTY circuits for the army decades ago?

> >Len, I have never seen you idspassionately argue anything here. You've
> >made your case to the Feds, who are you preaching to here?
>
> The same audience that Hiel preaches to, glorifying the
> standards and practices of 1933 and/or 1963.
>
> A problem exists in Heil's mistaking his personal viewpoints
> as representative of the majority of US radio amateurs (who
> are presumably the only ones "qualified" to discuss federal
> regulations concerning US amateur radio).

I've already stated that my views are my own. At least I speak from a
base of 38 years experience in amateur radio. A guy who received his
license last week has a week's more experience in amateur radio than
Leonard H. Anderson.

> >My rights
> >extend to not having to accept your idea of ham radio nirvana, not being
> >forced to read your crap without being able to present a dissenting view
> >and to encourange others to see you for what you are.
>
> Heil has a perfect right to diss anyone not believing as he does,
> use whatever language he feels "necessary" to accomplish
> shutting up dissenters. Such is neither discussion, debate, or
> even argument but rather a patronizing arrogant order-giving
> "leader of the standards" thinking he is addressing some young
> troop. Heil wants his hobby world tidy according to his personal
> tastes, everything in its place, and "lesser" inhabitants doing
> what they are told.

Len, you aren't an inhabitant of amateur radio at all. I'm trying to
picture you with you baggy shorts and ballcap on backward rapping about

being dissed. It is a surreal image. I hope you'll re-read your paragraph above prior to making each post here. You adopt the stance of the patronizing old communications pro, here to tell the radio hams how things should be. Well, old timer, you've done jack doodly in amateur radio.

> >Anderson has no amateur license, no amateur radio experience and, as far
> >as I can tell, doesn't enjoy much credence here.
>
> No "credence" to Heil's personal tastes nor does he do what Heil
> orders him to do. :-)

What have I ordered you to do? I've asked you a couple of questions. I've questioned your motivation. I've pointed out that you have no experience as a radio amateur thus little to base your views upon.

> >I wouldn't take advice
> >about buying a guitar from a guy who doesn't play guitar even if he
> >presented me with loads of information he had gleaned from the web.
>
> Music is not allowed to be transmitted by radio amateurs
> unless it is incidental to space shuttle communications. :-)
>
> Under Heil's insistent illogic, each and every astronaut
> should refuse to accept any information and opinions
> about shuttles or other spacecraft from spacecraft
> engineers and technicians unless those had also been in
> space as astronauts.

You didn't design amateur radio. You've never participated in amateur radio. You don't regulate amateur radio. You don't manufacture things for radio amateurs.

> >You are the one doing the Walter Mitty bit, Len. There you sit in front
> >of your computer imaging that you fire up that new KW rig, direct your
> >stacked yagis toward Agalega and break the pile with your first call.
>
> Imaginary world of Heil at loose again. I have no desire at all to
> work DX with any radio equipment in amateur bands.

On what bands do you do your DXing, Len? It doesn't have to be DXing, Len. You could be regaling newcomers with your Army RTTY circuit glories.

> >The rest of us are doing those things in amateur radio which please us.
>
> Eliminating the code test for a US amateur radio license should
> not affect any US radio amateur already licensed.

No, but it certainly has an effect on those of us who are participants in amateur radio.

> >Do you expect me to buy your notion of how amateur radio should be

> >"fixed" when you've never had one minute's experience as a ham.
> >That is preposterous.
>
> I have not argued any case against existing US amateur radio
> practices, only on removal of the morse code test from the US
> amateur radio license examination...and tried to refute a large
> number of others' comments about my person for advocating
> such "preposterous" notions as eliminating a morse code test.

Well, we wouldn't want your "person" to be more damaged than the damage
it sustains through your own postings. Your past attacks on radio
amateurs and slams of the ARRL seem to belie your statement about only
wanting the code test eliminated. Anyway, why should code testing
bother a nice old fellow who isn't even interested in becoming a radio
amateur?

> License tests are a function of the FCC's lawful role in regulating
> US civil radio. While such tests are the (seeming) Holy Grail or
> Nobel Prize equivalent to the already-licensed, regulations made
> and changed by a federal agency are still open to comment by
> citizens.
>
> What is truly preposterous (and rather fascistic) is the notion
> that only certain "qualified" (by license) individuals have any
> especial power over and above other citizens regarding federal
> regulations.

The FCC are, by job function, the regulatory professionals in this area.
The Commission is under no obligation to take your comments any more
seriously than I take them.

Dave K8MN

From -2586221857135062200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 21:44:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AFE030F.F63DBA@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3AFB3B94.2568FC02@earthlink.net> <20010512171221.08242.00000430@nso-mf.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Lines: 37
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 04:44:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.104
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989729083 63.17.223.104 (Sat, 12 May 2001 21:44:43 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 21:44:43 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 21:43:05 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21186

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AFB3B94.2568FC02@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Let me clear up a misconception you seem to have, Len. You don't work
> >for the FCC.
>
> Is Heil working for the FCC?

You seem to have fuzz 'tween the headsets, Len. I didn't say that I worked for the FCC.

> >You are not in charge of amateur radio or any other type
> >of licensing.
>
> Is Heil in charge of amateur radio or any other type of licensing.

Ditto per my response above.

> However, to see Heil arrogantly state the "true nature of things"
> (as Heil sees it) all about amateur radio, it would appear that
> Heil is "in charge here."   :-)

"Heil" has been a ham since 1963. "Heil" has...ummm...38 years more experience in amateur radio than "Anderson". Now let's say we select a couple of fellows off the street who have never heard of amateur radio but are eager to learn a bit about it. They are given the choice between learning about ham radio from a) Len Anderson, a man who has never been a radio amateur (but who has several friends who are hams) or B) Dave Heil, a man who has been an active radio amateur for 38 years. Okay, you don't like option B). Let's add option C) Joe Newkid, a man who obtained his amateur radio license a year ago. Imagine the odds of the fellows selecting option A) even if we added, "But Len Anderson is a man who only wants the morse code testing requirements dropped".

Dave Heil K8MN

From 1787623012577764296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,3def6a39322bf5f2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-12 22:09:41 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Ross Archer" <dogbert@mindless.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: FCC Enforcement?: How long does a pirate bust take? Obey what law?
Date: Sat, 12 May 2001 22:10:49 -0700
Organization: Entropy Maximizers Inc.
Message-ID: <989730444.788926@sj-nntpcache-5>
References: <37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Cache-Post-Path: sj-nntpcache-5!unknown@blab-nat-10.cisco.com
X-Cache: nntpcache 2.4.0b2 (see http://www.nntpcache.org/)
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 99
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21187
rec.radio.shortwave:33584
```

Disgusted Taxpayer <disgustedtaxpayer@noenforcement.org> wrote in message
news:37LJ6.172$NZ.22797@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> How long will the United Patriot Radio pirate station be allowed to
operate
> EVERY day on 6.900 USB & every night on 3.260 USB without any penalty for
> operating without a license? Could it be that the FCC is quite afraid of
the
> "militia element" involved with this operation?

Hey, "Disgusted Taxpayer."

I probably paid more taxes last year than you have
in your entire life, "Disgusted Taxpayer."

My vote is leave it alone. There ought to be a pirate
presence, even if it's kooky and nutball like this militia
stuff. Because that paves the way for all kinds of variety
in programming. Why regulate an essentially unlimited
resource like low-power HF broadcasts in the US?

>
> While this blatent, unlicensed operation is CLEARLY not mobile, neither is
> "Riley the Radio Enforcement Hero" who supposedly earns his living by
> ACTIVELY ENFORCING Communications Law on behalf of the taxpayers as set

> forth by Congress. He should spend less time PREACHING to hams what "the
> spirit of ham radio" is as he sees it & more time in the field ENFORCING
> against flagrant lawbreaking.
>
> Talk is cheap, actions are priceless.
>
> How long will it take to "take him down" when the FCC can DF a signal in
11
> seconds? Perhaps 11 weeks? Anderson has been on the air for at least that
> long so maybe it will take 11 months?
>
> Riley hasn't made a true red-handed bust since KA2YBP, the now infamous
7240
> SWL Net jammer, was caught in NJ back on October 18, 1998 after 11 weeks
of
> weekly intentional jamming. KA2YBP later plea-bargained his way down from
a
> $7500 fine down to a 3 year voluntary suspension of his license. All the
> other "busts" have been made on 3rd party hearsay. I guess this pirate has
> little or nothing to fear since nothing has been done thus far, he has
> already surrendered his ham license & clearly operates on regular daily
> schedule. You can see the website for schedule http://www.pbn.4mg.com/ His
> intentions are pretty clear & continue uncontested even
> tolerated by those who are supposed to be in authority. What kind of
> enforcement is that?
>
> It seems clear to me who is scared of who. Riley prefers preaching to
> passive, wanna-be ham radio cops so they can go out & turn on each other
> while the FCC Enforcement "Head" willfully turns a blind eye toward a much
> more consistent & defiant lawbreaker!
>
> You have far more to fear trying to sell a full coverage 800 MHz scanner
on
> eBay than operating an unlicensed broadcast station. On eBay, Riley sees
to
> it that even legal items get his IMMEDIATE ACTION. They're covertly pulled
> off auction for no legal reason since the ECPA does NOT regulate the
resale
> of analog, cell-capable scanner radios manufactured & type accepted before
> the ECPA ban took effect! This is clearly unethical & Riley ought to know
> this since he is a lawyer, right? Enforce non-existant laws while ignoring
> violation of basic ones? Hmmmm...So much for guts, balance, & conviction
in
> enforcement!!!
>
> "We're working on it" doesn't cut it while slouching around the hotel
buffet
> waiting to do your next hamfest road show speech Riley!
>
> Maybe we need to replace you with someone who will, swiftly, on our
> behalf... Law is clearly no place for double standards!
>
> I am listening to them right now, as usual, on 6900USB @ 2025Z 06MAY2001.

So
> get busy & do what you are paid to do, ENFORCE!!!
>
> Disgusted Taxpayer
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 3058321381632061878
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 02:58:22 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 09:58:02 GMT
Message-ID: <3afde54f.78963742@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142>
<3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org> <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com>
<3AFD573C.575BDFD7@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.124
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.124
X-Trace: 13 May 2001 04:54:03 -0500, 64.24.18.124
Lines: 72
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21188

On Sat, 12 May 2001 10:31:08 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
>> I see. In other words all ham radio means to them is that when they're
>> out in that 5,000 square miles their ham HTs do a better job than

>> their cellphone service providers do.
>
>The group use aspect of amateur repeater operation is much more
>conducive to camper group communications, Dick.
>
Don't mean to be a poop Cecil but I'm Brian. But I understand the
basis of your confusion. Heh.
>
> I don't see that
>the FCC requires that all hams adopt your personal reasons for
>entering ham radio. Unlike you, a lot of hams do not belong to
>the ARS for reasons of self-esteem.
>
That's absolutely outrageous bullshit, you're starting to come off
like Lenny. The ONLY reason I got into ham radio was to be able to
chase dx. I came into the hobby as a pubescent dxer, somebody will
find me slumped over dead on my paddles after logging one more. "Self
esteem" my ass, it's how I happen to get my own primary jollies out of
ham radio and nothing more.
>
> Asking if they are proud to
>be hams is a non sequitur for a lot of them.
>
Cecil this makes no sense. How did "pride" get into this any way? Did
you punch a wrong button?
>
> For a lot of hams,
>amateur radio performs a real-world useful function and that real-
>world function may be the most important thing about ham radio to
>them.
>
Quite frankly I don't care if they use freebie nocode ham radio in
place of commercial comms services or not, but don't waste your time
tryng to convince me and 'most everybody else in this NG that they
bring more to ham radio simply by virtue of their degrees than do a
bunch of unlettered auto mechanics who also pack ham HTs on camping
trips. Talk about non-sequiturs . . .
>
>What is wrong with live and let live?
>
Absolutely nothing but until you do the same don't expect me to roll
over and play dead.
>
>> >They will
>> >not even consider upgrading until the Morse code requirement
>> >is history.
>
>> Good. Who needs 'em?
>
>Amateur radio needs 'em, Dick. You are always complaining that hams
>are not technical enough. What better way to ensure hams are technical
>than encouraging engineers and scientists to join the ARS?
>

```
Precisely what "technical contributions" to ham radio do these people
make by using ham HTs to yak at each other on camping trips vs.
yakking with cellphones or with MURS HTs or whatever? Kindly explain
if you will just how these people who happen to have engineering or
physics degrees and HTs "upgrades" ham radio by some mysterious
means??
>
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv



From 2475510672493454155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 02:59:22 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 09:58:13 GMT
Message-ID: <3afde639.79197158@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3afba66d.407879442@news2.dvol.com> <20010512115627.25599.00001650@ng-
mh1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.124
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.124
X-Trace: 13 May 2001 04:54:14 -0500, 64.24.18.124
Lines: 23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21189

On 12 May 2001 15:56:27 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:
>     Sure IS a crock.  Follow the LennieLies and you find NUMEROUS threads
>wherein Lennie ASSERTS the FCC has NO INTENTION or DESIRE to act on the code
>issue whenever I cite FCC statements that they fully DO intend to do it.
>
>    When I state that the FCC has said that it is thier intention to delete
>code testing when the opportunity arises, HE argues that no such intent is
>there.
>
>     Now he says that the FCC DOES want the test eliminated.
>
>     Go figure.
>
He's twisted but he's harmless and completely ineffectual. He's one of
these weirdos who will say anything to focus attention on himself no
```

```
matter what it takes.
>
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
w3rv


From -3115152422391036623
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49284bb79b4bbe48
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 03:37:12 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Slow/No Code and the New Gentiles...
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 10:36:48 GMT
Message-ID: <3afe62b7.111069018@news2.dvol.com>
References: <slrn9fntmd.1bv.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.71
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.71
X-Trace: 13 May 2001 05:32:49 -0500, 64.24.16.71
Lines: 59
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21190

On Fri, 11 May 2001 14:26:29 -0000, Queequeg@quahog.com (Queequeg)
wrote:

>
>     "And certain men came down from Judea and taught the
>     brethren, 'Unless you are circumsized according to the
>     custom of Moses, you cannot be saved.'"
>                  -- Acts 15:1 NKJV
>
>
>The fifteenth chapter of Acts tells the story of one of the
>first and most important disputes in the new faith.  After
>Paul's first missionary journey, many uncircumcised converts
>were becoming Christians.  The old guard, the Pharisees who had
>become Christians early on, insisted that the new converts had
>to become Jewish proselytes *first*, and then Christians.
>What does this have to do with ham radio? Listen up.
>
>The FCC (God) has decreed that a Gentile (slow code ham) can
>become a Christian (extra) without first being circumcised
```

>(passing a high speed code test). Many of the Pharisees (20
>wpm extras) are horrified! Jerking aside their loincloths
>they shout, "We have done this since Abraham (Marconi) and
>Moses (HPM)."
>
>The new converts were not allowed in the courtyard of the
>Temple (extra CW segment) or to eat with the Pharisees (check
>into extra class phone nets). But along came Paul. In the
>second chapter of Galations we see the dispute had come to a
>head:
>
>   "But when Peter had come to Antioch, I withstood him
>   to his face, because he was to be blamed..."
>   Galations 2:1 NKJV
>
>Paul had in effect told the Pharisees to 'get over it', that
>the New Covenant was in effect with or without their consent.
>Many of them left in protest. The whole book of Hebrews was
>addressed to them. So how many OT's are there that will give
>up on ham radio, or continue to groan about 'dumbing down' and
>slow code? Will this religious dispute ever end?
>
>I am a fairly new general class ham. I easily passed the 5
>wpm test and solid copied the whole text. I have been more or
>less faithful in doing w1aw code practice as well as qso's.
>My solid copy speed is at about 15 wpm after 3 months or so.
>I copy the 18 wpm code bulletins pretty well. I have done
>some 'name rst qth pwr' contest exchanges at 25+. Will any 5
>wpm ham who regularly *uses* cw stay at 5? Of course not,
>they will progress, at their own rates, up to their own levels
>of ability -- or, they will abandon cw.
>
Cute. Nicely done, actually. Changes nothing.
>
>KB1GEJ
>--
w3rv
>
Circumsized at 20 wpm by God hisself.

From -5227615958396698683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 06:04:45 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 13 May 2001 13:04:39 GMT
References: <3AFCE088.790F4F67@gtel.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY...
Message-ID: <20010513090439.27960.00003084@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21191

>From: CWnotCB realopr@gtel.net

>What's a "Real Ham?" Real easy.

Yes, it is; it a person who has met the requirements of Part 97 and has been issued an Amateur Radio license by the FCC.

>It's the opposite of a PHONY HAM.

A phony ham is a person who has not met those requirements, but is using a fake call sign on the ham bands.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2400562974913867282
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 06:11:44 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 13:11:21 GMT
References: <3AFCE102.4956C010@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010513091121.27960.00003085@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21192

>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>And I still see you doing the same thing today - lots of talk about
>how "ham radio is going to hell in a handbasket," but very little when
>it comes to answering questions from those who post to these
>newsgroups - very little to help guide those new to ham radio.

Helping you might undermine his self-fulfilling prophecy.

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 777963325821224989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 06:14:44 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 13:13:57 GMT
References: <3AFD5A1D.92E3CDC9@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010513091357.27960.00003086@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21193

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Why are you so enamored with this particular governmental affirmative
>action program when you are against most of the others?

He feels he has something to gain by this particular affirmative action (a continued supply of Morse trained operators he can work on the air. He feels that someone else has something to gain by the affirmative action programs he opposes.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5194611736926151878
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 06:19:46 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 13:18:58 GMT
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net>

```
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010513091858.27960.00003087@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21194

>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>Lets face it, Amateur Radio today is in an evolution mode instead of a
>revolution mode.

Actually, I see it more as a civil war.  And there are those who feel that the
best thing they can do for ham radio is to fan the flames by emphasizing our
differences.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 3977075872149784597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49284bb79b4bbe48
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 08:20:24 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
news.supernews.com!Queequeg
From: Queequeg@quahog.com (Queequeg)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Slow/No Code and the New Gentiles...
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 15:20:23 -0000
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <slrn9ft9jg.114.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
References: <slrn9fntmd.1bv.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3afe62b7.111069018@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: quahog9000@deja.com
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 92
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21195

On Sun, 13 May 2001 10:36:48 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv
<kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote:
>On Fri, 11 May 2001 14:26:29 -0000, Queequeg@quahog.com (Queequeg)
>wrote:
>
>>
>>     "And certain men came down from Judea and taught the
>>     brethren, 'Unless you are circumsized according to the
>>     custom of Moses, you cannot be saved.'"
>>                   -- Acts 15:1 NKJV
>>
>>
>>The fifteenth chapter of Acts tells the story of one of the
```

>>first and most important disputes in the new faith. After
>>Paul's first missionary journey, many uncircumcised converts
>>were becoming Christians. The old guard, the Pharisees who had
>>become Christians early on, insisted that the new converts had
>>to become Jewish proselytes *first*, and then Christians.
>>What does this have to do with ham radio? Listen up.
>>
>>The FCC (God) has decreed that a Gentile (slow code ham) can
>>become a Christian (extra) without first being circumcised
>>(passing a high speed code test). Many of the Pharisees (20
>>wpm extras) are horrified! Jerking aside their loincloths
>>they shout, "We have done this since Abraham (Marconi) and
>>Moses (HPM)."
>>
>>The new converts were not allowed in the courtyard of the
>>Temple (extra CW segment) or to eat with the Pharisees (check
>>into extra class phone nets). But along came Paul. In the
>>second chapter of Galations we see the dispute had come to a
>>head:
>>
>>   "But when Peter had come to Antioch, I withstood him
>>   to his face, because he was to be blamed..."
>>   Galations 2:1 NKJV
>>
>>Paul had in effect told the Pharisees to 'get over it', that
>>the New Covenant was in effect with or without their consent.
>>Many of them left in protest. The whole book of Hebrews was
>>addressed to them. So how many OT's are there that will give
>>up on ham radio, or continue to groan about 'dumbing down' and
>>slow code? Will this religious dispute ever end?
>>
>>I am a fairly new general class ham. I easily passed the 5
>>wpm test and solid copied the whole text. I have been more or
>>less faithful in doing w1aw code practice as well as qso's.
>>My solid copy speed is at about 15 wpm after 3 months or so.
>>I copy the 18 wpm code bulletins pretty well. I have done
>>some 'name rst qth pwr' contest exchanges at 25+. Will any 5
>>wpm ham who regularly *uses* cw stay at 5? Of course not,
>>they will progress, at their own rates, up to their own levels
>>of ability -- or, they will abandon cw.
>>
>Cute. Nicely done, actually. Changes nothing.
>>
>>KB1GEJ
>>--
>w3rv
>>
>Circumsized at 20 wpm by God hisself.

So let me get this straight. If you heard someone with a 2x3
callsign sending CQ on say, 14010 at 40 wpm you would dismiss
him as not a true extra? Would having passed a 20wpm code
test before a steely-eyed FCC tester change your opinion of

him? If so, I suggest then that we urge the ARRL to bring
some of these fellows out of retirement and, sparing the
taxpayer the cost , have them test new extra class licensees,
at the licensees convenience, for a fee to be used to pay the
testers for their time and expenses. The results of said code
tests will be posted on the ARRL website and included on
QRZ.com or whatever. In addition, those who succeed may add
'/TE' to their callsigns to signify 'True Extra' or 'TEsted'.

Believe me, I understand the reason for all this, as I've been
on the other side of the coin in other arenas. I worked for a
number of years as a land surveyor, working up from chainman
to rodman to party chief, then draftsman to computer to office
surveyor. I took pride in my skill. The winds of change came
to the field just as it did in others. EDM (electronic
distance measuring), digital theodolites, data collectors, and
sattelites began to replace the human skill we had relied on
for so long. I took every opportunity to show up the new guys
by doing highly accurate, quick surveys using a seventy year
old transit and 200 foot steel tape. *sigh* The [sk|thr]ill
is gone...

KB1GEJ
--
"Dead Whale or a Stove Boat"
<---------[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[

From 5074686789986795466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 09:05:45 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 16:05:05 GMT
References: <20010512114441.25599.00001644@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010513120505.03759.00001193@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21196

In article <20010512114441.25599.00001644@ng-mh1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>

```
>     Who'd want him?
>

Who wants YOU?

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 2696026195864664899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbd2716361e30b64
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 09:05:47 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 16:05:04 GMT
References: <9dkuhl$p4$2@208.206.142.113>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<
Message-ID: <20010513120504.03759.00001192@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21197

In article <9dkuhl$p4$2@208.206.142.113>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>
>Robert Casey wrote:
>>
>> This troll is getting old....
>
>
> Truth generally does.
>

I've always thought it to be an overly simplistic and ineffective way
of supporting code testing requirements, but, of late, it's kind of
growing on me!  I guess ham radio is being "dumbed down" in many
different ways!

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 7602223901369725516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 09:05:50 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 16:05:04 GMT
References: <3AFDA2DF.817EC6FF@ix.netcom.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY...
Message-ID: <20010513120504.03759.00001191@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21198

In article <3AFDA2DF.817EC6FF@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>
>Trollometer:
>
>1 3 5 7 9 +20 +40 +60
>                /
>               /
>             /
>           /
>
>CWnotCB wrote:
>
>> What's a "Real Ham?" Real easy.  It's the opposite of a PHONY HAM.
>>
>> What a bunch of dumb CBers.
>>
>> NO CODE = NO HAM.  KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.

Your Troll-O-Meter has a loose needle.  CW NOT CB is the posting
I use to calibrate my new tRoll-O-Meter to the S9 level.

BTW -- as a person who did more than anyone else to popularize
the term "Real Ham," I'll tell you right now that the definition of a Real
Ham doesn't necessarily have anything to do with that ham's Morse
code proficiency status.  It has to do with whether or not he whines
about the testing requirement.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -3407294293280493337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 09:05:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 124
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 16:05:06 GMT
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21200

In article <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

> I agree, Cecil. Years ago, amateur radio was considered part of the
>learning process for those seeking a career in radio and electronics
>(a place to test ideas and experiment).

Dwight:

Your right -- years ago, it was. It isn't anymore, but for reasons that
have absolutely nothing to do with code testing. Ham radio is no longer
a training ground for professional electronics engineers and communicators
because there is little left for us hams in the way of true experimentation,
design of practical, usable equipment, and the homebrewing of same
UNLESS we, as hams, also have the kind of professional electronics
knowledge and experience that goes way beyond what is called for in
a "hobby" service such as ours.

 Today, I believe mainly
>because of the code requirement, that is no longer the case. Since
>code is no longer required for a career in radio, and those interested
>in other electronics careers see little need for code, the desire to
>spend time to learn code is simply no longer there. That fact alone
>makes Amateur Radio far less attractive to those seeking careers in
>the very fields that might eventually benefit Amateur Radio.

This is one of the most specious, self-serving arguments against
code testing I've read in this newsgroup to date. Code testing doesn't
now (particularly at 5 WPM max.) and never DID have anything to
do whatsoever with it's attractiveness to truly interested individuals.
All it did was discourage a few lazy whiners who are so fearful of
possible failure that they never got over the requirement to learn
and be tested for this practical skill. The effect of code testing on
any individual's choice to become a ham has more to do with
psychological and personality factors than any more practical
consideration.

> And I think this has already had a serious impact on Amateur Radio.

A firm grasp of the perfectly obvious -- I like that!

>Lets face it, Amateur Radio today is in an evolution mode instead of a
>revolution mode.

Yeah. What it has evolved into is a mainly consumer-oriented activity consisting of appliance operators who are more concerned with "keeping up with the Jones'" than learning any useful, practical communications skills like Morse/CW.

>The big radio manufacturers have no desire to take
>major risks - no desire to push Amateur Radio into new directions.
>Instead, we see bells and whistles slowly added to the same old
>technology. It takes those with vision, AND the skills necessary to
>make those visions work, to truly take Amateur Radio in new
>directions. We have people with vision, but we are slowly losing
>those with the skills necessary to make those visions a reality.

What is keeping people with those skills and vision out? Code testing has never been a problem for all of the truly talented and technically proficient hams I've met since becoming a ham. If it's a problem for a certain few, well, there is no Constitutionally-guaranteed right to be a licensed ham, and there shouldn't be!

> The codeless Technician license was a step in the right direction.
>This is especially true since radio technology outside of Amateur
>Radio has slowly shifted away from HF to VHF and above. Sadly, it may
>take a decade or more before those entering radio and electronic
>career fields rediscover Amateur Radio (they didn't lose interest
>overnight and they won't regain it overnight either). Once they do
>regain an interest in Amateur Radio (and I think they will - I'm
>seeing signs of it already), HF will continue to suffer the same fate
>as does now if the code testing requirement still exists.

Dwight, I really wish I could visit your area of the country for an extended period of time to see the same things you're seeing. Since the advent of the Code-Free Technician class license, I've been a ham in Colorado Springs, Colorado, and Dover, Delaware. What I've mainly seen as new hams entering the service are ex-CB'ers looking for a more powerful and less chaotic alternative means of doing some good 'ole-fashioned PTT-button mashing. I can count the truly technically involved No-coders I've met on the fingers of one hand, and they have mainly been people who work as professionals in the LMR industry -- the Motorola Moguls, I call them. All the rest have looked and sounded like CB'ers, but much worse, since they imported their poor communications skills and annoying on-the-air habits to the 2-meter band as soon as they were able to without taking a nominal 5 WPM code test.

> Amateur Radio always has had, and always will have, it's share of

>"appliance operators."

Well, that's just about all we're left with today. Are you saying that eliminating the 5 WPM code testing requirement from HF licensure will put an end to "appliance operator" syndrome?

>However, in the past, Amateur Radio also had
>it's share of those with the skills to go far beyond that - to take
>Amateur Radio beyond that. Will Amateur Radio of the future also have
>them?

Of course it will. However, we've never had them in the numbers that were necessary to invoke the kind of radical, revolutionary change that the No-coders seem to always be dreaming of if only they could be relieved of the requirement to learn a practical communications skill at a level so low as to be almost useless in actual practice.

>How much we accept the realities of today will decide that.
>One of those realities is a decreasing need for a code testing requirement.

Well, I, for one, have been saying since April of last year that there is no longer ANY need for a code testing requirement, if that requirement is limited to an unavailing 5 WPM level. And the sooner we kill it off for good, the sooner we will be relieved of the whining from people like you who view this requirement with terminal pusillanimity. And now that it seems that the intellectual cowards like yourself will eventually get your way, it will be interesting to see what you will gripe about next! I expect that it will be over the fact that there is nobody to "talk" to other than middle-aged-and-beyond white men who only want to discuss the weather, their families, and their jobs, but don't have any idea what exists within the box their microphone cord is plugged into!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -3057663131530082174
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 09:05:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 149
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 16:05:09 GMT
References: <3AFCE102.4956C010@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
```

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21199

In article <3AFCE102.4956C010@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> Because ham radio is going to hell in
>> a handbasket, that's why!

SNIP!

> Larry, when I got into ham radio a few years ago, I asked a lot of
>questions. And, in spite of seeing lots of messages from you about
>how "ham radio is going to hell in a handbasket" due to the then
>recently reduced morse code requirement (yes, you were ranting about
>the same thing way back then), I don't remember you ever answering a
>single question I asked. I wanted to learn (I still do today), and I
>asked lots of questions, but you offered nothing to help with that
>learning process.

Dwight:

"Elmering" is not what I do in this newsgroup. Here, I bash the
no-coders. I don't do the things here that I do on the air as a radio
amateur, or in person with fellow radio amateurs. If you haven't
figured that out after over a decade, I don't think I can be of much
further help to you.

> And I still see you doing the same thing today - lots of talk about
>how "ham radio is going to hell in a handbasket," but very little when
>it comes to answering questions from those who post to these
>newsgroups - very little to help guide those new to ham radio. Sadly,
>that appears to be the trend among those who share your attitude
>towards the newer hams - the messages with disparaging remarks greatly
>outnumber the messages with useful information.

Well, perhaps if those messages asking for "help" didn't ask questions
which are answered over and over again in virtually all of the ham
publications and websites, then I'd be a bit more forthcoming with
genuine assistance. However, I assume anyone who can post a
message to a Usenet Newsgroup should also have an adequate
handle on the technology of simple keyword searches on a web
browser. I learned everything I needed to know to get myself up
and running on PSK31 without asking anyone for assistance. Am
I a lot smarter than the rest of the ham population? Amateur radio
is supposed to be for and about technically inclined and technically

INVOLVED people. It's not for the technically ignorant consumers we're getting these days. I understand this difference. If you don't, then once again, there's not much I can do to help you.

> Luckily, I didn't have to depend on you, or your peers, to learn
>about ham radio.

That's the first thing you've said in this post with which I can completely agree.

>And, in spite of my "ignorance" (about code or
>anything else you've convinced yourself that you know while others
>like myself don't), I have been able to put together a decent radio
>setup and even enjoy this hobby.

I have absolutely no idea what you do or don't know about the Morse code, and I don't remember asking.

>> [snip] Ham radio operators these days have
>> zilch in the way of technical interest,
>> and even less interest in truly mastering
>> any sort of communications skills which
>> involve physical and mental activity beyond
>> button pushing and talking. [snip]
>
> Then I'm some great exception to that rule?

You may be.

>I've had an interest in
>electronics that dates back years before you became a ham radio
>operator in the early 80's.

Actually, my involvement as an electronics hobbyist predates my first ham license by almost 15 years, when I won my 8th grade Science Fair with a homebrewed transistor radio built out of one of Bill Orr's books.

>And that interest in electronics
>continues to this day (I'm in the process of taking another
>electronics course right now).

More power to you…just remember to keep one hand in your back pocket when working with high voltages!

>And, by the way, while my initial
>introduction to ham radio dates back to the 60's (my father), I did no
>become involved myself until the code requirement was dropped (no
>interest in code - I didn't even need code for my military
>communications job in the early 70's).

Great. You outlived the code testing requirement. Congratulations. You have a lot of company. I also have a lot of company among those who just dug in, accepted the code testing requirement, and ended up thoroughly enjoying ham radio as a proficient CW operator.

>> To make matters worse, we are seeing an increasing amount of whining in newsgroups like this one about a silly, insignificant, and wholly inconsequential 5 WPM code test. The entire thought of expending any physical, mental, or emotional effort to gain valuable amateur radio privileges has become completely taboo.

> If the code test is as "silly, insignificant, and wholly inconsequential" as you openly admit it is above, why would anyone want to expend effort to do it?

I don't know myself, considering the fact that 5 WPM is now the only level required. This is not fast enough to create any kind of useful proficiency which would encourage continued on-the-air usage, so I no longer see any point in keeping this useless requirement.

>For that matter, why are you making such an effort to defend it?

Please provide us with direct quotes of any posting I've made since April 15, 2000, in which I "defend" the 5 WPM code testing requirement. My own recollection is that I've been saying that there's no longer any point in keeping it, much less "defending" it.

>Do you always get so bothered over "insignificant" things?

No bother here, mate.

> For myself, and many others, the license does have meaning and prestige. If it no longer does for you, then perhaps your attitude is the problem, not the license.

Dwight, if the only requirement was to fill out a postcard-sized application blank and send it in, I'm sure you'd still somehow find "meaning and prestige" in your ham radio license. OTOH, I have a much different idea of what gives something like a ham ticket "meaning and prestige." And, as always, if you disagree, then there isn't much I can do to help you. As Cecil would say, I'm "required by circumstances" to "live and let live."

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1265185731455138558

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 10:11:41 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-ch.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <LezL6.3977$j65.289380@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 13:11:07 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 17:11:07 GMT
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news.bnb-lp.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21201

Larry Roll K3LT says...

> ....because there is little left for us hams in the way of
> true experimentation.....

Larry,

Welcome to the SJR&LHAHRDS ("S. J. Robeson & L. H. Anderson Ham Radio Depreciation Society").

Every time I turn my radio on, I consider it an experiment in something -- antenna design, propagation study, receiver selection. I have three homebrewed DSP filters in various stages of evaluation, and am halfway through plans for a digital vocoder for digitized voice experimentation.

When I see all the ideas published in QEX, I don't believe my situation is particularly unusual or related to any "professional" association. Perhaps you are associating with the wrong radio clubs out there in Delaware. Join a QRP club or radiosport club to get in touch with the technical side of our hobby. I bet the FRC or PVRC clubs would love to have you.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -2835779615228811483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 10:47:58 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 17:47:09 GMT
References: <3AFE030F.F63DBA@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010513134709.28771.00001875@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21202

>Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 5/12/01 11:44 PM Central

>Imagine the odds of
>the fellows selecting option A) even if we added, "But Len Anderson is >a man who only wants the morse code testing requirements dropped".

Actually, Dave, I was still rolling on the floor over the "baggy pants and backwards ball cap rapping about being "dissed" thing!

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -2892672427531806077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 10:48:52 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 96
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 17:47:59 GMT
References: <20010513090439.27960.00003084@ng-da1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Message-ID: <20010513134759.03759.00001212@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21203

In article <20010513090439.27960.00003084@ng-da1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>From: CWnotCB realopr@gtel.net
>
>>What's a "Real Ham?" Real easy.
>
>Yes, it is; it a person who has met the requirements of Part 97 and has been
>issued an Amateur Radio license by the FCC.
>
>>It's the opposite of a PHONY HAM.
>
>A phony ham is a person who has not met those requirements, but is using a
>fake
>call sign on the ham bands.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

There you have it, folks -- the ARRL-endorsed definition of a "Real Ham." Someone who has met the minimum licensing requirements, no more.
In the view of the ARRL, a Real Ham isn't someone who demonstrates curiosity, initiative, a willingness to learn, or a desire to provide any sort of public service. A Real Ham (ARRL version) is merely anyone who can pass the dumbed-down tests by the skin of his teeth, buy a toy HT, and then go around whining about not being able to figure out how to use it.

Ed, you work for the ARRL. Everything you say here is going to be perceived as ARRL's philosophy, because in these times, no organization would permit one of it's employees to access such a public forum without being able to invoke meaningful influence over the content of what that employee said there. And, as was drilled into my brain over and over during my military career and beyond, "Perception is Reality." If you say that a ham has to know nothing, do nothing, and prove nothing in order to be considered a Real Ham, as opposed to a mere license holder, then that is Reality in the eyes of those of us who have been trained to process perception *as* reality.

The only logical conclusion one can draw from the perceptions gained here is that the ARRL no longer sees a radio amateur as anything beyond someone with a piece of paper in his possession. There is no expectation of any kind of ongoing commitment to learning, exploration, and service. All they (the ARRL) expect are larger numbers of people to send in their dues.

Is this really true, Ed? If this perception is wrong, then why did you give it?

A Real Ham is a person who makes something of himself *as* a radio amateur. A Real Ham can even be someone who doesn't possess a license -- it can be a person who is merely aware of, interested in, and enthusiastically pursuing knowledge of the world of radio communication and electronics. My definition does NOT require this person to be proficient in the Morse code or any other mode of operation -- merely to be willing to learn, explore, and demonstrate initiative and a certain amount of self-reliance with regard to the ongoing learning process. Real Hams aren't inept when it comes to technical matters. They are able to read and understand the readily available materials that describe and explain the world of electronics and radio communication. They are willing to listen before they talk. They are willing to give things a try, they aren't willing to give up and be discouraged just because they find people out there who hold and express strong views supportive of traditional amateur radio values.

Ed, I was a member of the ARRL before I became a ham, I will always be an ARRL member as long as I am a ham, and I will always encourage my fellow hams to become ARRL members. However, my fellow hams aren't necessarily people who merely possess a ham radio license. They've got to show me something to indicate to me a level of interest and enthusiasm that warrants their being included within the definition of "Real Ham." No, I am not a person who believes in "inclusivity." I believe that ham radio, by necessity, is a very exclusive activity which needs to be limited only to those who are right-headed about it, and who know and understand its purpose. I do not believe in ham radio for the masses. I support the expansion of unlicensed services like CB, GMRS, MURS and FRS in order to meet the needs of consumer-oriented personal communicators. Ham radio is something much different, and much more.

I would not be opposed to the ARRL expanding its influence over the unlicensed, consumer-oriented communications services. In fact, I think it would be a great idea for the ARRL to create a "division" or other separate operating unit devoted to providing the same sort of support to users of the unlicensed, consumer-oriented

radio services as they do for radio amateurs -- but within the
confines of the basis and purpose of those services. I think there's
enough spectrum out there for everyone, but I also believe that
ham radio is not for everyone, and not everyone needs to be a
ham.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3596353037600170162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 11:01:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 May 2001 18:00:04 GMT
References: <20010513120505.03759.00001193@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010513140004.28771.00001876@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21204

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/13/01 11:05 AM Central Daylight Time

>> Who'd want him?

>Who wants YOU?

Still stinging a bit from your last go, Larry? Haven't been able to goad Kim or anyone else into coming out to play with you and now you are prodding me to an NG "game" of "tag"?

OK, "TAG"...you're it.

No 73 for you. You're trolling for a fight. Are you REALLY up to it?

From -4795008693355761097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 12:06:54 PST
Message-ID: <3AFEDAF5.96728B72@IEEE.org>

```
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 14:05:25 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142>
<3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org> <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com>
<3AFD573C.575BDFD7@IEEE.org> <9dku6n$p4$0@208.206.142.113>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp 989780335 64.240.47.63 (13 May 2001 13:58:55 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21205

Dick Carroll wrote:
>   Hey Cecil -- the grape juice flowing easy tonight? Want to try again
> and chck the name on the header this time???

Did God die and make you and Larry co-moderators of this newsgroup?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------


From -6048427497751298152
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 12:17:22 PST
Message-ID: <3AFEDD73.E557E19A@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 14:16:03 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142> <3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org> <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com> <3AFD573C.575BDFD7@IEEE.org> <3afde54f.78963742@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp 989780974 64.240.47.63 (13 May 2001 14:09:34 -0500)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21206

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> Don't mean to be a poop Cecil but I'm Brian.

Sorry, I thought I was replying to Dick.

> That's absolutely outrageous bullshit, ...

Not if you assume I was replying to Dick who wears his Extra Ticket like a PhD degree.

> Kindly explain
> if you will just how these people who happen to have engineering or
> physics degrees and HTs "upgrades" ham radio by some mysterious
> means??

There's no mystery. They help less knowledgeable hams. For instance, one night around the campfire, an Extra said, "Here's something I never have understood. Why does ...?" Everyone around the campfire knew the most qualified person to answer the question was Dr. Williamson, a no-code Tech with a PhD in electronics - and he did answer the question, and we all learned something that night, something we all could then pass on to other hams.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3796476048192781813
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 12:23:49 PST
Message-ID: <3AFEDEEC.A9B840A2@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 14:22:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu> <3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org> <9di9ba$5q1$0@208.207.71.177> <3AFD58B8.58103702@IEEE.org> <9dku9k$p4$1@208.206.142.113>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp 989781351 64.240.47.63 (13 May 2001 14:15:51 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21207

Dick Carroll wrote:
> > How wrong you are, Dick. I believe that hams should be extremely technical,
> > more technical than you are.
>
> Well, gag me with a whiskey sour! Cecil has 'changed his mind' again!!

Nope I haven't, Dick. You just have not been paying attention. I believe
that we should have minimum entrance requirements and maximum expectations
for those people who meet the minimum entrance requirements.

Do you expect an entering first grader to be as knowledgeable as a
high school graduate? I learned virtually everything I know AFTER

I got my ham license. It was a good way to go and I recommend it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 9183488954265681427
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 12:24:45 PST
Message-ID: <3AFEDF2C.B614273@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 14:23:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFD5A1D.92E3CDC9@IEEE.org> <20010513091357.27960.00003086@ng-da1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp 989781414 64.240.47.63 (13 May 2001 14:16:54 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21208

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Why are you so enamored with this particular governmental affirmative
> >action program when you are against most of the others?
>
> He feels he has something to gain by this particular affirmative action (a
> continued supply of Morse trained operators he can work on the air. He feels
> that someone else has something to gain by the affirmative action programs he

> opposes.

Depends on whose ox is being gored, eh?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1816771530978567104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 12:27:22 PST
Message-ID: <3AFEDFC7.E125B0B0@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 14:25:59 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFCE102.4956C010@sccoast.net> <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp 989781569 64.240.47.63 (13 May 2001 14:19:29 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21209

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I don't do the things here that I do on the air as a radio
> amateur, or in person with fellow radio amateurs.

Must be therapeutic for your Jeckle/Hyde personality.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8697985948103992756
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 12:30:07 PST
Message-ID: <3AFEE068.14E2D420@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 14:28:40 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513091858.27960.00003087@ng-da1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp 989781730 64.240.47.63 (13 May 2001 14:22:10 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21210

W1RFI wrote:
> Actually, I see it more as a civil war.

Yep, there are those who want to enslave hams to Morse code and those
who want to free the slaves. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2551969094968872436
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 12:37:03 PST
Message-ID: <3AFEE204.969EEFAB@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 14:35:32 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp 989782144 64.240.47.63 (13 May 2001 14:29:04 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21211

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> All it (Morse code testing) did was discourage a few lazy whiners who are so fearful of possible failure that they never got over the requirement to learn and be tested for this practical skill.

I wouldn't call Dr. Williamson (PhD in electronics) lazy, Larry. He would probably call you lazy. Many, many professional engineers and scientists simply do not think Morse code is worth the trouble and effort, and therefore think ham radio is not worth the trouble and effort. It's ham radio's loss, not theirs.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8641575336953799575
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49284bb79b4bbe48
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 12:39:46 PST
Message-ID: <3AFEE2A1.82083E76@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 14:38:09 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Slow/No Code and the New Gentiles...
References: <slrn9fntmd.1bv.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3afe62b7.111069018@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp 989782301 64.240.47.63 (13 May 2001 14:31:41 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21212

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> Circumsized at 20 wpm by God hisself.

How about a "Morse Forever" tattoo instead?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7082255789342068534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:34:26 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <0MxK6.29762$kh.17572112@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<20010510235204.03759.00000881@nso-ch.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 48
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <67QK6.120$xO1.47713@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 11:34:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.224.146
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989580866 209.245.224.146 (Fri, 11 May 2001 04:34:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 04:34:26 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 04:32:49 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21063

In my opinion, no one is "attracted" to ham radio. It's probably more that people "happen upon" ham radio; as a result of being exposed to ham radio by their parent, relative, friend, or school.

What actually drives one to become a radio amateur would likely be even harder to identify, but a good guess would be that ham radio provides a venue for some other interest--such as that of someone who perks up at the thought of providing community service, or someone who's been interested in photography/motion picture learning of ATV and getting involved, etc.

Then there's the dark side of the reason why people become ham radio operators. Those would be the unfortunate souls who have no other way to help themselves feel important, somehow. Fortunately, they are few and far between and generally just anti-social enough that we need not worry about the scar they could potentially put on the hobby...

Kim W5TIT

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010510235204.03759.00000881@nso-ch.aol.com...
> In article <0MxK6.29762$kh.17572112@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>, "Jim Wayda"
> <jwayda@killspam.home.com> writes:
>
> >
> >It is usually very simple for an electrical engineer to pass theory

```
exams.
> >Rather than memorizing the theory, engineers learn it. They also have
> >learned basic theory such as Ohms Law, and AC theory as part of their
> >curriculum. Many engineers are also inclined to have an interest in
amateur
> >radio, This was even more true before the widespread availability of
> >Internet Access.
> >
> >-jim
>
> Jim:
>
> Are you saying that mature, educated, and professional electronics
> engineers are no longer as attracted to amateur for the same reasons
> as high-school kids in baggy pants and multiple tatoos and piercings?
> That hardly seems likely, but if you say so, I'll take your word for it!
> That being the case, just who *is* attracted to ham radio, and why?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>




From -1932571758045826485
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:34:42 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:34:08 GMT
References: <20010510235206.03759.00000884@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511073408.02763.00002802@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21064

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>>OK let's give it a go.  I think it's pretty "inarguable" that each
>applicant
>>>for an Amateur Radio License should be required to provide his name,
>>>street address, city, state, and Zip code on his license application.
>>>What do you think?  Is this axeing too much?

>>Do you have anything serious to say, or is cynicism the best  you can really
```

>>manage?

>I *did* say something serious. I seriously want you to answer my
>question! The only reason why I ask questions is because I don't
>know the answer, and I believe the person I'm asking, does!

Okay, I think that your question was not serious and that you know it. You would really be an idiot if you had to ask if applicants had to supply their name and address on their application. You are not an idiot, and I suspect that your use of the word "axed" is an indication that your question is no more than a petty troll.

I am sure that you have a lot to offer Amateur Radio and it is unfortunate that you choose to offer this sort of thing instead.

You "axed" me to answer, and I gave you an honest one.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3510568978918939612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:35:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:35:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <9dgiqu$41c$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <9dadoc$irm$1@208.207.71.137> <20010510194428.12866.00002630@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9dfjof$5mc$0@208.207.71.177> <mGIK6.16603$t12.1256667@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21065

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9dfjof$5mc$0@208.207.71.177...

> >
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > >
> > > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> > >
> > > >> Spoken like a man who has never accomplished doodly.
> > >
> > > > > Which is all that is expected these days, and forever onward.
> > >
> > > You are, of course, speaking for yourself, Dick.
> >
> >
> > The system speaks for itself. Loud and Clear. It says "No code. And
> > damn little of anything else".
>
> As the writer of the line right under Dick's name, I for one am getting
> damned tired of bozos reacting to Morse like Dracula to the Cross. When
> Mike is personally attacked for passing a code test, all the rules of
> civility go right out the window

And it is noteworthy that League HQ just totally ignores all that
vituperation heaped upon anyone who 'just did it' in favor of currying
favor with the limp noodle set. Not even a gentle chiding word.
Evidently they believe the future is with them, not us.

(being of Bohemian ancestry, defenstration
> has always struck me as interesting for some reason). We get the same old
> 'hazing ritual' nonsense and a denigration of what is a minor achievement
> but an achievement none the less.

Actually these folks don't realize how much they prove the case against
them, that they are just a bunch of aimless yakkers.

> I have long since adjusted to the reality that code testing is, probably
> justifiably, on its way out. But I have no intention whatsoever of
> apologizing to the likes of Jim for having passed one.

Yeah, like "Gee, guys I sure am sorry they insisted that I learn the
code and actually pass a test on it. Dang, is there anything at all that
I can do for you in penance that would begin to make up for it?" Right.

From 2170375605882779622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:39:41 PST

```
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:39:26 GMT
References: <9dfkce$7gn$0@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511073926.02763.00002803@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21066
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>No one believes for a minute that you
>wouldn't be shut off quick as a flash if your public attitude here
>clashed in any serious way with the official League viewpoint.

The official ARRL viewpoint, Dick, is that the League has not changed its position wrt US code testing.

I will say that if ARRL's viewpoint contained the hatred and the ill manners (head soaking and such) that you eschew here, I would not be a member or supporter.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1228225693932466805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:41:41 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:41:32 GMT
References: <9dfee3$i6m$1@208.207.71.177>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511074132.02763.00002804@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21067
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Evidently you didn't follow the suggestion as yours still needs
>soaking. The proof is that you skip right over the idiots blasts to
>concentrate on the defender. You'r a real piece of work. I guess that's
>what they promote in Newington these days.

And if you were in charge of Newington, it appears you would promote ill manners and head soaking, eh?

Dick, you are again incorrect, btw, the views I promote here are my own, not the views of ARRL. It would almost appear that you are claiming them to be official ARRL views in a hope that doing so will get me to stop posting. Do you feel you are losing this little debate that badly?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6681096963687020561
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:43:40 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:43:30 GMT
References: <9daccf$big$0@208.207.71.137>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Message-ID: <20010511074330.02763.00002805@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21068

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Evidently that was changed, to what new scheme I cannot determine.

Maybe you should be retested on your ability to read and understand the current rules.

The answer is in Part 97.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9155023290340839883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:45:40 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:45:16 GMT
References: <3AFB11D0.47262925@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Message-ID: <20010511074516.02763.00002806@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21069

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> Upgrading does result in an automatically renewed license.

>Nope it doesn't, Larry.

Larry needs to be retested to determine if he still has the ability to read and
understand the current rules, too. :-)

Hey, maybe he DID just fill out his name and address on the application.

What has ham radio come to when hams can't read Part 97 for themselves and
expect others to help them understand its simple instructions?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From 178454910573079528
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:52:40 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:52:35 GMT
References: <9dab5u$7vt$0@208.207.71.137>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
```

Message-ID: <20010511075235.02763.00002808@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21070

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Aren't a$$holes usually arrogant?

What a poor example for the youth looking to enter ham radio. Are you trying to build it up by tearing it down?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3494818084911912523
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:54:40 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:53:45 GMT
References: <9d8ueb$dsg$0@208.207.71.146>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010511075345.02763.00002809@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21071

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>And Loose Lennie *still* can't join in the fun.

Are you trying to encourage him to be a ham, or discourage him. Or perhaps this was just another opportunity to let loose with an insult.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1975631984620789773
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 04:56:41 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 11:56:29 GMT
References: <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide (was: Hmmmmmmmm)
Message-ID: <20010511075629.02763.00002810@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21072

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>What I do clearly remember is
>that going from scratch to actually getting a signal on the air as a
>1953 Novice was overall involved a lot more work and/or relative
>expense than the average nocode has to put into it today.

Agreed, but the old boat anchors abound, so the new ham could indeed go through all that work, if he or she chose to do so. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -116030077274595479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 05:01:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 12:01:11 GMT
References: <3AF94919.7CD32597@crosslink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide (was: Hmmmmmmmm)
Message-ID: <20010511080111.02763.00002811@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21073

>From: Old Biker vito@crosslink.net

>Progress is sad in a way. Whatever pride there is in buying an
>appliance station is tiny compared to having built your own gear
>in a time when not one person in 1000 could do so.

I did not build the rigs in ANY of the stations I put together in the 60s. I used Heathkits and Nationals and... None of the Novices I got to know personally locally did so, either -- not until much later in their ham careers, and even then, the commercial rigs were their main stations.

> Too bad new hams will never know that feeling...

I think they are more likely to know that feeling than we were. Back then, a Heathkit cost a fortune -- today, you can buy an HF QRP transceiver for under $50.00. Back then, you had the fun of drilling and punching a chassis and today you can do it more easily with PC boards.

I bet more hams today have built a transmitter or receiver than did so in the 60s.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 439658363433010400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 05:06:40 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 12:06:28 GMT
References: <3AF97ECA.D0033025@crosslink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Message-ID: <20010511080628.02763.00002812@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21074

>From: Old Biker vito@crosslink.net

> Determine what percentage of hams currently do *not* design and
> build their own xmtrs ...

It is not scientific, but the poll at:

http://www.arrl.org/survey.php3?pollnr=8

may be of some interest.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2214770422843268689
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 05:37:34 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!washdc3-snf1!news.gtei.net!news.telebeam.net!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 08:36:52 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21075

Why is it amateur radio's loss? I can pretty well breeze through the tests, yet the cw requirement has been hard. But I figured that I'd put in the effort to learn it. If a person is only going to put out minimal effort for something, and anything above that is reason to forget the whole thing, then they didn't really want it that much IMO. Not that much of a loss in my book.

If they don't want to learn Morse, theres no way you can stop them from not learning it. 8^)

- mike -

W6RCecilA wrote:

> They don't think they deserve a special exemption, Larry. To a lot of them, an HF ham license is simply not worth the effort it takes to learn Morse code and thus amateur radio is degraded by their absence. It's amateur radio's loss, not theirs.

From 2008258296221856548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 05:48:39 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <mGIK6.16603$t12.1256667@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <20010511072845.02763.00002800@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 28
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <GcRK6.17358$t12.1329128@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 12:48:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989585318 12.75.102.13 (Fri, 11 May 2001 12:48:38 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 12:48:38 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21076

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010511072845.02763.00002800@ng-mo1.aol.com...

> >From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@att.net
>
> >I have long since adjusted to the reality that code testing is, probably
> >justifiably, on its way out. But I have no intention whatsoever of
> >apologizing to the likes of Jim for having passed one.
>
> Nor should you. I don't apologize for mine. But wen the reality comes to
> pass, those who enter ham radio without the code test should not be asked to
> apologize, either. We have all entered ham radio by meeting the requirements
> in place at the time we are licensed. Once we do, those requirements pale in
> comparison to the things we do within ham radio. If there is judging to be
> done, the latter is a much better guage of the worth of hams.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Agreed and I have said as much in a related posting. I just get a little
tired of little dogs biting my heels.

Dick

From -5291399615910834758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 05:51:27 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 08:45:01 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3AFBDECC.9DC58393@psu.edu>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21077

I think its because of how women are helplessly attracted to amateurs! ;^)

Whoever these engineers are, they probably aren't interested in the ARS period. And thats okay, no one is forcing anyone to be a ham.

- Mike -

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Lets see if I got that right- the written test is trivial and of no
> consequence to them, and they find the code "not worth ANY effort" in
> spite of the fact that it is the most basic of radiocommunications
> modes.
> They want a total free pass on the whole enchilada.
> So what is it about ham radio that attracts ANY of them?

From 2289976486911134677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 05:57:27 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 08:58:37 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing

Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3AFBE1FD.E844E693@psu.edu>
References: <3AF94355.10B13F1B@psu.edu> <20010510194718.12866.00002634@ng-cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21078

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>
> >Because I've put in the time and effort, and it will be dropped for
> >others. That is pretty simple and just plain human. Who wouldn't be?
>
> Would you have similar, but opposite, feelings if they significantly increased
> the code speed requirement for new hams? Perhaps that one had to attain 40 wpm
> to get all Amateur priveleges?

In all honesty, I think I would be a little embarrassed or awkward about it. I think I'd make the attempt to work cw faster. But just like the other way around, any annoyance would be for about five minutes, then I'd get on with it.

- mike -

From 7900501253589405713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 108122,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,5e9f2cdd362bb14b
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 06:18:26 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!news1.optus.net.au!optus!newshub1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au!news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au.POSTED!not-

```
for-mail
From: hamish@cloud.net.au
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec
.radio.cb
References: <3af07498$1@news2.mibx.net>
<JQEI6.16016$2_.5622874@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3af31ea3.6228165@news.cet.com>
<3af5f463.2902852@news.mindspring.com>
<ktjcft8ni9nqv9252pdggfjdkq2k6sn4hr@4ax.com>
<LJtJ6.36152$2_.12334679@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af67bf5.671565@news.mindspring.com>
<WUFJ6.38791$2_.13566909@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af7666c.2467862@news.mindspring.com>
<AzPJ6.43771$2_.15204332@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3af93d7d.2720046@news.mindspring.com> <3AFA79B1.5D5C@worldlynx.net>
User-Agent: tin/1.5.8-20010221 ("Blue Water") (UNIX) (Linux/2.4.3 (i586))
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <UDRK6.817$25.3382@news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 13:17:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.164.64.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@optushome.com.au
X-Trace: news1.eburwd1.vic.optushome.com.au 989587060 203.164.64.6 (Fri, 11 May
2001 23:17:40 EST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 23:17:40 EST
Organization: @Home Network
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:22757
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:8611 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2612
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2507 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:12805
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21079 rec.radio.cb:32181
```

In rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc Dave Hall <nojunkmail_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
wrote:

> You have to remember that most "safety" related laws and rules are
> written for the lowest common denominator in society. Just because YOU
> can safely drive a car on a particular road at 70 MPH, does not mean
> that everyone else can.

And although you may think you can safely drive on a particular road at a particular speed, you may be over-judging your abilities.

I heard of a survey once that found that something like 90% of people though they were above average drivers. Now if they were correct, it means the distribution is very skewed; there must be some REALLY REALLY awful drivers somewhere. It's more likely that they are just too confident and can't properly judge their abilities.

The fastest speed limit in most states of Australia is 110km/hour, which is about 69 MPH. It's fast enough for a legal limit.

Hamish

--
Hamish Moffatt VK3SB <hamish@debian.org> <hamish@cloud.net.au>

```
From -7563080328287249421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 06:23:37 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Date: 11 May 2001 13:23:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9dgp4o$p94$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <9daccf$big$0@208.207.71.137> <20010511074330.02763.00002805@ng-
mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21080
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > Evidently that was changed, to what new scheme I cannot determine.
>
> Maybe you should be retested on your ability to read and understand the current
> rules.
>
> The answer is in Part 97.

Oh, you mean I'm wasting my QST subscription? I thought it wasd
supposed to supply information.

Go soak your head, again. Didn't do any good the first time. It might
help your attitude.

```
From 4303999903455068026
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 06:37:48 PST
Message-ID: <3AFBEAC9.79433A97@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 08:36:09 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3AFB1167.9F6F2C9F@IEEE.org> <20010511021550.05537.00002882@ng-
ct1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp 989587781 64.240.47.84 (11 May 2001 08:29:41 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21081
```

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

> From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Hans, I did NOT affirm the above statement, I said it (the law) was
> >no myth and that is perfectly true. The law is no myth. Georgia
> >residents affirmed my statement. Now please apologize and say three
> >"Hell Marys".
>
> Forget it, Cecil. Hans left any pretense of civility or maturity months
> ago. Next to Lennie, he is the master of the two-faced-assertion.
>
> He'sa trolling. Nothing more...nothing less....

(Pssssst, Steve, so was I.)

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5226272713584124065
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 06:39:19 PST
Message-ID: <3AFBEB31.AC145031@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 08:37:53 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
References: <9daccf$big$0@208.207.71.137> <20010511074330.02763.00002805@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9dgp4o$p94$0@208.207.71.142>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp 989587885 64.240.47.84 (11 May 2001 08:31:25 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21082

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > The answer is in Part 97.
>
>  Oh, you mean I'm wasting my QST subscription?

Shame on you, Dick. An Extra ignorant of Part 97. Shame, shame!
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------


From 5493825020068783389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 06:41:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AFBEBA3.1A7FBC8C@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 08:39:47 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
References: <3AFB11D0.47262925@IEEE.org> <20010511074516.02763.00002806@ng-
mo1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp 989587999 64.240.47.84 (11 May 2001 08:33:19 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21083
```

W1RFI wrote:
> What has ham radio come to when hams can't read Part 97 for themselves and
> expect others to help them understand its simple instructions?

I guess Larry and Dick have to self-fulfill their own prophecy that ham
radio is going straight downhill. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2092701615120599189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 06:45:53 PST
Message-ID: <3AFBECAF.F6A25B41@IEEE.org>
```

```
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 08:44:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <20010511071813.02763.00002796@ng-
mo1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp 989588268 64.240.47.84 (11 May 2001 08:37:48 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!
fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!local-out.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21084
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >They don't think they deserve a special exemption, Larry. To a lot
> >of them, an HF ham license is simply not worth the effort it takes
> >to learn Morse code and thus amateur radio is degraded by their
> >absence. It's amateur radio's loss, not theirs.
>
> Careful, Cecil; for the next 10 years, Larry will claim that you promised that
> removing Morse code requirements will result in only high-tech people entering
> ham radio and he will point to the average ham as an example of how you broke
> your promise.

If so, it's Larry's very own self-fulfilling prophecy. If ham radio is going downhill it's because competent potential hams are being kept out by out-dated requirements.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1733907740208183654
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 06:50:39 PST
Message-ID: <3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 08:49:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp 989588559 64.240.47.84 (11 May 2001 08:42:39 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21085

Dick Carroll wrote:
> They want a total free pass on the whole enchilada.

Only you would think a PhD degree in electronics is a free pass.

> So what is it about ham radio that attracts ANY of them?

For the ones I knew, it was being able to talk to their camping
buddies over an area of 5000 square miles using a mountaintop
repeater. The Tech license was effortless for them. They will
not even consider upgrading until the Morse code requirement
is history.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 3253516361331537205
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 06:53:28 PST
Message-ID: <3AFBEE71.8D233E81@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 08:51:45 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142>
<3AFBDECC.9DC58393@psu.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp 989588717 64.240.47.84 (11 May 2001 08:45:17 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21086
```

mike coslo wrote:

> Whoever these engineers are, they probably aren't interested in the ARS
> period. And thats okay, no one is forcing anyone to be a ham.

The ones I know are already Techs because passing the tech license was effortless for them. They will not even consider upgrading until the Morse code requirement is history - not even to be able to talk to me on HF since I retired and moved back to Texas.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3820700091508284443
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 06:54:48 PST
Message-ID: <3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 08:53:31 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp 989588824 64.240.47.84 (11 May 2001 08:47:04 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21087

mike coslo wrote:
> Why is it amateur radio's loss?

Because of the knowledge base those guys would bring to amateur radio.
Amateur radio needs them more than they need amateur radio.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6319434452327752702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 06:57:08 PST
Message-ID: <3AFBEF5E.122ACE23@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 08:55:42 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <mGIK6.16603$t12.1256667@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <20010511072845.02763.00002800@ng-mo1.aol.com> <GcRK6.17358$t12.1329128@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp 989588955 64.240.47.84 (11 May 2001 08:49:15 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21088

Richard McCollum wrote:
> I just get a little tired of little dogs biting my heels.

That happens to me when I ride my bicycle. Pepper spray works wonders.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From -3957817777265985786
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:03:31 PST

Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 10:03:31 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3AFBF133.D7F29EB9@psu.edu>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu> <3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21089

But it might be too much trouble for them to share their knowledge.

- mike -

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> mike coslo wrote:
> > Why is it amateur radio's loss?
>
> Because of the knowledge base those guys would bring to amateur radio.
> Amateur radio needs them more than they need amateur radio.

From 3459700209100364884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d474840887fde8c1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:04:58 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 14:03:54 GMT
References: <9dgp4o$p94$0@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: A minor puzzlement
Message-ID: <20010511100354.16573.00002985@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21090

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> > Evidently that was changed, to what new scheme I cannot determine.

>> Maybe you should be retested on your ability to read and understand the
>>current rules.

>> The answer is in Part 97.

> Oh, you mean I'm wasting my QST subscription? I thought it wasd
>supposed to supply information.

Are you asking me to find the QST information about this rules change for you?

> Go soak your head, again.

My goodness, for a supposedly grown man, your choice of words is really quite
juvenile. Is this normal for you, or are you just trying to get my goat. I
suspect that in most people's view, such behaviour demeans you more than it
does me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
 Didn't do any good the first time. It might
>help your attitude.
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 8973622297361856927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:05:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AFBF13D.6E0B9FEA@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 09:03:41 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9dfdt1$hjc$0@208.207.71.177> <20010511072531.02763.00002798@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84

```
X-Trace: corp 989589437 64.240.47.84 (11 May 2001 08:57:17 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news2.epix.net!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21091

W1RFI wrote:
> You claim to know the motivations of people entering
> ham radio and I wonder if you could explain how you accomplish that?

The fat Jamaican lady on TV who reads Tarot Cards is really Dick
in drag. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 8629048407406224106
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:06:55 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 14:06:10 GMT
References: <9dgiqu$41c$0@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511100610.16573.00002987@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21092

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
```

```
>And it is noteworthy that League HQ just totally ignores all that
>vituperation heaped upon anyone who 'just did it' in favor of currying
>favor with the limp noodle set.

Can you provide any examples of "League HQ" "totally ignoring" anything on this
forum?  Are you confused between personal and professional posting again, or
are you just trying to get my goat?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From 3649554011254864747
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:07:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 14:07:34 GMT
References: <9dgiqu$41c$0@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511100734.16573.00002988@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21093

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Evidently they believe the future is with them, not us.

I believe that the future of Amateur Radio is with those that are positive
about it and its future, Dick.  I cannot be responsible for what you read into
that.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From -1565338198114133811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:09:56 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 14:08:58 GMT
References: <9dgiqu$41c$0@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511100858.16573.00002989@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21094

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I have long since adjusted to the reality that code testing is, probably
>> justifiably, on its way out. But I have no intention whatsoever of
>> apologizing to the likes of Jim for having passed one.

>   Yeah, like "Gee, guys I sure am sorry they insisted that I learn the
>code and actually pass a test on it. Dang, is there anything at all that
>I can do for you in penance that would begin to make up for it?" Right.

I wonder if either of you can provide a single example of any instance where
you have been asked to apologize for having taken a code test? I am trying to
remember one here, but cannot recall it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 93399709837100428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:13:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 14:13:19 GMT
References: <20010507144020.00244.00001087@nso-mn.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010511101319.16573.00002995@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21095

>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>>When it devolves into buzzwords and Capitalization, probably best to let the
>>thread die. There are other threads that still have real content. :-)

>it will be easier without any capitalization, of course, mr. hare.

You are right; threads can have no content without capitilization, too.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6671228912839464939
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,27b685a93ecc8074,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,27b685a93ecc8074,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,27b685a93ecc8074,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:13:55 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "PL Yevginev" <chich9999@aol.com>
From: "PL Yevginev" <chich9999@aol.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Alinco restructuring it's Sales & Service in USA market
Lines: 76
Organization: take
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <DsSK6.624$xO1.86979@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 14:13:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989590435 209.204.84.71 (Fri, 11 May 2001 07:13:55 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 07:13:55 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 07:12:18 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:11948 rec.radio.amateur.misc:15732 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21096

(found this in the scanner NG. Thought i'd post it here for hams USA)

Alinco Announces New North American Distributor
Dealer and Customer Service Shifted to Ohio Firm

TORRANCE, CA May 1, 2001

USA Alinco Branch has announced a major change in its distribution structure serving North America. Effective May 1, 2001 Alinco products will be exclusively available to dealers and customer service will be obtained through:

Atoc Amateur Distributing, LLC
23 S. High St., Covington, OH 45318, (937) 473-2840

The Atoc Amateur Distributing operation will be headed by members of the Cota family, who also manufacture and distribute the Iron Horse and ATOC lines of antenna and radio accessories. The Iron Horse line also includes OEM and aftermarket accessories for large vehicles such as RVs, busses and trucks. They are also remembered in the industry as the creator and distributor of the Valor radio accessory product line in the past.

Alinco's corporate function would now concentrate on designing and manufacturing products from its headquarters in Osaka and its ISO 9002 qualified factory in Toyama , Japan. Alinco is a very committed, viable player in the Amateur Radio marketplace as well as branching into related areas, such as the design and manufacture of high quality scanning receivers and power-supplies available to the general public and institutional users such as law enforcement and the military. Alinco believes that having a distributor based in America is good for the dealers and most importantly, good for the growing Alinco customer base.

The transition for dealers should be seamless, as contacts and information will be handed over to the new entity. Evelyn Garrison, WS7A, a long-time Alinco associate, will continue representing the Alinco line for the new organization. Importantly, all Alinco warranties will remain in force and continue to be honored through their term. In addition, customer support and out-of warranty service will be available through the new operation. The repair units currently in the Torrance office will be repaired and returned to its owners within the month of April, while the units in transit will be received and or repaired in California, or transferred to Ohio and the owners will be noticed .For customer-service related information, please kindly visit the PARTS & SERVICE menu on this website for up-dated information. We are sorry that due to this transition our service capabilities are very limited at this moment. Please kindly understand and be patient until the new office in Ohio becomes fully functional.

Alinco is going to exhibit at Dayton Hamvention 2001 as usual, sponsoring W1AW/8 special event station.

Finally, we at the USA Alinco Branch in Torrance, California appreciate your loyalty and patronage with our products, and we do hope that your continued support will be enjoyed in the future by the new organization.

Most Sincere 88 es 73 From the Bottom of Our Hearts,

Katsumi "NAKY" Nakata
KE6RD / JE3AVS
Branch Manager

Liu Zhi Yuan
AD6OF
Technician

Alexander Schwartzman
K6POD
Customer-Service

FOR MORE INFORMATION:
    Evelyn Garrison   425-557-9611
     Phillip A. Cota   937-473-2840

```
From 2863308287492903411
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bfab42cfcbc9bb75
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:18:57 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 14:18:35 GMT
References: <20010510192324.20819.00002235@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: A real chance
Message-ID: <20010511101835.28252.00003196@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21097
```

In article <20010510192324.20819.00002235@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>, kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN) writes:

```
>
>Amen!
>
>>Now, gang, how about
>>some real discussions about amateur radio (and, unless you have something
>>serious to point out, leave the CW vs no CW and the gay issues alone?
```

Fine. Discuss away -- in another newsgroup! This one is specifically for the code vs. no-code debate, and that is the primary topic here. You cannot exclude this topic in any thread you may start -- if I bring the code testing requirements into the discussion, I'm "on-topic" here, and there's nothing you can do about it. Sure, we discuss other things, like "gay" issues, but the code is always on-topic here -- so please leave out your feeble attempts to exclude it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6502688552357167501
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:18:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 14:18:36 GMT
References: <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511101836.28252.00003197@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21098

In article <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> What I want to know is why these same professional engineers and
>> technicians, at least the ones you know, think and/or feel that they
>> deserve a special exemption from the code testing requirements,
>> just BECAUSE they ARE professional electronics engineers?
>
>They don't think they deserve a special exemption, Larry. To a lot
>of them, an HF ham license is simply not worth the effort it takes
>to learn Morse code and thus amateur radio is degraded by their
>absence. It's amateur radio's loss, not theirs.

Cecil:

How could amateur radio possibly be "degraded" by the absence of people who don't think that participation is "worth the effort" of learning one of the most uniquely practical, effective, efficient, and universal non-voice communications modes? I say, if they think that little about amateur HF privileges, then obviously they should not bother with them. But why do you feel the need to be their spokesman/defender/designated whiner? If your friends don't want HF privileges, that's their choice, their concern. It isn't about *you* in any way. I'm pretty sure that if they knew that you were whining here on their behalf, they might even be a bit annoyed. These gentlemen are obviously mature and emotionally intelligent enough to accept their status as non-HF privileged hams. Who gave you the right to speak for them?

```
73 de Larry, K3LT



From -6363376866392568019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:19:01 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 54
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 14:18:37 GMT
References: <20010511073408.02763.00002802@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010511101837.28252.00003198@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21099

In article <20010511073408.02763.00002802@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>>>OK let's give it a go.  I think it's pretty "inarguable" that each
>>applicant
>>>>for an Amateur Radio License should be required to provide his name,
>>>>street address, city, state, and Zip code on his license application.
>>>>What do you think?  Is this axeing too much?
>
>>>Do you have anything serious to say, or is cynicism the best  you can
>really
>>>manage?
>
>>I *did* say something serious.  I seriously want you to answer my
>>question!  The only reason why I ask questions is because I don't
>>know the answer, and I believe the person I'm asking, does!
>
>Okay, I think that your question was not serious and that you know it.  You
>would really be an idiot if you had to ask if applicants had to supply their
>name and address on their application.  You are not an idiot, and I suspect
>that your use of the word "axed" is an indication that your question is no
>more
>than a petty troll.
>
>I am sure that you have a lot to offer Amateur Radio and it is unfortunate
>that
```

>you choose to offer this sort of thing instead.
>
>You "axed" me to answer, and I gave you an honest one.

Ed:

You stated that we should discuss the "inarguable" requirements for Amateur Radio licensing. I listed those requirements which I thought were, in fact, "inarguable." Unless you care to argue with the need to properly identify each applicant, then please answer the question I "axed" you.

BTW, I use the form "axed" as a tribute to a particular group of Americans who speak in that manner, and whom, as a group, are protected by federal law from most of the responsibilities of citizenship, but granted superior rights and privileges. I feel that if saying "axed" is good enough for them, then it probably should be good enough for me. Do you disagree? If so, what do you have against this particular group of Americans? After all, I've heard people from this group -- even those with college degrees in professional positions, use the word "axed" instead of "asked." OTOH, I've heard other people from the *same* general ethnic origins speak perfect "Queens" English (the Queen of England, not Queens County, NY). So I be axing you, bruh -- word up!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7225863339079333032
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:19:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 14:18:55 GMT
References: <20010506211055.18644.00002507@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010511101855.16573.00002996@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21100

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>If you're looking for something to trip me up on, you'd better pick something
>else!

Yeah, trip him up on Part 97 questions. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3132890077699072096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49284bb79b4bbe48,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:26:30 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!Queequeg
From: Queequeg@quahog.com (Queequeg)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Slow/No Code and the New Gentiles...
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 14:26:29 -0000
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <slrn9fntmd.1bv.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
Reply-To: quahog9000@deja.com
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 53
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21101

> "And certain men came down from Judea and taught the brethren, 'Unless you are circumsized according to the custom of Moses, you cannot be saved.'"
> -- Acts 15:1 NKJV

The fifteenth chapter of Acts tells the story of one of the first and most important disputes in the new faith. After Paul's first missionary journey, many uncircumcised converts were becoming Christians. The old guard, the Pharisees who had become Christians early on, insisted that the new converts had to become Jewish proselytes *first*, and then Christians. What does this have to do with ham radio? Listen up.

The FCC (God) has decreed that a Gentile (slow code ham) can become a Christian (extra) without first being circumcised (passing a high speed code test). Many of the Pharisees (20 wpm extras) are horrified! Jerking aside their loincloths they shout, "We have done this since Abraham (Marconi) and Moses (HPM)."

The new converts were not allowed in the courtyard of the Temple (extra CW segment) or to eat with the Pharisees (check into extra class phone nets). But along came Paul. In the second chapter of Galations we see the dispute had come to a head:

"But when Peter had come to Antioch, I withstood him
to his face, because he was to be blamed..."
Galations 2:1 NKJV

Paul had in effect told the Pharisees to 'get over it', that the New Covenant was in effect with or without their consent. Many of them left in protest. The whole book of Hebrews was addressed to them. So how many OT's are there that will give up on ham radio, or continue to groan about 'dumbing down' and slow code? Will this religious dispute ever end?

I am a fairly new general class ham. I easily passed the 5 wpm test and solid copied the whole text. I have been more or less faithful in doing w1aw code practice as well as qso's. My solid copy speed is at about 15 wpm after 3 months or so. I copy the 18 wpm code bulletins pretty well. I have done some 'name rst qth pwr' contest exchanges at 25+. Will any 5 wpm ham who regularly *uses* cw stay at 5? Of course not, they will progress, at their own rates, up to their own levels of ability -- or, they will abandon cw.

KB1GEJ
--
"Dead Whale or a Stove Boat"
<---------[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[

From -8331113402652654168
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bfab42cfcbc9bb75
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:26:35 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 May 2001 14:25:36 GMT
References: <20010511101835.28252.00003196@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: A real chance
Message-ID: <20010511102536.16589.00002338@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21102

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>This one is specifically for the code vs. no-code debate, and that is the
>primary topic here.

This NG is not limited to the code-vs-no-code debate, Larry. Its charter is Amateur Radio policy. The impetus for creating it was to offload the iterminable debate from rra.misc, but in creating it, its charter was not so limited.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8042882731686853818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:41:26 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 10:39:45 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3AFBF9B1.EB1BEBA@psu.edu>
References: <9dgiqu$41c$0@208.207.71.142> <20010511100858.16573.00002989@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21103

Well, I've had my empathy for the OT'ers and cw compared to having empathy for probably the most evil person ever hatched on this planet. It's not exactly a demand for an apology, but it is a bit of what we're talking about here.

- Mike - KB3EIA

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> I have long since adjusted to the reality that code testing is, probably
> >> justifiably, on its way out. But I have no intention whatsoever of
> >> apologizing to the likes of Jim for having passed one.
>
> >   Yeah, like "Gee, guys I sure am sorry they insisted that I learn the
> >code and actually pass a test on it. Dang, is there anything at all that

> >I can do for you in penance that would begin to make up for it?" Right.
>
> I wonder if either of you can provide a single example of any instance where
> you have been asked to apologize for having taken a code test? I am trying to
> remember one here, but cannot recall it.

From -2837199672459075124
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 07:44:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AFBECD8.8C8A385@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <9d8ueb$dsg$0@208.207.71.146> <20010511075345.02763.00002809@ng-
mo1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 14:44:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.56
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989592298 63.17.223.56 (Fri, 11 May 2001
07:44:58 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 07:44:58 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 07:43:21 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21104

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >And Loose Lennie *still* can't join in the fun.
>
> Are you trying to encourage him to be a ham, or discourage him. Or perhaps
> this was just another opportunity to let loose with an insult.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Surely, as even handed as you try to be Ed, you can see that Len isn't
really interested in entering amateur radio. He has friends who are
hams, has had decades of exposure and has been posting here for years.

If he was truly interested, he'd have obtained a license by now.

Dave Heil K8MN

From -7304850536573509104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:05:29 PST
Message-ID: <3AFBFF5C.6967B5F7@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 10:03:56 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010511073408.02763.00002802@ng-mo1.aol.com>
<20010511101837.28252.00003198@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp 989593048 64.240.47.59 (11 May 2001 09:57:28 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21105

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> BTW, I use the form "axed" as a tribute to a particular group of
> Americans who speak in that manner, and whom, as a group, are
> protected by federal law from most of the responsibilities of
> citizenship, but granted superior rights and privileges.

Dumb-$hit Rednecks are protected by federal law???
How can I get in on the action?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1526257076352225247
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:06:51 PST
Message-ID: <3AFBFFA5.CE8E6B7@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 10:05:09 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu> <3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org> <3AFBF133.D7F29EB9@psu.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp 989593121 64.240.47.59 (11 May 2001 09:58:41 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21106

mike coslo wrote:
> But it might be too much trouble for them to share their knowledge.

Sharing their knowledge is not wasted effort. They only mind
wasted effort.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7364830037887832880

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:14:21 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide (was: Hmmmmmmmm)
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 15:13:47 GMT
Message-ID: <3afb262d.375048993@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3af0e27d.31776440@news2.dvol.com> <20010503065025.01812.00000294@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3af2b0ed.150168181@news2.dvol.com>
<hxAJ6.65$95.22795@news.ntplx.net> <3af9058e.235635260@news2.dvol.com>
<3AF94919.7CD32597@crosslink.net> <3af98be6.270028995@news2.dvol.com>
<3AFA9D0B.95A17BF7@crosslink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.43
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.43
X-Trace: 11 May 2001 10:09:53 -0500, 64.24.17.43
Lines: 32
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21107

On Thu, 10 May 2001 09:52:11 -0400, Old Biker <vito@crosslink.net>
wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>>
>> That's going a bit too far. Novices didn't all "design and build"
>> their own complete stations,... There were no "heroics"
>> >
>
>But Brian, don't sell yourself short. From a modern kid's
>standpoint these *are* heroics (and you were a hero) compared to
>having dad pick a rig up for you at Radio Shack in an effort to
>keep you off hard drugs. :o)
>
I never got one nickel from my parents to float my ham gear. Back then
parents didn't bribe kids to stay on the staright & narrow, we got the
strap, I sure got my share. 'Course that would be a felony today. Heh.
>
Over the years in this NG I've tossed out a few posts which have
postulated that earning the Boy Scout Radio Merit Badge was a much
better technical test than was the FCC entry-level ham technical test.
Ya had to actually build and demonstrate a simple receiver which
worked then explain why each component in the circuit was there to the
merit badge counselor. Still makes sense as a requirement as far as
I'm concerned.
>
Might as well have been preaching to a wall.

>
>KA3DWW
>
w3rv

From 5741747607052370693
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:14:33 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 15:13:54 GMT
Message-ID: <3afb8c4a.401186808@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AF88C29.4D50107D@uci.edu> <20010510095347.28252.00003063@nso-
mq.aol.com> <TNxK6.29764$kh.17572782@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<3AFAB5C5.CF7CD19@psu.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.43
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.43
X-Trace: 11 May 2001 10:10:00 -0500, 64.24.17.43
Lines: 28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21108

On Thu, 10 May 2001 11:37:42 -0400, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote:
>
>   I think the amateur should be able to demonstrate via testing, an in
>depth knowledge of one particular mode of transmission. This could be cw
>with a morse code test, ssb with tested knowledge in that. Packet, EME,
>etc could be tested for. Pick a subject and do your test in that. The
>tests would not be easy, they would assume similar effort expended
>learning the particualr mode as learning the cw part.
>
How would you test, for instance, for in-depth Packet or ssb
"knowledge"? Both are just a bunch of circuitry and/or software, there
are a gazillion ways to do both. EME is even worse in this respect.
There are no standards for any of 'em. How do you test if you can't
test against accepted standards?? Makes no sense.
>
>
>   Those for who Morse code is such a waste would be able to demonstrate
>their proficiency in another area, the service would not be dumbed down,
>so everyone should be happy, right? 8^)
>
You already know the response to that one . .

>
> I don't doubt that there could be a problem or two along the way, but I kind of like it.
>
> - mike -
>

w3rv

From 1533627221834949049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:16:44 PST
Message-ID: <3AFC0209.2A0D04FF@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 10:15:21 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <20010511101836.28252.00003197@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.59
X-Trace: corp 989593734 64.240.47.59 (11 May 2001 10:08:54 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21109

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> How could amateur radio possibly be "degraded" by the absence of people who don't think that participation is "worth the effort" of learning one of the most uniquely practical, effective, efficient, and universal non-voice communications modes?

Vanilla ice cream may be the most uniquely practical, effective, efficient, and universal ice-cream in the world, Larry, but some people just don't like it. Don't you see the contradiction? If Morse code is as good as you say it is, it can stand on its own merits without an affirmative action program from the feds. If it is not good enough to stand on its own merits, it certainly does not deserve a stand-alone pass/fail exam.

> Who gave you the right to speak for them?

Most of these guys are my Intel buddies. Intel has an official policy called "assumption of authority". If one looks around and cannot find the person responsible for something, one is urged to assume the authority concerning that something. I am simply following the Intel cultural guidelines.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1727441123161309630
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:18:33 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 15:14:22 GMT
Message-ID: <3afb9711.403946629@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AF9FAD4.310E1739@earthlink.net> <20010510150813.08242.00000357@nso-
mf.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.43
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.43
X-Trace: 11 May 2001 10:10:27 -0500, 64.24.17.43
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21110
```

On 10 May 2001 19:08:13 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>3. Do nothing, continue with the hobby and seek personal
>   vengence against personal viewpoint opposition
>   elsewhere.
>

There's a fourth option Lenny: Sit back and giggle at yer psychotic rants. And by the way Lenny, you're not "opposition" at all. In order to be oppostion you have to be a member of the applicable body politic. You're not.

From -5468139461142297251
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:18:45 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 15:14:37 GMT
Message-ID: <3afb9aa7.404864541@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3afa52ad.320911176@news2.dvol.com>
<20010510150808.08242.00000351@nso-mf.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.43
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.43
X-Trace: 11 May 2001 10:10:42 -0500, 64.24.17.43
Lines: 44
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.gtei.net.MISMATCH!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21111

On 10 May 2001 19:08:08 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>
>:-)   Kelly admits to butchering SMDs also?
>
Certainly. This a a big ham policy deal or what?
>
>Does a TS-50 have SMDs?
>
No you dim bulb, the TS-50 second IF design is based on a pair of
5U4GB amps . .
>
You know all about 50s military RTTY boxes but you have no clue about
current-tech ham boxes. Whatta big surprise.
>
>>I can supply a long list of the "noclue Novices" just in my crowd who
>>went on to electronics-based academic, gummint and corporate
>>acheivements so far beyond anything you've ever accomplished it's a
>>snicker Lenny.
>
>Nobel Prize winners? Canonized Catholic Saints? "Leaders?"
>
Nah, just working slob graduate engineer with a nocode ham ticket will
trump your "credentials" six ways from Sunday. Of course others took
it a lot further. Hell, even another bench tech with a nocode would

trump your non-credentials for that matter.
>
>Of course, of course, senior. My "ride" wasn't on a hobby
>merry-go-round like yours.   :-)
>
You and the neighborhood TV repairman. Which is why you really have no
clue about AMATEUR radio.
>
>I'd like to see some of Kelly's "qualifications" on proving
>suppression of harmonic content below FCC amateur radio
>limits. Any band. Engineers do that. Maybe Kelly has
>"loftier things to do" and should not be bothered by that?   :-)
>
If I gave a bleep in the first place, which I don't, I'd run it thru
Mathcad in a wink then sweep the prototype. Whatta no-brainer.
Which, as you know, is not my technical field at all but I can do it
anyway.
>

From 6750390778127894643
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-11 08:18:48 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Date: Fri, 11 May 2001 15:16:12 GMT
Message-ID: <3afba66d.407879442@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3afa5c89.323435522@news2.dvol.com>
<20010510150811.08242.00000356@nso-mf.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.43
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.43
X-Trace: 11 May 2001 10:12:17 -0500, 64.24.17.43
Lines: 86
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21112

On 10 May 2001 19:08:11 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>:-)   Kelly has become disturbed because his own ego is
>threatened?
>
It's YOU with the ego problem Lenny, I have nothing left to prove,
I've done it all, you know, the old theme about actions speaking
louder than words, etc. All you have is words and nothing more.

>
>The agenda (as of now) for WRC-03 has 38 separate articles
>for consideration by adminstrations. Of those, 9 articles
>affect amateur radio in whole or in part. WRC-03 is two
>years away...how is that a "limited timeframe?"
>
The timeframe is not from now until then Lenny, the critical timeframe
is how much time they have to dork around in meetings. they're
prioritizing their agenda items and S25.5 is coming up 'way down their
list of priorities Lenny. Based on WRC history some of the more
important agenda items which make the list will probably be tabled too
because they ran outta time to deal with those.
>
>If S25.5 is such a "weenie piece of trivia" then why is morse
>code skill demonstrated by testing such an important subject
>for amateur radio?
>
>I have no "battle against ham radio."
>
Whatta hypocritical crock!! Every one of your posts oozes anti-ham
radio venom Lenny. Are you aware of what you write or do you have some
clinical issues ib play?
>
>My desire is
>simply to have an old test element eliminated. Oddly, the
>FCC wants to eliminate it as well.
>
That's another total crock. Cite your source Lenny. The FCC could care
less about code tests now that they have gotten away from having to
deal with processing disability waivers. Whatever hassles over the
code tests still exist as far administration is concerned was
downloaded on the VEs eons ago.
>
>Elimination of the code test should not affect Kelly's license
>privileges. If Kelly keeps to a timely schedule of renewals,
>he will never have to take another radio test in his life. No
>worries at all about having to learn something new. :-)
>
Not the way ham radio works Lenny, another demonstration of your total
cluelessness. It's impossible to be an active ham and not continue
learning no matter the class of license or how long the ham has been
licensed.
>
>
>:-)   If so, then Kelly should forthwith contact his congressperson
>and have such a wasteful government agency eliminated.
>
I did not say the NTIA does not serve a useful purpose.
>
> However,
>the NTIA is a part of the voting US administration team at WRCs.
>
So are the takealong State Department interpreters. The NTIA rep has

the same clout.
>
>Kelly doesn't realize that S25.5 was not specifically an agenda
>item for any US WARC or WRC agenda list, minutes, or
>mention until this April. So far the only mention specifically
>on S25.5 is the NTIA's draft paper.
>
And you're clinging to that for dear life. Heh.
>
I'll tellya what the deal just might be on S25.5 Lenny: The FCC
certainly has no stake in 25.5 one way or the other. Except that if
25.5 gets purged they'll have more work to do and likely a bunch of
hassles from hams again too which they'd obviously much rather duck.
>
The ARRL/IARU knows full well that no matter which way 25.5 goes
they'll get pilloried by half their membership. Which is why the ARRL
board has already declined to take a stand. So they all cut a deal:
Don't put 25.5 on the agenda, no work for the FCC and all of 'em can
point the finger at the ongoing treaty provision, "our hands are
tied", ye olde punt & deflect maneuver.
>
Ask me how many meetings I've been in where this strategy was
implemented. Probably invented by somebody in the ancient Greek
Senate.

From -6435787075438127381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 12:42:51 PST
Message-ID: <3AFEE359.BD9D12FA@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 14:41:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
References: <20010513090439.27960.00003084@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010513134759.03759.00001212@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp 989782487 64.240.47.63 (13 May 2001 14:34:47 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21213

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Ed, you work for the ARRL. Everything you say here is going to be
> perceived as ARRL's philosophy, ...

Only by ignorant idiots. Who cares what ignorant idiots perceive?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8699899311831356195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dbd2716361e30b64
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 12:45:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AFEE3D2.408D8363@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 14:43:14 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: >> NO CODE = NO HAM. <<
References: <9dkuhl$p4$2@208.206.142.113> <20010513120504.03759.00001192@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.63
X-Trace: corp 989782614 64.240.47.63 (13 May 2001 14:36:54 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!

feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21214

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I've always thought it to be an overly simplistic and ineffective way
> of supporting code testing requirements, but, of late, it's kind of
> growing on me! I guess ham radio is being "dumbed down" in many
> different ways!

The clear implication being that the reason it is growing on
you is that you are being dumbed down.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3623872629993406289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 15:18:32 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 13 May 2001 22:18:30 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9dn17m$4kd$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AFEDEEC.A9B840A2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 989792310 4749 149.174.88.240 (13
May 2001 22:18:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 May 2001 22:18:30 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21215

>>How wrong you are, Dick. I believe that hams should be
>>extremely technical,
>>more technical than you are.

>Well, gag me with a whiskey sour! Cecil has 'changed his mind'
>again!!

>>Nope I haven't, Dick. You just have not been paying attention.
>>I believe that we should have minimum entrance requirements and
>>maximum expectations for those people who meet the minimum

```
>>entrance requirements.

Stop it, Cecil, Dick's been paying very close attention; you're
just in bullwhip mode again. You've said there's no longer a need
for hams to know anything technical anymore, and made a big point
of it...several times. Clearly, you're all over the place, not
knowing what side of the fence you're on.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG



From 5233615903556447674
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 15:24:04 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!cyclone.swbell.net!nnrp1.sbc.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "rdalton1" <rdalton1@postoffice.swbell.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AFCE088.790F4F67@gtel.net>
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY...
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <8QDL6.39$Zh5.4775@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 17:22:25 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.193.13.138
X-Complaints-To: abuse@swbell.net
X-Trace: nnrp1.sbc.net 989792644 207.193.13.138 (Sun, 13 May 2001 17:24:04 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 17:24:04 CDT
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21216

Let's see...I faithfully follow part 97(not part 95)Met the requirements to
become licensed as
a NOCODE,refurbished all three of my boatanchors,Whereas so many REAL HAMS
have to send theirs out for work.......YEP!! you are rightI'm just another
cb'er
"CWnotCB" <realopr@gtel.net> wrote in message
news:3AFCE088.790F4F67@gtel.net...
> What's a "Real Ham?" Real easy.  It's the opposite of a PHONY HAM.
>
> What a bunch of dumb CBers.
>
> NO CODE = NO HAM.  KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
>
>
```

From 1031357069603622652
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 16:53:23 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3AFF1052.1CB28F9F@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3AFE030F.F63DBA@earthlink.net> <20010513134709.28771.00001875@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 31
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 23:53:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.167.242
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989798002 63.30.167.242 (Sun, 13 May 2001 16:53:22 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 16:53:22 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 16:51:44 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21217

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 5/12/01 11:44 PM Central
>
> >Imagine the odds of
> >the fellows selecting option A) even if we added, "But Len Anderson is >a man
> who only wants the morse code testing requirements dropped".
>
>   Actually, Dave, I was still rolling on the floor over the "baggy pants and
> backwards ball cap rapping about being "dissed" thing!

The chart buster from that rappin' senior from the West Coast, L.H.
"Cool" A.:

I know I make myself a pest
It's time to zap that morse code test
I gotta right to petition the man

Why can't I make you understand
(boom cha boom)
Don't diss me man, I'm a engineer
I have a right to post in here
(boom cha boom)
I know it all and you're all wet
'cuz I researched it for hours right here on the net
A wanna be, that's what I am
I wanna be a radio ham
(boom cha boom)

Dave K8MN

```
From 7645353377897532282
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 18:21:47 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 14 May 2001 01:21:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9dnbvb$8a6$0@208.206.142.96>
References: <3AFCE102.4956C010@sccoast.net> <20010513091121.27960.00003085@ng-
da1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21218
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>
> >And I still see you doing the same thing today - lots of talk about
> >how "ham radio is going to hell in a handbasket," but very little when
> >it comes to answering questions from those who post to these
> >newsgroups - very little to help guide those new to ham radio.
>
> Helping you might undermine his self-fulfilling prophecy.

Why, Ed, we'd be very pleased to help him out...Which way did he come in???

From 3820400300616193277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 18:42:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Date: 14 May 2001 01:42:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9dnd5n$adc$0@208.206.142.96>
References: <20010513090439.27960.00003084@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010513134759.03759.00001212@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFEE359.BD9D12FA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21219

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Ed, you work for the ARRL. Everything you say here is going to be
> > perceived as ARRL's philosophy, ...
>
> Only by ignorant idiots. Who cares what ignorant idiots perceive?
>

So that really confirms it. Anytime Cecil lands that hard on *Anything*
that means he sees it as fact and a real threat to his Agenda.

From -8972935942662311510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 18:56:22 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 14 May 2001 01:56:21 GMT

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9dne05$f00$0@208.206.142.96>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21220

In article <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

> Amateur Radio always has had, and always will have, it's share of
>"appliance operators."

Ah, yes! And what, then, do you propose it should be renamed come the day, ans soon it will be, when virtually all hams are appliance operators?

Appliance Radio? Sounds much more accurate.

From 6719832397544683917
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 19:00:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 14 May 2001 02:00:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9dne8l$f00$1@208.206.142.96>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142> <3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org> <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com> <3AFD573C.575BDFD7@IEEE.org> <9dku6n$p4$0@208.206.142.113> <3AFEDAF5.96728B72@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21221
```

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >   Hey Cecil -- the grape juice flowing easy tonight? Want to try again
> > and chck the name on the header this time???
>
> Did God die and make you and Larry co-moderators of this newsgroup?
```

 I sure hope not, not that it wouldn't be in good hands. But- you were addressing me for remarks I diduhn't make.

```
From 1972817402310629812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 19:09:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 14 May 2001 02:09:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9dnenu$h4r$0@208.206.142.96>
References: <3AFEDEEC.A9B840A2@IEEE.org> <9dn17m$4kd$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21222
```

```
Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >>How wrong you are, Dick. I believe that hams should be
> >>extremely technical,
> >>more technical than you are.
>
> >Well, gag me with a whiskey sour! Cecil has 'changed his mind'
> >again!!
>
```

> >>Nope I haven't, Dick. You just have not been paying attention.
> >>I believe that we should have minimum entrance requirements and
> >>maximum expectations for those people who meet the minimum
> >>entrance requirements.
>
> Stop it, Cecil, Dick's been paying very close attention; you're
> just in bullwhip mode again. You've said there's no longer a need
> for hams to know anything technical anymore, and made a big point
> of it...several times. Clearly, you're all over the place, not
> knowing what side of the fence you're on.
>

Gotta cut ol' Cec a bit of slack.. his one track mind gets derailed
easily these days.

From 2355916872705724193
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 19:28:33 PST
Message-ID: <3AFF4276.BE8F872B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 21:27:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFEDEEC.A9B840A2@IEEE.org> <9dn17m$4kd$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 989806833 64.240.47.79 (13 May 2001 21:20:33 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21223

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> Stop it, Cecil, Dick's been paying very close attention; you're
> just in bullwhip mode again. You've said there's no longer a need

> for hams to know anything technical anymore, and made a big point
> of it...several times.

FOR THE ENTRANCE EXAM, VINCE, FOR THE ENTRANCE EXAM. After a person passes an elementary entrance exam, the potential for technical learning is unlimited. Why would you require children entering kindergarten to know as much as high-school graduates?

You and Dick are obviously still confused about what happens for testing and what happens after successful testing. The requirements are certainly different from what a ham should know after 20 years as a ham.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2503564737213596263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 19:40:15 PST
Message-ID: <3AFF4530.C9E3C597@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 13 May 2001 21:38:40 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
References: <20010513090439.27960.00003084@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010513134759.03759.00001212@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFEE359.BD9D12FA@IEEE.org>
<9dnd5n$adc$0@208.206.142.96>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 989807531 64.240.47.79 (13 May 2001 21:32:11 -0500)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
```

feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21224

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > Ed, you work for the ARRL. Everything you say here is going to be
> > > perceived as ARRL's philosophy, ...
> >
> > Only by ignorant idiots. Who cares what ignorant idiots perceive?
>
> So that really confirms it. Anytime Cecil lands that hard on *Anything*
> that means he sees it as fact and a real threat to his Agenda.

Dick, I am retired and I post on this newsgroup. Do you think that I
speak for all retired people? When I worked for Intel, do you think
I spoke for Intel on this newsgroup. You guys with your pro-code testing
agendas will do anything and everything to try to discredit anyone who
doesn't share your archaic views. What you don't realize is that while you
were arresting your development, the rest of the world grew up, made some
progress, and left you guys sitting in the sandbox of time sucking your
Morse code testing thumbs.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1008625413447335809
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 20:04:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 03:03:00 GMT
References: <20010513140004.28771.00001876@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010513230300.28252.00003618@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21225

In article <20010513140004.28771.00001876@ng-ce1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>
>   Still stinging a bit from your last go, Larry? Haven't been able to
>goad
>Kim or anyone else into coming out to play with you and now you are prodding
>me
>to an NG "game" of "tag"?
>
>   OK, "TAG"...you're it.
>

Steve:

No, I'm just responding to your childish personal attacks in the manner
they deserve. I don't goad Kim, Len, or anyone in this newsgroup. That
doesn't mean that I don't clean their clocks upon occasion, but I don't
"goad" them. I leave that up to you.

>   No 73 for you. You're trolling for a fight. Are you REALLY up to it?

That all depends, Steve. Just how dirty IS your clock?

73 de Larry, K3LT
Always a 73, no matter what!

From -6056052901005035452
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 20:04:06 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 03:03:00 GMT
References: <20010513091357.27960.00003086@ng-da1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010513230300.28252.00003617@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21226

In article <20010513091357.27960.00003086@ng-da1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Why are you so enamored with this particular governmental affirmative
>>action program when you are against most of the others?
>
>He feels he has something to gain by this particular affirmative action (a
>continued supply of Morse trained operators he can work on the air.

Ed:

Thank you. You finally admitted that one of my principle reasons for supporting the notion of progressive, multi-level code testing is that it does, in fact, produce a continuing supply of Morse-trained operators. The fact that I would get to work them on-the-air is, for myself, only a secondary benefit. The main benefit is that the Amateur Radio Service continues to have a significant number of hams available who can exploit the many benefits and advantages of the Morse/CW mode, for the good of the public who may, someday, be able to greatly benefit by the communications capability this skill will provide under severe conditions. You do agree that emergency communications is an important part of our service, don't you?

>He feels
>that someone else has something to gain by the affirmative action programs he
>opposes.

Well, that's pretty obvious, isn't it? Of course people will gain when they are able to receive jobs, government benefit, and any number of other unearned and undeserved social advantages, based solely on the fact that they happened to be born of a certain race, color, or sex? Affirmative Action programs are the ultimate form of discrimination. They permit discrimination against deserving, qualified people in favor of those who were born into a culture that has distrust, envy, and contempt for those who have worked hard to gain valid qualifications. Affirmative Action programs create a larger number of dependants who will not know anything other than servile beholding to the politicians who dole a meager existence out to them, in return for the political support that ensures their lengthy careers of power, prestige, and personal fortune. Yes, Ed, you're absolutely right.

BTW -- code testing requires effort, initiative, and motivation on the part of those taking the tests. Applying for welfare, or getting a job based on your secondary biological characteristics, takes no effort whatsoever. All that requires is a politician who is willing to wreck our society for the sake of staying in power a few more years.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6035815919291535868
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 20:08:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 03:08:26 GMT
References: <9dne05$f00$0@208.206.142.96>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010513230826.28252.00003620@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21227
```

In article <9dne05$f00$0@208.206.142.96>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

```
>
>
> Ah, yes! And what, then, do you propose it should be renamed come the
>day, ans soon it will be, when virtually all hams are appliance
>operators?
>
> Appliance Radio?  Sounds much more accurate.
```

Dick:

Hmmm. The Appliance Radio Relay League? Has sort of a ring to it!
And, it would save having to change all those ARRL logos!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -200838807670071703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 20:09:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 03:08:26 GMT
References: <9dnbvb$8a6$0@208.206.142.96>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010513230826.28252.00003619@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21228

In article <9dnbvb$8a6$0@208.206.142.96>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>
>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>>
>> >And I still see you doing the same thing today - lots of talk about
>> >how "ham radio is going to hell in a handbasket," but very little when
>> >it comes to answering questions from those who post to these
>> >newsgroups - very little to help guide those new to ham radio.
>>
>> Helping you might undermine his self-fulfilling prophecy.
>
>
>Why, Ed, we'd be very pleased to help him out...Which way did he come
>in???

Heh, heh -- if I help him "out," you can rest assured that the door
won't hit him in the ass!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5920153210340138156
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 20:11:26 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 14 May 2001 03:11:24 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9dnics$d7v$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AFF4276.BE8F872B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 989809884 13567 149.174.88.240 (14
May 2001 03:11:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 May 2001 03:11:24 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21229

You always do this to yourself, Cecil. Back and forth you go,
leaving no room to maneuver. A reprint of an earlier 'discussion'---

---

VB>If you were serious in the discussion, I wouldn't mind spending
VB>time with you. But in reality, Cecil, the reliability of your comments
VB>can't be taken seriously from week to week. Per your own admission.
VB>The basis of all of that is your no-code, no-theory bent, which I've
VB>brought up previously. Here's the basic summary of recent exchanges
VB>per your own inner war on that technical versus "emergency service"
VB>agenda:

CM>Seems to me, in this day and age, all an amateur radio operator
CM>needs to know is operations, rules and regulations, and safety.

VB>Again, Cecil, your comment is at odds with what you said earlier in
VB>the year:
CM>I would not disagree with you that it is not asking a ham too
CM>much to know BASIC electronics theory. I don't think anyone would
CM>disagree with you on this point.

VB>So, not so incredibly, you've basically disagreed with your own view.

CM>I changed my mind.

DC> Why is it that "modern radio technology" is paramount in the amateur
DC> radio service?

CM> Because knowledge of modern radio technology is the only thing that
CM> separates the ARS from CB.

DC>HAW HAW HAW HAW HAW HAW!!!!!!!!! Poor ol' Cecil is getting more and
DC>more senile with each passing day

Amen. Which road you coming down this week? Before you answer: who
you going to get to believe you?

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -8456510236201430835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 21:26:16 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)

Date: 14 May 2001 04:26:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <9dnmp7$c9a$0@208.206.142.96>
References: <20010513090439.27960.00003084@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010513134759.03759.00001212@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFEE359.BD9D12FA@IEEE.org>
<9dnd5n$adc$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFF4530.C9E3C597@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21230

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > > Ed, you work for the ARRL. Everything you say here is going to be
> > > > perceived as ARRL's philosophy, ...
> > >
> > > Only by ignorant idiots. Who cares what ignorant idiots perceive?
> >
> > So that really confirms it. Anytime Cecil lands that hard on *Anything*
> > that means he sees it as fact and a real threat to his Agenda.
>

You guys with your pro-code testing
> agendas will do anything and everything to try to discredit anyone who
> doesn't share your archaic views.

BS, and if you're awake you know it. Larry and I have both said that since the 5wpm max speed they might as well just cancel code testing for all the good it will ever be.

What you don't realize is that while you
> were arresting your development,

Oh, yeah, Right, I've consistantly arrested the development of the ARS, by spending long years as a VE and getting in on most every new mode that has came along. Don't you feel even a little guilt spewing that crap? Our position is simplke - ham radio operators should equip themselves to use the most basic radiocommunications mode, which also happens to be efficient, the most easily implemented and useful with simple equipment in stark conditions. That you and other "advanced

```
technoligists refuse and refute that simple fact says way more about you
all than you care to admit, thus your constant effort to impeach our
integrity.  You're a sad case.


 the rest of the world grew up, made some
> progress, and left you guys sitting in the sandbox of time sucking your
> Morse code testing thumbs.

Whatta joke.



From -2796332502309873593
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-13 21:35:52 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 101
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 04:34:54 GMT
References: <3afd8f53.2026885@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010514003454.27024.00001133@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21231

In article <3afd8f53.2026885@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>>>>You might want to check out the FCC Enforcement Letter, (ARRL website).
>Note
>>>>the distribution of enforcement actions against the various license
>classes,
>>>>and the nature of the offenses.
>>>
>>>Bad comparison as we know that the FCC went through a LONG
>>>period of almost NO enforcement.  The point I am making
>>>is that the garbage mouth activity within the ham bands,
>>>the unruly behavior by some, etc. has been around BEFORE
>>>the nocode tech began.  There is no apparent cause/effect
>>>that can be shown that suggests hams that haven't passed a
>>>code test are any more or less likly to be behavioral problems.
>>
>>Sorry, Bill, but you've just disproved your own claim. If the FCC hasn't
>been
>>doing enforcement for a long time, there's no way to tell what the effects
>of
```

>>the code test/nocodetest are.
>
>The evidence is certainly not quantitative, but more anecdoatal.
>Do you dispute that HF hams even before no-code test, or slow
>code as now, had sufficient "bad apples" amongs them that
>it was already being viewed as a problem within ham ranks?

Why do you single out HF hams, Bill?

What I'm saying is this:

1) "Bad behavior" on the ham bands is much more of a problem today than it was 10, 20, 30 years ago. There has been a general decline in the on-air standards of SOME amateurs.

2) The behavior problems are worst in the 'phone subbands

3) There are a number of possible explanations for this decline:

A - Lack of enforcement
B - Bad habits picked up in other services and carried over into ham radio
C - General change in mores of society as a whole
D - Changes in technology make such behaviors easier
E - Changes in licensing requirements and other regulations

And probably more I haven't thought of

4) [The big one] Without a lot more solid data, we can't balme the decline on any one reason, or even a combination of them.

>>>With the FCC
>>>comments in the R&O, I agree that once the treaty requirement
>>>goes, the USA requirement will also. There will, of course, be
>>>an RM and NPRM, but that will be formality.
>>>
>>Maybe not even that. Was there an RM and NPRM for the VE system or medical
>>waivers? FCC could cite their R&O comments of 1990 and 1999 and say "we have
>>twice said that the code test does not indicate anything other than code
>>ability. We have also said that the only reason it has been retained is the
>>treaty requirement. With the treaty requirement eliminated, there is no
>>regulatory reason to keep the code test any longer". No NPRM, RM, R&O or
>>anything, since they have already laid the groundwork.
>
>I don't know if an RM is necessary (the RMs are, as I recall)
>input from outside the FCC requesting change. The FCC could,
>based solely on the treaty change, go immediately to an
>NPRM.

Sure - but they may not even do that. I don't think they are required to do so in a case like this, because they've already made it abundantly clear to any casual observer that the ONLY reason they kept the code test was because of S25.5. They said it "serves no regulatory purpose" other than S25.5. "Serves no regulatory purpose" from a government agency is the kiss of death for a

regulation so described..
>
>>Not saying I want that to happen, but I won't be surprised if it does.
>
>I believe some degree of public input/process would still
>be warranted.

Me, too, but I remain unconvinced that the FCC would be required to do so, given the amount of time and public input/process already expended by the FCC. FCC has already made its position crystal clear. Something like 57% of the comments to the restructuring NPRM favored continued code testing and at least 2 code test speeds, yet the FCC found NONE of their arguments in favor of code testing to be convincing - and they said so, and acted accordingly. You think they will suddenly find some reason to keep 5 wpm after S25.5 goes? I don't. Unless some radical new idea is proposed, code testing is a dead duck in the USA without S25.5. And probably in the rest of the world.

FCC has warned us at least twice. Do they really need an NPRM?

> Perhaps Phil K, our resident, former FCC barrister,
>could shed more light on the process.
>
Phil ALWAYS sheds light on the subject..

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -8394383367710571954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 02:06:45 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 09:06:13 GMT
References: <9dn17m$4kd$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010514050613.25187.00000220@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21232
```

>From: Vincent Biancomano 103520.355@CompuServe.COM

>You've said there's no longer a need
>for hams to know anything technical anymore, and made a big point
>of it...several times. Clearly, you're all over the place, not

>knowing what side of the fence you're on.

The message I got from Cecil's post is that there is no need for hams to know anything technical to enter ham radio, but that it is a good thing that they do so. He also expects that many will go on to learn technical things about ham radio as they actually participate in it. Speaking for myself, I have learned far more from my activities in ham radio than I learned taking any of the tests.

A good analogy can be found in those who drive automobiles. There is no need for auto drivers to know anything technical about their cars, but it is a good thing that they do.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8729758079215684662
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 02:18:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 72
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 09:18:06 GMT
References: <20010513134759.03759.00001212@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Message-ID: <20010514051806.25187.00000222@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21233

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>There you have it, folks -- the ARRL-endorsed definition of a "Real Ham."

That is the Ed Hare-endorsed definition, Larry.

>In the view of the ARRL,

In the view of Ed Hare,

> A Real Ham (ARRL version)

A Real Ham (Ed Hare version)

>Ed, you work for the ARRL. Everything you say here is going to be
>perceived as ARRL's philosophy,

Saying so seems to fit your agenda, Larry. Are you doing it in a hope that I will stop posting?

> because in these times, no organization would permit one of it's employees to access such a public forum without being able to invoke meaningful influence over the content of what that employee said there.

Tell you what; let's put it to the test. Send an email to Dave or Mark and ask them if they feel they have any legal right to censor my personal views on Amateur Radio. If they say anything other than as long as I do not use my position at ARRL to undermine ARRL policy, they cannot, and will not, censor my right of free speech. If they say that they do, I will buy you a lobster dinner; if not, you owe me another.

> And, as was drilled into my brain over and over during my military career and beyond, "Perception is Reality."

I have seen many a perception here that I think has very little to do with reality, Larry. That cliche is virtually without meaning unless we can agree that it applies only to yourself.

> The only logical conclusion one can draw from the perceptions gained here is that the ARRL no longer sees a radio amateur as anything beyond someone with a piece of paper in his possession.

The only logical conclusion you can draw is that Ed Hare no longer sees...

> All they (the ARRL) expect are larger numbers of people to send in their dues.

All he (Ed Hare) expects...

> Is this really true, Ed? If this perception is wrong, then why did you give it?

I gave my perceptions, Larry; you have seen them in your own unique way. Not surprisingly, it is a way that fits your agenda to a T. :-)

> Ed, I was a member of the ARRL before I became a ham, I will always be an ARRL member as long as I am a ham, and I will always encourage my fellow hams to become ARRL members.

What, you aren't interchanging Ed Hare and ARRL in this statement? Odd, I was expecting you to say that you were a member of Ed Hare before you were a ham. You obviously cannot separate personal opinion from organizational objectives, so I wouldn't really know what to expect.

Larry, if you expect folks to believe that ARRL would choose me as its policy spokesperson and tell me to go on rra.policy and spread the word, you are really losing touch with "perception." :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 2466115463643244907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 02:19:42 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 09:19:31 GMT
References: <3AFF4530.C9E3C597@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Message-ID: <20010514051931.25187.00000223@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21234
```

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>You guys with your pro-code testing
>agendas will do anything and everything to try to discredit anyone who
>doesn't share your archaic views.

I think they keep bringing ARRL into my posts because they hope I will stop posting. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -6728113359042268592
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 02:21:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 09:20:55 GMT
References: <9dnmp7$c9a$0@208.206.142.96>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

```
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Message-ID: <20010514052055.25187.00000225@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21235

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Oh, yeah, Right, I've consistantly arrested the development of the ARS,
>by spending long years as a VE and getting in on most every new mode
>that has came along.

That helped Amateur Radio immensely.

Can you say the same for your getting on rra.policy and telling people to go
soak their heads?

> Don't you feel even a little guilt spewing that crap?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From -7570230930030329707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 02:23:42 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 09:22:42 GMT
References: <20010513230300.28252.00003618@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010514052242.25187.00000226@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21236

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I don't goad Kim, Len, or anyone in this newsgroup.

:-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 1148431077136754720
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 02:27:42 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 09:27:13 GMT
References: <20010513230300.28252.00003617@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010514052713.25187.00000227@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21237

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>You do agree that emergency communications is an
>important part of our service, don't you?

Sure I do. I just disagree with you that most emergency communications is carried out using Morse code.

If the goal truly is emergency communications effectiveness, it would be more effective to mandate that all hams maintain an operating station and that all hams participate "N" hours a month in emergency communications practice activity.

If the goal is for you to have Morse code stations to QSO, then ...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2136551286826101198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 02:31:42 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 09:30:55 GMT
References: <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

```
Message-ID: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21238
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>"Elmering" is not what I do in this newsgroup. Here, I bash the
>no-coders.

For personal satisfaction, no doubt.

Larry, I, not speaking for ARRL, think it a bit strange that you would act so differenly in two different social situations that involve the same set of people.

Do you really wake up in the AM and say, "I think I will go on rra.policy and bash people; that seems like the right thing to do?"

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 9171619150033438213
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 02:47:50 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
newsfeed.infoave.net.MISMATCH!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 05:48:26 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3AFFA9DE.7AE57846@sccoast.net>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu>
<3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org> <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net>
<3afd57db.42747950@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-34.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989833669 54133 207.144.64.164 (14 May 2001 09:47:49
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 09:47:49 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21239
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> And just when Sire was the last "revolution"
> in ham radio?? Ham radio has been slowly
> evolving ever since Marconi did his stunt
> over a hundred years ago.

Brian, in my opinion, evolution suggests a slow change without significant change at any point. There have been, however, many occasions where ham radio did not follow that slow process. And it is those significant changes that I'm talking about.

> None of it has had anything to do with the
> policies, practices or license tests given
> by the series of spectrum regulators who
> have issued U.S. ham licenses over the years.

Well, you're certainly free to have that opinion. However, mine is different (as stated).

> And sure as hell there has never been any
> established relationship between the rate of
> evolution of ham radio and code tests as the
> advent of the nocde license eleven years ago
> has clearly demonstrated again.

Well, I certainly have not done studies, if that is what you mean by "established relationship." However, neither have you so you're opinion is no more "established" than mine.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 2544340679365497147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 03:53:31 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 06:54:01 -0400

Organization: -
Lines: 157
Message-ID: <3AFFB931.B884319B@sccoast.net>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-34.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989837610 58707 207.144.64.164 (14 May 2001 10:53:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 10:53:30 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21240

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Your right -- years ago, it was. It
> isn't anymore, but for reasons that
> have absolutely nothing to do with
> code testing. Ham radio is no longer
> a training ground for professional
> electronics engineers and communicators
> because there is little left for us
> hams in the way of true experimentation,
> design of practical, usable equipment,
> and the homebrewing of same UNLESS we,
> as hams, also have the kind of
> professional electronics knowledge and
> experience that goes way beyond what is
> called for in a "hobby" service such as
> ours.

Gee, I think that is what I said, Larry, when I said that we need to attract more of that type of person into this radio service.

> [snip] Code testing doesn't now
> (particularly at 5 WPM max.) and never
> DID have anything to do whatsoever with
> it's attractiveness to truly interested
> individuals.

How do you know that, Larry? I think the problem is a lack of agreement on what we're talking about. You seem to be focused on the HF operator - the person who mainly sits on the HF bands and uses the radio solely for communications (using whatever mode). I'm trying to point to the person who views ham radio as a means for experimentation

- the person who is more interested in the technology side (electronics, etc) than the communications side. It is that type of person who is going to be turned off by CW (for the reasons I stated in the previous message).

> All it did was discourage a few lazy
> whiners who are so fearful of possible
> failure that they never got over the
> requirement to learn and be tested for
> this practical skill.

Practical for what, Larry? I'm sorry, but I simply can't agree with you. CW is fun. CW is entertaining. CW is fine as a hobby. However, it has lost a lot of ground as a "practical" communications mode, if any ground is left at all.

> Yeah. What it has evolved into is a
> mainly consumer-oriented activity
> consisting of appliance operators who
> are more concerned with "keeping up
> with the Jones'" than learning any useful,
> practical communications skills like
> Morse/CW.

So what are we going to do about it, Larry? I'm sure you get great pleasure from whining about your precious CW, but how is that going to change anything? The shift toward the consumer-oriented appliance operator radio service started decades ago (as early as the 50's). In fact, I'm fairly certain your radio was purchased instead of made by you. So, what are we going to do to attract new, more technically inclined, people into this radio service? In my opinion, a good place to start is with Morse code - a required mode that is way past it's prime.

> What is keeping people with those skills
> and vision out?

We've already discussed that, Larry. These people have no interest in code.

> Code testing has never been a problem for
> all of the truly talented and technically
> proficient hams I've met since becoming a
> ham.

And how many more of that type would you meet if the code testing requirement was eliminated?

> If it's a problem for a certain few, well,
> there is no Constitutionally- guaranteed
> right to be a licensed ham, and there
> shouldn't be!

You're missing the point, Larry. It is not a matter of rights, or even what benefits them. The issue is what is good, what is right, for this radio service - what benefits this radio service.

> Dwight, I really wish I could visit your
> area of the country for an extended period
> of time to see the same things you're
> seeing. Since the advent of the Code-Free
> Technician class license, I've been a
> ham in Colorado Springs, Colorado, and Dover,
> Delaware. What I've mainly seen as new hams
> entering the service are ex-CB'ers looking
> for a more powerful and less chaotic
> alternative means of doing some good 'ole-
> fashioned PTT-button mashing.

And all I have to look at is my living room, Larry. Both my wife, and myself, entered ham radio after the code requirement was dropped. She is mainly interested in math and science, so radio is a hobby for her. However, I have a long-term interest in electronics and communications, so ham radio is very interesting to me. Neither of us would have gotten into ham radio if that code requirement was still in place. And I know for a fact that there are others out there with similar interests that view code as a barrier (less of a barrier with the tech license, but still a barrier if their interest goes beyond what VHF/UHF has to offer).

> Are you saying that eliminating the 5 WPM
> code testing requirement from HF licensure
> will put an end to "appliance operator"
> syndrome?

No, if you would have read the rest of that paragraph (instead of chopping out a single sentence), you would have seen that I was saying that we need more skilled people to balance out a radio service too filled with "appliance operators."

> [snip] And the sooner we kill it off for
> good, the sooner we will be relieved of

> the whining from people like you who view
> this requirement with terminal pusillanimity.

Larry, this is the first discussion about morse code I've joined in
almost a year (if not longer). If you call that whining, it is
nothing like your endless whining in defense of your precious CW.
You've become absolutely obsessed with the subject. In my opinion,
you need to get out of the house more often. If you did, you might
realize that the world does not communication in Morse code - there
are lots of ways to communicate and code is way down on that list.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -1484589811166836158
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 03:56:46 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 10:55:36 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 146
Message-ID: <3affb5f8.590583@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3afd8f53.2026885@news.mindspring.com>
<20010514003454.27024.00001133@nso-ma.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4b.a1
X-Server-Date: 14 May 2001 10:56:00 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21241

On 14 May 2001 04:34:54 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3afd8f53.2026885@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>>>>You might want to check out the FCC Enforcement Letter, (ARRL website).
>>Note
>>>>>the distribution of enforcement actions against the various license
>>classes,
>>>>>and the nature of the offenses.
>>>>
>>>>Bad comparison as we know that the FCC went through a LONG

>>>>period of almost NO enforcement. The point I am making
>>>>is that the garbage mouth activity within the ham bands,
>>>>the unruly behavior by some, etc. has been around BEFORE
>>>>the nocode tech began. There is no apparent cause/effect
>>>>that can be shown that suggests hams that haven't passed a
>>>>code test are any more or less likly to be behavioral problems.
>>>
>>>Sorry, Bill, but you've just disproved your own claim. If the FCC hasn't
>>been
>>>doing enforcement for a long time, there's no way to tell what the effects
>>of
>>>the code test/nocodetest are.
>>
>>The evidence is certainly not quantitative, but more anecdoatal.
>>Do you dispute that HF hams even before no-code test, or slow
>>code as now, had sufficient "bad apples" amongs them that
>>it was already being viewed as a problem within ham ranks?
>
>Why do you single out HF hams, Bill?

I don't except as to there be no more/less liklihood
of bad apples because of taking a code test vs not
taking a code test. Of that, the FCC has clearly stated
their opinion. Others seem to try to attach some
behavioral problems to the advent of nocode testing.

>What I'm saying is this:
>
>1) "Bad behavior" on the ham bands is much more of a problem today than it was
>10, 20, 30 years ago. There has been a general decline in the on-air standards
>of SOME amateurs.

The bad behavior today is no more/less than 10/20 years ago.
Go back 30+ and you have a more gentil ham population.
The behavioral problems have more to do with a change
in the general population, including hams, rather than anything
at all related to code testing.

>2) The behavior problems are worst in the 'phone subbands

Because that is where the "voice" can be freely
used. I wouldn't be surprised to learn that many
of those bad apples fully hope and expect that others
are listening that aren't part of the "QSO." I doubt
many people ever expect anyone to be routinely "just
listening" to CW contacts which takes away the "broadcast"
mindset if someone thought they'd key out a string
of obsenities in CW.

>3) There are a number of possible explanations for this decline:

Agreed.

>A - Lack of enforcement
>B - Bad habits picked up in other services and carried over into ham radio
>C - General change in mores of society as a whole

Agree completely!

>D - Changes in technology make such behaviors easier

??? - What about using voice modes has technology
changed over the year to make such behavior easier?

>E - Changes in licensing requirements and other regulations

What is the cause/effect?

>4) [The big one] Without a lot more solid data, we can't balme the decline on
>any one reason, or even a combination of them.

I agree...and I'd certainly say it has ZERO to
do with anyone having passed/not passed any
code test. That is my ONLY point.

>>>>With the FCC
>>>>comments in the R&O, I agree that once the treaty requirement
>>>>goes, the USA requirement will also. There will, of course, be
>>>>an RM and NPRM, but that will be formality.
>>>>
>>>Maybe not even that. Was there an RM and NPRM for the VE system or medical
>>>waivers? FCC could cite their R&O comments of 1990 and 1999 and say "we have
>>>twice said that the code test does not indicate anything other than code
>>>ability. We have also said that the only reason it has been retained is the
>>>treaty requirement. With the treaty requirement eliminated, there is no
>>>regulatory reason to keep the code test any longer". No NPRM, RM, R&O or
>>>anything, since they have already laid the groundwork.
>>
>>I don't know if an RM is necessary (the RMs are, as I recall)
>>input from outside the FCC requesting change. The FCC could,
>>based solely on the treaty change, go immediately to an
>>NPRM.
>
>Sure - but they may not even do that. I don't think they are required to do so
>in a case like this, because they've already made it abundantly clear to any
>casual observer that the ONLY reason they kept the code test was because of
>S25.5. They said it "serves no regulatory purpose" other than S25.5. "Serves no
>regulatory purpose" from a government agency is the kiss of death for a
>regulation so described..

We'll know for sure in 2 years or so.

>>>Not saying I want that to happen, but I won't be surprised if it does.
>>
>>I believe some degree of public input/process would still
>>be warranted.

```
>
>Me, too, but I remain unconvinced that the FCC would be required to do so,
>given the amount of time and public input/process already expended by the FCC.
>FCC has already made its position crystal clear. Something like 57% of the
>comments to the restructuring NPRM favored continued code testing and at least
>2 code test speeds, yet the FCC found NONE of their arguments in favor of code
>testing to be convincing - and they said so, and acted accordingly.

That is because the requirements are not a "popular
vote" issue.  The FCC needs compelling and rational reasons
to sustain code testing.  They didn't get any in the comments.

>You think
>they will suddenly find some reason to keep 5 wpm after S25.5 goes? I don't.
>Unless some radical new idea is proposed, code testing is a dead duck in the
>USA without S25.5. And probably in the rest of the world.
>
>FCC has warned us at least twice. Do they really need an NPRM?
>
>> Perhaps Phil K, our resident, former FCC barrister,
>>could shed more light on the process.
>>
>Phil ALWAYS sheds light on the subject..
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>



From -1398329958665476924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 04:03:55 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-
mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 07:04:35 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3AFFBBAA.8A1350A@sccoast.net>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-
ch.aol.com> <9dne05$f00$0@208.206.142.96>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-34.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989838233 58008 207.144.64.164 (14 May 2001 11:03:53
```

GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 11:03:53 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21242

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Ah, yes! And what, then, do you propose it
> should be renamed come the day, ans soon it
> will be, when virtually all hams are appliance
> operators?

Dick, I think we passed that stage about twenty-five years ago. I
see very few ham operators, in any license class, running home-built
radio equipment today. How many Extras do you know of that are not
using Yaesu, Kenwood, Icom, or some other commercial brand radio?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -7035581135184878770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 04:12:42 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 11:11:55 GMT
References: <3affb5f8.590583@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010514071155.28961.00003617@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21243

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>The bad behavior today is no more/less than 10/20 years ago.
>Go back 30+ and you have a more gentil ham population.

Go back 30 years and you had some FCC enforcement. That tapered way down about
10 years ago, and has only recently come back into visibility. The bad
behavior on the bands tracks FCC enforcement nicely.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5596370225538345748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 04:14:34 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 07:15:16 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3AFFBE25.CEF26FC7@sccoast.net>
References: <3AFCE102.4956C010@sccoast.net> <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-34.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989838873 59211 207.144.64.164 (14 May 2001 11:14:33 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 11:14:33 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21244

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> "Elmering" is not what I do in this
> newsgroup. Here, I bash the no-coders.
> I don't do the things here that I do
> on the air as a radio amateur, or in
> person with fellow radio amateurs. If
> you haven't figured that out after over
> a decade, I don't think I can be of much
> further help to you.

Well, I'll let you get back to your bashing. I don't have the time, or the interest, to get into a long debate about code, so I'll it drop with what I've already said.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 2317215843500028272
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 04:19:47 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 11:19:20 GMT
References: <3AFFB931.B884319B@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010514071920.28961.00003618@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21245

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Ham radio is no longer a training ground for professional electronics engineers
> and communicators because there is little left for us hams in the way of true
> experimentation, design of practical, usable equipment, and the homebrewing
> of same UNLESS we, as hams, also have the kind of professional electronics
> knowledge and experience that goes way beyond what is called for in a
> "hobby" service such as ours.

I don't see that the hams of my era, the mid 60s, could have done any real pioneering unless they, too, had knowledge and experience that went way beyond what was required for licensing. In no recent time has there been more than a few hams doing such true experimentation.

In reality though (as opposed to perception...), I don't believe what you postulate is true. There are more kit manufacturers now than there were in the 1960s. This leads one to the logical conclusion that there are more people building and expermenting, not less. Building is easier, not harder, so more people are doing it.

The bottom line, though, is that ham radio has not been a training ground for engineers for decades, if ever. It provides a valuable addition to the pursuit of an engineering career and degree, offering significant practical experience that is not found in an academic course, but any idea that a ham radio license, of any era, is equivalent to an engineering degree is preposterous.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8059037811908981942
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 05:34:48 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 12:34:28 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gcx5uj0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010514083428.03281.00000380@nso-de.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21246

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gcx5uj0.pminews@or.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

> What is the relationship between a megaphone and a microphone?
>

One megaphone = 10^12 microphones (that's 1,000,000,000,000)

Same as a the relationship between a phone and a picophone.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 7774810479346508080
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 05:34:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 282
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 12:34:28 GMT
References: <20010509225738.20174.00000289@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......

Message-ID: <20010514083428.03281.00000379@nso-de.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21247

In article <20010509225738.20174.00000289@nso-mr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010509203501.18977.00000995@nso-fa.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>   [large snip from a "correction" posting, another "endless story" type]

Translation: Len Anderson doesn't want to admit to his mistakes, which I have documented in a previous post. He's back in denial.
>
>>>>They used Morse code for the 2-way QSO, too. Is that the problem?
>>>
>>>Why does N2EY ask?   :-)   :-)   :-)
>>
>>Because you seem to denigrate anything hams do. You compare self-funded
>>spare-time experimenters to taxpayer-funded professionals and have nothing
>>but contempt for the former and praise for the latter.
>
>Really? :-)

Yes, really.

> I was commenting on the 1946 Project Diana
>experiment as the first demonstration of the capability of
>the moon as a radio wave reflector.

Why? What significance does that have to do with the QRP EME work that was being discussed?
>
>N2EY wishes to have fame of amateurs noted above such
>experiments because those experiments were done by
>"tax-payer funded professionals." [hack, spit, ptui on pros]

Where do you get such ideas, Len? I'm one of those "professionals".

This is an amateur radio newsgroup, so amateur radio activities are the main focus.

What I object to is unfair comparison between the spare-time self-funded activities of amateurs and the taxpayer- or profit-funded activities of military, government and commercial groups.
>
>N2EY bristles with righteous anger at anyone not of the
>amateur persuasion disturbing the self-delusions of radio
>pioneering.

"Bristles with anger"? Hardly. I simply pointed out your mistakes, Len.

> No problem. There's been precious little
>pioneering in radio by radio amateurs since WW2.

By your standards, hams don;t do anything worthwhile.

>Only
>the ones I named in a previous post...and those might
>be arguable.

Nonsense, Len. You are in denial bigtime.

>"Pioneering" is one of the chief articles of
>faith in an organization's publications, but the pioneering
>actually done was over a half century in the past.

Who was talking about "pioneering"? You seem to think that only the first try at something counts. That's simply not true.

> [Project
>Diana was done 55 years ago]
>
You keep repeating that, for some reason.

>Why the antipathy demonstrated against professionals?
>In here. Elsewhere.

There is no antipathy towards professionals, Len. I'm one of them. So are many of those who post here.

Why?

Why should anyone answer your questions when you don't answer others' questions?
>
>Perhaps it is because all of radio is interesting as a hobby
>to those with a technical bent.

That's pure bull, Len. You're telling others what they must like. Who made you god?

>Amateur radio is a hobby.

A hobby and a lot more. Fact.

>Some like to immerse themselves so deeply that amateur
>activities become a lifestyle. That is okay with me, but why
>the constant anger and resentment against professionals
>[of the "military-industrial complex" greedily consuming
>hard-earned tax dollars of ordinary Americans?] for not
>wanting to share some obsessive-compulsive complex?

I don't know what you are talking about. You seem to think that the term "military industrial complex" is a putdown. It was coined by Dwight D.

Eisenhower, who needs no introduction.
>
>It certainly seems so in here.

To you, any comment on your mistakes is an unacceptable "attack", but you reserve the right to attack anyone who posts here. Double standard.

>On one side are the stuffy
>ultra-conservatives extremely defensive in the preservation
>of the hobby started in their youth, holding to the status
>quo with all their might, fighting all usurpers, all the heretics
>threatening their belief systems.

Nonsense. Who are you talking about?

> On the other side are those
>who want some freedom, lesser restrictions than the
>"official" viewpoints, even rebels who don't hesitate to stomp
>on old, outmoded ways.

There is no radio service with more legitimate freedom than the amateur radio service. What you want is anarchy.

>This other side views amateur radio
>as just a hobby.

Those who take such a position are simply mistaken.

>The first side seems to think that it is more
>than a hobby, it is a lifestyle that must be followed at all
>costs, holding rigidly to tradition and belief.

More nonsense.

>The first side
>wants to lock in all possible hobby activities in unchangeable
>law.

Where do you get such ideas, Len?

> The second side thinks of it as just a hobby done for
>relaxation...with as much freedom as possible.

Define "freedom" in this context.
>
>I am not going to argue endless fly-speck details of Project
>Diana. Yes, I did mistype the website...and corrected it.

You mucked up a lot more than that, Len. You often make mistakes, then deny them over and over when corrected.

>Is it important that the Diana antenna have such-and-so
>many dipoles?

Yes - because the antenna size doubled. You claimed the antenna was "leftover" and "scrap", but in reality a lot of resources were invested in upgrading it to do the job. And the project did not succeed until the upgrading was done.

The antenna work was a big deal.

>Apparently to members of the first side who
>must have exactness in every sentence.

Then why do you make a big deal about the fact that the 5U4GB is a rectifier?

> I'm of the second
>side who simply thought it was a fine pioneering thing done
>by anyone and had actually seen the Diana antenna and
>tower from a Fort Monmouth bus in 1952.

I see. Then why all the resistance and denial when your mistakes are pointed out? Was Diana a radar system or not?

>No biggie at the
>time but the Diana project was definitely the first true
>demonstration of using the moon as a radio reflector.

For radar - not communication.

I think I understand. If one reads the history, it might, just might, turn out that the first true use of the moon for 2-way moonreflected communication was by hams. That would be unacceptable to Len Anderson.
>
>Someone had to be first.

Why all the fixation on who was "first"? The early bird gets the worm but the second mouse gets the cheese.

>In this case it was the greedy,
>"military-industrial complex" personnel actually paid (!)
>for their efforts...as if that were some major pejorative on
>anyone trying out an experiment or actually pioneering.

Why do you take offense to the facts? Where did anyone say what was done in Project Diana was bad? You use words like "greedy" - not me.
>
>Some time ago elsewhere I made a casual mention of
>the US Army Signal Corps "starting US military aviation,"
>more as a bit of gentle ribbing at some stalwart ex-USAF
>types. The amount of flak received was remarkable!
>"Couldn't have been true!" and "inconsistent with known
>reality" were the more gentle responses. :-)

Those were other people, not me. You want to lump all of those who disagree with you into one group that are all identical. That's called "bigotry", Len.

>Just the
>same, the first heavier-than-air aircraft contract and
>delivery in the US military was let by and received by the
>US Army Signal Corps.

Yup. They contracted with the Wright Brothers, as I recall.

>This seems to be the environment here. The "first siders"
>(the traditionalists, steeped in legend and glory tales)
>busy with fly-speck corrections, admonitions, and charges
>of "unprofessional errors" and "mistakes" that invalidate
>any viewpoint contrary to the first siders. :-)

Translation: Len Anderson wants his pearls of wisdom accepted without challenge, but wants to attack all who make the slightest mistake. When HE messes up, it's a "fly-speck", but when others do, they are fair game - for him.
>
>>I thought you knew everything about radio, Len. Guess I was mistaken.
>
>Oh, oh, a snarly comment! Shame!   :-)

But it's true.
>
>Never licensed in telepathy, I cannot know what N2EY is thinking.

Yet you constantly claim to know others' motivations and desires.

>I have some capability in radio design, operation, testing, and
>theory..

You do? Not that I've seen demonstrated.

>and am not afraid of destroying some cherished belief
>set by looking elsewhere for more data, especially in regards to
>radio regulations.

Haven't seen you do that, either.

>I know that is a "crime" according to some,
>very much so in regards to amateur radio and its devotees of
>the "first side."

No, you just keep on making mistakes, Len, and then get all worked up because some people won't accept your errors. Instead, those awful "fly specks" dare to point out your errors and challenge your mistakes. Horrors!
>
>FB on the fly-specks, N2EY, my fly-swatter is tired. Let me
>clean it and have the big bat ready for next time. :-)

I see. Threaten violence on those who dare question the all-knowing Len Anderson, Monmouth bus-rider.

Simple question, Len:

If a current Technician licensee passes Element 1 (5 wpm code) and gets a CSCE
for doing so, but does not pass any other tests or upgrade, does that
Technician licensee get any HF amateur radio privileges, or not?

N2EY
>
>Len Anderson
>Retired member of the evil, greedy "military-industrial complex"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 2268886724666354210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 05:37:31 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 08:36:39 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <3AFFD156.F8E67B11@psu.edu>
References: <3AFB2919.12D2B872@uci.edu> <20010511073052.02763.00002801@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3AFC36E2.2BC53308@psu.edu>
<3AFCB9BA.279536CB@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21248

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> mike coslo wrote:

> >
> > [snip] When we get a license above the
> > technician level, we are granted privileges
> > which allow us to do many things, including
> > building our own equipment. [snip]
>
>   Mike, the Technician is also "granted privileges" to build
> equipment, without any more restrictions than the other license
> classes. Ohms law is included in the Technician license exam exactly
> for those who want to build their own equipment.

At a really basic level. The point is that Ohms law is a helpful thing for amateurs, in pursuit of their hobby regardless of level.

> > For this, some experience with Ohms law
> > (among many other [snip]
>
>   With my first paragraph in mind, the rest of your message (about
> those with higher license classes having a greater need for Ohm's law
> to build their own equipment) is not valid.

The rest of my message deals with what should or shouldn't be required, testing wise, for amateur radio licenses. I think you are trying to steer things in another direction here. Some amateurs might homebrew equipment, so it should be a requirement. Otherwise we need lots of levels of tests. Let's say I'm not into EME or packet radio. Should I not have to answer any questions about those subjects? Besides, in this hobby, how do we know what we are going to be into next year.

>   In addition, you should note that those who promote the "appliance
> operator" myth today never offer proof that ham operators in the same
> license class twenty or thirty years ago built their own equipment at
> a rate higher than today's operators.

Dunno what they did. I was just a kid at that time. Don't even know how they could get this proof. Don't know how relevent that is to the discussion, either, because numbers of practicioners is not the basis of whether or not the questions should be included on the tests.

From 5489755401822733012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 06:20:08 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!pln-e!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 14 May 2001 12:17:25 GMT

Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9doicl07rb@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3AFCE102.4956C010@sccoast.net> <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-816.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21249

In article <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...

>Dwight:
>
>"Elmering" is not what I do in this newsgroup. Here, I bash the
>no-coders. I don't do the things here that I do on the air as a radio
>amateur, or in person with fellow radio amateurs. If you haven't
>figured that out after over a decade, I don't think I can be of much
>further help to you.
>

Then I guess that makes you a fake.

From -3649315584547261320
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 07:01:42 PST
Message-ID: <3AFFE4E1.3AF010B4@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 09:00:01 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFF4276.BE8F872B@IEEE.org> <9dnics$d7v$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp 989848414 64.240.47.72 (14 May 2001 08:53:34 -0500)
Lines: 47
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21250

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> You always do this to yourself, Cecil. Back and forth you go,
> leaving no room to maneuver. A reprint of an earlier 'discussion'---

Without posting a date, your quotes are meaningless. I changed my mind around a certain date. I was consistent before that date and I have been consistent after that date. Quoting postings out of context is an immoral thing to do.

Of course, since you never change your concrete mind about anything, you are bound to have the same opinion of research physicists as you do of me. Do you still believe in a flat earth?

Adding the pre-existing contexts to my postings:

Seems to me, in this day and age, all an amateur radio operator needs to know (for the purpose of meeting entry level requirements) is operations, rules and regulations, and safety.

I would not disagree with you that it is not asking a ham too much to know BASIC electronics theory (after he has been a ham for a certain length of time).

I can prove that you said anything in the world by quoting your postings out of context but I have too much integrity to do so. Too bad about you.

To summarize my present position: At the entry level, a ham needs to know only enough to get by without causing grief to himself or others. That would include knowledge of operations, rules, regulations, and safety. This was a change from my previous position.

After a person has been an active ham for a time, he should have self-taught himself everything a mature ham radio operator needs to know. This would certainly include basic electronics theory.

In short, have a set of minimum requirements to get into the school of ham radio and learn something while you are in the life-long school of ham radio. My only position change has been in the nature of the entry level requirements.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2032778868609497612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 07:12:35 PST
Message-ID: <3AFFE781.728BF375@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 09:11:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9dn17m$4kd$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<20010514050613.25187.00000220@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp 989849085 64.240.47.72 (14 May 2001 09:04:45 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21251

W1RFI wrote:

> The message I got from Cecil's post is that there is no need for hams to know anything technical to enter ham radio, but that it is a good thing that they do so. He also expects that many will go on to learn technical things about ham radio as they actually participate in it. Speaking for myself, I have learned far more from my activities in ham radio than I learned taking any of the tests.

Yep, I learned virtually everything I know about ham radio after I got my ham radio license. It was a good way to go. What Vince is attempting to do is something he obviously learned from Clinton. Take postings out of context and out of chronological order and try to make someone look inconsistent.

I once believed that all hams should have equivalent knowledge to a EE after his sophomore year. When I thought back in time and considered my own experience, I changed my mind. Now Vince is mixing up the chronological order of my postings. Since he never changes his concrete mind about anything, he views a rational open mind as an abomination.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2075801657375092769
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 07:18:09 PST
Message-ID: <3AFFE8BE.D3966C4@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 09:16:30 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010513091357.27960.00003086@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010513230300.28252.00003617@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp 989849402 64.240.47.72 (14 May 2001 09:10:02 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21252

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Affirmative action programs are the ultimate form of discrimination.
> They permit discrimination against deserving, qualified people ...

Exactly true for the Morse code requirement. I never thought I would
hear you admit it. Otherwise qualified people are being kept off
of HF because of the Morse code affirmative action program.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1270154897719160595
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 07:19:47 PST
Message-ID: <3AFFE923.5A30879D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 09:18:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp 989849502 64.240.47.72 (14 May 2001 09:11:42 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21253
```

W1RFI wrote:
> Do you really wake up in the AM and say, "I think I will go on rra.policy and
> bash people; that seems like the right thing to do?"

Only when he wakes up in his Mr. Hyde personality.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4985946549512173179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 07:22:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k0hb@arrl.org
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-ch.aol.com> <9dne05$f00$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFFBBAA.8A1350A@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 66
Message-ID: <uSRL6.557$6j3.43709@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 10:22:18 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 14:22:18 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21254

In article <3AFFBBAA.8A1350A@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart says...

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> Ah, yes! And what, then, do you propose it
>> should be renamed come the day, ans soon it
>> will be, when virtually all hams are appliance
>> operators?
>
>
> Dick, I think we passed that stage about twenty-five years ago. I
>see very few ham operators, in any license class, running home-built
>radio equipment today. How many Extras do you know of that are not
>using Yaesu, Kenwood, Icom, or some other commercial brand radio?

Ah yes, another member-in-waiting of QCAO! You don't get around much, do you?

While I can't remember the last "fully homebrew" shack I saw (probably KG6AIG back in the 60's, and even Luis had *some* commercial test equipment items lying about), it is extremely uncommon to find a shack where every item is commercial (or in it's original commercial state.) Homebrewing and modification to commercial designs is especially alive and well in the QRP, contesting, satelite, and microwave communities.

Yet I keep seeing all sorts of "hints" here that amateur licensing should not require a technical examination. Even W5YI, Director of NCI, has publicly proposed that only Extra exams have technical content. Now I see Larry Roll, among others, joining in that chorus. I think this is an idea which should not be tossed aside lightly, it should be tossed aside with great force!

The QCAO (Quarter Century Appliance Operators club) and ASSOOBA (Amalgamated Simple Shacks On Our Belt Association) would love it, but this idea would put our service on an immediate slide into nothing more than another consumer orientated Family Radio Service, and the consequent abolishment of Amateur Radio.

The *single* unique element which differentiates our service from all the other radio services is our authority to experiment, build, modify, and generally tinker around and operate equipment which is not type accepted. The "technical" aspect of our hobby comprises 3 of the 5 reasons (paragraph 97.1) for the existence of the ARS, and removal of this requirement for licensing would tear the heart and soul out of the hobby.

If amateurs were to be licensed without any requirement for electronics knowledge, then it follows that type acceptance of all amateur equipment would be a requirement for sale. Used equipment, if sold to "no-Tech" amateurs would need to be recertified and "mod-free", and repairs could only be accomplished by FCC-approved service facilities. The cost of new equipment would rise to commercial-service price levels, because of type-acceptance issues, and most vendors would probably leave the market.

Sorry, but you guys are out to lunch with this cockeyed notion.

Code-Free, then Tech-Free .... what next, license free? CU on eleven, good buddy.

73, de Hans, K0HB

From -2650278482291436013
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 07:24:46 PST
Message-ID: <3AFFEA57.89DB6926@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 09:23:19 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFFB931.B884319B@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp 989849813 64.240.47.72 (14 May 2001 09:16:53 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21255

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> So what are we going to do about it, Larry? I'm sure you get great
> pleasure from whining about your precious CW, but how is that going to
> change anything?

It's human nature, Dwight. People who lose wars spend the rest of their
lives agonizing about it instead of getting on with their lives.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2040203582877722951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 07:29:34 PST
Message-ID: <3AFFEB75.B21A9834@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 09:28:05 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFFB931.B884319B@sccoast.net> <20010514071920.28961.00003618@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp 989850097 64.240.47.72 (14 May 2001 09:21:37 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21256

W1RFI wrote:
> The bottom line, though, is that ham radio has not been a training ground for
> engineers for decades, if ever.

It may work in the opposite direction as it did for me. I got my ham license and then was so curious about how my ham radio worked, that I went on to get a degree in EE. In other words, I became knowledgeable of electronics after I got a ham license, not before. It was a good way to go. I believe it still is a good way to go.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5152706862289506160
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 07:37:37 PST
Message-ID: <3AFFED58.F464640@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 09:36:08 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
References: <20010513090439.27960.00003084@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010513134759.03759.00001212@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFEE359.BD9D12FA@IEEE.org>
<9dnd5n$adc$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFF4530.C9E3C597@IEEE.org>
<9dnmp7$c9a$0@208.206.142.96>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp 989850580 64.240.47.72 (14 May 2001 09:29:40 -0500)
Lines: 34
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21257

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > You guys with your pro-code testing
> > agendas will do anything and everything to try to discredit anyone who
> > doesn't share your archaic views.
>
> BS, and if you're awake you know it. Larry and I have both said that
> since the 5wpm max speed they might as well just cancel code testing for
> all the good it will ever be.

Typical sour grapes attitude. "If I can't have it my way, I don't want it at all." Cut off your nose to spite your face.

> What you don't realize is that while you
> > were arresting your development,
>
> Oh, yeah, Right, I've consistantly arrested the development of the ARS,
> by spending long years as a VE and getting in on most every new mode
> that has came along. Don't you feel even a little guilt spewing that
> crap?

Nope, since I wasn't talking about that. I was talking about your personal development. Your attitude is regressive, not progressive. Time is uni-directional, Dick, and is carrying you, protesting, kicking, and screaming, into the 21st century. The river is flowing faster than you can swim upstream.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1793004139787484290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 07:44:27 PST
Message-ID: <3AFFEEEE.357E132B@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 09:42:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
References: <3AFF4530.C9E3C597@IEEE.org> <20010514051931.25187.00000223@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp 989850986 64.240.47.72 (14 May 2001 09:36:26 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!in.nntp.be!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21258

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >You guys with your pro-code testing
> >agendas will do anything and everything to try to discredit anyone who
> >doesn't share your archaic views.
>
> I think they keep bringing ARRL into my posts because they hope I will stop
> posting. :-)

Yep, they've tried similar underhanded techniques to shut me up. I should
shut up because I never passed a 20wpm exam. You should shut up because
you work for the ARRL. Someone else should shut up because he doesn't have
a ham license. They think they have discovered something new, "Divide and
Conquer", not realizing that technique has probably existed since before the
beginning of recorded history and you and I are savvy about it.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1214229158552989912
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 09:20:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 16:20:34 GMT
References: <uSRL6.557$6j3.43709@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010514122034.25187.00000243@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21259

(W5NET wrote):

>> Dick, I think we passed that stage about twenty-five years ago. I
>>see very few ham operators, in any license class, running home-built
>>radio equipment today. How many Extras do you know of that are not
>>using Yaesu, Kenwood, Icom, or some other commercial brand radio?

N2EY, for one. Never owned a piece of ham gear that wasn't made in the USA,
either.

Where do you put kit rigs on the scale of "homebrew" vs. "appliance"?

K0HB wrote:
>
>While I can't remember the last "fully homebrew" shack I saw (probably KG6AIG
>back in the 60's, and even Luis had *some* commercial test equipment items
>lying
>about), it is extremely uncommon to find a shack where every item is
>commercial

>(or in it's original commercial state.) Homebrewing and modification to
>commercial designs is especially alive and well in the QRP, contesting,
>satelite, and microwave communities.
>

Yup - but it's not limited to them. There's the whole field of antenna building, which is homebrewing. There's the "glowbug" and "boatanchor" folks, who build and restore gear based on older technologies. Many BA stations that appear to be composed of 100% manufactured stuff are actually the result of painstaking modification, restoration and repair by the ham who owns them.

Here at N2EY, not only is the HF rig 100% homebrew and home designed, but so is the antenna system, the power supplies, even the radio table and shelves. The station clock was made from the parts of three junkers. The test gear is part homebrew and part restored kit and military surplus.

Only the telegraph keys were bought in ready-to-use condition.

You want some JPGs? Just got the digital camera working.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -5089013116471042589
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 09:29:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 16:29:08 GMT
References: <3AFFEB75.B21A9834@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010514122908.25187.00000244@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21260

>W1RFI wrote:
>> The bottom line, though, is that ham radio has not been a training ground
>for
>> engineers for decades, if ever.

I disagree, Ed. Lots of engineers and people with technical backgrounds got their start in ham radio.

>
>It may work in the opposite direction as it did for me. I got my ham license
>and then was so curious about how my ham radio worked, that I went on to

>get a degree in EE.

My story is very similar. Ham radio led me to EE like a duck to water.

>In other words, I became knowledgeable of electronics
>after I got a ham license, not before.

I was somewhat knowledgeable before I got the license, became more knowledgeable in order to upgrade, then got even more knowledgeable over the long haul.

> It was a good way to go. I believe
>it still is a good way to go.

AGREED!!

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 6234960356649593817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49284bb79b4bbe48
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 11:23:13 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Slow/No Code and the New Gentiles...
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 18:22:18 GMT
Message-ID: <3affe7b6.210659254@news2.dvol.com>
References: <slrn9fntmd.1bv.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3afe62b7.111069018@news2.dvol.com> <3AFEE2A1.82083E76@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.97
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.97
X-Trace: 14 May 2001 13:18:15 -0500, 64.24.18.97
Lines: 10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21261

On Sun, 13 May 2001 14:38:09 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>> Circumsized at 20 wpm by God hisself.
>
>How about a "Morse Forever" tattoo instead?
>--
. . . every once in awhile you manage to come up with a good idea

Cecil . . .

```
From 1850493600921412185
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 11:26:32 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 18:22:32 GMT
Message-ID: <3b000281.217519726@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142>
<3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org> <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com>
<3AFD573C.575BDFD7@IEEE.org> <3afde54f.78963742@news2.dvol.com>
<3AFEDD73.E557E19A@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.97
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.97
X-Trace: 14 May 2001 13:18:29 -0500, 64.24.18.97
Lines: 34
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21262
```

On Sun, 13 May 2001 14:16:03 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

```
>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>> Don't mean to be a poop Cecil but I'm Brian.
>
>Sorry, I thought I was replying to Dick.
>
>> That's absolutely outrageous bullshit, ...
>
>Not if you assume I was replying to Dick who wears his Extra Ticket
>like a PhD degree.
>
How stupid of me. Must have something to do with me not being a member
of Mensa.
>
>> Kindly explain
>> if you will just how these people who happen to have engineering or
>> physics degrees and HTs "upgrades" ham radio by some mysterious
>> means??
>
>There's no mystery. They help less knowledgeable hams. For instance,
>one night around the campfire, an Extra said, "Here's something I
```

```
>never have understood. Why does ...?" Everyone around the campfire
>knew the most qualified person to answer the question was Dr. Williamson,
>a no-code Tech with a PhD in electronics - and he did answer the
>question, and we all learned something that night, something we
>all could then pass on to other hams.
>
Oh. My. God . . . You're right Dick, it's his grapes.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv


From 7195120238675696921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 11:51:12 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!
spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 15 May 2001 18:02:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9drr0831rdq@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <20010513230300.28252.00003617@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010514052713.25187.00000227@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9dqf50$4r6$0@208.207.71.154>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-245.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21365

In article <9dqf50$4r6$0@208.207.71.154>, dixie@townsqr.com says...


> How can ANY traffic be handled via radiotelegraphy - the most basic
>radiocommunciations mode - without knowledgable hams being trained in
>it?

Maybe it's because most of the traffic that hams handle isn't that important.




From -5029528544821485361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 12:12:31 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
```

newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 15:12:00 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 19:12:00 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21366

Jim Kelley had an incoherent moment and blurted out:

> The point is to illustrate the logical error that results when
> assuming there is such a thing as a "necessary" requirement.

When I applied for my Conditional license, and later when I upgraded to Amateur Extra, the FCC said that it was necessary for me to meet some requirements, such as passing a written test and a Morse test. Are you saying they lied to me?

Good luck on this one now!

73, Hans, K0HB

From 285096114776226003
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 12:50:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 12:47:36 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21367

K0HB wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley had an incoherent moment and blurted out:
>
> > The point is to illustrate the logical error that results when
> > assuming there is such a thing as a "necessary" requirement.

> When I applied for my Conditional license, and later when I upgraded to
> Amateur Extra, the FCC said that it was necessary for me to meet some
> requirements, such as passing a written test and a Morse test. Are you saying
> they lied to me?

Apparently they disagreed with Jim Wayda who said:

"CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary."

I believe that is your view as well, is it not Mr. Hans?
So either you tell me whether the FCC lied, or go bother somebody else.

73, ac6xg

From -3262097466322592293
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 13:14:56 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!extra.newsguy.com!lotsanews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!63.208.208.143!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com> <3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

Lines: 36
Message-ID: <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 16:14:26 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 20:14:26 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21368

Jim Kelley got huffy and blurted out:

>"CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary."
>
>I believe that is your view as well, is it not Mr. Hans?

That's not my view at all! I think the Morse test may be "no
longer necessary", but CW is absolutely necessary for me to
win the November Sweepstakes CW weekend again this fall.

>So either you tell me whether the FCC lied, or go bother somebody else.

Oh, I see, "Just because I am willing to debate on rrap does
not mean that I am here to learn any truth contrary to my beliefs."

As you wish, cyber-God.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -6378614218655135845
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 13:40:14 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 13:31:29 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com> <3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21369

K0HB wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley got huffy and blurted out:
>
> >"CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary."
> >
> >I believe that is your view as well, is it not Mr. Hans?
>
> That's not my view at all!

You distort the truth, sir.

> >So either you tell me whether the FCC lied, or go bother somebody else.

> Oh, I see, "Just because I am willing to debate on rrap does
> not mean that I am here to learn any truth contrary to my beliefs."

What the hell does that have to do with anything? You thoughtlessly
blurted out what you thoughtlessly blurted out. Live with it.

> As you wish, cyber-God.

I bow to your cyber-holiness.

From 8830182063437528689
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49284bb79b4bbe48
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 14:52:28 PST

```
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Slow/No Code and the New Gentiles...
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 21:52:06 GMT
Message-ID: <3b014098.298953499@news2.dvol.com>
References: <slrn9fntmd.1bv.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3afe62b7.111069018@news2.dvol.com> <3AFEE2A1.82083E76@IEEE.org>
<3affe7b6.210659254@news2.dvol.com> <3B0045FD.E1D39378@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.193
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.193
X-Trace: 15 May 2001 16:48:01 -0500, 64.24.19.193
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21370

On Mon, 14 May 2001 15:54:21 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>> . . . every once in awhile you manage to come up with a good idea
>> Cecil . . .
>
>'Course, depending on just where the tattoo was located, some hams
>would have to settle for an abbreviation. :-)
>
 . . or find a nearsigted tatooist who can do really fine print . .?
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv
```

```
From 5980157578901883494
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49284bb79b4bbe48
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:02:11 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Slow/No Code and the New Gentiles...
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 22:01:51 GMT
Message-ID: <3b014779.300714736@news2.dvol.com>
References: <slrn9fntmd.1bv.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3afe62b7.111069018@news2.dvol.com> <slrn9ft9jg.114.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3affe867.210836996@news2.dvol.com> <slrn9g0s6d.1j0.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.183
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.183
X-Trace: 15 May 2001 16:57:46 -0500, 64.24.17.183
```

Lines: 29
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-
feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21371

On Mon, 14 May 2001 23:55:57 -0000, Queequeg@quahog.com (Queequeg)
wrote:
>
>I am well aware of the W1AW qualifying runs. That's not what
>I'm talking about. I mean a face-to-face test with both
>receiving *and* sending tests.
>
Huh?? "Sending" tests are basically irrelevant, I have yet to run into
anybody who can't key at least as fast as they can copy - except when
some physical disability enters the picture. The code tests were
supposed to consist of one minute each of perfect copying/sending at
20wpm. I don't think I managed to ship ten characters at 20wpm before
God cut off the "sending test" by simply saying "OK, that's fine".
>
> Speeds up to 50 wpm if you
>will. Purpose? 1. bragging rights. 2. to stop the mouths of
>the critics.
>
Troll-O-meter = 0.
>
>
>That would make you a divorced, bitter
>curmudgeon with a drinking problem. :^)
>
A Lenny with a license. Oh, well. Thus goeth life as usual in rrap.
>
>KB1GEJ
>
w3rv

From 8294293578298393022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5a1e3e4724216e7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:27:09 PST
From: Ken Steinhoff <ksteinhoff@pbpost.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Taking dead relative's call: good/bad idea?
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 18:26:00 -0400
Message-ID: <l2b3gto8i9rporumeuff38d6p1vsuffn57@4ax.com>
References: <dhassler-1405011639160001@192.168.150.4>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: news3
Lines: 34
X-Authenticated-User: 8952654
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com> You may also use our online abuse reporting from: http://www.newsfeeds.com/abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 73,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!news4.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!n3!anonymous!127.0.0.1!n3
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21372

On Mon, 14 May 2001 16:39:16 -0700, dhassler@orednet.org (KD7MPD) wrote:

>Hey gang.
>
>I'm thinking about a vanity callsign. I can A) request a "cool," short
>sign; B) take my grandfather's call - sk in '97.
>
>Here's the question: is "B" a good idea, or not? In my case, I'd go from
>my 2x3 call, to a 'quite old' 1x3 W7 call if I took my grandfather's old
>callsign.
>
>In a way, I think this would be kind of neat, kind of a memorial to him,
>the guy who turned me on to radio in the early 70s. In another way, I
>think this might be a little cornball, and maybe a little confusing
>(possibly annoying?) to some to hear a thirtysomething's voice/station
>ID'ed with an 80-year-old's call.
>
>Opinions?
>
>73 de kd7mpd

Go for it. I just passed my technician's test a couple of weekends ago.

I applied for a vanity callsign using my initials as my first choice. My second choice was K0FCW, the callsign of my high school teacher back in the 60s who introduced me to radio.

I half-way hope my first choice isn't available.

Ken Steinhoff
ken@steinhoff.net

From 4414719533122815257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,86f3ca7ca9896dfc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:31:57 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 22:30:53 GMT
References: <hAeM6.2670$6j3.233984@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Is CW a digital mode?
Message-ID: <20010515183053.23423.00002668@ng-fr1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21373

I dunno Hans,

Normally, I'd be calibrating the ole' troll-o-meter, but these aren't normal times anymore. I can't even say it's about who did or didn't author it. I like CW and I really don't want to see CW go the way of the dinasaur either, but there is a point to this story that Aesop'd be proud of. Things change...sometimes for the better, sometimes for worse, however change remains the only true universal constant. (death & taxes notwithstanding)

>"the shots get called" by the Directors, who are mostly elected by the life members.

For now, Hans...for now.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

.

From 3800054261547466002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:32:57 PST
Message-ID: <3B01AE40.BFBC872E@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:31:28 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3affb5f8.590583@news.mindspring.com>
<20010515003502.07982.00001561@nso-cm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989965498 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 17:24:58 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!netnews.com!feed.cgocable.net!
out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21374
```

N2EY wrote:
> For example, I know of a few new hams who got their licenses on a bet.

Hey Jim, that's how I became a member of MENSA. I even scored higher on the exam than the MENSA member with whom I made the bet. :-) Not only did he have to pay my MENSA dues for five years, but he had to endure my smirks.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6590146167345318397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:36:50 PST
Message-ID: <3B01AF23.BC2F78B7@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:35:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B015BDC.CC8B8F67@psu.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989965724 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 17:28:44 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21375

mike coslo wrote:
> Bingo! I'm amazed that some people would argue to toss out basic things
> like Ohms law. It seems almost like proposing that the prospective ham
> only show proficiency in using the ptt button, and how to turn the
> tuning knob.

I can teach Ohm's law to my three year old grandson. "The Indian sees
the Eagle over the Rabbit." Exactly what good does that do?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -618685193846835102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:40:18 PST
Message-ID: <3B01AFFF.BF0614E0@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:38:55 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

```
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142>
<3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org> <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com>
<3AFD573C.575BDFD7@IEEE.org> <3afde54f.78963742@news2.dvol.com>
<3AFEDD73.E557E19A@IEEE.org> <3b000281.217519726@news2.dvol.com>
<9dqc95$pb6$0@208.207.71.154>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989965944 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 17:32:24 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21376

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Cecil thinks he is a raconteur.

Will wonders never cease? Dick finally bought himself a dictionary. Now
if he would only get a spell-checker.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -2811257602416804941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:42:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B01B090.4688D237@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:41:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010513230300.28252.00003617@nso-mq.aol.com>
```

```
<20010514052713.25187.00000227@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9dqf50$4r6$0@208.207.71.154>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989966090 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 17:34:50 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!feed.cgocable.net!out.nntp.be!
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21377
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> FCC knows from long years of direct experiencethat there are always more
> than enough hams who are active,informed and capable of handling
> emergency communications such that no such regulations are needed.

Seems the FCC also knows from long years of direct experience that there
are always more than enough hams on FM and SSB who are active, informed,
and capable of handling emergency communications such that no Morse code
is needed.

--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6141177183096257l7
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:46:00 PST
Message-ID: <3B01B14E.DF41A5B2@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:44:30 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com>
```

```
<20010515060033.14902.00002657@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989966281 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 17:38:01 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21378

W1RFI wrote:
> Please understand that most others do not find amusement in insulting and
> demeaning people by class.  Many of us did in grammar school, but most of us
> have grown past that.  The amazing part is that you seem proud of it.  Odd...

Protected by the Internet, he is getting back at all the bullies in his past,
by being the biggest bully ever.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -5817831727060171270
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:46:56 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 22:46:29 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0143ca.299770742@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b003ddd.232716247@news2.dvol.com> <20010514190755.03821.00002515@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.186
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.186
X-Trace: 15 May 2001 17:42:24 -0500, 64.24.18.186
Lines: 43
```

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21379

On 14 May 2001 23:07:55 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
>
>Actually, Len believes as I do. Where we disagree is that he believes that most
>hams think that their license is superior to a BSEE.
>
Lennyland is just down the road a piece from Oz.
>
> A tiny handful of hams
>believe that, at worst.
>
That's looneytunes, can't say I've ever run into one of those.
>
>>Back in the early days of my engineering career one of the credentials I
>>listed on my resume was having having a ham license.
>
>IMHO, doing so is still of value, as an addition to the educational and
>professional experience, not instead of.
>
Certainly - but I don't think listing a ham ticket on a resume today
is as "recognizable" as it was back when.
>
>
>In between my stint as a General in the 60s and getting relicensed in the 70s,
>I was an electronics service technician.  Although I was relicensed, I worked
>as a CAD/CAM system installer (Gerber) and as an incoming QC engineer,
>programming IC and transistor testers.  Neither career drew on my ham-radio
>credentials to speak of.
>
Those all fall within the general field of electronics. The reason my
ham ticket got any particular attention was because it indicated that
I had some skills or interest or whatever ya wanna call it in a
"parallel" discipline which is not my professional field. In any event
it was a LONG time ago!
>
>I assume I would still be doing test-engineering if I had not come to ARRL HQ.
>
That works.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv


From 2625733239941163795
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:50:03 PST
Message-ID: <3B01B23E.EA1EB8ED@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:48:30 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com> <9drjb2$7qe$0@208.207.71.176>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989966522 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 17:42:02 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21380
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Pretty much the way it happened with me, only more recently, a few
> years ago here on rrap. The second I showed up in support of a code test
> I was immediately set upon by a pack of hungry jackels who insisted that
> I was personally responsible for their misachievments and that my
> support of code was a travesty of Holocaust proportions.

Trouble is, Dick, that you told, in so many words, that all the no-code hams and all the potential no-code hams are lower than the lowest layer of whale $hit in the deepest part of the ocean.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4990422421528176663

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:52:46 PST
Message-ID: <3B01B2E0.4D1EF532@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:51:12 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com> <20010514222453.08242.00000465@nso-mf.aol.com> <3B00E66B.18D78CF4@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989966686 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 17:44:46 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21381

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> The English language is filled with these things, some of them rather humorous.

Nobody nose what's real and what's snot.

(I can't wait to see the Japanese translation.)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3782283813216570777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:55:03 PST
Message-ID: <3B01B35C.3B34F2E9@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:53:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFEE204.969EEFAB@IEEE.org> <3B00732A.348741AE@uci.edu>
<3B008446.39358034@IEEE.org> <3B008842.E7453224@uci.edu>
<3B00AA61.D6639609@IEEE.org> <9dqf40$rn2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989966815 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 17:46:55 -0500)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!
feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21382
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3B00AA61.D6639609@IEEE.org...
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > > How many think so now? It can be "a lot of trouble and effort" to
> wipe
> > > > > 3 times too, but it's usually worth it.
> > > >
> > > > Which hand do you wipe with, Jim?
> > >
> > > What's it to ya?
> >
> > Just want to know which one to avoid shaking.
>
> Well, now you have your excuse. Seek and ye shall find.

Wouldn't it just be easier to use toilet paper instead of your hand? :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8857768410028987542
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 15:58:31 PST
Message-ID: <3B01B42B.25C23DA9@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:56:43 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFEE204.969EEFAB@IEEE.org> <3B00732A.348741AE@uci.edu>
<3B008446.39358034@IEEE.org> <3B008842.E7453224@uci.edu>
<9dqebf$2gt$0@208.207.71.154>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989967030 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 17:50:30 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21383
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >

> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > How many think so now? It can be "a lot of trouble and effort" to wipe
> > > > 3 times too, but it's usually worth it.
> > >
> > > Which hand do you wipe with, Jim?
> >
> > What's it to ya?
>
> Cecil can't find it with both hands.

Actually, I use toilet paper instead of my hand, Dick. Do you really
use both hands? Yukeeee Poooooh! That gives a whole new meaning to,
"being glued to your CW paddle".
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6653319082297466462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 16:00:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 15:51:31 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3B01B2F3.55A1BC72@uci.edu>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142>
<3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org> <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com>
<3AFD573C.575BDFD7@IEEE.org> <3afde54f.78963742@news2.dvol.com>
<3AFEDD73.E557E19A@IEEE.org> <3b000281.217519726@news2.dvol.com>
<9dqc95$pb6$0@208.207.71.154> <3B01AFFF.BF0614E0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21384

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Cecil thinks he is a raconteur.
>
> Will wonders never cease? Dick finally bought himself a dictionary. Now
> if he would only get a spell-checker.

My dictionarys all have the correct spelling.

I claim that I deliberately misspell and use poor grammar so as never to
be asked to be newsletter editor.

ac6xg

From 3836949234114792386
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 16:06:35 PST
Message-ID: <3B01B611.9F3FBC0A@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 18:04:49 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010514071920.28961.00003618@ng-mn1.aol.com> <20010515044532.28252.00003838@nso-mq.aol.com> <9drqj111rdq@enews1.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989967516 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 17:58:36 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21385

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> Maybe it's because they don't see anything reasonable about an ancient,
> outdated, worthless, 150-year-old character encoding scheme.

Most of them probably wouldn't go that far. They just don't like being
subjected to a hazing ritual by people inferior in electronics knowledge
to them. It's the religious circumcision Jewish/Christian argument all
over again.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6531060268009642175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 16:10:10 PST
Message-ID: <3B01B6E5.9A9B14F6@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 18:08:21 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
References: <20010513090439.27960.00003084@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010513134759.03759.00001212@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFEE359.BD9D12FA@IEEE.org>
<9dnd5n$adc$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFF4530.C9E3C597@IEEE.org>
<9dnmp7$c9a$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFFED58.F464640@IEEE.org>
<9dqf89$4r6$1@208.207.71.154>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989967727 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 18:02:07 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21386
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Cecil I have no doubt whatever that you have bullshitted your way

> through your entire life just as you have here on rrap.

Yep, too bad I couldn't BS the IRS into accepting less than the million
dollars I paid in income taxes during the last few years I worked for a
living.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1600789085947093842
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 16:10:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B01B703.9C3A1876@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 18:08:51 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
References: <20010513090439.27960.00003084@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010513134759.03759.00001212@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFEE359.BD9D12FA@IEEE.org>
<9dnd5n$adc$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFF4530.C9E3C597@IEEE.org>
<9dnmp7$c9a$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFFED58.F464640@IEEE.org>
<9dqf89$4r6$1@208.207.71.154>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989967767 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 18:02:47 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21387
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Cecil I have no doubt whatever that you have bullshitted your way

> through your entire life just as you have here on rrap.

Yep, too bad I couldn't BS the IRS into accepting less than the half million dollars I paid in income taxes during the last few years I worked for a living.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6303092200040421844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 16:11:05 PST
Message-ID: <3B01B710.7AA48489@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 18:09:04 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
References: <20010513090439.27960.00003084@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010513134759.03759.00001212@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFEE359.BD9D12FA@IEEE.org>
<9dnd5n$adc$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFF4530.C9E3C597@IEEE.org>
<9dnmp7$c9a$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFFED58.F464640@IEEE.org>
<9dqf89$4r6$1@208.207.71.154>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989967791 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 18:03:11 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21388

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Cecil I have no doubt whatever that you have bullshitted your way
> through your entire life just as you have here on rrap.

Yep, too bad I couldn't BS the IRS into accepting less than the half million dollars I paid in income taxes during the last few years I worked for a living.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3550840697534161912
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,86f3ca7ca9896dfc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 16:16:24 PST
Message-ID: <3B01B840.3C0F01FB@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 18:14:08 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Is CW a digital mode?
References: <200105151704.f4FH4aX16985@mail.mailgate.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989968107 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 18:08:27 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21389

w2dne wrote:
> "Don't be so silly" we replied. "CW will always be around, and no computer can decode it better than the human ear. Besides, it isn't a digital mode."

1110111010101000101010111011100 sure looks digital to me.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8928978335316505261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 16:43:02 PST
Message-ID: <3B01BE8E.A311F88@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 18:41:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010513230300.28252.00003617@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010514052713.25187.00000227@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9dqf50$4r6$0@208.207.71.154>
<3B01B090.4688D237@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 989969708 64.240.47.115 (15 May 2001 18:35:08 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21390
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > FCC knows from long years of direct experiencethat there are always more
> > than enough hams who are active,informed and capable of handling
> > emergency communications such that no such regulations are needed.
>
> Seems the FCC also knows from long years of direct experience that there
> are always more than enough hams on FM and SSB who are active, informed,

```
> and capable of handling emergency communications such that no Morse code
> is needed.

Darn, that should read: "... such that no Morse code testing is needed."
Obviously, Morse code is needed. I need it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 4368385817144776541
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 17:10:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-
for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:04:57 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3B01C429.AE0F00B3@uci.edu>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFEE204.969EEFAB@IEEE.org> <3B00732A.348741AE@uci.edu>
<3B008446.39358034@IEEE.org> <3B008842.E7453224@uci.edu>
<3B00AA61.D6639609@IEEE.org> <9dqf40$rn2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
<3B01B35C.3B34F2E9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21391

W6RCecilA wrote:
 > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > > Which hand do you wipe with, Jim?

> > > > What's it to ya?

> > > Just want to know which one to avoid shaking.

> > Well, now you have your excuse.  Seek and ye shall find.

> Wouldn't it just be easier to use toilet paper instead of your hand? :-)
```

I get it. :-) Never would have even thought of using just my hand.

Such a clever fellow.

Refering back:

Cecil said:
> Many, many professional engineers
> and scientists simply do not think Morse code is worth the trouble
> and effort, and therefore think ham radio is not worth the trouble
> and effort.

Then I said:
How many think so now? It can be "a lot of trouble and effort" to wipe
3 times too, but it's usually worth it.

I still think it's worth it - on both accounts. Your mileage may vary.

73, Jim AC6XG
73, ac6xg

From -8152093168819900242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5a1e3e4724216e7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 17:36:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 00:35:06 GMT
References: <dhassler-1405011639160001@192.168.150.4>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Taking dead relative's call: good/bad idea?
Message-ID: <20010515203506.26720.00000582@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21392

In article <dhassler-1405011639160001@192.168.150.4>, dhassler@orednet.org
(KD7MPD) writes:

>Hey gang.
>
>I'm thinking about a vanity callsign. I can A) request a "cool," short
>sign; B) take my grandfather's call - sk in '97.
>
>Here's the question: is "B" a good idea, or not?

I think it's an excellent idea, if it's what you want to do.

>In my case, I'd go from
>my 2x3 call, to a 'quite old' 1x3 W7 call if I took my grandfather's old
>callsign.

One of the reasons for the "vanity" callsign program was so that callsigns could be kept "in the family" if relatives so desired.

>
>In a way, I think this would be kind of neat, kind of a memorial to him,
>the guy who turned me on to radio in the early 70s.

God bless him.

>In another way, I
>think this might be a little cornball, and maybe a little confusing
>(possibly annoying?) to some to hear a thirtysomething's voice/station
>ID'ed with an 80-year-old's call.

Not at all. The way it is today, anybody with a Tech or higher license can buy an unassigned 1X3. Doesn't even have to be the "right" callsign district. "Vintage" has nothing to do with it anymore. I know old-timer Extras with 2X3s and new-out-of-the-box ones with 1X2s.

>
>Opinions?
>

Do what feels right to you. Taking his call would be a tribute to him, and would keep his memory alive. Sure doesn't sound cornball to me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4792700260089118798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 17:39:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com> <3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com> <3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <a_jM6.3121$6j3.289175@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please

X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 20:38:30 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 00:38:30 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21393

Jim Kelley got huffy and blurted out:
>>
>> >"CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary."
>> >
>> >I believe that is your view as well, is it not Mr. Hans?
>>
>> That's not my view at all!
>
>You distort the truth, sir.

Why don't you just say "Hans is a phucking liar."? At least I could give you credit for having some balls.

My view is that CW is certainly necessary, but perhaps the Morse test is not.

That view is consistent for at least the last 30 years.

Good luck on this one now.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 2138327219612319766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 17:43:22 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 00:42:51 GMT
Message-ID: <3b01cc9d.334800052@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B015BDC.CC8B8F67@psu.edu> <3B01AF23.BC2F78B7@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.146
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.146
X-Trace: 15 May 2001 19:38:47 -0500, 64.24.240.146
Lines: 21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!news-peer.gip.net!news.gsl.net!gip.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21394

On Tue, 15 May 2001 17:35:15 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>mike coslo wrote:
>> Bingo! I'm amazed that some people would argue to toss out basic things
>> like Ohms law. It seems almost like proposing that the prospective ham
>> only show proficiency in using the ptt button, and how to turn the
>> tuning knob.
>
>I can teach Ohm's law to my three year old grandson. "The Indian sees
>the Eagle over the Rabbit." Exactly what good does that do?
>
The indian gets to watch the eagle have a rabbit for lunch.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 2145359513733032715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4892f3b615a23159,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 17:52:51 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: There's more to ham radio than CW
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 19:52:13 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 5
Message-ID: <3B01CF3D.9324B330@ix.netcom.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0b.b9.4f
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Server-Date: 16 May 2001 00:52:15 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21395

"There's more to ham radio than just CW"

This is a test of everyones' trollmeters, it should register
at an S7. NIST (NBS) traceable.

From 5278403073306318433
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 18:09:58 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 01:09:08 GMT
References: <3B00E182.1E4A25FE@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515210908.10524.00003482@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21396

In article <3B00E182.1E4A25FE@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> Wow, talk about out of left field. Hans, I've been arguing for days
>about a greater need to attract those with technical skills, not some
>reduction in that. In other words, you're directing your argument at
>the choir. The only difference between us is the code requirement. I
>don't see any relationship between code and technical skill. In fact,
>I see it as a barrier to technical skill - like requiring a person to
>pass a typing test using an old IBM manual typewriter before allowing
>him to write an article about the Space Shuttle.

Dwight:

I don't see any relationship between code and technical skill, either!
In fact, virtually 100-percent of the most technically-involved hams
I've known, with graduate degrees in math, science, and electronics,
have ALL been code proficient, CW using, CW loving Amateur Extra
class licensees. So, if the code testing requirement is somehow a
barrier to technial advancement, they seemed to have beaten the

rap somehow!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4473799609552039569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,86f3ca7ca9896dfc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 18:32:11 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Is CW a digital mode?
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 01:31:54 GMT
Message-ID: <3b01ce42.335221940@news2.dvol.com>
References: <200105151704.f4FH4aX16985@mail.mailgate.org>
<3B01B840.3C0F01FB@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.37
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.37
X-Trace: 15 May 2001 20:27:47 -0500, 64.24.18.37
Lines: 18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21397

On Tue, 15 May 2001 18:14:08 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>w2dne wrote:
>> "Don't be so silly" we replied. "CW will always be around, and no computer can decode it better than the human ear. Besides, it isn't a digital mode."
>
>11101110101010001010101110111000 sure looks digital to me.
>
Sure it's a digital mode in the broad definition. The only real
differences between cw and the rest of the digital modes used in ham
radio is that cw is not necessarily machine-generated and it can be
run at widely-variable baud rates. And multiple channels of cw can run
at different baud rates within the same bandwidth. I gotta see the
computer port which can do that stunt.
>
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
w3rv

From -8801718830539399979
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 18:53:32 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 01:53:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <9dsmir$kca$0@208.207.71.136>
References: <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com> <WCaM6.24381$t12.1874888@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <%HaM6.2267$6j3.194637@www.newsranger.com>
<3B013F67.B16B532@earthlink.net> <lKcM6.24712$4f7.1881563@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21398



Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3B013F67.B16B532@earthlink.net...
> > K0HB wrote:
> > >
> > > Richard McCollum said:
> > >
> > > >A society which tolerates shoddy philosophy because philosophy is an
> exalted
> > > >profession and scorns good plumbing because plumbing is a humble
> profession
> > > >will soon find that neither its theories nor its pipes will hold water.
> > >
> > > If you put tomfoolery into a computer, you will only get tomfoolery out,
> > > but this tomfoolery, having passed through an expensive machine, is
> > > somehow enobled, and none dare now to criticize it.
> > > --Unknown
> > >
> > > 73, Hans, K0HB
> >
> > As Pat Benz, a fellow who worked for me in Botswana once said, "We now
> > have the capability to send volumes of material at the speed of light
> > and we still have little to say."  Pat was the guy who suggested that we
> > set up a little burger joint off Exit 3 of the Info Superhighway.
```

> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> When the telegraph line was finished down the old Boston Post Road (US 1)
> one of the Boston poets, quite elderly by this time, when informed that
> Boston could now talk to Florida replied 'But does Boston have anything to
> SAY to Florida'.
>
> Personally, I like the definition of the Xerox machine as that device which
> made it possible for more and more people to get more copies of more and
> more things they never knew they needed.
>
> And the management prof when confronted by the cheap 'We need more
> communication' response pulled himself up to his full 4ft not a lot height,
> glared over the podium, and said, "Gentlemen, Caesar Augustus ran the entire
> known world without so much as a telex machine. Your problem is to figure
> out how he did it.

ONE More Time - - -

Ham Radio is NOT about maximum theoretical throughput. The techies just can't imagine such a thing.

From -7222574630647502344
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,86f3ca7ca9896dfc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 18:55:20 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <200105151704.f4FH4aX16985@mail.mailgate.org> <3B01B840.3C0F01FB@IEEE.org> <3b01ce42.335221940@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Is CW a digital mode?
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <c6lM6.25494$t12.1926310@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 01:55:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.172.9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989978120 12.88.172.9 (Wed, 16 May 2001 01:55:20 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 01:55:20 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet

Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21399

I think you're thinking of the normal serial ports. CW is so slow that it is easy to 'bit-bang' and check if something is on or off and simply use a loop to time it. My poor old (now dead and buried) 386SX could check a bit on a port 140,000 times per second using compiled basic. Even at 1000 wpm, it would be easy to see if an 'on' time equated to a dot or a dash and if an off time was so short you were still within a character (character not completed yet), between characters (go ahead and print the character), or so long it was time to print out a space (between words). I had a morse code program that could receive morse on my Heathkit H-8, built in the winter of 76-77. That, however, was written in basic and was so sloooowww that it had trouble copying much above about 15 wpm, making it utterly useless for me. Now, however, it is in vogue to use a soundblaster for everything. In any event, the serial and/or parallel ports don't figure into it much anymore. Of course, you can get down to the machine level and use one of the hardware lines to the serial port to send/receive - but I think (I'm not sure) that you might have to do it under dos - windows would probably have fits.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

From 5927802730136723138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:19:18 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com> <WCaM6.24381$t12.1874888@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <%HaM6.2267$6j3.194637@www.newsranger.com> <3B013F67.B16B532@earthlink.net> <lKcM6.24712$4f7.1881563@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9dsmir$kca$0@208.207.71.136>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 70
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <FslM6.25515$t12.1929280@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 02:19:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.196
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989979557 12.75.102.196 (Wed, 16 May

```
2001 02:19:17 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 02:19:17 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21400


"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9dsmir$kca$0@208.207.71.136...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3B013F67.B16B532@earthlink.net...
> > > K0HB wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Richard McCollum said:
> > > >
> > > > >A society which tolerates shoddy philosophy because philosophy is
an
> > exalted
> > > > >profession and scorns good plumbing because plumbing is a humble
> > profession
> > > > >will soon find that neither its theories nor its pipes will hold
water.
> > > >
> > > > If you put tomfoolery into a computer, you will only get tomfoolery
out,
> > > > but this tomfoolery, having passed through an expensive machine, is
> > > > somehow enobled, and none dare now to criticize it.
> > > > --Unknown
> > > >
> > > > 73, Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > > As Pat Benz, a fellow who worked for me in Botswana once said, "We now
> > > have the capability to send volumes of material at the speed of light
> > > and we still have little to say."  Pat was the guy who suggested that
we
> > > set up a little burger joint off Exit 3 of the Info Superhighway.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > When the telegraph line was finished down the old Boston Post Road (US
1)
> > one of the Boston poets, quite elderly by this time, when informed that
> > Boston could now talk to Florida replied 'But does Boston have anything
to
> > SAY to Florida'.
> >
> > Personally, I like the definition of the Xerox machine as that device
which
> > made it possible for more and more people to get more copies of more and
> > more things they never knew they needed.
> >
```

> > And the management prof when confronted by the cheap 'We need more
> > communication' response pulled himself up to his full 4ft not a lot
height,
> > glared over the podium, and said, "Gentlemen, Caesar Augustus ran the
entire
> > known world without so much as a telex machine. Your problem is to
figure
> > out how he did it.
>
>
> ONE More Time - - -
>
> Ham Radio is NOT about maximum theoretical throughput. The techies just
> can't imagine such a thing.

Dick, I will leave what Ham Radio is about to you. With a few hundred k
participants you may get a few mega anwers. Damfino

Dick

From -5627921705774243586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:29:19 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:29:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9dsolu$oe5$0@208.207.71.136>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B015BDC.CC8B8F67@psu.edu> <3B01AF23.BC2F78B7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21401

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> mike coslo wrote:
> > Bingo! I'm amazed that some people would argue to toss out basic things

> > like Ohms law. It seems almost like proposing that the prospective ham
> > only show proficiency in using the ptt button, and how to turn the
> > tuning knob.
>
> I can teach Ohm's law to my three year old grandson. "The Indian sees
> the Eagle over the Rabbit." Exactly what good does that do?

No wonder you are completely clueless regarding the written tests. If you teach your three year old grandson "The Indian sees the Eagle over the Rabbit you've taught him something besides Ohm's law, for sure.

From -4269995294186641311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:31:24 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:31:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9dsopr$oe5$1@208.207.71.136>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142> <3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org> <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com> <3AFD573C.575BDFD7@IEEE.org> <3afde54f.78963742@news2.dvol.com> <3AFEDD73.E557E19A@IEEE.org> <3b000281.217519726@news2.dvol.com> <9dqc95$pb6$0@208.207.71.154> <3B01AFFF.BF0614E0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21402

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Cecil thinks he is a raconteur.
>
> Will wonders never cease? Dick finally bought himself a dictionary. Now
> if he would only get a spell-checker.

Cecil is wrong, on all three counts. He is not a raconteur, I have always owned several dictionaries and the word 'raconteur' is straight out of the spellchecker.

```
From 3473606589042773137
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:32:39 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:32:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9dsos7$oe5$2@208.207.71.136>
References: <20010513230300.28252.00003617@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010514052713.25187.00000227@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9dqf50$4r6$0@208.207.71.154>
<3B01B090.4688D237@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21403
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > FCC knows from long years of direct experiencethat there are always more
> > than enough hams who are active,informed and capable of handling
> > emergency communications such that no such regulations are needed.
>
> Seems the FCC also knows from long years of direct experience that there
> are always more than enough hams on FM and SSB who are active, informed,
> and capable of handling emergency communications such that no Morse code
> is needed.

Unlike you, the FCC and many others know better than to depend 100% on "garbage in, garbage out".

```
From 5727161783883316952
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:38:06 PST
```

Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:37:02 GMT
References: <3B00E66B.18D78CF4@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515223702.05668.00000262@nso-dd.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21404

In article <3B00E66B.18D78CF4@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> Larry Roll K3LT writes:
>>
>> > Actually, my involvement as an electronics
>> > hobbyist predates my first ham license by
>> > almost 15 years, when I won my 8th grade
>> > Science Fair with a homebrewed transistor
>> > radio built out of one of Bill Orr's books.
>>
>> Wow, a technical break-through...a radio built
>> entirely from paper and cardboard! Did the ink
>> make it semi-conducting?
>
> You're as bad as I am when it come to that.

Gosh, I thought I was praising the accomplishments
of two noted amateur Extras in here. My apologies
to all concerned. :-(

I'd still like to learn about making an amplifier using
only two dual diodes...

From 1141444278732154742
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:38:11 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 65
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:37:03 GMT
References: <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010515223703.05668.00000263@nso-dd.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21405

In article <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> I'm not
>> interested in taking up obsessions such as a "devotion"
>> to amateur radio above and beyond normal life or
>> religious beliefs. I'm not interested in joining an
>> "exclusive fraternity" if it contains too many long-timers
>> who love to put down anyone in opposition to their
>> devotions or beliefs or turf claims of "knowing what is
>> best" for any newcomer.
>
>It certainly beats any prospective newcomer who, in his best Foghorn
>Leghorn, proclaims that he knows what is best for amateur radio.

Leghorn tries his "I say, son, y'all don't know whut is bay-est.."
routine. :-)

Chicken. Squawks. A cartoon. Not very animated.

>You've gone out of your way to let us know what you are not interested
>in doing in amateur radio. It is really refreshing that you clued us in
>as to what you'd like to do.

I am interested in amateur radio but I am not interested in Heil's
definition of amateur radio. Heil doesn't understand that. Heil
cannot understand any opinion that is different from his.

>> Legal amateur radio activities require passing a license
>> exam. For under-30-MHz privileges that exam requires
>> a test for morse code skill. I think that code test should
>> be eliminated...so do many others including the FCC.
>
>Not exactly true, is it?

It's all true. For the FCC's opinion, see 90-53 and 99-412,
Reports and Orders written nine years apart.

>I don't expect that anyone will have to worry about you invading any
>turf for some time to come.

I'm not after "turf." Those who think they have turf will goad
others as if they "owned" that turf. Heil has demonstrated that.

>Even after you've obtained your piece of
>turf, you may have to change that attitude to find a few folks for a
>contact.

Heh. "Threats!" I would be surprised to find a VEC that would
give me an honest test for an amateur license based on what
I've said in here or elsewhere! :-)

"We don't want 'your kind' in the ARS!"

"You #$%, I won't even test you with those kindsa attitudes!"

Those two paraphrased sentences are what some former VEs
(one of them may be a current VE) have said in here.

Yup. Motivation Officer title sticking out, neon-lit. All to get a
simple radio license for a hobby. "Change your attitude or
nobody will talk to you!" :-)

From 6737200261930412771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:38:13 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 97
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:37:05 GMT
References: <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010515223705.05668.00000264@nso-dd.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21406

In article <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>, MisterWarmth <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Let me ask you, Len. Do you believe that tens of thousand of others
>tried and failed to enter amateur radio through the years?

Yes.

It's not a provable statement since there are no records of such so the PCTA will no doubt crow and repeat the mantra.

>I don't think the "why a code test exists" needs be addressed as part of a statement that large numbers of people have successfully learned morse code.

Tens of thousands of people learned to ride horses for basic transportation. No longer required. Tens of thousands of people learned manual cabinetry without machines. No longer required. Tens of thousands of people learned many different skills in the past and those skills are no longer needed. The same is true for morse code in a hobby activity. It is no longer needed as part of the FCC's regulatory purpose.

>Does the issue itself matter in this time when the morse speed for all classes of license have been dropped to 5 wpm and are likely to be dropped altogether in several years?

Yes. The morse code test is still there. There is no guarantee that S25.5 will be changed, modified, deleted or even discussed at WRCs of the future.

>When that takes place, what excuse will you have for not obtaining the piece of amateur radio turf that you so obviously covet?

I covet nothing. I am only debating the "need" for that precious morse code test with those who have already passed such a test. Those will never ever have to take another morse code, test yet they make macaw noises about their tested ability.

>Your enormous ego has been bruised. I've never ever felt insulted or slighted though I've worked professionally in communications.

Heil mistakes persistence for ego. Heil has an enormous ego, ergo everyone else has one. Too much self-indentification. Every time I've mentioned professional experience everyone seems to take affront at such and immediately take to personal insult mode. Radio is radio...except in amateur radio where it is different.

>Maybe some have just gotten tired of your "Single Wing Anderson-There I was at 10,000 feet with both engines out" tales.

Sorry, I've never claimed any flying ability.   :-)

>You seem to have a gift for pissing people off.

I specifically target the ego-driven, "I know what is best for you" group...or the "I've been in ham radio for yaddada years and know what is best" group. It works.   :-)

>> That pretty much covers most of the ground on postings from
>> those who are dead-set on keeping the morse code test in US
>> amateur radio and have been for the last four years. Some more
>> could be added, of course, but the above represents a synopsis
>> of general posting by the PCTAs.
>
>...as seen by the self-appointed advocate for morse code testing
>removal.

Everyone who takes a stand against something is "self-appointed."
:-)

However, all the opinions of the PCTAs listed are borne out by
simple retrieval of archived messages.

>You might not believe this but loads of motive shows in what you write
>and the way you present it.

Awww...is Heil next going to write "nobody will like me if I'm not
nice?"   :-)

Clue: This is debate about a highly-charged, very-personal test
requirement. As such it is easy to lose debate rules. The
PCTA have consistently done so, reverting to personal insult
when they were not able to counter any NCTA's statement.
PCTAs seem to think they have some God-given "right" to
adulation because of passing the morse test. To hear them
talk or see them write they are Jesus Himself come down to
"save" the NCTA heathen. Heil has to cross his legs because
I have only one nail left.
:-)

From -7245132049857938195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:38:14 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 115
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:37:16 GMT
References: <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010515223716.05668.00000269@nso-dd.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21408

In article <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>, MrWarmth <k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net>, USARS Motivation
>> Office<k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:
>>
>> >You've made your comment to the government. What law or regulation
>> >requires me to take your guff here at face value.
>>
>> What law or regulation requires anyone to take Heil's guff?
>> :-)
>
>The answer is: No one is required to. You've certainly commented on my
>postings. No one has shown up to poison your dog. Just don't go on
>about your right to comment as if that transcends the rights of others
>to make their own comments and to laugh off your ideas.

Heil seems all upset that others laugh at his ideas and viewpoints, virtual sacred icons delivered up as the original tablets sans benefit of burning bush. The burning in this case is Heil's own ego exploding at the temerity of inferiors taking him to task. :-)

>You have no credentials as a radio amateur.

"Papers! Papers! We demand Papers!"   :-)

>No "Heil" didn't say that. "Heil" sez that a Helms manual isn't a
>magazine and that you wouldn't take car repair advice from your neighbor
>the insurance man just because he subscribes to "Road and Track".
>And I wasn't talking of boaters. I was talking about non-boaters
>("Let's see, I have my sailboat and my book on sailing. Time for a
>little cruise around the bay")

I apologize for not staying in the sacred physics rules of amateurism wherein amateur radio physics is "different" than radio physics of all other radio. I keep forgetting about that.

According to FCC regulations, the US radio amateur is allocated 9 frequency bands on HF plus 16 more above 30 MHz. Those bands above 30 MHz constitute 99+ percent of all allocated amateur band space. Has Heil ever worked ham bands above the 70 cm amateur band? Or has Heil merely read of such things in an amateur magazine? If the latter, how can Heil claim such "superiority" in things about amateur radio if all he did was "read about it?"

It would seem that Heil's entire amateur experience, at least from what he writes, as being entirely on HF whose total bandspace is less than 1% of all allocated amateur bandspace.

Strange mathematics. Less than one percent is "everything" while more than 99 percent is "nothing." Must be the "new math" by some long-timers. :-)

>How has your First Amendment right been abridged.

Some long-time HF amateurs are very busy chipping away at the footings of that bridge. :-) [going to take them a long time before they do any damage, though...]

>Did you make your comment to the government?

Yes. Has another long-timer attempted to quash that? Yes.

>Have you been prevented from posting your
>long, pontificating posts here?

"Long, pontificating posts?" Some lengths were on par with others' long pontifications which seem to be cribbage from "official" Handbook and amateur mythology of motivation, purpose, and desire.

>Do you mean that if you become the
>object of ridicule, your rights have been taken away? I don't believe
>you.

"Ridicule." Ridicule is a common tactic in computer-modem communications. It extends from the "you don't know what you're talking about!" pejorative non-reply to the officious, arrogant, superiority-loaded "you don't have the 'credentials' to say anything at all!" commentary from the ego-bruised. Not a problem to me to recognize such, nor by others.

These pejorative (over or implied) non-replies are given by those who have "claimed turf" and thus "own" the "rights to speak" even when such do not have the "credentials" to put down others. But, the "turf" claimants are highly irritable when their egos are the least bit bruised, their virtual "wounds" no doubt pain them greatly, and they must fight all those who challenge them. I've seen it before on other things, keep seeing it here as elsewhere. Virtual wars. Over what? Ego bruises. Threats to self-glorification. Pfui.

Discussion of policy in regard to federal regulations, changes or retentions thereof, should be handled in a non-personal ideological basis with an eye to the future. As to amateur radio regulations it would seem to be such an immense self-identification that the only ideology is the personal accomplishment, the egos inflated beyond proportion to the object of discussion. Few seem to bother with any thoughts about the future (I've counted only two in here, possibly three who have even considered the future for others). The rest are fixated on themselves as the role-model for others, what they have accomplished, what they have done, the glory of their long-tenure. In short they "know what is best" because that "best" is what they, themselves, have done. There's enormous egocentrism in that viewpoint. Egocentrism is not a valid credential for policy decisions that affect the future.

From -4261822468110940094
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:38:14 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 110
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:37:11 GMT
References: <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010515223711.05668.00000267@nso-dd.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21407

In article <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:

>> Something must have slipped Heil's mind, too. He imagined
>> something about me "wanting" to operate some HF rig with
>> full power into big directional antennas working some distant
>> island?
>
>You said you weren't going to do any DXing on the amateur bands. I just
>assumed that you plan to do your DXing elsewhere.

Heil's imagination is verging on the psychotic.

I said "I have no interest in DXing [by radio]." That's true. I do not have any interest in DXing by amateur radio. Maybe, just maybe I might have an interest in interplanetary DX, sparked by another radio amateur, George O. Smith, and his writings of the 1940s and 1950s. As a non-amateur I've communicated with a station ON the moon. Heil has not done that. Heil is cannot yet do that as an amateur. :-)

>You can play Walter
>Mitty with any ham radio scenario you like. You can handle imaginary
>traffic, engage in imaginary rag chews, chase imaginary DX or work
>imaginary meteor scatter. Please yourself!

As I said, Heil's imagination is getting close to psychotic.

All those things are fun and interesting for others. Excellent for them. They are not the justification for continuation of a morse code test for an amateur radio license.

Amateur radio has enormous opportunities for fun and experimentation other than the "official" activities listed in every amateur radio periodical. Heil's imagination cannot extend beyond those "official" and "approved" activities, therefore Heil thinks everyone else thinks the same.

>> I could continue further in modes and methods not allocated
>> to amateurs but that would be passed off as "not applicable
>> to ham radio" by those who have no clue at all of the rest
>> of the radio world.
>
>You could. If you did it in an avuncular style, didn't get too windy
>and didn't talk down to your audience, the stuff would likely get a warm
>reception.

Heil would still demand "credentials" to be presented if this were to an amateur radio audience. :-)

>Your parting shot at "those who have no clue at all" makes
>that all seem highly unlikely.

Ah, but the "credentialled" in here have the official permission to use "noclue" because of that "credential?!?" Of course, they do. They've claimed turf in amateur radio and thereby "run" it, can use any manner of address with impunity. :-)

I've stated that the US military has used FHSS operationally since 1989. In the SINCGARS family of manpack and vehicular radio use. I've given websites for more information on that. Many long-timers still think that the US military uses old WW2 radio sets, uses CW for communications ("behind enemy lines") in the field. They have no clue about spread-spectrum modes and seemingly don't care. They have no clue.

There is a very long list of what can be said about radio amateurs' knowledge of the rest of the radio world. Too long. That is very unfortunate since the rest of the radio world has some interesting modes, some of which could be adapted to amateur radio.

>> >> Eliminating the code test for a US amateur radio license should
>> >> not affect any US radio amateur already licensed.
>> >
>> >No, but it certainly has an effect on those of us who are participants
>> >in amateur radio.
>>
>> Oh! An "effect!" Emotional disturbance! Good grief, we can't have
>> some 38-year amateur lifers getting all upset! The nerve of some
>> people wanting to emotionally upset the long-timers! Oh! Oh!
>
>You really are a squirrel. It amazes me to note that you immediately
>equate "effect" with "emotional disturbance".   Does that mean that the
>moon has an emotional effect on the tides?

I made a sarcastic comment. Heil became more upset. Poor Heil.

How does eliminating a code test from any US amateur radio license examination affect (or effect) any already-licensed amateur Extra? Let's look at the possibilities:

1. No expected future testing of any kind provided renewals are made in a timely fashion...grandfathering clauses would be done (as in the past) for protection of the already-licensed. The effect is nil

2. Accept it and move on with the hobby activities, welcoming newcomers.

3. Great emotional upset at perceived wrong-doing by the FCC due to newcomers not having to do as the Extras did. The resulting calumny would contine for decades, spreading to future generations.

From Heil's postings so far, it is a sure bet that (2) would not be operative. :-)

From -4259920494888580412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:38:14 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 137
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:37:09 GMT
References: <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010515223709.05668.00000266@nso-dd.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21409

In article <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>I asked questions. If you don't want to tell me, why not tell the
>USARS?

I have explained before, repeated it. The entirety of the US ARS
does not need to know "motivation" from anyone interested. The
interest should be enough...except to those who think they are
some kind of "boss" of the US ARS.

>I haven't demanded that you answer, Len.

On the contrary, Heil's persistence, psychotic imagination has
demanded an answer that will suit Heil.

>Just say that the
>questions make you feel uncomfortable if you like.

Demands are only "uncomfortable" in the sense that the
demands are not required of anyone interested.

>> As I've said some while ago in here, "it might be nice to have an
>> amateur radio license...for another hobby."
>
>What's holding you up? You also told us that you were going for an
>"Extra out of the box" when the new licensing regs were about to be
>introduced.

I did? Seems to me I added a qualifier preceding that statement,
namely that "IF I decided to get one." Is there something "wrong"
with getting the maximum-"upgrade" class license without first
going through all the "lesser grade" classes?

Just what is holding Heil up from upgrading to being a human
being instead of officious radio god?

>Aside from a "time in grade" requirement for the Extra years back,
>nothing has stopped anyone from starting at any class of license he
>feels he can pass.

Heil forgot the devotion and motivation so "necessary." :-)

>As far as I know, you are the only person to use
>words like, "guild", "craft" or "profession".

No, but I am one who has repeated that the most often. :-)

>These classes of
>license--are you telling us that the only thing that sets them apart is
>a code test (the only thing you advocate doing away with)?

I'm not telling anyone anything that isn't in regulations. The FCC states that a Technician class license needs no code test, but a code test is required of a General or amateur Extra class.

Is Heil trying to manufacture another "dispute?"

>What you call tales aren't that at all. Are you one of those guys who
>dismiss the magazine coverage of very young kids passing an amateur
>radio exam as manufactured?

Yes. But I dismissed the ARRL's coverage of the six-year-olds who got Novice and Technician class licenses...and their passing. I disputed the language comprehension of such six-year-olds being capable of understanding the questions. Nobody went into six-year-olds' comprehension skills but rather focussed on the passing of the tests and the cutesy story.

They might have been precocious young geniuses...or they might have just memorized enough to pass...or they had some things "explained" during the written test, something which is not legal. Yes, I'll say flat out that those six-year-olds had a "manufactured" test passing.

>What about this idea that those interested in becoming hams "should"
>enter as youngsters. Even when I held a Novice ticket, I ran into
>Novices of all ages.

All the tales told in here concern entrance into amateur radio during teen years as the only way to "really get into amateur radio." Those few who post that got into it in adult years either were military-trained in morse or they got no-code-test Tech licenses. The latter seem to be shunned as not being "real hams."

>... Is it because it would be impossible for you to start
>as a youngster?

Irrelevant. "Getting the young" into amateur radio is an old, trite slogan. Youngsters are very impressionable in teen years, can be "imprinted" with goals, truth, falsehood, or whatever. That's elementary psychology 101.

If amateur radio is such an "important" thing, it would seem better for amateur radio that it should attract newcomers of more adult years. It is doing so now, but the overwhelming majority are going for the no-code-test Technician license.

>But amateur radio is one place where morse use is alive and well. The
>mode is used extensively.

By the ARRL's own poll, the best "extensive use" is second place behind radiotelephone and that second place is on HF bands only.

>Why shouldn't ham radio be the last place to require code testing?

Why should it be required in the first place?

The code test has always been in place for an amateur radio license. In the beginning and the formation of the first US radio regulation agency (1912), every other radio serivce used morse code mode. It made sense in 1912 to have all radio operators demonstrate skill in a common OOK spark mode. As the radio world evolved and moved away from morse mode, it was no longer needful as a regulatory test. It might have been kept there by retired radio men who preferred to have a place of radio recreation or those laid-off morsemen who could not learn the newer radio of the vacuum tube era.

There has never been any manual operator test of any kind for amateur radio license tests except code. That is not a qualification to keep morse code testing.

>We already know that you have a problem when anyone asks you about
>motivation.

We already have a problem with patronizing, arrogant demanders of motivation and desire to "prove" something to them. No one need "prove" anything about desire or motivation to anyone... except the control-freaks and those who demand all "do as they did" or become "lesser" humans. Pfui. Go suck a hot 807.

From 3622382634858386671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:38:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-

mail
Lines: 215
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:37:02 GMT
References: <3B00A2D2.8AB76100@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Message-ID: <20010515223702.05668.00000261@nso-dd.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21411

In article <3B00A2D2.8AB76100@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> >> Heil needs to work on his perception of personal inadequacy.
>> >
>> >Heil needs to call a spade a spade from time to time.
>>
>> Why? So far it has been a lot of shoveling of time-in-grade...
>
>The answer to "why" is that it needed doing.

Heh. The Motivation Officer asserts his officialdom. :-)

>> >> Does anyone passing any US amateur radio written test element
>> >> have credentials of "really learning electronics?" Really?
>> >
>> >It doesn't matter whether anyone passes or not. It matters whether
>> >someone studies the material.
>>
>> Not to the FCC or the VEC examiners. Can't get that "credential"
>> without passing a minimum number of correct question answers.
>
>You asked a question. I provided an answer. Nowhere in my answer did I
>stipulate that it matters to the FCC or VEC.

Strange. "It doesn't matter?" Can exam tests be passed with
less than the minimum number of correct answers?

>It would seem that anyone with mild interest in something would have
>become a participant over time. Let's say over several decades.

Dang, the Motivation Officer has asserted hisself again!   :-)

>That the FCC staff isn't required to be a radio amateur doesn't matter a
>whit. No one at the DMV is required to have a driver's license either.

True, but I don't know of any DMVs that demand skill in hand-
cranking a starter or knowing how to set the spark advance on

Model Ts. Demonstrated morse code skill is akin to hand-cranking and spark advance.

>> Heil has NO authority to officiously demand "motivation."
>
>Officiously demand? You're out of your ever-lovin' California mind.
>Do you mean to tell me that anytime someone asks you a question, you
>take it to be an officious demand?

Nope. But if Heil asks the question or even makes a statement,
it's winning odds that it will be officious. :-)

>Look, Len, I'm involved with amateur radio just about every day.

Good for you. Now why are you here typing when you could be
directly involved on the air? :-)

>You are a sidewalk superintendant.

Wrong analogue. I've petitioned the folks who set the "building
codes" for "sidewalks." I've designed and built and walked on
other "sidewalks."

>I don't really care if you never obtain
>a license but if you want it, you'll have to take the steps toward
>meeting the requirements for obtaining it.

No sh*t?   :-)

>If you'd like to spend the
>rest of your life gnawing on bones here, go to it.

I thought I was... :-)

>If it pleases you to
>describe me as a control freak, have at it.

It doesn't "please me" to call a control freak a control freak, yet
one has to.

>You can complain to the
>ultimate amateur radio control freaks, the FCC.

The FCC is the US civil radio regulation agency. They aren't
"control freaks" and, by complaints of many in here, don't
seem to do a lot of "control" of amateur radio. On the other
hand, the FCC Enforcement Bureau has an enormous listing
of actions taken on infractions in other radio services.

>> Heil has a truly bizarre imagination. He should have stayed
>> with guitar analogues.

>
>Yeah. I note that you didn't comment on my statement though. Bizarre
>is prattling on about demanding changes to something you aren't involved
>in.

Really? How is it "bizarre" to talk about removing a regulation which will NOT change anything for those already-licensed, will NOT remove any privileges, will NOT change their titles, status, or privileges?

Bizarre is the already-licensed officiously demanding "pass the tests first before you can even talk about something!" Bullshit. Bizarre is the self-appointed Motivation Officer demanding some kind of demonstrated desire and motivation when he has no such rank, title, or "credential" for the office.

>Yep. I know all about "Heil" and the FCC. I also know your views on
>morse code testing in the amateur service. I asked you what's it to
>you?

No one knows Heil's motivations on keeping the amateur race pure to his liking. Why is that? What is it to Heil if someone is interested in, but not interested enough, to pass some kind of "motivational resolve proof" test?

>> No newcomer, repeat NONE, is "answerable" insofar as
>> "motivation" to Heil.
>
>So I take it that you don't like being asked why you are interested in
>something which you frequently bad mouth and in which you are not an
>participant.

Kiss my yes, Heil. You demand answers through intimidation and bad-mouthing of others and then demand demonstrations of desire, motivation, and resolve. Heil has no such authority to demand everyone do has Heil says nor to follow in his exhaulted ways.

I've already said that amateur radio is a fun and interesting hobby with lots of potential...but it is not the raison d'etre for everyone nor should it be (to sane people). It is not controlled by those who have a lot of time-in-grade yet some of those individuals assume such control any way they can. That has been amply demonstrated.

>> >Do you speak for someone other than yourself?
>>
>> Yes, loosely so. Here are some names, surnames altered
>> to avoid them being a target for Heil's "motivation" barrage:
>>
>>   John Doe, June Doe, Juan Doe, Harry Doe but not his
>>   daughter Cookie, Phil Doe, Shinjiro Doe, Arpad Doe,

>>   Quan Doe, Bill Doe, Stan Doe, Dean Doe, Jose Doe,
>>   Cheng Doe, Dave Doe (but not his dog the Doe-berman).
>>
>>   [not in order nor are all related...there is no "Dosey"]
>
>I'll take that as a "no".

Heil has to take it as a yes. I changed their surnames on
purpose, partly as a levity break, partly to simply protect
them from abuse from the control-freaks who want all
"disagreeable" (to them) subjects made into Flame Wars
of the Righteous Already-Licensed!

>> >Is it your belief that I speak for someone else?
>>
>> Not at all. I find the concept impossible that Heil could ever
>> represent anyone not agreeing fully with his own personal views
>> or experiences.
>
>Then why have you presented yourself as an advocate for change when no
>one asked you to do so?

It was my desire to do so. Why has Heil advocated a status quo
and offciously mean-mouthed those who desire change in one
test regulation?

>Why have you written of others views as if you know what others
>are thinking?

As far as the "Does" are concerned, we HAVE talked about it,
shared viewpoints. Two of the "Does" are licensed radio
amateurs. I happen to have the time and energy to post here
although the time has become non-productive in view of all
the demands to show motivation and desire by some officious
status quo-ists.

>I don't believe that anyone obtains electronics knowledge through simply
>studying the Q&A pool and I don't believe that you ever thought that is
>what I meant by my statement.

I can only read what is written. Heil is welcome to his beliefs.
Heil is not welcome to impose his beliefs on others.

   <amateur Extra test question omitted due to non-understanding>

>Chew on the fact that you can build as many transmitters as you'd like
>right now. You don't even need an amateur license. That amateur
>license permits you to operate a transmitter in the amateur bands.
>"Using" is operating. In that sense, an amateur license does mean "just
>operating".

Yet another "nyah-nyah" statement.

>You get more peculiar by the week, Leonard H. You can know all the
>electronics you'd like. You can even learn the regulatory material.
>Until you pass that amateur exam, you'll likely not be putting those
>transmitters on the air in the ham bands, huh?

Heil keeps up the infantile "nyah-nyah" when the subject was
only about the morse code test. Heil keeps recycling the "have
to have a license before you can talk about it" demand. Pfui.

Heil doesn't have any valid response on the morse code test,
is only capable of puerile personal insults against those who
desire the code test elimination.

From -7483560557503943623
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:38:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 235
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:37:14 GMT
References: <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010515223714.05668.00000268@nso-dd.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21412

In article <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>, OfficialMotivationOfficer
<k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:

>> Ask the FCC about that. The FCC does not require any staff
>> to have amateur radio licenses in order to regulate, judge, or
>> anything else on radio regulations.
>
>You've trotted this one out numerous times.

It is in good running order. It has to be trotted out until the
turf-claimants understand it.

>You aren't the FCC.

Heil is not the FCC.

>You are not paid to regulate, judge or anything else on radio
>regulation.

There is no "payment" issue on regulations, laws, or anything else...including amateur radio regulations.

>You seem to have a great deal of confusion over that idea.

Absolutely no confusion. What confusion exists is on Heil's side of the screen.

>Your idea has nothing to do with getting anything INTO amateur radio.
>It has something to do with taking something OUT of amateur radio.

That's context-shifting in trying to make message points. :-)

What I was referring to was entrance examinations, the code test that is presently necessary for a below-30-MHz privilege amateur license.

It seems that there is an over-identification with morse code and amateur radio by some. Removal of the code test for a license is no automatic link to the "removal of CW from the bands." It was never so related. Such a false claim has become a staple argument by PCTAs, an emotional fight cause for their personal achievments in code skills. For those few the removal of the code test does seem to be "taking something out of amateur radio" since their whole self-esteem seems pivoted around that tested code skill.

>The code test has been reduced to 5 wpm. It looks as if the code test
>will go away in several years.

The code test is still there as an international standard. There is no guarantee that any administration will try to have S25.5 changed or modified in the future.

>Does that mean you'll go away too?

I don't plan on expiring any time soon. However, I've said several times that "if the code test is removed, I will not argue any more for its removal." Several, in fact all in here have not seen the inherent logical truth of it. :-)

>Does
>it mean that you'll finally grace amateur radio with your presence?

Irrelevant. It would be a hopeless task to compete with the God-like presence of some long-timers in amateur radio. :-)

>Unh-uh, Len. I've told you that I speak only for myself but I am a
>licensed radio amateur--a participant in ham radio.

Yes you have. But that does not explain the divine judgement
"credentials" that demand expressions of motivation and
desire so constantly stated by Heil.

>> Heil belabors the "gotta have a license" need. "Credentials!"   :-)
>
>You want one so badly that you can taste it, Len.

Heil should try a combination of toothpaste and mouthwash
or see a dentist about this bad taste. It may be a sign of
something more serious such as "bile reflux disease" or
the like. :-)

>> Clue: Possession of a ham credential does not authorize impunity
>> in treating others as inferior.
>
>I didn't say you are inferior, Len. I said you aren't a ham. Learn to
>deal with that ham radio envy you've developed.

There's no "envy" involved on this side of the screen.

Heil has said in past messages that he IS "superior" to those
who have not done as he has done. Such delusions of
superiority do not let him "play well with others."

>Check that memory. Your little tale wasn't in the paragraph at all :)
>You claimed superior knowledge then, you still do. You haven't let
>facts stand in your way.

I've not claimed any "superiority." I have claimed certain experience
in radio that covers a long span...longer than 38 years.

I try to back up some of the experiences and opinions with true
facts visible to all in third-party references...even if I am chastised
for hasty typing making an error now and then. :-)   I am not
going to claim a personal opinion as "fact" or some mythology as
"fact" as is done by a few in here.   :-)

>> "Old communications pro?" Possibly. Patronizing? Only to
>> those who don't appear to know what they are talking about or
>> inventing some story concerning HF comm that was standard
>> operating practice before I entered grade school. :-)
>
>That certainly sounds patronizing to those who haven't been involved in
>communications professionally. I have been *and* I'm a radio amateur so

>I won't take it as such.

Heil has been a professional as I've been a professional? I don't think so. I was involved in military communications in the 50s for three years, using standard operating procedures devised by others before my time. Logically, using CW as an "order wire" on an RTTY circuit for the State Department would be a very strange procedure by any group or organization. An "order wire" in a multi-circuit link is a command-and-control communications to keep the links organized. From the 1940s onwards, the "order wire" on HF has used teleprinters. That permits a written record, the same written record, to be retained at both ends of a circuit. Using CW for such an "order wire" is illogical and such a claim is suspiciously like one of the myths such as the "CW intel reports behind enemy lines in the Gulf War."   :-)

>> I'm not telling radio hams how things should be. Those in here
>> can't be told...they already know what is what and what is best
>> for everyone. I'm talking about the FCC's code test to get INTO
>> amateur radio.
>
>Sure you have, Len. You've lectured us on lodge halls and old boys and
>Maxim's trip to Washington.

"Maxim Goes To Washington" is right out of ARRL publications. :-)

"Old boys" in "lodge halls" are forever spouting self-glorification within the fraternity. Nothing new in that.

>You've spoken of how Newington should be
>reformed and you've generally bad mouthed any achievements of radio
>amateurs.

I've expressed my opinion of the ARRL's past Board of Directors policy viewpoints and of their (grudging) acceptance of the most-possible future of the USARS in newer policy statements. I've also said that the ARRL does a great number of services which are definitely good for the membership...and good for some non-members.

I have not, repeat not, "bad mouthed any achievements of radio amateurs" as a group. I've negatively criticized individuals posting in here. Individuals. Unfortunately, those individuals seem to be so self-important that the entire USARS is considered to be of the same opinion as they. By the postings of others, licensed in the USARS, those opinions are not common nor shared.

>I'm sorry, I have to disagree with your explanation.

I have no sympathy for Heil's sorrow.

>> Well, that must be Official. Heil is now in charge of amateur
>> newcomer Motivations. All newcomers are answerable to him.
>
>You aren't an amateur newcomer. When you get that "Extra out of the
>box", you will be. You certainly get defensive when anyone asks you a
>question.

Heil still hasn't posted his "credentials" that make him an
official Motivation Officer of the USARS.

I will get both defensive and offensive when any unofficial
yahoo, big-mouth, redneck, or bully "demands" answers to
satisfy Them.

>> Quick, write your congressperson and demand that all unlicensed
>> personnel at the FCC be summarily dismissed for "lack of views
>> and amateur experience!"
>
>Again you bring up the FCC.

...and I will keep bringing it up until some of the officious,
patronizing long-timers realize it. Few have discovered that
so far and think they can boss it around from long tenure.

>You don't work for the FCC and never have.

Very true. Has Heil worked for the FCC?   :-)

>You don't regulate amateur radio or any other radio service. You can
>play "let's pretend" if you like.

Heil confuses "bossmanship" a great deal. State Dept.
experience in "Bossnia?" :-)

Clue: The FCC will accept input on any radio service, including
the US ARS. It has stated so publicly. The FCC has no public
disclaimer or claimer or clamor that a commenting citizen
"must" have a license in some radio service in order to comment.
That's not "pretense" but rather public fact borne out by the
FCC's own statements.

Yet Heil DEMANDS "credentials" in the USARS in order to talk
about it, especially one small part of a relatively small radio
service that involves morse code testing. Illogical. Incongrous.

>> My error. I forgot that amateur radio works differently than all
>> other radio! Completely slipped my mind about that mythology.
>
>It doesn't matter. You are standing on the outside looking in.

So, amateur radio physics are "different" than every other radio?

Amateur radio physics "work different" if one has "credentials?"

This is truly the stuff of myth and legend...

```
From -5836622567700071985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:38:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 113
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:37:07 GMT
References: <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010515223707.05668.00000265@nso-dd.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21410
```

In article <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> 6. Morse code is still used by the military. Another myth as
>>   far as regular military communications are concerned,
>>   even a decade ago. A long set of frayed threads developed
>>   in here regarding the "necessity" for Army Special Forces
>>   training "showing that the Army still used it" but that was
>>   not taken back when examples of present-day comm
>>   tactics along with equipment were presented. A ludicrous
>>   claim was done by a "retired Army colonel" whose "son
>>   sent intelligence reports from behind Iraqi lines using CW,"
>>   without any verification of such from any other source.
>
>...and yet you must know that there is some military use of morse and
>some governmental use of morse. Don't you? You mean they didn't tell
>you?

The US Army trains morse intercept operators for intelligence
gathering purposes for all military branches and the US government.
At Fort Huachuca, AZ, along with several other radio skills that
have nothing to do with morse code. Morse code skill is one of

the requirements for an Army Special Forces "communications sergeant" MOS.

Other than that, there is NO NEED for any morse code skill in regular, routine, tactical, or strategic US miliatry communications. The US Army says so, the USN says so, the USAF says so, even the US government says so.

Some morseodists will argue that the singular skill of passive intelligence gathering "shows military use of morse code." That has about as much sense as saying "the US Army cavalry still uses horses" when there are only a few at only one place in or near DC, used solely for military funerals.

>It stands to reason that those who have been at something for a long
>time tend to develop their skills and to accumulate more knowledge.
>It isn't true for all but then you didn't want to ruin your sweeping
>generalization, did you?

Is Heil arguing with himself now?!?

>I always figured that my art teacher knew more
>about painting than I did. If he didn't, he certainly shouldn't have
>been teaching an art class.

My high school art teacher was Richard Martikonis, about 35 at that time, ex-USNR who had commanded an LST during WW2. He was good in water-color wash painting then when I was a teener. I became better than he was, by demonstration, later. At Art Center School of Design (then in LA on 3rd Street) there were some better-at-art instructors, even a few who taught part time. I was their equal, already one who had worked at art (illustration) for money for several years. They did not motivate me as Richard Martikonis did, yet they were "credentialed" teachers. A "credential" is not always a guarantee of proficiency or of success. Some teachers simply can't make it in the world outside of school. I switched to electrical engineering where there were better motivations in the physical world. Never regretted it.

>Naw, Len. You've taken part of a concept and screwed it all up.
>It is possible for you to know something about amateur radio. Even if
>you don't read amateur radio magazines, you've dug up some information
>on the web and have a few friends who are hams. For you to believe that
>this makes you knowledgeable about ham radio is plain silly.

Heil is busy manufacturing another dispute, but his clay is dried out and will not form what he wants. He could not sculpt a Pieta because he has no piety.

Firstly, I am not "more knowledgeable" about ham radio than any other amateur Extra who is also a professional. Anyone with a receiver can tune in on amateur radio communications, hear the jargon, the procedure, the medical ailments. I am more knowledgeable about overall HF communications of the past by virtue of having been a part of both military and commercial communications. I am definitely more knowledgeable about microwave radio than most (possibly greater than 90%) radio amateurs by virtue of having been in that bandspace for a living. [99+% of amateur bandspace is in microwaves]

There is NO need to have a gargantuan knowledge or a lifetime of US amateur radio in advocacy of removal of a code test for an amateur radio license.

> The religious revelation stuff has got me thinking that your
>imagination is far, far better than mine. Either that or you've found
>some magic mushrooms somethere.

No, no shrooms, magic or otherwise. I do not claim to "know more about amateur radio" only that I know as much as anyone else who has an interest in it and can access the information. That information is not secret, restricted, or made available in some divine flash-of-revelation on receiving an amateur license.

The "time-in-grade" (tenure) claim of long-timers that they know what is best for amateur radio is about as pure a Turf claim as can be found. They want things their way for their own personal purposes. That's probably overlaid with some patronizing parental demand of "do what I tell you!" pseudo-authority. They have no such "authority." Perhaps it is just a smoke-screen rationalization for extreme dissatisfaction that newcomers might just know more about radio than they do. Since the morse code test was such a strong "knowledge" claim, they will wave that banner as if it was the epitome of amateur skill. See the "Know code = know ham, No code = no ham" school of non-thought.

From 3849600700149072844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:38:20 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:38:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9dsp6s$rfk$0@208.207.71.136>
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com> <9drjb2$7qe$0@208.207.71.176>
<3B01B23E.EA1EB8ED@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.136
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21413

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Pretty much the way it happened with me, only more recently, a few
> > years ago here on rrap. The second I showed up in support of a code test
> > I was immediately set upon by a pack of hungry jackels who insisted that
> > I was personally responsible for their misachievments and that my
> > support of code was a travesty of Holocaust proportions.
>
> Trouble is, Dick, that you told, in so many words, that all the no-code
> hams and all the potential no-code hams are lower than the lowest layer
> of whale $hit in the deepest part of the ocean.

As always, Cecil again trims it to fit the requirements of his own personal Agenda.
I said then, have said since, and will now say it again - anyone too worthless to want to spend a little time learning the most basic radiocommunications mode hardly matters whether or not he is ever licensed.

From -2541681649313264747
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 19:51:31 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 02:51:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9dspvi$ru8$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com> <WCaM6.24381$t12.1874888@bgtnsc05-

```
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <%HaM6.2267$6j3.194637@www.newsranger.com>
<3B013F67.B16B532@earthlink.net> <lKcM6.24712$4f7.1881563@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9dsmir$kca$0@208.207.71.136>
<FslM6.25515$t12.1929280@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21414



Richard McCollum wrote:
>

> >  Ham Radio is NOT about maximum theoretical throughput. The techies just
> > can't imagine such a thing.
>
> Dick, I will leave what Ham Radio is about to you.  With a few hundred k
> participants you may get a few mega anwers.  Damfino
>
> Dick


 Ah.... you have pinpointed my omission. Should have read..

"Ham radio is not *just* about maximum theoretical throughput...etc.


From -6023919255729499314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 22:40:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 22:36:39 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9dqf40$rn2$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFEE204.969EEFAB@IEEE.org> <3B00732A.348741AE@uci.edu>
<3B008446.39358034@IEEE.org> <3B008842.E7453224@uci.edu>
<3B00AA61.D6639609@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53b-33.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21315

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B00AA61.D6639609@IEEE.org...
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > > How many think so now? It can be "a lot of trouble and effort" to
wipe
> > > > 3 times too, but it's usually worth it.
> > >
> > > Which hand do you wipe with, Jim?
> >
> > What's it to ya?
>
> Just want to know which one to avoid shaking.

Well, now you have your excuse. Seek and ye shall find.

From 3449368003280354347
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 22:49:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 15 May 2001 05:49:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9dqg21$6vh$0@208.207.71.154>
References: <3affb5f8.590583@news.mindspring.com>
<20010515003502.07982.00001561@nso-cm.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.154
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21316

N2EY wrote:
>
 this also means that some folks will get on the air with almost no
> real knowledge. For example, I know of a few new hams who got their licenses on
> a bet. In both cases a ham friend bet them that they couldn't get a license in
> less than a certains short amount of time. The bet was accepted, the person
> memorized enough of the question pool to pass and won the bet. Did they really
> have an interest in ham radio? Maybe. Did they learn much about it in their
> preparation? Nope.

Careful, Jim, you'll have Ed Hare on *your* case next thing out of the
box, asserting you don't know what you're talking about.

```
From -6280645365047536800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 23:04:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net> <20010514222458.08242.00000467@nso-
mf.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 268
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 06:04:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.122
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989906642 63.17.222.122 (Mon, 14 May
2001 23:04:02 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 23:04:02 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 23:02:22 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21317
```

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >To what end? Why would an adult male who has no apparent interest in a

> >field lobby to change the rules regulating it?
>
> >I don't equate asking you a simple question with making a demand. Are
> >you embarrassed to be asked the question? Are you not proud of your
> >motive?
>
> >I know what you do. I asked you why you do it if you have no intention
> >of obtaining an amateur license? Your posts do not limit themselves to
> >issues of morse testing so there must be something to your fixation.
>
> The mechanism of Heil's responses are called loaded questions.
> Heil makes assumptions and then impugns "motives" of his own
> imaginative assumptions. Heil is demanding to show motive.
> Heil has only an emotional need for others to show motivation to
> Him, not the USARS.

I asked questions. If you don't want to tell me, why not tell the
USARS? I haven't demanded that you answer, Len. Just say that the
questions make you feel uncomfortable if you like.

> As I've said some while ago in here, "it might be nice to have an
> amateur radio license...for another hobby."

What's holding you up? You also told us that you were going for an
"Extra out of the box" when the new licensing regs were about to be
introduced.

> The response was rather startling over the next few months. Those
> ranged over others' personal views of amateur radio as:
>
> 1. Amateur radio is a "service." Misusing the word "service" (a type
>   or kind of radio) in Title 47 CFR as some kind of "service to the
>   nation" (possibly to all of humankind as some implied). Amateur
>   radio is not a quasi-military organization or anything else other
>   than a hobby.

There are certainly service aspects to amateur radio. To some hams, the
public service area is their only interest.

> 2. Likening amateur radio to a guild, craft, or profession that
>   "needs" a progression from low rank on up to "high"
>   (even exhaulted?) "rank" beginning with "apprenticeship"
>   (Novice then, no-code-test Technician now) to culminate
>   with "master" (Extra). That presumably from the way
>   others had done it, which led to...

Aside from a "time in grade" requirement for the Extra years back,
nothing has stopped anyone from starting at any class of license he
feels he can pass. As far as I know, you are the only person to use
words like, "guild", "craft" or "profession". These classes of
license--are you telling us that the only thing that sets them apart is
a code test (the only thing you advocate doing away with)?

> 3. All "should" enter as youngsters (with Novice apprenticeship
>   of course)...apparently in order to enter and be properly
>   imprinted with some mysterious "spirit of ham radio" that is
>   supposed to weld all into a homogenous fraternal order of
>   like minds and spirit. That accompanied by several tales of
>   nine and six-year olds who have "made it" (passed a written
>   tests that very few young schoolchildren could have properly
>   understood). This is partly a "turf" claim since those who
>   use the claim came into amateur radio that way (and thus
>   all should do so as "the proper way").

What you call tales aren't that at all. Are you one of those guys who dismiss the magazine coverage of very young kids passing an amateur radio exam as manufactured?

What about this idea that those interested in becoming hams "should" enter as youngsters. Even when I held a Novice ticket, I ran into Novices of all ages.   Who propagated the idea that you seem to find very offensive. Is it because it would be impossible for you to start as a youngster?

> 4. Morse code skill is "absolutely necessary" in amateur radio
>   (in testing or in use) for a variety of reasons that would have
>   been good in the 1930s but are hopelessly outdated at the
>   turn of the millenium. Amateur radio is the last radio service
>   to require code testing for below-30-MHz radio communication
>   purposes. A considerable number of hairs were split trying to
>   show the "necessity" for maritime radio service for emergency
>   and third-world ship communications in a commerce area that
>   has shifted to SSB voice and various data modes.

But amateur radio is one place where morse use is alive and well. The mode is used extensively. Why shouldn't ham radio be the last place to require code testing?

> 5. Morse code skill testing demonstrates "motivation and
>   resolve" along with "working at mastering code is good."
>   The necessity to demonstrate motivation is not any
>   requirement in regulations but is a fictitious demand by
>   those who have established "turf" in an activity and seek to
>   rule/direct it.

We already know that you have a problem when anyone asks you about motivation.

> 6. Morse code is still used by the military. Another myth as
>   far as regular military communications are concerned,
>   even a decade ago. A long set of frayed threads developed
>   in here regarding the "necessity" for Army Special Forces
>   training "showing that the Army still used it" but that was
>   not taken back when examples of present-day comm
>   tactics along with equipment were presented. A ludicrous
>   claim was done by a "retired Army colonel" whose "son

> sent intelligence reports from behind Iraqi lines using CW," without any verification of such from any other source.

...and yet you must know that there is some military use of morse and some governmental use of morse. Don't you? You mean they didn't tell you?

> 7. Long tenure with an amateur radio license "means expertise" in everything concerning amateur radio, therefore long-timers "know what is best for newcomers." A ridiculous bit of turf-claiming which belies the seeming real purpose of preserving conditions that the long-timers prefer (preservation of elitism and privilege).

It stands to reason that those who have been at something for a long time tend to develop their skills and to accumulate more knowledge. It isn't true for all but then you didn't want to ruin your sweeping generalization, did you? I always figured that my art teacher knew more about painting than I did. If he didn't, he certainly shouldn't have been teaching an art class.

> 8. The close relation to (7) is the claim that "anyone not IN amateur radio cannot possibly know anything at all about amateur radio, doesn't know anything, can never know." A gigantic myth written in anger at anyone who proposes a change, any change...but could possibly be an eccentric delusion that claimants think they are "special humans" possessed of powers greater than inferior, lesser beings. Such enoblement is a classic turf statement. If it were true, no newcomer could have passed and entered amateur radio after the claimant's first test and the claimant's sudden transformation given the glory and majesty of revelations after receiving the first license grant. It is as if a religious act "revealed all" getting that call sign.

Naw, Len. You've taken part of a concept and screwed it all up. It is possible for you to know something about amateur radio. Even if you don't read amateur radio magazines, you've dug up some information on the web and have a few friends who are hams. For you to believe that this makes you knowledgeable about ham radio is plain silly. Why, YOU would have to be a "special human" possessed of powers greater than inferior, lesser beings to believe that you, as a non-ham could have as much knowledge about amateur radio as someone who had been an active ham for years. The religious revelation stuff has got me thinking that your imagination is far, far better than mine. Either that or you've found some magic mushrooms somethere.

> 9. "Anyone can learn morse code, tens of thousands have" is a popular response against ending the code test. The problem with that is two-fold: It is used because there are no figures or statistics showing the number of those who tried but could not make it. It does not address WHY a code test still exists. Such statements are usually made

> by those who will never ever have to take another amateur radio test in their lives (part of the turf syndrome).

Let me ask you, Len. Do you believe that tens of thousand of others tried and failed to enter amateur radio through the years? I don't.
I don't think the "why a code test exists" needs be addressed as part of a statement that large numbers of people have successfully learned morse code. Does the issue itself matter in this time when the morse speed for all classes of license have been dropped to 5 wpm and are likely to be dropped altogether in several years? When that takes place, what excuse will you have for not obtaining the piece of amateur radio turf that you so obviously covet?

> 10. No professionals are wanted or desired because it is AMATEUR radio (Roll's capitalization)...and nothing in professional radio has any relation to amateur radio. This is a common non-response which is really a left-hand slap at those who know more radio technology than the amateur. It is illogical and ludicrous and at the same time insults the many who are professionals involved in radio and who also have amateur radio licenses.

Your enormous ego has been bruised. I've never ever felt insulted or slighted though I've worked professionally in communications. Maybe some have just gotten tired of your "Single Wing Anderson-There I was at 10,000 feet with both engines out" tales. You seem to have a gift for pissing people off.

> That pretty much covers most of the ground on postings from those who are dead-set on keeping the morse code test in US amateur radio and have been for the last four years. Some more could be added, of course, but the above represents a synopsis of general posting by the PCTAs.

...as seen by the self-appointed advocate for morse code testing removal.

> Heil will still demand demonstration of motive for campaigning against the code test. This can be summarized by what I said in this message ("it would be an interesting hobby") and by what I am not interested in doing in amateur radio:

You might not believe this but loads of motive shows in what you write and the way you present it.

> I am not interested in working DX on HF, I did HF comm 24/7 for three years a long time ago. I'm not interested in owning the spiffiest or newest or most high-powered amateur equipment despite being able to afford such; have plenty of toys here already. I'm not interested in contest "radio sport" and would rather watch international football or my hard disk defragging than do that.

I hope you'll have as much fun doing so as I will picturing it.
Thankfully, most DX QSOs don't go 24/7.

> I'm not
> interested in taking up obsessions such as a "devotion"
> to amateur radio above and beyond normal life or
> religious beliefs. I'm not interested in joining an
> "exclusive fraternity" if it contains too many long-timers
> who love to put down anyone in opposition to their
> devotions or beliefs or turf claims of "knowing what is
> best" for any newcomer.

It certainly beats any prospective newcomer who, in his best Foghorn Leghorn, proclaims that he knows what is best for amateur radio.

> I am interested in amateur radio as one of several ways to
> communicate with others and with the technical side of
> communications, the hardware and software. I am not
> interested in preserving the wetware "ROMs" of the 1930s
> by keeping standards and practices of that time as the
> epitome of radio or radio credentialism.

You've gone out of your way to let us know what you are not interested in doing in amateur radio. It is really refreshing that you clued us in as to what you'd like to do.

> Legal amateur radio activities require passing a license
> exam. For under-30-MHz privileges that exam requires
> a test for morse code skill. I think that code test should
> be eliminated...so do many others including the FCC.

Not exactly true, is it?

> Eliminating that code test does not mean an "end" to
> CW mode use nor does it affect any privileges of those
> already licensed in amateur radio.
>
> It may hurt some long-timers' feelings if "no-codes"
> "invade their turf," but that's just TS card-punching.
> Exclusivity isn't forever and elitist play-space wasn't a
> grant from divine providence.

I don't expect that anyone will have to worry about you invading any turf for some time to come. Even after you've obtained your piece of turf, you may have to change that attitude to find a few folks for a contact. I think you might be able to clear a frequency faster than a nearby lightning strike.

Dave K8MN

From 2205282757880660707

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 23:32:58 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AFDF7E2.4BDCFCFE@earthlink.net> <20010514222453.08242.00000464@nso-mf.aol.com>
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <u44M6.23556$4f7.1847860@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 06:32:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.174.167
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989908378 12.88.174.167 (Tue, 15 May 2001 06:32:58 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 06:32:58 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21318

I think this may be what bothers me a bit. In an inductive circuit, voltage leads current; in the capacitive circuit, the current leads voltage. In either case, one doen't have to know a whole lot. The obvious answer is contained within the question. This is difficult? At least have a bridge circuit thrown in if you can't do a fill in the blanks type of quiz. Pretty soon we'll be down to 120 volts hurts, 220 hurts a lot, and don't ever get across 480! At least we haven't gotten down to 'spell your name correctly and we'll add 20 points to you score'.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim (AA2QA)

From 7820374319046545389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 00:57:27 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 03:58:01 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <3B00E182.1E4A25FE@sccoast.net>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-ch.aol.com> <9dne05$f00$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFFBBAA.8A1350A@sccoast.net> <uSRL6.557$6j3.43709@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989913446 115442 207.144.228.83 (15 May 2001 07:57:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 07:57:26 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21319

k0hb@arrl.org wrote:

> [snip] If amateurs were to be licensed
> without any requirement for electronics
> knowledge, then it follows that type
> acceptance of all amateur equipment would
> be a requirement for sale. Used equipment,
> if sold to "no-Tech" amateurs would need
> to be recertified and "mod-free", and
> repairs could only be accomplished by
> FCC-approved service facilities. The
> cost of new equipment would rise to
> commercial-service price levels, because
> of type-acceptance issues, and most
> vendors would probably leave the market.
>
> Sorry, but you guys are out to lunch
> with this cockeyed notion.

Wow, talk about out of left field. Hans, I've been arguing for days about a greater need to attract those with technical skills, not some reduction in that. In other words, you're directing your argument at the choir. The only difference between us is the code requirement. I don't see any relationship between code and technical skill. In fact, I see it as a barrier to technical skill - like requiring a person to pass a typing test using an old IBM manual typewriter before allowing him to write an article about the Space Shuttle.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -498398316389854147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 01:09:52 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010514190313.03821.00002514@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<20010515003500.07982.00001560@nso-cm.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 45
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 08:09:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.39
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989914192 12.75.103.39 (Tue, 15 May 2001 08:09:52 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 08:09:52 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21320

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010515003500.07982.00001560@nso-cm.aol.com...
> I still recall the day good ol' Dr. Ketterer, teaching a class of 25 or 30
3rd
> year EE students, sketched a common-source JFET amplifier on the board.
This
> amplifier had tuned input and output circuits. He asked if the circuit
would
> oscillate. Everyone in the class said no - except me. I said "it depends
on the
> circuit constants".
>
> He paused a second, then asked "Are you a ham radio operator?" When I
answered
> in the affirmative, he smiled and said "You are the only one who got it
right".
> (I was the only ham in that class). He then asked me to explain what
would
> happen, and I talked about the feedback through the gate-drain
capacitance. Dr.
> K was impressed - and he didn't impress easily.

>
> Much later I found out that the one thing he found lacking in most engineering
> students was practical experience and an instinctive understanding of the
> basics. Ham radio gave me both of those things.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

As Billy Sunday once said, I know there is a Devil because the Bible tells
me so and because I have done business with him personally. You could say
the same thing about Edsel J Murphy given the ham radio experience.

The difference is whether you want a scientific satellite built or whether
you want someone to build you a sewage treatment plant that actually works.

Judging from the local engineering emporium, in one more generation all of
the covers when removed will say 'crick' and the unit will play three
choruses of Allah Akbar when the warranty expires.

Dick N�BK

From 6623411685603925283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 01:11:45 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 04:12:20 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3B00E4D8.D6AE4EE6@sccoast.net>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFFB931.B884319B@sccoast.net> <3AFFEA57.89DB6926@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989914304 116092 207.144.228.83 (15 May 2001 08:11:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 08:11:44 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21321
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> It's human nature, Dwight. People who lose
> wars spend the rest of their lives agonizing
> about it instead of getting on with their lives.

That's probably true. However, if I got that upset over every battle I lost, I'd have no free time to do anything else. It is certainly worth some time spent to learn from the many lost battles, but anything beyond that is a waste.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 7993691010072563397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 01:18:30 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 04:19:05 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3B00E66B.18D78CF4@sccoast.net>
References: <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010514222453.08242.00000465@nso-mf.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.83
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 989914710 115868 207.144.228.83 (15 May 2001 08:18:30
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 08:18:30 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21322
```

Len Over 21 wrote:

>
> Larry Roll K3LT writes:

>
> > Actually, my involvement as an electronics
> > hobbyist predates my first ham license by
> > almost 15 years, when I won my 8th grade
> > Science Fair with a homebrewed transistor
> > radio built out of one of Bill Orr's books.
>
> Wow, a technical break-through...a radio built
> entirely from paper and cardboard! Did the ink
> make it semi-conducting?

You're as bad as I am when it come to that. My wife absolutely
hates when I point to an alternative meaning for something she says.
The English language is filled with these things, some of them rather humorous.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3882122470135969146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 01:45:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 08:45:32 GMT
References: <20010514071920.28961.00003618@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515044532.28252.00003838@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21323

In article <20010514071920.28961.00003618@ng-mn1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>The bottom line, though, is that ham radio has not been a training ground for
>engineers for decades, if ever. It provides a valuable addition to the
>pursuit
>of an engineering career and degree, offering significant practical
>experience
>that is not found in an academic course, but any idea that a ham radio
>license,

>of any era, is equivalent to an engineering degree is preposterous.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

Yes, this is quite true. Which makes me wonder why Cecil and
others continue to insist that professional engineers who don't wish
to comply with reasonable licensing requirements should be viewed
as some great loss to the service? What is *your* perception?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1029046888519217620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 01:45:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 08:45:31 GMT
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21324

In article <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>For personal satisfaction, no doubt.
>
>Larry, I, not speaking for ARRL, think it a bit strange that you would act so
>differenly in two different social situations that involve the same set of
>people.
>
>Do you really wake up in the AM and say, "I think I will go on rra.policy and
>bash people; that seems like the right thing to do?"

Ed:

Actually, no, I don't. It all started when I read a posting on the old
Fidonet Ham Radio echo in which a no-coder referred to code

testing as a form of "ethnic cleansing" in the ARS. That is what set me off. Since then, I've seen everything bad that can happen in the world blamed on code testing. So, I started to amuse myself by engaging in the fairly simple task of showing the no-coders for the fools they are. After that, it gained a life of it's own. I now wish I had a full archive of everything I've written on this topic. I'm sure it would fill volumes!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6194140426257616193
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 01:46:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 117
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 08:45:34 GMT
References: <20010514051806.25187.00000222@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Message-ID: <20010515044534.28252.00003839@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21326

In article <20010514051806.25187.00000222@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>Tell you what; let's put it to the test. Send an email to Dave or Mark and ask them if they feel they have any legal right to censor my personal views on Amateur Radio. If they say anything other than as long as I do not use my position at ARRL to undermine ARRL policy, they cannot, and will not, censor my right of free speech.

Ed:

Well, there it is -- in your own words. What you have just said is that it is impossible for you to make a personal statement in this newsgroup that does not significantly differ from stated ARRL policy. And you wanna know something? If I were Dave or Mark, I'd have made it very clear to you to either tow the "company line" or stay the heck off of this newsgroup! And no, I'm not saying this to get you to go away or anything like that. Remember -- I am an ARRL member in good standing, have been for years before I became a licensed ham, and will be as long as something called "ham radio" still exists. I support the majority of ARRL's policies, programs, and objectives, just not

ALL of them. As a ham, I've received a lot of assistance from the ARRL, and I've also been thoroughly "dissed" directly by ARRL officials. So, my attitude toward the ARRL is, at best, a mixed bag at this time.

>If they say that they do, I will buy you a lobster
>dinner; if not, you owe me another.

Well, so far, we're still Ed 1, Larry, 0 -- lobster dinner, wise. Now, all we need is an opportunity for you to collect.

>> And, as was drilled into my brain over and over during my military career
>> and beyond, "Perception is Reality."
>
>I have seen many a perception here that I think has very little to do with
>reality, Larry.

I mention it because you seem to me like a "perception is reality" kind of guy.

>That cliche is virtually without meaning unless we can agree
>that it applies only to yourself.

Ed, that "cliche" was used by my last squadron commander in my Air Force career to literally make life miserable for me and my Senior NCO colleagues. So much so, in fact, that the minute our career field came open for Early Retirement, all six of us were in his office in the same afternoon presenting our retirement papers. For over a year prior to that, we had been trying to convince him that "perception" is merely perception, and that only Reality is reality. He refused to change his attitude, and continued to misjudge us and our fellow airmen based solely on his "perceptions." In the process, he failed to properly prepare our squadron for a critical inspection, over which I wrote a scathing report to the Wing Commander. It was a lot of fun watching the squadron turned inside out after that, all the while patting the retirement orders in my shirt pocket!

>>The only logical conclusion one can draw from the perceptions gained
>>here is that the ARRL no longer sees a radio amateur as anything
>>beyond someone with a piece of paper in his possession.
>
>The only logical conclusion you can draw is that Ed Hare no longer sees...

Yes, Ed, I'm sure you do see it that way. However, you also are required to reflect ARRL policy without any significant diversion from same, so you are perceived as being an ARRL spokesman. You cannot escape this.

>> All they (the ARRL) expect are larger numbers
>> of people to send in their dues.
>
>All he (Ed Hare) expects...
>

>>Is this really true, Ed? If this perception is wrong, then why did you
>>give it?
>
>I gave my perceptions, Larry; you have seen them in your own unique way. Not
>surprisingly, it is a way that fits your agenda to a T. :-)

My only agenda is to make the rest of the readers understand that when you say something smacking of the ARRL's typical political correctness, that you are doing so as a parrot of ARRL policy. Obviously, the ARRL doesn't want to attach any higher, more stringent meaning to the term "Real Ham" because they know damn well that they would very likely offend the sensibilities of the tens of thousands of technically uninvolved "consumers" of ham radio equipment that don't meet a more rigorous definition of the term. Your statement that a "Real Ham" is someone who passed the tests and possesses the piece of paper does nothing more than ensure that you didn't hurt anyone's pwecious feewings.

>>Ed, I was a member of the ARRL before I became a ham, I will
>>always be an ARRL member as long as I am a ham, and I will
>>always encourage my fellow hams to become ARRL members.
>
>What, you aren't interchanging Ed Hare and ARRL in this statement? Odd, I
>was expecting you to say that you were a member of Ed Hare before you were a
ham.
>You obviously cannot separate personal opinion from organizational
>objectives, so I wouldn't really know what to expect.
>
>Larry, if you expect folks to believe that ARRL would choose me as its policy
>spokesperson and tell me to go on rra.policy and spread the word, you are
>really losing touch with "perception." :-)

Yes, Ed, I am VERY out of touch with "perception." I prefer a more analytical approach to dealing with people -- one that permits me to ascertain the true nature of Reality. I think I understand your own "reality" when it comes to the nature of your commentary here on RRAP. And, whether it complies with ARRL policy by design or coincidence, the reality is that I don't recall you ever saying anything that would NOT. Perceive THAT!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6869107119727517900
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 01:46:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 08:45:26 GMT
References: <20010514052713.25187.00000227@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515044526.28252.00003836@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21325

In article <20010514052713.25187.00000227@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>You do agree that emergency communications is an
>>important part of our service, don't you?
>
>Sure I do. I just disagree with you that most emergency communications is
>carried out using Morse code.

Ed:

Uh huh, I see. BTW, when did I say THAT? Provide the quote, please.

>If the goal truly is emergency communications effectiveness, it would be more
>effective to mandate that all hams maintain an operating station and that all
>hams participate "N" hours a month in emergency communications practice
>activity.

Sounds good to me. Does this "mandate" to maintain an operating station give us hams the power to override CC&R's? Would be nice if it did!

>If the goal is for you to have Morse code stations to QSO, then ...

Nope, that's not my goal at all. It would be nice, but it certainly isn't a personal goal. I no longer consider myself to have any personal goals as a ham. I just do what I can, when I can, and try to have fun while doing so.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2944488977315168317
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 02:58:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!

audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 131
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 09:57:19 GMT
References: <20010515044534.28252.00003839@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Message-ID: <20010515055719.14902.00002656@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21327

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Tell you what; let's put it to the test. Send an email to Dave or Mark and
>>ask them if they feel they have any legal right to censor my personal views
>>on Amateur Radio. If they say anything other than as long as I do not use my
>>position at ARRL to undermine ARRL policy, they cannot, and will not, censor
>>my right of free speech.

>Well, there it is -- in your own words. What you have just said is that
>it is impossible for you to make a personal statement in this newsgroup
>that does not significantly differ from stated ARRL policy.

You are free to interpret my words any way you like, Larry, and it is clear
that you will use that freedom extensively.

> And you wanna know something? If I were Dave or Mark, I'd have made it very
>clear to you to either tow the "company line" or stay the heck off of
>this newsgroup!

Fortunately for me and ARRL, you are not Dave or Mark, because if ARRL started
to impose that kind of censorship of my personal life, I would leave and find
employment elsewhere. Of course, we have to remember that in your mind, or at
least in the view you feel a need to present here, that censorship already
exists.

>And no, I'm not saying this to get you to go away or
>anything like that.

Of course not, Larry. :-)

> I support the majority of ARRL's policies, programs, and objectives, just not
>ALL of them.

Then you and I are alike in that regard. ARRL's policy wrt Morse code testing
is not exactly like the one I asked Tom Frenaye to help implement.

>As a ham, I've received a lot of assistance from the
>ARRL, and I've also been thoroughly "dissed" directly by ARRL
>officials.

Are you sure they were dissing you and not some of the wild ideas that you banter about? After all, accusing someone of not being able to tell the truth (on this forum) could result in a bit of "dissing" in some circles...

>>If they say that they do, I will buy you a lobster
>>dinner; if not, you owe me another.

>Well, so far, we're still Ed 1, Larry, 0 -- lobster dinner, wise. Now, all
>we need is an opportunity for you to collect.

Yes, we are, and I am sure they is great meaning to be found in the fact that you are not risking Ed-2, Larry 0. :-)

>>I have seen many a perception here that I think has very little to do with
>>reality, Larry.

>I mention it because you seem to me like a "perception is reality" kind
>of guy.

Good. If you ever have the time, tell me just what that old cliche phrase really means and how you think it applies to the topic at hand. To me, it sounds like an old cliche, or a mantra chant.

>>That cliche is virtually without meaning unless we can agree
>>that it applies only to yourself.

>Ed, that "cliche" was used by my last squadron commander in my
>Air Force career to literally make life miserable for me and my Senior
>NCO colleagues.

So you are trying to make my life miserable here? Time for a good "dissing?"

>Yes, Ed, I'm sure you do see it that way. However, you also are
>required to reflect ARRL policy without any significant diversion from
>same, so you are perceived as being an ARRL spokesman. You
>cannot escape this.

I am not required to post a single word on rra.policy, Larry. Those words I do choose to post are my own.

>My only agenda is to make the rest of the readers understand that when
>you say something smacking of the ARRL's typical political correctness,
>that you are doing so as a parrot of ARRL policy.

No, Larry, that is you action.   Your agenda runs deeper.

> Obviously, the ARRL doesn't want to attach any higher, more stringent meaning to the term
>"Real Ham" because they know damn well that they would very likely
>offend the sensibilities of the tens of thousands of technically
>uninvolved "consumers" of ham radio equipment that don't meet a more
>rigorous definition of the term.

I believe that attaching the term "Real Ham," in the context that it is used here, mostly by you, represent gratuitous insult that demeans those saying it, those impacted by it and Amateur Radio. I don't know what your "style" is in life, Larry, but I believe that it will be much more effective in getting hams into the technical areas you describe to offer encouragment, not demeanment.

When I was a freshly minted Novice, or General, had I run into any significant number of folks who kept telling me that I wasn't a "Real Ham" because they assumed I didn't meet their expectations because the test I took didn't contain hand draw schematics and essay questions, I would not be a ham today. They would have driven me off. And the really pityable part is that they would have convinced themselves that they had done the "right thing" to preserve Amateur Radio.

>Your statement that a "Real Ham" is someone who passed the tests and
> possesses the piece of paper does nothing more than ensure that you
>didn't hurt anyone's pwecious feewings.

Yes, it does, Larry. But it that is the way you view it, I think we can presure that your statements about "Real Hams" do nothing more than ensure that you do hurt their feelings. Perhaps that tells us all we need to know about your "agenda," eh?

>Yes, Ed, I am VERY out of touch with "perception." I prefer a more
>analytical approach to dealing with people -- one that permits me to
>ascertain the true nature of Reality. I think I understand your own
>"reality" when it comes to the nature of your commentary here on
>RRAP. And, whether it complies with ARRL policy by design or
>coincidence, the reality is that I don't recall you ever saying anything
>that would NOT. Perceive THAT!

Perhaps you need to work on your recall, Larry. And as to "the true nature of Reality," I guess you are superior after all. Some would even see that you perceive yourself as godlike. I can't touch that; you are welcome to it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8660516186504616252
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 03:01:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 10:00:33 GMT
References: <20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515060033.14902.00002657@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21328

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> So, I started to amuse myself by engaging in the fairly simple task of
> showing the no-coders for the fools they are.

The perception by most, I suspect, is that you appear quite the fool in the process.

Please understand that most others do not find amusement in insulting and demeaning people by class. Many of us did in grammar school, but most of us have grown past that. The amazing part is that you seem proud of it. Odd...

>After that, it gained a life of it's own.

That is unfortunate. Have you considered getting help so you can regain control of yourself and your emotions?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4455558884606004430
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 03:04:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 10:03:28 GMT
References: <20010515044532.28252.00003838@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515060328.14902.00002658@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21329

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>> The bottom line, though, is that ham radio has not been a training ground
>> for engineers for decades, if ever.

>Yes, this is quite true. Which makes me wonder why Cecil and
>others continue to insist that professional engineers who don't wish
>to comply with reasonable licensing requirements should be viewed
>as some great loss to the service?

Those engineers bring technical skills to the Service that are over and above
the requirements of Amateur Radio. Most of the advances within Amateur Radio
have come from those with such skills.

What I simply cannot understand is that on one hand, you say that you believe
that Amateur Radio is technically going downhill and on the other, you argue
that Amateur Radio does not need its most technical people.

>What is *your* perception?

That you just like to argue.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7241556443494912555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 03:07:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 10:06:47 GMT
References: <9dqf50$4r6$0@208.207.71.154>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515060647.14902.00002659@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21330

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>FCC knows from long years of direct experiencethat there are always more
>than enough hams who are active,informed and capable of handling
>emergency communications such that no such regulations are needed.

Perhaps you will someday say that the FCC knows from long years of direct
experience that ther are always more than enough hams who are capable of
learning Morse code that no testing regulations are needed.

>How can ANY traffic be handled via radiotelegraphy - the most basic

>radiocommunciations mode - without knowledgable hams being trained in
>it?

Hams have always self-trained in Morse code, Dick. Many learned the code before becoming hams. Do you really believe that Morse code is so onerous that people won't learn it because of its inherent value? If so, then you do not believe it has inherent value. I disagree.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8745340779553236932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 03:09:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 10:09:01 GMT
References: <20010515044526.28252.00003836@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515060901.14902.00002660@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21331

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>>You do agree that emergency communications is an
>>>important part of our service, don't you?

>>Sure I do. I just disagree with you that most emergency communications is
>>carried out using Morse code.

>Uh huh, I see. BTW, when did I say THAT? Provide the quote, please.

I cede the point; most emergency communications is not carried out using Morse code. Can you provide an estimate for how much emergency communications is carried out using Morse code?

>I no longer consider myself to have any
>personal goals as a ham.

For someone without a goal, you are directing a lot of energy not to achieve it...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3623558420199249858
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 03:13:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 10:13:32 GMT
References: <9dqau3$nbq$0@208.207.71.154>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515061332.14902.00002661@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21332

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>That doesn't mean I don't have a pretty good grasp of what is inside and how
>it does what it does.

Is that something you learned to pass your ham exams, learned from your professional experience of learned from years of being technically involved in ham radio?

>I consider an appliance operator to be a ham who buys the rig and has
>no idea how it works, having studied the question pool for his exam. And
>he doesn't care. Lots of them about these days.

No more or less than were about in the 60s, Dick, IMHO.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4095629510110034349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 03:16:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Date: 15 May 2001 10:16:14 GMT
References: <9dqg21$6vh$0@208.207.71.154>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010515061614.14902.00002662@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21333

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>N2EY wrote:

>> this also means that some folks will get on the air with almost no
>> real knowledge.

>Careful, Jim, you'll have Ed Hare on *your* case next thing out of the
>box, asserting you don't know what you're talking about.

Not at all, Dick.  He is saying that some people do that and is providing a
specific example.  Quite a bit different than some of the statements of others
that I have taken issue with.  We had those sorts in the 60s, too.  The
exception does not prove the rule, Dick.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From -6570663506881632178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 04:45:51 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010514051806.25187.00000222@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010515044534.28252.00003839@nso-mq.aol.com>
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <PF8M6.4924$gc1.498689@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 11:45:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.129.220
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989927151 209.246.129.220 (Tue, 15 May
2001 04:45:51 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 04:45:51 PDT
```

Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 04:44:10 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21334

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010515044534.28252.00003839@nso-mq.aol.com...
> In article <20010514051806.25187.00000222@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> Ed, that "cliche" was used by my last squadron commander in my Air Force career to literally make life miserable for me and my Senior NCO colleagues.

Truth is, that Larry's best example in life was his Squadron Commander.

>
> My only agenda is to make the rest of the readers understand that when you say something smacking of the ARRL's typical political correctness, that you are doing so as a parrot of ARRL policy.
>

It's quite obvious that Larry is in belief of the above, Ed. I don't think there's many others who would delude themselves of same. Remember that, for Larry, being forced to work where one would be treated as above is probably due course for him. He thinks that this is normal working conditions.

>
> Yes, Ed, I am VERY out of touch with "perception." I prefer a more analytical approach to dealing with people -- one that permits me to ascertain the true nature of Reality.
>

Larry's reality, well...no need to comment, right? :) His Squadron Commander could tell you all about it. . . : o

Kim W5TIT

From 6085151513353734598
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 04:49:21 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

References: <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net> <20010514222455.08242.00000466@nso-mf.aol.com> <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <4J8M6.4931$gc1.499680@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 11:49:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.129.220
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989927360 209.246.129.220 (Tue, 15 May 2001 04:49:20 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 04:49:20 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 04:47:39 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21335

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net...
>
> Just don't go on about your right to comment as if that transcends the
rights of
> others to make their own comments and to laugh off your ideas.
>

Now, if that isn't the pot calling the kettle a cooking device?!

Kim W5TIT

From 8329276493096723021
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 05:35:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 88
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 12:34:48 GMT
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

Message-ID: <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21337

In article <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley"
><jwkelley@uci.edu>
>> writes:
>>
>> > For instance: I challenge you name a single example
>> >in which a licensed operator must solve an ohms law problem in order to
>> >successfully operate a typical VHF station and thus prevent him from
>> >violating an FCC regulation.
>>
>> OK, here we go:
>>
>> Many "typical VHF stations" consist of a transceiver connected to some sort
>of
>> power supply - car electrical system, external AC power supply, etc. Many
>of
>> these transceivers draw several amperes on transmit. Some of them do not
>behave
>> well if the supply voltage is too low - the synthesizer unlocks and all
>sorts
>> of spurs come out. Of course many rigs have undervoltage protection (they
>just
>> won't work without enough juice) but some do not. FCC acceptance doesn't
>> require such protection of ham rigs.
>
>
>> If the ham hooking up the station doesn't use an adequately sized power
>supply
>> or power wiring, and has one of those rigs, he/she can emit spurious
>signals
>> when transmitting, violate FCC rules and cause all kinds of havoc. Yet the
>rig
>> will still appear to "work OK" to the unknowing amateur.
>
>
>> Figuring out the voltage drop when using a certain length of a certain type
>of
>> power wiring is a real-world Ohm's Law problem, I'd say.
>
>Not really. Vbatt-Vradio=voltage drop.

Dang. You're right. What I should have written was something like "figuring out
what size wire to use in order to avoid excessive voltage drop is a real-world
Ohm's Law problem, I'd say."

>A lot easier to do than
>measuring the resistance of a wire with one end in the cab and the other

>under the hood.

Agreed.

> Most of the time such a voltage drop affects nothing
>more than transmit power, and does not result in an FCC violation.

True - but sometimes it does. Ignorance of the effect of voltage drop could result in all sorts of troubles with some rigs. And a cable that is of adequate gauge to carry the current can have unacceptable voltage drop if the length is too great.

Point is, total ignorance of Ohm's Law can lead to FCC violations in a typical VHF/UHF ham station.
>
>I'm not a moron, Jim. I've been around the block a few times when it
>comes to electronics. You shouldn't presume I don't know the issues
>involved.

Didn't mean to offend you, Jim. Just took up the challenge to show a possible scenario.
>
>Do you understand the point of this observation, or are you just overly
>sensitive about ohms law?

I'm not sure exactly what the various points are. Sorry.

It seems to me that some folks are arguing that testing for a ham license should only cover the absolute bare minimum requirements imaginable. Some regs, maybe some safety, perhaps a little theory. The argument goes something like "Why force hams learn something they may never use?". But by that argument, almost everything in the tests can be eliminated.

Others argue that the license tests should require certain basic knowledge about ham radio, including some theory. Like an understanding of Ohm's Law. I subscribe to this latter view.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -8257587625470765374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 05:35:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 12:34:49 GMT

References: <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21336

In article <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net> writes:

>> Much later I found out that the one thing he found lacking in most
>engineering
>> students was practical experience and an instinctive understanding of the
>> basics. Ham radio gave me both of those things.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>
>As Billy Sunday once said, I know there is a Devil because the Bible tells
>me so and because I have done business with him personally.

I am reminded of comedy great Flip Wilson in character as Geraldine Jones, claiming "the DEVIL made me do it!"

>You could say
>the same thing about Edsel J Murphy given the ham radio experience.

"Edsel J Murphy" is a fictionalization of real life GE engineer Edwin Murphy, generally creditied as the origin of Murphy's Law.

There is also the "Five Thumb Postulate": "Experience varies directly as equipment ruined".

My personal favorite is the "Unnamed Law": "If it happens, it must be possible".
>
>The difference is whether you want a scientific satellite built or whether
>you want someone to build you a sewage treatment plant that actually works.

How about a satellite that works? Remember the Mars probe that suddenly went silent as it neared Mars?
>
>Judging from the local engineering emporium, in one more generation all of
>the covers when removed will say 'crick' and the unit will play three
>choruses of Allah Akbar when the warranty expires.

Yeah, verily!

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 832372926050707636
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 06:39:51 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.interpacket.net!out.nntp.be!
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!
not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-
ch.aol.com> <9dne05$f00$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFFBBAA.8A1350A@sccoast.net>
<uSRL6.557$6j3.43709@www.newsranger.com> <3B00E182.1E4A25FE@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <ckaM6.2220$6j3.191852@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 09:39:20 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 13:39:20 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21338

In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, Dwight Stewart blurted out:

> The only difference between us is the code requirement. I
> don't see any relationship between code and technical skill.

Stay awake for a minute here, Dwight, while I make a point you must have missed when you were dozing.

I have *never* postulated a relationship between code and technical skill. Never. Nor have I *ever* seen anyone else on rrap make such a connection.

OK, you can turn off your HT and resume your nap.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 5859321668121479525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 06:42:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!feeder.qis.net!yellow.newsread.com!bad-news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.newshog.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: "Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AFCE088.790F4F67@gtel.net> <8QDL6.39$Zh5.4775@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY...
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <enaM6.1$7d.94@newshog.newsread.com>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 13:42:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.7.163.3
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@tm.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: newshog.newsread.com 989934154 64.7.163.3 (Tue, 15 May 2001 09:42:34 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 09:42:34 EDT
Organization: The Mercury Network (tm.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21339

> > What's a "Real Ham?" Real easy. It's the opposite of a PHONY HAM.
> >
> > What a bunch of dumb CBers.
> >
> > NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
> >

Well, to get in on this one....

Fine, (as a no-code tech here with csce for general class), give me both the technician question pool, and the general question pool as a test...... all 300+ questions for each..... I'll still get around 80-85% total grade on both.... Give me the extra class one too.... I may just even get a passing grade on that one too..... Hmmm but not a Real Ham here I guess, unless I get the code requirement......

Forget these wimpy 35-50 question tests..... use the whole question pool then. That might actually measure proficiency.   Ohh.... and the code tests should last at least of 1 hour of sending and receiving too...... with 100% accuracy.... I guess that would make real hams!

Sarcastically,

Ryan KC8PMX

```
From -2514155376121450252
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 06:59:18 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 61
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <WCaM6.24381$t12.1874888@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 13:59:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.161
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989935158 12.75.179.161 (Tue, 15 May
2001 13:59:18 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 13:59:18 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21340
```

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com...

> In article <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard
> McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net> writes:
> >You could say
> >the same thing about Edsel J Murphy given the ham radio experience.
>
> "Edsel J Murphy" is a fictionalization of real life GE engineer Edwin
Murphy,
> generally creditied as the origin of Murphy's Law.

Along the line of previous posting, GE now has more technical employees in
India than in the US

>

> There is also the "Five Thumb Postulate": "Experience varies directly as
> equipment ruined".
>
> My personal favorite is the "Unnamed Law": "If it happens, it must be
> possible".

Having wasted a good part of my life in the insurance biz, the actuaries
came in for a lot of that:

a. An Actuary is an Accountant that failed the personality test

b. Only an actuary would see something work in practice and take it home to
see if it worked in theory

> >
> >The difference is whether you want a scientific satellite built or whether
> >you want someone to build you a sewage treatment plant that actually works.
>
> How about a satellite that works? Remember the Mars probe that suddenly went
> silent as it neared Mars?

But the satellite is a noble project and you can say OOPS. Sewage is
another story entirely.

From John Gardner:

A society which tolerates shoddy philosophy because philosophy is an exalted
profession and scorns good plumbing because plumbing is a humble profession
will soon find that neither its theories nor its pipes will hold water.

> >
> >Judging from the local engineering emporium, in one more generation all of
> >the covers when removed will say 'crick' and the unit will play three
> >choruses of Allah Akbar when the warranty expires.
>
> Yeah, verily!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

From -4655678386068953009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 07:05:14 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com> <WCaM6.24381$t12.1874888@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <%HaM6.2267$6j3.194637@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 10:04:43 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 14:04:43 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21341

Richard McCollum said:

>A society which tolerates shoddy philosophy because philosophy is an exalted
>profession and scorns good plumbing because plumbing is a humble profession
>will soon find that neither its theories nor its pipes will hold water.

If you put tomfoolery into a computer, you will only get tomfoolery out,
but this tomfoolery, having passed through an expensive machine, is
somehow enobled, and none dare now to criticize it.
--Unknown

73, Hans, K0HB

From 1582697236469563133
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 07:13:01 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 14:12:42 GMT
References: <enaM6.1$7d.94@newshog.newsread.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY...

```
Message-ID: <20010515101242.00817.00000261@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21342
```

>Fine, (as a no-code tech here with csce for general class), give me both
>the technician question pool, and the general question pool as a test......
>all 300+ questions for each..... I'll still get around 80-85% total grade on
>both.... Give me the extra class one too.... I may just even get a passin

BIg deal, so you know how to Memorize, the written Test no longer proves
anything, except your ability to memorize

```
From 2409406152889903193
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 07:25:19 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!wn4feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AFCE088.790F4F67@gtel.net> <8QDL6.39$Zh5.4775@nnrp1.sbc.net>
<enaM6.1$7d.94@newshog.newsread.com>
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY...
Lines: 60
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <j%aM6.24393$t12.1878896@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 14:25:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.161
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989936719 12.75.179.161 (Tue, 15 May
2001 14:25:19 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 14:25:19 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21343
```

"Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:enaM6.1$7d.94@newshog.newsread.com...
** Bad troll snipped mercilessly **

> Well, to get in on this one....
>
> Fine, (as a no-code tech here with csce for general class), give me both
> the technician question pool, and the general question pool as a
test......
> all 300+ questions for each..... I'll still get around 80-85% total grade
on

> both.... Give me the extra class one too.... I may just even get a passing grade on that one too..... Hmmm but not a Real Ham here I guess, unless I get the code requirement......

While most of us are resigned to code disappearing as a testing requirement, the short answer to your observation is 'No you are not'. Remember before your blood pressure rises that we are viewing this issue from both sides of a mountain so we do have different vistas, OK.

First off, you have many Mensa types around here who simply do not view written exams as much of a hurdle. I have been a good test-taker forever and was used in high school to junk the science curriculum (this is Sputnik era) by the department head by getting 90+ percentile scores in courses I never had or even gave a shit about not to mention being too young to take.

For that reason, the code is valued, not so much for its utility which is considerable but for what it says about the testee at virtually any speed. The code does not respect age, money, intelligence, or coolitude; it respects effort but more importantly it requires a suspension of ego, the gathering of one's emotions, and application of a plan consisting mainly of rote drill. That this is not exactly what someone of intelligence does for fun is precisely the point. And the hobby will lose something when one cannot assume the man on the other end went thru the process. How much is debatable, of course. But at least once in his life, the code testee had to act like an adult.

There is some very good software called Morse Academy at http://ah0a.org. Get yourself a get-up and be a cowboy, too.

You are forgiven for the following paragraph.

>
> Forget these wimpy 35-50 question tests..... use the whole question pool then. That might actually measure proficiency. Ohh.... and the code tests should last at least of 1 hour of sending and receiving too...... with 100% accuracy.... I guess that would make real hams!
>
>
> Sarcastically,
>
> Ryan KC8PMX
>

Dick N�BK

From 956743572093467937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 07:49:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3AFCE088.790F4F67@gtel.net> <8QDL6.39$Zh5.4775@nnrp1.sbc.net> <enaM6.1$7d.94@newshog.newsread.com> <j%aM6.24393$t12.1878896@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: What's a
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <WkbM6.2339$6j3.199024@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 10:48:22 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 14:48:22 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21344

I've seen some weak justifications for the beep test, but this one takes the cake!

Richard McCollum says:

>it respects effort but more importantly it requires a suspension of ego, the gathering of one's emotions, and application of a plan consisting mainly of rote drill. That this is not exactly what someone of intelligence does for fun is precisely the point. And the hobby will lose something when one cannot assume the man on the other end went thru the process. How much is debatable, of course. But at least once in his life, the code testee had to act like an adult.

Lessee if I got this right.....

....somebody "suspended their ego", "gathered their emotions", and engaged in some "rote drill". This is "acting like an adult", and assuming the guy on the other end (of a QSO?) did this should be valuable to the hobby?

Yeah, OK.

And here I thought ham radio was an interesting avocation shared by people with a common interest in hobby electronics, communications, or public service -- not a character test. Silly me.

73, Hans, K0HB

A person's maturity consists in having found again the seriousness one
had as a child, at play.   --Friedrich Nietzsche

From -7212154338203030523
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 08:35:19 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 15 May 2001 15:35:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 61
Message-ID: <9dribm$5kn$0@208.207.71.176>
References: <20010514190313.03821.00002514@ng-cr1.aol.com> <20010515003500.07982.00001560@nso-cm.aol.com> <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.176
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21345

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010515003500.07982.00001560@nso-cm.aol.com...
> > I still recall the day good ol' Dr. Ketterer, teaching a class of 25 or 30
> 3rd
> > year EE students, sketched a common-source JFET amplifier on the board.
> This
> > amplifier had tuned input and output circuits. He asked if the circuit
> would
> > oscillate. Everyone in the class said no - except me. I said "it depends
> on the

```
> > circuit constants".
> >
> > He paused a second, then asked "Are you a ham radio operator?" When I
> answered
> > in the affirmative, he smiled and said "You are the only one who got it
> right".
> > (I was the only ham in that class).  He then asked me to explain what
> would
> > happen, and I talked about the feedback through the gate-drain
> capacitance. Dr.
> > K was impressed - and he didn't impress easily.
> >
> > Much later I found out that the one thing he found lacking in most
> engineering
> > students was practical experience and an instinctive understanding of the
> > basics. Ham radio gave me both of those things.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> As Billy Sunday once said, I know there is a Devil because the Bible tells
> me so and because I have done business with him personally.  You could say
> the same thing about Edsel J Murphy given the ham radio experience.
>
> The difference is whether you want a scientific satellite built or whether
> you want someone to build you a sewage treatment plant that actually works.
>
> Judging from the local engineering emporium, in one more generation all of
> the covers when removed will say 'crick' and the unit will play three
> choruses of Allah Akbar when the warranty expires.




 Some of these Engineeeers want to forget that engineers and doctors
have one very critical common characteristic:

 There are some very good ones, and there are some very not-so-good
ones.

 General Douglas MacArthur and General George Armstrong Custer both
graduated from the U. S. Military Academy at West Point. MacArthur
graduated first his class, Custer last in his.

 The difference is not obscure.

Dick


From 1547657692484021883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 08:41:26 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B013F67.B16B532@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com> <WCaM6.24381$t12.1874888@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <%HaM6.2267$6j3.194637@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 15:41:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989941286 63.17.223.6 (Tue, 15 May 2001 08:41:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 08:41:26 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 08:39:45 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21346

K0HB wrote:
>
> Richard McCollum said:
>
> >A society which tolerates shoddy philosophy because philosophy is an exalted
> >profession and scorns good plumbing because plumbing is a humble profession
> >will soon find that neither its theories nor its pipes will hold water.
>
> If you put tomfoolery into a computer, you will only get tomfoolery out,
> but this tomfoolery, having passed through an expensive machine, is
> somehow enobled, and none dare now to criticize it.
> --Unknown
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

As Pat Benz, a fellow who worked for me in Botswana once said, "We now have the capability to send volumes of material at the speed of light and we still have little to say." Pat was the guy who suggested that we set up a little burger joint off Exit 3 of the Info Superhighway.

Dave K8MN

From 972769196834258444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 08:45:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B01403E.D2F85D36@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net> <20010514222455.08242.00000466@nso-mf.aol.com> <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>
<4J8M6.4931$gc1.499680@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 15:45:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989941502 63.17.223.6 (Tue, 15 May 2001 08:45:02 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 08:45:02 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 08:43:21 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21347

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net...
> >
> > Just don't go on about your right to comment as if that transcends the
> rights of
> > others to make their own comments and to laugh off your ideas.
> >
>
> Now, if that isn't the pot calling the kettle a cooking device?!

Is it, Tugboat? Isn't Len the guy who wraps himself in Old Glory and
jabbers about his right to petition his government? How many others
here indicate that their right to free speech has somehow been violated?
Have you seen me do it?

Dave K8MN

From 1490648183646501195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 08:52:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!207.14.113.10!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 15 May 2001 15:52:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <9drjb2$7qe$0@208.207.71.176>
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.176
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21348
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >
> >For personal satisfaction, no doubt.
> >
> >Larry, I, not speaking for ARRL, think it a bit strange that you would act so
> >differenly in two different social situations that involve the same set of
> >people.
> >
> >Do you really wake up in the AM and say, "I think I will go on rra.policy and
> >bash people; that seems like the right thing to do?"
>
> Ed:
>
> Actually, no, I don't. It all started when I read a posting on the old
> Fidonet Ham Radio echo in which a no-coder referred to code
> testing as a form of "ethnic cleansing" in the ARS. That is what
> set me off. Since then, I've seen everything bad that can happen
> in the world blamed on code testing. So, I started to amuse myself
> by engaging in the fairly simple task of showing the no-coders for
> the fools they are. After that, it gained a life of it's own. I now
> wish I had a full archive of everything I've written on this topic. I'm
> sure it would fill volumes!
>

Pretty much the way it happened with me, only more recently, a few years ago here on rrap. The second I showed up in support of a code test I was immediately set upon by a pack of hungry jackels who insisted that I was personally responsible for their misachievments and that my support of code was a travesty of Holocaust proportions. Whatta joke.

As far as I'm concerned anyone who isn't intelligent enoughn to understand the role that Morse code can and DOES play within the ARS just isn't intelligent enough for it to really matter whether or not he gets licensed.

```
From -7324612416851244623
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 08:59:10 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsxfer.interpacket.net!out.nntp.be!
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!
not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com> <WCaM6.24381$t12.1874888@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <%HaM6.2267$6j3.194637@www.newsranger.com>
<3B013F67.B16B532@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <SmcM6.2441$6j3.208961@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 11:58:42 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 15:58:42 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21349
```

Dave Heil says:

>As Pat Benz, a fellow who worked for me in Botswana once said, "We now
>have the capability to send volumes of material at the speed of light
>and we still have little to say."

When I worked at NavElex we were planning the communications suite for the DDG51 program (Arleigh Burke class destroyers). My boss, a savvy mustang Captain, postulated that no matter how much bandwidth we stuffed into radio central, the below-decks guys (supply and admin crowd) would just gobble it up by increasing the length of their reports.

We did, and they did. But we made it easy for the topside warrior guys to pre-empt the whole pipe for "real" traffic.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 2738088918756925043
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 09:16:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 15 May 2001 16:16:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9drkpl$boi$0@208.207.71.176>
References: <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com> <WCaM6.24381$t12.1874888@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <%HaM6.2267$6j3.194637@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.176
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21350
```

K0HB wrote:
>
> Richard McCollum said:
>
> >A society which tolerates shoddy philosophy because philosophy is an exalted
> >profession and scorns good plumbing because plumbing is a humble profession
> >will soon find that neither its theories nor its pipes will hold water.
>
> If you put tomfoolery into a computer, you will only get tomfoolery out,
> but this tomfoolery, having passed through an expensive machine, is
> somehow enobled, and none dare now to criticize it.
> --Unknown

I dunno, Hans. One of the most enduring phrases in real-people
computing has long been

"Garbage in, Garbage out".

From -1520419494098366800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 09:23:45 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com> <WCaM6.24381$t12.1874888@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <%HaM6.2267$6j3.194637@www.newsranger.com> <3B013F67.B16B532@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 45
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <lKcM6.24712$4f7.1881563@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 16:23:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.94
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989943825 12.75.103.94 (Tue, 15 May 2001 16:23:45 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 16:23:45 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21351

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3B013F67.B16B532@earthlink.net...
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > Richard McCollum said:
> >
> > >A society which tolerates shoddy philosophy because philosophy is an
exalted
> > >profession and scorns good plumbing because plumbing is a humble
profession
> > >will soon find that neither its theories nor its pipes will hold water.
> >
> > If you put tomfoolery into a computer, you will only get tomfoolery out,

> > but this tomfoolery, having passed through an expensive machine, is
> > somehow enobled, and none dare now to criticize it.
> > --Unknown
> >
> > 73, Hans, K0HB
>
> As Pat Benz, a fellow who worked for me in Botswana once said, "We now
> have the capability to send volumes of material at the speed of light
> and we still have little to say." Pat was the guy who suggested that we
> set up a little burger joint off Exit 3 of the Info Superhighway.
>
> Dave K8MN

When the telegraph line was finished down the old Boston Post Road (US 1)
one of the Boston poets, quite elderly by this time, when informed that
Boston could now talk to Florida replied 'But does Boston have anything to
SAY to Florida'.

Personally, I like the definition of the Xerox machine as that device which
made it possible for more and more people to get more copies of more and
more things they never knew they needed.

And the management prof when confronted by the cheap 'We need more
communication' response pulled himself up to his full 4ft not a lot height,
glared over the podium, and said, "Gentlemen, Caesar Augustus ran the entire
known world without so much as a telex machine. Your problem is to figure
out how he did it.

Dick N�BK

From 1658395720032937559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 09:26:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 72
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 16:24:51 GMT
References: <WCaM6.24381$t12.1874888@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515122451.08135.00003392@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21352

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

>From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@att.net
>Date: 5/15/01 9:59 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <WCaM6.24381$t12.1874888@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
>
>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com...
>> In article <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
>"Richard
>> McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net> writes:
>> >You could say
>> >the same thing about Edsel J Murphy given the ham radio experience.
>>
>> "Edsel J Murphy" is a fictionalization of real life GE engineer Edwin
>Murphy,
>> generally creditied as the origin of Murphy's Law.
>
>Along the line of previous posting, GE now has more technical employees in
>India than in the US

Sad but true.

>> There is also the "Five Thumb Postulate": "Experience varies directly as
>> equipment ruined".
>>
>> My personal favorite is the "Unnamed Law": "If it happens, it must be
>> possible".
>
>Having wasted a good part of my life in the insurance biz, the actuaries
>came in for a lot of that:
>
>a. An Actuary is an Accountant that failed the personality test

HAW!
>
>b. Only an actuary would see something work in practice and take it home to
>see if it worked in theory
>
HAW-HAW!
>> >
>> >The difference is whether you want a scientific satellite built or
>whether
>> >you want someone to build you a sewage treatment plant that actually
>works.
>>
>> How about a satellite that works? Remember the Mars probe that suddenly
>went
>> silent as it neared Mars?
>
>But the satellite is a noble project and you can say OOPS.

Nothing noble about spending the taxpayer's money on something that doesn't
work.

>Sewage is
>another story entirely.

Agreed!
>
>From John Gardner:
>
>A society which tolerates shoddy philosophy because philosophy is an exalted
>profession and scorns good plumbing because plumbing is a humble profession
>will soon find that neither its theories nor its pipes will hold water.
>
From Montgomery Scott:

"The more complicated they make the plumbing, the easier it is to stop up the drain"

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 4864837521484150656
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 09:41:27 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 12:39:55 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3B015BDC.CC8B8F67@psu.edu>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-
fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21353
```

Bingo! I'm amazed that some people would argue to toss out basic things like Ohms law. It seems almost like proposing that the prospective ham only show proficiency in using the ptt button, and how to turn the tuning knob.

I think there already are frequencies set aside for that sort.

- Mike -

N2EY wrote:

> It seems to me that some folks are arguing that testing for a ham license
> should only cover the absolute bare minimum requirements imaginable. Some regs,
> maybe some safety, perhaps a little theory. The argument goes something like
> "Why force hams learn something they may never use?". But by that argument,
> almost everything in the tests can be eliminated.
>
> Others argue that the license tests should require certain basic knowledge
> about ham radio, including some theory. Like an understanding of Ohm's Law. I
> subscribe to this latter view.

```
From -1067317338796856779
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 09:42:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 16:42:32 GMT
References: <%HaM6.2267$6j3.194637@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515124232.08135.00003393@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21354
```

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/15/01 10:04 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <%HaM6.2267$6j3.194637@www.newsranger.com>
>
>Richard McCollum said:
>
>>A society which tolerates shoddy philosophy because philosophy is an exalted
>>profession and scorns good plumbing because plumbing is a humble profession
>>will soon find that neither its theories nor its pipes will hold water.
>
>
>If you put tomfoolery into a computer, you will only get tomfoolery out,
>but this tomfoolery, having passed through an expensive machine, is
>somehow enobled, and none dare now to criticize it.
>--Unknown
>
>73, Hans, K0HB

Absolutely. Here's another story from EE school:

Best prof I ever had was Dr. Weygandt, who had graduated from the same EE school 55 years before my class. We 21 year olds had trouble keeping up with him, both physically and mentally. The kind of teacher where you sign up for his classes no matter what the subject.

Anyway, in the last term of senior year I was in his power systems class. Homeworks consisted of solving problems in the textbook, and we were on our honor not to copy from each other. He gave us a transmission line problem that looked simple - we were given the per-mile inductance, capacitance and resistance of a line, and asked to find the sending end voltage for a given load and receiving-end voltage.

But when I went to solve it, the answer did not make any sense. Solving it by a different method gave a different nonsense answer. When due date arrived, we handed in our papers and asked how to solve the problem. Turned out most of the class had gotten nonsense answers.

Dr. W. went to the board and wrote a simple equation that relates the line inductance and capacitance to the speed of light. One by one the students got the message: The line described in the book was not realizable - in this universe, anyway. There was a misprint in the book, and only one or two of us had discovered it.

A few students protested, but Dr. W. told them that detecting misprints and bad data would be part of our jobs when we got out of school, and that we were very naive if we thought we'd be protected from such things.

He ended with "Next thing you'll tell me is that you believe everything that comes out of a computer!

I still have the book. That year we students created a new teaching award in the engineering school, named for Dr. Weygandt.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -4329552123081210803
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 09:48:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 16:48:12 GMT
References: <9drkpl$boi$0@208.207.71.176>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515124812.08135.00003394@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21355
```

> I dunno, Hans. One of the most enduring phrases in real-people
>computing has long been
>
>"Garbage in, Garbage out".
>

But in real life it becomes

"Garbage in, Gospel out"

73 de Jim, N2EY

..who was saddened to learn of the sudden death of Douglas Adams at the age of 49. Going to take more than a few Pan-Galactic Gargle-Blasters to cheer up.

So long and thanks for all the fish.

```
From 7624873353398437582
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5a1e3e4724216e7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 10:03:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gddqu40.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <dhassler-1405011639160001@192.168.150.4>
<II%L6.1576$6j3.154422@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Taking dead relative's call: good/bad idea?
Lines: 16
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:04:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.75
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 989946241 63.178.67.75 (Tue, 15 May 2001 17:04:01
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:04:01 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21356
```

On Tue, 15 May 2001 01:34:32 GMT, K0HB wrote:

>In article <dhassler-1405011639160001@192.168.150.4>, KD7MPD says...

```
>
>>In a way, I think this would be kind of neat, kind of a memorial to him,
>>the guy who turned me on to radio in the early 70s.
>
>I think you're right.  I've considered requesting my Mom's call which
>expired in 1939.

  Do it Hans, do it......

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -3181473821095740396
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5a1e3e4724216e7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 10:03:30 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gddr101.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References:  <dhassler-1405011639160001@192.168.150.4>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Taking dead relative's call: good/bad idea?
Lines: 28
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:04:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.67.75
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 989946242 63.178.67.75 (Tue, 15 May 2001 17:04:02
GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:04:02 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21357

On Mon, 14 May 2001 16:39:16 -0700, KD7MPD wrote:

>Here's the question: is "B" a good idea, or not?  In my case, I'd go from
>my 2x3 call, to a 'quite old' 1x3 W7 call if I took my grandfather's old
>callsign.
>
>In a way, I think this would be kind of neat, kind of a memorial to him,
>the guy who turned me on to radio in the early 70s.  In another way, I
>think this might be a little cornball, and maybe a little confusing
>(possibly annoying?) to some to hear a thirtysomething's voice/station
```

>ID'ed with an 80-year-old's call.

A wonderful memorial and testimonial that ham radio is not a disappearing hobby.

I went from an original-issue 1X3 to another (reissued) 1X3, then to an original-issue 1X2, and when "Vanity Gate 1" opened I paid the fee to get my original 1952 call sign back.

Preserve history.

--

73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

```
From -3357440576461431142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,86f3ca7ca9896dfc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 10:04:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!lnewspeer00.lnd.ops.eu.uu.net!emea.uu.net!ams.uu.net!news.mailgate.org!mail2news!www.ziplip.com!not-for-mail
From: w2dne@ziplip.com ("w2dne")
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Is CW a digital mode?
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:04:37 +0000 (UTC)
Organization: Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <200105151704.f4FH4aX16985@mail.mailgate.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: www.ziplip.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.mailgate.org 989946277 16988 216.33.158.118 (Tue, 15 May 2001 19:04:37 +0200)
X-Complaints-To: www.ziplip.com@abuse.net abuse@mailgate.org
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 17:04:37 +0000 (UTC)
Mail-From: w2dne@ziplip.com from www.ziplip.com [216.33.158.118]
X-URL: http://www.Mailgate.ORG
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21358
```

One of the Local QRPers was down in the village the other day, pacing back and forth in the park, with a troubled look on his face and sweat on his brow. After about fifteen minutes of this he started up the hill, working his way closer as he kept pacing. He finally made it to the verandah. We had the feeling he wanted advice, but was afraid to ask. So, against our better judgment, we asked the QRPer what was troubling him. "Oh", he replied slowly, "I'm just thinking about how I can forget CW"

Usually there isn't a lot a QRPer can say that leaves us speechless, but this one did! We had heard a lot of strange theories and creative interpretations of the Eternal Enigmas of DXing from the Local QRPers, but this was something we hadn't expected. We just looked at the QRPer as he ploughed on.

"I can't get it out of my head!" he continued, stopping and looking us right in the eye, "I catch myself looking at road signs, books or anything else that contains text and unconsciously translating it to dits and dahs. When I turn on the rig, I automatically spin the dial to the lower band edge. I can't leave it alone. How am I going to forget it if I can't stay away from it? Pretty soon I won't be a true blue DXer at all!"

We regained our composure and looked at the QRPer intently. "Aren't those the very techniques you used ten years ago to learn the code? And didn't you spend endless hours getting your speed up to where you could operate with the best of them? Why would you want to un-do all that hard work? Aren't you a good CW operator?"

"Yes! Right on all counts. I listened to you! I listened to all the Big Guns and the ARRL. I worked and worked at it until I could send and receive 25-30 WPM. And now I'm cursed with it. I can't get it out of my head!"

"Why would you want to?" we asked, incredulously. In all our years as a DXer, we'd heard the opposite argument thousands of times . . . but we'd never head anything like this before. "Why? Why, you ask! So I can become a true blue modern DXer! The new operators, the ones with the FT-1000's and full bore amplifiers who've been Extras for three or four months are telling me CW QSOs are not real DX! Most of them say unless you hear the other person's voice, it isn't a good contact! They claim I'm stuck in the last millennium, and that a bunch of dots and dashes don't count."

"That's ridiculous!" we roared, jumping to our feet. "Why are you listening to that foolishness? Is there anything in the DXCC rules that have changed regarding CW contacts? CW contacts are just as good as phone! Maybe even a heck of a lot better!"

"Not according to the new guys. They said a real contact has to be made on phone. I said the ARRL and the DXCC desk don't specify anything like that! They laughed at me, and said I should get with the new wave of operators and stop listening to the old has-beens. They said the FCC was moving to do away with CW altogether, and within a few years it'll be just a memory, like spark transmissions."

He looked at us with a worried face and continued, "So if I don't concentrate on SSB, and learn how to become better at busting pileups who are listening 200-220, I won't be able to compete with these new generation of True Blue DXers. I have to learn how to get on DX lists, and all the tricks involved in making sure the Net Controller hears my last two. I can't split my attention between CW and phone and remain competitive. I have to forget the code!" He was sweating and his beady little eyes were glaring right at us. "Being a good CW operator has become a curse!"

"You are over reacting," we said, sitting back down. "CW will never die." The QRPer was not convinced. "I told them that, and they said maybe not, but only computer sent and received code should be allowed. They said that CW is like PSK-31, whatever that is. They said hand sent code could never be as perfect as code sent by a computer, and that a real 21st century DXer will use computer technology to send everything. Why, they even claim that SSB isn't real unless it's DSP processed on send and receive!"

"Don't be so silly" we replied. "CW will always be around, and no computer can decode it better than the human ear. Besides, it isn't a digital mode."

"But they say it is! The new DXers say they can send and receive 50-60 WPM with their TNCs the same as RTTY, Packet and ASCII, and that the dits and dahs are the same as ones and zeros. They say it's a digital mode. These guys all have 1.3 and 1.5 GHz CPUs in their computers too! They keep claiming to be the wave of the future, and say we better listen to them."

"Who cares what they say? The ARRL will protect the interests of us traditional DXers and real CW types. Why do you think they have Straight Key Night? Don't let a few new comers with fast computers tell you the DXCC program is going digital, or that you have to forget CW! They are just pulling your leg. It sounds like they are new members of the Legion of Hand Wringers."

The QRPer drew himself up to his full five foot five and a half inches tall and looked directly in the eye. "That's exactly what I told them. And do you know what they said? They asked me one simple question. 'Who's paying the bills?' At first I didn't follow them. And then it hit me! All the Old Timers are either life members in the ARRL or have dropped out because of the increased fees of the DXCC program. The new kids with their fast computers are the only ones joining the ARRL. They are generating the new revenue. And it follows they will call the shots! So whatever you and I might have learned and believed years ago has all changed. If the due paying members say SSB only, or that CW is a computerized digital mode, we better listen! And you better forget your code too!"

Son of a Gun! We sputtered for an answer, but there was none forthcoming. Usually when a QRPer goes of on a ridiculous tangent, we can see the mistake. This time, we couldn't see a flaw in his reasoning. We scratched our head as he walked down the hill and thought about what he had said. The more we thought about it, the more our brain muscles ached.

Finally we shrugged and started off toward Palos Verdes country. By everyone's measure, this cycle hadn't met expectations. There was DX, but the bands were not as hot as they were during the Golden Days of DXing of past cycles. Wd decided that even if the QRPer and his computers friend were right, we still needed propagation. Maybe the leader of the Palos Verdes Sundancers could explain things. DX IS! Even if it has to be digital. Remember what Lord Baden Powell, the Hero of Mafeking so often advised: "Be prepared!" Even if it means buying a 1.3 GHz Pentium IV to work CW for you . . .

73/DX Paul VE1DX (EX: VE1PMD, VE1UK, VE0UK, CJ1UK, etc.)

* Get free, secure online email at http://www.ziplip.com/ *

--
Posted from www.ziplip.com [216.33.158.118]
via Mailgate.ORG Server - http://www.Mailgate.ORG

```
From -1585099965644689114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,86f3ca7ca9896dfc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 11:30:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!
typhoon.sonic.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <200105151704.f4FH4aX16985@mail.mailgate.org>
Subject: Re: Is CW a digital mode?
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <hAeM6.2670$6j3.233984@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 14:29:33 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 18:29:33 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21359
```

In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, w2dne blurted out:

> The QRPer drew himself up to his full five foot five and a half inches tall and looked directly in the eye. "That's exactly what I told them. And do you know what they said? They asked me one simple question. 'Who's paying the bills?' At first I didn't follow them. And then it hit me! All the Old Timers are either life members in the ARRL or have dropped out because of the increased fees of the DXCC program. The new kids with their fast computers are the only ones joining the ARRL. They are generating the new revenue. And it follows they will call the shots! So whatever you and I might have learned and believed years ago has all changed. If the due paying members say SSB only, or that CW is a computerized digital mode, we better listen! And you better forget your code too!"

Nice try, Vince (or whoever you are), but "the shots get called" by the Directors, who are mostly elected by the life members.

Good luck on this one now.

73, Hans, K0HB

From -5974965833524726776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 11:37:32 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 14:34:47 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3B0176C7.40685CA2@psu.edu>
References: <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010514222453.08242.00000465@nso-mf.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21360

heh, he, he, GOOD one Len!

- mike -

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>
> >Actually, my involvement as an electronics hobbyist predates
> >my first ham license by almost 15 years, when I won my 8th
> >grade Science Fair with a homebrewed transistor radio built
> >out of one of Bill Orr's books.
>
> Wow, a technical break-through...a radio built entirely from
> paper and cardboard! Did the ink make it semi-conducting?

From 3434507561843775223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 11:50:12 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 11:48:24 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21361

N2EY wrote:
> Point is, total ignorance of Ohm's Law can lead to FCC violations in a typical
> VHF/UHF ham station.

One could possibly stretch ones imagination that far, yes. Otherwise,
it would be pretty out of the ordinary - a 4 or 5 sigma event.

> It seems to me that some folks are arguing that testing for a ham license
> should only cover the absolute bare minimum requirements imaginable.

Not I. What some folks were saying when I entered this fray was that
the code requirement is unnecessary. I am just trying to point out that
we can argue that other requirements are similarly unnecessary. People
can operate amateur radio their entire career without ever needing to
use code, and people can likewise operate their entire career without
having to ever work a single ohms law problem.
One could easily solve your example problem with a wire table. One does
not need to know how to build a dipole antenna off the top of his head.
If he needs to build one, instructions are given in the Handbook.
Operators are licensed without a requirement that they know all, or even
a large percentage of the rules with which they must abide. So that's
also a poor example.

Some regs,
> maybe some safety, perhaps a little theory. The argument goes something like
> "Why force hams learn something they may never use?". But by that argument,

> almost everything in the tests can be eliminated.

That's my point, Jim. Perhaps I didn't made that clear when I said:
"My only point is to illustrate that one can similarly argue a lack of
necessity for any of the other requirements."

> Others argue that the license tests should require certain basic knowledge
> about ham radio, including some theory. Like an understanding of Ohm's Law. I
> subscribe to this latter view.

I agree. The point is to illustrate the logical error that results when
assuming there is such a thing as a "necessary" requirement. Of those
who have responded, Larry is the only one who got the point.

73 de AC6XG

From 4903757730934482180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 11:50:29 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!pln-e!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 15 May 2001 17:52:36 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9drqd401rdq@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com> <20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-083.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21362

In article <20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...

>>Do you really wake up in the AM and say, "I think I will go on rra.policy and
>>bash people; that seems like the right thing to do?"
>
>Ed:
>
>Actually, no, I don't. It all started when I read a posting on the old
>Fidonet Ham Radio echo in which a no-coder referred to code
>testing as a form of "ethnic cleansing" in the ARS. That is what
>set me off. Since then, I've seen everything bad that can happen
>in the world blamed on code testing. So, I started to amuse myself

>by engaging in the fairly simple task of showing the no-coders for
>the fools they are. After that, it gained a life of it's own. I now
>wish I had a full archive of everything I've written on this topic. I'm
>sure it would fill volumes!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

To quote Clint Eastwood, "You're a legend in your own mind."

BTW, was the incident on Fidonet before or after code/no-code discussion was delared off topic?

From -7383454417026917152
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 11:50:47 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 15 May 2001 17:55:45 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9drqj111rdq@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <20010514071920.28961.00003618@ng-mn1.aol.com> <20010515044532.28252.00003838@nso-mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-116.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21363

In article <20010515044532.28252.00003838@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...

>
>Ed:
>
>Yes, this is quite true. Which makes me wonder why Cecil and
>others continue to insist that professional engineers who don't wish
>to comply with reasonable licensing requirements should be viewed
>as some great loss to the service? What is *your* perception?
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Maybe it's because they don't see anything reasonable about an ancient, outdated, worthless, 150-year-old character encoding scheme.

From 2456679532449175974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 11:50:52 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 15 May 2001 17:58:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9drqot21rdq@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <20010514052713.25187.00000227@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010515044526.28252.00003836@nso-mq.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-186.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21364

In article <20010515044526.28252.00003836@nso-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...

>>If the goal is for you to have Morse code stations to QSO, then ...
>
>Nope, that's not my goal at all. It would be nice, but it certainly
>isn't a personal goal. I no longer consider myself to have any
>personal goals as a ham. I just do what I can, when I can, and try
>to have fun while doing so.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Translation: I just like trolling this newsgroup.

From 627032121778798943
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 11:29:13 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 14 May 2001 18:29:10 GMT

Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9dp85m$ef4$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AFFE4E1.3AF010B4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 989864950 14820 149.174.88.240 (14 May 2001 18:29:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 May 2001 18:29:10 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21263

>Seems to me, in this day and age, all an amateur radio operator
>needs to know (for the purpose of meeting entry level
>requirements) is operations, rules and regulations, and safety.

Keep praying for that break that's never coming, Cecil. You never said in any of our discussions "for the purpose of meeting entry level requirements." Ever. Entry level never entered into it.

>I would not disagree with you that it is not asking a ham too
>much to know BASIC electronics theory (after he has been a ham
>for a certain length of time).

You can't add "after he has been a ham for a certain length of time." You made your statement, and the context was never "entry level."

>I can prove that you said anything in the world by quoting your
>postings out of context but I have too much integrity to do so.
>Too bad about you.

Don't cry to me, Cecil. You don't post seriously, by your own words. Thus any statement you make is unreliable. Forever.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 8065184773176683884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49284bb79b4bbe48
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 11:30:43 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Slow/No Code and the New Gentiles...
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 18:26:43 GMT
Message-ID: <3affe867.210836996@news2.dvol.com>
References: <slrn9fntmd.1bv.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net> <3afe62b7.111069018@news2.dvol.com> <slrn9ft9jg.114.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.97
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.97

X-Trace: 14 May 2001 13:22:40 -0500, 64.24.18.97
Lines: 84
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21264

On Sun, 13 May 2001 15:20:23 -0000, Queequeg@quahog.com (Queequeg)
wrote:

>>>
\>>Circumsized at 20 wpm by God (H)isself. (oops. Sorry Pete).
>
\>So let me get this straight. If you heard someone with a 2x3
\>callsign sending CQ on say, 14010 at 40 wpm you would dismiss
\>him as not a true extra?
>
What?? Why would I do that??
>
\>Would having passed a 20wpm code
\>test before a steely-eyed FCC tester change your opinion of
\>him?
>
I got a flash for you dude: One could probably count the number of
Extra class license holders before 1968 on yer fingers & toes and not
run out of toes. Come Incentive Licensing in 1968 God finally put
teeth in the need to pass the 20wpm test. Which was long after God
started passing out 2x3s. Thus the "Extra bands" were flooded with
2x3s & 1x3s.
>
Seems like He had a bit of a cash flow problem back when in the 70s so
He got the bright idea to start selling whizzier callsigns to 2x3
Extras in particular thus He came up with the assorted versions of the
vanity callsign programs. Cash consideations entering the matter seems
to lend some credence to the argument that He is actually a She.
>
Thus it was that many if not most Extra 2x3/1x3s traded in the
callsigns He gave us at birth for the 1x2/2x1/2x2/1x3 aliases we hide
behind today. So the obvious answer to your question is no.
>
> If so, I suggest then that we urge the ARRL to bring
\>some of these fellows out of retirement and, sparing the
\>taxpayer the cost , have them test new extra class licensees,
\>at the licensees convenience, for a fee to be used to pay the
\>testers for their time and expenses. The results of said code
\>tests will be posted on the ARRL website and included on
\>QRZ.com or whatever. In addition, those who succeed may add
>'/TE' to their callsigns to signify 'True Extra' or 'TEsted'.
>
Stale. Really stale. You're 'way behind the curve again dude. At least
a half century ago the ARRL had a certificate sort of award available

called the "Code Proficiency Certicificate". Every once in a so often
w1aw would pound out high speed Morse for a few minutes each at
specfic speeds. I forget what the max speed was but it was 'WAY up
there. 50wpm? I dunno.
>
To keep it short the listener could submit his copy to Newington and
recieve a piece of wallpaper which "certificated" him/her as being
able to copy at whatever speed he/she managed solid copy on paper for
one minute straight per the FCC code test practice of those days. As
was the case in gazzilions of other cards my old qsl cards were
imprinted "CP 30" which was the best I could squeeze outta Newington.
>
If memory serves and it certainly might not the CP award was not
limited to licensed Amateurs. I had or maybe still have a card from a
Polish swl imprinted with "CP45".
>
Of course we never took it on the air any more than there was a point
to taking our dxcc totals into a qso.
>
>Believe me, I understand the reason for all this, as I've been
>on the other side of the coin in other arenas. I worked for a
>number of years as a land surveyor, working up from chainman
>to rodman to party chief, then draftsman to computer to office
>surveyor. I took pride in my skill. The winds of change came
>to the field just as it did in others. EDM (electronic
>distance measuring), digital theodolites, data collectors, and
>sattelites began to replace the human skill we had relied on
>for so long. I took every opportunity to show up the new guys
>by doing highly accurate, quick surveys using a seventy year
>old transit and 200 foot steel tape. *sigh* The [sk|thr]ill
>is gone...
>
Been there, done all that. One difference between the current
utilization rate of optical transits and cw is that the number of
participants in the annual CQ and ARRL cw dx contests has been
noticeably increasing.
>
>KB1GEJ
>
w3rv

From -2883376331917774O3
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 11:40:56 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 14 May 2001 18:40:53 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9dp8rl$ef4$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3AFFE4E1.3AF010B4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 989865653 14820 149.174.88.240 (14 May 2001 18:40:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 May 2001 18:40:53 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21265

>To summarize my present position: At the entry level, a ham
>needs to know only enough to get by without causing grief to
>himself or others. That would include knowledge of operations,
>rules, regulations, and safety.

Exactly. And "at the entry level," especially, wasn't a part of your previous position. Didn't even come into play. Having initiated the discussion on "technical acumen" in ham radio versus your "emergency service" argument, I would know. So would anyone who followed that thread.

>This was a change from my previous position.

That's exactly what I said. I also mentioned that since you don't post seriously, by your own admission, we don't know what your actual position is. Next?

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -156772354788621696
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 12:04:05 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3AFFE4E1.3AF010B4@IEEE.org> <9dp8rl$ef4$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <d_VL6.1052$6j3.98390@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 15:03:37 EDT

Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 19:03:37 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21266

Vincent Biancomano declares:

>Exactly. And "at the entry level," especially, wasn't a part of
>your previous position. Didn't even come into play.

You two dufus's remind me of a monkey arguing with his reflection in a mirror!
Grow up!

Hans

From -7745411391912103290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 13:20:13 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 13:19:28 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 52
Message-ID: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu>
References: <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu> <20010511204714.03281.00000245@nso-de.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21267

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu>, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>

> writes:
>
> > For instance: I challenge you name a single example
> >in which a licensed operator must solve an ohms law problem in order to
> >successfully operate a typical VHF station and thus prevent him from
> >violating an FCC regulation.
>
> OK, here we go:
>
> Many "typical VHF stations" consist of a transceiver connected to some sort of
> power supply - car electrical system, external AC power supply, etc. Many of
> these transceivers draw several amperes on transmit. Some of them do not behave
> well if the supply voltage is too low - the synthesizer unlocks and all sorts
> of spurs come out. Of course many rigs have undervoltage protection (they just
> won't work without enough juice) but some do not. FCC acceptance doesn't
> require such protection of ham rigs.

> If the ham hooking up the station doesn't use an adequately sized power supply
> or power wiring, and has one of those rigs, he/she can emit spurious signals
> when transmitting, violate FCC rules and cause all kinds of havoc. Yet the rig
> will still appear to "work OK" to the unknowing amateur.

> Figuring out the voltage drop when using a certain length of a certain type of
> power wiring is a real-world Ohm's Law problem, I'd say.

Not really. Vbatt-Vradio=voltage drop. A lot easier to do than
measuring the resistance of a wire with one end in the cab and the other
under the hood. Most of the time such a voltage drop affects nothing
more than transmit power, and does not result in an FCC violation.

I'm not a moron, Jim. I've been around the block a few times when it
comes to electronics. You shouldn't presume I don't know the issues
involved.

Do you understand the point of this observation, or are you just overly
sensitive about ohms law?

73, Jim AC6XG

> Shoulda bet a lobster dinner on that one.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4330742818356288735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 13:49:04 PST
Message-ID: <3B004463.1754C946@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 15:47:31 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFFE4E1.3AF010B4@IEEE.org> <9dp85m$ef4$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.103
X-Trace: corp 989872864 64.240.47.103 (14 May 2001 15:41:04 -0500)
Lines: 41
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21269

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> Keep praying for that break that's never coming, Cecil. You never
> said in any of our discussions "for the purpose of meeting entry
> level requirements." Ever.

I didn't have to, Vince. Testing was the subject of the thread which
you deliberately (maliciously) omitted. Old-timers on this newsgroup
are on to you. It doesn't take long for the newcomers to figure
out your malicious intent. I am certainly glad I am not your neighbor.
I would fear for "NO CODE = NO HAM" graffiti all over the front of
my house.

> You can't add "after he has been a ham for a certain length of
> time." You made your statement, and the context was never "entry
> level."

Sorry, Vince, of course I can add that because you are not God and
I just did exactly that. That brings my past statement up to date with
my present attitudes on the matter. You can find all sorts of postings
where I was wrong if you really try. I freely admit that I have been
wrong in the past and could be wrong now.

Of course, you have never in your whole life, ever, ever been wrong

and we accept that attitude that you hold toward yourself. You are
a perfectly omniscient, omnipotent member of the Amateur Radio Service.

One wonders, though, if you are so omnipotent, why did you allow the
FCC to change the Morse code requirements?

> Thus any statement you make is unreliable. Forever.

That's funny, Vince. If my statements are unreliable forever, why
do you spend so much time and energy to try to refute them? Why
don't you just use your omnipotent power to shut me up forever?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3040966211631045558
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 13:51:25 PST
Message-ID: <3B0044FA.F992E506@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 15:50:02 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFFE4E1.3AF010B4@IEEE.org> <9dp8rl$ef4$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.103
X-Trace: corp 989873014 64.240.47.103 (14 May 2001 15:43:34 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21270

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >This was a change from my previous position.
>
> That's exactly what I said.

What is it about you, Vince? Is nobody around you ever allowed to change his mind? If you find out you are wrong, do you change your mind? How stupid of me to ask. Of course, you don't.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 7836287337744901871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 13:53:39 PST
Message-ID: <3B004579.5AD99F6A@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 15:52:09 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFFE4E1.3AF010B4@IEEE.org> <9dp8rl$ef4$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <d_VL6.1052$6j3.98390@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.103
X-Trace: corp 989873140 64.240.47.103 (14 May 2001 15:45:40 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!212.74.64.35!colt.net!dispose.news.demon.net!demon!newsfeed.icl.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21271

K0HB wrote:
>
> Vincent Biancomano declares:
>
> >Exactly. And "at the entry level," especially, wasn't a part of
> >your previous position. Didn't even come into play.
>
> You two dufus's remind me of a monkey arguing with his reflection in a mirror!
> Grow up!

Hey Hans, Have you ever changed your mind in your entire life?
Vince has always been right and has never changed his mind.
He simply doesn't understand us mere mortals.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2057796604272132428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49284bb79b4bbe48
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 13:56:05 PST
Message-ID: <3B0045FD.E1D39378@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 15:54:21 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Slow/No Code and the New Gentiles...
References: <slrn9fntmd.1bv.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3afe62b7.111069018@news2.dvol.com> <3AFEE2A1.82083E76@IEEE.org>
<3affe7b6.210659254@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.103
X-Trace: corp 989873272 64.240.47.103 (14 May 2001 15:47:52 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

```
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!
news.mindspring.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21272

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Sun, 13 May 2001 14:38:09 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >> Circumsized at 20 wpm by God hisself.
> >
> >How about a "Morse Forever" tattoo instead?
> >--
> . . . every once in awhile you manage to come up with a good idea
> Cecil . . .

'Course, depending on just where the tattoo was located, some hams
would have to settle for an abbreviation. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From -3114596530248873947
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 14:03:19 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 21:02:55 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0023ed.226075430@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu>
<3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org> <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net>
<3afd57db.42747950@news2.dvol.com> <3AFFA9DE.7AE57846@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.195
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.195
X-Trace: 14 May 2001 15:58:53 -0500, 64.24.19.195
Lines: 67
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
```

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21273

On Mon, 14 May 2001 05:48:26 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>>
>> And just when Sire was the last "revolution"
>> in ham radio?? Ham radio has been slowly
>> evolving ever since Marconi did his stunt
>> over a hundred years ago.
>
>
> Brian, in my opinion,
>
Every post in this NG is an opinion.
>
> evolution suggests a slow change without
>significant change at any point.
>
That's exactly what has happened. When and under what conditions has
that not been the case??
>
>There have been, however, many
>occasions where ham radio did not follow that slow process. And it is
>those significant changes that I'm talking about.
>
Maybe, just maybe, 2m packet and the sound-card based HF digital modes
come close.
>
>> None of it has had anything to do with the
>> policies, practices or license tests given
>> by the series of spectrum regulators who
>> have issued U.S. ham licenses over the years.
>
>
> Well, you're certainly free to have that opinion. However, mine is
>different (as stated).
>
Opinion? It's observed fact. Like I'm looking out the window right now
and it's sunny. That's not an "opinion". Overall the evolution of ham
radio has been quite slow but steady.
>
>> And sure as hell there has never been any
>> established relationship between the rate of
>> evolution of ham radio and code tests as the
>> advent of the nocde license eleven years ago
>> has clearly demonstrated again.
>
>
> Well, I certainly have not done studies, if that is what you mean by

>"established relationship." However, neither have you so you're
>opinion is no more "established" than mine.
>
>
Has nothing to with any "studies", nobody needs "studies" to recognize
that that overall the slow trudge of ham radio over the decades has
been based for the most part on the cost of implementation of the
technologies and attaining a critical mass of users and nothing more.
>
By the way, what is your "opinion" anyway and what do you see as the
relationship between the rules (incluing the impact of the tests)
which govern ham radio and the rate at which ham radio evolves? How do
you define the terms "evolution" and "revolution" in the context of
ham radio?
>.
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
w3v

From 2839393780850659899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 14:07:09 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 21:03:52 GMT
Message-ID: <3b003ddd.232716247@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3AFFB931.B884319B@sccoast.net> <20010514071920.28961.00003618@ng-mn1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.195
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.195
X-Trace: 14 May 2001 15:59:50 -0500, 64.24.19.195
Lines: 37
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21274

On 14 May 2001 11:19:20 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>The bottom line, though, is that ham radio has not been a training ground for
>engineers for decades, if ever. It provides a valuable addition to the pursuit
>of an engineering career and degree, offering significant practical experience
>that is not found in an academic course, but any idea that a ham radio license,
>of any era, is equivalent to an engineering degree is preposterous.

>
Tell that to Lenny. Aw, never mind . . .
>
I completely agree with you but I have to add a comment here. Back in
the early days of my engineering career one of the credentials I
listed on my resume was having having a ham license. That definitely
put a postive spin on my saleability in the job market to the point
where being a ham directly resulted in my spending two years as a
co-op student with the Sun Oil Applied Physics Laboratory. They wanted
co-ops with multidisciplinary capabilities and this machinist &
mechanical kid certainly was one of those. Didn't hurt when I
eventually wound up at the U.S. Naval Engineering Laboratory where I
got to serve as the intrepreter and arbiter between the EEs who did
the control systems for shipboard catapults and the MEs who did the
hardware. Gawd I got tired of that . .
>
Yeah, the was a day when being a ham could and did have measurable
impact on engineering careers. Today I avoid conversation on the
subject else I get blamed for every RFI problem in the neighborhood.
>
In this general vein how would you be churning your daily bread if you
didn't have a ham license Ed?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From 6156989644456141253
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,125ba683480f5e56,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,125ba683480f5e56,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 14:11:47 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.61
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 16:08:28 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC808A87444@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <348UI210.2387FA23@bellsowth.net>
Subject: Re: McVeigh could go free says law professor
Lines: 13
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6700
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15825
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21275

A few "amateurs" in the FBI, it seems.

"John Bowers" <jb2101@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
news:348UI210.2387FA23@bellsowth.net...
>
> According to
> http://www.newsmax.com/showinsidecover.shtml?a=2001/5/12/101549 a
> Georgetown University law professor thinks that McVeigh may walk.
>
> Guess he'll be golfing in Miami with OJ next year.

From -8130693552284476218
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 14:20:09 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 14 May 2001 21:20:07 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9dpi67$jqa$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B004463.1754C946@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 989875206 20298 149.174.88.240 (14 May 2001 21:20:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 May 2001 21:20:07 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21276

VB>Keep praying for that break that's never coming, Cecil. You
VB>never said in any of our discussions "for the purpose of
VB>meeting entry level requirements." Ever.

>I didn't have to, Vince. Testing was the subject of the thread
>which you deliberately (maliciously) omitted.

Keep praying. The subject was clearly "technical hobby" versus
"emergency service hobby."

>Old-timers on this newsgroup are on to you.

I hope so. They're well aware I leave no stone unturned.

VB>You can't add "after he has been a ham for a certain length
VB>of time." You made your statement, and the context was never
VB>"entry level."

>Sorry, Vince, of course I can add that because you are not God
>and I just did exactly that.

In doing so, you shifted the focal point of the argument. Which
is what you generally do.
Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 2658197610066O9499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 14:23:45 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 14 May 2001 21:23:42 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9dpicu$jqa$2@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B0044FA.F992E506@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com 989875422 20298 149.174.88.240 (14 May 2001 21:23:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 May 2001 21:23:42 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21277

CM>This was a change from my previous position.

VB>That's exactly what I said.

>What is it about you, Vince? Is nobody around you ever allowed
>to change his mind?

Only if they post seriously, Cecil. Otherwise, even you don't
know what you believe.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -2472237030824215129
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 14:27:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B004D7C.A214FB5B@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 16:26:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B004463.1754C946@IEEE.org> <9dpi67$jqa$1@sshuraac-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.103
X-Trace: corp 989875191 64.240.47.103 (14 May 2001 16:19:51 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21278

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >Sorry, Vince, of course I can add that because you are not God
> >and I just did exactly that.
>
> In doing so, you shifted the focal point of the argument. Which
> is what you generally do.

Since nobody can rationally figure out the focal point of your
irrational arguments, Vince, I did you a favor.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4286148192862174218
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,125ba683480f5e56

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,125ba683480f5e56
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 15:30:34 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "W6DKN" <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <348UI210.2387FA23@bellsowth.net>
Subject: Re: McVeigh could go free says law professor
Lines: 14
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Z%YL6.8224$uG1.481617@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /w5MH91aogiznMVvs9kBQAko65QoygcGKS7/E3MVsdcgioYjs0ruUmwnWZw/
0KTnVdIkd7U5EN6f!oPwrbOf8i1guSlr/t2xZl29/
yZGg9s7FDKO6BQ2xC9Wg9+47NwTwYHpxvOyWBapgxlRaER9ZXI6h!UnXc/bngFg==
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 22:30:17 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 22:30:19 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15826
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21279
```

"John Bowers" <jb2101@bellsowth.net> wrote in message
news:348UI210.2387FA23@bellsowth.net...

>
> According to
> http://www.newsmax.com/showinsidecover.shtml?a=2001/5/12/101549 a
> Georgetown University law professor thinks that McVeigh may walk.
>
> Guess he'll be golfing in Miami with OJ next year.

Even if they did let him go, I wouldn't take any bets on his longevity...

73 de W6DKN

```
From 6613500787108103558
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 16:03:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 23:03:13 GMT
References: <20010514122908.25187.00000244@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010514190313.03821.00002514@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21280

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>> The bottom line, though, is that ham radio has not been a training ground
>>>for engineers for decades, if ever.

>I disagree, Ed. Lots of engineers and people with technical backgrounds got
>their start in ham radio.

You are quite correct; I apologize for my poor choice of words.

My intent was to say that the skills required to pass the entrance exams to Amateur Radio have not been on a par with the skills required to attain a degree in engineering.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5676159819872027010
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 16:08:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 May 2001 23:07:55 GMT
References: <3b003ddd.232716247@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010514190755.03821.00002515@ng-cr1.aol.com>

Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21281

From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>but any idea that a ham radio license,
>>of any era, is equivalent to an engineering degree is preposterous.

>Tell that to Lenny. Aw, never mind . . .

Actually, Len believes as I do. Where we disagree is that he believes that most hams think that their license is superior to a BSEE. A tiny handful of hams believe that, at worst.

>Back in the early days of my engineering career one of the credentials I
>listed on my resume was having having a ham license.

IMHO, doing so is still of value, as an addition to the educational and professional experience, not instead of.

I do know that for all of the engineers I have hired over the years, that ham ticket was a "must." :-)

>In this general vein how would you be churning your daily bread if you
>didn't have a ham license Ed?

In between my stint as a General in the 60s and getting relicensed in the 70s, I was an electronics service technician. Although I was relicensed, I worked as a CAD/CAM system installer (Gerber) and as an incoming QC engineer, programming IC and transistor testers. Neither career drew on my ham-radio credentials to speak of.

I assume I would still be doing test-engineering if I had not come to ARRL HQ.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6287183553343651477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5a1e3e4724216e7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 16:40:09 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.orst.edu!vnet.OregonVOS.net!
NewsWatcher!user
From: dhassler@orednet.org (KD7MPD)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Taking dead relative's call: good/bad idea?
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 16:39:16 -0700
Organization: Emiline Music
Lines: 18

Message-ID: <dhassler-1405011639160001@192.168.150.4>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ppp3-madras.oregonvos.net
X-Trace: vnet.oregonvos.net 989887848 11623 159.121.170.108 (15 May 2001 00:50:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: support@OregonVOS.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 May 2001 00:50:48 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21282

Hey gang.

I'm thinking about a vanity callsign. I can A) request a "cool," short sign; B) take my grandfather's call - sk in '97.

Here's the question: is "B" a good idea, or not? In my case, I'd go from my 2x3 call, to a 'quite old' 1x3 W7 call if I took my grandfather's old callsign.

In a way, I think this would be kind of neat, kind of a memorial to him, the guy who turned me on to radio in the early 70s. In another way, I think this might be a little cornball, and maybe a little confusing (possibly annoying?) to some to hear a thirtysomething's voice/station ID'ed with an 80-year-old's call.

Opinions?

73 de kd7mpd

From 4635500583092404003
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 16:44:51 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 23:42:53 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3b006d45.4839446@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3affb5f8.590583@news.mindspring.com>
<20010514071155.28961.00003617@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.49.cc
X-Server-Date: 14 May 2001 23:43:55 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21283

On 14 May 2001 11:11:55 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>
>>The bad behavior today is no more/less than 10/20 years ago.
>>Go back 30+ and you have a more gentil ham population.
>
>Go back 30 years and you had some FCC enforcement. That tapered way down about
>10 years ago, and has only recently come back into visibility. The bad
>behavior on the bands tracks FCC enforcement nicely.
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

I agree Ed, but would simply note that it is a combination
of factors. Lack of enforcement sure didn't help.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2197164947358977491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 16:47:50 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 23:46:28 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3b006dcf.4977426@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3AFFE4E1.3AF010B4@IEEE.org> <9dp85m$ef4$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.49.cc
X-Server-Date: 14 May 2001 23:47:36 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21284

On 14 May 2001 18:29:10 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>Seems to me, in this day and age, all an amateur radio operator
>>needs to know (for the purpose of meeting entry level
>>requirements) is operations, rules and regulations, and safety.
>
>Keep praying for that break that's never coming, Cecil. You never
>said in any of our discussions "for the purpose of meeting entry
>level requirements." Ever. Entry level never entered into it.

>
>>I would not disagree with you that it is not asking a ham too
>>much to know BASIC electronics theory (after he has been a ham
>>for a certain length of time).
>
>You can't add "after he has been a ham for a certain length of
>time." You made your statement, and the context was never "entry
>level."

So sayeth the lord high executioner of rrap.

>>I can prove that you said anything in the world by quoting your
>>postings out of context but I have too much integrity to do so.
>>Too bad about you.
>
>Don't cry to me, Cecil. You don't post seriously, by your own
>words. Thus any statement you make is unreliable. Forever.

Oh my. Cecil, how will you ever live beyond this day.
Vinny B has condemned you to permanent unreliable status
by his mere say so. Surly life must be hopeless now.

Cheers and ROTFLMAO!
Bill K2UNK

From -1715322723833813522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49284bb79b4bbe48
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 16:55:57 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!Queequeg
From: Queequeg@quahog.com (Queequeg)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Slow/No Code and the New Gentiles...
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 23:55:57 -0000
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <slrn9g0s6d.1j0.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
References: <slrn9fntmd.1bv.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3afe62b7.111069018@news2.dvol.com> <slrn9ft9jg.114.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3affe867.210836996@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: quahog9000@deja.com
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 28
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21285

On Mon, 14 May 2001 18:26:43 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@nospam.dvol.com>
wrote:
>Stale. Really stale. You're 'way behind the curve again dude. At least
>a half century ago the ARRL had a certificate sort of award available

>called the "Code Proficiency Certicificate". Every once in a so often
>w1aw would pound out high speed Morse for a few minutes each at
>specfic speeds. I forget what the max speed was but it was 'WAY up
>there. 50wpm? I dunno.

I am well aware of the W1AW qualifying runs. That's not what
I'm talking about. I mean a face-to-face test with both
receiving *and* sending tests. Speeds up to 50 wpm if you
will. Purpose? 1. bragging rights. 2. to stop the mouths of
the critics.

>Been there, done all that. One difference between the current
>utilization rate of optical transits and cw....

Your were a surveyor? That would make you a divorced, bitter
curmudgeon with a drinking problem. :^)

KB1GEJ

--
"Dead Whale or a Stove Boat"
<---------[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[

From -9154091384967211100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 17:10:44 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 17:07:06 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3B00732A.348741AE@uci.edu>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFEE204.969EEFAB@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21286

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > All it (Morse code testing) did was discourage a few lazy whiners who
> > are so fearful of possible failure that they never got over the
> > requirement to learn and be tested for this practical skill.
>
> I wouldn't call Dr. Williamson (PhD in electronics) lazy, Larry.
> He would probably call you lazy. Many, many professional engineers
> and scientists simply do not think Morse code is worth the trouble
> and effort, and therefore think ham radio is not worth the trouble
> and effort.

How many think so now? It can be "a lot of trouble and effort" to wipe
3 times too, but it's usually worth it.

ac6xg

From 2729777207233638580
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5a1e3e4724216e7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 17:41:18 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B007B3F.2DD9BBB@att.net>
From: Tad Danley <taddanley@nospamatatt.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Taking dead relative's call: good/bad idea?
References: <dhassler-1405011639160001@192.168.150.4>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 34
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 00:41:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.91.30.177
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 989887277 12.91.30.177 (Tue, 15 May 2001 00:41:17 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 00:41:17 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21287

KD7MPD wrote:

> Hey gang.
>
> I'm thinking about a vanity callsign. I can A) request a "cool," short

> sign; B) take my grandfather's call - sk in '97.
>
> Here's the question: is "B" a good idea, or not? In my case, I'd go from
> my 2x3 call, to a 'quite old' 1x3 W7 call if I took my grandfather's old
> callsign.
>
> In a way, I think this would be kind of neat, kind of a memorial to him,
> the guy who turned me on to radio in the early 70s. In another way, I
> think this might be a little cornball, and maybe a little confusing
> (possibly annoying?) to some to hear a thirtysomething's voice/station
> ID'ed with an 80-year-old's call.
>
> Opinions?

Go with what your heart tells you. You are eligible for any call sign you want that is available to you based on your class of license and the FCC rules. I have a good friend who has his late Uncle's call sign, and there are many others who have done the same thing.

Good luck and 73,

--
Tad Danley, K3TD
mailto:taddanley@att.net

Caution!
Anti-SPAM e-mail return address in use.

From 1632962532194369897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 17:45:07 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 15 May 2001 00:45:06 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9dpu6i$qvo$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3b006dcf.4977426@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 989887506 27640 149.174.88.240 (15 May 2001 00:45:06 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 May 2001 00:45:06 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21288

>Oh my. Cecil, how will you ever live beyond this day.
>Vinny B has condemned you to permanent unreliable status
>by his mere say so.

Wrong again, Bill. By Cecil's say-so that he doesn't post seriously.

>Surly life must be hopeless now.

Life is always going to be 'surly' when you're here, Bill.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 1460846297301105061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 18:20:23 PST
Message-ID: <3B0083F8.562A2F8D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 20:18:48 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3b006dcf.4977426@news.mindspring.com> <9dpu6i$qvo$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.151
X-Trace: corp 989889137 64.240.47.151 (14 May 2001 20:12:17 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21289

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >Oh my. Cecil, how will you ever live beyond this day.

> >Vinny B has condemned you to permanent unreliable status
> >by his mere say so.
>
> Wrong again, Bill. By Cecil's say-so that he doesn't post
> seriously.

And it's obvious that neither do you, Vince.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -8789386557374226028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 18:21:33 PST
Message-ID: <3B008446.39358034@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 20:20:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFEE204.969EEFAB@IEEE.org> <3B00732A.348741AE@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.151
X-Trace: corp 989889216 64.240.47.151 (14 May 2001 20:13:36 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21290
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
> How many think so now? It can be "a lot of trouble and effort" to wipe
> 3 times too, but it's usually worth it.

Which hand do you wipe with, Jim?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6210445441343803843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,49284bb79b4bbe48
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 18:23:50 PST
Message-ID: <3B0084C4.4840B8B5@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 20:22:12 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Slow/No Code and the New Gentiles...
References: <slrn9fntmd.1bv.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3afe62b7.111069018@news2.dvol.com> <slrn9ft9jg.114.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3affe867.210836996@news2.dvol.com> <slrn9g0s6d.1j0.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.151
X-Trace: corp 989889342 64.240.47.151 (14 May 2001 20:15:42 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21291

Queequeg wrote:
> Purpose? 1. bragging rights. 2. to stop the mouths of the critics.

Who needs to brag and who cares about critics?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5567815009546648270
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5a1e3e4724216e7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 18:35:04 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <dhassler-1405011639160001@192.168.150.4>
Subject: Re: Taking dead relative's call: good/bad idea?
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <II%L6.1576$6j3.154422@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 21:34:32 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 01:34:32 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21292

In article <dhassler-1405011639160001@192.168.150.4>, KD7MPD says...

>In a way, I think this would be kind of neat, kind of a memorial to him,
>the guy who turned me on to radio in the early 70s.

I think you're right. I've considered requesting my Mom's call which expired in 1939.

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 3393356236197749644
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 18:40:14 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!
not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 18:37:06 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <3B008842.E7453224@uci.edu>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFEE204.969EEFAB@IEEE.org> <3B00732A.348741AE@uci.edu>
<3B008446.39358034@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21295



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > How many think so now?  It can be "a lot of trouble and effort" to wipe
> > 3 times too, but it's usually worth it.
>
> Which hand do you wipe with, Jim?

What's it to ya?


From -5096182893350944559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 18:40:14 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: NO CODE = NO HAM.
```

```
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 18:35:58 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3B0087FE.86DEAA62@uci.edu>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <3AFBDCE4.C08AE7CC@psu.edu>
<3AFBEEDB.8CC7FC4B@IEEE.org> <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net>
<3AFCE205.92FF3D8B@gtel.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21294



CWnotCB wrote:
>
> NO CODE = NO HAM.  KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
> CW or CB -- no other choice.
>
> Breaker, Breaker!!!  LID!!!!!!

Jammer



From 8499966885288299189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,417887dab3a92fdd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 18:56:33 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!feeder.via.net!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
Message-ID: <3B0088CC.25709AFD@post.com>
From: Jonathan Knowles <jknowles@post.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en]C-AtHome0407  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 6m, 2m, and 70cm in Mexico
References: <3AFB6EBD.3F532BBA@post.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 01:56:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.15.218.79
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com 989891792 24.15.218.79 (Mon, 14 May 2001
18:56:32 PDT)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 18:56:32 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21296

Thanks all. Great info.

Jonathan
KG6GKY

Jonathan Knowles wrote:
>
> Howdy.
>
> Anyone know the rules (or where I can find the rules) for U.S. amateurs
> traveling in Mexico. Specifically, the 6m, 2m, and 70cm bands.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Jonathan
> KG6GKY

From -7558868648151059687
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 19:25:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 02:24:53 GMT
References: <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010514222453.08242.00000465@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21297

In article <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>Actually, my involvement as an electronics hobbyist predates
>my first ham license by almost 15 years, when I won my 8th
>grade Science Fair with a homebrewed transistor radio built
>out of one of Bill Orr's books.

Wow, a technical break-through...a radio built entirely from
paper and cardboard! Did the ink make it semi-conducting?

This is on par with Kelly's 5U4 pair "amp!"

Who says you long-timers don't know theory?   :-)

From 2563976818157002416
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 19:25:13 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 157
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 02:24:53 GMT
References: <3AFDF7E2.4BDCFCFE@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
Message-ID: <20010514222453.08242.00000464@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21298

In article <3AFDF7E2.4BDCFCFE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> Heil needs to work on his perception of personal inadequacy.
>
>Heil needs to call a spade a spade from time to time.

Why? So far it has been a lot of shoveling of time-in-grade...

>> Does anyone passing any US amateur radio written test element
>> have credentials of "really learning electronics?" Really?
>
>It doesn't matter whether anyone passes or not. It matters whether
>someone studies the material.

Not to the FCC or the VEC examiners. Can't get that "credential"
without passing a minimum number of correct question answers.

>That's not your fixation, Len. Why would anyone who isn't a radio
>amateur or who is about to become a radio amateur give a rat's ass about
>morse code testing in the amateur service?

How's about someone who is mildly interested and who is a
US citizen? How about the FCC itself? The FCC put that

regulation into place but not a single one on the staff is
required to have an amateur radio license to do so.

>What you've said in public
>does not provide any motivation whatever.

Heil has NO authority to officiously demand "motivation."

Heil assumes far more authority than he has. However, that
is normal for control-freaks...

> My state's regulations on
>beekeeping can be changed too. I can even petition for that change as a
>citizen. If I don't keep bees or live next door to a beekeeper, why
>would I care?

Heil has a truly bizarre imagination. He should have stayed
with guitar analogues.

>How does expressing my disagreement with your views and questioning your
>motive differ from what you do here? Constantly.

Heil wants a code test for newcomers seeking below-30-MHz
licenses. He has had an amateur license for 38 years. The
FCC has been around since 1934 and has never dropped the
code test for amateur radio licenses. I desire the code test
to be eliminated to fit the future, not the past.

But, Heil constantly wants "proof of motivation" for eliminating
that code test advocacy. Heil has NO authority for that yet
all that he demonstrates is arrogant officiousness when he
has no office, demands things which aren't his to demand.

No newcomer, repeat NONE, is "answerable" insofar as
"motivation" to Heil.

>> Heil should seek a consensus with other Extras in here. Some
>> Extras contend that today's written examinations are nothing
>> but meaningless memorization feats and have no redeeming
>> quality insofar as amateur radio.
>
>Why, pray tell, should I do that?

See the equally-officious (and insulting) comments of W0EX who
contends that no one has learned anything under the open
question and answer pool...it was all memorization according to
him.

>Do you speak for someone other than yourself?

Yes, loosely so. Here are some names, surnames altered
to avoid them being a target for Heil's "motivation" barrage:

John Doe, June Doe, Juan Doe, Harry Doe but not his
daughter Cookie, Phil Doe, Shinjiro Doe, Arpad Doe,
Quan Doe, Bill Doe, Stan Doe, Dean Doe, Jose Doe,
Cheng Doe, Dave Doe (but not his dog the Doe-berman).

[not in order nor are all related...there is no "Dosey"]

>Is it your belief that I speak for someone else?

Not at all. I find the concept impossible that Heil could ever represent anyone not agreeing fully with his own personal views or experiences.

>It is *possible* for someone to rote memorize material without learning
>anything.

That depends on a qualifier. I know a few actors. Actors rote-memorize many lines of script material. If they are playing a character involved in any technical field, they learn only enough extra to make their word pronunciations correct.

>I do not think that anyone who studies the material comes
>away without electronics knowledge.

Qualify "material." If it is the Q&A pool, I will pull out an Extra exam question similar to what was described in here by another months past (Ed Hare?):

E5D11 @E5E06 (B)
In rectangular coordinates, what is the impedance of a network
comprised of a 1.0-millihenry inductor in series with a
200-ohm resistor at 30 kHz?
A. 200 - j188
B. 200 + j188
C. 188 - j200
D. 188 + j200

Now explain how "studying this material" aids learning. Where is complex quantity notation studyable in the Q&A? What is the meaning of the plus or minus signs studyable in the Q&A? What is the signicance of the lower-case "j"? Why are there two different number values there and what do they mean? Is ANY of that studyable in the test Q&A?

I know what it means since I studied it elsewhere some time ago. The correct answer has to be either A or B (number to the left is resistance, given as 200 Ohms) but what of the number to the right of the "j"? Inductive reactance is positive, therefore answer B is correct. Inductive reactance is, to 6 places, 188.496 Ohms for a 1 mHy inductor at 30 KHz.
None of it is rocket science.

If all a prospective amateur Extra did was "study the test
Q&A material" they would be in the dark as to WHY the
numbers in answer B are correct. They would either have
to study the material ELSEWHERE relative to complex
quantity notation, meaning of reactance as applied to
inductors or just memorize the answer as B.

Does a question on a network's impedance have anything
to do with operating CW on HF? Not a blessed thing. But
an amateur license doesn't mean just 'operating' does it?
That license also means a licensee can build and use an
RF emitter in the amateur bands. Clue: Operating CW on
HF doesn't teach squat about radio hardware theory.

I pulled over an AMATEUR Extra test question. I understand the question, can solve it without any answer...yet,
according to Heilian logic I'm not supposed to have any
knowledge of such question answers without that license.
Further, the VEC Q&A Pool Committee devised the
question and answers and the VEC must be composed of
licensed radio amateurs by law. How can there be any
understanding of such amateur questions and the proper
answer without an amateur radio license?!?

I hope that box of fasteners arrives there soon...

From 5017419685258394924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 19:25:13 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 183
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 02:24:55 GMT
References: <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010514222455.08242.00000466@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21299

In article <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net>, USARS Motivation
Office<k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:

>You've made your comment to the government. What law or regulation
>requires me to take your guff here at face value.

What law or regulation requires anyone to take Heil's guff?
:-)

>What law or
>regulation prohibits me from telling you that you have no credentials as
>an radio amateur?

This is getting to be a "show me your papers!" sort of thing. :-)
Not nice to go there but the temptation is very strong.

"No credentials." That can mean many things. A true thing is that
I have no US amateur radio license. A false thing is saying that
"credentials" mean no knowledge of radio or electronics pertaining
to amateur radio. A false thing is saying that "credentials" means
I have no experience on HF radio...or VHF or UHF or microwave or
MF or LF or VLF radio.

>I don't think I'd ask a guy who reads car magazines
>about repairing a car. I wouldn't try to learn sailing from a book and
>I wouldn't accept Len Anderson as my source on how amateur radio should
>be regulated.

So...Heil is saying that: Auto mechanics cannot read or that
they should not read? GM auto mechanics have rather thick
Helms manuals. Boaters should not learn navigation
("Bowditch") from a book? And, by association, I should not have
any voice in any US federal radio regulations because I am not
licensed in a particular radio service?

It must be so. The First Amendment went away. Goodby...

>How would a non-radio amateur know what is best for the amateur service?

Ask the FCC about that. The FCC does not require any staff
to have amateur radio licenses in order to regulate, judge, or
anything else on radio regulations.

>In what way have you developed an insight into ways that amateur radio
>can be made better?

I was never advocating any ways to "make amateur radio better."
I was advocating only one thing in getting INTO amateur radio.
How does elimination of the code test affect Heil's privileges?

>I've already stated that my views are my own. At least I speak from a
>base of 38 years experience in amateur radio.

Since 1963. How many "credentials" has Heil received that give
him authority to speak for ALL of amateur radio regarding
"acceptible" motivation, desire, interest, etc., etc., etc.?

>A guy who received his
>license last week has a week's more experience in amateur radio than
>Leonard H. Anderson.

Heil belabors the "gotta have a license" need. "Credentials!" :-)

>Len, you aren't an inhabitant of amateur radio at all. I'm trying to
>picture you with you baggy shorts and ballcap on backward rapping about
>being dissed. It is a surreal image.

Heil has a remarkable imagination. :-)

Clue: Possession of a ham credential does not authorize impunity in treating others as inferior.

>I hope you'll re-read your
>paragraph above prior to making each post here.

No need. I have a good memory...including remembering a certain State Department employee who came all unglued when his "CW order wire on a TTY circuit" wasn't received with adulation. That former employee is still angry. Tsk, tsk. :-)

>You adopt the stance of
>the patronizing old communications pro, here to tell the radio hams how
>things should be.

Heil has a beef about non-hams? Try fish.

Clue: Every newcomer to amateur radio is a non-ham.

"Old communications pro?" Possibly. Patronizing? Only to those who don't appear to know what they are talking about or inventing some story concerning HF comm that was standard operating practice before I entered grade school. :-)

I'm not telling radio hams how things should be. Those in here can't be told...they already know what is what and what is best for everyone. I'm talking about the FCC's code test to get INTO amateur radio.

>Well, old timer, you've done jack doodly in amateur radio.

I don't know anyone with the surname of "Doodly." Are you spelling it correctly?

>What have I ordered you to do? I've asked you a couple of questions.
>I've questioned your motivation.

Well, that must be Official. Heil is now in charge of amateur newcomer Motivations. All newcomers are answerable to him.

>I've pointed out that you have no
>experience as a radio amateur thus little to base your views upon.

Quick, write your congressperson and demand that all unlicensed personnel at the FCC be summarily dismissed for "lack of views and amateur experience!"

>> Under Heil's insistent illogic, each and every astronaut
>> should refuse to accept any information and opinions
>> about shuttles or other spacecraft from spacecraft
>> engineers and technicians unless those had also been in
>> space as astronauts.
>
>You didn't design amateur radio. You've never participated in amateur
>radio. You don't regulate amateur radio. You don't manufacture things
>for radio amateurs.

My error. I forgot that amateur radio works differently than all other radio! Completely slipped my mind about that mythology.

>On what bands do you do your DXing, Len? It doesn't have to be DXing,
>Len.

Something must have slipped Heil's mind, too. He imagined something about me "wanting" to operate some HF rig with full power into big directional antennas working some distant island?

>You could be regaling newcomers with your Army RTTY circuit
>glories.

Why would I do that? There was no "glory" in that, merely an experience while serving in the US Army before most in here became of legal age (or even existed). The standards and practices of fixed-point 24/7 HF communications had been established by professionals before my time.

I could continue on experience in microwave radio any time in the 60s through 90s, low-speed data transmission (under 1200 WPM), spread-spectrum communications, radio telemetry, radio telecommand, subjects that have some relation to modern-day amateur allocations, experiments.
I could continue further in modes and methods not allocated to amateurs but that would be passed off as "not applicable to ham radio" by those who have no clue at all of the rest of the radio world.

>> Eliminating the code test for a US amateur radio license should

>> not affect any US radio amateur already licensed.
>
>No, but it certainly has an effect on those of us who are participants
>in amateur radio.

Oh! An "effect!" Emotional disturbance! Good grief, we can't have
some 38-year amateur lifers getting all upset! The nerve of some
people wanting to emotionally upset the long-timers! Oh! Oh!

>The FCC are, by job function, the regulatory professionals in this area.
>The Commission is under no obligation to take your comments any more
>seriously than I take them.

I might send Heil a box of fasteners...to help him screw himself.   :-)

From -2985319928274625469
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 19:26:11 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 162
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 02:24:58 GMT
References: <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010514222458.08242.00000467@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21300

In article <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>To what end? Why would an adult male who has no apparent interest in a
>field lobby to change the rules regulating it?

>I don't equate asking you a simple question with making a demand. Are
>you embarrassed to be asked the question? Are you not proud of your
>motive?

>I know what you do. I asked you why you do it if you have no intention
>of obtaining an amateur license? Your posts do not limit themselves to

>issues of morse testing so there must be something to your fixation.

The mechanism of Heil's responses are called loaded questions. Heil makes assumptions and then impugns "motives" of his own imaginative assumptions. Heil is demanding to show motive. Heil has only an emotional need for others to show motivation to Him, not the USARS.

As I've said some while ago in here, "it might be nice to have an amateur radio license...for another hobby."

The response was rather startling over the next few months. Those ranged over others' personal views of amateur radio as:

1. Amateur radio is a "service." Misusing the word "service" (a type or kind of radio) in Title 47 CFR as some kind of "service to the nation" (possibly to all of humankind as some implied). Amateur radio is not a quasi-military organization or anything else other than a hobby.

2. Likening amateur radio to a guild, craft, or profession that "needs" a progression from low rank on up to "high" (even exhaulted?) "rank" beginning with "apprenticeship" (Novice then, no-code-test Technician now) to culminate with "master" (Extra). That presumably from the way others had done it, which led to...

3. All "should" enter as youngsters (with Novice apprenticeship of course)...apparently in order to enter and be properly imprinted with some mysterious "spirit of ham radio" that is supposed to weld all into a homogenous fraternal order of like minds and spirit. That accompanied by several tales of nine and six-year olds who have "made it" (passed a written tests that very few young schoolchildren could have properly understood). This is partly a "turf" claim since those who use the claim came into amateur radio that way (and thus all should do so as "the proper way").

4. Morse code skill is "absolutely necessary" in amateur radio (in testing or in use) for a variety of reasons that would have been good in the 1930s but are hopelessly outdated at the turn of the millenium. Amateur radio is the last radio service to require code testing for below-30-MHz radio communication purposes. A considerable number of hairs were split trying to show the "necessity" for maritime radio service for emergency and third-world ship communications in a commerce area that has shifted to SSB voice and various data modes.

5. Morse code skill testing demonstrates "motivation and resolve" along with "working at mastering code is good." The necessity to demonstrate motivation is not any requirement in regulations but is a fictitious demand by those who have established "turf" in an activity and seek to

rule/direct it.

6. Morse code is still used by the military. Another myth as far as regular military communications are concerned, even a decade ago. A long set of frayed threads developed in here regarding the "necessity" for Army Special Forces training "showing that the Army still used it" but that was not taken back when examples of present-day comm tactics along with equipment were presented. A ludicrous claim was done by a "retired Army colonel" whose "son sent intelligence reports from behind Iraqi lines using CW," without any verification of such from any other source.

7. Long tenure with an amateur radio license "means expertise" in everything concerning amateur radio, therefore long-timers "know what is best for newcomers." A ridiculous bit of turf-claiming which belies the seeming real purpose of preserving conditions that the long-timers prefer (preservation of elitism and privilege).

8. The close relation to (7) is the claim that "anyone not IN amateur radio cannot possibly know anything at all about amateur radio, doesn't know anything, can never know." A gigantic myth written in anger at anyone who proposes a change, any change...but could possibly be an eccentric delusion that claimants think they are "special humans" possessed of powers greater than inferior, lesser beings. Such enoblement is a classic turf statement. If it were true, no newcomer could have passed and entered amateur radio after the claimant's first test and the claimant's sudden transformation given the glory and majesty of revelations after receiving the first license grant. It is as if a religious act "revealed all" getting that call sign.

9. "Anyone can learn morse code, tens of thousands have" is a popular response against ending the code test. The problem with that is two-fold: It is used because there are no figures or statistics showing the number of those who tried but could not make it. It does not address WHY a code test still exists. Such statements are usually made by those who will never ever have to take another amateur radio test in their lives (part of the turf syndrome).

10. No professionals are wanted or desired because it is AMATEUR radio (Roll's capitalization)...and nothing in professional radio has any relation to amateur radio. This is a common non-response which is really a left-hand slap at those who know more radio technology than the amateur. It is illogical and ludicrous and at the same time insults the many who are professionals involved in radio and who also have amateur radio licenses.

That pretty much covers most of the ground on postings from those who are dead-set on keeping the morse code test in US amateur radio and have been for the last four years. Some more could be added, of course, but the above represents a synopsis of general posting by the PCTAs.

Heil will still demand demonstration of motive for campaigning against the code test. This can be summarized by what I said in this message ("it would be an interesting hobby") and by what I am not interested in doing in amateur radio:

I am not interested in working DX on HF, I did HF comm 24/7 for three years a long time ago. I'm not interested in owning the spiffiest or newest or most high-powered amateur equipment despite being able to afford such; have plenty of toys here already. I'm not interested in contest "radio sport" and would rather watch international football or my hard disk defragging than do that. I'm not interested in taking up obsessions such as a "devotion" to amateur radio above and beyond normal life or religious beliefs. I'm not interested in joining an "exclusive fraternity" if it contains too many long-timers who love to put down anyone in opposition to their devotions or beliefs or turf claims of "knowing what is best" for any newcomer.

I am interested in amateur radio as one of several ways to communicate with others and with the technical side of communications, the hardware and software. I am not interested in preserving the wetware "ROMs" of the 1930s by keeping standards and practices of that time as the epitome of radio or radio credentialism.

Legal amateur radio activities require passing a license exam. For under-30-MHz privileges that exam requires a test for morse code skill. I think that code test should be eliminated...so do many others including the FCC. Eliminating that code test does not mean an "end" to CW mode use nor does it affect any privileges of those already licensed in amateur radio.

It may hurt some long-timers' feelings if "no-codes" "invade their turf," but that's just TS card-punching. Exclusivity isn't forever and elitist play-space wasn't a grant from divine providence.

From -8240300940401638421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 20:41:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!

```
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 03:41:00 GMT
References: <20010514051931.25187.00000223@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Message-ID: <20010514234100.20174.00000360@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21301

In article <20010514051931.25187.00000223@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
>>You guys with your pro-code testing
>>agendas will do anything and everything to try to discredit anyone who
>>doesn't share your archaic views.
>
>I think they keep bringing ARRL into my posts because they hope I will stop
>posting.  :-)

It's a common computer-modem communications tactic to
discredit opponents any which way they can.  Old stuff.  :-)




From -8491795658786661539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 20:41:58 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!
news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 03:41:01 GMT
References: <20010514190755.03821.00002515@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010514234101.20174.00000361@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21302

In article <20010514190755.03821.00002515@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:
```

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>
>>but any idea that a ham radio license,
>>>of any era, is equivalent to an engineering degree is preposterous.
>
>>Tell that to Lenny. Aw, never mind . . .
>
>Actually, Len believes as I do. Where we disagree is that he believes that
>most hams think that their license is superior to a BSEE. A tiny handful of
hams
>believe that, at worst.

If there was disagreement on "superiority" of anything, then I mistyped
in applying it to the handful in here who are PCTAs.

>>Back in the early days of my engineering career one of the credentials I
>>listed on my resume was having having a ham license.
>
>IMHO, doing so is still of value, as an addition to the educational and
>professional experience, not instead of.

I didn't get into aerospace engineering directly until 1960 but way
back an amateur radio license indicated only an "interest" in
radio which was part of the psychological quick-scan of resumes.
Radio-electronics knowledge acquired solely as a radio amateur
was simply not a valid point to show any worthwhile skill in work of
the radio-electronics industry. The indication of amateur radio
activity done outside of the day job, whatever it was, did show that
an applicant continued an interest beyond the normal work time.
That indicator meant that the applicant would be conducive to
acquiring more knowlede/experiences/tasks beyond the end of the
normal work period. That's a worthwhile psychological attribute
from an employer's point of view.

Some of the 1960's amateur radio activity had little relation to
work in the radio-electronics industry. Can any employer really
expect that DX-ing or contesting is an "important skill" to industry?
In the period 1955-1960 there was an intense amateur radio
interest in SSB. Those few radio-electronics firms working on
commercial and/or military SSB were already aware that SSB
had been going on in their area on radio since the early 1930s
and on landline since the early 1920s. The expectation that
radio amateurs would offer some valuable technical knowledge
for their efforts 30 years after the fact is remote.

>I do know that for all of the engineers I have hired over the years, that ham
>ticket was a "must." :-)

For work at the ARRL labs, of course! :-)

From -2887522320652270811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 20:41:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 65
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 03:40:59 GMT
References: <20010514083428.03281.00000379@nso-de.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010514234059.20174.00000359@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21303

In article <20010514083428.03281.00000379@nso-de.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>In article <20010509225738.20174.00000289@nso-mr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20010509203501.18977.00000995@nso-fa.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>
>>   [large snip from a "correction" posting, another "endless story" type]
>
>Translation: Len Anderson doesn't want to admit to his mistakes, which I have
>documented in a previous post. He's back in denial.

I admitted to typos. I will not admit to manufactured "errors" which
are not in accordance to what I stated.

Not too long ago I took a slightly different sample group observation
on the number of amateur radio licensees in the POST-restructuring
period; i.e., after April 15, 2000. I specifically avoided a month and
a half immediately thereafter for the VEC applications and FCC
database data to "settile down," explaining why then and going into
detail in a following post. N2EY said that was "wrong" and then
presented data that included end-of-month totals PRIOR to the
restructuring. One cannot lump in prior-restructuring data to a
discussion of POST-restructuring. N2EY claims his method is
"correct."   ???

I supplied a web address that goes into Project Diana history in technical detail, written by one of the participants. N2EY found a flaw in my typing, did not find the correct web address, and tried to make every following statement I made "wrong" because of this error and also for not over-glamorizing the "ham achievements" of Project Diana, the first-ever demonstration proving that the lunar surface could provide radio reflection. Project Diana used highly-modified old radar equipment for the sole purpose to determine whether or not the moon could be a reflector. This was not a "radar application"...there is no need for direction and ranging to a body that has long since been timed and quantified as to direction and ranging.

N2EY found fault in my NOT glorifying all the amateurs who were part of Project Diana and implied/insinuated that amateurs were the "real" basis behind the work done. He specifically took to task the "military-industrial complex" (a phrase not yet in use) which had "taxpayer dollar support" as if military budgets in 1946 were fat and free-spent.

Project Diana was the first radio moonbounce effort under sponsorship and direction of the US Army Signal Corps, Evans Signal Laboratory (earlier name was "Camp Evans").

It would seem that some folks' pride is so hurt that they will not recognize nor appreciate true pioneering efforts in radio unless it can be connected somehow, however tenuous the thread, to amateur radio. That's nonsense. Pioneering is pioneering and the credit goes to the pioneers and their sponsor.

If the pioneer group is not "amateur oriented," well happy TS punching. The radio world is very large with a lot of researchers and experimentors out there, the majority of them professionals. Try to live with it.

From -7882192383052341115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 21:04:20 PST
Message-ID: <3B00AA61.D6639609@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 23:02:41 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFEE204.969EEFAB@IEEE.org> <3B00732A.348741AE@uci.edu>

```
<3B008446.39358034@IEEE.org> <3B008842.E7453224@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.88
X-Trace: corp 989898973 64.240.47.88 (14 May 2001 22:56:13 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21304

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > How many think so now?  It can be "a lot of trouble and effort" to wipe
> > > 3 times too, but it's usually worth it.
> >
> > Which hand do you wipe with, Jim?
>
> What's it to ya?

Just want to know which one to avoid shaking.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 4816975127825553776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 21:22:28 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
```

Date: 15 May 2001 04:22:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9dqau3$nbq$0@208.207.71.154>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-ch.aol.com> <9dne05$f00$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFFBBAA.8A1350A@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.154
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21305

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Ah, yes! And what, then, do you propose it
> > should be renamed come the day, ans soon it
> > will be, when virtually all hams are appliance
> > operators?
>
>   Dick, I think we passed that stage about twenty-five years ago. I
> see very few ham operators, in any license class, running home-built
> radio equipment today. How many Extras do you know of that are not
> using Yaesu, Kenwood, Icom, or some other commercial brand radio?

Dwight, evidently you and I have differing interpretations of the term. I don't use a homebrew transciever simplhy because it wouldn't be in any way practical to duplicate my transciever by homebrewing one. That doesn't mean I don't have a pretty good grasp of what is inside and how it does what it does. Do I plan to repair it if it quits? Probably not, though that would depend on what failed. The basic reason is that I know from experience that it is far better for most serious failures for it to be repaired in a facility where he repair personnel are very familiar with the equipement and its service and who have shop facilities specifically for the purpose. One shot repairs on any modern radio gear equate to 'shade tree' mechanicing on today's aautomobiles- it jsut ain't very productive, and you can easily screw up more than you fix, if it's your first time there. It's mostly a practical matter.

I consider an appliance operator to be a ham who buys the rig and has no idea how it works, having studied the question pool for his exam. And he doesn't care. Lots of them about these days.

From 5600260706093086248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 21:31:17 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B00A2D2.8AB76100@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
References: <3AFDF7E2.4BDCFCFE@earthlink.net> <20010514222453.08242.00000464@nso-
mf.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 224
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 04:31:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.106
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989901076 63.17.222.106 (Mon, 14 May
2001 21:31:16 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 21:31:16 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 21:29:36 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21306
```

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <3AFDF7E2.4BDCFCFE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> Heil needs to work on his perception of personal inadequacy.
> >
> >Heil needs to call a spade a spade from time to time.
>
> Why? So far it has been a lot of shoveling of time-in-grade...

The answer to "why" is that it needed doing.

> >> Does anyone passing any US amateur radio written test element
> >> have credentials of "really learning electronics?" Really?
> >
> >It doesn't matter whether anyone passes or not. It matters whether
> >someone studies the material.
>
> Not to the FCC or the VEC examiners. Can't get that "credential"
> without passing a minimum number of correct question answers.

You asked a question. I provided an answer. Nowhere in my answer did I
stipulate that it matters to the FCC or VEC.

> >That's not your fixation, Len. Why would anyone who isn't a radio
> >amateur or who is about to become a radio amateur give a rat's ass about
> >morse code testing in the amateur service?
>
> How's about someone who is mildly interested and who is a
> US citizen? How about the FCC itself? The FCC put that
> regulation into place but not a single one on the staff is
> required to have an amateur radio license to do so.

It would seem that anyone with mild interest in something would have
become a participant over time. Let's say over several decades.
That the FCC staff isn't required to be a radio amateur doesn't matter a
whit. No one at the DMV is required to have a driver's license either.

> >What you've said in public
> >does not provide any motivation whatever.
>
> Heil has NO authority to officiously demand "motivation."

Officiously demand? You're out of your ever-lovin' California mind.
Do you mean to tell me that anytime someone asks you a question, you
take it to be an officious demand?

> Heil assumes far more authority than he has. However, that
> is normal for control-freaks...

Look, Len, I'm involved with amateur radio just about every day. You
are a sidewalk superintendant. I don't really care if you never obtain
a license but if you want it, you'll have to take the steps toward
meeting the requirements for obtaining it. If you'd like to spend the
rest of your life gnawing on bones here, go to it. If it pleases you to
describe me as a control freak, have at it. You can complain to the
ultimate amateur radio control freaks, the FCC.

> > My state's regulations on
> >beekeeping can be changed too. I can even petition for that change as a
> >citizen. If I don't keep bees or live next door to a beekeeper, why
> >would I care?
>
> Heil has a truly bizarre imagination. He should have stayed
> with guitar analogues.

Yeah. I note that you didn't comment on my statement though. Bizarre
is prattling on about demanding changes to something you aren't involved
in.

> >How does expressing my disagreement with your views and questioning your
> >motive differ from what you do here? Constantly.
>
> Heil wants a code test for newcomers seeking below-30-MHz
> licenses. He has had an amateur license for 38 years. The
> FCC has been around since 1934 and has never dropped the

> code test for amateur radio licenses. I desire the code test
> to be eliminated to fit the future, not the past.

Yep. I know all about "Heil" and the FCC. I also know your views on
morse code testing in the amateur service. I asked you what's it to
you?

> But, Heil constantly wants "proof of motivation" for eliminating
> that code test advocacy. Heil has NO authority for that yet
> all that he demonstrates is arrogant officiousness when he
> has no office, demands things which aren't his to demand.
>
> No newcomer, repeat NONE, is "answerable" insofar as
> "motivation" to Heil.

So I take it that you don't like being asked why you are interested in
something which you frequently bad mouth and in which you are not an
participant.

> >> Heil should seek a consensus with other Extras in here. Some
> >> Extras contend that today's written examinations are nothing
> >> but meaningless memorization feats and have no redeeming
> >> quality insofar as amateur radio.
> >
> >Why, pray tell, should I do that?
>
> See the equally-officious (and insulting) comments of W0EX who
> contends that no one has learned anything under the open
> question and answer pool...it was all memorization according to
> him.

So? He doesn't speak for me or even know me. What makes you think I'm
in league with him? Why would you think that we would agree on every
issue?

> >Do you speak for someone other than yourself?
>
> Yes, loosely so. Here are some names, surnames altered
> to avoid them being a target for Heil's "motivation" barrage:
>
>   John Doe, June Doe, Juan Doe, Harry Doe but not his
>   daughter Cookie, Phil Doe, Shinjiro Doe, Arpad Doe,
>   Quan Doe, Bill Doe, Stan Doe, Dean Doe, Jose Doe,
>   Cheng Doe, Dave Doe (but not his dog the Doe-berman).
>
>   [not in order nor are all related...there is no "Dosey"]

I'll take that as a "no".

> >Is it your belief that I speak for someone else?
>
> Not at all. I find the concept impossible that Heil could ever
> represent anyone not agreeing fully with his own personal views

> or experiences.

Then why have you presented yourself as an advocate for change when no
one asked you to do so? Why have you written of others views as if you
know what others are thinking?

> >It is *possible* for someone to rote memorize material without learning
> >anything.
>
> That depends on a qualifier. I know a few actors. Actors rote-
> memorize many lines of script material. If they are playing a
> character involved in any technical field, they learn only enough
> extra to make their word pronunciations correct.

I'll remember that next time I run into an actor prepping to take the
Extra.

> >I do not think that anyone who studies the material comes
> >away without electronics knowledge.
>
> Qualify "material." If it is the Q&A pool, I will pull out an Extra
> exam question similar to what was described in here by
> another months past (Ed Hare?):

I don't believe that anyone obtains electronics knowledge through simply
studying the Q&A pool and I don't believe that you ever thought that is
what I meant by my statement.

>   E5D11 @E5E06 (B)
>   In rectangular coordinates, what is the impedance of a network
>   comprised of a 1.0-millihenry inductor in series with a
>   200-ohm resistor at 30 kHz?
>   A. 200 - j188
>   B. 200 + j188
>   C. 188 - j200
>   D. 188 + j200
>
> Now explain how "studying this material" aids learning. Where
> is complex quantity notation studyable in the Q&A? What is
> the meaning of the plus or minus signs studyable in the Q&A?
> What is the signicance of the lower-case "j"? Why are there
> two different number values there and what do they mean?
> Is ANY of that studyable in the test Q&A?
>
> I know what it means since I studied it elsewhere some time
> ago.

Bully for you!

> The correct answer has to be either A or B (number to
> the left is resistance, given as 200 Ohms) but what of the
> number to the right of the "j"? Inductive reactance is positive,
> therefore answer B is correct. Inductive reactance is, to 6

> places, 188.496 Ohms for a 1 mHy inductor at 30 KHz. None of it is rocket science.
>
> If all a prospective amateur Extra did was "study the test Q&A material" they would be in the dark as to WHY the numbers in answer B are correct. They would either have to study the material ELSEWHERE relative to complex quantity notation, meaning of reactance as applied to inductors or just memorize the answer as B.
>
> Does a question on a network's impedance have anything to do with operating CW on HF? Not a blessed thing. But an amateur license doesn't mean just 'operating' does it? That license also means a licensee can build and use an RF emitter in the amateur bands. Clue: Operating CW on HF doesn't teach squat about radio hardware theory.

Chew on the fact that you can build as many transmitters as you'd like right now. You don't even need an amateur license. That amateur license permits you to operate a transmitter in the amateur bands. "Using" is operating. In that sense, an amateur license does mean "just operating".

> I pulled over an AMATEUR Extra test question. I understand the question, can solve it without any answer...yet, according to Heilian logic I'm not supposed to have any knowledge of such question answers without that license. Further, the VEC Q&A Pool Committee devised the question and answers and the VEC must be composed of licensed radio amateurs by law. How can there be any understanding of such amateur questions and the proper answer without an amateur radio license?!?
>
> I hope that box of fasteners arrives there soon...

You get more peculiar by the week, Leonard H. You can know all the electronics you'd like. You can even learn the regulatory material. Until you pass that amateur exam, you'll likely not be putting those transmitters on the air in the ham bands, huh?

Dave K8MN

From 9150768192645570083
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 21:35:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 04:35:00 GMT
References: <20010514190313.03821.00002514@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515003500.07982.00001560@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21307

In article <20010514190313.03821.00002514@ng-cr1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>>> The bottom line, though, is that ham radio has not been a training ground
>>>>for engineers for decades, if ever.
>
>>I disagree, Ed. Lots of engineers and people with technical backgrounds got
>>their start in ham radio.
>
>You are quite correct; I apologize for my poor choice of words.

I will only acept such an apology when you show up to collect on that lobster dinner bet that you won from me. ;-)

>
>My intent was to say that the skills required to pass the entrance exams to
>Amateur Radio have not been on a par with the skills required to attain a
>degree in engineering.
>

Agree 100% - and they never have been. Nor should they be. The point of license exams is practical radio as practiced by hams, not the general knowledge base of any kind of engineering.

Ham radio gave me the basic tools, though.

I still recall the day good ol' Dr. Ketterer, teaching a class of 25 or 30 3rd year EE students, sketched a common-source JFET amplifier on the board. This amplifier had tuned input and output circuits. He asked if the circuit would oscillate. Everyone in the class said no - except me. I said "it depends on the circuit constants".

He paused a second, then asked "Are you a ham radio operator?" When I answered in the affirmative, he smiled and said "You are the only one who got it right". (I was the only ham in that class). He then asked me to explain what would happen, and I talked about the feedback through the gate-drain capacitance. Dr. K was impressed - and he didn't impress easily.

Much later I found out that the one thing he found lacking in most engineering students was practical experience and an instinctive understanding of the basics. Ham radio gave me both of those things.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4972051525189135910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 21:35:56 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 198
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 04:35:02 GMT
References: <3affb5f8.590583@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010515003502.07982.00001561@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21308

In article <3affb5f8.590583@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>>>The evidence is certainly not quantitative, but more anecdoatal.
>>>Do you dispute that HF hams even before no-code test, or slow
>>>code as now, had sufficient "bad apples" amongs them that
>>>it was already being viewed as a problem within ham ranks?
>>
>>Why do you single out HF hams, Bill?
>
>I don't except as to there be no more/less liklihood
>of bad apples because of taking a code test vs not
>taking a code test.

I disagree. I don't think there's enough evidence to prove that claim either way. There are simply too many other changes going on.

>Of that, the FCC has clearly stated
>their opinion.

Agreed. To paraphrase, they see the code test as simply a test of code skills - nothing more or less. But they DON'T offer any solid evidence one way or another about it. You think the FCC is going to say "Gee, we've neglected enforcement for a long time, and that's why you have stuff like the W6NUT repeater?" I wouldn't bet on it.

>Others seem to try to attach some
>behavioral problems to the advent of nocode testing.

Sure. With the same lack of hard data. Too many other things going on to single

out one cause.
>
>>What I'm saying is this:
>>
>>1) "Bad behavior" on the ham bands is much more of a problem today than it
>was
>>10, 20, 30 years ago. There has been a general decline in the on-air
>standards
>>of SOME amateurs.
>
>The bad behavior today is no more/less than 10/20 years ago.

I disagree. It's been slowly getting worse over a long period of time.

>Go back 30+ and you have a more gentil ham population.

I think you mean "genteel", and I agree. Things back then were different.
Universal code testing was only one factor, though - that's my point.

>The behavioral problems have more to do with a change
>in the general population, including hams, rather than anything
>at all related to code testing.

I disagree. I say it's a combination of many factors, not just one or two.
>
>>2) The behavior problems are worst in the 'phone subbands
>
>Because that is where the "voice" can be freely
>used. I wouldn't be surprised to learn that many
>of those bad apples fully hope and expect that others
>are listening that aren't part of the "QSO."

Agreed! But the opposite is also true - many folks forget that they are on the
air and that anyone could be listening.

> I doubt
>many people ever expect anyone to be routinely "just
>listening" to CW contacts which takes away the "broadcast"
>mindset if someone thought they'd key out a string
>of obsenities in CW.

How much CW do you work, Bill? I do a lot, and one of the things I have noticed
about the vast majority of CW ops is that they behave as if anyone might be
listening.

But regardless of the causes - if a certain mode is more conducive to good
on-air behavior, isn't that reason enough to promote the mode?
>
>>3) There are a number of possible explanations for this decline:
>
>Agreed.

Glad to hear it. This is my main point - there are many causes, not just one

or two.
>
>>A - Lack of enforcement

Riley has changed this for the better, but he's got an uphill battle. And it's not just enforcement that counts, but publicizing the results.

>>B - Bad habits picked up in other services and carried over into ham radio

Agree or disagree?

>>C - General change in mores of society as a whole
>
>Agree completely!

I'm not sure if you mean all three or just C.
>
>>D - Changes in technology make such behaviors easier
>
>??? - What about using voice modes has technology
>changed over the year to make such behavior easier?

Lots of things. Used to be that getting on 'phone was a complex and expensive proposition for most hams. Most of us spent a considerable amount of time on CW before getting a license and equipment for voice. All that changed with "Novice Enhancement" and the change to the Tech and Tech Plus as entry-level licenses.

In other words, it's easier than ever to get on voice right away.
>
>>E - Changes in licensing requirements and other regulations
>
>What is the cause/effect?

See above. Simplifying the license process makes it quick and easy to get started. But this also means that some folks will get on the air with almost no real knowledge. For example, I know of a few new hams who got their licenses on a bet. In both cases a ham friend bet them that they couldn't get a license in less than a certains short amount of time. The bet was accepted, the person memorized enough of the question pool to pass and won the bet. Did they really have an interest in ham radio? Maybe. Did they learn much about it in their preparation? Nope.
>
>>4) [The big one] Without a lot more solid data, we can't balme the decline
>on
>>any one reason, or even a combination of them.
>
>I agree...and I'd certainly say it has ZERO to
>do with anyone having passed/not passed any
>code test. That is my ONLY point.

How can you make that claim without solid data, Bill? You're on ground that is as shaky as those who blame it all on the lack of code testing. Nobody really knows, because too many other things have changed as well.

>
>>
>>Sure - but they may not even do that. I don't think they are required to do
>so
>>in a case like this, because they've already made it abundantly clear to any
>>casual observer that the ONLY reason they kept the code test was because of
>>S25.5. They said it "serves no regulatory purpose" other than S25.5. "Serves
>no
>>regulatory purpose" from a government agency is the kiss of death for a
>>regulation so described..
>
>We'll know for sure in 2 years or so.

Yup - if S25.5 goes away.

>>>>Not saying I want that to happen, but I won't be surprised if it does.
>>>
>>>I believe some degree of public input/process would still
>>>be warranted.

But the FCC has a ton of leeway on that. Look at the NPRM - four license
classes and written exams with more questions and a predetermined distribution.
Then look at the R&O - three classes and far less written testing. The finished
product looks very little like the proposal, yet the FCC just went ahaead and
did it. Same deal could happen if S25.5 is changed. Poof, no more Element 1.
Or better yet - keep the code test, just make it optional!
>>
>>Me, too, but I remain unconvinced that the FCC would be required to do so,
>>given the amount of time and public input/process already expended by the
>FCC.
>>FCC has already made its position crystal clear. Something like 57% of the
>>comments to the restructuring NPRM favored continued code testing and at
>least
>>2 code test speeds, yet the FCC found NONE of their arguments in favor of
>code
>>testing to be convincing - and they said so, and acted accordingly.
>
>That is because the requirements are not a "popular
>vote" issue.

Unfortunately true.

>The FCC needs compelling and rational reasons
>to sustain code testing. They didn't get any in the comments.

I think they did, but that's a dead issue. The FCC had their mind made up a
decade ago. There are none so blind as those who will not see.
>
>>You think
>>they will suddenly find some reason to keep 5 wpm after S25.5 goes? I don't.
>>Unless some radical new idea is proposed, code testing is a dead duck in the
>>USA without S25.5. And probably in the rest of the world.
>>

>>FCC has warned us at least twice. Do they really need an NPRM?
>>
>>> Perhaps Phil K, our resident, former FCC barrister,
>>>could shed more light on the process.
>>>
>>Phil ALWAYS sheds light on the subject..
>>
73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1847384154200331101
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 21:45:25 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 15 May 2001 04:45:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <9dqc95$pb6$0@208.207.71.154>
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142> <3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org> <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com> <3AFD573C.575BDFD7@IEEE.org> <3afde54f.78963742@news2.dvol.com> <3AFEDD73.E557E19A@IEEE.org> <3b000281.217519726@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.154
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21309

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Sun, 13 May 2001 14:16:03 -0500, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >> Don't mean to be a poop Cecil but I'm Brian.
> >
> >Sorry, I thought I was replying to Dick.
> >
> >> That's absolutely outrageous bullshit, ...
> >
> >Not if you assume I was replying to Dick who wears his Extra Ticket

```
> >like a PhD degree.
> >
> How stupid of me. Must have something to do with me not being a member
> of Mensa.
> >
> >> Kindly explain
> >> if you will just how these people who happen to have engineering or
> >> physics degrees and HTs "upgrades" ham radio by some mysterious
> >> means??
> >
> >There's no mystery. They help less knowledgeable hams. For instance,
> >one night around the campfire, an Extra said, "Here's something I
> >never have understood. Why does ...?" Everyone around the campfire
> >knew the most qualified person to answer the question was Dr. Williamson,
> >a no-code Tech with a PhD in electronics - and he did answer the
> >question, and we all learned something that night, something we
> >all could then pass on to other hams.
> >
> Oh. My. God . . . You're right Dick, it's his grapes.
```

Cecil thinks he is a raconteur. What he actually is on the other hand, is a can't-er.

```
From -8296240823807099263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 22:11:42 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net> <20010514222455.08242.00000466@nso-mf.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 268
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 05:11:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.106
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989903501 63.17.222.106 (Mon, 14 May 2001 22:11:41 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 22:11:41 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 14 May 2001 22:10:01 PDT
```

(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21310

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net>, USARS Motivation
> Office<k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:
>
> >You've made your comment to the government. What law or regulation
> >requires me to take your guff here at face value.
>
> What law or regulation requires anyone to take Heil's guff?
> :-)

The answer is: No one is required to. You've certainly commented on my
postings. No one has shown up to poison your dog. Just don't go on
about your right to comment as if that transcends the rights of others
to make their own comments and to laugh off your ideas.

> >What law or
> >regulation prohibits me from telling you that you have no credentials as
> >an radio amateur?
>
> This is getting to be a "show me your papers!" sort of thing. :-)
> Not nice to go there but the temptation is very strong.

You've done it numerous times before. What stops you this late in the
game?

> "No credentials." That can mean many things. A true thing is that
> I have no US amateur radio license. A false thing is saying that
> "credentials" mean no knowledge of radio or electronics pertaining
> to amateur radio. A false thing is saying that "credentials" means
> I have no experience on HF radio...or VHF or UHF or microwave or
> MF or LF or VLF radio.

You have no credentials as a radio amateur.

> >I don't think I'd ask a guy who reads car magazines
> >about repairing a car. I wouldn't try to learn sailing from a book and
> >I wouldn't accept Len Anderson as my source on how amateur radio should
> >be regulated.
>
> So...Heil is saying that: Auto mechanics cannot read or that
> they should not read? GM auto mechanics have rather thick
> Helms manuals.   Boaters should not learn navigation
> ("Bowditch") from a book? And, by association, I should not have
> any voice in any US federal radio regulations because I am not
> licensed in a particular radio service?

No "Heil" didn't say that. "Heil" sez that a Helms manual isn't a
magazine and that you wouldn't take car repair advice from your neighbor
the insurance man just because he subscribes to "Road and Track".

And I wasn't talking of boaters. I was talking about non-boaters ("Let's see, I have my sailboat and my book on sailing. Time for a little cruise around the bay")

> It must be so. The First Amendment went away. Goodby...

How has your First Amendment right been abridged. Did you make your comment to the government? Have you been prevented from posting your long, pontificating posts here? Do you mean that if you become the object of ridicule, your rights have been taken away? I don't believe you.

> >How would a non-radio amateur know what is best for the amateur service?
>
> Ask the FCC about that. The FCC does not require any staff
> to have amateur radio licenses in order to regulate, judge, or
> anything else on radio regulations.

You've trotted this one out numerous times. You aren't the FCC. You are not paid to regulate, judge or anything else on radio regulation. You seem to have a great deal of confusion over that idea.

> >In what way have you developed an insight into ways that amateur radio
> >can be made better?
>
> I was never advocating any ways to "make amateur radio better."
> I was advocating only one thing in getting INTO amateur radio.
> How does elimination of the code test affect Heil's privileges?

Your idea has nothing to do with getting anything INTO amateur radio. It has something to do with taking something OUT of amateur radio. The code test has been reduced to 5 wpm. It looks as if the code test will go away in several years. Does that mean you'll go away too? Does it mean that you'll finally grace amateur radio with your presence?

> >I've already stated that my views are my own. At least I speak from a
> >base of 38 years experience in amateur radio.
>
> Since 1963. How many "credentials" has Heil received that give
> him authority to speak for ALL of amateur radio regarding
> "acceptible" motivation, desire, interest, etc., etc., etc.?

Unh-uh, Len. I've told you that I speak only for myself but I am a licensed radio amateur--a participant in ham radio.

> >A guy who received his
> >license last week has a week's more experience in amateur radio than
> >Leonard H. Anderson.
>
> Heil belabors the "gotta have a license" need. "Credentials!" :-)

You want one so badly that you can taste it, Len.

> >Len, you aren't an inhabitant of amateur radio at all. I'm trying to
> >picture you with you baggy shorts and ballcap on backward rapping about
> >being dissed. It is a surreal image.
>
> Heil has a remarkable imagination. :-)

Thank you. My imagination does not extend to a grown white man using
the term, "dissed" in a sentence.

> Clue: Possession of a ham credential does not authorize impunity
> in treating others as inferior.

I didn't say you are inferior, Len. I said you aren't a ham. Learn to
deal with that ham radio envy you've developed.

> >I hope you'll re-read your
> >paragraph above prior to making each post here.
>
> No need. I have a good memory...including remembering a certain
> State Department employee who came all unglued when his
> "CW order wire on a TTY circuit" wasn't received with adulation.
> That former employee is still angry. Tsk, tsk.   :-)

Check that memory. Your little tale wasn't in the paragraph at all :)
You claimed superior knowledge then, you still do. You haven't let
facts stand in your way.

> >You adopt the stance of
> >the patronizing old communications pro, here to tell the radio hams how
> >things should be.
>
> Heil has a beef about non-hams? Try fish.
>
> Clue: Every newcomer to amateur radio is a non-ham.

Gee, I'd think a newcomer is a ham. Every newcomer to amateur radio
was a non-ham.

> "Old communications pro?" Possibly. Patronizing? Only to
> those who don't appear to know what they are talking about or
> inventing some story concerning HF comm that was standard
> operating practice before I entered grade school. :-)

That certainly sounds patronizing to those who haven't been involved in
communications professionally. I have been *and* I'm a radio amateur so
I won't take it as such.

> I'm not telling radio hams how things should be. Those in here
> can't be told...they already know what is what and what is best
> for everyone. I'm talking about the FCC's code test to get INTO
> amateur radio.

Sure you have, Len. You've lectured us on lodge halls and old boys and

Maxim's trip to Washington. You've spoken of how Newington should be reformed and you've generally bad mouthed any achievements of radio amateurs. I'm sorry, I have to disagree with your explanation.

> >Well, old timer, you've done jack doodly in amateur radio.
>
> I don't know anyone with the surname of "Doodly." Are you
> spelling it correctly?

Just pencil in the name of anybody you've done, Len.

> >What have I ordered you to do? I've asked you a couple of questions.
> >I've questioned your motivation.
>
> Well, that must be Official. Heil is now in charge of amateur
> newcomer Motivations. All newcomers are answerable to him.

You aren't an amateur newcomer. When you get that "Extra out of the box", you will be. You certainly get defensive when anyone asks you a question.

>
> >I've pointed out that you have no
> >experience as a radio amateur thus little to base your views upon.
>
> Quick, write your congressperson and demand that all unlicensed
> personnel at the FCC be summarily dismissed for "lack of views
> and amateur experience!"

Again you bring up the FCC. You don't work for the FCC and never have. You don't regulate amateur radio or any other radio service. You can play "let's pretend" if you like.

> >> Under Heil's insistent illogic, each and every astronaut
> >> should refuse to accept any information and opinions
> >> about shuttles or other spacecraft from spacecraft
> >> engineers and technicians unless those had also been in
> >> space as astronauts.
> >
> >You didn't design amateur radio. You've never participated in amateur
> >radio. You don't regulate amateur radio. You don't manufacture things
> >for radio amateurs.
>
> My error. I forgot that amateur radio works differently than all
> other radio! Completely slipped my mind about that mythology.

It doesn't matter. You are standing on the outside looking in.

> >On what bands do you do your DXing, Len? It doesn't have to be DXing,
> >Len.
>
> Something must have slipped Heil's mind, too. He imagined
> something about me "wanting" to operate some HF rig with

> full power into big directional antennas working some distant
> island?

You said you weren't going to do any DXing on the amateur bands. I just assumed that you plan to do your DXing elsewhere. You can play Walter Mitty with any ham radio scenario you like. You can handle imaginary traffic, engage in imaginary rag chews, chase imaginary DX or work imaginary meteor scatter. Please yourself!

> >You could be regaling newcomers with your Army RTTY circuit
> >glories.
>
> Why would I do that? There was no "glory" in that, merely an
> experience while serving in the US Army before most in here
> became of legal age (or even existed). The standards and
> practices of fixed-point 24/7 HF communications had been
> established by professionals before my time.

It must have been glorious, Len. You've brought it up enough times over several years that most of us have memorized it.

> I could continue on experience in microwave radio any time
> in the 60s through 90s, low-speed data transmission (under
> 1200 WPM), spread-spectrum communications, radio
> telemetry, radio telecommand, subjects that have some
> relation to modern-day amateur allocations, experiments.
> I could continue further in modes and methods not allocated
> to amateurs but that would be passed off as "not applicable
> to ham radio" by those who have no clue at all of the rest
> of the radio world.

You could. If you did it in an avuncular style, didn't get too windy and didn't talk down to your audience, the stuff would likely get a warm reception. Your parting shot at "those who have no clue at all" makes that all seem highly unlikely.

> >> Eliminating the code test for a US amateur radio license should
> >> not affect any US radio amateur already licensed.
> >
> >No, but it certainly has an effect on those of us who are participants
> >in amateur radio.
>
> Oh! An "effect!" Emotional disturbance! Good grief, we can't have
> some 38-year amateur lifers getting all upset! The nerve of some
> people wanting to emotionally upset the long-timers! Oh! Oh!

You really are a squirrel. It amazes me to note that you immediately equate "effect" with "emotional disturbance". Does that mean that the moon has an emotional effect on the tides?

> >The FCC are, by job function, the regulatory professionals in this area.
> >The Commission is under no obligation to take your comments any more
> >seriously than I take them.

>
> I might send Heil a box of fasteners...to help him screw himself.   :-)

Oh, that sentence sunk in, huh? :)

Dave K8MN

```
From -3037774157368666412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 22:20:47 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 15 May 2001 05:20:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9dqebf$2gt$0@208.207.71.154>
References: <3AFCCDB0.9819B247@sccoast.net> <20010513120506.03759.00001194@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3AFEE204.969EEFAB@IEEE.org> <3B00732A.348741AE@uci.edu>
<3B008446.39358034@IEEE.org> <3B008842.E7453224@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.154
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21311
```

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Jim Kelley wrote:
> > > How many think so now? It can be "a lot of trouble and effort" to wipe
> > > 3 times too, but it's usually worth it.
> >
> > Which hand do you wipe with, Jim?
>
> What's it to ya?

Cecilcan't find it with both hands.

```
From -6799909514615939089
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 22:34:25 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 15 May 2001 05:34:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9dqf50$4r6$0@208.207.71.154>
References: <20010513230300.28252.00003617@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010514052713.25187.00000227@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.154
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21312
```

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >You do agree that emergency communications is an
> >important part of our service, don't you?
>
> Sure I do. I just disagree with you that most emergency communications is
> carried out using Morse code.

When did *anyone* say any such thing? Answer: NEVER!

>
> If the goal truly is emergency communications effectiveness, it would be more
> effective to mandate that all hams maintain an operating station and that all
> hams participate "N" hours a month in emergency communications practice
> activity.

Ah. Finally we swerve away from the 'high tech' theme. 'bout time.

FCC knows from long years of direct experiencethat there are always more
than enough hams who are active,informed and capable of handling
emergency communications such that no such regulations are needed.

>

```
> If the goal is for you to have Morse code stations to QSO, then ...
>

 How can ANY traffic be handled via radiotelegraphy - the most basic
radiocommunciations mode - without knowledgable hams being trained in
it?



From -27370432773223998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 22:36:10 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer.monmouth.com!
news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Date: 15 May 2001 05:36:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9dqf89$4r6$1@208.207.71.154>
References: <20010513090439.27960.00003084@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010513134759.03759.00001212@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFEE359.BD9D12FA@IEEE.org>
<9dnd5n$adc$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFF4530.C9E3C597@IEEE.org>
<9dnmp7$c9a$0@208.206.142.96> <3AFFED58.F464640@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.154
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21313



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > You guys with your pro-code testing
> > > agendas will do anything and everything to try to discredit anyone who
> > > doesn't share your archaic views.
> >
> > BS, and if you're awake you know it. Larry and I have both said that
> > since the 5wpm max speed they might as well just cancel code testing for
> > all the good it will ever be.
>
> Typical sour grapes attitude. "If I can't have it my way, I don't
> want it at all." Cut off your nose to spite your face.
>
```

> > What you don't realize is that while you
> > > were arresting your development,
> >
> > Oh, yeah, Right, I've consistantly arrested the development of the ARS,
> > by spending long years as a VE and getting in on most every new mode
> > that has came along. Don't you feel even a little guilt spewing that
> > crap?
>
> Nope, since I wasn't talking about that. I was talking about your personal
> development. Your attitude is regressive, not progressive. Time is uni-
> directional, Dick, and is carrying you, protesting, kicking, and screaming,
> into the 21st century. The river is flowing faster than you can swim
> upstream.

Cecil I have no doubt whatever that you have bullshitted your way
through your entire life just as you have here on rrap.

```
From 5020539043349299044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-14 22:37:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 15 May 2001 05:37:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9dqfab$4r6$2@208.207.71.154>
References: <uSRL6.557$6j3.43709@www.newsranger.com>
<20010514122034.25187.00000243@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.154
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21314
```

N2EY wrote:
>
> You want some JPGs? Just got the digital camera working.
>

Yes, Jim, I'd like to see your HB stuff.

From -5379220119866868732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:04:44 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com> <9drjb2$7qe$0@208.207.71.176>
<3B01B23E.EA1EB8ED@IEEE.org> <9dsp6s$rfk$0@208.207.71.136>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <f7mM6.36055$kh.22803987@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 03:04:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 989982283 24.16.178.66 (Tue, 15 May 2001
20:04:43 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 20:04:43 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21415

I guess that I am worthless. These pro-code attitudes are the reason that I am no longer active in amateur radio. If this type of attitude prevails, amateur radio will die! We might as well give the spectrum back to FCC for reassignment to commercial radio services. After all of these Old Timers kick off, the damage will already have been done!!

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9dsp6s$rfk$0@208.207.71.136...
>
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Pretty much the way it happened with me, only more recently, a few
> > > years ago here on rrap. The second I showed up in support of a code
test
> > > I was immediately set upon by a pack of hungry jackels who insisted
that
> > > I was personally responsible for their misachievments and that my
> > > support of code was a travesty of Holocaust proportions.
> >
> > Trouble is, Dick, that you told, in so many words, that all the no-code
> > hams and all the potential no-code hams are lower than the lowest layer

> > of whale $hit in the deepest part of the ocean.
>
>
> As always, Cecil again trims it to fit the requirements of his own
> personal Agenda.
> I said then, have said since, and will now say it again - anyone too
> worthless to want to spend a little time learning the most basic
> radiocommunications mode hardly matters whether or not he is ever
> licensed.

From -1255770888287736381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:10:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 40
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <rcmM6.36071$kh.22808526@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 03:10:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 989982615 24.16.178.66 (Tue, 15 May 2001
20:10:15 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 20:10:15 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21416

I guess that I am worthless. These pro-code attitudes are the reason that I am no longer active in amateur radio. If this type of attitude prevails, amateur radio will die! We might as well give the spectrum back to FCC for reassignment to commercial radio services. After all of these Old Timers kick off, the damage will already have been done!!

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9dsp6s$rfk$0@208.207.71.136...
> >
> >

```
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > Pretty much the way it happened with me, only more recently, a few
> > > > years ago here on rrap. The second I showed up in support of a code
> test
> > > > I was immediately set upon by a pack of hungry jackels who insisted
> that
> > > > I was personally responsible for their misachievments and that my
> > > > support of code was a travesty of Holocaust proportions.
> > >
> > > Trouble is, Dick, that you told, in so many words, that all the
no-code
> > > hams and all the potential no-code hams are lower than the lowest
layer
> > > of whale $hit in the deepest part of the ocean.
> >
> >
> >  As always, Cecil again trims it to fit the requirements of his own
> > personal Agenda.
> >  I said then, have said since, and will now say it again - anyone too
> > worthless to want to spend a little time learning the most basic
> > radiocommunications mode hardly matters whether or not he is ever
> > licensed.
>
>




From -8250595123329097761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:33:05 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com (MailfrmPA)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:32:46 GMT
References: <rcmM6.36071$kh.22808526@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515233246.20863.00002377@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21417

>I guess that I am worthless. These pro-code attitudes are the reason that I
>am no longer active in amateur radio.
```

Just to demonstrate that there are two equal sides in every equation, after nearly 30 years of nearly daily activity, I became so demoralised by the gutting of ham radio licensing requirements that I have been virtually QRT (non-transmitting) since the latest restructuring debacle.

After "Novice inhancement" 10m was transformed into the 11m auxilliary band. Now that we have 5 wpm overnight sensations, all the bands have been invaded by the unknowing.

K0MB

From -2725230262489825752
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:49:51 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:49:14 GMT
References: <9drjb2$7qe$0@208.207.71.176>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515234914.10524.00003514@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21418

In article <9drjb2$7qe$0@208.207.71.176>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>
> As far as I'm concerned anyone who isn't intelligent enoughn to
>understand the role that Morse code can and DOES play within the ARS
>just isn't intelligent enough for it to really matter whether or not he
>gets licensed.
>

Dick:

AMEN!!!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1245560876777088683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:49:51 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:49:10 GMT
References: <3B01AE40.BFBC872E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010515234910.10524.00003507@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21419

In article <3B01AE40.BFBC872E@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>N2EY wrote:
>> For example, I know of a few new hams who got their licenses on a bet.
>
>Hey Jim, that's how I became a member of MENSA. I even scored higher on
>the exam than the MENSA member with whom I made the bet. :-) Not only did
>he have to pay my MENSA dues for five years, but he had to endure my smirks.

Is this the same MENSA member who can't seem to remember if
he paid a half-million or a million dollars in taxes?

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -2445252429856371073
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:49:52 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:49:10 GMT
References: <3B01B710.7AA48489@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Message-ID: <20010515234910.10524.00003508@nso-md.aol.com>
```

```
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21420

In article <3B01B710.7AA48489@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Yep, too bad I couldn't BS the IRS into accepting less than the half million
>dollars I paid in income taxes during the last few years I worked for a
>living.

In article <3B01B6E5.9A9B14F6@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Yep, too bad I couldn't BS the IRS into accepting less than the million
>dollars I paid in income taxes during the last few years I worked for a
>living.

Cecil:

Sooo, which was it?  A million or a half-million?

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -7182234905039402815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:49:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:49:15 GMT
References: <9drqd401rdq@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21422

In article <9drqd401rdq@enews1.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
Bryant) writes:

>
>BTW, was the incident on Fidonet before or after code/no-code discussion was
>delared off topic?
>
```

Tom:

Most likely after, since I don't remember a time in the Ham Echo when
it *wasn't* off topic! However, I have absolutely no respect for any
decrees made by a self-appointed, tin-plated, autocratic despot of a
"moderator."   Not on Fidonet, not on Usenet, not ANYWHERE!
I have nothing against common-sense rules required to ensure
civility in the forum, but when you start dictating which subjects
are taboo and which ones aren't, that's when I lock 'n load! By
the way -- you'll notice I never got myself "banned." Why is that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -1182876432804441761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:49:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:49:15 GMT
References: <9drqj111rdq@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515234915.10524.00003516@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21421
```

In article <9drqj111rdq@enews1.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
Bryant) writes:

\>>Yes, this is quite true. Which makes me wonder why Cecil and
\>>others continue to insist that professional engineers who don't wish
\>>to comply with reasonable licensing requirements should be viewed
\>>as some great loss to the service? What is *your* perception?
>>
>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
\>Maybe it's because they don't see anything reasonable about an ancient,
\>outdated, worthless, 150-year-old character encoding scheme.

Tom:

"Ancient?" To be sure. "Outdated?" Certainly. "150-year old?"
At least.

"Worthless?" Only to those who don't know how to employ it at
a practical level of proficiency!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 236084859479021485
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:49:55 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:49:11 GMT
References: <3B01B6E5.9A9B14F6@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Message-ID: <20010515234911.10524.00003509@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21423

In article <3B01B6E5.9A9B14F6@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Cecil I have no doubt whatever that you have bullshitted your way
>> through your entire life just as you have here on rrap.
>
>Yep, too bad I couldn't BS the IRS into accepting less than the million
>dollars I paid in income taxes during the last few years I worked for a
>living.

Cecil:

If you owed the IRS a million bucks in taxes during the last few
years of your working life, why should you be exempt from paying
them? I, myself, would consider myself lucky to have such an
income. I would also have retained the services of an accountant
to make damn sure I wasn't paying one penny more than my fair
share. Then, I would have had my account's version of my return
reviewed by a tax attorney. I'm willing to bet your million bucks
in taxes could have easily been five times less than the accountant
and the attorney would have charged in fees!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1826050890894599814
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:49:57 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.129!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:49:13 GMT
References: <20010515060328.14902.00002658@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515234913.10524.00003512@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21425

In article <20010515060328.14902.00002658@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>What I simply cannot understand is that on one hand, you say that you believe
>that Amateur Radio is technically going downhill and on the other, you argue
>that Amateur Radio does not need its most technical people.

Ed:

I don't recall every saying that amateur radio doesn't need its most technical people. As a matter of fact, I value hams with professional electronic training and experience quite highly. However, I don't feel that it is worth downgrading our licensing requirements to bring in the relatively tiny number of them that may have a passing interest. All of the most technically qualified hams I knew were hams in the truest sense of the word. They loved ham radio, and that included the Morse code. Virtually to a man, they have been code proficient, CW using, Amateur Extra-class licensees. Those who wern't were the LMR technicians, or "Motorola Moguls" as I call them, who were mainly Techs (both Nickle-variety and no-code) who could care less about the code, didn't care if there was a test requirement or not, and who mainly concerned themselves with keeping everyone else able to kerchunk the local VHF/UHF repeaters. If you think I don't appreciate hams with professional-level technical expertise, once again, you are barking up the wrong tower!

>>What is *your* perception?

>That you just like to argue.

Uhhh, don't look now, Ed, but that's what this forum is for!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -579855899485022352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:49:57 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!
news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:49:11 GMT
References: <PF8M6.4924$gc1.498689@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Message-ID: <20010515234911.10524.00003510@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21424
```

In article <PF8M6.4924$gc1.498689@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>> Yes, Ed, I am VERY out of touch with "perception." I prefer a more
>> analytical approach to dealing with people -- one that permits me to
>> ascertain the true nature of Reality.
>>
>
>Larry's reality, well...no need to comment, right? :) His Squadron
>Commander could tell you all about it. . . : o
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

Actually, he couldn't He couldn't tell you much of anything about ANY of the most important people he had working for him -- his Senior Non-Commissioned Officers. When he took over the squadron, he didn't even bother to have a personal, one-on-one meeting with us, like his predecessor did. He judged all six of us based solely on his first impressions -- and usually managed to find those impressions to be unfavorable. My colleagues and I were in charge of the functional areas of our squadron which got the mission accomplished, and he, our leader, thought very little of us based on virtually no direct knowledge or experience

of what we were like as managers or as people. Even the
civilians in the squadron complained of our commander's "shoot
from the hip" management style.   Why else would six of his
Master Sergeants, virtually in unison, request early retirement
or transfer while under his command?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7722195232461901283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:49:58 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:49:14 GMT
References: <20010515060901.14902.00002660@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515234914.10524.00003513@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21426

In article <20010515060901.14902.00002660@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>Uh huh, I see. BTW, when did I say THAT? Provide the quote, please.
>
>I cede the point; most emergency communications is not carried out using
>Morse
>code. Can you provide an estimate for how much emergency communications is
>carried out using Morse code?

Ed:

Hmmm. Lessee...

All estimates will refer to the period of time since I have been a licensed
radio amateur (July, 1981 - Present).

-- Actual, immediate notification of impending emergency situations provided
by the potential victims: Zero.

-- Actual, immediate notification of impending emergency situations provided by second parties to assisting agencies: < 1%

-- Health, welfare, and other administrative support message traffic between relief officials in the affected area and their source of assistance, ~ 25%

There you go. Now, please explain what my estimates have to do with the POTENTIAL for use of Morse/CW in an emergency situation, and the fact that if nobody knows how to proficiently employ this mode, the option doesn't exist? Please include all of the attributes of the Morse/CW mode which you feel are irrelevant to emergency operation, assuming that there are trained operators able to effectively employ this mode.

>>I no longer consider myself to have any
>>personal goals as a ham.
>
>For someone without a goal, you are directing a lot of energy not to achieve
>it...

The level of energy I direct toward amateur radio, or any of my hobbies, is purely my choice. However, thank you for your concern.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2680762801805278073
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:49:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 60
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:49:12 GMT
References: <20010515060033.14902.00002657@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515234912.10524.00003511@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21427

In article <20010515060033.14902.00002657@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>> So, I started to amuse myself by engaging in the fairly simple task of
>> showing the no-coders for the fools they are.

Ed:

>The perception by most, I suspect, is that you appear quite the fool in the
>process.

To be thought of as a fool by fools is something that is of no concern to me. Do you consider yourself a fool because you have firmly held convictions, and are able to express them enthusiastically and articulately?

>Please understand that most others do not find amusement in insulting and
>demeaning people by class.

Yes, usually the people of the "underclass." However, in real life, I've had to suffer at the hands of those with a higher standing than I in one area or another -- usually as the result of wonton abuse of authority which was confused with personal power. I have always treated my "subordinates" with fairness, objectivity, and kindness, while rarely receiving any of the same considerations from my so-called "superiors." During my military career, I've always been sought after as a boss, while being ripped to shreds by my own bosses. I was not a part of the inner circle of power hungry, corrupt, career-massaging. I was always the dumb sucker who was mainly interested in getting the mission accomplished. As such, I usually performed relative miracles, and managed to show my inept "supervisors" for exactly what they were to *their* superiors.

>Many of us did in grammar school, but most of us
>have grown past that. The amazing part is that you seem proud of it. Odd...

Well, unfortunately, my experience with being the "under class" has been lifelong. And the only reason is because I've always had the determination to do things the right way, in spite of the obstacles placed before me by the incompetent or corrupt people I had to work for. Therefore, if members of the amateur radio "underclass" resent me for firmly believing in and supporting the concept of multi-level code testing, I, as I've said before, can't help them.

>>After that, it gained a life of it's own.
>
>That is unfortunate. Have you considered getting help so you can regain
>control of yourself and your emotions?

I have amazing control of my emotions, and have been rated very high in emotional intelligence by a Psychologist I worked for a few years ago. I assure you I have no need of such "help." I don't hold in my emotions; I don't contain my anger; I don't internalize my stress. I seek an outlet, and let it go. Why do you think I've written the equivalent of several volumes of the Encyclopedia Britannica in this forum over the years?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8085511423526919244
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:53:01 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:52:38 GMT
References: <20010515234912.10524.00003511@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515235238.08026.00003582@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21428

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>> So, I started to amuse myself by engaging in the fairly simple task of
>>> showing the no-coders for the fools they are.

>Do you consider yourself a fool because you have firmly
>held convictions, and are able to express them enthusiastically and
>articulately?

Do you really believe that amusing yourself at the expense of others
represents "firmly held convictions?"

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6901769469544415963
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:56:04 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!
news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:55:12 GMT
References: <20010515234912.10524.00003511@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

Message-ID: <20010515235512.08026.00003583@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21429

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Therefore, if members of the amateur radio "underclass" resent me
>for firmly believing in and supporting the concept of multi-level
>code testing, I, as I've said before, can't help them.

If there is any resentment, Larry, that is not the reason.

>>>After that, it gained a life of it's own.

>I have amazing control of my emotions, and have been rated very
>high in emotional intelligence by a Psychologist I worked for a few
>years ago.

You consider letting something gain a life of its own within you to be "amazing control?"

>I seek an outlet, and let it go.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1369568989557790312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4892f3b615a23159
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:57:50 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:57:36 GMT
References: <3B01CF3D.9324B330@ix.netcom.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: There's more to ham radio than CW
Message-ID: <20010515235736.10524.00003517@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21430

In article <3B01CF3D.9324B330@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>
>"There's more to ham radio than just CW"

>
>This is a test of everyones' trollmeters, it should register
>at an S7. NIST (NBS) traceable.

THE K3LT TROLL-0-METER

Pwr: On Mode: Peak BV: OK Band: Usenet Freq: rrap

```
1  3  5  7  9  +20  +40  +60
||||||
```

Reads a very weak S3 here in Delaware.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 2734411687109971859
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 20:59:01 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 03:58:38 GMT
References: <20010515234913.10524.00003512@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010515235838.08026.00003584@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21431
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>That you just like to argue.

>Uhhh, don't look now, Ed, but that's what this forum is for!

By whose edict? There are probably many who would much prefer it to be a forum for meaningful discussion, not a place where some can amuse themselves at the expense of others.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 8116132451090494929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:01:06 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 04:00:52 GMT
References: <20010515233246.20863.00002377@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516000052.08026.00003585@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21432

>From: mailfrmpa@aol.com  (MailfrmPA)

>>I guess that I am worthless. These pro-code attitudes are the reason that I
>>am no longer active in amateur radio.

>Just to demonstrate that there are two equal sides in every equation, after
>nearly 30 years of nearly daily activity, I became so demoralised by the
>gutting of ham radio licensing requirements that I have been virtually QRT
>(non-transmitting) since the latest restructuring debacle.

I think you are both losing out.  The odd part is that you are choosing to do
it to yourselves.  Neither the bad attitudes of some nor changes in the code
tests affect your operating priveleges in the tiniest amount. I wonder why you
are choosing to de facto take them away from yourselves?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 4032850366962011161
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:03:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 04:02:51 GMT
References: <20010515210908.10524.00003482@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516000251.08026.00003586@ng-fy1.aol.com>
```

```
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21433

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

>In fact, virtually 100-percent of the most technically-involved hams
>I've known, with graduate degrees in math, science, and electronics,
>have ALL been code proficient, CW using, CW loving Amateur Extra
>class licensees.  So, if the code testing requirement is somehow a
>barrier to technial advancement, they seemed to have beaten the
>rap somehow!

Let's put it to the test. What percentage of the 600,000 engineers in the US
are licensed hams?  If most, I will cede that there is a rap to be beaten.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -4355043861386112O4
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:06:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 04:05:15 GMT
References: <20010515234910.10524.00003508@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Message-ID: <20010516000515.08026.00003587@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21434

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Yep, too bad I couldn't BS the IRS into accepting less than the half million
>>dollars I paid in income taxes during the last few years I worked for a
>>living.

>>Yep, too bad I couldn't BS the IRS into accepting less than the million
>>dollars I paid in income taxes during the last few years I worked for a
>>living.

>Sooo, which was it?  A million or a half-million?

I don't know; perhaps you could divide each figure by "a few" years and get an
annual salary.

Do you think it possible that "few" means a different number in each post?
```

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -7713812738400305586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:18:59 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 04:18:38 GMT
Message-ID: <3b01fe5b.347531336@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010513120509.03759.00001195@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010514222453.08242.00000465@nso-mf.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.205
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.205
X-Trace: 15 May 2001 23:14:32 -0500, 64.24.19.205
Lines: 10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21435
```

On 15 May 2001 02:24:53 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>Wow, a technical break-through...a radio built entirely from
>paper and cardboard! Did the ink make it semi-conducting?
>
>This is on par with Kelly's 5U4 pair "amp!"
>
Which is on a par with Anderson who is equally as effective.
>

```
From -9181477547791717077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:24:57 PST
Message-ID: <3B0200C1.9E901DDF@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:23:29 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B01AE40.BFBC872E@IEEE.org> <20010515234910.10524.00003507@nso-
md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp 989986618 64.240.47.68 (15 May 2001 23:16:58 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21436

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Is this the same MENSA member who can't seem to remember if
> he paid a half-million or a million dollars in taxes?

It was a typo, Larry, and I corrected it. It was half a million.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3791068220875366683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:27:38 PST
Message-ID: <3B02015E.1C5138C0@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:26:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3B015BDC.CC8B8F67@psu.edu> <3B01AF23.BC2F78B7@IEEE.org>
```

```
<9dsolu$oe5$0@208.207.71.136>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp 989986775 64.240.47.68 (15 May 2001 23:19:35 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21437

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > I can teach Ohm's law to my three year old grandson. "The Indian sees
> > the Eagle over the Rabbit." Exactly what good does that do?
>
>  No wonder you are completely clueless regarding the written tests. If
> you teach your three year old grandson "The Indian sees the Eagle over
> the Rabbit you've taught him something besides Ohm's law, for sure.

Hmmmmm, does I equal E over R ring a bell, Dick?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7377414850574815370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:37:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:36:56 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 21
```

Message-ID: <3B0203E8.63D7FFBE@ix.netcom.com>
References: <3B01AE40.BFBC872E@IEEE.org> <20010515234910.10524.00003507@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0b.ba.d8
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 16 May 2001 04:36:57 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21438

> In article <3B01AE40.BFBC872E@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> For example, I know of a few new hams who got their licenses on a bet.
> >
> >Hey Jim, that's how I became a member of MENSA. I even scored higher on
> >the exam than the MENSA member with whom I made the bet. :-) Not only did
> >he have to pay my MENSA dues for five years, but he had to endure my smirks.

I remember seeing an ad in Sci Amer magazine or similar for Mensa. If you scored over 1300 combined on your SAT's, you could join. Well, I scored 1310. Now, do I want to be the stupidest member of a club that prides itself in being highly intelligent? :-)

From -9148522611132987660
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:38:07 PST
Message-ID: <3B0203D7.DDBD4A5D@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:36:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AFB1348.9445FF1C@IEEE.org> <20010510235206.03759.00000883@nso-ch.aol.com> <3AFB74A2.F6F9AA14@IEEE.org> <9dgi1a$161$0@208.207.71.142> <3AFBEDD2.74D143AA@IEEE.org> <3afc9f12.471517257@news2.dvol.com> <3AFD573C.575BDFD7@IEEE.org> <3afde54f.78963742@news2.dvol.com> <3AFEDD73.E557E19A@IEEE.org> <3b000281.217519726@news2.dvol.com>

<9dqc95$pb6$0@208.207.71.154> <3B01AFFF.BF0614E0@IEEE.org>
<9dsopr$oe5$1@208.207.71.136>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp 989987408 64.240.47.68 (15 May 2001 23:30:08 -0500)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21439

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Cecil thinks he is a raconteur.
> >
> > Will wonders never cease? Dick finally bought himself a dictionary. Now
> > if he would only get a spell-checker.
>
> Cecil is wrong, on all three counts. He is not a raconteur, I have
> always owned several dictionaries and the word 'raconteur' is straight
> out of the spellchecker.

I didn't say there was any misspelled words in that posting, Dick.
Here's some of your other postings.

"You need teo realize, Mike, ..."

"AQnd you, sir are a freakinng idiot."

"Yeah, that woud be ..."

Please don't try to con us into believing you used a spell checker.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7173713887280899477
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:43:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B020522.F3434F64@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:42:10 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com> <9drjb2$7qe$0@208.207.71.176>
<3B01B23E.EA1EB8ED@IEEE.org> <9dsp6s$rfk$0@208.207.71.136>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp 989987740 64.240.47.68 (15 May 2001 23:35:40 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21440
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> I said then, have said since, and will now say it again - anyone too
> worthless to want to spend a little time learning the most basic
> radiocommunications mode hardly matters whether or not he is ever
> licensed.

Yep, we know, Dick, and that includes about 20% of licensed hams.
Many of them are your technical superiors.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 675689542267646772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:46:23 PST
Message-ID: <3B0205C5.482DBE35@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:44:53 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9drjb2$7qe$0@208.207.71.176> <20010515234914.10524.00003514@nso-
md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp 989987903 64.240.47.68 (15 May 2001 23:38:23 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!feed.cgocable.net!out.nntp.be!
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21441

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:
> > As far as I'm concerned anyone who isn't intelligent enoughn to
> >understand the role that Morse code can and DOES play within the ARS
> >just isn't intelligent enough for it to really matter whether or not he
> >gets licensed.
> >
>
> Dick:
>
> AMEN!!!

Another technical lightweight needing to prop up his sagging self esteem
by tearing down other duly licensed hams.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4202604693282224309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:50:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B0206CC.310ADBE4@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:49:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <kv5M6.738$oi1.66135@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010515083449.02623.00000999@nso-fp.aol.com> <WCaM6.24381$t12.1874888@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <%HaM6.2267$6j3.194637@www.newsranger.com>
<3B013F67.B16B532@earthlink.net> <lKcM6.24712$4f7.1881563@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9dsmir$kca$0@208.207.71.136>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp 989988170 64.240.47.68 (15 May 2001 23:42:50 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!
typhoon.sonic.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21442
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Ham Radio is NOT about maximum theoretical throughput.

For you, Dick, that's true. For a lot of hams, ham radio *IS* about
near maximum theoretical throughput. PACTOR II comes to mind.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 555148349961927695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:52:20 PST
Message-ID: <3B020724.9C2A251F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:50:44 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010515060328.14902.00002658@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010515234913.10524.00003512@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp 989988258 64.240.47.68 (15 May 2001 23:44:18 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21443
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I don't recall every saying that amateur radio doesn't need its most technical people. As a matter of fact, I value hams with professional electronic training and experience quite highly.

Even the nocode techs?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -8909586516694616075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:54:14 PST
Message-ID: <3B020790.8BC8BD68@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:52:32 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <rcmM6.36071$kh.22808526@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
<20010515233246.20863.00002377@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp 989988370 64.240.47.68 (15 May 2001 23:46:10 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21444
```

MailfrmPA wrote:

> Just to demonstrate that there are two equal sides in every equation, after
> nearly 30 years of nearly daily activity, I became so demoralised by the
> gutting of ham radio licensing requirements that I have been virtually QRT
> (non-transmitting) since the latest restructuring debacle.

What privileges did you lose in the process?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -9187934113414429787
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:54:22 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B01F9D8.BF3B3449@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net> <20010515223711.05668.00000267@nso-dd.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 142
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 04:54:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989988863 63.17.223.98 (Tue, 15 May 2001 21:54:23 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 21:54:23 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 21:52:42 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21445

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> Something must have slipped Heil's mind, too. He imagined
> >> something about me "wanting" to operate some HF rig with
> >> full power into big directional antennas working some distant
> >> island?
> >
> >You said you weren't going to do any DXing on the amateur bands. I just
> >assumed that you plan to do your DXing elsewhere.
>
> Heil's imagination is verging on the psychotic.
>
> I said "I have no interest in DXing [by radio]." That's true. I do
> not have any interest in DXing by amateur radio. Maybe, just
> maybe I might have an interest in interplanetary DX, sparked
> by another radio amateur, George O. Smith, and his writings
> of the 1940s and 1950s. As a non-amateur I've communicated
> with a station ON the moon. Heil has not done that. Heil is
> cannot yet do that as an amateur.   :-)

"Heil" hasn't lost any sleep over it. It would seem that you spend more time worrying about not having a ham ticket than I do worrying about contacting the man in the moon.

> >You can play Walter
> >Mitty with any ham radio scenario you like. You can handle imaginary
> >traffic, engage in imaginary rag chews, chase imaginary DX or work
> >imaginary meteor scatter. Please yourself!
>
> As I said, Heil's imagination is getting close to psychotic.
>
> All those things are fun and interesting for others. Excellent
> for them. They are not the justification for continuation of a
> morse code test for an amateur radio license.
>
> Amateur radio has enormous opportunities for fun and
> experimentation other than the "official" activities listed in
> every amateur radio periodical. Heil's imagination cannot
> extend beyond those "official" and "approved" activities,
> therefore Heil thinks everyone else thinks the same.

You spout the "therefore" as if you have some knowledge of what I think. Tell you what, I'll participate in amateur radio; you can do the imagining.

> >> I could continue further in modes and methods not allocated
> >> to amateurs but that would be passed off as "not applicable
> >> to ham radio" by those who have no clue at all of the rest
> >> of the radio world.
> >
> >You could. If you did it in an avuncular style, didn't get too windy
> >and didn't talk down to your audience, the stuff would likely get a warm
> >reception.
>
> Heil would still demand "credentials" to be presented if this
> were to an amateur radio audience. :-)

Why not try it and find out.

> >Your parting shot at "those who have no clue at all" makes
> >that all seem highly unlikely.
>
> Ah, but the "credentialled" in here have the official permission
> to use "noclue" because of that "credential?!?" Of course,
> they do. They've claimed turf in amateur radio and thereby
> "run" it, can use any manner of address with impunity. :-)

Right Len, we can look out the lodge hall window and see your mug pressed up against the rain-streaked panes.

> I've stated that the US military has used FHSS operationally
> since 1989. In the SINCGARS family of manpack and vehicular

> radio use. I've given websites for more information on that.
> Many long-timers still think that the US military uses old WW2
> radio sets, uses CW for communications ("behind enemy lines")
> in the field. They have no clue about spread-spectrum modes
> and seemingly don't care. They have no clue.

Many? How many? Some? A few? half? What's your source for making
that claim?

> There is a very long list of what can be said about radio amateurs'
> knowledge of the rest of the radio world. Too long. That is very
> unfortunate since the rest of the radio world has some interesting
> modes, some of which could be adapted to amateur radio.

It probably wouldn't be any longer than the list of things you don't
know about amateur radio. Why not write up some articles and submit
them for publication in one of the amateur radio mags?

> >> >> Eliminating the code test for a US amateur radio license should
> >> >> not affect any US radio amateur already licensed.
> >> >
> >> >No, but it certainly has an effect on those of us who are participants
> >> >in amateur radio.
> >>
> >> Oh! An "effect!" Emotional disturbance! Good grief, we can't have
> >> some 38-year amateur lifers getting all upset! The nerve of some
> >> people wanting to emotionally upset the long-timers! Oh! Oh!
> >
> >You really are a squirrel. It amazes me to note that you immediately
> >equate "effect" with "emotional disturbance".   Does that mean that the
> >moon has an emotional effect on the tides?
>
> I made a sarcastic comment. Heil became more upset. Poor Heil.

"Heil" isn't upset. "Heil" is grinning.

> How does eliminating a code test from any US amateur radio
> license examination affect (or effect) any already-licensed
> amateur Extra? Let's look at the possibilities:
>
> 1. No expected future testing of any kind provided renewals are
>   made in a timely fashion...grandfathering clauses would be
>   done (as in the past) for protection of the already-licensed.
>   The effect is nil
>
> 2. Accept it and move on with the hobby activities, welcoming
>   newcomers.
>
> 3. Great emotional upset at perceived wrong-doing by the FCC
>   due to newcomers not having to do as the Extras did. The
>   resulting calumny would contine for decades, spreading to
>   future generations.
>

> From Heil's postings so far, it is a sure bet that (2) would not
> be operative. :-)

It might surprise you to know that number 2 is one I subscibe to.
You'll find me working newcomers on any band I find 'em. You might find
out when and if you ever become a newcomer. I haven't found number 3.
in evidence on the bands. I've noted some poor operating practice from
some newcomers. I expect they'll pick things up after time. In the
interim, some create QRM. Some slow things down in a pile up. You
might be expected to know these things if you were a ham. It's kind of
hard to get a feel for it if you get most of your information from the
internet.

Dave K8MN

From -4369736917658092569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:56:30 PST
Message-ID: <3B02080A.6B03F69C@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:54:34 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
References: <3B01B710.7AA48489@IEEE.org> <20010515234910.10524.00003508@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp 989988499 64.240.47.68 (15 May 2001 23:48:19 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21446

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Sooo, which was it? A million or a half-million?

The million was a typo, Larry, which I corrected.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8907591894397989539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,86f3ca7ca9896dfc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 21:58:48 PST
Message-ID: <3B020899.1D014047@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:56:57 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Is CW a digital mode?
References: <200105151704.f4FH4aX16985@mail.mailgate.org>
<3B01B840.3C0F01FB@IEEE.org> <3b01ce42.335221940@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp 989988652 64.240.47.68 (15 May 2001 23:50:52 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21447

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> And multiple channels of cw can run
> at different baud rates within the same bandwidth.

Most data engineers would consider that to be a disadvantage. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4615335185825329251
X-Google-Language: INDONESIAN,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 22:10:50 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 05:09:58 GMT
References: <20010513230300.28252.00003618@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010516010958.05448.00002792@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21448

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/13/01 10:03 PM Central Daylight Time

>I don't goad Kim, Len, or anyone in this newsgroup.

With this one sentence you demoted yourself to sandlot liar.

You really believe this, do you?

>That all depends, Steve. Just how dirty IS your clock?

By YOU...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

In other words.......

BBBBBWWWWWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

"I am laughing at the superior intellect"

BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

From -5844837965216244888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 22:14:50 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 05:14:15 GMT
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516011415.05448.00002793@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21449

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 5/14/01 4:30 AM Central Daylight Time

>>"Elmering" is not what I do in this newsgroup. Here, I bash the
>>no-coders.

From the same fellow who claims he doesn't "goad" anyone in the NG....

>Do you really wake up in the AM and say, "I think I will go on
>rra.policy and bash people; that seems like the right thing to do?"

What else is there to do when there is nothing in your life to do anything with?

From -2214525761410234279
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 22:19:52 PST

```
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 05:19:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9dt2ln$m4l$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com> <9drjb2$7qe$0@208.207.71.176>
<3B01B23E.EA1EB8ED@IEEE.org> <9dsp6s$rfk$0@208.207.71.136>
<f7mM6.36055$kh.22803987@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21450
```

Jim Wayda wrote:

> I guess that I am worthless. These pro-code attitudes are the reason that I
> am no longer active in amateur radio. If this type of attitude prevails,
> amateur radio will die! We might as well give the spectrum back to FCC for
> reassignment to commercial radio services. After all of these Old Timers
> kick off, the damage will already have been done!!

If that's what is keeping you from participating in ham radio, you have made the case against yourself far better than anyone else could have. Wild horses couldn't have kept me off the air, and for sure no other individial's opinion could have. As always, your type is its own worst enemy.

```
From 1912896339560437721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 22:21:40 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 05:21:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9dt2p3$m4l$1@208.207.71.157>
```

References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B015BDC.CC8B8F67@psu.edu> <3B01AF23.BC2F78B7@IEEE.org> <9dsolu$oe5$0@208.207.71.136> <3B02015E.1C5138C0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21451

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > I can teach Ohm's law to my three year old grandson. "The Indian sees
> > > the Eagle over the Rabbit." Exactly what good does that do?
> >
> > No wonder you are completely clueless regarding the written tests. If
> > you teach your three year old grandson "The Indian sees the Eagle over
> > the Rabbit you've taught him something besides Ohm's law, for sure.
>
> Hmmmmm, does I equal E over R ring a bell, Dick?

That's not what you said, and for darn sure not what any kid would get
out of Indians, Eagles and Rabbits.

From -2165046426343855639
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 22:37:10 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0203BF.469AD55F@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net> <20010515223714.05668.00000268@nso-dd.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 326
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 05:37:10 GMT

NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989991430 63.17.223.98 (Tue, 15 May 2001 22:37:10 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 22:37:10 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 22:35:29 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21452

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>, OfficialMotivationOfficer
> <k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:
>
> >> Ask the FCC about that. The FCC does not require any staff
> >> to have amateur radio licenses in order to regulate, judge, or
> >> anything else on radio regulations.
> >
> >You've trotted this one out numerous times.
>
> It is in good running order. It has to be trotted out until the
> turf-claimants understand it.
>
> >You aren't the FCC.
>
> Heil is not the FCC.

That doesn't make sense. "Heil" has a license. Your comment was that
the FCC staff isn't required to be licensed in order to regulate amateur
radio. They are paid to regulate amateur radio.

> >You are not paid to regulate, judge or anything else on radio
> >regulation.
>
> There is no "payment" issue on regulations, laws, or anything
> else...including amateur radio regulations.

Tell that to those folks at the FCC. The poor saps think they're
getting a pay check for regulating amateur radio.

> >You seem to have a great deal of confusion over that idea.
>
> Absolutely no confusion. What confusion exists is on Heil's
> side of the screen.

My comments above, in response to yours, seem to negate your statement.

> >Your idea has nothing to do with getting anything INTO amateur radio.
> >It has something to do with taking something OUT of amateur radio.
>
> That's context-shifting in trying to make message points. :-)

Whatever works. I'll take my message points, whatever they are.

> What I was referring to was entrance examinations, the code
> test that is presently necessary for a below-30-MHz privilege
> amateur license.
>
> It seems that there is an over-identification with morse code
> and amateur radio by some. Removal of the code test for
> a license is no automatic link to the "removal of CW from the
> bands." It was never so related. Such a false claim has
> become a staple argument by PCTAs, an emotional fight
> cause for their personal achievments in code skills. For
> those few the removal of the code test does seem to be
> "taking something out of amateur radio" since their whole
> self-esteem seems pivoted around that tested code skill.

You live in Fantasy land. Your self esteem seems awfully tied up in an
activity you aren't even involved in. How wierd is that?

> >The code test has been reduced to 5 wpm. It looks as if the code test
> >will go away in several years.
>
> The code test is still there as an international standard.
> There is no guarantee that any administration will try to
> have S25.5 changed or modified in the future.
>
> >Does that mean you'll go away too?
>
> I don't plan on expiring any time soon. However, I've said
> several times that "if the code test is removed, I will not
> argue any more for its removal." Several, in fact all in
> here have not seen the inherent logical truth of it. :-)

It doesn't matter. You'll continue to be a windy pain in the ass until
that day. After the change, you'll find time to prep your litany of
other beefs with the service you aren't involved in.

> >Does
> >it mean that you'll finally grace amateur radio with your presence?
>
> Irrelevant. It would be a hopeless task to compete with the
> God-like presence of some long-timers in amateur radio.   :-)

It's a little late in life for you to start competing with anybody in
anything. Just try not to be a straggler.

> >Unh-uh, Len. I've told you that I speak only for myself but I am a
> >licensed radio amateur--a participant in ham radio.
>
> Yes you have. But that does not explain the divine judgement
> "credentials" that demand expressions of motivation and
> desire so constantly stated by Heil.

Just say the questions make you feel uncomfortable, Len. I question the motives of lots of people--car salesmen, lawyers, politicians and people who are self-proclaimed advocates in a field they aren't involved in.

> >> Heil belabors the "gotta have a license" need. "Credentials!"   :-)
> >
> >You want one so badly that you can taste it, Len.
>
> Heil should try a combination of toothpaste and mouthwash
> or see a dentist about this bad taste. It may be a sign of
> something more serious such as "bile reflux disease" or
> the like. :-)

...or some Acid Lennie.

> >> Clue: Possession of a ham credential does not authorize impunity
> >> in treating others as inferior.
> >
> >I didn't say you are inferior, Len. I said you aren't a ham. Learn to
> >deal with that ham radio envy you've developed.
>
> There's no "envy" involved on this side of the screen.

I certainly wish I could believe you. Your lengthy posts have betrayed you.

> Heil has said in past messages that he IS "superior" to those
> who have not done as he has done. Such delusions of
> superiority do not let him "play well with others."

We're not all equal, Len. We are guaranteed equal opportunity, not equal results. That isn't delusion, that's fact. In this brave new world of ours, we're supposed to be careful not to injure the self esteem of others. I'm superior to some, inferior to others.

> >Check that memory. Your little tale wasn't in the paragraph at all :)
> >You claimed superior knowledge then, you still do. You haven't let
> >facts stand in your way.
>
> I've not claimed any "superiority." I have claimed certain experience
> in radio that covers a long span...longer than 38 years.

Len, your engineering claims are rrap legend. I'm happy for you over your experience outside of amateur radio. Maybe some day you'll be able to talk of your experience inside amateur radio.

> I try to back up some of the experiences and opinions with true
> facts visible to all in third-party references...even if I am chastised
> for hasty typing making an error now and then. :-)   I am not
> going to claim a personal opinion as "fact" or some mythology as
> "fact" as is done by a few in here.   :-)

"True facts", huh? What other kinds of facts are there?

> >> "Old communications pro?" Possibly. Patronizing? Only to
> >> those who don't appear to know what they are talking about or
> >> inventing some story concerning HF comm that was standard
> >> operating practice before I entered grade school. :-)
> >
> >That certainly sounds patronizing to those who haven't been involved in
> >communications professionally. I have been *and* I'm a radio amateur so
> >I won't take it as such.
>
> Heil has been a professional as I've been a professional?
> I don't think so. I was involved in military communications
> in the 50s for three years, using standard operating
> procedures devised by others before my time.

Are you trying to have a game of "mine is bigger than yours", Foghorn?
I've worked in communications in the military (four years), radio
broadcasting, industrial electronics sales and have had a full career in
State Department commo to include running comm centers, managing
classified and unclassified LANs, maintaining Mitel and Nortel telephone
systems along with embassy VHF/UHF mobiles, portables and base stations.
As fascinating as you'll find that, it doesn't really mean a hoot to the
world of amateur radio.

> Logically,
> using CW as an "order wire" on an RTTY circuit for the
> State Department would be a very strange procedure by any
> group or organization. An "order wire" in a multi-circuit link
> is a command-and-control communications to keep the
> links organized. From the 1940s onwards, the "order wire"
> on HF has used teleprinters. That permits a written record,
> the same written record, to be retained at both ends of a
> circuit. Using CW for such an "order wire" is illogical and
> such a claim is suspiciously like one of the myths such
> as the "CW intel reports behind enemy lines in the Gulf
> War."   :-)

Maybe your a little more dim than I first thought. I did not institute
the use of CW as an order wire. It was in place and in use before my
time. It's use was necessary for a couple of reasons. The fact that
you don't accept it doesn't change the reality and doesn't really bother
me. Show me your clearance and demonstrate a need to know and perhaps
we can discuss it. It really seems to bother you.

> >> I'm not telling radio hams how things should be. Those in here
> >> can't be told...they already know what is what and what is best
> >> for everyone. I'm talking about the FCC's code test to get INTO
> >> amateur radio.
> >
> >Sure you have, Len. You've lectured us on lodge halls and old boys and
> >Maxim's trip to Washington.
>
> "Maxim Goes To Washington" is right out of ARRL publications. :-)

I know well the story. I also know the story of how some geezer from
California pooh-poohed the story.

> "Old boys" in "lodge halls" are forever spouting self-glorification
> within the fraternity. Nothing new in that.

...except that there isn't any lodge hall which won't accept you for
membership. It is, however, up to you to take the first step. You'll
have to obtain a ticket.

> >You've spoken of how Newington should be
> >reformed and you've generally bad mouthed any achievements of radio
> >amateurs.
>
> I've expressed my opinion of the ARRL's past Board of Directors
> policy viewpoints and of their (grudging) acceptance of the
> most-possible future of the USARS in newer policy statements.
> I've also said that the ARRL does a great number of services
> which are definitely good for the membership...and good for
> some non-members.
>
> I have not, repeat not, "bad mouthed any achievements of radio
> amateurs" as a group. I've negatively criticized individuals posting
> in here. Individuals. Unfortunately, those individuals seem to be
> so self-important that the entire USARS is considered to be of the
> same opinion as they. By the postings of others, licensed in the
> USARS, those opinions are not common nor shared.

I would certainly disagree in strong terms with your statement. What
was that recent moonbounce stuff?

> >I'm sorry, I have to disagree with your explanation.
>
> I have no sympathy for Heil's sorrow.
>
> >> Well, that must be Official. Heil is now in charge of amateur
> >> newcomer Motivations. All newcomers are answerable to him.
> >
> >You aren't an amateur newcomer. When you get that "Extra out of the
> >box", you will be. You certainly get defensive when anyone asks you a
> >question.
>
> Heil still hasn't posted his "credentials" that make him an
> official Motivation Officer of the USARS.

> I will get both defensive and offensive when any unofficial
> yahoo, big-mouth, redneck, or bully "demands" answers to
> satisfy Them.

I find you offensive almost all of the time. You get defensive when
anyone asks you a question about your stake in all this. The questioner
becomes an "unofficial yahoo, big-mouth, redneck, or bully" and his

question becomes a "demand". It'd be tough for me to describe you as a
big mouth since I've never heard your voice. I do find you an
unpleasant man with a grating manner.

> >> Quick, write your congressperson and demand that all unlicensed
> >> personnel at the FCC be summarily dismissed for "lack of views
> >> and amateur experience!"
> >
> >Again you bring up the FCC.
>
> ...and I will keep bringing it up until some of the officious,
> patronizing long-timers realize it. Few have discovered that
> so far and think they can boss it around from long tenure.
>
> >You don't work for the FCC and never have.
>
> Very true. Has Heil worked for the FCC? :-)

Smiley face discounted. Heil has a license. Heil is not an outsider
trying to bring change to the face of amateur radio. Anderson is such a
person. He is not an FCC staffer paid to regulate amateur radio.
>
> >You don't regulate amateur radio or any other radio service. You can
> >play "let's pretend" if you like.
>
> Heil confuses "bossmanship" a great deal. State Dept.
> experience in "Bossnia?" :-)
>
> Clue: The FCC will accept input on any radio service, including
> the US ARS. It has stated so publicly. The FCC has no public
> disclaimer or claimer or clamor that a commenting citizen
> "must" have a license in some radio service in order to comment.
> That's not "pretense" but rather public fact borne out by the
> FCC's own statements.

You seem to confuse "accepting comment" with agreeing with your ideas,
paying attention to your ideas or accepting your comments as valid.

> Yet Heil DEMANDS "credentials" in the USARS in order to talk
> about it, especially one small part of a relatively small radio
> service that involves morse code testing. Illogical. Incongrous.

The incongruity would be that anyone not an amateur radio operator would
spend a large part of his waking hours lobbying for change in a radio
service in which he is not a participant.

> >> My error. I forgot that amateur radio works differently than all
> >> other radio! Completely slipped my mind about that mythology.
> >
> >It doesn't matter. You are standing on the outside looking in.
>
> So, amateur radio physics are "different" than every other radio?

Hell no, Len. The physics are the same. That license is certainly
different. Your others and your experience are not transferable.
You'll have to meet the requirements for licensing just like everyone
else. I'm not talking about past requirements. I'm talking the
requiremnts of today. Maybe you can outwait the morse testing
requirement. If you do, your experience in amateur radio will be a
short story.

> Amateur radio physics "work different" if one has "credentials?"
>
> This is truly the stuff of myth and legend...

You'll likely never know. If, after having reached your seventh decade,
including at least several decades exposure to amateur radio, you
haven't bothered to attempt licensing by 2001, the odds are that you
never will.

Dave K8MN

```
From 7608107486419308006
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 22:41:52 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 05:41:39 GMT
References: <20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516014139.05448.00002794@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21453
```

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/15/01 3:45 AM Central Daylight Time

>I now
>wish I had a full archive of everything I've written on this topic. I'm
>sure it would fill volumes!

Fill them with what? Like your Mentor, Lennie the Limp, you have long since left behind any rational discussion that anything you have to offer on the subject is almost immediately suspect.

What a shame.

And like Lennie you claim great education and "maturity" in your "field", yet you can only advance yourself on the slandering of others.

What a shame.

I generally support code testing. I believe that it offers a "least common denominator" in international communications and that it does offer simplicity and reliability. It has proven since the beginning of radio communications that it will get through.

Therein it ends. The die is cast and the "majority" have spoken. Code testing is going to go away. I can't say it's a necessarily a good thing, but then too, neither is it bad.

Amateur Radio HAS advanced with the times (contrary to Lennie the Liar's assertions) and it WILL continue, contrary to yours.

>So, I started to amuse myself
>by engaging in the fairly simple task of showing the no-coders for
>the fools they are.

I wonder who the REAL simpleton is?

From -4451186795185543565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 22:50:38 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 04:55:17 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9dt17l024t5@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <9drqd401rdq@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-786.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21454

In article <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam says...

```
>
>In article <9drqd401rdq@enews1.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
>Bryant) writes:
>
>>
>>BTW, was the incident on Fidonet before or after code/no-code discussion was
>>delared off topic?
>>
>
>Tom:
>
>Most likely after, since I don't remember a time in the Ham Echo when
>it *wasn't* off topic!  However, I have absolutely no respect for any
>decrees made by a self-appointed, tin-plated, autocratic despot of a
>"moderator."   Not on Fidonet, not on Usenet, not ANYWHERE!
>I have nothing against common-sense rules required to ensure
>civility in the forum, but when you start dictating which subjects
>are taboo and which ones aren't, that's when I lock 'n load!  By
>the way -- you'll notice I never got myself "banned."  Why is that?
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Probably because getting banned was a joke, as was all of Fidonet. You could
easily call another BBS and drive the moderators insane. Akron HUBCHAT has some
stories behind it.

The moderator of the HAM echo, incidently, declared the code debate OT *after*
he had put his two cents in. Hmmmm....



From 3020906797621892943
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 22:52:10 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B020772.C31F1E12@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net> <20010515223716.05668.00000269@nso-
dd.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 143
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 05:52:11 GMT
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989992331 63.17.223.98 (Tue, 15 May 2001 22:52:11 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 22:52:11 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 22:50:29 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21455

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>, MrWarmth <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net>, USARS Motivation
> >> Office<k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >You've made your comment to the government. What law or regulation
> >> >requires me to take your guff here at face value.
> >>
> >> What law or regulation requires anyone to take Heil's guff?
> >> :-)
> >
> >The answer is: No one is required to. You've certainly commented on my
> >postings. No one has shown up to poison your dog. Just don't go on
> >about your right to comment as if that transcends the rights of others
> >to make their own comments and to laugh off your ideas.
>
> Heil seems all upset that others laugh at his ideas and viewpoints,
> virtual sacred icons delivered up as the original tablets sans
> benefit of burning bush. The burning in this case is Heil's own
> ego exploding at the temerity of inferiors taking him to task. :-)

There aren't any others, Len. Let's see--there's you.....and ummm...I
guess its just you. As to taking me to task, you don't have any
ammunition to do so. You're some guy standing by the window looking in.

> >You have no credentials as a radio amateur.
>
> "Papers! Papers! We demand Papers!"   :-)
>
> >No "Heil" didn't say that. "Heil" sez that a Helms manual isn't a
> >magazine and that you wouldn't take car repair advice from your neighbor
> >the insurance man just because he subscribes to "Road and Track".
> >And I wasn't talking of boaters. I was talking about non-boaters
> >("Let's see, I have my sailboat and my book on sailing. Time for a
> >little cruise around the bay")
>
> I apologize for not staying in the sacred physics rules of
> amateurism wherein amateur radio physics is "different" than

> radio physics of all other radio. I keep forgetting about that.

I have never made that claim. You've manufactured it.

> According to FCC regulations, the US radio amateur is allocated
> 9 frequency bands on HF plus 16 more above 30 MHz. Those
> bands above 30 MHz constitute 99+ percent of all allocated
> amateur band space. Has Heil ever worked ham bands above
> the 70 cm amateur band? Or has Heil merely read of such
> things in an amateur magazine? If the latter, how can Heil
> claim such "superiority" in things about amateur radio if all he
> did was "read about it?"

"Heil" has done it, Len. Heil is currently active on 2m and 70cm SSB/CW terrestrial work and separately for satellite work. 1.2 and 2.4 GHz will be added shortly for sat use. I didn't garner all my information from books. I sought the advice of those who have been successful on those bands. In addition, "Heil' is active on 50 MHz and the nine HF/MW bands with equipment and an antenna farm that most hams dream of.

> It would seem that Heil's entire amateur experience, at least
> from what he writes, as being entirely on HF whose total
> bandspace is less than 1% of all allocated amateur bandspace.

You know as little of me as you do amatuer radio.

> Strange mathematics. Less than one percent is "everything"
> while more than 99 percent is "nothing." Must be the "new
> math" by some long-timers. :-)

I've never made such a claim. It is yet another product of Anderson Manufacturing.

> >How has your First Amendment right been abridged.
>
> Some long-time HF amateurs are very busy chipping away
> at the footings of that bridge. :-)   [going to take them a
> long time before they do any damage, though...]

So you don't really want to respond to the question, huh?

> >Did you make your comment to the government?
>
> Yes. Has another long-timer attempted to quash that? Yes.
>
> >Have you been prevented from posting your
> >long, pontificating posts here?
>
> "Long, pontificating posts?" Some lengths were on par with
> others' long pontifications which seem to be cribbage from
> "official" Handbook and amateur mythology of motivation,
> purpose, and desire.

You don't care to respond to this one either.

> >Do you mean that if you become the
> >object of ridicule, your rights have been taken away? I don't believe
> >you.
>
> "Ridicule." Ridicule is a common tactic in computer-modem
> communications. It extends from the "you don't know what
> you're talking about!" pejorative non-reply to the officious,
> arrogant, superiority-loaded "you don't have the 'credentials'
> to say anything at all!" commentary from the ego-bruised.
> Not a problem to me to recognize such, nor by others.

Ridicule is common on television, on radio, in newspapers, in politics,
in court rooms. I'll note that you didn't care to answer this one
either.

> These pejorative (over or implied) non-replies are given by
> those who have "claimed turf" and thus "own" the "rights to
> speak" even when such do not have the "credentials" to put
> down others. But, the "turf" claimants are highly irritable
> when their egos are the least bit bruised, their virtual "wounds"
> no doubt pain them greatly, and they must fight all those who
> challenge them. I've seen it before on other things, keep
> seeing it here as elsewhere. Virtual wars. Over what?
> Ego bruises. Threats to self-glorification. Pfui.
>
> Discussion of policy in regard to federal regulations, changes
> or retentions thereof, should be handled in a non-personal
> ideological basis with an eye to the future. As to amateur
> radio regulations it would seem to be such an immense self-
> identification that the only ideology is the personal
> accomplishment, the egos inflated beyond proportion to the
> object of discussion. Few seem to bother with any thoughts
> about the future (I've counted only two in here, possibly three
> who have even considered the future for others). The rest
> are fixated on themselves as the role-model for others, what
> they have accomplished, what they have done, the glory of
> their long-tenure. In short they "know what is best" because
> that "best" is what they, themselves, have done. There's
> enormous egocentrism in that viewpoint. Egocentrism is not
> a valid credential for policy decisions that affect the future.

The several paragraphs above are what I meant by long and windy. You
can go tell the wife, "I really gave it to those guys today" over lunch.

Dave K8MN

From -6792592014370253556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 22:59:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B02091C.1847C452@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net> <20010515223703.05668.00000263@nso-dd.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 81
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 05:59:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989992756 63.17.223.98 (Tue, 15 May 2001
22:59:16 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 22:59:16 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 22:57:35 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21456

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> I'm not
> >> interested in taking up obsessions such as a "devotion"
> >> to amateur radio above and beyond normal life or
> >> religious beliefs. I'm not interested in joining an
> >> "exclusive fraternity" if it contains too many long-timers
> >> who love to put down anyone in opposition to their
> >> devotions or beliefs or turf claims of "knowing what is
> >> best" for any newcomer.
> >
> >It certainly beats any prospective newcomer who, in his best Foghorn
> >Leghorn, proclaims that he knows what is best for amateur radio.
>
> Leghorn tries his "I say, son, y'all don't know whut is bay-est.."
> routine. :-)
>
> Chicken. Squawks. A cartoon. Not very animated.
>
> >You've gone out of your way to let us know what you are not interested
> >in doing in amateur radio. It is really refreshing that you clued us in
> >as to what you'd like to do.
>

> I am interested in amateur radio but I am not interested in Heil's
> definition of amateur radio. Heil doesn't understand that. Heil
> cannot understand any opinion that is different from his.

This is another of your looney ramblings, Len. I've never said what you
should or should not do in amateur radio. Hell, you'd have to get there
first. We're a long, long way from that point.

> >> Legal amateur radio activities require passing a license
> >> exam. For under-30-MHz privileges that exam requires
> >> a test for morse code skill. I think that code test should
> >> be eliminated...so do many others including the FCC.
> >
> >Not exactly true, is it?
>
> It's all true. For the FCC's opinion, see 90-53 and 99-412,
> Reports and Orders written nine years apart.

Are you discounting the Commission's most recent statement as fluff?

> >I don't expect that anyone will have to worry about you invading any
> >turf for some time to come.
>
> I'm not after "turf." Those who think they have turf will goad
> others as if they "owned" that turf. Heil has demonstrated that.

Naw, Len. I'm just licensed to be on this particular turf. I think it
honks you more than you care to admit that you can't play.

> >Even after you've obtained your piece of
> >turf, you may have to change that attitude to find a few folks for a
> >contact.
>
> Heh. "Threats!" I would be surprised to find a VEC that would
> give me an honest test for an amateur license based on what
> I've said in here or elsewhere! :-)
>
>   "We don't want 'your kind' in the ARS!"
>
>   "You #$%, I won't even test you with those kindsa attitudes!"
>
> Those two paraphrased sentences are what some former VEs
> (one of them may be a current VE) have said in here.
>
> Yup. Motivation Officer title sticking out, neon-lit. All to get a
> simple radio license for a hobby. "Change your attitude or
> nobody will talk to you!" :-)

I don't think you'd find it particularly difficult to obtain a license.
You're obviously capable of passing any written exam. You could no
doubt learn morse at 5 wpm in less than a month. Your only trouble
would be in restraining "Foghorn" so that you could engage someone in a
QSO. That's hardly a threat, Len. Think of it as an observation.

Dave K8MN

From 4121505244471393829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 23:01:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 92
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 06:01:12 GMT
References: <20010515234912.10524.00003511@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516020112.05448.00002795@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21457

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/15/01 10:49 PM Central Daylight Time

>Yes, usually the people of the "underclass." However, in real life, I've
>had to suffer at the hands of those with a higher standing than I in
>one area or another -- usually as the result of wonton abuse of
>authority which was confused with personal power.

Uh oh...penis envy.....

>I have always
>treated my "subordinates" with fairness, objectivity, and kindness,
>while rarely receiving any of the same considerations from my
>so-called "superiors."

Whcih of course makes it "OK" for YOU to do, huh...?!?!

> During my military career, I've always been
>sought after as a boss, while being ripped to shreds by my own
>bosses.

Perhaps YOUR bosses knew something about you that others DIDN'T know...?!?!

> I was not a part of the inner circle of power hungry, corrupt,
>career-massaging.

He got passed over.

>I was always the dumb sucker who was mainly
>interested in getting the mission accomplished. As such, I usually
>performed relative miracles, and managed to show my inept
>"supervisors" for exactly what they were to *their* superiors.

You should read what you write, Larry. You DO need counselling. With Lennie, I am mostly jabbing him (although he is borderline manic depressive).....You are textbook.

>Well, unfortunately, my experience with being the "under class" has
>been lifelong.

They have corrective surgery for that these days, Larry.

>And the only reason is because I've always had the
>determination to do things the right way, in spite of the obstacles >placed
before me by the incompetent or corrupt people
>I had to work for.

So now YOU are going to be the "incompetent or corrupt" person someone ELSE is going got have to work with.

Real positive role model, Larry.

>Therefore, if members of the amateur radio "underclass" resent me
>for firmly believing in and supporting the concept of multi-level
>code testing, I, as I've said before, can't help them.

I doubt there is anything you COULD offer them, Larry, even if you wanted to. Nor would anything that you DID offer them be of any consequence or value.

>I have amazing control of my emotions, and have been rated very
>high in emotional intelligence by a Psychologist I worked for a few
>years ago.

And of course we ALL have the HIGHEST regard for professionals in the mental health profession.

> I assure you I have no need of such "help."

Denial...that's a good one, Larry...keep repeating it to yourself over and ovr and over and ....

> I don't hold
>in my emotions; I don't contain my anger; I don't internalize my
>stress.

Nope. You dump it here with the rest of your trash.

> seek an outlet, and let it go.

So we have found out.

>Why do you think I've written
>the equivalent of several volumes of the Encyclopedia Britannica
>in this forum over the years?

You have written much...and said little.

From -5188240122026795063
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 23:10:34 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B020BC1.F0ABD34F@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net> <20010515223705.05668.00000264@nso-dd.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 122
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 06:10:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989993435 63.17.223.98 (Tue, 15 May 2001 23:10:35 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:10:35 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:08:53 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21458

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>, MisterWarmth <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Let me ask you, Len. Do you believe that tens of thousand of others
> >tried and failed to enter amateur radio through the years?
>
> Yes.
>
> It's not a provable statement since there are no records of such

> so the PCTA will no doubt crow and repeat the mantra.

I just wanted to clear that you have no proof of your claim.

> >I don't think the "why a code test exists" needs be addressed as part of
> >a statement that large numbers of people have successfully learned morse
> >code.
>
> Tens of thousands of people learned to ride horses for basic
> transportation. No longer required. Tens of thousands of people
> learned manual cabinetry without machines. No longer required.
> Tens of thousands of people learned many different skills in the
> past and those skills are no longer needed. The same is true for
> morse code in a hobby activity. It is no longer needed as part of
> the FCC's regulatory purpose.

Again, the statement was true on its face. The "why" issue would be an
entirely different question.

> >Does the issue itself matter in this time when the morse speed
> >for all classes of license have been dropped to 5 wpm and are likely to
> >be dropped altogether in several years?
>
> Yes. The morse code test is still there. There is no guarantee
> that S25.5 will be changed, modified, deleted or even discussed
> at WRCs of the future.

I know. Pisses you off doesn't it?

> >When that takes place, what
> >excuse will you have for not obtaining the piece of amateur radio turf
> >that you so obviously covet?
>
> I covet nothing. I am only debating the "need" for that precious
> morse code test with those who have already passed such a
> test. Those will never ever have to take another morse code,
> test yet they make macaw noises about their tested ability.

I don't believe your first sentence.

> >Your enormous ego has been bruised. I've never ever felt insulted or
> >slighted though I've worked professionally in communications.
>
> Heil mistakes persistence for ego. Heil has an enormous ego,
> ergo everyone else has one. Too much self-indentification.
> Every time I've mentioned professional experience everyone
> seems to take affront at such and immediately take to personal
> insult mode. Radio is radio...except in amateur radio where it is
> different.

Amateur radio does not consist of radio theory alone. I expect that you
alredy an idea of that.

> >Maybe
> >some have just gotten tired of your "Single Wing Anderson-There I was at
> >10,000 feet with both engines out" tales.
>
> Sorry, I've never claimed any flying ability.   :-)

Flying a RTTY circuit, flying a desk--whatever. :) :) :)

> >You seem to have a gift for pissing people off.
>
> I specifically target the ego-driven, "I know what is best for
> you" group...or the "I've been in ham radio for yaddada years
> and know what is best" group. It works.   :-)

It hasn't done much for you. You're still on the outside looking in.

> >> That pretty much covers most of the ground on postings from
> >> those who are dead-set on keeping the morse code test in US
> >> amateur radio and have been for the last four years. Some more
> >> could be added, of course, but the above represents a synopsis
> >> of general posting by the PCTAs.
> >
> >...as seen by the self-appointed advocate for morse code testing
> >removal.
>
> Everyone who takes a stand against something is "self-appointed."
> :-)

What a silly and incorrect statement!

> However, all the opinions of the PCTAs listed are borne out by
> simple retrieval of archived messages.
>
> >You might not believe this but loads of motive shows in what you write
> >and the way you present it.
>
> Awww...is Heil next going to write "nobody will like me if I'm not
> nice?"   :-)

Nobody here has had the opportunity to observe you being nice.

> Clue: This is debate about a highly-charged, very-personal test
> requirement. As such it is easy to lose debate rules. The
> PCTA have consistently done so, reverting to personal insult
> when they were not able to counter any NCTA's statement.
> PCTAs seem to think they have some God-given "right" to
> adulation because of passing the morse test. To hear them
> talk or see them write they are Jesus Himself come down to
> "save" the NCTA heathen. Heil has to cross his legs because
> I have only one nail left.
> :-)

Give me that part about adhering to debate rules again. You have consistently done what you accuse others of doing. It's sort of a Leonard H. Anderson trademark. It's one of those things which endears you to others.

Dave K8MN

From 5653644233327305240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 23:13:52 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 06:13:29 GMT
References: <f7mM6.36055$kh.22803987@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516021329.05448.00002796@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21459

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: "Jim Wayda" jwayda@killspam.home.com
>Date: 5/15/01 10:04 PM Central Daylight Time

>These pro-code attitudes are the reason that I
>am no longer active in amateur radio.

If you are no longer active because of someone ELSE'S attitudes or opinions, I doubt that you were that deeply commited to it in the first place.

There are just too many things to do and too many places to do it in Amateur Radio to let someone else's prejudices hinder you.

>If this type of attitude prevails, amateur radio will die!

Heard this same thing in the late 60's and early 70's...didn't wash then, either.

>We might as well give the spectrum back to FCC for
>reassignment to commercial radio services.

In as much as you obviously still have some interest in Amateur Radio, evidenced by your presence here, I wouldn't give sentiment like that too much air time. We already have enough to overcome without help from within.

>After all of these Old Timers
>kick off, the damage will already have been done!!

Including the damage by apathetic Hams who run and hide when they get thier feelings hurt?

By the way, Jim....what have YOU done for Amateur Radio lately...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -7533831736150186320
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 23:23:49 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 06:23:38 GMT
References: <20010514234059.20174.00000359@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010516022338.05448.00002797@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21460

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/14/01 10:40 PM Central Daylight Time

>The radio world is very large with a lot of
>researchers and experimentors out there, the majority of
>them professionals. Try to live with it.

WE do "live with it", Lennie. WE are LICENSED.

YOU are the one who can't seem to bring himself to accept that there are "others" out there in the "radio world", Oh Clueless One.

TRY to live with THAT.

From -260597697289532998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-15 23:25:04 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B020F24.85D49A99@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net> <20010515223707.05668.00000265@nso-
dd.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 143
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 06:25:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989994304 63.17.223.98 (Tue, 15 May 2001
23:25:04 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:25:04 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 15 May 2001 23:23:23 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21461

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> 6.  Morse code is still used by the military.  Another myth as
> >>      far as regular military communications are concerned,
> >>      even a decade ago.  A long set of frayed threads developed
> >>      in here regarding the "necessity" for Army Special Forces
> >>      training "showing that the Army still used it" but that was
> >>      not taken back when examples of present-day comm
> >>      tactics along with equipment were presented.  A ludicrous
> >>      claim was done by a "retired Army colonel" whose "son
> >>      sent intelligence reports from behind Iraqi lines using CW,"
> >>      without any verification of such from any other source.
> >
> >...and yet you must know that there is some military use of morse and
> >some governmental use of morse.  Don't you?  You mean they didn't tell
> >you?
>
> The US Army trains morse intercept operators for intelligence
> gathering purposes for all military branches and the US government.
> At Fort Huachuca, AZ, along with several other radio skills that
> have nothing to do with morse code.  Morse code skill is one of
> the requirements for an Army Special Forces "communications
```

> sergeant" MOS.
>
> Other than that, there is NO NEED for any morse code skill in
> regular, routine, tactical, or strategic US miliatry communications.
> The US Army says so, the USN says so, the USAF says so,
> even the US government says so.
>
> Some morseodists will argue that the singular skill of passive
> intelligence gathering "shows military use of morse code." That
> has about as much sense as saying "the US Army cavalry still
> uses horses" when there are only a few at only one place in or
> near DC, used solely for military funerals.

So you agree that the military still finds some use for morse. I note
that you've left out other government users.

> >It stands to reason that those who have been at something for a long
> >time tend to develop their skills and to accumulate more knowledge.
> >It isn't true for all but then you didn't want to ruin your sweeping
> >generalization, did you?
>
> Is Heil arguing with himself now?!?

No. Couldn't you come up with a reply?

> >I always figured that my art teacher knew more
> >about painting than I did. If he didn't, he certainly shouldn't have
> >been teaching an art class.
>
> My high school art teacher was Richard Martikonis, about 35 at
> that time, ex-USNR who had commanded an LST during WW2.
> He was good in water-color wash painting then when I was a
> teener. I became better than he was, by demonstration, later.
> At Art Center School of Design (then in LA on 3rd Street) there
> were some better-at-art instructors, even a few who taught part
> time. I was their equal, already one who had worked at art
> (illustration) for money for several years. They did not motivate
> me as Richard Martikonis did, yet they were "credentialed"
> teachers. A "credential" is not always a guarantee of
> proficiency or of success. Some teachers simply can't make
> it in the world outside of school. I switched to electrical
> engineering where there were better motivations in the physical
> world. Never regretted it.

What a nice story.

> >Naw, Len. You've taken part of a concept and screwed it all up.
> >It is possible for you to know something about amateur radio. Even if
> >you don't read amateur radio magazines, you've dug up some information
> >on the web and have a few friends who are hams. For you to believe that
> >this makes you knowledgeable about ham radio is plain silly.
>
> Heil is busy manufacturing another dispute, but his clay is dried

> out and will not form what he wants. He could not sculpt a Pieta
> because he has no piety.

...but I note that you snipped the portion I replied to. That is
usually a good indicator that you have just conceded a point.

> Firstly, I am not "more knowledgeable" about ham radio than any
> other amateur Extra who is also a professional. Anyone with a
> receiver can tune in on amateur radio communications, hear the
> jargon, the procedure, the medical ailments. I am more
> knowledgeable about overall HF communications of the past by
> virtue of having been a part of both military and commercial
> communications. I am definitely more knowledgeable about
> microwave radio than most (possibly greater than 90%) radio
> amateurs by virtue of having been in that bandspace for a
> living. [99+% of amateur bandspace is in microwaves]

Good for you. Your summation of amateur radio content still shows that
chip on your shoulder.

> There is NO need to have a gargantuan knowledge or a life-
> time of US amateur radio in advocacy of removal of a code
> test for an amateur radio license.

If you are one who seems to believe that amateur radio is no different
than any other radio service your comment could make sense to you.
Those in amateur radio could take issue with you.

> > The religious revelation stuff has got me thinking that your
> >imagination is far, far better than mine. Either that or you've found
> >some magic mushrooms somethere.
>
> No, no shrooms, magic or otherwise. I do not claim to "know more
> about amateur radio" only that I know as much as anyone else
> who has an interest in it and can access the information. That
> information is not secret, restricted, or made available in some
> divine flash-of-revelation on receiving an amateur license.

Yep, you likely no as much as any other individual who is interested in
becoming a radio amateur--more than most by virtue of your experiences.
If you think you know it all by taking an occasional spin on some band
and by gathering your information from the internet, you'd be hard
pressed to justify a claim that you know more about amateur radio than
an active participant. You're right about the revelation upon receiving
an amateur license. That's where the experience comes into play. You
don't have that. Maybe you could give me a few pointers on contest
strategy, breaking a pileup (how about a CW pileup?) or on amateur
procedure in a formal voice net.

> The "time-in-grade" (tenure) claim of long-timers that they know
> what is best for amateur radio is about as pure a Turf claim as
> can be found. They want things their way for their own
> personal purposes. That's probably overlaid with some patronizing

> parental demand of "do what I tell you!" pseudo-authority. They
> have no such "authority." Perhaps it is just a smoke-screen
> rationalization for extreme dissatisfaction that newcomers might
> just know more about radio than they do. Since the morse code
> test was such a strong "knowledge" claim, they will wave that
> banner as if it was the epitome of amateur skill. See the
> "Know code = know ham, No code = no ham" school of non-
> thought.

Sure sounds like sour grapes to me, Len.

Dave K8MN

From 7871708417391270102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 00:20:13 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3B021C01.7A7F2E1B@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 1963 Novice study guide
References: <3B00A2D2.8AB76100@earthlink.net> <20010515223702.05668.00000261@nso-
dd.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 288
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 07:20:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989997614 63.17.223.98 (Wed, 16 May 2001
00:20:14 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 00:20:14 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 00:18:33 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21462

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3B00A2D2.8AB76100@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> >> Heil needs to work on his perception of personal inadequacy.
> >> >

> >> >Heil needs to call a spade a spade from time to time.
> >>
> >> Why? So far it has been a lot of shoveling of time-in-grade...
> >
> >The answer to "why" is that it needed doing.
>
> Heh. The Motivation Officer asserts his officialdom. :-)

Give my regard to the other dwarves, Grumpy.
>
> >> >> Does anyone passing any US amateur radio written test element
> >> >> have credentials of "really learning electronics?" Really?
> >> >
> >> >It doesn't matter whether anyone passes or not. It matters whether
> >> >someone studies the material.
> >>
> >> Not to the FCC or the VEC examiners. Can't get that "credential"
> >> without passing a minimum number of correct question answers.
> >
> >You asked a question. I provided an answer. Nowhere in my answer did I
> >stipulate that it matters to the FCC or VEC.
>
> Strange. "It doesn't matter?" Can exam tests be passed with
> less than the minimum number of correct answers?

You don't read my stuff and you don't even read your own stuff. You're
have trouble following along because you snipped out my initial comment.
I'm not talking about passing the test. I'm talking about studying the
material. Try to keep up.

> >It would seem that anyone with mild interest in something would have
> >become a participant over time. Let's say over several decades.
>
> Dang, the Motivation Officer has asserted hisself again!   :-)

I didn't think you'd come up with a real response.

> >That the FCC staff isn't required to be a radio amateur doesn't matter a
> >whit. No one at the DMV is required to have a driver's license either.
>
> True, but I don't know of any DMVs that demand skill in hand-
> cranking a starter or knowing how to set the spark advance on
> Model Ts. Demonstrated morse code skill is akin to hand-
> cranking and spark advance.

You know, that State Trooper who administered my motorcycle riding
skills test didn't have a motorcycle. He may not have even had a
motorcycle endorsement. Hand cranks and spark advance aren't in use.
Morse code is in wide use in the Amateur Service.

> >> Heil has NO authority to officiously demand "motivation."
> >

> >Officiously demand? You're out of your ever-lovin' California mind.
> >Do you mean to tell me that anytime someone asks you a question, you
> >take it to be an officious demand?
>
> Nope. But if Heil asks the question or even makes a statement,
> it's winning odds that it will be officious. :-)

So you'll agree that I made no demands of you.

> >Look, Len, I'm involved with amateur radio just about every day.
>
> Good for you. Now why are you here typing when you could be
> directly involved on the air? :-)

As I told you in another post. You do what you can. I'll do as I like.

> >You are a sidewalk superintendant.
>
> Wrong analogue. I've petitioned the folks who set the "building
> codes" for "sidewalks." I've designed and built and walked on
> other "sidewalks."

You can stand on the walk all you like. That's where ALL of the
bystanders and onlookers will be.

> >I don't really care if you never obtain
> >a license but if you want it, you'll have to take the steps toward
> >meeting the requirements for obtaining it.
>
> No sh*t?   :-)

Take it as gospel.

> >If you'd like to spend the
> >rest of your life gnawing on bones here, go to it.
>
> I thought I was... :-)

Doesn't go far toward getting you on the air as a ham. Maybe THIS is
your hobby.

> >If it pleases you to
> >describe me as a control freak, have at it.
>
> It doesn't "please me" to call a control freak a control freak, yet
> one has to.

Do I control you, Len? Do I limit your ability to post? What would you
call a guy who isn't involved in an activity but who single-mindedly
sets out to change the regulations governing that activity? Do the
words, "control freak" stand out?

> >You can complain to the

> >ultimate amateur radio control freaks, the FCC.
>
> The FCC is the US civil radio regulation agency. They aren't
> "control freaks" and, by complaints of many in here, don't
> seem to do a lot of "control" of amateur radio. On the other
> hand, the FCC Enforcement Bureau has an enormous listing
> of actions taken on infractions in other radio services.

How can you say that, Len? They issue licenses. They set the standards
under which testing takes place. Write them several letters a week if
you like. Be sure to point out the "lodge hall" and "old boys" stuff to
them. You might want to throw in some of that lengthy material about
your professional experiences.

> >> Heil has a truly bizarre imagination. He should have stayed
> >> with guitar analogues.
> >
> >Yeah. I note that you didn't comment on my statement though. Bizarre
> >is prattling on about demanding changes to something you aren't involved
> >in.
>
> Really? How is it "bizarre" to talk about removing a regulation
> which will NOT change anything for those already-licensed, will
> NOT remove any privileges, will NOT change their titles, status,
> or privileges?

Try to keep up now. It is bizarre because: you are prattling on about
demanding changes to something you aren't involved in.

> Bizarre is the already-licensed officiously demanding "pass the
> tests first before you can even talk about something!" Bullshit.
> Bizarre is the self-appointed Motivation Officer demanding some
> kind of demonstrated desire and motivation when he has no
> such rank, title, or "credential" for the office.

Maybe you could settle for the no code exam since you've pointed out
your vast experience in the microwave region. You could worry about HF
access after that dratted code thing goes away in a few years.

> >Yep. I know all about "Heil" and the FCC. I also know your views on
> >morse code testing in the amateur service. I asked you what's it to
> >you?
>
> No one knows Heil's motivations on keeping the amateur race
> pure to his liking. Why is that? What is it to Heil if someone
> is interested in, but not interested enough, to pass some kind
> of "motivational resolve proof" test?

What is this gray area of "interested but not interested enough"?
You have the no code option open to you now. It's been available for
ages. There is no amateur race and I don't keep it pure. Anyone who
passes an exam becomes a ham. You haven't even attempted an exam.

> >> No newcomer, repeat NONE, is "answerable" insofar as
> >> "motivation" to Heil.
> >
> >So I take it that you don't like being asked why you are interested in
> >something which you frequently bad mouth and in which you are not an
> >participant.
>
> Kiss my yes, Heil. You demand answers through intimidation and
> bad-mouthing of others and then demand demonstrations of desire,
> motivation, and resolve. Heil has no such authority to demand
> everyone do has Heil says nor to follow in his exhaulted ways.

My way aren't exalted, Len. I'm a ham. I enjoy it. You might enjoy it
too if you ever got off your duff. Instead, you sit for hours, writing
your gripes about morse testing and about amateur radio in general for
those who really don't matter in changing the regs to suit you and who
likely don't care whether you ever get a ticket or not.

> I've already said that amateur radio is a fun and interesting hobby
> with lots of potential...but it is not the raison d'etre for everyone
> nor should it be (to sane people). It is not controlled by those
> who have a lot of time-in-grade yet some of those individuals
> assume such control any way they can. That has been amply
> demonstrated.

Actually, Len, amateur radio is pretty much controlled by some of those
with a lot of time in grade. That it irks you is meaningless. Amateur
radio is what it is. YOU have potential within amateur radio. Perhaps
potential is all you'll ever have.

> >> >Do you speak for someone other than yourself?
> >>
> >> Yes, loosely so. Here are some names, surnames altered
> >> to avoid them being a target for Heil's "motivation" barrage:
> >>
> >>   John Doe, June Doe, Juan Doe, Harry Doe but not his
> >>   daughter Cookie, Phil Doe, Shinjiro Doe, Arpad Doe,
> >>   Quan Doe, Bill Doe, Stan Doe, Dean Doe, Jose Doe,
> >>   Cheng Doe, Dave Doe (but not his dog the Doe-berman).
> >>
> >>   [not in order nor are all related...there is no "Dosey"]
> >
> >I'll take that as a "no".
>
> Heil has to take it as a yes. I changed their surnames on
> purpose, partly as a levity break, partly to simply protect
> them from abuse from the control-freaks who want all
> "disagreeable" (to them) subjects made into Flame Wars
> of the Righteous Already-Licensed!

"Heil" doesn't have to take it at all.

> >> >Is it your belief that I speak for someone else?
> >>
> >> Not at all. I find the concept impossible that Heil could ever
> >> represent anyone not agreeing fully with his own personal views
> >> or experiences.
> >
> >Then why have you presented yourself as an advocate for change when no
> >one asked you to do so?
>
> It was my desire to do so. Why has Heil advocated a status quo
> and offciously mean-mouthed those who desire change in one
> test regulation?

What do you mean it was your desire? Are you some kind of control
freak?

> >Why have you written of others views as if you know what others
> >are thinking?
>
> As far as the "Does" are concerned, we HAVE talked about it,
> shared viewpoints. Two of the "Does" are licensed radio
> amateurs. I happen to have the time and energy to post here
> although the time has become non-productive in view of all
> the demands to show motivation and desire by some officious
> status quo-ists.

If the lack of productivity bothers you, you can always take on the
scuba diving crowd and try to get some of their regs changed.

> >I don't believe that anyone obtains electronics knowledge through simply
> >studying the Q&A pool and I don't believe that you ever thought that is
> >what I meant by my statement.
>
> I can only read what is written. Heil is welcome to his beliefs.
> Heil is not welcome to impose his beliefs on others.

Then what, pray tell, are you attempting by your effort to changes regs
effecting amateur radio?

>   <amateur Extra test question omitted due to non-understanding>
>
> >Chew on the fact that you can build as many transmitters as you'd like
> >right now. You don't even need an amateur license. That amateur
> >license permits you to operate a transmitter in the amateur bands.
> >"Using" is operating. In that sense, an amateur license does mean "just
> >operating".
>
> Yet another "nyah-nyah" statement.

It is simple fact.

> >You get more peculiar by the week, Leonard H. You can know all the
> >electronics you'd like. You can even learn the regulatory material.

> >Until you pass that amateur exam, you'll likely not be putting those
> >transmitters on the air in the ham bands, huh?
>
> Heil keeps up the infantile "nyah-nyah" when the subject was
> only about the morse code test. Heil keeps recycling the "have
> to have a license before you can talk about it" demand. Pfui.

> Heil doesn't have any valid response on the morse code test,
> is only capable of puerile personal insults against those who
> desire the code test elimination.

The only thing stopping you from obtaining an amateur radio license is
Leonard H. Anderson. I don't prevent your success in becoming a ham.
Oh--and this thread was not about the morse code test. Try to keep up.

Dave K8MN

From -1305755939357045944
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 00:21:48 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B021C70.6A31DA87@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net> <20010515223709.05668.00000266@nso-dd.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 182
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 07:21:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.98
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 989997708 63.17.223.98 (Wed, 16 May 2001 00:21:48 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 00:21:48 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 00:20:07 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21463

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3B00B87F.E652CA96@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:

>
> >I asked questions. If you don't want to tell me, why not tell the
> >USARS?
>
> I have explained before, repeated it. The entirety of the US ARS
> does not need to know "motivation" from anyone interested. The
> interest should be enough...except to those who think they are
> some kind of "boss" of the US ARS.
>
> >I haven't demanded that you answer, Len.
>
> On the contrary, Heil's persistence, psychotic imagination has
> demanded an answer that will suit Heil.

I asked you several questions. If they make you feel uncomfortable,
you'll have to deal with that.

> >Just say that the
> >questions make you feel uncomfortable if you like.
>
> Demands are only "uncomfortable" in the sense that the
> demands are not required of anyone interested.

I never said that it was required that you answer. I never demanded an
answer. You really seem to have a problem with anyone who questions
your motivation.

> >> As I've said some while ago in here, "it might be nice to have an
> >> amateur radio license...for another hobby."
> >
> >What's holding you up? You also told us that you were going for an
> >"Extra out of the box" when the new licensing regs were about to be
> >introduced.
>
> I did? Seems to me I added a qualifier preceding that statement,
> namely that "IF I decided to get one." Is there something "wrong"
> with getting the maximum-"upgrade" class license without first
> going through all the "lesser grade" classes?

If memory serves, it was more like "I think I'll..." There's nothing
wrong with going straight for the Extra. What's your call?

> Just what is holding Heil up from upgrading to being a human
> being instead of officious radio god?

The answer to your query is: Foghorn Leghorn.

> >Aside from a "time in grade" requirement for the Extra years back,
> >nothing has stopped anyone from starting at any class of license he
> >feels he can pass.
>
> Heil forgot the devotion and motivation so "necessary." :-)

Is that what you now claim was your holdup?

> >As far as I know, you are the only person to use
> >words like, "guild", "craft" or "profession".
>
> No, but I am one who has repeated that the most often. :-)
>
> >These classes of
> >license--are you telling us that the only thing that sets them apart is
> >a code test (the only thing you advocate doing away with)?
>
> I'm not telling anyone anything that isn't in regulations. The FCC
> states that a Technician class license needs no code test, but
> a code test is required of a General or amateur Extra class.
>
> Is Heil trying to manufacture another "dispute?"

No, I responded to one of your claims--one which you snipped.
You must be ready to concede that point too.

> >What you call tales aren't that at all. Are you one of those guys who
> >dismiss the magazine coverage of very young kids passing an amateur
> >radio exam as manufactured?
>
> Yes. But I dismissed the ARRL's coverage of the six-year-olds
> who got Novice and Technician class licenses...and their
> passing. I disputed the language comprehension of such six-
> year-olds being capable of understanding the questions. Nobody
> went into six-year-olds' comprehension skills but rather focussed
> on the passing of the tests and the cutesy story.
>
> They might have been precocious young geniuses...or they might
> have just memorized enough to pass...or they had some things
> "explained" during the written test, something which is not legal.
> Yes, I'll say flat out that those six-year-olds had a "manufactured"
> test passing.

I remember your comments along those lines when they were first made.
That's about the time I began to take a dislike to your boorish manner
and windy posts. Be that as it might. Those kids received amateur
licenses--something which has eluded you. That one really seems to
stick in your craw.

> >What about this idea that those interested in becoming hams "should"
> >enter as youngsters. Even when I held a Novice ticket, I ran into
> >Novices of all ages.
>
> All the tales told in here concern entrance into amateur radio
> during teen years as the only way to "really get into amateur
> radio." Those few who post that got into it in adult years
> either were military-trained in morse or they got no-code-test
> Tech licenses. The latter seem to be shunned as not being
> "real hams."

Can't be ALL the tales. I just told you one which doesn't fit your
mold.

> >... Is it because it would be impossible for you to start
> >as a youngster?
>
> Irrelevant. "Getting the young" into amateur radio is an old,
> trite slogan. Youngsters are very impressionable in teen
> years, can be "imprinted" with goals, truth, falsehood, or
> whatever. That's elementary psychology 101.

Yeah, that's the reason, Len. They were desired for indoctrination and
brainwashing. You're begining to sound like some character calling a
radio talk show.

> If amateur radio is such an "important" thing, it would seem
> better for amateur radio that it should attract newcomers
> of more adult years. It is doing so now, but the overwhelming
> majority are going for the no-code-test Technician license.

Sure--because it is currently the easiest route. Why do you think so
many went the Novice route in earlier years?

> >But amateur radio is one place where morse use is alive and well. The
> >mode is used extensively.
>
> By the ARRL's own poll, the best "extensive use" is second place
> behind radiotelephone and that second place is on HF bands only.

Yeah...and?

> >Why shouldn't ham radio be the last place to require code testing?
>
> Why should it be required in the first place?

Are you reading what I'm writing?

> The code test has always been in place for an amateur radio
> license. In the beginning and the formation of the first US radio
> regulation agency (1912), every other radio serivce used morse
> code mode. It made sense in 1912 to have all radio operators
> demonstrate skill in a common OOK spark mode. As the
> radio world evolved and moved away from morse mode, it was
> no longer needful as a regulatory test. It might have been kept
> there by retired radio men who preferred to have a place of
> radio recreation or those laid-off morsemen who could not
> learn the newer radio of the vacuum tube era.

Neat trick. Take some facts you gleaned from the web and then tack on
some distortion of your own at the end.....yawn

> There has never been any manual operator test of any kind

> for amateur radio license tests except code. That is not a
> qualification to keep morse code testing.

So? What would they be--a set of tongue twisters for phone? A series of
"Ahhhhs" to see if the applicant could keep the VOX open?

> >We already know that you have a problem when anyone asks you about
> >motivation.
>
> We already have a problem with patronizing, arrogant demanders
> of motivation and desire to "prove" something to them. No one
> need "prove" anything about desire or motivation to anyone...
> except the control-freaks and those who demand all "do as they
> did" or become "lesser" humans. Pfui. Go suck a hot 807.

No, Len. I think I'll spend several hours operating tonight. I'll do
what I like. You do what you can.

Dave K8MN

From 4044656231790591038
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 00:26:49 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 160
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 07:25:48 GMT
References: <20010514222455.08242.00000466@nso-mf.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010516032548.05448.00002805@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21464

>Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/14/01 9:24 PM Central Daylight Time

>This is getting to be a "show me your papers!" sort of thing.
>Not nice to go there but the temptation is very strong.

You should know....why don't you just use one of your "AOL Hometown" pages
and post your CV...AGAIN...anyone who can't get to sleep can then sign on and
re-read your (dubious) litany of previous employers.

Just think...you can re-upload your CV at least 7 times, assuming you are
on AOL 6.0.

>A true thing is that I have no US amateur radio license.

Nor a commercial one, in as much as you assert that you let it "expire", October last...

>A false thing is saying that
>"credentials" mean no knowledge of radio or electronics pertaining
>to amateur radio.

"Inside" the box...no question..."Outside" the box, you have absolutely "zero" practical experience. I am willing to be my 9 year old has more practical experience than you do.

>A false thing is saying that "credentials" means
>I have no experience on HF radio...

But how much experience do you have in AMATEUR practice...?!?!

>or VHF or UHF or microwave or MF or LF or VLF radio.

But how much experience do you have in AMATEUR practice...?!?!

How many AMATEUR RTTY or digital nets have you participated in?

How many CW or SSB nets have you participated in?

How many times have you participated as a member of ARES, RACES, or other recognized AMATEUR organization?

How many Amateur Radio contests have you participated in?

How many, Lennie....?!?!

>And, by association, I should not have
>any voice in any US federal radio regulations because I am not
>licensed in a particular radio service?

Nope. You should say to the feds what you want. But take your snotty "rub it in your face" attitude out of here.

>It must be so. The First Amendment went away. Goodby...

"Goodbye"...?!?! Oh HAPPY DAY!

I know...it was a troll.

>I was never advocating any ways to "make amateur radio better."

A LIE. You have said that very thing on numerous occassions.

>>A guy who received his
>>license last week has a week's more experience in amateur radio >>than

Leonard H. Anderson.

>Heil belabors the "gotta have a license" need. "Credentials!"

A LIE. "Heil" said "experience". His statement was correct. You have NO experience in Amatuer Radio.

>Heil has a remarkable imagination.

Better than some.

>No need. I have a good memory.

Obvilusly not. You quickly forget how many insults you have hurled when those insults get returned.

>>You adopt the stance of
>>the patronizing old communications pro, here to tell the radio hams >>how things should be.

>Heil has a beef about non-hams? Try fish.

>Clue: Every newcomer to amateur radio is a non-ham.

His comments never said "non-ham". He said YOU.

>Patronizing? Only to
>those who don't appear to know what they are talking about or
>inventing some story concerning HF comm that was standard
>operating practice before I entered grade school

Leonard, Leonard, Leonard....I thought we had you broke of that attitude...You've actually been tolerable the last few days. Now you are trying to reintroduce the "1930's" standards lie again.

>I'm not telling radio hams how things should be.

BBBBAAAAWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>Those in here
>can't be told...they already know what is what and what is best
>for everyone.

Then you ARE using a mirror to shave in the mornings!

>I'm talking about the FCC's code test to get INTO
>amateur radio.

Well then..we FINALLY agree. Welcome to PCTA Heaven, Lennie!

>>I've pointed out that you have no
>>experience as a radio amateur thus little to base your views upon.

>Quick, write your congressperson and demand that all unlicensed
>personnel at the FCC be summarily dismissed for "lack of views
>and amateur experience!"

And Lennie is quickly reoriented to the fact that Dave didn't say anything about your comments to the FCC...It's about your patronizing, lying tripe in here.

>>On what bands do you do your DXing, Len? It doesn't have to be >>DXing, Len.

>Something must have slipped Heil's mind, too. He imagined
>something about me "wanting" to operate some HF rig with
>full power into big directional antennas working some distant
>island?

Then that would squarely and appropriately place you in the "Technician" class license. The one that DOESN'T require a Morse Code exam by international treaty...the one YOU claim is NOT codified into US law...

One that YOU are not sufficiently capable of passing.

(If you were, you would...but you're not...don't sweat it...lot's of mere mortals have failed the test before)

>Why would I do that? There was no "glory" in that, merely an
>experience while serving in the US Army before most in here
>became of legal age (or even existed).

Then why smother us with it? I don't think a week goes by that we don't get at least ONE reminder of your RTTY days in 1953....

>I could continue on experience in microwave radio......

You HAD to get him started, Dave...?!?!

>I might send Heil a box of fasteners...to help him screw himself.

And so summates another LennieRant..brought to you by RONCO....

From -3445358848294252086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 00:40:39 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 May 2001 19:48:30 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3AFB2919.12D2B872@uci.edu> <20010511073052.02763.00002801@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 989956110 4211 205.139.138.14 (15 May 2001 19:48:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 May 2001 19:48:30 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: jwkelley@uci.edu
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21465

In article <9dh2if$s7$1@news.service.uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

> For instance: I challenge you name a single example in which a
> licensed operator must solve an ohms law problem in order to
> successfully operate a typical VHF station and thus prevent
> him from violating an FCC regulation.

This convenient ploy is the rallying cry of the QCAO but it lacks any foundation of credibility when you consider that the successful applicant for *any* amateur license is authorized to build his own station. Would you want me putting my homebrewed "creation" on your favorite VHF band if I hadn't even demonstrated a knowledge of ohms law? Get real!

Good luck on this one now!

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Ohm slaw = Thinly sliced resistors

 ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From -2351545711817576455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 00:52:49 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 82
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 07:52:18 GMT
References: <20010515223711.05668.00000267@nso-dd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Message-ID: <20010516035218.05448.00002806@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21466

>Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/15/01 9:37 PM Central Daylight Time

>Heil's imagination is verging on the psychotic.

     And of course YOU would have first hand information o what is
"psychotic"..???

>Maybe, just
>maybe I might have an interest in interplanetary DX,...(SNIP)

    How did I know it would come to this...?!?!  Little green men.

>As a non-amateur I've communicated with a station ON the moon.

    No..you (allegedly..I really doubt that you did it) remotely controlled a
radio telemetery transmitter.  Notable for it's distance from it's point of
origin, but nothing more.

 >As I said, Heil's imagination is getting close to psychotic

    This from the guy who wants to talk to ET on Amateur Radio.

>All those things are fun and interesting for others.  Excellent
>for them.  They are not the justification for continuation of a
>morse code test for an amateur radio license.

     And all things you CAN do with a NO CODE license that is available today.

>>Your parting shot at "those who have no clue at all" makes
```

>>that all seem highly unlikely.

>Ah, but the "credentialled" in here have the official permission
>to use "noclue" because of that "credential?!?"

Lennie the Loser's 78RPM phonoplayer is stuck on "credential". The keyword here is "EXPERIENCE". As far as Amateur Radio goes, he has none.

>I've stated that the US military has used FHSS operationally
>since 1989. In the SINCGARS family of manpack and vehicular
>radio use.

Lennie diverges into many military acronyms for communications systems that have no practical application to Amateur Radio. This because he has no practical EXPERIENCE in Amateur Radio and it is necessary for him to cover his tracks.

>I've given websites for more information on that.

And quickly discarded as inapplicable.

>Many long-timers still think that the US military uses old WW2
>radio sets, uses CW for communications ("behind enemy lines")
>in the field. They have no clue about spread-spectrum modes
>and seemingly don't care. They have no clue.

If thinking that makes you happy, Lennie...then by golly you can go to bed slap-happy tonight. Foolish and confused...but happy.

>There is a very long list of what can be said about radio amateurs'
>knowledge of the rest of the radio world.

And of course YOU are going to enlighten us, right...?!?! Thanks, but no thanks...I'll take my clues from "Monitoring Times", Popular Communications" and a dozen other periodicals that grace my desk.

>That is very
>unfortunate since the rest of the radio world has some interesting
>modes, some of which could be adapted to amateur radio.

But since YOU can't find any VALID reasons to get a license and share it with us ON THE AIR, then I guess we will all have to be ignorant in our own little bliss, I guess.........

>I made a sarcastic comment.

And THIS is NEW...?!?! When HAVEN'T you?

G'nite, Lennie. Be careful who you shake hands with without looking them in the eyes.

From 2028658431378370265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 01:53:52 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <rcmM6.36071$kh.22808526@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 9
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <zerM6.25734$4f7.1956248@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 08:53:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990003231 12.75.102.187 (Wed, 16 May 2001 08:53:51 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 08:53:51 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21467

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:rcmM6.36071$kh.22808526@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
>
> I guess that I am worthless.

Only if you allow yourself to be.

From 5835128616043149274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 02:01:05 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 05:01:26 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 23

Message-ID: <3B0241E0.ABD05904@sccoast.net>
References: <3B00E182.1E4A25FE@sccoast.net> <20010515210908.10524.00003482@nso-md.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.93
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990003651 184694 207.144.64.93 (16 May 2001 09:00:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:00:51 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21468

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> I don't see any relationship between code
> and technical skill, either! In fact,
> virtually 100-percent of the most
> technically-involved hams I've known,
> with graduate degrees in math, science,
> and electronics, have ALL been code
> proficient, CW using, CW loving Amateur
> Extra class licensees. So, if the code
> testing requirement is somehow a barrier
> to technial advancement, they seemed to
> have beaten the rap somehow!

Well, I think the key to that sentence is the words, "I've known."
Since I have no idea who you've known, those words leave little room
for discussion.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 4326651837926785640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 02:39:56 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 16 May 2001 09:39:09 GMT
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21469

>From: K0HB@arrl.org

>> For instance: I challenge you name a single example in which a
>> licensed operator must solve an ohms law problem in order to
>> successfully operate a typical VHF station and thus prevent
>> him from violating an FCC regulation.

>This convenient ploy is the rallying cry of the QCAO but it
>lacks any foundation of credibility when you consider that
>the successful applicant for *any* amateur license is authorized
>to build his own station. Would you want me putting my homebrewed
>"creation" on your favorite VHF band if I hadn't even demonstrated
>a knowledge of ohms law? Get real!

Do you think demonstrating Ohm's law knowledge is sufficient to ensure that you will create a rig that operates within the rules?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>Good luck on this one now!
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>--
> Ohm slaw = Thinly sliced resistors
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web
>-----
> http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
>   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
>made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net
>
>
>
>
>

>

```
From -5084787228115773183
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 02:43:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 09:43:25 GMT
References: <3B0200C1.9E901DDF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010516054325.23321.00000002@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21470
```

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> Is this the same MENSA member who can't seem to remember if
>> he paid a half-million or a million dollars in taxes?

>It was a typo, Larry, and I corrected it. It was half a million.

Wanna bet Larry won't "remember" that you corrected the typo? Perhaps he has been taking rrap debating lessons from Len. Those two guys will probably never see how much they have in common. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -6472422448878815026
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 02:44:00 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 09:43:32 GMT
```

References: <3B01AE40.BFBC872E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010516054332.02623.00001028@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21471

In article <3B01AE40.BFBC872E@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> For example, I know of a few new hams who got their licenses on a bet.
>
>Hey Jim, that's how I became a member of MENSA.

I oughta join up just for grins.

>I even scored higher on
>the exam than the MENSA member with whom I made the bet. :-)

It ain't braggin' if ya really done it. (Babe Ruth)

>Not only did
>he have to pay my MENSA dues for five years, but he had to endure my smirks.

Ya mean ya didn't bet something like a steak dinner or tires for the Harley? If
ya missed a sucker bet like that, MENSA can't be that tough to get into....

How's the hand? (the one the snake bit)

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 7022860534208472079
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 02:44:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 78
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 09:43:31 GMT
References: <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516054331.02623.00001027@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21472

In article <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Point is, total ignorance of Ohm's Law can lead to FCC violations in a
>typical
>> VHF/UHF ham station.
>
>One could possibly stretch ones imagination that far, yes. Otherwise,
>it would be pretty out of the ordinary - a 4 or 5 sigma event.

Or it could be argued that by avoiding the models of transceiver that behave
that way, one avoids the need to know about voltage drop.
>
>> It seems to me that some folks are arguing that testing for a ham license
>> should only cover the absolute bare minimum requirements imaginable.
>
>Not I.

Glad to hear it.

> What some folks were saying when I entered this fray was that
>the code requirement is unnecessary. I am just trying to point out that
>we can argue that other requirements are similarly unnecessary. People
>can operate amateur radio their entire career without ever needing to
>use code, and people can likewise operate their entire career without
>having to ever work a single ohms law problem.

NOW I get it! I did this same gig some time back - maybe a year, maybe two.

>One could easily solve your example problem with a wire table. One does
>not need to know how to build a dipole antenna off the top of his head.
>If he needs to build one, instructions are given in the Handbook.
>Operators are licensed without a requirement that they know all, or even
>a large percentage of the rules with which they must abide. So that's
>also a poor example.

Bingo. In fact, if that philosophy is carried to its logical extreme, the
entire exam can be simplified to the following questions:

Q1) Who regulates the Amateur Radio Service?

A1) The Federal Communications Commission

Q2) Where can the rules governing the Amateur Radio Service be found?

A2) Part 97

Everything else is optional.
>
>Some regs,
>> maybe some safety, perhaps a little theory. The argument goes something
>like

```
>> "Why force hams learn something they may never use?".  But by that
>argument,
>> almost everything in the tests can be eliminated.
>
>That's my point, Jim.  Perhaps I didn't made that clear when I said:
>"My only point is to illustrate that one can similarly argue a lack of
>necessity for any of the other requirements."

I see, now. Sorry that I didn't quite pick up on it earlier.
>
>> Others argue that the license tests should require certain basic knowledge
>> about ham radio, including some theory. Like an understanding of Ohm's Law.
>I
>> subscribe to this latter view.
>
>I agree.  The point is to illustrate the logical error that results when
>assuming there is such a thing as a "necessary" requirement.  Of those
>who have responded, Larry is the only one who got the point.
>
Yup. Of course we have a shining example of what happens to a radio service
that has no exams but simply trusts the users to read the rules and abide by
them.

73 de Jim, N2EY




From -8365522773427635820
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 02:44:20 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 05:45:00 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3B024C09.81148025@sccoast.net>
References: <20010515060033.14902.00002657@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010515234912.10524.00003511@nso-md.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.93
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990006260 187636 207.144.64.93 (16 May 2001 09:44:20
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:44:20 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21473

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> I have amazing control of my emotions, and
> have been rated very high in emotional
> intelligence by a Psychologist I worked for
> a few years ago. I assure you I have no
> need of such "help." I don't hold in my
> emotions; I don't contain my anger; I don't
> internalize my stress. I seek an outlet,
> and let it go. [snip]

The problem is that your outlet (certain members of this newsgroup - nocodes, etc) has not always been a willing participant in your efforts to release your aggression. It is one thing to release your aggression by pounding on a pillow and quite another to release your aggression by verbally pounding on another human being. The latter may feel good to you, but it is darn rude to those it is directed at.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6448585337248156050
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 02:45:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 09:45:00 GMT
References: <9drr0831rdq@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21474

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

>> How can ANY traffic be handled via radiotelegraphy - the most basic
>>radiocommunciations mode - without knowledgable hams being trained in
>>it?

>Maybe it's because most of the traffic that hams handle isn't that important.

And most of that isn't handled on CW, and in the relatively rare cases where CW is used, it is operator choice, not necessity.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6961300511782100177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 02:46:55 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 09:46:29 GMT
References: <3B01B090.4688D237@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516054629.23321.00000004@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21475

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> FCC knows from long years of direct experiencethat there are always more
>> than enough hams who are active,informed and capable of handling
>> emergency communications such that no such regulations are needed.
>
>Seems the FCC also knows from long years of direct experience that there
>are always more than enough hams on FM and SSB who are active, informed,
>and capable of handling emergency communications such that no Morse code
>is needed.

Or that enough hams will choose CW on their own that any need for Morse code in the Amateur Radio Service will be adequately met. The recent rules changes have not slowed me down. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6177457331644367731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 02:49:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 09:49:08 GMT
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21476

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>However, I have absolutely no respect for any
>decrees made by a self-appointed, tin-plated, autocratic despot of a
>"moderator."   Not on Fidonet, not on Usenet, not ANYWHERE!

Right, only YOUR decree that rrap is for arguing, and manners be damned! I guess as long as you get your way, everyone else is just not that important.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1590662150657601061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 02:51:50 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010515060033.14902.00002657@ng-mb1.aol.com> <20010515234912.10524.00003511@nso-md.aol.com> <3B024C09.81148025@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 32
Organization: International Veeblefetzer
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <W4sM6.25755$4f7.1959326@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:51:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net

```
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990006710 12.75.102.187 (Wed, 16 May
2001 09:51:50 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:51:50 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21477


"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B024C09.81148025@sccoast.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > I have amazing control of my emotions, and
> > have been rated very high in emotional
> > intelligence by a Psychologist I worked for
> > a few years ago.  I assure you I have no
> > need of such "help."  I don't hold in my
> > emotions; I don't contain my anger; I don't
> > internalize my stress.  I seek an outlet,
> > and let it go.  [snip]
>
>
>   The problem is that your outlet (certain members of this newsgroup -
> nocodes, etc) has not always been a willing participant in your
> efforts to release your aggression.  It is one thing to release your
> aggression by pounding on a pillow and quite another to release your
> aggression by verbally pounding on another human being.  The latter
> may feel good to you, but it is darn rude to those it is directed at.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

Yup, Dwight, it's right up there with being accused of baby-killing, Nazi
activities, being in league with Satan, not to mention dandruff and
halitosis for the sin of passing a code test.

Dick




From -9098003818735492851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 04:40:31 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <PF8M6.4924$gc1.498689@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010515234911.10524.00003510@nso-md.aol.com>
```

Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <PGtM6.7587$Az.762082@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 11:40:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.226.153
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990013231 209.245.226.153 (Wed, 16 May 2001 04:40:31 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 04:40:31 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 04:38:49 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21478

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010515234911.10524.00003510@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <PF8M6.4924$gc1.498689@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> >> Yes, Ed, I am VERY out of touch with "perception." I prefer a more
> >> analytical approach to dealing with people -- one that permits me to
> >> ascertain the true nature of Reality.
> >>
> >
> >Larry's reality, well...no need to comment, right? :) His Squadron
> >Commander could tell you all about it. . . : o
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim:
>
> [SNIP]. . .
>
> Why else would six of his
> Master Sergeants, virtually in unison, request early retirement
> or transfer while under his command?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

I can't speak for your Superior, Larry, or his call in judgement. I can figure you're an easy read here, and that you--and your six cohorts in unison--were an easy read to him. I can also tell you that I respect him for his read--I wouldn't want to be beside you in a disaster or war situation either.

Kim W5TIT

```
From 37822679751601573
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 04:43:34 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010515234913.10524.00003512@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010515235838.08026.00003584@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <HJtM6.7592$Az.762422@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 11:43:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.226.153
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990013415 209.245.226.153 (Wed, 16 May
2001 04:43:35 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 04:43:35 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 04:41:54 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21479

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010515235838.08026.00003584@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >>That you just like to argue.
>
> >Uhhh, don't look now, Ed, but that's what this forum is for!
>
> By whose edict?  There are probably many who would much prefer it to be a
forum
> for meaningful discussion, not a place where some can amuse themselves at
the
> expense of others.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Pardon, Ed.  You, possibly, could have worded that ". . .at the expense of
```

themselves." : o

; Kim W5TIT

```
From 4588282374894207595
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 04:54:05 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net> <20010514222455.08242.00000466@nso-
mf.aol.com> <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>
<4J8M6.4931$gc1.499680@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B01403E.D2F85D36@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <JOtM6.7602$Az.763554@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 11:48:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.226.153
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990013737 209.245.226.153 (Wed, 16 May
2001 04:48:57 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 04:48:57 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 04:47:15 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21480
```

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3B01403E.D2F85D36@earthlink.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > Just don't go on about your right to comment as if that transcends the
> > rights of
> > > others to make their own comments and to laugh off your ideas.
> > >
> > >
```

> > Now, if that isn't the pot calling the kettle a cooking device?!
>
> Is it, Tugboat? Isn't Len the guy who wraps himself in Old Glory and
> jabbers about his right to petition his government? How many others
> here indicate that their right to free speech has somehow been violated?
> Have you seen me do it?
>
> Dave K8MN
>

WHOOSH, right over your head.

Kim W5TIT

From -979655679570159746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 06:07:11 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.newsread.com!bad-news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: "Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <enaM6.1$7d.94@newshog.newsread.com>
<20010515101242.00817.00000261@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY...
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <cYuM6.1625$gA.537984@monger.newsread.com>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 13:07:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.7.162.174
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@tm.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 990018440 64.7.162.174 (Wed, 16 May 2001 09:07:20 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:07:20 EDT
Organization: The Mercury Network (tm.net) @is2.tm.net
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21481

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010515101242.00817.00000261@ng-cg1.aol.com...
> >Fine, (as a no-code tech here with csce for general class), give me both
> >the technician question pool, and the general question pool as a
test......
> >all 300+ questions for each..... I'll still get around 80-85% total grade
on

> >both.... Give me the extra class one too.... I may just even get a passin
>
> BIg deal, so you know how to Memorize, the written Test no longer proves
> anything, except your ability to memorize

Okay.... give the tests (Tech and General classes) in fill-in-the-blank or
what ever method you wish to. If the "multiple-guess" format irritates you
then, change the format. Add 300 or more questions if you want. Doesn't
matter to me, still will get the same type of score as stated.

Ryan, KC8PMX

From -3454954963224996117
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5a1e3e4724216e7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 06:28:07 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Taking dead relative's call: good/bad idea?
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 13:26:10 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0274a6.377812828@news2.dvol.com>
References: <dhassler-1405011639160001@192.168.150.4>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gddr101.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.146
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.146
X-Trace: 16 May 2001 08:22:04 -0500, 64.24.18.146
Lines: 26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21482

On Tue, 15 May 2001 17:04:02 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>
> I went from an original-issue 1X3 to another (reissued) 1X3, then to
> an original-issue 1X2, and when "Vanity Gate 1" opened I paid the
> fee to get my original 1952 call sign back.
>

I need my 1953/54 wn3yik back like I need chicken pox again . .

>
> Preserve history.

>
The FCC does not make that an easy task under some circumstances.
>
Last year a few upstate guys who knew famed dxer & contester Gerry
Mathis w3gm wanted to keep his callsign actve in the contests so they
formed a club and applied for w3gm as the club license callsign. I
don't have the details but they had to go thru all kindsa really
twisted pushups with Uncle to get that to happen. It strikes me as a
bush-league clerical detail with no "regulatory" implcations at all.
Why the heck is reissuing a deceased individual's callsign as a club
callsign such a big deal at Hq.?
>--
>   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
w3rv

```
From -879005384285883124
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,86f3ca7ca9896dfc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 06:28:09 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Is CW a digital mode?
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 13:26:41 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0272cd.377339864@news2.dvol.com>
References: <200105151704.f4FH4aX16985@mail.mailgate.org>
<3B01B840.3C0F01FB@IEEE.org> <3b01ce42.335221940@news2.dvol.com>
<c6lM6.25494$t12.1926310@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.146
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.146
X-Trace: 16 May 2001 08:22:34 -0500, 64.24.18.146
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21483
```

On Wed, 16 May 2001 01:55:20 GMT, "JAMES HAMPTON"
<j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>Of course, you can get down to the machine level and use one of the hardware
>lines to the serial port to send/receive - but I think (I'm not sure) that
>you might have to do it under dos - windows would probably have fits.
>
Yeah, I need Windoze . . .
>
When it's all said & done it probably turns out that learning to copy

```
the stuff by ear is less work and is more effective than is getting
wrapped up in DOS, hardware lines and lame ports.
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
w3rv



From -4700938108148525480
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 06:30:26 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com> <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:29:55 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 13:29:55 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21484

In a sudden fit of his patented patronization, W1RFI blurted out:

> Do you think demonstrating Ohm's law knowledge is
> sufficient to ensure that you will create a rig that
> operates within the rules?

Of course I don't think that, nor did I ever suggest that I held such an
assinine notion.

But it would be a real challenge to create a rig without such knowledge.

73, Hans, K0HB
```

From -5797156329367911908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 06:57:18 PST
Message-ID: <3B0286E1.FC0FC0DB@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 08:55:46 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B01AE40.BFBC872E@IEEE.org> <20010516054332.02623.00001028@nso-fp.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp 990020955 64.240.47.121 (16 May 2001 08:49:15 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21485

N2EY wrote:
> Ya mean ya didn't bet something like a steak dinner or tires for the Harley? If
> ya missed a sucker bet like that, MENSA can't be that tough to get into....

Five years of MENSA dues was a lot of money in 1965, more than a steak dinner.

> How's the hand? (the one the snake bit)

No infection, no ill effects - must have been a clean snake.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7589622848208841475
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 06:57:26 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:57:08 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3B028734.4CF8B714@psu.edu>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3B015BDC.CC8B8F67@psu.edu> <3B01AF23.BC2F78B7@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21486
```

Depends on if the lad wants to become an electroniker or not. He might even want to become a Ham someday.

But seriously, there is a part of the spectrum available to those who don't want to learn anything.

- mike -

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> mike coslo wrote:
> > Bingo! I'm amazed that some people would argue to toss out basic things
> > like Ohms law. It seems almost like proposing that the prospective ham
> > only show proficiency in using the ptt button, and how to turn the
> > tuning knob.
>
> I can teach Ohm's law to my three year old grandson. "The Indian sees
> the Eagle over the Rabbit." Exactly what good does that do?
```

```
From 8582299801208262762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 07:02:36 PST
Message-ID: <3B02881F.D0EC3D5B@IEEE.org>
```

```
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:01:03 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3B015BDC.CC8B8F67@psu.edu> <3B01AF23.BC2F78B7@IEEE.org>
<9dsolu$oe5$0@208.207.71.136> <3B02015E.1C5138C0@IEEE.org>
<9dt2p3$m4l$1@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp 990021271 64.240.47.121 (16 May 2001 08:54:31 -0500)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21487

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > I can teach Ohm's law to my three year old grandson. "The Indian sees
> > > > the Eagle over the Rabbit." Exactly what good does that do?
> > >
> > >  No wonder you are completely clueless regarding the written tests. If
> > > you teach your three year old grandson "The Indian sees the Eagle over
> > > the Rabbit you've taught him something besides Ohm's law, for sure.
> >
> > Hmmmmm, does I equal E over R ring a bell, Dick?
>
>  That's not what you said, and for darn sure not what any kid would get
> out of Indians, Eagles and Rabbits.

For the less than bright folk: 'I' (Indian) for current, 'E' (Eagle) for
voltage (electromotive force), and 'R' (Rabbit) for resistance.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4451941296206734327
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 07:06:01 PST
Message-ID: <3B0288F0.C622D3AE@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:04:32 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B01B090.4688D237@IEEE.org> <20010516054629.23321.00000004@ng-
cd1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp 990021480 64.240.47.121 (16 May 2001 08:58:00 -0500)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21488
```

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> FCC knows from long years of direct experiencethat there are always more
> >> than enough hams who are active,informed and capable of handling
> >> emergency communications such that no such regulations are needed.
> >
> >Seems the FCC also knows from long years of direct experience that there
> >are always more than enough hams on FM and SSB who are active, informed,
> >and capable of handling emergency communications such that no Morse code

> >is needed.
>
> Or that enough hams will choose CW on their own that any need for Morse code in
> the Amateur Radio Service will be adequately met. The recent rules changes
> have not slowed me down. :-)

Yep, I meant to say "Morse code testing" above and just typed too fast.
Judging by what they said, the FCC apparently doesn't favor any single
mode over any other mode.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3217824270470263334
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 07:09:02 PST
Message-ID: <3B0289A4.1E5220E4@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:07:32 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com> <9drjb2$7qe$0@208.207.71.176>
<3B01B23E.EA1EB8ED@IEEE.org> <9dsp6s$rfk$0@208.207.71.136>
<f7mM6.36055$kh.22803987@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <9dt2ln$m4l$0@208.207.71.157>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp 990021661 64.240.47.121 (16 May 2001 09:01:01 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21489
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Wild horses couldn't have kept me off the air, ...

I have a feeling that if a herd of wild horses ran over you, Dick,
you wouldn't be capable of much of anything afterwards.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5158156036841861158
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 07:15:02 PST
Message-ID: <3B028B07.DF764DDE@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:13:27 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B00E182.1E4A25FE@sccoast.net> <20010515210908.10524.00003482@nso-md.aol.com> <3B0241E0.ABD05904@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp 990022017 64.240.47.121 (16 May 2001 09:06:57 -0500)
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21490

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> >
> > I don't see any relationship between code
> > and technical skill, either! In fact,
> > virtually 100-percent of the most
> > technically-involved hams I've known,
> > with graduate degrees in math, science,
> > and electronics, have ALL been code
> > proficient, CW using, CW loving Amateur
> > Extra class licensees. So, if the code
> > testing requirement is somehow a barrier
> > to technial advancement, they seemed to
> > have beaten the rap somehow!
>
>   Well, I think the key to that sentence is the words, "I've known."
> Since I have no idea who you've known, those words leave little room
> for discussion.

Now wait just a minute, Dwight. Those three math, science, and electronics guys that Larry has known are probably decent enough people and simply cannot be dismissed out of hand.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8625510539358868842
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 07:23:30 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 10:24:44 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <3B028DAC.999AD641@psu.edu>
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com> <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21491

W1RFI wrote:

> Do you think demonstrating Ohm's law knowledge is sufficient to ensure that you
> will create a rig that operates within the rules?

Of course not! But thats like asking if only having knowledge of a steering wheel qualifies you to drive a car. It is just one of many things you need to know.

- mike -

```
From 6886884609683724315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,45a7ae353dbf5b2e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 07:29:46 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0280C1.BAE422AD@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: When will CW Testing be Eliminated?
References: <3AFE013B.B027BB8D@earthlink.net> <20010514222455.08242.00000466@nso-
mf.aol.com> <3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net>
<4J8M6.4931$gc1.499680@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B01403E.D2F85D36@earthlink.net>
<JOtM6.7602$Az.763554@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 32
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 14:29:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.95
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990023387 63.17.222.95 (Wed, 16 May 2001
07:29:47 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 07:29:47 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 07:28:05 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21492
```

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3B01403E.D2F85D36@earthlink.net...
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >

> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3B00AC46.DF73AB07@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > Just don't go on about your right to comment as if that transcends the
> > > rights of
> > > > others to make their own comments and to laugh off your ideas.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Now, if that isn't the pot calling the kettle a cooking device?!
> >
> > Is it, Tugboat? Isn't Len the guy who wraps himself in Old Glory and
> > jabbers about his right to petition his government? How many others
> > here indicate that their right to free speech has somehow been violated?
> > Have you seen me do it?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> WHOOSH, right over your head.
>
> Kim W5TIT

You're probably right, Kim. I likely missed the reality that your
comment really was directed at cooking.

Dave K8MN

From 9051147077966291831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 07:47:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 14:47:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9du3uu$fv0$0@208.206.142.96>
References: <9drr0831rdq@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21493

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
>
> >> How can ANY traffic be handled via radiotelegraphy - the most basic
> >>radiocommunciations mode - without knowledgable hams being trained in
> >>it?
>
> >Maybe it's because most of the traffic that hams handle isn't that important.
>
> And most of that isn't handled on CW, and in the relatively rare cases where CW
> is used, it is operator choice, not necessity.
>

Yep. In a case where the rig has two modes, SSB and CW (which is almost
universally the case, still) and conditions deteriorate to the point
where SSB is useless, it is indeed the choice of the operator to switch
to CW.

Sorry, all the New Age hams don't and won't have that choice.

From -3658439341193349115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 07:49:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 14:49:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9du426$fv0$1@208.206.142.96>
References: <3B01B090.4688D237@IEEE.org> <20010516054629.23321.00000004@ng-cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21494

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>
> >Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> FCC knows from long years of direct experiencethat there are always more
> >> than enough hams who are active,informed and capable of handling
> >> emergency communications such that no such regulations are needed.
> >
> >Seems the FCC also knows from long years of direct experience that there
> >are always more than enough hams on FM and SSB who are active, informed,
> >and capable of handling emergency communications such that no Morse code
> >is needed.
>
> Or that enough hams will choose CW on their own that any need for Morse code in
> the Amateur Radio Service will be adequately met. The recent rules changes
> have not slowed me down. :-)

Time will take care of you, and me. And the Amateur Radio Service.

```
From 9180880546455300995
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 07:52:05 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 14:52:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96>
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21495
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >However, I have absolutely no respect for any
> >decrees made by a self-appointed, tin-plated, autocratic despot of a
> >"moderator."   Not on Fidonet, not on Usenet, not ANYWHERE!
>

> Right, only YOUR decree that rrap is for arguing, and manners be damned! I
> guess as long as you get your way, everyone else is just not that important.

So when do you plan to start jumping onto all the jokers who are so
stupid that they blame all code suporters for the fact that they've been
unable meet the requirements for a HF ham license? Your "stuff" isn't
the least bit onesided, is it ED?

```
From 6726436660475198164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,36ed4d484228432e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 07:57:46 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?"  REAL EASY... K3LT's reply (long)
Date: 16 May 2001 14:57:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9du4h9$h91$0@208.206.142.96>
References: <PF8M6.4924$gc1.498689@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010515234911.10524.00003510@nso-md.aol.com>
<PGtM6.7587$Az.762082@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.96
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21496
```

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> I can't speak for your Superior, Larry, or his call in judgement. I can
> figure you're an easy read here, and that you--and your six cohorts in
> unison--were an easy read to him. I can also tell you that I respect him
> for his read--I wouldn't want to be beside you in a disaster or war
> situation either.

Of course not! You'd much rather have someone who would pander after
your every sensitivity and sensibility, so that you could just go down
the tubes without ever knowing how clueless you really are!

```
From -12886556256887748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 08:07:36 PST
Message-ID: <3B029755.25A03C16@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 10:05:57 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9drr0831rdq@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <9du3uu$fv0$0@208.206.142.96>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.103
X-Trace: corp 990025165 64.240.47.103 (16 May 2001 09:59:25 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21497
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

```
>  Yep. In a case where the rig has two modes, SSB and CW (which is almost
> universally the case, still) and conditions deteriorate to the point
> where SSB is useless, it is indeed the choice of the operator to switch
> to CW.
```

Works very well, too.

```
>  Sorry, all the New Age hams don't and won't have that choice.
```

They may not like your favorite flavor of ice cream either.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

```
From -4075908843043139333
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 08:11:46 PST
Message-ID: <3B029853.EC5D39F5@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 10:10:11 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.103
X-Trace: corp 990025421 64.240.47.103 (16 May 2001 10:03:41 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!out.nntp.be!
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21498
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> So when do you plan to start jumping onto all the jokers who are so
> stupid that they blame all code suporters for the fact that they've been
> unable meet the requirements for a HF ham license?

Nobody blames the code supporters, Dick. Right now, S25.5 is getting
all the blame for the outmoded code requirement.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7194139108263869200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 08:52:55 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B00E182.1E4A25FE@sccoast.net> <20010515210908.10524.00003482@nso-md.aol.com> <3B0241E0.ABD05904@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <rnxM6.36621$kh.23308297@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 15:52:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 990028375 24.16.178.66 (Wed, 16 May 2001 08:52:55 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 08:52:55 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21499

Yes, you forgot to state that you saw this during WW2.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B0241E0.ABD05904@sccoast.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > I don't see any relationship between code
> > and technical skill, either! In fact,
> > virtually 100-percent of the most
> > technically-involved hams I've known,
> > with graduate degrees in math, science,
> > and electronics, have ALL been code
> > proficient, CW using, CW loving Amateur
> > Extra class licensees. So, if the code
> > testing requirement is somehow a barrier
> > to technial advancement, they seemed to
> > have beaten the rap somehow!
>
>
>   Well, I think the key to that sentence is the words, "I've known."
> Since I have no idea who you've known, those words leave little room
> for discussion.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 6776982092183430695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8534f266473d8bc3,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 09:28:10 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: C U all soon and then some
Message-ID: <MPG.156c75658e587ff89896f9@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 25
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 16:28:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.119.197
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990030488 209.245.119.197 (Wed, 16 May 2001 09:28:08 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:28:08 PDT
X-Received-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 09:26:29 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21500

To all going to Dayton this weekend..a safe trip.

I will, of course, be traveling west afterwords to sit down for a leisurely tea and strumpets with the Upyours Furher Leona Anderschmidt.
I have just purchased an excellent digital video camera which also takes stills and will endeavor to document the little man attempting to weasel out of his invite to me.

I will post pic and audio as well on a website, to be named later. Vegas odds are 20:1 on LennieNoShow and 5:3 that his actual physical appearance mirrors his character here on rrap.

I bid your ado and look forward to having a pleasant confab with good 'ol Mr Wilson..errr Anderson

Boy is this going to great or what....

(cue LHA's lame excuses)

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 6918643792042180812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 10:22:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 17:21:55 GMT
References: <20010515234912.10524.00003511@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516132155.20174.00000404@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21501

In article <20010515234912.10524.00003511@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>... Why do you think I've written
>the equivalent of several volumes of the Encyclopedia Britannica
>in this forum over the years?

Because the Britannica wouldn't accept your manuscripts?

Can't say I blame them for rejecting you. Suggestion: Next time try "Who's Who In America." That's the venue for self-praise, something you are good at...   :-)

From 6814449275131708623
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 12:12:54 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 19:12:34 GMT
Message-ID: <3b02c664.8485955@news2.dvol.com>
References: <rcmM6.36071$kh.22808526@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.56
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.56
X-Trace: 16 May 2001 14:08:28 -0500, 64.24.19.56
Lines: 20

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.telebeam.net!washdc3-snf1!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21502

On Wed, 16 May 2001 03:10:15 GMT, "Jim Wayda"
<jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote:

>
>I guess that I am worthless. These pro-code attitudes are the reason that I
>am no longer active in amateur radio. If this type of attitude prevails,
>amateur radio will die! We might as well give the spectrum back to FCC for
>reassignment to commercial radio services. After all of these Old Timers
>kick off, the damage will already have been done!!
>
That's about as lame an excuse for gving up ham radio as any I've
heard yet.
>
Get past your "sensitivities", flip us PCTAs a single-digit salute and
sally forth on the airwaves or go suck yer thumb. But don't expect any
sympathy from anybody if you opt for the thumb thing, you done it to
yourself, your loss.
>
w3rv




From 6748378720260205958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 12:51:53 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!
spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 16 May 2001 19:08:32 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9duj7g02d8i@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010515234912.10524.00003511@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010516132155.20174.00000404@nso-mr.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-330.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21503

In article <20010516132155.20174.00000404@nso-mr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
says...
```

```
>
>In article <20010515234912.10524.00003511@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.com
>(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>
>>...  Why do you think I've written
>>the equivalent of several volumes of the Encyclopedia Britannica
>>in this forum over the years?
>
>Because the Britannica wouldn't accept your manuscripts?
>
>Can't say I blame them for rejecting you.  Suggestion:  Next
>time try "Who's Who In America."  That's the venue for self-
>praise, something you are good at...                            :-)

I sometimes wonder if Larry is the infamous "Ed Anger" of the Weekly World
News. "Ed" is an expert at self-glorification, while cutting down those who
don't share his opinions.




From 8714052629048018095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 16:31:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 23:30:32 GMT
References: <nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516193032.18290.00000058@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21504

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/16/01 8:29 AM Central Daylight Time

>In a sudden fit of his patented patronization, W1RFI blurted out:

     Seems you can't tolerate ANYONE these days, huh Hans?

     Maybe a move to Florida and a few rounds of Shuffleboard in your waning
days...?!?!
```

```
"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html


From -1749923404518175248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 16:37:10 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 May 2001 23:36:03 GMT
References: <20010516132155.20174.00000404@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516193603.18290.00000059@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21505

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/16/01 12:21 PM Central Daylight Time

>Can't say I blame them for rejecting you.  Suggestion:  Next
>time try "Who's Who In America."  That's the venue for self-
>praise, something you are good at...

     Not that I significantly disagree, YOU have little room to talk about self
praise, Oh Boastful One.  You and The Rollster are neck-and-neck for THAT
trophy.




From 7563325505545500551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 17:06:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com (MailfrmPA)
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 May 2001 00:05:02 GMT
References: <3B020790.8BC8BD68@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516200502.19307.00000120@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21506
```

>What privileges did you lose in the process?
>--

Its not a question of "priviledges," its a question of enjoyment. Todays former CBer hams are all convinced that they "know it all" and arent open to suggestion or example. They don't want to be hams, they want to be CBers with more frequencies to use, and to get QSLs without having to pay for a PO Box. Ask 'em their QTH, and they say"Northern Michigan," or some other imprecise FCC evading reply learned over years of illegal operating. They dont break into a QSO, they "QSK." QSL?

```
From 5947813855492909004
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 17:10:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: mailfrmpa@aol.com (MailfrmPA)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 May 2001 00:09:10 GMT
References: <20010516000052.08026.00003585@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010516200910.19307.00000123@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21507
```

> Neither the bad attitudes of some nor changes in the code
>tests affect your operating priveleges in the tiniest amount. I wonder why
>you
>are choosing to de facto take them away from yourselves?

It wasn't just code, it was Bash-style testing, too. It was reduction of code with no offsetting change in the theory.

Sure, my privilege wasnt reduced by making it available to everyone. What I lost was my enjoyment.

Gave me time to take up Model Railroading, and convert the shack into a train room. If I can get unload some $20K worth of gear, I'll have some money to spend on new brass engines!

```
From -7493972973727513963
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 17:39:51 PST
Message-ID: <3B031D7A.1064D11E@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 19:38:18 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B020790.8BC8BD68@IEEE.org> <20010516200502.19307.00000120@ng-
fj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.111
X-Trace: corp 990059507 64.240.47.111 (16 May 2001 19:31:47 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21508
```

MailfrmPA wrote:
> Its not a question of "priviledges," its a question of enjoyment.

Who is forcing you to talk to hams you don't like? Why is talking
to hams you like not enjoyable any more?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From -8952447101895725887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8534f266473d8bc3
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 18:11:36 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: C U all soon and then some
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 01:11:15 GMT
Message-ID: <3b032454.32534900@news2.dvol.com>
References: <MPG.156c75658e587ff89896f9@news.earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.115
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.115
X-Trace: 16 May 2001 20:07:08 -0500, 64.24.16.115
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21509

On Wed, 16 May 2001 16:28:08 GMT, funguy@hotjuno.com
<Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com> wrote:

>
>Boy is this going to great or what....
>
Just DO it! Also try to bring back shots of all his radios . . he must
have a helluva swl shack considering how much he knows about radio.
>
>(cue LHA's lame excuses)
>
w3rv


From 13775595513055672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 19:20:56 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 17 May 2001 01:21:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9dv92g0rlo@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3B020790.8BC8BD68@IEEE.org> <20010516200502.19307.00000120@ng-fj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-302.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
```

```
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21510

In article <20010516200502.19307.00000120@ng-fj1.aol.com>, mailfrmpa@aol.com
says...
>
>>What privileges did you lose in the process?
>>--
>
>Its not a question of "priviledges," its a question of enjoyment. Todays
former
>CBer hams are all convinced that they "know it all" and arent open to
>suggestion or example. They don't want to be hams, they want to be CBers with
>more frequencies to use, and to get QSLs without having to pay for a PO Box.

I hate to burst your bubble, but that's been going on for the past 20+ years.

>Ask 'em their QTH, and they say"Northern Michigan," or some other imprecise
FCC
>evading reply learned over years of illegal operating. They dont break into a
>QSO, they "QSK." QSL?

A legit station and licensee already has an address known to the FCC, and you
can easily look it up on qrz.com. What's imprecise and evading if the
information is already known?



From 6300303277090245302
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 19:20:56 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!
spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 17 May 2001 01:22:55 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9dv95f1rlo@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3B020790.8BC8BD68@IEEE.org> <20010516200502.19307.00000120@ng-
fj1.aol.com> <3B031D7A.1064D11E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-308.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21511

In article <3B031D7A.1064D11E@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>MailfrmPA wrote:
```

>> Its not a question of "priviledges," its a question of enjoyment.
>
>Who is forcing you to talk to hams you don't like? Why is talking
>to hams you like not enjoyable any more?
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

It's because there's not enough hams that fit into the "clique"

From 4435198138748797935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 19:21:36 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!
spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 17 May 2001 01:31:59 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9dv9mf2rlo@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <20010516000052.08026.00003585@ng-fy1.aol.com>
<20010516200910.19307.00000123@ng-fj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-458.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21512

In article <20010516200910.19307.00000123@ng-fj1.aol.com>, mailfrmpa@aol.com
says...
>
>> Neither the bad attitudes of some nor changes in the code
>>tests affect your operating priveleges in the tiniest amount. I wonder why
>>you
>>are choosing to de facto take them away from yourselves?
>
>It wasn't just code, it was Bash-style testing, too. It was reduction of code
>with no offsetting change in the theory.
>
>Sure, my privilege wasnt reduced by making it available to everyone. What I
>lost was my enjoyment.
>
>Gave me time to take up Model Railroading, and convert the shack into a train
>room. If I can get unload some $20K worth of gear, I'll have some money to
>spend on new brass engines!

What a coincidence! Larry and Dick have brass balls. Maybe you guys should get together.

```
From 3872234168357408268
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,86f3ca7ca9896dfc
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 19:34:50 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Is CW a digital mode?
Date: 17 May 2001 02:34:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9dvdca$90h$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <200105151704.f4FH4aX16985@mail.mailgate.org>
<3B01B840.3C0F01FB@IEEE.org> <3b01ce42.335221940@news2.dvol.com>
<c6lM6.25494$t12.1926310@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3b0272cd.377339864@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21513
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

```
>
> On Wed, 16 May 2001 01:55:20 GMT, "JAMES HAMPTON"
> <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >Of course, you can get down to the machine level and use one of the hardware
> >lines to the serial port to send/receive - but I think (I'm not sure) that
> >you might have to do it under dos - windows would probably have fits.
> >
> Yeah, I need Windoze . . .
> >
> When it's all said & done it probably turns out that learning to copy
> the stuff by ear is less work and is more effective than is getting
> wrapped up in DOS, hardware lines and lame ports.
>
```

Of course that is correct - assuming that one doesn't view himself as a "victim" of all sorts of persecutions by those who, without giving it a second thought, hopped right in and just did it, and who tend to view the protestations of him and his ilk as more than just a little bit lame and laxidaisical...

```
From 2158607537598112431
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 19:36:17 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 17 May 2001 02:36:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9dvdf0$90h$1@208.206.142.100>
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com> <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21514
```

K0HB wrote:

> In a sudden fit of his patented patronization, W1RFI blurted out:
>
> > Do you think demonstrating Ohm's law knowledge is
> > sufficient to ensure that you will create a rig that
> > operates within the rules?
>
> Of course I don't think that, nor did I ever suggest that I held such an
> assinine notion.
>
> But it would be a real challenge to create a rig without such knowledge.

I dunno Hans, Ed could always go with his string and two tin cans.

```
From -5679526266929175626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 19:39:01 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 17 May 2001 02:39:00 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9dvdk4$90h$2@208.206.142.100>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B015BDC.CC8B8F67@psu.edu> <3B01AF23.BC2F78B7@IEEE.org> <9dsolu$oe5$0@208.207.71.136> <3B02015E.1C5138C0@IEEE.org> <9dt2p3$m4l$1@208.207.71.157> <3B02881F.D0EC3D5B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21515

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > > I can teach Ohm's law to my three year old grandson. "The Indian sees
> > > > > the Eagle over the Rabbit." Exactly what good does that do?
> > > >
> > > > No wonder you are completely clueless regarding the written tests. If
> > > > you teach your three year old grandson "The Indian sees the Eagle over
> > > > the Rabbit you've taught him something besides Ohm's law, for sure.
> > >
> > > Hmmmmm, does I equal E over R ring a bell, Dick?
> >
> > That's not what you said, and for darn sure not what any kid would get
> > out of Indians, Eagles and Rabbits.
>
> For the less than bright folk: 'I' (Indian) for current, 'E' (Eagle) for
> voltage (electromotive force), and 'R' (Rabbit) for resistance.

Uh hunh. And remember, you said you were dealing with a three year old.
I have a three year old grandson who is very bright and I know he
wouldn't make that connection. Takes some real learnin'.

From 1650421017848851287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 19:41:38 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 17 May 2001 02:41:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9dvdp1$90h$3@208.206.142.100>
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com> <9drjb2$7qe$0@208.207.71.176>
<3B01B23E.EA1EB8ED@IEEE.org> <9dsp6s$rfk$0@208.207.71.136>
<f7mM6.36055$kh.22803987@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <9dt2ln$m4l$0@208.207.71.157>
<3B0289A4.1E5220E4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21516
```

W6RCecilA wrote:

>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Wild horses couldn't have kept me off the air, ...
>
> I have a feeling that if a herd of wild horses ran over you, Dick,
> you wouldn't be capable of much of anything afterwards.

At least I didn't find it necesary to invent some allegation to blame the whole thing on somebody else for life, like the lame and lazy nocoders.

```
From 2180637337787818794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 19:44:49 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 17 May 2001 02:44:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
```

```
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9dvdv0$90h$4@208.206.142.100>
References: <9drr0831rdq@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <9du3uu$fv0$0@208.206.142.96> <3B029755.25A03C16@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21517



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  Yep. In a case where the rig has two modes, SSB and CW (which is almost
> > universally the case, still) and conditions deteriorate to the point
> > where SSB is useless, it is indeed the choice of the operator to switch
> > to CW.
>
> Works very well, too.
>
> >  Sorry, all the New Age hams don't and won't have that choice.
>
> They may not like your favorite flavor of ice cream either.


 So they can contemplate that fact while they are off the air and I'm
continuing on with CW.


From -4498212383077915843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 19:46:36 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 17 May 2001 02:46:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96>
<3B029853.EC5D39F5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21518

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So when do you plan to start jumping onto all the jokers who are so
> > stupid that they blame all code suporters for the fact that they've been
> > unable meet the requirements for a HF ham license?
>
> Nobody blames the code supporters, Dick. Right now, S25.5 is getting
> *all* the blame for the outmoded code requirement.

You need to read a little closer, Cecil. They indeed do blame code
tested hams who believe in the viability of code and the needed testing
to support it for their own personal shortcomings.

From 6244003116847322541
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 20:11:51 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 03:10:00 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 251
Message-ID: <3b033e22.7447641@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3affb5f8.590583@news.mindspring.com>
<20010515003502.07982.00001561@nso-cm.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4d.da
X-Server-Date: 17 May 2001 03:11:44 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21519

On 15 May 2001 04:35:02 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3affb5f8.590583@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill
>Sohl) writes:
>
>>>>The evidence is certainly not quantitative, but more anecdoatal.
>>>>Do you dispute that HF hams even before no-code test, or slow

>>>>code as now, had sufficient "bad apples" amongs them that
>>>>it was already being viewed as a problem within ham ranks?
>>>
>>>Why do you single out HF hams, Bill?
>>
>>I don't except as to there be no more/less liklihood
>>of bad apples because of taking a code test vs not
>>taking a code test.
>
>I disagree. I don't think there's enough evidence to prove that claim either
>way. There are simply too many other changes going on.

In the absence of such proof, there is no justification
then to keep code (see below)

>>Of that, the FCC has clearly stated
>>their opinion.
>
>Agreed. To paraphrase, they see the code test as simply a test of code skills -
>nothing more or less. But they DON'T offer any solid evidence one way or
>another about it.

They (FCC) don't have to. The regulation needs
to be sustained by clear and comelling rational.
No proof that code has any such clear and compelling
evidence as to need and the FCC will just drop it.
The "burden of proof" is always on the side
that wants a new regulation and/or that wants to
keep existing regulations.

>You think the FCC is going to say "Gee, we've neglected
>enforcement for a long time, and that's why you have stuff like the W6NUT
>repeater?" I wouldn't bet on it.

Not sure as to how that statement relates to your
other comments.

>>Others seem to try to attach some
>>behavioral problems to the advent of nocode testing.
>
>Sure. With the same lack of hard data. Too many other things going on to single
>out one cause.

I agree.

>>>What I'm saying is this:
>>>
>>>1) "Bad behavior" on the ham bands is much more of a problem today than it
>>was
>>>10, 20, 30 years ago. There has been a general decline in the on-air
>>standards
>>>of SOME amateurs.
>>

>>The bad behavior today is no more/less than 10/20 years ago.
>
>I disagree. It's been slowly getting worse over a long period of time.

We obviously must disagree then.

>>Go back 30+ and you have a more gentil ham population.
>
>I think you mean "genteel", and I agree. Things back then were different.
>Universal code testing was only one factor, though - that's my point.
>
>>The behavioral problems have more to do with a change
>>in the general population, including hams, rather than anything
>>at all related to code testing.
>
>I disagree. I say it's a combination of many factors, not just one or two.

I agree...and my comment is that those some
factors (multiple factors) are also impacting the
general population's behaviors.

>>>2) The behavior problems are worst in the 'phone subbands
>>
>>Because that is where the "voice" can be freely
>>used. I wouldn't be surprised to learn that many
>>of those bad apples fully hope and expect that others
>>are listening that aren't part of the "QSO."
>
>Agreed! But the opposite is also true - many folks forget that they are on the
>air and that anyone could be listening.

I rather think much of it is far more deliberate.

>> I doubt
>>many people ever expect anyone to be routinely "just
>>listening" to CW contacts which takes away the "broadcast"
>>mindset if someone thought they'd key out a string
>>of obsenities in CW.
>
>How much CW do you work, Bill? I do a lot, and one of the things I have noticed
>about the vast majority of CW ops is that they behave as if anyone might be
>listening.

We agree as to affect, we disagree as to cause.

>But regardless of the causes - if a certain mode is more conducive to good
>on-air behavior, isn't that reason enough to promote the mode?
>>
>>>3) There are a number of possible explanations for this decline:
>>
>>Agreed.
>
>Glad to hear it. This is my main point - there are many causes, not just one

>or two.
>>
>>>A - Lack of enforcement
>
>Riley has changed this for the better, but he's got an uphill battle. And it's
>not just enforcement that counts, but publicizing the results.
>
>>>B - Bad habits picked up in other services and carried over into ham radio
>
>Agree or disagree?
>
>>>C - General change in mores of society as a whole
>>
>>Agree completely!
>
>I'm not sure if you mean all three or just C.

All three.

>>>D - Changes in technology make such behaviors easier
>>
>>??? - What about using voice modes has technology
>>changed over the year to make such behavior easier?
>
>Lots of things. Used to be that getting on 'phone was a complex and expensive
>proposition for most hams. Most of us spent a considerable amount of time on CW
>before getting a license and equipment for voice. All that changed with "Novice
>Enhancement" and the change to the Tech and Tech Plus as entry-level licenses.

The cost factor has changed a long time ago.

>In other words, it's easier than ever to get on voice right away.
>>
>>>E - Changes in licensing requirements and other regulations
>>
>>What is the cause/effect?
>
>See above. Simplifying the license process makes it quick and easy to get
>started. But this also means that some folks will get on the air with almost no
>real knowledge. For example, I know of a few new hams who got their licenses on
>a bet. In both cases a ham friend bet them that they couldn't get a license in
>less than a certains short amount of time. The bet was accepted, the person
>memorized enough of the question pool to pass and won the bet. Did they really
>have an interest in ham radio? Maybe. Did they learn much about it in their
>preparation? Nope.
>>
>>>4) [The big one] Without a lot more solid data, we can't balme the decline
>>on
>>>any one reason, or even a combination of them.
>>
>>I agree...and I'd certainly say it has ZERO to
>>do with anyone having passed/not passed any
>>code test. That is my ONLY point.

>
>How can you make that claim without solid data, Bill? You're on ground that is
>as shaky as those who blame it all on the lack of code testing. Nobody really
>knows, because too many other things have changed as well.

That is clearly my opinion and, as you noted earlier,
it can neither be refuted nor defended in any absolute
way. I think that if there truly was a correlation
between code tested vs noncode tested
as to good behavior vs poor behavior, that
correlation would have surfaced by now.

>>>
>>>Sure - but they may not even do that. I don't think they are required to do
>>so
>>>in a case like this, because they've already made it abundantly clear to any
>>>casual observer that the ONLY reason they kept the code test was because of
>>>S25.5. They said it "serves no regulatory purpose" other than S25.5. "Serves
>>no
>>>regulatory purpose" from a government agency is the kiss of death for a
>>>regulation so described..
>>
>>We'll know for sure in 2 years or so.
>
>Yup - if S25.5 goes away.
>
>>>>>Not saying I want that to happen, but I won't be surprised if it does.
>>>>
>>>>I believe some degree of public input/process would still
>>>>be warranted.
>
>But the FCC has a ton of leeway on that. Look at the NPRM - four license
>classes and written exams with more questions and a predetermined distribution.
>Then look at the R&O - three classes and far less written testing. The finished
>product looks very little like the proposal, yet the FCC just went ahaead and
>did it. Same deal could happen if S25.5 is changed. Poof, no more Element 1.
>Or better yet - keep the code test, just make it optional!

But the NPRM still had a public input period. You may
disagree with the end result, but the process of public
input was still involved.
.

>>>Me, too, but I remain unconvinced that the FCC would be required to do so,
>>>given the amount of time and public input/process already expended by the
>>FCC.
>>>FCC has already made its position crystal clear. Something like 57% of the
>>>comments to the restructuring NPRM favored continued code testing and at
>>least
>>>2 code test speeds, yet the FCC found NONE of their arguments in favor of
>>code
>>>testing to be convincing - and they said so, and acted accordingly.
>>

>>That is because the requirements are not a "popular
>>vote" issue.
>
>Unfortunately true.

I disagree. Reguations MUST be beyond the fray
of just popular opinion.

>>The FCC needs compelling and rational reasons
>>to sustain code testing. They didn't get any in the comments.
>
>I think they did, but that's a dead issue. The FCC had their mind made up a
>decade ago. There are none so blind as those who will not see.
>>
>>>You think
>>>they will suddenly find some reason to keep 5 wpm after S25.5 goes? I don't.
>>>Unless some radical new idea is proposed, code testing is a dead duck in the
>>>USA without S25.5. And probably in the rest of the world.
>>>
>>>FCC has warned us at least twice. Do they really need an NPRM?
>>>
>>>> Perhaps Phil K, our resident, former FCC barrister,
>>>>could shed more light on the process.
>>>>
>>>Phil ALWAYS sheds light on the subject..
>>>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5012718676739916937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 20:20:38 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Puke.
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 03:14:48 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.25
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.25
X-Trace: 16 May 2001 22:10:40 -0500, 64.24.18.25
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!panix!nycmny1-

snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21520

Copy-pasted From today's ARRL Letter:

While REACT has been associated primarily with Citizens Band in the past, the organization has widened its focus to embrace amateur and other services. ARRL and REACT share common goals in terms of emergency communication. The primary mission of REACT is "to provide public safety communications to individuals, organizations, and government agencies to save lives, prevent injuries, and give assistance wherever and whenever needed."

Is there a drift here we need to wise up to?
>
w3rv

From 1484506219130427080
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 20:31:13 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com> <20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <3CHM6.11050$gc1.983836@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 03:31:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.235.174
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990070271 64.152.235.174 (Wed, 16 May 2001 20:31:11 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 20:31:11 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net

```
X-Received-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 20:29:32 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21521

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
> >
> > >However, I have absolutely no respect for any
> > >decrees made by a self-appointed, tin-plated, autocratic despot of a
> > >"moderator."   Not on Fidonet, not on Usenet, not ANYWHERE!
> >
> > Right, only YOUR decree that rrap is for arguing, and manners be damned!
I
> > guess as long as you get your way, everyone else is just not that
important.
>
>
>  So when do you plan to start jumping onto all the jokers who are so
> stupid that they blame all code suporters for the fact that they've been
> unable meet the requirements for a HF ham license? Your "stuff" isn't
> the least bit onesided, is it ED?
>

Hi Dick:

Say, it's quite likely that I've missed a whole lot of really important
stuff here in this NG while I've been absent.  And, not that I intend to get
all that involved with it again--the intellectualism would be way too much
for me--but could you please let me know where I might find some evidence of
the "jokers who are so stupid that they blame all code supporters for the
fact that they've been unable to [sic] meet the requirements for a HF ham
license"?  See, when I was a regular here it may be very likely that I
missed some of them; but I know I never saw anyone claiming that.  At least
I don't think I did.  Could ya help me out here?

Kim W5TIT




From -7688145728619065828
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 20:39:41 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
```

newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%JHM6.11067$gc1.986178@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 03:39:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.235.174
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990070779 64.152.235.174 (Wed, 16 May 2001 20:39:39 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 20:39:39 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 20:37:59 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21522

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com...
> Copy-pasted From today's ARRL Letter:
>
> ------------------------------
>
> While REACT has been associated primarily with Citizens Band in the
> past, the organization has widened its focus to embrace amateur and
> other services. ARRL and REACT share common goals in terms of
> emergency communication. The primary mission of REACT is "to provide
> public safety communications to individuals, organizations, and
> government agencies to save lives, prevent injuries, and give
> assistance wherever and whenever needed."
>
> -------------------------
>
> Is there a drift here we need to wise up to?
> >
> w3rv
>

You do recall that the ARRL intends to encompass all forms of radio into the official amateur radio organization, right? REACT is *the* "richest club here in the North Texas area--a hearty addition to the fold and we are lucky to have them! In fact, REACT has had some great opportunity for repeater sites in our downtown Dallas (not sure about Ft. Worth) area, and I am certain that an official affiliation with them will help amateur radio in the Dallas area--maybe even get the Dallas Amateur Radio Club up into the "heights," for a change!

Welcome Aboard, REACT!

Kim W5TIT

From 7188882794608401203
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 20:49:20 PST
Message-ID: <3B0349E6.45E18710@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 22:47:50 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B015BDC.CC8B8F67@psu.edu> <3B01AF23.BC2F78B7@IEEE.org>
<9dsolu$oe5$0@208.207.71.136> <3B02015E.1C5138C0@IEEE.org>
<9dt2p3$m4l$1@208.207.71.157> <3B02881F.D0EC3D5B@IEEE.org>
<9dvdk4$90h$2@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 990070879 64.240.47.79 (16 May 2001 22:41:19 -0500)
Lines: 38
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21523

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dick Carroll wrote:

> > > > >
> > > > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > > > I can teach Ohm's law to my three year old grandson. "The Indian sees
> > > > > > the Eagle over the Rabbit." Exactly what good does that do?
> > > > >
> > > > > No wonder you are completely clueless regarding the written tests. If
> > > > > you teach your three year old grandson "The Indian sees the Eagle over
> > > > > the Rabbit you've taught him something besides Ohm's law, for sure.
> > > >
> > > > Hmmmmm, does I equal E over R ring a bell, Dick?
> > >
> > > That's not what you said, and for darn sure not what any kid would get
> > > out of Indians, Eagles and Rabbits.
> >
> > For the less than bright folk: 'I' (Indian) for current, 'E' (Eagle) for
> > voltage (electromotive force), and 'R' (Rabbit) for resistance.
>
> Uh hunh. And remember, you said you were dealing with a three year old.
> I have a three year old grandson who is very bright and I know he
> wouldn't make that connection. Takes some real learnin'.

Takes real learnin' to memorize, "I equals E over R"????
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1656962175151337616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 20:52:48 PST
Message-ID: <3B034AB1.12543DC1@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 22:51:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9drr0831rdq@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du3uu$fv0$0@208.206.142.96> <3B029755.25A03C16@IEEE.org> <9dvdv0$90h$4@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 990071083 64.240.47.79 (16 May 2001 22:44:43 -0500)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

```
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21524

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >  Yep. In a case where the rig has two modes, SSB and CW (which is almost
> > > universally the case, still) and conditions deteriorate to the point
> > > where SSB is useless, it is indeed the choice of the operator to switch
> > > to CW.
> >
> > Works very well, too.
> >
> > >  Sorry, all the New Age hams don't and won't have that choice.
> >
> > They may not like your favorite flavor of ice cream either.
>
>  So they can contemplate that fact while they are off the air and I'm
> continuing on with CW.

They can probably contemplate that fact while they are working satellites,
moonbounce, VHF, UHF, and CW on the only CW-only frequencies.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From -6225470840794960117
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 20:54:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B034B07.A1A24620@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 22:52:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010515044531.28252.00003837@nso-mq.aol.com> <9drjb2$7qe$0@208.207.71.176>
<3B01B23E.EA1EB8ED@IEEE.org> <9dsp6s$rfk$0@208.207.71.136>
<f7mM6.36055$kh.22803987@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com> <9dt2ln$m4l$0@208.207.71.157>
<3B0289A4.1E5220E4@IEEE.org> <9dvdp1$90h$3@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 990071169 64.240.47.79 (16 May 2001 22:46:09 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21525

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Wild horses couldn't have kept me off the air, ...
> >
> > I have a feeling that if a herd of wild horses ran over you, Dick,
> > you wouldn't be capable of much of anything afterwards.
>
> At least I didn't find it necesary to invent some allegation to blame
> the whole thing on somebody else for life, like the lame and lazy
> nocoders.

Nobody is blaming somebody else. Everyone is blaming S25.5, even the FCC.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6727715253862159074

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 20:59:28 PST
Message-ID: <3B034C40.899A92AE@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 16 May 2001 22:57:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96>
<3B029853.EC5D39F5@IEEE.org> <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 990071483 64.240.47.79 (16 May 2001 22:51:23 -0500)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21526

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > So when do you plan to start jumping onto all the jokers who are so
> > > stupid that they blame all code suporters for the fact that they've been
> > > unable meet the requirements for a HF ham license?
> >
> > Nobody blames the code supporters, Dick. Right now, S25.5 is getting
> > *all* the blame for the outmoded code requirement.
>
> You need to read a little closer, Cecil. They indeed do blame code
> tested hams who believe in the viability of code and the needed testing
> to support it for their own personal shortcomings.

You mean code tested hams who believe in the viability of code have some
sort of supernatural subliminal mind control over the FCC? I sure can't
tell it from what the FCC stated. (snicker, snicker)

Give us a break, Dick. The PCTA folk have no more control over the rules
than the NCTA folk. S25.5 is the controlling factor at the moment.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 8333351951625051694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 21:41:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 17 May 2001 04:41:42 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9dvkq6$29s$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21527

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> Copy-pasted From today's ARRL Letter:
>
> ------------------------------
>
> While REACT has been associated primarily with Citizens Band in the
> past, the organization has widened its focus to embrace amateur and
> other services. ARRL and REACT share common goals in terms of
> emergency communication. The primary mission of REACT is "to provide
> public safety communications to individuals, organizations, and
> government agencies to save lives, prevent injuries, and give
> assistance wherever and whenever needed."
>
> -------------------------
>

> Is there a drift here we need to wise up to?

Nuthin new. The ARRL Pres is a REACT member in his hometown of Dallas, having recruited the local REACT leader into ham radio, then himself being recruited into REACT.

I call it the 'warm-body syndrome'. ARRL wants 'em all signed up as dues paying members. FCC wants 'em all licensed as hams since ham radio is where they have always thought all radio hobbyists belong. We lose, big time.

Next? Why not tests so easy as to screen no one? (what do tests do if not screen applicants?) Well, we're not too far from it now. And the late BOD idea of just allowing anyone to work CW on the strength of the assumption that if they're working CW they must be CW trained, which was seriously tossed around a couple years ago, was just a little to far ahead of its time.

From -8524208452049100577
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-16 21:45:04 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 17 May 2001 04:45:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9dvl0f$29s$1@208.206.142.100>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B015BDC.CC8B8F67@psu.edu> <3B01AF23.BC2F78B7@IEEE.org> <9dsolu$oe5$0@208.207.71.136> <3B02015E.1C5138C0@IEEE.org> <9dt2p3$m4l$1@208.207.71.157> <3B02881F.D0EC3D5B@IEEE.org> <9dvdk4$90h$2@208.206.142.100> <3B0349E6.45E18710@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21528

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >

```
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > > > > > I can teach Ohm's law to my three year old grandson. "The Indian
sees
> > > > > > > the Eagle over the Rabbit." Exactly what good does that do?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >  No wonder you are completely clueless regarding the written tests. If
> > > > > > you teach your three year old grandson "The Indian sees the Eagle over
> > > > > > the Rabbit you've taught him something besides Ohm's law, for sure.
> > > > >
> > > > > Hmmmmm, does I equal E over R ring a bell, Dick?
> > > >
> > > >  That's not what you said, and for darn sure not what any kid would get
> > > > out of Indians, Eagles and Rabbits.
> > >
> > > For the less than bright folk: 'I' (Indian) for current, 'E' (Eagle) for
> > > voltage (electromotive force), and 'R' (Rabbit) for resistance.
> >
> >  Uh hunh. And remember, you said you were dealing with a three year old.
> > I have a three year old grandson who is very bright and I know he
> > wouldn't make that connection. Takes some real learnin'.
>
> Takes real learnin' to memorize, "I equals E over R"????


 Which means exactly nothing it one doesn't understand the concepts
behind the symbols.


From 4134056069710027101
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 00:09:25 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 03:10:02 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3B03793C.E6BE5CB1@sccoast.net>
References: <3B00E182.1E4A25FE@sccoast.net> <20010515210908.10524.00003482@nso-
md.aol.com> <3B0241E0.ABD05904@sccoast.net> <3B028B07.DF764DDE@IEEE.org>
```

Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-73.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990083365 223157 207.144.64.203 (17 May 2001 07:09:25 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 07:09:25 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21529

W6RCecilA wrote:

> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > Well, I think the key to that
> > sentence is the words, "I've known."
> > Since I have no idea who you've known,
> > those words leave little room for
> > discussion.
>
> Now wait just a minute, Dwight. Those
> three math, science, and electronics guys
> that Larry has known are probably decent
> enough people and simply cannot be
> dismissed out of hand.

My apologies to his three friends. I'm sure they're fairly decent people and my intent wasn't to dismiss them. My intent was to dismiss Larry, or, at least, Larry's argument. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -7450999311359924709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 00:22:02 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 03:22:40 -0400
Organization: -

Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3B037C30.74CB3C09@sccoast.net>
References: <9drr0831rdq@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du3uu$fv0$0@208.206.142.96>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-73.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990084121 243018 207.144.64.203 (17 May 2001 07:22:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 07:22:01 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21530

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Yep. In a case where the rig has two
> modes, SSB and CW (which is almost
> universally the case, still) and
> conditions deteriorate to the point
> where SSB is useless, it is indeed the
> choice of the operator to switch to CW.

And how often does conditions deteriorate so much we can't simply switch frequencies or bands, Dick? After all, that is why we have a wide range of frequencies and bands available to us. In other words, switching modes is not the only option available.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3275520673658335404
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 00:30:16 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 03:30:57 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3B037E1F.DA1317A9@sccoast.net>
References: <20010515060033.14902.00002657@ng-mb1.aol.com>

<20010515234912.10524.00003511@nso-md.aol.com> <3B024C09.81148025@sccoast.net>
<W4sM6.25755$4f7.1959326@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-73.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990084616 243018 207.144.64.203 (17 May 2001 07:30:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 07:30:16 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21531

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> Yup, Dwight, it's right up there with
> being accused of baby-killing, Nazi
> activities, being in league with Satan,
> not to mention dandruff and halitosis
> for the sin of passing a code test.

If you say so.

Dwight

From -5942040357849654671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 00:47:08 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 03:47:48 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-73.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990085628 243656 207.144.64.203 (17 May 2001 07:47:08 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 07:47:08 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21532

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> Copy-pasted From today's ARRL Letter:
>
> ------------------------------
>
> While REACT has been associated primarily with Citizens Band in the past, the organization has widened its focus to embrace amateur and other services. ARRL and REACT share common goals in terms of emergency communication. The primary mission of REACT is "to provide public safety communications to individuals, organizations, and government agencies to save lives, prevent injuries, and give assistance wherever and whenever needed."
>
> -------------------------
>
> Is there a drift here we need to wise up to?

In case you haven't heard (after all, it was mentioned in the paragraph above), REACT has been drifting away from CB for many years. In fact, based on what I've seen recently, REACT is probably far more involved in other radio services than it is with CB today.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 9005959110142787046
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 03:45:55 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000

```
Message-ID: <DZNM6.117419$2_.37513620@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 10:45:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 990096355 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 17 May 2001 03:45:55
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 03:45:55 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21533

Roo bad cb isn't a reliable forum for any form of communications.

--
Rob St.Denis

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com...
> Copy-pasted From today's ARRL Letter:
>
> -------------------------------
>
> While REACT has been associated primarily with Citizens Band in the
> past, the organization has widened its focus to embrace amateur and
> other services. ARRL and REACT share common goals in terms of
> emergency communication. The primary mission of REACT is "to provide
> public safety communications to individuals, organizations, and
> government agencies to save lives, prevent injuries, and give
> assistance wherever and whenever needed."
>
> -------------------------
>
> Is there a drift here we need to wise up to?
> >
> w3rv
>
>




From 5221019880349626165
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 05:15:39 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Bit o' Humor
Date: 17 May 2001 12:15:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
```

```
Message-ID: <9e0fda$g8u$0@208.206.142.111>
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com> <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.111
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21534
```

A SOUTHERN POEM

Pappy's Gal

Suzy Lee fell in love.
She planned to marry Joe.
She was so happy bout it all,
she told her pappy so.

Pappy told her, "Suzie Gal"
you'll have to find another.
I'd just as soon yo maw don't know,
but Joe is yo half-brother.

So Suzie forgot about her Joe
and planned to marry Will.
But, after telling pappy this
he said "There's trouble still".

You can't marry Will, my gal,
and please don't tell yo mother,
cause Will and Joe and several mo,
I know is yo half-brother"

But Mama knew and said "Honey chile,
do what makes yo happy.
Marry Will or marry Joe,
You ain't no kin to pappy!"

```
From 5287913329706710187
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 05:17:21 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 17 May 2001 12:17:20 GMT
```

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9e0fgg$g8u$1@208.206.142.111>
References: <9drr0831rdq@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du3uu$fv0$0@208.206.142.96> <3B037C30.74CB3C09@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.111
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21535

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Yep. In a case where the rig has two
> > modes, SSB and CW (which is almost
> > universally the case, still) and
> > conditions deteriorate to the point
> > where SSB is useless, it is indeed the
> > choice of the operator to switch to CW.
>
>   And how often does conditions deteriorate so much we can't simply
> switch frequencies or bands, Dick? After all, that is why we have a
> wide range of frequencies and bands available to us. In other words,
> switching modes is not the only option available.

You hope.

From 223806027923947570
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 05:19:17 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 17 May 2001 12:19:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.111
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21536

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >
> > Copy-pasted From today's ARRL Letter:
> >
> > ------------------------------
> >
> > While REACT has been associated primarily with Citizens Band in the
> > past, the organization has widened its focus to embrace amateur and
> > other services. ARRL and REACT share common goals in terms of
> > emergency communication. The primary mission of REACT is "to provide
> > public safety communications to individuals, organizations, and
> > government agencies to save lives, prevent injuries, and give
> > assistance wherever and whenever needed."
> >
> > -------------------------
> >
> > Is there a drift here we need to wise up to?
>
>   In case you haven't heard (after all, it was mentioned in the
> paragraph above), REACT has been drifting away from CB for many years.
> In fact, based on what I've seen recently, REACT is probably far more
> involved in other radio services than it is with CB today.

Well, that's novel. Leave your roots, huh? I suppose they use the
Internet instead, with maybe a little FRS thrown for RF flavor?

From -1695732882565168275
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 07:02:22 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com>
<3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com>
<3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu>
Subject: Just to set this boor straight
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <fRQM6.5566$6j3.484765@www.newsranger.com>

X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 10:01:47 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 14:01:47 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21537

Jim Kelley says:

>> >"CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary."
>> >
>> >I believe that is your view as well, is it not Mr. Hans?

I responded:

>> That's not my view at all!

Jim Kelley got miffed and said:

>You distort the truth, sir.

Jim, that's just your no-balls PC-worded way of calling me a liar.

To set the record straight:

1) I do *not* believe CW is analogous to a hand crank. I think it is an important mode in amateur radio, and I use it regularly.

2) I am not convinced that the Morse test is needed any longer.

These views are consistent over about the past 30 years, and no matter how you twist and spin to attempt recover face here, I have not "distorted the truth, sir".

Good luck on this one now!

Hans, K0HB

From 3691377523369697127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 07:04:52 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 May 2001 14:03:56 GMT
References: <3B0200C1.9E901DDF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010517100356.03759.00001565@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21538

In article <3B0200C1.9E901DDF@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Is this the same MENSA member who can't seem to remember if
>> he paid a half-million or a million dollars in taxes?
>
>It was a typo, Larry, and I corrected it. It was half a million.

Cecil:

OK, fine.  Nothing more will be said about it.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -5293812518376236330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 07:04:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 May 2001 14:03:58 GMT
References: <20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
```

Message-ID: <20010517100358.03759.00001568@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21539

In article <20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>However, I have absolutely no respect for any
>>decrees made by a self-appointed, tin-plated, autocratic despot of a
>>"moderator."   Not on Fidonet, not on Usenet, not ANYWHERE!
>
>Right, only YOUR decree that rrap is for arguing, and manners be damned! I
>guess as long as you get your way, everyone else is just not that important.

Ed:

I don't recall saying "manners be damned." I don't put words into
people's mouths. That's bad manners.

BTW -- if you think this forum isn't for "arguing," what do you reckon it
is for?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1564098197597371968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 07:04:55 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 May 2001 14:03:58 GMT
References: <3B024C09.81148025@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010517100358.03759.00001567@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21540

In article <3B024C09.81148025@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> The problem is that your outlet (certain members of this newsgroup -
>nocodes, etc) has not always been a willing participant in your
>efforts to release your aggression. It is one thing to release your

>aggression by pounding on a pillow and quite another to release your
>aggression by verbally pounding on another human being. The latter
>may feel good to you, but it is darn rude to those it is directed at.
>

Dwight:

That's where you would be wrong. Absolutely ALL of the participants
in this newsgroup do so willingly. Nobody here is being compelled by
any outside influence to do so. And if you're going to accuse someone
of "verbally pounding on another human being," you've got the wrong
man, anyway. That's K4YZ's schtick.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4165062577194359176
X-Google-Language: INDONESIAN,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 07:04:56 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 May 2001 14:03:56 GMT
References: <20010516010958.05448.00002792@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010517100356.03759.00001566@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21541

In article <20010516010958.05448.00002792@ng-ca1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>
>>That all depends, Steve. Just how dirty IS your clock?
>
>   By YOU...?!?!
>
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
>   In other words.......
>
>BBBBBWWWWWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
>AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

```
>
>     "I am laughing at the superior intellect"
>
>BBBWWWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
>AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
```

Steve:

They say laughing is good for you -- lowers your blood pressure, reduces stress. To the extent that I may have helped improve your well being, then I'm glad to have been of service!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 3607958206441068235
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 07:04:58 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 May 2001 14:04:02 GMT
References: <W4sM6.25755$4f7.1959326@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010517100402.03759.00001569@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21542
```

In article <W4sM6.25755$4f7.1959326@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net> writes:

```
>>   The problem is that your outlet (certain members of this newsgroup -
>> nocodes, etc) has not always been a willing participant in your
>> efforts to release your aggression.  It is one thing to release your
>> aggression by pounding on a pillow and quite another to release your
>> aggression by verbally pounding on another human being.  The latter
>> may feel good to you, but it is darn rude to those it is directed at.
>>
>>
>> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
>Yup, Dwight, it's right up there with being accused of baby-killing, Nazi
>activities, being in league with Satan, not to mention dandruff and
>halitosis for the sin of passing a code test.
```

>
>Dick

Dick:

Precisely! Not only have I written volumes in this newsgroup, but I have also READ volumes here. Those we refer to as the "no-coders" are certainly not deserving of any special consideration with regard to their polite, even-handed comportment during this debate. Those of us who support traditional licensing standards have been accused of every possible crime that can be committed against the ARS, and single-handedly blamed for its failure to attract bright, young, computer-literate newcomers. Their smug, politically-correct attitude emulates the New-Age liberals they wish to embrace as advocates to their cause. And the worst part is that they must, by now, realize that they were totally wrong about the effect of reduced licensing standards. It is not attracting anyone to the ARS in any significant numbers, much less the young people they said would beat down the doors. They've been caught in their lies and deception, and their only way out is to discredit those of us who were right all along.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8215163340009514915
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 08:57:23 PST
Message-ID: <3B03F48C.2A24056E@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 10:55:56 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com> <3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com> <3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu> <fRQM6.5566$6j3.484765@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp 990114564 64.240.47.84 (17 May 2001 10:49:24 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21543

K0HB wrote:
> 1) I do *not* believe CW is analogous to a hand crank. I think it is an
> important mode in amateur radio, and I use it regularly.

I think it is more analogous to using horses for transportation. CW
can get through when the most popular voice modes cannot. Horses can
go some places where popular vehicles cannot.

> 2) I am not convinced that the Morse test is needed any longer.

I'm not aware of any horse-riding test.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1217920719544753130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 09:00:17 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 09:01:28 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <3B03F5D8.F21CA24D@uci.edu>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com>
<3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com>
<3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu> <fRQM6.5566$6j3.484765@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21544

Hey Hans,

I've been responding by email because your newsreader sends two copies
of your messages; one to me, and one to the newsgroup. Why don't you
pick just one, and stick to that - unless your point is simply to
showboat for everybody out here.

K0HB wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley says:
>
> >> >"CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary."
> >> >
> >> >I believe that is your view as well, is it not Mr. Hans?
>
> I responded:
>
> >> That's not my view at all!
>
> Jim Kelley got miffed and said:
>
> >You distort the truth, sir.
>
> Jim, that's just your no-balls PC-worded way of calling me a liar.
>
> To set the record straight:
>
> 1) I do *not* believe CW is analogous to a hand crank. I think it is an
> important mode in amateur radio, and I use it regularly.
>
> 2) I am not convinced that the Morse test is needed any longer.
>
> These views are consistent over about the past 30 years, and no matter how you
> twist and spin to attempt recover face here, I have not "distorted the truth,
> sir".
>
> Good luck on this one now!
>
> Hans, K0HB

From 2665092017755754862
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 09:20:13 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 09:16:11 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3B03F94B.804DD7A0@uci.edu>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com>
<3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com>
<3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu> <fRQM6.5566$6j3.484765@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21545
```

K0HB got pissed off and wrote:

> Jim, that's just your no-balls PC-worded way of calling me a liar.

> 2) I am not convinced that the Morse test is needed any longer.

But right before that, after I observed that this was his view, he said:

"That's not my view at all!"

Go figure.

ac6xg

```
From -2157842673818508657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 09:29:47 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 16:29:27 GMT
Message-ID: <3b03e733.82421813@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9dvkq6$29s$0@208.206.142.100>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.116
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.116
X-Trace: 17 May 2001 11:25:21 -0500, 64.24.240.116
Lines: 56
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
```

beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21546

On 17 May 2001 04:41:42 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
> Nuthin new. The ARRL Pres is a REACT member in his hometown of Dallas,
>having recruited the local REACT leader into ham radio, then himself
>being recruited into REACT.
>
> I call it the 'warm-body syndrome'. ARRL wants 'em all signed up as
>dues paying members. FCC wants 'em all licensed as hams since ham radio
>is where they have always thought all radio hobbyists belong. We lose,
>big time.
>
I understand Haynie's thrust to glom dues any way he can but but he's
on really thin ice with me on this one.
>
And to hell with the FCC, they talk out of both sides of their mouth.
On one hand they want all radio hobbyists licensed then they go out of
their way to create things like license-free MURS, FRS and open up the
GMRS to hobby users for the cost of station license.
>
'Way back I was involved in CB as the means for providing comms for
the municipal Townwatch organization which I headed up. We had 135
citizens involved, almost none had any interest in radio as such. A
"founding principle" of the Class D CB band was to provide citizens
like us with a way to use radio for our kinds of purposes.
>
It was a bloody comms disaster from the gitgo, I couldn't squeeze
reliable CB comms out of that "system" even within the 0.56 square
mile passive patrol area to save myself. I managed to move the
operation up onto a VHF business freq before we lost any more members
who didn't want anything to do with the crap on 27Mhz.
>
REACT was also out there galloping around like a bunch of
uncoordinated idiots who decided that they were the vigilante squad
the police really needed. So of course they wound up basically told to
hit the road by the police for causing nothing but grief and
headaches. Thirty years later the Townwatch group is still up and
running on 155.something.
>
Thus cometh my total disdain for that whole bunch and their bands.
Yeah, we really need them in the ARRL . . .
>
I dredged up their website and sure it looks all fuzzy-warmy but I'll
believe it's much different now than it was back then when I see it.
>
> Next? Why not tests so easy as to screen no one? (what do tests do if
>not screen applicants?) Well, we're not too far from it now. And the
>late BOD idea of just allowing anyone to work CW on the strength of the

```
>assumption that if they're working CW they must be CW trained, which was
>seriously tossed around a couple years ago, was just a little to far
>ahead of its time.
>
Sad, really sad.
>
w3rv


From -8112178661513568420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 09:34:21 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 16:32:36 GMT
Message-ID: <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.116
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.116
X-Trace: 17 May 2001 11:28:29 -0500, 64.24.240.116
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21547

On 17 May 2001 12:19:16 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>
>>   In case you haven't heard (after all, it was mentioned in the
>> paragraph above), REACT has been drifting away from CB for many years.
>>  In fact, based on what I've seen recently, REACT is probably far more
>> involved in other radio services than it is with CB today.
>
Check out their website, REACT is still blatently CB Ch9-centric.
>
w3rv


From -5493755502636282511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 09:44:09 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 204
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 17 May 2001 16:43:14 GMT
References: <20010514234059.20174.00000359@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010517124314.23291.00000163@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21548

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 5/14/01 11:40 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010514234059.20174.00000359@nso-mr.aol.com>

>>>writes:
>>>
>>>   [large snip from a "correction" posting, another "endless story" type
>]
>>
>>Translation: Len Anderson doesn't want to admit to his mistakes, which I
>have
>>documented in a previous post. He's back in denial.
>
>I admitted to typos.

But you made more errors than just typos, Len. I called them to your attention in this newsgroup, but you refuse to address them. That's denial, pure and simple.

> I will not admit to manufactured "errors" which
>are not in accordance to what I stated.
>
The only one manufacturing your errors is you, Len.

>Not too long ago I took a slightly different sample group observation
>on the number of amateur radio licensees in the POST-restructuring
>period; i.e., after April 15, 2000.

The subject line of that thread was "restructuring - did it make a difference?". No mention of POST-restructuring.

Or do you mean the thread "who is what"?

> I specifically avoided a month and
>a half immediately thereafter for the VEC applications and FCC
>database data to "settile down," explaining why then and going into
>detail in a following post.

"settile"?

> N2EY said that was "wrong" and then
>presented data that included end-of-month totals PRIOR to the
>restructuring.

In the thread "who is what" I questioned the avoidance of the months of April and May 2000. In the thread "Restructuring - did it make a difference?", I posted numbers for the year starting April 1, 2000 and ending March 31, 2001. You took issue with me on that, saying I was "wrong", Len.

Which thread are you talking about?

> One cannot lump in prior-restructuring data to a
>discussion of POST-restructuring.

I agree! But the discussion was not about POST-restructuring.

>N2EY claims his method is
>"correct."   ???
>
It is more correct than ignoring the six weeks after April 15. 2000, because some pre-April 15 changes might be in those totals.

>I supplied a web address that goes into Project Diana history
>in technical detail, written by one of the participants.

And I added additional information not found in that article. Was ANY of my information incorrect?

>N2EY
>found a flaw in my typing, did not find the correct web address,

NO, Len that's NOT true.

The URL you gave results in an error message. The web address I posted gives a web page about Fort Evans and Project Diana. Maybe it doesn't show the exact page you meant, but I can't read your mind and tell which page you intended.

My URL would lead readers to Project Diana. Yours wouldn't.

>and tried to make every following statement I made "wrong"
>because of this error and also for not over-glamorizing the
>"ham achievements" of Project Diana, the first-ever
>demonstration proving that the lunar surface could provide
>radio reflection.

I corrected some of your errors and added more data that wasn't in the original article.

Was that a bad thing to do?

Since this is a ham radio newsgroup, I included info about hams involved in Diana. They included the officer-in-charge and the head of the lab's research section.

You did not mention ANY amateur radio involvement in Project Diana.

> Project Diana used highly-modified old
>radar equipment for the sole purpose to determine whether
>or not the moon could be a reflector.

The article listed several reasons for the project. But no communication was done using the Diana setup.

I don't know for sure, but it is quite possible that hams were the first to conduct 2-way radio communication via moon reflection. You claim to know something about "ALL of radio", Len - who WAS the first to "pioneer" 2-way moonreflected radio COMMUNICATION?

>This was not a "radar
>application".

Flyspecks, Len.

If it's not "radar" then why is the article titled "Radar Echoes from the Moon"? Why is the equipment referred to as "radar" in the article? The author was involved in the project, Len, and he used the word "radar" repeatedly, and not just to describe the equipment.

What Diana was not is a communications system.

>..there is no need for direction and ranging to a
>body that has long since been timed and quantified as to
>direction and ranging.

More flyspecks. The people who actually did Project Diana called it radar. That's good enough for me. If you want to argue about it, tell them they are wrong.
>
>N2EY found fault in my NOT glorifying all the amateurs who
>were part of Project Diana and implied/insinuated that
>amateurs were the "real" basis behind the work done.

You did not mention that ANY hams were involved, Len. In fact several were, and they occupied high positions. The officer-in-charge (a long-time radio amateur) had conducted moon reflection experiments in 1940 - more than FIVE YEARS before Diana. He is identified in the article you cited as the driving force behind the project.

Where did I say that hams were the "real" basis behind the project? Give us some credible quotes, Len, not your flawed and error-prone misinterpretations.

>He
>specifically took to task the "military-industrial complex"

>(a phrase not yet in use) which had "taxpayer dollar support"
>as if military budgets in 1946 were fat and free-spent.

Quotes, please. Did I say the military industrial complex was a bad thing? No.
You supplied that misinterpretation.

What I DID write was that hams do not usually have anyyhing like the resources
of a Project Diana. In addition, they have to do such things on their own time
and spend their own money on them. Those who did Project Diana were paid for
their time and did not have to finance the project themselves. BIG difference.
>
>Project Diana was the first radio moonbounce effort under
>sponsorship and direction of the US Army Signal Corps,
>Evans Signal Laboratory (earlier name was "Camp Evans").
>

But it was NOT the first radio mooounbounce effort.

>It would seem that some folks' pride is so hurt that they
>will not recognize nor appreciate true pioneering efforts in
>radio unless it can be connected somehow, however
>tenuous the thread, to amateur radio.

I suppose there are some folks like that. Not me, though.

It would seem that some folks' antagonism to amateur radio is so great that
they will not recognize nor appreciate any progress or accomplishment by hams.
Nor will they acknowledge as significant that radio amateurs were and are
involved in many pioneering accomplishments.

>Pioneering is pioneering and the credit goes to the
>pioneers and their sponsor.

You introduce the word "pioneering" Len. Seems to me that being first is all
that counts with you.
>
>If the pioneer group is not "amateur oriented," well happy
>TS punching.

I have no idea what "TS punching" is.

>The radio world is very large with a lot of
>researchers and experimentors out there, the majority of
>them professionals.

How do you know that, Len?

--

I'll restate my question: If the holder of a Technician class license passes
Element 1 (5 wpm code) and gets a CSCE for it, but does not otherwise upgrade
or pass other tests, does that Technician have any HF amateur radio privileges,
or not?

Take a look at the AH0A site. Note that in the license totals, Technician and Technician Pluses are lumped together in one number. Note also how that number has changed in recent months.

And do try to work on your denial, Len.

N2EY

From -6149738081867326601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 09:50:06 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com> <3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com> <3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu> <fRQM6.5566$6j3.484765@www.newsranger.com> <3B03F94B.804DD7A0@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Lines: 67
Message-ID: <xiTM6.5814$6j3.514815@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 12:49:33 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 16:49:33 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21549

Sounding very confused (or trying like hell to spin-doctor) Jim Kelley blurted out:

>> 2) I am not convinced that the Morse test is needed any longer.
>
>But right before that, after I observed that this was his view, he said:
>
>"That's not my view at all!"

What you (incorrectly) "observed to be my view" was.....

>"CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary."

That definitely *not* my view. If you must insist that it is my view, show us some evidence.

73, Hans, K0HB

PS: "Evidence" is a dirty word if you don't have any.

```
From 2996366161785124281
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 10:10:14 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-
for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 10:07:51 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3B040567.9D67E9F9@uci.edu>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com>
<3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com>
<3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu> <fRQM6.5566$6j3.484765@www.newsranger.com>
<3B03F94B.804DD7A0@uci.edu> <xiTM6.5814$6j3.514815@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21550



K0HB wrote:
>
> Sounding very confused (or trying like hell to spin-doctor) Jim Kelley blurted
> out:
>
> >> 2) I am not convinced that the Morse test is needed any longer.
> >
> >But right before that, after I observed that this was his view, he said:
> >
> >"That's not my view at all!"
>
> What you (incorrectly) "observed to be my view" was.....
>
> >"CW is analogous to a hand crank. It is no longer necessary."
>
> That definitely *not* my view. If you must insist that it is my view, show us
> some evidence.

Okay, so you agree that a hand crank is still necessary.  I was wrong.
It's not really what I meant, and I think you know that.  But
nevertheless, you have my most sincere apology.

ac6xg
```

```
From 8581801391971702066
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 10:14:36 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-
out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!
worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <W4sM6.25755$4f7.1959326@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010517100402.03759.00001569@nso-ch.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 82
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <0GTM6.27285$4f7.2093987@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 17:14:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990119676 12.75.102.21 (Thu, 17 May
2001 17:14:36 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 17:14:36 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21551


"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010517100402.03759.00001569@nso-ch.aol.com...
> In article <W4sM6.25755$4f7.1959326@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@att.net> writes:
>
> >>   The problem is that your outlet (certain members of this newsgroup -
> >> nocodes, etc) has not always been a willing participant in your
> >> efforts to release your aggression.  It is one thing to release your
> >> aggression by pounding on a pillow and quite another to release your
> >> aggression by verbally pounding on another human being.  The latter
> >> may feel good to you, but it is darn rude to those it is directed at.
> >>
> >>
> >> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
> >
> >Yup, Dwight, it's right up there with being accused of baby-killing, Nazi
> >activities, being in league with Satan, not to mention dandruff and
> >halitosis for the sin of passing a code test.
> >
> >Dick
>
> Dick:
>
```

> Precisely! Not only have I written volumes in this newsgroup, but I have also READ volumes here. Those we refer to as the "no-coders" are certainly not deserving of any special consideration with regard to their polite, even-handed comportment during this debate. Those of us who support traditional licensing standards have been accused of every possible crime that can be committed against the ARS, and single-handedly blamed for its failure to attract bright, young, computer-literate newcomers. Their smug, politically-correct attitude emulates the New-Age liberals they wish to embrace as advocates to their cause. And the worst part is that they must, by now, realize that they were totally wrong about the effect of reduced licensing standards. It is not attracting anyone to the ARS in any significant numbers, much less the young people they said would beat down the doors. They've been caught in their lies and deception, and their only way out is to discredit those of us who were right all along.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

To state another cynical-chic viewpoint, Larry, never attribute to conspiracy what can more easily explained by stupidity or sheer human cussedness. There is nothing New Age about self-deception, blaming others for your problems, and pronouncing piously about what the requirements 'should' be. Dig out St Augustine for a real addiction problem. And, of course, don't forget Aesop's sour grapes.

That it happens with respect to code tests should not surprise either one of us; we did a bit of it as has just about everyone who did not do it for Sam's military. What surprises me is the depth and vehemence of it. Is it truly necessary to deconstruct every living person who ever passed a code test? The level of mythology is frightening and there seems to be no compunction about attributing sub-human motives even if you agree that code-testing may have seen its day. Who started it is irrelevant but it has become a bare-knuckle barfight in some quarters.

And what the hell for? Whatever one believes 'should' be the case, it isn't and won't be for some period of at least two years. Deconstructing me, convincing me, or putting a contract out on me does not change that; my opinion or actions are totally irrelevant. I've seen saner reactions from alkies with the DTs or drunks in general. At least they don't blame me for their galloping headaches.

So I tend to deal with these guys about like you deal with a drunk; not out of malice but because it is the only humane way to deal with them. Don't give an inch, don't help them cover, and call things what they are. Engaging in debate merely allows them to put off for one more night the decision everyone knows is necessary: learn code or shut up and wait. Make the pilgimage to Dayton or HPMs grave for all I care, become the resident genius on everything from the regs to 160 meter propagation, buy every book or magazine in creation, lead the club's emergency activities and spearhead communication for the Special Olympics; it still does not cut it. Yes, you are a sterling human being but you are not an HF Amateur. I know Ed might disagree but I think you are doing them a disservice even being nice.

Dick N�BK

From -8363192755091648000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 10:28:14 PST
Message-ID: <3B0409CA.1AFFE3F1@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 12:26:34 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <W4sM6.25755$4f7.1959326@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <20010517100402.03759.00001569@nso-ch.aol.com> <0GTM6.27285$4f7.2093987@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 990120003 64.240.47.49 (17 May 2001 12:20:03 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!typhoon.sonic.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21552

Richard McCollum wrote:
> So I tend to deal with these guys about like you deal with a drunk; not out
> of malice but because it is the only humane way to deal with them. Don't
> give an inch, don't help them cover, and call things what they are.

... Which has absolutely no effect on reality. People like to argue because
they enjoy arguing and the argument usually changes nothing.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4510549792465911492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 10:32:42 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com> <3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com> <3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu> <fRQM6.5566$6j3.484765@www.newsranger.com> <3B03F94B.804DD7A0@uci.edu> <xiTM6.5814$6j3.514815@www.newsranger.com> <3B040567.9D67E9F9@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <PVTM6.5892$6j3.523387@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 13:31:27 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 17:31:27 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21553

Jim Kelley says:

>Okay, so you agree that a hand crank is still necessary. I was wrong.
>It's not really what I meant, and I think you know that. But
>nevertheless, you have my most sincere apology.

If you'll be kind enough to quit grape'n the gropefruit over at the watercooler and hand me that club layin' there. No, no, not the stick, the club. You know, the one Gorf gave me when he retired. Yeah that's the one, Gorf's big ol' club, I'll just go ahead and club this sum'bench and be done with it.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 8555189694985139606
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,faf6674305f609b1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,faf6674305f609b1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 11:50:16 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Downloadable IOTA List - updated 17-May-2001
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <G3VM6.11733$Az.1090398@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 18:50:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.44.223.16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990125414 63.44.223.16 (Thu, 17 May 2001 11:50:14 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 11:50:14 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 11:48:31 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15879 rec.radio.amateur.policy:21554

The downloadable IOTA list has been updated as of 17-May-2001 18:50 UTC

Found on The IOTA List page of the site listed below. 15k zip file
(ascii text format readable with Notepad or any text editor)

* also includes Top 10 Most Wanted IOTA's for each continent.

--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

```
From 4896266949780191536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 12:20:14 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!msc1.onvoy!onvoy.com!tethys.csu.net!
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 12:13:51 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3B0422EF.7DCA5A64@uci.edu>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com>
<3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com>
<3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu> <fRQM6.5566$6j3.484765@www.newsranger.com>
<3B03F94B.804DD7A0@uci.edu> <xiTM6.5814$6j3.514815@www.newsranger.com>
<3B040567.9D67E9F9@uci.edu> <PVTM6.5892$6j3.523387@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21555



K0HB wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley says:
>
> >Okay, so you agree that a hand crank is still necessary.  I was wrong.
> >It's not really what I meant, and I think you know that.  But
> >nevertheless, you have my most sincere apology.
>
> If you'll be kind enough to quit grape'n the gropefruit over at the watercooler
> and hand me that club layin' there. No, no, not the stick, the club. You know,
> the one Gorf gave me when he retired. Yeah that's the one, Gorf's big ol' club,
> I'll just go ahead and club this sum'bench and be done with it.

Fine business.  Consider it handed.

73 de AC6XG
```

```
From -2458696957431788864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 13:20:16 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!
spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 17 May 2001 19:47:53 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9e19t90281@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96>
<3B029853.EC5D39F5@IEEE.org> <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-189.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21556

In article <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > So when do you plan to start jumping onto all the jokers who are so
>> > stupid that they blame all code suporters for the fact that they've been
>> > unable meet the requirements for a HF ham license?
>>
>> Nobody blames the code supporters, Dick. Right now, S25.5 is getting
>> *all* the blame for the outmoded code requirement.
>
>
> You need to read a little closer, Cecil. They indeed do blame code
>tested hams who believe in the viability of code and the needed testing
>to support it for their own personal shortcomings.

It's good to see you finally admit the real reasons you want to retain code
testing. I'm saving this quote, just in case you try to weasel your way out of
it by saying I misquoted you.



From 6361896994389588892
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 14:55:31 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96>
<3B029853.EC5D39F5@IEEE.org> <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>
<9e19t90281@enews2.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <nNXM6.27972$t12.2119384@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 21:55:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.69
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990136531 12.75.102.69 (Thu, 17 May
2001 21:55:31 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 21:55:31 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21557


"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9e19t90281@enews2.newsguy.com...
> In article <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >W6RCecilA wrote:
> >>
> >> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> > So when do you plan to start jumping onto all the jokers who are so
> >> > stupid that they blame all code suporters for the fact that they've
been
> >> > unable meet the requirements for a HF ham license?
> >>
> >> Nobody blames the code supporters, Dick. Right now, S25.5 is getting
> >> *all* the blame for the outmoded code requirement.
> >
> >
> > You need to read a little closer, Cecil. They indeed do blame code
> >tested hams who believe in the viability of code and the needed testing
> >to support it for their own personal shortcomings.
>
> It's good to see you finally admit the real reasons you want to retain
code
```

> testing. I'm saving this quote, just in case you try to weasel your way
out of
> it by saying I misquoted you.
>

It might make more of a difference if amateur opinion meant anything. As it sits, we are personally responsible for these dipsticks' failures and have zero authority. Gets a little irksome having the lapdogs biting your heels.

Dick N�BK

```
From 7862335045159484747
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 15:40:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B04530B.D33BAF14@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 17:39:07 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96>
<3B029853.EC5D39F5@IEEE.org> <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>
<9e19t90281@enews2.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp 990138755 64.240.47.105 (17 May 2001 17:32:35 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21558
```

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

> >
> >
> >
> >W6RCecilA wrote:
> >>
> >> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > You need to read a little closer, Cecil. They indeed do blame code
> >tested hams who believe in the viability of code and the needed testing
> >to support it for their own personal shortcomings.
>
> It's good to see you finally admit the real reasons you want to retain code
> testing.

Oooops, Dick dangled his participle in public again. I warned him about
that but he doesn't listen.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5294002682929524954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 16:21:52 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 17:29:11 -0500
Organization: http://extra.newsguy.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9e1jab0e39@enews2.newsguy.com>
Reply-To: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-941.newsdawg.com
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21559
```

Two years ago, disgusted that a usenet group devoted to Amateur Radio Policy
issues had degenerated into a clique of regulars endlessly arguing about
Morse Code, leaving no room for discussion of policy issues, I quit visiting
here.

A year later I checked back: Same thing.

Another year: Same thing...

Can anyone direct me to a usenet group where Amateur Radio Policy IS
discussed? Someplace where the discussion is not lost in the noise?

I'll lurk a few days, then give it another year, I suppose. I'm a real
optimist.

73 DE Charles, N5PVL

From 6974566827597482878
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 16:30:43 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9e1jab0e39@enews2.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <DaZM6.121140$2_.38521837@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 23:30:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 990142243 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 17 May 2001 16:30:43 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 16:30:43 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21560

Optimism or lack of pattern recognition hehe

--
Rob St.Denis

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net> wrote in message
news:9e1jab0e39@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Two years ago, disgusted that a usenet group devoted to Amateur Radio
Policy
> issues had degenerated into a clique of regulars endlessly arguing about
> Morse Code, leaving no room for discussion of policy issues, I quit

visiting
> here.
>
> A year later I checked back: Same thing.
>
> Another year: Same thing...
>
> Can anyone direct me to a usenet group where Amateur Radio Policy IS
> discussed? Someplace where the discussion is not lost in the noise?
>
> I'll lurk a few days, then give it another year, I suppose. I'm a real
> optimist.
>
> 73 DE Charles, N5PVL
>
>
>

From -9033596752307482509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,417887dab3a92fdd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 16:52:09 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news2.rdc2.tx.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B046366.BBEAA30A@home.com>
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 6m, 2m, and 70cm in Mexico
References: <3AFB6EBD.3F532BBA@post.com> <3afc9aaf.5660431@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 27
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 23:52:09 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.7.30.169
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news2.rdc2.tx.home.com 990143529 24.7.30.169 (Thu, 17 May 2001 16:52:09
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 16:52:09 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21561

EXCEPT, Mexico does not subscribe to CEPT, and any operation without a
Mexican license is illegal and subject to equipment confiscation. Check with
the ARRL web page for licensing procedures.

Bill Sohl wrote:

> On Fri, 11 May 2001 04:53:39 GMT, Jonathan Knowles <jknowles@post.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Howdy.
> >
> >Anyone know the rules (or where I can find the rules) for U.S. amateurs
> >traveling in Mexico. Specifically, the 6m, 2m, and 70cm bands.
> >
> >Thanks in advance,
> >Jonathan
> >KG6GKY
>
> The rules are those that Mexico requires of their own hams.
> Assuming you have a tech+ license or higher, you have
> full operating ability equal to the full CEPT reciprocal license
> agreements.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

From 4603244754892865000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a5a1e3e4724216e7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 17:35:54 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 00:34:07 GMT
References: <3b0274a6.377812828@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Taking dead relative's call: good/bad idea?
Message-ID: <20010517203407.27024.00001287@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21562

In article <3b0274a6.377812828@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>Last year a few upstate guys who knew famed dxer & contester Gerry
>Mathis w3gm wanted to keep his callsign actve in the contests so they
>formed a club and applied for w3gm as the club license callsign.

If they REALLY wanted his old callsign they woulda gone for W3BES...

> I
>don't have the details but they had to go thru all kindsa really
>twisted pushups with Uncle to get that to happen. It strikes me as a
>bush-league clerical detail with no "regulatory" implcations at all.
>Why the heck is reissuing a deceased individual's callsign as a club
>callsign such a big deal at Hq.?

FCC has been all over the map on vanity and club callsigns. For several years there have been complaints of "call collecting" by "clubs" whose only existence is on paper. Many of the calls thus collected are highly desirable 1X2s, and the FCC has cancelled some of them when the holders could not prove that a real club actually existed. Trouble is, this makes it tough for legitimate operations like that one.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 7111550207487592915
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 18:32:16 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 298
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 01:31:08 GMT
References: <3b033e22.7447641@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010517213108.00358.00001240@nso-mm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21563

In article <3b033e22.7447641@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>>>>>The evidence is certainly not quantitative, but more anecdoatal.
>>>>>Do you dispute that HF hams even before no-code test, or slow
>>>>>code as now, had sufficient "bad apples" amongs them that
>>>>>it was already being viewed as a problem within ham ranks?
>>>>
>>>>Why do you single out HF hams, Bill?
>>>
>>>I don't except as to there be no more/less liklihood
>>>of bad apples because of taking a code test vs not
>>>taking a code test.

>>
>>I disagree. I don't think there's enough evidence to prove that claim either
>>way. There are simply too many other changes going on.
>
>In the absence of such proof, there is no justification
>then to keep code (see below)

Code TEST, Bill, code TEST ;-)

I say that without solid data, the decision must be made on other grounds.
>
>>>Of that, the FCC has clearly stated
>>>their opinion.
>>
>>Agreed. To paraphrase, they see the code test as simply a test of code
>skills -
>>nothing more or less. But they DON'T offer any solid evidence one way or
>>another about it.
>
>They (FCC) don't have to. The regulation needs
>to be sustained by clear and comelling rational.
>No proof that code has any such clear and compelling
>evidence as to need and the FCC will just drop it.

True, but only because they are against it in the first place.

>The "burden of proof" is always on the side
>that wants a new regulation and/or that wants to
>keep existing regulations.

See below...
>
>>You think the FCC is going to say "Gee, we've neglected
>>enforcement for a long time, and that's why you have stuff like the W6NUT
>>repeater?" I wouldn't bet on it.
>
>Not sure as to how that statement relates to your
>other comments.

What I meant is that the FCC, like any other govt. regulatory agency, is not
going to come right out and say they messed up or did not do their job. They
are not going to say they made a mistake about anything.
>
>>>Others seem to try to attach some
>>>behavioral problems to the advent of nocode testing.
>>
>>Sure. With the same lack of hard data. Too many other things going on to
>single
>>out one cause.
>
>I agree.

I think we agree on far more things than we disagree on. You like lobster?

>
>>>>What I'm saying is this:
>>>>
>>>>1) "Bad behavior" on the ham bands is much more of a problem today than it
>>>was
>>>>10, 20, 30 years ago. There has been a general decline in the on-air
>>>standards
>>>>of SOME amateurs.
>>>
>>>The bad behavior today is no more/less than 10/20 years ago.
>>
>>I disagree. It's been slowly getting worse over a long period of time.
>
>We obviously must disagree then.

Hard data would be nice, though.
>
>>>Go back 30+ and you have a more gentil ham population.
>>
>>I think you mean "genteel", and I agree. Things back then were different.
>>Universal code testing was only one factor, though - that's my point.
>>
>>>The behavioral problems have more to do with a change
>>>in the general population, including hams, rather than anything
>>>at all related to code testing.
>>
>>I disagree. I say it's a combination of many factors, not just one or two.
>
>I agree...and my comment is that those some
>factors (multiple factors) are also impacting the
>general population's behaviors.

All true - but that doesn't mean we have to throw up our hands and say there's
nothing we can do about it.
>
>>>>2) The behavior problems are worst in the 'phone subbands
>>>
>>>Because that is where the "voice" can be freely
>>>used. I wouldn't be surprised to learn that many
>>>of those bad apples fully hope and expect that others
>>>are listening that aren't part of the "QSO."
>>
>>Agreed! But the opposite is also true - many folks forget that they are on
>the
>>air and that anyone could be listening.
>
>I rather think much of it is far more deliberate.

I am at a loss to explain the motivation.
>
>>> I doubt
>>>many people ever expect anyone to be routinely "just
>>>listening" to CW contacts which takes away the "broadcast"

>>>mindset if someone thought they'd key out a string
>>>of obsenities in CW.
>>
>>How much CW do you work, Bill? I do a lot, and one of the things I have
>noticed
>>about the vast majority of CW ops is that they behave as if anyone might be
>>listening.
>
>We agree as to affect, we disagree as to cause.

Agreed - I have no good idea of the cause.
>
>>But regardless of the causes - if a certain mode is more conducive to good
>>on-air behavior, isn't that reason enough to promote the mode?
>>>
>>>>3) There are a number of possible explanations for this decline:
>>>
>>>Agreed.
>>
>>Glad to hear it. This is my main point - there are many causes, not just one
>
>>or two.
>>>
>>>>A - Lack of enforcement
>>
>>Riley has changed this for the better, but he's got an uphill battle. And
>it's
>>not just enforcement that counts, but publicizing the results.
>>
>>>>B - Bad habits picked up in other services and carried over into ham radio
>>
>>Agree or disagree?
>>
>>>>C - General change in mores of society as a whole
>>>
>>>Agree completely!
>>
>>I'm not sure if you mean all three or just C.
>
>All three.
>
Understood. Good.

>>>>D - Changes in technology make such behaviors easier
>>>
>>>??? - What about using voice modes has technology
>>>changed over the year to make such behavior easier?
>>
>>Lots of things. Used to be that getting on 'phone was a complex and
>expensive
>>proposition for most hams. Most of us spent a considerable amount of time on
>CW
>>before getting a license and equipment for voice. All that changed with

>"Novice
>>Enhancement" and the change to the Tech and Tech Plus as entry-level
>licenses.
>
>The cost factor has changed a long time ago.

Not for high-power HF 'phone.

But you have a point, in a way. Perhaps it's not just cost in dollars.
>
>>In other words, it's easier than ever to get on voice right away.
>>>
>>>>E - Changes in licensing requirements and other regulations
>>>
>>>What is the cause/effect?
>>
>>See above. Simplifying the license process makes it quick and easy to get
>>started. But this also means that some folks will get on the air with almost
>no
>>real knowledge. For example, I know of a few new hams who got their licenses
>on
>>a bet. In both cases a ham friend bet them that they couldn't get a license
>in
>>less than a certains short amount of time. The bet was accepted, the person
>>memorized enough of the question pool to pass and won the bet. Did they
>really
>>have an interest in ham radio? Maybe. Did they learn much about it in their
>>preparation? Nope.
>>>
>>>>4) [The big one] Without a lot more solid data, we can't balme the decline
>>>on
>>>>any one reason, or even a combination of them.
>>>
>>>I agree...and I'd certainly say it has ZERO to
>>>do with anyone having passed/not passed any
>>>code test. That is my ONLY point.
>>
>>How can you make that claim without solid data, Bill? You're on ground that
>is
>>as shaky as those who blame it all on the lack of code testing. Nobody
>really
>>knows, because too many other things have changed as well.
>
>That is clearly my opinion and, as you noted earlier,
>it can neither be refuted nor defended in any absolute
>way. I think that if there truly was a correlation
>between code tested vs noncode tested
>as to good behavior vs poor behavior, that
>correlation would have surfaced by now.

Given the low levels of enforcement, I don't see how.

But perhaps it's not the TEST but the USE of code that matters. Note that there

haven't been ANY NALs for hams using Morse on the air.....
>
>>>>
>>>>Sure - but they may not even do that. I don't think they are required to
>do
>>>so
>>>>in a case like this, because they've already made it abundantly clear to
>any
>>>>casual observer that the ONLY reason they kept the code test was because
>of
>>>>S25.5. They said it "serves no regulatory purpose" other than S25.5.
>"Serves
>>>no
>>>>regulatory purpose" from a government agency is the kiss of death for a
>>>>regulation so described..
>>>
>>>We'll know for sure in 2 years or so.
>>
>>Yup - if S25.5 goes away.
>>
>>>>>>Not saying I want that to happen, but I won't be surprised if it does.
>>>>>
>>>>>I believe some degree of public input/process would still
>>>>>be warranted.
>>
>>But the FCC has a ton of leeway on that. Look at the NPRM - four license
>>classes and written exams with more questions and a predetermined
>distribution.
>>Then look at the R&O - three classes and far less written testing. The
>finished
>>product looks very little like the proposal, yet the FCC just went ahaead
>and
>>did it. Same deal could happen if S25.5 is changed. Poof, no more Element
>1.
>>Or better yet - keep the code test, just make it optional!
>
>But the NPRM still had a public input period. You may
>disagree with the end result, but the process of public
>input was still involved.
>.
Did the public input really matter? Way way back in late 1997, when I first
came online, there were posts saying the FCC was going to 2 or 3 classes of
license and 5 wpm for HF. Said it was "a done deal" and "a sure thing". I
pooh-poohed such stuff right here way back then - but those posters were right
on the money in EVERY detail. This was way before any NPRM, ARRL proposal or
NCI proposal or any of that stuff.

Maybe I'll go dig up those ancient posts.

>>>>Me, too, but I remain unconvinced that the FCC would be required to do so,
>>>>given the amount of time and public input/process already expended by the
>>>FCC.
>>>>FCC has already made its position crystal clear. Something like 57% of the

```
>>>>comments to the restructuring NPRM favored continued code testing and at
>>>least
>>>>2 code test speeds, yet the FCC found NONE of their arguments in favor of
>>>code
>>>>testing to be convincing - and they said so, and acted accordingly.
>>>
>>>That is because the requirements are not a "popular
>>>vote" issue.
>>
>>Unfortunately true.
>
>I disagree.  Reguations MUST be beyond the fray
>of just popular opinion.

If we followed that philosophy, we'd still have a nationwide 55 mph speed limit
- or lower! Popular opinion is what changed the limit.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>




From 3512952817410400965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 19:23:52 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 02:22:26 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3b048673.3513559@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3b033e22.7447641@news.mindspring.com>
<20010517213108.00358.00001240@nso-mm.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ea.f6
X-Server-Date: 18 May 2001 02:23:32 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21564

On 18 May 2001 01:31:08 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>I disagree.  Reguations MUST be beyond the fray
>>of just popular opinion.
>
>If we followed that philosophy, we'd still have a nationwide 55 mph speed limit
>- or lower! Popular opinion is what changed the limit.

But the regulation (55) was NOT kept on by
any stretch of it being logical or truly justified.
```

The origin of 55 was a gasoline shortage. After the shortage ended, the feds didn't scrap the 55 because the safety nazis said the concurrant lower highway fatalities had to be because of the lower speed.   They didn't want to hear that a gross decrease in miles driven had anything to do with it.

In the end the 55 limit was ended by BOTH popular opinion AND rational judgement.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 7821152617886500766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 15:20:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!
newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 20 May 2001 21:23:33 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-640.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21665
```

In article <3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com says...

>
>On 20 May 2001 02:08:34 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
>wrote:
>>
>>The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title of the
>>organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a problem
>>with including all radio communications?
>>
>You just won the rrap "Dumbo of the Month Award" for that one.

```
>>
>If you don't want that award inflicted on you The Committee has
>decided that it might cut you some slack if you can satisfactorily
>explain how the word "Relay" got into the title of the ARRL.
>>
>w3rv

This is exactly the kind of attitude that will kill off amateur radio. We'll
see who the "Dumbo of the Month" when large chunks of amateur spectrum get
auctioned off to the highest bidder.
```

```
From 4293609467506386372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 15:21:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 20 May 2001 21:36:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9e9dd521qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <9e527a$6i0$0@208.207.71.144> <20010519233248.06566.00004371@nso-
fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-789.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21666
```

```
In article <20010519233248.06566.00004371@nso-fi.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
says...

>Dick:
>
>Just where the heck have you ever seen a State Trooper going at a
>legal double-nickel?   Those guys have only two speeds -- Flash
>past you fast enough to suck the paint off your car, and Hide
>behind a billboard with the Laser gun!  Of course, when it's the end
>of their shift, they can go even faster when headed back for the
>troop barracks!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT


A trooper once informed me that the best time to avoid a ticket while flooring
```

the accelerator in a known "speed trap" area is about 20 to 30 minutes before the shift change. They don't like the last minute paperwork.

```
From -8251078156791574827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 15:27:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 20 May 2001 22:27:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9e9gcq$rop$0@208.207.71.143>
References: <20010516054629.23321.00000004@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010519233246.06566.00004368@nso-fi.aol.com> <3B07B695.BEDD6556@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21667
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Maybe so, but what would be your code speed today if a testing
> > requirement had never existed?
> >
> > I know what mine would be: ZERO!!!
>
> So you are too lazy to learn Morse code without being forced to do
> so by the requirement even though you know how effective CW is?
> Thank God, most hams are not like you, Larry.

Yeah, Right! You sympathize with all the limp lame lazies who refused to learn it when it WAS required, then criticise Larry? YOU're a joke.

```
From -6169801199524916989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 15:39:32 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 20 May 2001 22:39:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <9e9h33$126$0@208.207.71.143>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21668

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> >> >> communications as a part of their mission statement. Only then will they
> >> >> truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
> >> >> *association*.
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > And, of course, de-emphasis on "amateur radio". Of course.
> >>
> >> The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title of the
> >> organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a problem
> >> with including all radio communications?
> >

```
> >   Why would we NOT be surprised at such a question from you? Or Kim?
>
> We? I have a news flash for you, Dick-O. Kim and I aren't the only ones that
> feel the same way.


  Of course, symptoms of severe, debilitating cluelessness. Permeats CB,
freebanding, and some easy classes of ham radio these days.


I, for one, ahve never been able to understand the animosity
> taht exists between CBers and hams. There's good and bad in both, but to say
> that one is better than the other is nothing but elitism. That attitude has
> killed many good things.


 Your basic thought is not inaccurate, but it is clear that you have no
idea why. Your accuracy is purely accidental. CB is CB. Ham Radio is Ham
Radio. The two are totally different entities, with no more in common
that the fact that both are radio. And as I said, no one is really
surprised that neither you nor Kim can detect the difference.


From 5875801284167468530
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 15:40:25 PST
Message-ID: <3B084769.675DC4E9@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 17:38:33 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp 990397921 64.240.47.101 (20 May 2001 17:32:01 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
```

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21669

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:

> We? I have a news flash for you, Dick-O. Kim and I aren't the only ones that
> feel the same way. I, for one, have never been able to understand the animosity
> that exists between CBers and hams. There's good and bad in both, but to say
> that one is better than the other is nothing but elitism. That attitude has
> killed many good things.

People with low self esteem condemn all members some other group. They have to tear someone else down below their miserable level of mediocrity before they can feel good about themselves. Tearing other people down is like being a drug addict. It's a temporary fix that actually lowers self-esteem in the long run. The more successful they are at tearing other people down, the more miserable and frantic they become.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7545103856247629556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 15:41:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 20 May 2001 22:41:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9e9h6b$126$1@208.207.71.143>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net> <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com> <3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net> <%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21670

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com says...
> >
> >On 20 May 2001 02:08:34 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
> >wrote:
> >>
> >>The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title of the
> >>organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a problem
> >>with including all radio communications?
> >>
> >You just won the rrap "Dumbo of the Month Award" for that one.
> >>
> >If you don't want that award inflicted on you The Committee has
> >decided that it might cut you some slack if you can satisfactorily
> >explain how the word "Relay" got into the title of the ARRL.
> >>
> >w3rv
>
> This is exactly the kind of attitude that will kill off amateur radio. We'll
> see who the "Dumbo of the Month" when large chunks of amateur spectrum get
> auctioned off to the highest bidder.

Tell you what, clown - if you represent what that spectrum is being
reserved for., they can GIVE it away, no auction needed.

From 3336671001840541757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 15:46:14 PST
Message-ID: <3B0848D2.4D15F35B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 17:44:34 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010516054629.23321.00000004@ng-cd1.aol.com>

```
<20010519233246.06566.00004368@nso-fi.aol.com> <3B07B695.BEDD6556@IEEE.org>
<9e9gcq$rop$0@208.207.71.143>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp 990398281 64.240.47.101 (20 May 2001 17:38:01 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21671

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yeah, Right! You sympathize with all the limp lame lazies who refused
> to learn it when it WAS required, then criticise Larry? YOU're a joke.

What gestapo tactics do you have in mind to keep people from being lazy?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 4104772800988901950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 16:08:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-
out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!
worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
```

```
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 67
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 23:08:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.133
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990400118 12.75.103.133 (Sun, 20 May
2001 23:08:38 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 23:08:38 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21672


"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com...
> In article <9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> >> >> communications as a part of their mission statement.  Only then will
they
> >> >> truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis
on
> >> >> *association*.
> >> >>
> >> >
> >> > And, of course, de-emphasis on "amateur radio". Of course.
> >>
> >> The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title
of the
> >> organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a
problem
> >> with including all radio communications?
> >
> >   Why would we NOT be surprised at such a question from you? Or Kim?
>
> We? I have a news flash for you, Dick-O. Kim and I aren't the only ones
that
> feel the same way. I, for one, ahve never been able to understand the
```

animosity
> taht exists between CBers and hams. There's good and bad in both, but to
say
> that one is better than the other is nothing but elitism. That attitude
has
> killed many good things.
>

OOOOOOOOOOOOOOH the Ultimate Weapon or Holy Hand Grenade (take your pick) has been released or heaved or something. Elitism! Isms out the waz. And I should now shrivel up and shrink away like a dandelion zapped with 2-4-D. In your dreams, Brayant.

The animosity is fairly simple: those who got for free want more for free and those who earned it see no good reason to suffer freeloaders. Being hungry does not entitle one to crash the Eagles Picnic; in fact being human and alive confers no rights whatsoever -- non humans maybe.

Accidents of birth are one thing, accidents of privlege another, but achievement is no accident. One who has earned it owes precisely nothing to those who have not, in theory, in practice, or any other way no matter how much whining he hears. For one who has acheived in an area to say he is 'better' may not be politically correct but if he does not think it he is an idiot and if he does not say it he is a liar. All he risks is being unpopular among those who could not carry his shorts for saying out loud what everyone already knows.

Dick N�BK

From 2230995734058933142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 18:15:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net> <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com> <3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net> <%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com> <3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.

Lines: 94
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <B_ZN6.3695$BN6.401479@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 01:15:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.135.173
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990407713 209.246.135.173 (Sun, 20 May 2001 18:15:13 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 18:15:13 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 18:13:30 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21673

"K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message
news:HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com...
> Kim-W5TIT says...
>
> >Now that I have clarified that I mean non-commercial radio, what ideas
> >against such broadening of the scope of the ARRL do you have? If you
> >wish, I could specifically the radio services that I am including, but
> >I think you knew that.
>
> Kim,
>
> It's not clear yet to me what "non-commercial" means in this context,
> and to what extent you want ARRL to "broaden" itself.
>

To list the radio services/groups I am knowledgeable of, that would be: ham, CB, FRS, GMRS, MURS. If there are other such radio services, I believe they would be within the scope also.

>
> As you know, the ARRL bylaws currently limit voting membership to
*licensed
> amateurs*, and requires Directors and Officers to be licensed amateurs.
> This, in and of itself, self-limits the major focus of the organization
> to Amateur Radio matters (although the organization frequently cooperates
> with other organizations on areas of mutual interest).
>

I cannot think of when it was, though I believe it was late last Fall, that the ARRL itself announced through the Minutes of a BoD meeting that it was *considering* broadening the scope of the ARRL to other radio services/groups--and I believe that CB was specifically mentioned there. As a member of the ARRL, I would like to see representation extended to other services and I think that would have to mean that modifications to membership rules would have to be made. I am not sure what the ARRL's vision is on this; I think it would be best to have different "departments" with different memberships, all coming back together under one BoD.

>
> So when you propose "broadening the scope", do you suggest that
non-amateurs
> could have voting membership, and that Directors and Officers need not be
> licensed as a requirement for election?
>

I do not propose "broadening the scope." This is an idea that came out of the aforementioned BoD. It has been a topic of discussion a couple of times here in the NG--and I am sorry to say that I just don't have the gumption to look it up. Someone else was kind enough to do that before.

>
> Would you suggest, for example, that the President of ARRL could be a
> non-licensed person whose interest in radio was as the communications
> officer of the NYC Fire Department, and that the 15 ARRL Directors might
> be a CB'er, a CAP member, a GMRS repeater owner, the communications
officer
> of the Minnesota DNR, the Commandant of the Coast Guard, a Lt in the
California
> Highway Patrol, a scoutmaster who uses FRS to communicate with his troop
on
> campouts, a MURS operator, and other similar non-amateur-licensed persons
who
> all have a non-commercial interest in the use of radio?
>

That would certainly be well-rounded, wouldn't it? BUT, I think it would be very, very difficult to overcome the political differences between the groups to effectively set that up. It would be admirable to see done and maybe it will get to that point someday but, for now, I would imagine that *if* (and it is certainly a big IF) the ARRL every actively moved toward the idea, the other radio services would probably be best served under different "departments" coming back together under one BoD--regardless of affiliation with radio service; though amateur radio would certainly have to have the greater portion :)

I really like your idea, though, of dividing up the Director level.

>
> Can you imagine that such an organization would be good for Amateur Radio?
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>

For the reasons mentioned before, I really think it would. I think it would be good not only for amateur radio, but for each and every one of the groups. For instance, I hadn't thought of Fire and Police agencies being included in the scope--and I'm still not so sure of that because of professional associations that surely must exist for them; but I wonder how much more willing "they" would be to provide recognition to "us" if we were all under one umbrella?

Kim W5TIT

From 5037419136618887051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 18:18:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com> <9e94us$lmv$1@208.207.71.143>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <f1_N6.3701$BN6.382944@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 01:18:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.135.173
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990407883 209.246.135.173 (Sun, 20 May
2001 18:18:03 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 18:18:03 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 18:16:21 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21674

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9e94us$lmv$1@208.207.71.143...
>
>
> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >
> > On Sat, 19 May 2001 12:31:55 GMT, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> > >communications as a part of their mission statement. Only then will

they
> > >truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
> > >*association*.
> > >
> > Yeah, sure Kim. QST should address the interests of the local FM
> > broadcasters, police dispatchers, wireless modem users and F/A-18
> > jocks who use "radio". Luv it.
>
>
> Well, whadda ya expect, Brian? That she shouldn't one day be able to
> ask, in all innocence - "Ham Radio exam? What's that??" We're almost
> there already.
>

It's really quite obvious that at least two people cannot reasonably discuss
this issue without innuendo and accusation. I let myself get wrapped up in
that before on this NG. I won't let it happen again, because it lowers me
to your levels.

Kim W5TIT

From -4419267393352667602
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 18:24:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 89
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 01:24:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.135.173

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990408256 209.246.135.173 (Sun, 20 May
2001 18:24:16 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 18:24:16 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 18:22:34 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21675

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com...
> > In article <9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > >>
> > >> In article <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >> >Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >> >>
> > >> >>
> > >> >> I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> > >> >> communications as a part of their mission statement.  Only then
will
> they
> > >> >> truly be the "the national association for amateur
radio"--emphasis
> on
> > >> >> *association*.
> > >> >>
> > >> >
> > >> > And, of course, de-emphasis on "amateur radio". Of course.
> > >>
> > >> The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title
> of the
> > >> organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a
> problem
> > >> with including all radio communications?
> > >
> > >   Why would we NOT be surprised at such a question from you? Or Kim?
> >
> > We? I have a news flash for you, Dick-O. Kim and I aren't the only ones
> that
> > feel the same way. I, for one, ahve never been able to understand the
> animosity
> > taht exists between CBers and hams. There's good and bad in both, but to
> say
```

> > that one is better than the other is nothing but elitism. That attitude
> has
> > killed many good things.
> >
>
> OOOOOOOOOOOOOOH the Ultimate Weapon or Holy Hand Grenade (take your pick)
> has been released or heaved or something. Elitism! Isms out the waz.
And
> I should now shrivel up and shrink away like a dandelion zapped with
2-4-D.
> In your dreams, Brayant.
>
> The animosity is fairly simple: those who got for free want more for free
> and those who earned it see no good reason to suffer freeloaders. Being
> hungry does not entitle one to crash the Eagles Picnic; in fact being
human
> and alive confers no rights whatsoever -- non humans maybe.
>
> Accidents of birth are one thing, accidents of privlege another, but
> achievement is no accident. One who has earned it owes precisely nothing
to
> those who have not, in theory, in practice, or any other way no matter how
> much whining he hears. For one who has acheived in an area to say he is
> 'better' may not be politically correct but if he does not think it he is
an
> idiot and if he does not say it he is a liar. All he risks is being
> unpopular among those who could not carry his shorts for saying out loud
> what everyone already knows.
>
> Dick N�BK
>

I find it interesting that this discussion has quickly degraded into some kind of ritualistic assessment of something being earned, when all that was being discussed was a broadening of the scope of representation for the ARRL to other radio services. Ham radio licensing is not governed by the ARRL, so I don't understand the correlation. As to "accidents of privilege" there is no "built in" privilege to the ARRL just because one is an amateur radio operator--other than voting membership. *Anyone* may be a member of the ARRL, and *everyone* has to pay for that membership. Or shall we turn ourselves into a closed club?

Kim W5TIT

From 8831260884304262531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 19:00:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!

```
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 01:59:43 GMT
References: <9e783r$6sh$0@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010520215943.26676.00004306@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21676

In article <9e783r$6sh$0@208.206.142.91>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>
>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> One cold night in West Texas during an ice storm, I was stranded on the
>> side of a lonely stretch of I-10.
>
>
>You sure have a helluva lot of car breakdowns at night out on the cold
>prairie. Or.....

Dick:

My bet is on the "Or..." -- as in, "Or...it's the spoiled grape juice talking!"

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -6459465081752783736
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 19:10:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 02:07:15 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9e9t8j$73t$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
```

X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990410835 7293 128.171.44.55 (21 May 2001 02:07:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 May 2001 02:07:15 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21677

Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net> wrote:
>being discussed was a broadening of the scope of representation for the
>ARRL
>to other radio services. Ham radio licensing is not governed by the
>ARRL,
>so I don't understand the correlation. As to "accidents of privilege"
>there
>is no "built in" privilege to the ARRL just because one is an amateur
>radio
>operator--other than voting membership. *Anyone* may be a member of
>the
>ARRL, and *everyone* has to pay for that membership. Or shall we turn
>ourselves into a closed club?

It's certainly not closed, but it's stated purposes are pretty narrow:

On January 29, 1915, the Connecticut Secretary of State recorded the
incorporation of the League under the following three articles:

Article 1. The name of said corporation shall be The American Radio
Relay League Incorporated.

Article 2. The purposes for which said corporation is formed are the
following to wit: The promotion of amateur radio, the organization of
amateur radio stations, the promotion and regulation of amateur radio
inter-communication, and the relaying of messages from station to station,
and the printing and publishing of documents, books and pamphlets
necessary to any of the above purposes.

Article 3. The said corporation is located in the town of Hartford
and the State of Connecticut.

73, KH6O

From -4463723018118780327
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 19:40:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 02:40:07 GMT
References: <iKTN6.2420$9D5.306542@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010520224007.23210.00000599@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21678

Hi Kim,

Yes, it is refreshing to see some beneficial activity occuring on 11 meters. I find that most who claim none simply aren't listening...or are, at the very least, predisposed NOT to hear it. Re. DFing on 11 meters, a group of buddies and I used to perform an occasional "fox-hunt" on 2 meters with good results. 11 meters is a little tricky re. DFing during the cycle, but I suppose it could be done. Nice response, thanks. Take care and 73.

Mark

From 6298447893422386364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:00:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 02:59:17 GMT
References: <3B0848D2.4D15F35B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010520225917.26676.00004310@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21679

In article <3B0848D2.4D15F35B@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Yeah, Right! You sympathize with all the limp lame lazies who refused
>> to learn it when it WAS required, then criticise Larry? YOU're a joke.
>
>What gestapo tactics do you have in mind to keep people from being lazy?

Cecil:

You can't force people not to be lazy, but you can give people
incentives *not* to be lazy! What's wrong with incentives?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2103919248441646770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:00:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 02:59:20 GMT
References: <9e9gcq$rop$0@208.207.71.143>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010520225920.26676.00004315@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21682

In article <9e9gcq$rop$0@208.207.71.143>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> > Maybe so, but what would be your code speed today if a testing
>> > requirement had never existed?
>> >
>> > I know what mine would be: ZERO!!!
>>
>> So you are too lazy to learn Morse code without being forced to do
>> so by the requirement even though you know how effective CW is?
>> Thank God, most hams are not like you, Larry.
>
>
> Yeah, Right! You sympathize with all the limp lame lazies who refused
>to learn it when it WAS required, then criticise Larry? YOU're a joke.

Dick:

Well, at least this pretty much puts a lid on Cecil's claim to being
an expert on logic! How could I have possibly learned how effective
CW was if I never bothered to learn it in the first place?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5967019992553334254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:00:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 02:59:18 GMT
References: <3B07B39A.79401638@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010520225918.26676.00004312@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21681

In article <3B07B39A.79401638@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> It wasn't the "inherent value" of the Morse code that made *me* learn
>> it! It was the code testing requirement!
>
>I was introduced to Morse code in the cub scouts and learned it because
>it was fun and my cousin and I could send secret messages between our
>houses next door to each other. Years later, when I found out about
>amateur radio, I had not forgotten Morse code.

Cecil:

Fine, I'm happy for you. How many boy scouts are learning the Morse code these days? Pretty soon they'll be too busy being indoctrinated in "alternative lifestyles" to be bothered with stuff like Morse code and small-bore marksmanship.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4725080287174797068
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:00:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

```
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 02:59:18 GMT
References: <3B07B255.943ED838@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010520225918.26676.00004311@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21683

In article <3B07B255.943ED838@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Just where the heck have you ever seen a State Trooper going at a
>> legal double-nickel?   Those guys have only two speeds -- Flash
>> past you fast enough to suck the paint off your car, and Hide
>> behind a billboard with the Laser gun! ...
>
>Around here, we sometimes have very long construction zones. Quite
>often, a Texas Highway Patrolman will drive back and forth along
>the construction zone at the legal speed limit which is usually
>45mph. Most of the his time is spent slowing down traffic, not
>giving tickets.
>
>This seems to be just another example of the problems you have
>with authority figures.

Cecil:

Well, too bad things here in the Northeast aren't more like they
are in Texas!  I'd call the Texas trooper's "traffic pacing" to be a
very worthwhile use of his time and the state's resources, since
it proactively leads to safety in the construction zones.  And, yes,
I do have a "problem" with "authority figures" to use their
authority as an alternative form of taxation, while real crimes
are being committed and drivers creating dangerous situations
on the highway aren't being "policed" at all!  If you can't understand
my "problem" with this, I'll have to start calling you "Comrade
Cecil."

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -2376284855873606282
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:00:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
```

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 02:59:20 GMT
References: <3B07B695.BEDD6556@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010520225920.26676.00004314@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21680

In article <3B07B695.BEDD6556@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Maybe so, but what would be your code speed today if a testing
>> requirement had never existed?
>>
>> I know what mine would be: ZERO!!!
>
>So you are too lazy to learn Morse code without being forced to do
>so by the requirement even though you know how effective CW is?
>Thank God, most hams are not like you, Larry.
>

Cecil:

Don't look now, but how would I have known how effective CW was
if I never bothered to learn it because there wasn't a requirement to
do so? At least I'm being honest when I say it *was* the requirement
that made me learn it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1312101748613496423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:00:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 78
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 02:59:19 GMT
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010520225919.26676.00004313@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21684

In article <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I'd be interested in your direct response to the original question.
>> How can a ham handle traffic using Morse/CW if he isn't adequately
>> trained and experienced in that mode?
>
>Why are there 35wpm Morse code operators when 35wpm has never been a
>requirement?

Cecil:

I dunno -- probably for the same reason that I was capable of doing better than 20 WPM when I went to take the test for 13 WPM. Morse code is something that, once tried, some people develop a knack for which they didn't know they had. The same thing was true for me before I took my first clarinet lesson. I had no idea I could play the thing before I tried it. Once my parents "required" me to give it a try, I found out I had talent for it. If I had never picked up a clarinet, how would I have known that?

>The answer is that a ham learns whatever is necessary
>to be successful at what he chooses to do in ham radio.

I don't disagree with that at all.

>What good is Morse code skill if the ham doesn't have the foresight to
>own a CW rig?

Not much, I'll admit. And without a requirement to learn the code, who's gonna bother to give it a try? I'll admit, some will, but the overwhelming majority won't. When the overwhelming majority *had* to give it a try, we got more avid CW users than we'll be getting out of the no-requirement vacuum.

>> Let's assume that
>> a situation arose where, in actual fact, the only way to get any kind
>> of message from point A to point B, considering conditions, power
>> availability, etc. is to use Morse/CW as opposed to any other mode.
>> How can this mode be effectively exploited if a trained, proficient
>> CW operator is not available?
>
>Emergency CW can be effectively exploited at 3-5wpm by looking up characters
>on a chart.

Perhaps, but it can be much more effectively exploited by an operator

who already possesses adequate skill to send/receive messages in
Morse code at 15 - 18 WPM -- which was the goal sought by the 20
WPM code testing requirement for an Extra-class license.

>I have seen my nieces and nephews doing just that with their CB
>HTs that have a chart on the side and a button for MCW. Note that I am not
>talking about passing a lot of traffic. For single point CW emergency
>transmissions, the present 5wpm requirement is just fine.

Perhaps, assuming the radio operator in question had a Morse code
chart and the ingenuity to figure out a way to key his rig in CW mode.
Someone who just learned enough about ham radio to achieve
appliance-operator status may not necessarily be up to the task.

>But to answer your question in a broader sense. Someone cannot use what they
>don't have. How can CW be effectively exploited if a ham doesn't possess an
>HF rig? How can people eat storage food that they forgot to store? Do you
>have any storage food, Larry?

Quite a bit, actually. I could load up the trunk of my car, drive away, and
be able to eat, drink, and take my prescribed medications for as much
as 90 days. I am also prepared to defend my stockpile from those
who may not have provided as well for themselves. I can also
communicate in Morse/CW for one heck of a lot longer on a full charge
of my car battery than I could on any voice mode. That is, assuming
I have another Morse/CW operator with which to communicate!
How long can you communicate on a single charge of a typical
car battery?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 1100048561190715795
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:02:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 03:01:15 GMT
References: <20010520224007.23210.00000599@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010520230115.26676.00004316@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21685
```

In article <20010520224007.23210.00000599@ng-cd1.aol.com>, radiohead11m@aol.com
(RadioHead11m) writes:

>Hi Kim,
>
>Yes, it is refreshing to see some beneficial activity occuring on 11 meters.
>I
>find that most who claim none simply aren't listening...or are, at the very
>least, predisposed NOT to hear it. Re. DFing on 11 meters, a group of buddies
>and I used to perform an occasional "fox-hunt" on 2 meters with good results.
>11 meters is a little tricky re. DFing during the cycle, but I suppose it
>could
>be done. Nice response, thanks. Take care and 73.
>
>Mark

There's never a forklift around when you need one!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3624606470124168565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:16:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.130!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 03:16:02 GMT
References: <20010520225918.26676.00004311@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010520231602.28892.00000679@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21686

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/20/01 9:59 PM Central Daylight Time

> If you can't understand
>my "problem" with this, I'll have to start calling you "Comrade
>Cecil."

Pappa Lennie taught you well.

```
"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html


From 2724513381167523449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:21:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 02:41:03 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9e9v7v01ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e9t8j$73t$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-775.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21687

In article <9e9t8j$73t$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...

>
>It's certainly not closed, but it's stated purposes are pretty narrow:
>
>-----------------------------------------------------------------------
>On January 29, 1915, the Connecticut Secretary of State recorded the
>incorporation of the League under the following three articles:

Yes, especially since CB, family radio service, etc., didn't exist in 1915.
Maybe it's time fo rthe ARRL to re-write their charter.



From 6905079461988905628
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:21:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 02:47:46 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-845.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21688

In article <W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net says...

>OOOOOOOOOOOOOOH the Ultimate Weapon or Holy Hand Grenade (take your pick)
>has been released or heaved or something. Elitism! Isms out the waz. And
>I should now shrivel up and shrink away like a dandelion zapped with 2-4-D.
>In your dreams, Brayant.
>
>The animosity is fairly simple: those who got for free want more for free
>and those who earned it see no good reason to suffer freeloaders. Being
>hungry does not entitle one to crash the Eagles Picnic; in fact being human
>and alive confers no rights whatsoever -- non humans maybe.
>
>Accidents of birth are one thing, accidents of privlege another, but
>achievement is no accident. One who has earned it owes precisely nothing to
>those who have not, in theory, in practice, or any other way no matter how
>much whining he hears. For one who has acheived in an area to say he is
>'better' may not be politically correct but if he does not think it he is an
>idiot and if he does not say it he is a liar. All he risks is being
>unpopular among those who could not carry his shorts for saying out loud
>what everyone already knows.
>
>Dick N�BK

Yet another myopic viewpoint.

Would you care to elaborate how this theory of yours applies to the many hams
who enjoy *both* amateur and CB radio, and would like to see them both
represented by a common entity?

From 2647935041764058711
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:30:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 03:29:54 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 153
Message-ID: <3b08885d.1647846@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3b048673.3513559@news.mindspring.com>
<20010518203412.26720.00000692@nso-mg.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ec.47
X-Server-Date: 21 May 2001 03:30:28 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21689

On 19 May 2001 00:34:12 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3b048673.3513559@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>On 18 May 2001 01:31:08 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>>>I disagree. Reguations MUST be beyond the fray
>>>>of just popular opinion.
>>>
>>>If we followed that philosophy, we'd still have a nationwide 55 mph speed
>>limit
>>>- or lower! Popular opinion is what changed the limit.
>>
>>But the regulation (55) was NOT kept on by
>>any stretch of it being logical or truly justified.
>
>In your opinion, Bill. Others think 55 was just fine. It all depends on what
>data one looks at and what one's risk judgement is.

EVERY poll of the populace at large showed a desire to END
55. Ultimately the people voted with their foot on the throttle
to such a degree that the feds finally got the message. So
here we are several years later, higher speeds, and no mass
carnage on the highways at all.

The insurance industry loved 55 because they were able to
bang any of us that did get ticketed with increased rates.

>>The origin of 55 was a gasoline shortage.
>
>Yup. But saving gas was not the only consideration.

WRONG! Saving gas was THE only reason for the initial

legislation. I have seen not one bit of information or evidence
that there was any other reason for the national 55 limit
when it was passed. Had there been any expectation
that the more numerous smaller eastern states were going
to go against lifting the 55 after the gas crisis was over, I
doubt the western states would have supported it.

>>After the
>>shortage ended,
>
>Who says it has ended? Gasoline is $1.75 a gallon here for the cheap regular,
>and the super stuff is pushing $2. And it's only mid May.

Have you been forced to ration your driving, odd vs
even gas days?

>>the feds didn't scrap the 55
>>because the safety nazis said the concurrant lower
>>highway fatalities had to be because of the lower
>>speed.
>
>"safety nazis"? That's pretty tough talk, Bill, loaded with meanings. Would you
>call one of the people who supported 55 for safety reasons a "safety nazi" to
>his/her face? What if the person were a Holocaust survivor?

Sure. There is a cadre of safety extremists that fit that
attitude. They are the ones that want to tell you and
me how to live our lives according to THEIR views of
what is right/wrong. They are the ones that'd ban auto
racing, they'd ban just about anything with any element of
risk to it.

>Who are these "safety nazis" anyway?

The extremists in the insurance industry, and some
just plain nut cases.

>> They didn't want to hear that a gross
>>decrease in miles driven had anything to do with it.
>
>Was there really a decrease in miles driven?

If you couldn't buy all the gas you needed, of course there
was a decrease in overall miles driven.

>From what I read, there are more
>cars on the road today, and the average mileage they are driven per year keeps
>going up.

True. The lower miles which lead to what appeared as a
sharp reduction was attributed to 55...as opposed to
other additional factors. When speed limits were raised, the
safety nazis expected increases in highway deaths...it didn't

happen.

> I remember when 10,000 miles per year was given as a general average,
>for maintenace and insurance purposes. Then it went to 12,000. Now it's 15,000
>in some cases.
>
>The important statistic is "casualties per X miles driven".

Agreed, and that is NOT how the safety people want YOU
or ME to look at the stats. Those stats show even lower
numbers today.

>The situation is far more complex. Lower auto casualties are the result of
>several causes:
>
>- Safer cars (air bags, better seat belts, better handling, better brakes,
>better tires, etc.)
>- Safer roads and more of them
>- Safer drivers (less DWI, better driver ed, better enforcement, more use of
>seat belts)
>- Lower speeds
>- Lower traffic density

Agree on all counts.

>Opposing these are factors that tend to increase the casualties:
>
>- Bigger and heavier trucks
>- More and faster driving once the perceived crisis was over
>- More distractions (complex stereos, car phones, people who do all sorts of
>things while driving)
>- Less skilled drivers (inexperienced young people, older drivers with
>deteriorated skills, others with bad habits)
>- More powerful and comfortable cars that give some drivers a false sense of
>safety.
>And these are just the ones I can think of right now.

Agree in general, but the end result is that the negative
factors are far more offset by the positive to the point that
we have higher highway speeds with lower deaths.

>>In the end the 55 limit was ended by BOTH popular
>>opinion AND rational judgement.
>
>I would say it was mostly pressure from public opinion. It's just simple
>physics that slower is safer. And until you get down to 40 mph or so, more
>energy efficient, too. But general opinion was that the benefits were not worth
>the cost in extra driving time.

In the end I am just happy that the hypocracy was finally
ended.

>If the givt. was really serious about 55, there's an easy way to enforce it.

>Just get a fuel-efficient minicar, paint it in bright colors, install a
>precision cruise control system and put flashing lights and the words "OFFICIAL
>PACE CAR" all over it. Then have a state trooper drive it down the highway in
>the left lane at a steady 56 mph. Anybody who passed it would be breaking the
>law, by definition. But to my knowledge no state ever tried such a system.
>
>Overzealous safety advocates? Sure. "Nazis"? I don't think so.

In that they would, if they could, impose their views on all
of us without hesitation, I'd say that is pretty fanatical.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 2645999646193078600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:32:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 03:32:15 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3b088bea.2556563@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9e527a$6i0$0@208.207.71.144> <20010519233248.06566.00004371@nso-fi.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ec.47
X-Server-Date: 21 May 2001 03:32:50 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21690

On 20 May 2001 03:32:48 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <9e527a$6i0$0@208.207.71.144>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
>writes:
>> ??? I thought everyone had had this experience - You're cruising well
>>ahead of the 55MPH limit and find yourself catching up with the "pack",
>>who for some reason turn out to be going -Surprise! 55 MPH. When you
>>finally get to where you can see the source of the bottleneck, well,
>>Surprise! again. It's a State Trooper, leading the pack at a legal
>>double nickel, and gathering quite a following in the process. Then he
>>makes an exit ramp, and just as soon as he's outta sight the race is,
>>once again, ON.

```
>
>Dick:
>Just where the heck have you ever seen a State Trooper going at a
>legal double-nickel?

Actually that was done on more than one occasion here in
NJ and well noted by the local press.  The auto driving public
was not at all amused.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 3154759145114718899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:36:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 03:35:17 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3b088c99.2731499@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010519233245.06566.00004367@nso-fi.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.ec.47
X-Server-Date: 21 May 2001 03:35:57 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21691

On 20 May 2001 03:32:45 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) writes:
>
>>>> How can ANY traffic be handled via radiotelegraphy - the most basic
>>>>radiocommunciations mode - without knowledgable hams being trained in
>>>>it?
>>
>>>Maybe it's because most of the traffic that hams handle isn't that
>>important.
>>
>>And most of that isn't handled on CW, and in the relatively rare cases where
>>CW
```

>>is used, it is operator choice, not necessity.
>
>Ed:
>
>I'd be interested in your direct response to the original question.
>How can a ham handle traffic using Morse/CW if he isn't adequately
>trained and experienced in that mode? Keep things like "operator
>choice" or "necessity" out of the equation. Let's assume that
>a situation arose where, in actual fact, the only way to get any kind
>of message from point A to point B, considering conditions, power
>availability, etc. is to use Morse/CW as opposed to any other mode.
>How can this mode be effectively exploited if a trained, proficient
>CW operator is not available?

Larry,
I'll bite... it can't then be used...BUT, that prospect
is so remote a possibility that there is simply no reason
to use it as a viable argument to sustain code testing
requirements for ALL hams with HF licenses.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -2737408599539200854
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:50:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 02:50:39 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9e9vpv21ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net> <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com> <3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net> <%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <9e9h6b$126$1@208.207.71.143>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-878.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21692

```
In article <9e9h6b$126$1@208.207.71.143>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>> This is exactly the kind of attitude that will kill off amateur radio. We'll
>> see who the "Dumbo of the Month" when large chunks of amateur spectrum get
>> auctioned off to the highest bidder.
>
>
>
> Tell you what, clown - if you represent what that spectrum is being
>reserved for., they can GIVE it away, no auction needed.

Had a bit too much caffeine when you wrote that?



From 9130512153974870439
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:50:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 21 May 2001 02:54:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9ea00j31ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <20010516054629.23321.00000004@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010519233246.06566.00004368@nso-fi.aol.com> <3B07B695.BEDD6556@IEEE.org>
<9e9gcq$rop$0@208.207.71.143>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-928.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21693

In article <9e9gcq$rop$0@208.207.71.143>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> > Maybe so, but what would be your code speed today if a testing
>> > requirement had never existed?
>> >
>> > I know what mine would be: ZERO!!!
>>
>> So you are too lazy to learn Morse code without being forced to do
>> so by the requirement even though you know how effective CW is?
>> Thank God, most hams are not like you, Larry.
```

>
>
> Yeah, Right! You sympathize with all the limp lame lazies who refused
>to learn it when it WAS required, then criticise Larry? YOU're a joke.

My, my! Your posts are becoming more venomous. Good. It seems a few of us have struck a nerve.

```
From -5185048511223869671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a725e574edaf8617
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 20:55:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: Stephen D Lindner <intel@nospam.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 2m Repeaters A Mess in LA?
Message-ID: <go3hgtg743i1af878b3l1skd1ha8foub24@4ax.com>
References: <tbverdmakuvi52@news.supernews.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gatlcz5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<99os6l$771$1@news.service.uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 43
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 03:55:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.116.148
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 990417310 24.131.116.148 (Sun, 20 May 2001
23:55:10 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 23:55:10 EDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21694
```

Not surprising. It goes with the territory.

According to geologists the California shelf was formed in the late Cambrian era when the entire North American continental landmass was tilted. This resulted in all the nuts rolling to one end.

And thus was California formed.

KC8MGV
73's

On Mon, 26 Mar 2001 18:02:07 -0800, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
wrote:

```
>Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
>news:cuvyxnansvbet.gatlcz5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
>> On Mon, 26 Mar 2001 21:29:58 -0800, jstan10 wrote:
>>
>> >I happened to be travelling through LA last weekend and used the
>> >opportunity to listen to W6NUT repeater that was talked about. I,
>> >however, noticed the increased level of jamming and unauthorized use of
>> >the frequencies. Particularly in the repeaters in the low half of
>> >147mhz.
>> >
>> >What gives? Is LA always like this? This is hugely worse than here in San
>> >Jose.
>>
>>   Been that way for decades.
>
>K9AAH (now sk) used to tell this joke at his public talks:
>
>"When out in the field one day, an FCC enforcement officer happend upon a
>genie.  After much discussion, the genie reluctantly granted him one wish.
>What is your wish, the genie scowled.  The FCC man said I wish for there to
>be peace in the Middle East.  The genie thought for a minute and said I'm
>sorry, but that is not within my power.  You must wish for something else.
>After hearing this the FCC man said well then, I wish for the 435 repeater
>in LA to be cleared of all jamming and foul language.  After a moment the
>genie said, where was that place you wanted peace again?"
>
>73, AC6XG
>



From 6193283630187631715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 21:17:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 04:17:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9ea4ti$qcs$0@208.207.71.141>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3B084769.675DC4E9@IEEE.org>
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21695

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > We? I have a news flash for you, Dick-O. Kim and I aren't the only ones that
> > feel the same way. I, for one, have never been able to understand the
animosity
> > that exists between CBers and hams. There's good and bad in both, but to say
> > that one is better than the other is nothing but elitism. That attitude has
> > killed many good things.

So who said any such thing? The discussion was the melding of CB into
ham radio. Any ham in favor of that is stupid beyond belief.

>
> People with low self esteem condemn all members some other group. They have to
> tear someone else down below their miserable level of mediocrity before they
> can feel good about themselves. Tearing other people down is like being a drug
> addict. It's a temporary fix that actually lowers self-esteem in the long run.
> The more successful they are at tearing other people down, the more miserable
> and frantic they become.

Well Cecil, looks like you are stupid beyond belief.

From -4948273160976654655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 21:25:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 04:25:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9ea5c7$qtb$0@208.207.71.141>

References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com> <9e94us$lmv$1@208.207.71.143>
<f1_N6.3701$BN6.382944@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21696

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9e94us$lmv$1@208.207.71.143...
> >
> >
> > "Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> > >
> > > On Sat, 19 May 2001 12:31:55 GMT, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > >I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> > > >communications as a part of their mission statement. Only then will
> they
> > > >truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
> > > >*association*.
> > > >
> > > Yeah, sure Kim. QST should address the interests of the local FM
> > > broadcasters, police dispatchers, wireless modem users and F/A-18
> > > jocks who use "radio". Luv it.
> >
> >
> > Well, whadda ya expect, Brian? That she shouldn't one day be able to
> > ask, in all innocence - "Ham Radio exam? What's that??" We're almost
> > there already.
> >
>
> It's really quite obvious that at least two people cannot reasonably discuss
> this issue without innuendo and accusation. I let myself get wrapped up in
> that before on this NG. I won't let it happen again, because it lowers me
> to your levels.

Aw. you poor thing. Maybe you should just raise yourself back up to the
CB level.

From -1828225730586023689
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 21:35:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 182
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 04:35:02 GMT
References: <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010521003502.02623.00001243@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21697

In article <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Opposing these are factors that tend to
>> increase the casualties:
>>
>> - Bigger and heavier trucks
>
>
> I suspect that is a myth.

By "bigger and heavier trucks" I mean semitrailers (18 wheel and up) not SUVs and pickups. Back in the 70s, the largest of these were 40 foot trailers. Then came the 45, 48 and 53 foot trailers, higher gross weight, and the double and triple trailer combos, on roads where they were previously not allowed.

>We already know the occupant of a larger
>vehicle (SUV, Van, etc) will suffer less injuries in a accident with a
>smaller vehicle.

That's not true in all cases - it depends on the accident. Many SUVs are more prone to rollover than smaller vehicles, for example.

>However, based on what I've seen and read, the
>occupants of a larger vehicle will also suffer less injuries even when
>we're talking about vehicles of relatively equal size.

Again, it all depends on a number of factors.

>In other

>words, in an accident involving two roughly equal size SUV's, the
>occupants will suffer less injuries than the occupants of two roughly
>equal small vehicles in a similar accident.

I see what you're getting at, Dwight. Probably true in many if not most cases.
>
> By the way, this pattern holds true even in countries where the
>typical vehicle is smaller than the typical American car. For
>example, in Germany, it is the larger vehicles (Volvo, BMW, etc) that
>have a much lower accident casualty rate than smaller cars (VW, etc),
>again even in accidents involving relatively equal size vehicles.
>
But size isn't the only difference in those cars. Price is another, and that
price often reflects more advanced technologies. Volvo has long included safety
features in its cars that other cars don't have.

> If this pattern is true, it is clear that larger vehicles (SUV's,
>Vans, etc) are actually much safer overall.

No, that does NOT follow. You need to include factors such as accident
avoidability. A small car that handles better may avoid an accident that a
bigger car cannot avoid.

>And, if that is true,
>then government agencies are actually contributing to highway
>casualties by urging people to drive smaller vehicles.

But it has not shown to be true. You say (very corectly) "if it is true".
Proving it true is a tough go.

>In other
>words, if highway safety is a real concern, than government agencies
>should be urging everyone to drive larger vehicles.

Nope. The situation is more complex than that.
>
> Of course, from the government's perspective, highway safety has to
>be weighed against fuel economy (pollution, etc).

Again, several factors are in play here:

Fuel efficiency
Air pollution
Wear on roads
Road capacity

>However, I'm
>convinced the government has gone too far in favor of fuel economy,
>sacrificing too much when it comes to highway safety. The larger
>vehicles (SUV's, Vans, etc) are a better compromise for all these
>factors than tiny cars that fall apart whenever a gust of wind hits
>them the wrong way.

I disagree - again, it's a complex situation. With their higher center of gravity, many SUVs and have worse rollover tendencies. Because large cars cost more to own and operate, the "large vs, small" choice for many people consists of an older model big car/SUV without the latest safety features vs. a later model small car with those features. Is an older SUV without air bags and antilock brakes safer than a newer model smaller car with those features? Not a simple question.

And I haven't seen the really government pushing for small cars since the Carter Administration. We now import more oil than 20 years ago, and the fleet fuel efficiency is static. Cars capable of 50+ MPG were being built 20+ years ago, but they lost popularity as soon as fuel supply and price stabilized. And I'm not talking about tiny cars, either.
>
>> I would say it was mostly pressure
>> from public opinion. It's just simple
>> physics that slower is safer. And
>> until you get down to 40 mph or so,
>> more energy efficient, too. [snip]
>
> Both of those (safety and energy efficiency) are based on a great
>number of factors - vehicle size, vehicle design, engine size, engine
>design, tire size, tire design, gear ratio, number of occupants, road
>conditions, and so forth.

Exactly!

>Therefore, it is very difficult to pick a
>single number (so many miles per hour) as best for anything beyond a
>very small sampling of vehicles.

True. That's why I wrote "down to 40 mph or so".

If you look at the fuel efficiency of any vehicle, you'll see it is quite low at low speeds, rises up to a certain speed, then drops again. The critical point where it begins to drop is below 55 mph for any modern vehicle.

>The larger the number of vehicles,
>the less accurate that number becomes. This is why proposed speed
>limits vary so greatly here and around the world.

No, that's not why. Speed limits are determined by a wide variety of factors. But the main point is this: Slower is safer. And down to a certain point, more fuel efficent. But the pressure of popular opinion has resulted in higher speed limits because safety and fuel efficiency are not given enough consideration.

The time saved in a 100 mile trip by increasing the speed limit from 55 to 65 is just 17 minutes (assuming a steady speed from start to finish and allowing no time for acceleration/deceleration at the ends). .

>> If the givt. was really serious about 55,
>> there's an easy way to enforce it.
>> Just get a fuel-efficient minicar, paint

>> it in bright colors, install a precision
>> cruise control system and put flashing
>> lights and the words "OFFICIAL PACE CAR"
>> all over it. Then have a state trooper
>> drive it down the highway in the left
>> lane at a steady 56 mph. Anybody who
>> passed it would be breaking the law, by
>> definition. But to my knowledge no state
>> ever tried such a system.
>
> Do you realize how many roads there are in this country, and how
>many "pace cars" would be needed to cover only a small percentage of
>those roads?

Sure. No way 100% enforcement could be done, or even 10%. But the same is true of any type of enforcement.

>In other words, your idea is not very realistic (which
>may be why no state has tried it).
>

Not realistic? The same can be said of radar enforcement - the police give out a few tickets, but the vast number of vehicles escape enforcement.

Point I'm trying to make is that radar enforcement only enforces the speed limit indirectly. "Pace cars" enforce it directly. As Cecil has pointed out, it DOES happen in some places. But pace cars don't generate any revenue, whereas giving out speeding tickets does.

Here's another idea: On toll roads here in the East, the typical method of toll collection is that you get a magnetic-stripe toll card when you enter, then you give it back upon exiting. The mag stripe tells the toll system where you got on so that the toll can be figured. It also tells when you got on so that you can't fool the system by swapping toll tickets with another driver. And the toll info goes to a central computer so that traffic patterns can be figured out.

It would be a simple matter to set up the system so that any toll card which gets to its destination faster than the speed limit causes the issuance of a speeding ticket. Of course such a system could be defeated by making a "pit stop" somewhere on the way, but in many cases people don't make such stops.

Interesting stuff, Dwight.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -5205295396085227232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 21:46:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer.monmouth.com!

```
news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 04:46:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9ea6jl$1f7$0@208.207.71.141>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<9e9h6b$126$1@208.207.71.143> <9e9vpv21ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21698
```

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <9e9h6b$126$1@208.207.71.143>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
> >> This is exactly the kind of attitude that will kill off amateur radio. We'll
> >> see who the "Dumbo of the Month" when large chunks of amateur spectrum get
> >> auctioned off to the highest bidder.
> >
> >
> >
> > Tell you what, clown - if you represent what that spectrum is being
> >reserved for., they can GIVE it away, no auction needed.
>
> Had a bit too much caffeine when you wrote that?

I've had it with your stupidity. You have no idea what this is all
about.
Not even a clue. Yet you come across as some selfappointed expert, so
archtypical of the no code mentality.

Yoiu know, the code itself has very little to do with any of this. It
just falls as a symbolism of the difference between those who did and
those who were just too useless.

From -5877940135616964727

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 21:54:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 21 May 2001 04:54:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 150
Message-ID: <9ea72l$2m8$0@208.207.71.141>
References: <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010519233245.06566.00004367@nso-fi.aol.com>
<3b088c99.2731499@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21699

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >I'd be interested in your direct response to the original question.
> >How can a ham handle traffic using Morse/CW if he isn't adequately
> >trained and experienced in that mode? Keep things like "operator
> >choice" or "necessity" out of the equation. Let's assume that
> >a situation arose where, in actual fact, the only way to get any kind
> >of message from point A to point B, considering conditions, power
> >availability, etc. is to use Morse/CW as opposed to any other mode.
> >How can this mode be effectively exploited if a trained, proficient
> >CW operator is not available?
>
> Larry,
> I'll bite... it can't then be used...BUT, that prospect
> is so remote a possibility that there is simply no reason
> to use it as a viable argument to sustain code testing
> requirements for ALL hams with HF licenses.
>

Here's a little report for your consideration... not that it'll matter
a bit to you, of course.

GMDSS is the Global Marine Distress and Signaling System that replaced
the decades old system of having wireless telegraphic distress (WT)
watches and

dedicated Radio Officers on each ship over 1600 GWT. (Large ships above about 350 feet).

GMDSS INSIGHT/OPINION - The following article written by Neville Cresdee appeared in Inmarsat's Ocean Voice April periodical. - - It may surprise some seafarers to know that Morse Code is still commonly used in the Mediterranean, Middle East and Asia, even though it ceased officially to be used worldwide on February 1, 1999. Many Third World countries cannot afford Global Maritime Distress and Safety System (GMDSS) equipment, regardless of International Maritime Organization guidelines.

The Morse Code has served for more than 160 years and its survival is a testimony to a form of telecommunication that relied on human abilities.

GMDSS is a powerful telecoms tool and a worthy replacement to Morse Code. However, as with Morse, it needs dedicated operators, such as specialist GMDSS communications officers, for it to be successful. Until governments understand this then the introduction of the new system will continue to flounder. Under the Morse system, the number of `false alerts` remained, in general, under 1 percent when human operators were involved. This is impressive and acknowledges the true worth of a human presence in a high-risk maritime working environment. However, we could now be in danger of wasting what previous generations have learned. The efficiency of the old system, using a radio officer, was tied to the fact that the ground rules, the basic distress structure, never changed. The system evolved out of a voluntary scheme at the turn of the 20th century, but the focus on the role of the operator remained undiminished. Today, its replacement, GMDSS, is floundering because the focus has shifted on to the importance of the technology employed. GMDSS administrators are repeatedly returning to the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) and changing requirements for the system's apparatus. All these costly and time-consuming changes are attempts to make the system easier for the operators, who basically have just two weeks' preparation in gaining an internationally recognized operating certificate. In reality, the changes probably only confuse them. The professional seafarer is already overworked in the automated era, with crew numbers having been cut. An informative GMDSS website, run by Densham and Associates of Australia, is used by the world's specialists on the system, including surveyors, legislators, technicians and operators, where they discuss the realities of its implementation. According to a contribution by a senior officer of the US Coast Guard, after two years of its using

GMDSS, the false-alarm rate for DSC had fallen from 99 percent to 95 percent and that for 406 MHz Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacons had decreased from 99.5 percent to 97 percent. In response, someone suggested that, had these figures been known in 1992 when GMDSS was introduced then nobody would have accepted it. Another contributor to the site claimed that in the past, in distress situations it was relatively easy for a radio officer to deal with call signs that were made up of four letters. Today, however, the modern operator has to deal with larger identity formats, such as "356433000", though these are mostly automated. Once a south European port sent a radio surveyor to test and certificate the GMDSS station I was on. The surveyor telephoned the local coast guard for its Mobile Maritime Service Identity (MMSI) number made up of nine digits, to set up a GMDSS DSC VHF public link call for testing purposes. After five minutes, the coast guard reported that nobody there knew the relevant MMSI number. It is imperative that the station ID is known by all on-site operators for distress situations, even though it has to be programmed into the DSC unit. Some administrations are considering replacing DSC for their telecoms; one Nordic country is proposing to accept Inmarsat-C and Navtex as the only GMDSS combination worthy of surveying and certifying aboard ships. This is contrary to existing IMO and national GMDSS regulations. All of us in the industry are guilty of not taking a long-term view of GMDSS. We have become blinded by the power of automation and its potential for saving costs, relegating the importance of the human factor. The price could be more maritime catastrophes. Shipping is a high-risk mode of transportation, but maritime training, especially in GMDSS, remains poor. Professional maritime bodies warn that the present apathy will produce a generation of seafarers lacking practical sea skills. Britain's first 360-degree field-of-view ship simulator has recently opened at the Lairside Maritime Center and has a 5-ton replica bridge and IT suite. But it remains an open question to what extent it will be used as part of `balanced` training involving `real` experience. Simulators seem to carry inherent risks as training aids as well. A study by the University of Illinois in Chicago has looked at the effects on 80 volunteers of being put in a flight simulator environment. It found that those who made decisions based on instrument readings made fewer errors than those who used `aids` that evaluated the results for them. I have found as well that some GMDSS software, when analyzing OTFs (Optimum Traffic Frequencies), can change the reading between 6 MHz and 16 MHz within several minutes. Rear Admiral John Lang, head of the UK`s Marine Accident Investigation Branch, in a report on a near collision, noted that the modern day officer of the watch has to be a radio officer, ship manager, navigator, lookout, helmsman and chief amendments officer for reams of regulations and documents. Small wonder, then, that such personnel are overworked and prone to mistakes. In 1968, when I was trained by old fashioned radio officers, it was quite usual to be a junior radio officer for up to three years, before being given your own ship. Hardware in those days was something you brought from the local ironmonger; today, it is the latest Pentium or Celeron. But one thing has not changed so much and that is human beings. Distress situations still require sharp responses, which are hindered by overwork. The human desire to interact as social beings at work has not changed, either. Reducing manpower and relying too heavily on automation reduces interaction between officers and crew.

From 3653851613877613298
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 22:00:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 04:57:41 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9ea785$e87$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <9e9h6b$126$1@208.207.71.143> <9e9vpv21ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990421061 14599 128.171.44.55 (21 May 2001 04:57:41 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 May 2001 04:57:41 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21701

>This is exactly the kind of attitude that will kill off amateur radio. We'll
>see who the "Dumbo of the Month" when large chunks of amateur spectrum get
>auctioned off to the highest bidder.

I can't see our HF spectrum going up for auction due to it being
an international resource; the FCC surely couldn't auction it.
Are you speculating that the ITU would have international
consortiums submit bids? If so, the amateurs of the world make up
one of the largest international communication consortiums -- with
our financial backing, we could have the IARU bid on it (and probably
win).

Now, if its our V/UHF spectrum you fear being auctioned, well, I won't especially miss it.

KH6O

From 7962840931100574447
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 22:00:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 05:00:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9ea7cj$3h4$0@208.207.71.141>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
<3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com>
<B_ZN6.3695$BN6.401479@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21700

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>

I believe it was late last Fall, that

> the ARRL itself announced through the Minutes of a BoD meeting that it was
> *considering* broadening the scope of the ARRL to other radio
> services/groups--and I believe that CB was specifically mentioned there.

You don't sppose Mr. Haney the REACT member had any input into that
discussion, do you? A discussion subject, by the way, that quickly died
out.

As

> a member of the ARRL, I would like to see representation extended to other

> services and I think that would have to mean that modifications to
> membership rules would have to be made.

Why, sure you would! It isn't enoiugh that ham radio testing has been made so dead easy that you can get your ham radio lciense with no more than CB learning and skills, you now want to complete the conversion of ham radio completely into CB. Not a bit surprising, I might add.

```
From -80742910365655131
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 22:04:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 05:04:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 112
Message-ID: <9ea7kc$47n$0@208.207.71.141>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21702
```

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com...
> > > In article <9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >

```
> > > >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> In article <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> > > >> >
> > > >> >
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> > > >> >> communications as a part of their mission statement.  Only then
> will
> > they
> > > >> >> truly be the "the national association for amateur
> radio"--emphasis
> > on
> > > >> >> *association*.
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >
> > > >> > And, of course, de-emphasis on "amateur radio". Of course.
> > > >>
> > > >> The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title
> > of the
> > > >> organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a
> > problem
> > > >> with including all radio communications?
> > > >
> > > >   Why would we NOT be surprised at such a question from you? Or Kim?
> > >
> > > We? I have a news flash for you, Dick-O. Kim and I aren't the only ones
> > that
> > > feel the same way. I, for one, ahve never been able to understand the
> > animosity
> > > taht exists between CBers and hams. There's good and bad in both, but to
> > say
> > > that one is better than the other is nothing but elitism. That attitude
> > has
> > > killed many good things.
> > >
> >
> > OOOOOOOOOOOOOOH the Ultimate Weapon or Holy Hand Grenade (take your pick)
> > has been released or heaved or something.  Elitism!  Isms out the waz.
> And
> > I should now shrivel up and shrink away like a dandelion zapped with
> 2-4-D.
> > In your dreams, Brayant.
> >
> > The animosity is fairly simple: those who got for free want more for free
> > and those who earned it see no good reason to suffer freeloaders.  Being
> > hungry does not entitle one to crash the Eagles Picnic; in fact being
> human
> > and alive confers no rights whatsoever -- non humans maybe.
> >
```

> > Accidents of birth are one thing, accidents of privlege another, but
> > achievement is no accident. One who has earned it owes precisely nothing
> to
> > those who have not, in theory, in practice, or any other way no matter how
> > much whining he hears. For one who has acheived in an area to say he is
> > 'better' may not be politically correct but if he does not think it he is
> an
> > idiot and if he does not say it he is a liar. All he risks is being
> > unpopular among those who could not carry his shorts for saying out loud
> > what everyone already knows.
> >
> > Dick N�BK
> >
>
> I find it interesting that this discussion has quickly degraded into some
> kind of ritualistic assessment of something being earned, when all that was
> being discussed was a broadening of the scope of representation for the ARRL
> to other radio services.

Why in hades should the ARRL *want* to 'broaden' itself to any other
radio service? The only conceivable answer would be the very obvious-
$$$$$$
As a member of long years standing, we DON'T need any of that sort of
money.

Ham radio licensing is not governed by the ARRL,
> so I don't understand the correlation. As to "accidents of privilege" there
> is no "built in" privilege to the ARRL just because one is an amateur radio
> operator--other than voting membership. *Anyone* may be a member of the
> ARRL, and *everyone* has to pay for that membership.

You should not have read so loosely. Only licensed ham radio operators
may be voting members. Non licensed people are associate members.

Or shall we turn
> ourselves into a closed club?

As far as voting goes, it has always been a closed club.

>
> Kim W5TIT

From 8616984433654042534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,55784fd508165077,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 22:10:06 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: GMDSS
Date: 21 May 2001 05:06:25 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 114
Message-ID: <9ea7oh$ekp$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990421585 15001 128.171.44.55 (21 May 2001 05:06:25 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 May 2001 05:06:25 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21703

Hope this isn't a duplicate -- previous post didn't seem to make it. Thought it might be of interest...

KH6O

ARA FREE PRESS - MAY 20, 2001
THE WEEKLY UNION NEWSPAPER OF THE SEA
MARINE ELECTRONICS DIVISION - ILA, AFL-CIO

GMDSS INSIGHT/OPINION - The following article written by Neville Cresdee appeared in Inmarsat's Ocean Voice April periodical. - - It may surprise some seafarers to know that Morse Code is still commonly used in the Mediterranean, Middle East and Asia, even though it ceased officially to be used worldwide on February 1, 1999. Many Third World countries cannot afford Global Maritime Distress and Safety System (GMDSS) equipment, regardless of International Maritime Organization guidelines. The Morse Code has served for more than 160 years and its survival is a testimony to a form of telecommunication that relied on human abilities. GMDSS is a powerful telecoms tool and a worthy replacement to Morse Code. However, as with Morse, it needs dedicated operators, such as specialist GMDSS communications officers, for it to be successful. Until governments understand this then the introduction of the new system will continue to flounder. Under the Morse system, the number of `false alerts` remained, in general, under 1 percent when human operators were involved. This is impressive and acknowledges the true worth of a human presence in a high-risk maritime working environment. However, we could now be in danger of wasting what previous generations have learned. The efficiency of the old system, using a radio officer, was tied to the fact that the ground rules, the basic distress structure, never changed. The system evolved out of a voluntary scheme at the turn of the 20th century, but the focus on the role of the operator remained undiminished. Today, its replacement, GMDSS, is floundering because the focus has shifted on to the importance of the technology employed. GMDSS administrators are repeatedly returning to the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) and changing requirements for the system's apparatus. All these costly and time-consuming changes are attempts to make the system easier for the operators, who basically have just two weeks' preparation in gaining an internationally recognized operating certificate. In reality, the changes probably only confuse them. The professional seafarer is already overworked in the automated era, with crew numbers having been cut. An informative GMDSS website, run by Densham and Associates of Australia, is used by the world's specialists on the system, including surveyors, legislators, technicians and operators, where they discuss the realities of its implementation. According to a contribution by a senior officer of the US Coast Guard, after two years of its using GMDSS, the false-alarm rate for DSC had fallen from 99 percent to 95 percent and that for 406 MHz Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacons had decreased from 99.5 percent to 97 percent. In response, someone suggested that, had these figures been known in 1992 when GMDSS was introduced then nobody would have accepted it. Another contributor to the site claimed that in the past, in distress situations it was relatively easy for a radio officer to deal with call signs that were made up of four letters. Today, however, the modern operator has to deal with larger identity formats, such as "356433000", though these are mostly automated. Once a south European port sent a radio surveyor to test and certificate the GMDSS station I was on. The surveyor telephoned the local coast guard for its Mobile Maritime Service Identity (MMSI) number made up of nine digits, to set up a GMDSS DSC VHF public link call for testing purposes. After five minutes, the coast guard reported that nobody there knew the relevant MMSI number. It is imperative that the station ID is known by all on-site operators for distress situations, even though it has to be programmed into the DSC unit. Some administrations are considering replacing DSC for their telecoms; one Nordic country is proposing to accept Inmarsat-C and Navtex as the only GMDSS combination worthy of surveying and certifying aboard ships. This is contrary to existing IMO and national GMDSS regulations. All of us in the industry are guilty of not taking a long-term view of GMDSS. We have become blinded by the power of automation and its potential for saving costs, relegating the importance of the human factor. The price could be more maritime catastrophes. Shipping is a high-risk mode of transportation, but maritime training, especially in GMDSS, remains poor. Professional maritime bodies warn that the present apathy will produce a generation of seafarers lacking practical sea skills. Britain's first 360-degree field-of-view ship simulator has recently opened at the Lairside Maritime Center and has a 5-ton replica bridge and IT suite. But it remains an open question to what extent it will be used as part of `balanced` training involving `real` experience. Simulators seem to carry inherent risks as training aids as well. A study by the University of Illinois in Chicago has looked at the effects on 80 volunteers of being put in a flight simulator environment. It found that those who made decisions based on instrument readings made fewer errors than those who used `aids` that evaluated the results for them. I have found as well that some GMDSS software, when analyzing OTFs (Optimum Traffic Frequencies), can change the reading between 6 MHz and 16 MHz within several minutes. Rear Admiral John Lang, head of the UK`s Marine Accident Investigation Branch, in a report on a near collision, noted that the modern day officer of the watch has to be a radio officer, ship manager, navigator, lookout, helmsman and chief amendments officer for reams of regulations and documents. Small wonder, then, that such personnel are overworked and prone to mistakes. In 1968, when I was trained by old fashioned radio officers, it was quite usual to be a junior radio officer for up to three years, before being given your own ship. Hardware in those days was something you brought from the local ironmonger; today, it is the latest Pentium or Celeron. But one thing has not changed so much and that is human beings. Distress situations still require sharp responses, which are hindered by overwork. The human desire to interact as social beings at work has not changed, either. Reducing manpower and relying too heavily on automation reduces interaction between officers and crew.

Neville Cresdee is an engineering technician and GMDSS/IT/communications officer. He has worked on board Greenpeace's flagship as a Radio/GMDSS officer and as Chief Radio/GMDSS officer on board a hospital ship and a refugee ship. He is dedicated to implementing and improving GMDSS to ensure safety of life at sea.

KH6O

From -8208649927108364130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 22:36:23 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 05:35:58 GMT
Message-ID: <3b08a853.87151136@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010520224007.23210.00000599@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010520230115.26676.00004316@nso-cg.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.20
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.20
X-Trace: 21 May 2001 00:31:43 -0500, 64.24.16.20
Lines: 10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21704

On 21 May 2001 03:01:15 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote:
>
>There's never a forklift around when you need one!

>
Oh Jesus Larry, I'm still outta control laughing, I needed that, tnx.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
w3rv

From -4409978987861984438
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 22:46:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 05:46:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9eaa43$asj$0@208.207.71.141>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <9e9h6b$126$1@208.207.71.143> <9e9vpv21ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <9ea785$e87$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21705

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> >This is exactly the kind of attitude that will kill off amateur radio. We'll
> >see who the "Dumbo of the Month" when large chunks of amateur spectrum get
> >auctioned off to the highest bidder.
>
> I can't see our HF spectrum going up for auction due to it being
> an international resource; the FCC surely couldn't auction it.
> Are you speculating that the ITU would have international
> consortiums submit bids? If so, the amateurs of the world make up
> one of the largest international communication consortiums -- with
> our financial backing, we could have the IARU bid on it (and probably
> win).
>

He's too clueless to realize that the FCC is not able to arbitrarily
auction off spectrum that has international assignments to hams.

> Now, if its our V/UHF spectrum you fear being auctioned, well, I
> won't especially miss it.

And this is where we WILL be losing specrum to demands of other services as the pressure for additional spectrum to service their needs grows. This WILL happen regardless of what hams do or do not.
I guess you can't expect erstwhile CB types to know anything about any of this.

From -2828517060583886823
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 00:25:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 07:24:57 GMT
References: <3b08a853.87151136@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010521032457.05514.00000836@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21706

>Subject: Re: Puke.
>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>Date: 5/21/01 12:35 AM Central Daylight Time

>>There's never a forklift around when you need one!

>Oh Jesus Larry, I'm still outta control laughing, I needed that, tnx.

Larry seems to be on a philanthropic mission to induce laughter with his every post lately.

Seems he's spreading it around a lot lately. Among OTHER things he spreads around........

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -9029618667403898698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 02:30:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-
sjo.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 05:40:28 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net>
References: <3b048673.3513559@news.mindspring.com>
<20010518203412.26720.00000692@nso-mg.aol.com> <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net>
<9e527a$6i0$0@208.207.71.144>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.14
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990437421 490846 207.144.64.14 (21 May 2001 09:30:21
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 09:30:21 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21707

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
>   ??? I thought everyone had had this
> experience - You're cruising well ahead
> of the 55MPH limit and find yourself
> catching up with the "pack", who for
> some reason turn out to be going -
> Surprise! 55 MPH. When you finally get
> to where you can see the source of the
> bottleneck, well, Surprise! again. It's
> a State Trooper, leading the pack at a
> legal double nickel, and gathering
> quite a following in the process. Then
> he makes an exit ramp, and just as
> soon as he's outta sight the race is,
> once again, ON.

I'm sorry, I thought we were talking using cars dedicated solely to this purpose. Yes, I have occasionally seen a line of cars behind a slower moving police vehicle. However, I have to agree with Larry - most cops don't have the time to do this very often (they have places to go - the donut shop just took a fresh batch out of the oven).

By the way, watch out if you're ever in this situation. Police officers have developed a ploy based on this. It goes like this. The officer will drive some distance to get a pile of vehicles behind him. At that point, he will exit the freeway. Of course, as you describe, the vehicles will speed up just as soon as the police car is out of sight. However, in this case, the officer reenters the freeway on the other side of the overpass and quickly comes up behind the cars that were just following him (the ones who sped up after he exited). I've seen this ploy used over and over, and it almost always works.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -7466085682028549l9
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 02:43:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 05:43:56 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3B08E356.F87F5B6A@sccoast.net>
References: <3b048673.3513559@news.mindspring.com> <20010518203412.26720.00000692@nso-mg.aol.com> <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net> <cStN6.16958$Az.1610872@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.14
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990438198 490742 207.144.64.14 (21 May 2001 09:43:18 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 09:43:18 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21708

Kim-W5TIT wrote:

>
> I, somehow, have this vision of you Dwight--
> constantly reading these statistical journals
> from all 'round. :)

My wife and I both read a lot (we share key points of what each reads). However, in this case, much of what I wrote was based on what I read in newspaper accounts of accidents, with some light reading of accident statistics to see if I could find the pattern there. As far as I know, there has been no in-depth study on this, but I certainly would like to see one done.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -1287741827572857171
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:05:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 06:06:05 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3B08E885.72F8C494@sccoast.net>
References: <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net> <20010519233247.06566.00004370@nso-
fi.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.14
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990439525 492701 207.144.64.14 (21 May 2001 10:05:25
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 10:05:25 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21710
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> This idea is a sound one, and could be
> implemented where it is needed the most

> -- on major Interstate spurs through
> large cities. [snip]

As I pointed out in an earlier message, accident prevention is not the only thing that has to be considered. If traffic is slowed down on major highways in large cities, the complaints from commuters will go up dramatically, as will traffic on alternative roads (with increased complaints from residents along those alternative roads). Employers in the area will complain because employees are arriving late because of the traffic jams. And merchants will complain as customers avoid the downtown area to escape the traffic jams. Add to all this, the cost of the vehicles involved in these "rolling pace cars," including the cost of gas and maintenance, and you quickly begin to realize this is not really a sound idea.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -7700792066822119000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:19:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 57
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 10:19:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.145
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990440366 12.75.103.145 (Mon, 21 May
```

2001 10:19:26 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 10:19:26 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21711

"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com...
> In article <W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net says...
>
> >OOOOOOOOOOOOOOH the Ultimate Weapon or Holy Hand Grenade (take your pick)
> >has been released or heaved or something. Elitism! Isms out the waz.
And
> >I should now shrivel up and shrink away like a dandelion zapped with
2-4-D.
> >In your dreams, Brayant.
> >
> >The animosity is fairly simple: those who got for free want more for free
> >and those who earned it see no good reason to suffer freeloaders. Being
> >hungry does not entitle one to crash the Eagles Picnic; in fact being
human
> >and alive confers no rights whatsoever -- non humans maybe.
> >
> >Accidents of birth are one thing, accidents of privlege another, but
> >achievement is no accident. One who has earned it owes precisely nothing
to
> >those who have not, in theory, in practice, or any other way no matter
how
> >much whining he hears. For one who has acheived in an area to say he is
> >'better' may not be politically correct but if he does not think it he is
an
> >idiot and if he does not say it he is a liar. All he risks is being
> >unpopular among those who could not carry his shorts for saying out loud
> >what everyone already knows.
> >
> >Dick N�BK
>
> Yet another myopic viewpoint.
>
> Would you care to elaborate how this theory of yours applies to the many
hams
> who enjoy *both* amateur and CB radio, and would like to see them both
> represented by a common entity?

Represented for what? One is a recognized hobby service with its own
traditions -- good or bad as you may like -- the other is a Land Mobile
service that has become a hobby and most certainly has traditions of its own
largely incompatible with the ARS. For why does CB require representation
at all?? Of what conceivable value is ARRL membership to the law-abiding
CB, FRS, etc user?

The difference is between the user of plumbing and the Plumbers Union. Both are perfectly admirable in themselves and do share a certain relationship; there are certainly porcelain fixtures at the Union Hall. But worshiping the ceramic god hardly makes one a plumber. Unless the object of common representation is an attempt to blur the distinction, what purpose could it serve?

Dick N�BK

From -4436276120074407082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:39:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 10:38:58 GMT
References: <20010519233245.06566.00004367@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010521063858.08733.00000495@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21712

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I'd be interested in your direct response to the original question.
>How can a ham handle traffic using Morse/CW if he isn't adequately
>trained and experienced in that mode?

How can a ham handle traffic in Latin if he or she is isn't adequately trained and experienced in that language.

>Keep things like "operator choice" or "necessity" out of the equation.

I think that the aspects of ham radio that are part of our examination requirements should be very much related to necessity.

> Let's assume that a situation arose where, in actual fact, the only
> way to get any kind of message from point A to point B, considering
> conditions, power availability, etc. is to use Morse/CW as opposed to any
> other mode.

That assumption doesn't hold water. Digitial modes can have a 10-15 dB advantage over OOK CW. If you want a regulation that will guarantee what you are claiming to want to accomplish, that regulation should require that a ham actually maintain a transmitter capable of emergency communications.

>How can this mode be effectively exploited if a trained, proficient
>CW operator is not available?

How can the 10-15 dB better digital mode be effectively exploited if hams are not required to have a station that can use that mode?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -6190429617591704648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:40:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 10:40:47 GMT
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21713
```

>Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Emergency CW can be effectively exploited at 3-5wpm by looking
>up characters on a chart.

I would patiently sit by and deal with emergency communication under those conditions. Perhaps Larry wouldn't handle emergency traffic at less than 20 wpm. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 2574004201678611200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:43:01 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 81
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 10:42:21 GMT
References: <20010520225919.26676.00004313@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010521064221.08733.00000497@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21714

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>The same thing was true for me
>before I took my first clarinet lesson. I had no idea I could play the
>thing before I tried it. Once my parents "required" me to give it a
>try, I found out I had talent for it. If I had never picked up a clarinet,
>how would I have known that?

Okay, you have given us two examples of things you wouldn't have tried unless
you were forced to. Of all the things in your life you have tried, what
percentage were forced and what percentage were voluntary?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>>The answer is that a ham learns whatever is necessary
>>to be successful at what he chooses to do in ham radio.
>
>I don't disagree with that at all.
>
>>What good is Morse code skill if the ham doesn't have the foresight to
>>own a CW rig?
>
>Not much, I'll admit. And without a requirement to learn the code,
>who's gonna bother to give it a try? I'll admit, some will, but the
>overwhelming majority won't. When the overwhelming majority
>*had* to give it a try, we got more avid CW users than we'll be
>getting out of the no-requirement vacuum.
>
>>> Let's assume that
>>> a situation arose where, in actual fact, the only way to get any kind
>>> of message from point A to point B, considering conditions, power
>>> availability, etc. is to use Morse/CW as opposed to any other mode.
>>> How can this mode be effectively exploited if a trained, proficient
>>> CW operator is not available?
>>
>>Emergency CW can be effectively exploited at 3-5wpm by looking up characters
>

```
>>on a chart.
>
>Perhaps, but it can be much more effectively exploited by an operator
>who already possesses adequate skill to send/receive messages in
>Morse code at 15 - 18 WPM -- which was the goal sought by the 20
>WPM code testing requirement for an Extra-class license.
>
>>I have seen my nieces and nephews doing just that with their CB
>>HTs that have a chart on the side and a button for MCW. Note that I am not
>>talking about passing a lot of traffic. For single point CW emergency
>>transmissions, the present 5wpm requirement is just fine.
>
>Perhaps, assuming the radio operator in question had a Morse code
>chart and the ingenuity to figure out a way to key his rig in CW mode.
>Someone who just learned enough about ham radio to achieve
>appliance-operator status may not necessarily be up to the task.
>
>>But to answer your question in a broader sense. Someone cannot use what they
>>don't have. How can CW be effectively exploited if a ham doesn't possess an
>>HF rig? How can people eat storage food that they forgot to store? Do you
>>have any storage food, Larry?
>
>Quite a bit, actually.  I could load up the trunk of my car, drive away, and
>be able to eat, drink, and take my prescribed medications for as much
>as 90 days.  I am also prepared to defend my stockpile from those
>who may not have provided as well for themselves.  I can also
>communicate in Morse/CW for one heck of a lot longer on a full charge
>of my car battery than I could on any voice mode.  That is, assuming
>I have another Morse/CW operator with which to communicate!
>How long can you communicate on a single charge of a typical
>car battery?
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>
>
>
>
>
>




From 1684880066230528899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:44:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
```

```
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 10:43:53 GMT
References: <20010520225919.26676.00004313@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010521064353.08733.00000498@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21715
```

W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>I have seen my nieces and nephews doing just that with their CB
>HTs that have a chart on the side and a button for MCW.

That can't be true, Cecil -- unless you forced them to do it. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7446019860580559133
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 05:29:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <KGtN6.16935$Az.1608381@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 12:29:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.232.203
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990275370 64.152.232.203 (Sat, 19 May
2001 05:29:30 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 05:29:30 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 05:27:46 PDT
```

(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21615

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com...
> On 17 May 2001 12:19:16 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >>
> >>   In case you haven't heard (after all, it was mentioned in the
> >> paragraph above), REACT has been drifting away from CB for many years.
> >> In fact, based on what I've seen recently, REACT is probably far more
> >> involved in other radio services than it is with CB today.
> >
> Check out their website, REACT is still blatently CB Ch9-centric.
> >
> w3rv
>

I cannot understand that a dislike for CB, (and FRS/MRS from other posts I've seen) runs so deep that using CB for emergency services is even shunned! Isn't there *any* redeeming quality in that Channel 9 *is* reserved and used for emergency use only? I would think we'd find it comforting that there are those non-ham folks who have radio services that are potentially life-saving to motorists, travelers and even shut-ins. In each of those examples I just noted, I have heard real-life scenarios played out on CB here locally.

That REACT has its roots in keeping CB "clean" and that there is such disdain from the ham radio community for that, really emphasizes the fact that personal feelings and prejudices are allowed to get in the way of common sense and sensibility. In my opinion, REACT is that much *more* adult-like in their approach to emergency communications for recognizing that all means of communication are viable and needed in an emergency.

If your distaste runs so deep for CB and other radio services because of bad operating practices in those bands, I suggest you also drop ham radio, as there are plenty of examples of bad operations in the ham bands--and don't even go to the "lite" hams; the bad operators I am speaking of are there nightly and have been for many years.

Good grief.

Kim W5TIT

From -7346259614879628304
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 05:31:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 12:31:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.232.203
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990275515 64.152.232.203 (Sat, 19 May
2001 05:31:55 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 05:31:55 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 05:30:10 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21616

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net...
> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >
> > GMRS and MURS are the only options
> > available to private individuals
> > who need to get away from the crap
> > on 27mHz in order to have decent
> > short-range utility comms. So REACT
> > has eaten them. Or something. Hams
> > rally around the ARRL flag, the
> > others rally around the REACT flag.
> > The pregnant question of course is
> > who will get the last bite down the
> > road and "unite" all of "us"? Whence
> > cometh my "Puke" thing.
>
>
>   No debate, Brian.  I just pointed out that REACT has moved, at least
> to some extent, away from CB radio into other radio services.
> However, no, I don't want to see ARRL turn into some type of CB
> organization.  But I really don't think a relationship between ARRL
```

> and REACT will do that. Many organizations have relations with a wide
> range of other organizations, but that doesn't necessarily change
> their emphasis.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>

I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
communications as a part of their mission statement. Only then will they
truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
association.

Kim W5TIT

From 8710203542700985064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 05:34:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010518062703.28252.00004235@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010518094600.06552.00000321@ng-ct1.aol.com> <3B053539.61719C35@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <mLtN6.16947$Az.1602932@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 12:34:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.232.203
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990275666 64.152.232.203 (Sat, 19 May
2001 05:34:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 05:34:26 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 05:32:41 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21617

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B053539.61719C35@IEEE.org...
> Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

> > Perhaps not him, but you certainly like to take your liberties with
anyone
> > you deem "inferior" to you...perhaps those whom you feel may have
wronged you
> > in another "career"...?!?!
>
> A glimpse into Larry's personality is his statement that virtually every
> one of Larry's bosses have been malevolent characters while, he as a boss,
> is the personification of benevolence.
>
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>

Yeah, and he's fine, just fine. It's the whole rest of the world that is
nuts... : o

Kim W5TIT

From -2613297868739581316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 05:37:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96>
<3B029853.EC5D39F5@IEEE.org> <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>
<9e19t90281@enews2.newsguy.com> <9e25b3$c46$0@208.207.71.162>
<9e4ncv014jp@enews4.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <FOtN6.16953$Az.1610228@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 12:37:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.232.203
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990275877 64.152.232.203 (Sat, 19 May 2001 05:37:57 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 05:37:57 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net

```
X-Received-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 05:36:13 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21618

"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9e4ncv014jp@enews4.newsguy.com...
> In article <9e25b3$c46$0@208.207.71.162>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >
> >
> >No wonder you can't learn the code, TL. You can'd read simple EAnglish.
>
> I think you're confusing English with what cave men used to speak.
>
>

ROFLMAO!!!!  ^5

Kim W5TIT




From 9028135757642320930
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 05:41:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b048673.3513559@news.mindspring.com>
<20010518203412.26720.00000692@nso-mg.aol.com> <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 90
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <cStN6.16958$Az.1610872@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 12:41:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.232.203
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990276104 64.152.232.203 (Sat, 19 May
2001 05:41:44 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 05:41:44 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 05:40:00 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21619
```

I, somehow, have this vision of you Dwight--constantly reading these
statistical journals from all 'round. :)

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Opposing these are factors that tend to
> > increase the casualties:
> >
> > - Bigger and heavier trucks
>
>
>   I suspect that is a myth. We already know the occupant of a larger
> vehicle (SUV, Van, etc) will suffer less injuries in a accident with a
> smaller vehicle. However, based on what I've seen and read, the
> occupants of a larger vehicle will also suffer less injuries even when
> we're talking about vehicles of relatively equal size. In other
> words, in an accident involving two roughly equal size SUV's, the
> occupants will suffer less injuries than the occupants of two roughly
> equal small vehicles in a similar accident.
>
>   By the way, this pattern holds true even in countries where the
> typical vehicle is smaller than the typical American car. For
> example, in Germany, it is the larger vehicles (Volvo, BMW, etc) that
> have a much lower accident casualty rate than smaller cars (VW, etc),
> again even in accidents involving relatively equal size vehicles.
>
>   If this pattern is true, it is clear that larger vehicles (SUV's,
> Vans, etc) are actually much safer overall. And, if that is true,
> then government agencies are actually contributing to highway
> casualties by urging people to drive smaller vehicles. In other
> words, if highway safety is a real concern, than government agencies
> should be urging everyone to drive larger vehicles.
>
>   Of course, from the government's perspective, highway safety has to
> be weighed against fuel economy (pollution, etc). However, I'm
> convinced the government has gone too far in favor of fuel economy,
> sacrificing too much when it comes to highway safety. The larger
> vehicles (SUV's, Vans, etc) are a better compromise for all these
> factors than tiny cars that fall apart whenever a gust of wind hits
> them the wrong way.
>
>
> > I would say it was mostly pressure
> > from public opinion. It's just simple
> > physics that slower is safer. And
> > until you get down to 40 mph or so,

```
> > more energy efficient, too.  [snip]
>
>
>   Both of those (safety and energy efficiency) are based on a great
> number of factors - vehicle size, vehicle design, engine size, engine
> design, tire size, tire design, gear ratio, number of occupants, road
> conditions, and so forth.  Therefore, it is very difficult to pick a
> single number (so many miles per hour) as best for anything beyond a
> very small sampling of vehicles.  The larger the number of vehicles,
> the less accurate that number becomes.  This is why proposed speed
> limits vary so greatly here and around the world.
>
>
> > If the givt. was really serious about 55,
> > there's an easy way to enforce it.
> > Just get a fuel-efficient minicar, paint
> > it in bright colors, install a precision
> > cruise control system and put flashing
> > lights and the words "OFFICIAL PACE CAR"
> > all over it. Then have a state trooper
> > drive it down the highway in the left
> > lane at a steady 56 mph. Anybody who
> > passed it would be breaking the law, by
> > definition. But to my knowledge no state
> > ever tried such a system.
>
>
>   Do you realize how many roads there are in this country, and how
> many "pace cars" would be needed to cover only a small percentage of
> those roads?  In other words, your idea is not very realistic (which
> may be why no state has tried it).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net




From 2836448977512228790
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 05:47:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b048a6b$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
```

```
<20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com> <9e3ib101sum@enews3.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <OXtN6.16965$Az.1612720@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 12:47:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.232.203
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990276462 64.152.232.203 (Sat, 19 May
2001 05:47:42 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 05:47:42 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 05:45:57 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21620
```

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net> wrote in message
news:9e3ib101sum@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> "Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com...
> >
> >   Care to open a "Yahoogroups" reflector on the matter, Charles? The
> only
> > way you will get any REASONABLE discussion is via a moderated forum that
> > seperates the flamethrowers from the flock.
> >
>
> Right now I am not interested in altering this newsgroup, just monitoring
it
> for relevance (and finding very little of it). I'm not interested in
> starting up any new ones, either. What I would prefer to do is look for
> something already in place; A serious place to discuss Ham Radio Policy
> issues.
>
> 73 DE Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>

In my limited experience with all things related to internet access, Chat Rooms, Usenet, Newsnet, Discussion Groups, etc., that are unmoderated and, heck, even most of those that are, are not the place for anything remotely related to serious discussion.

Especially this NG :)

Kim W5TIT

From 8801696744622184469
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 07:04:34 PST
Message-ID: <3B067D13.5D42F85@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 09:02:59 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<KGtN6.16935$Az.1608381@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp 990280587 64.240.47.86 (19 May 2001 08:56:27 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!
local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21621

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> I cannot understand that a dislike for CB, (and FRS/MRS from other posts
> I've seen) runs so deep that using CB for emergency services is even
> shunned!

One cold night in West Texas during an ice storm, I was stranded on the
side of a lonely stretch of I-10. I couldn't raise any repeaters or hams.
A CBer came to my rescue.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5767417200937716013
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 07:14:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 19 May 2001 14:14:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.133
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21622

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
>
> I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> communications as a part of their mission statement. Only then will they
> truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
> *association*.
>

And, of course, de-emphasis on "amateur radio". Of course.

From -5113744068037438808
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 10:24:31 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 17:24:10 GMT
Message-ID: <3b066e3d.248007323@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3B0286E1.FC0FC0DB@IEEE.org> <20010518203411.26720.00000691@nso-
mg.aol.com>

```
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.187
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.187
X-Trace: 19 May 2001 12:19:58 -0500, 64.24.18.187
Lines: 17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21623

On 19 May 2001 00:34:11 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>No infection, no ill effects - must have been a clean snake.
>
>Great! Personally, I don't trust any land animal that doesn't have shoulders.
>
Grizzlies have hulking shoulders. You trust one of them when they're
up on their hind legs?
>
>When is the next rrap net?
>
OK, OK, OK, DOAN NAG ME . . !
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv
```

```
From -2033346166505973324
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 10:48:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <slyN6.55$BN6.6402@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 17:48:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.118.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990294488 64.157.118.71 (Sat, 19 May
2001 10:48:08 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 10:48:08 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 10:46:26 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21624

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> >
> > I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> > communications as a part of their mission statement.  Only then will
they
> > truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
> > *association*.
> >
>
>  And, of course, de-emphasis on "amateur radio". Of course.
>

That's not my sentiment or desire at all, Dick.  Or, did you mean that for
yourself?

Kim W5TIT




From -7383060108384687487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 13:49:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
```

Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <__AN6.101$r4.3338@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 16:48:58 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 20:48:58 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21625

Kim-W5TIT says...

>
>I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
>communications as a part of their mission statement. Only then will they
>truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
>*association*.

I prefer to keep the emphasis on Amateur Radio. That doesn't mean that hams can't cooperate with other radio services (indeed we ought to), but Amateur Radio needs an organization which first and foremost focuses on our special circumstances.

Where our interests intersect ARRL certainly should form alliances with other groups (REACT, EIA/ANSI, IEEE, AMCROSS/SALVATION ARMY, MARS, CAP etc.), but those alliances must be secondary, not the primary mission of the "National Association for Amateur Radio".

73, Hans, K0HB

From -4172431381924696600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 18:50:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 75
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 01:49:12 GMT
References: <3B028734.4CF8B714@psu.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: PRS -- Amateur Radio Alternative?
Message-ID: <20010519214912.06566.00004357@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21626

In article <3B028734.4CF8B714@psu.edu>, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>
> Depends on if the lad wants to become an electroniker or not. He might even want to become a Ham someday.
>
> But seriously, there is a part of the spectrum available to those who don't want to learn anything.
>
> - mike -

Mike:

Exactly. I've said many times over the years that I support the liberal allocation of RF spectrum at VHF and above to people who desire effective, reliable land/mobile personal communications, but don't necessarily have the desire to become radio amateurs. In fact, even licensed hams could make use of such a service, since there would not be any sort of "no business use" rule attached. We can't say that such a service already exsists, and look to GMRS as an example, because GMRS infrastructure (repeaters) is not as widespread as anything known to amateur radio operators on the 2m and 440 MHz bands, and there are very few channels. I've always looked at the amateur 1.25m band as a good place for some reallocation, since 220 FM/repeater operation is largely unheard of in most part of the country. I forsee a new kind of "radio club" in which a group of 1.25 meter non-ham LMR users get together, pay a fairly large initiation fee ($100 - $250 range) and annual dues to "belong" to a local repeater system, with "roaming" privileges to other systems. I forsee this service having 50 or more "channels" permitting coordinated, coast-to-coast repeater service, and another 25 or so strictly for local simplex and simplex digipeater systems. Since users would be paying for their service, the local club could afford to put good, solid equipment into good sites in high places with adequate coverage. REACT and other groups could glom onto this for emergency communications, as an adjunct to present Children's Band and GMRS operations. There would be licensing, rules to obey (with stiff penalties for not doing so), call signs which must be used, and strict, immediate, step-out-of-line-and-you're-toast enforcement -- primarily in being shut out of local repeaters by dictatorial control operators. And for all of this, there isn't even any mention anywhere of things like "code" and "testing" -- and there shouldn't be. I would call it the Personal Radio Service, or PRS.

I think the obvious organization to implement such a service is the ARRL, which could then refer to itself as the "National Organization for Amateur and Personal Radio Services." The two would then be properly separated, their participants allowed to comingle at will, but since one is no longer the other, there will no longer be any jealousy, animosity, or whining about things like code tests. If you want to be a ham, you meet the requirements. If not, you can meet any conceivable personal communications need (except, perhaps, for HF DX'ing) in the Personal Radio Service for a much lower investment than a cellphone and a year's worth of airtime.

Of course, with the implementation of the PRS, we would then revert back to Pre-Restructuring licensing standards in the ARS, with no looking back, and no whining. This includes three-level code testing at 5, 13, and 20 WPM, and a return to the five-level license class standard, sans Novice: Tech (no-code), Tech Plus, General, Advanced, and Extra. The ARRL would perform all licensing and callsign database management, thus giving that organization some juicy growth to stimulate their interest. All the FCC would do is rubber stamp licensing policies and provide the enforcement authority. Privatization of government services at its finest.

Thoughts/comments? Good idea or all wet? If not, why not?
If it's a good idea, why haven't we done it yet?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7968743719269846113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 18:54:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 20 May 2001 01:54:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9e783r$6sh$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<KGtN6.16935$Az.1608381@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B067D13.5D42F85@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21627

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> One cold night in West Texas during an ice storm, I was stranded on the
> side of a lonely stretch of I-10.

You sure have a helluva lot of car breakdowns at night out on the cold
prairie. Or.....

From 8014378659443710868
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 19:52:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 20 May 2001 02:08:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-604.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21628

In article <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

```
>
>
>
>Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>>
>>
>> I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
>> communications as a part of their mission statement.  Only then will they
>> truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
>> *association*.
>>
>
> And, of course, de-emphasis on "amateur radio". Of course.

The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title of the
organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a problem
with including all radio communications?




From -3479052674928750535
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 19:52:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 21:14:34 -0500
Organization: http://extra.newsguy.com
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9e798f013kk@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3b048a6b$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com> <9e3ib101sum@enews3.newsguy.com>
<OXtN6.16965$Az.1612720@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-665.newsdawg.com
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21629


"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
news:OXtN6.16965$Az.1612720@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

>snip<
>
> In my limited experience with all things related to internet access, Chat
> Rooms, Usenet, Newsnet, Discussion Groups, etc., that are unmoderated and,
```

> heck, even most of those that are, are not the place for anything remotely
> related to serious discussion.
>

Yes, it's amazing... The technology has far outdistanced our own
development.

Give 'em a book, and they'll tear out the pages.

73 DE Charles, N5PVL

```
From 7413673496127228462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 20:25:01 PST
Message-ID: <3B0738A6.B9D755E7@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 22:23:18 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<KGtN6.16935$Az.1608381@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B067D13.5D42F85@IEEE.org> <9e783r$6sh$0@208.206.142.91>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.82
X-Trace: corp 990328605 64.240.47.82 (19 May 2001 22:16:45 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21630
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>

```
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > One cold night in West Texas during an ice storm, I was stranded on the
> > side of a lonely stretch of I-10.
>
> You sure have a helluva lot of car breakdowns at night out on the cold
> prairie. Or.....

Am I limited to mentioning a breakdown only once per year or what?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -2949847621556209172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 20:33:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 03:32:46 GMT
References: <20010516054629.23321.00000004@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010519233246.06566.00004368@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21631

In article <20010516054629.23321.00000004@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>Or that enough hams will choose CW on their own that any need for Morse code
>in
>the Amateur Radio Service will be adequately met.  The recent rules changes
>have not slowed me down. :-)


Ed:

Maybe so, but what would be your code speed today if a testing
requirement had never existed?
```

I know what mine would be: ZERO!!!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4716361677155299824
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 20:33:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 03:32:45 GMT
References: <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010519233245.06566.00004366@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21632

In article <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Do you think demonstrating Ohm's law knowledge is sufficient to ensure that
>you
>will create a rig that operates within the rules?
>

Ed:

Creating a rig that operates within the rules requires professional electronics training and experience which surpasses the requirements for amateur radio licensing. All a ham needs is to be aware of these requirements, be able to ascertain, using simple techniques, that he is, in fact, in compliance, and understand the importance of limiting interference originating from his station. I'd rather that a ham know how to properly adjust his Mic gain and ALC controls than be able to render all the permutations of Ohm's Law.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -9212693583555905296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 20:33:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 03:32:49 GMT
References: <9e20va023bh@enews4.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Message-ID: <20010519233249.06566.00004372@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21633

In article <9e20va023bh@enews4.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
Bryant) writes:

>>I'll lurk a few days, then give it another year, I suppose. I'm a real
>>optimist.
>>
>>73 DE Charles, N5PVL
>
>
>Why not try posting some opinions on other policy issues? If you want to
>discuss them, you're the one who's going to have to take the first step. No
>one
>else is going to do it for you.

Tom:

I was about to say the same thing; thanks for taking the words right
out of my fingertips!  There are plenty of amateur radio policy issues
of interest to me, and I'd be perfectly willing to read everyone's
opinions on them, as well as offer my own.  S25.5 is a perfect
example -- K1ZZ's editorial about same in this month's QST was
a real eye-opener.  There's much more there than code testing --
and we hams need to be aware of this.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 5798450709319378229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 20:33:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!
not-for-mail
```

Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 03:32:46 GMT
References: <20010515060647.14902.00002659@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010519233246.06566.00004369@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21635

In article <20010515060647.14902.00002659@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>Hams have always self-trained in Morse code, Dick. Many learned the code
>before becoming hams. Do you really believe that Morse code is so onerous
>that
>people won't learn it because of its inherent value? If so, then you do not
>believe it has inherent value. I disagree.

Ed:

It wasn't the "inherent value" of the Morse code that made *me* learn
it! It was the code testing requirement! I wanted to be a ham; and,
specifically, one who could talk into a microphone on the HF bands.
THAT is why I learned the Morse code. Once I learned the Morse
code, and did so at a useful proficiency level, I learned some things
about the Morse/CW mode that I never guessed I'd learn -- mainly,
that I found it to be a very fun, challenging, and immensely useful
mode! If "no-code" licensing had been the paradigm in 1981, I would
NEVER have bothered with it -- and that's the truth!

Why did you learn the code, Ed?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8284799909852784950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 20:33:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 03:32:45 GMT

References: <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010519233245.06566.00004367@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21636

In article <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>> How can ANY traffic be handled via radiotelegraphy - the most basic
>>>radiocommunciations mode - without knowledgable hams being trained in
>>>it?
>
>>Maybe it's because most of the traffic that hams handle isn't that
>important.
>
>And most of that isn't handled on CW, and in the relatively rare cases where
>CW
>is used, it is operator choice, not necessity.

Ed:

I'd be interested in your direct response to the original question.
How can a ham handle traffic using Morse/CW if he isn't adequately
trained and experienced in that mode? Keep things like "operator
choice" or "necessity" out of the equation. Let's assume that
a situation arose where, in actual fact, the only way to get any kind
of message from point A to point B, considering conditions, power
availability, etc. is to use Morse/CW as opposed to any other mode.
How can this mode be effectively exploited if a trained, proficient
CW operator is not available?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1333935514385708920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 20:33:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 03:32:48 GMT
References: <9e527a$6i0$0@208.207.71.144>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler

Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010519233248.06566.00004371@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21634

In article <9e527a$6i0$0@208.207.71.144>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>
> ??? I thought everyone had had this experience - You're cruising well
>ahead of the 55MPH limit and find yourself catching up with the "pack",
>who for some reason turn out to be going -Surprise! 55 MPH. When you
>finally get to where you can see the source of the bottleneck, well,
>Surprise! again. It's a State Trooper, leading the pack at a legal
>double nickel, and gathering quite a following in the process. Then he
>makes an exit ramp, and just as soon as he's outta sight the race is,
>once again, ON.

Dick:

Just where the heck have you ever seen a State Trooper going at a legal double-nickel? Those guys have only two speeds -- Flash past you fast enough to suck the paint off your car, and Hide behind a billboard with the Laser gun! Of course, when it's the end of their shift, they can go even faster when headed back for the troop barracks!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 546345590826821846
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 20:33:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 03:32:47 GMT
References: <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010519233247.06566.00004370@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21638

In article <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> Do you realize how many roads there are in this country, and how
>many "pace cars" would be needed to cover only a small percentage of
>those roads? In other words, your idea is not very realistic (which
>may be why no state has tried it).
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

Dwight:

This idea is a sound one, and could be implemented where it is needed the most -- on major Interstate spurs through large cities. On I-95 between the Maryland/Delaware border, thru Philadelphia to the New York State line, the speed limit is set at 55, but the average pace of traffic in some areas is at least 70, with a lot of cars trying to do 85 or more. "Pacing" this traffic down to 55 or 60 could save a great number of accidents and save a lot of lives. A dozen or so pace cars could control the overall speed on this stretch of highway quite effectively. I also believe that more effective enforcement of aggressive driving needs to be implemented -- preferably with helicoptors monitoring for same and notifying patrol cars which vehicles to pull over after witnessing aggressive acts. In four years spent travelling I-95 working for an airport shuttle service, I've seen enough aggressive driving to convince me that this is a major safety hazard that needs to be effectively policed -- and the troopers can't do that while they're holed up behind billboards trying to ensnare the speeders they can catch.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -9078370344640437023
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 20:33:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 03:32:50 GMT
References: <3B03793C.E6BE5CB1@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010519233250.06566.00004373@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21637

In article <3B03793C.E6BE5CB1@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
>W6RCecilA wrote:
>>
>> Dwight Stewart wrote:
>> >
>> >   Well, I think the key to that
>> > sentence is the words, "I've known."
>> > Since I have no idea who you've known,
>> > those words leave little room for
>> > discussion.
>>
>> Now wait just a minute, Dwight. Those
>> three math, science, and electronics guys
>> that Larry has known are probably decent
>> enough people and simply cannot be
>> dismissed out of hand.
>
>
> My apologies to his three friends. I'm sure they're fairly decent
>people and my intent wasn't to dismiss them. My intent was to dismiss
>Larry, or, at least, Larry's argument. :-)

Dwight:

On behalf of my three friends, thank you very much. I could care
less whether or not you dismiss my arguments, since I'm just as
likely to dismiss yours. But if you dismiss what I consider to be
three of the best hams I've ever met, you're really going to annoy me!

BTW -- not that it matters, but of the "three," two are total supporters
of code testing, and one basically doesn't have any stated opinion one
way or the other. Code testing is the third rail of ham radio politics --
you touch it, and you get zapped! He chooses not to touch it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3109653988198089813
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 20:33:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Date: 20 May 2001 03:32:44 GMT
References: <OXtN6.16965$Az.1612720@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Message-ID: <20010519233244.06566.00004365@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21639
```

In article <OXtN6.16965$Az.1612720@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>
>In my limited experience with all things related to internet access, Chat
>Rooms, Usenet, Newsnet, Discussion Groups, etc., that are unmoderated and,
>heck, even most of those that are, are not the place for anything remotely
>related to serious discussion.
>
>Especially this NG :)

Kim:

In my vast experience with all things related to the Internet, Chat Rooms, Usenet, Fidonet, etc. is that in moderated or unmoderated forums, serious issues can be discussed seriously as long as they are stated in a manner which motivates and inspires the participants to provide serious, thoughtful replies. I started my "career" in online discussion groups when I read a no-coder literally refer to those who supported code testing requirements as doing something equivalent to "ethnic cleansing." Had the individual merely stated his heart-felt disagreement with code testing requirements, I'd have probably taken his personal opinions at face value and respected them for what they were -- *his* opinions! My reply, if any, would have been to merely disagree, and explain why. It was this particular participant's attitude toward those he disagreed with that "set me off," and the rest is history.

Is there a topic you wish to discuss "seriously" here in this NG? If so, I'm sure you can do so, and if you present it the right way, you will be very satisfied with the results. I know, because I've done it many times over the years. My experience is that if you behave like a person who is to be taken seriously, then you *will* be taken seriously!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 5941646048320885751
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 21:11:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
```

newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <OXtN6.16965$Az.1612720@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010519233244.06566.00004365@nso-fi.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <auHN6.1388$9D5.142625@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 04:11:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.93.122
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990331910 209.244.93.122 (Sat, 19 May 2001 21:11:50 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 21:11:50 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 21:10:03 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21640

You are a legend in your own mind, Larry, and only yours...I'm sure.

Kim W5TIT

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010519233244.06566.00004365@nso-fi.aol.com...

> Is there a topic you wish to discuss "seriously" here in this NG?
> If so, I'm sure you can do so, and if you present it the right way, you
> will be very satisfied with the results. I know, because I've done it
> many times over the years. My experience is that if you behave
> like a person who is to be taken seriously, then you *will* be taken
> seriously!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1491748961344068537
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 21:59:36 PST

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 20 May 2001 04:59:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21641



"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >> I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> >> communications as a part of their mission statement.  Only then will they
> >> truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
> >> *association*.
> >>
> >
> > And, of course, de-emphasis on "amateur radio". Of course.
>
> The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title of the
> organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a problem
> with including all radio communications?

   Why would we NOT be surprised at such a question from you? Or Kim?


From 1433617221591244931
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 22:20:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 23:42:37 -0500
Organization: http://extra.newsguy.com
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9e7hte0cdn@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <9e20va023bh@enews4.newsguy.com> <20010519233249.06566.00004372@nso-fi.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-896.newsdawg.com
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21642

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010519233249.06566.00004372@nso-fi.aol.com...

>snip<
>
> Tom:
>
> I was about to say the same thing; thanks for taking the words right
> out of my fingertips! There are plenty of amateur radio policy issues
> of interest to me, and I'd be perfectly willing to read everyone's
> opinions on them, as well as offer my own. S25.5 is a perfect
> example -- K1ZZ's editorial about same in this month's QST was
> a real eye-opener. There's much more there than code testing --
> and we hams need to be aware of this.
>

My work is done. Although no new, meaningful policy discussions have arisen here, there is at least now some positive sentiment being put forward about the concept. The possibility is being considered, which means that my hopes and expectations have been exceeded.

I just figured that everybody would tell me to take a hike, check out alt.whine.recursive   or something like that.

...But there's always what some may see as a cloud hanging overe this bit 'o sunshine. I probably won't wait so long now, before coming back to take another look. I feel encouraged.

73 DE Charles, N5PVL

```
From -4670104957643055445
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 23:32:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 02:32:53 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3B07650B.2034B593@sccoast.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-124.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990340327 420593 204.116.70.125 (20 May 2001 06:32:07
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 06:32:07 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21643

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > I'd like the ARRL to continue on its
> > path to embracing all radio communications
> > as a part of their mission statement.  Only
> > then will they truly be the "the national
> > association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
> > *association*.
> >
>
>  And, of course, de-emphasis on "amateur radio".
> Of course.
```

Why would you believe that one (association with another group) leads to the other (de-emphasis on ham radio)? Almost every organization has associations with other groups (actually, most likely a long list of other groups - some directly related and some vaguely related). Your town likely has associations with other towns. Your local school likely has associations with other schools. Your local hospital likely has associations with other hospitals. The same with almost every other group, club, association, or business, in your town.

None of this suggests for a moment that those groups are changing their own emphasis, or performing their own objective in a less effective manner. Why, then, would it be different for the ARRL? In fact, I'd be very surprised if ARRL doesn't already have associations with a long list of other groups.

In other words, Dick, lets not jump the gun and panic here. The fact that ARRL is reaching out to other groups does not, in itself, suggest any change in the emphasis of the ARRL.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 2792938466234598615
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 00:01:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 03:02:25 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3B076BEF.B4DF5FCF@sccoast.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net> <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <KGtN6.16935$Az.1608381@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3B067D13.5D42F85@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-124.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990342098 421015 204.116.70.125 (20 May 2001 07:01:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 07:01:38 +0000 (UTC)

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21644

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > I cannot understand that a dislike
> > for CB, (and FRS/MRS from other posts
> > I've seen) runs so deep that using
> > CB for emergency services is even
> > shunned!
>
> One cold night in West Texas during an
> ice storm, I was stranded on the side
> of a lonely stretch of I-10. I couldn't
> raise any repeaters or hams. A CBer
> came to my rescue.

As much as I love ham radio, I still have a CB in the SUV. I don't use it very much (in fact, it stays off much of the time), but I don't think I'd be willing to travel any distance without it. And it has come in very handy at times. For example, I got lost in Houston during the afternoon rush hour (took a wrong turn on the freeway) and a local trucker gave me directions to quickly get back on the correct road again. Without his assistance, it may have taken hours with the maze of one way streets and bumper to bumper traffic.

It has also led to some funny moments also. I was hauling tail (yes, I was speeding) down I-95 in Georgia and came up behind a slower truck passing a still slower car. In a moment of silliness, I got on the radio and called out for the "red Schneider truck on I-95" to, "Get out of my way - I'm in a hurry and you're slowing me down." The driver, upon hearing my comments, hit his brakes really hard and moved into the right lane behind the car he had been passing. Of course, in addition to surprising me, this sudden move by the trucker also surprised the car he was passing, who slowed down even more (probably thinking a cop was coming). Anyway, the trucker and I talked (and had a good laugh) for the next fifteen miles until I started getting out of range. It was a moment of silliness in an otherwise long boring trip.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -5269386321540733441
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 00:06:52 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 03:07:40 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3B076D28.77157B3F@sccoast.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<KGtN6.16935$Az.1608381@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B067D13.5D42F85@IEEE.org> <9e783r$6sh$0@208.206.142.91>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-124.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990342411 423132 204.116.70.125 (20 May 2001 07:06:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 07:06:51 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21645

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > One cold night in West Texas during an
> > ice storm, I was stranded on the side
> > of a lonely stretch of I-10.
>
> You sure have a helluva lot of car
> breakdowns at night out on the cold
> prairie. Or.....

Have you ever been to West texas, Dick? There are some seriously long, lonely, stretches of road out there, especially if you break down at night (something I've avoided in doing so far - though I did come close to running out of gas one night).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 3826241356648888742
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 00:31:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 07:31:21 GMT
References: <9e4n6q$7k4$2@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010520033121.23307.00000640@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21646

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 5/18/01 9:53 PM Central Daylight Time

>Jim Kelley wrote:

>> What happened to the Hans who once offered me this sage advice:
>> "Gentlemen can disagree without being disagreeable"

> Maybe that's the time he thought he was wrong and turned out to be
>right...

Just like Grampa Lennie, it's a matter of "Do What I Say, Don't Do What I Do."

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 4557731860771002041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 00:38:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 07:38:10 GMT
References: <20010519233244.06566.00004365@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Message-ID: <20010520033810.23307.00000641@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21647

>Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/19/01 10:32 PM Central Daylight Time

>My experience is that if you behave
>like a person who is to be taken seriously, then you *will* be taken
>seriously!

Which means *you* will never be taken seriously, Larry. Not here, anyway.

>I know, because I've done it many times over the years.

Such as...?!?!

From 1511569203249485011
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 05:04:03 PST
Message-ID: <3B07B255.943ED838@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 07:02:29 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <9e527a$6i0$0@208.207.71.144> <20010519233248.06566.00004371@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp 990359758 64.240.47.124 (20 May 2001 06:55:58 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!

```
feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21648

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Just where the heck have you ever seen a State Trooper going at a
> legal double-nickel?   Those guys have only two speeds -- Flash
> past you fast enough to suck the paint off your car, and Hide
> behind a billboard with the Laser gun! ...

Around here, we sometimes have very long construction zones. Quite
often, a Texas Highway Patrolman will drive back and forth along
the construction zone at the legal speed limit which is usually
45mph. Most of the his time is spent slowing down traffic, not
giving tickets.

This seems to be just another example of the problems you have
with authority figures.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 1153422602635935107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 05:09:28 PST
Message-ID: <3B07B39A.79401638@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 07:07:54 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010515060647.14902.00002659@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010519233246.06566.00004369@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp 990360082 64.240.47.124 (20 May 2001 07:01:22 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

```
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.kjsl.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21649
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> It wasn't the "inherent value" of the Morse code that made *me* learn
> it! It was the code testing requirement!

I was introduced to Morse code in the cub scouts and learned it because
it was fun and my cousin and I could send secret messages between our
houses next door to each other. Years later, when I found out about
amateur radio, I had not forgotten Morse code.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1808315062840385512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 05:19:22 PST
Message-ID: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 07:17:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010519233245.06566.00004367@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp 990360680 64.240.47.124 (20 May 2001 07:11:20 -0500)
Lines: 35
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21650

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I'd be interested in your direct response to the original question.
> How can a ham handle traffic using Morse/CW if he isn't adequately
> trained and experienced in that mode?

Why are there 35wpm Morse code operators when 35wpm has never been a
requirement? The answer is that a ham learns whatever is necessary
to be successful at what he chooses to do in ham radio. What good
is Morse code skill if the ham doesn't have the foresight to own
a CW rig?

> Let's assume that
> a situation arose where, in actual fact, the only way to get any kind
> of message from point A to point B, considering conditions, power
> availability, etc. is to use Morse/CW as opposed to any other mode.
> How can this mode be effectively exploited if a trained, proficient
> CW operator is not available?

Emergency CW can be effectively exploited at 3-5wpm by looking up characters
on a chart. I have seen my nieces and nephews doing just that with their CB
HTs that have a chart on the side and a button for MCW. Note that I am not
talking about passing a lot of traffic. For single point CW emergency
transmissions, the present 5wpm requirement is just fine.

But to answer your question in a broader sense. Someone cannot use what they
don't have. How can CW be effectively exploited if a ham doesn't possess an
HF rig? How can people eat storage food that they forgot to store? Do you
have any storage food, Larry?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7594666842224782330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 05:22:35 PST
Message-ID: <3B07B695.BEDD6556@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 07:20:37 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010516054629.23321.00000004@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010519233246.06566.00004368@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp 990360844 64.240.47.124 (20 May 2001 07:14:04 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21651

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Maybe so, but what would be your code speed today if a testing
> requirement had never existed?
>
> I know what mine would be: ZERO!!!

So you are too lazy to learn Morse code without being forced to do
so by the requirement even though you know how effective CW is?
Thank God, most hams are not like you, Larry.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3360106243981379895
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 08:39:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>

```
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 68
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <9zRN6.2147$9D5.275514@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 15:39:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.116.133
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990373189 64.157.116.133 (Sun, 20 May
2001 08:39:49 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 08:39:49 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 08:38:03 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21652

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91...
>
>
> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >
> > In article <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >>
> > >>
> > >> I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> > >> communications as a part of their mission statement.  Only then will
they
> > >> truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis
on
> > >> *association*.
> > >>
> > >
> > > And, of course, de-emphasis on "amateur radio". Of course.
> >
> > The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title of
the
> > organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a
```

problem
> > with including all radio communications?
>
>   Why would we NOT be surprised at such a question from you? Or Kim?
>

Only one try, Dick, at a serious discussion without getting into making it yet another dragged out name-calling session.

You did not approach an answer for the question asked above. And, while I did not ask it as you implied, I'll reiterate now (paraphrased) for the sake of conversation: If I am an ARRL member and I support the move to encompassing more radio services than just the ham radio folks, I ask why you--presumably as an ARRL member, but at any rate--have a problem with including all radio groups under the umbrella?

If one is to believe that the ARRL brings "credibility" to the ham radio bands and our specific hobby; then wouldn't they also do so to other radio services--and isn't that a plus for us in that it *may* "clean up" the other services as well?

If one is to believe that the ARRL facilitates focus on enforcement issues for our specific hobby; then wouldn't they also do so for other radio services--and isn't that a plus for us that it *may* "clean up" the other services, provide our communities with many other alternatives for emergency services, create standards across the board for communications via RF, and give families and schools that much more opportunity to have a viable "project" they can all work on? FRS does not now have a national organization with resources for equipment standards, communications standards, etc. ARRL could do that. It could do the same thing for CB, GMRS, etc.

There's a lot of potential, that I personally think you are overlooking, for all radio services to be included in the ARRL--think for example of the larger budget they would have! The question was asked, you retorted with nothing more than a brief attempt to make it seem as though the idea and anyone who supports it is below your standards. What are your standards, Dick? Serious, now, remember.

Kim W5TIT

From -4520793566393302265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 08:40:22 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 15:39:49 GMT

```
Message-ID: <3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.97
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.97
X-Trace: 20 May 2001 10:35:36 -0500, 64.24.17.97
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21653

On 20 May 2001 02:08:34 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:
>
>The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title of the
>organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a problem
>with including all radio communications?
>
You just won the rrap "Dumbo of the Month Award" for that one.
>
If you don't want that award inflicted on you The Committee has
decided that it might cut you some slack if you can satisfactorily
explain how the word "Relay" got into the title of the ARRL.
>
w3rv



From 2109480776085488775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 08:52:07 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 15:51:43 GMT
Message-ID: <3b07e5bd.37333943@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.97
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.97
```

```
X-Trace: 20 May 2001 10:47:30 -0500, 64.24.17.97
Lines: 16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21654

On Fri, 18 May 2001 22:37:17 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>
> Many organizations have relations with a wide
>range of other organizations, but that doesn't necessarily change
>their emphasis.
>
Not arguable on any logical bases. But then comes the smell of it
which has nothing to do with "logic" and I don't like what I'm
smelling. My nose has seldom failed me, my "logical proceesing" has.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
w3rv



From 5436861458226523790
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 09:00:41 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 15:56:54 GMT
Message-ID: <3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.97
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.97
X-Trace: 20 May 2001 10:52:40 -0500, 64.24.17.97
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21655
```

```
On Sat, 19 May 2001 12:31:55 GMT, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote:
>
>I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
>communications as a part of their mission statement.  Only then will they
>truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
>*association*.
>
Yeah, sure Kim. QST should address the interests of the local FM
broadcasters, police dispatchers, wireless modem users and F/A-18
jocks who use "radio". Luv it.
>
>Kim W5TIT
>
w3rv



From -7817706910255797992
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 09:05:18 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 16:02:09 GMT
Message-ID: <3b07e9a0.38329221@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b048a6b$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com> <9e3ib101sum@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3b05640d.179888661@news2.dvol.com> <9e4n41$7k4$1@208.207.71.139>
<9e5k4s01u8o@enews3.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.97
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.97
X-Trace: 20 May 2001 10:57:56 -0500, 64.24.17.97
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21656

On Sat, 19 May 2001 06:08:21 -0500, "Charles Brabham"
<n5pvl@the-i.net> wrote:
>
>
>Are you trying to get me interested?
>
Precisely Sir what incentive do you suppose I might have for doing any
such thing??
>
```

```
>73 DE Charles, N5PVL
>
w3rv



From -5695123754414602942
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 09:35:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 16:34:30 GMT
References: <3b066e3d.248007323@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010520123430.12759.00001310@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21657

In article <3b066e3d.248007323@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 19 May 2001 00:34:11 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>>
>>>No infection, no ill effects - must have been a clean snake.
>>
>>Great! Personally, I don't trust any land animal that doesn't have
>shoulders.
>>
>Grizzlies have hulking shoulders. You trust one of them when they're
>up on their hind legs?

I trust them to at like grizzlies.
>>
>>When is the next rrap net?
>>
>OK, OK, OK, DOAN NAG ME . . !

I was just asking Cecil......
>>
73 de Jim, N2EY



From 7734917008291443694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 10:46:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 20 May 2001 17:45:22 GMT
References: <3B067D13.5D42F85@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010520134522.02712.00000417@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21658

>One cold night in West Texas during an ice storm, I was stranded on the
>side of a lonely stretch of I-10. I couldn't raise any repeaters or hams.
>A CBer came to my rescue.

Nice to hear something positve mentioned every now and then re. CB/11 meters.
Glad to hear you got help, Cecil. Take care and 73.

Mark



From -6165962421279255489
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 10:57:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 17:57:19 GMT
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.112.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990381439 64.157.112.234 (Sun, 20 May 2001 10:57:19 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 10:57:19 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 10:55:33 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21659

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message news:3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com...
> On Sat, 19 May 2001 12:31:55 GMT, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote:
> >
> >I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> >communications as a part of their mission statement. Only then will they
> >truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
> >*association*.
> >
> Yeah, sure Kim. QST should address the interests of the local FM
> broadcasters, police dispatchers, wireless modem users and F/A-18
> jocks who use "radio". Luv it.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
> w3rv
>

I would differ with you on that, Brian. The commercial broadcasters have plenty of professional unions and associations they can avail themselves of. You took literally my statement of "all radio communications" and used it to slam the ARRL being a broad-based association idea as a whole. Now that I have clarified that I mean non-commercial radio, what ideas against such broadening of the scope of the ARRL do you have? If you wish, I could specifically the radio services that I am including, but I think you knew that.

Kim W5TIT

From -4081545460212450673
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 11:08:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>

From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B067D13.5D42F85@IEEE.org> <20010520134522.02712.00000417@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 51
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <iKTN6.2420$9D5.306542@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 18:08:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.112.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990382094 64.157.112.234 (Sun, 20 May 2001 11:08:14 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 11:08:14 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 11:06:28 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21660

Mark:

I don't know if it's still going on, but the last time I listened (about 2 years ago) with any regularity to CB, I actually heard an active traffic net on 37 LSB! I didn't listen long enough to learn much about it, I was dialing through the CB I was listening to just to see how much activity there was.

At any rate, I found it very interesting and encouraging that these folks were struggling through the noise and distractions inherent with CB radio and making a truly good use of the service. I have since purchased the Cobra CB radio, but haven't had it on long enough to tell if there is any regular activity like that. The idea I had was that CB, at a minimum, would be an excellent source for using radio to communicate while DFing interfering signals, would be an excellent source for "busting" illegal operations, and--think about it--ham radio cannot be used for commercial activities, right?

Think about the combination of ham radio and CB radio in helping out stranded motorists, campers, etc., who either are hams or are around hams? Quite a few wrecker services around here (and I'm sure elsewhere), have CB radios set up in their trucks and at their business locations. A ham radio operator with a CB could contact a wrecker service for assistance, communicate directly with them over the CB service, then advise the stranded motorist on ham radio that help is on the way. I know that I won't risk my ham radio license to call a wrecker service, or any other commercial entity through an autopatch--CB solves that problem.

Using CB during DFing is a seriously artful way in which to employ CB. The dork causing the deliberate interference is likely *not* listening to their CB at the point when they are being located and discovered. Sure, other

bands such as 220, etc., are probably even more artful...but they're also
more expensive.

73 de W5TIT - Kim

"RadioHead11m" <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010520134522.02712.00000417@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> >One cold night in West Texas during an ice storm, I was stranded on the
> >side of a lonely stretch of I-10. I couldn't raise any repeaters or hams.
> >A CBer came to my rescue.
>
> Nice to hear something positve mentioned every now and then re. CB/11
meters.
> Glad to hear you got help, Cecil. Take care and 73.
>
> Mark
>

From 1309694836845598226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 11:44:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!
212.74.64.35!colt.net!ams-newsfeed.speedport.net!newsfeed.speedport.net!feed-
out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
<3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 14:43:51 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sun, 20 May 2001 18:43:51 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21661

Kim-W5TIT says...

>Now that I have clarified that I mean non-commercial radio, what ideas
>against such broadening of the scope of the ARRL do you have? If you
>wish, I could specifically the radio services that I am including, but
>I think you knew that.

Kim,

It's not clear yet to me what "non-commercial" means in this context, and to what extent you want ARRL to "broaden" itself.

As you know, the ARRL bylaws currently limit voting membership to *licensed amateurs*, and requires Directors and Officers to be licensed amateurs. This, in and of itself, self-limits the major focus of the organization to Amateur Radio matters (although the organization frequently cooperates with other organizations on areas of mutual interest).

So when you propose "broadening the scope", do you suggest that non-amateurs could have voting membership, and that Directors and Officers need not be licensed as a requirement for election?

Would you suggest, for example, that the President of ARRL could be a non-licensed person whose interest in radio was as the communications officer of the NYC Fire Department, and that the 15 ARRL Directors might be a CB'er, a CAP member, a GMRS repeater owner, the communications officer of the Minnesota DNR, the Commandant of the Coast Guard, a Lt in the California Highway Patrol, a scoutmaster who uses FRS to communicate with his troop on campouts, a MURS operator, and other similar non-amateur-licensed persons who all have a non-commercial interest in the use of radio?

Can you imagine that such an organization would be good for Amateur Radio?

73, Hans, K0HB

From -6167348675666930925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 12:11:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 20 May 2001 19:09:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9e94q6$lmv$0@208.207.71.143>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net> <3b07e5bd.37333943@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21662
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

>
> On Fri, 18 May 2001 22:37:17 -0400, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>
> > Many organizations have relations with a wide
> >range of other organizations, but that doesn't necessarily change
> >their emphasis.
>
> Not arguable on any logical bases. But then comes the smell of it
> which has nothing to do with "logic" and I don't like what I'm
> smelling. My nose has seldom failed me, my "logical proceesing" has.

Forsooth. Regardless how well they dress it up and the quality of the perfume they splash on, REACT "ain't no kin to Pappy!"

```
From -4473417773042O7231
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 12:12:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 20 May 2001 19:12:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9e94us$lmv$1@208.207.71.143>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
```

<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.143
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21663

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Sat, 19 May 2001 12:31:55 GMT, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote:
> >
> >I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> >communications as a part of their mission statement. Only then will they
> >truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
> >*association*.
> >
> Yeah, sure Kim. QST should address the interests of the local FM
> broadcasters, police dispatchers, wireless modem users and F/A-18
> jocks who use "radio". Luv it.

Well, whadda ya expect, Brian? That she shouldn't one day be able to
ask, in all innocence - "Ham Radio exam? What's that??" We're almost
there already.

From 7263195679195195197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-20 14:53:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 20 May 2001 21:09:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>

```
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-488.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21664

In article <9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>
>> In article <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
>> >> communications as a part of their mission statement.  Only then will they
>> >> truly be the "the national association for amateur radio"--emphasis on
>> >> *association*.
>> >>
>> >
>> > And, of course, de-emphasis on "amateur radio". Of course.
>>
>> The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title of the
>> organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a problem
>> with including all radio communications?
>
>   Why would we NOT be surprised at such a question from you? Or Kim?

We? I have a news flash for you, Dick-O. Kim and I aren't the only ones that
feel the same way. I, for one, ahve never been able to understand the animosity
taht exists between CBers and hams. There's good and bad in both, but to say
that one is better than the other is nothing but elitism. That attitude has
killed many good things.



From -7013384297796567904
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 19:34:07 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsfeeds.sol.net!newspump.sol.net!
169.207.30.12.MISMATCH!posts0.nwblwi.newsops.execpc.com!posts.news.net-link.net!
reader0-klmzmi.news.net-link.net!not-for-mail
From: "Larry Klose" <lklose@net-link.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

References: <9e1jab0e39@enews2.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 22:32:58 -0400
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3b048a6b$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: NetLink Systems - Kalamazoo, MI
NNTP-Posting-Host: 6a5471e3.news.net-link.net
X-Trace: DXC=YkFJhTCLNZ<KcT1HbNQe82LoK8f=:?J80[JFGR4UMKE07De4II:F;F7JamboPPn?
@;lBFDaL\d^n5Z8ElO>9`MN3
X-Complaints-To: abuse@net-link.net
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21565

Charles,

The Morse code exam matter over shadows all other
amateur radio policy matters. As some one said,
"if you can not stand the QRM, stay off the channel",
or something like that. Good luck. :-)

See you next year.

Larry KC8EPO

~~~~~~
"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net> wrote in message
news:9e1jab0e39@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Two years ago, disgusted that a usenet group devoted to Amateur Radio
Policy
> issues had degenerated into a clique of regulars endlessly arguing about
> Morse Code, leaving no room for discussion of policy issues, I quit
visiting
> here.
>
> A year later I checked back: Same thing.
>
> Another year: Same thing...
>
> Can anyone direct me to a usenet group where Amateur Radio Policy IS
> discussed? Someplace where the discussion is not lost in the noise?
>
> I'll lurk a few days, then give it another year, I suppose. I'm a real
> optimist.
>
> 73 DE Charles, N5PVL
~~~~~~

```
From -3728470725059324481
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 20:20:30 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed1.bredband.com!bredband!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newshub2.home.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: 18 May 2001 02:21:30 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9e20va023bh@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <9e1jab0e39@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-118.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21566

In article <9e1jab0e39@enews2.newsguy.com>, n5pvl@the-i.net says...
>
>Two years ago, disgusted that a usenet group devoted to Amateur Radio Policy
>issues had degenerated into a clique of regulars endlessly arguing about
>Morse Code, leaving no room for discussion of policy issues, I quit visiting
>here.
>
>A year later I checked back: Same thing.
>
>Another year: Same thing...
>
>Can anyone direct me to a usenet group where Amateur Radio Policy IS
>discussed? Someplace where the discussion is not lost in the noise?
>
>I'll lurk a few days, then give it another year, I suppose. I'm a real
>optimist.
>
>73 DE Charles, N5PVL


Why not try posting some opinions on other policy issues? If you want to
discuss them, you're the one who's going to have to take the first step. No one
else is going to do it for you.



From -7509101787863295755
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 20:36:04 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 18 May 2001 03:36:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9e25b3$c46$0@208.207.71.162>
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96>
<3B029853.EC5D39F5@IEEE.org> <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>
<9e19t90281@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.162
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21567



"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >
> >
> >
> >W6RCecilA wrote:
> >>
> >> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> > So when do you plan to start jumping onto all the jokers who are so
> >> > stupid that they blame all code suporters for the fact that they've been
> >> > unable meet the requirements for a HF ham license?
> >>
> >> Nobody blames the code supporters, Dick. Right now, S25.5 is getting
> >> *all* the blame for the outmoded code requirement.
> >
> >
> > You need to read a little closer, Cecil. They indeed do blame code
> >tested hams who believe in the viability of code and the needed testing
> >to support it for their own personal shortcomings.
>
> It's good to see you finally admit the real reasons you want to retain code
> testing. I'm saving this quote, just in case you try to weasel your way out of
> it by saying I misquoted you.
```

No wonder you can't learn the code, TL. You can'd read simple EAnglish.

```
From 4701876166086000507
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 21:08:47 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 18 May 2001 04:08:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9e2789$glg$0@208.207.71.141>
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96>
<3B029853.EC5D39F5@IEEE.org> <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>
<9e19t90281@enews2.newsguy.com> <3B04530B.D33BAF14@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.141
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21568
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >
> > In article <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >>
> > >> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > You need to read a little closer, Cecil. They indeed do blame code
> > >tested hams who believe in the viability of code and the needed testing
> > >to support it for their own personal shortcomings.
> >
> > It's good to see you finally admit the real reasons you want to retain code
> > testing.
>
> Oooops, Dick dangled his participle in public again. I warned him about

> that but he doesn't listen.

Yeah I did, and it cornfused hell all out of poor ol' TL.

From -5427972205525587536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 21:25:20 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 00:26:08 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 66
Message-ID: <3B04A441.6E07F331@sccoast.net>
References: <W4sM6.25755$4f7.1959326@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010517100402.03759.00001569@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.34
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990159920 292832 207.144.64.34 (18 May 2001 04:25:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 04:25:20 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21569

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Precisely! Not only have I written volumes in
> this newsgroup, but I have also READ volumes
> here. Those we refer to as the "no-coders" are
> certainly not deserving of any special
> consideration with regard to their polite,
> even-handed comportment during this debate.
> Those of us who support traditional licensing
> standards have been accused of every possible
> crime that can be committed against the ARS,
> and single-handedly blamed for its failure to
> attract bright, young, computer-literate
> newcomers. Their smug, politically-correct
> attitude emulates the New-Age liberals they
> wish to embrace as advocates to their cause.
> And the worst part is that they must, by now,

> realize that they were totally wrong about the
> effect of reduced licensing standards. It is
> not attracting anyone to the ARS in any
> significant numbers, much less the young people
> they said would beat down the doors. They've
> been caught in their lies and deception, and
> their only way out is to discredit those of us
> who were right all along.

Larry, that is absolute BS, and you know it. I have talked to you many times in this newsgroup about the code testing requirement, and never once have I been anything other than polite to you. I have never called you names in any discussion relating to code testing (though I have uttered a few choice words while reading your insulting responses). And I have never accused you of any, or every, "possible crime that can be committed against the ARS." And I dare you to show one message I've written to you about code testing that proves anything in this paragraph to be untrue.

In response to that politeness, you have repeatedly called me names, repeatedly attacked my character, repeatedly distorted my position, and repeatedly accused me of spreading lies and deception. To be perfectly honest, I'm getting tired of your hate-filled rhetoric directed at those opposed to code testing, and to new hams in general. I'm tired of your attempts to dump everyone opposed to code testing into the negative stereotype you're trying to promote above. And I'm tired of your attempts to belittle, and ridicule, new hams simply because we fail to meet your standards when it comes to morse code.

I've met a lot of people in my life, and, without a doubt, based solely on what you've written in these newsgroups, you are perhaps the most bigoted, rude, person I have ever had a discussion with. Now you insist that you're different outside these newsgroups - that you're really a nice guy in real life. That might be possible if the attitude displayed was an occasional thing. But, since it has been consistent and pervasive in the messages you've posted over a number of years, it is really hard to believe you can just switch it off when you leave these newsgroups.

Anyway, regardless of that, I'm not asking for any special consideration, Larry (when it comes to ham radio or these code discussions). I'm simply asking for the SAME consideration I've shown you. And I don't really think that is too much to ask.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 3046178381725132784
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 21:43:26 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 00:43:47 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3B04A860.B1103E84@sccoast.net>
References: <3B024C09.81148025@sccoast.net> <20010517100358.03759.00001567@nso-
ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.34
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990160978 294689 207.144.64.34 (18 May 2001 04:42:58
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 04:42:58 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21570
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> That's where you would be wrong. Absolutely ALL of the
> participants in this newsgroup do so willingly. Nobody
> here is being compelled by any outside influence to do
> so. And if you're going to accuse someone of "verbally
> pounding on another human being," you've got the wrong
> man, anyway. That's K4YZ's schtick.

Not so, Larry. I come to this newsgroup to discuss the issues that interest me - not to be called names, have my character attacked, have my positions distorted, and so forth, by you. Nobody would do that willingly (which is why I'm discussing it with you now). Yes, I come to this newsgroup willingly, but I do not have to willingly accept what you say about me. And, when I'm talking about "verbally pounding on another human being," I most certainly have the right person. It has become almost a routine in the messages you post (to me and others).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From -3336356025258678777

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 22:05:07 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 01:05:56 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.34
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990162304 298362 207.144.64.34 (18 May 2001 05:05:04
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 05:05:04 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21571
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

>
> Check out their website, REACT is still
> blatently CB Ch9-centric.

The last time I checked with REACT, there were three REACT groups in this general area. Only one of the three seems to have any involvement with CB radio, and none seem to be involved with monitoring CB channel 9. One meets at a local airport and has something to do with private aircraft (don't ask me). One is a off-road racing club. And the third was started by a scuba-diving club (again, don't ask me).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -7954259671262130776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-17 22:34:45 PST
```

Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rsm1.occa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <W4sM6.25755$4f7.1959326@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010517100402.03759.00001569@nso-ch.aol.com> <3B04A441.6E07F331@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 73
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <Uv2N6.405$fS4.655309@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 05:34:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.16.178.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rsm1.occa.home.com 990164084 24.16.178.66 (Thu, 17 May 2001
22:34:44 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 May 2001 22:34:44 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21572

Well stated. Theses pro-code attitudes will be the downfall of amateur
radio.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B04A441.6E07F331@sccoast.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > Precisely! Not only have I written volumes in
> > this newsgroup, but I have also READ volumes
> > here. Those we refer to as the "no-coders" are
> > certainly not deserving of any special
> > consideration with regard to their polite,
> > even-handed comportment during this debate.
> > Those of us who support traditional licensing
> > standards have been accused of every possible
> > crime that can be committed against the ARS,
> > and single-handedly blamed for its failure to
> > attract bright, young, computer-literate
> > newcomers. Their smug, politically-correct
> > attitude emulates the New-Age liberals they
> > wish to embrace as advocates to their cause.
> > And the worst part is that they must, by now,
> > realize that they were totally wrong about the
> > effect of reduced licensing standards. It is
> > not attracting anyone to the ARS in any
> > significant numbers, much less the young people
> > they said would beat down the doors. They've
> > been caught in their lies and deception, and
> > their only way out is to discredit those of us
> > who were right all along.

```
>
>
>   Larry, that is absolute BS, and you know it.  I have talked to you
> many times in this newsgroup about the code testing requirement, and
> never once have I been anything other than polite to you.  I have
> never called you names in any discussion relating to code testing
> (though I have uttered a few choice words while reading your insulting
> responses).  And I have never accused you of any, or every, "possible
> crime that can be committed against the ARS."  And I dare you to show
> one message I've written to you about code testing that proves
> anything in this paragraph to be untrue.
>
>   In response to that politeness, you have repeatedly called me names,
> repeatedly attacked my character, repeatedly distorted my position,
> and repeatedly accused me of spreading lies and deception.  To be
> perfectly honest, I'm getting tired of your hate-filled rhetoric
> directed at those opposed to code testing, and to new hams in general.
>  I'm tired of your attempts to dump everyone opposed to code testing
> into the negative stereotype you're trying to promote above.  And I'm
> tired of your attempts to belittle, and ridicule, new hams simply
> because we fail to meet your standards when it comes to morse code.
>
>   I've met a lot of people in my life, and, without a doubt, based
> solely on what you've written in these newsgroups, you are perhaps the
> most bigoted, rude, person I have ever had a discussion with.  Now you
> insist that you're different outside these newsgroups - that you're
> really a nice guy in real life.  That might be possible if the
> attitude displayed was an occasional thing.  But, since it has been
> consistent and pervasive in the messages you've posted over a number
> of years, it is really hard to believe you can just switch it off when
> you leave these newsgroups.
>
>   Anyway, regardless of that, I'm not asking for any special
> consideration, Larry (when it comes to ham radio or these code
> discussions).  I'm simply asking for the SAME consideration I've shown
> you.  And I don't really think that is too much to ask.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net




From 1171532573314301978
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 03:14:05 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
```

mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 10:13:18 GMT
References: <9e0fda$g8u$0@208.206.142.111>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bit o' Humor
Message-ID: <20010518061318.28252.00004234@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21573

In article <9e0fda$g8u$0@208.206.142.111>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>
>A SOUTHERN POEM
>
>   Pappy's Gal
>
>   Suzy Lee fell in love.
>   She planned to marry Joe.
>   She was so happy bout it all,
>   she told her pappy so.
>
>   Pappy told her, "Suzie Gal"
>   you'll have to find another.
>   I'd just as soon yo maw don't know,
>   but Joe is yo half-brother.
>
>   So Suzie forgot about her Joe
>   and planned to marry Will.
>   But, after telling pappy this
>   he said "There's trouble still".
>
>   You can't marry Will, my gal,
>   and please don't tell yo mother,
>   cause Will and Joe and several mo,
>   I know is yo half-brother"
>
>   But Mama knew and said "Honey chile,
>   do what makes yo happy.
>   Marry Will or marry Joe,
>   You ain't no kin to pappy!"

Dick:

Now we're going to hear a lot of whining from the 4-landers on 75-meters about how mean to them you were!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5256322158765462210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 03:28:05 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 10:27:03 GMT
References: <3B04A860.B1103E84@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010518062703.28252.00004235@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21574

In article <3B04A860.B1103E84@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> That's where you would be wrong. Absolutely ALL of the
>> participants in this newsgroup do so willingly. Nobody
>> here is being compelled by any outside influence to do
>> so. And if you're going to accuse someone of "verbally
>> pounding on another human being," you've got the wrong
>> man, anyway. That's K4YZ's schtick.

> Not so, Larry. I come to this newsgroup to discuss the issues that
>interest me - not to be called names, have my character attacked, have
>my positions distorted, and so forth, by you. Nobody would do that
>willingly (which is why I'm discussing it with you now). Yes, I come
>to this newsgroup willingly, but I do not have to willingly accept
>what you say about me. And, when I'm talking about "verbally pounding
>on another human being," I most certainly have the right person. It
>has become almost a routine in the messages you post (to me and others).
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

Dwight:

Well, you're going to have your own distorted viewpoint no matter
what I say, but I most certainly have NOT been "verbally pounding"
on you, personally. I happen to disagree with the politically-correct,
anti-code testing point of view, and am able to express that

disagreement articulately and with great conviction. This is obviously a threat to you, since you cannot support your own position as effectively. So, therefore, this new whine about how I'm being so mean and nasty to little old you. Sorry. No cigar. Whine away -- I could care less how insecure and ineffective you make yourself look in this newsgroup.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 5094896690197320945
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b52ecf0f1eb9624a
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 05:45:22 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-
mail
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: "Export" Radios
Date: 18 May 2001 05:45:21 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0105180445.67774c52@posting.google.com>
References: <VPBK6.25$j65.893@www.newsranger.com>
<20010510192053.20819.00002234@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>
<11052001124234506 9%mmcginley@kscable.comSTOPSPAM>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.198.173.200
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 990189921 21422 127.0.0.1 (18 May 2001 12:45:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 18 May 2001 12:45:21 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21575
```

On second thought,

The HR2510/Lincoln are great for using with a transverter though. Plus, if you're an EX-freebander turned legal amateur licensee, it's a kick to be able to use the same rig to obtain multi-mode 2 meter performance with the addition of a Ten-Tec 1210 transverter. Down East Microwave, Inc. also offers really nice 2 meter transverters. You retain all of the features of your 10 meter rig such as speech processor, additional filtering, repeater offsets, etc. Now there's a useful purpose for an "export" rig. (Which the HR2510/Lincoln are NOT, BTW.)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 623940806577824216

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 06:08:18 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 13:08:00 GMT
Message-ID: <3b051703.160177091@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9e1jab0e39@enews2.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.133
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.133
X-Trace: 18 May 2001 08:03:50 -0500, 64.24.16.133
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!
chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21576

On Thu, 17 May 2001 17:29:11 -0500, "Charles Brabham"
<n5pvl@the-i.net> wrote:

>I'll lurk a few days, then give it another year, I suppose. I'm a real
>optimist.
>
This NG was spun off from rec.radio.amateur.misc specifically to
provide a home for the code test debate which was overloading rram and
it's still serving that purpose.
>
Nobody "dominates" this NG and plenty of other policy issues are
kicked around. Tune the threads, ignore the code test thing and/or
toss your own policy hot buttons at the server. What you get out of
this NG is a function of what you put into it, lurking on the
sidelines is not gonna inject the policy issues you care about into
the discussions.
>
>73 DE Charles, N5PVL
>
w3rv


From -9020117982818155886
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 06:29:56 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 13:29:41 GMT
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010518092941.06552.00000318@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21577

>Subject: Puke.
>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>Date: 5/16/01 10:14 PM Central Daylight Time

>Is there a drift here we need to wise up to?

Nothing "adverse" that I know of. REACT has several "integrated" units nationwide that work rather well. Several in SoCal utilize CB/GMRS/Amatuer effectively.

There used to be a rather well established club in the Philly area in the early 80's.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 5246867250147929377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 06:32:55 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 13:32:22 GMT
References: <20010518061318.28252.00004234@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Bit o' Humor
Message-ID: <20010518093222.06552.00000319@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21578

>Subject: Re: Bit o' Humor
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/18/01 5:13 AM Central Daylight Time

>Now we're going to hear a lot of whining from the 4-landers on
>75-meters about how mean to them you were!

I dunno...sounds like some places in 2/3 land I had occasions to visit.

Lotsa trees, very few limbs.........

Steve

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 8014731530465825240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 06:37:55 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 13:36:55 GMT
References: <20010517100358.03759.00001567@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010518093655.06552.00000320@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21579

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/17/01 9:03 AM Central Daylight Time

Laaaaaarrrrryyyyyy......

Been gazing longingly at the mirror again...?!?!

>And if you're going to accuse someone
>of "verbally pounding on another human being," you've got the wrong
>man, anyway. That's K4YZ's schtick.

You've been at this a lot longer than I have.

Better rethink your assertions, Larry. There are others herein who can "testify" as to who has been beating on who.

From 1863813380301595617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 06:46:58 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 13:46:00 GMT
References: <20010518062703.28252.00004235@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010518094600.06552.00000321@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21580

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/18/01 5:27 AM Central Daylight Time

>Well, you're going to have your own distorted viewpoint no matter
>what I say, but I most certainly have NOT been "verbally pounding"
>on you, personally.

Perhaps not him, but you certainly like to take your liberties with anyone you deem "inferior" to you...perhaps those whom you feel may have wronged you in another "career"...?!?!

>I happen to disagree with the politically-correct,
>anti-code testing point of view, and am able to express that
>disagreement articulately and with great conviction.

If being insulting and abusive is "articulate", then I guess we can agree with your "great conviction" assertion. What were the commetns you made about Kim's appearance? You opinion on her looks had ANYTHING to do with the "code" issue?

>This is obviously
>a threat to you, since you cannot support your own position as
>effectively.

He's done so rather well. Too bad you can't agree.

>So, therefore, this new whine about how I'm being so
>mean and nasty to little old you.

And Kim...and me...and.....

>Sorry. No cigar. Whine away --

>I could care less how insecure and ineffective you make yourself
>look in this newsgroup.

You could only wish. I don't agree with some of his posts, but he can disagree without being disagreable...unlike you and Lennie the Limp. Oh, you can turn a phrase with the best of them, but who cares.

```
From -2162124619863020966
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 06:50:55 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 13:49:54 GMT
References: <20010517100356.03759.00001566@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010518094954.06552.00000322@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21581
```

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/17/01 9:03 AM Central Daylight Time

>They say laughing is good for you -- lowers your blood pressure, >reduces stress. To the extent that I may have helped improve
>your well being, then I'm glad to have been of service!

Well, Larry, just keep posting the stuff you have been posting. You're doing a far better job than you have taken credit for this time.

```
From -5757507052208029095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 07:06:59 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 14:06:31 GMT
References: <3b048a6b$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Message-ID: <20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21582

>Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
>From: "Larry Klose" lklose@net-link.net
>Date: 5/17/01 9:32 PM Central Daylight Time

>The Morse code exam matter over shadows all other
> amateur radio policy matters

In the grander scheme of REAL Amatuer Radio policy, the "code exam matter" is overshadowed by such things as spectrum protection, antenna restrictions, new technology implementation, band realignment, etc etc etc.

Only in this forum is it of any real "concern", and then only to a few of those herein. The "code exam matter" has been offered the death knell by almost every major administration around the world, and it's continued existence (as a mandated requirement) past 2003 is nil.

>> Can anyone direct me to a usenet group where Amateur Radio
>>Policy IS discussed? Someplace where the discussion is not lost in >>the noise?

Care to open a "Yahoogroups" reflector on the matter, Charles? The only way you will get any REASONABLE discussion is via a moderated forum that seperates the flamethrowers from the flock.

>> I'll lurk a few days, then give it another year, I suppose. I'm a real
>> optimist.

It will be a few wasted days.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 4575926455127406554
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 07:12:55 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 14:12:22 GMT
References: <3B03F5D8.F21CA24D@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Message-ID: <20010518101222.06552.00000324@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21583

>Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
>From: Jim Kelley jwkelley@uci.edu
>Date: 5/17/01 11:01 AM Central Daylight Time

>Why don't you
>pick just one, and stick to that - unless your point is simply to
>showboat for everybody out here.

BAM! Another nail struck squarely on the head.

From -2758733775171868068
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 07:45:45 PST
Message-ID: <3B053539.61719C35@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 09:44:09 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010518062703.28252.00004235@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010518094600.06552.00000321@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 990196657 64.240.47.73 (18 May 2001 09:37:37 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21584

Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> Perhaps not him, but you certainly like to take your liberties with anyone
> you deem "inferior" to you...perhaps those whom you feel may have wronged you
> in another "career"...?!?!

A glimpse into Larry's personality is his statement that virtually every
one of Larry's bosses have been malevolent characters while, he as a boss,
is the personification of benevolence.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1944523638677377750
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 09:50:16 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 09:45:13 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9e3jdc$rps$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <9e1jab0e39@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b051703.160177091@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-92.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21585
```

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b051703.160177091@news2.dvol.com...
> On Thu, 17 May 2001 17:29:11 -0500, "Charles Brabham"
> <n5pvl@the-i.net> wrote:
>
> >I'll lurk a few days, then give it another year, I suppose. I'm a real
> >optimist.
> >
> This NG was spun off from rec.radio.amateur.misc specifically to
> provide a home for the code test debate which was overloading rram and
> it's still serving that purpose.
> >

> Nobody "dominates" this NG and plenty of other policy issues are
> kicked around. Tune the threads, ignore the code test thing and/or
> toss your own policy hot buttons at the server. What you get out of
> this NG is a function of what you put into it, lurking on the
> sidelines is not gonna inject the policy issues you care about into
> the discussions.
> >
> >73 DE Charles, N5PVL
> >
> w3rv

Hi Brian,

If I recall correctly, Charles only likes to engage in discussions about
packet message forwarding. He has a propensity for calling his
correspondents a "landline lid" - whatever that is.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -7820727159850517164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 10:10:16 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 10:04:58 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9e3kid$sd9$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com> <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-92.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21586

K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com...
> In a sudden fit of his patented patronization, W1RFI blurted out:
>

> > Do you think demonstrating Ohm's law knowledge is
> > sufficient to ensure that you will create a rig that
> > operates within the rules?
>
> Of course I don't think that, nor did I ever suggest that I held such an
> assinine notion.
>
> But it would be a real challenge to create a rig without such knowledge.

Then the problem you posed initially would be naturally self-limiting.
Those who can do, will do. Those who can't do, won't do. Would it be
better if everybody could? Of course. But it's probably unrealistic to
demand or expect such uniformity in such a diverse group as are radio
amateurs. I am happy to associate with amateur radio operators as a
generally technically adept group, however.

73, Jim AC6XG

From -265347318061146764
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 10:17:31 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 17:17:17 GMT
Message-ID: <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.124
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.124
X-Trace: 18 May 2001 12:13:05 -0500, 64.24.240.124
Lines: 23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21587

On Fri, 18 May 2001 01:05:56 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>

```
>  The last time I checked with REACT, there were three REACT groups in
>this general area.  Only one of the three seems to have any
>involvement with CB radio, and none seem to be involved with
>monitoring CB channel 9.  One meets at a local airport and has
>something to do with private aircraft (don't ask me).  One is a
>off-road racing club.  And the third was started by a scuba-diving
>club (again, don't ask me).
>
GMRS and MURS are the only options available to private individuals
who need to get away from the crap on 27mHz in order to have decent
short-range utility comms. So REACT has eaten them. Or something. Hams
rally around the ARRL flag, the others rally around the REACT flag.
The pregnant question of course is who will get the last bite down the
road and "unite" all of "us"? Whence cometh my "Puke" thing.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
w3rv



From 4839733330574972242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 10:21:03 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!
spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 11:25:16 -0500
Organization: http://extra.newsguy.com
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9e3ib101sum@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3b048a6b$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-617.newsdawg.com
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21588


"Steve Robeson LPN" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com...
>
>      Care to open a "Yahoogroups" reflector on the matter, Charles?  The
only
> way you will get any REASONABLE discussion is via a moderated forum that
```

> seperates the flamethrowers from the flock.
>

Right now I am not interested in altering this newsgroup, just monitoring it for relevance (and finding very little of it). I'm not interested in starting up any new ones, either. What I would prefer to do is look for something already in place; A serious place to discuss Ham Radio Policy issues.

73 DE Charles Brabham, N5PVL

```
From -8524589776424895110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 10:21:33 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Bit o' Humor
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 17:18:28 GMT
Message-ID: <3b055946.177130886@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com> <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com>
<9e0fda$g8u$0@208.206.142.111>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.124
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.124
X-Trace: 18 May 2001 12:14:16 -0500, 64.24.240.124
Lines: 6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21589
```

On 17 May 2001 12:15:38 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>A SOUTHERN POEM
>

I spread THAT around real quick!

```
From -3160508422153217368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,417887dab3a92fdd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 11:10:12 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
```

```
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdjdw64.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3AFB6EBD.3F532BBA@post.com> <3afc9aaf.5660431@news.mindspring.com>
<3B046366.BBEAA30A@home.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: 6m, 2m, and 70cm in Mexico
Lines: 20
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 18:10:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.129
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 990209448 63.178.69.129 (Fri, 18 May 2001
18:10:48 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 18:10:48 GMT
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21590

On Thu, 17 May 2001 23:52:09 GMT, Gary Danaher wrote:

>EXCEPT, Mexico does not subscribe to CEPT,

  I'm relieved...CEPT is an organization of European countries....

>and any operation without a Mexican license is illegal and subject
>to equipment confiscation.

  Welcome to Mexico..."we're not in Kansas anymore, Toto..."

>Check with the ARRL web page for licensing procedures.

  And folks say that the League doesn't do anything for the hams....

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
   ARRL Life Member




From -7953229464027934332
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 11:13:46 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
```

```
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 18:10:27 GMT
Message-ID: <3b05640d.179888661@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b048a6b$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com> <9e3ib101sum@enews3.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.23
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.23
X-Trace: 18 May 2001 13:06:17 -0500, 64.24.17.23
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21591

On Fri, 18 May 2001 11:25:16 -0500, "Charles Brabham"
<n5pvl@the-i.net> wrote:
>
>Right now I am not interested in altering this newsgroup, just monitoring it
>
Bloddy white of you to decide to not to "alter" this NG Charlie.
>
>
>73 DE Charles Brabham, N5PVL
>
w3rv



From -2539431096242965002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 11:24:24 PST
Message-ID: <3B05687C.EF716467@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 13:22:52 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com> <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com>
<9e3kid$sd9$1@news.service.uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.72
X-Trace: corp 990209779 64.240.47.72 (18 May 2001 13:16:19 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
```

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21592

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Then the problem you posed initially would be naturally self-limiting.
> Those who can do, will do.  Those who can't do, won't do.

And those who really want to and don't know how to, will go to
Texas A&M and get a EE degree (like I did).
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 6841616681420794414
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 13:30:15 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!tethys.csu.net!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 13:21:30 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3B05844A.DCE798A@uci.edu>
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com> <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com>
<9e3kid$sd9$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3B05687C.EF716467@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21593
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Then the problem you posed initially would be naturally self-limiting.
> > Those who can do, will do. Those who can't do, won't do.

> And those who really want to and don't know how to, will go to
> Texas A&M and get a EE degree (like I did).

Well, actually they have a few more options than just Texas A&M. UC
Irvine for example. :-)

ac6xg

From -8995315162689304555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 13:50:13 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 13:44:27 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3B0589AB.90E4937A@uci.edu>
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com> <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-cd1.aol.com> <nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21594

Over the past few days K0HB wrote the following list of niceties:

> In a sudden fit of his patented patronization, W1RFI blurted out:
> Sounding very confused (or trying like hell to spin-doctor) Jim Kelley blurted out:
> Jim Kelley got huffy and blurted out:
> Jim Kelley had an incoherent moment and blurted out:
> In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, Dwight Stewart blurted out:

What happened to the Hans who once offered me this sage advice:

"Gentlemen can disagree without being disagreeable"

??

ac6xg

From -2751759235410040003
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 14:00:13 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 20:59:13 GMT
References: <9e3ib101sum@enews3.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Message-ID: <20010518165913.25589.00000348@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21595

>Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
>From: "Charles Brabham" n5pvl@the-i.net
>Date: 5/18/01 11:25 AM Central Daylight Time

>Right now I am not interested in altering this newsgroup, just >monitoring it for relevance (and finding very little of it).

Then might as well move on. It is as it will always be.

>I'm not interested in starting up any new ones, either.

Then why the serious tone? Not too interested, perhaps?

>What I would prefer to do is look for
>something already in place; A serious place to discuss Ham Radio >Policy issues.

I tried. It won't happen here. But you might try just drafting and posting something you find relevent, however, and it might still find a sympathetic forum. I did sometime ago and it was picked up by Bill Pasternack and printed verbatim in Worldradio. (Nov 99).

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From 1507598106080535479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 14:04:08 PST
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 18 May 2001 21:03:21 GMT
References: <3B053539.61719C35@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010518170321.25589.00000352@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21596

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 5/18/01 9:44 AM Central Daylight Time

(Snip)........>while, he as a boss, is the personification of benevolence.

     And I have some prime real estate just off the coast of Miami you might be
interested in......

73

Steve, K4YZ





From -2489671251121517727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 14:37:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B05959A.A64CD31F@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 16:35:22 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com> <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com>
<9e3kid$sd9$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3B05687C.EF716467@IEEE.org>
```

```
<3B05844A.DCE798A@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp 990221330 64.240.47.69 (18 May 2001 16:28:50 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!
corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21597

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > And those who really want to and don't know how to, will go to
> > Texas A&M and get a EE degree (like I did).
>
> Well, actually they have a few more options than just Texas A&M.  UC
> Irvine for example.  :-)

Being a Fightin' Texas Aggie is like Morse code. If you haven't done it,
you ain't $hit.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -6702026950005985482
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 14:42:28 PST
Message-ID: <3B0596E1.D4DF4184@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 16:40:49 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B04A860.B1103E84@sccoast.net> <20010518062703.28252.00004235@nso-
mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.69
X-Trace: corp 990221658 64.240.47.69 (18 May 2001 16:34:18 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.sj.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.sj.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21598

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I could care less how insecure and ineffective you make yourself
> look in this newsgroup.

You couldn't care less about the human race, Larry. I have rarely
seen such malevolence as demonstrated by your postings. When we
point them out, you say you meant every word, therefore we take you
at your word and thank God that you are a relatively unique ham.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5763512829662829453
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 15:00:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 14:58:32 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 8

Message-ID: <3B059B08.97DD1CC2@uci.edu>
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com> <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-cd1.aol.com> <nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com>
<9e3kid$sd9$1@news.service.uci.edu> <3B05687C.EF716467@IEEE.org>
<3B05844A.DCE798A@uci.edu> <3B05959A.A64CD31F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21599

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Being a Fightin' Texas Aggie is like Morse code. If you haven't done it,
> you ain't $hit.

Odd for them Aggies to aspire to be such a thing. :-)

ac6xg

From 6472343704561985466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 17:35:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 May 2001 00:34:11 GMT
References: <3B0286E1.FC0FC0DB@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010518203411.26720.00000691@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21600

In article <3B0286E1.FC0FC0DB@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> Ya mean ya didn't bet something like a steak dinner or tires for the
>Harley? If
>> ya missed a sucker bet like that, MENSA can't be that tough to get into....
>
>Five years of MENSA dues was a lot of money in 1965, more than a steak
>dinner.

Ahh - did not know that. Cool.
>
>> How's the hand? (the one the snake bit)
>
>No infection, no ill effects - must have been a clean snake.

Great! Personally, I don't trust any land animal that doesn't have shoulders.

When is the next rrap net?

73 de Jim, N2EY
>--

From -6636058102075277064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 17:35:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.131!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 93
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 May 2001 00:34:12 GMT
References: <3b048673.3513559@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010518203412.26720.00000692@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21601

In article <3b048673.3513559@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>On 18 May 2001 01:31:08 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>>I disagree. Reguations MUST be beyond the fray
>>>of just popular opinion.
>>
>>If we followed that philosophy, we'd still have a nationwide 55 mph speed
>limit
>>- or lower! Popular opinion is what changed the limit.
>
>But the regulation (55) was NOT kept on by
>any stretch of it being logical or truly justified.

In your opinion, Bill. Others think 55 was just fine. It all depends on what
data one looks at and what one's risk judgement is.

>The origin of 55 was a gasoline shortage.

Yup. But saving gas was not the only consideration.

>After the
>shortage ended,

Who says it has ended? Gasoline is $1.75 a gallon here for the cheap regular, and the super stuff is pushing $2. And it's only mid May.

>the feds didn't scrap the 55
>because the safety nazis said the concurrant lower
>highway fatalities had to be because of the lower
>speed.

"safety nazis"? That's pretty tough talk, Bill, loaded with meanings. Would you call one of the people who supported 55 for safety reasons a "safety nazi" to his/her face? What if the person were a Holocaust survivor?

Who are these "safety nazis" anyway?

> They didn't want to hear that a gross
>decrease in miles driven had anything to do with it.

Was there really a decrease in miles driven? From what I read, there are more cars on the road today, and the average mileage they are driven per year keeps going up. I remember when 10,000 miles per year was given as a general average, for maintenace and insurance purposes. Then it went to 12,000. Now it's 15,000 in some cases.

The important statistic is "casualties per X miles driven".

The situation is far more complex. Lower auto casualties are the result of several causes:

- Safer cars (air bags, better seat belts, better handling, better brakes, better tires, etc.)
- Safer roads and more of them
- Safer drivers (less DWI, better driver ed, better enforcement, more use of seat belts)
- Lower speeds
- Lower traffic density

>
Opposing these are factors that tend to increase the casualties:

- Bigger and heavier trucks
- More and faster driving once the perceived crisis was over
- More distractions (complex stereos, car phones, people who do all sorts of things while driving)
- Less skilled drivers (inexperienced young people, older drivers with deteriorated skills, others with bad habits)
- More powerful and comfortable cars that give some drivers a false sense of

safety.

And these are just the ones I can think of right now.

>In the end the 55 limit was ended by BOTH popular
>opinion AND rational judgement.

I would say it was mostly pressure from public opinion. It's just simple physics that slower is safer. And until you get down to 40 mph or so, more energy efficient, too. But general opinion was that the benefits were not worth the cost in extra driving time.

If the givt. was really serious about 55, there's an easy way to enforce it. Just get a fuel-efficient minicar, paint it in bright colors, install a precision cruise control system and put flashing lights and the words "OFFICIAL PACE CAR" all over it. Then have a state trooper drive it down the highway in the left lane at a steady 56 mph. Anybody who passed it would be breaking the law, by definition. But to my knowledge no state ever tried such a system.

Overzealous safety advocates? Sure. "Nazis"? I don't think so.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 95867076985869514
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 19:36:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!in.nntp.be!easynews!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 22:37:17 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-10.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990239804 353992 206.74.49.10 (19 May 2001 02:36:44
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 02:36:44 +0000 (UTC)
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21602

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> GMRS and MURS are the only options
> available to private individuals
> who need to get away from the crap
> on 27mHz in order to have decent
> short-range utility comms. So REACT
> has eaten them. Or something. Hams
> rally around the ARRL flag, the
> others rally around the REACT flag.
> The pregnant question of course is
> who will get the last bite down the
> road and "unite" all of "us"? Whence
> cometh my "Puke" thing.

No debate, Brian. I just pointed out that REACT has moved, at least to some extent, away from CB radio into other radio services. However, no, I don't want to see ARRL turn into some type of CB organization. But I really don't think a relationship between ARRL and REACT will do that. Many organizations have relations with a wide range of other organizations, but that doesn't necessarily change their emphasis.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 242609566860284596
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,417887dab3a92fdd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 19:50:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: 6m, 2m, and 70cm in Mexico
Date: 19 May 2001 02:50:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9e4n29$7k4$0@208.207.71.139>
References: <3AFB6EBD.3F532BBA@post.com> <3afc9aaf.5660431@news.mindspring.com> <3B046366.BBEAA30A@home.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdjdw64.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21603



Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Thu, 17 May 2001 23:52:09 GMT, Gary Danaher wrote:
>
> >EXCEPT, Mexico does not subscribe to CEPT,
>
>   I'm relieved...CEPT is an organization of European countries....
>
> >and any operation without a Mexican license is illegal and subject
> >to equipment confiscation.
>
>   Welcome to Mexico..."we're not in Kansas anymore, Toto..."
>
> >Check with the ARRL web page for licensing procedures.
>
>   And folks say that the League doesn't do anything for the hams....


 The ARRL website alone is probably worth the dues.


From 5336971867175109500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 19:51:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: 19 May 2001 02:51:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9e4n41$7k4$1@208.207.71.139>
References: <3b048a6b$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com> <9e3ib101sum@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3b05640d.179888661@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21604
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Fri, 18 May 2001 11:25:16 -0500, "Charles Brabham"
> <n5pvl@the-i.net> wrote:
> >
> >Right now I am not interested in altering this newsgroup, just monitoring it
> >
> Bloody white of you to decide to not to "alter" this NG Charlie.

Which probably means he'd do it in a flash if he could.

From -6256393271872257811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 19:53:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 19 May 2001 02:53:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9e4n6q$7k4$2@208.207.71.139>
References: <9ds16e$43j$1@news.netmar.com> <20010516053909.23321.00000001@ng-cd1.aol.com> <nhvM6.3839$6j3.331908@www.newsranger.com>
<3B0589AB.90E4937A@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21605

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> Over the past few days K0HB wrote the following list of niceties:
>
> > In a sudden fit of his patented patronization, W1RFI blurted out:
> > Sounding very confused (or trying like hell to spin-doctor) Jim Kelley blurted
>   out:
> > Jim Kelley got huffy and blurted out:
> > Jim Kelley had an incoherent moment and blurted out:
> > In a sudden fit of awareness of his surroundings, Dwight Stewart blurted out:

>
> What happened to the Hans who once offered me this sage advice:
>
> "Gentlemen can disagree without being disagreeable"

Maybe that's the time he thought hew as wrong and turned out to be right...

From 5646437356937314475
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 19:55:56 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 02:53:21 GMT
Message-ID: <3b05d9f6.210059947@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9e1jab0e39@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b051703.160177091@news2.dvol.com> <9e3jdc$rps$1@news.service.uci.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.55
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.55
X-Trace: 18 May 2001 21:49:12 -0500, 64.24.18.55
Lines: 22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21606

On Fri, 18 May 2001 09:45:13 -0700, "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote:
>
>
>Hi Brian,
>
>If I recall correctly, Charles only likes to engage in discussions about
>packet message forwarding.
>

Hi Jim - Haven't heard that one even mentioned for years. No wonder he can't find anything interesting in this village.

>
>He has a propensity for calling his
>correspondents a "landline lid" - whatever that is.
>

That's fine. We can take it to the low end of 40 if he would rather get off the landline and do it with ham radio instead of rrap. Been there and done that huh?

>

>73, Jim AC6XG
>
w3rv

From 97282432318844914
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 20:10:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com>
<3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com>
<3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu> <fRQM6.5566$6j3.484765@www.newsranger.com>
<3B03F94B.804DD7A0@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: A bit of empathy
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <culN6.297$9x.8046@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 23:10:00 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 03:10:00 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21607

> Over the past few days K0HB wrote the following list of niceties:

... clip .....

I guess I fell into the habit by associating with people who
say things like:

In article <3B03F94B.804DD7A0@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley says...
>K0HB got pissed off and wrote:

Pot, meet kettle. :-)

Now where's that damned club of Gorf's again?

73, Hans, K0HB

From 970418351714334993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 20:40:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Fri, 18 May 2001 23:41:13 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 79
Message-ID: <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net>
References: <3b048673.3513559@news.mindspring.com> <20010518203412.26720.00000692@nso-mg.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-10.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990243634 356170 206.74.49.10 (19 May 2001 03:40:34 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 03:40:34 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21608

N2EY wrote:

> Opposing these are factors that tend to
> increase the casualties:
>
> - Bigger and heavier trucks

I suspect that is a myth. We already know the occupant of a larger vehicle (SUV, Van, etc) will suffer less injuries in a accident with a smaller vehicle. However, based on what I've seen and read, the occupants of a larger vehicle will also suffer less injuries even when we're talking about vehicles of relatively equal size. In other words, in an accident involving two roughly equal size SUV's, the occupants will suffer less injuries than the occupants of two roughly equal small vehicles in a similar accident.

By the way, this pattern holds true even in countries where the typical vehicle is smaller than the typical American car. For example, in Germany, it is the larger vehicles (Volvo, BMW, etc) that have a much lower accident casualty rate than smaller cars (VW, etc), again even in accidents involving relatively equal size vehicles.

If this pattern is true, it is clear that larger vehicles (SUV's, Vans, etc) are actually much safer overall. And, if that is true, then government agencies are actually contributing to highway casualties by urging people to drive smaller vehicles. In other words, if highway safety is a real concern, than government agencies should be urging everyone to drive larger vehicles.

Of course, from the government's perspective, highway safety has to be weighed against fuel economy (pollution, etc). However, I'm convinced the government has gone too far in favor of fuel economy, sacrificing too much when it comes to highway safety. The larger vehicles (SUV's, Vans, etc) are a better compromise for all these factors than tiny cars that fall apart whenever a gust of wind hits them the wrong way.

> I would say it was mostly pressure
> from public opinion. It's just simple
> physics that slower is safer. And
> until you get down to 40 mph or so,
> more energy efficient, too. [snip]

Both of those (safety and energy efficiency) are based on a great number of factors - vehicle size, vehicle design, engine size, engine design, tire size, tire design, gear ratio, number of occupants, road conditions, and so forth. Therefore, it is very difficult to pick a single number (so many miles per hour) as best for anything beyond a very small sampling of vehicles. The larger the number of vehicles, the less accurate that number becomes. This is why proposed speed limits vary so greatly here and around the world.

> If the givt. was really serious about 55,
> there's an easy way to enforce it.
> Just get a fuel-efficient minicar, paint
> it in bright colors, install a precision
> cruise control system and put flashing
> lights and the words "OFFICIAL PACE CAR"
> all over it. Then have a state trooper
> drive it down the highway in the left
> lane at a steady 56 mph. Anybody who
> passed it would be breaking the law, by
> definition. But to my knowledge no state
> ever tried such a system.

Do you realize how many roads there are in this country, and how many "pace cars" would be needed to cover only a small percentage of

those roads? In other words, your idea is not very realistic (which
may be why no state has tried it).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -5320920354596220672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 20:51:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 19 May 2001 02:56:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9e4ncv014jp@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96>
<3B029853.EC5D39F5@IEEE.org> <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>
<9e19t90281@enews2.newsguy.com> <9e25b3$c46$0@208.207.71.162>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-808.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21609

In article <9e25b3$c46$0@208.207.71.162>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>
>> In article <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >W6RCecilA wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> >> > So when do you plan to start jumping onto all the jokers who are so
>> >> > stupid that they blame all code suporters for the fact that they've
been
>> >> > unable meet the requirements for a HF ham license?
>> >>
>> >> Nobody blames the code supporters, Dick. Right now, S25.5 is getting
```

>> >> *all* the blame for the outmoded code requirement.
>> >
>> >
>> > You need to read a little closer, Cecil. They indeed do blame code
>> >tested hams who believe in the viability of code and the needed testing
>> >to support it for their own personal shortcomings.
>>
>> It's good to see you finally admit the real reasons you want to retain code
>> testing. I'm saving this quote, just in case you try to weasel your way out
of
>> it by saying I misquoted you.
>
>
>No wonder you can't learn the code, TL. You can'd read simple EAnglish.

I think you're confusing English with what cave men used to speak.

From 3080409824508559873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 21:48:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 19 May 2001 04:47:15 GMT
References: <9e0fda$g8u$0@208.206.142.111>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Bit o' Humor
Message-ID: <20010519004715.02623.00001137@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21610

In article <9e0fda$g8u$0@208.206.142.111>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>A SOUTHERN POEM
>

I don't know which came first, but Mike Cross does a song that is quite
similar:

ELMA TURL

Elma Turl was a beautiful girl
I'd love to have her for my wife
She's just the kind of woman

Who could make me happy
For the rest of my life.
But my Daddy said, "Son,
There's something you don't know
And it's something I think you'd oughter,
You see Elma Turl is a beautiful girl,
But Son, she's my daughter."

Alice Green is a beautiful thing
I'd love to have her for my wife
She's just the kind of woman
Who could make me happy
For the rest of my life.
But my daddy said. "Son,
There's something you don't know
And it's something I think you'd oughter,
You see Alice Green is a beautiful thing
But Son, she's my daughter."

Now I've been all around this country
Like a buck hunting for a doe
And it seems every girl I'd like to marry
Is a wild oat my Daddy sowed.
So, I went to my Momma with my head hung down
And she asked me what the matter could be
I told her my problem and she took my hand
And said, "Son, now listen to me.
You see your Daddy was such a good lookin' young man
And like an eager young stallion horse
His blood ran hot so you can't blame him
For letting Mother Nature take her course.
But you've got no reason to be upset,
Don't you worry, don't fret, don't bother
You see your Daddy ain't your Daddy
Though he thinks he is
So you can marry who ever you want to!

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -3181678161393291990
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 22:56:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 19 May 2001 05:56:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any

```
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9e51tp$5sc$0@208.207.71.144>
References: <3b048673.3513559@news.mindspring.com>
<20010518203412.26720.00000692@nso-mg.aol.com> <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21611



Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > Opposing these are factors that tend to
> > increase the casualties:
> >
> > - Bigger and heavier trucks
>
>   I suspect that is a myth.



 O, I don't think so. Now 80,000 lb GVW licensing is common, 60 fot long
combinations too. And often as not the GVW's are fudged considerably.
Those things are about as easy to stop as a small freight train.


From -3590190575192513811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 23:01:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 19 May 2001 06:01:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9e527a$6i0$0@208.207.71.144>
References: <3b048673.3513559@news.mindspring.com>
<20010518203412.26720.00000692@nso-mg.aol.com> <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21612

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > If the givt. was really serious about 55,
> > there's an easy way to enforce it.
> > Just get a fuel-efficient minicar, paint
> > it in bright colors, install a precision
> > cruise control system and put flashing
> > lights and the words "OFFICIAL PACE CAR"
> > all over it. Then have a state trooper
> > drive it down the highway in the left
> > lane at a steady 56 mph. Anybody who
> > passed it would be breaking the law, by
> > definition. But to my knowledge no state
> > ever tried such a system.
>
> Do you realize how many roads there are in this country, and how
> many "pace cars" would be needed to cover only a small percentage of
> those roads? In other words, your idea is not very realistic (which
> may be why no state has tried it).

??? I thought everyone had had this experience - You're cruising well ahead of the 55MPH limit and find yourself catching up with the "pack", who for some reason turn out to be going -Surprise! 55 MPH. When you finally get to where you can see the source of the bottleneck, well, Surprise! again. It's a State Trooper, leading the pack at a legal double nickel, and gathering quite a following in the process. Then he makes an exit ramp, and just as soon as he's outta sight the race is, once again, ON.

From 6731679582390451517
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-18 23:02:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 19 May 2001 06:02:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9e529q$6i0$1@208.207.71.144>
References: <20010515234915.10524.00003515@nso-md.aol.com> <20010516054908.23321.00000005@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9du46l$fv0$2@208.206.142.96> <3B029853.EC5D39F5@IEEE.org> <9dve2c$90h$5@208.206.142.100>

<9e19t90281@enews2.newsguy.com> <9e25b3$c46$0@208.207.71.162>
<9e4ncv014jp@enews4.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.144
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21613

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> >
> >No wonder you can't learn the code, TL. You can'd read simple EAnglish.
>
> I think you're confusing English with what cave men used to speak.

Seems that's what you get for attempeing to think.

From -6007347938157744487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-19 04:51:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: Sat, 19 May 2001 06:08:21 -0500
Organization: http://extra.newsguy.com
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9e5k4s01u8o@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3b048a6b$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com> <9e3ib101sum@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3b05640d.179888661@news2.dvol.com> <9e4n41$7k4$1@208.207.71.139>
Reply-To: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-565.newsdawg.com
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21614

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9e4n41$7k4$1@208.207.71.139...

>
> > On Fri, 18 May 2001 11:25:16 -0500, "Charles Brabham"
> > <n5pvl@the-i.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >Right now I am not interested in altering this newsgroup, just monitoring it
> > >
> > Bloddy white of you to decide to not to "alter" this NG Charlie.
>
>
> Which probably means he'd do it in a flash if he could.

RGR. Actually newsgroups are not all that hard to change - unless you are looking for a change for the better. What holds me back here is a lack of interest.

Are you trying to get me interested?

73 DE Charles, N5PVL

From 5960010273748671033
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:45:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 06:46:16 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 97
Message-ID: <3B08F1E4.6453B7C9@sccoast.net>
References: <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net> <20010521003502.02623.00001243@nso-fp.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.14
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990441932 493452 207.144.64.14 (21 May 2001 10:45:32 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 10:45:32 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21716

N2EY wrote:
>
> By "bigger and heavier trucks" I mean
> semitrailers (18 wheel and up) not SUVs
> and pickups. Back in the 70s, the
> largest of these were 40 foot trailers.
> Then came the 45, 48 and 53 foot trailers,
> higher gross weight, and the double and
> triple trailer combos, on roads where
> they were previously not allowed.

The balance to this argument is that these larger vehicles move more cargo without increasing the number of trucks on the highway. If we reduce the size of these trucks, the number of trucks have to increase to carry the same amount of cargo. Likewise, if we restrict the areas they can travel into, then alternatives have to be found to deliver the cargo (usually smaller trucks, and again in larger numbers to carry the same amount of cargo).

> That's not true in all cases - it depends
> on the accident. Many SUVs are more prone
> to rollover than smaller vehicles, for example.

Complex, to say the least. For example, to balance this, we could point out that drivers of smaller cars cannot see the road ahead of them as well as the driver of a larger vehicle, hence they cannot see changing road situations as quickly.

> But size isn't the only difference in those
> cars. Price is another, and that price often
> reflects more advanced technologies. Volvo
> has long included safety features in its cars
> that other cars don't have.

I wasn't talking about the expensive top-of-the-line export models. I was talking about the average priced models made by BMW, Volvo, Mercedes, and others, for their own European markets.

> No, that does NOT follow. You need to include
> factors such as accident avoidability. A small
> car that handles better may avoid an accident
> that a bigger car cannot avoid.

Again, this is balanced by the fact that drivers of smaller cars cannot see the road ahead of them as well as the driver of a larger vehicle, hence they cannot see changing road conditions as quickly.

> The time saved in a 100 mile trip by increasing
> the speed limit from 55 to 65 is just 17 minutes
> (assuming a steady speed from start to finish
> and allowing no time for acceleration/deceleration
> at the ends).

Seventeen minutes adds up if you're driving cross country, or commuting each day, or driving in an employment situation. For example, if you commute to work each day, and save only five minutes each day by driving 10 mph faster, you save almost 20 hours per year. Or, if you're driving across the country, you can save almost 10 hours (double that if the speed limit is 75).

> Point I'm trying to make is that radar
> enforcement only enforces the speed limit
> indirectly. "Pace cars" enforce it directly.
> As Cecil has pointed out, it DOES happen in
> some places. But pace cars don't generate
> any revenue, whereas giving out speeding
> tickets does.

Please read my comments to Larry about other factors that have to be considered when setting speed limits, and enforcing speed limits.

> Interesting stuff, Dwight.

Yes, it is. However, I don't know how much more time I can spend on it - I have a ton of stuff I have to get done, and this conversation is really taking away from that. However, since I'm a dedicated speeder (I learned to drive on German Autobahns), and greatly enjoy traveling, I do enjoy discussions about speed limits and traffic situations in general.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From 137692162185308496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:46:59 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 10:46:32 GMT
References: <20010519233246.06566.00004369@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010521064632.08733.00000499@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21717

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> Why did you learn the code, Ed?

My first foray into learning code was in the Boy Scouts. One of the older hams did a presentation on the code at a meeting. That made it pretty easy to relearn the code when I got my Novice.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2234763628652481594
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:50:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 10:49:28 GMT
References: <20010519233246.06566.00004368@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010521064928.08733.00000500@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21718

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Maybe so, but what would be your code speed today if a testing
>requirement had never existed?

>I know what mine would be: ZERO!!!

I am not so certain as you are about what the future would have been had my
life been different than it was.

I can tell you that if I had not been code tested, my present speed would have
been zero if all the old timers didn't tell me why the mode is a fun and
enjoyable thing to use. Had they kept tossing up the things I see them tossing
on this forum, it would have turned this 13-year old kid off cold.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4159502716904869833
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:50:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!152.163.239.132!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 10:50:36 GMT
References: <20010520225917.26676.00004310@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010521065036.08733.00000501@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21719

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>You can't force people not to be lazy, but you can give people
>incentives *not* to be lazy! What's wrong with incentives?

To those who truly appreciate the mode, Larry, it is its own incentive.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2337202029931236923
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:53:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 10:52:00 GMT
References: <9ea00j31ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010521065200.08733.00000502@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21720

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com  (T. L. Bryant)

>>  Yeah, Right! You sympathize with all the limp lame lazies who refused
>>to learn it when it WAS required, then criticise Larry? YOU're a joke.

>My, my! Your posts are becoming more venomous. Good. It seems a few of us
>have
>struck a nerve.

Wait 'til he gets to the head-soaking part.  :-)

I think he thinks that his venom is somehow saving ham radio and will convince
all these newcomers to use and enjoy his favorite mode.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 1633147519008932899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:54:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 10:53:01 GMT
References: <20010520225920.26676.00004314@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010521065301.08733.00000503@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21721

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

> At least I'm being honest when I say it *was* the requirement
>that made me learn it!

And Cecil is being honest that he learned it in the Scouts so he and a friend
```

could use it to send secret messages.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4383560043139994671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,417887dab3a92fdd
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 03:57:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 10:56:49 GMT
References: <9e4n29$7k4$0@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: 6m, 2m, and 70cm in Mexico
Message-ID: <20010521065649.08733.00000504@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21722

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>The ARRL website alone is probably worth the dues.

It is a great site, but there are a number of other great sites, too (the League pages link to most of them).

I pay my dues because of the work of the DC office on spectrum issues. As I put it one year, the fact that Paul Rinaldo was sitting at the meeting when the little LEO threat on 2M was first put on the table justified my dues dollars that year.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8675980570944868216
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 04:01:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 21 May 2001 11:00:39 GMT
References: <20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Message-ID: <20010521070039.08733.00000505@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21723

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

> In the grander scheme of REAL Amatuer Radio policy, the "code exam matter"
>is overshadowed by such things as spectrum protection, antenna restrictions,
>new technology implementation, band realignment, etc etc etc.

I agree wholeheartedly, as would most hams. That is probably why those issues aren't discussed often here; we all pretty much agree on thier importance.

> The "code exam matter" has been offered the death knell by
>almost every major administration around the world, and it's continued
>existence (as a mandated requirement) past 2003 is nil.

All the more reason for those who want to promote the use of the mode in ham radio to switch gears now and start showing new hams how much fun it is to use and why it is worth learning. I did that to a group of about 200 folks in the QRP forum in Dayton when I talked about the fun hams are having with QRP.

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6117687851099367355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 04:04:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 11:03:46 GMT
References: <20010519233244.06566.00004365@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Message-ID: <20010521070346.08733.00000506@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21724

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>In my vast experience with all things related to the Internet, Chat
>Rooms, Usenet, Fidonet, etc. is that in moderated or unmoderated

>forums, serious issues can be discussed seriously as long as they
>are stated in a manner which motivates and inspires the participants
>to provide serious, thoughtful replies.

It will start that way, Larry, but sooner rather than later someone will degrade it into "go soak your head." At that point, serious discussion is pretty much over.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8446707023620831378
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 04:08:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 11:07:56 GMT
References: <20010518101222.06552.00000324@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Message-ID: <20010521070756.08733.00000507@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21725

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>>Why don't you pick just one, and stick to that - unless your point is
>>simply to showboat for everybody out here.

> BAM! Another nail struck squarely on the head.

What? Are you saying that you think Hans is sending a coutesy email of his posts to an individual to "showboat?" Why would you think that?

I think it more likely that his newsreader is configured to send an email copy to the recipient.

>   BAM! Another nail struck squarely on the head.

I bet my theory hits the nail a lot more squarely than any other. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7996263941415991754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 04:14:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 11:13:58 GMT
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010521071358.08733.00000508@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21726

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>Copy-pasted From today's ARRL Letter:

>ARRL and REACT share common goals in terms of
>emergency communication.

<snip>

>Is there a drift here we need to wise up to?

ARRL has MOUs and other liasion with literally dozens of non-ham organizations, Brian. What "drift" do you think is at work here that is not present in all of the other organizational contact?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5305044465755845327
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 05:10:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 12:10:13 GMT
References: <20010521070756.08733.00000507@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Message-ID: <20010521081013.25478.00000889@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21727

>Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 5/21/01 6:07 AM Central Daylight Time

>> BAM! Another nail struck squarely on the head.

>What? Are you saying that you think Hans is sending a coutesy email >of his posts to an individual to "showboat?"
>Why would you think that?

You missed part, Ed. Jim's comments were along the line of "we were doing this in private e mail, why are you doing it in the NG also except to showboat"....not an exact quote, but I am not going to sift thru the old posts to dig it out...

Hans loves to send "private e mail" to me, too...sometimes it's a mirror of the NG, sometimes he get's original and sends it exclusive of the NG.

When I get a "Hans-O-Gram" anymore, I check the NG first to determine how to respond.

>I think it more likely that his newsreader is configured to send an email
>copy to the recipient.

Always the optimist. I rather think he enjoys being twice the annoyance.

>I bet my theory hits the nail a lot more squarely than any other

Perhaps. One could hope.

Sorry I didn't make Dayton...would have loved to made your seminar.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 6362746712298368976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 06:30:52 PST
Message-ID: <3B091825.B1B83C4@IEEE.org>

Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 08:29:09 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <20010504050920.12863.00002095@ng-cs1.aol.com> <3af2edc0.165737949@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.97
X-Trace: corp 990451356 64.240.47.97 (21 May 2001 08:22:36 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21728

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> By 1963 the catalogs were overflowing with low-end Novice gear from
> Heath, Johnson, Globe, Knight WRL and a bunch of others thus plug 'n
> play Novice ham radio became far more available when you got there.
> Pull 1953 and 1963 QSTs and lookit the ads.

I think it was 1951 when I got my Xtal controlled Globe Scout, 65w CW,
55w AM. It was my Novice transmitter. I paid about $7 a month for it
which was about 1/3 of my income from sacking groceries. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5257240507873445050
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 06:32:48 PST
Message-ID: <3B0918AB.1C329D9B@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 08:31:23 -0500

From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B07B255.943ED838@IEEE.org> <20010520225918.26676.00004311@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.97
X-Trace: corp 990451489 64.240.47.97 (21 May 2001 08:24:49 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!feeder.via.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21729

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> If you can't understand
> my "problem" with this, I'll have to start calling you "Comrade
> Cecil."

Have you ever had an authority figure with which you didn't have
a problem? All we've heard so far are your complaints.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4291368221188415643
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 06:36:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>

<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
<3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com>
<B_ZN6.3695$BN6.401479@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <ZQ8O6.1698$r4.82658@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 09:35:53 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 13:35:53 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21730

Kim-W5TIT says:

>
>I really like your idea, though, of dividing up the Director level.
>

Wasn't my idea, just my take on what might happen under your "broadened scope". Personally, I think it qualifies for DAIOTD recognition, and deserves to be cut down in a hail of warning shots.

(DAIOTD = Dumb Assed Idea Of The Decade).

73, Hans, K0HB

From 472743606534006250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 06:37:53 PST
Message-ID: <3B0919D8.9601E4A6@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 08:36:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......

```
References: <3b048673.3513559@news.mindspring.com>
<20010518203412.26720.00000692@nso-mg.aol.com> <3B05EB43.8CADEC05@sccoast.net>
<9e527a$6i0$0@208.207.71.144> <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.97
X-Trace: corp 990451790 64.240.47.97 (21 May 2001 08:29:50 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21731

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   By the way, watch out if you're ever in this situation.  Police
> officers have developed a ploy based on this.  It goes like this.  The
> officer will drive some distance to get a pile of vehicles behind him.
>  At that point, he will exit the freeway.  Of course, as you describe,
> the vehicles will speed up just as soon as the police car is out of
> sight.  However, in this case, the officer reenters the freeway on the
> other side of the overpass and quickly comes up behind the cars that
> were just following him (the ones who sped up after he exited).  I've
> seen this ploy used over and over, and it almost always works.

Just catching those dastardly criminals, Dwight.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -7052642117692438075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 06:48:33 PST
Message-ID: <3B091C5B.DB98A97A@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 08:47:07 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B07B39A.79401638@IEEE.org> <20010520225918.26676.00004312@nso-
cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.97
X-Trace: corp 990452433 64.240.47.97 (21 May 2001 08:40:33 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21732
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Fine, I'm happy for you. How many boy scouts are learning the Morse
> code these days?

More importantly, why did the Boy Scouts drop the Morse code merit badge?
Do you think it was part of the No Code Test Agenda conspiracy?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6595549407820816111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 06:52:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e9t8j$73t$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9e9v7v01ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
```

Lines: 18
Message-ID: <K39O6.1724$r4.84017@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 09:51:38 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 13:51:38 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21733

T. L. Bryant says:

>
>Yes, especially since CB, family radio service, etc., didn't exist in 1915.
>Maybe it's time fo rthe ARRL to re-write their charter.
>

To what advantage or purpose? These services have nothing in common with Amateur Radio, other than the fact that radio transmitters are involved. Based on the use of radio transmitters, should ARRL also include baby monitor users, cell phone users, garage door opener users, and "invisible fence" pet control users?

73, Hans, K0HB

From -3905557370176278492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 06:59:42 PST
Message-ID: <3B091EF8.C18EF52@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 08:58:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010520225919.26676.00004313@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.97
X-Trace: corp 990453102 64.240.47.97 (21 May 2001 08:51:42 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

```
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21734
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> > Why are there 35wpm Morse code operators when 35wpm has never been a
> > requirement?
>
> I dunno ...

Well then, think about it.

> How long can you communicate on a single charge of a typical
> car battery?

Indefinitely. I live in Texas and my batteries are charged by solar panels.
My entire ham station, including my 500w amp, runs from solar charged 12vdc
deep-discharge marine batteries. I can also charge my truck battery from
those solar panels. I know Morse code but I would be negligent to rely on
it for emergencies.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -875000905913901105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 07:05:43 PST
Message-ID: <3B09205C.21EE67F3@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 09:04:12 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
```

References: <20010520225919.26676.00004313@nso-cg.aol.com>
<20010521064221.08733.00000497@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.97
X-Trace: corp 990453458 64.240.47.97 (21 May 2001 08:57:38 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21735

W1RFI wrote:
> Okay, you have given us two examples of things you wouldn't have tried unless
> you were forced to. Of all the things in your life you have tried, what
> percentage were forced and what percentage were voluntary?

You know, there's probably lots of things Larry has never been forced to
do that he might like if he was forced. Beastiality comes to mind. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From -2558338213872677260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 07:08:16 PST
Message-ID: <3B0920EB.6490EC4B@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 09:06:35 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010520225919.26676.00004313@nso-cg.aol.com>
<20010521064353.08733.00000498@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.97
X-Trace: corp 990453601 64.240.47.97 (21 May 2001 09:00:01 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21736

W1RFI wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >I have seen my nieces and nephews doing just that with their CB
> >HTs that have a chart on the side and a button for MCW.
>
> That can't be true, Cecil -- unless you forced them to do it. :-)

I had an argument with my five year nephew over whether their CB
HTs were radios or not. :-) He said, "It's a walkie-talkie, not
a radio."
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -5308912270692621263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 07:13:22 PST
Message-ID: <3B09221B.438DA3A6@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 09:11:39 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
```

```
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-
fm1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.97
X-Trace: corp 990453908 64.240.47.97 (21 May 2001 09:05:08 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21737

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Emergency CW can be effectively exploited at 3-5wpm by looking
> >up characters  on a chart.
>
> I would patiently sit by and deal with emergency communication under those
> conditions.  Perhaps Larry wouldn't handle emergency traffic at less than 20
> wpm. :-)

Larry envisions a brave new world where the only survivors are code-tested
hams who possess the entire radio spectrum and are masters of the lowly
no-code females. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 5876108052225370794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 07:24:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B07B39A.79401638@IEEE.org> <20010520225918.26676.00004312@nso-
```

cg.aol.com> <3B091C5B.DB98A97A@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 142
Message-ID: <Ux9O6.1774$r4.87970@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 10:23:48 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 14:23:48 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21738

W6RCecilA says:

>More importantly, why did the Boy Scouts drop the Morse code merit badge?

I don't believe they ever had a Morse code merit badge. They had one called "Signalling" which included the use of semaphore flags, hand signals, and "flashlight Morse".

On the same topic, however, I think the requirements for the "Radio" merit badge would make a great syllabus for an entry-class radio license, much better than the current Technician class test. See below.

73, Hans, K0HB

Radio Merit Badge Requirements

1. Explain what radio is. Include in your explanation: the differences between broadcast radio and hobby radio, and the differences between broadcasting and two-way communicating. Also discuss broadcast and amateur radio call signs and using phonetics.

2. Sketch a diagram showing how radio waves travel locally and around the world. How do the broadcast radio stations, WWV and WWVH, help determine what you will hear when you listen to a radio?

3. Do the following:

a) Draw a chart of the electromagnetic spectrum covering 100 kilohertz (khz) to 1000 megahertz (mhz).
b) Label the LF, MF, VHF, UHF, and microwave portions of the spectrum on your diagram.
c) Locate on your chart at least eight radio services such as AM and FM commercial broadcast, CB, television, amateur radio (at least four ham radio bands), and police.
d) Discuss why some radio stations are called DX and others are called local. Explain who the FCC

and ITU are.

4. Explain how radio waves carry information. Include in your explanation: transceiver, transmitter, amplifier, and antenna.

5. Learn the safety precautions for working with radio gear, particularly Dc and Rf grounding.

6. Do the following:

a) Explain the differences between a block diagram and a schematic diagram.
b) Draw a block diagram that includes a transceiver, amplifier, microphone, antenna, and feedline.
c) Explain the differences between an open circuit, a closed circuit, and a short circuit.
d) Draw ten schematic symbols. Explain what three of the represented parts do. Find three electrical components to match to three of these symbols.

7. Do ONE of the following: (a, b, or c)

a) Amateur radio

1) Describe some of the activities that amateur radio operators can do on the air, once they have earned an amateur radio license.
2) Carry on a 10 minute real or simulated radio contact using voice or Morse Code; use proper call signs, Q signals, and abbreviations. (Licensed ham radio operators may substitute five QSL cards as evidence of contacts with amateur radio operators from at least three different call districts.)
3) With the help of a local amateur radio operator, talk to and properly log at least two Morse code radio contacts. Record signal reports. Explain how often amateur radio operators must give their call signs during a radio contact.
4) Explain at least five Q signals or amateur radio terms you hear while listening.
5) Explain some differences between the Novice Class and Technician Class license requirements and privileges. Explain who gives amateur radio exams.
6) Explain how you would make an emergency call on voice or Morse code. Tell why the FCC has an amateur radio service.
7) Explain handheld transceivers versus home "base" stations. Explain about mobile amateur radios and amateur radio repeaters.

b) Broadcast radio

1) Prepare a program schedule for radio station "KBSA" of exactly one-half hour, including music, news, commercials, and proper station identification. Record your program on audio tape using proper techniques.
2) Listen to and properly log 15 broadcast stations; determine for five of these their transmitting power and general areas served.
3) Explain at least eight terms used in commercial broadcasting, such as segue, cut, and fade.
4) Discuss the educational and licensing requirements and career opportunities in broadcast radio.

c) Shortwave listening

1) Listen across several shortwave bands for two 4-hour periods, one in the early morning and the other in the early evening. Log the stations properly and locate them geographically on a globe.
2) For several major foreign stations (BBC in Great Britain or HCJB in Ecuador , for example), list several frequency bands used by each.
3) Compare your morning and evening logs, noting the frequencies on which your major foreign stations were loudest during each session. Explain the differences in signal strength from one period to the next.
4) Discuss the purpose of and careers in shortwave communications.

8. Visit a radio installation approved in advance by your counselor (ham radio station, broadcast station, or public service communications center, for example). Discuss what types of equipment you saw in use, how it was used, what types of license are required to operate and maintain the equipment, and the purpose of the station.

From -2232019841830959769
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 07:33:08 PST
Message-ID: <3B0926BC.7ED9604E@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 09:31:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010519233245.06566.00004367@nso-fi.aol.com>
<3b088c99.2731499@news.mindspring.com> <9ea72l$2m8$0@208.207.71.141>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.126
X-Trace: corp 990455091 64.240.47.126 (21 May 2001 09:24:51 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21739
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Here's a little report for your consideration... not that it'll matter
> a bit to you, of course.

It sounds like good reasons to know Morse code if you are on the high seas on a third-world cargo ship. Sounds like a lousy reason for keeping the Morse code testing requirement for landlubber hams.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5837310097385896150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 07:35:07 PST
Message-ID: <3B092733.402CFF30@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 09:33:23 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B0848D2.4D15F35B@IEEE.org> <20010520225917.26676.00004310@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.126
X-Trace: corp 990455209 64.240.47.126 (21 May 2001 09:26:49 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newspeer1.nac.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21740

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> You can't force people not to be lazy, but you can give people
> incentives *not* to be lazy! What's wrong with incentives?

You mean incentives like affirmative action programs?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -455226792970768021
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 07:54:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet

```
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 14:54:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <9eba7j$9nl$0@208.206.142.78>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21741



Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com...
> > In article <W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net says...
> >
> > >OOOOOOOOOOOOOOH the Ultimate Weapon or Holy Hand Grenade (take your pick)
> > >has been released or heaved or something.  Elitism!  Isms out the waz.
> And
> > >I should now shrivel up and shrink away like a dandelion zapped with
> 2-4-D.
> > >In your dreams, Brayant.
> > >
> > >The animosity is fairly simple: those who got for free want more for free
> > >and those who earned it see no good reason to suffer freeloaders.  Being
> > >hungry does not entitle one to crash the Eagles Picnic; in fact being
> human
> > >and alive confers no rights whatsoever -- non humans maybe.
> > >
> > >Accidents of birth are one thing, accidents of privlege another, but
> > >achievement is no accident.  One who has earned it owes precisely nothing
> to
> > >those who have not, in theory, in practice, or any other way no matter
> how
> > >much whining he hears.  For one who has acheived in an area to say he is
```

> > >'better' may not be politically correct but if he does not think it he is
> an
> > >idiot and if he does not say it he is a liar. All he risks is being
> > >unpopular among those who could not carry his shorts for saying out loud
> > >what everyone already knows.
> > >
> > >Dick N�BK
> >
> > Yet another myopic viewpoint.
> >
> > Would you care to elaborate how this theory of yours applies to the many
> hams
> > who enjoy *both* amateur and CB radio, and would like to see them both
> > represented by a common entity?
>
> Represented for what? One is a recognized hobby service with its own
> traditions -- good or bad as you may like -- the other is a Land Mobile
> service that has become a hobby and most certainly has traditions of its own
> largely incompatible with the ARS. For why does CB require representation
> at all?? Of what conceivable value is ARRL membership to the law-abiding
> CB, FRS, etc user?
>
> The difference is between the user of plumbing and the Plumbers Union. Both
> are perfectly admirable in themselves and do share a certain relationship;
> there are certainly porcelain fixtures at the Union Hall. But worshiping
> the ceramic god hardly makes one a plumber. Unless the object of common
> representation is an attempt to blur the distinction, what purpose could it
> serve?
>
> Dick N�BK

As always, Dick, If I may paraphrase Forrest Gump -

"Clueless is as clueless does".

From 3894319204420224899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 08:00:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 21 May 2001 15:00:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78>
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21742
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Emergency CW can be effectively exploited at 3-5wpm by looking
> >up characters on a chart.
>
> I would patiently sit by and deal with emergency communication under those
> conditions. Perhaps Larry wouldn't handle emergency traffic at less than 20
> wpm. :-)
>

I'd like to see either of you clowns try to copy CW at *any* speed being sent by someone who had never before keyed a rig. It would be unrecognizale as Morse code regardless of speed.

Evidently neither of you has ever taught a code class. Darn few people are ever able to form a dit or a dah at the outset, they invariably make it all sound alike until they're taught the difference and how to form each. Even learning that takes takes some practice.

```
From 7971352236995866120
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 08:02:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 21 May 2001 15:02:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9ebamv$bb4$1@208.206.142.78>
References: <20010520225919.26676.00004313@nso-cg.aol.com>
<20010521064221.08733.00000497@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21743

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >The same thing was true for me
> >before I took my first clarinet lesson. I had no idea I could play the
> >thing before I tried it. Once my parents "required" me to give it a
> >try, I found out I had talent for it. If I had never picked up a clarinet,
> >how would I have known that?
>
> Okay, you have given us two examples of things you wouldn't have tried unless
> you were forced to. Of all the things in your life you have tried, what
> percentage were forced and what percentage were voluntary?

Why does that matter? Is it nowhere known which are necessary to be
required since their attributes are not obvious? Of course that leaves
out all the ARRL's CB/Freebsand friends.

From -303358724759277127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 08:03:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 21 May 2001 15:03:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9ebao2$bb4$2@208.206.142.78>
References: <20010520225919.26676.00004313@nso-cg.aol.com>
<20010521064353.08733.00000498@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21744

W1RFI wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

```
> writes:
>
> >I have seen my nieces and nephews doing just that with their CB
> >HTs that have a chart on the side and a button for MCW.
>
> That can't be true, Cecil -- unless you forced them to do it. :-)


 That's OK, Cecil has a reputation for stretching the truth.


From 4073848920597231199
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,4240b28e0ae8599d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4240b28e0ae8599d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 08:05:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <375098FE.29387CD@bellsowth.net>
Subject: Re: Vortron HAARP antenna neutralizer
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <l8aO6.1834$r4.91513@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 11:04:49 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 15:04:49 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15955
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21745

w4rump@bellsowth.net, a whacko lemming on full afterburners, says:

>If the High-frequency, Active, Auroral, Research Program (HAARP) is
>causing QRM or other problems at your station, you should take a look at
>http://expage.com/page/vortron to learn about the Vortron neutralizer.
>
>I carry one with me wherever I go.

Sounds like a job for Captain Thorazine!

73, Hans, K0HB
```

From -7941684525496643875
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 08:05:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 21 May 2001 15:05:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9ebasd$bb4$3@208.206.142.78>
References: <20010519233246.06566.00004368@nso-fi.aol.com>
<20010521064928.08733.00000500@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21746

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >Maybe so, but what would be your code speed today if a testing
> >requirement had never existed?
>
> >I know what mine would be: ZERO!!!
>
> I am not so certain as you are about what the future would have been had my
> life been different than it was.
>
> I can tell you that if I had not been code tested, my present speed would have
> been zero if all the old timers didn't tell me why the mode is a fun and
> enjoyable thing to use. Had they kept tossing up the things I see them tossing
> on this forum, it would have turned this 13-year old kid off cold.

So then you would have been one of the code bashers who tell all us who

passed a code test how stupid we were to submit to that old hazing
ritual when we could have just waited our lifetimes for the rules to
finally change?

```
From -1281181249153142030
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 08:06:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 21 May 2001 15:06:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9ebaue$bb4$4@208.206.142.78>
References: <20010520225917.26676.00004310@nso-cg.aol.com>
<20010521065036.08733.00000501@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.78
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21747
```

W1RFI wrote:
```
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >You can't force people not to be lazy, but you can give people
> >incentives *not* to be lazy!  What's wrong with incentives?
>
> To those who truly appreciate the mode, Larry, it is its own incentive.
```

And extremely few truly appreciate the mode who wer not required to
learn it for licensing. A miniscule percentage, in fact.

If you disbelieve it you have been ignoring what you read here.

```
From -5931885211217397735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 08:22:16 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 15:21:49 GMT
Message-ID: <3b08728a.73382733@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
<3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.89
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.89
X-Trace: 21 May 2001 10:17:33 -0500, 64.24.18.89
Lines: 23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21748

On Sun, 20 May 2001 18:43:51 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:

>Kim-W5TIT says...
>
>>Now that I have clarified that I mean non-commercial radio, what ideas
>>against such broadening of the scope of the ARRL do you have? If you
>>wish, I could specifically the radio services that I am including, but
>>I think you knew that.
>
>Kim,
>
I'll assume that what you really mean is that the ARRL should cover
all hobby and/all personal non-commercial utility radio. Basically ham
radio, CB, GMRS, MURS & FRS. SWLing too? I flat out disagree with the
notion that the ARRL should become bogged down in anything beyond ham
radio. I wouldn't pay dues to an ARRL which dissipated it's assets to
support and serve the activities of radio hobbies in which I have zero
interest and I doubt that I'm alone on this one.
>
w3rv

From -8905861694061787289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 08:26:32 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 15:23:44 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0932ad.122572805@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com> <9e94us$1mv$1@208.207.71.143>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.89
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.89
X-Trace: 21 May 2001 10:19:28 -0500, 64.24.18.89
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21749

On 20 May 2001 19:12:28 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>> Yeah, sure Kim. QST should address the interests of the local FM
>> broadcasters, police dispatchers, wireless modem users and F/A-18
>> jocks who use "radio". Luv it.
>
>
> Well, whadda ya expect, Brian? That she shouldn't one day be able to
>ask, in all innocence - "Ham Radio exam? What's that??" We're almost
>there already.
>
Sand. Draw the line.
>
w3rv




From 1505294071211254329
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 08:34:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <20010520225918.26676.00004311@nso-cg.aol.com>
<20010520231602.28892.00000679@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
```

```
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <Tza06.1881$r4.95124@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 11:34:11 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 15:34:11 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21750

Steve Robeson LPN said (over and over and over):

> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know
> Peace In Any Time."

"In two weeks, the sheeplike masses of any country can be worked up by the
newspaper and TV into such a state of excited fury that men are prepared to
put on uniforms and kill and be killed for the sake of the sordid ends of
a few interested parties." -- Albert Einstein
```

```
From 2080311326613493951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 09:17:37 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:17:09 GMT
Message-ID: <3b093701.123681010@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.53
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.53
X-Trace: 21 May 2001 11:12:54 -0500, 64.24.18.53
Lines: 30
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21751

On 20 May 2001 21:09:34 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:

>>
>>   Why would we NOT be surprised at such a question from you? Or Kim?
>
>We? I have a news flash for you, Dick-O. Kim and I aren't the only ones that
>feel the same way. I, for one, ahve never been able to understand the animosity
>taht exists between CBers and hams.
>
Noclues aren't expected to understand much. Beyond that the ARRL would
be out of it's gourd to bring that schism inside the tent, ain't gonna
happen so get comfortable with it and move on.
>
> There's good and bad in both, but to say
>that one is better than the other is nothing but elitism. That attitude has
>killed many good things.
>
The "attitude" has been with us ever since the FCC turned the 11 meter
ham band over to the CBers almost a half century ago. Ham radio has
since grown enormously. Which obviously establishes the fact that ham
radio is not about to "die" because of some attitude thingey or
another.
>
Your problem is that you don't any grip at all on the trail of history
which has shaped ham radio and the ARRL so you come off with screwball
"ideas" which were kicked around and settled long before we were
blessed with you and Kim.
>
w3rv

From 4230498766206290975
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 09:20:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Date: 21 May 2001 16:10:48 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9ebem8$bn1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010518101222.06552.00000324@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<20010521070756.08733.00000507@ng-fm1.aol.com>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990461448 12001 128.171.44.55 (21 May 2001 16:10:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 May 2001 16:10:48 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21752

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>What?  Are you saying that you think Hans is sending a coutesy email of his
>posts to an individual to "showboat?"

Except that his email and NG versions are not usually the same.
His NG version can contains personal attacks that are omitted in
his email.

I seem to bring out the worst in him -- one time, he got so mad
that he complained to my postmaster! Something about demanding
a public apology.

What was that about, Hans? I can't recall.

KH6O



From -4624307679159842539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 09:20:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!
news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 21 May 2001 16:17:24 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9ebf2k$bnv$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <20010504050920.12863.00002095@ng-
cs1.aol.com> <3af2edc0.165737949@news2.dvol.com> <3B091825.B1B83C4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990461844 12031 128.171.44.55 (21 May 2001 16:17:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 May 2001 16:17:24 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21753

W6RCecilA  <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>> By 1963 the catalogs were overflowing with low-end Novice gear from
>> Heath, Johnson, Globe, Knight WRL and a bunch of others thus plug 'n
>> play Novice ham radio became far more available when you got there.
>> Pull 1953 and 1963 QSTs and lookit the ads.
```

>I think it was 1951 when I got my Xtal controlled Globe Scout, 65w CW,
>55w AM. It was my Novice transmitter.

And then you proceeded to assemble it all: nuts, screws, washers,
chassis, panel, resistors, capacitors, inductors, tube sockets,
transformers, wiring, meter, ..., for the Globe Scout was a kit.
It certainly was not "plug 'n play."

KH6O

```
From -7061189345765410609
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 09:24:26 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:24:04 GMT
Message-ID: <3b093fc8.125928341@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.53
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.53
X-Trace: 21 May 2001 11:19:49 -0500, 64.24.18.53
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21754
```

On 21 May 2001 02:47:46 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:
>
>Would you care to elaborate how this theory of yours applies to the many hams
>who enjoy *both* amateur and CB radio, and would like to see them both
>represented by a common entity?
>
No problem! All ya have to do is become an ARRL member, become
proactive on the matter and sell it to the Board of Directors . . go
for it dude, it's your inalienable right . . heh.

```
>
w3rv


From 6783307329374994243
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,55784fd508165077
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 09:42:12 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: GMDSS
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:41:43 GMT
Message-ID: <3b09449f.127166956@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9ea7oh$ekp$1@news.hawaii.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.53
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.53
X-Trace: 21 May 2001 11:37:29 -0500, 64.24.18.53
Lines: 121
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21755

On 21 May 2001 05:06:25 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>It may surprise
>some seafarers to know that Morse Code is still commonly used in the
>Mediterranean, Middle East and Asia, even though it ceased officially to
>be used worldwide on February 1, 1999.  Many Third World countries
>cannot afford Global Maritime Distress and Safety System (GMDSS)
>equipment, regardless of International Maritime Organization guidelines.
> The Morse Code has served for more than 160 years and its survival is a
>testimony to a form of telecommunication that relied on human abilities.
> GMDSS is a powerful telecoms tool and a worthy replacement to Morse
>Code.  However, as with Morse, it needs dedicated operators, such as
>specialist GMDSS communications officers, for it to be successful.
>Until governments understand this then the introduction of the new
>system will continue to flounder.  Under the Morse system, the number of
> `false alerts` remained, in general, under 1 percent when human
>operators were involved.
>
Hey Lenny . . .
>
w3rv
```

This is impressive and acknowledges the true
>worth of a human presence in a high-risk maritime working environment.
>However, we could now be in danger of wasting what previous generations
>have learned. The efficiency of the old system, using a radio officer,
>was tied to the fact that the ground rules, the basic distress
>structure, never changed. The system evolved out of a voluntary scheme
>at the turn of the 20th century, but the focus on the role of the
>operator remained undiminished. Today, its replacement, GMDSS, is
>floundering because the focus has shifted on to the importance of the
>technology employed. GMDSS administrators are repeatedly returning to
>the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) and changing
>requirements for the system's apparatus. All these costly and
>time-consuming changes are attempts to make the system easier for the
>operators, who basically have just two weeks' preparation in gaining an
>internationally recognized operating certificate. In reality, the
>changes probably only confuse them. The professional seafarer is
>already overworked in the automated era, with crew numbers having been
>cut. An informative GMDSS website, run by Densham and Associates of
>Australia, is used by the world's specialists on the system, including
>surveyors, legislators, technicians and operators, where they discuss
>the realities of its implementation. According to a contribution by a
>senior officer of the US Coast Guard, after two years of its using
>GMDSS, the false-alarm rate for DSC had fallen from 99 percent to 95
>percent and that for 406 MHz Emergency Position Indicating Radio Beacons
>had decreased from 99.5 percent to 97 percent. In response, someone
>suggested that, had these figures been known in 1992 when GMDSS was
>introduced then nobody would have accepted it. Another contributor to
>the site claimed that in the past, in distress situations it was
>relatively easy for a radio officer to deal with call signs that were
>made up of four letters. Today, however, the modern operator has to
>deal with larger identity formats, such as "356433000", though these are
>mostly automated. Once a south European port sent a radio surveyor to
>test and certificate the GMDSS station I was on. The surveyor
>telephoned the local coast guard for its Mobile Maritime Service
>Identity (MMSI) number made up of nine digits, to set up a GMDSS DSC VHF
>public link call for testing purposes. After five minutes, the coast
>guard reported that nobody there knew the relevant MMSI number. It is
>imperative that the station ID is known by all on-site operators for
>distress situations, even though it has to be programmed into the DSC
>unit. Some administrations are considering replacing DSC for their
>telecoms; one Nordic country is proposing to accept Inmarsat-C and
>Navtex as the only GMDSS combination worthy of surveying and certifying
>aboard ships. This is contrary to existing IMO and national GMDSS
>regulations. All of us in the industry are guilty of not taking a
>long-term view of GMDSS. We have become blinded by the power of
>automation and its potential for saving costs, relegating the importance
>of the human factor. The price could be more maritime catastrophes.

>Shipping is a high-risk mode of transportation, but maritime training,
>especially in GMDSS, remains poor. Professional maritime bodies warn
>that the present apathy will produce a generation of seafarers lacking
>practical sea skills. Britain's first 360-degree field-of-view ship
>simulator has recently opened at the Lairside Maritime Center and has a
>5-ton replica bridge and IT suite. But it remains an open question to
>what extent it will be used as part of `balanced` training involving
>`real` experience. Simulators seem to carry inherent risks as training
>aids as well. A study by the University of Illinois in Chicago has
>looked at the effects on 80 volunteers of being put in a flight
>simulator environment. It found that those who made decisions based on
>instrument readings made fewer errors than those who used `aids` that
>evaluated the results for them. I have found as well that some GMDSS
>software, when analyzing OTFs (Optimum Traffic Frequencies), can change
>the reading between 6 MHz and 16 MHz within several minutes. Rear
>Admiral John Lang, head of the UK`s Marine Accident Investigation
>Branch, in a report on a near collision, noted that the modern day
>officer of the watch has to be a radio officer, ship manager, navigator,
>lookout, helmsman and chief amendments officer for reams of regulations
>and documents. Small wonder, then, that such personnel are overworked
>and prone to mistakes. In 1968, when I was trained by old fashioned
>radio officers, it was quite usual to be a junior radio officer for up
>to three years, before being given your own ship. Hardware in those
>days was something you brought from the local ironmonger; today, it is
>the latest Pentium or Celeron. But one thing has not changed so much
>and that is human beings. Distress situations still require sharp
>responses, which are hindered by overwork. The human desire to interact
>as social beings at work has not changed, either. Reducing manpower and
>relying too heavily on automation reduces interaction between officers
>and crew.
> Neville Cresdee is an engineering technician and
>GMDSS/IT/communications officer. He has worked on board Greenpeace's
>flagship as a Radio/GMDSS officer and as Chief Radio/GMDSS officer on
>board a hospital ship and a refugee ship. He is dedicated to
>implementing and improving GMDSS to ensure safety of life at sea.
>--
>
>KH6O
>
>
>

From -8801445973950000563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 09:57:09 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:56:40 GMT

Message-ID: <3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.84
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.84
X-Trace: 21 May 2001 11:52:25 -0500, 64.24.17.84
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21756

On 20 May 2001 21:23:33 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:
>
>This is exactly the kind of attitude that will kill off amateur radio. We'll
>see who the "Dumbo of the Month" when large chunks of amateur spectrum get
>auctioned off to the highest bidder.
>
Clue: A new ham band at 5mHz is enroute. Clue: Frequencies below 30mHz
don't "belong" to the FCC so they can't hardly auction off"property"
they don't own. Query: When was the last time the FCC auctioned off
spectrum space because it didn't approve of the "attitudes" of the
current users of that spectrum space?
>
w3rv

From 928926861314174743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 10:01:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <20010504050920.12863.00002095@ng-cs1.aol.com> <3af2edc0.165737949@news2.dvol.com> <3B091825.B1B83C4@IEEE.org>
<9ebf2k$bnv$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3

```
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <sRbO6.33920$t12.2612477@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 17:01:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.178
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990464472 12.75.179.178 (Mon, 21 May
2001 17:01:12 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 17:01:12 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21757
```

```
"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:9ebf2k$bnv$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> W6RCecilA  <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >> By 1963 the catalogs were overflowing with low-end Novice gear from
> >> Heath, Johnson, Globe, Knight WRL and a bunch of others thus plug 'n
> >> play Novice ham radio became far more available when you got there.
> >> Pull 1953 and 1963 QSTs and lookit the ads.
>
> >I think it was 1951 when I got my Xtal controlled Globe Scout, 65w CW,
> >55w AM. It was my Novice transmitter.
>
> And then you proceeded to assemble it all: nuts, screws, washers,
> chassis, panel, resistors, capacitors, inductors, tube sockets,
> transformers, wiring, meter, ...,  for the Globe Scout was a kit.
> It certainly was not "plug 'n play."
>
> KH6O

I didn't have to assemble mine.  A John Meck T-60-1.  You had bandswitching
you plutocrat.  Us Poor Boys had to use plug-in coils.

Dick N�BK
```

```
From -6958978558783324863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 10:15:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
```

Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 17:04:58 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9ebhrq$oo8$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <20010504050920.12863.00002095@ng-cs1.aol.com> <3af2edc0.165737949@news2.dvol.com> <3B091825.B1B83C4@IEEE.org> <9ebf2k$bnv$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 990464698 25352 205.139.138.14 (21 May 2001 17:04:58 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 21 May 2001 17:04:58 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
X-NOTrace: KLDLOKHLMLIBLBBCOAOLEMDKBICCFCKADNOLMMGK
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21758

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:

>And then you proceeded to assemble it all: nuts, screws, washers,
>chassis, panel, resistors, capacitors, inductors, tube sockets,
>transformers, wiring, meter, ..., for the Globe Scout was a kit.
>It certainly was not "plug 'n play."

Jeffrey, you're suffering from Lennie-itis (evidenced by poor recollection of the facts).

In 1954 you could buy a Globe Scout from WRL for $99.95 (or 10% down, $7.95 per month) ready to pull out of the box, add a crystal, and play. I'd call the "plug 'n play".

Or you could order it in the kit version for $89.95 (or $9.00, $7.15 per month) and build it yourself.

Good luck on this one now!

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -6253625405038955224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 10:17:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <20010518101222.06552.00000324@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<20010521070756.08733.00000507@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebem8$bn1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <l4cO6.2040$r4.106761@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 13:17:05 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 17:17:05 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21759

Jeffrey Herman asked:

>
>What was that about, Hans? I can't recall.
>

```
0  2  4  6  8  10
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
TROLL-O-METER
```

From 4383064855306599127

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 10:29:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 17:28:55 GMT
References: <3b08728a.73382733@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010521132855.29317.00000781@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21760
```

>I wouldn't pay dues to an ARRL which dissipated it's assets to
>support and serve the activities of radio hobbies in which I have zero
>interest and I doubt that I'm alone on this one.

That's encouraging. 73.

Mark

```
From -5179311879616289351
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 10:35:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 17:34:28 GMT
References: <20010520230115.26676.00004316@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010521133428.29317.00000782@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21761
```

>There's never a forklift around when you need one!
>

There's a 24 Hr. heavy equipment rental place in So. Kearny...always a forklift available, if you know where to look. 73, Larry.

Mark

From -7674043124407017627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 11:15:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 18:14:27 GMT
References: <ZQ8O6.1698$r4.82658@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010521141427.11287.00000779@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21762

>Subject: Re: Puke.
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/21/01 8:35 AM Central Daylight Time

>(DAIOTD = Dumb Assed Idea Of The Decade).

Of all the "ideas" put forth every day, this was pretty low on THAT scale, Hans. Of course, I expect you have pretty low expectations of just about anything, so I guess we will have to handicap your scores.

From -814565933025198456
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 11:22:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 18:21:17 GMT
References: <TzaO6.1881$r4.95124@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010521142117.11287.00000780@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21763

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/21/01 10:34 AM Central Daylight Time

>Steve Robeson LPN said (over and over and over):

Oh...exxxxcccccuuuuuuuusssseeeeee me Hans. I FORGOT to ask YOU what was a "proper" time for leaving a tag line in there.]

Actaully, I had dropped it for a while and you made light of it and I re-added it. Guess I get to leave it in there for a while longer.

Enjoy.

The Gunny

>> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know
>> Peace In Any Time."

>> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know
>> Peace In Any Time."

>> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know
>> Peace In Any Time."

>> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know
>> Peace In Any Time."

>> "An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know
>> Peace In Any Time."

From -34180197851138985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 11:26:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 18:25:45 GMT
References: <9ebem8$bn1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Message-ID: <20010521142545.11287.00000782@ng-mp1.aol.com>

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21764

>Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>Date: 5/21/01 11:10 AM Central Daylight Time

>Except that his email and NG versions are not usually the same.
>His NG version can contains personal attacks that are omitted in
>his email.

Ditto. Hence my reluctance to respond to his e mail without first checking to see what's on the NG first.

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 3759240158665186110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 11:50:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 11:48:49 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3B096311.E267041C@uci.edu>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com> <3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com> <3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu> <fRQM6.5566$6j3.484765@www.newsranger.com> <3B03F94B.804DD7A0@uci.edu> <culN6.297$9x.8046@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21765

K0HB wrote:

>
> > Over the past few days K0HB wrote the following list of niceties:
>
> ... clip .....

Yes, I belive clipping your comments is the better part of valor. I
wouldn't want to see them again either, if I were you.

> I guess I fell into the habit by associating with people who
> say things like:

> In article <3B03F94B.804DD7A0@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley says...
> >K0HB got pissed off and wrote:

Are you going to deny that you were the first to say something like that
in our correspondence? How is my observation personally insulting to
you? You certainly were behaving as though you had lost your temper.

> Pot, meet kettle. :-)

How do you figure?

ac6xg

From -749673033862925429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 11:51:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <ZQ8O6.1698$r4.82658@www.newsranger.com>
<20010521141427.11287.00000779@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <osdO6.2191$r4.116993@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 14:51:00 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 18:51:00 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21766

Steve Robeson LPN says:

> Of course, I expect you have pretty low expectations of just about
> anything, so I guess we will have to handicap your scores.

Yes, I expected you to be a pompous twit. You are exceeding those expectations.
You're a pompous twit on afterburners!

72.5, de Hans, K0HB

72.5 -- When you don't care enough to give the best.

```
From -2064143360834152197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 12:00:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 11:55:52 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3B0964B8.DF117B83@uci.edu>
References: <20010518101222.06552.00000324@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<20010521070756.08733.00000507@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21767
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
>
> >>Why don't you pick just one, and stick to that - unless your point is
> >>simply to showboat for everybody out here.
>
> > BAM! Another nail struck squarely on the head.
>

> What? Are you saying that you think Hans is sending a coutesy email of his
> posts to an individual to "showboat?"

Please explain how it is courteous to someone to invite them to respond
by email and then post their own followup response to a newsgroup? When
I come over here, I see that Hans is fighting his battle of insults
unopposed. Seems like showboating to me, Ed.

73, jim ac6xg

> I think it more likely that his newsreader is configured to send an email copy
> to the recipient.
>
> >   BAM! Another nail struck squarely on the head.
>
> I bet my theory hits the nail a lot more squarely than any other. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4348272500937831698
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 12:19:35 PST
Message-ID: <3B0969D5.876CCEBC@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 14:17:41 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3af16341.647742854@news2.dvol.com> <20010504050920.12863.00002095@ng-cs1.aol.com> <3af2edc0.165737949@news2.dvol.com> <3B091825.B1B83C4@IEEE.org> <9ebf2k$bnv$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp 990472267 64.240.47.135 (21 May 2001 14:11:07 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED

Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21768

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> And then you proceeded to assemble it all: nuts, screws, washers,
> chassis, panel, resistors, capacitors, inductors, tube sockets,
> transformers, wiring, meter, ..., for the Globe Scout was a kit.
> It certainly was not "plug 'n play."

Guess again, Jeff. It was available assembled for $10 more. At the
time, I didn't even own a soldering iron/gun and it would have
been a miracle if anything I assembled worked. I shelled out the
extra $10, bought it assembled, and it worked right out of the box.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2567217240813540455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 12:22:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 18:41:49 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e9t8j$73t$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9e9v7v01ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
<K39O6.1724$r4.84017@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-997.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21769

In article <K39O6.1724$r4.84017@www.newsranger.com>, k0hb@qwest.net says...
>
>T. L. Bryant says:
>

>>
>>Yes, especially since CB, family radio service, etc., didn't exist in 1915.
>>Maybe it's time fo rthe ARRL to re-write their charter.
>>
>
>To what advantage or purpose? These services have nothing in common with

Revenue.

If you were a business, and that business was declining in income over a period of years, it would behove you to explore more avenues of exposure to increase that revenue.

```
From 3001158111109679715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 12:27:43 PST
Message-ID: <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 14:26:06 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp 990472772 64.240.47.135 (21 May 2001 14:19:32 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21770
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I'd like to see either of you clowns try to copy CW at *any* speed
> being sent by someone who had never before keyed a rig. It would be
> unrecognizale as Morse code regardless of speed.

So you would hear a 3wpm 'SOS' being sent by a shorting a pair of wires together and tune right past it because it's not good code? - nice guy. Your final revenge will be screening disaster victims for their code ability and letting the slow coders die.

> Evidently neither of you has ever taught a code class.

Guess again, Dick. I taught code classes at Intel. Only one dyslexic person had a problem with a 'dit' VS a 'dah'.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6294749467900432605
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 12:31:12 PST
Message-ID: <3B096C8A.92EA8537@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 14:29:14 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010520225917.26676.00004310@nso-cg.aol.com> <20010521065036.08733.00000501@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaue$bb4$4@208.206.142.78>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp 990472959 64.240.47.135 (21 May 2001 14:22:39 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21771

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > To those who truly appreciate the mode, Larry, it is its own incentive.
>
> And extremely few truly appreciate the mode who were not required to
> learn it for licensing. A miniscule percentage, in fact.

Uh-oh, bend over, Dick is going to force everyone to relax and enjoy
the Morse code shafting. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7428055352873580780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 12:33:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B096D0E.564B166B@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 14:31:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9e9gcq$rop$0@208.207.71.143> <20010520225920.26676.00004315@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp 990473091 64.240.47.135 (21 May 2001 14:24:51 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21772

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Well, at least this pretty much puts a lid on Cecil's claim to being
> an expert on logic! How could I have possibly learned how effective
> CW was if I never bothered to learn it in the first place?

Exactly the same thing can be said about your favorite flavor of ice
cream, Larry. Who forced you to try that particular flavor?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3305636289536689816
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 12:35:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com>
<3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com>
<3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu> <fRQM6.5566$6j3.484765@www.newsranger.com>
<3B03F94B.804DD7A0@uci.edu> <culN6.297$9x.8046@www.newsranger.com>
<3B096311.E267041C@uci.edu>
Subject: Re: A bit of empathy
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <h5eO6.2242$r4.122816@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 15:34:37 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 19:34:37 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21773

On Mon, 21 May 2001 11:48:49 -0700, Jim Kelley says:

> How is my observation personally insulting to
> you?

Because you deliberately and persistently mischaracterized my
views as anti-CW. I am 100% pro-CW. Make a note of it.

73, Hans, K0HB

--

  Support the ac6xg National Endowment for Creative Truth.

```
From 4820140056951884778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 12:37:59 PST
Message-ID: <3B096E32.41A5C30E@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 14:36:18 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B07B695.BEDD6556@IEEE.org> <20010520225920.26676.00004314@nso-
cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp 990473387 64.240.47.135 (21 May 2001 14:29:47 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21774
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Don't look now, but how would I have known how effective CW was
> if I never bothered to learn it because there wasn't a requirement to
> do so?

How would you ever participate in netnews if you never learned to type?

How would you ever know how good sex is if someone didn't force you?
How would you ever have developed a favorite flavor of ice cream
without someone forcing it down you for the first time? How would
you have ever learned to drive a car if someone didn't force you?
How would you ...? Well, you get the idea. People choose to do the
things they choose to do based on wants and needs and ROI, not usually
on outdated affirmative action program requirements.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5429300463075090348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 12:39:02 PST
Message-ID: <3B096E76.8344F4E5@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 14:37:26 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010520225920.26676.00004314@nso-cg.aol.com>
<20010521065301.08733.00000503@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp 990473451 64.240.47.135 (21 May 2001 14:30:51 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21775

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

```
>
> > At least I'm being honest when I say it *was* the requirement
> >that made me learn it!
>
> And Cecil is being honest that he learned it in the Scouts so he and a friend
> could use it to send secret messages.

He wasn't a friend - he was my first cousin. :-)
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3214899258879066106
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 12:40:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 12:37:05 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3B096E61.DE8BF0D6@uci.edu>
References: <20010520225919.26676.00004313@nso-cg.aol.com>
<20010521064353.08733.00000498@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3B0920EB.6490EC4B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21776
```

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> > writes:
> >
> > >I have seen my nieces and nephews doing just that with their CB
> > >HTs that have a chart on the side and a button for MCW.
> >
```

> > That can't be true, Cecil -- unless you forced them to do it. :-)
>
> I had an argument with my five year nephew over whether their CB
> HTs were radios or not. :-) He said, "It's a walkie-talkie, not
> a radio."

Must run in the family. :-)

73 ac6xg

```
From 3695794126227593151
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 12:45:42 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 19:45:16 GMT
Message-ID: <3b096fe0.138242140@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
<3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com>
<B_ZN6.3695$BN6.401479@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<ZQ8O6.1698$r4.82658@www.newsranger.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.64
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.64
X-Trace: 21 May 2001 14:41:02 -0500, 64.24.18.64
Lines: 11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21777
```

On Mon, 21 May 2001 13:35:53 GMT, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:

>
>(DAIOTD = Dumb Assed Idea Of The Decade).
>

. . . I gotta achive that . .

>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>

From 2414951307485065262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 13:01:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B097044.A39AB392@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 14:45:08 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010519233246.06566.00004368@nso-fi.aol.com>
<20010521064928.08733.00000500@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebasd$bb4$3@208.206.142.78>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp 990473914 64.240.47.135 (21 May 2001 14:38:34 -0500)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21778

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > I can tell you that if I had not been code tested, my present speed would have
> > been zero if all the old timers didn't tell me why the mode is a fun and
> > enjoyable thing to use. Had they kept tossing up the things I see them
tossing
> > on this forum, it would have turned this 13-year old kid off cold.
>
> So then you would have been one of the code bashers who tell all us who
> passed a code test how stupid we were to submit to that old hazing
> ritual when we could have just waited our lifetimes for the rules to
> finally change?

Yep, that's the predictable reaction of ordinary human beings exposed to
your bullying techniques, Dick. You and Larry have probably discouraged

more people from learning and using Morse code than anyone else I know. One can only speculate about your not-so-hidden agenda in trying to alienate potential hams from learning Morse code. Don't you realize that in trying to monopolize the sandbox, the big guys may take the sandbox away from you and re-allocate the sand to where it will serve the most citizens?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2738514129882006163
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 13:01:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B0970C4.3D5DDE82@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 14:47:16 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3B084769.675DC4E9@IEEE.org> <9ea4ti$qcs$0@208.207.71.141>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp 990474041 64.240.47.135 (21 May 2001 14:40:41 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21779
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > People with low self esteem condemn all members some other group. They have to
> > tear someone else down below their miserable level of mediocrity before they
> > can feel good about themselves. Tearing other people down is like being a drug
> > addict. It's a temporary fix that actually lowers self-esteem in the long run.
> > The more successful they are at tearing other people down, the more miserable
> > and frantic they become.
>
>   Well Cecil, looks like you are stupid beyond belief.

Dick, If the Foo $hits, wear it!
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4538994075134744504
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 13:01:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 18:51:25 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net> <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com> <3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net> <%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-149.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21780

In article <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net says...

>Represented for what? One is a recognized hobby service with its own
>traditions -- good or bad as you may like -- the other is a Land Mobile
>service that has become a hobby and most certainly has traditions of its own
>largely incompatible with the ARS. For why does CB require representation
>at all?? Of what conceivable value is ARRL membership to the law-abiding
>CB, FRS, etc user?
>
>The difference is between the user of plumbing and the Plumbers Union. Both
>are perfectly admirable in themselves and do share a certain relationship;
>there are certainly porcelain fixtures at the Union Hall. But worshiping
>the ceramic god hardly makes one a plumber. Unless the object of common
>representation is an attempt to blur the distinction, what purpose could it
>serve?
>
>Dick N�BK

All you've done is say that amateur radio is above these other services. Your plumbing analogy is a non-sequitor. If the ARRL and amateur radio is to survive in the next century, they're going to have to explore more ways to expose themselves to people that have an interest in electronic and communications. Wayne Green, as much of a kook as he is at times, has been saying this for years.

From 3066615179082200812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 13:01:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 18:57:26 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9eboem2277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net> <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com> <3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net> <%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9eba7j$9nl$0@208.206.142.78>

NNTP-Posting-Host: p-260.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21782

In article <9eba7j$9nl$0@208.206.142.78>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>> Represented for what? One is a recognized hobby service with its own
>> traditions -- good or bad as you may like -- the other is a Land Mobile
>> service that has become a hobby and most certainly has traditions of its own
>> largely incompatible with the ARS. For why does CB require representation
>> at all?? Of what conceivable value is ARRL membership to the law-abiding
>> CB, FRS, etc user?
>>
>> The difference is between the user of plumbing and the Plumbers Union. Both
>> are perfectly admirable in themselves and do share a certain relationship;
>> there are certainly porcelain fixtures at the Union Hall. But worshiping
>> the ceramic god hardly makes one a plumber. Unless the object of common
>> representation is an attempt to blur the distinction, what purpose could it
>> serve?
>>
>> Dick N�BK
>
>
> As always, Dick, If I may paraphrase Forrest Gump -
>
> "Clueless is as clueless does".

Unliek you, Dick responded in an intelligent fashion. You, OTOH, can only throw childish temper tantrums and make ad-hominem attacks. If you act like a child, you will be treated as such.

From -1608678947445614419
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 13:01:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 13:00:42 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3B0973EA.183AA817@uci.edu>
References: <20010519233245.06566.00004367@nso-fi.aol.com>
<20010521063858.08733.00000495@ng-fm1.aol.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21781

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >I'd be interested in your direct response to the original question.
> >How can a ham handle traffic using Morse/CW if he isn't adequately
> >trained and experienced in that mode?

> How can a ham handle traffic in Latin if he or she is isn't adequately trained
> and experienced in that language.

He probably could handle it if the message traffic was sent by Morse
code. :-)

73, Jim AC6XG

From -5773686017676945360
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 13:01:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 21 May 2001 19:12:50 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9ebpbi3277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<9e9h6b$126$1@208.207.71.143> <9e9vpv21ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
<9ea6jl$1f7$0@208.207.71.141>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-539.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1

X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21783

In article <9ea6jl$1f7$0@208.207.71.141>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>
> I've had it with your stupidity. You have no idea what this is all

Does this mean I won't be reading any more of your eloquent words of wisdom
anymore? I'm flattered.

>about.
>Not even a clue. Yet you come across as some selfappointed expert, so
>archtypical of the no code mentality.
>
> Yoiu know, the code itself has very little to do with any of this. It
>just falls as a symbolism of the difference between those who did and
>those who were just too useless.

If this is to imply that I support the no-coders, it's true. If it's to imply
that *I* am a no-coder, or that I have no license at all, I think you should
know that I've been a licensed ham for over twenty years, and currently hold an
Extra class license, which I passed when the code speed was still at 20 WPM.
You can do a Google/Deja search through the past several years if you want to
find out what my callsign is. I no longer post it in the newsgroups.

From -4744205079374473260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 13:10:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: A bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 13:10:31 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3B097637.A2305CC3@uci.edu>
References: <3B003DD0.5C1E6B6B@uci.edu> <20010515083448.02623.00000998@nso-
fp.aol.com> <3B0179F8.94611A0C@uci.edu> <4cfM6.2745$6j3.240806@www.newsranger.com>
<3B0187D8.EFD26497@uci.edu> <C6gM6.2828$6j3.251345@www.newsranger.com>
<3B019221.70ED515D@uci.edu> <fRQM6.5566$6j3.484765@www.newsranger.com>
<3B03F94B.804DD7A0@uci.edu> <culN6.297$9x.8046@www.newsranger.com>
<3B096311.E267041C@uci.edu> <h5eO6.2242$r4.122816@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21784

K0HB wrote:
>
> On Mon, 21 May 2001 11:48:49 -0700, Jim Kelley says:
>
> > How is my observation personally insulting to
> > you?
>
> Because you deliberately and persistently mischaracterized my
> views as anti-CW.

If that were true, I guess there'd be some evidence of it. But since I never wrote anything of the kind, you sir, are in error.

Good luck with this one now.

ac6xg

From 2315538159914130304
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,6dd2990c24b582b4,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6dd2990c24b582b4,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 13:11:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer1.nac.net!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Drop by   - www.ab7sl.com -
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <aEeO6.13700$BN6.622041@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 20:11:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.190.207
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990475910 63.30.190.207 (Mon, 21 May 2001 13:11:50 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 13:11:50 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 13:10:09 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15960

rec.radio.amateur.policy:21785

Lots of STUFF to do at www.ab7sl.com such as...

All - NEW - W9INN antenna page!!

1. Ham Radio Links (some unusual)
2. Several Ham Radio Web Rings !
3.AB7SL Biography
4.Personal Photos
5.Station Description
6.Software Downloads
7.The DX Page (w / Telnet links)
8.Downloadable IOTA List
9.W7NH - Elmer Tribute
8.Prescott Arizona Page
9.Online DX Logbook
10.3D Art Gallery (downloads)
11.Music Page (downloads)
12.Frequently Asked Questions
13.Free-For-All Links Page
14.Guestbook
15.Equipment Photos
16.Downloadable DXCC Countries List
17.Downloadable ITU Prefixes List
18.W9INN Antennas Page
--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

From 7239609968882950364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 13:23:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e9t8j$73t$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9e9v7v01ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
<K39O6.1724$r4.84017@www.newsranger.com> <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <2OeO6.2315$r4.129891@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:22:22 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 20:22:22 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21786

On 21 May 2001 18:41:49 GMT, T. L. Bryant says:

>>>Yes, especially since CB, family radio service, etc., didn't exist in 1915.
>>>Maybe it's time fo rthe ARRL to re-write their charter.
>>>
>>
>>To what advantage or purpose? These services have nothing in common with
>
>Revenue.
>
>If you were a business, and that business was declining in income over a
>period of years, it would behove you to explore more avenues of exposure to
>increase that revenue.

That'd kinda be like another non-profit, the Southern Baptist Church, re-writing their charter to become the "Southern Baptist and Eastern Orthodox Church" to increase revenue. Naw, they'd be better off holding a bake sale and so would ARRL!

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"I believe in a God who doesn't need heavy financing."

```
From 2391137450465131295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,4240b28e0ae8599d
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4240b28e0ae8599d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 13:47:02 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <375098FE.29387CD@bellsowth.net>
<l8aO6.1834$r4.91513@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Vortron HAARP antenna neutralizer
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <a9fO6.156176$2_.47304039@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 20:47:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 990478022 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 21 May 2001 13:47:02
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 13:47:02 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:15961
rec.radio.amateur.policy:21787

Or maybe a good shot to the side of the head ?

--
Rob St.Denis

"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:l8aO6.1834$r4.91513@www.newsranger.com...
> w4rump@bellsowth.net, a whacko lemming on full afterburners, says:
>
> >If the High-frequency, Active, Auroral, Research Program (HAARP) is
> >causing QRM or other problems at your station, you should take a look at
> >http://expage.com/page/vortron to learn about the Vortron neutralizer.
> >
```

> >I carry one with me wherever I go.
>
> Sounds like a job for Captain Thorazine!
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -6892470502808651426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 14:04:28 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 21:04:04 GMT
Message-ID: <3b097bd1.141295916@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<9e9h6b$126$1@208.207.71.143> <9e9vpv21ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
<9ea6jl$1f7$0@208.207.71.141> <9ebpbi3277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.139
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.139
X-Trace: 21 May 2001 15:59:47 -0500, 64.24.18.139
Lines: 17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21788

On 21 May 2001 19:12:50 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:

>
>If this is to imply that I support the no-coders, it's true. If it's to imply
>that *I* am a no-coder, or that I have no license at all, I think you should
>know that I've been a licensed ham for over twenty years, and currently hold an
>Extra class license, which I passed when the code speed was still at 20 WPM.
>You can do a Google/Deja search through the past several years if you want to
>find out what my callsign is. I no longer post it in the newsgroups.
>
Pompous dipshit, who the hell would bother running a search on YOU.
The callsign here is w3rv. W3RV. Whiskey Three Romeo Victor, got it
yet? Kelly, C. Brian. What the hell is YOUR problem sweetums, got a
bit of a problem with standing up like a man and taking the heat do
ya??
>
Whiskey Three Romeo Victor

From 890253332867464690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 14:36:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 21 May 2001 21:36:14 GMT
References: <osdO6.2191$r4.116993@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21789

>Subject: Re: Puke.
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/21/01 1:51 PM Central Daylight Time

>Yes, I expected you to be a pompous twit. You are exceeding those
>expectations. You're a pompous twit on afterburners!

BBBWWWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

You're at it again, Hans! Thanks! Needed that!

>72.5 -- When you don't care enough to give the best.

I'd expect nothing more from you, Chief! You have already lived up to MY
expectations. And more.

The Gunny

An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time

An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time

An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time

An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time

An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time

```
From 3706222659075784076
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 15:52:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 22:52:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.229
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990485546 12.75.103.229 (Mon, 21 May
2001 22:52:26 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 22:52:26 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21790

"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com...

> In article <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net says...
>
> >Represented for what? One is a recognized hobby service with its own
> >traditions -- good or bad as you may like -- the other is a Land Mobile
> >service that has become a hobby and most certainly has traditions of its own
> >largely incompatible with the ARS. For why does CB require representation
> >at all?? Of what conceivable value is ARRL membership to the law-abiding
> >CB, FRS, etc user?
> >
> >The difference is between the user of plumbing and the Plumbers Union. Both
> >are perfectly admirable in themselves and do share a certain relationship;
> >there are certainly porcelain fixtures at the Union Hall. But worshiping
> >the ceramic god hardly makes one a plumber. Unless the object of common
> >representation is an attempt to blur the distinction, what purpose could it
> >serve?
> >
> >Dick N�BK
>
>
> All you've done is say that amateur radio is above these other services. Your
> plumbing analogy is a non-sequitor. If the ARRL and amateur radio is to survive
> in the next century, they're going to have to explore more ways to expose
> themselves to people that have an interest in electronic and communications.
> Wayne Green, as much of a kook as he is at times, has been saying this for
> years.
>

Above is a judgement call, although I would make it, but different for certain. The plumbing analogy is right on point -- there is a difference between licensees and users. A dentist is something more than a dental assistant or a hygenist no matter how it makes the underlings feel; there is no patient benefit from pretending otherwise and certainly no long-term benefit to the American Dental Association from any charade.

1. Cross-marketing, which is what you suggest, has been in general a miserable failure. Do you have your checking/savings with your mortgage-holder? Do you buy your life insurance where you insure your car? Both cross-marketing attempts have been around probably longer than you have and with the single exception of State Farm have been universal duds.

2. Again I ask of what conceivable benefit is 'representation' to the
law-abiding user of FRS, CB, etc. What in hell does it even mean?

Dick N�BK

From 4877509782787647576
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 16:38:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <iKTN6.2420$9D5.306542@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010520224007.23210.00000599@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <IFhO6.19560$BN6.663088@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 23:38:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.131.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990488296 209.246.131.234 (Mon, 21 May
2001 16:38:16 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:38:16 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:36:34 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21791

"RadioHead11m" <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010520224007.23210.00000599@ng-cd1.aol.com...
> Hi Kim,
>
> Yes, it is refreshing to see some beneficial activity occuring on 11
meters. I
> find that most who claim none simply aren't listening...or are, at the
very
> least, predisposed NOT to hear it. Re. DFing on 11 meters, a group of
buddies
> and I used to perform an occasional "fox-hunt" on 2 meters with good
results.

> 11 meters is a little tricky re. DFing during the cycle, but I suppose it
could
> be done. Nice response, thanks. Take care and 73.
>
> Mark
>

It is good when things that have potential are experimented with. I have
the Cobra 148 NW ST in the trunk of my car just in case I ever need CB. Oh,
by the way, try DFing an interfering signal on 2M or 70cm while
communicating with the other hams on CB! You'll nab 'em nearly every
time...LOL While I've not done this, I've heard the stories!

I've also communicated on CB about conversations that are taking place on 2M
(particular repeaters around town) and the tall stories that people tend to
get into for the "sake of ham radio." ;)

Kim W5TIT

From -6214052869491692551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 16:43:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3B084769.675DC4E9@IEEE.org> <9ea4ti$qcs$0@208.207.71.141>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <zKhO6.19616$BN6.664624@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 23:43:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.131.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990488607 209.246.131.234 (Mon, 21 May

```
2001 16:43:27 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:43:27 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:41:46 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21792
```

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ea4ti$qcs$0@208.207.71.141...
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > > We? I have a news flash for you, Dick-O. Kim and I aren't the only
ones that
> > > feel the same way. I, for one, have never been able to understand the
animosity
> > > that exists between CBers and hams. There's good and bad in both, but
to say
> > > that one is better than the other is nothing but elitism. That
attitude has
> > > killed many good things.
>
>   So who said any such thing? The discussion was the melding of CB into
> ham radio. Any ham in favor of that is stupid beyond belief.
>

While I am picking rather willy-nilly as I choose messages to look at, I've seen no comments from anyone of a desire to "meld" CB into ham radio. Maybe I missed something.

Blending of the two radio services would just not be beneficial at all. CB has its purpose and its benefits--some of which make it as busy as it is and as abused as it is. As well, ham radio has its purpose and its benefits--some of which also cause it to be abused, and cause feelings of "superiority" to other radio services. However, none of the radio services should be "blended."

Kim W5TIT

```
From -1822605788111812746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 16:51:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
```

From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
<3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com>
<B_ZN6.3695$BN6.401479@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9ea7cj$3h4$0@208.207.71.141>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 51
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <lShO6.19669$BN6.666038@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 23:51:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.131.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990489105 209.246.131.234 (Mon, 21 May 2001 16:51:45 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:51:45 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:50:03 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21793

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ea7cj$3h4$0@208.207.71.141...
>
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> I believe it was late last Fall, that
> > the ARRL itself announced through the Minutes of a BoD meeting that it
was
> > *considering* broadening the scope of the ARRL to other radio
> > services/groups--and I believe that CB was specifically mentioned there.
>
> You don't sppose Mr. Haney the REACT member had any input into that
> discussion, do you? A discussion subject, by the way, that quickly died
> out.
>

I have no idea if Jim Hanie had any input--you'd have to ask him. I do
believe that amateur radio has enjoyed a relationship with REACT for several
years in many areas. It may have been inevitable that an official MOU would
be signed. I can tell you that having REACT aboard as a partner in
emergency communications here in the Dallas area is a grand idea. Their
vans and service vehicles far exceed anything ham radio has in service here,

and could be of great value in a disaster/emergency. Yet another benefit, especially to the Dallas Amateur Radio Club (who hosted the signing), is that I believe REACT has an "in" to a nice, high building in downtown Dallas. This is pure supposition on my part--but that "in" could lead to a nice, high repeater site for the DARC's repeater (the Dallas County RACES repeater).

>
>   As
> > a member of the ARRL, I would like to see representation extended to
other
> > services and I think that would have to mean that modifications to
> > membership rules would have to be made.
>
>   Why, sure you would! It isn't enoiugh that ham radio testing has been
> made so dead easy that you can get your ham radio lciense with no more
> than CB learning and skills, you now want to complete the conversion of
> ham radio completely into CB. Not a bit surprising, I might add.
>

I don't share your opinion of the current status of ham radio or the testing. And I certainly have no agenda for "converting" ham radio into CB. Perhaps there are others who would fit your profile, but that would not be me.

Kim W5TIT

From -6960501955384427305
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 16:55:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
<3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com>
<B_ZN6.3695$BN6.401479@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<ZQ8O6.1698$r4.82658@www.newsranger.com>

Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <8WhO6.19695$BN6.668094@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 23:55:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.131.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990489348 209.246.131.234 (Mon, 21 May 2001 16:55:48 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:55:48 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:54:06 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21794

"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:ZQ8O6.1698$r4.82658@www.newsranger.com...
> Kim-W5TIT says:
>
> >
> >I really like your idea, though, of dividing up the Director level.
> >
>
> Wasn't my idea, just my take on what might happen under your "broadened
> scope". Personally, I think it qualifies for DAIOTD recognition, and
> deserves to be cut down in a hail of warning shots.
>
> (DAIOTD = Dumb Assed Idea Of The Decade).
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>

Then, I suppose you must be sorry for having come up with it.

Kim W5TIT

From 5986071076882791395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 16:58:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e9t8j$73t$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9e9v7v01ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
<K39O6.1724$r4.84017@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <qYhO6.19712$BN6.668562@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 23:58:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.131.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990489494 209.246.131.234 (Mon, 21 May 2001 16:58:14 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:58:14 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:56:32 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21795

"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:K39O6.1724$r4.84017@www.newsranger.com...
> T. L. Bryant says:
>
> >
> >Yes, especially since CB, family radio service, etc., didn't exist in 1915.
> >Maybe it's time fo rthe ARRL to re-write their charter.
> >
>
> To what advantage or purpose? These services have nothing in common with
> Amateur Radio, other than the fact that radio transmitters are involved. Based
> on the use of radio transmitters, should ARRL also include baby monitor users,
> cell phone users, garage door opener users, and "invisible fence" pet control
> users?
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>

In a way, the ARRL does "affect" those users, in the sense that regulations
concerning the use of the radio spectrum are of prime concern to the ARRL.
As stated before, the commercial use of spectrum probably has no basis for
representation from the ARRL.

Kim W5TIT

```
From 4757301927221217931
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 17:01:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
<3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com> <3b08728a.73382733@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <8%hO6.19731$BN6.669355@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 00:01:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.131.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990489668 209.246.131.234 (Mon, 21 May
2001 17:01:08 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 17:01:08 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 16:59:26 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21796

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b08728a.73382733@news2.dvol.com...
> On Sun, 20 May 2001 18:43:51 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:
>
> >Kim-W5TIT says...
> >
> >>Now that I have clarified that I mean non-commercial radio, what ideas
> >>against such broadening of the scope of the ARRL do you have?  If you
> >>wish, I could specifically the radio services that I am including, but
> >>I think you knew that.
> >
> >Kim,
```

> >
> I'll assume that what you really mean is that the ARRL should cover
> all hobby and/all personal non-commercial utility radio. Basically ham
> radio, CB, GMRS, MURS & FRS. SWLing too? I flat out disagree with the
> notion that the ARRL should become bogged down in anything beyond ham
> radio. I wouldn't pay dues to an ARRL which dissipated it's assets to
> support and serve the activities of radio hobbies in which I have zero
> interest and I doubt that I'm alone on this one.
> >
> w3rv
>

To answer your question real quick: All the services you mention (not SWL) I think would be good candidates for a broader-based ARRL. We obviously disagree. I think that a more diverse ARRL is a stronger ARRL.

Kim W5TIT

```
From 7550308765942241640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4240b28e0ae8599d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 17:35:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 00:34:52 GMT
References: <375098FE.29387CD@bellsowth.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Vortron HAARP antenna neutralizer
Message-ID: <20010521203452.19814.00001285@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21797
```

In article <375098FE.29387CD@bellsowth.net>, w4rump@bellsowth.net (w4rump@bellsowth.net) writes:

>If the High-frequency, Active, Auroral, Research Program (HAARP) is
>causing QRM or other problems at your station, you should take a look at
>http://expage.com/page/vortron to learn about the Vortron neutralizer.
>
>I carry one with me wherever I go.
>

It doesn't sound like Vogon poetry, does it? Don't know if I could take much of that.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 134671155154398131
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 17:35:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 00:34:00 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 166
Message-ID: <3b09b328.4872736@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010516054500.23321.00000003@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010519233245.06566.00004367@nso-fi.aol.com>
<3b088c99.2731499@news.mindspring.com> <9ea72l$2m8$0@208.207.71.141>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.75.7d
X-Server-Date: 22 May 2001 00:35:09 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21798

On 21 May 2001 04:54:45 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >I'd be interested in your direct response to the original question.
>> >How can a ham handle traffic using Morse/CW if he isn't adequately
>> >trained and experienced in that mode? Keep things like "operator
>> >choice" or "necessity" out of the equation. Let's assume that
>> >a situation arose where, in actual fact, the only way to get any kind
>> >of message from point A to point B, considering conditions, power
>> >availability, etc. is to use Morse/CW as opposed to any other mode.
>> >How can this mode be effectively exploited if a trained, proficient
>> >CW operator is not available?
>>
>> Larry,
>> I'll bite... it can't then be used...BUT, that prospect
>> is so remote a possibility that there is simply no reason
>> to use it as a viable argument to sustain code testing
>> requirements for ALL hams with HF licenses.
>>
>
>
> Here's a little report for your consideration... not that it'll matter
>a bit to you, of course.

>
>---------------
> GMDSS is the Global Marine Distress and Signaling System that replaced
>the decades old system of having wireless telegraphic distress (WT)
>watches and
>dedicated Radio Officers on each ship over 1600 GWT.
>(Large ships above about 350 feet).
>
> GMDSS INSIGHT/OPINION - The following article written by Neville
>Cresdee
> appeared in Inmarsat's Ocean Voice April periodical. - - It may
>surprise
> some seafarers to know that Morse Code is still commonly used in the
> Mediterranean, Middle East and Asia, even though it ceased officially
>to
> be used worldwide on February 1, 1999.

So because some parts of the globe may use CW for marine
use, that means what to the ham requirments?

> Many Third World countries
> cannot afford Global Maritime Distress and Safety System (GMDSS)
> equipment, regardless of International Maritime Organization
>guidelines.

Then those countries are free to require morse of their
ham requirements if they think it will be of any use.
Last time I checked, no one had to monitor any marine
frequencies at all.

>   The Morse Code has served for more than 160 years and its survival is
>a
> testimony to a form of telecommunication that relied on human
>abilities.
>   GMDSS is a powerful telecoms tool and a worthy replacement to Morse
> Code. However, as with Morse, it needs dedicated operators, such as
> specialist GMDSS communications officers, for it to be successful.
>Until
> governments understand this then the introduction of the new system
>will
> continue to flounder. Under the Morse system, the number of
>   `false alerts` remained, in general, under 1 percent when human
> operators were involved. This is impressive and acknowledges the true
> worth of a human presence in a high-risk maritime working environment.
> However, we could now be in danger of wasting what previous
>generations
> have learned. The efficiency of the old system, using a radio
>officer,
> was tied to the fact that the ground rules, the basic distress
> structure, never changed. The system evolved out of a voluntary
>scheme
> at the turn of the 20th century, but the focus on the role of the
> operator remained undiminished. Today, its replacement, GMDSS, is

> floundering because the focus has shifted on to the importance of the
> technology employed. GMDSS administrators are repeatedly returning to
> the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) and changing
> requirements for the system's apparatus. All these costly and
> time-consuming changes are attempts to make the system easier for the
> operators, who basically have just two weeks' preparation in gaining
>an
> internationally recognized operating certificate. In reality, the
> changes probably only confuse them. The professional seafarer is
> already overworked in the automated era, with crew numbers having been
> cut. An informative GMDSS website, run by Densham and Associates of
> Australia, is used by the world's specialists on the system, including
> surveyors, legislators, technicians and operators, where they discuss
> the realities of its implementation. According to a contribution by a
> senior officer of the US Coast Guard, after two years of its using
> GMDSS, the false-alarm rate for DSC had fallen from 99 percent to 95
> percent and that for 406 MHz Emergency Position Indicating Radio
>Beacons
> had decreased from 99.5 percent to 97 percent. In response, someone
> suggested that, had these figures been known in 1992 when GMDSS was
> introduced then nobody would have accepted it. Another contributor to
> the site claimed that in the past, in distress situations it was
> relatively easy for a radio officer to deal with call signs that were
> made up of four letters. Today, however, the modern operator has to
> deal with larger identity formats, such as "356433000", though these
>are
> mostly automated. Once a south European port sent a radio surveyor to
> test and certificate the GMDSS station I was on. The surveyor
> telephoned the local coast guard for its Mobile Maritime Service
> Identity (MMSI) number made up of nine digits, to set up a GMDSS DSC
>VHF
> public link call for testing purposes. After five minutes, the coast
> guard reported that nobody there knew the relevant MMSI number. It is
> imperative that the station ID is known by all on-site operators for
> distress situations, even though it has to be programmed into the DSC
> unit. Some administrations are considering replacing DSC for their
> telecoms; one Nordic country is proposing to accept Inmarsat-C and
> Navtex as the only GMDSS combination worthy of surveying and
>certifying
> aboard ships. This is contrary to existing IMO and national GMDSS
> regulations. All of us in the industry are guilty of not taking a
> long-term view of GMDSS. We have become blinded by the power of
> automation and its potential for saving costs, relegating the
>importance
> of the human factor. The price could be more maritime catastrophes.
> Shipping is a high-risk mode of transportation, but maritime training,
> especially in GMDSS, remains poor. Professional maritime bodies warn
> that the present apathy will produce a generation of seafarers lacking
> practical sea skills. Britain's first 360-degree field-of-view ship
> simulator has recently opened at the Lairside Maritime Center and has
>a
> 5-ton replica bridge and IT suite. But it remains an open question to
> what extent it will be used as part of `balanced` training involving

> `real` experience. Simulators seem to carry inherent risks as
>training
> aids as well. A study by the University of Illinois in Chicago has
> looked at the effects on 80 volunteers of being put in a flight
> simulator environment. It found that those who made decisions based
>on
> instrument readings made fewer errors than those who used `aids` that
> evaluated the results for them. I have found as well that some GMDSS
> software, when analyzing OTFs (Optimum Traffic Frequencies), can
>change
> the reading between 6 MHz and 16 MHz within several minutes. Rear
> Admiral John Lang, head of the UK`s Marine Accident Investigation
> Branch, in a report on a near collision, noted that the modern day
> officer of the watch has to be a radio officer, ship manager,
>navigator,
> lookout, helmsman and chief amendments officer for reams of
>regulations
> and documents. Small wonder, then, that such personnel are overworked
> and prone to mistakes. In 1968, when I was trained by old fashioned
> radio officers, it was quite usual to be a junior radio officer for up
> to three years, before being given your own ship. Hardware in those
> days was something you brought from the local ironmonger; today, it is
> the latest Pentium or Celeron. But one thing has not changed so much
> and that is human beings. Distress situations still require sharp
> responses, which are hindered by overwork. The human desire to
>interact
> as social beings at work has not changed, either. Reducing manpower
>and
> relying too heavily on automation reduces interaction between officers
> and crew.

So because of all this psyco babble, we should avoid
automation?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 1316495684031198758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 17:50:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just to set this boor straight
Date: 22 May 2001 00:46:48 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9eccto$30p$1@news.hawaii.edu>

References: <20010518101222.06552.00000324@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<20010521070756.08733.00000507@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebem8$bn1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<l4cO6.2040$r4.106761@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990492408 3097 128.171.44.54 (22 May 2001 00:46:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 May 2001 00:46:48 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21800

K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:

>Jeffrey Herman asked:
>>One time Hans got so upset that he sent a complain to my postmaster.
>>What was that about, Hans? I can't recall.

```
>0  2  4  6  8  10
>/
>/
>/
>/
>/
>/
>/
>/
>TROLL-O-METER
```

So your complaint to my postmaster was just a troll? Is that what
you're trying to say?

KH6O

From 3343400278580512450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 17:50:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 22 May 2001 00:43:56 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9eccoc$301$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <3B091825.B1B83C4@IEEE.org>
<9ebf2k$bnv$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9ebhrq$oo8$1@news.netmar.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990492236 3073 128.171.44.54 (22 May 2001 00:43:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu

NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 May 2001 00:43:56 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21799

In article <9ebhrq$oo8$1@news.netmar.com>, <K0HB@arrl.org> wrote:

>Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> writes:
>>And then you proceeded to assemble it all: nuts, screws, washers,
>>chassis, panel, resistors, capacitors, inductors, tube sockets,
>>transformers, wiring, meter, ..., for the Globe Scout was a kit.
>>It certainly was not "plug 'n play."

>Jeffrey, you're suffering from Lennie-itis (evidenced by poor recollection of
>the facts).
>In 1954 you could buy a Globe Scout from WRL for $99.95 (or 10% down, $7.95
>per month) ready to pull out of the box, add a crystal, and play. I'd call
>the "plug 'n play".
>Or you could order it in the kit version for $89.95 (or $9.00, $7.15 per
>month) and build it yourself.
>Good luck on this one now!

What does it take to keep you from butting in, Hans? I was setting
Cecil up to see if he was telling the truth but you had to go and
spoil it. Do you also interupt conversations in person?

And stop sending me the email version of your NG follow-up.

No 73 for you,
KH6O

From 3921738492700225553
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 18:00:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 00:59:00 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9ecdkk$3f7$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <iKTN6.2420$9D5.306542@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010520224007.23210.00000599@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<IFhO6.19560$BN6.663088@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990493140 3559 128.171.44.54 (22 May 2001 00:59:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 May 2001 00:59:00 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21801

"RadioHead11m" <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
> Yes, it is refreshing to see some beneficial activity occuring on 11
>meters. I
> find that most who claim none simply aren't listening...or are, at the
>very
>least, predisposed NOT to hear it. Re. DFing on 11 meters, a group of
>buddies

In my interpretation of the rules, fox-hunts are prohibited.
Section 95.416 limits transmissions to just 5 minutes, and
S95.412(a) limits them to a 2-way nature. [One-way is covered
by S95.412(c).]

KH6O

From 1912449123313139282
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 18:27:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 01:26:11 GMT
References: <9ecdkk$3f7$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010521212611.19945.00000452@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21802

>In my interpretation of the rules, fox-hunts are prohibited.
>Section 95.416 limits transmissions to just 5 minutes, and
>S95.412(a) limits them to a 2-way nature. [One-way is covered
>by S95.412(c).]

Thanks for the update. Take care and 73.

Mark

From 1126731203264997351
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 19:21:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 01:23:02 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9ecf1m0chk@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<9e9h6b$126$1@208.207.71.143> <9e9vpv21ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
<9ea6jl$1f7$0@208.207.71.141> <9ebpbi3277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3b097bd1.141295916@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-076.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21803

In article <3b097bd1.141295916@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com says...

>>Extra class license, which I passed when the code speed was still at 20 WPM.
>>You can do a Google/Deja search through the past several years if you want to
>>find out what my callsign is. I no longer post it in the newsgroups.
>>
>Pompous dipshit, who the hell would bother running a search on YOU.
>The callsign here is w3rv. W3RV. Whiskey Three Romeo Victor, got it
>yet? Kelly, C. Brian. What the hell is YOUR problem sweetums, got a
>bit of a problem with standing up like a man and taking the heat do
>ya??
>>
>Whiskey Three Romeo Victor

Throw as many temper tantrums as you like, Brian. It's still not going to
change my mind. Callsigns are only required on the air, and not on usenet.



From 810490821035584659
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 19:23:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!spln!dex!
extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 01:34:51 GMT
```

Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-419.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21804

In article <K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net says...

>1. Cross-marketing, which is what you suggest, has been in general a
>miserable failure. Do you have your checking/savings with your
>mortgage-holder? Do you buy your life insurance where you insure your car?

Yes, and yes. So what's your point?

>Both cross-marketing attempts have been around probably longer than you have
>and with the single exception of State Farm have been universal duds.

Your really stretching on that one. No one is denying that trying to market meat to vegitarians is bound to fail. CB, amateur, and FRS, however, have a common ground that isn't being exploited. A lot of hams entered the hobby in the late 70s at the height of the CB radio craze. For awhile, that passing fad was the best thing to happen to amateur radio. Why not explore other venues?

>
>2. Again I ask of what conceivable benefit is 'representation' to the
>law-abiding user of FRS, CB, etc. What in hell does it even mean?
>
>Dick N�BK

Who knows? There may not be any. However, the exposure that amateur radio receives would be well worth the effort.

From -5074502240454666192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 19:43:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 02:43:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21805



"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On 20 May 2001 21:23:33 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
> wrote:
> >
> >This is exactly the kind of attitude that will kill off amateur radio. We'll
> >see who the "Dumbo of the Month" when large chunks of amateur spectrum get
> >auctioned off to the highest bidder.
> >
> Clue: A new ham band at 5mHz is enroute. Clue: Frequencies below 30mHz
> don't "belong" to the FCC so they can't hardly auction off"property"
> they don't own. Query: When was the last time the FCC auctioned off
> spectrum space because it didn't approve of the "attitudes" of the
> current users of that spectrum space?
> >
> w3rv


 heh heh heh....


From 4674926573560458770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 19:49:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 02:49:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9eck32$9nk$1@208.206.142.73>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9e9t8j$73t$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9e9v7v01ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <K39O6.1724$r4.84017@www.newsranger.com> <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21806

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <K39O6.1724$r4.84017@www.newsranger.com>, k0hb@qwest.net says...
> >
> >T. L. Bryant says:
> >
> >>
> >>Yes, especially since CB, family radio service, etc., didn't exist in 1915.
> >>Maybe it's time fo rthe ARRL to re-write their charter.
> >>
> >
> >To what advantage or purpose? These services have nothing in common with
>
> Revenue.
>
> If you were a business, and that business was declining in income over a period
> of years, it would behove you to explore more avenues of exposure to increase
> that revenue.

So, you think the ARRL's revenue has been declining? Just like the
total number of ham radio operators has been declining. Right.

From -8729343364135172574

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 19:50:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 22 May 2001 02:50:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73>
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21807



W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >  I'd like to see either of you clowns try to copy CW at *any* speed
> > being sent by someone who had never before keyed a rig. It would be
> > unrecognizale as Morse code regardless of speed.
>
> So you would hear a 3wpm 'SOS' being sent by a shorting a pair of wires
> together and tune right past it because it's not good code? - nice guy.
> Your final revenge will be screening disaster victims for their code
> ability and letting the slow coders die.
>
> >  Evidently neither of you has ever taught a code class.
>
> Guess again, Dick. I taught code classes at Intel. Only one dyslexic
> person had a problem with a 'dit' VS a 'dah'.


 I simply do not believe you. Virtually everone who first tries to send
code makes everything sound alike ant tries to send all dits.


From -7091570402484262889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 19:57:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
```

in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 02:57:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9eckjf$bsn$0@208.206.142.73>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21808

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>

 If the ARRL and amateur radio is to survive
> in the next century,

 Poor ol' TL just can't get it straight. Nothing the ARRL does today
will effect that happens in the next century. But he has himself
convinced .

From -8193992964410564517
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 19:59:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 02:59:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9eckmn$bsn$1@208.206.142.73>

```
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<9e9h6b$126$1@208.207.71.143> <9e9vpv21ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
<9ea6jl$1f7$0@208.207.71.141> <9ebpbi3277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21809



"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <9ea6jl$1f7$0@208.207.71.141>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
> >
> > I've had it with your stupidity. You have no idea what this is all
>
> Does this mean I won't be reading any more of your eloquent words of wisdom
> anymore? I'm flattered.
>
> >about.
> >Not even a clue. Yet you come across as some selfappointed expert, so
> >archtypical of the no code mentality.
> >
> > Yoiu know, the code itself has very little to do with any of this. It
> >just falls as a symbolism of the difference between those who did and
> >those who were just too useless.
>
> If this is to imply that I support the no-coders, it's true. If it's to imply
> that *I* am a no-coder, or that I have no license at all, I think you should
> know that I've been a licensed ham for over twenty years, and currently hold an
> Extra class license, which I passed when the code speed was still at 20 WPM.
> You can do a Google/Deja search through the past several years if you want to
> find out what my callsign is. I no longer post it in the newsgroups.



And you're still a clueless dolt.


From -8851217067797622204
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 20:04:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9e9t8j$73t$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9e9v7v01ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <K39O6.1724$r4.84017@www.newsranger.com> <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com> <9eck32$9nk$1@208.206.142.73>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <YGkO6.35101$t12.2715987@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 03:04:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.207
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990500664 12.75.103.207 (Tue, 22 May 2001 03:04:24 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 03:04:24 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21810

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9eck32$9nk$1@208.206.142.73...
>
>
> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:

> >
> > Revenue.
> >
> > If you were a business, and that business was declining in income over a period
> > of years, it would behove you to explore more avenues of exposure to increase
> > that revenue.
>
>
>
> So, you think the ARRL's revenue has been declining? Just like the
> total number of ham radio operators has been declining. Right.

He is more than likely correct, Dick. Too many signs indicate that publishing more than membership is supporting the ARRL. The great restructuring debacle managed to piss off virtually everyone, bringing home to roost claims of inside influence that did not exist to people expecting far too much. Note that ARRL conventions are now held at hamfests, much like two drunks supporting each other.

I suspect that the source of this string is the new Grand Poobah getting a briefing from the Accounting laddies.

Dick N�BK

```
From 1907085666583387242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 20:05:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 03:05:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9ecl24$cvd$0@208.206.142.73>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21811
```

Richard McCollum wrote:
>

 Again I ask of what conceivable benefit is 'representation' to the
> law-abiding user of FRS, CB, etc. What in hell does it even mean?

It makes them feel wanted, needed, worthwhile, vital. None of which is reality.

From -2258078275358132437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 20:34:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B09DDFB.6B865A04@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 22:33:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org> <9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.111
X-Trace: corp 990502002 64.240.47.111 (21 May 2001 22:26:42 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!feed.cgocable.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21812

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Guess again, Dick. I taught code classes at Intel. Only one dyslexic
> > person had a problem with a 'dit' VS a 'dah'.
>
> I simply do not believe you. Virtually everone who first tries to send
> code makes everything sound alike ant tries to send all dits.

I simply do not believe you. Virtually everyone can tell the difference between a 100 mS tone and a 300 mS tone. Most people can tell the difference between a 100 mS tone and a 200 mS tone. The only problem

I've seen with newbies is that the 'dah' is much longer than it should
be compared to the 'dit' but that helps copy rather than hindering it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2415114749587941974
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 20:54:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B09D4D4.EA751BDD@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 98
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 03:54:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.194
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990503658 63.17.223.194 (Mon, 21 May
2001 20:54:18 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 20:54:18 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 20:52:29 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21813

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> >
> > "T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com...
> > > In article <9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> In article <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> > > >> >
> > > >> >
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >> I'd like the ARRL to continue on its path to embracing all radio
> > > >> >> communications as a part of their mission statement. Only then
> will
> > they
> > > >> >> truly be the "the national association for amateur
> radio"--emphasis
> > on
> > > >> >> *association*.
> > > >> >>
> > > >> >
> > > >> > And, of course, de-emphasis on "amateur radio". Of course.
> > > >>
> > > >> The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title
> > of the
> > > >> organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a
> > problem
> > > >> with including all radio communications?
> > > >
> > > >   Why would we NOT be surprised at such a question from you? Or Kim?
> > >
> > > We? I have a news flash for you, Dick-O. Kim and I aren't the only ones
> > that
> > > feel the same way. I, for one, ahve never been able to understand the
> > animosity
> > > taht exists between CBers and hams. There's good and bad in both, but to
> > say
> > > that one is better than the other is nothing but elitism. That attitude
> > has
> > > killed many good things.
> > >
> >
> > OOOOOOOOOOOOOOH the Ultimate Weapon or Holy Hand Grenade (take your pick)
> > has been released or heaved or something. Elitism! Isms out the waz.
> And
> > I should now shrivel up and shrink away like a dandelion zapped with
> 2-4-D.
> > In your dreams, Brayant.

> >
> > The animosity is fairly simple: those who got for free want more for free
> > and those who earned it see no good reason to suffer freeloaders. Being
> > hungry does not entitle one to crash the Eagles Picnic; in fact being
> human
> > and alive confers no rights whatsoever -- non humans maybe.
> >
> > Accidents of birth are one thing, accidents of privlege another, but
> > achievement is no accident. One who has earned it owes precisely nothing
> to
> > those who have not, in theory, in practice, or any other way no matter how
> > much whining he hears. For one who has acheived in an area to say he is
> > 'better' may not be politically correct but if he does not think it he is
> an
> > idiot and if he does not say it he is a liar. All he risks is being
> > unpopular among those who could not carry his shorts for saying out loud
> > what everyone already knows.
> >
> > Dick N�BK
> >
>
> I find it interesting that this discussion has quickly degraded into some
> kind of ritualistic assessment of something being earned, when all that was
> being discussed was a broadening of the scope of representation for the ARRL
> to other radio services. Ham radio licensing is not governed by the ARRL,
> so I don't understand the correlation. As to "accidents of privilege" there
> is no "built in" privilege to the ARRL just because one is an amateur radio
> operator--other than voting membership. *Anyone* may be a member of the
> ARRL, and *everyone* has to pay for that membership. Or shall we turn
> ourselves into a closed club?
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim,

Anyone who has an interest in amateur radio may become an associate
member. Only licensed radio amateurs may vote. Why, pray tell, would
an association chartered as a radio amateur organization embrace all of
radio communication?

Dave K8MN

```
From 1248820590408277093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 21:03:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B09D70E.DC4FBD94@earthlink.net>
```

From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 31
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 04:03:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.194
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990504224 63.17.223.194 (Mon, 21 May 2001 21:03:44 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 21:03:44 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 21:01:56 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21814

T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
> In article <3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com says...
> >
> >On 20 May 2001 02:08:34 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
> >wrote:
> >>
> >>The title is the "American Radio Relay League." No where in the title of the
> >>organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a problem
> >>with including all radio communications?
> >>
> >You just won the rrap "Dumbo of the Month Award" for that one.
> >>
> >If you don't want that award inflicted on you The Committee has
> >decided that it might cut you some slack if you can satisfactorily
> >explain how the word "Relay" got into the title of the ARRL.
> >>
> >w3rv
>
> This is exactly the kind of attitude that will kill off amateur radio. We'll
> see who the "Dumbo of the Month" when large chunks of amateur spectrum get
> auctioned off to the highest bidder.

...and I think you are the first "Dumbo of the Month" to also hold
honors as first runner up too. Please explain how your ignorance of
what the League is and does results in an attitude which can kill off
amateur radio. How does this sinister-sounding spectrum auctioning come

about? Why not take a few minutes to read the charter of the ARRL
before you manage to cram both brogans into your yap!

Dave K8MN

From -1144765386178903911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 21:10:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B09D8B6.3E05AF7C@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
<3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com>
<B_ZN6.3695$BN6.401479@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9ea7cj$3h4$0@208.207.71.141>
<lShO6.19669$BN6.666038@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 58
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 04:10:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.194
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990504641 63.17.223.194 (Mon, 21 May
2001 21:10:41 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 21:10:41 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 21:08:59 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21815

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9ea7cj$3h4$0@208.207.71.141...
> >
> >
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:

> > >
> > I believe it was late last Fall, that
> > > the ARRL itself announced through the Minutes of a BoD meeting that it
> was
> > > *considering* broadening the scope of the ARRL to other radio
> > > services/groups--and I believe that CB was specifically mentioned there.
> >
> > You don't sppose Mr. Haney the REACT member had any input into that
> > discussion, do you? A discussion subject, by the way, that quickly died
> > out.
> >
>
> I have no idea if Jim Hanie had any input--you'd have to ask him. I do
> believe that amateur radio has enjoyed a relationship with REACT for several
> years in many areas. It may have been inevitable that an official MOU would
> be signed. I can tell you that having REACT aboard as a partner in
> emergency communications here in the Dallas area is a grand idea. Their
> vans and service vehicles far exceed anything ham radio has in service here,
> and could be of great value in a disaster/emergency. Yet another benefit,
> especially to the Dallas Amateur Radio Club (who hosted the signing), is
> that I believe REACT has an "in" to a nice, high building in downtown
> Dallas. This is pure supposition on my part--but that "in" could lead to a
> nice, high repeater site for the DARC's repeater (the Dallas County RACES
> repeater).
>
> >
> >   As
> > > a member of the ARRL, I would like to see representation extended to
> other
> > > services and I think that would have to mean that modifications to
> > > membership rules would have to be made.
> >
> >   Why, sure you would! It isn't enoiugh that ham radio testing has been
> > made so dead easy that you can get your ham radio lciense with no more
> > than CB learning and skills, you now want to complete the conversion of
> > ham radio completely into CB. Not a bit surprising, I might add.
> >
>
> I don't share your opinion of the current status of ham radio or the
> testing. And I certainly have no agenda for "converting" ham radio into CB.
> Perhaps there are others who would fit your profile, but that would not be
> me.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Don't sell yourself short, Kim. I think it could be you but only
through nearly complete ignorance. I believe that your ideas are not
simply stupid, they are enormously stupid.

Dave K8MN

From 243254824108530816
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 11:51:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 18:10:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9eea2t263b@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e9t8j$73t$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9e9v7v01ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
<K39O6.1724$r4.84017@www.newsranger.com> <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<9eck32$9nk$1@208.206.142.73>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-683.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21866

In article <9eck32$9nk$1@208.206.142.73>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

> So, you think the ARRL's revenue has been declining? Just like the
>total number of ham radio operators has been declining. Right.

The master of irrelevance strikes again.

From 7718810787160595028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 11:51:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
sjc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 18:15:11 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9eeabf363b@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>

```
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B09D4D4.EA751BDD@earthlink.net> <txmO6.35341$t12.2739243@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<RQrO6.8727$9D5.844318@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-739.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21867

In article <RQrO6.8727$9D5.844318@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
nospam@none.net says...
>
>"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:txmO6.35341$t12.2739243@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>>
>> Kim, feel free to reply of course but you are my one and only killfile on
>> this newsgripe in the interest of my blood pressure.  Unless, as in this
>> case, it gets repeated, there is no way I will ever know.  Just so you
>know
>> the debate is one-sided.
>>
>> Toodle!
>>
>> Dick N�BK
>>
>
>I think Dick thinks he is the only one in this newsgroup : o
>
>Kim W5TIT


There are a lot of dicks in this newsgroup.




From -9037124292926928148
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 12:20:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87>
<acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com>
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Lines: 70
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <NZyO6.36389$t12.2875154@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 19:20:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.89
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990559213 12.75.103.89 (Tue, 22 May 2001 19:20:13 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 19:20:13 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21868

"Alan Castillo" <alanc_183@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com...
> Maybe the old idea of CBer's getting their tech's and joining the
> league has more merit than meets the eye. One could possibly view all
> the downright rudeness I've read from AR's "elder statesmen" as a
> direct result of simple fear. Fear, you ask?...fear of what?! Well,
> it's been said that once attrition quells some of the rudeness, who'll
> "inherit" AR? Someone on QRZ posed this question and it simply went
> unanswered...could it be "other" group of radio "enthusiasts" who
> enjoy making worldwide contacts on hf? Hmmm, maybe the league has
> quietly answered that question.
>
> As far as attacking (Yes...attacking!) Kim for sharing her opinions,
> why be rude? If you disagree, say so and leave it at that. What a
> great bunch you are to set the example for others. I take it back, you
> guys probably aren't AR's "elder statesmen." You're just a group of
> retirees that hate to see the changes in your beloved service/hobby
> and have soured into mean old grumps. Dump someone in your killfile
> because you don't agree with their opinion. Put them there because
> they're abusive or obnoxious...like many of you have been, NOT because
> their opinion differs from the herd.
>
> As for the changing times for AR, the ARRL, and those "other
> enthusiasts"...be afraid...be very afraid. Adios!
>
> 73 de Alan
> Jersey City, NJ

OK Alan, as you have at least identified yourself and stated your outlook in connected sentences in something resembling civilized English, you deserve a civil answer.

1. Kim earned her killfiledom from me not because of her opinions but the

effort it took to figure out just what in Sam Hill they were. It long predates this thread.

2. You have changed the rules here: CBers getting techs presents no problem whatsoever; the thread up until then had the ARRL (of which I am not and have not been a member in a number of years) going out to 'represent' (whatever that may mean) every radio user that stalks the earth.

3. I am not retired. While I have been licensed since 1955 I am at the moment 57 and can't even tap my IRA/401k funds without penalty. I am quite gainfully employed.

4. While CB is a Land Mobile service meaning essentially that it encompasses virtually every non-Maritime (or broadcasting or aeronautic) use of radio in furtherance of non-Radio goals, it is not legally a hobby service. That distinction belongs to the Amateur Service and has since 1927. That it is attempted is obvious; as Dr. Johnson said (of women preaching) 'it is not that it is not done well but that it is done at all' that is the heart of the issue.

5. As you may or may not know, a lot of us are getting a little testy having been accused of everything from rape to mayhem for the sin of passing a code test. Whatever one's POV on that issue the fact remains that it will be the requirement for at least two years, probably four or five, and if it doesn't make it to the agenda soon, more like 15. There isn't a blessed thing the Amateur Community can do about it one way or the other. When there is reason to suspect that what we have is someone seeking to deconstruct passers of code tests to justify to themselves if not others not even making the attempt, the waste of time alone creates grumpitude. Sorry about that but the chaplain is down the hall.

6. My fear level has not moved at all. Wonder why that might be?

Dick N�BK

From -8423807643865439601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 12:20:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 12:15:25 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3B0ABACD.CF4EA49E@uci.edu>

References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org>
<9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdpqcn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3B0A6A68.636F869F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21869

W6RCecilA wrote:
> Still, the only person in my code classes who had a problem with a 'dit'
> VS a 'dah' was dyslexic. He could tell a character was either an 'A' or
> an 'N' but he couldn't tell the difference.

Very common problem for people just starting out. I'd be interested to know if he could tell the difference between a "L" and a "Y"?

73, Jim AC6XG

From 6255112742612833434
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 12:20:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 18:22:01 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9eeao9463b@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3B09D70E.DC4FBD94@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-856.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21870

In article <3B09D70E.DC4FBD94@earthlink.net>, k8mn@earthlink.net says...

>...and I think you are the first "Dumbo of the Month" to also hold
>honors as first runner up too. Please explain how your ignorance of
>what the League is and does results in an attitude which can kill off
>amateur radio. How does this sinister-sounding spectrum auctioning come
>about? Why not take a few minutes to read the charter of the ARRL
>before you manage to cram both brogans into your yap!
>
>Dave K8MN

Why don't you go back and re-read what I wrote. I'm talking about the attitudes
of certain hams, such as yourself, that will turn people off to amateur radio.
If you're so clueless as to think that I meant the ARRL in my post, then
perhaps you should be the one to received the aforementioned award that you so
proudly covet.

From 1147134499994713250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 13:20:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 20:00:39 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9eegh7$jk3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990561639 20099 128.171.44.55 (22 May 2001 20:00:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 May 2001 20:00:39 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21871

Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net> wrote:
>I find it interesting that this discussion has quickly degraded into some
>kind of ritualistic assessment of something being earned, when all that was
>being discussed was a broadening of the scope of representation for the ARRL
>to other radio services. Ham radio licensing is not governed by the ARRL,
>so I don't understand the correlation.

The correlation is easy to understand from just the League's motto:
"Of, By, and For the Radio Amateur."

>*Anyone* may be a member of the ARRL, and *everyone* has to pay for that
>membership.

That's always been true except non-licensed people who join are (or
used to be) given an Associate Membership.

KH6O

From -8213306340689947092
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 13:20:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!logbridge.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 19:53:59 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9eeg4n$jat$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990561239 19805 128.171.44.55 (22 May 2001 19:53:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 May 2001 19:53:59 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21872

Kim-W5TIT <nospam@none.net> wrote:
>I find it interesting that this discussion has quickly degraded into some
>kind of ritualistic assessment of something being earned, when all that was
>being discussed was a broadening of the scope of representation for the ARRL
>to other radio services. Ham radio licensing is not governed by the ARRL,
>so I don't understand the correlation.

The correlation is easy to understand from just he League's motto:
"Of, By, and For the Radio Amateur."

>*Anyone* may be a member of the ARRL, and *everyone* has to pay for that
>membership.

That's always been true except non-licensed people who join are (or
or used to be) given an Associate Membership.

KH6O

From 2331269499726666052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,28c5b55641d5b55b,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 13:21:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!torn!qcarhaaa.nortelnetworks.com!bcarh189.ca.nortel.com!
bmerhc5e.ca.nortel.com!bcarh8ab.ca.nortel.com!not-for-mail
From: Fred Davis <fmdavis@nortelnetworks.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Canada drops 12 wpm code requirement
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 16:23:24 -0400
Organization: Nortel Networks Inc.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <MPG.157494c5e448c292989b3b@carnews0>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pcard2nt.ca.nortel.com
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.30
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21873

http://www.rac.ca/dnews.htm

Basic + 5 wpm get full HF operating privileges

In a notice from Industry Canada the Technical Requirements set out in the Radiocommunication Information Circular 2
(RIC-2), "Standards for the Operation of Radio Stations in the Amateur Radio Service", has been amended.

Effective May 19, 2001, this notice grants full operating privileges in all amateur radio frequency bands below 30 MHz
to amateur radio operators holding the basic and 5 w.p.m. Morse code qualification.

Copies of the revised RIC-2 are available from the Industry Canada web site at:
http://strategis.gc.ca/SSG/sf01226e.html

Fred VA3FD

From -3049872927189439057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 13:34:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!
not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@arrl.org>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>

<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
<UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 267
Message-ID: <FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 16:25:09 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 20:25:09 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21874

On Tue, 22 May 2001 11:18:12 GMT, Kim-W5TIT says:

> *If* the ARRL ever did move to a position such as this,
> don't you think that it would create less competition
> with other groups?

First, I don't think competition is a bad thing.

Second, I don't see any other clubs or associations out there
in credible competition with ARRL.

My good friend Don Stoner, W6TNS (SK), had the right idea when
he founded NARA ("National Amateur Radio Association" --
where have you seen those words lately), but I think Don made
a mistake when he tried to run it out of his own deep pockets.
It just never achieved critical mass.

But the competition would have been good for ARRL and Amateur
Radio.

73, Hans, K0HB

PS: Lest you dismiss Don as some sort of old timer with no vision,
he was the guy who sparkplugged the first Amateur Radio satellite,
OSCAR-1, and was a founding member of AMSAT.

Here an editorial from the NARA magazine "The Communicator"
- -

In My Opinion....

An editorial by Donald L. Stoner, W6TNS

In November of 1988, the Federal Communications Commission slipped a bomb into our hamshacks and lit the fuse.. The FCC announced they were reallocating a portion of the 220 MHz band to a new communications service based on a petition submitted by United Parcel Service. Our national organization, The American Radio Relay League tried to snuff out the fuse and get the decision reversed. But the 220-222 MHz part of the band is a goner!

How could this have happened? Well, for starters, we took the Amateur Radio Service and our fraternity for granted. It never occurred to us that the FCC might give some of "our" frequencies away just because we didn't use them.

The FCC even warned us of their intention. In 1984 Robert Foosaner was Chief of the FCC Private Radio Bureau. He indicated in a CQ Magazine interview that the band was not being used adequately. Mr. Foosaner flatly stated it might be assigned to other services. Unfortunately we didn't believe him .

I have a theory that the FCC confiscated these frequencies to send Amateurs a message. I believe the message is "shape up or ship out." Warm up the tar and start collecting feathers if you like, but in my opinion, the Commission did Amateurs an enormous favor. A two MHz slice of radio frequency spectrum is a small price to pay for awakening us from our long sleep.

The Amateur Radio Service is coming back to life after 20 or more years of being totally oblivious to the changes taking place all around us. Amateurs are starting to ask how they can contribute to the fraternity. They want to improve themselves and they want to see the service revitalized. They want more young people to enjoy Amateur Radio. Teachers, particularly those who are Amateurs, are beginning to realize how the service can be used to educate our youngsters in a number of disciplines.

This metamorphosis also happened to your humble editor in November of 1988. I've enjoyed Amateur Radio for almost 40 years. In the 50's and 60's I wrote a number of books and articles for Amateurs. I have also taken the Amateur Radio Service for granted. I'm as guilty as anyone. But that stopped when we lost part of the 220 MHz band. The National Amateur Radio Association became more than just a gleam in my eye.

What Are The Goals of NARA?

Broadly speaking, the goal of The National Amateur Radio Association is the promotion of ham radio. The organization has four specific goals within this broad framework. These are to a) publicize or market Amateur Radio to the general public, b) attract young people to the Amateur Radio Service, c) help existing Amateurs achieve the greatest benefit from the Amateur Radio Service and d) make Amateurs aware that our radio frequencies are in jeopardy from commercial interests. Here are some of my specific thoughts on these four areas:

Marketing Amateur Radio To The Public

Many people don't understand the significance of marketing and sales. The difference is very germane to this discussion.

Let's say you go into a ham radio store and tell the person behind the counter you want to buy an ICOM IC-781. He or she takes your order along with your money and hands you a radio. In this instance the person is simply an order taker and not even a sales person. A sales person tries to convince you to buy a specific product but they are not marketeers. The people who publish the brochures, run the advertisements and create your desire to own the IC-781 are the marketeers. Marketing creates the desire to have something.

For as long as I can remember, we hams have been order takers. We have not been salespersons and by no stretch of the imagination could be called marketeers of ham radio. If someone expressed their desire to be a ham, or an ex-CB'er convinced us they were a born-again communicator, we'd "take their order" and accept them into our ranks. Until recently, we never tried to be salespersons or marketeers for our hobby.

Deep down inside the human brain, is a little grey glob that scientists label the "I've got mine" lobe. In this area of the Amateur's brain is stored the feeling that we really don't want any more hams than we already have. We'll never admit it, even to ourselves, but the feeling is there. More hams equal more QRM, more problems with the FCC and more drift away from the way things used to be in the "good ole days."

More is not always better. More people with an Amateur Radio license may not have a positive or beneficial effect on the Amateur Service. We must mold and shape these people in the traditions that have guided us since the early part of the century. How we accomplish this will be the subject of articles in future issues of this journal.

Attracting Young People

When I was a kid, ham radio was a young peoples hobby. My best friend was a guy named Barry Windsor. Barry and I were fascinated by ham radio. We used to go over to Sid Dunn's house every Saturday morning. I never saw Sid without a cigar in his mouth. It was just another one of his appendages. When the end of his "stoggie" would get all goopy and slobbery, he'd line it up on the edge of the workbench, along with the other stubs, to dry out.

Anyway, Sid used to take time each week to teach us about ham radio. He'd work somebody in Upper Slobovia on 20 meters and our eyes would stand out on six inch stems. Then Sid would tell us the reason he could do this was (insert lecture here) his three element beam and how it worked, or the 304TL amplifier and how it worked, or radio propagation and how it worked. You get the idea.

Barry and I and some of the other kids pecked away at keys rather unsuccessfully trying to learn the Morse code. I lost track of the others but finally made the grade when the FCC, in their infinite wisdom, created the Novice and Technician license.

Somehow, someway, we must resurrect the magic aura that existed back in Sid's hamshack. Essentially, we must try to give something back to our fraternity in exchange for all the wonderful things it has given us.

It's not going to be easy! Today kids have computers, Nintendo games, cars, the opposite sex and Madonna, MTV and a million other distractions. We've taken the first step to redress the problem of attracting young people by creating a new "code-free" license. What this license becomes, and whether it is successful in attracting newcomers is entirely up to us. If we don't tell anyone about the Amateur Radio Service and how easy it is to become a ham, the new Technician Class license will be a "dud." In short, we must all make an effort to /b1 market ham radio to the public.

In marketing, you commonly give away a sample which gets the "hook in and set", to use a fishing metaphor. That's what the new Technician license can do that for our fraternity. It can be the "hook" that we use to attract people to Amateur Radio.

But we must get out and hustle the service to young people. That's where NARA comes in. We intend to tell our members how to recruit youngsters. We intend to print stories about how others have done it successfully.

We believe the place to recruit youngsters is in the schools as early as possible. Again, we are going to have a lot to say about our schools in future issues of "The Amateur Radio Communicator".

Helping Existing Hams

Like it or not, a lot of hams passed their written test simply by memorizing the questions and the correct answer. Why not? What's wrong with that? You memorize the code don't you?

But these hams are not particularly proud of the fact they don't understand the answers or even the questions. They are curious about the technical aspects of the Amateur Radio Service.

I have yet to meet a ham that does not want to improve him or herself. Some Volunteer Examiners have established classes to teach people how to become a ham. Who has a class to teach you how to upgrade from Novice/Technician to General? Very few, I suspect.

Each issue of "The Amateur Radio Communicator" will have a theory article. Hopefully they will be as useful and interesting to existing hams as well as to newcomers. If you have specific questions that you would like to see answered in this publication, send them to "Editor- A Touch Of Class".

Preservation Of "Our" Frequencies-

I put the word "our" in quotes. We seem to think of the radio spectrum as "ours." A number of bands of frequencies are loaned for our use, by the Federal Communications Commission. We can continue to use them so long as it is in the national interest to do so. Make no mistake about it fellow hams. The FCC did not let us use parts of the radio spectrum because they think we are nice guys or gals. They do so because it is more in the national interest to loan these frequencies to the Amateur Radio Service than to someone else. If that equation changes, more frequencies will be lost to other activities which are perceived by the FCC to better advance the national interest.

In November 1988, the FCC brought the point forcibly to our attention. They reminded us, once again, "If you don't use 'em, you're gonna lose 'em!" Some of our bands are barren waste-lands that we Amateurs seem to be saving for a "rainy day." Six meters is under utilized except for a few hardy souls in Southern California. The Commission knew the 220

MHz band was not adequately occupied. It won't be adequately occupied even when the FCC allocates the 220- 222 MHz portion for land mobile use. We have already lost the 902 MHz band and the fate of our satellite "S" band will be decided next year by the World Administrative Radio Conference (WARC) in Spain.

The 1296 band is priceless. Do you know of anyone who operates this band? Does your ham store have any 1296 MHz equipment in stock or do they have to order it? Is there a 1296 MHz repeater in your town. I doubt it.

If we maintain our "business as usual" approach, we are going to lose more frequencies. A lot more!

73, de Don, W6TNS

From -1762534194636837792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 13:52:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 13:52:00 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0105221251.3031979a@posting.google.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133>
<9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91>
<9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B09D4D4.EA751BDD@earthlink.net> <txmO6.35341$t12.2739243@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<RQrO6.8727$9D5.844318@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9eeabf363b@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.198.173.200
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

```
X-Trace: posting.google.com 990564720 31398 127.0.0.1 (22 May 2001 20:52:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 May 2001 20:52:00 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21875
```

tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote in message
news:<9eeabf363b@enews2.newsguy.com>...

> There are a lot of dicks in this newsgroup.

Ouch! In all fairness, I've never seen N0BK respond like that, which
leads me to believe that our (not-so) friendly neighborhood imposter
is up to his/her usual shennanigans again. As for the original
comment, I know of only one.;-P

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

```
From -4590662932755098964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 14:00:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@qwest.net
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 20:53:52 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9eejl0$h07$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <20010514053055.25187.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010516011415.05448.00002793@ng-ca1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 990564832 17415 205.139.138.14 (22 May 2001 20:53:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 May 2001 20:53:52 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
X-NOTrace: PNILALMLOLDBJBMBMADMGMIKDINBDCFABNDMOMLK
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21876
```

In article <20010516011415.05448.00002793@ng-ca1.aol.com>, Steve Robeson LPN
<k4yz@aol.com> writes:

> What else is there to do when there is nothing in your
> life to do anything with?

This came from K4YZ (a sort of junior-model Len Anderson) who contributes nothing substantive to rrap, but sits on the sidelines and hip-shoots small calibre/poorly aimed potshots, usually to fall victim to a ricochet of his own fire.

72.5, de Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -8611481593889940756
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 14:02:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: PRS -- Amateur Radio Alternative?
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 17:02:03 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tglkudlg8tsqc6@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3B028734.4CF8B714@psu.edu> <20010519214912.06566.00004357@nso-fi.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 31
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21877

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010519214912.06566.00004357@nso-fi.aol.com...
> Thoughts/comments?

I think your comments about more license classes, code tests are DOA. The FCC spoke about those issues so get use to the way things are now, and stop wishing for "the good old days" to come back, they're not.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -4478357559737806058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 14:07:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 21:06:45 GMT
References: <20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010522170645.22906.00000917@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21878

>Subject: Re: Puke.
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/22/01 8:59 AM Central Daylight Time

>Wassup? Did someone tell you to write this on the blackboard five times?

Nope. Only Hans' arrogance.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

```
From -1734650991417648230
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 14:16:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 14:15:04 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3B0AD6D8.22DCF827@firstinter.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9dc1oo$89d$0@208.206.142.97>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 31
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21879
```

Skip? Not at all. These folks, thanks to modern technology, no longer need to be a human modem to send/receive the code. Thanks to computers and sound cards, even those who are no-code techs can send/receive code at 100 wpm, assuming the S/N is high enough of course. They too can enjoy morse cw as much as you and I. If you think that the only valid way to do cw is with a hand-key (maybe a rusty toggle-switch) and receiving with a pencil and paper, that becomes a personal problem, and not at all one having to do with licensing or technology.

Rick Tannehill
W7RT

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: mike coslo mjc5@psu.edu
> >
> > >And I suspect that I'll be a little annoyed when the requirement is
> > >dropped altogether. I hadn't originally planned to use cw mode, but now
> > >I think I just might. Funny how yer outlook can change.
> > >
> >
> > Dropping the CW requirement will not change your ability to use the mode one
> > bit. Why would you be annoyed?
>
> The fact that all hams are being licensed without being able to
> communicate in the most basic level of radio communications, that of
> on-off keying of a carrier using a basic aplphanumeric code. It's
> skipping a 'troublesome' step in the basics.

From 3270126487271329262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 14:29:55 PST
Message-ID: <3B0AD9F4.DF71C75E@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 16:28:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org> <9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdpqcn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3B0A6A68.636F869F@IEEE.org> <3B0ABACD.CF4EA49E@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.84
X-Trace: corp 990566507 64.240.47.84 (22 May 2001 16:21:47 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!

feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21880

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Still, the only person in my code classes who had a problem with a 'dit'
> > VS a 'dah' was dyslexic. He could tell a character was either an 'A' or
> > an 'N' but he couldn't tell the difference.
>
> Very common problem for people just starting out. I'd be interested to
> know if he could tell the difference between a "L" and a "Y"?

Dunno, he gave up before we got to the four element characters. I, myself
get 'L' and 'F' mixed up to this day. Think of my embarrassment when I
send "Good Luck". I have a similar problem while typing. After 50 years
of typing experience, including awards for my typing ability, I still get
'D' and 'K' mixed up.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7842363963674315401
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 14:46:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B09D4D4.EA751BDD@earthlink.net> <txmO6.35341$t12.2739243@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<RQrO6.8727$9D5.844318@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9eeabf363b@enews2.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 16

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <_6BO6.36681$t12.2913522@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 21:46:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990567994 12.75.103.61 (Tue, 22 May 2001 21:46:34 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 21:46:34 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21881

"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9eeabf363b@enews2.newsguy.com...
> In article <RQrO6.8727$9D5.844318@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> nospam@none.net says...
>
> There are a lot of dicks in this newsgroup.
>

Congratulations Brayant!! You are the 43,256th creator of that 'original'
thought this year!
You have been awarded the DFC -- Dummkopf First Class.

Dick N�BK

From 3652234201486875526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 15:38:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 22 May 2001 22:38:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9eepoj$3m6$0@208.206.142.79>
References: <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <20010522095921.05666.00003910@nso-fo.aol.com> <3B0A7B46.DB506CAF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21882
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > For example, the slowest "dit" never sounds
> > like any kind of a "dah" -- no matter how fast that "dah" is sent.
>
> Huh???? Exactly how do you tell the difference between an 100 mS
> 'dit' and a 100 mS 'dah'?
>
> > The notion of someone without a considerable amount of training
> > and practice trying to send CW from a chart, particularly under
> > "emergency" conditions, is simply ridiculous.
>
> So you advise people to perish instead of attempting it during
> an emergency? - nice guy.
> -

Youv/e just helped make the case for code training and testing.

```
From 4938232321604610714
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 15:40:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 22 May 2001 22:40:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9eepsc$3m6$1@208.206.142.79>
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org>
<9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <3B09DDFB.6B865A04@IEEE.org>
<9ecqlo$qvk$0@208.206.142.73> <3B0A69AE.ADAA5B4D@IEEE.org>
<9edu1c$kl7$0@208.206.142.87> <3B0A806C.93514A9C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21883
```

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > BS Dick, my young neices and nephews have taught themselves to do it
> > > and I can read their Morse code perfectly well.
> >
> >  Sure they have, Cecil. But not at the point of an emergency requiring
> > the handling of message traffic NOW!
>
> So you would advise them to die instead of attempting emergency CW
> communications? - nice guy.


Dic it ever occur to you that may be a valid reason for code testing?


From -5579353336768981257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 15:45:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Date: 22 May 2001 22:45:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9eeq6s$3m6$2@208.206.142.79>
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87>
<acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21884



Alan Castillo wrote:
>
> it's been said that once attrition quells some of the rudeness, who'll
> "inherit" AR? Someone on QRZ posed this question and it simply went
> unanswered...could it be "other" group of radio "enthusiasts" who
> enjoy making worldwide contacts on hf?
```

Oh - You mean the Outlaws. If the FCC allows them to inheret anything
they deserve the results.

>
> As far as attacking (Yes...attacking!) Kim for sharing her opinions,
> why be rude? If you disagree, say so and leave it at that.

Back to Forrest Gump paraphrased.

From -7793752972076740935
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 15:47:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 22:47:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21885

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>

what do you think happened to 220 to
> 222 Mhz?

We KNOW what happened to 220. Why don't you?

From -6300608655336455665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 15:48:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 22 May 2001 22:48:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9eeqcr$3m6$4@208.206.142.79>
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org> <9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdpqcn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3B0A6A68.636F869F@IEEE.org> <3B0ABACD.CF4EA49E@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.79
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21886

Jim Kelley wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > Still, the only person in my code classes who had a problem with a 'dit'
> > VS a 'dah' was dyslexic. He could tell a character was either an 'A' or
> > an 'N' but he couldn't tell the difference.
>
> Very common problem for people just starting out. I'd be interested to
> know if he could tell the difference between a "L" and a "Y"?

Yep. And an E and a T.

From 2097239856364015292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 15:51:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B0AED2E.D3E002AB@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 17:50:22 -0500

From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org> <9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <3B09DDFB.6B865A04@IEEE.org> <9ecqlo$qvk$0@208.206.142.73> <3B0A69AE.ADAA5B4D@IEEE.org> <9edu1c$kl7$0@208.206.142.87> <3B0A806C.93514A9C@IEEE.org> <9eepsc$3m6$1@208.206.142.79>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.104
X-Trace: corp 990571430 64.240.47.104 (22 May 2001 17:43:50 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21887

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > So you would advise them to die instead of attempting emergency CW
> > communications? - nice guy.
>
> Dic it ever occur to you that may be a valid reason for code testing?

No, it dicn't. Are you advocating code testing for the entire population
of the USA including my pre-teen nieces and nephews? They are not hams,
Dick. Why should they be code tested?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

---

From -3417320552823146567
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 15:53:14 PST
Message-ID: <3B0AED75.17DB56E4@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 17:51:33 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <20010522095921.05666.00003910@nso-fo.aol.com> <3B0A7B46.DB506CAF@IEEE.org> <9eepoj$3m6$0@208.206.142.79>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.104
X-Trace: corp 990571498 64.240.47.104 (22 May 2001 17:44:58 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21888

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > So you advise people to perish instead of attempting it during
> > an emergency? - nice guy.
>
> Youv/e just helped make the case for code training and testing.

For non-hams????? We are talking about my pre-teen nieces and nephews.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6120257768749263811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 16:28:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdr7648.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3B092733.402CFF30@IEEE.org> <20010522095920.05666.00003909@nso-
fo.aol.com> <3B0A7D1E.76847260@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 20
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 23:29:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 990574165 63.178.69.156 (Tue, 22 May 2001
23:29:25 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 23:29:25 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21889
```

On Tue, 22 May 2001 09:52:14 -0500, W6RCecilA wrote:

>Of course it is, Larry. It is a violation of the free market just
>like any other affirmative action program. It is simply a social
>engineering project like all the other social engineering projects.
>
>What is wrong with a free market of modes in a hobby in a free society?
>What is wrong with live and let live?

What makes you think that ham (or any other) radio is a "free market" activity? It is one of the most highly regulated activities that the Feds let us do.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

```
From 72781248679519256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 16:38:26 PST
```

Message-ID: <3B0AF815.34B29288@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 18:36:53 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B092733.402CFF30@IEEE.org> <20010522095920.05666.00003909@nso-fo.aol.com> <3B0A7D1E.76847260@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdr7648.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.104
X-Trace: corp 990574219 64.240.47.104 (22 May 2001 18:30:19 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!news-in.nibble.net!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21890

Phil Kane wrote:
> What makes you think that ham (or any other) radio is a "free
> market" activity? It is one of the most highly regulated
> activities that the Feds let us do.....

I'm a Libertarian, Phil. I can dream, can't I?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2042100465726289461
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 17:00:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!msc1.onvoy!onvoy.com!tethys.csu.net!

```
csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 16:55:53 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3B0AFC89.A7D90DF8@uci.edu>
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org>
<9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdpqcn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3B0A6A68.636F869F@IEEE.org> <3B0ABACD.CF4EA49E@uci.edu>
<3B0AD9F4.DF71C75E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21891

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > Still, the only person in my code classes who had a problem with a 'dit'
> > > VS a 'dah' was dyslexic. He could tell a character was either an 'A' or
> > > an 'N' but he couldn't tell the difference.
> >
> > Very common problem for people just starting out.  I'd be interested to
> > know if he could tell the difference between a "L" and a "Y"?
>
> Dunno, he gave up before we got to the four element characters.

Yes. People who don't know Morse code usually will get the characters
mixed up.

I didn't pick those two letters "L" and "Y" at random though.  They have
their dits and dahs transposed in a particular way - inverted.  "D" and
"U" have their elements transposed in a different way - reversed in
order.  In order to better understand the alleged "disorder", it would
be helpful to know these things.

73, Jim AC6XG


From -7754403432439716269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 17:00:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
```

newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 16:57:38 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3B0AFCF2.B198CFBB@uci.edu>
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org>
<9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <3B09DDFB.6B865A04@IEEE.org>
<9ecqlo$qvk$0@208.206.142.73> <3B0A69AE.ADAA5B4D@IEEE.org>
<9edu1c$kl7$0@208.206.142.87> <3B0A806C.93514A9C@IEEE.org>
<9eepsc$3m6$1@208.206.142.79> <3B0AED2E.D3E002AB@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21892

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > > So you would advise them to die instead of attempting emergency CW
> > > communications? - nice guy.
> >
> > Dic it ever occur to you that may be a valid reason for code testing?
>
> No, it dicn't. Are you advocating code testing for the entire population
> of the USA including my pre-teen nieces and nephews? They are not hams,
> Dick. Why should they be code tested?

I understand it's a good way to screen for ADD and dyslexia.

:-)

ac6xg

From 7872663382049581766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 17:36:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!

portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 00:35:32 GMT
References: <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010522203532.07982.00001894@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21893

In article <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>The title is the "American Radio Relay League."

It's been that way since 1914. The name does not have to include the word "amateur".

> No where in the title of the
>organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a problem
>with including all radio communications?

Because the purpose of the ARRL is Aamateur Radio. Under the QST logo it has said "devoted entirely to amateur radio" since the early 1920s. The ARRL charter specifies it is an amateur radio organization.

But the main reason is simple: No one can serve two masters. The interests of other radio services are often in direct conflict with amateur radio. In other words, conflict of interest.

There's no problem with the ARRL having ties with other organizations. That sort of thing opens channels of communication with other services.

If FRS, MURS, GMRS, and other services need a national organization, let the users of those groups start one.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 7300113002930658766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 18:25:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 23 May 2001 00:30:20 GMT

```
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9ef0as0267g@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-848.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21894

In article <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>
>
> what do you think happened to 220 to
>> 222 Mhz?
>
>
> We KNOW what happened to 220. Why don't you?

You didn't answer the question. I guess that's expected from someone whose
responses to intellegent dialog are the intellectual equivalent of diarhea.



From -1965268981184340497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 18:26:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 23 May 2001 00:38:32 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9ef0q81267g@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
```

```
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B09D4D4.EA751BDD@earthlink.net> <txmO6.35341$t12.2739243@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<RQrO6.8727$9D5.844318@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9eeabf363b@enews2.newsguy.com> <_6BO6.36681$t12.2913522@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-979.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21895

In article <_6BO6.36681$t12.2913522@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net says...
>
>
>"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
>news:9eeabf363b@enews2.newsguy.com...
>> In article <RQrO6.8727$9D5.844318@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
>> nospam@none.net says...
>>
>> There are a lot of dicks in this newsgroup.
>>
>
>Congratulations Brayant!!  You are the 43,256th creator of that 'original'
>thought this year!
>You have been awarded the DFC -- Dummkopf First Class.
>
>Dick N�BK


Thank you, but unless there is a substantial amount of cash that goes along
with the award, I think I'll pass.




From -8890474112149983844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:06:29 PST
Message-ID: <3B0B1AB8.FEAEB694@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 21:04:40 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-
```

fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org>
<9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdpqcn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3B0A6A68.636F869F@IEEE.org> <3B0ABACD.CF4EA49E@uci.edu>
<3B0AD9F4.DF71C75E@IEEE.org> <3B0AFC89.A7D90DF8@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.142
X-Trace: corp 990583086 64.240.47.142 (22 May 2001 20:58:06 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21896

Jim Kelley wrote:
> Yes. People who don't know Morse code usually will get the characters
> mixed up.

It was worse than that, Jim. I would send an 'A' or an 'N' and then ask him if that was a 'di-dah' or a 'dah-dit'. He couldn't tell me. I even tried asking, "Was that a 'short-long' or a 'long-short'? He couldn't tell me. This was 5wpm character timing. It was really frustrating. He passed the no-code Tech exam.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2644732463088571419
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:13:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 02:12:37 GMT
References: <3b088c99.2731499@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010522221237.26676.00004550@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21897

In article <3b088c99.2731499@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>
>Larry,
>I'll bite... it can't then be used...BUT, that prospect
>is so remote a possibility that there is simply no reason
>to use it as a viable argument to sustain code testing
>requirements for ALL hams with HF licenses.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

Bill:

In that case, don't ever visit California. You may not like your ham
radio operating conditions if you happen to be there at the wrong time.

BTW -- which hams with HF licenses would you exempt from the
requirement?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6113980869843618393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:13:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 02:12:37 GMT
References: <3B0918AB.1C329D9B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010522221237.26676.00004549@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21898

In article <3B0918AB.1C329D9B@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> If you can't understand
>> my "problem" with this, I'll have to start calling you "Comrade
>> Cecil."
>
>Have you ever had an authority figure with which you didn't have
>a problem? All we've heard so far are your complaints.

Cecil:

Of course I have. Fully 20 percent of the Senior NCO's I worked for in the Air Force were intelligent, motivating, honorable, and highly respectable leaders. The rest were either incompetent, uninvolved "career wonks" or vicious, autocratic egomaniacs with a penchant for latching onto pop-culture management concepts such as "perception is reality." I've you've never served as an NCO in the Air Force, I wouldn't expect you to understand. If that's the case, we have no need to discuss the matter any further.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1339816679841921880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:13:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 02:12:36 GMT
References: <3B08E885.72F8C494@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010522221236.26676.00004548@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21899

In article <3B08E885.72F8C494@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> As I pointed out in an earlier message, accident prevention is not

>the only thing that has to be considered. If traffic is slowed down
>on major highways in large cities, the complaints from commuters will
>go up dramatically, as will traffic on alternative roads (with
>increased complaints from residents along those alternative roads).
>Employers in the area will complain because employees are arriving
>late because of the traffic jams. And merchants will complain as
>customers avoid the downtown area to escape the traffic jams. Add to
>all this, the cost of the vehicles involved in these "rolling pace
>cars," including the cost of gas and maintenance, and you quickly
>begin to realize this is not really a sound idea.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net

Dwight:

The 40-plus mile stretch of I-95 that runs thru Philadelphia experiences hundreds of major accidents every year -- accidents which hold up rush-hour traffic far longer than any kind of "pacing" possibly could. If only the section between Wilmington, Delaware and the northern limits of Philadelphia were "paced," the overall effect could be to actually increase traffic flow by a significant factor -- not to mention the savings in lives. Reducing the overall speed of traffic in that area by 15+ MPH would also save more than enough fuel to cause gasoline prices to drop by several cents per gallon in that area. For the pace cars, all you need is a compact sedan with a 4-cylinder engine, a calibrated speedometer, a cruise control, and a police radio. No huge investment for a fleet of two dozen or so. The biggest expense would be wages/benefits for the drivers, who would obviously be employees of the state Dept. of Transportation. They would not have any arrest authority, and wouldn't need any -- they've got a police radio to notify the State Troopers of anyone who breaks the "congo line." Their only job would be to drive at the maximum speed limit and keep everyone behind them. Would you pass one of these guys, knowing that a guaranteed fine and lots of points on your license were the inevitable result?

Keep in mind, you'd only have to "pace" the two inside-most lanes of the highway -- where traffic runs the fastest. The two outside lanes are less of a problem because of traffic merging on/off the highway.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4991637405328024854
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:13:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!

portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 77
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 02:12:39 GMT
References: <20010521063858.08733.00000495@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010522221239.26676.00004551@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21900

In article <20010521063858.08733.00000495@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>I'd be interested in your direct response to the original question.
>>How can a ham handle traffic using Morse/CW if he isn't adequately
>>trained and experienced in that mode?
>
>How can a ham handle traffic in Latin if he or she is isn't adequately
>trained
>and experienced in that language.

Ed:

He couldn't! My point exactly!

>>Keep things like "operator choice" or "necessity" out of the equation.
>
>I think that the aspects of ham radio that are part of our examination
>requirements should be very much related to necessity.

Ok, fine! Let's put "necessity" back into the equation. How about
the "necessity" for amateur radio operators to be able to provide a
reliable back communications service, able to get simple message
traffic thru, regardless of conditions (availability of power, band
conditions, etc)? How does eliminating the ability for radio amateurs
to exploit one of the most practical, effective, efficient, and universal
modes at their disposal meet the "necessity" of emergency
communications? Or, a better question may be, how can this
necessity be taken care of by hams who are technically uninvolved,
unskilled in practical communications techniques, and basically
limited to what some "Elmer" has shown them about how their
off-the-shelf radio toy is used? How would one of them compare
to a well equipped, CW-skilled QRP operator who is capable of
setting up a low power station capable of indefinate operation in
spite of conditons in a local emergency site?

>> Let's assume that a situation arose where, in actual fact, the only
>> way to get any kind of message from point A to point B, considering
>> conditions, power availability, etc. is to use Morse/CW as opposed to any

>> other mode.
>
>That assumption doesn't hold water. Digitial modes can have a 10-15 dB
>advantage over OOK CW.

Ed, I don't disagree with that -- digital modes would be MY first choice
as well, since I am quite experienced in using RTTY, PACTOR, and
PSK31, have the station equipment, and am thoroughly confident in the
capabilities of these modes. Either one would be MY first choice, without
a doubt. However, to use them, I have to provide 120 VAC electric
power to a computer, monitor, and multi-mode TNC as well as to my
RF gear. Now, if I'm limited to a few amp-hours of DC current a day,
how am I going to run all that gear? Let's say I'm using a common,
highly ubiquitous laptop -- it still at least doubles my current load on
what may be a very thinly stretched power source. Using CW, the
method of encoding/decoding the message requires no more of a
power source than whatever my own diet consists of at the time.
But, once again, I'll freely admit that I'm right with you on the digital
modes, and conditions permitting, they would be my *primary* choice.
My advantage is that I *have* a secondary choice to use as a
fallback mode.

>If you want a regulation that will guarantee what you
>are claiming to want to accomplish, that regulation should require that a ham
>actually maintain a transmitter capable of emergency communications.
>
>>How can this mode be effectively exploited if a trained, proficient
>>CW operator is not available?
>
>How can the 10-15 dB better digital mode be effectively exploited if hams are
>not required to have a station that can use that mode?

Obviously, it cannot. Why would you need to ask such a question? I
don't recall making that an issue. What's your point?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -533379086562139280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e9b1b08ba13a92f9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:14:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Fart
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 21:14:30 -0500
Organization: wa2ise

Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3B0B1D06.E3305CDB@ix.netcom.com>
References: <1681.989871563295@iw1.mailusenet.com>
<slrn9gl5v6.h2.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0b.b9.ba
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Server-Date: 23 May 2001 02:13:26 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21901

What about a pissing contest?   :-)

Queequeg wrote:

> On Tue, 22 May 2001 05:58:06 -0700 (PDT), Unknown <Unknown> wrote:
> >I been seein a puke thread hereabouts an thought it wuz time
> >for a fart thread.
> >
> >kc8jbo, who is our chief scatologist, is gonna start a shit
> >thread over memorial day.
> >
> >
> >
> >----
> >Posted via http://www.etin.com - the FREE public USENET portal on the Web
> >Complete SEARCHING, BROWSING, and POSTING of text and BINARY messages!
>
> I got dibs on boogers...
> Q.
> --
> "Dead Whale or a Stove Boat"
> <---------[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[

From 4420746910226126189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:20:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 23 May 2001 01:21:47 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9ef3bb01b1@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <20010522203532.07982.00001894@nso-

```
cm.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-367.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21902

In article <20010522203532.07982.00001894@nso-cm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com says...
>
>In article <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
Bryant)
>writes:
>
>>The title is the "American Radio Relay League."
>
>It's been that way since 1914. The name does not have to include the word
>"amateur".
>
>> No where in the title of the
>>organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a problem
>>with including all radio communications?
>
>Because the purpose of the ARRL is Aamateur Radio. Under the QST logo it has
>said "devoted entirely to amateur radio" since the early 1920s. The ARRL
>charter specifies it is an amateur radio organization.


Then their name should be changed to reflect it as such. There was such a
consideration to do this not too many years ago. It centered around removing
the word "Relay" form the title of the organization.




From -3231249492969137057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:26:06 PST
Message-ID: <3B0B1F56.BD7362E6@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 21:24:22 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B0918AB.1C329D9B@IEEE.org> <20010522221237.26676.00004549@nso-
cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.142
X-Trace: corp 990584267 64.240.47.142 (22 May 2001 21:17:47 -0500)
Lines: 25
```

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21903

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> If you've never served as an NCO in the
> Air Force, I wouldn't expect you to understand.

I had a strange happening regarding the military. I graduated from Texas A&M ready to enter the Air Force as an officer and had already passed the Air Force physical. My draft board called and "requested" that I report for an Army physical. I told them I didn't have to because I had already passed the Air Force physical. The lady on the other end of the phone said, "When Uncle Sam tells you to report for an Army physical, boy, you had better report". So I drove all the way to Houston from Carrizo Springs, TX to take the Army physical. I was pi$$ed at the time and checked almost all the boxes where it asked, "Do you have or have you ever had ..." That resulted in a trip to the psychiatrist who rejected me because of migraine headaches. I was classified 1Y and decided that military life was not for me. I was one of the few people who could join the Air Force but could not join the Army.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1814815614168713058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:30:51 PST
Message-ID: <3B0B2080.3825257E@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 21:29:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010521063858.08733.00000495@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010522221239.26676.00004551@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.142
X-Trace: corp 990584566 64.240.47.142 (22 May 2001 21:22:46 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21904

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> How does eliminating the ability for radio amateurs
> to exploit one of the most practical, effective, efficient, and universal
> modes at their disposal meet the "necessity" of emergency
> communications?

CW will not be eliminated, Larry. At worst, the Morse code exam will be eliminated. All the existing hams will be allowed to continue using CW. New hams will be encouraged to learn Morse code. The sky will not fall.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6909980676056802969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:48:02 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!
204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B0918AB.1C329D9B@IEEE.org> <20010522221237.26676.00004549@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B0B1F56.BD7362E6@IEEE.org>

Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 51
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <BxF06.38119$4f7.2884207@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 02:48:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.251
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990586081 12.75.179.251 (Wed, 23 May 2001 02:48:01 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 02:48:01 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21905

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B0B1F56.BD7362E6@IEEE.org...
> I had a strange happening regarding the military. I graduated from
> Texas A&M ready to enter the Air Force as an officer and had already
> passed the Air Force physical. My draft board called and "requested"
> that I report for an Army physical. I told them I didn't have to
> because I had already passed the Air Force physical. The lady on
> the other end of the phone said, "When Uncle Sam tells you to report
> for an Army physical, boy, you had better report". So I drove all the
> way to Houston from Carrizo Springs, TX to take the Army physical.
> I was pi$$ed at the time and checked almost all the boxes where it
> asked, "Do you have or have you ever had ..." That resulted in a
> trip to the psychiatrist who rejected me because of migraine headaches.
> I was classified 1Y and decided that military life was not for me.
> I was one of the few people who could join the Air Force but could
> not join the Army.
> --

Cecil, you have some good company in the 1-Y (Women and Children First) category.

1. Dick Cheney
2. Me, for what amounted to chronic athlete's foot.
3. Mohammed Ali, until they lowered the requirement on a 100-question four-foil multiple choice exam from 25 to 17 and re-classified him 1-A.

I took the physical four times, passed three, and could have joined the Air Force, Army Reserve, and Air Guard but could not be drafted. On the fourth one, as yours a few weeks from granulation, I actually argued with the junior medic to get in but the senior medic got tired of the noise and threw my sorry ass out. Like yours, it was 'be kind to college grads' day and had little if any relationship to my actual physical condition.

a. It always amazed me how they could arrange a 35 mph wind at 42 degrees to blow inside a tight building over a bunch of sorry-looking guys in their shorts.

b. There was the classic Granny Lady from the state rehab who knew nothing about your 'problem' but offered you help anyway. You almost regretted saying no.

c. I took the AFQT (the 100 question exam) for a guy up from Missouri to volunteer for the draft. He was obviously completely illiterate. I got a 97 that day on mine, previous scores ran 96-99 so he arrived at Ft Leonard Mudd with a glowing test score. I hope the poor bastard survived but I have night sweats that he is on the Joint Chiefs somewhere.

Dick N�BK

```
From -4947261164436789026
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:49:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
<UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 321
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 02:49:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.128.113
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990586169 209.246.128.113 (Tue, 22 May
2001 19:49:29 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 19:49:29 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 19:47:45 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21906
```

"K0HB" <k0hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com...
> On Tue, 22 May 2001 11:18:12 GMT, Kim-W5TIT says:
>
> > *If* the ARRL ever did move to a position such as this,
> > don't you think that it would create less competition
> > with other groups?
>
> First, I don't think competition is a bad thing.
>

Neither do I, in a commercial venture. But, competition for radio spectrum is another thing entirely and that is what I meant by competition. I couldn't tell you why, but I do think the naysayers of the hobby who claim it to be dying are, to some degree, probably right. There is more competition for radio spectrum, privileges, communications uses on the bands, commercial encroachment (though I don't think that is the threat many believe it is). If we all, as radio-enthusiasts, have one point of contact for resources/information on the radio hobby as a whole, I think that we then begin building a meaningful relationship with each other and recognize and respect our "mutual" interest--that of seeing that the radio hobby/vocation (whether that is FRS, GMRS, MURS, HAM, etc.) stays in the limelight and in the forefront of legislation for the hobby.

Would I rather see a CB organization or a REACT organization or a MURS organization pulling for the attention of the FCC or Congress? I don't think so. I'd rather see a united front from one organization on behalf of several interests. The ARRL is inherrently (at least one would hope) going to recognize that the radio hobbies in existence are there to stay and that they need to remain distinct and separate from each other. But, I also like the idea that one organization--after Directors' meetings and business decisions have been made and negotiated among themselves--is there in front of the FCC and Congress with the interest of radio spectrum being the main concern. Ham radio concedes nothing to CB radio, nothing to FRS, nothing to GMRS, etc. But, it is all represented under "one roof."

There's lots to be said for diversity--done the right way. That's the only *MAIN* concern I have for this whole concept is that I wonder if it could even be pulled off.

>
> Second, I don't see any other clubs or associations out there
> in credible competition with ARRL.
>

I think you were approaching the concept "competition" from a different angle than I had. I don't think the ARRL really has any competition. BUT, the hobby does, the spectrum does, the legislation does. *If* we could ever get radio enthusiasts to unite; think of the potential for the hobby/vocation, rather than commercial, focus on spectrum. Think of the inroads that many "other" radio services have made into our emergency and public service agencies! In many cases, a unity among groups gives more groups potential opening the door for even better volunteer services to our communities.

>
> My good friend Don Stoner, W6TNS (SK), had the right idea when
> he founded NARA ("National Amateur Radio Association" --
> where have you seen those words lately), but I think Don made
> a mistake when he tried to run it out of his own deep pockets.
> It just never achieved critical mass.
>
> But the competition would have been good for ARRL and Amateur
> Radio.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
> PS: Lest you dismiss Don as some sort of old timer with no vision,
> he was the guy who sparkplugged the first Amateur Radio satellite,
> OSCAR-1, and was a founding member of AMSAT.
>

I don't think I would ever just presume that of someone; however, it's possible. I have at the time of this posting scanned the below editorial, and it sounds pretty darned intelligent to me.

Kim W5TIT

>
> Here an editorial from the NARA magazine "The Communicator"
> -
> In My Opinion....
>
> An editorial by Donald L. Stoner, W6TNS
>
>
> In November of 1988, the Federal Communications Commission
> slipped a bomb into our hamshacks and lit the fuse.. The FCC
> announced they were reallocating a portion of the 220 MHz
> band to a new communications service based on a petition
> submitted by United Parcel Service. Our national
> organization, The American Radio Relay League tried to
> snuff out the fuse and get the decision reversed. But the
> 220-222 MHz part of the band is a goner!
>
> How could this have happened? Well, for starters, we took
> the Amateur Radio Service and our fraternity for granted. It
> never occurred to us that the FCC might give some of "our"
> frequencies away just because we didn't use them.

>
> The FCC even warned us of their intention. In 1984 Robert Foosaner was Chief of the FCC Private Radio Bureau. He indicated in a CQ Magazine interview that the band was not being used adequately. Mr. Foosaner flatly stated it might be assigned to other services. Unfortunately we didn't believe him .
>
> I have a theory that the FCC confiscated these frequencies to send Amateurs a message. I believe the message is "shape up or ship out." Warm up the tar and start collecting feathers if you like, but in my opinion, the Commission did Amateurs an enormous favor. A two MHz slice of radio frequency spectrum is a small price to pay for awakening us from our long sleep.
>
> The Amateur Radio Service is coming back to life after 20 or more years of being totally oblivious to the changes taking place all around us. Amateurs are starting to ask how they can contribute to the fraternity. They want to improve themselves and they want to see the service revitalized. They want more young people to enjoy Amateur Radio. Teachers, particularly those who are Amateurs, are beginning to realize how the service can be used to educate our youngsters in a number of disciplines.
>
> This metamorphosis also happened to your humble editor in November of 1988. I've enjoyed Amateur Radio for almost 40 years. In the 50's and 60's I wrote a number of books and articles for Amateurs. I have also taken the Amateur Radio Service for granted. I'm as guilty as anyone. But that stopped when we lost part of the 220 MHz band. The National Amateur Radio Association became more than just a gleam in my eye.
>
> What Are The Goals of NARA?
>
> Broadly speaking, the goal of The National Amateur Radio Association is the promotion of ham radio. The organization has four specific goals within this broad framework. These are to a) publicize or market Amateur Radio to the general public, b) attract young people to the Amateur Radio Service, c) help existing Amateurs achieve the greatest benefit from the Amateur Radio Service and d) make Amateurs aware that our radio frequencies are in jeopardy from commercial interests. Here are some of my specific thoughts on these four areas:
>
> Marketing Amateur Radio To The Public
>
> Many people don't understand the significance of marketing and sales. The difference is very germane to this discussion.

>
> Let's say you go into a ham radio store and tell the person
> behind the counter you want to buy an ICOM IC-781. He or she
> takes your order along with your money and hands you a
> radio. In this instance the person is simply an order taker
> and not even a sales person. A sales person tries to
> convince you to buy a specific product but they are not
> marketeers. The people who publish the brochures, run the
> advertisements and create your desire to own the IC-781 are
> the marketeers. Marketing creates the desire to have
> something.
>
> For as long as I can remember, we hams have been order
> takers. We have not been salespersons and by no stretch of
> the imagination could be called marketeers of ham radio. If
> someone expressed their desire to be a ham, or an ex-CB'er
> convinced us they were a born-again communicator, we'd "take
> their order" and accept them into our ranks. Until
> recently, we never tried to be salespersons or marketeers for
> our hobby.
>
> Deep down inside the human brain, is a little grey glob that
> scientists label the "I've got mine" lobe. In this area of the
> Amateur's brain is stored the feeling that we really
> don't want any more hams than we already have. We'll never
> admit it, even to ourselves, but the feeling is there. More
> hams equal more QRM, more problems with the FCC and more
> drift away from the way things used to be in the "good ole
> days."
>
> More is not always better. More people with an Amateur Radio
> license may not have a positive or beneficial effect on the
> Amateur Service. We must mold and shape these people in the
> traditions that have guided us since the early part of the
> century. How we accomplish this will be the subject of
> articles in future issues of this journal.
>
> ## Attracting Young People
>
> When I was a kid, ham radio was a young peoples hobby. My
> best friend was a guy named Barry Windsor. Barry and I were
> fascinated by ham radio. We used to go over to Sid Dunn's
> house every Saturday morning. I never saw Sid without a
> cigar in his mouth. It was just another one of his
> appendages. When the end of his "stoggie" would get all
> goopy and slobbery, he'd line it up on the edge of the
> workbench, along with the other stubs, to dry out.
>
> Anyway, Sid used to take time each week to teach us about
> ham radio. He'd work somebody in Upper Slobovia on 20 meters
> and our eyes would stand out on six inch stems. Then Sid
> would tell us the reason he could do this was (insert
> lecture here) his three element beam and how it worked, or

> the 304TL amplifier and how it worked, or radio propagation
> and how it worked. You get the idea.
>
> Barry and I and some of the other kids pecked away at keys
> rather unsuccessfully trying to learn the Morse code. I lost
> track of the others but finally made the grade when the FCC,
> in their infinite wisdom, created the Novice and Technician
> license.
>
> Somehow, someway, we must resurrect the magic aura that
> existed back in Sid's hamshack. Essentially, we must try to
> give something back to our fraternity in exchange for all
> the wonderful things it has given us.
>
> It's not going to be easy! Today kids have computers,
> Nintendo games, cars, the opposite sex and Madonna, MTV and
> a million other distractions. We've taken the first step to
> redress the problem of attracting young people by creating a
> new "code-free" license. What this license becomes, and whether
> it is successful in attracting newcomers is entirely
> up to us. If we don't tell anyone about the Amateur Radio
> Service and how easy it is to become a ham, the new
> Technician Class license will be a "dud." In short, we
> must all make an effort to /b1 market ham radio to the
> public.
>
> In marketing, you commonly give away a sample which gets the
> "hook in and set", to use a fishing metaphor. That's what
> the new Technician license can do that for our fraternity.
> It can be the "hook" that we use to attract people to
> Amateur Radio.
>
> But we must get out and hustle the service to young people.
> That's where NARA comes in. We intend to tell our members
> how to recruit youngsters. We intend to print stories about
> how others have done it successfully.
>
> We believe the place to recruit youngsters is in the schools
> as early as possible. Again, we are going to have a lot to
> say about our schools in future issues of "The Amateur Radio
> Communicator".
>
> Helping Existing Hams
>
> Like it or not, a lot of hams passed their written test
> simply by memorizing the questions and the correct answer.
> Why not? What's wrong with that? You memorize the code don't
> you?
>
> But these hams are not particularly proud of the fact they
> don't understand the answers or even the questions. They are
> curious about the technical aspects of the Amateur Radio
> Service.

> I have yet to meet a ham that does not want to improve him or herself. Some Volunteer Examiners have established classes to teach people how to become a ham. Who has a class to teach you how to upgrade from Novice/Technician to General? Very few, I suspect.
>
> Each issue of "The Amateur Radio Communicator" will have a theory article. Hopefully they will be as useful and interesting to existing hams as well as to newcomers. If you have specific questions that you would like to see answered in this publication, send them to "Editor- A Touch Of Class".
>
> Preservation Of "Our" Frequencies-
>
> I put the word "our" in quotes. We seem to think of the radio spectrum as "ours." A number of bands of frequencies are loaned for our use, by the Federal Communications Commission. We can continue to use them so long as it is in the national interest to do so. Make no mistake about it fellow hams. The FCC did not let us use parts of the radio spectrum because they think we are nice guys or gals. They do so because it is more in the national interest to loan these frequencies to the Amateur Radio Service than to someone else. If that equation changes, more frequencies will be lost to other activities which are perceived by the FCC to better advance the national interest.
>
> In November 1988, the FCC brought the point forcibly to our attention. They reminded us, once again, "If you don't use 'em, you're gonna lose 'em!" Some of our bands are barren waste-lands that we Amateurs seem to be saving for a "rainy day." Six meters is under utilized except for a few hardy souls in Southern California. The Commission knew the 220 MHz band was not adequately occupied. It won't be adequately occupied even when the FCC allocates the 220- 222 MHz portion for land mobile use. We have already lost the 902 MHz band and the fate of our satellite "S" band will be decided next year by the World Administrative Radio Conference (WARC) in Spain.
>
> The 1296 band is priceless. Do you know of anyone who operates this band? Does your ham store have any 1296 MHz equipment in stock or do they have to order it? Is there a 1296 MHz repeater in your town. I doubt it.
>
> If we maintain our "business as usual" approach, we are going to lose more frequencies. A lot more!
>
> 73, de Don, W6TNS

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 2373117744978283989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:53:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 23 May 2001 02:53:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <9ef8mi$blt$0@208.206.142.80>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9dc1oo$89d$0@208.206.142.97> <3B0AD6D8.22DCF827@firstinter.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21907

"R.L. Tannehill, P.E." wrote:
>
> Skip? Not at all. These folks, thanks to modern technology, no longer
> need to be a human modem to send/receive the code. Thanks to computers
> and sound cards, even those who are no-code techs can send/receive code
> at 100 wpm, assuming the S/N is high enough of course. They too can
> enjoy morse cw as much as you and I. If you think that the only valid
> way to do cw is with a hand-key (maybe a rusty toggle-switch) and
> receiving with a pencil and paper, that becomes a personal problem, and
> not at all one having to do with licensing or technology.

I'm sure there exists, out there somewhere, a hardware and/or software
package available to hams, at some exorbitant price, that will actually
do a credible job of decoding Morse code under less than perfect

conditions.

I'm just as certain that almost none are in use by amateurs, nor are they likely to ever be. With very few exceptions HF ham rigs today incorporate two modes, same as they have for several decades now - SSB and CW (with FM thrown in as a bonus). The decoders in widespread use perform very poorly in less than optimum noise environments. If you've spent time decoding Morse on HF using any of the usual devices you know how flawed their operation is, under less than good conditions. If not, you can continue to fool yourself.

You and Cecil have a problem in common - As engineers you can't see past the shortcomings of all this wonderful technology. And I seriously mean "wonderful technology". I have a bit of lucre invested in it, and I use and appreciate it every day. But I remain mindful of what you have clearly forgotten- it is *NOT* foolproof nor failure immune. And its most theoretically effective rendidtions are not yet widely distributed throughout the general population of hams, by a hell of a long way. And it is very much questionable whether it will soon be so. THAT is why virtually all HF ham rigs today, still, incorporate two basic modes - SSB voice and CW.

Dick W�EX

"You can make things foolproof, but you can't make them damnfoolproof".

From 1436840603520260708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 19:56:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 23 May 2001 02:56:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9ef8tr$blt$1@208.206.142.80>
References: <3B092733.402CFF30@IEEE.org> <20010522095920.05666.00003909@nso-fo.aol.com> <3B0A7D1E.76847260@IEEE.org> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdr7648.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <3B0AF815.34B29288@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21908

```
W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >   What makes you think that ham (or any other) radio is a "free
> >   market" activity?  It is one of the most highly regulated
> >   activities that the Feds let us do.....
>
> I'm a Libertarian, Phil. I can dream, can't I?


 Evidently you can, you do routinely as evidenced by your posts.


From -4032823341683889204
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 20:00:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 23 May 2001 03:00:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9ef946$blt$2@208.206.142.80>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>
<9ef0as0267g@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21909



"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
> >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >>
> >
> > what do you think happened to 220 to
> >> 222 Mhz?
> >
> >
> > We KNOW what happened to 220. Why don't you?
>
> You didn't answer the question. I guess that's expected from someone whose
> responses to intellegent dialog are the intellectual equivalent of diarhea.


 Thagt was exactly the correct response to a kook who only thinks his
dialog bears some resemblence to intelligence.


From -4542146260221634103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 20:04:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87>
<acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com>
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Lines: 55
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <fNFO6.23447$BN6.1025374@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 03:04:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.128.113
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990587083 209.246.128.113 (Tue, 22 May
2001 20:04:43 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 20:04:43 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 20:03:00 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21910

"Alan Castillo" <alanc_183@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com...
> Maybe the old idea of CBer's getting their tech's and joining the
> league has more merit than meets the eye. One could possibly view all
> the downright rudeness I've read from AR's "elder statesmen" as a
```

> direct result of simple fear. Fear, you ask?...fear of what?! Well, it's been said that once attrition quells some of the rudeness, who'll "inherit" AR? Someone on QRZ posed this question and it simply went unanswered...could it be "other" group of radio "enthusiasts" who enjoy making worldwide contacts on hf? Hmmm, maybe the league has quietly answered that question.
>
> As far as attacking (Yes...attacking!) Kim for sharing her opinions, why be rude? If you disagree, say so and leave it at that. What a great bunch you are to set the example for others. I take it back, you guys probably aren't AR's "elder statesmen." You're just a group of retirees that hate to see the changes in your beloved service/hobby and have soured into mean old grumps. Dump someone in your killfile because you don't agree with their opinion. Put them there because they're abusive or obnoxious...like many of you have been, NOT because their opinion differs from the herd.
>
> As for the changing times for AR, the ARRL, and those "other enthusiasts"...be afraid...be very afraid. Adios!
>
> 73 de Alan
> Jersey City, NJ
>

Hi Alan:

Good thoughts. As far as my being "attacked" I appreciate especially your thoughts that sharing opinions is important; indeed it is. However, as I am unsure of how long you have "read" the posts in this NG, you need to be aware that I have unleashed at times here as well. I have a break of a couple/few weeks and picked up on the REACT/CB/LIFE OF ITS OWN debate here and decided to add my thoughts.

While I've always tried very much to remain civil--it can be very easy to get wrapped up in the only form of communicating that many here seem to be able to muster--that of attempting (notice I said attempting) to denigrate and humiliate someone because of their opinion. After all, (if I may snipe) CW is their quick, easy, efficient form of communication--and it carries through in their attempts to have intelligent communications here as well. The only way they can be quick, easy, and efficient in communicating here in the newsgroup is to be precise about whose balls they are going to try to whack and how quickly they can achieve that :) I, of course, have no balls and am not impressed by them, so their quips have little if any effect ;)

OK, now that I have that out of my system...thanks for your meaningful input. Good night : o

Kim W5TIT

From 4813714166695584870

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 20:11:10 PST
Message-ID: <3B0B29ED.E88F31A1@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 22:09:33 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9dc1oo$89d$0@208.206.142.97> <3B0AD6D8.22DCF827@firstinter.net>
<9ef8mi$blt$0@208.206.142.80>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.142
X-Trace: corp 990586982 64.240.47.142 (22 May 2001 22:03:02 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21911

Dick Carroll wrote:
> You and Cecil have a problem in common - As engineers you can't see
> past the shortcomings of all this wonderful technology.

Good thing you are not an engineer or else you wouldn't be able to
see past the shortcomings, huh?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 8708161026094351330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 20:15:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!
wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>
<9ef0as0267g@enews3.newsguy.com> <9ef946$blt$2@208.206.142.80>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 44
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <eXFO6.37345$t12.2970399@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 03:15:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.149
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990587722 12.75.179.149 (Wed, 23 May
2001 03:15:22 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 03:15:22 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21912


"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ef946$blt$2@208.206.142.80...
>
>
> "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >
> > In article <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> >
> > >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > >>
> > >
> > > what do you think happened to 220 to
> > >> 222 Mhz?
> > >
> > >
> > > We KNOW what happened to 220. Why don't you?
> >
> > You didn't answer the question. I guess that's expected from someone
whose
```

> > responses to intellegent dialog are the intellectual equivalent of diarhea.
>
>
> Thagt was exactly the correct response to a kook who only thinks his
> dialog bears some resemblence to intelligence.

When the answer to my question 'What does it mean to 'represent' radio users? To whom and for what?' came back as unknown but it was a good way to raise revenue I started to look for the looking glass I had apparently fallen thru.

When an idea we can't define becomes both a good idea and one I am myopic for not recognizing, it is getting beyond suspension of disbelief.

"When it's getting too kinky for other folks, it's just about right for me" says Richard Friedman leader of one of Willy Nelson's opener groups, Kinky Friedman and the Texas Jewboys. He can have it.

Kind of enjoyed They Ain't Making Jews Like Jesus Anymore tho.

Dick BK

From -3691213271083499855
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 20:24:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 23 May 2001 03:24:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9efagp$etd$0@208.206.142.80>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-cr1.aol.com> <9dc1oo$89d$0@208.206.142.97> <3B0AD6D8.22DCF827@firstinter.net> <9ef8mi$blt$0@208.206.142.80> <3B0B29ED.E88F31A1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.80
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21913

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > You and Cecil have a problem in common - As engineers you can't see
> > past the shortcomings of all this wonderful technology.
>
> Good thing you are not an engineer or else you wouldn't be able to
> see past the shortcomings, huh?

THere been a number of times I would have liked to have had a chat with
some design engineer because of a glaring shortcoming in design that I
had to deal with. Sometimes economy is the cause. Other times it is
sheer ignorance on the part of the designer.

```
From 8106018017726091298
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 20:25:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 03:24:30 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3b0b2d1b.2020324@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3b088c99.2731499@news.mindspring.com>
<20010522221237.26676.00004550@nso-cg.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.5b
X-Server-Date: 23 May 2001 03:24:59 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21914
```

On 23 May 2001 02:12:37 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3b088c99.2731499@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>Larry,
>>I'll bite... it can't then be used...BUT, that prospect
>>is so remote a possibility that there is simply no reason
>>to use it as a viable argument to sustain code testing
>>requirements for ALL hams with HF licenses.
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Bill K2UNK
>

```
>Bill:
>In that case, don't ever visit California.  You may not like your ham
>radio operating conditions if you happen to be there at the wrong time.

??????

>BTW -- which hams with HF licenses would you exempt from the
>requirement?

Assuming you mean the CW test requirement, I would
exempt all HF hams which is and always has been
my goal.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -5465555896273685815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 20:48:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9dc1oo$89d$0@208.206.142.97> <3B0AD6D8.22DCF827@firstinter.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 31
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 03:48:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.104
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990589696 63.17.223.104 (Tue, 22 May
2001 20:48:16 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 20:48:16 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 20:46:32 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21915

R.L. Tannehill, P.E. wrote:
>
> Skip?  Not at all.  These folks, thanks to modern technology, no longer
> need to be a human modem to send/receive the code.
```

Err, you seem to have it backward. Modems would seem to be electronic versions of the ear and brain working in concert. Humans were copying morse aurally long before the modem was invented.

> Thanks to computers
> and sound cards, even those who are no-code techs can send/receive code
> at 100 wpm, assuming the S/N is high enough of course.

...at least their computers can send and receive it. It would be similar to being able to use a scientific notation calculator but not being able to work out the problem with pencil and paper.

> They too can
> enjoy morse cw as much as you and I.

They may gain enjoyment from it but certainly not in the same way that someone who has mastered the code gains enjoyment from it.

> If you think that the only valid
> way to do cw is with a hand-key (maybe a rusty toggle-switch) and
> receiving with a pencil and paper, that becomes a personal problem, and
> not at all one having to do with licensing or technology.

If you think differently, you would seem to have a personal problem.

Dave Heil K8MN

From 3976874428603123121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 20:59:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0B27A4.48468C93@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net> <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com> <3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net> <%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <3B09D70E.DC4FBD94@earthlink.net> <9eeao9463b@enews2.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 29
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 03:59:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.104
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990590387 63.17.223.104 (Tue, 22 May 2001 20:59:47 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 20:59:47 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 20:58:03 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21916

T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
> In article <3B09D70E.DC4FBD94@earthlink.net>, k8mn@earthlink.net says...
>
> >...and I think you are the first "Dumbo of the Month" to also hold
> >honors as first runner up too. Please explain how your ignorance of
> >what the League is and does results in an attitude which can kill off
> >amateur radio. How does this sinister-sounding spectrum auctioning come
> >about? Why not take a few minutes to read the charter of the ARRL
> >before you manage to cram both brogans into your yap!
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> Why don't you go back and re-read what I wrote. I'm talking about the attitudes
> of certain hams, such as yourself, that will turn people off to amateur radio.
> If you're so clueless as to think that I meant the ARRL in my post, then
> perhaps you should be the one to received the aforementioned award that you so
> proudly covet.

T.L.--
I went back and read it again. I might suggest that you work on
crafting what you write so that it says what you intend to convey.
People don't get "turned off" to amateur radio because someone tells a
no-clue that the ARRL is a membership organization of radio amateurs.
Amateur radio doesn't die because I tell you that you are such a
no-clue. Spectrum auctions don't take place because someone tells
someone else that his view is out of step with reality.

Dave K8MN

From -6560496705645604910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 21:02:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-

for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0B282A.A95C3139@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <20010522203532.07982.00001894@nso-cm.aol.com> <9ef3bb0lb1@enews1.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 29
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 04:02:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.104
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990590520 63.17.223.104 (Tue, 22 May 2001 21:02:00 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 21:02:00 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 21:00:16 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21917

T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
> In article <20010522203532.07982.00001894@nso-cm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com says...
> >
> >In article <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L.
> Bryant)
> >writes:
> >
> >>The title is the "American Radio Relay League."
> >
> >It's been that way since 1914. The name does not have to include the word
> >"amateur".
> >
> >> No where in the title of the
> >>organization is amateur radio indicated, so why would anyone have a problem
> >>with including all radio communications?
> >
> >Because the purpose of the ARRL is Aamateur Radio. Under the QST logo it has
> >said "devoted entirely to amateur radio" since the early 1920s. The ARRL
> >charter specifies it is an amateur radio organization.
>
> Then their name should be changed to reflect it as such. There was such a
> consideration to do this not too many years ago. It centered around removing
> the word "Relay" form the title of the organization.

...and the name change was voted down. If you believe that a name
change is in order, why not bring it up with your director?

Dave K8MN

From -2346766654162719310
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 22:40:30 PST
From: "KB2RES" <KB2RES@email.msn.com>
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com> <20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87> <acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com>
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 01:03:04 -0400
Lines: 52
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <uHDuWc04AHA.287@cpmsnbbsa09>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1cust112.tnt1.syracuse.ny.da.uu.net 63.21.188.112
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpmsnbbsb04!cpmsnbbsa09
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21918

I listen on 40 , 75 , 80 Meter and listen a lot Now I really wonder how long before all the testing stops and for a short time you just buy a ticket from the fcc. We lost 11 meters. to cb a few years back and look what happened. IT WILL ALL BE CB SOON .... JUST LISTEN This is the choice of all the big money Icom, Kenwood, Yaesu ect. Sell high tech so we do all the research, we make the big bucks, because no one has to know anything. Not electronics, not code, just turn it on and forget common courtesy, manners and language, I have to use headphones when scanning 75 or 80 for fear my younger family members will hear the restricted vocabulary. I have been reading some of these messages in this news group and also wonder what has happened to this hobby.

IT WILL ALL BE CB SOON
Think maybe we should clean up some of our ways
we can all find way to keep this a clean, educational fun hobby, and to help our communities
" 73 s "
KB2RES DON
SYRACUSE, NY

"Alan Castillo" <alanc_183@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com...
> Maybe the old idea of CBer's getting their tech's and joining the
> league has more merit than meets the eye. One could possibly view all
> the downright rudeness I've read from AR's "elder statesmen" as a
> direct result of simple fear. Fear, you ask?...fear of what?! Well,
> it's been said that once attrition quells some of the rudeness, who'll

> "inherit" AR? Someone on QRZ posed this question and it simply went
> unanswered...could it be "other" group of radio "enthusiasts" who
> enjoy making worldwide contacts on hf? Hmmm, maybe the league has
> quietly answered that question.
>
> As far as attacking (Yes...attacking!) Kim for sharing her opinions,
> why be rude? If you disagree, say so and leave it at that. What a
> great bunch you are to set the example for others. I take it back, you
> guys probably aren't AR's "elder statesmen." You're just a group of
> retirees that hate to see the changes in your beloved service/hobby
> and have soured into mean old grumps. Dump someone in your killfile
> because you don't agree with their opinion. Put them there because
> they're abusive or obnoxious...like many of you have been, NOT because
> their opinion differs from the herd.
>
> As for the changing times for AR, the ARRL, and those "other
> enthusiasts"...be afraid...be very afraid. Adios!
>
> 73 de Alan
> Jersey City, NJ

From -7820532349653331757
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 23:02:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 02:02:49 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3B0B5278.A0E17669@sccoast.net>
References: <3B08E885.72F8C494@sccoast.net> <20010522221236.26676.00004548@nso-cg.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-96.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990597719 134977 204.116.70.97 (23 May 2001 06:01:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 06:01:59 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21919

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> The 40-plus mile stretch of I-95 that
> runs thru Philadelphia experiences
> hundreds of major accidents every year
> -- accidents which hold up rush-hour
> traffic far longer than any kind of
> "pacing" possibly could. If only the
> section between Wilmington, Delaware
> and the northern limits of Philadelphia
> were "paced," the overall effect could
> be to actually increase traffic flow by
> a significant factor -- not to mention
> the savings in lives. [snip]

Well, perhaps it is a solution in your area. I don't live there, so I don't know enough about the situation to offer an opinion. I was talking on more general terms, not about specific roads or specific situations.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

From 3294607892393004776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 02:33:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 09:33:10 GMT
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523053310.29357.00000958@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21920

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>...at least their computers can send and receive it. It would be
>similar to being able to use a scientific notation calculator but not
>being able to work out the problem with pencil and paper.

I don't think that most people can work out all of the functions of modern scientific calculators using a pencil and paper. Can you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 6969958728706242057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 04:14:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The Eagle has landed
Message-ID: <MPG.1575667999d010009896fb@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>
<9ef0as0267g@enews3.newsguy.com> <9ef946$blt$2@208.206.142.80>
<eXFO6.37345$t12.2970399@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 65
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 11:14:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.67.37
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990616476 64.157.67.37 (Wed, 23 May 2001
04:14:36 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 04:14:36 PDT
X-Received-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 04:12:52 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21921
```

In article <eXFO6.37345$t12.2970399@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net says...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9ef946$blt$2@208.206.142.80...
> >
> >
> > "T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > >

> > > In article <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
> > >
> > > >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >
> > > > what do you think happened to 220 to
> > > >> 222 Mhz?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > We KNOW what happened to 220. Why don't you?
> > >
> > > You didn't answer the question. I guess that's expected from someone
> whose
> > > responses to intellegent dialog are the intellectual equivalent of
> diarhea.
> >
> >
> > Thagt was exactly the correct response to a kook who only thinks his
> > dialog bears some resemblence to intelligence.
>
> When the answer to my question 'What does it mean to 'represent' radio
> users? To whom and for what?' came back as unknown but it was a good way to
> raise revenue I started to look for the looking glass I had apparently
> fallen thru.
>
> When an idea we can't define becomes both a good idea and one I am myopic
> for not recognizing, it is getting beyond suspension of disbelief.
>
> "When it's getting too kinky for other folks, it's just about right for me"
> says Richard Friedman leader of one of Willy Nelson's opener groups, Kinky
> Friedman and the Texas Jewboys. He can have it.
>
> Kind of enjoyed They Ain't Making Jews Like Jesus Anymore tho.
>
> Dick BK

AH yes, the I-man's anthem. Yes dear friends, I AM in LA..that's Los
Angeles or LALA LAnd to those in the nose. I have tried the Len-meister
twice now..alas..nada. Guess his caller ID shows it's a payphone..but I
still have a few days. I have some nice pics of the Little Furhers QTH
.. not bad..not great but not bad.

Ran into some really bad WX both in Dayton and on the trip to Vegas but
such is life. If you can't go over or around...Set 'er down and ride it
out.

Today we'll try to establish contact from various stores in the area,
just to see if the weasel even picks up the phone. More pics of the area
as we tour the land the Upyours Furher calls da hood

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others

an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 2008625363841486342
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 04:43:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <5nNO6.25404$BN6.1120865@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 11:42:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.132.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990618177 209.246.132.71 (Wed, 23 May
2001 04:42:57 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 04:42:57 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 04:41:13 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21922

Looks as though the ARRL Letter article was re-posted somehow. Take a look
at the REACT website http://www.react.org/. Doesn't look like much CB focus
there. Are there any REACT sites from elsewhere?

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com...
> Copy-pasted From today's ARRL Letter:
>
> -------------------------------
>
> While REACT has been associated primarily with Citizens Band in the
> past, the organization has widened its focus to embrace amateur and
> other services. ARRL and REACT share common goals in terms of
> emergency communication. The primary mission of REACT is "to provide

```
> public safety communications to individuals, organizations, and
> government agencies to save lives, prevent injuries, and give
> assistance wherever and whenever needed."
>
> -------------------------
>
> Is there a drift here we need to wise up to?
> >
> w3rv
>
>
>
```

```
From -813353649275706059
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 05:19:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 12:18:54 GMT
References: <ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010523081854.29903.00000971@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21923
```

>The ARRL is inherrently (at least one would hope) going
>to recognize that the radio hobbies in existence are there to stay and that
>they need to remain distinct and separate from each other.

Well put Kim. Dig in and hold your ground.

>Ham radio concedes nothing to CB radio, nothing to FRS, nothing to
>GMRS, etc. But, it is all represented under "one roof."

True, but it's still a frightening concept to those who have made 11
meter-bashing a sub-hobby onto itself.

>There's lots to be said for diversity--done the right way.

Amen.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

```
From 3250896391554580110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 06:24:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>
<9ef0as0267g@enews3.newsguy.com> <9ef946$blt$2@208.206.142.80>
<eXFO6.37345$t12.2970399@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <kROO6.4814$r4.287546@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 09:23:28 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 13:23:28 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21924
```

On Wed, 23 May 2001 03:15:22 GMT, Richard McCollum says:

>
>Kind of enjoyed They Ain't Making Jews Like Jesus Anymore tho.
>

"Jesus was a Jew, but only on his mother's side" -- Archie Bunker

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From 6654038041073083634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 06:52:36 PST
Message-ID: <3B0BC039.82DE5501@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 08:50:49 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <20010523053310.29357.00000958@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.53
X-Trace: corp 990625455 64.240.47.53 (23 May 2001 08:44:15 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21925
```

W1RFI wrote:
> I don't think that most people can work out all of the functions of modern
> scientific calculators using a pencil and paper. Can you?

What? You don't carry trig function tables around in your head?
Sometimes you disappoint me, Ed.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

```
From 6926187717671914783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 06:56:07 PST
Message-ID: <3B0BC106.D116CD87@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 08:54:14 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$1sn$1@208.206.142.87>
<acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com> <uHDuWc04AHA.287@cpmsnbbsa09>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.53
X-Trace: corp 990625658 64.240.47.53 (23 May 2001 08:47:38 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!news-in.nibble.net!nntp-relay.ihug.net!
ihug.co.nz!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21926

KB2RES wrote:
> IT WILL ALL BE CB SOON

I don't believe that will happen, but if it does happen, it will be because
the final outcome was the more desirable product. Think about it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5270162337452844423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 07:33:34 PST

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 23 May 2001 14:33:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9eghnt$q5o$0@208.207.71.173>
References: <3B08E885.72F8C494@sccoast.net> <20010522221236.26676.00004548@nso-
cg.aol.com> <3B0B5278.A0E17669@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21927



Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > The 40-plus mile stretch of I-95 that
> > runs thru Philadelphia experiences
> > hundreds of major accidents every year
> > -- accidents which hold up rush-hour
> > traffic far longer than any kind of
> > "pacing" possibly could.  If only the
> > section between Wilmington, Delaware
> > and the northern limits of Philadelphia
> > were "paced," the overall effect could
> > be to actually increase traffic flow by
> > a significant factor -- not to mention
> > the savings in lives.  [snip]
>
>   Well, perhaps it is a solution in your area.  I don't live there, so
> I don't know enough about the situation to offer an opinion.  I was
> talking on more general terms, not about specific roads or specific situations.


Dwight, did you by chance take lessons from Cecil?


From 6366411408061386492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 07:36:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 23 May 2001 14:36:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9eghub$rd3$0@208.207.71.173>
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <20010523053310.29357.00000958@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21928

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >...at least their computers can send and receive it. It would be
> >similar to being able to use a scientific notation calculator but not
> >being able to work out the problem with pencil and paper.
>
> I don't think that most people can work out all of the functions of modern
> scientific calculators using a pencil and paper. Can you?

You and I took different things from Dave's comment. No, I cannot, and
I doubt if most people can. But wouldn't you be more assured that
whatever you were using was correct if you could work it out longhand?
If you have no idea how a particular calculator function works, how can
you be confident in the resulting answer?

It's the same as using CW on a computer.

From -2960689913175634750
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 07:47:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 23 May 2001 14:47:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26

Message-ID: <9egii0$sim$0@208.207.71.173>
References: <ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010523081854.29903.00000971@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21929

KC2HMN wrote:
>
> >The ARRL is inherrently (at least one would hope) going
> >to recognize that the radio hobbies in existence are there to stay and that
> >they need to remain distinct and separate from each other.
>
> Well put Kim. Dig in and hold your ground.
>
> >Ham radio concedes nothing to CB radio, nothing to FRS, nothing to
> >GMRS, etc. But, it is all represented under "one roof."
>
> True, but it's still a frightening concept to those who have made 11
> meter-bashing a sub-hobby onto itself.
>
> >There's lots to be said for diversity--done the right way.
>
> Amen.
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN

Atention Kim and HMN: It is well established that leopards cannot
change their spots with whitewash. End of story.

From -6993129147156417795
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 07:50:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Date: 23 May 2001 14:50:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9egind$sim$1@208.207.71.173>

References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87>
<acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com> <uHDuWc04AHA.287@cpmsnbbsa09>
<3B0BC106.D116CD87@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.173
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21930

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> KB2RES wrote:
> > IT WILL ALL BE CB SOON
>
> I don't believe that will happen, but if it does happen, it will be because
> the final outcome was the more desirable product. Think about it.

I have thought about, a lot. And what I think is that you think much more like a CBer than a ham.
So just because licensefree CBers outnumber licensed hams by several multiples, we should just turn ham radio over to them.

I thought that would be your solution.

From 6699434252712919187
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 08:13:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <20010523053310.29357.00000958@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <VrQO6.4979$r4.300449@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 11:12:53 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 15:12:53 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21931

```
On 23 May 2001 09:33:10 GMT, W1RFI says:
>
>>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
>>...at least their computers can send and receive it.  It would be
>>similar to being able to use a scientific notation calculator but not
>>being able to work out the problem with pencil and paper.
>
>I don't think that most people can work out all of the functions of modern
>scientific calculators using a pencil and paper.  Can you?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.


From 5066524072821563324
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 08:13:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!nntp.giganews.com!
nntp3.aus1.giganews.com!bin1.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com.POSTED!steveklink
From: Steve <steveklink@kih.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Description Of A Real Ham
Organization: SWL
User-Agent: MT-NewsWatcher/3.0 (PPC)
Message-ID: <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>
Lines: 2
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 10:13:20 CDT
X-Trace: sv3-
VMjYaQVyd5fezsnHGaiZ1EWYWGHh1GSwQyCL+6LsrzBEMQNIx93+fhxTO6F+fioYwpoorl9G7LfX5cK!
BbtFDm7zYkae5E0PkusVrkVn8/jE68+gfgvzbm3uKvsTQ5dQlTRPLrkJVDq8JmEhOEtqmWaenJmw!C4Cv/
FkAdrko
X-Complaints-To: abuse@GigaNews.Com
X-DMCA-Complaints-To: dmca@giganews.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 15:13:20 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21932

Same as it always was and always will be.
20 WPM Extra Class, Two letter call.


From -3248430284989739363
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 08:17:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.tele.dk!
24.226.1.12!feed.cgocable.net!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!feed-
out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <20010523053310.29357.00000958@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <lvQO6.4986$r4.300636@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 11:16:33 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 15:16:33 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21933

On 23 May 2001 09:33:10 GMT, W1RFI says:
>
>>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
>>...at least their computers can send and receive it.  It would be
>>similar to being able to use a scientific notation calculator but not
>>being able to work out the problem with pencil and paper.
>
>I don't think that most people can work out all of the functions of modern
>scientific calculators using a pencil and paper.  Can you?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.


From 3616107328176840505
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 08:30:01 PST
Message-ID: <3B0BD718.5DFA8B08@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 10:28:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87>
<acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com> <uHDuWc04AHA.287@cpmsnbbsa09>
<3B0BC106.D116CD87@IEEE.org> <9egind$sim$1@208.207.71.173>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.53
X-Trace: corp 990631310 64.240.47.53 (23 May 2001 10:21:50 -0500)
Lines: 33
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21934

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > KB2RES wrote:
> > > IT WILL ALL BE CB SOON
> >
> > I don't believe that will happen, but if it does happen, it will be because
> > the final outcome was the more desirable product. Think about it.
>
> I have thought about, a lot. And what I think is that you think much
> more like a CBer than a ham.

Actually, I think like Cecil Allan Moore, born Feb. 3, 1938 in the
Great State of Texas.

> So just because licensefree CBers outnumber licensed hams by several
> multiples, we should just turn ham radio over to them.
>
> I thought that would be your solution.

What is it about, "I don't believe that will happen ..." that you
do not understand, Dick? The point is to maintain Amateur Radio as
a desirable product so it won't happen. Your solution of requiring
20wpm (or whatever) Morse code exams will not make Amateur Radio a
more desirable product.
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5611785408374196197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 08:49:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <20010523053310.29357.00000958@ng-ff1.aol.com> <lvQO6.4986$r4.300636@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <UZQO6.5029$r4.305846@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 11:49:08 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 15:49:08 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21935

On 23 May 2001 09:33:10 GMT, W1RFI says:
>
>>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
>>...at least their computers can send and receive it. It would be
>>similar to being able to use a scientific notation calculator but not
>>being able to work out the problem with pencil and paper.

>I don't think that most people can work out all of the functions of modern
>scientific calculators using a pencil and paper. Can you?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

The text of my first reply fell into the bitbucket, I guess. Let me try again!

You're probably right Ed, but I think many folks can work out all the characters of a Morse code transmission using a pencil and paper. I've actually seen it done, and it wasn't a parlor trick either (I don't think).

All seriousness aside, the attraction to me of "manual" Morse operation is the practice of an arcane skill for my personal enjoyment. It may well not be a

particularly efficient use of my time, but it's plumb fun! Using a computer
(and before that, a Boehme keyer) I could probably send more precise (note that
I didn't say "better") Morse code, but that would also be a particularly poor
choice for communications efficiency, and besides wouldn't be much fun at all to
me, so I don't do it.

It also bears telling that I don't give a rats ass if another fellow passed the
same Morse speed test that I did, so long as he/she doesn't send faster than my
pencil can copy.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From -4512489505019501415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 10:07:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 141
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 17:06:51 GMT
References: <ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010523130651.10322.00000021@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21937

>Subject: Re: Puke.
>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>Date: 5/22/01 10:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
>"K0HB" <k0hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
>news:FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com...
>> On Tue, 22 May 2001 11:18:12 GMT, Kim-W5TIT says:
>>
>> > *If* the ARRL ever did move to a position such as this,
>> > don't you think that it would create less competition
>> > with other groups?
>>
>> First, I don't think competition is a bad thing.
>>
>
>Neither do I, in a commercial venture. But, competition for radio spectrum
>is another thing entirely and that is what I meant by competition.

OK. Makes sense - various radio services are competing for the limited spectrum. They are also competing for things like media publicity and people's time and money..

> I
>couldn't tell you why, but I do think the naysayers of the hobby who claim
>it to be dying are, to some degree, probably right.

Gotta do better than that! Give us some reasons and indicators of the "death" of ham radio. License numbers are up, exciting new rigs are on the market, new modes are showing up almost daily, all sorts of things. Last month I read about two hams who used a new mode to work EME with 10 watt transmitters, home-made software-defined transceivers and converted 10 foot TVRO dishes.

>There is more
>competition for radio spectrum, privileges, communications uses on the
>bands, commercial encroachment (though I don't think that is the threat many
>believe it is).

Sure - more applications. And existing services may have to lose some spectrum to make room for the new.

> If we all, as radio-enthusiasts, have one point of contact
>for resources/information on the radio hobby as a whole, I think that we
>then begin building a meaningful relationship with each other and recognize
>and respect our "mutual" interest--that of seeing that the radio
>hobby/vocation (whether that is FRS, GMRS, MURS, HAM, etc.) stays in the
>limelight and in the forefront of legislation for the hobby.

Whoa there. There's more to all of the above services than being a "hobby". And they are all in competition with each other!
>
>Would I rather see a CB organization or a REACT organization or a MURS
>organization pulling for the attention of the FCC or Congress? I don't
>think so. I'd rather see a united front from one organization on behalf of
>several interests.

Why? What does amateur radio have to gain from that?

>The ARRL is inherrently (at least one would hope) going
>to recognize that the radio hobbies in existence are there to stay and that
>they need to remain distinct and separate from each other.

They do - and the ARRL's purpose is to focus on the amateur radio service.

>But, I also like
>the idea that one organization--after Directors' meetings and business
>decisions have been made and negotiated among themselves--is there in front
>of the FCC and Congress with the interest of radio spectrum being the main
>concern.

You're glossing over the tough stuff, Kim. How is any sort of agreement reached by such an organization when the basic values and purposes of the different services you name are so different?

For example:

Who gets to vote for Directors - only licensed hams, or everybody?

What is the organization's policy towards widespread rules violations? The ARRL has always encouraged compliance with the letter and spirit of the law. In fact, the main reason we have Riley on the job is because the ARRL leadership kept asking the FCC for more enforcement. How do you think cb users would react to an organization that pushed for full compliance with all FCC rules regarding that service?

> Ham radio concedes nothing to CB radio, nothing to FRS, nothing to
>GMRS, etc.

How would this work?

> But, it is all represented under "one roof."

By definition, those working under that roof would have to divide their time among more tasks. For example, should the Lab begin testing non-amateur equipment and doing product reviews on it?
>
>There's lots to be said for diversity--done the right way.

I agree. And the "right way" is for the various services to have their own organizations.

> That's the only
>*MAIN* concern I have for this whole concept is that I wonder if it could
>even be pulled off.
>
I hope the answer is no. What would result is "a house divided".

You asked previously why there is so much animosity shown by some hams toward cb users. Here are some reasons:

- Hams get blamed for RFI caused by cb users
- Restrictive antenna ordinances aimed at cb users limit hams' antenna options.
- cb users who become hams sometimes bring with them behaviors and attitudes that damage the amateur radio service
- Hams have had to put up with restrictive FCC regs brought about by cb users' inability to follow the rules.
- cb begat freebanding, and freebanders are increasingly invading the amateur bands.

What has amateur radio gained from cb? Nothing of value.

--

To get a Technician class amateur radio license today, all that is required is passing a 35 question multiple-choice exam prepared from publicly-available Q&A

pools. This test has been passed by elementary school children - it's an entry gate, not a graduation certificate.

The license so earned enables the holder to use a wide variety of modes and bands with complete freedom. No other radio service offers so much for so little. The ONLY things that the other services you name can do legally that hams in the ham bands cannot do are:

1) Conduct business communications
2) Communicate with unlicensed users.

Why should an amateur radio organization use its resources to promote competing radio services, when such promotion does nothing for hams?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 6469155182861114143
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 10:27:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 23 May 2001 10:27:05 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0105230927.431184a5@posting.google.com>
References: <ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010523081854.29903.00000971@ng-bh1.news.cs.com> <9egii0$sim$0@208.207.71.173>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.198.173.200
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 990638825 1408 127.0.0.1 (23 May 2001 17:27:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 23 May 2001 17:27:05 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21938

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<9egii0$sim$0@208.207.71.173>...

> Atention Kim and HMN: It is well established that leopards cannot
> change their spots with whitewash. End of story.

No Dick,

It's really far from the "End of story." Just because you're unable to see beyond the only "ending" that you envision as fact certainly doesn't make it so. To steal an analogy from past poster...Get into your time machine and travel back, let's say, fifty years and find an AE circa 1950+/-. Now try to explain to that AE that in the year 2000, 5wpm & combined 120 multiple choice questions from a PUBLISHED test pool will "earn" you the very same AE. I liken you to that circa 1950 AE, Dick. "Impossible!" you say with a firm pounding of your fist on the table. Our AE friend said the same thing...and he was mistaken, just as you are now.

Well let's see, I now quite a few leopards that change their spots...and whitewash was NOT needed. I remember an old "roundtable" discussion that used to occur on 27.685MHz almost every morning. These elderly "freebanders" would ragchew for about an hour or so and casually discuss everything from the weather to their experiences on the ham bands. "Ham bands?!" Yes, Dick...not all the leopards are the young, irreverent, punks you seem to catogorize them as. So next time you visit your local ARC, be careful...you may be shaking hands with a leopard, albeit a quiet one. I myself know quite a few licensed hams who got their start on CB or, dare I say...well, you know where.;-)
The end of the story?...no way, far from it. Stay tuned Dick.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

```
From 5894628777093630785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 10:54:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
<UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com>
<ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <cPSO6.5203$r4.320961@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 13:54:16 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 17:54:16 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21939

On Wed, 23 May 2001 02:49:29 GMT, Kim-W5TIT says:

>Would I rather see a CB organization or a REACT organization or a MURS
>organization pulling for the attention of the FCC or Congress? I don't
>think so. I'd rather see a united front from one organization on behalf of
>several interests. The ARRL is inherrently (at least one would hope) going
>to recognize that the radio hobbies in existence are there to stay and that
>they need to remain distinct and separate from each other. But, I also like
>the idea that one organization--after Directors' meetings and business
>decisions have been made and negotiated among themselves--is there in front
>of the FCC and Congress with the interest of radio spectrum being the main
>concern. Ham radio concedes nothing to CB radio, nothing to FRS, nothing to
>GMRS, etc. But, it is all represented under "one roof."

I can't think of a *single* benefit to Amateur Radio under this scheme. Not a *single* one. Our organization (ARRL) would have it's focus diluted by several non-Amateur competing interests, and hams would become lumped (more than we already are) in the public mind into the same pot with "all those radio geeks" like freebanders, outlaw broadcasters, etc., etc., etc.

The potential conflicts are not trivial either -- just suppose someone petitioned the FCC that the CB bands ought to be expanded upwards to 29.000MHz, or someone petitioned for more MURS channels in the middle of the 75cm band. Would the "Amalgamated Radio Relay League" wear it's "Part 97" hat or it's "Part 95" hat when it when it sent comments to FCC?

While we (ARRL) ought to certainly cooperate with other organizations where we have common interests, putting these all under "one roof" because they happen to be non-commercial radio services would be akin to forming one master church consisting of Baptists/Lutherans/Catholics/Muslims/Budhists/ Mormons/Shintoists just because they all happen to be deity-based religions.

I'd have no problem with a "Council of Personal Radio Groups" where ARRL had a seat alongside representatives of other radio groups, similar to the seat we have at IARU, but I have *huge* problem with a membership-based "Master Radio Club" which would purport to represent hams along with all the other members with intests in other non-commercial radio services.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From 425049195020479008
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 11:54:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdspgd4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
<UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com>
<ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<cPSO6.5203$r4.320961@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 23
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 18:55:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 990644107 63.178.69.156 (Wed, 23 May 2001
18:55:07 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 18:55:07 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21940
```

On Wed, 23 May 2001 17:54:16 GMT, K0HB wrote:

>The potential conflicts are not trivial either -- just suppose someone
>petitioned the FCC that the CB bands ought to be expanded upwards to 29.000MHz,
>or someone petitioned for more MURS channels in the middle of the 75cm band.
>Would the "Amalgamated Radio Relay League" wear it's "Part 97" hat or it's "Part
>95" hat when it when it sent comments to FCC?

Or would it do what the Special Industrial Radio Service Association (SIRSA) - which formerly represented only the radio interests of the heavy construction industries - did when they amalgamated with / gobbled up the frequency coordination associations for almost all other industrial users.

They got the FCC to abandon the "industry" block allocation scheme
and go to a "pool" concept.

Problem solved ??

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -4559166853188621554
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 12:10:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
<UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com>
<ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<cPSO6.5203$r4.320961@www.newsranger.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gdspgd4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <BWTO6.5303$r4.330720@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 15:10:25 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 19:10:25 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21941

On Wed, 23 May 2001 18:55:07 GMT, Phil Kane says:

>
> Or would it do what the Special Industrial Radio Service

> Association (SIRSA) - which formerly represented only the radio
> interests of the heavy construction industries - did when they
> amalgamated with / gobbled up the frequency coordination
> associations for almost all other industrial users.
>
> They got the FCC to abandon the "industry" block allocation scheme
> and go to a "pool" concept.
>
> Problem solved ??

Phil,

Sounds like a textbook example of the Dopeler shift.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Dopeler Shift: The tendency of stupid ideas to sound much smarter when they came at you quickly.

From -6944614466959236021
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 12:13:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
<UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com>
<ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<cPSO6.5203$r4.320961@www.newsranger.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gdspgd4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <sYTO6.5305$r4.331018@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or

X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 15:12:24 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 19:12:24 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21942

On Wed, 23 May 2001 18:55:07 GMT, Phil Kane says:

>
> They got the FCC to abandon the "industry" block allocation scheme
> and go to a "pool" concept.
>
> Problem solved ??
>

It would solve the freebanders problem (in favor of the freebanders!)

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From 8619655560221140282
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 12:13:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 19:12:56 GMT
References: <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Description Of A Real Ham
Message-ID: <20010523151256.01667.00000805@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21943

Steve steveklink@kih.net

wrote:

>Same as it always was and always will be.
>20 WPM Extra Class, Two letter call.
>
So that means....

WA3IYC isn't a "real ham" but N2EY is?

(even though they are the same person before/after relocating in 1977).

How did getting a new callsign change anything?

73 de Jim, N2EY (ex-WA3IYC)

Extra since 1970

From -4882768866905428179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,81c1407a2840bdbc,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 12:44:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!fr.usenet-edu.net!usenet-edu.net!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!news.fr.clara.net!not-for-mail
From: djil@claranet.fr
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: FOR SALE   [1200 =?iso-8859-1?Q?=80?=   Optoelectronics Xplorer] ---- shipping included
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 21:11:41 +0200
Organization: Claranet France
Lines: 3
Message-ID: <3B0C0B6D.6A8F9522@claranet.fr>
NNTP-Posting-Host: du-231-90.nat.dialup.claranet.fr
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: spartacus.fr.clara.net 990646393 37366 212.43.231.90 (23 May 2001 19:33:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@fr.clara.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 19:33:13 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: fr
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21944

FOR SALE   [1200 �   Optoelectronics Xplorer] ---- shipping included

From -6493944282600549687
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 12:52:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>
Subject: Re: Description Of A Real Ham
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <ZwUO6.5350$r4.335573@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 15:51:21 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 19:51:21 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21945

On Wed, 23 May 2001 15:13:20 GMT, Steve says:

>Same as it always was and always will be.
>20 WPM Extra Class, Two letter call.

The Armenian judges gave this a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter, but they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who award it a 2.6.

The Armenian judges lodged a formal protest!

It was sufficiently trollish, of course, but way too obvious. It was poorly written, poorly executed, and was so incredibly lame as to lack the true drawing power of a really masterful troll. Maybe as high as a 3.1 for the intense stupidity of the premise, but a 9.8? Never! The Armenian judges tear their hair out, throw their balalaikas down in dismay, and perform the traditional Armenian Dismay Chant! They demand a recount!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Hans' Second Law of Thermodenial:

"In any closed mind the amount of ignorance
remains constant or increases."

From 6187377096729925114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 12:52:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 23 May 2001 19:06:00 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9eh1mo02u02@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <20010522203532.07982.00001894@nso-cm.aol.com> <9ef3bb0lb1@enews1.newsguy.com> <3B0B282A.A95C3139@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-919.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21946

In article <3B0B282A.A95C3139@earthlink.net>, k8mn@earthlink.net says...

>> Then their name should be changed to reflect it as such. There was such a
>> consideration to do this not too many years ago. It centered around removing
>> the word "Relay" form the title of the organization.
>
>...and the name change was voted down. If you believe that a name
>change is in order, why not bring it up with your director?
>
>Dave K8MN

I did that when they were originally considering the name change.

From -4766817090681866123
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 13:20:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 23 May 2001 19:20:37 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9eh2i512u02@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3B09D70E.DC4FBD94@earthlink.net> <9eeao9463b@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3B0B27A4.48468C93@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-411.newsdawg.com

Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21947

In article <3B0B27A4.48468C93@earthlink.net>, k8mn@earthlink.net says...
>T.L.--
>I went back and read it again. I might suggest that you work on
>crafting what you write so that it says what you intend to convey.

I did. Unfortunately, I can't help it when the reader is subjective.

>People don't get "turned off" to amateur radio because someone tells a
>no-clue that the ARRL is a membership organization of radio amateurs.
>Amateur radio doesn't die because I tell you that you are such a
>no-clue. Spectrum auctions don't take place because someone tells
>someone else that his view is out of step with reality.
>
>Dave K8MN

Fine. The next time someone asks me about amateur radio, I'll refer them to
this and the *.misc newsgroup so that they can find out about all of the
wonderful people that pervade it.

From -8387074096505999616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 13:37:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>
Subject: Re: Description Of A Real Ham
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <SbVO6.40518$4f7.3013914@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 20:37:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.176.80
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990650226 12.88.176.80 (Wed, 23 May 2001 20:37:06 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 20:37:06 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21948

Right. How about WB2OSP, WA3RJX, or AA2QA? (my old call N2JH disappeared along with me during a 12 year lapse of license - the new one, AA2QA, was issued for 20 WPM). All a two letter call indicates now is either one obtained a new 2 letter call during the early 70s when extras were allowed to select a call sign (I did get N2JH when I moved back up from Pa) or they selected a call more recently and plunked down the fee to get it. How many true (originally issued before 1970) 2 letter call signs are still around? Perhaps a few, but I doubt many. A friend who tested me for my novice ticket back in 1962 was W2ZS. That was close to the end of those calls and he has been a silent key for a good number of years now. A two letter call sign or a 20 over 9 troll - all the same, doesn't count for much anymore.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

Steve <steveklink@kih.net> wrote in message
news:steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net...

> Same as it always was and always will be.
> 20 WPM Extra Class, Two letter call.

From -3034629013241340250
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 13:37:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0C1167.AABFE074@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <20010523053310.29357.00000958@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 20:37:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.165.65
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990650225 63.30.165.65 (Wed, 23 May 2001 13:37:05 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 13:37:05 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 13:35:23 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21949

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >...at least their computers can send and receive it. It would be
> >similar to being able to use a scientific notation calculator but not
> >being able to work out the problem with pencil and paper.
>
> I don't think that most people can work out all of the functions of modern
> scientific calculators using a pencil and paper. Can you?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

All of 'em? No and I did not claim that ability. I'll go you one
better: I'll bet that a large number of current high school grads can't
do simple algebra problems without 'em. I've found many who can't even
make change without a cash register to tell them what the customer is to
receive in change.

The point was that anyone using a computer to send and receive morse
without bothering to actually learn morse is depriving himself of half
of the pleasure of using the mode--and the computer won't be able to
perform as well as his ears and brain would.

Dave K8MN

From 3873403954464888720
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 13:42:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0C12A6.D2A902A0@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <20010522203532.07982.00001894@nso-cm.aol.com> <9ef3bb0lb1@enews1.newsguy.com> <3B0B282A.A95C3139@earthlink.net> <9eh1mo02u02@enews2.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 19
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 20:42:24 GMT

NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.165.65
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990650544 63.30.165.65 (Wed, 23 May 2001 13:42:24 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 13:42:24 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 13:40:42 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21950

T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
> In article <3B0B282A.A95C3139@earthlink.net>, k8mn@earthlink.net says...
>
> >> Then their name should be changed to reflect it as such. There was such a
> >> consideration to do this not too many years ago. It centered around removing
> >> the word "Relay" form the title of the organization.
> >
> >...and the name change was voted down. If you believe that a name
> >change is in order, why not bring it up with your director?
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> I did that when they were originally considering the name change.

I guess my director convinced yours and some others that it was a bad
idea. Better luck next time.

Dave K8MN

From -3231837527366907863
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 13:45:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0C1355.E6F2760C@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com> <20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87> <acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com> <uHDuWc04AHA.287@cpmsnbbsa09> <3B0BC106.D116CD87@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13

Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 20:45:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.165.65
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990650719 63.30.165.65 (Wed, 23 May 2001 13:45:19 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 13:45:19 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 13:43:36 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21951

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> KB2RES wrote:
> > IT WILL ALL BE CB SOON
>
> I don't believe that will happen, but if it does happen, it will be because
> the final outcome was the more desirable product. Think about it.

Sort of like network, cable and satellite TV, huh?
It would seem that even the most noble and altruistic endeavors
eventually get sucked down into the slime.

Dave K8MN

From 1046796540873815672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5d73ed477efe1d60,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,5d73ed477efe1d60,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 13:47:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0C13CB.2FA4DA78@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Allison, girl of perfection   teen48.jpg 9769   [1/2]
References: <BdSO6.515$LU3.2401728@news3.mia>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 20:47:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.165.65
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990650838 63.30.165.65 (Wed, 23 May 2001 13:47:18 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 13:47:18 PDT

Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 13:45:35 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21952
rec.radio.amateur.space:2603

allison@allison19.REMOVE.com wrote:
>
> czcbojqourrqerrejbylmjkfhbitwpsknftbpj

Allison,

Must be cryptography. Perhaps there's a message in there somewhere.
What's your call?

Dave K8MN

From 7937175780076465321
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 13:51:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3AF83873.EEBEE792@psu.edu> <20010509065342.18357.00002101@ng-
cr1.aol.com> <9dc1oo$89d$0@208.206.142.97> <3B0AD6D8.22DCF827@firstinter.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <BoVO6.5421$r4.343313@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 16:50:41 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 20:50:41 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21953

On Tue, 22 May 2001 14:15:04 -0700, R.L. Tannehill, P.E. says:

>These folks, thanks to modern technology, no longer need to be
>a human modem to send/receive the code. Thanks to computers
>and sound cards, even those who are no-code techs can send/receive code
>at 100 wpm, assuming the S/N is high enough of course. They too can
>enjoy morse cw as much as you and I.

The first time a robo-station wins the CQWW CW weekend, I'll concede the point.
Until then, I'll respectfully place this message in nomination as the DAPOTY.

```
73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.



From 1878183583905039002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 13:51:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 23 May 2001 20:10:48 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <9eh5g801vdr@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>
<9ef0as0267g@enews3.newsguy.com> <9ef946$blt$2@208.206.142.80>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-235.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21954

In article <9ef946$blt$2@208.206.142.80>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>> >
>> > We KNOW what happened to 220. Why don't you?
>>
>> You didn't answer the question. I guess that's expected from someone whose
>> responses to intellegent dialog are the intellectual equivalent of diarhea.
>
>
> Thagt was exactly the correct response to a kook who only thinks his
>dialog bears some resemblence to intelligence.

...and exactly how much intelligence does it require to come up with some of
the smart-ass remarks you've posted? How much intelligence does it take to
heckle someone at a club meeting when they have the floor, and are trying to
make a point? (I have seen this happen) The only thing you, and people like
you, know how to do is to destroy. Anything that threatens your precious status
```

quo is considered a threat. This is the entire basis of the code/no-code debate that's raged for the past ten years. This is why amateurs lost the lower portion or the 220 band to the land mobile service. (According to an interview with one FCC official) This is also why potential new hams are turned off to amateur radio, let their licenses expire, or are licensed but are inactive and will probably not renew. Anyone who presents new ideas or challenges is labled as a "kook", "clueless", and/or a "whiney liberal" among others.

Amateur radio is not growing. This is not to say that the number of licensed hasn't increased, but that the people like you, Larry, and a few others have failed to make it grow. When was the last time you had a conversation with a DX station that was more than just a callsign and signal report exchange? When was the last time you had a conversation on HF with a stranger that consisted fo something other than the basic "Rig hr is..., WX hr is.."? Do you sit and complain about the "mexicans taking over 20 meters" at night, or have you tried to learn some Spanish and carried on a conversation with them? Or is your attitude more apathetic, choosing to communicate only with those hams that fall into your little "clique" of the spectrum?

Although I'm paraphrasing Walt Kelly when I say this, but those who claim that they really care about amateur radio, may be its own worst enemy.

From -4713296762461826322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 14:35:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 21:34:34 GMT
References: <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Description Of A Real Ham
Message-ID: <20010523173434.10307.00000073@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21955

>From: Steve steveklink@kih.net

>Same as it always was and always will be.
>20 WPM Extra Class, Two letter call.

Do you then believe that I am not a real ham? Should I turn in my ticket and stop doing things for Amateur Radio?

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1200443195936999280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 14:38:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 21:37:49 GMT
References: <9eghub$rd3$0@208.207.71.173>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523173749.10307.00000074@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21956

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I don't think that most people can work out all of the functions of modern
>> scientific calculators using a pencil and paper. Can you?

>You and I took different things from Dave's comment. No, I cannot, and
>I doubt if most people can. But wouldn't you be more assured that
>whatever you were using was correct if you could work it out longhand?
>If you have no idea how a particular calculator function works, how can
>you be confident in the resulting answer?
Are you really saying that neither you or I can work out all of the functions
on our scientific calculators by hand, but we can be confident in the answers
if we can? Help me out, here, Dick; I just don't get the point.

>It's the same as using CW on a computer.

If so, then if you and I can use a calculator without being able to do all of
the functions manually, I guess you are saying that is okay that some people
use computers do encode or decode CW.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -61954660837951968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 14:46:39 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 21:46:08 GMT
References: <3B0C1167.AABFE074@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523174608.10307.00000075@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21957

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>'ll go you one better: I'll bet that a large number of current high school grads can't
>do simple algebra problems without 'em.

I'll take that bet. Lobster? :-)

I will check with a few high-school teachers in the area and see if we can administer:

2x=21 solve for X.

I bet most pass. I will select a relatively non-affluent suburb school; I think we can all agree that many of the city schools have problems of their own making passing kids from grade to grade without their having learned the material.

Want to go for the shrimp-cocktail appetizer on a group of 10 6th-grade grads?

I will go for the dessert on a group of recent Tech licencees.

>I've found many who can't even
>make change without a cash register to tell them what the customer is to
>receive in change.

As far as I know, they are still teaching Algebra in high school. I don't think they are teaching change making any longer.

>The point was that anyone using a computer to send and receive morse
>without bothering to actually learn morse is depriving himself of half
>of the pleasure of using the mode--and the computer won't be able to
>perform as well as his ears and brain would.

Absolutely agreed on the first part -- for me, Morse code operation is part of my Amateur Radio experience.

As to the second part, I can guarantee a computer will do better than I at 60 wpm. I think I can outdo it in noise, until I give up and stop copying. But I am also convinced that if decoding Morse code with a computer were important to the rest of the world, there would be code-decoding alogorithms that would beat me hands down.

For that matter, do you think a DSP-filtered code-decoding algo would beat me copying by ear in the usual 500 Hz bandwidth that hams typically use?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5223482344331845665
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 14:48:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 21:48:11 GMT
References: <9egii0$sim$0@208.207.71.173>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010523174811.10307.00000076@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21958

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Atention Kim and HMN: It is well established that leopards cannot
>change their spots with whitewash. End of story.

I can speak for myself and say categorically that I have gone through some rather incredible changes over the course of my lifetime. Those that can say the same will probably disagree with you.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4780880454869675203
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 14:50:26 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 21:50:18 GMT
References: <9ebpbi3277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010523175018.10307.00000077@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21959

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

>In article <9ea6jl$1f7$0@208.207.71.141>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>> I've had it with your stupidity. You have no idea what this is all

>Does this mean I won't be reading any more of your eloquent words of wisdom
>anymore? I'm flattered.

Don't be; he told me to soak my head! :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -504665649162508829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 14:52:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 21:52:01 GMT
References: <20010522095921.05666.00003911@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523175201.10307.00000078@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21960

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>> Well, at least this pretty much puts a lid on Cecil's claim to being
>>> an expert on logic! How could I have possibly learned how effective
>>> CW was if I never bothered to learn it in the first place?

```
>>Exactly the same thing can be said about your favorite flavor of ice
>>cream, Larry. Who forced you to try that particular flavor?

>You are sooo batting zero here.  I think you need a vacation!

Judging by the weakness of your response, Larry, I think Cecil made the point.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From -3286251341315352953
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 14:54:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 21:53:43 GMT
References: <3b08728a.73382733@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010523175343.10307.00000079@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21961

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com  (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>I'll assume that what you really mean is that the ARRL should cover
>all hobby and/all personal non-commercial utility radio. Basically ham
>radio, CB, GMRS, MURS & FRS. SWLing too? I flat out disagree with the
>notion that the ARRL should become bogged down in anything beyond ham
>radio. I wouldn't pay dues to an ARRL which dissipated it's assets to
>support and serve the activities of radio hobbies in which I have zero
>interest and I doubt that I'm alone on this one.

In general, I agree with you.  I do think, however, that it is very much in
Amateur Radio's best interest if ARRL maintains strong lines of communication
with those other aspects of the radio hobbies.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -1480950814935676359
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 14:58:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 21:57:44 GMT
References: <9ebf2k$bnv$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010523175744.10307.00000080@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21962

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>>I think it was 1951 when I got my Xtal controlled Globe Scout, 65w CW,
>>55w AM. It was my Novice transmitter.

>And then you proceeded to assemble it all: nuts, screws, washers,
>chassis, panel, resistors, capacitors, inductors, tube sockets,
>transformers, wiring, meter, ..., for the Globe Scout was a kit.
>It certainly was not "plug 'n play."

As are modern kits, I am sure. That Elecraft K2 sure teaches people quite a bit about PC board construction.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8821427648089838553
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:02:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:01:33 GMT
References: <20010522095919.05666.00003907@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523180133.10307.00000081@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21963

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>> At least I'm being honest when I say it *was* the requirement
>>>that made me learn it!

>>And Cecil is being honest that he learned it in the Scouts so he and a
>>friend could use it to send secret messages.

>Thank you for pointing that out, but I don't recall ever questioning
>Cecil's honesty with regard to that particular fact.

I must have missed it; who questioned yours?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4506622507319599791
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:05:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:04:36 GMT
References: <3B0A7C07.4F3F7F0D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523180436.10307.00000082@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21964

>From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Please explain why someone who doesn't appreciate the mode should
>be forced to use it.

If the best pro-code argument is that no one will appreciate the mode unless they are forced to use it, I wonder if they are creating a self-fulfilling prophecy...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5625203023913843768

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:07:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:06:57 GMT
References: <9eduam$lsn$0@208.206.142.87>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523180657.10307.00000083@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21965

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Ed ia a functional code killer in his present role.

Why would I want to kill a mode that I use extensively, Dick?  I feel the
mantra that you and Larry promote that it is a horrible mode unless people are
forced to learn it is about as code-killer as I could imagine.  My message is
that it is useful and a lot of fun.

>He can't help it, that's the direction ARRL, FCC and all the avant garde
>are headed today.

Niether the FCC nor the ARRL care one whit whether I post here or not.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From -4390228000869995724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:11:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:10:38 GMT
References: <9ebasd$bb4$3@208.206.142.78>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
```

```
Message-ID: <20010523181038.10307.00000084@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21966
```

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I can tell you that if I had not been code tested, my present speed would
>>have been zero if all the old timers didn't tell me why the mode is a fun and
>> enjoyable thing to use. Had they kept tossing up the things I see them
>>tossing on this forum, it would have turned this 13-year old kid off cold.

> So then you would have been one of the code bashers who tell all us who
>passed a code test how stupid we were to submit to that old hazing
>ritual when we could have just waited our lifetimes for the rules to
>finally change?

No, Dick, I would not have been a ham at all. Had I run into the insulting demeanor I have seen some use here, yourself included, I would have walked away in disgust. Fortunately, the old timers I ran across were mighty helpful.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 3167211221641905713
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 21:19:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3B09DAE1.1DF60A06@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
References: <3b048a6b$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com> <9e3ib101sum@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3b05640d.179888661@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 04:19:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.194
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990505195 63.17.223.194 (Mon, 21 May
2001 21:19:55 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 21:19:55 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
```

```
X-Received-Date: Mon, 21 May 2001 21:18:13 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21816
```

```
Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:
>
> On Fri, 18 May 2001 11:25:16 -0500, "Charles Brabham"
> <n5pvl@the-i.net> wrote:
> >
> >Right now I am not interested in altering this newsgroup, just monitoring it
> >
> Bloddy white of you to decide to not to "alter" this NG Charlie.
> >
> >
> >73 DE Charles Brabham, N5PVL
> >
> w3rv
```

Brian,

I don't know if you remember Charles. He is the fellow who periodically stops by to start a real packet vs. internet gateway packet rant. He has hours of fanciful info on what civilized packeteers in Europe do vs what the barbarians here have thrown together. His trolling is stuck on the one topic. We're just not discussing the topic he'd like to veer toward.

Dave K8MN

```
From -2802895471854186866
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 21:21:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdpqcn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org>
<9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 17
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 04:22:23 GMT
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 990505343 63.178.69.156 (Tue, 22 May 2001
04:22:23 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 04:22:23 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21817
```

On 22 May 2001 02:50:32 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>> Guess again, Dick. I taught code classes at Intel. Only one dyslexic
>> person had a problem with a 'dit' VS a 'dah'.

> I simply do not believe you. Virtually everone who first tries to send
>code makes everything sound alike ant tries to send all dits.

Just to set the record straight, dyslexia is a problem of visual
scrambling, not aural.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
Father of a dyslexic son

```
From -4448673374669101932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 21:41:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 22 May 2001 04:41:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9ecqlo$qvk$0@208.206.142.73>
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org>
<9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <3B09DDFB.6B865A04@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21818
```

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
Virtually everyone can tell the difference
> between a 100 mS tone and a 300 mS tone. Most people can tell the
> difference between a 100 mS tone and a 200 mS tone. The only problem
> I've seen with newbies is that the 'dah' is much longer than it should
> be compared to the 'dit' but that helps copy rather than hindering it.

You've got it bassackwards again. 9 of 10 persons who first try to send Morse code will sent all dits and seem to want to vary the spacing of those dits to encode. I always had to show them how to hold down the key to form the longer dah element. They soon pick up on it, normally.
But anyone with no Morse code training, attempting to send emergency traffic with a lookup table is doomed to instant failure.

From 8307246426621754696
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 21:42:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 04:42:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9ecqnv$qvk$1@208.206.142.73>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net> <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com> <3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net> <%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3B09D4D4.EA751BDD@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21819

Dave Heil wrote:
>

Why, pray tell, would
> an association chartered as a radio amateur organization embrace all of
> radio communication?
>

Well, she just thought it seemed like a good idea......

From 5871655146712054086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 21:48:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 22 May 2001 04:48:29 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9ecr2t$qvk$2@208.206.142.73>
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org> <9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdpqcn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.73
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21820

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 22 May 2001 02:50:32 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >> Guess again, Dick. I taught code classes at Intel. Only one dyslexic
> >> person had a problem with a 'dit' VS a 'dah'.
>
> > I simply do not believe you. Virtually everone who first tries to send
> >code makes everything sound alike ant tries to send all dits.
>
>   Just to set the record straight, dyslexia is a problem of visual
>   scrambling, not aural.

Dang, that blows Cecil's buddy out of the water, the one who tried for
10,000 hours to get past 5wpm but just couldn't do it because of his
'dyslexia'.

From -179559430526865095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 22:05:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!64.86.63.5.MISMATCH!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org> <9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdpqcn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <IsmO6.35327$t12.2736714@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 05:05:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.25
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990507944 12.75.103.25 (Tue, 22 May 2001 05:05:44 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 05:05:44 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21821

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gdpqcn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net...
> On 22 May 2001 02:50:32 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >> Guess again, Dick. I taught code classes at Intel. Only one dyslexic
> >> person had a problem with a 'dit' VS a 'dah'.
>
> > I simply do not believe you. Virtually everone who first tries to send
> >code makes everything sound alike ant tries to send all dits.
>
>   Just to set the record straight, dyslexia is a problem of visual
>   scrambling, not aural.
>
>
> --
>   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>   Father of a dyslexic son
>
>

I have seen something akin to it among people whose jobs require doing the same job mirror-image. They try to copy code as both a left and right-handed activity at the same time and end up inverting sense and sequence going from one side to the other. The cure is to put the off hand in the pocket and get the speed up to 16 or so. The two cases I remember were a house painter and a guy who worked on railroad air brakes.

It has somewhat the same effect but as Phil points out, it most certainly is not dyslexia.

Dick N�BK

From 6716648209142417334
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-21 22:10:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-
out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!
worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B09D4D4.EA751BDD@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <txmO6.35341$t12.2739243@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 05:10:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.25
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990508249 12.75.103.25 (Tue, 22 May 2001 05:10:49 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 05:10:49 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21822

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3B09D4D4.EA751BDD@earthlink.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Dick N�BK
> > >
> >
> > I find it interesting that this discussion has quickly degraded into
some
> > kind of ritualistic assessment of something being earned, when all that
was
> > being discussed was a broadening of the scope of representation for the
ARRL
> > to other radio services.  Ham radio licensing is not governed by the
ARRL,
> > so I don't understand the correlation.  As to "accidents of privilege"
there
> > is no "built in" privilege to the ARRL just because one is an amateur
radio
> > operator--other than voting membership.  *Anyone* may be a member of the
> > ARRL, and *everyone* has to pay for that membership.  Or shall we turn
> > ourselves into a closed club?
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>

Kim, feel free to reply of course but you are my one and only killfile on
this newsgripe in the interest of my blood pressure.  Unless, as in this
case, it gets repeated, there is no way I will ever know.  Just so you know
the debate is one-sided.

Toodle!

Dick N�BK





From 6519995956448071631
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2ade7363c640dfa5
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 00:16:35 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Like I Thought... (sigh)
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 07:16:15 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0a0ec3.178915972@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b048a6b$0$88178$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
<20010518100631.06552.00000323@ng-ct1.aol.com> <9e3ib101sum@enews3.newsguy.com>
<3b05640d.179888661@news2.dvol.com> <3B09DAE1.1DF60A06@earthlink.net>
```

X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.97
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.97
X-Trace: 22 May 2001 02:11:58 -0500, 64.24.17.97
Lines: 28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeed.onecall.net!chicago-news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21823

On Tue, 22 May 2001 04:19:55 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Brian,
>
>I don't know if you remember Charles.
>
Name rings a distant bell.
>
>He is the fellow who periodically
>stops by to start a real packet vs. internet gateway packet rant. He
>has hours of fanciful info on what civilized packeteers in Europe do vs
>what the barbarians here have thrown together.
>
That'a fine. Problem is that except for the dx spots nets us
"barbarians" have lost interest in packet and taken it to the
Internet. Not a discussion area I care much abt.
>
w3rv

 His trolling is stuck on
>the one topic. We're just not discussing the topic he'd like to veer
>toward.
>
>Dave K8MN

From 8792564997661663096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 00:39:03 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 07:38:40 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>

```
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.118
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.118
X-Trace: 22 May 2001 02:34:23 -0500, 64.24.19.118
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chicago-
news-feed1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21824

On 22 May 2001 01:34:51 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:
>
>Your really stretching on that one. No one is denying that trying to market
>meat to vegitarians is bound to fail. CB, amateur, and FRS, however, have a
>common ground that isn't being exploited.
>
This thread started as a discussion about REACT which has been
supporting CB for decades and has now folded ham radio into it's scope
of activities. The common ground is being exploited, I don't see the
point to having the ARRL go into competition with REACT. Especially on
my dime as an ARRL member.
>
w3rv


From -1535829171818136328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 04:12:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
```

```
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<47_N6.3708$BN6.403530@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B09D4D4.EA751BDD@earthlink.net> <txmO6.35341$t12.2739243@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <RQrO6.8727$9D5.844318@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 11:12:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.128.90
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990529969 209.246.128.90 (Tue, 22 May
2001 04:12:49 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 04:12:49 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 04:11:02 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21825
```

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:txmO6.35341$t12.2739243@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> Kim, feel free to reply of course but you are my one and only killfile on this newsgripe in the interest of my blood pressure. Unless, as in this case, it gets repeated, there is no way I will ever know. Just so you know the debate is one-sided.
>
> Toodle!
>
> Dick N�BK

I think Dick thinks he is the only one in this newsgroup : o

Kim W5TIT

```
From 1306515138215199286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 04:18:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!
look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 11:18:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.128.90
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990530292 209.246.128.90 (Tue, 22 May 2001 04:18:12 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 04:18:12 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 04:16:25 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21826

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com...
> On 22 May 2001 01:34:51 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
> wrote:
> >
> >Your really stretching on that one. No one is denying that trying to
market
> >meat to vegitarians is bound to fail. CB, amateur, and FRS, however, have
a
> >common ground that isn't being exploited.
> >
> This thread started as a discussion about REACT which has been
> supporting CB for decades and has now folded ham radio into it's scope
> of activities. The common ground is being exploited, I don't see the

> point to having the ARRL go into competition with REACT. Especially on
> my dime as an ARRL member.
> >
> w3rv
>

OK, if that is the reason you would not support an expansion of groups included in the ARRL's scope, I have one question. *If* the ARRL ever did move to a position such as this, don't you think that it would create less competition with other groups? And, if your dime as an ARRL member from amateur radio was not in any way sacrificed to another group of radio amateurs (they would all have their own "branches" ideally), would that be any different for you? I am right there with you on spending the money I offer as an amateur radio operator over on the CB side; I would not support that.

By the way, I don't know of the operations of REACT in other areas; but here in the Dallas area, for at least the past 10 years or so--REACT has been much more active in radio spectrum outside CB.

Kim W5TIT

From -6241602881883215734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e9b1b08ba13a92f9,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 05:58:58 PST
Message-ID: <1681.989871563295@iw1.mailusenet.com>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 05:58:06 -0700 (PDT)
From: Unknown <Unknown>
Subject: Fart
Organization: Unknown
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Size: 326
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!mailusenet.com
Lines: 11
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21827

I been seein a puke thread hereabouts an thought it wuz time for a fart thread.

kc8jbo, who is our chief scatologist, is gonna start a shit thread over memorial day.

Posted via http://www.etin.com - the FREE public USENET portal on the Web

Complete SEARCHING, BROWSING, and POSTING of text and BINARY messages!

From 5548132831658801368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 06:31:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A69AE.ADAA5B4D@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 08:29:18 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org> <9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <3B09DDFB.6B865A04@IEEE.org> <9ecqlo$qvk$0@208.206.142.73>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.62
X-Trace: corp 990537765 64.240.47.62 (22 May 2001 08:22:45 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21828

Dick Carroll wrote:
> But anyone with no Morse code training, attempting to send emergency
> traffic with a lookup table is doomed to instant failure.

BS Dick, my young neices and nephews have taught themselves to do it
and I can read their Morse code perfectly well.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2517425913736411153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 06:33:59 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A6A68.636F869F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 08:32:24 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org>
<9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdpqcn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.62
X-Trace: corp 990537953 64.240.47.62 (22 May 2001 08:25:53 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21829
```

Phil Kane wrote:

> On 22 May 2001 02:50:32 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >> Guess again, Dick. I taught code classes at Intel. Only one dyslexic
> >> person had a problem with a 'dit' VS a 'dah'.
>
> > I simply do not believe you. Virtually everone who first tries to send
> >code makes everything sound alike ant tries to send all dits.
>
> Just to set the record straight, dyslexia is a problem of visual
> scrambling, not aural.

Still, the only person in my code classes who had a problem with a 'dit'
VS a 'dah' was dyslexic. He could tell a character was either an 'A' or
an 'N' but he couldn't tell the difference.
--

http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7062721265055634871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 06:35:25 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A6ABF.D90194AC@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 08:33:51 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org> <9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdpqcn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net> <9ecr2t$qvk$2@208.206.142.73>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.62
X-Trace: corp 990538037 64.240.47.62 (22 May 2001 08:27:17 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21830

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Dang, that blows Cecil's buddy out of the water, the one who tried for
> 10,000 hours to get past 5wpm but just couldn't do it because of his
> 'dyslexia'.

He was and is NOT dyslexic, Dick. He was diagnosed with AADD. Please
pay attention.

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -9094038719316444455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 06:42:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <3B091825.B1B83C4@IEEE.org>
<9ebf2k$bnv$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9ebhrq$oo8$1@news.netmar.com>
<9eccoc$301$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <%%tO6.3345$r4.177765@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 09:41:15 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 13:41:15 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21831
```

On 22 May 2001 00:43:56 GMT, Jeffrey Herman says:

> What does it take to keep you from butting in, Hans?

```
---------------------------------------------------------
FLASH   FLASH    FLASH   Earth to Jeffrey---
---------------------------------------------------------
```

This is usenet. If you want a private conversation where nobody butts in, you are in the wrong place.

> I was setting Cecil up to see if he was telling the
> truth but you had to go and spoil it.

Well, then I'm glad I did! Putting aside the question of why in the world anyone would bother to make up something about their first rig, "setting Cecil up" seems like a basically dishonest and underhanded activity. Do you worship some cheap offbrand Lord? Most of us would consider "setting Cecil up" to be not very honorable. I guess I feel pretty darn proud of myself for having spoiled your childish attempt.

72.5, de Hans, K0HB

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From 6649657140350281668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 06:59:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:18 GMT
References: <20010521065036.08733.00000501@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010522095918.05666.00003906@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21832

In article <20010521065036.08733.00000501@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>You can't force people not to be lazy, but you can give people
>>incentives *not* to be lazy! What's wrong with incentives?
>
>To those who truly appreciate the mode, Larry, it is its own incentive.

Ed:

If that's true, why didn't I appreciate this "incentive" during the 14 years I spent avidly objecting to the code testing requirements? I have always been a reasonably intelligent, objective, and insightful person. Why did the obvious benefits and advantages of the Morse/CW mode totally escape my notice before I finally decided to apply myself to meeting the code testing requirement in order to become an HF phone operator? Heck, I was an Extra-class ham for almost nine years before I gave up on the concept of talking into a microphone below 30 MHz! During that time, I probably wasn't anywhere near as adamant about supporting the concept of code testing as I became in the early 90's with the coming of no-code licensing for the Technician class, and my first exposure to computer BBS's, Packet Radio, and the no-code whiners thereon.

Please do us all a favor, and keep the newsgroup apprised on your efforts, successes, and failures with regard to showing prospective hams the "self-incentives" of Morse/CW operation. That is, to those who "truly appreciate the mode," of course! To those who don't "truly appreciate the mode," please explain how they can gain that "appreciation" in the absence of a code testing requirement.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 4249365194786498687
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 06:59:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:17 GMT
References: <20010521064928.08733.00000500@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010522095917.05666.00003905@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21833
```

In article <20010521064928.08733.00000500@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>I am not so certain as you are about what the future would have been had my
>life been different than it was.

>
>I can tell you that if I had not been code tested, my present speed would
>have
>been zero if all the old timers didn't tell me why the mode is a fun and
>enjoyable thing to use. Had they kept tossing up the things I see them
>tossing
>on this forum, it would have turned this 13-year old kid off cold.

Ed:

In my earliest days as a ham, I never had any of the "old timers" I knew telling me what a wonderful thing CW was. Most of my Elmers were primarily phone operators, with the exception of Bill "Elmer" Irwin, WA3ZMY (SK), who showed me the advantages of CW without blowing a lot of sunshine about it. However, they all emphasized that it was a necessary thing to learn, if only to pass the tests and gain the privileges I sought. It wasn't until after I learned CW at a high enough proficiency level to see its benefits for myself that I became truly enthusiastic about this mode. To this day, I am still not a 100-percent CW operator -- I spend much more time using digital modes like RTTY and PSK31. However, I actively support code testing requirements at a useful proficiency level, for the sake of keeping radio amateurs fully capable of exploiting the many benefits and advantages of Morse/CW which I have learned from first-hand experience. Without the testing requirement in place at the time I was licensed, I would not have had this experience -- and no "Elmer" could have possibly convinced me to invest my valuable time in learning it.

Since you say Morse/CW is its own reward, please explain to us how you, personally, intend to get people to give it enough of a fair learning effort to produce at least 10 percent of hams capable of using CW effectively at 18 WPM -- the same speed used by W1AW to transmit the CW bulletins.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5166071743887590911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:00:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:19 GMT
References: <3B091C5B.DB98A97A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010522095919.05666.00003908@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21834

In article <3B091C5B.DB98A97A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Fine, I'm happy for you.  How many boy scouts are learning the Morse
>> code these days?
>
>More importantly, why did the Boy Scouts drop the Morse code merit badge?
>Do you think it was part of the No Code Test Agenda conspiracy?

Cecil:

I dunno, and I am thinking about it.  Do they still have a Marksmanship
merit badge?

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 2973281643433383022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:00:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!
news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:21 GMT
References: <3B096D0E.564B166B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010522095921.05666.00003911@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21836

In article <3B096D0E.564B166B@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
```

>> Well, at least this pretty much puts a lid on Cecil's claim to being
>> an expert on logic! How could I have possibly learned how effective
>> CW was if I never bothered to learn it in the first place?
>
>Exactly the same thing can be said about your favorite flavor of ice
>cream, Larry. Who forced you to try that particular flavor?

Cecil:

You are sooo batting zero here. I think you need a vacation!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1604317207503266718
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:00:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:19 GMT
References: <20010521065301.08733.00000503@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010522095919.05666.00003907@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21835

In article <20010521065301.08733.00000503@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>> At least I'm being honest when I say it *was* the requirement
>>that made me learn it!
>
>And Cecil is being honest that he learned it in the Scouts so he and a friend
>could use it to send secret messages.

Ed:

Thank you for pointing that out, but I don't recall ever questioning
Cecil's honesty with regard to that particular fact.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 2343343321740082528
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:00:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:26 GMT
References: <20010521133428.29317.00000782@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010522095926.05666.00003917@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21837

In article <20010521133428.29317.00000782@ng-ff1.aol.com>, radiohead11m@aol.com
(RadioHead11m) writes:

>>There's never a forklift around when you need one!
>>
>
>There's a 24 Hr. heavy equipment rental place in So. Kearny...always a
>forklift
>available, if you know where to look. 73, Larry.

I'll keep that in mind.  While in the Air Force, I had forklifts from
2K to 15K capacity on my Government Driver's License, so I'm
quite familiar with their operation.  Now I know where to get one
to gag myself on Kim's next post!

BTW -- I was going to make a joke about how to bend the boom on
a 10K Hyster, but I'll leave it to your imagination!

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -50070080705439000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:00:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
dc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!
```

```
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:24 GMT
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21838

In article <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson LPN) writes:

>
>The Gunny
>
>An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time
>
>An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time
>
>An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time
>
>An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time
>
>An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time

Steve:

Wassup?  Did someone tell you to write this on the blackboard five times?

73 de Larry, K3LT
Member, NRA

Anybody who thinks you're going to peacefully disarm 90 million
Americans is fucking crazy.




From 6802882266264138092
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:00:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:25 GMT
References: <3b097bd1.141295916@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010522095925.05666.00003915@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21839

In article <3b097bd1.141295916@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 21 May 2001 19:12:50 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
>wrote:
>>
>>If this is to imply that I support the no-coders, it's true. If it's to
>imply
>>that *I* am a no-coder, or that I have no license at all, I think you should
>
>>know that I've been a licensed ham for over twenty years, and currently hold
>an
>>Extra class license, which I passed when the code speed was still at 20 WPM.
>
>>You can do a Google/Deja search through the past several years if you want
>to
>>find out what my callsign is. I no longer post it in the newsgroups.
>>
>Pompous dipshit, who the hell would bother running a search on YOU.
>The callsign here is w3rv. W3RV. Whiskey Three Romeo Victor, got it
>yet? Kelly, C. Brian. What the hell is YOUR problem sweetums, got a
>bit of a problem with standing up like a man and taking the heat do
>ya??

Brian:

Actually, I wish a certain other occasional participant in this newsgripe would follow his lead!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7400963901867814880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:00:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:26 GMT
References: <9ebpbi3277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010522095926.05666.00003916@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21840

In article <9ebpbi3277m@enews1.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>
>If this is to imply that I support the no-coders, it's true. If it's to imply
>
>that *I* am a no-coder, or that I have no license at all, I think you should
>know that I've been a licensed ham for over twenty years, and currently hold
>an
>Extra class license, which I passed when the code speed was still at 20 WPM.
>You can do a Google/Deja search through the past several years if you want to
>
>find out what my callsign is. I no longer post it in the newsgroups.
>

Tom:

The term "no-coder" does NOT specifically refer to an individual who has not passed a code test. It refers to a person who does not support the concept of progressive code testing at pre-Restructuring speed levels, for amateur radio licensing. It also refers to anyone who whines about code testing as a barrier to amateur radio licensing at any level. I took the liberty of defining this term in the old Fidonet Ham Radio echo almost ten years ago.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6780526349221148487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:00:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:20 GMT

References: <3B092733.402CFF30@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010522095920.05666.00003909@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21842

In article <3B092733.402CFF30@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> You can't force people not to be lazy, but you can give people
>> incentives *not* to be lazy! What's wrong with incentives?
>
>You mean incentives like affirmative action programs?

Cecil:

Affirmative Action programs are not incentives -- they are unearned rewards given to undeserving clients of a Welfare state in return for the votes which keep the givers of these rewards firmly ensconced in their positions of political power, influence, and wealth. They are a cynical approach to purely individual personal situations which the government has no rightful role to influence the outcome. Affirmative Action programs are the best possible expression of the weakness of people who choose victim status over personal motivation, initiative, and a sense of responsibility to themselves, their families, and their communities. Government assistance is the last resort of those who have given up -- usually before even trying.

The Morse code testing requirements are NOT an Affirmative Action program. That is your delusional view of them, not reality. Affirmative Action programs give away privileges, without requiring anything of the rewardee other than being lucky enough to be born of a particular race, sex, creed, color, ethnic origin, or sexual "orientation." They are strictly political rewards, not valid requirements. If you can't understand the difference, then your "logical" abilities leave much to be desired.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8952820484151033298
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:00:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:21 GMT
References: <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010522095921.05666.00003910@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21843

In article <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>
> I'd like to see either of you clowns try to copy CW at *any* speed
>being sent by someone who had never before keyed a rig. It would be
>unrecognizale as Morse code regardless of speed.
> Evidently neither of you has ever taught a code class. Darn few people
>are ever able to form a dit or a dah at the outset, they invariably make
>it all sound alike until they're taught the difference and how to form
>each. Even learning that takes takes some practice.

Dick:

Exactly. The few times I've taught code classes, the first class wasn't involved with learning any characters at all. It was strictly in teaching the difference in sound between a "dit" and a "dah" at various speeds. For example, the slowest "dit" never sounds like any kind of a "dah" -- no matter how fast that "dah" is sent. But then again, I also never use a Farnsworth speed lower than 16 WPM, so my students start off learning "whole character" sounds, not trying to analyze "dot-dash" patterns. My more motivated students usually had the light go on for them a whole lot faster than for people who didn't bother explaining a few basics of Morse code before teaching letters/numerals.

The notion of someone without a considerable amount of training and practice trying to send CW from a chart, particularly under "emergency" conditions, is simply ridiculous. It would require an exceptionally precocious individual to send a readable message in that manner -- but they wouldn't have a prayer of copying a response in any manner other than transcribing "dots" and "dashes" they had marked on paper as received. Even then, they wouldn't likely be able to discern spacing between letters and words. The process would be so agonizingly slow as to be virtually useless under any but the most stable, non-emergent conditions. A true 5 WPM proficiency level, assuming a reasonable Farnsworth value of between 13-16 WPM, actually would be useful, albeit marginally so, compared to "look-it-up-and-send-it."

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6309527560430090610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:00:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:22 GMT
References: <3B096E32.41A5C30E@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010522095922.05666.00003912@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21841

In article <3B096E32.41A5C30E@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Don't look now, but how would I have known how effective CW was
>> if I never bothered to learn it because there wasn't a requirement to
>> do so?
>
>How would you ever participate in netnews if you never learned to type?

Cecil:

I don't think I could! How does that nullify my pro-code testing arguments?

>How would you ever know how good sex is if someone didn't force you?

I don't recall that pretty young girl having to "force" me! How does that
nullify my pro-code testing arguments?

>How would you ever have developed a favorite flavor of ice cream
>without someone forcing it down you for the first time?

I don't recall ever being "forced" to eat ice cream (when I used to eat it,
before I became aware of my lactose intolerance). How does that
nullify my pro-code testing arguments?

>How would
>you have ever learned to drive a car if someone didn't force you?

My main problem with learning to drive was "forcing" my parents to
let me have more time behind the wheel! How does that
nullify my pro-code testing arguments?

>How would you ...? Well, you get the idea.

Actually, I don't! What *was* your point, Cecil? If one was there,
it totally escaped me!

>People choose to do the
>things they choose to do based on wants and needs and ROI, not usually
>on outdated affirmative action program requirements.

Tell that to the people who hired lesser-qualified job applicants than myself
based on "outdated affirmative action program requirements."

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -863170155820205122
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:00:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
dc1.nntp.concentric.net!newsfeed.concentric.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 22 May 2001 13:59:24 GMT
References: <3B097044.A39AB392@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010522095924.05666.00003913@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21844
```

In article <3B097044.A39AB392@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Yep, that's the predictable reaction of ordinary human beings exposed to
>your bullying techniques, Dick. You and Larry have probably discouraged
>more people from learning and using Morse code than anyone else I know.

Cecil:

I don't see how Dick and I could possibly have affected more than a few potential "code learners" at most. At the very most, all we've done is give them another excuse to whine and complain about something they had no intention of bothering themselves with in the first place.

>One can only speculate about your not-so-hidden agenda in trying to alienate
>potential hams from learning Morse code.

Hey, I have absolutely no notion of being able to encourage anyone to use the Morse code. I don't believe I could convince anyone to become a code-proficient ham any more than you could convince me to try bungee jumping. A prospective ham has to try CW based on a need to do so. Once a fair trial is given, it is a proven fact that a certain small number who *do* try will gain sufficient proficiency to see for THEMSELVES all of the benefits and advantages of the Morse/CW mode. For reasons I've stated many times in this NG, I favor the requirement because it is the best way to ensure that the ARS will continue to have amateurs who can exploit the benefits of Morse/CW. Without the requirement, it is virtually impossible to overcome the objections to learning the Morse code, in view of the overwhelming need for most prospective hams to achieve the instant gratification of the kind of personal communications power that can be achieved with a microphone. When you ask people to delay that gratification, you're usually not going to win many arguments in favor of a mode which requires a considerable committment of personal time and effort to master at a useful level of proficiency.

>Don't you realize that in trying
>to monopolize the sandbox, the big guys may take the sandbox away from you
>and re-allocate the sand to where it will serve the most citizens?

Cecil, that's going to happen anyway. How is dumbing-down the requirements for amateur radio licensing going to stop it?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -1357462558546739007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:35:18 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A78B8.661D2DC@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 09:33:28 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <3B091825.B1B83C4@IEEE.org>
<9ebf2k$bnv$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9ebhrq$oo8$1@news.netmar.com>
<9eccoc$301$1@news.hawaii.edu> <%%tO6.3345$r4.177765@www.newsranger.com>
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 990541614 64.240.47.43 (22 May 2001 09:26:54 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21845

K0HB wrote:
> Most of us would consider
> "setting Cecil up" to be not very honorable.

Jeff considers it to be great sport. :-)

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8544088292359000782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:39:56 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A79D5.7585AD0A@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 09:38:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B091C5B.DB98A97A@IEEE.org> <20010522095919.05666.00003908@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 990541900 64.240.47.43 (22 May 2001 09:31:40 -0500)

Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21846

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I dunno, and I am thinking about it. Do they still have a Marksmanship
> merit badge?

I dunno, but I certainly hope so. Did you hear that Maryland has passed
a bill that provides for gun-safety classes in public schools?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 645888410134502683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:45:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 22 May 2001 14:45:00 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9edu1c$kl7$0@208.206.142.87>
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org> <9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <3B09DDFB.6B865A04@IEEE.org> <9ecqlo$qvk$0@208.206.142.73> <3B0A69AE.ADAA5B4D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21847

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > But anyone with no Morse code training, attempting to send emergency
> > traffic with a lookup table is doomed to instant failure.
>
> BS Dick, my young neices and nephews have taught themselves to do it
> and I can read their Morse code perfectly well.

Sure they have, Cecil. But not at the point of an emergency requiring
the handling of message traffic NOW!

From 7392600750470874219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:46:11 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A7B46.DB506CAF@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 09:44:22 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <20010522095921.05666.00003910@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 990542269 64.240.47.43 (22 May 2001 09:37:49 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-

sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21848

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> For example, the slowest "dit" never sounds
> like any kind of a "dah" -- no matter how fast that "dah" is sent.

Huh???? Exactly how do you tell the difference between an 100 mS
'dit' and a 100 mS 'dah'?

> The notion of someone without a considerable amount of training
> and practice trying to send CW from a chart, particularly under
> "emergency" conditions, is simply ridiculous.

So you advise people to perish instead of attempting it during
an emergency? - nice guy.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2983403787499740703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:49:13 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A7C07.4F3F7F0D@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 09:47:35 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010521065036.08733.00000501@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010522095918.05666.00003906@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 990542463 64.240.47.43 (22 May 2001 09:41:03 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21849

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> To those who don't "truly appreciate the mode,"
> please explain how they can gain that "appreciation" in the
> absence of a code testing requirement.

Please explain why someone who doesn't appreciate the mode should
be forced to use it. There are lots of coded hams who don't
appreciate the mode and never use it. Would you appreciate the
food you hate the worse if someone forced you to eat it?

--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5212908149009379097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:49:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 22 May 2001 14:49:58 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9eduam$lsn$0@208.206.142.87>
References: <20010521065036.08733.00000501@ng-fm1.aol.com> <20010522095918.05666.00003906@nso-fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21850

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>

> In article <20010521065036.08733.00000501@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >
> >>You can't force people not to be lazy, but you can give people
> >>incentives *not* to be lazy! What's wrong with incentives?
> >
> >To those who truly appreciate the mode, Larry, it is its own incentive.
>
> Ed:
>
> If that's true, why didn't I appreciate this "incentive" during the 14
> years I spent avidly objecting to the code testing requirements?

It's the same old BS the codekillers (whatever their stripe) always
come up with- "let eeryone choose which of he requirements they want to
adhere to".
Yes, Sadly, Ed ia a functional code killer in his present role. He
can't help it, that's the direction ARRL, FCC and all the avant garde
are headed today. He's along for the ride, and if he doesn't want to get
off he follows the script.

From 4451088083323714408
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:53:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 14:53:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87>
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21851

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve

```
> Robeson LPN) writes:
>
> >
> >The Gunny
> >
> >An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time
> >
> >An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time
> >
> >An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time
> >
> >An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time
> >
> >An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time
>
> Steve:
>
> Wassup?  Did someone tell you to write this on the blackboard five times?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> Member, NRA
>
> Anybody who thinks you're going to peacefully disarm 90 million
> Americans is fucking crazy.



  Well, at least *some* kind of crazy.


From -5980983402488334731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:54:10 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A7D1E.76847260@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 09:52:14 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B092733.402CFF30@IEEE.org> <20010522095920.05666.00003909@nso-
fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 990542741 64.240.47.43 (22 May 2001 09:45:41 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
```

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21852

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The Morse code testing requirements are NOT an Affirmative
> Action program.

Of course it is, Larry. It is a violation of the free market just
like any other affirmative action program. It is simply a social
engineering project like all the other social engineering projects.

What is wrong with a free market of modes in a hobby in a free society?
What is wrong with live and let live?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3916120281759384553
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:56:05 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A7D97.92D54F2C@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 09:54:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B096D0E.564B166B@IEEE.org> <20010522095921.05666.00003911@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 990542862 64.240.47.43 (22 May 2001 09:47:42 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21853

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> You are sooo batting zero here. I think you need a vacation!

You didn't answer the question, Larry. Who forced you to try your
favorite flavor of ice cream for the first time? Personally, I
think CW is better than ice cream.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1732944140904573401
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 07:59:40 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A7E76.ACAE0FB3@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 09:57:58 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B096E32.41A5C30E@IEEE.org> <20010522095922.05666.00003912@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 990543086 64.240.47.43 (22 May 2001 09:51:26 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21854

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >How would you ...? Well, you get the idea.
>
> Actually, I don't! What *was* your point, Cecil? If one was there,
> it totally escaped me!

Please read the next line again for the first time.

> >People choose to do the
> >things they choose to do based on wants and needs and ROI, not usually
> >on outdated affirmative action program requirements.
>
> Tell that to the people who hired lesser-qualified job applicants than myself
> based on "outdated affirmative action program requirements."

Poor Larry, all your bosses and authority figures are always picking on you.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4968853820378437132
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 08:07:50 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A806C.93514A9C@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 10:06:20 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org>

```
<9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <3B09DDFB.6B865A04@IEEE.org>
<9ecqlo$qvk$0@208.206.142.73> <3B0A69AE.ADAA5B4D@IEEE.org>
<9edu1c$kl7$0@208.206.142.87>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 990543587 64.240.47.43 (22 May 2001 09:59:47 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21855

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> > BS Dick, my young neices and nephews have taught themselves to do it
> > and I can read their Morse code perfectly well.
>
>  Sure they have, Cecil. But not at the point of an emergency requiring
> the handling of message traffic NOW!

So you would advise them to die instead of attempting emergency CW
communications? - nice guy.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 5825652846046702997
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 08:09:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 22 May 2001 15:09:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9edvei$oc6$0@208.206.142.87>
References: <3B097044.A39AB392@IEEE.org> <20010522095924.05666.00003913@nso-fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21856

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B097044.A39AB392@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:

> >Don't you realize that in trying
> >to monopolize the sandbox, the big guys may take the sandbox away from you
> >and re-allocate the sand to where it will serve the most citizens?
>
> Cecil, that's going to happen anyway. How is dumbing-down the
> requirements for amateur radio licensing going to stop it?

Cecil is so clueless he can't understand that he has long advocated the
very thing he accuses us of doing. Hey, give 'em all a license, no code,
no theory no nuthin'. Let it all hang out, let 'em all join in the fun.
CB all the way!

Whatta deal.

From 2354765595007312800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 08:23:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <3B091825.B1B83C4@IEEE.org> <9ebf2k$bnv$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9ebhrq$oo8$1@news.netmar.com> <9eccoc$301$1@news.hawaii.edu> <%%tO6.3345$r4.177765@www.newsranger.com> <3B0A78B8.661D2DC@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 23

Message-ID: <YlvO6.3477$r4.190909@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 11:12:56 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 15:12:56 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21857

On Tue, 22 May 2001 09:33:28 -0500, W6RCecilA says:

>Jeff considers it to be great sport. :-)

Jeff seems to have some sort of crisis of credibility and truthfulness.

On one hand he admits that he is trying to "set you up" to check your truthfulness (as if you would bother to lie about what kind of rig you first owned).

On the other hand, in contrast to this "honesty check" he'd perform on you, he has a history of spoofing the FCC to obtain a Guam (KH2PZ) call sign for himself, and cooperating to obtain several KH6 calls for a fellow in Rhode Island, places where they respectively did not reside.

Odd!

73, Hans, K0HB

From -9052465511069525096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 08:23:06 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A83E4.23B5DC12@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 10:21:08 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010521064928.08733.00000500@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010522095917.05666.00003905@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43

X-Trace: corp 990544476 64.240.47.43 (22 May 2001 10:14:36 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21858

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Since you say Morse/CW is its own reward, please explain to
> us how you, personally, intend to get people to give it enough of
> a fair learning effort to produce at least 10 percent of hams
> capable of using CW effectively at 18 WPM -- the same speed
> used by W1AW to transmit the CW bulletins.

Who says "10% of hams capable of 18wpm" is necessary?
Can you spell d-e-m-i-g-o-d ?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5262302859428268892
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 08:23:06 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A82FB.18B1F175@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 10:17:15 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B097044.A39AB392@IEEE.org> <20010522095924.05666.00003913@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 990544243 64.240.47.43 (22 May 2001 10:10:43 -0500)

Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21859

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I don't see how Dick and I could possibly have affected more than a few
> potential "code learners" at most.

Uhhhhhh Larry, this newsgroup is world wide. Many potential hams have
probably given up their interest in ham radio in disgust because of
your postings.

> Hey, I have absolutely no notion of being able to encourage anyone to
> use the Morse code.

That's pretty obvious, Larry. All you ever do is discourage.

> Cecil, that's going to happen anyway. How is dumbing-down the
> requirements for amateur radio licensing going to stop it?

Earth to Larry: The FCC has said, in so many words, that Morse code
skill is unrelated to being smart or being dumb. Your smart VS dumb
charade has ended and you haven't realized it yet.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5555718996462034292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 08:29:05 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A8556.92D2D415@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 10:27:18 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9ebpbi3277m@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010522095926.05666.00003916@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 990544848 64.240.47.43 (22 May 2001 10:20:48 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21860

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The term "no-coder" does NOT specifically refer to an individual who
> has not passed a code test. It refers to a person who does not
> support the concept of progressive code testing at pre-Restructuring
> speed levels, for amateur radio licensing. It also refers to anyone
> who whines about code testing as a barrier to amateur radio
> licensing at any level. I took the liberty of defining this term in the
> old Fidonet Ham Radio echo almost ten years ago.

From Webster's New Universal Unabridged Dictionary:

"no-code - a directive not to alert a hospital team to perform emergency resuscitation on a particular patient."

No mention of your definition, Larry. You apparently didn't do an adequate job of defining it.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8944550250515158529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 08:35:51 PST
Message-ID: <3B0A86F5.91C85BB4@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 10:34:13 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B097044.A39AB392@IEEE.org> <20010522095924.05666.00003913@nso-
fo.aol.com> <9edvei$oc6$0@208.206.142.87>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.43
X-Trace: corp 990545261 64.240.47.43 (22 May 2001 10:27:41 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21861

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Cecil is so clueless he can't understand that he has long advocated the
> very thing he accuses us of doing. Hey, give 'em all a license, no code,
> no theory no nuthin'. Let it all hang out, let 'em all join in the fun.
> CB all the way!

That's not what I propose but even that sounds better than your plan
to destroy the ARS through attrition.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -1732975869800560530
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e9b1b08ba13a92f9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 09:45:10 PST
```

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-
sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!news.supernews.com!Queequeg
From: Queequeg@quahog.com (Queequeg)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Fart
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 16:45:09 -0000
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <slrn9gl5v6.h2.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
References: <1681.989871563295@iw1.mailusenet.com>
Reply-To: quahog9000@deja.com
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 18
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21862

On Tue, 22 May 2001 05:58:06 -0700 (PDT), Unknown <Unknown> wrote:
>I been seein a puke thread hereabouts an thought it wuz time
>for a fart thread.
>
>kc8jbo, who is our chief scatologist, is gonna start a shit
>thread over memorial day.
>
>
>
>----
>Posted via http://www.etin.com - the FREE public USENET portal on the Web
>Complete SEARCHING, BROWSING, and POSTING of text and BINARY messages!

I got dibs on boogers...
Q.
--
"Dead Whale or a Stove Boat"
<---------[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[


From 1504535289203141903
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 10:06:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: alanc_183@yahoo.com (Alan Castillo)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Date: 22 May 2001 10:06:29 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com>
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.34.244.203
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 990551189 24414 127.0.0.1 (22 May 2001 17:06:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 22 May 2001 17:06:29 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21863

Maybe the old idea of CBer's getting their tech's and joining the league has more merit than meets the eye. One could possibly view all the downright rudeness I've read from AR's "elder statesmen" as a direct result of simple fear. Fear, you ask?...fear of what?! Well, it's been said that once attrition quells some of the rudeness, who'll "inherit" AR? Someone on QRZ posed this question and it simply went unanswered...could it be "other" group of radio "enthusiasts" who enjoy making worldwide contacts on hf? Hmmm, maybe the league has quietly answered that question.

As far as attacking (Yes...attacking!) Kim for sharing her opinions, why be rude? If you disagree, say so and leave it at that. What a great bunch you are to set the example for others. I take it back, you guys probably aren't AR's "elder statesmen." You're just a group of retirees that hate to see the changes in your beloved service/hobby and have soured into mean old grumps. Dump someone in your killfile because you don't agree with their opinion. Put them there because they're abusive or obnoxious...like many of you have been, NOT because their opinion differs from the herd.

As for the changing times for AR, the ARRL, and those "other enthusiasts"...be afraid...be very afraid. Adios!

73 de Alan
Jersey City, NJ

From 5852511337750837151
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 11:50:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 17:54:24 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9ee94g063b@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net> <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com> <3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>

<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-455.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21864

In article <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com says...
>
>On 22 May 2001 01:34:51 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
>wrote:
>>
>>Your really stretching on that one. No one is denying that trying to market
>>meat to vegitarians is bound to fail. CB, amateur, and FRS, however, have a
>>common ground that isn't being exploited.
>>
>This thread started as a discussion about REACT which has been
>supporting CB for decades and has now folded ham radio into it's scope
>of activities. The common ground is being exploited, I don't see the
>point to having the ARRL go into competition with REACT. Especially on
>my dime as an ARRL member.
>>
>w3rv

Just what, exactly, would this perceived competition be? Why would the ARRL
turn it's back on potential new hams and, subsequently, new members?

From -5595863900706425355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-22 11:51:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 22 May 2001 18:03:20 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>

<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-613.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21865

In article <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

>> Clue: A new ham band at 5mHz is enroute. Clue: Frequencies below 30mHz
>> don't "belong" to the FCC so they can't hardly auction off"property"
>> they don't own. Query: When was the last time the FCC auctioned off
>> spectrum space because it didn't approve of the "attitudes" of the
>> current users of that spectrum space?
>> >
>> w3rv
>
>
> heh heh heh....

Clue: The use of the word "auction" was a metaphor, not intended to be taken in
a literal sense. And to answer your query, what do you think happened to 220 to
222 Mhz?

From 1404441072515183308
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:14:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:14:03 GMT
References: <20010522095917.05666.00003905@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523181403.10307.00000085@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21967

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>However, they all emphasized that it was a necessary thing to learn, if only

to pass
>the tests and gain the privileges I sought.

Yup, just like today, and I encourage all those who want HF priveleges to meet the requirements today instead of waiting it out. I think any additional changes to code-testing in the US will take years and there is a lot of fun to be had in the meantime.

73,
Ed

From -7267710792180237409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:18:26 PST
From: "KB2RES" <KB2RES@email.msn.com>
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com> <20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87> <acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com> <uHDuWc04AHA.287@cpmsnbbsa09> <3B0BC106.D116CD87@IEEE.org> <9egind$sim$1@208.207.71.173> <3B0BD718.5DFA8B08@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 17:30:07 -0400
Lines: 51
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <OId6GC94AHA.295@cpmsnbbsa09>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
NNTP-Posting-Host: 1cust52.tnt3.syracuse.ny.da.uu.net 63.21.199.52
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!cpmsnbbsb04!cpmsnbbsa09
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21968

I hope it don't happen the point was we as HAMS have to take care of what little is left. WE need to keep the hobby clean , promote activities that keep the hobby in the publics best eye. maybe 20 wpm is not the answer but any one can do 5 wpm it keeps some kind of standard and also as said will most always get through when conditions are bad. even qrp. I do believe we should keep a repor with 11 mtr as in some cases working together works better as when emergency. happens we as ham can handle most of the relays while cb can handle relay among non hams with a liaison we can work together.

' 73 's '
Don kb2res

"W6RCecilA" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B0BD718.5DFA8B08@IEEE.org...

```
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > KB2RES wrote:
> > > > IT WILL ALL BE CB SOON
> > >
> > > I don't believe that will happen, but if it does happen, it will be
because
> > > the final outcome was the more desirable product. Think about it.
> >
> >  I have thought about, a lot. And what I think is that you think much
> > more like a CBer than a ham.
>
> Actually, I think like Cecil Allan Moore, born Feb. 3, 1938 in the
> Great State of Texas.
>
> >  So just because licensefree CBers outnumber licensed hams  by several
> > multiples, we should just turn ham radio over to them.
> >
> >  I thought that would be your solution.
>
> What is it about, "I don't believe that will happen ..." that you
> do not understand, Dick? The point is to maintain Amateur Radio as
> a desirable product so it won't happen. Your solution of requiring
> 20wpm (or whatever) Morse code exams will not make Amateur Radio a
> more desirable product.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
>
```

```
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From -2550021072795588515
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:19:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:18:32 GMT
References: <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523181832.10307.00000086@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21969

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> I'd like to see either of you clowns try to copy CW at *any* speed
>being sent by someone who had never before keyed a rig. It would be
>unrecognizale as Morse code regardless of speed.

Let's put it to the test. I will ask my wife to key my rig one evening on 20 meters and then again on 40 meters. We will see how many people can copy what she sends. You game?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5579201857580021192
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:20:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:19:56 GMT
References: <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523181956.10307.00000087@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21970

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Evidently neither of you has ever taught a code class. Darn few people
>are ever able to form a dit or a dah at the outset, they invariably make
>it all sound alike until they're taught the difference and how to form
>each. Even learning that takes takes some practice.

Neither the FCC or the VE process has tested sending for decades. Without a forced code-sending test, how are people learning to send?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1398664452171790255

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:23:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:23:23 GMT
References: <9ecqlo$qvk$0@208.206.142.73>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523182323.10307.00000088@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21971

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You've got it bassackwards again. 9 of 10 persons who first try to send
>Morse code will sent all dits and seem to want to vary the spacing of
>those dits to encode.

Let's put it to the test. I can take 10 non-hams from the ARRL staff and give them a code key and ask them to alternate dits and dahs. I will record it to a .wav file and post it to a URL. We can let the very court of public opinion here address whether people can send dits and dahs without your showing them how to do it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1496954814330679255
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:26:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:26:18 GMT
References: <9eepsc$3m6$1@208.206.142.79>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523182618.10307.00000089@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21972

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> So you would advise them to die instead of attempting emergency CW
>> communications? - nice guy.

>Dic it ever occur to you that may be a valid reason for code testing?

Of all the lives saved by ham radio, what percentage have been saved by Morse code communication?

If the goal of regulation is to save lives, it would be a much more effective regulation to require that all hams maintain emergency communications capability on HF and VHF at all times. Did you propose that to the FCC when you sent your comments for restructuring?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -893125022306137084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:29:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:28:57 GMT
References: <20010522221239.26676.00004551@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523182857.10307.00000090@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21973
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>How can a ham handle traffic in Latin if he or she is isn't adequately
>>trained
>>and experienced in that language.

>He couldn't! My point exactly!

Why would you propose that hams be tested in Latin to get a license?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5856261045931279391
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:37:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 78
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:37:21 GMT
References: <20010522221239.26676.00004551@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523183721.10307.00000091@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21974

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>How about the "necessity" for amateur radio operators to be able to provide a
>reliable back communications service, able to get simple message
>traffic thru, regardless of conditions (availability of power, band
>conditions, etc)?

In the first place, there is no way that one can make such a guarantee.

If the goal is as you state, however, then it would be a more effective regulation to mandate that all hams must maintain emergency communications capability on HF and VHF.

If the goal is to be based on mode and factors like band conditions come into play, then mandating one of the FEC digital modes would ensure maximum reliability.

>How does eliminating the ability for radio amateurs
>to exploit one of the most practical, effective, efficient, and universal
>modes at their disposal meet the "necessity" of emergency
>communications?

I do not think that we should eliminate such ability. Morse code and OOK CW should remain a legal amateur mode, IMHO.

>Or, a better question may be, how can this
>necessity be taken care of by hams who are technically uninvolved,
>unskilled in practical communications techniques, and basically
>limited to what some "Elmer" has shown them about how their
>off-the-shelf radio toy is used?

I don't believe that the ham you describe represents more than a tiny percentage of hams. And of those that do, their ability to provide communication in times of emergency will not be magically created if they learn Morse code.

>How would one of them compare
>to a well equipped, CW-skilled QRP operator who is capable of
>setting up a low power station capable of indefinate operation in
>spite of conditons in a local emergency site?

So are you suggesting that the rules should be changed to require all hams to be well-equipped and run QRP and set up local emergency sites? Did you include that in your comments to the FCC?

>However, to use <digital modes>, I have to provide 120 VAC electric
>power to a computer, monitor, and multi-mode TNC as well as to my
>RF gear.

Most TNCs today operate from 12 VDC. Laptops operate nicely off of batteries.

>Now, if I'm limited to a few amp-hours of DC current a day,
>how am I going to run all that gear?

If you really care about emergency communications, improve the power reserve of your batteries.

>Let's say I'm using a common,
>highly ubiquitous laptop -- it still at least doubles my current load on
>what may be a very thinly stretched power source. Using CW, the
>method of encoding/decoding the message requires no more of a
>power source than whatever my own diet consists of at the time.

But you can gain 10-15 dB by using the digital mode, so you can cut your transmit power drain by at least 10...

>What's your point?

If the goal of the regulation to maintain Morse code testing is to enhance emergency communications, my point is that there are better regulations to accomplish that goal.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9107156651591314255
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:40:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!

audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:40:12 GMT
References: <20010522203532.07982.00001894@nso-cm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010523184012.10307.00000092@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21975

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>If FRS, MURS, GMRS, and other services need a national organization, let the
>users of those groups start one.

I agree. Although I think that ARRL should maintain ties with those other radio services, no organization can serve two masters.

But it is hard to know where to draw that line. One fact of life in modern spectrum allocation is that all spectrum is shared. What would be a better sharing partner on UHF or microwave -- ISM/Part 15 or FRS, MURS or GMRS?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2454608544303300029
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:41:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:41:18 GMT
References: <9ef3bb0lb1@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010523184118.10307.00000093@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21976

>Then their name should be changed to reflect it as such. There was such a
>consideration to do this not too many years ago. It centered around removing
>the word "Relay" form the title of the organization.

Actually, the League DID make a name change -- the official name of the organization is now ARRL.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5518483190744870740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:43:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:42:43 GMT
References: <3B0C12A6.D2A902A0@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010523184243.10307.00000094@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21977

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>> I did that when they were originally considering the name change.

>I guess my director convinced yours and some others that it was a bad
>idea. Better luck next time.

I think the opposition to any major name change was pretty strong. I proposed "Amateur Radio Relay League," to Tom, but I didn't get my way. Hey, does that mean I should hate the League now? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7616072598711662939
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:44:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 23 May 2001 22:44:21 GMT
References: <FOtN6.16953$Az.1610228@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523184421.10307.00000095@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21978

>From: "Kim-W5TIT"

>> >No wonder you can't learn the code, TL. You can'd read simple EAnglish.

>> I think you're confusing English with what cave men used to speak.

>ROFLMAO!!!! ^5

I think you are both confusing a simple typo with something that matters. Dick tends to get a bit fumble-fingered when he types, but the meaning of his words is usually pretty clear. It certainly was in this case.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8368902534866108398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5d73ed477efe1d60
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,5d73ed477efe1d60
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:51:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Allison, girl of perfection   teen48.jpg 9769   [1/2]
Date: 23 May 2001 21:51:31 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9ehbd30ai7@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <BdSO6.515$LU3.2401728@news3.mia> <3B0C13CB.2FA4DA78@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-177.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21979 rec.radio.amateur.space:2604

In article <3B0C13CB.2FA4DA78@earthlink.net>, k8mn@earthlink.net says...
>
>allison@allison19.REMOVE.com wrote:

>>
>> czcbojqourrqerrejbylmjkfhbitwpsknftbpj
>
>Allison,
>
>Must be cryptography. Perhaps there's a message in there somewhere.
>What's your call?
>
>Dave K8MN

Rather than callsign, I would ask how long "Allison" has been a law enforcement officer.

```
From -4013957116717887114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 15:57:27 PST
Message-ID: <3B0C3FF1.296579A3@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 17:55:45 -0500
From: W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com> <20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$1sn$1@208.206.142.87> <acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com> <uHDuWc04AHA.287@cpmsnbbsa09> <3B0BC106.D116CD87@IEEE.org> <3B0C1355.E6F2760C@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.119
X-Trace: corp 990658150 64.240.47.119 (23 May 2001 17:49:10 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21980
```

Dave Heil wrote:

>
> W6RCecilA wrote:
> >
> > KB2RES wrote:
> > > IT WILL ALL BE CB SOON
> >
> > I don't believe that will happen, but if it does happen, it will be because
> > the final outcome was the more desirable product. Think about it.
>
> Sort of like network, cable and satellite TV, huh?
> It would seem that even the most noble and altruistic endeavors
> eventually get sucked down into the slime.

Like it or not, Dave, it's supply and demand.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2057787245331329175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 16:11:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>
Subject: Re: Description Of A Real Ham
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <0tXO6.17748$425.4640160@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 23:11:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 990659516 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 23 May 2001 16:11:56
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 16:11:56 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21981

What about canadian advanced 12wpm with a 2x3

--

Rob St.Denis

"Steve" <steveklink@kih.net> wrote in message
news:steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net...
> Same as it always was and always will be.
> 20 WPM Extra Class, Two letter call.

From -8056956420963303704
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 17:34:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 00:33:43 GMT
References: <20010523184012.10307.00000092@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010523203343.24833.00001751@nso-bd.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21982

In article <20010523184012.10307.00000092@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>If FRS, MURS, GMRS, and other services need a national organization, let the
>>users of those groups start one.
>
>I agree. Although I think that ARRL should maintain ties with those other
>radio
>services, no organization can serve two masters.

'zactly. Maintaining ties and a dialogue is a good thing, representing those
other services isn't.
>
>But it is hard to know where to draw that line. One fact of life in modern
>spectrum allocation is that all spectrum is shared.

Sure - but there's a difference between being the primary and sharing only with
Part 15 type users, who must not interfere with us and must accept all
interference from us, and being secondary.

>What would be a better
>sharing partner on UHF or microwave -- ISM/Part 15 or FRS, MURS or GMRS?

Part 15, in most cases - but not all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -8142537478237076640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 18:20:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Description Of A Real Ham
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 19:50:38 -0500
Organization: http://extra.newsguy.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9ehlpu0200f@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>
<0tXO6.17748$425.4640160@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-604.newsdawg.com
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21983
```

* His wife sits in the back seat to make more room up front for radios.

* They call his car "the porcupine" because of all the antennas stcking out of it.

* The FAA has declared the area surrounding his house and property a "no fly" zone.

* He doesn't have to mow his yard because the solar cells keeps the grass from getting sunlight.

* When he keys up, birds fall smoking from the air, and that's on the dummy load!

* The NY blackout.

* The Chicago fire, and what frightened the cow.

* The truth about Three-Mile Island.

* Has to wear an army web belt with suspenders to hold up his HT's and battery packs.

* 1.2 gig yagi with rotor mounted on a "gimme" cap.

* Goes overseas on vacation, caught trying to load up the Eiffel Tower.

* Brownouts in Paris.

* Looks in mirror, laughs.

Charles, N5PVL

From 6512069717809138017
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 18:40:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 24 May 2001 01:33:27 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9ehod7$8nb$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com> <9eck32$9nk$1@208.206.142.73> <YGkO6.35101$t12.2715987@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990668007 8939 128.171.44.54 (24 May 2001 01:33:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 May 2001 01:33:27 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21984

Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>He is more than likely correct, Dick. Too many signs indicate that
>publishing more than membership is supporting the ARRL.

What signs? One issue of QST each year makes the League's financial records public knowledge. Did you notice something in the last published accounting that you consider a "sign"?

Don't forget that publishing was one of the original stated purposes of the League in its 1915 charter:

"Article 2. The purposes for which said corporation is formed are the following to wit: The promotion of amateur radio, the organization of amateur radio stations, the promotion and regulation of amateur radio inter-communication, and the relaying of messages from station to station, and the printing and publishing of documents, books and pamphlets necessary to any of the above purposes."

Thank goodness they are a publishing house -- this amateur's amateur would have been quite lost without my shelf of League books and manuals. I began collecting League pubs back in 1962; even as a young-pup they helped me make sense out of the mysteries of the radio science.

KH6O

```
From -3904330082954192501
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 18:58:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 01:58:05 GMT
References: <3B0A8556.92D2D415@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010523215805.18644.00004903@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21985
```

In article <3B0A8556.92D2D415@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>"no-code - a directive not to alert a hospital team to perform emergency
>resuscitation on a particular patient."
>
>No mention of your definition, Larry. You apparently didn't do an adequate
>job of defining it.

Cecil:

I see. Now, what does it say for "no-coder?" That's the term I defined, not "no-code" as in a DNR (medical slang for "Do Not Resuscitate) or "No-code Tech."

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7528708157989581281
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 18:58:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 01:58:07 GMT
References: <3B0A83E4.23B5DC12@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523215807.18644.00004906@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21987

In article <3B0A83E4.23B5DC12@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Since you say Morse/CW is its own reward, please explain to
>> us how you, personally, intend to get people to give it enough of
>> a fair learning effort to produce at least 10 percent of hams
>> capable of using CW effectively at 18 WPM -- the same speed
>> used by W1AW to transmit the CW bulletins.
>
>Who says "10% of hams capable of 18wpm" is necessary?
>Can you spell d-e-m-i-g-o-d ?

Cecil:

OK, then, how about 2 percent? What percentage of hams who
took the 5, 13, and 20 WPM code tests do YOU think became
active CW operators? If I had used the same percentage figure,
would you still have called me a "demigod?"

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -187657619662932459
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 18:58:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!

```
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 01:58:05 GMT
References: <3B0A79D5.7585AD0A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523215805.18644.00004904@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21986

In article <3B0A79D5.7585AD0A@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I dunno, and I am thinking about it.  Do they still have a Marksmanship
>> merit badge?
>
>I dunno, but I certainly hope so. Did you hear that Maryland has passed
>a bill that provides for gun-safety classes in public schools?

Cecil:

I heard something about that, but knowing Gov. Glendenning and
his attitude toward the Second Amendment rights of the citizens of
his state, the "gun safety" classes will probably include instructions
for the kids to turn their parents over to the police if they keep any
kind of firearm in the house, no matter how securely stored.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -824332316191424814
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 18:58:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 01:58:06 GMT
References: <3B0A82FB.18B1F175@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
```

Message-ID: <20010523215806.18644.00004905@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21988

In article <3B0A82FB.18B1F175@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I don't see how Dick and I could possibly have affected more than a few
>> potential "code learners" at most.
>
>Uhhhhhh Larry, this newsgroup is world wide. Many potential hams have
>probably given up their interest in ham radio in disgust because of
>your postings.

Cecil:

Their problem, not mine. If they're foolish enough to give me that kind of power over their lives, then they probably wouldn't have made very good hams anyway!

>> Hey, I have absolutely no notion of being able to encourage anyone to
>> use the Morse code.
>
>That's pretty obvious, Larry. All you ever do is discourage.

Actually, Cecil, I don't knowingly do either. The vast majority of prospective hams usually have endless excuses and rationalizations for not learning the code anyway, so I can't see how I am a significant part of the equation. I may be one of many such excuses for the relatively few hams who read this NG, but I hardly think I can be given the amount of credit you're heaping on me!

>> Cecil, that's going to happen anyway. How is dumbing-down the
>> requirements for amateur radio licensing going to stop it?
>
>Earth to Larry: The FCC has said, in so many words, that Morse code
>skill is unrelated to being smart or being dumb.

And you, like a good little lemming, blindly believe everything your Mommy the Government tells you. Personally, I take half of what the government says with a grain of salt; I take the other half with two grains!

>Your smart VS dumb
>charade has ended and you haven't realized it yet.

Charade? Explain yourself. Everything I state here is my own personal opinion, is written in clear, standard English, and isn't intended to be deceiving in any way. Admittedly I do utilize a lot of tongue-in-cheek humor, so it is up to the reader to be intelligent enough to know what is editoralization, what is satire, and what is both. I participate here for my own amusement, and attempt to be informative and entertaining to whomever reads my posts. I don't believe in or practice the standard forms of Political Correctness which seem to be the norm of our popular culture these days, so, to that end, I can understand why a certain few may not necessarily appreciate what I write here. That, however, is THEIR personal problem.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3400667980976913772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 19:05:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
<UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com>
<ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<cPSO6.5203$r4.320961@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 104
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <z%ZO6.27359$BN6.1288119@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 02:05:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.237.119
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990669919 209.245.237.119 (Wed, 23 May 2001 19:05:19 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 19:05:19 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 19:03:36 PDT

(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21989

"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:cPSO6.5203$r4.320961@www.newsranger.com...
> On Wed, 23 May 2001 02:49:29 GMT, Kim-W5TIT says:
>
> >Would I rather see a CB organization or a REACT organization or a MURS
> >organization pulling for the attention of the FCC or Congress? I don't
> >think so. I'd rather see a united front from one organization on behalf
of
> >several interests. The ARRL is inherrently (at least one would hope)
going
> >to recognize that the radio hobbies in existence are there to stay and
that
> >they need to remain distinct and separate from each other. But, I also
like
> >the idea that one organization--after Directors' meetings and business
> >decisions have been made and negotiated among themselves--is there in
front
> >of the FCC and Congress with the interest of radio spectrum being the
main
> >concern. Ham radio concedes nothing to CB radio, nothing to FRS, nothing
to
> >GMRS, etc. But, it is all represented under "one roof."
>
> I can't think of a *single* benefit to Amateur Radio under this scheme.
> Not a *single* one. Our organization (ARRL) would have it's focus
> diluted by several non-Amateur competing interests, and hams would
> become lumped (more than we already are) in the public mind into the
> same pot with "all those radio geeks" like freebanders, outlaw
broadcasters,
> etc., etc., etc.
>

You're right about the focus being diluted, absolutely! *If* the approach
were wrong, the business principles were wrong, and if the wrong people were
put in place to administer a program as energetic as a multi-group
organization.

>
> The potential conflicts are not trivial either -- just suppose someone
> petitioned the FCC that the CB bands ought to be expanded upwards to
29.000MHz,
> or someone petitioned for more MURS channels in the middle of the 75cm
band.
> Would the "Amalgamated Radio Relay League" wear it's "Part 97" hat or it's
"Part
> 95" hat when it when it sent comments to FCC?
>

The American Radio Relay League would have had several meetings on the
matter, with the amateur radio focus remaining exactly as it has and

should--in the interest of ham radio; and that the particular "other" service would have its focus exactly as it has and should. Both would make their arguments to the FCC or Congress, and the decision would be made. In the meantime, what would hopefully be understood and what would be happening between the radio services' leadership would be that we can all exist, we can all defend our positions and we can all respect each other. Some of the desires of other services may not be so far fetched. For instance, and I hazard to mention this because it will split this discussion off onto another entire path, what about 1.25cm? Is it *really* all that used/needed by amateur radio? Couldn't some sacrifice of band be made for MURS, or for FRS, or whatever?

>
> While we (ARRL) ought to certainly cooperate with other organizations where we
> have common interests, putting these all under "one roof" because they happen to
> be non-commercial radio services would be akin to forming one master church
> consisting of Baptists/Lutherans/Catholics/Muslims/Budhists/Mormons/Shintoists
> just because they all happen to be deity-based religions.
>

The analogy is interesting, but I think you're comparing a little high scaled there. Radio groups most fervent interest is in maintaining radio spectrum, having representation to the FCC and to Congress, etc. There's too much interest and common ground to ignore it forever. Imagine a group of hams, CBers, FRS folks, and GMRS all getting together in a community to present a forum on radio communication to kids at school? By doing this, the vision the public has of us now (as an entirely argumentative and divided set of people) would change drastically for the better.

>
> I'd have no problem with a "Council of Personal Radio Groups" where ARRL had a
> seat alongside representatives of other radio groups, similar to the seat we
> have at IARU, but I have *huge* problem with a membership-based "Master Radio
> Club" which would purport to represent hams along with all the other members
> with intests in other non-commercial radio services.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> --
> Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

Hmmm, now get yet another group such as you mention above, and focus really *does* begin fading.

Kim W5TIT

From 4082806588345600138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 19:20:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 24 May 2001 02:16:36 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9ehqu4$aa9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990670596 10569 128.171.44.54 (24 May 2001 02:16:36 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 May 2001 02:16:36 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21990

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
>Anybody who thinks you're going to peacefully disarm 90 million
>Americans is fucking crazy.

"You cannot invade the mainland United States. There would be a rifle
behind each blade of grass."   Japanese Admiral Yamamoto, 1941

>73 de Larry, K3LT
>Member, NRA

Re NRA:

A Letter From 50 Years Ago:
  "For more than fifty years, the National Rifle Association
has been devoted to the promotion of rifle marksmanship
as a part of American preparedness. Your organization
provided an important contribution to the readiness of
America before World War I. In that war, many instructors
who were members of the National Rifle Association, brought
to the service talents and knowledge which were vital to the
proper training of a citizen army.
  It is my conviction that America's heart is strong, that
America's soul is sound, and that America's spirit is equal
to the challenge of this dangerous decade. The activities
and aims of your association, which contribute to the health,
the citizenship and the preparedness of America, strengthen
this conviction."
              --Omar N. Bradley

General of the Army
Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff

KH6O (NRA; Ruger .357, Beretta .25, Ruger .22, Winchester .22,
Rossi 12ga, Remington 12ga)

From 6731993609947694073
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 20:06:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 03:05:36 GMT
References: <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Description Of A Real Ham
Message-ID: <20010523230536.18644.00004914@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21991

In article <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>, Steve
<steveklink@kih.net> writes:

>
>Same as it always was and always will be.
>20 WPM Extra Class, Two letter call.
>

Oh, crap. I feel another outburst of indignation from the Armenian
judges coming on...

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1612311209197039898
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 20:06:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-

mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 03:05:39 GMT
References: <20010523181956.10307.00000087@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523230539.18644.00004919@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21994

In article <20010523181956.10307.00000087@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Neither the FCC or the VE process has tested sending for decades. Without a
>forced code-sending test, how are people learning to send?

From the evidence heard on-the-air over the past few years, my guess is that they're mainly making it up as they go along! I'm now going to type my signature line the same way an 84-year old Extra-class CW operator from Florida would have sent it:

73deLarry,K3LT

From -3213310661984007277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 20:06:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 03:05:41 GMT
References: <20010523182857.10307.00000090@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523230541.18644.00004922@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21993

In article <20010523182857.10307.00000090@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>He couldn't! My point exactly!
>
>Why would you propose that hams be tested in Latin to get a license?

Ed:

I wouldn't! I've never claimed that knowledge of Latin was a valid requirement for attaining an amateur radio license! But thanks for asking!

73 de Larry, K3LT
Vigilatum Eterna, Pax Eterna

From -2874808825130598683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 20:06:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 03:05:38 GMT
References: <20010523180133.10307.00000081@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523230538.18644.00004917@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21992

In article <20010523180133.10307.00000081@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Thank you for pointing that out, but I don't recall ever questioning
>>Cecil's honesty with regard to that particular fact.
>
>I must have missed it; who questioned yours?

Ed:

I dunno. Since I didn't indicate that I thought my honesty *was* being questioned, I couldn't say!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6536350006301669712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 20:06:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 03:05:37 GMT
References: <20010523174608.10307.00000075@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523230537.18644.00004916@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21995

In article <20010523174608.10307.00000075@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>For that matter, do you think a DSP-filtered code-decoding algo would beat me
>copying by ear in the usual 500 Hz bandwidth that hams typically use?

Ed:

Can this algorithm copy the following -- b () n d w () d () h and fill in the "holes" to produce the word "bandwidth?" I can, and I can do the same for virtually every word I know. So can you -- and you probably know a few more words than I do! I think you can copy a lot better than any DSP-filtered code-decoder! Remember -- the purpose of "copying" is to produce a readable message! A person deciphering a printout of the DSP-decoder may not be able to fill in the "holes" as well as someone who copied the message first hand! Remember, there may be a lot more than a 33-percent "hole factor" in a lot of words copied under poor condx!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2190894552252253782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 20:06:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!

lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 03:05:39 GMT
References: <20010523182323.10307.00000088@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523230539.18644.00004920@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21998

In article <20010523182323.10307.00000088@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>You've got it bassackwards again. 9 of 10 persons who first try to send
>>Morse code will sent all dits and seem to want to vary the spacing of
>>those dits to encode.
>
>Let's put it to the test. I can take 10 non-hams from the ARRL staff and
>give
>them a code key and ask them to alternate dits and dahs. I will record it to
>a
>.wav file and post it to a URL. We can let the very court of public opinion
>here address whether people can send dits and dahs without your showing them
>how to do it.

Ed:

Pick 10 non-hams who aren't on the ARRL staff -- that is, non-hams that
are much less likely to ever have heard Morse code, and go ahead with
your demonstration! Oh, what the heck, just to make it convenient for
you, use non-ham ARRL staffers if you must. But remember, there
must be no "coaching" of any kind. You give them the key, and step
back and watch what they do with it. You can provide the code chart,
and a simple, realistic message of 25 words. Have 'em send it any
way they can. We'll listen to the .wav and be the judge. OK? You've
got the tools and technology to make it happen, its a good idea, please
proceed!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2173681601430466576
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 20:06:34 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 03:05:38 GMT
References: <20010523181403.10307.00000085@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523230538.18644.00004918@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21996

In article <20010523181403.10307.00000085@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Yup, just like today, and I encourage all those who want HF priveleges to
>meet
>the requirements today instead of waiting it out. I think any additional
>changes to code-testing in the US will take years and there is a lot of fun
>to
>be had in the meantime.

Ed:

These people who've already waited until past middle age for code testing to be eliminated won't be perterbed by waiting another 3-5 years for the S25.5 code testing requirement to be dropped with the inevitable FCC axe given to U.S. code testing. It's a done deal, and couldn't possibly take any longer than that. Why make them suffer for a useless 5 WPM test? Let's get rid of it NOW!!! What if you and the ARRL were right about the technological advancement thing? What would be the point of putting it off, just to force some poor sukkas who aren't going to use CW anyway to pass that horrible, useless, 5 WPM test? The relatively small handful who would have become CW operators anyway aren't going to be put off by the 5 WPM test being eliminated. How's that for "empathy?"

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1947507730587792512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 20:06:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 03:05:37 GMT
References: <3B0B1F56.BD7362E6@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010523230537.18644.00004915@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21997

In article <3B0B1F56.BD7362E6@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> If you've never served as an NCO in the
>> Air Force, I wouldn't expect you to understand.
>
>I had a strange happening regarding the military. I graduated from
>Texas A&M ready to enter the Air Force as an officer and had already
>passed the Air Force physical. My draft board called and "requested"
>that I report for an Army physical. I told them I didn't have to
>because I had already passed the Air Force physical. The lady on
>the other end of the phone said, "When Uncle Sam tells you to report
>for an Army physical, boy, you had better report". So I drove all the
>way to Houston from Carrizo Springs, TX to take the Army physical.
>I was pi$$ed at the time and checked almost all the boxes where it
>asked, "Do you have or have you ever had ..." That resulted in a
>trip to the psychiatrist who rejected me because of migraine headaches.
>I was classified 1Y and decided that military life was not for me.
>I was one of the few people who could join the Air Force but could
>not join the Army.

Cecil:

Sooo -- you're saying you lied and cheated in order to avoid serving
your country where you were needed most at the time?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6047224237619751691
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 20:06:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 114
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 03:05:41 GMT
References: <20010523183721.10307.00000091@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010523230541.18644.00004921@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:21999

In article <20010523183721.10307.00000091@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>If the goal is to be based on mode and factors like band conditions come into
>play, then mandating one of the FEC digital modes would ensure maximum
>reliability.

Ed:

I'm with you there, but that still requires one to provide the electrical power
overhead to operate *both* a computer and a radio -- not to mention that it
requires that computer and its complicated, EMP-sensitive circuitry to have
survived whatever catastrophe has created the situation in question.

>>How does eliminating the ability for radio amateurs
>>to exploit one of the most practical, effective, efficient, and universal
>>modes at their disposal meet the "necessity" of emergency
>>communications?
>
>I do not think that we should eliminate such ability. Morse code and OOK CW
>should remain a legal amateur mode, IMHO.

Don't look now, Ed, but we're discussing the requirements for prospective
HF-privileged hams to be tested in Morse/CW operation at useful
proficiency levels. We're NOT discussing the "legality" of that, or any
other, mode. The Morse/CW mode will be "legal" as long as ham radio
exists. That is definitely NOT the issue, and to offer some sort of pointless
"guarantee" of that legality is NOT the solution to the problem of keeping
a large enough pool of trained CW operators within the ARS. I suggest
you save such cheap tactics as the above for someone stupid enough to
fall for them.

>>Or, a better question may be, how can this
>>necessity be taken care of by hams who are technically uninvolved,
>>unskilled in practical communications techniques, and basically
>>limited to what some "Elmer" has shown them about how their
>>off-the-shelf radio toy is used?
>
>I don't believe that the ham you describe represents more than a tiny
>percentage of hams.

I'd say it represents fully 25-percent of all "active" hams at the present time, which isn't "tiny" in my book! It's quite alarming, actually.

>And of those that do, their ability to provide
>communication in times of emergency will not be magically created if they
>learn Morse code.

Another brilliant example of your firm grasp on the painfully obvious.
Take a few "ARRL Attaboys' usually reserved for someone who
passes the Technician-class license test for yourself! You deserve
them!

>>How would one of them compare
>>to a well equipped, CW-skilled QRP operator who is capable of
>>setting up a low power station capable of indefinate operation in
>>spite of conditons in a local emergency site?
>
>So are you suggesting that the rules should be changed to require all hams to
>be well-equipped and run QRP and set up local emergency sites? Did you
>include that in your comments to the FCC?

Hmmm -- sounds good to me, perhaps I should have! Gimme one of
those "ARRL attaboys!"

>>However, to use <digital modes>, I have to provide 120 VAC electric
>>power to a computer, monitor, and multi-mode TNC as well as to my
>>RF gear.
>
>Most TNCs today operate from 12 VDC. Laptops operate nicely off of
>batteries.

Uh hunh. Yep. I see. Roight. And batteries have to be.....what's the
word I'm looking for? Ch.....Ch.....something that sounds like that....

>>Now, if I'm limited to a few amp-hours of DC current a day,
>>how am I going to run all that gear?
>
>If you really care about emergency communications, improve the power reserve
>of our batteries.

Actually, I have quite all I need right under the hood of my car. I also
have quite an array of gel cells I keep charged. DOOOOOH! NUTS!!!
I gave it away! I have to CHARGE my batteries! And I do that by
using ELECTRICITY from my wall outlets, which are connected to the
power grid -- you know, the one that's gonna be toast in our ass-u-&-me'd
emergency scenario! OK, here you go...time to roll out those large,
expensive, high-capacity solar panels, or rig a bicycle to an alternator,
or start collecting lumber to build a windmill, right??? Right...

>>Let's say I'm using a common,
>>highly ubiquitous laptop -- it still at least doubles my current load on
>>what may be a very thinly stretched power source. Using CW, the
>>method of encoding/decoding the message requires no more of a
>>power source than whatever my own diet consists of at the time.

>
>But you can gain 10-15 dB by using the digital mode, so you can cut your
>transmit power drain by at least 10...
>

Well, aside from the fact that I've already said that given enough power
to keep it going as long as I needed it, I'd be using those digital modes
anyway! If you missed that, I'd suggest a visit to LensCrafters.

>If the goal of the regulation to maintain Morse code testing is to enhance
>emergency communications, my point is that there are better regulations to
>accomplish that goal.

Yawn. Sure. As long as it doesn't require anyone to make the effort
to learn a useful communications skill, that is. Got it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1677052048972438019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 20:25:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com> <9eck32$9nk$1@208.206.142.73> <YGkO6.35101$t12.2715987@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ehod7$8nb$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 88
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Ga%O6.41711$4f7.3089282@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 03:25:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.57
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990674726 12.75.102.57 (Thu, 24 May 2001 03:25:26 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 03:25:26 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22000

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:9ehod7$8nb$1@news.hawaii.edu...

> Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >He is more than likely correct, Dick. Too many signs indicate that
> >publishing more than membership is supporting the ARRL.
>
> What signs? One issue of QST each year makes the League's financial
> records public knowledge. Did you notice something in the last
> published accounting that you consider a "sign"?
>
> Don't forget that publishing was one of the original stated purposes
> of the League in its 1915 charter:
>
> "Article 2. The purposes for which said corporation is formed are the
> following to wit: The promotion of amateur radio, the organization of
> amateur radio stations, the promotion and regulation of amateur radio
> inter-communication, and the relaying of messages from station to station,
> and the printing and publishing of documents, books and pamphlets
> necessary to any of the above purposes."
>
> Thank goodness they are a publishing house -- this amateur's amateur
> would have been quite lost without my shelf of League books and
> manuals. I began collecting League pubs back in 1962; even as a young-
> pup they helped me make sense out of the mysteries of the radio science.
>
> KH6O

Well, up pops the devil. Got no problem with being a publishing house; as you point out, they do a fine job of it.

I do not find the QST statements all that informative. Others may differ in their mileage. They are not in a league with local government for obfuscation but neither are they a fountain of good hot information. Statutory accounting data is not operating information in any business which is why a whole area of Management Accounting exists. The annual postal report tells me more.

One sign happened to appear in my mailbox today in fact. I bought the 2000 Handbook, an altogether admirable publication, something over a year ago precisely to begin to rebuild a library that had begun with the 1954 edition. This nice mailing, at non-profit rates, invited me to buy the 2001 version including as a lure what might have been a photocopy of the TOC of the edition I already own.

In and of itself, it is not a real stinko piece but as a Marketing effort it sucks swampwater thru a long thin straw. First off, it is designed to sell a Handbook to someone who owns a recent one which cannot possibly be super-lucrative. And only a Handbook, not even an invitation to the Antenna books, QRP, or anything else. Nada, nichts, zilch. So I am not impressed with the marketing of pubs nearly so much as I am impressed with the pubs.

What I find most interesting however are first, the merging of conventions with hamfests including Dayton, and, second, the simple fact that since I processed the address change to Omaha from Wausau WI I have received several Hamfest flyers, stuff from AES and Burkhardt, and a bit from two of the local clubs. But in over two years I have yet to receive a blessed thing which in any way invites me to join the ARRL -- like I am in a Nixie File somewhere. (probably deservedly).

Based on not much more than a well-trained gut from a few years of doing direct mail marketing, as well as management accounting, I see an organization that is in preservation mode with respect to membership while doing a less than phenomenal job of pub-pushing. But it is not that it is done poorly but that it is done at all while leaving membership alone. It would seem that membership is where it begins for no better reason than QST advertising; the contribution margin should be higher there one would hope plus a relatively cheap marketing channel for the pubs. So the gut concludes that membership is a problem that has not been addressed successfully.

For the record, I divide the League's political (membership response) from its Technical, Publishing, and Services (VE, Bureaus, etc.) The latter are first rate, the former FUBAR. To some extent, previous atrocities are coming out of the closet in battalion strength, the biggest one allowing (never stated explicitly but allowed to grow) the League to develop expectations among members and non-members of influence in Washington that had no hope of satisfying anyone let alone everyone. But the strengths and benefits of an organization need marketing and if I had any criticism -- and being a non member I am well aware that as such I have no right to an opinion at all -- it would be the lack of a coherent marketing philosophy.

The reality is that members vote with their Directors, non-members vote with their wallets.

Dick N�BK

```
From 4484047189215897846
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 21:17:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 24 May 2001 04:17:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9ei21e$6b6$0@208.207.71.149>
References: <9egii0$sim$0@208.207.71.173> <20010523174811.10307.00000076@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.149
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22001



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Atention Kim and HMN:  It is well established that leopards cannot
> >change their spots with whitewash. End of story.
>
> I can speak for myself and say categorically that I have gone through some
> rather incredible changes over the course of my lifetime.  Those that can say
> the same will probably disagree with you.


 You and all other respondents missed the point - the whitewash.


From -2174847505629091085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 21:20:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 24 May 2001 04:20:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9ei26r$6b6$1@208.207.71.149>
References: <3B0A7C07.4F3F7F0D@IEEE.org> <20010523180436.10307.00000082@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.149
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22002



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: W6RCecilA Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Please explain why someone who doesn't appreciate the mode should
```

> >be forced to use it.
>
> If the best pro-code argument is that no one will appreciate the mode unless
> they are forced to use it, I wonder if they are creating a self-fulfilling
> prophecy...

No, Ed, it is describing one.

From -1431879369042022683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 21:29:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 24 May 2001 04:29:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9ei2mq$amf$0@208.207.71.149>
References: <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <20010523181832.10307.00000086@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.149
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22003

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > I'd like to see either of you clowns try to copy CW at *any* speed
> >being sent by someone who had never before keyed a rig. It would be
> >unrecognizale as Morse code regardless of speed.
>
> Let's put it to the test. I will ask my wife to key my rig one evening on 20
> meters and then again on 40 meters. We will see how many people can copy what
> she sends. You game?

Are you suggesting that your wife has never attempted to send CW? I
believe you've again missed the point. Persons who have never began to
learn the code most always instinctively send all dits, and try to space
them out, and it sounds like an old wire telegraph did -   dit

ditditdit ditdit dit, etc

So the teacher has to instruct them to make a dah last three times as long as a dit and so on. Usually they pick it up pretty fast. But if one sat down to send an emergency messagw with a lookup table prior to any code training the odds are long that he wouldn't be able to send anything recognizable as Morse code.

```
From 4266544457307784550
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 21:38:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0C8221.BCD1D303@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B0C1167.AABFE074@earthlink.net> <20010523174608.10307.00000075@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 71
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 04:38:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990679083 63.17.223.27 (Wed, 23 May 2001
21:38:03 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 21:38:03 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 21:36:19 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22004
```

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >'ll go you one better: I'll bet that a large number of current high school
> grads can't
> >do simple algebra problems without 'em.
>
> I'll take that bet. Lobster? :-)

Your lobster to my venison.

> I will check with a few high-school teachers in the area and see if we can

> administer:
>
> 2x=21 solve for X.

...but not on something THAT simple!

> I bet most pass. I will select a relatively non-affluent suburb school; I
> think we can all agree that many of the city schools have problems of their own
> making passing kids from grade to grade without their having learned the
> material.

The same problems exist in rural areas and small towns.

> Want to go for the shrimp-cocktail appetizer on a group of 10 6th-grade grads?

Sure, after we define the problems and the term "large number". What
percentage of the kids would have to choke on the test?

> I will go for the dessert on a group of recent Tech licencees.

I think you'd do better with the 6th graders--really.

> >I've found many who can't even
> >make change without a cash register to tell them what the customer is to
> >receive in change.
>
> As far as I know, they are still teaching Algebra in high school. I don't think
> they are teaching change making any longer.

I believe schools still teach business math courses.

> >The point was that anyone using a computer to send and receive morse
> >without bothering to actually learn morse is depriving himself of half
> >of the pleasure of using the mode--and the computer won't be able to
> >perform as well as his ears and brain would.
>
> Absolutely agreed on the first part -- for me, Morse code operation is part of
> my Amateur Radio experience.
>
> As to the second part, I can guarantee a computer will do better than I at 60
> wpm. I think I can outdo it in noise, until I give up and stop copying. But I
> am also convinced that if decoding Morse code with a computer were important to
> the rest of the world, there would be code-decoding alogorithms that would beat
> me hands down.

I believe that most commercially available units feature algorithms
which came from some of the better mathmatical minds. The units have
been available for some decades now. One would think that many, many
improvements and tweaks would have been made to the software by now.
Still, none of the units does a very good job in the presence of the
noise or QRM which we typically encounter on HF.

> For that matter, do you think a DSP-filtered code-decoding algo would beat me

> copying by ear in the usual 500 Hz bandwidth that hams typically use?

Nope.

Dave K8MN

```
From 2283532748448832951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 21:43:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0C837A.15335B6F@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B0C12A6.D2A902A0@earthlink.net> <20010523184243.10307.00000094@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 04:43:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990679427 63.17.223.27 (Wed, 23 May 2001
21:43:47 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 21:43:47 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 21:42:04 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22005
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >> I did that when they were originally considering the name change.
>
> >I guess my director convinced yours and some others that it was a bad
> >idea. Better luck next time.
>
> I think the opposition to any major name change was pretty strong. I proposed
> "Amateur Radio Relay League," to Tom, but I didn't get my way. Hey, does that
> mean I should hate the League now? :-)

Now THAT would be a conflict of interests. I know I wouldn't cancel my
life membership over such an issue. I'd rather stick around and be part

of the loyal opposition ;)

Dave K8MN

From 708444374153024129
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 21:46:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0C8409.4B76C409@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87>
<acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com> <uHDuWc04AHA.287@cpmsnbbsa09>
<3B0BC106.D116CD87@IEEE.org> <3B0C1355.E6F2760C@earthlink.net>
<3B0C3FF1.296579A3@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 04:46:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990679578 63.17.223.27 (Wed, 23 May 2001
21:46:18 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 21:46:18 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 21:44:27 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22006

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > W6RCecilA wrote:
> > >
> > > KB2RES wrote:
> > > > IT WILL ALL BE CB SOON
> > >
> > > I don't believe that will happen, but if it does happen, it will be because
> > > the final outcome was the more desirable product. Think about it.
> > >
> > Sort of like network, cable and satellite TV, huh?
> > It would seem that even the most noble and altruistic endeavors

> > eventually get sucked down into the slime.
>
> Like it or not, Dave, it's supply and demand.

I guess it sort of depends upon one's definition of "desireable". Oh
hell, I should never mention the word "definition" in a response to you.

Dave K8MN

From 6907878549587657704
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5d73ed477efe1d60
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,5d73ed477efe1d60
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 21:47:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0C846C.9F228DB5@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Allison, girl of perfection teen48.jpg 9769 [1/2]
References: <BdSO6.515$LU3.2401728@news3.mia> <3B0C13CB.2FA4DA78@earthlink.net>
<9ehbd30ai7@enews2.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 04:47:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990679676 63.17.223.27 (Wed, 23 May 2001
21:47:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 21:47:56 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 21:46:06 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22007
rec.radio.amateur.space:2608

T. L. Bryant wrote:
>
> In article <3B0C13CB.2FA4DA78@earthlink.net>, k8mn@earthlink.net says...
> >
> >allison@allison19.REMOVE.com wrote:
> >>
> >> czcbojqourrqerrejbylmjkfhbitwpsknftbpj
> >
> >Allison,

> >
> >Must be cryptography. Perhaps there's a message in there somewhere.
> >What's your call?
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> Rather than callsign, I would ask how long "Allison" has been a law enforcement
> officer.

What tipped you off--her inability to put together a sentence?

Dave K8MN

From 8447419876507708422
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 21:52:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 24 May 2001 04:52:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9ei42n$d3n$0@208.207.71.149>
References: <3B0A8556.92D2D415@IEEE.org> <20010523215805.18644.00004903@nso-fc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.149
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22008

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B0A8556.92D2D415@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >
> >"no-code - a directive not to alert a hospital team to perform emergency
> >resuscitation on a particular patient."
> >
> >No mention of your definition, Larry. You apparently didn't do an adequate
> >job of defining it.
>
> Cecil:

>
> I see. Now, what does it say for "no-coder?" That's the term I defined,
> not "no-code" as in a DNR (medical slang for "Do Not Resuscitate)
> or "No-code Tech."

So the League is doing it's best to resuscitate no-code Techs.

```
From 8679099247851816657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 22:20:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 24 May 2001 05:20:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 121
Message-ID: <9ei5n9$i4a$0@208.207.71.149>
References: <20010523183721.10307.00000091@ng-cu1.aol.com>
<20010523230541.18644.00004921@nso-fc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.149
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22009
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20010523183721.10307.00000091@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >
> >If the goal is to be based on mode and factors like band conditions come into
> >play, then mandating one of the FEC digital modes would ensure maximum
> >reliability.
>
> Ed:
>
> I'm with you there, but that still requires one to provide the electrical power
> overhead to operate *both* a computer and a radio -- not to mention that it
> requires that computer and its complicated, EMP-sensitive circuitry to have
> survived whatever catastrophe has created the situation in question.
>
> >>How does eliminating the ability for radio amateurs

> >>to exploit one of the most practical, effective, efficient, and universal
> >>modes at their disposal meet the "necessity" of emergency
> >>communications?
> >
> >I do not think that we should eliminate such ability. Morse code and OOK CW
> >should remain a legal amateur mode, IMHO.
>
> Don't look now, Ed, but we're discussing the requirements for prospective
> HF-privileged hams to be tested in Morse/CW operation at useful
> proficiency levels. We're NOT discussing the "legality" of that, or any
> other, mode. The Morse/CW mode will be "legal" as long as ham radio
> exists. That is definitely NOT the issue, and to offer some sort of pointless
> "guarantee" of that legality is NOT the solution to the problem of keeping
> a large enough pool of trained CW operators within the ARS. I suggest
> you save such cheap tactics as the above for someone stupid enough to
> fall for them.
>
> >>Or, a better question may be, how can this
> >>necessity be taken care of by hams who are technically uninvolved,
> >>unskilled in practical communications techniques, and basically
> >>limited to what some "Elmer" has shown them about how their
> >>off-the-shelf radio toy is used?
> >
> >I don't believe that the ham you describe represents more than a tiny
> >percentage of hams.
>
> I'd say it represents fully 25-percent of all "active" hams at the
> present time, which isn't "tiny" in my book! It's quite alarming, actually.
>
> >And of those that do, their ability to provide
> >communication in times of emergency will not be magically created if they
> >learn Morse code.
>
> Another brilliant example of your firm grasp on the painfully obvious.
> Take a few "ARRL Attaboys' usually reserved for someone who
> passes the Technician-class license test for yourself! You deserve
> them!
>
> >>How would one of them compare
> >>to a well equipped, CW-skilled QRP operator who is capable of
> >>setting up a low power station capable of indefinate operation in
> >>spite of conditons in a local emergency site?
> >
> >So are you suggesting that the rules should be changed to require all hams to
> >be well-equipped and run QRP and set up local emergency sites? Did you
> >include that in your comments to the FCC?
>
> Hmmm -- sounds good to me, perhaps I should have! Gimme one of
> those "ARRL attaboys!"
>
> >>However, to use <digital modes>, I have to provide 120 VAC electric
> >>power to a computer, monitor, and multi-mode TNC as well as to my
> >>RF gear.

> >
> >Most TNCs today operate from 12 VDC. Laptops operate nicely off of
> >batteries.
>
> Uh hunh. Yep. I see. Roight. And batteries have to be.....what's the
> word I'm looking for? Ch.....Ch.....something that sounds like that....
>
> >>Now, if I'm limited to a few amp-hours of DC current a day,
> >>how am I going to run all that gear?
> >
> >If you really care about emergency communications, improve the power reserve
> >of our batteries.
>
> Actually, I have quite all I need right under the hood of my car. I also
> have quite an array of gel cells I keep charged. DOOOOOH! NUTS!!!
> I gave it away! I have to CHARGE my batteries! And I do that by
> using ELECTRICITY from my wall outlets, which are connected to the
> power grid -- you know, the one that's gonna be toast in our ass-u-&-me'd
> emergency scenario! OK, here you go...time to roll out those large,
> expensive, high-capacity solar panels, or rig a bicycle to an alternator,
> or start collecting lumber to build a windmill, right??? Right...
>
> >>Let's say I'm using a common,
> >>highly ubiquitous laptop -- it still at least doubles my current load on
> >>what may be a very thinly stretched power source. Using CW, the
> >>method of encoding/decoding the message requires no more of a
> >>power source than whatever my own diet consists of at the time.
> >
> >But you can gain 10-15 dB by using the digital mode, so you can cut your
> >transmit power drain by at least 10...
> >
>
> Well, aside from the fact that I've already said that given enough power
> to keep it going as long as I needed it, I'd be using those digital modes
> anyway! If you missed that, I'd suggest a visit to LensCrafters.
>
> >If the goal of the regulation to maintain Morse code testing is to enhance
> >emergency communications, my point is that there are better regulations to
> >accomplish that goal.
>
> Yawn. Sure. As long as it doesn't require anyone to make the effort
> to learn a useful communications skill, that is. Got it.
>

Just remember, Larry. One "Awshit" neutralizes 1,000 "Attaboys" and you
have to start all over.

From 1666437711456099187
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-23 22:22:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 24 May 2001 05:22:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9ei5r5$i4a$1@208.207.71.149>
References: <3B0B1F56.BD7362E6@IEEE.org> <20010523230537.18644.00004915@nso-fc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.149
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22010

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B0B1F56.BD7362E6@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> If you've never served as an NCO in the
> >> Air Force, I wouldn't expect you to understand.
> >
> >I had a strange happening regarding the military. I graduated from
> >Texas A&M ready to enter the Air Force as an officer and had already
> >passed the Air Force physical. My draft board called and "requested"
> >that I report for an Army physical. I told them I didn't have to
> >because I had already passed the Air Force physical. The lady on
> >the other end of the phone said, "When Uncle Sam tells you to report
> >for an Army physical, boy, you had better report". So I drove all the
> >way to Houston from Carrizo Springs, TX to take the Army physical.
> >I was pi$$ed at the time and checked almost all the boxes where it
> >asked, "Do you have or have you ever had ..." That resulted in a
> >trip to the psychiatrist who rejected me because of migraine headaches.
> >I was classified 1Y and decided that military life was not for me.
> >I was one of the few people who could join the Air Force but could
> >not join the Army.
>
> Cecil:
>
> Sooo -- you're saying you lied and cheated in order to avoid serving
> your country where you were needed most at the time?

Seems I recall the last time he told it he was 4F because of flat feet!

From -6139901150661535295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 04:27:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <20010523181832.10307.00000086@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9ei2mq$amf$0@208.207.71.149>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 40
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ee6P6.16351$9D5.1443787@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 11:27:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.230.202
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990703626 209.245.230.202 (Thu, 24 May 2001 04:27:06 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 04:27:06 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 04:25:17 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22011

The Brillo Pad "S - O - S" commercial was a brilliant (no pun intended) one. In that, all the pots and pans are thumping out SOS. Even they have length in there. Since childhood and Scouts I've known that CW was dits (short) and dahs (long). One would have to live in a cave not to have "heard that somewhere."

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9ei2mq$amf$0@208.207.71.149...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > > I'd like to see either of you clowns try to copy CW at *any* speed
> > >being sent by someone who had never before keyed a rig. It would be

```
> > >unrecognizale as Morse code regardless of speed.
> >
> > Let's put it to the test.  I will ask my wife to key my rig one evening
on 20
> > meters and then again on 40 meters.  We will see how many people can
copy what
> > she sends.  You game?
>
>
>  Are you suggesting that your wife has never attempted to send CW? I
> believe you've again missed the point. Persons who have never began to
> learn the code most always instinctively send all dits, and try to space
> them out, and it sounds like an old wire telegraph did -   dit
> ditditdit  ditdit  dit, etc
>
> So the teacher has to instruct them to make a dah last three times as
> long as a dit  and so on. Usually they pick it up pretty fast. But if
> one sat down to send an emergency messagw with a lookup table prior to
> any code training the odds are long that he wouldn't be able to send
> anything recognizable as Morse code.
```

```
From -5071843534745055871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,5d73ed477efe1d60
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5d73ed477efe1d60
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 05:21:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Allison, girl of perfection   teen48.jpg  9769   [1/2]
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 06:37:48 -0500
Organization: http://extra.newsguy.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9eirn502fa2@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <BdSO6.515$LU3.2401728@news3.mia> <3B0C13CB.2FA4DA78@earthlink.net>
<9ehbd30ai7@enews2.newsguy.com> <3B0C846C.9F228DB5@earthlink.net>
Reply-To: "Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-761.newsdawg.com
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22012
rec.radio.amateur.space:2611
```

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3B0C846C.9F228DB5@earthlink.net...
> >
> > Rather than callsign, I would ask how long "Allison" has been a law
enforcement
> > officer.
>
> What tipped you off--her inability to put together a sentence?

That, and the high incidence of gender confusion prevalent among female law enforcement personnel would lead me to believe that maybe Allison is not a girl of perfection after all.

(Sigh) Oh, well.. Guess I won't have to divorce my wife and abandon my children now, after all.

Allison was just a cruel dream of perfection that ended up being a uni-brow lesbian police officer with a butch hair cut and a belligerent attitude, about as appealing, intelligent and sexy as a blob of dog waste squishing up between your toes on a hot afternoon.. A mental, social, and sexual cripple with a face like a bucket of worms.

Next!

Charles, N5PVL

From -5288346695908065711
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 06:25:15 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
<UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com>
<ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

```
<cPSO6.5203$r4.320961@www.newsranger.com>
<z%ZO6.27359$BN6.1288119@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <kY7P6.6239$r4.385341@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 09:24:32 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 13:24:32 GMT
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news.bnb-
lp.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22013

On Thu, 24 May 2001 02:05:19 GMT, Kim-W5TIT says:

> Couldn't some sacrifice of band be made for MURS, or for
> FRS, or whatever?

In a word, "NO".

(I expected your agenda would eventually surface.)

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.


From 8710903925075972759
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 06:39:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 13:38:28 GMT
References: <OId6GC94AHA.295@cpmsnbbsa09>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Message-ID: <20010524093828.29066.00001140@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22014

>Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
```

>From: "KB2RES" KB2RES@email.msn.com
>Date: 5/23/01 4:30 PM Central Daylight Time

>WE need to keep the hobby clean , promote activities that
>keep the hobby in the publics best eye.

Normally I'd agree wholeheartedly, but WHAT is "the publics best eye" in a nation that not only elected a womanizing liar as president, but RE-elect him and then fail to impeach him when all of the evidence said "GUILTY AS CHARGED"...?!?!

It's hard to determine where the morality of this nation lays, anymore, when it's easier to get a pound of pot than a loaf of bread for people who are hungry.

>maybe 20 wpm is not the answer but any one can do 5 wpm it
>keeps some kind of standard and also as said will
>most always get through when conditions are bad.

The code test itself will not keep any "standard". Our willingness to accept responsibility for our own actions, or willingness to get in someone's face over thiers is. (peer pressure)

And don't try to argue facts with THIS crowd when it comes to the effectiveness of CW. It IS a fact and it DOES get through when MOST other modes won't, but you will hear 1000 excuses as to why it doesn't from people who HAVE to believe it won't in order to substantiate thier "position".

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 3489795458629000245
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 06:42:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 13:41:37 GMT
References: <20010523182618.10307.00000089@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010524094137.29066.00001141@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22015

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 5/23/01 5:26 PM Central Daylight Time

>Of all the lives saved by ham radio, what percentage have been saved >by Morse code communication?

Just one is enough.   CPR is only about 23% effective, but I use it on a weekly basis anyway.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -2313414322970757901
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 07:23:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <OId6GC94AHA.295@cpmsnbbsa09> <20010524093828.29066.00001140@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <IO8P6.6331$r4.390979@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 10:22:32 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 14:22:32 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22016

On 24 May 2001 13:38:28 GMT, Steve Robeson LPN says:

> It's hard to determine where the morality of this nation lays, anymore,
> when it's easier to get a pound of pot than a loaf of bread for people who are
> hungry.

Really! Wow!

I haven't priced pot, but around here bread is about $1.89/loaf in the supermarket, or $0.58 in the "2-day-old Outlet" store.

You're finding a pound of pot at prices lower than that? Maybe you use enough so that you can buy it wholesale.

73, Hans, K0HB

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From -6188945848559999326
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e9b1b08ba13a92f9
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:39:00 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Fart
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 21:33:47 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0d7dcd.403906620@news2.dvol.com>
References: <1681.989871563295@iw1.mailusenet.com> <slrn9gl5v6.h2.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net> <3B0B1D06.E3305CDB@ix.netcom.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.136
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.136
X-Trace: 24 May 2001 16:29:24 -0500, 64.24.240.136
Lines: 8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22067

On Tue, 22 May 2001 21:14:30 -0500, Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>What about a pissing contest?   :-)
>
You new around here or what??
>

From -3146700142240760141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 19:21:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!skynet.be!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 02:21:06 GMT
References: <3b0c007c.306321968@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010524222106.17467.00001092@ng-fk1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22068

>The end of your story Good Buddy is the bottom edge of the CB band,
>the rest of us do real ham radio down the spectrum.

Funny thing is that I haven't heard the term "good buddy" for many many years...up until know that is...er, from YOU. BTW, when I was active on CB, I operated pretty much exclusively on sideband. "Good buddy" was a term used by those on AM...but you knew that already because you would never speak out on a subject that you weren't 100% up to date on, right?

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

Or should I say 3's & 8's, or perhaps "all the good numbers to ya," or how about 10-4 "good buddy." Thanks for the chuckle, you just eased a hard day at the office.:-D

From -6442761479258896505
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 19:50:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 02:50:06 GMT
References: <20010524203854.15631.00000179@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

```
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010524225006.05666.00004215@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22069

In article <20010524203854.15631.00000179@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>> But remember, there must be no coaching" of any kind.
>
>If we are discussing this in the context of eliminating the code tests, let's
>give them a question pool of 10 questions about Morse encoding (dit/dah
>elements, etc.)  Sound fair enough for the context of the relevance of this
>to code testing?

Ed:

Nope.  The idea is to see if they can send and receive intelligible
messages using Morse Code, with no previous training or experience.
The 10 question test is irrelevant.  We're testing actual, practical,
operational capability, not theoretical knowledge.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -3954847657061433095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 19:50:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 02:50:05 GMT
References: <20010524202917.15631.00000177@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010524225005.05666.00004213@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22070

In article <20010524202917.15631.00000177@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>     This guy needs to remember where his paycheck comes from.  If we want
>to
```

```
>>ask the FCC to consider something, it's our right.
>
>Sure, like we asked the FCC to do something about all the people who were
>abusing the code-disability-waiver process, eh?

Ed:

Yeah, that's it!  We all should have asked them to simply eliminate
that stupid, politically-correct, and totally lame waiver program, and
just gone back to a reality-based licensing system such as that
which existed before the late JY1 stuck his nose into our domestic
tent.  I don't know about you, but all of the truly "disabled" hams I
know are code-proficient, code-loving, and CW using hams who
fully support the concept of code testing under pre-Restructuring
guidelines.  The waiver system existed mainly to serve lying,
cheating, criminally dishonest people seeking a way around the
code testing requirement any way they could.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 2451290530163126232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 19:50:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 02:50:06 GMT
References: <9ehqu4$aa9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010524225006.05666.00004214@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22071

In article <9ehqu4$aa9$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
writes:

>
>A Letter From 50 Years Ago:
>  "For more than fifty years, the National Rifle Association
>has been devoted to the promotion of rifle marksmanship
>as a part of American preparedness. Your organization
>provided an important contribution to the readiness of
>America before World War I. In that war, many instructors
```

```
>who were members of the National Rifle Association, brought
>to the service talents and knowledge which were vital to the
>proper training of a citizen army.
>  It is my conviction that America's heart is strong, that
>America's soul is sound, and that America's spirit is equal
>to the challenge of this dangerous decade. The activities
>and aims of your association, which contribute to the health,
>the citizenship and the preparedness of America, strengthen
>this conviction."
>             --Omar N. Bradley
>               General of the Army
>               Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff

I wonder if any of the Politically Correct 4-star officers running our
military today would ever make such a public statement in support
of the NRA?  IMHO, any that wouldn't should be demoted to
second lieutenant and placed in permanent charge of the latrine-
cleaning detail!  What with all the attention being given to the
issues of homosexuals in the military, women in combat units,
and "feel good" black berets for anyone with a pulse, I'm not at
all very confident in the abilities of our current military leaders.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -5046619817831336539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 19:50:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 02:50:07 GMT
References: <20010524205655.15631.00000187@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010524225007.05666.00004216@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22072

In article <20010524205655.15631.00000187@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>And yes, if desired, the computer could probably determine that, in most
>cases,
>there is only one logical word that could be embedded in a word containing
```

>errors. Do you think that modern cryptography-decoding software algos can
>beat
>most, if not all, humans?

Ed:

OK, fine, I'll admit that your "DSP-filtered algo" can hear weak signals better and decode them better than you can. Great. You win that round. Now, back to reality, to our theoretical emergency situation, and the plain fact that a typical ham, suddenly thrust into the position of having to provide effective emergency communications for a remote enclave of survivors, must make do with what he has to get a message thru. He doesn't have DSP-filtered algos or cryptologic *anything* to work with, and precious little DC current to run the requisite computers on to make it work. He does have a good basic low power or QRP transceiver, a key, a microphone, dipole antennae, and feedlines. Let's say the transceiver is an SGC 2020. Which mode does he use to make MOST effective use of his available power, band conditions, etc? Let's assume the guy in question is you, with your present CW skills. Which do you grab for first? The key or the mike? I've already made up my mind what your answer is going to be, but play along with me anyway. If I guess wrong, I'll tell you, and I'll owe you a second lobster dinner.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2690905132940527430
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 19:51:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-
sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 25 May 2001 02:11:07 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9ekevr01tb5@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b0afd14.239925822@news2.dvol.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: p-471.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22073

In article <3b0afd14.239925822@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com says...

>UPS got it. The aeronautical services will probably get the rest. You
>said: "This is exactly the kind of attitude that will kill off amateur
>radio."   I say what would refarming 222-225 do with anybody's
>"attitude"?
>>
>w3rv

According to the comments of one FCC official back in the late 1980s, hams attitudes regarding code testing had quite a lot to do with it.

From 6275943707771855970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 20:04:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdv5450.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net> <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com> <3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net> <%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73> <9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b0afd14.239925822@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 21
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 03:05:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 990759910 63.178.69.156 (Fri, 25 May 2001 03:05:10 GMT)

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 03:05:10 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22074

On Wed, 23 May 2001 00:07:05 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

>>And to answer your query, what do you think happened to 220 to
>>222 Mhz?
>>
>UPS got it.

Big Wrong!!

UPS never even applied for it, because the equipment manufacturer could never make good on its promise to make ACSB transceivers that worked. UPS gave up and went to the Motorola 800 MHz system that they use today.

There have been several systems licensed to others by now, but none on the grand scheme that we were told UPS had in mind.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -3253785087373904678
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 20:04:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdv6r61.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References: <ejeP6.6903$r4.437236@www.newsranger.com>
<20010524201415.01428.00001314@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Lines: 50
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 03:05:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 990759911 63.178.69.156 (Fri, 25 May 2001 03:05:11 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 03:05:11 GMT

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22075

On 25 May 2001 00:14:15 GMT, Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

>>Cross said hams would not want him to recommend to the FCC what >their
>operating privileges should be, but he'll do it if he has to.

>>"If you force me to recommend a band plan to be imposed on you, I >can and
>will. I assure you, you will not like it, and it will not meet your >needs."

>   Sounds to me like a certain civil servant needs a dose of humility
>injected ASAP.

You spelled that wrong. It's spelled "s-u-p-p-o-r-t".

>We need the concerned, proactive efforts of Mr. Hollingsworth,
>NOT some smart alec with a Napoleonic complex.

There is, as I found out the hard way, a world of difference
between "Rules and Policy" ("legislative") and "Enforcement"
("executive").

Bill's job is the former. Riley's job is the latter. They are not
interchangeable, nor should they be.

>   This guy needs to remember where his paycheck comes from.

It comes from the same place that YOUR paycheck came from when you
were on active duty, Gunny.

Get out of his face and let him do the job that the People of the
United States appointed him to do - amateur radio rules and policy
specialist.

>If we want to ask the FCC to consider something, it's our right.

And it's his RESPONSIBILITY to analyze that request and recommend
agreement, disagreement, or modification, and enactment by the
Five Wise Folks only if appropriate.

>If he feels put-upon by that, then he needs to be passing french fries
>at McDonalds, NOT working for us at the FCC.

I may not always agree with Bill's positions, but he's telling it
as it is, and I'm with that 100%.

Been there, done that.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

```
From 3062833306486978734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 20:19:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
<3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com>
<B_ZN6.3695$BN6.401479@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9ea7cj$3h4$0@208.207.71.141>
<lShO6.19669$BN6.666038@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b0a7e30.207441684@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 42
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <HakP6.30793$BN6.1609711@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 03:19:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.128.224
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990760743 209.246.128.224 (Thu, 24 May
2001 20:19:03 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 20:19:03 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 20:17:18 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22076

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b0a7e30.207441684@news2.dvol.com...
> On Mon, 21 May 2001 23:51:45 GMT, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote:
>
> >
> > I can tell you that having REACT aboard as a partner in
> >emergency communications here in the Dallas area is a grand idea.  Their
> >vans and service vehicles far exceed anything ham radio has in service
here,
> >and could be of great value in a disaster/emergency.
```

> >
> That's fine and commendable. The few hams who get involved in
> emergency comms can and do join REACT teams and intergrate ham comms
> into the mix on to their heart's content. Whatever works is fine.
> That's not the point. The point is that this lonsome example does not
> provide any even remote justification for the ARRL to expand it's
> charter and move into the other hobby radio services on some grand
> scale and cover the rest of the other services' much broader
> activities like it does for ham radio.
> >
> w3rv
>

You're absolutely right, in my opinion. I don't think an isolated MOU signed between the ARRL and REACT is certainly not justification for the ARRL to expand its charter. However, I think the entire concept is worthy of our attention, dialogue and debate on the matter. I don't think anyone is proposing the ARRL should currently become the organization we are debating. I think there are pros and cons we have all been discussing and it's been a very interesting dialogue so far.

Oh, did you happen to look at the website I provided in some post? If I recall correctly, the entire leadership of the local REACT organization is hams. Check it out: http://www.dallasreact.org/brdofc.php3. I am not a member of the REACT organization here, nor do I know any of the BoD or Officers. But, I find it interesting and wonder why all them decided to create an organization "outside" the traditional lines of ham radio, which are ARES and RACES.

Kim W5TIT

From 4991472815664438998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 20:21:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 25 May 2001 02:32:44 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9ekg8c01vqd@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

```
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>
<9ef0as0267g@enews3.newsguy.com> <9ef946$blt$2@208.206.142.80>
<9eh5g801vdr@enews3.newsguy.com> <3b0c9dee.346618518@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-771.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22077

In article <3b0c9dee.346618518@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com says...

>>that's raged for the past ten years. This is why amateurs lost the lower
>>portion or the 220 band to the land mobile service. (According to an
interview
>>with one FCC official)
>>
>Flat out horseshit. UPS sucessfully made the point that their access
>to 220-220 would better serve the public interest than would the few
>hams who used that chunk of spectrum space. And they were right and so
>was the FCC. What the hell could code tests possibly have to do with
>spectrum allocation changes on any even remotely logical basis?
>>
>Clinton was a fed, did you believe everything he said too?

No, and I never will either. However, the official made some comments that I
happen to agree with.



>>into your little "clique" of the spectrum?
>>
>And just what have YOU done on the bands which is any different and/or
>"better"? No? Then beseme culo por favor.

Please leave your sexual fantasies out of the discussion.







From -2043116487202938524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 20:23:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 25 May 2001 02:39:16 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9ekgkk11vqd@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3B09D70E.DC4FBD94@earthlink.net> <9eeao9463b@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3B0B27A4.48468C93@earthlink.net> <9eh2i512u02@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b0ca6a9.348850029@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-865.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22078

In article <3b0ca6a9.348850029@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com says...
>
>On 23 May 2001 19:20:37 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
>wrote:
>>
>>Fine. The next time someone asks me about amateur radio, I'll refer them to
>>this and the *.misc newsgroup so that they can find out about all of the
>>wonderful people that pervade it.
>>
>That oughta have about the same impact all the rest of your irrational
>rants have had on anybody.
>>
>w3rv

Maybe not, but that's only as far as the regular participants of this newsgroup
are concerned.



From -5349222467541000423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 20:23:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
```

```
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
<UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com>
<ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<cPSO6.5203$r4.320961@www.newsranger.com> <3b0c0f04.310040487@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <1fkP6.30802$BN6.1610868@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 03:23:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.128.224
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990761021 209.246.128.224 (Thu, 24 May
2001 20:23:41 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 20:23:41 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 20:21:57 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22079

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b0c0f04.310040487@news2.dvol.com...
> On Wed, 23 May 2001 17:54:16 GMT, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:
> >
> >I'd have no problem with a "Council of Personal Radio Groups" where ARRL
had a
> >seat alongside representatives of other radio groups,
> >
> Now THAT is a good  idea Hans: Might even be a great idea if it's
> handled right. Some decent concept or another eventually had to pop to
> the surface of this toxic septic tank which should be explored
> further.
> >
> >73, Hans, K0HB
> >--
> w3rv
>

See what a great benefit dialogue can be?  It would be that much more
enjoyable without your metaphors, but if you feel the need...
```

Kim W5TIT

```
From -1007456654066788309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 20:29:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com>
<3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net>
<3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com> <3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
<UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com>
<ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<cPSO6.5203$r4.320961@www.newsranger.com>
<z%ZO6.27359$BN6.1288119@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<kY7P6.6239$r4.385341@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <IkkP6.30809$BN6.1611197@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 03:29:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.128.224
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990761384 209.246.128.224 (Thu, 24 May
2001 20:29:44 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 20:29:44 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 20:27:59 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22080
```

"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:kY7P6.6239$r4.385341@www.newsranger.com...
> On Thu, 24 May 2001 02:05:19 GMT, Kim-W5TIT says:
>
> > Couldn't some sacrifice of band be made for MURS, or for
> > FRS, or whatever?
>
> In a word, "NO".
>
> (I expected your agenda would eventually surface.)
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> --
>   Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.
>

I know from nothing of an "agenda", Hans. However, don't you agree that as time goes on, our radio spectrum will become that much more at risk? I can't help but think of the storyline " FCC to Amateurs: Detailed Regulation "Not in the Picture" " on the ARRL web right now: http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/05/24/4/?nc=1.

As time goes on, much as we may not want to let go of any of the spectrum, would we rather have it go to commercial interests? Or, would we rather have it go to other people who have a similar interest to ours--radio communications? Heck, I'd hate to give up any of our spectrum, but to think it just always going to be there is unrealistic I think.

I'm not a conspiracist, Hans, so I cannot speak to or for your "agenda" concept. I am simply interested in dialogue.

Kim W5TIT

```
From 5284246303653296671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 20:31:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9e9v7v01ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <20010524200801.02476.00001118@ng-
fm1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
```

```
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <MmkP6.30812$BN6.1613108@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 03:31:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.128.224
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990761516 209.246.128.224 (Thu, 24 May
2001 20:31:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 20:31:56 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 20:30:11 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22081

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010524200801.02476.00001118@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> >From: tlbryant@my-deja.com  (T. L. Bryant)
>
> >>It's certainly not closed, but it's stated purposes are pretty narrow:
>
> >Yes, especially since CB, family radio service, etc., didn't exist in
1915.
> >Maybe it's time fo rthe ARRL to re-write their charter.
>
> It has had the opportunity to do so for decades, but has chosen to stay
the
> course of remaining and organization devoted to Amateur Radio.
>
> Of course, that won't stop some from talking about the Secret Agenda to
Turn
> ARRL Into a CB Organization. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Uh, that must be me. :p

Kim W5TIT





From -4155363825505308498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 20:37:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

```
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010524201106.02476.00001119@ng-
fm1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <fskP6.30821$BN6.1614310@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 03:37:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.128.224
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990761867 209.246.128.224 (Thu, 24 May
2001 20:37:47 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 20:37:47 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 20:36:02 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22082

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010524201106.02476.00001119@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> >From: tlbryant@my-deja.com  (T. L. Bryant)
>
> >>>Yes, especially since CB, family radio service, etc., didn't exist in
1915.
>
> >>>Maybe it's time fo rthe ARRL to re-write their charter.
>
> >>To what advantage or purpose?  These services have nothing in common
with
>
> >Revenue.
>
> > If you were a business, and that business was declining in income over a
> > period  of years, it would behove you to explore more avenues of
exposure
> > to increase that revenue.
>
> In the first place, I don't see that ARRL's revenue has been declining
over a
> period of years.  Second, those that set ARRL's policy do not, by its very
> policy, get to personally benefit in any way from the revenues, so they
have
> every incentive to stick to the charter and ensure that any source of
revenue
> does not significantly undermine the fundamental purpose of ARRL and
Amateur
> Radio.  Third, the League has had every opportunity to make the changes
you
```

> suggest, but has not chosen to do so. Why do you think that is?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >

Good question, Ed. My supposition would be that the ARRL has always identified itself as a ham radio organization. Over the past few to several years, there's been a "change" in the membership of ham radio. That the ARRL in the past has "excluded" the idea of other radio groups under its roof may be affected by that change in membership--maybe?

Tradition, specifically, is a dying art in today's time. Ham radio is steeped in tradition, right? May it stay that way? Probably. But I think traditionalists will find themselves feeling much more defensive that those of the past. That's not said to do any other than make an observation on the culture of ham radio as a hobby. The culture is changing I think.

What's your thoughts?

Kim W5TIT

From 6727941624041520611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 103929,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid103929,public
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 21:17:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: lr0d@my-deja.com (LRod)
Newsgroups: fr.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
Subject: Re: W6HLH
Message-ID: <3b0dcd57.11839761@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3B0D6708.B71BCAFC@coqui.net> <3B0D7C7C.3514D97C@firstinter.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Lines: 26
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 04:17:17 GMT

NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.94.7.78
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990764237 12.94.7.78 (Fri, 25 May 2001 04:17:17 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 04:17:17 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com fr.rec.radio.amateur:12732 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16011 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22083 rec.radio.shortwave:34927 tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:895

On Thu, 24 May 2001 14:26:20 -0700, "R.L. Tannehill, P.E."
<rickw7rt@firstinter.net> wrote:

>Bill Meacham wrote:
>>
>> HAHAHA - I thought it was 14.195 that Brownie owned? Must have moved.
>> He's been that way for at least 30 years. He's a QRM magnet.
>> Geez, I had forgotten all about him!
>>
>> -Bill WX4A

From the old days before the phone band expansion, on 14.205:

CQ, CQ, CQ. Double U Six, Hotelllll, Lima, Hotel, California calling.
CQ, CQ, CQ, DX. Listening on 14195 and on this frequency.
If you are transceiver equipped, turn your RIT to the far clockwise
position and call Double U Six, Hotelllll, Lima, Hotel.

I thought I remembered him as Blackie.

LRod

I thought I posted this, but it didn't show up on my server. Sorry if
it hit you twice.

From 6576759155334934174
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 21:34:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 04:34:09 GMT
References: <20010523181956.10307.00000087@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

```
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010525003409.07982.00001988@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22084
```

In article <20010523181956.10307.00000087@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>Neither the FCC or the VE process has tested sending for decades. Without a
>forced code-sending test, how are people learning to send?
>

I dunno. I do know, however, that I learned by practicing on a straight key (still have that J-37) all by myself. I learned to send BEFORE I learned to receive - it sure helped. When I finally sat for the test, the examiner said I had a good fist.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 3616276329979523408
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 21:35:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!e420r-
sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-
atl4.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0DE1D5.FFEF8B34@coqui.net>
From: Bill Meacham <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net>
Reply-To: exray@coqui.net
Organization: www.sparkbench.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en,es-ES
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,tw.bbs.rec.rad
io.amateur
Subject: Re: W6HLH
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<3B0D6708.B71BCAFC@coqui.net> <3B0D7C7C.3514D97C@firstinter.net>
<3b0dcd57.11839761@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 32
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
```

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 00:33:56 EDT
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 00:38:45 -0400
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:16012
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22085 rec.radio.shortwave:34929
tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:896

LRod wrote:
>
> On Thu, 24 May 2001 14:26:20 -0700, "R.L. Tannehill, P.E."
> <rickw7rt@firstinter.net> wrote:
>
> >Bill Meacham wrote:
> >>
> >> HAHAHA - I thought it was 14.195 that Brownie owned? Must have moved.
> >> He's been that way for at least 30 years. He's a QRM magnet.
> >> Geez, I had forgotten all about him!
> >>
> >> -Bill WX4A
>
> From the old days before the phone band expansion, on 14.205:
>
> CQ, CQ, CQ. Double U Six, Hotelllll, Lima, Hotel, California calling.
> CQ, CQ, CQ, DX. Listening on 14195 and on this frequency.
> If you are transceiver equipped, turn your RIT to the far clockwise
> position and call Double U Six, Hotelllll, Lima, Hotel.
>
> I thought I remembered him as Blackie.
>
> LRod

You're right, "Buh-lackie, nearrrr the airport, Sannnn Francisco, all
'Collinggggs' gear."

Bill Meacham
Vieques, PR

From 785691305275158OO
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 22:22:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

Date: 25 May 2001 05:22:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9ekq5s$7cc$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <9ebasd$bb4$3@208.206.142.78> <20010523181038.10307.00000084@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22086

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> I can tell you that if I had not been code tested, my present speed would
> >>have been zero if all the old timers didn't tell me why the mode is a fun and
> >> enjoyable thing to use. Had they kept tossing up the things I see them
> >>tossing on this forum, it would have turned this 13-year old kid off cold.
>
> > So then you would have been one of the code bashers who tell all us who
> >passed a code test how stupid we were to submit to that old hazing
> >ritual when we could have just waited our lifetimes for the rules to
> >finally change?
>
> No, Dick, I would not have been a ham at all. Had I run into the insulting
> demeanor I have seen some use here, yourself included, I would have walked away
> in disgust. Fortunately, the old timers I ran across were mighty helpful.

Just like the oldtimers here - when the newcomers are other than a
bunch of smartass know it all wiseacres. Those we can do without.

From 5203830944268030359
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 00:39:02 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 25 May 2001 07:39:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9el26m$10t$0@208.207.71.139>

References: <9e9v7v01ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <20010524200801.02476.00001118@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22087

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
>
> >>It's certainly not closed, but it's stated purposes are pretty narrow:
>
> >Yes, especially since CB, family radio service, etc., didn't exist in 1915.
> >Maybe it's time fo rthe ARRL to re-write their charter.
>
> It has had the opportunity to do so for decades, but has chosen to stay the
> course of remaining and organization devoted to Amateur Radio.
>
> Of course, that won't stop some from talking about the Secret Agenda to Turn
> ARRL Into a CB Organization. :-)

Oh, that would be Kim, the Planner.

From 5990916481328124016
X-Google-Language: GERMAN,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1075882ea8ee2f,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 01:54:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.atl.bellsouth.net.MISMATCH!newsfeed.atl!news2.mia.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: allison@allison19.REMOVE.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Who IS this beauty??   teen48.jpg 5033   [1/7]
Lines: 3
Message-ID: <D4pP6.596$4q2.523107@news2.mia>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 08:53:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.78.153.251
X-Trace: news2.mia 990780835 216.78.153.251 (Fri, 25 May 2001 04:53:55 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 04:53:55 EDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22088

kzmoizlbfrquxseoxbiehxh

```
From 5375880034586673125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:23:02 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9e9v7v01ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <20010524200801.02476.00001118@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9el26m$10t$0@208.207.71.139>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ngrP6.31445$BN6.1692244@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 11:22:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.234.179
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990789779 64.152.234.179 (Fri, 25 May
2001 04:22:59 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 04:22:59 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 04:21:13 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22090
```

LOL ;) Told ya, Ed.

--
73 de W5TIT - Kim

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9el26m$10t$0@208.207.71.139...

```
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: tlbryant@my-deja.com  (T. L. Bryant)
> >
> > >>It's certainly not closed, but it's stated purposes are pretty narrow:
> >
> > >Yes, especially since CB, family radio service, etc., didn't exist in
1915.
> > >Maybe it's time fo rthe ARRL to re-write their charter.
> >
> > It has had the opportunity to do so for decades, but has chosen to stay
the
> > course of remaining and organization devoted to Amateur Radio.
> >
> > Of course, that won't stop some from talking about the Secret Agenda to
Turn
> > ARRL Into a CB Organization. :-)
>
>
>  Oh, that would be Kim, the Planner.
>




From -2009560515245272001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:23:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 11:22:13 GMT
References: <9eaa43$asj$0@208.207.71.141>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525072213.29298.00001532@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22091

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>He's too clueless to realize that the FCC is not able to arbritrarily
>auction off spectrum that has international assignments to hams.

If so, I wonder what he meant when he said:

>> I can't see our HF spectrum  going up for auction due to it being
>> an international resource;

Why did you think his saying that was "clueless?"

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
```

```
From 4095050155507948016
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:26:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 11:25:22 GMT
References: <9ea7cj$3h4$0@208.207.71.141>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525072522.29298.00001533@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22092
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>It isn't enoiugh that ham radio testing has been
>made so dead easy that you can get your ham radio lciense with no more
>than CB learning and skills,

The testing of today is not as "dead easy" as it was when I studied a 4-page study guide to get my entry into ham radio and a 16-page study guide to get all Amateur priveleges.

If it is so "dead easy," why don't you take me up on my offer and memorize 1000 Russian definitions in a weekend without understanding? That would shut me up. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -6266132901998792484
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:31:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!
newsfeed.concentric.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 11:30:55 GMT
References: <3b093701.123681010@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
```

Message-ID: <20010525073055.29298.00001534@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22093

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>The "attitude" has been with us ever since the FCC turned the 11 meter
>ham band over to the CBers almost a half century ago.

Before I was a ham, I operated some on 11 meters. I don't recall the animosity that some show here. To the contrary, the ham I met recognized my interest in radio and encouraged me to become a ham. Fortunately, although the Novice license was "dumbed down" from what he had to do to become a ham, he didn't feel a need to rub my nose in it. I am still licensed today.

Had I run across hams who insulted my CB activities the way some feel a need to do today and then rubbed my nose in their "superiority" over the "dumb" accomplishment I had just made as a Novice, I would not have continued in ham radio; I was a sensitive 13-year old kid who would have found other distractions and interests.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9090138117847960498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:32:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 11:32:05 GMT
References: <3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525073205.29298.00001535@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22094

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>When was the last time the FCC auctioned off
>spectrum space because it didn't approve of the "attitudes" of the
>current users of that spectrum space?

Some say that 220-222 MHz falls into that category.

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2227561783030676193
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:36:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 11:35:10 GMT
References: <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525073510.29298.00001536@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22095

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

>If the ARRL and amateur radio is to survive
>in the next century, they're going to have to explore more ways to expose
>themselves to people that have an interest in electronic and communications.
>Wayne Green, as much of a kook as he is at times, has been saying this for
>years.

Those with an interest in electronics and communications have always formed the reservoir from which Amateur Radio operators have come. While I agree with you, I have to note that those with an interest in electronics and communications know that Amateur Radio exists. Maybe the best PR ARRL could do is to convince them that the hateful attitudes of a few toward new hams do not represent the views of most hams.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5398586524933066398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:39:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 25 May 2001 11:38:32 GMT
References: <3b0d07fe.373765065@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525073832.29298.00001537@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22096

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>They just hired some marketing firm or consultant or some such. I'm
>not sure what the objective is but whatever it is it's long overdue.
>Anything will be better than the nothing they've had.

I believe the budget calls for the hiring of a full-time marketing staff person. As a League member, I was pleased to see it; I have always felt that the marketing of ARRL pubs was missing out on a lot of opportunities.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4873105709637043839
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:40:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 11:40:01 GMT
References: <9eckjf$bsn$0@208.206.142.73>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525074001.29298.00001538@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22097

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Nothing the ARRL does today will effect that happens in the next century.

Do you feel that the actions ARRL took in the last century to persuade the government to allow Amateur Radio to continue after it was shut down during wartime had an effect on what happens to Amateur Radio in this century?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4692011103822556203
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:45:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 11:44:39 GMT
References: <9eegh7$jk3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525074439.29298.00001539@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22098

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>That's always been true except non-licensed people who join are (or
>used to be) given an Associate Membership.

"Are" is the correct word. Only licensed amateurs vote for Director, Vice Director and Section Manager. Well, about half of them vote; the other half don't send in the postcard. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5537343097467665112
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:48:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 11:47:15 GMT
References: <3B0B27A4.48468C93@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525074715.29298.00001540@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22099

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>Amateur radio doesn't die because I tell you that you are such a
>no-clue.

Perhaps not, but neither is Amateur Radio helped...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4198174982227020440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:51:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 11:50:38 GMT
References: <9ekq5s$7cc$0@208.207.71.172>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010525075038.29298.00001541@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22100

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Just like the oldtimers here - when the newcomers are other than a
>bunch of smartass know it all wiseacres. Those we can do without.

I haven't seen any of your blanket insults of newcomers make that distinction, Dick.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8591048578804794193
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:52:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 25 May 2001 11:51:31 GMT
References: <20010525003409.07982.00001988@nso-cm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010525075131.29298.00001542@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22101

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>I dunno. I do know, however, that I learned by practicing on a straight key
>(still have that J-37) all by myself.

Was Dick there to tell you not to send all dits when you wanted to send dits
and dahs?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9086566712793094088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:54:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 11:54:01 GMT
References: <fskP6.30821$BN6.1614310@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525075401.29298.00001543@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22102

>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net

>That the ARRL in the past has "excluded" the idea of other radio groups under
its
>roof may be affected by that change in membership--maybe?

Not by the wishes of THIS member, Kim; I do not feel that ARRL can represent
the interests of ham radio and other radio services simultaneously. Sooner or
later, the League would have to deal with a regulatory matter than affected
both and it would have no way to choose sides.

There is a lot of common ground between Amateur Radio and other services, and
the League should, IMHO, do a better job at exploiting that common ground, but
that should be done through cooperation and liaison.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -953439146450876321
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:56:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 11:55:45 GMT
References: <MmkP6.30812$BN6.1613108@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525075545.29298.00001545@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22103
```

>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net

>> Of course, that won't stop some from talking about the Secret Agenda to
>Turn
>> ARRL Into a CB Organization. :-)

>Uh, that must be me. :p

Actually, no; you have expressed a view that ARRL should do so (you have communicated that to your Director, no?), but you have not alleged that ARRL is secretly trying to do just that.

As I said, if the issue ever comes up on the plate, your Director and my Director will hear two very different viewpoints, I am sure. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 2560408669630550301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 04:58:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 17
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 11:57:47 GMT
References: <20010524225007.05666.00004216@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22104

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Now, back to reality,

Okay, let's deal with reality.

>and the plain fact that a typical ham, suddenly thrust into the position
>of having to provide effective emergency communications for a remote
>enclave of survivors, must make do with what he has to get a message
>thru.

In reality, can you provide an example of when this happened where CW was the mode the amateur had to use to get that message through?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7136782331499424443
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 05:01:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 12:00:36 GMT
References: <3b0d0a57.374366034@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525080036.29298.00001548@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22105

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>>In general, I agree with you. I do think, however, that it is very much in
>>Amateur Radio's best interest if ARRL maintains strong lines of
>communication

>>with those other aspects of the radio hobbies.

>Depends on how much time/effort the League puts into it.

In general, I think the League will put in an amount of effort about equal to the payoff for Amateur Radio. My guess is that in the case of liaison with REACT, 2 or 3 staffers might spend a few hours a month.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5854737339715843868
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 05:05:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 12:04:18 GMT
References: <20010524225006.05666.00004215@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010525080418.29298.00001549@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22106

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Nope. The idea is to see if they can send and receive intelligible
>messages using Morse Code, with no previous training or experience.
>The 10 question test is irrelevant.

Why would we want to see if people who are not going to become hams can send and receive Morse code?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1942971688230716983
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 05:08:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 12:07:30 GMT
References: <20010520215943.26676.00004306@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525080730.29298.00001550@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22107

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>> One cold night in West Texas during an ice storm, I was stranded on the
>> side of a lonely stretch of I-10.

>You sure have a helluva lot of car breakdowns at night out on the cold
>prairie. Or.....

I recall 3 or 4 posts by Cecil about breakdowns. I don't recall enough of the details to say whether they were separate incidents or not.

I do know that I have been by the side of the road at night more than 3 or 4 times in my years of driving old cars, although I have my cars trained pretty well now -- they usually break down in my driveway, or at least get me home.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9218426477272606764
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 05:15:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0E3EB2.E74EE6FA@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B0C8221.BCD1D303@earthlink.net> <20010524210112.15631.00000188@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Lines: 54
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 12:15:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.231
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990792911 63.17.222.231 (Fri, 25 May 2001 05:15:11 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 05:15:11 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 05:13:26 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22108

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >> I'll take that bet. Lobster? :-)
>
> >Your lobster to my venison.
>
> I'm with you so far.
>
> >> 2x=21 solve for X.
>
> >...but not on something THAT simple!
>
> Is that more simple than the context that the original statement was made? If
> so, please pose an alternate and if I agree it is in the spirit of the original
> statement, we may have a deal.

Just take something like the formula for reactance in a parallel circuit from the handbook and plug in some numbers which are to your liking.

> >Sure, after we define the problems and the term "large number". What
> >percentage of the kids would have to choke on the test?
>
> I will take a majority.

You're being generous with yourself. I think I used the term "a large number" or something like that. Wouldn't something like 30% be a large number?

> >I think you'd do better with the 6th graders--really.
>
> I will take a majority there, too.

30%?

> >> For that matter, do you think a DSP-filtered code-decoding algo would
> >> beat me copying by ear in the usual 500 Hz bandwidth that hams typically
> use?
>
> >Nope.

>
> I disagree. That DSP will gain 10 dB over the 500 Hz bandwidth, so it will be
> able to decode signals my ear can just barely hear. I have a reasonable mental
> filter, but it has limits.

What's the advantage of the DSP filtered unit when the op copying aurally is also using a DSP filter unit? What band are we talking about--160m or 6m? If it is 160m, is W8JI just outside the 500hz bandwidth CQing with his big signal? In that case, what happens to the DSP filtered algo's advantage (this DSP filtered algo does its magic outside the AGC loop?)?

Dave K8MN

From 4445700662833363342
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 05:27:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0E41B2.2929463D@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B0B27A4.48468C93@earthlink.net> <20010525074715.29298.00001540@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 24
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 12:27:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.231
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990793660 63.17.222.231 (Fri, 25 May 2001 05:27:40 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 05:27:40 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 05:25:54 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22109

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >Amateur radio doesn't die because I tell you that you are such a
> >no-clue.
>

> Perhaps not, but neither is Amateur Radio helped...

In this particular case, I'll settle for the wash. You have, of necessity, certain limits placed on what you say and how you say it. I'm under no such restraints.

Amateur radio isn't helped by a fellow who plays Chicken Little in making statements to others that their particular attitudes are causing amateur radio to die (especially when amateur radio has shown no real death rattle) or statements that indicate some spectrum auctions are going to take place if people speak their minds. Goofy warnings about what could take place if the ARRL does not embrace other radio services don't help amateur radio either.

By the way, Ed; I don't think you are a no-clue :)

Dave K8MN

From -1128395476988354347
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1075882ea8ee2f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 05:30:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0E4243.5DC82C9C@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Who IS this beauty?? teen48.jpg 5033 [1/7]
References: <D4pP6.596$4q2.523107@news2.mia>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 7
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 12:30:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.231
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990793805 63.17.222.231 (Fri, 25 May 2001 05:30:05 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 05:30:05 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 05:28:20 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22110

allison@allison19.REMOVE.com wrote:
>
> kzmoizlbfrquxseoxbiehxh

If only we had that DSP filtered algorithm...

Dave K8MN

```
From 2361498925882926091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10e8ad,5d73ed477efe1d60
X-Google-Attributes: gid10e8ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5d73ed477efe1d60
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 06:11:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space
Subject: Re: Allison, girl of perfection   teen48.jpg  9769   [1/2]
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 09:12:46 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3B0E5A3F.86D11B8E@sccoast.net>
References: <BdSO6.515$LU3.2401728@news3.mia> <3B0C13CB.2FA4DA78@earthlink.net>
<9ehbd30ai7@enews2.newsguy.com> <3B0C846C.9F228DB5@earthlink.net>
<9eirn502fa2@enews4.newsguy.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-242.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990796316 301397 206.74.49.242 (25 May 2001 13:11:56
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 13:11:56 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22111
rec.radio.amateur.space:2625
```

Charles Brabham wrote:

> Allison was just a cruel dream of perfection
> that ended up being a uni-brow lesbian police
> officer with a butch hair cut and a belligerent
> attitude, about as appealing, intelligent and
> sexy as a blob of dog waste squishing up
> between your toes on a hot afternoon.. A mental,
> social, and sexual cripple with a face like a
> bucket of worms.

You're not being explicit enough about this, Charles. Don't hold back. Tell us what you really think of lesbians with butch hair cuts and belligerent attitudes.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

```
From 5676542147732712091
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 06:27:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <%
%tO6.3345$r4.177765@www.newsranger.com> <3B0A78B8.661D2DC@IEEE.org>
<YlvO6.3477$r4.190909@www.newsranger.com> <9ek4dj$orq$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <K4tP6.7804$r4.483655@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 09:27:06 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 13:27:06 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22112
```

On 24 May 2001 23:10:43 GMT, Jeffrey Herman says:

> You might be interested to learn that both Guam and Hawaii
> are in call area 13, and Hawaii now also has the KH7 block,
> too. (Call area 13 consists of all calls from KH0 through KH9.)

That bit of trivia is old news, calculated misdirection, and obfuscation.
You had no more right to a KH2 call than Deignan has to a KH6 or KH7 call,
and both callsign requests were spoofs of the system. So sue me.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From 2743484638509690545
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 06:41:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!newsfeed.nyc.globix.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 09:42:31 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3B0E6130.EFB90F41@sccoast.net>
References: <Ga%O6.41711$4f7.3089282@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <20010524202533.02476.00001123@ng-fm1.aol.com> <QziP6.43212$t12.3308442@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-242.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990798099 299603 206.74.49.242 (25 May 2001 13:41:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 13:41:39 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22113

Richard McCollum wrote:

> Ed, I haven't received anything on membership
> since I got my Advanced after a lapse about 1966.

I let my ARRL membership lapse after failing to receive my copy of QST for several months. I was moving around with the DoD at the time (four moves in one year) and the QST subscription just never caught up with me. So, in spite of a change of address sent for each move, I only received two issues that year (the two that came before I started the various moves). At that point, I saw little reason to continue membership when it was time to renew. And I never received anything about renewing my membership either (of course, in my case, the renew invitation was probably sent to one of those older addresses).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From 506842166521404149
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 07:20:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: alanc_183@yahoo.com (Alan Castillo)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Date: 25 May 2001 07:20:25 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <acf82f0b.0105250620.3c11a920@posting.google.com>
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<9edugn$1sn$1@208.206.142.87> <acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com>
<9eeq6s$3m6$2@208.206.142.79> <9ek59o$pcj$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.34.244.233
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 990800425 12710 127.0.0.1 (25 May 2001 14:20:25 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 25 May 2001 14:20:25 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22114
```

Fair enough questions, Jeffrey:

jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote in message
news:<9ek59o$pcj$1@news.hawaii.edu>...

> Alan Castillo wrote:
> >it's been said [you mean "asked"?] that once attrition quells some of

Yes, I suppose "asked" would be more accurate. I'm originally from South America, with a fairly lengthy stint in England, and I suppose my english still fails me at times. Sorry about that.

> >the rudeness, who'll
> >"inherit" AR? Someone on QRZ posed this question and it simply went
> >unanswered...could it be "other" group of radio "enthusiasts" who
> >enjoy making worldwide contacts on hf?
>
> All that those "enthusiasts" will have to do is to pass the exam and
> they will then inherit the bands. Oh but wait -- wouldn't passing the
> exam make them amateurs? What attrition were you speaking of?

The attrition I'm speaking of are those (not all) "old guard" amateurs who exhibit nothing but contempt for those who've come from CB. I personally have a licensed amateur to thank for encouraging me to study to become licensed myself and operate within the FCC rules. He knows that, while in S.A., I operated on what is generally known as the "freeband" here in the USA, but he didn't bash me over the head with Part 95 or 97 and he didn't turn his back on me either. He sat me down, explained that he'd done it too earlier himself and was encouraged by an "elmer" to move on to the next level without consternation or damnation. He didn't even know me from a hole in the ground and he posted (mailed) me his set of Gordo CW cassettes to study. Those are the heirs to amateur radio. The "grumpy old crumudgeons," (hope I spelled that right) they are the segment of amateur radio that shall attrite. Yes, upon passing their exam, an CBer or ex-freebander (emphasis on EX) becomes an amateur. Hopefully they will NOT exhibit the same level of intolerance for those who enter the hobby (or service, as you please) with different rf roots.

Just one other note. It appears as though I will get an HC prefix before a Kx2 prefix as I'm going to Ecuador for three months to care for my grandmother. I figure I'll grab my ticket there while I'm at it. I included this tidbit, lest you think I've no respect for the elderly regarding my comments above. ("grumpy old crumudgeons") I must say that I've noticed here in the USA that many view the elderly as somewhat of a burden. This is certainly NOT meant to be a general statement. I said many, but certainly not all. In S.A., the elderly of the family are revered and the younger set willingly embrace the responsibility of caring for them. Hence, my three month hiatus...relieving a cousin. I hope this clears up any misunderstanding of what I meant.

73 de Alan
Jersey City, NJ
Guayaquil, Ecuador (after Monday, 6/4)
>
> KH6O

From 3364658992950701278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 07:35:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <20010524205655.15631.00000187@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010524225007.05666.00004216@nso-fo.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <d4uP6.7883$r4.490126@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 10:34:49 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 14:34:49 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22115

On 25 May 2001 02:50:07 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT says:

> He does have a good basic low power or QRP transceiver, a key,
> a microphone, dipole antennae, and feedlines. Let's say the

> transceiver is an SGC 2020. Which mode does he use to make MOST
> effective use of his available power, band conditions,
> etc? Let's assume the guy in question is you, with your present
> CW skills. Which do you grab for first? The key or the mike?

Depends on more factors than you have revealed here, but here
would be my first thoughts.

The first thing I do is attempt to draw attention to my plight.
Supposing for the moment that 20M or 15M is open, I am likely to first
tune up to one of the maritime traffic nets which have multiple
NCS covering various geographic areas, take regular breaks for
weak stations, and are experienced in handling distress situations
under difficult propagation and involving power/antenna limited
stations. Those nets happen to be phone nets, so I grab for a
microphone (duh!).

If communications were good at low power, I'd continue on SSB
to conserve my dwindling batteries (SSB has a lower duty cycle
and consumes less energy on transmit than an equivalent CW transmission).
If communications were poor on phone, I'd switch to CW, keep transmissions
very terse and accept the fact that I probably would run out of battery
power earlier if long transmissions like a description of a medical condition,
or for radiolocation (triangulation) were required.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From -318028511501871288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 07:39:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: alanc_183@yahoo.com (Alan Castillo)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Date: 25 May 2001 07:39:08 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <acf82f0b.0105250639.4aa703e5@posting.google.com>
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87>
<acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com>
<NZyO6.36389$t12.2875154@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3b0bb709.287523599@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.34.244.209
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 990801549 13271 127.0.0.1 (25 May 2001 14:39:09 GMT)

```
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 25 May 2001 14:39:09 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22116

kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote in message
news:<3b0bb709.287523599@news2.dvol.com>...
> On Tue, 22 May 2001 19:20:13 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> >> direct result of simple fear. Fear, you ask?...fear of what?! Well,
> >> it's been said that once attrition quells some of the rudeness, who'll
> >> "inherit" AR? Someone on QRZ posed this question and it simply went
> >> unanswered...
> >
> The "heirs" will obviusly be the next generation of grumpy old farts,
> ham radio has always had an unending supply of those, it's our
> "renewable resource".

I respectfully submit to you that IMHO times are changing and the
grumpy flatulence to wit you speak is not an inexhaustable resource. I
don't even view them as "resouce," much more a stain on the noble
purpose of the service. (or hobby, as you please.)

> >
> >
> >> As for the changing times for AR, the ARRL, and those "other
> >> enthusiasts"...be afraid...be very afraid. Adios!
> >
>  Yeah, as if we're shakin' in our boots . . Zzzzzzzz

If I read the times correctly, by the time this happens, your boots
won't be "shakin'" at all anymore. (not meant in a bad way, just
factual) This is certainly not an overnight process.

> >>
> >> 73 de Alan
> >> Jersey City, NJ

I extend the same "best regards."

> >
> w3rv


From 3968580530977144110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 07:41:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
```

```
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 10:42:15 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3B0E6F25.E052E2B0@sccoast.net>
References: <3b0c007c.306321968@news2.dvol.com> <20010524222106.17467.00001092@ng-fk1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-242.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990801678 305926 206.74.49.242 (25 May 2001 14:41:18 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 14:41:18 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22117

KC2HMN wrote:
>
> Funny thing is that I haven't heard the
> term "good buddy" for many many years...
> up until know that is...er, from YOU.
> BTW, when I was active on CB, I operated
> pretty much exclusively on sideband. "Good
> buddy" was a term used by those on AM...
> but you knew that already because you would
> never speak out on a subject that you
> weren't 100% up to date on, right?


  On the CB in many parts of the country, "good buddy" is derogatory -
used by those who are a little too good of a buddy when it comes to
male friends.  Therefore, calling someone a "good buddy," who is not,
could lead to an argument, at the very least.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)


From -4767241551465817168
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 07:57:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
```

```
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!pln-e!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews1
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 25 May 2001 14:10:36 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9elp4s095t@enews1.newsguy.com>
References: <20010524225007.05666.00004216@nso-fo.aol.com>
<20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-684.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22118

In article <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
says...
>
>>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>Now, back to reality,
>
>Okay, let's deal with reality.
>
>>and the plain fact that a typical ham, suddenly thrust into the position
>>of having to provide effective emergency communications for a remote
>>enclave of survivors, must make do with what he has to get a message
>>thru.
>
>In reality, can you provide an example of when this happened where CW was the
>mode the amateur had to use to get that message through?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI


Only in the movies...




From -2296794189279844000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 08:24:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
```

Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 11:25:50 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <3B0E7951.F8E436DE@sccoast.net>
References: <9ehqu4$aa9$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010524225006.05666.00004214@nso-fo.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-242.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990804290 306347 206.74.49.242 (25 May 2001 15:24:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 15:24:50 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22119

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> [snip] What with all the attention being given
> to the issues of homosexuals in the military,
> women in combat units, and "feel good" black
> berets for anyone with a pulse, I'm not at
> all very confident in the abilities of our
> current military leaders.

When I first heard about the berets, Larry, I was just as opposed as you seem to be. However, after a little reflection, I've now come to the opposite conclusion. What has not been widely reported by the press is that training requirements for all active duty Army personnel has increased dramatically over the last couple of decades. In fact, Basic Training today (now 12 weeks instead of 8) is not that different then the general training (physical fitness, basic weapons skills, etc) given to special forces personnel.

Physical fitness and readiness training (weapons, combat skills, etc), Army wide, is much more intense today than it was just a few years ago. Serious physical fitness (long distance runs, etc) has now become a daily routine, as is some kind of combat skills training. Soldiers spend much more time in the field and that field training is much more intense (involving a wide range of technologies). And the overall work day is longer, leaving the soldiers little time for after duty activities (including spending time with families).

With this in mind, I understand the Army's decision to issue berets to all soldiers. With the number of personnel shrinking, the goal is to get a maximum effort from each soldier. If that beret can help to install a strong military mindset into a young soldier, thereby increasing the effort put out by that young soldier, then I see no reason not to issue it to each member of the Army.

By the way, I'm not defending the Army's decision to increase training. In my opinion, there are situations where the Army has went too far, where soldiers are getting hurt unnecessarily. But that is a topic for another discussion at some other time.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From 39431824951977862
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 08:57:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 11:58:29 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <3B0E80EF.97DDCF60@sccoast.net>
References: <20010524205655.15631.00000187@ng-fm1.aol.com> <20010524225007.05666.00004216@nso-fo.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-242.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990806247 308998 206.74.49.242 (25 May 2001 15:57:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 15:57:27 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22120

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> [snip] Now, back to reality, to our theoretical
> emergency situation, and the plain fact that a
> typical ham, suddenly thrust into the position
> of having to provide effective emergency
> communications for a remote enclave of survivors,
> must make do with what he has to get a message
> thru. He doesn't have DSP-filtered algos or
> cryptologic *anything* to work with, and precious

> little DC current to run the requisite computers
> on to make it work. He does have a good basic
> low power or QRP transceiver, a key, a microphone,
> dipole antennae, and feedlines. [snip] Which mode
> does he use to make MOST effective use of his
> available power, band conditions, etc? [snip]

Larry, in REALITY, if the person was smart enough to pack all of that equipment (transceiver, key, microphone, antenna, feedlines, etc), why would he not have packed equipment that would have served him better in the situation you describe? In other words, if he was heading to a remote enclave where he might face an emergency, why would he only pack a low powered transceiver? Likewise, if he did not know he would be stranded on a remote enclave (a boat or plane accident, for examples), why would he have packed any communications equipment at all (which, even if he did, probably went to the bottom with the boat or plane)?

However, let me put this another way. If _I_ was stranded on a remote enclave, I would have the equipment I own or nothing at all. Since I don't own a low powered transceiver, I'm not going to have one to use on that remote enclave. And, since that remote enclave is not likely to have a convenient local Radio Shack store, I'm not going to be able to build one either. So, if I'm not able to use what I have, I'm not going to be able to use anything at all. Therefore, your theoretical emergency situation has no basis in reality.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From 6052549198399325823
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 09:25:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 12:26:09 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3B0E8764.DA4DC9E0@sccoast.net>
References: <20010524202917.15631.00000177@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010524225005.05666.00004213@nso-fo.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net

NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-242.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990807904 308350 206.74.49.242 (25 May 2001 16:25:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 16:25:04 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22121

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> [snip] I don't know about you, but all
> of the truly "disabled" hams I know are
> code-proficient, code-loving, and CW
> using hams who fully support the concept
> of code testing under pre-Restructuring
> guidelines. [snip]

Larry, didn't you use the exact same phrase a few days ago when talking about those with an interest in electronics - the only electronic experts you know are "code-proficient, code-loving, and CW using hams who fully support the concept of code testing?" Do you limit the people you know to just "code-proficient, code-loving, and CW using hams who fully support the concept of code testing?"

> The waiver system existed mainly to serve
> lying, cheating, criminally dishonest
> people seeking a way around the code
> testing requirement any way they could.

Stop by and visit sometime. When you do, I'll introduce you to a friend of mine. He lost both arms, and a leg, in the Korean war and has been a ham for almost twenty years solely because of the disability waiver. Contrary to the BS above, he is the type of person the waiver existed for.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From -3376415694644807702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 09:27:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <20010524205655.15631.00000187@ng-fm1.aol.com> <20010524225007.05666.00004216@nso-fo.aol.com> <3B0E80EF.97DDCF60@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <2JvP6.8038$r4.501797@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 12:26:38 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 16:26:38 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22122

On Fri, 25 May 2001 11:58:29 -0400, Dwight Stewart says:

> Larry, in REALITY, if the person was smart enough to pack all of
> that equipment (transceiver, key, microphone, antenna, feedlines,
> etc), why would he not have packed equipment that would have served
> him better in the situation you describe? In other words, if he was
> heading to a remote enclave where he might face an emergency, why
> would he only pack a low powered transceiver?

I think Larry's scenario is not only reasonable, but is in fact commonplace. One of the fastest growing facets of the hobby is QRP, and a significant portion of that growth is among back-country hikers who carry in minimilst stations similar to what Larry described.

> Therefore, your theoretical emergency situation has no basis
> in reality.

The "remote enclave with QRP" is solidly based on reality, and is not some "manufactured theory" to suit Larry's question.

(Doncha just hate it when someone spoils your story?)

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From -3758353943116309366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 09:38:08 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 16:34:22 GMT
References: <20010525075131.29298.00001542@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010525123422.12553.00003147@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22123

In article <20010525075131.29298.00001542@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>I dunno. I do know, however, that I learned by practicing on a straight key
>>(still have that J-37) all by myself.
>
>Was Dick there to tell you not to send all dits when you wanted to send dits
>and dahs?

Nope. ;-) Just the 1949 ARRL Handbook. Still have it, in fact - both the key and the book.

Ask Cecil about the fist.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4409137384099083398
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 09:38:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 16:34:22 GMT
References: <20010523175744.10307.00000080@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler

Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010525123422.12553.00003148@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22124

In article <20010523175744.10307.00000080@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>As are modern kits, I am sure. That Elecraft K2 sure teaches people quite a
>bit about PC board construction.

And soldering technique, toroid winding, ESD considerations, parts identification, mechanical assembly, and alignment procedures, just to name a few.

The manual is a technical-writing work of art. The tap-hold operator interface and LCD display are really ingenious. Worth the download and read even if ya never get one. Even an experienced homebrewer like me can learn a lot from the schematic and functional explanations.

73 de Jim, N2EY

K2 #2084 (just passed Phase 1 testing).

From 4269661159614059457
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 09:50:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <ejeP6.6903$r4.437236@www.newsranger.com>
<20010524201415.01428.00001314@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <C2wP6.8073$r4.503827@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 12:49:38 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 16:49:38 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22125

On 25 May 2001 00:14:15 GMT, Steve Robeson LPN says:

> Sounds to me like a certain civil servant needs a dose of humility
>injected ASAP.   We need the concerned, proactive efforts of Mr.
> Hollingsworth, NOT some smart alec with a Napoleonic complex.

This came from K4YZ (a sort of junior-model Len Anderson) who contributes nothing substantive to rrap, but sits on the sidelines and hip-shoots small calibre/poorly aimed potshots, usually to fall victim to a ricochet of his own fire.

72.5, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From 8786117843552520580
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 10:47:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<9eck32$9nk$1@208.206.142.73> <YGkO6.35101$t12.2715987@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ehod7$8nb$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<Ga%O6.41711$4f7.3089282@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3b0d07fe.373765065@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <9VwP6.46511$4f7.3378681@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 17:47:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.196
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990812869 12.75.179.196 (Fri, 25 May
2001 17:47:49 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 17:47:49 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22126
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b0d07fe.373765065@news2.dvol.com...
> On Thu, 24 May 2001 03:25:26 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >

> >had no hope of satisfying anyone let alone everyone. But the strengths and
> >benefits of an organization need marketing and if I had any criticism -- and
> >being a non member I am well aware that as such I have no right to an
> >opinion at all -- it would be the lack of a coherent marketing philosophy.
> >
> They just hired some marketing firm or consultant or some such. I'm
> not sure what the objective is but whatever it is it's long overdue.
> Anything will be better than the nothing they've had.
> >
> >Dick N�BK
> >
> w3rv
>

And the first thing he will do is sit the boys down and ask them what they
are proud of, what they are good at, and how the world would be poorer if
they got run over by the 8AM streetcar. Even the Mafia needs to answer
those questions periodically.

Then comes how to measure ('metrics' is the buzz du jour) both the success
of the League in whatever their chosen mission might be and the success of
the consultant.

That should not take more than a few years.

Dick N�BK

From 953605361626478801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18eb6217a7bc66fb,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 11:55:03 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Holyshit, Here We Go! Wheee!
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 18:54:46 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0ea8f9.43823160@news2.dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.101
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.101
X-Trace: 25 May 2001 13:50:24 -0500, 64.24.18.101
Lines: 30
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22127


Snipped from and copy-pasted from today's ARRL online QST QST letter:

----------------------

The FCC says the ball is in the court of the Amateur Service to
determine the course of future Amateur Radio regulation. Speaking
May 20 at the Dayton Hamvention FCC forum, Bill Cross, W3TN, of the
FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, said that the days of
Commission-imposed regulation are past.


''Detailed regulation of the nitty gritty of communication services,
including the Amateur Service, is not in the picture,'' Cross said.
''Rather, the FCC is shifting to strong and effective enforcement of
truly necessary regulations.'' The FCC, he said, now plans to look to
the amateur community to reach consensus on any new regulations it
thinks it wants and needs.

----------------------

Oughta be a hoot in this NG. Load, lock & fire: TALLY-HO!

Have fun kiddies.

DIT!

w3rv




From -1993278688237696571
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 12:18:49 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 19:18:34 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0e9659.39054774@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b0afd14.239925822@news2.dvol.com>
```

<9ekevr01tb5@enews2.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.101
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.101
X-Trace: 25 May 2001 14:14:11 -0500, 64.24.18.101
Lines: 7
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22128

On 25 May 2001 02:11:07 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
>
>According to the comments of one FCC official back in the late 1980s, hams
>attitudes regarding code testing had quite a lot to do with it.
>
An 80s guy huh? So was Ronnie. You seem to have the same disconnects.

From -1792630017891030588
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 12:24:28 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 19:24:15 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0e991b.39760880@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9ea7cj$3h4$0@208.207.71.141> <20010525072522.29298.00001533@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.101
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.101
X-Trace: 25 May 2001 14:19:50 -0500, 64.24.18.101
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22129

On 25 May 2001 11:25:22 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
>If it is so "dead easy," why don't you take me up on my offer and memorize 1000
>Russian definitions in a weekend without understanding? That would shut me up.
>:-)
>
Hey Larry, can I borrow yer forktruck for the weekend? I got a job in

Newington . .
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From -7872972991805345821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18eb6217a7bc66fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 12:25:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3b0ea8f9.43823160@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Holyshit, Here We Go! Wheee!
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <VjyP6.183$rn5.4938@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 15:24:37 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 19:24:37 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22130

On Fri, 25 May 2001 18:54:46 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv says:

>''Detailed regulation of the nitty gritty of communication services,
>including the Amateur Service, is not in the picture,'' Cross said.
>''Rather, the FCC is shifting to strong and effective enforcement of
>truly necessary regulations.'' The FCC, he said, now plans to look to
>the amateur community to reach consensus on any new regulations it
>thinks it wants and needs.

I firmly believe that the amateur rules ought be simplified to:

97.1 You are required to pass a technical test to show that you
understand how to build simple equipment which meets spectral
purity specifications of .....blah, blah, blah. You will be
issued a callsign when you pass the test. Transmit your call
sign once every 10 minutes when on the air.

97.2 Your power limit is 1.5KW to the antenna.

97.3 Here are your bands. Stay inside of them.

97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and communicate and do public service and talk to strangers in far away lands and launch communications satelites into space and other technical radio stuff you may think up. The government doesn't care what mode you use for any of this.

97.5 Play nice. We'll try to keep the CBers out of your hair, and deliberate interference or other assinine behaviour on your part will cause Riley to come and kick your ass off the playground. Have fun.

Love always,
/signed/ FCC

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From 3409036485707011178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c419314cc0119cd6,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 12:48:13 PST
Message-ID: <75.990792086627@iw1.mailusenet.com>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 12:46:48 -0700 (PDT)
From: Unknown <Unknown>
Subject: rowena grimes unmasked
Organization: Unknown
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Size: 1033
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!
propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!
mailusenet.com
Lines: 26
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22131
```

sum people thinks they clever but i be clevererer once in a while. just dis mornin after huffin sum weed i had an epifanny and figgered out the reel name of dat wVa guy. he be usin a anagram fur a name and so it can be undun to get bak his reel name.

you can rescramble "roger wiseman" an make it be "rowena grimes"!!!!! ole rowena has been playin wid us all dis time an makin us think she be a he but i dun figgered it out durin my epifanny at brekfast.

rowena now busted.

course you can rescramble "roger wiseman" an make it be "raw nose grime" or "wiener orgasm" or but they dont mean nothin at all. nobudy wud be named raw nose grime an it be unchristian to say wiener orgasm so that leave only rowena grimes.

so let us pray fur rowena grimes.

God said, "div D = rho, div B = 0, curl E = -@B/@t, curl H = J + @D/@t," and then there was light. amen.

Posted via http://www.etin.com - the FREE public USENET portal on the Web
Complete SEARCHING, BROWSING, and POSTING of text and BINARY messages!

From 1801287657947131454
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 13:17:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 16:17:44 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 55
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22132

The FCC's Bill Cross (W3TN) has mentioned it, and the head of the FCC's office of Engineering and Technology has said the same thing a while back - "implementation of 'new and more modern communications technologies,' such as digital." They have done just about everything except beat us over the head with a baseball bat to get our attention directed where it needs to go. While I am all for remembering our roots, and how Amateur Radio came to be, but we don't need to keep our collective heads buried where the roots are. The Amateur Service can no longer afford to let those of us who keep their heads buried in the past, and through regulatory pleadings sent to the FCC to keep it that way, to impede progress. Many of us are professionals in our respective areas and thus know that old technology falls by the wayside to make room for newer, and better technologies. The Amateur Service is no different.

What we really need to discuss here is how best to use the new emerging communication technologies instead of wasting effort debating "the hot-button issue", that the FCC has all but told us in plain language is a dead issue with them, and to forget about bringing back the so-called good-old-days. I have seen endless debates, here in this news group, where the idea is to keep the service shackled to the past while pretending it is good for the future of the service, but almost no debates about how to plan for the future as the service stands NOW. That's want the FCC wants us to do, and we are ignoring the increasingly pointed references to that fact.

So with the above in mind what would be the best use of the available spectrum be in light of the various communications modes? How should the current band plans be altered in light of the new license classes? These points are the other half of the restructuring done by the FCC in April of last year. The FCC has waited for over a year now for the Amateur Service to address these issues and to "reach a consensus". So far all I have seen here is people ignoring the issues, and the FCC is tapping it's foot while growing increasingly impatient waiting for a reply.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 1247744059992498270
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 13:50:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 25 May 2001 20:49:15 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9emggb$f78$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <20010523053310.29357.00000958@ng-ff1.aol.com> <lvQO6.4986$r4.300636@www.newsranger.com> <UZQO6.5029$r4.305846@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990823755 15592 128.171.44.54 (25 May 2001 20:49:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 25 May 2001 20:49:15 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22134

K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:

>On 23 May 2001 09:33:10 GMT, W1RFI says:
>>I don't think that most people can work out all of the functions of modern
>>scientific calculators using a pencil and paper. Can you?

>You're probably right Ed,

Just for you, Hans, let me repeat this again:

All those functions are defined by power series. What your calculator
does is use the four arithmetic operations (addition, subtraction,
multiplication, and division) to arrive at say, a 9-digit approximation.
For example, regarding Cecil's trig function question:

 sin(x) = x - x^3/3! + x^5/5! - x^7/7! + x^9/9! - ...

You carry this sum out (with pencil and paper) using as many terms
as needed to meet your approximation requirements, just as your
calculator does. No trig tables are necessary; in fact, the above
is how the sine table itself was created.

Note that these are transcendental functions so the best we can
expect for most is a decimal approximation.

KH6O

From 3209813197017859895
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 13:50:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

```
Date: 25 May 2001 20:42:20 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9emg3c$f63$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <20010523053310.29357.00000958@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3B0BC039.82DE5501@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990823340 15555 128.171.44.54 (25 May 2001 20:42:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 25 May 2001 20:42:20 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22133

W6RCecilA  <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>I don't think that most people can work out all of the functions of modern
>>scientific calculators using a pencil and paper.  Can you?

>What? You don't carry trig function tables around in your head?
>Sometimes you disappoint me, Ed.

All those functions are defined by power series. What your calculator
does is use the four arithmetic operations (addition, subtraction,
multiplication, and division) to arrive at say, a 9-digit approximation.
For example, regarding Cecil's trig function question:

 sin(x) = x - x^3/3! + x^5/5! - x^7/7! + x^9/9! - ...

You carry this sum out (on pencil and paper) using as many terms
as needed to meet your approximation requirements, just as your
calculator does. No trig tables are necessary; in fact, the above
is how the sine table itself was created.

KH6O


From 5841853838313795925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 13:57:46 PST
Message-ID: <3B0EC6C6.64579C76@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 15:55:35 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <20010523053310.29357.00000958@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3B0BC039.82DE5501@IEEE.org> <9emg3c$f63$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.111
X-Trace: corp 990823739 64.240.47.111 (25 May 2001 15:48:59 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22135

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> You carry this sum out (on pencil and paper) using as many terms
> as needed to meet your approximation requirements, just as your
> calculator does. No trig tables are necessary; in fact, the above
> is how the sine table itself was created.

So you never use a calculator, Jeff?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From -8798781086442633266
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 14:00:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 25 May 2001 20:54:29 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9emgq5$fjc$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010523081854.29903.00000971@ng-bh1.news.cs.com> <9egii0$sim$0@208.207.71.173>
<beb0a48b.0105230927.431184a5@posting.google.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990824069 15980 128.171.44.54 (25 May 2001 20:54:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 25 May 2001 20:54:29 GMT

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22136

Bert Craig <kc2hmn@arrl.net> wrote:
>spots...and whitewash was NOT needed. I remember an old "roundtable"
>discussion that used to occur on 27.685MHz almost every morning. These
>elderly "freebanders" would ragchew for about an hour or so and
>casually discuss everything from the weather to their experiences on
>the ham bands. "Ham bands?!" Yes, Dick...not all the leopards are the
>young, irreverent, punks you seem to catogorize them as. So next time

Yet you'd be hardpressed to provide any of their callsigns. And as we
know, free-banders do not bar themselves from using the ham bands.

If they were licensed, it just goes to show that even the riff-raff
can get licenses.

KH6O



From 86338178564684322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 15:02:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 22:01:34 GMT
References: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22137

In article <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>  By the way, watch out if you're ever in this situation.  Police
>officers have developed a ploy based on this.  It goes like this.  The
>officer will drive some distance to get a pile of vehicles behind him.
> At that point, he will exit the freeway.  Of course, as you describe,
>the vehicles will speed up just as soon as the police car is out of
>sight.  However, in this case, the officer reenters the freeway on the
>other side of the overpass and quickly comes up behind the cars that
>were just following him (the ones who sped up after he exited).  I've
>seen this ploy used over and over, and it almost always works.
>
Neat one: Here's another:
```

- In states where radar detectors are illegal, set up a radar gun in a place where the traffic really rolls. Set it up so that it cannot be seen from the road being surveyed, then see whose brakelights go on for no apparent reason. the license plate numbers are radioed ahead and the cars stopped for having a detector.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -8269039360622971777
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18eb6217a7bc66fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 15:10:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0ea8f9.43823160@news2.dvol.com>
<VjyP6.183$rn5.4938@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Holyshit, Here We Go! Wheee!
Lines: 57
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <hLAP6.47114$4f7.3402522@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 22:10:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.172.146
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990828621 12.88.172.146 (Fri, 25 May 2001 22:10:21 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 22:10:21 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22138

Hans, that makes too much sense. Are you sure it was intended for this newsgroup?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:VjyP6.183$rn5.4938@www.newsranger.com...
> On Fri, 25 May 2001 18:54:46 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv says:
>
> >''Detailed regulation of the nitty gritty of communication services,
> >including the Amateur Service, is not in the picture,'' Cross said.
> >''Rather, the FCC is shifting to strong and effective enforcement of

```
> >truly necessary regulations.'' The FCC, he said, now plans to look to
> >the amateur community to reach consensus on any new regulations it
> >thinks it wants and needs.
>
> I firmly believe that the amateur rules ought be simplified to:
>
> ----------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> 97.1  You are required to pass a technical test to show that you
> understand how to build simple equipment which meets spectral
> purity specifications of .....blah, blah, blah.  You will be
> issued a callsign when you pass the test.  Transmit your call
> sign once every 10 minutes when on the air.
>
> 97.2  Your power limit is 1.5KW to the antenna.
>
> 97.3  Here are your bands.  Stay inside of them.
>
> 97.4  Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and communicate
> and do public service and talk to strangers in far away lands and
> launch communications satelites into space and other technical radio
> stuff you may think up.  The government doesn't care what mode
> you use for any of this.
>
> 97.5  Play nice.  We'll try to keep the CBers out of your hair, and
> deliberate interference or other assinine behaviour on your part will
> cause Riley to come and kick your ass off the playground.  Have fun.
>
> Love always,
> /signed/ FCC
>
> ---------------------------------------------------------------------
>
>
>
>
>
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> --
>   Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.




From -1679208842338760241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4af5a84d705c784e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 15:12:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "zehr" <mgembry@mindspring.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Application Form
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 18:09:28 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9emlco$sqf$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.56.90.29
X-Server-Date: 25 May 2001 22:12:40 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.5
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22139

Hello group,

I am thinking about getting my ham ticket. I have couple of questions about the application form.

1) Can a P.O. Box number be used as your address?

2) Are you absolutely required to give submit your social security number?

On the form I've seen, it seems you can substitute a "FCC Licencee" ID #
What is that?
I have a General RadioTelephone license number. Would that suffice?

I do not reveal my SSN to just anyone who happens to ask, even the FCC.

From 8007005825879918800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 15:33:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 17:31:13 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net> <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-bh.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.141
X-Trace: corp 990829477 64.240.47.141 (25 May 2001 17:24:37 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.

```
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22140
```

N2EY wrote:

> - In states where radar detectors are illegal, set up a radar gun in a place
> where the traffic really rolls. Set it up so that it cannot be seen from the
> road being surveyed, then see whose brakelights go on for no apparent reason.
> the license plate numbers are radioed ahead and the cars stopped for having a
> detector.

One of my ham friends has a little hand-held transmitter that emits RF
in one of the radar bands. To amuse himself, he keys that transmitter
when he's tooling down the interstate with his camper and a speeder
passes him. He's seen drivers go into fish-tails while slowing down.
--
73, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7489979965120217138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4af5a84d705c784e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 16:15:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sjc-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdwqq60.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
References:  <9emlco$sqf$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Application Form
Lines: 48
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 23:15:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sjc-read.news.verio.net 990832557 63.178.69.156 (Fri, 25 May 2001 23:15:57 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 23:15:57 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22141

On Fri, 25 May 2001 18:09:28 -0400, zehr wrote:

>Hello group,
>
> I am thinking about getting my ham ticket. I have couple of questions
>about the application form.
>
>1) Can a P.O. Box number be used as your address?

  Yes, as long as mail can be delivered there by the US Postal
  Service. You are required to keep a current mailing address on
  file with the FCC and are held responsible for complying with all
  official mail sent to that address as if it was served on you
  personally.

>2) Are you absolutely required to give submit your social security number?

  Yup. (See below for why.)

> On the form I've seen, it seems you can substitute a "FCC Licencee" ID #
>What is that?

  That's a number that the FCC issues to you once you register on the
  FCC Universal Licensing System and give them your SSN/TIN for the
  record. Then you don't have to pound in your SSN/TIN each time.

>I have a General RadioTelephone license number. Would that suffice?

  Not at all.

>I do not reveal my SSN to just anyone who happens to ask, even the FCC.

  Federal law says that if you don't, the FCC does not have to
  grant you the license even if you meet all other qualifications.

  All Federal agencies and some state agencies are required to check
  your SSN against a "deadbeat" file of folks who are in arrears on
  court-ordered child support payments and on debts owed to the Feds
  before issuing a license or other benefit to you.

  Play it straight......do you REALLY want to be on their "this guy is
  a yoyo" list?

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
   ARRL Volunteer Counsel

```
From 6685053727825754022
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 16:22:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 25 May 2001 23:22:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9empgf$rsh$0@208.206.142.94>
References: <9eea2t263b@enews2.newsguy.com> <20010524210608.15631.00000189@ng-
fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22142
```

```
W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: tlbryant@my-deja.com  (T. L. Bryant)
>
> >> So, you think the ARRL's revenue has been declining? Just like the
> >>total number of ham radio operators has been declining. Right.
>
> >The master of irrelevance strikes again
>
> In what way do you think his statement irrelevant to the post he responded to
> where 'BK implied that ARRL revenue was declining.  Neither ARRL revenue or the
> the number of hams is dropping.


 Anything he doesn't like is irrelevabt.
```

```
From -5520944011601332739
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 16:41:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 25 May 2001 23:41:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9emqk4$41b$0@208.206.142.94>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b0afd14.239925822@news2.dvol.com>
<9ekevr01tb5@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22143

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <3b0afd14.239925822@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com says...
>
> >UPS got it. The aeronautical services will probably get the rest. You
> >said: "This is exactly the kind of attitude that will kill off amateur
> >radio."   I say what would refarming 222-225 do with anybody's
> >"attitude"?
> >>
> >w3rv
>
> According to the comments of one FCC official back in the late 1980s, hams
> attitudes regarding code testing had quite a lot to do with it.

Gee. I wonder why we don't get an attributed quote? And why does a
minor FCC functionary who probably knows even less about radiotelegraphy
than the current crop, have the corner on facts?

From -4845099895952342853
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 17:00:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 26 May 2001 00:00:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9emrmr$8ee$0@208.206.142.94>
References: <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <20010523181956.10307.00000087@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22144

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Evidently neither of you has ever taught a code class. Darn few people
> >are ever able to form a dit or a dah at the outset, they invariably make
> >it all sound alike until they're taught the difference and how to form
> >each. Even learning that takes takes some practice.
>
> Neither the FCC or the VE process has tested sending for decades. Without a
> forced code-sending test, how are people learning to send?

So where did I say that people can't teach themselves? What I said was that the first time most people try to key Morse code they try to make it all dits. Those who are in the process of learning the code soon learn how to form dits and dahs. They woldn't have that luxury if thrown in a situation where they needed to send emergency message traffic NOW.

From 5802441327886093871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 17:01:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 26 May 2001 00:01:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14

```
Message-ID: <9emrnu$8ee$1@208.206.142.94>
References: <20010525003409.07982.00001988@nso-cm.aol.com>
<20010525075131.29298.00001542@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22145



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: n2ey@aol.com  (N2EY)
>
> >I dunno. I do know, however, that I learned by practicing on a straight key
> >(still have that J-37) all by myself.
>
> Was Dick there to tell you not to send all dits when you wanted to send dits
> and dahs?


 You don't do all that well as a smartass, Ed.


From -4908987539915693880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 17:03:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 26 May 2001 00:03:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9emrs5$8ee$2@208.206.142.94>
References: <9ei21e$6b6$0@208.207.71.149> <20010524203523.25364.00001183@ng-
ma1.news.cs.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22146
```

KC2HMN wrote:
>
> >You and all other respondents missed the point - the whitewash.
>
> Actually Dick, the point here is that you're the only one who sees any
> "whitewash." I'm just a little unsure if that's by choice or involuntary. The
> leopards you speak of aren't predators to be dreaded...they're a challenge to
> be tamed.

Oh, yeah, all the freebanding cats are going to immediately change
their stripes right away when they get that freebie ham ticket. Right.
never again will they even remotely consider violating a FCC rule.
Right.

From 2101420291483373340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 17:10:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 26 May 2001 00:10:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9emsa5$8ee$3@208.206.142.94>
References: <9eckjf$bsn$0@208.206.142.73> <20010525074001.29298.00001538@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22147

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Nothing the ARRL does today will effect that happens in the next century.
>
> Do you feel that the actions ARRL took in the last century to persuade the
> government to allow Amateur Radio to continue after it was shut down during
> wartime had an effect on what happens to Amateur Radio in this century?

I'm holding you to the same standard you try on everyone else. Next century is 99 years and 5 months away.

From 4643680145912462924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 17:27:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 26 May 2001 00:27:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9emt95$8ee$4@208.206.142.94>
References: <3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <20010525073205.29298.00001535@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22148

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>
> >When was the last time the FCC auctioned off
> >spectrum space because it didn't approve of the "attitudes" of the
> >current users of that spectrum space?
>
> Some say that 220-222 MHz falls into that category.

And the virtually total amateur non-use of the band had nothing to do
with it. Right.

From -1968145044463449546
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 17:30:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 26 May 2001 00:28:01 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <9emtah$p84$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsgu <9eh5g801vdr@enews3.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990836881 25860 128.171.44.54 (26 May 2001 00:28:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 26 May 2001 00:28:01 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22149

T. L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote:
>quo is considered a threat. This is the entire basis of the code/no-code
>debate that's raged for the past ten years.

It began around 1920...

> This is why amateurs lost the lower
>portion or the 220 band to the land mobile service. (According to an
>interview
>with one FCC official)

Lost due to under-use -- that's no secret.

>This is also why potential new hams are turned off to
>amateur radio, let their licenses expire, or are licensed but are
inactive and will probably not renew.

We would have lost them anyway; this is not an every-man's hobby.
A prospective ham should have a genuine interest in radio
communications and electronics to maintain their interest in
amateur radio, or else he'll be gone in no time. AFAIC, it's
not loss if he leaves. We shouldn't have to beg someone to stay
if he has no real interest in abiding by S97.1 (a section that the
FCC says all amateurs individually should be abiding by). =:o

>When was the last time you had a conversation with a DX
>station that was more than just a callsign and signal report exchange?
>When was
>the last time you had a conversation on HF with a stranger that
>consisted fo
>something other than the basic "Rig hr is..., WX hr is.."?

You need to get an HF receiver so you can hear the long-winded QSOs that
take place!

Usually, the only time short exchanges are done is during contests
or pile-ups; neither are appropriate times for lengthy QSOs. Don't
you know this? It's part of our heritage/culture/custom. There are
long-standing reasons for every (lawful) procedure you hear used on
the bands.

KH6O

From 2702172236710697488
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 17:35:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 26 May 2001 00:35:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9emtnn$id1$0@208.206.142.94>
References: <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com> <20010525073510.29298.00001536@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22150

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
>
> >If the ARRL and amateur radio is to survive
> >in the next century, they're going to have to explore more ways to expose
> >themselves to people that have an interest in electronic and communications.
> >Wayne Green, as much of a kook as he is at times, has been saying this for
> >years.
>
> Those with an interest in electronics and communications have always formed the
> reservoir from which Amateur Radio operators have come. While I agree with
> you, I have to note that those with an interest in electronics and
> communications know that Amateur Radio exists. Maybe the best PR ARRL could
> do is to convince them that the hateful attitudes of a few toward new hams do
> not represent the views of most hams.
>

Ed, I'm convinced that you are an absolute wimp. The "new" nasties can
say anything they wish in derogation of older hams who actually just did
what was required to meed the rules, and you remain totally silent until
someone protests at which time you get off on us as "hateful attitudes
toward newer hams".

For your information, there are many, many newer hams out there who just meet the requirements in silence -requiremnts which HAVE been seriously degraded, and have no part of all this derisive bullshit. And no one has any issue with them. I have said many times no new licensee is at fault because the requirements are nearly nonexistant. The big problems is all the longtime lazies who sat and chewed on their bile because they 'just didn't want to".

```
From 6525072770050200989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 17:35:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 00:34:22 GMT
References: <beb0a48b.0105230927.431184a5@posting.google.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525203422.12759.00001495@nso-fz.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22151
```

In article <beb0a48b.0105230927.431184a5@posting.google.com>, kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig) writes:

>I remember an old "roundtable"
>discussion that used to occur on 27.685MHz almost every morning. These
>elderly "freebanders" would ragchew for about an hour or so and
>casually discuss everything from the weather to their experiences on
>the ham bands.

Fascinating. Were they using callsigns?

>"Ham bands?!" Yes, Dick...not all the leopards are the
>young, irreverent, punks you seem to catogorize them as. So next time
>you visit your local ARC, be careful...you may be shaking hands with a
>leopard, albeit a quiet one.

There's a couple of things about this story that I don't understand.

If the folks you heard were actually licensed hams, why were they on 27.685? Why not use 10 meters?

If they didn't use callsigns, how do you know they were licensed hams? They could be freebanders who masquerade as hams when in the ham bands.

If they DID use callsigns, are you sure they weren't actually on 28.595?

> I myself know quite a few licensed hams
>who got their start on CB

So do I. No big deal. Some folks raise such a fuss over old events - like whether somebody did or did not inhale...

>or, dare I say...well, you know where.;-)

I don't know "where" you are referring to.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 8590774283697296914
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 17:37:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 26 May 2001 00:37:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9emts7$id1$1@208.206.142.94>
References: <20010524205655.15631.00000187@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010524225007.05666.00004216@nso-fo.aol.com> <3B0E80EF.97DDCF60@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.94
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22152
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

  If _I_ was stranded on a
> remote enclave, I would have the equipment I own or nothing at all.
> Since I don't own a low powered transceiver, I'm not going to have one
> to use on that remote enclave. And, since that remote enclave is not
> likely to have a convenient local Radio Shack store, I'm not going to
> be able to build one either. So, if I'm not able to use what I have,
> I'm not going to be able to use anything at all. Therefore, your
> theoretical emergency situation has no basis in reality.

It is very clear that we won't have toworry about Dwight trying to send
CW when he doesn't know how. But we already knew that. He prefers to
give it up and let nature take its course, without trying.

From -1939293388110925360
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 17:55:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 00:54:36 GMT
References: <3B0E6F25.E052E2B0@sccoast.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525205436.07772.00001306@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22153

>On the CB in many parts of the country, "good buddy" is derogatory -
>used by those who are a little too good of a buddy when it comes to
>male friends. Therefore, calling someone a "good buddy," who is not,
>could lead to an argument, at the very least.

Thanks for the update, Dwight. The last time I operated on AM was...much longer
ago than I'd care to admit. (My in-laws periodically try to submit a hair
sample from my comb for carbon-14 testing...to no avail:-))

Actually, the last time I was on AM (per say) was practicing CW with my 14 year
old niece on 11 meters. We adapted the MFJ code practice oscillator for this
purpose. Pretty pleasant, I must say. Thanks again and have a great memorial
day w/e.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -4290726321681633971
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:07:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19

X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:06:34 GMT
References: <9emgq5$fjc$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525210634.07772.00001307@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22154

Hello Jeffrey,

>Yet you'd be hardpressed to provide any of their callsigns. And as we
>know, free-banders do not bar themselves from using the ham bands.
>

Agreed...but this obviously works both ways as well.

>If they were licensed, it just goes to show that even the riff-raff
>can get licenses.

I'd hardly label them riff-raff, they were certainly NOBODY'S lids. Is is conceivable that they were merely some licensed OT's that found a place to "let their hair (funny) down." The lack of FCC enforcement on 11 meters over the years provided them with little discouragement. Take it easy and have a nice memorial day.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 8494930129185863818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:30:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:29:34 GMT
References: <20010525203422.12759.00001495@nso-fz.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525212934.07772.00001309@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22155

Hello Jim,

I live in Long Island, NY. When I heard these "QSO's," I resided in Nassau county...approximately thirty miles west of my present QTH.

>Fascinating. Were they using callsigns?
>

Yeah, it was pretty fascinating. They did NOT use callsigns. Instead, they just used first names. I remember Emil, Trudy, and Victor. (from Queens) In all, there were about six or seven at any given time. I remember Emil's home town, but I think that's a bit much to post on a NG. Plus, I fear some may even be SK's.

>If the folks you heard were actually licensed hams, why were they on 27.685?
>Why not use 10 meters?

Good question, I think I inadvertantly answered this in my reply to Jeffrey. Is it conceivable that these were (possibly still are) just some licensed OT's that found a place to QSY to let their hair (funny) down for a bit?

>If they didn't use callsigns, how do you know they were licensed hams? They
>could be freebanders who masquerade as hams when in the ham bands.

That's always a possibility but I honestly did not get that impression. These were certainly NOBODY'S lids and just kept the "round table" to themselves. Freebanders" are generally DX hunters and they did make occassional references to their amateur related activities.

>If they DID use callsigns, are you sure they weren't actually on 28.595?

No definitly not, my first rig didn't even make it up to 28.5850MHz. (just 27.3150MHz) Back then, 99% of the freebanders knew the deal, NEVER stray into 10 meters. Sadly, this seems to no longer be the case.

 >I don't know "where" you are referring to.

I was referring to the portion of the 11 meter band from 27.4051MHz through 27.9999MHz. (although many wouldn't go past 27.8500MHz for fear of interferring with the 10 meter Novice sub-band) Hope this info helped. I'm not trying to be a smart-alec, this stuff surely occurred. Take it easy and have a nice memorial day.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 1940723321768922618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:36:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 21:37:01 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3B0F08B9.A0B38D57@sccoast.net>
References: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net> <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-bh.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-161.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990840976 335140 206.74.49.161 (26 May 2001 01:36:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 01:36:16 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22156

N2EY wrote:
>
> - In states where radar detectors are
> illegal, set up a radar gun in a place
> where the traffic really rolls. Set it
> up so that it cannot be seen from the
> road being surveyed, then see whose
> brakelights go on for no apparent reason.
> the license plate numbers are radioed
> ahead and the cars stopped for having a
> detector.

Yep, I've seen that one. An alternative is to simply leave a radar transmitter hidden at some location all the time, just to slow down cars with radar detectors. It doesn't fool the locals, who quickly catch on, but it does slow down over drivers.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From 7682040405326638372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4af5a84d705c784e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:36:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:36:15 GMT
References: <9emlco$sqf$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Application Form
Message-ID: <20010525213615.07772.00001311@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22157

>1) Can a P.O. Box number be used as your address?
>

Yes.

>2) Are you absolutely required to give submit your social security number?

Yes.

>On the form I've seen, it seems you can substitute a "FCC Licencee" ID #
>What is that?

Not sure, perhaps a previous ham ticket.

>I have a General RadioTelephone license number. Would that suffice?

Me too, I don't think so.

>
>I do not reveal my SSN to just anyone who happens to ask, even the FCC.
>

Catch 22, want the ticket?...give up the SSN.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 4016652080936700640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:40:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:34:56 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9en180$t71$1@news.hawaii.edu>

References: <3B0C1167.AABFE074@earthlink.net> <20010523174608.10307.00000075@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990840896 29921 128.171.44.54 (26 May 2001 01:34:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 26 May 2001 01:34:56 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22158

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>>'ll go you one better: I'll bet that a large number of current high school
>>grads can'tdo simple algebra problems without 'em.

>I will check with a few high-school teachers in the area and see if we can
>administer:
>2x=21 solve for X.

How about something with some meat in it: y^4/3 + 8y^2/9 + 7/9 = 0.* Sure, it's a 4th degree polynomial with fractional coefficients, but clearing the fractions, doing a simple substitution of y^2 = x, using the quadratic formula, doing the back-substitution x = y^2, and finally taking the +/- square roots, would show that a student retained an elementary mastery of algebra. The real test of a student's algebraic ability would be to present some word-problems in which he would have to construct the equations himself, then solve them. A calculator is of no help in this case.

>I bet most pass.

Then answer me this: Why do most (if not all) community colleges have to offer remedial mathematics, beginning with arithmetic (which should have been mastered in elementary school)? And why do these multi-sectioned classes (offered every hour of the day) have long waiting lists of student trying to get in?

The public schools are doing an awful job of educating our youth; the freshman curriculums of our junior/community colleges prove this.

KH6O

From -8143061706103662425
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:40:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>

From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <MmkP6.30812$BN6.1613108@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010525075545.29298.00001545@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 44
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <nQDP6.33069$BN6.1860013@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 01:40:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.232.249
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990841235 209.245.232.249 (Fri, 25 May
2001 18:40:35 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 18:40:35 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 18:38:49 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22159

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010525075545.29298.00001545@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> >From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>
> >> Of course, that won't stop some from talking about the Secret Agenda to
> >Turn
> >> ARRL Into a CB Organization. :-)
>
> >Uh, that must be me. :p
>
> Actually, no; you have expressed a view that ARRL should do so (you have
> communicated that to your Director, no?), but you have not alleged that
ARRL is
> secretly trying to do just that.
>

No, I have not--although one particular individual apparently doesn't
recognize that ;)

I think this topic is a great discussion--but way too early for something
like a serious request to the ARRL leadership! Goodness, there'd be
contentious debate and likely probable hobby wounds if this were to come to
the forefront of philosophy inside the ARRL. I think something like it will
happen someday--something even like a couple of the other alternatives that
have been mentioned in this thread. But now? No, I don't think so.

It is hopeful that as topics such as this are discussed, there is a little
less inclination for exclusionary thoughts such as some tend to
express--more because of deep rooted sentiment than because of anything
truly logical. I was not affected by the CB bands being taken away from ham
radio, so it's hard for me to feel bitter about it. Seeing the history of

that, and the bitterness left with some individuals becomes my compulsion to
try to look at things from all kinds of different angles.

> As I said, if the issue ever comes up on the plate, your Director and my
> Director will hear two very different viewpoints, I am sure. :-)
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Kim W5TIT

From 8451772118415841034
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4af5a84d705c784e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:42:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Application Form
In-Reply-To: <9emlco$sqf$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105260139050.4368-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <9emlco$sqf$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 27
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 01:42:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.47
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990841335 12.80.44.47 (Sat, 26 May 2001 01:42:15 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 01:42:15 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22160

On Fri, 25 May 2001, zehr wrote:
> Hello group,
>
>   I am thinking about getting my ham ticket. I have couple of questions
> about the application form.
>
> 1) Can a P.O. Box number be used as your address?

Yes.

> 2) Are you absolutely required to give submit your social security number?
>
> On the form I've seen, it seems you can substitute a "FCC Licencee" ID #
> What is that?

Yes. Those who have gotten an "FCC Licensee ID #" had to give their SSN to get one. There's NO WAY around it. - Debt Reduction Act of 1996.

> I have a General RadioTelephone license number. Would that suffice?

No.

> I do not reveal my SSN to just anyone who happens to ask, even the FCC.

Then you will not have any new or renewed FCC licenses. You're lucky that your GROL doesn't expire....

From -7476281629722204339
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:43:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 21:44:08 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3B0F0A62.B472B4A9@sccoast.net>
References: <3B0E6F25.E052E2B0@sccoast.net> <20010525205436.07772.00001306@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-161.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990841404 335691 206.74.49.161 (26 May 2001 01:43:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 01:43:24 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22161

KC2HMN wrote:
>

> Thanks for the update, Dwight. The last
> time I operated on AM was...much longer
> ago than I'd care to admit. (My in-laws
> periodically try to submit a hair sample
> from my comb for carbon-14 testing...to
> no avail:-))

I found out about it after saying "good buddy" to a local CB'er. When he calmed down, he explained the new meaning. I've since asked another CB'er and he had the same explanation. I guess this is another one of those new meanings for an old phrase, like the word "gay."

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From -1489841737933266238
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:44:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:43:33 GMT
References: <9emrs5$8ee$2@208.206.142.94>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525214333.07772.00001314@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22162

Hi Dick,

>Oh, yeah, all the freebanding cats are going to immediately change
>their stripes right away when they get that freebie ham ticket. Right.
>never again will they even remotely consider violating a FCC rule.
>Right.

Never said they'd all change, but I'm SURE some would. If you alienate them ALL from the "get-go," then you'll NEVER get the opportunity to Elmer those who are a nudge or two away from "flying straight and level." It's a case by case thing, can't dump em' all into the same barrel. Take it easy and have a nice memorial day w/e.

73 de Bert

KC2HMN

From 5980788523104419306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:50:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:44:01 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9en1p1$gh$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9eepsc$3m6$1@208.206.142.79> <20010523182618.10307.00000089@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990841441 529 128.171.44.54 (26 May 2001 01:44:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 26 May 2001 01:44:01 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22163

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>Of all the lives saved by ham radio, what percentage have been saved by
>Morse code communication?

Why restrict your question to just ham radio? In the maritime service,
an untold number of tens of thousands have been saved because of Morse.
Ninety years of iceberg reports and severe WX warnings issued from shore
stations, SOS calls from burning or sinking passenger liners, Navy and
civilian ships struck by mines during WWI and WWII -- the list could
cover a hundred different scenarios and still not be complete.

KH6O

From -374109136479014126
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:51:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:50:49 GMT
References: <3B0F0A62.B472B4A9@sccoast.net>

Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525215049.07772.00001315@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22164

Hi again Dwight,

I remember when a fag was a cigarette and gay meant happy. Where's George Carlin when you need him?

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -5225651301637191694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:53:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010525203422.12759.00001495@nso-fz.aol.com>
<20010525212934.07772.00001309@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 100
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Q0EP6.33096$BN6.1861868@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 01:53:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.232.249
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990842032 209.245.232.249 (Fri, 25 May 2001 18:53:52 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 18:53:52 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 18:52:06 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22165

I remember getting back involved with CB radio just a couple of years before getting my ham license. The last time I'd been on BC radio was pretty much as a teen-ager, with my uncle's CB radio.

When we (hubby and I) took a trip back up to NY I got a little Midland 40 channel CB--had _no idea_ that there was such a thing as SSB. When we returned I decided to keep the radio in the car and listen for a while to see if there was any local activity. After several nights of listening to "buzzing" on Channel 32 and trying to tell whomever it was that their radio was broke--I was delighted to hear Shelly come on one night and tell me all about sideband. I immediately went out and bought a Radio Shack CB, capable of SSB. Had a blast, and was introduced to the "funny" channels. There's CB radio club--the Rebel Club--that we became members of and had great fun with everyone.

In the second year I learned of ham radio, learned of a class, and hubby and I went off together and got our ham ticket. The Cobra 2000, with its funny channels and all, was sold, and we never again got on the "funny" channels--in fact didn't even really tell anyone there of our intentions.

Just as Bert mentions below--imagine my amazement when I would be talking to people on the ham bands that I _sure_ did think I recognized their voice. It was several months before I really put 2 and 2 together. Many of the very people, nice people who had an extreme prejudice against echo mics, etc., (should have clued me in), who I had talked to on the "funny" channels on CB were now voices out there on ham radio.

With that, it's been real again...and at least more pleasant exchanges and dialogue than before! Maybe I can get in again...but I am out again.

Y'all have fun

Kim W5TIT

"KC2HMN" <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010525212934.07772.00001309@ng-fz1.news.cs.com...
> Hello Jim,
>
> I live in Long Island, NY. When I heard these "QSO's," I resided in Nassau
> county...approximately thirty miles west of my present QTH.
>
> >Fascinating. Were they using callsigns?
> >
>
> Yeah, it was pretty fascinating. They did NOT use callsigns. Instead, they
just
> used first names. I remember Emil, Trudy, and Victor. (from Queens) In
all,
> there were about six or seven at any given time. I remember Emil's home
town,
> but I think that's a bit much to post on a NG. Plus, I fear some may even
be
> SK's.
>
> >If the folks you heard were actually licensed hams, why were they on
27.685?
> >Why not use 10 meters?
>
> Good question, I think I inadvertantly answered this in my reply to

Jeffrey. Is
> it conceivable that these were (possibly still are) just some licensed
OT's
> that found a place to QSY to let their hair (funny) down for a bit?
>
> >If they didn't use callsigns, how do you know they were licensed hams?
They
> >could be freebanders who masquerade as hams when in the ham bands.
>
> That's always a possibility but I honestly did not get that impression.
These
> were certainly NOBODY'S lids and just kept the "round table" to
themselves.
> Freebanders" are generally DX hunters and they did make occassional
references
> to their amateur related activities.
>
> >If they DID use callsigns, are you sure they weren't actually on 28.595?
>
> No definitly not, my first rig didn't even make it up to 28.5850MHz. (just
> 27.3150MHz) Back then, 99% of the freebanders knew the deal, NEVER stray
into
> 10 meters. Sadly, this seems to no longer be the case.
>
> >I don't know "where" you are referring to.
>
> I was referring to the portion of the 11 meter band from 27.4051MHz
through
> 27.9999MHz. (although many wouldn't go past 27.8500MHz for fear of
interferring
> with the 10 meter Novice sub-band) Hope this info helped. I'm not trying
to be
> a smart-alec, this stuff surely occurred. Take it easy and have a nice
memorial
> day.
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN
>

From 6477581937412944707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1075882ea8ee2f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:57:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:57:07 GMT
References: <3B0E4243.5DC82C9C@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Who IS this beauty??    teen48.jpg  5033   [1/7]
Message-ID: <20010525215707.03759.00002800@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22166
```

In article <3B0E4243.5DC82C9C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:

```
>
>allison@allison19.REMOVE.com wrote:
>>
>> kzmoizlbfrquxseoxbiehxh
>
>If only we had that DSP filtered algorithm...
>
>Dave K8MN
```

Dave:

Actually, I sent kzmoizlbfrquxseoxbiehxh several times at 20 WPM
on my right mouse button, and a photo of a beautiful teen-age girl
wearing nothing but strawberry lip gloss appeared on my monitor!
I don' need no steenking DSP filtered algoes!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 1826852656066105167
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:57:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.telebeam.net!washdc3-snf1!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:57:08 GMT
References: <3B0E8764.DA4DC9E0@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010525215708.03759.00002801@nso-ch.aol.com>
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22167

In article <3B0E8764.DA4DC9E0@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> [snip] I don't know about you, but all
>> of the truly "disabled" hams I know are
>> code-proficient, code-loving, and CW
>> using hams who fully support the concept
>> of code testing under pre-Restructuring
>> guidelines. [snip]
>
>
> Larry, didn't you use the exact same phrase a few days ago when
>talking about those with an interest in electronics - the only
>electronic experts you know are "code-proficient, code-loving, and CW
>using hams who fully support the concept of code testing?" Do you
>limit the people you know to just "code-proficient, code-loving, and
>CW using hams who fully support the concept of code testing?"

Dwight:

Not at all. Trust me, the hams I describe above are a very tiny
minority among all the hams I know and have known.

>> The waiver system existed mainly to serve
>> lying, cheating, criminally dishonest
>> people seeking a way around the code
>> testing requirement any way they could.
>
>
> Stop by and visit sometime. When you do, I'll introduce you to a
>friend of mine. He lost both arms, and a leg, in the Korean war and
>has been a ham for almost twenty years solely because of the
>disability waiver. Contrary to the BS above, he is the type of person
>the waiver existed for.

Contrary to the BS above, he wasn't born with a Constitutional right
to an amateur radio license! If I could retroactively cancel every
license issued with a "disability waiver," I'd gladly pull his out from
his clenched teeth! Then, like thousands of disabled hams that
came before him, he'd go ahead and earn it back by meeting the
existing code testing requirements! You see, unlike yourself, I'm
not inclined to sell him short!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4459483450044518679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 08:23:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 11:15:43 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3B0D259F.5E33F128@psu.edu>
References: <9ecqlo$qvk$0@208.206.142.73> <20010523182323.10307.00000088@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pubs03.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73C-CCK-MCD {C-UDP; EBM-APPLE} (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en,pdf
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22017

That is a pretty structured test. And not real relevant to actually trying to send commmunications. Its just a test of alternating long and short pulses. I suspect that when actually trying to send something that requires thinking, the problem will arise.

I know it's a problem I had when I started, and am still having to watch out for.

- mike -

W1RFI wrote:

> Let's put it to the test. I can take 10 non-hams from the ARRL staff and give
> them a code key and ask them to alternate dits and dahs. I will record it to a
> .wav file and post it to a URL. We can let the very court of public opinion
> here address whether people can send dits and dahs without your showing them
> how to do it.

From -6879818953172345922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 08:53:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <9eepsc$3m6$1@208.206.142.79> <20010523182618.10307.00000089@ng-cu1.aol.com>

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <k7aP6.6488$r4.402013@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 11:52:48 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 15:52:48 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22018

On 23 May 2001 22:26:18 GMT, W1RFI says:

>Of all the lives saved by ham radio, what percentage have been saved by Morse
>code communication?

Hams don't generally select their favorite mode based on it's potential to save lives. If they did, EME, Hellschrieber, and LOWFERS would have no followers at all.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From 7298326646300443354
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 10:00:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 09:55:42 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3B0D3D0E.7CDC4CED@uci.edu>
References: <3B07B5F0.58E25D39@IEEE.org> <20010521064047.08733.00000496@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <9ebaik$bb4$0@208.206.142.78> <3B096BCE.49EC4C7C@IEEE.org>
<9eck5o$9nk$2@208.206.142.73> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdpqcn4.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<3B0A6A68.636F869F@IEEE.org> <3B0ABACD.CF4EA49E@uci.edu>
<3B0AD9F4.DF71C75E@IEEE.org> <3B0AFC89.A7D90DF8@uci.edu>
<3B0B1AB8.FEAEB694@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22019

W6RCecilA wrote:
>
> Jim Kelley wrote:
> > Yes. People who don't know Morse code usually will get the characters
> > mixed up.

> It was worse than that, Jim. I would send an 'A' or an 'N' and then
> ask him if that was a 'di-dah' or a 'dah-dit'. He couldn't tell me.

It could be that people who are not yet accustomed to listening to monotonic, temporally modulated, encoded audio text may not real good at decoding it until they become more accustomed to listening to it. Just a wild guess.

Try asking somebody to whistle the first two notes of a melody they just heard for the first time. Then when they can't, tell them how you think they must have some kind of a disorder.

73, AC6XG

> I even tried asking, "Was that a 'short-long' or a 'long-short'? He
> couldn't tell me. This was 5wpm character timing. It was really
> frustrating. He passed the no-code Tech exam.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2807358313818719363
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 11:23:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 24 May 2001 18:22:11 GMT
References: <IO8P6.6331$r4.390979@www.newsranger.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Message-ID: <20010524142211.01308.00001204@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22020

>Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/24/01 9:22 AM Central Daylight Time

>Really! Wow!

Once again you live up to my expectations, Hans. Too bad.

>You're finding a pound of pot at prices lower than that?

What I find is that some people find it "easier" to get pot than bread...in other words, they would RATHER spend thier money on pot than bread...do we understand now?

The point made by the other poster was about having to live up to the general public's expectations. My comment was to (sarastically) determine what that view really is.

But I rather assume that you knew that.

The Gunny

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 561024691181707105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-Thread: 103929,1d360a5852e603a2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid103929,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 12:44:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: "Toni" <toni207@cs.com>
Newsgroups:

fr.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
Subject: W6HLH
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 14:44:14 -0500
Organization: CompuServe Interactive Services
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: host-216-76-249-146.pns.bellsouth.net
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 990733454 6757 216.76.249.146 (24 May 2001 19:44:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 May 2001 19:44:14 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Xref: archiver1.google.com fr.rec.radio.amateur:12723 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16003 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22021 rec.radio.shortwave:34851 tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:888

If you hear W6HLH, George "the Bigot" Lunge, CQing on his self appointed Band of 10 meters, do not give him the time of day. This poor excuse for a human is a bigot of the worse kind and doesn't even think twice about spouting racial slurs over the airways. It is a shame that his rhetoric is protected by free speech as it does nothing but disservice the Amateur Radio Community and decent people everywhere.

Toni
SWL & Scanners

From 7913137860406901658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 103929,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid103929,public
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 12:51:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-atl4.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0D6708.B71BCAFC@coqui.net>

From: Bill Meacham <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net>
Reply-To: exray@coqui.net
Organization: www.sparkbench.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en,es-ES
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
fr.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
Subject: Re: W6HLH
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 15:50:00 EDT
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 15:54:48 -0400
Xref: archiver1.google.com fr.rec.radio.amateur:12724 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16004
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22022 rec.radio.shortwave:34852
tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:889

HAHAHA - I thought it was 14.195 that Brownie owned? Must have moved.
He's been that way for at least 30 years. He's a QRM magnet.
Geez, I had forgotten all about him!

-Bill WX4A

Toni wrote:
>
> If you hear W6HLH, George "the Bigot" Lunge, CQing on his self appointed
> Band of 10 meters, do not give him the time of day. This poor excuse for a
> human is a bigot of the worse kind and doesn't even think twice about
> spouting racial slurs over the airways. It is a shame that his rhetoric is
> protected by free speech as it does nothing but disservice the Amateur Radio
> Community and decent people everywhere.
>
> Toni
> SWL & Scanners

From -8019607363269612619
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 13:39:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>

Subject: FCC finally "get's it"
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <ejeP6.6903$r4.437236@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 16:38:34 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 20:38:34 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22023

The FCC seems to be getting close to saying:

"Hey, Hams.

"Here are your bands. Use them well. We'll stay out of your face, but play nice or Riley will come kick your ass off the playground.

"Love always, FCC

Details at: http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/05/24/4/?nc=1

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From 4009615864657545233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 103929,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid103929,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 14:27:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups:
fr.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
Subject: Re: W6HLH
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 14:26:20 -0700

```
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3B0D7C7C.3514D97C@firstinter.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<3B0D6708.B71BCAFC@coqui.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 34
Xref: archiver1.google.com fr.rec.radio.amateur:12727 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16005
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22024 rec.radio.shortwave:34870
tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:890
```

Yes, this guy is bad news as a ham radio ambassador. In 1960, when I was just an SWL, I heard him lambasting me on 20M because the SWL QSL card I sent him (I was 12 years old) wasn't very close to his frequency. My old Zenith console 1940 radio didn't have any kind of a bandspread whatsoever. Ridicule was his stock in trade back then. In recent years, I've heard him come up on 20M calling CQ on top of an existing qso, and then lambast them when they inform him the frequency is in use. I just hope Riley hears him on the air someday, and kicks his knickers off. He is not the kind of ham we need on the air representing amateur radio in the 21st century.

Rick Tannehill
W7RT

Bill Meacham wrote:
>
> HAHAHA - I thought it was 14.195 that Brownie owned? Must have moved.
> He's been that way for at least 30 years. He's a QRM magnet.
> Geez, I had forgotten all about him!
>
> -Bill WX4A
>
> Toni wrote:
> >
> > If you hear W6HLH, George "the Bigot" Lunge, CQing on his self appointed
> > Band of 10 meters, do not give him the time of day. This poor excuse for a
> > human is a bigot of the worse kind and doesn't even think twice about
> > spouting racial slurs over the airways. It is a shame that his rhetoric is
> > protected by free speech as it does nothing but disservice the Amateur Radio
> > Community and decent people everywhere.
> >
> > Toni
> > SWL & Scanners

```
From 2512308970194134357
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 103929,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid103929,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 16:24:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!
feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!rcn!not-for-mail
From: "RadioFan" <rf@go.com>
Newsgroups:
fr.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.sho
rtwave,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
Subject: Re: W6HLH
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 23:13:14 +0100
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <9ek47h$gl6$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVZv/lFde6XrfL9dGzOlPAROYScoPAnFC48H0moXeRJQDIpaUVEIzNsi
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 May 2001 23:07:29 GMT
X-MimeOLE:  Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
X-Newsreader:  Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.1
Xref: archiver1.google.com fr.rec.radio.amateur:12728 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16007
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22025 rec.radio.shortwave:34881
tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:891
```

I haven't heard this particular operator (W6HLH), but I must disagree with the sentiment that "It is a shame that his rhetoric is protected by free speech...", as I happen to believe that there is nothing more important than this freedom. Thank God (and the wisdom of Founding Fathers) that we allow this type of talk.

"I may disagree with your words, but I will fight to the death for your right to speak them." ...or something close to that.

It provides the rest of us with the oportunity to hear those against whom we must be vigilant.

...and I seem to recall that Hitler abolished free speech pretty early in his time in power.

Just a thought, and...

Just my two cents.

73

```
Toni wrote in message
<9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>...
>If you hear W6HLH, George "the Bigot" Lunge, CQing on his self appointed
>Band of 10 meters, do not give him the time of day.  This poor excuse for a
>human is a bigot of the worse kind and doesn't even think twice about
>spouting racial slurs over the airways.  It is a shame that his rhetoric is
>protected by free speech as it does nothing but disservice the Amateur
Radio
>Community and decent people everywhere.
>
>Toni
>SWL & Scanners
>
>
>
>




From -2334232216823211004
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 16:28:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!falcon.america.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!
teleglobe.net!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!
news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 24 May 2001 23:10:43 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <9ek4dj$orq$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <%
%tO6.3345$r4.177765@www.newsranger.com> <3B0A78B8.661D2DC@IEEE.org>
<YlvO6.3477$r4.190909@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990745843 25466 128.171.44.55 (24 May 2001 23:10:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 May 2001 23:10:43 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22026

K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:
>Jeff seems to have some sort of crisis of credibility and truthfulness.
>On one hand he admits that he is trying to "set you up" to check your
>truthfulness (as if you would bother to lie about what kind of rig you
>first
>owned).
```

Only to see if he actually purchased it assembled as he claimed. He remembered the monthly payments, so I was going to ask him its total cost. From that I could have determined if he'd actually purchased the built or kit version (I have the same Globe Scout ad as you posted).

>On the other hand, in contrast to this "honesty check" he'd perform on
>you, he
>has a history of spoofing the FCC to obtain a Guam (KH2PZ) call sign for
>himself,

Nonsense, and you're committing libel and defamation of character by writing that. My KH2 call was obtained during a short stay at UOG. It was a nice opportunity to dump the NH6IL call. You might be interested to learn that both Guam and Hawaii are in call area 13, and Hawaii now also has the KH7 block, too. (Call area 13 consists of all calls from KH0 through KH9.)

>and cooperating to obtain several KH6 calls for a fellow in Rhode
>Island, places where they respectively did not reside.
>Odd!

More libel. He WAS here for a short stay and used my PO Box to retrieve forwarded mail. But he got a little carried away in obtaining some club calls for himself. At *my* request to the FCC (yes, *MY* request to Mr. Hollingsworth), they were cancelled.

You apparently aren't aware that calls are now based on a mailing address, not residency, and out-of-area calls no longer have to be surrendered. For example, one poster on here resides in TX but has the call W6RCA. =:o

My goodness, Hans -- committing libel twice within a single post; shame on you.

KH6O

From -6808309536358916751
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 16:33:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Date: 24 May 2001 23:25:44 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9ek59o$pcj$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>

```
<9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87> <acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com>
<9eeq6s$3m6$2@208.206.142.79>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990746744 26003 128.171.44.55 (24 May 2001 23:25:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 24 May 2001 23:25:44 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22027
```

Alan Castillo wrote:

>it's been said [you mean "asked"?] that once attrition quells some of
>the rudeness, who'll
>"inherit" AR? Someone on QRZ posed this question and it simply went
>unanswered...could it be "other" group of radio "enthusiasts" who
>enjoy making worldwide contacts on hf?

All that those "enthusiasts" will have to do is to pass the exam and they will then inherit the bands. Oh but wait -- wouldn't passing the exam make them amateurs? What attrition were you speaking of?

KH6O

```
From 3392752091429622495
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-Thread: 103929,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid103929,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 16:58:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-
post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Newsgroups:
fr.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.sho
rtwave,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
Subject: Re: W6HLH
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 16:58:17 -0700
Organization: Entropy Maximizers, Inc.
Message-ID: <3B0DA019.2E207173@mindless.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.5 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Cache-Post-Path: sj-nntpcache-5!unknown@dhcp-171-71-221-245.cisco.com
X-Cache: nntpcache 2.4.0b2 (see http://www.nntpcache.org/)
```

X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 14
Xref: archiver1.google.com fr.rec.radio.amateur:12729 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16008 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22028 rec.radio.shortwave:34888 tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:892

HLH = Habitual Loudmouth Ham?

Toni wrote:

> If you hear W6HLH, George "the Bigot" Lunge, CQing on his self appointed
> Band of 10 meters, do not give him the time of day. This poor excuse for a
> human is a bigot of the worse kind and doesn't even think twice about
> spouting racial slurs over the airways. It is a shame that his rhetoric is
> protected by free speech as it does nothing but disservice the Amateur Radio
> Community and decent people everywhere.
>
> Toni
> SWL & Scanners

From 7437524121909696688
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:08:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:08:01 GMT
References: <9e9v7v01ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010524200801.02476.00001118@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22029

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

>>It's certainly not closed, but it's stated purposes are pretty narrow:

>Yes, especially since CB, family radio service, etc., didn't exist in 1915.
>Maybe it's time fo rthe ARRL to re-write their charter.

It has had the opportunity to do so for decades, but has chosen to stay the course of remaining and organization devoted to Amateur Radio.

Of course, that won't stop some from talking about the Secret Agenda to Turn ARRL Into a CB Organization. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6643091241258715403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:11:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:11:06 GMT
References: <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010524201106.02476.00001119@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22030

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

>>>Yes, especially since CB, family radio service, etc., didn't exist in 1915.

>>>Maybe it's time fo rthe ARRL to re-write their charter.

>>To what advantage or purpose? These services have nothing in common with

>Revenue.

> If you were a business, and that business was declining in income over a
> period of years, it would behove you to explore more avenues of exposure
> to increase that revenue.

In the first place, I don't see that ARRL's revenue has been declining over a period of years. Second, those that set ARRL's policy do not, by its very policy, get to personally benefit in any way from the revenues, so they have every incentive to stick to the charter and ensure that any source of revenue does not significantly undermine the fundamental purpose of ARRL and Amateur Radio. Third, the League has had every opportunity to make the changes you suggest, but has not chosen to do so. Why do you think that is?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

From -4897902137958507454
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:14:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:13:34 GMT
References: <9ehod7$8nb$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010524201334.02476.00001120@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22031

Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>He is more than likely correct, Dick. Too many signs indicate that
>publishing more than membership is supporting the ARRL.

ARRL membership is still the most significant source of ARRL revenues, both from dues dollars and from the advertsing revenues that brings in. The publications are probably about equally split between being a value to ARRL membership and Amateur Radio and being a source of revenue for ARRL. If the revenue source were the primary consideration, ARRL books would be a lot more expensive.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4972601925533703039
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:15:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:14:15 GMT
References: <ejeP6.6903$r4.437236@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010524201415.01428.00001314@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22032

>Subject: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/24/01 3:38 PM Central Daylight Time

>Cross said hams would not want him to recommend to the FCC what >their operating privileges should be, but he'll do it if he has to.

>"If you force me to recommend a band plan to be imposed on you, I >can and will. I assure you, you will not like it, and it will not meet your >needs."

(Quoted from: www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/05/24/4/?nc=1)

Sounds to me like a certain civil servant needs a dose of humility injected ASAP.   We need the concerned, proactive efforts of Mr. Hollingsworth, NOT some smart alec with a Napoleonic complex.

This guy needs to remember where his paycheck comes from. If we want to ask the FCC to consider something, it's our right. If he feels put-upon by that, then he needs to be passing french fries at McDonalds, NOT working for us at the FCC.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 3258207023800041736
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 103929,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid103929,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:24:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail

From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups:
fr.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Subject: Re: W6HLH
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <qDhP6.10866$Et2.25859@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 00:24:54 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 990750294 209.204.160.101 (Thu, 24 May 2001 17:24:54 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 17:24:54 PDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com fr.rec.radio.amateur:12730 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16009 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22033 rec.radio.shortwave:34891 tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:893

W6HLH is "Blackie" in San Leandro, CA, and his usual haunt is 14.178...whether anyone else is there or not! I used to have run-ins with him regularly when I lived across the bay in San Bruno many years ago. I still hear him on backscatter...along with a chorus of people complaining about his rude operating habits.

He's got to be in his 80's or 90's, so maybe soon he'll be QRV from the nursing home and off 20 meters someday soon!

Ron
N6AHA

"Toni" <toni207@cs.com> wrote in message
news:9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com...

> If you hear W6HLH, George "the Bigot" Lunge, CQing on his self appointed
> Band of 10 meters, do not give him the time of day. This poor excuse for
a
> human is a bigot of the worse kind and doesn't even think twice about
> spouting racial slurs over the airways. It is a shame that his rhetoric
is
> protected by free speech as it does nothing but disservice the Amateur
Radio
> Community and decent people everywhere.
>
> Toni
> SWL & Scanners
>
>
>
>

From -2262508316224595103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:26:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:25:33 GMT
References: <Ga%O6.41711$4f7.3089282@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010524202533.02476.00001123@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22034

>From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net

>I do not find the QST statements all that informative. Others may differ in
>their mileage. They are not in a league with local government for
>obfuscation but neither are they a fountain of good hot information.
>Statutory accounting data is not operating information in any business which
>is why a whole area of Management Accounting exists. The annual postal
>report tells me more.

The annual reports are available on the ARRL Web page.

>What I find most interesting however are first, the merging of conventions
>with hamfests including Dayton,

For as long as I can remember, some hamfests have been designated as ARRL conventions. The Hamvention folks and ARRL agreed that Dayton would be the ARRL National Convention last year. What about this is interesting to you?

> and, second, the simple fact that since I
processed the address change to Omaha
> from Wausau WI I have received several Hamfest flyers, stuff from AES
> and Burkhardt, and a bit from two of the local clubs. But in over two years
I have
> yet to receive a blessed thing which in any way invites me to join the ARRL
--
> like I am in a Nixie File somewhere. (probably deservedly).

I can think of two reasons for this. Some people have, at one point or another, asked ARRL to never solicit them for membership again. Second, those who do not respond to repeated invitations to join have the amount of time between solicitations increased signficantly. As a dues-paying ARRL member, I appreciate the efficiency.

> But it is not that it is done poorly but that it is done at all while leaving
membership
> alone.

You bought a 2000 Handbook and have chosen not to respond to the solicitations
for membership you have received and yet you are suprised that you received a
solicititation to buy a new book but not one for membership? Why would that
surprise you?

>For the record, I divide the League's political (membership response) from
>its Technical, Publishing, and Services (VE, Bureaus, etc.) The latter are
>first rate, the former FUBAR.

Yes, but when you use the latter, do keep in mind that it was paid for by
someone else...

>The reality is that members vote with their Directors, non-members vote with
>their wallets.

Actually, Dick, it is the members who vote with their wallets. The non-members
do not vote at all. It is the former that pays for the work I do at ARRL HQ.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6604625436460794394
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:30:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:29:17 GMT
References: <20010524201415.01428.00001314@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010524202917.15631.00000177@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22035

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>>Cross said hams would not want him to recommend to the FCC what >their
>operating privileges should be, but he'll do it if he has to.

>>"If you force me to recommend a band plan to be imposed on you, I
>can and will. I assure you, you will not like it, and it will not meet your
>needs."

>   Sounds to me like a certain civil servant needs a dose of humility
>injected ASAP.   We need the concerned, proactive efforts of Mr.
>Hollingsworth,
>NOT some smart alec with a Napoleonic complex.

Why would you say that, Steve. I agree with Cross completely; if the FCC were forced to decide everything about the way we operate and use our bands, it would not meet our needs. If I, or you, or anyone individual here were to decide what is best for Amateur Radio, it would not meet our needs.

His attitude appears to be one of humility to me.

>   This guy needs to remember where his paycheck comes from. If we want to
>ask the FCC to consider something, it's our right.

Sure, like we asked the FCC to do something about all the people who were abusing the code-disability-waiver process, eh?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7656799072766016179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:33:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:32:43 GMT
References: <3B0C837A.15335B6F@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010524203243.15631.00000178@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22036

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>Now THAT would be a conflict of interests. I know I wouldn't cancel my
>life membership over such an issue. I'd rather stick around and be part
>of the loyal opposition ;)

And I stick around to do my loyal opposition behind the scenes. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1355036362455607248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:35:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:35:23 GMT
References: <9ei21e$6b6$0@208.207.71.149>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010524203523.25364.00001183@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22037

>You and all other respondents missed the point - the whitewash.

Actually Dick, the point here is that you're the only one who sees any "whitewash." I'm just a little unsure if that's by choice or involuntary. The leopards you speak of aren't predators to be dreaded...they're a challenge to be tamed. (read Elmered) If you're not up to the challenge, please step aside and make room for someone who is. (and take your snide remarks with you...they're more a part of the problem than the solution)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 1621353193141616580
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:39:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:38:54 GMT
References: <20010523230539.18644.00004920@nso-fc.aol.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010524203854.15631.00000179@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22038

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Pick 10 non-hams who aren't on the ARRL staff -- that is, non-hams that
>are much less likely to ever have heard Morse code, and go ahead with
>your demonstration! Oh, what the heck, just to make it convenient for
>you, use non-ham ARRL staffers if you must.

How about we take 10 hams who have not passed a code test. That would probably be about on a par for what we are really talking about here -- whether hams need to pass a code test to be able to send Morse code? Fair enough?

> But remember, there must be no coaching" of any kind.

If we are discussing this in the context of eliminating the code tests, let's give them a question pool of 10 questions about Morse encoding (dit/dah elements, etc.) Sound fair enough for the context of the relevance of this to code testing?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2425065143701828051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:43:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:42:42 GMT
References: <9ei2mq$amf$0@208.207.71.149>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010524204242.15631.00000180@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22039

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Are you suggesting that your wife has never attempted to send CW?

I just asked her. Suli said that she only tried to send it as a kid and all she knew was SOS.

>So the teacher has to instruct them to make a dah last three times as
>long as a dit and so on.

My cousin got one of those neat code buzzers when he was a kid and we played with it for a while. You were not there to teach us how to send, Dick, but we were able to copy each other using the lookup chart on the key.

> the odds are long that he wouldn't be able to send
>anything recognizable as Morse code.

We recognized each others' code.

Let's ask everyone here; how many of you had to have someone teach you that you should not send all dits when you send dits and dahs?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8082301264331727144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:44:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:43:42 GMT
References: <ee6P6.16351$9D5.1443787@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010524204342.15631.00000181@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22040

>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net

>Since childhood and Scouts I've known that CW was dits (short)
>and dahs (long). One would have to live in a cave not to have "heard that
>somewhere."

Do you mean that Dick didn't teach you how not to send all dits when you send dits and dahs?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7438902164785043778
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:45:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:44:54 GMT
References: <20010523230539.18644.00004919@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010524204454.15631.00000182@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22041

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I'm now going to type my signature line the same way an 84-year old
>Extra-class CW operator from Florida would have sent it:

>73deLarry,K3LT

Most of the examples I have heard from that came from hams who have been hams long enough that they probably passed a sending test.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1468014289120727360
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:48:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9ehod7$8nb$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010524201334.02476.00001120@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

```
Message-ID: <jZhP6.44711$4f7.3243193@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 00:48:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.238
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990751695 12.75.179.238 (Fri, 25 May
2001 00:48:15 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 00:48:15 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22042

Good Point.

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010524201334.02476.00001120@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> Richard McCollum  <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >He is more than likely correct, Dick.  Too many signs indicate that
> >publishing more than membership is supporting the ARRL.
>
> ARRL membership is still the most significant source of ARRL revenues,
both
> from dues dollars and from the advertsing revenues that brings in.  The
> publications are probably about equally split between being a value to
ARRL
> membership and Amateur Radio and being a source of revenue for ARRL.  If
the
> revenue source were the primary consideration, ARRL books would be a lot
more
> expensive.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI




From -2878317074841578895
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:52:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:51:17 GMT
References: <9ei26r$6b6$1@208.207.71.149>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010524205117.15631.00000184@ng-fm1.aol.com>
```

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22043

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> If the best pro-code argument is that no one will appreciate the mode
>unless
>> they are forced to use it, I wonder if they are creating a self-fulfilling
>> prophecy...

> No, Ed, it is describing one.

I agree; it is a self-fulfilling prophecy.  Those who want to see Morse code
become unused and obsolete are using it.  Those that want to see Morse code
continue are trying to undo the damage by showing that it can be effective and
a lot of fun.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -8051488546885345311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:54:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:53:18 GMT
References: <9ei21e$6b6$0@208.207.71.149>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010524205318.15631.00000185@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22044

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >Atention Kim and HMN:  It is well established that leopards cannot
>> >change their spots with whitewash. End of story.

>> I can speak for myself and say categorically that I have gone through
>> some rather incredible changes over the course of my lifetime.
>> Those that can say the same will probably disagree with you.

> You and all other respondents missed the point - the whitewash.

You are right, Dick; I missed the point.  Please explain what you are talking
about.
```

Thanks.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3359986750506190695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 17:57:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 00:56:55 GMT
References: <20010523230537.18644.00004916@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010524205655.15631.00000187@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22045

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Can this algorithm copy the following -- b () n d w () d () h and fill in
>the "holes" to produce the word "bandwidth?"

The thread has been talking about using a computer for an operator to decode CW, so if the computer put the above on a screen, the operator could dechiper it just as well as everyone reading this post.

And yes, if desired, the computer could probably determine that, in most cases, there is only one logical word that could be embedded in a word containing errors. Do you think that modern cryptography-decoding software algos can beat most, if not all, humans?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1784877198456127556
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:02:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!
newsfeed.concentric.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 01:01:12 GMT
References: <3B0C8221.BCD1D303@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010524210112.15631.00000188@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22046

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>> I'll take that bet. Lobster? :-)

>Your lobster to my venison.

I'm with you so far.

>> 2x=21 solve for X.

>...but not on something THAT simple!

Is that more simple than the context that the original statement was made? If so, please pose an alternate and if I agree it is in the spirit of the original statement, we may have a deal.

>Sure, after we define the problems and the term "large number". What
>percentage of the kids would have to choke on the test?

I will take a majority.

>I think you'd do better with the 6th graders--really.

I will take a majority there, too.

>> For that matter, do you think a DSP-filtered code-decoding algo would
>> beat me copying by ear in the usual 500 Hz bandwidth that hams typically
use?

>Nope.

I disagree. That DSP will gain 10 dB over the 500 Hz bandwidth, so it will be able to decode signals my ear can just barely hear. I have a reasonable mental filter, but it has limits.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1650890898704462001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:05:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 01:04:59 GMT
References: <9ebhrq$oo8$1@news.netmar.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010524210459.26720.00000963@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22047

In article <9ebhrq$oo8$1@news.netmar.com>, K0HB@arrl.org writes:

>In 1954 you could buy a Globe Scout from WRL for $99.95 (or 10% down, $7.95
>per month) ready to pull out of the box, add a crystal, and play. I'd call
>the "plug 'n play".
>
>Or you could order it in the kit version for $89.95 (or $9.00, $7.15 per
>month) and build it yourself.
>

Yup. I pulled out my QSTs from that era, and there were several kit and built rigs suitable for Novices in 1951-54.

But fast forward ten years, and the rigs were more numerous and less expensive. Plus there was a growing pile of used rigs suitable for Novices.

My vote for best value of the late '50s era: Heath DX-20. Cost $35.95 as a kit that went together in an evening or two. Bandswitching 80 thru 10, 50 watts input to a 6DQ6 (available cheap if you burned one up), would match almost any antenna. TVI suppressed, simple, easy to build (the chassis and cabinet were way bigger than they needed to be, but this made it easy for beginners to build). When the owner upgraded, an external VFO could be easily added. For voice, add an external plate modulator.

Nearest competition was the Viking Adventurer, which cost $54.95 but ran no more power, modes or bands.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 5285564949083224559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:05:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 131
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 01:05:00 GMT
References: <z%ZO6.27359$BN6.1288119@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010524210500.26720.00000964@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22048

In article <z%ZO6.27359$BN6.1288119@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
>news:cPSO6.5203$r4.320961@www.newsranger.com...
>> On Wed, 23 May 2001 02:49:29 GMT, Kim-W5TIT says:
>>
>> >Would I rather see a CB organization or a REACT organization or a MURS
>> >organization pulling for the attention of the FCC or Congress? I don't
>> >think so. I'd rather see a united front from one organization on behalf
>of
>> >several interests. The ARRL is inherrently (at least one would hope)
>going
>> >to recognize that the radio hobbies in existence are there to stay and
>that
>> >they need to remain distinct and separate from each other. But, I also
>like
>> >the idea that one organization--after Directors' meetings and business
>> >decisions have been made and negotiated among themselves--is there in
>front
>> >of the FCC and Congress with the interest of radio spectrum being the
>main
>> >concern. Ham radio concedes nothing to CB radio, nothing to FRS, nothing
>to
>> >GMRS, etc. But, it is all represented under "one roof."
>>
>> I can't think of a *single* benefit to Amateur Radio under this scheme.
>> Not a *single* one. Our organization (ARRL) would have it's focus
>> diluted by several non-Amateur competing interests, and hams would
>> become lumped (more than we already are) in the public mind into the
>> same pot with "all those radio geeks" like freebanders, outlaw

>broadcasters,
>> etc., etc., etc.
>>
>
>You're right about the focus being diluted, absolutely! *If* the approach
>were wrong, the business principles were wrong, and if the wrong people were
>put in place to administer a program as energetic as a multi-group
>organization.

Agreed - it's easy to do it wrong. The question is, how to do it right?

For example:

- do nonhams get to vote for directors?
- do nonhams get to serve as league officers who make policy?
- do league publications focus on nonamateur radio to the exclusion of amateur radio?
- does the league tolerate widespread rules violations in nonamateur services?

The devil is in the details. If the answers to the above are all "no", then why
should nonhams or wouldbe hams join?
>
>> The potential conflicts are not trivial either -- just suppose someone
>> petitioned the FCC that the CB bands ought to be expanded upwards to
>29.000MHz,
>> or someone petitioned for more MURS channels in the middle of the 75cm
>band.
>> Would the "Amalgamated Radio Relay League" wear it's "Part 97" hat or it's
>"Part
>> 95" hat when it when it sent comments to FCC?
>
>The American Radio Relay League would have had several meetings on the
>matter, with the amateur radio focus remaining exactly as it has and
>should--in the interest of ham radio; and that the particular "other"
>service would have its focus exactly as it has and should.

Whoa - I thought it would "all be under one roof". How exactly is a conflict
like the above supposed to be resolved?

> Both would make
>their arguments to the FCC or Congress, and the decision would be made.

????

Then the other services would not be represented by the league at all, but
would have to make their own presentation.

>In
>the meantime, what would hopefully be understood and what would be happening
>between the radio services' leadership would be that we can all exist, we
>can all defend our positions and we can all respect each other.

This presumes that the other services HAVE leadership to begin with.

Respect has to be earned.

>Some of the
>desires of other services may not be so far fetched.

True.

> For instance, and I
>hazard to mention this because it will split this discussion off onto
>another entire path, what about 1.25cm? Is it *really* all that used/needed
>by amateur radio? Couldn't some sacrifice of band be made for MURS, or for
>FRS, or whatever?

That depends entirely on the spectrum to be lost, and what would be gained in exchange. For example, it might be worthwhile to trade 222-223 for, say, 148-149, which I think belongs to the land mobile service.

Why do MURS, FRS or other services need more spectrum for noncommercial use? If someone wants to work VHF/UHF for "hobby", public service, experimental, educational or other noncommercial purposes, all they need is a Technician license. 35 question written test from a published pool. Piece of cake. Grade schoolers have done it.

>> While we (ARRL) ought to certainly cooperate with other organizations
>where we
>> have common interests, putting these all under "one roof" because they
>happen to
>> be non-commercial radio services would be akin to forming one master
>church
>> consisting of Baptists/Lutherans/Catholics/Muslims/Budhists/
>Mormons/Shintoists
>> just because they all happen to be deity-based religions.
>>
>
>The analogy is interesting, but I think you're comparing a little high
>scaled there.

Not really.

>Radio groups most fervent interest is in maintaining radio
>spectrum, having representation to the FCC and to Congress, etc. There's
>too much interest and common ground to ignore it forever.

Then let those with the interest become hams. That's what the ARS is for.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -8864293829269490648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:05:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 130
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 01:04:58 GMT
References: <3B08F1E4.6453B7C9@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010524210458.26720.00000962@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22049

In article <3B08F1E4.6453B7C9@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> By "bigger and heavier trucks" I mean
>> semitrailers (18 wheel and up) not SUVs
>> and pickups. Back in the 70s, the
>> largest of these were 40 foot trailers.
>> Then came the 45, 48 and 53 foot trailers,
>> higher gross weight, and the double and
>> triple trailer combos, on roads where
>> they were previously not allowed.
>
>
> The balance to this argument is that these larger vehicles move more
>cargo without increasing the number of trucks on the highway. If we
>reduce the size of these trucks, the number of trucks have to increase
>to carry the same amount of cargo. Likewise, if we restrict the areas
>they can travel into, then alternatives have to be found to deliver
>the cargo (usually smaller trucks, and again in larger numbers to
>carry the same amount of cargo).

True up to a point. The question is, does a "double" constitute twice the
hazard of two "singles"? The answer is "it depends".

The best answer is to use alternative transportation when possible. Like
intermodal rail for long hauls, with local delivery of the trailer or container
made by truck.
>
>> That's not true in all cases - it depends
>> on the accident. Many SUVs are more prone
>> to rollover than smaller vehicles, for example.

> Complex, to say the least. For example, to balance this, we could
>point out that drivers of smaller cars cannot see the road ahead of
>them as well as the driver of a larger vehicle, hence they cannot see

>changing road situations as quickly.

Yup - and there are situations where that makes the difference. In other situations, the greater manueverability of small cars tips it the other way. The real problem is drivers and analysts who oversimplify a complex situation.
>
>> But size isn't the only difference in those
>> cars. Price is another, and that price often
>> reflects more advanced technologies. Volvo
>> has long included safety features in its cars
>> that other cars don't have.
>
>
> I wasn't talking about the expensive top-of-the-line export models.
>I was talking about the average priced models made by BMW, Volvo,
>Mercedes, and others, for their own European markets.

Are there a lot of models by those companies that we don't see here? I know VW makes the Polo but does not import it here (yet). I don;t know about the other companies.
>
>> No, that does NOT follow. You need to include
>> factors such as accident avoidability. A small
>> car that handles better may avoid an accident
>> that a bigger car cannot avoid.
>
> Again, this is balanced by the fact that drivers of smaller cars
>cannot see the road ahead of them as well as the driver of a larger
>vehicle, hence they cannot see changing road conditions as quickly.
>
All depends on the situation. An SUV following another SUV has his view blocked as much as a small car folling an SUV.
>
>> The time saved in a 100 mile trip by increasing
>> the speed limit from 55 to 65 is just 17 minutes
>> (assuming a steady speed from start to finish
>> and allowing no time for acceleration/deceleration
>> at the ends).
>
> Seventeen minutes adds up if you're driving cross country, or
>commuting each day, or driving in an employment situation. For
>example, if you commute to work each day, and save only five minutes
>each day by driving 10 mph faster, you save almost 20 hours per year.
>Or, if you're driving across the country, you can save almost 10 hours
>(double that if the speed limit is 75).

True - but at what price? More fuel burned, more exposure to risk, more wear on road and vehicle, and more pollution.
>
>> Point I'm trying to make is that radar
>> enforcement only enforces the speed limit
>> indirectly. "Pace cars" enforce it directly.
>> As Cecil has pointed out, it DOES happen in

>> some places. But pace cars don't generate
>> any revenue, whereas giving out speeding
>> tickets does.
>
> Please read my comments to Larry about other factors that have to be
>considered when setting speed limits, and enforcing speed limits.

Points well taken. The way I see it is this: the speed limits should be set by highway engineers, not politicians. If a road is designed for 75 mph and maintained for 75 mph, then it should be posted for 75 and that speed enforced. Same for 65, 55, 45. There are parts of I-95 near here that are so curvy and rough that 55 is too fast.

Of course the whole speed issue is a farce in some ways, connected more to image and marketing than reality. For example, my Honda Odyssey minivan (nothing mini about that thing, really) has a 205 hp V6 and a four speed lockup auto transmission - pretty decent for what it is. But the speedometer goes up to 140 mph. HUH???
>
>> Interesting stuff, Dwight.
>
> Yes, it is. However, I don't know how much more time I can spend on
>it - I have a ton of stuff I have to get done, and this conversation
>is really taking away from that.

Sorry - it was just getting good!

> However, since I'm a dedicated
>speeder (I learned to drive on German Autobahns), and greatly enjoy
>traveling, I do enjoy discussions about speed limits and traffic
>situations in general.

Questions about the Autobahns when you have time):

- do they allow trucks, or just cars?
- is there really no speed limit?
- how well are they maintained?
- how good are German drivers compared to Americans?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1713708569167012516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:06:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 25 May 2001 01:06:08 GMT
References: <9eea2t263b@enews2.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010524210608.15631.00000189@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22050

>From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)

>> So, you think the ARRL's revenue has been declining? Just like the
>>total number of ham radio operators has been declining. Right.

>The master of irrelevance strikes again

In what way do you think his statement irrelevant to the post he responded to
where 'BK implied that ARRL revenue was declining. Neither ARRL revenue or the
the number of hams is dropping.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3793449356339715540
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 103929,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid103929,public
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:06:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!209.130.129.214!nntp.frontiernet.net!nntp-new.frontiernet.net!nntp.gblx.net!news.frontiernet.net!not-for-mail
From: mdemeo@frontiernet.net
Newsgroups: fr.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
Subject: Re: W6HLH
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 01:08:15 GMT
Organization: Standard Broadcast
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <3b0db071.7037824@news.frontiernet.net>
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<qDhP6.10866$Et2.25859@typhoon.sonic.net>
Reply-To: mdemeo@frontiernet.net

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64-208-194-173.nas3.mon.gblx.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: node21.cwnet.roc.gblx.net 990752809 13630 64.208.194.173 (25 May 2001
01:06:49 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gblx.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 01:06:49 +0000 (UTC)
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.452
Xref: archiver1.google.com fr.rec.radio.amateur:12731 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16010
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22051 rec.radio.shortwave:34897
tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:894



Birthday:
                                    17 Dec 1914





On Fri, 25 May 2001 00:24:54 GMT, "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote:

>W6HLH is "Blackie" in San Leandro, CA, and his usual haunt is
>14.178...whether anyone else is there or not!  I used to have run-ins with
>him regularly when I lived across the bay in San Bruno many years ago.  I
>still hear him on backscatter...along with a chorus of people complaining
>about his rude operating habits.
>
>He's got to be in his 80's or 90's, so maybe soon he'll be QRV from the
>nursing home and off 20 meters someday soon!
>
>Ron
>N6AHA
>
>"Toni" <toni207@cs.com> wrote in message
>news:9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
>> If you hear W6HLH, George "the Bigot" Lunge, CQing on his self appointed
>> Band of 10 meters, do not give him the time of day.  This poor excuse for
>a
>> human is a bigot of the worse kind and doesn't even think twice about
>> spouting racial slurs over the airways.  It is a shame that his rhetoric
>is
>> protected by free speech as it does nothing but disservice the Amateur
>Radio
>> Community and decent people everywhere.
>>
>> Toni
>> SWL & Scanners
>>
>>
>>
```

```
>>
>



From -7190379382450109219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:10:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
In-Reply-To: <20010524201415.01428.00001314@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105250108290.2398-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <ejeP6.6903$r4.437236@www.newsranger.com>
<20010524201415.01428.00001314@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 27
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 01:10:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.22
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990753027 12.80.44.22 (Fri, 25 May
2001 01:10:27 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 01:10:27 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22052

On 25 May 2001, Steve Robeson LPN wrote:
> >Subject: FCC finally "get's it"
> >From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
> >Date: 5/24/01 3:38 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >Cross said hams would not want him to recommend to the FCC what >their
> operating privileges should be, but he'll do it if he has to.
>
> >"If you force me to recommend a band plan to be imposed on you, I >can and
> will. I assure you, you will not like it, and it will not meet your >needs."
>
> (Quoted from: www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/05/24/4/?nc=1)
>
>      Sounds to me like a certain civil servant needs a dose of humility
> injected ASAP.   We need the concerned, proactive efforts of Mr. Hollingsworth,
> NOT some smart alec with a Napoleonic complex.
>
```

>   This guy needs to remember where his paycheck comes from. If we want to
> ask the FCC to consider something, it's our right. If he feels put-upon by
> that, then he needs to be passing french fries at McDonalds, NOT working for us
> at the FCC.

If he wants humility, he should come ON to the W6NUT repeater in Los Angeles,
not merely listen it. However, considering his FCC position, he'd have to give
a "window of immunity" (i.e. promise not to prosecute or civilly fine anyone)
while he's there. They will promptly humiliate him.....

From -5801334880777672407
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:24:36 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 13:34:30 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0a6a51.202353680@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<9e9h6b$126$1@208.207.71.143> <9e9vpv21ppn@enews3.newsguy.com>
<9ea6jl$1f7$0@208.207.71.141> <9ebpbi3277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<3b097bd1.141295916@news2.dvol.com> <9ecf1m0chk@enews4.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.153
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.153
X-Trace: 22 May 2001 08:30:11 -0500, 64.24.240.153
Lines: 8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22053

On 22 May 2001 01:23:02 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:
>
>Throw as many temper tantrums as you like, Brian. It's still not going to
>change my mind. Callsigns are only required on the air, and not on usenet.
>

Like I could bother with a "temper tantrum" over anything you come up
with. Now climb back under yer rock before somebody sees you.

From 7639556805195947458
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:29:03 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 15:22:14 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0a7e30.207441684@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3b07e82f.37960901@news2.dvol.com>
<3ATN6.2396$9D5.298397@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<HfUN6.779$r4.41309@www.newsranger.com>
<B_ZN6.3695$BN6.401479@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9ea7cj$3h4$0@208.207.71.141>
<lShO6.19669$BN6.666038@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.136
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.136
X-Trace: 22 May 2001 10:17:56 -0500, 64.24.16.136
Lines: 21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22054

On Mon, 21 May 2001 23:51:45 GMT, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote:

>
> I can tell you that having REACT aboard as a partner in
>emergency communications here in the Dallas area is a grand idea. Their
>vans and service vehicles far exceed anything ham radio has in service here,
>and could be of great value in a disaster/emergency.
>

That's fine and commendable. The few hams who get involved in
emergency comms can and do join REACT teams and intergrate ham comms
into the mix on to their heart's content. Whatever works is fine.
That's not the point. The point is that this lonsome example does not
provide any even remote justification for the ARRL to expand it's
charter and move into the other hobby radio services on some grand
scale and cover the rest of the other services' much broader
activities like it does for ham radio.
>

w3rv

From -2133234827073983639
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:29:08 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 22 May 2001 15:22:38 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0a6aee.202510376@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
<UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.136
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.136
X-Trace: 22 May 2001 10:18:20 -0500, 64.24.16.136
Lines: 61
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22055

On Tue, 22 May 2001 11:18:12 GMT, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote:

>
>OK, if that is the reason you would not support an expansion of groups
>included in the ARRL's scope, I have one question. *If* the ARRL ever did
>move to a position such as this, don't you think that it would create less
>competition with other groups?
>
How could more organizations vying for the dues of a finite number of
"customers" be anything BUT competition between groups?? This has been
tried before within ham radio when organizations were established to
compete with the ARRL, they all failed.
>
If you're talking about the compulsive CB bashing by some hams and

vice-versa as "competition", no, tossing 'em in the same barrel would
intensify the problem.
>
>And, if your dime as an ARRL member from
>amateur radio was not in any way sacrificed to another group of radio
>amateurs (they would all have their own "branches" ideally), would that be
>any different for you?
>
That being the case what point woukd there to having the ARRL involved
in the first place?? Who would gain what? I sure wouldn't gain
anything from an ARRL lab review of some GMRS HT and the the GMRSer
would care less about QSTarticles on satelite comms and HF contest
scores. Doesn't make any sense, there's no synergy let alone
"compelling synergy" among the hobby radio services beyond the fact
that it's "radio". The ARRL would get pounded by it's membership if
Hq. tried to move into anything beyond ham radio.
>
>I am right there with you on spending the money I
>offer as an amateur radio operator over on the CB side; I would not support
>that.
>
It can't happen without the ARRL investing assets into organizing and
publishing efforts.
>
If the users of the other radio hobby services have enough interest in
having national organizations then they can do their own just like
hams have. There's probably ten times more CBers out there than there
are hams, that's more than enough participants to be able to take care
of their own interests if they cared enough to do so whether it's
REACT or whatever.
>
>By the way, I don't know of the operations of REACT in other areas; but here
>in the Dallas area, for at least the past 10 years or so--REACT has been
>much more active in radio spectrum outside CB.
>
I wouldn't have a clue, I'm not involved in any of it and my skimpy
encounters with REACT types in the past were not "positive
experiences" to say the least. It ain't like I never been here, REACT
is right. I abandoned garbage CB radio and moved a neighborhood
security patrol organization to a vhf business freq 25 years ago.
>
. . . outta here . .
>
>Kim W5TIT
>
w3rv

From 8267826546144478468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:29:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <Ga%O6.41711$4f7.3089282@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <20010524202533.02476.00001123@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 106
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <QziP6.43212$t12.3308442@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 01:29:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.238
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990754160 12.75.179.238 (Fri, 25 May 2001 01:29:20 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 01:29:20 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22056

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010524202533.02476.00001123@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> >From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net
>
>
> > and, second, the simple fact that since I
> processed the address change to Omaha
> > from Wausau WI I have received several Hamfest flyers, stuff from AES
> > and Burkhardt, and a bit from two of the local clubs. But in over two
years
> I have
> > yet to receive a blessed thing which in any way invites me to join the
ARRL
> --
> > like I am in a Nixie File somewhere. (probably deservedly).
>
> I can think of two reasons for this. Some people have, at one point or
> another, asked ARRL to never solicit them for membership again. Second,
those
> who do not respond to repeated invitations to join have the amount of time
> between solicitations increased signficantly. As a dues-paying ARRL
member, I
> appreciate the efficiency.

Ed, I haven't received anything on membership since I got my Advanced after a lapse about 1966. It came with a copy of something called 'How to cure your Novice lisp' or something like that complete with the A on the mailing label. Too old to be of interest and several regimes ago. I have on a number of occasions of late considered rejoining for no better reason than there does not seem to be a regime in the Huntoon tradition.

>
> > But it is not that it is done poorly but that it is done at all while
leaving
> membership
> > alone.
>
> You bought a 2000 Handbook and have chosen not to respond to the
solicitations
> for membership you have received and yet you are suprised that you
received a
> solicititation to buy a new book but not one for membership? Why would
that
> surprise you?

The surprise is that I heard nothing about any other publication. Would seem in the normal course of what is now called 'relationship marketing' the Antenna book would be a more normal sale than the next and probably little-changed Handbook and a lot more likely.

>
> >For the record, I divide the League's political (membership response)
from
> >its Technical, Publishing, and Services (VE, Bureaus, etc.) The latter
are
> >first rate, the former FUBAR.
>
> Yes, but when you use the latter, do keep in mind that it was paid for by
> someone else...
>
> >The reality is that members vote with their Directors, non-members vote
with
> >their wallets.
>
> Actually, Dick, it is the members who vote with their wallets. The
non-members
> do not vote at all. It is the former that pays for the work I do at ARRL
HQ.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

The process is fairly simple. Marketing gets the word on strengths and benefits to the most likely suspects, selling brings in the order. Whether one is non-profit or not the first step is the same -- what in hell ARE our strengths, what do we have to sell, why would any sane human being help support us? There are any number of things the League does well including your shop but making the connection between the activities of one Ed Hare and my wallet is a marketing issue. In one short sentence, the League does a lousy job of blowing its own horn.

At the same time, for at least 50 years there has been some sailing close to the wind with respect to the League as a lobbying organization which it cannot be and retain a non-profit postal permit among other things. The impression if not the claim of great power in Washington has led to a situation over the code issue in which no one is entirely sure what the position is, how it was arrived at, or what it means. Some OTs are pissed and half the non Amateur world believes the League sets licensing policy if not actually issues them and is controlled by the OTs. This much misinformation is bound to be corrosive.

I am not particularly down on the League except that its decision-making process does not seem to have recovered from the dictatorship days. In that, it has a lot in common with the average banana republic or AT&T for that matter. Any non-profit membership organization has to operate in both the public service and commercial sectors at the same time which makes its decision tasks more difficult than a for-profit corporation turning rasbuckniks for the shareholders. That it is an interesting bit of balancing makes things more understandable when things don't work but in the final analysis, it is still broken.

Dick N�BK

From 1945797440810439907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:30:30 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 00:07:05 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0afd14.239925822@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.169
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.169
X-Trace: 22 May 2001 19:02:47 -0500, 64.24.19.169
Lines: 18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22057

On 22 May 2001 18:03:20 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:
>
>
>Clue: The use of the word "auction" was a metaphor, not intended to be taken in
>a literal sense.
>
Yeah sure.
>
>And to answer your query, what do you think happened to 220 to
>222 Mhz?
>
UPS got it. The aeronautical services will probably get the rest. You
said: "This is exactly the kind of attitude that will kill off amateur
radio."   I say what would refarming 222-225 do with anybody's
"attitude"?
>
w3rv


From 3810711651847952352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:31:57 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The ARRL embracing other radio services/hobbies. (was Puke.)
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 13:26:13 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0bb709.287523599@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010521173614.09353.00000825@ng-fx1.aol.com>
<20010522095924.05666.00003914@nso-fo.aol.com> <9edugn$lsn$1@208.206.142.87>
<acf82f0b.0105220906.28ad3e8d@posting.google.com>
<NZyO6.36389$t12.2875154@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.196
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.196
X-Trace: 23 May 2001 08:21:53 -0500, 64.24.19.196
Lines: 23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22058

On Tue, 22 May 2001 19:20:13 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
```

```
>
>
>> direct result of simple fear. Fear, you ask?...fear of what?! Well,
>> it's been said that once attrition quells some of the rudeness, who'll
>> "inherit" AR? Someone on QRZ posed this question and it simply went
>> unanswered...
>
The "heirs" will obviusly be the next generation of grumpy old farts,
ham radio has always had an unending supply of those, it's our
"renewable resource".
>
>
>> As for the changing times for AR, the ARRL, and those "other
>> enthusiasts"...be afraid...be very afraid. Adios!
>
Yeah, as if we're shakin' in our boots . . Zzzzzzzz
>>
>> 73 de Alan
>> Jersey City, NJ
>
w3rv


From -92965372041866873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:32:56 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 18:41:16 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0c007c.306321968@news2.dvol.com>
References: <ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010523081854.29903.00000971@ng-bh1.news.cs.com> <9egii0$sim$0@208.207.71.173>
<beb0a48b.0105230927.431184a5@posting.google.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.64
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.64
X-Trace: 23 May 2001 13:36:56 -0500, 64.24.16.64
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22059

On 23 May 2001 10:27:05 -0700, kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote:

>
>leopard, albeit a quiet one. I myself know quite a few licensed hams
>who got their start on CB or, dare I say...well, you know where.;-)
```

>The end of the story?...no way, far from it. Stay tuned Dick.
>
One more witless nocode invites the forklift trick.
>
The end of your story Good Buddy is the bottom edge of the CB band,
the rest of us do real ham radio down the spectrum.
>
Wanna explore the matter further on 7.020??
>
>73 de Bert
>KC2HMN
>
w3rv

From 3215353444977427642
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:33:10 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 23 May 2001 19:39:05 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0c0f04.310040487@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9e7ivo$6kv$0@208.206.142.91> <9e9bqe01qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<W7YN6.32530$t12.2478467@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9e9vki11ppn@enews3.newsguy.com> <OY5O6.33226$4f7.2555639@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ebo3d1277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<K_gO6.34814$4f7.2649302@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9ecfnr1chk@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b0a1354.180085177@news2.dvol.com>
<UVrO6.8758$9D5.845207@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<FWzO6.3994$r4.233961@www.newsranger.com>
<ZyFO6.23392$BN6.1019504@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<cPSO6.5203$r4.320961@www.newsranger.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.108
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.108
X-Trace: 23 May 2001 14:34:44 -0500, 64.24.17.108
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22060

On Wed, 23 May 2001 17:54:16 GMT, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:
>
>I'd have no problem with a "Council of Personal Radio Groups" where ARRL had a
>seat alongside representatives of other radio groups,
>
Now THAT is a good idea Hans: Might even be a great idea if it's
handled right. Some decent concept or another eventually had to pop to
the surface of this toxic septic tank which should be explored
further.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>--
w3rv

From -4178639013574653316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:34:46 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Description Of A Real Ham
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 02:54:39 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0c736a.335737002@news2.dvol.com>
References: <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>
<SbVO6.40518$4f7.3013914@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.182
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.182
X-Trace: 23 May 2001 21:50:19 -0500, 64.24.19.182
Lines: 16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22061

On Wed, 23 May 2001 20:37:06 GMT, "JAMES HAMPTON"
<j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
>How many
>true (originally issued before 1970) 2 letter call signs are still around?
>Perhaps a few, but I doubt many.
>
Probably none unless there's still some Strom Thurmond type 1x2 still
teetering around the bands. I was issued a 1x3 in the 50s and at that
point there were only two original 1x2s in the neighborhood who I
knew, w3kt and k3jh. I picked up my 1x2 during one of the early 70s
freebie vanity callsign programs and have recently learned that I'm

the third known holder of w3rv since the early 1930s. There are
indications that there might have been an even earlier w3rv.
>
w3rv

From 1128969065546370172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:34:51 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Description Of A Real Ham
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 03:53:06 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0c8484.340112007@news2.dvol.com>
References: <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.182
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.182
X-Trace: 23 May 2001 22:48:44 -0500, 64.24.19.182
Lines: 7
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22062

On Wed, 23 May 2001 15:13:20 GMT, Steve <steveklink@kih.net> wrote:

>Same as it always was and always will be.
>20 WPM Extra Class, Two letter call.
>
.....tested by a Real FCC Examiner......VE tests doan count for squat
amongst Real Men.

From 2144874786217907301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:36:01 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 06:12:58 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0c9dee.346618518@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

```
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <9eeqad$3m6$3@208.206.142.79>
<9ef0as0267g@enews3.newsguy.com> <9ef946$blt$2@208.206.142.80>
<9eh5g801vdr@enews3.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.64
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.64
X-Trace: 24 May 2001 01:08:36 -0500, 64.24.17.64
Lines: 43
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22063

On 23 May 2001 20:10:48 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:
>
>Anything that threatens your precious status
>quo is considered a threat. This is the entire basis of the code/no-code debate
>that's raged for the past ten years. This is why amateurs lost the lower
>portion or the 220 band to the land mobile service. (According to an interview
>with one FCC official)
>
Flat out horseshit. UPS sucessfully made the point that their access
to 220-220 would better serve the public interest than would the few
hams who used that chunk of spectrum space. And they were right and so
was the FCC. What the hell could code tests possibly have to do with
spectrum allocation changes on any even remotely logical basis?
>
Clinton was a fed, did you believe everything he said too?
>
>
>
>Amateur radio is not growing. This is not to say that the number of licensed
>hasn't increased, but that the people like you, Larry, and a few others have
>failed to make it grow. When was the last time you had a conversation with a DX
>station that was more than just a callsign and signal report exchange? When was
>the last time you had a conversation on HF with a stranger that consisted fo
>something other than the basic "Rig hr is..., WX hr is.."? Do you sit and
>complain about the "mexicans taking over 20 meters" at night, or have you tried
>to learn some Spanish and carried on a conversation with them? Or is your
>attitude more apathetic, choosing to communicate only with those hams that fall
>into your little "clique" of the spectrum?
>
And just what have YOU done on the bands which is any different and/or
"better"? No? Then beseme culo por favor.
>
>
>Although I'm paraphrasing Walt Kelly when I say this, but those who claim that
```

```
>they really care about amateur radio, may be its own worst enemy.
>
>
First we got the ARRL hyping CB to "save" ham radio now we got Pogo in
the mix . . . Wheeee!
>
w3rv




From 7767789314618589090
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:36:11 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 06:20:34 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0ca6a9.348850029@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3B09D70E.DC4FBD94@earthlink.net> <9eeao9463b@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3B0B27A4.48468C93@earthlink.net> <9eh2i512u02@enews2.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.64
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.64
X-Trace: 24 May 2001 01:16:11 -0500, 64.24.17.64
Lines: 11
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22064

On 23 May 2001 19:20:37 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
wrote:
>
>Fine. The next time someone asks me about amateur radio, I'll refer them to
>this and the *.misc newsgroup so that they can find out about all of the
>wonderful people that pervade it.
>
That oughta have about the same impact all the rest of your irrational
rants have had on anybody.
>
w3rv
```

```
From 1206803659158755999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:36:27 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 13:37:40 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0d07fe.373765065@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<9eck32$9nk$1@208.206.142.73> <YGkO6.35101$t12.2715987@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ehod7$8nb$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<Ga%O6.41711$4f7.3089282@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.197
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.197
X-Trace: 24 May 2001 08:33:20 -0500, 64.24.19.197
Lines: 18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22065

On Thu, 24 May 2001 03:25:26 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>
>had no hope of satisfying anyone let alone everyone.  But the strengths and
>benefits of an organization need marketing and if I had any criticism -- and
>being a non member I am well aware that as such I have no right to an
>opinion at all -- it would be the lack of a coherent marketing philosophy.
>
They just hired some marketing firm or consultant or some such. I'm
not sure what the objective is but whatever it is it's long overdue.
Anything will be better than the nothing they've had.
>
>Dick N�BK
>
w3rv




From -5291938895556754l8
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-24 18:36:33 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 24 May 2001 13:38:13 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0d0a57.374366034@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b08728a.73382733@news2.dvol.com> <20010523175343.10307.00000079@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.197
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.197
X-Trace: 24 May 2001 08:33:53 -0500, 64.24.19.197
Lines: 16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22066

On 23 May 2001 21:53:43 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>
>In general, I agree with you.  I do think, however, that it is very much in
>Amateur Radio's best interest if ARRL maintains strong lines of communication
>with those other aspects of the radio hobbies.
>
Depends on how much time/effort the League puts into it. I think it
was Hans who suggested the establisment of some sort of organization
which would be a "domestic radio hobby IARU". That's not a bad idea.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv



From -4414123621554293213
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:58:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:57:11 GMT
References: <20010525080418.29298.00001549@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
```

Message-ID: <20010525215711.03759.00002804@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22168

In article <20010525080418.29298.00001549@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Nope. The idea is to see if they can send and receive intelligible
>>messages using Morse Code, with no previous training or experience.
>>The 10 question test is irrelevant.
>
>Why would we want to see if people who are not going to become hams can send
>and receive Morse code?

Ed:

Obviously, you can't answer my question. Just as I thought.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2884178094980216419
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:58:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:57:10 GMT
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010525215710.03759.00002803@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22169

In article <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>and the plain fact that a typical ham, suddenly thrust into the position
>>of having to provide effective emergency communications for a remote
>>enclave of survivors, must make do with what he has to get a message
>>thru.
>
>In reality, can you provide an example of when this happened where CW was the
>mode the amateur had to use to get that message through?

Ed:

No, I can't. Now, please answer my question.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6266361953647009209
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:58:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:57:12 GMT
References: <d4uP6.7883$r4.490126@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010525215712.03759.00002805@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22170

In article <d4uP6.7883$r4.490126@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> writes:

>
>The first thing I do is attempt to draw attention to my plight.
>Supposing for the moment that 20M or 15M is open, I am likely to first
>tune up to one of the maritime traffic nets which have multiple
>NCS covering various geographic areas, take regular breaks for
>weak stations, and are experienced in handling distress situations
>under difficult propagation and involving power/antenna limited
>stations. Those nets happen to be phone nets, so I grab for a
>microphone (duh!).
>
>If communications were good at low power, I'd continue on SSB
>to conserve my dwindling batteries (SSB has a lower duty cycle
>and consumes less energy on transmit than an equivalent CW transmission).
>If communications were poor on phone, I'd switch to CW, keep transmissions
>very terse and accept the fact that I probably would run out of battery
>power earlier if long transmissions like a description of a medical
>condition,
>or for radiolocation (triangulation) were required.

Hansl:

Hmmm.

Its entirely possible I've missed something somewhere. Since when does a 10 w. SSB signal get thru better, stronger, and with more "punch" and "presence" than a 10 w. CW signal? I'll admit that you can talk faster and get more information across in a shorter time using phone and digital modes -- so, when power consumption isn't a consideration, I'd probaby go that route myself. After all, I already know how to talk! However, when I *was* a SSB operator, I recall many dozens if situations when I lost my ability to communicate effectively in SSB, and was able to continue and complete the QSO merely by switching to CW.
Many times I've checked into the 3905 Century Club Net (a phone net) and worked much-needed new states using CW.
This was when my low-power, indoor-antenna station wasn't getting thru on voice! So, Hans, I'll have to remain skeptical here.

You're right about one thing, however -- the choice of mode does depend on operating conditions extant at the time of need.
Unfortunately, the mode chosen can't be CW if the operator does not know how to effectively employ this mode -- and that's what this debate is all about!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3432304817186671837
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 18:58:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 87
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 01:57:10 GMT
References: <3B0E7951.F8E436DE@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010525215710.03759.00002802@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22171

In article <3B0E7951.F8E436DE@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> When I first heard about the berets, Larry, I was just as opposed as
>you seem to be. However, after a little reflection, I've now come to

>the opposite conclusion. What has not been widely reported by the
>press is that training requirements for all active duty Army personnel
>has increased dramatically over the last couple of decades. In fact,
>Basic Training today (now 12 weeks instead of 8) is not that different
>then the general training (physical fitness, basic weapons skills,
>etc) given to special forces personnel.
>
> Physical fitness and readiness training (weapons, combat skills,
>etc), Army wide, is much more intense today than it was just a few
>years ago. Serious physical fitness (long distance runs, etc) has now
>become a daily routine, as is some kind of combat skills training.
>Soldiers spend much more time in the field and that field training is
>much more intense (involving a wide range of technologies). And the
>overall work day is longer, leaving the soldiers little time for after
>duty activities (including spending time with families).

Dwight:

Well, duh, but I thought the Army was *supposed* to be rigorously
trained in combat skills. Just because were now doing what we
should have been doing all along, I don't see any need to issue a
different kind of hat. I know for an absolute fact that an Air Force
Blue beret wouldn't have made me a better Airman -- it would have
just made me the same Airman I always was -- now wearing a
funny looking, highly impractical piece of headgear. Berets make
those even funnier-looking Special Forces "bush" hats seem quite
reasonable by comparison!

> With this in mind, I understand the Army's decision to issue berets
>to all soldiers. With the number of personnel shrinking, the goal is
>to get a maximum effort from each soldier. If that beret can help to
>install a strong military mindset into a young soldier, thereby
>increasing the effort put out by that young soldier, then I see no
>reason not to issue it to each member of the Army.
>
> By the way, I'm not defending the Army's decision to increase
>training. In my opinion, there are situations where the Army has went
>too far, where soldiers are getting hurt unnecessarily. But that is a
>topic for another discussion at some other time.

No, let's discuss it now. During my entire Air Force career, I was
constantly concerned by the fact that we, as Airmen, wern't being
trained on ANY practical field skills, whatsoever. The average airman
went from his air-conditioned dorm to his air-conditioned car to his
air-conditioned work center to the air conditioned dining hall to the
air conditioned club to the air conditioned everything else and back
to his nice soft comfortable bed, 7 days a week, 365 days a year.
Only a select few (like myself) got the opportunity to serve in units
like Combat Mobility Branches, where we had to deal with field
conditions on at least an occasional basis. The average Airman
during my career didn't know how to pitch a tent, operate a
fuel-oil heater, prepare meals in the field, employ proper field
hygiene, or anything of the sort. Heck, many of them went thru

mobility processing lines with B-4 bags stuffed with newspapers and magazines, to give them the proper weight and bulk. If the Army is now going "back to basics," then I, as a taxpayer who is depending on them to keep me free, say "It's about damn time!"

I think there should be only one "boot camp" for ALL branches of the U. S. Armed Forces. The one at Parris Island, NC! Basic "soldiering skills" need to be the same for anyone who is serving in the armed forces, since they can all be exposed to combat and wartime survival conditions on short notice. The average Airman during my time fired 70 rounds thru the AR-15 rifle every two or three years, depending on his mobility status. Each and every time, they were trained as though they had never seen the weapon before, and most of them acted accordingly. What a joke! We used to joke about the Security Police not having bullets in their sidearms, until I had a female SP for a girlfriend, and she told me that more often than not, she didn't! Reason? Too many "accidental" discharges! Reason? Inadequate training, leading to dangerous curiosity among the SP troops, not to mention a lack of discipline. Sooo--don't ask me to fweel sworry for our poor, over-trained troops! I got myself into some pretty hot water during my career, making a stink about the inadequate training issue. I think any move away from that trend is a very good thing, indeed!

73 de Larry, K3LT
MSgt, USAF (Ret.)

From 1537971860983381002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 19:04:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>
<3b0c8484.340112007@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Description Of A Real Ham
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <oaEP6.45230$t12.3478906@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 02:04:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.172.105
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net

X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990842644 12.88.172.105 (Sat, 26 May 2001 02:04:04 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 02:04:04 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22172

Hmm ... I got my original extra thataway - including my commercial telegraph ticket - back in 1966 (along with the 1st phone and radar endorsement). A lapse of over 10 years caused me to have to re-examine in front of VEs - once for the extra and once for the GROL (in 1993). Hey, maybe if I could demonstrate 20 words per minute QLF (sending with left foot), I could get a 1X1 call. Even better would be, um, say W2. Yeah, maybe just W. Of course, they might try a different 3 letter combination with no numbers, starting with A and ending in two crooked letters... Kinda sad how all of us keep arguing what could/should be and don't just try to get on with life and over the CW arguments. Not likely, however.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

Brian Kelly, w3rv <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message news:3b0c8484.340112007@news2.dvol.com...
> On Wed, 23 May 2001 15:13:20 GMT, Steve <steveklink@kih.net> wrote:
>
> >Same as it always was and always will be.
> >20 WPM Extra Class, Two letter call.
> >
> .....tested by a Real FCC Examiner......VE tests doan count for squat
> amongst Real Men.

From -160875650733879182
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,688e16d2948e2f98,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 19:09:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Armpit farter" <wenerdoggiekyle4@hotmail.com>
From: "Armpit farter" <wenerdoggiekyle4@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups:

```
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.shortwave
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Subject: Re: W6HLH
Lines: 23
Organization: squeeeeeek
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <%fEP6.21766$9D5.1885210@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 02:10:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.84.1
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990843003 165.121.84.1 (Fri, 25 May 2001
19:10:03 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 19:10:03 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 19:08:10 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:12843
rec.radio.amateur.misc:16035 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22173
rec.radio.shortwave:34988


"Toni" <toni207@cs.com> wrote in message
news:9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
> If you hear W6HLH, George "the Bigot" Lunge, CQing on his self appointed
> Band of 10 meters, do not give him the time of day.  This poor excuse for a
> human is a bigot of the worse kind and doesn't even think twice about
> spouting racial slurs over the airways.  It is a shame that his rhetoric is
> protected by free speech as it does nothing but disservice the Amateur Radio
> Community and decent people everywhere.
>
> Toni
> SWL & Scanners

Hey Toni - Did you never hear that saying if you donl
like what you hear then SPIN the VFO?????

Nobody makes you listen  - so DON'T !

I personally thing we need more ham lightning-rods
like HLH. Does he operate 20 Meters?  We need more
like the good ole days of 14313 IMHO.  They were fun.




From -4026622406671159269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,c57393caf1cf3027,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 19:10:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Armpit farter" <wenerdoggiekyle4@hotmail.com>
From: "Armpit farter" <wenerdoggiekyle4@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <3B0D6708.B71BCAFC@coqui.net> <3B0D7C7C.3514D97C@firstinter.net>
Subject: Re: W6HLH (the new K6KPS??) ho ho
Lines: 59
Organization: squeeeeeek
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <4gEP6.21768$9D5.1885210@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 02:10:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.84.1
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990843008 165.121.84.1 (Fri, 25 May 2001 19:10:08 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 19:10:08 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 19:08:14 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:12844 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16036 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22174 rec.radio.shortwave:34989

"R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net> wrote in message news:3B0D7C7C.3514D97C@firstinter.net...

> Yes, this guy is bad news as a ham radio ambassador. In 1960, when I
> was just an SWL, I heard him lambasting me on 20M because the SWL QSL
> card I sent him (I was 12 years old) wasn't very close to his
> frequency. My old Zenith console 1940 radio didn't have any kind of a
> bandspread whatsoever. Ridicule was his stock in trade back then. In
> recent years, I've heard him come up on 20M calling CQ on top of an
> existing qso, and then lambast them when they inform him the frequency
> is in use. I just hope Riley hears him on the air someday, and kicks
> his knickers off. He is not the kind of ham we need on the air
> representing amateur radio in the 21st century.
>
> Rick Tannehill
> W7RT

WOW Rick !! He's an old timer QRM'er.

I thought this classic line of fun ham radio Op's died out with the great K6KPS !
Remember him...????   "This is K6KPS in beautiful Southern Cal callin CQ...."

What frequency does HLH operate on..?   Is he as much fun as the great (original)
W2OY..?
He's another one from Radio history - "CQ CQ No lids no kids no space cadets"
Of course there's also the great Big Al - K4OKA - Old King Alligator who's another
legend
from 75 Meters - but alas he's not on much anymore. I miss him too.

This guy may be just the shot-in-the-arm that ham radio needs since the demise of
14.313 and the
classic 75 Meter wars of the 70's and 80's.

>
>
> Bill Meacham wrote:
> >
> > HAHAHA - I thought it was 14.195 that Brownie owned? Must have moved.
> > He's been that way for at least 30 years. He's a QRM magnet.
> > Geez, I had forgotten all about him!
> >
> > -Bill WX4A
> >
> > Toni wrote:
> > >
> > > If you hear W6HLH, George "the Bigot" Lunge, CQing on his self appointed
> > > Band of 10 meters, do not give him the time of day. This poor excuse for a
> > > human is a bigot of the worse kind and doesn't even think twice about
> > > spouting racial slurs over the airways. It is a shame that his rhetoric is
> > > protected by free speech as it does nothing but disservice the Amateur Radio
> > > Community and decent people everywhere.
> > >
> > > Toni
> > > SWL & Scanners
>

From -5267956721052674554
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 19:10:07 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 26 May 2001 02:08:20 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9en36k$1rb$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <3B0BC039.82DE5501@IEEE.org>
<9emg3c$f63$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B0EC6C6.64579C76@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990842900 1899 128.171.44.54 (26 May 2001 02:08:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 26 May 2001 02:08:20 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22175

In article <3B0EC6C6.64579C76@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>So you never use a calculator, Jeff?

As I tell my students, "You may use a calculator to check an answer,
but never to derive an answer." They hate me at first, but as the
semester progresses, they begin to understand even appreciate that
rule. Their ability for doing mental calculations increases to the
point where they're able to eliminate many of the written steps
they found necessary earlier in the semester.

KH6O

From -5124147692507636178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 103929,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid103929,public
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 19:12:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Armpit farter" <wenerdoggiekyle4@hotmail.com>
From: "Armpit farter" <wenerdoggiekyle4@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups:
fr.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.sho
rtwave,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>

<qDhP6.10866$Et2.25859@typhoon.sonic.net>
Subject: Re: W6HLH
Lines: 20
Organization: squeeeeeek
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <uiEP6.21782$9D5.1892795@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 02:12:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.84.1
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990843162 165.121.84.1 (Fri, 25 May 2001 19:12:42 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 19:12:42 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 19:10:48 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com fr.rec.radio.amateur:12755 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16037 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22176 rec.radio.shortwave:34990 tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:899

Thanks Ron! Did he know K6KPS ? Remember him ?

"Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:qDhP6.10866$Et2.25859@typhoon.sonic.net...
> W6HLH is "Blackie" in San Leandro, CA, and his usual haunt is
> 14.178...whether anyone else is there or not! I used to have run-ins with
> him regularly when I lived across the bay in San Bruno many years ago. I
> still hear him on backscatter...along with a chorus of people complaining
> about his rude operating habits.
>
> He's got to be in his 80's or 90's, so maybe soon he'll be QRV from the
> nursing home and off 20 meters someday soon!
>
> Ron
> N6AHA

From 7969405956912949310
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 19:18:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 22:19:20 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3B0F129B.A374D1B3@sccoast.net>
References: <20010524205655.15631.00000187@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010524225007.05666.00004216@nso-fo.aol.com> <3B0E80EF.97DDCF60@sccoast.net>
<9emts7$id1$1@208.206.142.94>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-161.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990843513 339220 206.74.49.161 (26 May 2001 02:18:33 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 02:18:33 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22177

Dick Carroll wrote:

> It is very clear that we won't have to
> worry about Dwight trying to send CW when
> he doesn't know how. But we already knew
> that. He prefers to give it up and let
> nature take its course, without trying.

We're talking about reality here, Dick. Again, if I have equipment, I would have the equipment I own or nothing at all (can't run to the store and buy a new radio on a remote enclave). Since I don't own a low powered transceiver, I'm not going to have one to use on that remote enclave. And, if I have the equipment I own, I'm not going to need CW to contact help. THAT is reality.

Let me give you a reality check. If you were stranded on a remote enclave, would you rather have a full featured, all mode, transceiver with sufficient power output, or a low powered transceiver setup like Larry described? The answer is obvious - any person in his right mind would choose the high powered transceiver. I'm in my right mind, therefore I'd rather have a high powered transceiver that will really reach out and get me the help I need.

This is especially true if I have limited power available for my radio - I'd much rather have one shot on a good international frequency at full power than a dozen chances at low power in the hopes that someone will hear my weak little CW signal.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

```
From -6359437569634424186
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 103929,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid103929,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 20:02:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups:
fr.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.sho
rtwave,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<qDhP6.10866$Et2.25859@typhoon.sonic.net>
<uiEP6.21782$9D5.1892795@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: W6HLH
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <n1FP6.10969$Et2.41977@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 03:02:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 990846163 209.204.160.101 (Fri, 25 May 2001 20:02:43
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 20:02:43 PDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com fr.rec.radio.amateur:12756 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16039
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22178 rec.radio.shortwave:34998
tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:900
```

That call sounds familiar, but I can't say I remember. I stand corrected on the frequency...it's 14.177.2...just close enough to 14.175 so that Advanced licensees couldn't squeeze in below him. And as far as he was concerned, God allocated that frequency to him, and he just calls CQ on it anytime he wants, even if there is a QSO in progress. That's about the same spot the "Hi Fi" guys (W2ONV et. al.) hang out, and they get an earful from time to time!

```
Ron N6AHA

"Armpit farter" <wenerdoggiekyle4@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:uiEP6.21782$9D5.1892795@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> Thanks Ron!  Did he know K6KPS ?  Remember him ?
>
>
>
> "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:qDhP6.10866$Et2.25859@typhoon.sonic.net...
> > W6HLH is "Blackie" in San Leandro, CA, and his usual haunt is
> > 14.178...whether anyone else is there or not!  I used to have run-ins
with
> > him regularly when I lived across the bay in San Bruno many years ago.
I
> > still hear him on backscatter...along with a chorus of people
complaining
> > about his rude operating habits.
> >
> > He's got to be in his 80's or 90's, so maybe soon he'll be QRV from the
> > nursing home and off 20 meters someday soon!
> >
> > Ron
> > N6AHA
>
>
>




From 1498791147326795228
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 20:09:46 PST
Message-ID: <3B0F1DF5.90C351A5@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 22:07:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9ei21e$6b6$0@208.207.71.149> <20010524203523.25364.00001183@ng-
ma1.news.cs.com> <9emrs5$8ee$2@208.206.142.94>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 990846058 64.240.47.115 (25 May 2001 22:00:58 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
```

```
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!
extra.newsguy.com!lotsanews.com!enews.sgi.com!telocity-west!TELOCITY!out.nntp.be!
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22179

Dick Carroll wrote:
>   Oh, yeah, all the freebanding cats are going to immediately change
> their stripes right away when they get that freebie ham ticket. Right.
> never again will they even remotely consider violating a FCC rule.

If they're legal, why would they need to violate FCC rules? It's akin
to riding a motorcycle with or without a license. Exactly the same act
is either legal or illegal depending on the existence of a license.
--
73, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 2294430530502720947
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 20:14:22 PST
Message-ID: <3B0F1EF5.BE2A7587@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 22:11:49 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<20010525215710.03759.00002803@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 990846312 64.240.47.115 (25 May 2001 22:05:12 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
```

illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22180

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >>and the plain fact that a typical ham, suddenly thrust into the position
> >>of having to provide effective emergency communications for a remote
> >>enclave of survivors, must make do with what he has to get a message
> >>thru.
> >
> >In reality, can you provide an example of when this happened where CW was the
> >mode the amateur had to use to get that message through?
>
> No, I can't. Now, please answer my question.

You answered your own question, Larry.
--
73, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8571752394941756028
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 20:17:56 PST
Message-ID: <3B0F1FDE.53EA615F@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 22:15:42 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eepsc$3m6$1@208.206.142.79> <20010523182618.10307.00000089@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9en1p1$gh$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.115
X-Trace: corp 990846545 64.240.47.115 (25 May 2001 22:09:05 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22181

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
> >Of all the lives saved by ham radio, what percentage have been saved by
> >Morse code communication?
>
> Why restrict your question to just ham radio? In the maritime service,
> an untold number of tens of thousands have been saved because of Morse.

Since you want to expand beyond ham radio, are you advocating that the entire
population be forced to learn Morse code?
--
73, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 2590786200721740860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 21:09:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 04:09:05 GMT
References: <20010524202917.15631.00000177@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
```

Message-ID: <20010526000905.18236.00001640@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22182

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 5/24/01 7:29 PM Central Daylight Time

>Why would you say that, Steve. I agree with Cross completely;
>if the FCC were forced to decide everything about the way we
>operate and use our bands, it would not meet our needs.

And he SHOULDN'T be making that decision. It should fall within the purview of the NPRM/R&O program. The "tone" I take from his comments was "shut up". Perhaps he didn't mean it that way, but that's what I got from it. It that WAS what he meant, he needs a reality check and a reminder who's financing his mortgage.

>His attitude appears to be one of humility to me<

Humility? Hmmmm.....I had another adjective in mind....Not a profane one, but not "humility"....

>Sure, like we asked the FCC to do something about all the people who >were abusing the code-disability-waiver process, eh?

You get what you pay for. Except for my Vanity call, I haven't sent the FCC squat since my General way-back-when. And even when we WERE sending them money, they weren't getting it.

73 de K4YZ

From -8701178459687457538
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 21:22:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n8ie@aol.com (Dan Shepherd)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 04:21:43 GMT
References: <3B0F1EF5.BE2A7587@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526002143.10143.00001404@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22183

>> >>and the plain fact that a typical ham, suddenly thrust into the position
>> >>of having to provide effective emergency communications for a remote
>> >>enclave of survivors, must make do with what he has to get a message
>> >>thru.

And if he can't, then vote his ass off the cave!
Opps, wrong newsgroup.

In memory of NASCAR Legend Dale Earnhardt
"God speed Dale"

From -5996055119645765558
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 21:33:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 63
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 04:33:28 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdv6r61.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010526003328.18236.00001642@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22184

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam
>Date: 5/24/01 10:05 PM Central Daylight Time

>   There is, as I found out the hard way, a world of difference
>   between "Rules and Policy" ("legislative") and "Enforcement"
>   ("executive").

Thanks for the perspective, Phil. I don't argue the above at all. My point is that we don't need a federal offical implying (to my perception) "shut up or we'll do it OUR way".

>   Bill's job is the former. Riley's job is the latter. They are not
>   interchangeable, nor should they be.

I agree again. And I stand by my assertion that we don't need federal officals telling us how they are going to protect us from ourselves.

> It comes from the same place that YOUR paycheck came from when >you were on

active duty, Gunny.

Granted. However I wasn't standing up in front of a bunch of people telling them "get with MY program or you're out", either, Phil.

> Get out of his face and let him do the job that the People of the
> United States appointed him to do - amateur radio rules and policy
> specialist.

I am not in his face, Phil. I am commenting on comments HE made relative to his position with the FCC. And if I am not mistaken, he was not appointed by the People (requiring the consent of the Senate or Congress before being hired)

> And it's his RESPONSIBILITY to analyze that request and recommend >agreement, disagreement, or modification, and enactment by the Five >Wise Folks only if appropriate.

Granted. But where does it say he can insinuate that if we don't toe a certain line, we are "out"...?!?! HE has this authority?

> I may not always agree with Bill's positions, but he's telling it
> as it is, and I'm with that 100%.

Expressing an opinion is on thing, Phil. Expressing it as if it carries the weight of policy of the Government of the United States is another.

>Been there, done that.

Since you mentioned my previous employer and the fact that you once too served at the FCC, you will remember the Government has certain policies and regulations on it's members making certain statements in public. In my case it was usually about participating in organized politics or discussing the policies of the civilian government that controlled it. (The Armed Forces)

I seriously doubt that Mr.Cross is at liberty to make "defining" comments that can be misconstrued as "policy" of the FCC without some sanctions being imposed.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 2129419122199654176
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 21:35:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!

portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 04:34:42 GMT
References: <d4uP6.7883$r4.490126@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22186

In article <d4uP6.7883$r4.490126@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
writes:

>I'd continue on SSB
>to conserve my dwindling batteries (SSB has a lower duty cycle
>and consumes less energy on transmit than an equivalent CW transmission).

Depends on what you mean by "equivalent CW transmission".

The peak-to-average power ratio on SSB varies all over the place, but let's say it's 4 to 1 (uncompressed). That means a 100 watt PEP SSB transmitter actually demands about 25 watts average.

On CW, the key is down about 40% of the time, so the peak-to average is about 2.5 to 1. So a 100 watt CW transmitter actually demands about 40 watts, average. But CW has about a 12-14 dB advantage over SSB (because of narrower bandwidth and the fact that it's a "fully voiced" signal). So the CW signal could reduce power by 12-14 dB and still be as readable as the SSB signal. 12 dB is 16 times power ratio - the 100 watt CW rig could be turned down to 6.25 watts peak or 2.5 watts average and still be "equivalent" to the 100 watt PEP SSB signal.

Good luck on this one now!

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1996326956749002020
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 21:35:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 04:34:43 GMT

References: <3B0E6130.EFB90F41@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526003443.27024.00001760@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22185

In article <3B0E6130.EFB90F41@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>I let my ARRL membership lapse after failing to receive my copy of
>QST for several months. I was moving around with the DoD at the time
>(four moves in one year) and the QST subscription just never caught up
>with me. So, in spite of a change of address sent for each move, I
>only received two issues that year (the two that came before I started
>the various moves). At that point, I saw little reason to continue
>membership when it was time to renew. And I never received anything
>about renewing my membership either (of course, in my case, the renew
>invitation was probably sent to one of those older addresses).

Sounds like the DoD's fault, Dwight. ARRL has no way of knowing whether a mag
or renewal got to you.

I once missed a QST because of a move. Sent the ARRL a note about it and they
sent a replacement QST. Then the original showed up, having been forwarded by
the new occupant of the old address. Somehow the post office had missed the new
address notice.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1688051497088378355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 21:35:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 04:34:43 GMT
References: <9ekevr01tb5@enews2.newsguy.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526003443.27024.00001759@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22187

In article <9ekevr01tb5@enews2.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) writes:

>According to the comments of one FCC official back in the late 1980s, hams
>attitudes regarding code testing had quite a lot to do with it.

OK, I'll bite - who was this official? What were the exact comments he made? WHy has this not been publicized?

--

The 220 and nocodetest ham license situations go back a long way - at least a quarter century.

Back in 1975 or so, the FCC proposed a SEVEN class "dual ladder" license system for hams. The "HF ladder" would be Novice/General/Advanced. The "VHF/UHF ladder" would be Communicator/Technician/Experimenter, or something like that. Extra would be the top of both ladders. The Communicator license would have had no code test.

Almost nobody liked this scheme, and it went away fast.

About this time, the EIA (a manufacturers' group) petitioned the FCC to reallocate 220-225 to the Land Mobile Service, and create a new "Class E" CB there. The idea was migrate CB to channelized VHF FM. The manufacturers liked it because 27 MHz CB sets and antennas be made obsolete by such a change. The FCC liked it because it eliminated some of the problems of 27 MHz CB, like "working skip". CB users hated it for the same reasons the manufacturers and FCC liked it.

The ARRL and other ham groups and individuals fought the proposal like crazy. The EIA modified it to take about half of 220, then finally gave up. The reason they went for 220 is that it's not a worldwide ham band by treaty. The FCC could reallocate it if they decided to.

About 1983 the FCC proposed a nocodetest ham license, and again hams were so against it that the idea went away. ARRL leadership pushed very hard against it.

The JY1 story that resulted in waivers in 1990 is well known, and will not be repeated here.

The FCC tried again to create a nocodetest ham license in 1989-90. At this same time, the idea of reallocating 220 came up again. The ARRL BOD came up with an idea: they would back the nocodetest license idea by proposing a new license class that would have limited privileges and no code test - a sort of "VHF Novice". And this license would have mostly 220 privileges! So almost all new hams with that license would go on 220, keeping it busy and increasing the demand for 220 gear. FCC saw through that gambit and just dropped the code test from the Technician class license. Then they reallocated 220-222.

Maybe this last is what the FCC guy meant by "attitude".

73 de Jim, N2EY

In the early '80s (about 1983)

From -6824538448936892355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18eb6217a7bc66fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 21:40:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 04:39:54 GMT
References: <3b0ea8f9.43823160@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Holyshit, Here We Go! Wheee!
Message-ID: <20010526003954.25233.00001448@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22188

>''Detailed regulation of the nitty gritty of communication services,
>including the Amateur Service, is not in the picture,'' Cross said.
>''Rather, the FCC is shifting to strong and effective enforcement of
>truly necessary regulations.'' The FCC, he said, now plans to look to
>the amateur community to reach consensus on any new regulations it
>thinks it wants and needs.
>

Not to be funny, but did this really catch anybody "off guard?"

Mark

From -759139054942331688
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 21:51:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 04:51:27 GMT
References: <C2wP6.8073$r4.503827@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally

Message-ID: <20010526005127.18236.00001643@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22189

>Subject: Re: FCC finally
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/25/01 11:49 AM Central Daylight Time

>This came from K4YZ (a sort of junior-model Len Anderson) who contributes nothing substantive to rrap, but sits on the sidelines and hip-shoots small calibre/poorly aimed potshots, usually to fall victim to a ricochet of his own fire.

Hmmmm......I guess YOUR post was "large" caliber..???

You are SUCH a card, Hansie.! Always the joker! Thanks!

Of course I ALWAYS look to YOUR posts for leadership and guidance as to how one should conduct themselves in a public forum. A true gentleman in ALL respects. ("Quick, Doc..I need a shot of PHENERGAN!")

Of course we ALL know Hans NEVER engages in any flaming/trolling/childish behaviour. His conduct is ALWAYS sterling and his posts ALWAYS address the topic matter of the post without deviating into persoanl attacks, denigration, etc etc etc.

Kinda like the above comments addressed the topic matter of the thread...?!?!

Fire away, Hans. If anyone in this NG understands the etioligy of the aging process, it's me.

You, like Lennie, have a need to find some last bastion of control over your life and this NG is it...OK..Have fun. You have earned your retirement, now make the most of it.

The Gunny

From 8558274477136780207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 21:55:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-

mail
Message-ID: <3B0F3AB5.7619D335@worldnet.att.net>
From: KK7HO <KK7HO@worldnet.att.net>
Organization: Don't Buy From China
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 61
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 04:55:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.73.8.232
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990852933 12.73.8.232 (Sat, 26 May 2001 04:55:33 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 04:55:33 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5721
rec.radio.amateur.misc:16041 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:24023
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22190

Boy, this is a good example of why one has to "study" to get a ham license. :-)

HelmutSweenie wrote:

> A 2 watt carrier? do you just sit there and throw dead keys or do you talk.
> If you do talk I am sure it is more than 2 watts so your deadkey figure is
> irrelavent.
>
> "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> news:3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not...
> > Well, I'm heard with a 2 watt carrier over 20 miles away straight shot,
> > so I must have done something right.
> >
> > ::shrug::
> >
> > -SSB
> >
> > "David G. Nagel" wrote:
> > >
> > > No. Two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's do not make a 1 1/4 wave dipole. They
> > > make a dipole composed of two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's. This

```
> > > configuration will not make a truly efficient antenna. Read up on
> > > collinear antenna's and dipole antenna's. You will see that what you
> > > have is nothing. However for you sake I do hope it works to your
> > > satisfaction. I prefer something else...
> > >
> > > Dave WD9BDZ
> > >
> > > Sideband wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Doug:
> > > >
> > > > Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
> > > >
> > > > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
> > > > top loaded coil antenna. Two of them make 1 1/4 wave. Maybe I should
> > > > have said 1 1/4 wave dipole?
> > > >
> > > > -SSB
> > > >
> > > > Doug Smith wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > On Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400, Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
> wrote:
> > > > > >them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two 4' Wilson
> > > > >
> > > > > Uh, 8 feet is not 5/8 wave on 11 meters.  A 102" (8-1/2') whip is
> 1/4 wave,
> > > > > so a 5/8 wave would be a bit more than 17 feet long.
> > > > >
> > > > > (you should try a 102" whip, I think you're likely to find it more
> effective
> > > > > than the twin four-footers.  Because you won't have loading-coil
> losses.
> > > > > Mine worked *fantastically* well on 10 meters with a converted SSB
> CB.)
> > > > > --
> > > > > Doug Smith W9WI
> > > > > Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> > > > > http://www.w9wi.com



From -2664100196636527930
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 22:04:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 05:04:05 GMT
References: <K4tP6.7804$r4.483655@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010526010405.18236.00001645@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22191

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/25/01 8:27 AM Central Daylight Time


>> You might be interested  to learn that both Guam and Hawaii
>> are in call area 13, and Hawaii now also has the KH7 block,
>> too. (Call area 13 consists of all calls from KH0 through KH9.)

>That bit of trivia is old news, calculated misdirection, and obfuscation.

     "Calculated misdirection"...?!?!

     "Obfuscation"...?!?!

     Is the information NOT correct?  Are the "KH" calls NOT in the 13th call
area...?!?!

>You had no more right to a KH2 call than Deignan has to a KH6 or KH7 >call,
and both callsign requests were spoofs of the system.

     You. of course, can PROVE that Jeff obtained the call fraudulently...?!?!

    FCC regulations REQUIRE the surrendering of a "KH" or "KP" call when the
licensee moves out of that respective jurisdiction?

>So sue me.

    For being stupid and insulting..?!?!  Not worth anyone's time.  But you go
right ahead and have a good time.  We'll just sit back and snicker.

    Again.

The Gunny




"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html


From -4241401639894372029
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18eb6217a7bc66fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 22:07:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 05:06:52 GMT
References: <hLAP6.47114$4f7.3402522@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Holyshit, Here We Go! Wheee!
Message-ID: <20010526010652.18236.00001646@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22192

>Subject: Re: Holyshit, Here We Go! Wheee!
>From: "JAMES HAMPTON" j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 5/25/01 5:10 PM Central Daylight Time

>Hans, that makes too much sense.  Are you sure it was intended for this
>newsgroup?

     He has a lucid moment from time to time...No doubt when Mrs. Hans makes
sure he gets his medicine...Which ISN'T often.

73

Steve, K4YZ


From 9048564557206793350
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 22:14:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 00:13:28 -0500
Organization: wa2ise
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3B0F3B77.D0B27C1A@ix.netcom.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0b.ba.20
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Server-Date: 26 May 2001 05:14:08 GMT
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.74 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22193

Time to develop some sort of digital mode for use on HF that
encodes your voice and gets it thru conditions that SSB
doesn't hack. Think of all the DX you could do.
(No I'm not talking CW, I mean real voice
digitally compressend and encoded over some sort of
RF carrier.) This new mode should not unduely interfere
with existing modes like SSB.   Just sound like white noise
at worst. We could actually invent something good here,
something other services might want to use.

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> The FCC's Bill Cross (W3TN) has mentioned it, and the head of the FCC's
> office of Engineering and Technology has said the same thing a while back -
> "implementation of 'new and more modern communications technologies,' such
> as digital." They have done just about everything except beat us over the
> head with a baseball bat to get our attention directed where it needs to go.

From -2125232048248073908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-25 23:59:40 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 06:59:25 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0f0e78.69808566@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0d07fe.373765065@news2.dvol.com> <20010525073832.29298.00001537@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.125
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.125
X-Trace: 26 May 2001 01:55:00 -0500, 64.24.18.125
Lines: 40
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22194

On 25 May 2001 11:38:32 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
>
>I believe the budget calls for the hiring of a full-time marketing staff

>person. As a League member, I was pleased to see it;
>
Right on.
>
>I have always felt that
>the marketing of ARRL pubs was missing out on a lot of opportunities.
>
I fully understand that the margins from ARRL pubs is a critcal issue.
Your paycheck for openers. ARRL marketing efforts need to be taken
MUCH farther.
>
I dropped into the old-fashioned local ham store this morning for
awhile. It's gonna close next week, the last of those Mohicans around
here.
>
Young bright guy drops in, announces he's been using some snipped 100w
Icom HF ham xcvr on 27mHz for several years, said he's tired of the
bullshit level on 27mHz, "how can I learn how to become a ham?" He
landed in the right place at the right time, there were five Old Fart
Extras and a Tech Plus jawing away. 'Bout an hour and a half later he
staggered out with well over $100 worth of (ARRL) books and a couple
code practice CDs and a lot of encouragement. Yeah, we played with his
head a bit, told him Real Hams pass code tests . . what the hell . .
>
The absolutely appalling truth which came out of this encounter with
an innocent with the classic lust but with no clue was that he had
absolutely no idea whatsover that the League even exists, let alone
know anything about it's missions.
>
The ARRL needs to get out of it's box.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From 1907970106470616954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 00:03:47 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 07:01:08 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0f0d22.69466602@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b093701.123681010@news2.dvol.com> <20010525073055.29298.00001534@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.125
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.125

X-Trace: 26 May 2001 01:56:43 -0500, 64.24.18.125
Lines: 45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22195

On 25 May 2001 11:30:55 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>
>>The "attitude" has been with us ever since the FCC turned the 11 meter
>>ham band over to the CBers almost a half century ago.
>
>Before I was a ham, I operated some on 11 meters.
>
There WAS no CB radio when I jumped in.
>
> I don't recall the animosity
>that some show here.
>
You weren't there when the Class A & B ham licensees went to war with
the FCC and the League about having the temerity to even suggest
creating the 5wpm "insults to the Service" Novice & Tech licenses.
>
>To the contrary, the ham I met recognized my interest in
>radio and encouraged me to become a ham. Fortunately, although the Novice
>license was "dumbed down" from what he had to do to become a ham, he didn't
>feel a need to rub my nose in it. I am still licensed today.
>
Per previous I got lucky in this repect. I had multiple Elmers like
w3bes, k3jh. w3kt and others of that breed.
>
>Had I run across hams who insulted my CB activities the way some feel a need to
>do today and then rubbed my nose in their "superiority" over the "dumb"
>accomplishment I had just made as a Novice, I would not have continued in ham
>radio; I was a sensitive 13-year old kid who would have found other
>distractions and interests.
>
"Sensitivities"?? Tongue. Bite.
>
We all come from different directions I guess. I was raised in my
Dad's big hardass blue collar machine shop where I learned when I was
about six that if you're into getting from here to there, in this
venue from being a lame Novice to being a real dxer all being
"sensitive" could get me was getting pounded even more.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From 4618971618762735121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 01:10:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 26 May 2001 08:04:33 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9eno2h$mkq$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9eeao9463b@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3B0B27A4.48468C93@earthl <9eh2i512u02@enews2.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990864273 23194 128.171.44.55 (26 May 2001 08:04:33 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 26 May 2001 08:04:33 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22196

T. L. Bryant <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote:
>Fine. The next time someone asks me about amateur radio, I'll refer
>them to

...our specialty subgroups: .antenna, .boatanchors, .dx, .digital.misc,
.equipment, .homebrew, and .space...

>so that they can find out about all of the
>wonderful people that pervade it.

They'll surely be impressed with the expertise on those groups.

OTOH, if they search for ham-related NGs on their own and their
newsreader lists groups alphabetically, .misc and .policy will
appear third and second from last in the r.r.a hierarchy; by
the time they get to those two groups, they will again have
been exposed to a tremendous amount of expertise on the other
groups and will already have formed a good image of amateur
radio.

KH6O

From 2956814055063865586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,ae6014043415e218
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,c6211c32bdb4dd50

X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,f98c6617d0ee025
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4e3a53e692e39549
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 01:21:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0F6768.3D1A8FC7@spam.me.not>
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Windows NT 5.0; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Respect For Freebanders
References: <3aedc557$1@news2.mibx.net> <3aee05f3.5689931@nntp.mindspring.com>
<3AF09A1D.1F7C4E45@bob.com> <Dc2I6.4688$2_.1227138@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF0B28A.63A33E25@IEEE.org> <r13I6.4872$2_.1379222@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF14C2A.F037A8F2@IEEE.org> <7ocI6.7010$2_.2644273@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15839.FE40D1AC@spam.me.not> <XVcI6.7318$2_.2687013@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<3AF15DB8.DA352212@spam.me.not> <slrn9f2nkr.71k.w9wi@linux1.localdomain>
<3AF17208.6E97B535@spam.me.not> <3af21556$0$18896$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
<3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not> <5nGI6.47666$B22.11940850@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<3B0F3AB5.7619D335@worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 67
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 04:20:56 -0400
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.234.103.49
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 990865123 216.234.103.49 (Sat, 26 May 2001 03:18:43 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 03:18:43 CDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5722
rec.radio.amateur.misc:16043 rec.radio.amateur.antenna:24025
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22197

And this is a good example of why one shouldn't jump the gun and come to
a conclusion before he's read the rest of the thread.

73 de KC8RKQ

KK7HO wrote:
>
> Boy, this is a good example of why one has to "study" to get a ham license. :-)
>
> HelmutSweenie wrote:
>
> > A 2 watt carrier? do you just sit there and throw dead keys or do you talk.
> > If you do talk I am sure it is more than 2 watts so your deadkey figure is
> > irrelavent.
> >

> > "Sideband" <sideband@spam.me.not> wrote in message
> > news:3AF25F37.669914B6@spam.me.not...
> > > Well, I'm heard with a 2 watt carrier over 20 miles away straight shot,
> > > so I must have done something right.
> > >
> > > ::shrug::
> > >
> > > -SSB
> > >
> > > "David G. Nagel" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > No. Two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's do not make a 1 1/4 wave dipole. They
> > > > make a dipole composed of two 5/8 wave loaded antenna's. This
> > > > configuration will not make a truly efficient antenna. Read up on
> > > > collinear antenna's and dipole antenna's. You will see that what you
> > > > have is nothing. However for you sake I do hope it works to your
> > > > satisfaction. I prefer something else...
> > > >
> > > > Dave WD9BDZ
> > > >
> > > > Sideband wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Doug:
> > > > >
> > > > > Hit Wilson's site and read up on what a Wilson Silver Load is....
> > > > >
> > > > > Better yet, I'll explain it. a 4' Wilson Silver Load is a 5/8 (on 11M)
> > > > > top loaded coil antenna. Two of them make 1 1/4 wave. Maybe I should
> > > > > have said 1 1/4 wave dipole?
> > > > >
> > > > > -SSB
> > > > >
> > > > > Doug Smith wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > On Thu, 03 May 2001 09:31:36 -0400, Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
> > wrote:
> > > > > > >them as well. Granted, I run a 5/8 dipole on the car (two 4' Wilson
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Uh, 8 feet is not 5/8 wave on 11 meters. A 102" (8-1/2') whip is
> > 1/4 wave,
> > > > > > so a 5/8 wave would be a bit more than 17 feet long.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > (you should try a 102" whip, I think you're likely to find it more
> > effective
> > > > > > than the twin four-footers. Because you won't have loading-coil
> > losses.
> > > > > > Mine worked *fantastically* well on 10 meters with a converted SSB
> > CB.)
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > Doug Smith W9WI
> > > > > > Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> > > > > > http://www.w9wi.com

From 1631099482061602071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:16:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:15:50 GMT
References: <3b0f0d22.69466602@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526081550.22020.00001317@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22198

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>You weren't there when the Class A & B ham licensees went to war with
>the FCC and the League about having the temerity to even suggest
>creating the 5wpm "insults to the Service" Novice & Tech licenses.

I guess I wasn't, or at least we didn't have Internet to help them communicate their disgust to me, that brand new ham.

Did they use all the same rhetoric as those that are saying the same today? Was the doom of Amateur Radio forcast with certainty and great bewailing that now the bands were going to deteriorate to the point that it would no longer be ham radio?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6126164680735795732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:24:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 49
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:23:55 GMT
References: <20010526003328.18236.00001642@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010526082355.22020.00001318@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22199

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

> Granted. However I wasn't standing up in front of a bunch of people
>telling them "get with MY program or you're out", either, Phil.

I didn't get that from Cross' speech, Steve. I got that he wanted to see ham radio get with its own program, because if ANY individual came up with a program, it would not suit the needs of most. Don't misunderstand that he used himself as an example of who might be tasked to come up with a program if hams insist to the FCC.

> And if I am not mistaken, he was not appointed
>by the People (requiring the consent of the Senate or Congress before being
>hired)

You can't really expect Congress to appoint low-level staff at every federal agency, Steve. C'mon, let's be real here, man.

> Granted. But where does it say he can insinuate that if we don't toe a
>certain line, we are "out"...?!?! HE has this authority?

He may have the authority to make that recommendation. He may have a sense of the Commission about how they will react if Amateur Radio keeps asking the Commission to solve its petty squabbles.

>Expressing an opinion is on thing, Phil. Expressing it as if it carries
>the weight of policy of the Government of the United States is another.

It is the Commission that ultimately approves policy, but Cross has and will cotninue to have a strong role in making recommendations to the Commission when he is asked to do so. If he tried to keep that secret, you would damn him, but when he discusses that candidly, you damn him, too.

>I seriously doubt that Mr.Cross is at liberty to make "defining" comments
>that can be misconstrued as "policy" of the FCC without some sanctions being
>imposed.

I am sure he is well aware of where his personal opinions stop and where policy begins.

What I really don't understand is why you are faulting him for essentially telling Amateur Radio to solve its own problems because imposed solutions -- the only other real alternative -- are not usually the best for anyone involved.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8160082342405369181
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:27:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:27:00 GMT
References: <9en1p1$gh$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526082700.22020.00001319@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22200

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>Why restrict your question to just ham radio?

I restricted the questiont o ham radio because the question of Morse code use in the other services was settled years ago.

Even so, it the past 25 years, what percentage of iceberg reports and weather warnings do you estimate was delivered commercially by Morse code as opposed to other modes such as voice or digital?

As to WWI and WWII, I agree; Morse code once played a vital role in radio communications. That is a good part of why it once played a vital role in Amateur Radio testing. It was part of the relevance of Amateur Radio to the technology of the day.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8661183546052583070
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:31:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:30:41 GMT
References: <9en180$t71$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526083041.22020.00001320@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22201

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>>2x=21 solve for X.

>How about something with some meat in it: y^4/3 + 8y^2/9 + 7/9 = 0.*

The statement made was that high-school graduates cannot solve simple algebra problems. You example is as extreme as mine, but mine is probably a bit closer to "simple."

>Then answer me this: Why do most (if not all) community colleges
>have to offer remedial mathematics, beginning with arithmetic
>(which should have been mastered in elementary school)?

Do the majority of college students have to take these courses before they can begin college, or just a relative few?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1725950643406879828
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:32:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:32:00 GMT
References: <9emtnn$id1$0@208.206.142.94>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526083200.22020.00001321@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22202

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Ed, I'm convinced that you are an absolute wimp.

Now that rates right up there with "go soak your head," doesn't it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2967948483721498981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:33:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:32:51 GMT
References: <9emt95$8ee$4@208.206.142.94>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526083251.22020.00001322@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22203

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Some say that 220-222 MHz falls into that category.

> And the virtually total amateur non-use of the band had nothing to do
>with it. Right.

If your sarcasm is intending to say that you feel that the virtual non-use of the band was a bigger factor, I agree.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3400475487893575312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:35:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:35:05 GMT
References: <9emsa5$8ee$3@208.206.142.94>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526083505.22020.00001323@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22204

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >Nothing the ARRL does today will effect that happens in the next century.

>> Do you feel that the actions ARRL took in the last century to persuade the
>> government to allow Amateur Radio to continue after it was shut down during
>> wartime had an effect on what happens to Amateur Radio in this century?

> I'm holding you to the same standard you try on everyone else. Next
>century is 99 years and 5 months away.

Well, yes, it is, Dick. The work done by ARRL after WWI to help restore
Amateur Radio was about 80+ years away in the opposite direction. Do you think
that had an impact on Amateur Radio today? If so, just what standard are you
holding me to?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 5978170410565912712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:37:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:36:10 GMT

References: <9emrs5$8ee$2@208.206.142.94>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526083610.22020.00001324@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22205

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Oh, yeah, all the freebanding cats are going to immediately change
>their stripes right away when they get that freebie ham ticket. Right.
>never again will they even remotely consider violating a FCC rule.
>Right.

Those that want a place where they feel they can violate FCC rules with
impunity already have a place to do so; why would they want to become hams?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2350403988814657817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4af5a84d705c784e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:40:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:39:11 GMT
References: <9emlco$sqf$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Application Form
Message-ID: <20010526083911.22020.00001325@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22206

>From: "zehr" mgembry@mindspring.com

>I do not reveal my SSN to just anyone who happens to ask, even the FCC.

Do you really think that an agent of the government can not learn you SSN if
you don't give it? I would imagine that anyone here could obtain your SSN in a
matter of minutes by paying about $50.00 for a credit search.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3108853222564432218
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:42:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:41:41 GMT
References: <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-bh.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010526084141.22020.00001326@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22207

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>In states where radar detectors are illegal, set up a radar gun in a place
>where the traffic really rolls. Set it up so that it cannot be seen from the
>road being surveyed, then see whose brakelights go on for no apparent reason.
>the license plate numbers are radioed ahead and the cars stopped for having a
>detector.

Problem with that one is that it is very hard to tell whose brake lights came on because of the detector and whose brake lights came on because the driver saw other brake lights. When I am tooling down the highway and see several cars in front of me braking, I always slow down a bit -- for a number of good reasons. :-)

A better ploy would be to leave that beacon operating until drivers got used to it, then set up a real speed trap. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7149525679089328280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:43:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news.stealth.net!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 26 May 2001 12:42:47 GMT
References: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010526084247.22020.00001327@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22208

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>To amuse himself, he keys that transmitter
>when he's tooling down the interstate with his camper and a speeder
>passes him. He's seen drivers go into fish-tails while slowing down.

Will he be equally amused if someone dies in the resulting accident? Please
ask him and tell us his response.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3985336829185569621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:45:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.stealth.net!news-
east.rr.com!news.rr.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <3B0F3B77.D0B27C1A@ix.netcom.com>
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Lines: 54
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 12:45:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.89
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990881145 12.75.102.89 (Sat, 26 May
2001 12:45:45 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 12:45:45 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22209

> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> > The FCC's Bill Cross (W3TN) has mentioned it, and the head of the FCC's

> > office of Engineering and Technology has said the same thing a while back -
> > "implementation of 'new and more modern communications technologies,' such
> > as digital." They have done just about everything except beat us over the
> > head with a baseball bat to get our attention directed where it needs to go.

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3B0F3B77.D0B27C1A@ix.netcom.com...

> Time to develop some sort of digital mode for use on HF that
> encodes your voice and gets it thru conditions that SSB
> doesn't hack. Think of all the DX you could do.
> (No I'm not talking CW, I mean real voice
> digitally compressend and encoded over some sort of
> RF carrier.) This new mode should not unduely interfere
> with existing modes like SSB.   Just sound like white noise
> at worst. We could actually invent something good here,
> something other services might want to use.

Who is we kemo sabe? About a thousand years ago, the Handbook spent a lot of ink on shaping of keying to eliminate 'key clicks' and excessive bandwidth of CW signals. It pretty well came down to 'there ain't no such thing as square wave'. Wouldst that this should be reprinted and taken to heart by a later generation of digital engineers and designers.

We have arrived at the point where digital TV takes closer to 30 MHz than 6, the AM replacement being tested gave up trying to do it in 10 kc but admits to taking the guard band as well and the FM replacement is an equal fiasco. This happened in the early days of modems as well. And none of the systems stand multi-path or selective fading for squat -- they fold up like cheap tents in a gale. The early experience with TV would indicate a compatibility problem you won't believe.

My purpose is not to piss on digital but rather to point out that there is more to it than a specification in a Usenet posting. The modem problem was solved with a lot of pain and a lot of playing and shaping audio tones to eliminate the interactions of the tones with each other and stand up to line imperfections. A lot of the US internet world still cannot exceed 33 kbs as we speak. A similar problem on a grand scale awaits the digital designer.

Get to work Mr. Casey

Dick N�BK

From 8157658245750681458

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:50:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:49:55 GMT
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22210

>From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net

>The FCC's Bill Cross (W3TN) has mentioned it, and the head of the FCC's
>office of Engineering and Technology has said the same thing a while back -
>"implementation of 'new and more modern communications technologies,'
>such as digital."

Yes, they have, but Amateur Radio has all of the needed flexibility under existing rules to start to make that happen. Maybe it is starting to take off, with sound-card digital modes being invented or used in Amateur Radio left and right, software-defined radio getting into the hands of hams who are doing some cool things (2-way moonbound with 5 watts, as just one example).

> Many of us are professionals in our
respective areas and thus know that old
> technology falls by the wayside to make room for newer, and better
technologies. >The Amateur Service is no different.

It is different in one important way; not enough of those professionals with the expertise to make it all happen in the Amateur Radio are sharing it with hams. Just as one example near and dear to this group, Carl Stevenson, WA6VSE, could share an immense amount of knowledge with us all, but he is choosing to work on adminstrative matters involving Amateur Radio -- removal of the code tests and leading NCI -- instead.

I agree; perhaps we are fixated on the wrong things.

>What we really need to discuss here is how best to use the new emerging
>communication technologies instead of wasting effort debating "the
>hot-button issue",

Talking about it here will not do the least amount of good.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4497786816821167242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:51:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:50:50 GMT
References: <3b0e991b.39760880@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526085050.22020.00001329@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22211

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>Hey Larry, can I borrow yer forktruck for the weekend? I got a job in
>Newington . .

I don't live in Newington...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5745395790535764526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 05:57:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:56:36 GMT
References: <20010526000905.18236.00001640@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010526085636.22020.00001332@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22212

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>I agree with Cross completely;
>>if the FCC were forced to decide everything about the way we
>>operate and use our bands, it would not meet our needs.

>And he SHOULDN'T be making that decision.

He should if his boss asks him too, Steve. The FCC has relied on Cross for a recommendation on many issues over the years. It is likely that they will continue.

>It should fall within the purview of the NPRM/R&O program.

Well, yeah. Do you really think that Cross doesn't know that?

>It that WAS what he meant, he needs a reality check and a reminder who's
>financing his mortgage.

Yes, the same FCC that will ask him for his input if Amateur Radio is unable to resolve its petty squabbles and asks the FCC to make rules to do so.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3906288905161168666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 06:00:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 12:59:16 GMT
References: <3B0E41B2.2929463D@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526085916.22020.00001334@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22213

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>You have, of necessity, certain limits placed on what you say and how
>you say it. I'm under no such restraints.

The limits that are placed on what I say and how I say it are of my own making, Dave.

>In this particular case, I'll settle for the wash.

They are, in part, because I don't think it is a wash and I do not believe that
petty, cliched insulting terms serve any purpose.

You are welcome to believe otherwise, of course.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6801628211401060763
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 06:01:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 13:00:58 GMT
References: <9emrnu$8ee$1@208.206.142.94>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526090058.22020.00001336@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22214

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Was Dick there to tell you not to send all dits when you wanted to send
>dits
>> and dahs?

> You don't do all that well as a smartass, Ed.

You are right, Dick; the whole premise does appear pretty ridiculous, doesn't
it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3032950627316560435
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 06:05:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 13:04:13 GMT
References: <20010525215710.03759.00002803@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526090413.22020.00001337@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22215

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>In reality, can you provide an example of when this happened where CW was
>>the mode the amateur had to use to get that message through?

>No, I can't. Now, please answer my question.

Sorry, Larry; in trying to figure out your sense of relatity, I got a bit lost; what was the question again?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9202130363048240020
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 06:08:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 13:07:44 GMT
References: <20010525215712.03759.00002805@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526090744.22020.00001339@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22216

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Unfortunately, the mode chosen can't be CW if the operator does
>not know how to effectively employ this mode -- and that's what

>this debate is all about!

And the mode can't be SSB if the operator does not have a microphone. Would
you suggest that the FCC mandate that hams be required to keep an SSB station
available for emergency communications at all times? If not, your goal is not
as you have stated.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6719483584487758402
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 06:12:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 13:11:48 GMT
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526091148.22020.00001341@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22217

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>So the CW signal
>could reduce power by 12-14 dB and still be as readable as the SSB signal. 12
>dB is 16 times power ratio - the 100 watt CW rig could be turned down to 6.25
>watts peak or 2.5 watts average and still be "equivalent" to the 100 watt PEP
>SSB signal.

>Good luck on this one now!

You didn't factor in the data rate in your calculations. At 20 wpm, the CW
signal would have to transmit about 10 X as long, as compared to a speech rate
of 200 wpm.   I think OOK CW then comes off as a loser, so seeing as this is
the issue that determines whether we will have code testing in the Amateur
Radio Service, you can inform the ITU that the matter has been settled now on
rrap. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4192854811410150432
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 06:19:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 13:19:02 GMT
References: <3B0E6130.EFB90F41@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526091902.22020.00001343@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22218

>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

> I let my ARRL membership lapse after failing to receive my copy of
>QST for several months. I was moving around with the DoD at the time
>(four moves in one year) and the QST subscription just never caught up
>with me. So, in spite of a change of address sent for each move, I
>only received two issues that year (the two that came before I started
>the various moves). At that point, I saw little reason to continue
>membership when it was time to renew.

What with processing delays and having to send mailing labels to the printer and such, with 4 moves that year, I can understand how that happened.

Those members who do not receive their QST for any reason or whose QSTs are damaged, can call or email ARRL HQ and ask for a replacement. It will be sent out by First Class mail. Perhaps you weren't aware of that when you made your decision that QST forwarding not being able to keep up with 4 moves in a year was a reason not to renew.

> And I never received anything about renewing my membership either (of
> course, in my case, the renew invitation was probably sent to one of those
>older addresses).

More than likely. If you send me your current address and a list of QSTs you didn't receive, I will send them to you -- along with a renewal notice. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6704402578383753876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 06:23:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 13:22:56 GMT
References: <20010526003443.27024.00001760@nso-ma.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526092256.22020.00001345@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22219

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Sounds like the DoD's fault, Dwight. ARRL has no way of knowing whether a >mag or renewal got to you.

Actually, in the case of the magazine, we probably do. Those whose forwarding orders expire are usually sent back to us -- well, the cover anyway -- by the USPS. That return costs ARRL about 60 cents, if memory serves. In those cases, I think the presumption is that we are unsure of the correct address, so the best thing to do is to wait for the member to request the QST. Otherwise, QSTs could be going back and forth ad nauseum.

>I once missed a QST because of a move. Sent the ARRL a note about it and they
>sent a replacement QST.

That process seems to be acceptable to most people. I think it balances the need of the member vs the costs of trying to redeliver QSTs to a dubious address.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5156099677720380551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 06:38:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B0FA378.D5E49AC2@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B0E41B2.2929463D@earthlink.net> <20010526085916.22020.00001334@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 32
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 13:38:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.178
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990884332 63.17.222.178 (Sat, 26 May 2001 06:38:52 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 06:38:52 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 06:37:01 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22220

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >You have, of necessity, certain limits placed on what you say and how
> >you say it. I'm under no such restraints.
>
> The limits that are placed on what I say and how I say it are of my own making,
> Dave.

Sure they are, Ed. You have the good sense to temper your remarks based upon your situation. I did not make the remark as a slam against you.

> >In this particular case, I'll settle for the wash.
>
> They are, in part, because I don't think it is a wash and I do not believe that
> petty, cliched insulting terms serve any purpose.
>
> You are welcome to believe otherwise, of course.

If I state an opinion which doesn't help amateur radio or hurt amateur radio, that's a wash. My comment was directed toward an individual who frequently uses cliched and insulting terms so he knows the turf well. I'm not much in the mood for "huggy-kissy" at the moment. I'm pretty tired of individuals who think that my view is killing amateur radio. I'm tired of those who speak of spectrum auctions and I'm tired of those who don't know that the ARRL is a membership organization of radio amateurs. See--I DO believe otherwise and I didn't even need a welcome to believe what I believe. The welcome wasn't yours to give.

Dave K8MN

From 9171982159827088951

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 07:04:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 14:03:14 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3b0fb65f.3112674@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net> <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-bh.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4b.35
X-Server-Date: 26 May 2001 14:03:58 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22221

On 25 May 2001 22:01:34 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>Neat one: Here's another:
>
>- In states where radar detectors are illegal, set up a radar gun in a place
>where the traffic really rolls. Set it up so that it cannot be seen from the
>road being surveyed, then see whose brakelights go on for no apparent reason.
>the license plate numbers are radioed ahead and the cars stopped for having a
>detector.
>73 de Jim, N2EY

I have heard much less about such radar detector enforcement efforts
since highway speeds have been raised to more practical limits.
The actual use of radar detectors has probably dropped
considerably since speed limits went up. My Passport
detector hasn't been in my car in several years. Time was when almost
anyone doing any significant interstate use had a detector.
I don't see that anymore.

Today I believe only two states (VA and MD) along with the
District of Columbia have radar detector bans. CT used to also
but that was repealed several years ago.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 6667588707250811287
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 07:05:55 PST

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 14:04:47 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org> <20010526084247.22020.00001327@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4b.35
X-Server-Date: 26 May 2001 14:05:33 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22222

On 26 May 2001 12:42:47 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
>>To amuse himself, he keys that transmitter
>>when he's tooling down the interstate with his camper and a speeder
>>passes him. He's seen drivers go into fish-tails while slowing down.
>
>Will he be equally amused if someone dies in the resulting accident?  Please
>ask him and tell us his response.
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

I have to totally agree with Ed on this.  There is simply
NO justification for such a dangerous and ILLEGAL act.

No cheers on that,
Bill K2UNK



From 2109957477143327463
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 07:09:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 14:08:09 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3b0fb84b.3604713@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9eepsc$3m6$1@208.206.142.79> <20010523182618.10307.00000089@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9en1p1$gh$1@news.hawaii.edu>
```

Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4b.35
X-Server-Date: 26 May 2001 14:08:57 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22223

On 26 May 2001 01:44:01 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>>Of all the lives saved by ham radio, what percentage have been saved by
>>Morse code communication?
>
>Why restrict your question to just ham radio?

Because the issue is tied to the rational by some
people as justification to retain morse testing of amateurs.
See more below.

>In the maritime service,
>an untold number of tens of thousands have been saved because of Morse.
>Ninety years of iceberg reports and severe WX warnings issued from shore
>stations, SOS calls from burning or sinking passenger liners, Navy and
>civilian ships struck by mines during WWI and WWII -- the list could
>cover a hundred different scenarios and still not be complete.

All true...but totally not related to, nor any justification for,
continued morse testing as a license requirement for amateur
HF licenses.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3021622687259055742
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 07:13:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B0FB995.E9589A4A@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 09:11:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <3B0BC039.82DE5501@IEEE.org>
<9emg3c$f63$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B0EC6C6.64579C76@IEEE.org>
<9en36k$1rb$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.93
X-Trace: corp 990885896 64.240.47.93 (26 May 2001 09:04:56 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!feed-
out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22224

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> In article <3B0EC6C6.64579C76@IEEE.org>, CAM  <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >So you never use a calculator, Jeff?
>
> As I tell my students, "You may use a calculator to check an answer,
> but never to derive an answer." They hate me at first, but as the
> semester progresses, they begin to understand even appreciate that
> rule. Their ability for doing mental calculations increases to the
> point where they're able to eliminate many of the written steps
> they found necessary earlier in the semester.

Heck, why not make them go back to slip-sticks?
--
73, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -4485194908368675686
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 07:18:38 PST
Message-ID: <3B0FBAB9.F4358FCF@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 09:16:25 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
```

```
References: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org> <20010526084247.22020.00001327@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.93
X-Trace: corp 990886191 64.240.47.93 (26 May 2001 09:09:51 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22225
```

```
W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >To amuse himself, he keys that transmitter
> >when he's tooling down the interstate with his camper and a speeder
> >passes him. He's seen drivers go into fish-tails while slowing down.
>
> Will he be equally amused if someone dies in the resulting accident?  Please
> ask him and tell us his response.

What do the police do when their radar guns causes someone to die in the
resulting accident? Egads Ed, you're starting to sound like Larry and Dick.
--
73, Cecil
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From 9040459550025479491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 07:20:08 PST
Message-ID: <3B0FBB1D.20B97AC2@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 09:18:05 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org> <20010526084247.22020.00001327@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.93
X-Trace: corp 990886287 64.240.47.93 (26 May 2001 09:11:27 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!ams-newsfeed.speedport.net!newsfeed.speedport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22226

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 26 May 2001 12:42:47 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
> >>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >
> >>To amuse himself, he keys that transmitter
> >>when he's tooling down the interstate with his camper and a speeder
> >>passes him. He's seen drivers go into fish-tails while slowing down.
> >
> >Will he be equally amused if someone dies in the resulting accident? Please
> >ask him and tell us his response.
> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> I have to totally agree with Ed on this. There is simply
> NO justification for such a dangerous and ILLEGAL act.

Bill, he's just doing what the cops do. What do the cops do when their
radar guns causes someone to die in the resulting accident?
--
73, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1840002964843455178
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 07:24:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 14:23:07 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3b0fbb94.4444870@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010524202917.15631.00000177@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010526000905.18236.00001640@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4b.35
X-Server-Date: 26 May 2001 14:24:06 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22227

On 26 May 2001 04:09:05 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>>From: w1rfi@aol.com  (W1RFI)
>>Date: 5/24/01 7:29 PM Central Daylight Time
>
>>Why would you say that, Steve.  I agree with Cross completely;
>>if the FCC were forced to decide everything about the way we
>>operate and use our bands, it would not meet our needs.
>
>     And he SHOULDN'T be making that decision.  It should fall within the
>purview of the NPRM/R&O program.  The "tone" I take from his comments was "shut
>up".  Perhaps he didn't mean it that way, but that's what I got from it.  It
>that WAS what he meant, he needs a reality check and  a reminder who's
>financing his mortgage.

I see Cross's comments aimed at those regulations that
hams want to keep or to add to current regs.  The FCC is
clearly going in the direction of "less specific" regulation
and wants a "consensus" in the ham community to either
KEEP certain current regs and/or to ADD new regs.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -6612552217344295547
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 07:32:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 14:31:32 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3b0fbd7d.4934708@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org> <20010526084247.22020.00001327@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com> <3B0FBB1D.20B97AC2@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4b.35
X-Server-Date: 26 May 2001 14:32:38 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22228

On Sat, 26 May 2001 09:18:05 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> On 26 May 2001 12:42:47 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>>
>> >>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>> >
>> >>To amuse himself, he keys that transmitter
>> >>when he's tooling down the interstate with his camper and a speeder
>> >>passes him. He's seen drivers go into fish-tails while slowing down.
>> >
>> >Will he be equally amused if someone dies in the resulting accident?  Please
>> >ask him and tell us his response.
>> >Ed Hare, W1RFI
>>
>> I have to totally agree with Ed on this.  There is simply
>> NO justification for such a dangerous and ILLEGAL act.
>
>Bill, he's just doing what the cops do. What do the cops do when their
>radar guns causes someone to die in the resulting accident?
>73, Cecil

Assuming that may happen, the police are "licensed"
to use the radar guns.  Does your friend have federal
authorization?  Additionally, you noted that your friend
does this deliberately when he sees a vehicle pass
him at what your friend decides is high speed.  Sorry,
can't accept the above as any justification for your
friends UNSAFE and ILLEGAL activity.

No cheers again,
Bill K2UNK
```

From 2691889181096502021
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 07:51:12 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 14:50:57 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0f0e9e.69846502@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com> <9eck32$9nk$1@208.206.142.73> <YGkO6.35101$t12.2715987@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ehod7$8nb$1@news.hawaii.edu> <Ga%O6.41711$4f7.3089282@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3b0d07fe.373765065@news2.dvol.com> <9VwP6.46511$4f7.3378681@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.91
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.91
X-Trace: 26 May 2001 09:46:31 -0500, 64.24.19.91
Lines: 25
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22229

On Fri, 25 May 2001 17:47:49 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>> They just hired some marketing firm or consultant or some such. I'm
>> not sure what the objective is but whatever it is it's long overdue.
>> Anything will be better than the nothing they've had.
>> w3rv
>
>And the first thing he will do is sit the boys down and ask them what they
>are proud of, what they are good at, and how the world would be poorer if
>they got run over by the 8AM streetcar. Even the Mafia needs to answer
>those questions periodically.
>
>Then comes how to measure ('metrics' is the buzz du jour) both the success
>of the League in whatever their chosen mission might be and the success of
>the consultant.
>
>That should not take more than a few years.
>
Been there, seen it, none of it would surprise me one damned bit. In
case you missed it the consultant is supposed to build fires under
publications sales.

```
>
w3rv


From 2225357663553O3501
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 07:59:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Bob & Weave" <bob@weaver.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net> <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-
bh.aol.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <MxPP6.7345$lP5.2988169@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 14:59:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 990889196 24.180.184.171 (Sat, 26 May 2001
07:59:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 07:59:56 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22230

If you are a ham operator you should be allowed to have a radar detector in
any state. After all it is just a wideband reciever. I would try fight this
if i ever got caught.

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-bh.aol.com...
> In article <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
> >  By the way, watch out if you're ever in this situation.  Police
> >officers have developed a ploy based on this.  It goes like this.  The
> >officer will drive some distance to get a pile of vehicles behind him.
> > At that point, he will exit the freeway.  Of course, as you describe,
> >the vehicles will speed up just as soon as the police car is out of
> >sight.  However, in this case, the officer reenters the freeway on the
> >other side of the overpass and quickly comes up behind the cars that
> >were just following him (the ones who sped up after he exited).  I've
> >seen this ploy used over and over, and it almost always works.
> >
> Neat one: Here's another:
>
```

> - In states where radar detectors are illegal, set up a radar gun in a
place
> where the traffic really rolls. Set it up so that it cannot be seen from
the
> road being surveyed, then see whose brakelights go on for no apparent
reason.
> the license plate numbers are radioed ahead and the cars stopped for
having a
> detector.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 3770911925354670519
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:05:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 15:05:09 GMT
References: <20010526090413.22020.00001337@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526110509.09604.00001409@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22231
```

>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

>Sorry, Larry; in trying to figure out your sense of relatity, I got a bit
>lost; what was the question again?

I think I remembered the question. You offered to double up on the lobster dinner based on how you think I would answer the question what mode I would try if I were the only ham in an enclave of survivors depending on ham radio for rescue.

In my case, the answer is very easy. The only mode I would be apt to have with me would be CW because I not keep my HT charged or even own a working microphone. I certainly wouldn't have any mode with me except for CW if I were in that situation.

Do I get the 2nd lobster, or not?

Now, I imagine that hams who had a choice of mode would try a voice mode first.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2338579169119756873
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:06:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Bob & Weave" <bob@weaver.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org> <20010526084247.22020.00001327@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com> <3B0FBB1D.20B97AC2@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 19
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <NDPP6.7360$lP5.2995410@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 15:06:21 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 990889581 24.180.184.171 (Sat, 26 May 2001 08:06:21 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 08:06:21 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22232

Exactly Cecil,
what happens when one of these guys goes by walmart and the auto door opener is generating lo-power X band.
And sets off the radar detector. The driver must have control of his vehicle at all times no exceptions.

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message >
> Bill, he's just doing what the cops do. What do the cops do when their
> radar guns causes someone to die in the resulting accident?
> --
> 73, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5410295946651169035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:07:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 15:06:51 GMT
References: <3B0FA378.D5E49AC2@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526110651.09604.00001410@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22233

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>> >You have, of necessity, certain limits placed on what you say and how
>> >you say it.  I'm under no such restraints.

>Sure they are, Ed.  You have the good sense to temper your remarks based
>upon your situation.  I did not make the remark as a slam against you.

Yes, but saying that I have good sense to temper my remarks and saying that I
have constraints placed on me are two different sayings. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 789465964958313044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:20:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 15:17:14 GMT
References: <3B0FBAB9.F4358FCF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010526111714.09604.00001412@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22235

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> Will he be equally amused if someone dies in the resulting accident?
>>Please ask him and tell us his response.

>What do the police do when their radar guns causes someone to die in the
>resulting accident?

I don't know; I would have to read the resultant police report, but I can be assured that they will not be amused. Does your friend have the same accountability as the police would if their radar gun caused an accident? Would he fill out a report on the incident and sign his name?

>Egads Ed, you're starting to sound like Larry and Dick.

I have disagreed with Larry and Dick on a number of occasions, but if they do not think that causing other drivers to fishtail at high speed is amusing, we have found some common ground.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1658857117093956977
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:20:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 15:18:51 GMT
References: <3b0fb65f.3112674@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010526111851.09604.00001413@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22236

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>CT used to also but that was repealed several years ago.

As far as I know, the feds use radar to do some sampling of highway speeds and tie in federal monies for highways and such on the compliance of the state.

States with no bans on radar detectors may have higher compliance. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3239912989977033107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:20:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 15:14:08 GMT
References: <ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010526111408.09604.00001411@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22234

>From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net

>Who is we kemo sabe? About a thousand years ago, the Handbook
>spent a lot of ink on shaping of keying to eliminate 'key clicks' and excessive
>bandwidth of CW signals. It pretty well came down to 'there ain't no such
>thing as square wave'. Wouldst that this should be reprinted and taken to
>heart by a later generation of digital engineers and designers.

In theory, an FSK or PSK signal has an infinite number of sidebands if the frequency or phase shift is instantaneous, although these sidebands do diminish with distance from the source. In practice, there are a number of ways to address this, all of which are one form or another of bandwidth limiting.

A PSK-31 signal is bandwidth limited by filtering after modulation (all in DSP, of course). The resultant PSK signal is of reasonable bandwidth, but the result is that it has amplitude as well as phase variation.

I see no reason that digital modulation techniques would not use as good engineering practice as is used by OOK CW and SSB.

>We have arrived at the point where digital TV takes closer to 30 MHz than 6,

Does not it also bring with it significant improvement in video resolution?

>My purpose is not to piss on digital but rather to point out that there is
>more to it than a specification in a Usenet posting.

Agreed.

> The modem problem was solved with a lot of pain and a lot of playing and
>shaping audio tones to eliminate the interactions of the tones with each other
and stand up to line imperfections.

Is that pain not part of the challenge of Amateur Radio, and of its worth?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1973006507601281532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:23:25 PST
Message-ID: <3B0FC9F6.DF57B1@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 10:21:26 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org> <20010526084247.22020.00001327@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com> <3B0FBB1D.20B97AC2@IEEE.org> <3b0fbd7d.4934708@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp 990890090 64.240.47.101 (26 May 2001 10:14:50 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!pulsar.dimensional.com!dimensional.com!newsfeed.frii.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22237

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Bill, he's just doing what the cops do. What do the cops do when their
> >radar guns causes someone to die in the resulting accident?
>
> Assuming that may happen, the police are "licensed"
> to use the radar guns.

So if one is licensed to use a radar gun it is OK to cause
people to die in the resulting accident?

> Does your friend have federal authorization?

I don't know. The device looks like one of the GM car lock/unlock
gadgets. I doubt that it puts out enough power to violate any
laws. Note that I am not the one doing it.
--
73, Cecil
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 3610229812812333911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:25:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 15:24:16 GMT
References: <3b0f0e78.69808566@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526112416.09604.00001414@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22238

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com  (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>I fully understand that the margins from ARRL pubs is a critcal issue.
>Your paycheck for openers.

Yes, from my point of view, that is a pretty important issue.  From the
members' point of view, the resultant actions and programs are what it is all
abot.
```

>I dropped into the old-fashioned local ham store this morning for
>awhile. It's gonna close next week, the last of those Mohicans around
>here.

Yes, smaller stores in all retail areas seem to be giving way to the big chains. Unfortunately, I think that some hams went to the local store to play with their demo model, then ordered by mail from a big chain because the multi-thousand dollar rig was $100.00 cheaper there.

>The absolutely appalling truth which came out of this encounter with
>an innocent with the classic lust but with no clue was that he had
>absolutely no idea whatsover that the League even exists, let alone
>know anything about it's missions.

I believe you are telling the truth, but I must admit that I find that surprising. In today's web age, a search on "Amateur Radio" will find site after site with links to the ARRL home page.

>The ARRL needs to get out of it's box.

I presume that doing so will be a major responsibility of the Marketing person. However, the League currently does a mailing to every new ham. I don't know how much more it can do than to personally contact every new ham and to make tons of information about ARRL and its missions available on the web page.

Can you suggest anything more effective? I will pass the ideas along.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 4653800511459583920
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:27:15 PST
Message-ID: <3B0FCAD3.6710A03E@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 10:25:07 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net> <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-
bh.aol.com> <MxPP6.7345$lP5.2988169@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp 990890310 64.240.47.101 (26 May 2001 10:18:30 -0500)
Lines: 16
```

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22239

Bob & Weave wrote:
> If you are a ham operator you should be allowed to have a radar detector in
> any state. After all it is just a wideband reciever. I would try fight this
> if i ever got caught.

One night I drove onto an Army Base in CA. My VHF rig was sitting on
my dash. The guard told me I couldn't enter with that radar detector.
I fired up the local repeater, showed him my FCC license and he let
me in.
--
73, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -877571007649260879
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:27:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Bob & Weave" <bob@weaver.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org> <20010526084247.22020.00001327@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3B0FBAB9.F4358FCF@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <JXPP6.7395$lP5.3017015@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 15:27:37 GMT

NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 990890857 24.180.184.171 (Sat, 26 May 2001 08:27:37 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 08:27:37 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22240

there are many "false" alarms with radar detectors do these cause horrific accidents? If so who is to blame ? Again Just because a radar detector goes off does this mean you slam your brakes on and lose control of your vehicle. The driver is at fault 100%.

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B0FBAB9.F4358FCF@IEEE.org...
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >
> > >To amuse himself, he keys that transmitter
> > >when he's tooling down the interstate with his camper and a speeder
> > >passes him. He's seen drivers go into fish-tails while slowing down.
> >
> > Will he be equally amused if someone dies in the resulting accident? Please
> > ask him and tell us his response.
>
> What do the police do when their radar guns causes someone to die in the
> resulting accident? Egads Ed, you're starting to sound like Larry and Dick.
> --
> 73, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2462115044300411750
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:33:30 PST
Message-ID: <3B0FCC5D.A33449BF@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 10:31:41 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B0FBAB9.F4358FCF@IEEE.org> <20010526111714.09604.00001412@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.101
X-Trace: corp 990890705 64.240.47.101 (26 May 2001 10:25:05 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22241

W1RFI wrote:
> Does your friend have the same
> accountability as the police would if their radar gun caused an accident?

The larger question is: Can a radar gun "cause" an accident. My
friend's device is about the size of a silver dollar. I doubt that
it puts out enough power to be illegal.

If someone runs over a pedestrian in a Walmart parking lot because
their door openers caused the radar detector to go off, do you think
Walmart would be responsible?
--
73, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1391399077866641221
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:34:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 26 May 2001 15:34:12 GMT

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9eoidk$irr$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net> <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-bh.aol.com> <3B0F08B9.A0B38D57@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22242

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > - In states where radar detectors are
> > illegal, set up a radar gun in a place
> > where the traffic really rolls. Set it
> > up so that it cannot be seen from the
> > road being surveyed, then see whose
> > brakelights go on for no apparent reason.
> > the license plate numbers are radioed
> > ahead and the cars stopped for having a
> > detector.
>
>   Yep, I've seen that one. An alternative is to simply leave a radar
> transmitter hidden at some location all the time, just to slow down
> cars with radar detectors. It doesn't fool the locals, who quickly
> catch on, but it does slow down over drivers.

Yeah, what 'fools the locals' is when one day that 'dummy' becomes a
real live radar car....

From 3544880530342335348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:37:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 26 May 2001 15:37:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9eoik7$irr$1@208.206.142.72>

References: <9emrs5$8ee$2@208.206.142.94> <20010525214333.07772.00001314@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22243

KC2HMN wrote:
>
> Hi Dick,
>
> >Oh, yeah, all the freebanding cats are going to immediately change
> >their stripes right away when they get that freebie ham ticket. Right.
> >never again will they even remotely consider violating a FCC rule.
> >Right.
>
> Never said they'd all change, but I'm SURE some would. If you alienate them ALL
> from the "get-go," then you'll NEVER get the opportunity to Elmer those who are
> a nudge or two away from "flying straight and level." It's a case by case
> thing, can't dump em' all into the same barrel.

I'm light years ahead of you, Bert. The people involved know who they
are. Any former 27 mhz type who wants to abandon all that in favor of
ham radio is welcome, and they know it. Dual roles not included, sorry.

From 3338564678927776578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:43:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Bob & Weave" <bob@weaver.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net> <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-bh.aol.com> <3b0fb65f.3112674@news.mindspring.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <YaQP6.7416$lP5.3032828@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>

Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 15:43:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 990891832 24.180.184.171 (Sat, 26 May 2001 08:43:52 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 08:43:52 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22244

Bill, The use of radar detectors itself has fallen off from my observation of traveling the turnpike daily. But then again radar use itself has as well, when there is a pack of vehicles all going above the limit as usually is the case on many highways troopers with there stealth cars blend in with traffic then pounce on the car that blows by He then visually sites the car, checks his speed. then paces the car Radar is not needed. The officers trained eye and calibrated speedometer usually are sufficient evidence in court. Most Leo's while running stationary radar don't transmit continuos, when they see a vehicle they then turn the beam on thereby eliminating the radar detector.

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> I have heard much less about such radar detector enforcement efforts
> since highway speeds have been raised to more practical limits.
> The actual use of radar detectors has probably dropped
> considerably since speed limits went up. My Passport
> detector hasn't been in my car in several years. Time was when almost
> anyone doing any significant interstate use had a detector.
> I don't see that anymore.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

From 3086808042592583061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:46:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: 26 May 2001 15:46:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9eoj3q$n24$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <20010524202917.15631.00000177@ng-fm1.aol.com>

```
<20010524225005.05666.00004213@nso-fo.aol.com> <3B0E8764.DA4DC9E0@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22245
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
 I'll introduce you to a
> friend of mine. He lost both arms, and a leg, in the Korean war and
> has been a ham for almost twenty years solely because of the
> disability waiver. Contrary to the BS above, he is the type of person
> the waiver existed for.

Uh, sorry Dwight- the disability waiver isn't anywhere near 20 years old. Prior to its instigation, around 1990, there was NO privision for a medical waiver. If he has been a ham for 20 years it's because he learned the code and passed a test on it.

```
From -3231825008323387087
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:54:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 26 May 2001 15:54:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9eojjd$os8$0@208.206.142.72>
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<20010525215710.03759.00002803@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.72
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22246
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>

```
> In article <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >
> >>and the plain fact that a typical ham, suddenly thrust into the position
> >>of having to provide effective emergency communications for a remote
> >>enclave of survivors, must make do with what he has to get a message
> >>thru.
> >
> >In reality, can you provide an example of when this happened where CW was the
> >mode the amateur had to use to get that message through?
>
> Ed:
>
> No, I can't.  Now, please answer my question.



 And since he can't, he resorts to the old "It has never happened so far
(so far as anyone here can quote) thus is is unlikely to ever happen".

 Most hams never find themselves involved in emergency situations of any
kind where they need to use their rig to send emergency traffic. Thus,
it makes sense to just ignore the emergency communications potential of
ham radio.    We see.


From -3813913518942068561
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 08:56:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 15:55:19 GMT
References: <9eoik7$irr$1@208.206.142.72>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526115519.15265.00001386@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22247

>Any former 27 mhz type who wants to abandon all that in favor of
>ham radio is welcome, and they know it. Dual roles not included, sorry.

Nice to hear, Dick...really. If we don't trade posts again this w/e, you have a
great memorial day w/e.

73 de Bert
```

KC2HMN

```
From 3349714609883353985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 09:34:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010526111408.09604.00001411@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Lines: 82
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <XVQP6.48244$4f7.3500694@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 16:33:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.217
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990894839 12.75.179.217 (Sat, 26 May
2001 16:33:59 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 16:33:59 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22248
```

```
"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010526111408.09604.00001411@ng-cs1.aol.com...
> >From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net
>
> >Who is we kemo sabe?  About a thousand years ago, the Handbook
> >spent a lot of ink on shaping of keying to eliminate 'key clicks' and
> excessive
> >bandwidth of CW signals.  It pretty well came down to 'there ain't no
such
> >thing as square wave'.  Wouldst that this should be reprinted and taken
to
> >heart by a later generation of digital engineers and designers.
>
> In theory, an FSK or PSK signal has an infinite number of sidebands if the
> frequency or phase shift is instantaneous, although these sidebands do
diminish
> with distance from the source. In practice, there are a number of ways to
> address this, all of which are one form or another of bandwidth limiting.
>
> A PSK-31 signal is bandwidth limited by filtering after modulation (all in
```

DSP,
> of course). The resultant PSK signal is of reasonable bandwidth, but the
result
> is that it has amplitude as well as phase variation.
>
> I see no reason that digital modulation techniques would not use as good
> engineering practice as is used by OOK CW and SSB.
>
> >We have arrived at the point where digital TV takes closer to 30 MHz than
6,
>
> Does not it also bring with it significant improvement in video
resolution?
>
> >My purpose is not to piss on digital but rather to point out that there
is
> >more to it than a specification in a Usenet posting.
>
> Agreed.
>
> > The modem problem was solved with a lot of pain and a lot of playing and
> >shaping audio tones to eliminate the interactions of the tones with each
other
> and stand up to line imperfections.
>
> Is that pain not part of the challenge of Amateur Radio, and of its worth?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Can't disagree with a thing except that the reason that we went to a digital
TV standard was to get better resolution in a 6 MHz (8MHz in Europe) channel
as opposed to a competing Japanese analog standard which was at least honest
about taking 30 MHz. Just how an 800 kHz FM signal digitally is an
improvement on a 150 analog one escapes me, similarly 50kHz AM.

There are trade-offs anywhere along the line, your PSK31 example is a good
one. The biggest one that occurs in digital work from step one is that
speed implies heat implies crud. When the clock speed is a leisurely 8 MHz
the old CMOS chips with very large ambiguous zones worked admirably (and
make great keyers in hi RF environments like Field Day); at 1 GHz or so you
belt the chip firmly across the la bonza with lots of current available to
shift state right now -- no real choice. Get a big blower for your Pentium
22 - probably will say Cummins on it. I might submit that solving the FSK
problem, solving 15 kc audio, and a few channels of 5 MHz video might be
similar in theory but a lot different in practice. DSP is certainly an
approach (admittedly a bit beyond my ken) but it has, as you note, some
trade-offs even on a lo-speed data channel. And at some point, the pride of
ownership is second only to the expense.

It is the challenge to digital to replace systems which more-or-less work
already, systems with a significant wetware component that to some extent is

the reason for being of Amateur Radio to begin with. So far, the software has been a help to the wetware but is a long way from replacing it. Not to suggest that development should not continue but the Digital Revolution on the ham bands starts the race with one foot in a big oak bucket.

Dick N�BK

```
From -3543316097095500682
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 09:56:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9ebnhd0277m@enews1.newsguy.com>
<9eck32$9nk$1@208.206.142.73> <YGkO6.35101$t12.2715987@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9ehod7$8nb$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<Ga%O6.41711$4f7.3089282@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3b0d07fe.373765065@news2.dvol.com> <9VwP6.46511$4f7.3378681@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3b0f0e9e.69846502@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 53
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <bfRP6.48259$4f7.3503726@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 16:56:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.217
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990896199 12.75.179.217 (Sat, 26 May
2001 16:56:39 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 16:56:39 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22249
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b0f0e9e.69846502@news2.dvol.com...

```
> On Fri, 25 May 2001 17:47:49 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >> They just hired some marketing firm or consultant or some such. I'm
> >> not sure what the objective is but whatever it is it's long overdue.
> >> Anything will be better than the nothing they've had.
```

> >> w3rv
> >
> >And the first thing he will do is sit the boys down and ask them what
they
> >are proud of, what they are good at, and how the world would be poorer if
> >they got run over by the 8AM streetcar. Even the Mafia needs to answer
> >those questions periodically.
> >
> >Then comes how to measure ('metrics' is the buzz du jour) both the
success
> >of the League in whatever their chosen mission might be and the success
of
> >the consultant.
> >
> >That should not take more than a few years.
> >
> Been there, seen it, none of it would surprise me one damned bit. In
> case you missed it the consultant is supposed to build fires under
> publications sales.
> >
> w3rv

and a worthy occupation it be. The consultant may even earn his money here. His problem is in three parts:

A. Getting the fact that the League does a lot of fine pubs before a wider audience. In this case, it might even be worth cultivating CB.

B. Segmenting both the product line and the customer base. Jon Bloom does an admirable job on CDs but this is at best a restricted market, an Extra is a lousy prospect for a license manual, etc.

C. Marketing at a price. As Ed points out, the prices could be higher. The margins are intentionally a bit thin and most mass solicitations have a low return rate that only can be made to work if you don't, as in yesterday's mail, send baby supply solicitations to 57 year old single men.

The new buzzword, data mining. Which always arouses a picture of Doc, Sneezy, et al heading for the mine. Hi Ho, Hi Ho, it's off to work we go.

Dick N�BK

From -8006044301034233487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 09:59:30 PST
Message-ID: <3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 11:57:24 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com> <20010525215710.03759.00002803@nso-ch.aol.com> <9eojjd$os8$0@208.206.142.72>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.64
X-Trace: corp 990895848 64.240.47.64 (26 May 2001 11:50:48 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22250

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Most hams never find themselves involved in emergency situations of any
> kind where they need to use their rig to send emergency traffic. Thus,
> it makes sense to just ignore the emergency communications potential of
> ham radio.

It makes sense to maintain a reality oriented perspective toward the emergency communications potential of ham radio. You and others are simply NOT reality oriented and are bogged down in an unreal pie-in-the-sky-is-falling mentality. Your fantastic doomsday scenarios are all in your minds. If you multiply the independent probabilities of everything that has to happen for your scenario to come to pass, it might happen once every 100 billion years.

--
73, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2314126948768833281
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 10:18:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 17:17:46 GMT
References: <9eojjd$os8$0@208.206.142.72>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526131746.08258.00001430@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22251

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> And since he can't,

Do you really think I cannot answer the question Larry asked about what mode I would use first if I were involved in an emergency situation?

>he resorts to the old "It has never happened so far
>(so far as anyone here can quote) thus is is unlikely to ever happen".

We are in agreement; it is not likely to happen that an emergency arises for which CW is the only mode that can be used for communication.

> Thus, it makes sense to just ignore the emergency communications potential of
>ham radio.   We see.

No, "we" don't see, Dick. I think that ignoring the fact that there are better ways to ensure emergency communications capability than testing hams for Morse code is the real ignoring taking place.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8897210843627592991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5e81df3724724dac,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,5e81df3724724dac,start
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,5e81df3724724dac,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5e81df3724724dac,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5e81df3724724dac,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e81df3724724dac,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 10:19:41 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Golden Delicious" <ryoupu8@yahoo.com>
From: "Golden Delicious" <ryoupu8@yahoo.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: 3.260 UPR Freq/Power update
Lines: 34
Organization: nuce yellow juicy apples
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <QARP6.23298$9D5.2054933@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 17:19:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.27.159.169
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990897584 63.27.159.169 (Sat, 26 May 2001 10:19:44 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 10:19:44 PDT
X-Received-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 10:17:53 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:12881 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16055 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22252 rec.radio.cb:34155 rec.radio.scanner:17960 rec.radio.shortwave:35034

Was monitoring Col. Steve on 3.260 United Patriot Radio last evening. For those who don't know, United Patriot Radio is a self-declared "free" radio station operating from Eastern Kentucky on the shortwave frequency of 6.900 mHz (day) and 3.260 (night) mHz. Programming is "Patriot / Freeman / Soveriegn Citizen" oriented. This station is also the new de-facto broadcast outlet for the Miltia Movement in the United States. Some say it's a Clandestine. Other say it's a Pirate. Whatever the flavor, the station is certanly the most curious transmitter on the dial since the days when the legendary Wolfman Jack was broadcasting into the USA from Mexico or the late Rev. Carl McIntire took his ship "Radio Liberty" off of the New Jersey coastal limits to broadcast, sans-licence, in the 1960's.

Col. Steve said two pending changes with the station are in the works. The first being operations on the freq of 3.260 USB will be terminated sometime around the 1st weekend in June. Reason is the onset of Summer thunderstorm season and the resultant high level of atmospheric (storm) QRN, all operations will soon be on 6.900 USB only. Second, Steve reported that his "3 Kilowatt Rock Crusher" amplifier should be up and running shortly, thus the new transmitter power of 3000 Watts on 6.900 USB will allow for greater coverage of UPR. Some of the station's "staff" apparently made the trip

to the 2001 Dayton Hamvention, and managed to obtain the balance of
components necessary to complete the proposed 3.0 KW power amplifier.
Hope that UPR has a small air conditioner and duct-work in place to cool that
thing!

Finally, information on 6.900 UPR radio now appears to be being
hosted on the PBN web page which is at http://www.pbn.4mg.com
There is also an extensive write up on the station in the new
issue of Monitoring Times.

From -3263951991929149281
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 10:20:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 17:19:52 GMT
References: <9eoidk$irr$0@208.206.142.72>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010526131952.08258.00001431@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22253

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Yeah, what 'fools the locals' is when one day that 'dummy' becomes a
>real live radar car....

My local Burlington cop really perked up when I told him that hams could run a
10-GHz beacon that would set off radar detectors. He asked me if I was gonna'
put one up someday. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

From -2348015838677204456
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 10:22:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 17:22:26 GMT
References: <3B0FCC5D.A33449BF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010526132226.08258.00001432@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22254

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>The larger question is: Can a radar gun "cause" an accident. My
>friend's device is about the size of a silver dollar. I doubt that
>it puts out enough power to be illegal.

I have tested one of those devices and they are about 30 dB over the limit, if memory serves.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3807969096601242177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 10:26:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 17:26:17 GMT
References: <3B0FC9F6.DF57B1@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010526132617.08258.00001434@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22255

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>. The device looks like one of the GM car lock/unlock
>gadgets. I doubt that it puts out enough power to violate any
>laws.

The GM gadgets genearlly operate under Sec 15.231, as a periodic radiator. As a manally operated device, to radiate at that rather significant field strength (about 5000 uV/m at 3 m) it must have circuitry that stops it from transmitting if the button is held for more than a few seconds. Did the 10-GHz device your friend was using contain that provision?

>Note that I am not the one doing it.

Duly noted.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -2281294300889809052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 10:37:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 17:36:59 GMT
References: <QziP6.43212$t12.3308442@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526133659.08258.00001435@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22256
```

>From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net

>The surprise is that I heard nothing about any other publication.

That is indeed most unfortunate. I know that the Web page presentation of pubs "ads" is working on being context based, but trying to sell a Handbook a year after a purchase doesn't make sense. If most hams are like me, they would buy a new Handbook every 4 or 5 years. Most years, the changes are not major.

>There are any number of things the League does well including
>your shop but making the connection between the activities of one Ed Hare
>and my wallet is a marketing issue.

Don't get me wrong, btw, I very much agree that ARRL needs to make significant improvements in its marketing and, related, in the way it tells its membership about its work. To that end, I finalized the deal yesterday where ARRL's new RFI guru, John Phillips, K2QAI, the newest Labbie, will be writing a monthly "RFI" column for the ARRL Web Extra. It will outline some of the work the Lab has been doing and some of the reported cases and solutions, or lack thereof.

It really all stemmed from a conversation I had at Dayton with one of the hams who happens to work at the FCC Lab. He urged ARRL to report more RFI cases to the FCC. The Commission is not fully aware of the extent of Amateur Radio's problems with interference. I thought about it all the way home (long drive) and at first figured that a monthly RFI report, to be sent to the ARRL Board and to various bureaus in the Commission would be a good idea. But then I realized that it is not enough, so why not take that same report and make it a bit more "journalistic" and put it up as a regular feature on the ARRL Web page. Heck, maybe it will start a trend. :-)

>In one short sentence, the League does a lousy job of blowing its own horn.

Consider the above at least a toot. :-)

>I am not particularly down on the League except that its decision-making
>process does not seem to have recovered from the dictatorship days.

In what way? Can you offer any examples? IMHO, the ARRL Board has gone a long way to informing its members about what major issues it is considering and asking for input. The ARRL Board members publish their names, addresses, phone numbers and email addresses in QST and on the ARRL Web page, asking for input. They go to conventions and club meetings, asking for input. I think that characterizing a group of people that do that as "dictatorial" is an unfair characterization.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2280567498886509389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 10:48:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 73
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 17:47:58 GMT
References: <20010523230541.18644.00004921@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526134758.08258.00001439@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22257

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>not to mention that it requires that computer and its complicated,
EMP-sensitive circuitry to have
>survived whatever catastrophe

Perhaps that explains my indifference, Larry; I live close enough to a moderate sized city that I will not survive the initial blast.

Perhaps we could have rules that stipulate that hams who live far enough away from urban areas that they might survive whatever creates the EMP to retain knowledge of Morse code and to maintain a station capable of operating Morse and SSB on HF and FM on VHF for 4 hours without power.

> We're NOT discussing the "legality" of that, or any
>other, mode.

>>>How does eliminating the ability for radio amateurs
>>>to exploit

I apologize. When you used the term "eliminating the ability" of radio amateurs to exploit the benefits of the mode, I naturally assumed that you meant that you believed the mode might somehow be made illegal. I am not testing on PSK-31 varicode, but I still have the ability to exploit the benefits of PSK-31. The only thing I could imagine that would take that ability away would be to outlaw PSK-31 on the ham bands.

>I suggest you save such cheap tactics as the above for someone stupid
>enough to fall for them.

I guess I took your words too precisely.

>Take a few "ARRL Attaboys' usually reserved for someone who
>passes the Technician-class license test for yourself!

ARRL does not pass out attaboys for my posts on rrap, Larry; they do give me a few for my work on RFI and spectrum matters.

>Gimme one of those "ARRL attaboys!"

Wish I could, but I don't pass out attaboys, either.

>Hmmm -- sounds good to me, perhaps I should have!

You could, of course, intiate a request for rulemaking. How this was received by the FCC and by your fellow hams might give you a better view of the validity of your idea.

>Uh hunh. Yep. I see. Roight. And batteries have to be.....what's the
>word I'm looking for? Ch.....Ch.....something that sounds like that....

And the batteries you intend to use don't? I don't understand this very well, I am afraid.

>OK, here you go...time to roll out those large,
>expensive, high-capacity solar panels, or rig a bicycle to an alternator,
>or start collecting lumber to build a windmill, right??? Right...

Requiring hams to maintain some such capacity as a condition of license would be more useful toward facilitating emergency communications that requiring them to know Morse code. If that is REALLY your goal here, Larry...

>Yawn. Sure. As long as it doesn't require anyone to make the effort
>to learn a useful communications skill, that is. Got it.

You think it is harder to learn Morse code than to build a windmill? How odd.

Now, if you think it should be about effort (again), that is a whole other thread. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 36527613267606172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 10:51:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 17:50:44 GMT
References: <20010525215711.03759.00002804@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526135044.08258.00001440@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22258
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Obviously, you can't answer my question. Just as I thought.

Actually, I can, Larry. We are just discussing the terms. If Morse code testing is related to whether non-code people who are hams can send Morse code in a dire emergency using a lookup chart, then I think it fair that we make the test by selecting a group of people who will have passed a written exam that includes some basic information about Morse encoding, don't you?

Now if you think that Morse code is not and need not be related to testing at all, then the whole point is moot.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6029649789822302841
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 10:55:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 17:54:54 GMT
References: <bfRP6.48259$4f7.3503726@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526135454.08258.00001441@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22259

>From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net

>C. Marketing at a price. As Ed points out, the prices could be higher.

Yes, but the ARRL creates publications for more than just revenue. Two good examples are the RFI Book and the RF Exposure book. I was involved with some of the pricing of those and the price was deliberately kept low. The information in those books is pretty important to ham radio, so they should not be seen as a major revenue source.

All in all, I feel comforatable with the balance.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8676566072658689614
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 10:58:00 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 17:57:42 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-

mj1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.36
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.36
X-Trace: 26 May 2001 12:53:19 -0500, 64.24.17.36
Lines: 51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22260

On 26 May 2001 12:49:55 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>
>>What we really need to discuss here is how best to use the new emerging
>>communication technologies instead of wasting effort debating "the
>>hot-button issue",
>
>Talking about it here will not do the least amount of good.
>
Like we've never been here before . . somebody help me, you'll do Ed.
We have a bunch of archaic analog modes dominating ham operations
across the spectrum. CW, SSB, FM. Plus some much newer keyboard-based
modes, some elderly 2m packet, etc. They work fine. They're not
causing any problems, ham radio is not "broken" in this respect.
>
So along comes a lot of recent-era chatter about "ham radio absoluteky
needs to move into the digital age" and variations thereupon. By the
FCC, by the ARRL, by many of the technically-competent amongst us,
it's all over this NG.
>
My basic "disconnect" is that I can't get any grip on what is really
driving this whole thrust to move into digital ham comms. I'm missing
a clear explanation that makes sense from both the improvement in
operations and regulatory perspectives just what we would get out of
"going digital".
>
Because it's more "modern"? Because everybody else is doing it so we
gotta do it too? To promote experimentation? Because the FCC sez so?
Why do they say so? If no then just what IS the big deal? I don't get
it.
>
I'll admit to some jaudice in the matter. I don't see where ham radio
is "broken" and has to be "fixed" by moving wholesale to digital
comms. Not to mention that all I see out there amongst the digihams is
talk and no action, I ain't holding my breath.
>
The keyboard based modes are one level of sophistication and
attainability, moving mainstream ham radio operations into digital
comms is another whole bag of worms physics being what it is. Unless
the whole character of ham radio is dramatically changed - which is

not gonna fly for some very long time if ever. There has never been a
new mode adopted which wasn't compatible with the existing modes in a
given ham band so forget wideband HF modes.
>
We'll see.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From -5266282390922799357
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 11:03:55 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 18:03:39 GMT
Message-ID: <3b0fef46.127362403@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <9eeao9463b@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3B0B27A4.48468C93@earthl <9eh2i512u02@enews2.newsguy.com>
<9eno2h$mkq$1@news.hawaii.edu>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.36
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.36
X-Trace: 26 May 2001 12:59:14 -0500, 64.24.17.36
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22261

On 26 May 2001 08:04:33 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:
>
>they will again have
>been exposed to a tremendous amount of expertise on the other
>groups and will already have formed a good image of amateur
>radio.
>
If any newbie who spends five minutes in this NG doesn't "get" what
this NG is about he's too dumb to pass the tests, no loss.
>
>KH6O
>
w3rv

From 9137854119239033965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 11:44:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (KC2HMN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 18:43:45 GMT
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22262

I recognize that this is a very knowledgeable group with wide and varying opinions. Lately, my fourteen year old niece and I have been practicing the code. At first, we used a single code practice oscillator in my brother-in-law's living room. However, since they live a good fifteen plus miles from our house, this can be somewhat inconvenient. What we did was use our legal CB's combined with the MFJ code oscillators to practice our code. I made mention of this on QRZ and got trashed for violating Part 95. Not a biggie, I've done that before...once or twice. I scoured Part 95 and could find nothing concrete to either support or forbid the use of CW on CB/11 meter frequencies as long as all other rules/regs are adhered to.

Anyone who has read my bio on QRZ knows I got my start in radio from an h/t. I seemed to remember that h/t having a Morse key and the code placarded on the front. It was an FCC type accepted radio, so reason stands that the commission has no problem with it. I recently acquired a FCC type accepted Sears h/t, manufactered by Pierce-Simpson, that also features a code key and the code placarded across the front. Like my old h/t, it comes with crystals for channel 14 or 27.1250MHz. I'm also including a link to an item on ebay that I recently bought. It also displays these features and is FCC type accepted. Any opinions or thoughts on the legality of this would be appreciated. I'd like to think that a feature like this could be a nice segue to amateur radio. I've heard no profanity or lid activity in my particular area on this particular frequency. My niece seems to like the code and thinks it's "way cool" because she saw it used on the movie Titanic. I already have a 10 meter radio gift wrapped for her (she doesn't know that) along with a straight key to give to her upon her successfully passing the Tech written and the code. Pretty nifty altogerther. Thanks for your responses.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1239945896

These Precors appears quite similar to the Sears unit, but I couldn't find any frequency info. I've e-mailed the seller and if they are indeed 27.1250MHz, I'll purchase them.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1148377021

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 2290921297665149041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18eb6217a7bc66fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 12:40:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1006DB.3072E40F@att.net>
From: Tad Danley <taddanley@nospamatatt.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Holyshit, Here We Go! Wheee!
References: <3b0ea8f9.43823160@news2.dvol.com> <VjyP6.183$rn5.4938@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 44
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 19:40:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.91.29.178
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990906029 12.91.29.178 (Sat, 26 May 2001 19:40:29 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 19:40:29 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22263

K0HB wrote:

> I firmly believe that the amateur rules ought be simplified to:
>
> --
>
> 97.1 You are required to pass a technical test to show that you
> understand how to build simple equipment which meets spectral
> purity specifications of .....blah, blah, blah. You will be
> issued a callsign when you pass the test. Transmit your call
> sign once every 10 minutes when on the air.
>
> 97.2 Your power limit is 1.5KW to the antenna.
>
> 97.3 Here are your bands. Stay inside of them.
>

> 97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and communicate
> and do public service and talk to strangers in far away lands and
> launch communications satelites into space and other technical radio
> stuff you may think up. The government doesn't care what mode
> you use for any of this.
>
> 97.5 Play nice. We'll try to keep the CBers out of your hair, and
> deliberate interference or other assinine behaviour on your part will
> cause Riley to come and kick your ass off the playground. Have fun.
>
> Love always,
> /signed/ FCC

Great start, Hans - but you forgot one:

97.5 Encourage others to join the hobby, and help them get off to a good
start. It doesn't really cost you anything, they will be eternally
grateful, and you will help the hobby grow.

73,

--
Tad Danley, K3TD
mailto:taddanley@att.net

Caution!
Anti-SPAM e-mail return address in use.

From 1563284422334594360
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 13:02:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <3B0F3B77.D0B27C1A@ix.netcom.com> <ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Lines: 42
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <LZTP6.31899$9G.199709@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 20:02:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 990907371 24.131.233.143 (Sat, 26 May 2001
16:02:51 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 16:02:51 EDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22264

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message =
news:ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> My purpose is not to piss on digital but rather to point out that =
there is
> more to it than a specification in a Usenet posting. The modem problem =
was
> solved with a lot of pain and a lot of playing and shaping audio tones =
to
> eliminate the interactions of the tones with each other and stand up =
to line
> imperfections. =20

The following URL should be of interest. These two Hams have built a =
digital voice system which they have been operating on the 40m band. The =
problem with work like this is it's not widely reported. Then when the =
results start looking good everyone sits around waiting for somebody =
else to perfect it first before it moves in to main stream use.=20

Maybe the ARRL contest rules should be changed to give huge multipliers =
to stations using new communication modes. That may help kick-start more =
development work along those lines, and get the new modes out there =
where they will be used. The FCC has been sort of waving the stick =
around of late, and the ARRL needs to hang the carrot out in front to =
get things moving.

http://www.chbrain.dircon.co.uk/dvhf.html

--=20
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--=20
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

```
From 1801336793502780536
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 13:42:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Bob & Weave" <bob@weaver.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Lines: 61
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <7zUP6.7664$lP5.3277235@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 20:42:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 990909763 24.180.184.171 (Sat, 26 May 2001
13:42:43 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 13:42:43 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22265

Cw on 11 meters is illegal.
"KC2HMN" <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com...
> I recognize that this is a very knowledgeable group with wide and varying
> opinions. Lately, my fourteen year old niece and I have been practicing
the
> code. At first, we used a single code practice oscillator in my
> brother-in-law's living room. However, since they live a good fifteen plus
> miles from our house, this can be somewhat inconvenient. What we did was
use
> our legal CB's combined with the MFJ code oscillators to practice our
code. I
> made mention of this on QRZ and got trashed for violating Part 95. Not a
> biggie, I've done that before...once or twice. I scoured Part 95 and could
find
> nothing concrete to either support or forbid the use of CW on CB/11 meter
> frequencies as long as all other rules/regs are adhered to.
>
> Anyone who has read my bio on QRZ knows I got my start in radio from an
h/t. I
> seemed to remember that h/t having a Morse key and the code placarded on
the
> front. It was an FCC type accepted radio, so reason stands that the
commission
> has no problem with it. I recently acquired a FCC type accepted Sears h/t,
> manufactered by Pierce-Simpson, that also features a code key and the code
> placarded across the front. Like my old h/t, it comes with crystals for
channel
> 14 or 27.1250MHz. I'm also including a link to an item on ebay that I
recently
> bought. It also displays these features and is FCC type accepted. Any
opinions
> or thoughts on the legality of this would be appreciated. I'd like to
think
> that a feature like this could be a nice segue to amateur radio. I've
heard no
> profanity or lid activity in my particular area on this particular
frequency.
> My niece seems to like the code and thinks it's "way cool" because she saw
it
> used on the movie Titanic. I already have a 10 meter radio gift wrapped
for her
> (she doesn't know that) along with a straight key to give to her upon her
> successfully passing the Tech written and the code. Pretty nifty
altogerther.
> Thanks for your responses.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1239945896
>
> These Precors appears quite similar to the Sears unit, but I couldn't find
any
> frequency info. I've e-mailed the seller and if they are indeed
27.1250MHz,
> I'll purchase them.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1148377021
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN




From 642036836825583132
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 13:55:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 69
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 20:54:50 GMT
References: <20010526082355.22020.00001318@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010526165450.22914.00001472@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22266

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 5/26/01 7:23 AM Central Daylight Time

>
>I didn't get that from Cross' speech, Steve. I got that he wanted to see ham
>radio get with its own program, because if ANY individual came up with a
>program, it would not suit the needs of most.

Have I missed something, Ed? It was my understanding that the FCC, prior to making any kind of change in the rules, subbmitted them to the NPRM process. At least that has been experience in the last 28 years.

On what occassion has "one" individual made policy of such sweeping nature?

>Don't misunderstand that he used
>himself as an example of who might be tasked to come up with a program if
>hams
>insist to the FCC.

I understand the context of his comments, Ed. I am wondering WHAT prompted him to make some comments about any one person (or the FCC as an entity) arbitrarily making any new bands plans, regulations, etc.

>You can't really expect Congress to appoint low-level staff at every federal
>agency, Steve. C'mon, let's be real here, man.

I am real, Ed. I wasn't the one who made the comment.about him being "appointed by the people".

>He may have the authority to make that recommendation. He may have a sense
>of
>the Commission about how they will react if Amateur Radio keeps asking the
>Commission to solve its petty squabbles.

Perhaps. So far I haven't seen any "petty squabbles" on thier desk. A few silly ideas ("Colt", for one....), but other than the Amateur Community asking the FCC to enforce it's own regulations, what squabbles to you refer?

I think we have gone long distance to "police our own". Short of vigilantism, I don't think there is too much Hams can do in many cases, and FCC enforcement IS the only route. Civil cases in local courts? THAT won't work because it's a "federal" case. And the federal courts have little slack for handling such cases brought by individuals.

>If he tried to keep that secret, you would damn him, but
>when he discusses that candidly, you damn him, too.

Only when that discussion comes in the form of a "don't make me mad or I will make you sorry" tone, Ed. You are right, otherwise.

>What I really don't understand is why you are faulting him for essentially
>telling Amateur Radio to solve its own problems because imposed solutions --
>the only other real alternative -- are not usually the best for anyone
>involved.

Again, Ed, it's the "I can make you sorry" tone that I perceive. It's his JOB to answer the questions, complaints and comments of the public. It just sounds to me like he may be looking to lighten his workload through some carefully worded "suggestions" that if he continues to RECEIVE those questions, complaints and coments, he might be tempted to convince the Commission to help him out.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 2786090205383133013
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 14:01:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 21:00:30 GMT
References: <7zUP6.7664$lP5.3277235@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010526170030.12400.00001225@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22268

>Cw on 11 meters is illegal.

Ok, I'll go with that. However, why would the FCC type certify radios that offer an "illegal" mode? Oversight?...I'm finding numerous older h/t's that have this feature. Thanks.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -9155183475116464976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 14:01:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 21:01:03 GMT
References: <20010526085636.22020.00001332@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010526170103.22914.00001474@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22267

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 5/26/01 7:56 AM Central Daylight Time

>>And he SHOULDN'T be making that decision.

>He should if his boss asks him too, Steve.

>>It should fall within the purview of the NPRM/R&O program.

>Well, yeah. Do you really think that Cross doesn't know that?

It still seems to me that he is taking it uponhimself to SEEM to be making ALL the decisions himself, Ed

>Yes, the same FCC that will ask him for his input if Amateur Radio is >unable to resolve its petty squabbles and asks the FCC to make rules >to do so.

And then should be subjected to the NPRM process. Unless there's been a change?

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 7926383583620774051
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 14:08:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 21:07:10 GMT
References: <3b0fbb94.4444870@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010526170710.22914.00001476@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22269

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>Date: 5/26/01 9:23 AM Central Daylight Time

>I see Cross's comments aimed at those regulations that
>hams want to keep or to add to current regs. The FCC is
>clearly going in the direction of "less specific" regulation
>and wants a "consensus" in the ham community to either
>KEEP certain current regs and/or to ADD new regs

A "consensus" in a fluid, democratic, society? Today's "consensus" is tomorrow's minority.

I think thier best bet is to have programmed re-writes of the regs every five years. I think the 2 year "reviews" are too close.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 1031943623366508093
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 14:37:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
In-Reply-To: <20010526170030.12400.00001225@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105262127100.5840-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <7zUP6.7664$lP5.3277235@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010526170030.12400.00001225@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Lines: 23
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 21:37:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990913027 12.80.44.9 (Sat, 26 May 2001 21:37:07 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 21:37:07 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22270

On 26 May 2001, Bert Craig wrote:

> >Cw on 11 meters is illegal.
>
> Ok, I'll go with that. However, why would the FCC type certify radios that
> offer an "illegal" mode? Oversight?...I'm finding numerous older h/t's that
> have this feature. Thanks.

Pure CW may not be legal, but an amplitude modulated pure tone used in a MORSE transmission is.

Remember that CW is not equal to morse code but instead describes a radio signal that changes between TWO STATES.... Morse code may only use two states (a mark and a space, with the mark state having two durations: Short and long), but with a tone oscillator, it can be used with an "analog-state" (free waveform) modulation.

47 CFR 95.412(b)(1) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone signal only when the signal is used to make contact or to continue communications.

Other than this, all communications must be in voice, but where does the above rule out the use of a morse code patterned tone signal? Furthermore, morse coded transmissions are not in the prohibited list of 47 CFR 95.413.

From -7175299098204186182
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 14:52:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 26 May 2001 20:56:38 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9ep5a60202b@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net> <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>

```
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b0afd14.239925822@news2.dvol.com>
<9ekevr01tb5@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b0e9659.39054774@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-079.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22271

In article <3b0e9659.39054774@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com says...
>
>On 25 May 2001 02:11:07 GMT, tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
>wrote:
>>
>>According to the comments of one FCC official back in the late 1980s, hams
>>attitudes regarding code testing had quite a lot to do with it.
>>
>An 80s guy huh? So was Ronnie. You seem to have the same disconnects.

Making a lame (not to mention irrelevant) correlation, only serves to show your
bias.



From -2799182935319624319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 15:01:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 22:00:50 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105262127100.5840-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010526180050.12273.00001539@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22272

Thanks for the encouraging reply, Dieter. Do you suppose it's possible to
utilize SSB for the purpose of sending/receiving Morse? I think it'd be an
interesting challenge to adapt the MFJ tone oscillator for this purpose.
Perhaps it coulb be done via the mic jack with NO modification necessary to the
actual radio. Thanks again.
```

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

>Pure CW may not be legal, but an amplitude modulated pure tone used in a
>MORSE
>transmission is.
>
>Remember that CW is not equal to morse code but instead describes a radio
>signal
>that changes between TWO STATES.... Morse code may only use two states (a
>mark
>and a space, with the mark state having two durations: Short and long), but
>with
>a tone oscillator, it can be used with an "analog-state" (free waveform)
>modulation.
>
>47 CFR 95.412(b)(1) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone signal
>only
>when the signal is used to make contact or to continue communications.
>
>Other than this, all communications must be in voice, but where does the
>above
>rule out the use of a morse code patterned tone signal? Furthermore, morse
>coded transmissions are not in the prohibited list of 47 CFR 95.413.
>

From 2406755976515063607
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 15:12:00 PST
Message-ID: <3B1029B5.6EC40530@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 17:09:57 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B0FC9F6.DF57B1@IEEE.org> <20010526132617.08258.00001434@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp 990914600 64.240.47.58 (26 May 2001 17:03:20 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!nntp.flash.net!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22273

W1RFI wrote:
> The GM gadgets genearlly operate under Sec 15.231, as a periodic radiator. As
> a manally operated device, to radiate at that rather significant field strength
> (about 5000 uV/m at 3 m) it must have circuitry that stops it from transmitting
> if the button is held for more than a few seconds. Did the 10-GHz device your
> friend was using contain that provision?

Dunno. He ordered it from a magazine.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5601892008385228018
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 15:13:12 PST
Message-ID: <3B102A0A.86CD90A0@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 17:11:22 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <9eoidk$irr$0@208.206.142.72> <20010526131952.08258.00001431@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp 990914685 64.240.47.58 (26 May 2001 17:04:45 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)

Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22274

W1RFI wrote:
> My local Burlington cop really perked up when I told him that hams could run a
> 10-GHz beacon that would set off radar detectors. He asked me if I was gonna'
> put one up someday. :-)

Tell him you intend to install it in your vehicle.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6631205238758258499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 15:16:50 PST
Message-ID: <3B102AE6.E7351902@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 17:15:02 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
References: <7zUP6.7664$lP5.3277235@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010526170030.12400.00001225@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp 990914904 64.240.47.58 (26 May 2001 17:08:24 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22275

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >Cw on 11 meters is illegal.
>
> Ok, I'll go with that. However, why would the FCC type certify radios that
> offer an "illegal" mode? Oversight?...I'm finding numerous older h/t's that
> have this feature. Thanks.

That's not CW, it's MCW, a form of AM where the modulation is a tone.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6309414876335804954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 15:21:10 PST
Message-ID: <3B102BDF.ED42E84F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 17:19:11 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105262127100.5840-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010526180050.12273.00001539@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp 990915154 64.240.47.58 (26 May 2001 17:12:34 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22276

Bert Craig wrote:
> Thanks for the encouraging reply, Dieter. Do you suppose it's possible to

> utilize SSB for the purpose of sending/receiving Morse?

That's exactly what my SCS PTC2e modem does for CW. But that's probably
illegal on CB. AM operation using an on/off audio tone is probably your
best bet for staying legal on CB. Maybe you can start a CB fad. :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2774198704234235337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 15:28:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 22:27:16 GMT
References: <3B102BDF.ED42E84F@IEEE.org>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010526182716.12273.00001546@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22277

Excellent Cecil, thanks.

>That's exactly what my SCS PTC2e modem does for CW. But that's probably
>illegal on CB. AM operation using an on/off audio tone is probably your
>best bet for staying legal on CB. Maybe you can start a CB fad. :-)
>

Wouldn't that be something? The AM operation sounds about right. I want to keep
this legal and 4 watts is much better than a couple of hundred milliwatts.
Thanks again.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 257393807018036687
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 15:39:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!

wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <3B0F3B77.D0B27C1A@ix.netcom.com> <ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <LZTP6.31899$9G.199709@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Lines: 47
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <vgWP6.46588$t12.3577899@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 22:39:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.229
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990916763 12.75.179.229 (Sat, 26 May 2001 22:39:23 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 22:39:23 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22278

Give the man a ceegar, although one wonders where he has been since October 1999.

Dick

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:LZTP6.31899$9G.199709@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net...

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> My purpose is not to piss on digital but rather to point out that there is
> more to it than a specification in a Usenet posting. The modem problem was
> solved with a lot of pain and a lot of playing and shaping audio tones to
> eliminate the interactions of the tones with each other and stand up to line
> imperfections.

The following URL should be of interest. These two Hams have built a digital voice system which they have been operating on the 40m band. The problem with work like this is it's not widely reported. Then when the results start looking good everyone sits around waiting for somebody else to perfect it first before it moves in to main stream use.

Maybe the ARRL contest rules should be changed to give huge multipliers to stations using new communication modes. That may help kick-start more development work along those lines, and get the new modes out there where they will be used. The FCC has been sort of waving the stick around of late, and the ARRL needs to hang the carrot out in front to get things moving.

http://www.chbrain.dircon.co.uk/dvhf.html

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

```
From 6395588463739834982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 15:48:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "Steve" <ai7w@NoSpam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 22:47:46 +0100
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 67
Message-ID: <9epbqs$4hc$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.0e.d3.4a
X-Server-Date: 26 May 2001 22:47:56 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2314.1300
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22279
```

Bert,
Why not just email the F.C.C. and ask them. (you don't have to tell them you've been doing it, just ask if you can). All you'll get from the news groups are a lot of opinions.

KC2HMN <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com...

> I recognize that this is a very knowledgeable group with wide and varying
> opinions. Lately, my fourteen year old niece and I have been practicing

the
> code. At first, we used a single code practice oscillator in my
> brother-in-law's living room. However, since they live a good fifteen plus
> miles from our house, this can be somewhat inconvenient. What we did was
use
> our legal CB's combined with the MFJ code oscillators to practice our
code. I
> made mention of this on QRZ and got trashed for violating Part 95. Not a
> biggie, I've done that before...once or twice. I scoured Part 95 and could
find
> nothing concrete to either support or forbid the use of CW on CB/11 meter
> frequencies as long as all other rules/regs are adhered to.
>
> Anyone who has read my bio on QRZ knows I got my start in radio from an
h/t. I
> seemed to remember that h/t having a Morse key and the code placarded on
the
> front. It was an FCC type accepted radio, so reason stands that the
commission
> has no problem with it. I recently acquired a FCC type accepted Sears h/t,
> manufactered by Pierce-Simpson, that also features a code key and the code
> placarded across the front. Like my old h/t, it comes with crystals for
channel
> 14 or 27.1250MHz. I'm also including a link to an item on ebay that I
recently
> bought. It also displays these features and is FCC type accepted. Any
opinions
> or thoughts on the legality of this would be appreciated. I'd like to
think
> that a feature like this could be a nice segue to amateur radio. I've
heard no
> profanity or lid activity in my particular area on this particular
frequency.
> My niece seems to like the code and thinks it's "way cool" because she saw
it
> used on the movie Titanic. I already have a 10 meter radio gift wrapped
for her
> (she doesn't know that) along with a straight key to give to her upon her
> successfully passing the Tech written and the code. Pretty nifty
altogerther.
> Thanks for your responses.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1239945896
>
> These Precors appears quite similar to the Sears unit, but I couldn't find
any
> frequency info. I've e-mailed the seller and if they are indeed
27.1250MHz,
> I'll purchase them.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1148377021
>
> 73 de Bert

```
> KC2HMN




From -1923499360184351663
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 15:55:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 26 May 2001 22:54:20 GMT
References: <9epbqs$4hc$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010526185420.12376.00001508@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22280

>Why not just email the F.C.C. and ask them. (you don't have to
>tell them you've been doing it, just ask if you can). All you'll get from
>the news groups are a lot of opinions.

Probably a good idea, I'll do just that. The opinions are fun too, though. I
wonder if the person who'll answer my e-mail was even in high school when these
CB Morse transceivers were manufactured. Thanks Steve.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN


From -531161292257141397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18eb6217a7bc66fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 17:10:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 00:10:02 GMT
References: <3B1006DB.3072E40F@att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Holyshit, Here We Go! Wheee!
```

```
Message-ID: <20010526201002.01529.00001296@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22281

>97.5  Encourage others to join the hobby, and help them get off to a good
>start.  It doesn't really cost you anything, they will be eternally
>grateful, and you will help the hobby grow.
>

Great point Tad. Take care and 73.

Mark



From -463755157319412490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 17:22:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 00:21:49 GMT
References: <20010526180050.12273.00001539@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010526202149.01529.00001301@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22282

Ok, I'm intrigued.

>I think it'd be an
>interesting challenge to adapt the MFJ tone oscillator for this purpose.
>Perhaps it coulb be done via the mic jack with NO modification necessary to
>the
>actual radio.

How might this be accomplished? I too owned an old Radio Shack h/t that had a
button for Morse code keying. It was all of 200mW, brings back some pretty nice
memories. I'd say this is a pretty pleasant topic of discussion. Nice memories,
a glint of hope...much better than the gloom and doom subjects of late. Take
care and 73.

Mark



From 5158545903589253660
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 17:50:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 3
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 00:49:45 GMT
References: <Q0EP6.33096$BN6.1861868@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526204945.01455.00001496@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22283

Licensed amateurs in the freeband?...nah, couldn't be.;-) Take care and 73.

Mark

From 2763129793756255406
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 18:09:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
In-Reply-To: <20010526180050.12273.00001539@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105270107260.6192-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105262127100.5840-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010526180050.12273.00001539@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 35
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 01:09:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.16
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990925745 12.80.44.16 (Sun, 27 May
2001 01:09:05 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 01:09:05 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22284

At this point, I'm going to say you've strayed too far into the topic of another newsgroup - rec.radio.cb, and stop here.

On 26 May 2001, Bert Craig wrote:
> Thanks for the encouraging reply, Dieter. Do you suppose it's possible to
> utilize SSB for the purpose of sending/receiving Morse? I think it'd be an
> interesting challenge to adapt the MFJ tone oscillator for this purpose.
> Perhaps it coulb be done via the mic jack with NO modification necessary to the
> actual radio. Thanks again.
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN
>
> >Pure CW may not be legal, but an amplitude modulated pure tone used in a
> >MORSE
> >transmission is.
> >
> >Remember that CW is not equal to morse code but instead describes a radio
> >signal
> >that changes between TWO STATES.... Morse code may only use two states (a
> >mark
> >and a space, with the mark state having two durations: Short and long), but
> >with
> >a tone oscillator, it can be used with an "analog-state" (free waveform)
> >modulation.
> >
> >47 CFR 95.412(b)(1) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone signal
> >only
> >when the signal is used to make contact or to continue communications.
> >
> >Other than this, all communications must be in voice, but where does the
> >above
> >rule out the use of a morse code patterned tone signal? Furthermore, morse
> >coded transmissions are not in the prohibited list of 47 CFR 95.413.

From -5971156673595073031
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 18:25:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 01:25:57 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <9epl35$nv8$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <20010524205655.15631.00000187@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010524225007.05666.00004216@nso-fo.aol.com> <3B0E80EF.97DDCF60@sccoast.net>
<9emts7$id1$1@208.206.142.94> <3B0F129B.A374D1B3@sccoast.net>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22285

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > It is very clear that we won't have to
> > worry about Dwight trying to send CW when
> > he doesn't know how. But we already knew
> > that. He prefers to give it up and let
> > nature take its course, without trying.
>
>   We're talking about reality here, Dick. Again, if I have equipment,
> I would have the equipment I own or nothing at all (can't run to the
> store and buy a new radio on a remote enclave). Since I don't own a
> low powered transceiver, I'm not going to have one to use on that
> remote enclave. And, if I have the equipment I own, I'm not going to
> need CW to contact help. THAT is reality.
>
>   Let me give you a reality check. If you were stranded on a remote
> enclave, would you rather have a full featured, all mode, transceiver
> with sufficient power output, or a low powered transceiver setup like
> Larry described? The answer is obvious - any person in his right mind
> would choose the high powered transceiver. I'm in my right mind,
> therefore I'd rather have a high powered transceiver that will really
> reach out and get me the help I need.
>
>   This is especially true if I have limited power available for my
> radio - I'd much rather have one shot on a good international
> frequency at full power than a dozen chances at low power in the hopes
> that someone will hear my weak little CW signal.
>

Dwight you are true dyed-in-the-wool blockhead. If brains were a dime a ton you couldn't pay attention.

Jim talks about the many QRP'ers who backapck or otherwise travel LIGHT, which means if they can carry gear at all they must by necessity carry very low powered transcieves and the powre supply needed. That most often is a 5 watt or less transciever and a 7 amp gel cell at most. If you can carry your entire ham station with you into the woods, be my guest. More likely you won't even be going. And for darn sure, we won't have to worry about you sending all dits.

```
From 7930808295919891301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 18:39:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 01:39:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <3b093701.123681010@news2.dvol.com> <20010525073055.29298.00001534@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3b0f0d22.69466602@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22286



"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On 25 May 2001 11:30:55 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
> >>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com  (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
> >
> >>The "attitude" has been with us ever since the FCC turned the 11 meter
> >>ham band over to the CBers almost a half century ago.
> >
> >Before I was a ham, I operated some on 11 meters.
> >
> There WAS no CB radio when I jumped in.
> >
> > I don't recall the animosity
> >that some show here.
> >
> You weren't there when the Class A & B ham licensees went to war with
> the FCC and the League about having the temerity to even suggest
> creating the 5wpm "insults to the Service" Novice & Tech licenses.
> >
> >To the contrary, the ham I met recognized my interest in
> >radio and encouraged me to become a ham.  Fortunately, although the Novice
> >license was "dumbed down" from what he had to do to become a ham, he didn't
> >feel a need to rub my nose in it.  I am still licensed today.
> >
> Per previous I got lucky in this repect. I had multiple Elmers like
```

> w3bes, k3jh. w3kt and others of that breed.
> >
> >Had I run across hams who insulted my CB activities the way some feel a need to
> >do today and then rubbed my nose in their "superiority" over the "dumb"
> >accomplishment I had just made as a Novice, I would not have continued in ham
> >radio; I was a sensitive 13-year old kid who would have found other
> >distractions and interests.
> >
> "Sensitivities"?? Tongue. Bite.
> >
> We all come from different directions I guess. I was raised in my
> Dad's big hardass blue collar machine shop where I learned when I was
> about six that if you're into getting from here to there, in this
> venue from being a lame Novice to being a real dxer all being
> "sensitive" could get me was getting pounded even more.

Oh, Brian, you had your sensitivities as did I and everyone else including Ed. But it our day we bucked down and did it without finding it necessary to go to your room and pout your life away, as seems to be the vogue these days.

We didn't wear our sensitivities out on our sleeve for everhone to see and 'honor'.

One really wonders where we'll be when it becomes necessary to act like adults again. We did it well before our ages could support it. Today they seem to never mature that far.

From -8161587511932234841
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 18:40:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 01:40:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9eplv6$qp1$1@208.207.71.172>
References: <9ei21e$6b6$0@208.207.71.149> <20010524203523.25364.00001183@ng-ma1.news.cs.com> <9emrs5$8ee$2@208.206.142.94> <3B0F1DF5.90C351A5@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22287

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >   Oh, yeah, all the freebanding cats are going to immediately change
> > their stripes right away when they get that freebie ham ticket. Right.
> > never again will they even remotely consider violating a FCC rule.
>
> If they're legal, why would they need to violate FCC rules? It's akin
> to riding a motorcycle with or without a license. Exactly the same act
> is either legal or illegal depending on the existence of a license.

Why did they need to do it in the first place? Because the code test
kept htem from becoming hams? That's what I thought you'd say.

```
From -6480944817220634758
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 18:41:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 01:41:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9epm0k$qp1$2@208.207.71.172>
References: <9eepsc$3m6$1@208.206.142.79> <20010523182618.10307.00000089@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9en1p1$gh$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B0F1FDE.53EA615F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22288
```

CAM wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
> > >Of all the lives saved by ham radio, what percentage have been saved by
> > >Morse code communication?
> >
> > Why restrict your question to just ham radio? In the maritime service,
> > an untold number of tens of thousands have been saved because of Morse.

```
>
> Since you want to expand beyond ham radio, are you advocating that the entire
> population be forced to learn Morse code?


 Wouldn't be a bad idea for everyone who wants license to communicate on
HF radio.


From 508958982591485261
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 18:48:02 PST
Message-ID: <3B105C61.DFF6DC95@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 20:46:09 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9ei21e$6b6$0@208.207.71.149> <20010524203523.25364.00001183@ng-
ma1.news.cs.com> <9emrs5$8ee$2@208.206.142.94> <3B0F1DF5.90C351A5@IEEE.org>
<9eplv6$qp1$1@208.207.71.172>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.80
X-Trace: corp 990927571 64.240.47.80 (26 May 2001 20:39:31 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22289

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >   Oh, yeah, all the freebanding cats are going to immediately change
> > > their stripes right away when they get that freebie ham ticket. Right.
> > > never again will they even remotely consider violating a FCC rule.
> >
```

> > If they're legal, why would they need to violate FCC rules? It's akin
> > to riding a motorcycle with or without a license. Exactly the same act
> > is either legal or illegal depending on the existence of a license.
>
> Why did they need to do it in the first place? Because the code test
> kept htem from becoming hams? That's what I thought you'd say.

Your thinker is broke. I've ridden a motorcycle with and without a license.
The difference was negligible.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -692952289809642328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 18:49:14 PST
Message-ID: <3B105CA9.9DC3FF83@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 20:47:21 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eepsc$3m6$1@208.206.142.79> <20010523182618.10307.00000089@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9en1p1$gh$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B0F1FDE.53EA615F@IEEE.org> <9epm0k$qp1$2@208.207.71.172>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.80
X-Trace: corp 990927643 64.240.47.80 (26 May 2001 20:40:43 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22290

Dick Carroll wrote:
>

> CAM wrote:
> > Since you want to expand beyond ham radio, are you advocating that the entire
> > population be forced to learn Morse code?
>
> Wouldn't be a bad idea for everyone who wants license to communicate on
> HF radio.

Why not for everyone on radio licensed or not?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6582581419602617371
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 18:50:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 01:50:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9epmgm$so3$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <9emsa5$8ee$3@208.206.142.94> <20010526083505.22020.00001323@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22291

W1RFI wrote:
>
 The work done by ARRL after WWI to help restore
> Amateur Radio was about 80+ years away in the opposite direction. Do you think
> that had an impact on Amateur Radio today? If so, just what standard are you
> holding me to?
>

 HPM singlehandedly handled that little problem. ARRL per se had little
or nothing to do with it except that he was it's representative, but his
personal persuasivness was the factor which worked.
 I'd guess in another 99 years ham radio won't be even much of a memory,

if it keeps going the current direction.

```
From -5313035803102071134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 18:51:02 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 01:51:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9epmi6$so3$1@208.207.71.172>
References: <9emrs5$8ee$2@208.206.142.94> <20010526083610.22020.00001324@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22292
```

W1RFI wrote:

```
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Oh, yeah, all the freebanding cats are going to immediately change
> >their stripes right away when they get that freebie ham ticket. Right.
> >never again will they even remotely consider violating a FCC rule.
> >Right.
>
> Those that want a place where they feel they can violate FCC rules with
> impunity already have a place to do so; why would they want to become hams?
```

If that's the best you can do for thought you'd better take up basketweaving.

```
From 3823091330540760745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5e81df3724724dac
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5e81df3724724dac
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 106d41,5e81df3724724dac
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid106d41,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5e81df3724724dac
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fa029,5e81df3724724dac
X-Google-Attributes: gidfa029,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,5e81df3724724dac
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:10:02 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!
newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!newsfeed.utk.edu!uky.edu!not-for-mail
From: Dante'@inferno.net (Speaker to Animals)
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: 3.260 UPR Freq/Power update
Date: 27 May 2001 02:07:25 GMT
Organization: Wonderland Free Navy
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9epngt$cnu$1@news.uky.edu>
References: <QARP6.23298$9D5.2054933@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: IT is not a real name!!!
NNTP-Posting-Host: 128.163.64.76
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=US-ASCII
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.8 (x86 32bit)
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:12897
rec.radio.amateur.misc:16061 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22293 rec.radio.cb:34279
rec.radio.scanner:17985 rec.radio.shortwave:35079

In article <QARP6.23298$9D5.2054933@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
ryoupu8@yahoo.com says...
>
>snip
>For those who don't know, United Patriot Radio is a self-declared "free"
>radio station operating from Eastern Kentucky on the shortwave frequency
>of 6.900 mHz (day) and 3.260 (night) mHz. Programming is "Patriot / Freeman /
snip
While strictly speaking, they are in the eastern half of the state,
please call them southern and not eastern. Sumerset is not eastern Kentucky
in cultyre. As an ex-patriot[(:-)] 'hill-billy', I take exception to the
nonesense spouted by this loon. While Eastern Kentucky is backward (maybe too
backwar to have an advanced radio station of this type), and true they handel
snakes, and vote democratic, and are way to ignorant, even they have more
pride and smarts to accept this crap. I listen because they are funny. Much
like the old VOA and Radio Moscow (we will have to talk one day about the time
I got pulled over in Perry county while RM was on my radio, boy was that FUN!)
I wish I could access the banking reocrods of the outfits that "advertise" on
this station. I can not beleive that anyone could make money with the crap
that is being peddled.
Eastern Kentucky has many (maybe too many) real problems, let us not liable
them with things they are not guilty of.
```

```
From -2475932634578079277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:11:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 02:10:24 GMT
References: <9epmi6$so3$1@208.207.71.172>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526221024.19879.00000879@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22294

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Those that want a place where they feel they can violate FCC rules with
>> impunity already have a place to do so; why would they want to become hams?

> If that's the best you can do for thought you'd better take up
>basketweaving.

Is that your way of saying that you disagree with me?  If so, why do you think
that those that are looking for a place to break rules would choose ham radio
over their so-called freeband?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
```

```
From -8557720550170293328
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:14:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 02:14:13 GMT
References: <9epl35$nv8$0@208.207.71.172>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
```

Message-ID: <20010526221413.19879.00000883@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22295

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Dwight you are true dyed-in-the-wool blockhead. If brains were a dime a
>ton you couldn't pay attention.

Another example of the "adult" way you want new hams to act, Dick?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 807654773714704549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:14:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 02:13:28 GMT
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22296

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> But it our day we bucked down and did it without finding
>it necessary to go to your room and pout your life away, as seems to be
>the vogue these days.

In my day, I did not run into the types of attitudes about newcomers that I have seen on this forum. I am sure it was there, but I was fortunate enough that the people I met were not the type who felt they had to insult the newcomers.

> One really wonders where we'll be when it becomes necessary to act like
>adults again. We did it well before our ages could support it. Today
>they seem to never mature that far.

Are you suggesting that the new hams should take after you and tell people in public to go soak their heads? Is that your idea of adult and mature?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3218506763384287815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:16:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: 27 May 2001 02:16:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <9epo15$55m$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010526111408.09604.00001411@ng-cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22297

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net
>
> >Who is we kemo sabe? About a thousand years ago, the Handbook
> >spent a lot of ink on shaping of keying to eliminate 'key clicks' and
> excessive
> >bandwidth of CW signals. It pretty well came down to 'there ain't no such
> >thing as square wave'. Wouldst that this should be reprinted and taken to
> >heart by a later generation of digital engineers and designers.
>
> In theory, an FSK or PSK signal has an infinite number of sidebands if the
> frequency or phase shift is instantaneous, although these sidebands do diminish
> with distance from the source. In practice, there are a number of ways to
> address this, all of which are one form or another of bandwidth limiting.
>
> A PSK-31 signal is bandwidth limited by filtering after modulation (all in DSP,
> of course). The resultant PSK signal is of reasonable bandwidth, but the result
> is that it has amplitude as well as phase variation.
>
> I see no reason that digital modulation techniques would not use as good
> engineering practice as is used by OOK CW and SSB.
>
> >We have arrived at the point where digital TV takes closer to 30 MHz than 6,
>

> Does not it also bring with it significant improvement in video resolution?
>
> >My purpose is not to piss on digital but rather to point out that there is
> >more to it than a specification in a Usenet posting.

> Agreed.
>
> > The modem problem was solved with a lot of pain and a lot of playing and
> >shaping audio tones to eliminate the interactions of the tones with each other
> and stand up to line imperfections.
>
> Is that pain not part of the challenge of Amateur Radio, and of its worth?
>

The modem problem wasn't solved by ham radio operators working in a hobby setting. The few hams who may have been involved weren't acting as amateurs, for darn sure.

```
From -3381699303748310490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:20:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 27 May 2001 02:20:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9epo8j$55m$1@208.207.71.172>
References: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org> <20010526084247.22020.00001327@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <3B0FBAB9.F4358FCF@IEEE.org>
<JXPP6.7395$lP5.3017015@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22298
```

Bob & Weave wrote:
>
> there are many "false" alarms with radar detectors do these cause horrific
> accidents? If so who is to blame ? Again Just because a radar detector goes

> off does this mean you slam your brakes on and lose control of your vehicle.
> The driver is at fault 100%.
>

Anyone who slams n his brakes after the detector goes off is probably caught already anyway, out on the open road.

From 2234169479589638156
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:23:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 02:23:07 GMT
References: <20010526170103.22914.00001474@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010526222307.19879.00000889@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22299

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>It still seems to me that he is taking it uponhimself to SEEM to be making
>ALL the decisions himself, Ed

It still seems to me that he is suggesting that it is better that we make the decisions for ourselves.

I don't know whether the FCC assigns amateur radio rulemaking recommendations to a team or just to one individual. If it is generally assigned to him, his statements were pretty accurate.

You seem to feel otherwise; what can you tell us about the internal workings of the FCC to shed light on why you feel the way you do?

>And then should be subjected to the NPRM process. Unless there's been a
>change?

Well, of course. Did you really think there was a change? Do you think that Cross is not aware that amateur radio rules changes go through a rulemaking process? I think he was and he was telling us that his views would be of significant staff input to the end result. He, like I, believes that any solution imposed by any one of us would not be ideal for many others of us. Frankly, I can't see why you disagree with that.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6631174486511653446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:38:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 78
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 02:37:15 GMT
References: <20010526165450.22914.00001472@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010526223715.19879.00000900@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22300

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>>I didn't get that from Cross' speech, Steve. I got that he wanted to see ham
>>radio get with its own program, because if ANY individual came up with a
>>program, it would not suit the needs of most.

>   Have I missed something, Ed? It was my understanding that the FCC,
>prior to making any kind of change in the rules, subbmitted them to the NPRM
>process. At least that has been experience in the last 28 years.

Yes, they still do go through an NPRM.

>   On what occassion has "one" individual made policy of such sweeping
>nature?

I can think of one example where I worked closely with the FCC for over a year -- the RF-safety rules. Bob Cleveland, assisted by Jerry Ulcek, generated all of the recommendations to the Commissioners. They did, of course, read and consider all of the comments regarding the various rulemaking procedures.

>   I understand the context of his comments, Ed. I am wondering WHAT
>prompted him to make some comments about any one person (or the FCC as an
>entity) arbitrarily making any new bands plans, regulations, etc.

Perhaps if something as far-reaching as the RF-exposure rules requires two FCC staffers, something as limited as rules changes for the Amateur Radio Service can be done with only one individual. I don't know. I can only presume that you do know enough about this to feel it warranted to criticize Cross. Can you share your inside knowledge with us?

>   I am real, Ed. I wasn't the one who made the comment.about him being
>"appointed by the people".

The way it works, Steve, is that he is accountable to the people through the fact that the President appoints Commissioners and Congress approves them. The Commisioners then assign their duties to various FCC staff. I personally think that this is a reasonably efficient way to manage a government and still maintain accountability "to the people." If you feel that each FCC staff person should be appointed by Congress, or elected by a direct vote of the US public, you should suggest it to your Congressfolk.

>   Perhaps. So far I haven't seen any "petty squabbles" on thier desk. A
>few silly ideas ("Colt", for one....), but other than the Amateur Community
>asking the FCC to enforce it's own regulations, what squabbles to you refer?

If you go back over the decades, Steve, there have been no small number of petitions for rulemaking that I could characterize that way.

I agree with you completely that asking the FCC to enforce the existing rules is not petty. By his comments, Cross appears to agree. Powell certainly does.

>>If he tried to keep that secret, you would damn him, but
>>when he discusses that candidly, you damn him, too.

>   Only when that discussion comes in the form of a "don't make me mad or I
>will make you sorry" tone, Ed. You are right, otherwise.

Try reading it "don't make the Commission mad" instead of taking it personally. I don't think that Cross feels his views would hold sway against the wishes of his bosses, so I think my interpretation makes a lot more sense.

> It's his JOB to answer the questions, complaints and comments of the public.

>It just sounds to me like he may be looking to lighten his workload through
> some carefully worded "suggestions" that if he continues to RECEIVE those
>questions, complaints and coments, he might be tempted to convince the
> Commission to help him out.

He might be, but it is also his job to make recommendations to the Commision, not just to respond to things generated outside the Commission. And as to it being his job to answer questions, I agree, but we don't know what his other duties are and how his boss feels about the amount of time he needs to spend on doing so. Do you?

73,
Ed, W1RFI

From 8707477302427901069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:41:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 02:41:14 GMT
References: <20010526170030.12400.00001225@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010526224114.19879.00000902@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22301

>From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)

>>Cw on 11 meters is illegal.

>Ok, I'll go with that. However, why would the FCC type certify radios that
>offer an "illegal" mode? Oversight?...I'm finding numerous older h/t's that
>have this feature. Thanks.

I do know that OOK CW would be illegal on 11 meters. I don't recall whether Morse code is in and of itself illegal.

However, the 11-meter band is also allocated to Part 15 devices and most of the devices you describe are probably FCC Certificated under Part 15, where Morse (CW or audio modulated) would be legal between Part 15 devices. These Part 15 transmitter are not supposed to be used to communicate with Part 95 operators, however, if memory serves.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -901171279986247818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:43:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 02:42:52 GMT
References: <20010526180050.12273.00001539@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010526224252.19879.00000903@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22302

>From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)

>Do you suppose it's possible to utilize SSB for the purpose of
sending/receiving
> Morse?

An audio tone sent over an SSB transmitter is one way to generate a continuous wave.   The Harris transmitters at W1AW generate OOK CW that way.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4943354102991486972
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:49:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 02:48:45 GMT
References: <LZTP6.31899$9G.199709@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010526224845.19879.00000905@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22303

>From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net

>The FCC has been sort of waving the stick =
>around of late, and the ARRL needs to hang the carrot out in front to =
>get things moving.

The League did form a digital voice committee some time ago, and they have been working on some of the ways to foster the growth of the method.

More info on digital voice is found at:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/digivoice.html

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4514998875001362263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:52:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 02:51:31 GMT
References: <3B1029B5.6EC40530@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010526225131.19879.00000906@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22304

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Did the 10-GHz device your friend was using contain that provision?

>Dunno. He ordered it from a magazine.

The one I tested in the Lab didn't contain such a provision, nor was it Certificated under the FCC rules. Which magazine, if you know? I am working my way through some of the un-Certificated devices that can operate on the ham bands that are being marketed to the general public and knowing where to look for that one would help.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2634138260364242655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 19:57:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 02:56:38 GMT
References: <3B0E3EB2.E74EE6FA@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526225638.19879.00000908@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22305

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>Just take something like the formula for reactance in a parallel circuit
>from the handbook and plug in some numbers which are to your liking.

So you believe that the simple algebra question should be the following?:

XsubL = 2 * pi * F * L

I think it would be a bit too simple to ask that people solve the following for X:

X = 2 * 3.14 * 1000000 * .001 to get XsubL for a 1 mH inductor at 1 MHz.

That looks more like a grammar-school arithmetic problem than an algebra problem to me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5904172328941587773
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:04:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 03:04:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 74
Message-ID: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <9eojjd$os8$0@208.206.142.72> <20010526131746.08258.00001430@ng-mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22306

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > And since he can't,
>
> Do you really think I cannot answer the question Larry asked about what mode I
> would use first if I were involved in an emergency situation?
>
> >he resorts to the old "It has never happened so far
> >(so far as anyone here can quote) thus is is unlikely to ever happen".
>
> We are in agreement; it is not likely to happen that an emergency arises for
> which CW is the only mode that can be used for communication.
>

No, we're NOT in agreement. When virtually every ham HF transciever comes with two modes built in, SSB and CW, and while CW enjoys a considerable s/n advantage over SSB, there is alway a very real possibility that CW will need to be used in emergencies, rare though they are. Only a small percentage of hams have digital communications equipment. There were TWO accounts of CW being used to handle hurricane traffic in the past couple years when conditions deteriorated below SSB minimums, but you continue to glossover them. Wonder why that is?

I bought my first commercial solid state digital communications terminal way back in the 70's if memory serves. It was known as a Microlog AIR-One, and it plugged into the rear of a Commodore VIC-20 computer which had all of *20K* of RAM. The thing worked fantastically well. Not that much Later I gave it to an OT retiree friend when I upgraded to a AEA PK64, which plugged into my new Commodore 64, which had all of 64 K RAM. Ilve been waiting every since for some vendor to mass produce a HF transciever with some variety of digital communications packabe bjuilt in, so that one can just plug in a keyboard , select a digital mode of the several offered, as opposed to either SSB or CW (which would still be carried as options, too) and go to work. A super computer, by any resonable standard, can be bought today for a virtual pittance. I own a 566 MHZ Pentium that cost less than a Commodore 64 did in its heyday. So why is it that no vendor has ever made a real attempt to do something like a PK64 style modem into a ham radio? Yes, I'm aware of Patcom and their offerings. Why doesn't the mainstream follow suit with something far better? Could it be that their marketing surveys show that hams just want to yak into a microphone or maybe key some CW? Actually if something suitable was offered at anything like reasonable cost I would already own one. But as it is, I just don't like the idea of hooking my super Pentium to my radio just to use it as a modem, when the radio could very easily have been designed with the modem built inside. I'd far rather have a multimode modem built in than to have a DSP filter. Of course there would be no reason one couldn't easilhy have both, with the computing hardware field at its current level of maturity, and low cost level. Evidently it is not to be.

I read all sorts of drivel posted here by the selfserving who pretend to want it that way, too, and who claim expertise in designing same, but so far -decades later- the situation still remains as always - virtually all HF ham rigs incorporate only SSB and CW, with FC in some as a minor addon. I wonder why that is? Could it be that manufacturers perceive that

> > Thus, it makes sense to just ignore the emergency communications potential of
> >ham radio.   We see.
>
> No, "we" don't see, Dick. I think that ignoring the fact that there are better
> ways to ensure emergency communications capability than testing hams for Morse
> code is the real ignoring taking place.

So lets spend the next twenty some years preaching theoretical high tech and bitching about CW while all new rigs continue to come out with SSB and CW and no digital capability.

From 7305288741846192296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:05:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 27 May 2001 03:05:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9epqu2$d9o$1@208.207.71.172>
References: <9eoidk$irr$0@208.206.142.72> <20010526131952.08258.00001431@ng-mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22307

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Yeah, what 'fools the locals' is when one day that 'dummy' becomes a
> >real live radar car....
>
> My local Burlington cop really perked up when I told him that hams could run a
> 10-GHz beacon that would set off radar detectors. He asked me if I was gonna'
> put one up someday. :-)

I used a radar detector as a microwave oven leakage detector. Works.

From 7437064420549627506
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:08:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 03:07:37 GMT
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526230737.19945.00000729@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22308

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> There were TWO accounts of CW being used to handle hurricane
>traffic in the past couple years when conditions deteriorated below SSB
>minimums, but you continue to glossover them. Wonder why that is?

Actually, Dick, I do not usually read the accounts of emergency communications. That is why I have been asking for examples for months on this forum. So you knew about the examples, didn't mention them, and are accusing me of glossing them over? How odd.

In that time frame, how many accounts were there of emergency communications using other modes? Please don't gloss over that one like you did the CW ones; you are obviously counting and it would save us all a lot of time if you would answer the question promptly.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 534433763679593068
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:09:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 03:09:03 GMT
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22309
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> So lets spend the next twenty some years preaching theoretical high
>tech and bitching about CW while all new rigs continue to come out with
>SSB and CW and no digital capability.

What ARE you talking about here, Dick? Most new rigs we test in the Lab have digital capability of some sort.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7600155213230290761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:12:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 03:11:35 GMT
References: <9epo15$55m$0@208.207.71.172>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010526231135.19945.00000731@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22310
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> The modem problem wasn't solved by ham radio operators working in a
>hobby setting. The few hams who may have been involved weren't acting as
>amateurs, for darn sure.

One of the strengths of the Amateur Radio Service has always been that it has attracted some of the "professional" people. This "promise" isn't something new, Dick; it has been happening to the extent that Amateur Radio has been relevant to the technology these people worked with.

I hope it ever remains so.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5476994304547777910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:15:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 03:15:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9eprg2$f4j$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <20010525215711.03759.00002804@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010526135044.08258.00001440@ng-mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22311

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >Obviously, you can't answer my question. Just as I thought.
>
> Actually, I can, Larry. We are just discussing the terms. If Morse code
> testing is related to whether non-code people who are hams can send Morse code
> in a dire emergency using a lookup chart, then I think it fair that we make the
> test by selecting a group of people who will have passed a written exam that

> includes some basic information about Morse encoding, don't you?
>
> Now if you think that Morse code is not and need not be related to testing at
> all, then the whole point is moot.
>

My contention was that persons who have never attempted to learn Morse code almost always don't know how to send characters without some amount of training and a little practice. So they can't be expected to just sit down with a lookup table and send messages from a point of zero training and experience. You have ridiculed this fact and it is a fact, based on some personal experience at training those totally inexperienced people. Some have a very easy time of basic code learning, others don't. But most don't do a passable job of sending with no training whatever. No experiment will "prove" anything otherwise as far as I'm concerned. I learned this from hands-on experience.

From 7556125224767885228
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:26:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 03:26:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9eps5g$h1s$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<20010525215710.03759.00002803@nso-ch.aol.com> <9eojjd$os8$0@208.206.142.72>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22312

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Most hams never find themselves involved in emergency situations of any
> > kind where they need to use their rig to send emergency traffic. Thus,
> > it makes sense to just ignore the emergency communications potential of

> > ham radio.
>
> It makes sense to maintain a reality oriented perspective toward the emergency
> communications potential of ham radio. You and others are simply NOT reality
> oriented and are bogged down in an unreal pie-in-the-sky-is-falling mentality.
> Your fantastic doomsday scenarios are all in your minds. If you multiply the
> independent probabilities of everything that has to happen for your scenario
> to come to pass, it might happen once every 100 billion years.
>

We know huw much you despise all hams who know that CW is in fact real communications, but you are simply wrong. When virtually all HF transcievers sold today still incorporate two modes, SSB voice and CW, there is a very real liklihood that CW will be needed in emergency situations when conditions deteriorate below SSB minimums. There were at least two cases quoted here in the past couple years of just this situation occuring during hurricanes. You and the other codebasbing high-tech freaks who are all talk and no action prefer to ignore them, but they are there nevertheless.

From 7393752606833768798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:30:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!netnews.com!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9epo15$55m$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526231135.19945.00000731@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ax_P6.48759$4f7.3562953@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 03:30:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.216
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990934214 12.75.103.216 (Sun, 27 May 2001 03:30:14 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 03:30:14 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22313

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010526231135.19945.00000731@ng-mb1.aol.com...

> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > The modem problem wasn't solved by ham radio operators working in a
> >hobby setting. The few hams who may have been involved weren't acting as
> >amateurs, for darn sure.
>
> One of the strengths of the Amateur Radio Service has always been that it
has
> attracted some of the "professional" people. This "promise" isn't
something
> new, Dick; it has been happening to the extent that Amateur Radio has been
> relevant to the technology these people worked with.
>
> I hope it ever remains so.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Makes two of us, Ed. There have been any number of 'dirty tricks' developed
(some were found not to work too well which has its own value) on the ham
bands that found their way into commercial circuitry. With any luck, some
of them will be the digital phone your group is playing with.

Dick N�BK

From -4049668989549051805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:35:17 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <K4tP6.7804$r4.483655@www.newsranger.com>
<20010526010405.18236.00001645@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9B_P6.1312$rn5.77918@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 23:34:29 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 03:34:29 GMT
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news.bnb-lp.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22314

Steve Robeson LPN says...

> ..... that Jeff obtained the call fraudulently...?!?!

Fraudulently? That's your word Gunny, not mine.

72.5, de Hans, K0HB

From 1814472363281487437
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:58:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 03:57:46 GMT
References: <oaEP6.45230$t12.3478906@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Description Of A Real Ham
Message-ID: <20010526235746.10524.00004805@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22315

In article <oaEP6.45230$t12.3478906@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Kinda sad how all of
>us keep arguing what could/should be and don't just try to get on with life
>and over the CW arguments. Not likely, however.

Jim:

Certainly not likely in this newsgroup, at least. Here, the code
debate is not only on-topic, it *is* the topic! However, I promise
not to discuss code testing with you if we have a PSK31 QSO!
Wanna make a sked?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1833856569435614712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:58:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 03:57:48 GMT
References: <20010526135044.08258.00001440@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526235748.10524.00004808@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22316

In article <20010526135044.08258.00001440@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Actually, I can, Larry. We are just discussing the terms. If Morse code
>testing is related to whether non-code people who are hams can send Morse
>code
>in a dire emergency using a lookup chart, then I think it fair that we make
>the
>test by selecting a group of people who will have passed a written exam that
>includes some basic information about Morse encoding, don't you?
>
>Now if you think that Morse code is not and need not be related to testing at
>all, then the whole point is moot.

Ed:

Wrong. The question was very simple and direct: Which do you
grab first -- the key or the mic?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2037395791961397383
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18eb6217a7bc66fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:58:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 27 May 2001 03:57:45 GMT
References: <VjyP6.183$rn5.4938@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Holyshit, Here We Go! Wheee!
Message-ID: <20010526235745.10524.00004803@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22317

In article <VjyP6.183$rn5.4938@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
writes:

>
>97.1 You are required to pass a technical test to show that you
>understand how to build simple equipment which meets spectral
>purity specifications of .....blah, blah, blah. You will be
>issued a callsign when you pass the test. Transmit your call
>sign once every 10 minutes when on the air.
>
>97.2 Your power limit is 1.5KW to the antenna.
>
>97.3 Here are your bands. Stay inside of them.
>
>97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and communicate
>and do public service and talk to strangers in far away lands and
>launch communications satelites into space and other technical radio
>stuff you may think up. The government doesn't care what mode
>you use for any of this.
>
>97.5 Play nice. We'll try to keep the CBers out of your hair, and
>deliberate interference or other assinine behaviour on your part will
>cause Riley to come and kick your ass off the playground. Have fun.

Hansl:

Hmmm. No technical descriptions of modes to be used? No
rules regarding repeaters, space operation, third-party traffic
with foreign countries, etc? Seems like you're leaving out
quite a bit of important stuff. However, keeping the CB'ers out
of our hair is a nice touch -- let's see if they can throw that into
any future version of Part 97!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5785207903461384272
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7a7b9d720ad42210,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 06:15:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 13:14:44 GMT
References: <3B1046CA.18A31620@better-than-you.are>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Disgusting 'Puke.' thread
Message-ID: <20010527091444.20599.00001290@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22369

Hello Reginald,

I think you've filled in the missing word. The point was their disgust with the subject. It would probably have been more appropriate to name the thread "Disgusting Development." But Puke was more the emotional response.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 9107504890082932395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 06:16:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 09:17:07 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3B10FE32.873CD529@sccoast.net>
References: <20010524205655.15631.00000187@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010524225007.05666.00004216@nso-fo.aol.com> <3B0E80EF.97DDCF60@sccoast.net>
<9emts7$id1$1@208.206.142.94> <3B0F129B.A374D1B3@sccoast.net>
<9epl35$nv8$0@208.207.71.172>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-106.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990969374 437258 206.74.49.106 (27 May 2001 13:16:14
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 13:16:14 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22370

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > We're talking about reality here, Dick.
> > Again, if I have equipment, I would have
> > the equipment I own or nothing at all
> > (can't run to the store and buy a new
> > radio on a remote enclave). {snip}
>
>
> Dwight you are true dyed-in-the-wool
> blockhead. If brains were a dime a
> ton you couldn't pay attention.
>
> Jim talks about the many QRP'ers
> who backapck {snip} If you can carry
> your entire ham station with you into
> the woods, be my guest. More likely
> you won't even be going. And for darn
> sure, we won't have to worry about
> you sending all dits.

Dick, you're the one who needs to pay attention here. I was responding to a message from Larry about people stranded on a remote enclave. You responded to a message posted in reply to something I said in response to Larry's message. And I responded to your message, still discussing the remote enclave (it was mentioned several times in the very text quoted in your reply).

And now, in the same reply where you quoted my comments about the remote enclave, you suddenly claim the discussion is about Jim and something about backpacks in the woods. Perhaps if you spent less time thinking of ways to be rude, you might notice what the discussion you're involved in is actually about.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From -4523080480514096712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 06:23:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail

From: "Bob & Weave" <bob@weaver.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com>
<20010527083532.18977.00001618@nso-fa.aol.com>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <md7Q6.8976$lP5.3912236@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 13:23:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 990969810 24.180.184.171 (Sun, 27 May 2001
06:23:30 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 06:23:30 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22371

Are you guys that pathetic, what happens when he gets a false "reading" from
a bank, dept. store or whateevr and slams his brakes on who's fault is it
then>

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010527083532.18977.00001618@nso-fa.aol.com...
> In article <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
> (Bill Sohl) writes:
>
> >>>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >>
> >>>To amuse himself, he keys that transmitter
> >>>when he's tooling down the interstate with his camper and a speeder
> >>>passes him. He's seen drivers go into fish-tails while slowing down.
> >>
> >>Will he be equally amused if someone dies in the resulting accident?
Please
> >>ask him and tell us his response.
> >>Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
> >I have to totally agree with Ed on this. There is simply
> >NO justification for such a dangerous and ILLEGAL act.
> >
> Agreed. It could be described as a "false and deceptive transmission",
couldn't
> it?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

From -4259905856943992531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 06:46:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 09:47:25 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3B110545.BA20EDB5@sccoast.net>
References: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net> <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-bh.aol.com> <3B0F08B9.A0B38D57@sccoast.net> <9eoidk$irr$0@208.206.142.72>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-106.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990971189 436760 206.74.49.106 (27 May 2001 13:46:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 13:46:29 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22372

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Yeah, what 'fools the locals' is when
> one day that 'dummy' becomes a real
> live radar car....

Have you worked in law enforcement? That is exactly what they do. After about a month or so of the fake radar, they simply place a real cop there to catch local speeders (and he usually hands out a amazing number of tickets).

At a place I lived a few years ago, the favorite speed trap parking spot was right across from my driveway. About three or four times a week, there was a cop with a radar parked there. Of course, they never caught me since I was always slowing down to pull into my driveway. However, I did see those cops write a lot of tickets each week. What was even more amazing though was the number of tickets they didn't write. I routinely saw speeders they didn't go after for some reason.

After that experience, and my experience with various vehicles over the years, I'm convinced, like most other people, that officers will

go after certain drivers, and certain vehicles, much quicker than
others. The fast looking car is an open invitation, while the
conservative car (van, conservative SUV, family car, etc) is usually
ignored no matter how fast it is going. And most motorcycles really
should come with a built-in ticket deposit slot.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From 3566196593001581352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:00:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 14:00:16 GMT
References: <md7Q6.8976$lP5.3912236@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010527100016.06836.00001417@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22373

>From: "Bob & Weave" bob@weaver.com

>Are you guys that pathetic, what happens when he gets a false "reading" from
>a bank, dept. store or whateevr and slams his brakes on who's fault is it

Was that false reading as intentionally created as using one of the
"radar-detector testers" at highway speed?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3029236666061982654
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:01:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 14:00:45 GMT
References: <20010526222307.19879.00000889@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010527100045.28933.00001754@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22374

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 5/26/01 9:23 PM Central Daylight Time

>You seem to feel otherwise; what can you tell us about the internal workings
>of
>the FCC to shed light on why you feel the way you do?

It has nothing to do with "the internal workings of the FCC", Ed. It has to do with a singular event in which an FCC staffer made some leading comments.

>He, like I, believes that any solution imposed by any one of us would >not be ideal for many others of us. Frankly, I can't see why you >disagree with that.

I believe in the "least common denominator" course of action too, Ed. I just don't like the idea of someone whose wages I pay telling me we had better do it "his" way, or we might be sorry.

The fact of the matter is that Amateur Radio, personified by the ARRL, has done a good job of trying to make sure that it DOES do things "on autopilot", and that's as it should be. The FCC should be proactive as a last resort or to simply to put the stamp of officialdom on a matter where such action is required.

But in that process, the FCC should (such as in repeater coordination matters), state that they consider such "mutual" band plans (or what ever convention you care to interject here) as "Good Amateur Practice" and that operating contrary to that convention is NOT "Good Amateur Practice" and may subject the operator to fines or sanctions.

And after some established program to obtain that operators compliance on a voluntary basis has passed, the FCC should be expected to enforce the laws.

What >>I<< can't see is why YOU find it objectionable to expect a federal employee to do his job without insinuating threats against Amateur radio as a whole.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -7456242199791923636
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:05:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 14:05:07 GMT
References: <9B_P6.1312$rn5.77918@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010527100507.28933.00001756@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22375

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 5/26/01 10:34 PM Central Daylight Time

>Steve Robeson LPN says...

>> ..... that Jeff obtained the call fraudulently...?!?!

>Fraudulently? That's your word Gunny, not mine.

I see...I see...you just INSINUATE that they have done something fraudulently, then cower behind what you DIDN'T say as an "out".

Not unexpected, unfortunately.

From 2228774851200818922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:05:37 PST
Message-ID: <3B11092A.664B292B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 09:03:22 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.

```
References: <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172> <20010527084646.04987.00001648@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp 990971806 64.240.47.128 (27 May 2001 08:56:46 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22376

W1RFI wrote:
> No, it is true; I did not run into anyone who abused me for being a newcomer.

One day my Elmer said, let's measure your body resistance and handed
me two wires. I grabbed them. The other end was plugged into a 110vac
wall socket. :-( Is that abuse?
--
cheers, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -4596401516200266423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:07:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 14:07:07 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdywul5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

```
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010527100707.28933.00001757@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22377

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam
>Date: 5/26/01 11:25 PM Central Daylight Time

>  He was appointed through a very detailed procedural chain of
>  authority in which The Congress gave delegated authority to the
>  agency's personnel officers and managers to do that hiring "in the
>  name" of The Congress and the Government of the United States.

     A little bit of political algebra, Phil?  A=B  B=C, therefore A=C..?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ


From 2343256405111505102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7a7b9d720ad42210
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:10:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 14:10:03 GMT
References: <3B1046CA.18A31620@better-than-you.are>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Disgusting 'Puke.' thread
Message-ID: <20010527101003.28933.00001758@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22378

>Subject: Disgusting 'Puke.' thread
>From: rsj34@better-than-you.are  (Reginald St-James)
>Date: 5/27/01 7:49 AM Central Daylight Time

>It is really quite demeaning to the hobby when newcomers arrive and >see the
"Puke." thread.  Why not change the title to "Regurgitation"
>instead?

     An interesting idea from someone whose "e mail address" is:
@better-than-you.are.

      Problem is that "regurgitation" is 5 syllables.  Many herein may be wont
to understand the thread.
```

Steve

```
From 4258997644865827431
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:11:51 PST
Message-ID: <3B110A9F.98E91E1@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 09:09:35 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9ei21e$6b6$0@208.207.71.149> <20010524203523.25364.00001183@ng-
ma1.news.cs.com> <9emrs5$8ee$2@208.206.142.94> <3B0F1DF5.90C351A5@IEEE.org>
<9eplv6$qp1$1@208.207.71.172> <3B105C61.DFF6DC95@IEEE.org>
<9epvej$qqe$0@208.207.71.172> <3B10882C.6EDA212D@IEEE.org>
<9eqoa0$69f$1@208.207.71.176>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp 990972179 64.240.47.128 (27 May 2001 09:02:59 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22379
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
> > The point is that one can be just as good a motorcycle rider without
> > a license as one can be with a license. The license itself is irrelevant
> > except for avoiding traffic tickets.
>

> Ah. So radio licenses are irrevelant except for FCC citations. We see
> where you're coming from.

If everyone obeyed the rules without being licensed, there would be no need for the existence of a license. This applies to lots of things besides ham radio.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7221954628482960462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:13:40 PST
Message-ID: <3B110B16.D1B8CA0B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 09:11:34 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9epmi6$so3$1@208.207.71.172> <20010526221024.19879.00000879@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp 990972297 64.240.47.128 (27 May 2001 09:04:57 -0500)
Lines: 32
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22380

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:

> >
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >> Those that want a place where they feel they can violate FCC rules with
> > >> impunity already have a place to do so; why would they want to become hams?
> >
> > > If that's the best you can do for thought you'd better take up
> > >basketweaving.
> >
> > Is that your way of saying that you disagree with me?
>   If so, why do you think
> > that those that are looking for a place to break rules would choose ham radio
> > over their so-called freeband?
>
> Of course I disagree with you! "WHY" indeed! Why do they do it in the
> first place when they could easily become hams and NOT do it? Why should
> they be satisfied to follow rules and conventions just because they now
> have gone through a licensing process for ham radio? When doing their
> rulebreaking thing they wear their other hat and don't use a callsign,
> of course.

Would you furnish the name of just one person who does what you say above?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5196858216293220798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:19:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <20010526222307.19879.00000889@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010527100045.28933.00001754@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Lines: 83
Message-ID: <X08Q6.1567$rn5.88018@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 10:18:31 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 14:18:31 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22381
```

In article <20010527100045.28933.00001754@ng-ci1.aol.com>, Steve Robeson LPN says...

> I just don't like the idea of someone whose wages I pay telling
> me we had better do it "his" way, or we might be sorry.

But of course that is almost exactly OPPOSITE of what he said. Rather than do it >his< way, he said, in effect, "You guys figure out >your< way to play, and don't expect the FCC to provide detailed regulation in the future."

"Detailed regulation of the nitty gritty of communication services, including the Amateur Service, is not in the picture," Cross said.

"I hope that those of you who are thinking about asking us to carve up a band by fiat will think again,"

"You really should think hard as to whether you want or need federal rules 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to apply everywhere the FCC regulates communications,"

"What we don't want--and we don't have the resources to do--is multiple proceedings that address piecemeal changes in operating privileges for only certain classes of licensees or certain bands," Cross declared. "You, collectively, need to reach agreement on how you want to use your spectrum."

Hardly "do it my way, or you'll be sorry"!

By the way, I wrote a whimsical "simplification" of Part 97 (copy below). A friend of mine sent a copy to Riley Hollingsworth. Riley responded:

From: "Riley Hollingsworth" <rholling@gscyclone.com>
To: "Stu Greene" <wa2moe@doitnow.com>
Subject: Re: Part 97 simplification
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 10:02:07 -0400
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2314.1300

I like it!

>----- Original Message -----

>
> I suggest that the amateur rules ought be simplified to
> something like this:
>
> 97.1 To get an Amateur Radio license, you are required to pass
> a technical test to show that you understand how to build simple

> equipment which meets spectral purity specifications of (.....blah,
> blah, blah). You will be issued a license and callsign when you
> pass the test. Transmit your call sign once every 10 minutes when
> on the air.
>
> 97.2 Your power limit is 1.5KW to the antenna.
>
> 97.3 Here are your bands. Stay inside of them.
>
> 97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and communicate
> and do public service and talk to strangers in far away lands and
> launch communications satellites into space and any other cool
> technical "radio stuff" you may think up. The government doesn't
> care what mode you use for any of this.
>
> 97.5 Play nice. We'll try to keep the CBers out of your hair.
> Deliberate interference, unresolved dirty signals, or other asinine
> behavior on your part will cause Riley Hollingsworth to come and kick
> your ass off the playground. Have fun.
>
> Love always,
> /signed/ FCC
>
> ---
>

73, Hans, K0HB

```
From 4331914420627784295
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:22:12 PST
Message-ID: <3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 09:19:36 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<20010525215710.03759.00002803@nso-ch.aol.com> <9eojjd$os8$0@208.206.142.72>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp 990972781 64.240.47.128 (27 May 2001 09:13:01 -0500)
```

Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22382

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> The last hurricane that passed through the islands devastated Kauai
> County; 80% of all structures were either destroyed or damaged. The
> only communication method which existed between that island and the
> outside world was amateur radio; traffic concerning required rescue
> and hospital equipment, health and welfare messages -- all passed
> by hams stationed in both the Kauai Mayor's office and here on
> Oahu in the Governor's office.

What does this have to do with Morse code testing? Without Morse code testing, hams will still be free to use CW or any other mode for emergency communications.

> Our civil defense motto is, "It's not IF a disaster will happen,
> but rather WHEN."

What does this statement have to do with Morse code testing?

> P.S. Cec, if you hit your return key a little more often, your
> one-word lines above will be avoided.

P.S. Jeff, if you set your margins a little wider, your newsreader wouldn't goof up like that. :-) I didn't create those one word lines - you did.

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -327870372279083514
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:25:28 PST

```
Message-ID: <3B110DD9.1D0BA8C4@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 09:23:21 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <3B108549.DAA31FCC@IEEE.org> <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp 990973006 64.240.47.128 (27 May 2001 09:16:46 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22383
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> And NONE handle FSK nor any other digital mode (except CW) without
> external gear equally sohiisticated as the rig itself.

Just shows how ignorant you are, Dick. I have a home brew FSK modem
that uses two chips and a 20 character digital display, all out of
my junkbox.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7855847827425173428
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:29:57 PST
Message-ID: <3B110EC3.E0DE59F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 09:27:15 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9eqm8t$10t$1@208.207.71.176>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp 990973238 64.240.47.128 (27 May 2001 09:20:38 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22384

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  What ARE you talking about? I've never seen a manufactured HF rig with
> other than SSB, CW and FM built into it.

Earth to Dick: What do you think the RTTY mode selection is for? Every
ICOM I have ever owned had an RTTY mode selection "built into it".
--
cheers, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 1209916404936574144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:32:22 PST
Message-ID: <3B110F82.8468B76@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 09:30:26 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com> <20010526091148.22020.00001341@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9eq9j2$21o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp 990973430 64.240.47.128 (27 May 2001 09:23:50 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22385

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Can you copy formal message traffic by phone at 200 wpm? =:o

Sure, that's what tape recorders are for. We did it all the time
while practicing emergency communications at Intel. Intel was
so dissatisfied with CW throughput that they wouldn't even
authorize CW for emergency communications.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From -4457775269753536792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:38:24 PST
Message-ID: <3B1110CE.F24C902F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 09:35:58 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com>

```
<20010526091148.22020.00001341@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9eq9j2$21o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<9eqom4$69f$2@208.207.71.176>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp 990973762 64.240.47.128 (27 May 2001 09:29:22 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!out.nntp.be!
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22386

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > Can you copy formal message traffic by phone at 200 wpm?  =:o
>
>  No, he can't and no one else can, either. As a longtime message handler
> using voice, I can affirm that delivering voice HAD BETTER be slow and
> distinctly enunciated if it you don't expect to have to repeat, and more
> than once.

Get real, Dick. Intel spent a fortune on amateur radio equipment so they
wouldn't be limited to the throughput of CW. Intel sprung for 70 ft towers,
beams, and legal limit amps in order to get emergency voice communications
so reliable that messages could be taped at 200 wpm and transcribed by
secretaries. In the event of an emergency, Intel will have lots of
emergency traffic to be passed. CW just wouldn't hack it. It was a choice
between SSB using one ham rig or CW using ten ham rigs. SSB won.
--
cheers, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -1180793854930129797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 07:47:38 PST
```

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 14:46:57 GMT
References: <20010527100045.28933.00001754@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010527104657.18611.00003278@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22387
```

>Ed. I
>just don't like the idea of someone whose wages I pay telling me we had
>better
>do it "his" way, or we might be sorry.

Oh but ED is so good at this, he really thinks he knows whats best. I think its about time for EDs Wages as well as his Employers Wages to go the wAY of the DOT COMMs

---

```
From 2820629900829095761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 08:39:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 122
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 15:39:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.92.173
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990977954 209.244.92.173 (Sun, 27 May
2001 08:39:14 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 08:39:14 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
```

X-Received-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 08:37:20 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22388

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > > But it our day we bucked down and did it without finding
> > >it necessary to go to your room and pout your life away, as seems to be
> > >the vogue these days.
> >
> > In my day, I did not run into the types of attitudes about newcomers
that I
> > have seen on this forum.
>
>
> Bullshit, Ed. You have this fixation on what you percieve to be
> somebody bashhing everybody who is a ham radio newcomer. WRONG!!!!!
>
> What is being countered is all the nonsense from those who choose to
> ridicule those of us who passed a code test among all the other
> requirements in effect at the time of our licensing. Those idiots are
> the very ones who sat on their butts and whined the whole time until
> easy licensing came along, and now they can't stand it that they were
> too useless to get it on their own, as we did. so they continually
> complainn about the minimal 5wpmcode reqjuirement as though it was some
> real obstacle. Piss on them, and all their supporters.
>

You bring up a very interesting point above, Dick. And it's one that made me think back and reflect on the issue of the Restructuring debate that has been and is taking place. You are in defense, above, of "those of us who passed a code test among all the other requirements in effect at the time of our licensing" and the people you feel are ridiculing you.

I can remember when the Restructuring debate was taking place on the air around here. Most who had been licensed in the past few years--and many who are/were Tech+ at the time--were merely discussing the topic and how we felt about it. I think you would have found the preponderence of opinion among that group to be that we thought it was a good idea for the hobby.

As I recall, the "older/longer" licensed hams would come in to the conversation with comments about how we were "lite" hams, hams who did not count as real hams, hams who would never be among the ranks of real hams, etc. I really don't remember any of us who were new licensees being all that fired up about name-calling, and insulting the older hams--even after that onslaught of disrespect.

So, I was trying to remember a lot of the posts on this NG from back then. Maybe I'm wrong, but I don't think so. I think the offense was on behalf of the "older/longer" licensed hams, and those of us who are "newer/shorter" licensees followed by example and became very defensive about it. And, there were those who came into the middle of the posts and just took off with whatever they delighted in--mostly just being assholes.

>
> I am sure it was there, but I was fortunate enough
> > that the people I met were not the type who felt they had to insult the
> > newcomers.
> >
>
> If you're afraid someone will chase off some of the more useless
> examples of humanity who pose as real hams while bashing all CW
> operators (you're one too, remember) you'll just have to deal with it.
> If they are that thin skinned they need to go. They're of no value even
> to themselves.
>

I'd like to set the record straight, since I may never have done that, and help you to realize some of the misperceptions that become obvious in the above statements. I am speaking for myself, of course, but I think you'd find that most of us "newer/shorter" licensees would express the same sentiment:

1. I respect the fact that many of my fellow hams were licensed under much more rigorous standards;
2. I don't think I have ever had a bad feeling toward "older/longer" licensed hams about their having passed higher speed CW tests than me to have gotten their license. Quite the contrary, I admire their perserverence;
3. I don't think I have ever singled out any particular licensing phase a ham went through and deemed whether it was more or less important than what I or anyone else went through;
4. I hope no one has ever gotten the idea that I "disrespect" someone because of their affiliation with a particular mode of operation on ham radio, because that is not the case.

What I do get upset about is being judged by any other ham, or person for that matter, to be greater or lesser than they just because I got somewhere differently than they did. Do we not deserve the freedom we have in this country because we did not "work for it" the way our forefathers did? Are we lesser beings because we have not "worked for the right" to be humans the way our ancestors did? No. Am I a lesser ham because I did not pass a higher speed CW test than someone else? No. And it would be silly to base someone's worthiness to be a ham on just one of many, many modes that are available in our hobby. There are even those hams who really don't even operate on the air all that much at all--but they sure have helped in other ways such as experimentation, politics, new equipment, etc.

>
> > > One really wonders where we'll be when it becomes necessary to act

like
> > >adults again. We did it well before our ages could support it. Today
> > >they seem to never mature that far.
> >
> > Are you suggesting that the new hams should take after you and tell
people in
> > public to go soak their heads? Is that your idea of adult and mature?
>
>
> Ed you really DO need to go soak your head. Really. It might wake you
> up.
>

Is it possible, Dick--and others (on both sides of the debate), that you've
been so wrapped up in your hate for so long, that you've forgotten ham radio
altogether?

Kim W5TIT

From 3783941565250815264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 08:59:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-
mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 12:00:47 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 123
Message-ID: <3B11245C.60311C58@sccoast.net>
References: <3B0EA29F.D73C6098@sccoast.net> <20010527083534.18977.00001620@nso-
fa.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-106.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990979180 445527 206.74.49.106 (27 May 2001 15:59:40
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 15:59:40 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22389

N2EY wrote:

>
> Ahh - and I bet those limits are seriously
> enforced! Bet their size/weight limits on
> trucks are way below ours, though.

Their trucks do tend to be smaller, but that probably has more to do with the much smaller roads carved into the old cities (many of the roads in those cities were built centuries before cars). As for enforcement, they tend to heavily depend on traffic cameras. Again, they don't want to disrupt the flow of traffic by pulling people over on the Autobahn, so they simply take a picture and mail you a ticket. The same with traffic in the city - many stop lights have cameras overlooking traffic through the intersection. While I never got a ticket for speeding on the Autobahn, I did get a couple of tickets after getting trapped in an intersection during a traffic jam in town.

> Part of that is probably due to better
> construction, part to a less severe
> climate, and part to lower axle loadings
> on the big trucks. And better maintenance.
> Note that their fuel takes are much higher
> than ours, so that the money is there to
> mainatain the roads.

Actually, that had us confused for years. The roads were well maintained, but we almost never saw road construction. We would see road construction for big projects (new bridges, etc), but almost never the minor maintenance (resurfacing, painting, etc). As far as we could tell, they seem to do it at night, sometimes completely doing a whole stretch of road in one night. For example, we saw them getting ready to do a road on the other side of town one afternoon. Three days later, when we drove through there again, the road was completely resurfaced and there was no sign of any recent road construction (no equipment, no signs, etc).

> Excellent idea. I have heard that in Sweden
> (where Volvso are made) you have to meet
> certain qualifications to OWN a car. If you
> can't show that you will maintain the
> vehicle, you can't have one.

That is pretty standard throughout Europe now. You also cannot modify a car in a manner that will change it's design characteristics. For example, if said car is sold with "x" brand of tires on it, you have to install the same brand of tires on it, or an approved replacement, when those tires wear out. You also cannot install wider tires, smaller tires, bigger tires, or so forth. Likewise, you cannot install anything on the car itself that will change it's behavior (spoilers, custom body parts, etc) unless those items have been approved for that specific vehicle. It is a more rigid system, but I guess that is to be expected considering the speeds allowed there.

> Gotta define "aggressive", though. For example,
> you can't be indecisive about merging into
> Autobahn traffic, from your description.

It is true about merging into Autobahn traffic. My wife was a little slow when she entered the Autobahn the first time (she has always been afraid to drive fast) and a truck had to apply his brakes (which caused a couple of other trucks to apply their brakes). I thought the driver of that truck was going to have a heart attack because of all the yelling he was doing through the windshield. Anyway, we got a letter a few days later from the police politely reminding us to be more careful when entering and leaving the AutoBahn.

As for aggressive, I mean aggressive. If there is even a tiny opening, a car will turn in front of you from a side road (darn gas pedal pressed to the floor). Likewise, at intersections, if you hesitate to go when you're supposed to (making a left turn, for example), nobody is going to sit there and wait for you. They will simply proceed into the intersection and, when you finally get up the nerve to go, you will have to proceed into the intersection until others stop again.

And it is amazing to see drivers on the smaller roads in town. Because of parked cars, it is not uncommon to find only enough room for one car at a time to proceed. In every case, it is almost like a game of chicken - each proceeds until one finally pulls over to allow the other to go. And, if you are the one who stops, you had better be aggressive if you want to proceed again, because every other car will follow that first one through.

To be perfectly honest with you, it is absolutely amazing there isn't more accidents. When you're driving there, it is almost like driving in a demolition derby, with the rules changed to give points to those who drive the most aggressive without actually hitting another vehicle. Add in bicycles, motorized wheelchairs in the roadway, mopeds, streetcars down the center of most roads, and so forth, and it is definitely a different driving experience.

I will add one more thing at this point, the cops in Germany are a lot more friendly then the typical cop is here. In the ten years I was there, I had numerous conversations with various police officers. And, in every case, they were extremely open and friendly (often sharing personal information about their life outside of law enforcement). In fact, one motorcycle cop even tried to talk me into becoming a cop simply because I was riding a motorcycle that afternoon (he thought I would pass the motorcycle cop requirements easily).

On another occasion (an event that stands out in my mind), I saw two police officers approach a street vender selling mistletoe just before christmas to ask him if he had a license. When the person responded that he didn't, I watched carefully, half expecting the police to run the guy off. Instead, they explained the law to him, told him to have a license next year if he planned to sell there again, and then both officers bought some mistletoe from him. I could not help but smile as I watched both stroll off with mistletoe hanging out of their shirt pockets. Christmas in Germany, with snow covered castles, old buildings, and the smells of baked christmas breads, is really special.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From -7210210234384462894
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 09:21:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 16:20:36 GMT
References: <Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010527122036.20569.00001544@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22390

B U L L S E Y E ! ! !

```
\\\______________|\
///                            |/
```

From 342071842275078125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 09:32:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>

Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdyxxr6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org> <20010526084247.22020.00001327@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com> <3B0FBB1D.20B97AC2@IEEE.org> <3b0fbd7d.4934708@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 29
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 16:32:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 990981136 63.178.69.156 (Sun, 27 May 2001 16:32:16 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 16:32:16 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22391

On Sat, 26 May 2001 14:31:32 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>>Bill, he's just doing what the cops do. What do the cops do when their
>>radar guns causes someone to die in the resulting accident?
>>73, Cecil
>
>Assuming that may happen, the police are "licensed"
>to use the radar guns. Does your friend have federal
>authorization? Additionally, you noted that your friend
>does this deliberately when he sees a vehicle pass
>him at what your friend decides is high speed. Sorry,
>can't accept the above as any justification for your
>friends UNSAFE and ILLEGAL activity.

We had a situation quite a few years ago where a well-known
troublemaker (who was a licensed ham) set himself up at the edge of
the road in khaki work clothes and a white jeep and was pointing a
radar gun at passing motorists. The Highway Patrol nabbed him and
called us in. We measured the frequency of the radar gun and found
that he had tuned it to be several kHz INSIDE the 2400 MHz ham band
and that he would key it on and off with his call sign in Morse
every 10 minutes (yeah, sure!!)

No violation....but what a nut!!

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 6988596279285065890
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 09:32:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdywmg4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010524202917.15631.00000177@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010526000905.18236.00001640@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Lines: 48
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 16:32:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 990981137 63.178.69.156 (Sun, 27 May 2001 16:32:17 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 16:32:17 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22392

On 26 May 2001 04:09:05 GMT, Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

>>Why would you say that, Steve. I agree with Cross completely;
>>if the FCC were forced to decide everything about the way we
>>operate and use our bands, it would not meet our needs.
>
>   And he SHOULDN'T be making that decision. It should fall within the
>purview of the NPRM/R&O program.

And who, pray tell, do you think summarizes, analyzes, and
recommends the course of action to the Five Tubeless Tyres on such
NPRM/R&Os ?

>The "tone" I take from his comments was "shut up". Perhaps he didn't
>mean it that way, but that's what I got from it.

You missed the boat on that one.

Good for Bill that he had the guts to tell us, the amateur community,
to get our own act together on what we want before we ask the FCC to
change anything, or else the FCC is quite capable and liable to come
up with something that we wouldn't like.

What he is telling us is no different from what his predecessors in
that position used to say, only clearer.

>that WAS what he meant, he needs a reality check and a reminder who's
>financing his mortgage.

A) It wasn't what he meant and

B) The "who's financing his mortgage" stuff belongs where the sun doesn't shine.

I put up with that crap for 30 years from folks who thought that they knew my job better than I did and could do it better than I did. It gets old real fast. Pure QRM.

He works for The Federal Communications Commission, which is the agency charged by The Congress with regulating YOUR use of radio, and his job is to recommend and/or make decisions according to the guidelines of that agency, not according to what you think those decisions should be.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -1120863226859108255
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 09:32:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 16:31:28 GMT
References: <3B1110CE.F24C902F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527123128.05008.00001653@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22393

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Intel spent a fortune on amateur radio equipment so they
>wouldn't be limited to the throughput of CW. Intel sprung for 70 ft towers,
>beams, and legal limit amps in order to get emergency voice communications
>so reliable that messages could be taped at 200 wpm and transcribed by
>secretaries.

Cecil, part of the entire premise of Amateur Radio as a communications medium is tat it can be done ad hoc without having to spend that "fortune" and have secretaries avaialble for transcription. Formal messaging will, by its nature, be done slowly in the Amateur Radio Service. It is the less formal part of emergency communications that will be done at normal conversational speeds; in that case, the voice modes have it all over CW, both in terms of throughput and in terms of power consumption-per-datum, as it were.

>CW just wouldn't hack it. It was a choice between SSB using one ham rig or CW
>using ten ham rigs. SSB won.

Under those circumstances, it would have. Under most circumstances in the Amateur Radio Service it does, too, but that does not mean that CW does not have value under emergency conditions. Under differing circumstances, each of several modes is "ideal" for emergency communications.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3710198307073306110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 09:32:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdyz7l7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3B0E7951.F8E436DE@sccoast.net> <20010525215710.03759.00002802@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 15
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 16:32:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 990981138 63.178.69.156 (Sun, 27 May 2001 16:32:18 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 16:32:18 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22394

On 26 May 2001 01:57:10 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>I know for an absolute fact that an Air Force
>Blue beret wouldn't have made me a better Airman -- it would have
>just made me the same Airman I always was -- now wearing a

>funny looking, highly impractical piece of headgear.

  It would have made you a Combat Defense Force member, the special
  security police of SAC during the early 60s. Blue berets, white
  grips on the .357 chromed Magnums, the whole bit.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -3624064634986697771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 09:33:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 16:33:12 GMT
References: <3B110B16.D1B8CA0B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010527123312.05008.00001654@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22395

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> Of course I disagree with you! "WHY" indeed! Why do they do it in the
>> first place when they could easily become hams and NOT do it? Why should
>> they be satisfied to follow rules and conventions just because they now
>> have gone through a licensing process for ham radio? When doing their
>> rulebreaking thing they wear their other hat and don't use a callsign,
>> of course.

>Would you furnish the name of just one person who does what you say above?

I can give you the name of one person who does not; would that help?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7605142968163535223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 09:36:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 16:35:31 GMT
References: <3B0FCC5D.A33449BF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010527123531.00358.00001488@nso-mm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22396
```

In article <3B0FCC5D.A33449BF@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>If someone runs over a pedestrian in a Walmart parking lot because
>their door openers caused the radar detector to go off, do you think
>Walmart would be responsible?

How would someone driving at anything like a resonable parking lot speed run over a pedestrian in a parking lot because their radar detector went off?

Good luck with that one!

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 6827800914802008086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 09:37:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 16:37:14 GMT
References: <3B11092A.664B292B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010527123714.05008.00001656@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22397
```

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>One day my Elmer said, let's measure your body resistance and handed
>me two wires. I grabbed them. The other end was plugged into a 110vac

>wall socket. :-(Is that abuse?

He is lucky it wasn't manslaughter. Presuming it to be true, of course. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 243010539119875l7
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 09:37:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 16:36:19 GMT
References: <20010527100707.28933.00001757@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010527123619.05008.00001655@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22398

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>A little bit of political algebra, Phil? A=B B=C, therefore A=C..?!?!

If that was a question, from what I remember from Algebra in school, if A=B and B=C then A does indeed equal C. I don't think that I have ever seen anyone else define that equality as "political."

But I'll bite. Do you think the chain of authority that Phil describes doesn't exist? If you think it exists, but is incorrect, can you propose a better way for Congress to maintain oversight of the tens of thousands of federal employees other than by a chain of command?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1233268152331038655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 09:41:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 16:40:45 GMT
References: <Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010527124045.05008.00001657@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22399

>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net

>1. I respect the fact that many of my fellow hams were licensed under much
>more rigorous standards;

Some may have been, Kim, but I wasn't. I gained my Novice priveleges by studying a 4-page license manual section and all Amateur priveleges by studying a 16-page chapter in the manual. The code speed that got me all Amateur priveleges was 13 wpm, so that was more rigorous than the present 5 wpm requirement.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6479245154876570012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 09:43:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 61
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 16:42:33 GMT
References: <20010527100045.28933.00001754@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010527124233.05008.00001658@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22400

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>>You seem to feel otherwise; what can you tell us about the internal workings
>>of the FCC to shed light on why you feel the way you do?

>   It has nothing to do with "the internal workings of the FCC", Ed. It
>has to do with a singular event in which an FCC staffer made some leading
>comments.

Then why are you bringing in all sorts of FCC and even Congressional-oversight
issues if it is just, in your mind, a singular event in which an FCC staffer
made comments?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>>He, like I, believes that any solution imposed by any one of us would >not
>be
>ideal for many others of us. Frankly, I can't see why you >disagree with
>that.
>
>   I believe in the "least common denominator" course of action too, Ed. I
>just don't like the idea of someone whose wages I pay telling me we had
>better
>do it "his" way, or we might be sorry.
>
>   The fact of the matter is that Amateur Radio, personified by the ARRL,
>has
>done a good job of trying to make sure that it DOES do things "on autopilot",
>and that's as it should be. The FCC should be proactive as a last resort or
>to
>simply to put the stamp of officialdom on a matter where such action is
>required.
>
>   But in that process, the FCC should (such as in repeater coordination
>matters), state that they consider such "mutual" band plans (or what ever
>convention you care to interject here) as "Good Amateur Practice" and that
>operating contrary to that convention is NOT "Good Amateur Practice" and may
>subject the operator to fines or sanctions.
>
>   And after some established program to obtain that operators compliance
>on
>a voluntary basis has passed, the FCC should be expected to enforce the laws.
>
>
>   What >>I<< can't see is why YOU find it objectionable to expect a federal
>employee to do his job without insinuating threats against Amateur radio as a
>whole.
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>

>

From 383930355736346102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 09:48:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 16:47:56 GMT
References: <20010527100045.28933.00001754@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010527124756.05008.00001659@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22401

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>The fact of the matter is that Amateur Radio, personified by the ARRL, has
>done a good job of trying to make sure that it DOES do things "on autopilot",
>and that's as it should be. The FCC should be proactive as a last resort or
>to simply to put the stamp of officialdom on a matter where such action is
>required.

Leaving out the ARRL part, that is exactly what Cross said in his speech.

>   But in that process, the FCC should (such as in repeater coordination
>matters), state that they consider such "mutual" band plans (or what ever
>convention you care to interject here) as "Good Amateur Practice" and that
>operating contrary to that convention is NOT "Good Amateur Practice" and may
>subject the operator to fines or sanctions.

The Commission was asked to do and they declined. To stick with this example, Cross said that if he were asked to make a decision about that, not everyone in the Amateur Radio Service would like that decision. What he didn't do was to go on to explain that that decision -- if he were asked -- would be a staff recommendation to the Commission, etc. I guess he just didn't envision that anyone would take his words that essentially said "Amateur Radio is better off resolving its own problems" to mean "Do it my way or else."

>   What >>I<< can't see is why YOU find it objectionable to expect a federal
>employee to do his job without insinuating threats against Amateur radio as a
>whole.

Would you prefer that FCC staff never give anyone a heads up on these sorts of things?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7642198999540512729
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 10:07:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdzykh0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdywul5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010527100707.28933.00001757@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Lines: 22
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:07:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 990983225 63.178.69.156 (Sun, 27 May 2001 17:07:05 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:07:05 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22402

On 27 May 2001 14:07:07 GMT, Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

>> He was appointed through a very detailed procedural chain of
>> authority in which The Congress gave delegated authority to the
>> agency's personnel officers and managers to do that hiring "in the
>> name" of The Congress and the Government of the United States.
>
> A little bit of political algebra, Phil? A=B B=C, therefore A=C..?!?!

Works every time.

Or would you prefer what one physics prof pulled after everyone (including the class brains) missed an exam problem, when he explained "oh - THIS angle Alpha is not equal to THAT angle Alpha. I only did that to CON-fuse you..."

Dynamic Reality.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

```
From -8437725535172852563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 10:18:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net>
From: Tad Danley <taddanley@nospamatatt.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 47
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:18:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.78.203.144
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990983896 12.78.203.144 (Sun, 27 May
2001 17:18:16 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:18:16 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22403
```

Kim-W5TIT wrote:

> You bring up a very interesting point above, Dick. And it's one that made
> me think back and reflect on the issue of the Restructuring debate that has
> been and is taking place. You are in defense, above, of "those of us who
> passed a code test among all the other requirements in effect at the time of
> our licensing" and the people you feel are ridiculing you.
>
> I can remember when the Restructuring debate was taking place on the air
> around here. Most who had been licensed in the past few years--and many who
> are/were Tech+ at the time--were merely discussing the topic and how we felt
> about it. I think you would have found the preponderence of opinion among
> that group to be that we thought it was a good idea for the hobby.
>
> As I recall, the "older/longer" licensed hams would come in to the

> conversation with comments about how we were "lite" hams, hams who did not
> count as real hams, hams who would never be among the ranks of real hams,
> etc. I really don't remember any of us who were new licensees being all
> that fired up about name-calling, and insulting the older hams--even after
> that onslaught of disrespect.

This kind of thing is not really new. In 1969 I became interested in ham radio and tried to find someone in my area to give me the Technician test, which was given by a volunteer examiner and not the FCC. I knew about two hams - one lived near me and I had spotted his antennas, and the other ran an electronics repair business in the small town where I lived. When I contacted them, they both said pretty much the same thing: "Why don't you keep studying until you can pass the 13 wpm code test and General theory before the FCC, after all, Novices and Technicians aren't 'real' hams because those theory tests and 5 wpm code tests are too easy."

I finally found a local Technician who referred me to a high school science teacher at a school a few miles away who was happy to help, and became WA3TGR. I have tried to help others enter the hobby but understand that Elmering is not for everyone. I remember that the advent of 2 meter FM and the popularity of CB in the early 1970s brought many new hams to the hobby who weren't always welcomed with open arms.

73,

--
Tad Danley, K3TD
mailto:taddanley@att.net

Caution!
Anti-SPAM e-mail return address in use.

```
From -6460930561323197426
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 10:19:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B112814.21B00283@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B107D54.89368964@earthlink.net> <20010527090436.04987.00001653@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

Lines: 20
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:19:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.63
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990983999 63.17.222.63 (Sun, 27 May 2001 10:19:59 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 10:19:59 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 10:18:04 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22404

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >...except that you're not going to give them the formula--right?
>
> I think we have lost track of the original thread here, Dave. The premise was
> that most high school grads could not solve a simple algrebra formula. I
> disagreed, and proposed a simple test. If you are now saying that the test
> needs to require them to solve a formula they are not given, I think we have
> about run this one into the ground.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

If you say so, Ed. Have you seen an amateur exam where the examinee is provided the formula? The formulae are normally provided in the study material.

Dave K8MN

From 4096522677806482589
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 10:26:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B112986.9ED4E7D3@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B11092A.664B292B@IEEE.org> <20010527123714.05008.00001656@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
Lines: 14
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:26:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.63
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990984376 63.17.222.63 (Sun, 27 May 2001
10:26:16 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 10:26:16 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 10:24:21 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22405

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >One day my Elmer said, let's measure your body resistance and handed
> >me two wires. I grabbed them. The other end was plugged into a 110vac
> >wall socket. :-( Is that abuse?
>
> He is lucky it wasn't manslaughter.  Presuming it to be true, of course. :-)

...or Cecil has less friends than he thinks he has.  Of course he can't
be taken seriously here.  He said so.

Dave K8MN



From -6299271999438896761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 10:32:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B112AEA.3D2411DF@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B0E7951.F8E436DE@sccoast.net> <20010525215710.03759.00002802@nso-
ch.aol.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdyz7l7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 23
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:32:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.63
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990984756 63.17.222.63 (Sun, 27 May 2001
10:32:36 PDT)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 10:32:36 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 10:30:41 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22406

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 26 May 2001 01:57:10 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> >I know for an absolute fact that an Air Force
> >Blue beret wouldn't have made me a better Airman -- it would have
> >just made me the same Airman I always was -- now wearing a
> >funny looking, highly impractical piece of headgear.
>
>   It would have made you a Combat Defense Force member, the special
>   security police of SAC during the early 60s. Blue berets, white
>   grips on the .357 chromed Magnums, the whole bit.

Not necessarily, Phil. The combat control teams in Vietnam wore blue
berets. Their job was to travel to those typically unsecured airfields
without control towers and bring in 834th Air Division C-130s and
C-123s all day. Usually they arrived in a jeep carried in the first
C-130 and departed on the aircraft making the last sortie of the
day--unless the weather turned bad and they had to overnight.
When not on missions, they typically ran five miles per day beginning at
O-dark-thirty.

Dave K8MN

From 7106086695006960441
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 11:52:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010526235748.10524.00004807@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010527085649.04987.00001650@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 40
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Z1cQ6.49475$4f7.3632871@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 18:52:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.228

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990989561 12.75.103.228 (Sun, 27 May
2001 18:52:41 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 18:52:41 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22407


"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010527085649.04987.00001650@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> > Which "moderate sized city" do you live next to -- Hartford?  Do you
think
> the
> > nation's insurance industry is targeted for destruction by the Russians
or
> the
> > Chinese?
>
> > I have the right to be indifferent, your indifference is purely
gratuitous.
>
> Pratt and Whitney, Sikorski Aircraft, Hamilton Standard...
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

The Omaha (home of Stratcom)  Nuclear Survival Kit:

1) one ladder
2) one small pillow
3) one's favorite book
4) one quart excellent Scotch

When the sirens go off, use ladder to take rest to roof.  Park butt on
pillow, read book, drink Scotch.  If it is for real, you will be vaporized,
if a false alarm you will kill yourself falling off the roof.  In either
case, you die happy.

Dick N�BK

BTW the only 'duck and cover' drill I have ever experienced was on the
History Channel.  Our school super thought the whole thing was meadow
muffins and never ran the drill.




From -6454836135940940375
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 13:36:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 20:35:57 GMT
References: <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527163557.12553.00003361@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22408

In article <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-fy1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>And Small Wonder's PSK rigs handle PSK-31 directly.

Without an external computer? The ones I've seen require you to have at least a lapper to use them at all.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -4199160237796426774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 13:36:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 20:35:56 GMT
References: <20010527100707.28933.00001757@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010527163556.12553.00003360@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22409

In article <20010527100707.28933.00001757@ng-ci1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>Date: 27 May 2001 14:07:07 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam
>>Date: 5/26/01 11:25 PM Central Daylight Time
>
>> He was appointed through a very detailed procedural chain of
>> authority in which The Congress gave delegated authority to the
>> agency's personnel officers and managers to do that hiring "in the
>> name" of The Congress and the Government of the United States.
>
>   A little bit of political algebra, Phil? A=B B=C, therefore A=C..?!?!

Actually, in every algebra I know, where = is defined as "equality", if A=B and B=C, then A=C. And I know a couple of different algebras.....

The "wages" of the FCC are paid by the American people as a whole. The various radio services are really special interest groups, seeking to use public property. That's why they are called "licenses" and "operating privileges", not "rights".

I think what FCC is saying is really quite simple: "Follow these simple rules, have fun, and DON'T BUG US WITH THE SMALL STUFF!!"

This is nothing new. Anybody remember the Eye Bank Net?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 2478647127956412417
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 13:53:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 20:53:07 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9erpfj$esh$0@208.206.142.89>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.89
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22410



W1RFI wrote:
>
>
> Several of the rigs I have seen have built in FSK.


 Uh, yes they do. And just what mode is "FSK"? On all my gear that's not
a  mode at all, rather a means of shifting the signal frequency
according to the dictates of some external communications TNC. You ca
n't key it with a key, nor modulate it with a microphone. Got to have a
terminal controller that would be very easy to build into any HF rig
itself. But no- there is no market for it. HAms do not ask that of
manufacturers and they don't offer it.
 I would have thought you'd know that. Maybe if you weren't so
cloistered you would know some of these things.


From 1573865703197410443
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 13:59:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 20:59:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9erprs$g5d$0@208.206.142.89>
References: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084454.04987.00001646@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.89
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22411



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
```

> >So then on what basis do you assert that no CW has been used in recent
> >times on ham bands for emergency communications?
>
> I do not make such assertation, Dick.
>
> I have asked what percentage of emergency communications are conducted on CW by
> necessity, but you are obviously ignoring me and can't answer the question, so
> that proves all my points.

Unanswerable questions asked only to show that there is no answer do
not deserve an atttempt. I'm not in charge of keeping score. Since you
are a part of the HQ staff it would be far more likely that you could
get all the facts for yourself if you were intererested in something
other than suppressing me.

(Just applying the same standards to the discussion
> as you do, in a hope that we can reach a better understanding if we are
> speaking the same language.)

No, you apply whatever standard you think will make a point against me,
swhther or not it is valid. Score means everything.

From 3117952898609803788
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 14:06:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 21:06:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9erq9c$hih$0@208.206.142.89>
References: <9eqm8t$10t$1@208.207.71.176> <20010527084528.04987.00001647@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.89
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22412

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >What ARE you talking about? I've never seen a manufactured HF rig with
> >other than SSB, CW and FM built into it.
>
> I have seen a number of them. You need to get out more.

If you can't name them your answer is without merit.

I'd wager I have owned more than twice the number of commercial rigs that you have. NOT ONE has ever had a TNC built into it, and it is the same today. I presently own four commercial HF transcievers and none has anything other than the capability of being FSK keyed, and I would point out that FSK is NOT a mode,rather a capability of being frequency shift keyed by another box.

I was on the verge of buying a new Ten Tec Pegasus, but dropped the idea when I learned it would take *two* computers to use it on the air on a digital mode. Nonsense.

```
From -3680537855900567921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 14:09:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 21:09:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9erqf7$ibh$0@208.206.142.89>
References: <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172> <20010527084646.04987.00001648@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.89
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22413
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>

> >> In my day, I did not run into the types of attitudes about newcomers that I
> >> have seen on this forum.
>
> > Bullshit, Ed.
>
> No, it is true; I did not run into anyone who abused me for being a newcomer.

Bullshit, Ed.
And you don't find it on this NG either. You DO find responses to those
-almost none of which are newcomers, by the way, who profess to have the
best interests of ham radio in mind but have squatted on the sidelines
some for a lifetime, waiting for the test requirements to dumb down to
their level. Meanwhile the FCC and you folks at HQ willingly accomodate
them.

From -3518902029517447492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:09:40 PST
Message-ID: <3B117AB0.FAC09F51@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:07:44 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B11092A.664B292B@IEEE.org> <20010527123714.05008.00001656@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991000867 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 17:01:07 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22414

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>
> >One day my Elmer said, let's measure your body resistance and handed
> >me two wires. I grabbed them. The other end was plugged into a 110vac
> >wall socket. :-(Is that abuse?
>
> He is lucky it wasn't manslaughter. Presuming it to be true, of course. :-)

It's even more true than you realize. I just remembered that I had just
come in out of the rain and was soaking wet. However, it did teach me
a valuable lesson - don't trust anybody. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -886474489722802965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:14:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B117BAD.DEF466FF@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:11:57 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B110B16.D1B8CA0B@IEEE.org> <20010527123312.05008.00001654@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991001120 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 17:05:20 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22415

```
W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >>  Of course I disagree with you! "WHY" indeed! Why do they do it in the
> >> first place when they could easily become hams and NOT do it? Why should
> >> they be satisfied to follow rules and conventions just because they now
> >> have gone through a licensing process for ham radio? When doing their
> >> rulebreaking thing they wear their other hat and don't use a callsign,
> >> of course.
>
> >Would you furnish the name of just one person who does what you say above?
>
> I can give you the name of one person who does not; would that help?

Nope, I agree that group is virtually infinite, Ed.
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7825700155582758062
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:20:52 PST
Message-ID: <3B117D54.AE80D9EE@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:19:00 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084454.04987.00001646@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9erprs$g5d$0@208.206.142.89>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991001543 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 17:12:23 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

```
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22416
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Unanswerable questions asked only to show that there is no answer do
> not deserve an atttempt.

So you admit that your assertions are insupportable by facts.

> No, you apply whatever standard you think will make a point against me,
> whether or not it is valid.

And of course, you would never, never engage in such an act.

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4947465301371255700
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:23:41 PST
Message-ID: <3B117DE0.47B10902@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:21:20 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9erpfj$esh$0@208.206.142.89>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991001682 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 17:14:42 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22417

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Uh, yes they do. And just what mode is "FSK"? On all my gear that's not
> a mode at all, ...

All my ICOM gear has RTTY listed as one of the modes, Dick. An Extra
should know RTTY is FSK.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3710531454349351692
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:24:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 22:24:35 GMT
References: <3B117AB0.FAC09F51@IEEE.org>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010527182435.20561.00001731@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22418

>However, it did teach me
>a valuable lesson - don't trust anybody. :-)

Hi Cecil,

Kinda reminds me of Mulder's PC password on the X-File.s

TRUSTNO1

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -4670179285464500717
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:24:52 PST
Message-ID: <3B117E36.719A19E6@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:22:46 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqm8t$10t$1@208.207.71.176> <20010527084528.04987.00001647@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9erq9c$hih$0@208.206.142.89>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991001769 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 17:16:09 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22419

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > I have seen a number of them.  You need to get out more.
>
>  If you can't name them your answer is without merit.

Are you admitting in front of all the world that you don't know
that the RTTY mode is FSK, Dick?


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 181548020180960813
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:29:02 PST
Message-ID: <3B117F22.5CDDB7DE@IEEE.org>
```

Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:26:42 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B1110CE.F24C902F@IEEE.org> <20010527123128.05008.00001653@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991002008 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 17:20:08 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22420

W1RFI wrote:
> Under those circumstances, it would have. Under most circumstances in the
> Amateur Radio Service it does, too, but that does not mean that CW does not
> have value under emergency conditions.

I didn't say it did, Ed. I am relating my experience at Intel. Intel refused to spring for $15,000 per site for 10-20wpm CW. Where they said I could put CW cannot be repeated here.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5609179269803287861
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:30:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?

Date: 27 May 2001 22:28:05 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9erv1l$p3u$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991002485 25726 128.171.44.55 (27 May 2001 22:28:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 May 2001 22:28:05 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22421

KC2HMN <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote:
>I recognize that this is a very knowledgeable group with wide and varying
>opinions. Lately, my fourteen year old niece and I have been practicing the
>code. At first, we used a single code practice oscillator in my
>brother-in-law's living room. However, since they live a good fifteen plus
>miles from our house, this can be somewhat inconvenient. What we did was use
>our legal CB's combined with the MFJ code oscillators to practice our code. I

Bert, as I recall, modulated CW is not permitted on 11m, but I've got
to tell you -- this is about the only time that I'd not mind someone
breaking a Part 95 reg! Good luck to your niece!

73, KH6O

From -6977986403560328517
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:32:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 22:31:46 GMT
References: <9erqf7$ibh$0@208.206.142.89>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010527183146.10214.00001428@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22422

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> No, it is true; I did not run into anyone who abused me for being a
>newcomer.

> Bullshit, Ed.

Feel better now, Dick? You are absolutely wrong, of course. You have no way of knowing what my experiences were as a young Novice, but you sure can manage one good tantrum over it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 318177275044961148
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:33:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B118041.D82E74A2@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:31:29 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <3B0EC6C6.64579C76@IEEE.org> <9en36k$1rb$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B0FB995.E9589A4A@IEEE.org> <9eqacg$2m7$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991002294 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 17:24:54 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!europa.netcrusader.net!216.65.3.50!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22423

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Don't be so shallow in your thinking, Cecil. Read my last sentence
> above: "Their ability for doing mental calculations increases..."

> Do you somehow find that archaic, and feel that relying on slide
> rules or calculators turns out better-educated students?

The productivity of US engineers has increased magnitudes by the use
of calculators and computers.

Your methods may work for teaching but be sure to tell your students, that
in the real world, they will get fired for such nonsense.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8814893637723818759
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:35:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 22:35:16 GMT
References: <9erq9c$hih$0@208.206.142.89>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527183516.10214.00001429@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22424

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> I have seen a number of them. You need to get out more.
>
>
> If you can't name them your answer is without merit.

Yup, you need to get out more. All you need to, Dick, is take a look at the
Product Reviews and you can pretty easily figure out which rigs have RTTY modes
included as a standard operating feature.

If you don't care to do so, please don't expect me to do it for you.

> I would point out that FSK is NOT a mode,rather a capability of being
> frequency shift keyed by another box.

Several of today's rigs have a built in FSK feature, Dick. FSK is as much an
operating mode as OOK CW.

```
73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From -7932031045989694798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:37:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 22:36:55 GMT
References: <9erpfj$esh$0@208.206.142.89>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527183655.10214.00001430@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22425

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Maybe if you weren't so cloistered you would know some of these things.

Stop by ARRL HQ; I will show you what you are missing. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 4619731873777962890
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:38:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 22:38:35 GMT
References: <3B112814.21B00283@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527183835.10214.00001431@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22426

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>If you say so, Ed. Have you seen an amateur exam where the examinee is
>provided the formula?

The discussion was not about the exams, it was about whether many people who graduate high school could do simple algebra.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 476944963380008109
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:39:00 PST
Message-ID: <3B11816E.6BE54D4D@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:36:30 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com> <20010527083532.18977.00001618@nso-fa.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991002597 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 17:29:57 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!isdnet!wanadoo.fr!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22427

N2EY wrote:

>
> billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:
> >I have to totally agree with Ed on this. There is simply
> >NO justification for such a dangerous and ILLEGAL act.

> Agreed. It could be described as a "false and deceptive transmission", couldn't
> it?

What if it was within a ham band and he called CQ on CW?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2042405881799342348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:40:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Date: 27 May 2001 22:35:10 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9erveu$pk8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <7zUP6.7664$lP5.3277235@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010526170030.12400.00001225@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105262127100.5840-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991002910 26248 128.171.44.55 (27 May 2001 22:35:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 May 2001 22:35:10 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22428

D. Stussy <kd6lvw@att.net> wrote:
>47 CFR 95.412(b)(1) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone signal only
>when the signal is used to make contact or to continue communications.

That refers to tone signaling, such as to unmute someone's tone
squelch. My Heath GW-42 CB xcvr from the mid-60s had such a tone
encoder/decoder circuit in it (used a resonant reed relay).
KH6O

From -7650785790909435636
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:40:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Date: 27 May 2001 22:38:15 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9ervkn$ppk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
<9epbqs$4hc$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991003095 26420 128.171.44.55 (27 May 2001 22:38:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 May 2001 22:38:15 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22429

Steve <ai7w@NoSpam.com> wrote:
>Bert,
>    Why not just email the F.C.C. and ask them. (you don't have to
>tell them you've been doing it, just ask if you can). All you'll get from
>the news groups are a lot of opinions.

One can pull up Part 95 from the GPO's web site // KH6O




From -1252525218868479768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:40:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B1181DA.BEB50868@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 17:38:18 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B0FCC5D.A33449BF@IEEE.org> <20010527123531.00358.00001488@nso-
mm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991002710 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 17:31:50 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
```

```
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22430

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B0FCC5D.A33449BF@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >If someone runs over a pedestrian in a Walmart parking lot because
> >their door openers caused the radar detector to go off, do you think
> >Walmart would be responsible?
>
> How would someone driving at anything like a resonable parking lot speed run
> over a pedestrian in a parking lot because their radar detector went off?

That doesn't seem as far-fetched as someone dying on the Interstate.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -5407726618871451290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:42:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 22:42:30 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdyxxr6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010527184230.10214.00001432@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22431

>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam

>  We had a situation quite a few years ago where a well-known
>  troublemaker (who was a licensed ham) set himself up at the edge of
```

> the road in khaki work clothes and a white jeep and was pointing a
> radar gun at passing motorists. The Highway Patrol nabbed him and
> called us in. We measured the frequency of the radar gun and found
> that he had tuned it to be several kHz INSIDE the 2400 MHz ham band
> and that he would key it on and off with his call sign in Morse
> every 10 minutes (yeah, sure!!)

> No violation....but what a nut!!

Was the nature of his transmission deemed to be one of the legal one-way transmissions as described in Part 97?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>--
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -4328481453444067048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:54:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 22:54:08 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9es0ig$99b$0@208.207.71.139>
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-mb1.aol.com> <3B108549.DAA31FCC@IEEE.org> <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B110DD9.1D0BA8C4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22432

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >   And NONE handle FSK nor any other digital mode (except CW) without
> > external gear equally sohiisticated as the rig itself.
>
> Just shows how ignorant you are, Dick. I have a home brew FSK modem
> that uses two chips and a 20 character digital display, all out of
> my junkbox.

Do I believe you? No. You have a story to fit every occasion, whatever
it is.

From 3621189472456456256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:56:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 22:56:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9es0mi$99b$1@208.207.71.139>
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eqm8t$10t$1@208.207.71.176> <3B110EC3.E0DE59F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22433

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > What ARE you talking about? I've never seen a manufactured HF rig with
> > other than SSB, CW and FM built into it.
>

> Earth to Dick: What do you think the RTTY mode selection is for? Every
> ICOM I have ever owned had an RTTY mode selection "built into it".

Uh huh and for some strange reason it won't work RTTY on its own
without a addon box!

From -2256041180283100348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 15:58:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 22:58:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9es0q6$99b$2@208.207.71.139>
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com> <20010526091148.22020.00001341@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9eq9j2$21o$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9eqom4$69f$2@208.207.71.176> <3B1110CE.F24C902F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22434

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > > Can you copy formal message traffic by phone at 200 wpm? =:o
> >
> > No, he can't and no one else can, either. As a longtime message handler
> > using voice, I can affirm that delivering voice HAD BETTER be slow and
> > distinctly enunciated if it you don't expect to have to repeat, and more
> > than once.
>
> Get real, Dick. Intel spent a fortune on amateur radio equipment so they
> wouldn't be limited to the throughput of CW. Intel sprung for 70 ft towers,
> beams, and legal limit amps in order to get emergency voice communications
> so reliable that messages could be taped at 200 wpm and transcribed by
> secretaries. In the event of an emergency, Intel will have lots of
> emergency traffic to be passed. CW just wouldn't hack it. It was a choice

> between SSB using one ham rig or CW using ten ham rigs. SSB won.

More BS from the BSMeister.

From -6635972942649549370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:07:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 23:07:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9es1cf$dmc$0@208.207.71.139>
References: <3B11092A.664B292B@IEEE.org> <20010527123714.05008.00001656@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22435

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >One day my Elmer said, let's measure your body resistance and handed
> >me two wires. I grabbed them. The other end was plugged into a 110vac
> >wall socket. :-(Is that abuse?
>
> He is lucky it wasn't manslaughter. Presuming it to be true, of course. :-)

Which is a REAL stretch.

From -1643646637421856648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:10:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh

From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 27 May 2001 23:07:03 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9es1an$rcf$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <K4tP6.7804$r4.483655@www.newsranger.com>
<20010526010405.18236.00001645@ng-cj1.aol.com>
<9B_P6.1312$rn5.77918@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991004823 28047 128.171.44.55 (27 May 2001 23:07:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 May 2001 23:07:03 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22436

K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:

>Steve Robeson LPN asked Hans to prove...
>> ..... that Jeff obtained the call fraudulently...?!?!

>Fraudulently? That's your word Gunny, not mine.

Your word, Hans, was "spoofed." According to my Oxford, that resolves to "hoax," which refers to "deception," which mentions "cheat," which finally references "fraud."

But for the record, I obtained that KH2 callsign legally after a short stay at UoG in Guam. Upon my return to Hawaii I kept the Guam callsign, just as a lot of the Guam transplants do here; I know of three. When you change residence within Call Area 13 (Pacific islands), you don't have to surrender your old KH# callsign. Remember, Area 13 consists of the subareas KH0 through KH9.

What probably bothers you is that one reason for my Guam trip was to get rid of my NH6IL callsign; if so, fair enough.

Let's not forget a certain TX ham on here who has a call of W6RCA. Spoof? Nope.

KH6O

From -1826202283836306853
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:15:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 23:15:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9es1r4$dmc$1@208.207.71.139>
References: <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-fy1.aol.com>
<20010527163557.12553.00003361@nso-ck.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22437

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-fy1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >And Small Wonder's PSK rigs handle PSK-31 directly.
>
> Without an external computer? The ones I've seen require you to have at least a
> lapper to use them at all.

These guys know that no current nor past commonly produced ham rig will
work any digital mode without an external digital controller. They are
just blowing smoke up each others tailpipes.

From -7634066009916016052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:16:56 PST
Message-ID: <3B118A55.3394D61A@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 18:14:29 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-mb1.aol.com> <3B108549.DAA31FCC@IEEE.org> <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176>
<3B110DD9.1D0BA8C4@IEEE.org> <9es0ig$99b$0@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131

X-Trace: corp 991004874 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 18:07:54 -0500)
Lines: 37
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22438

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Just shows how ignorant you are, Dick. I have a home brew FSK modem
> > that uses two chips and a 20 character digital display, all out of
> > my junkbox.
>
> Do I believe you? No. You have a story to fit every occasion, whatever
> it is.

You seem to have no idea about the microcontroller world, Dick. I was Staff Applications Engineer for the 80C51 and 80C196 lines of Intel microcontrollers. I've built lots of black box controllers for a multitude of applications. One of them was an 80C51 interfaced to an EXAR FSK modem chip, a 20 character LCD display, and a keyboard. You see, Intel paid me good money to develop applications and write an Application Note about them. It was a ham's dream come true. My Dad once said, "You mean they pay you for the same thing you do when you go home and have fun?" I said, "Not only do they pay me to have fun, they pay me a six digit salary to have fun."

Do you not believe that an Intel Microcontroller Application Engineer couldn't figure out how to interface an EXAR modem chip to achieve RTTY operation without a PC? The nice thing was, as an Intel engineer, I could request free sample chips from almost all other manufacturers.

My design worked very well but I simply didn't like RTTY. I like CW a lot better. But that's just a personal preference, not a good enough reason to saddle all HF hams with an affirmative action program for Morse code.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1822761450379412854
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:19:42 PST
Message-ID: <3B118B09.47BC953D@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 18:17:29 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdyxxr6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010527184230.10214.00001432@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991005055 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 18:10:55 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.siscom.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22439

W1RFI wrote:
> Was the nature of his transmission deemed to be one of the legal one-way
> transmissions as described in Part 97?

Naaaaahhhh Ed, he was calling 'CQ'. :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5964612611803376575
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:20:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 23:20:00 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9es230$dmc$2@208.207.71.139>
References: <3B11092A.664B292B@IEEE.org> <20010527123714.05008.00001656@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3B117AB0.FAC09F51@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22440



CAM wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >
> > >One day my Elmer said, let's measure your body resistance and handed
> > >me two wires. I grabbed them. The other end was plugged into a 110vac
> > >wall socket. :-( Is that abuse?
> >
> > He is lucky it wasn't manslaughter.  Presuming it to be true, of course. :-)
>
> It's even more true than you realize. I just remembered that I had just
> come in out of the rain and was soaking wet. However, it did teach me
> a valuable lesson - don't trust anybody. :-)


 And I thought Cecil said no one abused him when he was a beginner!
Looks like they were seriously trying to get rid of him.


From 7565976647159223564
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:20:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
```

```
Date: 27 May 2001 23:13:22 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9es1mi$rrl$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010526180050.12273.00001539@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
<20010526224252.19879.00000903@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gdz0uy9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991005202 28533 128.171.44.55 (27 May 2001 23:13:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 May 2001 23:13:22 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22441

Phil Kane
>W1RFI

>>An audio tone sent over an SSB transmitter is one way to generate a
>>continuous wave.   The Harris transmitters at W1AW generate OOK CW
>>that way.

>  Collins and the rest of the HF commercial-service SSB, FSK, and
>  Multiplex Telegraph transmitters were doing it that way in the early
>  60s.....

That's how my '65 Galaxy GT-550 transmits CW  //  KH6O


From 4459650753839530224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:22:19 PST
Message-ID: <3B118B9A.48AFADA@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 18:19:54 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
<9erv1l$p3u$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991005205 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 18:13:25 -0500)
Lines: 9
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
```

```
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22442

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Bert, as I recall, modulated CW is not permitted on 11m, ...

Then how come all those HTs with MCW buttons got FCC approval?


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -7191677022040469601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:26:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
In-Reply-To: <9erveu$pk8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105272326480.7771-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <7zUP6.7664$lP5.3277235@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010526170030.12400.00001225@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105262127100.5840-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<9erveu$pk8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 12
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 23:26:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991006013 12.80.44.27 (Sun, 27 May
2001 23:26:53 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 23:26:53 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22443

On 27 May 2001, Jeffrey Herman wrote:
```

> D. Stussy <kd6lvw@att.net> wrote:
> >47 CFR 95.412(b)(1) You may use your CB station to transmit a tone signal only
> >when the signal is used to make contact or to continue communications.
>
> That refers to tone signaling, such as to unmute someone's tone
> squelch. My Heath GW-42 CB xcvr from the mid-60s had such a tone
> encoder/decoder circuit in it (used a resonant reed relay).

Although it gives an example of CTCSS tones, it still doesn't preclude morse
code....

From -4914376649181101730
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:29:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
in.nntp.be!easynews!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 23:29:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9es2k8$j3o$0@208.207.71.139>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9erpfj$esh$0@208.206.142.89> <3B117DE0.47B10902@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22444

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Uh, yes they do. And just what mode is "FSK"? On all my gear that's not
> > a mode at all, ...
>
> All my ICOM gear has RTTY listed as one of the modes, Dick. An Extra
> should know RTTY is FSK.

Yep. They do say RTTY on a mode position. So try to work RTTY on one
out of the box, you clown.

From -8997559005098146483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:30:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 23:29:30 GMT
References: <9erv1l$p3u$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010527192930.20607.00001408@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22445

>Good luck to your niece!

Thanks Jeffrey,

It's pretty fun to watch. While I'm "learning" the code, she actually appears to be "absorbing" it. I suppose that's why kids pick up languages at such a young age without much (overt) effort. Thanks again for the kind words.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -6467923508889201339
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:31:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 23:31:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9es2p4$j3o$1@208.207.71.139>
References: <9eqm8t$10t$1@208.207.71.176> <20010527084528.04987.00001647@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9erq9c$hih$0@208.206.142.89> <3B117E36.719A19E6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22446
```

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > I have seen a number of them. You need to get out more.
> >
> > If you can't name them your answer is without merit.
>
> Are you admitting in front of all the world that you don't know
> that the RTTY mode is FSK, Dick?

No I'm "admitting" that both you and Cecil are full of bullhockey and
you both know that working RTTY with any commercial HF rig is
im[possible without an outboard modem. Soaking your head doesn't even
help. Maybe a judiclous application of the Wouff Hong is in order, with
the Rettysnitch held in reserve.

```
From 9144315491688374876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:35:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Date: 27 May 2001 23:35:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9es2vi$kgv$0@208.207.71.139>
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
<9erv1l$p3u$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22447
```

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> KC2HMN <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote:

> >I recognize that this is a very knowledgeable group with wide and varying
> >opinions. Lately, my fourteen year old niece and I have been practicing the
> >code. At first, we used a single code practice oscillator in my
> >brother-in-law's living room. However, since they live a good fifteen plus
> >miles from our house, this can be somewhat inconvenient. What we did was use
> >our legal CB's combined with the MFJ code oscillators to practice our code. I
>
> Bert, as I recall, modulated CW is not permitted on 11m, but I've got
> to tell you -- this is about the only time that I'd not mind someone
> breaking a Part 95 reg! Good luck to your niece!

I think that it would be allowed as long as it is a part of a voice
transmission, as following speech and followed by same. That isn't MCW
by exact definition, as audio into a microphone instead of a hardwired
tone oscillator feeding the transmitter direct. I know, the result is
the same. The process is not.

From 4723220625017644981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:37:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 23:37:15 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9es33b$kgv$1@208.207.71.139>
References: <9erqf7$ibh$0@208.206.142.89> <20010527183146.10214.00001428@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22448

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> No, it is true; I did not run into anyone who abused me for being a
> >newcomer.
>
> > Bullshit, Ed.

>
> Feel better now, Dick? You are absolutely wrong, of course. You have no way of
> knowing what my experiences were as a young Novice, but you sure can manage one
> good tantrum over it.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

So here's the complete post so all can see how dishonest you really are:::::

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> In my day, I did not run into the types of attitudes about newcomers that I
> >> have seen on this forum.
>
> > Bullshit, Ed.
>
> No, it is true; I did not run into anyone who abused me for being a newcomer.

Bullshit, Ed.

And you don't find it on this NG either. You DO find responses to those -almost none of which are newcomers, by the way, who profess to have the best interests of ham radio in mind but have squatted on the sidelines some for a lifetime, waiting for the test requirements to dumb down to their level. Meanwhile the FCC and you folks at HQ willingly accomodate them.

From 8076134086681202264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:38:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 23:38:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9es36d$kgv$2@208.207.71.139>
References: <9eqlu3$7s$1@208.207.71.176> <20010527082915.04987.00001640@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22449

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> >Dwight you are true dyed-in-the-wool blockhead. If brains were a dime a
> >> >ton you couldn't pay attention.
>
> >> Another example of the "adult" way you want new hams to act, Dick?
>
> >Go do another soak job. The others didn't work.
>
> Actually, I never did soak my head, Dick. I think I was concerned it might
> turn me into to someone who has little to offer other than childish insults.
> They really do more to hurt what you say are your goals than to help.

Ah, I see. You didn't *need* to soak your head, you were already one
who issues the insults while masquerading as a defender of ham radio but
in a most one sided way and dishonest way.

From -1119949389394380450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:40:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 23:40:11 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105272326480.7771-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010527194011.20607.00001409@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22450

>
>Although it gives an example of CTCSS tones, it still doesn't preclude morse
>code....
>

True Dieter. BTW, in re. to this being more appropriate in rec.rado.cb, I put
it there...and they did NOT disappoint me. The one good reply came via e-mail
from a fellow ham. Although I'm an ardent supporter of 11 meter activities,
rec.radio.cb no longer isn't a true reflection of the amity I've found on the

band. Happily, in my niece, it will have helped spark another interested soul
to aspire to the ham bands. I find the fact that nobody's insulted anybody else
in this thread most encouraging.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -4954809006241138517
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:41:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 23:41:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139>
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22451

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > Why do they do it in the first place when they could easily become hams and
> NOT
> > do it?
>
> My point exactly.
>
> > Why should they be satisfied to follow rules and conventions just because
> they
> > now have gone through a licensing process for ham radio?
>
> My point, Dick, is that the majority of those who want a lawless environment
> are not choosing to become hams. I think the reasons for my conclusion is best
> illustrated by a look at those who have received letters from Riley. The
> majority of them are old time hams, not any that I can identify as former
> freebanders.

>
> >Are you really so cloistered there at HQ that you don't know any of
> >this???
>
> Can you provide any examples of those you feel joined ham radio so they could
> break the law?

You can try to ignore it into infinity, but it won't ehlp. They are there, and here inside the ARS by the thousands. Of course Riley can't issue them citations, they don't use callsigns when doing their dastardly acts. That you expect it to be otherwise is even yet more revealing of your mindset.

From -2052917862699921113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:43:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 23:43:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9es3ep$kgv$4@208.207.71.139>
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com> <20010526091148.22020.00001341@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9eq9j2$21o$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B110F82.8468B76@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22452

CAM wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > Can you copy formal message traffic by phone at 200 wpm? =:o
>
> Sure, that's what tape recorders are for. We did it all the time
> while practicing emergency communications at Intel. Intel was
> so dissatisfied with CW throughput that they wouldn't even
> authorize CW for emergency communications.

Yep, and the S/N degrades most seriously as speech speed rises. Enunciation suffers greatly, readibility in poor conditions falls 'way off. I know this subject all too well, and not from ham radio, either.

From -4121023809460436467
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:50:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 23:43:11 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <9es3ef$a2$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9en180$t71$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010526083041.22020.00001320@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9eq752$stp$1@news.hawaii.edu> <Ib5Q6.48985$4f7.3595839@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991006991 322 128.171.44.55 (27 May 2001 23:43:11 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 May 2001 23:43:11 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22453

Richard McCollum:
>"Jeffrey Herman":

>> As I wrote (and you snipped) every section of that arithmetic course is filled, resulting in a waiting list of students who can't get in. A placement exam has to be taken by each new student; the exam places a student into whatever course is appropriate based on his/her background. We have to offer more arithmetic sections than any other course, and as stated above, they all fill every semester. Ten sections are offered each semester, the first beginning at 7:30am.

>Brackets, man, brackets. (y**4)/3 etc. avoids taking y to the 4/3 power.

Not necessary at all. You get a F-, Richard McCollum!

>T'would appear that you have some problems with teaching math in the
>50th
>state but probably no worse than the local U where the Math and Science
>hall
>is 'the building that never sleeps'.

It's obvious that you've forgotten the "Order of Operations":
Exponentiation is performed before division. Remember the acronym
PEMDAS? Note that E(xponentiation) occurs prior to D(ivision)?
Hence y^4/3 unambiguously means exactly:
"y raised to the 4th power, then that result is divided that by 3."
This is exactly the order your calculator will follow, too, when
you enter that term, for its programmed to obey the Order of
Operations, something you've either forgotten or never learned.

You're mistakingly thinking of y^(4/3) -- that's how
"y raised to the 4/3 power" would have to be written, for
P(arentheses) over-ride all the other operations.

I'll give you another chance, Richard McCollum; simplify the following:

-4^2-3*5, remembering the Order of Operations above.

KH6O

From 6746948113099536173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:51:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 23:51:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9es3to$nr0$0@208.207.71.139>
References: <9erq9c$hih$0@208.206.142.89> <20010527183516.10214.00001429@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22454

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> I have seen a number of them. You need to get out more.
> >

> >
> > If you can't name them your answer is without merit.
>
> Yup, you need to get out more. All you need to, Dick, is take a look at the
> Product Reviews and you can pretty easily figure out which rigs have RTTY modes
> included as a standard operating feature.
>
> If you don't care to do so, please don't expect me to do it for you.
>
> > I would point out that FSK is NOT a mode,rather a capability of being
> > frequency shift keyed by another box.
>
> Several of today's rigs have a built in FSK feature, Dick. FSK is as much an
> operating mode as OOK CW.

Why do you insist on acting dumb? FSK is NOT a mode, it is a method of modulating a transmitter that requires an encoder/decoder -OUTBOARD on virtually all rigs today as in the past, an external box, an add-on modem that is NOT a part of any mainstream ham rig. And I know that you know it. It is revealing that you keep up this charade. What could you possibly be trying to prove?

Which FSK *mode* does any transicever work-without an encoder/decoder(which is NOT a part of the radio transceiver itself)? 60 WPM RTTY? 100 WPM RTTY? ASCII?
Cheeze.. And I had considered you folks in Newington to be sharp. No more.

From 1576792563695567709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 16:57:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 23:57:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <9es496$nr0$1@208.207.71.139>
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-mb1.aol.com> <3B108549.DAA31FCC@IEEE.org> <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B110DD9.1D0BA8C4@IEEE.org> <9es0ig$99b$0@208.207.71.139> <3B118A55.3394D61A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22455

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Just shows how ignorant you are, Dick. I have a home brew FSK modem
> > > that uses two chips and a 20 character digital display, all out of
> > > my junkbox.
> >
> > Do I believe you? No. You have a story to fit every occasion, whatever
> > it is.
>
> You seem to have no idea about the microcontroller world, Dick. I was
> Staff Applications Engineer for the 80C51 and 80C196 lines of Intel
> microcontrollers. I've built lots of black box controllers for a
> multitude of applications. One of them was an 80C51 interfaced to
> an EXAR FSK modem chip, a 20 character LCD display, and a keyboard.
> You see, Intel paid me good money to develop applications and write
> an Application Note about them. It was a ham's dream come true. My Dad
> once said, "You mean they pay you for the same thing you do when you
> go home and have fun?" I said, "Not only do they pay me to have fun,
> they pay me a six digit salary to have fun."
>
> Do you not believe that an Intel Microcontroller Application Engineer
> couldn't figure out how to interface an EXAR modem chip to achieve
> RTTY operation without a PC? The nice thing was, as an Intel engineer,
> I could request free sample chips from almost all other manufacturers.
>
> My design worked very well but I simply didn't like RTTY. I like CW
> a lot better. But that's just a personal preference, not a good enough
> reason to saddle all HF hams with an affirmative action program for
> Morse code.

So you have just admitted that no HF rig will work RTTY without an
external box, despite your earlier false assertions.

From -4754090178924420887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:00:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 23:52:22 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9es3vm$s3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084454.04987.00001646@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991007542 899 128.171.44.55 (27 May 2001 23:52:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 May 2001 23:52:22 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22456

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>I have asked what percentage of emergency communications are conducted
>on CW by
>necessity,

The fire sprinklers embedded in the ceiling of my condo have never
been activated, but I'm sure glad they're there!

KH6O

From -1418796638181524967
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:00:34 PST
Message-ID: <3B1194AA.5A59D501@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 18:58:34 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B11092A.664B292B@IEEE.org> <20010527123714.05008.00001656@ng-fy1.aol.com> <3B117AB0.FAC09F51@IEEE.org> <9es230$dmc$2@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991007522 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 18:52:02 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)

```
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22457

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > >
> > > >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > It's even more true than you realize. I just remembered that I had just
> > come in out of the rain and was soaking wet. However, it did teach me
> > a valuable lesson - don't trust anybody. :-)
>
>  And I thought Cecil said no one abused him when he was a beginner!
> Looks like they were seriously trying to get rid of him.

It was your Daddy who did that to me, Dick. You only thought you knew
who your Daddy was. :-) It didn't work, BTW.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3018008774767014249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:03:19 PST
Message-ID: <3B119537.5E3390A2@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 19:00:55 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991007667 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 18:54:27 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
```

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22458

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > Can you provide any examples of those you feel joined ham radio so they could
> > break the law?
>
> You can try to ignore it into infinity, but it won't ehlp. They are
> there, and here inside the ARS by the thousands. Of course Riley can't
> issue them citations, they don't use callsigns when doing their
> dastardly acts. That you expect it to be otherwise is even yet more
> revealing of your mindset.

Talk about paranoid! Dick, please provide just one name or call of an individual who acts as you describe. I double-dog-dare ya!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5080288461347356015
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:07:36 PST
Message-ID: <3B119634.26CA87A6@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 19:05:08 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9erpfj$esh$0@208.206.142.89> <3B117DE0.47B10902@IEEE.org> <9es2k8$j3o$0@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991007921 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 18:58:41 -0500)
Lines: 17

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22459

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > All my ICOM gear has RTTY listed as one of the modes, Dick. An Extra
> > should know RTTY is FSK.
>
> Yep. They do say RTTY on a mode position. So try to work RTTY on one
> out of the box, you clown.

I have, Dick, it worked perfectly. Are you saying that RTTY mode doesn't work right out of the box? How the heck does ICOM manage to disable their RTTY mode until so many days after it is unpacked? So who's the clown?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 8094648347600290482
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:12:04 PST
Message-ID: <3B11973B.F9C965F4@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 19:09:31 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqm8t$10t$1@208.207.71.176> <20010527084528.04987.00001647@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9erq9c$hih$0@208.206.142.89> <3B117E36.719A19E6@IEEE.org> <9es2p4$j3o$1@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991008191 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 19:03:11 -0500)

Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22460

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > W1RFI wrote:
> > > > I have seen a number of them. You need to get out more.
> > >
> > > If you can't name them your answer is without merit.
> >
> > Are you admitting in front of all the world that you don't know
> > that the RTTY mode is FSK, Dick?
>
> No I'm "admitting" that both you and Cecil are full of bullhockey and
> you both know that working RTTY with any commercial HF rig is
> im[possible without an outboard modem.

Outboard modem????? Try a single-chip 80C51 microcontroller, Dick. When
are you going to enter the 21st century? (Actually, the 80C51 was designed
about 25 years ago.)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7589782169554027251
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:14:35 PST
Message-ID: <3B1197D1.DCBAA5CE@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 19:12:01 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eqm8t$10t$1@208.207.71.176> <3B110EC3.E0DE59F@IEEE.org> <9es0mi$99b$1@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991008349 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 19:05:49 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22461

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
> > Earth to Dick: What do you think the RTTY mode selection is for? Every
> > ICOM I have ever owned had an RTTY mode selection "built into it".
>
> Uh huh and for some strange reason it won't work RTTY on its own
> without a addon box!

What's an "addon box"? Is that a design by Addon Industries?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5413569968060214153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:16:31 PST
Message-ID: <3B119848.FC78FC80@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 19:14:00 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com>
<20010526091148.22020.00001341@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9eq9j2$21o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<9eqom4$69f$2@208.207.71.176> <3B1110CE.F24C902F@IEEE.org>
<9es0q6$99b$2@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991008468 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 19:07:48 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22462
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> More BS from the BSMeister.

Ain't no BS, Dick. Do you really believe that Intel could be talked
into springing for $15,000 per site for 10-20wpm CW. Dream on!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -436069194939220249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:19:36 PST
Message-ID: <3B1198EE.58A5355C@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 19:16:46 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com>
<20010526091148.22020.00001341@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9eq9j2$21o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
```

```
<3B110F82.8468B76@IEEE.org> <9es3ep$kgv$4@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991008645 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 19:10:45 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!
dispose.news.demon.net!demon!colt.net!news0.de.colt.net!newsfeed.r-kom.de!fu-
berlin.de!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!
corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22463

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Sure, that's what tape recorders are for. We did it all the time
> > while practicing emergency communications at Intel. Intel was
> > so dissatisfied with CW throughput that they wouldn't even
> > authorize CW for emergency communications.
>
>  Yep, and the S/N degrades most seriously as speech speed rises.
> Enunciation suffers greatly, readibility in poor conditions falls 'way
> off. I know this subject all too well, and not from ham radio, either.

Fortunately, training and legal limit power solves the problem.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -7115639672563816882
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:20:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
```

Date: 28 May 2001 00:12:32 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9es55g$216$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com>
<Ylv06.3477$r4.190909@www.newsranger.com> <9ek4dj$orq$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<K4tP6.7804$r4.483655@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991008752 2086 128.171.44.55 (28 May 2001 00:12:32 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 May 2001 00:12:32 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22464

K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net> libeled:

>Jeffrey Herman says:
>>You might be interested to learn that both Guam and Hawaii
>>are in call area 13, and Hawaii now also has the KH7 block,
>>too. (Call area 13 consists of all calls from KH0 through KH9.)

>You had no more right to a KH2 call

I wonder what makes you think that? I was in Guam, so I got
a Guam callsign. Besides, Guam and Hawaii are both in the
same call area, as you seemed to have missed above.

>than Deignan has to a KH6 or KH7 call,

And I asked the FCC to cancel his calls which they eventually did.

KH6O

From 6654096649585149954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:22:30 PST
Message-ID: <3B11997F.796A7ED5@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 19:19:11 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <K4tP6.7804$r4.483655@www.newsranger.com>
<20010526010405.18236.00001645@ng-cj1.aol.com>
<9B_P6.1312$rn5.77918@www.newsranger.com> <9es1an$rcf$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991008803 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 19:13:23 -0500)

Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22465

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Let's not forget a certain TX ham on here who has a call
> of W6RCA.

Not for long.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7195208869041678045
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:23:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 00:23:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9es5qk$hm$0@208.207.71.139>
References: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084454.04987.00001646@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9erprs$g5d$0@208.206.142.89> <3B117D54.AE80D9EE@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22466

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Unanswerable questions asked only to show that there is no answer do
> > not deserve an atttempt.
>
> So you admit that your assertions are insupportable by facts.

No I don't admit my assertions are unsupportable by facts and if you know much of anything about the subject you know as well as I how true they are. If not, well it is more evidence of your lack of knowledge while you profess to be in the know. You've been informed and you choose to ignore it for reasons that are becoming more and more clear-you'd rather just not know.

```
From -2953510359187856103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:33:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge0jnt0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdyxxr6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010527184230.10214.00001432@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 27
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 00:32:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991009979 63.178.69.156 (Mon, 28 May 2001 00:32:59 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 00:32:59 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22467
```

On 27 May 2001 22:42:30 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam
>
>> We had a situation quite a few years ago where a well-known
>> troublemaker (who was a licensed ham) set himself up at the edge of

>> the road in khaki work clothes and a white jeep and was pointing a
>> radar gun at passing motorists. The Highway Patrol nabbed him and
>> called us in. We measured the frequency of the radar gun and found
>> that he had tuned it to be several kHz INSIDE the 2400 MHz ham band
>> and that he would key it on and off with his call sign in Morse
>> every 10 minutes (yeah, sure!!)
>
>> No violation....but what a nut!!
>
>Was the nature of his transmission deemed to be one of the legal one-way
>transmissions as described in Part 97?

He claimed that he was testing the transmitter to see if it
operated properly and we couldn't prove otherwise. The CHP took
care of him for interfereing with free flow of traffic, and he
"voluntarily" surrendered the radar gun. Case Closed.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 3674731454880795498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:40:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 00:35:50 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991010150 3348 128.171.44.55 (28 May 2001 00:35:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 May 2001 00:35:50 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22468

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>The last hurricane that passed through the islands devastated Kauai
>>County; 80% of all structures were either destroyed or damaged. The
>>only communication method which existed between that island and the
>>outside world was amateur radio; traffic concerning required rescue

>>and hospital equipment, health and welfare messages -- all passed
>>by hams stationed in both the Kauai Mayor's office and here on
>>Oahu in the Governor's office.

>What does this have to do with Morse code testing? Without Morse code
>testing, hams will still be free to use CW or any other mode for
>emergency
>communications.

It was made in response to your comment:

CAM wrote:
>It makes sense to maintain a reality oriented perspective toward the
>emergency
>communications potential of ham radio. You and others are simply NOT
>reality
>oriented and are bogged down in an unreal pie-in-the-sky-is-falling
>mentality.

Note that your post makes no mention of code testing, either.

>>Our civil defense motto is, "It's not IF a disaster will happen,
>>but rather WHEN."

>What does this statement have to do with Morse code testing?

It was made in response to your comment:

>Your fantastic doomsday scenarios are all in your minds. If you multiply
>the
>independent probabilities of everything that has to happen for your
>scenario
>to come to pass, it might happen once every 100 billion years.

Note that your post makes no mention of code testing, either.

>>P.S. Cec, if you hit your return key a little more often, your
>>one-word lines above will be avoided.

>P.S. Jeff, if you set your margins a little wider,

I am not going to reset anything just to read your posts, Cecil!!
Unix/trn margins are set at the standard 80-column width.

KH6O

```
From 3188888706749093945
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:58:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 03:57:46 GMT
References: <20010526090413.22020.00001337@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526235746.10524.00004806@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22319
```

In article <20010526090413.22020.00001337@ng-mj1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>>In reality, can you provide an example of when this happened where CW was
>>>the mode the amateur had to use to get that message through?
>
>>No, I can't. Now, please answer my question.
>
>Sorry, Larry; in trying to figure out your sense of relatity, I got a bit
>lost;
>what was the question again?

Ed:

Use some of your superior computer skills to go back through the thread and re-read it. Now, quit stalling for time with your juvenile tactics and answer my question! Eight-year old kids avoid answering direct questions by doing the same thing you're doing here. If you're getting a bit miffed at being compared to an 8-year old, stop behaving like one and provide simple, direct, truthful answers to the simple, direct, and well-intended questions you have been asked.

I only ask questions for one reason: I don't know the answer, and I believe the person I asked *does.* My asking of the question is based on my faith in that person being able to provide the answer in a straightforward, honest, and informative manner. Stop behaving toward me in the same cynical and disrespectful manner I've come to expect from ARRL HQ staff members. I expect better of you because I consider you to be an intelligent, knowledgeable, and articulate person. Please deliver, or I must re-evaluate my opinion of you and re-align it with my past experiences with your colleagues.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7711538836164580540
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,18eb6217a7bc66fb
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:58:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 03:57:45 GMT
References: <20010526003954.25233.00001448@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Holyshit, Here We Go! Wheee!
Message-ID: <20010526235745.10524.00004804@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22318

In article <20010526003954.25233.00001448@ng-ck1.aol.com>, radiohead11m@aol.com (RadioHead11m) writes:

>
>>''Detailed regulation of the nitty gritty of communication services,
>>including the Amateur Service, is not in the picture,'' Cross said.
>>''Rather, the FCC is shifting to strong and effective enforcement of
>>truly necessary regulations.'' The FCC, he said, now plans to look to
>>the amateur community to reach consensus on any new regulations it
>>thinks it wants and needs.
>>
>
>Not to be funny, but did this really catch anybody "off guard?"

It has seemed perfectly obvious to me for a couple of years not, so no, it didn't take me off guard. The FCC obviously has much bigger fish to fry than the ARS minnow. So, they're telling us to figure out what we want as far as regulation, and they'll rubber stamp it as long as a consensus is achieved. "We've" apparently decided "we" don't want code testing, so, if that's the case, and there is a consensus, they will make it so. Why doesn't the FCC seem to think we've arrived at a consensus on this issue? I've been at the sharp end of the code/no-code (testing) debate for over a decade, and I don't see the Pro-Code Testing Agenda as being the mainstream majority. But why isn't the FCC getting the message? Apply this question to any other amateur radio policy issue, if you like, but if you can come up with an answer, please share it with us!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3952038441424466258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 20:58:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 113
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 03:57:48 GMT
References: <20010526134758.08258.00001439@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010526235748.10524.00004807@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22320

In article <20010526134758.08258.00001439@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>not to mention that it requires that computer and its complicated,
>EMP-sensitive circuitry to have
>>survived whatever catastrophe
>
>Perhaps that explains my indifference, Larry; I live close enough to a
>moderate
>sized city that I will not survive the initial blast.

Ed:

I live less than 4 miles from Dover AFB -- a strategically vital, active
military installation, surely targeted with multiple, high-yield warheads.
I'm toast, man -- burnt toast, at that! Which "moderate sized city"
do you live next to -- Hartford? Do you think the nation's insurance
industry is targeted for destruction by the Russians or the Chinese?
You could very easily survive the "initial blast" of warheads targeted
for New York, Washington, Philadelphia, Dover, Mc Guire AFB, or
Boston -- which are about all the major targets in a 300 mile radius
of where you live. With a bit of luck, you could even escape the
secondary radiation effects merely by staying in a fallout shelter
for the requisite two weeks. I have the right to be indifferent, your
indifference is purely gratuitous.

>Perhaps we could have rules that stipulate that hams who live far enough away
>from urban areas that they might survive whatever creates the EMP to retain
>knowledge of Morse code and to maintain a station capable of operating Morse
>and SSB on HF and FM on VHF for 4 hours without power.

That would be a ridiculous, even childish stipulation. I think the only proper way to proceed is to consider every ham a potential survivor in any given emergency situation, and have them prepared to deal with both the obvious and the unforeseen as far as potential operating conditions are concerned. It could very well be that in some circumstances, Morse/CW is the logical fallback mode. How can it be effectively employed if the knowledge and skill development isn't there?

>> We're NOT discussing the "legality" of that, or any
>>other, mode.
>
>>>>How does eliminating the ability for radio amateurs
>>>>to exploit
>
>I apologize. When you used the term "eliminating the ability" of radio
>amateurs to exploit the benefits of the mode, I naturally assumed that you
>meant that you believed the mode might somehow be made illegal. I am not
>testing on PSK-31 varicode, but I still have the ability to exploit the
>benefits of PSK-31.

Don't look now, Ed, but PSK31 varicode isn't something that can be hand-keyed --- but the International Morse Code can! Please spare us your childishly irrelevant arguments.

> The only thing I could imagine that would take that
>ability away would be to outlaw PSK-31 on the ham bands.
>
>>I suggest you save such cheap tactics as the above for someone stupid
>>enough to fall for them.
>
>I guess I took your words too precisely.

Maybe you took them with a puerile attitude.

>>Take a few "ARRL Attaboys' usually reserved for someone who
>>passes the Technician-class license test for yourself!
>
>ARRL does not pass out attaboys for my posts on rrap, Larry; they do give me
>a few for my work on RFI and spectrum matters.

Perhaps not nearly enough, I'm sure.

>>Uh hunh. Yep. I see. Roight. And batteries have to be.....what's the
>>word I'm looking for? Ch.....Ch.....something that sounds like that....
>
>And the batteries you intend to use don't? I don't understand this very
>well, I am afraid.

You don't understand the difference between a mode which works well
only with 100 or more watts of output power, versus a mode which can
work *exceptionally* well on 5 watts or less of output power?

>>OK, here you go...time to roll out those large,
>>expensive, high-capacity solar panels, or rig a bicycle to an alternator,
>>or start collecting lumber to build a windmill, right??? Right...
>
>Requiring hams to maintain some such capacity as a condition of license would
>be more useful toward facilitating emergency communications that requiring
>them to know Morse code. If that is REALLY your goal here, Larry...

Sorry, sonny, but I don't agree. BTW, I'm tired of arguing with this
8-year old. Put Ed Hare back on.
>
>>Yawn. Sure. As long as it doesn't require anyone to make the effort
>>to learn a useful communications skill, that is. Got it.
>
>You think it is harder to learn Morse code than to build a windmill? How
>odd.
>
>Now, if you think it should be about effort (again), that is a whole other
>thread. :-)

It's always been about effort, Ed. The effort required to learn the Morse
code at a proficiency level which enables the radio amateur to exploit
the benefits of the CW mode. This debate is about that effort, and the
desire of certain people not to undertake said effort. The "effort factor"
is the underlying principle of the debate. This fact is not admitted to
by the NCTA, but such deceit is a normal part of the liberal psyche.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6255124340267152576
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 21:22:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 04:22:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9epvej$qqe$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <9ei21e$6b6$0@208.207.71.149> <20010524203523.25364.00001183@ng-ma1.news.cs.com> <9emrs5$8ee$2@208.206.142.94> <3B0F1DF5.90C351A5@IEEE.org> <9eplv6$qp1$1@208.207.71.172> <3B105C61.DFF6DC95@IEEE.org>

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22321



CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >   Oh, yeah, all the freebanding cats are going to immediately change
> > > > their stripes right away when they get that freebie ham ticket. Right.
> > > > never again will they even remotely consider violating a FCC rule.
> > >
> > > If they're legal, why would they need to violate FCC rules? It's akin
> > > to riding a motorcycle with or without a license. Exactly the same act
> > > is either legal or illegal depending on the existence of a license.
> >
> >  Why did they need to do it in the first place? Because the code test
> > kept htem from becoming hams? That's what I thought you'd say.
>
> Your thinker is broke. I've ridden a motorcycle with and without a license.
> The difference was negligible.


 Your thinker is undernourished. I too have ridden a motorcycle with and
without a motorcycle qualification. But I recall the first time I ever
got onto a motorcycle. I didn't wreck it, but I could easily understand
how it could happen very easily. And when I took the exam for
motorcycles I understood why the state wanted one to know how to ride
before allowing them out on the highways on one.


From -7607575853435440805
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 21:25:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdywul5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdv6r61.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
<20010526003328.18236.00001642@ng-cj1.aol.com>
```

```
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Lines: 21
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 04:25:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 990937536 63.178.69.156 (Sun, 27 May 2001
04:25:36 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 04:25:36 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22322

On 26 May 2001 04:33:28 GMT, Steve Robeson LPN wrote:


>>  Get out of his face and let him do the job that the People of the
>>  United States appointed him to do - amateur radio rules and policy
>>  specialist.
>
>    I am not in his face, Phil.  I am commenting on comments HE made
>relative to his position with the FCC.  And if I am not mistaken, he
>was not appointed by the People (requiring the consent of the Senate
>or Congress before being hired)

  He was appointed through a very detailed procedural chain of
  authority in which The Congress gave delegated authority to the
  agency's personnel officers and managers to do that hiring "in the
  name" of The Congress and the Government of the United States.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From 8681385829381903931
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 21:25:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdyvq53.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
```

```
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b0afd14.239925822@news2.dvol.com>
<9ekevr01tb5@enews2.newsguy.com> <9emqk4$41b$0@208.206.142.94>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 19
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 04:25:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 990937535 63.178.69.156 (Sun, 27 May 2001
04:25:35 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 04:25:35 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22323

On 25 May 2001 23:41:56 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>> According to the comments of one FCC official back in the late 1980s, hams
>> attitudes regarding code testing had quite a lot to do with it.
>
>
> Gee. I wonder why we don't get an attributed quote? And why does a
>minor FCC functionary who probably knows even less about radiotelegraphy
>than the current crop, have the corner on facts?

  If it who I think it was, he was an active ham of many years'
  duration, definitely NOT a "minor functionary" (the future Bureau
  Chief), and was right on target.



--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane





From 8122639658917596904
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 21:32:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 04:32:28 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22324

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > But it our day we bucked down and did it without finding
> >it necessary to go to your room and pout your life away, as seems to be
> >the vogue these days.
>
> In my day, I did not run into the types of attitudes about newcomers that I
> have seen on this forum.

Bullshit, Ed. You have this fixation on what you percieve to be somebody bashhing everybody who is a ham radio newcomer. WRONG!!!!!

What is being countered is all the nonsense from those who choose to ridicule those of us who passed a code test among all the other requirements in effect at the time of our licensing. Those idiots are the very ones who sat on their butts and whined the whole time until easy licensing came along, and now they can't stand it that they were too useless to get it on their own, as we did. so they continually complainn about the minimal 5wpmcode reqjuirement as though it was some real obstacle. Piss on them, and all their supporters.

I am sure it was there, but I was fortunate enough
> that the people I met were not the type who felt they had to insult the
> newcomers.
>

If you're afraid someone will chase off some of the more useless examples of humanity who pose as real hams while bashing all CW operators (you're one too, remember) you'll just have to deal with it.
If they are that thin skinned they need to go. They're of no value even to themselves.

> > One really wonders where we'll be when it becomes necessary to act like
> >adults again. We did it well before our ages could support it. Today
> >they seem to never mature that far.
>
> Are you suggesting that the new hams should take after you and tell people in
> public to go soak their heads? Is that your idea of adult and mature?

Ed you really DO need to go soak your head. Really. It might wake you
up.

```
From -6624615063242055002
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 21:34:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: 27 May 2001 04:34:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9eq04g$sff$1@208.207.71.172>
References: <20010526170103.22914.00001474@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<20010526222307.19879.00000889@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.172
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22325
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
>
> >It still seems to me that he is taking it uponhimself to SEEM to be making
> >ALL the decisions himself, Ed
>
> It still seems to me that he is suggesting that it is better that we make the
> decisions for ourselves.
>
> I don't know whether the FCC assigns amateur radio rulemaking recommendations
> to a team or just to one individual. If it is generally assigned to him, his
> statements were pretty accurate.
>

> You seem to feel otherwise; what can you tell us about the internal workings of
> the FCC to shed light on why you feel the way you do?
>
> >And then should be subjected to the NPRM process. Unless there's been a
> >change?
>
> Well, of course. Did you really think there was a change? Do you think that
> Cross is not aware that amateur radio rules changes go through a rulemaking
> process? I think he was and he was telling us that his views would be of
> significant staff input to the end result. He, like I, believes that any
> solution imposed by any one of us would not be ideal for many others of us.
> Frankly, I can't see why you disagree with that.

If Bill Cross wrote the original issue of the late NPRM I feel sorry for
him.

```
From 2413747490370848932
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 21:35:25 PST
Message-ID: <3B1083A3.9ADE8BA@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 23:33:39 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<20010525215710.03759.00002803@nso-ch.aol.com> <9eojjd$os8$0@208.206.142.72>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eps5g$h1s$0@208.207.71.172>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.57
X-Trace: corp 990937622 64.240.47.57 (26 May 2001 23:27:02 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22326

Dick Carroll wrote:
> We know how much you despise all hams who know that CW is in fact real
> communications, but you are simply wrong.

Huh???? I'm one of those hams, Dick. I encourage all hams to learn Morse
code and enjoy the advantages of CW. The question is: Is CW likely enough
to be useful during emergencies that *ALL* HF hams should be required to
know Morse code? The answer is clearly, not in the year 2001.

> When virtually all HF
> transcievers sold today still incorporate two modes, SSB voice and CW,
> there is a very real liklihood that CW will be needed in emergency
> situations when conditions deteriorate below SSB minimums. There were at
> least two cases quoted here in the past couple years of just this
> situation occuring during hurricanes.

What would have been the consequences if CW had not gotten through all
both of those many times?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6835683748038443057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 21:39:15 PST
Message-ID: <3B108471.CB259BAA@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 23:37:05 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eojjd$os8$0@208.206.142.72> <20010526131746.08258.00001430@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.57
X-Trace: corp 990937828 64.240.47.57 (26 May 2001 23:30:28 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or

copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22327

Dick Carroll wrote:
> When virtually every ham HF transciever
> comes with two modes built in, SSB and CW, and while CW enjoys a
> considerable s/n advantage over SSB, there is alway a very real
> possibility that CW will need to be used in emergencies, rare though
> they are.

Is the use of CW once per year for emergencies enough of a reason in your
mind to require 350,000 hams to know Morse code? How many lives would
vehicle helmets save per year? Why aren't you advocating helmets?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4588419511074122582
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 21:39:21 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 04:39:06 GMT
Message-ID: <3b10847b.165562053@news2.dvol.com>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105262127100.5840-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010526180050.12273.00001539@ng-ba1.news.cs.com> <3B102BDF.ED42E84F@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.63
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.63
X-Trace: 26 May 2001 23:34:40 -0500, 64.24.17.63
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22328

On Sat, 26 May 2001 17:19:11 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Bert Craig wrote:
>> Thanks for the encouraging reply, Dieter. Do you suppose it's possible to
>> utilize SSB for the purpose of sending/receiving Morse?
>
>That's exactly what my SCS PTC2e modem does for CW. But that's probably
>illegal on CB. AM operation using an on/off audio tone is probably your
>best bet for staying legal on CB. Maybe you can start a CB fad. :-)
>

30 wpm CB MCW . . . oughta be a hoot.

From 1584051057030706267
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 21:42:47 PST
Message-ID: <3B108549.DAA31FCC@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 23:40:41 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.57
X-Trace: corp 990938042 64.240.47.57 (26 May 2001 23:34:02 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.kjsl.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22329

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > So lets spend the next twenty some years preaching theoretical high

> >tech and bitching about CW while all new rigs continue to come out with
> >SSB and CW and no digital capability.
>
> What ARE you talking about here, Dick? Most new rigs we test in the Lab have
> digital capability of some sort.

Almost all will handle FSK. None I know of comes with a code key.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 14458514801551688
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 21:55:10 PST
Message-ID: <3B10882C.6EDA212D@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 23:53:00 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9ei21e$6b6$0@208.207.71.149> <20010524203523.25364.00001183@ng-ma1.news.cs.com> <9emrs5$8ee$2@208.206.142.94> <3B0F1DF5.90C351A5@IEEE.org> <9eplv6$qp1$1@208.207.71.172> <3B105C61.DFF6DC95@IEEE.org> <9epvej$qqe$0@208.207.71.172>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.57
X-Trace: corp 990938782 64.240.47.57 (26 May 2001 23:46:22 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22330

Dick Carroll wrote:

> And when I took the exam for
> motorcycles I understood why the state wanted one to know how to ride
> before allowing them out on the highways on one.

The point is that one can be just as good a motorcycle rider without
a license as one can be with a license. The license itself is irrelevant
except for avoiding traffic tickets.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 1380958859743881498
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 22:00:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdz0qg8.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010526165450.22914.00001472@ng-xc1.aol.com>
<20010526223715.19879.00000900@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Lines: 26
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 04:59:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 990939598 63.178.69.156 (Sun, 27 May 2001
04:59:58 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 04:59:58 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22332

On 27 May 2001 02:37:15 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>Perhaps if something as far-reaching as the RF-exposure rules
>requires two FCC staffers, something as limited as rules changes for
>the Amateur Radio Service can be done with only one individual. I
>don't know. I can only presume that you do know enough about this to
>feel it warranted to criticize Cross. Can you share your inside
>knowledge with us?

```
  AFAIK Bill Cross and Monty DePont are "it" in the amateur rulemaking
  business and Monty is about MY age......they also handle CB, MURS,
  and GMRS issues.  The rest of private land mobile is handled by
  Steve Linn in Gettysburg.

  The rest of the professional-level folks in WTB are all relative
  newcomers to the Wonderland of Radio whose main interests lie in
  commercial radiotelephone policy and who-gets-to-win-the-auction
  issues.

  Gone are the days when one became a Bureau Chief (or Commissioner)
  by starting at the bottom and learning the business.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
```

```
From -4854703241490865167
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 22:00:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdz0uy9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010526180050.12273.00001539@ng-ba1.news.cs.com>
<20010526224252.19879.00000903@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Lines: 20
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 04:59:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 990939598 63.178.69.156 (Sun, 27 May 2001
04:59:58 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 04:59:58 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22331
```

On 27 May 2001 02:42:52 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

```
>>From: kc2hmn@cs.com  (Bert Craig)
>
```

>>Do you suppose it's possible to utilize SSB for the purpose of
>sending/receiving
>> Morse?
>
>An audio tone sent over an SSB transmitter is one way to generate a
>continuous wave.   The Harris transmitters at W1AW generate OOK CW
>that way.

  Collins and the rest of the HF commercial-service SSB, FSK, and
  Multiplex Telegraph transmitters were doing it that way in the early
  60s.....

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 5380570579754435104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 22:10:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B107D54.89368964@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B0E3EB2.E74EE6FA@earthlink.net> <20010526225638.19879.00000908@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 05:10:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 990940212 63.17.222.66 (Sat, 26 May 2001 22:10:12 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 22:10:12 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 26 May 2001 22:08:19 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22333

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>

> >Just take something like the formula for reactance in a parallel circuit
> >from the handbook and plug in some numbers which are to your liking.
>
> So you believe that the simple algebra question should be the following?:
>
> XsubL = 2 * pi * F * L
>
> I think it would be a bit too simple to ask that people solve the following for
> X:
>
> X = 2 * 3.14 * 1000000 * .001 to get XsubL for a 1 mH inductor at 1 MHz.
>
> That looks more like a grammar-school arithmetic problem than an algebra
> problem to me.

...except that you're not going to give them the formula--right?

Dave K8MN

From -4941239542313788857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-26 23:40:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 06:34:10 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <9eq752$stp$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9en180$t71$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010526083041.22020.00001320@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990945250 29625 128.171.44.55 (27 May 2001 06:34:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 May 2001 06:34:10 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22334

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>>W1RFI wrote:

>>>2x=21 solve for X.

>>How about something with some meat in it: y^4/3 + 8y^2/9 + 7/9 = 0.

>The statement made was that high-school graduates cannot solve simple
>algebra
>problems. You example is as extreme as mine, but mine is probably a bit closer

>to "simple."

The one I presented is a simply-solved quadratic equation which is presented in any algebra high school course.

1. Multiple both sides by 9 to clear the denominators.

2. Substitute x for y-squared. It's now of the form:
   $3x^2 + 8x + 7 = 0$.

3. Now use the quadratic formula, something every algebra student has to memorize.

Et cetera...

>>Then answer me this: Why do most (if not all) community colleges
>>have to offer remedial mathematics, beginning with arithmetic
>>(which should have been mastered in elementary school)?

>Do the majority of college students have to take these courses before
>they can
>begin college, or just a relative few?

As I wrote (and you snipped) every section of that arithmetic course is filled, resulting in a waiting list of students who can't get in. A placement exam has to be taken by each new student; the exam places a student into whatever course is appropriate based on his/her background. We have to offer more arithmetic sections than any other course, and as stated above, they all fill every semester. Ten sections are offered each semester, the first beginning at 7:30am.

KH6O

From 1115963985336108919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 00:20:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 07:15:46 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9eq9j2$21o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com> <20010526091148.22020.00001341@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990947746 2104 128.171.44.55 (27 May 2001 07:15:46 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 May 2001 07:15:46 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22335

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>You didn't factor in the data rate in your calculations. At 20 wpm, the
>CW
>signal would have to transmit about 10 X as long, as compared to a
>speech rate
>of 200 wpm.

Can you copy formal message traffic by phone at 200 wpm? =:o

>I think OOK CW then comes off as a loser,

Formal message traffic (also called "ARRL Formatted Traffic", I believe)
is comfortably passed on both phone and cw at 20 wpm; consider that as
the rate at which comparisons between the two modes are made.

KH6O

From -8923221343215437275
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 00:25:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 14:22:45 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 120
Message-ID: <3B0EA29F.D73C6098@sccoast.net>
References: <3B08F1E4.6453B7C9@sccoast.net> <20010524210458.26720.00000962@nso-mg.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-74.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 990948302 418670 204.116.70.75 (27 May 2001 07:25:02 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 07:25:02 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22336

N2EY wrote:

>
> The best answer is to use alternative
> transportation when possible. Like
> intermodal rail for long hauls, with
> local delivery of the trailer or container
> made by truck.

That increases cost significantly (three vehicle involved - the truck to train, the train, and the truck from train). Only cost effective if considerable distance is involved.

> > I wasn't talking about the expensive top-of-the-line export models.
> >I was talking about the average priced models made by BMW, Volvo,
> >Mercedes, and others, for their own European markets.
>
> Are there a lot of models by those
> companies that we don't see here? I know
> VW makes the Polo but does not import it
> here (yet). I don;t know about the other
> companies.

All of them (BMW, Volvo, Mercedes, etc) have several low end models they don't export, as well as special purpose vehicles that are not exported (all three build large trucks, for example). In fact, Mercedes even has a model that is designed exclusively for use as a taxi (a real clunker compared to their high-end export models). Again, even those low-end models tend to be better designed for high speed driving.

> Questions about the Autobahns when you have time):
>
> - do they allow trucks, or just cars?

Yes, trucks are allowed on the Autobahns. However, unlike here, each lane of an Autobahn has a speed limit. Slower vehicles, such as trucks and smaller cars, are limited to the slower lanes, with the inside lanes reserved for faster vehicles (the last inside lane usually has no speed limit or one so high that only a jet aircraft could exceed it).

> - is there really no speed limit?

Opps, explained that in the last paragraph. Once you get further outside the built-up areas around towns, most of the Autobahns are two lanes just like we have here. However, unlike here, a vehicle is required to immediately move into the right lane if a faster car approaches from the rear (the vehicle approaching will flash his lights to signal for you to move over). And that includes a passing situation. If you are passing, and you cannot complete the pass without slowing down vehicles in the faster lane, you must return to the slower lane and wait to pass when you can do so without interfering with drivers in the faster lanes.

Flow of traffic is a big thing there. For example, in addition to the passing example above, a vehicle cannot hinder the flow of traffic when entering or exiting the Autobahn either. You must merge with traffic without slowing down other vehicles on the Autobahn, or vehicles on the entrance ramp behind you.

> - how well are they maintained?

Autobahns are better maintained than our freeways. In fact, some are so smooth, they can be used to land aircraft (which was exactly the plan during the cold war - using Autobahns for runways if major airports were destroyed in a war with the Soviets). Of course, lesser Autobahns are not that perfect, but I don't ever remember seeing a pothole or overly rough surface.

> - how good are German drivers compared to Americans?

They are generally better trained. For example, everyone must complete a driver's training program (at their own expense), with several hours of supervised driving, before they're allowed to get a driver license.

Of course, in spite of the training, they are much more aggressive drivers, especially for the small roads often found in older towns. It is not that bad once you realize that the other driver is going to be aggressive and you respond accordingly. Likewise, though, he expects you to drive aggressively and it does cause problems occasionally when the foreigner doesn't drive as expected (strange, yes, but other drivers get mad if you don't drive aggressively instead of the other way around).

One other thing is different also. Everything is not allowed unless it is specifically allowed. For example, here in the U.S., we can make a U-turn any place we want unless there is a sign that says we can't. In Germany, you cannot make a U-turn unless there is a sign that allows it. The same with most other traffic flow situations (left turns, etc).

It is a different system, but not all that different. Most American drivers adapt rather quickly to the way things are done. In fact, if you talk to those who have spent some time driving there, most will tell you they had a harder time adapting back to the way we do things here. This is especially true for the speed limits. The 55 mph, or even 75 mph, speed limit seems really slow after you have driven for a couple of years at 90+ mph (I had a Citroen BX-19GT and never drove any distance under 110 mph). Needless to say, I got a few speeding tickets in the months following my return (I never even looked for cops writing speeding tickets in Germany, so was out of practice).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

```
From -9121651568814270982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 00:30:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 07:29:20 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9eqacg$2m7$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <3B0EC6C6.64579C76@IEEE.org>
<9en36k$1rb$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B0FB995.E9589A4A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990948560 2759 128.171.44.55 (27 May 2001 07:29:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 May 2001 07:29:20 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22337
```

CAM wrote:
>Jeff wrote:
>> CAM wrote:

>>>So you never use a calculator, Jeff?

>>As I tell my students, "You may use a calculator to check an answer,
>>but never to derive an answer." They hate me at first, but as the
>>semester progresses, they begin to understand even appreciate that
>>rule. Their ability for doing mental calculations increases to the
>>point where they're able to eliminate many of the written steps
>>they found necessary earlier in the semester.

>Heck, why not make them go back to slip-sticks?

Don't be so shallow in your thinking, Cecil. Read my last sentence

above: "Their ability for doing mental calculations increases..."
Do you somehow find that archaic, and feel that relying on slide
rules or calculators turns out better-educated students?

KH6O

From 8897407978020643200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 01:20:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 08:12:01 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<20010525215710.03759.00002803@nso-ch.aol.com> <9eojjd$os8$0@208.206.142.72>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 990951121 5505 128.171.44.55 (27 May 2001 08:12:01 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 27 May 2001 08:12:01 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22338

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>It makes sense to maintain a reality oriented perspective toward the
>emergency
>communications potential of ham radio. You and others are simply NOT
>reality
>oriented and are bogged down in an unreal pie-in-the-sky-is-falling
>mentality.

The last hurricane that passed through the islands devastated Kauai
County; 80% of all structures were either destroyed or damaged. The
only communication method which existed between that island and the
outside world was amateur radio; traffic concerning required rescue
and hospital equipment, health and welfare messages -- all passed
by hams stationed in both the Kauai Mayor's office and here on
Oahu in the Governor's office.

>Your fantastic doomsday scenarios are all in your minds. If you multiply
>the
>independent probabilities of everything that has to happen for your
>scenario
>to come to pass, it might happen once every 100 billion years.

Our civil defense motto is, "It's not IF a disaster will happen, but rather WHEN."

KH6O (Lead radio operator at Oahu Civil Defense)

P.S. Cec, if you hit your return key a little more often, your
one-word lines above will be avoided.

```
From 4268618013577821939
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 03:45:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 10:45:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176>
References: <9epmi6$so3$1@208.207.71.172> <20010526221024.19879.00000879@ng-
mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.176
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22339
```

W1RFI wrote:

```
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> Those that want a place where they feel they can violate FCC rules with
> >> impunity already have a place to do so; why would they want to become hams?
>
> > If that's the best you can do for thought you'd better take up
> >basketweaving.
>
> Is that your way of saying that you disagree with me?
  If so, why do you think
> that those that are looking for a place to break rules would choose ham radio
> over their so-called freeband?
```

Of course I disagree with you! "WHY" indeed! Why do they do it in the
first place when they could easily become hams and NOT do it? Why should
they be satisfied to follow rules and conventions just because they now

have gone through a licensing process for ham radio? When doing their
rulebreaking thing they wear their other hat and don't use a callsign,
of course.
Are you really so cloistered there at HQ that you don't know any of
this???

From -2412358695692174337
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 03:46:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 10:46:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9eqlu3$7s$1@208.207.71.176>
References: <9epl35$nv8$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221413.19879.00000883@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.176
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22340

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Dwight you are true dyed-in-the-wool blockhead. If brains were a dime a
> >ton you couldn't pay attention.
>
> Another example of the "adult" way you want new hams to act, Dick?

Go do another soak job. The others didn't work.

From -4972357805470957383
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 03:50:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 10:50:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176>
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230737.19945.00000729@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.176
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22341

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > There were TWO accounts of CW being used to handle hurricane
> >traffic in the past couple years when conditions deteriorated below SSB
> >minimums, but you continue to glossover them. Wonder why that is?
>
> Actually, Dick, I do not usually read the accounts of emergency communications.

So then on what basis do you assert that no CW has been used in recent
times on ham bands for emergency communications?

> That is why I have been asking for examples for months on this forum. So you
> knew about the examples, didn't mention them, and are accusing me of glossing
> them over? How odd.

What is odd is that you have missed the several references to those
postings, not to mention the postings themselves, which were by Arnie
Macy, KT4ST in Savanah GA and Alan Wormser N5LF who was at the time in
Texas.

>
> In that time frame, how many accounts were there of emergency communications
> using other modes? Please don't gloss over that one like you did the CW ones;
> you are obviously counting and it would save us all a lot of time if you would
> answer the question promptly.

Bullhockey. Any reference to CW use is routinely ignored by you who have an Agenda to discontinue it as a vital mode within ham radio.

From 7816361682154321131
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 03:52:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 10:52:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9eqm8t$10t$1@208.207.71.176>
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.176
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22342

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > So lets spend the next twenty some years preaching theoretical high
> >tech and bitching about CW while all new rigs continue to come out with
> >SSB and CW and no digital capability.
>
> What ARE you talking about here, Dick? Most new rigs we test in the Lab have
> digital capability of some sort.

What ARE you talking about? I've never seen a manufactured HF rig with
other than SSB, CW and FM built into it.

From -4836583455928448386
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 03:58:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: 27 May 2001 10:58:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9eqmjs$23s$0@208.207.71.176>
References: <9epo15$55m$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526231135.19945.00000731@ng-
mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.176
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22343



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> > The modem problem wasn't solved by ham radio operators working in a
> >hobby setting. The few hams who may have been involved weren't acting as
> >amateurs, for darn sure.
>
> One of the strengths of the Amateur Radio Service has always been that it has
> attracted some of the "professional" people.  This "promise" isn't something
> new, Dick; it has been happening to the extent that Amateur Radio has been
> relevant to the technology these people worked with.
>

 You seem to have it mostly backwards again, Ed. Few accomplished
technical people who didn't learn via ham radio seem interested in
taking up the hobby once they are well established in their careers. On
the other hand, many who became hams in early life go on to become
engineers and scientists, and use both their advanced knowledge and
interest in ham radio to invent many good things.
 Most of those who have already reached that stage find ham radio to be
too mundane and trivial for their tastes.


From -3847867367652128628
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 04:05:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
References: <9en180$t71$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010526083041.22020.00001320@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9eq752$stp$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <Ib5Q6.48985$4f7.3595839@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 11:05:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 990961512 12.75.103.23 (Sun, 27 May
2001 11:05:12 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 11:05:12 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22344
```

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:9eq752$stp$1@news.hawaii.edu...

```
> W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
> >jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu  (Jeffrey Herman)
> >>W1RFI wrote:
>
> As I wrote (and you snipped) every section of that arithmetic
> course is filled, resulting in a waiting list of students
> who can't get in. A placement exam has to be taken by each
> new student; the exam places a student into whatever course
> is appropriate based on his/her background. We have to offer
> more arithmetic sections than any other course, and as stated
> above, they all fill every semester. Ten sections are offered
> each semester, the first beginning at 7:30am.
>
> KH6O
```

Brackets, man, brackets. (y**4)/3 etc. avoids taking y to the 4/3 power.

T'would appear that you have some problems with teaching math in the 50th state but probably no worse than the local U where the Math and Science hall is 'the building that never sleeps'.

```
From -777049632437446269
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 04:18:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!sjc-feed.news.verio.net!
news.verio.net!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

```
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 11:18:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9eqnql$5a5$0@208.207.71.176>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net>
<9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com>
<3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com>
<3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net>
<%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com>
<3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com>
<3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73>
<9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b0afd14.239925822@news2.dvol.com>
<9ekevr01tb5@enews2.newsguy.com> <9emqk4$41b$0@208.206.142.94>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gdyvq53.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.176
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22345
```

Phil Kane wrote:

>
> On 25 May 2001 23:41:56 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >> According to the comments of one FCC official back in the late 1980s, hams
> >> attitudes regarding code testing had quite a lot to do with it.
> >
> >
> > Gee. I wonder why we don't get an attributed quote? And why does a
> >minor FCC functionary who probably knows even less about radiotelegraphy
> >than the current crop, have the corner on facts?
>
> If it who I think it was, he was an active ham of many years'
> duration, definitely NOT a "minor functionary" (the future Bureau
> Chief), and was right on target.

So the loss of 220 was due to punishment of hams who believe we should retain code testing, and had nothing to do with political maneuvering by UPS or anyone else, and nothing to do with virtual non-use of the band by hams.

In that case we might just as well turn in most of VHF/UHF and get it over with.

```
From -4273427550753639867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 04:25:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 11:25:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176>
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <3B108549.DAA31FCC@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.176
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22346
```

CAM wrote:

> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > > So lets spend the next twenty some years preaching theoretical high
> > >tech and bitching about CW while all new rigs continue to come out with
> > >SSB and CW and no digital capability.
> >
> > What ARE you talking about here, Dick? Most new rigs we test in the Lab have
> > digital capability of some sort.
>
> Almost all will handle FSK.

And NONE handle FSK nor any other digital mode (except CW) without
external gear equally sohiisticated as the rig itself. That should not
be, but is evidence of what the marketplace evidently wants to pay for.
If demand was there surely vendors would build it in.

None I know of comes with a code key.

> --
> cheers, Cecil

```
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 3965118085755525 63
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 04:26:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 27 May 2001 11:26:56 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9eqoa0$69f$1@208.207.71.176>
References: <9ei21e$6b6$0@208.207.71.149> <20010524203523.25364.00001183@ng-
ma1.news.cs.com> <9emrs5$8ee$2@208.206.142.94> <3B0F1DF5.90C351A5@IEEE.org>
<9eplv6$qp1$1@208.207.71.172> <3B105C61.DFF6DC95@IEEE.org>
<9epvej$qqe$0@208.207.71.172> <3B10882C.6EDA212D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.176
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22347



CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > And when I took the exam for
> > motorcycles I understood why the state wanted one to know how to ride
> > before allowing them out on the highways on one.
>
> The point is that one can be just as good a motorcycle rider without
> a license as one can be with a license. The license itself is irrelevant
> except for avoiding traffic tickets.

 Ah. So radio licenses are irrevelant except for FCC citations. We see
where you're coming from.


From -4086987974111660235
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 04:33:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 27 May 2001 11:33:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9eqom4$69f$2@208.207.71.176>
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com>
<20010526091148.22020.00001341@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9eq9j2$21o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.176
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22348



Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
> >You didn't factor in the data rate in your calculations.  At 20 wpm, the
> >CW
> >signal would have to transmit about 10 X as long, as compared to a
> >speech rate
> >of 200 wpm.
>
> Can you copy formal message traffic by phone at 200 wpm?  =:o



 No, he can't and no one else can, either. As a longtime message handler
using voice, I can affirm that delivering voice HAD BETTER be slow and
distinctly enunciated if it you don't expect to have to repeat, and more
than once.


From -6233728405153828522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:16:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Date: 27 May 2001 12:15:15 GMT
References: <3b10847b.165562053@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010527081515.20622.00001279@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22349

>30 wpm CB MCW . . . oughta be a hoot.

Yeah...right? It'd raise some eyebrows, to say the least.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -1800256481320141990
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:30:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:29:15 GMT
References: <9eqlu3$7s$1@208.207.71.176>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527082915.04987.00001640@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22350

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >Dwight you are true dyed-in-the-wool blockhead. If brains were a dime a
>> >ton you couldn't pay attention.

>> Another example of the "adult" way you want new hams to act, Dick?

>Go do another soak job. The others didn't work.

Actually, I never did soak my head, Dick. I think I was concerned it might turn me into to someone who has little to offer other than childish insults. They really do more to hurt what you say are your goals than to help.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3045818935718118731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:33:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:32:20 GMT
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22351

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Why do they do it in the first place when they could easily become hams and
NOT
> do it?

My point exactly.

> Why should they be satisfied to follow rules and conventions just because
they
> now have gone through a licensing process for ham radio?

My point, Dick, is that the majority of those who want a lawless environment are not choosing to become hams. I think the reasons for my conclusion is best illustrated by a look at those who have received letters from Riley. The majority of them are old time hams, not any that I can identify as former freebanders.

>Are you really so cloistered there at HQ that you don't know any of
>this???

Can you provide any examples of those you feel joined ham radio so they could break the law?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5734913142417123695
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:34:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:33:18 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105270107260.6192-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010527083318.20622.00001280@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22352

>At this point, I'm going to say you've strayed too far into the topic of
>another
>newsgroup - rec.radio.cb, and stop here.

Aw Dieter, the group's talkin'about freebanders, motorcycles, and 'head soaking" under a thread title of "Puke" as well. This is actually kinda nice. It's interesting, it involves helping a fourteen year old girl practice code to becomed a "coded" ham, (How's that for a rare demographic?) and although the opinions differ...nobody's insulting anybody. Altogether a pretty pleasant subject for a holiday weekend, I'd say.

Besides, have you taken a look at rec.radio.cb lately? You know what, I'll post it there just to see what happens. After all, I'm still an 11 meter (legal) supporter. Perhaps, that NG will surprise me. I still answert a few selectively targeted posts there. Thanks Herr Stussy. (Mom's born in Berlin and a close friend of the family's name is Dieter. The Herr thing comes naturally when I see the name.)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -9073476455877489196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:35:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:35:05 GMT
References: <9eq9j2$21o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy

Message-ID: <20010527083505.04987.00001642@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22353

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>Formal message traffic (also called "ARRL Formatted Traffic", I believe)
>is comfortably passed on both phone and cw at 20 wpm; consider that as
>the rate at which comparisons between the two modes are made.

Good point. However, not being very familiar with emergency communications, I can't say what percentage of such communications is formal traffic and what percentage is a description of the emergency and a statement of the needs of those in need of rescue.

To get back to the desparate enclave of people needing help, can you offer any indication of what percentage of the communication from such enclaves in the past has been formal and what percentage has taken place at a conversational rate?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3533964938614250708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:35:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:35:32 GMT
References: <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010527083532.18977.00001618@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22354

In article <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>>>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>
>>>To amuse himself, he keys that transmitter
>>>when he's tooling down the interstate with his camper and a speeder
>>>passes him. He's seen drivers go into fish-tails while slowing down.
>>
>>Will he be equally amused if someone dies in the resulting accident? Please

>>ask him and tell us his response.
>>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>I have to totally agree with Ed on this. There is simply
>NO justification for such a dangerous and ILLEGAL act.
>
Agreed. It could be described as a "false and deceptive transmission", couldn't it?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1417439787750499111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:35:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 147
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:35:34 GMT
References: <3B0EA29F.D73C6098@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010527083534.18977.00001620@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22356

In article <3B0EA29F.D73C6098@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> The best answer is to use alternative
>> transportation when possible. Like
>> intermodal rail for long hauls, with
>> local delivery of the trailer or container
>> made by truck.
>
>
> That increases cost significantly (three vehicle involved - the
>truck to train, the train, and the truck from train). Only cost
>effective if considerable distance is involved.

The truck-to-train and truck-from-train would be needed anyway. In many cases the rail move can pickup or deliver directly from/to the destination. The rail move is many times more fuel- and pollution-efficient than the road move, and safer as well.

The main advantages of all-road moves are speed and simplicity - the shipper only has to deal with the trucking company. If intermodal were not cost-effective, it would have died out decades ago. That it has survived despite massive government subsidy of the trucking industry shows how efficient it really is.

--

> All of them (BMW, Volvo, Mercedes, etc) have several low end models
>they don't export, as well as special purpose vehicles that are not
>exported (all three build large trucks, for example). In fact,
>Mercedes even has a model that is designed exclusively for use as a
>taxi (a real clunker compared to their high-end export models).
>Again, even those low-end models tend to be better designed for high
>speed driving.

I can believe that! The Eiro market demands it.
>
>> Questions about the Autobahns when you have time):
>>
>> - do they allow trucks, or just cars?
>
> Yes, trucks are allowed on the Autobahns. However, unlike here,
>each lane of an Autobahn has a speed limit. Slower vehicles, such as
>trucks and smaller cars, are limited to the slower lanes, with the
>inside lanes reserved for faster vehicles (the last inside lane
>usually has no speed limit or one so high that only a jet aircraft
>could exceed it).

Ahh - and I bet those limits are seriously enforced! Bet their size/weight limits on trucks are way below ours, though.
>
>> - is there really no speed limit?
>
> Opps, explained that in the last paragraph. Once you get further
>outside the built-up areas around towns, most of the Autobahns are two
>lanes just like we have here. However, unlike here, a vehicle is
>required to immediately move into the right lane if a faster car
>approaches from the rear (the vehicle approaching will flash his
>lights to signal for you to move over). And that includes a passing
>situation. If you are passing, and you cannot complete the pass
>without slowing down vehicles in the faster lane, you must return to
>the slower lane and wait to pass when you can do so without
>interfering with drivers in the faster lanes.

Cool. And Again, I bet that is enforced seriously.
>
> Flow of traffic is a big thing there. For example, in addition to
>the passing example above, a vehicle cannot hinder the flow of traffic
>when entering or exiting the Autobahn either. You must merge with
>traffic without slowing down other vehicles on the Autobahn, or
>vehicles on the entrance ramp behind you.

>
Makes sense.

>> - how well are they maintained?
>
> Autobahns are better maintained than our freeways. In fact, some
>are so smooth, they can be used to land aircraft (which was exactly
>the plan during the cold war - using Autobahns for runways if major
>airports were destroyed in a war with the Soviets). Of course, lesser
>Autobahns are not that perfect, but I don't ever remember seeing a
>pothole or overly rough surface.
>
Part of that is probably due to better construction, part to a less severe
climate, and part to lower axle loadings on the big trucks. And better
maintenance. Note that their fuel takes are much higher than ours, so that the
money is there to mainatain the roads.

>> - how good are German drivers compared to Americans?
>
> They are generally better trained. For example, everyone must
>complete a driver's training program (at their own expense), with
>several hours of supervised driving, before they're allowed to get a
>driver license.

Excellent idea. I have heard that in Sweden (where Volvso are made) you have to
meet certain qualifications to OWN a car. If you can't show that you will
maintain the vehicle, you can't have one.
>
> Of course, in spite of the training, they are much more aggressive
>drivers, especially for the small roads often found in older towns.
>It is not that bad once you realize that the other driver is going to
>be aggressive and you respond accordingly. Likewise, though, he
>expects you to drive aggressively and it does cause problems
>occasionally when the foreigner doesn't drive as expected (strange,
>yes, but other drivers get mad if you don't drive aggressively instead
>of the other way around).
>
Gotta define "aggressive", though. For example, you can't be indecisive about
merging into Autobahn traffic, from your description.

> One other thing is different also. Everything is not allowed unless
>it is specifically allowed. For example, here in the U.S., we can
>make a U-turn any place we want unless there is a sign that says we
>can't. In Germany, you cannot make a U-turn unless there is a sign
>that allows it. The same with most other traffic flow situations
>(left turns, etc).

That says a LOT. Changes the mindset of the driving public bigtime.
>
> It is a different system, but not all that different. Most American
>drivers adapt rather quickly to the way things are done. In fact, if
>you talk to those who have spent some time driving there, most will
>tell you they had a harder time adapting back to the way we do things

>here. This is especially true for the speed limits. The 55 mph, or
>even 75 mph, speed limit seems really slow after you have driven for a
>couple of years at 90+ mph (I had a Citroen BX-19GT and never drove
>any distance under 110 mph). Needless to say, I got a few speeding
>tickets in the months following my return (I never even looked for
>cops writing speeding tickets in Germany, so was out of practice).
>
They limit driving in another way over there. Fuel and vehicle prices. How much of a typical German's wages would be spent to own, say, a Golf compared to an American's?
>
Neat stuff. Note that the Euro rail passenger systems are far more extensive than our own. (But their rail freight is a pale shadow of ours). The French TGV system is the world standard. Tested at 326 mph, in revenue service at 195 mph.

326 mph is not a typo.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 3169367850814817710
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:35:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 57
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:35:33 GMT
References: <20010526084141.22020.00001326@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010527083533.18977.00001619@nso-fa.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22355

In article <20010526084141.22020.00001326@ng-mj1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>In states where radar detectors are illegal, set up a radar gun in a place
>>where the traffic really rolls. Set it up so that it cannot be seen from the
>>road being surveyed, then see whose brakelights go on for no apparent
>reason.
>>the license plate numbers are radioed ahead and the cars stopped for having

>a
>>detector.
>
>Problem with that one is that it is very hard to tell whose brake lights came
>on because of the detector and whose brake lights came on because the driver
>saw other brake lights.

True - so they stop 'em all. Or just those who don't have anybody in front of them for a significant distance. Those without detectors get a smile and a "drive safely". Those with detectors get a big fine and the detector confiscated.

> When I am tooling down the highway and see several
>cars in front of me braking, I always slow down a bit -- for a number of good
>reasons. :-)

Yup ;-)

One time a driver I know well was tooling down the 4-lane divided BWP in MD on a Friday evening, doing "about" the 55 limit. (In general, the MD cops wouldn't stop anyone until they exceeded the limit by more than 5-10 mph). He's driving an old multicolored diesel VW in the right lane. (You woulda loved that car, Ed).

Up behind him comes a yuppie in a new Saab. The Saab flashes his lights as he approaches. Yuppie wants the diesel to move LEFT and get out of his way, so he can pass on the wrong side without being bothered to change lanes. (Left lane was wide open - not many cars heading in that direction).

VW doesn't react. Saab flashes again. VW slows down to 55 exactly. Saab flashes again. VW slows to 50. Saab gets pissed, goes into left lane, starts to speed up. VW speeds up too, keeping pace. Saab gets really pissed, punches it, roars off at 85+, over the hill, around the bend and far away.. VW slows down to "about" 55.

Because over the hill and around the next bend are waiting the MD state troopers, and when they see the Saab heading towards them at 87 mph, they are not going to cut any slack. VW waves as he goes past.
>
>A better ploy would be to leave that beacon operating until drivers got used
>to
>it, then set up a real speed trap. :-)
>
MD does that, too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 2678172487825460058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:37:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:36:38 GMT
References: <9eq752$stp$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527083638.04987.00001643@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22357

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>As I wrote (and you snipped) every section of that arithmetic
>course is filled, resulting in a waiting list of students
>who can't get in.

Many courses have such waiting lists -- not all are remedial, I assume.

What percentage of the incoming student body must take the course?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3787277220969920316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:39:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:38:28 GMT
References: <3B108549.DAA31FCC@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527083828.04987.00001644@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22358

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Almost all will handle FSK. None I know of comes with a code key.

More come with an internal keyer than come with an internal TNC...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 6779443887764921282
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:43:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:42:59 GMT
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22359
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>And NONE handle FSK nor any other digital mode (except CW) without
>external gear equally sohiisticated as the rig itself.

And none handle voice without an external microphone or OOK CW without an external key or paddle.

And Small Wonder's PSK rigs handle PSK-31 directly.

> That should not be, but is evidence of what the marketplace evidently wants
to
> pay for.

Yes, they want to pay for rigs and buy the microphone, key or TNC that it takes to run that rig on the corresponding mode. That does not tell us a thing about how hams should be tested about the worth of that particular mode, does it?

>If demand was there surely vendors would build it in.

Several of the rigs I have seen have built in FSK. Perhaps if you weren't so cloistered out there you would know these things.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 110441952017057823
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:45:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:44:54 GMT
References: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527084454.04987.00001646@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22360

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>So then on what basis do you assert that no CW has been used in recent
>times on ham bands for emergency communications?

I do not make such assertation, Dick.

I have asked what percentage of emergency communications are conducted on CW by necessity, but you are obviously ignoring me and can't answer the question, so that proves all my points. (Just applying the same standards to the discussion as you do, in a hope that we can reach a better understanding if we are speaking the same language.)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2342634576714186819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:46:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:45:28 GMT
References: <9eqm8t$10t$1@208.207.71.176>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527084528.04987.00001647@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22361

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>What ARE you talking about? I've never seen a manufactured HF rig with
>other than SSB, CW and FM built into it.

I have seen a number of them. You need to get out more.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1347396665075530135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:47:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:46:46 GMT
References: <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010527084646.04987.00001648@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22362

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> In my day, I did not run into the types of attitudes about newcomers that I
>> have seen on this forum.

> Bullshit, Ed.

No, it is true; I did not run into anyone who abused me for being a newcomer.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3663647005177415530
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:53:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:52:35 GMT
References: <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010527085235.04987.00001649@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22364

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>What is being countered is all the nonsense from those who choose to
>ridicule those of us who passed a code test among all the other
>requirements in effect at the time of our licensing.

I have not ridiculed you for having passed a code test, Dick, but you have countered me on many an occasion, and on at least three occasions that I recall, you very immaturely told me to go soak my head. In what way do I meet your requirements for such abuse? Seems you have lots of "good" reasons to be abusive to people; it might help us to better understand you if you were willing to put them all on the table.

> Those idiots are the very ones who sat on their butts and whined the whole
time
> until easy licensing came along, and now they can't stand it that they were
>too useless to get it on their own

So you don't think that Bill Sohl and Rick Tannehill are uselless idiots, then, I presume.

>Piss on them, and all their supporters.

Ah, another "class" of people you feel it appropriate to abuse.

>while bashing all CW operators

I think I have seen one or two examples of such all-inclusive bashing on this forum, and to me, they were clearly trolls. Can you provide some examples?

> If they are that thin skinned they need to go. They're of no value even
>to themselves.

Another "class" of people you feel it acceptable to abuse.

>Ed you really DO need to go soak your head. Really. It might wake you

>up.

And yet another.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1620569275176225648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 05:57:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 12:56:49 GMT
References: <20010526235748.10524.00004807@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527085649.04987.00001650@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22365
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> Which "moderate sized city" do you live next to -- Hartford? Do you think
> the
> nation's insurance industry is targeted for destruction by the Russians or
> the
> Chinese?

> I have the right to be indifferent, your indifference is purely gratuitous.

Pratt and Whitney, Sikorski Aircraft, Hamilton Standard...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8623611041551847637
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 06:00:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
```

```
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 13:00:08 GMT
References: <20010526235746.10524.00004806@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527090008.04987.00001651@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22366

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Use some of your superior computer skills to go back through the
>thread and re-read it.  Now, quit stalling for time with your juvenile
>tactics and answer my question!

If you are not serious enough to care to ask it again, I see little reason to
spend any time on it.  You seem to want people to make "effort" in everything
in life, it would seem.

It would have been a lot easier to simply ask the question rather than your
going off on a diatribe about 8 year old children. With that in mind, it does
not appear to me that you really want an answer at all -- the diatribe is
probably your real intent.

>Please deliver, or I must re-evaluate my opinion of you and
>re-align it with my past experiences with your colleagues.

I think I see a pattern here, Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From -4387196199626704143
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 06:01:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 13:01:05 GMT
References: <20010526235748.10524.00004808@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527090105.04987.00001652@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22367

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Wrong. The question was very simple and direct: Which do you
>grab first -- the key or the mic?

Oh, THAT was the question. I answered it.
73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2424331113094127658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 06:05:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 27 May 2001 13:04:36 GMT
References: <3B107D54.89368964@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010527090436.04987.00001653@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22368

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>...except that you're not going to give them the formula--right?

I think we have lost track of the original thread here, Dave. The premise was that most high school grads could not solve a simple algrebra formula. I disagreed, and proposed a simple test. If you are now saying that the test needs to require them to solve a formula they are not given, I think we have about run this one into the ground.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6814873700604201883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 17:50:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 00:44:21 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9es715$3p5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com>
<20010526091148.22020.00001341@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9eq9j2$21o$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B110F82.8468B76@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991010661 3877 128.171.44.55 (28 May 2001 00:44:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 May 2001 00:44:21 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22469

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Can you copy formal message traffic by phone at 200 wpm? =:o

>Sure, that's what tape recorders are for.

Then rewind the tape, then begin tape, then stop the tape to write
a few words, then start the tape again, then stop the tape to write
a few words, then start the tape again, ... Oh darn, the tape
broke...splice the tape, then start the tape again, ad infinitum...

And that is somehow supposed to be faster then 20 wpm CW? (Note
that the CW transmission won't contain any of those time-wasting
"ah" or "um" words that we hear over phone.)

KH6O

From -1541558126391113458
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 18:14:05 PST
Message-ID: <3B11A5B8.EC64D80D@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 20:11:20 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>

```
<3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org> <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991011908 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 20:05:08 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22470
```

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> I am not going to reset anything just to read your posts, Cecil!!
> Unix/trn margins are set at the standard 80-column width.

80-column widths are not standard anymore, Jeff, and are obsolete just
like Morse code testing. You are living in the past. Sorry about that.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5387129035050313262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 18:15:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B11A628.C78F0E9F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 20:13:12 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084454.04987.00001646@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9erprs$g5d$0@208.206.142.89> <3B117D54.AE80D9EE@IEEE.org>
<9es5qk$hm$0@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
```

```
X-Trace: corp 991012031 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 20:07:11 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22471

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >  Unanswerable questions asked only to show that there is no answer do
> > > not deserve an atttempt.
> >
> > So you admit that your assertions are insupportable by facts.
>
> No I don't admit my assertions are unsupportable by facts ...

Well then, provide some facts that are not pulled out of your posterior
along with a reference.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 6386202539472311766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 18:22:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9en180$t71$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010526083041.22020.00001320@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9eq752$stp$1@news.hawaii.edu> <Ib5Q6.48985$4f7.3595839@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9es3ef$a2$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
```

Lines: 59
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <DLhQ6.3168$kh4.262354@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 01:22:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.218
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991012963 12.75.102.218 (Mon, 28 May 2001 01:22:43 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 01:22:43 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22472

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:9es3ef$a2$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Richard McCollum:
> >"Jeffrey Herman":
>
> >> As I wrote (and you snipped) every section of that arithmetic
> >> course is filled, resulting in a waiting list of students
> >> who can't get in. A placement exam has to be taken by each
> >> new student; the exam places a student into whatever course
> >> is appropriate based on his/her background. We have to offer
> >> more arithmetic sections than any other course, and as stated
> >> above, they all fill every semester. Ten sections are offered
> >> each semester, the first beginning at 7:30am.
>
> >Brackets, man, brackets. (y**4)/3 etc. avoids taking y to the 4/3 power.
>
>
> Not necessary at all. You get a F-, Richard McCollum!
>
>
> >T'would appear that you have some problems with teaching math in the
> >50th
> >state but probably no worse than the local U where the Math and Science
> >hall
> >is 'the building that never sleeps'.
>
>
> It's obvious that you've forgotten the "Order of Operations":
> Exponentiation is performed before division. Remember the acronym
> PEMDAS? Note that E(xponentiation) occurs prior to D(ivision)?
> Hence y^4/3 unambiguously means exactly:
> "y raised to the 4th power, then that result is divided that by 3."
> This is exactly the order your calculator will follow, too, when
> you enter that term, for its programmed to obey the Order of
> Operations, something you've either forgotten or never learned.
>
> You're mistakingly thinking of y^(4/3) -- that's how

> "y raised to the 4/3 power" would have to be written, for
> P(arentheses) over-ride all the other operations.
>
> I'll give you another chance, Richard McCollum; simplify the following:
>
>   -4^2-3*5, remembering the Order of Operations above.
>
>
> KH6O

You are correct and I will sneak away to lick my wounds, deservedly obtained. In my programming days I bracketed it whether it needed it or not; now I know why.

1. 16 - 3*5
2. 16-15
3. 1

OK, prof???

From -110413256046942389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 18:28:55 PST
Message-ID: <3B11A92A.57E87AAF@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 20:26:02 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-mb1.aol.com> <3B108549.DAA31FCC@IEEE.org> <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B110DD9.1D0BA8C4@IEEE.org> <9es0ig$99b$0@208.207.71.139> <3B118A55.3394D61A@IEEE.org> <9es496$nr0$1@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991012802 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 20:20:02 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)

Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22473

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So you have just admitted that no HF rig will work RTTY without an
> external box, despite your earlier false assertions.

I had no external box, Dick. It was just a very simple breadboard which I installed *INSIDE* my IC-745. I am considering buying a high end transceiver and one of them that I'm considering has full RTTY capability built in. It's an ICOM but I don't recall the model number.

Dick, I have a question for you. Exactly how many times can you swallow that foot in your mouth without disappearing from the face of the earth?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 300202682847459169
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 18:34:25 PST
Message-ID: <3B11AA70.4413D38F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 20:31:28 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com> <20010526091148.22020.00001341@ng-mj1.aol.com> <9eq9j2$21o$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B110F82.8468B76@IEEE.org> <9es715$3p5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.131
X-Trace: corp 991013127 64.240.47.131 (27 May 2001 20:25:27 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22474

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> >Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >> Can you copy formal message traffic by phone at 200 wpm? =:o
>
> >Sure, that's what tape recorders are for.
>
> Then rewind the tape, then begin tape, then stop the tape to write
> a few words, then start the tape again, then stop the tape to write
> a few words, then start the tape again, ... Oh darn, the tape
> broke...splice the tape, then start the tape again, ad infinitum...

Earth to Jeffrey: The dictation system used by the secretaries does all
that starting and stopping automatically. And besides, Intel has full
voice recognition and translation available on a desktop PC. It takes
an audio file in and outputs an ASCII text file. Where the heck have
you been all this century?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4839588601727947613
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:03:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B11A30C.7A7757AD@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B112814.21B00283@earthlink.net> <20010527183835.10214.00001431@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 13

```
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:03:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.165.226
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991015398 63.30.165.226 (Sun, 27 May
2001 19:03:18 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 19:03:18 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 19:01:29 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22475

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >If you say so, Ed.  Have you seen an amateur exam where the examinee is
> >provided the formula?
>
> The discussion was not about the exams, it was about whether many people who
> graduate high school could do simple algebra.

Let me know how it turns out using your conditions, Ed.

Dave K8MN



From 6462453705803664867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:32:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:30:12 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <3b11a7f0.5174638@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230737.19945.00000729@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.a9
X-Server-Date: 28 May 2001 02:32:19 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22476

On 27 May 2001 10:50:55 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
```

>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> > There were TWO accounts of CW being used to handle hurricane
>> >traffic in the past couple years when conditions deteriorated below SSB
>> >minimums, but you continue to glossover them. Wonder why that is?
>>
>> Actually, Dick, I do not usually read the accounts of emergency communications.
>
> So then on what basis do you assert that no CW has been used in recent
>times on ham bands for emergency communications?

The point/issue is that the use and reliance on CW has
been of such am unlikly need that knowledge of CW is not
a justifiable test requirement. Any ham should be free to
learn and use CW, but the diminimus anecdotal
reports of actual CW use (still never validated as
to the actual communication being life saving) simply
doesn't sustain any continued mandatory code testing
for ham radio.

>> In that time frame, how many accounts were there of emergency communications
>> using other modes? Please don't gloss over that one like you did the CW ones;
>> you are obviously counting and it would save us all a lot of time if you would
>> answer the question promptly.
>
> Bullhockey. Any reference to CW use is routinely ignored by you who
>have an Agenda to discontinue it as a vital mode within ham radio.

The issue is CW TESTING... NOT use.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 7284603007934964991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:32:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:30:20 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3b11b1ce.7701002@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3b0fb65f.3112674@news.mindspring.com>
<20010526111851.09604.00001413@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com

NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.a9
X-Server-Date: 28 May 2001 02:32:26 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22480

On 26 May 2001 15:18:51 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>
>>CT used to also but that was repealed several years ago.
>
>As far as I know, the feds use radar to do some sampling of highway speeds and
>tie in federal monies for highways and such on the compliance of the state.

That was the case until several years ago. The highway funds
are no longer tied to a federal speed limit.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 7475646174995168864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:32:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:30:15 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3b11ac80.6342214@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084454.04987.00001646@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3vm$s3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.a9
X-Server-Date: 28 May 2001 02:32:21 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22482

On 27 May 2001 23:52:22 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>>I have asked what percentage of emergency communications are conducted
>>on CW by
>>necessity,
>
>The fire sprinklers embedded in the ceiling of my condo have never

>been activated, but I'm sure glad they're there!
>KH6O

However, I suspect there are documented cases of
sprinklers saving lives and property EVERY DAY. Not
so the case for morse code.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -8029028009072310771
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:32:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:30:22 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3b11b2cb.7953601@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net> <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-bh.aol.com> <MxPP6.7345$lP5.2988169@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.a9
X-Server-Date: 28 May 2001 02:32:28 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22483

On Sat, 26 May 2001 14:59:56 GMT, "Bob & Weave" <bob@weaver.com>
wrote:

>If you are a ham operator you should be allowed to have a radar detector in
>any state. After all it is just a wideband reciever. I would try fight this
>if i ever got caught.

You'd lose. There is no federal preemption to protect you.
The FCC amateur ore-emption applies ONLY to transcievers.
That is why scanners alone aren't exempt for hams.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -7993325002621767299

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:32:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:30:17 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <3b11ad7a.6592177@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.a9
X-Server-Date: 28 May 2001 02:32:23 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22484
```

On 27 May 2001 12:42:59 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

```
>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>And NONE handle FSK nor any other digital mode (except CW) without
>>external gear equally sohiisticated as the rig itself.
>
>And none handle voice without an external microphone or OOK CW without an
>external key or paddle.
>
>And Small Wonder's PSK rigs handle PSK-31 directly.
>
>> That should not be, but is evidence of what the marketplace evidently
>> wants to pay for.
>
>Yes, they want to pay for rigs and buy the microphone, key or TNC that it takes
>to run that rig on the corresponding mode.  That does not tell us a thing about
>how hams should be tested about the worth of that particular mode, does it?
```

Exactly... although I will note that any rig I have bought
did come with a mic.

```
>>If demand was there surely vendors would build it in.
>
>Several of the rigs I have seen have built in FSK.  Perhaps if you weren't so
>cloistered out there you would know these things.
```

But no keyboard :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -4833787716435071294
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:32:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:30:16 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3b11ad05.6475113@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <3B108549.DAA31FCC@IEEE.org> <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.a9
X-Server-Date: 28 May 2001 02:32:22 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22477

On 27 May 2001 11:25:46 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>CAM wrote:
>>
>> W1RFI wrote:
>> >
>> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>> >
>> > > So lets spend the next twenty some years preaching theoretical high
>> > >tech and bitching about CW while all new rigs continue to come out with
>> > >SSB and CW and no digital capability.
>> >
>> > What ARE you talking about here, Dick?  Most new rigs we test in the Lab have
>> > digital capability of some sort.
>>
>> Almost all will handle FSK.
>
>  And NONE handle FSK nor any other digital mode (except CW) without
>external gear equally sohiisticated as the rig itself. That should not
>be, but is evidence of what the marketplace evidently wants to pay for.
>If demand was there surely vendors would build it in.

I guess Dick expects all new transcievers to
come with keyboards too.

Cheers,
```

Bill K2UNK

From -3253171886176854794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:32:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:30:11 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3b11a704.4938189@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230737.19945.00000729@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.a9
X-Server-Date: 28 May 2001 02:32:17 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22479

On 27 May 2001 03:07:37 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>> There were TWO accounts of CW being used to handle hurricane
>>traffic in the past couple years when conditions deteriorated below SSB
>>minimums, but you continue to glossover them. Wonder why that is?
>
>Actually, Dick, I do not usually read the accounts of emergency communications.
> That is why I have been asking for examples for months on this forum. So you
>knew about the examples, didn't mention them, and are accusing me of glossing
>them over? How odd.

Additionally, the question still not answered is: Was the nature and
content of the two CW emergency communications of any
consequence as to saving loves and/or property? I don't
recall any reference to that being the case.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -2990928615392148448
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:32:59 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:30:14 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <3b11aa10.5718057@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084454.04987.00001646@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9erprs$g5d$0@208.206.142.89>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.a9
X-Server-Date: 28 May 2001 02:32:20 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22478

On 27 May 2001 20:59:40 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>>
>> >So then on what basis do you assert that no CW has been used in recent
>> >times on ham bands for emergency communications?
>>
>> I do not make such assertation, Dick.
>>
>> I have asked what percentage of emergency communications are conducted on CW by
>> necessity, but you are obviously ignoring me and can't answer the question, so
>> that proves all my points.
>
> Unanswerable questions asked only to show that there is no answer do
>not deserve an atttempt. I'm not in charge of keeping score.

Which is exactly why the FCC and other administrations
around the globe do NOT see any need for continued
morse TESTING. If you make a claimed need
for morse testing to ensure morse availabiliy for
emergencies and then you can't sustain the need with
quantifiable data...then you lose the argument. The
burden of proof to retain the requirement has
clearly been put on the pro-code test folks by the FCC
(as well as most entities around the globe).

>Since you
>are a part of the HQ staff it would be far more likely that you could
>get all the facts for yourself if you were intererested in something
>other than suppressing me.

Dick...

No one wants to suppress you. The point is that you
don't provide facts when asked about your claims.

>(Just applying the same standards to the discussion
>> as you do, in a hope that we can reach a better understanding if we are
>> speaking the same language.)
>
> No, you apply whatever standard you think will make a point against me,
>swhther or not it is valid. Score means everything.

Agreed... so far the score is:

20 wpm Testing - Ended
13 wpm Testing - Ended
 5 wpm testing - hanging on today by a mere ITU treaty technicality

As to code USE - So far no one has made any pitch to end
the use and I suspect no one will.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -1661214179977552146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:32:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:30:21 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3b11b29c.7906742@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net> <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-
bh.aol.com> <3b0fb65f.3112674@news.mindspring.com>
<YaQP6.7416$lP5.3032828@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.a9
X-Server-Date: 28 May 2001 02:32:27 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22485

On Sat, 26 May 2001 15:43:52 GMT, "Bob & Weave" <bob@weaver.com>
wrote:

>Bill, The use of radar detectors itself has fallen off from my observation
>of traveling the turnpike daily. But then again radar use itself has as

>well, when there is a pack of vehicles all going above the limit as usually
>is the case on many highways troopers with there stealth cars blend in with
>traffic then pounce on the car that blows by He then visually sites the car,
>checks his speed. then paces the car Radar is not needed. The officers
>trained eye and calibrated speedometer usually are sufficient evidence in
>court. Most Leo's while running stationary radar don't transmit continuos,
>when they see a vehicle they then turn the beam on thereby eliminating the
>radar detector.

Agreed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -251763194755835198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:32:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:30:18 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <3b11ae1e.6756223@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9erpfj$esh$0@208.206.142.89> <3B117DE0.47B10902@IEEE.org> <9es2k8$j3o$0@208.207.71.139>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.a9
X-Server-Date: 28 May 2001 02:32:24 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22481

On 27 May 2001 23:29:12 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>CAM wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll wrote:
>> > Uh, yes they do. And just what mode is "FSK"? On all my gear that's not
>> > a mode at all, ...
>>
>> All my ICOM gear has RTTY listed as one of the modes, Dick. An Extra
>> should know RTTY is FSK.
>
> Yep. They do say RTTY on a mode position. So try to work RTTY on one
>out of the box, you clown.

The rigs can't be operated CW without a key either.
None are provided in any rigs I see for sale.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3246480779567578
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:32:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:30:19 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <3b11af46.7052275@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org> <20010526084247.22020.00001327@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com> <3B0FBB1D.20B97AC2@IEEE.org>
<3b0fbd7d.4934708@news.mindspring.com> <3B0FC9F6.DF57B1@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.71.a9
X-Server-Date: 28 May 2001 02:32:25 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22486

On Sat, 26 May 2001 10:21:26 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> >Bill, he's just doing what the cops do. What do the cops do when their
>> >radar guns causes someone to die in the resulting accident?
>>
>> Assuming that may happen, the police are "licensed"
>> to use the radar guns.
>
>So if one is licensed to use a radar gun it is OK to cause
>people to die in the resulting accident?

If there was any such "resulting accidents" they would
surely have lead to an end of using radar for speed
enforcement. Your friend does what he does to
DELIBERATELY rattle the other driver. BIG difference.

>> Does your friend have federal authorization?

>
>I don't know.

Then he is likly illegal (IMHO).

Bill K2UNK

From 172884599034279979
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:52:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 02:52:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9esehv$rvj$0@208.207.71.155>
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-mb1.aol.com> <3B108549.DAA31FCC@IEEE.org> <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B110DD9.1D0BA8C4@IEEE.org> <9es0ig$99b$0@208.207.71.139> <3B118A55.3394D61A@IEEE.org> <9es496$nr0$1@208.207.71.139> <3B11A92A.57E87AAF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22487

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > So you have just admitted that no HF rig will work RTTY without an
> > external box, despite your earlier false assertions.
>
> I had no external box, Dick. It was just a very simple breadboard
> which I installed *INSIDE* my IC-745. I am considering buying a high end
> transceiver and one of them that I'm considering has full RTTY capability
> built in. It's an ICOM but I don't recall the model number.

 Yoiu're a liar again, there has never been an Icom transciever with a
builtin modem.

Don't you ever get tired of lying through your teeth? What does your

Baptist mother think of you doing that? Not that you ever cared.

```
From -6978688109624297465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:53:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 02:53:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9esejr$rvj$1@208.207.71.155>
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org> <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11A5B8.EC64D80D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22488
```

```
CAM wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > I am not going to reset anything just to read your posts, Cecil!!
> > Unix/trn margins are set at the standard 80-column width.
>
> 80-column widths are not standard anymore, Jeff, and are obsolete just
> like Morse code testing. You are living in the past. Sorry about that.
```

Good luck changing the rest of the world. They are still on 80.

```
From 1721821607139203529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:54:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 02:54:51 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9eselr$rvj$2@208.207.71.155>
References: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084454.04987.00001646@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9erprs$g5d$0@208.206.142.89> <3B117D54.AE80D9EE@IEEE.org>
<9es5qk$hm$0@208.207.71.139> <3B11A628.C78F0E9F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22489



CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >  Unanswerable questions asked only to show that there is no answer do
> > > > not deserve an atttempt.
> > >
> > > So you admit that your assertions are insupportable by facts.
> >
> > No I don't admit my assertions are unsupportable by facts ...
>
> Well then, provide some facts that are not pulled out of your posterior
> along with a reference.


 I won't do your homework for you. If you're toio stupid to read that's
your problem. There is polenthy of reference to it in the literature.


From -1707884480550458582
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 19:56:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 28 May 2001 02:56:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
```

```
Message-ID: <9esepe$rvj$3@208.207.71.155>
References: <3B11092A.664B292B@IEEE.org> <20010527123714.05008.00001656@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3B117AB0.FAC09F51@IEEE.org> <9es230$dmc$2@208.207.71.139>
<3B1194AA.5A59D501@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22490



CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > W1RFI wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > > It's even more true than you realize. I just remembered that I had just
> > > come in out of the rain and was soaking wet. However, it did teach me
> > > a valuable lesson - don't trust anybody. :-)
> >
> >  And I thought Cecil said no one abused him when he was a beginner!
> > Looks like they were seriously trying to get rid of him.
>
> It was your Daddy who did that to me, Dick. You only thought you knew
> who your Daddy was. :-) It didn't work, BTW.
>

  I know it has always been  a big question mark with you poor boy, but
most of us have that well covered. Shame you didn't take better grip.


From -1061353921628371377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 20:40:30 PST
Message-ID: <3B11C83F.7336A721@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 22:38:39 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B11092A.664B292B@IEEE.org> <20010527123714.05008.00001656@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <3B117AB0.FAC09F51@IEEE.org> <9es230$dmc$2@208.207.71.139>
```

```
<3B1194AA.5A59D501@IEEE.org> <9esepe$rvj$3@208.207.71.155>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp 991020721 64.240.47.105 (27 May 2001 22:32:01 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22491

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I know it has always been a big question mark with you poor boy, but
> most of us have that well covered.

That's right, I forgot in your part of the country, your Daddy and
Grandaddy are the same person.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 3619923304872105837
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 20:42:16 PST
Message-ID: <3B11C89A.76C119D7@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 22:40:10 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org> <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11A5B8.EC64D80D@IEEE.org> <9esejr$rvj$1@208.207.71.155>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
```

X-Trace: corp 991020812 64.240.47.105 (27 May 2001 22:33:32 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22492

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > > I am not going to reset anything just to read your posts, Cecil!!
> > > Unix/trn margins are set at the standard 80-column width.
> >
> > 80-column widths are not standard anymore, Jeff, and are obsolete just
> > like Morse code testing. You are living in the past. Sorry about that.
>
> Good luck changing the rest of the world. They are still on 80.

Who cares about third-world countries? Get with the program, Jeff.
You reside in the US of A. Act like it!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 26405391915637832
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 20:43:10 PST
Message-ID: <3B11C8D9.FC97F090@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 22:41:13 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084454.04987.00001646@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9erprs$g5d$0@208.206.142.89> <3B117D54.AE80D9EE@IEEE.org>

```
<9es5qk$hm$0@208.207.71.139> <3B11A628.C78F0E9F@IEEE.org>
<9eselr$rvj$2@208.207.71.155>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp 991020875 64.240.47.105 (27 May 2001 22:34:35 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22493

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Well then, provide some facts that are not pulled out of your posterior
> > along with a reference.
>
>  I won't do your homework for you. If you're toio stupid to read that's
> your problem. There is polenthy of reference to it in the literature.

What literature? Where? At least give us a reference.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -1532544625874735770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 20:58:46 PST
Message-ID: <3B11CC77.26F37FC1@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 22:56:39 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9epqrp$d9o$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526230903.19945.00000730@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <3B108549.DAA31FCC@IEEE.org> <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176>
```

```
<3B110DD9.1D0BA8C4@IEEE.org> <9es0ig$99b$0@208.207.71.139>
<3B118A55.3394D61A@IEEE.org> <9es496$nr0$1@208.207.71.139>
<3B11A92A.57E87AAF@IEEE.org> <9esehv$rvj$0@208.207.71.155>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp 991021802 64.240.47.105 (27 May 2001 22:50:02 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22494

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >  So you have just admitted that no HF rig will work RTTY without an
> > > external box, despite your earlier false assertions.
> >
> > I had no external box, Dick. It was just a very simple breadboard
> > which I installed *INSIDE* my IC-745. I am considering buying a high end
> > transceiver and one of them that I'm considering has full RTTY capability
> > built in. It's an ICOM but I don't recall the model number.
>
>  Yoiu're a liar again, there has never been an Icom transciever with a
> builtin modem.

We'll see who's lying, Dick. The IC-756PRO has an FSK modem built in
which includes FSK encoding and decoding and also has PSK31 capability.
Eat crow, Mutha!
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

```
From 6577217891546104303
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:00:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:00:00 GMT
References: <9es3vm$s3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528000000.22777.00001412@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22495

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>>I have asked what percentage of emergency communications are conducted
>>on CW by necessity,

>The fire sprinklers embedded in the ceiling of my condo have never
>been activated, but I'm sure glad they're there!

There have been more fires in the US than there have been occurrences of emergency communications that were conducted on CW by necessity.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6823935193056665910
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:01:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B11CD06.8822BB03@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 22:59:02 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B0EDD31.A1C93B39@IEEE.org> <20010526084247.22020.00001327@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fb7c2.3466939@news.mindspring.com> <3B0FBB1D.20B97AC2@IEEE.org> <3b0fbd7d.4934708@news.mindspring.com> <3B0FC9F6.DF57B1@IEEE.org> <3b11af46.7052275@news.mindspring.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp 991021944 64.240.47.105 (27 May 2001 22:52:24 -0500)
Lines: 14

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22496

Bill Sohl wrote:
> If there was any such "resulting accidents" they would
> surely have lead to an end of using radar for speed
> enforcement.  Your friend does what he does to
> DELIBERATELY rattle the other driver.  BIG difference.

So it's OK to rattle the other driver if it's not deliberate?
I've had my radar detector go off in the middle of nowhere.
Who do I blame for that?



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 8602693626775921286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:04:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:03:56 GMT
References: <9es715$3p5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528000356.22777.00001413@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22497

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu  (Jeffrey Herman)

>(Note that the CW transmission won't contain any of those time-wasting
```

>"ah" or "um" words that we hear over phone.)

-...-   -...- -...-

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5627694789496519862
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:06:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:05:26 GMT
References: <3B11AA70.4413D38F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528000526.22777.00001414@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22498

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Earth to Jeffrey: The dictation system used by the secretaries does all
>that starting and stopping automatically. And besides, Intel has full
>voice recognition and translation available on a desktop PC. It takes
>an audio file in and outputs an ASCII text file. Where the heck have
>you been all this century?

So you are now proposing to add voice-recognition software to the array of secretaries and the legal-limit transmitters to conduct emergency communications at 200 wpm?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3836277042053879006
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:07:24 PST
Message-ID: <3B11CE85.91966DF@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 23:05:25 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9es715$3p5$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010528000356.22777.00001413@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp 991022327 64.240.47.105 (27 May 2001 22:58:47 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22499

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu  (Jeffrey Herman)
>
> >(Note that the CW transmission won't contain any of those time-wasting
> >"ah" or "um" words that we hear over phone.)
>
> -...-   -...-  -...-

.... ..   .... ..


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -7116664252562490249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:08:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:08:06 GMT
References: <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528000806.22777.00001415@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22500

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>You can try to ignore it into infinity, but it won't ehlp. They are there, and here inside the ARS by the thousands. Of course Riley can't issue them citations, they don't use callsigns when doing their dastardly acts. That you expect it to be otherwise is even yet more revealing of your mindset.

If they are not using call signs, how do you know they are hams? I think that those that are throwing the carriers on 14.313 might not even number in the hundreds. The majority of those that have been cited for rules violations on the air have been long-time hams. On what basis do you know that they are also freebanders?

If there is any ignoring going on here, Dick, you seem to be doing most of it..

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7755516362549983l5
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:09:45 PST
Message-ID: <3B11CF0D.E34BEE8B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 23:07:41 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B11AA70.4413D38F@IEEE.org> <20010528000526.22777.00001414@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.105
X-Trace: corp 991022464 64.240.47.105 (27 May 2001 23:01:04 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22501

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Earth to Jeffrey: The dictation system used by the secretaries does all
> >that starting and stopping automatically. And besides, Intel has full
> >voice recognition and translation available on a desktop PC. It takes
> >an audio file in and outputs an ASCII text file. Where the heck have
> >you been all this century?
>
> So you are now proposing to add voice-recognition software to the array of
> secretaries and the legal-limit transmitters to conduct emergency
> communications at 200 wpm?

I'm sure that's what Intel has done by now. Intel is serious about
emergency communications, Ed. They learned their lessons during the
World Series earthquake.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7301898629006671183
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:11:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:10:23 GMT
References: <9es36d$kgv$2@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528001023.22777.00001417@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22502

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Actually, I never did soak my head, Dick. I think I was concerned it might
>> turn me into to someone who has little to offer other than childish
>insults.
>> They really do more to hurt what you say are your goals than to help.
>
> Ah, I see. You didn't *need* to soak your head, you were already one
>who issues the insults while masquerading as a defender of ham radio but
>in a most one sided way and dishonest way.

Which of my words do you feel was a childish insult. I admit they were not
very complimentary of you, but I don't think they are down there with "go soak
your head."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6790198408410008889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:14:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 22:30:03 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3B11B826.F7D5D141@sccoast.net>
References: <20010526235748.10524.00004807@nso-md.aol.com> <20010527085649.04987.00001650@ng-fy1.aol.com> <Z1cQ6.49475$4f7.3632871@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-52.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991016953 482923 207.144.64.182 (28 May 2001 02:29:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 02:29:13 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22503

Richard McCollum wrote:
>

> BTW the only 'duck and cover' drill I
> have ever experienced was on the History
> Channel. Our school super thought the
> whole thing was meadow muffins and never
> ran the drill.

The drills were state mandated requirements in many parts of the country. And those drills can save lives. Remember, the drills were not designed for those at ground zero. In the fringe areas of a nuclear explosion, however, those in sheltered areas (even against a wall or under a desk) do have a better chance of surviving. And that fact is still true today. Of course, with the number and size of nuclear weapons today, one cannot be exactly sure what kind of world one might survive in.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From -6158122966934397657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:14:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:13:59 GMT
References: <9es1r4$dmc$1@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528001359.22777.00001418@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22504

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>These guys know that no current nor past commonly produced ham rig will
>work any digital mode without an external digital controller. They are
>just blowing smoke up each others tailpipes.

Well, of course they require something, whether it is is a simple keyboard like some rigs, a computer like others, to toggle the FSK input or a full-blown TNC like most of the digital compatible rigs.

I never thought to say that because I felt it was stating the obvious that a digital mode required some sort of digital I/O. Perhaps it was not obvious to everyone. I will gladly correct my oversight.

Can I presume that you will always add that a CW compatible rig requires the use of an external key, keyer or computer to send CW and that a voice compatible rig, with the exception of most HTs, requires an external microphone? That would put the requirement to state the obvious equally on both of us.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4263344249543888871
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:17:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:16:28 GMT
References: <9es230$dmc$2@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528001628.22777.00001419@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22505

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>And I thought Cecil said no one abused him when he was a beginner!
>Looks like they were seriously trying to get rid of him.

It was me who said that no one abused him when he was a begginer. Your response was unprintable. I do admit that I was remembering things from over 35 years in the past, so my memory may be faulty. Obviously your memory of my youth is better than mine is.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7241408716985065866
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:18:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!

audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:18:02 GMT
References: <9es2p4$j3o$1@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528001802.22777.00001420@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22506

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>CAM wrote:

>No I'm "admitting" that both you and Cecil are full of bullhockey...

Um, Dick -- Cecil IS Cecil...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4641405124130118118
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:20:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!
news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:20:15 GMT
References: <9es2k8$j3o$0@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528002015.22777.00001421@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22507

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> All my ICOM gear has RTTY listed as one of the modes, Dick. An Extra
>> should know RTTY is FSK.

> Yep. They do say RTTY on a mode position. So try to work RTTY on one
>out of the box, you clown.

What mode can be worked on that rig without the addition of some external I/O component?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 606441053117631796
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:23:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:22:49 GMT
References: <3B1181DA.BEB50868@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010528002249.22777.00001422@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22508

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>That doesn't seem as far-fetched as someone dying on the Interstate.

In what way is dying on the interstate far fetched? From the statistics I recall, there are tens of thousands of such deaths in the US every year. I am sure someone somewhere got run over in a parking lot, but I don't recall that appearing on the local news.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3468569780976734238
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:27:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:26:45 GMT
References: <9es3to$nr0$0@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528002645.22777.00001423@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22509

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Why do you insist on acting dumb? FSK is NOT a mode, it is a method of
>modulating a transmitter that requires an encoder/decoder -OUTBOARD on
>virtually all rigs today as in the past, an external box, an add-on
>modem that is NOT a part of any mainstream ham rig.

FSK is as much a mode as OOK CW.

>And I had considered you folks in Newington to be sharp. No
>more.

Because I don't agree with you on rec.radio.amateur.policy from Burlington, CT?
 I can, if you like, provide you with some examples of good things that I have
in Newington that might change your mind.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -608247010694066990
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:29:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:28:55 GMT
References: <9es33b$kgv$1@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528002855.22777.00001424@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22510

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>So here's the complete post so all can see how dishonest you really
>are::::

Thanks for including it in its entirety, Dick. I think that it stands on its own that my statement that when I was a young ham, I did not suffer abuse at the hands of the more experienced hams. If your memory of my youth is different than mine, I am sure people will put that into the proper perspective.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3708155996555503199
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:36:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:36:23 GMT
References: <3B1181DA.BEB50868@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010528003623.13868.00001344@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22511

In article <3B1181DA.BEB50868@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3B0FCC5D.A33449BF@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>writes:
>>
>> >If someone runs over a pedestrian in a Walmart parking lot because
>> >their door openers caused the radar detector to go off, do you think
>> >Walmart would be responsible?
>>
>> How would someone driving at anything like a resonable parking lot speed
>run
>> over a pedestrian in a parking lot because their radar detector went off?
>
>That doesn't seem as far-fetched as someone dying on the Interstate.
>
You didn't answer the question, Cecil.

I can imagine all sorts of situations at high speed where jamming on the brakes could result in a fatality, if they are applied so hard that the car starts "fishtailing".

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 9001906163800770897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 21:36:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 142
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 04:36:23 GMT
References: <Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528003623.13868.00001343@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22512

In article <Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>You bring up a very interesting point above, Dick. And it's one that made
>me think back and reflect on the issue of the Restructuring debate that has
>been and is taking place. You are in defense, above, of "those of us who
>passed a code test among all the other requirements in effect at the time of
>our licensing" and the people you feel are ridiculing you.
>
>I can remember when the Restructuring debate was taking place on the air
>around here. Most who had been licensed in the past few years--and many who
>are/were Tech+ at the time--were merely discussing the topic and how we felt
>about it. I think you would have found the preponderence of opinion among
>that group to be that we thought it was a good idea for the hobby.

It would be intersting to hear the reasons behind that belief, Kim. Personally, I recommended 5 wpm General and closing down the Novice and Tech Plus to new licenses.
>
>As I recall, the "older/longer" licensed hams would come in to the
>conversation with comments about how we were "lite" hams, hams who did not
>count as real hams, hams who would never be among the ranks of real hams,
>etc.

That's terrible. How could they know what people would eventually do?

I remember back in 1967, when I first got my license, how some other hams said it "wasn't a real license" (no 'phone privileges in a 1967 Novice) and my setup

"wasn't a real rig" (it didn't cost nearly enough money). Didn't bother me - I
was having too much fun.

>I really don't remember any of us who were new licensees being all
>that fired up about name-calling, and insulting the older hams--even after
>that onslaught of disrespect.

Usenet is another story, though.....
>
>So, I was trying to remember a lot of the posts on this NG from back then.
>Maybe I'm wrong, but I don't think so. I think the offense was on behalf of
>the "older/longer" licensed hams, and those of us who are "newer/shorter"
>licensees followed by example and became very defensive about it. And,
>there were those who came into the middle of the posts and just took off
>with whatever they delighted in--mostly just being assholes.

On BOTH sides. Google now has the archives back online, y'know.
>
>> I am sure it was there, but I was fortunate enough
>> > that the people I met were not the type who felt they had to insult the
>> > newcomers.
>> >
>>
>> If you're afraid someone will chase off some of the more useless
>> examples of humanity who pose as real hams while bashing all CW
>> operators (you're one too, remember) you'll just have to deal with it.
>> If they are that thin skinned they need to go. They're of no value even
>> to themselves.
>>
>
>I'd like to set the record straight, since I may never have done that, and
>help you to realize some of the misperceptions that become obvious in the
>above statements. I am speaking for myself, of course, but I think you'd
>find that most of us "newer/shorter" licensees would express the same
>sentiment:
>
>1. I respect the fact that many of my fellow hams were licensed under much
>more rigorous standards;

They have varied all over the place. The 1967-1970 tests I took were wimpy
compared to the pre-WW2 ones where you had to write essays and draw diagrams.

>2. I don't think I have ever had a bad feeling toward "older/longer"
>licensed hams about their having passed higher speed CW tests than me to
>have gotten their license. Quite the contrary, I admire their
>perserverence;

Thank you.

>3. I don't think I have ever singled out any particular licensing phase a
>ham went through and deemed whether it was more or less important than what
>I or anyone else went through;
>4. I hope no one has ever gotten the idea that I "disrespect" someone

>because of their affiliation with a particular mode of operation on ham
>radio, because that is not the case.
>
>What I do get upset about is being judged by any other ham, or person for
>that matter, to be greater or lesser than they just because I got somewhere
>differently than they did.

Thanks for making that clear, Kim. Some of your comments in the past may have been misinterpreted.

> Do we not deserve the freedom we have in this
>country because we did not "work for it" the way our forefathers did? Are
>we lesser beings because we have not "worked for the right" to be humans the
>way our ancestors did? No.

Agreed. Basic human rights are due to everyone.

>Am I a lesser ham because I did not pass a
>higher speed CW test than someone else? No.
> And it would be silly to base
>someone's worthiness to be a ham on just one of many, many modes that are
>available in our hobby. There are even those hams who really don't even
>operate on the air all that much at all--but they sure have helped in other
>ways such as experimentation, politics, new equipment, etc.

What it really comes down to is "level of demonstrated accomplishment". The license is a privilege, not a right. Your analogy doesn't work because of that fact.

The situation is somewhat like the following:

Since the 1920s, a marathon has been defined as a footrace of 26.22 miles (26 miles, 385 yards). Running one at any reasonable speed is a major athletic accomplishment. Earning the title "marathoner" or "marathon runner" is not easy, and is respected by practically all runners and athletes.

Now suppose the folks who decide such things thought it would be a good idea to reduce the marathon distance to, say, 10 miles. Lots more people would run marathons if that were done, and they could call themselves "marathon runners" honestly. But would it be the same accomplishment? No. That's the real source of a lot of the animosity.

Here in EPA there was and is no animosity of the type you describe. At least not that I hear on the air or see at ham gatherings. What's a "real ham"? Simple - anybody who has a license (any class), plays by the rules, and doesn't mess up other people's legal fun.

--

Of course the FCC has made its ruling, and they are telling us in no uncertain terms that we should not bother them with details. The debate is over and done, and all that's keeping 5 wpm alive is the treaty requirement. Written tests are simplified from what they were because the FCC doesn't see the need for much of that, either. After all, most of the violations in the ARS these days are not of a technical nature.

Seems pretty clear to me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -1871240380440450052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:04:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 05:03:53 GMT
References: <3B11092A.664B292B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528010353.05666.00004555@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22514

In article <3B11092A.664B292B@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>One day my Elmer said, let's measure your body resistance and handed
>me two wires. I grabbed them. The other end was plugged into a 110vac
>wall socket. :-(Is that abuse?

Cecil:

No, I'd call it attempted murder. Did you have him arrested?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1483059218862100343
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:04:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-

mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 05:03:52 GMT
References: <3B09221B.438DA3A6@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528010352.05666.00004553@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22513

In article <3B09221B.438DA3A6@IEEE.org>, W6RCecilA <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>Larry envisions a brave new world where the only survivors are code-tested
>hams who possess the entire radio spectrum and are masters of the lowly
>no-code females. :-)

Cecil:

That works for me!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6868693893771570329
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:04:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 05:03:49 GMT
References: <20010526085050.22020.00001329@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528010349.05666.00004547@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22515

In article <20010526085050.22020.00001329@ng-mj1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>
>>Hey Larry, can I borrow yer forktruck for the weekend? I got a job in
>>Newington . .
>
>I don't live in Newington..

No problem, Brian, I'll have the Hyster delivered to Burlington for you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7022084943953011182
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:04:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 05:03:54 GMT
References: <20010527090105.04987.00001652@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528010354.05666.00004558@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22516

In article <20010527090105.04987.00001652@ng-fy1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Wrong. The question was very simple and direct: Which do you
>>grab first -- the key or the mic?
>
>Oh, THAT was the question. I answered it.
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Ed:

When? Where? I don't recall seeing a simple, direct answer to the
question. I'm sure you won't mind repeating it for me.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7297680397828869726
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:04:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 05:03:50 GMT
References: <20010526111714.09604.00001412@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010528010350.05666.00004549@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22517

In article <20010526111714.09604.00001412@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>I have disagreed with Larry and Dick on a number of occasions, but if they do
>not think that causing other drivers to fishtail at high speed is amusing, we
>have found some common ground.

Ed:

I am definitely *not* amused with drivers fishtailing at high speed after their radar detector was triggered by some prankster. Please see my other posting on this matter.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4929075431382320937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:04:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 05:03:54 GMT
References: <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-fy1.aol.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528010354.05666.00004557@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22519

In article <20010527084259.04987.00001645@ng-fy1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>And NONE handle FSK nor any other digital mode (except CW) without
>>external gear equally sohiisticated as the rig itself.
>
>And none handle voice without an external microphone or OOK CW without an
>external key or paddle.
>
>And Small Wonder's PSK rigs handle PSK-31 directly.

All:

If anyone's thinking about getting one of these rigs, check it out
first at http://www.smallwonderlabs.com/.

73 de Larry, K3LT
PSK-31 skeds wanted with any and all newsgroup participants!

From 7102400981374202061
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:04:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 05:03:49 GMT
References: <3b0e991b.39760880@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528010349.05666.00004548@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22518

In article <3b0e991b.39760880@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>>If it is so "dead easy," why don't you take me up on my offer and memorize

>1000
>>Russian definitions in a weekend without understanding? That would shut me
>up.
>>:-)
>>
>Hey Larry, can I borrow yer forktruck for the weekend? I got a job in
>Newington . .
>>

Brian:

Sure. The keys are are on the keyboard, the ones with the "Hyster"
tag on the key ring.

BTW, if you can figure a way into the Hyster web page, please let
me know! All I get are smiling faces. www.hyster.com/splashpage.ace

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7397379651228249815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7a7b9d720ad42210
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:04:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 05:03:52 GMT
References: <20010527101003.28933.00001758@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Disgusting 'Puke.' thread
Message-ID: <20010528010352.05666.00004554@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22520

In article <20010527101003.28933.00001758@ng-ci1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>   Problem is that "regurgitation" is 5 syllables. Many herein may be wont
>to understand the thread.

Steve:

Right. Especially those who misuse the English language. You have
just said "Many herein may be (accustomed) to understand the thread."
Is that what you really meant? Or did you *want* to say "Many herein

may be (left *wanting*) to understand the thread?"

"Wont" means to be accustomed to or familiar with something. "Want" means a need or deficit. The two are not interchangeable.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7103099506670771092
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:04:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 05:03:52 GMT
References: <20010523180657.10307.00000083@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528010352.05666.00004552@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22521

In article <20010523180657.10307.00000083@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Why would I want to kill a mode that I use extensively, Dick? I feel the
>mantra that you and Larry promote that it is a horrible mode unless people
>are
>forced to learn it is about as code-killer as I could imagine. My message is
>that it is useful and a lot of fun.

Ed:

I apologize in advance to Dick for speaking in his behalf without his knowledge, but we have never said any such thing. What we have stated is that before the "usefulness" and "fun" of Morse code becomes apparent to the user, a significant amount of time and effort must be expended in learning how to use it at a practical proficiency level. Our intent is not to be anything like "code-killer." It is merely to be objective and realistic.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -53243886170975801
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:04:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 05:03:51 GMT
References: <20010526110509.09604.00001409@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528010351.05666.00004551@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22522

In article <20010526110509.09604.00001409@ng-cs1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>In my case, the answer is very easy. The only mode I would be apt to have
>with
>me would be CW because I not keep my HT charged or even own a working
>microphone. I certainly wouldn't have any mode with me except for CW if I
>were
>in that situation.
>
>Do I get the 2nd lobster, or not?

Ed:

Sorry, no cigar -- or lobster, in this case. I figured for sure you'd say
you would choose a voice mode first.

>Now, I imagine that hams who had a choice of mode would try a voice mode
>first.

Hmmm. I think perhaps I should give you a conditional "win" now, but
the question was what *you* would do, so I'll not gratuitously double
my lobster debt to you at this time!

BTW I sent a posting earlier today saying you hadn't yet answered
the question, but just now found this after doing a more thorough
search. Thank you for your patience.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7045501121486966834
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:04:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 05:03:53 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdyz7l7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528010353.05666.00004556@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22523

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gdyz7l7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>
> It would have made you a Combat Defense Force member, the special security police of SAC during the early 60s. Blue berets, white grips on the .357 chromed Magnums, the whole bit.

Phil:

My personal image of something called a "Combat Defense Force" would be rough, tough, highly-trained SOLDIERS (you know -- someone trained out in the mud, the rain, the cold, the sweltering heat, etc., not some Airman given an occasional 1-week bivouac under "field" conditions) wearing combat fatigues (or, these days, BDU's) and armed with a selective-fire assault rifle, a "combat-tuned" M1911A1 .45 ACP semi-auto pistol strictly as a defensive sidearm, and plenty of ammo for each. Any sissy-boy wearing a blue beret, a white-gripped, chromed anything, and the "whole bit" is just window dressing. The Air Force, particularly during the Cold War era, was famous for smartly-dressed Security Police who could generally be swept aside by a small force of grandmothers carrying brooms. Air Force installations should have been guarded and defended by Army Rangers or U.S. Marines -- the guys who specialize in ground combat. The Air Force Security Police should have stuck to checking I.D.'s at the gate and handing out speeding tickets in base housing areas.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2520758874123540685
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:04:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 05:03:50 GMT
References: <JXPP6.7395$lP5.3017015@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010528010350.05666.00004550@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22524

In article <JXPP6.7395$lP5.3017015@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>, "Bob & Weave" <bob@weaver.com> writes:

>> > >To amuse himself, he keys that transmitter
>> > >when he's tooling down the interstate with his camper and a speeder
>> > >passes him. He's seen drivers go into fish-tails while slowing down.
>> >
>> > Will he be equally amused if someone dies in the resulting accident?
>Please
>> > ask him and tell us his response.
>>
>> What do the police do when their radar guns causes someone to die in the
>> resulting accident? Egads Ed, you're starting to sound like Larry and
>Dick.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil

For the record, I absolutely DO NOT approve of the use of transmitters that falsely trigger radar detectors, for the simple reason that it is, in fact, a safety hazard due to the reaction of the speeding driver. I much prefer sound, effective enforcement of speed and reckless/aggressive driving laws. Anyone who triggers radar detectors is fully responsible for whatever negative consequences result from his actions. You must ask yourself before doing something like that -- "Do I really want to kill someone just because he is speeding with a radar detector?"

People who speed using radar detectors are committing a criminal act which compromises the safety of their fellow motorists. We don't need self-appointed vigilantes or goofball pranksters on the road making a bad situation worse.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 520279920645488907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 22:48:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sun, 27 May 2001 23:47:33 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172> <Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-52.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991021596 490556 207.144.64.182 (28 May 2001 03:46:36 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 03:46:36 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22526
```

Tad Danley wrote:

> This kind of thing is not really new. In
> 1969 I became interested in ham radio and
> tried to find someone in my area to give
> me the Technician test, which was given by
> a volunteer examiner and not the FCC. I
> knew about two hams - one lived near me
> and I had spotted his antennas, and the
> other ran an electronics repair business
> in the small town where I lived. When I
> contacted them, they both said pretty much
> the same thing: "Why don't you keep
> studying until you can pass the 13 wpm code
> test and General theory before the FCC,
> after all, Novices and Technicians aren't
> 'real' hams because those theory tests and
> 5 wpm code tests are too easy."

It got much worse around here. About six years ago, a local ham radio club in this area (near, but not in, Myrtle Beach, SC) flatly refused to offer the Technician exam. They continued to offer exam sessions, but those wanting to take the Technician exam were told that it was not available, but they could take the exam for a another license (at which point they were lectured about the benefits of code and encouraged to study it).

After a number of complaints, and a big fight with someone at ARRL (I'm not sure of the details), the club simply stopped offering exam sessions. Since the Myrtle Beach club also greatly reduced their exam sessions at about the same time, people in the area who wanted to take the exam sessions had to drive across the state line to Wilmington (about 70 miles North of Myrtle Beach).

This situation continued for a couple of years until the Myrtle Beach club increased the frequency of their exam sessions. And, as I understand it, the first club is now offering exam sessions again.

Sadly, while this situation is extreme and hopefully rare, it is not my only example of an anti-Technician attitude at an exam session. When my wife took her exam in Indianapolis (IN), she was visibly proud when she passed. However, instead of congratulating her success, the guy who handed my wife the completion certificate downplayed the Technician license, urged her not to get too interested in VHF because she would probably upgrade soon, and flatly told my wife the "real fun" of Amateur Radio was on the HF bands where the people were more "friendly" and just a "better class of people" all around. Instead of causing a scene by arguing with this clown, we left and spent the next hour talking about what she gotten herself into when she passed that Technician exam. Luckily, other than an incident when she first went on the air with her new license, I have been able to shield her from this nonsense (I urge her not to participate in this newsgroup, for example).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From -3194982471990492551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 23:00:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 00:02:57 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net>
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-52.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991022519 490911 207.144.64.182 (28 May 2001 04:01:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 04:01:59 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22527

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > My point, Dick, is that the majority of
> > those who want a lawless environment
> > are not choosing to become hams. I think
> > the reasons for my conclusion is best
> > illustrated by a look at those who have
> > received letters from Riley. The majority
> > of them are old time hams, not any that I
> > can identify as former freebanders.
> >
> > Can you provide any examples of those you
> > feel joined ham radio so they could break
> > the law?
>
> You can try to ignore it into infinity,
> but it won't ehlp. They are there, and
> here inside the ARS by the thousands. Of
> course Riley can't issue them citations,
> they don't use callsigns when doing their
> dastardly acts. That you expect it to be
> otherwise is even yet more revealing of
> your mindset.

In this area, I personally know 99 percent of the "freebanders," and I can state with a high level of certainty that none of them are licensed hams because I also know 99 percent of the ham operators in this area. And I see no reason why this trend would be greatly different in other parts of the country. In other words, based on this sampling, it is safe to assume that no more than a tiny percentage of the "freebanders" nation-wide are licensed hams.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

```
From -616209459359842190
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-27 23:57:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!
204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010526235748.10524.00004807@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010527085649.04987.00001650@ng-fy1.aol.com> <Z1cQ6.49475$4f7.3632871@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B11B826.F7D5D141@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 45
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <oFmQ6.3448$kh4.296316@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 06:57:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.123
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991033044 12.75.102.123 (Mon, 28 May
2001 06:57:24 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 06:57:24 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22528
```

```
"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B11B826.F7D5D141@sccoast.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > BTW the only 'duck and cover' drill I
> > have ever experienced was on the History
> > Channel.  Our school super thought the
> > whole thing was meadow muffins and never
> > ran the drill.
>
>
>   The drills were state mandated requirements in many parts of the
> country.  And those drills can save lives.  Remember, the drills were
> not designed for those at ground zero.  In the fringe areas of a
```

> nuclear explosion, however, those in sheltered areas (even against a wall or under a desk) do have a better chance of surviving. And that fact is still true today. Of course, with the number and size of nuclear weapons today, one cannot be exactly sure what kind of world one might survive in.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>

There may have been a few higher priority targets than SAC (in those days) but not many. We were still in the airplane and glorified V-2 era. One of the very real problems was that while a bomb might blow a 5 mile hole in the ground and the guidance systems of the era might get it to 2 miles of intention, we honestly did not know where Moscow was closer than about 20 miles; it took the satellites to do that and I had just started hi school when Sputnik went up and the Cape was known as Malfunction Junction. So virtually any attack would have had to have been multiple bombs. Most of Eastern Nebraska would have been left to the cockroaches.
This is one reason the missles in Cuba (or Turkey) were the big deal they were; not only did you lose warning, they might actually have hit something.

You didn't tell Harry Burke much of nothing.

One of the early cruise missles did navigation by the stars, believe it or not. Called a Snark. It almost worked.

Dick N�BK

From -4060501048327144809
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 00:01:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org> <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11A5B8.EC64D80D@IEEE.org> <9esejr$rvj$1@208.207.71.155>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400

```
Message-ID: <3JmQ6.3450$kh4.297071@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 07:01:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.123
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991033279 12.75.102.123 (Mon, 28 May
2001 07:01:19 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 07:01:19 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22529


"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9esejr$rvj$1@208.207.71.155...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > > I am not going to reset anything just to read your posts, Cecil!!
> > > Unix/trn margins are set at the standard 80-column width.
> >
> > 80-column widths are not standard anymore, Jeff, and are obsolete just
> > like Morse code testing. You are living in the past. Sorry about that.
>
>
>  Good luck changing the rest of the world. They are still on 80.

Even then, you would transmit narrower so that the addition of a few >>> to
keep the thread straight would not cause the single-word overlap.

Dick BK




From -1214352777380489380
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 00:56:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 112
X-Priority: 3
```

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 07:56:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.123
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991036573 12.75.102.123 (Mon, 28 May 2001 07:56:13 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 07:56:13 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22530

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net...
> Tad Danley wrote:
> >
> > This kind of thing is not really new. In
> > 1969 I became interested in ham radio and
> > tried to find someone in my area to give
> > me the Technician test, which was given by
> > a volunteer examiner and not the FCC. I
> > knew about two hams - one lived near me
> > and I had spotted his antennas, and the
> > other ran an electronics repair business
> > in the small town where I lived. When I
> > contacted them, they both said pretty much
> > the same thing: "Why don't you keep
> > studying until you can pass the 13 wpm code
> > test and General theory before the FCC,
> > after all, Novices and Technicians aren't
> > 'real' hams because those theory tests and
> > 5 wpm code tests are too easy."
>
>
>   It got much worse around here. About six years ago, a local ham
> radio club in this area (near, but not in, Myrtle Beach, SC) flatly
> refused to offer the Technician exam. They continued to offer exam
> sessions, but those wanting to take the Technician exam were told that
> it was not available, but they could take the exam for a another
> license (at which point they were lectured about the benefits of code
> and encouraged to study it).
>
>   After a number of complaints, and a big fight with someone at ARRL
> (I'm not sure of the details), the club simply stopped offering exam
> sessions. Since the Myrtle Beach club also greatly reduced their exam
> sessions at about the same time, people in the area who wanted to take
> the exam sessions had to drive across the state line to Wilmington
> (about 70 miles North of Myrtle Beach).
>
>   This situation continued for a couple of years until the Myrtle
> Beach club increased the frequency of their exam sessions. And, as I

> understand it, the first club is now offering exam sessions again.
>
> Sadly, while this situation is extreme and hopefully rare, it is not my only example of an anti-Technician attitude at an exam session. When my wife took her exam in Indianapolis (IN), she was visibly proud when she passed. However, instead of congratulating her success, the guy who handed my wife the completion certificate downplayed the Technician license, urged her not to get too interested in VHF because she would probably upgrade soon, and flatly told my wife the "real fun" of Amateur Radio was on the HF bands where the people were more "friendly" and just a "better class of people" all around. Instead of causing a scene by arguing with this clown, we left and spent the next hour talking about what she gotten herself into when she passed that Technician exam. Luckily, other than an incident when she first went on the air with her new license, I have been able to shield her from this nonsense (I urge her not to participate in this newsgroup, for example).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

And nonsense it be. But I would remind you that mountains look different after they have been climbed. As an ex-VE I had some real ethical problems giving Tech tickets and have lived to see my reservations come to pass. There is a time and place to express those reservations and I quite agree that an exam session is neither the time nor place.

Organizationally, the Tech in the days of 5-13-20 were a major pain in the buns to administer. I was fortunate in having Advanced VEs who could do it more or less as a separate session which solved my ethical problems (and I was/am far from the only one) and the logistics at the same time.

The ethical problem was simple. For reasons I have elaborated on here, the Codeless Tech as a bread-and-milk license was needed and necessary although there are simpler ways to skin that cat. Where I got off the trolley was when it was sold as an 'entry-level' Amateur license which it most certainly is and was not. Regardless of one's feelings, Morse is and most certainly was a requirement in 1991. Selling it as entry level was a fraud, making the first step trivial while making the next one a lulu. I did not like participating in a bait-and-switch.

As could be expected, the switchees did not advance in the hobby but then neither did the coded version dating from the 50s; the present situation was quite predictable. So after the newly-coined Tech came to the club, the OTs could either continue the pretense to no one's benefit or haul out the prod and speak truth; no CW, no laundry. In this particular no-win situation, I found it best to deliver truth as kindly as possible but even Dr. Welby had a problem telling someone he was going to die.

So the seeds for conflict were sown. There was and are status games being played and it is indeed difficult for those who have not climbed the mountain to see the view from the other side; so as a practical matter the gentle prod and the status game, understandably, became one and the same to the Techs involved. To the guy who was just sold the Brooklyn Bridge, it makes little difference if the crowd is laughing or just trying to tell him he was robbed.

The march of time may well end the war. It will not be the same Amateur Service I joined but then it isn't the first revolution we have survived. I have often had a soft spot, although we certainly do not agree on theology, for the priests who joined the Church in the days of Bing Crosby and Barry Fitzgerald and he ain't heavy Father he's my brother and have lived thru John XXIII and all that ensued.

I cannot agree with what you have encountered but I sure as hell understand it.

Dick N�BK

From -2817802998190690671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 04:43:52 PST
Message-ID: <3B123997.65EB18AE@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 06:42:15 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B11092A.664B292B@IEEE.org> <20010528010353.05666.00004555@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp 991049736 64.240.47.95 (28 May 2001 06:35:36 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!

corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22531

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >One day my Elmer said, let's measure your body resistance and handed
> >me two wires. I grabbed them. The other end was plugged into a 110vac
> >wall socket. :-(Is that abuse?
>
> No, I'd call it attempted murder. Did you have him arrested?

Back when I was a kid, Larry, it was survival of the fittest, something
you youngsters wouldn't understand.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7491759400653618544
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 04:44:39 PST
Message-ID: <3B1239CB.9F6FD550@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 06:43:08 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9es33b$kgv$1@208.207.71.139> <20010528002855.22777.00001424@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp 991049789 64.240.47.95 (28 May 2001 06:36:29 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22532
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >So here's the complete post so all can see how dishonest you really
> >are::::
>
> Thanks for including it in its entirety, Dick. I think that it stands on its
> own that my statement that when I was a young ham, I did not suffer abuse at
> the hands of the more experienced hams. If your memory of my youth is
> different than mine, I am sure people will put that into the proper
> perspective.

Dick's been reading Tarot cards again.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1525538339229897 58
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 04:51:48 PST
Message-ID: <3B123B70.31FC6925@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 06:50:08 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp 991050210 64.240.47.95 (28 May 2001 06:43:30 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22533

Richard McCollum wrote:
> I cannot agree with what you have encountered but I sure as hell understand
> it.

If the no-code Techs would only adopt the Stockholm syndrome, all would
be well within ham radio.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8098261880164279948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 04:55:13 PST
Message-ID: <3B123C3E.994F4696@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 06:53:34 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp 991050416 64.240.47.95 (28 May 2001 06:46:56 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!feed.cgocable.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22534

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> In this area, I personally know 99 percent of the "freebanders," and
> I can state with a high level of certainty that none of them are
> licensed hams because I also know 99 percent of the ham operators in
> this area. And I see no reason why this trend would be greatly
> different in other parts of the country. In other words, based on
> this sampling, it is safe to assume that no more than a tiny
> percentage of the "freebanders" nation-wide are licensed hams.

Why would a lawbreaker go to the trouble of obtaining a ham license
only to keep breaking the law? That makes no sense whatsoever.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2131650502446691215
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:08:17 PST
Message-ID: <3B123F43.6C80B46F@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 07:06:27 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org> <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11A5B8.EC64D80D@IEEE.org> <9esejr$rvj$1@208.207.71.155>
<3JmQ6.3450$kh4.297071@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp 991051189 64.240.47.95 (28 May 2001 06:59:49 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!
newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22535
```

Richard McCollum wrote:

> Even then, you would transmit narrower so that the addition of a few >>> to
> keep the thread straight would not cause the single-word overlap.

80 characters corresponded to 640 horizontal pixels. We now have a
lot more pixels. Netscape doesn't have a problem with long lines.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2303940382347595893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:12:42 PST
Message-ID: <3B12404F.7D35DDCD@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 07:10:55 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010523180657.10307.00000083@ng-cu1.aol.com>
<20010528010352.05666.00004552@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp 991051457 64.240.47.95 (28 May 2001 07:04:17 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.kjsl.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22536
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Our intent is not to be anything like "code-killer." It is merely to
> be objective and realistic.

BOHICA!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4081951877174131255
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:13:51 PST
Message-ID: <3B124097.F2B1900A@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 07:12:07 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B1181DA.BEB50868@IEEE.org> <20010528002249.22777.00001422@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp 991051529 64.240.47.95 (28 May 2001 07:05:29 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22537
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >That doesn't seem as far-fetched as someone dying on the Interstate.
>
> In what way is dying on the interstate far fetched? From the statistics I

> recall, there are tens of thousands of such deaths in the US every year.

Tens of thousands of deaths due to radar detectors? They should be outlawed.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6923437608271347356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:18:05 PST
Message-ID: <3B12418B.CE71AD01@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 07:16:11 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B1181DA.BEB50868@IEEE.org> <20010528003623.13868.00001344@nso-
ba.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp 991051775 64.240.47.95 (28 May 2001 07:09:35 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22538
```

N2EY wrote:

> I can imagine all sorts of situations at high speed where jamming on the brakes
> could result in a fatality, if they are applied so hard that the car starts
> "fishtailing".

Yes, I can imagine people fishtailing when they see a UFO descending upon them.
Exactly how many people have been killed because their radar detector beeped?

When I had one of those things, it went off every three minutes, but I am still alive.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2432155717300005689
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:19:05 PST
Message-ID: <3B1241C7.80022860@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 07:17:11 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <20010526111714.09604.00001412@ng-cs1.aol.com>
<20010528010350.05666.00004549@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp 991051837 64.240.47.95 (28 May 2001 07:10:37 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!news.bu.edu!micro-heart-of-gold.mit.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22539

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I am definitely *not* amused with drivers fishtailing at high speed after
> their radar detector was triggered by some prankster.

What if the "prankster" was a radar cop?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2766959113498833720
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:20:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B124237.82F2CFA0@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 07:19:03 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <JXPP6.7395$lP5.3017015@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010528010350.05666.00004550@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.95
X-Trace: corp 991051954 64.240.47.95 (28 May 2001 07:12:34 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22540
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> For the record, I absolutely DO NOT approve of the use of transmitters
> that falsely trigger radar detectors, for the simple reason that it is, in
> fact, a safety hazard due to the reaction of the speeding driver.

My radar detector used to go off when I passed under high-power lines.
Do you advocate outlawing high-power lines?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2426384084216562408
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:23:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 12:22:16 GMT
References: <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528082216.19932.00000909@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22542

>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

> (I urge her not to participate in this newsgroup, for example).

Why?  W0EX says that there is no abuse of Technician-class licensees on this
forum.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -5181043875610239783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:26:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 12:26:02 GMT
References: <20010528010351.05666.00004551@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528082602.19932.00000910@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22543

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Do I get the 2nd lobster, or not?

>Sorry, no cigar -- or lobster, in this case.  I figured for sure you'd say
```

```
>you would choose a voice mode first.

So the rules of your game was that I would get the lobster if I answered the
way you were sure I would, but not get the lobster if I answered the way you
thought I woudln't?  Are you sure the game wasn't rigged?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 4622137513097073263
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:28:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 12:27:25 GMT
References: <20010528010351.05666.00004551@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528082725.19932.00000911@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22544

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

>BTW I sent a posting earlier today saying you hadn't yet answered
>the question, but just now found this after doing a more thorough
>search.  Thank you for your patience.

Sure.

Would you like to to continue the "Ed is acting like an eight-year-old child"
thread, or is that one you would prefer die out on its own accord?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From 1672701097538579211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:31:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
```

```
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 12:30:27 GMT
References: <20010528010354.05666.00004558@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528083027.19932.00000912@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22545

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>>Wrong.  The question was very simple and direct:  Which do you
>>>grab first -- the key or the mic?

>>Oh, THAT was the question. I answered it.
>>73,


>When?  Where?  I don't recall seeing a simple, direct answer to the
>question.  I'm sure you won't mind repeating it for me.

What? Do you mean you don't think that YOU should have to use those computer
search tools you chided ME for not using?

Why do you believe I should have to repeat the answer to a question you were
not willing to repeat?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 3972096573278078767
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:32:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 12:31:37 GMT
References: <3B123997.65EB18AE@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528083137.19932.00000913@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22546
```

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Back when I was a kid, Larry, it was survival of the fittest, something
>you youngsters wouldn't understand.

Musta' been before my time. When I was a kid, one person who tried to harm another was subject to arrest.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2990391473259768021
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:36:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 12:36:00 GMT
References: <3B123C3E.994F4696@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528083600.19932.00000914@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22547

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Why would a lawbreaker go to the trouble of obtaining a ham license
>only to keep breaking the law? That makes no sense whatsoever.

Keep in mind that the person who says that also believes that most of today's new hams are becoming hams not to learn about radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5128409220151211997
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:38:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 12:37:34 GMT
References: <3B11CF0D.E34BEE8B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528083734.19932.00000915@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22548

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>I'm sure that's what Intel has done by now. Intel is serious about
>emergency communications, Ed. They learned their lessons during the
>World Series earthquake.

I am sure they have and I am sure they did. Do you think that Amateur Radio should mirror that approach, or it the more simple approach of Amateur Radio part of its usefulness?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1774223233375814126
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:39:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 12:38:21 GMT
References: <3B11CE85.91966DF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528083821.19932.00000917@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22549

>> -...-   -...- -...-

>.... ..   ..

And every CW op here knows whereof I speak. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 439929342069017936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 05:59:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 08:28:13 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <3B124422.4A455F8F@sccoast.net>
References: <20010526235748.10524.00004807@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010527085649.04987.00001650@ng-fy1.aol.com> <Z1cQ6.49475$4f7.3632871@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B11B826.F7D5D141@sccoast.net>
<oFmQ6.3448$kh4.296316@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991052823 512317 207.144.228.30 (28 May 2001 12:27:03
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 12:27:03 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22550
```

Richard McCollum wrote:

> There may have been a few higher priority
> targets than SAC (in those days) but not
> many. We were still in the airplane and
> glorified V-2 era. One of the very real
> problems was that while a bomb might blow
> a 5 mile hole in the ground and the
> guidance systems of the era might get it
> to 2 miles of intention, we honestly did
> not know where Moscow was closer than
> about 20 miles; it took the satellites to
> do that and I had just started hi school
> when Sputnik went up and the Cape was
> known as Malfunction Junction.

Okay, you're talking about things a little bit before my time. I had just entered grade school when Sputnik did its thing. However, I do remember that my father went through the roof over it (he was in the Air Force at the time doing something in electronics at radar and radio listening facilities - never did figure out exactly what he did).

> So virtually any attack would have had to
> have been multiple bombs. Most of Eastern
> Nebraska would have been left to the
> cockroaches. [snip]

And the ants and termites (both do almost as well in a nuclear attack).

> This is one reason the missles in Cuba (or
> Turkey) were the big deal they were; not only
> did you lose warning, they might actually
> have hit something.
>
> You didn't tell Harry Burke much of nothing.
>
> One of the early cruise missles did navigation
> by the stars, believe it or not. Called a Snark.
> It almost worked.

They seemed to be messing with all kinds of ideas back then. I read they were also working on one designed to home in on radio broadcasts. That almost worked also. However, I don't think it ever got beyond the testing stage (probably because it was too easily defeated).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From -1682224567947140419
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 06:09:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172> <Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net> <xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B123B70.31FC6925@IEEE.org>

Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <06sQ6.48479$t12.3764063@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 13:09:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.32
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991055356 12.75.103.32 (Mon, 28 May 2001 13:09:16 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 13:09:16 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22551

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B123B70.31FC6925@IEEE.org...

> Richard McCollum wrote:
> > I cannot agree with what you have encountered but I sure as hell
> > understand
> > it.
>
> If the no-code Techs would only adopt the Stockholm syndrome, all would
> be well within ham radio.

You got me snowed.

From -1191602934899069534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 06:17:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010526235748.10524.00004807@nso-md.aol.com> <20010527085649.04987.00001650@ng-fy1.aol.com> <Z1cQ6.49475$4f7.3632871@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B11B826.F7D5D141@sccoast.net> <oFmQ6.3448$kh4.296316@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B124422.4A455F8F@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <odsQ6.48488$t12.3764784@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 13:17:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.32
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991055828 12.75.103.32 (Mon, 28 May 2001 13:17:08 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 13:17:08 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22552

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B124422.4A455F8F@sccoast.net...
> > One of the early cruise missles did navigation
> > by the stars, believe it or not. Called a Snark.
> > It almost worked.
>
>
>   They seemed to be messing with all kinds of ideas back then. I read
> they were also working on one designed to home in on radio broadcasts.
> That almost worked also. However, I don't think it ever got beyond
> the testing stage (probably because it was too easily defeated).
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

You are a newbie, my son. At one point in the game, all radio stations had to have CONELRAD alarms and cease transmitting instantly if all of the AM stations in the US suddenly switched to 640 and 1240 in the event of a national emergency and took up switching among them to confuse incoming bombers homed in on your local rock station. Some of the Amateur accommodations to this regulation gave lash-ups a bad name.

Dick

From 652365104107891901
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 06:25:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 08:58:16 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 50

Message-ID: <3B124B27.322051A7@sccoast.net>
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991054626 514343 207.144.228.30 (28 May 2001 12:57:06 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 12:57:06 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22553

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> And nonsense it be. But I would remind
> you that mountains look different after
> they have been climbed. As an ex-VE I
> had some real ethical problems giving
> Tech tickets and have lived to see my
> reservations come to pass. There is a
> time and place to express those
> reservations and I quite agree that an
> exam session is neither the time nor
> place. [snip]

Well, I'm glad to see that we at least agree on the fact that the exam session is no place for such nonsense. Again, I want to stress the incidents I described are rare (I've been to other exam sessions and, in each case, the examiners were nothing but polite and friendly).

> [snip] Where I got off the trolley was
> when it was sold as an 'entry-level'
> Amateur license which it most certainly
> is and was not. Regardless of one's
> feelings, Morse is and most certainly
> was a requirement in 1991. Selling it
> as entry level was a fraud, making
> the first step trivial while making
> the next one a lulu. [snip]

The problem I see with that is that you seem certain the only path to take in Amateur Radio is to advance up the license classes. However, I don't necessarily see it that way. Many General class operators, for example, have no desire to go beyond that particular license class (some have been in that class for decades). Likewise, for me, I see no reason at this point to go beyond the Technician license. I got into radio to tinker with electronics and the Technician license offers all I'm seeking in that regard at the moment. I probably will one day take the exam for a another license class, but I strongly suspect that I will rarely use the privileges offered there.

Take care, Dick.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From 2847570129918314620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 06:41:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!news.supernews.com!Queequeg
From: Queequeg@quahog.com (Queequeg)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Formatting Posts (was: Re: a bit of empathy)
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 13:41:48 -0000
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <slrn9h4lfp.rg.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org> <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B11A5B8.EC64D80D@IEEE.org> <9esejr$rvj$1@208.207.71.155> <3JmQ6.3450$kh4.297071@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B123F43.6C80B46F@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: quahog9000@deja.com
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 27
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22554

On Mon, 28 May 2001 07:06:27 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>80 characters corresponded to 640 horizontal pixels. We now have a
>lot more pixels. Netscape doesn't have a problem with long lines.

Properly formatted text is a joy to read, improperly formatted it is torture. It is not a question of the capability of the text editor or the news reader, but of the ability of the human eye and mind to follow a line of text to the end and to absorb the information therein contained. Formatting is just as important as grammar and spelling. Some suggestions:

1. Eighty characters wide is the absolute limit for reader-friendly text. 64 characters wide is better.

2. Separate paragraphs with a space.

3. Avoid use of HTML. It only tempts you to use fancy fonts and such, and adds unnecessarily to bandwidth. If you must, use monospaced fonts and observe other rules.

HTH
Q.

--
"Dead Whale or a Stove Boat" <---------[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[

From -1518540852020625744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:24:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:23:49 GMT
References: <X08Q6.1567$rn5.88018@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Message-ID: <20010528102349.06434.00001857@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22556

>Subject: Re: FCC finally
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 5/27/01 9:18 AM Central Daylight Time

>But of course that is almost exactly OPPOSITE of what he said. >Rather than do it >his< way, he said, in effect, "You guys figure out >your< way to play, and don't expect the FCC to provide detailed >regulation in the future."

And further in to his comments he stated that we didn't want them to make those decisions because NO ONE would be happy. No more, no less...

>By the way, I wrote a whimsical "simplification" of Part 97 (copy >below).

I am so proud of you.

The Gunny

From -3516604254856045951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:26:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:26:32 GMT
References: <20010527124233.05008.00001658@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010528102632.06434.00001858@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22557

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 5/27/01 11:42 AM Central Daylight Time

>Then why are you bringing in all sorts of FCC and even
>Congressional-oversight
>issues if it is just, in your mind, a singular event in which an FCC staffer
>made comments?

>>I<< haven't, Ed. No where have I said ANYTHING about "Congressional oversight issues". I said I didn't like the idea of an FCC staffer making what I perceived as threatening comments. Not a violent kind of threatening, mind you, but threatening none the less.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 1057536154282030146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:36:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:35:41 GMT
References: <20010527124756.05008.00001659@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010528103541.06434.00001859@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22558

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 5/27/01 11:47 AM Central Daylight Time

>Leaving out the ARRL part, that is exactly what Cross said in his >speech.

Which piques my wonder as to why he felt it necessary to make the comments he did. And WHY have they demonstrated a greater reluctance to put more "teeth" into the programs...?!?!

>Cross said that if he were asked to make a decision about that, not >everyone in the Amateur Radio Service would like that decision.

And it's at that point my concern arises. Of course, since his comments were simply transcriptsof his comments, and not a live delivery, one can take it many ways.

>Would you prefer that FCC staff never give anyone a heads up
>on these sorts of things?

What I would prefer is a staffer that said "I am from the FCC...How can I help you?", NOT "Take care of business or I will make the decisions for you".

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -3355889995517299668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:41:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:41:23 GMT
References: <20010527123619.05008.00001655@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010528104123.06434.00001860@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22559

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 5/27/01 11:36 AM Central Daylight Time

>I don't think that I have ever seen anyone
>else define that equality as "political."

That's not the way I intended it, Ed.

> Do you think the chain of authority that Phil describes doesn't
>exist?

I am sure it does. But "chain of command" in a federal agency does not necessarily mean that because I was hired by "John Q. Staffer" to work at the FCC, who WAS "appointed" by Congress, that >>I<< am appointed by Congress.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 6035096540892373256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:43:30 PST
Message-ID: <3B12639D.B68B6207@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 09:41:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B123997.65EB18AE@IEEE.org> <20010528083137.19932.00000913@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.67
X-Trace: corp 991060496 64.240.47.67 (28 May 2001 09:34:56 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

```
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22560
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Back when I was a kid, Larry, it was survival of the fittest, something
> >you youngsters wouldn't understand.
>
> Musta' been before my time. When I was a kid, one person who tried to harm
> another was subject to arrest.

In Texas, at least, anything that doesn't kill you makes you stronger.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2487087439292509566
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:44:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:43:57 GMT
References: <20010528082725.19932.00000911@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528104357.05666.00004622@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22561
```

In article <20010528082725.19932.00000911@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>Would you like to to continue the "Ed is acting like an eight-year-old child"
>thread

Ed:

No, I'll save it for when it is necessary.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8406936330461594108
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,7a7b9d720ad42210
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:44:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:44:06 GMT
References: <20010528010352.05666.00004554@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Disgusting 'Puke.' thread
Message-ID: <20010528104406.06434.00001861@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22562

>Subject: Re: Disgusting 'Puke.' thread
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/28/01 12:03 AM Central Daylight Time

>Right. Especially those who misuse the English language. You have
>just said "Many herein may be (accustomed) to understand the thread."
>Is that what you really meant? Or did you *want* to say "Many herein
>may be (left *wanting*) to understand the thread?"

Thanks, Larry. Glad to see some of that college education wasn't wasted.

Steve, K4YZ

From -4666485189124299313
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:44:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!

portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:43:54 GMT
References: <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordless phones?
Message-ID: <20010528104354.05666.00004615@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22563

In article <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us>, Tim Willson
<tim.willson@state.mn.us> writes:

>
>Anyone have any success or or suggestions about the method and
>equipment used to recover spread spectrum cordless telephone
>transmissions? They are in the 902 to 927 mHz range. Thanks
>for answering here, so that all may benefit.

Tim:

Sorry, no. I don't need to listen in on no steenking telephones, and
neither do you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3149219000220673395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:44:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:43:55 GMT
References: <3B123997.65EB18AE@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528104355.05666.00004618@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22565

In article <3B123997.65EB18AE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

```
>> No, I'd call it attempted murder.  Did you have him arrested?
>
>Back when I was a kid, Larry, it was survival of the fittest, something
>you youngsters wouldn't understand.

Cecil:

As a kid who had to fight his way through the first two years of high
school, I fully understand the concept of "survival of the fittest."  Howver,
I don't recall anyone ever trying to use deadly force against me -- such
as giving me a live electrical wire to grab onto.  I would certainly have
taken that much more seriously than any of the normal struggles
one endures during one's youth.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -1252991161463557686
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:44:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:43:53 GMT
References: <20010528082216.19932.00000909@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528104353.05666.00004614@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22564

In article <20010528082216.19932.00000909@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>Why?  W0EX says that there is no abuse of Technician-class licensees on this
>forum.

Ed:

Correct.  We only abuse people who whine about code testing
requirements, past and present, *regardless* of their license class!
Mrs. Stewart is perfectly welcome in here, and won't be abused, as
long as she doesn't whine!
```

Speaking for myself, I never ask what anyone's license class is --
so I wouldn't have been aware of her being a Technician until
Dwight mentioned it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 4412293703749710839
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:44:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:43:56 GMT
References: <20010528083137.19932.00000913@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528104356.05666.00004619@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22566
```

In article <20010528083137.19932.00000913@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Back when I was a kid, Larry, it was survival of the fittest, something
>>you youngsters wouldn't understand.
>
>Musta' been before my time. When I was a kid, one person who tried to harm
>another was subject to arrest.

Ed:

I wonder where you were brought up? In the little suburban enclave
where I was raised, we kids did a lot of "harm" to each other, and I don't
recall anyone ever being arrested! Thinking back on how I suffered at
the hands of bullies during my early school years, perhaps I should have
had some of them arrested, but I choose to get even instead! That was
a lot more satisfying!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 1257476312800874107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:44:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:43:57 GMT
References: <20010528082602.19932.00000910@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528104357.05666.00004621@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22569

In article <20010528082602.19932.00000910@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Sorry, no cigar -- or lobster, in this case. I figured for sure you'd say
>>you would choose a voice mode first.
>
>So the rules of your game was that I would get the lobster if I answered the
>way you were sure I would, but not get the lobster if I answered the way you
>thought I woudln't? Are you sure the game wasn't rigged?

Ed:

No, it wasn't rigged. I made up my mind that if you said you'd choose a voice mode first, you would have won and I'd owe you another lobster dinner. I was pretty certain you'd come out on the side of the no-coders here, just for the sake of political correctness. I was, in fact, pleased to see you say you'd choose CW first, but that wasn't the "winning" answer as far as the bet went. When you later stated that most hams would probably choose a voice mode, I was willing to give you the win on that, but the bet was about what *you* would do.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5731262130615628834
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:44:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-

```
mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:43:55 GMT
References: <3B124237.82F2CFA0@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010528104355.05666.00004617@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22567

In article <3B124237.82F2CFA0@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> For the record, I absolutely DO NOT approve of the use of transmitters
>> that falsely trigger radar detectors, for the simple reason that it is, in
>> fact, a safety hazard due to the reaction of the speeding driver.
>
>My radar detector used to go off when I passed under high-power lines.
>Do you advocate outlawing high-power lines?

Cecil:

No, I generally don't approve of outlawing anything, including radar
detectors.  However, I think anyone caught speeding with one in his
possession should receive a higher penalty in terms of fines/points.
High-voltage power lines are a simple modern necessity, a fact which is
obviously beyond your grasp.  That is something I can't help you with.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -4230845358222728384
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:44:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:43:54 GMT
References: <3B1241C7.80022860@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010528104354.05666.00004616@nso-fo.aol.com>
```

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22568

In article <3B1241C7.80022860@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I am definitely *not* amused with drivers fishtailing at high speed after
>> their radar detector was triggered by some prankster.
>
>What if the "prankster" was a radar cop?

Cecil:

Don't look now, but traffic cops don't use their radar guns as a "prank."
They do so with legal authority.  Any driver using a radar detector who
gets a warning, then brakes hard enough to fishtail, deserves to be
ticketed for speeding and reckless driving -- assuming he doesn't cause
an accident in the process.  The activation of his radar detector by
the police radar is not a deliberately dangerous act on the part of the
police officer, but the results of using a radar detector are the full
responsibility of the driver who uses one.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 4563459818812606985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:44:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:43:56 GMT
References: <20010528000000.22777.00001412@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528104356.05666.00004620@nso-fo.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22570

In article <20010528000000.22777.00001412@ng-mj1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>The fire sprinklers embedded in the ceiling of my condo have never
>>been activated, but I'm sure glad they're there!
>
>There have been more fires in the US than there have been occurrences of
```

>emergency communications that were conducted on CW by necessity.

Ed:

That's right, but the only people who are going to be protected by fire sprinklers are those who have sprinkler systems installed in their homes and businesses. You stated that there more fires in the U.S. than there "have been occurrences of emergency communications that were conducted on CW by necessity." I happen to agree. However, if memory serves me right, there is no federal law requiring fire sprinkler systems to be installed in each and every private home. Public residences must not have been covered by any such requirement up to the year 1987, because that's when my apartment building was built, and it does not have them! Three years ago, the apartment building adjacent to mine was burned to the ground when a 77-year old woman drove her car into a bank of gas meters and opened up the gas main. Fortunately, an alert member of the maintenence staff evacuated the building in time, but a sprinkler system would have prevented the total loss of the building and the property within.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 55424124165981900
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:50:16 PST
Message-ID: <3B126539.B1844887@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 09:48:25 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B11CF0D.E34BEE8B@IEEE.org> <20010528083734.19932.00000915@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.67
X-Trace: corp 991060909 64.240.47.67 (28 May 2001 09:41:49 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED

Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22571

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >I'm sure that's what Intel has done by now. Intel is serious about
> >emergency communications, Ed. They learned their lessons during the
> >World Series earthquake.
>
> I am sure they have and I am sure they did. Do you think that Amateur Radio
> should mirror that approach, or it the more simple approach of Amateur Radio
> part of its usefulness?

My argument was against the statement that SSB is not a useful emergency communications method at 200 wpm. It certainly is at Intel because it has been engineered to be effective. Nothing else said or implied.

However, I do have a 12vdc tape recorder set up beside my emergency ham gear - all backed up by batteries charged by solar power.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7933219613138767053
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:52:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 14:52:27 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdywmg4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010528105227.06434.00001862@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22572

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam

>Date: 5/27/01 11:32 AM Central Daylight Time

> And who, pray tell, do you think summarizes, analyzes, and
> recommends the course of action to the Five Tubeless Tyres on such
> NPRM/R&Os ?

=)

>>The "tone" I take from his comments was "shut up". Perhaps he >>didn't mean it that way, but that's what I got from it.

> You missed the boat on that one.

I thought that's what I said, but you may be right...

> B) The "who's financing his mortgage" stuff belongs where the sun
> doesn't shine.

Phil, is Lennie or Hans writing your stuff for you now?

> I put up with that crap for 30 years from folks who thought that
> they knew my job better than I did and could do it better than I
> did. It gets old real fast. Pure QRM.

Oh...I see..."Shut up and do it my way....."

> He works for The Federal Communications Commission, which is the
> agency charged by The Congress with regulating YOUR use of radio,
> and his job is to recommend and/or make decisions according to the
> guidelines of that agency, not according to what you think those
> decisions should be.

And if I don't like that, Phil, your 30 years of angst notwithstanding, I have a right to redress. It's in the Constitution. Read it, heed it....I am sure there was at least a half semester course on the Constitution SOMEWHERE in law school...Of course, after the last 8 years in Washington, I really DO wonder...!!!

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 6467447408332621611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:56:46 PST
Message-ID: <3B1266C2.44D2B4C5@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 09:54:58 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B1241C7.80022860@IEEE.org> <20010528104354.05666.00004616@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.67
X-Trace: corp 991061302 64.240.47.67 (28 May 2001 09:48:22 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22573

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The activation of his radar detector by
> the police radar is not a deliberately dangerous act on the part of the
> police officer, but the results of using a radar detector are the full
> responsibility of the driver who uses one.

Larry, you are just going to have to decide if setting off a radar detector on an Interstate is a dangerous thing or not. Is it a dangerous thing? If so, it's dangerous for the cops to do it.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3257952939862091173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:58:05 PST
Message-ID: <3B126717.F5B93DB1@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 09:56:23 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B124237.82F2CFA0@IEEE.org> <20010528104355.05666.00004617@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.67
X-Trace: corp 991061385 64.240.47.67 (28 May 2001 09:49:45 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22574

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> High-voltage power lines are a simple modern necessity, a fact which is
> obviously beyond your grasp.

Just wondering how far you would go to save lives.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6459363021375777790
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 07:59:55 PST
Message-ID: <3B126787.A8F53608@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 09:58:15 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528083137.19932.00000913@ng-mb1.aol.com> <20010528104356.05666.00004619@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.67
X-Trace: corp 991061497 64.240.47.67 (28 May 2001 09:51:37 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22575

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Thinking back on how I suffered at
> the hands of bullies during my early school years, perhaps I should have
> had some of them arrested, but I choose to get even instead!

So that's why you bully the no-coders.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3019644320520742204
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 08:09:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 28 May 2001 15:03:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9etpc7$rhs$0@208.207.71.153>
References: <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <20010528000806.22777.00001415@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.153
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22576



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >You can try to ignore it into infinity, but it won't ehlp. They are
> >there, and here inside the ARS by the thousands. Of course Riley can't
> >issue them citations, they don't use callsigns when doing their
> >dastardly acts. That you expect it to be otherwise is even yet more
> >revealing of your mindset.
>
> If they are not using call signs, how do you know they are hams?  I think that
> those that are throwing the carriers on 14.313 might not even number in the
> hundreds. The majority of those that have been cited for rules violations on
> the air have been long-time hams.  On what basis do you know that they are also
> freebanders?
>
> If there is any ignoring going on here, Dick, you seem to be doing most of it..


 Tell ya what, Ed- Next time you pass a magazine stand pick up a copy of
Popular Communications magazine and bring yourself up to date. There is
even columnists, licensed hams, who write about the ongoing activities
of ham/freebanders in language couched to avoid direct responsibility
but which leaves it very clear what is happening.

You and Jackass Moore can avoid it as you wish, you only come across as
fools on a fools mission. Of course Moors "talks to those guys" anyway.
You came from Cb, do you?



From 5013830212246903694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 08:23:03 PST
Message-ID: <3B1268BA.F0E910F4@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 10:03:22 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B123997.65EB18AE@IEEE.org> <20010528104355.05666.00004618@nso-
fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.67
X-Trace: corp 991061806 64.240.47.67 (28 May 2001 09:56:46 -0500)
```

Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!feed3.newsfeeds.com!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22577

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I don't recall anyone ever trying to use deadly force against me -- such
> as giving me a live electrical wire to grab onto.

People killed by grabbing 110vac while standing on a wooden floor is
an extremely rare event, Larry. I must have gotten onto 110vac
hundreds of times in my life (AC/DC radios) and I'm still alive.
I forget what the threshold voltage is where the average person
cannot turn loose of it but it is higher than 110vac.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6875381473734028643
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 08:23:03 PST
Message-ID: <3B126919.15CA8895@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 10:04:57 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528082216.19932.00000909@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010528104353.05666.00004614@nso-fo.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.67
X-Trace: corp 991061900 64.240.47.67 (28 May 2001 09:58:20 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.kjsl.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22578

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> We only abuse people who whine about code testing
> requirements, past and present, *regardless* of their license class!

Larry, when are you going to stop whining about whiners?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3282532545584607804
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 08:23:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 15:09:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9etpno$rhs$1@208.207.71.153>
References: <9es2k8$j3o$0@208.207.71.139> <20010528002015.22777.00001421@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.153
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22579

W1RFI wrote:
>

> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> All my ICOM gear has RTTY listed as one of the modes, Dick. An Extra
> >> should know RTTY is FSK.
>
> > Yep. They do say RTTY on a mode position. So try to work RTTY on one
> >out of the box, you clown.
>
> What mode can be worked on that rig without the addition of some external I/O
> component?

Now this dingaling is equating a microphone or key -or two wires to
touch together- with a digital TNC!

From -4348996975677611260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 08:44:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 28 May 2001 15:44:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 79
Message-ID: <9etrp9$57d$0@208.207.71.153>
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.153
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22581

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> As an ex-VE I had some real ethical problems
> giving Tech tickets and have lived to see my reservations come to pass.
> There is a time and place to express those reservations and I quite agree
> that an exam session is neither the time nor place.
>
> Organizationally, the Tech in the days of 5-13-20 were a major pain in the

> buns to administer. I was fortunate in having Advanced VEs who could do it
> more or less as a separate session which solved my ethical problems (and I
> was/am far from the only one) and the logistics at the same time.
>
> The ethical problem was simple. For reasons I have elaborated on here, the
> Codeless Tech as a bread-and-milk license was needed and necessary although
> there are simpler ways to skin that cat. Where I got off the trolley was
> when it was sold as an 'entry-level' Amateur license which it most certainly
> is and was not. Regardless of one's feelings, Morse is and most certainly
> was a requirement in 1991. Selling it as entry level was a fraud, making
> the first step trivial while making the next one a lulu. I did not like
> participating in a bait-and-switch.
>
> As could be expected, the switchees did not advance in the hobby but then
> neither did the coded version dating from the 50s;

And therein lies the entire rub- the root of the problem. For the
switchees who did not advance in the hobby soo n began demanding their
advance be handed to them as the original "entry level" had been. Time
for the battle to be joined.

the present situation was
> quite predictable. So after the newly-coined Tech came to the club, the OTs
> could either continue the pretense to no one's benefit or haul out the prod
> and speak truth; no CW, no laundry. In this particular no-win situation, I
> found it best to deliver truth as kindly as possible but even Dr. Welby had
> a problem telling someone he was going to die.
>
> So the seeds for conflict were sown. There was and are status games being
> played and it is indeed difficult for those who have not climbed the
> mountain to see the view from the other side;

The operative word seems to be "impossible"...

so as a practical matter the
> gentle prod and the status game, understandably, became one and the same to
> the Techs involved. To the guy who was just sold the Brooklyn Bridge, it
> makes little difference if the crowd is laughing or just trying to tell him
> he was robbed.

The trouble is robbery in reverse.

>
> The march of time may well end the war. It will not be the same Amateur
> Service I joined but then it isn't the first revolution we have survived.

Oh, ther will still be an amateor radio. It will just be unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously involved for the long haul. That would seem to exclude many longterm licensees, including many in Newington, who have held licenses and been marginally involved, say almost never on the air at least in anything more than a very narrowly defined way. Things change, always have. But such a gigantic shift is unwarranted, unnecessary for any realistic reason other than to load up the ARS with people so marginally imnterested in the technique of radio as to dilute it down to water. At which point it can very easily be sunk out of sight.

```
From 8288463203528279933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,63ce64bff759b30
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 08:45:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!hermes2.visi.com!news-
out.visi.com!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-
in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us> <20010528104354.05666.00004615@nso-
fo.aol.com>
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordless phones?
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <SnuQ6.2665$rn5.147599@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 11:44:50 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 15:44:50 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22582
rec.radio.amateur.misc:16097
```

In article <20010528104354.05666.00004615@nso-fo.aol.com>, Larry Roll K3LT says...

>
>In article <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us>, Tim Willson
><tim.willson@state.mn.us> writes:
>
>>

>>Anyone have any success or or suggestions about the method and
>>equipment used to recover spread spectrum cordless telephone
>>transmissions? They are in the 902 to 927 mHz range. Thanks
>>for answering here, so that all may benefit.
>
>Tim:
>
>Sorry, no. I don't need to listen in on no steenking telephones, and
>neither do you!

How much you wanna bet this dufus starts chanting somehting like "well those
signals are passing through my body so I got a constitutional right to know what
they're saying", or "if they want privacy they should use landlines".

73, Hans, K0HB

From 7356729315623371990
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 09:01:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 28 May 2001 16:01:02 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9etsnu$7nv$0@208.207.71.153>
References: <20010528082216.19932.00000909@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010528104353.05666.00004614@nso-fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.153
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22583

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20010528082216.19932.00000909@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com

> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >
> >Why? W0EX says that there is no abuse of Technician-class licensees on this
> >forum.
>
> Ed:
>
> Correct. We only abuse people who whine about code testing
> requirements, past and present, *regardless* of their license class!
> Mrs. Stewart is perfectly welcome in here, and won't be abused, as
> long as she doesn't whine!
>
> Speaking for myself, I never ask what anyone's license class is --
> so I wouldn't have been aware of her being a Technician until
> Dwight mentioned it.

Neither does anyone else, but you have noticed, of coursxe that they
always manage to note their Technicianness so that they laythe
groudnwork for a really good whine and lament of how they've been
abuses, and of course Ed and the Newington set take it up and carry on.
Wouldn't want their sensitivities , already provemn to be fragile in the
extreme by their 'hazing rituyal' attitudes toward ANY sort of a Morse
code exam, to be further infringed such that we might never convince
them to sign up for a membership. ARRL? What's that?

From -1630348657218374672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 09:23:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 28 May 2001 16:23:22 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9etu1q$bjl$0@208.207.71.153>
References: <3B123997.65EB18AE@IEEE.org> <20010528104355.05666.00004618@nso-
fo.aol.com> <3B1268BA.F0E910F4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.153
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22584

CAM wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > I don't recall anyone ever trying to use deadly force against me -- such
> > as giving me a live electrical wire to grab onto.
>
> People killed by grabbing 110vac while standing on a wooden floor is
> an extremely rare event, Larry. I must have gotten onto 110vac
> hundreds of times in my life (AC/DC radios) and I'm still alive.
> I forget what the threshold voltage is where the average person
> cannot turn loose of it but it is higher than 110vac.

There are more people electrocuted by common household 120VAC than any other source. It is NOT even close to being trivial nor safe. You are lucky, as I am also.

```
From -3741261052791144397
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 108122,63ce64bff759b30
X-Google-Attributes: gid108122,public
X-Google-Thread: 11480d,63ce64bff759b30
X-Google-Attributes: gid11480d,public
X-Google-Thread: fc8b1,63ce64bff759b30
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc8b1,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,63ce64bff759b30
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: fcc6e,63ce64bff759b30
X-Google-Attributes: gidfcc6e,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: fc2a5,63ce64bff759b30
X-Google-Attributes: gidfc2a5,public
X-Google-Thread: f7653,63ce64bff759b30
X-Google-Attributes: gidf7653,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 10:14:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!freenix!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.digital.
misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,r
ec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,alt.ham-
radio.vhf-uhf
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordless phones?
From: "Macgman" <macgyver9@iname.com>
Reply-To: macgyver9@iname.com
References: <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us>
X-Newsreader: News Rover 5.4.3 (http://www.NewsRover.com)
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <nCvQ6.2145$_%1.280404@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
```

X-Trace: /
w5MH+nHZ43RglAAIMDJkvwcFd5sMRGMQhPmB1rxlJmPd75mnzVJm2gZnsupgxOkVXFAZr43Iad3!
903KQj/+a+c2Mxjp5KPz9VD2jZG5ajJg+sMipT8IvKLNnVwPIbqdhojRWa3elJnYpaN/Sr7ky/0F!
B4ByXI0E
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 17:08:35 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 17:08:35 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.antenna:24238
rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors:9170 rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc:2734
rec.radio.amateur.dx:2644 rec.radio.amateur.equipment:13496
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew:5771 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16099
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22585 rec.radio.amateur.space:2648 alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf:4291

I worked on RF hardware and software design of spread spectrum phones. The US government would have a serverly hard time decoding a spread spectrum signal phone (its easier to just tap the line...hehehe). The base unit passes the handset a "seed" this seed corresponds to a psuedo-random high speed code on which the multichipped audio stream is EX-OR'ed with. Also there are control signals passed back and forth between base and handset....not to mention channel selection...etc. Sounds complicated yet?.....well there is more.....Your receiver archetecture only takes care of the physical radio demodulation......there is the software layer that is the BIG unknown, that layer takes care of EVERYTHING, if you have no clue how or what it does you will be spinning your wheels for years.

Best Regards,
MacGmaN

From -6341978862370707554
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 10:40:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 17:40:01 GMT
References: <20010527192930.20607.00001408@ng-fp1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010528134001.08137.00001644@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22586

>t's pretty fun to watch. While I'm "learning" the code, she actually appears

>to be "absorbing" it. I suppose that's why kids pick up languages at such a
>young age without much (overt) effort.

Hey Bert,

Let me know what time and frequency (channel) and I'll be glad to hop on with you guys. (Of course, ony if that's ok with you guys?) I went into Manhattan yesterday and grabbed an MFJ practice oscillator. I see it's got an output jack for an earplug. I'd imagine, I'll try wiring the "hot" lead to the audio pin of the mic plug and the key contacts to the x-mit and ground pins. I'll experiment with an old rig I've got lying around.

Perhaps this may be a silly question but electronic theory is not exactly my strongest suit, although I'm no slouch either...does a diode(s) (electronic check valve, yes?) need to be on the wire(s) connecting the key contacts to the x-mit circuit of the radio? Isn't there "juice" in both circuits so wouldn't you just want the key contacts to complete the x-mit circuit without introducing any additional voltage? I'm guessing you're on 27.1250mHz so either 4 or 12 watts should be just fine between Northern NJ and Long Island, NY. Good luck and thanks for any answers. The "electronics" query above is open to any takers, thanks again. Take care and 73.

Mark

From -9124601275507107797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:08:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9etrp9$57d$0@208.207.71.153>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <EuwQ6.13676$Rh7.401311@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 18:08:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.231.57
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net

X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991073316 209.245.231.57 (Mon, 28 May 2001 11:08:36 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 11:08:36 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 11:06:47 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22587

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9etrp9$57d$0@208.207.71.153...

> Oh, ther will still be an amateor radio. It will just be unrecognizable
> to anyone who has been seriously involved for the long haul. That would
> seem to exclude many longterm licensees, including many in Newington,
> who have held licenses and been marginally involved, say almost never on
> the air at least in anything more than a very narrowly defined way.
> Things change, always have. But such a gigantic shift is unwarranted,
> unnecessary for any realistic reason other than to load up the ARS with
> people so marginally imnterested in the technique of radio as to dilute
> it down to water. At which point it can very easily be sunk out of
> sight.

Yeah, damned changing of times anyway, eh? My mate and I were sitting around the water pond the other night and looked into it. It was as though a mirror and we both saw the same future: a time when our descendants would have it easy with grocery stores, cars, schools, and organized religion. We both looked away from that tragic truth and shook our heads slowly as we realized there was just not much sense in going on--what with our children's children having life so easy in the future. We knew we were more human than they'd ever be. . . and that their human-ess was far from recognizable to us.

Kim W5TIT

From -2131884728559200129
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:09:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 18:08:41 GMT
References: <9erv1l$p3u$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

```
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010528140841.08297.00001547@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22588
```

>Bert, as I recall, modulated CW is not permitted on 11m, but I've got
>to tell you -- this is about the only time that I'd not mind someone
>breaking a Part 95 reg!

I gotta agree with this. If anybody hassles you over this rule "infraction," (if indeed it is?) then there are far bigger problems with the complainant...and they're NOT rf related.

>Good luck to your niece!

Seconded! Take care and 73.

Mark

```
From 1993934032493415159
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:13:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010528082216.19932.00000909@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010528104353.05666.00004614@nso-fo.aol.com> <9etsnu$7nv$0@208.207.71.153>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 61
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ezwQ6.50897$4f7.3760338@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 18:13:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.232
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991073610 12.75.179.232 (Mon, 28 May
2001 18:13:30 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 18:13:30 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22589
```

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9etsnu$7nv$0@208.207.71.153...
>
>

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > In article <20010528082216.19932.00000909@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> > (W1RFI) writes:
> >
> > >
> > >Why? W0EX says that there is no abuse of Technician-class licensees on
this
> > >forum.
> >
> > Ed:
> >
> > Correct. We only abuse people who whine about code testing
> > requirements, past and present, *regardless* of their license class!
> > Mrs. Stewart is perfectly welcome in here, and won't be abused, as
> > long as she doesn't whine!
> >
> > Speaking for myself, I never ask what anyone's license class is --
> > so I wouldn't have been aware of her being a Technician until
> > Dwight mentioned it.
>
>
> Neither does anyone else, but you have noticed, of coursxe that they
> always manage to note their Technicianness so that they laythe
> groudnwork for a really good whine and lament of how they've been
> abuses, and of course Ed and the Newington set take it up and carry on.
> Wouldn't want their sensitivities , already provemn to be fragile in the
> extreme by their 'hazing rituyal' attitudes toward ANY sort of a Morse
> code exam, to be further infringed such that we might never convince
> them to sign up for a membership. ARRL? What's that?

Dick, having been in LE for your career you should know that one does not preserve his sanity and perspective hanging around with a bunch of cops. Nurses need to remember the entire world is not sick or scared shitless, claim adustors need to get out of the idea that everyone they meet is a crooked chisler. And so it goes.

We see the ones looking for some righteous activity to avoid code practice; you, your children, and grandchildren can be really inventive that way when it comes to homework. One of the trade hazards of rrap is encountering only techs with major head or emotional problems, usually one hopes temporary, over what is viewed as real tedium.

Like you, I am frigging tired of hearing it and tend to come down hard with the size 13s but one cannot totally lose perspective. Hell, they are even sending Ed to plant the flag in beautiful So Sioux City, NE where he will be encouraged to find that his counterparts are making great progress supressing odors from pig farms -- a spurious emission if there ever was one. It will definitely broaden his outlook and help retain perspective and sanity.

You been hanging on rrap too long, Richard.

From -1214896330854993650
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:19:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 18:18:42 GMT
References: <9etpno$rhs$1@208.207.71.153>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528141842.19790.00001449@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22590

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Now this dingaling is equating a microphone or key -or two wires to
>touch together- with a digital TNC!

Your petty insults demean you, Dick, not me. I guess there are some things that cannot be tested for...

If you can tell me how a digital mode can operate without a digital I/O device, I will accept the title you have so uncerimoniously bestowed upon me. If not, you are welcome to keep the title for yourself.

This has degraded to the point of ridiculousness. Perhaps it is time to move on.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7063900134612191600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:21:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 18:20:44 GMT
References: <9etpc7$rhs$0@208.207.71.153>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528142044.19790.00001450@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22591

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>There is
>even columnists, licensed hams, who write about the ongoing activities
>of ham/freebanders in language couched to avoid direct responsibility
>but which leaves it very clear what is happening.

Ah, the "secret language" ploy. :-) You are really something, Dick.

>You and Jackass Moore can avoid it as you wish, you only come across as
>fools on a fools mission. Of course Moors "talks to those guys" anyway.
>You came from Cb, do you?

Another petty insult, eh? Pitiful, in my mind where a supposedly grown man
can't engage in civil discourse. What IS ham radio coming to?

And, yes, I did a bit of CB in the mid 60s.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3354781707865842815
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:23:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 18:23:15 GMT
References: <20010528104356.05666.00004620@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528142315.19790.00001451@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22592

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> However, if memory serves me right, there is no federal law requiring fire
> sprinkler systems to be installed in each and every private home.

Yes, and, to stick with your analogy, if the government were to make such a requirement, it would equate exactly to the requirement to test hams for Morse code in the event that it is needed.

Do you advocate such governement intervention in all of our lives, or only those parts that result in your getting what you want out of it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 836727971730215211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:25:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 18:24:52 GMT
References: <20010528104123.06434.00001860@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010528142452.19790.00001452@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22593

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>I am sure it does. But "chain of command" in a federal agency does not
>necessarily mean that because I was hired by "John Q. Staffer" to work at the
>FCC, who WAS "appointed" by Congress, that >>I<< am appointed by Congress.

To catch the gist of what you have been saying, the only way you would accept what a federal employee has to say is that he was personally appointed by Congress? Don't you think you are stacking the deck a bit with that one, Steve?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1094560459915924265
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:28:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 18:28:26 GMT
References: <20010528103541.06434.00001859@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010528142826.19790.00001454@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22594

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>>Leaving out the ARRL part, that is exactly what Cross said in his >speech.

>   Which piques my wonder as to why he felt it necessary to make the
>comments he did.

Why did you feel it necessary to say the same thing, in different words?

>And WHY have they demonstrated a greater reluctance to put more
>"teeth" into the programs...?!?!

From the enforcement changes I have seen over the past 3 years, there are a lot more teeth, not less.

>>Cross said that if he were asked to make a decision about that, not
>>everyone
>in the Amateur Radio Service would like that decision.

>   And it's at that point my concern arises. Of course, since his comments
>were simply transcriptsof his comments, and not a live delivery, one can take
>it many ways.

Apparently one can. I never would have thought of the way you are taking it.

>>Would you prefer that FCC staff never give anyone a heads up
>>on these sorts of things?

>   What I would prefer is a staffer that said "I am from the FCC...How can I
>help you?", NOT "Take care of business or I will make the decisions for you".

If the decisions need to be made and the Amateur Radio Service cannot decide it for itself, what choice would the FCC have? I think Cross told us just how we can, and should, help ourselves. Do you disagree with him, or do you just not like the attitude you presumed from reading his transcribed speech?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -5809948735536382616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:30:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 18:29:58 GMT
References: <20010528102349.06434.00001857@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Message-ID: <20010528142958.19790.00001455@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22595

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)

>And further in to his comments he stated that we didn't want them to make
>those decisions because NO ONE would be happy. No more, no less...

And I agree with him wholeheartedly; such decisions imposed on the Amateur Radio Service would not be acceptable to many.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1813954788619263223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:37:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 18:36:42 GMT
References: <20010528104353.05666.00004614@nso-fo.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22596
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Correct. We only abuse people who whine about code testing
>requirements, past and present, *regardless* of their license class!

Hmmm, can we put another lobster on the results of a news-archive search? Now that I have been chided, I am chomping at the bit for a good opportunity to foist someone on their own petard. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 5068470341573748887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:48:18 PST
Message-ID: <3B129D0A.4B02A935@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 13:46:34 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
```

```
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9etrp9$57d$0@208.207.71.153>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 991075197 64.240.47.54 (28 May 2001 13:39:57 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22597

Dick Carroll wrote:
>  Oh, there will still be an amateor radio. It will just be unrecognizable
> to anyone who has been seriously involved for the long haul.

Dick, the US Army is unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously
involved for the long haul. Automobiles are unrecognizable to anyone
who has been seriously involved for the long haul. Physics is
unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously involved for the
long haul. Football is unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously
involved for the long haul. Airplanes are unrecognizable to anyone who
has been seriously involved for the long haul. Why are you so dead set
against evolution in the ARS?
--
cheers, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 6112463743443448877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,e9620c861b74dad2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e9620c861b74dad2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:56:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
```

From: "Charles Johnston" <ctj92@earthlink.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: -- W9INN Antennas Page - now online
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <GbxQ6.44654$9D5.2603205@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 18:56:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.44.223.125
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991076198 63.44.223.125 (Mon, 28 May 2001 11:56:38 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 11:56:38 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 11:54:42 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:16100 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22598

Bill (W9INN) has authorized me to create and publish a page about his great wire antennas. Contact information for Bill is on the page as well as measured data (from my QTH) on his most popular MPD-5C "max performance dipole" antenna.

- click on the " W9INN Antennas " button at the site listed below

--

73 / DX

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

From -4592017728786092243
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:57:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B129F47.EBEA2789@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 13:56:07 -0500

From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9etpc7$rhs$0@208.207.71.153> <20010528142044.19790.00001450@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 991075770 64.240.47.54 (28 May 2001 13:49:30 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22599

W1RFI wrote:
> Of course Moors "talks to those guys" anyway. You came from Cb, do you?

Assuming "Moors" is me and not the place in England where my ancestors
came from: I was on 27 MHz for the very last ARS 11m contest, the one
that was supposed to save 11m for hams.

My parents and I use CB for communicationing between our houses when
I am online. My parents are not hams. Yep, I talk to those guys.
What's it to you?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1238886852152859418
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:59:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B129F97.938C3C98@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 13:57:27 -0500

```
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010528104356.05666.00004620@nso-fo.aol.com>
<20010528142315.19790.00001451@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 991075848 64.240.47.54 (28 May 2001 13:50:48 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!
newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22600

W1RFI wrote:
> Do you advocate such governement intervention in all of our lives, or only
> those parts that result in your getting what you want out of it?

.... ..   .... ..


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -7277921894879936792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 11:59:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 18:58:55 GMT
```

References: <20010528142315.19790.00001451@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Ed Hare's lobster (was Re: a bit of empathy)
Message-ID: <20010528145855.19811.00001191@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22601

Well, Larry, after being chided to sharpen my computer-search skills, I decided to take you up on your suggestion. So, I did a search on "mode," "lobster" and "K3LT." Lo and behold, I found the following:

> K3LT: Let's assume the guy in question is you, with your present
> CW skills. Which do you grab for first? The key or the mike? I've
> already made up my mind what your answer is going to be, but
> play along with me anyway. If I guess wrong, I'll tell you, and I'll
> owe you a second lobster dinner.

I then answered:

> W1RFI: In my case, the answer is very easy. The only mode I would be
> apt to have with me would be CW because I not keep my HT charged or
> even own a working microphone. I certainly wouldn't have any mode
> with me except for CW if I were in that situation.

>Do I get the 2nd lobster, or not?

You replied:

> K3LT: Sorry, no cigar -- or lobster, in this case. I figured for
> sure you'd say you would choose a voice mode first.

Now, it seems to me, Larry, that if your offer was on the condition that if you guessed wrong I would get a lobster, and you figured for sure I would say voice, then you guessed wrong.

I will assume that you had forgotten the wording of your original post, because you don't seem the sort who would not honor such an offer.

Thanks for prodding me to go looking; I never would have thought to do so. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7284420093814090121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 12:00:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B12A003.334B49AA@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 13:59:15 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9es2k8$j3o$0@208.207.71.139> <20010528002015.22777.00001421@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9etpno$rhs$1@208.207.71.153>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 991075957 64.240.47.54 (28 May 2001 13:52:37 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22602

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > What mode can be worked on that rig without the addition of some external I/O
> > component?
>
>  Now this dingaling is equating a microphone or key -or two wires to
> touch together- with a digital TNC!

My FSK microcontroller design took me a much shorter time to design and
build than if I had designed and built a Morse code key. (I'm not very
mechanical)
--
cheers, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 3934279145916742164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 12:05:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
```

```
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 19:04:40 GMT
References: <3B12A003.334B49AA@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528150440.19790.00001459@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22603

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>My FSK microcontroller design took me a much shorter time to design and
>build than if I had designed and built a Morse code key. (I'm not very
>mechanical)

Which one of them would have taken you longer to buy?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -2514180067035069762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 13:13:19 PST
Message-ID: <3B12B0FE.7604DA9D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 15:11:42 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528104353.05666.00004614@nso-fo.aol.com>
<20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 991080303 64.240.47.54 (28 May 2001 15:05:03 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22604

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >Correct. We only abuse people who whine about code testing
> >requirements, past and present, *regardless* of their license class!
>
> Hmmm, can we put another lobster on the results of a news-archive search? Now
> that I have been chided, I am chomping at the bit for a good opportunity to
> foist someone on their own petard. :-)

Go do that, Ed, and in the words of the biggest whiners on the newsgroup,
"Don't let the door hit you in the ass on the way out."
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3424858650448805702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 13:16:36 PST
Message-ID: <3B12B1C1.92535526@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 15:14:57 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B12A003.334B49AA@IEEE.org> <20010528150440.19790.00001459@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 991080498 64.240.47.54 (28 May 2001 15:08:18 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22605

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >My FSK microcontroller design took me a much shorter time to design and
> >build than if I had designed and built a Morse code key. (I'm not very
> >mechanical)
>
> Which one of them would have taken you longer to buy?

Normalizing all the variables, exactly the same. Both are equally available.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3913699167399448O3
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 13:40:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Date: 28 May 2001 20:37:20 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9eucu0$eij$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
<9erv1l$p3u$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B118B9A.48AFADA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991082240 14931 128.171.44.55 (28 May 2001 20:37:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 May 2001 20:37:20 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22606

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Bert, as I recall, modulated CW is not permitted on 11m, ...

>Then how come all those HTs with MCW buttons got FCC approval?

Because, as you very well know, those HTs don't fall under Part 95 regs; they're Part 15 intentional radiators, something Bert is not using. If you hadn't so severely edited my original post, it would have implied that.

You have a unique editing skill in which you're able to take a person's comment completely out of context and make it appear they've implied something they didn't intend to. But I must ask, what's the point of doing this?

KH6O

From 6609274885681134951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 13:50:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 20:43:40 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B117DE0.47B10902@IEEE.org>
<9es2k8$j3o$0@208.207.71.139> <3B119634.26CA87A6@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991082620 15420 128.171.44.55 (28 May 2001 20:43:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 May 2001 20:43:40 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22607

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Yep. They do say RTTY on a mode position. So try to work RTTY on one
>> out of the box, you clown.

>I have, Dick, it worked perfectly. Are you saying that RTTY mode doesn't
>work right out of the box? How the heck does ICOM manage to disable their
>RTTY mode until so many days after it is unpacked? So who's the clown?

Was a keyboard and PC included in the box? If not, you can't do RTTY "out of the box." You need to add the peripherals.

NNNN

From -994596967206620439
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 14:02:39 PST
Message-ID: <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 16:00:53 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B117DE0.47B10902@IEEE.org>
<9es2k8$j3o$0@208.207.71.139> <3B119634.26CA87A6@IEEE.org>
<9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 991083255 64.240.47.54 (28 May 2001 15:54:15 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22608

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> >Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> Yep. They do say RTTY on a mode position. So try to work RTTY on one
> >> out of the box, you clown.
>
> >I have, Dick, it worked perfectly. Are you saying that RTTY mode doesn't
> >work right out of the box? How the heck does ICOM manage to disable their
> >RTTY mode until so many days after it is unpacked? So who's the clown?
>
> Was a keyboard and PC included in the box? If not, you can't do RTTY
> "out of the box." You need to add the peripherals.

You need to add the peripherals to operate CW, Jeff. So what? You can't

do CW "out of the box" either. I can buy six keyboards for the price
of my Bencher straight key.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2496280546308831812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 14:05:21 PST
Message-ID: <3B12BD25.B54EC9AA@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 16:03:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
<9erv1l$p3u$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B118B9A.48AFADA@IEEE.org>
<9eucu0$eij$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 991083415 64.240.47.54 (28 May 2001 15:56:55 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22609

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> You have a unique editing skill in which you're able to take a
> person's comment completely out of context and make it appear
> they've implied something they didn't intend to. But I must
> ask, what's the point of doing this?

There's no point, Jeff, it's just style. I read until I find you in
a error and then I stop reading and reply. Everything past an error
is irrelevant.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8076008163857187913
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 14:10:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 21:01:04 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9eueag$g5l$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9en180$t71$1@news.hawaii.edu> <Ib5Q6.48985$4f7.3595839@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9es3ef$a2$1@news.hawaii.edu> <DLhQ6.3168$kh4.262354@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991083664 16565 128.171.44.55 (28 May 2001 21:01:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 May 2001 21:01:04 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22610

Richard McCollum wrote:

>Jeffrey Herman challenged:
>> I'll give you another chance, Richard McCollum; simplify the following:
>>   -4^2-3*5, remembering the Order of Operations above.

>You are correct and I will sneak away to lick my wounds, deservedly
>obtained. In my programming days I bracketed it whether it needed it or
>not; now I know why.
>1. 16 - 3*5
>2. 16-15
>3. 1
>OK, prof???

Gotcha! The exponent of 2 is applied only to 4, not -4; you misinterpreted it as (-4)^2. What I wrote was really -1*4^2 (recall that -4 really means -1*4); remember, exponent first, then multiplication. Writing it without the exponent we'd get -1 times 4 times 4 which is -16:

-4^2 = -16 while (-4)^2 = 16; see the distinction?

Now go have a beer as your punishment!

KH6O

From 8797191820159057854
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 14:20:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 21:16:32 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9euf7g$hm0$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com>
<3B110F82.8468B76@IEEE.org> <9es715$3p5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11AA70.4413D38F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991084592 18112 128.171.44.55 (28 May 2001 21:16:32 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 May 2001 21:16:32 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22611

CAM wrote:
>Jeff wrote:
>>CAM wrote:
>>>Jeff wrote:

>>>>Can you copy formal message traffic by phone at 200 wpm? =:o

>>>Sure, that's what tape recorders are for.

>>Then rewind the tape, then begin tape, then stop the tape to write
>>a few words, then start the tape again, then stop the tape to write
>>a few words, then start the tape again, ... Oh darn, the tape
>>broke...splice the tape, then start the tape again, ad infinitum...

>Earth to Jeffrey: The dictation system used by the secretaries does all
>that starting and stopping automatically.

Oh, now your tape recorder is a "dictation system which includes
secretaries." Were the secretaries on-call 24/7? It seems quite
odd that Intel would base the foundation of their emergency
communication system on the fragility of secretaries and tape
recorders.

>Where the heck have you been all this century?

This century? Intel's Third Millennium Emergency Communication
System is based on secretaries and tape recorders? Yikes!

KH6O

P.S. How's my editing skills, Cec? I'm using you as my role model...

```
From -5086137940993779800
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 14:30:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 21:22:56 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9eufjg$i58$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084454.04987.00001646@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9erprs$g5d$0@208.206.142.89> <3b11aa10.5718057@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991084976 18600 128.171.44.55 (28 May 2001 21:22:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 May 2001 21:22:56 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22612
```

Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:

>Which is exactly why the FCC and other administrations
>around the globe do NOT see any need for continued
>morse TESTING.

If mode skills were tested because of their possible use
during disasters, those admins you mentioned would have
been testing our speaking and typing skills, Bill.

KH6O

```
From -1997920078697534090
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 14:49:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 21:49:32 GMT
References: <9eufjg$i58$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528174932.10007.00001704@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22613

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>If mode skills were tested because of their possible use
>during disasters, those admins you mentioned would have
>been testing our speaking and typing skills, Bill.

Why do you believe that the governments of the world initiated a Morse-code test for Amateur Radio back when it was started? Why do you believe they continued it throughout the years?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8336660812739373499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 14:50:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 21:43:12 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9eugpg$jaf$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B119634.26CA87A6@IEEE.org> <9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991086192 19791 128.171.44.55 (28 May 2001 21:43:12 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 May 2001 21:43:12 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22614

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>You need to add the peripherals to operate CW, Jeff. So what? You can't
>do CW "out of the box" either.

I certainly can. When the function switch on all my transmitters is in the TUNE mode, a carrier is emitted; by turning that switch between TUNE and STANDBY I can send a Morse-encoded transmission.
KH6O

From 3340988052710268672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 14:51:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B12C7E6.E936CBF9@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 16:49:26 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com>
<3B110F82.8468B76@IEEE.org> <9es715$3p5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11AA70.4413D38F@IEEE.org> <9euf7g$hm0$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 991086168 64.240.47.54 (28 May 2001 16:42:48 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22615

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Oh, now your tape recorder is a "dictation system which includes
> secretaries." Were the secretaries on-call 24/7?

Of course, Intel is a 24-hour operation. There are always secretaries
available. In a pinch, a technician will do.

> This century? Intel's Third Millennium Emergency Communication
> System is based on secretaries and tape recorders? Yikes!

Is your Third Millennium Emergency Communication System not
based on people and equipment, Jeff?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7468442972868583289
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 14:51:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 21:51:16 GMT
References: <9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010528175116.10007.00001705@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22616

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>Was a keyboard and PC included in the box? If not, you can't do RTTY
>"out of the box." You need to add the peripherals.

Under that standard, the only mode that is commonly available "right out of the box" is phone.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4825112980342860937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 14:55:34 PST
Message-ID: <3B12C8F5.D14C203F@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 16:53:57 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B119634.26CA87A6@IEEE.org> <9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org> <9eugpg$jaf$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 991086439 64.240.47.54 (28 May 2001 16:47:19 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!netnews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22617

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >You need to add the peripherals to operate CW, Jeff. So what? You can't
> >do CW "out of the box" either.
>
> I certainly can. When the function switch on all my transmitters
> is in the TUNE mode, a carrier is emitted; by turning that switch
> between TUNE and STANDBY I can send a Morse-encoded transmission.

OK, Jeff, you're on. Exactly how many times have you sent CW that way?
It must be quite a challenge to send CW with a push-on/push-off button.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6706660134831087004
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 15:00:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Date: 28 May 2001 21:53:49 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9euhdd$ju9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com> <3B118B9A.48AFADA@IEEE.org> <9eucu0$eij$1@news.hawaii.edu>

<3B12BD25.B54EC9AA@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991086829 20425 128.171.44.55 (28 May 2001 21:53:49 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 May 2001 21:53:49 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22618

CAM wrote:

>Jeff wrote:
>>You have a unique editing skill in which you're able to take a
>>person's comment completely out of context and make it appear
>>they've implied something they didn't intend to. But I must
>>ask, what's the point of doing this?

>There's no point, Jeff, it's just style. I read until I find you in
>a error and then I stop reading and reply. Everything past an error
>is irrelevant.

The "error" appears only when you edit out certain key words.
For example, suppose you wrote: "I never said that I am in
favor of code testing," and I then do a follow-up applying your
editing "style":

Cecil wrote:
>I am in favor of code testing.

I've not only wasted my time but yours, also, for now you'll
have to write a follow-up to defend your remarks.

KH6O

From -1142603426188424982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 15:10:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 28 May 2001 22:03:44 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9eui00$khi$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010528175116.10007.00001705@ng-
fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991087424 21042 128.171.44.55 (28 May 2001 22:03:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 28 May 2001 22:03:44 GMT

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22619

W1RFI wrote:

>Jeff wrote:
>>Was a keyboard and PC included in the box? If not, you can't do RTTY
>>"out of the box." You need to add the peripherals.

>Under that standard, the only mode that is commonly available "right out
>of the
>box" is phone.

As I just told Cecil, by switching between TUNE and STANDBY, I
certainly can key my transmitters in such a way as to send Morse-
encoded transmissions. Not practical, but certainly possible!
KH6O

From -5024793210277123952
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 16:06:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge23lc3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010526235748.10524.00004807@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010527085649.04987.00001650@ng-fy1.aol.com> <Z1cQ6.49475$4f7.3632871@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B11B826.F7D5D141@sccoast.net>
<oFmQ6.3448$kh4.296316@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 12
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991091173 63.178.69.156 (Mon, 28 May 2001
23:06:13 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:13 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22620

On Mon, 28 May 2001 06:57:24 GMT, Richard McCollum wrote:

>One of the early cruise missles did navigation by the stars, believe it or
>not. Called a Snark. It almost worked.

It was almost built, too, along with the Navajo and the Dyna-Soar.
Such was my era/introduction to the "missile" industry in the late
50s.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -1650056794405386118
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 16:06:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge24br5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010528000000.22777.00001412@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<20010528104356.05666.00004620@nso-fo.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 15
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991091174 63.178.69.156 (Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:14 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:14 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22621

On 28 May 2001 14:43:56 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>Public residences must not
>have been covered by any such requirement up to the year 1987, because
>that's when my apartment building was built, and it does not have them!

My (former) condo apartment building in a San Francisco suburb was
built in 1985 and had sprinkler systems and smoke alarms installed
because they were required. Whether they were installed properly is
another thread.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

```
From -1019956599451333584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 16:06:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge22w32.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org> <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11A5B8.EC64D80D@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 27
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991091173 63.178.69.156 (Mon, 28 May 2001
23:06:13 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:13 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22622
```

On Sun, 27 May 2001 20:11:20 -0500, CAM wrote:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> I am not going to reset anything just to read your posts, Cecil!!
>> Unix/trn margins are set at the standard 80-column width.
>
>80-column widths are not standard anymore, Jeff, and are obsolete just
>like Morse code testing.

My system(s) standards are 80x25 text. Gonna stay that way.
Anything smaller is stuff that I can't read easily.

>You are living in the past.

Paraphrase of an ancient proverb: Do not replace something unless
it is replaced with something of value.

IOW -if it's not broke don't fix it.

>Sorry about that.

  Right.....

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -5054767055838963157
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 00:45:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 07:44:38 GMT
References: <9evemc$6cf$0@208.206.142.102>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: HHhhroRe: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529034438.08421.00001644@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22673

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

t> It would be real tempting to just write you off as a cloistered,
>clueless fool if one didn't know better.

>Oh I noticed one of your ARRL
>staffers writes a colymn for PopComm.

And that would be who?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4265296007106247075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 00:47:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 07:46:18 GMT
References: <9evaqm$oo2$0@208.206.142.102>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529034618.08421.00001645@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22674

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> But when it changes so that everyone can
>just jump in, it WILL be for everyone.

But I don't think it will change that way at all, Dick.  Ham radio is
CONSIDERABLY harder to "jump in" than it was when I studied that 4-page study
guide and answered a 20-question exam to become a Novice.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -5452237683931310452
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 00:49:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 07:48:48 GMT
References: <9euu7m$3d3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529034848.08421.00001646@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22675

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu  (Jeffrey Herman)

>The thread concerned the passing of traffic using 20wpm CW
>versus 200wpm phone. Unless the message text contains BT or
>HI I doubt a CW traffic handler would send them; one is
>only supposed to send what's contained in the message text,
>nothing else.

Yeah, but when most people read formal messages, they don't add very many "uhs"
as pauses. I presumed that when that was introduced to the thread, we were
talking conversationally.
```

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7734996469036083916
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 00:54:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 07:53:30 GMT
References: <3B1304FA.3F6E87CC@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529035330.15273.00001483@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22676

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>If I had refused to use a calculator and a computer at Intel, I
>would have been fired.

Even if you got the right answer without it? I don't think I have ever had a
boss give me an order to use a calculator.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5089785204950048146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 01:02:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!dc1.nntp.concentric.net!
newsfeed.concentric.net!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 08:02:40 GMT
References: <9eui00$khi$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529040240.29498.00001758@ng-mg1.aol.com>

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22677

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>As I just told Cecil, by switching between TUNE and STANDBY, I
>certainly can key my transmitters in such a way as to send Morse-
>encoded transmissions. Not practical, but certainly possible!

So are you proposing a 1 word-per-minute license test then? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2498821361922352047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 01:04:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 08:03:49 GMT
References: <20010529003640.07982.00002273@nso-cm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529040349.29498.00001759@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22678

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Not on any of my HF rigs. All of them that will work 'phone modes require you
>to add a microphone, at least.

Yes, but some of the rigs being sold include a microphone. All HTs have one
built in.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4926222105615611767
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 01:06:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-

mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 08:06:18 GMT
References: <9evd1j$suk$2@208.206.142.102>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529040618.29498.00001760@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22679

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Again, you insist on "peripherals" as all equal. Just like Ed, you
>insist that a TNC and a mechanical key are the same. Whatta joke the
>both of you are.

You are right, they are not different. I can build a TNC but I am not capable of building a reliable mechnical key. A used TNC can be purchased for about $10.00 -- the used keys I saw at Dayton were considerably more expensive.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3551203018577800703
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 01:10:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 08:09:55 GMT
References: <20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529040955.29544.00001757@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22680

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>If you can find an example of where I've abused someone who didn't
>provoke me by whining about the code testing requirements, or
>posting a message seeking some sort of public congratulations for
>the dubious achievement of having passed an entry-level license
>test, making some similar remark indicating that he/she is worthy
>of praise, fame, and fortune for the simple act of getting a ham radio

>license in their pocket, I'll gladly buy you another lobster. However,
>one thing that has always bothered me about ham radio is why there
>seems to be a need to lay out a red carpet and toss rose petals
>at the feet of anyone who makes some progress with a licensing
>system which is, in reality, dead easy to master. The only
>conclusion I can draw is that for some odd reason, ham radio
>seems to attract a lot of misfits and under-achievers. Perhaps this
>is why most of our HF bands are in chaos.

First try:

Nope -- incorrect. Numbers have nothing to do with bandwidths -- and dumbed-down "newcomers" don't DESERVE to be welcomed with open arms just because they whined and pleaded to have HF phone privileges handed to them on a silver platter. I'm hoping that 48 hours from now, the "newcomer bashing" takes on a new and more enthusiastic approach; truly crushing the spirit of any further "newcomers" to the HF phone bands. I also hope that large numbers of presently licensed General, Advanced, and Extra-class licensees throw away their VE badges and refuse to give the 5 WPM General/Extra tests. I didn't feel this way until I read your posting -- so congratulations for rekindling my enthusiasm for bashing the no-coders!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -5837410478942948829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 01:29:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 04:30:48 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3B135DFE.8338E93C@sccoast.net>
References: <20010528082216.19932.00000909@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010528104353.05666.00004614@nso-fo.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-104.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991124981 67380 206.74.49.104 (29 May 2001 08:29:41
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:29:41 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22681

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Correct. We only abuse people who whine
> about code testing requirements, past and
> present, *regardless* of their license class!
> Mrs. Stewart is perfectly welcome in here,
> and won't be abused, as long as she doesn't
> whine!

Well, that is real big of you to welcome my wife into this newsgroup under your terms. I'm sure she would be willing to obediently follow your demands to the letter. However, I hope you'll forgive me when I continue to urge her to stay out of this newsgroup instead.

As for the whining nonsense, according to you, everyone who has an opinion about code testing that is different than yours is whining and deserves to be abused. In reality, your goal is to use insults, ridicule, and harassment, to stifle the expression of any opinion that is different than your own. People who have no real defense of their own position often use this tactic.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

P.S - I see several messages have been posted that are not showing up on my server (the one you replied to is an example). My news server is having fits at the moment, and is not showing all of the messages posted. They insist the problem will be fixed shortly. Until then, I hope those individuals who post messages I don't see will accept my apology for not responding.

From 6521133907378677817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 01:32:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.

```
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 04:33:39 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net>
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-104.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991125149 67380 206.74.49.104 (29 May 2001 08:32:29
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:32:29 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22682

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
>   In this area, I personally... [snip]


  Messages may have been posted that are not showing up on my server.
My news server is having fits at the moment and is not showing all of
the messages posted.  They insist the problem will be fixed shortly.
Until then, I hope those individuals who post messages I don't see
will accept my apology for not responding.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)


From 2523723592119499284
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 01:33:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 04:34:38 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3B135EE3.C96A3E94@sccoast.net>
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-
```

mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-104.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991125209 67380 206.74.49.104 (29 May 2001 08:33:29 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:33:29 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22683

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
>   It got... [snip]

Messages may have been posted that are not showing up on my server. My news server is having fits at the moment and is not showing all of the messages posted. They insist the problem will be fixed shortly. Until then, I hope those individuals who post messages I don't see will accept my apology for not responding.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From 8284950878663281080
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 01:34:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 04:35:33 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3B135F1A.DFD5CC8F@sccoast.net>
References: <3B079105.54107E74@sccoast.net> <20010525180134.13993.00001716@nso-bh.aol.com> <3B0F08B9.A0B38D57@sccoast.net> <9eoidk$irr$0@208.206.142.72>
<3B110545.BA20EDB5@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-104.gwy.scscon.infoave.net

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991125264 67380 206.74.49.104 (29 May 2001 08:34:24
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:34:24 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22684

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
>   Have you... [snip]


  Messages may have been posted that are not showing up on my server.
My news server is having fits at the moment and is not showing all of
the messages posted.  They insist the problem will be fixed shortly.
Until then, I hope those individuals who post messages I don't see
will accept my apology for not responding.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)


From -1777645009575597296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 01:35:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!news-in-austin.nuthinbutnews.com!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!
not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 04:36:17 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3B135F46.D763F566@sccoast.net>
References: <20010526235748.10524.00004807@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010527085649.04987.00001650@ng-fy1.aol.com> <Z1cQ6.49475$4f7.3632871@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B11B826.F7D5D141@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-104.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991125307 67380 206.74.49.104 (29 May 2001 08:35:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:35:07 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22685

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
>   The drills were... [snip]

Messages may have been posted that are not showing up on my server. My news server is having fits at the moment and is not showing all of the messages posted. They insist the problem will be fixed shortly. Until then, I hope those individuals who post messages I don't see will accept my apology for not responding.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From -3053786162846714270
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 01:38:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!europa.netcrusader.net!204.127.161.3!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordless
 phones?
In-Reply-To: <w5DQ6.49413$t12.3835825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105290834050.10209-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us> <20010528104354.05666.00004615@nso-fo.aol.com> <w5DQ6.49413$t12.3835825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 22
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:38:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net

X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991125491 12.80.44.9 (Tue, 29 May 2001 08:38:11 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:38:11 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22686

On Tue, 29 May 2001, JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

> Larry, please correct me if I am wrong, but I believe all wireless
> telephones are 'protected' (note the quotes) by FCC regulations. This means
> you can't divulge their contents should you pick them up. The exception
> (and I must confess that I don't know why) are the old 40 something MHz
> phones. Of course, some people do - cell phone ids are stolen (usually to
> be used for drug transactions), but you are correct - no one needs to
> monitor this stuff.

I may not be Larry, but I can tell you that the above MUST be wrong.

Cordless phones that operate on 33cm (900MHz) and 12cm (2.4GHz) CANNOT be protected because there are licensed services (including amateur) that are allocated those frequency bands. Not only that, but as part 15 implies, we (as amateurs) have a specific duty to listen to ANY device on those bands when interference with our own equipment (when we operate there) is concerned; the duty being to identify the source of the interference.

The only phones that can be protected are the 49MHz phones - and only because they are NOT in a frequency band that is also allocated to another service. Their frequencies are exclusively their own.

From 7040122014680734281
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 01:54:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net> <3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:54:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.140

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991126462 12.75.179.140 (Tue, 29 May
2001 08:54:22 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:54:22 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22687


"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net...
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> >   In this area, I personally... [snip]
>
>
>   Messages may have been posted that are not showing up on my server.
> My news server is having fits at the moment and is not showing all of
> the messages posted.  They insist the problem will be fixed shortly.
> Until then, I hope those individuals who post messages I don't see
> will accept my apology for not responding.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

Earthlink buy your ISP, too?




From 4346926441846678506
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 03:48:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Date: 29 May 2001 03:48:25 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0105290248.4abfdca7@posting.google.com>
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.198.173.200
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 991133305 3309 127.0.0.1 (29 May 2001 10:48:25 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 10:48:25 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22688

Here's a nice one. Even comes with a separate code key. I received the
Sears unit, it's Part 95 type accepted, not Part 15. Pretty cool, eh?
```

http://cgi.ebay.aol.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1241890841

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 1858495286783261781
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 04:12:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172> <Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net> <xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9etrp9$57d$0@208.207.71.153> <3B129D0A.4B02A935@IEEE.org> <9evc4t$suk$0@208.206.142.102>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 47
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <KuLQ6.17887$Rh7.613938@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 11:12:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.129.250
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991134762 209.246.129.250 (Tue, 29 May 2001 04:12:42 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 04:12:42 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 04:10:54 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22689

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9evc4t$suk$0@208.206.142.102...
>
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Oh, there will still be an amateor radio. It will just be unrecognizable

```
> > > to anyone who has been seriously involved for the long haul.
> >
> > Dick, the US Army is unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously
> > involved for the long haul.
>
>  Nope. Son in law is a Special Forces M/Sgt. Still looks like it 40
> years ago.
>
>  Automobiles are unrecognizable to anyone
> > who has been seriously involved for the long haul.
>
> Nope. They are vastly improved. Can't say the same for the "new and
> improved" ARS.
>
>
> Physics is
> > unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously involved for the
> > long haul.
>
>
>  Nope. E still = MC^2.
>
>
>  Football is unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously
> > involved for the long haul. Airplanes are unrecognizable to anyone who
> > has been seriously involved for the long haul.
>
>
>  All those things are vastly improved. Ham radio is improved only in the
> technology involved. The personnel problem is exactly the opposite.
>

You know what, Dick?  You just want to cling to your misery--and you love
every minute of it.

Kim W5TIT




From -3709954893829959044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 05:39:18 PST
Message-ID: <3B139816.F1806102@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 07:37:42 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
```

References: <9etu1q$bjl$0@208.207.71.153> <20010529022341.26676.00005387@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991139463 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 07:31:03 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22690

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I, too have had many brief encountes with 120 VAC, and I remember
> enough to keep the heck away from it! And if someone deliberately
> handed me a live wire with 120 VAC on it, I wouldn't consider that
> a friendly act whatsoever!

Guess you would have objected to the trap door into the river trick?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2479182979513251521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 05:45:52 PST
Message-ID: <3B1399A1.37001E3@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 07:44:17 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>

```
<9etrp9$57d$0@208.207.71.153> <3B129D0A.4B02A935@IEEE.org>
<9evc4t$suk$0@208.206.142.102>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991139860 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 07:37:40 -0500)
Lines: 49
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22691
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > Oh, there will still be an amateor radio. It will just be unrecognizable
> > > to anyone who has been seriously involved for the long haul.
> >
> > Dick, the US Army is unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously
> > involved for the long haul.
>
> Nope. Son in law is a Special Forces M/Sgt. Still looks like it 40
> years ago.

You mean 40 years ago they had rifles that can see and shoot around
corners? Why didn't they use them in Korea and Viet Nam?

> Automobiles are unrecognizable to anyone
> > who has been seriously involved for the long haul.
>
> Nope. They are vastly improved. Can't say the same for the "new and
> improved" ARS.

You still using a hand crank?

> Physics is
> > unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously involved for the
> > long haul.
>
> Nope. E still = MC^2.

What do you mean "still", Dick. Nobody knew that for hundreds of

thousands of years. That equation is a 20th century equation, you know,
a *CHANGE* from the 19th century?

> Football is unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously
> > involved for the long haul. Airplanes are unrecognizable to anyone who
> > has been seriously involved for the long haul.
>
> All those things are vastly improved. Ham radio is improved only in the
> technology involved. The personnel problem is exactly the opposite.

According to your Tarot cards? Still working on your pie-in-the-sky-is-falling theory?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5198515916447036157
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 05:48:35 PST
Message-ID: <3B139A3A.257738B1@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 07:46:50 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B126919.15CA8895@IEEE.org> <20010529022341.26676.00005386@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991140011 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 07:40:11 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22692

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Larry, when are you going to stop whining about whiners?
>
> Whenever the whiners stop whining about me whining about their
> whining, obviously! I didn't start the whining, they did! So stop
> whining about my whining, and I won't whine anymore!

I don't think you are capable of stopping your whining, Larry, but
I will call your bluff. I will not whine anymore about your whining
and I will point out the next time you whine.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4753766134331438359
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 05:50:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B139AD0.DE3849C@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 07:49:20 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991140161 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 07:42:41 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22693

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> If you can find an example of where I've abused someone who didn't
> provoke me by whining about the code testing requirements, ...

All those "welfare mothers of color" were whining about code testing requirements??????

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8077305800418557032
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 05:56:06 PST
Message-ID: <3B139C03.D9E919F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 07:54:27 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eveo0$6cf$1@208.206.142.102> <20010529034332.08421.00001643@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991140469 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 07:47:49 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22694

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>

> >And when they tell you outright that is the case, you ignore it.
>
> No, Dick, I don't ignore it. Ignoring would mean that I wouldn't respond to it
> at all. I disagree with you that most new hams are becoming hams not to learn
> things.

Seems to me they could not learn things just as well by not being hams.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 185766239402482312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 05:56:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:56:06 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <th7739ennu8623@corp.supernews.com>
References: <LZTP6.31899$9G.199709@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<20010526224845.19879.00000905@ng-mb1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 36
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22695

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010526224845.19879.00000905@ng-mb1.aol.com...
>
> The League did form a digital voice committee some time ago, and they have
been
> working on some of the ways to foster the growth of the method.
>
> More info on digital voice is found at:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/digivoice.html

Thanks Ed.

--

--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

```
From 4973325509362654499
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 05:57:30 PST
Message-ID: <3B139C53.1AFEAF58@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 07:55:47 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net>
<3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991140548 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 07:49:08 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

```
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22696
```

Richard McCollum wrote:

```
> >   Messages may have been posted that are not showing up on my server.
> > My news server is having fits at the moment and is not showing all of
> > the messages posted.  They insist the problem will be fixed shortly.
> > Until then, I hope those individuals who post messages I don't see
> > will accept my apology for not responding.
>
> Earthlink buy your ISP, too?
```

I've changed ISPs because of such.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1937453667669134953
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:00:57 PST
Message-ID: <3B139D22.8C587878@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 07:59:14 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HHhhroRe: Puke.
References: <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <20010528000806.22777.00001415@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <9evemc$6cf$0@208.206.142.102>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991140757 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 07:52:37 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
```

nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22697

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Throughout my eight years of testing as a VE I wondered if I was
> actually helping move the ARS toward CB. Turns out that was exactly what
> was happening. No wonder so many VE's have quit.

Every religious zealot needs to demonize some group. Do you believe that
CBers are going to hell, Dick, and hams going to heaven?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6491118266772101663
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:03:26 PST
Message-ID: <3B139DB5.40CBF016@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:01:41 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9evern$6cf$2@208.206.142.102> <20010529034211.08421.00001642@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991140904 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 07:55:04 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22698

W1RFI wrote:
>

> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >Why it's coming to CB, just as you wish.
>
> That is not my wish, Dick.

Dick hopes and prays that the ARS is "coming to CB", Ed.
Otherwise, he would be proven absolutely wrong.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2446159014011218630
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:06:55 PST
Message-ID: <3B139E90.B077382C@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:05:20 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010528000000.22777.00001412@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<20010528104356.05666.00004620@nso-fo.aol.com> <9evcml$has$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991141123 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 07:58:43 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22699

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> So again I'll point out that thousands of emergencies
> were handled by CW over the last century.

How many in this century?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 184748879482754451
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:15:22 PST
Message-ID: <3B13A090.7435A89B@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:13:52 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B119634.26CA87A6@IEEE.org>
<9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org>
<9eugpg$jaf$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12C8F5.D14C203F@IEEE.org>
<9evch0$suk$1@208.206.142.102>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991141634 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 08:07:14 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22700
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
> > OK, Jeff, you're on. Exactly how many times have you sent CW that way?
> > It must be quite a challenge to send CW with a push-on/push-off button.
>
> Piece of cake. I once made a mobile CW QSO with a transceiver that
> didn't even have CW mode by ofsetting the carrier balance and keying CW
> with the PTT on the microphone.

That's not even the same thing unless your microphone had a
push-on/push-off button. As usual, you missed the entire point.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6671856215500646829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:18:07 PST
Message-ID: <3B13A12F.CD95DC40@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:16:31 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org>
<9eugpg$jaf$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12C8F5.D14C203F@IEEE.org>
<9eve10$ism$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991141793 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 08:09:53 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22701

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> CAM:
> >It must be quite a challenge to send CW with a push-on/push-off button.
>
> It's a rotary switch, not a push button.

Egads, that's even worse. Please demonstrate your rotary switch Morse code technique for me, Jeff. How long before it breaks and you're SOL?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8478800295470684968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:20:36 PST
Message-ID: <3B13A1B9.542A4E28@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:18:49 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B117DE0.47B10902@IEEE.org>
<9es2k8$j3o$0@208.207.71.139> <3B119634.26CA87A6@IEEE.org>
<9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org>
<9evd1j$suk$2@208.206.142.102>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991141931 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 08:12:11 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22702
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> Again, you insist on "peripherals" as all equal. Just like Ed, you
> insist that a TNC and a mechanical key are the same.

For me, a TNC is duck soup compared to a well-designed Morse code key.

'Course I'm a microcontroller jock.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -913792804330706362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:22:19 PST
Message-ID: <3B13A222.D7A196CB@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:20:34 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9evd1j$suk$2@208.206.142.102> <20010529040618.29498.00001760@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991142036 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 08:13:56 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22703

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >Again, you insist on "peripherals" as all equal. Just like Ed, you
> >insist that a TNC and a mechanical key are the same. Whatta joke the
> >both of you are.
>
> You are right, they are not different. I can build a TNC but I am not capable
> of building a reliable mechnical key.

Ditto for me, Ed. TNC's are duck soup compared to mechanical designs.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 9156441072261669429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:26:12 PST
Message-ID: <3B13A30A.8C532589@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:24:26 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010528175116.10007.00001705@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <9eui00$khi$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12E145.7C9DCB84@IEEE.org>
<9evedo$j1g$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991142269 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 08:17:49 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22704
```

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> I counter your contention that it's not possible to do CW
> out-of-the-box and rather than conceding, you instead call
> me a fool. You're a sore loser.

The reason that you are a fool for using that rotary switch to
send CW is that any sane person would use the PTT switch on the
microphone in either AM or FM mode.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1703806017393362993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:27:57 PST
Message-ID: <3B13A378.B7B2E73@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:26:16 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010528175116.10007.00001705@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010529003640.07982.00002273@nso-cm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991142378 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 08:19:38 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22705
```

N2EY wrote:

> Not on any of my HF rigs. All of them that will work 'phone modes require you
> to add a microphone, at least.

All my ICOMs came with microphones.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1747628484807088069
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:29:56 PST
Message-ID: <3B13A3F9.15FCF026@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:28:25 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B11CF0D.E34BEE8B@IEEE.org> <20010528083734.19932.00000915@ng-mb1.aol.com> <3B126539.B1844887@IEEE.org> <9evd5u$hrn$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991142508 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 08:21:48 -0500)
Lines: 9
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22706

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> What if the tape breaks?

What if the aliens land and take your code key away?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5499500845122218816
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:31:30 PST
Message-ID: <3B13A44C.ECE06A39@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:29:48 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com>
<3B110F82.8468B76@IEEE.org> <9es715$3p5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11AA70.4413D38F@IEEE.org> <9euf7g$hm0$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B12C7E6.E936CBF9@IEEE.org> <9evd3n$suk$3@208.206.142.102>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991142594 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 08:23:14 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22707
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Is your Third Millennium Emergency Communication System not
> > based on people and equipment, Jeff?
>
> It's damn sure not based on secretaries and tape recorders.

Why do you hate secretaries?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 579540241752733784
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:34:33 PST
Message-ID: <3B13A4FC.3ECA4BC4@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:32:44 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B1304FA.3F6E87CC@IEEE.org> <20010529035330.15273.00001483@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991142775 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 08:26:15 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22708

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >If I had refused to use a calculator and a computer at Intel, I
> >would have been fired.
>
> Even if you got the right answer without it? I don't think I have ever had a
> boss give me an order to use a calculator.

If I had not used a calculator, my work would have been late, filled
with mistakes, and I would have been fired.

Ed, did you realize that your newsreader is messing up the attributions?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From 4617689850401476496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:37:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 29 May 2001 13:37:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9f08mq$mm1$0@208.206.142.109>
References: <9evern$6cf$2@208.206.142.102> <20010529034211.08421.00001642@ng-mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22709

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Discourse with you is only valid in your view if it meets your
> >qualifications.
>
> You are correct. I expect that discourse between adults should be conducted at
> an adult level, not be rife with grammar-school taunts. Apparently you believe
> it should be otherwise.

Whatever it takes to get your attention, it seems.

>
> >Why it's coming to CB, just as you wish.
>
> That is not my wish, Dick. YOU are the one that keeps bringing it up...

And you're the one who keeps ignoring the facts that are before you.

From 1586568588927756996
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:37:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B13A5C3.4E5A8A17@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:36:03 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B11816E.6BE54D4D@IEEE.org> <20010529003639.07982.00002271@nso-cm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991142975 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 08:29:35 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22710

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >What if it was within a ham band and he called CQ on CW?
> >
> You previously said he would set it off to see how the other drivers would
> react. Here's your exact words:

So that means I can't post a "what if" posting later? Who died and
made you r.r.a.p moderator?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6347510535354791219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:41:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 13:40:50 GMT
References: <beb0a48b.0105290248.4abfdca7@posting.google.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010529094050.11294.00001968@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22711

>Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
>From: kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
>Date: 5/29/01 5:48 AM Central Daylight Time

>Sears unit, it's Part 95 type accepted, not Part 15. Pretty cool, eh?

Sorry, Bert...it IS a Part 15 device. I had one as a kid. It's a 100mw walkie-talkie in a "base station" box.

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -1740700075707173493
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:44:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 13:44:01 GMT
References: <3B139AD0.DE3849C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529094401.11294.00001969@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22712

>Subject: Re: Puke.
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 5/29/01 7:49 AM Central Daylight Time

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> If you can find an example of where I've abused someone who didn't
>> provoke me by whining about the code testing requirements, ...

>All those "welfare mothers of color" were whining about code testing
>requirements??????

Sure...couldn't re-apply for thier stamps without passing 5WPM...It's a new law in Delaware... =)

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 1015788950081313625
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:54:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!ams-newsfeed.speedport.net!newsfeed.speedport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B119634.26CA87A6@IEEE.org>
<9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org>
<9eugpg$jaf$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <QRNQ6.3624$rn5.196896@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 09:53:52 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 13:53:52 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22713

On 28 May 2001 21:43:12 GMT, Jeffrey Herman says:
>
>CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>You need to add the peripherals to operate CW, Jeff. So what? You can't
>>do CW "out of the box" either.
>
>I certainly can. When the function switch on all my transmitters
>is in the TUNE mode, a carrier is emitted; by turning that switch
>between TUNE and STANDBY I can send a Morse-encoded transmission.

I don't know of any modern radio which has a rotary Tune/Standby switch, so Cecil is right. You can't do CW "out of the box".

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From 846593552860888617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 06:59:14 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 13:59:03 GMT
Message-ID: <3b13a70e.371028805@news2.dvol.com>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdywmg4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010528105227.06434.00001862@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge29l29.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.22
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.22
X-Trace: 29 May 2001 08:54:30 -0500, 64.24.18.22
Lines: 28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22714

On Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:18 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:
>
>
>  What he was saying was:  if we amateurs can't get together and come
>  up with a unified front for what changes, regs, etc. we want, he
>  will do it for us and do you really want him to do it for us?  This
>  is hardly "shut up and do it my way".
>
Expecting anything even remotely resembling a "consensus" amongst U.S.
hams on just about any regulatory issue is an oxymoron. Nobody home at
the FCC during the Code Test War or what?
>
Now comes this "digital radio" malarkey. "You hams better get into
digital operations or else". Or else WHAT pray tell?
>
>  The issue of "the FCC isn't interested in issuing detailed
>  regulations, etc" is mere whitewash to  cover the disinterest that
>  the present crop of "regulators" have for anything that is remoteley
>  technical or isn't broadcast, cable, or common-carrier related.
>
I usta pay attention to their utterings. I don't waste my time on 'em
any longer,  they don't make any sense.
>--
>   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
```

w3rv

```
From -2151593466312741258
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:03:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HHhhroRe: Puke.
Date: 29 May 2001 14:03:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9f0a7e$qk6$0@208.206.142.109>
References: <9evemc$6cf$0@208.206.142.102> <20010529034438.08421.00001644@ng-
mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22715



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> t> It would be real tempting to just write you off as a cloistered,
> >clueless fool if one didn't know better.
>
> >Oh I noticed one of your ARRL
> >staffers writes a colymn for PopComm.
>
> And that would be who?
>



 Name at the top of "The Ham Column" on the issue before me is "Kirk
Kleinschmidt NT0Z, American Radio Relay League HQ"
 This is an old magazine,so I don't know I am not a subscriber but a
friend gave me a stack of past issues.

 The issue I am looking at is May 1994. I'll have to dig up the later
one with the ham/freebander's bitch column in it and quote some of it
for you. Naw, find it for yourself, you'd just say I was dreaming it up.
```

```
From 3955856509462469658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:03:42 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com>
<YlvO6.3477$r4.190909@www.newsranger.com> <9ek4dj$orq$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<K4tP6.7804$r4.483655@www.newsranger.com> <9es55g$216$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <k_NQ6.3634$rn5.197326@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 10:02:56 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 14:02:56 GMT
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news.bnb-lp.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22716

On 28 May 2001 00:12:32 GMT, Jeffrey Herman says:

>>than Deignan has to a KH6 or KH7 call,
>
>And I asked the FCC to cancel his calls which they eventually did.

His call is still KH6HZ, a call which he obtained with your cooperation by use
of your mailing address.







73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.


From 4651603958751881715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:03:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-
post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 10:03:32 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <th7b1nmafr1920@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 86
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22717

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com...

> My basic "disconnect" is that I can't get any grip on what is really driving this whole thrust to move into digital ham comms. I'm missing a clear explanation that makes sense from both the improvement in operations and regulatory perspectives just what we would get out of "going digital".
> >
> Because it's more "modern"? Because everybody else is doing it so we gotta do it too? To promote experimentation? Because the FCC sez so? Why do they say so? If no then just what IS the big deal? I don't get it.

Let me take a stab at answering that question. Once any kind of information is in a digital format it can be manipulated by a computer. I believe you have a EE degree so the following should make sense to you. I was told many years ago that electronics was basically mathematics in a physical form, and for the most part I think that statement is true.

With the exception of interacting with a human, other mechanical devices, or generation of RF power for the purpose of information transmission, everything else in a modern transceiver is nothing but signal (information) processing. From basic electronics to circuit analysis we use mathematics to design the filters, mixers, compressors, detectors, modulation methods, etc. From the mathematics we "try" to build physical circuits to perform the desired mathematical operations in an analog form. So the end process of the design is nothing more than an special "analog computer" built to solve the mathematics. Too often the "analog computer" that does get built has many undesirable characteristics, which requires someone with experience to adjust the component parameters for best results.

The natural question that arises is why waste the effort to build the special communication analog computer in the first place? The answer up until some years ago was simple. The computers to directly implement the desired mathematics was not fast enough. Today the picture is different with DSP processors that can perform over a billion operations per second are off the shelf items. Now as the economics allows, the old analog computational elements are being replaced with digital elements that solves the mathematics exactly, and without the nasty nonlinear behavior of the old analog computational components. We can't forget that the limitations of the "analog computing components" are a source of performance compromise, i.e they only approximately implement the mathematics we need.

So in the end the radios we use are really doing nothing more than processing information per some predefined mathematical formula. When the mathematics (formula) changes the old analog computer had to change, i.e. hack up the circuits or buy a new one. The emerging "software defined radio" replaces the "hard programming" in the old communication analog computer design with software. When changes are required instead of "modifying" an old analog design by cutting up the circuit the "software defined radio" simply impresses the new mathematics on the computer by a simple software update. No complex circuit modifications are needed, and everybody will get the exact same predicable performance too.

The additional benefit we gain with a software defined radio is the ability to operate almost any mode, old or new, with little to no extra investment in special hardware to use that mode. For example you want to operate CW, just load the narrow CW filter and keyer code, or operate any of the H.F. or VHF digital modes by just loading the modem software in to the rig. I think you get the idea. So long as the rig has the DSP CPU horse power they will be like our desk top computers, where what we do with them is defined mostly by the software we load in to them.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -2793565948322124004
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:05:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:05:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9f0abe$qk6$1@208.206.142.109>
References: <9euu7m$3d3$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010529034848.08421.00001646@ng-
mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22718
```

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>
> >The thread concerned the passing of traffic using 20wpm CW
> >versus 200wpm phone. Unless the message text contains BT or
> >HI I doubt a CW traffic handler would send them; one is
> >only supposed to send what's contained in the message text,
> >nothing else.
>
> Yeah, but when most people read formal messages, they don't add very many "uhs"
> as pauses. I presumed that when that was introduced to the thread, we were
> talking conversationally.

Evidently you haven't participated in any more voice nets than you have
given VE exams.

```
From 2472796773816388179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:07:25 PST
Message-ID: <3B13ACAD.68B9DF30@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 09:05:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B119634.26CA87A6@IEEE.org>
<9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org>
<9eugpg$jaf$1@news.hawaii.edu> <QRNQ6.3624$rn5.196896@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991144744 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 08:59:04 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22719

K0HB wrote:
>
> On 28 May 2001 21:43:12 GMT, Jeffrey Herman says:
> >
> >CAM  <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >>You need to add the peripherals to operate CW, Jeff. So what? You can't
> >>do CW "out of the box" either.
> >
> >I certainly can. When the function switch on all my transmitters
> >is in the TUNE mode, a carrier is emitted; by turning that switch
> >between TUNE and STANDBY I can send a Morse-encoded transmission.
>
> I don't know of any modern radio which has a rotary Tune/Standby switch,
> so Cecil is right.  You can't do CW "out of the box".

My IC-706 doesn't even have a tune switch and some transceivers don't
come with a microphone.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -2993466667739275236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:09:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
```

news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:09:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9f0ajh$rd9$0@208.206.142.109>
References: <9evd1j$suk$2@208.206.142.102> <20010529040618.29498.00001760@ng-mg1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22720

W1RFI wrote:

> I can build a TNC but I am not capable
> of building a reliable mechnical key.

ARE you serious? I can't elieve you said that. A 5 year old can build a
reliabe mechanical key. Doesn't have to be pretty but it sure works.

A used TNC can be purchased for about

> $10.00 -- the used keys I saw at Dayton were considerably more expensive.

Shows you what is in demand doesn't it? :-) And--how do you know the
TNC actually works? Maybe it got ticked by a voltage surge while in
someone's "wellgrounded" shack.

From 8003428161760853519
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:13:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
Date: 29 May 2001 14:13:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9f0aqd$rd9$1@208.206.142.109>
References: <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us> <20010528104354.05666.00004615@nso-fo.aol.com> <w5DQ6.49413$t12.3835825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>

```
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105290834050.10209-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22721



"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> On Tue, 29 May 2001, JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
> > Larry, please correct me if I am wrong, but I believe all wireless
> > telephones are 'protected' (note the quotes) by FCC regulations.  This means
> > you can't divulge their contents should you pick them up.  The exception
> > (and I must confess that I don't know why) are the old 40 something MHz
> > phones.  Of course, some people do - cell phone ids are stolen (usually to
> > be used for drug transactions), but you are correct - no one needs to
> > monitor this stuff.
>
> I may not be Larry, but I can tell you that the above MUST be wrong.
>
> Cordless phones that operate on 33cm (900MHz) and 12cm (2.4GHz) CANNOT be
> protected because there are licensed services (including amateur) that are
> allocated those frequency bands.  Not only that, but as part 15 implies, we (as
> amateurs) have a specific duty to listen to ANY device on those bands when
> interference with our own equipment (when we operate there) is concerned; the
> duty being to identify the source of the interference.
>
> The only phones that can be protected are the 49MHz phones - and only because
> they are NOT in a frequency band that is also allocated to another service.
> Their frequencies are exclusively their own.


Could it be that Federal law and FCC rules clash on this point??


From 7494066306698098279
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:15:56 PST
Message-ID: <3B13AEA2.FDDC631C@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 09:13:54 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9evd1j$suk$2@208.206.142.102> <20010529040618.29498.00001760@ng-
```

```
mg1.aol.com> <9f0ajh$rd9$0@208.206.142.109>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991145254 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 09:07:34 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22722

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >  I can build a TNC but I am not capable
> > of building a reliable mechnical key.
>
> ARE you serious? I can't believe you said that. A 5 year old can build a
> reliabe mechanical key. Doesn't have to be pretty but it sure works.

I'm not 5 years old, Dick. I am 63 years old with tremors and arthritis.
Virtually all of my mechanical designs are failures.
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -8638596957868239077
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 16:06:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge23w64.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org> <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
```

```
<3B11A5B8.EC64D80D@IEEE.org> <9esejr$rvj$1@208.207.71.155>
<3JmQ6.3450$kh4.297071@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3B123F43.6C80B46F@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 15
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991091174 63.178.69.156 (Mon, 28 May 2001
23:06:14 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:14 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22623

On Mon, 28 May 2001 07:06:27 -0500, CAM wrote:

>80 characters corresponded to 640 horizontal pixels. We now have a
>lot more pixels. Netscape doesn't have a problem with long lines.

  My eyes do.  My monitors and video cards will easily handle
  1280x1024, but the resultant text is far too small for easy reading.

  And I read e-mail and newsgroups with text-mode-display readers,
  not with a graphical browser.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From 80772714063896475
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 16:06:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge24nw6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010528104356.05666.00004620@nso-fo.aol.com>
<20010528142315.19790.00001451@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 26
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991091175 63.178.69.156 (Mon, 28 May 2001
23:06:15 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:15 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22624

On 28 May 2001 18:23:15 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>> However, if memory serves me right, there is no federal law requiring fire
>> sprinkler systems to be installed in each and every private home.
>
>Yes, and, to stick with your analogy, if the government were to make such a
>requirement, it would equate exactly to the requirement to test hams for Morse
>code in the event that it is needed.

  Federal law, maybe not (yet), but certainly local fire codes have
  required sprinkler systems in private residences for decades under
  certain circumstances.

  A single-family two-story residence built in 1960 that we owned from
  1977-1986 had sprinklers in the garage because although on street
  level, it was below living quarters.

>Do you advocate such governement intervention in all of our lives, or only
>those parts that result in your getting what you want out of it?

  Yes.....

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From 5379999026868774798
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 16:06:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge28mi8.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010527124756.05008.00001659@ng-fy1.aol.com>
<20010528103541.06434.00001859@ng-ct1.aol.com>
```

```
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Lines: 13
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991091176 63.178.69.156 (Mon, 28 May 2001
23:06:16 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:16 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22625

On 28 May 2001 14:35:41 GMT, Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

>What I would prefer is a staffer that said "I am from the FCC...How can I
>help you?", NOT "Take care of business or I will make the decisions for you".

  I prefer a concatenation of the two.  I have no problems with the
  FCC staff making professional spectrum management decisions again.
  That's what they/we are/were paid to do and did so in years past.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -7919327811415565278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 16:06:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge29l29.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdywmg4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010528105227.06434.00001862@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Lines: 51
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:18 GMT
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991091178 63.178.69.156 (Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:18 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:18 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22626

On 28 May 2001 14:52:27 GMT, Steve Robeson LPN wrote:

>> B) The "who's financing his mortgage" stuff belongs where the sun
>> doesn't shine.
>
> Phil, is Lennie or Hans writing your stuff for you now?

Original Phil Kane...

>
>> I put up with that crap for 30 years from folks who thought that
>> they knew my job better than I did and could do it better than I
>> did. It gets old real fast. Pure QRM.
>
> Oh...I see..."Shut up and do it my way....."
>
>> He works for The Federal Communications Commission, which is the
>> agency charged by The Congress with regulating YOUR use of radio,
>> and his job is to recommend and/or make decisions according to the
>> guidelines of that agency, not according to what you think those
>> decisions should be.
>
> And if I don't like that, Phil, your 30 years of angst notwithstanding, I
>have a right to redress. It's in the Constitution. Read it, heed it....I am
>sure there was at least a half semester course on the Constitution SOMEWHERE in
>law school...Of course, after the last 8 years in Washington, I really DO
>wonder...!!!

There is a whole process of comment, input, etc. before an NPRM
results in a R&O, and afterwards there is a whole process of "hey
you did it wrong" both at the Commission and in the Federal Appeals
Courts. (I occasionally teach Federal administrative procedure at law
school seminars.)

What Bill was referring to is requests for what would go into a NPRM
before it is issued. It's his job to write the NPRM (and defend it
from butchery within, as we saw in the restructuring docket).

What he was saying was: if we amateurs can't get together and come
up with a unified front for what changes, regs, etc. we want, he
will do it for us and do you really want him to do it for us? This
is hardly "shut up and do it my way".

The issue of "the FCC isn't interested in issuing detailed
regulations, etc" is mere whitewash to cover the disinterest that
the present crop of "regulators" have for anything that is remoteley
technical or isn't broadcast, cable, or common-carrier related.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -6571047648609695252
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 16:07:02 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge26dg7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdyz7l7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <20010528010353.05666.00004556@nso-fo.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 38
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991091177 63.178.69.156 (Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:17 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:17 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22627

On 28 May 2001 05:03:53 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>In article <cuvyxnansvbet.gdyz7l7.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
><Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:
>
>>
>> It would have made you a Combat Defense Force member, the special
>> security police of SAC during the early 60s. Blue berets, white
>> grips on the .357 chromed Magnums, the whole bit.
>
>Phil:
>
>My personal image of something called a "Combat Defense Force"
>would be rough, tough, highly-trained SOLDIERS (you know -- someone
>trained out in the mud, the rain, the cold, the sweltering heat, etc., not
>some Airman given an occasional 1-week bivouac under "field"
>conditions) wearing combat fatigues (or, these days, BDU's) and

>armed with a selective-fire assault rifle, a "combat-tuned"
>M1911A1 .45 ACP semi-auto pistol strictly as a defensive sidearm,
>and plenty of ammo for each. Any sissy-boy wearing a blue beret, a
>white-gripped, chromed anything, and the "whole bit" is just window
>dressing. The Air Force, particularly during the Cold War era, was
>famous for smartly-dressed Security Police who could generally
>be swept aside by a small force of grandmothers carrying brooms.
>Air Force installations should have been guarded and defended by
>Army Rangers or U.S. Marines -- the guys who specialize in
>ground combat. The Air Force Security Police should have stuck
>to checking I.D.'s at the gate and handing out speeding tickets
>in base housing areas.

These folks were NOT AF Security Police...they were SAC's flight-line and comnmand-post security detail and were very effective in what they did.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

```
From -5563598786822795223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 16:39:26 PST
Message-ID: <3B12E145.7C9DCB84@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 18:37:41 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010528175116.10007.00001705@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <9eui00$khi$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp 991092663 64.240.47.68 (28 May 2001 18:31:03 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
```

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22628
```

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> As I just told Cecil, by switching between TUNE and STANDBY, I
> certainly can key my transmitters in such a way as to send Morse-
> encoded transmissions. Not practical, but certainly possible!

Try a QSO using a bistable switch, Jeff. Tell me what time and
frequency. I want to listen to you make a fool of yourself.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1669828837998818229
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 16:42:43 PST
Message-ID: <3B12E211.4D61A1E4@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 18:41:05 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
<3B118B9A.48AFADA@IEEE.org> <9eucu0$eij$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B12BD25.B54EC9AA@IEEE.org> <9euhdd$ju9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.68
X-Trace: corp 991092869 64.240.47.68 (28 May 2001 18:34:29 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.kjsl.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22629
```

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> I've not only wasted my time but yours, also, for now you'll
> have to write a follow-up to defend your remarks.

Unless it's a joke, any change of meaning on my part through
editing is inadvertent. I try to follow the newsgroup guidelines,
i.e. trim as much as possible.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3359743461217568616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 16:44:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 28 May 2001 23:44:27 GMT
References: <20010528142452.19790.00001452@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010528194427.23252.00002067@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22630

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 5/28/01 1:24 PM Central Daylight Time

>To catch the gist of what you have been saying, the only way you would accept
>what a federal employee has to say is that he was personally appointed by
>Congress? Don't you think you are stacking the deck a bit with that one,
>Steve?

Actually, I think you are trying to make the proverbial federal case out of a simple statement...I don't care if Mr. Cross was appointed by Congress or works for a staffing agency. He made comments that to me seem threatening. I don't like that. No more, no less.

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From -1466883542003417381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 17:03:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 00:02:59 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge29l29.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010528200259.23252.00002072@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22631

>Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nospam.spam
>Date: 5/28/01 6:06 PM Central Daylight Time

>>     Phil, is Lennie or Hans writing your stuff for you now?

>      Original Phil Kane...

     Then maybe you need a new script writer...???

>  What he was saying was:  if we amateurs can't get together and
>  come up with a unified front for what changes, regs, etc. we want, he
>  will do it for us and do you really want him to do it for us?  This
>  is hardly "shut up and do it my way".

     A different tone, to be sure...but I hear the same message.

73

Steve, K4YZ
```

```
From 3724162048409042505
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 18:20:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
```

```
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 01:13:32 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9eut3s$29f$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <3B0FB995.E9589A4A@IEEE.org>
<9eqacg$2m7$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B118041.D82E74A2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991098812 2351 128.171.44.55 (29 May 2001 01:13:32 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 01:13:32 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22632

CAM wrote:

>Jeff wrote:
>>Don't be so shallow in your thinking, Cecil. Read my last sentence
>>above: "Their ability for doing mental calculations increases..."
>>Do you somehow find that archaic, and feel that relying on slide
>>rules or calculators turns out better-educated students?

>The productivity of US engineers has increased magnitudes by the use
>of calculators and computers.

I wouldn't think highly of an engineer who can't do the simplest of
calculations w/o having to reach for a calculator. Do you need one
to solve Ed's example: 2x=21 ?

>Your methods may work for teaching

I feel they do, for students who develop the ability to perform
mental calculations seem to also develop deeper insight for
understanding more complex topics the first time they see them,
just like lifting weights leads to the ability to lift heavier
weights.

>but be sure to tell your students, that
>in the real world, they will get fired for such nonsense.

"Nonsense"??? Developing their mental capabilities is nonsense??
I don't understand you at all, Cecil...

KH6O


From -5203487455121291952
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 18:39:41 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us> <20010528104354.05666.00004615@nso-fo.aol.com>
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordless phones?
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <w5DQ6.49413$t12.3835825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 01:39:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.178.199
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991100380 12.88.178.199 (Tue, 29 May 2001 01:39:40 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 01:39:40 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22633

Larry, please correct me if I am wrong, but I believe all wireless telephones are 'protected' (note the quotes) by FCC regulations. This means you can't divulge their contents should you pick them up. The exception (and I must confess that I don't know why) are the old 40 something MHz phones. Of course, some people do - cell phone ids are stolen (usually to be used for drug transactions), but you are correct - no one needs to monitor this stuff.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
(ps - the spred spectrum cordless phones have moved up - 1.2 and 2.4 GHz, I believe)

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010528104354.05666.00004615@nso-fo.aol.com...
> In article <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us>, Tim Willson
> <tim.willson@state.mn.us> writes:
>
> >
> >Anyone have any success or or suggestions about the method and
> >equipment used to recover spread spectrum cordless telephone
> >transmissions? They are in the 902 to 927 mHz range. Thanks
> >for answering here, so that all may benefit.
>
> Tim:
>
> Sorry, no. I don't need to listen in on no steenking telephones, and
> neither do you!
>

> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

From -3905163942431880064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 18:40:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 01:32:38 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9euu7m$3d3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3B11CE85.91966DF@IEEE.org> <20010528083821.19932.00000917@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991099958 3491 128.171.44.55 (29 May 2001 01:32:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 01:32:38 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22634

CAM wrote:
>W1RFI wrote:

>> -...-   -...- -...-

>.... ..   ..

The thread concerned the passing of traffic using 20wpm CW
versus 200wpm phone. Unless the message text contains BT or
HI I doubt a CW traffic handler would send them; one is
only supposed to send what's contained in the message text,
nothing else.   KH6O

From 4240406963535001753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 19:10:25 PST
Message-ID: <3B1304AC.852B98F5@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 21:08:44 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B11CE85.91966DF@IEEE.org> <20010528083821.19932.00000917@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9euu7m$3d3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 991101727 64.240.47.54 (28 May 2001 21:02:07 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22635
```

```
Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >W1RFI wrote:
>
> >> -...-   -...-  -...-
>
> >.... ..   .... ..
>
> The thread concerned the passing of traffic using 20wpm CW
> versus 200wpm phone. Unless the message text contains BT or
> HI I doubt a CW traffic handler would send them; one is
> only supposed to send what's contained in the message text,
> nothing else.

I didn't say HI was in the message text. I was laughing.
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5326325412178245356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 19:11:35 PST
Message-ID: <3B1304FA.3F6E87CC@IEEE.org>
```

```
Date: Mon, 28 May 2001 21:10:02 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B0B24F1.76DA08@earthlink.net> <3B0FB995.E9589A4A@IEEE.org>
<9eqacg$2m7$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B118041.D82E74A2@IEEE.org>
<9eut3s$29f$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.54
X-Trace: corp 991101805 64.240.47.54 (28 May 2001 21:03:25 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22636

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >but be sure to tell your students, that
> >in the real world, they will get fired for such nonsense.
>
> "Nonsense"??? Developing their mental capabilities is nonsense??
> I don't understand you at all, Cecil...

If I had refused to use a calculator and a computer at Intel, I
would have been fired.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -62752445383212606
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 19:17:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
```

beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 02:17:14 GMT
References: <20010528134001.08137.00001644@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010528221714.25412.00001790@ng-ck1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22637

Hi Mark,

You can generally find us on Sunday mornings at about 7:30 and some evenings during the week between 7:00 and 8:00. You are certainly welcome, as is anybody else who'd like to help us practice. If you're more than 150.3 mi. away, please ID as LI, NY. Just kidding...but I gotcha for a sec, didn't I?:-D

I need to give the oscillator wiring some thought here. I'd wanted to avoid actually modifying the radio (Although my GROL allows me to do so legally as long as I don't alter the transmitter to exceed FCC limits.) itself. I believe the tone generated for the roger beep (which I loathe) in many legal rigs is created by a simple oscillator cicuit. These are in fully Part 95 type accepted, so the mod route is not entirely ruled out. I just lack the spare time to do this but perhaps my reasoning is flawed. If I have time to wire a mic plug to a key and oscilator, I suppose I have time to do the full mod. We'll see.

Thanks Mark, thank you all for making this a fun departure from the "heavy" stuff. I hope everybody had a great memorial day weekend. If any vets are reading this, a special thanks to you.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -8634257130125997365
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 19:26:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 02:26:06 GMT
References: <20010528140841.08297.00001547@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)

```
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010528222606.25412.00001791@ng-ck1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22638

>>Bert, as I recall, modulated CW is not permitted on 11m, but I've got
>>to tell you -- this is about the only time that I'd not mind someone
>>breaking a Part 95 reg!
>
>I gotta agree with this. If anybody hassles you over this rule "infraction,"
>(if indeed it is?) then there are far bigger problems with the
>complainant...and they're NOT rf related.
>
>>Good luck to your niece!
>
>Seconded! Take care and 73.
>

Me!!!...break a Part 95 rule? Perish the thought.   0 ;-)

Thanks all.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN




From -1480755109239334471
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 20:00:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 03:00:07 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3b131076.1699602@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9eqm6f$10t$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527084454.04987.00001646@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9erprs$g5d$0@208.206.142.89> <3b11aa10.5718057@news.mindspring.com>
<9eufjg$i58$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.c3
X-Server-Date: 29 May 2001 03:00:33 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22639

On 28 May 2001 21:22:56 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:
```

>Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:
>>Which is exactly why the FCC and other administrations
>>around the globe do NOT see any need for continued
>>morse TESTING.
>
>If mode skills were tested because of their possible use
>during disasters, those admins you mentioned would have
>been testing our speaking and typing skills, Bill.

Maybe so...BUT the writing is on the wall regarding the
impending end to code testing...even at 5 wpm...regardless
of the reason(s).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 4356002838021165506
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 20:04:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 03:03:40 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3b131107.1844223@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010528104356.05666.00004620@nso-fo.aol.com>
<20010528142315.19790.00001451@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge24nw6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.c3
X-Server-Date: 29 May 2001 03:04:09 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22640

On Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:15 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
wrote:

>On 28 May 2001 18:23:15 GMT, W1RFI wrote:
>
>>> However, if memory serves me right, there is no federal law requiring fire
>>> sprinkler systems to be installed in each and every private home.
>>
>>Yes, and, to stick with your analogy, if the government were to make such a
>>requirement, it would equate exactly to the requirement to test hams for Morse
>>code in the event that it is needed.

>
> Federal law, maybe not (yet), but certainly local fire codes have
> required sprinkler systems in private residences for decades under
> certain circumstances.
>
> A single-family two-story residence built in 1960 that we owned from
> 1977-1986 had sprinklers in the garage because although on street
> level, it was below living quarters.

What state? I am unaware of any state that currently
requires any sprinklers in any single family dwelling.
I know rules are very much localized, but I am curious if there
truly is any new construction of single family homes that
actually mandates sprinklers.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3282970195385550831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 21:21:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 29 May 2001 03:24:28 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9ev4pc0mch@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3b0340de.39842219@news2.dvol.com> <3B03820C.9585893@sccoast.net> <9e0fk4$g8u$2@208.206.142.111> <3b03fc9e.87905835@news2.dvol.com> <3B04AD8C.FE8A394E@sccoast.net> <3b053d1f.169926667@news2.dvol.com> <3B05DC55.1892824B@sccoast.net> <%ItN6.16939$Az.1608745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9e5v4u$jji$0@208.207.71.133> <9e78v2047g@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b07e333.36684774@news2.dvol.com> <9e9ckl11qn6@enews4.newsguy.com> <3b09480d.128045661@news2.dvol.com> <9ecjo3$9nk$0@208.206.142.73> <9ee9l8163b@enews2.newsguy.com> <3b0afd14.239925822@news2.dvol.com> <9ekevr01tb5@enews2.newsguy.com> <9emqk4$41b$0@208.206.142.94> <cuvyxnansvbet.gdyvq53.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9eqnql$5a5$0@208.207.71.176>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-016.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22641

In article <9eqnql$5a5$0@208.207.71.176>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

> So the loss of 220 was due to punishment of hams who believe we should
>retain code testing, and had nothing to do with political maneuvering by
>UPS or anyone else, and nothing to do with virtual non-use of the band
>by hams.
>
> In that case we might just as well turn in most of VHF/UHF and get it
>over with.

Dick, this is the smartest thing you've ever said in this newsgroup. However, I
expect to be reimbursed for what's taken. I expect I can blow all of the money
on a tall carmel machiato at Starbucks.

From -7878976521763021597
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 21:28:47 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 04:28:22 GMT
Message-ID: <3b1322e9.337201370@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010527124756.05008.00001659@ng-fy1.aol.com>
<20010528103541.06434.00001859@ng-ct1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.198
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.198
X-Trace: 28 May 2001 23:23:51 -0500, 64.24.16.198
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22642

On 28 May 2001 14:35:41 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:

>
>
>   What I would prefer is a staffer that said "I am from the FCC...How can I
>help you?" . . .
>
SOP joke in aviation. You're out on the ramp with yer C172 and here
comes a guy with a clipboard and with "FAA" emblazoned on his hat in
big yellow letters. "Hi, I'm here to help you . . " Which usually
means yer about to get ripped a new one. Like somebody around here
said "Be careful who you ask for help, you might get what you asked
for."
>
>73

>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
w3rv

From 7563912894215324132
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 21:36:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 04:36:36 GMT
References: <3B0F08B9.A0B38D57@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010529003636.07982.00002269@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22643

In article <3B0F08B9.A0B38D57@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

> An alternative is to simply leave a radar
>transmitter hidden at some location all the time, just to slow down
>cars with radar detectors. It doesn't fool the locals, who quickly
>catch on, but it does slow down over drivers.

MD did this on I-95.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -3507898893634919248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 21:36:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!europa.netcrusader.net!208.184.7.66!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 29 May 2001 04:36:40 GMT
References: <20010528175116.10007.00001705@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529003640.07982.00002273@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22644

In article <20010528175116.10007.00001705@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>
>>Was a keyboard and PC included in the box? If not, you can't do RTTY
>>"out of the box." You need to add the peripherals.
>
>Under that standard, the only mode that is commonly available "right out of
>the
>box" is phone.

Not on any of my HF rigs. All of them that will work 'phone modes require you to add a microphone, at least.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 4891669493459234908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 21:36:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 04:36:39 GMT
References: <3B1268BA.F0E910F4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529003639.07982.00002272@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22645

In article <3B1268BA.F0E910F4@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>I forget what the threshold voltage is where the average person
>cannot turn loose of it but it is higher than 110vac.
>
It's not the voltage but the current that causes the "can't let go" response. I don;t recall the exact values, but it's less than 1 amp.

The big factor is body resistance and where the current is flowing. Wet skin has lots less resistance tha dry skin, and hand-to-hand flow is really bad because it's across the chest. Where the pump is.

Switch to Safety.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 7807327755114139867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 21:36:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 04:36:39 GMT
References: <3B11816E.6BE54D4D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010529003639.07982.00002271@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22646

In article <3B11816E.6BE54D4D@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:
>> >I have to totally agree with Ed on this. There is simply
>> >NO justification for such a dangerous and ILLEGAL act.
>
>> Agreed. It could be described as a "false and deceptive transmission",
>couldn't
>> it?
>
>What if it was within a ham band and he called CQ on CW?
>
Did he have a receiver set up to receive possible answers to his CQ? If so, then he could claim it was an amateur operation. If not, it's just blowing smoke.

You previously said he would set it off to see how the other drivers would react. Here's your exact words:

BEGIN QUOTE FROM POST OF 25 MAY 2001:

N2EY wrote:
> - In states where radar detectors are illegal, set up a radar gun in a place
> where the traffic really rolls. Set it up so that it cannot be seen from the
> road being surveyed, then see whose brakelights go on for no apparent reason.
> the license plate numbers are radioed ahead and the cars stopped for having a
> detector.

One of my ham friends has a little hand-held transmitter that emits RF in one of the radar bands. To amuse himself, he keys that transmitter when he's tooling down the interstate with his camper and a speeder passes him. He's seen drivers go into fish-tails while slowing down.

END QUOTE

Good luck on this one!

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 8188915693479304735
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 21:36:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 159
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 04:36:38 GMT
References: <3B11245C.60311C58@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010529003638.07982.00002270@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22647

In article <3B11245C.60311C58@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Ahh - and I bet those limits are seriously
>> enforced! Bet their size/weight limits on
>> trucks are way below ours, though.

> Their trucks do tend to be smaller, but that probably has more to do
>with the much smaller roads carved into the old cities (many of the
>roads in those cities were built centuries before cars).

Yup. But they also believe in less axle loading, both on the highway and on the rails.

> As for
>enforcement, they tend to heavily depend on traffic cameras. Again,
>they don't want to disrupt the flow of traffic by pulling people over
>on the Autobahn, so they simply take a picture and mail you a ticket.
>The same with traffic in the city - many stop lights have cameras
>overlooking traffic through the intersection. While I never got a
>ticket for speeding on the Autobahn, I did get a couple of tickets
>after getting trapped in an intersection during a traffic jam in town.

I did not know that! Cameras have been used here, too, but to a very limited extent. One use I know of is to document drivers going around lowered railroad crossing gates.
>
>> Part of that is probably due to better
>> construction, part to a less severe
>> climate, and part to lower axle loadings
>> on the big trucks. And better maintenance.
>> Note that their fuel takes are much higher
>> than ours, so that the money is there to
>> mainatain the roads.

> Actually, that had us confused for years. The roads were well
>maintained, but we almost never saw road construction. We would see
>road construction for big projects (new bridges, etc), but almost
>never the minor maintenance (resurfacing, painting, etc). As far as
>we could tell, they seem to do it at night, sometimes completely doing
>a whole stretch of road in one night. For example, we saw them
>getting ready to do a road on the other side of town one afternoon.
>Three days later, when we drove through there again, the road was
>completely resurfaced and there was no sign of any recent road
>construction (no equipment, no signs, etc).

Amazing. Do they use concrete or asphalt or both?

The main factors in road life are two: Stress (axle loading again) and quality of construction. Wear from heavy axle loading is not a linear function - one study showed that a SINGLE 18 wheel semitrailer rig does as much wear to a typical US road as 7500 (seven thousand five hundred) pickup trucks.
>
>> Excellent idea. I have heard that in Sweden
>> (where Volvso are made) you have to meet
>> certain qualifications to OWN a car. If you
>> can't show that you will maintain the
>> vehicle, you can't have one.
>
> That is pretty standard throughout Europe now. You also cannot
>modify a car in a manner that will change it's design characteristics.
> For example, if said car is sold with "x" brand of tires on it, you
>have to install the same brand of tires on it, or an approved
>replacement, when those tires wear out. You also cannot install wider

>tires, smaller tires, bigger tires, or so forth. Likewise, you cannot
>install anything on the car itself that will change it's behavior
>(spoilers, custom body parts, etc) unless those items have been
>approved for that specific vehicle. It is a more rigid system, but I
>guess that is to be expected considering the speeds allowed there.
>
WOW! I had no idea!

I suppose it's not just speed, but a whole raft of concerns. Safety at any
speed, getting the cars to last longer, environmental concerns, and a certain
amount of protectionism for the manufacturers (if you have to buy certain Volvo
parts only from Volvo, it guarantees them a certain amount of revenue no matter
what).

>> Gotta define "aggressive", though. For example,
>> you can't be indecisive about merging into
>> Autobahn traffic, from your description.
>
> It is true about merging into Autobahn traffic. My wife was a
>little slow when she entered the Autobahn the first time (she has
>always been afraid to drive fast) and a truck had to apply his brakes
>(which caused a couple of other trucks to apply their brakes). I
>thought the driver of that truck was going to have a heart attack
>because of all the yelling he was doing through the windshield.
>Anyway, we got a letter a few days later from the police politely
>reminding us to be more careful when entering and leaving the AutoBahn.
>
> As for aggressive, I mean aggressive. If there is even a tiny
>opening, a car will turn in front of you from a side road (darn gas
>pedal pressed to the floor). Likewise, at intersections, if you
>hesitate to go when you're supposed to (making a left turn, for
>example), nobody is going to sit there and wait for you. They will
>simply proceed into the intersection and, when you finally get up the
>nerve to go, you will have to proceed into the intersection until
>others stop again.

Sounds like Boston or Washington, DC. Aggressive!
>
> And it is amazing to see drivers on the smaller roads in town.
>Because of parked cars, it is not uncommon to find only enough room
>for one car at a time to proceed. In every case, it is almost like a
>game of chicken - each proceeds until one finally pulls over to allow
>the other to go. And, if you are the one who stops, you had better be
>aggressive if you want to proceed again, because every other car will
>follow that first one through.
>
> To be perfectly honest with you, it is absolutely amazing there
>isn't more accidents. When you're driving there, it is almost like
>driving in a demolition derby, with the rules changed to give points
>to those who drive the most aggressive without actually hitting
>another vehicle. Add in bicycles, motorized wheelchairs in the
>roadway, mopeds, streetcars down the center of most roads, and so
>forth, and it is definitely a different driving experience.

Crazy. On the one hand they are wild for safety, and on the other they drive like maniacs.
>
> I will add one more thing at this point, the cops in Germany are a
>lot more friendly then the typical cop is here. In the ten years I
>was there, I had numerous conversations with various police officers.
>And, in every case, they were extremely open and friendly (often
>sharing personal information about their life outside of law
>enforcement). In fact, one motorcycle cop even tried to talk me into
>becoming a cop simply because I was riding a motorcycle that afternoon
>(he thought I would pass the motorcycle cop requirements easily).
>

The police around here are very friendly, too, if you treat them with the proper respect.

> On another occasion (an event that stands out in my mind), I saw two
>police officers approach a street vender selling mistletoe just before
>christmas to ask him if he had a license. When the person responded
>that he didn't, I watched carefully, half expecting the police to run
>the guy off. Instead, they explained the law to him, told him to have
>a license next year if he planned to sell there again, and then both
>officers bought some mistletoe from him. I could not help but smile
>as I watched both stroll off with mistletoe hanging out of their shirt
>pockets. Christmas in Germany, with snow covered castles, old
>buildings, and the smells of baked christmas breads, is really special.
>

GREAT story, Dwight. Thanks for sharing it.

Unless I'm mistaken , a lot of what we think of as "Christmas" traditions are really old German/Teutonic/Nordic pagan rituals. The Yule log, the Christmas tree, mistletoe, and some others I can't think of just now. Also several of the days of the week (Tieu's day, Wodin's day, Thor's day, Freya's day).
>

73 de Jim, N2EY

btw, I once posted an explanation of where the term "73" came from. It has been quoted on a few websites, and the other day I found it on a new website - translated into German!

From 3343666393251437511
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 22:07:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.

Date: 29 May 2001 05:07:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9evaqm$oo2$0@208.206.142.102>
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9etrp9$57d$0@208.207.71.153>
<EuwQ6.13676$Rh7.401311@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22648

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9etrp9$57d$0@208.207.71.153...
> >
> > Oh, ther will still be an amateor radio. It will just be unrecognizable
> > to anyone who has been seriously involved for the long haul. That would
> > seem to exclude many longterm licensees, including many in Newington,
> > who have held licenses and been marginally involved, say almost never on
> > the air at least in anything more than a very narrowly defined way.
> > Things change, always have. But such a gigantic shift is unwarranted,
> > unnecessary for any realistic reason other than to load up the ARS with
> > people so marginally imnterested in the technique of radio as to dilute
> > it down to water. At which point it can very easily be sunk out of
> > sight.
>
> Yeah, damned changing of times anyway, eh? My mate and I were sitting
> around the water pond the other night and looked into it. It was as though
> a mirror and we both saw the same future: a time when our descendants would
> have it easy with grocery stores, cars, schools, and organized religion. We
> both looked away from that tragic truth and shook our heads slowly as we
> realized there was just not much sense in going on--what with our children's
> children having life so easy in the future. We knew we were more human than
> they'd ever be. . . and that their human-ess was far from recognizable to
> us.
>

Ham radio is not for everyone. But when it changes so that everyone can
just jump in, it WILL be for everyone. And at that point it is destroyed
as a useful entity - just as CB was.

```
From 1543967463418517731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 22:13:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 29 May 2001 05:13:52 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <9evb6g$qjj$0@208.206.142.102>
References: <20010528082216.19932.00000909@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010528104353.05666.00004614@nso-fo.aol.com> <9etsnu$7nv$0@208.207.71.153>
<ezwQ6.50897$4f7.3760338@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22649



Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9etsnu$7nv$0@208.207.71.153...
> >
> >
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <20010528082216.19932.00000909@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> > > (W1RFI) writes:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >Why?  W0EX says that there is no abuse of Technician-class licensees on
> this
> > > >forum.
> > >
> > > Ed:
> > >
> > > Correct.  We only abuse people who whine about code testing
> > > requirements, past and present, *regardless* of their license class!
> > > Mrs. Stewart is perfectly welcome in here, and won't be abused, as
> > > long as she doesn't whine!
> > >
> > > Speaking for myself, I never ask what anyone's license class is --
> > > so I wouldn't have been aware of her being a Technician until
> > > Dwight mentioned it.
```

> >
> >
> > Neither does anyone else, but you have noticed, of coursxe that they
> > always manage to note their Technicianness so that they laythe
> > groudnwork for a really good whine and lament of how they've been
> > abuses, and of course Ed and the Newington set take it up and carry on.
> > Wouldn't want their sensitivities , already provemn to be fragile in the
> > extreme by their 'hazing rituyal' attitudes toward ANY sort of a Morse
> > code exam, to be further infringed such that we might never convince
> > them to sign up for a membership. ARRL? What's that?
>
> Dick, having been in LE for your career you should know that one does not
> preserve his sanity and perspective hanging around with a bunch of cops.
> Nurses need to remember the entire world is not sick or scared shitless,
> claim adustors need to get out of the idea that everyone they meet is a
> crooked chisler. And so it goes.
>
> We see the ones looking for some righteous activity to avoid code practice;
> you, your children, and grandchildren can be really inventive that way when
> it comes to homework. One of the trade hazards of rrap is encountering only
> techs with major head or emotional problems, usually one hopes temporary,
> over what is viewed as real tedium.
>
> Like you, I am frigging tired of hearing it and tend to come down hard with
> the size 13s but one cannot totally lose perspective. Hell, they are even
> sending Ed to plant the flag in beautiful So Sioux City, NE where he will be
> encouraged to find that his counterparts are making great progress
> supressing odors from pig farms -- a spurious emission if there ever was
> one. It will definitely broaden his outlook and help retain perspective and
> sanity.
>
> You been hanging on rrap too long, Richard.

Dare say you're exactly right. Will cure that shortly.

From -7215338222242758589
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 22:22:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!sjc-feed.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: 29 May 2001 05:21:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15

```
Message-ID: <9evbln$rnf$0@208.206.142.102>
References: <20010528103541.06434.00001859@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<20010528142826.19790.00001454@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22650
```

W1RFI wrote:

>
> If the decisions need to be made and the Amateur Radio Service cannot decide it
> for itself, what choice would the FCC have? I think Cross told us just how we
> can, and should, help ourselves. Do you disagree with him, or do you just not
> like the attitude you presumed from reading his transcribed speech?
>

In the first place such a statement from anyone at the FCC is a total farce, and the evidence is the restructuring. FCC did what THEY wanted without regard for anything said by anyone in the amateur community save a few NCI misfits who cannot by any stretch be considered a "consensus".

```
From 482140772008337228
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 22:30:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 29 May 2001 05:30:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9evc4t$suk$0@208.206.142.102>
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9etrp9$57d$0@208.207.71.153> <3B129D0A.4B02A935@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22651

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > Oh, there will still be an amateor radio. It will just be unrecognizable
> > to anyone who has been seriously involved for the long haul.
>
> Dick, the US Army is unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously
> involved for the long haul.

Nope. Son in law is a Special Forces M/Sgt. Still looks like it 40
years ago.

Automobiles are unrecognizable to anyone
> who has been seriously involved for the long haul.

Nope. They are vastly improved. Can't say the same for the "new and
improved" ARS.

Physics is
> unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously involved for the
> long haul.

Nope. E still = MC^2.

Football is unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously
> involved for the long haul. Airplanes are unrecognizable to anyone who
> has been seriously involved for the long haul.

All those things are vastly improved. Ham radio is improved only in the
technology involved. The personnel problem is exactly the opposite.

From 5957409981640844419
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 22:36:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 05:36:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9evch0$suk$1@208.206.142.102>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B119634.26CA87A6@IEEE.org>
<9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org>
<9eugpg$jaf$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12C8F5.D14C203F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22652

CAM wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > >You need to add the peripherals to operate CW, Jeff. So what? You can't
> > >do CW "out of the box" either.
> >
> > I certainly can. When the function switch on all my transmitters
> > is in the TUNE mode, a carrier is emitted; by turning that switch
> > between TUNE and STANDBY I can send a Morse-encoded transmission.
>
> OK, Jeff, you're on. Exactly how many times have you sent CW that way?
> It must be quite a challenge to send CW with a push-on/push-off button.

Piece of cake. I once made a mobile CW QSO with a transceiver that didn't even have CW mode by ofsetting the carrier balance and keying CW with the PTT on the microphone.

From 1762304340465398145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 22:40:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 05:39:33 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9evcml$has$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010528000000.22777.00001412@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<20010528104356.05666.00004620@nso-fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu

```
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991114773 17756 128.171.44.55 (29 May 2001 05:39:33 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 05:39:33 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22653
```

(W1RFI) writes:

>There have been more fires in the US than there have been occurrences of
>emergency communications that were conducted on CW by necessity.

There have been more fires than the number of licensed hams; your logic dictates we do away with mandatory licensing. But you opened yourself up, for you didn't limit your remark above to ham-related emergencies. So again I'll point out that thousands of emergencies were handled by CW over the last century.   KH6O

```
From -4895718894941516569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 22:45:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 05:45:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9evd1j$suk$2@208.206.142.102>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B117DE0.47B10902@IEEE.org>
<9es2k8$j3o$0@208.207.71.139> <3B119634.26CA87A6@IEEE.org>
<9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22654
```

CAM wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >
> > >Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >> Yep. They do say RTTY on a mode position. So try to work RTTY on one

> > >> out of the box, you clown.
> >
> > >I have, Dick, it worked perfectly. Are you saying that RTTY mode doesn't
> > >work right out of the box? How the heck does ICOM manage to disable their
> > >RTTY mode until so many days after it is unpacked? So who's the clown?
> >
> > Was a keyboard and PC included in the box? If not, you can't do RTTY
> > "out of the box." You need to add the peripherals.
>
> You need to add the peripherals to operate CW, Jeff. So what? You can't
> do CW "out of the box" either. I can buy six keyboards for the price
> of my Bencher straight key.

Again, you insist on "peripherals" as all equal. Just like Ed, you
insist that a TNC and a mechanical key are the same. Whatta joke the
both of you are.

From 2418799143099370563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 22:46:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 05:46:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9evd3n$suk$3@208.206.142.102>
References: <20010526003442.27024.00001758@nso-ma.aol.com>
<3B110F82.8468B76@IEEE.org> <9es715$3p5$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11AA70.4413D38F@IEEE.org> <9euf7g$hm0$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B12C7E6.E936CBF9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22655

CAM wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > Oh, now your tape recorder is a "dictation system which includes
> > secretaries." Were the secretaries on-call 24/7?

>
> Of course, Intel is a 24-hour operation. There are always secretaries
> available. In a pinch, a technician will do.
>
> > This century? Intel's Third Millennium Emergency Communication
> > System is based on secretaries and tape recorders? Yikes!
>
> Is your Third Millennium Emergency Communication System not
> based on people and equipment, Jeff?

It's damn sure not based on secretaries and tape recorders.

From 1774413717722106184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 22:50:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 05:47:42 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9evd5u$hrn$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3B11CF0D.E34BEE8B@IEEE.org> <20010528083734.19932.00000915@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <3B126539.B1844887@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991115262 18295 128.171.44.55 (29 May 2001 05:47:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 05:47:42 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22656

CAM wrote:
>My argument was against the statement that SSB is not a useful emergency
>communications method at 200 wpm.

I never said that -- I only asked Ed if he could copy formal message
traffic at 200 wpm; if not, then it wasn't fair of him to praise
200 wpm phone over 20 wpm CW.

>However, I do have a 12vdc tape recorder set up beside my emergency
>ham gear - all backed up by batteries charged by solar power.

What if the tape breaks?

KH6O

From 6880790090064648239

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:10:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 06:02:08 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9eve10$ism$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org>
<9eugpg$jaf$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12C8F5.D14C203F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991116128 19350 128.171.44.55 (29 May 2001 06:02:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 06:02:08 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22657

CAM:
>Jeff:
>>CAM:

>>>You need to add the peripherals to operate CW, Jeff. So what? You can't
>>>do CW "out of the box" either.

>>I certainly can. When the function switch on all my transmitters
>>is in the TUNE mode, a carrier is emitted; by turning that switch
>>between TUNE and STANDBY I can send a Morse-encoded transmission.

>OK, Jeff, you're on. Exactly how many times have you sent CW that way?

As I told Ed, it's not practical but it is possible, and it counters
your contention that one can't do CW out-of-the-box.

>It must be quite a challenge to send CW with a push-on/push-off button.

It's a rotary switch, not a push button.

KH6O



From -339631023187874704
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:10:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 06:08:56 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9evedo$j1g$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9eud9s$f1s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010528175116.10007.00001705@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9eui00$khi$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B12E145.7C9DCB84@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991116536 19504 128.171.44.55 (29 May 2001 06:08:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 06:08:56 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22658

CAM:
>Jeff:

>>As I just told Cecil, by switching between TUNE and STANDBY, I certainly can key my transmitters in such a way as to send Morse-encoded transmissions. Not practical, but certainly possible!

>Try a QSO using a bistable switch, Jeff. Tell me what time and frequency. I want to listen to you make a fool of yourself.

I counter your contention that it's not possible to do CW out-of-the-box and rather than conceding, you instead call me a fool. You're a sore loser.   KH6O

From -5301293209958900414
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:13:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: HHhhroRe: Puke.
Date: 29 May 2001 06:13:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9evemc$6cf$0@208.206.142.102>
References: <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <20010528000806.22777.00001415@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22659

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >You can try to ignore it into infinity, but it won't ehlp. They are there, and here inside the ARS by the thousands. Of course Riley can't issue them citations, they don't use callsigns when doing their dastardly acts. That you expect it to be otherwise is even yet more revealing of your mindset.
>
> If they are not using call signs, how do you know they are hams? I think that those that are throwing the carriers on 14.313 might not even number in the hundreds. The majority of those that have been cited for rules violations on the air have been long-time hams. On what basis do you know that they are also freebanders?
>
> If there is any ignoring going on here, Dick, you seem to be doing most of it..

It would be real tempting to just write you off as a cloistered, clueless fool if one didn't know better. Of course you know, and find it much more expedient to gloss over it. Oh I noticed one of your ARRL staffers writes a colymn for PopComm. Even more connecton to the wonderful world of CB.

Throughout my eight years of testing as a VE I wondered if I was actually helping move the ARS toward CB. Turns out that was exactly what was happening. No wonder so many VE's have quit.

From -2281106654386984241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:14:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 29 May 2001 06:14:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9eveo0$6cf$1@208.206.142.102>
References: <3B123C3E.994F4696@IEEE.org> <20010528083600.19932.00000914@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22660

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Why would a lawbreaker go to the trouble of obtaining a ham license
> >only to keep breaking the law? That makes no sense whatsoever.
>
> Keep in mind that the person who says that also believes that most of today's
> new hams are becoming hams not to learn about radio.

And when they tell you outright that is the case, you ignore it.

From 5452568810188533377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:16:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 29 May 2001 06:16:23 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9evern$6cf$2@208.206.142.102>
References: <9etpc7$rhs$0@208.207.71.153> <20010528142044.19790.00001450@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22661

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >There is
> >even columnists, licensed hams, who write about the ongoing activities
> >of ham/freebanders in language couched to avoid direct responsibility
> >but which leaves it very clear what is happening.

```
>
> Ah, the "secret language" ploy. :-)  You are really something, Dick.
>
> >You and Jackass Moore can avoid it as you wish, you only come across as
> >fools on a fools mission. Of course Moors "talks to those guys" anyway.
> >You came from Cb, do you?
>
> Another petty insult, eh?


 Nothing petty about it. I mean it.


 Pitiful, in my mind where a supposedly grown man
> can't engage in civil discourse.

 Discourse with you is only valid in your view if it meets your
qualifications.


 >What IS ham radio coming to?


 Why it's coming to CB, just as you wish.


From -8935025081409966797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:18:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 06:18:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9evevh$6cf$3@208.206.142.102>
References: <3B11CF0D.E34BEE8B@IEEE.org> <20010528083734.19932.00000915@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <3B126539.B1844887@IEEE.org> <9evd5u$hrn$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22662
```

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >My argument was against the statement that SSB is not a useful emergency
> >communications method at 200 wpm.
>
> I never said that -- I only asked Ed if he could copy formal message
> traffic at 200 wpm; if not, then it wasn't fair of him to praise
> 200 wpm phone over 20 wpm CW.
>
> >However, I do have a 12vdc tape recorder set up beside my emergency
> >ham gear - all backed up by batteries charged by solar power.
>
> What if the tape breaks?

If he wasn't a total fraud he'd have built up a digital RAM recorder.

From 7467995040577291772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:24:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 06:23:41 GMT
References: <3B126919.15CA8895@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529022341.26676.00005386@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22663

In article <3B126919.15CA8895@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> We only abuse people who whine about code testing
>> requirements, past and present, *regardless* of their license class!
>
>Larry, when are you going to stop whining about whiners?

Cecil:

Whenever the whiners stop whining about me whining about their
whining, obviously! I didn't start the whining, they did! So stop
whining about my whining, and I won't whine anymore!

```
73 de Larry, K3LT




From 8441166159859084286
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:24:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 06:23:42 GMT
References: <SnuQ6.2665$rn5.147599@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordless phones?
Message-ID: <20010529022342.26676.00005389@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22664

In article <SnuQ6.2665$rn5.147599@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
writes:

>
>How much you wanna bet this dufus starts chanting somehting like "well those
>signals are passing through my body so I got a constitutional right to know
>what
>they're saying", or "if they want privacy they should use landlines".
>
>73, Hans, K0HB

Hansl:

If I had to listen to every signal that passed thru my body, I'd probably
get bored to death!  So, for my own well being, I've developed a healthy
lack of interest in same!  And if these people really want privacy, the
best way would be for them to keep their steenking mouths shut!

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -2489582888245490690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:24:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
```

```
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 06:23:41 GMT
References: <9etu1q$bjl$0@208.207.71.153>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529022341.26676.00005387@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22665

In article <9etu1q$bjl$0@208.207.71.153>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> People killed by grabbing 110vac while standing on a wooden floor is
>> an extremely rare event, Larry. I must have gotten onto 110vac
>> hundreds of times in my life (AC/DC radios) and I'm still alive.
>> I forget what the threshold voltage is where the average person
>> cannot turn loose of it but it is higher than 110vac.
>
>
> There are more people electrocuted by common household 120VAC than any
>other source. It is NOT even close to being trivial nor safe. You are
>lucky, as I am also.

Dick:

I, too have had many brief encountes with 120 VAC, and I remember
enough to keep the heck away from it!  And if someone deliberately
handed me a live wire with 120 VAC on it, I wouldn't consider that
a friendly act whatsoever!

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 1954107247786234545
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:24:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 06:23:40 GMT
References: <3B1266C2.44D2B4C5@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010529022340.26676.00005385@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22667

In article <3B1266C2.44D2B4C5@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry, you are just going to have to decide if setting off a radar detector
>on an Interstate is a dangerous thing or not. Is it a dangerous thing? If
>so, it's dangerous for the cops to do it.
>

Cecil:

My point is that *having* a radar detector is an inherently dangerous thing. They are used for only one purpose -- to permit drivers to deliberately exceed posted speed limits. This is not a rational act. Any driver who owns and uses a radar detector is totally responsible for whatever negative outcomes arise from its use. If a police radar sets it off, the police officer is not responsible if the driver's overreaction causes an accident. THAT is the driver's fault alone. If a prankster with a microwave transmitter sets off a radar detector and the driver overreacts and causes an accident, then the user of the transmitter is morally (and should be legally) responsible for whatever negative outcomes arise from his actions. We have enough problems on the road these days what with road rage, inattentive cell-phone users, and kids with booming speakers driving their cars from an almost fully-reclining position. We don't need vigilantes or pranksters adding to the confusion.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4705098720834709320
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:24:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 06:23:42 GMT
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com>

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22668

In article <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>Correct. We only abuse people who whine about code testing
>>requirements, past and present, *regardless* of their license class!

>Hmmm, can we put another lobster on the results of a news-archive search?
>Now
>that I have been chided, I am chomping at the bit for a good opportunity to
>foist someone on their own petard. :-)

Ed:

If you can find an example of where I've abused someone who didn't provoke me by whining about the code testing requirements, or posting a message seeking some sort of public congratulations for the dubious achievement of having passed an entry-level license test, making some similar remark indicating that he/she is worthy of praise, fame, and fortune for the simple act of getting a ham radio license in their pocket, I'll gladly buy you another lobster. However, one thing that has always bothered me about ham radio is why there seems to be a need to lay out a red carpet and toss rose petals at the feet of anyone who makes some progress with a licensing system which is, in reality, dead easy to master. The only conclusion I can draw is that for some odd reason, ham radio seems to attract a lot of misfits and under-achievers. Perhaps this is why most of our HF bands are in chaos.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6255334650744176655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:24:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 06:23:43 GMT
References: <w5DQ6.49413$t12.3835825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordless phones?
Message-ID: <20010529022343.26676.00005390@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22666

In article <w5DQ6.49413$t12.3835825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>
>Larry, please correct me if I am wrong, but I believe all wireless
>telephones are 'protected' (note the quotes) by FCC regulations. This means
>you can't divulge their contents should you pick them up. The exception
>(and I must confess that I don't know why) are the old 40 something MHz
>phones. Of course, some people do - cell phone ids are stolen (usually to
>be used for drug transactions), but you are correct - no one needs to
>monitor this stuff.
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

Jim:

My personal feeling toward monitoring portable/cell phone QSO's
is that it is, for the most part, horrifically BORING!!! Anyone dedicated
to such a pursuit truly belongs in the "get a life" category!

>(ps - the spred spectrum cordless phones have moved up - 1.2 and 2.4 GHz, I
>believe)

You believe correctly. I still have one of the "old-fashioned" 900 MHz
non-SS cordless phones, but it works fine.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4133802382769719210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-28 23:24:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 06:23:43 GMT
References: <20010528142315.19790.00001451@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529022343.26676.00005391@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22669

In article <20010528142315.19790.00001451@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Yes, and, to stick with your analogy, if the government were to make such a
>requirement, it would equate exactly to the requirement to test hams for
>Morse
>code in the event that it is needed.
>
>Do you advocate such governement intervention in all of our lives, or only
>those parts that result in your getting what you want out of it?

Ed:

I advocate the smallest, least intrusive government that is possible. This does not mean that I believe in no government at all, as do some of the anarchist-whacko types like Cecil. I believe that the local, state, and federal governments have certain responsibilities to regulate certain things which create an improvement in the overall common good -- and regulations such as building codes and requirements for safety devices like fire sprinkler systems in public housing structures is a valid part of such regulation. As is/was a requirement for code testing in the ARS.

My support for code testing requirements is not based on any kind of selfish desire. It is based on my judgment that the requirement leads to useful capabilities on the part of radio amateurs -- which would not ordinarily exist in adequate quantities sans the testing requirement.

I advocate the absolute minimum amount of government intervention in our lives. The pre-Restructuring code testing requirement was valid, essential, and reasonable regulation. The very fact that these requirements were achievable by people from all walks of life, including people with severe physical challenges, proves to me that they were worthy of keeping.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 286370198561710322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 00:11:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 03:12:26 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 79

Message-ID: <3B134BB6.472A8386@sccoast.net>
References: <3B11245C.60311C58@sccoast.net> <20010529003638.07982.00002270@nso-cm.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-104.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991120285 55164 206.74.49.104 (29 May 2001 07:11:25 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 07:11:25 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22670

N2EY wrote:
>
> Amazing. Do they use concrete or asphalt or both?

They use both - concrete on the heavily used Autobahns near larger cities (plus bridges, etc), and asphalt just about everywhere else.

> The main factors in road life are two: Stress
> (axle loading again) and quality of construction.
> Wear from heavy axle loading is not a linear
> function - one study showed that a SINGLE 18
> wheel semitrailer rig does as much wear to a
> typical US road as 7500 (seven thousand five
> hundred) pickup trucks.

Okay, lets not get too far over my head. However, that does make me feel better about my wife endlessly running around town. I told her one day that if she didn't plan her shopping better, and cut down on repeating the same route two or three times a day (group all those into one trip), she was going to wear out the road and she would have to pay for the excessive wear. Now all I have to do is worry about is the constantly increasing mileage on her car. :-)

> I suppose it's not just speed, but a whole raft
> of concerns. Safety at any speed, getting the
> cars to last longer, environmental concerns, and
> a certain amount of protectionism for the
> manufacturers (if you have to buy certain Volvo
> parts only from Volvo, it guarantees them a
> certain amount of revenue no matter what).

You're probably right about the last part. They do have a fair number of companies selling independent parts for vehicles, but it is not as widespread as here (and not nearly the variety).

> Crazy. On the one hand they are wild for safety,
> and on the other they drive like maniacs.

It is not bad once you get used to the aggressive driving. Like I said before, once you realize that other drivers are going to drive aggressively, it becomes reliably predictable. In that way, it is harder to drive in city traffic here - you simply don't know what that other driver is going to do.

> The police around here are very friendly, too,
> if you treat them with the proper respect.

No, it is slightly different. They are friendly without any conditions applied. I don't know how to describe it, so I'll just let it drop with that.

> GREAT story, Dwight. Thanks for sharing it.

Actually, not such a great story. My wife read it and is now talking about another trip overseas. She always did love christmas there.

Well, something came up that gave me a break from the stuff I have to do, so I did have time to respond more fully up to this point. However, that break is over, and a pile of stuff is waiting, so I had best end with this message. It has been fun talking about all this, Jim. You take care and perhaps we'll run into each other in this newsgroup again.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From 6651512308806693710
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 00:42:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 07:42:11 GMT
References: <9evern$6cf$2@208.206.142.102>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529034211.08421.00001642@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22671

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Discourse with you is only valid in your view if it meets your qualifications.

You are correct. I expect that discourse between adults should be conducted at an adult level, not be rife with grammar-school taunts. Apparently you believe it should be otherwise.

>Why it's coming to CB, just as you wish.

That is not my wish, Dick. YOU are the one that keeps bringing it up...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2377693698148981076
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 00:44:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 07:43:32 GMT
References: <9eveo0$6cf$1@208.206.142.102>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529034332.08421.00001643@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22672

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>And when they tell you outright that is the case, you ignore it.

No, Dick, I don't ignore it. Ignoring would mean that I wouldn't respond to it at all. I disagree with you that most new hams are becoming hams not to learn things.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6657896924489058983
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:17:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 29 May 2001 14:17:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <9f0b1q$rd9$2@208.206.142.109>
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<9etrp9$57d$0@208.207.71.153> <3B129D0A.4B02A935@IEEE.org>
<9evc4t$suk$0@208.206.142.102>
<KuLQ6.17887$Rh7.613938@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22723

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9evc4t$suk$0@208.206.142.102...
> >
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > Oh, there will still be an amateor radio. It will just be
> unrecognizable
> > > > to anyone who has been seriously involved for the long haul.
> > >
> > > Dick, the US Army is unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously
> > > involved for the long haul.

```
> >
> >  Nope. Son in law is a Special Forces M/Sgt. Still looks like it 40
> > years ago.
> >
> >  Automobiles are unrecognizable to anyone
> > > who has been seriously involved for the long haul.
> >
> > Nope. They are vastly improved. Can't say the same for the "new and
> > improved" ARS.
> >
> >
> > Physics is
> > > unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously involved for the
> > > long haul.
> >
> >
> >  Nope. E still = MC^2.
> >
> >
> >  Football is unrecognizable to anyone who has been seriously
> > > involved for the long haul. Airplanes are unrecognizable to anyone who
> > > has been seriously involved for the long haul.
> >
> >
> >  All those things are vastly improved. Ham radio is improved only in the
> > technology involved. The personnel problem is exactly the opposite.
> >
>
> You know what, Dick?  You just want to cling to your misery--and you love
> every minute of it.


 It's just time for your regularly scheduled reality check, Kim.


From -6859949831908518521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:23:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:23:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9f0bdp$rd9$3@208.206.142.109>
References: <3B11CF0D.E34BEE8B@IEEE.org> <20010528083734.19932.00000915@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <3B126539.B1844887@IEEE.org> <9evd5u$hrn$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B13A3F9.15FCF026@IEEE.org>
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22724

CAM wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > What if the tape breaks?
>
> What if the aliens land and take your code key away?

No problem-we can touch two wires together and key the rig. What are
you gloing to do without a microphone?

From 4429592776712123617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:30:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:30:17 GMT
References: <9evd5u$hrn$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529103017.06566.00005582@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22725

In article <9evd5u$hrn$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
writes:

>
>>However, I do have a 12vdc tape recorder set up beside my emergency
>>ham gear - all backed up by batteries charged by solar power.
>
>What if the tape breaks?

Jeff:

A better question is -- How long can he run the tape recorder, an HF transceiver, a TNC, and a computer on a 5-7 Amp gel cell?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 4467909066297903205
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:30:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:30:17 GMT
References: <9evevh$6cf$3@208.206.142.102>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529103017.06566.00005581@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22727
```

In article <9evevh$6cf$3@208.206.142.102>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>
> If he wasn't a total fraud he'd have built up a digital RAM recorder.
>
>

Good point, Dick! Hey, Cecil -- Mr. Intel -- where's your steenking digital RAM voice recorder? If yours was larger than a pack of Carltons and capable of recording less than an hour on the available RAM, I'd say you should be sued by Intel for identifying them as your former employer!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 6311861053474181143
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:30:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
```

Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:30:15 GMT
References: <3B139816.F1806102@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529103015.06566.00005577@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22728

In article <3B139816.F1806102@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I, too have had many brief encountes with 120 VAC, and I remember
>> enough to keep the heck away from it! And if someone deliberately
>> handed me a live wire with 120 VAC on it, I wouldn't consider that
>> a friendly act whatsoever!
>
>Guess you would have objected to the trap door into the river trick?

Cecil:

Actually, yes. However, I'm not going to whine about it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7945083518435949095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:30:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:30:16 GMT
References: <3B139AD0.DE3849C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529103016.06566.00005579@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22726

In article <3B139AD0.DE3849C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> If you can find an example of where I've abused someone who didn't

>> provoke me by whining about the code testing requirements, ...
>
>All those "welfare mothers of color" were whining about code testing
>requirements??????

Cecil:

Perhaps not, but they certainly do whine about everything else! I was
just using them as an example of whiners in general.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2101117552935338748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:30:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:30:18 GMT
References: <3B139E90.B077382C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529103018.06566.00005583@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22730

In article <3B139E90.B077382C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> So again I'll point out that thousands of emergencies
>> were handled by CW over the last century.
>
>How many in this century?

Cecil:

This century is barely six months old, and thankfully, there have been
very few emergencies involving the use of CW. My guess is, fewer than
a half dozen or so. I think figures from the last century are much more
relevant to the discussion.

73 de Larry, K3LT
I'm in the 21st Century -- I don't know where Cecil is!

```
From 6693163478838708605
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:30:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:30:16 GMT
References: <3B139A3A.257738B1@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529103016.06566.00005578@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22729
```

In article <3B139A3A.257738B1@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>I don't think you are capable of stopping your whining, Larry, but
>I will call your bluff. I will not whine anymore about your whining
>and I will point out the next time you whine.

Cecil:

I do not recognize your qualifications to judge my whining. After all, you have done far more whining than I have. And I never whine about anything on my own behalf, I just whine about other people's whining. Therefore, I'll remain the judge of who's whining and who isn't.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 2136953621448255007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:30:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:30:18 GMT
```

References: <20010529040618.29498.00001760@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529103018.06566.00005584@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22731

In article <20010529040618.29498.00001760@ng-mg1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>You are right, they are not different. I can build a TNC but I am not capable
>of building a reliable mechnical key. A used TNC can be purchased for about
>$10.00 -- the used keys I saw at Dayton were considerably more expensive.

Ed:

Wanna bet another lobster that you CAN build a reliable mechanical key? I know plenty of people who have, including yours truly, and I'm certainly no mechanic! I have a friend who builds keys as a hobby -- and has several designs that can be built by anyone with a reasonable selection of hand tools, some common woodworking tools, and much less than $10 worth of materials. Would you like his e-mail address?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3401923197317123104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:30:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:30:13 GMT
References: <20010528145855.19811.00001191@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Ed Hare's lobster (was Re: a bit of empathy)
Message-ID: <20010529103013.06566.00005574@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22732

In article <20010528145855.19811.00001191@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>Now, it seems to me, Larry, that if your offer was on the condition that if

>you
>guessed wrong I would get a lobster, and you figured for sure I would say
>voice, then you guessed wrong.
>
>I will assume that you had forgotten the wording of your original post,
>because
>you don't seem the sort who would not honor such an offer.

Ed:

Yep, I guessed wrong, and I *do* owe you the second lobster dinner.
My only question is, when do I get to pay off on the first? For the
next four months at least, my days off are going to be Sunday and
Tuesday, so going out of town for a lobster dinner is out of the
question.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 7645987914371188103
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:30:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:30:16 GMT
References: <20010528174932.10007.00001704@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529103016.06566.00005580@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22733

In article <20010528174932.10007.00001704@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>If mode skills were tested because of their possible use
>>during disasters, those admins you mentioned would have
>>been testing our speaking and typing skills, Bill.
>
>Why do you believe that the governments of the world initiated a Morse-code
>test for Amateur Radio back when it was started? Why do you believe they
>continued it throughout the years?

Ed:

I'll venture a guess and say it's because they kinda figured that nobody needed to learn how to talk, but they did need to learn the Morse code if they were expected to employ it with reasonable proficiency. And, since it was a primary mode in those days, the need to know the code was pretty important. Am I getting warm?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4950673065863646634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:30:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:30:14 GMT
References: <20010529040955.29544.00001757@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529103014.06566.00005575@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22734

In article <20010529040955.29544.00001757@ng-mg1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>If you can find an example of where I've abused someone who didn't provoke me by whining about the code testing requirements,

>First try:

>Nope -- incorrect. Numbers have nothing to do with bandwidths -- and dumbed-down "newcomers" don't DESERVE to be welcomed with open arms just because they whined and pleaded to have HF phone privileges handed to them on a silver platter. I'm hoping that 48 hours from now, the "newcomer bashing" takes on a new and more enthusiastic approach; truly crushing the spirit of any further "newcomers" to the HF phone bands. I also hope that large numbers of presently licensed General, Advanced, and Extra-class licensees throw away their VE badges and refuse to give the 5 WPM General/Extra tests.

Here's the provocation:

>I didn't feel this way until I read your

>posting -- so congratulations for rekindling my enthusiasm for bashing
>the no-coders!

Sorry, Ed, that most certainly WAS a "provoked" response. It wasn't
the least bit gratuitous. No lobster.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 1514315983645708420
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:30:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 68
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:30:15 GMT
References: <3B135DFE.8338E93C@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529103015.06566.00005576@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22735
```

In article <3B135DFE.8338E93C@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> Correct. We only abuse people who whine
>> about code testing requirements, past and
>> present, *regardless* of their license class!
>> Mrs. Stewart is perfectly welcome in here,
>> and won't be abused, as long as she doesn't
>> whine!
>
>
> Well, that is real big of you to welcome my wife into this newsgroup
>under your terms.

Dwight:

I'm not doing any such thing, because my invitation isn't required for
your XYL to participate here. I don't set the "terms," either. I merely
read and respond to what others have posted here, as do you and
everyone else. However, I do a lot less whining and take things a lot

less personally than some people in here do -- you come immediately to mind.

> I'm sure she would be willing to obediently follow
>your demands to the letter.

I am not making any "demands" on her or anyone else, and wouldn't dream of such. The only thing your XYL would have to follow as a participant in this newsgroup is her own conscience.

>However, I hope you'll forgive me when I
>continue to urge her to stay out of this newsgroup instead.

My forgiveness is not required, and not offered. I have absolutely nothing to do with the decisions made between you and your XYL, and am the last person in the world who would dare to presume to do so.

> As for the whining nonsense, according to you, everyone who has an
>opinion about code testing that is different than yours is whining and
>deserves to be abused.

Where have I ever said that? It isn't the difference of opinion I object to, it is the manner in which it is expressed. IOW, its not what they (the no-coders) say, its how they say it. I have been personally blamed for everything from ethnic cleansing to global warming to the technological downfall of the ARS by my support of pre-Restructuring code testing standards. How do you justify such personal abuse simply for disagreeing on a license testing program? I have received many orders of magnitude more abuse than I have dished out here and on Fidonet, but nobody is keeping score on the no-coders the way they are on the politically incorrect pro-coders.

>In reality, your goal is to use insults,
>ridicule, and harassment, to stifle the expression of any opinion that
>is different than your own.

Actually, you've got it backwards. I have been battling that exact same thing from my opposition.

>People who have no real defense of their
>own position often use this tactic.

Don't I know it! Otherwise, you wouldn't be doing it NOW!!!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -8386386172763253353
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:32:40 PST
```

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: 29 May 2001 14:32:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9f0bu7$1dg$0@208.206.142.109>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdywmg4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010528105227.06434.00001862@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge29l29.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3b13a70e.371028805@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22736



"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Mon, 28 May 2001 23:06:18 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
> wrote:
> >
> >
> >  What he was saying was:  if we amateurs can't get together and come
> >  up with a unified front for what changes, regs, etc. we want, he
> >  will do it for us and do you really want him to do it for us?  This
> >  is hardly "shut up and do it my way".
> >
> Expecting anything even remotely resembling a "consensus" amongst U.S.
> hams on just about any regulatory issue is an oxymoron. Nobody home at
> the FCC during the Code Test War or what?
> >
> Now comes this "digital radio" malarkey. "You hams better get into
> digital operations or else". Or else WHAT pray tell?
> >
> >  The issue of "the FCC isn't interested in issuing detailed
> >  regulations, etc" is mere whitewash to  cover the disinterest that
> >  the present crop of "regulators" have for anything that is remoteley
> >  technical or isn't broadcast, cable, or common-carrier related.
> >
> I usta pay attention to their utterings. I don't waste my time on 'em
> any longer,  they don't make any sense.


 All that statement was had to be the setup for "we told you so" after
they go ahead and do whatever they want anyway. See the late
restructuring original NPRM, the comments, and the final results for the
```

proof.

"Concensus" among all the real hams and the codefree SEE BEE'ers -cum-nmninal ham radio licensees? That's a real cackler. Digital? Sure,bring it on-if you can find anyone who will do more than lip service on the subject. I look for the FCC to do a drastic VHF/UHF withdrawal of bands and for the 'reason' they will surely use this very concept. It's just the opening salvo.

```
From -1125646695276631209
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:34:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Petie Shortwave" <clickpetew20@hotmail.com>
From: "Petie Shortwave" <clickpetew20@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 30
Organization: oh no not another one!
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 14:34:39 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991146879 209.204.84.71 (Tue, 29 May
2001 07:34:39 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 07:34:39 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 07:32:43 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13073
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22737 rec.radio.shortwave:35404
```

Col Steve announced it again last night. "6.900 UPR *does not* QSL". He said the station is not in existence for a "hobbyist" purpose. (UPR is a genuine clandestine station folks - it's not a hobby-pirate station, so no QSL's)

Steve also said they'll be going to the 5 KW power level

around the 1st or 2nd week in June. They currently
run 800 watts. Operation is also to be on 6.900 mHz USB
exclusive, with the 3 Mhz alternate freq put off until Oct 2001
for propagation reasons.

Steve broadcasts live from 10:00 to 11:00 PM eastern time
on weeknights. He also sometimes calls into the Intellegence
Report program from 9:00 to 10:00 PM - for now on 3.270 Mhz
until June. There is also a related Net on 3.860 (+/- QRM) in the
75 Meter ham band on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday nights
starting at 8:00 PM. Sometimes UPR station Op's cjeck in on 3.860
net although rare at this time.

Keep listening, although I don't agree with much of UPR's
ideology, it is still radio history in the making - the first
genuine Clandestine radio station operating on US Soil in a very
very long time! The links to Ham Radio Nets in anciliarry to the
station are also a first.

Pete

From 6893234401657522095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:34:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: 29 May 2001 14:34:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9f0c21$1dg$1@208.206.142.109>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com> <YlvO6.3477$r4.190909@www.newsranger.com> <9ek4dj$orq$1@news.hawaii.edu> <K4tP6.7804$r4.483655@www.newsranger.com> <9es55g$216$1@news.hawaii.edu> <k_NQ6.3634$rn5.197326@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22738

K0HB wrote:
>
> On 28 May 2001 00:12:32 GMT, Jeffrey Herman says:
>
> >>than Deignan has to a KH6 or KH7 call,
> >
> >And I asked the FCC to cancel his calls which they eventually did.
>
> His call is still KH6HZ, a call which he obtained with your cooperation by use
> of your mailing address.

Hey Hans- If you want KH6IA you can get it for $14, no matter where you
live.
(long as someone hasn't already)

From -7030019733293873699
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:43:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 14:43:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9f0ci8$32f$0@208.206.142.109>
References: <9evd1j$suk$2@208.206.142.102> <20010529040618.29498.00001760@ng-mg1.aol.com> <9f0ajh$rd9$0@208.206.142.109> <3B13AEA2.FDDC631C@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22739

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > I can build a TNC but I am not capable
> > > of building a reliable mechnical key.
> >
> > ARE you serious? I can't believe you said that. A 5 year old can build a

> > reliabe mechanical key. Doesn't have to be pretty but it sure works.
>
> I'm not 5 years old, Dick. I am 63 years old with tremors and arthritis.
> Virtually all of my mechanical designs are failures.

Then as an innovator you must be a failure, excluding your specialty of digital of course. Doesn't take much of anything at all to constitute a good working, reliable CW code key. A strip of most any metal that isn't limp nailed to a board, with another nail as the lower contact will do it in a pinch, especially now that we don't have high voltage on the key.

From -512216101016269581
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:46:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: 29 May 2001 14:46:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 74
Message-ID: <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com> <th7b1nmafr1920@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22740

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com...
> > My basic "disconnect" is that I can't get any grip on what is really
> > driving this whole thrust to move into digital ham comms. I'm missing
> > a clear explanation that makes sense from both the improvement in
> > operations and regulatory perspectives just what we would get out of
> > "going digital".
> > >
> > Because it's more "modern"? Because everybody else is doing it so we

> > gotta do it too? To promote experimentation? Because the FCC sez so?
> > Why do they say so? If no then just what IS the big deal? I don't get
> > it.
>
> Let me take a stab at answering that question. Once any kind of information
> is in a digital format it can be manipulated by a computer. I believe you
> have a EE degree so the following should make sense to you. I was told many
> years ago that electronics was basically mathematics in a physical form, and
> for the most part I think that statement is true.
>
> With the exception of interacting with a human, other mechanical devices, or
> generation of RF power for the purpose of information transmission,
> everything else in a modern transceiver is nothing but signal (information)
> processing. From basic electronics to circuit analysis we use mathematics to
> design the filters, mixers, compressors, detectors, modulation methods, etc.
> From the mathematics we "try" to build physical circuits to perform the
> desired mathematical operations in an analog form. So the end process of the
> design is nothing more than an special "analog computer" built to solve the
> mathematics. Too often the "analog computer" that does get built has many
> undesirable characteristics, which requires someone with experience to
> adjust the component parameters for best results.
>
> The natural question that arises is why waste the effort to build the
> special communication analog computer in the first place? The answer up
> until some years ago was simple. The computers to directly implement the
> desired mathematics was not fast enough. Today the picture is different with
> DSP processors that can perform over a billion operations per second are off
> the shelf items. Now as the economics allows, the old analog computational
> elements are being replaced with digital elements that solves the
> mathematics exactly, and without the nasty nonlinear behavior of the old
> analog computational components. We can't forget that the limitations of the
> "analog computing components" are a source of performance compromise, i.e
> they only approximately implement the mathematics we need.
>
> So in the end the radios we use are really doing nothing more than
> processing information per some predefined mathematical formula. When the
> mathematics (formula) changes the old analog computer had to change, i.e.
> hack up the circuits or buy a new one. The emerging "software defined radio"
> replaces the "hard programming" in the old communication analog computer
> design with software. When changes are required instead of "modifying" an
> old analog design by cutting up the circuit the "software defined radio"
> simply impresses the new mathematics on the computer by a simple software
> update. No complex circuit modifications are needed, and everybody will get
> the exact same predicable performance too.
>
> The additional benefit we gain with a software defined radio is the ability
> to operate almost any mode, old or new, with little to no extra investment
> in special hardware to use that mode. For example you want to operate CW,
> just load the narrow CW filter and keyer code, or operate any of the H.F. or
> VHF digital modes by just loading the modem software in to the rig. I think
> you get the idea. So long as the rig has the DSP CPU horse power they will
> be like our desk top computers, where what we do with them is defined mostly
> by the software we load in to them.

And in answer to all that, "SO WHAT??" So you choose to turn a radio into a computer when that vastly complicates the process. So now only those with computer science skills will be able to build a ham radio set, and thus no technical knowledge nor skill will be necessary nor required for ham radio licensing. Right.

```
From -2379279178860609390
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 07:55:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Date: 29 May 2001 14:55:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <9f0d9a$3fb$1@208.206.142.109>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.109
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13074
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22741 rec.radio.shortwave:35406
```

Petie Shortwave wrote:

>
> Col Steve announced it again last night.
> "6.900 UPR *does not* QSL". He said the
> station is not in existence for a "hobbyist"
> purpose. (UPR is a genuine clandestine station
> folks - it's not a hobby-pirate station, so no QSL's)
>
> Steve also said they'll be going to the 5 KW power level
> around the 1st or 2nd week in June. They currently
> run 800 watts. Operation is also to be on 6.900 mHz USB
> exclusive, with the 3 Mhz alternate freq put off until Oct 2001

> for propagation reasons.
>
> Steve broadcasts live from 10:00 to 11:00 PM eastern time
> on weeknights. He also sometimes calls into the Intellegence
> Report program from 9:00 to 10:00 PM - for now on 3.270 Mhz
> until June. There is also a related Net on 3.860 (+/- QRM) in the
> 75 Meter ham band on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday nights
> starting at 8:00 PM. Sometimes UPR station Op's cjeck in on 3.860
> net although rare at this time.
>
> Keep listening, although I don't agree with much of UPR's
> ideology, it is still radio history in the making - the first
> genuine Clandestine radio station operating on US Soil in a very
> very long time! The links to Ham Radio Nets in anciliarry to the
> station are also a first.
>

I thought the FCC was suppsoed to have DF gear that could narrow it down to which chair in the house the operator was sitting in.

From 6006038835207830325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 08:24:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B13BEDB.48559E53@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 10:23:07 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B139A3A.257738B1@IEEE.org> <20010529103016.06566.00005578@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991149389 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 10:16:29 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!

headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22742

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I do not recognize your qualifications to judge my whining.

It doesn't matter, Larry, you agreed to stop whining if I would.

> After all, you have done far more whining than I have.

What, pray tell, do I have to whine about?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8035382109628245271
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 08:26:48 PST
Message-ID: <3B13BF5B.16E46190@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 10:25:15 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B139AD0.DE3849C@IEEE.org> <20010529103016.06566.00005579@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991149517 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 10:18:37 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22743

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B139AD0.DE3849C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> If you can find an example of where I've abused someone who didn't
> >> provoke me by whining about the code testing requirements, ...
> >
> >All those "welfare mothers of color" were whining about code testing
> >requirements??????
>
> Perhaps not, but they certainly do whine about everything else! I was
> just using them as an example of whiners in general.

So you admit that I can "find an example of where you've abused someone
who didn't provoke you by whining about the code testing requirements."

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5136889142794284497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 08:29:53 PST
Message-ID: <3B13C00F.AB93238B@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 10:28:15 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010529040618.29498.00001760@ng-mg1.aol.com>
<20010529103018.06566.00005584@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991149697 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 10:21:37 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22744

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Wanna bet another lobster that you CAN build a reliable mechanical
> key?

Do you think Ed is stupid enough to deliberately lose a bet?
Are you?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5279263685731422309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 08:31:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B13C083.CD8A0678@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 10:30:11 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9evevh$6cf$3@208.206.142.102> <20010529103017.06566.00005581@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991149814 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 10:23:34 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22745

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Good point, Dick! Hey, Cecil -- Mr. Intel -- where's your steenking
> digital RAM voice recorder?

I'm not Mr. Intel anymore, Larry. I'm retired and no longer have
access to free chips. If it can't be built out of my aging junk box,
it doesn't get built.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1028213276090260727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 08:32:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news-
out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!
www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3af16341.64742854@news2.dvol.com>
<YlvO6.3477$r4.190909@www.newsranger.com> <9ek4dj$orq$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<K4tP6.7804$r4.483655@www.newsranger.com> <9es55g$216$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<k_NQ6.3634$rn5.197326@www.newsranger.com> <9f0c21$1dg$1@208.206.142.109>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <IhPQ6.3739$rn5.203199@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 11:31:52 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 15:31:52 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22746

On 29 May 2001 14:34:41 GMT, Dick Carroll says:

> Hey Hans- If you want KH6IA you can get it for $14, no matter where you
> live. (long as someone hasn't already)

Unless you have a mailing address specific to Alaskan, Pacific or Caribbean
areas, you are not be able to obtain call signs where the second prefix letter
is an L, H or P in conjunction with certain call area numbers (eg, KL#??? is
limited to Alaskan addressees only, KH#??? to Pacific Island addressees only,
KP#??? to Caribbean addressees only, etc).

Here are the scenarios under which you could obtain a vacant KH6xx call:
(KH6IA is already re-assigned to someone.)

a) Have a mailing address specific to Hawaii.

.or..

b) You can retrieve KH6xx call under the vanity system >IF< you or a close relative previously held that call (no matter where you live).

.or..

c) You could spoof the system by having a resident let you "borrow" his mailing address on your license application.

73, Hans, K0HB

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From 3194796362472892139
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 08:32:29 PST
Message-ID: <3B13C0A9.648C1193@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 10:30:49 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B11CF0D.E34BEE8B@IEEE.org> <20010528083734.19932.00000915@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <3B126539.B1844887@IEEE.org> <9evd5u$hrn$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B13A3F9.15FCF026@IEEE.org> <9f0bdp$rd9$3@208.206.142.109>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991149855 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 10:24:15 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
```

```
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22747

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > > What if the tape breaks?
> >
> > What if the aliens land and take your code key away?
>
>  No problem-we can touch two wires together and key the rig. What are
> you gloing to do without a microphone?

What if the aliens take away all our metals?


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3203313378509531693
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 08:35:07 PST
Message-ID: <3B13C139.1D682A11@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 10:33:13 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9evd5u$hrn$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010529103017.06566.00005582@nso-
fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991150005 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 10:26:45 -0500)
Lines: 11
```

X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22748

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> A better question is -- How long can he run the tape recorder, an HF
> transceiver, a TNC, and a computer on a 5-7 Amp gel cell?

Nope, Larry, the question is: How long can I run all that stuff on
an 850 amp deep discharge marine battery being charged by solar power?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4238712200071180462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 08:37:33 PST
Message-ID: <3B13C1C2.28C4A986@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 10:35:30 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com> <th7b1nmafr1920@corp.supernews.com> <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.102
X-Trace: corp 991150148 64.240.47.102 (29 May 2001 10:29:08 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!

X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22749

Dick Carroll wrote:
> And in answer to all that, "SO WHAT??" So you choose to turn a radio
> into a computer when that vastly complicates the process. So now only
> those with computer science skills will be able to build a ham radio
> set, and thus no technical knowledge nor skill will be necessary nor
> required for ham radio licensing. Right.

So computer science skills are NOT technical knowledge. We all know where you're coming from, Dick.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7090330191694117155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 08:55:27 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 15:55:13 GMT
Message-ID: <3b13bbac.376306700@news2.dvol.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.143
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.143
X-Trace: 29 May 2001 10:50:41 -0500, 64.24.18.143
Lines: 63
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22750

On Fri, 25 May 2001 16:17:44 -0400, "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
>
>What we really need to discuss here is how best to use the new emerging

>communication technologies instead of wasting effort debating "the
>hot-button issue", that the FCC has all but told us in plain language is a
>dead issue with them, and to forget about bringing back the so-called
>good-old-days. I have seen endless debates, here in this news group, where
>the idea is to keep the service shackled to the past while pretending it is
>good for the future of the service,
>
Nobody in this NG including us far-end PCTAs have any interest in
"going back", that's a bunch of crap. We want to retain the code
tests. That has abosolutely nothing to do with any technical issues,
digital modes, etc.
>
> but almost no debates about how to plan
>for the future as the service stands NOW. That's want the FCC wants us to
>do, and we are ignoring the increasingly pointed references to that fact.
>
You have the cart before the horse. There's nothing to plan for. WHAT
digital modes?? How do ya "plan" for modes which don't exist in ham
radio?
>
>So with the above in mind what would be the best use of the available
>spectrum be in light of the various communications modes?
>
This is ridiculous. . . we have a bunch of people in this NG plus the
FCC plus others etc. who keep harping on this digital modes thing. My
basic bitch on all the hot air which gets tossed around on this topic
is that the folk who have the technical capabilities to come up with
new modes are doing nothing *but* talking about it. Until some
technical guru or gurus finally get off their keyboards and into their
soldering irons and get the stuff on the air this whole discussion
about "digitizing" ham radio is a complete waste of bandwidth.
>
You've been hot into this subject for eons LeLand. What have YOU done
to make your mystery modes actually happen?
>
>These
>points are the other half of the restructuring done by the FCC in April of
>last year. The FCC has waited for over a year now for the Amateur Service to
>address these issues and to "reach a consensus".
>
A "consensus" in ham radio?? You jest! How are they gonna spank us if
"we fail to obey the command"??
>
>So far all I have seen here
>is people ignoring the issues, and the FCC is tapping it's foot while
>growing increasingly impatient waiting for a reply.
>
So they're tapping their feet - so what? The FCC is increasingly
distancing itself from ham radio, their utterances continue to make
less and less sense.
>--
>--
>73 de,

```
>
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
w3rv


From -4919762652708388211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 08:57:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 15:57:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 991151866 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 29 May 2001 08:57:46
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 08:57:46 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13077
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22751 rec.radio.shortwave:35409

Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like they are
sparse or anything.

"Petie Shortwave" <clickpetew20@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Col Steve announced it again last night.
> "6.900 UPR *does not* QSL".  He said the
> station is not in existence for a "hobbyist"
> purpose. (UPR is a genuine clandestine station
> folks - it's not a hobby-pirate station, so no QSL's)
>
> Steve also said they'll be going to the 5 KW power level
> around the 1st or 2nd week in June. They currently
```

> run 800 watts. Operation is also to be on 6.900 mHz USB
> exclusive, with the 3 Mhz alternate freq put off until Oct 2001
> for propagation reasons.
>
> Steve broadcasts live from 10:00 to 11:00 PM eastern time
> on weeknights. He also sometimes calls into the Intellegence
> Report program from 9:00 to 10:00 PM - for now on 3.270 Mhz
> until June. There is also a related Net on 3.860 (+/- QRM) in the
> 75 Meter ham band on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday nights
> starting at 8:00 PM. Sometimes UPR station Op's cjeck in on 3.860
> net although rare at this time.
>
> Keep listening, although I don't agree with much of UPR's
> ideology, it is still radio history in the making - the first
> genuine Clandestine radio station operating on US Soil in a very
> very long time! The links to Ham Radio Nets in anciliarry to the
> station are also a first.
>
> Pete
>
>
>

From 448857661886341599
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 08:59:35 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 15:55:38 GMT
Message-ID: <3b13b79e.375268616@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b0f0e78.69808566@news2.dvol.com> <20010526112416.09604.00001414@ng-cs1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.143
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.143
X-Trace: 29 May 2001 10:51:06 -0500, 64.24.18.143
Lines: 72
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22752

On 26 May 2001 15:24:16 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>
>>I fully understand that the margins from ARRL pubs is a critcal issue.
>>Your paycheck for openers.
>
>Yes, from my point of view, that is a pretty important issue. From the
>members' point of view, the resultant actions and programs are what it is all
>abot.
>
Yeah, but if you guys don't get yer paychecks the actions and programs
won't happen. Heh.
>
>>I dropped into the old-fashioned local ham store this morning for
>>awhile. It's gonna close next week, the last of those Mohicans around
>>here.
>
>Yes, smaller stores in all retail areas seem to be giving way to the big
>chains. Unfortunately, I think that some hams went to the local store to play
>with their demo model, then ordered by mail from a big chain because the
>multi-thousand dollar rig was $100.00 cheaper there.
>
'Nother whole discussion area which goes much farther than ham radio.
This closing has more to do with the proprietor getting along in years
and getting tired of dealing with the alarm clock every morning.
>

>>The absolutely appalling truth which came out of this encounter with
>>an innocent with the classic lust but with no clue was that he had
>>absolutely no idea whatsover that the League even exists, let alone
>>know anything about it's missions.
>
>I believe you are telling the truth,
>
Jeez THANKS . . !
>
>but I must admit that I find that
>surprising. In today's web age, a search on "Amateur Radio" will find site
>after site with links to the ARRL home page.
>
There's another dicey assumption. The guy is not full-service
netwired, all he has is Juno freebie e-mail service. There are people
out there like that, there are also more people out there who don't
have any Internet access than there are people in this country who do
have Internet access. Box. Get out.
>
>>The ARRL needs to get out of it's box.
>
>I presume that doing so will be a major responsibility of the Marketing person.
> However, the League currently does a mailing to every new ham. I don't know
>how much more it can do than to personally contact every new ham and to make
>tons of information about ARRL and its missions available on the web page.
>
The marketing I think the ARRL needs to dig into would not be targeted
at people who are already hams, they've already been sold. The much

bigger market are the folk who don't know what ham radio is yet or how
to get into it. Like the CBer I ran into. Selling the ARRL would be a
second step in the process, not the first/only step.
>
>Can you suggest anything more effective? I will pass the ideas along.
>
Asking an engineer about marketing has been demonstrated many times
over to be waste of time. And worse. The ARRL seems to be doing that,
that's why the ARRL needs to bring in a serious marketing guru to look
at the whole PR picture from 'way outside the box.
>

>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From 1733694479815270791
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 09:01:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: marsgal42@hotmail.com (Laura Halliday)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: 29 May 2001 09:01:49 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <d42b5a72.0105290801.19c326d@posting.google.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 157.230.50.152
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 991152110 12807 127.0.0.1 (29 May 2001 16:01:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 16:01:50 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22753

kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote in message
news:<3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>...

> (snip...)
> My basic "disconnect" is that I can't get any grip on what is really
> driving this whole thrust to move into digital ham comms. I'm missing
> a clear explanation that makes sense from both the improvement in
> operations and regulatory perspectives just what we would get out of
> "going digital".
>
> Because it's more "modern"? Because everybody else is doing it so we
> gotta do it too? To promote experimentation? Because the FCC sez so?

> Why do they say so? If no then just what IS the big deal? I don't get
> it.

More capacity, and better performance with weak signals.

Increased flexibility through simpler hardware, with more processing done in software. We already see this when a computer with a sound card can do packet, SSTV, PSK31, MFSK16 and lots more without so much as changing a jumper...

```
Laura Halliday VE7LDH     "Que les nuages soient notre
Grid: CN89mg                     pied a terre..."
ICBM: 49 15.042 N 122 59.053 W       - Hospital/Shafte
```

```
From 7218107132465157697
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 09:40:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
From: Bill Meacham <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net>
Reply-To: exray@coqui.net
Organization: www.sparkbench.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en,es-ES
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 8
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 12:38:04 EDT
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 12:44:26 -0400
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13078
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22754 rec.radio.shortwave:35418
```

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like they are sparse or anything.

Kentucky ain't hardly considered The South, unless you live in Ohio.

```
From -5572282470860923147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 10:43:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Cornmash Sam" <ph4090954@aol.com>
From: "Cornmash Sam" <ph4090954@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 23
Organization: oh I can't git along little doogie...
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 17:43:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991158197 209.204.84.71 (Tue, 29 May
2001 10:43:17 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 10:43:17 PDT
X-Received-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 10:41:29 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13082
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22755 rec.radio.shortwave:35424
```

"Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message news:3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:

> >
> > Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like they are
> > sparse or anything.
>
> Kentucky ain't hardly considered The South, unless you live in Ohio.

Mabey I'm wrong but....

1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
   the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
   Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
   ...take yer pick boys!)

Just a wonderin

```
From -1241947857777501864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 10:50:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-
out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!
worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us> <20010528104354.05666.00004615@nso-
fo.aol.com> <w5DQ6.49413$t12.3835825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105290834050.10209-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<9f0aqd$rd9$1@208.206.142.109>
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
Lines: 66
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <3kRQ6.52715$4f7.3935461@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 17:50:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.176.235
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991158655 12.88.176.235 (Tue, 29 May
2001 17:50:55 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 17:50:55 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22756
```

Well, this is going to get more interesting. Someone had provided a URL to
an article (I believe on the QRZ website) where one of the wireless devices
complained about 'interference' by a licensed amateur (ATV I believe).

Turns out the ham was protected whereas the wireless device wasn't. In any event, I read with some interest about the new wireless digital cameras. Seems they are going to be on 2.4 GHz. I am still trying to find out EXACTLY where they will be, but the idea is you walk within so may feet (yards, meters?) of this gizmo in the store and your pictures are transferred at something like a 20 MB rate (a few seconds for all you digital pictures on a 40 megabyte card!). There will also be very high speed wireless connections to the phone system to transfer pictures to a store for developement (or a website for storage). I sure don't have an answer to the points brought up already, but I find that this selection of frequency (depending upon exactly where) could prove - er - 'interesting', to say the least! I think we are going to have to generate more interest for our microwave bands - soon. We might find the 10 meter incursions by truckers just might be minor as to what may be in store for some of our higher bands.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9f0aqd$rd9$1@208.206.142.109...
>
>
> "D. Stussy" wrote:
> >
> > On Tue, 29 May 2001, JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
> > > Larry, please correct me if I am wrong, but I believe all wireless
> > > telephones are 'protected' (note the quotes) by FCC regulations. This
means
> > > you can't divulge their contents should you pick them up. The
exception
> > > (and I must confess that I don't know why) are the old 40 something
MHz
> > > phones. Of course, some people do - cell phone ids are stolen
(usually to
> > > be used for drug transactions), but you are correct - no one needs to
> > > monitor this stuff.
> >
> > I may not be Larry, but I can tell you that the above MUST be wrong.
> >
> > Cordless phones that operate on 33cm (900MHz) and 12cm (2.4GHz) CANNOT
be
> > protected because there are licensed services (including amateur) that
are
> > allocated those frequency bands. Not only that, but as part 15 implies,
we (as
> > amateurs) have a specific duty to listen to ANY device on those bands
when
> > interference with our own equipment (when we operate there) is
concerned; the
> > duty being to identify the source of the interference.
> >

> > The only phones that can be protected are the 49MHz phones - and only
because
> > they are NOT in a frequency band that is also allocated to another
service.
> > Their frequencies are exclusively their own.
>
>
> Could it be that Federal law and FCC rules clash on this point??

From -1908528097621319492
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 11:10:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 14:09:35 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <th7pf4mbnib251@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>
<th7b1nmafr1920@corp.supernews.com> <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 65
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22757

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109...
> And in answer to all that, "SO WHAT??" So you choose to turn a radio
> into a computer when that vastly complicates the process.

So does that scare you Dick? I bet the rig you're using right now is far
more complex than the spark transmitters used in the early 1900's, and yet
you likely never even gave it much thought. Moving Ham radio in to the
digital domain we can really separate the men from the boys. i.e those who
understand what is going on inside of their rice box rigs, and those who
don't. I think that is a far better measure of knowledge that how fast one
can go beep on the air.

> So now only those with computer science skills will be able to build a ham
radio
> set,

Only if the person in question refuse to learn the new skills. This is sort of like the comment "learn CW if ya want HF access", right? 8->> To put the shoe on the other foot just go out and learn it and quit whining about it. It's not that hard to pick up the basics, heck even the current ARRL Handbook has a section on it if you bother to look.

> and thus no technical knowledge nor skill will be necessary nor
> required for ham radio licensing. Right.

For a good solid deep understanding of DSP several years of Calculus with Fourier, and Z-transform theory, is required. I would not call that a non-skill exactly. One can get by starting with much less, but one must be willing to learn as they go along.

I get the impression Dick that there are other Hams like you out there that are more than "comfortable" where there are, and any major change in technology just scares the willies out of them. Remember the uproar when SSB displaced AM? I see frequent posts here suggesting how to keep the status quo, or even how to turn the clock back without appearing to do so. However suggest "advancing" things, now we see some of the old timers break out in a sweat because they suddenly realize they are now on a level playing field with the "new kids" in the hobby, and with nobody to look down at anymore. I'm not picking on you Dick, but you do seem to fit the profile of the example I'm trying to make.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -5967235432925349370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 11:24:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!
newsp.newsguy.com!enews3
From: "Roadranger" <wwfv@ellijay.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 13:33:48 -0500
Organization: http://extra.newsguy.com
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9f0mh50vsa@enews3.newsguy.com>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.155.143.61
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13085
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22758 rec.radio.shortwave:35429

The Col is wasting his time and $$$ the FCC is fixing to move in very soon
they [FCC] don't have a choice in this the law is the law and it will be
enforced.  I cannot think of how foolish he is the guys on the networks make
plenty of $$$ in advertising fees. The Col will soon find when his ass is in
the sling that NO ONE and i mean  NO ONE will back him or help him with the
serious legal fees! All he will get is lip service and patronizing comments
and fake sadness
Bill Meacham <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
news:3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like they are
> > sparse or anything.
>
> Kentucky ain't hardly considered The South, unless you live in Ohio.
>




From -4705336694692145749
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 11:28:00 PST
Message-ID: <3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 13:26:18 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com> <th7b1nmafr1920@corp.supernews.com> <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp 991160381 64.240.47.132 (29 May 2001 13:19:41 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22759

Dick Carroll wrote:
> And in answer to all that, "SO WHAT??" So you choose to turn a radio
> into a computer when that vastly complicates the process.

Uhhhhhhh Dick, every one of my ICOM's have a computer built in.
Virtually all commercial transceivers have computers built in.
A computer is a jellybean chip anymore, no larger than your
pinkynail. The IC-756PRO's computer has enough MIPs to perform
FSK and PSK31 encoding/decoding. The time is coming when virtually
all the functions in a transceiver will be performed by the built
in computer. I know that chaps your posterior but progress happens.
Buy some lotion. On second thought, rub some Desenex on it.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6631505495930846301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 11:34:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 14:33:46 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <th7qsf7bqbps39@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com>
<3b13bbac.376306700@news2.dvol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 62
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22760

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b13bbac.376306700@news2.dvol.com...
> Nobody in this NG including us far-end PCTAs have any interest in
> "going back", that's a bunch of crap. We want to retain the code
> tests. That has abosolutely nothing to do with any technical issues,
> digital modes, etc.

Which just proves the point I was making. There is no meaningful reason to retain code testing. Sure it has it's use, but so do many other modes. The PCTA group just can't let go of the past.

> You have the cart before the horse. There's nothing to plan for. WHAT
> digital modes?? How do ya "plan" for modes which don't exist in ham
> radio?

I don't see you discussing any new ideas either. That's where it starts.

> This is ridiculous. . . we have a bunch of people in this NG plus the
> FCC plus others etc. who keep harping on this digital modes thing. My
> basic bitch on all the hot air which gets tossed around on this topic

Probably why they keep harping on it because a few die-hards don't get it yet.

> You've been hot into this subject for eons LeLand. What have YOU done
> to make your mystery modes actually happen?

You start by educating youself about what has been done to date. I doubt you have even done that. I have already done some work on learning a little of the theory / mathematics behind what is done now in some small areas. Namely pulse shaping, equilation filters etc. and how that is applied to packet communications. The question I have not seen addressed is why QFSK in not used in place of BFSK, where QFSK would double the symbol rate, and thus the data through put on a given channel. Little things like this Brian is where it starts. If I remember from your prior posts about the only thing you care about is contesting, advancing the hobby does not seem to fit your view of things.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -6074072225207046687
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 11:40:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 11:37:43 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <3B13EC77.87AC9E54@uci.edu>
References: <JXPP6.7395$lP5.3017015@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010528010350.05666.00004550@nso-fo.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22761

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Anyone who triggers radar detectors is fully responsible
> for whatever negative consequences result from his actions.

What if it's your 440 mobile transeiver?

ac6xg

From -4257601991737972985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 11:49:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!sn-xit-04!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 14:49:00 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <th7rp1c3qn9f0c@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <3B0F3B77.D0B27C1A@ix.netcom.com>
<ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 32
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22762

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Who is we kemo sabe? About a thousand years ago, the Handbook spent a lot
> of ink on shaping of keying to eliminate 'key clicks' and excessive
> bandwidth of CW signals. It pretty well came down to 'there ain't no such
> thing as square wave'.

That's why they use raised cosine pulse shaping when transmitting digital
data over long links.

> We have arrived at the point where digital TV takes closer to 30 MHz than
6,

Well they did fit it in to 6MHz here in the US. They did it by advance digital video compression techonolgy, and by using all of the wasted spectrum in an analog video signal to carry digital information. It really is shocking to learn how much of a 6MHz wide analog video channel has little to no energy content.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

From 9153444474135384972
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 11:51:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com>
<3b13bbac.376306700@news2.dvol.com> <th7qsf7bqbps39@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <PbSQ6.4167$rn5.217072@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 14:50:23 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 18:50:23 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22763

On Tue, 29 May 2001 14:33:46 -0400, Leland C. Scott says:

> If I remember from your prior posts about the only thing you care
> about is contesting, advancing the hobby does not seem to fit your
> view of things.

At the present time only 2 areas of ham radio seem to be "pushing the state of the art of radio" -- those two areas are satellites and radiosports (contesting). They seem to be the two leading environments where "squeezing out some more performance" is pushing the equipment and station design engineering-minded types forward.

73, Hans, K0HB

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From -4696796133456948746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 12:10:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 14:08:47 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <3B13F3BF.6BBD@mobiletel.com>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun02-port217.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 991163294 18545 209.16.79.217 (29 May 2001 19:08:14
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 19:08:14 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone (Win95; I)
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13088
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22764 rec.radio.shortwave:35432

Cornmash Sam wrote:
>
> "Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
> news:3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net...
> >
> >

```
> > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > >
> > > Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like they are
> > > sparse or anything.
> >
> > Kentucky ain't hardly considered The South, unless you live in Ohio.
>
> Mabey I'm wrong but....
>
> 1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
> 2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
>      the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
>      Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
>      ...take yer pick boys!)
>
> Just a wonderin
A very divided state, my anscestors fought on both sides and it
was mess!  Some counties has Southern leanings and the others were Union
allied.


From 9037669137414742585
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 12:13:12 PST
Message-ID: <3B13F44F.C0254FF4@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 14:11:11 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <3B0F3B77.D0B27C1A@ix.netcom.com>
<ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<th7rp1c3qn9f0c@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991163072 64.240.47.127 (29 May 2001 14:04:32 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
```

feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22765

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> Well they did fit it in to 6MHz here in the US. They did it by advance
> digital video compression techonolgy, and by using all of the wasted
> spectrum in an analog video signal to carry digital information. It really
> is shocking to learn how much of a 6MHz wide analog video channel has little
> to no energy content.

Where was Spread Spectrum when we needed it? :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4483188988688833745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 12:21:36 PST
Message-ID: <3B13F65B.794C63D0@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 14:19:55 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com>
<3b13bbac.376306700@news2.dvol.com> <th7qsf7bqbps39@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991163598 64.240.47.127 (29 May 2001 14:13:18 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22766

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> The question I have not seen addressed is why QFSK in not
> used in place of BFSK, where QFSK would double the symbol rate, and thus the
> data through put on a given channel.

BPSK has lower throughput but better signal/noise ratio. Shannon's law
prevails. If you double the symbol rate with QPSK and cannot perform the
function, back off to BPSK. I believe that is how PACTOR II works and
I am here to tell you, it works wonderfully. I can establish reliable
communications to Germany when I cannot even hear the signal. You ask,
"How do I know it's there?" A fixed mailbox frequency, of course.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7349355801616836047
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 12:22:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B13F6A9.7D304AF4@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 14:21:13 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com>
<3b13bbac.376306700@news2.dvol.com> <th7qsf7bqbps39@corp.supernews.com>
<PbSQ6.4167$rn5.217072@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991163675 64.240.47.127 (29 May 2001 14:14:35 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22767

K0HB wrote:

> At the present time only 2 areas of ham radio seem to be "pushing the state of
> the art of radio" -- those two areas are satellites and radiosports
> (contesting). They seem to be the two leading environments where "squeezing out
> some more performance" is pushing the equipment and station design
> engineering-minded types forward.

Don't forget PACTOR II, Hans

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6721580600597491031
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 12:39:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <GVSQ6.76054$425.20368200@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 19:39:18 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 991165158 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 29 May 2001 12:39:18 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 12:39:18 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13089 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22768 rec.radio.shortwave:35435

Or Canada ...

"Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message news:3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net...
>

```
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like they are
> > sparse or anything.
>
> Kentucky ain't hardly considered The South, unless you live in Ohio.
>
```

```
From -4103574936178449435
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 12:41:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-
sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<9f0mh50vsa@enews3.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <EXSQ6.76080$425.20371481@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 19:41:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 991165284 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 29 May 2001 12:41:24
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 12:41:24 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13090
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22769 rec.radio.shortwave:35436
```

Well if he wasn't a nut bag, and, operating illegally, he may find more people to help him. But, as many nut bags know, they don't have jobs so they don't have cash, and, they also are so paranoid they don't even trust other nut bags to join them.

```
"Roadranger" <wwfv@ellijay.com> wrote in message
news:9f0mh50vsa@enews3.newsguy.com...
> The Col is wasting his time and $$$ the FCC is fixing to move in very soon
> they [FCC] don't have a choice in this the law is the law and it will be
> enforced.  I cannot think of how foolish he is the guys on the networks
make
> plenty of $$$ in advertising fees. The Col will soon find when his ass is
in
> the sling that NO ONE and i mean  NO ONE will back him or help him with
the
> serious legal fees! All he will get is lip service and patronizing
comments
> and fake sadness
> Bill Meacham <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
> news:3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net...
> >
> >
> > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > >
> > > Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like they
are
> > > sparse or anything.
> >
> > Kentucky ain't hardly considered The South, unless you live in Ohio.
> >
>
>
```

```
From -6004361636175461927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 13:22:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 15:22:40 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9f10eg$vjf$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: c7.ae.92.18
```

X-Server-Date: 29 May 2001 20:22:40 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13092
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22770 rec.radio.shortwave:35448

From what I heared on the 3.950 net was ole Steve is originally from Ohio.
Whoooppssss....

Dan/W4NTI

"Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
news:3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like they are
> > sparse or anything.
>
> Kentucky ain't hardly considered The South, unless you live in Ohio.
>

From -8992294301510446240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 13:59:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: nada@na.da (redrum)
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 20:59:08 -0000
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <th83csqbk30p31@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.9 (Released Version) (x86 32bit)
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=US-ASCII
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 47
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13094

rec.radio.amateur.policy:22771 rec.radio.shortwave:35456

In article <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
clickpetew20@hotmail.com says...
>
>Col Steve announced it again last night.
>"6.900 UPR *does not* QSL". He said the
>station is not in existence for a "hobbyist"
>purpose. (UPR is a genuine clandestine station
>folks - it's not a hobby-pirate station, so no QSL's)

Pfeh. Clandestines *do* QSL-at least the Centroamericano ones of the 80s
did-and clandestines run by governments hostile to each other usually
QSL to third party listeners too. QSLs exist from Middle Eastern
clandestine stations too-they usually reply to people with Arabic
names, so call yourself Habib if you're writing to one.
>
>Steve also said they'll be going to the 5 KW power level
>around the 1st or 2nd week in June. They currently
>run 800 watts. Operation is also to be on 6.900 mHz USB
>exclusive, with the 3 Mhz alternate freq put off until Oct 2001
>for propagation reasons.

How the hell does he expect to put out 5kw without the FCC noticing?

>
>Steve broadcasts live from 10:00 to 11:00 PM eastern time
>on weeknights. He also sometimes calls into the Intellegence
>Report program from 9:00 to 10:00 PM - for now on 3.270 Mhz
>until June. There is also a related Net on 3.860 (+/- QRM) in the
>75 Meter ham band on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday nights
>starting at 8:00 PM. Sometimes UPR station Op's cjeck in on 3.860
>net although rare at this time.
>
>Keep listening, although I don't agree with much of UPR's
>ideology, it is still radio history in the making - the first
>genuine Clandestine radio station operating on US Soil in a very
>very long time! The links to Ham Radio Nets in anciliarry to the
>station are also a first.

Usually a clandestine is the voice of a revolutionary *group*. This guy
was kicked out of the Kentucky militia for refusing to shut down his tx,
so unless he's joined some other militia, UPR is not a true clandestine
in the strict sense. This guy falls into a gray area. If I were to
operate an anarchist pirate, which I've thought of doing, it would be
just me so I'm not sure it would be considered clandestine. The
difference is that the usual clandestine is the *official voice of a
GROUP* instead of just the personal opinions of one person.

From -4437800905248010754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 14:30:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 29 May 2001 21:27:05 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9f1479$dd6$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9evaqm$oo2$0@208.206.142.102> <20010529034618.08421.00001645@ng-
mo1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991171625 13734 128.171.44.55 (29 May 2001 21:27:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 21:27:05 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22772

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote:
>But I don't think it will change that way at all, Dick.  Ham radio is
>CONSIDERABLY harder to "jump in" than it was when I studied that 4-page study
>guide and answered a 20-question exam to become a Novice.

Ed, that "4-page" comment is a bit deceiving because of the content
(and tiny print) within those 4 pages. Why not, once and for all,
scan those 4 pages onto a web site so everyone could see what was
expected of that era Novice?    73, KH6O


From 7702171044958463670
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 14:30:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 21:29:43 GMT
References: <20010529094050.11294.00001968@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010529172943.26572.00001678@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22773

Hi Steve,

Thanks for the reply. I was referring to the Sears h/t, not the link showing
```

the Signature "base station." Gotta admit, that little Signature "box" is pretty elegant for a toy. Sometimes I wonder why more toys like these aren't made. After all, it was a 3 ch. 300 mW G.E. h/t with a channel 14 crystal that turned me on to radio. Sadly, I know the answer...it's the Nintendo age. Oh well.:-(

Speaking of that 300 mW h/t, it also introduced me to the amity of the radio fraternity. You see, when I went down to the local CB/ham shop I told the merchant (Mike) that I had done some research and wanted to put up a dipole. I told him that I had twelve bucks of saved allowance to "make this happen." Well after some pointed questions about my particular "installation," I walked out of there with 12 ft. of RG-8U, a blitz bug, 9 ft. of RG-58 to run through the window, 20 ft. of stranded #14 antenna wire, two end insulators, a budwig center insulator,...and a set of crystals for ch. 20. (the local ragchew frequency) All for the princely sum (to this thirteen yr. old anyway) of $11.99. (those crystals were $8.99 alone) My father added some heavy gauge copper wire, a ground rod, and a beginner's lesson in soldering and I was off. That's why I always tend to look for the best in someone who's associated with radio, even if they appear curt or abrupt at first. Sorry if this is starting to sound like an episode of "As the Diode Turns," this all really brings back some very nice memories. Take it easy.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -5681137091154393O
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 14:40:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 21:31:38 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <9f14fq$dri$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9evd1j$suk$2@208.206.142.102> <20010529040618.29498.00001760@ng-mg1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991171898 14194 128.171.44.55 (29 May 2001 21:31:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 21:31:38 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22774

W1RFI wrote:
>I can build a TNC but I am not capable of building a reliable mechnical
>key.

Oh, Ed!!! You can make a workable handkey out of a hacksaw blade!!

(I've built a Cootie key out of one.)   73, KH6O

```
From -479208186679788369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 14:50:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 21:46:51 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9f15cb$ei2$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B12C8F5.D14C203F@IEEE.org>
<9evch0$suk$1@208.206.142.102> <3B13A090.7435A89B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991172811 14914 128.171.44.55 (29 May 2001 21:46:51 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 21:46:51 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22775
```

CAM wrote:
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> CAM wrote:

>>>OK, Jeff, you're on. Exactly how many times have you sent CW that way?
>>>It must be quite a challenge to send CW with a push-on/push-off button.

>>Piece of cake. I once made a mobile CW QSO with a transceiver that
>>didn't even have CW mode by ofsetting the carrier balance and keying CW
>>with the PTT on the microphone.

>That's not even the same thing unless your microphone had a
>push-on/push-off button. As usual, you missed the entire point.

Why do you keep calling my rotary function switch a P-on/P-off button even after I've tried to correct you? Rotary, man, rotary! Both my transmitters have rotary functions switches, got it, you stubborn SOB? :) (See? I said that with a smile!)

A rotary switch, rotated between TUNE and STBY, poses no trouble at all in sending CW; for the third time, it's not practical, but it is possible. So your contention that "out-of-the-box CW is not possible" has been proved wrong. Concede, you stubborn Texan!! :)   73, KH6O

```
From 6409253312975399889
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 14:54:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 29 May 2001 21:53:50 GMT
References: <3B139D22.8C587878@IEEE.org>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: HHhhroRe: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529175350.26572.00001680@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22776

>Every religious zealot needs to demonize some group. Do you believe that
>CBers are going to hell, Dick, and hams going to heaven?

Hey Cecil,

Where am I goin'...I wear both hats? "I'm zzzoooooooo confuuused!" (Vinny
Barbarino, 'Welcome Back Kotter' circa 1974)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -2877624925423421356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 15:00:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 21:54:50 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9f15ra$f2s$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B12C8F5.D14C203F@IEEE.org>
<9eve10$ism$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B13A12F.CD95DC40@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991173290 15452 128.171.44.55 (29 May 2001 21:54:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 21:54:50 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22777

CAM, the subborn SOB Texan, wrote:
>Jeff wrote:

>> CAM wrote:

>>>It must be quite a challenge to send CW with a push-on/push-off button.

>>It's a rotary switch, not a push button.

>Egads, that's even worse. Please demonstrate your rotary switch
>Morse code technique for me, Jeff. How long before it breaks and
>you're SOL?

For the fourth time, "...it's not practical, but it is possible..."
and it proves you wrong that "out-of-the-box CW is not possible."
73, KH6O

From 3342276075690408186
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 15:10:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 22:05:44 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9f16fo$fml$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <3B1304FA.3F6E87CC@IEEE.org> <20010529035330.15273.00001483@ng-bd1.aol.com> <3B13A4FC.3ECA4BC4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991173944 16085 128.171.44.55 (29 May 2001 22:05:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 22:05:44 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22778

CAM wrote:
>Ed, did you realize that your newsreader is messing up the attributions?

I noticed that, too, and the reason is due to him inserting "From"
at the beginning of the attribution line; look at what happens when
that's done:

From

You see that the newsreader software inserted a ">" -- I
did not put in. That glitch is causing an added ">" quotation
symbol. If Ed can eliminate the word "From" in the attribution
line, the problem will go away.

73, KH6O

```
From 4226789942912416162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 15:52:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge3tcr3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3B11CE85.91966DF@IEEE.org> <20010528083821.19932.00000917@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9euu7m$3d3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 22
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991176724 63.178.69.156 (Tue, 29 May 2001
22:52:04 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:04 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22780
```

On 29 May 2001 01:32:38 GMT, Jeffrey Herman wrote:

```
>CAM wrote:
>>W1RFI wrote:
>
>>> -...-   -...-  -...-
>
>>.... ..   .... ..
>
>The thread concerned the passing of traffic using 20wpm CW
>versus 200wpm phone. Unless the message text contains BT or
>HI I doubt a CW traffic handler would send them; one is
>only supposed to send what's contained in the message text,
>nothing else.
```

Why does the USCG send BRK (the equivalent of -...-) after each NAVTEX message? A double line feed would have sufficed.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

```
From -3479461124349749673
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 15:52:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge3ug06.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <9euu7m$3d3$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010529034848.08421.00001646@ng-
mo1.aol.com> <9f0abe$qk6$1@208.206.142.109>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 17
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991176726 63.178.69.156 (Tue, 29 May 2001
22:52:06 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:06 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22779
```

On 29 May 2001 14:05:34 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>> Yeah, but when most people read formal messages, they don't add
>> very many "uhs" as pauses. I presumed that when that was introduced
>> to the thread, we were talking conversationally.

> Evidently you haven't participated in any more voice nets than you
> have given VE exams.

IMNSHO the "uhs" and "ahs" during QSOs are an artifice to hold the VOX circuitry in. I don't remember hearing it used much in PTT "territory".

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

```
From -3832222327888898089
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 15:52:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge408u8.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us> <20010528104354.05666.00004615@nso-fo.aol.com> <w5DQ6.49413$t12.3835825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105290834050.10209-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordless phones?
Lines: 46
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991176730 63.178.69.156 (Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:10 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:10 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22781

On Tue, 29 May 2001 08:38:11 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:

>Cordless phones that operate on 33cm (900MHz) and 12cm (2.4GHz) CANNOT be protected because there are licensed services (including amateur) that are allocated those frequency bands. Not only that, but as part 15 implies, we (as amateurs) have a specific duty to listen to ANY device on those bands when interference with our own equipment (when we operate there) is concerned; the duty being to identify the source of the interference.

The law - the Electronic Communications Protection Act, 18 USC s. 2511 et seq - is very specific although full of legal technicalities and terms of art.

The "protection" provided by the Act is "legal" protection, not "physical" protection, and is independent of what band the radiotelephone operates. It provides penalties for UNAUTHORIZED interception (reception and/or decoding), use, or divulgence of the CONTENTS of the communication. Enforcement requires a complaint by the "sender" who holds the rights to the "protection".

There are certain categories of interception which are exempt from this proscription, including a co-channel licensee investigating actual interference, but this exemption extends only to identifying the source of the transmissions but does not convey any right to divulgence or use of the contents of the communication.

>The only phones that can be protected are the 49MHz phones - and only

```
>because they are NOT in a frequency band that is also allocated to
>another service.  Their frequencies are exclusively their own.

  Totally inaccurate....useless and dangerous if offered as a defense
  against unauthorized interception.

  PS - do not be confused by the recent USSC decision regarding the
  broadcast of unlawfully obtained recordings.  It dealt only with
  the (non) liability of the uninvolved third-party receiver of the
  material which was illegally obtained by interception, and heavily
  depended on their ruling several decades earlier involving the NY
  Times and the Ellsberg Papers.  In that one, had the thief been
  named Stussy or Kane instead of Ellsberg, we'd still be mopping
  floors in K-Block at Marion.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From 4051675208365253145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 15:52:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge414ea.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3B11245C.60311C58@sccoast.net> <20010529003638.07982.00002270@nso-
cm.aol.com> <3B134BB6.472A8386@sccoast.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 16
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:16 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991176736 63.178.69.156 (Tue, 29 May 2001
22:52:16 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:16 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22782

On Tue, 29 May 2001 03:12:26 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

>  Okay, lets not get too far over my head.  However, that does make me
```

>feel better about my wife endlessly running around town. I told her
>one day that if she didn't plan her shopping better, and cut down on
>repeating the same route two or three times a day (group all those
>into one trip), she was going to wear out the road and she would have
>to pay for the excessive wear.

If I ever told my wife something like that, the thing that I would
wind up wearing was the car as a hat...... <ggg>

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 2892386037624949062
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 15:52:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge3ut07.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us> <20010528104354.05666.00004615@nso-fo.aol.com> <w5DQ6.49413$t12.3835825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordless phones?
Lines: 19
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991176728 63.178.69.156 (Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:08 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:08 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22783

On Tue, 29 May 2001 01:39:40 GMT, JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

>Larry, please correct me if I am wrong, but I believe all wireless
>telephones are 'protected' (note the quotes) by FCC regulations. This means
>you can't divulge their contents should you pick them up. The exception
>(and I must confess that I don't know why) are the old 40 something MHz
>phones.

It isn't the phones that are protected, it's the contents of the

```
  communications which are protected from unauthorized interception,
  use, or divulgence.

  And the ECPA was amended several years ago to cover communications
  using the 46 MHz cordless phones as well as the more common cellphones.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From 4455264840442115948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 15:52:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge3tim4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010528104356.05666.00004620@nso-fo.aol.com>
<20010528142315.19790.00001451@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge24nw6.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3b131107.1844223@news.mindspring.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Lines: 27
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991176735 63.178.69.156 (Tue, 29 May 2001
22:52:15 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:15 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22784

On Tue, 29 May 2001 03:03:40 GMT, Bill Sohl wrote:

>>  Federal law, maybe not (yet), but certainly local fire codes have
>>  required sprinkler systems in private residences for decades under
>>  certain circumstances.
>>
>>  A single-family two-story residence built in 1960 that we owned from
>>  1977-1986 had sprinklers in the garage because although on street
>>  level, it was below living quarters.
>
```

>What state?

Daly City, San Mateo County, California

>I am unaware of any state that currently
>requires any sprinklers in any single family dwelling.
>I know rules are very much localized, but I am curious if there
>truly is any new construction of single family homes that
>actually mandates sprinklers.

Both California and Oregon do when vehicles are stored underneath
living quarters.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

```
From 5183670758574650764
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 15:52:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge43zcb.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us> <20010528104354.05666.00004615@nso-
fo.aol.com> <w5DQ6.49413$t12.3835825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105290834050.10209-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<9f0aqd$rd9$1@208.206.142.109> <3kRQ6.52715$4f7.3935461@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
Lines: 15
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991176739 63.178.69.156 (Tue, 29 May 2001
22:52:19 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:52:19 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22785
```

On Tue, 29 May 2001 17:50:55 GMT, JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

>Well, this is going to get more interesting. Someone had provided a URL to
>an article (I believe on the QRZ website) where one of the wireless devices
>complained about 'interference' by a licensed amateur (ATV I believe).
>Turns out the ham was protected whereas the wireless device wasn't.

Do not confuse protection from interference (FCC Rules) with
protection from unauthorized interception (ECPA). Two entirely
different things.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -8030765945043157825
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 15:57:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B142915.9459DAA6@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 17:56:21 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HHhhroRe: Puke.
References: <3B139D22.8C587878@IEEE.org> <20010529175350.26572.00001680@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.94
X-Trace: corp 991176583 64.240.47.94 (29 May 2001 17:49:43 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22786

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >Every religious zealot needs to demonize some group. Do you believe that
> >CBers are going to hell, Dick, and hams going to heaven?

>
> Hey Cecil, Where am I goin'...I wear both hats?

Purgatory.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From 7763961090324052958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 16:00:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 29 May 2001 22:59:13 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 71
Message-ID: <9f19k1$in3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010528174932.10007.00001704@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010529103016.06566.00005580@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991177153 19171 128.171.44.55 (29 May 2001 22:59:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 29 May 2001 22:59:13 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22787
```

W1RFI writes:
>Why do you believe that the governments of the world initiated a
>Morse-code test for Amateur Radio back when it was started?

We'd have to take a trip back to 1912 to answer that question: At one one time, Morse was the only mode in use and all wavelengths were shared. QRM between services was incredible with constant on-air fighting, especially between hams and the Navy; for example:

"As one old veteran laughingly recalled, 'There were no wavelengths in those days; it was a free country and the air was free to all, including the right of small boys to tell the Navy Yard station to "Go to Hell!" on the air.' The combination of mischievous boys and their radio sets had infinite possibilities for devilry. The harried existence of the Navy and commercial coast station men through mutual interference was galling enough, but hundreds of amateurs added further trials and torments to their tribulations. As one of the "NY" operators related to the writer, 'The Tin-can amateurs loved to tantalize the Hell out of us.' It was no uncommon occurrence to hear "NY" or some other commercial station call out in exasperation and despair, "You kids shut up!" as he vainly tried

to catch a faint but important message from some ship far out at sea. The "tin-cans" blithely continued their school-boy gossip or soundly abused the commercial operator for his temerity in questioning the freedom of the air. Even next day's arithmetic lessons were compared and solved over the air by schoolboy amateurs."
(The writer continues with many other examples of hams causing intentional QRM; taken from Baarslag's SOS To The Rescue, 1935)

The final straw was hams causing QRM to Titanic-related traffic:

"With the first news of the sinking of the Titanic, every amateur in America camped on his key and added to the bedlam..."

"Thousands of messages piled up at the shore stations. At the solicitation of the American Marconi Company, the government ordered all radio stations north of Hatteras closed down, leaving only those at South Wellfleet, Siasconsett, Sagaponack, and Seagate open; they were to handle Carpathia traffic exclusively. The order was enforceable only on commercial stations; the amateurs blithely continued "on the air." The situation became so desperate that Newport Radio, a naval station, "requested every station in range to keep out" until a list of the survivors could be obtained. Department of Justice men went to work tracing the origin of misleading messages."

The end result? Licensing for amateurs and banned from wavelengths above 200 meters. Here were the 1912 licensing requirements:

Code speed: 5 wpm

One had to recognized the official KEEP OUT signal and distress call.

Be knowledgeable of the International Radio-telegraphic Convention in force and Sections 3, 4, 5, and 7 of the Act of Congress of August 13, 1912;

One also had to take a seven-question written exam covering:

1. How to make a diagram of the transmitting and receiving apparatus
2. How the transmitting apparatus is made and how it works
3. How the receiving apparatus is made and how it works
4. The International regulations for wireless communications and the U.S. wireless laws and regulations.

(Taken from The Book Of Wireless, Frederick Collins, 1915)

That written exam was an essay-type test. As the government examiners were fond of saying back then, "What you fail to say, you don't know."

What was your question again, Ed? :)

73, KH6O

From 5210991667358275100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 16:02:53 PST
Message-ID: <3B142A26.D8B1C1C7@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 18:00:54 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B12C8F5.D14C203F@IEEE.org>
<9evch0$suk$1@208.206.142.102> <3B13A090.7435A89B@IEEE.org>
<9f15cb$ei2$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.94
X-Trace: corp 991176857 64.240.47.94 (29 May 2001 17:54:17 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22788

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Why do you keep calling my rotary function switch a P-on/P-off
> button even after I've tried to correct you?

Ever hear of internet delay, Jeff. If not, you are quite ignorant.

> A rotary switch, rotated between TUNE and STBY, poses no trouble
> at all in sending CW; for the third time, it's not practical,
> but it is possible.

For the third time, my IC-706 has absolutely NO tune switch anywhere.
Why do you refuse to read my postings? :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7988759385408770325
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 16:04:45 PST
Message-ID: <3B142A85.A3CFBFE9@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 18:02:29 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B12C8F5.D14C203F@IEEE.org>
<9eve10$ism$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B13A12F.CD95DC40@IEEE.org>
<9f15ra$f2s$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.94
X-Trace: corp 991176950 64.240.47.94 (29 May 2001 17:55:50 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22789
```

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> For the fourth time, "...it's not practical, but it is possible..."
> and it proves you wrong that "out-of-the-box CW is not possible."

For the fourth time, my IC-706 doesn't have a tune switch - no room for one.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 544396690115900440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 17:12:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 00:11:43 GMT
References: <9f0c21$1dg$1@208.206.142.109>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010529201143.11263.00002122@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22790

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 5/29/01 9:34 AM Central Daylight Time

> Hey Hans- If you want KH6IA you can get it for $14, no matter where >you live. (long as someone hasn't already)

Nope. Gotta have a WESTPAC address to get a KH call, even under vanity.

73

Steve,K4YZ

From 5285088682687801620
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 17:16:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 00:15:49 GMT
References: <VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Message-ID: <20010529201549.11263.00002123@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22791

>Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net

>From: "Cornmash Sam" ph4090954@aol.com
>Date: 5/29/01 12:43 PM Central Daylight Time

> (or the War Between the States or War of Southern Independence...

Or more popularly, "The War Of Northern Aggression"

73

Steve

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 5794562709479753946
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 17:24:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 00:24:17 GMT
References: <20010529172943.26572.00001678@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010529202417.11263.00002126@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22792

>Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
>From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
>Date: 5/29/01 4:29 PM Central Daylight Time

> Sorry if this is starting
>to sound like an episode of "As the Diode Turns," this all really brings back
>some very nice memories. Take it easy.

Hey, memories are cool! I remember the "early" days of my radio "career" too!....That little box actually made it to the far side of town (about five miles) and I thought THAT was great DX!

I also had a crystal set I made (around age 6 or seven, I guess) that I wound on a large wooden dowel that was shellac'ed and had lot's of brass. It worked great and looked even better!

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From -7364375926807883349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 17:47:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 30 May 2001 00:47:50 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9f1fvm$jln$0@208.206.142.82>
References: <3B139A3A.257738B1@IEEE.org> <20010529103016.06566.00005578@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3B13BEDB.48559E53@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22793
```

CAM wrote:

>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > I do not recognize your qualifications to judge my whining.
>
> It doesn't matter, Larry, you agreed to stop whining if I would.
>
> > After all, you have done far more whining than I have.
>
> What, pray tell, do I have to whine about?

You sure do a lot of it for one has no reason to.

```
From -7154833082453168856
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 18:02:15 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!sfo2-feed1.news.digex.net!intermedia!
feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: 30 May 2001 01:02:14 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9f1gqm$n28$0@208.206.142.82>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>
<d42b5a72.0105290801.19c326d@posting.google.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22794

Laura Halliday wrote:
>
> kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) wrote in message
news:<3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>...
>
> > (snip...)
> > My basic "disconnect" is that I can't get any grip on what is really
> > driving this whole thrust to move into digital ham comms. I'm missing
> > a clear explanation that makes sense from both the improvement in
> > operations and regulatory perspectives just what we would get out of
> > "going digital".
> >
> > Because it's more "modern"? Because everybody else is doing it so we
> > gotta do it too? To promote experimentation? Because the FCC sez so?
> > Why do they say so? If no then just what IS the big deal? I don't get
> > it.
>
> More capacity, and better performance with weak signals.
>
> Increased flexibility through simpler hardware, with more
> processing done in software. We already see this when
> a computer with a sound card can do packet, SSTV, PSK31,
> MFSK16 and lots more without so much as changing a jumper...

Got that, Brian? More throughput, which we need for *what*? And these
digiputer fans who've never been involved in weak-signal CW seem to be
oblivious to its attributes.

```
From 6788232966074104761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 18:19:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: 30 May 2001 01:19:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <9f1hrq$pok$0@208.206.142.82>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>
<th7b1nmafr1920@corp.supernews.com> <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109>
<th7pf4mbnib251@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22795
```

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109...
> > And in answer to all that, "SO WHAT??" So you choose to turn a radio
> > into a computer when that vastly complicates the process.
>
> So does that scare you Dick? I bet the rig you're using right now is far
> more complex than the spark transmitters used in the early 1900's, and yet
> you likely never even gave it much thought.

BS, Leland, you do it just because you think you can figure out how to
do it, and no doubt you can.
So, go ahead- but you might as well get used to the idea that there are
a whole lot of people who aren't so thrilled as you about the
UNNECESSITY of it.
I have noproblem with simple gear. I have no problem with complex gear,
but I have a real problem with forcing hams to adopt provides little or
no REAL everyday benefit.
I see this in exactly the same light as High Definition TV. I don't see
anything that excites me at all,nor do I see any way that will change.
Show some real benefit, and we'll always be willing to take a look.

Complicating ham radio such that it acts like a Windoze computer with
all its posterior pains won't make the grade. And I'd wager there are
more who see it my way than yours.

Moving Ham radio in to the
> digital domain we can really separate the men from the boys. i.e those who
> understand what is going on inside of their rice box rigs, and those who
> don't. I think that is a far better measure of knowledge that how fast one
> can go beep on the air.

If this NG is any indication, it will separate the digital dipschits
from the Real Hams.

```
From 2439403479378727181
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 18:29:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: 30 May 2001 01:29:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9f1id1$r81$0@208.206.142.82>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>
<th7b1nmafr1920@corp.supernews.com> <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109>
<3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22796
```

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > And in answer to all that, "SO WHAT??" So you choose to turn a radio
> > into a computer when that vastly complicates the process.
>
> Uhhhhhhh Dick, every one of my ICOM's have a computer built in.

> Virtually all commercial transceivers have computers built in.
> A computer is a jellybean chip anymore, no larger than your
> pinkynail.

So go ahead and do it, no one is stopping you. Execept- You've been mouthing all this drivel for years not and yet not a hint of anything other than noise eminates from South Texas. Why should we believe a thing you say?

The IC-756PRO's computer has enough MIPs to perform
> FSK and PSK31 encoding/decoding. The time is coming when virtually
> all the functions in a transceiver will be performed by the built
> in computer. I know that chaps your posterior but progress happens.

I hate to tell you but nothing about progress chaps my ass nor any other part of me. You digigeeks gotta support your agenda which is advancement of the -your- digital domain, hey, its what you do. Just don't expect everyone else to follow along like lemmings. We can look at it all realistically. You can't.

From -8824159608656407479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 18:33:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Date: 30 May 2001 01:33:33 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 32
Message-ID: <9f1ild$r81$1@208.206.142.82>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B13F3BF.6BBD@mobiletel.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13102

```
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22797 rec.radio.shortwave:35488



Martin wrote:
>
> Cornmash Sam wrote:
> >
> > "Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
> > news:3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like they are
> > > > sparse or anything.
> > >
> > > Kentucky ain't hardly considered The South, unless you live in Ohio.
> >
> > Mabey I'm wrong but....
> >
> > 1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
> > 2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
> >      the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
> >      Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
> >      ...take yer pick boys!)
> >
> > Just a wonderin
> A very divided state, my anscestors fought on both sides and it
> was mess!  Some counties has Southern leanings and the others were Union
> allied.


 And it's ALL north of the Mason-Dixon line.


From 5294660076053515762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 18:33:40 PST
Message-ID: <3B144D81.2EA5F44F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 20:31:45 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>
<th7b1nmafr1920@corp.supernews.com> <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109>
<3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org> <9f1id1$r81$0@208.206.142.82>
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.85
X-Trace: corp 991185906 64.240.47.85 (29 May 2001 20:25:06 -0500)
Lines: 9
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22798

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Why should we believe a thing you say?

Look at the schematic of your ricebox transceiver?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8865308861499880180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 18:34:59 PST
Message-ID: <3B144DE3.296670EE@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 20:33:23 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com> <d42b5a72.0105290801.19c326d@posting.google.com> <9f1gqm$n28$0@208.206.142.82>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.85
X-Trace: corp 991186004 64.240.47.85 (29 May 2001 20:26:44 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22799

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Got that, Brian? More throughput, which we need for *what*?

So you can talk about both your prostate problems and cataract operation?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2506951491258745375
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 18:44:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge4cgb1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010528134001.08137.00001644@ng-cr1.aol.com> <20010528221714.25412.00001790@ng-ck1.news.cs.com> <cuvyxnansvbet.ge40wf9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Lines: 25
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 01:44:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991187044 63.178.69.156 (Wed, 30 May 2001 01:44:04 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 01:44:04 GMT

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22800

On 29 May 2001 02:17:14 GMT, Bert Craig wrote:

>I need to give the oscillator wiring some thought here. I'd wanted to
>avoid actually modifying the radio (Although my GROL allows me to do
>so legally as long as I don't alter the transmitter to exceed FCC
>limits.) itself.

You DO know the difference between the two classes of permissive
modifications which do not require re-authorization and the major
modification which requires formal re-authorization, don't you?

Adding tone capability to a transmitter not previously certified
with that capability will change the authorized emission designator
(adds A2A to A3E) and thus requires re-certification as a major
modification. Even permissive modifications require notification to
and approval by the Commission under most circumstances if made by
someone other than the original grantee.

It's not all that simple, is it? See Section 2.1043 of the Rules.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -6686814860590987596
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:17:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!washdc3-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.utk.edu!uky.edu!not-for-mail
From: Dante'@inferno.net (Speaker to Animals)
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Date: 30 May 2001 02:17:27 GMT
Organization: Wonderland Free Navy
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <9f1l7n$h4l$3@news.uky.edu>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B13F3BF.6BBD@mobiletel.com> <9f1ild$r81$1@208.206.142.82>

```
Reply-To: IT is not a real name!!!
NNTP-Posting-Host: 128.163.64.77
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=US-ASCII
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.8 (x86 32bit)
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13104
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22801 rec.radio.shortwave:35498

In article <9f1ild$r81$1@208.206.142.82>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>Martin wrote:
>>
>> Cornmash Sam wrote:
>> >
>> > "Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
>> > news:3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net...
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like they
are
>> > > > sparse or anything.
>> > >
>> > > Kentucky ain't hardly considered The South, unless you live in Ohio.
>> >
>> > Mabey I'm wrong but....
>> >
>> > 1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
>> > 2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
>> >      the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
>> >      Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
>> >      ...take yer pick boys!)
>> >
>> > Just a wonderin
>> A very divided state, my anscestors fought on both sides and it
>> was mess!  Some counties has Southern leanings and the others were Union
>> allied.
>
>
> And it's ALL north of the Mason-Dixon line.
While the Daughters of the Confederacy has a star for Kentucky, the state
never formally left the union. "Rebel" raiders set up their own provisonal
government and that "Government" suceeded.
Mr. Lincoln stoped the process by which states left the union.
States had to have a convention that reflected the will of the people.
Lincoln made damn sure that meeting never happened.
Ky was mostly northern until after they "enjoyed" several years of Union
occupation. A dark and grim time. The union military practiced the fine art of
retribution. For every Union Trooper who died, they (the northern army)
rounded up "southern sympathisers" and shot or hanged them. No trial, and
```

it was never made all that clear how they picked their targets.
Armies of occupation are not noted for their tender loving kindness.
This left eastern Ky in one heck of a mess. And the wounds have not healed
after 140 years. I sometimes doubt if Eastern Kentucky will ever heal.
As a former Kentuckian, of "hill billy" extraction, I have studied the mess
and see no good solutions.

```
From 6042817905975658282
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:24:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 02:23:52 GMT
References: <9f0bdp$rd9$3@208.206.142.109>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529222352.10524.00005165@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22802
```

In article <9f0bdp$rd9$3@208.206.142.109>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

```
>
> No problem-we can touch two wires together and key the rig. What are
>you gloing to do without a microphone?
>
```

Dick:

He's not gloing to be doing much talking, that's for darn sure!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 1415078229334957562
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:24:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
```

```
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 02:23:51 GMT
References: <3B13BEDB.48559E53@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529222351.10524.00005162@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22804

In article <3B13BEDB.48559E53@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I do not recognize your qualifications to judge my whining.
>
>It doesn't matter, Larry, you agreed to stop whining if I would.
>
>> After all, you have done far more whining than I have.
>
>What, pray tell, do I have to whine about?

Cecil:

Are you saying you don't read your own posts?

73 de Larry, K3LT


From -8609340444245719348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:24:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 02:23:52 GMT
References: <20010529094401.11294.00001969@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529222352.10524.00005164@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22803

In article <20010529094401.11294.00001969@ng-mp1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
```

Robeson LPN) writes:

>
>   Sure...couldn't re-apply for thier stamps without passing 5WPM...It's a
>new
>law in Delaware... =)
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Steve:

That's not true. They can certainly apply for thier stamps without taking
a 5 WPM code test! That's the law in Delaware!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4110893535151322408
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:24:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 02:23:54 GMT
References: <3B13C139.1D682A11@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529222354.10524.00005168@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22806

In article <3B13C139.1D682A11@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> A better question is -- How long can he run the tape recorder, an HF
>> transceiver, a TNC, and a computer on a 5-7 Amp gel cell?
>
>Nope, Larry, the question is: How long can I run all that stuff on
>an 850 amp deep discharge marine battery being charged by solar power?

Cecil:

No, that's YOUR question! What's the answer to mine?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4480827895807406442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:24:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 02:23:52 GMT
References: <3B13BF5B.16E46190@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010529222352.10524.00005163@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22805

In article <3B13BF5B.16E46190@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> Perhaps not, but they certainly do whine about everything else! I was
>> just using them as an example of whiners in general.
>
>So you admit that I can "find an example of where you've abused someone
>who didn't provoke you by whining about the code testing requirements."

Cecil:

No, I would not, as a matter of fact!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4510403703675768588
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:24:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 02:23:53 GMT
References: <3B13C083.CD8A0678@IEEE.org>

```
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529222353.10524.00005167@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22807

In article <3B13C083.CD8A0678@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Good point, Dick!  Hey, Cecil -- Mr. Intel -- where's your steenking
>> digital RAM voice recorder?
>
>I'm not Mr. Intel anymore, Larry. I'm retired and no longer have
>access to free chips. If it can't be built out of my aging junk box,
>it doesn't get built.

Cecil:

O, IC.  I thought for sure the reason would have something to do
with Morse code testing requirements!

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 8541867455101724853
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:24:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 02:23:53 GMT
References: <3B13C00F.AB93238B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010529222353.10524.00005166@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22808

In article <3B13C00F.AB93238B@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Wanna bet another lobster that you CAN build a reliable mechanical
>> key?
>
>Do you think Ed is stupid enough to deliberately lose a bet?
>Are you?
```

Cecil:

If Ed is helpless enough to not be able to homebrew a reliable
mechanical CW key, he needs all the free lobster he can get!
And I dare say he ought to be better equipped to do so than
most of us!

Ed, if you need to borrow an old hacksaw blade, a block of
wood, some wood screws, washers, terminal lugs, hookup
wire, some stick-on rubber feet, a saw, an electric drill, a few
simple hand tools, and maybe some sandpaper and paint,
I'm sure your fellow local hams would be more than happy to
help you out!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1165747172951357797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:24:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 02:23:51 GMT
References: <3B13EC77.87AC9E54@uci.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010529222351.10524.00005161@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22809

In article <3B13EC77.87AC9E54@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Anyone who triggers radar detectors is fully responsible
>> for whatever negative consequences result from his actions.
>
>What if it's your 440 mobile transeiver?

Jim:

Which 440 mobile transceiver would that be? Ever since I bought my
new car, I haven't had a 440 "mobile" rig in use.

If and when I ever get another dual-band or 440 rig back in my car, and I inadvertently trigger someone's radar detector, I am not at all legally or morally responsible, since a 440 rig isn't designed for the purpose of triggering radar detectors, and there is no intent on my part to do so. People who use those "prank" microwave transmitters to trigger the radar detectors of people driving at excessive rates of speed are committing a deliberate act of reckless endangerment of the motoring public, and should be dealt with accordingly under the law.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -4579251079518154251
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:42:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <3B0F3B77.D0B27C1A@ix.netcom.com>
<ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<th7rp1c3qn9f0c@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Lines: 116
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <16ZQ6.53733$4f7.4020044@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 02:42:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991190525 12.75.103.45 (Wed, 30 May
2001 02:42:05 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 02:42:05 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22810
```

```
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:th7rp1c3qn9f0c@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Who is we kemo sabe?  About a thousand years ago, the Handbook spent a
lot
> > of ink on shaping of keying to eliminate 'key clicks' and excessive
```

> > bandwidth of CW signals. It pretty well came down to 'there ain't no
such
> > thing as square wave'.
>
> That's why they use raised cosine pulse shaping when transmitting digital
> data over long links.

Had you ever had the opportunity (not intended as slam) to take the old First Phone, you would have been introduced to a telephone line phenomenon called 'twist' which had been known since before Harry von Zell gave us "the President of the United States, the honorable Hoobert Heever". An interesting problem to be sure but not the same problem; in fact, its use makes subsequent problems worse.

>
> > We have arrived at the point where digital TV takes closer to 30 MHz
than
> 6,
>
> Well they did fit it in to 6MHz here in the US. They did it by advance
> digital video compression techonolgy, and by using all of the wasted
> spectrum in an analog video signal to carry digital information. It really
> is shocking to learn how much of a 6MHz wide analog video channel has
little
> to no energy content.

Outside of a lab, the 6MHz has turned into 30 everywhere it has been used when the various intermod products, buckshot, and assorted trash are included. The Euros have been a bit more sucessful in their 8 MHZ channels.

Kim Elliot (Communications World on VOA) a few weeks ago had an interview with one of the jolly folks testing the AM digital replacement. While he has a different problem than pure digital, it is a digitial signal under the AM one at very reduced power levels, he will admit that it takes up the AM channel and the guard channels as well. Just what this will sound like at night is anyone's guess. The digital FM guys can't keep channel width under 800 kHz in practice.

All of this is not a military secret in the analog world. If you want to wring out an audio amp it is done with first a single tone to see if the output resembles the input at all, then two tones to measure interactions, and three if you want to stress it a bit. I have never heard of a 64 tone test but it would be the ultimate trial for Hi-Fi Magazine or whatever it is called these days.

If the object of the game is to get 64 tones from A to B, at least these considerations apply:

1. The tones should be chosen such that intermod products of any two do not produce a third. Contemplate at length the freqs chosen for DTMF (Touch Tone). Not always possible but it is an alert. Equally spaced tones don't work as well as chromatic ones in music or data transmissions.

2. No transmission medium has perfect fidelity or anything near it. HF is cute because it is not the same everytime. They also snap, crackle, pop, echo, have wandering FHSS signals, and distort tones unequally.

3. Nothing can be keyed instantaneously. Speed kills. Phase-shift is probably the best in practice simply because it cannot be done instantaneously or even seriously attempted and is easily recognized -even anticipated - on the other end.

>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

Digital in large measure is CW on a grand scale; everyone agrees on a code and blasts away. Now it happens that the various variations on Morse are designed for the capability of wetware more than software -- it drives software to drink. But this is nothing new: when the Teletype machines were made to work in a clatter of moving stamped metal pieces and the odor of burning machine oil, it became readily apparent that OOK did not do it simply because software could not easily identify the zero-state. So two-tone AFSK became the order on VHF, reproduced on HF with the BFO. Incidentally, duplex and multiplex channels existed for TTY; contemplate the ir choice of tone frequencies as well. Again, coding structure is the argument more than the technology, a situation which exists in this world today and has for decades. The same arguments are current on the Internet: such issues as whether the header block should contain space for carriers to charge each other. And you get the same flap between the Purists who do not want to contaminate the pristine Internet with money and the Economists who point out that it takes money in the bank, Frank.

In the early days of personal compooters (8008, 8080 vintage) it became desirable to transfer programs on tape cassettes. The standard for doing so was hammered out at a convention and became known as the Kansas City Standard. It is this transparent standard-setting that has been the strength of the US economy since about 1880 when 4ft. 8 1/2 inches became the standard railroad guage and the clock at the station ran on mean time at the nearest (more or less) meridian divisible by 15. Only if you buy a range or dryer are cords and plugs at all a problem in the USA and that not a large one. Standards, man, standards.

Digital is not a 'Revolution' but a pretty standard evolutionary process that has been going on for years. On the ham bands, the question is -- and it is a better one than digifreaks admit -- is Good Lord, Why? The use of wetware in preference to software is somewhat the raison d etre for the whole verstunken shooting match. At the same time, anyone who has ever strung a dial cord appreciates the cost and functionality of a good PLL.

Besides, the biggest changes in Amateur radio come about when some good, hi-grade commercial junque becomes available.

Dick N�BK

```
From -4061403005249912954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:49:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Bullheaded" <@.>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<9f0mh50vsa@enews3.newsguy.com>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <bdZQ6.4705$8C5.684861@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /K+neUpkA0TlW8z4vXQ/
HOyfovHkE0BStOGRfqEM9jzBeSOY1kr0kplEHlAa8Oc1S5spDTA4ERYU!
VeHwWi3bUORPwBoEIIjQIKaFMsn+zecgxlnycFcUeExVPe9XLSGLwig5Y+z70/XL5h5K5k6XkiBg!THbO/
TI6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 02:49:43 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 02:49:43 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13108
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22811 rec.radio.shortwave:35503
```

Yawn, you've been saying that for over a month now.
You sound like a christian saying Jesus is coming soon but it's been 2000 years already.

"Roadranger" <wwfv@ellijay.com> wrote in message
news:9f0mh50vsa@enews3.newsguy.com...

> The Col is wasting his time and $$$ the FCC is fixing to move in very soon
> they [FCC] don't have a choice in this the law is the law and it will be
> enforced. I cannot think of how foolish he is the guys on the networks make
> plenty of $$$ in advertising fees. The Col will soon find when his ass is in
> the sling that NO ONE and i mean NO ONE will back him or help him with the
> serious legal fees! All he will get is lip service and patronizing comments

> and fake sadness
> Bill Meacham <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
> news:3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net...
> >
> >
> > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > >
> > > Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like they are
> > > sparse or anything.
> >
> > Kentucky ain't hardly considered The South, unless you live in Ohio.
> >
>
>

From 2096688164062017164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d2f4d61c64ea264f,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:55:27 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Radio Fun is Where Ya Find It.
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 02:55:15 GMT
Message-ID: <3b1458e2.416549539@news2.dvol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.43
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.43
X-Trace: 29 May 2001 21:50:43 -0500, 64.24.17.43
Lines: 144
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22812

Subject: Air Controller Humor
>
>
Who says pilots and controllers have no sense of humor? The following
are reported accounts of actual exchanges between airline and control
towers from around the world.
>>>>
>>>>=-
>>>>
During taxi, the crew of a US Air departure flight to Ft. Lauderdale,
made a wrong turn and came nose to nose with a United 727. The irate
ground controller (a female) lashed out at the US Air crew screaming,

"US Air 2771, where are you going? I told you to turn right on "Charlie" taxi way; you turned right on "Delta". Stop right there. I know it's difficult to tell the difference between C's and D's but get it right." Continuing her lashing to the embarrassed crew, she was now shouting hysterically, "God, you've screwed everything up; it'll take forever to sort this out. You stay right there and don't move until I tell you to. You can expect progressive taxi instructions in about a half hour and I want you to go exactly where I tell you, when I tell you, and how I tell you. You got that, US Air 2771??"
>>>>
The humbled crew responded: "Yes Ma'am".
>>>>
Naturally, the "ground control" frequency went terribly silent after the verbal bashing of US Air Flight 2771. No one wanted to engage the irate ground controller in her current state. Tension in every cockpit at LGA was running high.
>>>>
Shortly after the controller finished her admonishment of the U.S. Air crew, an unknown male pilot broke the silence and asked, "Wasn't I married to you once?"
>>>>
>>>>=-=
>>>>
The controller who was working a busy pattern told the 727 on downwind to make a three-sixty (do a complete circle, usually to provide spacing between aircraft). The pilot of the 727 complained, "Do you know it costs us two thousand dollars to make a three-sixty in this airplane? Without missing a beat the controller replied, "Roger, give me four thousand dollars worth!"
>>>>
>>>>=-=
>>>>
A DC-10 had an exceedingly long roll out after landing with his approach speed just a little too high. San Jose Tower: "American 751 heavy, turn right at the end, if able. If not able, take the Guadeloupe exit off of Highway 101 and make a right at the light to return to the airport.
>>>>
>>>>=-=
>>>>
It was a really nice day, right about dusk, and a Piper Malibu was being vectored into a long line of airliners in order to land at Kansas City. KC Approach: "Malibu three-two-Charlie, you're following a 727, one o'clock and three miles."
>>>>
Three-two-Charlie: "We've got him. We'll follow him."
>>>>
KC Approach: "Delta 105, your traffic to follow is a Malibu, eleven o'clock and three miles. Do you have that traffic?" Delta 105 (long pause and then in a thick southern drawl): "Well... I've got something down there. Can't quite tell if it's a Malibu or an Impala, though."
>>>>
>>>>=-=

>>>>
From unknown aircraft: "I'm f...ing bored!".
>>>>
Air Traffic Control: "Last aircraft transmitting, identify yourself immediately!!"
>>>>
Unknown Aircraft: "I said I was f...ing bored, I did not say I'm f...ing stupid!"
>>>>
>>>>=-=
>>>>
Tower: "Eastern 702, cleared for takeoff, contact Departure on 124.7."
>>>>
Eastern 702: "Tower, Eastern 702 switching to Departure ... by the way, after we lifted off, we saw some kind of dead animal on the far end of the runway."
>>>>
Tower: "Continental 635, cleared for takeoff, contact Departure on 124.7; did you copy the report from Eastern?"
>>>>
Continental 635: "Continental 635, cleared for takeoff roger; and yes, we copied Eastern and we've already notified our caterers."
>>>>
>>>>=-=
>>>>
O'Hare Approach Control: "United 329 Heavy, your traffic is a Fokker, one o'clock, 3 miles, eastbound."
>>>>
United 329: "Approach, I've always wanted to say this... I've got that Fokker in sight."
>>>>
>>>>=-=
>>>>
I was a Pan Am 727 Flight Engineer waiting for start clearance in Munich, Germany. I was listening to the radio since I was the junior crew member.
>>>>
This was the conversation I overheard: (I don't recall call signs any longer)
>>>>
Lufthansa: (In German) "Ground, what is our start clearance time?"
>>>>
Ground: (In English) "If you want an answer, you must speak English."
>>>>
Luft: (In English) "I am a German, flying a German airplane, in Germany. Why must I speak English?"
>>>>
Beautiful English Accent: "Because you lost the bloody war!"
>>>>
>>>>=-=
>>>>
The German air controllers at Frankfurt Airport are a short-tempered lot. They not only expect one to know one's gate parking location but

```
how to get there without any assistance from them. So it was with some
amusement that we (a PanAm 747) listened to the following exchange
between Frankfurt ground control and a British Airways 747 (call sign
"Speedbird 206") after landing.
>>>>
Speedbird 206: "Top of the morning Frankfurt, Speedbird 206 clear of
the active runway."
>>>>
Ground: "Guten morgen! You will taxi to your gate!"
>>>>
The big British Airways 747 pulled onto the main taxi way and slowed
to a stop.
>>>>
Ground: "Speedbird, do you not know where you are going?"
>>>>
Speedbird 206: "Stand by a moment ground, I'm looking up our gate
location now."
>>>>
Ground (with some arrogant impatience): "Speedbird 206, have you never
flown to Frankfurt before?!?"
>>>>
Speedbird 206 (cooly): "Yes, I have, in 1944 with an earlier Boeing.
But I didn't stop then."
>>
w3rv


From 168127394886119868
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 19:57:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!
newscon02.news.prodigy.com!newsmst01.news.prodigy.com!prodigy.com!
postmaster.news.prodigy.com!not-for-mail
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com (Av8tor)
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 02:55:43 +0000 (UTC)
Organization: www.Licensed4Fun.com
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9f1nfd$cbus$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a010-0085.dnbh.splitrock.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Trace: newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com 991191343 5992790 209.156.240.85 (30 May
2001 02:55:43 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@prodigy.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 02:55:43 +0000 (UTC)
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13110
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22813 rec.radio.shortwave:35508

Did I miss it, didn't hear anything at 10:45 PM EDT here in Florida.  What
happened, I was looking forward to hear this.
George
http://www.Licensed4Fun.com
--
------------------------------------------------------
         I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
        I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
      --> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
------------------------------------------------------



From -7849437786651095476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 20:27:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Formatting Posts (was: Re: a bit of empathy)
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 23:27:54 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3B1468A3.C99B407A@sccoast.net>
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org> <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11A5B8.EC64D80D@IEEE.org> <9esejr$rvj$1@208.207.71.155>
<3JmQ6.3450$kh4.297071@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3B123F43.6C80B46F@IEEE.org> <slrn9h4lfp.rg.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-48.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991193216 130864 207.144.64.178 (30 May 2001 03:26:56
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 03:26:56 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22815
```

Queequeg wrote:
>
> 1. Eighty characters wide is the
>   absolute limit for reader-friendly
>   text. 64 characters wide is better.

The problem is that my browser crops all outgoing text to a certain width (currently set at 70). If another person uses the exact same setting, his text is going to be broken up when I quote it in a reply (a ">" has been added, so his text is now wider than 70 characters). In fact, if there are several exchanges, with several ">" added, his text will be a total mess. The only way around this is to firmly edit quoted text. In the end, I wish browser makers would offer an option to have quoted text one width and text written by me another width.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

```
From -7518534899126145302
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 20:27:03 PST
Message-ID: <3B1467B5.368A5BEF@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:23:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B13BEDB.48559E53@IEEE.org> <20010529222351.10524.00005162@nso-
md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp 991192613 64.240.47.124 (29 May 2001 22:16:53 -0500)
Lines: 9
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
```

```
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22814
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Are you saying you don't read your own posts?

I already know what's in them. Why should I read them?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6754029371415319114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 20:29:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B1468C3.C101EB62@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:28:03 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B13C139.1D682A11@IEEE.org> <20010529222354.10524.00005168@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp 991192885 64.240.47.124 (29 May 2001 22:21:25 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22816
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B13C139.1D682A11@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

```
>
> >
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> A better question is -- How long can he run the tape recorder, an HF
> >> transceiver, a TNC, and a computer on a 5-7 Amp gel cell?
> >
> >Nope, Larry, the question is: How long can I run all that stuff on
> >an 850 amp deep discharge marine battery being charged by solar power?
>
> No, that's YOUR question!  What's the answer to mine?

The answer to yours is I wouldn't even attempt to run a system with
such a woosie battery. Why would you choose to be so ill prepared?
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5188074698129060575
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 20:31:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org> <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11A5B8.EC64D80D@IEEE.org> <9esejr$rvj$1@208.207.71.155>
<3JmQ6.3450$kh4.297071@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3B123F43.6C80B46F@IEEE.org> <slrn9h4lfp.rg.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3B1468A3.C99B407A@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Formatting Posts (was: Re: a bit of empathy)
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <xQZQ6.54001$4f7.4032416@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 03:31:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.103.45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991193501 12.75.103.45 (Wed, 30 May
2001 03:31:41 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 03:31:41 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22817
```

```
"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B1468A3.C99B407A@sccoast.net...
> Queequeg wrote:
> >
> > 1.  Eighty characters wide is the
> >     absolute limit for reader-friendly
> >     text. 64 characters wide is better.
>
>
>   The problem is that my browser crops all outgoing text to a certain
> width (currently set at 70).  If another person uses the exact same
> setting, his text is going to be broken up when I quote it in a reply
> (a ">" has been added, so his text is now wider than 70 characters).
> In fact, if there are several exchanges, with several ">" added, his
> text will be a total mess.  The only way around this is to firmly edit
> quoted text.  In the end, I wish browser makers would offer an option
> to have quoted text one width and text written by me another width.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>

Can we have a loud AMEN?




From -4938327891859361918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 20:43:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!feed1.news.rcn.net!
rcn!not-for-mail
From: K9IJ <coredump@Xnospam.enteract.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 22:42:11 -0500
Organization: Cores' Internet and Storm Door Company
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <bqq8ht8280gr9ltk98m2ui34k7dod217h7@4ax.com>
References: <20010528102349.06434.00001857@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<20010528142958.19790.00001455@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: UmFuZG9tSVZ312bhe6epINsNBXZ0ZyqYjr9KfggL8rnz1aTFanzpkgNSC3av6Ypl
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rcn.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 May 2001 03:42:12 GMT
X-Newsreader:  Forte Agent 1.8/32.548
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22818

On 28 May 2001 18:29:58 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
>
>>And further in to his comments he stated that we didn't want them to make
>>those decisions because NO ONE would be happy. No more, no less...
>
>And I agree with him wholeheartedly; such decisions imposed on the Amateur
>Radio Service would not be acceptable to many.

The real question should be.. If the amateur community can't come to a
consensus to make any changes, then why should there be changes at all ?

Just what changes is he threatening to make in leiu (sp) of consensus on
what ?

John - K9IJ

core
coredumpXnospam@enteract.com

From 5020591509292219179
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 20:43:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge4hzn5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org> <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11A5B8.EC64D80D@IEEE.org> <9esejr$rvj$1@208.207.71.155>
<3JmQ6.3450$kh4.297071@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3B123F43.6C80B46F@IEEE.org> <slrn9h4lfp.rg.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3B1468A3.C99B407A@sccoast.net> <xQZQ6.54001$4f7.4032416@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Formatting Posts (was: Re: a bit of empathy)

Lines: 21
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 03:43:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991194217 63.178.69.156 (Wed, 30 May 2001 03:43:37 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 03:43:37 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22819

On Wed, 30 May 2001 03:31:41 GMT, Richard McCollum wrote:

>>   The problem is that my browser crops all outgoing text to a certain
>> width (currently set at 70). If another person uses the exact same
>> setting, his text is going to be broken up when I quote it in a reply
>> (a ">" has been added, so his text is now wider than 70 characters).
>> In fact, if there are several exchanges, with several ">" added, his
>> text will be a total mess. The only way around this is to firmly edit
>> quoted text. In the end, I wish browser makers would offer an option
>> to have quoted text one width and text written by me another width.
>>
>
>Can we have a loud AMEN?

Why are y'all reading text with a browser? Like swatting flies with
a tennis racket?

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From -4476612330101250041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 20:53:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 03:53:13 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3b146e6d.1763352@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010528000000.22777.00001412@ng-mj1.aol.com> <20010528104356.05666.00004620@nso-fo.aol.com> <9evcml$has$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B139E90.B077382C@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.70.c1
X-Server-Date: 30 May 2001 03:53:41 GMT

X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22820

On Tue, 29 May 2001 08:05:20 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> So again I'll point out that thousands of emergencies
>> were handled by CW over the last century.
>
>How many in this century?

And further...How many so far this century?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -7965759589655126526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 20:56:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 03:55:24 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3b146ebd.1843646@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B139E90.B077382C@IEEE.org> <20010529103018.06566.00005583@nso-
fi.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.70.c1
X-Server-Date: 30 May 2001 03:55:56 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22821

On 29 May 2001 14:30:18 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3B139E90.B077382C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>>> So again I'll point out that thousands of emergencies
>>> were handled by CW over the last century.
>>
>>How many in this century?
>

```
>Cecil:
>
>This century is barely six months old, and thankfully, there have been
>very few emergencies involving the use of CW.  My guess is, fewer than
>a half dozen or so.

Even assuming that to be accurate....how many of those
half dozen involved hams.

> I think figures from the last century are much more
>relevant to the discussion.

Why?  The evidence from the past would certainly
show a much higher incident rate which can easily
be shown to be a result of the unavailability of any
other alternative (GMDSS, etc.)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

>73 de Larry, K3LT
>I'm in the 21st Century -- I don't know where Cecil is!
>



From 7513090540872688311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 20:59:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 00:00:09 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3B14702A.706AD9BA@sccoast.net>
References: <3B11245C.60311C58@sccoast.net> <20010529003638.07982.00002270@nso-
cm.aol.com> <3B134BB6.472A8386@sccoast.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge414ea.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-48.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991195148 133134 207.144.64.178 (30 May 2001 03:59:08
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 03:59:08 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22822

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> If I ever told my wife something like that,
> the thing that I would wind up wearing was
> the car as a hat...... <ggg>

Oh, you would not believe the stuff I've told my wife over the years, Phil. Now, she, of course, knew that she would not have to pay for excessive road wear because of her shopping trips. However, I have told her some really good stories over the years that have taken her months, or in a couple of cases, years, to figure out was absolute BS. At this point, whenever we discuss something, she spends almost as much time trying to figure out if I'm pulling her leg as she does thinking about what I say. It is her one weakness - she was gullible when I married her (she married me, didn't she) and she is still gullible today. Anyway, it is when she discovers that I've "done it to her again" that I have to be concerned about my potential head wear.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
(new updated web site)

From -9089060491211143765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 21:01:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 04:00:36 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3b147020.2199301@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010528103541.06434.00001859@ng-ct1.aol.com> <20010528142826.19790.00001454@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9evbln$rnf$0@208.206.142.102>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 3f.d0.70.c1
X-Server-Date: 30 May 2001 04:01:09 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22823

On 29 May 2001 05:21:59 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>W1RFI wrote:
>> If the decisions need to be made and the Amateur Radio Service cannot decide it
>> for itself, what choice would the FCC have? I think Cross told us just how we
>> can, and should, help ourselves. Do you disagree with him, or do you just not
>> like the attitude you presumed from reading his transcribed speech?
>
> In the first place such a statement from anyone at the FCC is a total
>farce, and the evidence is the restructuring. FCC did what THEY wanted
>without regard for anything said by anyone in the amateur community save
>a few NCI misfits who cannot by any stretch be considered a "consensus".

ROTFLMAO!

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
NCI BOD, member, misfit I guess :-) :-)

```
From -8307507950827337980
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 21:05:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 00:06:42 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net>
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net>
<3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-48.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991195539 133134 207.144.64.178 (30 May 2001 04:05:39
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 04:05:39 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22824
```

Richard McCollum wrote:

>
> Earthlink buy your ISP, too?

Thanks for that comment. I was considering Earthlink as a potential replacement for my ISP, but I see that isn't such a good idea.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From -9188238865382195700
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 21:05:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed.news.sonic.net!typhoon.sonic.net!not-for-mail
From: "Ron" <NoSpam@nospam.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net> <VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3B13F3BF.6BBD@mobiletel.com> <9f1ild$r81$1@208.206.142.82>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 47
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <ag_Q6.11$9k6.2377@typhoon.sonic.net>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 04:01:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.160.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sonic.net
X-Trace: typhoon.sonic.net 991195270 209.204.160.101 (Tue, 29 May 2001 21:01:10 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 29 May 2001 21:01:10 PDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13111 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22825 rec.radio.shortwave:35522

How do you know you're in a motel in Kentucky?

You call the front desk and say "I got a leak in my sink!"

.....and they guy says "OK...Go ahead".

```
[ta-bump!]

Ron

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9f1ild$r81$1@208.206.142.82...
>
>
> Martin wrote:
> >
> > Cornmash Sam wrote:
> > >
> > > "Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like
they are
> > > > > sparse or anything.
> > > >
> > > > Kentucky ain't hardly considered The South, unless you live in Ohio.
> > >
> > > Mabey I'm wrong but....
> > >
> > > 1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
> > > 2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
> > >      the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
> > >      Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
> > >      ...take yer pick boys!)
> > >
> > > Just a wonderin
> > A very divided state, my anscestors fought on both sides and it
> > was mess!  Some counties has Southern leanings and the others were Union
> > allied.
>
>
>  And it's ALL north of the Mason-Dixon line.




From -3066080444310120019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 21:28:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!news.stealth.net!
204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net> <3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 41
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <vF_Q6.52037$t12.4072227@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 04:28:11 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.51
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991196891 12.75.102.51 (Wed, 30 May 2001 04:28:11 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 04:28:11 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22826

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > Earthlink buy your ISP, too?
>
>
>   Thanks for that comment. I was considering Earthlink as a potential
> replacement for my ISP, but I see that isn't such a good idea.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

Don't ever install their software! One of the first things you will notice is that you cannot key on the link you provided to your website or any other you encounter. In the world of Earthlink, you do things one at a time so when you key on the link, it says 'program missing'. It degenerates from there. That is, of course, after the demon-dialer has called Earthlink 8 or 9 times and you get connected at 19kbs with your V.90. They have admirable service people who will listen forever but they are powerless.

I finally restarted from the boot-up CD and rebuilt everything from backup disks of some files. Not something you do for fun but it works. I went with the el cheapo ATT service, $7 unlimited which gets me LD service I don't use enough to matter. Aside from having to kick out an ad now and again it has performed flawlessly. It has burped twice since I was on it, recovered nicely and has never connected below 49kbs.

Part of an ISPs problem is that everything is sub-contracted (including

Usenet which has about three equally incompetant subcontractors) so I was going from Qwest to the defunct ISP to Cable & Wireless to South Petunia Gulch. There is something to the KISS theory.

Good Luck.

Dick N�BK

From -4687408508413528531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 21:34:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 04:34:09 GMT
References: <3B13A5C3.4E5A8A17@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010530003409.24362.00001458@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22827

In article <3B13A5C3.4E5A8A17@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >What if it was within a ham band and he called CQ on CW?
>> >
>> You previously said he would set it off to see how the other drivers would
>> react. Here's your exact words:
>
>So that means I can't post a "what if" posting later?

Nope. It's just a reminder of the boundary conditions set initially.

> Who died and
>made you r.r.a.p moderator?
>
Did I say you couldn't post? Nope.

Did he have a receiver set up to receive possible answers to his CQ? If so, then he could claim it was an amateur operation. If not, it's just blowing smoke.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1696153956154638164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,983b5cabb32252e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 22:14:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.telebeam.net!washdc3-snf1!news.gtei.net!cyclone1.ba-dsg.net!
spamfinder.gnilink.com!typhoon1.ba-dsg.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Joe" <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B13F3BF.6BBD@mobiletel.com> <9f1ild$r81$1@208.206.142.82>
<ag_Q6.11$9k6.2377@typhoon.sonic.net>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 16
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3155.0
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3155.0
Message-ID: <kl%Q6.1009$bd.149332@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 05:14:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.202.92.112
X-Complaints-To: business-support@verizon.com
X-Trace: typhoon1.ba-dsg.net 991199696 151.202.92.112 (Wed, 30 May 2001 01:14:56
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 01:14:56 EDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner:11623 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22828
rec.radio.shortwave:35531

Hey, I thought it was:
Couples get motel rooms to get it on doggy style ...to watch NASCAR
simultaneously
Or is that Tennessee

Ron wrote in message ...
>How do you know you're in a motel in Kentucky?
>
>You call the front desk and say "I got a leak in my sink!"
>
>.....and they guy says "OK...Go ahead".
>
>[ta-bump!]

```
>



From 5436964400159333296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 22:26:02 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 01:27:12 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 58
Message-ID: <3B148479.4CE24935@sccoast.net>
References: <20010528082216.19932.00000909@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010528104353.05666.00004614@nso-fo.aol.com> <9etsnu$7nv$0@208.207.71.153>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-48.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991200361 140106 207.144.64.178 (30 May 2001 05:26:01
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 05:26:01 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22829
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > Speaking for myself, I never ask what
> > anyone's license class is -- so I
> > wouldn't have been aware of her being
> > a Technician until Dwight mentioned it.
>
> Neither does anyone else, but you have
> noticed, of coursxe that they always manage
> to note their Technicianness so that they
> lay the groudnwork for a really good whine
> and lament [snip]

Oh, give me a break, both of you. We all know the license class of most people in this newsgroups. As for the regulars, we have certainly discussed license class enough and certainly some of us have visited the others' web sites and so forth. And, when it comes to new people posting, it does not take long before we figure out their license class also (even if they don't mention it). For example, I'm not the only one who has looked up a new person, who has start posting here on a regular basis, in a callsign database. And, I'm sure most of us will visit the new person's web site if we're aware of the URL. Therefore, if my wife posted more than a few messages in this newsgroup, most here would know her license class very quickly without any mention of it by her (and that includes both you and Larry).

Want further proof? Show me where I specifically said that my wife currently has a Technician license. I told a story about something that happened when she got her Technician license several years ago, but I did not say that she currently has a Technician license. Yet, both of you (Larry and yourself) are obviously aware of her current license class (both of you have made comments relating to her license class).

Want still more? You both claim it is Technicians who are the first to whine about code testing. Show me where I've said my wife is opposed to code testing. In fact, show me where I've said she has any position at all when it comes to the code testing debate. Yet, both of you have associated the anti-code testing position with her (Larry saying that she would be welcome in this newsgroup if she doesn't whine about it and you, responding to that message about my wife from Larry, ranting about Technicians whining about code testing). Both of you are whining about this particular Technician (my wife) whining about the code testing debate before she has even posted a single message in this newsgroup (or has offered even an opinion on the subject).

In other words, both of you (Larry and yourself) are so full of it, neither of you can see how absolutely absurd your comments really are. When you two are finished wallowing in the BS, wipe your eyes and look at some of the silly stuff you've written. I would be ashamed if I wrote such nonsense.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From -5441479811580190012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 22:56:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.

Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 01:57:52 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3B148B9E.8BA1040C@sccoast.net>
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com> <20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-48.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991202199 139596 207.144.64.178 (30 May 2001 05:56:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 05:56:39 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22830

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> If you can find an example of where I've abused someone who didn't provoke me by whining about the code testing requirements, or posting a message seeking some sort of public congratulations for the dubious achievement of having passed an entry-level license test, making some similar remark indicating that he/she is worthy of praise, fame, and fortune for the simple act of getting a ham radio license in their pocket, I'll gladly buy you another lobster. [snip]

Larry, you always claim it is the Technicians who are the first to whine about code testing. However, you recently said that my wife would be welcome in this newsgroup if she does not whine about code testing. Show me where I've said my wife is opposed to code testing. In fact, show me where I've said she has any position at all when it comes to the code testing debate.

Why, then, would you urge my wife not to whine about the code testing debate when she has never even posted a single message in this newsgroup or offered any position whatsoever about code testing?

The answer is simple - you jumped to the conclusion that she is opposed to code testing solely because she has a Technician license. In other words, in your mind, every Technician is opposed to code testing and it is on that basis alone that you have formed your opinion that the Technicians are always the first to whine about code testing.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From 539718608065200972
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-29 23:42:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 02:43:46 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 75
Message-ID: <3B149651.CC59E386@sccoast.net>
References: <3B135DFE.8338E93C@sccoast.net> <20010529103015.06566.00005576@nso-
fi.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-48.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991204948 145074 207.144.64.178 (30 May 2001 06:42:28
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 06:42:28 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22831
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> I'm not doing any such thing, because
> my invitation isn't required for your
> XYL to participate here.

You're not going to change a word and get out of this that easily, Larry. We were not talking about an "invitation." You said she would be "welcome in here, and won't be abused, as long as..." And I said it was big of you to "welcome" my wife into this newsgroup under your terms.

> I don't set the "terms," either.

You most certainly did set the terms for you to "welcome" her into this newsgroup and for her not to be abused by you when she does - she can offer no argument against code testing (what you call whining).

> I am not making any "demands" on her or
> anyone else, and wouldn't dream of such.

Again, you most certainly did for you to "welcome" her into this newsgroup (and not be abused).

> Where have I ever said that? It isn't
> the difference of opinion I object to, it
> is the manner in which it is expressed.
> IOW, its not what they (the no-coders) say,
> its how they say it. [snip]

Larry, you've already urged my wife not to whine about the code testing debate before she has even posted a single message in this newsgroup or offered any position whatsoever about code testing? In other words, without hearing a single comment made by her about code testing, you have already started into her about "whining." Like I said, according to you, everyone who has an opinion about code testing that is different than yours is whining and deserves to be abused.

> I have been personally blamed for everything
> from ethnic cleansing to global warming to
> the technological downfall of the ARS by my
> support of pre-Restructuring code testing
> standards. How do you justify such personal
> abuse simply for disagreeing on a license
> testing program? I have received many orders
> of magnitude more abuse than I have dished
> out here and on Fidonet, but nobody is
> keeping score on the no-coders the way they
> are on the politically incorrect pro-coders.

I've asked you this before, and I'll ask you this once again - show me where "I" have ever blamed you for any of those things. I have never held you accountable for the things that are said by other "pro-coders," so why are you holding me accountable for what other "anti-coders" may have said to you? We're not talking to "pro-coders" or "anti-coders," Larry. I'm talking to you and you're talking to me. Lets not dump that other crap on either of us.

By the way, and I've also said this before, I'm not "anti-code." I'm opposed to a code test requirement. As far as the use of code in the ham bands, I have absolutely no problem whatsoever with that. In fact, I might even play around with that mode myself one day.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From -9064213966559684329
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 00:02:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 07:01:49 GMT
References: <20010529222352.10524.00005164@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010530030149.11450.00002108@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22832

>Subject: Re: Puke.
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/29/01 9:23 PM Central Daylight Time

>That's not true. They can certainly apply for thier stamps without taking
>a 5 WPM code test! That's the law in Delaware!

I guess pointing out that I was being sarcastic would be useless at this point....?!?!

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From -2487849711179054936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 01:20:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 30 May 2001 08:11:03 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 23

```
Message-ID: <9f29un$m0p$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org>
<9eugpg$jaf$1@news.hawaii.edu> <QRNQ6.3624$rn5.196896@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991210263 22553 128.171.44.55 (30 May 2001 08:11:03 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 May 2001 08:11:03 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22833

K0HB  wrote:
>Jeff wrote:
>>CAM wrote:

>>>You need to add the peripherals to operate CW, Jeff. So what? You can't
>>>do CW "out of the box" either.

>>I certainly can. When the function switch on all my transmitters
>>is in the TUNE mode, a carrier is emitted; by turning that switch
>>between TUNE and STANDBY I can send a Morse-encoded transmission.

>I don't know of any modern radio which has a rotary Tune/Standby switch,
>so Cecil is right.  You can't do CW "out of the box".

Recall from Logic 101 that to prove a proposition is false,
all that is needed is to provide a single example in which
the proposition fails, and that's sufficient to completely
nullify the entire proposition.

Cecil's original proposition made no mention of "modern radio";
appending that creates a brand new proposition.

KH6O


From -3256636986486565006
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 02:12:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 09:12:00 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge4cgb1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010530051200.02661.00001784@ng-fa1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22834
```

>It's not all that simple, is it?

Never is. Looks like we're goin' "external." Thanks Phil.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -3775474138573223803
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 03:55:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 10:54:50 GMT
References: <3B148479.4CE24935@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010530065450.10190.00001795@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22835

>Dick Carroll wrote:

> Neither does anyone else, but you have
> noticed, of coursxe that they always manage
> to note their Technicianness so that they
> lay the groudnwork for a really good whine
> and lament ...

And you think that Technicians should tell us they are Extras? I think it is a darn shame that some older hams think that Technicians have to keep their license classes a secret.

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4250810497454385082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 03:58:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 10:57:54 GMT
References: <9f1479$dd6$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010530065754.10190.00001796@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22836

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>Ed, that "4-page" comment is a bit deceiving because of the content
>(and tiny print) within those 4 pages. Why not, once and for all,
>scan those 4 pages onto a web site so everyone could see what was
>expected of that era Novice?

I have posted them here twice, so it would thrice and for all. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3273270598074684395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:02:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:01:58 GMT
References: <3b13b79e.375268616@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010530070158.10190.00001797@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22837

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>Yeah, but if you guys don't get yer paychecks the actions and programs
>won't happen. Heh.

Sure they would, just a lot less of them. I would do what I do for free, but doing it just on weekends wouldn't cut it, and on those sunny days in June, ARRL HQ would be pretty empty.

>There are people out there like that, there are also more people out there who

don't
>have any Internet access than there are people in this country who do
>have Internet access. Box. Get out.

ARRL's surveys of hams indicate that a large majority have internet web access.

>Asking an engineer about marketing has been demonstrated many times
>over to be waste of time. And worse. The ARRL seems to be doing that, ...

Trust me, my asking you about this on rrap is not the extent of it. :-) Is
this your way of telling you that you don't know either?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5631379242109928828
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:04:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:04:08 GMT
References: <9f0d9a$3fb$1@208.206.142.109>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Message-ID: <20010530070408.10190.00001799@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22838

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> I thought the FCC was suppsoed to have DF gear that could narrow it
>down to which chair in the house the operator was sitting in.

If I recall correctly, the FCC DFing site can get it down to a 3X5 mile grid.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3991400470924123891
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:06:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:05:58 GMT
References: <bdZQ6.4705$8C5.684861@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Message-ID: <20010530070558.10190.00001800@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22839

>From: "Bullheaded" @.

>Yawn, you've been saying that for over a month now.
>You sound like a christian saying Jesus is coming soon but it's been 2000
>years already.

I will gladly wager one of my infamous lobster dinners that it doesn't take 2000 years for the FCC to bust the guy. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1110296674121333168
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:08:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:07:55 GMT
References: <th83csqbk30p31@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Message-ID: <20010530070755.10190.00001801@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22840

>From: nada@na.da (redrum)

>How the hell does he expect to put out 5kw without the FCC noticing?

From what I gather, the FCC has noticed 800 watts, too. What is a bit puzzling is why it is taking them so long. :-) Must be bigger fish to fry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1694056942291325554
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:11:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:11:28 GMT
References: <3B149651.CC59E386@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010530071128.10190.00001803@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22841

>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>You're not going to change a word and get out of this that easily,
>Larry. We were not talking about an "invitation." You said she would
>be "welcome in here, and won't be abused, as long as..." And I said
>it was big of you to "welcome" my wife into this newsgroup under your terms.

That's okay; he tried to convince me that he only abuses people when "provoked." Of course, he defines what was enough to provoke him that he lashes out at everyone around him in the process of responding. But it is okay because she made him beat her...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8979610564220268516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:12:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:12:20 GMT
References: <20010529222353.10524.00005167@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010530071220.10190.00001804@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22842

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>O, IC. I thought for sure the reason would have something to do
>with Morse code testing requirements!

I think Cecil does not view the entire universe through that filter, Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8763461305692215900
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:14:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:14:12 GMT
References: <20010529222354.10524.00005168@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010530071412.10190.00001805@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22843

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Nope, Larry, the question is: How long can I run all that stuff on
>>an 850 amp deep discharge marine battery being charged by solar power?

>No, that's YOUR question! What's the answer to mine?

Don't you think that you can better answer a question about your station than can Cecil? It appears to me that he answered the question based on his emergency capability -- an 850 aH battery, tape recorders and a bevy of secretaries.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 5552347621563027l0
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:16:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:15:58 GMT
References: <20010529103016.06566.00005580@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010530071558.10190.00001806@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22844

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

> they did need to learn the Morse code if they were expected to employ it with
> reasonable proficiency.  And, since it was a primary mode in those days, the
>need to know the code was pretty important.  Am I getting warm?

Close, Larry, close. :-)  And, if my reading of history serves, it was at first
the ONLY mode (via spark) available at the time.  When it was the only mode, a
5 wpm test served for the Amateur Radio Service.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From -1087927019655464096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:19:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:19:24 GMT
References: <20010529103018.06566.00005584@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
```

Message-ID: <20010530071925.10190.00001807@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22845

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>You are right, they are not different. I can build a TNC but I am not
>capable
>>of building a reliable mechnical key.

>Wanna bet another lobster that you CAN build a reliable mechanical
>key?

You are right, with considerable difficulty, I could probably build a reliable mechanical key. But the key I built would not be as good as the commercial keys I could buy. OTOH, I am confident I could build a TNC as good as the commercial jobs. Of course, in either case, I would prefer to buy one.

> I have a friend who builds keys as a hobby --
>and has several designs that can be built by anyone with a reasonable
>selection of hand tools, some common woodworking tools, and much
>less than $10 worth of materials. Would you like his e-mail address?

Yes, I would; I want to talk him into doing a QST article.:-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4916462424486365467
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:20:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:20:20 GMT
References: <3B13C00F.AB93238B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010530072020.10190.00001808@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22846

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Do you think Ed is stupid enough to deliberately lose a bet?

Well, he did try to convince me that he had planned to buy me a lobster if I had said what he was sure I would say. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1398763292127441316
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:28:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 57
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:28:19 GMT
References: <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010530072819.10190.00001809@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22847
```

```
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> The additional benefit we gain with a software defined radio is the ability
>> to operate almost any mode, old or new, with little to no extra investment
>> in special hardware to use that mode. For example you want to operate CW,
>> just load the narrow CW filter and keyer code, or operate any of the H.F.
>or
>> VHF digital modes by just loading the modem software in to the rig. I think
>> you get the idea. So long as the rig has the DSP CPU horse power they will
>> be like our desk top computers, where what we do with them is defined
>mostly
>> by the software we load in to them.

> And in answer to all that, "SO WHAT??" So you choose to turn a radio
>into a computer when that vastly complicates the process. So now only
>those with computer science skills will be able to build a ham radio
>set, and thus no technical knowledge nor skill will be necessary nor
>required for ham radio licensing. Right.
```

I think nearly every commerical amateur radio transceiver sold for the past 10+ years has contained a microprocessor, Dick. Many of them now contain internal DSP to create or demodulate the signals. I hate to tell you, but radios were turned into computer quite some time ago. So were toasters. You gotta' get out more, man.

As to your claim that hams will be able to build sophisticated SDRs without technical knowledge is entirely without merit. One will still need to understand construction techniques and understand more, not less, technology than it takes to build a "classic" ham radio project.   If it is so easy that one can do it without technical knoweledge, whip up a DSP-10 any time in the next month and I will buy you a lobster.

The whole premise from there is that the functionality of the radio can be programmed. This is an excellent opportunity for hams to do the technological development of this millenium -- software. Nothing should stop hams from building "classic" ham radio projects, Dick, but those who are pursuing SDR within Amateur Radio are doing what hams have done for decades -- experimenting for themselves with the technology of the day.

I am glad some are doing it, because if all hams did was experiment with the classics, we would soon lose complete track of the technology of the day. I cannot see how that could be good for Amateur Radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 1434481953931394394
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:32:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:32:39 GMT
References: <9f1hrq$pok$0@208.206.142.82>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010530073239.10190.00001810@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22848

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>. I have no problem with complex gear, but I have a real problem with forcing
> hams to adopt provides little or *no* REAL everyday benefit.

In what way have you been forced to do anything, and by whom?

As to the benefit, the maturation of DSP and SDR will bring a really exciting era into radio in general, including Amateur Radio. What technological advancement has not done so to date? And this one doesn't even come with unwanted side effects; you can continue to do exactly what you want within ham radio, and none should look down on you for doing so.

>Show some real benefit, and we'll always be willing to take a look.

The real visionaries in ham radio have been able to see benefit all for themselves.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1392635461630383147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:37:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:37:03 GMT
References: <3b13bbac.376306700@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010530073703.10190.00001811@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22849

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>How do ya "plan" for modes which don't exist in ham
>radio?

By expecting that some hams will create new modes and that those modes will have a positive impace on ham radio.

>Until some
>technical guru or gurus finally get off their keyboards and into their
>soldering irons and get the stuff on the air this whole discussion
>about "digitizing" ham radio is a complete waste of bandwidth.

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/sdr.html

```
http://www.tapr.org

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 8931580521663658918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:39:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:39:29 GMT
References: <PbSQ6.4167$rn5.217072@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010530073929.10190.00001812@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22850

>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net

>At the present time only 2 areas of ham radio seem to be "pushing the state
>of
>the art of radio" -- those two areas are satellites and radiosports
>(contesting).  They seem to be the two leading environments where "squeezing
>out
>some more performance" is pushing the equipment and station design
>engineering-minded types forward.

The creation of PSK-31 certainly advanced the state of the art within Amateur
Radio.

Some of the work being done by Larkin et al with the DSP-10 has pushed the
state of the art -- who woulda' believed a 2-way QRP moonbounce contact?  Now
that TAPR is kitting the DSP-10 (expected delivery in July), I think we will
see some exciting new things pop out of the Amateur Radio woodwork.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -494559542800054787
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:44:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
```

```
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:44:33 GMT
References: <3B13F44F.C0254FF4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010530074433.10190.00001813@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22851

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Where was Spread Spectrum when we needed it? :-)

I don't think it would work well for TV, Cecil. Right now, I can envision at
least a 100 dB difference between the weakest usable signal and the strongest
signal one can expect if one lives near a broadcast transmitter.  If one
assumes a 6 MHz baseband bandwidth, one would need 100 dB of process gain, and
that simply is not feasible, IMHO.  SS works very well when power and signal
levels can be carefully controlled, but I can't see it working for broadcast
TV.  It might be made to work inside a cable, though; I don't know enough about
it to be able to analyze it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 4197577756652737740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:47:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:47:20 GMT
References: <9f0a7e$qk6$0@208.206.142.109>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: HHhhroRe: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010530074720.10190.00001814@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22852

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> > It would be real tempting to just write you off as a cloistered,
>> >clueless fool if one didn't know better.
```

>> >Oh I noticed one of your ARRL
>> >staffers writes a colymn for PopComm.

>> And that would be who?

> Name at the top of "The Ham Column" on the issue before me is "Kirk
>Kleinschmidt NT0Z, American Radio Relay League HQ"

Kirk has not been an ARRL HQ employee for about 5 years, if memory serves.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7305868573694214581
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:50:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:50:03 GMT
References: <9f0bu7$1dg$0@208.206.142.109>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010530075003.10190.00001815@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22853

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>All that statement was had to be the setup for "we told you so" after
>they go ahead and do whatever they want anyway.

They wouldn't be able to that if we could stop our petty bickering and develop
a concensus. The Commission encourages industry to develop concensus, too.
The key difference is that they do -- various standards bodies have managed to
hammer out standards that prevent the need for regulation. In spite of deep
differences, with financial incentive behind them -- they do develop standards
because they know that they are better off with them than without them.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7945795991925474490
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:51:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:51:24 GMT
References: <9f0aqd$rd9$1@208.206.142.109>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
Message-ID: <20010530075124.10190.00001817@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22854

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Could it be that Federal law and FCC rules clash on this point??

???

Both the cordless phones and Amateur Radio are governed by FCC rules.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -4993215931521373925
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:54:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:53:49 GMT
References: <3kRQ6.52715$4f7.3935461@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
Message-ID: <20010530075349.10190.00001818@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22855

>From: "JAMES HAMPTON" j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net

>Well, this is going to get more interesting.  Someone had provided a URL to
>an article (I believe on the QRZ website) where one of the wireless devices
>complained about 'interference' by a licensed amateur (ATV I believe).
```

>Turns out the ham was protected whereas the wireless device wasn't.

Correct; the wireless ISP involved was Darwin Networks. Jim Haynie contacted the FCC and Riley sent them a letter, asking them to explain how they intended to address the harmful interference to the Amateur Radio Service.

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2001/02/15/2/

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2034645529785753744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 04:59:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 11:58:57 GMT
References: <3kRQ6.52715$4f7.3935461@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
Message-ID: <20010530075857.10190.00001819@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22856

>From: "JAMES HAMPTON" j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net

> I think we are going to have to generate more interest
>for our microwave bands - soon. We might find the 10 meter incursions by
>truckers just might be minor as to what may be in store for some of our
>higher bands.

Most of these 2.4 GHz and 900-MHz devices are legally FCC certificated, so they have every legal right to exist, subject to the provision that they not cause harmful interference to radio services. If there is no one on the band, there is no harmful interference. Even if there is a ham listening, merely hearing a Part 15 device does not meet the level of harmful interference as described in the rules.

If we don't use 'em, we may not lose them because Part 15 devices may actually offer us some protection against further spectrum challenges, but, in practice, that non-interference clause can be impossible to enforce. The FCC did the right thing wrt Darwin Networks, but it remains to be seen how they will act in the long run. And what does the ham who lives on the hill do when he or she can hear a 20 dB increase in noise on the Part 15 part of the band from the aggregate of devices in the valley below?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8280788895061257405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 05:04:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 12:03:59 GMT
References: <9f16fo$fml$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010530080359.10190.00001822@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22857

From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>CAM wrote:

>>Ed, did you realize that your newsreader is messing up the attributions?

>I noticed that, too, and the reason is due to him inserting "From"
>at the beginning of the attribution line;

Using AOL, I have been doing that all by hand. I didn't think that it would not be clear if the first line said:

From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

instead of:

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

If it is causing confusion, I will change my practices.

Man, I hate Agony on Line, but my wife insists we keep it and I can't see having two ISPs. I realize I could use a separate newsreader, but this is really all I use AOL for, so I make do.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

look at what happens when
>that's done:

```
>From
>
>You see that the newsreader software inserted a ">"  -- I
>did not put in. That glitch is causing an added ">" quotation
>symbol. If Ed can eliminate the word "From" in the attribution
>line, the problem will go away.
>
>73, KH6O
>
>
>
>
>
>
>




From 5413473272914853982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 05:05:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 12:05:24 GMT
References: <9f0bdp$rd9$3@208.206.142.109>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010530080524.10190.00001823@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22858

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> No problem-we can touch two wires together and key the rig. What are
>you gloing to do without a microphone?

If the NCTA folk were still keeping the List of Reasons to Keep the Code they
would now add that aliens might land and take your key but leave your rig and
two wires to touch together. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI



From -8004046003845517894
```

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 05:07:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 12:07:43 GMT
References: <9f14fq$dri$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010530080743.10190.00001824@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22859
```

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>>I can build a TNC but I am not capable of building a reliable mechnical
>>key.

>Oh, Ed!!! You can make a workable handkey out of a hacksaw blade!!

Yes, I have already ceded the point. But I can't build a reliable mechanical
key that works as well as one I can buy. How many hams use that old hacksaw
blade as their main keyer? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3649637958512666669
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 05:39:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-
post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 08:38:54 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <th9qevile5kga3@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <3B0F3B77.D0B27C1A@ix.netcom.com>
<ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<th7rp1c3qn9f0c@corp.supernews.com> <16ZQ6.53733$4f7.4020044@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 40
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22860

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:16ZQ6.53733$4f7.4020044@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Had you ever had the opportunity (not intended as slam) to take the old
> First Phone, you would have been introduced to a telephone line phenomenon
> called 'twist' which had been known since before Harry von Zell gave us
"the
> President of the United States, the honorable Hoobert Heever". An
> interesting problem to be sure but not the same problem; in fact, its use
> makes subsequent problems worse.

If your talking about where the phone company periodically transposed the wire lines along a given route, then yes I have read about it. It was done because the phone lines share space with the power lines on the utility pole. If I remember reading right it was done to reduce power line hum pick up. By swapping the lines around at a predetermined interval the phone lines remained balanced relative to the power lines and ground.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

From 3068104050506004799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 05:44:30 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: alanc_183@yahoo.com (Alan Castillo)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Date: 30 May 2001 05:44:29 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 51
Message-ID: <acf82f0b.0105300444.3ba3d65c@posting.google.com>
References: <20010528134001.08137.00001644@ng-cr1.aol.com>
<20010528221714.25412.00001790@ng-ck1.news.cs.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge40wf9.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge4cgb1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.34.244.118
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 991226670 16648 127.0.0.1 (30 May 2001 12:44:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 May 2001 12:44:30 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22861

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.ge4cgb1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>...
Hi Bert:

Perhaps it is that simple. If this looks good to you, consider this my "going away" present. I was going through some reviews on eHam and I found a reference to this website.

http://www.geocities.com/n2ffl/htx10.html

On here is a CW mod for the Radio Shack HTX-10. Get this...it's all outside the radio and is constructed in an Altoids tin. The assembly then connects to the radio via...ready for this?...the mic connector. It's for the HTX-10, but I'm sure it's readily adaptable for your purposes. As they say in London, "get cracking!"

As for the legality, you be the judge. Don't forget to include the "common sense" factor. Look, if you dig hard enough, you'll find a sub-article this or a paragraph xx.that, etc...that's not kosher. Stop and consider what you're doing. Practicing MORSE CODE within the legal power limits on a frequency that you've already shown to have had devices legally marketed for that purpose. Is this not actually refreshing in a band where illegal amplifiers and lid activity are all too prevalent? I'd think if ANY FCC agent were really dim-witted enough to hassle you over this, more than a few licensed amateurs might actually come to your aid. (I can think of two off the top of my head.) I dropped you an e-mail re. my address in HC land. If I have access to a terminal, I'll post again. Internet cafes are the norm in Guayaquil. Bye.

73 de Alan
GYE, Ecuador

> On 29 May 2001 02:17:14 GMT, Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >I need to give the oscillator wiring some thought here. I'd wanted to
> >avoid actually modifying the radio (Although my GROL allows me to do
> >so legally as long as I don't alter the transmitter to exceed FCC
> >limits.) itself.
>
> You DO know the difference between the two classes of permissive
> modifications which do not require re-authorization and the major
> modification which requires formal re-authorization, don't you?
>
> Adding tone capability to a transmitter not previously certified
> with that capability will change the authorized emission designator
> (adds A2A to A3E) and thus requires re-certification as a major
> modification. Even permissive modifications require notification to
> and approval by the Commission under most circumstances if made by
> someone other than the original grantee.
>
> It's not all that simple, is it? See Section 2.1043 of the Rules.

From 1964136740095840872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 06:17:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 09:17:27 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <th9snll9femb3e@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>
<th7b1nmafr1920@corp.supernews.com> <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109>
<th7pf4mbnib251@corp.supernews.com> <9f1hrq$pok$0@208.206.142.82>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 79
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22862

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9f1hrq$pok$0@208.206.142.82...
> BS, Leland, you do it just because you think you can figure out how to
> do it, and no doubt you can.

I don't think it's B.S. at all Dick. I will agree that not every one is up to the task, same goes for building a current design rig too. Everybody comes in to the hobby with different skills, and some are better at them than others. But then again certain skills don't warrant the attention they get, nor the endless debates either. As the hobby grows, ages, particular skills and technologies come and go, or increase or decrease, in relative importance. We should not make a big deal out of it because it is the natural way the world works, things change.

> So, go ahead- but you might as well get used to the idea that there are
> a whole lot of people who aren't so thrilled as you about the
> UNNECESSITY of it.

This reminds me about a bit of Ham history where SSB was coming in to use and displacing AM. I think the exact same comments were made back then too. After all AM worked just fine and sounded great, no "duck talk" as SSB was refered to at that time. I would agree with you that we should not chage things just to change them, but only if it makes sense. But we will not know that until we at least try it first.

> I have noproblem with simple gear. I have no problem with complex gear,
> but I have a real problem with forcing hams to adopt provides little or
> *no* REAL everyday benefit.

In your case that may in fact be true. However don't assume that the majority will not experience big benefits. For example I would just love to see the manufactures include digital DSP voice control to my mobile rig. The new all band do everything micro wonder boxes are a pain to use mobile with several menus to work through to do simple everyday things. I would like to simply speak in to the rig's mic and tell it I want to scan lets say the SSB section of the 6m band. Right now I have to screw around with several menus and 4 or 5 button presses to do it at a minimum. That would be a benefit to me right now, less distraction messing with the rig while driving.

> I see this in exactly the same light as High Definition TV. I don't see
> anything that excites me at all,nor do I see any way that will change.

The FCC did something similar with the beginning of FM broadcasting years ago too. Now you hear from some of the AM broadcasters that they can't compete with FM broadcasters.
As far as the High Definition TV goes it looks much better to me than the old NTSC (Never The Same Color) system currently in use. I will wait until the price drops before getting one however.

> Show some real benefit, and we'll always be willing to take a look.
> Complicating ham radio such that it acts like a Windoze computer with
> all its posterior pains won't make the grade. And I'd wager there are
> more who see it my way than yours.

I think it's a bet you would lose, so just hang on to your money Dick. 8->>

--
--

73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

From -4831627365620800813
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 06:30:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 09:29:57 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <th9tepqo7t1v70@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com>
<3b13bbac.376306700@news2.dvol.com> <th7qsf7bqbps39@corp.supernews.com>
<PbSQ6.4167$rn5.217072@www.newsranger.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 30
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22863

"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:PbSQ6.4167$rn5.217072@www.newsranger.com...
> On Tue, 29 May 2001 14:33:46 -0400, Leland C. Scott says:
>
> > If I remember from your prior posts about the only thing you care
> > about is contesting, advancing the hobby does not seem to fit your
> > view of things.

>
> At the present time only 2 areas of ham radio seem to be "pushing the
state of
> the art of radio" --

I think it's more like "driving the state of the art" myself. So the
contesters demand more performance then the engineering types at the major
manufactures give it to them. So if the increased performance uses DSP,
which seems to be the case, then that's what they get in the next version of
their rice box rigs. Just look at the new FT-1000 Mark V for an example.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

From -2935372580122233872
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 06:39:18 PST
Message-ID: <3B14F7A1.D57374E@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 08:37:37 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3B123B70.31FC6925@IEEE.org> <06sQ6.48479$t12.3764063@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp 991229459 64.240.47.120 (30 May 2001 08:30:59 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or

```
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22864

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
> news:3B123B70.31FC6925@IEEE.org...
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > I cannot agree with what you have encountered but I sure as hell
> understand
> > > it.
> >
> > If the no-code Techs would only adopt the Stockholm Syndrome, all would
> > be well within ham radio.
>
> You got me snowed.

The Stockholm Syndrome is "an emotional attachment to a captor formed by
a hostage as a result of continuous stress, dependence, and a need to
cooperate for survival." If the no-code Techs would only react that way,
it would make all the old-fart hams happy.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -3879237052484220761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 06:43:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B14F8B3.41710D34@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 08:42:11 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B148B9E.8BA1040C@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
```

```
X-Trace: corp 991229732 64.240.47.120 (30 May 2001 08:35:32 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22865

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   The answer is simple - you jumped to the conclusion that she is
> opposed to code testing solely because she has a Technician license.
> In other words, in your mind, every Technician is opposed to code
> testing and it is on that basis alone that you have formed your
> opinion that the Technicians are always the first to whine about code testing.

Larry uses the ARS licensing system as his own personal caste system.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 491137536955973352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 06:44:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <3B0F3B77.D0B27C1A@ix.netcom.com>
<ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<th7rp1c3qn9f0c@corp.supernews.com> <16ZQ6.53733$4f7.4020044@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <th9qevile5kga3@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <FO6R6.5192$rn5.257350@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 09:44:05 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
```

Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 13:44:05 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22866

On Wed, 30 May 2001 08:38:54 -0400, Leland C. Scott says:

>If your talking about where the phone company periodically transposed the
>wire lines along a given route, then yes I have read about it.

Get a scope and examine the output of your phone when sending various DTMF tones. Then you'll understand 'twist'.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From 2870879603043883732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 06:51:21 PST
Message-ID: <3B14FA75.CB801282@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 08:49:41 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net> <3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp 991230183 64.240.47.120 (30 May 2001 08:43:03 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22867

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Thanks for that comment. I was considering Earthlink as a potential
> replacement for my ISP, but I see that isn't such a good idea.

I had a near-perfect ISP, IBM.net. AT&T acquired IBM.net and service went straight down the tubes. I switched to Mindpring which wasn't as good as IBM.net but was acceptable. Earthlink acquired Mindspring and performance went down the tubes. It appears that when an ISP gets overloaded, it acquires a new ISP and immediately overloads it by offloading some of the previous overload.

If the unreliability of an ISP is 20%, signing up for two ISPs cuts the unreliability down toward a minimum of 4%. That's what I have done - signed up for two ISPs each $10 per month.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5765592898634766100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 06:58:28 PST
Message-ID: <3B14FC1E.C49456BB@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 08:56:46 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B12BC85.70AB8DDD@IEEE.org> <9eugpg$jaf$1@news.hawaii.edu> <QRNQ6.3624$rn5.196896@www.newsranger.com> <9f29un$m0p$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp 991230607 64.240.47.120 (30 May 2001 08:50:07 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED

Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-
out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22868

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Cecil's original proposition made no mention of "modern radio";
> appending that creates a brand new proposition.

"Out of the box" implies a new (modern) radio, Jeff. Sorry, you lose.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6792320905170798649
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 07:00:10 PST
Message-ID: <3B14FC86.8F24657C@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 08:58:30 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010529222353.10524.00005167@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010530071220.10190.00001804@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp 991230710 64.240.47.120 (30 May 2001 08:51:50 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22869

W1RFI wrote:

>
> From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >O, IC. I thought for sure the reason would have something to do
> >with Morse code testing requirements!
>
> I think Cecil does not view the entire universe through that filter, Larry.

I'm personally satisfied with the present system.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -673766977389031480
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 07:01:27 PST
Message-ID: <3B14FCD7.1B848E61@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 08:59:51 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B11CF0D.E34BEE8B@IEEE.org> <20010528083734.19932.00000915@ng-mb1.aol.com> <3B126539.B1844887@IEEE.org> <9evd5u$hrn$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B13A3F9.15FCF026@IEEE.org> <9f0bdp$rd9$3@208.206.142.109>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp 991230792 64.240.47.120 (30 May 2001 08:53:12 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22870

Dick Carroll wrote:
>

> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > > What if the tape breaks?
> >
> > What if the aliens land and take your code key away?
>
> No problem-we can touch two wires together and key the rig. What are
> you gloing to do without a microphone?

I can build a microphone out of pencil lead and aluminum foil, Dick.
Can't you?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5501580013678764274
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 07:03:11 PST
Message-ID: <3B14FD37.19D33411@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 09:01:27 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <20010529222354.10524.00005168@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010530071412.10190.00001805@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp 991230888 64.240.47.120 (30 May 2001 08:54:48 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22871
```

W1RFI wrote:
> Don't you think that you can better answer a question about your station than
> can Cecil? It appears to me that he answered the question based on his
> emergency capability -- an 850 aH battery, tape recorders and a bevy of
> secretaries.

Slight corrections: It's an 850 amp battery - I don't know the aH rating.
As for the bevy - I can only dream.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From -6399866702499779794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 07:05:56 PST
Message-ID: <3B14FDE5.70597BBA@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 09:04:21 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
References: <3B13A5C3.4E5A8A17@IEEE.org> <20010530003409.24362.00001458@nso-
fj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.120
X-Trace: corp 991231063 64.240.47.120 (30 May 2001 08:57:43 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22872
```

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >So that means I can't post a "what if" posting later?

>
> Nope. It's just a reminder of the boundary conditions set initially.

But, Jim, a "what if" statement is specifically designed to explore
possibilities outside the initial boundary conditions.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8481684697409326807
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:14:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: 31 May 2001 02:14:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <9f49db$qco$0@208.207.71.158>
References: <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109> <20010530072819.10190.00001809@ng-
fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.158
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22924

W1RFI wrote:
>
>
> I think nearly every commerical amateur radio transceiver sold for the past 10+
> years has contained a microprocessor, Dick.

Sure, a dedicated microprocessor to control the frequency generating
and other functions. Works quite well, too.

Many of them now contain internal
> DSP to create or demodulate the signals. I hate to tell you, but radios were
> turned into computer quite some time ago.

Naw! Just specific functions which lend themselves particularly to
digital processing. No doube there are better rendidtions of DSP than
I've owned, but so far I haven't use a single one which I consider worth
what it cost. The DSP on my IC756 never gets turned on anymore.

>
> As to your claim that hams will be able to build sophisticated SDRs without
> technical knowledge is entirely without merit.

If it had been my claim, it would be without merit. It was not. It was
the ormal progression ot what we've already seen so much of from some of
you-that hams don't do their own design and building anymore, so why
should they have to learn all that technical stuff?

One will still need to
> understand construction techniques and understand more, not less, technology
> than it takes to build a "classic" ham radio project.

You can head it up right there, then. Hams aren't learning enough with
the question pools to even get started.

> The whole premise from there is that the functionality of the radio can be
> programmed. This is an excellent opportunity for hams to do the technological
> development of this millenium -- software.

As to how well it does all the functions now performed so well by
analog circuitry, I'll believe it's really better when I see it. Maybe
someday...

From 1567952106147632292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:15:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 31 May 2001 02:14:56 GMT
References: <9f48nh$obc$0@208.207.71.158>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010530221456.24235.00001633@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22925

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>What they should keep to themselves is all the you-must-be-an-
>a$$hole-since-you-passed-a-code-test nonsense .

I absolutely agree; those that passed a code test had no choice but to meet the requirements of the day, whether they passed a 5 wpm code test in the 1910s or a 5 wpm test in the 2000s. Some of those that believe that the Morse code test should be eliminated have passed a code test, or intend to; others are not, and will probably wait the few to several years it will take to see any changes in the US regs.

The latter are going to miss out on a lot of HF fun.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8742411982976596129
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:18:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 02:18:10 GMT
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105301903470.12787-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
Message-ID: <20010530221810.24235.00001635@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22926

From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org

>What is "certificated"? How is that different from certified?

Certificated is the word in the FCC regs. Certified is not. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8536614781742289745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:19:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B148479.4CE24935@sccoast.net> <20010530065450.10190.00001795@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <9f48nh$obc$0@208.207.71.158>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 37
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <4ThR6.4384$S2.265297@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 02:19:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.112.72
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991275584 64.157.112.72 (Wed, 30 May
2001 19:19:44 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 19:19:44 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 19:17:46 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22927

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9f48nh$obc$0@208.207.71.158...
>
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > >  Neither does anyone else, but you have
> > > noticed, of coursxe that they always manage
> > > to note their Technicianness so that they
> > > lay the groudnwork for a really good whine
> > > and lament ...
> >
> > And you think that Technicians should tell us they are Extras?  I think
it is a
> > darn shame that some older hams think that Technicians have to keep
their
> > license classes a secret.
>
```

>
> What they should keep to themselves is all the you-must-be-an-
> a$$hole-since-you-passed-a-code-test nonsense .
>

Forgive me, Dick. It is my impression (and I could be wrong) that the
offenses expressed as you represent them above have probably not been in
disrespect to the person because of their "passing CW tests" [paraphrased],
but is more quite defiant against the "kiss my feet because I did" attitude.

I dare say that if any non-muddy water can be found these days, you'd not
find a single person who would ever deny someone else their pride in
achieving a CW test passing score.

Kim W5TIT

```
From 6390221439511801272
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:21:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-
sjo4.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Cotton Picker" <cotton@picka.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
<9erv1l$p3u$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B118B9A.48AFADA@IEEE.org>
<9eucu0$eij$1@news.hawaii.edu> <9f3vnm$gh4$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Keywords: World
 X-No-archive: yes
Lines: 18
Organization: X-No-archive: yes
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <1VhR6.15776$lP5.8283870@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 02:21:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 991275709 24.180.184.171 (Wed, 30 May 2001
19:21:49 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 19:21:49 PDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22928
```

Jeffery, That should just about end this thread

```
--

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:9f3vnm$gh4$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Sec. 95.631  Emission types.
>     (c) A CB transmitter may transmit only emission types A1D, H1D, J1D,
> R1D, A3E, H3E, J3E, R3E. A non-voice emission is limited to selective
> calling or tone-operated squelch tones to establish or continue voice
> communications. See Sec. 95.412 (b) and (c).
>     (e) No GMRS or CB transmitter shall employ a digital modulation or
> emission.
>     (f) No GMRS, CB or R/C transmitter shall transmit non-voice data.
>
> KH6O




From 3123458799996730048
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:22:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 02:21:47 GMT
References: <3b15343c.472699342@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Message-ID: <20010530222147.24235.00001637@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22929

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com  (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>In the end we'll wind up with some bits and pieces of the freqs above
>144mHz but not many. I think that what I'm seeing is ham radio being
>driven down into the HF spectrum where it came from.

I think that those "Evil Devices," the Part 15 emitters, may protect us.  I
don't think any Service is eying the upper half of the 2.4 GHz band nowadays.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 1590683957107927754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:22:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B149651.CC59E386@sccoast.net> <20010530071128.10190.00001803@ng-
fl1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ZVhR6.4400$S2.266266@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 02:22:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.112.72
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991275769 64.157.112.72 (Wed, 30 May
2001 19:22:49 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 19:22:49 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 19:20:51 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22930

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010530071128.10190.00001803@ng-fl1.aol.com...
> >From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>
> >You're not going to change a word and get out of this that easily,
> >Larry.  We were not talking about an "invitation."  You said she would
> >be "welcome in here, and won't be abused, as long as..."  And I said
> >it was big of you to "welcome" my wife into this newsgroup under your
terms.
>
> That's okay; he tried to convince me that he only abuses people when
> "provoked."  Of course, he defines what was enough to provoke him that he
> lashes out at everyone around him in the process of responding.  But it is
okay
> because she made him beat her...
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Now you're getting closer to the truth...

Kim W5TIT
```

From 7410334888038216262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:26:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 02:25:42 GMT
References: <3B14FCD7.1B848E61@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010530222542.24235.00001638@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22931

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>I can build a microphone out of pencil lead and aluminum foil, Dick.
>Can't you?

Will it work better than the key I will build out of an old hacksaw blade?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4802166801094806278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:27:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 02:26:49 GMT
References: <3B14FC86.8F24657C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010530222649.24235.00001639@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22932

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>I'm personally satisfied with the present system.

And I personally don't think the entire subject is at the top of the importance list.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -6751914144146886829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:31:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 02:29:58 GMT
References: <9f08mq$mm1$0@208.206.142.109>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010530222958.24235.00001641@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22933
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> You are correct. I expect that discourse between adults should be conducted
>> at an adult level, not be rife with grammar-school taunts. Apparently you
>> believe it should be otherwise.

> Whatever it takes to get your attention, it seems.

I can think of no better way to get someone's attention.

I can think of no worse way to change someone's mind.

Your call.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8048675124997717OO
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:33:11 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 02:32:57 GMT
Message-ID: <3b15abe8.2843832@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org> <20010530203401.26720.00001182@nso-
mg.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.119
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.119
X-Trace: 30 May 2001 21:28:29 -0500, 64.24.17.119
Lines: 13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22934
```

On 31 May 2001 00:34:01 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

```
>When I was a kid they told us that flat screen TVs that you could hang on a
>wall like a picture were "just around the corner". Nuclear power was going to
>make electricity "too cheap to meter". And we'd all ride to work in 400 mph
>George Jetson autogyros. I'm still waiting.
>
Miccolis=1, Moore=0. I just luv it when you EEs go at each other.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>Buy some lotion. On second thought, rub some Desenex on it.
>>
w3rv
```

```
From 4641271801064822041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:34:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 02:33:46 GMT
References: <20010529103014.06566.00005575@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010530223346.24235.00001642@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22935
```

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Here's the provocation:

>>I didn't feel this way until I read your
>>posting -- so congratulations for rekindling my enthusiasm for bashing
>>the no-coders!

Where's the provocation, Larry? Those were your words.

But it is my lobster at stake, so in what way did each of the thousands of newcomers you trashed with the following provoke you into say this:

>> I'm hoping that 48 hours from now,
the "newcomer bashing" takes on a new
>> and more enthusiastic approach; truly crushing the spirit of any further
>> "newcomers" to the HF phone bands.

I note you didn't qualify either of your statements with a notice that it applied only to those who whined or who had provoked you somehow. In what way do you feel that blanket statement applied only to those who you feel justified in trashing?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3874887909355519976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:51:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!info1.fnal.gov!nntp.upenn.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!pln-w!spln!dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews4
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 31 May 2001 02:00:47 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9f48kf12rqt@enews4.newsguy.com>
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172> <Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net> <xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B123B70.31FC6925@IEEE.org> <06sQ6.48479$t12.3764063@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B14F7A1.D57374E@IEEE.org> <9f335u12hg5@enews2.newsguy.com> <3B153A3D.E71D8542@IEEE.org>

NNTP-Posting-Host: p-438.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22936

In article <3B153A3D.E71D8542@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>>
>> Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>> >The Stockholm Syndrome is "an emotional attachment to a captor formed by
>> >a hostage as a result of continuous stress, dependence, and a need to
>> >cooperate for survival." If the no-code Techs would only react that way,
>> >it would make all the old-fart hams happy.
>>
>> Stockholm Syndrome? They used to call it brainwashing.
>
>In the case that caused it to be named that, there was apparently no
>overt attempt at brainwashing. It was named after a Stockholm bank
>robbery in 1973 during which a bank employee became romantically
>attached to a robber who held her hostage.

I was thinking more along the lines of "Bridge Over the River Kwi."

From 8181204738786618817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:55:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
In-Reply-To: <20010530221810.24235.00001635@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105310246310.13409-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105301903470.12787-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010530221810.24235.00001635@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 14
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 02:55:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.25
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991277748 12.80.44.25 (Thu, 31 May

2001 02:55:48 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 02:55:48 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22937

On 31 May 2001, W1RFI wrote:
> From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
>
> >What is "certificated"? How is that different from certified?
>
> Certificated is the word in the FCC regs. Certified is not. :-)

OK, but that still doesn't tell us what it means. Certified IS a word in the English language (past participle of "to certify") while certificated is not (at least per all the dictionaries I checked). There is a noun, "certificate," a document indicating that something has been certified ("serving as evidence of status, qualification, or testimony"), and "to certify" is the verb form (literally meaning "to make certain"). There is NO verb form "to certificate."

From 8492362192327406310
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 20:07:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 03:06:40 GMT
References: <9f49db$qco$0@208.207.71.158>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010530230640.15631.00001150@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22938

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> You can head it up right there, then. Hams aren't learning enough with
>the question pools to even get started.

Odd how all those QRPers building kits and projects prove that wrong in a heartbeat.

> As to how well it does all the functions now performed so well by
>analog circuitry, I'll believe it's really better when I see it. Maybe
>someday...

You are right; it is not working as well as it will someday. I am hoping that Amateur Radio can play some role in making that someday happen.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3379044915064559449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 20:15:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 03:15:16 GMT
References: <1VhR6.15776$lP5.8283870@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010530231516.25401.00002139@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22939

>Jeffery, That should just about end this thread

I Concur.

Thank you all for the friendly ride. I really do appreciate all the info and help. Niece read the entire thread too, says "thanks guys."

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 9411786414859553277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 20:22:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3b150d71.462771130@news2.dvol.com> <20010530124902.09648.00002034@ng-cs1.aol.com> <AR9R6.5531$rn5.272209@www.newsranger.com> <3b15343c.472699342@news2.dvol.com> <nhbR6.5692$rn5.278887@www.newsranger.com> <ZTdR6.55986$4f7.4162394@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <rNiR6.6437$rn5.306372@www.newsranger.com>

X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 23:21:59 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 03:21:59 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22940

In article <ZTdR6.55986$4f7.4162394@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, Richard McCollum says...

>Parkinson defined the prime minister as the one who does not know anything
>about Economics and therefore cannot be Chancellor of the Exchequer, knows
>nothing about Defense, Law, or much of anything else but must be in the
>government. Prime Minister is the only job left.

Works for me!

dit dit

K0HB

From -1725291224683668304
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 20:25:53 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 03:23:11 GMT
Message-ID: <3b15b72a.5726465@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b15343c.472699342@news2.dvol.com> <20010530222147.24235.00001637@ng-fm1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.107
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.107
X-Trace: 30 May 2001 22:18:39 -0500, 64.24.17.107
Lines: 23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22941

On 31 May 2001 02:21:47 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

```
>
>>In the end we'll wind up with some bits and pieces of the freqs above
>>144mHz but not many. I think that what I'm seeing is ham radio being
>>driven down into the HF spectrum where it came from.
>
>I think that those "Evil Devices," the Part 15 emitters, may protect us.
>
THAT might work. Now all Haynie has to do is sign a Memo of
Understanding with the "Part 15 users group" and we'll work this thing
out and save vhf/uhf ham radio . .
>
> I don't think any Service is eying the upper half of the 2.4 GHz band nowadays.
>
It's only a matter of time.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv



From -2566992985863147762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 20:59:53 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 03:59:35 GMT
Message-ID: <3b15ba10.6468130@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org> <20010530203401.26720.00001182@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3B15A2AC.21A71363@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.107
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.107
X-Trace: 30 May 2001 22:55:03 -0500, 64.24.17.107
Lines: 30
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22942

On Wed, 30 May 2001 20:47:24 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:



>
>I don't know for sure but ICOM advertises "all-mode, including PSK31"
>and "RTTY demodulator". I'm considering buying one.
```

>
Read the 756 reviews then read the FT-1000MP Mark V reviews then
decide which is more important to you, a real radio or a computer
which is sort of a radio.
>
>> > The time is coming when virtually
>> >all the functions in a transceiver will be performed by the built
>> >in computer.
>>
>> Not the really important ones, though. Like dealing with the actual RF signals.
>
>Every year, higher and higher frequency RF signals are being digitized.
>
Wake me up when some DSP chip has as good a front end dynamic blocking
range at 3.5 mHz as V2 in my 75S-3B has.
>
>After they are digitized, are they still RF signals?
>
Which came first, the chicken or the egg and who cares?
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>
w3rv

From 8776922040375889207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 21:21:25 PST
Message-ID: <3B15C65B.3C0D0E4A@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 23:19:39 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3B123B70.31FC6925@IEEE.org> <06sQ6.48479$t12.3764063@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B14F7A1.D57374E@IEEE.org>
<9f335u12hg5@enews2.newsguy.com> <3B153A3D.E71D8542@IEEE.org>
<9f48kf12rqt@enews4.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp 991282379 64.240.47.132 (30 May 2001 23:12:59 -0500)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

```
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22943

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> In article <3B153A3D.E71D8542@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
> >
> >"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
> >>
> >> Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
> >> >The Stockholm Syndrome is "an emotional attachment to a captor formed by
> >> >a hostage as a result of continuous stress, dependence, and a need to
> >> >cooperate for survival." If the no-code Techs would only react that way,
> >> >it would make all the old-fart hams happy.
> >>
> >> Stockholm Syndrome? They used to call it brainwashing.
> >
> >In the case that caused it to be named that, there was apparently no
> >overt attempt at brainwashing. It was named after a Stockholm bank
> >robbery in 1973 during which a bank employee became romantically
> >attached to a robber who held her hostage.
>
> I was thinking more along the lines of "Bridge Over the River Kwi."

That's an idea. Put the nocode Techs in a sun hut until they start
behaving themselves and acting like Real Hams.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -2618674970508890276
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 21:32:02 PST
Message-ID: <3B15C8C9.B5F69B7F@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 23:30:01 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B14FCD7.1B848E61@IEEE.org> <20010530215436.24362.00001487@nso-
fj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp 991283001 64.240.47.132 (30 May 2001 23:23:21 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22944

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B14FCD7.1B848E61@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >I can build a microphone out of pencil lead and aluminum foil, Dick.
> >Can't you?
>
> Sure. That's easy.
>
> But can you hook it to a modern transceiver and get it to work? I doubt it.

Certainly, an LM324 will probably do the trick. I've got a few hundred
of those in my junk box. Do you need any?


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -7430717789553529030
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 21:35:43 PST
Message-ID: <3B15C9A8.A6640509@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 23:33:44 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
```

```
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B14FCD7.1B848E61@IEEE.org> <20010530222542.24235.00001638@ng-
fm1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp 991283226 64.240.47.132 (30 May 2001 23:27:06 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22945

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >I can build a microphone out of pencil lead and aluminum foil, Dick.
> >Can't you?
>
> Will it work better than the key I will build out of an old hacksaw blade?

Run it through a couple of stages of op-amps and it will work well
enough. I also have some digitizing pad material that could probably
be converted into a microphone pretty easily.

I once used a hacksaw blade to cathode-key a 6L6. It knocked me
across the room.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -6888607107792540058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 21:38:36 PST
Message-ID: <3B15CA58.C60C65C@IEEE.org>
```

Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 23:36:40 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org> <20010530203401.26720.00001182@nso-mg.aol.com> <3b15abe8.2843832@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp 991283401 64.240.47.132 (30 May 2001 23:30:01 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22946

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On 31 May 2001 00:34:01 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >When I was a kid they told us that flat screen TVs that you could hang on a
> >wall like a picture were "just around the corner". Nuclear power was going to
> >make electricity "too cheap to meter". And we'd all ride to work in 400 mph
> >George Jetson autogyros. I'm still waiting.
> >
> Miccolis=1, Moore=0. I just luv it when you EEs go at each other.

Well, Phillips advertises a flat screen TV and CA wouldn't have as
big a power problem if they hadn't let Hanoi Jane shut down SMUD's
Rancho Seco.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4313300004006661205
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 21:42:17 PST
Message-ID: <3B15CB36.994A23A4@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 23:40:22 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109> <20010530072819.10190.00001809@ng-fl1.aol.com> <9f49db$qco$0@208.207.71.158>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.132
X-Trace: corp 991283623 64.240.47.132 (30 May 2001 23:33:43 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22947

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Naw! Just specific functions which lend themselves particularly to
> digital processing. No doube there are better rendidtions of DSP than
> I've owned, but so far I haven't use a single one which I consider worth
> what it cost. The DSP on my IC756 never gets turned on anymore/

The DSP filtering on my IC-706MKII works so well I can QSO on 40m SSB
at night in between commercial AM stations.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1896062220848314152
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 23:52:08 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?

Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 06:51:53 GMT
Message-ID: <3b15d552.13447497@news2.dvol.com>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge4cgb1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010530051200.02661.00001784@ng-fa1.news.cs.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.106
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.106
X-Trace: 31 May 2001 01:47:20 -0500, 64.24.18.106
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.telebeam.net!washdc3-snf1!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22948

On 30 May 2001 09:12:00 GMT, kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig) wrote:

\>>It's not all that simple, is it?
>
\>Never is. Looks like we're goin' "external." Thanks Phil.
>
Hey, check me Bert, I haven't been following this thread very closely.
Are we seeing hams invading CB space like CBers invade 10m? Illegal as
hell but there is something about the concept I like anyway.
>
>73 de Bert
\>KC2HMN
>
w3rv

From 853379806424447450
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 00:57:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 07:56:23 GMT
References: <20010530030149.11450.00002108@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010531035623.03759.00003380@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22949

In article <20010530030149.11450.00002108@ng-mp1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) writes:

>
>>That's not true. They can certainly apply for thier stamps without taking
>>a 5 WPM code test! That's the law in Delaware!
>
>   I guess pointing out that I was being sarcastic would be useless at this
>point....?!?!

Steve:

Speaking of points, I guess you missed it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4445834619313477731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 00:57:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 108
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 07:56:22 GMT
References: <3B149651.CC59E386@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010531035622.03759.00003379@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22950

In article <3B149651.CC59E386@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> I'm not doing any such thing, because
>> my invitation isn't required for your
>> XYL to participate here.

> You're not going to change a word and get out of this that easily,
>Larry. We were not talking about an "invitation."

Dwight:

I'm not going to quibble with you over the subtle differences in the
meaning of "invitation" and "welcome." I don't "welcome" anyone
into a place where they have, IMHO, a perfectly obvious right to
be! With or without my "invitation," your XYL can post here as she
pleases -- as long as she is willing to take the heat once having
stepped into the kitchen!

>You said she would
>be "welcome in here, and won't be abused, as long as..." And I said
>it was big of you to "welcome" my wife into this newsgroup under your terms.

If it makes you feel better, consider my "welcome" withdrawn.   She
doesn't need it anyway, so what does it matter? All I've managed to
do is give you something else to whine about. My mistake!

>> I don't set the "terms," either.

> You most certainly did set the terms for you to "welcome" her into
>this newsgroup and for her not to be abused by you when she does - she
>can offer no argument against code testing (what you call whining).

You have no reason to presume that your XYL would be "abused" in
any way, since you have no idea what she would have posted here --
mainly because you don't really want her to do so.

>> I am not making any "demands" on her or
>> anyone else, and wouldn't dream of such.

> Again, you most certainly did for you to "welcome" her into this
>newsgroup (and not be abused).

>> Where have I ever said that? It isn't
>> the difference of opinion I object to, it
>> is the manner in which it is expressed.
>> IOW, its not what they (the no-coders) say,
>> its how they say it. [snip]
>
>
> Larry, you've already urged my wife not to whine about the code
>testing debate before she has even posted a single message in this
>newsgroup or offered any position whatsoever about code testing? In
>other words, without hearing a single comment made by her about code
>testing, you have already started into her about "whining." Like I
>said, according to you, everyone who has an opinion about code testing
>that is different than yours is whining and deserves to be abused.

Dwight, you couldn't be more wrong about this. If I can find anyone
who can make a logical, convincing argument *against* code testing,
one which convinces me that I was wrong and that they are right, I
would certainly not "abuse" them in any way! And even if you simply
disagree with code testing for your own selfish reasons, I don't
abuse you as long as you don't blame me and other code testing

supporters for every possible evil in the world, as has been done over and over in this newsgroup and elsewhere. I have this thing in perspective, apparently, you do not.

>> I have been personally blamed for everything
>> from ethnic cleansing to global warming to
>> the technological downfall of the ARS by my
>> support of pre-Restructuring code testing
>> standards. How do you justify such personal
>> abuse simply for disagreeing on a license
>> testing program? I have received many orders
>> of magnitude more abuse than I have dished
>> out here and on Fidonet, but nobody is
>> keeping score on the no-coders the way they
>> are on the politically incorrect pro-coders.
>
>
> I've asked you this before, and I'll ask you this once again - show
>me where "I" have ever blamed you for any of those things. I have
>never held you accountable for the things that are said by other
>"pro-coders," so why are you holding me accountable for what other
>"anti-coders" may have said to you? We're not talking to "pro-coders"
>or "anti-coders," Larry. I'm talking to you and you're talking to me.
> Lets not dump that other crap on either of us.

Dwight, please provide at least one example of where I've gratuitously "dumped" on you *without* provocation. The only thing that makes me "dump" on anyone is when they "dump" on me, the code testing requirements, or other pro-code test hams. You have done so many times. This is strictly a quid pro quo situation.

> By the way, and I've also said this before, I'm not "anti-code."
>I'm opposed to a code test requirement. As far as the use of code in
>the ham bands, I have absolutely no problem whatsoever with that. In
>fact, I might even play around with that mode myself one day.

Dwight, that's what all the NCTA's say. The problem with them is, "one day" never seems to come.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8699945416927561476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 01:23:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 08:22:31 GMT
References: <20010530223346.24235.00001642@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010531042231.03759.00003385@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22952

In article <20010530223346.24235.00001642@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>> I'm hoping that 48 hours from now,
>the "newcomer bashing" takes on a new
>>> and more enthusiastic approach; truly crushing the spirit of any further
>>> "newcomers" to the HF phone bands.
>
>I note you didn't qualify either of your statements with a notice that it
>applied only to those who whined or who had provoked you somehow. In what
>way
>do you feel that blanket statement applied only to those who you feel
>justified
>in trashing?

Ed:

I note that you don't support the testing requirements which have
historically led to the development of useful, practical communications
skills among radio amateurs. My intention is to keep our service
in a state of practical readiness as an emergency backup
communications system. Your intention just seems to be to
bring in anyone who has a fleeting interest, in the fervent hope that
maybe, someday, they may show some actual interest and perhaps
be willing to expend a trifling amount of effort to develop such
skills. I want a strong ARS, you seem to want a dumbed-down one.
Why is that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8425472635300872334
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 01:23:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 08:22:30 GMT
References: <3B1468C3.C101EB62@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010531042230.03759.00003384@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22951

In article <3B1468C3.C101EB62@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> No, that's YOUR question!  What's the answer to mine?
>
>The answer to yours is I wouldn't even attempt to run a system with
>such a woosie battery. Why would you choose to be so ill prepared?

Cecil:

The answer to that is, I was backpacking in the wilderness, not
sitting at home waiting for my still to warm up!  How much comm
capability do you carry in your backpack when you go for a hike?

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -5411037949316015743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 03:02:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 10:02:02 GMT
References: <20010531042231.03759.00003385@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010531060202.01428.00002165@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22953

>Subject: Re: Puke.
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 5/31/01 3:22 AM Central Daylight Time

>I want a strong ARS, you seem to want a dumbed-down one.
>Why is that?
```

I don't think you have it right. You usually don't. Try again, WITHOUT the troll.......

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 7088547919864197339
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 03:42:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 10:41:36 GMT
References: <20010531042231.03759.00003385@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010531064136.23329.00002026@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22954

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>> In what way do you feel that blanket statement applied only to those who you
>> feel justified in trashing?

>I note that you don't support the testing requirements which have
>historically led to the development of useful, practical communications
>skills among radio amateurs.

You trash new hams because you are provoked by the fact that you think I don;t support testing requirements? That is a bit far-fetched even coming from you, Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4451781224455959650
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 03:49:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 10:49:17 GMT
References: <3b15b72a.5726465@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Message-ID: <20010531064917.23329.00002027@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22955

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>>I think that those "Evil Devices," the Part 15 emitters, may protect us.

>THAT might work. Now all Haynie has to do is sign a Memo of
>Understanding with the "Part 15 users group" and we'll work this thing
>out and save vhf/uhf ham radio.

I don't think we will see such an MOU. As Amateur Radio's sharing partners seek to increase the power levels they are permitted under Part 15, the League has inevitably filed comments in opposition. Success has been mixed. However, the League has also worked effectively with several Part 15 groups, notably the VDSL folks, Home Phone Networking Alliance and HomePlug, of late, to help them develop frequency sharing standards that significantly reduce the likelihood of harmful interference.

>> I don't think any Service is eying the upper half of the 2.4 GHz band
>nowadays.

>It's only a matter of time.

I don't think so. That part of the band is heavily occupied, and its occupancy is expected to increase significantly, with Bluetooth and IEEE 802.11 devices, to name just a few. Hams are concerned that they will be blamed by their neighbors if they cause interference; others will feel the same concerns. At this stage, the Commmission really can't move the Part 15 users.

The only potential risk I see is that the Commision could deallocate that part of the band for Amateur Radio and give the Part 15 folks a bit more breathing room. Of course, that is only part of their problem -- that band is also ISM, so there are some pretty high-powered users in there.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6240732568636346136

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 03:52:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 10:52:15 GMT
References: <3B15CB36.994A23A4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010531065215.23329.00002028@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22956
```

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>The DSP filtering on my IC-706MKII works so well I can QSO on 40m SSB
>at night in between commercial AM stations.

The '756PRO has an excellent DSP implementation. Its filters skirts drop off like cliffs. One can park about 100 Hz away from another CW station and hear ONLY its keying sidebands. At first, users thought the DSP was creating the key clicks, but the ARRL Lab determined that they are really there. Of course, if the transmitted signal were generated with an SDR, it could use a raised sine shaping and not have audible key clicks 2X the necessary bandwidth away. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3502835494455753951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 04:30:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 89
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 11:29:37 GMT
References: <3B15A2AC.21A71363@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010531072937.00358.00001630@nso-mm.aol.com>
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22957

In article <3B15A2AC.21A71363@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >Virtually all commercial transceivers have computers built in.
>>
>> They have embedded microcontrollers, yes. Not general purpose computers as
>most
>> people use the word.
>
>Generically, they are computers.

Specifically, they are microcontrollers and microprocessors.

> Probably, most of them are general purpose
>devices except for the ROM programming. Most microcontrollers contain CPU,
>ROM, RAM, and I/O all in one chip.

But they are not complete systems on the chip. They need a bunch of support
components. And the ROM is the toughest part.

PICs are the new wave for jobs like this. The basic Elecraft K2 has two PICs, a
microprocessor, a ROM, and some other related stuff. Most of the accessories
(noise blanker, antenna tuner, 100W PA) have their own on-board PICs, too.
Really slick design.
>
>> >A computer is a jellybean chip anymore, no larger than your
>> >pinkynail.
>>
>> No, that's just the processor. A computer needs lots of other stuff to be
>> useful. Ask John von Neumann.
>
>Beg to differ. The 80C51 single chip computer contains CPU, ROM, RAM, and
>I/O.

Is that chip in the IC756PRO, or some other ham rig? Can a ham get the source
code and an explanation of how it works, easily?

>That's why they call it a single chip microcontroller.

Sure. Not a "computer", a microcontroller, like I said.

>Single chip devices
>reduce parts count and cost, ideal for low-cost transceivers. My IC-706
>uses a 64-pin single-chip microcontroller. They don't say which one but
>I'll bet it's a Motorola general purpose 68xx/68xxx device with ICOM's
>special ROM code.

ahhh - "they don't say which one". There's the rub.
>

>> >The IC-756PRO's computer has enough MIPs to perform
>> >FSK and PSK31 encoding/decoding.
>>
>> Maybe - but are those functions implemented in the IC-756PRO, so that you
>don't
>> need any external boxes to work PSK31, or PACTOR, or even plain old Baudot
>> RTTY? Nope.
>
>I don't know for sure but ICOM advertises "all-mode, including PSK31"
>and "RTTY demodulator". I'm considering buying one.

Can you download the manual and find out? When they say "all-mode" they usually
mean "it will work all of the modes we thought of, if you hook up the
appropriate external box(es)". They DON'T mean "plug in a $10 PS/2 keyboard and
work PSK31"
>
>> > The time is coming when virtually
>> >all the functions in a transceiver will be performed by the built
>> >in computer.
>>
>> Not the really important ones, though. Like dealing with the actual RF
>signals.
>
>Every year, higher and higher frequency RF signals are being digitized.

In ham gear? Show me one where there isn't a lot of analog stuff in front of
the ADC.

>After they are digitized, are they still RF signals?

When the incoming RF signal is converted to a digital stream, it's no longer
RF. The outgoing digital stream doesn't become RF until it goes through a DAC.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>--
>cheers, Cecil

From -3993961041679207416
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 04:38:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B149651.CC59E386@sccoast.net> <20010531035622.03759.00003379@nso-

ch.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 34
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <X2qR6.5274$651.359926@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 11:38:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.93.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991309111 209.244.93.66 (Thu, 31 May 2001 04:38:31 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 04:38:31 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 04:36:39 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22958

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20010531035622.03759.00003379@nso-ch.aol.com...

> In article <3B149651.CC59E386@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >>
> >> I'm not doing any such thing, because
> >> my invitation isn't required for your
> >> XYL to participate here.
>
> > You're not going to change a word and get out of this that easily,
> >Larry. We were not talking about an "invitation."
>
> Dwight:
>
> I'm not going to quibble with you over the subtle differences in the
> meaning of "invitation" and "welcome." I don't "welcome" anyone
> into a place where they have, IMHO, a perfectly obvious right to
> be! With or without my "invitation," your XYL can post here as she
> pleases -- as long as she is willing to take the heat once having
> stepped into the kitchen!
>

That would be, Dwight, if any "heat" were ever given out. I've never seen a thing here yet that is anything more than either half-way decent conversation, or attempts by weak-minded individuals to get their Tarzan calls out in the only place and manner they have to do so. I am certain your wife will have the intelligence and capability to decipher that kind of code.

:) Kim W5TIT

From -2754746002396115012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 04:59:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 11:58:56 GMT
References: <20010531072937.00358.00001630@nso-mm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010531075856.10057.00002122@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22959

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>That's why they call it a single chip microcontroller.

>Sure. Not a "computer", a microcontroller, like I said.

And its capabilities exceed that of systems called "computers" a few decades past. Is this part of the discussion really just not about semantics?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3012039164856040262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 05:02:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 12:01:34 GMT
References: <20010529103014.06566.00005575@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010531080134.10057.00002123@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22960

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>I didn't feel this way until I read your posting -- so congratulations for
>>rekindling my enthusiasm for bashing the no-coders!

>Sorry, Ed, that most certainly WAS a "provoked" response. It wasn't
>the least bit gratuitous. No lobster.

That is not only "She made me beat her," it is "She made me beat anybody that looked like her."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1039558751085582440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 05:47:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!
newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net> <3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net>
<nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N2dR6.1354$J23.306629@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <44eR6.87696$425.23516527@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<thb5bphk926468@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 5
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <b3rR6.95001$425.25204947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 12:47:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 991313223 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 31 May 2001 05:47:03
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 05:47:03 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13193
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22961 rec.radio.shortwave:35710
```

> YOU ARE ALL HITLER FUCKING NAZI MORONS!

NAZI? Hardly, Skin head? Perhaps

From -3573907604785786913
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 06:16:27 PST
Message-ID: <3B1643C6.2B5D67F@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 08:14:46 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010530223346.24235.00001642@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010531042231.03759.00003385@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp 991314487 64.240.47.117 (31 May 2001 08:08:07 -0500)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22962

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I note that you don't support the testing requirements which have
> historically led to the development of useful, practical communications
> skills among radio amateurs. My intention is to keep our service
> in a state of practical readiness as an emergency backup
> communications system.

If that were true, Larry, you would be advocating a governmental
regulation requiring all hams to have a functional amateur
radio station.

In an emergency situation, having operational equipment is much more important than having Morse code skill. What good is Morse code skill without a transceiver? What good is any ham radio knowledge or skill without operational equipment?

Any argument you can mount for a Morse code skill requirement can be used for an equipment requirement as well. Are you advocating an FCC regulation forcing hams to posses ham equipment? If not, how can you justify advocating an FCC regulation to force hams to possess Morse code skill?

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7045689004115781148
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 06:18:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 09:18:11 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <thch4o5hsi9p9b@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <3B0F3B77.D0B27C1A@ix.netcom.com>
<ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<th7rp1c3qn9f0c@corp.supernews.com> <16ZQ6.53733$4f7.4020044@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <th9qevile5kga3@corp.supernews.com>
<7NdR6.55975$4f7.4160921@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 25
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22963
```

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:7NdR6.55975$4f7.4160921@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

>
> Noop, the tendency to distort and obliterate higher frequencies more than
> lower ones. Remote broadcasts tended to be a little bassy unless our
heroic
> engineer did his anti-twist thing. Also remember that phone lines in

those
> days were hi-tech if they weren't stapled to fence posts.

Sounds interesting. I think I have an old book on telephone technology and
will have to look up line compensation techniques I would guess.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

From 3889277692269776976
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 06:20:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 09:20:43 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <thch9l1f6au0ee@corp.supernews.com>
References: <3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org> <20010530203401.26720.00001182@nso-mg.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 20
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22964

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010530203401.26720.00001182@nso-mg.aol.com...
> When they can do better than 130 dB blocking dynamic range, and all of the
> other things the good "analog" HF sets have been doing for decades, maybe.

I think the sticking point right now is the A/D converters. The don't have
the range yet.

--

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
 me running, try to keep up. "

From -6098814116711590130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 06:20:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B1644CF.26DE36F5@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 08:19:11 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B149651.CC59E386@sccoast.net> <20010531035622.03759.00003379@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp 991314752 64.240.47.117 (31 May 2001 08:12:32 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22965

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I'm not going to quibble with you over the subtle differences in the
> meaning of "invitation" and "welcome." I don't "welcome" anyone
> into a place where they have, IMHO, a perfectly obvious right to
> be! With or without my "invitation," your XYL can post here as she
> pleases -- as long as she is willing to take the heat once having
> stepped into the kitchen!

Reminds me of the rapist's defense. "She was wearing a miniskirt
and no bra. It was her fault. She was asking for it."

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 648278401350311189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 06:23:16 PST
Message-ID: <3B16455B.85675DA@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 08:21:31 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B1468C3.C101EB62@IEEE.org> <20010531042230.03759.00003384@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp 991314892 64.240.47.117 (31 May 2001 08:14:52 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22966

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> The answer to that is, I was backpacking in the wilderness, not
> sitting at home waiting for my still to warm up! How much comm
> capability do you carry in your backpack when you go for a hike?

No more hiking, Larry, - arthritic knees.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4249923973764700817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 06:27:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net> <VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net> <3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net> <nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N2dR6.1354$J23.306629@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <44eR6.87696$425.23516527@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <thb5bphk926468@corp.supernews.com> <b3rR6.95001$425.25204947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <tErR6.6911$rn5.321770@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 09:26:49 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 13:26:49 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13195 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22967 rec.radio.shortwave:35712

On Thu, 31 May 2001 12:47:03 GMT, Rob St.Denis says:

>NAZI? Hardly, Skin head? Perhaps

Obviously you don't comprehend Godwin's Law.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From 3143318419879862034
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 06:34:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 09:34:22 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <thci30eicf01ed@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com>
<3b13bbac.376306700@news2.dvol.com> <th7qsf7bqbps39@corp.supernews.com>
<PbSQ6.4167$rn5.217072@www.newsranger.com> <th9tepqo7t1v70@corp.supernews.com>
<3b157f2b.491881870@news2.dvol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 25
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22968

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:<3b157f2b.491881870@news2.dvol.com>...

> You look at the "DSP" M5 Leland, the big guns customairily install
> three pairs of cascaded INRAD cryatal filters in the Mark 5 at $155 a
> pop. Or Collins mechanical filters. Same filters I had in my 75A4
> forty years ago.

What you may have missed is that all of those filters work at relativity high RF frequencies. As time goes on we will see more of the DSP functions moving up from the audio band in to what was considered RF sections. This is, as I mentioned before, a limitation of the implementation of DSP, and not a fundamental limitation of DSP technology itself.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

From 1633126496395937707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 06:39:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <AR9R6.5531$rn5.272209@www.newsranger.com>
<20010530215435.24362.00001486@nso-fj.aol.com>
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <JPrR6.6929$rn5.322301@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 09:38:49 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 13:38:49 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22969

On 31 May 2001 01:54:35 GMT, N2EY says:

>
>The big question: WHY the lack of activity?
>

That's easy. Because the proliferation of cheap cell phone service has obviated the need for "Honey should I pick up milk?" autopatches. Beyond that single application, repeaters are boring "intercom radio", not ham radio. Except for Skywarn, they have little practical use anymore, as witness the overflowing tables of slightly used HT's at any hamfest.

>
>One possible reason: Too many repeaters!
>

Naw, that's just proof of "If we build it, they won't necessarily come."

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From -5171615357091494732
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 06:50:34 PST

Message-ID: <3B164BBA.70C8EC7C@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 08:48:42 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <3B15A2AC.21A71363@IEEE.org> <20010531072937.00358.00001630@nso-mm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp 991316524 64.240.47.117 (31 May 2001 08:42:04 -0500)
Lines: 70
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22970

N2EY wrote:
> But they are not complete systems on the chip. They need a bunch of support
> components. And the ROM is the toughest part.

Modern microcontrollers need little more than power and a XTAL. And what
do you mean by tough? Modern microcontrollers have on-chip FLASH EPROM.
Everytime there is a new version of software for my SCS PTC2e, I
download it and program it into the FLASH memory.

> PICs are the new wave for jobs like this.

Not for the Japanese manufacturers. They use more sophisticated micros.
PICs are aimed primarily at low-volume applications.

> Is that chip in the IC756PRO, or some other ham rig? Can a ham get the source
> code and an explanation of how it works, easily?

What does that have to do with anything? I believe ICOM, at least at
one time, standardized on Motorola micros. Most modern micros have
a way to hide the proprietary ROM code from prying eyes.

> >That's why they call it a single chip microcontroller.
>

> Sure. Not a "computer", a microcontroller, like I said.

We (Intel) started out by calling everything microcomputers. Then to differentiate between the 8080 and the 8048 product lines, we coined the terms "microprocessor" for a microcomputer that didn't have on-board ROM and "microcontroller" for a microcomputer that did have on-board ROM/EPROM. I know, Jim, I was in those meetings.

> ahhh - "they don't say which one". There's the rub.

Probably all I have to do is open the IC-706 and get the Motorola part number off the quad-flat-pack package. Why is that so important to you? You probably have no way to develop and debug code for that micro.

> Can you download the manual and find out?

Are you kidding? The shop manual for my IC-706 cost an arm and a leg.

> When they say "all-mode" they usually
> mean "it will work all of the modes we thought of, if you hook up the
> appropriate external box(es)". They DON'T mean "plug in a $10 PS/2 keyboard and
> work PSK31"

I was assuming it means, "plug in a dumb terminal and work PSK31". I just happen to have a dumb terminal made out of an 80C51, a keyboard, and an LCD alphanumeric display. Made it out of parts from my junkbox in about three days. So I don't really need a laptop. It sends out ASCII characters from a keyboard and displays received ASCII characters on the LCD display.

> >Every year, higher and higher frequency RF signals are being digitized.
>
> In ham gear? Show me one where there isn't a lot of analog stuff in front of
> the ADC.

Read it again, Jim. Every year, more and more of the RF functions are being done digitally. For a modern radio, the IF RF can be digitized and everything is digital from there on. We can digitize N MHz now. It will be 10N MHz before long and after than 100N Mhz.

--

cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1557391006329783393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public

```
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 07:00:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net> <3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net>
<nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N2dR6.1354$J23.306629@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <44eR6.87696$425.23516527@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<thb5bphk926468@corp.supernews.com>
<b3rR6.95001$425.25204947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tErR6.6911$rn5.321770@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 12
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <78sR6.95494$425.25309644@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 14:00:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 991317635 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 31 May 2001 07:00:35
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 07:00:35 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13196
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22971 rec.radio.shortwave:35717


"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:tErR6.6911$rn5.321770@www.newsranger.com...
> On Thu, 31 May 2001 12:47:03 GMT, Rob St.Denis says:
>
> >NAZI? Hardly, Skin head? Perhaps
>
> Obviously you don't comprehend Godwin's Law.

That is not hard to see ....




From -5537456415981613876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 07:11:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B1650BD.2EB7FE62@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 09:10:05 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <20010531072937.00358.00001630@nso-mm.aol.com> <20010531075856.10057.00002122@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp 991317807 64.240.47.117 (31 May 2001 09:03:27 -0500)
Lines: 38
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22972

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
> >>That's why they call it a single chip microcontroller.
>
> >Sure. Not a "computer", a microcontroller, like I said.
>
> And its capabilities exceed that of systems called "computers" a few decades past. Is this part of the discussion really just not about semantics?

I was involved in all this naming stuff at Intel. I went to work for them in 1977 as a microcomputer applications engineer. Here is the history of those names as I remember it.

The 8080 was first called a microcomputer. Then the 8048 was introduced and was also called a microcomputer. Intel's customers were confused. Which "microcomputer" should they buy? The 8080 and 8048 marketing/applications groups were separate at Intel so a committee was formed to solve the product line confusion problem. It was decided that since the 8080 was more like a computer's CPU than anything else, it would

be called a "microprocessor". Thus the 8085 and 8086 through Pentium
chips have been called microprocessors. We continued to call the 8048 a
"microcomputer" for awhile but later, in the name of better product
differentiation, decided to call it a "microcontroller" and later yet
an "embedded microcontroller" associated with the 80C51 and 80C196
product line.

Intel, and other large companies with lots of products, absolutely need
to be able to differentiate their products to keep customers from being
confused. They actually agonized over these names.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1183498215904149869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 07:13:05 PST
Message-ID: <3B165110.84522048@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 09:11:28 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <3B15CB36.994A23A4@IEEE.org> <20010531065215.23329.00002028@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp 991317888 64.240.47.117 (31 May 2001 09:04:48 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22973

W1RFI wrote:
> Of course,
> if the transmitted signal were generated with an SDR, it could use a raised
> sine shaping and not have audible key clicks 2X the necessary bandwidth away.
> :-)

....

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6233637448258956622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 08:00:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.xnet.com!newsgate.mot.com!newshost.mot.com!nntp.cig.mot.com!not-for-mail
From: "Karl Beckman" <Karl.Beckman@Motorola.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 10:47:09 -0400
Organization: Motorola NSS
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <9f315e$ana3@nntp.cig.mot.com>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B13F3BF.6BBD@mobiletel.com> <9f1ild$r81$1@208.206.142.82>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 145.39.1.60
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6700
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22873

Considering that the Mason-Dixon line is the border between Pennsylvania and Maryland, all of Kentucky, part of Ohio, and much of West Virginia are south of the Mason-Dixon line. And Kentucky was already a state, not a territory, when the Civil War (or War between the States) erupted.

--
Karl Beckman, P.E.
GMDS-Northern Div (216) 265-2092
Karl.Beckman@MOTOROLA.com

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message

```
news:9f1ild$r81$1@208.206.142.82...
>
>
> Martin wrote:
> >
> > Cornmash Sam wrote:
> > >
> > > "Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Who cares ... he's another nut bag from the south ... not like
they are
> > > > > sparse or anything.
> > > >
> > > > Kentucky ain't hardly considered The South, unless you live in Ohio.
> > >
> > > Mabey I'm wrong but....
> > >
> > > 1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
> > > 2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
> > >      the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
> > >      Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
> > >      ...take yer pick boys!)
> > >
> > > Just a wonderin
> > A very divided state, my anscestors fought on both sides and it
> > was mess!  Some counties has Southern leanings and the others were Union
> > allied.
>
>
>  And it's ALL north of the Mason-Dixon line.




From -8058712433359507709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 08:12:17 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 15:09:42 GMT
Message-ID: <3b14f92f.457584714@news2.dvol.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-
mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>
<th7b1nmafr1920@corp.supernews.com> <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.108
```

```
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.108
X-Trace: 30 May 2001 10:05:11 -0500, 64.24.16.108
Lines: 120
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22874

On 29 May 2001 14:46:14 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>>
>> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
>> news:3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com...
>> > My basic "disconnect" is that I can't get any grip on what is really
>> > driving this whole thrust to move into digital ham comms. I'm missing
>> > a clear explanation that makes sense from both the improvement in
>> > operations and regulatory perspectives just what we would get out of
>> > "going digital".
>> > >
>> > Because it's more "modern"? Because everybody else is doing it so we
>> > gotta do it too? To promote experimentation? Because the FCC sez so?
>> > Why do they say so? If no then just what IS the big deal? I don't get
>> > it.
>>
>> Let me take a stab at answering that question. Once any kind of information
>> is in a digital format it can be manipulated by a computer. I believe you
>> have a EE degree so the following should make sense to you.
>
Mechanical engineer with limited technical capabilities in electronics
& computers - but with many years of pushing the limits of HF radios
on the bands in actual use.
>
My question was about on-the-air digital modes, not digital radio
innards.
>
>I was told many
>> years ago that electronics was basically mathematics in a physical form, and
>> for the most part I think that statement is true.
>>
>> With the exception of interacting with a human, other mechanical devices, or
>> generation of RF power for the purpose of information transmission,
>> everything else in a modern transceiver is nothing but signal (information)
>> processing. From basic electronics to circuit analysis we use mathematics to
>> design the filters, mixers, compressors, detectors, modulation methods, etc.
>> From the mathematics we "try" to build physical circuits to perform the
>> desired mathematical operations in an analog form. So the end process of the
>> design is nothing more than an special "analog computer" built to solve the
>> mathematics. Too often the "analog computer" that does get built has many
```

>> undesirable characteristics, which requires someone with experience to
>> adjust the component parameters for best results.
>>
Yaesu seems to know how to do that . . .
>
>> The natural question that arises is why waste the effort to build the
>> special communication analog computer in the first place? The answer up
>> until some years ago was simple. The computers to directly implement the
>> desired mathematics was not fast enough. Today the picture is different with
>> DSP processors that can perform over a billion operations per second are off
>> the shelf items. Now as the economics allows, the old analog computational
>> elements are being replaced with digital elements that solves the
>> mathematics exactly, and without the nasty nonlinear behavior of the old
>> analog computational components. We can't forget that the limitations of the
>> "analog computing components" are a source of performance compromise, i.e
>> they only approximately implement the mathematics we need.
>>
I've used all-DSP HF radios, I've run a Kachina on 40 & 20 cw. I own a
JRC NRD 545 rcvr (40-bit IF DSP). I wouldn't take either into a cw dx
contest which is the most technically demanding test of HF rcvr
performance. The Kachina is mediocre in every respect. The 545 defines
the current state of the art for <$5k DSP rcvrs and it's a very nice
radio, much better than the Kachina. But I'll tell ya what: When it
comes to selectivity both from the "nose" width and skirt shapes my 30
year old tube-based meatball Collins 75S-3B outperforms the 545 by a
wide margin and I can't count the number of contests I've wrung outta
that antique radio.
>
>> So in the end the radios we use are really doing nothing more than
>> processing information per some predefined mathematical formula. When the
>> mathematics (formula) changes the old analog computer had to change, i.e.
>> hack up the circuits or buy a new one. The emerging "software defined radio"
>> replaces the "hard programming" in the old communication analog computer
>> design with software. When changes are required instead of "modifying" an
>> old analog design by cutting up the circuit the "software defined radio"
>> simply impresses the new mathematics on the computer by a simple software
>> update. No complex circuit modifications are needed, and everybody will get
>> the exact same predicable performance too.
>>
Predictable perhaps. Defining the state of the art from the standpoint
of raw performance out on the bands where the rubber hits the road? No
way. DSP at this point in history has a LONG way to go before it
catches up with the front end and IF performance of analog
processsing. Maybe someday but all-DSP radios are a long way from
prime time so far.
>
>> The additional benefit we gain with a software defined radio is the ability
>> to operate almost any mode, old or new, with little to no extra investment
>> in special hardware to use that mode. For example you want to operate CW,
>> just load the narrow CW filter and keyer code, or operate any of the H.F. or
>> VHF digital modes by just loading the modem software in to the rig. I think
>> you get the idea. So long as the rig has the DSP CPU horse power they will
>> be like our desk top computers, where what we do with them is defined mostly

>> by the software we load in to them.
>
Per previous they're already out there, the Kachina, the Ten-Tec
Pegasus and some others. They simply don't compete well with the
mostly-anaolg xcvrs no matter how ya cut it.
>
In any event DSP radios are not a "policy" issue, if the computer
science types enjoy working on xcvrs-in-a- Gateway so be it. The
policy issue is about the FCC "demanding" digitizing ham radio which
none of the above addresses. It's the digital HF modes thing which has
my attention.
>
Dick sez:
>
> And in answer to all that, "SO WHAT??" So you choose to turn a radio
>into a computer when that vastly complicates the process. So now only
>those with computer science skills will be able to build a ham radio
>set, and thus no technical knowledge nor skill will be necessary nor
>required for ham radio licensing. Right.
>
Never happen . . .
>
w3rv

From 7750870618115785034
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 08:32:41 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 15:29:06 GMT
Message-ID: <3b150d71.462771130@news2.dvol.com>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdywmg4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010528105227.06434.00001862@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge29l29.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3b13a70e.371028805@news2.dvol.com> <9f0bu7$1dg$0@208.206.142.109>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.136
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.136
X-Trace: 30 May 2001 10:24:35 -0500, 64.24.16.136
Lines: 36
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22875

On 29 May 2001 14:32:39 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>
>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>>
>> >
>> I usta pay attention to their utterings. I don't waste my time on 'em
>> any longer, they don't make any sense.
>
>
> All that statement was had to be the setup for "we told you so" after
>they go ahead and do whatever they want anyway. See the late
>restructuring original NPRM, the comments, and the final results for the
>proof.
>
Of course. The FCC has made it obvious that it looks after it's own
interests first and ham radio gets what it gets at their whim.
>
> "Concensus" among all the real hams and the codefree SEE BEE'ers
>-cum-nmninal ham radio licensees? That's a real cackler. Digital?
>Sure,bring it on-if you can find anyone who will do more than lip
>service on the subject.
>
That's what really boils my oil. The geeks come in here, make "grand
pronouncements" about the "digital revolution". "Grand
pronouncements" is ALL they do. Where's the freakin' beef??
>
> I look for the FCC to do a drastic VHF/UHF
>withdrawal of bands and for the 'reason' they will surely use this very
>concept. It's just the opening salvo.
>
Put that in the bank.
>
w3rv

From 8327429995902442867
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 09:00:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <K4tP6.7804$r4.483655@www.newsranger.com>
<20010526010405.18236.00001645@ng-cj1.aol.com>
<9B_P6.1312$rn5.77918@www.newsranger.com> <9es1an$rcf$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <CN8R6.5411$rn5.267304@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please

X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 11:59:30 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 15:59:30 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22876

On 27 May 2001 23:07:03 GMT, Jeffrey Herman says:

> But for the record, I obtained that KH2 callsign legally
> after a short stay at UoG in Guam. Upon my return to
> Hawaii I kept the Guam callsign, just as a lot of the Guam
> transplants do here; I know of three. When you change
> residence within Call Area 13 (Pacific islands), you don't
> have to surrender your old KH# callsign.

And back in May of 1996, on rec.radio.amateur.misc Jeffrey
Herman says:

> I never left Hawaii.
> Now, I had planned on spending summer on Guam in order to
> teach, so I changed my FCC address to there. But at the last
> minute I received 3 courses to teach here and at Chaminade
> University.

I'm confused Jeffrey. Which of your conflicting stories is true, Jeffrey?

1) (May, 2001 version) You resided on Guam for a short time, and got a KH2 call;

or..

2) (May, 1996 version) You never left Hawaii, but "borrowed" the mailing
address of Dr. Perry at University of Guam, sorta like Deignan "borrowed"
your mailing address to get his KH6HZ callsign.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From -5535386958352272135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 09:21:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.

```
Date: 30 May 2001 15:15:09 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9f32pt02hg5@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <3B139A3A.257738B1@IEEE.org> <20010529103016.06566.00005578@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3B13BEDB.48559E53@IEEE.org> <9f1fvm$jln$0@208.206.142.82>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-978.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22877
```

In article <9f1fvm$jln$0@208.206.142.82>, dixie@townsqr.com says...

```
>
>
>
>CAM wrote:
>>
>> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> > I do not recognize your qualifications to judge my whining.
>>
>> It doesn't matter, Larry, you agreed to stop whining if I would.
>>
>> > After all, you have done far more whining than I have.
>>
>> What, pray tell, do I have to whine about?
>
>
> You sure do a lot of it for one has no reason to.
```

Yes. Kvetching is a more appropriate word.

```
From -7062584731617829627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 09:21:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!pln-w!spln!
dex!extra.newsguy.com!newsp.newsguy.com!enews2
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 30 May 2001 15:21:34 GMT
Organization: Deja.com - Before you buy.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9f335u12hg5@enews2.newsguy.com>
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
```

```
<3B123B70.31FC6925@IEEE.org> <06sQ6.48479$t12.3764063@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B14F7A1.D57374E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: p-976.newsdawg.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.5
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22878

In article <3B14F7A1.D57374E@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>Richard McCollum wrote:
>>
>> "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
>> news:3B123B70.31FC6925@IEEE.org...
>> > Richard McCollum wrote:
>> > > I cannot agree with what you have encountered but I sure as hell
>> understand
>> > > it.
>> >
>> > If the no-code Techs would only adopt the Stockholm Syndrome, all would
>> > be well within ham radio.
>>
>> You got me snowed.
>
>The Stockholm Syndrome is "an emotional attachment to a captor formed by
>a hostage as a result of continuous stress, dependence, and a need to
>cooperate for survival." If the no-code Techs would only react that way,
>it would make all the old-fart hams happy.

Stockholm Syndrome? They used to call it brainwashing.



From 1888133304223710672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 09:49:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 16:49:02 GMT
References: <3b150d71.462771130@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Message-ID: <20010530124902.09648.00002034@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22879
```

W3RV wrote:

>That's what really boils my oil. The geeks come in here, make "grand
>pronouncements" about the "digital revolution". "Grand
>pronouncements" is ALL they do. Where's the freakin' beef??

Being done by those who don't make grand pronouncements, of course. Look up the various calls and names of posters here and see who has articles - any kind of articles - published in QST and QEX. You'll find W1RFI, for sure. But not many others.

>>
>> I look for the FCC to do a drastic VHF/UHF
>>withdrawal of bands and for the 'reason' they will surely use this very
>>concept. It's just the opening salvo.
>>
>Put that in the bank.
>>

It's a classic Catch-22. The disputed ground is not HF, either.

The most popular amateur VHF/UHF mode, by far, is analog voice FM. Nice audio, simple, and been around forever. It also sucks up many kHz of bandwidth for a single communications-quality channel. Double those kHz for repeater use. Top half of 2 meters is bandplanned into 135 FM voice frequencies, most of them repeater pairs, so you wind up with about 25 simplex and 55 repeater channels in 2 MHz. Maximum potential of 80 ham conversations in 2 MHz, and that's only true if nobody overdeviates into the next channel.

(I'm doing these numbers in my head, so I may be off by a few percent, but the big picture is the same).

Packet is mostly stuck at 1200 because it was designed to use the FM voice rigs. 9600 pushes that a bit, but not much. To do more requires new rigs....

FCC looks at all that, and then at other services begging for spectrum, and it becomes harder and harder to justify all of those amateur kHz.

SSB is actually pretty tough to beat for HF voice, because pushing communications quality real-time voice through 2 kHz or so via digital ain't all so easy when you have to deal with real-world HF propagation issues.

The Catch-22 is that if we don't go to more spectrum-efficient VHF/UHF modes, the FCC will
reallocate some VHF/UHF spectrum to push us that way. If we DO go to those modes, the FCC will take the spectrum because we won't need it anymore.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 2340331872070585367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 10:05:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 17:04:36 GMT
References: <3B14FD37.19D33411@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010530130436.09648.00002037@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22880

>Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 5/30/01 10:01 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B14FD37.19D33411@IEEE.org>
>
>W1RFI wrote:
>> Don't you think that you can better answer a question about your station
>than
>> can Cecil? It appears to me that he answered the question based on his
>> emergency capability -- an 850 aH battery, tape recorders and a bevy of
>> secretaries.
>
>Slight corrections: It's an 850 amp battery - I don't know the aH rating.

850 A battery? That's a horse of a different color.

A car/truck battery with an 850 A CCA rating is probably good for 40-60 AH or so, max. That's not very much in an emergency situation when the battery has to run a rig, tape recorder, TNC, lapper, etc., etc. If the whole shebang draws just 4 amps, you will have maybe 8-10 hours of operation before things go bad because of low voltage. Probably less.

Solar panels? They're fine if the sun shines enough to keep ahead of the electrical load. But they have to be sized right for the situation, and you have to allow for cloudy winter days. To keep pace with a load of, say, 20 AH per day requires a pretty big panel - rough guess would be a 5-6 amp size where Cecil lives. Note that the battery is not 100% efficient - you have to put in more than you get out.

--

I used to be responsible for large numbers of backup batteries. 80 AH was about the smallest we ever used. Ever see a 120 volt bank of 420 AH lead-acid cells? That's a backup battery! But it's not very portable.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -7933030577594040041
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 10:12:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3b150d71.462771130@news2.dvol.com> <20010530124902.09648.00002034@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <AR9R6.5531$rn5.272209@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 13:12:00 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 17:12:00 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22881

On 30 May 2001 16:49:02 GMT, N2EY says:

> Look up the various calls and names of posters here and see who
> has articles - any kind of articles - published in QST and QEX.

Are you looking for writers, or are you looking for experimenters?

> The Catch-22 is that if we don't go to more spectrum-efficient VHF/UHF modes,
> the FCC will reallocate some VHF/UHF spectrum to push us that way.

I live in a reasonably large metropolitan area (Mpls/St.Paul, MN).
Every 2M/70CM repeater channel pair in the bandplan is spoken for -- every
one! Yet you can put a scanning rig on 2M or 440 and it will "cycle" for hours
on end without hitting a busy frequency. I'd hate to be the guy charged
with defending those allocations based on usage! At best I'd guess we
could reserve some small sliver for Skywarn and similar contingencies.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From -2685469398449361887
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 10:13:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "Dave Tuttle" <kb4okb@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 13:20:31 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.f7.81.67
X-Server-Date: 30 May 2001 17:13:59 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13130
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22882 rec.radio.shortwave:35575


Cornmash Sam <ph4090954@aol.com> wrote in message
news:VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> Mabey I'm wrong but....
>
> 1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
> 2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
>      the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
>      Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
>      ...take yer pick boys!)

Nope! It was "The War of Northern Aggression"

Dave / NC4DT
```

```
From 2329846365844535936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 10:42:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <ihaR6.5585$rn5.273914@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 13:41:34 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 17:41:34 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13131
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22883 rec.radio.shortwave:35581
```

If I were "the Colonel", I'd be checking my perimeter integrity.....

Washington, D.C. � The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) announced that an investigation by the FCC�s Enforcement Bureau led to the arrest of Mr. Ibar (Robert) Mohamed for operating an unlicensed FM radio station on 89.3 MHz in Queens, NY and Brooklyn, NY. The United States Marshals Service arrested Mr. Mohamed on May 22, 2001, working in conjunction with FCC Agents and the Office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of New York.

Prior FCC enforcement actions had failed to deter Mr. Mohamed�s unlicensed operation of the FM station. The FCC, on more than one occasion, had issued notices to Mr. Mohamed directing him to cease operation of the unlicensed station. Further, the U.S. Marshal�s Service, in conjunction with the United States Attorney�s Office and the FCC, had seized his radio equipment on two previous occasions.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From 909845256913105529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 1009ad,983b5cabb32252e
X-Google-Attributes: gid1009ad,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 10:54:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Bullheaded" <@.>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B13F3BF.6BBD@mobiletel.com> <9f1ild$r81$1@208.206.142.82>
<ag_Q6.11$9k6.2377@typhoon.sonic.net> <kl%Q6.1009$bd.149332@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 21
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <DtaR6.1182$J23.202137@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /
KiKeUcjWYTK0Y5AWUET2p5cIw7NOzgMcIJsc19UBHgNVM6txYjtPao4jWHqnokRDEIjnqzK26g1!9/
qc7u+xxyHbWWLpFdC829PBtRGrGsf2OZBqAbvxZd0B+9CxRCeFh/jlcFV9+CX9FmhT8LYpNQaY!
PbRvdW+o
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 17:54:43 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 17:54:44 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner:11643 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22884
rec.radio.shortwave:35586

That's Tennessee.

"Joe" <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.com> wrote in message
news:kl%Q6.1009$bd.149332@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net...
> Hey, I thought it was:
> Couples get motel rooms to get it on doggy style ...to watch NASCAR
> simultaneously
> Or is that Tennessee
>
> Ron wrote in message ...
> >How do you know you're in a motel in Kentucky?
> >
> >You call the front desk and say "I got a leak in my sink!"
```

> >
> >.....and they guy says "OK...Go ahead".
> >
> >[ta-bump!]
> >
>
>

From -3135999041056828046
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 10:56:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 13:56:19 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <thad253olqde6a@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <20010526084955.22020.00001328@ng-mj1.aol.com> <3b0fda4e.121992757@news2.dvol.com>
<th7b1nmafr1920@corp.supernews.com> <9f0cnm$3fb$0@208.206.142.109>
<3b14f92f.457584714@news2.dvol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 89
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22885

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" <kelly@nospam.dvol.com> wrote in message
news:3b14f92f.457584714@news2.dvol.com...
> I've used all-DSP HF radios, I've run a Kachina on 40 & 20 cw. I own a
> JRC NRD 545 rcvr (40-bit IF DSP). I wouldn't take either into a cw dx
> contest which is the most technically demanding test of HF rcvr
> performance. The Kachina is mediocre in every respect. The 545 defines
> the current state of the art for <$5k DSP rcvrs and it's a very nice
> radio, much better than the Kachina. But I'll tell ya what: When it
> comes to selectivity both from the "nose" width and skirt shapes my 30
> year old tube-based meatball Collins 75S-3B outperforms the 545 by a
> wide margin and I can't count the number of contests I've wrung outta
> that antique radio.

Could it be that after all the years of using analog rigs that now you can
use them to best advantage by gut feel? The characteristic of a DSP rig will
be different, filters will have shape factors more like the ideal type etc.

I would suspect that what you get now with some DSP rigs as far as performance is not really a limitation of DSP but a limitation of the implementation. As experience grows the core DSP code can be modified to suit the preferences of an individual operator while improving performance. I think this is much like the tube v.s. solid state audio amplifier issue. The solid states amps can be shown to be cleaner, but people still preffer the sound of the old tube amps in some cases.

> Predictable perhaps. Defining the state of the art from the standpoint
> of raw performance out on the bands where the rubber hits the road? No
> way. DSP at this point in history has a LONG way to go before it
> catches up with the front end and IF performance of analog
> processsing. Maybe someday but all-DSP radios are a long way from
> prime time so far.

I would agree that an all DSP based rig is a way off. I do see however that the rate of evolution will be faster for the DSP based rigs than for the analog based designs. It would take an experimenter far less time to design new DSP algorithms and code them than let say design a new rig from the PCB level up along with the problems of getting the required analog components, test equipment and debuging the design.

> Per previous they're already out there, the Kachina, the Ten-Tec
> Pegasus and some others. They simply don't compete well with the
> mostly-anaolg xcvrs no matter how ya cut it.

Not yet, but they are still evolving. I don't know many people who will complain that the old 33 1/3 RPM LP records and 8-track tapes sound better than the current digital CD's do for example.

> In any event DSP radios are not a "policy" issue, if the computer
> science types enjoy working on xcvrs-in-a- Gateway so be it. The
> policy issue is about the FCC "demanding" digitizing ham radio which
> none of the above addresses.

I think it does. No the FCC is not "demanding" digitizing ham radio, but they sure are telling us in what direction we should be moving in. At some point I would not be a bit surprised that we will have to "justify" our continued use of the bands by what we do in the way of DSP radio design. Just consider the old arguments used here why the Morse Code testing should remain. One of the "typical" replies why is to maintain a pool of trained CW operators, well it seems the FCC is shifting gears and wants a pool of trained operators who know something about modern DSP technology now instead. That I think is a shift in the FCC's policy which needs to be addressed here.

> It's the digital HF modes thing which has my attention.

I'm sure that DSP technology will have a lot to offer in that area too.

--

--

73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

From -3295523568640228145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 11:14:25 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 18:14:11 GMT
Message-ID: <3b15343c.472699342@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b150d71.462771130@news2.dvol.com> <20010530124902.09648.00002034@ng-cs1.aol.com> <AR9R6.5531$rn5.272209@www.newsranger.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.188
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.188
X-Trace: 30 May 2001 13:09:38 -0500, 64.24.19.188
Lines: 33
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22886

On Wed, 30 May 2001 17:12:00 GMT, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:

>On 30 May 2001 16:49:02 GMT, N2EY says:
>
>> Look up the various calls and names of posters here and see who
>> has articles - any kind of articles - published in QST and QEX.
>
>Are you looking for writers, or are you looking for experimenters?

>
Boink.
>
>> The Catch-22 is that if we don't go to more spectrum-efficient VHF/UHF modes,
>> the FCC will reallocate some VHF/UHF spectrum to push us that way.
>
>I live in a reasonably large metropolitan area (Mpls/St.Paul, MN).
>Every 2M/70CM repeater channel pair in the bandplan is spoken for -- every
>one! Yet you can put a scanning rig on 2M or 440 and it will "cycle" for hours
>on end without hitting a busy frequency. I'd hate to be the guy charged
>with defending those allocations based on usage! At best I'd guess we
>could reserve some small sliver for Skywarn and similar contingencies.
>
There are signals on 2m almost around the clock in this NE corridor
anthill but your basic bottom line is the same. Dead repeater pairs
galore plus all the completely wasted clumps of guard freqs between
pairs.
>
In the end we'll wind up with some bits and pieces of the freqs above
144mHz but not many. I think that what I'm seeing is ham radio being
driven down into the HF spectrum where it came from.
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>--
w3rv

From 5920935232459853970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 11:23:42 PST
Message-ID: <3B153A3D.E71D8542@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 13:21:49 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eplt6$qp1$0@208.207.71.172> <20010526221328.19879.00000882@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9eq00s$sff$0@208.207.71.172>
<Cc9Q6.25790$9D5.2292598@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B113703.19EBF68E@att.net> <3B11CA3D.2041B634@sccoast.net>
<xwnQ6.50448$4f7.3707798@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3B123B70.31FC6925@IEEE.org> <06sQ6.48479$t12.3764063@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B14F7A1.D57374E@IEEE.org>
<9f335u12hg5@enews2.newsguy.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp 991246510 64.240.47.135 (30 May 2001 13:15:10 -0500)
Lines: 19

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22887
```

```
"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
> >The Stockholm Syndrome is "an emotional attachment to a captor formed by
> >a hostage as a result of continuous stress, dependence, and a need to
> >cooperate for survival." If the no-code Techs would only react that way,
> >it would make all the old-fart hams happy.
>
> Stockholm Syndrome? They used to call it brainwashing.
```

In the case that caused it to be named that, there was apparently no overt attempt at brainwashing. It was named after a Stockholm bank robbery in 1973 during which a bank employee became romantically attached to a robber who held her hostage.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7895197800238231146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 11:25:57 PST
Message-ID: <3B153AC5.809FE148@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 13:24:05 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdywmg4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010528105227.06434.00001862@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge29l29.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3b13a70e.371028805@news2.dvol.com> <9f0bu7$1dg$0@208.206.142.109>
<3b150d71.462771130@news2.dvol.com>
```

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp 991246645 64.240.47.135 (30 May 2001 13:17:25 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22888

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> That's what really boils my oil. The geeks come in here, make "grand
> pronouncements" about the "digital revolution".  "Grand
> pronouncements" is ALL they do. Where's the freakin' beef??

Have you tried PACTOR II, Brian? I can hook up with Germany running
50 watts to a dipole when I can't even hear the signal.
--
cheers, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 181647235629103833
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 11:36:15 PST
Message-ID: <3B153D3B.A87AD544@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 13:34:35 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B14FD37.19D33411@IEEE.org> <20010530130436.09648.00002037@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
```

X-Trace: corp 991247276 64.240.47.135 (30 May 2001 13:27:56 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22889

N2EY wrote:

> A car/truck battery with an 850 A CCA rating is probably good for 40-60 AH or so, max. That's not very much in an emergency situation when the battery has to run a rig, tape recorder, TNC, lapper, etc., etc. If the whole shebang draws just 4 amps, you will have maybe 8-10 hours of operation before things go bad because of low voltage. Probably less.

I have a spare fully charged battery and of course, I don't have to run all my peripherals all the time, plus I have 300 watts of solar charging power while the sun is overhead. I just wish that was enough to run the air-conditioning. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7247293245019566617
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 11:38:56 PST
Message-ID: <3B153DCC.62684059@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 13:37:00 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp 991247421 64.240.47.135 (30 May 2001 13:30:21 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13132
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22890 rec.radio.shortwave:35591

Dave Tuttle wrote:
>
> Cornmash Sam <ph4090954@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > Mabey I'm wrong but....
> >
> > 1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
> > 2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
> >      the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
> >      Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
> >      ...take yer pick boys!)
>
> Nope! It was "The War of Northern Aggression"

I thought it was "The Damned Yankee War".


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -217665373727250515
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 11:50:33 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3b150d71.462771130@news2.dvol.com> <20010530124902.09648.00002034@ng-cs1.aol.com> <AR9R6.5531$rn5.272209@www.newsranger.com>
<3b15343c.472699342@news2.dvol.com>
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <nhbR6.5692$rn5.278887@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 14:49:55 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 18:49:55 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22891

On Wed, 30 May 2001 18:14:11 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv says:
>
>On Wed, 30 May 2001 17:12:00 GMT, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:
>
>>On 30 May 2001 16:49:02 GMT, N2EY says:
>>
>>> Look up the various calls and names of posters here and see who
>>> has articles - any kind of articles - published in QST and QEX.
>>
>>Are you looking for writers, or are you looking for experimenters?
>>
>Boink.

Probably related a lot to our "vocational personality" -- There's a
neat site on the web at www.cmi-lmi.com/kingdomality.html which gives
you a profile of "how you get things done" -- it told me this: (Just call me
"Prime Minister, Sir" from now on!)

"Your distinct personality, The Prime Minister might be found in most of the thriving kingdoms of the time. You are a strategist who pursues the most efficient and logical path toward the realization of the goal that you perceive or visualize. You will often only associate with those people who can assist you in the implementation of your plan. Inept assistants may be immediately discarded as excess baggage. To do otherwise could be inefficient and illogical. On the positive side, you can be rationally idealistic and analytically ideological. You can be a bold decision maker and risk taker who can move society ahead by years instead of minutes. On the negative side, you may be unmerciful, impatient, impetuous and impulsive. Interestingly, your preference is just as applicable in today's corporate kingdoms."

73, Hans, K0HB
--

Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From -8814235891763464083
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 11:54:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!e420r-sjo4.usenetserver.com!
news-out.usenetserver.com!e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net>
From: Bill Meacham <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net>
Reply-To: exray@coqui.net
Organization: www.sparkbench.com
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en,es-ES
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 14:52:07 EDT
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 14:54:22 -0400
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13133
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22892 rec.radio.shortwave:35592

The Mason-Dixon Line puts Baltimore in what you are considering as The
South.
-BM

Dave Tuttle wrote:
>
> Cornmash Sam <ph4090954@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > Mabey I'm wrong but....
> >

```
> > 1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
> > 2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
> >      the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
> >      Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
> >      ...take yer pick boys!)
>
> Nope! It was "The War of Northern Aggression"
>
> Dave / NC4DT



From 8539858447621160644
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 12:00:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordless
 phones?
In-Reply-To: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge408u8.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105301853180.12787-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3B11DE3E.EA5ADEC6@state.mn.us> <20010528104354.05666.00004615@nso-
fo.aol.com> <w5DQ6.49413$t12.3835825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105290834050.10209-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge408u8.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 58
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 19:00:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991249240 12.80.44.10 (Wed, 30 May
2001 19:00:40 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 19:00:40 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22893

On Tue, 29 May 2001, Phil Kane wrote:
> On Tue, 29 May 2001 08:38:11 GMT, D. Stussy wrote:
> >Cordless phones that operate on 33cm (900MHz) and 12cm (2.4GHz) CANNOT be
> >protected because there are licensed services (including amateur) that are
> >allocated those frequency bands.  Not only that, but as part 15 implies, we (as
> >amateurs) have a specific duty to listen to ANY device on those bands when
> >interference with our own equipment (when we operate there) is concerned; the
```

> >duty being to identify the source of the interference.
>
>   The law - the Electronic Communications Protection Act, 18 USC
>   s. 2511 et seq - is very specific although full of legal technicalities
>   and terms of art.
>
>   The "protection" provided by the Act is "legal" protection, not
>   "physical" protection, and is independent of what band the
>   radiotelephone operates. It provides penalties for UNAUTHORIZED
>   interception (reception and/or decoding), use, or divulgence of the
>   CONTENTS of the communication. Enforcement requires a complaint by
>   the "sender" who holds the rights to the "protection".
>
>   There are certain categories of interception which are exempt from
>   this proscription, including a co-channel licensee investigating
>   actual interference, but this exemption extends only to identifying
>   the source of the transmissions but does not convey any right to
>   divulgence or use of the contents of the communication.
>
> >The only phones that can be protected are the 49MHz phones - and only
> >because they are NOT in a frequency band that is also allocated to
> >another service. Their frequencies are exclusively their own.
>
>   Totally inaccurate....useless and dangerous if offered as a defense
>   against unauthorized interception.

If that's wrong, which radio service is co-channeled in the 49MHz band with the phones?

>   PS - do not be confused by the recent USSC decision regarding the
>   broadcast of unlawfully obtained recordings. It dealt only with
>   the (non) liability of the uninvolved third-party receiver of the
>   material which was illegally obtained by interception, and heavily
>   depended on their ruling several decades earlier involving the NY
>   Times and the Ellsberg Papers. In that one, had the thief been
>   named Stussy or Kane instead of Ellsberg, we'd still be mopping
>   floors in K-Block at Marion.

You did not cite the case, but I can only guess from your statement that this was a case about the DIVULGING of the content of the cordless phone communication. Nowhere did I say that one could do that, nor was that part of the original post (as I remember it). It asked about interception; nothing more.

As far as the ECPA goes, didn't I paraphrase exactly the "interception exception" - determination of interference? When I said, "the duty being to identify the source of the interference," you make it sound as if I were saying something different than what the law permits. Please explain why you're raising this point in "apparent disagreement" when in fact the text of our messages fully agree.

```
From -4297556330814760418
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 12:03:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
In-Reply-To: <20010530075857.10190.00001819@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105301903470.12787-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <3kRQ6.52715$4f7.3935461@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010530075857.10190.00001819@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 11
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 19:03:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991249430 12.80.44.10 (Wed, 30 May
2001 19:03:50 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 19:03:50 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22894
```

On 30 May 2001, W1RFI wrote:

> Most of these 2.4 GHz and 900-MHz devices are legally FCC certificated, so they
> have every legal right to exist, subject to the provision that they not cause
> harmful interference to radio services. If there is no one on the band, there
> is no harmful interference. Even if there is a ham listening, merely hearing a
> Part 15 device does not meet the level of harmful interference as described in
> the rules.

What is "certificated"? How is that different from certified?

```
From -6872902165653088817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 13:10:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 30 May 2001 20:00:19 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9f3jgj$4gc$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9evd5u$hrn$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010529103017.06566.00005582@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3B13C139.1D682A11@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991252819 4620 128.171.44.54 (30 May 2001 20:00:19 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 May 2001 20:00:19 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22895
```

CAM wrote:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> A better question is -- How long can he run the tape recorder, an HF
>> transceiver, a TNC, and a computer on a 5-7 Amp gel cell?

>Nope, Larry, the question is: How long can I run all that stuff on
>an 850 amp deep discharge marine battery being charged by solar power?

Cecil, the question is: What time advantage do you realize by
taping formal message traffic at 200 wpm then having to rewind
and play the tape, stopping it periodically to transcribe the text?

20 wpm CW and a mill will provide a typed copy the first time.

KH6O

```
From -4247938673607797641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 13:21:03 PST
Message-ID: <3B1555C7.45C5CD9E@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 15:19:19 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9evd5u$hrn$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010529103017.06566.00005582@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3B13C139.1D682A11@IEEE.org> <9f3jgj$4gc$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.135
X-Trace: corp 991253560 64.240.47.135 (30 May 2001 15:12:40 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
```

illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.telebeam.net!washdc3-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.utk.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22896

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Cecil, the question is: What time advantage do you realize by
> taping formal message traffic at 200 wpm then having to rewind
> and play the tape, stopping it periodically to transcribe the text?

I write as fast as I can to start with, Jeff. What I miss, I fill in the blanks with the tape. I write down only the important information. If someone says, "We need help fast or someone is going to die.", I fully understand that without writing it down.

You emergency traffic jocks have no idea what a real emergency is like. By the time you get every single character 100% correct at 20wpm, it's too late. The CW operation was a success but the patient died.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1986640761809416998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 13:24:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>

```
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net> <3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 20:24:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 991254291 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 30 May 2001 13:24:51
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 13:24:51 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13134
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22897 rec.radio.shortwave:35603

You are all from the south

"Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
news:3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net...
> The Mason-Dixon Line puts Baltimore in what you are considering as The
> South.
> -BM
>
>
> Dave Tuttle wrote:
> >
> > Cornmash Sam <ph4090954@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > Mabey I'm wrong but....
> > >
> > > 1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
> > > 2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
> > >      the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
> > >      Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
> > >      ...take yer pick boys!)
> >
> > Nope! It was "The War of Northern Aggression"
> >
> > Dave / NC4DT
>




From -4535010664260426586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 13:30:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 20:29:56 GMT
References: <ihaR6.5585$rn5.273914@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010530162956.08081.00002328@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22898

>Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/30/01 12:41 PM Central Daylight Time

>If I were "the Colonel", I'd be checking my perimeter integrity.....

No doubt.

>Prior FCC enforcement actions had failed to deter Mr. Mohamed’s >unlicensed operation of the FM station.

I seriously doubt that Mr. Mohamed was a proactive rump militiaman, sitting on a defendable perimeter with some assortment of firearms.

>Further, the U.S. Marshal’s Service, in conjunction with the United
>States Attorney’s Office and the FCC, had seized his radio equipment >on two previous occasions.

How much you want to bet it takes more than a "knock-knock" warrant and the flashing of a couple badges to get "The Colonel's" gear away from HIM...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

"An America Not Prepared For War In Peacetime Will Not Know Peace In Any Time."

http://hometown.aol.com/hanggldgpilot/myhomepage/profile.html

From 6739444543104954970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 13:45:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 20:44:26 GMT
References: <CN8R6.5411$rn5.267304@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010530164426.08081.00002329@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22899

>Subject: Re: hmmmm......
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 5/30/01 10:59 AM Central Daylight Time

>And back in May of 1996, on rec.radio.amateur.misc Jeffrey
>Herman says:

You must be bored, Hans...pitifully, shamefully, and needlessly bored. Too bad.

>2) (May, 1996 version) You never left Hawaii, but "borrowed" the mailing
>address of Dr. Perry at University of Guam, sorta like Deignan >"borrowed" your mailing address to get his KH6HZ callsign.

Any of which is pertinent for WHAT reason...?!?! I find it interesting that you take the opportunity to chastise me (and others) publically for pursuing what YOU consider to be irrelevant topics, but then YOU set out on your own missions of harrassment.

Pot/kettle/black

Jeff was legally assigned the callsign.

He has not attempted to mislead anyone as to his CURRENT location.

Present FCC regulations allow the "portability" of an FCC issued callsign, regardless of the current legal domicile of the holder.

Everything else is a troll for a fight on YOUR part. NO ONE cares how Jeff got his callsign any more than we care how you got yours.

Now take an extra hit of your Geritol and go on back and regale Mrs Brokesnob with your tales of your Newsgroup Warfare Hero status.

Putz.

PS..."K0HB" e mails get deleted without reading. Leave it here, chump.

From 2765487077909974309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 13:51:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Bullheaded" <@.>
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net> <VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net> <3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net> <nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 33
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <N2dR6.1354$J23.306629@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /bV2/4A6r/+1JlhKYDTBhnhgg0oLpFIwOTao83mCIOmIeTEDsH/cTsP8GwkCoZofWFCqaax1hXTl!75ObPnvPgSXrKPzQ60Mhchajz6DxUKb2ZuWDHJTS9fQg/M4vUqX8/rJ+zV3RRztA3hCPqqAgKgtz!BDZY2DTw
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 20:50:53 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 20:50:53 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13137 rec.radio.amateur.policy:22901 rec.radio.shortwave:35610

And?

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...

```
> You are all from the south
>
> "Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
> news:3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net...
> > The Mason-Dixon Line puts Baltimore in what you are considering as The
> > South.
> > -BM
> >
> >
> > Dave Tuttle wrote:
> > >
> > > Cornmash Sam <ph4090954@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > Mabey I'm wrong but....
> > > >
> > > > 1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
> > > > 2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
> > > >      the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
> > > >      Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
> > > >      ...take yer pick boys!)
> > >
> > > Nope! It was "The War of Northern Aggression"
> > >
> > > Dave / NC4DT
> >
>
>
```

```
From -3123935974107627095
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 14:10:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!
news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 14:02:21 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3B155FDD.7EFB7D46@uci.edu>
References: <20010524202917.15631.00000177@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010526000905.18236.00001640@ng-cj1.aol.com>
<3b0fbb94.4444870@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22902

Bill Sohl wrote:
> I see Cross's comments aimed at those regulations that
> hams want to keep or to add to current regs.  The FCC is
> clearly going in the direction of "less specific" regulation
> and wants a "consensus" in the ham community to either
> KEEP certain current regs and/or to ADD new regs.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

That's how I understood the comments as well, Bill.  Requiring
a concensus from the amateur community before adopting any changes
to the regs is a very prudent approach, and more or less assures
that they won't have to be tampering with anything anytime soon.
The ARS is about as concensus building as is this newsgroup.

73, Jim AC6XG


From -5165628669947748663
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 14:19:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed00.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de!
newscore.gigabell.net!fu-berlin.de!uni-berlin.de!cho2-dialup159.nexet.NET!not-for-
mail
From: Kevin Alfred Strom <kevin.strom@revilo-oliver.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 17:18:58 -0400
Organization: I Speak Only for Myself.
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3B1563C2.11BDC028@revilo-oliver.com>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net> <3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: cho2-dialup159.nexet.net (216.174.1.159)
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Trace: fu-berlin.de 991257546 2325377 216.174.1.159 (16 [52698])
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13138
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22903 rec.radio.shortwave:35613

Bill Meacham wrote:
>
> The Mason-Dixon Line puts Baltimore in what you are considering as The
> South.
> -BM
[...]

That's correct; and, furthermore, that's where the sympathy of most Marylanders was during the second war for independence which was fought on American soil.

Lincoln even (illegally, needless to say) imprisoned the entire Maryland legislature at Fort McHenry because he rightly feared that they would exercise their rights and vote to join the Confederacy.

With every good wish,

Kevin, WB4AIO.
--

Kevin Alfred Strom.

News, links, and pictures: http://www.kevin-strom.com

From -5834813103779556111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ea753db8cbbd7a32
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 14:40:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: kinerm125@cs.com (Matt)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Description Of A Real Ham
Date: 30 May 2001 14:40:19 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <3e64731f.0105301340.6cc0e403@posting.google.com>
References: <steveklink-2041C6.10291123052001@news.kih.net>
<0tXO6.17748$425.4640160@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9ehlpu0200f@enews1.newsguy.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 199.228.142.7

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 991258819 785 127.0.0.1 (30 May 2001 21:40:19 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 May 2001 21:40:19 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22904

* Refuses to own a cell phone on principle.

* Tells friends who will listen that he can call for help to Germany if need
  be, while they're stuck in a cell 'dead zone' in 8' of snow.

* The Commodore 64 running 1200 baud packet in the shack is "just as good as
  the goddamm internet".  Has plans to upgrade to a Pentium 120 someday.

* Checks the condition of his antenna connections before checking the oil or
  fluids in the car prior to any long trip.

* Waiting for "the call" to provide CW expertise to the Army.

Matt N3SOZ  (tongue firmly in cheek)

"Charles Brabham" <n5pvl@the-i.net> wrote in message
news:<9ehlpu0200f@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> * His wife sits in the back seat to make more room up front for radios.
>
> * They call his car "the porcupine" because of all the antennas stcking out
> of it.
>
> * The FAA has declared the area surrounding his house and property a "no
> fly" zone.
>
> * He doesn't have to mow his yard because the solar cells keeps the grass
> from getting sunlight.
>
> * When he keys up, birds fall smoking from the air, and that's on the dummy
> load!
>
> * The NY blackout.
>
> * The Chicago fire, and what frightened the cow.
>
> * The truth about Three-Mile Island.
>
> * Has to wear an army web belt with suspenders to hold up his HT's and
> battery packs.
>
> * 1.2 gig yagi with rotor mounted on a "gimme" cap.
>
> * Goes overseas on vacation, caught trying to load up the Eiffel Tower.
>
> * Brownouts in Paris.
>
```

```
> * Looks in mirror, laughs.
>
> Charles, N5PVL


From -1067427244529751059
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 14:40:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com> <3B0F3B77.D0B27C1A@ix.netcom.com>
<ZzNP6.45616$t12.3525569@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<th7rp1c3qn9f0c@corp.supernews.com> <16ZQ6.53733$4f7.4020044@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <th9qevile5kga3@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <7NdR6.55975$4f7.4160921@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 21:40:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.126
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991258819 12.75.102.126 (Wed, 30 May
2001 21:40:19 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 21:40:19 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22905


"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:th9qevile5kga3@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:16ZQ6.53733$4f7.4020044@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Had you ever had the opportunity (not intended as slam) to take the old
> > First Phone, you would have been introduced to a telephone line
phenomenon
> > called 'twist' which had been known since before Harry von Zell gave us
> "the
> > President of the United States, the honorable Hoobert Heever".  An
> > interesting problem to be sure but not the same problem; in fact, its
use
> > makes subsequent problems worse.
>
> If your talking about where the phone company periodically transposed the
```

> wire lines along a given route, then yes I have read about it. It was done
> because the phone lines share space with the power lines on the utility
> pole. If I remember reading right it was done to reduce power line hum pick
> up. By swapping the lines around at a predetermined interval the phone lines
> remained balanced relative to the power lines and ground.
>
>
> --
> --
> 73 de,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

Noop, the tendency to distort and obliterate higher frequencies more than lower ones. Remote broadcasts tended to be a little bassy unless our heroic engineer did his anti-twist thing. Also remember that phone lines in those days were hi-tech if they weren't stapled to fence posts.

From 8765670373217843589
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 14:42:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge5v210.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <9f0d9a$3fb$1@208.206.142.109> <20010530070408.10190.00001799@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 17
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 21:42:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991258937 63.178.69.156 (Wed, 30 May 2001 21:42:17 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 21:42:17 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22906

On 30 May 2001 11:04:08 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>> I thought the FCC was suppsoed to have DF gear that could narrow it
>>down to which chair in the house the operator was sitting in.
>
>If I recall correctly, the FCC DFing site can get it down to a 3X5
>mile grid.

  And the mobile unit inside that "circle" gets it down to the
  antenna.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

```
From -4273231890378277619
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 14:42:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge5v4q1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <th83csqbk30p31@corp.supernews.com> <20010530070755.10190.00001801@ng-
fl1.aol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 16
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 21:42:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991258939 63.178.69.156 (Wed, 30 May 2001
21:42:19 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 21:42:19 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22907
```

On 30 May 2001 11:07:55 GMT, W1RFI wrote:

>>From: nada@na.da (redrum)
>
>>How the hell does he expect to put out 5kw without the FCC noticing?

```
>
>From what I gather, the FCC has noticed 800 watts, too.  What is a bit puzzling
>is why it is taking them so long. :-)  Must be bigger fish to fry.

  The U S Attorney may have "special requirements" for evidence of
  some sort.....

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From 6463942136146732715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 14:47:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!wn4feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3b150d71.462771130@news2.dvol.com> <20010530124902.09648.00002034@ng-
cs1.aol.com> <AR9R6.5531$rn5.272209@www.newsranger.com>
<3b15343c.472699342@news2.dvol.com> <nhbR6.5692$rn5.278887@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Lines: 52
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ZTdR6.55986$4f7.4162394@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 21:47:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.126
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991259257 12.75.102.126 (Wed, 30 May
2001 21:47:37 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 21:47:37 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22908


"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:nhbR6.5692$rn5.278887@www.newsranger.com...
> On Wed, 30 May 2001 18:14:11 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv says:
> >
> >On Wed, 30 May 2001 17:12:00 GMT, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:
> >
> >>On 30 May 2001 16:49:02 GMT, N2EY says:
> >>
> >>> Look up the various calls and names of posters here and see who
> >>> has articles - any kind of articles -  published in QST and QEX.
> >>
> >>Are you looking for writers, or are you looking for experimenters?
> >>
> >Boink.
>
> Probably related a lot to our "vocational personality" -- There's a
> neat site on the web at www.cmi-lmi.com/kingdomality.html which gives
> you a profile of "how you get things done" -- it told me this: (Just call
me
> "Prime Minister, Sir" from now on!)
>
> "Your distinct personality, The Prime Minister might be found in most of
the
> thriving kingdoms of the time. You are a strategist who pursues the most
> efficient and logical path toward the realization of the goal that you
perceive
> or visualize. You will often only associate with those people who can
assist you
> in the implementation of your plan. Inept assistants may be immediately
> discarded as excess baggage. To do otherwise could be inefficient and
illogical.
> On the positive side, you can be rationally idealistic and analytically
> ideological. You can be a bold decision maker and risk taker who can move
> society ahead by years instead of minutes. On the negative side, you may
be
> unmerciful, impatient, impetuous and impulsive. Interestingly, your
preference
> is just as applicable in today's corporate kingdoms."
>
>
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> --
>   Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

Parkinson defined the prime minister as the one who does not know anything
about Economics and therefore cannot be Chancellor of the Exchequer, knows
nothing about Defense, Law, or much of anything else but must be in the
government.  Prime Minister is the only job left.




From -2270249234776952332
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 15:00:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net> <3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net>
<nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N2dR6.1354$J23.306629@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 40
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <44eR6.87696$425.23516527@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 22:00:32 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 991260032 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 30 May 2001 15:00:32
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 15:00:32 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13139
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22909 rec.radio.shortwave:35615

Nothing, was hoping to put an end to the pointless debate ...

"Bullheaded" <@.> wrote in message
news:N2dR6.1354$J23.306629@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> And?
>
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > You are all from the south
> >
> > "Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
> > news:3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net...
> > > The Mason-Dixon Line puts Baltimore in what you are considering as The
> > > South.
> > > -BM
> > >
> > >
> > > Dave Tuttle wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Cornmash Sam <ph4090954@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > Mabey I'm wrong but....
> > > > >
> > > > > 1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
> > > > > 2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
> > > > >      the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
> > > > >      Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
> > > > >      ...take yer pick boys!)
> > > >
> > > > Nope! It was "The War of Northern Aggression"
> > > >
> > > > Dave / NC4DT
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
```

```
From -2161984876721245707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 16:21:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 65
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 30 May 2001 23:21:02 GMT
References: <acf82f0b.0105300444.3ba3d65c@posting.google.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Message-ID: <20010530192102.25387.00002083@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22910
```

Hey Alan,

I owe you one...thanks.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

```
>Hi Bert:
>
>Perhaps it is that simple. If this looks good to you, consider this my
>"going away" present. I was going through some reviews on eHam and I
>found a reference to this website.
>
>http://www.geocities.com/n2ffl/htx10.html
>
```

>On here is a CW mod for the Radio Shack HTX-10. Get this...it's all
>outside the radio and is constructed in an Altoids tin. The assembly
>then connects to the radio via...ready for this?...the mic connector.
>It's for the HTX-10, but I'm sure it's readily adaptable for your
>purposes. As they say in London, "get cracking!"
>
>As for the legality, you be the judge. Don't forget to include the
>"common sense" factor. Look, if you dig hard enough, you'll find a
>sub-article this or a paragraph xx.that, etc...that's not kosher. Stop
>and consider what you're doing. Practicing MORSE CODE within the legal
>power limits on a frequency that you've already shown to have had
>devices legally marketed for that purpose. Is this not actually
>refreshing in a band where illegal amplifiers and lid activity are all
>too prevalent? I'd think if ANY FCC agent were really dim-witted
>enough to hassle you over this, more than a few licensed amateurs
>might actually come to your aid. (I can think of two off the top of my
>head.) I dropped you an e-mail re. my address in HC land. If I have
>access to a terminal, I'll post again. Internet cafes are the norm in
>Guayaquil. Bye.
>
>73 de Alan
>GYE, Ecuador
>
>> On 29 May 2001 02:17:14 GMT, Bert Craig wrote:
>>
>> >I need to give the oscillator wiring some thought here. I'd wanted to
>> >avoid actually modifying the radio (Although my GROL allows me to do
>> >so legally as long as I don't alter the transmitter to exceed FCC
>> >limits.) itself.
>>
>>   You DO know the difference between the two classes of permissive
>>   modifications which do not require re-authorization and the major
>>   modification which requires formal re-authorization, don't you?
>>
>>   Adding tone capability to a transmitter not previously certified
>>   with that capability will change the authorized emission designator
>>   (adds A2A to A3E) and thus requires re-certification as a major
>>   modification. Even permissive modifications require notification to
>>   and approval by the Commission under most circumstances if made by
>>   someone other than the original grantee.
>>
>>   It's not all that simple, is it? See Section 2.1043 of the Rules.
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -4012624412942054844

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 16:30:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Date: 30 May 2001 23:28:54 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9f3vnm$gh4$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
<9erv1l$p3u$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B118B9A.48AFADA@IEEE.org>
<9eucu0$eij$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix2.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991265334 16932 128.171.44.7 (30 May 2001 23:28:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 May 2001 23:28:54 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22911

Sec. 95.631  Emission types.
    (c) A CB transmitter may transmit only emission types A1D, H1D, J1D,
R1D, A3E, H3E, J3E, R3E. A non-voice emission is limited to selective
calling or tone-operated squelch tones to establish or continue voice
communications. See Sec. 95.412 (b) and (c).
    (e) No GMRS or CB transmitter shall employ a digital modulation or
emission.
    (f) No GMRS, CB or R/C transmitter shall transmit non-voice data.

KH6O


From -5381585320013151327
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 16:50:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Date: 30 May 2001 23:46:23 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9f40of$hk3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B13A12F.CD95DC40@IEEE.org>
<9f15ra$f2s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B142A85.A3CFBFE9@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix2.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991266383 18051 128.171.44.7 (30 May 2001 23:46:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: 30 May 2001 23:46:23 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22912

CAM  wrote:

>Jeff wrote:
>> For the fourth time, "...it's not practical, but it is possible..."
>> and it proves you wrong that "out-of-the-box CW is not possible."

>For the fourth time, my IC-706 doesn't have a tune switch - no room
>for one.

Again, logic dictates that to prove a proposition false, we only
need to present one case in which it fails; that single false case
is enough to nullify the proposition. So there.    KH6o:=




From 1593577924872155991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 17:01:14 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 00:01:00 GMT
Message-ID: <3b157c7d.491195160@news2.dvol.com>
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.gdywmg4.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010528105227.06434.00001862@ng-ct1.aol.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge29l29.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<3b13a70e.371028805@news2.dvol.com> <9f0bu7$1dg$0@208.206.142.109>
<3b150d71.462771130@news2.dvol.com> <3B153AC5.809FE148@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.63
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.63
X-Trace: 30 May 2001 18:56:26 -0500, 64.24.16.63
Lines: 21
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22913

On Wed, 30 May 2001 13:24:05 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>> That's what really boils my oil. The geeks come in here, make "grand
>> pronouncements" about the "digital revolution".  "Grand
>> pronouncements" is ALL they do. Where's the freakin' beef??
>
```

>Have you tried PACTOR II, Brian? I can hook up with Germany running
>50 watts to a dipole when I can't even hear the signal.
>
The keyboard digital HF modes are not the discussion Cecil, the
discussion is about (a) the FCC "demanding" we move wholsale to
"digital radio or else" (?) (b) The geek's non-existing "digital
revolution", the advent of same being used as an excuse to drop the
code tests
>
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>
w3rv

From 5334569841915557399
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 17:01:34 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 00:01:13 GMT
Message-ID: <3b157f2b.491881870@news2.dvol.com>
References: <tgtffb7eg9m444@corp.supernews.com>
<3b13bbac.376306700@news2.dvol.com> <th7qsf7bqbps39@corp.supernews.com>
<PbSQ6.4167$rn5.217072@www.newsranger.com> <th9tepqo7t1v70@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.63
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.63
X-Trace: 30 May 2001 18:56:39 -0500, 64.24.16.63
Lines: 19
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.telebeam.net!washdc3-snf1!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22914

On Wed, 30 May 2001 09:29:57 -0400, "Leland C. Scott"
<no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
>I think it's more like "driving the state of the art" myself. So the
>contesters demand more performance then the engineering types at the major
>manufactures give it to them. So if the increased performance uses DSP,
>which seems to be the case, then that's what they get in the next version of
>their rice box rigs. Just look at the new FT-1000 Mark V for an example.
>
You look at the "DSP" M5 Leland, the big guns customairily install
three pairs of cascaded INRAD cryatal filters in the Mark 5 at $155 a
pop. Or Collins mechanical filters. Same filters I had in my 75A4
forty years ago.

>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
w3rv

From -5092758344780154983
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 17:05:36 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally "get's it"
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 00:01:31 GMT
Message-ID: <3b157c8b.491209109@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9f0bu7$1dg$0@208.206.142.109> <20010530075003.10190.00001815@ng-fl1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.63
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.63
X-Trace: 30 May 2001 18:56:57 -0500, 64.24.16.63
Lines: 29
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22915

On 30 May 2001 11:50:03 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>All that statement was had to be the setup for "we told you so" after
>>they go ahead and do whatever they want anyway.
>
>They wouldn't be able to that if we could stop our petty bickering and develop
>a concensus.
>
Ya mean a "consensus" like the ARRL BoD had during the code test
debate?
>
>The Commission encourages industry to develop concensus, too.
>The key difference is that they do -- various standards bodies have managed to
>hammer out standards that prevent the need for regulation. In spite of deep
>differences, with financial incentive behind them -- they do develop standards
>because they know that they are better off with them than without them.
>
Corporate behavior is driven by money and operates within dictatorial
corporate structures. No similarity at all with hams or ham radio and

the behavior of hams in this respect has been clearly demonstrated
over decades. I don't think you need the map from here.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From -447100305381729851
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 17:35:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 00:34:01 GMT
References: <3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010530203401.26720.00001182@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22916

In article <3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Virtually all commercial transceivers have computers built in.

They have embedded microcontrollers, yes. Not general purpose computers as most
people use the word.

>A computer is a jellybean chip anymore, no larger than your
>pinkynail.

No, that's just the processor. A computer needs lots of other stuff to be
useful. Ask John von Neumann.

>The IC-756PRO's computer has enough MIPs to perform
>FSK and PSK31 encoding/decoding.

Maybe - but are those functions implemented in the IC-756PRO, so that you don't
need any external boxes to work PSK31, or PACTOR, or even plain old Baudot
RTTY? Nope.

Are they implemented in ANY "commercial" amateur rig?

> The time is coming when virtually

>all the functions in a transceiver will be performed by the built
>in computer.

Not the really important ones, though. Like dealing with the actual RF signals.

>I know that chaps your posterior but progress happens.

When they can do better than 130 dB blocking dynamic range, and all of the other things the good "analog" HF sets have been doing for decades, maybe.

-

When I was a kid they told us that flat screen TVs that you could hang on a wall like a picture were "just around the corner". Nuclear power was going to make electricity "too cheap to meter". And we'd all ride to work in 400 mph George Jetson autogyros. I'm still waiting.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>Buy some lotion. On second thought, rub some Desenex on it.
>

From 1697851042483635928
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 17:51:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!sn-xit-03!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: nada@na.da (redrum)
Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 00:51:05 -0000
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <thb5bphk926468@corp.supernews.com>
X-Newsreader: WinVN 0.99.9 (Released Version) (x86 32bit)
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net> <VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net> <9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net> <3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net> <nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N2dR6.1354$J23.306629@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net> <44eR6.87696$425.23516527@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain; charset=US-ASCII
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com

```
Lines: 52
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13145
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22917 rec.radio.shortwave:35638

In article <44eR6.87696$425.23516527@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
tstdenis3160@home.com says...
>
>Nothing, was hoping to put an end to the pointless debate ...

Use Godwin's Law here:

YOU ARE ALL HITLER FUCKING NAZI MORONS!

There, now it's over.

>
>"Bullheaded" <@.> wrote in message
>news:N2dR6.1354$J23.306629@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
>> And?
>>
>> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
>news:nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
>> > You are all from the south
>> >
>> > "Bill Meacham" <NOSPAMexray@coqui.net> wrote in message
>> > news:3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net...
>> > > The Mason-Dixon Line puts Baltimore in what you are considering
as The
>> > > South.
>> > > -BM
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Dave Tuttle wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > Cornmash Sam <ph4090954@aol.com> wrote in message
>> > > > news:VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Mabey I'm wrong but....
>> > > > >
>> > > > > 1) Isn't Kentucky located BELOW the Mason-Dixon Line?
>> > > > > 2) Didn't the citizens of the Kentucky Territories align with
>> > > > >      the CSA at the outbreak of the Civil War ? (or the War
>> > > > >      Between the States or War of Southern Independence...
>> > > > >      ...take yer pick boys!)
>> > > >
>> > > > Nope! It was "The War of Northern Aggression"
>> > > >
>> > > > Dave / NC4DT
>> > >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
```

```
>
>



From 1778228605789141319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 18:32:46 PST
Message-ID: <3B159ED1.23ED16FE@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 20:30:57 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <9eqo7q$69f$0@208.207.71.176> <3B13A12F.CD95DC40@IEEE.org>
<9f15ra$f2s$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B142A85.A3CFBFE9@IEEE.org>
<9f40of$hk3$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 991272258 64.240.47.49 (30 May 2001 20:24:18 -0500)
Lines: 27
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22918

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> CAM  wrote:
>
> >Jeff wrote:
> >> For the fourth time, "...it's not practical, but it is possible..."
> >> and it proves you wrong that "out-of-the-box CW is not possible."
>
> >For the fourth time, my IC-706 doesn't have a tune switch - no room
> >for one.
>
> Again, logic dictates that to prove a proposition false, we only
> need to present one case in which it fails; that single false case
```

> is enough to nullify the proposition. So there.   KH6o:=

I did not say, out-of-the-box CW is not possible.
I said, "you can't work CW out of 'the' box", which means I get to
choose the box, Jeff. I didn't say out of "any" box. I said out
of "the" box which obviously indicates that I had "the" appropriate
single box in mind when I said it. So to prove me wrong, you must
be able to work CW out of *ALL* boxes including the one I choose.
You lose.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4358036651160575320
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 18:49:21 PST
Message-ID: <3B15A2AC.21A71363@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 30 May 2001 20:47:24 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org> <20010530203401.26720.00001182@nso-mg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 991273245 64.240.47.49 (30 May 2001 20:40:45 -0500)
Lines: 50
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22919

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

> >Virtually all commercial transceivers have computers built in.
>
> They have embedded microcontrollers, yes. Not general purpose computers as most
> people use the word.

Generically, they are computers. Probably, most of them are general purpose
devices except for the ROM programming. Most microcontrollers contain CPU,
ROM, RAM, and I/O all in one chip.

> >A computer is a jellybean chip anymore, no larger than your
> >pinkynail.
>
> No, that's just the processor. A computer needs lots of other stuff to be
> useful. Ask John von Neumann.

Beg to differ. The 80C51 single chip computer contains CPU, ROM, RAM, and I/O.
That's why they call it a single chip microcontroller. Single chip devices
reduce parts count and cost, ideal for low-cost transceivers. My IC-706
uses a 64-pin single-chip microcontroller. They don't say which one but
I'll bet it's a Motorola general purpose 68xx/68xxx device with ICOM's
special ROM code.

> >The IC-756PRO's computer has enough MIPs to perform
> >FSK and PSK31 encoding/decoding.
>
> Maybe - but are those functions implemented in the IC-756PRO, so that you don't
> need any external boxes to work PSK31, or PACTOR, or even plain old Baudot
> RTTY? Nope.

I don't know for sure but ICOM advertises "all-mode, including PSK31"
and "RTTY demodulator". I'm considering buying one.

> > The time is coming when virtually
> >all the functions in a transceiver will be performed by the built
> >in computer.
>
> Not the really important ones, though. Like dealing with the actual RF signals.

Every year, higher and higher frequency RF signals are being digitized.
After they are digitized, are they still RF signals?

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7547846750735812533
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 18:54:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 01:54:36 GMT
References: <3B14FCD7.1B848E61@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010530215436.24362.00001487@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22920

In article <3B14FCD7.1B848E61@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>I can build a microphone out of pencil lead and aluminum foil, Dick.
>Can't you?

Sure. That's easy.

But can you hook it to a modern transceiver and get it to work? I doubt it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 1966262517124004585
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 18:55:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 01:54:35 GMT
References: <AR9R6.5531$rn5.272209@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Message-ID: <20010530215435.24362.00001486@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22921

In article <AR9R6.5531$rn5.272209@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> writes:

>On 30 May 2001 16:49:02 GMT, N2EY says:

>
>> Look up the various calls and names of posters here and see who
>> has articles - any kind of articles - published in QST and QEX.
>
>Are you looking for writers, or are you looking for experimenters?

Looking for experimenters who write about it. If somebody does something new but doesn't tell anyone about it, it has no practical effect. If somebody writes about the theoretical possibilities but doesn't actually build them, it's just talk.

Think of G3PLX. Comes up with a new idea that turned into PSK31. Gathers a bunch of folks around the world to help him test and develop the mode. Then GIVES IT AWAY to the amateur community. Pretty soon we have articles in the amateur press out the yingyang, websites with free downloads, demos at club meetings and hamfests, Small Wonder making $40 rig kits that plug into a lapper.

That's a real ham and real ham radio, right there. That's how it's done.
>
>> The Catch-22 is that if we don't go to more spectrum-efficient VHF/UHF
>modes,
>> the FCC will reallocate some VHF/UHF spectrum to push us that way.
>
>I live in a reasonably large metropolitan area (Mpls/St.Paul, MN).
>Every 2M/70CM repeater channel pair in the bandplan is spoken for -- every
>one! Yet you can put a scanning rig on 2M or 440 and it will "cycle" for
>hours
>on end without hitting a busy frequency.

The big question: WHY the lack of activity? One possible reason: Too many repeaters!

Note that 220 gets ignored.

>I'd hate to be the guy charged
>with defending those allocations based on usage! At best I'd guess we
>could reserve some small sliver for Skywarn and similar contingencies.
>
Bingo.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 7911847762711941352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 18:55:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
```

mail
Lines: 101
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 31 May 2001 01:54:37 GMT
References: <3B134BB6.472A8386@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Message-ID: <20010530215437.24362.00001488@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22922

In article <3B134BB6.472A8386@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Amazing. Do they use concrete or asphalt or both?
>
> They use both - concrete on the heavily used Autobahns near larger
>cities (plus bridges, etc), and asphalt just about everywhere else.

OK. Concrete is the "better" surface, but of course it costs more and takes
longer to put down.
>
>> The main factors in road life are two: Stress
>> (axle loading again) and quality of construction.
>> Wear from heavy axle loading is not a linear
>> function - one study showed that a SINGLE 18
>> wheel semitrailer rig does as much wear to a
>> typical US road as 7500 (seven thousand five
>> hundred) pickup trucks.
>
> Okay, lets not get too far over my head.

Think of it as "how big a hammer would you like somebody to hit you over the
head with"? 100 blows from a 1 ounce hammer or 1 blow from a 100 pound hammer?

>However, that does make me
>feel better about my wife endlessly running around town. I told her
>one day that if she didn't plan her shopping better, and cut down on
>repeating the same route two or three times a day (group all those
>into one trip), she was going to wear out the road and she would have
>to pay for the excessive wear. Now all I have to do is worry about is
>the constantly increasing mileage on her car. :-)
>
Actually, she pays for the road wear in the form of taxes on the fuel burned in
the car. Although trucks pay extra taxes, they do not begin to pay for the
damage they do to the road, nor the extra cost incurred to make the highway
suitable for big trucks. The trucking is essentially subsidized by motor
vehicle fuel taxes.
>

>> I suppose it's not just speed, but a whole raft
>> of concerns. Safety at any speed, getting the
>> cars to last longer, environmental concerns, and
>> a certain amount of protectionism for the
>> manufacturers (if you have to buy certain Volvo
>> parts only from Volvo, it guarantees them a
>> certain amount of revenue no matter what).
>
> You're probably right about the last part. They do have a fair
>number of companies selling independent parts for vehicles, but it is
>not as widespread as here (and not nearly the variety).
>
Yup. Here we can put almost anything on a car. Here in PA, we have annual
inspections (safety and emissions). Some states don't.

>> Crazy. On the one hand they are wild for safety,
>> and on the other they drive like maniacs.

> It is not bad once you get used to the aggressive driving. Like I
>said before, once you realize that other drivers are going to drive
>aggressively, it becomes reliably predictable. In that way, it is
>harder to drive in city traffic here - you simply don't know what that
>other driver is going to do.

Agreed. I note wide regional variations. Boston and Washington DC are the
worst. Philly and NYC are much better.
>
>> The police around here are very friendly, too,
>> if you treat them with the proper respect.

> No, it is slightly different. They are friendly without any
>conditions applied. I don't know how to describe it, so I'll just let
>it drop with that.
>
You described it perfectly. That IS different!

>> GREAT story, Dwight. Thanks for sharing it.

> Actually, not such a great story. My wife read it and is now
>talking about another trip overseas. She always did love christmas there.

You shot yourself in the foot on that one! I can understand why she loved
Christmas there - I was humming "O Tannenbaum" by the time I got to the end of
that post of yours, and I've never been there!
>
> Well, something came up that gave me a break from the stuff I have
>to do, so I did have time to respond more fully up to this point.
>However, that break is over, and a pile of stuff is waiting, so I had
>best end with this message. It has been fun talking about all this,
>Jim. You take care and perhaps we'll run into each other in this
>newsgroup again.

Thanks for the good info. Reply when you feel like it/get the time - no hurry.

Here's a bit of information from the NHTSA on PA's traffic deaths:

1994: 109 deaths on PA highways. 1999: 134 deaths on those same highways. About a 30% increase. Biggest change in that time: Speed limit ent from 55 to 65 on some of the roads. Cause and effect? Maybe.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -8108509178845369435
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-30 19:03:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 31 May 2001 02:02:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9f48nh$obc$0@208.207.71.158>
References: <3B148479.4CE24935@sccoast.net> <20010530065450.10190.00001795@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.158
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22923

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Neither does anyone else, but you have
> > noticed, of coursxe that they always manage
> > to note their Technicianness so that they
> > lay the groudnwork for a really good whine
> > and lament ...
>
> And you think that Technicians should tell us they are Extras? I think it is a
> darn shame that some older hams think that Technicians have to keep their
> license classes a secret.

What they should keep to themselves is all the you-must-be-an-a$$hole-since-you-passed-a-code-test nonsense .

```
From 3825437484560516945
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 07:14:33 PST
Message-ID: <3B165161.44ABC0F@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 09:12:49 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge4cgb1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
<20010530051200.02661.00001784@ng-fa1.news.cs.com>
<3b15d552.13447497@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.117
X-Trace: corp 991317969 64.240.47.117 (31 May 2001 09:06:09 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22974

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> Hey, check me Bert, I haven't been following this thread very closely.
> Are we seeing hams invading CB space like CBers invade 10m? Illegal as
> hell but there is something about the concept I like anyway.

If hams can share bands with other services, why can't hams share the
CB band?


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------


From 1917109611413725529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 07:29:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net> <3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net>
<nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N2dR6.1354$J23.306629@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <44eR6.87696$425.23516527@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<thb5bphk926468@corp.supernews.com>
<b3rR6.95001$425.25204947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tErR6.6911$rn5.321770@www.newsranger.com>
<78sR6.95494$425.25309644@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <eysR6.7001$rn5.326220@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 10:28:26 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 14:28:26 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13197
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22975 rec.radio.shortwave:35719

On Thu, 31 May 2001 14:00:35 GMT, Rob St.Denis says:
>
>
>"K0HB" <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in message
>news:tErR6.6911$rn5.321770@www.newsranger.com...
>> On Thu, 31 May 2001 12:47:03 GMT, Rob St.Denis says:
>>
>> >NAZI? Hardly, Skin head? Perhaps
>>
>> Obviously you don't comprehend Godwin's Law.
>
>That is not hard to see ....
```

Godwin's Law: "As a Usenet discussion grows longer, the probability of a comparison involving Nazis or Hitler approaches one."

There is a tradition in many groups that, once this occurs, that thread is over, and whoever mentioned the Nazis has automatically lost whatever argument was in progress. Godwin's Law thus practically guarantees the existence of an upper bound on thread length in those groups.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From 8586066710548911001
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 09:50:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 09:46:02 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3B16754A.A04A8C60@uci.edu>
References: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10105301903470.12787-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
<20010530221810.24235.00001635@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105310246310.13409-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22976
```

"D. Stussy" wrote:

```
>
> On 31 May 2001, W1RFI wrote:
> > From: "D. Stussy" kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org
> >
> > >What is "certificated"?  How is that different from certified?
> >
> > Certificated is the word in the FCC regs. Certified is not. :-)
>
> OK, but that still doesn't tell us what it means.  Certified IS a word in the
> English language (past participle of "to certify") while certificated is not (at
> least per all the dictionaries I checked).  There is a noun, "certificate," a
> document indicating that something has been certified ("serving as evidence of
> status, qualification, or testimony"), and "to certify" is the verb form
> (literally meaning "to make certain").  There is NO verb form "to certificate."
```

Kinda like the word 'destinated', I guess. :-)

73, Jim AC6XG

From 6644469672207027297
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 09:50:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge7cd91.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org> <20010530203401.26720.00001182@nso-mg.aol.com> <3b15abe8.2843832@news2.dvol.com> <3B15CA58.C60C65C@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Lines: 18
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 16:50:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991327803 63.178.69.156 (Thu, 31 May 2001 16:50:03 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 16:50:03 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22977

On Wed, 30 May 2001 23:36:40 -0500, CAM wrote:

>Well, Phillips advertises a flat screen TV and CA wouldn't have as
>big a power problem if they hadn't let Hanoi Jane shut down SMUD's
>Rancho Seco.

It wasn't so much Hanoi Jane, who only acted the part in the movie, as much as San Francisco's Bechtel, the designer of Rancho Seco, a twin to Three Mile Island - my wife worked for Bechtel on instrumenmtation of those plants' successors' design at the time - who wanted so much $$$ (that SMUD didn't have) to "upgrade" the facility after the TMI scare that SMUD shut the whole business down and bought power from "elsewhere".

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

```
From 2954336713615970743
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 10:09:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge7dyh3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net> <3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net>
<nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N2dR6.1354$J23.306629@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <44eR6.87696$425.23516527@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<thb5bphk926468@corp.supernews.com>
<b3rR6.95001$425.25204947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tErR6.6911$rn5.321770@www.newsranger.com>
<78sR6.95494$425.25309644@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<eysR6.7001$rn5.326220@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 25
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 17:09:02 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.156
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 991328942 63.178.69.156 (Thu, 31 May 2001
17:09:02 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 17:09:02 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13199
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22978 rec.radio.shortwave:35727

On Thu, 31 May 2001 14:28:26 GMT, K0HB wrote:

>>> Obviously you don't comprehend Godwin's Law.
>>
>>That is not hard to see ....
>
>Godwin's Law: "As a Usenet discussion grows longer, the probability of a
>comparison involving Nazis or Hitler approaches one."
```

Not quite...

I was "there" at the First Annual Computers, Freedom, and Privacy
Conference in San Francisco (1990) when Mike Godwin (general counsel
of the Electronic Freedom Foundation) came out with the original
version of "Godwin's Law":

> "When Stormtroopers, Hitler, or Nazis are brought up on Usenet,
> the thread is over...".

We all grinned and nodded in agreement.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

```
From 6052468971018831456
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 10:55:10 PST
Message-ID: <3B168524.1C204346@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 12:53:40 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org> <20010530203401.26720.00001182@nso-
mg.aol.com> <3b15abe8.2843832@news2.dvol.com> <3B15CA58.C60C65C@IEEE.org>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge7cd91.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.42
X-Trace: corp 991331221 64.240.47.42 (31 May 2001 12:47:01 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22979
```

Phil Kane wrote:

> ... my wife worked for Bechtel on
> instrumenmtation of those plants' successors' design at the time -

Small world. I was the project engineer who automated the telemetry
systems with SCADA systems produced by Moore Systems. I designed a
few new PC boards for that project.

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 833686224326797393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 11:03:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B1686F9.14202E3B@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 13:01:29 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <3B13E9CA.D3754C28@IEEE.org> <20010530203401.26720.00001182@nso-
mg.aol.com> <thch9l1f6au0ee@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.42
X-Trace: corp 991331689 64.240.47.42 (31 May 2001 12:54:49 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22980
```

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

>

> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010530203401.26720.00001182@nso-mg.aol.com...
> > When they can do better than 130 dB blocking dynamic range, and all of the
> > other things the good "analog" HF sets have been doing for decades, maybe.
>
> I think the sticking point right now is the A/D converters. The don't have
> the range yet.

Consider the progress made in the last 20 years.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2252423415184128110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9b7191652eb06e70
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 12:18:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!cambridge1-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!bos-service1.ext.raytheon.com!cyclone.swbell.net!nnrp1.sbc.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Randy Ott" <k5hj@qsl.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters?
Lines: 95
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6700
Message-ID: <BNwR6.49$Na6.2728@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 14:17:37 -0500
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.65.211.96
X-Complaints-To: abuse@swbell.net
X-Trace: nnrp1.sbc.net 991336673 65.65.211.96 (Thu, 31 May 2001 14:17:53 CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 14:17:53 CDT
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22981

I'll bet the toy walkie-talkies are FCC part 15 type accepted and not part 95. There is a big difference. You can send just about anything on a part 15 radio.

To quote part 95:
Rule 12 - Permitted Communications

{A} You may use your CB station to transmit two-way plain language communications. Two-way plain language communications are communications without codes or coded messages. Operating signals such as "ten codes" (10-4, etc.) are not considered codes or coded messages. You may transmit two-way plain language communications only to other CB stations, to units of your own CB station, or to authorized government stations on CB frequencies about-

[1] Your personal or business activities, or those of members of your immediate family living in your household;
[2] Emergencies;
[3] Traveler assistance;
[4] Civil Defense activities in connection with official tests or drills conducted by, or actual emergencies announced by, the Civil Defense Agency with authority over the area in which your station is located.

{B} You may use your CB station to transmit a TONE SIGNAL only when the signal is used to make contact, or to continue communications (Examples: tone operated squelch, selective calling circuits). If the signal is an audible tone, it must last no longer than 15 seconds at one time. If the signal is a subaudible tone, it may be transmitted continuously only as long as you are talking.

{C} You may use your CB station to transmit one-way communications (messages not intended for two or more CB stations) only for emergency communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or voice paging.

Randy Ott, K5HJ

"KC2HMN" <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010526144345.15243.00001395@ng-bd1.news.cs.com...

> I recognize that this is a very knowledgeable group with wide and varying
> opinions. Lately, my fourteen year old niece and I have been practicing
the
> code. At first, we used a single code practice oscillator in my
> brother-in-law's living room. However, since they live a good fifteen plus
> miles from our house, this can be somewhat inconvenient. What we did was
use
> our legal CB's combined with the MFJ code oscillators to practice our
code. I
> made mention of this on QRZ and got trashed for violating Part 95. Not a
> biggie, I've done that before...once or twice. I scoured Part 95 and could
find
> nothing concrete to either support or forbid the use of CW on CB/11 meter
> frequencies as long as all other rules/regs are adhered to.
>
> Anyone who has read my bio on QRZ knows I got my start in radio from an
h/t. I
> seemed to remember that h/t having a Morse key and the code placarded on
the
> front. It was an FCC type accepted radio, so reason stands that the
commission
> has no problem with it. I recently acquired a FCC type accepted Sears h/t,
> manufactered by Pierce-Simpson, that also features a code key and the code
> placarded across the front. Like my old h/t, it comes with crystals for
channel
> 14 or 27.1250MHz. I'm also including a link to an item on ebay that I

recently
> bought. It also displays these features and is FCC type accepted. Any
opinions
> or thoughts on the legality of this would be appreciated. I'd like to
think
> that a feature like this could be a nice segue to amateur radio. I've
heard no
> profanity or lid activity in my particular area on this particular
frequency.
> My niece seems to like the code and thinks it's "way cool" because she saw
it
> used on the movie Titanic. I already have a 10 meter radio gift wrapped
for her
> (she doesn't know that) along with a straight key to give to her upon her
> successfully passing the Tech written and the code. Pretty nifty
altogerther.
> Thanks for your responses.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1239945896
>
> These Precors appears quite similar to the Sears unit, but I couldn't find
any
> frequency info. I've e-mailed the seller and if they are indeed
27.1250MHz,
> I'll purchase them.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1148377021
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN
>

From 3123026146635482417
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 13:19:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3kRQ6.52715$4f7.3935461@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010530075857.10190.00001819@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
Lines: 67
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <bHxR6.55122$t12.4385111@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 20:19:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.179.214
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991340359 12.88.179.214 (Thu, 31 May 2001 20:19:19 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 20:19:19 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22982

W1RFI <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010530075857.10190.00001819@ng-fl1.aol.com...
> >From: "JAMES HAMPTON" j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net
>
> > I think we are going to have to generate more interest
> >for our microwave bands - soon. We might find the 10 meter incursions by
> >truckers just might be minor as to what may be in store for some of our
> >higher bands.
>
> Most of these 2.4 GHz and 900-MHz devices are legally FCC certificated, so
they
> have every legal right to exist, subject to the provision that they not
cause
> harmful interference to radio services. If there is no one on the band,
there
> is no harmful interference. Even if there is a ham listening, merely
hearing a
> Part 15 device does not meet the level of harmful interference as
described in
> the rules.
>
> If we don't use 'em, we may not lose them because Part 15 devices may
actually
> offer us some protection against further spectrum challenges, but, in
practice,
> that non-interference clause can be impossible to enforce. The FCC did
the
> right thing wrt Darwin Networks, but it remains to be seen how they will
act in
> the long run. And what does the ham who lives on the hill do when he or
she can
> hear a 20 dB increase in noise on the Part 15 part of the band from the
> aggregate of devices in the valley below?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

I have been looking over some web sites trying to find exactly where the new
wireless digital cameras are going to operate. I have only seen 2.4 GHz,
but am not sure exactly where and if it is inside the amateur band (likely,

very likely). We can, today, go and plunk our money down and buy gear that would have seemed like out of a sci-fi picture to hams back in the 60s. We have even been seeing commercial units for 1.2 GHz for a while. Also, there are places selling ATV equipment, but for the most part, until we generate some kind of activity on any given band, manufacturers are not likely to produce equipment for that band. Sigh ... and here I am excited about finally buying a decent rig and getting back on HF. That sure doesn't help anything at all, does it? I know the wireless cameras are going to be low range units (at first) where you can walk up near a machine in a store and transfer your pictures in a matter of seconds (I think the transfer rate is something like 20 Mb). Later, these will have high-speed wireless direct connects to the web (or some kind of a provider - perhaps dedicated to this use only, I don't know). Those will not, of course, be low power and limited range. Between our pagers, cellphones, wireless cameras, high-speed wireless internet, and who knows what else, we're going to have a real crunch on microwave spectrum. Again, I'd like to know where the digital wireless cameras are going to be. Other than that, I've just got to go up into the attic and try and find where I put the old sideswiper (collecting dust since 1966)!

73,
Jim Hampton AA2QA

From 382417321969860846
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 13:25:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
Subject: Pendulum reverses
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <gMxR6.7549$rn5.351042@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 16:24:44 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 20:24:44 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22983

Since the restructuring to 3 license classes.

Extra class has: gained 24%
General class has: gained 23%
Technician class has: lost 5%

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From -7306780786162720888
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 13:30:06 PST
Message-ID: <3B16A962.3DB035C3@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 15:28:18 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <gMxR6.7549$rn5.351042@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp 991340499 64.240.47.81 (31 May 2001 15:21:39 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22984

K0HB wrote:
>
> Since the restructuring to 3 license classes.
>
> Extra class has: gained 24%
> General class has: gained 23%
> Technician class has: lost 5%

As I understand them, Dick and Larry would say that's bad.
What do you say, Hans?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

```
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 5177934605698558624
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 13:44:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <gMxR6.7549$rn5.351042@www.newsranger.com>
<3B16A962.3DB035C3@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <O1yR6.7570$rn5.353165@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 16:43:26 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 20:43:26 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22985

K0HB wrote:

>>
>> Since the restructuring to 3 license classes.
>>
>> Extra class has:      gained 24%
>> General class has:    gained 23%
>> Technician class has:   lost 5%

Cecil said:

>As I understand them, Dick and Larry would say that's bad.
>What do you say, Hans?

I say "it looks like there'll be more stations for me to work
in the November ARRL CW Sweepstakes".


73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.


From 1716410714382663964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 14:13:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!paloalto-snr1.gtei.net.POSTED!
not-for-mail
From: "Bullheaded" <@.>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net> <3B1541DE.99F37FAE@coqui.net>
<nGcR6.87213$425.23356078@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <N2dR6.1354$J23.306629@paloalto-
snr1.gtei.net> <44eR6.87696$425.23516527@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<thb5bphk926468@corp.supernews.com>
<b3rR6.95001$425.25204947@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<tErR6.6911$rn5.321770@www.newsranger.com>
<78sR6.95494$425.25309644@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<eysR6.7001$rn5.326220@www.newsranger.com>
<cuvyxnansvbet.ge7dyh3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <CtyR6.6556$2G.395210@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
X-Trace: /w/U2/S7f/rkzJKycf0MjtKqX7nK13jpl9BNZ/0HkPSmJrhSek+VmUh/
9BzyYYNFwDHfSdF+IYs1!JpHLOlo6z03ENdT5KVQ4/
r3ZL7xUQ4QLQVMgHEqCTQ9vOE4qZBzr6u1vYgiBgRxZOGkeWz6QMfRu!rCYWjYw=
X-Complaints-To: abuse@gte.net
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint properly
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 21:13:06 GMT
Distribution: world
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 21:13:06 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13220
rec.radio.amateur.policy:22986 rec.radio.shortwave:35748
```

You can eat crap.
It's not over until the fat lady sings. That's always been the law
and you flaming liberal geeks can't change that.:)

```
>     "When Stormtroopers, Hitler, or Nazis are brought up on Usenet,
>     the thread is over...".
>
>   We all grinned and nodded in agreement.
>
> --
>    73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
```

```
From -3219474739946674065
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 15:36:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B1468C3.C101EB62@IEEE.org> <20010531042230.03759.00003384@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3B16455B.85675DA@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: a bit of surrealism
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <kHzR6.55360$t12.4402923@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 22:36:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.246
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991348560 12.75.102.246 (Thu, 31 May
2001 22:36:00 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 22:36:00 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22987
```

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B16455B.85675DA@IEEE.org...

```
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > The answer to that is, I was backpacking in the wilderness, not
> > sitting at home waiting for my still to warm up!  How much comm
> > capability do you carry in your backpack when you go for a hike?
>
> No more hiking, Larry, - arthritic knees.
>
```

There is something delicious about envisoning this cast of characters
backpacking:

Larry, in his lederhosen, wandering thru the woods singing Stout Hearted Men
in his best Nelson Eddy voice.

Cecil walking the Texas Hill Country doing some sort of Kinky Friedman imitation while rolling a joint.

Jim, N2EY, singing Springtime for Hitler virtually anywhere.

Dick strolling thru the Ozarks doing Groucho Marx classic 'I'm Against It'.

Me, I will galumph thru Nebraska's Bohemian Alps singing the Bastard King of England to the cows.

Sorry, a brainfart I know, but far too much fun to pass up.

Dick N�BK

```
From -7630846883681233224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 18:08:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Formatting Posts (was: Re: a bit of empathy)
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 21:09:14 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3B16EB1C.9CB97913@sccoast.net>
References: <20010525075747.29298.00001547@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<3B0FE074.297AB269@IEEE.org> <9eqcsh$5c1$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B110CF8.699E9A07@IEEE.org> <9es6h6$38k$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B11A5B8.EC64D80D@IEEE.org> <9esejr$rvj$1@208.207.71.155>
<3JmQ6.3450$kh4.297071@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3B123F43.6C80B46F@IEEE.org> <slrn9h4lfp.rg.Queequeg@daystar.gis.net>
<3B1468A3.C99B407A@sccoast.net> <xQZQ6.54001$4f7.4032416@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <cuvyxnansvbet.ge4hzn5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-26.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991357693 52553 204.116.70.27 (1 Jun 2001 01:08:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2001 01:08:13 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22988
```

Phil Kane wrote:

```
>
>  Why are y'all reading text with a browser?
> Like swatting flies with a tennis racket?


  While I'm using Netscape's integrated newsreader to view the
newsgroups, I probably should clarify that - I wish "newsreader"
makers would offer an option to have quoted text one width and text
written by me another width.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/


From -2411669340502997134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 18:19:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-xfer.newsread.com!bad-
news.newsread.com!netaxs.com!newsread.com!POSTED.monger.newsread.com!not-for-mail
From: "Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3kRQ6.52715$4f7.3935461@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010530075857.10190.00001819@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10105301903470.12787-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Message-ID: <05CR6.4099$gA.1509974@monger.newsread.com>
Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 01:19:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.7.160.166
X-Complaints-To: Abuse Role <abuse@tm.net>, We Care <abuse@newsread.com>
X-Trace: monger.newsread.com 991358396 64.7.160.166 (Thu, 31 May 2001 21:19:56
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 21:19:56 EDT
Organization: The Mercury Network (tm.net) @is2.tm.net
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22989


"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.10.10105301903470.12787-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On 30 May 2001, W1RFI wrote:
> > Most of these 2.4 GHz and 900-MHz devices are legally FCC certificated,
so they
> > have every legal right to exist, subject to the provision that they not
cause
```

> > harmful interference to radio services. If there is no one on the band,
there
> > is no harmful interference. Even if there is a ham listening, merely
hearing a
> > Part 15 device does not meet the level of harmful interference as
described in
> > the rules.
>
> What is "certificated"? How is that different from certified?
>
>

About the same thing as "destinated" as used on the bands....

From 2533662608883308206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 18:33:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 21:35:00 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <3B16F120.DE98D6E3@sccoast.net>
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net>
<3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net>
<vF_Q6.52037$t12.4072227@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-26.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991359238 54229 204.116.70.27 (1 Jun 2001 01:33:58 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2001 01:33:58 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22990

Richard McCollum wrote:
>

> "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > >
> > > Earthlink buy your ISP, too?
> >
> >   Thanks for that comment. I was
> > considering Earthlink as a potential
> > replacement for my ISP, but I see
> > that isn't such a good idea.
>
> Don't ever install their software! One
> of the first things you will notice is
> that you cannot key on the link you
> provided to your website or any other
> you encounter. In the world of
> Earthlink, you do things one at a time
> so when you key on the link, it says
> 'program missing'. It degenerates from
> there. That is, of course, after the
> demon-dialer has called Earthlink 8 or
> 9 times and you get connected at 19kbs
> with your V.90. They have admirable
> service people who will listen forever
> but they are powerless. [snip]

Boy, that sounds familiar. I've had a few ISP's that were almost that bad (33.6k was the slowest with a v90 modem). However, I've also had a few that were excellent, and they only made the others look even worse.

There is nothing really wrong with the speed of this server provider. I consistently get about 48k, with the rare 42k thrown in. However, they do have a problem keeping things working. If internet access isn't down, email is. And the newsgroups seem to be on the bottom of their list of things to keep working.

However, every so often, they do get everything working correctly and it is a real pleasure. It is those times that has so far prevented me from simply giving up and really looking for an alternative.

Thanks again for the info, Dick.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From -1716120991601608144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 18:34:33 PST

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 01:34:11 GMT
References: <3B16455B.85675DA@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
Message-ID: <20010531213411.10524.00005488@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22991

In article <3B16455B.85675DA@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> The answer to that is, I was backpacking in the wilderness, not
>> sitting at home waiting for my still to warm up!  How much comm
>> capability do you carry in your backpack when you go for a hike?
>
>No more hiking, Larry, - arthritic knees.

Cecil:

My apologies.  Do try some water aerobics -- worked wonders for my
mother.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 5927743085635733098
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 18:34:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 01:34:10 GMT
References: <O1yR6.7570$rn5.353165@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010531213410.10524.00005485@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22992
```

In article <O1yR6.7570$rn5.353165@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
writes:

>
>>As I understand them, Dick and Larry would say that's bad.
>>What do you say, Hans?
>
>I say "it looks like there'll be more stations for me to work
>in the November ARRL CW Sweepstakes".

Hansl:

I think you meant to say, "the November ARRL Phone Sweepstakes."
I fully expect participation in the CW portion of the SS to go down.
There is nothing I'd like better than to be wrong, but I'm not that lucky!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 774944702991960353
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 18:34:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 01:34:09 GMT
References: <cuvyxnansvbet.ge4hzn5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Formatting Posts (was: Re: a bit of empathy)
Message-ID: <20010531213409.10524.00005484@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22995

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.ge4hzn5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> writes:

>In the end, I wish browser makers would offer an option
>>> to have quoted text one width and text written by me another width.
>>>
>>
>>Can we have a loud AMEN?
>
> Why are y'all reading text with a browser? Like swatting flies with
> a tennis racket?

Phil:

Exactly. I copy the quoted text from the reply window of AOL's so-called "browser," paste it to MS-Word '97, edit my reply, and copy/ paste back to the browser reply window. I can do the process a coupla times in the time it would take to read the last sentence aloud at normal speed (minus the editing time). This way, I get the benefits of a full-featured word processor, without any of the restrictions of something called a "browser." My posts always seem to look OK on the NG, so I must be doing something right!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8853571389742050849
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 18:34:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 01:34:12 GMT
References: <3B1643C6.2B5D67F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010531213412.10524.00005489@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22994

In article <3B1643C6.2B5D67F@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Any argument you can mount for a Morse code skill requirement can
>be used for an equipment requirement as well. Are you advocating
>an FCC regulation forcing hams to posses ham equipment? If not,
>how can you justify advocating an FCC regulation to force hams
>to possess Morse code skill?

Cecil:

I guess that in a perfect world, every licensed radio amateur would have a functional station. Unfortunately, this is not the case, and due to the fact that it would, indeed, be excessive government regulation to actually "require" a licensed ham to have a specific minimal station, I do not support such an idea. I justify an FCC regulation requiring hams to possess Morse code skill because I consider this to be an essential skill for people *expected* to

set up and effectively employ emergency radio communications
stations. How do you justify the FAA "forcing" pilots to learn and
understand the FAR's? Should one be "forced" to own an airplane
just because he/she has a pilot's license?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6462491584919564846
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 18:34:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 01:34:10 GMT
References: <gMxR6.7549$rn5.351042@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010531213410.10524.00005486@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22993

In article <gMxR6.7549$rn5.351042@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
writes:

>
>Since the restructuring to 3 license classes.
>
>Extra class has: gained 24%
>General class has: gained 23%
>Technician class has: lost 5%

Hansl:

OK, so existing hams are taking advantage of the "grandfathering"
of their min. 5 WPM code testing, while, at the same time, hardly
any new hams are entering the service! If, by this time, the
Technician class had *gained* 25 - 30% in addition to the gains in
General/Extra through upgrading the grandfathered, I'd be perfectly
happy to admit I was wrong all along and declare Restructuring an
enormous success! Unfortunately, right now it is squarely in the
"dismal failure" category!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 845155488758172021
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 18:34:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 01:34:11 GMT
References: <3B16A962.3DB035C3@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010531213411.10524.00005487@nso-md.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22996
```

In article <3B16A962.3DB035C3@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

```
>K0HB wrote:
>>
>> Since the restructuring to 3 license classes.
>>
>> Extra class has:      gained 24%
>> General class has:    gained 23%
>> Technician class has:   lost 5%
>
>As I understand them, Dick and Larry would say that's bad.
>What do you say, Hans?
```

Cecil:

It most certainly is bad! Most of the gains in the General and Extra classes came about as a result of pre-Restructuring licensees who had passed at least a 5 WPM code test taking advantage of grandfathering. Will those same percentage increases continue in successive years, as a result of NEW licensees coming onboard? Keep in mind, in the first year of the post-Restructuring Era, we only gained about four thousand new licensees. Where is this mass influx of bright, young, computer-literate, technical talent we were promised after the shackles of "high-speed" code testing had been lifted? And of the few we've gained so far, where are they on the digital modes? Since last August, I've only worked one ham on PSK31 who had been newly-licensed since April, '00. Where's our progress as promised?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7617708274485057794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 18:38:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 21:39:25 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3B16F228.68D085CD@sccoast.net>
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net> <3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net> <3B14FA75.CB801282@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-26.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991359501 54511 204.116.70.27 (1 Jun 2001 01:38:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2001 01:38:21 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22997

CAM wrote:

> If the unreliability of an ISP is 20%,
> signing up for two ISPs cuts the
> unreliability down toward a minimum of
> 4%. That's what I have done - signed up
> for two ISPs each $10 per month.

That is an idea. I had considered it once before, but decided against it because it was almost impossible to get my computer set up for two different internet accounts. However, that is much easier today, so I may have to seriously consider that again.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From 3778979397880109610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 19:13:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!in.nntp.be!newsfeed.frii.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 22:14:51 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net>
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B148B9E.8BA1040C@sccoast.net>
<3B14F8B3.41710D34@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-26.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991361624 56290 204.116.70.27 (1 Jun 2001 02:13:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2001 02:13:44 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22998
```

CAM wrote:

>
> Larry uses the ARS licensing system
> as his own personal caste system.

You have that right. Many people need something to make themselves feel superior. For Larry, I guess it is the ARS licensing system.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From -6619683123372380144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 19:19:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
```

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 22:20:29 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <3B16FBB9.BF939771@sccoast.net>
References: <3B149651.CC59E386@sccoast.net> <20010530071128.10190.00001803@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-26.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991361961 56565 204.116.70.27 (1 Jun 2001 02:19:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2001 02:19:21 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:22999

W1RFI wrote:
>
> That's okay; he tried to convince me that
> he only abuses people when "provoked." Of
> course, he defines what was enough to
> provoke him that he lashes out at everyone
> around him in the process of responding.
> But it is okay because she made him beat her...

I agree with Kim - you hit the nail on the head with that.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 9010339741788873112
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 19:28:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 01 Jun 2001 02:28:44 GMT
References: <20010531213410.10524.00005485@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010531222844.21932.00002392@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23000

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I fully expect participation in the CW portion of the SS to go down.

I will let you capture back a lobster if you are willing to wager me on this one. I say that the number of log entries in the ARRL CW SS for 2001 will be greater than the number of log entries for the year 2000.

Here's your chance to be lucky no matter which way it turns out!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 306578610308366221
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 19:30:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 02:30:18 GMT
References: <20010531213411.10524.00005487@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010531223018.21932.00002393@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23001

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Where's our progress as promised?

I didn't accomplish anything you would deem progress in my first years as a ham.

Can you give us an example of the type of progress you are discussing from your years as a ham?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2142288086901586782
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 19:33:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 02:32:51 GMT
References: <20010531213410.10524.00005486@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010531223251.21932.00002395@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23002

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>while, at the same time, hardly *any* new hams are entering the service!

Well, at least you are up to "hardly any" to "precipitous drop." :-)

>Unfortunately, right now it is squarely in the
>"dismal failure" category!

Let's see, 4000 more hams than we had a few years ago; the slight decline in our numbers has turned into a slight increase, many hams are studying and passing exams... not what I would call a "dismal failure," Larry.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6128317282053696747
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,75df9ae040ee584d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 19:36:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 02:35:04 GMT
References: <bHxR6.55122$t12.4385111@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: How to receive spread specturm transmissions from cordlessphones?
Message-ID: <20010531223504.21932.00002396@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23003

From: "JAMES HAMPTON" j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net

>I have been looking over some web sites trying to find exactly where the new
>wireless digital cameras are going to operate. I have only seen 2.4 GHz,
>but am not sure exactly where and if it is inside the amateur band (likely,
>very likely). We can, today, go and plunk our money down and buy gear that
>would have seemed like out of a sci-fi picture to hams back in the 60s.

Good point. All that Part 15 stuff in "our" bands can be used by US, and we can add amplifiers and antennas and have a ball! Just have to watch out on 2.4 GHz -- the Part 15 band extends above our allocation.

We
>have even been seeing commercial units for 1.2 GHz for a while. Also, there
>are places selling ATV equipment, but for the most part, until we generate
>some kind of activity on any given band, manufacturers are not likely to
>produce equipment for that band. Sigh ... and here I am excited about
>finally buying a decent rig and getting back on HF. That sure doesn't help
>anything at all, does it? I know the wireless cameras are going to be low
>range units (at first) where you can walk up near a machine in a store and
>transfer your pictures in a matter of seconds (I think the transfer rate is
>something like 20 Mb). Later, these will have high-speed wireless direct
>connects to the web (or some kind of a provider - perhaps dedicated to this
>use only, I don't know). Those will not, of course, be low power and
>limited range. Between our pagers, cellphones, wireless cameras, high-speed
>wireless internet, and who knows what else, we're going to have a real
>crunch on microwave spectrum. Again, I'd like to know where the digital
>wireless cameras are going to be. Other than that, I've just got to go up
>into the attic and try and find where I put the old sideswiper (collecting
>dust since 1966)!
>
>
>73,
>Jim Hampton AA2QA
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 3190675150434406727

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 19:38:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B16FFB8.74CC9757@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 21:36:40 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1643C6.2B5D67F@IEEE.org> <20010531213412.10524.00005489@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.113
X-Trace: corp 991362601 64.240.47.113 (31 May 2001 21:30:01 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23004

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I guess that in a perfect world, every licensed radio amateur would
> have a functional station. Unfortunately, this is not the case, and
> due to the fact that it would, indeed, be excessive government
> regulation to actually "require" a licensed ham to have a specific
> minimal station, I do not support such an idea.

Then you understand exactly how some of us feel about the Morse code
requirement. It would make more sense for hams to be required to
have an emergency transceiver than it does for hams to be required
to know Morse code.

> How do you justify the FAA "forcing" pilots to learn and
> understand the FAR's?

I have no clue what you are talking about.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8924391761439926142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 19:40:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 02:39:53 GMT
References: <3B1644CF.26DE36F5@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010531223953.21932.00002397@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23005

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Reminds me of the rapist's defense. "She was wearing a miniskirt
>and no bra. It was her fault. She was asking for it."

Yeah, but in Larry's case, he sees the miniskirt and the effect of no bra and goes after everybody in the room. But it okay, because one of them "provoked" him...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7752599818648205237
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 19:41:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B17012E.71B47B0B@att.net>
From: Tad Danley <taddanley@nospamatatt.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <gMxR6.7549$rn5.351042@www.newsranger.com>

<3B16A962.3DB035C3@IEEE.org> <O1yR6.7570$rn5.353165@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 02:41:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.78.202.251
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991363318 12.78.202.251 (Fri, 01 Jun 2001 02:41:58 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 02:41:58 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23006

K0HB wrote:

> Cecil said:
>
> >As I understand them, Dick and Larry would say that's bad.
> >What do you say, Hans?
>
> I say "it looks like there'll be more stations for me to work
> in the November ARRL CW Sweepstakes".

Spoken like a true contester!

73,

--
Tad Danley, K3TD
mailto:taddanley@att.net

Caution!
Anti-SPAM e-mail return address in use.

From -4127469700496654088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 19:42:52 PST
Message-ID: <3B1700C6.E159C5CF@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 21:41:10 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net> <3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net>

<3B14FA75.CB801282@IEEE.org> <3B16F228.68D085CD@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.113
X-Trace: corp 991362872 64.240.47.113 (31 May 2001 21:34:32 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!feed.cgocable.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23007

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > If the unreliability of an ISP is 20%,
> > signing up for two ISPs cuts the
> > unreliability down toward a minimum of
> > 4%. That's what I have done - signed up
> > for two ISPs each $10 per month.
>
>   That is an idea. I had considered it once before, but decided
> against it because it was almost impossible to get my computer set up
> for two different internet accounts. However, that is much easier
> today, so I may have to seriously consider that again.

Web browsing is no problem with two accounts. Netscape can be set up
for multiple news-servers. The only problem is email. So I use Netscape
for one email account and Eudora for the other.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1687607801299911636
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 19:45:41 PST

Message-ID: <3B17015F.DFDF9283@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 21:43:43 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: a bit of empathy
References: <3B16455B.85675DA@IEEE.org> <20010531213411.10524.00005488@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.113
X-Trace: corp 991363023 64.240.47.113 (31 May 2001 21:37:03 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23008

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B16455B.85675DA@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> The answer to that is, I was backpacking in the wilderness, not
> >> sitting at home waiting for my still to warm up! How much comm
> >> capability do you carry in your backpack when you go for a hike?
> >
> >No more hiking, Larry, - arthritic knees.
>
> My apologies. Do try some water aerobics -- worked wonders for my
> mother.

How much comm capability does she carry into the pool?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From -3832269235947000632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 19:48:06 PST
Message-ID: <3B1701F8.23C5D93A@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 21:46:16 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B16A962.3DB035C3@IEEE.org> <20010531213411.10524.00005487@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.113
X-Trace: corp 991363176 64.240.47.113 (31 May 2001 21:39:36 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed3.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23009

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B16A962.3DB035C3@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >K0HB wrote:
> >>
> >> Since the restructuring to 3 license classes.
> >>
> >> Extra class has:      gained 24%
> >> General class has:    gained 23%
> >> Technician class has:   lost 5%
> >
> >As I understand them, Dick and Larry would say that's bad.
> >What do you say, Hans?
>
> It most certainly is bad!

.... ..   .... ..


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
```

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4496363867323535527
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,a2be758184ed194d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 21:01:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: rychard@mindspring.com (R.Young)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Atlanta Radio Club's Hamfest June 2nd
Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 04:03:33 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3b1713f1.5566640@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: d8.af.4e.1d
X-Server-Date: 1 Jun 2001 04:01:25 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23010

Hey all,
The Atlanta Radio Club's annual Hamfest is Saturday June 2nd. 9:00 Am to 3 PM.
It will be at the Jim R. MiIller Park in Marietta Ga. Talk-in 146.82
Admission is $5 , under 16 free.
No matter if you're looking for a new rig ,a used rig,want to swap rigs.Maybe your looking for antenna supplies: wire,insulators,mast, towers,etc. It's there.
Maybe your looking to unload all those extra radios in your shack,this is you chance. Ham gear,Shortwave radios,scanners, books,its all there.
Don't forget the computers,monitors,software,components.
Don't forget to dig through the Boneyard/Tailgate/Flea Market Areas.
If you live anywhere near Atlanta ,and love the radio hobbies,you will not want to miss this.

Sponsored by: The Atlanta Radio Club
Gwinnett Amateur Radio Society
Paulding Amateur Radio Club
and the ARRL.

More info at http://www.saf.com/arc/atlfest.htm

Simple Directions: Take I-75 north of Atlanta to Windy Hill.

Go west on Windy Hill to Austell Rd.

Go to your left on Austell Rd a short distance,look
for Callaway Rd on you right.

Turn right on Callaway Rd. and travel about 1 mile

Jim Miller Park will be on your right.

See You there.

Dean
KR4IU

```
From -2004591540542432484
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 21:17:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 00:19:09 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 91
Message-ID: <3B171763.9BFDE83@sccoast.net>
References: <3B149651.CC59E386@sccoast.net> <20010531035622.03759.00003379@nso-
ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-26.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991369075 62195 204.116.70.27 (1 Jun 2001 04:17:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2001 04:17:55 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23011
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I'm not going to quibble with you
> over the subtle differences in the
> meaning of "invitation" and "welcome."

Of course you're not going to quibble with me over the difference -
that difference doesn't support your position.

> I don't "welcome" anyone into a place
> where they have, IMHO, a perfectly
> obvious right to be! With or without
> my "invitation," your XYL can post here
> as she pleases -- as long as she is
> willing to take the heat once having
> stepped into the kitchen!

Both Kim, and Cecil, answered that so well, I'll just let their responses serve as my own.

> Dwight, you couldn't be more wrong
> about this. If I can find anyone
> who can make a logical, convincing
> argument *against* code testing,
> one which convinces me that I was
> wrong and that they are right, I
> would certainly not "abuse" them in
> any way! [snip]

Since you're setting the standard here, can you offer a single example of an argument against code testing that would meet your requirements? I, and many others, would like to discuss the code testing issue without "abuse" from you. Therefore, an example that would help us avoid that would be really helpful.

> Dwight, please provide at least one
> example of where I've gratuitously
> "dumped" on you *without* provocation.
> The only thing that makes me "dump"
> on anyone is when they "dump" on me,
> the code testing requirements, or
> other pro-code test hams.

Larry, answer my question first - show me where _I_ have ever blamed you for global warming, ethnic cleansing, the downfall of the ARS, and so forth. Show me where _I_ have held you accountable for the things said by other "pro-coders." So, again, why are you holding me accountable for what others may have said to you?

Now, to answer your question. Since you consider any comment against code testing to be a provocation, I'm sure in your mind you have never "dumped on me without provocation." However, no reasonable person would consider a comment against code testing to be justification, or provocation, for the name calling and character assassination that is commonplace in your messages. And, no, I will not repeat, and further spread, your name calling and character assassination to provide you with examples (use google.com if your memory is that poor).

> The only thing that makes me "dump"
> on anyone is when they "dump" on me,
> the code testing requirements, or other
> pro-code test hams. You have done so
> many times. This is strictly a quid
> pro quo situation.

And, again, I repeat the same question - show me where _I_ have ever blamed you for global warming, ethnic cleansing, the downfall of the ARS, and so forth. Show me where _I_ have held you accountable for the things said by other "pro-coders." So, once again, why are you holding me accountable for what others may have said to you?

I have not "dumped" on you (called you names or attacked your character) for anything you have ever said about code testing, so please stop "dumping" on me for what I say about code testing. Again, my comments about code testing do not justify the name calling and character assassination in your messages.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 4259114095067730099
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 21:35:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 141
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 04:33:59 GMT
References: <3B164BBA.70C8EC7C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010601003359.26720.00001276@nso-mg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23012

In article <3B164BBA.70C8EC7C@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> But they are not complete systems on the chip. They need a bunch of support

>> components. And the ROM is the toughest part.
>
>Modern microcontrollers need little more than power and a XTAL.

They need a bit more to actually do something useful in a piece of ham gear.

>And what
>do you mean by tough? Modern microcontrollers have on-chip FLASH EPROM.

What I mean is that somebody has to write and debug the code that goes into the ROM.

>Everytime there is a new version of software for my SCS PTC2e, I
>download it and program it into the FLASH memory.

Is it free or do you have to buy it?
>
>> PICs are the new wave for jobs like this.
>
>Not for the Japanese manufacturers. They use more sophisticated micros.
>PICs are aimed primarily at low-volume applications.

Ham gear is a low-volume application. How many IC-756PROs will ICOM make over the product's lifetime?
>
>> Is that chip in the IC756PRO, or some other ham rig? Can a ham get the
>source
>> code and an explanation of how it works, easily?
>
>What does that have to do with anything?

It has to do with the ability to experiment, modify, repair, or simply fool around with one's rig. The whole point of having the control logic in software instead of hard-wired is to be able to change it easily if desired - and change it back if the new idea doesn't work so well.

>I believe ICOM, at least at
>one time, standardized on Motorola micros.

Doesn't mean they stayed that way.

>Most modern micros have
>a way to hide the proprietary ROM code from prying eyes.

Which means those who would experiment are out of luck.
>
>> ahhh - "they don't say which one". There's the rub.
>
>Probably all I have to do is open the IC-706 and get the Motorola part
>number off the quad-flat-pack package.

Maybe. Or maybe it's a custom part that only Icom has.

>Why is that so important to you?

It's not. I've never owned a "Yaecomwood". I'm just pointing out that the
control systems of many rigs are not readily accessible to hams.

>You probably have no way to develop and debug code for that micro.

If it's a proprietary part, probably not. Where I work, though, one of our
mottoes is "Burn 'em if ya got 'em" (referring to EPROMS).
>
>> Can you download the manual and find out?
>
>Are you kidding? The shop manual for my IC-706 cost an arm and a leg.

You just proved my point.
>
>> When they say "all-mode" they usually
>> mean "it will work all of the modes we thought of, if you hook up the
>> appropriate external box(es)". They DON'T mean "plug in a $10 PS/2 keyboard
>and
>> work PSK31"
>
>I was assuming it means, "plug in a dumb terminal and work PSK31".

You assume wrong. Read the review. It needs at least a lapper with a soundcard
plus the PSK31 software.

Oddly enough, the IC-756PRO will receive RTTY directly - 45 bps Baudot RTTY,
that is. It will also display it on a two-line part of the screen. But it will
only receive - transmiiting requires an outside source! If you want other than
45 bps Baudot, you gotta hook up a smart box or two.

>I
>just happen to have a dumb terminal made out of an 80C51, a keyboard,
>and an LCD alphanumeric display. Made it out of parts from my junkbox
>in about three days. So I don't really need a laptop. It sends out
>ASCII characters from a keyboard and displays received ASCII characters
>on the LCD display.

Sorry - you need more than that to use ANY RTTY/TOR mode on the IC-756PRO.
Unless my info is faulty.
>
>> >Every year, higher and higher frequency RF signals are being digitized.
>>
>> In ham gear? Show me one where there isn't a lot of analog stuff in front
>of
>> the ADC.
>
>Read it again, Jim. Every year, more and more of the RF functions are
>being done digitally.

Sure - but the analog stuff is still needed. Lots of it.

>For a modern radio, the IF RF can be digitized
>and everything is digital from there on.

Maybe. You still need to convert back to analog for the audio if you want to listen to the dern thing.

>We can digitize N MHz now.
>It will be 10N MHz before long and after than 100N Mhz.

How long is "before long"? Who is "we"?

Speed isn't the only issue. Dynamic range is a biggie, too. You gotta beat 130 db blocking dynamic range to even be in the game, compared to "analog" designs.

Consider the IC-756PRO. Some really whizzy filter features, due to the IF DSP. Neato control system and LCD screen. Lots of goodies.

It also lists at $3600, which translates to a "street price" of $2800-3000. Most hams are not going to rush out and buy one.

Yet many of the '756PROs "basic radio" performance parameters, like MDS, blocking dynamic range, third- and second-order intercept, and IMD dynamic range, are inferior to those of a popular under-$600 rig that has no DSP. Go figure. The venerable IC-765, to name just one rig from the same manufacturer, also beats the 756PRO in these areas.

The end result is that these new rigs offer a mixed bag of tradeoffs - at a high price. New modes? You still gotta buy or build an external box.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 473045222583409362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 21:37:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 00:38:25 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3B171BE3.E5D2182F@sccoast.net>
References: <3B149651.CC59E386@sccoast.net> <20010531035622.03759.00003379@nso-ch.aol.com> <X2qR6.5274$651.359926@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-26.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991370228 62952 204.116.70.27 (1 Jun 2001 04:37:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2001 04:37:08 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23013

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> That would be, Dwight, if any "heat"
> were ever given out.  I've never seen
> a thing here yet that is anything more
> than either half-way decent conversation,
> or attempts by weak-minded individuals
> to get their Tarzan calls out in the only
> place and manner they have to do so.


  Where do my messages fit into that?  Ahhh Ah Ahh Ahh Ahhhhhhhh


>  I am certain your wife will have the
> intelligence and capability to decipher
> that kind of code.


  My wife is just too much of a "proper lady."  No matter how much
abuse Larry dished out, or how upset it made her, she would be too
polite to say anything back to him.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/



From 8970302162422269921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5a3062166fa1ece1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-05-31 22:38:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.slurp.net!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B172A84.1C333DB0@otn.net>
From: Chris Deruyte <chrisderuyte@otn.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win98; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
```

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave
Subject: Re: UPR 6.900 update & 75 Meter net
References: <3sOQ6.47719$9D5.2822612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<_FPQ6.74285$425.20069665@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B13D1EA.55131901@coqui.net>
<VcRQ6.18810$Rh7.686962@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<9f39on$r6s$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net> <ihaR6.5585$rn5.273914@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Lines: 28
Date: Thu, 31 May 2001 22:39:16 -0700
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.170.166.110
X-Trace: newsfeed.slurp.net 991373728 206.170.166.110 (Fri, 01 Jun 2001 00:35:28
CDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 00:35:28 CDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13239
rec.radio.amateur.policy:23014 rec.radio.shortwave:35837

Perhaps their priorities are stations that might interfere with business enterprises over shortwave pirates who wouldn't be heard by many people and would most likely only interfere with foreign signals. Just a thought.

K0HB wrote:

> If I were "the Colonel", I'd be checking my perimeter integrity.....
>
> Washington, D.C. � The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) announced
> that an investigation by the FCC�s Enforcement Bureau led to the arrest
> of Mr. Ibar (Robert) Mohamed for operating an unlicensed FM radio station
> on 89.3 MHz in Queens, NY and Brooklyn, NY. The United States Marshals
> Service arrested Mr. Mohamed on May 22, 2001, working in conjunction with
> FCC Agents and the Office of the United States Attorney for the Eastern
> District of New York.
>
> Prior FCC enforcement actions had failed to deter Mr. Mohamed�s unlicensed
> operation of the FM station. The FCC, on more than one occasion, had issued
> notices to Mr. Mohamed directing him to cease operation of the unlicensed
> station. Further, the U.S. Marshal�s Service, in conjunction with the United
> States Attorney�s Office and the FCC, had seized his radio equipment on two
> previous occasions.
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> --
>   Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From -3156400893224137039
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-01 02:24:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 09:24:14 GMT
References: <3B171763.9BFDE83@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010601052414.23242.00002439@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23015
```

>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>So, again, why are you holding me accountable for what others may have
>said to you?

"Your honor, she made me beat her, but I forgot exactly who it was, so I had to beat whoever was handy. I don't do it just because I like to beat people, honest."

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -5391730526120408278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-01 02:34:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 73
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 01 Jun 2001 09:33:45 GMT
References: <20010601003359.26720.00001276@nso-mg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010601053345.23242.00002440@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23016
```

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>What I mean is that somebody has to write and debug the code that goes into
>the ROM.

That is technology, too, and an area where I believe that Amateur Radio can make a contribution.

>>Everytime there is a new version of software for my SCS PTC2e, I
>>download it and program it into the FLASH memory.

>Is it free or do you have to buy it?

So far, at least, the software for the DSP-10 2-meter software defined radio has been free.

>It has to do with the ability to experiment, modify, repair, or simply fool
>around with one's rig. The whole point of having the control logic in
>software instead of hard-wired is to be able to change it easily if desired - and
>change it back if the new idea doesn't work so well.

That sounds like a good thing to me.

>>Most modern micros have a way to hide the proprietary ROM code from prying
>> eyes.

>Which means those who would experiment are out of luck.

All the more reason to roll our own. :-)

>I'm just pointing out that the control systems of many rigs are not readily
> accessible to hams.

If most hams demanded it, it would be.

>Sorry - you need more than that to use ANY RTTY/TOR mode on the IC-756PRO.
>Unless my info is faulty.

How long do you think it will be before all you need hook up is a keyboard?

>>Read it again, Jim. Every year, more and more of the RF functions are
>>being done digitally.

>Sure - but the analog stuff is still needed. Lots of it.

How long before that changes? The SDR folks are talking about antenna-to-DSP. It can already be done at up to about 10 MHz.

>Maybe. You still need to convert back to analog for the audio if you want to
>listen to the dern thing.

Okay. For what it's worth.

>Yet many of the '756PROs "basic radio" performance parameters, like MDS,

>blocking dynamic range, third- and second-order intercept, and IMD dynamic
>range, are inferior to those of a popular under-$600 rig that has no DSP. Go
>figure.

The same comparison can be made for multikilobux radios that have no DSP, too.
That little radio you talk about has DR that hangs in there with the big boys.
At 5 kHz spacing, last time I looked, it beats them all.

>The end result is that these new rigs offer a mixed bag of tradeoffs - at a
>high price. New modes? You still gotta buy or build an external box.

How long before that changes? Your statement is not true for the DSP-10 right
now.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 9136440112116209870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-01 04:37:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B149651.CC59E386@sccoast.net> <20010531035622.03759.00003379@nso-
ch.aol.com> <X2qR6.5274$651.359926@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B171BE3.E5D2182F@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <U7LR6.9040$651.634406@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 11:37:24 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.95.187
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991395444 209.244.95.187 (Fri, 01 Jun
2001 04:37:24 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 04:37:24 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 04:35:34 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23017
```

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B171BE3.E5D2182F@sccoast.net...

> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > That would be, Dwight, if any "heat"
> > were ever given out. I've never seen
> > a thing here yet that is anything more
> > than either half-way decent conversation,
> > or attempts by weak-minded individuals
> > to get their Tarzan calls out in the only
> > place and manner they have to do so.
>
>
>   Where do my messages fit into that? Ahhh Ah Ahh Ahh Ahhhhhhhh
>
>
> > I am certain your wife will have the
> > intelligence and capability to decipher
> > that kind of code.
>
>
>   My wife is just too much of a "proper lady." No matter how much
> abuse Larry dished out, or how upset it made her, she would be too
> polite to say anything back to him.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>

And I bet your wife is not *only* too much of a proper lady but also has way
far more intelligence than to even be affected by anything Larry has to say.
One must consider the source, then all of it comes into perspective. :)

Kim W5TIT

From 6345490712313560244
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-01 06:15:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 1 Jun 2001 13:14:09 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 31

Message-ID: <9f84f1$a8o$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <O1yR6.7570$rn5.353165@www.newsranger.com>
<20010531213410.10524.00005485@nso-md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 991401249 10520 205.139.138.14 (1 Jun 2001 13:14:09 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 1 Jun 2001 13:14:09 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
X-NOTrace: BCKKFKOKDLBCECKCHBFLLLKJIHLCOCDBMNFLDMNJ
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23018

In article <20010531213410.10524.00005485@nso-md.aol.com>, Larry Roll K3LT
<yodoc@aol.comnospam> writes:

>I fully expect participation in the CW portion of the SS to go down.

It went up last year, 7 months after restructuring. Why should it go down this year?

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

From -1990478166765195690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-01 06:30:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!

```
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 1 Jun 2001 13:17:46 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9f84lq$ada$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <3B16A962.3DB035C3@IEEE.org> <20010531213411.10524.00005487@nso-
md.aol.com> <3B1701F8.23C5D93A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 991401466 10666 205.139.138.14 (1 Jun 2001 13:17:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 1 Jun 2001 13:17:46 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
X-NOTrace: KPDLCLHLAMIBHBBCKAOLIMDKFICCBCKAPMOLANGK
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23019

In article <3B1701F8.23C5D93A@IEEE.org>, CAM  <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:

>
>..... ..   .... ..
>

5I HI?  You really need to get that Vibroplex adjusted, Cecil!

73, Hans, K0HB



 -----  Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web  -----
  http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
   NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam.  If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net


From -1175257508061413715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-01 09:34:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B164BBA.70C8EC7C@IEEE.org> <20010601003359.26720.00001276@nso-
mg.aol.com>
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
```

Lines: 27
Message-ID: <RtPR6.738$v4.27724@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 12:33:53 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 16:33:53 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23020

On 01 Jun 2001 04:33:59 GMT, N2EY says:

>It has to do with the ability to experiment, modify, repair, or simply fool
>around with one's rig. The whole point of having the control logic in software
>instead of hard-wired is to be able to change it easily if desired - and change
>it back if the new idea doesn't work so well.

That's why I like my 775DSP so well. I can accept the "factory defaults", or with just a few keystrokes I can literally hand-tailor the receive and transmit characteristics of the rig to suit my needs of the moment. Modify filters, modify transmit audio, choose between electronic balanced mixer/filter SSB generation or software-based phasing generation, etc., etc., etc. Much of this can be done from the front panel, and even more by just plugging a dumb-terminal into the control port.

>It's not. I've never owned a "Yaecomwood". I'm just pointing out that the
>control systems of many rigs are not readily accessible to hams.

Since my first "computerized" rig (Heath SS-9000), I found the control schemes to be easily obtained (if not already published in the users manual) and readily accessible.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From 2861517182269981552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,5c031c568f265029
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-01 10:00:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub.sdsu.edu!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: "Jim Kelley" <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: hmmmm......
Date: Fri, 1 Jun 2001 10:02:51 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine

```
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9f8hm0$n13$1@news.service.uci.edu>
References: <JXPP6.7395$lP5.3017015@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010528010350.05666.00004550@nso-fo.aol.com> <3B13EC77.87AC9E54@uci.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: dialin53c-17.ppp.uci.edu
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23021

----- Original Message -----
From: Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2001 7:23 PM
Subject: Re: hmmmm......

> In article <3B13EC77.87AC9E54@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
writes:
>
> >
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> Anyone who triggers radar detectors is fully responsible
> >> for whatever negative consequences result from his actions.
> >
> >What if it's your 440 mobile transeiver?
>
> Jim:
>
> Which 440 mobile transceiver would that be?

Any.  Point is, what if you were the one who inadvertantly triggered their
radar detector by making a normal radio transmission?  Would you feel
responsible for their driving off the road?  Somehow I doubt it.

73, ac6xg
```

```
From -415745654192027372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-01 11:04:03 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 18:03:53 GMT
Message-ID: <3b17cff6.66359123@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3B164BBA.70C8EC7C@IEEE.org> <20010601003359.26720.00001276@nso-mg.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.39
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.39
X-Trace: 1 Jun 2001 12:59:16 -0500, 64.24.17.39
Lines: 45
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23022

On 01 Jun 2001 04:33:59 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>
>Yet many of the '756PROs "basic radio" performance parameters, like MDS,
>blocking dynamic range, third- and second-order intercept, and IMD dynamic
>range, are inferior to those of a popular under-$600 rig that has no DSP. Go
>figure. The venerable IC-765, to name just one rig from the same manufacturer,
>also beats the 756PRO in these areas.
>
>The end result is that these new rigs offer a mixed bag of tradeoffs - at a
>high price. New modes? You still gotta buy or build an external box.
>

Frankford Radio Club meeting a couple years ago when the 756 first hit
the shelves. Big time heavy-bore dx contest club, one of the best
known clubs in the dx & contesting world. 165 active members, lotta
deep pockets, these people know what's up when ya talk radio
performance.
>
One of the members works for HRO in Wilmington, he demonstrated a 756,
buncha guys bought 756s. They found out that the 756 has some
disappointing qualities when in real combat on the bands. Front end
and selectivity issues.
>
Time passes, So here he comes again with some latest and greatest
minimal-DSP FT-1000 series box or another.
>
"Hey, wasn't it you who was just in here hyping the 756?!"
>
HRO guy: I'm tellin ya, try this FT-1000 . .
>
A few guys tryed it, passed the word around. All of a sudden the HRO
guy found himself buried in 756s which had gotten traded in for
FT-1000s.

>
And that was before the Mark V came out. The 756 is over.
>
There ain't gonna be any new digital modes which which are not PC
based from the gitgo so why the hell would you wanna run 'em from
inside a general-purpose HF xcvr? The radio won't be anything more
than the modem. Next week another mode pops up and the radio is the
same modem again.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv

From -7189403747347504195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-01 11:08:10 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 18:05:48 GMT
Message-ID: <3b179aa8.52713172@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net> <3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net> <vF_Q6.52037$t12.4072227@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B16F120.DE98D6E3@sccoast.net>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.39
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.39
X-Trace: 1 Jun 2001 13:01:11 -0500, 64.24.17.39
Lines: 38
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23023

On Thu, 31 May 2001 21:35:00 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>
> However, every so often, they do get everything working correctly
>and it is a real pleasure. It is those times that has so far
>prevented me from simply giving up and really looking for an alternative.
>
Lotta "mysterious crap" going on.
>
I first got on the 'net in either late '93 or early '94 via Netcom and

Nav 1.x. The Web barely existed, 'most everything useful was in FTP sites. No problem with the Netcom software, ran fine. Problem was that they had only one dialup line in whole region which was a long-haul toll call for me and ya got in one a wing and a prayer once ya got past the busy signals.
>
So one day in '95 I was tooling down a local thorougfare and noticed a sign in a storefront window "Internet Service Provider". One thing led to another, I signed up with the guy just as he was getting into the biz and I've been with him ever since. Superb service for $15/month. I completely boogered my computer once 'way back lost Win 3.11, the whole nine yards. Took the computer to his shop, he dropped what he was doing and spent an hour getting me straightened out, no charge Try that with AOL . . .
>
Been doing fine until the past few months. Been one "system down" after another, I'm missing a bunch of USENET posts or they're delayed a day, etc.
>
He's pulling his hair out, it ain't him, it's the contractors and Ma Bell. We have a problem with the basic infrastructure. Or somebody is playing opaque corporate games.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
w3rv

From 3947819325438823741
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 03:29:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Jun 2001 10:28:41 GMT
References: <9fg6gg$8bs$0@208.207.71.171>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010605062841.24495.00000767@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23228

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>I suppose he also told you that he actually learned the material behind
>each and every one of the questions on the pool, while ignoring the
>exact answers, and that he emphatically DID NOT attempt in any way to

>word-associate answers and questions without fully learning about the
>background material. Right.

No, he agreed with me that he had passed his test the same way I did -- with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping that a few subjects didn't appear on the test.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1144544071065550549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 03:31:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Jun 2001 10:31:22 GMT
References: <9fg5fi$3be$2@208.207.71.171>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010605063122.24495.00000768@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23229

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Anyone who takes that promise to mean that all those entering ham radio
>>will bring great technical advances with them is either a fool or a liar. :-)

> You are hereby corrected to: Anyone who makes that promise is...etc.
>Even if it is made obliquely or indirectlhy. And it has been, often.

Not by people empowered to make that promise, Dick. If one chooses to take someone's empty promise, they are either a fool or a liar. Did you really believe that promise when you heard it?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>
>>
>> 73,
>> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>

```
>
>
>
>



From -4935332824933720993
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 05:54:12 PST
Message-ID: <3B1CD60C.4592681A@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 07:52:28 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B148B9E.8BA1040C@sccoast.net>
<3B14F8B3.41710D34@IEEE.org> <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net>
<9f9s9c$gmf$0@208.207.71.177> <3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171> <3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org>
<9fhjqs$irr$0@208.207.71.178> <3B1C5930.D9C0D9F4@IEEE.org>
<9fhol6$2lv$0@208.207.71.178>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp 991745146 64.240.47.116 (5 Jun 2001 07:45:46 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23230

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > So the nocode astronauts are underachievers?
>
>  The nocode astronauts got their license strictly with one thing in
```

> mind-to work 2meter FM with a bunch of schoolkids, a noble intent to be sure. None I know of has been licened for any other reason, and it is indeed possible that some have gone on to other things in ham radio that I'm not aware of.

What if they haven't gone on to other things in ham radio, Dick? Isn't talking to schoolkids good enough? Maybe talking to schoolkids is all the time they have to spare in their busy everyday lives. Why must all nocode techs be labeled underachievers? If being nocode techs satisfies all their ham radio needs, what exactly is wrong with that?

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7032911945087553300
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 05:58:32 PST
Message-ID: <3B1CD701.8398A51@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 07:56:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1A78D5.19BED861@IEEE.org> <20010605041230.10524.00006000@nso-
md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp 991745391 64.240.47.116 (5 Jun 2001 07:49:51 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news-out.visi.com!
hermes.visi.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23231
```

```
Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> First things first:  Apologize for comparing me to a murderer.

You're not a murderer and a murderer is a murderer. Why do you
object to that comparison? Does your objection mean that you
are indeed a murderer? Do you think you should prove that you
are not a murderer? :-)
--
cheers, Cecil
```

```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From -6380222488242557315
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 06:00:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B1CD78F.A4DE4@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 07:58:55 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The "L" word
References: <b7c5262e.0106040628.38a56b10@posting.google.com>
<20010605041226.10524.00005992@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp 991745532 64.240.47.116 (5 Jun 2001 07:52:12 -0500)
Lines: 9
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.kjsl.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23232

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I'm all in favor of most forms of conversation.
```

We know that, Larry, but the subject is conservation.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7700792780202718875
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 06:17:42 PST
Message-ID: <3B1CDB91.DF396038@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 08:16:01 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <9f9sjp$hos$0@208.207.71.177> <20010604065759.02695.00000594@ng-ff1.aol.com> <9fg7ad$ads$0@208.207.71.171> <3B1BA643.E41814D0@IEEE.org> <9fhko1$m24$0@208.207.71.178> <3B1C5B8A.4D000EB7@IEEE.org> <9fhoeg$252$0@208.207.71.178>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp 991746559 64.240.47.116 (5 Jun 2001 08:09:19 -0500)
Lines: 38
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23233

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > There you go again, Dick. You painted yourself into a corner and now
> > have to try to wiggle out by setting up a strawman diversion. Nobody
> > said it was possible without analog filtering.
>
> Ah, but you DID! What else could "from the antenna DSP mean? Your

> corner, so you can sit in it with the little pointy cap on, again.

Dick, you are such a liar. I said I don't see how it could be done without an analog front end. Why don't you learn to read so you don't have to lie?

Now you are lying to try to get out of your corner. I have never, never said anything about "from the antenna DSP". I'm the guy who said I don't believe "from the antenna DSP" is possible. If you want to redeem yourself, Dick, please produce the posting where I said it could be done. If you can't, please tattoo "liar" on your forehead.

> Well DO TELL!!! So digital isn't all it's cracked up to be, after all!

Yes it is, Dick, digital is *exactly* what it's cracked up to be. The state-of-the-art in DSP is advancing by leaps and bounds as we speak.

> So much for "wall to wall, antenna to speaker" DSP.

Stop lying about what I said. In fact, please stop lying at all. How can you bring yourself to lie in front of God and the whole world when Google can prove you are lying?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7013538033518267235
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 06:20:24 PST
Message-ID: <3B1CDC2F.83601E5B@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 08:18:39 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010603161214.23337.00002687@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010605041227.10524.00005993@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp 991746717 64.240.47.116 (5 Jun 2001 08:11:57 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

```
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!out.nntp.be!
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23234

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> It is obvious that you don't intend to answer my simple question in
> a direct manner, so I hereby absolve you of that requirement.

So now Father Larry is capable of devine absolution. Are you going
to sprinkle Holy Water on Ed next time you see him?
--
cheers, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 3944393676536771727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 06:23:02 PST
Message-ID: <3B1CDCD6.25C8824F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 08:21:26 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010603162415.23337.00002691@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010605041228.10524.00005995@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp 991746884 64.240.47.116 (5 Jun 2001 08:14:44 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23235

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I'm sure the license testing standards in place at the time of your
> entry-level exams reflected an adequate cross-section of knowledge
> required to deal with the simple technical and operational conditions
> that existed then. Since then, we have come up with more
> comprehensive testing under the Incentive Licensing program, which
> offered five (later six) levels of license privilege, giving hams the
> ability to progressively increase their privileges as they expanded
> their knowledge and skill. The only problem was that a significant
> number were frustrated by the fact that their own lack of motivation
> caused them to be stuck at the lower levels.

That wasn't the only problem, Larry. Another problem is that hams like you turned the ARS into their own private caste system.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1160642505749910006
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 06:26:39 PST
Message-ID: <3B1CDDAD.C5FFD55F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 08:25:01 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010603162040.23337.00002689@ng-cd1.aol.com> <20010605041227.10524.00005994@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp 991747100 64.240.47.116 (5 Jun 2001 08:18:20 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23236

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> However,
> the tests I took back then were significantly longer, harder, had
> more questions, and ...

Larry, you left out having to crawl five miles uphill both ways
through the snow on your hands and knees to get to the FCC office.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From -6283509669288660236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 06:28:55 PST
Message-ID: <3B1CDE2A.7B27B3AE@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 08:27:06 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1B0FC4.F89EA8F3@ix.netcom.com> <20010605041228.10524.00005996@nso-md.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp 991747223 64.240.47.116 (5 Jun 2001 08:20:23 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23237

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I wish I had spent more time on CW nets, I
> would probably be a 30+ WPM operator now instead of being
> stuck in the low 20's.

So stop whining about it and do it, Larry,

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 179349436479187132
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 06:58:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Jun 2001 13:58:13 GMT
References: <3b1b63e6.854534@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010605095813.02980.00000086@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23238

>Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>Date: 6/4/01 5:38 AM Central Daylight Time

>A rather unrealistic test scenario if you can't look back
>over your text and fillin or make corrections.

You obviously never took a timed test in a public school then, Bill. And that was exactly the way the (Morse) test was administered to me.

>If I had
>written the word ADVAN_ED and couldn't then fill in
>the missed letter C, how is that any valid capability test?

If the requirement was "One Minute Solid Copy" and that missing character meant you DIDN'T copy that "c" IN that one minute, you failed.

If you go back after the fact and enter it, then it was "One Minute Plus Whatever I Did After Time Was Called"

This is how some of Gordo West's students get by. He teaches them to copy the "dots and dashes" as characters, then go back AFTER the test and match the character to the letter.

That's NOT a "legitimate" test.

>A missed number
>is, of course, at best a 10/1 guess, but many words are
>absolutely recognizable with just a missing letter...even
>without the wording prior to and after that word.

Then you need to be situationally aware of the time, and if there's any doubts, fill in the blanks before the bell is rung.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 6940933517068285676
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 07:00:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!
newsone.net!newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 5 Jun 2001 13:56:39 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <9fioen$j7f$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <TvMS6.3716$v4.175603@www.newsranger.com>
<9fhb5c$hv9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 991749399 19695 205.139.138.14 (5 Jun 2001 13:56:39 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Jun 2001 13:56:39 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
X-NOTrace: GBPKEKDLCLMBFCFCIBKLKLPJHHGCPCOANNKLCMCK
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23239

Jeff says:

>>> I wrote this some time ago, ...
>>> At present, there is only one mode all the world's
>>> HF-licensed amateurs hold in common: CW.

Hans says:

>>Gee, Jeffrey, living in easy communications distance
>>from Japan, I'd have thought you knew better. There
>>are hundreds of thousands of JA hams who have HF
>>operator licenses but have never passed a Morse
>>examination.

Jeff says:

>Oh, you mean Japan's 4th Class (also called Telephone Class) license?
>The one where power is not to exceed 10 watts, and the operators don't
>have 30, 20, or 17 meter privileges? Gee, Hans, even our CBers can
>legally run more than 10W on SSB!

Jeffrey -- you said "all the world's HF-licensed amateurs" have CW as a common mode.

In fact more than half of the "HF-licensed" hams in the world have never taken a Morse exam and aren't even allowed to *USE* Morse Code. That makes your assertion wildly innacurate, to the point of laughable. So what else is new?

73, Hans, K0HB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From -6110575821709035449
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 07:03:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com> <9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171>
<3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org> <9fhba1$i1i$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B1C58B4.EBC1907B@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 15
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 14:03:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.176
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991749805 63.17.222.176 (Tue, 05 Jun
2001 07:03:25 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 07:03:25 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 07:01:29 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23240

CAM wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > CAM:
> > >So if Einstein never learned Morse code and never passed a Morse
> > >code exam, he was an underachiever?
> >
> > Would he have balked at learning it in order to get licensed?
>
> Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?

...if their object was to obtain an HF ticket, they were.

Dave K8MN
```

```
From -888508629098525236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 07:05:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Jun 2001 14:04:49 GMT
References: <4NWS6.65414$4f7.4918933@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
Message-ID: <20010605100449.02980.00000087@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23241

>Subject: Why does it work??
>From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 6/4/01 8:41 PM Central

>Anybody have a better guess??

To paraphrase a popular cult phrase:

"STUFF HAPPENS"

?!?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 4954041661349183305
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 07:06:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1CD939.6B723F7D@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.

```
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B148B9E.8BA1040C@sccoast.net>
<3B14F8B3.41710D34@IEEE.org> <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net>
<9f9s9c$gmf$0@208.207.71.177> <3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171> <3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org>
<3B1C42F1.D4D8EC1C@earthlink.net> <3B1C5906.A137C5A4@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 14:06:08 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.176
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991749968 63.17.222.176 (Tue, 05 Jun
2001 07:06:08 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 07:06:08 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 07:04:12 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23242

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > So if Einstein never learned Morse code and never passed a Morse
> > > code exam, he was an underachiever?
> >
> > ...he was if his goal was an HF ham ticket.  You've certainly posed
> > quite a number of hypotheticals over the years, Cecil.
>
> How about the nocode tech astronauts, Dave? Are they underachievers?

I'm sure you've seen my earlier response to this one.  It is quite
possible to do well in one field and to be an under achiever in another,
Cecil.  This is like one of those Saturday Night Live bits about how the
duration of WWII would have been effected if Eleanor Roosevelt could
fly.

Dave K8MN



From 1399758328981326455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 07:22:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B1CEAC8.6BB394F6@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 09:20:56 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com> <9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171>
<3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org> <9fhba1$i1i$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B1C58B4.EBC1907B@IEEE.org> <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp 991750453 64.240.47.116 (5 Jun 2001 09:14:13 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23243

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?
>
> ...if their object was to obtain an HF ticket, they were.

Their object, like the object of a lot of hams I know, was to be
able to communicate on the VHF/UHF ham bands. Does that make them
underachievers?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3855099124651576725
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 07:24:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: k0hb@qwest.net (K0HB)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: 5 Jun 2001 07:24:19 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0106050624.adaddf@posting.google.com>
References: <9fg7ad$ads$0@208.207.71.171> <20010605062541.24495.00000765@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 155.226.255.42
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 991751059 3356 127.0.0.1 (5 Jun 2001 14:24:19 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Jun 2001 14:24:19 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23244

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in message news:<20010605062541.24495.00000765@ng-fm1.aol.com>...

> I don't think that DSP technlogy will stay at 10 MHz for
> long...

We are currently shipping DSP systems which digitize 800 MHz RF -- yes, the complete bandwidth, not just the modulation, without conversion to some lower IF frequency.

It's a fully digital system -- no analog involved -- so it maintains the complete dynamic range of the original, and because the entire bandwidth is digitized, the system is completely transparent to modulation technology -- voice, data, video, whatever.

http://stele.adc.com/Library/Literature/100083PR.pdf

73, Hans, K0HB

From -8551059006559814977
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 07:26:00 PST
Message-ID: <3B1CEB93.6BE0F2D4@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 09:24:19 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B148B9E.8BA1040C@sccoast.net>
<3B14F8B3.41710D34@IEEE.org> <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net>
<9f9s9c$gmf$0@208.207.71.177> <3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171> <3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org>

<3B1C42F1.D4D8EC1C@earthlink.net> <3B1C5906.A137C5A4@IEEE.org>
<3B1CD939.6B723F7D@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp 991750658 64.240.47.116 (5 Jun 2001 09:17:38 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23245

Dave Heil wrote:
> It is quite
> possible to do well in one field and to be an under achiever in another,
> Cecil.

I am not an underachiever at being a garbage collector, Dave. I
simply don't want to have anything to do with collecting garbage.
Are you an underachiever at cleaning out septic tanks? Just because
someone doesn't choose to do something doesn't mean they are an
underachiever at it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8537312112761237488
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 07:30:21 PST
Message-ID: <3B1CEC93.24B429F7@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 09:28:35 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.

```
References: <9fg7ad$ads$0@208.207.71.171> <20010605062541.24495.00000765@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <b7c5262e.0106050624.adaddf@posting.google.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.116
X-Trace: corp 991750913 64.240.47.116 (5 Jun 2001 09:21:53 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!
out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23246

K0HB wrote:
> It's a fully digital system -- no analog involved -- ...

If you don't have an anti-aliasing filter, it must be bandwidth
limited by some other boundary condition. Right?
--
cheers, Cecil



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -8452821726077249559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 08:03:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 5 Jun 2001 15:03:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <9fisb9$h0n$0@208.206.142.71>
References: <9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171> <20010605041225.10524.00005991@nso-
md.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.71
```

```
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23247



Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >> > Spoken like a pair of true underacbievers.
> >>
> >> What a load of garbage.  You (Dick) have not a clue
> >> as to the achievements, over or under, of anyone else
> >> in this newsgroup.
> >>
> >
> >
> > Spoken like another true underachiever!
>
> Dick:
>
> Who are you talking about?  We're discussing "underacbievers" here!
>


 They're one and the same


From 8495534028783192504
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 08:30:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
Date: 5 Jun 2001 15:29:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <9fittn$lgk$0@208.206.142.71>
References: <4NWS6.65414$4f7.4918933@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.71
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23248

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> Several of the locals have taken up mobile operation. Both of them got the
> hardware installed and it more or less worked. Enter a local OT who
> pronounced upon the problem and told them it would work better if they
> opened the coax about six feet from the antenna, attached some wire (#14 in
> the instant case because of convenient supply) to the shield and grounded it
> to something convenient which happened to be a seat bolt. In both cases,
> performance went from adequate for a mobile to absolutely phenomenal.
>
> The one I am most familiar with is a late model F-150 with the inevitable
> toolbox mount. A whip is tuned via a standard manual antenna tuner from 10
> to 75. The tuner is grounded to the other side of the seat bolt. Tunes to
> full power like a bandit with decent bandwidth slicker than an eel in a
> bucket of castor oil. VSWR in the expected 1.5 range at 100 watts.
>
> The other is an S-10 cab mounted. As this one uses the internal tuner, it
> requires cut loaded antennas but performs equally well. He ran a ground
> from the rig to the seat bolt on his own.
>
> On 12 and 17 meters, contacts often refuse to believe they are talking to a
> mobile.
>
> I have seen it work before and after but don't ask me to explain it. My
> best guess is some sort of decoupling that allows coax to be coax, tuners to
> be tuners, and everything to do its job and no other. But what I do not
> know about mobile operation would fill volumes.
>
> Anybody have a better guess??
>

Any antenna is capable of a maximum amount of radiation efficiency,
without changing it in some way. When you change only the feed system
what that tells me is that you have provided a better match for the
antenna to absorb more of the provided RF and thence it radiates more,
without exceeding its former maximum radiation efficiency. You haven't
changed the antenna so all of the improvement has to be within the
system of matching the antenna.

Dick W0EX

From 4505645536967081797
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 08:40:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!

```
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 5 Jun 2001 15:40:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9fiuhi$n4l$0@208.206.142.71>
References: <20010605041228.10524.00005995@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010605060837.24495.00000762@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.71
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23249



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >>I trust my description of the 4-page study guide is sufficient lesson
> >enough.
>
> >Not really.  Just because you were licensed in the Days of Olde
> >doesn't mean that TODAY's licensing system hasn't been dramatically
> >"dumbed-down."
>
> Sure, Larry; convince them that a 200-page Now You're Talking study guide is
> dumbed down from the 4 pages I studied to get my entry-level ticket.  Then
> convince them that the two additional books for the General and the Extra study
> licenses contain less knowledge and material than the 16 pages I studied to get
> the same priveleges. I just want them to understand exactly what you mean when
> you say "dumbed down."



 Just so you don't put the into too starry-eyed a frame of mind, let's
get your feet back on the ground and acknowledge that most beginners
just download the question pool and get to memorizing the word
associations between questions and their furnished answers...


From 3276792316120795575
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 08:42:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

```
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 5 Jun 2001 15:42:21 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9fiukt$n4l$1@208.206.142.71>
References: <20010605041227.10524.00005993@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010605061608.24495.00000764@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.71
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23250



W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >It is obvious that you don't intend to answer my simple question in
> >a direct manner, so I hereby absolve you of that requirement.
>
> Oh, no, not another news archive search.  Remember what happened the last time
> I had to do that.
>
> >Carry on with your career-essential ARRL HQ political correctness and
> >continue to obfuscate.
>
> Sorry, Larry,  my performance appraisal doesn't contain an item about what I
> say or don't say on r.r.a.p.  Are you really trying to convince people that
> ARRL HQ management wants me not to answer your direct question to someone else
> on rrap to further my career?
>
> I think I have decided that you just like to hear yourself say it.


 Well you *did* say on a few occasions that your bosses know you
wouldn't say or do anything that worked against League policy. I suppose
that if they didn't know that, they'd ride herd on you? In fact I KNOW
it.


From -6901662455367492043
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 08:44:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
```

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: 5 Jun 2001 15:44:24 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9fiuoo$n4l$2@208.206.142.71>
References: <9fg7ad$ads$0@208.207.71.171> <20010605062541.24495.00000765@ng-fm1.aol.com> <b7c5262e.0106050624.adaddf@posting.google.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.71
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23251

K0HB wrote:
>
> w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in message news:<20010605062541.24495.00000765@ng-fm1.aol.com>...
>
> > I don't think that DSP technlogy will stay at 10 MHz for
> > long...
>
> We are currently shipping DSP systems which digitize 800 MHz RF -- yes, the
> complete bandwidth, not just the modulation, without conversion to some
> lower IF frequency.
>
> It's a fully digital system -- no analog involved -- so it maintains the
> complete dynamic range of the original, and because the entire bandwidth
> is digitized, the system is completely transparent to modulation technology --
> voice, data, video, whatever.
>

Yep... and at 800 Mhz you have none of the RF issues that must be dealt with at HF....you know the drill.

From -6145767186459795739
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 08:50:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <9fg7ad$ads$0@208.207.71.171> <20010605062541.24495.00000765@ng-fm1.aol.com> <b7c5262e.0106050624.adaddf@posting.google.com> <9fiuoo$n4l$2@208.206.142.71>

Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <ic7T6.719$SQ2.30534@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 11:49:34 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 15:49:34 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23252

On 5 Jun 2001 15:44:24 GMT, Dick Carroll says:

> Yep... and at 800 Mhz you have none of the RF issues that must be dealt
>with at HF....you know the drill.

No, what "drill" is that?

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From 539159354265222970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 10:52:42 PST
Message-ID: <3B1D1BF8.6A89C9DB@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 12:50:48 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <9fg7ad$ads$0@208.207.71.171> <20010605062541.24495.00000765@ng-fm1.aol.com> <b7c5262e.0106050624.adaddf@posting.google.com> <9fiuoo$n4l$2@208.206.142.71>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.94
X-Trace: corp 991763045 64.240.47.94 (5 Jun 2001 12:44:05 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23253

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yep... and at 800 Mhz you have none of the RF issues that must be dealt
> with at HF...

Such as correlation and convolution?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6256719644620467811
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 10:54:26 PST
Message-ID: <3B1D1C65.6EF4165B@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 12:52:37 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
References: <9fg7ad$ads$0@208.207.71.171> <20010605062541.24495.00000765@ng-fm1.aol.com> <b7c5262e.0106050624.adaddf@posting.google.com>
<9fiuoo$n4l$2@208.206.142.71> <ic7T6.719$SQ2.30534@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.94
X-Trace: corp 991763154 64.240.47.94 (5 Jun 2001 12:45:54 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23254
```

K0HB wrote:
>
> On 5 Jun 2001 15:44:24 GMT, Dick Carroll says:
>
> > Yep... and at 800 Mhz you have none of the RF issues that must be dealt
> >with at HF....you know the drill.
>
> No, what "drill" is that?

Dick doesn't know it, but he regularly practices a DSP technique
on this very newsgroup - it's known as convolution. :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3104009785327438512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 10:56:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B1D1CD4.901B2A7A@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 12:54:28 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010605041228.10524.00005995@nso-md.aol.com>
<20010605060837.24495.00000762@ng-fm1.aol.com> <9fiuhi$n4l$0@208.206.142.71>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.94
X-Trace: corp 991763265 64.240.47.94 (5 Jun 2001 12:47:45 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23255
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Just so you don't put the into too starry-eyed a frame of mind, let's
> get your feet back on the ground and acknowledge that most beginners
> just download the question pool and get to memorizing the word
> associations between questions and their furnished answers...

And hopefully learn something in the process. The FCC doesn't see
anything wrong with that, Dick. You know who the FCC is, don't you?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7540532145439127691
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 11:01:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-02!sn-post-01!
supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
Date: Tue, 5 Jun 2001 14:01:55 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <thq7kmegg3ncbd@corp.supernews.com>
References: <4NWS6.65414$4f7.4918933@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 97
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23256

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:4NWS6.65414$4f7.4918933@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Several of the locals have taken up mobile operation. Both of them got
the
> hardware installed and it more or less worked. Enter a local OT who
> pronounced upon the problem and told them it would work better if they
> opened the coax about six feet from the antenna, attached some wire (#14
in
> the instant case because of convenient supply) to the shield and grounded
it
> to something convenient which happened to be a seat bolt. In both cases,
> performance went from adequate for a mobile to absolutely phenomenal.
>
> The one I am most familiar with is a late model F-150 with the inevitable

> toolbox mount. A whip is tuned via a standard manual antenna tuner from
10
> to 75. The tuner is grounded to the other side of the seat bolt. Tunes
to
> full power like a bandit with decent bandwidth slicker than an eel in a
> bucket of castor oil. VSWR in the expected 1.5 range at 100 watts.
>
> The other is an S-10 cab mounted. As this one uses the internal tuner, it
> requires cut loaded antennas but performs equally well. He ran a ground
> from the rig to the seat bolt on his own.
>
> On 12 and 17 meters, contacts often refuse to believe they are talking to
a
> mobile.
>
> I have seen it work before and after but don't ask me to explain it. My
> best guess is some sort of decoupling that allows coax to be coax, tuners
to
> be tuners, and everything to do its job and no other. But what I do not
> know about mobile operation would fill volumes.
>
> Anybody have a better guess??

Looks like you had a chance to observe "antenna currents" flowing on the outside shield of the coax first hand. I had that problem running a mobile mag mount antenna for 6m. Fixed it by winding about a dozen turns of the coax through a ferrite toroid. The symptom was the SWR varying all over the map when the coax was moved.

If you look at the ARRL handbook you will see an example some place of a 1/4 wl section of coax run through a pipe, or conductive shelve, right at the feed point of a balanced dipole antenna. The shield of the coax is then shorted to the pipe at the end opposite to the antenna feed point. The coax shield and the pipe form another "coax" system, which when shorted as in the above manner, makes the open circuit end look like a very high impedance to the "antenna currents" trying to flow on the outside of the coax shield. Of course the high impedance stops the "antenna current" flow. When the shield of the coax is connected to earth, vehicular, ground back from the antenna feed point does the same thing, but the transmission line is composed of the outside of the coax shield and the surrounding vehicular body sheet metal.

The reason the SWR looks bad when you have "antenna currents" is due to the design of your "typical" SWR meter. The normal design has a circuit which measures the voltage at two different points on the line, and through a little bit of math, can be related to the forward traveling voltage wave and the reverse traveling voltage wave. Part of this calculation requires measuring the RF current on the line. That is normally done using a simple H.F. current transformer. The problem is with the current transformer. It can't tell the difference between the "real" current on the line and the current flow due to "antenna current" on the shield. So it ends up measuring both, now you have a screwed up SWR reading. Of course many rigs also use a similar circuit to measure SWR to protect the output finals by folding back power. So If you have problems with "antenna currents" you can have problems with a mobile rig folding back power when the "real" SWR is OK.

So in the end I'm not really surprised that doing what you talked about makes a mobile antenna system appear to work better. What you did was let your equipment make a better SWR measurement in the end, and kept RF out of the "shack" so to speak too.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 3471180989286202727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 11:59:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <TvMS6.3716$v4.175603@www.newsranger.com>
<9fhb5c$hv9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <SZ9T6.993$SQ2.49398@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 14:58:58 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 18:58:58 GMT

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23257

Jeffrey Herman says:

> At present, there is only one mode all the world's
> HF-licensed amateurs hold in common: CW.

Facts are, by definition, a therapy of limited usefulness in treating cases of advanced delusion. But let us try a few on Jeffrey's notion that a knowledge of CW is common to all HF-licensed hams. These pills may help others who are less severely afflicted by this delusion.

Fact: The government of Japan has issued licenses to almost 3 million "Fourth Class" licensees.

Fact: This represents a bloc of licensees which is larger than all the remaining licensed amateurs in the world *combined*.

Fact: These licensees are authorized to operate on 6 MF/HF amateur bands.

Fact: These licensees did not take a Morse code test to obtain their license.

Fact: These licensees are not even allowed to *use* Morse code on the amateur bands.

By deduction from the above facts, it is obvious that Morse is "common" to only a >MINORITY< of the worlds HF-licensed amateurs.

Good luck on this one now!

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From 2841223373771245248
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 15:10:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Date: 5 Jun 2001 22:08:27 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9fjl8r$4fm$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com> <9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <TvMS6.3716$v4.175603@www.newsranger.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991778907 4598 128.171.44.55 (5 Jun 2001 22:08:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Jun 2001 22:08:27 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23258

K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman says:

>> At present, there is only one mode all the world's
>> HF-licensed amateurs hold in common: CW.

>Gee, Jeffrey, living in easy communications distance
>from Japan, I'd have thought you knew better. There
>are hundreds of thousands of JA hams who have HF
>operator licenses but have never passed a Morse
>examination.

Why do you keep editing out the line that said: "I wrote this
a few years ago..."???

From 7397780163662608531
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 15:17:54 PST
Message-ID: <3B1D5A27.41987DBF@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 17:16:07 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <TvMS6.3716$v4.175603@www.newsranger.com>
<9fjl8r$4fm$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.81
X-Trace: corp 991778969 64.240.47.81 (5 Jun 2001 17:09:29 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.kjsl.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23259

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> Why do you keep editing out the line that said: "I wrote this
> a few years ago..."???

Why do you keep posting something that you wrote a few years ago? :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8111516499287528657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 15:20:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Date: 5 Jun 2001 22:16:48 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9fjlog$4ua$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <AR9R6.5531$rn5.272209@www.newsranger.com>
<JPrR6.6929$rn5.322301@www.newsranger.com> <9fcljq$fv8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<b7c5262e.0106040920.65b4f245@posting.google.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991779408 5066 128.171.44.55 (5 Jun 2001 22:16:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Jun 2001 22:16:48 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23261

K0HB wrote:
>Jeff wrote:
>>K0HB wrote:

>>>...repeaters aren't ham radio...

>> You've probably used a repeater yourself -- did only your use fit
>> your definition of ham radio, or were you also guilty of
>> perpetuating this non-ham-radio use of a repeater?

>Not only have I used repeaters, I am trustee of the three W0EF repeater
>stations owned by TCFMC.

Yes, yes, and I'm one of the control operators for the seven repeaters

which provide blanket coverage of the island of Oahu, but that doesn't
matter, either.

>While they are certainly licensed as amateur
>radio stations (thus technically qualifing as ham-radio), I consider
>them a drive-time intercom and local communications emergency backbone.
>Handy for local chit-chat and excellent resources during heavy weather,
>other local emergencies, and dispatching sag-wagons during the Marathon,
>but boring otherwise.

That still doesn't explain your comment that "...repeaters aren't
ham radio..." I asked you to provide your definition of "ham radio"
but you deleted and never answered that question.

KH6O

From 8583664712889717166
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 15:20:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Date: 5 Jun 2001 22:10:14 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <9fjlc6$4p6$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<TvMS6.3716$v4.175603@www.newsranger.com> <9fg7k9$ads$1@208.207.71.171>
<HHNS6.3812$v4.182033@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991779014 4902 128.171.44.55 (5 Jun 2001 22:10:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Jun 2001 22:10:14 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23260

K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:
>So much for Jeffrey's "only one
>mode all the world's HF-licensed amateurs hold in common" chant.

Which was prefaced with, "I wrote this a few years ago..." Why
do you continue to edit that line out? Your editing skills are
as "bad" as Cecil's!

From 7856396980418430058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 15:29:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Jun 2001 22:28:42 GMT
References: <9fiuhi$n4l$0@208.206.142.71>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010605182842.12914.00001039@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23262

>Just so you don't put the into too starry-eyed a frame of mind, let's
>get your feet back on the ground and acknowledge that most beginners
>just download the question pool and get to memorizing the word
>associations between questions and their furnished answers.

Dick, Ed wont believe that, he knows all new Hams are Technical Wizzards.
 After looking at one of my recent QSTs, which had a Picture of ED in it, I can
now see where ED is coming from, his comments are not only Dippy he even Looks
like a DIP S$$T.


From 430454222001809999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 15:30:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
Date: 5 Jun 2001 22:22:19 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 5
Message-ID: <9fjm2r$5fk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<PSYS6.29726$Be4.10163437@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991779739 5620 128.171.44.55 (5 Jun 2001 22:22:19 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Jun 2001 22:22:19 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23263

Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
>What about us in Canada, who the US don't control and the gov't is nothing
>more than someone elses business?
```

Could someone translate that from Canadian to English?

From 8424575210648563776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 15:30:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 5 Jun 2001 22:20:20 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 4
Message-ID: <9fjlv4$5cj$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com> <3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org> <9fhba1$i1i$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B1C58B4.EBC1907B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991779620 5523 128.171.44.55 (5 Jun 2001 22:20:20 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 5 Jun 2001 22:20:20 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23264

CAM wrote:
>Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?

If they demand access to HF w/o having met the requirements, yes.

From -6425284938221272860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 15:30:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 05 Jun 2001 22:29:57 GMT
References: <3B1CDCD6.25C8824F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010605182957.12914.00001040@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23265

>That wasn't the only problem, Larry. Another problem is that hams like
>you turned the ARS into their own private caste system.

```
>

 And Hams like you are turning into a CB Service for the Welfare minded people


From 7476681798568708356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 16:11:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<PSYS6.29726$Be4.10163437@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9fjm2r$5fk$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <XGdT6.39522$Be4.11873082@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 23:11:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 991782711 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 05 Jun 2001 16:11:51
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 16:11:51 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23266

Well its a ham radio forum, and last I heard, the states do not have a
monopoly on that, nor do they have a monopoly on the outdated cw
requirement.  So it would make sense that if one was to make a post about
the abolishment of cw that they would give an explanation that would not be
between any particular borders, but, rather universal.

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:9fjm2r$5fk$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Rob St.Denis <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote:
> >What about us in Canada, who the US don't control and the gov't is
nothing
> >more than someone elses business?
>
> Could someone translate that from Canadian to English?




From -8683163919136356012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 17:04:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <AR9R6.5531$rn5.272209@www.newsranger.com>
<JPrR6.6929$rn5.322301@www.newsranger.com> <9fcljq$fv8$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<b7c5262e.0106040920.65b4f245@posting.google.com> <9fjlog$4ua$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <NreT6.283$bA3.13455@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 20:03:57 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 00:03:57 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23267

In article <9fjlog$4ua$1@news.hawaii.edu>, Jeffrey Herman says...

> I asked you to provide your definition of "ham radio"
> but you deleted and never answered that question.

My definition of ham radio is located in the FCC rules,
Part 97.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 3363492547048883381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 17:07:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <TvMS6.3716$v4.175603@www.newsranger.com>
<9fjl8r$4fm$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <AueT6.288$bA3.13462@www.newsranger.com>

X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 20:06:56 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 00:06:56 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23268

In article <9fjl8r$4fm$1@news.hawaii.edu>, Jeffrey Herman says...

>Why do you keep editing out the line that said: "I wrote this
>a few years ago..."???

Whether you said it today, or "a few years ago" is irrelevant.
It was a lie then; it is a lie now.

73, Hans, K0HB

From 3350589437638601360
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 17:14:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 00:14:28 GMT
References: <3B1CD701.8398A51@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010605201428.03759.00004250@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23269

In article <3B1CD701.8398A51@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> First things first: Apologize for comparing me to a murderer.
>

>You're not a murderer and a murderer is a murderer. Why do you
>object to that comparison? Does your objection mean that you
>are indeed a murderer? Do you think you should prove that you
>are not a murderer? :-)
>--
>cheers, Cecil

My objection is to you making comparisons between participants in this newsgroup (myself, in particular) and vicious, heinous criminals as one of your tricks to prove your "logical" points. It is offensive. Knock it off. IF you're not man enough to apologize, just stop doing it.

Larry, K3LT

From 6400680244853326210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1210c8b4ea9df34d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 17:18:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news2.rdc2.tx.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com>
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Sound attenuation/Siren placement
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 14
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 00:18:30 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.7.30.169
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news2.rdc2.tx.home.com 991786710 24.7.30.169 (Tue, 05 Jun 2001 17:18:30 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 17:18:30 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23270

I'm posting this question here because it is likely to be read by the largest number of knowledgable individuals. We live in an area subject to tornado activity and the local sirens are set up apparently with the recommendation of the manufacturer to cover a radius of roughly 1 mile. The sirens are tested monthly in fair weather and the warning sounds are heard adequately, but the question has arisen regarding the affect of wind speed and wind noise on the ability of people to hear the siren. Presuming a strong outflow gust of 50 knots blowing away from the observer and towards the siren, the sound would be substantially

attenuated, but to what extent, and how could future placement of
additional sirens assist the situation? Surely there is an expert out
there who has given some thought or research to this??

```
From -8232421495405056916
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 17:28:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B1B0FC4.F89EA8F3@ix.netcom.com> <20010605041228.10524.00005996@nso-
md.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Lines: 20
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <LOeT6.217$9m.10105@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 00:28:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.234.1
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991787307 64.152.234.1 (Tue, 05 Jun 2001
17:28:27 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 17:28:27 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 17:26:30 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23271
```

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010605041228.10524.00005996@nso-md.aol.com...

> In article <3B1B0FC4.F89EA8F3@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> I wish I had spent more time on CW nets, I
> would probably be a 30+ WPM operator now instead of being
> stuck in the low 20's.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

Is that a whine or a regret? In either case, I absolutely encourage you to achieve the goals you desire! Get on those traffic nets and become fully proficient at a useful communication skill! Why go half-way?

Kim W5TIT

From -3977139193231376438
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 17:38:36 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 00:38:25 GMT
Message-ID: <3b1d790b.120922502@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9fcocs$ii9$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010603160715.23337.00002683@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9ffcjp$e4q$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b63e6.854534@news.mindspring.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.176
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.176
X-Trace: 5 Jun 2001 19:33:43 -0500, 64.24.18.176
Lines: 27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23272

On Mon, 04 Jun 2001 10:38:30 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) wrote:

>A rather unrealistic test scenario if you can't look back
>over your text and fillin or make corrections. If I had
>written the word ADVAN_ED and couldn't then fill in
>the missed letter C, how is that any valid capability test?
>In "real life" situations I certainly would...indeed, we do so
>all the time in listening to others speak.
>

One minute solid copy is one minute solid copy on paper no mistakes enrouten none. The ham code tests gotta be the only tests in the world in which you can miss 80% of the answers and still pass.

>
> A missed number
>is, of course, at best a 10/1 guess, but many words are
>absolutely recognizable with just a missing letter...even
>without the wording prior to and after that word.
>

Tell that to the military signals guys who copied encrypted five-character code groups all day.

>
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>
w3rv

From 721511808203891438
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 17:39:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B1CD701.8398A51@IEEE.org> <20010605201428.03759.00004250@nso-ch.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <EYeT6.254$9m.12111@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 00:39:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.152.234.1
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991787940 64.152.234.1 (Tue, 05 Jun 2001 17:39:00 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 17:39:00 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 17:37:03 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23273

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010605201428.03759.00004250@nso-ch.aol.com...
> In article <3B1CD701.8398A51@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
writes:
>
> >
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> First things first: Apologize for comparing me to a murderer.
> >
> >You're not a murderer and a murderer is a murderer. Why do you
> >object to that comparison? Does your objection mean that you
> >are indeed a murderer? Do you think you should prove that you
> >are not a murderer? :-)

> >--
> >cheers, Cecil
>
> My objection is to you making comparisons between participants
> in this newsgroup (myself, in particular) and vicious, heinous criminals
> as one of your tricks to prove your "logical" points. It is offensive.
> Knock it off. IF you're not man enough to apologize, just stop doing it.
>
> Larry, K3LT
>
>

ROFLMAO!!!

Kim W5TIT

From 4539913934445394348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 18:12:24 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 01:12:10 GMT
Message-ID: <3b1d7ddf.122158324@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3B1B0FC4.F89EA8F3@ix.netcom.com> <20010605041228.10524.00005996@nso-md.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.44
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.44
X-Trace: 5 Jun 2001 20:07:28 -0500, 64.24.16.44
Lines: 25
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23274

On 05 Jun 2001 08:12:28 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:
>
>traffic handled. I wish I had spent more time on CW nets, I
>would probably be a 30+ WPM operator now instead of being
>stuck in the low 20's.
>
Roger that Larry. I'm in the same boat, I can barely get 20 on paper
although I can copy 30+/- wpm in my head. I can probably do 40 in the
contests. Theres no question in my mind that the cw ops who have

serious time in the NTS are by far the best there is as a group.
>
I know several including Mae ex-w3cul who lived in my neighborhood and
who was the top traffic handler month after month for years. Listening
to her on 40 was like listening to a symphony except she went on for
hours per day.
>
A fellow club mate of mine Steve Branca k2sb is another NTS vet, he
passed a 70wpm challenge code test at Dayton some years back.
>
. . . I been feeling lame for years . . . "QRS, QRS! . . . "
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
w3rv

From -3219116130718421146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 18:15:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 01:14:51 GMT
References: <20010605062653.24495.00000766@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010605211451.24362.00001692@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23275

In article <20010605062653.24495.00000766@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>
>>>So if Einstein never learned Morse code and never passed a Morse
>>>code exam, he was an underachiever?
>
>>Would he have balked at learning it in order to get licensed?
>
>Did he ever become licensed?
>
Not that I know of. Doubt he ever had any interest, either.

Back then, you had to be a citizen to get a ham license. Did AE ever become a
US citizen?

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -3986515810364239538
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 18:15:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 01:14:52 GMT
References: <20010603160715.23337.00002683@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke):  Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010605211452.24362.00001694@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23276
```

In article <20010603160715.23337.00002683@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
>
>>Is that permitted under the VE system?
>
>If one copies for the first minute, then fills in the blanks while the rest
>of
>the code test is running, who is to know?

When the FCC examiner is looking over your shoulder as you take the test, I
kinda think he would know.

>I bet there were more than a few
>blank fillers in 1963, too.

Maybe. I didn't see any in 1968 or 1970, though.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -4897823315183249574
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 18:15:29 PST
```

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 01:14:52 GMT
References: <3B1C324C.3CD914C5@ix.netcom.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke):  Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010605211452.24362.00001695@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23277

In article <3B1C324C.3CD914C5@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Wayyyy back in 1976, I had to *send* CW at the FCC field site in NYC.

Back in 1968 and 1970, I had to do the same at the FCC office. Had to do both
13 and 20 wpm "to the examiner's satisfaction" on a straight key. Piece of
cake.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>> The point of Jeff's question, and my answer, was simply that in the bad old
>> days of FCC testing the standards were different. The ONLY way to pass back
>> then was the one-solid-minute route, and no time was allowed to go back and
>fix
>> up anything. There have been other changes in the exam process. All of it
>is
>> ancient history now.
>>
>>




From 2185197364080039482
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:11:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 19:10:50 GMT
```

References: <20010606064222.02679.00000998@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606151050.12767.00001435@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23378

>I believe that most hams pass their exams the
>same way you and I did in the 60s -- with a combination of learning,
>memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping a few topics are not too
>heavily weighted on the exam they end up getting.

Maybe thats what you did, but thats not what i Did, I new the material.

From -2387830962577096741
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:12:46 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E8048.36B01FE3@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 14:11:04 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1E7411.7EAF6841@IEEE.org> <9flst2$96t$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991854261 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 14:04:21 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23379

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >How about the 10-page mail order conditional license study
> >guide, 5 pages of which were rules and regulations, which
> >granted *ALL* amateur privileges to an ignorant 14 year old?

>
> If you're talking about the Conditional General back in the
> 50s/60s, Cecil, it's common knowledge that FCC hated to give
> those things at a distance: they didn't want any hanky-panky, or
> the mere suggestion of it. And that leads to the second generally
> acknowledged point that gaining a Conditional General was tougher
> than gaining the General Class, i.e., it was a tougher exam.

Do you have any proof of that assertion, Vince? If so, that might
explain why I flunked my first Conditional exam.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 901290848811441036
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:27:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:28:22 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3B1E8453.B2580AC1@sccoast.net>
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net> <3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net> <vF_Q6.52037$t12.4072227@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B16F120.DE98D6E3@sccoast.net> <3b179aa8.52713172@news2.dvol.com> <3B19FC9C.F7BD6C23@sccoast.net> <9fdj8g$jh8$1@208.207.71.166> <3B1D8EA4.50A3A543@sccoast.net> <9fkdgp$96b$1@208.206.142.84>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-57.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991855644 398124 207.144.64.187 (6 Jun 2001 19:27:24 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2001 19:27:24 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23380

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Gee. Dwight isn't satisfied to complain
> > > about ham radio, he now gets off on the
> > > Internet. Ever hear of GOOGLE? I haven't
> > > searched for anything yet that it couldn't
> > > find.
> >
> >   Complain about ham radio? What are you
> > talking about? I've never complained about
> > ham radio.
>
> And a bear doesn't crap in the woods.

Okay, I'll bite. Show me where I've ever complained about ham radio. I'm one of the most fanatical supporters of ham radio, so you clearly must be talking about someone else.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From 905018267954672647
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:28:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 19:28:22 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 10
Message-ID: <9fm08m$bgn$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B1E8048.36B01FE3@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991855702 11799 149.174.88.240 (6
Jun 2001 19:28:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 19:28:22 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23381
```

>Do you have any proof of that assertion, Vince? If so, that
>might explain why I flunked my first Conditional exam.

It might well be it does explain why you flunked your first
Conditional Exam, if indeed you did. Moreover, and why all was
NOT in the license guides, contrary to your statements over many
years.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 3830926503033084885
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:36:30 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E85CE.E089897@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 14:34:38 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1E7641.F3BDD891@IEEE.org> <9fltgs$96t$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991855676 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 14:27:56 -0500)
Lines: 54
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23382

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> >and the 50 cent ARRL License Manual was *ALL* I had to study for
> >my conditional exam in 1952.
>
> So you claim. Never proved.

If your assertions are based on me proving something that happened 50 years ago, you are certainly on thin ice. I made 50 cents an hour at the grocery store. I certainly was not going to waste it on material that I didn't need to pass the exam. When I flunked the Conditional exam the first time, I realized it was because I didn't study the material in the ARRL License Manual well enough. I memorized more of the material and passed it the second time.

> >The ARRL preface in the manual said the manual was all I needed.
>
> Manual referred to scope only. As pointed out over the ages.

Huh? Here's a quote: "If our answers sometimes seem to the initiated to be naive or incomplete, rest assured that they are sufficient for the purpose." That meaning seems pretty clear, Vince.

> >I believed them and they were right. I didn't buy an ARRL
> >Handbook until four years later.
>
> They were wrong. Who said you learned all ONLY from the Handbook?

Nobody, Vince. I learned *ALL* I didn't know from the ARRL License Manual. I sorta suspect that they assumed that I could add and subtract already and probably could read the English language.

> >It's a fact of life, Vince. It's happening with or without your
> >permission or acceptance. You really need to come to terms with
> >reality.
>
> What's reality is that you agree you accept mediocrity, Cecil.

Mediocrity has been around since the dawn of time, Vince. That you don't accept it is a statement of your own mental state, not a statement about reality. I wish reality were perfect, just like you do, but I possess enough rationality to conclude that reality will never be perfect, unlike you, who enjoys wallowing in fantasy worlds.

Bottom line: God is not going to reward you in the afterlife for supporting a position that cannot rationally be supported in reality. Ham radio and Morse code are not religions for which zealots are rewarded by God. Why must you treat them as such?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7613136035109002709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:39:17 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E867F.D5C31B1B@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 14:37:35 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1DAAF2.35E159FB@IEEE.org> <20010606150228.12767.00001432@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991855852 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 14:30:52 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23383

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >You mean the ones who support the Morse code affirmative action program?
>
> Whats the difference written test is even a Bigger Joke

I'm having trouble recognizing that as an English language sentence.
Would you mind parsing it for me?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2501529931793102086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:42:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:43:36 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3B1E87E3.1C253DDB@sccoast.net>
References: <3B1A1153.4329908E@sccoast.net> <20010603120915.03759.00003864@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3B1D939C.76CC9DAD@sccoast.net> <3B1DA9D8.A430096C@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-57.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991856557 398277 207.144.64.187 (6 Jun 2001 19:42:37
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2001 19:42:37 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23384
```

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Again, your perception of the envy of others
> is based on your own delusions of superiority.
>
> Now Dwight, you are just not being fair. How
> can Larry support his highest caste position if
> nobody will cooperate by accepting the lower
> caste positions?

Well, I know Larry may perceive it to be unfair, but he'll just have to get used to the reality of a modern world. Modern hams have had a taste of freedom from his archaic caste system and we are never going to be forced back into it. Therefore, if he still wants his delusions, he'll have to do it without our cooperation.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From 5598950900494600141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:49:01 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E88B6.4A4D8EC2@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 14:47:02 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1E7960.8F46305E@IEEE.org> <9flubh$kq4$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991856420 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 14:40:20 -0500)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23385

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> Doesn't matter how many pages, Cecil. When the exact q and a's
> that will appear on a test are known beforehand, we no longer
> have an exam, by definition.

By who's definition, Vince? Your Highnee's definition? Did God
die and put you in charge of definitions? If so, why are you
so slow in doing something about it? Get off your omnipotent
a$$ and make some positive changes, for God's sake! (Have you
ever had any of God's sake? I'll bet it's pretty good stuff.)

> >Regarding the code requirements, they are back where they
> >started. Isn't returning to the past what you want?
>
> Knowing the basics of communications and theory is what we strive
> for, Cecil. A rare commodity for the typical Amateur today.

The first Morse code exam was 5wpm, Vince, equal to the present
Morse code exam. That sure seems to cover the basics. How can
you disagree? Seems you don't dare to agree that the basics
change with time.
--

cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7004934932892808857
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:52:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:53:07 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3B1E8A1C.7F229E9@sccoast.net>
References: <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net> <20010603021853.04835.00000353@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3B1A37A7.3EDCCC9A@IEEE.org>
<yyrS6.16883$651.1215463@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B1DA164.2EEAE7B@sccoast.net> <9fke3k$c2k$0@208.206.142.84>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-57.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991857126 398680 207.144.64.187 (6 Jun 2001 19:52:06
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2001 19:52:06 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23386

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >
> > [snip] And, of course, some people are just
> > downright dumb.  Some just cannot separate
> > the value of the code test requirement from
> > the value of CW itself (these are not the
> > same thing).  You can see these people in
> > every code testing debate screaming about
> > the value of CW.
>
>  Dwight, you clueless delight, it's time to
```

> put it in plain language that even you can
> understand -- you are one ***STUPID*** SOB.

Okay, Dick. Thanks for providing us with that example.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 6828581421796739144
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:53:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 19:53:54 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9fm1oi$c90$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B1E85CE.E089897@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991857234 12576 149.174.88.240 (6 Jun 2001 19:53:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 19:53:54 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23387

>If your assertions are based on me proving something that
>happened 50 years ago, you are certainly on thin ice...

>When I flunked the Conditional exam the first time, I realized
>it was because I didn't study the material in the ARRL License
>Manual well enough. I memorized more of the material and passed
>it the second time.

That's where _you_ are on thin ice, Cecil. You failed it the
first time. That indicates to me all that you expected from the
manual wasn't in there. 'Photographic' memory and all. Egods!

>The ARRL preface in the manual said the manual was all I
>needed.
VB>>Manual referred to scope only. As pointed out over the ages.

>Huh? Here's a quote: "If our answers sometimes seem to the
>initiated to be naive or incomplete, rest assured that they are

>sufficient for the purpose." That meaning seems pretty clear,
>Vince.

The meaning to me means 'all that's needed in scope.' If they
could have said 'exact Q and A inside,' they would have.
Regards, Vince, WB2EZG

From -1048151051576623084
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:57:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:58:18 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3B1E8B52.4606DA0F@sccoast.net>
References: <9fjlv4$5cj$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010606070432.02679.00001006@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-57.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991857437 398212 207.144.64.187 (6 Jun 2001 19:57:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2001 19:57:17 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23388

W1RFI wrote:
>
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote...
>
> >CAM wrote:
>
> >> Are the nocode tech astronauts
> >> underachievers?
>
> > If they demand access to HF w/o
> > having met the requirements, yes.
>
> I don't know of a single astronaut
> who has demanded access to HF without
> meeting the requirements. Can you

> post any references?

I don't know anyone who has demanded access to HF without meeting the requirements. The discussion all along has been about changing the requirements, not about access without meeting the requirements.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 3024542694669778903
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:59:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 19:59:56 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9fm23s$c90$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B1E88B6.4A4D8EC2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991857596 12576 149.174.88.240 (6 Jun 2001 19:59:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 19:59:56 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23389

>>Doesn't matter how many pages, Cecil. When the exact q and a's
>>that will appear on a test are known beforehand, we no longer
>>have an exam, by definition.

>By who's definition, Vince? Your Highnee's definition?

Certainly, by my definition. I get to use _my_ definition of 'exam,' remember? Not to mention the fact that no educator worth their salt would sanction a rote-memorized test as an exam. Hell, the fir is already flying, AGAIN, over such a debacle. Another national disaster, placed before us yesterday by the media, on this very problem. This is what happens when you open the door to mediocrity in education, Cecil.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 2604663871748560914
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:02:37 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E8BE9.3766CEF5@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:00:41 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net>
<3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net>
<vF_Q6.52037$t12.4072227@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3B16F120.DE98D6E3@sccoast.net> <3b179aa8.52713172@news2.dvol.com>
<3B19FC9C.F7BD6C23@sccoast.net> <9fdj8g$jh8$1@208.207.71.166>
<3B1D8EA4.50A3A543@sccoast.net> <9fkdgp$96b$1@208.206.142.84>
<3B1E8453.B2580AC1@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991857239 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 14:53:59 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23390

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Okay, I'll bite. Show me where I've ever complained about ham
> radio. I'm one of the most fanatical supporters of ham radio, so you
> clearly must be talking about someone else.

What's absolutely weird about Dick is that he very carefully sets up
straw men for which he is impotent of knocking down.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2392667805413838446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:04:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.frii.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 16:05:32 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3B1E8D04.A50504D3@sccoast.net>
References: <9fjlv4$5cj$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010606070432.02679.00001006@ng-ff1.aol.com> <9fld67$cad$0@208.207.71.142>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-57.r10.sccnwy.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991857871 399276 207.144.64.187 (6 Jun 2001 20:04:31 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2001 20:04:31 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23391

Dick Carroll wrote:

> No, Ed, and that is the point. Only those
> who demand the privileges without meeting
> the qualifications are the underachievers.

So, according to your argument, anyone who demands privileges without meeting the qualifications is an underachiever. Well, that certainly says a lot about those who have demanded the privileges associated with this country (voting rights, freedom from slavery, and so forth), but failed to meet the qualifications at the time.

And, again, when it comes to code testing, the issue is not about a demand for privileges without meeting qualifications - the issue has always been to change the qualifications to better fit a modern world.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From -3857474263661132361
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:13:42 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E8E8E.7D664B36@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:11:58 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1E8048.36B01FE3@IEEE.org> <9fm08m$bgn$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991857916 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 15:05:16 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23392
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

>
> >Do you have any proof of that assertion, Vince? If so, that
> >might explain why I flunked my first Conditional exam.
>
> It might well be it does explain why you flunked your first
> Conditional Exam, if indeed you did. Moreover, and why all was
> NOT in the license guides, contrary to your statements over many
> years.

If so, they goofed up on the second exam which I passed by simply studying the ARRL License Manual a little harder. Methinks you are simply grasping at straws. Your whole argument rests on me being more knowledgeable than I was. Where were you in 1952 that

you could possibly know more about my knowledge than I did?
Were you really sitting on the right hand of God? To avoid
embarrassing yourself, please don't answer that question.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8208871418751054671
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:18:16 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 20:18:05 GMT
Message-ID: <3b1e8f04.192083678@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010605211451.24362.00001693@nso-fj.aol.com>
<20010606062658.02679.00000991@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.45
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.45
X-Trace: 6 Jun 2001 15:13:23 -0500, 64.24.19.45
Lines: 20
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23393

On 06 Jun 2001 10:26:58 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>A 75A4 with the W2VCZ mods will give most "modern" receivers a good run for
>>their money.
>
>I will gladly test a 75A4 in the ARRL Lab to answer that question.
>Unfortunately, we don't have one in stock. :-)
>
The VCZ version was the 75A4A and you don't have to test it, it's
already been "tested", just ask many of the contest & dxcc halls of
famers about that radio.
>
>
>73,
>Ed Hare,W1RFI

>
w3rv

From 7033750192834647513
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:21:22 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E9060.AFCB5A42@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:19:44 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1E85CE.E089897@IEEE.org> <9fm1oi$c90$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991858382 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 15:13:02 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23394

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> That indicates to me all that you expected from the
> manual wasn't in there. 'Photographic' memory and all. Egods!

All that indicates is that I'm lazy and only attempted to memorize
and remember half of it, Vince. I certainly didn't want to expend
more energy than required to barely pass the exam. I'm not a
masochist, after all. I don't waste my photographic memory on
every trivial thing.

> If they
> could have said 'exact Q and A inside,' they would have.

I'm sure they knew the FCC would not approve even though it was

very close to the truth. You remind me of my preacher when I ask
him to prove that God exists. He uses the Bible to prove the validity
of the Bible. Duuuuuhhhhhhhhhh!
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4276695833016171584
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:24:19 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E9108.9CC1533C@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:22:32 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010606064222.02679.00000998@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<20010606151050.12767.00001435@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991858549 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 15:15:49 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23395

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >I believe that most hams pass their exams the
> >same way you and I did in the 60s -- with a combination of learning,
> >memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping a few topics are not too
> >heavily weighted on the exam they end up getting.
>

> Maybe thats what you did, but thats not what i Did, I new the material.

Hopefully, you "new" the material better than you "new" the English
language. ROTFLMAO!
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -740044481564416858
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:30:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Date: 6 Jun 2001 19:44:14 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9fm16e$ljc$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<TvMS6.3716$v4.175603@www.newsranger.com> <9fhb5c$hv9$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<SZ9T6.993$SQ2.49398@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991856654 22124 128.171.44.54 (6 Jun 2001 19:44:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 19:44:14 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23396

Why do you continue to clip the preface, "I wrote this a number of
years ago to answer such questions"?

Many of us tend to think of that 10 watt 4th Class JA license as a CB
ticket on steroids.

K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:
>Jeffrey Herman says:
>
>> At present, there is only one mode all the world's
>> HF-licensed amateurs hold in common: CW.
>
>Facts are, by definition, a therapy of limited usefulness in treating cases
>of advanced delusion. But let us try a few on Jeffrey's notion that a knowledge
>of CW is common to all HF-licensed hams. These pills may help others who are
>less severely afflicted by this delusion.

>Fact: The government of Japan has issued licenses to almost 3 million
>"Fourth Class" licensees.

Many of us tend to think of that 10W license as a CB ticket on
steroids.

From -8966734062342678934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:30:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Date: 6 Jun 2001 19:50:40 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <9fm1ig$m38$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <AR9R6.5531$rn5.272209@www.newsranger.com>
<b7c5262e.0106040920.65b4f245@posting.google.com> <9fjlog$4ua$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<NreT6.283$bA3.13455@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991857040 22632 128.171.44.54 (6 Jun 2001 19:50:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 19:50:40 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23397

K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote:
>Jeff says...

>>I asked you to provide your definition of "ham radio"
>>but you deleted and never answered that question.

>My definition of ham radio is located in the FCC rules,
>Part 97.

Nothing in Part 97 says that "...repeaters aren't ham radio..."
(your words) so your definition must stray from that Part. You
still haven't explained your claim that "...repeaters aren't
ham radio..."

KH6O

From 2089825982975718635
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1210c8b4ea9df34d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:30:15 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound attenuation/Siren placement
Date: 6 Jun 2001 20:06:55 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9fm2gv$mqi$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix4.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991858015 23378 128.171.44.54 (6 Jun 2001 20:06:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 20:06:55 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23398

Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com> wrote:

>I'm posting this question here because it is likely to be read by the
>largest number of knowledgable individuals. We live in an area subject
>to tornado activity and the local sirens are set up apparently with the
>recommendation of the manufacturer to cover a radius of roughly 1 mile.
>The sirens are tested monthly in fair weather and the warning sounds are
>heard adequately, but the question has arisen regarding the affect of
>wind speed and wind noise on the ability of people to hear the siren.
>Presuming a strong outflow gust of 50 knots blowing away from the
>observer and towards the siren, the sound would be substantially
>attenuated, but to what extent, and how could future placement of
>additional sirens assist the situation? Surely there is an expert out
>there who has given some thought or research to this??

Gary, what make and model are your sirens? What is their decibel rating at 100 feet? (The specs sheets should contain this info.) What is their height above ground? Are there any obstructions (buildings, foliage) nearby. Did your city/county contract with the manufacturer for installation or did a local contractor install them? Are the air intakes routinely check for bird nests, leaves, or other obstructions? Have the intake screens been painted, thereby reducing the air flow to the rotors?

My dad's company used to manufacture a line of "air raid" sirens many decades ago, and I've also been a volunteer for the county civil defense here for 15 years, so motor-driven sirens are something I know a little about. I'm also forwarding your note to our CD communications officer -- he's the one who activates our 150 island/county sirens monthly.

Jeff KH6O

From 7983061682938092587
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:33:08 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E930A.FA80E123@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:31:06 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9fjlv4$5cj$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010606070432.02679.00001006@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <9fld67$cad$0@208.207.71.142> <3B1E8D04.A50504D3@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991859063 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 15:24:23 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23399

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   So, according to your argument, anyone who demands privileges
> without meeting the qualifications is an underachiever.

Nobody has answered my question, Dwight. If someone makes a demand
in the forest and nobody hears him, is he still an underachiever?
--
cheers, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -1647267117070817856
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:38:00 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E9433.3FDD4B35@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:36:03 -0500
```

From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1E88B6.4A4D8EC2@IEEE.org> <9fm23s$c90$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991859361 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 15:29:21 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23400

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> This is what happens when you open the door to
> mediocrity in education, Cecil.

Me, open the door to mediocrity in education, Vince? Shirley, you jest.
I'm just an umemployed bum. What effect could I possibly have on anything?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6886654389855116264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-Thread: 103929,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid103929,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2

X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:44:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!216.227.56.88.MISMATCH!telocity-west!TELOCITY!news-west.eli.net!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Frank" <frankjg@citlink.net>
From: "Frank" <frankjg@citlink.net>
Newsgroups:
fr.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<9ek47h$gl6$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
<6brT6.2014$9m.159833@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: W6HLH
Lines: 77
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <hCwT6.19$6r4.2720@news-west.eli.net>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 20:43:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 216.67.203.66
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ctaz.com
X-Trace: news-west.eli.net 991860237 216.67.203.66 (Wed, 06 Jun 2001 14:43:57 MDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 14:43:57 MDT
Organization: Ctaz.com NewsReader Service
Xref: archiver1.google.com fr.rec.radio.amateur:13148 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16312
rec.radio.amateur.policy:23401 rec.radio.shortwave:36607
tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:916

Blackie has been around longer than most posters are old.
What he says is his right to free speech.
Its the old story if you do not like it turn the dial.
Some must like it for they listen and then complain

Frank

"Gene Seibel" <gene@pad39a.com> wrote in message
news:6brT6.2014$9m.159833@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Interesting how those who think someone shouldn't be heard will jump on
the
> internet and advertise them far and wide. Doesn't make a lick of sense to
> me.
> --
> Gene Seibel
> http://pad39a.com/publishing - Confessions of a Pilot
> --
>
> RadioFan <rf@go.com> wrote in message
news:9ek47h$gl6$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> > I haven't heard this particular operator (W6HLH), but I must disagree
with
> > the sentiment that "It is a shame that his rhetoric is protected by free

```
> > speech...", as I happen to believe that there is nothing more important
> than
> > this freedom.  Thank God (and the wisdom of Founding Fathers) that we
> allow
> > this type of talk.
> >
> > "I may disagree with your words, but I will fight to the death for your
> > right to speak them."  ...or something close to that.
> >
> > It provides the rest of us with the oportunity to hear those against
whom
> we
> > must be vigilant.
> >
> > ...and I seem to recall that Hitler abolished free speech pretty early
in
> > his time in power.
> >
> > Just a thought, and...
> >
> > Just my two cents.
> >
> > 73
> >
> >
> >
> > Toni wrote in message
> > <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>...
> > >If you hear W6HLH, George "the Bigot" Lunge, CQing on his self
appointed
> > >Band of 10 meters, do not give him the time of day.  This poor excuse
for
> a
> > >human is a bigot of the worse kind and doesn't even think twice about
> > >spouting racial slurs over the airways.  It is a shame that his
rhetoric
> is
> > >protected by free speech as it does nothing but disservice the Amateur
> > Radio
> > >Community and decent people everywhere.
> > >
> > >Toni
> > >SWL & Scanners
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
```

```
From 4094002720493533374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 13:51:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 20:51:19 GMT
References: <3B1E867F.D5C31B1B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606165119.14787.00005513@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23402

>I'm having trouble recognizing that as an English language sentence.
>Would you mind parsing it for me?
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>
>

Nope, you got  the point
```

```
From -6591451043532561387
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 14:49:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!
news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 21:49:35 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9fm8hf$r3e$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B1E8E8E.7D664B36@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991864175 27758 149.174.88.240 (6
Jun 2001 21:49:35 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 21:49:35 GMT
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23403

>If so, they goofed up on the second exam which I passed by
>simply studying the ARRL License Manual a little harder.

Eh? You don't study, remember? It's clear to me you don't have an
answer to this convoluted series of events.

>Methinks you are simply grasping at straws. Your whole argument
>rests on me being more knowledgeable than I was.

Methinks what I conclude is based directly on what you say,
Cecil. And that is, you have a photographic memory, you didn't
study for your ham exams let alone your college degree, and that
you failed the Conditional the first time. A logical conclusion
to draw from that is that the license manual wasn't giving you
all that you said.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -5584934265536887832
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 14:55:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 21:55:14 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9fm8s2$r3e$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B1E9060.AFCB5A42@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991864514 27758 149.174.88.240 (6
Jun 2001 21:55:14 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 21:55:14 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23404

>>That indicates to me all that you expected from the
>>manual wasn't in there. 'Photographic' memory and all. Egods!

>All that indicates is that I'm lazy and only attempted to
>memorize and remember half of it, Vince.

The clear indication to me is that what you're proposing is
nonsense, and you're making it up as you go.

>> If they
>> could have said 'exact Q and A inside,' they would have.

>I'm sure they knew the FCC would not approve even though it was
>very close to the truth. You remind me of my preacher when I ask
>him to prove that God exists. He uses the Bible to prove the
>validity of the Bible. Duuuuuhhhhhhhhhh!

Then start praying, Cecil, to get out of this convolution you've
created for yourself.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -5230968939515439781
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 15:04:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 22:04:52 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <9fm9e4$r3e$3@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <9fm8s2$r3e$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991865092 27758 149.174.88.240 (6 Jun 2001 22:04:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 22:04:52 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23405

>All that indicates is that I'm lazy and only attempted to
>memorize and remember half of it, Vince.

Another incongruity. You don't like wasting time, remember?
Studying half of it wouldn't likely get you a passing grade.
Being methodical, you wouldn't leave that to chance on the chance
you'd have to waste time by taking time to memorize more, as you
claim. You're getting in deeper, Cecil.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -6076549426689854832

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 16:40:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 23:40:09 GMT
References: <20010606151050.12767.00001435@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606194009.10232.00001956@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23406

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>I believe that most hams pass their exams the
>>same way you and I did in the 60s -- with a combination of learning,
>>memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping a few topics are not too
>>heavily weighted on the exam they end up getting.
>
> Maybe thats what you did, but thats not what i Did, I new the material.

I think I can safely rest my case at this point.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5275434039072495363
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 16:45:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 23:45:03 GMT
References: <20010606102722.06052.00000182@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010606194503.10232.00001957@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23407

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>Then you have changed the parameters of the original assertion, Bill.

>   "...if time was still left" was not a part of the original equation.

It would easily be so if one stopped copying the last minute of the sent text and used that time to go back and fill in the copied text. If the text was plain language, it is pretty certain there would be a minute of solid copy in there, with the last minute completely missing.

> The "multiple guess" tests are a different story.

The multiple guess tests are about to be history.
73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1571276922005269663
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 16:47:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 23:47:08 GMT
References: <9fld67$cad$0@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010606194708.10232.00001958@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23408

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>No, Ed, and that is the point. Only those who demand the privileges
>without meeting the qualifications are the underachievers.

I don't think I have seen a single person who has demanded that he or she be allowed to skip any of the present FCC requirements to get a license, nor do I know anyone who has been issued a license that did not meet the requirements.

Just who are we talking about here?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1871624703685573036
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 16:53:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 23:52:35 GMT
References: <9flrds$8db$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606195235.10232.00001959@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23409

From: Vincent Biancomano 103520.355@CompuServe.COM

>>It sure was. I passed my entry-level Novice license by studying
>>a 4-page study guide and passing a 20-question exam.

>Ed, that schtick never worked for you when you started it,
>doesn't work now. Because it's long been acknowledged that the
>Novice license then and now was intended as a give-away one.

It was intended to attract 13-year old kids into ham radio, and it worked for me. The present "entry-level" exam is so much harder that we are not getting a lot of 13-year olds.

Now, what was your take on my getting all amateur privleges as a General by studying a 16-page study guide?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>Regards,
>Vince, WB2EZG
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -5169769066323539372

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 16:56:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 23:55:32 GMT
References: <9flru2$8db$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606195532.10232.00001960@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23410

From: Vincent Biancomano 103520.355@CompuServe.COM

>>Oh? Is that why 3 pages of study guide accompanied the entrance
>>exam of the 50s and why 10 pages of study guide accompanied the
>>exam that granted 100% of all amateur operating privileges in
>>the 50s?

>Yes. Novice then as now was a give away, Ed.

Um, Vince, you are talking with Cecil. Maybe that is why you keep getting
confused about what was said. :-)

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5279225086495715071
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 16:57:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 23:56:52 GMT
References: <9flru2$8db$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606195652.10232.00001961@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23411

>From: Vincent Biancomano 103520.355@CompuServe.COM

>Yes. Novice then as now was a give away, Ed. And you needed more
>than a license guide above that class.

Now Ed will chime in. ALL I studied for my General was the ARRL study guide. Did the ARRL lie when it said in the study guide that it was sufficient to teach what was needed to pass the test? Did the lucky guessing part of my exam get that lucky? I walked away having passed the General.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8726749902116409861
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 17:01:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 00:00:54 GMT
References: <9fm8s2$r3e$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606200054.10232.00001962@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23412

>The clear indication to me is that what you're proposing is
>nonsense, and you're making it up as you go.

I don't think he is trying to convince you, Vince; he is trying to convince the three other people actually reading this thread. If they read the ARRL Handbook before taking their General in the 1950s, then they believe you. If they didn't read the ARRL Handbook before taking their exam in any decade, they probably believe Cecil.

I didn't read the ARRL Handbook before I took my General; I studied the 16-page ARRL study guide.

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5799967468083532983
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 17:21:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 7 Jun 2001 00:21:06 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9fmhdi$3ib$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <20010606195235.10232.00001959@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991873266 3659 149.174.88.240 (7 Jun 2001 00:21:06 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Jun 2001 00:21:06 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23413

>It was intended to attract 13-year old kids into ham radio, and
>it worked for me. The present "entry-level" exam is so much
>harder that we are not getting a lot of 13-year olds.

Dream on, Ed. We're not getting 13-year olds for a lot of reasons. The one you mention ain't even in the running.

>Now, what was your take on my getting all amateur privleges as a
>General by studying a 16-page study guide?

You already know that: One could be lucky in closing his eyes, and that's as far as it goes. Just as Cecil has shown just today that he 'cowboy'd' the story about passing his Conditional with his photographic memory, but mentioning he failed the test the first time. Articles have already been written on this subject concerning the Extra Class, and how one couldn't pass by memorizing the License Manual; now you're essentially stating the case was different for the other classes. But history, and even Cecil, can't show that to be true.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 6045444137456071661
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 17:25:53 PST

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 7 Jun 2001 00:25:53 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9fmhmh$3ib$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <20010606195532.10232.00001960@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991873553 3659 149.174.88.240 (7
Jun 2001 00:25:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Jun 2001 00:25:53 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23414

>Um, Vince, you are talking with Cecil.

Immediately acknowledged without outside aid earlier today, Ed.

>Maybe that is why you keep getting confused about what was said.
>:-)

Never a chance of confusing any who play both sides against the
middle, Ed. Just a matter of filing them accordingly in the same
stack.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG


From 8284132557221767300
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 17:31:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 7 Jun 2001 00:31:52 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9fmi1o$3ib$3@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <20010606195652.10232.00001961@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991873912 3659 149.174.88.240 (7
Jun 2001 00:31:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Jun 2001 00:31:52 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23415

>Now Ed will chime in. ALL I studied for my General was the ARRL
>study guide. Did the ARRL lie when it said in the study guide
>that it was sufficient to teach what was needed to pass the
>test?

'Sufficient to teach what was needed' isn't the question, Ed. The
question is whether we did, or didn't have, exact Q and A. Which
is, amazingly enough, what the issue's been since c. 1980. Which
the ARRL got involved with, before deciding to sell those same Q
and A books it was going to go to court against.

>Did the lucky guessing part of my exam get that lucky?

It's conceivable, it could very well have been.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -5172344950289087577
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f611560171429517
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 17:35:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 00:34:53 GMT
References: <3B1CD78F.A4DE4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The "L" word
Message-ID: <20010606203453.28252.00006721@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23416

In article <3B1CD78F.A4DE4@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I'm all in favor of most forms of conversation.
>
>We know that, Larry, but the subject is conservation.

Well Cecil, that's what I was doing -- conversing about conserving!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -6206284549269395466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 17:35:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 00:34:55 GMT
References: <3B1CDC2F.83601E5B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606203455.28252.00006724@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23417

In article <3B1CDC2F.83601E5B@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> It is obvious that you don't intend to answer my simple question in
>> a direct manner, so I hereby absolve you of that requirement.
>
>So now Father Larry is capable of devine absolution. Are you going
>to sprinkle Holy Water on Ed next time you see him?

Cecil:

If I had any, I would!  I would even sprinkle some on you!

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 1372507539862633384
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 17:35:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 00:34:56 GMT
References: <3B1CDE2A.7B27B3AE@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606203456.28252.00006727@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23418

In article <3B1CDE2A.7B27B3AE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I wish I had spent more time on CW nets, I
>> would probably be a 30+ WPM operator now instead of being
>> stuck in the low 20's.
>
>So stop whining about it and do it, Larry,

Cecil:

There is no requirement for me to be able to send/receive faster than
30 WPM, so I'm going to whine anytime I darn please!  BTW, thank
you for leaving out one of your ugly comparisons.

73 de Larry, K3LT


From 1669863486478913049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 17:35:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 00:34:56 GMT
References: <3B1CDDAD.C5FFD55F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606203456.28252.00006726@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23419

In article <3B1CDDAD.C5FFD55F@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> However,
```

>> the tests I took back then were significantly longer, harder, had
>> more questions, and ...
>
>Larry, you left out having to crawl five miles uphill both ways
>through the snow on your hands and knees to get to the FCC office.

Cecil:

In my case, it was crawling thru the mud under barbed wire, machine-gun fire zinging inches from my head, a K-Bar clenched in my teeth, the taste of my sweat causing the camo makeup to run into the corners of my mouth. You see, that FCC office was in downtown Baltimore!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4184774591739045662
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 17:35:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 52
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 00:34:55 GMT
References: <20010605061608.24495.00000764@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010606203455.28252.00006723@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23422

In article <20010605061608.24495.00000764@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Carry on with your career-essential ARRL HQ political correctness and
>>continue to obfuscate.
>
>Sorry, Larry, my performance appraisal doesn't contain an item about what I
>say or don't say on r.r.a.p. Are you really trying to convince people that
>ARRL HQ management wants me not to answer your direct question to someone
>else
>on rrap to further my career?

>
>I think I have decided that you just like to hear yourself say it.

Ed:

I have a question to ask, but it would have to be based on some presumptions, a few of which are rather far-fetched. Here they are:

Let's say that there was an opening for Editorial Director of QST magazine…

… Let's also say that I had a degree in Journalism and many years of experience on the editorial staffs of publications in the electronics and computer fields…

… Let's also say that I was widely known and respected as an editor, and my resume was full of glowing endorsements from publishers I had worked for in the past…

… I am still possessed of my present "attitude" toward Restructuring -- to wit, if given the power, I'd retroactively nullify all amateur radio licenses issued since April 15, 2000 and require all of those licensees to re-test under the pre-Restructuring testing standards in order to regain their HF-privileges at levels above General class…

(Here's the far fetched part)

… And I was willing to live and work in the state of Connecticut.

Now, here's the question:

Do you think there's any possibility that I, Larry Roll, K3LT, even if technically the best qualified candidate for the position, could get a job with the ARRL as editorial director of QST? Please leave out any technicalities such as "there isn't any such position" or "we already have one" etc. Just answer the question based on the above hypothesis.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -3254993415803596233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 17:35:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
```

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 00:34:54 GMT
References: <20010605182842.12914.00001039@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606203454.28252.00006722@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23420

In article <20010605182842.12914.00001039@ng-cg1.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com
(WA8ULX) writes:

>>Just so you don't put the into too starry-eyed a frame of mind, let's
>>get your feet back on the ground and acknowledge that most beginners
>>just download the question pool and get to memorizing the word
>>associations between questions and their furnished answers.
>
>Dick, Ed wont believe that, he knows all new Hams are Technical Wizzards.
> After looking at one of my recent QSTs, which had a Picture of ED in it, I
>can
>now see where ED is coming from, his comments are not only Dippy he even
>Looks
>like a DIP S$$T.

Bruce:

I don't think that if you ever met Ed in person you wouldn't say things
like that.  He is a very bright, personable chap -- and he knows his
business like few people I've ever met.  BTW, which issue has his
picture in it?  I need to re-do my dartboard -- Bill and Hillary are now
too full of tiny holes!

73 de Larry, K3LT




From -1064873449262170196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 17:35:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 00:34:56 GMT
References: <3B1CDCD6.25C8824F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
```

```
Message-ID: <20010606203456.28252.00006725@nso-mq.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23421

In article <3B1CDCD6.25C8824F@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I'm sure the license testing standards in place at the time of your
>> entry-level exams reflected an adequate cross-section of knowledge
>> required to deal with the simple technical and operational conditions
>> that existed then.  Since then, we have come up with more
>> comprehensive testing under the Incentive Licensing program, which
>> offered five (later six) levels of license privilege, giving hams the
>> ability to progressively increase their privileges as they expanded
>> their knowledge and skill.  The only problem was that a significant
>> number were frustrated by the fact that their own lack of motivation
>> caused them to be stuck at the lower levels.
>
>That wasn't the only problem, Larry. Another problem is that hams like
>you turned the ARS into their own private caste system.

Cecil:

"We" did nothing of the sort -- that was just the misconception of those
who, through their own indifference and inaction, were stuck at the
lower levels of the former licensing system.  I had no need or desire
to form any kind of "caste" system, and I don't know any other pre-
Restructuring Extras that did.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -7701478655884106767
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 18:39:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 01:38:46 GMT
References: <20010606203454.28252.00006722@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606213846.12834.00001121@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23423

>BTW, which issue has his
```

>picture in it? I need to re-do my dartboard -- Bill and Hillary are now
>too full of tiny holes!
>
>73 de Larry, K3L

 Hey Larry I think it was last months Issue, just glanced thru this month and
he was there, I only keep them a Month and throw them away, there good for much
of anything else.
 I think the Picture was of him and some guy giving him a Free Handout of some
kinda of CB Radio, if you find the picture look and see if hes got his Pocket
Protector on, I dont remember.

From -4364488567606310885
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 19:29:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 02:28:52 GMT
References: <3B1DA449.69391D26@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010606222852.03759.00004432@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23424

In article <3B1DA449.69391D26@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> > Their object, like the object of a lot of hams I know, was to be
>> > able to communicate on the VHF/UHF ham bands. Does that make them
>> > underachievers?
>>
>> Nope. It makes 'em Techs. If that's all they want, that's all they
>> get.
>
>So all nocode techs are not underachievers?

Cecil:

I'd hardly call receiving 99.7% of *all* amateur privileges as an
entry-level licensee an "underachievement." Unless, of course,
the "underachievement" relates to how these privileges are
utilized. There's the rub...

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8644991886647185658
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 19:29:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 02:28:52 GMT
References: <3B1DA164.2EEAE7B@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010606222852.03759.00004431@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23425

In article <3B1DA164.2EEAE7B@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> And, of course, some people are just downright dumb. Some just
>cannot separate the value of the code test requirement from the value
>of CW itself (these are not the same thing). You can see these people
>in every code testing debate screaming about the value of CW.
>

Dwight:

Not nearly as dumb as those who cannot comprehend the fact that in order to access the benefits and advantages of the Morse/CW mode, there must be radio amateurs capable of *using* the Morse code at a useful proficiency level! I just don't happen to believe that this skill will develop in a vacuum -- I believe that the code testing requirement created the numbers of code loving, code-proficient hams we have today.

There is no jealousy on my part. That ended the day I determined that I was going to become a code-proficient radio amateur. From that point on, it was easy, fun, enjoyable. I just wish you could have had the same sort of epiphany.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4159558574138765207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 19:29:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 55
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 02:28:51 GMT
References: <3B1D939C.76CC9DAD@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010606222851.03759.00004430@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23426

In article <3B1D939C.76CC9DAD@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> Congratulations! This is the first time
>> I've been accused of being a "lemming"
>> just because I've achieved a valuable
>> accomplishment that a lot of others
>> have before me! Am I also a "lemming"
>> for having served honorably in the armed
>> forces? Am I also a "lemming" for
>> having graduated with honors from
>> college? Just how far does my "lemming-
>> ness" extend, Dwight?
>
>
> Did you do those things because of others who came before you?
>After all, that was the reason you gave for upgrading to Extra class.

Dwight:

Not at all -- I did them because I wanted to have the benefits and
privileges that went along with those accomplishments. The fact
that others achieved these accomplishments before me just proved
to me that I could do it myself! Once I gained the self-confidence,
I had no problem achieving my goals. Being a "lemming" had
nothing to do with it.

>> No, Dwight, I don't think "people" are
>> envious of me -- I think YOU are envious
>> of me!

> Larry, when I formed my opinions about code testing, I didn't even

>know you existed. You had no influence on my decisions about code
>testing. And I've read a ton of nonsense by you since, and you still
>have had no influence on my decisions about code testing. Again, your
>perception of the envy of others is based on your own delusions of
>superiority.

Dwight, I don't recall bringing up the concept of "envy." That was started by someone else. Take it up with her, not me.

>> My "superiority" to the typical no-coder
>> is no delusion, Dwight. It is an absolute,
>> concrete, iron-clad FACT.
>
>
> You're also a nut.

I'm rubber, you're glue!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 4879624354100691278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1210c8b4ea9df34d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 19:29:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 02:28:51 GMT
References: <393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Sound attenuation/Siren placement
Message-ID: <20010606222851.03759.00004429@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23427
```

In article <393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com>, Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com> writes:

>
>I'm posting this question here because it is likely to be read by the
>largest number of knowledgable individuals. We live in an area subject
>to tornado activity and the local sirens are set up apparently with the
>recommendation of the manufacturer to cover a radius of roughly 1 mile.
>The sirens are tested monthly in fair weather and the warning sounds are
>heard adequately, but the question has arisen regarding the affect of
>wind speed and wind noise on the ability of people to hear the siren.

>Presuming a strong outflow gust of 50 knots blowing away from the
>observer and towards the siren, the sound would be substantially
>attenuated, but to what extent, and how could future placement of
>additional sirens assist the situation? Surely there is an expert out
>there who has given some thought or research to this??

My guess is that an observer located in a a position where he was more or less in line with the siren, but facing the direction of the wind gust, would experience a slight Doppler shift in the frequency of the siren's tone and a noticable reduction in volume, due to the fact that the wind gust blowing in the siren's direction would provide resistance to the siren's sound pressure waves, thus attenuating them. Locating the siren(s) upwind of expected gusts would seem to be the obvious solution. You would need to measure the siren's volume at a given location in still air, and then compare that value to the volume level received at the same location when a wind gust of a known speed is travelling directly on a heading toward the siren in question. At that point, you'd need someone with some genuine math skills to apply a dB value to the readings, and extrapolate the changes at different wind speeds. I recommend finding a high school honors student of Japanese descent.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3145565225203906980
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 18:15:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 01:14:53 GMT
References: <20010604064120.02695.00000590@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010605211453.24362.00001696@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23278

In article <20010604064120.02695.00000590@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>Figure the A/D converter needed to dig out -140 dBm signals in the ham bands
>>between 1.8 and 29.7 MHz, with BDR in excess of 140 dB and third order IMD

>in
>>excess of 95 dB. The IC-765 was bettering those numbers more than a
>>decade ago - and it wasn't even the top of the line.
>
>The IC-765 does not exhibit that performance within the passband of the IF,
>or
>even 5 kHz outside of it.

Nobody says it did. Those numbers are from the QST Product Review, which was done in the standard fashion used in the lab, I believe.

Does there exist a practical A/D converter/DSP system that comes anywhere near that performance?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 8435984684926332376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 18:15:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 01:14:51 GMT
References: <H%SS6.4231$v4.216857@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010605211451.24362.00001693@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23279

In article <H%SS6.4231$v4.216857@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> writes:

>On Mon, 04 Jun 2001 06:09:37 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv says:
>
>> The two filters working series in series is called "cascaded
>> filters" and it works just ducky and whacks the crap out of any DSP
>> filtering scheme when it gets down to state-of-the-art selectivity.
>
>And my DSP (in the IF chain) whacks down strong unwanted signals
>inside the passband of the mechanicals, or enhances discrete ESP-thin
>piss-weak signals (without ringing) without amplifying surrounding
>noise. Try that with your 75A4.

The 75A4 has an effective notch filter as stock equipment.

A 75A4 with the W2VCZ mods will give most "modern" receivers a good run for
their money. Then there's the SS-1R.....

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

From 2728184061857839630
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 18:15:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 01:14:53 GMT
References: <20010604063928.02695.00000589@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010605211453.24362.00001697@nso-fj.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23280

In article <20010604063928.02695.00000589@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>>As time goes on we will see more of the DSP functions
>>>moving up from the audio band in to what was considered RF sections.
>
>>IF DSP is happening now. But it still needs a lot of analog help to work.
>
>And probably ever will.

Agreed.

>Just because I believe that one day the technology
>WILL evolve to the point where antenna-to-DSP is practical (it IS possible
>right now up to about 10 MHz), that doesn't mean that doing so will be the
>optimum way to create an SDR.

"Antenna to DSP" means no analog components. Yet in the above you said DSP
would probably need a lot of analog help. Which is it?
>
>An A-to-D IC has analog circuitry in it, so you can always make the
>assertation

>that it is still "analog" somewhere. :-)
>
Sure - but that's not what I'm talking about. I'm referring to the need for analog filtering, and possibly many other analog functions like amplification and gain control, before the A/D conversion.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 110968585367271079
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 18:18:56 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 01:18:42 GMT
Message-ID: <3b1d83bc.123659649@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9fg5fi$3be$2@208.207.71.171> <20010605063122.24495.00000768@ng-fm1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.44
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.44
X-Trace: 5 Jun 2001 20:14:01 -0500, 64.24.16.44
Lines: 17
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.kjsl.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeed.onecall.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23281

On 05 Jun 2001 10:31:22 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
>Not by people empowered to make that promise, Dick. If one chooses to take
>someone's empty promise, they are either a fool or a liar.
>
What do you call all whiners who used that scam to "justify" the reduction of of the code test speeds?
>
>Did you really
>believe that promise when you heard it?
>
Hell no, Suiggy and ilk are as transparent as they come.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From -7098350032129496759

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 18:42:01 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3B1CD701.8398A51@IEEE.org> <20010605201428.03759.00004250@nso-
ch.aol.com> <EYeT6.254$9m.12111@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <PSfT6.405$bA3.16824@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 21:41:03 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 01:41:03 GMT
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news.bnb-lp.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23282

Kim-W5TIT says...

>
>ROFLMAO!!!
>

Kim, I don't think you can possibly laugh that hard.

Hans









From 1278606354276935146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 18:43:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1D7C76.E9DB419C@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
```

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com> <9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171>
<3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org> <9fhba1$i1i$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B1C58B4.EBC1907B@IEEE.org> <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net>
<3B1CEAC8.6BB394F6@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 01:42:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.167.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991791777 63.30.167.101 (Tue, 05 Jun 2001 18:42:57 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 18:42:57 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 18:41:02 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23283

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?
> >
> > ...if their object was to obtain an HF ticket, they were.
>
> Their object, like the object of a lot of hams I know, was to be
> able to communicate on the VHF/UHF ham bands. Does that make them
> underachievers?

Nope. It makes 'em Techs. If that's all they want, that's all they get.

Dave K8MN

From -3302793839150108943
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 18:49:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!elk.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1D7E18.CB6D26C7@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B148B9E.8BA1040C@sccoast.net>
<3B14F8B3.41710D34@IEEE.org> <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net>
<9f9s9c$gmf$0@208.207.71.177> <3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171> <3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org>
<3B1C42F1.D4D8EC1C@earthlink.net> <3B1C5906.A137C5A4@IEEE.org>
<3B1CD939.6B723F7D@earthlink.net> <3B1CEB93.6BE0F2D4@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 01:49:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.167.101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991792195 63.30.167.101 (Tue, 05 Jun 2001 18:49:55 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 18:49:55 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 18:47:58 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23284

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > It is quite
> > possible to do well in one field and to be an under achiever in another,
> > Cecil.
>
> I am not an underachiever at being a garbage collector, Dave. I
> simply don't want to have anything to do with collecting garbage.
> Are you an underachiever at cleaning out septic tanks? Just because
> someone doesn't choose to do something doesn't mean they are an
> underachiever at it.

Are you taking issue with my comment or did you actually have a point to make? I'd like to make certain before you veer off in another direction. I don't recall making any garbage collector or septic tank comments. I was under the impression that we were discussing amateur radio. Some go as far as they want. Some go as far as they can. Some don't go at all.

Dave K8MN

From -9072576243754874020
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 18:59:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.frii.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 22:00:12 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3B1D8EA4.50A3A543@sccoast.net>
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net> <3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net> <vF_Q6.52037$t12.4072227@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B16F120.DE98D6E3@sccoast.net> <3b179aa8.52713172@news2.dvol.com> <3B19FC9C.F7BD6C23@sccoast.net> <9fdj8g$jh8$1@208.207.71.166>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-106.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991792753 355620 206.74.49.106 (6 Jun 2001 01:59:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2001 01:59:13 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23285

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Gee. Dwight isn't satisfied to complain
> about ham radio, he now gets off on the
> Internet. Ever hear of GOOGLE? I haven't
> searched for anything yet that it couldn't
> find.

Complain about ham radio? What are you talking about? I've never complained about ham radio. As for the internet, my views are based on my own experiences, Dick. The fact that you have a difference experience does not change mine.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 4433456495298913332
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1210c8b4ea9df34d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 19:10:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!hammer.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!csulb.edu!news.service.uci.edu!not-for-mail
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound attenuation/Siren placement
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 19:04:43 -0700
Organization: University of California, Irvine
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <3B1D8FBB.7E42DBBD@uci.edu>
References: <393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: alembic.ps.uci.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23286

Hi Gary,

I can get an answer to this, but would first have to know how loud the siren is at a given distance (with no wind).

73, Jim AC6XG

Gary Danaher wrote:
>
> I'm posting this question here because it is likely to be read by the
> largest number of knowledgable individuals. We live in an area subject
> to tornado activity and the local sirens are set up apparently with the
> recommendation of the manufacturer to cover a radius of roughly 1 mile.
> The sirens are tested monthly in fair weather and the warning sounds are
> heard adequately, but the question has arisen regarding the affect of
> wind speed and wind noise on the ability of people to hear the siren.
> Presuming a strong outflow gust of 50 knots blowing away from the
> observer and towards the siren, the sound would be substantially
> attenuated, but to what extent, and how could future placement of
> additional sirens assist the situation? Surely there is an expert out
> there who has given some thought or research to this??

From -1891177646037624083
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 19:20:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 22:21:28 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <3B1D939C.76CC9DAD@sccoast.net>
References: <3B1A1153.4329908E@sccoast.net> <20010603120915.03759.00003864@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-106.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991794027 356465 206.74.49.106 (6 Jun 2001 02:20:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2001 02:20:27 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23287

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Congratulations! This is the first time
> I've been accused of being a "lemming"
> just because I've achieved a valuable
> accomplishment that a lot of others
> have before me! Am I also a "lemming"
> for having served honorably in the armed
> forces? Am I also a "lemming" for
> having graduated with honors from
> college? Just how far does my "lemming-
> ness" extend, Dwight?

Did you do those things because of others who came before you? After all, that was the reason you gave for upgrading to Extra class.

> No, Dwight, I don't think "people" are
> envious of me -- I think YOU are envious
> of me!

Larry, when I formed my opinions about code testing, I didn't even know you existed. You had no influence on my decisions about code testing. And I've read a ton of nonsense by you since, and you still have had no influence on my decisions about code testing. Again, your perception of the envy of others is based on your own delusions of superiority.

> My "superiority" to the typical no-coder
> is no delusion, Dwight. It is an absolute,
> concrete, iron-clad FACT.

You're also a nut.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From -8156190531705401372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 19:34:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 83
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 02:34:00 GMT
References: <20010605041228.10524.00005996@nso-md.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010605223400.12553.00004021@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23288
```

In article <20010605041228.10524.00005996@nso-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>There are slow-speed code traffic training nets that usually
>operate at around 10 WPM or so.

Yup. Here in EPA, PTTN is the slow net and EPA the regular traffic net. 3RN is the region and EAN is the area net.

>The problem with these is that
>in order to participate reasonably well you need to sit there
>copying everything you can hear on the net a few times just
>to get the "feel" for what is going on, since net operation is
>a lot different than a regular QSO.

What's the problem? Listening to a well-run net on any mode is a joy in itself. Listening to a well run CW net is double the fun.

>You should also have the
>"Net-specific" Q-signal chart close to hand, and learn the more
>well-used ones like QRV, QNI, QTC, etc.

You only need the chart until you memorize them. The amateur-net-specific ones all have N as the middle letter. The others are from the standard list. Here are the ones I remember without looking:

QNA - Answer in prearranged order
QNB - Act as relay between...
QNC - All net stations copy
QND - Net is directed
QNE - Entire net please stand by
QNF - Net is free (dismissed)
QNI - Check into net
QNX - Excuse(d) from net
QNZ - Zero beat to NCS frequency

There are also lots of prosigns and of course the standard ARRL message format. Just takes a little study and some listening. Nothing to it.

>Once you get
>used to CW net operation, however, it is really easy to get
>traffic handled.

Yup. Been there, done that. Faster and more accurate than 'phone, too.

Those folks don't waste a dit. No guessing on the copy, either - you either got it right or you didn't, and if you didn't, you asked for a fill. Of course it takes a while to get the skills, and even longer to get really good.

I never met W3CUL, even though she lived only a few miles away, but I got to know W3WRE really well. I still miss 'ol Lou. Luckily, her world-famous key collection was kept together and is now at the AWA museum. I never met most of the other net regulars, who lived farther away, but it got so I could tell their signals in a letter or two.

I recall one session where I was NCS and the early evening section net handled 42 formal messages in a 30 minute session, including call up, check ins and check outs, etc. The region and area nets go faster and do more.

>I wish I had spent more time on CW nets, I
>would probably be a 30+ WPM operator now instead of being
>stuck in the low 20's.

So DO it!! The nets are always looking for checkins.

There's also W1AW code practice. And the speed merchants on the lower part of 40 meters.

Speed is not the only skill needed, though. Accuracy is even more important. What some folks call "situational awareness" is a biggie, as well. Being able to do several things at once is a must. Lots of other stuff, too, like zerobeating (transceivers were for rich hams back then).
>
Those traffic nets are where I got my real CW skills. I was on practically every night, sometimes going to the region and even area nets. Also a regular NCS and region net rep. Pretty heady stuff for a 14 year old with a

homebrew/surplus 50 watt station, a wire and a straight key. I eventually built a rig with QSK to handle traffic better. This was back when none of the manufactured rigs had it. 20 per in the FCC office was nothing much after two years of clearing the hook on 3610 and 3590.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -9210587192772689225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:19:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 23:20:32 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <3B1DA164.2EEAE7B@sccoast.net>
References: <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net> <20010603021853.04835.00000353@nso-fi.aol.com> <3B1A37A7.3EDCCC9A@IEEE.org>
<yyrS6.16883$651.1215463@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-106.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991797567 359586 206.74.49.106 (6 Jun 2001 03:19:27 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2001 03:19:27 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23289

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> Heh heh heh. Hey guys, think about it.
> Larry is constantly on this CW testing
> bit, right? He constantly speaks of
> how he did it, so he figures everyone
> else needs to and should do it, right?
> It just came to me. Larry is extremely
> angry that he had to do it and has been
> for years. I mean, really, I can't
> believe I haven't seen that before!
>
> Imbedded in any one of Larry's ranting

> is the incessant whine of "I had to
> do, so you have to do it." Yep, Larry's
> mad that he had to do it, and he'll
> never get over it. So, guys, who's
> whining?

Kim, jealousy has been part of this since the very beginning. In addition, many higher class license holders are also mad because license class means nothing above 50 MHz - the Technician has the exact same privileges as the highest license class holder. Many see that as a potential threat to the entire license class system. If Technicians are able to perform just as well as the other license classes above 50 MHz, why continue to have license classes below 50 MHz? That thought is what drives so many to trash Technicians whenever possible (even if things have to be made up or exaggerated).

Likewise, it is that thought which drives the fanatical demand that new hams go though the same ritual as previous hams. In other words, the defense of CW is often a defense of the entire license class system - the first line in the sand.

And, of course, much of this is based on the false sense of superiority that many hams have wrapped themselves in for decades. Many hams have fooled themselves, and often friends or family, with the nonsense that the license is proof of skills and knowledge far above what it actually proves - that the license holder took a basic test and now has certain operating privileges. It is that false sense of superiority that causes so many hams to think that, if a new ham gets his license without the same ritual, it devalues the license.

Furthermore, to give another person the same license without the same ritual, proves the ritual itself is not as valuable as these license holders have convinced themselves and often others. So, in addition to the jealousy factor you describe, the reduction in the code testing requirement was also a blow to the ego of many higher license class holders.

And, of course, some people are just downright dumb. Some just cannot separate the value of the code test requirement from the value of CW itself (these are not the same thing). You can see these people in every code testing debate screaming about the value of CW.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From 8596417960860014841
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:33:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 03:31:49 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <3b1da3f7.1016590@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B148B9E.8BA1040C@sccoast.net>
<3B14F8B3.41710D34@IEEE.org> <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net>
<9f9s9c$gmf$0@208.207.71.177> <3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4d.36
X-Server-Date: 6 Jun 2001 03:32:50 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23290

On 4 Jun 2001 14:12:13 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On 2 Jun 2001 05:06:52 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Dwight Stewart wrote:
>> >>
>> >> CAM wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > Larry uses the ARS licensing system
>> >> > as his own personal caste system.
>> >>
>> >>   You have that right. Many people need something to make themselves
>> >> feel superior. For Larry, I guess it is the ARS licensing system.
>> >
>> > Spoken like a pair of true underacbievers.
>>
>> What a load of garbage. You (Dick) have not a clue
>> as to the achievements, over or under, of anyone else
>> in this newsgroup.
>
> Spoken like another true underachiever!

Still a load of garbage for the same reason.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -2585035999146374996
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:34:18 PST
Message-ID: <3B1DA449.69391D26@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 22:32:25 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com> <9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171>
<3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org> <9fhba1$i1i$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B1C58B4.EBC1907B@IEEE.org> <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net>
<3B1CEAC8.6BB394F6@IEEE.org> <3B1D7C76.E9DB419C@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp 991797942 64.240.47.99 (5 Jun 2001 22:25:42 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23291
```

```
Dave Heil wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Their object, like the object of a lot of hams I know, was to be
> > able to communicate on the VHF/UHF ham bands. Does that make them
> > underachievers?
>
> Nope.  It makes 'em Techs.  If that's all they want, that's all they
> get.
```

So all nocode techs are not underachievers?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2505324679486316273
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:39:31 PST
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net> <20010603021853.04835.00000353@nso-fi.aol.com> <3B1A37A7.3EDCCC9A@IEEE.org>
<yyrS6.16883$651.1215463@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B1DA164.2EEAE7B@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <WAhT6.504$bA3.21152@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 23:38:30 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 03:38:30 GMT
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!news.bnb-lp.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23292

W5TIT says...

> Imbedded in any one of Larry's ranting
> is the incessant whine of "I had to
> do, so you have to do it." Yep, Larry's
> mad that he had to do it, and he'll
> never get over it.

Kim,

That's pure unadulterated Texas Longhorn manure.

Larry and I can find a lot to disagree about, including the need for high-speed Morse code testing, but despite our disagreements, Larry is an honorable sort with the best interests of the Amateur Radio Service at heart. He genuinely believes in the value of Morse testing as a requisite to full Amateur privileges. You don't need to agree with him (I don't) but it's not a "whine" and he's not "mad that he had to do it".

Grow up.

73,
Hans

From 2432646939205513558
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:40:37 PST
Message-ID: <3B1DA5CC.F2A7249B@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 22:38:52 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com> <3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org> <9fhba1$i1i$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3B1C58B4.EBC1907B@IEEE.org> <9fjlv4$5cj$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp 991798329 64.240.47.99 (5 Jun 2001 22:32:09 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23293

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?
>
> If they demand access to HF w/o having met the requirements, yes.

If I demand an income tax refund but the government doesn't deliver, does that make me an underachiever? If I demand that you send me $100 and you don't, does that make me an underachiever? I'm having trouble comprehending exactly how an empty demand consisting of a few words can turn someone into an underachiever. What, exactly, has been underachieved?

If the demand for HF access is made in the forest and nobody hears
it, is the person speaking the demand still an underachiever?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3386158581788456577
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:43:17 PST
Message-ID: <3B1DA679.BEDFE809@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 22:41:45 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B148B9E.8BA1040C@sccoast.net>
<3B14F8B3.41710D34@IEEE.org> <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net>
<9f9s9c$gmf$0@208.207.71.177> <3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171> <3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org>
<3B1C42F1.D4D8EC1C@earthlink.net> <3B1C5906.A137C5A4@IEEE.org>
<3B1CD939.6B723F7D@earthlink.net> <3B1CEB93.6BE0F2D4@IEEE.org>
<3B1D7E18.CB6D26C7@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp 991798501 64.240.47.99 (5 Jun 2001 22:35:01 -0500)
Lines: 31
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23294

Dave Heil wrote:

```
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > It is quite
> > > possible to do well in one field and to be an under achiever in another,
> > > Cecil.
> >
> > I am not an underachiever at being a garbage collector, Dave. I
> > simply don't want to have anything to do with collecting garbage.
> > Are you an underachiever at cleaning out septic tanks? Just because
> > someone doesn't choose to do something doesn't mean they are an
> > underachiever at it.
>
> Are you taking issue with my comment or did you actually have a point to
> make?  I'd like to make certain before you veer off in another
> direction.  I don't recall making any garbage collector or septic tank
> comments.  I was under the impression that we were discussing amateur
> radio.  Some go as far as they want.  Some go as far as they can.  Some
> don't go at all.

Some hams on this newsgroup assert that all nocode techs are underachievers.
I'm just trying to ascertain if you are one of those hams.
--
cheers, Cecil
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7194120710054065858
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:44:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 23:45:59 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3B1DA753.55371FAD@sccoast.net>
References: <3B18B114.811B6FE0@sccoast.net> <20010604071521.02695.00000598@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-106.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991799092 343770 206.74.49.106 (6 Jun 2001 03:44:52
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2001 03:44:52 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23295
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote...
>
> >> "Your honor, she made me beat her, but
> >> I forgot exactly who it was, so I had to
> >> beat whoever was handy. I don't do it
> >> just because I like to beat people,
> >> honest."
>
> > Boy, that defense argument sure sounds
> > familiar. Have to change one word
> > though - "whoever" to "everyone who."
>
> Now you know why they put me in the Lab
> instead of on the QST editorial team.
> :-)

It was more an attempt to expand the number discussed than an attempt to critique your writing (everyone who was handy as opposed to who was handy). Unless I'm mistaken, "whoever" in that sentence would get you past the QST proofreaders. Of course, I never was able to get who, whom, whoever, and whomever, straight, so you probably would not want to take my word for it.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From 3171186035388212138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:45:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke):  Why El. 1 is a Joke
```

Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 03:44:36 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 42
Message-ID: <3b1da6ed.1774471@news.mindspring.com>
References: <9fcocs$ii9$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010603160715.23337.00002683@ng-cd1.aol.com> <9ffcjp$e4q$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b63e6.854534@news.mindspring.com> <9fha2h$gps$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4d.36
X-Server-Date: 6 Jun 2001 03:45:00 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23296

On 5 Jun 2001 00:45:05 GMT, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
wrote:

>Bill wrote:
>>Jeff wrote:
>
>>A rather unrealistic test scenario if you can't look back
>>over your text and fillin or make corrections.
>
>It just hit me that he might have mentioned that certain words
>were purposely misspelled and that we were to copy what we
>heard, not what we thought we should have heard.
>
>>If I had
>>written the word ADVAN_ED and couldn't then fill in
>>the missed letter C, how is that any valid capability test?
>
>Well, if you missed the C, then you didn't correctly copy the
>required N letters in a row, right?
>
>>In "real life" situations I certainly would...indeed, we do so
>
>A test scenario isn't necessarily supposed to model the real world.
>
>Don't forget that doing fills was impossible on the random groups
>portion of the commercial code test!
>
>>>One thing that 2-3 month wait did was to enable one to become exposed
>>>to the culture of ham radio through listening to folks on the air,
>>>for listening was all one could do until the license arrived.
>
>>Sure hope you're not suggesting the 2/3 wait was better
>>than today.
>
>I think it was for the very reason mentioned above.

Not likly. More for the simple manual processing that
was involved.

Cheers,

Bill K2UNK

```
From 4926232521477566921
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:47:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net> <20010603021853.04835.00000353@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3B1A37A7.3EDCCC9A@IEEE.org>
<yyrS6.16883$651.1215463@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B1DA164.2EEAE7B@sccoast.net> <WAhT6.504$bA3.21152@www.newsranger.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <YIhT6.756$9m.61097@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 03:47:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.116.186
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991799224 64.157.116.186 (Tue, 05 Jun
2001 20:47:04 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 20:47:04 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 20:45:06 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23297

"K0HB" <K0HB@QWEST.NET> wrote in message
news:WAhT6.504$bA3.21152@www.newsranger.com...
> W5TIT says...
>
> > Imbedded in any one of Larry's ranting
> > is the incessant whine of "I had to
> > do, so you have to do it."  Yep, Larry's
> > mad that he had to do it, and he'll
> > never get over it.
>
> Kim,
>
> That's pure unadulterated Texas Longhorn manure.
>
> Larry and I can find a lot to disagree about, including the
```

> need for high-speed Morse code testing, but despite our
> disagreements, Larry is an honorable sort with the best
> interests of the Amateur Radio Service at heart. He genuinely
> believes in the value of Morse testing as a requisite to
> full Amateur privileges. You don't need to agree with him
> (I don't) but it's not a "whine" and he's not "mad that he
> had to do it".
>
> Grow up.
>
> 73,
> Hans
>

I respect your belief in Larry, Hans. However, I also respect our right to disagree with each other, and don't think it has anything to do with ages.

Kim W5TIT

From -5920501814819953189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:49:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 23:50:51 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3B1DA875.EBF36BA3@sccoast.net>
References: <3B1A18E2.FE6C43DC@sccoast.net> <20010603120916.03759.00003865@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-106.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991799382 359711 206.74.49.106 (6 Jun 2001 03:49:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 6 Jun 2001 03:49:42 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23298

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> You're right -- it *does* go both ways.
> You stop whining, and I'll stop slamming
> you for it.

I have a better idea. You and your friends stop whining about CW,
and I'll stop responding to what you write about it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 5221097307241205934
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:50:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 03:48:31 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3b1da745.1862488@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3b1b63e6.854534@news.mindspring.com>
<20010605095813.02980.00000086@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4d.36
X-Server-Date: 6 Jun 2001 03:49:01 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23299

On 05 Jun 2001 13:58:13 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
>>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>>Date: 6/4/01 5:38 AM Central Daylight Time
>
>>A rather unrealistic test scenario if you can't look back
>>over your text and fillin or make corrections.
>
> You obviously never took a timed test in a public school then, Bill. And
>that was exactly the way the (Morse) test was administered to me.
>
>>If I had
>>written the word ADVAN_ED and couldn't then fill in

>>the missed letter C, how is that any valid capability test?
>
>   If the requirement was "One Minute Solid Copy" and that missing character
>meant you DIDN'T copy that "c" IN that one minute, you failed.
>
>   If you go back after the fact and enter it, then it was "One Minute Plus
>Whatever I Did After Time Was Called"

I've taken many "timed" tests and have never been forbidden
from go back to recheck or fill in missing answers I may have
skipped over if time was still left.

>   This is how some of Gordo West's students get by. He teaches them to copy
>the "dots and dashes" as characters, then go back AFTER the test and match the
>character to the letter.

Since the ITU treaty makes no time requirements nor does
it require any direct conversion, it seems that would be
OK.

>   That's NOT a "legitimate" test.
>
>>A missed number
>>is, of course, at best a 10/1 guess, but many words are
>>absolutely recognizable with just a missing letter...even
>>without the wording prior to and after that word.
>
>   Then you need to be situationally aware of the time, and if there's any
>doubts, fill in the blanks before the bell is rung.

True.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -8874820825801956341
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:52:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 03:51:22 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 34
Message-ID: <3b1da82e.2095943@news.mindspring.com>

```
References: <9fcocs$ii9$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010603160715.23337.00002683@ng-
cd1.aol.com> <9ffcjp$e4q$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b63e6.854534@news.mindspring.com>
<3b1d790b.120922502@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4d.36
X-Server-Date: 6 Jun 2001 03:51:53 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23300

On Wed, 06 Jun 2001 00:38:25 GMT, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly,
w3rv) wrote:

>On Mon, 04 Jun 2001 10:38:30 GMT, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>wrote:
>
>>A rather unrealistic test scenario if you can't look back
>>over your text and fillin or make corrections.  If I had
>>written the word ADVAN_ED and couldn't then fill in
>>the missed letter C, how is that any valid capability test?
>>In "real life" situations I certainly would...indeed, we do so
>>all the time in listening to others speak.
>>
>One minute solid copy is one minute solid copy on paper no mistakes
>enrouten none. The ham code tests gotta be the only tests in the world
>in which you can miss 80% of the answers and still pass.

But current testing does allow filling in.  One can certainly do that
during the time the applicant is trying to answer the 10 questions.

>> A missed number
>>is, of course, at best a 10/1 guess, but many words are
>>absolutely recognizable with just a missing letter...even
>>without the wording prior to and after that word.
>>
>Tell that to the military signals guys who copied encrypted
>five-character code groups all day.

Who cares, so what?  Hams have no such equivalent
copying that I am aware of.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -1656775483517483356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:53:55 PST
Message-ID: <3B1DA8E2.F2567234@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 22:52:02 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD701.8398A51@IEEE.org> <20010605201428.03759.00004250@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp 991799122 64.240.47.99 (5 Jun 2001 22:45:22 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23301

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> My objection is to you making comparisons between participants
> in this newsgroup (myself, in particular) and vicious, heinous criminals
> as one of your tricks to prove your "logical" points. It is offensive.
> Knock it off. IF you're not man enough to apologize, just stop doing it.

You say that nocode techs make you beat up on them, Larry. You are too old to be a spoiled brat and I've heard that same basic excuse used by criminals many times. Seems it's you who should be apologizing for blaming the victims of your attacks for your attacks.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4706782712413122630
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:57:57 PST
Message-ID: <3B1DA9D8.A430096C@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 22:56:08 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1A1153.4329908E@sccoast.net> <20010603120915.03759.00003864@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3B1D939C.76CC9DAD@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp 991799366 64.240.47.99 (5 Jun 2001 22:49:26 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!nntp.cifnet.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23302

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Again, your
> perception of the envy of others is based on your own delusions of superiority.

Now Dwight, you are just not being fair. How can Larry support his highest
caste position if nobody will cooperate by accepting the lower caste positions?
--
cheers, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -6319735328616245975
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 20:59:15 PST
Message-ID: <3B1DAA2B.FF375F48@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 22:57:31 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010605062653.24495.00000766@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010605211451.24362.00001692@nso-fj.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp 991799447 64.240.47.99 (5 Jun 2001 22:50:47 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23303

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20010605062653.24495.00000766@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
> (W1RFI) writes:
>
> >>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
> >
> >>>So if Einstein never learned Morse code and never passed a Morse
> >>>code exam, he was an underachiever?
> >
> >>Would he have balked at learning it in order to get licensed?
> >
> >Did he ever become licensed?
> >
> Not that I know of. Doubt he ever had any interest, either.

Just think how much he would have accomplished if he had not been
a dumbed-down nocoder. :-)

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6922603877108826844
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 21:02:41 PST

```
Message-ID: <3B1DAAF2.35E159FB@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 23:00:50 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1CDCD6.25C8824F@IEEE.org> <20010605182957.12914.00001040@ng-
cg1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp 991799647 64.240.47.99 (5 Jun 2001 22:54:07 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!telocity-
west!TELOCITY!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23304
```

```
WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >That wasn't the only problem, Larry. Another problem is that hams like
> >you turned the ARS into their own private caste system.
>
>  And Hams like you are turning into a CB Service for the Welfare minded people
```

You mean the ones who support the Morse code affirmative action program?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 3319397255666076635
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 21:04:20 PST
Message-ID: <3B1DAB5A.BF6A6270@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 23:02:34 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
```

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <9fg5fi$3be$2@208.207.71.171> <20010605063122.24495.00000768@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3b1d83bc.123659649@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp 991799752 64.240.47.99 (5 Jun 2001 22:55:52 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23305

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> What do you call all whiners who used that scam to "justify" the
> reduction of of the code test speeds?

Is the FCC in on the scam?


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 6455887123567506619
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 21:07:37 PST
Message-ID: <3B1DAC21.EF408B2F@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 23:05:53 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<TvMS6.3716$v4.175603@www.newsranger.com> <9fg7k9$ads$1@208.207.71.171>
```

```
<HHNS6.3812$v4.182033@www.newsranger.com> <9fjlc6$4p6$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.99
X-Trace: corp 991799951 64.240.47.99 (5 Jun 2001 22:59:11 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23306

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:
> >So much for Jeffrey's "only one
> >mode all the world's HF-licensed amateurs hold in common" chant.
>
> Which was prefaced with, "I wrote this a few years ago..." Why
> do you continue to edit that line out? Your editing skills are
> as "bad" as Cecil's!

Hey Jeff, we are just following your netnews guidelines to trim
articles as much as possible.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 5805253672406392864
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1210c8b4ea9df34d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 21:22:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!wn2feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc04-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Reply-To: kd6lvw@att.net
Subject: Re: Sound attenuation/Siren placement
```

In-Reply-To: <393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10106060421491.24781-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
References: <393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com>
Errors-To: kd6lvw@att.net
X-Snarkable: Not Likely
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
Lines: 18
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 04:22:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.80.44.33
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 991801368 12.80.44.33 (Wed, 06 Jun 2001 04:22:48 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 04:22:48 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23307

On Wed, 6 Jun 2001, Gary Danaher wrote:

> I'm posting this question here because it is likely to be read by the
> largest number of knowledgable individuals. We live in an area subject
> to tornado activity and the local sirens are set up apparently with the
> recommendation of the manufacturer to cover a radius of roughly 1 mile.
> The sirens are tested monthly in fair weather and the warning sounds are
> heard adequately, but the question has arisen regarding the affect of
> wind speed and wind noise on the ability of people to hear the siren.
> Presuming a strong outflow gust of 50 knots blowing away from the
> observer and towards the siren, the sound would be substantially
> attenuated, but to what extent, and how could future placement of
> additional sirens assist the situation? Surely there is an expert out
> there who has given some thought or research to this??

...What about the next siren in the plan, especially the one UPWIND and behind the observer? Any situation is going to have more than one, spaced at two mile intervals or less.

From -3255365091074872312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 21:46:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Date: 6 Jun 2001 04:46:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9fkcib$6ni$0@208.206.142.84>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <TvMS6.3716$v4.175603@www.newsranger.com>

<9fjl8r$4fm$1@news.hawaii.edu> <AueT6.288$bA3.13462@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23308

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <9fjl8r$4fm$1@news.hawaii.edu>, Jeffrey Herman says...
>
> >Why do you keep editing out the line that said: "I wrote this
> >a few years ago..."???
>
> Whether you said it today, or "a few years ago" is irrelevant.
> It was a lie then; it is a lie now.

Let's face it - Only the real hams are Morse code literate. Not I
didn't say "Qualified", as in having been credited with passing some
sort of a code test.
That would leave out the entire "three million" Nipponese along with the
similiarly situated elsewhere. They should be knows as "ham radio
licensees".

From 6774409048303242734
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,8c78b6611c5b1b28
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 21:53:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
border1.nntp.aus1.giganews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!206.13.28.143!
news.pacbell.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1DB727.E9B3DF86@pacbell.net>
From: John Mullan <jmullan@pacbell.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.61 [en] (OS/2; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Question Pool Dates
References: <dailht4rd0l89i7k3gt4dcurkjdusbuo0t@4ax.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 31
Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 21:52:56 -0700
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.214.148.125
X-Complaints-To: abuse@pacbell.net

X-Trace: news.pacbell.net 991803184 207.214.148.125 (Tue, 05 Jun 2001 21:53:04 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 05 Jun 2001 21:53:04 PDT
Organization: SBC Internet Services
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23309

Jim Weir wrote:
>
> I'm just starting the summer semester teaching and have a thinly disguised "RF
> Circuit Concepts" college class that is in fact a ham radio license class. I've
> got some of all categories...Tech through Extra...registered.
>
> Now I get some third-hand information that says that the question pools are
> being changed on 30 June of this year. Of course, the theory is going to be
> exactly the same, but the kids do study from the pool as well as the regular
> theory -- especially in prep for the exam itself.
>
> Does anybody know for sure if the current pool that started in April of last
> year is being changed later this month or whether the original schedule of two
> years between Extra pools, three years between General pools, and four years
> between Tech pools is going to remain.
>
> 'preciate the information.
>
> Jim
> Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng.   WX6RST
> A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

According to ARRL VEC, the Extra class question pool is open for revision now, with the current question pool valid until 6/30/2002. A year from now the Technician pool comes up for review, but the current pool is valid until 6/30/2003. In 2003 the General question pool comes up for revision, with the current pool valid until 6/30/2004. Also effective 7/1/2001 the multiple guess code test format is not to be used. Solid copy and fill in the text are still ok.

73 de KD2L

From -5929414083535453809
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 21:54:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 6 Jun 2001 04:54:09 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9fkd1h$6ni$1@208.206.142.84>

References: <3B1CD701.8398A51@IEEE.org> <20010605201428.03759.00004250@nso-ch.aol.com> <EYeT6.254$9m.12111@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<PSfT6.405$bA3.16824@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23310

K0HB wrote:
>
> Kim-W5TIT says...
>
> >
> >ROFLMAO!!!
> >
>
> Kim, I don't think you can possibly laugh that hard.

That would seem to be a LOT of laughing.

From -3071461816383301491
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 21:58:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 6 Jun 2001 04:58:40 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9fkda0$96b$0@208.206.142.84>
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com> <9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171>
<3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org> <9fhba1$i1i$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B1C58B4.EBC1907B@IEEE.org> <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net>
<3B1CEAC8.6BB394F6@IEEE.org> <3B1D7C76.E9DB419C@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23311

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > CAM wrote:
> > > > Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?
> > >
> > > ...if their object was to obtain an HF ticket, they were.
> >
> > Their object, like the object of a lot of hams I know, was to be
> > able to communicate on the VHF/UHF ham bands. Does that make them
> > underachievers?
>
> Nope. It makes 'em Techs. If that's all they want, that's all they
> get.

So then they should have shut up about real achievers who wanted more
insread of all the incesssant whining about code testing.

From 6583255428985647308
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 22:02:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 6 Jun 2001 05:02:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9fkdgp$96b$1@208.206.142.84>
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net> <3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net> <vF_Q6.52037$t12.4072227@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B16F120.DE98D6E3@sccoast.net> <3b179aa8.52713172@news2.dvol.com> <3B19FC9C.F7BD6C23@sccoast.net> <9fdj8g$jh8$1@208.207.71.166> <3B1D8EA4.50A3A543@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23312

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Gee. Dwight isn't satisfied to complain
> > about ham radio, he now gets off on the
> > Internet. Ever hear of GOOGLE? I haven't
> > searched for anything yet that it couldn't
> > find.
>
>   Complain about ham radio? What are you talking about? I've never
> complained about ham radio.

And a bear doesn't crap in the woods.

As for the internet, my views are based
> on my own experiences, Dick. The fact that you have a difference
> experience does not change mine.
>

True, but it sure may illustrate your problem.

From 5329607588526517079
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 22:12:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 6 Jun 2001 05:12:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <9fke3k$c2k$0@208.206.142.84>
References: <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net> <20010603021853.04835.00000353@nso-fi.aol.com> <3B1A37A7.3EDCCC9A@IEEE.org>
<yyrS6.16883$651.1215463@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B1DA164.2EEAE7B@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

```
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23313



Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > Heh heh heh.  Hey guys, think about it.
> > Larry is constantly on this CW testing
> > bit, right?  He constantly speaks of
> > how he did it, so he figures everyone
> > else needs to and should do it, right?
> > It just came to me.  Larry is extremely
> > angry that he had to do it and has been
> > for years.  I mean, really, I can't
> > believe I haven't seen that before!
> >
> > Imbedded in any one of Larry's ranting
> > is the incessant whine of "I had to
> > do, so you have to do it."  Yep, Larry's
> > mad that he had to do it, and he'll
> > never get over it.  So, guys, who's
> > whining?
>
>   Kim, jealousy has been part of this since the very beginning.  In
> addition, many higher class license holders are also mad because
> license class means nothing above 50 MHz - the Technician has the
> exact same privileges as the highest license class holder.  Many see
> that as a potential threat to the entire license class system.  If
> Technicians are able to perform just as well as the other license
> classes above 50 MHz, why continue to have license classes below 50
> MHz?  That thought is what drives so many to trash Technicians
> whenever possible (even if things have to be made up or exaggerated).
>
>   Likewise, it is that thought which drives the fanatical demand that
> new hams go though the same ritual as previous hams.  In other words,
> the defense of CW is often a defense of the entire license class
> system - the first line in the sand.
>
>   And, of course, much of this is based on the false sense of
> superiority that many hams have wrapped themselves in for decades.
> Many hams have fooled themselves, and often friends or family, with
> the nonsense that the license is proof of skills and knowledge far
> above what it actually proves - that the license holder took a basic
> test and now has certain operating privileges.  It is that false sense
> of superiority that causes so many hams to think that, if a new ham
> gets his license without the same ritual, it devalues the license.
>
>   Furthermore, to give another person the same license without the
> same ritual, proves the ritual itself is not as valuable as these
```

> license holders have convinced themselves and often others. So, in
> addition to the jealousy factor you describe, the reduction in the
> code testing requirement was also a blow to the ego of many higher
> license class holders.
>
>   And, of course, some people are just downright dumb. Some just
> cannot separate the value of the code test requirement from the value
> of CW itself (these are not the same thing). You can see these people
> in every code testing debate screaming about the value of CW.
>

Dwight, you clueless delight, it's time to put it in plain language
that even you can understand -- you are one ***STUPID*** SOB.

From 6907676205056184611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-05 22:14:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 6 Jun 2001 05:14:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <9fke6s$c2k$1@208.206.142.84>
References: <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net> <20010603021853.04835.00000353@nso-fi.aol.com> <3B1A37A7.3EDCCC9A@IEEE.org>
<yyrS6.16883$651.1215463@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B1DA164.2EEAE7B@sccoast.net> <WAhT6.504$bA3.21152@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.84
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23314

K0HB wrote:
>
> W5TIT says...
>
> > Imbedded in any one of Larry's ranting
> > is the incessant whine of "I had to
> > do, so you have to do it." Yep, Larry's
> > mad that he had to do it, and he'll
> > never get over it.

>
> Kim,
>
> That's pure unadulterated Texas Longhorn manure.
>
> Larry and I can find a lot to disagree about, including the
> need for high-speed Morse code testing, but despite our
> disagreements, Larry is an honorable sort with the best
> interests of the Amateur Radio Service at heart. He genuinely
> believes in the value of Morse testing as a requisite to
> full Amateur privileges. You don't need to agree with him
> (I don't) but it's not a "whine" and he's not "mad that he
> had to do it".
>
> Grow up.

For her and Dwight it's nowhere in the cards, not anywhere on the
program.

From -5972291192995954417
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:26:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:25:39 GMT
References: <20010605211453.24362.00001697@nso-fj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010606062539.02679.00000990@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23315

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>Just because I believe that one day the technology
>>WILL evolve to the point where antenna-to-DSP is practical (it IS possible
>>right now up to about 10 MHz), that doesn't mean that doing so will be the
>>optimum way to create an SDR.

> "Antenna to DSP" means no analog components. Yet in the above you said
> DSP would probably need a lot of analog help. Which is it?

What I said is that such analog help would probably be the optimum way to
create a recevier system. There are analog components inside a DSP chip --
where do you think the line is?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 4245450588285742522
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:27:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:26:58 GMT
References: <20010605211451.24362.00001693@nso-fj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010606062658.02679.00000991@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23316
```

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>A 75A4 with the W2VCZ mods will give most "modern" receivers a good run for
>their money.

I will gladly test a 75A4 in the ARRL Lab to answer that question.
Unfortunately, we don't have one in stock. :-)

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI

```
From -1814551247078291725
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:29:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:29:14 GMT
References: <20010605211452.24362.00001694@nso-fj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke):  Why El. 1 is a Joke
```

Message-ID: <20010606062914.02679.00000992@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23317

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>If one copies for the first minute, then fills in the blanks while the rest
>>of the code test is running, who is to know?

>When the FCC examiner is looking over your shoulder as you take the test, I
>kinda think he would know.

When I took my tests before the FCC in the 70s, I was in a room of about 50 applicants. The FCC examiners sat at the desk the entire time. If fairness, when I took my General in the 60s, I think there were 6 of us there and the examiner watched us pretty closely.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1958111120178495534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:31:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:30:55 GMT
References: <20010605211452.24362.00001695@nso-fj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010606063055.02679.00000993@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23318

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>Wayyyy back in 1976, I had to *send* CW at the FCC field site in NYC.

>Back in 1968 and 1970, I had to do the same at the FCC office. Had to do both
>13 and 20 wpm "to the examiner's satisfaction" on a straight key. Piece of
>cake.

In '63, "to the examiner's satisfaction" was about 5 seconds of sending. In '73, I was not asked to send code at all.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1300749082477160400
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:32:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:32:24 GMT
References: <3b1d790b.120922502@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010606063224.02679.00000995@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23319

From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>The ham code tests gotta be the only tests in the world
>in which you can miss 80% of the answers and still pass.

It is also the only test in the world where the 20% you get right need to be all in a row. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8189973354830991136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:37:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:36:51 GMT
References: <9fiukt$n4l$1@208.206.142.71>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606063651.02679.00000996@ng-ff1.aol.com>

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23320

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Are you really trying to convince people that
>> ARRL HQ management wants me not to answer your direct question to someone
>> else on rrap to further my career?

>> I think I have decided that you just like to hear yourself say it.

> Well you *did* say on a few occasions that your bosses know you
>wouldn't say or do anything that worked against League policy. I suppose
>that if they didn't know that, they'd ride herd on you? In fact I KNOW
>it.

Perhaps, but the same principle applies to their not riding herd on my phone calls, my letters, my emails, my visits to local clubs and ham conventions. If my boss doesn't believe that I will conduct myself in a way that does not undermine ARRL policy, I am sure his actions would extend past riding herd on me, Dick.

I have no problem with those that understand that aspect of my posts. What I don't like is those that misunderstand that. Mark doesn't give two shoots about whether I post on rrap or not, in fact, he would probably rather I didn't. The precept that I am posting here to further my career is laughable, or disengenuos, or simply intended to inflame, IMHO.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -7154981857801074858
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:39:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:39:13 GMT
References: <9fiuhi$n4l$0@208.206.142.71>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606063913.02679.00000997@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23321

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Just so you don't put the into too starry-eyed a frame of mind, let's
>get your feet back on the ground and acknowledge that most beginners
>just download the question pool and get to memorizing the word
>associations between questions and their furnished answers...

I absolutely disagree, Dick. Most newcomers do what we all did -- pass their exams with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping that some topics are not too heavily weighted on the exam they end up taking.

Rote memorizing hundreds of questions without understanding is the hardest way I could imagine trying to pass the exam. Maybe that is why you avoid memorizing all those Russian words to show us how easy it it. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8695947842568406136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:42:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:42:22 GMT
References: <20010605182842.12914.00001039@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606064222.02679.00000998@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23322

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Dick, Ed wont believe that, he knows all new Hams are Technical Wizzards.

I don't believe that, Bruce. I believe that most hams pass their exams the same way you and I did in the 60s -- with a combination of learning, memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping a few topics are not too heavily weighted on the exam they end up getting.

>Dick, Ed wont believe that, he knows all new Hams are Technical Wizzards.
> After looking at one of my recent QSTs, which had a Picture of ED in it, I
>can now see where ED is coming from, his comments are not only Dippy he even
>Looks like a DIP S$$T.

Now there's a mature comment that will lead Amateur Radio into the new millenium, eh? :-) If you need to judge people by the way that you think they look, you are missing the boat. Now, is that my hippy picture from "Why QRP," or one of the more recent ones?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -481478039950996042
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:49:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:48:37 GMT
References: <9fittn$lgk$0@208.206.142.71>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
Message-ID: <20010606064837.02679.00001000@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23323

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Any antenna is capable of a maximum amount of radiation efficiency,
>without changing it in some way.

A short 40-meter mobile whip with a coil Q designed that there will be a 50-ohm resistive impedance at the base when the antenna is placed on a typical car over average ground will show an efficiciency of about 15%. How can this antenna be made capable of "maximum" radiation efficiency without changing it?

>When you change only the feed system
>what that tells me is that you have provided a better match for the
>antenna to absorb more of the provided RF and thence it radiates more,
>without exceeding its former maximum radiation efficiency.

If the SWR was good before the change, the antenna is already matched to the feed line. The inefficiency was either in the antenna or the feed line was losing it as heat. I know of no change to a feed line that is already exhibiting a 1:1 SWR that will improve its match to the antenna. Can you elaborate a bit?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2679974217031971980
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:51:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:51:02 GMT
References: <AueT6.288$bA3.13462@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Message-ID: <20010606065102.02679.00001001@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23324

Jeffrey Herman says...

>Why do you keep editing out the line that said: "I wrote this
>a few years ago..."???

If we are not allowed to repost what we wrote a few years ago, this newsgroup would be virtually empty.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9100669522353986560
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:54:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:54:07 GMT

References: <3B1CDDAD.C5FFD55F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606065407.02679.00001002@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23325

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Larry, you left out having to crawl five miles uphill both ways
>through the snow on your hands and knees to get to the FCC office.

I literally had to run uphill to my FCC test in Boston in the 70s -- my car had been towed near the common and I had to hoof it. Doesn't that count?

I missed the 20 wpm code test, so I had to settle for an Advanced. Shouldn't the FCC have given me the Extra for working so hard to get there? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2436591880654450350
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:55:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:55:11 GMT
References: <3B1CDC2F.83601E5B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606065511.02679.00001003@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23326

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>So now Father Larry is capable of devine absolution. Are you going
>to sprinkle Holy Water on Ed next time you see him?

Now THAT could prove interesting. :-)

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI

From -1881874459381301017
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 03:59:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 10:59:06 GMT
References: <3B1CDB91.DF396038@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010606065906.02679.00001004@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23327

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

> I have never, never said anything about "from the antenna DSP". I'm the guy who
> said I don't believe "from the antenna DSP" is possible.

I don't think Dick is lying; he seems to get you and me confused at times. Maybe we need to get your picture in QST so he can tell us apart. :-)

I had said that antenna-to-DSP is possible right now, but not practical. I also said that it will be practical at some point in the future, although I expect that the most economical and functional designs will include some analog components. I will add that I also expect that most of those analog components will be integral to the DSP components at some point.

What I don't understand is why some here are having to claim I said something different that what I said to try to prove what they are saying. That is really odd.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7312129909438621057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 19:29:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 07 Jun 2001 02:28:53 GMT
References: <3B1DA875.EBF36BA3@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23428

In article <3B1DA875.EBF36BA3@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> You're right -- it *does* go both ways.
>> You stop whining, and I'll stop slamming
>> you for it.
>
>
> I have a better idea. You and your friends stop whining about CW,
>and I'll stop responding to what you write about it.

Dwight:

Don't look now, but my friends and I aren't "whining" about CW! We just went out and learned it, took the tests, and then went on doing whatever we damned well pleased as hams -- without having to waste decades out of our lifetimes waiting for the requirement to be dropped! If I've "whined" about anything, it is the fact that I once did "whine" about the code testing requirements. Just think, I could have been a QCWA member years ago -- as it is, I won't be eligible for another five years, just because I wasted valuable years of my life not wanting to be bothered to learn the code! Moreover, I know exactly WHY I didn't want to learn it -- I was too damn lazy to give it a try, and I had a terrible fear of failure with regard to it! As I matured, I lost those behaviors, and finally discovered what I had been missing all along.

Now that Dwight and his NCTA colleagues have finally lived long enough to see the code testing requirements removed, they now need to find any way they can to discredit those of us who have succeeded under the pre-Restructuring system -- for the sake of justifying their indolence. Their purpose is as obvious as their motivation. They realize that they are now left at the bottom of the "caste system" they abhor, but no longer have a way to work their way up and out of their permanent mediocrity. And rather than attempt to prove me wrong by delivering on the technological promises made prior to the reduction of licensing standards, they find it much easier to whine about us PCTA's. Well, they have made their bed, crapped into it, and now must now find a way to sleep in it. I wish them luck -- they're going to need it!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 1373653462561914591
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 20:57:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 03:55:50 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3b1efb0f.921209@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B1E7411.7EAF6841@IEEE.org> <9flst2$96t$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.40
X-Server-Date: 7 Jun 2001 03:56:05 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23429

On 6 Jun 2001 18:30:58 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>How about the 10-page mail order conditional license study
>>guide, 5 pages of which were rules and regulations, which
>>granted *ALL* amateur privileges to an ignorant 14 year old?
>
>If you're talking about the Conditional General back in the
>50s/60s, Cecil, it's common knowledge that FCC hated to give
>those things at a distance: they didn't want any hanky-panky, or
>the mere suggestion of it. And that leads to the second generally
>acknowledged point that gaining a Conditional General was tougher
>than gaining the General Class, i.e., it was a tougher exam.

Yet the Tech and General (Conditional) were the same then.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
```

```
From -1084406759207628558
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 20:58:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
```

```
snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 03:57:14 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3b1efb5b.997598@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B1E8048.36B01FE3@IEEE.org> <9fm08m$bgn$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.40
X-Server-Date: 7 Jun 2001 03:57:29 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23430

On 6 Jun 2001 19:28:22 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>Do you have any proof of that assertion, Vince? If so, that
>>might explain why I flunked my first Conditional exam.
>
>It might well be it does explain why you flunked your first
>Conditional Exam, if indeed you did. Moreover, and why all was
>NOT in the license guides, contrary to your statements over many
>years.

Guess I was just lucky that the test I took didn't
have any of those "not in the study guide" stuff.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 4281101449519927755
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 21:09:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 04:00:42 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <3b1efc00.1163017@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B1E6DCD.5E7F384F@IEEE.org> <9flru2$8db$2@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
```

```
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f4.e9.40
X-Server-Date: 7 Jun 2001 04:01:00 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23431

On 6 Jun 2001 18:14:26 GMT, Vincent Biancomano
<103520.355@CompuServe.COM> wrote:

>>Oh? Is that why 3 pages of study guide accompanied the entrance
>>exam of the 50s and why 10 pages of study guide accompanied the
>>exam that granted 100% of all amateur operating privileges in
>>the 50s?
>
>Yes. Novice then as now was a give away, Ed. And you needed more
>than a license guide above that class.
>
>>Amateur Radio is not the only thing being deregulated.
>
>Just because everything's being deregulated is no reason for
>'Amateur Radio' to go crazy with it.

When did anyone in amateur radio get to "vote" or veto
the deregulation of thee service by the FCC?

>>So you must stop relying on the FCC to shape the ARS and get off
>>your lazy butt and do it for yourself. Bitching, moaning, and
>>whining ain't gonna get it done, Vince.
>
>Stop crying to me, Ed. It's my job to state the facts, which you
>don't dispute, just to keep them in perspective.

Did I miss your official appointment to that job?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -1954222519781069194
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 21:42:23 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F05BF.D784EE06@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 23:40:31 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1CDC2F.83601E5B@IEEE.org> <20010606203455.28252.00006724@nso-
```

```
mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp 991888429 64.240.47.100 (6 Jun 2001 23:33:49 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23432

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >So now Father Larry is capable of devine absolution. Are you going
> >to sprinkle Holy Water on Ed next time you see him?
>
> If I had any, I would!  I would even sprinkle some on you!

Don't bother, Larry. The first tropical storm of the season just
sprinkled three inches on me.
--
cheers, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 2752689986184188019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 21:42:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 7 Jun 2001 04:42:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
```

Message-ID: <9fn0nu$a83$0@208.207.71.139>
References: <20010606084848.24758.00000345@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9flrds$8db$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23433

Vincent Biancomano wrote:

> >It sure was. I passed my entry-level Novice license by studying
> >a 4-page study guide and passing a 20-question exam.
>
> Ed, that schtick never worked for you when you started it,
> doesn't work now. Because it's long been acknowledged that the
> Novice license then and now was intended as a give-away one.

Of course, the difference being that today ALL classes of licenses are intended to be "giveaway" while maintaining the fiction that the ARS us a "fundamentally technical service". How else toget all the CBers and freebanders licensed as hams, and off their junk-missions? Whatta joke.

From 2288084947101350557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 21:46:08 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F069F.3840C160@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 23:44:15 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1E8E8E.7D664B36@IEEE.org> <9fm8hf$r3e$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp 991888653 64.240.47.100 (6 Jun 2001 23:37:33 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or

copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23434

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> Methinks what I conclude is based directly on what you say,
> Cecil. And that is, you have a photographic memory, you didn't
> study for your ham exams let alone your college degree, and that
> you failed the Conditional the first time. A logical conclusion
> to draw from that is that the license manual wasn't giving you
> all that you said.

Using my photographic memory is my form of studying, Vince. The first time, I simply didn't memorize enough of the License Manual. The second time I did.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From -7223884304447431203
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 21:51:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 7 Jun 2001 04:51:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9fn18e$d58$0@208.207.71.139>
References: <3B1A1153.4329908E@sccoast.net> <20010603120915.03759.00003864@nso-ch.aol.com> <3B1D939C.76CC9DAD@sccoast.net> <3B1DA9D8.A430096C@IEEE.org> <3B1E87E3.1C253DDB@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23435

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > > Again, your perception of the envy of others
> > is based on your own delusions of superiority.
> >
> > Now Dwight, you are just not being fair. How
> > can Larry support his highest caste position if
> > nobody will cooperate by accepting the lower
> > caste positions?
>
>   Well, I know Larry may perceive it to be unfair, but he'll just have
> to get used to the reality of a modern world. Modern hams have had a
> taste of freedom from his archaic caste system and we are never going
> to be forced back into it. Therefore, if he still wants his
> delusions, he'll have to do it without our cooperation.

Underachievers of the ARS, UNITE! Break out of this bondage of actual learning, down with that old horrible hazing ritual of actual learning and skill at that alaien folly, Morse code. To arms! Microphones at high port!

From 872242417305803643
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 21:53:14 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F084C.48DE0905@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 23:51:24 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010606195235.10232.00001959@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9fmhdi$3ib$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp 991889082 64.240.47.100 (6 Jun 2001 23:44:42 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23436

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> now you're essentially stating the
> case was different for the other classes. But history, and even
> Cecil, can't show that to be true.

The first time I took the Conditional exam, Vince, I tried to get
by with memorizing only half the license manual. I didn't memorize
any schematics. Second time I memorized the rest of the License
Manual and passed the exam. I had absolutely no other study materials.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From -7502796934736301919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 21:55:28 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F08CF.2C27CED6@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 23:53:35 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1E9060.AFCB5A42@IEEE.org> <9fm8s2$r3e$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp 991889213 64.240.47.100 (6 Jun 2001 23:46:53 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23437

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> The clear indication to me is that what you're proposing is
> nonsense, and you're making it up as you go.

That's impossible, Vince. It all happened 50 years ago. I thought
I could pass the conditional exam by memorizing only half the
License Manual. I was wrong so I memorized the other half.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1800605011870416396
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 21:58:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 7 Jun 2001 04:58:03 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9fn1kr$d58$1@208.207.71.139>
References: <20010606151050.12767.00001435@ng-cg1.aol.com>
<20010606194009.10232.00001956@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23438

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >>I believe that most hams pass their exams the
> >>same way you and I did in the 60s -- with a combination of learning,
> >>memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping a few topics are not too
> >>heavily weighted on the exam they end up getting.
> >
> > Maybe thats what you did, but thats not what i Did, I new the material.
>
> I think I can safely rest my case at this point.
>

Because he missed a K on his keyboard? Happens all the time, keyboards
get grabby, fingers get arthiritic. I think I can safely rest my case at
this point.

From -4743700763134213175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 21:59:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 7 Jun 2001 04:59:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9fn1nn$d58$2@208.207.71.139>
References: <9fld67$cad$0@208.207.71.142> <20010606194708.10232.00001958@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23439

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >No, Ed, and that is the point. Only those who demand the privileges
> >without meeting the qualifications are the underachievers.
>

> I don't think I have seen a single person who has demanded that he or she be
> allowed to skip any of the present FCC requirements to get a license, nor do I
> know anyone who has been issued a license that did not meet the requirements.

All the limp, lame lazies who demand the requirements be dropped to the
level of their underachievement.

```
From 2095116446614618075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 22:05:00 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F0B14.FA940527@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 00:03:16 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <9fm8s2$r3e$2@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<9fm9e4$r3e$3@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp 991889794 64.240.47.100 (6 Jun 2001 23:56:34 -0500)
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23440
```

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >All that indicates is that I'm lazy and only attempted to
> >memorize and remember half of it, Vince.
>
> Another incongruity. You don't like wasting time, remember?

I don't? Then what am I doing posting here? You've got me
confused with someone else.

> Studying half of it wouldn't likely get you a passing grade.

I thought I could get lucky on 20% of it.

> Being methodical, you wouldn't leave that to chance on the chance

I'm not methodical, Vince. I'm a scatterbrained procrastinator.
You've got me confused with someone else.

> you'd have to waste time by taking time to memorize more, as you
> claim. You're getting in deeper, Cecil.

I have always expended absolutely minimum effort to barely get by.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 3505425508203862401
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 22:11:26 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F0C8D.D7A52015@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 00:09:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010606195652.10232.00001961@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9fmi1o$3ib$3@sshuraab-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp 991890171 64.240.47.100 (7 Jun 2001 00:02:51 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
```

cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!
newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23441

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> The question is whether we did, or didn't have, exact Q and A.

The questions were close enough and the answers were exact. What
good would inexact answers have been? I can just see it now:

Q: What is the basic unit of electrical resistance?

A: It's a three letter word starting with an 'o' and ending with
an 'm'.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4944532866813230922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 22:15:37 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F0D86.190A51E@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 00:13:42 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1CDCD6.25C8824F@IEEE.org> <20010606203456.28252.00006725@nso-mq.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp 991890419 64.240.47.100 (7 Jun 2001 00:06:59 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!feeder.via.net!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23442

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I had no need or desire
> to form any kind of "caste" system, and I don't know any other pre-
> Restructuring Extras that did.

So are you taking back your assertion that you are a superior ham?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6217652952850223572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 22:21:26 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F0EE7.5C7843C9@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 00:19:35 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9fld67$cad$0@208.207.71.142> <20010606194708.10232.00001958@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9fn1nn$d58$2@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp 991890772 64.240.47.100 (7 Jun 2001 00:12:52 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23443

Dick Carroll wrote:

```
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >No, Ed, and that is the point. Only those who demand the privileges
> > >without meeting the qualifications are the underachievers.
> >
> > I don't think I have seen a single person who has demanded that he or she be
> > allowed to skip any of the present FCC requirements to get a license, nor do I
> > know anyone who has been issued a license that did not meet the requirements.
>
>  All the limp, lame lazies who demand the requirements be dropped to the
> level of their underachievement.

What difference does it make if someone demands something they can't
have, Dick? Exactly what power do their demands have over you?
--
cheers, Cecil
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4592919911333423009
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 22:24:28 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F0FA1.37F80729@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 00:22:41 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010606084848.24758.00000345@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9flrds$8db$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <9fn0nu$a83$0@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp 991890958 64.240.47.100 (7 Jun 2001 00:15:58 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23444

Dick Carroll wrote:
> How else to get all the CBers and
> freebanders licensed as hams, and off their junk-missions?

It's not working out that way, Dick. Wonder why?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5067090437185804322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 22:27:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F106D.421771E6@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 00:26:05 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010606151050.12767.00001435@ng-cg1.aol.com> <20010606194009.10232.00001956@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9fn1kr$d58$1@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.100
X-Trace: corp 991891164 64.240.47.100 (7 Jun 2001 00:19:24 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23445

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
> >
> > >>I believe that most hams pass their exams the
> > >>same way you and I did in the 60s -- with a combination of learning,
> > >>memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping a few topics are not too
> > >>heavily weighted on the exam they end up getting.
> > >
> > > Maybe thats what you did, but thats not what i Did, I new the material.
> >
> > I think I can safely rest my case at this point.
>
> Because he missed a K on his keyboard?

Plus five additional errors, Dick.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8077303656291688496
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 22:42:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1F060C.C770AA63@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Under/overachievers (Was:Re: Puke.)
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <dLqT6.1019$bA3.40096@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 26
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 05:42:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991892579 63.17.222.158 (Wed, 06 Jun 2001 22:42:59 PDT)

```
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 22:42:59 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 22:41:02 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23446
```

K0HB wrote:

> On Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:20:00 GMT, Dave Heil says:
>
> > Albert Einstein wasn't a radio amateur nor, to my knowledge,
> > did he ever attempt to obtain a license. He probably couldn't
> > play the ukelele either. If he tried either and failed, he
> > could have been labeled an underachiever in those particular areas.
>
> Not really. Trying to play a ukelele (or trying to copy Morse) and
> failing does not constitute underachieving. It is only "underachievement"
> if there is a prior reasonable expectation that they had such a talent.
>
> You might think a math score of D- is underachievement, but if my math
> teacher reasonably expected me to fail the quarter and I got a D-, then
> I am an overachiever. If she reasonably expected me to get an A, and I
> only managed a B+, then I am an underachiever.

I think Al Einstein's folks had hoped he grow up and own a nice bakery.
It saddens me to think how disappointed they must have been.

My comment was that Al could have been labeled as an underachiever. I
didn't state that it was my opinion that he was such.   I'd rather talk
about amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

```
From 3149519147773522999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 22:47:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1F070F.F35AEEE4@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

```
Lines: 19
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 05:47:19 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991892839 63.17.222.158 (Wed, 06 Jun
2001 22:47:19 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 22:47:19 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 22:45:22 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23447

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > No one has questioned whether astronauts were successful as astronauts.
> > Cecil's question had to do with whether they were underachievers as
> > hams.
>
> Dr. Williamson, PhD in EE, has been called an underachiever by some
> posters to this newsgroup. I assumed it also applied to astronauts.
> Some on this newsgroup assert that all nocode techs are underachievers.

I've had a belly full of Dr. Williamson stories.  The mysterious doctor
should really learn to speak for himself.  I've always been suspicious
of non-medical folks who are referred to as "Doctor".  My dad has never
been called "The Reverend Dr. Heil" though he could be.

Why not just speak for yourself and leave the doctor tales out?

Dave K8MN


From -427019275469831895
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 23:07:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 02:08:33 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3B1F1A5A.BA632512@sccoast.net>
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net>
<3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net>
<vF_Q6.52037$t12.4072227@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
```

```
<3B16F120.DE98D6E3@sccoast.net> <3b179aa8.52713172@news2.dvol.com>
<3B19FC9C.F7BD6C23@sccoast.net> <9fdj8g$jh8$1@208.207.71.166>
<3B1D8EA4.50A3A543@sccoast.net> <9fkdgp$96b$1@208.206.142.84>
<3B1E8453.B2580AC1@sccoast.net> <3B1E8BE9.3766CEF5@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.213
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991894055 428689 207.144.227.213 (7 Jun 2001 06:07:35
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2001 06:07:35 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23448

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Okay, I'll bite.  Show me where
> > I've ever complained about ham radio.
> > I'm one of the most fanatical
> > supporters of ham radio, so you
> > clearly must be talking about someone
> > else.
>
> What's absolutely weird about Dick is
> that he very carefully sets up straw men
> for which he is impotent of knocking down.


  I'm still trying to figure out what he is talking about.  While I
may occasionally rant about code testing, or some other aspect of ham
radio, I don't remember ever complaining about ham radio itself.  Are
my messages coming across differently than my intent, Cecil?


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/



From -5313412899033417580
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 23:18:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 02:19:51 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3B1F1CFD.3655103B@sccoast.net>
References: <3B1A1153.4329908E@sccoast.net> <20010603120915.03759.00003864@nso-ch.aol.com> <3B1D939C.76CC9DAD@sccoast.net> <3B1DA9D8.A430096C@IEEE.org> <3B1E87E3.1C253DDB@sccoast.net> <9fn18e$d58$0@208.207.71.139>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.213
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991894732 429245 207.144.227.213 (7 Jun 2001 06:18:52 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2001 06:18:52 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23449

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Underachievers of the ARS, UNITE! Break
> out of this bondage of actual learning, down
> with that old horrible hazing ritual of actual
> learning and skill at that alaien folly, Morse
> code. To arms! Microphones at high port!

You're off the subject again, Dick. We were talking about Larry's delusions of superiority because of his license class, not morse code. As for the underachiever nonsense, I'm more than satisfied with the achievements in my life - most of which are much more impressive than the code skills you place so much value on in yours.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 8402107740821779273
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 23:56:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 02:57:10 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3B1F25B3.37E38EAB@sccoast.net>
References: <3B1DA164.2EEAE7B@sccoast.net> <20010606222852.03759.00004431@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.213
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991896968 429526 207.144.227.213 (7 Jun 2001 06:56:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2001 06:56:08 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23450

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Not nearly as dumb as those who cannot
> comprehend the fact that in order to
> access the benefits and advantages of
> the Morse/CW mode, there must be radio
> amateurs capable of *using* the Morse
> code at a useful proficiency level! I
> just don't happen to believe that this
> skill will develop in a vacuum -- I
> believe that the code testing requirement
> created the numbers of code loving,
> code-proficient hams we have today.

What do you know - a message nearly void of names or characterizations. Now, this is something that two intelligent people can discuss, Larry. I think CW would continue to be just as popular if hams put even half the effort currently spent ranting about the demise of code testing into simply promoting CW instead. Everybody is much more open to new things when they're presented as fun rather than demanded through requirements that make little sense.

When I got into ham radio itself, I did so because it appeared to be fun. If someone had tried to force it on me instead, it is doubtful I would have such a positive view about it today. The same with many other things in my life. For example, I like to pound on music keyboards. However, I doubt I would be as interested if my mother had force me to practice endlessly when I was younger.

> There is no jealousy on my part. That
> ended the day I determined that I was

> going to become a code-proficient radio
> amateur. From that point on, it was
> easy, fun, enjoyable. I just wish you could
> have had the same sort of epiphany.

There you go. That is a much more positive way to promote CW - easy, fun, enjoyable. Keep it up and I may actually get more interested (actually, I intent to soon anyway because we're thinking about heading back overseas again - if we do, I'm thinking about the interesting possible contacts on HF from overseas).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From 2941386064659926964
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 00:00:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-
mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 03:01:24 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3B1F26AC.32087317@sccoast.net>
References: <9fjlv4$5cj$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010606070432.02679.00001006@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <9fld67$cad$0@208.207.71.142> <3B1E8D04.A50504D3@sccoast.net>
<3B1E930A.FA80E123@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.213
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991897220 430710 207.144.227.213 (7 Jun 2001 07:00:20
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2001 07:00:20 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23451
```

CAM wrote:
>
> Nobody has answered my question, Dwight.
> If someone makes a demand in the forest

> and nobody hears him, is he still an
> underachiever?

Only if the tree that nobody hears falling hits that person on the head before he gets out of the way.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 5671916198758901376
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 02:30:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 09:29:48 GMT
References: <9fn18e$d58$0@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010607052948.13725.00000227@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23452

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Underachievers of the ARS, UNITE! Break out of this bondage of actual
>learning, down with that old horrible hazing ritual of actual learning
>and skill at that alaien folly, Morse code. To arms! Microphones at
>high port!

Do you still think that vaste hordes of people want to become hams not to learn about radio? How odd.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9128006097985661942
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 02:31:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!

```
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-
sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 7 Jun 2001 09:31:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9fnhli$qus$0@208.207.71.146>
References: <3B1A1153.4329908E@sccoast.net> <20010603120915.03759.00003864@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3B1D939C.76CC9DAD@sccoast.net> <3B1DA9D8.A430096C@IEEE.org>
<3B1E87E3.1C253DDB@sccoast.net> <9fn18e$d58$0@208.207.71.139>
<3B1F1CFD.3655103B@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.146
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23453



Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> >   Underachievers of the ARS, UNITE!  Break
> > out of this bondage of actual learning, down
> > with that old horrible hazing ritual of actual
> > learning and skill at that alaien folly, Morse
> > code. To arms! Microphones at high port!
>
>   You're off the subject again, Dick.  We were talking about Larry's
> delusions of superiority because of his license class, not morse code.
>  As for the underachiever nonsense, I'm more than satisfied with the
> achievements in my life - most of which are much more impressive than
> the code skills you place so much value on in yours.
>


 Yeah, we know you're impressed with yourself, Dwight, it shows  with
eachpost despite your Underachiever status.


From 6731467254042920457
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 02:33:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
```

Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 09:32:56 GMT
References: <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010607053256.13725.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23454

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Now that Dwight and his NCTA colleagues have finally lived long
>enough to see the code testing requirements removed, they now
>need to find any way they can to discredit those of us who have
>succeeded under the pre-Restructuring system -- for the sake of
>justifying their indolence.

Let's ask the point directly.

Dwight, I passed a 20-wpm code test and can handle 35 wpm paper copy and 45 wpm head copy. Do you believe this is to my discredit?

Others are free to chime in, of course.

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI

From 7053048509330216878
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1210c8b4ea9df34d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 02:36:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Mike Obermeier" <ifindem@fea.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound attenuation/Siren placement
Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2001 02:35:58 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <thuipi69qsvpa2@corp.supernews.com>
References: <393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com

Lines: 26
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23455

Here is a site that shows the math involved in factoring sound attenuation with respect to enviromentals. Though the site relates to sound generated by a waterpark/lake/arena complex, the math should work in your case.

http://www.laku.com/sound-a.html

Be Well!

"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
news:393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com...
> I'm posting this question here because it is likely to be read by the
> largest number of knowledgable individuals. We live in an area subject
> to tornado activity and the local sirens are set up apparently with the
> recommendation of the manufacturer to cover a radius of roughly 1 mile.
> The sirens are tested monthly in fair weather and the warning sounds are
> heard adequately, but the question has arisen regarding the affect of
> wind speed and wind noise on the ability of people to hear the siren.
> Presuming a strong outflow gust of 50 knots blowing away from the
> observer and towards the siren, the sound would be substantially
> attenuated, but to what extent, and how could future placement of
> additional sirens assist the situation? Surely there is an expert out
> there who has given some thought or research to this??
>
>

From -9208769294998242421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1210c8b4ea9df34d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 02:39:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Mike Obermeier" <ifindem@fea.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound attenuation/Siren placement
Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2001 02:38:23 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <thuitv21ir8qcd@corp.supernews.com>
References: <393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300

X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 24
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23456

Here's a site that might help. It was a noise study done for a
waterpark/lake/arena complex. Hope this helps.

http://www.laku.com/sound-a.html

Be Well!

"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
news:393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com...
> I'm posting this question here because it is likely to be read by the
> largest number of knowledgable individuals. We live in an area subject
> to tornado activity and the local sirens are set up apparently with the
> recommendation of the manufacturer to cover a radius of roughly 1 mile.
> The sirens are tested monthly in fair weather and the warning sounds are
> heard adequately, but the question has arisen regarding the affect of
> wind speed and wind noise on the ability of people to hear the siren.
> Presuming a strong outflow gust of 50 knots blowing away from the
> observer and towards the siren, the sound would be substantially
> attenuated, but to what extent, and how could future placement of
> additional sirens assist the situation? Surely there is an expert out
> there who has given some thought or research to this??
>
>

From -964738821238666762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 02:42:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 09:42:02 GMT
References: <20010606203455.28252.00006723@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010607054202.13725.00000229@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23457

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Do you think there's any possibility that I, Larry Roll, K3LT,
>even if technically the best qualified candidate for the position,

>could get a job with the ARRL as editorial director of QST?

Absolutely not. The position requires someone who can deal with HQ staff and outside people without using a virtually non-stop barrage of insults and innuendo. I am afraid that your track record on rrap would convince anyone who wanted to hire you that you are unsuitable for a position that deals with people.

As I had openings in the Lab, I looked to see if any of the candidates had done posts to newsgroups. I cared not one whit about which side of the code-test fence they were on, but would have evaluated the way they expressed their views. Not everyone in the Lab agrees with my personal view on code testing, Larry, nor do I care if they do.

Just as a counter example, I can think of one very vocal NCTA who no longer posts on this forum that I would not hire for the same reason as I would not hire you, although he did manage to get a grip on his emotions and at least try to be more productive in his postings.

Does that help?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1859425544823142271
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 02:45:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 09:44:32 GMT
References: <20010606213846.12834.00001121@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010607054432.13725.00000230@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23458

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

> I think the Picture was of him and some guy giving him a Free Handout of
>some kinda of CB Radio, if you find the picture look and see if hes got his Pocket
>Protector on, I dont remember.

I forgot which issue it was in, but that is the one where there is an Up Front picture of my accepting the donation of an Elecraft K2 for W1AW.

Not quite a "cb" radio, Bruce, but to you, it is all CB, so none is the difference. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8232654160707294827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 02:46:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 09:45:36 GMT
References: <20010606203456.28252.00006727@nso-mq.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010607054536.13725.00000231@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23459

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>There is no requirement for me to be able to send/receive faster than
>30 WPM, so I'm going to whine anytime I darn please!

There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5 wpm, either...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8759263233853288551
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 02:55:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 09:54:40 GMT
References: <9fmhdi$3ib$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010607055440.13725.00000232@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23460

>From: Vincent Biancomano 103520.355@CompuServe.COM

>>It was intended to attract 13-year old kids into ham radio, and
>>it worked for me. The present "entry-level" exam is so much
>>harder that we are not getting a lot of 13-year olds.

>Dream on, Ed. We're not getting 13-year olds for a lot of
>reasons. The one you mention ain't even in the running.

I disagree. When you hand a 13-year old kid a 4-page study guide, it is likely he or she will go through it and take the test. When you hand that same kid a 200-page book and tell him or her that this is what needed to be a beginner in ham radio, it is not nearly so likely.

And when you then tell the same teenager that the 200-page book is really dumbed down from the 4 pages that hams used to have to study, you have put the final nail in the coffin of that kid's interest in ham radio.

>>Now, what was your take on my getting all amateur privleges as a
>>General by studying a 16-page study guide?

>You already know that: One could be lucky in closing his eyes,
>and that's as far as it goes.

Well, apparently lots of people got lucky, then. :-)

> Articles have already been written on this subject
>concerning the Extra Class, and how one couldn't pass by
>memorizing the License Manual; now you're essentially stating the
>case was different for the other classes.

I agree with you; the Extra Class test could not be passed using the study guide, at least not by most people. But in 1964, the year I passed my General, the Extra was a "vanity" license, conveying no more than bragging rights. ALL amateur privleges were given to those who studied/memorized the content of those 16 pages, and although not all of the questions were exact, I had no trouble at all recognizing the correct answers to most of the questions from the material I had read again and again in preparation for the test.

As to the "Ohm's-law" type questions, it is easier to memorize one simple formula and apply grammar-school algebra than it is to try to rote memorize all the answers. Was then and is now.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -4764600529581783278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 04:22:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9fld67$cad$0@208.207.71.142> <20010606194708.10232.00001958@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9fn1nn$d58$2@208.207.71.139> <3B1F0EE7.5C7843C9@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 36
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <luJT6.4989$9m.406483@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 11:22:57 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.133.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991912977 209.246.133.158 (Thu, 07 Jun
2001 04:22:57 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 04:22:57 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 04:21:00 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23461

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B1F0EE7.5C7843C9@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > >
> > > >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> > >
> > > >No, Ed, and that is the point. Only those who demand the privileges
> > > >without meeting the qualifications are the underachievers.
> > >
> > > I don't think I have seen a single person who has demanded that he or
she be
> > > allowed to skip any of the present FCC requirements to get a license,
nor do I
> > > know anyone who has been issued a license that did not meet the
requirements.
> >
> >  All the limp, lame lazies who demand the requirements be dropped to the
```

> > level of their underachievement.
>
> What difference does it make if someone demands something they can't
> have, Dick? Exactly what power do their demands have over you?
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>

Dick has spent a tremendous amount of energy and time being angry with and expressing his anger toward people who may not agree with his opinions on CW testing and requirements. If I had to guess, I'd guess that the issue has a tremendous amount of power over him.

Kim W5TIT

From -5708897048604547716
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 04:33:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!paloalto-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010606151050.12767.00001435@ng-cg1.aol.com> <20010606194009.10232.00001956@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9fn1kr$d58$1@208.207.71.139> <3B1F106D.421771E6@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <aEJT6.5004$9m.408055@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 11:33:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.133.158
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991913606 209.246.133.158 (Thu, 07 Jun 2001 04:33:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 04:33:26 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 04:31:29 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23462

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message

```
news:3B1F106D.421771E6@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > >
> > > From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
> > >
> > > >>I believe that most hams pass their exams the
> > > >>same way you and I did in the 60s -- with a combination of learning,
> > > >>memorizing, lucky guessing and just plain hoping a few topics are
not too
> > > >>heavily weighted on the exam they end up getting.
> > > > >
> > > > > Maybe thats what you did, but thats not what i Did, I new the
material.
> > > >
> > > > I think I can safely rest my case at this point.
> > >
> > >  Because he missed a K on his keyboard?
>
> Plus five additional errors, Dick.
>

Cecil!  You took unfair advantage, there!  ;)

Kim W5TIT





From 6488573376067853865
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 06:03:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 13:03:23 GMT
References: <3B1F106D.421771E6@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010607090323.14608.00005749@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23463

>Plus five additional errors, Dick

 Im sure glad you guys are Spelling experts, Damn Shame you dont know anything
about Ham Radio
```

From -5778026114730511330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 06:11:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 13:10:43 GMT
References: <20010607054432.13725.00000230@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010607091043.14608.00005752@ng-md1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23464

>but to you, it is all CB, so none is the
>difference. :-)

So true anymore ED, its all CB, its also good to see the ARRL is now wanting to join forces with them, I guess they figure they can sell more T-Shirts to them, by the way Ed whats next with the ARRL, besides there dorky clothing line, maybe FUN MEALS at Macs with a new License attached.

From -7242579679708878099
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 06:41:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <AR9R6.5531$rn5.272209@www.newsranger.com>
<b7c5262e.0106040920.65b4f245@posting.google.com> <9fjlog$4ua$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<NreT6.283$bA3.13455@www.newsranger.com> <9fm1ig$m38$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <NvLT6.2249$bA3.109367@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 09:41:01 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 13:41:01 GMT

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23465
```

On 6 Jun 2001 19:50:40 GMT, Jeffrey Herman says:

> You still haven't explained your claim that "...repeaters aren't
> ham radio..."

My opinion that repeaters are not ham radio is right up there with
your claim that JA Fourth Class operators are not HF hams. Deal with it.

72.5, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From -5439421510180971718
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 06:58:56 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F883C.1CE531AA@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 08:57:16 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com> <9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171>
<3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org> <9fhba1$i1i$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B1C58B4.EBC1907B@IEEE.org> <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net>
<3B1CEAC8.6BB394F6@IEEE.org> <3B1D7C76.E9DB419C@earthlink.net>
<3B1DA449.69391D26@IEEE.org> <3B1E1DA2.C24EE515@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.52
X-Trace: corp 991921833 64.240.47.52 (7 Jun 2001 08:50:33 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
```

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23466

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > So all nocode techs are not underachievers?
>
> When have I made such a statement?

Dunno, that's why I asked the question.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 2938180947371288572
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 07:01:07 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 14:01:05 GMT
Message-ID: <3b1f8696.32459290@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9fg5fi$3be$2@208.207.71.171> <20010605063122.24495.00000768@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3b1d83bc.123659649@news2.dvol.com> <3B1DAB5A.BF6A6270@IEEE.org>
<3b1db910.137311801@news2.dvol.com> <3B1E7A6D.20133AE@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.45
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.45
X-Trace: 7 Jun 2001 08:56:20 -0500, 64.24.240.45
Lines: 27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23467

On Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:46:05 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:


>> could sit around the campfire weaving electronics stories and "upgrade
>> ham radio". Whatta knee-slapper.
>
>Dr. Williamson, PhD in EE, is getting by just fine without an HF license,
>Brian.
```

```
>
That's worse than I thought.
>
>Thank goodness, being a nocoder doesn't prohibit him from sharing
>his electronics wisdom around a campfire.
>
Knee. Slap.
>
> Consider what the world would
>be like if all the nocoders, like Einstein, were prohibited from sharing
>their knowledge with the rest of the world.
>
I never heard about Einstein's camping trips. When can we expect The
Doctor to publish in QEX?
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>
w3rv


From -6140991094199322707
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 07:01:35 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F88D8.B18DDF57@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 08:59:52 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org>
<3B1F070F.F35AEEE4@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.52
X-Trace: corp 991921989 64.240.47.52 (7 Jun 2001 08:53:09 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23468

Dave Heil wrote:
> Why not just speak for yourself and leave the doctor tales out?

I am speaking for myself, Dave. Am I not allowed to talk about
my ham friends? Are you allowed to talk about your ham friends?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5366278873772942766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 07:20:07 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F8D21.D9026D7@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 09:18:09 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net> <3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net> <vF_Q6.52037$t12.4072227@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B16F120.DE98D6E3@sccoast.net> <3b179aa8.52713172@news2.dvol.com> <3B19FC9C.F7BD6C23@sccoast.net> <9fdj8g$jh8$1@208.207.71.166> <3B1D8EA4.50A3A543@sccoast.net> <9fkdgp$96b$1@208.206.142.84> <3B1E8453.B2580AC1@sccoast.net> <3B1E8BE9.3766CEF5@IEEE.org> <3B1F1A5A.BA632512@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.52
X-Trace: corp 991923087 64.240.47.52 (7 Jun 2001 09:11:27 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!

```
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23469
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Are
> my messages coming across differently than my intent, Cecil?

Dick has a set of Tarot cards with which he can determine your ulterior motives and hidden agenda no matter what you actually say in your postings. He often uses those Tarot cards on Ed and me.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

```
From 1303152666221984802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,201b1bafa2a5ec2,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 07:33:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: kinerm125@cs.com (Matt)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: DOD official speaks on spectrum overcrowding
Date: 7 Jun 2001 07:33:29 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <3e64731f.0106070633.248ce83c@posting.google.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 199.228.142.7
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 991924410 4866 127.0.0.1 (7 Jun 2001 14:33:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Jun 2001 14:33:30 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23470
```

Here's the text of the article, with the link below. The gist is that the DOD is in a vulnerable position in terms of protecting their spectrum. Since there are no specific frequencies mentioned, I don't know what range the wireless industry considers "beach-front property" as the article says. Doesn't the DOD have the primary allocation on 70 cm? Does anyone know to what extent the DOD uses that band?

Matt N3SOZ

Thursday, June 7 5:07 AM SGT

Defense Dept. Officials Warn of Wireless Spectrum Overload

WASHINGTON, D.C., U.S.A., 2001 JUN 6 (NB) -- By Dawn S Onley, Government Computer News.
Army Major Gen. James David Bryan stood before a Washington audience Tuesday and bet $10 that no one had more wireless devices than he had. One person had two gadgets. Another who raised his hand had four.

Bryan had seven, and entertained the attendees at the AFCEA TechNet International 2001 conference by pulling out an array of wireless contraptions from his uniform pockets, including a cell phone, pager, personal digital assistant and garage and car door openers.

"When did all this happen?" Bryan asked the group, referring to the 115 million - and counting - cellular subscribers in the United States. "If we're not real careful, we face chaos in the wireless environment."

Bryan, vice director of the Defense Information Systems Agency, called for regulation of the wireless spectrum and a set of standards to emphasize security, research and development.

"This is a global problem," Bryan said. "The stress this is putting on the spectrum is enormous. Spectrum is a shared national and international commodity. There is just not enough to go around."

In recent months, the Defense Department has come under increased pressure from Congress and private cellular companies to relinquish or share some of its valuable wireless spectrum. This has prompted a report by DOD arguing that preserving its limited spectrum is crucial to national defense missions.

With the growing use of wireless technologies, the United States is unique in that there is no spectrum policy in place to balance national security with commercial uses of frequency spectrum.

This puts the DOD in a vulnerable position, said Rear Adm. Robert Nutwell, deputy assistant secretary of Defense for command, control, communications and information, surveillance, and reconnaissance. Nutwell said the DOD spectrum allocation is being viewed as "beachfront property" by the cellular telephone industry.

He said full-band sharing is not feasible, but DOD could vacate some parts of the spectrum under conditions that would include reimbursement for the space and finding comparable spectrum for the DOD.

Reported by Government Computer News, http://www.gcn.com .

http://english.hk.dailynews.yahoo.com/headlines/technology/newsbytes/article.html?s=hke/headlines/010607/technology/newsbytes/Defense_Dept._Officials_Warn_of_Wireless_Spectrum_Overload.html

From 45021214010993888

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 07:34:13 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 09:32:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010606203456.28252.00006727@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010607054536.13725.00000231@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.52
X-Trace: corp 991923951 64.240.47.52 (7 Jun 2001 09:25:51 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23471

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >There is no requirement for me to be able to send/receive faster than
> >30 WPM, so I'm going to whine anytime I darn please!
>
> There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5 wpm,
> either...

Actually, since there is no retesting requirement, a licensed HF ham can forget he ever knew Morse code. I know some HF hams who have done just that.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -4541549967396758121
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 07:39:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B1F919E.5AD3F715@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 09:37:18 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <9fg5fi$3be$2@208.207.71.171> <20010605063122.24495.00000768@ng-
fm1.aol.com> <3b1d83bc.123659649@news2.dvol.com> <3B1DAB5A.BF6A6270@IEEE.org>
<3b1db910.137311801@news2.dvol.com> <3B1E7A6D.20133AE@IEEE.org>
<3b1f8696.32459290@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.52
X-Trace: corp 991924235 64.240.47.52 (7 Jun 2001 09:30:35 -0500)
Lines: 9
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23472
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> When can we expect The Doctor to publish in QEX?

Why don't you just read his patents instead?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3693877101327974088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 07:50:47 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1F865B.2ED39243@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org> <3B1F070F.F35AEEE4@earthlink.net> <3B1F88D8.B18DDF57@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 18
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 14:50:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.62
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991925447 63.17.223.62 (Thu, 07 Jun 2001 07:50:47 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 07:50:47 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 07:48:50 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23473

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > Why not just speak for yourself and leave the doctor tales out?
>
> I am speaking for myself, Dave. Am I not allowed to talk about
> my ham friends? Are you allowed to talk about your ham friends?

You're allowed to speak about anything you like. Being allowed doesn't make it mandatory to do so. You've regaled us with tales of the wonderous "doctor" for years. Why not let him speak for himself?

I could fill you in on my friend Herman Peaquist (not his real name) who lettered in four sports, won the Silver Star during the Second World War and who aced his Extra Class morse and theory exams back in the 60s. He is an overachiever. His exploits leave Dr. Williamson in the dust.

Dave K8MN

From 7924247700085269365
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 08:01:38 PST

Message-ID: <3B1F96E0.36002ACE@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 09:59:44 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org> <3B1F070F.F35AEEE4@earthlink.net> <3B1F88D8.B18DDF57@IEEE.org> <3B1F865B.2ED39243@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.52
X-Trace: corp 991925581 64.240.47.52 (7 Jun 2001 09:53:01 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23474

Dave Heil wrote:
> You're allowed to speak about anything you like. Being allowed doesn't
> make it mandatory to do so. You've regaled us with tales of the
> wonderous "doctor" for years. Why not let him speak for himself?

He is very outspoken, Dave, but he wouldn't waste his time on a purely nonsense newsgroup like this one.

> I could fill you in on my friend Herman Peaquist (not his real name)
> who lettered in four sports, won the Silver Star during the Second World
> War and who aced his Extra Class morse and theory exams back in the 60s.

Please do.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1480178437097134972

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 08:26:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 15:21:39 GMT
References: <NvLT6.2249$bA3.109367@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Message-ID: <20010607112139.11279.00000409@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23475

>Subject: Re: FCC finally
>From: K0HB k0hb@qwest.net
>Date: 6/7/01 8:41 AM Central Daylight Time

> Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

     Am I mistaken, or are you not the SAME Hans Brokeslob who, only a couple
weeks ago. was "dissing" me over the tenure of the tagline that appears on MY
posts...?!?!

    Of course I KNOW it's you, Hans...I recognize the Lenniesque "Do As I Say,
Not Do As I Do" rant.

    As usual........




From 2736250611663630096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 08:36:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org>
<3B1F070F.F35AEEE4@earthlink.net> <3B1F88D8.B18DDF57@IEEE.org>
<3B1F865B.2ED39243@earthlink.net> <3B1F96E0.36002ACE@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <OaNT6.2419$bA3.119030@www.newsranger.com>
```

X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 11:35:10 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 15:35:10 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23476

On Thu, 07 Jun 2001 09:59:44 -0500, CAM says:

>He is very outspoken, Dave, but he wouldn't waste his time on a purely
>nonsense newsgroup like this one.

My 4-year-old grand-daughter has an imaginary playmate named Chippy.

I think Dr. Williamson may be Cecil's imaginary playmate.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From 1930128440053184843
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 08:50:43 PST
Message-ID: <3B1FA26B.E1DE9995@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 10:48:59 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org>
<3B1F070F.F35AEEE4@earthlink.net> <3B1F88D8.B18DDF57@IEEE.org>
<3B1F865B.2ED39243@earthlink.net> <3B1F96E0.36002ACE@IEEE.org>
<OaNT6.2419$bA3.119030@www.newsranger.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.52
X-Trace: corp 991928536 64.240.47.52 (7 Jun 2001 10:42:16 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23477

K0HB wrote:
>
> On Thu, 07 Jun 2001 09:59:44 -0500, CAM says:
>
> >He is very outspoken, Dave, but he wouldn't waste his time on a purely
> >nonsense newsgroup like this one.
>
> My 4-year-old grand-daughter has an imaginary playmate named Chippy.
>
> I think Dr. Williamson may be Cecil's imaginary playmate.

Nope, he's just the only nocode tech PhD/EE that I know.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6129917673367271105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 04:03:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 11:02:53 GMT
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23328

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>> Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?

>...if their object was to obtain an HF ticket, they were.

I think their objective was to be astronauts.

If I were an astronaut with an Extra, I would be a lot more proud of one accomplishment than the other.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4369962095411780799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 04:05:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 11:04:32 GMT
References: <9fjlv4$5cj$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010606070432.02679.00001006@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23329

>From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)

>CAM wrote:

>>Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?

>If they demand access to HF w/o having met the requirements, yes.

I don't know of a single astronaut who has demanded access to HF without meeting the requirements. Can you post any references?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1469554415721102014
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 04:09:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 11:08:36 GMT
References: <20010606064222.02679.00000998@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606070836.02672.00000798@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23330

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

> After looking at one of my recent QSTs, which had a Picture of ED in it, I
>can now see where ED is coming from, his comments are not only Dippy he even
>Looks like a DIP S$$T.

Let's permit folks to judge that for themselves:

http://www.arrl.org/rfsafety/Hare-th.jpg

Now, I ask, am I dippy looking? Does anyone think that the way I look
influences "where I am coming from?"

As they say, Bruce, a picture speaks a thousand words.

Care to reciprocate and post an URL?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7408410389806061822
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 04:09:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B1CD701.8398A51@IEEE.org> <20010605201428.03759.00004250@nso-ch.aol.com> <EYeT6.254$9m.12111@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<PSfT6.405$bA3.16824@www.newsranger.com> <9fkd1h$6ni$1@208.206.142.84>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400

```
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <_boT6.1688$9m.128745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 11:09:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.116.186
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991825786 64.157.116.186 (Wed, 06 Jun
2001 04:09:46 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 04:09:46 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 04:07:48 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23331

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9fkd1h$6ni$1@208.206.142.84...
>
>
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > Kim-W5TIT says...
> >
> > >
> > >ROFLMAO!!!
> > >
> >
> > Kim, I don't think you can possibly laugh that hard.
>
>
>  That would seem to be a LOT of laughing.
>

Yeah, Larry can definitely pull that off! : )

Kim W5TIT




From 6765150427066785675
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 04:27:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net> <20010603021853.04835.00000353@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3B1A37A7.3EDCCC9A@IEEE.org>
<yyrS6.16883$651.1215463@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
```

<3B1DA164.2EEAE7B@sccoast.net> <WAhT6.504$bA3.21152@www.newsranger.com>
<9fke6s$c2k$1@208.206.142.84>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 63
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ksoT6.1711$9m.133390@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 11:27:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.157.116.186
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991826832 64.157.116.186 (Wed, 06 Jun 2001 04:27:12 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 04:27:12 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 04:25:14 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23332

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9fke6s$c2k$1@208.206.142.84...
>
>
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > W5TIT says...
> >
> > > Imbedded in any one of Larry's ranting
> > > is the incessant whine of "I had to
> > > do, so you have to do it." Yep, Larry's
> > > mad that he had to do it, and he'll
> > > never get over it.
> >
> > Kim,
> >
> > That's pure unadulterated Texas Longhorn manure.
> >
> > Larry and I can find a lot to disagree about, including the
> > need for high-speed Morse code testing, but despite our
> > disagreements, Larry is an honorable sort with the best
> > interests of the Amateur Radio Service at heart. He genuinely
> > believes in the value of Morse testing as a requisite to
> > full Amateur privileges. You don't need to agree with him
> > (I don't) but it's not a "whine" and he's not "mad that he
> > had to do it".
> >
> > Grow up.
>
>
> For her and Dwight it's nowhere in the cards, not anywhere on the
> program.
>

OK, I'll try to get you guys, Hans and Dick, to engage in some intelligent discussion just for the sake of seeing whether you can actually do it or not. I am offering this for your consideration:

Hans, in your note, you say: "Larry is an honorable sort with the best interests of the Amateur Radio Service at heart." There's two ways that can be taken: a) the "best interests of the Amateur Radio Service" may be considered by you to be a select few people, or b) the "best interests of the Amateur Radio Service" may be considered by you to mean amateur radio as a whole. If the former, then your indignation to my observation is understood. If the latter, then how would you explain that Larry's continuous lamenting that amateur radio should go by the wayside (paraphrasing his many comments along those lines) is in "the best interests" of amateur radio? I am just looking for some clarity there.

Dick, rather than answer through emotionalism, why don't you explain what it is about my observation(s) that you find in error? Is it beyond your ability to discuss issues without the personal attacks? Do you honestly live your life in such a manner--that you allow your emotions to take over your intellect?

I refuse to engage in such behavior this time around, in this NG. Please don't take my distaste for such behavior as being dictatorial for how you (or anyone else) must behave. You may behave in any manner you wish. I just choose to behave as I believe an intellectual adult would.

Kim W5TIT

From -3963563962922532535
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 04:42:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 11:42:23 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9fl4uv$iak$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B1D1CD4.901B2A7A@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991827743 18772 149.174.88.240 (6 Jun 2001 11:42:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 11:42:23 GMT

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23333

>And hopefully learn something in the process. The FCC doesn't
>see anything wrong with that, Dick. You know who the FCC is,
>don't you?

Well, Cecil, at least you show by admission via the above that
licensing as conducted through the 60s was different. The old FCC
wasn't interested in that you 'hopefully learn something in the
process.' They wanted you to _know_ your basic electronics.
That's all changed now. The new FCC doesn't care what happens to
Amateur Radio, they just exited the Service. A movement that
started at least 20 years ago.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -4628502006275008555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 04:48:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 11:48:34 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9fl5ai$iak$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B1DAB5A.BF6A6270@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991828114 18772 149.174.88.240 (6 Jun 2001 11:48:34 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 11:48:34 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23334

>Is the FCC in on the scam?

The FCC was in on reducing requirements across the board,
Cecil, code AND theory. As quite well stated by former ARRL
president Vic Clark almost 20 years ago, and as culminated in
FCC's recent R&O. Extremely easy to understand, Cecil.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 9065728597767911061

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 05:49:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 12:48:48 GMT
References: <9fl4uv$iak$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606084848.24758.00000345@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23335

From: Vincent Biancomano 103520.355@CompuServe.COM

>Well, Cecil, at least you show by admission via the above that
>licensing as conducted through the 60s was different.

It sure was. I passed my entry-level Novice license by studying a 4-page study guide and passing a 20-question exam. I then was given all Amateur priveleges by getting a General after studying a 16-page license manual. If we went from today's standard to that level, you would lead the howls of protest, I am sure.

>A movement that started at least 20 years ago.

So you are saying that Amateur Radio has been no good for over 20 years. Sounds like nothing more than doom and gloom to me, and I sure don't buy it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3810392248542384092
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 06:10:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1E1DA2.C24EE515@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com> <9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171>
<3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org> <9fhba1$i1i$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B1C58B4.EBC1907B@IEEE.org> <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net>
<3B1CEAC8.6BB394F6@IEEE.org> <3B1D7C76.E9DB419C@earthlink.net>
<3B1DA449.69391D26@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 17
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:10:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.77
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991833050 63.17.222.77 (Wed, 06 Jun 2001 06:10:50 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 06:10:50 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 06:08:54 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23336

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > > Their object, like the object of a lot of hams I know, was to be
> > > able to communicate on the VHF/UHF ham bands. Does that make them
> > > underachievers?
> >
> > Nope. It makes 'em Techs. If that's all they want, that's all they
> > get.
>
> So all nocode techs are not underachievers?

When have I made such a statement?

Dave K8MN

From -6797230410887535132
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 06:20:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 27
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:20:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.77
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991833600 63.17.222.77 (Wed, 06 Jun 2001
06:20:00 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 06:20:00 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 06:18:04 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23337

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >> Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?
>
> >...if their object was to obtain an HF ticket, they were.
>
> I think their objective was to be astronauts.
>
> If I were an astronaut with an Extra, I would be a lot more proud of one
> accomplishment than the other.

What's the real point of Cecil's quiz, Ed?  Is it to say that because
one is successful in one area of life that one cannot be an
underachiever in another?  Cecil's Albert Einstein question is silly on
its face.  Albert Einstein wasn't a radio amateur nor, to my knowledge,
did he ever attempt to obtain a license.  He probably couldn't play the
ukelele either.  If he tried either and failed, he could have been
labeled an underachiever in those particular areas.

No one has questioned whether astronauts were successful as astronauts.
Cecil's question had to do with whether they were underachievers as
hams.  The only correct answer is:  Depends on what they set as their
goals.  I don't think you can find fault with that.

Dave K8MN


From -4024328828608747967
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 06:22:42 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B1E2069.4138EC1A@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B148B9E.8BA1040C@sccoast.net>
<3B14F8B3.41710D34@IEEE.org> <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net>
<9f9s9c$gmf$0@208.207.71.177> <3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171> <3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org>
<3B1C42F1.D4D8EC1C@earthlink.net> <3B1C5906.A137C5A4@IEEE.org>
<3B1CD939.6B723F7D@earthlink.net> <3B1CEB93.6BE0F2D4@IEEE.org>
<3B1D7E18.CB6D26C7@earthlink.net> <3B1DA679.BEDFE809@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 32
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:22:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.77
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991833761 63.17.222.77 (Wed, 06 Jun 2001 06:22:41 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 06:22:41 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 06:20:46 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23338

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > It is quite
> > > > possible to do well in one field and to be an under achiever in another,
> > > > Cecil.
> > >
> > > I am not an underachiever at being a garbage collector, Dave. I
> > > simply don't want to have anything to do with collecting garbage.
> > > Are you an underachiever at cleaning out septic tanks? Just because
> > > someone doesn't choose to do something doesn't mean they are an
> > > underachiever at it.
> >
> > Are you taking issue with my comment or did you actually have a point to
> > make? I'd like to make certain before you veer off in another
> > direction. I don't recall making any garbage collector or septic tank
> > comments. I was under the impression that we were discussing amateur

> > radio. Some go as far as they want. Some go as far as they can. Some
> > don't go at all.
>
> Some hams on this newsgroup assert that all nocode techs are underachievers.
> I'm just trying to ascertain if you are one of those hams.

You already knew the answer from my past posts. While I hate to see
anyone handcuff himself by limiting what he can do in amateur radio,
there is a flavor for everyone within ham radio.

Dave K8MN

From -4230716007622090027
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 06:46:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net> <20010603021853.04835.00000353@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3B1A37A7.3EDCCC9A@IEEE.org>
<yyrS6.16883$651.1215463@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B1DA164.2EEAE7B@sccoast.net> <WAhT6.504$bA3.21152@www.newsranger.com>
<9fke6s$c2k$1@208.206.142.84>
<ksoT6.1711$9m.133390@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <_tqT6.994$bA3.39014@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 09:45:30 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:45:30 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23339

W5TIT says:

>Hans, in your note, you say: "Larry is an honorable sort with the best
>interests of the Amateur Radio Service at heart." There's two ways that can
>be taken:

There are dozens (maybe even thousands) of ways that can be taken, and
we will each "take" it through the filter of our own experience and our
own hopes for the future of the Amateur Radio Service.

First, I believe Larry to be an honorable sort of fellow. He seems
straightforward, consistent, and honest. I suspect that he pays his bills
on time, doesn't cheat on taxes, is kind and compassionate to the elderly,

and repeats difficult words when sending CW traffic. He has some opinions different than mine, but that is not dishonorable.

Second, I believe that Larry has the best interests, as he sees it, for the future of the Amateur Radio Service. You or I may see those "best interests" differently. I would like to be allowed to admire a person's opinion as I would his dog - without being expected to take it home with me for my own.

> I just choose to behave as I believe an intellectual adult would.

Intellectual *adults* do not make patronizing sophomoric challenges like "OK, I'll try to get you guys, Hans and Dick, to engage in some intelligent discussion just for the sake of seeing whether you can actually do it or not."

With all good wishes,

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From 8015534678616849545
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 06:48:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
Date: 6 Jun 2001 13:48:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 47
Message-ID: <9flcc6$9hq$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <9fittn$lgk$0@208.206.142.71> <20010606064837.02679.00001000@ng-ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23340

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>
> > Any antenna is capable of a maximum amount of radiation efficiency,
> >without changing it in some way.
>
> A short 40-meter mobile whip with a coil Q designed that there will be a 50-ohm
> resistive impedance at the base when the antenna is placed on a typical car
> over average ground will show an efficiciency of about 15%. How can this
> antenna be made capable of "maximum" radiation efficiency without changing it?

Well, yes. That's what I said. Any antenna as it it presently exists, is capable of amaximum amount of radiation efficiency. I didn't say that it was optimized for maximum, just that it presently has a maximum. Obviously any change in the feed system that improves radiation without changing the antenna in any way doesn't change that maximum, does it?

>
> >When you change only the feed system
> >what that tells me is that you have provided a better match for the
> >antenna to absorb more of the provided RF and thence it radiates more,
> >without exceeding its former maximum radiation efficiency.
>
> If the SWR was good before the change, the antenna is already matched to the
> feed line. The inefficiency was either in the antenna or the feed line was
> losing it as heat. I know of no change to a feed line that is already
> exhibiting a 1:1 SWR that will improve its match to the antenna. Can you
> elaborate a bit?
>

I *hope* you're experienced enough to know that an SWR meter placed at the transmitter doesn't necessarily reflect what is happening at the antenna.

Placing a ground on the coax shield 6 feet from the antenna with no further change IS changing the feed system without changing the antenna. If you would like to describe it differently I'm sure we all will read it.

Of course it COULD be that the antenna bases were not adequately grounded and grounding the shield six feet back DID change the antenna.

From 1974117969748584247
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 07:02:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 6 Jun 2001 14:02:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9fld67$cad$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <9fjlv4$5cj$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010606070432.02679.00001006@ng-
ff1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23341
```

W1RFI wrote:

```
>
> >From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu  (Jeffrey Herman)
>
> >CAM wrote:
>
> >>Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?
>
> >If they demand access to HF w/o having met the requirements, yes.
>
> I don't know of a single astronaut who has demanded access to HF without
> meeting the requirements. Can you post any references?
```

No, Ed, and that is the point. Only those who demand the privileges without meeting the qualifications are the underachievers.

```
From 3877864597712823340
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 07:04:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!
newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net>
Subject: Under/overachievers (Was:Re: Puke.)
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <dLqT6.1019$bA3.40096@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 10:03:53 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 14:03:53 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23342

On Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:20:00 GMT, Dave Heil says:

> Albert Einstein wasn't a radio amateur nor, to my knowledge,
> did he ever attempt to obtain a license. He probably couldn't
> play the ukelele either. If he tried either and failed, he
> could have been labeled an underachiever in those particular areas.

Not really. Trying to play a ukelele (or trying to copy Morse) and
failing does not constitute underachieving. It is only "underachievement"
if there is a prior reasonable expectation that they had such a talent.

You might think a math score of D- is underachievement, but if my math
teacher reasonably expected me to fail the quarter and I got a D-, then
I am an overachiever. If she reasonably expected me to get an A, and I
only managed a B+, then I am an underachiever.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From -7066858194277391686
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 07:12:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 6 Jun 2001 14:12:41 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9fldop$eja$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B148B9E.8BA1040C@sccoast.net>
<3B14F8B3.41710D34@IEEE.org> <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net>
<9f9s9c$gmf$0@208.207.71.177> <3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171> <3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org>
<3B1C42F1.D4D8EC1C@earthlink.net> <3B1C5906.A137C5A4@IEEE.org>
<3B1CD939.6B723F7D@earthlink.net> <3B1CEB93.6BE0F2D4@IEEE.org>
<3B1D7E18.CB6D26C7@earthlink.net> <3B1DA679.BEDFE809@IEEE.org>

<3B1E2069.4138EC1A@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23343

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >

> > Some hams on this newsgroup assert that all nocode techs are underachievers.
> > I'm just trying to ascertain if you are one of those hams.
>
> You already knew the answer from my past posts. While I hate to see
> anyone handcuff himself by limiting what he can do in amateur radio,
> there is a flavor for everyone within ham radio.

Cecil again attempt to attribute to his opponents that which they
didn't say or do. Thre underachievers are those of any class who whine
to the world about how their "rights" have been destroyed as they
attempt to change the requirements just because they don't like then,
don't want to comply with then, or are just plain too lazy.

From -3937918381870948396
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 07:13:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <9fjlv4$5cj$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010606070432.02679.00001006@ng-ff1.aol.com> <9fld67$cad$0@208.207.71.142>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <cTqT6.1026$bA3.41195@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 10:12:24 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 14:12:24 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23344

On 6 Jun 2001 14:02:47 GMT, Dick Carroll says:

> Only those who demand the privileges without meeting the qualifications
> are the underachievers.

You need to go to Funk & Wagnalls porch and pick up a dictionary.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From 2678905538023804575
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,6db171bffeb14e79
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 07:13:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 14:13:11 GMT
References: <NreT6.283$bA3.13455@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: FCC finally
Message-ID: <20010606101311.06052.00000179@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23345
```

>Subject: Re: FCC finally
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 6/5/01 7:03 PM Central Daylight Time

>> I asked you to provide your definition of "ham radio"
>> but you deleted and never answered that question.

>My definition of ham radio is located in the FCC rules,
>Part 97.

Which then means you are doing nothing but trolling for a fight with your vile and inciteful rants about the content of OTHER licensee's communications.

If it's not illegal, it IS "Ham Radio"...Whether Hans Brokeslob agrees or not.

Putz.

From 777155293118125407
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 07:16:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 14:16:09 GMT
References: <LOeT6.217$9m.10105@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606101609.06052.00000180@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23346

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>Date: 6/5/01 7:28 PM Central Daylight Time

>Why go half-way?

Judging from the tenor of Larry's posts, Kim, I dare say it's been a loooooong time since anyone has LET him go ALL the way!

=)

73

The Tennessee Terror

From -5192904205095554262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 07:21:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 14:20:33 GMT

References: <WAhT6.504$bA3.21152@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010606102033.06052.00000181@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23347

>Subject: Re: Puke.
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 6/5/01 10:38 PM Central Daylight Time

>That's pure unadulterated Texas Longhorn manure.

Must be, Kim...if ANYONE knows maure, it's Hans.

>Grow up.

Some advice the author should himself take......

From 7024746295513227831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 07:27:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 14:27:22 GMT
References: <3b1da745.1862488@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010606102722.06052.00000182@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23348

>Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>Date: 6/5/01 10:48 PM Central Daylight Time

>I've taken many "timed" tests and have never been forbidden
>from go back to recheck or fill in missing answers I may have
>skipped over if time was still left.

Then you have changed the parameters of the original assertion, Bill.

"...if time was still left" was not a part of the original equation.

>Since the ITU treaty makes no time requirements nor does
>it require any direct conversion, it seems that would be
>OK.

The ITU treaty puts testing parameters within the scope of authority of the administering administration, Bill. If Lower Slobovia says you have two hours to figure out what was sent, then those are THIER rules. Depending on the era in which any particular test was administered, "one minute of solid copy" meant that you successfuolly copied the required number of characters in that amount of time....

NOT that time and what ever you added on to "fill in the blanks"

The "multiple guess" tests are a different story.

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From 9080611172830595904
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 07:30:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 14:29:55 GMT
References: <20010606065407.02679.00001002@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606102955.06052.00000183@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23349
```

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses

>From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
>Date: 6/6/01 5:54 AM Central Daylight Time

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>>Larry, you left out having to crawl five miles uphill both ways
>>through the snow on your hands and knees to get to the FCC office.

>I literally had to run uphill to my FCC test in Boston in the 70s -- my >car
had been towed near the common and I had to hoof it.
> Doesn't that count?

Guess I lucked out....the FCC office in Atlanta was "down hill" from the parking lot. Now the one in Long Beach required a bit of a hike..!!!

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From -6243374675435115618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: f8f7c,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gidf8f7c,public
X-Google-Thread: 103929,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid103929,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1d360a5852e603a2
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 07:33:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: "Gene Seibel" <gene@pad39a.com>
Newsgroups:
fr.rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.sho
rtwave,tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur
References: <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<9ek47h$gl6$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
```

```
Subject: Re: W6HLH
Lines: 58
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <6brT6.2014$9m.159833@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 14:33:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.224.51.72
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991838018 65.224.51.72 (Wed, 06 Jun 2001
07:33:38 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 07:33:38 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 07:31:42 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com fr.rec.radio.amateur:13130 rec.radio.amateur.misc:16309
rec.radio.amateur.policy:23350 rec.radio.shortwave:36550
tw.bbs.rec.radio.amateur:915

Interesting how those who think someone shouldn't be heard will jump on the
internet and advertise them far and wide. Doesn't make a lick of sense to
me.
--
Gene Seibel
http://pad39a.com/publishing - Confessions of a Pilot
--

RadioFan <rf@go.com> wrote in message news:9ek47h$gl6$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> I haven't heard this particular operator (W6HLH), but I must disagree with
> the sentiment that "It is a shame that his rhetoric is protected by free
> speech...", as I happen to believe that there is nothing more important
than
> this freedom.  Thank God (and the wisdom of Founding Fathers) that we
allow
> this type of talk.
>
> "I may disagree with your words, but I will fight to the death for your
> right to speak them."  ...or something close to that.
>
> It provides the rest of us with the oportunity to hear those against whom
we
> must be vigilant.
>
> ...and I seem to recall that Hitler abolished free speech pretty early in
> his time in power.
>
> Just a thought, and...
>
> Just my two cents.
>
> 73
>
```

```
>
>
> Toni wrote in message
> <9ejoae$6j5$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>...
> >If you hear W6HLH, George "the Bigot" Lunge, CQing on his self appointed
> >Band of 10 meters, do not give him the time of day.  This poor excuse for
a
> >human is a bigot of the worse kind and doesn't even think twice about
> >spouting racial slurs over the airways.  It is a shame that his rhetoric
is
> >protected by free speech as it does nothing but disservice the Amateur
> Radio
> >Community and decent people everywhere.
> >
> >Toni
> >SWL & Scanners
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
```

```
From 9090974807029957717
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 07:49:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Under/overachievers (Was:Re: Puke.)
Date: 6 Jun 2001 14:49:06 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9flft2$kvm$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net>
<dLqT6.1019$bA3.40096@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23351
```

K0HB wrote:

You might think a math score of D- is underachievement, but if my math
> teacher reasonably expected me to fail the quarter and I got a D-, then
> I am an overachiever. If she reasonably expected me to get an A, and I
> only managed a B+, then I am an underachiever.

And this very same explanation works for techies and engineers. Just
because htey achieved at their specialty doesn't mean they automatically
get a pass on ham radio.

From 985662217206636098
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 08:02:35 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E459A.916E50A7@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 10:00:42 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com> <9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171>
<3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org> <9fhba1$i1i$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B1C58B4.EBC1907B@IEEE.org> <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net>
<3B1CEAC8.6BB394F6@IEEE.org> <3B1D7C76.E9DB419C@earthlink.net>
<9fkda0$96b$0@208.206.142.84>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.119
X-Trace: corp 991839239 64.240.47.119 (6 Jun 2001 09:53:59 -0500)
Lines: 29
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23352

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >
> > > > CAM wrote:
> > > > > Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?
> > > >
> > > > ...if their object was to obtain an HF ticket, they were.
> > >
> > > Their object, like the object of a lot of hams I know, was to be
> > > able to communicate on the VHF/UHF ham bands. Does that make them
> > > underachievers?
> >
> > Nope. It makes 'em Techs. If that's all they want, that's all they
> > get.
>
> So then they should have shut up about real achievers who wanted more
> insread of all the incesssant whining about code testing.

Exactly which astronauts have whined about code testing, Dick?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8075006412922085311
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 08:05:09 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:04:56 GMT
Message-ID: <3b1dbce9.138296813@news2.dvol.com>
References: <H%SS6.4231$v4.216857@www.newsranger.com>
<20010605211451.24362.00001693@nso-fj.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.190
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.190
X-Trace: 6 Jun 2001 10:00:13 -0500, 64.24.18.190
Lines: 49
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!

news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23353

On 06 Jun 2001 01:14:51 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>>
>>And my DSP (in the IF chain) whacks down strong unwanted signals
>>inside the passband of the mechanicals, or enhances discrete ESP-thin
>>piss-weak signals (without ringing) without amplifying surrounding
>>noise.
>
Yeah, yeah. The the tunable INRADS in my artifact TS-940 let me do 90%
of those stunts without DSP. I'm well aware that DSP has it's place in
xcvr IF strips, been there, I've used a Mark V, DSP works. That's not
my point. My point is that to date DSP alone is *lousy* unless analog
filters are also in the signal path getting most of the energy in the
selected passband width outta the way so that DSP can do something
useful. Notch tricks are nice but without good skirt shapes to begin
with there ain't much to talk about. Wherin lies the problem with DSP
in it's current state of development.
>
>>Try that with your 75A4.
>
Try a Kachina in a pileup on 80. Or a 756 for that matter.
>
>The 75A4 has an effective notch filter as stock equipment.
>
>A 75A4 with the W2VCZ mods will give most "modern" receivers a good run for
>their money.
>
The big trick the modified 75A4 had in it's day was it's bulletproof
front end, not it's filters - which are very good.
>
Mine was a "w2vcz special", referred to back then as the 75A4A . . The
multi-multies aound here beat the crap out me to let them borrow the
thing for use on 80/40 in the majors.
>
> Then there's the SS-1R.....
>
Ayup.
>
The overall performance of all those old radios was surpassed starting
with the TS-940 and others of it's genere twenty years ago, I wouldn't
think of taking any of my beloved boat anchors into a dx 'test today.
However: Given the choice between one of those boat anchors and any of
the all-DSP rcvrs/xcvrs I've used I'd be hard pressed to not revert
back to my meatball 75S-3B with it's 200 & 500 Hz filters when the
rubber hits the road.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
w3rv

From 6381596679905891759
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 08:05:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 10:04:02 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.119
X-Trace: corp 991839440 64.240.47.119 (6 Jun 2001 09:57:20 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23354

Dave Heil wrote:
> No one has questioned whether astronauts were successful as astronauts.
> Cecil's question had to do with whether they were underachievers as
> hams.

Dr. Williamson, PhD in EE, has been called an underachiever by some
posters to this newsgroup. I assumed it also applied to astronauts.
Some on this newsgroup assert that all nocode techs are underachievers.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 218029814741411346

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 08:08:47 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E4711.69F5F963@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 10:06:57 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9fjlv4$5cj$1@news.hawaii.edu> <20010606070432.02679.00001006@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <9fld67$cad$0@208.207.71.142>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.119
X-Trace: corp 991839614 64.240.47.119 (6 Jun 2001 10:00:14 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23355

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > >From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu  (Jeffrey Herman)
> >
> > >CAM wrote:
> >
> > >>Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?
> >
> > >If they demand access to HF w/o having met the requirements, yes.
> >
> > I don't know of a single astronaut who has demanded access to HF without
> > meeting the requirements. Can you post any references?
>
> No, Ed, and that is the point. Only those who demand the privileges
> without meeting the qualifications are the underachievers.

You make lots of demands, Dick, does that make you an underachiever?
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 3192840597417352412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 08:09:23 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:05:30 GMT
Message-ID: <3b1db910.137311801@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9fg5fi$3be$2@208.207.71.171> <20010605063122.24495.00000768@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3b1d83bc.123659649@news2.dvol.com> <3B1DAB5A.BF6A6270@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.190
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.190
X-Trace: 6 Jun 2001 10:00:47 -0500, 64.24.18.190
Lines: 18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23356

On Tue, 05 Jun 2001 23:02:34 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>> What do you call all whiners who used that scam to "justify" the
>> reduction of of the code test speeds?
>
>Is the FCC in on the scam?
>
No, they did their own scam for themselves which had absolutely
nothing to do with "upgrading ham radio".
>
 As if the FCC could possibly care about whether or not yer pore
deprived codeless PhD buddy could get a 5wpm HF ham ticket so that he
could sit around the campfire weaving electronics stories and "upgrade
ham radio". Whatta knee-slapper.
>
w3rv


From 2613248238267402733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 08:09:28 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:09:00 GMT
Message-ID: <3b1e4722.173684877@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010605211453.24362.00001697@nso-fj.aol.com>
<20010606062539.02679.00000990@ng-ff1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.190
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.190
X-Trace: 6 Jun 2001 10:04:17 -0500, 64.24.18.190
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!nycmny1-
snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23357

On 06 Jun 2001 10:25:39 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>
>What I said is that such analog help would probably be the optimum way to
>create a recevier system.
>
A "recevier"? Izzat a French DSP receiver?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv


From -2674419688594309019
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 08:11:25 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E47AA.A55E0816@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 10:09:30 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010529022342.26676.00005388@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B148B9E.8BA1040C@sccoast.net>
<3B14F8B3.41710D34@IEEE.org> <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net>
<9f9s9c$gmf$0@208.207.71.177> <3b18eb66.7695742@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg4vt$3be$1@208.207.71.171> <3B1B9EBB.A0CE75AD@IEEE.org>
```

```
<3B1C42F1.D4D8EC1C@earthlink.net> <3B1C5906.A137C5A4@IEEE.org>
<3B1CD939.6B723F7D@earthlink.net> <3B1CEB93.6BE0F2D4@IEEE.org>
<3B1D7E18.CB6D26C7@earthlink.net> <3B1DA679.BEDFE809@IEEE.org>
<3B1E2069.4138EC1A@earthlink.net> <9fldop$eja$0@208.207.71.142>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.119
X-Trace: corp 991839767 64.240.47.119 (6 Jun 2001 10:02:47 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23358
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Cecil again attempt to attribute to his opponents that which they
> didn't say or do. Thre underachievers are those of any class who whine
> to the world ...

You continuously "whine to the world", Dick, does that make you an underachiever?

```
-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

```
From -888343295840015802
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 08:11:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net>
<dLqT6.1019$bA3.40096@www.newsranger.com> <9flft2$kvm$0@208.207.71.142>
Subject: Re: Under/overachievers (Was:Re: Puke.)
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <aKrT6.1098$bA3.46317@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
```

```
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 11:11:02 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 15:11:02 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23359
```

On 6 Jun 2001 14:49:06 GMT, Dick Carroll says:

>And this very same explanation works for techies and engineers. Just
>because htey achieved at their specialty doesn't mean they automatically
>get a pass on ham radio.

Neither do they get a pass in banjo-picking or nose-picking. What's your point?

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From -198516645823872069
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 08:15:18 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E489B.39187C31@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 10:13:31 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B16FA68.DAE6A8CF@sccoast.net> <20010603021853.04835.00000353@nso-
fi.aol.com> <3B1A37A7.3EDCCC9A@IEEE.org>
<yyrS6.16883$651.1215463@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B1DA164.2EEAE7B@sccoast.net> <9fke3k$c2k$0@208.206.142.84>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.119
X-Trace: corp 991840009 64.240.47.119 (6 Jun 2001 10:06:49 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

```
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!newsfeed.utk.edu!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23360
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >   And, of course, some people are just downright dumb. Some just
> > cannot separate the value of the code test requirement from the value
> > of CW itself (these are not the same thing). You can see these people
> > in every code testing debate screaming about the value of CW.
>
> Dwight, you clueless delight, it's time to put it in plain language
> that even you can understand -- you are one ***STUPID*** SOB.

Dick, why did you jump at the chance to prove that Dwight is correct?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 2793813594488775638
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 10:54:12 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E6DCD.5E7F384F@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 12:52:13 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1D1CD4.901B2A7A@IEEE.org> <9fl4uv$iak$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991849530 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 12:45:30 -0500)
Lines: 30
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
```

(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23361

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
> Well, Cecil, at least you show by admission via the above that
> licensing as conducted through the 60s was different.

And licensing in the 50s was different. Things change with time.
You can either make the best of it or whine incessantly forever.

> The old FCC
> wasn't interested in that you 'hopefully learn something in the
> process.' They wanted you to _know_ your basic electronics.

Oh? Is that why 3 pages of study guide accompanied the entrance exam
of the 50s and why 10 pages of study guide accompanied the exam that
granted 100% of all amateur operating privileges in the 50s?

> That's all changed now. The new FCC doesn't care what happens to
> Amateur Radio, they just exited the Service. A movement that
> started at least 20 years ago.

Amateur Radio is not the only thing being deregulated. So you must
stop relying on the FCC to shape the ARS and get off your lazy butt
and do it for yourself. Bitching, moaning, and whining ain't gonna
get it done, Vince.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7868365027354831125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:00:27 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E6F52.EF671407@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 12:58:42 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <9fl4uv$iak$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>

<20010606084848.24758.00000345@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991849919 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 12:51:59 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!elk.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23362

W1RFI wrote:
> It sure was. I passed my entry-level Novice license by studying a 4-page study
> guide and passing a 20-question exam. I then was given all Amateur priveleges
> by getting a General after studying a 16-page license manual. If we went from
> today's standard to that level, you would lead the howls of protest, I am sure.

Incidentally Ed, in 1957, the novice study guide was 3 pages and
the general/conditional study guide was 10 pages giving all amateur
privileges to a mail-order license. Half of those 10 pages were rules
and regulations. According to Vince, there was sure a lot of electronics
compressed into those 5 other pages that I rote-memorized. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4994787856550375262
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:03:40 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E700D.8025CDCA@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:01:49 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010606064222.02679.00000998@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<20010606070836.02672.00000798@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991850106 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 12:55:06 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23363

W1RFI wrote:
> Now, I ask, am I dippy looking?

From Webster's: "dippy - somewhat mad or foolish"
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 474094565271007622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:05:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 18:05:48 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 9
Message-ID: <9flrds$8db$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <20010606084848.24758.00000345@ng-fy1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com

X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991850748 8619 149.174.88.240 (6 Jun 2001 18:05:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 18:05:48 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23364

>It sure was. I passed my entry-level Novice license by studying
>a 4-page study guide and passing a 20-question exam.

Ed, that schtick never worked for you when you started it, doesn't work now. Because it's long been acknowledged that the Novice license then and now was intended as a give-away one.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -4815313268181223472
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:14:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 18:14:26 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9flru2$8db$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B1E6DCD.5E7F384F@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991851266 8619 149.174.88.240 (6 Jun 2001 18:14:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 18:14:26 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23365

>Oh? Is that why 3 pages of study guide accompanied the entrance
>exam of the 50s and why 10 pages of study guide accompanied the
>exam that granted 100% of all amateur operating privileges in
>the 50s?

Yes. Novice then as now was a give away, Ed. And you needed more than a license guide above that class.

>Amateur Radio is not the only thing being deregulated.

Just because everything's being deregulated is no reason for 'Amateur Radio' to go crazy with it.

```
>So you must stop relying on the FCC to shape the ARS and get off
>your lazy butt and do it for yourself. Bitching, moaning, and
>whining ain't gonna get it done, Vince.

Stop crying to me, Ed. It's my job to state the facts, which you
don't dispute, just to keep them in perspective.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG



From -2486340187252764847
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:20:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E7411.7EAF6841@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:18:58 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010606084848.24758.00000345@ng-fy1.aol.com> <9flrds$8db$1@sshuraaa-
i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991851136 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 13:12:16 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23366

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >It sure was. I passed my entry-level Novice license by studying
> >a 4-page study guide and passing a 20-question exam.
>
> Ed, that schtick never worked for you when you started it,
> doesn't work now. Because it's long been acknowledged that the
> Novice license then and now was intended as a give-away one.
```

How about the 10-page mail order conditional license study guide,
5 pages of which were rules and regulations, which granted *ALL*
amateur privileges to an ignorant 14 year old?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From -4717740131682032227
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:21:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.compuserve.com!news-
master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 18:21:08 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <9flsak$8uc$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <9flru2$8db$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991851668 9164 149.174.88.240 (6
Jun 2001 18:21:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 18:21:08 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23367

correction: The foregoing response addressed
to Cecil, who's crying (not Ed). Just to keep
you from re-writing history, Cecil.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -7510423080049576810
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:30:05 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E7641.F3BDD891@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:28:17 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1E6DCD.5E7F384F@IEEE.org> <9flru2$8db$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991851695 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 13:21:35 -0500)
Lines: 41
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23368

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >Oh? Is that why 3 pages of study guide accompanied the entrance
> >exam of the 50s and why 10 pages of study guide accompanied the
> >exam that granted 100% of all amateur operating privileges in
> >the 50s?
>
> Yes. Novice then as now was a give away, Ed. And you needed more
> than a license guide above that class.

I'm not Ed, Vince, and the 50 cent ARRL License Manual was *ALL* I had to study for my conditional exam in 1952. The ARRL preface in the manual said the manual was all I needed. I believed them and they were right. I didn't buy an ARRL Handbook until four years later.

> >Amateur Radio is not the only thing being deregulated.
>
> Just because everything's being deregulated is no reason for
> 'Amateur Radio' to go crazy with it.

It's a fact of life, Vince. It's happening with or without your permission or acceptance. You really need to come to terms with reality.

> >So you must stop relying on the FCC to shape the ARS and get off
> >your lazy butt and do it for yourself. Bitching, moaning, and
> >whining ain't gonna get it done, Vince.

>
> Stop crying to me, Ed. It's my job to state the facts, which you don't dispute, just to keep them in perspective.

If you saw Ed and I side by side, Vince, you wouldn't get us mixed up. He's lots better looking than I am. :-)

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4693288092062372901
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:30:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 18:30:58 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9flst2$96t$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B1E7411.7EAF6841@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991852258 9437 149.174.88.240 (6 Jun 2001 18:30:58 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 18:30:58 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23369

>How about the 10-page mail order conditional license study guide, 5 pages of which were rules and regulations, which granted *ALL* amateur privileges to an ignorant 14 year old?

If you're talking about the Conditional General back in the 50s/60s, Cecil, it's common knowledge that FCC hated to give those things at a distance: they didn't want any hanky-panky, or the mere suggestion of it. And that leads to the second generally acknowledged point that gaining a Conditional General was tougher than gaining the General Class, i.e., it was a tougher exam.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From 2599695330189230135
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:41:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 18:41:32 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9fltgs$96t$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B1E7641.F3BDD891@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991852892 9437 149.174.88.240 (6 Jun 2001 18:41:32 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 18:41:32 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23370

>I'm not Ed, Vince,

Acknowledged.

>and the 50 cent ARRL License Manual was *ALL* I had to study for
>my conditional exam in 1952.

So you claim. Never proved.

>The ARRL preface in the manual said the manual was all I needed.

Manual referred to scope only. As pointed out over the ages.

>I believed them and they were right. I didn't buy an ARRL
>Handbook until four years later.

They were wrong. Who said you learned all ONLY from the Handbook?

>It's a fact of life, Vince. It's happening with or without your
>permission or acceptance. You really need to come to terms with
>reality.

What's reality is that you agree you accept mediocrity, Cecil.

Regards, Vince, WB2EZG

From 9950473284881233333
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:43:37 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E7960.8F46305E@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:41:36 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1DAB5A.BF6A6270@IEEE.org> <9fl5ai$iak$2@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991852494 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 13:34:54 -0500)
Lines: 28
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23371

Vincent Biancomano wrote:
>
> >Is the FCC in on the scam?
>
> The FCC was in on reducing requirements across the board,
> Cecil, code AND theory. As quite well stated by former ARRL
> president Vic Clark almost 20 years ago, and as culminated in
> FCC's recent R&O. Extremely easy to understand, Cecil.

I'm not up on the latest, Vince, but the 1957 ARRL License Manual contained 25 pages of study material for the Extra exam. When I took the Extra exam in early 2000, the ARRL Extra Class License Manual was 359 pages. An increase of 1400% in the number of pages in the license manual doesn't sound like much of a reduction in requirements, Vince. I'm not convinced that the theory requirements have been reduced at all. For instance, there were absolutely no questions on transistors, digital circuitry, op-amps, or Smith Charts on the 1957 Extra exam. Did Vic Clark predict that?

Regarding the code requirements, they are back where they started. Isn't returning to the past what you want?
--

cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4816553043821075744
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:47:55 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E7A6D.20133AE@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:46:05 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <9fg5fi$3be$2@208.207.71.171> <20010605063122.24495.00000768@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3b1d83bc.123659649@news2.dvol.com> <3B1DAB5A.BF6A6270@IEEE.org> <3b1db910.137311801@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991852764 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 13:39:24 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23372

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:

> As if the FCC could possibly care about whether or not yer pore
> deprived codeless PhD buddy could get a 5wpm HF ham ticket so that he
> could sit around the campfire weaving electronics stories and "upgrade
> ham radio". Whatta knee-slapper.

Dr. Williamson, PhD in EE, is getting by just fine without an HF license, Brian. Thank goodness, being a nocoder doesn't prohibit him from sharing his electronics wisdom around a campfire. Consider what the world would be like if all the nocoders, like Einstein, were prohibited from sharing

their knowledge with the rest of the world.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4963727723217172104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:55:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.compuserve.com!news-master.compuserve.com!not-for-mail
From: Vincent Biancomano <103520.355@CompuServe.COM>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 6 Jun 2001 18:55:45 GMT
Organization: CompuServe, Inc. (1-800-689-0736)
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9flubh$kq4$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
References: <3B1E7960.8F46305E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: mhdzp.production.compuserve.com
X-Trace: sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com 991853745 21316 149.174.88.240 (6 Jun 2001 18:55:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: newsmaster@compuserve.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 6 Jun 2001 18:55:45 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23373

>I'm not up on the latest, Vince, but the 1957 ARRL License
>Manual contained 25 pages of study material for the Extra exam.
>When I took the Extra exam in early 2000, the ARRL Extra Class
>License Manual was 359 pages. An increase of 1400% in the number
>of pages in the license manual doesn't sound like much of a
>reduction in requirements, Vince. I'm not convinced that the
>theory requirements have been reduced at all. For instance,
>there were absolutely no questions on transistors, digital
>circuitry, op-amps, or Smith Charts on the 1957 Extra exam. Did
>Vic Clark predict that?

Doesn't matter how many pages, Cecil. When the exact q and a's
that will appear on a test are known beforehand, we no longer
have an exam, by definition.

>Regarding the code requirements, they are back where they
>started. Isn't returning to the past what you want?

Knowing the basics of communications and theory is what we strive
for, Cecil. A rare commodity for the typical Amateur today.

Regards,
Vince, WB2EZG

From -5840428758575249132
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 11:57:01 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E7C8D.5D2C6A24@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 13:55:09 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1CDDAD.C5FFD55F@IEEE.org> <20010606065407.02679.00001002@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991853307 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 13:48:27 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23374

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Larry, you left out having to crawl five miles uphill both ways
> >through the snow on your hands and knees to get to the FCC office.
>
> I literally had to run uphill to my FCC test in Boston in the 70s -- my car had
> been towed near the common and I had to hoof it. Doesn't that count?

Did you tell the FCC that you were a criminal?

> I missed the 20 wpm code test, so I had to settle for an Advanced. Shouldn't
> the FCC have given me the Extra for working so hard to get there? :-)

You should've been with me, Ed. I was drunk as a skunk stumbling around San Francisco with my girlfriend when I saw the federal building. On a whim, I took the advanced exam and passed. To this day, I have no idea what was on that exam.

--
cheers (literally), Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7790772311696531106
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:02:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B1E7DE8.7367B9F2@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 06 Jun 2001 14:00:56 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <TvMS6.3716$v4.175603@www.newsranger.com>
<9fjl8r$4fm$1@news.hawaii.edu> <AueT6.288$bA3.13462@www.newsranger.com>
<9fkcib$6ni$0@208.206.142.84>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.127
X-Trace: corp 991853654 64.240.47.127 (6 Jun 2001 13:54:14 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23375
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Let's face it - Only the real hams are Morse code literate.

And of course, you, with your self-proclaimed omniscience, are the

One individual on earth authorized by God to define, "real ham".
There, there, Dick, of course you are. (Somebody get the net!)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5554289284134017233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:03:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 19:02:28 GMT
References: <3B1DAAF2.35E159FB@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606150228.12767.00001432@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23376

>You mean the ones who support the Morse code affirmative action program?
>

Whats the difference written test is even a Bigger Joke

From -4952033711850446598
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-06 12:09:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 06 Jun 2001 19:08:28 GMT
References: <20010606063913.02679.00000997@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010606150828.12767.00001433@ng-cg1.aol.com>

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23377

>I absolutely disagree,

Ed take the time to ask them, its common knowledge, rather you want to beleive it or not, take your head out of the Sand.

```
From -4989591955761301517
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 08:56:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 7 Jun 2001 15:56:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <9fo86k$bnt$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <20010606203455.28252.00006723@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010607054202.13725.00000229@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23478
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >Do you think there's any possibility that I, Larry Roll, K3LT,
> >even if technically the best qualified candidate for the position,
> >could get a job with the ARRL as editorial director of QST?
>
> Absolutely not. The position requires someone who can deal with HQ staff and
> outside people without using a virtually non-stop barrage of insults and
> innuendo. I am afraid that your track record on rrap would convince anyone who
> wanted to hire you that you are unsuitable for a position that deals with
> people.
>
> As I had openings in the Lab, I looked to see if any of the candidates had done
> posts to newsgroups. I cared not one whit about which side of the code-test
> fence they were on, but would have evaluated the way they expressed their
> views. Not everyone in the Lab agrees with my personal view on code testing,
> Larry, nor do I care if they do.

>
> Just as a counter example, I can think of one very vocal NCTA who no longer
> posts on this forum that I would not hire for the same reason as I would not
> hire you, although he did manage to get a grip on his emotions and at least try
> to be more productive in his postings.
>
> Does that help?

It merely formalizes that which we already knew. You either kiss up to
the NCTA, disregarding their cheap shots at code supporters, and toe the
HQ accepted line WRT code and code testing, or you're persona-non-grata
at HQ.
Your presense might 'alienate' a no-coder and "*force*" him away from
plunking down his money on a membership. Got it, Larry?

```
From -1307096204732697653
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 08:58:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 7 Jun 2001 15:58:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9fo8b3$bnt$1@208.206.142.100>
References: <20010606203456.28252.00006727@nso-mq.aol.com>
<20010607054536.13725.00000231@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23479
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
> >There is no requirement for me to be able to send/receive faster than
> >30 WPM, so I'm going to whine anytime I darn please!
>
> There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5 wpm,
> either...
>

Hey, there's no requirement for anyone to send at all! And no requirement to copyeither, if you can find some VE who will certify that you did.

Good luck on this one, and all future similiar ones, now and then.

```
From -6569814441250450455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 09:04:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 7 Jun 2001 16:04:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <9fo8me$cvf$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <20010607054432.13725.00000230@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010607091043.14608.00005752@ng-md1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23480
```

WA8ULX wrote:

>
> >but to you, it is all CB, so none is the
> >difference. :-)
>
> So true anymore ED, its all CB, its also good to see the ARRL is now wanting
> to join forces with them, I guess they figure they can sell more T-Shirts to
> them, by the way Ed whats next with the ARRL, besides there dorky clothing
> line, maybe FUN MEALS at Macs with a new License attached.

Hey, whatta great marketing idea! One - each Nocode Tech license in each McDonald's Happy Meal! Sure to draw the kids interest!
"What's this, Gramma? What's a ham radio license? Oh well, just some more trash, toss it with the rest!"

```
From 1076610050213496090
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 09:14:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 7 Jun 2001 16:14:48 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9fo99o$eh5$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org> <3B1F070F.F35AEEE4@earthlink.net> <3B1F88D8.B18DDF57@IEEE.org> <3B1F865B.2ED39243@earthlink.net> <3B1F96E0.36002ACE@IEEE.org> <OaNT6.2419$bA3.119030@www.newsranger.com> <3B1FA26B.E1DE9995@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23481

CAM wrote:
>
> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > On Thu, 07 Jun 2001 09:59:44 -0500, CAM says:
> >
> > >He is very outspoken, Dave, but he wouldn't waste his time on a purely
> > >nonsense newsgroup like this one.
> >
> > My 4-year-old grand-daughter has an imaginary playmate named Chippy.
> >
> > I think Dr. Williamson may be Cecil's imaginary playmate.
>
> Nope, he's just the only nocode tech PhD/EE that I know.

As far as the good Dr. himself is concerned, if he has no interest in HF ham radio, and is perfectly content to remain a nocode Tech, that is indeed his privilege and right, and never would I consider him to be an underachiever.
You, on the other hand, have bitched nonstop about the code test from the first time I read rrap, and continue to do so in the name of Dr. Williamson, thus dragging him down to your own personal underachiever level.

If I was him I'd consider you as a former friend.

```
From 8900479749179142072
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 09:44:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 07 Jun 2001 16:44:18 GMT
References: <9fo86k$bnt$0@208.206.142.100>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010607124418.19072.00001965@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23482

>It merely formalizes that which we already knew. You either kiss up to
>the NCTA, disregarding their cheap shots at code supporters, and toe the
>HQ accepted line WRT code and code testing, or you're persona-non-grata
>at HQ.
>Your presense might 'alienate' a no-coder and "*force*" him away from
>plunking down his money on a membership. Got it, Larry?
>

  Who would want to belong anyway, NoCoders hate them, Pro Coders hate them,
they no longer provide leadership, and most people dont trust them.
 Just look now they have gotten into selling clothes.


From 6555993715144864283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,772a96566bbade1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,772a96566bbade1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 10:41:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp-relay.ihug.net!
ihug.co.nz!nntp3.cerf.net!eris.es.hac.com!not-for-mail
From: Don Putnick <dputnick@alumni.caltech.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: LA Times CW romance story
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 10:39:53 -0700
Organization: Caltech Alumni Association
Lines: 1
Message-ID: <3B1FBC69.9650A211@alumni.caltech.edu>
NNTP-Posting-Host: x-147-20-119-71.es.hac.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
```

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: eris.es.hac.com 991935600 27978 147.20.119.71 (7 Jun 2001 17:40:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: complaints@eris.es.hac.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2001 17:40:00 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (WinNT; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:16320
rec.radio.amateur.policy:23483

http://www.latimes.com/news/nation/20010607/t000047471.html

From 3560538523619737451
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 10:43:08 PST
Message-ID: <3B1FBCC7.6EFC02DB@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 12:41:27 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org>
<3B1F070F.F35AEEE4@earthlink.net> <3B1F88D8.B18DDF57@IEEE.org>
<3B1F865B.2ED39243@earthlink.net> <3B1F96E0.36002ACE@IEEE.org>
<OaNT6.2419$bA3.119030@www.newsranger.com> <3B1FA26B.E1DE9995@IEEE.org>
<9fo99o$eh5$0@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.78
X-Trace: corp 991935283 64.240.47.78 (7 Jun 2001 12:34:43 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23484

Dick Carroll wrote:
> You, on the other hand, have bitched nonstop about the code test from

> the first time I read rrap, and continue to do so in the name of Dr.
> Williamson, thus dragging him down to your own personal underachiever
> level.

Sorry, Dick, mature adults know it is impossible to drag someone else
down. That's just an immature wet dream of yours.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6058286490200958050
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 11:17:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!hardy.tc.umn.edu!news.orst.edu!vnet.OregonVOS.net!compass.oregonvos.net!dhassler
From: "Dave H. Hassler" <dhassler@OregonVOS.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2001 11:13:50 -0700
Organization: Oregon VOS
Lines: 77
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.3.96.1010607103941.29209A-100000@compass.oregonvos.net>
References: <9fmhdi$3ib$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<20010607055440.13725.00000232@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: compass.oregonvos.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
X-Trace: vnet.oregonvos.net 991938534 16823 159.121.170.2 (7 Jun 2001 18:28:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: support@OregonVOS.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Jun 2001 18:28:54 GMT
In-Reply-To: <20010607055440.13725.00000232@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23485

On 7 Jun 2001, W1RFI wrote:

> >From: Vincent Biancomano 103520.355@CompuServe.COM
>
> >>It was intended to attract 13-year old kids into ham radio, and
> >>it worked for me. The present "entry-level" exam is so much
> >>harder that we are not getting a lot of 13-year olds.
>
> >Dream on, Ed. We're not getting 13-year olds for a lot of
> >reasons. The one you mention ain't even in the running.
>
> I disagree. When you hand a 13-year old kid a 4-page study guide, it is likely

> he or she will go through it and take the test. When you hand that same kid a
> 200-page book and tell him or her that this is what needed to be a beginner in
> ham radio, it is not nearly so likely.
>
> And when you then tell the same teenager that the 200-page book is really
> dumbed down from the 4 pages that hams used to have to study, you have put the
> final nail in the coffin of that kid's interest in ham radio.

I couldn't agree more, Ed. I coach and tutor HS kids (volleyball, English and social science) and those kids think that a 150-page paperback is a huge reading assignment! When I was in Boy Scouts, ham radio was introduced to us as something fun and exciting; listening to a local ham's gear and hearing him transmit was so cool. Plus, it seemed that a Novice ticket was definitely something within our reach as 12-14 year olds. I know that even the Conditional seemed possible.

Perhaps, if ARS hopes to recruit young members to its ranks -- swing the pendulum back a bit (how's that for keeping on thread!) -- perhaps we need to revive the Novice license. Maybe not as it used to exist, maybe exactly as it existed. I know the thrill and possibility of talking to someone across the country or world was most likely a lot more exciting to a kid in 1978 (*I* was excited) than it is today (what with kids having cell phones, pagers, the i-net, etc, etc). But I think that a limited, short-time Novice ticket, rather than the current no-code Tech license, might draw more youngsters into the service/hobby/art.
Plus, give incentive to advance in skill and knowledge.

Give 'em a 30- or 40-page booklet and CD-ROM/cassette tape that will have everything in it a novice would need: basic theory (electronics, radio, propogation), advice for learning code, sound files, FCC rules, *history* of ham radio, some very simple construction projects, description of modes, some freeware programs. *And a bibliography*, so they can find out more on their own, both a book and cyber list. Plus, contacts of major clubs in every state. But above all, keep that booklet under 50 pages.

Thoughts?

73,
Dave - kd7mpd

```
From -3785419324514144259
X-Google-Language: ChineseT,BIG5
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ef0732bcb45b60b1,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 14:00:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!newsone.net!
newsone.net!not-for-mail
From: K0HB@arrl.org
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: =?Big5?B?uNu4db3StXuxwLxzrfs=?=
Followup-To: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 7 Jun 2001 20:45:46 GMT
Organization: NewsOne.Net - Free Usenet News via the Web - http://newsone.net/
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9fop5q$ad6$1@news.netmar.com>
References: <9fo9l7$4qd11106@rain.i-cable.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: news.netmar.com
X-Trace: news.netmar.com 991946746 10662 205.139.138.14 (7 Jun 2001 20:45:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsone.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 7 Jun 2001 20:45:46 GMT
X-PNG: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-MCC: info@itma-uk.org
X-NewsOnePostHost: fwvext2.adc.com
X-NewsOnePostAddr: 155.226.255.42
X-NOTrace: KPDLCLHLAMIBHBBCKAOLIMDKFICCBCKAPMOLANGK
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23486

In article <9fo9l7$4qd11106@rain.i-cable.com>,
=?Big5?B?sU23fr7Ht3ytXrDqpGq+xw==?=  <info@itma-uk.org> writes:
>�M�~�ϸ|���^��j��
>�ʌu�ҵ{���s��A�����YH�W�{��
>������������~�ιw����i
>�����u�p�A���N�йq�����������������
>Sunny Cheung ��
>______________________________________________________________
>This message posted with trial version of Express News Poster

The trial failed.
```

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

```
From -4366004593731018969
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,772a96566bbade1
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,772a96566bbade1
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 15:01:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!
SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.61
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Thu, 7 Jun 2001 16:51:50 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC8090251CD@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <3B1FBC69.9650A211@alumni.caltech.edu>
Subject: Re: LA Times CW romance story
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6700
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:16321
rec.radio.amateur.policy:23487
```

OK, I'll bite...

There is no Jack Campbell, from Ohio, listed on QRZ.   He is no ham but knows code. This illustrates that "no ham = no code" is false. Commutatively, this proves the falseness of the assertion: "no code = no ham".

;-)

"Don Putnick" <dputnick@alumni.caltech.edu> wrote in message
news:3B1FBC69.9650A211@alumni.caltech.edu...

> http://www.latimes.com/news/nation/20010607/t000047471.html

```
From -5600593299801589175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 18:50:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 01:50:08 GMT
References: <9fo8b3$bnt$1@208.206.142.100>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010607215008.22762.00003279@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23488

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> And no requirement to copyeither, if you can find some VE who will certify
> that you did.

The only VEs I know of that certified that someone copied code when they didn't found themselves in some amount of hot water. Do you know of any others?

>Good luck on this one, and all future similiar ones, now and then.

Can you explain what this means?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6844463298583406404
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 18:51:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 01:51:25 GMT
References: <20010607091043.14608.00005752@ng-md1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010607215125.22762.00003280@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23489

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>by the way Ed whats next with the ARRL, besides there dorky clothing
>line, maybe FUN MEALS at Macs with a new License attached.

You are being ridiculous. Perhaps we can engage in conversation when you have something meaningful to say.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 1290574712435695679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 19:39:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B202C4F.3763AF88@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org>
<3B1F070F.F35AEEE4@earthlink.net> <3B1F88D8.B18DDF57@IEEE.org>
<3B1F865B.2ED39243@earthlink.net> <3B1F96E0.36002ACE@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 02:39:38 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.247
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991967978 63.17.223.247 (Thu, 07 Jun
2001 19:39:38 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 19:39:38 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 19:37:41 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23490
```

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > You're allowed to speak about anything you like. Being allowed doesn't
> > make it mandatory to do so. You've regaled us with tales of the
> > wonderous "doctor" for years. Why not let him speak for himself?
>
> He is very outspoken, Dave, but he wouldn't waste his time on a purely
> nonsense newsgroup like this one.

I probably don't have much time for him either.

> > I could fill you in on my friend Herman Peaquist (not his real name)
> > who lettered in four sports, won the Silver Star during the Second World
> > War and who aced his Extra Class morse and theory exams back in the 60s.
>
> Please do.

I think I just did.

Dave K8MN

From -5511764275857252769
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 19:42:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3B202D0E.E790E78D@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org>
<3B1F070F.F35AEEE4@earthlink.net> <3B1F88D8.B18DDF57@IEEE.org>
<3B1F865B.2ED39243@earthlink.net> <3B1F96E0.36002ACE@IEEE.org>
<OaNT6.2419$bA3.119030@www.newsranger.com> <3B1FA26B.E1DE9995@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 20
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 02:42:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.247
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991968164 63.17.223.247 (Thu, 07 Jun
2001 19:42:44 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 19:42:44 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 19:40:46 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23491

CAM wrote:
>
> K0HB wrote:
> >

> > On Thu, 07 Jun 2001 09:59:44 -0500, CAM says:
> >
> > >He is very outspoken, Dave, but he wouldn't waste his time on a purely
> > >nonsense newsgroup like this one.
> >
> > My 4-year-old grand-daughter has an imaginary playmate named Chippy.
> >
> > I think Dr. Williamson may be Cecil's imaginary playmate.
>
> Nope, he's just the only nocode tech PhD/EE that I know.

I think I know just the crowd for him. I worked with a few PhD types in
West Africa who would make great pals for him. They could sit around
and call each other "doctor"--maybe even spin Mensa yarns.

Dave K8MN

From -4413662651901964514
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 19:45:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 02:44:47 GMT
References: <9fm16e$ljc$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Message-ID: <20010607224447.03759.00004607@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23492

In article <9fm16e$ljc$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
writes:

>
>>Fact: The government of Japan has issued licenses to almost 3 million
>>"Fourth Class" licensees.
>
>Many of us tend to think of that 10W license as a CB ticket on
>steroids.

More like a CB ticket on a strong antihistimine.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -7659042626871441146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 19:45:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 02:44:47 GMT
References: <3B1E7DE8.7367B9F2@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Message-ID: <20010607224447.03759.00004606@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23494

In article <3B1E7DE8.7367B9F2@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Let's face it - Only the real hams are Morse code literate.
>
>And of course, you, with your self-proclaimed omniscience, are the
>One individual on earth authorized by God to define, "real ham".
>There, there, Dick, of course you are. (Somebody get the net!)

Ahhhh, excuse me, but when the heck did *I* die and leave Dick in
charge of the definition of "Real Ham?"

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4583851733087971751
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 19:45:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 02:44:46 GMT
References: <20010606065102.02679.00001001@ng-ff1.aol.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Message-ID: <20010607224446.03759.00004605@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23493

In article <20010606065102.02679.00001001@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>Jeffrey Herman says...
>
>>Why do you keep editing out the line that said: "I wrote this
>>a few years ago..."???
>
>If we are not allowed to repost what we wrote a few years ago, this newsgroup
>would be virtually empty.
>

Don't look now, but I never repost *anything* I wrote years ago! I
type it fresh, each and every time!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3276545350488464168
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 19:45:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 02:44:46 GMT
References: <cTqT6.1026$bA3.41195@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010607224446.03759.00004604@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23495

In article <cTqT6.1026$bA3.41195@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
writes:

>
>On 6 Jun 2001 14:02:47 GMT, Dick Carroll says:
>
>> Only those who demand the privileges without meeting the qualifications

```
>> are the underachievers.
>
>You need to go to Funk & Wagnalls porch and pick up a dictionary.
>
```

Hansl:

CorrecKt. Dick put the verb/predicate before the subject. It should
read, "The underachievers are those who demand the privileges
without meeting the qualifications." The word "only" is superfluous.
The problem is, in today's semi-literate society, Dick's original
sentence would probably pass muster in any high school English
class!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 5722078676455167776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 19:54:56 PST
Message-ID: <3B203E16.4AC63AB0@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 21:53:10 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-
ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org>
<3B1F070F.F35AEEE4@earthlink.net> <3B1F88D8.B18DDF57@IEEE.org>
<3B1F865B.2ED39243@earthlink.net> <3B1F96E0.36002ACE@IEEE.org>
<OaNT6.2419$bA3.119030@www.newsranger.com> <3B1FA26B.E1DE9995@IEEE.org>
<3B202D0E.E790E78D@earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.137
X-Trace: corp 991968387 64.240.47.137 (7 Jun 2001 21:46:27 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
```

feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23496

Dave Heil wrote:
> I think I know just the crowd for him. I worked with a few PhD types in
> West Africa who would make great pals for him. They could sit around
> and call each other "doctor"--maybe even spin Mensa yarns.

He doesn't call himself "doctor". He looks like a gold prospector,
complete with long hair, beard, and a black powder six shooter.
He wouldn't be caught dead with a bunch of MENSA nerds.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5646748744396733886
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 19:57:01 PST
Message-ID: <3B203E97.342BCE17@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 21:55:19 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
References: <3B1E7DE8.7367B9F2@IEEE.org> <20010607224447.03759.00004606@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.137
X-Trace: corp 991968515 64.240.47.137 (7 Jun 2001 21:48:35 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23497

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> Let's face it - Only the real hams are Morse code literate.
> >
> >And of course, you, with your self-proclaimed omniscience, are the
> >One individual on earth authorized by God to define, "real ham".
> >There, there, Dick, of course you are. (Somebody get the net!)
>
> Ahhhh, excuse me, but when the heck did *I* die and leave Dick in
> charge of the definition of "Real Ham?"

Make that two nets.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3966480236836231667
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 20:05:26 PST
Message-ID: <3B204087.BA3374F1@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 22:03:35 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HHhhroRe: Puke.
References: <9evemc$6cf$0@208.206.142.102> <20010529034438.08421.00001644@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9f0a7e$qk6$0@208.206.142.109>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.137
X-Trace: corp 991969013 64.240.47.137 (7 Jun 2001 21:56:53 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23498

Dick Carroll wrote:
> The issue I am looking at is May 1994. I'll have to dig up the later
> one with the ham/freebander's bitch column in it and quote some of it
> for you. Naw, find it for yourself, you'd just say I was dreaming it up.

I just thumbed through my latest QST and didn't find anything even
remotely resembling a "ham/freebander's bitch column".

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1179976973325805803
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1210c8b4ea9df34d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 20:10:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news2.rdc2.tx.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <393F0E69.40A89232@home.com>
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound attenuation/Siren placement
References: <393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com> <3B1D8FBB.7E42DBBD@uci.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 27
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 03:10:12 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.7.30.169
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news2.rdc2.tx.home.com 991969812 24.7.30.169 (Thu, 07 Jun 2001 20:10:12 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 20:10:12 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23499

The rated sound at the sight is 128 db and it is mounted on average 50 feet
above grade.

Jim Kelley wrote:

> Hi Gary,
>

> I can get an answer to this, but would first have to know how loud the
> siren is at a given distance (with no wind).
>
> 73, Jim AC6XG
>
> Gary Danaher wrote:
> >
> > I'm posting this question here because it is likely to be read by the
> > largest number of knowledgable individuals. We live in an area subject
> > to tornado activity and the local sirens are set up apparently with the
> > recommendation of the manufacturer to cover a radius of roughly 1 mile.
> > The sirens are tested monthly in fair weather and the warning sounds are
> > heard adequately, but the question has arisen regarding the affect of
> > wind speed and wind noise on the ability of people to hear the siren.
> > Presuming a strong outflow gust of 50 knots blowing away from the
> > observer and towards the siren, the sound would be substantially
> > attenuated, but to what extent, and how could future placement of
> > additional sirens assist the situation? Surely there is an expert out
> > there who has given some thought or research to this??

From -2004363670268370514
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1210c8b4ea9df34d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 20:11:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news2.rdc2.tx.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <393F0E9D.264660A8@home.com>
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound attenuation/Siren placement
References: <393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com>
<Pine.LNX.4.10.10106060421491.24781-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 22
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 03:11:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.7.30.169
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news2.rdc2.tx.home.com 991969865 24.7.30.169 (Thu, 07 Jun 2001 20:11:05
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 20:11:05 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23500

The next siren in this case in in the adjoining city and somewhat unreliable.

"D. Stussy" wrote:

> On Wed, 6 Jun 2001, Gary Danaher wrote:
> > I'm posting this question here because it is likely to be read by the
> > largest number of knowledgable individuals. We live in an area subject
> > to tornado activity and the local sirens are set up apparently with the
> > recommendation of the manufacturer to cover a radius of roughly 1 mile.
> > The sirens are tested monthly in fair weather and the warning sounds are
> > heard adequately, but the question has arisen regarding the affect of
> > wind speed and wind noise on the ability of people to hear the siren.
> > Presuming a strong outflow gust of 50 knots blowing away from the
> > observer and towards the siren, the sound would be substantially
> > attenuated, but to what extent, and how could future placement of
> > additional sirens assist the situation? Surely there is an expert out
> > there who has given some thought or research to this??
>
> ...What about the next siren in the plan, especially the one UPWIND and behind
> the observer? Any situation is going to have more than one, spaced at two mile
> intervals or less.

```
From 6179389705386538189
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 20:14:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 23:16:01 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <3B204358.63B73AE3@sccoast.net>
References: <9eqlsl$7s$0@208.207.71.176> <20010527083220.04987.00001641@ng-
fy1.aol.com> <9es3b6$kgv$3@208.207.71.139> <3B11CDD6.616AFE84@sccoast.net>
<3B135EA8.EB0C6605@sccoast.net> <2tJQ6.50140$t12.3903538@bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <3B1471B0.2954A6F0@sccoast.net>
<vF_Q6.52037$t12.4072227@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<3B16F120.DE98D6E3@sccoast.net> <3b179aa8.52713172@news2.dvol.com>
<3B19FC9C.F7BD6C23@sccoast.net> <9fdj8g$jh8$1@208.207.71.166>
<3B1D8EA4.50A3A543@sccoast.net> <9fkdgp$96b$1@208.206.142.84>
<3B1E8453.B2580AC1@sccoast.net> <3B1E8BE9.3766CEF5@IEEE.org>
<3B1F1A5A.BA632512@sccoast.net> <3B1F8D21.D9026D7@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991970090 497894 207.144.227.155 (8 Jun 2001 03:14:50
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 8 Jun 2001 03:14:50 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23501
```

CAM wrote:

>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> > Are my messages coming across differently
> > than my intent, Cecil?
>
> Dick has a set of Tarot cards with which he
> can determine your ulterior motives and hidden
> agenda no matter what you actually say in your
> postings. He often uses those Tarot cards on
> Ed and me.

Has he been talking to that black lady on the commercials (the one with the Tarot cards)? If so, I wonder what secrets the Tarot cards have revealed about me (boy, lets not go there)?

However, if someone really wants to find out some interesting radio related stuff about me, they probably should talk to that guy on the sci-fi channel (the one who talks to the dead). Since my father knew more about electronics and radio, he could probably provide some really interesting stuff about me. He always did hate the way I soldered.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From 3698083803308422188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,1210c8b4ea9df34d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 20:14:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news2.rdc2.tx.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <393F0F86.F24674DA@home.com>
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Sound attenuation/Siren placement
References: <393C432D.CC16C0EF@home.com> <9fm2gv$mqi$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 43
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 03:14:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.7.30.169
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news2.rdc2.tx.home.com 991970098 24.7.30.169 (Thu, 07 Jun 2001 20:14:58 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 20:14:58 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23502

The sirens are rated at 128 db at the site, and are mounted roughly 50 feet above grade. The topo is flat to slightly rolling with mature desiduous trees. Nothing has been repainted and there are screens installed to prevent birds from nesting. Would appreciate any input. I'm thinking that this is something not well covered in the research.
Gary
AB5RM

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com> wrote:
> >I'm posting this question here because it is likely to be read by the
> >largest number of knowledgable individuals. We live in an area subject
> >to tornado activity and the local sirens are set up apparently with the
> >recommendation of the manufacturer to cover a radius of roughly 1 mile.
> >The sirens are tested monthly in fair weather and the warning sounds are
> >heard adequately, but the question has arisen regarding the affect of
> >wind speed and wind noise on the ability of people to hear the siren.
> >Presuming a strong outflow gust of 50 knots blowing away from the
> >observer and towards the siren, the sound would be substantially
> >attenuated, but to what extent, and how could future placement of
> >additional sirens assist the situation? Surely there is an expert out
> >there who has given some thought or research to this??
>
> Gary, what make and model are your sirens? What is their decibel
> rating at 100 feet? (The specs sheets should contain this info.)
> What is their height above ground? Are there any obstructions
> (buildings, foliage) nearby. Did your city/county contract with
> the manufacturer for installation or did a local contractor install
> them? Are the air intakes routinely check for bird nests, leaves,
> or other obstructions? Have the intake screens been painted,
> thereby reducing the air flow to the rotors?
>
> My dad's company used to manufacture a line of "air raid" sirens
> many decades ago, and I've also been a volunteer for the county
> civil defense here for 15 years, so motor-driven sirens are
> something I know a little about. I'm also forwarding your note to
> our CD communications officer -- he's the one who activates our
> 150 island/county sirens monthly.
>
> Jeff KH6O

From -1766830214841600413
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 20:16:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 03:15:44 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23503

In the latest E-Mail ARRL sent out they are praising a CBER for using CB, I thought there little Tag Line at the Top of QST said: "The National Association for Amateur Radio", maybe they need to change it to" The National Association for Amateur Radio and CBers."

From 2833992637089999749
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 20:37:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 23:39:05 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3B2048BA.77BE374A@sccoast.net>
References: <3B1DA875.EBF36BA3@sccoast.net> <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991971473 496389 207.144.227.155 (8 Jun 2001 03:37:53 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 8 Jun 2001 03:37:53 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23504

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Don't look now, but my friends and I
> aren't "whining" about CW!

Larry, you've written more about the code testing issue than anyone else I can think of in this newsgroup. I know for a fact that you have written far more than I've written on the subject. In fact, it would not really surprise me to learn that you have written more on the subject than I have on everything I've ever discussed in these newsgroups combined. In other words, my friend, when it comes to whining about CW (testing, etc), you are the undisputed world champion.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 2980593767532732421
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 20:56:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 23:57:54 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3B204D1F.5A564CF6@sccoast.net>
References: <3B1F106D.421771E6@IEEE.org> <20010607090323.14608.00005749@ng-md1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991972600 497450 207.144.227.155 (8 Jun 2001 03:56:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 8 Jun 2001 03:56:40 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23505

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Plus five additional errors, Dick
>
> Im sure glad you guys are Spelling
> experts, Damn Shame you dont know
> anything about Ham Radio

Given a choice, I'd rather know more about spelling and grammar.
With those skills, ham radio itself is much easier, as is most
everything else in life.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 243084714961516197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 21:15:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 00:16:23 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <3B205171.1E564E9F@sccoast.net>
References: <9fmhdi$3ib$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<20010607055440.13725.00000232@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<Pine.SUN.3.96.1010607103941.29209A-100000@compass.oregonvos.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.227.155
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 991973709 500053 207.144.227.155 (8 Jun 2001 04:15:09 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 8 Jun 2001 04:15:09 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23506

"Dave H. Hassler" wrote:
>

> I couldn't agree more, Ed. I coach and tutor
> HS kids (volleyball, English and social science)
> and those kids think that a 150-page paperback
> is a huge reading assignment!

A teacher today actually hands out an assignment for high school kids to read a 150-page paperback? Boy, I bet that teacher is not very popular.

> Perhaps, if ARS hopes to recruit young members
> to its ranks -- swing the pendulum back a bit
> (how's that for keeping on thread!) -- perhaps
> we need to revive the Novice license.

I agree. But it needs to be a license truly designed for kids, not a license designed as an entry level license for adults like the old Novice was. This license should be packaged and targeted solely at kids in the age group we're seeking (with the interests of a kid that age featured).

> Give 'em a 30- or 40-page booklet and CD-ROM/
> cassette tape that will have everything in it
> a novice would need: basic theory (electronics,
> radio, propogation), advice for learning code,
> sound files, FCC rules, *history* of ham radio,
> some very simple construction projects,
> description of modes, some freeware programs.
> *And a bibliography*, so they can find out
> more on their own, both a book and cyber list.
> Plus, contacts of major clubs in every state.
> But above all, keep that booklet under 50 pages.

Hands on activities are important also. It is too bad that we can't figure out a way to include an electronics kit (like those at Radio Shack - with a stronger emphasis on basic radio) as part of the child's introduction into ham radio.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 1013780336200219791
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 22:40:07 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!news.hawaii.edu!jeffreyh
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 8 Jun 2001 05:34:00 GMT
Organization: University of Hawaii
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9fpo48$srb$1@news.hawaii.edu>
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<3B1C58B4.EBC1907B@IEEE.org> <9fjlv4$5cj$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B1DA5CC.F2A7249B@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: uhunix5.its.hawaii.edu
X-Trace: news.hawaii.edu 991978440 29547 128.171.44.55 (8 Jun 2001 05:34:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@hawaii.edu
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Jun 2001 05:34:00 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23507

CAM wrote:
>Jeff wrote:
>> CAM wrote:

>>>Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?

>>If they demand access to HF w/o having met the requirements, yes.

>If I demand an income tax refund but the government doesn't deliver,
>does that make me an underachiever?

If you demand a refund WITHOUT HAVING MET THE REQUIREMENTS, then
you're a crook (and an underachiever! :).

From -2605135647145239615
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 22:58:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!hardy.tc.umn.edu!news.orst.edu!vnet.OregonVOS.net!ppp1-
bend.oregonvos.net!user
From: dhassler@orednet.org (KD7MPD)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 22:49:57 -0700
Organization: Emiline Music
Lines: 62
Message-ID: <dhassler-0706012249570001@ppp1-bend.oregonvos.net>
References: <9fmhdi$3ib$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<20010607055440.13725.00000232@ng-fm1.aol.com>

<Pine.SUN.3.96.1010607103941.29209A-100000@compass.oregonvos.net>
<3B205171.1E564E9F@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: ppp1-bend.oregonvos.net
X-Trace: vnet.oregonvos.net 991980262 1275 159.121.217.3 (8 Jun 2001 06:04:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: support@OregonVOS.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Jun 2001 06:04:22 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23508

In article <3B205171.1E564E9F@sccoast.net>, stewartx@sccoast.net wrote:

>   A teacher today actually hands out an assignment for high school
> kids to read a 150-page paperback? Boy, I bet that teacher is not
> very popular.

You'd think it was worse than Hari-Kari! But you ought to see our Senior
Project here at Culver High (enrollment: 140) -- I'll lay my bottom dollar
on the proposition that the kids will see nothing like it in their first
two years of (state) college!   ;^)

> > Perhaps, if ARS hopes to recruit young members
> > to its ranks -- swing the pendulum back a bit
> > (how's that for keeping on thread!) -- perhaps
> > we need to revive the Novice license.
>
>   I agree. But it needs to be a license truly designed for kids, not
> a license designed as an entry level license for adults like the old
> Novice was. This license should be packaged and targeted solely at
> kids in the age group we're seeking (with the interests of a kid that
> age featured).

Definitely. I think amateur radio in the US and Canada should target
(read: market) itself toward the 11-14 year-old, both boys and girls.
Trust me: at about 15, they lose all interest in *everything* except the
opposite sex.   I don;t know about anyone else, but I'm willing to help
put such a curriculum together. I *love* my service/art/hobby, and I'm
willing to help advance it. While I may not be a technical whiz, I know
kids, and can help out there.

> > Give 'em a 30- or 40-page booklet and CD-ROM/
> > cassette tape that will have everything in it
> > a novice would need: basic theory (electronics,
> > radio, propogation), advice for learning code,
> > sound files, FCC rules, *history* of ham radio,
> > some very simple construction projects,
> > description of modes, some freeware programs.
> > *And a bibliography*, so they can find out
> > more on their own, both a book and cyber list.
> > Plus, contacts of major clubs in every state.
> > But above all, keep that booklet under 50 pages.
>
>
>   Hands on activities are important also. It is too bad that we can't
> figure out a way to include an electronics kit (like those at Radio

> Shack - with a stronger emphasis on basic radio) as part of the
> child's introduction into ham radio.

Maybe what you're proposing *is* possible. A "complete kit", of sorts. Add a real kit, like a very simple 60-30 meter SW receiver, to the package. Like the "old" Radio Shack SWL kit (I built one in '77 !!). A little plastic box with components that any adult could help with (the adult is a *big* component, imho), a study guide, and a CD and/or tape. The whole thing could be packaged for (as my used car salesman Dad would have said) the low-low price of $29.99. Dammit, I like it!   :^)   Hell, every Boy/Girl Scout group in the country should be sent these things free of charge by the ARRL. I'd pay double-dues for that.

> Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

very 73 de kd7mpd
Dave

From 8711301056841723587
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-07 23:12:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B205E9C.79E8287B@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1CD895.3D0D5F52@earthlink.net> <20010606070253.02679.00001005@ng-ff1.aol.com> <3B1E1FC7.30DD4A90@earthlink.net> <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org> <3B1F070F.F35AEEE4@earthlink.net> <3B1F88D8.B18DDF57@IEEE.org> <3B1F865B.2ED39243@earthlink.net> <3B1F96E0.36002ACE@IEEE.org> <OaNT6.2419$bA3.119030@www.newsranger.com> <3B1FA26B.E1DE9995@IEEE.org> <3B202D0E.E790E78D@earthlink.net> <3B203E16.4AC63AB0@IEEE.org>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 16
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 06:12:10 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.228
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 991980730 63.17.222.228 (Thu, 07 Jun 2001 23:12:10 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 23:12:10 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 07 Jun 2001 23:10:11 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23509

CAM wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > I think I know just the crowd for him. I worked with a few PhD types in
> > West Africa who would make great pals for him. They could sit around
> > and call each other "doctor"--maybe even spin Mensa yarns.
>
> He doesn't call himself "doctor". He looks like a gold prospector,
> complete with long hair, beard, and a black powder six shooter.
> He wouldn't be caught dead with a bunch of MENSA nerds.

Funny the ideas one gets from having another continually refer to one as "Doctor". I had the guy pegged for tweeds with elbow patches. Now you tell us that he looks like Yosemite Sam.

Dave K8MN

```
From -1562905970590369831
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 00:12:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 07:09:42 GMT
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010608030942.11309.00000465@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23510
```

>Subject: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/7/01 10:15 PM Central Daylight Time

QUOTE:

In the latest E-Mail ARRL sent out they are praising a CBER for using CB, I thought there little Tag Line at the Top of QST said: "The National Association for Amateur Radio", maybe they need to change it to" The National Association for Amateur Radio and CBers."

UNQUOTE

Perhaps there needs to BE an organization that can tie them all together? I doubt that either the ARRL nor REACT is that organization, but somewhere in the middle resides the answer.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -568834656706291152
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 03:07:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 10:06:39 GMT
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010608060639.09730.00003113@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23511

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>maybe they need to change it to" The National Association
>for Amateur Radio and CBers."

I disagree with you, Bruce. I believe ARRL should remain focused on Amateur Radio. However, you are welcome to be interested in CB if you wish to continue.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3489284514725271640
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 03:09:30 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 10:08:43 GMT
References: <3B204087.BA3374F1@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: HHhhroRe: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010608060843.09730.00003114@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23512

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>> The issue I am looking at is May 1994. I'll have to dig up the later
>> one with the ham/freebander's bitch column in it and quote some of it
>> for you. Naw, find it for yourself, you'd just say I was dreaming it up.

>I just thumbed through my latest QST and didn't find anything even
>remotely resembling a "ham/freebander's bitch column".

I didn't find it on the ARRL Web Extra, nor do I recall any discussions at HQ about such a column.

Dick, could you give us a more specific reference so we can all be sure what we are discussing here?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 372303934688618629
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 03:12:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 10:12:17 GMT
References: <3B205171.1E564E9F@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010608061217.09730.00003116@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23513

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>Hands on activities are important also. It is too bad that we can't
>figure out a way to include an electronics kit (like those at Radio
>Shack - with a stronger emphasis on basic radio) as part of the
>child's introduction into ham radio.

Many of those teaching newcomers do just that. What is on the tests does not limit us in how we help new hams become new hams.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8246862200752083886
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 03:16:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 10:16:05 GMT
References: <dhassler-0706012249570001@ppp1-bend.oregonvos.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010608061605.09730.00003117@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23514

From: dhassler@orednet.org (KD7MPD)

>I don;t know about anyone else, but I'm willing to help
>put such a curriculum together.

I am going to forward that offer to ARRL's Field and Educational Services Department. :-)

>The whole thing could be packaged for (as my used car salesman Dad would
>have said) the low-low price of $29.99. Dammit, I like it!   :^)   Hell,
>every Boy/Girl Scout group in the country should be sent these things free
>of charge by the ARRL. I'd pay double-dues for that.

Unfortunately, I bet if ARRL did that and doubled the dues, membership would take a big drop. Nor do I think it a good idea because many of those scouting groups would not have someone qualified to help build that single radio as a demonstration to the kids, so many (most?) would not be used.

If you want to donate one of those radios to a scouting troup, I am sure you

could find one locally that is interested, especially if you also offer to help
get the program going.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4617120299117249880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 03:19:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 10:19:22 GMT
References: <9fo86k$bnt$0@208.206.142.100>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010608061922.09730.00003118@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23515

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Just as a counter example, I can think of one very vocal NCTA who no longer
>> posts on this forum that I would not hire for the same reason as I would
>>not hire you, although he did manage to get a grip on his emotions and at
least
>> try to be more productive in his postings.

> It merely formalizes that which we already knew. You either kiss up to
>the NCTA, disregarding their cheap shots at code supporters, and toe the
>HQ accepted line WRT code and code testing, or you're persona-non-grata
>at HQ.
>Your presense might 'alienate' a no-coder and "*force*" him away from
>plunking down his money on a membership. Got it, Larry?

Help me out here, Dick. Are you saying that the years I spent arguing with that NCTA about his outrageous conduct on this forum is what proves that I "toe the line" or is it the fact that the NCTA decided to be less destructive in the way he conducted himself that proves that I "tow the line?" I just can't see any logic in what you said proves whatever point you are trying to make about my posts. I'm sorry to be a bit confused, but I can only respond to the words you actually write.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7323497413695760403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 03:28:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 10:27:46 GMT
References: <9flcc6$9hq$0@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
Message-ID: <20010608062746.09730.00003119@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23516

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> >When you change only the feed system
>> >what that tells me is that you have provided a better match for the
>> >antenna to absorb more of the provided RF and thence it radiates more,
>> >without exceeding its former maximum radiation efficiency.

Part of my confusion was your use of the term "match." I didn't see in the original premise where the SWR was reported as being bad, so the match already existed. I think you mean to say that when you change the feed line, you change the efficiency of the system, not the match.

> I *hope* you're experienced enough to know that an SWR meter placed at
>the transmitter doesn't necessarily reflect what is happening at the
>antenna.

Yes, I am, but it would take a very lossy feed line, unrealistically so, to show a good SWR at the transmitter and have a poor SWR at the antenna. For any antenna I can imagine, it would require tens of dB of feed line loss per hundred feet to show a good SWR at the feed point. I just didn't realize you were discussing unrealisting antenna systems here, Dick.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 811432159275299240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 03:34:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 10:33:55 GMT
References: <3B1BA88D.2C9B7FF4@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Message-ID: <20010608063355.03288.00000214@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23517

In article <3B1BA88D.2C9B7FF4@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>   I guess you mean Japan's codefree "techs" whom they allowed on 10
>> meters, in violation of the treaty. I don't think they count for much in
>> this discussion.
>
>The treaty has a provision for countries to do exactly that, Dick.
>I'll bet the Japanese notified the ITU of their proposed nocode
>HF license.

Nope. The JAs do not think their 4th class violates or takes exception to the treaty (S25.5). So there is no reason to notify the ITU.

Their reasoning goes like this: The treaty exists for one basic reason: the avoidance of interference. There are two types of interference to avoid: Inter-service (between amateur and nonamateur), and intra-service (between amateurs of different countries).

Inter-service interference is avoided by limiting 4th classers to only those bands which are world-wide exclusive amateur.

Intra-service interference is avoided by limiting 4th classers to very low power - 10 watts peak on HF, as I recall. Since Japan is an island nation, there are no border situations - no case where a JA station is only a few miles from a non-JA station.

Add to this that nobody has formally complained to the ITU.

This has been going on for decades. It was written up in QST in 1970 or 1971, and it wasn't new then.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -8508896296990513841

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 04:48:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 8 Jun 2001 04:48:39 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 43
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.198.173.200
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992000919 19909 127.0.0.1 (8 Jun 2001 11:48:39 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Jun 2001 11:48:39 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23518

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message news:<20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>...

> In the latest E-Mail ARRL sent out they are praising a CBER for using CB, I thought there little Tag Line at the Top of QST said: "The National Association for Amateur Radio", maybe they need to change it to" The National Association for Amateur Radio and CBers."

Maybe it's long overdue. If the operator mentioned was deserving of praise...then so be it! Remember, there was a nifty little idea posted here not all that long ago. It proposed that the "disenfranchised" CBers take the ridiculously easy Technician exam, join The ARRL, and enjoy full voting privileges thereafter. So you see, when that ARRL elected "employee" receives an e-mail from that relatively new Tech, asking what their position is regarding 11 meters...he/she may want to think twice before spewing the usual anti-CBer line. Job security often outweighs strict charter loyalty.

The fact is that with the Sega-Genesis/Internet generation not exhibiting the "gee-whiz" attitude toward radio that I was/am familiar with, just who do you think will "inherit" the reigns of amateur radio? Beside the SWLs and scanner enthusiasts, there's only one other demographic group I can think of that exhibits a passionate (if not legal) interest in making international contacts on HF radio via skywave propagation. This group encompasses many SWLs and scanner enthusiasts so it's proportionately larger. I didn't make this up, it's just the way the chips are falling.

The proof is as close as your local 2m repeater. Heard any "breakers" lately? Could this have been avoided?...maybe. Had there been a real effort to reach out to the 11 meter community, perhaps our friend, "Elmer," could have effected the required attitude adjustment. However after years of 11 meter bashing (Much of it well deserved, but hardly constructive.) the ki$$ my a$$ attitude prevails. I've read that AR's GOFs (crumudgeons) are an eternal resource, to which someone responded that they are NOT inehaustable. I agree, "cry and moan" as you please but the "old guard" shall attrite at a much faster rate than the "new guard" will arrive. Not rocket science, just an eternal truth that is often referenced in the same breath along with "taxes." Food for thought. No need for flames, that won't stop the process any. Maybe that guy, Elmer, has got a better suggestion?

73 de Bert
KC2HMN
Former KEC-1553

From -250363121039834388
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 04:51:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 11:50:29 GMT
References: <Pine.SUN.3.96.1010607103941.29209A-100000@compass.oregonvos.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010608075029.24758.00000709@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23519

>From: "Dave H. Hassler" dhassler@OregonVOS.net

> perhaps we need to revive the Novice license. Maybe not as it used to exist,
> maybe exactly as it existed.

Can you just imagine the insults and derrogatory terms that those who claim that going from a 4-page study guide to a 200-page study guide for the entry-level license is dumbing down would use if we went from a 200-page study guide back to a 4-page study guide?

Even more important, the FCC has decided that it doesn't want a lot of classes of license in the US, so I don't know that the likelihood will actually be to add back a true entry-level license, as was the Novice.

Fortunately, part of the reason that the Novice license was dropped, even after it was made harder by far than the one I took to get started, is that most people were choosing the more comprehensive Tech test as their entry point into ham radio. That does indicate that people were entering ham radio to learn and do as much as they could. Unfortunately, that didn't include many of the 13 year old kids that used to be attracted to the Novice. I guess it takes a few more years under one's belt before one is willing to tackle a 200-page study guide to be considered a beginner in ham radio.

>I know the thrill and possibility of talking to
>someone across the country or world was most likely a lot more exciting to
>a kid in 1978 (*I* was excited) than it is today (what with kids having
>cell phones, pagers, the i-net, etc, etc).

I'm not so convinced of that. Just as an example, when I was a kid, wired telephones were as common to me as as a cell phone is to a kid today. I could pick up the phone and call the kid next door without even thinking. But what did he and I do? We got those tin cans and a taut wire and made our own. The thrill of *duplicating* a feat that can be done with the technology of the day is still there, and I have seen younger people get excited to know that I can talk to Siberia from my car on my way to work. The thrill of sending out an anonymous CQ and getting some exotic country in response will still get them every time.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 8575849100656024156
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 04:57:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 11:56:34 GMT
References: <20010605211453.24362.00001696@nso-fj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010608075634.24758.00000710@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23520
```

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>> Figure the A/D converter needed to dig out -140 dBm signals in the ham
>>> bands between 1.8 and 29.7 MHz, with BDR in excess of 140 dB and third
>>> order IMD in excess of 95 dB. The IC-765 was bettering those numbers more
>> than a decade ago - and it wasn't even the top of the line.

> Does there exist a practical A/D converter/DSP system that comes
> anywhere near that performance?

If memory serves, the 756 PRO got to about 120 dB BDR and maybe 95 dB TTIMDDR. MOST analog radios don't perform quite that well.

These DSP chip sets are not being developed for Amateur Radio; they, and SDR, are being used in "real" radio technology. It is not even a question of cost, because I don't believe they are the most economical approach. They offer advantages in flexibility of design and use that simply is too difficult to obtain with analog components. That 756 PRO has filters that will literally remove a signal 200 Hz away to the point that you can hear his keying sidebands but not his keying.

I think that the future of radio will indeed be found in DSP and SDR. Even within the amateur radio community, look at what is being done with the DSP-10 and/or soundcard-based radio systems. A single radio can be used on a new mode without internal change. Just download a new radio and have fun. Cool!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -3845377425232445424
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 05:18:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news2.rdc2.tx.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <393F8EE6.3FC2479E@home.com>
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.77 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 53

Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 12:18:37 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.7.30.169
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news2.rdc2.tx.home.com 992002717 24.7.30.169 (Fri, 08 Jun 2001 05:18:37 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 05:18:37 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23521

Some CBers have always migrated to amateur. It's nothing new, and it tended to select those who had
a bit more curiosity about electronics and who were prepared to invest a little effort. CB requires
absolutely no effort to do it legally, and only minimally more to do it illegally. We may already
have too many licensed amateurs who are unwilling to invest the effort, and I'm not at all certain
that sheer numbers will impress the frequency gods so much as quality of use.

Bert Craig wrote:

> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message news:<20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
> > In the latest E-Mail ARRL sent out they are praising a CBER for using CB, I
> > thought there little Tag Line at the Top of QST said: "The National Association
> > for Amateur Radio", maybe they need to change it to" The National Association
> > for Amateur Radio and CBers."
>
> Maybe it's long overdue. If the operator mentioned was deserving of
> praise...then so be it! Remember, there was a nifty little idea posted
> here not all that long ago. It proposed that the "disenfranchised"
> CBers take the ridiculously easy Technician exam, join The ARRL, and
> enjoy full voting privileges thereafter. So you see, when that ARRL
> elected "employee" receives an e-mail from that relatively new Tech,
> asking what their position is regarding 11 meters...he/she may want to
> think twice before spewing the usual anti-CBer line. Job security
> often outweighs strict charter loyalty.
>
> The fact is that with the Sega-Genesis/Internet generation not
> exhibiting the "gee-whiz" attitude toward radio that I was/am familiar
> with, just who do you think will "inherit" the reigns of amateur
> radio? Beside the SWLs and scanner enthusiasts, there's only one other
> demographic group I can think of that exhibits a passionate (if not
> legal) interest in making international contacts on HF radio via
> skywave propagation. This group encompasses many SWLs and scanner
> enthusiasts so it's proportionately larger. I didn't make this up,
> it's just the way the chips are falling.
>
> The proof is as close as your local 2m repeater. Heard any "breakers"
> lately? Could this have been avoided?...maybe. Had there been a real
> effort to reach out to the 11 meter community, perhaps our friend,

```
> "Elmer," could have effected the required attitude adjustment. However
> after years of 11 meter bashing (Much of it well deserved, but hardly
> constructive.) the ki$$ my a$$ attitude prevails. I've read that AR's
> GOFs (crumudgeons) are an eternal resource, to which someone responded
> that they are NOT inehaustable. I agree, "cry and moan" as you please
> but the "old guard" shall attrite at a much faster rate than the "new
> guard" will arrive. Not rocket science, just an eternal truth that is
> often referenced in the same breath along with "taxes." Food for
> thought. No need for flames, that won't stop the process any. Maybe
> that guy, Elmer, has got a better suggestion?
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN
> Former KEC-1553
```

```
From 36262096891251305
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 06:45:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B20D6A3.2ADDF3AF@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 08:44:04 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010528143642.19790.00001456@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<3B1C58B4.EBC1907B@IEEE.org> <9fjlv4$5cj$1@news.hawaii.edu>
<3B1DA5CC.F2A7249B@IEEE.org> <9fpo48$srb$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992007440 64.240.47.49 (8 Jun 2001 08:37:20 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23522
```

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >Jeff wrote:
> >> CAM wrote:
>
> >>>Are the nocode tech astronauts underachievers?
>
> >>If they demand access to HF w/o having met the requirements, yes.
>
> >If I demand an income tax refund but the government doesn't deliver,
> >does that make me an underachiever?
>
> If you demand a refund WITHOUT HAVING MET THE REQUIREMENTS, then
> you're a crook (and an underachiever! :).

What the heck do "demands" have to do with underachieving or overachieving? Demands have absolutely no power without something tangible to back them up. The French commoners made demands for years and nothing happened until they stormed the Bastille. Are the r.r.a.p NCTA folk going to storm the FCC Bastille?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From -1529697863557678184
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 06:46:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: HHhhroRe: Puke.
Date: 8 Jun 2001 13:46:26 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9fqkvi$9sk$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <9evemc$6cf$0@208.206.142.102> <20010529034438.08421.00001644@ng-mo1.aol.com> <9f0a7e$qk6$0@208.206.142.109> <3B204087.BA3374F1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23523

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> > The issue I am looking at is May 1994. I'll have to dig up the later
> > one with the ham/freebander's bitch column in it and quote some of it
> > for you. Naw, find it for yourself, you'd just say I was dreaming it up.
>
> I just thumbed through my latest QST and didn't find anything even
> remotely resembling a "ham/freebander's bitch column".

So was discussing QST?

```
From 8833244390040069458
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 06:58:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
Date: 8 Jun 2001 13:58:16 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9fqllo$dc9$0@208.206.142.100>
References: <9flcc6$9hq$0@208.207.71.142> <20010608062746.09730.00003119@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.100
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23524
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> >When you change only the feed system
> >> >what that tells me is that you have provided a better match for the
> >> >antenna to absorb more of the provided RF and thence it radiates more,
> >> >without exceeding its former maximum radiation efficiency.
>
> Part of my confusion was your use of the term "match." I didn't see in the
> original premise where the SWR was reported as being bad, so the match already
> existed. I think you mean to say that when you change the feed line, you change

> the efficiency of the system, not the match.
>
> > I *hope* you're experienced enough to know that an SWR meter placed at
> >the transmitter doesn't necessarily reflect what is happening at the
> >antenna.
>
> Yes, I am, but it would take a very lossy feed line, unrealistically so, to
> show a good SWR at the transmitter and have a poor SWR at the antenna. For any
> antenna I can imagine, it would require tens of dB of feed line loss per
> hundred feet to show a good SWR at the feed point. I just didn't realize you
> were discussing unrealisting antenna systems here, Dick.

So how would *you* explain how grounding the coax braid 6 feet from the antenna base vastly improve radiation? Imagination?

From 3114571753648360040
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 07:03:17 PST
Message-ID: <3B20DABD.3D3C3514@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 09:01:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <9fmhdi$3ib$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<20010607055440.13725.00000232@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<Pine.SUN.3.96.1010607103941.29209A-100000@compass.oregonvos.net>
<3B205171.1E564E9F@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992008489 64.240.47.49 (8 Jun 2001 08:54:49 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23525

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>   I agree. But it needs to be a license truly designed for kids, not
> a license designed as an entry level license for adults like the old
> Novice was.

The trouble would be some immature adults would scream "Discrimination!"

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3385841073382505050
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 07:08:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <3B1DA875.EBF36BA3@sccoast.net> <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3B2048BA.77BE374A@sccoast.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <N_4U6.942$pb1.25853@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 10:07:41 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 14:07:41 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23526
```

Dwight Stewart says:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> Don't look now, but my friends and I
>> aren't "whining" about CW!
>
>
> Larry, you've written more about the code testing issue than anyone
> else I can think of in this newsgroup. I know for a fact that you
> have written far more than I've written on the subject. In fact, it
> would not really surprise me to learn that you have written more on
> the subject than I have on everything I've ever discussed in these
> newsgroups combined. In other words, my friend, when it comes to
> whining about CW (testing, etc), you are the undisputed world champion.

I don't believe that being a prolific writer equates to "whining".

I went Funk & Wagnalls porch and looked it up, and it talks about
"complaining in a childish and undignified way, as in NCTA (see
also NCI)".

73, Hans, K0HB
--
  Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

```
From 7691478784653972956
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 07:11:00 PST
Message-ID: <3B20DC88.C03E5D40@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 09:09:12 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <Pine.SUN.3.96.1010607103941.29209A-100000@compass.oregonvos.net>
<20010608075029.24758.00000709@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992008950 64.240.47.49 (8 Jun 2001 09:02:30 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23527
```

W1RFI wrote:
> Can you just imagine the insults and derrogatory terms that those who claim
> that going from a 4-page study guide to a 200-page study guide for the
> entry-level license is dumbing down would use if we went from a 200-page study
> guide back to a 4-page study guide?

Funny thing about those guys. No matter when it was, ham radio started

being dumbed-down right after they got their licenses. What is the
obvious implication if ham radio was smartened-up before they entered
and dumbed-down after they entered? :-)

--

cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5921880197797361754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 07:34:22 PST
Message-ID: <3B20E1FC.195929CD@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 09:32:28 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
References: <9flcc6$9hq$0@208.207.71.142> <20010608062746.09730.00003119@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992010344 64.240.47.49 (8 Jun 2001 09:25:44 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!news.gtei.net!news.mindspring.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23528

W1RFI wrote:
> Yes, I am, but it would take a very lossy feed line, unrealistically so, to
> show a good SWR at the transmitter and have a poor SWR at the antenna.

One time, I went out to a new tech's house to see what was wrong

with his new 2m antenna. He was using 200ft of RG-58. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2855490186737561291
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 07:38:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B20E2E7.5C08239A@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 09:36:23 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
References: <9flcc6$9hq$0@208.207.71.142> <20010608062746.09730.00003119@ng-cs1.aol.com> <9fqllo$dc9$0@208.206.142.100>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992010580 64.240.47.49 (8 Jun 2001 09:29:40 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23529

Dick Carroll wrote:
> So how would *you* explain how grounding the coax braid 6 feet from the
> antenna base vastly improve radiation? Imagination?

Shorting out common mode currents?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!

-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8391755765517016885
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 07:41:11 PST
Message-ID: <3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 09:39:21 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992010757 64.240.47.49 (8 Jun 2001 09:32:37 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23530

WA8ULX wrote:
> In the latest E-Mail ARRL sent out they are praising a CBER for using CB, I
> thought there little Tag Line at the Top of QST said: "The National Association
> for Amateur Radio", maybe they need to change it to" The National Association
> for Amateur Radio and CBers."

Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6847848791055615111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 08:05:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9flcc6$9hq$0@208.207.71.142> <20010608062746.09730.00003119@ng-
cs1.aol.com> <3B20E1FC.195929CD@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <xQ5U6.68222$t12.5419631@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 15:05:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.172.173
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 992012701 12.88.172.173 (Fri, 08 Jun
2001 15:05:01 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 15:05:01 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23531
```

Hmmm ... that would certainly give you tens of dB in loss!

Jim AA2QA

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B20E1FC.195929CD@IEEE.org...

> W1RFI wrote:
> > Yes, I am, but it would take a very lossy feed line, unrealistically so,
to
> > show a good SWR at the transmitter and have a poor SWR at the antenna.
>
> One time, I went out to a new tech's house to see what was wrong
> with his new 2m antenna. He was using 200ft of RG-58. :-)
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7521113502886366741

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 08:54:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net>
References: <9flcc6$9hq$0@208.207.71.142> <20010608062746.09730.00003119@ng-cs1.aol.com> <3B20E1FC.195929CD@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <Cy6U6.1064$pb1.33329@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 11:54:10 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 15:54:10 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23532

On Fri, 08 Jun 2001 09:32:28 -0500, CAM says:

>One time, I went out to a new tech's house to see what was wrong
>with his new 2m antenna. He was using 200ft of RG-58. :-)

That could pose a problem, especially if the antenna was 220 feet away.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

From -7089468095291651455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 09:46:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: 8 Jun 2001 09:46:15 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 96
Message-ID: <c2356669.0106080846.4ec25ac6@posting.google.com>
References: <20010605211453.24362.00001696@nso-fj.aol.com> <20010608075634.24758.00000710@ng-fy1.aol.com>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.206.197
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992018775 23838 127.0.0.1 (8 Jun 2001 16:46:15 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Jun 2001 16:46:15 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23533

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in message news:<20010608075634.24758.00000710@ng-fy1.aol.com>...
> From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
> >>> Figure the A/D converter needed to dig out -140 dBm signals in the ham
> >>> bands between 1.8 and 29.7 MHz, with BDR in excess of 140 dB and third
> >>> order IMD in excess of 95 dB. The IC-765 was bettering those numbers more
> >> than a decade ago - and it wasn't even the top of the line.
>
> > Does there exist a practical A/D converter/DSP system that comes
> > anywhere near that performance?
>
> If memory serves, the 756 PRO got to about 120 dB BDR and maybe 95 dB TTIMDDR.

Depending on band and preamp selection, the QST review sez 120-127 dB
BDR
and 95-88 dB two-tone-third order IMD. MDS -127 to -141 dBm

> MOST analog radios don't perform quite that well.

Most "analog" HF ham rigs don't cost anywhere near $3600, either.
>
> These DSP chip sets are not being developed for Amateur Radio; they, and SDR,
> are being used in "real" radio technology.

Amateur radio is not "real" radio technology? Who you quoting, Ed,
Chairman Lennie?

> It is not even a question of cost,
> because I don't believe they are the most economical approach.

Then what is it? Most hams do not have three and a half big ones to
toss
around on an HF rig.

>They offer
> advantages in flexibility of design and use that simply is too difficult to
> obtain with analog components.

uh-huh. They also offer a new gimmick. I agree with W3RV: See what the
boys at the top of the Honor Roll, and the contest scores, are
running.

> That 756 PRO has filters that will literally
> remove a signal 200 Hz away to the point that you can hear his keying sidebands

> but not his keying.

$3600 is a lot to pay for a notch filter. And such a filter makes no difference if the rig is overloading because of a signal outside the audible passband.

>
> I think that the future of radio will indeed be found in DSP and SDR.

Part of the future, sure.

> Even within the amateur radio community, look at what is being done with the DSP-10 and/or soundcard-based radio systems. A single radio can be used on a new mode without internal change. Just download a new radio and have fun. Cool!

Yup. Better yet, "write" a new rig description and do the same.

My point is simply that some of the much ballyhooed rigs like the 756PRO are not without their tradeoffs, even at their top-drawer price. Much of what is being pushed as "new technology" is basically bells and whistles, not real radio performance or truly new features (like being able to run PSK31 or PACTOR 2 right out of the box with no addons except maybe a $10 keyboard).

--

You wanna know one of the things that turned me on to ham radio as an 11-12 year old kid? Simple - pictures of hamshacks with simple, often homebrewed rigs and walls covered with QSLs from all over the country/world. Plus the ham who had done it all, seated at the controls. The message was simple: "This could be YOU!!" And by age 13, it was!

The most impressive shack pictures, to me, were those with modest rigs, but lots of QSLs, because they had the greatest chance of becoming reality for me.

I think the same sort of thing is what is needed today, if we are to attract young people. Show them what they can do THEMSELVES with simple gear and some basic skills. IC-756PROs will not do it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

why do they call it the "PRO" when it's supposed to be a ham rig?

From 3589521245673184368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 09:55:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 8 Jun 2001 09:55:27 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 98
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com> <393F8EE6.3FC2479E@home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.198.173.200
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992019328 23948 127.0.0.1 (8 Jun 2001 16:55:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Jun 2001 16:55:28 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23534

Hi Gary,

It's unfortunate, but true. The CBers to which you refer ("those who had a bit more curiosity about electronics and who were prepared to invest a little effort") appear to be the ones most likely to gravitate to AR. Some will do this for the right reasons, others will not. Here on suburban LI, NY, I've found that the majority of "freebanders" (Gosh, I dislike that term.) in the late 70s and early to mid 80s were of the "techno-nerd" variety and were simply getting away from the herds of AM lids that had started to prevail. Now that the prices of "export" and 10/11 meter rigs have dropped dramatically, the general populace has availed themselves to the 11 meter "freeband." I'm not arguing right, wrong, or legality here...we all knew/know the score. I'm just setting the stage, so to speak.

When I speak to certain amateurs of the younger ilk, I've noticed that once you get them to "drop their guard," they'll admit to "dabbling" in a past life. (Certainly not all, but generally the aforementioned 70s/80s crowd.) These are the guys who came to amateur radio for the "right" reasons. It was the logical natural progression for those interested in international HF contacts via skywave propagation and/or good general radio activity. (Friendly rag-chewing.) They were the "legal" CBers that found the extra RF "real estate" and eventually grew into AR and "got past it." (Much like many others need to do.)

Now, I know guys that have "grabbed" their ticket because it exempts them from the recent "local LE CB legislation," as if that were really necessary. They think it's cool that they can buy that Texas Star HDV350 "CW Transmitter," mod it for 10/11 meters, and connect it to

their modified RCI-2950DX to be displayed prominently in their vehicle
without fear of a local law enforcement officer "hassling" them. That
is an example of the "wrong" reason. It's sad, but it's reality. Even
sadder is the fact that AR, itself might possibly share the blame for
this. Had some of these yo-yo's been approached somewhat civilly
rather than beaten over the head with the preacher's baseball bat,
they might've been more receptive to the "Elmer's" approach. Either
way, here we are and all the complaining and whining in the worlds
isn't going to change it now. After all, the FCC just told us that
"it's on us" re. AR, why should we believe that 11 meters or CBers are
a higher priority to them than AR. Happy about this?...I'm sure not.
Take it easy.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
news:<393F8EE6.3FC2479E@home.com>...
> Some CBers have always migrated to amateur. It's nothing new, and it tended to
select those who had
> a bit more curiosity about electronics and who were prepared to invest a little
effort. CB requires
> absolutely no effort to do it legally, and only minimally more to do it
illegally. We may already
> have too many licensed amateurs who are unwilling to invest the effort, and I'm
not at all certain
> that sheer numbers will impress the frequency gods so much as quality of use.
>
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
>
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
> > > In the latest E-Mail ARRL sent out they are praising a CBER for using CB, I
> > > thought there little Tag Line at the Top of QST said: "The National
Association
> > > for Amateur Radio", maybe they need to change it to" The National
Association
> > > for Amateur Radio and CBers."
> >
> > Maybe it's long overdue. If the operator mentioned was deserving of
> > praise...then so be it! Remember, there was a nifty little idea posted
> > here not all that long ago. It proposed that the "disenfranchised"
> > CBers take the ridiculously easy Technician exam, join The ARRL, and
> > enjoy full voting privileges thereafter. So you see, when that ARRL
> > elected "employee" receives an e-mail from that relatively new Tech,
> > asking what their position is regarding 11 meters...he/she may want to
> > think twice before spewing the usual anti-CBer line. Job security
> > often outweighs strict charter loyalty.
> >
> > The fact is that with the Sega-Genesis/Internet generation not
> > exhibiting the "gee-whiz" attitude toward radio that I was/am familiar
> > with, just who do you think will "inherit" the reigns of amateur

```
> > radio? Beside the SWLs and scanner enthusiasts, there's only one other
> > demographic group I can think of that exhibits a passionate (if not
> > legal) interest in making international contacts on HF radio via
> > skywave propagation. This group encompasses many SWLs and scanner
> > enthusiasts so it's proportionately larger. I didn't make this up,
> > it's just the way the chips are falling.
> >
> > The proof is as close as your local 2m repeater. Heard any "breakers"
> > lately? Could this have been avoided?...maybe. Had there been a real
> > effort to reach out to the 11 meter community, perhaps our friend,
> > "Elmer," could have effected the required attitude adjustment. However
> > after years of 11 meter bashing (Much of it well deserved, but hardly
> > constructive.) the ki$$ my a$$ attitude prevails. I've read that AR's
> > GOFs (crumudgeons) are an eternal resource, to which someone responded
> > that they are NOT inehaustable. I agree, "cry and moan" as you please
> > but the "old guard" shall attrite at a much faster rate than the "new
> > guard" will arrive. Not rocket science, just an eternal truth that is
> > often referenced in the same breath along with "taxes." Food for
> > thought. No need for flames, that won't stop the process any. Maybe
> > that guy, Elmer, has got a better suggestion?
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > KC2HMN
> > Former KEC-1553


From 8655709831279129894
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 09:56:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!novia!newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-
for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 11:45:27 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 49
Message-ID: <3B210127.8D6@mobiletel.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun02-port186.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 992018706 28208 209.16.79.186 (8 Jun 2001 16:45:06 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 8 Jun 2001 16:45:06 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone  (Win95; I)
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23535

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message news:<20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
> > In the latest E-Mail ARRL sent out they are praising a CBER for using CB, I
> > thought there little Tag Line at the Top of QST said: "The National Association
> > for Amateur Radio", maybe they need to change it to" The National Association
> > for Amateur Radio and CBers."
>
> Maybe it's long overdue. If the operator mentioned was deserving of
> praise...then so be it! Remember, there was a nifty little idea posted
> here not all that long ago. It proposed that the "disenfranchised"
> CBers take the ridiculously easy Technician exam, join The ARRL, and
> enjoy full voting privileges thereafter. So you see, when that ARRL
> elected "employee" receives an e-mail from that relatively new Tech,
> asking what their position is regarding 11 meters...he/she may want to
> think twice before spewing the usual anti-CBer line. Job security
> often outweighs strict charter loyalty.
>
> The fact is that with the Sega-Genesis/Internet generation not
> exhibiting the "gee-whiz" attitude toward radio that I was/am familiar
> with, just who do you think will "inherit" the reigns of amateur
> radio? Beside the SWLs and scanner enthusiasts, there's only one other
> demographic group I can think of that exhibits a passionate (if not
> legal) interest in making international contacts on HF radio via
> skywave propagation. This group encompasses many SWLs and scanner
> enthusiasts so it's proportionately larger. I didn't make this up,
> it's just the way the chips are falling.
>
> The proof is as close as your local 2m repeater. Heard any "breakers"
> lately? Could this have been avoided?...maybe. Had there been a real
> effort to reach out to the 11 meter community, perhaps our friend,
> "Elmer," could have effected the required attitude adjustment. However
> after years of 11 meter bashing (Much of it well deserved, but hardly
> constructive.) the ki$$ my a$$ attitude prevails. I've read that AR's
> GOFs (crumudgeons) are an eternal resource, to which someone responded
> that they are NOT inehaustable. I agree, "cry and moan" as you please
> but the "old guard" shall attrite at a much faster rate than the "new
> guard" will arrive. Not rocket science, just an eternal truth that is
> often referenced in the same breath along with "taxes." Food for
> thought. No need for flames, that won't stop the process any. Maybe
> that guy, Elmer, has got a better suggestion?
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN
> Former KEC-1553

Good Post, We need to look in unconvential places for our new hams.

MARTIN N5PZJ

```
From -4110848665991018280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 10:12:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 8 Jun 2001 10:12:49 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <c2356669.0106080912.5e4ffbf3@posting.google.com>
References: <20010606213846.12834.00001121@ng-cg1.aol.com>
<20010607054432.13725.00000230@ng-fm1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 151.197.203.27
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992020370 24205 127.0.0.1 (8 Jun 2001 17:12:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 8 Jun 2001 17:12:50 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23536
```

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in message news:<20010607054432.13725.00000230@ng-fm1.aol.com>...

> >From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> > I think the Picture was of him and some guy giving him a Free Handout of
> >some kinda of CB Radio, if you find the picture look and see if hes got his
> Pocket
> >Protector on, I dont remember.
>
> I forgot which issue it was in, but that is the one where there is an Up Front
> picture of my accepting the donation of an Elecraft K2 for W1AW.
>
> Not quite a "cb" radio,

HAW!! That's a GREAT one Ed!

Lessee... the rig in question:

- Must be assembled from a kit and aligned before use.
- Comes with only CW as stock. Other modes require options.
- WILL NOT transmit in the two MHz below 28.000 (and you can't clip a wire or diode to make it do so)
- Really does a nice job on CW. And other modes, too. No AM, though. Sorry.

Pocket protectors? Sounds like a good source of mojo.

73 de Jim, N2EY

FISTS #4360
BIT #0001
K2 #2084

From -7302697036667923205
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 10:45:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Fri, 8 Jun 2001 13:45:24 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com> <393F8EE6.3FC2479E@home.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 31
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23537

"Bert Craig" <kc2hmn@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com...
> Had some of these yo-yo's been approached somewhat civilly
> rather than beaten over the head with the preacher's baseball bat,
> they might've been more receptive to the "Elmer's" approach.

I doubt that very much Bert. A good many of those so called "Freebanders" and others using amplifiers etc. do so for the "thrill" of getting away with it. They have zero interest in getting in to AR because the "thrill" of law breaking would be gone. How else would you explain why the technically more astute among them refuse to get a ticket regardless of the volume of offers of help, and even equipment at times? I have seen it myself, it's the thrill of being a pirate operator, sort of like the modern day antihero. For these people getting a ticket so they can shoot skip, run high power, legally, would take all of the fun out of it. The only thing you can do for these people is pull the plug on them. Then on the slight chance they do get licensed, do we really need to add to the problems on lets say 75m, where high power and language seem to be a bit out of control?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

From -6436829911855573000
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 13:20:13 PST
From: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Sender: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Reply-To: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 205.188.193.153 205.188.193.153
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com> <beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com> <393F8EE6.3FC2479E@home.com> <beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com> <ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Message-ID: <3b21325e$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 8 Jun 2001 21:15:26 GMT
X-Trace: 8 Jun 2001 21:15:26 GMT, pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Lines: 28
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!natasha.rmi.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23538

I doubt that very much Bert. A good many of those so called "Freebanders" and others using amplifiers etc. do so for the "thrill" of getting away with it. They have zero interest in getting in to AR because the "thrill" of law breaking would be gone. How else would you explain why the technically more astute among them refuse to get a ticket regardless of the volume of offers of help, and even equipment at times? I have seen it myself, it's the thrill of being a pirate operator, sort of like the modern day antihero. For these people getting a ticket so they can shoot skip, run high power, legally, would take all of the fun out of it. The only thing you can do for these people is pull the plug on them. Then on the slight chance they do get licensed, do we really need to add to the problems on lets say 75m, where high power and language seem to be a bit out of control?

"Again Leland you spread your venom against CB in a hammie newsgroup? Don't bother to misidentify and distort further.You and Dogies lies are well known in the CB room. If you can take potshots in drag so can I"

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
 me running, try to keep up. "

From -2034221878255056377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 13:57:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Fri, 8 Jun 2001 16:57:41 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <ti2f26t7jjrvd4@corp.supernews.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com> <393F8EE6.3FC2479E@home.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com>
<ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com> <3b21325e$1@news2.mibx.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 37
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23539

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3b21325e$1@news2.mibx.net...
> "Again Leland you spread your venom against CB in a hammie newsgroup?
Don't
> bother to misidentify and distort further.You and Dogies lies are well
known
> in the CB room. If you can take potshots in drag so can I"

You won't find any Hams with sympathy for illegal CBers in this news group
Twisted. Particularly if they operate on any of the Ham bands like 10m.
You're out of your element here. You want to make any of your lame arguments
here about why what illegal CBers do is OK, well go right ahead. You will
serve to under score the point I made in my last post.

--

--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

```
From 6884744927512038686
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 13:59:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 121
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 20:59:13 GMT
References: <ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010608165913.14875.00000975@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23540
```

Hi Leland,

I disagree with you. I know many 11 meter "enthusiasts" that weren't the thrill seekers you describe. While I'm sure there are some, perhaps many, who fit that bill...there are just as many, if not more who are just enjoying what they view as a harmless form of hobby radio. Like I said before, I'm the last person to judge any "freebander," however, there are a great many miscreant whom are more than deserving of "Federal" attention. I checked my judicial robes at the door when I talk to any 11 meter "enthusiast" because I know that gets us nowhere...and quickly.

"The only thing you can do for these people is pull the plug on them."

Take your best shot, Leland. If you can make a dent...God bless you. I must advise you though that an older "uncle" of yours with much deeper pockets already has a thirty plus year old model for you to study. It's been a demonstrably dismal failure and can no longer even be classified as a work-in-progerss. "Uncle Charlie" has a far better track record with licensed amateurs than with "freebanders," and the "freebanders he DOES nab usually turn out to be hams...go figure?! Please don't take my word for it, it's all there in the FCC "enforcement" letters that are commonly displayed on various AR websites. But this is all neither here nor there. We can disagree here on a NG, but it's out in the field that it's "going on." Perhaps it'll become more apparent post 2003. (I didn't want to actually mention that lest this deteriorate into another "you know what" banter thread.

"Then on the slight chance they do get licensed, do we really need to add to the problems on lets say 75m, where high power and language seem to be a bit out of control?"

I think there's more than a slight chance and I wholeheartedly agree with you re. 75m. Shouldn't the league and AR be more concerned with it's own back yard than 11 meters? Take it easy Leland.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

Saw this on a bumper sticker: (LI, NY)

"I Work 11 Meter DX...& I Vote!"

(They're attacking from within the law and using their noodle rather than an amplifier, nice approach. Illegal thrills no longer needed and checked at the door.)

>"Bert Craig" <kc2hmn@arrl.net> wrote in message
>news:beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com...
>> Had some of these yo-yo's been approached somewhat civilly
>> rather than beaten over the head with the preacher's baseball bat,
>> they might've been more receptive to the "Elmer's" approach.
>
>I doubt that very much Bert. A good many of those so called "Freebanders"
>and others using amplifiers etc. do so for the "thrill" of getting away with
>it. They have zero interest in getting in to AR because the "thrill" of law
>breaking would be gone. How else would you explain why the technically more
>astute among them refuse to get a ticket regardless of the volume of offers
>of help, and even equipment at times? I have seen it myself, it's the thrill
>of being a pirate operator, sort of like the modern day antihero. For these
>people getting a ticket so they can shoot skip, run high power, legally,
>would take all of the fun out of it. The only thing you can do for these
>people is pull the plug on them. Then on the slight chance they do get
>licensed, do we really need to add to the problems on lets say 75m, where
>high power and language seem to be a bit out of control?
>

>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
>"I am a bomb technician. If you see
> me running, try to keep up. "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>"Bert Craig" <kc2hmn@arrl.net> wrote in message
>news:beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com...
>> Had some of these yo-yo's been approached somewhat civilly
>> rather than beaten over the head with the preacher's baseball bat,
>> they might've been more receptive to the "Elmer's" approach.
>
>I doubt that very much Bert. A good many of those so called "Freebanders"
>and others using amplifiers etc. do so for the "thrill" of getting away with
>it. They have zero interest in getting in to AR because the "thrill" of law
>breaking would be gone. How else would you explain why the technically more
>astute among them refuse to get a ticket regardless of the volume of offers
>of help, and even equipment at times? I have seen it myself, it's the thrill
>of being a pirate operator, sort of like the modern day antihero. For these
>people getting a ticket so they can shoot skip, run high power, legally,
>would take all of the fun out of it. The only thing you can do for these
>people is pull the plug on them. Then on the slight chance they do get
>licensed, do we really need to add to the problems on lets say 75m, where
>high power and language seem to be a bit out of control?
>
>
>--
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
>Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
>"I am a bomb technician. If you see
> me running, try to keep up. "
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

```
>



From -7684550039599818160
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 14:03:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 21:03:10 GMT
References: <3B210127.8D6@mobiletel.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010608170310.14875.00000976@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23541

>Good Post, We need to look in unconvential places for our new hams.
>
>MARTIN N5PZJ

Thanks Martin,

Most people'd be amazed at the sheer power of a kind word or two. The follow up
is a gentle nudge in the "right"eous direction. It worked for yours truly. Take
it easy.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN



From -6464939106260801346
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2bb657cce8a6edc4,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 14:26:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: Luidgi CORBACCIO <antiviok@MailAndNews.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: CW is gay !
Date: Fri, 8 Jun 2001 17:26:40 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3B3A7725@MailAndNews.com>
X-InterChange-Posted-By: antiviok@MailAndNews.com
Sender: Luidgi CORBACCIO <antiviok@MailAndNews.com>
```

```
X-EXP32-SerialNo: 50000000
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="ISO-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Newsreader: InterChange (Hydra) News v3.61.08
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 14
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23542
```

CW is a gay mode, that explains why it's the AIDS of ham-radio. ITU wants to maintain CW ? That's only because they are all gay at the ITU. It's time to kill these gay dictators.

All CW operators are gay, that explains that they like to be together among old queers. An interesting forum in psychiatry said that gay people like CW because jerking their "key" is a "transfer" of jerking the dick of their boyfriend. Amazing ? No, just reality ...

Now you understand better what is really CW, it's not a radio mode but just a virtual homosexual relation. And when you will hear K3LT and others speaking of CW, you will laugh more :-))

```
From -4415529500136381030
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 14:47:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 08 Jun 2001 21:46:52 GMT
References: <3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010608174652.18496.00006200@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23543
```

>Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?

Im suggesting that ARRL keep its nose out of CB, its none of there concern, now ED will come on here with more of his ARRL BS and try and defend them, it most be terrible to be so worried about Job that you willingly become the Mouth piece for ARRL.

```
From 7930974060655430699
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 17:01:02 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <20010608174652.18496.00006200@ng-
mq1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <1HdU6.4601$Zt6.1226909@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 00:01:01 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992044861 24.180.184.171 (Fri, 08 Jun 2001
17:01:01 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 17:01:01 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23544

I agree I think the ARRL should keep there nose out of CB. Period

--
X-No-archive: yes
"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010608174652.18496.00006200@ng-mq1.aol.com...
> >Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
>
> Im suggesting that ARRL keep its nose out of CB, its none of there
concern, now
> ED will come on here with more of his ARRL BS and try and defend them, it
most
> be terrible to be so worried about Job that you willingly become the Mouth
> piece for ARRL.
```

```
From -8742802543188943443
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 17:34:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
```

```
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 00:33:37 GMT
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010608203337.13868.00002092@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23545
```

In article <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>In the latest E-Mail ARRL sent out they are praising a CBER for using CB, I
>thought there little Tag Line at the Top of QST said: "The National
>Association
>for Amateur Radio", maybe they need to change it to" The National Association
>for Amateur Radio and CBers."
>

True Trivia Fact: For most of 1921 and part of 1922, QST covers bore the words "devoted to citizen wireless" or "devoted to citizen radio". This was in response to the idea that the words "amateur" and "ham" were derogatory.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 4497043063342556825
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 17:34:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 00:33:37 GMT
References: <luJT6.4989$9m.406483@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010608203337.13868.00002093@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23546
```

In article <luJT6.4989$9m.406483@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

>Dick has spent a tremendous amount of energy and time being angry with and
>expressing his anger toward people who may not agree with his opinions on CW
>testing and requirements.

So have many others on this NG. Including yourself, Kim. One can find all sorts of posts from all sides of the debate in here.

> If I had to guess, I'd guess that the issue has a
>tremendous amount of power over him.

I would think that it was simply something people cared about a lot. On both sides.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -6491530895538406064
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 17:34:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 00:33:38 GMT
References: <3B205E9C.79E8287B@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010608203338.13868.00002094@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23547

In article <3B205E9C.79E8287B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> writes:

>Funny the ideas one gets from having another continually refer to one as
>"Doctor". I had the guy pegged for tweeds with elbow patches. Now you
>tell us that he looks like Yosemite Sam.

Now THERE'S an idea: match up the various rrap denizens with cartoon characters! What a great game!

Lessee:

Bugs Bunny
Daffy Duck
Porky Pig
Elmer Fudd
Tasmanian Devil
Road Runner
Wile E. Coyote
Foghorn Leghorn
Dawg (in the F.L. cartoons)
Chicken Hawk
Speedy Gonzales
Sylvester
Tweetie
Granny
--

"That dawg, I say, that dawg is about a sharp as a BOWLIN' ball".

"That boy reminds me of Paul Revere's ride - a little light in the belfry"

"That widder hen reminds me of the road from Fort Worth to Dallas - no curves"

"No, I better not look - I just might be in there"

--

"What for did you bury me in the cold cold ground?"

"Pardon"

--

Waddya think, sirs?

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 3328248657237358211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 17:34:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 103
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 09 Jun 2001 00:33:37 GMT
References: <20010606062914.02679.00000992@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010608203337.13868.00002091@nso-ba.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23548

In article <20010606062914.02679.00000992@ng-ff1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>>If one copies for the first minute, then fills in the blanks while the rest
>>>of the code test is running, who is to know?
>
>>When the FCC examiner is looking over your shoulder as you take the test, I
>>kinda think he would know.
>
>When I took my tests before the FCC in the 70s, I was in a room of about 50
>applicants. The FCC examiners sat at the desk the entire time. If fairness,
>when I took my General in the 60s, I think there were 6 of us there and the
>examiner watched us pretty closely.

All right, all right, if we're gonna tell FCC exam session stories, I'll tell mine.

First time I went for General was in early summer 1968, age of 14. FCC office in Philly was a subway ride away (plus a half mile walk at each end of the subway ride). I'd had the Novice since October of 1967, and thought I was ready.

Back then a school kid like me had at most 3 or 4 test opportunities a year, at best. This was because you had to wait 30 days to retest if you failed, and the FCC office only gave exams on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday mornings. So you got a maximum of three chances in the summer and maybe one chance over Xmas vacation, IF the holiday didn't close the office. Taking the exam cost $9 back then - with inflation, that's $40-50 of 2001 money.

There were about five of us around the code table that morning, each with our own set of headphones. The examiner watched us all closely, and gave explicit instructions about how to do the test. When the code stopped, it was pencils DOWN! Each of us also had to send using a straight key. The code came from a little machine that read punched paper tapes. Both the machine and the tapes were kept locked up. Speed was changed by using different size drive rollers. The copy was plain language text, not a ham QSO.

I tried the 13 wpm code, but failed. The reason was not that I couldn't copy code, but because the examiner could not read my writing well enough to find the required 65 consecutive correct characters. The Palmer Method handwriting beaten into us by the IHM nuns was of no help in CW work. No retries back then. I know if I'd had a moment to clean up my copy, I would have passed, but that was against the rules back then.

He could find 25 characters in a row, though, and allowed me to take the Tech/General/Conditional written. I passed easily, and when the license came in the mail I was both a Technician and a Novice, with two callsigns. The band used determined which callsign I used.

So I went home and focused on the code - and also taught myself to block print at about 30 wpm. Soon copying the 18 wpm W1AW bulletins solid was duck soup.

Late that summer I went back to the FCC office and tried again. Another $9 and another subway ride. Same setup as before. This time he had no trouble reading my big block letters. Sending was a snap, and I was a new General.

But it wasn't over. The Examiner said "why don't you try the Advanced while you're here?" One simply did not say "no" to The Man back then, so with absolutely no preparation I tried the Advanced written. Passed it easily. Went home to wait for the license to come in the mail (no instant upgrades - you waited 6-8 weeks for the FCC paper machine to grind out the actual license).

And began that ight to work on the Extra. A prospective Extra needed two years as a General, Conditional or Advanced to even try the Extra test.

Fast forward to late summer 1970. I was there at the first session after the two-year wait. Examiner came out at preciely 8 AM and asked "anybody here for 20 wpm?" One hand - mine!

He went through the whole ritual of explaining the test and the requirements to pass. Unlocked the drawer and took out the machine and tapes, hooked it all up, and started the machine.

I copied the code perfectly - in fact, it was easy! Much easier than trying to copy traffic through summer QRN or winter QRM. He watched me for a while, then came around behind me and looked over my shoulder while I copied.

After a minute or so he went over and shut off the machine. uh-oh - they were supposed to give 5 minutes! What was up?

"Pretty easy, huh kid?" asked The Man

"uh - yeah", I mumbled.

"It oughta be - that was 13! Here's 20!"

And he quickly slipped a different drive roller into the machine and started it up again! The code this time was a lot faster, but I kept up and after the 5 minutes it was clear I had the required 100 consecutive correct characters down. I sent the required 20 per on the straight key for about 30 seconds before he said "OK, kid".

The written was no problem, and I walked out of there a new 16 year old Extra. 31 years ago come August. Doesn't seem that long.

Back then, a teenage Extra was no big deal. There were 12 year olds with that license.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 7629714286216163518
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 18:30:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!netnews.com!
wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <3B205E9C.79E8287B@earthlink.net> <20010608203338.13868.00002094@nso-
ba.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 60
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <c%eU6.69247$t12.5509104@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 01:30:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.184
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 992050248 12.75.102.184 (Sat, 09 Jun
2001 01:30:48 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 01:30:48 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23549
```

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010608203338.13868.00002094@nso-ba.aol.com...
> In article <3B205E9C.79E8287B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Funny the ideas one gets from having another continually refer to one as
> >"Doctor". I had the guy pegged for tweeds with elbow patches. Now you
> >tell us that he looks like Yosemite Sam.
>
> Now THERE'S an idea: match up the various rrap denizens with cartoon
> characters! What a great game!
>
> Lessee:
>
> Bugs Bunny

```
> Daffy Duck
> Porky Pig
> Elmer Fudd
> Tasmanian Devil
> Road Runner
> Wile E. Coyote
> Foghorn Leghorn
> Dawg (in the F.L. cartoons)
> Chicken Hawk
> Speedy Gonzales
> Sylvester
> Tweetie
> Granny
> --
>
> "That dawg, I say, that dawg is about a sharp as a BOWLIN' ball".
>
> "That boy reminds me of Paul Revere's ride - a little light in the belfry"
>
> "That widder hen reminds me of the road from Fort Worth to Dallas - no
curves"
>
> "No, I better not look - I just might be in there"
>
> --
>
> "What for did you bury me in the cold cold ground?"
>
> "Pardon"
>
> --
>
> Waddya think, sirs?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

FWIW I am already known as Foghorn Leghorn in certain amateur circles
locally.

Dick N�BK




From 7847224440288738232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 18:35:05 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
```

```
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 01:32:12 GMT
Message-ID: <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.128
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.128
X-Trace: 8 Jun 2001 20:27:22 -0500, 64.24.18.128
Lines: 9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23550

On Fri, 08 Jun 2001 09:39:21 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>
>Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
>
They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL
>
KLK-1937.



From -9075365628579070812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 18:45:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!novia!newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-
for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 20:42:42 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 56
Message-ID: <3B217F12.2EF2@mobiletel.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com> <393F8EE6.3FC2479E@home.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com>
<ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com> <3b21325e$1@news2.mibx.net>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun10-port229.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 992050939 12432 206.251.171.229 (9 Jun 2001 01:42:19
GMT)
```

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2001 01:42:19 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone  (Win95; I)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23551
```

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> I doubt that very much Bert. A good many of those so called "Freebanders"
> and others using amplifiers etc. do so for the "thrill" of getting away with
> it. They have zero interest in getting in to AR because the "thrill" of law
> breaking would be gone.

Cheap thrills in freeband, I want to them be real thrill seekers and
operate on
2 meters sans license. 75 and 20 are good alternatives.

> How else would you explain why the technically more
> astute among them refuse to get a ticket regardless of the volume of offers
> of help, and even equipment at times? I have seen it myself, it's the thrill
> of being a pirate operator, sort of like the modern day antihero.

Antihero? After a certain point, say 30 years of age, I am the
establishment, much better to declare my independance, do it Sinatra
Style with the Ace in the hole
covering my backside. Nobody can dispute with the rebel when he doesn't
present a good target!

> For these
> people getting a ticket so they can shoot skip, run high power, legally,
> would take all of the fun out of it.

To each their own, you pays ur money and takes ur chances.

> The only thing you can do for these
> people is pull the plug on them.

Pull the plug? Or fine em?

> Then on the slight chance they do get
> licensed, do we really need to add to the problems on lets say 75m, where
> high power and language seem to be a bit out of control?

Proof that no one Radio Service has an exclusive lock on stupidity!

>
> "Again Leland you spread your venom against CB in a hammie newsgroup? Don't
> bother to misidentify and distort further.You and Dogies lies are well known
> in the CB room. If you can take potshots in drag so can I"
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>

Leland, dougie? In drag?
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
> me running, try to keep up. "

"Be a hero not a zero, keydown at the Gettysburg."

From -3985633043350463679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 18:48:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-out.nuthinbutnews.com!
propagator!feed2.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!
not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <20010606062914.02679.00000992@ng-ff1.aol.com>
<20010608203337.13868.00002091@nso-ba.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <gffU6.1620$pb1.60520@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 21:47:56 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 01:47:56 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23552

In article <20010608203337.13868.00002091@nso-ba.aol.com>, N2EY says...

>First time I went for General was in early summer 1968, age of 14. FCC office
>in Philly was a subway ride away (plus a half mile walk at each end of the
>subway ride). I'd had the Novice since October of 1967, and thought I was
>ready.

Subway? You got to ride the subway? In the summer? Really!

Back in 63 we had to take the tests in Fargo, ND in November or February only
from a "circuit rider" FCC agent out of St. Paul. We didn't have no steenking
subway from Twin Valley, 30 miles away. The trip was over snow covered prairie,
uphill both ways, on our hands and knees, 35 degrees below zero. We didn't
need no steenking subway! (Well, the circuit rider part is true anyhow!)

73, Hans, K0HB

From -2197474025467099792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 18:53:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
References: <3B205E9C.79E8287B@earthlink.net> <20010608203338.13868.00002094@nso-ba.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <0kfU6.1623$pb1.60520@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 21:53:00 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 01:53:00 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23553

N2EY says...

>Now THERE'S an idea: match up the various rrap denizens with cartoon
>characters! What a great game!

>Bugs Bunny
>Daffy Duck == K4YZ
>Porky Pig
>Elmer Fudd
>Tasmanian Devil == K3LT
>Road Runner
>Wile E. Coyote == W6RCA
>Foghorn Leghorn == Vince Biancomano (that's easy for him to spell!)
>Dawg (in the F.L. cartoons)
>Chicken Hawk == K8MN
>Speedy Gonzales
>Sylvester
>Tweetie == N0IMD
>Granny == LHA
>Olive Oyl == W5TIT

```
From 6970565495833899708
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 18:54:36 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 01:54:02 GMT
Message-ID: <3b217df0.161326907@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com> <3B210127.8D6@mobiletel.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.151
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.151
X-Trace: 8 Jun 2001 20:49:13 -0500, 64.24.18.151
Lines: 18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23554

On Fri, 08 Jun 2001 11:45:27 -0500, Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
wrote:

>
>Good Post, We need to look in unconvential places for our new hams.
>
Welp, that leaves out the CBers, they're the about the most
conventional of all places to find new hams. I rather suspect that a
very large number of the posters in this NG have at least some CB
experience including a flock of the 1x2 OFs. I can name two Extras who
live in the town of 4,500 I come from who came out of the CB craze of
the 70s. Ham radio chatter is all over 27mHz, take a listen, they know
who we are and what we do. And how we do it. Hooboy do they ever . . !
>
>MARTIN N5PZJ
>
W3RV, KLK-1937



From 4348872718464757766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 19:05:12 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!novia!
```

newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 21:03:05 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <3B2183D9.2F0D@mobiletel.com>
References: <3B210127.8D6@mobiletel.com> <20010608170310.14875.00000976@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun10-port229.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 992052162 13714 206.251.171.229 (9 Jun 2001 02:02:42 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2001 02:02:42 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone (Win95; I)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23555

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >Good Post, We need to look in unconvential places for our new hams.
> >
> >MARTIN N5PZJ
>
> Thanks Martin,
>
> Most people'd be amazed at the sheer power of a kind word or two. The follow up is a gentle nudge in the "right"eous direction. It worked for yours truly. Take it easy.
>
> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN

Yeap, a kind word and and a demonstation usually helps nudge things right along. I can testify to that also. I loved radios al my life. My late father was a trucker and had CB radios around the house along with the "Lean-yeer" to help out. One day we got a visit from Uncle Charley of the Federal Candy Company fame which "persuaded" Pop to get get them things outta of de house (along with a $250 fine). My late mother talked the FCC man out of the fine and promised that she would see to it that this didn't happen again. (It didn't).

A simple nudge caused the family to enroll in a ham class and get righteous. What some of our 11 meter brethern don't realize, is that the so called "thrill" of the pirate world can lead to problems like they never imagined. A local down here has been fighting a $10,000 NAL and the lawyer he has retained has managed to keep it hush-hush since he has other licenses which could be in jepardy. Most FCC actions are not reported to the public unless they are publicly ajudicated. Most are PTI (Pretrail Intervention) stuff which keeps them private and off record unless a further violation takes place.

good hams are created, not born.

73 de martin N5PZJ

```
From 5088527751440372626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 19:42:43 PST
Message-ID: <3B218CA9.D71C0049@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 21:40:41 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <20010608174652.18496.00006200@ng-
mq1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.57
X-Trace: corp 992054037 64.240.47.57 (8 Jun 2001 21:33:57 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23556
```

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
>
> Im suggesting that ARRL keep its nose out of CB, ...

You didn't answer my question.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6729103287629371268
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 19:43:50 PST
Message-ID: <3B218D02.90D2E7E4@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 21:42:10 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.57
X-Trace: corp 992054126 64.240.47.57 (8 Jun 2001 21:35:26 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23557

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
> >
> They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL

My parents in their late 80s are CBers, Brian. You think they are demons?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From -5529965396249497971
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 19:56:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 02:56:07 GMT
References: <3B218CA9.D71C0049@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010608225607.13828.00000669@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23558

>Im suggesting that ARRL keep its nose out of CB, ...
>
>You didn't answer my question.

 I answered your Question, are you having a reading Problem?


From -3980939886637763065
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 19:57:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <luJT6.4989$9m.406483@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010608203337.13868.00002093@nso-ba.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <FggU6.4150$p%4.374010@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 02:57:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.228.248
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992055461 209.245.228.248 (Fri, 08 Jun
2001 19:57:41 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 19:57:41 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 19:55:41 PDT
```

```
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23559

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010608203337.13868.00002093@nso-ba.aol.com...
> In article <luJT6.4989$9m.406483@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Kim-W5TIT"
> <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> >Dick has spent a tremendous amount of energy and time being angry with
and
> >expressing his anger toward people who may not agree with his opinions on
CW
> >testing and requirements.
>
> So have many others on this NG. Including yourself, Kim. One can find all
sorts
> of posts from all sides of the debate in here.
>
> > If I had to guess, I'd guess that the issue has a
> >tremendous amount of power over him.
>
> I would think that it was simply something people cared about a lot. On
both
> sides.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Only thing is, I think there is a bit of difference between posting in the
interest of debate, and posting through contemptuous anger, such that the
sneering can almost be heard through the monitor of a computer.  It is the
sneering, seething anger that "posseses" those who are so wrapped up in just
plain anger.  Anger controls and overpowers those who let it.  Goodness
knows, I let myself get wrapped up in it before.  Then, I considered the
source(s).

As someone aptly often says, your mileage may vary.

Kim W5TIT





From -2628872548677328561
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 21:26:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

```
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 9 Jun 2001 04:25:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9fs8gn$glo$0@208.207.71.160>
References: <3B1DA875.EBF36BA3@sccoast.net> <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3B2048BA.77BE374A@sccoast.net>
<N_4U6.942$pb1.25853@www.newsranger.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.160
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23560



K0HB wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart says:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >>
> >> Don't look now, but my friends and I
> >> aren't "whining" about CW!
> >
> >
> > Larry, you've written more about the code testing issue than anyone
> > else I can think of in this newsgroup.  I know for a fact that you
> > have written far more than I've written on the subject.  In fact, it
> > would not really surprise me to learn that you have written more on
> > the subject than I have on everything I've ever discussed in these
> > newsgroups combined.  In other words, my friend, when it comes to
> > whining about CW (testing, etc), you are the undisputed world champion.
>
> I don't believe that being a prolific writer equates to "whining".
>
> I went Funk & Wagnalls porch and looked it up, and it talks about
> "complaining in a childish and undignified way, as in NCTA (see
> also NCI)".


 As in Prolific Whining.


From -2708814817368233794
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2bb657cce8a6edc4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 21:32:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
```

newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B2198B6.89C32851@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is gay !
References: <3B3A7725@MailAndNews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 04:32:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.165.70
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992061126 63.30.165.70 (Fri, 08 Jun 2001 21:32:06 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 21:32:06 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Fri, 08 Jun 2001 21:30:06 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23561

Luidgi CORBACCIO wrote:

> CW is a gay mode, that explains why it's the AIDS of ham-radio. ITU wants to
> maintain CW ? That's only because they are all gay at the ITU. It's time to
> kill these gay dictators.
>
> All CW operators are gay, that explains that they like to be together among
> old queers. An interesting forum in psychiatry said that gay people like CW
> because jerking their "key" is a "transfer" of jerking the dick of their
> boyfriend. Amazing ? No, just reality ...
>
> Now you understand better what is really CW, it's not a radio mode but just
> a
> virtual homosexual relation. And when you will hear K3LT and others speaking
> of CW, you will laugh more :-))

To even have your troll considered here, you'll have to learn to spell
"Luigi". This one isn't even worth getting the meter out.

Dave K8MN

From -73008833186830197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 22:10:37 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 05:10:26 GMT
Message-ID: <3b21a170.170412696@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010605211453.24362.00001696@nso-fj.aol.com>
<20010608075634.24758.00000710@ng-fy1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.124
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.124
X-Trace: 9 Jun 2001 00:05:37 -0500, 64.24.16.124
Lines: 94
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23562

On 08 Jun 2001 11:56:34 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>That 756 PRO has filters that will literally
>remove a signal 200 Hz away to the point that you can hear his keying sidebands
>but not his keying.
>
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

The way I see this discussion is that we're dueling over the realtive merits of mostly high-end DSP xcvrs vs. mostly conventionally "filtered" somewhat DSP high-end xcvrs. The front-end dynamic ranges, BDRs, TTIMDs and the rest of that geekery as they relate to comparisons between the 756 Pro DSP thingey and the "archaic" INRAD and Collins filtered competitive FT-1000MP Mark V are very similar according to the ARRL lab reviews of both.
>

You might note that the selectivity nummers for the 500hZ filters in the lab review of the 756 Pro are significantly better for the 756 than they are for the Mark V. Duly repudiated below out on the bands. Go figger, Ed. When was the last time you sent yer lab instruments out for new calibration stickers Ed?? I wuz gonna say something really nasty like sending yer reviewers out for recalibration while yer at it but I'll restrain myself.
>
>

Surfed into a website:
>

http://www.muohio.edu/~4cx250b/web/markv.htm
>

Copy-pasted from same: 756 vs. Mark V
>

But features don't tell the whole story! Although the Mark V doesn't do as much as the 756PRO, what it does, it does brilliantly. For instance, I checked the s-meter sensitivity on 160m, 20m, and 10m on both radios with my HP-8640 signal generator. An S-9 signal is supposed to be 50 uV. However, S-9 on the Icom wandered between 72uV and 96uV on the three bands (no preamp). An S-9 on the Mark V (no preamp) was exactly 50uV on all three bands -- a minor accomplishment, admittedly, but a sign that the designers paid close attention to detail.

In A-B comparisons, the audio on the Mark V always sounded better -- crisper, and without any of the tell-tale hollowness of DSP processing. CW signals on the Icom sounded a bit mushy.

The Mark V was also a clear winner in selectivity tests. In fact, I've never before heard a receiver that seemed almost to have no "skirts" on its filters. CW signals just vanished abruptly when they toppled over the filter's edge, leaving behind only a few key clicks. The Yaesu's combination of crystal filtering at the 2nd and 3rd IFs, and DSP filtering at the 4th IF certainly seems to work. You're paying top dollars for all that selectivity, but the results are remarkable.

In these tests, I noted that the DSP-based Icom filters seemed to have broader skirts on the wider bandwidths. In one strong-signal test, I injected a 100,000 uV signal into both radios at 28.600 MHz and looked at the rolloff of their 2.4 kHz filters. This kind of test introduces a large amount of "hash" near the signal frequency. On the Yaesu, the signal dropped from full strength (about S9+60db) into this backgraund hash in about 200 Hz. On the Icom, it took nearly 700 Hz for the signal to drop into the hash.

I then tuned the receiver to 28.500 MHz, 100kHz away from the 100,000 uV signal. When I switched the signal on and off, I could hear just a barely perceptible increase in the background noise on the Yaesu. In contrast, the hash in the Icom jumped 20 db above its noise floor when the signal switched on. In essence, the huge signal 100 kHz away didn't cause the Yaesu to bat an eye, but it would have completely obliterated any weak signals on the Icom.

I preferred the tuning of the Mark V over the Icom. The large main tuning knob is a joy. (It's larger than the knob on the FT-1000MP; in fact, it's the same diameter as the tuning knob on the Collins 75A4.) The knob is significantly larger than the 756PRO's knob, and it turns with a velvety feel that is outstanding. The Yaesu's sub-receiver is also better than Icom's. It's truly a separate dual-conversion receiver, with its own filters and tuning knob. The noise blankers and DSP noise filters worked equally well on both radios.

If I had to sum up the two radios, I'd say that the IC-756PRO is a Cadillac and the Mark V/FT1000MP is a Porsche. They're both fine rigs, but intended for different drivers. For just crusin' around the bands or hanging out with the gang on 3865 kHz, the Icom would do just fine. It's not only a pleasure to use, but lots of fun. However, for full-bore competition in the CQ World-Wide DX Contest, or digging weak signals out of a pileup, I'd take the Yaesu in a heartbeat.

End of.
>
w3rv

From -1173256753967740016
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 22:15:40 PST
Message-ID: <3B21B090.52CD9FA7@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 00:13:52 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B218CA9.D71C0049@IEEE.org> <20010608225607.13828.00000669@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.78
X-Trace: corp 992063227 64.240.47.78 (9 Jun 2001 00:07:07 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23563

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > > Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?

> >Im suggesting that ARRL keep its nose out of CB, ...

> >You didn't answer my question.
>
> I answered your Question, are you having a reading Problem?

Was the answer 'yes' or 'no'? I don't see either.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5086534696058577586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 22:50:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 05:50:07 GMT
References: <0kfU6.1623$pb1.60520@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010609015007.18087.00004260@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23564

>Subject: Re: Puke.
>From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET
>Date: 6/8/01 8:53 PM Central Daylight Time

>>Bugs Bunny
>>Daffy Duck == K4YZ
>>Porky Pig
>>Elmer Fudd<<<<~~~~Left Yourself Out, Mr Brokeslob
>>Tasmanian Devil == K3LT
>>Road Runner
>>Wile E. Coyote == W6RCA
>>Foghorn Leghorn == Vince Biancomano (that's easy for him to spell!)
>>Dawg (in the F.L. cartoons)
>>Chicken Hawk == K8MN
>>Speedy Gonzales
>>Sylvester
>>Tweetie == N0IMD
>>Granny == LHA
>>Olive Oyl == W5TIT

From 2872003928616031241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-08 23:42:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 06:42:09 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Maia Strikes Again
Message-ID: <20010609024209.18137.00003804@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23565

In another example of capitalism gone awry, I see "The Fred" has found an on-line accomplice to bilk citizens out of money for something that they can do for themselves for free.

I am VERY disappointed that it was a service as reputable as "QRZ.com". Almost 80% of all testing irregularities are "W5YI" graduates, and I for one would be very gun shy about being associated with such an entity.

Quote from QRZ:

The W5YI-VEC/QRZ online update service costs just $6 and major credit cards are accepted online. The fee includes all taxes and postage. Your new or updated certificate will be mailed directly to you from the FCC.

Unquote.

From -363590880011019279
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2bb657cce8a6edc4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 01:21:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "Unclaimed Mysteries" <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: CW is gay !
Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2001 03:19:50 -0500
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 88

Message-ID: <9fsm8t$ffj$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
References: <3B3A7725@MailAndNews.com> <3B2198B6.89C32851@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: a5.79.ed.fb
X-Server-Date: 9 Jun 2001 08:20:45 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6600
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6600
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23566

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3B2198B6.89C32851@earthlink.net...
> Luidgi CORBACCIO wrote:
> >
> > CW is a gay mode, that explains why it's the AIDS of ham-radio. ITU
wants to
> > maintain CW ? That's only because they are all gay at the ITU. It's time
to
> > kill these gay dictators.
> >
> > All CW operators are gay, that explains that they like to be together
among
> > old queers. An interesting forum in psychiatry said that gay people
like CW
> > because jerking their "key" is a "transfer" of jerking the dick of their
> > boyfriend. Amazing ? No, just reality ...
> >
> > Now you understand better what is really CW, it's not a radio mode but
just
> > a
> > virtual homosexual relation. And when you will hear K3LT and others
speaking
> > of CW, you will laugh more :-))
>
>
> To even have your troll considered here, you'll have to learn to spell
> "Luigi". This one isn't even worth getting the meter out.
>
> Dave K8MN

I see some promise in the kid. But he's GOT to lay off the "All" bit. That
makes for very steenky bait.

I'd start with this:

****
"Subject: Homoerotic symbolism in Morse Code communications"

(You gotta go highbrow to sell this one. This could be from some poor
psych/sociology major who came into the newsgroup for clarification after
stumbling across some "research." Don't use the term CW - it's ham jargon
and a giveaway.)

"I understand ham radio enthusiasts are partial to communicating with Morse Code. Having done some research and learning that the vast majority of hams are male, I did further investigation and came across these surprising findings..."

(Uh-oh. I'm tempted to make up the name of an obscure professional journal here and mention some study pointing to the association of manipulating a key with sexual acts. It gives that good ol' gravitas to the post and sets the hook. But these days you can't get away with much because there's always some debate club weenie who'll ask for a specific citation. Then you have your work cut out for you. On the other hand, the kid's got a good idea saying he heard about the gay/CW connection from a forum of some sort. Much harder to pin down but not as authoritative.)

"...their arguments were that the act of pulling and pushing the Morse Code key is not only a symbolic pleasuring of the other, but indeed Morse Code communication is a metaphor for the secret language that exists in the wider gay community."

(Went with the "forum," so I have to pull out all the stops.)

"So I'd like to ask those in this group: Do these theories agree with your experiences? I know little about ham radio itself and was surprised it still existed."

(Heh, heh. You can't coach inflammatory rhetoric like that.)

"Luigi Corbaccio"

(Yeah you gotta get the name right. Even if it's a legitimate alternate spelling. You don't want to draw attention away from the message.Wait a minute. Never mind. Scratch that one.)
****

Hope this helps.

73, Corry K4DOH

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net/

From 2376770484847137035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2bb657cce8a6edc4
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 02:35:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-

beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 09:35:01 GMT
References: <9fsm8t$ffj$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW is gay !
Message-ID: <20010609053501.21484.00001165@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23567

>Subject: Re: CW is gay !
>From: "Unclaimed Mysteries" k4doh@mindspring.com
>Date: 6/9/01 3:19 AM Central

>I'd start with this:

Great stuff! FU N N Y ! ! ! !

(Except I am sure Larry and Hans are wishing Luigi and Anita Bryant would mind thier own business right now.............)

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 8513725475584977783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:08:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 10:08:32 GMT
References: <20010609024209.18137.00003804@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again

Message-ID: <20010609060832.13401.00003072@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23568

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>In another example of capitalism gone awry, I see "The Fred" has found an
>on-line accomplice to bilk citizens out of money for something that they can
>do for themselves for free.

Do you know of any other business that will handle the paperwork of filing a federal application for you for $6.00? I have been the FCC ULS site and it sure is a confusing mess. Fortunately, ARRL performs the same service for ARRL members, for free, so when it is time for me to renew, I am going to go upstairs and ask the VEC folks to do it for me.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4258491574929498384
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:12:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!feeder.via.net!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 06:13:47 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net>
References: <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-ch.aol.com> <20010607053256.13725.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992081537 51391 207.144.64.102 (9 Jun 2001 10:12:17 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2001 10:12:17 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23569

W1RFI wrote:
>
> Let's ask the point directly.

>
> Dwight, I passed a 20-wpm code test
> and can handle 35 wpm paper copy and
> 45 wpm head copy. Do you believe
> this is to my discredit?

Of course not. At this point, I'd just be thrilled to pass the 5 wpm test. In fact, based on my experience with the code program I downloaded last week, I doubt I'll ever get up to the speeds you're talking about. Any clues? While I can recognize individual characters when they're repeated alone, once the program starts sending groups of characters I've supposedly learned, all those beeps quickly start sounding the same to me. I don't think I'm any closer to taking that test now than when I started. Aaaggghhhh!

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -2007634636129831666
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:12:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 10:12:16 GMT
References: <20010608203337.13868.00002091@nso-ba.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke):  Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010609061216.13401.00003073@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23570
```

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>All right, all right, if we're gonna tell FCC exam session stories, I'll tell
>mine.

<snip>

Now, Jim, that tale can't be true. You don't work at ARRL HQ and have a cushy job to protect, so you couldn't possibly say those things. :-)

Thanks for the memory. It sounds like your recollection of the test is a bit more complete than mine.

```
73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -5199581805161924442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:14:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!
news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 06:15:57 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3B21F710.97589CD5@sccoast.net>
References: <3B1DA875.EBF36BA3@sccoast.net> <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3B2048BA.77BE374A@sccoast.net>
<N_4U6.942$pb1.25853@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.102
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992081665 51391 207.144.64.102 (9 Jun 2001 10:14:25
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2001 10:14:25 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23571

K0HB wrote:
>
> I don't believe that being a prolific
> writer equates to "whining".


  In this case, it does.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net


From 5185968210659128731
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:14:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 10:13:39 GMT
References: <0kfU6.1623$pb1.60520@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010609061339.13401.00003074@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23572

From: K0HB K0HB@QWEST.NET

>>Now THERE'S an idea: match up the various rrap denizens with cartoon
>>characters! What a great game!

>>Bugs Bunny

Now, how could you leave that one blank, Hans? There is only one natural for that one!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3505300058655728235
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:15:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 10:15:18 GMT
References: <20010608203337.13868.00002093@nso-ba.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010609061518.13401.00003075@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23573

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>I would think that it was simply something people cared about a lot. On both

>sides.

I think that some of those that care about this care about it deeply. I rarely find the subject of code testing to be discussed outside this group. It is far from the most important issue facing Amateur Radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4567758836889511549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:19:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 10:18:54 GMT
References: <c2356669.0106080912.5e4ffbf3@posting.google.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609061854.13401.00003076@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23574

From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)

>> >From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>> > I think the Picture was of him and some guy giving him a Free Handout of
>> > some kinda of CB Radio, if you find the picture look and see if hes got
>> > his Pocket Protector on, I dont remember.

>> I forgot which issue it was in, but that is the one where there is an Up
>>Front picture of my accepting the donation of an Elecraft K2 for W1AW.

>> Not quite a "cb" radio,

>HAW!! That's a GREAT one Ed!

>Lessee... the rig in question:

>- Must be assembled from a kit and aligned before use.
>- Comes with only CW as stock. Other modes require options.
>- WILL NOT transmit in the two MHz below 28.000 (and you can't clip a wire or
> diode to make it do so)
>- Really does a nice job on CW. And other modes, too. No AM, though. Sorry.

As a fellow AOL sufferer, you have probably watched Bruce's peeing in the AOL

"Ham Radio" forum sandbox until everyone else simply stopped coming. It appears he is just trying to do it here. Fortunately, there are enough other participants that I can safely skip over his posts and still have plenty of posts with meaning and content to read.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6465821058962437210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:26:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 10:26:25 GMT
References: <beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609062625.13401.00003078@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23575

>From: kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig)

>So you see, when that ARRL
>elected "employee" receives an e-mail from that relatively new Tech,
>asking what their position is regarding 11 meters...he/she may want to
>think twice before spewing the usual anti-CBer line.

Can you offer any examples of this "usual" anti-CBer line? Although I believe that ARRL should remain devoted to Amateur Radio, I also bear no animosity at all against the legit CB operator. Why should I?

The ones I do have a problem with, personally, are those that are NOT CBers but choose to operate outside the CB bands or those using high-powered amplifiers.

I have heard from a number of hams who are resolving RFI problems with neighbors, but do not recall even a single instance of a "CBer" with an amp asking for my help. Now, admittedly, most CBers would probably not turn to ARRL for help, knowing that our technical help is a membership service, but I have noted that about 15% of the hams that contact us with a question are not current members. It stands to reason that those that think they are above the law wrt radio operation would probably not be too ashamed to ask ARRL about RFI if they wanted to fix it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9148296598493193092
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:29:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 10:28:50 GMT
References: <beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609062850.13401.00003079@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23576

>From: kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig)

> mod it for 10/11 meters, and connect it to
>their modified RCI-2950DX to be displayed prominently in their vehicle

Actually, from what I can tell, the 2950DX is not nearly as easy to mod as the non-DX model. The mods listed on the mods sites for the "new" 2950 did not work on the one I checked in the Lab. If you have any info to the contrary, let me know.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3355658776011738882
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:34:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 10:34:35 GMT
References: <20010608225607.13828.00000669@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS

Message-ID: <20010609063435.13401.00003080@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23577

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Im suggesting that ARRL keep its nose out of CB, ...

>>You didn't answer my question.

> I answered your Question, are you having a reading Problem?

Cecil, do you think that ARRL can keep its nose out of CB and demonize CBers at the same time? It appears to me that Bruce answered your question. He couldn't resist taking a cheap shot at a nice guy like me in the process, but he answered your question.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1915676837481015255
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 21:51:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 10 Jun 2001 04:51:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9fuuc6$nft$2@208.206.142.91>
References: <20010608174652.18496.00006200@ng-mq1.aol.com>
<20010609202545.25284.00003172@ng-ck1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23678

Mark Pearsal wrote:
>
> >Im suggesting that ARRL keep its nose out of CB, its none of there concern
>
> Finally, a point with whici I can agree.
>
> >, now

```
> >ED will come on here with more of his ARRL BS and try and defend them, it
> >most
> >be terrible to be so worried about Job that you willingly become the Mouth
> >piece for ARRL.
>
> But there's no call for being rude.


 But you see it as perfectly proper to stick your CB noise into ham
radio. Typical.


From -5348123675362949104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 22:00:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com> <20010608165913.14875.00000975@ng-
bh1.news.cs.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Lines: 87
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <1aDU6.21966$s12.601096@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 05:00:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 992149245 24.131.233.143 (Sun, 10 Jun 2001
01:00:45 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 01:00:45 EDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23679


"Bert Craig" <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote in message =
news:20010608165913.14875.00000975@ng-bh1.news.cs.com...
> I disagree with you. I know many 11 meter "enthusiasts" that weren't =
the thrill
> seekers you describe. While I'm sure there are some, perhaps many, who =
fit that
> bill...
```

Which is what I had originally said. I never said "all" if you go back =
and reread that post. Those are the "problem" outlaw CBer who will never =
be interested in Ham Radio, or if they do with end up being a "problem" =
Ham.

>=20
> "The only thing you can do for these people is pull the plug on them."
>=20
> Take your best shot, Leland. If you can make a dent...God bless you. I =
must
> advise you though that an older "uncle" of yours with much deeper =
pockets
> already has a thirty plus year old model for you to study.

If they had deep pockets there would be little problem now. If you read =
many of the posts on this news group you will quickly learn that the =
major problem with FCC enforcement, either Ham or CB, is the lack of =
enough funds. That can be changed by applying pressure to our congress =
person(s) to get them to allocate more funds to the FCC with the express =
understanding it will be used for enforcement of rules and regulations.

> It's been a=20
> demonstrably dismal failure and can no longer even be classified as a
> work-in-progerss. "Uncle Charlie" has a far better track record with =
licensed
> amateurs than with "freebanders," and the "freebanders he DOES nab =
usually turn
> out to be hams...go figure?!

Hams have been begging the FCC for a long time for better enforcement of =
activities on our bands, so now we have it. So the fact that the FCC log =
shows primarly Hams getting nailed should not be a complete surprise. =
The same can't be said so far about 11m, other than the option that =
local police departments can enforce Part 95 rules at their convenience.

> "Then on the slight chance they do get licensed, do we really need to =
add to
> the problems on lets say 75m, where high power and language seem to be =
a bit
> out of control?"
>=20
> I think there's more than a slight chance and I wholeheartedly agree =
with you
> re. 75m. Shouldn't the league and AR be more concerned with it's own =
back yard
> than 11 meters? Take it easy Leland.

That's what I like to call the "ostrich syndrome" that seems to plague =
many Hams. Consider that there are specific rules in Part 97 due to the =
use of Ham equipment illegally on 11m, namely H.F. amplifiers, which =
places restrictions on equipment design and sale. Now we are seeing more =
activity on the 10m band due to freebanders. This is the result of the =

increase in availability of cheap "export radios", which can be modified =
to operate on both 10m, 12m and 11m too. Now the question is just how =
bad, or how long, does the problem have to be before the Ham community =
pulls its collective head out of the ground and do something about it? =
What is going on with the 11m band is just a sample of what is spilling =
over in to the Ham bands. If we don't watch out the "Freebanders" will =
continue to setup camp on the lower end of 10m, particularly if there is =
no enforcement action. The same will happen on other bands too, where we =
will have to deal with bootleggers that have no fear of the law.=20

--=20
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--=20
"We learn in time that it is not subjects which
are controversial, but the manner in which
we communicate about them and the
elements of personal blame we
add to them in anger."

From 4643748092656748954
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 22:04:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com> <393F8EE6.3FC2479E@home.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com>
<ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com> <3b21325e$1@news2.mibx.net>
<3B217F12.2EF2@mobiletel.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Lines: 38
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <zdDU6.21967$s12.601090@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 05:04:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 992149471 24.131.233.143 (Sun, 10 Jun 2001
01:04:31 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 01:04:31 EDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23680


"Martin" <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message =
news:3B217F12.2EF2@mobiletel.com...
> Cheap thrills in freeband, I want to them be real thrill seekers and
> operate on=20
> 2 meters sans license.  75 and 20 are good alternatives.=20
>=20
> Antihero? After a certain point, say 30 years of age, I am the
> establishment, much better to declare my independance, do it Sinatra
> Style with the Ace in the hole
> covering my backside.  Nobody can dispute with the rebel when he =
doesn't
> present a good target!
> To each their own, you pays ur money and takes ur chances.=20
>=20
>=20
> Pull the plug? Or fine em?=20
>=20
> Proof that no one Radio Service has an exclusive lock on stupidity!
>=20
>=20
> "Be a hero not a zero, keydown at the Gettysburg."

^^^^^Just another example of the CB Radio mentality I was talking about.


--=20
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--=20
"I care about truth not for truth's sake
but for my own."

  Samuel Butler



From -8833088513016961025
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 22:39:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 10 Jun 2001 05:39:43 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <9fv16v$3qu$0@208.207.71.139>
References: <FggU6.4150$p%4.374010@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010609103417.04014.00000103@nso-bk.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23681



N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <FggU6.4150$p%4.374010@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:
>
> > I think there is a bit of difference between posting in the
> >interest of debate, and posting through contemptuous anger, such that the
> >sneering can almost be heard through the monitor of a computer.
>
> I agree 100%. There's no shortage of that sort of stuff around rrap - on any
> side of any issue.
>
> >It is the
> >sneering, seething anger that "posseses" those who are so wrapped up in just
> >plain anger.
>
> True. There is also the fact that what is written here can be misinterpreted.
> One writer's humor can be read by some as anger or insult.
>
> >Anger controls and overpowers those who let it.
>
> Sure - but anger also has a purpose. I recall a man who got angry at seeing the
> money changers in the house of God.
>
> >Goodness
> >knows, I let myself get wrapped up in it before.  Then, I considered the
> >source(s).
>
> Well, there you go.
```

>
> Nothing wrong with getting angry as long as it doesn't control a person or lead
> to violence. On the other hand, some people don't allow themselves to feel
> strongly about anything. That's worse.

As can be easily seen, the clueless automatically gravitate to labeling anything not totally accomodating to the dumbing-down to "anger", with no thought whatever to any possible disappointment, disgust or disallusionment of those who have seen the ARS taken from its place of high regard and real value to becoming a not-so-glorified version of DC to Daylight CB.

I suppose that is to be expected, and indeed it is.

```
From 7508618763531050709
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 22:46:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 10 Jun 2001 05:46:44 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <9fv1k4$3qu$1@208.207.71.139>
References: <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net> <20010609223638.05187.00001054@nso-cg.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.139
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23682
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
> <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:
>
> >
> > Of course not. At this point, I'd just be thrilled to pass the 5
> >wpm test. In fact, based on my experience with the code program I
> >downloaded last week, I doubt I'll ever get up to the speeds you're
> >talking about. Any clues? While I can recognize individual
> >characters when they're repeated alone, once the program starts

```
> >sending groups of characters I've supposedly learned, all those beeps
> >quickly start sounding the same to me.  I don't think I'm any closer
> >to taking that test now than when I started.  Aaagggghhhh!
> >
> >
> >Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)
>
> Dwight:
>
> I'm tempted to say, "It's all attitude," but attitude does have a great
> deal to do with one's ability to learn the code.  I had the exact same
> problem for the entire time of my life when I was also dead-set against
> the code testing requirements.  I couldn't learn it, because I really
> didn't *want* to learn it!  Then, one fine day, I decided that I wanted
> to be a ham more than I didn't want to learn the code, so I made the
> further decision to embrace the code, and determined to become a
> proficient CW operator.  That was my epiphany.
>
> My guess is that if you could truly drop ALL of your objections to
> the code, including any misgivings you have toward code testing --
> no matter *why* you have them, and thoroughly give your mind over
> to the notion that *you* are going to become a proficient CW operator,
> you will achieve it with greater ease than you ever imagined.  Just
> remember that now that the testing requirement maxxes out at a
> useless 5 WPM, all the motivation must come from within your own
> psyche.  I know you can do it.
>



 I don't know that he can. In fact, I seriously believe that he truly
cannot. Nor that he ever will. He is one of those who just don't want
to. So he never will.


From -9074000530728839141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 04:36:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 11:35:48 GMT
References: <9fuu1q$nft$1@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610073548.21964.00003533@ng-mj1.aol.com>
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23683

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> Right. That's a policy change. Previous to the January BOD meeting, the
>>policy was that ARRL leadership supported S25.5. Now the policy is "ARRL
>>doesn't care what happens to S25.5". That's a BIG policy change.

> Naw, Jim, that's just you and Larry seeing another "demon".

I disagree, Dick. It is a policy change wrt the international aspects of Morse code testing.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7188596588982190056
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 04:38:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 11:37:45 GMT
References: <9futad$lol$0@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610073745.21964.00003534@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23684
```

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> In what way did the FCC make any money off of its decision?

> ARE you kidding?? FCC has been divesting itself of direct
>responsibility for the Amateur Radio Service for the past 20 years. NONE
>of the testing responsibility has been retained, and now the license
>structure has been trimmed as much as they thought feasable at all, all
>the while virtually abandoning all except lip service to "a
>fundamentally technical service". Where will it end? I'd hate to guess,
>considering the latest news on 'license-free licensing" proposal just
>landed in the news.

Your response didn't mention any money that the FCC will make from its change to the Amateur Radio Service. In what way do you think that the FCC made money from its restructuring of the Service?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3129010735416663277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 04:40:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 11:39:33 GMT
References: <9fusqm$jel$2@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610073933.21964.00003535@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23685
```

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> Love you lying obfuscators. No one here has yet demonized "all CBers".

The thread history:

>> > >> >Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you
>do?

>> > >> They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL

Looks pretty clear to me what this is "all" about...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 3467084498454318789
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 04:42:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

```
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 11:42:19 GMT
References: <9fusoa$jel$1@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610074219.21964.00003536@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23686

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Un-huh. But he sure gave Hell to the many proven unrepenatent
>moneychangers.

Yes, He did, but from what I have been told, giving Hell is His job, not yours
or mine.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 5441032491476379352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:30:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:30:02 GMT
References: <20010610073745.21964.00003534@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610083002.24719.00000839@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23687

>Your response didn't mention any money that the FCC will make from its change
>to the Amateur Radio Service.

Ed I know you not as Dumb as you try to act at times, but since  you want to
play that way, if the FCC has less an Less to do with Ham Radio then it would
appear they would be using less resources, which in turn would save them money,
which in turn would make them money. But my comment was not that the FCC would
be making money on this, and you know it also, just another diversion on your
part.


From -8637971933956703283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:34:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:33:45 GMT
References: <20010610073548.21964.00003533@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610083345.24719.00000840@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23688

>It is a policy change wrt the international aspects of Morse
>code testing.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RF

No its another marketing scheme by ARRL, It hopes that they will gain favor with the CBers and CBplussers, and in turn suck more money out of the New ones.

From 7223304002501123923
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:34:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:34:07 GMT
References: <20010609202849.25284.00003174@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610083407.04014.00000139@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23689

In article <20010609202849.25284.00003174@ng-ck1.aol.com>, radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal) writes:

>Now that's interesting. Thanks Jim, take care and 73.
>
>Mark

You're welcome. Of course, that was about 35 years before the service known as "Citizens Band" began.
>
>>True Trivia Fact: For most of 1921 and part of 1922, QST covers bore the
>>words
>>"devoted to citizen wireless" or "devoted to citizen radio". This was in
>>response to the idea that the words "amateur" and "ham" were derogatory.
>>
The name was a dud - never caught on.

Old issues of QST are often auctioned on eBay. Most sellers include a picture of the cover, so you can verify this fun fact easily.

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 5507215793655277859
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:34:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:34:08 GMT
References: <20010609061216.13401.00003073@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke):  Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010610083408.04014.00000140@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23691
```

In article <20010609061216.13401.00003073@ng-mn1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>All right, all right, if we're gonna tell FCC exam session stories, I'll
>tell
>>mine.
>
><snip>
>
>Now, Jim, that tale can't be true.

(Madeline Kahn voice): "It's twue! it's twue!"

>You don't work at ARRL HQ and have a
>cushy
>job to protect, so you couldn't possibly say those things. :-)

First law of engineering: "If it happens, it must be possible".

It happened exactly as described. That was a different era. Different processes and different standards. Were the tests "harder" then? Depends on one's point of view.

I know something about those "cushy jobs" at Hq. Frankly, I don't have anywhere near the qualifications needed to work there.
>
>Thanks for the memory. It sounds like your recollection of the test is a bit
>more complete than mine.
>
You're welcome. One of the few things I'm fairly decent at is amateur radio history in the USA, both in general and my own personal experiences. This annoys certain people no end ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -9115372908451592282
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:34:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 41
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:34:06 GMT
References: <20010609221348.23936.00000249@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610083406.04014.00000138@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23690

In article <20010609221348.23936.00000249@ng-cd1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>What I saw is that the ARRL BOD will continue to support code testing as an
>>FCC requirement. But that policy is open for review and change at any time.
>
>Under the bylaws, any ARRLpolicy is open for review and change at any time.
>Would you expect any organization to freeze its policies for all time?

>
Perhaps I misunderstood the following:

(begin quote from earlier post, referring to the BOD's action):

>It has also affirmed that its present policy that it
>supports the retention of Morse code testing for HF access in the US will not
>change.

(end quote)

Sounded to me like you're saying the policy was carved in stone. Obviously, that's not so.

>> Too bad so many do not
>>realize that ARRL BOD policy is made by the BOD, and nobody else in
>>Newington.
>>And the BOD is elected by the members.
>
>I think they realize that quite well.

I dunno, Ed. Sometimes I wonder.

>What would rrap be without trolling?
>:-)
A better place? ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY
>73,

From 7130206402391896601
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:34:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:34:08 GMT
References: <gffU6.1620$pb1.60520@www.newsranger.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010610083408.04014.00000141@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23692

In article <gffU6.1620$pb1.60520@www.newsranger.com>, K0HB<K0HB@QWEST.NET>
writes:

>In article <20010608203337.13868.00002091@nso-ba.aol.com>, N2EY says...
>
>>First time I went for General was in early summer 1968, age of 14. FCC
>office
>>in Philly was a subway ride away (plus a half mile walk at each end of the
>>subway ride). I'd had the Novice since October of 1967, and thought I was
>>ready.
>
>Subway? You got to ride the subway? In the summer? Really!

Yeah. Youse ever ride the subway in Philly in August? It ain't no "dry heat".
>
>Back in 63 we had to take the tests in Fargo, ND in November or February only
>from a "circuit rider" FCC agent out of St. Paul. We didn't have no
>steenking
>subway from Twin Valley, 30 miles away. The trip was over snow covered
>prairie,
>uphill both ways, on our hands and knees, 35 degrees below zero. We didn't
>need no steenking subway!

"and then the rains came and washed al the crops away...."

> (Well, the circuit rider part is true anyhow!)

HAW!!

This 2-land call is from the time I spent in the Finger Lakes region of New York State. Had the territory from Syracuse to Rochester. Two seasons up there: winter and the Fourth of July. A typical Labor Day activity was putting on the snow tires. We bought dry gas by the case and rock salt in 100 pound bags. Only real problem was lake-effect snow.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

From -4301973489113586710
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:36:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:35:32 GMT
References: <9fuuc6$nft$2@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610083532.24719.00000841@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23693

>But you see it as perfectly proper to stick your CB noise into ham
>radio. Typical.
>

 Sure it is as far as ARRL is concerned, they want to recruit new Members.


From 1068755204697866655
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:41:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cpk-news-
hub1.bbnplanet.com!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 12:40:24 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <3b22fe95.12834852@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010607054536.13725.00000231@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<20010609075449.03759.00004727@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.1f
X-Server-Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:40:48 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23696

On 09 Jun 2001 11:54:49 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <20010607054536.13725.00000231@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) writes:
>
>>>There is no requirement for me to be able to send/receive faster than
>>>30 WPM, so I'm going to whine anytime I darn please!
>>
>>There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5 wpm,
>>either...
>
>Ed:
>The point is, if no requirement for me to send/receive faster than 5 WPM
>ever existed, I most likely would not be able to do so now.  It is my strong
```

>belief that reducing the testing requirement to 5 WPM will, in fact, bring
>an eventual end to the *use* of CW in the ARS due to the fact that
>a rapidly declining base of users will force changes in present bandplans
>to permit voice mode operation in presently CW/DATA band segments.
>Virtually to a man, the NCTA's insist that they have nothing against
>the *use* of CW --

True.

>...but they strongly object to the only thing that ensures
>that CW can continue to be used by a significant portion of hams.

That is YOUR opinion only. If such becomes true, it will because
YOU and other devotees of CW use gave up on it. Strange that
all sorts of past technologies are kept going by loyal groups
of supporters without any mandated requirements needed
to recruit new participants...archery, muscket shooting, manual
shift automobiles, etc. Why shouldn't morse code use be in
that same catagory?

>This is one reason why I've always recommended total grant
>of voice spectrum for the Gen/Adv classes, and retaining the Extra-class
>and it's DX-rich spectrum as main prize for CW aficionados. Why
>this compromise never gained any traction, only the FCC knows. I do
>know that this proposal, and others like it made during the comment
>period on the Restructuring NPRM, received a great deal of consideration.
>The fact that this win/win compromise never made it into the final
>ruling suggests to me that the best interests of the ARS had little to do
>with the final decision.

More that the proposal wasn't valued as you see it.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5432321275685405370
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:41:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 12:40:19 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3b22e818.7076391@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010609112239.13860.00000802@ng-fl1.aol.com>

```
<20010609133119.24094.00000214@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.1f
X-Server-Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:40:43 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23697

On 09 Jun 2001 17:31:19 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>>Morse code testing has not changed. It
>>has made a policy decision that it will not devote ARRL resources to opposing
>>any changes of S25.5. It has also affirmed that its present policy that it
>>supports the retention of Morse code testing for HF access in the US will not
>>change.
>
> What a bunch of Weenies, talk about Double Talk BS, one comment they say they
>wont oppose the Change in s25 by not providing resources, than say they support
>retention of CW. Duh ARRL you cant have it both ways,

Duh, get to understand there is a difference.  S25.5 imposes
the CW requirement on the participating treaty countries.  If and
when S25.5 is deleted from the treaty, the issue becomes an
individual country by country decision as to dropping whatever
code testing they have in place.  The current ARRL position
simply indicates they will not oppose deletion of the code
requirement from the treaty, but they will oppose the deletion
of code testing as a USA requirement.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK



From 8062639631776448133
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:41:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 12:40:25 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3b23699b.1460843@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010610073745.21964.00003534@ng-mj1.aol.com>
<20010610083002.24719.00000839@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.1f
```

X-Server-Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:40:49 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23701

On 10 Jun 2001 12:30:02 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>>Your response didn't mention any money that the FCC will make from its change
>>to the Amateur Radio Service.
>
>Ed I know you not as Dumb as you try to act at times, but since you want to
>play that way, if the FCC has less an Less to do with Ham Radio then it would
>appear they would be using less resources, which in turn would save them money,
>which in turn would make them money. But my comment was not that the FCC would
>be making money on this, and you know it also, just another diversion on your
>part.

Diversion? The FCC did NOT need to restructure last year to save
money. Indeed, the increase in enforcement via Mr Holingsworth
has probably offset any net savings (assuming there have been any).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -5283309898489428962
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:41:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 12:40:18 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3b22e4da.6246805@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B1DA875.EBF36BA3@sccoast.net> <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-ch.aol.com> <3B2048BA.77BE374A@sccoast.net>
<N_4U6.942$pb1.25853@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.1f
X-Server-Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:40:42 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23694

On Fri, 08 Jun 2001 14:07:41 GMT, K0HB<k0hb@qwest.net> wrote:

>Dwight Stewart says:
>

>>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>>
>>> Don't look now, but my friends and I
>>> aren't "whining" about CW!
>>
>> Larry, you've written more about the code testing issue than anyone
>> else I can think of in this newsgroup. I know for a fact that you
>> have written far more than I've written on the subject. In fact, it
>> would not really surprise me to learn that you have written more on
>> the subject than I have on everything I've ever discussed in these
>> newsgroups combined. In other words, my friend, when it comes to
>> whining about CW (testing, etc), you are the undisputed world champion.
>
>I don't believe that being a prolific writer equates to "whining".
>
>I went Funk & Wagnalls porch and looked it up, and it talks about
>"complaining in a childish and undignified way, as in NCTA (see
>also NCI)".

Amazing that anyone "complaining in a childish and
undignified way" can standup to FCC scrutiny via
comments filed and/or ex parte presentation.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -3152014445230136462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:41:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 12:40:20 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 67
Message-ID: <3b22eb41.7885895@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010609112239.13860.00000802@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010609143333.03511.00000187@nso-fp.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.1f
X-Server-Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:40:45 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23695

On 09 Jun 2001 18:33:33 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <20010609112239.13860.00000802@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) writes:
>
>>>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>>
>>>Ed can no more speak out in favor of code testing here than he can fly --
>>>and he damn well knows it.
>>
>>Um, Larry, ARRL's present position wrt Morse code testing has not changed.
>
>I disagree Ed.
>
>>It
>>has made a policy decision that it will not devote ARRL resources to opposing
>>any changes of S25.5.
>
>Right. That's a policy change. Previous to the January BOD meeting, the policy
>was that ARRL leadership supported S25.5. Now the policy is "ARRL doesn't care
>what happens to S25.5". That's a BIG policy change.
>
>>It has also affirmed that its present policy that it
>>supports the retention of Morse code testing for HF access in the US will not
>>change.
>
>That's NOT what I read.

You must read different versions of QST than I do.

>What I saw is that the ARRL BOD will continue to support code testing as an FCC
> requirement. But that policy is open for review and change at any time.

How does that change what Ed said? Any individual policy is always
subject to change. All any BOD can ever do is to adopt a given
policy that stays in effect until a future BOD meeting might change
it. Even the USA constitution isn't beyond being changed by
future amendments.

>And anyone who looks at the big picture can see the problem: FCC has repeatedly
>said that S25.5 is the ONLY thing that is keeping code testing alive in the
>USA. Their exact words were that code testing serves "no regulatory purpose"
>other than conformity to S25.5. I'm no lawyer, but that's pretty unambiguous to
>me.

Sounds good to me.

>If S25.5 goes (some folks would even say "when S25.5 goes"), the FCC will
>almost certainly drop code testing for US ham licenses - maybe even before
>being petitioned to do so. The current ARRL BOD position will carry no weight
>with the FCC unless something else changes.

Still sounds good to me.

```
>> In what way could I not speak out in favor of code testing in the
>> face of that policy?
>
>You have always made it clear what your personal opinion was, and that it was
>your opinion, not ARRL policy. That's a good thing. Too bad so many do not
>realize that ARRL BOD policy is made by the BOD, and nobody else in Newington.
>And the BOD is elected by the members.

So be it.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From -1591906264193896545
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:41:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 12:40:22 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3b22effb.9095880@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010609165612.21646.00001116@ng-fn1.aol.com>
<20010609180815.09626.00003155@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.1f
X-Server-Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:40:46 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23699

On 09 Jun 2001 22:08:15 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>>but I'd hate to see you chasing your tail like that.
>>Steve
>
> Are you another one of his Laccckkkiiieeesss

Failing to find any logical approach to further his
argument(s), the inept usually resort to name calling.

No cheers,
Bill K2UNK
```

```
From -1151748587240860534
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:41:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 12:40:26 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3b236a13.1580967@news.mindspring.com>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futi0$lol$1@208.206.142.91>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.1f
X-Server-Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:40:51 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23700

On 10 Jun 2001 04:37:20 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Mark Little wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171>...
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> > > That claim breaks down when you realize that for the
>> > > claim to be true....ALL hams would need to speak the
>> > > same language.  The ITU treaty ONLY asks for ability
>> > > to send and receive the code characters.  The treaty
>> > > makes no statement as to ability to compose text in
>> > > English or to decode and understand what was sent.
>> >
>> >  Aw, gee, Bill, we all know that you've always been, and ARE severely
>> > CW-impaired in spite of your Extra-Liteness, but when you gonna learn to
>> > keep it under wraps just a little so as not to embarrass yourself?
>> >  Any ham who becomes CW proficient learns, enroute, that CW has all the
>> > elements of a universal language, limited though it must be,
>>
>> A "language" is something that can convey "thoughts, feelings, etc."
>> (Collins Concise Dictionary). This thread is a language in action.
>> This exchange of ideas, or anything remotely like it could not be
>> carried out use the Morse symbols unless the people share a common
>> language. It is nonsense to suggest that the abbreviation codes often
>> used WITH Morse Code (and, indeed, used in many spoken or data
```

>> exchanges) comes anywhere near a "universal language", limited or not.
>
> Well, we now know exactly how "little" you know about the subject.

And we appear to see a rather arrogant assumption in what
you suggest in the first place. Just why you expect all hams
to know English is beyond my understanding. There is no
technical reason or expectation that leads to your assumption.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -6077466615634946676
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:41:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!
not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 12:40:23 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <3b22f71c.10920876@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org> <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-
ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.42.1f
X-Server-Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:40:47 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23698
```

On 09 Jun 2001 11:54:49 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>> There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5
>>> wpm either...
>>
>>Actually, since there is no retesting requirement, a licensed HF ham
>>can forget he ever knew Morse code. I know some HF hams who have done
>>just that.
>
>The point of code testing is to retain the potential communications
>capability of the relatively small percentage of code-tested hams
>who do, in practice, embrace the Morse/CW mode and continue

>to use it -- thus retaining and/or increasing their proficiency at
>the tested level and beyond. The "rub" is that one usually does
>not begin having satisfactory on-the-air experiences with CW until
>they are at or beyond the 13 WPM level -- which I see as a main
>reason for the long-established requirement of 20 WPM for an
>Amateur Extra class license. If testing had ended at 5 WPM,
>I probably would not have become a long-term CW user myself --
>and I doubt that many will in the future.

Sorry Larry, you don't get to retain a code test requirement
just because YOU think that is something to do. The
argument/rational you raise above has been found uncompelling
by the FCC and has not found favor with a great many of
the nations around the globe.

The fact that YOU needed an afirmative action incentive
to get you to whatever code level you are at does NOT
justify the requirement either.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 5146051752271173343
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:49:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:49:12 GMT
References: <9fv16v$3qu$0@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610084912.24719.00000844@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23702

>As can be easily seen, the clueless automatically gravitate to labeling
>anything not totally accomodating to the dumbing-down to "anger", with
>no thought whatever to any possible disappointment, disgust or
>disallusionment of those who have seen the ARS taken from its place of
>high regard and real value to becoming a not-so-glorified version of DC
>to Daylight CB.
>
> I suppose that is to be expected, and indeed it is.
>

Very well put and so full of facts, and are one source for helping to stop

all this is more interested in selling Magazines and T-Shirts.
 And then of course we have ED telling us about the 400Million Q&As that the new people have to learn, and how its so impossible for them to Memorize these Q&As, If ED would take the time to listen to the new ones he would hear it from there mouths, but Im sure he would say they were lying.

From 7106358780125227788
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 05:56:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:55:34 GMT
References: <9fuuc6$nft$2@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610085534.24500.00000175@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23703

>Mark Pearsal wrote:
>>
>> >Im suggesting that ARRL keep its nose out of CB, its none of there concern
>>
>> Finally, a point with which (corrected...oops!) I can agree.
>>
>> >, now
>> >ED will come on here with more of his ARRL BS and try and defend them, it
>> >most
>> >be terrible to be so worried about Job that you willingly become the Mouth
>> >piece for ARRL.
>>
>> But there's no call for being rude.
>
>
> But you see it as perfectly proper to stick your CB noise into ham
>radio. Typical.
>
Well good morning, Dick,

To answer your remark, YES! I DO see it as perfectly proper to stick my CB "noise" (Is that noise or nose?...both work, I guess.) into ham radio. Very UN-Typical! You don't like it, do you? Especially now that The ARRL is actually making slight overtures of inclusion with it's MOU re. REACT or even praising an operator from "the megacycle above 27."

You know my position, Dick. When the league stuck it's nose into a(n) CB/11 meter affair, namely RM-9807, it made it "perfectly proper" for me to stick my nose (or "noise") onto ham radio. Thank you very much. I wont re-hash it for you, you do the research on your own time, not mine.

Dick, I'll be quite blunt with you. I've read a great many of you replies and, quite frankly, I find you to be rude and obnoxious. Your replies are usually just that, rude replies that attack the idea of the original poster. Very rarely have I seen you post an idea designed to help the overall situation. You display the callousness of an individual who's been a bit jaded by life's more unpleasant experiences, an ex-soldier or law enforcement officer perhaps. Well, I'm talking to you not as a CBer, ham, or somone from "the megacycle above 27," but as a person. Try being nice, it really doesn't cost that much and it usually pays dividends.

To get back to your original statement. If you are representing "ham radio" with the abrasive attitude you often display, then YES...I am directly "in your face." Times are changing, Dick...lead, follow, or please egress the dance floor! (and make way for those who really want to enjoy the dance as opposed to just complain about the "new" music!) Take care and 73.

Sincerely,

Mark

From 4464874163141762500
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:00:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 12:59:56 GMT
References: <9fv1k4$3qu$1@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610085956.24719.00000845@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23704

>I don't know that he can. In fact, I seriously believe that he truly
>cannot. Nor that he ever will. He is one of those who just don't want
>to. So he never will.
>

 So true, as I said from the beginning of the No-Code Licensing Scheme, the Code would be dropped, which it will be, I also said they written would be so easy it would be a Joke, which it is, the next batch of Whinning will be about why are we being forced to Memorize these 4Billion Q&As, why should I have to

waste my time on something that I will never use, I buy all my Stuff already
made and when it breaks I either replace stuff or send it out for repair,
besides all I want to do is talk on my Radio I could car less how it works.

```
From 797874601453126772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:01:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 10 Jun 2001 13:01:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9fvr3e$egh$0@208.206.142.90>
References: <9futad$lol$0@208.206.142.91> <20010610073745.21964.00003534@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23705
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> In what way did the FCC make any money off of its decision?
>
> > ARE you kidding?? FCC has been divesting itself of direct
> >responsibility for the Amateur Radio Service for the past 20 years. NONE
> >of the testing responsibility has been retained, and now the license
> >structure has been trimmed as much as they thought feasable at all, all
> >the while virtually abandoning all except lip service to "a
> >fundamentally technical service". Where will it end? I'd hate to guess,
> >considering the latest news on 'license-free licensing" proposal just
> >landed in the news.
>
> Your response didn't mention any money that the FCC will make from its change
> to the Amateur Radio Service. In what way do you think that the FCC made money
> from its restructuring of the Service?

Ed : Everywhere, except possibly ARRL HQ, TIME=MONEY. So time
saved=money saved. You've surely heard the old adage "A penny saved is a

penny earned". So money saved=money made, even at the FCC. Now they can spend pesonnel time on auctions with REAL $ involved.

```
From 1752167432220238072
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:02:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 10 Jun 2001 13:02:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9fvr5e$egh$1@208.206.142.90>
References: <9fusoa$jel$1@208.206.142.91> <20010610074219.21964.00003536@ng-mj1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23706
```

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Un-huh. But he sure gave Hell to the many proven unrepenatent
> >moneychangers.
>
> Yes, He did, but from what I have been told, giving Hell is His job, not yours
> or mine.

Would you settle for Heck?

```
From -1041975813735690309
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:03:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
```

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 13:03:22 GMT
References: <3b23699b.1460843@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610090322.24691.00001011@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23707

>The FCC did NOT need to restructure last year to save
>money.

 Bull, the FCC didnt want to waste time and manpower with Disability waiver.


From 6537013139238410829
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:09:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 13:08:57 GMT
References: <3b22eb41.7885895@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610090857.24691.00001012@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23708

>The current ARRL BOD position will carry no weight
>>with the FCC unless something else changes.
>
>Still sounds good to me.

 Thats because they are nothing more than a Paper Tiger, which does nothing
more anymore than sell T-Shirts and Magazines


From -555348755300900876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:12:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Date: 10 Jun 2001 13:12:13 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <9fvrnd$egh$2@208.206.142.90>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futi0$lol$1@208.206.142.91> <3b236a13.1580967@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23709

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 10 Jun 2001 04:37:20 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >Mark Little wrote:
> >>
> >> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171>...
> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>
> >> > > That claim breaks down when you realize that for the
> >> > > claim to be true....ALL hams would need to speak the
> >> > > same language. The ITU treaty ONLY asks for ability
> >> > > to send and receive the code characters. The treaty
> >> > > makes no statement as to ability to compose text in
> >> > > English or to decode and understand what was sent.
> >> >
> >> > Aw, gee, Bill, we all know that you've always been, and ARE severely
> >> > CW-impaired in spite of your Extra-Liteness, but when you gonna learn to
> >> > keep it under wraps just a little so as not to embarrass yourself?
> >> > Any ham who becomes CW proficient learns, enroute, that CW has all the
> >> > elements of a universal language, limited though it must be,
> >>
> >> A "language" is something that can convey "thoughts, feelings, etc."
> >> (Collins Concise Dictionary). This thread is a language in action.
> >> This exchange of ideas, or anything remotely like it could not be
> >> carried out use the Morse symbols unless the people share a common
> >> language. It is nonsense to suggest that the abbreviation codes often
> >> used WITH Morse Code (and, indeed, used in many spoken or data
> >> exchanges) comes anywhere near a "universal language", limited or not.
> >
> > Well, we now know exactly how "little" you know about the subject.
>

> And we appear to see a rather arrogant assumption in what
> you suggest in the first place. Just why you expect all hams
> to know English is beyond my understanding. There is no
> technical reason or expectation that leads to your assumption.

Well, none that YOU know of, at least.

```
From 2305519718837207145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:12:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 13:12:22 GMT
References: <3b22effb.9095880@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610091222.24691.00001013@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23710
```

>Failing to find any logical approach to further his
>argument(s), the inept usually resort to name calling.
>
>No cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>

And failing to have any respect for Ham Radio, we join NCI

```
From -2112819054855589469
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:17:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 10 Jun 2001 13:17:11 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 44
Message-ID: <9fvs0n$gud$0@208.206.142.90>
References: <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org> <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-
```

ch.aol.com> <3b22f71c.10920876@news.mindspring.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23711

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> On 09 Jun 2001 11:54:49 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
> wrote:
>
> >In article <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> >>> There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5
> >>> wpm either...
> >>
> >>Actually, since there is no retesting requirement, a licensed HF ham
> >>can forget he ever knew Morse code. I know some HF hams who have done
> >>just that.
> >
> >The point of code testing is to retain the potential communications
> >capability of the relatively small percentage of code-tested hams
> >who do, in practice, embrace the Morse/CW mode and continue
> >to use it -- thus retaining and/or increasing their proficiency at
> >the tested level and beyond. The "rub" is that one usually does
> >not begin having satisfactory on-the-air experiences with CW until
> >they are at or beyond the 13 WPM level -- which I see as a main
> >reason for the long-established requirement of 20 WPM for an
> >Amateur Extra class license. If testing had ended at 5 WPM,
> >I probably would not have become a long-term CW user myself --
> >and I doubt that many will in the future.
>
> Sorry Larry, you don't get to retain a code test requirement
> just because YOU think that is something to do. The
> argument/rational you raise above has been found uncompelling
> by the FCC and has not found favor with a great many of
> the nations around the globe.

The FCC is so disinterested in the future of the ARS that they have handed all decisionmaking to a single employee, one who is easily swayed by a couple of nerdy bullies representing a small group of the most clueless, and whose output is routinely rubberstamped by the commissioners without further thought. Nothing the other of the 700,000 odd ham radio licensees have to say gets any attention whatever. Larry or anyone else is supposed to believe that is in the best interests of ham radio's future?

```
From -2813673101814301648
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:25:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
Date: 10 Jun 2001 06:25:35 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106100525.196ba5a8@posting.google.com>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futt8$nft$0@208.206.142.91>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.26.206.10
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992179535 18094 127.0.0.1 (10 Jun 2001 13:25:35 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Jun 2001 13:25:35 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23712

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<9futt8$nft$0@208.206.142.91>...
> Mark Little wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>
> > > Added to that, most of the civilized world knows that English is the
> > > universal communications language, and REAL communicators know and learn
> > > enough of it go get by, whatever their native tongue.
> >
> > I do like the (il)logic at work here. You say Morse allows people to
> > communicate without sharing a common language, but then point out that
> > learning English is still required - "English is the universal
> > communications language, and REAL communicators know and learn enough
> > of it go (sic) get by".
>
>
>  Evidently you never heard of the term "incrementalism". The more one
> knows, the better he can communicate. I can damn sure communicate basic
> information via CW using Q signals, prosigns and other universally known
> CW shortcuts, with another ham who knows no English, and I knowing none
> of his native tounge.

Surely you are not suggesting that a person can fail the Morse test by
not knowing the abbreviation codes? Perhaps your tests are different,
but ours is simply a sending and receing test where a passage of text
```

must be transmitted or received vebatim. These codes and the use of Morse have no connection in the licence exams. In most cases, this sort of thing is picked up AFTER the test and so has little or no relevance in the licence exam.

> But only if he has a fair amount of training and experience in the use of radiotelegraphy. If he happens to have garnered a bit of English as the result of his efforts at international radiotelgraphy, so much the better for the breadth of the vocabulary we would have in common.

Interesting that you make no mention of you attempting to learn some of their language, but I must say it doesn't suprise me. Common courtesy obviously varies from place to place.

> It is not at all surprising that you would not know any of this. CW bashers never do.

Tsk, tsk. You confuse challenging your "logic" with challenging the mode itself. Although I personally don't like using Morse, I do my best to encourage anyone who wants use it. It is absurd lengths that some people to in an attempt to justify it as "special" that is worthy of ridicule.

Mark.

From 2268145536189702483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:30:06 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 13:29:54 GMT
Message-ID: <3b23764a.56928870@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com> <20010609063519.13401.00003081@ng-mn1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.195
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.195
X-Trace: 10 Jun 2001 08:25:03 -0500, 64.24.19.195
Lines: 18
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23713

On 09 Jun 2001 10:35:19 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>
>>>Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
>
>>They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL
>
>All CBers demonized themselves?
>
No, the Service has been demonized by the usual collection of minority
bad apples in the barrel, Ed. This is a new concept or what??
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From -6681698143457736169
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:34:12 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 13:30:12 GMT
Message-ID: <3b237654.56939424@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010609075448.03759.00004726@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010609111556.13860.00000800@ng-fl1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.195
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.195
X-Trace: 10 Jun 2001 08:25:22 -0500, 64.24.19.195
Lines: 23
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23714

On 09 Jun 2001 15:15:56 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
>
>
>If you are saying that I need to be courteous in my dealings with people, I
>agree. But if you really need me to say it, ... Duh!
>
Lessee now . . . you've dumped Squiggy out of the running for a lab
job because he's a ham rado version of Marx who would trash everything
we've been about by rising up and dumping Spread Rectum buckshot all
over 20m and to hell with all those other "obsolete" modes.

>
Now along comes Larry who takes some hardass conservative stances and
his resume automatically gets circular-filed upon receipt too.
>
Which leaves squishes who don't take a stand . . .
>
I think I get it.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From 8732604840398913018
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:34:16 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 13:30:33 GMT
Message-ID: <3b237677.56973995@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b21a170.170412696@news2.dvol.com> <20010609064240.13401.00003084@ng-mn1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.195
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.195
X-Trace: 10 Jun 2001 08:25:42 -0500, 64.24.19.195
Lines: 41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23715

On 09 Jun 2001 10:42:40 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
>Can you elaborate any on specific problems you attribute to reviews? I can
>pass along your view to Joe Bottilglieri,
>
Simply ask him howcum his meaurements of the 500Hz filter selectivity
for the 756 and Mark V are completely at odds with those made by K8ER.
>
>I would agree that the process is not perfect and that omissions can and have
>occured,
>
OBVIOUSLY!
>
>but I don't think I would go so far as to "not" say anything nasty.
>Can you offer some specific examples of why you didn't say anything about the

>reviewers?
>
>
Hey, Ed . . you know I been lurking in this village for years and you
also know by now that I'm one to pretty much stick to the thread, I'm
not into switch & bait nonsense.
>
The discussion has been about the virtues of mostly IF DSP signal
processing vs. the virtues of mostly analog IF signal processing. You
and others have come off worshipful of the 756 PRO because it's
DSP-centric. I dumped in the K8ER piece which indicates that DSP in
the really good xcvs needs a lot of help from ancient analog filters
to do get top-notch performance. To the point where the guys who
designed the Mark V didn't bother with any DSP until all the way out
in he 4th IF. Sort of an accessory. Digital Q-multiplier.
>
DSP just ain't the big deal the chipsters claim it is as the 756 PRO
demonstrates.
>
Now let's get back to radio and the thread, we can do your
lab-orratory later.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From 2170365726022161163
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 06:34:23 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 13:31:13 GMT
Message-ID: <3b237667.56958511@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010609063519.13401.00003081@ng-mn1.aol.com>
<20010609092004.13903.00000681@ng-fl1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.195
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.195
X-Trace: 10 Jun 2001 08:26:22 -0500, 64.24.19.195
Lines: 12
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23716

On 09 Jun 2001 13:20:04 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>>All CBers demonized themselves?
>>
>>73,
>>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>>
>
>And the ARRL will help to DE-DEMONIZE them
>
. . . there's a mission-impossible . .

From -4437697679893391173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 07:02:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 10 Jun 2001 14:02:05 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <9fvukt$jb5$0@208.206.142.90>
References: <20010609075448.03759.00004726@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010609111556.13860.00000800@ng-fl1.aol.com> <3b237654.56939424@news2.dvol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23717

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On 09 Jun 2001 15:15:56 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
> >
> >
> >
> >If you are saying that I need to be courteous in my dealings with people, I
> >agree. But if you really need me to say it, ... Duh!
> >
> Lessee now . . . you've dumped Squiggy out of the running for a lab
> job because he's a ham rado version of Marx who would trash everything
> we've been about by rising up and dumping Spread Rectum buckshot all
> over 20m and to hell with all those other "obsolete" modes.
> >

```
> Now along comes Larry who takes some hardass conservative stances and
> his resume automatically gets circular-filed upon receipt too.
> >
> Which leaves squishes who don't take a stand  . . .
> >
> I think I get it.



 Yep. You got it. Only those with a finely honed sense of vacillation
are eligible to be employed at HQ.


From 2635981542694225949
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 07:16:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
Date: 10 Jun 2001 14:16:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 71
Message-ID: <9fvvg6$rg2$0@208.206.142.90>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futt8$nft$0@208.206.142.91> <55ae748b.0106100525.196ba5a8@posting.google.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.90
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23718



Mark Little wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<9futt8$nft$0@208.206.142.91>...
> > Mark Little wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> >
> > > > Added to that, most of the civilized world knows that English is the
> > > > universal communications language, and REAL communicators know and learn
> > > > enough of it go get by, whatever their native tongue.
> > >
```

> > > I do like the (il)logic at work here. You say Morse allows people to
> > > communicate without sharing a common language, but then point out that
> > > learning English is still required - "English is the universal
> > > communications language, and REAL communicators know and learn enough
> > > of it go (sic) get by".
> >
> >
> > Evidently you never heard of the term "incrementalism". The more one
> > knows, the better he can communicate. I can damn sure communicate basic
> > information via CW using Q signals, prosigns and other universally known
> > CW shortcuts, with another ham who knows no English, and I knowing none
> > of his native tounge.
>
> Surely you are not suggesting that a person can fail the Morse test by
> not knowing the abbreviation codes?

I suppose it's too much for you to grasp that the code test is only the beginning of one's training in radiotelegraphy. Yes, we know many of you will never again touch a code key and some even go through elaborate burial rites for their first and only key. Many others, however, having been "forced" by their desire for a HF ham license, to learn the code at effective speed have-in the past- also learned , while in the process, that radiotelegraphy is a unique skill that has attributes all its own and is well suited to radio amateur communications. Thus good CW operators are made, none are born, contrary to NCI dogma.

> > But only if he has a fair amount of training and experience in the use
> > of radiotelegraphy. If he happens to have garnered a bit of English as
> > the result of his efforts at international radiotelgraphy, so much the
> > better for the breadth of the vocabulary we would have in common.
>
> Interesting that you make no mention of you attempting to learn some
> of their language, but I must say it doesn't suprise me. Common
> courtesy obviously varies from place to place.

So just which of the world's many languages should any amateur learn so as to satisfy your silly complaint?

>
> > It is not at all surprising that you would not know any of this. CW
> > bashers never do.
>
> Tsk, tsk. You confuse challenging your "logic" with challenging the
> mode itself. Although I personally don't like using Morse, I do my
> best to encourage anyone who wants use it. It is absurd lengths that
> some people to in an attempt to justify it as "special" that is worthy
> of ridicule.

>

Yes we know, Mark, you've said that before, a number of times. We know
that any logic other than 1100101010001 is beyond you. Sorry for
upsetting your applecart again. Stuff happens.

From 7328174822827393171
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 07:27:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futi0$lol$1@208.206.142.91> <3b236a13.1580967@news.mindspring.com>
<9fvrnd$egh$2@208.206.142.90>
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Lines: 71
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <itLU6.1140$Il5.165513@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 14:27:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.134.212
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992183246 209.246.134.212 (Sun, 10 Jun
2001 07:27:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 07:27:26 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 07:25:27 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23719

A part of the discussion below raises an interesting question. It is noted
that [paraphrased] CW is a "universal" language. I have always heard
that--even from when I was still just a kid.

Yet, I have always wondered in what way is CW the universal language? If it
is simply meant that a dit is a dit and a dah is a dah, then what good will
that do for language other than "character" recognition. If a Russian sends
to me a hello and includes any kind of casual conversation (other than a
known format such as the RST), then how am I to know what he is saying?

This is true in any mode, of course. If I don't know Russian and someone

speaks it to me, I am not going to understand a word of it. But, the basis
of my question is in what way is it meant that CW is the "universal"
language? I think (and please correct me if I am wrong) that it just means
that every character in CW is the same all over the world. But does it mean
that I will fully understand everything sent to me from a language I do not
speak or read?

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9fvrnd$egh$2@208.206.142.90...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > On 10 Jun 2001 04:37:20 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Mark Little wrote:
> > >>
> > >> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171>...
> > >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >>
> > >> > > That claim breaks down when you realize that for the
> > >> > > claim to be true....ALL hams would need to speak the
> > >> > > same language. The ITU treaty ONLY asks for ability
> > >> > > to send and receive the code characters. The treaty
> > >> > > makes no statement as to ability to compose text in
> > >> > > English or to decode and understand what was sent.
> > >> >
> > >> > Aw, gee, Bill, we all know that you've always been, and ARE
severely
> > >> > CW-impaired in spite of your Extra-Liteness, but when you gonna
learn to
> > >> > keep it under wraps just a little so as not to embarrass yourself?
> > >> > Any ham who becomes CW proficient learns, enroute, that CW has all
the
> > >> > elements of a universal language, limited though it must be,
> > >>
> > >> A "language" is something that can convey "thoughts, feelings, etc."
> > >> (Collins Concise Dictionary). This thread is a language in action.
> > >> This exchange of ideas, or anything remotely like it could not be
> > >> carried out use the Morse symbols unless the people share a common
> > >> language. It is nonsense to suggest that the abbreviation codes often
> > >> used WITH Morse Code (and, indeed, used in many spoken or data
> > >> exchanges) comes anywhere near a "universal language", limited or
not.
> > >
> > > Well, we now know exactly how "little" you know about the subject.
> >
> > And we appear to see a rather arrogant assumption in what
> > you suggest in the first place. Just why you expect all hams
> > to know English is beyond my understanding. There is no

```
> > technical reason or expectation that leads to your assumption.
>
>
>  Well, none that YOU know of, at least.
>




From 3024707491839812906
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 07:29:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 14:29:03 GMT
References: <3b237667.56958511@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610102903.13903.00000779@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23720

>. . . there's a mission-impossible . .
>

 True, but the ARRL will try and make then fell that way, so they can sell them
1 of there Dork T-Shirts, and maybe sell them QST


From 1646914732884252507
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 07:45:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 111
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 14:45:34 GMT
References: <20010609194833.22760.00000588@nso-mk.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610104534.19389.00001830@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23721
```

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/9/01 6:48 PM Central Daylight Time

Len actually "CC"ed my post. Perhaps a milestone? We'll see.

>Article S25.5 is part of the regulation articles of the International
>Telecommunications Union...(snip)

Agreed, but not germane to the context of the post. All of that is already accepted as fact. It IS fact. It is the LAW. The SAME law that directs the implementation of code testing upon administrations that are signatory to the ITU.

>Robeson's comment above is simply misdirection on the subject.

It is misdirection of nothing. It IS fact. You have said so yourself in other posts.

>The first use of voice modulation occurred in 1906, using a water
>cooled microphone modulating a field winding of an LF
>alternator-generator (Fessenden). Further "voice" broadcasting
>did not take place until vacuum tubes were available for radio
>transmitters, rather well after the creation of the first US civil
>radio regulation agency in 1912.

Historical fact and agreed without debate. However the post in question was an assertion by yourself that Morse Coded CW was the "only" method of communication at the implementation of the ITU and/or regulations directing the demonstration of Morse proficiency.

Per the very quote above, said was not correct.

>Gratuitous use of pejoratives, even in strong arguments, defeats
>any "righteousness" of the licensed's opinions.

An indirect acceptance by Mr. Anderson that I am correct. Thank you. Between this and your willingness to address me directly, we may have room to "discuss" things.

>Incorrect on all accounts. My absence of three weeks is irelevant.

No thing on Earth is irrelevant. Even you.

>The word "putz" is a Yiddish colloquialism for 'asshole.' Whether
>written in Yiddish or American English it is still a pejorative that
>does nothing for Robeson's cause.

We can come to a decision to cease it's application in as much as you have demonstrated a willingness to reign in your own "pejoratives".

>More use of gratuitous pejoratives, again doing nothing for

>Robeson's case, cause, or obsession.

Second verse, same as the first. You show restraint, so shall I. Amazing, isin't it...?!?!

>I simply comment, do not "demand" anything except to try to
>argue a subject on the subject's merits, not trying to drop into
>some battle-to-the-death on gratuitious insult hurling.

We disagree on the first. You DO "demand". On the second we can find neutral ground.

>The FCC has stated in Report and Orders 90-53 and 99-412 that
>it does not consider morse code skill testing to be relevant to its
>task of regulating US amateur radio. I have written so in the past
>in this newsgroup several times and will continue to remind others
>of those public documents.

And I have said those very same things. However YOU have stated on several occassions that the FCC has never made ANY statement to the effect of it's ultimate intent to drop code. As a matter of fact, you have stated in no uncertain terms that it is your perception that the FCC has NOT said anything "binding" in that regard, hence your persistence in "debating" continued Code Testing.

>Incorrect. Robeson does not speak (indeed, cannot) for all readers
>of this newsgroup.

My statement: >>And again you prove how arrogant you are. Not that anyone here is surprised.<<

At no point did I say I "spoke" for anyone.

>The code test retention-elimination argument is a volatile one with
>strong opinions on all sides.

Only among those who seem bent on perpetuating the "debate" even after the FCC has stated that they are themselves just waiting for the Fat Lady to sing.

>Use of personal insults not germane
>to the subject at hand is the "arrogance" demonstrated. Robeson
>has demonstrated that in countless displays of misology.

Just following your lead, Leonard. And you have broke new ground here today.....shall we see how long it lasts?

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 2368585905400630455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9cf5699a4b06d9b8,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 07:46:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 14:46:02 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Working for ARRL
Message-ID: <20010610104602.13925.00000897@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23722

Working for ARRL reminds me of a freind who use to work for an Oil Company, he was so un happy telling people, that when asked where he worked, he would always say that he was a Piano Player in a Whore House, do you get the picture ED.

From 6424300739058702525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:04:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 112
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:03:52 GMT
References: <20010609194834.22760.00000589@nso-mk.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610110352.19389.00001832@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23723

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

>Date: 6/9/01 6:48 PM Central Daylight Time

>Incorrect. I simply challenged Roll's assertion on requiring all
>newcomers to meet "long established standards" of entry; i.e.,
>the morse test.

It was not about challenging Roll on any front. It was about making assertions of "tenure" as validation of "correctness".

Present test criteria have little resemblence to tests of even recent Amatuer history.

>Why should standards continue just because they have never
>been removed?

Why indeed? Present "standards" have changed radically in recent years. Precious little change in life is so immediate and drastic. There is a reason for that. That it is not happening fast enough for you may be germane.

>89 years later that is no longer true.

Those of us who participate in Amateur Radio on a daily or near-daily basis already know this.

>What year was "then?" It is obvious that the USA does not
>now (2001) have a majority in world amateur radio.

Granted. And the Amateur Community has repeatedly asked the FCC to either make significant band changes accomodating that concept.

It is the FCC...NOT the ARRL or anyother boogeyman that has said "no".

Per YOUR assertions, the FCC, NOT any one organization or person, is the final arbiter of regulations. Is this not true?

>> And that the United States should "protect" the rights/needs/desires is part and parcel of the Constitution of the United States. Have you ever read that document, (pejorative deleted for detente's sake)

>Irrelevant comment and pejorative

As I said before, NO comment, even yours, is irrelevant.

>I was defending the Constitution
>of the United States in military service before Robeson was born.
>Gratuitous flag-waving and patriotic trumpeting by someone who
>was released from military service (rather than serving a full term)
>has no place in discussion of amateur radio testing regulations.

Congratulations on your years of service. However it does not negate the FACT that the Constitution of the United States AND numerous seperate acts since CODIFY the protection of certain minorities or subsets of our society.

Our nation was FOUNDED on the principle of making sure that EVERY person was allowed the opportunity to express themselves or pursue thier interests without impediment.

Do you deny this?

>Again irrelevant. The subject of the American Disabilities Act was
>not part of either Roll's message nor my comment to him.

Not irrelevant. You were discussing the protection by regulation of certain modes or practices by regulation. I pointed out that it is a basic principle of American custom to protect such rights and practices of minorities.

Do you deny that America does this?

>Roll made an assertion, based solely on the majority of no-code test
>advocates in this newsgroup and illogically connected to the assertion
>as if he could predict the future.

And HIS "predictions" are any more or any less accurate than YOURS...?!?!

>The only US civil radio regulatory agency is the FCC. They make
>the final decisions on US civil radio regulations by codifying them
>in Title 47, Code of Federal Regulations. Those final decisions are
>made after a period of comment by citizens concerning applicable
>radio services.

Then you agree with me that recent refusals by the FCC to make significant changes in "subbands" is THIER "fault", and not that of the ARRL or any other individual or organization...right?

>That and an
>attempt to mislabel my former occupation as "lower" than it was
>is also irrelevant to the subject of the original thread.

Were you not, at one point in time, an electronics technician? Yes or no...?!?!

>After an absence of three weeks it is clear that Robeson is still
>incapable of discussing any subject without use of puerile
>attempts at insult that have nothing to do with discussions.

You get this one free, Len.

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From 4618465925928215842
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:16:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:16:06 GMT
References: <20010609111103.13860.00000799@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610111606.03759.00004802@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23725

In article <20010609111103.13860.00000799@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
>
>>Of course not Larry , the whole thing was a Money, and Stop the Whinners
>>thing.
>
>In what way did the FCC make any money off of its decision?

Ed:

Trick question!  The FCC didn't *make* money from the Restructuring
decision, but it sure did *save* a bunch!  And don't look now, but that's
the whole point of their progressive deregulation of the ARS -- to stop
expending resources on a radio service which doesn't have any
significant economic importance!

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -5139848639906198260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:16:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:16:08 GMT
References: <3B1E4711.69F5F963@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610111608.03759.00004806@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23727

In article <3B1E4711.69F5F963@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> No, Ed, and that is the point. Only those who demand the privileges
>> without meeting the qualifications are the underachievers.
>
>You make lots of demands, Dick, does that make you an underachiever?

Actually, the more demanding people I've known have been the overachievers. Personally, I consider myself to be a normal achiever, and I make only the most reasonable demands of others. Achieving the ability to pass a 20 WPM code test for an Extra class license was one of the most reasonable "demands" ever placed on me. I put "demands" in quotes because it really wasn't a "demand" at all, but a choice I had to make voluntarily.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2185582168667052714
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:16:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:16:07 GMT
References: <3B1E8BE9.3766CEF5@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610111607.03759.00004804@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23726

In article <3B1E8BE9.3766CEF5@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>> Okay, I'll bite. Show me where I've ever complained about ham
>> radio. I'm one of the most fanatical supporters of ham radio, so you
>> clearly must be talking about someone else.
>
>What's absolutely weird about Dick is that he very carefully sets up
>straw men for which he is impotent of knocking down.

Cecil:

My observation is that Dick doesn't merely knock down the NCTA's
strawmen -- he rips them open and scatters them to the four winds!
Moreover, he does so without using his Ph. D. friends as a crutch
to support his arguments! What's *your* excuse?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1327337944352550755
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:16:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:16:05 GMT
References: <3B2239A3.68F66AC2@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610111605.03759.00004801@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23724

In article <3B2239A3.68F66AC2@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> If testing had ended at 5 WPM,
>> I probably would not have become a long-term CW user myself --

```
>
>And in your particular case, Larry, the world would have been
>better off that way.

Cecil:

Hmmm.  I wonder if the dozens of hams for whom I've provided their
first and/or only Delaware contacts on CW, RTTY, and PSK31 would
agree with you?

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 4247279267650218241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:35:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 10:35:19 GMT
References: <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609063519.13401.00003081@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23578

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com  (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>>Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?

>They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL

All CBers demonized themselves?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 4216171941014400389
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:37:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
```

portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 10:37:18 GMT
References: <c2356669.0106080846.4ec25ac6@posting.google.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010609063718.13401.00003082@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23579

>From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)

>>they, and SDR, are being used in "real" radio technology.

>Amateur radio is not "real" radio technology? Who you quoting, Ed,
>Chairman Lennie?

There are other reasons that quoting to use quotation marks.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3455805955660040580
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,fda58c01d03d4cce
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 03:43:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 10:42:40 GMT
References: <3b21a170.170412696@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: The FCC gets it, but we Amateurs sure don't.
Message-ID: <20010609064240.13401.00003084@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23580

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>When was the last time you sent yer lab instruments out
>for new calibration stickers Ed??

The equipment is calibrated annually, usually in September. We use Essco near

Boston, if memory serves.

> I wuz gonna say something really nasty like sending yer reviewers out for
> recalibration while yer at it but I'll restrain myself.

Well, that was mighty nice of you not to say anything nasty about the reviewers.

Can you elaborate any on specific problems you attribute to reviews? I can pass along your view to Joe Bottilglieri, or you can contact him directly if you like. Normally, the reviewer gets to keep the radio for a few weeks. Joe also monitors all of the various "brand" (see, no quote here...) reflectors to see what problems may be reported, and then flags them back to the reviewer and the Lab.

I would agree that the process is not perfect and that omissions can and have occured, but I don't think I would go so far as to "not" say anything nasty. Can you offer some specific examples of why you didn't say anything about the reviewers?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5885393320057748440
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 04:00:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.ceinetworks.com!washdc3-snf1!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-
snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010609024209.18137.00003804@ng-cj1.aol.com>
<20010609060832.13401.00003072@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <ElnU6.4515$c37.536046@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 11:00:52 GMT

NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.128.6
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992084452 209.246.128.6 (Sat, 09 Jun 2001 04:00:52 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 04:00:52 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 03:58:52 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23581

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010609060832.13401.00003072@ng-mn1.aol.com...
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>
> >In another example of capitalism gone awry, I see "The Fred" has found an
> >on-line accomplice to bilk citizens out of money for something that they can
> >do for themselves for free.
>
> Do you know of any other business that will handle the paperwork of filing a
> federal application for you for $6.00? I have been the FCC ULS site and it sure
> is a confusing mess. Fortunately, ARRL performs the same service for ARRL
> members, for free, so when it is time for me to renew, I am going to go
> upstairs and ask the VEC folks to do it for me.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Yes, and if one really wanted to compare--take out the "usual and customary fee" for QST (isn't there a set-aside amount that the ARRL says is compensated for in membership for the reception of the magazine?), take out the overhead for ARRL HQ and infrastructure, and there's probably just about or a little over $6.00 in there for that service. It all washes out. (In my case I am losing money, to some degree, because QST rarely even gets cracked open here).

Kim W5TIT

From 1659348544327483938
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 04:02:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 71

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 11:02:08 GMT
References: <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010609070208.13401.00003085@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23582

>From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>Any clues? While I can recognize individual
>characters when they're repeated alone, once the program starts
>sending groups of characters I've supposedly learned, all those beeps
>quickly start sounding the same to me.

One of the problems I have seen some folks have is in telling dits from dahs. Whether it happens constantly, or after a bit of mental fatigue sends it, instead of hearing di-dah-di-dit for "L," the student heard beep-beep-beep-beep. If that is what is happening to you, I suggest that you stop learning letters and perhaps concentrate on getting the dit-dah recognition to be automatic.

First, work on A and N until you can unconditionally tell them apart, no matter how tired you are. If you then work on Q,Y,L, F and C until there is no doubt in your mind that you are decoding the dits and dahs, you can then move on to memorizing letters again. This has another advantage -- it helps by letting you memorize the letters that seem to give most people trouble first, then move on to the easier ones. :-) BTW, several people have told me that my suggesting that they work on the dit-dah recognition first has gotten them over the barrier quite quickly.

If it is a question of you are hearing the dits and dahs, but not recognizing the letter before the next one starts, that is generally a question of practice. I presume you are using Farnsworth spacing, so decrease the wpm sent and/or the speed of the individual characters until it works for you, then start speeding it up.

About a half hour a day tops is about right. You can even break it up, or combine it to a bit more time every other day.

Okay, very last, relax! Especially at practice, missing a letter or two is NOT the end of your ham radio aspirations. It comes with time, and in the real world, I miss chunks of sent CW in QSOs all the time. If I get the meaning of what was sent, I do not have to ask for repeats. It is much like talking to someone in a noisy room; you get most of it, and don't have to ask for every word.

Now, think of how the test relates to real-world QSOs. In a conversation, you don't write down every word someone says. At worst, you may take notes. Now, if you can copy 100%, go for it; that is the surest way to pass, and you only need 25 right in a row. But copying code fast and writing fast are two separate skills, and sometimes trying to combine them jumbles things up. So spend some of your time practicing with your pencil down. Learning to copy by ear is THE skill that pushes one over the higher-speed barrier and it may help you at slower speeds by focusing you on the code itself. Once you get past that, you can add writing back to the mix.

When I am in QSO, I don't write down every word at 20+ wpm; I take notes. *IF* you can't do 100% copy in the test, switch over to a taking notes mode. If the QSO sent says "THE RIG HERE IS A KENSU DX-100" you can bet that the questions won't ask you about the word "the." If you jot KENSU and DX-100 on your copy sheet, you should be able to pass. And, IMHO, it ain't cheating -- that is exactly what I do in a QSO.

Just a few suggestions; I sure hope one works for you. I will add one more. When you pass the test, consider giving the mode a go on the air from time to time. Contrary to some, it is not a great test of character, but a very enjoyable mode that can be relaxing and hypnotizing, all at the same time. It is also a great mode to use to homebrew simple rigs or to make QSOs using incredibly low power -- I still brag about my 1 milliwatt to Europe late one evening on a 20-meter "chordal hop."

Best of luck.

73,
Ed, W1RFI

From -1713489823615387486
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 04:05:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 11:05:19 GMT
References: <ElnU6.4515$c37.536046@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
Message-ID: <20010609070519.13335.00003567@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23583

From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net

>(In my case I am losing money, to some degree, because QST rarely even gets
>cracked open here).

That's okay, Kim; I don't read QST from cover to cover, either; that is not my reason for being an ARRL member. But I do suggest you flip through the issues every month when they come in. Over the course of decades of hamming, you will change and grow, as did we all, and what doesn't interest you today may interest you in the future and what seems way too technical now will seem just right someday sooner than you think. Having seen it in the mag, even if you don't read it, will jog your memory so you can find it again.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2626894277343772180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 04:08:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 07:10:29 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 64
Message-ID: <3B2203CC.99EAA88@sccoast.net>
References: <9fmhdi$3ib$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com> <20010607055440.13725.00000232@ng-fm1.aol.com> <Pine.SUN.3.96.1010607103941.29209A-100000@compass.oregonvos.net> <3B205171.1E564E9F@sccoast.net> <dhassler-0706012249570001@ppp1-bend.oregonvos.net>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-230.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992084934 54283 204.116.70.231 (9 Jun 2001 11:08:54 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2001 11:08:54 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23584

KD7MPD wrote:
>
> You'd think it was worse than Hari-Kari!
> But you ought to see our Senior Project
> here at Culver High (enrollment: 140) -
> I'll lay my bottom dollar on the

> proposition that the kids will see
> nothing like it in their first two years
> of (state) college!   ;^)

I can believe it. My wife is going to college right now (studying to be a teacher - dual major in science and secondary education). Some of the students seem to have no concept of homework (the students in the core classes she just finished were the worst). She was telling me just the other day that she has no idea what these students are majoring in, but she hopes it isn't anything serious. As you can probably tell, we're both a little older (like old enough to be these kid's parents).

> Definitely. I think amateur radio in the
> US and Canada should target (read: market)
> itself toward the 11-14 year-old, both boys
> and girls. Trust me: at about 15, they
> lose all interest in *everything* except the
> opposite sex. [snip]

However, I'm not that old - I can remember back that far. However, I seem to remember going on my first date at about 12 (she was an older woman - 14), so we may even lose a few if we target the 11-14 year old group. But that is probably the best group to go after - old enough to understand it, but not old enough to have all the other distractions.

> Maybe what you're proposing *is* possible.
> A "complete kit", of sorts. Add a real kit,
> like a very simple 60-30 meter SW receiver,
> to the package. Like the "old" Radio Shack
> SWL kit (I built one in '77 !!). A little
> plastic box with components that any adult
> could help with (the adult is a *big*
> component, imho), a study guide, and a CD
> and/or tape. The whole thing could be
> packaged for (as my used car salesman Dad
> would have said) the low-low price of $29.99.
> Dammit, I like it!   :^)   Hell, every Boy/
> Girl Scout group in the country should be
> sent these things free of charge by the ARRL.
> I'd pay double-dues for that.

It should be something really simple - a few parts and a box. The idea is to link it to the study material for the license exam (to make that material more real). It doesn't have to do anything fancy - maybe receive a certain frequency range on a single band and transmit code at really low power level. Anyway, I don't know the details. It's just an idea that popped into my head.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From -4176230670901183030
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 04:14:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 07:16:12 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3B220522.CDC0BC20@sccoast.net>
References: <3B205171.1E564E9F@sccoast.net> <20010608061217.09730.00003116@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-230.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992085279 55571 204.116.70.231 (9 Jun 2001 11:14:39
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2001 11:14:39 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23585
```

W1RFI wrote:

> Many of those teaching newcomers do just
> that. What is on the tests does not limit
> us in how we help new hams become new hams.

I was thinking of something more basic, more in line with reinforcing the electronics in the license study guide. For example, a really basic radio kit (something that would not require great knowledge to put together) that might receive a few frequencies and perhaps transmit code. Well, like I said earlier, I doubt this will fly.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -5940040610831685212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 04:20:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.stealth.net!
news.infoave.net!news3.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 07:21:57 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <3B220679.BF8C2DAA@sccoast.net>
References: <9fmhdi$3ib$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
<20010607055440.13725.00000232@ng-fm1.aol.com>
<Pine.SUN.3.96.1010607103941.29209A-100000@compass.oregonvos.net>
<3B205171.1E564E9F@sccoast.net> <3B20DABD.3D3C3514@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-230.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992085623 54094 204.116.70.231 (9 Jun 2001 11:20:23
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 9 Jun 2001 11:20:23 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23586
```

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >   I agree. But it needs to be a
> > license truly designed for kids, not
> > a license designed as an entry level
> > license for adults like the old
> > Novice was.
>
> The trouble would be some immature adults
> would scream "Discrimination!"

You're probably right. I don't think a new license is truly possible at this point anyway, especially since the FCC recently reduced the number of license classes. But it is a thought, and probably something that should have been done about 25 years ago.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

```
From -2933481751617027290
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 04:55:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 11:54:51 GMT
References: <3B2048BA.77BE374A@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010609075451.03759.00004730@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23587
```

In article <3B2048BA.77BE374A@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
>> Don't look now, but my friends and I
>> aren't "whining" about CW!
>
>
> Larry, you've written more about the code testing issue than anyone
>else I can think of in this newsgroup. I know for a fact that you
>have written far more than I've written on the subject. In fact, it
>would not really surprise me to learn that you have written more on
>the subject than I have on everything I've ever discussed in these
>newsgroups combined.

Dwight:

Uh hunh. I see. So, now you're whining about how much I've
written about code testing? Is there now something new threatening
the underachievers -- people who are proficient typists?

> In other words, my friend, when it comes to
>whining about CW (testing, etc), you are the undisputed world champion.

Wrong again, Dwight. I don't "whine" about CW testing or anything
else. I whine about weak, lazy, unmotivated people who whine about

code testing. If you're going to be a whine critic, please make sure
you're reading the labels correctly.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -428772108940800936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 04:55:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 11:54:49 GMT
References: <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23588

In article <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5
>wpm,
>> either...
>
>Actually, since there is no retesting requirement, a licensed HF ham
>can forget he ever knew Morse code. I know some HF hams who have done
>just that.

Cecil:

The point of code testing is to retain the potential communications
capability of the relatively small percentage of code-tested hams
who do, in practice, embrace the Morse/CW mode and continue
to use it -- thus retaining and/or increasing their proficiency at
the tested level and beyond. The "rub" is that one usually does
not begin having satisfactory on-the-air experiences with CW until
they are at or beyond the 13 WPM level -- which I see as a main
reason for the long-established requirement of 20 WPM for an
Amateur Extra class license. If testing had ended at 5 WPM,
I probably would not have become a long-term CW user myself --
and I doubt that many will in the future.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6220008240396560983
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 04:55:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 11:54:49 GMT
References: <20010607054536.13725.00000231@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609075449.03759.00004727@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23589

In article <20010607054536.13725.00000231@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>There is no requirement for me to be able to send/receive faster than
>>30 WPM, so I'm going to whine anytime I darn please!
>
>There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5 wpm,
>either...

Ed:

The point is, if no requirement for me to send/receive faster than 5 WPM ever existed, I most likely would not be able to do so now. It is my strong belief that reducing the testing requirement to 5 WPM will, in fact, bring an eventual end to the *use* of CW in the ARS due to the fact that a rapidly declining base of users will force changes in present bandplans to permit voice mode operation in presently CW/DATA band segments. Virtually to a man, the NCTA's insist that they have nothing against the *use* of CW -- but they strongly object to the only thing that ensures that CW can continue to be used by a significant portion of hams. This is one reason why I've always recommended total grant of voice spectrum for the Gen/Adv classes, and retaining the Extra-class and it's DX-rich spectrum as main prize for CW aficionados. Why this compromise never gained any traction, only the FCC knows. I do know that this proposal, and others like it made during the comment period on the Restructuring NPRM, received a great deal of consideration. The fact that this win/win compromise never made it into the final ruling suggests to me that the best interests of the ARS had little to do with the final decision.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3845529324168817O5
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 04:55:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 61
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 11:54:48 GMT
References: <20010607054202.13725.00000229@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609075448.03759.00004726@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23590

In article <20010607054202.13725.00000229@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Do you think there's any possibility that I, Larry Roll, K3LT,
>>even if technically the best qualified candidate for the position,
>>could get a job with the ARRL as editorial director of QST?
>
>Absolutely not.

Ed:

Now, *there* a shock! Yawn!

>The position requires someone who can deal with HQ staff and
>outside people without using a virtually non-stop barrage of insults and
>innuendo.

Hmmm -- considering the amount of cynicism, arrognace, and general
disrespect I've received at the hands of ARRL HQ staffers over the years,
beginning with my very first personal visit, I would not have considered a
lack of "people skills" to be much of a problem!

>I am afraid that your track record on rrap would convince anyone
>who wanted to hire you that you are unsuitable for a position that deals with
>people.

Well, my "problem" with ARRL HQ staff predates my alleged "fame" on rrap
by almost a decade. How do you explain that?

>As I had openings in the Lab, I looked to see if any of the candidates had
>done posts to newsgroups. I cared not one whit about which side of the
code-test
>fence they were on, but would have evaluated the way they expressed their
>views. Not everyone in the Lab agrees with my personal view on code testing,
>Larry, nor do I care if they do.

Well, from my own point of view, I would have thought that their ability to
do the job would have been more importance than their "demeanor" on an
open discussion forum such as this. However, in your position as a
manager in an organization that cannot afford to offend prospective
members, I cannot say that I disagree with your thinking. You see,
whether you admit it or not, maintaining the proper level of "political
correctness" is vital to your continued employment prospects as well.
Remember the saying, "We live in a democracy, but we don't work in
one."

>Just as a counter example, I can think of one very vocal NCTA who no longer
>posts on this forum that I would not hire for the same reason as I would not
>hire you, although he did manage to get a grip on his emotions and at least
>try to be more productive in his postings.
>
>Does that help?

Not really, because for it to do so, I'd have to agree with your faulty
premise that I don't have a "grip" on my emotions. The restraint I've shown
in the past few weeks should show exactly the opposite. If you require
an explanation, please E-mail me.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2568038021011992288
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 04:55:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 11:54:50 GMT
References: <9fo86k$bnt$0@208.206.142.100>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses

Message-ID: <20010609075450.03759.00004729@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23591

In article <9fo86k$bnt$0@208.206.142.100>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> >Do you think there's any possibility that I, Larry Roll, K3LT,
>> >even if technically the best qualified candidate for the position,
>> >could get a job with the ARRL as editorial director of QST?
>>
>> Absolutely not. The position requires someone who can deal with HQ staff
>and
>> outside people without using a virtually non-stop barrage of insults and
>> innuendo. I am afraid that your track record on rrap would convince anyone
>who
>> wanted to hire you that you are unsuitable for a position that deals with
>> people.
>>
>> As I had openings in the Lab, I looked to see if any of the candidates had
>done
>> posts to newsgroups. I cared not one whit about which side of the code-test
>> fence they were on, but would have evaluated the way they expressed their
>> views. Not everyone in the Lab agrees with my personal view on code
>testing,
>> Larry, nor do I care if they do.
>>
>> Just as a counter example, I can think of one very vocal NCTA who no longer
>> posts on this forum that I would not hire for the same reason as I would
>not
>> hire you, although he did manage to get a grip on his emotions and at least
>try
>> to be more productive in his postings.
>>
>> Does that help?
>
>
> It merely formalizes that which we already knew. You either kiss up to
>the NCTA, disregarding their cheap shots at code supporters, and toe the
>HQ accepted line WRT code and code testing, or you're persona-non-grata
>at HQ.
>Your presense might 'alienate' a no-coder and "*force*" him away from
>plunking down his money on a membership. Got it, Larry?

Dick:

As I'm sure you already knew, I had no doubt as to what Ed's response would
be. And I don't really blame Ed for having this position. He works for a
large membership-based organization that is dependent on the support of it's
members both present and future. Their biggest nightmare is the possibility
of offending a bloc of prospective members, therefore they tow a very carefully
balanced, politically-correct line at all times. Ed can no more speak out
in favor of code testing here than he can fly -- and he damn well knows it.
I really don't blame him for protecting his job -- I also have something of

a "dream job" but must put up with a formidable array of annoyances in order to keep it. However, Ed simply cannot avoid the fact that everything he says here carries with it the weight of ARRL HQ policy, and his career-essential support thereof.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 4348767203154311462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 06:06:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 13:05:30 GMT
References: <20010609061854.13401.00003076@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609090530.13903.00000677@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23592
```

>As a fellow AOL sufferer, you have probably watched Bruce's peeing in the AOL
>"Ham Radio" forum sandbox until everyone else simply stopped coming.

Seems like the correct place to be peeing.

```
From 5347394014510076212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 06:16:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 13:15:49 GMT
References: <20010609062625.13401.00003078@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609091549.13903.00000679@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23593
```

>It stands to reason that those that think they are above the
>law wrt radio operation would probably not be too ashamed to ask ARRL about
>RFI
>if they wanted to fix it.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

And Im sure the ARRL would help, by the way ED since ARRL is now very interested in CB, when are they going to start issuing WAS for CBers and Freebanders, and when are we going to start getting some good Articles on CB and Free Banding from ARRL, and how about a section in QST just for CBers and Freebanders, come on ED if ARRLs new love is CBers and Freebanders tell them to stop beating around the bush and do it. Who knows Ed they might be able to sell some more Fun Meals and T-Shirts.

From 6117245990337307814
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 06:18:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 13:18:28 GMT
References: <20010609062850.13401.00003079@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609091828.13903.00000680@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23594

>The mods listed on the mods sites for the "new" 2950 did not
>work on the one I checked in the Lab. If you have any info to the contrary,
>let me know.
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

Just as I figured they are looking for some CB articles, way to go ARRL now your true plans are showing.

From -4229392588431840088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 06:20:29 PST

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 13:20:04 GMT
References: <20010609063519.13401.00003081@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609092004.13903.00000681@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23595

>All CBers demonized themselves?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

And the ARRL will help to DE-DEMONIZE them


From 4838421243362974621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 06:32:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 13:31:59 GMT
References: <20010609075450.03759.00004729@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609093159.13903.00000682@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23596

>However, Ed simply cannot avoid the fact that everything he says
>here carries with it the weight of ARRL HQ policy, and his career-essential
>support thereof.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

  Exactly and thats why Ed is kissing up to the CBplussers, his Boss probably
told him to get on there and kissup to the New ones, with hope they would suck
in the new ones, but from what I hear on alot of local "CB 2 Meter Repeaters"
there not interested..
```

Lets face, where would any CBer wish to spend $34, not on a Magazine that is mainly a Sales Flyer, which has some Great Looking" DORK Sportswear".

From 3348593641743691987
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 06:37:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 13:36:31 GMT
References: <20010609075448.03759.00004726@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609093631.13903.00000683@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23597

>Not really, because for it to do so, I'd have to agree with your faulty
>premise that I don't have a "grip" on my emotions. The restraint I've shown
>in the past few weeks should show exactly the opposite. If you require
>an explanation, please E-mail me.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Larry they would not want you because your not a "YES MAN", I can see ED at work now YES BOSS, YES BOSS, HOW MUCH HIGHER BOSS.

From -7607463078800371293
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 06:39:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 13:39:16 GMT
References: <20010609075449.03759.00004727@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609093916.13903.00000684@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23598

>The fact that this win/win compromise never made it into the final
>ruling suggests to me that the best interests of the ARS had little to do
>with the final decision.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Of course not Larry , the whole thing was a Money, and Stop the Whinners
thing.

From 2899430819463975760
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 06:44:54 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 13:44:44 GMT
Message-ID: <3b222675.204464640@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
<3B218D02.90D2E7E4@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.91
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.16.91
X-Trace: 9 Jun 2001 08:39:53 -0500, 64.24.16.91
Lines: 14
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23599

On Fri, 08 Jun 2001 21:42:10 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> >Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
>> >
>> They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL
>
>My parents in their late 80s are CBers, Brian. You think they are demons?
>
I've operated on 27mHz, I'm not a demon either. That's not the point
and you know it.

From -6364836848023636570

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 06:45:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B221A4E.7A6C2F09@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
References: <20010609024209.18137.00003804@ng-cj1.aol.com>
<20010609060832.13401.00003072@ng-mn1.aol.com>
<ElnU6.4515$c37.536046@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 38
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 13:45:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.50
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992094304 63.17.223.50 (Sat, 09 Jun 2001
06:45:04 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 06:45:04 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 06:43:04 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23600
```

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010609060832.13401.00003072@ng-mn1.aol.com...
> > >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >
> > >In another example of capitalism gone awry, I see "The Fred" has found an
> > >on-line accomplice to bilk citizens out of money for something that they
> can
> > >do for themselves for free.
> >
> > Do you know of any other business that will handle the paperwork of filing
> a
> > federal application for you for $6.00? I have been the FCC ULS site and it
> sure
> > is a confusing mess. Fortunately, ARRL performs the same service for ARRL
> > members, for free, so when it is time for me to renew, I am going to go
> > upstairs and ask the VEC folks to do it for me.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >

>
> Yes, and if one really wanted to compare--take out the "usual and customary
> fee" for QST (isn't there a set-aside amount that the ARRL says is
> compensated for in membership for the reception of the magazine?), take out
> the overhead for ARRL HQ and infrastructure, and there's probably just about
> or a little over $6.00 in there for that service. It all washes out. (In
> my case I am losing money, to some degree, because QST rarely even gets
> cracked open here).
>
> Kim W5TIT

So there's not much in the way of Public Service, Dxing, contesting,
technical articles, product reviews and such to pique your interest,
huh? I surely hate to see you gypped, Kim.

Dave K8MN

```
From -5331357510806405349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 07:19:07 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 14:18:58 GMT
Message-ID: <3b222fd9.206869019@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3B205171.1E564E9F@sccoast.net> <20010608061217.09730.00003116@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.158
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.17.158
X-Trace: 9 Jun 2001 09:14:08 -0500, 64.24.17.158
Lines: 13
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-
news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23601
```

On 08 Jun 2001 10:12:17 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
>Many of those teaching newcomers do just that. What is on the tests does not
>limit us in how we help new hams become new hams.
>
Here's one way the kids around here have help with becoming hams.
>
http://www.k3bsa.org/
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
>



From 7591672018026776609
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 07:26:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 14:25:56 GMT
References: <3B221A4E.7A6C2F09@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
Message-ID: <20010609102556.08280.00003464@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23602

>So there's not much in the way of Public Service, Dxing, contesting,
>technical articles, product reviews and such to pique your interest,
>huh? I surely hate to see you gypped, Kim.

 Public Service----- Borrrrring
 DXing----Do I need ARRL for this?
 Technical Articles--- When did they start that.
 Product Reviews---Big Deal marketing Scheme

 Yea your right sure do need  ARRL.



From -7367209058107659260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 07:34:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 14:34:17 GMT
References: <FggU6.4150$p%4.374010@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

```
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010609103417.04014.00000103@nso-bk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23603

In article <FggU6.4150$p%4.374010@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> writes:

> I think there is a bit of difference between posting in the
>interest of debate, and posting through contemptuous anger, such that the
>sneering can almost be heard through the monitor of a computer.

I agree 100%. There's no shortage of that sort of stuff around rrap - on any
side of any issue.

>It is the
>sneering, seething anger that "posseses" those who are so wrapped up in just
>plain anger.

True. There is also the fact that what is written here can be misinterpreted.
One writer's humor can be read by some as anger or insult.

>Anger controls and overpowers those who let it.

Sure - but anger also has a purpose. I recall a man who got angry at seeing the
money changers in the house of God.

>Goodness
>knows, I let myself get wrapped up in it before.  Then, I considered the
>source(s).

Well, there you go.

Nothing wrong with getting angry as long as it doesn't control a person or lead
to violence. On the other hand, some people don't allow themselves to feel
strongly about anything. That's worse.


73 de Jim, N2EY


From 6527842125363607158
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 08:00:30 PST
Message-ID: <3B2239A3.68F66AC2@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 09:58:43 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
```

Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org> <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp 992098320 64.240.47.70 (9 Jun 2001 09:52:00 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-xit-03!supernews.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23604

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> If testing had ended at 5 WPM,
> I probably would not have become a long-term CW user myself --

And in your particular case, Larry, the world would have been
better off that way.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8402896882007183104
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 08:02:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!news-in.nibble.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 15:02:05 GMT
References: <20010609103417.04014.00000103@nso-bk.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.

Message-ID: <20010609110205.13860.00000795@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23605

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Sure - but anger also has a purpose. I recall a man who got angry at seeing
>the money changers in the house of God.

Yes, but he acted and corrected the problem. He did not then continue to be angry at everyone who entered the temple thereafter because they might have a propensity to be money changers.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5536051222941169753
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 08:03:17 PST
Message-ID: <3B223A4A.CF018997@IEEE.org>
Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 10:01:30 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
<3B218D02.90D2E7E4@IEEE.org> <3b222675.204464640@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.70
X-Trace: corp 992098486 64.240.47.70 (9 Jun 2001 09:54:46 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!hub1.nntpserver.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!newsin.onlynews.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23606

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>
> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >>
> >> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >> >Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
> >> >
> >> They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL
> >
> >My parents in their late 80s are CBers, Brian. You think they are demons?
> >
> I've operated on 27mHz, I'm not a demon either. That's not the point
> and you know it.

That's *MY* point, Brian. Demonizing *ALL* CBers is just as bad as racism
or sexism.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4953602396784400173
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 08:06:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!news-in.nibble.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 15:05:44 GMT
References: <20010609092004.13903.00000681@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609110544.13860.00000796@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23607

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>All CBers demonized themselves?

>And the ARRL will help to DE-DEMONIZE them

Those that are not demons will have nothing to fear in either direction, Bruce.
ARRL will pay no more or less attention to CB than it does to other radio

services, I would imagine, other than to continue to recognize that it represents the same potential pool of Amateurs that it did when I realized that ham radio was a lot more fun than CB in 1963.

If you need to see demons behind every bush, you are welcome to do so. I see Amateur Radio in a much more positive way. I wonder which point of view has more followers?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 2301712144794111198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 08:08:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 15:07:54 GMT
References: <20010609091828.13903.00000680@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609110754.13860.00000797@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23608
```

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>The mods listed on the mods sites for the "new" 2950 did not
>>work on the one I checked in the Lab. If you have any info to the contrary,
>>let me know.

>Just as I figured they are looking for some CB articles, way to go ARRL now
>your true plans are showing.

Um, Bruce... the 2950DX is a 10-meter radio. I was checking to make sure that moving a jumper on the PC board didn't turn it into a freeband radio.

Seeing another demon, eh Bruce?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8830935063860788938
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 08:09:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 15:09:35 GMT
References: <20010609091549.13903.00000679@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609110935.13860.00000798@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23609

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>And Im sure the ARRL would help, by the way ED since ARRL is now very
>interested in CB, when are they going to start issuing WAS for CBers and
>Freebanders, and when are we going to start getting some good Articles on CB
>and Free Banding from ARRL, and how about a section in QST just for CBers and
>Freebanders, come on ED if ARRLs new love is CBers and Freebanders tell them
>to
>stop beating around the bush and do it. Who knows Ed they might be able to
>sell
>some more Fun Meals and T-Shirts.

Seeing another demon, eh Bruce, or are you tired of peeing in the sandbox on the AOL boards and you want to pee in this one for a while?

I would respond to what you actually said if I could interpret the ramble.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6622363560955342010
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 08:23:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!news-in.nibble.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 15:11:03 GMT
References: <20010609093916.13903.00000684@ng-fl1.aol.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609111103.13860.00000799@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23611

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Of course not Larry , the whole thing was a Money, and Stop the Whinners
>thing.

In what way did the FCC make any money off of its decision?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8585173932978877774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd1a1f4da8239c3f,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 08:23:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Here it is.....
Date: 9 Jun 2001 15:11:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <9ftea8$362$0@208.206.142.74>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.74
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23610

From another venue...The opening salvo....

For those who have been concerned about the dumbing down of amateur
radio,
be advised:

A discusssion paper from the ACA has just been released for comment. In
the
context of administration of amateur exams it raises many other issues.
The
key quote reads:

"Certification in respect of the amateur radio service has been considered important for the manging of interference to other communications services and generally regulating the use of a valuable resource. It is open to debate whether a 'once only' demonstration of a person's knowledge by examination, particularly given that most amateurs use commercially manufactured equipment, continues to serve those purposes. Could, for example, interference and operating procedures be just as well managed by license conditions and by requiring that only commercial equipment of a certain 'standard' is used."

There are rumours that similar proposals are being circulated in Europe.

The sad thing is that this move is likely to be welcomed by many amateurs, manufacturers and national amateur radio organisations.

and a response.....

Dissent is alive in Austrailia, I am sure. When you folks passed the draconian gun laws, there was a national scarcity of 6 inch schedule 40 PVC pipe. A lot of folks burried a lot of guns down under. There will be the hold outs in the amateur community as well who will say, what the hell, "give me liberty or give me death," and continue to build and experiment.

```
From 8674212962426867766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 08:23:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!news-
in.nibble.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 15:15:56 GMT
References: <20010609075448.03759.00004726@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609111556.13860.00000800@ng-fl1.aol.com>
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23612

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>> I am afraid that your track record on rrap would convince anyone
>> who wanted to hire you that you are unsuitable for a position that deals
>> with people.

>Well, my "problem" with ARRL HQ staff predates my alleged "fame" on rrap
>by almost a decade. How do you explain that?

Chances are, Larry, before your "fame" on rrap, you might have been able to get
a job at HQ. No one would have known enough about you to have known about you.

>Well, from my own point of view, I would have thought that their ability to
>do the job would have been more importance than their "demeanor" on an
>open discussion forum such as this.
Much of the job of the ARRL Lab staff involves contact with members, FCC,
industry and the like. Demeanor is critical to the success of that job.

> However, in your position as a manager in an organization that cannot afford
to
> offend prospective members, I cannot say that I disagree with your thinking.
> You see, whether you admit it or not, maintaining the proper level of
"political
>correctness" is vital to your continued employment prospects as well.

If you are saying that I need to be courteous in my dealings with people, I
agree. But if you really need me to say it, ... Duh!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4239204657492531723
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 08:23:11 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.kjsl.com!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!
in.nntp.be!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 15:16:59 GMT
References: <20010609093631.13903.00000683@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609111659.13860.00000801@ng-fl1.aol.com>

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23613
```

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
>Larry they would not want you because your not a "YES MAN", I can see ED at
>work now YES BOSS, YES BOSS, HOW MUCH HIGHER BOSS.

Those who know me well are laughing themselves silly, Bruce. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1375752508859691362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 08:23:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!news-in.nibble.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 15:22:39 GMT
References: <20010609075450.03759.00004729@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609112239.13860.00000802@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23614
```

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>Ed can no more speak out in favor of code testing here than he can fly --
>and he damn well knows it.

Um, Larry, ARRL's present position wrt Morse code testing has not changed. It has made a policy decision that it will not devote ARRL resources to opposing any changes of S25.5. It has also affirmed that its present policy that it supports the retention of Morse code testing for HF access in the US will not change. In what way could I not speak out in favor of code testing in the face of that policy?

> also have something of a "dream job" but must put up with a formidable array
of
> annoyances in order to keep it.

Larry thinks a dream job is annoying. How odd.

>However, Ed simply cannot avoid the fact that everything he says
>here carries with it the weight of ARRL HQ policy, and his career-essential

>support thereof.

Duh! I think that has been explained to you a number of times, Larry. What you don't seem to get, though, is that those things I say here are the things I choose to say here. ARRL could give two rats butts about whether Ed Hare posts his views on rrap, or anywhere.

If you must see things in black and white, you are welcome to do so, but at this point, I trust that folks understand that most issues have subtleties that are apparently not part of your limited comprehension. 'Tis a pity; you are missing out on a lot and really should expand your horizons.

73,
Ed Hare,

```
From 7472457025285136619
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 08:26:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 15:26:11 GMT
References: <3B220522.CDC0BC20@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609112611.13860.00000803@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23615
```

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

> I was thinking of something more basic, more in line with
>reinforcing the electronics in the license study guide. For example,
>a really basic radio kit (something that would not require great
>knowledge to put together) that might receive a few frequencies and
>perhaps transmit code. Well, like I said earlier, I doubt this will fly.

Actually, it sounded like a reasonable idea to me. I think that this could be done at Part 15 emissions limits, with a range of about 300 feet or so. The biggest difficulty is that even a kit is SUPPOSED to be FCC Certificated, which is a rather interesting excercise, as I have been learning of late.

I would suggest that it also include a voice mode, thouhgh, to increase the interest.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3217047676494172177
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:05:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 17:04:48 GMT
References: <20010609110544.13860.00000796@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609130448.24094.00000197@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23616

>I would imagine, other than to continue to recognize that it
>represents the same potential pool of Amateurs that it did when I realized
>that
>ham radio was a lot more fun than CB in 1963.

I would have bet money you were a CBer in drag, so tell us ED and dont lie,
what is your CB Handle, dont be shy you already admitted it, so what kinda of
CB you using now, Ill bet you got one of them there CB HTs

From 614956126619244922
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:06:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 17:06:23 GMT
References: <20010609110754.13860.00000797@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609130623.24094.00000198@ng-cd1.aol.com>

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23617

>Um, Bruce... the 2950DX is a 10-meter radio.

We all know that, the point is you guys trying to figure out a way to put it on CB

From 6070649644943839027
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:09:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Date: 9 Jun 2001 10:09:58 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 59
Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.20.76.5
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992106599 6351 127.0.0.1 (9 Jun 2001 17:09:59 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 9 Jun 2001 17:09:59 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23618

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171>...
> Bill Sohl wrote:

> > That claim breaks down when you realize that for the
> > claim to be true....ALL hams would need to speak the
> > same language. The ITU treaty ONLY asks for ability
> > to send and receive the code characters. The treaty
> > makes no statement as to ability to compose text in
> > English or to decode and understand what was sent.
>
>
> Aw, gee, Bill, we all know that you've always been, and ARE severely
> CW-impaired in spite of your Extra-Liteness, but when you gonna learn to
> keep it under wraps just a little so as not to embarrass yourself?
> Any ham who becomes CW proficient learns, enroute, that CW has all the
> elements of a universal language, limited though it must be,

A "language" is something that can convey "thoughts, feelings, etc."
(Collins Concise Dictionary). This thread is a language in action.
This exchange of ideas, or anything remotely like it could not be

carried out use the Morse symbols unless the people share a common language. It is nonsense to suggest that the abbreviation codes often used WITH Morse Code (and, indeed, used in many spoken or data exchanges) comes anywhere near a "universal language", limited or not.

> All the Q
> signals mean the same thing, whether in French or Swahili. All the
> prosigns too. The universal Z codes haven't changed in many decades.

Indicating that if these abbreviations were, by some perverse logic, really classified as a language, it would also be classified as a dead language.

> Added to that, most of the civilized world knows that English is the
> universal communications language, and REAL communicators know and learn
> enough of it go get by, whatever their native tongue.

I do like the (il)logic at work here. You say Morse allows people to communicate without sharing a common language, but then point out that learning English is still required - "English is the universal communications language, and REAL communicators know and learn enough of it go (sic) get by".

The perverse logic that thinks simply changing the symbol that represents a letter or number creates a new language opens up a whole new vista. I suddenly realise that I'm fantastically multi-lingual because I can read Arial, Times and hundreds of other "languages". In fact, each time I add a new font to my computer, my language skills expand considerably. Why, when I take bold and italics into account, my languages skills are enormous.

Clearly, that was nonsense. I do not know a new language simply because someone changes the symbolic represntation of the letters. Tapping out a letter in Morse Code is simply an aurual equivalent of writing down a letter. There is no change of language, just a font change. ;-)

Mark.

```
From 876018118283041958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:10:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!news-in.nibble.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 17:09:34 GMT
References: <20010609110935.13860.00000798@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609130934.24094.00000200@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23619

>Bruce, or are you tired of peeing in the sandbox on
>the AOL boards and you want to pee in this one for a while?

Ed why are you so interested in my Peeing, is that another side line of yours. If you really want to know, I guess I ought to tell you, my Plumbing works just fine.

From -8836442153678796582
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:11:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!news-in.nibble.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 17:11:06 GMT
References: <20010609111103.13860.00000799@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609131106.24094.00000202@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23620

>In what way did the FCC make any money off of its decision?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1

You know the answer to that, Im not even going to try and answer that

From -1381444237611108355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:18:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!news-in.nibble.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

```
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 17:18:15 GMT
References: <20010609111556.13860.00000800@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609131815.24094.00000206@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23621

>Chances are, Larry, before your "fame" on rrap, you might have been able to
>get
>a job at HQ. No one would have known enough about you to have known about
>you.

 Who would want to work for ARRL anyway? Ed it sounds just a little prejudice
on your part in reference to Larry, Larry may have some great talents to offer
ARRL, but because you fell his comments on a BB, whick is nothing but BS
anyway, warrant him not even being considered, is that why ARRL is so far out
of touch with Reality.


From -7451404912216440926
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:19:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 17:19:23 GMT
References: <20010609111659.13860.00000801@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609131923.24094.00000208@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23622

>Those who know me well are laughing themselves silly, Bruce.  :-)
>
>73,

Well then maybe you ought to represent your self differently.


From -8724517503526l6306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:21:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 17:21:08 GMT
References: <20010609131923.24094.00000208@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609132108.01488.00003380@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23623

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)

>>Those who know me well are laughing themselves silly, Bruce.  :-)

>Well then maybe you ought to represent your self differently.

Did you really misunderstand?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -9163713285431154897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:22:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!news-in.nibble.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 17:22:32 GMT
References: <20010609131106.24094.00000202@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609132232.01488.00003381@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23624

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)

>>In what way did the FCC make any money off of its decision?

> You know the answer to that, Im not even going to try and answer that
```

No, I don't know the answer to that, Bruce, because I don't believe that the FCC made any money off it its decision wrt restructuring. You do. Do you care to explain why you believe that way?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -8796031064341448409
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:24:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 17:24:25 GMT
References: <20010609130623.24094.00000198@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609132425.01488.00003382@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23625
```

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Um, Bruce... the 2950DX is a 10-meter radio.

>We all know that, the point is you guys trying to figure out a way to put it
>on CB.

Actually, you have it exactly backwards, Bruce. I was trying to make sure it didn't go easily on CB. Once I put the jumpers on and it didn't revert to the all-frequency mode, I was happy and put it back in the box.

Seen demons again, eh?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 9037401407039867944
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:26:39 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 17:26:02 GMT
References: <20010609130448.24094.00000197@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609132602.01488.00003383@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23626

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>I would have bet money you were a CBer in drag, so tell us ED and dont lie,
>what is your CB Handle, dont be shy you already admitted it, so what kinda of
>CB you using now, Ill bet you got one of them there CB HTs

Sorry to disappoint you, Bruce, but I haven't operated CB since the late 60s.
I do not believe that legitimate CB operators are demons.

Seeing demons again, Bruce?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7230579601768254222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:31:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 17:31:19 GMT
References: <20010609112239.13860.00000802@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609133119.24094.00000214@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23627

>Morse code testing has not changed. It
>has made a policy decision that it will not devote ARRL resources to opposing
>any changes of S25.5. It has also affirmed that its present policy that it

>supports the retention of Morse code testing for HF access in the US will not
>change.

What a bunch of Weenies, talk about Double Talk BS, one comment they say they wont oppose the Change in s25 by not providing resources, than say they support retention of CW. Duh ARRL you cant have it both ways, I guess this is there way of trying to show they are a weak Org, with no guts. Since you have mentioned in others Post that Larry was not a good Candiate for work at ARRL, from reading the above Statement Im wondering what Talent is reguired, it appears that Used Car Sales Experience, would put a person at the head of the List

From 364994441672601726
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 10:35:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!news-in.nibble.net!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 17:34:55 GMT
References: <20010609132108.01488.00003380@ng-da1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609133455.24094.00000215@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23628

>Did you really misunderstand?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

No I didnt misunderstand, I read right thru your BS

From 7187329895231249086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 11:34:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 18:33:33 GMT
References: <20010609112239.13860.00000802@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609143333.03511.00000187@nso-fp.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23629

In article <20010609112239.13860.00000802@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>
>>Ed can no more speak out in favor of code testing here than he can fly --
>>and he damn well knows it.
>
>Um, Larry, ARRL's present position wrt Morse code testing has not changed.

I disagree Ed.

>It
>has made a policy decision that it will not devote ARRL resources to opposing
>any changes of S25.5.

Right. That's a policy change. Previous to the January BOD meeting, the policy was that ARRL leadership supported S25.5. Now the policy is "ARRL doesn't care what happens to S25.5". That's a BIG policy change.

>It has also affirmed that its present policy that it
>supports the retention of Morse code testing for HF access in the US will not
>change.

That's NOT what I read.

What I saw is that the ARRL BOD will continue to support code testing as an FCC requirement. But that policy is open for review and change at any time.

And anyone who looks at the big picture can see the problem: FCC has repeatedly said that S25.5 is the ONLY thing that is keeping code testing alive in the USA. Their exact words were that code testing serves "no regulatory purpose" other than conformity to S25.5. I'm no lawyer, but that's pretty unambiguous to me.

If S25.5 goes (some folks would even say "when S25.5 goes"), the FCC will almost certainly drop code testing for US ham licenses - maybe even before being petitioned to do so. The current ARRL BOD position will carry no weight with the FCC unless something else changes.

> In what way could I not speak out in favor of code testing in the
>face
>of that policy?

```
You have always made it clear what your personal opinion was, and that it was
your opinion, not ARRL policy. That's a good thing. Too bad so many do not
realize that ARRL BOD policy is made by the BOD, and nobody else in Newington.
And the BOD is elected by the members.

73 de Jim, N2EY


From -5523052758063255147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 12:09:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 77
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 19:09:22 GMT
References: <20010609075449.03759.00004727@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609150922.15339.00001059@nso-df.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23631

In article <20010609075449.03759.00004727@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20010607054536.13725.00000231@ng-fm1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) writes:
>
>>
>>>There is no requirement for me to be able to send/receive faster than
>>>30 WPM, so I'm going to whine anytime I darn please!
>>
>>There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5
>> wpm, either...
>
>Ed:
>
>The point is, if no requirement for me to send/receive faster than 5 WPM
>ever existed, I most likely would not be able to do so now.

Irrelevant.  Personal experiences do not constitute any reason
for retention of "long established" standards in a technically
oriented activity that is constantly advancing.

>It is my strong
>belief that reducing the testing requirement to 5 WPM will, in fact, bring
>an eventual end to the *use* of CW in the ARS due to the fact that
```

>a rapidly declining base of users will force changes in present bandplans
>to permit voice mode operation in presently CW/DATA band segments.

The USA is in a distinct minority of nations who have established
bandplans on HF to favor a minority of amateur licensees.

As has been pointed out by others, if morse code skills are so
personally enjoyable by a majority, then the mode will stand on
its own without need of federal "subsidy" through license testing
of code skills..

>Virtually to a man, the NCTA's insist that they have nothing against
>the *use* of CW -- but they strongly object to the only thing that ensures
>that CW can continue to be used by a significant portion of hams.

There is no logical basis, certainly no factual basis for this assertion.

>This is one reason why I've always recommended total grant
>of voice spectrum for the Gen/Adv classes, and retaining the Extra-class
>and it's DX-rich spectrum as main prize for CW aficionados.

That is still favoritsm for a minority.

> Why
>this compromise never gained any traction, only the FCC knows. I do
>know that this proposal, and others like it made during the comment
>period on the Restructuring NPRM, received a great deal of consideration.

Roll knows nothing of the kind but assumes "insider" knowledge
when he has none. The FCC has publicly made its decisions with
regard to 98-143 well known. Everyone who cares to access
99-412 can see that for themselves.

>The fact that this win/win compromise never made it into the final
>ruling suggests to me that the best interests of the ARS had little to do
>with the final decision.

Assumption. To Roll and some like-minded in a distinct minority,
"Roll's" compromise was "win/win" but did not or could not be seen
to be any advantage for all US citizens affected by US amateur radio
restructuring. The FCC decides the "final decision" based on input
from all.

No "final decisions" will be universally acceptible. Roll wishes to
direct or be the final arbiter on What Is Good For Amateur Radio.
Actually, all that Roll promotes as being "good" is solely based on
Roll's individual personal experiences/emotions/pride/etc. That has
been a constant for years.

Misology continues to be the SOTA of the long-tenured...

LHA

From -4068582703061638924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 12:09:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 65
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 19:09:23 GMT
References: <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609150923.15339.00001060@nso-df.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23630

In article <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>> There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5
>>> wpm, either...
>>
>>Actually, since there is no retesting requirement, a licensed HF ham
>>can forget he ever knew Morse code. I know some HF hams who have done
>>just that.
>
>Cecil:
>
>The point of code testing is to retain the potential communications
>capability of the relatively small percentage of code-tested hams
>who do, in practice, embrace the Morse/CW mode and continue
>to use it -- thus retaining and/or increasing their proficiency at
>the tested level and beyond.

Incorrect.

The political aspect of code testing is S25.5. This came about as a result of very early radio when nearly every radio service used OOK CW codings (the only mode technically possible). Way back over a half century ago, the morse code test was logical from the standpoint of mitigating interference.

The emotional aspect of code testing comes from several generations of constant mental directions by the old-timers (of each generation) to maintain "correct" standards and practices in amateur radio as they "properly" (righteously) did

it. The end result was a minority of code-proficient individuals
who claimed "superiority" on the sole basis of code skills.

>The "rub" is that one usually does
>not begin having satisfactory on-the-air experiences with CW until
>they are at or beyond the 13 WPM level -- which I see as a main
>reason for the long-established requirement of 20 WPM for an
>Amateur Extra class license.

Irrelevant. The "long establishment" has no basis for continuation
as a license test requirement in an activity that cannot be older
than 105 years (radio, as such, started in 1896).

In the USA the FCC is the final arbiter on what constitutes the
proper requirements, not the ARS "community" nor individuals
who are self-styled stewards of standards on the basis of
tenure after meeting old test requirements.

The FCC has stated publicly "long ago" (11 years) that morse
code skills are not considered as requirements for an amateur
radio license as part of the FCC's task of civil radio regulation.

>If testing had ended at 5 WPM,
>I probably would not have become a long-term CW user myself --
>and I doubt that many will in the future.

Again irrelevant. Roll is not the model of US amateur radio nor
the controller/regulator of "proper" license testing standards. Even
the US ARS "community" is not the controller/regulator despite
the insistence of the long-tenured licensees bravely waving their
flags of conquest and "turf."

LHA

```
From -4355050797191427741
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e06777c7631e4c97,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 12:53:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!
204.127.161.2.MISMATCH!wn2feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-
localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Attn W1RFI - this is YOUR fault!
Lines: 10
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <W8vU6.70271$t12.5661243@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
```

Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 19:53:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.173.123
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 992116406 12.88.173.123 (Sat, 09 Jun 2001 19:53:26 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 19:53:26 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23632

Yep, after looking over a bunch of posts and remembering Ed's kind pointers as to what actually IS being done as far as experimentation, I went and did it. Ed, this was YOUR fault! I just wish you had mentioned how easy it is on the ARRL website. I signed for a couple of years.

Ed, thank you so much for the URLs you supplied some months ago!

Jim AA2QA

From -2773331712915059552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 13:28:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 20:24:04 GMT
References: <20010609102556.08280.00003464@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
Message-ID: <20010609162404.21646.00001112@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23633

>Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/9/01 9:25 AM Central Daylight Time

>Public Service----- Borrrrring

Most social leeches find it so. They expect to BE served, but serving is out of the question. So typical.

>DXing----Do I need ARRL for this?

Of course not. But if you care to participate in THIER awards program, you do.

>Technical Articles--- When did they start that.

Those are some of those pages of black and white marks and squiggly lines and stuff between the pages of stories and advertisements, Bruce. You should get the person who reads QST to you to try and explain it to you some time. Perhaps "QST, The Coloring Book" version might better serve you...?!?!

>Product Reviews---Big Deal marketing Scheme

Unless you are like me and only have so much money to spend and appreciate the effort to determine if a radio is worth the expenditure.

>Yea your right sure do need ARRL.

"Need"..?!?! Perhaps not..."Want"...?!?! Yeppers!

Steve, K4YZ

From -3671651252022634366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 13:35:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 68
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 20:34:42 GMT
References: <20010609150923.15339.00001060@nso-df.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609163442.21646.00001114@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23634

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/9/01 2:09 PM Central Daylight Time

>Incorrect.
>
>The political aspect of code testing is S25.5. This came about as
>a result of very early radio when nearly every radio service used
>OOK CW codings (the only mode technically possible). Way back
>over a half century ago, the morse code test was logical from the
>standpoint of mitigating interference.

Not incorrect. Voice communications have been possible since way before the implementation of S25.

>The emotional aspect of code testing comes from several
>generations of constant mental directions by the old-timers
>(of each generation) to maintain "correct" standards and
>practices in amateur radio as they "properly" (righteously) did
>it.

The "emotional" aspect of "code testing" comes from Amateurs who get thier dander up from punk scum NON-licensees who deem it important to suggest THEY know what's better for Hams...Code or NO code.

>The end result was a minority of code-proficient individuals
>who claimed "superiority" on the sole basis of code skills.

You go away for a few days making this forum tolerable to read (although WA8ULX has resurfaced, spamming the NG in your stead) and you return to do nothing but resume your campaign of lying and insinuation.

What a putz.

>Irrelevant.

Only a lying scumbag like you DEMANDS his "rights" to express opinions in a public forum and then sweepingly dismisses as "irrelevant" the opinions of others.

>In the USA the FCC is the final arbiter on what constitutes the
>proper requirements, not the ARS "community" nor individuals
>who are self-styled stewards of standards on the basis of
>tenure after meeting old test requirements

They are tghe "final arbiter" AFTER having processed the input of the public through the NPRM/RO process.

>The FCC has stated publicly "long ago" (11 years) that morse
>code skills are not considered as requirements for an amateur
>radio license as part of the FCC's task of civil radio regulation.

You acknowledge this in no uncerain terms yet you repeatedly deny that the FCC has said these very things in other posts. Only when an assertion meets YOUR "Message Points" do you do so.

>Again irrelevant.

And again you prove how arrogant you are. Not that anyone here is surprised.

>LHA

(L)ying (H)am-baiting (A)ntagonist

From 6301323540292387768
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 13:50:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 71
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 20:49:30 GMT
References: <20010609150922.15339.00001059@nso-df.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609164930.21646.00001115@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23635

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/9/01 2:09 PM Central Daylight Time

>Irrelevant.

Arrogant dismissal of anothers opinions by one who DEMANDS his...

>Personal experiences do not constitute any reason
>for retention of "long established" standards in a technically
>oriented activity that is constantly advancing.

Sure they do. You yourself go to great lengths to impress us with YOUR experiences as if they were some sort of validation that YOUR opinion is "more worthy" than anyone elses.

>The USA is in a distinct minority of nations who have established
>bandplans on HF to favor a minority of amateur licensees.

Most USA "band plans" were enacted in order to prevent the (then) majority of USA Hams from dominating the rest of the world.

And that the United States should "protect" the rights/needs/desires is part and parcel of the Constitution of the United States. Have you ever read that document, Your Geezerness?

>As has been pointed out by others, if morse code skills are so
>personally enjoyable by a majority, then the mode will stand on
>its own without need of federal "subsidy" through license testing

>of code skills..

True. For once.

>>Virtually to a man, the NCTA's insist that they have nothing against
>>the *use* of CW -- but they strongly object to the only thing that ensures
>>that CW can continue to be used by a significant portion of hams.

>There is no logical basis, certainly no factual basis for this assertion.

If YOUR assertion was true Lennie, there would be NO need for almost every civil rights oriented piece of legislation in teh United States, nor such laws as the "Americans With Disabilities" act, now would there?

>The FCC decides the "final decision" based on input
>from all.

Funny...iin another post you were saying the FCC makes "all" the decisions...So which is it, Your Putziness...?!?!

>No "final decisions" will be universally acceptible.

And for YOU, any decision shy of "Give A License To Retired Electronics Technicians Without Examination" will be unacceptable.

> Roll wishes to
>direct or be the final arbiter on What Is Good For Amateur Radio.

As opposed to what ANDERSON wants to do...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

>Misology continues to be the SOTA of the long-tenured..

Such as ex-technicians who rant in newsgroups of avocations in which they do not participate?

>LHA

(L)ying (H)am-baiting (A)ntagonist

From 6874235832439533772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 13:56:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 20:56:12 GMT
References: <20010609131923.24094.00000208@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609165612.21646.00001116@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23636

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/9/01 12:19 PM Central Daylight Time

>Well then maybe you ought to represent your self differently.

Ed Hare has REPEATEDLY stated in this forum that his opinions are his and his alone, and in no way reflect any policy of the American Radio Relay League in ANY capacity, other than his OWN writings under his OWN by-line.

He has NEVER stated that anything he writes here or in any other forum represents anything BUT his own opinion.

To assert anything else to the contrary to to blatantly lie.

I would ask you to provide a "for instance" where Ed has done otherwise, but I'd hate to see you chasing your tail like that.

Steve

From 6511192468902660146
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 13:57:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 20:57:01 GMT
References: <20010609133455.24094.00000215@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609165701.21646.00001117@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23637

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/9/01 12:34 PM Central Daylight Time

>No I didnt misunderstand, I read right thru your BS

Then perhaps you need new glasses...?!?!

From 8215763816785028362
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 14:01:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 21:00:53 GMT
References: <20010609131815.24094.00000206@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609170053.21646.00001118@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23638

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/9/01 12:18 PM Central Daylight Time

> Who would want to work for ARRL anyway?

Me. Not that there is much to get me to move to CT, but who WOULDN'T want a job where the employee picnic tables are shaded by towers and yagi's...?!?!

>Ed it sounds just a little prejudice
>on your part in reference to Larry, Larry may have some great talents to
>offer
>ARRL, but because you fell his comments on a BB, whick is nothing but BS
>anyway, warrant him not even being considered, is that why ARRL is so far out

>of touch with Reality.

Or perhaps he can offer YOU a job as proofreader or grammatical critic...?!?!

```
From 5131434903472114622
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 14:36:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 84
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 21:35:58 GMT
References: <20010609062625.13401.00003078@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609173558.07451.00001895@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23639
```

Why sure Ed,

>Can you offer any examples of this "usual" anti-CBer line?

Cut from:

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Wireless/Orders/2000/da001907.txt

"The ARRL opposes the Petition on the grounds that this proposal seeks to redefine the purpose of the CB Radio Service by allowing long-distance CB communications, which would undermine the core distinction between the CB Radio Service and the Amateur Radio Service. Similarly, Collin Dvork (Dvork) states that the rule should not be revised because the CB Radio Service is not a DX (long-distance) service, and someone who wants DX should obtain an amateur radio license and use bands that are allocated for it. The NAB also opposes the Dixon Petition. It states that the restriction in Section 95.413(a)(9) is necessary to deter CB operators from operating at power levels in excess of those permitted under the Commission's rules, and that consumers must be protected from illegal CB radio transmissions that interfere with radio, television, telephone, and other forms of electronic communication."

That was from the league itself. All CBers who work DX will automatically employ illegal amplifiers. If they're going through the trouble to change this rule via proper protocol, seems to me that they are NOT predisposed to break the law and respect the system. From the general populace, the words "CB General," "Chicken Bander," (a classic) "Dopey CBer," (that one's from my bio on QRZ) etc...I could go on but I trust you get the idea.

>I believe
>that ARRL should remain devoted to Amateur Radio, I also bear no animosity at
>all against the legit CB operator. Why should I?

That's a good thing. I don't believe they have anything against you personally, AR as a whole, or the league either. (Sans the FUBAR re. RM-9807. Yes, remain devoted to AR, but throw your hat into the 11 meter arena, i.e. RM-9807, and expect a reaction...and rightfully so, I might add.)

>The ones I do have a problem with, personally, are those that are NOT CBers
>but
>choose to operate outside the CB bands or those using high-powered
>amplifiers.
>

I'm with you 100% on the issue of "high-powered amplifiers" and equally so on the 10 & 12 meter incursions from unlicensd ops, however......You already work for many of "those who HAVE operated outside the CB bands." It's a done deal, can't take back the past. Some licensed amateurs (and NOT lids, I should add) have come from the...uh oh, here it comes...the "freeband." Sorry, not my wish...just the facts, sir. Please don't ask me for names. Although I know quite a few...to ask for names as proof is pointless as the subject requires obvious discretion. I'll be happy to lay down my story to you via e-mail though, all you need do is ask.

 >I have heard from a number of hams who are resolving RFI problems with
>neighbors, but do not recall even a single instance of a "CBer" with an amp
>asking for my help.

While I'm in agreement with you, I will at least offer myself again as an example of an 11 meter operator who was proactive from the "get-go" wrt RFI prevention. I've never had a complaint and solicited reports from my surroundin neighbors. You asked for examples, I've offered up myself at the risk of being "demonized" by some of the less agreeable posters in this NG.

>15% of the hams that contact us with a question are not
>current members.

Don't expect that stat to hold true when specifically queried re. the leagues position on 11 meter issues. No issues?...RM-9807?! (also expect not to be let off the hook easily)

>It stands to reason that those that think they are above the
>law wrt radio operation would probably not be too ashamed to ask ARRL about

>RFI
>if they wanted to fix it.

Again, I offer exhibit #1..."the man in the mirror." (Gee, sounds like a nifty song title.)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN
Ex KEC-1553
Still SSB-411D, USCB-411, or just plain old 411..."LI, NY, clear on your final."

From 2880562053287843467
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 14:47:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 21:47:00 GMT
References: <20010609062850.13401.00003079@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609174700.07451.00001896@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23640

Hi again Ed,

>Actually, from what I can tell, the 2950DX is not nearly as easy to mod as
>the
>non-DX model. The mods listed on the mods sites for the "new" 2950 did not
>work on the one I checked in the Lab. If you have any info to the contrary,
>let me know.
>

Yeah, still that easy, Ed. They wen't from the standard PCB to a SMT (Surface Mount Technology) board. To convert the newer radios to continuous TX coverage a module is now available from RCI, plug and play. It's really no more sinister than the beloved microswitch just below the wire bundle, behind the top panel on the beloved "freebander" legend, the Yaesu FT-757GX.

The mods for both are commonly found on various websites. A Google search should do the trick.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

P.S. They did have a series (or production run) that was supposedly
unmodifiable. Money talked and that version went the way of the Do-do.)

From -1180352105656311973
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 14:54:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 21:53:49 GMT
References: <20010609110754.13860.00000797@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609175349.07451.00001897@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23641

>the 2950DX is a 10-meter radio. I was checking to make sure that
>moving a jumper on the PC board didn't turn it into a freeband radio.
>

You need to reference the PCB series# for the correct mod, i.e. EPT-69xx. Hope
that helps.>your true plans are showing.
>

BTW Bruce, I use a President Lincoln 10 meter radio, "fully opened,"
(26.0000MHz through 29.9999MHz) in conjunction with a 2 meter transverter. Ed,
that's a better reason to be checking a radio's modifiability (Is this a
word?:-)) rather than "to make sure that moving a jumper on the PC board didn't
turn it into a freeband radio."

It takes a bit more than a jumper.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -7671832540845182396
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 14:56:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!

```
audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 21:56:21 GMT
References: <20010609130623.24094.00000198@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609175621.07451.00001898@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23642

>We all know that, the point is you guys trying to figure out a way to put it
>on
>CB

Can you spell "T-R-A-N-S-V-E-R-T-E-R?!"

Digital TROLL-O-METER
=================
0 1 3 5 7 9 +20 +40 +60
|||||||||||||
=================

73 de Bert
KC2HMN


From -6663498811467874385
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 15:05:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 22:05:16 GMT
References: <20010609162404.21646.00001112@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
Message-ID: <20010609180516.09626.00003154@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23643

>Perhaps "QST, The Coloring Book" version might better serve you...?!?!
>

 Its that the Version you use???
```

```
From 3120931025495845942
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 15:08:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 22:08:15 GMT
References: <20010609165612.21646.00001116@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609180815.09626.00003155@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23644

>but I'd hate to see you chasing your tail like that.
>
>Steve

 Are you another one of his Laccckkkiiieeesss
```

```
From 4889590728530665570
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 15:16:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 22:16:22 GMT
References: <20010609175349.07451.00001897@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609181622.22777.00003517@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23645

>It takes a bit more than a jumper.
>
>73 de Bert
>KC2HMN

 Well now you have really messed them up, I guesss they need to contact a CBer,
```

for there Technical Advice

From -3639189486450302233
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 15:17:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 22:16:55 GMT
References: <20010609175621.07451.00001898@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609181655.22777.00003518@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23646

>Can you spell "T-R-A-N-S-V-E-R-T-E-R?!"
>

Nope

From 1825921632985942232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 16:49:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 91
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 23:48:33 GMT
References: <20010609163442.21646.00001114@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609194833.22760.00000588@nso-mk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23647

In article <20010609163442.21646.00001114@ng-fn1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>The political aspect of code testing is S25.5. This came about as

>>a result of very early radio when nearly every radio service used
>>OOK CW codings (the only mode technically possible). Way back
>>over a half century ago, the morse code test was logical from the
>>standpoint of mitigating interference.
>
>   Not incorrect. Voice communications have been possible since way before
>the implementation of S25.

Article S25.5 is part of the regulation articles of the International Telecommunications Union, specifically one of the eleven specifically describing amateur services and amateur-satellite services. The ITU is a United Nations body. The UN did not exist before 1945. Voice broadcasting existed prior to 1945. So did the CCITT, the predecessor of the ITU.

Robeson's comment above is simply misdirection on the subject.

The first use of voice modulation occurred in 1906, using a water cooled microphone modulating a field winding of an LF alternator-generator (Fessenden). Further "voice" broadcasting did not take place until vacuum tubes were available for radio transmitters, rather well after the creation of the first US civil radio regulation agency in 1912.

>   The "emotional" aspect of "code testing" comes from Amateurs who get
>thier
>dander up from punk scum NON-licensees who deem it important to suggest THEY
>know what's better for Hams...Code or NO code.

Gratuitous use of pejoratives, even in strong arguments, defeats any "righteousness" of the licensed's opinions.

>   You go away for a few days making this forum tolerable to read (although
>WA8ULX has resurfaced, spamming the NG in your stead) and you return to do
>nothing but resume your campaign of lying and insinuation.

Incorrect on all accounts. My absence of three weeks is irelevant. WA8ULX is apparently a licensed radio amateur and not a part of Roll's message nor my comment to Roll's message. Please refrain from constant misdirection.

>   What a putz.

The word "putz" is a Yiddish colloquialism for 'asshole.' Whether written in Yiddish or American English it is still a pejorative that does nothing for Robeson's cause.

>   Only a lying scumbag like you DEMANDS his "rights" to express opinions
>in a public forum and then sweepingly dismisses as "irrelevant" the opinions
of

>others.

More use of gratuitous pejoratives, again doing nothing for Robeson's case, cause, or obsession.

I simply comment, do not "demand" anything except to try to argue a subject on the subject's merits, not trying to drop into some battle-to-the-death on gratuitious insult hurling.

>   You acknowledge this in no uncerain terms yet you repeatedly deny that
>the FCC has said these very things in other posts. Only when an assertion meets
>YOUR "Message Points" do you do so.

The FCC has stated in Report and Orders 90-53 and 99-412 that it does not consider morse code skill testing to be relevant to its task of regulating US amateur radio. I have written so in the past in this newsgroup several times and will continue to remind others of those public documents.

>   And again you prove how arrogant you are. Not that anyone here is
>surprised.

Incorrect. Robeson does not speak (indeed, cannot) for all readers of this newsgroup.

The code test retention-elimination argument is a volatile one with strong opinions on all sides. Use of personal insults not germane to the subject at hand is the "arrogance" demonstrated. Robeson has demonstrated that in countless displays of misology.

LHA

From -5535859959634085351
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 16:49:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 87
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 09 Jun 2001 23:48:34 GMT
References: <20010609164930.21646.00001115@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses

Message-ID: <20010609194834.22760.00000589@nso-mk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23648

In article <20010609164930.21646.00001115@ng-fn1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Personal experiences do not constitute any reason
>>for retention of "long established" standards in a technically
>>oriented activity that is constantly advancing.
>
>   Sure they do. You yourself go to great lengths to impress us with YOUR
>experiences as if they were some sort of validation that YOUR opinion is
>"more worthy" than anyone elses.

Incorrect. I simply challenged Roll's assertion on requiring all
newcomers to meet "long established standards" of entry; i.e.,
the morse test.

Why should standards continue just because they have never
been removed?

In the beginning of US amateur radio (1912), amateurs and all
other radio services had only OOK CW mode, no bandplans,
hardly any separation of radio services via "bands." It made
sense then to require a test so that interference mitigation
could be done. 89 years later that is no longer true.

>>The USA is in a distinct minority of nations who have established
>>bandplans on HF to favor a minority of amateur licensees.
>
>   Most USA "band plans" were enacted in order to prevent the (then)
>majority of USA Hams from dominating the rest of the world.

What year was "then?" It is obvious that the USA does not
now (2001) have a majority in world amateur radio.

>   And that the United States should "protect" the rights/needs/desires is
>part and parcel of the Constitution of the United States. Have you ever read
>that document, Your Geezerness?

Irrelevant comment and pejorative. I was defending the Constitution
of the United States in military service before Robeson was born.
Gratuitous flag-waving and patriotic trumpeting by someone who
was released from military service (rather than serving a full term)
has no place in discussion of amateur radio testing regulations.

>>>Virtually to a man, the NCTA's insist that they have nothing against
>>>the *use* of CW -- but they strongly object to the only thing that ensures
>>>that CW can continue to be used by a significant portion of hams.
>
>>There is no logical basis, certainly no factual basis for this assertion.

>
>   If YOUR assertion was true Lennie, there would be NO need for almost
>every
>civil rights oriented piece of legislation in teh United States, nor such
>laws
>as the "Americans With Disabilities" act, now would there?

Again irrelevant. The subject of the American Disabilities Act was
not part of either Roll's message nor my comment to him.

Roll made an assertion, based solely on the majority of no-code test
advocates in this newsgroup and illogically connected to the assertion
as if he could predict the future.

>>The FCC decides the "final decision" based on input
>>from all.
>
>   Funny...iin another post you were saying the FCC makes "all" the
>decisions...So which is it, Your Putziness...?!?!

The only US civil radio regulatory agency is the FCC. They make
the final decisions on US civil radio regulations by codifying them
in Title 47, Code of Federal Regulations. Those final decisions are
made after a period of comment by citizens concerning applicable
radio services.

The word "putz" is a Yiddish colloquialism approximately equal
to the American colloquialism 'asshole.' Whether written in
Yiddish or American English, it is still a pejorative. That and an
attempt to mislabel my former occupation as "lower" than it was
is also irrelevant to the subject of the original thread.

After an absence of three weeks it is clear that Robeson is still
incapable of discussing any subject without use of puerile
attempts at insult that have nothing to do with discussions.

LHA

From 1614238083082291532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 17:14:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 00:14:34 GMT
References: <beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609201434.25284.00003171@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23649

Bravo Bert! Excellent post. Take care and 73.

Mark

>wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
>news:<20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>...
>> In the latest E-Mail ARRL sent out they are praising a CBER for using CB, I
>> thought there little Tag Line at the Top of QST said: "The National
>Association
>> for Amateur Radio", maybe they need to change it to" The National
>Association
>> for Amateur Radio and CBers."
>
>Maybe it's long overdue. If the operator mentioned was deserving of
>praise...then so be it! Remember, there was a nifty little idea posted
>here not all that long ago. It proposed that the "disenfranchised"
>CBers take the ridiculously easy Technician exam, join The ARRL, and
>enjoy full voting privileges thereafter. So you see, when that ARRL
>elected "employee" receives an e-mail from that relatively new Tech,
>asking what their position is regarding 11 meters...he/she may want to
>think twice before spewing the usual anti-CBer line. Job security
>often outweighs strict charter loyalty.
>
>The fact is that with the Sega-Genesis/Internet generation not
>exhibiting the "gee-whiz" attitude toward radio that I was/am familiar
>with, just who do you think will "inherit" the reigns of amateur
>radio? Beside the SWLs and scanner enthusiasts, there's only one other
>demographic group I can think of that exhibits a passionate (if not
>legal) interest in making international contacts on HF radio via
>skywave propagation. This group encompasses many SWLs and scanner
>enthusiasts so it's proportionately larger. I didn't make this up,
>it's just the way the chips are falling.
>
>The proof is as close as your local 2m repeater. Heard any "breakers"
>lately? Could this have been avoided?...maybe. Had there been a real
>effort to reach out to the 11 meter community, perhaps our friend,
>"Elmer," could have effected the required attitude adjustment. However
>after years of 11 meter bashing (Much of it well deserved, but hardly
>constructive.) the ki$$ my a$$ attitude prevails. I've read that AR's
>GOFs (crumudgeons) are an eternal resource, to which someone responded
>that they are NOT inehaustable. I agree, "cry and moan" as you please
>but the "old guard" shall attrite at a much faster rate than the "new
>guard" will arrive. Not rocket science, just an eternal truth that is
>often referenced in the same breath along with "taxes." Food for
>thought. No need for flames, that won't stop the process any. Maybe
>that guy, Elmer, has got a better suggestion?
>
>73 de Bert

>KC2HMN
>Former KEC-1553
>
>
>
>
>
>

From -1604677285760840266
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 17:26:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 00:26:27 GMT
References: <1HdU6.4601$Zt6.1226909@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609202627.25284.00003173@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23650

>I agree I think the ARRL should keep there nose out of CB. Period
>

Bingo!

Mark

From 7190055201798429579
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 17:26:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 00:25:45 GMT

References: <20010608174652.18496.00006200@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609202545.25284.00003172@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23651

>Im suggesting that ARRL keep its nose out of CB, its none of there concern

Finally, a point with whici I can agree.

>, now
>ED will come on here with more of his ARRL BS and try and defend them, it
>most
>be terrible to be so worried about Job that you willingly become the Mouth
>piece for ARRL.

But there's no call for being rude.

Take care and 73.

Mark

From -7916436679261693453
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 17:29:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 00:28:49 GMT
References: <20010608203337.13868.00002092@nso-ba.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609202849.25284.00003174@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23652

Now that's interesting. Thanks Jim, take care and 73.

Mark

>True Trivia Fact: For most of 1921 and part of 1922, QST covers bore the
>words
>"devoted to citizen wireless" or "devoted to citizen radio". This was in
>response to the idea that the words "amateur" and "ham" were derogatory.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>

```
>
>
>




From -6049636993144388774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 18:10:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ElnU6.4515$c37.536046@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<20010609070519.13335.00003567@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
Lines: 38
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <0OzU6.155$Il5.18197@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 01:10:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.134.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992135420 209.246.134.27 (Sat, 09 Jun
2001 18:10:20 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:10:20 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:08:21 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23653

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010609070519.13335.00003567@ng-mn1.aol.com...
> From: "Kim-W5TIT" nospam@none.net
>
> >(In my case I am losing money, to some degree, because QST rarely even
gets
> >cracked open here).
>
> That's okay, Kim; I don't read QST from cover to cover, either; that is
not my
> reason for being an ARRL member.  But I do suggest you flip through the
issues
> every month when they come in.  Over the course of decades of hamming, you
will
```

> change and grow, as did we all, and what doesn't interest you today may
> interest you in the future and what seems way too technical now will seem
just
> right someday sooner than you think. Having seen it in the mag, even if
you
> don't read it, will jog your memory so you can find it again.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

There's some dissatisfaction with QST, Ed, but not enough to keep me from
reading it! In fact, when the new format came out a couple/few years ago, I
really liked the look. No, the reason I don't read QST is the same reason I
don't get a chance to watch TV and haven't been able to read the first Harry
Potter book that I bought: I am usually gone from 6:30AM to anywhere between
8:30-11:00PM! When I get to be home, I optimize that time for myself,
hubby, my bird, my min-pin, my garden, and then collapse for sleep! :)

73,
Kim W5TIT

From -3308287264533446905
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 18:10:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 01:10:23 GMT
References: <20010609181622.22777.00003517@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609211023.14953.00001129@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23654

>Well now you have really messed them up, I guesss they need to contact a
>CBer,
>for there Technical Advice

While I may not agree with many of the league's policies and/or views, I do
hold THEIR "technical advice" in high regard.

73 de Bert

KC2HMN

```
From 2882763489818435776
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 18:13:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010609024209.18137.00003804@ng-cj1.aol.com>
<20010609060832.13401.00003072@ng-mn1.aol.com>
<ElnU6.4515$c37.536046@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B221A4E.7A6C2F09@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
Lines: 62
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%QzU6.163$Il5.14896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 01:13:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.134.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992135611 209.246.134.27 (Sat, 09 Jun
2001 18:13:31 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:13:31 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:11:32 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23655

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3B221A4E.7A6C2F09@earthlink.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20010609060832.13401.00003072@ng-mn1.aol.com...
> > > >From: k4yz@aol.com  (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> > >
> > > >In another example of capitalism gone awry, I see "The Fred" has
found an
> > > >on-line accomplice to bilk citizens out of money for something that
they
> > can
> > > >do for themselves for free.
> > >
> > > Do you know of any other business that will handle the paperwork of
```

```
filing
> > a
> > > federal application for you for $6.00? I have been the FCC ULS site
and it
> > sure
> > > is a confusing mess.  Fortunately, ARRL performs the same service for
ARRL
> > > members, for free, so when it is time for me to renew, I am going to
go
> > > upstairs and ask the VEC folks to do it for me.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > >
> >
> > Yes, and if one really wanted to compare--take out the "usual and
customary
> > fee" for QST (isn't there a set-aside amount that the ARRL says is
> > compensated for in membership for the reception of the magazine?), take
out
> > the overhead for ARRL HQ and infrastructure, and there's probably just
about
> > or a little over $6.00 in there for that service.  It all washes out.
(In
> > my case I am losing money, to some degree, because QST rarely even gets
> > cracked open here).
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> So there's not much in the way of Public Service, Dxing, contesting,
> technical articles, product reviews and such to pique your interest,
> huh? I surely hate to see you gypped, Kim.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

You must have taken the post the wrong way, in your usual manner Dave.  I
don't feel gypped at all with ARRL Membership--was just agreeing with Ed.
Fred Maia charges for his services, ARRL members probably pay some for its
services.  Hence, it all washes out.

Gypped?  If I felt gypped I wouldn't be a member!

Kim W5TIT




From 639628661128060998
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd1a1f4da8239c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 18:18:30 PST
```

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Here it is.....
Date: 9 Jun 2001 18:18:29 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 68
Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106091718.147bddc4@posting.google.com>
References: <9ftea8$362$0@208.206.142.74>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 150.101.129.4
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992135910 11047 127.0.0.1 (10 Jun 2001 01:18:30 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 10 Jun 2001 01:18:30 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23656

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<9ftea8$362$0@208.206.142.74>...
> From another venue...The opening salvo....
>
>
> For those who have been concerned about the dumbing down of amateur
> radio,
> be advised:
>
> A discusssion paper from the ACA has just been released for comment. In
> the
> context of administration of amateur exams it raises many other issues.
> The
> key quote reads:
>
> "Certification in respect of the amateur radio service has been
> considered
> important for the manging of interference to other communications
> services
> and generally regulating the use of a valuable resource. It is open to
> debate whether a 'once only' demonstration of a person's knowledge by
> examination, particularly given that most amateurs use commercially
> manufactured equipment, continues to serve those purposes. Could, for
> example, interference and operating procedures be just as well managed
> by
> license conditions and by requiring that only commercial equipment of a
> certain 'standard' is used."
>
> There are rumours that similar proposals are being circulated in Europe.
>
> The sad thing is that this move is likely to be welcomed by many
> amateurs,
> manufacturers and national amateur radio organisations.

Since when has asking for comments on a question been the same as
making a proposal? That question has some merits, especially the part
```

about the once only exam not ensuring a continuing standard. IF Amateurs were only permitted to use commercial equipment then the whole question would be perfectly valid as it works with nearly every other licence.

Yes, I know this is from a "national amateur organisation", but have a read of this for another prespective of the broader picture. No, the WIA isn't part of a "conspiracy". It is too poorly organised to even arrange sex in a brothel, let alone a conspiracy. This is an organisation that rejected having a fee-free Amateur Licence because it would "let in the riff-raff" - I don't think letting all and sundry in is part of its agenda.

http://www.wia.org.au/news/press/17052001.html

> and a response.....
>
>
>   Dissent is alive in Austrailia, I am sure. When you folks passed
> the
> draconian gun laws, there was a national scarcity of 6 inch schedule 40
> PVC
> pipe. A lot of folks burried a lot of guns down under. There will be
> the
> hold outs in the amateur community as well who will say, what the hell,
> "give
> me liberty or give me death," and continue to build and experiment.

Fortunately, I think we can resolve the examination issue to most people's satisfaction without resorting to your special negotiating style.

Mark.

```
From 4856892333914313792
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 18:19:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 01:19:16 GMT
References: <20010609181655.22777.00003518@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609211916.14953.00001131@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23657
```

>>Can you spell "T-R-A-N-S-V-E-R-T-E-R?!"
>>
>
> Nope

Try it sometime, there are some really nice benefits. You retain the features of the 10 meter rig such as multi-mode capability, any additional filtering, speech processing, etc. Ten-Tec offers the affordable model 1210 and Down East Microwave offers a more expensive line, good stuff.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

P.S. RCI just cane out with a 6 meter multi-mode rig similar to their RCI-2950 series. It's also "rumored" that RCI, along with RF Ltd., will offer multi-mode 2 meter rigs similar to their current 10 meter offerings. (RCI-2950DX & Magnum 257 respectively) No transverters needed.

From 282039186553929057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 18:27:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010609103417.04014.00000103@nso-bk.aol.com> <20010609110205.13860.00000795@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 23
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <t2AU6.190$Il5.22450@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 01:27:53 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.134.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992136473 209.246.134.27 (Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:27:53 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:27:53 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:25:54 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23658

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message

news:20010609110205.13860.00000795@ng-fl1.aol.com...
> From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
> >Sure - but anger also has a purpose. I recall a man who got angry at
seeing
> >the money changers in the house of God.
>
> Yes, but he acted and corrected the problem. He did not then continue to
be
> angry at everyone who entered the temple thereafter because they might
have a
> propensity to be money changers.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

Thank you, Ed. I could not have said it better!

Kim W5TIT

From -4456827937390384129
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 18:29:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 01:28:44 GMT
References: <20010609201434.25284.00003171@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010609212844.14953.00001132@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23659

Thanks Mark,

"Remember, there was a nifty little idea posted here not all that long ago. It proposed that the "disenfranchised" CBers take the ridiculously easy Technician exam, join The ARRL, and enjoy full voting privileges thereafter. So you see, when that ARRL elected "employee" receives an e-mail from that relatively new Tech, asking what their position is regarding 11 meters...he/she may want to think twice before spewing the usual anti-CBer line. Job security often outweighs strict charter loyalty."

Who was that joker that came up with this ridiculous idea anyway? The ARRL
issue a MOU with an organization "historically" tied to CB?...The ARRL praise a
CBer?...nah, never happen! No Mark, you take care and thanks.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

```
From -4519248055052202563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 18:30:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010609131923.24094.00000208@ng-cd1.aol.com>
<20010609165612.21646.00001116@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <F4AU6.194$Il5.23113@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 01:30:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.246.134.27
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992136613 209.246.134.27 (Sat, 09 Jun
2001 18:30:13 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:30:13 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:28:15 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23660
```

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010609165612.21646.00001116@ng-fn1.aol.com...

> >Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
> >From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
> >Date: 6/9/01 12:19 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >Well then maybe you ought to represent your self differently.
>
>   Ed Hare has REPEATEDLY stated in this forum that his opinions are his
and
> his alone, and in no way reflect any policy of the American Radio Relay
League
> in ANY capacity, other than his OWN writings under his OWN by-line.

```
>
>      He has NEVER stated that anything he writes here or in any other
forum
> represents anything BUT his own opinion.
>
>      To assert anything else to the contrary to to blatantly lie.
>
>      I would ask you to provide a "for instance" where Ed has done
otherwise,
> but I'd hate to see you chasing your tail like that.
>
> Steve
>

DITTO!

Kim W5TIT





From -8806931085477473770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,bd591249561faccf,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,bd591249561faccf,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,bd591249561faccf,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 18:47:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "ultrasonic cleaner" <republicajules44@aol.com>
From: "ultrasonic cleaner" <republicajules44@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Is the 3.860 radio war on again?
Lines: 10
Organization: add that warm water
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <9lAU6.231$Il5.27468@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 01:47:49 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 165.121.85.239
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992137669 165.121.85.239 (Sat, 09 Jun
2001 18:47:49 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:47:49 PDT
X-Received-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:45:51 PDT
```

```
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13634
rec.radio.amateur.misc:16354 rec.radio.amateur.policy:23661
```

Was listening on and off to the motley creux net on
3.860 (10:00 PM EST) the past few weeknights and they were bein
heavily QRM'ed by a tape player. Music, telephone ringing and
belching & other nonsense. There was some kind of a turf war going
on this spot a year or 2 ago, has it started afresh again?
References made to "frequency X", whatever that is. What gives?
Where's R.H.? This QRM nonsense got to stop before it starts
off like a snowball again!

```
From 7875483536626364870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 18:50:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B22C469.50086603@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
References: <3B221A4E.7A6C2F09@earthlink.net> <20010609102556.08280.00003464@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 32
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 01:50:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.9
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992137856 63.17.222.9 (Sat, 09 Jun 2001
18:50:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:50:56 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:48:56 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23662
```

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >So there's not much in the way of Public Service, Dxing, contesting,
> >technical articles, product reviews and such to pique your interest,
> >huh? I surely hate to see you gypped, Kim.
>

> Public Service----- Borrrrring
> DXing----Do I need ARRL for this?
> Technical Articles--- When did they start that.
> Product Reviews---Big Deal marketing Scheme
>
> Yea your right sure do need ARRL.

Bruce, you seem bound and determined to piss of *everyone* here.

If you find Public Service work boring, don't do it.

If you don't find DX news interesting, don't read it (and certainly don't use the outgoing bureau). I was on the DX end of the pile for 13 years and don't recall working you on any band from anywhere.

The technical articles began around the time QST first appeared. Maybe you need to catch up on your reading.

The advertisements are the big marketing scheme. The product reviews tell us what goes on behind the panel.

I'm beginning to suspect that everything is a troll with you. If you are as dissatisfied as you let on, you'd have dropped out of amateur radio years ago.

Dave K8MN

From 7814293521133828055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 18:55:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B22C56A.A5E4A74E@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
References: <20010609024209.18137.00003804@ng-cj1.aol.com>
<20010609060832.13401.00003072@ng-mn1.aol.com>
<ElnU6.4515$c37.536046@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B221A4E.7A6C2F09@earthlink.net>
<%QzU6.163$Il5.14896@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 72
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 01:55:15 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.9

X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992138115 63.17.222.9 (Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:55:15 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:55:15 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:53:15 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23663

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3B221A4E.7A6C2F09@earthlink.net...
> > Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20010609060832.13401.00003072@ng-mn1.aol.com...
> > > > >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> > > >
> > > > >In another example of capitalism gone awry, I see "The Fred" has
> found an
> > > > >on-line accomplice to bilk citizens out of money for something that
> they
> > > can
> > > > >do for themselves for free.
> > > >
> > > > Do you know of any other business that will handle the paperwork of
> filing
> > > a
> > > > federal application for you for $6.00? I have been the FCC ULS site
> and it
> > > sure
> > > > is a confusing mess. Fortunately, ARRL performs the same service for
> ARRL
> > > > members, for free, so when it is time for me to renew, I am going to
> go
> > > > upstairs and ask the VEC folks to do it for me.
> > > >
> > > > 73,
> > > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > > >
> > >
> > > Yes, and if one really wanted to compare--take out the "usual and
> customary
> > > fee" for QST (isn't there a set-aside amount that the ARRL says is
> > > compensated for in membership for the reception of the magazine?), take
> out
> > > the overhead for ARRL HQ and infrastructure, and there's probably just
> about
> > > or a little over $6.00 in there for that service. It all washes out.
> (In
> > > my case I am losing money, to some degree, because QST rarely even gets
> > > cracked open here).

> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > So there's not much in the way of Public Service, Dxing, contesting,
> > technical articles, product reviews and such to pique your interest,
> > huh? I surely hate to see you gypped, Kim.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> You must have taken the post the wrong way, in your usual manner Dave. I
> don't feel gypped at all with ARRL Membership--was just agreeing with Ed.
> Fred Maia charges for his services, ARRL members probably pay some for its
> services. Hence, it all washes out.
>
> Gypped? If I felt gypped I wouldn't be a member!
>
> Kim W5TIT

When you indicate that you are losing money, that indicates some
dissatisfaction. When you compare Fred Maia's $6 charge to the League's
free service and say, "it washes out", that indicates some
dissatisfaction. No one would misunderstand if you'd write what you
mean to convey.

I'm happy that you're happy.

Dave K8MN

From 7585163345865388034
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 18:57:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B22C60B.3B918A25@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010609103417.04014.00000103@nso-bk.aol.com>
<20010609110205.13860.00000795@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<t2AU6.190$Il5.22450@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 29
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 01:57:56 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.9

```
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992138276 63.17.222.9 (Sat, 09 Jun 2001
18:57:56 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:57:56 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 18:55:55 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23664

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010609110205.13860.00000795@ng-fl1.aol.com...
> > From: n2ey@aol.com  (N2EY)
> >
> > >Sure - but anger also has a purpose. I recall a man who got angry at
> seeing
> > >the money changers in the house of God.
> >
> > Yes, but he acted and corrected the problem. He did not then continue to
> be
> > angry at everyone who entered the temple thereafter because they might
> have a
> > propensity to be money changers.
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> >
>
> Thank you, Ed.  I could not have said it better!
>
> Kim W5TIT

They nailed that guy to a cross after he corrected some problems and
voiced his opinion.  Maybe that's why not so many jump right in and
correct problems these days.

Dave K8MN


From -6740165044033792273
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 19:11:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 02:10:58 GMT
```

References: <20010609133455.24094.00000215@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609221058.23936.00000248@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23665

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Did you really misunderstand?

>No I didnt misunderstand, I read right thru your BS

I put it as simply as I could, Bruce. I will just have to accept that you will not understand and wish you well.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 669757328727860970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 19:14:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 02:13:48 GMT
References: <20010609143333.03511.00000187@nso-fp.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010609221348.23936.00000249@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23666

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>What I saw is that the ARRL BOD will continue to support code testing as an
>FCC requirement. But that policy is open for review and change at any time.

Under the bylaws, any ARRLpolicy is open for review and change at any time.
Would you expect any organization to freeze its policies for all time?

> Too bad so many do not
>realize that ARRL BOD policy is made by the BOD, and nobody else in
>Newington.
>And the BOD is elected by the members.

I think they realize that quite well. What would rrap be without trolling? :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4813083382007031007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 19:37:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 02:36:38 GMT
References: <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010609223638.05187.00001054@nso-cg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23667

In article <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> Of course not. At this point, I'd just be thrilled to pass the 5
>wpm test. In fact, based on my experience with the code program I
>downloaded last week, I doubt I'll ever get up to the speeds you're
>talking about. Any clues? While I can recognize individual
>characters when they're repeated alone, once the program starts
>sending groups of characters I've supposedly learned, all those beeps
>quickly start sounding the same to me. I don't think I'm any closer
>to taking that test now than when I started. Aaagggghhhh!
>
>
>Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

Dwight:

I'm tempted to say, "It's all attitude," but attitude does have a great deal to do with one's ability to learn the code. I had the exact same problem for the entire time of my life when I was also dead-set against the code testing requirements. I couldn't learn it, because I really didn't *want* to learn it! Then, one fine day, I decided that I wanted to be a ham more than I didn't want to learn the code, so I made the further decision to embrace the code, and determined to become a proficient CW operator. That was my epiphany.

My guess is that if you could truly drop ALL of your objections to the code, including any misgivings you have toward code testing --

no matter *why* you have them, and thoroughly give your mind over to the notion that *you* are going to become a proficient CW operator, you will achieve it with greater ease than you ever imagined. Just remember that now that the testing requirement maxxes out at a useless 5 WPM, all the motivation must come from within your own psyche. I know you can do it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -5418433575958704415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 20:02:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010609103417.04014.00000103@nso-bk.aol.com>
<20010609110205.13860.00000795@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<t2AU6.190$Il5.22450@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<3B22C60B.3B918A25@earthlink.net>
Subject: Re: Puke.
Lines: 39
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <3rBU6.295$eZ6.43258@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 03:02:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.234.55
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992142143 209.245.234.55 (Sat, 09 Jun
2001 20:02:23 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 20:02:23 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sat, 09 Jun 2001 20:00:23 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23668
```

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3B22C60B.3B918A25@earthlink.net...

```
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20010609110205.13860.00000795@ng-fl1.aol.com...
> > > From: n2ey@aol.com  (N2EY)
> > >
> > > >Sure - but anger also has a purpose. I recall a man who got angry at
> > seeing
> > > >the money changers in the house of God.
> > >
> > > Yes, but he acted and corrected the problem. He did not then continue
to
> > be
> > > angry at everyone who entered the temple thereafter because they might
> > have a
> > > propensity to be money changers.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Ed Hare, W1RFI
> > >
> >
> > Thank you, Ed.  I could not have said it better!
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> They nailed that guy to a cross after he corrected some problems and
> voiced his opinion.  Maybe that's why not so many jump right in and
> correct problems these days.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

It's a good thing that many have not lived by your example above.

Kim W5TIT
```

```
From -7657819257773113526
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 20:53:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 10 Jun 2001 03:53:37 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9fur01$er8$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org> <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-
ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23669

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >> There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5
> >wpm,
> >> either...
> >
> >Actually, since there is no retesting requirement, a licensed HF ham
> >can forget he ever knew Morse code. I know some HF hams who have done
> >just that.
>
> Cecil:
>
> The point of code testing is to retain the potential communications
> capability of the relatively small percentage of code-tested hams
> who do, in practice, embrace the Morse/CW mode and continue
> to use it -- thus retaining and/or increasing their proficiency at
> the tested level and beyond. The "rub" is that one usually does
> not begin having satisfactory on-the-air experiences with CW until
> they are at or beyond the 13 WPM level -- which I see as a main
> reason for the long-established requirement of 20 WPM for an
> Amateur Extra class license. If testing had ended at 5 WPM,
> I probably would not have become a long-term CW user myself --
> and I doubt that many will in the future.

I'm convinced that is correct, based on the simple fact that so very
few persons learn Morse code to productive speeds without study for
testing. There will be a few, for now, but the abandonment of the test
in the general case will constitute the abandonment of radiotelegraphy
in total. In addition, the codehaters will in time demand conversion or
abandonment of the CW/data segments as voice mode congestion increases.

From -2004189212547902392
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 20:55:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 10 Jun 2001 03:55:28 GMT

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <9fur3g$er8$1@208.206.142.91>
References: <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org> <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-ch.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
To: Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23670

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >> There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5
> >wpm,
> >> either...
> >
> >Actually, since there is no retesting requirement, a licensed HF ham
> >can forget he ever knew Morse code. I know some HF hams who have done
> >just that.
>
> Cecil:
>
> The point of code testing is to retain the potential communications
> capability of the relatively small percentage of code-tested hams
> who do, in practice, embrace the Morse/CW mode and continue
> to use it -- thus retaining and/or increasing their proficiency at
> the tested level and beyond. The "rub" is that one usually does
> not begin having satisfactory on-the-air experiences with CW until
> they are at or beyond the 13 WPM level -- which I see as a main
> reason for the long-established requirement of 20 WPM for an
> Amateur Extra class license. If testing had ended at 5 WPM,
> I probably would not have become a long-term CW user myself --
> and I doubt that many will in the future.

I'm convinced that is correct, based on the simple fact that so very few persons learn Morse code to productive speeds without study for testing. There will be a few, for now, but the abandonment of the test in the general case will constitute the abandonment of radiotelegraphy in total. In addition, the codehaters will in time demand conversion or abandonment of the CW/data segments as voice mode congestion increases. The FCC, clearly not wishing to be bothered, will do the very easiest thing - probably will discard all band mode segments permantntly to 'simplify' things.

```
From -4176939076626780539
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 21:21:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 10 Jun 2001 04:21:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9fusl1$jel$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org> <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-
ch.aol.com> <3B2239A3.68F66AC2@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23671
```

CAM wrote:

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > If testing had ended at 5 WPM,
> > I probably would not have become a long-term CW user myself --
>
> And in your particular case, Larry, the world would have been
> better off that way.

Really? How? That there would be one less CW defender? Whatta clown you are.

```
From 2385717362681012339
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 21:23:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 10 Jun 2001 04:23:38 GMT
Organization: hardly any
```

Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9fusoa$jel$1@208.206.142.91>
References: <20010609103417.04014.00000103@nso-bk.aol.com>
<20010609110205.13860.00000795@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23672

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
> >Sure - but anger also has a purpose. I recall a man who got angry at seeing
> >the money changers in the house of God.
>
> Yes, but he acted and corrected the problem. He did not then continue to be
> angry at everyone who entered the temple thereafter because they might have a
> propensity to be money changers.

Un-huh. But he sure gave Hell to the many proven unrepenatent
moneychangers.

From 8489387195384349487
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 21:24:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 10 Jun 2001 04:24:54 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 25
Message-ID: <9fusqm$jel$2@208.206.142.91>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
<3B218D02.90D2E7E4@IEEE.org> <3b222675.204464640@news2.dvol.com>
<3B223A4A.CF018997@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)

```
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23673



CAM wrote:
>
> "Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >
> > >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> > >>
> > >> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > >> >Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
> > >> >
> > >> They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL
> > >
> > >My parents in their late 80s are CBers, Brian. You think they are demons?
> > >
> > I've operated on 27mHz, I'm not a demon either. That's not the point
> > and you know it.
>
> That's *MY* point, Brian. Demonizing *ALL* CBers is just as bad as racism
> or sexism.
>

 Love you lying obfuscators. No one here has yet demonized "all CBers".


From 8967065652964173827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 21:33:18 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 10 Jun 2001 04:33:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <9futad$lol$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <20010609093916.13903.00000684@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010609111103.13860.00000799@ng-fl1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23674
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >Of course not Larry , the whole thing was a Money, and Stop the Whinners
> >thing.
>
> In what way did the FCC make any money off of its decision?
>

ARE you kidding?? FCC has been divesting itself of direct
responsibility for the Amateur Radio Service for the past 20 years. NONE
of the testing responsibility has been retained, and now the license
structure has been trimmed as much as they thought feasable at all, all
the while virtually abandoning all except lip service to "a
fundamentally technical service". Where will it end? I'd hate to guess,
considering the latest news on 'license-free licensing" proposal just
landed in the news.

```
From 2150278545204092880
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 21:37:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
Date: 10 Jun 2001 04:37:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9futi0$lol$1@208.206.142.91>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23675
```

Mark Little wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message

news:<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171>...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> > > That claim breaks down when you realize that for the
> > > claim to be true....ALL hams would need to speak the
> > > same language. The ITU treaty ONLY asks for ability
> > > to send and receive the code characters. The treaty
> > > makes no statement as to ability to compose text in
> > > English or to decode and understand what was sent.
> >
> >
> > Aw, gee, Bill, we all know that you've always been, and ARE severely
> > CW-impaired in spite of your Extra-Liteness, but when you gonna learn to
> > keep it under wraps just a little so as not to embarrass yourself?
> > Any ham who becomes CW proficient learns, enroute, that CW has all the
> > elements of a universal language, limited though it must be,
>
> A "language" is something that can convey "thoughts, feelings, etc."
> (Collins Concise Dictionary). This thread is a language in action.
> This exchange of ideas, or anything remotely like it could not be
> carried out use the Morse symbols unless the people share a common
> language. It is nonsense to suggest that the abbreviation codes often
> used WITH Morse Code (and, indeed, used in many spoken or data
> exchanges) comes anywhere near a "universal language", limited or not.

Well, we now know exactly how "little" you know about the subject.

From -2860225372618276218
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 21:43:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Date: 10 Jun 2001 04:43:20 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <9futt8$nft$0@208.206.142.91>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23676

Mark Little wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message

> > Added to that, most of the civilized world knows that English is the
> > universal communications language, and REAL communicators know and learn
> > enough of it go get by, whatever their native tongue.
>
> I do like the (il)logic at work here. You say Morse allows people to
> communicate without sharing a common language, but then point out that
> learning English is still required - "English is the universal
> communications language, and REAL communicators know and learn enough
> of it go (sic) get by".

Evidently you never heard of the term "incrementalism". The more one knows, the better he can communicate. I can damn sure communicate basic information via CW using Q signals, prosigns and other universally known CW shortcuts, with another ham who knows no English, and I knowing none of his native tounge.

But only if he has a fair amount of training and experience in the use of radiotelegraphy. If he happens to have garnered a bit of English as the result of his efforts at international radiotelgraphy, so much the better for the breadth of the vocabulary we would have in common.

It is not at all surprising that you would not know any of this. CW bashers never do.

From -3476007587508853089
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-09 21:45:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 10 Jun 2001 04:45:46 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <9fuu1q$nft$1@208.206.142.91>
References: <20010609112239.13860.00000802@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010609143333.03511.00000187@nso-fp.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.91
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)

X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23677

N2EY wrote:
>
> I disagree Ed.
>
> >It
> >has made a policy decision that it will not devote ARRL resources to opposing
> >any changes of S25.5.
>
> Right. That's a policy change. Previous to the January BOD meeting, the policy
> was that ARRL leadership supported S25.5. Now the policy is "ARRL doesn't care
> what happens to S25.5". That's a BIG policy change.

Naw, Jim, that's just you and Larry seeing another "demon".

From 6417164841843100102
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:16:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:16:08 GMT
References: <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610111608.03759.00004807@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23729

In article <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Dr. Williamson, PhD in EE, has been called an underachiever by some
>posters to this newsgroup. I assumed it also applied to astronauts.
>Some on this newsgroup assert that all nocode techs are underachievers.

Doc Williamson isn't the underachiever, Cecil -- you are! Reason?
You have to use someone else as an excuse while whining about
something you don't like! If Dr. W. doesn't want to progress in
ham radio beyond Technician class, that's his choice, and I don't

see anyone here criticizing him for it. After all, he's not in here whining
about code testing, or anything else, for that matter. You would do
well to confine your remarks to your own thoughts, feelings, wishes,
desires, etc., as does everyone else.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6971525292354538403
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:16:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:16:07 GMT
References: <3B1E8B52.4606DA0F@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610111607.03759.00004805@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23728

In article <3B1E8B52.4606DA0F@sccoast.net>, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> writes:

>
> I don't know anyone who has demanded access to HF without meeting
>the requirements. The discussion all along has been about changing
>the requirements, not about access without meeting the requirements.

Dwight:

Don't look now, but this debate has been raging for one reason and
one reason only -- the NCTA's desire to have the amateur radio
licensing requirements significantly reduced, or changed, by the
reduction/elimination of the Morse code testing requirement. The
notion that nobody is insisting on HF access without meeting the
significantly reduced licensing requirements is one of the lamest
ones I've seen here. Considering the source, I guess I shouldn't
be surprised!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5472844560840508806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:16:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:16:07 GMT
References: <20010609111659.13860.00000801@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610111607.03759.00004803@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23730

In article <20010609111659.13860.00000801@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
>>Larry they would not want you because your not a "YES MAN", I can see ED at
>>work now YES BOSS, YES BOSS, HOW MUCH HIGHER BOSS.
>
>Those who know me well are laughing themselves silly, Bruce. :-)

Bruce/Ed:

I am somewhat of a "yes man" at work, myself -- for a very practical reason: I have a good job, and I want to keep it! And when my "bosses" ask me to do something, its always something completely within my job description, and totally "doable" -- so why should I say "No?" Keep in mind, certain of my co-workers *do* say "No" when asked to do their job -- but due to their seniority, the Union, and the fact that we are employees of an operating division of a state agency, they can't get their funky little butts summarily canned for doing so. I'm not like that, even though I could certainly get away with it, and that fact causes me to be treated better than some employees that are way ahead of me, seniority-wise.

Ed being a "yes man" at ARRL HQ is certainly not an indication of weak character on his part. My point in bringing up the subject is that his "politically correct" stance simply must be considered in the light of the fact that he is, in fact, an ARRL HQ staff member. As such, the nature of his "opinions" is entirely predictable, and therefore, don't carry as much weight as they would if they were in disagreement with "corporate" policy. And, unfortunately for Ed, the only way this can be disproved is for another ARRL current staff member to come into this newsgroup and claim that Restructuring is the worst thing to hit ham radio since TVI -- and THAT is something Ed knows about!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5945246123349317466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:22:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:21:52 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610112152.19389.00001835@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23731

Quoted from "QRZ.com" 10 June 2001

WA8ULX   Lookups: 82
BRUCE A. BEYNON
804 W 12TH AVE
COVINGTON LA 70433
USA
Detailed info Update this listing Update Policy...

Mailing Label Postcard

Email: WA8ULX@AOL.COM

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

END QUOTE.

Bruce, you are MANY things, but "Real Ham" is NOT one of them. Real Coward, Real Creep, Real Putz...Any of those would suffice.

But except for having current licensure, you are ANYTHING but a Ham.

```
From -2218599167341545821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:28:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:27:35 GMT
References: <20010610111606.03759.00004802@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610112735.13867.00000803@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23732

>Ed:
>
>Trick question!  The FCC didn't *make* money from the Restructuring
>decision, but it sure did *save* a bunch!  And don't look now, but that's
>the whole point of their progressive deregulation of the ARS -- to stop
>expending resources on a radio service which doesn't have any
>significant economic importance!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

 So true, but ED knew that he was just trying to be cute, and trying to hide
whats really happening.


From 6867277335904003878
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:32:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:32:05 GMT
References: <20010610112152.19389.00001835@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610113205.19358.00001929@ng-mh1.aol.com>
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23733

>Subject: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 6/10/01 10:21 AM Central Daylight Time

Not to be one to "whine" and not take some kind of action:

Subj: Offensive "Bio" on "QRZ"
Date: 6/10/01 10:27:37 AM Central Daylight Time
From: K4YZ
To: editor@qrz.com
CC: WA8ULX

Dear Sirs,

My name is Steve Robeson. My Amatuer call is K4YZ. I am writing to you today in regards to the "bio" posted by WA8ULX.

Mr. Beynon has chosen to post a comment in his "bio" that I believe is offensive and alienates a large segment of the Amatuer Radio community. Quoted from his page from this morning "One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers"

I ask you to please review this, consider it's negative impact on the Amatuer Radio community, and please consider editing it appropriately.

73

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

From -9045569233845331841
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:33:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:33:10 GMT
References: <20010609110205.13860.00000795@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610113310.19814.00002067@nso-fr.aol.com>

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23734

In article <20010609110205.13860.00000795@ng-fl1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>Sure - but anger also has a purpose. I recall a man who got angry at seeing
>>the money changers in the house of God.
>
>Yes, but he acted and corrected the problem.

He used violent methods to eject the dovesellers and money changers. He later cursed a fig tree, and it withered up. He also criticized the actions of those in power. Those in power had him unfairly tried, tortured and executed.

The Book does not tell us what happened to the dovesellers and moneychangers, but it's a safe bet that they were soon back in business in the house of God.

73 de Jim, N2EY

He did not then continue to be
>angry at everyone who entered the temple thereafter because they might have a
>propensity to be money changers.
>

From -4427084997880243452
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:33:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:33:10 GMT
References: <20010609024209.18137.00003804@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
Message-ID: <20010610113310.19814.00002066@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23735

In article <20010609024209.18137.00003804@ng-cj1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>In another example of capitalism gone awry, I see "The Fred" has found an
>on-line accomplice to bilk citizens out of money for something that they can
>do
>for themselves for free.
>
>   I am VERY disappointed that it was a service as reputable as "QRZ.com".
>Almost 80% of all testing irregularities are "W5YI" graduates, and I for one
>would be very gun shy about being associated with such an entity.
>
>Quote from QRZ:
>
>The W5YI-VEC/QRZ online update service costs just $6 and major credit cards
>are
>accepted online. The fee includes all taxes and postage. Your new or updated
>certificate will be mailed directly to you from the FCC.
>
>Unquote.

Fred's been doing this for years. Last time I renewed (1994) I got a letter in the mail from W5YI offering to do it for $5. That was back in Form 610 days, before ULS and all that.

One the one hand, Fred's doing a service by letting hams know their licenses are running out, and helping them through the paperwork. This helps keep the ham license numbers up by avoiding those "ooops"!! expirations. A ham who hasn't modified his/her license in a long time could easily get out of touch with the new system(s), too.

On the other hand, the info in the W5YI letter I got and the ads I've seen avoids mentioning that all one needs to do to renew is to get the correct form, fill it out and send it in. Or do it online.

I remember someone saying there was almost something Darwinian going on - if somebody couldn't figure out the renewal process, they deserve to pay the money.

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -7025883692044483111
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:33:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:33:09 GMT
References: <20010606194503.10232.00001957@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010610113309.19814.00002065@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23736

In article <20010606194503.10232.00001957@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>
>>Then you have changed the parameters of the original assertion, Bill.
>
>> "...if time was still left" was not a part of the original equation.
>
>It would easily be so if one stopped copying the last minute of the sent text
>and used that time to go back and fill in the copied text. If the text was
>plain language, it is pretty certain there would be a minute of solid copy in
>there, with the last minute completely missing.

Maybe - it all depends on the person being tested and the examiner.

To go back and correct/fill in during the "old days" meant you'd have to recognize when ~4 minutes had gone by, then consciously stop copying, ignore the code coming in and go back over the previous copy, looking for things that needed to be filled in or corrected. Pretty steep requirements! Compare that to having an additional minute or so AFTER the code has stopped to go back and fill in or correct one's copy. BIG difference.

I recall that in 1968 and 1970, we did not know what the code test copy would be like, other than that it would be plain English, not coded groups or some foreign language.

Note also that, in the bad old days, one needed 65 consecutive correct characters to get a General, Conditional, or Advanced license. Extra required 100 characters. The Tech had no HF/MF at all, and the Novice was a one-shot license whose ONLY HF privileges were CW.

End result: Going back and correcting/filling in during the test was pretty impractical then. Today, it's a non-issue.
>
>> The "multiple guess" tests are a different story.
>
>The multiple guess tests are about to be history.

Key words there are "about to be". And the multiple guess WRITTENS are still going to be with us...

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From 2196830086359256141
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:37:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:36:50 GMT
References: <20010610111607.03759.00004803@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610113650.13867.00000804@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23737

>Ed being a "yes man" at ARRL HQ is certainly not an indication of
>weak character on his part.

 And I never meany it to be a sign of Weak Character, what I was saying is he
trys to make people beleive these are his true thoughts when we all know, that
if he were to come out against ARRL, he wouldnt be would have some serious
explaining to do.
 By the way since ED claims his Posts are his true fellings then why doesnt he
put a disclaimer at the bottom of his Posts?.


From -5572803692935961983
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:43:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:42:59 GMT
References: <20010610112152.19389.00001835@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610114259.13881.00000882@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23738

>One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers
```

You guys wont believe this, this little Steveie Wonder, has Emailed QRZ demanding that my Comments be deleted What a Whinner little Steveie is, get a life Chump Change.

```
From 6205368693494535348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 08:45:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 15:45:04 GMT
References: <20010610113205.19358.00001929@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610114504.13881.00000883@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23739

>Dear Sirs,
>
>    My name is Steve Robeson.  My Amatuer call is K4YZ.  I am writing to you
>today in regards to the "bio" posted by WA8ULX.
>
>     Mr. Beynon has chosen to post a comment in his "bio" that I believe is
>offensive and alienates a large segment of the Amatuer Radio community.
>Quoted
>from his page from this morning "One of the only Real Hams left, most of the
>new ones are CBplussers"
>
>     I ask you to please review this, consider it's negative impact on the
>Amatuer Radio community, and please consider editing it appropriately.
>
>73
>
>Steve Robeson, K4YZ
>
```

Whaaa, Whaaa, do you wont a Kleenex little Stevie, Mommy, Mommy the big boy made a comment I cant handle, make them go away Mommy, what a whozzz

```
From -4710982801202401632
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 10:18:48 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 17:18:29 GMT
References: <3b22f71c.10920876@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610131829.03759.00004823@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23740

In article <3b22f71c.10920876@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl) writes:

>Sorry Larry, you don't get to retain a code test requirement
>just because YOU think that is something to do. The
>argument/rational you raise above has been found uncompelling
>by the FCC and has not found favor with a great many of
>the nations around the globe.

Bill:

If my argument was uncompelling, it is because it didn't pander
to the desires of the lowest common denominator. If you think
there is virtue in doing so, then we'll never see eye-to-eye.

>The fact that YOU needed an afirmative action incentive
>to get you to whatever code level you are at does NOT
>justify the requirement either.

Nor is/was the requirement unjustified because YOU disagree
with my arguments. You can't take anything away from me,
Bill, so stop trying. You're only making yourself look just as
foolish as the usual envious NCTA'er.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4152085252836541951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 10:18:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 89
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 17:18:31 GMT
References: <3b22fe95.12834852@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610131831.03759.00004824@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23742

In article <3b22fe95.12834852@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>>Virtually to a man, the NCTA's insist that they have nothing against
>>the *use* of CW --
>
>True.
>
>>...but they strongly object to the only thing that ensures
>>that CW can continue to be used by a significant portion of hams.
>
>That is YOUR opinion only.

Bill, well, it *is* my opinion, but I rather suspect that it is shared by
others as well, much to *your* chagrin!

>If such becomes true, it will because
>YOU and other devotees of CW use gave up on it.

I'm not "giving up" on CW -- I still know it, and still use it. I retain
my proficiency as a matter of personal desire and pride. I am
also spending increasingly more time on PSK31, which is
displacing a considerable amount of my CW operating. Is being
a technically-involved, well-balanced ham the same as "giving up"
on CW?

>Strange that
>all sorts of past technologies are kept going by loyal groups
>of supporters without any mandated requirements needed
>to recruit new participants...archery, muscket shooting, manual
>shift automobiles, etc.

Could it be that a person can learn how to use a bow and arrows,
fire a black powder muzzleloader, and drive a stick-shift car without
learning a completely new and non-intuitive way to communicate?
It takes one heck of a lot more commitment to learn Morse code
at useful proficiency levels than it takes to do any of those other
things. If you're going to compare apples to oranges, you need to
set an orange on the table alongside the apples.

>Why shouldn't morse code use be in
>that same catagory?

It really can't be, because most people need a compelling reason to learn it. I wasn't attracted to Morse code due to it's character or charm as an activity in the class of archery, musketry, horseback riding, fly fishing, painting, or anything of the sort. I wasn't "attracted" to it whatsoever, due to the fact that I had to commit to the challenge of learning it. I can pick up a book, read for a few hours, and then be reasonably safe to shoot a muzzle-loading rifle. I can ride a horse with some rented horse time, the appropriate equipment, and about an hour's worth of instruction. I've already used a bow and arrow with fair success, and without any instruction whatsoever. However, it took me 14 years and one month to learn the Morse code at a speed greater than 10 WPM. Fourteen years of whining about *having* to learn it, and one month of actually learning it. Had the requirement to learn it never existed, the whining, and the learning, would never have happened.

>> I do
>>know that this proposal, and others like it made during the comment
>>period on the Restructuring NPRM, received a great deal of consideration.
>>The fact that this win/win compromise never made it into the final
>>ruling suggests to me that the best interests of the ARS had little to do
>>with the final decision.
>
>More that the proposal wasn't valued as you see it.

Well, certainly not by the NCTA. They hated it, mainly for it's logical simplicity and win/win nature. I received a lot of love and hate mail over my proposal -- but the hate mail is the most interesting, since the senders were truly convinced that I would keep them from gaining Extra-class status for the rest of their lives. I could not help wondering, "Why is that a problem, if they have everything else they need as far as phone privileges is concerned?" The answer -- it doesn't put an end to the class envy of the terminal underachievers, which is the point of the whole debate. It is now socially unacceptable to be more accomplished than the next guy. We must all be equally ordinary, in order to achieve true whirled peas. Well, forgive me, but I don't share the world-view of the weak and unmotivated. And if you can't forgive me, then you'll just have to suffer the fact that I'm here, I have achieved Extra class under the pre-Restructuring requirements, and you didn't and never will. My so-called superiority over you is your problem, not mine. I didn't seek that status for others, I sought it for myself.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1330698018369567532
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 10:18:51 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 17:18:31 GMT
References: <9fv1k4$3qu$1@208.207.71.139>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Attitude
Message-ID: <20010610131831.03759.00004825@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23741

In article <9fv1k4$3qu$1@208.207.71.139>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>> My guess is that if you could truly drop ALL of your objections to
>> the code, including any misgivings you have toward code testing --
>> no matter *why* you have them, and thoroughly give your mind over
>> to the notion that *you* are going to become a proficient CW operator,
>> you will achieve it with greater ease than you ever imagined. Just
>> remember that now that the testing requirement maxxes out at a
>> useless 5 WPM, all the motivation must come from within your own
>> psyche. I know you can do it.
>>
>
>
>
> I don't know that he can. In fact, I seriously believe that he truly
>cannot. Nor that he ever will. He is one of those who just don't want
>to. So he never will.

Dick:

Sorry, but you simply cannot sell a person short like that. We really have no idea what Dwight is like merely from the superficial glimpse we get on this newsgripe. In fact, there are very few people in this NG I would care to make any permanent judgements about, without getting to know them personally. The thing that amuses me is the way others in this NG think they know *me* so well. The simple fact is, I, and anyone else, can say anything we want to about anything and anyone while safely hiding behind our keyboards. Therefore, I keep this NG, and my participation therein, completely within the perspective of a hobbyist/pastime, and am no more of a saint or sinner here than anyone else is. I have met people in person who I've communicated with on Fidonet and Usenet, and the experience has always been completely different than what I had expected. Therefore, I simply cannot make a statement that Dwight or anyone else can't, or won't, learn the code. I simply don't know that for a fact. And neither do you. The one thing I can

say with certainty is that if they say they don't want to learn it,
they won't -- unless and until they change their mind.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1868241811714238234
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 10:33:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 17:32:57 GMT
References: <20010610114504.13881.00000883@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610133257.03759.00004827@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23743

In article <20010610114504.13881.00000883@ng-fl1.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com
(WA8ULX) writes:

>>Dear Sirs,
>>
>>   My name is Steve Robeson. My Amatuer call is K4YZ. I am writing to you
>>today in regards to the "bio" posted by WA8ULX.
>>
>>   Mr. Beynon has chosen to post a comment in his "bio" that I believe is
>>offensive and alienates a large segment of the Amatuer Radio community.
>>Quoted
>>from his page from this morning "One of the only Real Hams left, most of the
>>new ones are CBplussers"
>>
>>   I ask you to please review this, consider it's negative impact on the
>>Amatuer Radio community, and please consider editing it appropriately.
>>
>>73
>>
>>Steve Robeson, K4YZ
>>
>
> Whaaa, Whaaa, do you wont a Kleenex little Stevie, Mommy, Mommy the big boy
>made a comment I cant handle, make them go away Mommy, what a whozzz

Bruce/Steve:

Personally, I think you're BOTH wrong. Bruce -- you're wrong for putting up that useless comment. Steve -- you're wrong for whining about it to QRZ without making a mature, direct appeal to Bruce to change it or eliminate it, and giving valid reasons for doing so. As we know, two wrongs don't make a right. The question now is, which of you is going to do the right thing first?

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -1213386483037666067
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 11:20:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!
worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010610112152.19389.00001835@ng-mh1.aol.com>
<20010610114259.13881.00000882@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Lines: 32
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 18:20:46 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.178.229
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 992197246 12.88.178.229 (Sun, 10 Jun
2001 18:20:46 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 18:20:46 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23744
```

Well, I kind of agree with Larry's comment. Actually, Steve should have e-mailed you about it rather than going behind your back, and I honestly don't think the comment in your bio is in anyone's best interest. We have enough flame wars going now - code vs no code, hams vs cbers, even old vs young. I'll throw this out for grins, however. I've seen a lot of suggestions as to how to test and what to test for (yeah, what is a real ham?). One thing I have never seen is community service. Never. Suppose everyone started with a test on law, operating proceedure, and safety. The new novice. From there on, you have to EARN the progression. Maybe after a year of operating in nets (RACES?) designed to provide communications in an emergency, you might progress to the next level (never missing more than one schedule). Perhaps servicemen and women (and those former service folks

with honorable discharges) might get a bump. Actually participating in a
public service event might get you a bump. Actually working and providing
communications during a real emergency?

Probably a stupid idea, actually earning our privileges! How quaint!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

WA8ULX <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010610114259.13881.00000882@ng-fl1.aol.com...
> >One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers
>
> You guys wont believe this, this little Steveie Wonder, has Emailed QRZ
> demanding that my Comments be deleted What a Whinner little Steveie is,
get a
> life Chump Change.

From -8630017125087355244
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 12:34:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 19:34:38 GMT
References: <20010610104534.19389.00001830@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610153438.15339.00001073@nso-df.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23745

In article <20010610104534.19389.00001830@ng-mh1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>The FCC has stated in Report and Orders 90-53 and 99-412 that
>>it does not consider morse code skill testing to be relevant to its
>>task of regulating US amateur radio. I have written so in the past
>>in this newsgroup several times and will continue to remind others
>>of those public documents.
>
> And I have said those very same things. However YOU have stated on

several
>occassions that the FCC has never made ANY statement to the effect of it's
>ultimate intent to drop code. As a matter of fact, you have stated in no
>uncertain terms that it is your perception that the FCC has NOT said anything
>"binding" in that regard, hence your persistence in "debating" continued Code
>Testing.

The FCC has not made any public statement that it will drop the
code test in the future. That is not "perception," that is the fact
of publicly-available documents from the FCC.

The code test still exists for General and Amateur Extra class
licenses.

LHA

```
From 3208592175736146725
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 12:34:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 19:34:37 GMT
References: <20010610111606.03759.00004802@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610153437.15339.00001071@nso-df.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23747
```

In article <20010610111606.03759.00004802@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>Trick question! The FCC didn't *make* money from the Restructuring
>decision, but it sure did *save* a bunch! And don't look now, but that's
>the whole point of their progressive deregulation of the ARS -- to stop
>expending resources on a radio service which doesn't have any
>significant economic importance!

There is a general trend in the whole of the US government to
reduce spending. A very small part of this happens at the FCC.

Did the FCC "save a bunch [of money]" due to the 99-412 R&O?

Any accountant surveying the public budget figures of the FCC from the years 1999 through 2001 can see that it did not save a "bunch." [one has to qualify and quantify "bunch"] [the projected budget of the FCC was made available on their website about a month ago]

Restructuring of many radio services was mandated by Congress, not just amateur radio. Restructuring, as needed, will proceed every two years, again by direction of the US Congress.

The FCC's task is in US civil radio regulation, not in the "business" of "making money." Income from certain radio spectrum auctions goes into the General Fund of the USA, not to the FCC.

The National Park Service of the USA governs 192 million acres of national forest land. It does not do that for "economic reasons" but for the entire citizenry to enjoy. In that same manner the FCC regulates the amateur radio service for the enjoyment of citizens, not to "make money."

LHA

From 9052802981397499581
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 12:34:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 19:34:38 GMT
References: <20010610110352.19389.00001832@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610153438.15339.00001072@nso-df.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23746

In article <20010610110352.19389.00001832@ng-mh1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>I was defending the Constitution
>>of the United States in military service before Robeson was born.
>>Gratuitous flag-waving and patriotic trumpeting by someone who
>>was released from military service (rather than serving a full term)
>>has no place in discussion of amateur radio testing regulations.
>
>   Congratulations on your years of service. However it does not negate the

>FACT that the Constitution of the United States AND numerous seperate acts
>since CODIFY the protection of certain minorities or subsets of our society.

USA history is not a debate subject in here.

>   Our nation was FOUNDED on the principle of making sure that EVERY person
>was allowed the opportunity to express themselves or pursue thier interests
>without impediment.
>
>   Do you deny this?

Absolutely, by all the USA history texts available. The USA declared its independence in 1776. At that time slavery existed and women did not have the right to vote.

Slavery was not abolished until the ratification of the 13th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States in 1865, 89 years after the Declaration.

The 15th Amendment, ratified in 1870, removed race as a bar to voting rights.

Women did not have the right to vote until 1920 and the 19th Amendment, 144 years after the Declaration of Independence.

Those three amendments, absolute fact, not opinion, destroys the "EVERY" person claim at this nation's "FOUNDING."

LHA

```
From 1064961968788208965
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 12:35:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 19:34:43 GMT
References: <3b23764a.56928870@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610153443.15339.00001078@nso-df.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23748
```

In article <3b23764a.56928870@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 09 Jun 2001 10:35:19 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
>>>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>>
>>>>Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
>>
>>>They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL
>>
>>All CBers demonized themselves?
>>
>No, the Service has been demonized by the usual collection of minority
>bad apples in the barrel, Ed. This is a new concept or what??

Since the creation of Class C and D Citizens Band Radio Service
in 1959, then-long-tenured radio amateurs were adamantly
opposed to non-code-tested "civilians" being allowed to inhabit
a very small portion of the HF spectrum...without being tested.

That resentment grew into a general bigotry that still exists today,
three generations later. QED.

LHA

From -9073096388213307356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 12:35:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 19:34:41 GMT
References: <20010610111608.03759.00004807@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610153441.15339.00001076@nso-df.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23749

In article <20010610111608.03759.00004807@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
>>Dr. Williamson, PhD in EE, has been called an underachiever by some

>>posters to this newsgroup. I assumed it also applied to astronauts.
>>Some on this newsgroup assert that all nocode techs are underachievers.
>
>Doc Williamson isn't the underachiever, Cecil -- you are!

Ridiculous personal attack.

>Reason?
>You have to use someone else as an excuse while whining about
>something you don't like!

Cecil Moore has stated many, many times that "CW is [his]
favorite mode." That is not whining.

Cecil Moore has also stated many, many times that he is
against the morse code test, not the use of morse code mode.
That is not whining.

>If Dr. W. doesn't want to progress in
>ham radio beyond Technician class, that's his choice, and I don't
>see anyone here criticizing him for it.

Roll needs to review his past writings, such as demands that
"Dr. W." be in this newsgroup...or Roll's other comments
denigrating his abilities, experiences, intelligence, knowledge.

>After all, he's not in here whining
>about code testing, or anything else, for that matter.

Discussion, debate, and arguments for or against code testing
is not "whining." The subject can be addressed on its own
without resorting to pejoratives against personalities.

>You would do
>well to confine your remarks to your own thoughts, feelings, wishes,
>desires, etc., as does everyone else.

Ridiculous patronizing of those older than Roll and who have been
in US amateur radio longer than Roll.

Discussion, debate, and arguments for or against code testing
is not "whining." The subject can be addressed on its own
without resorting to pejoratives against personalities.

LHA

```
From -4304673017955250668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 12:35:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
```

vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 19:34:40 GMT
References: <9fur01$er8$0@208.206.142.91>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610153440.15339.00001075@nso-df.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23750

In article <9fur01$er8$0@208.206.142.91>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

> I'm convinced that is correct, based on the simple fact that so very few persons learn Morse code to productive speeds without study for testing. There will be a few, for now, but the abandonment of the test in the general case will constitute the abandonment of radiotelegraphy in total. In addition, the codehaters will in time demand conversion or abandonment of the CW/data segments as voice mode congestion increases.

Carroll's opinion seems based on CW-in-HF as the ultimate achievement in amateur radio...forever.

The federally-required morse code test for a below-30-MHz privilege license is not, nor has it ever been, for "productive speeds" in CW. "Productive speeds" in CW would apply to a commercial radiotelegraphy license or commercial radiotelegraphy proficiency. Amateur radio is, by definition, not a commercial radio activity ("not for pecuniary interest").

It would appear that the only non-amateur radio service still using morse code for communications is Maritime Radio, a distinct minority in the rest of the radio world. Maritime Radio is changing over to voice and data for regular communications and is no longer required to use the 500 KHz International Maritime Distress frequency for emergencies.

Carroll is seemingly afraid of the loss of exclusivity in the EM spectrum for the tested-at-higher-code-rate licensees. It would seem a part of the democratic process that, as more amateurs go to non-CW modes and fewer amateurs remain with CW, the US HF bandplans should be changed to accommodate the majority.

If OOK CW modes have an intrinsic appeal to anyone, those will learn it and become proficient in it without any federal requirement in demonstrated skill through testing. OOK CW skill is not considered as a valid indicator for US amateur radio licensing (stated by the FCC in 1990 and in 1999) but is a requirement imposed by ITU-R S25.5.

LHA

From 2399702044364304493
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 12:35:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 19:34:42 GMT
References: <20010609061518.13401.00003075@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610153442.15339.00001077@nso-df.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23752

In article <20010609061518.13401.00003075@ng-mn1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>
>>I would think that it was simply something people cared about a lot. On both
>>sides.
>
>I think that some of those that care about this care about it deeply. I rarely
>find the subject of code testing to be discussed outside this group. It is
far
>from the most important issue facing Amateur Radio.

I disagree. In order to "care about amateur radio" one has to be in it
via licensing. In order to be licensed with below-30-MHz privileges,
one has to pass a code test.

Amateur radio coexists in the EM spectrum with other radio services.
The original intent of the federally-required code test was to enable
interference mitigation through a common communications mode.
Since there is a dearth of morse code use as communications in all
radio services except amateur and maritime, it is no longer logical
for the federal governments to require any morse code skill in a
license test.

Morse code skills are highly prized by a number of long-tenured
radio amateurs. So much so that they are fearful of loss of their
exclusive EM spectrum subdivisions in the HF bands. This

exclusivity is demanded, almost (to some) as a "noble right" despite the greater use of data and telephony by other amateurs on HF bands as compared to OOK CW modes. This exclusivity came about by man-made regulation changes, not divine intervention. The exclusivity "necessity" (as it is viewed by some of the long-tenured) is artificial, a benefit only for a minority.

One of the rather obvious problems with exclusivity through code skills is the class discrimination of the code skilled as "superior" to others in the hobby. Class discrimination has created the artificial barrier which has morse-code-on-HF as the ultimate attainment in amateurism on one side and radio-as-a-general-hobby-activity on the other side. Newcomers have long since changed from entering US amateur radio as code-tested Novices to the no-code-test Technician class. It took some time for the ARRL to recognize this, but they finally recognized it. The ARRL took even longer to recognize that code skills are not the ultimate achievment in amateur radio but they have not yet moved enough to recognize the majority view of US radio amateurs.

LHA

From -7377001115948607509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 12:35:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 19:34:39 GMT
References: <20010610073745.21964.00003534@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610153439.15339.00001074@nso-df.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23751

In article <20010610073745.21964.00003534@ng-mj1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>>> In what way did the FCC make any money off of its decision?
>
>> ARE you kidding?? FCC has been divesting itself of direct
>>responsibility for the Amateur Radio Service for the past 20 years. NONE

>>of the testing responsibility has been retained, and now the license
>>structure has been trimmed as much as they thought feasable at all, all
>>the while virtually abandoning all except lip service to "a
>>fundamentally technical service". Where will it end? I'd hate to guess,
>>considering the latest news on 'license-free licensing" proposal just
>>landed in the news.
>
>Your response didn't mention any money that the FCC will make from its change
>to the Amateur Radio Service. In what way do you think that the FCC made
>money from its restructuring of the Service?

It would seem that the FCC is not "making money" by rather saving money through license testing privatization.

Carroll has ignored the fact that the FCC also privatized commercial radio operator testing at the same time as they privatized amateur radio operator testing. There are 9 different commercial radio operator licenses that require testing. Testing privatization removed that field office workload enabling field office personnel to concentrate on the larger non-amateur radio service tasks.

Carroll is incorrect in saying that [the FCC] "retained NONE of the testing responsibility." All question pools must be approved by the FCC before being used. Sub-Part 97.519 (d) indicates that the FCC may, at its discretion, do individual radio operator testing.

Carroll seems to ignore the fact that the written element questions and answers are generated by licensed radio amateurs in the VEC Question Pool Committee. The FCC only specifies the number of test questions per element and a minimum number of pool questions. This seems the idealistic situation of "amateurs testing amateurs" has been fulfilled to a great degree...yet Carroll still complains about the license testing as "being dumbed down."

LHA

From -1060215275844737634
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 12:49:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 19:49:19 GMT
References: <2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined

```
Message-ID: <20010610154919.29417.00000428@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23753

>Actually, Steve should have
>e-mailed you about it rather than going behind your back, and I honestly
>don't think the comment in your bio is in anyone's best interest.  We have
>enough flame wars going now

 Oh he did, but I could care less what is Offensive to him, I even suggest to
him in my return E-Mail to see if he could get QRZ to remove from there Data
Base.



From 5838656137414774349
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 12:57:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 19:57:13 GMT
References: <20010610133257.03759.00004827@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610155713.29417.00000430@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23754

>The question now is, which of you is going
>to do the right thing first?
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

 I will, I will leave my Bios Stand the way they are, rather Little Stevie
likes it or not, and my reason is simple, I have a right to my fellings, and no
little Twerp is going to make me change my right to Free Speech, I did not fire
the first shot at the little Twerp he did, so for you Stevie STICK IT.



From 6776126822618417681
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 13:15:05 PST
Message-ID: <3B23D4D7.1296E208@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:13:11 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
```

X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1E4662.B7427E24@IEEE.org> <20010610111608.03759.00004807@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992203587 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 15:06:27 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23755

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Doc Williamson isn't the underachiever, Cecil -- you are!

You're right, Larry. Compared to Dr. Williamson, I am an
underachiever. But then, so are you.

> You have to use someone else as an excuse while whining about
> something you don't like!

I'm happy with things the way they are. It is you who is unhappy
and whines constantly about returning to the past.

> You would do
> well to confine your remarks to your own thoughts, feelings, wishes,
> desires, etc., as does everyone else.

You would do well to stop whining about Dr. Williamson. I know it
chaps your posterior no end to be faced with the fact that a nocode
tech is superior to you, but that's reality, Larry. Live with it.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8920815908465263429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 13:18:53 PST
Message-ID: <3B23D5AD.36D43F1F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:16:45 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1E4711.69F5F963@IEEE.org> <20010610111608.03759.00004806@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992203802 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 15:10:02 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23756

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Achieving the ability to pass a 20 WPM code test for an Extra
> class license was one of the most reasonable "demands" ever
> placed on me.

Why are your demands sacred compared to anyone else's, Larry?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4764367488389116567
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 13:21:17 PST
Message-ID: <3B23D64D.60F9A686@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:19:25 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9fusoa$jel$1@208.206.142.91> <20010610074219.21964.00003536@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992203960 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 15:12:40 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23757
```

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >Un-huh. But he sure gave Hell to the many proven unrepenatent
> >moneychangers.
>
> Yes, He did, but from what I have been told, giving Hell is His job, not yours
> or mine.

But Ed, Dick is the Big Kahuna of the PCTA.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 7026825060381060957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 13:25:13 PST
Message-ID: <3B23D745.7202F5A7@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:23:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010609110205.13860.00000795@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010610113310.19814.00002067@nso-fr.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992204209 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 15:16:49 -0500)
Lines: 9
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23758

N2EY wrote:
> He later cursed a fig tree, and it withered up.

A more modern translation? "You miserable #$%&%$# fig tree!"

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7165214573016833110
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 13:36:53 PST
Message-ID: <3B23D97D.29B6DAFD@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:33:01 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1E8B52.4606DA0F@sccoast.net> <20010610111607.03759.00004805@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992204777 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 15:26:17 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23759

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Don't look now, but this debate has been raging for one reason and
> one reason only -- the NCTA's desire to have the amateur radio
> licensing requirements significantly reduced, or changed, by the
> reduction/elimination of the Morse code testing requirement.

So why do you and others assert that some people are wanting
privileges without meeting the requirements? As far as I know,
nobody (except a few criminals) have ever obtained privileges
without meeting the requirements. Your strawman argument is
exposed for all the world to see. Nobody demands privileges
without meeting the requirements. Some folks are taking advantage
of their legal rights to petition the FCC to change the requirements.
You are not advocating the denial of their rights as citizens of the
USA, are you?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7898175201620113092
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 13:38:45 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 100
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 20:38:19 GMT
References: <2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010610163819.08242.00001068@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23760

In article <2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>We have
>enough flame wars going now - code vs no code, hams vs cbers, even old vs
>young.

This newsgroup was created to take the morse code testing issue away from rec.radio.amateur.miscellaneous. If a "flame war" erupts on the code test issue, then it is the fault of an individual who tosses lit personal matches...

>I'll throw this out for grins, however. I've seen a lot of
>suggestions as to how to test and what to test for (yeah, what is a real
>ham?). One thing I have never seen is community service. Never.

That subject has been broached in here before some time ago.

Before going further, let's examine the test itself. An amateur radio license test is required by the FCC as part of its task of regulating US civil radio. Sub-Part 97.1 (a) makes a general statement about radio amateurs serving the public during emergencies. That is all well and good, but amateur radio is not defined as being an intrinsic part of emergency communications. Amateur radio is basically a hobby activity involving radio, licensing required because that activity emits radio energy.

>Suppose
>everyone started with a test on law, operating proceedure, and safety. The
>new novice. From there on, you have to EARN the progression.

This is not a personal attack, but way too many get into the "EARN" mode in regards to a hobby. This is especially ture of the long-tenured radio amateur...who will never have to take another test in their lifetime (if they renew within time). It is way too easy to sit back and write "requirements" for newcomers to fit some personally-defined profile of what-is-good, but much,

much harder to do so from the perspective of what the FCC
requires as part of their regulatory task (the only reason for a
license in the first place).

>Maybe after a
>year of operating in nets (RACES?) designed to provide communications in an
>emergency, you might progress to the next level (never missing more than one
>schedule).

The California state Auxilliary Communications Service provides
an opportunity for those willing to participate in community service
and emergency communications. The ACS is an integrated
organization, not amateur-only, and does not discriminate on
license class or tenure in amateur communications.

>Perhaps servicemen and women (and those former service folks
>with honorable discharges) might get a bump.

My military experience was 1952-1960, three years with a US
Army station ADA. As with many ex-military-communicators,
a "bump" would be relative. There is no "bump" in field radio
while exposed to extreme elements, there is no "bump" in
fixed station radio doing 12-hour shifts.

>Actually participating in a
>public service event might get you a bump. Actually working and providing
>communications during a real emergency?

Does working communications during a major urban-area earthquake
(with complete loss of electrical power for 10 million) constitute a
"real emeregency?" Been there, done that, no "bump" whatsoever.

Some in here have insisted that 17 Jan 94 earthquake in the Los
Angeles area as "not a real emergency." Perhaps others can
define "real emergencies?"   :-)

>Probably a stupid idea, actually earning our privileges! How quaint!

Community service is not a stupid idea. IMHO, having to achieve
licensing and certification and whatever other geegaws go with
such credentialism seems to be a stupid idea. Everyone's
mileage will vary, of course.

I rather like the California ACS idea, total integration of radio and
landline for Real Emergencies, utilizing whatever is still operating
by those who can operate. No class fights, no discrimination,
no nonsense. Flexibility and adjustability to accommodate as
many disaster situations as can be conceived...and do the
training and regular drills for it rather than pointing to credentials
awarded years back with no drills since that time.

Real Emergencies can happen at any time or with only an hour
or two warning (tornados in middle USA). Emergencies aren't

limited to hurricanes that can be tracked for two days or rain storms tracked for 24 hours [the Houston, TX, deluge as a recent example]. "Real emergencies" on the amateur "news" sites seem limited to hurricanes and rain storms...

One doesn't need certificates, credentials, and "earned" credit to prepare for emergencies.

LHA

From 3969949443479797600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 13:47:48 PST
Message-ID: <3B23DC86.168B4529@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:45:58 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net> <20010609223638.05187.00001054@nso-cg.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992205558 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 15:39:18 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23761

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> ... and thoroughly give your mind over
> to the notion that *you* are going to become a proficient CW operator,
> you will achieve it with greater ease than you ever imagined.

I can't help but point out that my Southern Baptist preacher used virtually identical words about "being saved" this morning. You continue to appear to be a Morse code cultist, Larry.

May I suggest that you deprogram yourself and take a rational look
at the subject? Asking someone to "give your mind over" is the
brainwashing techniques upon which cults thrive.

If someone suggested that you "give your mind over" to Buddhism, would
you do it? Please stop suggesting that anyone should "give his mind
over" to anything except rationality.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5524725367448925853
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 13:51:21 PST
Message-ID: <3B23DD57.9201D11A@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:49:27 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net> <20010609223638.05187.00001054@nso-cg.aol.com> <9fv1k4$3qu$1@208.207.71.139>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992205763 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 15:42:43 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23762

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I don't know that he can. In fact, I seriously believe that he truly

> cannot. Nor that he ever will. He is one of those who just don't want
> to. So he never will.

Maybe he is just one of those people who refuses to "give his mind over"
to anything external, Dick. Brainwashing techniques are well understood
in this day and age. Larry should try something else. So should you. How
about a little old-fashioned encouragement?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7656439644013446830
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 13:53:59 PST
Message-ID: <3B23DDF4.4AB17E16@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:52:04 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <9fv1k4$3qu$1@208.207.71.139> <20010610085956.24719.00000845@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992205920 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 15:45:20 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23763
```

WA8ULX wrote:
> ... as I said from the beginning of the No-Code Licensing Scheme, the
> Code would be dropped, which it will be ...

Well, if you're so omniscient that you can predict the future, why are you so dead set against it?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -5703937095297903746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:01:16 PST
Message-ID: <3B23DFA9.8F015048@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:59:21 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B1E8BE9.3766CEF5@IEEE.org> <20010610111607.03759.00004804@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992206357 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 15:52:37 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23764

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> My observation is that Dick doesn't merely knock down the NCTA's
> strawmen -- he rips them open and scatters them to the four winds!
> Moreover, he does so without using his Ph. D. friends as a crutch
> to support his arguments! What's *your* excuse?

I believe in peace within ham radio, Larry. I believe in a brotherhood of hams each with identical castefree licenses. When the FCC set up different classes of licenses, they set up class warfare within ham radio. I'm against class warfare and advocate its abolition.

What's *your* excuse.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7204091836815374088
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:11:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 67
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 21:10:48 GMT
References: <20010610131831.03759.00004824@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610171048.22760.00000621@nso-mk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23765

In article <20010610131831.03759.00004824@nso-ch.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <3b22fe95.12834852@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:

>>> I do
>>>know that this proposal, and others like it made during the comment
>>>period on the Restructuring NPRM, received a great deal of consideration.
>>>The fact that this win/win compromise never made it into the final
>>>ruling suggests to me that the best interests of the ARS had little to do
>>>with the final decision.
>>
>>More that the proposal wasn't valued as you see it.
>
>Well, certainly not by the NCTA. They hated it, mainly for it's
>logical simplicity and win/win nature.

Which footnote in 99-412 references the Roll "win/win" proposal?

>I received a lot of love and
>hate mail over my proposal -- but the hate mail is the most
>interesting, since the senders were truly convinced that I would
>keep them from gaining Extra-class status for the rest of their lives.

One message is hardly sufficient to show a trend, is it?

>I could not help wondering, "Why is that a problem, if they have
>everything else they need as far as phone privileges is concerned?"
>The answer -- it doesn't put an end to the class envy of the
>terminal underachievers, which is the point of the whole debate.

Class envy is the "point of the whole [code] debate?!?"

>It is now socially unacceptable to be more accomplished than
>the next guy. We must all be equally ordinary, in order to achieve
>true whirled peas. Well, forgive me, but I don't share the world-
>view of the weak and unmotivated.

:-)

>And if you can't forgive me,
>then you'll just have to suffer the fact that I'm here, I have
>achieved Extra class under the pre-Restructuring requirements,
>and you didn't and never will.

Classic puerile "nyah-nyah" heard on every schoolyard each
school day. :-)

>My so-called superiority over you
>is your problem, not mine. I didn't seek that status for others,
>I sought it for myself.

Roll is convinced he has achieved "superiority." He is not
reticent about telling everyone. :-)

The unfortunate part, for Roll, is that the regulations have
changed. Some old requirements are gone. Grandfathering
licenses still exists and Roll will never have to take another
test in his life...provided he renews on time. Roll no longer
can claim any "superiority" under the new regulations nor
does he have the credentials under the new regulations. His
credentials are from a past era in amateur radio.

Sic transit gloria mundi.

LHA

From -6843992648569972876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

```
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:21:59 PST
Message-ID: <3B23E487.114264B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 16:20:07 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010609075450.03759.00004729@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010609112239.13860.00000802@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992207603 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 16:13:23 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23766
```

W1RFI wrote:

> In what way could I not speak out in favor of code testing in the face of that policy?

Think about it, Ed. If you agreed 100% with Larry, would he be saying the same things about you? :-) Larry, et.al. try to find a weak point and attack it, not matter how irrational that attack might seem to be. Never mind that you don't have a real weak point at all. They attack my Morse code skill level. They attack your ARRL employment. They attack Dr. Williamson's silence. They attack Bill's NCI board position. They attack my friend's inability to get past 10wpm. See the pattern? They attack and attack and attack! For them, it's The Crusades all over again. Change "Silence the Jews and Moslems!" to "Silence the "Nocoders and NCTA folk!" For them, it's a Holy War. After all, it's a small change from a Norse god to a Morse god.

--

cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 8961205496636495351
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:26:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 21:25:54 GMT
References: <3B23DDF4.4AB17E16@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610172554.01540.00003600@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23767

>Well, if you're so omniscient that you can predict the future, why
>are you so dead set against it?
>

 Thats an easy one, if you had taken the time to include the rest of my
comments you would understand.
 So just what advantage do you feel removing code is going to make?
```

```
From -6407277336887026726
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:28:46 PST
Message-ID: <3B23E620.8C60EA83@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 16:26:56 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010609165612.21646.00001116@ng-fn1.aol.com>
<20010609180815.09626.00003155@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992208013 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 16:20:13 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

```
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23768

WA8ULX wrote:
> >but I'd hate to see you chasing your tail like that.
>
>  Are you another one of his Laccckkkiiieeesss

Ed, do you really have lackeys? How much does the ARRL pay
them? How many do you have?
--
cheers, Cecil



-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 8047134080846954968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:31:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 21:30:38 GMT
References: <3B23E620.8C60EA83@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610173038.01553.00003744@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23769

>Ed, do you really have lackeys? How much does the ARRL pay
>them? How many do you have?
>--
>cheers, Cecil
>

 In Eds mind he has Millions of them
```

From 3237484178328900317
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:34:36 PST
Message-ID: <3B23E786.4A86FBFC@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 16:32:54 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <20010609075448.03759.00004726@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010609111556.13860.00000800@ng-fl1.aol.com> <3b237654.56939424@news2.dvol.com>
<9fvukt$jb5$0@208.206.142.90>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992208370 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 16:26:10 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23770

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yep. You got it. Only those with a finely honed sense of vacillation
> are eligible to be employed at HQ.

You guys are funny-farm material. You really think Ed would be in
trouble at the ARRL if he still supported 5/13/20wpm? I'll bet there
are some ARRL employees who do support 5/13/20wpm. Do you think
they are in trouble? Get real, Dick, or is that too much to ask?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3803245548079805277
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:36:17 PST
Message-ID: <3B23E7EA.E02A4411@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 16:34:34 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org> <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-ch.aol.com> <3B2239A3.68F66AC2@IEEE.org> <9fusl1$jel$0@208.206.142.91>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992208470 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 16:27:50 -0500)
Lines: 22
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23771

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > > If testing had ended at 5 WPM,
> > > I probably would not have become a long-term CW user myself --
> >
> > And in your particular case, Larry, the world would have been
> > better off that way.
>
> Really? How?

There would have been one less person chasing potential hams away
from ham radio.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 5128163784871908817
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:38:00 PST
Message-ID: <3B23E844.3E7CFEDC@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 16:36:04 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B2239A3.68F66AC2@IEEE.org> <20010610111605.03759.00004801@nso-
ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992208561 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 16:29:21 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23772

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B2239A3.68F66AC2@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> If testing had ended at 5 WPM,
> >> I probably would not have become a long-term CW user myself --
> >
> >And in your particular case, Larry, the world would have been
> >better off that way.
>
> Hmmm.  I wonder if the dozens of hams for whom I've provided their
> first and/or only Delaware contacts on CW, RTTY, and PSK31 would
> agree with you?
```

Probably not, Larry, but the multitudes of potential hams that you
have chased away from ham radio world-wide no doubt would agree.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4781817669473857835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:41:33 PST
Message-ID: <3B23E916.66DD0285@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 16:39:34 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org> <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-ch.aol.com> <9fur01$er8$0@208.206.142.91>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992208771 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 16:32:51 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23773

Dick Carroll wrote:
> ... the abandonment of the test
> in the general case will constitute the abandonment of radiotelegraphy
> in total. In addition, the codehaters will in time demand conversion or
> abandonment of the CW/data segments as voice mode congestion increases.

Dick's been reading his Tarot cards again. Baskin Robins would not have

been successful without a governmental requirement to force citizens
to eat ice cream.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 2033694136217587904
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:45:27 PST
Message-ID: <3B23EA00.450B3D34@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 16:43:28 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org> <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-ch.aol.com> <3b22f71c.10920876@news.mindspring.com> <9fvs0n$gud$0@208.206.142.90>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992209009 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 16:36:49 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23774

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Nothing the other of the 700,000
> odd ham radio licensees have to say gets any attention whatever.

That's funny, Dick. 1/4 of those hams are nocoders. 1/4 of those
hams are slow-coders. What kind of psychosis makes you think they
agree with you? I think the FCC has done exactly what the majority
of hams want done.

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3973289075614470683
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:45:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 21:45:08 GMT
References: <2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610174508.11326.00002990@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23775

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: "JAMES HAMPTON" j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 6/10/01 1:20 PM Central Daylight Time

>
>Well, I kind of agree with Larry's comment. Actually, Steve should have
>e-mailed you about it rather than going behind your back, and I honestly
>don't think the comment in your bio is in anyone's best interest.

I didn't go behind anyone's back, Jim. I e mailed a "cc" of the item to this creep and I also published my comments in public.

Nothing was done clandestinely.

I don't really expect "QRZ.com" to do anything about it (who DOES accept any responsiblitiy for ANYTHING anymore?), but at least I took a stand.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 5523306353165411897
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:46:59 PST
Message-ID: <3B23EA65.BFE9F9E@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 16:45:09 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3b22f71c.10920876@news.mindspring.com>
<20010610131829.03759.00004823@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992209114 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 16:38:34 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23776

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> If my argument was uncompelling, it is because it didn't pander
> to the desires of the lowest common denominator.

Actually, it is uncompelling because it panders to the Morse Code
Cult.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3012568980742494296
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:47:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!

```
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 21:47:01 GMT
References: <20010610114259.13881.00000882@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610174701.11326.00002991@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23777
```

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/10/01 10:42 AM Central Daylight Time

> You guys wont believe this, this little Steveie Wonder, has Emailed QRZ
>demanding that my Comments be deleted What a Whinner little Steveie is, get a
>life Chump Change

LOL!

```
From 8433793554979089466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:49:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 21:48:49 GMT
References: <20010610114504.13881.00000883@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610174849.11326.00002992@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23778
```

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/10/01 10:45 AM Central Daylight Time

> Whaaa, Whaaa, do you wont a Kleenex little Stevie, Mommy, Mommy the big boy
>made a comment I cant handle, make them go away Mommy, what a whozzz

I am sure you think so.

```
From 4045315750463788143
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:52:12 PST
Message-ID: <3B23EB94.EC7B2C1C@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 16:50:12 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3b23699b.1460843@news.mindspring.com>
<20010610090322.24691.00001011@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992209416 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 16:43:36 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23779
```

WA8ULX wrote:

>
> >The FCC did NOT need to restructure last year to save
> >money.
>
> Bull, the FCC didnt want to waste time and manpower with Disability waiver.

More likely, they didn't want to lose a court challenge.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4659295854915081439
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:52:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 21:51:37 GMT
References: <20010610133257.03759.00004827@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610175137.11326.00002993@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23780

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 6/10/01 12:32 PM Central Daylight Time

>Steve -- you're wrong for whining about it to
>QRZ without making a mature, direct appeal to Bruce to change it or
>eliminate it, and giving valid reasons for doing so.

And this would have accomplished...?!?! SQUAT!

>As we know, two
>wrongs don't make a right. The question now is, which of you is going
>to do the right thing first?

I did the right thing. Now it's time for QRZ to do the NEXT right thing. Of course, knowing YOUR position 9on anyone who has less than a "PROPERTY OF HIRAM MAXIM" tattooed on thier keyster, I am not surprised at your comments.

Not surprised at all...............

From 8331141211081655348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:56:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

```
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 21:56:31 GMT
References: <20010610155713.29417.00000430@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610175631.11326.00002994@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23781

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/10/01 2:57 PM Central Daylight Time

> I will, I will leave my Bios Stand the way they are, rather Little Stevie
>likes it or not, and my reason is simple, I have a right to my fellings, and
>no
>little Twerp is going to make me change my right to Free Speech, I did not
>fire
>the first shot at the little Twerp he did, so for you Stevie STICK IT.

     Just like the Chronically Offesnive, scream about "free speech" when one
is called on about thier abuses.  Always makes it seem like some sort of noble
crusade.

    You are just a creep, Bruce.  A functionally illiterate on at that.  I know
it.  I don't expect anyone to do anything about it, but I expressed MY free
speech about it too.

    And trust me, Bruce, you DON'T want ME "sticking" anything anywhere.  If
anyone in this forum knows where to "stick" things with the most effectiveness,
it is I.


From 1671407577536801195
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 14:58:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 21:58:09 GMT
References: <20010610153438.15339.00001073@nso-df.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610175809.11326.00002995@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23782
```

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/10/01 2:34 PM Central Daylight Time
>
>The code test still exists for General and Amateur Extra class
>licenses.

Yes, it does. It is international law. Would you ahve the United States break the law?

From 1491130111745921434
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:02:27 PST
Message-ID: <3B23EDEE.9CE24760@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 17:00:14 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3b22fe95.12834852@news.mindspring.com> <20010610131831.03759.00004824@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992210020 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 16:53:40 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23783

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Could it be that a person can learn how to use a bow and arrows,
> fire a black powder muzzleloader, and drive a stick-shift car without
> learning a completely new and non-intuitive way to communicate?
> It takes one heck of a lot more commitment to learn Morse code
> at useful proficiency levels than it takes to do any of those other
> things. If you're going to compare apples to oranges, you need to
> set an orange on the table alongside the apples.

Larry, Morse code was extremely easy for me compared to a bow and
arrow and learning to drive a stick shift. I'm sorry it was so
difficult for you that you felt compelled to turn it into a cult.

It took me about four hours to hit 5wpm using Morse code. It took
me tens of hours to learn to drive a stick shift and after tens
of hours trying to hit the broad side of a barn with a bow and
arrow, I gave up on it. Get real, Larry, learning Morse code is
trivial compared to bows and arrows.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1137610467097443280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:08:24 PST
Message-ID: <3B23EF5D.BFC49D54@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 17:06:21 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992210394 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 16:59:54 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.

X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23784

Mark Little wrote:
> Indicating that if these abbreviations were, by some perverse logic,
> really classified as a language, it would also be classified as a dead
> language.

:-) My enclyclopedia lists hundreds of languages - Morse is not
one of them.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -946215960199969057
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:09:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 72
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 22:09:11 GMT
References: <20010610153438.15339.00001072@nso-df.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610180911.11326.00002996@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23785

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/10/01 2:34 PM Central Daylight Time

>> Congratulations on your years of service. However it does not negate
the FACT that the Constitution of the United States AND numerous seperate acts
since CODIFY the protection of certain minorities or subsets of our society.

>USA history is not a debate subject in here.

We are not discussing "history"...we are discussing the United States' customary protection of the rights of minorities. Nice attempt at redirect.

>>Our nation was FOUNDED on the principle of making sure that EVERY person was allowed the opportunity to express themselves or pursue thier interests without impediment.

>> Do you deny this?

>Absolutely, by all the USA history texts available. The USA declared
>its independence in 1776. At that time slavery existed and women
>did not have the right to vote.

And these injustices were corrected. No one expects taht anyone, even the Founding Fathers to get everything right the first time.

>Slavery was not abolished until the ratification of the 13th Amendment
>to the Constitution of the United States in 1865, 89 years after the
>Declaration

Ooops, you DO agree with me...Thanks.

>The 15th Amendment, ratified in 1870, removed race as a bar to
>voting rights.

Did it again...proved that the United States DOES have a custom of correcting injustices...even when it's a black eye of it's own....

>Women did not have the right to vote until 1920 and the 19th
>Amendment, 144 years after the Declaration of Independence

And AGAIN...! THANKS LEN! I appreciate the help.

There we have it...proof positive from the keyboard of Leonard H. Anderson himself thatit IS the policy of the United States government to protect EVERYONE'S rights...and I think we can expect them to continue this in the future.

>Those three amendments, absolute fact, not opinion, destroys
>the "EVERY" person claim at this nation's "FOUNDING.

Was it PERFECT from the "git go"...?!?! No. No one, least of all me says it was. The wording of the original Constitution and even the Declaration of Indipendence would have SOUNDED like it did, but we all KNEW better.

The United States DID correct those injustices. We can expect them to do so in the future.

Including the rights of those who enjoy using Morse Code.

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From -2653327987470395270
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:10:04 PST
Message-ID: <3B23EFBD.48D0AC84@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 17:07:57 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futi0$lol$1@208.206.142.91>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992210498 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 17:01:38 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23786
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Well, we now know exactly how "little" you know about the subject.

Dick, look up "Languages" in your encyclopedia and see if Morse is
on the list.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7631956180946169466
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:13:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 22:13:22 GMT
References: <20010610153443.15339.00001078@nso-df.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610181322.11326.00002997@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23787

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/10/01 2:34 PM Central Daylight Time

>Since the creation of Class C and D Citizens Band Radio Service
>in 1959, then-long-tenured radio amateurs were adamantly
>opposed to non-code-tested "civilians" being allowed to inhabit
>a very small portion of the HF spectrum...without being tested.

This was not a "resentment" over testing. It was a well founded expression of concern over putting an allegedly "short range" communications service on a part of the spectrum KNOWN to propagate globally with low power and modest antennas......the kind that would be "allowed" the new service.

>That resentment grew into a general bigotry that still exists today,
>three generations later.

The results of thier concerns was to become manifest. Unless you call what exists between 26 to 28Mhz "acceptable"...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 3301472197778331973
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:17:51 PST
Message-ID: <3B23F186.8F634FD4@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 17:15:34 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futt8$nft$0@208.206.142.91>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992210956 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 17:09:16 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23788

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Evidently you never heard of the term "incrementalism". The more one
> knows, the better he can communicate. I can damn sure communicate basic
> information via CW using Q signals, prosigns and other universally known
> CW shortcuts, with another ham who knows no English, and I knowing none
> of his native tounge.

Where your argument falls down, Dick, is exactly the same techniques can be used with any other digital mode. Is RTTY a language? Is PACTOR a language? Is AMTOR a language? Get real, will you? Any two hams running RTTY can do *EXACTLY* the same thing. Q-signals are *codes*. Prosigns are *codes*. Please learn the difference between a "language" and a "code".
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 9197514199953042134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:23:07 PST
Message-ID: <3B23F2C3.258BF04F@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 17:20:51 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010608225607.13828.00000669@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010609063435.13401.00003080@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992211272 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 17:14:32 -0500)
Lines: 24
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23789

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >>Im suggesting that ARRL keep its nose out of CB, ...
>
> >>You didn't answer my question.
>
> > I answered your Question, are you having a reading Problem?
>
> Cecil, do you think that ARRL can keep its nose out of CB and demonize CBers at
> the same time? It appears to me that Bruce answered your question. He
> couldn't resist taking a cheap shot at a nice guy like me in the process, but

> he answered your question.

Yes, he did, Ed, but he is too chicken-livered-yellow-bellyed to answer
it 'yes' or 'no'. Cowards always are.

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -2609488463871990017
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:26:41 PST
Message-ID: <3B23F391.2E8BBB93@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 17:24:17 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <9fuuc6$nft$2@208.206.142.91> <20010610085534.24500.00000175@ng-
mg1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992211489 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 17:18:09 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23790
```

Mark Pearsal wrote:

> Dick, I'll be quite blunt with you. I've read a great many of you replies and,
> quite frankly, I find you to be rude and obnoxious.

That's not surprising, Mark. Dick *IS* rude and obnoxious.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -8390459354692501928
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:28:32 PST
Message-ID: <3B23F3EC.7A4D5772@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 17:25:48 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
<3B218D02.90D2E7E4@IEEE.org> <3b222675.204464640@news2.dvol.com>
<3B223A4A.CF018997@IEEE.org> <9fusqm$jel$2@208.206.142.91>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992211593 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 17:19:53 -0500)
Lines: 9
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23791
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Love you lying obfuscators. No one here has yet demonized "all CBers".

Lying doesn't become you, Dick.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7010937810442071222
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:33:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 22:32:53 GMT
References: <20010610174508.11326.00002990@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610183253.24736.00001280@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23792

>I don't really expect "QRZ.com" to do anything about it (who DOES accept
>any responsiblitiy for ANYTHING anymore?), but at least I took a stand.
>
>73

WHOA Stevie you really Impress me, what you did do was show exactly how small you really are.

From -2784691578969665003
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:36:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 22:36:23 GMT
References: <20010610174849.11326.00002992@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610183623.24736.00001283@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23793

>I am sure you think so.

Your the one that went crying to QRZ, do you really think I care what QRZ thinks, maybe they will take me out of there Database, Whoaa, I dont think I will be able to handle it, yea right

```
From -3801280918679481525
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:36:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010610155713.29417.00000430@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<20010610175631.11326.00002994@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Lines: 40
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <eESU6.9653$Zt6.3213897@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 22:36:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992212618 24.180.184.171 (Sun, 10 Jun 2001
15:36:58 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:36:58 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23794

Sounds like you have some homosexual tendencies. How DX Today!



--
X-No-archive: yes
"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010610175631.11326.00002994@ng-mp1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
> >From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
> >Date: 6/10/01 2:57 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> > I will, I will leave my Bios Stand the way they are, rather Little
Stevie
> >likes it or not, and my reason is simple, I have a right to my fellings,
and
> >no
> >little Twerp is going to make me change my right to Free Speech, I did
not
> >fire
> >the first shot at the little Twerp he did, so for you Stevie STICK IT.
>
>      Just like the Chronically Offesnive, scream about "free speech" when
one
```

> is called on about thier abuses. Always makes it seem like some sort of
noble
> crusade.
>
>   You are just a creep, Bruce. A functionally illiterate on at that. I
know
> it. I don't expect anyone to do anything about it, but I expressed MY
free
> speech about it too.
>
>   And trust me, Bruce, you DON'T want ME "sticking" anything anywhere.
If
> anyone in this forum knows where to "stick" things with the most
effectiveness,
> it is I.

From -557278095294352710
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:37:13 PST
Message-ID: <3B23F5FB.7E813752@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 17:34:35 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B23DDF4.4AB17E16@IEEE.org> <20010610172554.01540.00003600@ng-
da1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992212120 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 17:28:40 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23795

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Well, if you're so omniscient that you can predict the future, why
> >are you so dead set against it?
>
> Thats an easy one, if you had taken the time to include the rest of my
> comments you would understand.
> So just what advantage do you feel removing code is going to make?

Nobody wants to "remove code". That's just your strawman.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2632590087184518612
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:40:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 22:39:46 GMT
References: <20010610175137.11326.00002993@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610183946.24736.00001284@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23796

>And this would have accomplished...?!?! SQUAT!
>

 You dont know that, to tell you the truth I forgot it was even there. But of
course you took the Whinner way out, wait a minute now I figured iy out, your
from Tenn, I bet you and Gore are Buddys.

From 2766444709517961293
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:43:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-

mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 22:42:58 GMT
References: <20010610175137.11326.00002993@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610184258.24736.00001285@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23797

>Of course, knowing YOUR position 9on anyone who has less than a "PROPERTY OF
>HIRAM MAXIM" tattooed on thier keyster, I am not surprised at your comments.
>
>   Not surprised at all..........

Whoa Larry, I think that was a slap in your face, Stevie do you have Long live CBplussers Tattooed on your Butt?

From 8958516822713709807
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:46:01 PST
Message-ID: <3B23F80F.D5FDB278@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 17:43:27 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com> <20010609063519.13401.00003081@ng-mn1.aol.com> <3b23764a.56928870@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992212651 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 17:37:31 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!

newsfeed.mindspring.net.MISMATCH!news.mindspring.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23798

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> No, the Service has been demonized by the usual collection of minority
> bad apples in the barrel, Ed.

If you're talking about the ARS, I agree.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -1014393735712079814
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:47:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com> <9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com> <9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com> <9futt8$nft$0@208.206.142.91> <3B23F186.8F634FD4@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!
Lines: 40
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <5OSU6.1953$eZ6.282593@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 22:47:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.239.28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992213249 209.245.239.28 (Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:47:29 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:47:29 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 15:45:28 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23799

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B23F186.8F634FD4@IEEE.org...
> Dick Carroll wrote:

> > Evidently you never heard of the term "incrementalism". The more one knows, the better he can communicate. I can damn sure communicate basic information via CW using Q signals, prosigns and other universally known CW shortcuts, with another ham who knows no English, and I knowing none of his native tounge.
>
> Where your argument falls down, Dick, is exactly the same techniques can be used with any other digital mode. Is RTTY a language? Is PACTOR a language? Is AMTOR a language? Get real, will you? Any two hams running RTTY can do *EXACTLY* the same thing. Q-signals are *codes*. Prosigns are *codes*. Please learn the difference between a "language" and a "code".
> --
> cheers, Cecil
>

I'll go back to my original question, though. Dick mentions that communicating in CW allows for the transmission of basic information [using Q signals, etc.]. Everyone who knows me knows that I made one QSO in CW and that was the night I passed the CW test for my Tech+ license. However, I also don't particularly care for HF communications and mainly for the reason that I got so damned tired of "quickie" RST contacts. That's not a slam on anyone who likes it, is into DXing, etc. It's just not my bag and, therefore, I don't do a lot of HF. (I also don't like the squelch noise).

Now, if CW is more designed for "basic" contacts, not much beyond an RST QSO, then how can it be called the "universal" language? Or, what I mean more by that question is *why* is it called the "universal" language. I am not trying to be argumentative here, I really do wonder. Cecil, I think (I've never done a whole lot of digital modes either) RTTY, PACTOR, AMTOR, etc., do allow for full conversations--*if* once again, two or more people can find a common language among them. So, does this mean that in ham radio, as in the rest of life, there really isn't a "universal" language (except for the language of facial expressions--we all understand them!).

Kim W5TIT

From -4137026184129788718
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:47:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 22:46:48 GMT

References: <20010610175631.11326.00002994@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610184648.24736.00001286@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23800

>You are just a creep, Bruce. A functionally illiterate on at that. I know
>it.

 Oh not a Creep, Stevie since Im Illiterate maybe you could explain what this means. " A functionally illiterate on at that.".
 On at that what Stevie, is that some new CBplusser Term?

From 84667705691524918
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:49:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 22:48:55 GMT
References: <3B23EA00.450B3D34@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610184855.24736.00001287@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23801

>I think the FCC has done exactly what the majority
>of hams want done.

 Oh, and when did you start speaking for the majority?

From 8328038106463355432
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:52:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 10 Jun 2001 22:52:30 GMT
References: <20010610181322.11326.00002997@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610185230.24736.00001288@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23802

>The results of thier concerns was to become manifest. Unless you call
>what exists between 26 to 28Mhz "acceptable"...?!?!
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Acceptable, why not it will be that way in a couple of years anyway

From -7419905513688821145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:55:40 PST
Message-ID: <3B23FA4B.79EC9FC1@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 17:52:59 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010610153443.15339.00001078@nso-df.aol.com>
<20010610181322.11326.00002997@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992213225 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 17:47:05 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!feed-out.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!feed.newsfeeds.com!newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23803

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> The results of thier concerns was to become manifest. Unless you call
> what exists between 26 to 28Mhz "acceptable"...?!?!

If a 40 year old radio service is "acceptable" to the FCC,
why should it be not "acceptable" to you?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4372409821929780555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 15:58:30 PST
Message-ID: <3B23FADE.116D3767@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 17:55:26 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010609110935.13860.00000798@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010609130934.24094.00000200@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992213386 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 17:49:46 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23804

WA8ULX wrote:
> Ed why are you so interested in my Peeing, is that another side line of yours.
> If you really want to know, I guess I ought to tell you, my Plumbing works
> just fine.

Apparently, too well.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6357464619457862516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 16:12:27 PST
Message-ID: <3B23FE29.C376B28B@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 18:09:29 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
References: <2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010610154919.29417.00000428@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992214230 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 18:03:50 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23805
```

WA8ULX wrote:
> Oh he did, but I could care less what is Offensive to him, I even suggest to
> him in my return E-Mail to see if he could get QRZ to remove from there Data
> Base.

Have you ever considered attempting to gain your GED instead
of molesting the English language?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5279414444525396082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 16:21:16 PST
Message-ID: <3B24003A.29E3D711@IEEE.org>
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 18:18:18 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B23EA00.450B3D34@IEEE.org> <20010610184855.24736.00001287@ng-
fy1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.73
X-Trace: corp 992214758 64.240.47.73 (10 Jun 2001 18:12:38 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23806
```

WA8ULX wrote:

```
>
> >I think the FCC has done exactly what the majority
> >of hams want done.
>
>  Oh, and when did you start speaking for the majority?
```

I don't speak for the majority - I stated what I think.
I could be wrong. Could you be wrong?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

```
From -8539567862836829390
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 16:44:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 23:44:20 GMT
References: <3B23F391.2E8BBB93@IEEE.org>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610194420.24665.00000248@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23807

>Mark Pearsal wrote:
>> Dick, I'll be quite blunt with you. I've read a great many of you replies
>and,
>> quite frankly, I find you to be rude and obnoxious.
>
>That's not surprising, Mark. Dick *IS* rude and obnoxious.
>
>
Guys,

To know Dick Carroll is to love him. Now, you should have plenty of love for the guy, Mark. Take it easy on him though, you might've inadvertently touched upon a good point re. being "jaded." Sit down and think about what life experience(s) it would take to make you that negative angry. Kind of puts it in a different perspective, eh? Now do you feel any emotion from him...or "for" him, yeah...me too. At least he's not difficult to figure out. If all else fails, just treat him like the "code requirement." Give it a little time...and he'll eventually just go away. (BTW, I'm VERY PRO-CW!...the analogy just fit so perfectly.)

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -8600173180076991243
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 16:50:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Date: 10 Jun 2001 23:49:37 GMT
References: <eESU6.9653$Zt6.3213897@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610194937.01505.00003659@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23808

>Sounds like you have some homosexual tendencies. How DX Today!
>

 I was wondering that myself but I didnt want to bring it up.


From 6657696187041161301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 16:52:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 23:52:18 GMT
References: <3B23FE29.C376B28B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610195218.01505.00003660@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23809

>Have you ever considered attempting to gain your GED instead
>of molesting the English language?
>

 No, I figured I would wait till you got yours them you could send me the Q&A,
you know something like the present day Ham Test.


From 8219666346732859760
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 16:55:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 23:54:59 GMT
```

References: <3B23F5FB.7E813752@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610195459.01505.00003661@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23811

>Nobody wants to "remove code". That's just your strawman.
>

 Oh my mistake, I thought that was what removing the CW Testing was about, are you now telling me they are going to bring back 13 and 20 WPM Testing.

From -2337799964702882086
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 16:55:32 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 23:55:20 GMT
Message-ID: <3b2407fe.94231983@news2.dvol.com>
References: <9fusqm$jel$2@208.206.142.91> <20010610073933.21964.00003535@ng-mj1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.126
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.126
X-Trace: 10 Jun 2001 18:50:28 -0500, 64.24.18.126
Lines: 26
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23810

On 10 Jun 2001 11:39:33 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
>> Love you lying obfuscators. No one here has yet demonized "all CBers".
>
>The thread history:
>
>>> > >> >Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you
>>do?
>
>>> > >> They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL
>
>Looks pretty clear to me what this is "all" about...
>
Yeah, particularaly with respect to the ARRL having had anything to do

```
with any of it.
>
w3rv



>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>



From -3000266093258125292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 16:56:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 3
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 23:55:52 GMT
References: <3B23F80F.D5FDB278@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610195552.01505.00003662@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23812

>If you're talking about the ARS, I agree.

 No he is talking about the Bussiness Band


From -7791002912064016818
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 16:57:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 23:56:54 GMT
References: <3B24003A.29E3D711@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
```

```
Message-ID: <20010610195654.01505.00003663@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23813

>I don't speak for the majority - I stated what I think.
>I could be wrong. Could you be wrong?
>

 Yea your probably wrong, and no Im not wrong.


From 7142058611122044249
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 16:59:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 10 Jun 2001 23:58:57 GMT
References: <3B23FADE.116D3767@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610195857.01505.00003664@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23814

>If you really want to know, I guess I ought to tell you, my Plumbing works
>>  just fine.
>
>Apparently, too well.

 Cecil your not one of those guys to are you, I hope your not wondering about
my Plumbing also


From -4163906356150213366
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:00:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 18:58:13 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 204
Message-ID: <3B240995.6EC5@mobiletel.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
```

```
<beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com> <393F8EE6.3FC2479E@home.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com>
<ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com> <3b21325e$1@news2.mibx.net>
<3B217F12.2EF2@mobiletel.com> <zdDU6.21967$s12.601090@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun02-port180.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 992217476 27033 209.16.79.180 (10 Jun 2001 23:57:56
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 23:57:56 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone  (Win95; I)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23815
```

```
Leland C. Scott wrote:
>
> "Martin" <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
news:3B217F12.2EF2@mobiletel.com...
> > Cheap thrills in freeband, I want to them be real thrill seekers and
> > operate on
> > 2 meters sans license.  75 and 20 are good alternatives.
> >
> > Antihero? After a certain point, say 30 years of age, I am the
> > establishment, much better to declare my independance, do it Sinatra
> > Style with the Ace in the hole
> > covering my backside.  Nobody can dispute with the rebel when he doesn't
> > present a good target!
> > To each their own, you pays ur money and takes ur chances.
> >
> >
> > Pull the plug? Or fine em?
> >
> > Proof that no one Radio Service has an exclusive lock on stupidity!
> >
> >
> > "Be a hero not a zero, keydown at the Gettysburg."
>
> ^^^^^Just another example of the CB Radio mentality I was talking about.



>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
> NCI Member
> --
> "I care about truth not for truth's sake
> but for my own."
>
```

> Samuel Butler

Not CB mentality, per se, but a statement demonstrating, tongue in cheek, about the debate taking place here. I do my own thinking and have come to several conclusions:

1. Ham Radio has a problem recruiting new members, especially from the "CB" crowd because they fail to recognize several differences:

A. CB is the first and only contact most of the general public have with 2 way radio. FRS has the potential to become another CB wasteland UNLESS it is stewarded by a responsible public, however, with the limited distance of UHF, we might be spared the indignities which has been visited upon 27MHz.

B. The General public assume all radios are CB and have not a clue about Ham Radio and its licensing requirements.

C. The diehard CB crowd does know the difference but likes to thumb its nose as an earlier postee had said and I was poking at his logic with my tongue in cheek.

D. No enforcement. Riley is a start but 95% has been HAM stuff and if you read the EB website stuff at fcc.gov you will see only a handful of cases. Heck most stuff is ignored and I know this just as well if not better than anyone. See Enforcement below.
E. Very little openess or downright hostility to "CB" type ops. Radio Hobbyists need to be encouraged, not turned off by hostility. A Han raving about "illegal amps" or "freeband" is usually right by it can turn off a person by making the person you are trying to raech very defensive. I do try to explain why certain things such as amps and freebanding are against the rules but leave the personal judgment out as not to turn off the person and make him or her hostile. We could (WE NEED TO) take a page from the CB ops "book" and try to to be more sociable.

2. We need to recruit in unlikely areas:

A. We need to recognize that people need communication.

B. We have a resource to fulfill their personal needs.

C. Once we recognize the first two statements, we need to make ourselves more visable among outdoor and sport enthusaists such as:

1. HUNTERS and OUTDOORS PEOPLE such as hikers, etc.
2. FISHERMAN and BOAT OWNERS

3. BOAT and MARINE SPORT TYPES (ie Sailboats, etc)
4. RVers are a plentiful source for new hams.
5. AARP members (most retired folks are inclined to like a second hobby like Ham Radio.)
6. TRUCKERS (yeah, I know what you think) But these guys and gals have lots of "spare time" if you can call sitting behind a wheel and staring out at the white line "spare time." Ham radio could give a new dimension to their world just as CB does and the question of whether "Business use" is included would have to be defined but a trucker asking for help for breakdown or dirctions over ham sounds like what was intended by "Emergency Communication" inorder to prevent an accident or mishap. Business Communication would have to visited but it can be taught.
7. Anybody else that needs communication (the list is not complete but fuel for thought.)

D. Keep Amateur Radio fresh and exciting for all interests in the hobby, not just DXers, CW, QRP or digital to name a few but to open up new worlds. Share our expertise and learnings with each other and further develop the self pride which comes with being a Amateur Radio Op without gloating or being a snob.

3. ENFORCEMENT

This one of the bright spots in Ham Radio but not without its problems:

1. Most violations so far are low hanging fruit, easy to document, investigate and tend to be open and shut cases. This has kept FCC resource involvement to a minimum and allowed for the most noticiable violations to come to the attention of the FCC via Riley. Our hats should be off to Riley since he other fish to fry at FCC and Amateur Radio is not considered high priority. We are starting to see a change. Thanks Riley.

2. CB on the other hand is such low priority that it doesn't make a blip on the regulatory radar screen. In my area, we had "SUPER KEYCLOWN" who wanted to run power and blacked out the cable for a mile around. One of the neighbors contacted the FCC to no avail since the FCC did send out a form letter to the offender but it was ignored. With no relief in sight, the neighbor got his lawyer on the case and started "sonmething" rolling. The lawyer contacted the offending party by lawsuit and got our offender's attention. The offender wrote to the court and stated he was a "HAM" and state court in Louisiana had no jurisdiction in this case. The Judge agreed since the FCC seemed to have jurisdiction in this matter and he threw it out and the neighbor was now back to square one. Only after Federal Political INVOLVEMENT did the FCC come down to check it out. The FCC guy wasn't happy since he thought 11 meter stuff was small potatos to him. A helluva long time and effort was spent on this character to restore peace to neighborhood. The FCC could have nipped this in the bud if more attention was paid to this part of enforcement.

A. Since CB enforcment is very limited or non-existant most people are inclined to believe the Federal Governemnt is not interested in strict enforcement of Part 95 which appears to be the case except for some noteworthy cases which are reported from time to time.

B. Without enforcement on a general scale, this perception takes hold among the general public. The main cause of the "no enforcement" policy perception is due to closing of some FCC ofices and downsizing along with "resource allocation" policies.

3. What is needed to change the perception on enforcement and would help in resotring some type of order to the radio spectrum:

A. Develop a plan which focuses on:

1. Devote more resources (MORE MONEY) to forming a Spectrum Task Force to get more into flagrant violations.
2. Set up checkpoints for illegal CBs and such at weigh stations and be consistant but not constant. Only a few hours, seize the illegal stuff and issue warnings/fines on the spot, then leave and repeat the process at another location/weigh station. If any BS, just seize the rig under Federal Law. (Called IN REM SEIZURE). Keep this up on steady basis and the illegal amp will disappear. BTW-get the DOT to make a part of their violation code also just like radar detectors and see what happens.
3. Make sure the trucking companies know the score, a fine for repeat customers.
4. Run down the highpower guys with the sophisticated equipment, make your dossier and keep score, bust when in town. Keep tabs on your local radio shops which cater to the outlaw crowd. If a local radio shop is caught selling verboten goods, pull the commercial tech licenses and all other radio licenses since is it in the Public Interest, Necessity, and convienence to have only the persons of the highest integrity workig and performing repairs on radio transmitters.
5. Develop the HR 2346 CB LAW into something workable for local jurisdictions plauged by problem children with proper guidelines and resources.

With consistent, constant and fair enforcement, the problem would not go away but would diminish.

3. This doesn't mean that the Amateur Radio doesn't need further sheparding, we need to develop better reporting and documentation procedures. The OO program needs strengthing and removal from ARRL politics, development of procedures to ensure the local FCC is not kept out to the loop. At present, everything passes by Newington before being forwarded to the FCC in Washington, DC. This delay can cause valuable time to be lost, especially when dealing with time sensitive cases. I knew of some 2 meter bootleggering incidents and the lack of attention let the chance to make an impact pass by before anybody could react.

FINAL NOTE:

The FCC seems to have put some enforcement on the back burner in some
areas and the limited resources simply are not there to help alleviate
the problems. The local FCC ofices must respond to their most pressing
cases and CB along with Amateur Radio rank low when compared to other
radio services and fuctions.

Sincerely
MARTIN N5PZJ

From 2734088631553982941
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:02:11 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:01:58 GMT
Message-ID: <3b24098c.94630084@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010608225607.13828.00000669@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010609063435.13401.00003080@ng-mn1.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.126
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.126
X-Trace: 10 Jun 2001 18:57:06 -0500, 64.24.18.126
Lines: 15
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23816

On 09 Jun 2001 10:34:35 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>
>
>It appears to me that Bruce answered your question. He
>couldn't resist taking a cheap shot at a nice guy like me in the process, but
>he answered your question.
>
Nobody can "answer" CecilQuestions" that don't agree with the
"correct" answer he already has . . .
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
w3rv

From 2472830478669043260

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:07:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.72!wnfilter2!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010610163819.08242.00001068@nso-mf.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Lines: 142
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <1ZTU6.75050$4f7.5810946@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:07:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.178.122
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 992218045 12.88.178.122 (Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:07:25 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:07:25 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23817

Whooops! Len, you got me there. I had not heard of the California ACS. It sounds like a GREAT idea. As to being prepared for an emergency, there is no better way to prepare than practice. Myself, I have been involved in two emergencies. I was in the Navy at the time. Once, they were shaking everyone out of the rack asking 'can you copy morse code?'. Yep. Seems there was an SOS on 500 KHz and only two of us could actually copy Morse (although you were supposed to copy 16 wpm to graduate from 'A' school). The other was when a typhoon took down the Coast Guard tower on Rota (a small island North of Guam). A chief petty officer and I made contact and when NavCommSta Guam receiver site had problems copying; KG6AAY was asked to pass traffic to ComNavMar directly. The old man himself (Captain DeLaney) appeared at the shack some hours later and secured the 3 operators from all other duties. The hams staffed the shack 24/7 until secured from duty by the Captain. Yep, been there, done that.

As far as grandfathering and never taking tests again. Nope, I let my tickets (1st phone, commercial telegraph, radar endorsement, amateur extra) expire around 1981. I decided to get 'em back (sans the telegraph, of course - no need) in 1993. I hadn't copied Morse since 1969. Hmmmm ... I hope you don't consider any of the tests difficult. While I agree that amateur radio is a hobby, somewhere in there should be public service; then again, most of us are plug-and-play these days. This is not meant as a slam to anyone - I sure wouldn't want to build a transceiver as good (and as cheap!) as the commercial rigs (the $1500 to $2000 range).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

ps - I really meant it when I said I like the California ACS idea. If a
group of different people pitched in during an emergency, it would really
take the wind out of a lot of flamers' sails.

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010610163819.08242.00001068@nso-mf.aol.com...
> In article <2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"JAMES
> HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >We have
> >enough flame wars going now - code vs no code, hams vs cbers, even old vs
> >young.
>
> This newsgroup was created to take the morse code testing issue
> away from rec.radio.amateur.miscellaneous. If a "flame war"
> erupts on the code test issue, then it is the fault of an individual
> who tosses lit personal matches...
>
> >I'll throw this out for grins, however. I've seen a lot of
> >suggestions as to how to test and what to test for (yeah, what is a real
> >ham?). One thing I have never seen is community service. Never.
>
> That subject has been broached in here before some time ago.
>
> Before going further, let's examine the test itself. An amateur
> radio license test is required by the FCC as part of its task of
> regulating US civil radio. Sub-Part 97.1 (a) makes a general
> statement about radio amateurs serving the public during
> emergencies. That is all well and good, but amateur radio is
> not defined as being an intrinsic part of emergency
> communications. Amateur radio is basically a hobby activity
> involving radio, licensing required because that activity emits
> radio energy.
>
> >Suppose
> >everyone started with a test on law, operating proceedure, and safety.
The
> >new novice. From there on, you have to EARN the progression.
>
> This is not a personal attack, but way too many get into the
> "EARN" mode in regards to a hobby. This is especially ture of
> the long-tenured radio amateur...who will never have to take
> another test in their lifetime (if they renew within time). It is way
> too easy to sit back and write "requirements" for newcomers to
> fit some personally-defined profile of what-is-good, but much,
> much harder to do so from the perspective of what the FCC
> requires as part of their regulatory task (the only reason for a
> license in the first place).

>
> >Maybe after a
> >year of operating in nets (RACES?) designed to provide communications in
an
> >emergency, you might progress to the next level (never missing more than
one
> >schedule).
>
> The California state Auxilliary Communications Service provides
> an opportunity for those willing to participate in community service
> and emergency communications. The ACS is an integrated
> organization, not amateur-only, and does not discriminate on
> license class or tenure in amateur communications.
>
> >Perhaps servicemen and women (and those former service folks
> >with honorable discharges) might get a bump.
>
> My military experience was 1952-1960, three years with a US
> Army station ADA. As with many ex-military-communicators,
> a "bump" would be relative. There is no "bump" in field radio
> while exposed to extreme elements, there is no "bump" in
> fixed station radio doing 12-hour shifts.
>
> >Actually participating in a
> >public service event might get you a bump. Actually working and
providing
> >communications during a real emergency?
>
> Does working communications during a major urban-area earthquake
> (with complete loss of electrical power for 10 million) constitute a
> "real emeregency?" Been there, done that, no "bump" whatsoever.
>
> Some in here have insisted that 17 Jan 94 earthquake in the Los
> Angeles area as "not a real emergency." Perhaps others can
> define "real emergencies?"   :-)
>
> >Probably a stupid idea, actually earning our privileges! How quaint!
>
> Community service is not a stupid idea. IMHO, having to achieve
> licensing and certification and whatever other geegaws go with
> such credentialism seems to be a stupid idea. Everyone's
> mileage will vary, of course.
>
> I rather like the California ACS idea, total integration of radio and
> landline for Real Emergencies, utilizing whatever is still operating
> by those who can operate. No class fights, no discrimination,
> no nonsense. Flexibility and adjustability to accommodate as
> many disaster situations as can be conceived...and do the
> training and regular drills for it rather than pointing to credentials
> awarded years back with no drills since that time.
>
> Real Emergencies can happen at any time or with only an hour
> or two warning (tornados in middle USA). Emergencies aren't

```
> limited to hurricanes that can be tracked for two days or rain
> storms tracked for 24 hours [the Houston, TX, deluge as a
> recent example].  "Real emergencies" on the amateur "news"
> sites seem limited to hurricanes and rain storms...
>
> One doesn't need certificates, credentials, and "earned" credit
> to prepare for emergencies.
>
> LHA




From 6749530588555635740
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:10:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:09:58 GMT
References: <3B23F2C3.258BF04F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610200958.10283.00002391@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23818

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Yes, he did, Ed, but he is too chicken-livered-yellow-bellyed to answer
>it 'yes' or 'no'. Cowards always are.

Most questions have better answers than yes or no. I had no trouble at all
understanding Bruce's answer.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 7928431334868610115
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:20:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
```

Date: 10 Jun 2001 17:20:28 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 77
Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106101620.60aefca9@posting.google.com>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futt8$nft$0@208.206.142.91> <55ae748b.0106100525.196ba5a8@posting.google.com>
<9fvvg6$rg2$0@208.206.142.90>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.26.206.10
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992218828 24637 127.0.0.1 (11 Jun 2001 00:20:28 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:20:28 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23819

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<9fvvg6$rg2$0@208.206.142.90>...
> Mark Little wrote:

> I suppose it's too much for you to grasp that the code test is only the
> beginning of one's training in radiotelegraphy. Yes, we know many of you
> will never again touch a code key and some even go through elaborate
> burial rites for their first and only key. Many others, however, having
> been "forced" by their desire for a HF ham license, to learn the code at
> effective speed have-in the past- also learned , while in the process,
> that radiotelegraphy is a unique skill that has attributes all its own
> and is well suited to radio amateur communications. Thus good CW
> operators are made, none are born, contrary to NCI dogma.

From a philosophical point of view, I don't adhere to this communist
notion that there is merit in forcing people to do something because
they will eventually learn to like it. Only people who are too lazy or
incompetent to shows the merits of the activity need to resort to
coersion. Ultimately such things cause more harm than good as more
people end up resenting it than are "converted".

This can be seen by the comparing the number of people who "hate"
Morse compared to those who "hate" any other mode. Guess which one is
hated the most and which one is mandated to get HF access? Of course,
it is absurd to hate a mode, but it does illustrate the problems
associated with using some form of compulsion in an attempt to modify
the beliefs of a person. It often backfires.

> > Interesting that you make no mention of you attempting to learn some
> > of their language, but I must say it doesn't suprise me. Common
> > courtesy obviously varies from place to place.
>
> So just which of the world's many languages should any amateur learn
> so as to satisfy your silly complaint?

ANY Amateur?? Now that is a bit vague. I can tell you what most people appreciate when they talk to people with another first language, though. It is considered good form to attempt to pick up a few words of their language as sign of respect.

Your attitude reminds me of when I was in the Lourve and this ghastly woman was loudly complaining to everyone with ear shot that the counter attendant didn't speak English (as if we cared). Finally I couldn't stand her grating squarks anymore and I tried to get it through her thick skull that the whole world didn't learn English just for her and if she made a small effort she could pick up enough French to make herself understood. For some reason, she seemed to think that they should learn English so she could be understood. Paradoxically, such people also invariably complain that "foreigners" visiting their own country should learn to speak English!

> > > It is not at all surprising that you would not know any of this. CW
> > > bashers never do.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk. You confuse challenging your "logic" with challenging the
> > mode itself. Although I personally don't like using Morse, I do my
> > best to encourage anyone who wants use it. It is absurd lengths that
> > some people to in an attempt to justify it as "special" that is worthy
> > of ridicule.
> >
>
> Yes we know, Mark, you've said that before, a number of times.

Which did you know? That I would try to help anyone who wants to learn Morse or that the attempted justification of Morse as "special" was absurd? Or both? ;-)

> We know
> that any logic other than 1100101010001 is beyond you. Sorry for
> upsetting your applecart again. Stuff happens.

Never mind, at least my logic is really logical and demonstrably so - the "universal logic". Just thought I might as well have to have something "universal" to match your "universal language" postulation. ;-)

Mark.

From 3473141744487998147
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:22:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:13:13 GMT
References: <3B23E487.114264B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610201313.10283.00002394@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23820

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>See the pattern? They attack and attack and attack!

Perhaps they believe that attack and insult is all they have left. I felt quite insulted by the innendo that because I work at ARRL HQ, I am not being honest in my posts here. I have to believe that these people have known me for years, so I cannot believe they really think that.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1028070384685426225
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:22:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:15:12 GMT
References: <3B23DC86.168B4529@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610201512.10283.00002395@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23821

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> ... and thoroughly give your mind over
> to the notion that *you* are going to become a proficient CW operator,
> you will achieve it with greater ease than you ever imagined.

Will that technique get me to 500 words per minute? Will it get you to 60 wpm "with greater ease than you ever imagined?" Of does that technique just happen to work to the level of proficiency YOU have achieved?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -7376890442798849l8
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:22:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:20:23 GMT
References: <3B23E916.66DD0285@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610202023.10283.00002396@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23822
```

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Dick Carroll wrote:

>> ... the abandonment of the test
>> in the general case will constitute the abandonment of radiotelegraphy
>> in total. In addition, the codehaters will in time demand conversion or
>> abandonment of the CW/data segments as voice mode congestion increases.

>Dick's been reading his Tarot cards again. Baskin Robins would not have
>been successful without a governmental requirement to force citizens
>to eat ice cream.

Now, Cecil, if every time one of us makes a statement of belief, others claim "Tarot cards," then perhaps none of us should be allowed to state an opinion. Clearly, in Dick's statement, "IMHO" was implied.

What he says has some merit. I am sure that fewer new hams will try CW if they are not tested for it. Unlike Dick, I do not believe that the number will be zero. And unlike Dick, i do not have to call everyone who does not prefer to operate code a "codehater." IMHO, the hate and discontent that is being spread by Dick in a misguided attempt to somehow "save" the mode is turning people away from it. I am pretty sure he realizes this, so I am quite at a loss to explain why he does it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5769612159882169780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:24:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:24:06 GMT
References: <20010610113309.19814.00002065@nso-fr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010610202406.10283.00002397@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23823

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>Compare that to
>having an additional minute or so AFTER the code has stopped to go back and
>fill in or correct one's copy. BIG difference.

I agree, and, I will add that in the good old days, it never occured to me to stop copying after 4 minutes and play Wheel of Fortune. :-)

>>The multiple guess tests are about to be history.

>Key words there are "about to be". And the multiple guess WRITTENS are still
>going to be with us...

Yes, they are, an in spite of the opinions of those that want the FCC to go back to secret question pools, they are here to stay. That leaves it up to the Amateur Radi o community to make the best of the pools to be a good test of the body of knowledge we want hams to have to be hams. Clearly, if I could enter ham radio after reading a 4-page study guide, a 350+ question pool to do the same should be able to be made equivalent.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 546707883445463886
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:36:41 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 48
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:35:35 GMT
References: <20010610113650.13867.00000804@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610203535.10283.00002401@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23824

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Ed being a "yes man" at ARRL HQ is certainly not an indication of
>>weak character on his part.

> And I never meany it to be a sign of Weak Character, what I was saying is he
>trys to make people beleive these are his true thoughts when we all know,
>that if he were to come out against ARRL, he wouldnt be would have some serious
>explaining to do.

I have been clear about it, Bruce, I will not use my position at ARRL to undermine its policies. But I have been equally clear that I am under no professional obligation to post one word here, so I only post what I personally believe to be true.

Now, as to "yes man," I will send it to my boss so he can get a good laugh. Mark and I have had many an "interesting discussion" and my "rep" at HQ is that of a sometimes very loose cannon. In my dealings with several Board committees, I have no trouble at all speaking my mind and I will gladly tell HQ and/or the Board when I think they are making a mistake.

> By the way since ED claims his Posts are his true fellings then why doesnt
>he put a disclaimer at the bottom of his Posts?.

The usenet convention is that all posts are the personal opinion of the poster, Bruce. Why do you feel that I, and only I, need a disclaimer? I think that most people have no difficulty determining the few instances when I am speaking here with some ARRL authority, such as if I discuss ARRL's policy with RFI, Product Review testing or the ARRL Lab. If you are ever confused, feel free to ask.

However, if it will make you feel better, I will put a disclaimer at the bottom of this post.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
"The opinions expressed in my posts do not necessarily represent ARRL policy,
but I can probably get in trouble for them anyway."

```
>
>
>
>
>
>



From -8591361417717152322
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:39:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:38:31 GMT
References: <20010610112735.13867.00000803@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610203831.10283.00002402@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23825

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)

>>Trick question!  The FCC didn't *make* money from the Restructuring
>>decision, but it sure did *save* a bunch!

>So true, but ED knew that he was just trying to be cute, and trying to hide
>whats really happening.

Not at all, Bruce. I agree with what Larry said that the FCC saved some small
amount of money by restructuring the Amateur Radio Service.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From -3159716961596724576
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:41:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
```

mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:40:34 GMT
References: <20010610111607.03759.00004804@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610204034.10283.00002404@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23826

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>My observation is that Dick doesn't merely knock down the NCTA's
>strawmen -- he rips them open and scatters them to the four winds!

So that is what "go soak your head" means, eh? I didn't exactly feel scattered, Larry. Petty annoyance was about all the effect it had on me. I am sure he had less effect with than one on others.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -9180870881526076198
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9cf5699a4b06d9b8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:44:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:43:58 GMT
References: <20010610104602.13925.00000897@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Working for ARRL
Message-ID: <20010610204358.10283.00002406@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23827

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Working for ARRL reminds me of a freind who use to work for an Oil
> Company, he was so un happy telling people, that when asked where he worked,
> he would always say that he was a Piano Player in a Whore House, do you get the
> picture ED.

Actually, no, I don't get the picture at all, Bruce. I have never told anyone that I am a piano player in a whore house. I have told people that I work at ARRL HQ and that I am happy doing the job I do. I couldn't imagine myself doing anything else. The personal satisfaction I have had leading ARRL's RFI efforts for the past 10 years has been undescribably rewarding.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -4835393513978421441
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 19:43:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 02:42:36 GMT
References: <yGdV6.10623$Zt6.4340880@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010611224236.29417.00000618@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23929

>If you don't like
>what he has in his bio don't read it.

He cant help himself, he has to read it, hes the typical Poltical correct Lib, who thinks he knows whats best for everyone

From 3542099851898433386
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 19:45:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!dagobah.blueriver.net!not-for-mail
From: "WW - KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@my-deja.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cecil's "Muiltitudes" chased away by Larry (Was: Re: Pendulum reverses)
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 21:04:28 -0500
Organization: http://www.qsl.net/kb9tmp

Lines: 53
Message-ID: <9g3u81$ung$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
References: <3B23E844.3E7CFEDC@IEEE.org> <20010610221923.03236.00001618@nso-fc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pm3-orl-01-38.blueriver.net
X-Trace: dagobah.blueriver.net 992312385 31472 209.43.67.54 (12 Jun 2001 02:19:45 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: news@dagobah.blueriver.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 12 Jun 2001 02:19:45 GMT
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23930

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010610221923.03236.00001618@nso-fc.aol.com...
> In article <3B23E844.3E7CFEDC@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >> Hmmm. I wonder if the dozens of hams for whom I've provided their
> >> first and/or only Delaware contacts on CW, RTTY, and PSK31 would
> >> agree with you?
> >
> >Probably not, Larry, but the multitudes of potential hams that you
> >have chased away from ham radio world-wide no doubt would agree.
>
> Cecil:
>
> Hmmm. Interesting premise. Let's give this a try: Anyone out there,
> anywhere in the world -- if you were "chased away" from ham radio
> due to something I said, over the years, please post a brief message
> to this thread so stating that fact. If I get 10 responses, I will gladly
> admit that Cecil is right. If I even get 2 - 3 responses, I will at least
> admit that there is a possibility that he is right. However, he claims
> I've "chased away" multitudes of hams, all over the world -- so if he
> really *is* right about that, then this thread should suddenly be flooded
> with postings.
>
> OK, Cecil -- it's now up to your "multitudes." It's put up or shut up
> time.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

By my news reader I'm number 2 to respond. You haven't chased
me away because I guess I'm to stupid to run off from somebody
as narrow minded, as you "pretend" to be. BUT you haven't made
me want to upgrade my license and share bandwidth with you!

I left CB (childrens band) to get away from the pain in the***
know-it-all windbags, not to jump into a "higher tech" version of the same.
But once in a while you post something that makes a lot of sense so
you can't be ALL BAD! ;)
--

'73' From KB9TMP

"Only two things are infinite,
the universe and human stupidity,
and I'm not sure about the former."
-- Albert Einstein

Sent via the LATE Deja.com
http://www.deja.com R.I.P.

From 5281154098941026968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 19:47:31 PST
Message-ID: <3B258253.1A471C3D@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 21:45:39 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <tiac9s8ud7s838@corp.supernews.com>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.77
X-Trace: corp 992313534 64.240.47.77 (11 Jun 2001 21:38:54 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23931

jimmy john wrote:

> People who opposed capital punishment should be more opposed to capital
> crime. If people would stop murdering people, people would stop being
> sentenced to die.

What I like about the death penalty is that it deters the recipient
from being a repeat offender.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -9138063534547541716
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 19:55:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 21:53:41 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 75
Message-ID: <3B258435.6247@mobiletel.com>
References: <tia5ph20glhidd@corp.supernews.com> <20010611210215.02681.00003421@ng-fa1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun10-port491.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 992314402 11358 206.251.168.237 (12 Jun 2001 02:53:22 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 02:53:22 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone (Win95; I)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23932

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >When you have magazines like
> >"Popular Communications" that featured an article about a CB Radio
> >"Keydown", CBers running huge mobile amplifiers, without a single word of
> >condemnation would seem to signal it's OK to thumb your nose at the FCC and
> >Part 95.
>
> If I may play Devil's advocate at this point. After all, there has been mention
> of the "demonization" of CBers in this thread. The reason that 11 meter
> (including freeband) activity is receiving more coverage in the aforementioned

> periodical (as well as CQ Amateur Radio...gotta pay close attention to the fine
> print, Leland) is because the 11 meter ops of the mid 80s (freebanders VERY
> much included) have since settled down, grown up, are earning a decent living,
> and are, quite frankly, PAYING THE BILLS! That's right, it's there because the
> subscribing "constituency" has demanded it's inclusion. Much in the same way
> that they "demand" that "export" and easily modifiable rigs be available. Money
> "pays the bills" and bull$**t walks.
>
> >As far as I can see there was no social responsibility shown by the
> >after mention magazine, and particularly the author of the article. This is
> >just one example of what, how, and where things must change.
>
> Social responsibility?...While I commend your taking the "high" road, I must
> remind you that the word "social" is derived from "society." Why Leland, things
> ARE changing. That particular publication is responding to it's responsibilty
> to the segment of "society" that "pays the bills." Want to change that?
> Encourage more hams to subscribe and then they can "demand" a change in the
> editorial style and format. It's much the same with the idea of "11 meter
> enthusiasts" joining The ARRL. Complain and quit the league? Great, make room
> for another 11 meter voice. Admonish Pop'Comm for catering to their subscribers
> without subscribing yourself?... great, then the "freebanders" really do call
> the shots. Why?!...ready...that's right...THEY'RE PAYING THE BILLS!!!
>
> >While the total
> >elimination of any illegal activity can't be done it can be greatly reduced.
>
> To steal a quote from Robert Deniro..."If you were gonna do it, you'd have done
> it already!" Like I said Leland, take your best shot. If you can make a real
> dent, I'll be the first to salute you. Just don't end up like W7SNS and end up
> wasting perfectly good time that you could've been otherwise enjoying. Unless,
> that is, you plan on similarly turning it into a sub-hobby onto itself.
>
> >That can only happen if the attitude of the people can be changed, and the
> >illegals find themselves in a tiny minority. The resulting tiny minority of
> >illegal CB operators would then be a good target for the FCC to focus their
> >enforcement efforts on.
>
> Quite the opposite has occurred. The ex-illegal ops (as well as some still
> active "freebanders") have migrated into amateur radio. I was involved in a
> thread on www.eham.net not too long ago. (as a matter of fact, it was Mark's
> proposal) After the initial barrage of anti-CB rhetoric, more than a few hams
> actually came to Mark's defense...openly admitting "freebanding" in the past.
> Some of the "old guard" actually commented that they never thought they'd hear
> the day when licensed amateurs would admit to "freebanding." (as if it wasn't
> always happening...ding-a-ling-a-ling, the alarm clock's sounding; Time to wake
> up!) Some even suggested that Riley should "retro-punish" these hams, based on
> their open admissions. You're right, Leland. Attitudes have changed, just not
> the way you'd like. It's not Kansas anymore and presently the only "good target
> for the FCC to focus their enforcement efforts on" is STILL licensed amateurs.
> You think this is happening now, just wait till' they completely drop the code
> as a requirement for HF access, possibly as soon as 2003. TODAY will be "the
> good old days." Take it easy Leland.
>

> 73 de Bert
> KC2HMN
>
> Saw this on a bumper sticker in suburban Long Island, NY.
>
> "I Work 11 Meter DX...& I VOTE!"

This post is :
Pretty close to the truth.
73 de Martin N5PZJ

From -4306652418452117733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 20:05:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 03:04:48 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010611230448.29459.00000478@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23933

Just checked QRZ, Bios still there, what really amazed me was there are 104 Lookups, last time I checked was 1or 2 Years ago, then it was only 20 or 30, I cant understand why so many, I dont get QSL Cards, why heck I dont even send QSL Cards.
I guess QRZ is trying to figure the Odds on someone reading my Bios, I guess there most be Hundreds of thousands of them, so I guess what Stevie figures is when a new Prospect is thinking about become a Ham, they will just Automatically go directly to my call read my Bios, and say since Bruce said that he most be the only real Ham with any real Wisdom, and will just drop my interest in Ham Radio. Yea right, I wonder what the Odds are on this Example, I would figure but I have no Idea what the Total Data Base is.
Now Stevie see how silly your whole premise was.

From -8700410637449219785
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 20:14:02 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!207.14.113.10!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 12 Jun 2001 03:14:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <9g41dp$71h$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net> <20010609223638.05187.00001054@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B23DC86.168B4529@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23934

CAM wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > ... and thoroughly give your mind over
> > to the notion that *you* are going to become a proficient CW operator,
> > you will achieve it with greater ease than you ever imagined.
>
> I can't help but point out that my Southern Baptist preacher used
> virtually identical words about "being saved" this morning.

?? Just what sort of incompetent Southern Baptist preacher you have
there, anyway? Or you just grasping at anything you can use to slam
Larry as usual?
That sort of admonition is used all the time, in about any setting where
it takes determination and dedication to the task before you. That you
would stoop to attaching a religious connotation to it is most
revealing, and of course you set it up for the rest of your abominable
diatribe....

You
> continue to appear to be a Morse code cultist, Larry.

Get this through your granite skull-- THERE IS NO SUCH THING except
within your increasingly feeble mind. Morse code is an acqurire skill
that demands from most people, a concentration of mental assets to
accomplish - so much so that the socalled hams who wail about even a 5
words per minute test claim even that is some sort of extreme burden.

From -7968889588560383593

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 20:18:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 12 Jun 2001 03:18:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9g41m1$71b$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <9g2mvf$hqr$0@208.206.142.68> <20010611191430.09730.00003561@ng-
cs1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23935
```

W1RFI wrote:

>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> "There comes a time when organizations forget about their purpose
> >> and begin to focus on maintaining the organization." There are times when I
> >> think the ARRL has reached that point.
>
> >Yup. Been over the top for sometime.
>
> What percentage of ARRL's resources do you believe devoted to maintaining the
> organization and what percentage of its resources do you believe are devoted to
> Amateur Radio?

Dunno, but there is no doubt about where their priorities are.

```
From -5498476172343609357
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 20:22:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 12 Jun 2001 03:22:53 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9g41ud$83l$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <3B23FA4B.79EC9FC1@IEEE.org> <20010611103924.11416.00004412@ng-mp1.aol.com> <3B258026.8A6E11AF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23936

CAM wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > For the same reasons that slavery was "acceptable" for 200 years in the
> > United States, but now it is not...because accepting something that is NOT
> > right, regardless of how long tenured it is does not MAKE it right.
>
> Steve, now you know exactly how I feel about the drug laws and the
> war on drugs.

Really cramps your style, does it?

From 5785636193484231541
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 20:32:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gesjxd1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com> <393F8EE6.3FC2479E@home.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com>
<ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com> <3b21325e$1@news2.mibx.net>
<3B217F12.2EF2@mobiletel.com> <zdDU6.21967$s12.601090@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<3B240995.6EC5@mobiletel.com> <tia5ph20glhidd@corp.supernews.com>
<3B257D2C.2C39@mobiletel.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Lines: 72
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 03:32:17 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 992316737 63.178.69.163 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001 03:32:17 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 03:32:17 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23937

On Mon, 11 Jun 2001 21:34:01 -0500, Martin wrote:

>Setup a checkpoint somewhere in the Mid-West or Great Plains.

Twenty years ago we used to set up checkpoints at the state highway weigh stations and it was like shooting fish in a barrel for issuing NALs.

>FCC finds a driver working for a major line with his soupped up 2950
>sans an AMATEUR RADIO LICENSE and he immediately telephones the US
>FEDERAL ATTORNEY for the State of XXXXXXX to cause to be issued a
>Warrant for the Arrest of the Truck and its Cargo along with all radio
>equipment located thereon.

Dream on, my friend. The fastest that we were ever able to get an In Rem Seizure Order was four hours, and that was a situation where we were getting pressure from the FBI and the FAA because there was actual interference to air traffic communications and I typed the affidavit myself on the U S Attorney's secretary's typewriter and we walked it through the process.

The paperwork is hardly trivial, because it involves a detailed and ACCURATE affidavit from the agent, a seizure order from the Clerk of the Federal District Court, and a Search Warrant from the District Court Judge and then getting the U S Marshal's office to assign a pair of Deputy Marshals to the seizure. (They are as understaffed as the FCC is.)

Telephonic warrants for this type of offense are not issued (except on television).

The usual turn-around time for in rem seizure actions was three days, and that was when the paralegal-in-charge at the Justice Department would accept my completed paperwork with no question or review and we walked it through on the last day.

>The driver has just earned a $10,000.00 NAL to boot. Since the truck
>is now IN REM SEIZED,

The statute authorizing seizure and forfeiture of proscribed radio equipment does not include seizure or forfeiture of the vehicles or structures where the equipment is found. It's hard enough to get most judges to issue the necessary straight seizure warrants - drugs this isn't, my friend.

The trucking industry is dead set against any enforcement action against their trucks and had enough muscle to make sure that the recent legislation giving local governments limited enforcement power was crippled with respect to over-the-road trucking.

>A smooth legal trick would be have the US ATTORNEY for the STATE of
>XXXXXXXXX to enter a deferred consent agreement if this matter does
>not happen agian with this truck line, its employees or contractors.

Dream on, again. The US Attorneys with which we dealt in three states (four Federal Court Districts) were totally uninterested in doing this, and they are the ones who get to pick and choose. Our colleagues across the country had similar experiences, even where the US Attorney was a college classmate of the District Office chief.

When I signed on with the Feds in the 1960s I thought that we could do such things. Boy, was I surprised when reality reared its ugly head.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Retired and loving every minute of it....
Work was getting in the way of my hobbies

From -7053377737080131461
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 20:34:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B257FA3.86D485D5@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <9g2mvf$hqr$0@208.206.142.68> <20010611191430.09730.00003561@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 03:34:14 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.100
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992316854 63.17.223.100 (Mon, 11 Jun 2001 20:34:14 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 20:34:14 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 20:32:13 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23938

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> "There comes a time when organizations forget about their purpose
> >> and begin to focus on maintaining the organization." There are times when I
> >> think the ARRL has reached that point.
>
> >Yup. Been over the top for sometime.
>
> What percentage of ARRL's resources do you believe devoted to maintaining the
> organization and what percentage of its resources do you believe are devoted to
> Amateur Radio?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Dunno, Ed. Suppose you tell us since you're closer to the action.
After salaries, travel, utilities, maintainance of the facility,
insurance and the like, what is devoted to amateur radio? I know there
are far more people working at HQ than in the past and the Washington
lobby has to be maintained. Surely salaries have to be better than they
were when I turned down a whopping $7500 in '75. Have any figures?

Dave K8MN

From 1860764292672286339
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 20:36:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B258010.B5640F9D@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B24C2C2.DC6BC59A@earthlink.net> <20010611191152.09730.00003560@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 21
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 03:36:04 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.223.100
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992316964 63.17.223.100 (Mon, 11 Jun 2001 20:36:04 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 20:36:04 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 20:34:02 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23939

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >Apparently the board is hoping to take its cue from the political animals in
> >Washington by use of an inordinate amount of mumbo-jumbo.
>
> To me, it is a lot more apparent that the League believes it can better plan a
> position wrt Morse code testing after the international issues are settled when
> it knows exactly how those international issues will be settled and what rules
> changes are actually put on the plate.

But you'll agree that there has certainly been a position shift by the BOD?

> The closest I think they will come to a balancing act is that I can't imagine
> that ARRL will initiate the request for rulemaking. :-)

I think you are quite correct.

Dave K8MN

From 4386100810317607774
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 20:38:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: 12 Jun 2001 03:38:31 GMT
Organization: hardly any

Lines: 84
Message-ID: <9g42rn$bhu$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <tia5ph20glhidd@corp.supernews.com> <20010611210215.02681.00003421@ng-fa1.news.cs.com> <3B258435.6247@mobiletel.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23940

Martin wrote:
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
> >
> > >When you have magazines like
> > >"Popular Communications" that featured an article about a CB Radio
> > >"Keydown", CBers running huge mobile amplifiers, without a single word of
> > >condemnation would seem to signal it's OK to thumb your nose at the FCC and
> > >Part 95.
> >
> > If I may play Devil's advocate at this point. After all, there has been mention
> > of the "demonization" of CBers in this thread. The reason that 11 meter
> > (including freeband) activity is receiving more coverage in the aforementioned
> > periodical (as well as CQ Amateur Radio...gotta pay close attention to the fine
> > print, Leland) is because the 11 meter ops of the mid 80s (freebanders VERY
> > much included) have since settled down, grown up, are earning a decent living,
> > and are, quite frankly, PAYING THE BILLS! That's right, it's there because the
> > subscribing "constituency" has demanded it's inclusion. Much in the same way
> > that they "demand" that "export" and easily modifiable rigs be available. Money
> > "pays the bills" and bull$**t walks.
> >
> > >As far as I can see there was no social responsibility shown by the
> > >after mention magazine, and particularly the author of the article. This is
> > >just one example of what, how, and where things must change.
> >
> > Social responsibility?...While I commend your taking the "high" road, I must
> > remind you that the word "social" is derived from "society." Why Leland, things
> > ARE changing. That particular publication is responding to it's responsibilty
> > to the segment of "society" that "pays the bills." Want to change that?
> > Encourage more hams to subscribe and then they can "demand" a change in the
> > editorial style and format. It's much the same with the idea of "11 meter
> > enthusiasts" joining The ARRL. Complain and quit the league? Great, make room
> > for another 11 meter voice. Admonish Pop'Comm for catering to their subscribers
> > without subscribing yourself?... great, then the "freebanders" really do call

> > the shots. Why?!...ready...that's right...THEY'RE PAYING THE BILLS!!!
> >
> > >While the total
> > >elimination of any illegal activity can't be done it can be greatly reduced.
> >
> > To steal a quote from Robert Deniro..."If you were gonna do it, you'd have
done
> > it already!" Like I said Leland, take your best shot. If you can make a real
> > dent, I'll be the first to salute you. Just don't end up like W7SNS and end up
> > wasting perfectly good time that you could've been otherwise enjoying. Unless,
> > that is, you plan on similarly turning it into a sub-hobby onto itself.
> >
> > >That can only happen if the attitude of the people can be changed, and the
> > >illegals find themselves in a tiny minority. The resulting tiny minority of
> > >illegal CB operators would then be a good target for the FCC to focus their
> > >enforcement efforts on.
> >
> > Quite the opposite has occurred. The ex-illegal ops (as well as some still
> > active "freebanders") have migrated into amateur radio. I was involved in a
> > thread on www.eham.net not too long ago. (as a matter of fact, it was Mark's
> > proposal) After the initial barrage of anti-CB rhetoric, more than a few hams
> > actually came to Mark's defense...openly admitting "freebanding" in the past.
> > Some of the "old guard" actually commented that they never thought they'd hear
> > the day when licensed amateurs would admit to "freebanding." (as if it wasn't
> > always happening...ding-a-ling-a-ling, the alarm clock's sounding; Time to
wake
> > up!) Some even suggested that Riley should "retro-punish" these hams, based on
> > their open admissions. You're right, Leland. Attitudes have changed, just not
> > the way you'd like. It's not Kansas anymore and presently the only "good
target
> > for the FCC to focus their enforcement efforts on" is STILL licensed amateurs.
> > You think this is happening now, just wait till' they completely drop the code
> > as a requirement for HF access, possibly as soon as 2003. TODAY will be "the
> > good old days." Take it easy Leland.
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > KC2HMN
> >
> > Saw this on a bumper sticker in suburban Long Island, NY.
> >
> > "I Work 11 Meter DX...& I VOTE!"
>
> This post is :
> Pretty close to the truth.
> 73 de Martin N5PZJ

Yes indeed it surely is. And you will see not a word posted in response
by W1RFI nor W6RCA. Both will ugnore it and still slam me as some sort
of liar or dreamer for even suggesting such a thing.

```
From 4132359414469847902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 21:15:04 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 04:09:00 GMT
Message-ID: <3b259419.5948168@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b24f5eb.155145075@news2.dvol.com>
<20010611162134.22760.00000642@nso-mk.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.120
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.240.120
X-Trace: 11 Jun 2001 23:04:07 -0500, 64.24.240.120
Lines: 69
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!
news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23941

On 11 Jun 2001 20:21:34 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>>>
>>Bullshit once more: If the FCC hadn't taken 11m away from ham radio
>>and handed it to the Class D CBers hams wouldn't have given a patoo
>>about it. Like hams didn't give a patoo about the establishment of the
>>462mHz CB band.
>
>Class A and B CB (the UHF CB bands) were created in 1958 before
>the class C and D HF bands were added.
>
A & B usually do come before C don't they? . . . duh . .
>
>From Kelly's vast life experience in the amateur radio of 1958-1959
>he should be able to pull out more definitive "patoos" by "hams" of
>that era...and reference them somehow.  Where was all the
>experimentation and such on UHF in 1958-1959?  Using 6J6 dual
>triod oscillators with Lecher Line tuning?   :-)
>
What the hell does THAT have to do with this thread?? Or anything
else? And no, they used reversed-biased push-pull 5U4GBs with
slider-tuned filaments.
>
>From my 1958-1959 perspective and memory, there were 11
>then-long-tenured hams of my acquaintence (I worked for two
>of them then)
>
No surprise that you worked for them instead of them working for you.
Speaks volumes.
```

```
>
>who were adamantly opposed to the whole idea
>on the basis of (1) License testing lack; (2) Morse code testing
>lack; (3) "Stealing" a "sacred" ham band and "giving it away."
>All eleven had one to all of those reasons.
>
Yeah, right. I was there. So?
>
>>More bullshit. The "bigotry" has nothing to do with "history", has
>>everything to do with being able to cruise 27 mHz right now this
>>afternoon to witness the abhorrent behavior on that band.
>
>The discussion on CB started with its creation in 1959, not about
>"today."
>
See previous re: Sherlock. If the CBers hadn't lived up to the
expectations of the 1959 hams their "discussions" would be long
forgotten and not a topic today.
>
>If such activity is so abhorrent to you, borrow a tape recorder and
>record the "abhorrent" communications for submittal to the FCC.
>See the FCC website Enforcement Bureau pages for submittal
>procedure and requirements.
>
I could dump their crap right into my hard drive and e-mail it to
Riley if I could be even remotely bothered with any such nonsense.
>
It's Riley's problem, he's the enforcer and he has radios and tape
decks out his wazoo, I am not an enforcer, not my problem unless they
interfere with my comms which they do not. What you are "suggesting"
here is akin to some FM broadcaster bitching on the behavior on the
taxicab dispatch freqs. Makes huge "LennySense" as usual.
>
>Good luck on this now...
>
As if I need luck anywhere around you.
>
>LHA
>



From -8867248267340442555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 21:15:48 PST
Message-ID: <3B259705.8549D5C2@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 23:13:57 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net> <20010609223638.05187.00001054@nso-cg.aol.com> <3B23DC86.168B4529@IEEE.org> <9g41dp$71h$0@208.207.71.174>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 992318834 64.240.47.79 (11 Jun 2001 23:07:14 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23942

Dick Carroll wrote:
> That you
> would stoop to attaching a religious connotation to it is most
> revealing, ...

"Giving one's mind over" to anything is bad, Dick, whether it's
religion or Morse code. Are you in the habit of "giving your mind
over" to other people? Since you seem to admire "giving your mind
over", how about "giving your mind over" to the NCTA?

> > You continue to appear to be a Morse code cultist, Larry.
>
> Get this through your granite skull-- THERE IS NO SUCH THING ...

No such thing as a Morse Code Cult? Shirley, you jest. You, Larry,
and others are prima facie evidence that a Morse Code Cult exists.
If we only "give our collective minds" over to Morse code, it will
save the world.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -8612096376134995232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 21:19:10 PST
Message-ID: <3B2597CB.B0F55C09@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 23:17:15 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
References: <tia5ph20glhidd@corp.supernews.com> <20010611210215.02681.00003421@ng-fa1.news.cs.com> <3B258435.6247@mobiletel.com> <9g42rn$bhu$0@208.207.71.174>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 992319031 64.240.47.79 (11 Jun 2001 23:10:31 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23943

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Yes indeed it surely is. And you will see not a word posted in response
> by W1RFI nor W6RCA. Both will ugnore it ...

Don't know about Ed, Dick, but the posting was simply too long for
me to take the time to read.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -2239276933744509970
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 21:21:51 PST
Message-ID: <3B259865.2409BEC6@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 23:19:49 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B23FA4B.79EC9FC1@IEEE.org> <20010611103924.11416.00004412@ng-mp1.aol.com> <3B258026.8A6E11AF@IEEE.org> <9g41ud$83l$0@208.207.71.174>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.79
X-Trace: corp 992319184 64.240.47.79 (11 Jun 2001 23:13:04 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newsxfer.interpacket.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23944

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > Steve, now you know exactly how I feel about the drug laws and the
> > war on drugs.
>
> Really cramps your style, does it?

My style is cramped by living in a dry county. I'm out of Scotch.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -649425167892224618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 21:39:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Date: 12 Jun 2001 04:39:17 GMT

```
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9g46dl$la5$0@208.207.71.174>
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <nQcV6.89727$Be4.29178785@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23945



"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> I find it amusing when some nut bag brings up stuff like timmy boy or some
> wanna be millitia bs that other nut bags who sit dormant in the ng's
> otherwise pop up.  Do you guys hide in the annals of usenet waitting for a
> signal from your nut bag leaders to start popping up or ?
>
> Why not the lot of you go to
> alt.i.spent.my.high.school.years.with.me.daddies.withered.cock.in.my.ass or
> your other fave
> alt.there.is.nothing.finer.than.fucking.my.teen.sis.on.a.hot.alabama.day ???
> and stay the hell away from those of us who want to have rational
> conversation?
>


 That's rational conversation??


From -6105564768595663747
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 21:45:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!newshub.sdsu.edu!
newspeer.cts.com!newsxfer.interpacket.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!in.nntp.be!easynews!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined)
Date: 12 Jun 2001 04:44:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9g46ob$la5$1@208.207.71.174>
References: <2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010610163819.08242.00001068@nso-mf.aol.com> <1ZTU6.75050$4f7.5810946@bgtnsc06-
news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
```

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.174
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23946

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>
> Whooops! Len, you got me there. I had not heard of the California ACS. It
> sounds like a GREAT idea.

Why, that's odd. Not that long ago Lennie the Limp Noodle was holding forth about how well the state's pubsafety communicators were prepared and equipped to handle anything up to and including the "Big One". He must have been doing a laittle surfing and stumbled onto a small dose of reality.

From -8878984392555167810
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 22:15:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 01:17:02 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <3B25A5A0.448641FF@sccoast.net>
References: <tia5ph20glhidd@corp.supernews.com> <20010611210215.02681.00003421@ng-fa1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-119.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992322947 161583 206.74.49.119 (12 Jun 2001 05:15:47 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news3.infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 05:15:47 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23947

```
Bert Craig wrote:
>
> [snip] The reason that 11 meter (including
> freeband) activity is receiving more coverage
> in the aforementioned periodical [snip] is
> because the 11 meter ops of the mid 80s
> (freebanders VERY much included) have since
> settled down, grown up, are earning a decent
> living, and are, quite frankly, PAYING THE
> BILLS! That's right, it's there because the
> subscribing "constituency" has demanded
> it's inclusion.  [snip]


  Popular Communications has always catered to the sleazy side of
communications ("survival" communications, "pirate" broadcasters,
etc), even way back in the mid 80's before the CB'ers you describe
grew up.  Therefore, their support of "freebanders" today is nothing
more than a continuation of that magazine's existing policies - not a
money issue alone.  In fact, if money alone talked at Popular
Communications, I seriously doubt they would carry any of that junk -
they are not exactly a best selling magazine with it's inclusion.
Instead, they seem to have found a niche market that interests them
and that brings in enough money to keep them satisfied.


> [snip] The ex-illegal ops (as well as some
> still active "freebanders") have migrated into
> amateur radio.  [snip]


  I haven't seen any great rush in that direction.  Most of the
"freebanders" I've seen are staying right there on 11 meters.
Instead, of the ex-CB'ers I've seen that have migrated to ham radio,
most have done so because of an aversion to the garbage in the 11
meter band (including the illegal activities of the "freebanders").
And, of those very few new hams who did "dabble" at "freebanding" in
the past, most joined the ham ranks exactly because they rejected that
illegal lifestyle after trying it.  In other words, very few of these
people are a threat to ham radio.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/


From 4289526751169083300
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 22:33:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
```

```
canoe.uoregon.edu!arclight.uoregon.edu!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 01:34:45 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 17
Message-ID: <3B25A9C1.92F9E6B2@sccoast.net>
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <nQcV6.89727$Be4.29178785@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-119.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992324008 162513 206.74.49.119 (12 Jun 2001 05:33:28
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news3.infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 05:33:28 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23948

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> Why not the lot of you go to [snip]
> and stay the [snip] away from those of
> us who want to have rational
> conversation?


  A rational conversation?  Is that what you call the crap you posted?
 I'm no fan of McViegh, but I found Josh's and Lloyd's comments about
McViegh far less offensive than your garbage.  If that is the best
that you can do, why don't you take your own advice and go away.


Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/



From -1830205732942120429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 22:36:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
```

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 05:36:30 GMT
References: <9g46ob$la5$1@208.207.71.174>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010612013630.04748.00000054@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23949

In article <9g46ob$la5$1@208.207.71.174>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>>
>> Whooops! Len, you got me there. I had not heard of the California ACS. It
>> sounds like a GREAT idea.
>
> Why, that's odd. Not that long ago Lennie the Limp Noodle was holding
>forth about how well the state's pubsafety communicators were prepared
>and equipped to handle anything up to and including the "Big One". He
>must have been doing a laittle surfing and stumbled onto a small dose of
>reality.

I've not done any "laittle surfing" since I don't have a "laittle." :-)

The California Office of Emergency Services is prepared for a lot
of emergencies besides earthquakes. Carroll should educate
himself a bit more instead of living confined in Misery.

Oh, and while a few of us in here were talking about experiencing
an earthquake first-hand, Carroll tried to impress us with his
knowledge of earthquakes gleaned only from books. I was
impressed. About his reading books.

LHA

CW gets through but it sure can't get through without any power.

From 9150659958389234816
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 22:36:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 104
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 05:36:32 GMT
References: <3b259419.5948168@news2.dvol.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010612013632.04748.00000055@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23950

In article <3b259419.5948168@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 11 Jun 2001 20:21:34 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>>Bullshit once more: If the FCC hadn't taken 11m away from ham radio
>>>and handed it to the Class D CBers hams wouldn't have given a patoo
>>>about it. Like hams didn't give a patoo about the establishment of the
>>>462mHz CB band.
>>
>>Class A and B CB (the UHF CB bands) were created in 1958 before
>>the class C and D HF bands were added.
>>
>A & B usually do come before C don't they? . . . duh . .

Yes, about a year before. Gosh, old-timer, I thought you knew
all about 1950s radio. :-)

>>From Kelly's vast life experience in the amateur radio of 1958-1959
>>he should be able to pull out more definitive "patoos" by "hams" of
>>that era...and reference them somehow. Where was all the
>>experimentation and such on UHF in 1958-1959? Using 6J6 dual
>>triod oscillators with Lecher Line tuning?   :-)
>>
>What the hell does THAT have to do with this thread?? Or anything
>else? And no, they used reversed-biased push-pull 5U4GBs with
>slider-tuned filaments.

Ahem...don't try a technical snow job here. A 5U4 is a moderate-
current dual diode vacuum tube used as a rectifier. It can't be
"biased." Neither is there anything such as "slider-tuned filaments."

You might recheck suffix letters for tubes...such as the "GB"
version of a 5U4. 5Y3, yes. :-)

>>From my 1958-1959 perspective and memory, there were 11
>>then-long-tenured hams of my acquaintence (I worked for two
>>of them then)
>>
>No surprise that you worked for them instead of them working for you.
>Speaks volumes.

I think you have your sweaty fingers on the wrong volume control.
:-)

Ahem...look again at the years and compute age.

>>who were adamantly opposed to the whole idea
>>on the basis of (1) License testing lack; (2) Morse code testing
>>lack; (3) "Stealing" a "sacred" ham band and "giving it away."
>>All eleven had one to all of those reasons.
>>
>Yeah, right. I was there. So?

You were not a long-tenured ham then, senior.

>>>More bullshit. The "bigotry" has nothing to do with "history", has
>>>everything to do with being able to cruise 27 mHz right now this
>>>afternoon to witness the abhorrent behavior on that band.
>>
>>The discussion on CB started with its creation in 1959, not about
>>"today."
>>
>See previous re: Sherlock. If the CBers hadn't lived up to the
>expectations of the 1959 hams their "discussions" would be long
>forgotten and not a topic today.

CB was hated by most of the long-tenured hams at the beginning
and just about every year afterwards for 43 years. One doesn't
need to be Holmes-less to experience how it was all throughout
the CB (HF classes) history.

>>If such activity is so abhorrent to you, borrow a tape recorder and
>>record the "abhorrent" communications for submittal to the FCC.
>>See the FCC website Enforcement Bureau pages for submittal
>>procedure and requirements.
>>
>I could dump their crap right into my hard drive and e-mail it to
>Riley if I could be even remotely bothered with any such nonsense.

The FCC doesn't want e-mail dumps so much as recordings
of activity. Try to check out the FCC's Enforcement Bureau
page. It will tell you what form and format the FCC wants.

>It's Riley's problem, he's the enforcer and he has radios and tape
>decks out his wazoo, I am not an enforcer, not my problem unless they
>interfere with my comms which they do not. What you are "suggesting"
>here is akin to some FM broadcaster bitching on the behavior on the
>taxicab dispatch freqs. Makes huge "LennySense" as usual.

Translation: Kelly ain't no snitch. :-)

You can translate the CB hatred into something positive and
report infractions. Or you can just continue to mouth off about
it in here without saying anything or mailing anything to the FCC.

Kelly has a choice. Report infractions and crow about it or
button it up and whine, whine, whine.

Oh, and good luck on "biasing" those 5U4s now.   :-)

LHA

From -5903787512804887232
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 22:52:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 63
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 05:52:30 GMT
References: <3B25A5A0.448641FF@sccoast.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Message-ID: <20010612015230.02345.00001216@ng-cm1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23951

Hi Dwight,

>Popular Communications has always catered to the sleazy side of
>communications ("survival" communications, "pirate" broadcasters,
>etc), even way back in the mid 80's before the CB'ers you describe
>grew up.

Agreed, but for some reason I never read a bad word directed at them for their coverage of pirate "broadcast" radio. I've always found that somewhat odd.

>Therefore, their support of "freebanders" today is nothing
>more than a continuation of that magazine's existing policies - not a
>money issue alone.

I'd agree with you, however, during that time period I scoured that magazine for even the most fleeting reference to "alternative" 11 meter operations and trust me...they turned a blind eye toward it. It wasn't until approx. 3 yrs. ago that they started to, at least, provide a little "investigative" reporting re. the subject sans "judiciary robes." They do issue warning re. the consequences though.

>In fact, if money alone talked at Popular
>Communications, I seriously doubt they would carry any of that junk -
>they are not exactly a best selling magazine with it's inclusion.

They were NEVER a "best" selling magazine, they are ,however, a "better" selling magazine with it's inclusion.

>I haven't seen any great rush in that direction. Most of the
>"freebanders" I've seen are staying right there on 11 meters.
>Instead, of the ex-CB'ers I've seen that have migrated to ham radio,
>most have done so because of an aversion to the garbage in the 11
>meter band (including the illegal activities of the "freebanders").

You won't see any "rush," Dwight. I've noticed a gradual migration...but than again, I'm looking for it. In my 150 yrs. of experience, (smile) I've seen many CBers move to the "freeband" because of the "aversion to the garbage" on the 40 channels. With the advent of the cheap (How about $99.99 for a Superstar 3600?) "export" radios, the general CB populace has availed itself to the "freeband." Now, is when the more serious "freeband" ops are again migrating...to the ham ranks. The lids are abhored, however, nobody likes to hear people continously badmouth 11 meter ops, especially with broad general (not to mention dim-witted) comments. This is especially true when they know that there are some pretty damn decent ops on 11 meters, how?...because they've been there. (Remember, the judicial robes are still checked in the coatroom.) The result is exactly what I observed (and so can you) on www.eham.net when currently licensed hams got tired of hearing some of the "callous" general statements re. "chicken banders" and spoke up and defended the idea of "meeting somewhere in the middle."

>And, of those very few new hams who did "dabble" at "freebanding" in
>the past, most joined the ham ranks exactly because they rejected that
>illegal lifestyle after trying it.

NOT!...see above.

>In other words, very few of these
>people are a threat to ham radio.

Agreed...they are the future of ham radio.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 8874263246421645827
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 23:00:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 05:59:36 GMT
References: <3B2597CB.B0F55C09@IEEE.org>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON

Message-ID: <20010612015936.02345.00001217@ng-cm1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23952

>Don't know about Ed, Dick, but the posting was simply too long for
>me to take the time to read.
>

Sorry about that Cecil. I try to be thorough in my replies, lest I be misquoted
and my words taken out of context. I'm sure you understand.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -3271681997668945131
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 23:26:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Date: 12 Jun 2001 06:26:27 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9g4cmj$9l8$0@208.207.71.137>
References: <9g46ob$la5$1@208.207.71.174> <20010612013630.04748.00000054@nso-cc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.137
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23953

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> Oh, and while a few of us in here were talking about experiencing
> an earthquake first-hand, Carroll tried to impress us with his
> knowledge of earthquakes gleaned only from books. I was
> impressed. About his reading books.

Yes, I imagine you'll learn how yourself, someday.

> LHA
>
> CW gets through but it sure can't get through without any power.

Ed Hare can clue you in on just how little power it takes. He holds
some pretty impressive miles-per-milliwatt records.

From 136480265021248283
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 00:18:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 07:17:43 GMT
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Message-ID: <20010612031743.03236.00001777@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23955

In article <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>, n0vfp@aol.comWWCR
(LLoyd Davies) writes:

>
>Were you pleased to him die?

Lloyd:

I was not pleased to hear that McVeigh had assumed room temperature.
I am opposed to capital punishment on moral and economic grounds.

>Was he ever a ham?

Not that I am aware of; if so, he isn't technically SK -- he's just dead.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 2863427649432299919
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 00:18:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 07:17:43 GMT
References: <20010611064149.29652.00001510@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL Opposition to Restructuring (Was: Re: Pendulum reverses)
Message-ID: <20010612031743.03236.00001778@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23957

In article <20010611064149.29652.00001510@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>> If no such posting takes place within the next week -- more than enough
>time
>>for the word to get around HQ and the posting to take place, then I'm right
>>and you're wrong.
>
>Either that or no one at ARRL HQ but me regulary reads r.r.a.p. Most of them
>chide me for wasting my time here.

Ed:

Well, if that is so, then shame on them! On behalf of all of my rrap
colleagues, thank you for being part of our little "family" here! Your
participation is valued and appreciated!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3379066362764338355
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 00:18:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 07:17:45 GMT
References: <3B24582F.23BE0315@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler

Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612031745.03236.00001783@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23954

In article <3B24582F.23BE0315@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> I believe it even if you don't.
>
>Your beliefs and two bucks will get you a cup of coffee.

I'd really love to taste that $2 cuppa joe -- must be something out of
this world!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5887546582378954127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 00:18:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 07:17:45 GMT
References: <20010611003523.03288.00000361@nso-cm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612031745.03236.00001782@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23956

In article <20010611003523.03288.00000361@nso-cm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>Now along comes Larry who takes some hardass conservative stances and
>>his resume automatically gets circular-filed upon receipt too.
>
>But not for the stances. For the way they were expressed.
>
>As we say around here - "addy-tood"

Jim:

ARRL HQ will have to receive a resume from me before they can
consign it to the circular file. I sure hope they aren't holding their

breath...

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -2491588386336664626
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 00:18:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 07:17:45 GMT
References: <55ae748b.0106102311.64c90b82@posting.google.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Cecil's "Muiltitudes" chased away by Larry (Was: Re: Pendulum
reverses)
Message-ID: <20010612031745.03236.00001781@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23958
```

In article <55ae748b.0106102311.64c90b82@posting.google.com>,
mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little) writes:

>
>Unfortunately, there is a slight flaw in an otherwise good plan. If
>they have been chased away from Amateur Radio, they wouldn't be
>bothered reading AR newsgroups to respond, now would they? ;-)
>
>Mark.

Mark:

I read plenty of newsgroups to which I rarely, if ever respond to the postings. It certainly is possible that some prospective ham somewhere will read this NG, and, according to Cecil, be totally turned off to ham radio merely by something I've posted here. Right.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -6792589099188798982
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 00:18:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 07:17:42 GMT
References: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Message-ID: <20010612031742.03236.00001776@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23959

In article <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>, "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org> writes:

>The world is a better place today.

Jimmy John:

No, it's the same place it was before, just with one more soul deprived of an opportunity to repent, and one life taken for several times the cost of keeping it locked up until it's natural expiration date.

>People who opposed capital punishment should be more opposed to capital
>crime.

I am opposed to both. They are not mutually exclusive.

>If people would stop murdering people, people would stop being
>sentenced to die.

However, comma, killing murderers doesn't seem to bring an end to the supply. Why is that?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3446197359187464098
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f9d2dd6a744a16c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 00:18:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com

```
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 07:17:44 GMT
References: <20010611114711.10200.00002621@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Hey guys thanks for the Fun
Message-ID: <20010612031744.03236.00001779@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23960

In article <20010611114711.10200.00002621@ng-cu1.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com
(WA8ULX) writes:

>
>>Glad to help, Bruce.  As a matter of fact, I am due to spend a few days in
>>Baton Rouge in a few weeks.  I'll make it my mission to just pop on in and
>>say
>>hello, and we can have a few laughs over your perception of "freedom of
>>speech"
>>and how "small" some people can be.
>>
>>     It will be a barrel of laughs, I am sure.
>>
>>     See you then.
>>
>>Steve
>>
>  Hey good deal Steveie, Im there alot let me know where you are going to be,
>I
>will bring some Kleenex for you.

Steve/Bruce:

Literally ROFLMAO!!!  I love you guys!  Don't change a hair for me,
not if you care for me!

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 969974120435164657
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 00:18:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 07:17:46 GMT
```

References: <20010611070216.29652.00001515@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612031746.03236.00001784@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23962

In article <20010611070216.29652.00001515@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>In what way are the merits or demerits of my views changed because I work at
>ARRL HQ? If I have a good idea, it is still a good idea; if I have a bad one,
>it is still bad.
>
>The only attempt I see at blunting is coming from those who want to use any
>technique they can to cast doubts on me when I make a particularly good
>point.
>WA6VSE used to do that when he tried to convince people that the only reason
>I
>said something was because I worked for ARRL HQ. See that pattern here,
>Larry?
> It ain't a pretty one from this side.

Ed:

I don't recall ever disagreeing with Carl on that point. The simple fact is
that you DO occupy a management position on the ARRL staff, and,
as such, your views will naturally be seen as a reflection of the corporate
culture and policies (both internal and external) of that organization. It
may or may not be "pretty" from your side, but from OUR side, it's all
we have to work with. You can't blame us for understanding the nature
of large organizations.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8611976789900532097
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 00:18:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 07:17:44 GMT
References: <9g3u81$ung$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Cecil's "Muiltitudes" chased away by Larry (Was: Re: Pendulum reverses)
Message-ID: <20010612031744.03236.00001780@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23963

In article <9g3u81$ung$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>, "WW - KB9TMP"
<kb9tmp@my-deja.com> writes:

>By my news reader I'm number 2 to respond. You haven't chased
>me away because I guess I'm to stupid to run off from somebody
>as narrow minded, as you "pretend" to be. BUT you haven't made
>me want to upgrade my license and share bandwidth with you!

WW:

Well, since you're a licensed amateur, you haven't, in fact, been
"chased away" from ham radio by me, so your response doesn't
put a tick in Cecil's box. Your lack of willingness to upgrade is
more likely due to your own lack of motivation than anything else,
so I'll let that comment go by.

>I left CB (childrens band) to get away from the pain in the***
>know-it-all windbags, not to jump into a "higher tech" version of the same.

Man, did YOU ever get it wrong! Ham radio has a much higher percentage
of "pain in the *** know-it-all-windbags" than any other activity known to man!

>But once in a while you post something that makes a lot of sense so
>you can't be ALL BAD! ;)

Thank you for noticing!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -8153025907519996883
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 00:18:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 07:17:47 GMT
References: <3B245650.62E32B13@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler

```
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010612031747.03236.00001786@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23961

In article <3B245650.62E32B13@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> >> Achieving the ability to pass a 20 WPM code test for an Extra
>> >> class license was one of the most reasonable "demands" ever
>> >> placed on me.
>> >
>> >Why are your demands sacred compared to anyone else's, Larry?
>>
>> Provide the quote from me stating that my demands are sacred,
>> and I'll attempt to answer.
>
>One can certainly infer from your above comment that you consider
>20wpm to have been a sacred demand.

Cecil:

I'm going to assume through your usually slippery answer that you
can't find the requested quote.  Therefore, I obviously never stated that
the "demand" placed on me to learn the Morse code was "sacred" in
any way.  It wasn't sacred, it just got me to do something I had been
reticent to do for a long time.  This "sacred" crap is your own
mental malfunction.  You are forgiven.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 685212272739819821
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 00:18:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 60
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 07:17:47 GMT
References: <20010611071233.29652.00001519@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612031747.03236.00001785@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23964

In article <20010611071233.29652.00001519@ng-mo1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:
```

>
>>And, unfortunately for Ed, the only way this
>>can be disproved is for another ARRL current staff member to come into
>>this newsgroup and claim that Restructuring is the worst thing to
>>hit ham radio since TVI -- and THAT is something Ed knows about!
>
>If no one accepts your challenge, what that would be more likely to prove is
>that no one is bothering with rrap. From what Jon told me the other day, the
>news feed no longer includes rra. When I started posting from home, I think
>no
>one else was reading it. Of course, you are free to claim that it "proves"
>anything you like.
>
>Why don't you claim that unless each ARRL HQ staffer personally writes you
>and
>gives you their view, you have been proven to be 100% right in everything you
>have ever posted. :-)

Ed:

If the ARRL HQ staff wishes to ignore a significant source of amateur radio
opinion, then I guess there isn't much I can do for them. This newsgroup
provides BOTH sides of one of amateur radio's most controversial issues --
and each side debates with passion, conviction, and intensity. Aside from
the fact that we occasionally run off at the fingertips, I still believe that a
lot
of highly persuasive arguments are made here. I am able to filter out the
"noise," but I don't filter out the arguments of those occasionally making
the "noise" simply because I don't agree with them. I have learned something
from my participation in this newsgroup -- and that is, tolerance for others
who hold a differing point of view. I'm not expecting to receive any credit
for this, but my postings have been drastically "toned-down" over the
years, mainly because I realize that it is all to easy to go into slam-dunk
mode from the safety of your keyboard. A few of us here still have to learn
this, apparently, and I am still not immune from taking the occasional swat.
But, on the whole, I think this newsgroup does provide interesting and
occasionally compelling points of view.

I don't seek to be proven "100% right in everything I've posted." I relate
my experiences in learning the code and mastering the code tests, and
use what I have learned about the Morse/CW mode through first-hand
experience to shape my opinions about it. The unfortunate fact is that the
NCTA's, for the most part, do not have this first-hand experience -- and
therefore, their comments must be seen to be coming from another
place. I recognize that place, because I was here for fourteen years of
my life. That place is laziness, indifference, and a lack of motivation.
These are common behaviors of all people, and I am not being intentionally
insulting by relating them to the NCTA. I'm just telling the truth. Why
the NCTA's consistently ignore this truth is something *they* must
account for, because I cannot.

If any ARRL HQ staffer writes to me and personally expresses his/her

views about the code testing requirements, or lack thereof, I will thank
them for their time, and gladly give an honest and heartfelt reply.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 503931827718148924
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 02:14:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 09:13:53 GMT
References: <20010612031744.03236.00001780@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Cecil's "Muiltitudes" chased away by Larry (Was: Re: Pendulum reverses)
Message-ID: <20010612051353.29552.00000523@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23965

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Man, did YOU ever get it wrong! Ham radio has a much higher percentage
>of "pain in the *** know-it-all-windbags" than any other activity known to
>man!

You don't get around much, do you, Larry?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4238279016244430512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 02:23:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 09:22:39 GMT

References: <9g42rn$bhu$0@208.207.71.174>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Message-ID: <20010612052239.29552.00000525@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23966

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>Yes indeed it surely is. And you will see not a word posted in response
>by W1RFI nor W6RCA. Both will ugnore it and still slam me as some sort
>of liar or dreamer for even suggesting such a thing.

Sure, Dick; if it helps you I will gladly concur that some amateurs came from the ranks of freebanders. If they behave themselves on the ham bands, who is to know -- or care? If it helps you, I will agree that when the code tests are replaced with something more meaningful to modern radio technology, more will enter ham radio. Where you lose me is when you say this is a bad thing and that all those who enter ham radio under such a change will break the rules. Problem here, Dick, is that you embellish my remarks in your own mind then take offense at me for saying what you dream up.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3413360091565789211
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 02:24:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 09:23:59 GMT
References: <3B25A5A0.448641FF@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Message-ID: <20010612052359.29552.00000526@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23967

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>Most of the "freebanders" I've seen are staying right there on 11 meters.

Shame on you for slamming Dick by saying that! :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9002951788608487908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 02:31:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 09:31:13 GMT
References: <20010611201612.02663.00001554@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612053113.29552.00000527@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23968

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>what percentage of its resources do you believe are devoted to
>>Amateur Radio?

> Less than 5%

Okay, let's take it line by line and see how this adds up. Give us the percentage of the following that you think is devoted to Amateur Radio:

Contesting Branch
Awards Branch
DXCC
The ARRL Field Organization
The ARRL Clubs program
The ARRL Convention program
ARRL coordination of SAREX
ARRL program on ham radio in the classroom
ARRL Regulatory Information Branch - questions about rules and regulations
ARRL Official Observer/intruder program
http://www.arrl.org
QST articles
QST ads
QEX articles
QEX ads
NCJ articles
NCJ ads
ARRL publications (Handbook, Antenna Book, RFI Book, etc).
ARRL VEC
Publication Sales
Circulations
IS
Comptrollers/Purchasing/Personnel

Shipping and receiving
ARRL Lab
   Product Review testing
   ARRL RFI program
   Spectrum protection
   Technical Information Service - (http://www.arrl.org/tis)

I just went through the HQ building layout in my mind. What percentage of each of these is devoted to the preservation of ARRL vs the percentage devoted to Amateur Radio. Show the math this time, okay? :-)

73,
Ed Hare,W1RFI

From 1107476257367325039
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 02:33:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 09:32:48 GMT
References: <20010612031747.03236.00001785@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612053248.29552.00000528@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23969

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>If the ARRL HQ staff wishes to ignore a significant source of amateur radio
>opinion, then I guess there isn't much I can do for them.

Hmmm, it would appear that you are talking about me here, Larry.

Do you think I ignore you by responding to many of your posts, or does that word have a special meaning to you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3722016688610987779
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 02:35:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 09:34:38 GMT
References: <20010612031747.03236.00001785@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612053438.29552.00000529@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23970

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>If any ARRL HQ staffer writes to me and personally expresses his/her
>views about the code testing requirements, or lack thereof, I will thank
>them for their time, and gladly give an honest and heartfelt reply.

I don't recall that you have ever thanked me, or anyone here, for expressing my views about code-testing requirements, Larry...

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5191979425061864530
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 02:37:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 09:36:43 GMT
References: <20010612031746.03236.00001784@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612053643.29552.00000530@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23971

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I don't recall ever disagreeing with Carl on that point. The simple fact is
>that you DO occupy a management position on the ARRL staff, and,
>as such, your views will naturally be seen as a reflection of the corporate
>culture and policies (both internal and external) of that organization. It

>may or may not be "pretty" from your side, but from OUR side, it's all
>we have to work with. You can't blame us for understanding the nature
>of large organizations.

If you feel that your imagination about what a large organization "must" be offers you a better view of that organization than someone who works there and gets to see it up close, you are welcome to your imagination.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7129565568218730859
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 02:38:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 09:38:21 GMT
References: <20010612031745.03236.00001782@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612053821.29552.00000531@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23972

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>ARRL HQ will have to receive a resume from me before they can
>consign it to the circular file. I sure hope they aren't holding their
>breath...

Larry, I can offer you an insider's view on that one. They are not.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -2729407801074338902
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 02:42:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com

From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 09:42:18 GMT
References: <3B258010.B5640F9D@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612054218.29552.00000532@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23973

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>> To me, it is a lot more apparent that the League believes it can better
>> plan a position wrt Morse code testing after the international issues are settled
>> when it knows exactly how those international issues will be settled and what
>> rules changes are actually put on the plate.

>But you'll agree that there has certainly been a position shift by the
>BOD?

The former ARRL policy was that it supported the retention of Morse code testing for HF access.

The ARRL Board made a policy that it will not oppose changes to the international treaty requiring Morse code testing for HF access.

The Board also affirmed that it is not changing its policy wrt US testing.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7224420368102931984
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 02:44:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 09:44:01 GMT
References: <9g41m1$71b$0@208.207.71.174>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612054401.29552.00000533@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23974

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> What percentage of ARRL's resources do you believe devoted to maintaining
>> the organization and what percentage of its resources do you believe are
>> devoted to Amateur Radio?

> Dunno, but there is no doubt about where their priorities are.

We agree, Dick; it is very clear from even a casual perusal of ARRL programs, staffing and material that its priority is Amateur Radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8693322675259433446
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 02:53:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 09:52:59 GMT
References: <3B257FA3.86D485D5@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612055259.29552.00000534@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23975

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>> What percentage of ARRL's resources do you believe devoted to maintaining
>> the organization and what percentage of its resources do you believe are
>> devoted to Amateur Radio?

>After salaries, travel, utilities, maintainance of the facility,
>insurance and the like, what is devoted to amateur radio?

Are you really offering that paying the salary of people doing things for Amateur Radio doesn't count? They paid my salary when I helped Chris Imlay write the letter to the FCC asking them to take enforcement action against those sellling long-range cordless telephones that operate in the ham bands. Do you think that because the League paid someone to do it, it wasn't Amateur Radio? Are you really offering that travel to an industry meeting, or ARRL convention, is not Amateur Radio? Are you suggesting that the League turn off

the lights? I really can't answer the question, Dave, because I can't
understand it in any context that makes sense to me.

> I know there are far more people working at HQ than in the past and the
> Washington lobby has to be maintained.

There are only a few more staff now than when I started working there over 15
years ago. The DC lobby has been in place all that time. Are you offering that
representing the interests of Amateur Radio in Washington is not devoted to
Amateur Radio?

>Surely salaries have to be better than they
>were when I turned down a whopping $7500 in '75. Have any figures?

I only know the salaries of the Lab staff, and, as a supervisor, I cannot and
should not discuss them on a public forum. If you want a good estimate of the
salaries and benefits, you can get the figures from any of the annual reports.
 Email me at HQ and I will count the number of staff on the HQ phone list, if
you like.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1611903932847787180
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 04:18:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 103
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 11:17:47 GMT
References: <20010611162133.22760.00000641@nso-mk.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612071747.03258.00000549@nso-bh.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23976

In article <20010611162133.22760.00000641@nso-mk.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>>>The FCC has not made any public statement that it will drop the
>>>code test in the future. That is not "perception," that is the fact
>>>of publicly-available documents from the FCC.
>>
>>Yup.
>>

>>However, the FCC has said that, at present, Morse code testing "serves no
>>regulatory purpose" except conformity to S25.5. Without S25.5, it would be
>>inconsistent with their own statements for the FCC to continue code testing.
>
>Not inconsistent, only a suppostion by some.

How so? It's simple logic:

Axiom: FCC says S25.5 is only reason they keep code test.

Hypothesis: S25.5 disappears sometime in the future.

Conclusion: Without S25.5, FCC would have no reason to keep code test.

Do you think the FCC would keep the code test without S25.5, Len? My good friend K2UNK has assured me that the FCC will be petitioned to remove all code tests if/when S25.5 no longer requires it. How could FCC deny such a petition and still be consistent with their past 10 years of statements re code testing?

>The FCC has not
>attempted to predict its own future decisions well in advance of
>any NPRM.
>

So? They don't have to explicitly predict their actions. The FCC's intended path is clear to anyone who looks at the information in a reasonable manner.

>There is no, repeat no, article among the 11 for amateur radio in
>the ITU-R that prohibits any member administration from requiring
>any code test.
>

So? The subject under discussion is what the FCC will do if/when the S25.5 requirements are no longer a consideration in their decision about code testing. FCC statements for more than the past decade show that the FCC finds S25.5 to be the ONLY reason to keep the test.

>>FCC has repeatedly said that it will not violate S25.5.
>
>So?

Simple: As long as S25.5 is unchanged, FCC will not take further action on the code test issue.

>
>>>The code test still exists for General and Amateur Extra class
>>>licenses.
>>
>>That test has been reduced to the very minimum that reasonably meets the
>>requirements of S25.5.
>
>Incorrect.

No, it's perfectly correct.

> Article S25.5 does not, repeat not, state any code

>rate. There is no, repeat no, "minimum" nor any "maximum"
>code rate specified in S25.5.

For manual Morse use, 5 wpm is very slow, by any reasonable standard. It has long been the absolute minimum standard test used by any country. The consensus of those who are knowledgeable about the subject is that 5 wpm is the very minimum that reasonably meets the requirements of S25.5. Note the word "reasonably".

Do you want a slower test, Len?
>
>>Is there any reasonable doubt that the FCC will remove the code test for all
>>classes of license if S25.5 is eliminated?
>
>Yes. See the latest ARRL BoD policy decision.   :-)

Hope springs eternal, but considering the FCC's statements on the matter, and their actions in the recent restructuring, it is not reasonable to think that the FCC will keep code testing without S25.5 unless something else changes radically.
>
>Let's jump into the hypothesis merrygoround and presume that
>S25.5 is eliminated. If that is done, what is there to prohibit the
>US administration (the FCC for amateur radio) from requiring
>code testing?

Several things:

1) FCC has said that there is "no regulatory purpose" to require such testing other than S25.5
2) At least one group will immediately petition the FCC for code test removal
3) FCC actions of the past decade-plus have all been in the direction of code test removal.
>
>Rhetorical hypothesis. There is NO such prohibition.   :-)

But it is not supported by a reasonable analysis of the facts.

N2EY

From -1042987083526780557
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 04:29:06 PST
Message-ID: <3B25FC8E.B55AC822@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 06:27:10 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B245650.62E32B13@IEEE.org> <20010612031747.03236.00001786@nso-fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp 992344826 64.240.47.121 (12 Jun 2001 06:20:26 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23977

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> I'm going to assume through your usually slippery answer that you
> can't find the requested quote.

It's true that you never used the word "sacred" to describe Morse
code testing but it seems obvious to me from what you have posted
that you do hold Morse code testing to be sacred.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -3708247578985820223
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 04:31:24 PST
Message-ID: <3B25FD1D.3947127@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 06:29:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B24582F.23BE0315@IEEE.org> <20010612031745.03236.00001783@nso-fc.aol.com>

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.121
X-Trace: corp 992344967 64.240.47.121 (12 Jun 2001 06:22:47 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23978

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3B24582F.23BE0315@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >
> >Dick Carroll wrote:
> >> I believe it even if you don't.
> >
> >Your beliefs and two bucks will get you a cup of coffee.
>
> I'd really love to taste that $2 cuppa joe -- must be something out of
> this world!

It used to be a nickle. Now there are many Starbucks selections
over $2. But people pay a buck for a pint of water now.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From 1229700754884855838
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:46:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
Date: 10 Jun 2001 17:46:41 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 42
```

Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106101646.58c72a2f@posting.google.com>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futi0$lol$1@208.206.142.91> <3b236a13.1580967@news.mindspring.com>
<9fvrnd$egh$2@208.206.142.90>
<itLU6.1140$Il5.165513@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.26.206.10
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992220401 24821 127.0.0.1 (11 Jun 2001 00:46:41 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:46:41 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23828

"Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net> wrote in message
news:<itLU6.1140$Il5.165513@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...

> A part of the discussion below raises an interesting question. It is noted
> that [paraphrased] CW is a "universal" language. I have always heard
> that--even from when I was still just a kid.
>
> Yet, I have always wondered in what way is CW the universal language? If it
> is simply meant that a dit is a dit and a dah is a dah, then what good will
> that do for language other than "character" recognition. If a Russian sends
> to me a hello and includes any kind of casual conversation (other than a
> known format such as the RST), then how am I to know what he is saying?
>
> This is true in any mode, of course. If I don't know Russian and someone
> speaks it to me, I am not going to understand a word of it. But, the basis
> of my question is in what way is it meant that CW is the "universal"
> language? I think (and please correct me if I am wrong) that it just means
> that every character in CW is the same all over the world. But does it mean
> that I will fully understand everything sent to me from a language I do not
> speak or read?

There are other Morse-style codes (ones that use dit-dah) because not
everyone uses the same alphabet, but to be fair the most commonly used
one is english alphabet. I think the ARRL handbook used to list a few
of the alternate codes such as arabic, but don't quote me on that. I'm
pretty sure that it still lists the extended alphabetic characters
that have attached acutes and graves, etc. that are required in many
european languages.

Morse, itself, provides nothing but an audible symbol for each letter
so I think the "universal language" bit can be more accurately(?)
associated with the abbreviation codes that allow basic contact
information to be transferred irrespective of the languages involved.
Morse and the abbrevation codes are stand alone and can be used
without each other. As you are aware, voice and text (RTTY/packet/etc)
contacts also often use these codes.

However, to call such things a language grossly inflates their
importance. Even the most monosyllabic conversation would fail if it

relied purely on these codes. That is, unless one's converational skills are limited to swapping contact information.

Mark.

```
From 5810424684453388930
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:47:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:46:49 GMT
References: <3b237654.56939424@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610204649.10283.00002407@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23829
```

>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)

>Which leaves squishes who don't take a stand . . .

>I think I get it.

No, you really don't, I am afraid.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 6734828309713773512
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:52:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:51:37 GMT
References: <3B23E620.8C60EA83@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610205137.10283.00002409@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23830

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>Ed, do you really have lackeys? How much does the ARRL pay
>them? How many do you have?

The ARRL Lab is a staff of 5, including me. I don't consider any of them to be lackeys; they are all under standing instructions to tell me any time they think I am wrong. They do, and sometimes they are even right. :-)

The pay scale is a "bit" behind normal industry standards for the nature of the work.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1771856421729284765
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:55:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:55:15 GMT
References: <20010610090857.24691.00001012@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610205515.10283.00002410@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23831

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Thats because they are nothing more than a Paper Tiger, which does nothing
>more anymore than sell T-Shirts and Magazines

I have never sold a t-shirt, Bruce. I have sold a few ARRL memberships, though.

Do you really think anyone is going to believe that the only thing ARRL does is sell T-shirts and magazines, or did you just want to stir up a bit of trouble. This is rrap, Bruce; you are with the big boys so you will have to do a lot better than that!

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6963269602997843770
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 17:57:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:56:32 GMT
References: <20010610184855.24736.00001287@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610205632.10283.00002411@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23832

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>I think the FCC has done exactly what the majority
>>of hams want done.

> Oh, and when did you start speaking for the majority?

He doesn't. He said he believes the FCC did. So does the FCC.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7277078970150687641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:00:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:50:43 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <3b2415a0.43384471@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org> <20010609075449.03759.00004728@nso-ch.aol.com> <3b22f71c.10920876@news.mindspring.com> <9fvs0n$gud$0@208.206.142.90>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.bc

X-Server-Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:59:34 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23833

On 10 Jun 2001 13:17:11 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On 09 Jun 2001 11:54:49 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>> wrote:
>>
>> >In article <3B1F9081.6F6A53AD@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>> >
>> >>> There is no requirement for you to be able to send/receive faster than 5
>> >>> wpm either...
>> >>
>> >>Actually, since there is no retesting requirement, a licensed HF ham
>> >>can forget he ever knew Morse code. I know some HF hams who have done
>> >>just that.
>> >
>> >The point of code testing is to retain the potential communications
>> >capability of the relatively small percentage of code-tested hams
>> >who do, in practice, embrace the Morse/CW mode and continue
>> >to use it -- thus retaining and/or increasing their proficiency at
>> >the tested level and beyond. The "rub" is that one usually does
>> >not begin having satisfactory on-the-air experiences with CW until
>> >they are at or beyond the 13 WPM level -- which I see as a main
>> >reason for the long-established requirement of 20 WPM for an
>> >Amateur Extra class license. If testing had ended at 5 WPM,
>> >I probably would not have become a long-term CW user myself --
>> >and I doubt that many will in the future.
>>
>> Sorry Larry, you don't get to retain a code test requirement
>> just because YOU think that is something to do. The
>> argument/rational you raise above has been found uncompelling
>> by the FCC and has not found favor with a great many of
>> the nations around the globe.
>
> The FCC is so disinterested in the future of the ARS that they have
>handed all decisionmaking to a single employee, one who is easily swayed
>by a couple of nerdy bullies representing a small group of the most
>clueless, and whose output is routinely rubberstamped by the
>commissioners without further thought. Nothing the other of the 700,000
>odd ham radio licensees have to say gets any attention whatever. Larry
>or anyone else is supposed to believe that is in the best interests of
>ham radio's future?

Sure sounds like whining to me "Nobody
listens to me...poor me."

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 3866637413161681783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:01:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:00:47 GMT
References: <20010610083408.04014.00000140@nso-bk.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010610210047.10283.00002413@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23834

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>I know something about those "cushy jobs" at Hq. Frankly, I don't have
>anywhere
>near the qualifications needed to work there.

I'm not convinced of that. I think you would do well at ARRL HQ. You have been able to express your ideas here in a productive and reasonable manner. Heck, lately you have been doing better at that than I have; I need another vacation from .policy. I am starting to get testy. :-)

>One of the few things I'm fairly decent at is amateur radio
>history in the USA, both in general and my own personal experiences.

You might want to contact some of the guys on the ARRL Historical Committee. Email me offline, or give me a call at HQ.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5453572220545298319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:01:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish CW !!!!

Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:01:49 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9g159t$d69$0@208.206.142.69>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futt8$nft$0@208.206.142.91> <3B23F186.8F634FD4@IEEE.org>
<5OSU6.1953$eZ6.282593@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.69
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23835

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> I'll go back to my original question, though. Dick mentions that
> communicating in CW allows for the transmission of basic information [using
> Q signals, etc.]. Everyone who knows me knows that I made one QSO in CW and
> that was the night I passed the CW test for my Tech+ license. However, I
> also don't particularly care for HF communications and mainly for the reason
> that I got so damned tired of "quickie" RST contacts. That's not a slam on
> anyone who likes it, is into DXing, etc. It's just not my bag and,
> therefore, I don't do a lot of HF. (I also don't like the squelch noise).
>
> Now, if CW is more designed for "basic" contacts, not much beyond an RST
> QSO, then how can it be called the "universal" language? Or, what I mean
> more by that question is *why* is it called the "universal" language. I am
> not trying to be argumentative here, I really do wonder. Cecil, I think
> (I've never done a whole lot of digital modes either) RTTY, PACTOR, AMTOR,
> etc., do allow for full conversations--*if* once again, two or more people
> can find a common language among them. So, does this mean that in ham
> radio, as in the rest of life, there really isn't a "universal" language
> (except for the language of facial expressions--we all understand them!).
>
> Kim W5TIT

Well folks, there it is..... the New Age in ham radio. Enjoy! (don't
barf if you can help it)

From -3696047711400207727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:02:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail

From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Earning Your Licence was: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Date: 10 Jun 2001 18:01:59 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106101701.4faf0488@posting.google.com>
References: <20010610112152.19389.00001835@ng-mh1.aol.com> <20010610114259.13881.00000882@ng-fl1.aol.com> <2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 150.101.129.4
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992221320 24967 127.0.0.1 (11 Jun 2001 01:02:00 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:02:00 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23836

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:<2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...

> Well, I kind of agree with Larry's comment. Actually, Steve should have e-mailed you about it rather than going behind your back, and I honestly don't think the comment in your bio is in anyone's best interest. We have enough flame wars going now - code vs no code, hams vs cbers, even old vs young. I'll throw this out for grins, however. I've seen a lot of suggestions as to how to test and what to test for (yeah, what is a real ham?). One thing I have never seen is community service. Never. Suppose everyone started with a test on law, operating proceedure, and safety. The new novice. From there on, you have to EARN the progression. Maybe after a year of operating in nets (RACES?) designed to provide communications in an emergency, you might progress to the next level (never missing more than one schedule). Perhaps servicemen and women (and those former service folks with honorable discharges) might get a bump. Actually participating in a public service event might get you a bump. Actually working and providing communications during a real emergency?

Can someone get their licence quicker if they cause the emergency and then help out? Just kidding, but it reminded me of some volunteer country fire fighters a few years ago. One of two of them were setting the fires so they would be called out to extinguish them.

Mark.

From -2808016421072110523
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:03:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>

```
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:03:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9g15cl$d69$1@208.206.142.69>
References: <20010610153443.15339.00001078@nso-df.aol.com>
<20010610181322.11326.00002997@ng-mp1.aol.com> <3B23FA4B.79EC9FC1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.69
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23837
```

CAM wrote:
>
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > The results of thier concerns was to become manifest. Unless you call
> > what exists between 26 to 28Mhz "acceptable"...?!?!
>
> If a 40 year old radio service is "acceptable" to the FCC,
> why should it be not "acceptable" to you?

Well ther is it again, folks... He admits he is perfectly happy with CB in alla its shining slime, er glory!

```
From -6990000779165722408
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:04:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:54:55 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3b241606.43486481@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3b22f71c.10920876@news.mindspring.com>
<20010610131829.03759.00004823@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.bc
X-Server-Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:03:48 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23838
```

On 10 Jun 2001 17:18:29 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3b22f71c.10920876@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>Sorry Larry, you don't get to retain a code test requirement
>>just because YOU think that is something to do. The
>>argument/rational you raise above has been found uncompelling
>>by the FCC and has not found favor with a great many of
>>the nations around the globe.
>
>Bill:
>If my argument was uncompelling, it is because it didn't pander
>to the desires of the lowest common denominator. If you think
>there is virtue in doing so, then we'll never see eye-to-eye.

Larry, I am totally confident that we'll never see
eye-to-eye on this issue.

>>The fact that YOU needed an afirmative action incentive
>>to get you to whatever code level you are at does NOT
>>justify the requirement either.
>
>Nor is/was the requirement unjustified because YOU disagree
>with my arguments. You can't take anything away from me,
>Bill, so stop trying. You're only making yourself look just as
>foolish as the usual envious NCTA'er.

Let me get this straight. The No code test agenda is advancing
straight down the track as I want. Indeed, the R&O was even
more than I expected...and being a part of that, stating my
position, makes me look foolish? In your eyes maybe, but
in the world of who is or isn't important to me, I don't
lose any sleep worry about those that oppose my position.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -5889247739277999470
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:05:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:05:26 GMT
References: <20010610083345.24719.00000840@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610210526.10283.00002414@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23839

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>It is a policy change wrt the international aspects of Morse
>>code testing.

>No its another marketing scheme by ARRL, It hopes that they will gain favor
>with the CBers and CBplussers, and in turn suck more money out of the New
>ones.

If that is the case, why did ARRL affirm its present policy that it supports
the retention of Morse code for HF access in the US?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -8814489589969696629
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:06:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:56:37 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3b2416fe.43733916@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3b23699b.1460843@news.mindspring.com>
<20010610090322.24691.00001011@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.bc
X-Server-Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:05:31 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23840

On 10 Jun 2001 13:03:22 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>>The FCC did NOT need to restructure last year to save
>>money.
>
> Bull, the FCC didnt want to waste time and manpower with Disability waiver.

Please provide us with the economics that sustain your
argument. The waivers were handled by the VEs, not
the FCC. Once processed, there wasn't much to do for
a waiver vs any other upgrade.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -4367430921234139529
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:19:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 01:09:01 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 125
Message-ID: <3b2417f8.43984167@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3b22fe95.12834852@news.mindspring.com>
<20010610131831.03759.00004824@nso-ch.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.bc
X-Server-Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:18:05 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23841

On 10 Jun 2001 17:18:31 GMT, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
wrote:

>In article <3b22fe95.12834852@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
>(Bill Sohl) writes:
>
>>>Virtually to a man, the NCTA's insist that they have nothing against
>>>the *use* of CW --
>>
>>True.
>>
>>>...but they strongly object to the only thing that ensures
>>>that CW can continue to be used by a significant portion of hams.
>>
>>That is YOUR opinion only.
>
>Bill, well, it *is* my opinion, but I rather suspect that it is shared by
>others as well, much to *your* chagrin!

I actual agree that there will be some people that never
take up code who might otherwise have done so. That

may lower the percentage of morse users, but in the end,
if morse dies it is because hams that loved it let it be so.
Heaven forbid that some morse users may actually have
to "sell" the use of morse to newcomers.

>>If such becomes true, it will because
>>YOU and other devotees of CW use gave up on it.
>
>I'm not "giving up" on CW -- I still know it, and still use it. I retain
>my proficiency as a matter of personal desire and pride. I am
>also spending increasingly more time on PSK31, which is
>displacing a considerable amount of my CW operating. Is being
>a technically-involved, well-balanced ham the same as "giving up"
>on CW?

It matters not a twit to me. You are the only one that
can make the judgement about yourself.

>>Strange that
>>all sorts of past technologies are kept going by loyal groups
>>of supporters without any mandated requirements needed
>>to recruit new participants...archery, muscket shooting, manual
>>shift automobiles, etc.
>
>Could it be that a person can learn how to use a bow and arrows,
>fire a black powder muzzleloader, and drive a stick-shift car without
>learning a completely new and non-intuitive way to communicate?
>It takes one heck of a lot more commitment to learn Morse code
>at useful proficiency levels than it takes to do any of those other
>things. If you're going to compare apples to oranges, you need to
>set an orange on the table alongside the apples.

Oh, I see. Morse code is totally unique in the world
of past technologies.

>>Why shouldn't morse code use be in
>>that same catagory?
>
>It really can't be, because most people need a compelling reason
>to learn it. I wasn't attracted to Morse code due to it's character or
>charm as an activity in the class of archery, musketry, horseback
>riding, fly fishing, painting, or anything of the sort. I wasn't "attracted"
>to it whatsoever, due to the fact that I had to commit to the challenge
>of learning it. I can pick up a book, read for a few hours, and then
>be reasonably safe to shoot a muzzle-loading rifle. I can ride a
>horse with some rented horse time, the appropriate equipment, and
>about an hour's worth of instruction. I've already used a bow and
>arrow with fair success, and without any instruction whatsoever.
>However, it took me 14 years and one month to learn the Morse
>code at a speed greater than 10 WPM. Fourteen years of whining
>about *having* to learn it, and one month of actually learning it. Had
>the requirement to learn it never existed, the whining, and the learning,
>would never have happened.

Actually, I see no reason why a new ham couldn't set up a
sched with another new ham (via phoone) and then agree
to just dive in using morse with a look-up table as a means
to practice.   There is NO minimum code speed to use the
mode.

>>> I do
>>>know that this proposal, and others like it made during the comment
>>>period on the Restructuring NPRM, received a great deal of consideration.
>>>The fact that this win/win compromise never made it into the final
>>>ruling suggests to me that the best interests of the ARS had little to do
>>>with the final decision.
>>
>>More that the proposal wasn't valued as you see it.
>
>Well, certainly not by the NCTA. They hated it, mainly for it's
>logical simplicity and win/win nature.

Pat youself on the back then.

> I received a lot of love and
>hate mail over my proposal -- but the hate mail is the most
>interesting, since the senders were truly convinced that I would
>keep them from gaining Extra-class status for the rest of their lives.
>I could not help wondering, "Why is that a problem, if they have
>everything else they need as far as phone privileges is concerned?"
>The answer -- it doesn't put an end to the class envy of the
>terminal underachievers, which is the point of the whole debate.
>It is now socially unacceptable to be more accomplished than
>the next guy. We must all be equally ordinary, in order to achieve
>true whirled peas. Well, forgive me, but I don't share the world-
>view of the weak and unmotivated. And if you can't forgive me,
>then you'll just have to suffer the fact that I'm here, I have
>achieved Extra class under the pre-Restructuring requirements,
>and you didn't and never will. My so-called superiority over you
>is your problem, not mine.

Your what? ROTFLMAO!

> I didn't seek that status for others,
>I sought it for myself.

Whatever floats your boat. Funny thing is that I can't
recall ever announcing my own license level to anyone
except in response to being asked. My QSL card makes
no reference to my license class.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 6637077122046551812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:22:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 01:12:07 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 46
Message-ID: <3b241aaa.44673916@news.mindspring.com>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futi0$lol$1@208.206.142.91> <3b236a13.1580967@news.mindspring.com>
<9fvrnd$egh$2@208.206.142.90>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.bc
X-Server-Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:21:13 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23842

On 10 Jun 2001 13:12:13 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> On 10 Jun 2001 04:37:20 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Mark Little wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171>...
>> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > > That claim breaks down when you realize that for the
>> >> > > claim to be true....ALL hams would need to speak the
>> >> > > same language.  The ITU treaty ONLY asks for ability
>> >> > > to send and receive the code characters.  The treaty
>> >> > > makes no statement as to ability to compose text in
>> >> > > English or to decode and understand what was sent.
>> >> >
>> >> >  Aw, gee, Bill, we all know that you've always been, and ARE severely
>> >> > CW-impaired in spite of your Extra-Liteness, but when you gonna learn to
>> >> > keep it under wraps just a little so as not to embarrass yourself?
>> >> >  Any ham who becomes CW proficient learns, enroute, that CW has all the
>> >> > elements of a universal language, limited though it must be,
>> >>
>> >> A "language" is something that can convey "thoughts, feelings, etc."
>> >> (Collins Concise Dictionary). This thread is a language in action.
>> >> This exchange of ideas, or anything remotely like it could not be
>> >> carried out use the Morse symbols unless the people share a common
>> >> language. It is nonsense to suggest that the abbreviation codes often
>> >> used WITH Morse Code (and, indeed, used in many spoken or data
>> >> exchanges) comes anywhere near a "universal language", limited or not.
>> >
>> > Well, we now know exactly how "little" you know about the subject.
>>
>> And we appear to see a rather arrogant assumption in what
>> you suggest in the first place.  Just why you expect all hams
>> to know English is beyond my understanding.  There is no
>> technical reason or expectation that leads to your assumption.
>
> Well, none that YOU know of, at least.

None, it seems, that you have been able to offer either.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK




From 5886667464156775201
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:23:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:23:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9g16ho$iri$0@208.206.142.69>
References: <3B23E487.114264B@IEEE.org> <20010610201313.10283.00002394@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.69
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23843




W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >See the pattern? They attack and attack and attack!
>
```

```
> Perhaps they believe that attack and insult is all they have left.  I felt
> quite insulted by the innendo that because I work at ARRL HQ, I am not being
> honest in my posts here.  I have to believe that these people have known me for
> years, so I cannot believe they really think that.
>

 So who said you were being dishonest? What was said was that you
wouldn't be on here posting, nor in fact even working at HQ if you were
not completely in tune with the scene there, and if they didn't feel
they could trust you to adhere to the League "line". I believe it even
if you don't.


From -4154022664548484108
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:31:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 01:21:40 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 79
Message-ID: <3b241b3f.44823369@news.mindspring.com>
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futt8$nft$0@208.206.142.91> <55ae748b.0106100525.196ba5a8@posting.google.com>
<9fvvg6$rg2$0@208.206.142.90>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4c.bc
X-Server-Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:30:54 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23844

On 10 Jun 2001 14:16:38 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Mark Little wrote:
>>
>> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<9futt8$nft$0@208.206.142.91>...
>> > Mark Little wrote:
>> > >
>> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>> >
>> > > > Added to that, most of the civilized world knows that English is the
>> > > > universal communications language, and REAL communicators know and learn
>> > > > enough of it go get by, whatever their native tongue.
>> > >
>> > > I do like the (il)logic at work here. You say Morse allows people to
```

>> > > communicate without sharing a common language, but then point out that
>> > > learning English is still required - "English is the universal
>> > > communications language, and REAL communicators know and learn enough
>> > > of it go (sic) get by".
>> >
>> > Evidently you never heard of the term "incrementalism". The more one
>> > knows, the better he can communicate. I can damn sure communicate basic
>> > information via CW using Q signals, prosigns and other universally known
>> > CW shortcuts, with another ham who knows no English, and I knowing none
>> > of his native tounge.
>>
>> Surely you are not suggesting that a person can fail the Morse test by
>> not knowing the abbreviation codes?
>
>
> I suppose it's too much for you to grasp that the code test is only the
>beginning of one's training in radiotelegraphy. Yes, we know many of you
>will never again touch a code key and some even go through elaborate
>burial rites for their first and only key.

Interesting thing, however, is that to pass the code tests
for some time, a key has not been needed. When I went
for the 13 wpm, I just spent time using a "morse tutor"
program on my PC and copying ARRL code transmissions.
No key needed...so no key necessary to bury.

>Many others, however, having
>been "forced" by their desire for a HF ham license, to learn the code at
>effective speed have-in the past- also learned , while in the process,
>that radiotelegraphy is a unique skill that has attributes all its own
>and is well suited to radio amateur communications. Thus good CW
>operators are made, none are born, contrary to NCI dogma.

I suspect there is a good number of people that can learn
CW very quickly in the same manner as there are people with
inate talents for music, athletics, etc.

>> > But only if he has a fair amount of training and experience in the use
>> > of radiotelegraphy. If he happens to have garnered a bit of English as
>> > the result of his efforts at international radiotelgraphy, so much the
>> > better for the breadth of the vocabulary we would have in common.
>>
>> Interesting that you make no mention of you attempting to learn some
>> of their language, but I must say it doesn't suprise me. Common
>> courtesy obviously varies from place to place.
>
> So just which of the world's many languages should any amateur learn
>so as to satisfy your silly complaint?

It would seem a nice gestiture to learn to converse in the
language of the ham you wish to contact. Now if all you
need is the QSL card based on the 599 report and exchange
of call signs, then you are right, no language is needed at

all. Indeed, I'd say that almost anyone could view that
basic "contest" exchange of data as not being english at all.

Consider:

CQ CQ CQ de K2UNK K2UNK K
Is there anything particularly of the English language
in that?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From 1933173496974138899
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:31:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:31:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 37
Message-ID: <9g171i$ki6$0@208.206.142.69>
References: <3B23E916.66DD0285@IEEE.org> <20010610202023.10283.00002396@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.69
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23845
```

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >> ... the abandonment of the test
> >> in the general case will constitute the abandonment of radiotelegraphy
> >> in total. In addition, the codehaters will in time demand conversion or
> >> abandonment of the CW/data segments as voice mode congestion increases.
>
> >Dick's been reading his Tarot cards again. Baskin Robins would not have
> >been successful without a governmental requirement to force citizens

> >to eat ice cream.
>
> Now, Cecil, if every time one of us makes a statement of belief, others claim "Tarot cards," then perhaps none of us should be allowed to state an opinion. Clearly, in Dick's statement, "IMHO" was implied.
>
> What he says has some merit. I am sure that fewer new hams will try CW if they are not tested for it. Unlike Dick, I do not believe that the number will be zero. And unlike Dick, i do not have to call everyone who does not prefer to operate code a "codehater." IMHO, the hate and discontent that is being spread by Dick in a misguided attempt to somehow "save" the mode is turning people away from it. I am pretty sure he realizes this, so I am quite at a loss to explain why he does it.

No, Ed, I don't consider you a codehater, as you're a CW op, I guess. (I have no proof of it, never heard you on, never worked you, even on our RRAP CW net). But all you have to do is read here to see clearly that the many who state for the record they don't object to CW opertions really would throw it away on short notice given any clear opportunity, much less a reason such as "need for more spectrum for SSB". See the post from Mark Little a short time ago for yet another proof.

From 4463147662857150493
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 18:53:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 77
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 01:53:08 GMT
References: <1aDU6.21966$s12.601096@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010610215308.07028.00000362@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23846

Hi again Leland,

"Which is what I had originally said. I never said "all" if you go back and reread that post. Those are the "problem" outlaw CBer who will never be interested in Ham Radio, or if they do with end up being a "problem" Ham."

I reread your post and you state "a great many," I was not my intention for you to infer that I thought you had meant "all." My point was solely that while there may be "a great many" exhibiting the behaviour that you'd described, I feel that there are a great many MORE whom do NOT. If you took it that way, please accept my humblest apologies. The problem CBers or hams were problems long before they ever keyed their first mic or "dit-bombed" some poor slob on 75.

"If they had deep pockets there would be little problem now. If you read many of the posts on this news group you will quickly learn that the major problem with FCC enforcement, either Ham or CB, is the lack of enough funds. That can be changed by applying pressure to our congress person(s) to get them to allocate more funds to the FCC with the express understanding it will be used for enforcement of rules and regulations."

Sorry Leland, I don't believe that for a second. I don't want to turn this into a discussion on our country's fiscal health, but if we didn't generate the funds in the past ten years, we'll never do it...at least NOT for the purposes of RF rule/reg enforcement. There's a gent by the name of Ray, W7SNS, who made a sub-hobby of actually documenting and recording "freeband" violations. Actually had a message board devoted to it. He ran into the brick wall of bureaucracy. Like I said, Leland, take your best shot. Just try NOT to end up as sad and disillusioned as Ray. I do report any 10 & 12 meter unlicensed incursions I monitor. I leave the minor league players alone though. (unless they attract my attention by interfering with ham frequencies)

"That's what I like to call the "ostrich syndrome" that seems to plague many Hams. Consider that there are specific rules in Part 97 due to the use of Ham equipment illegally on 11m, namely H.F. amplifiers, which places restrictions on equipment design and sale. Now we are seeing more activity on the 10m band due to freebanders. This is the result of the increase in availability of cheap "export radios", which can be modified to operate on both 10m, 12m and 11m too."

Call it what you will, Leland, but I have over twenty years as both a direct observer as well as participant. The newer crop of "export" rigs are no more sinister than the Yaesu FT-757GX with the "MARS" microswitch nicely tucked beneath that wire bundle. (or we can go further back in time to the legendary "freebander's" choice...the FT-101x series) As for them being much cheaper, point conceded. This is why we have truckers on channel 19 "2 up." I don't lump them (or the nit-wit running a dirty kilowatt on ANY frequency) in the same barrel as the freebander working DX on 27.5550MHz +/- with 30 watts or so.

"Now the question is just how bad, or how long, does the problem have to be before the Ham community pulls its collective head out of the ground and do something about it? What is going on with the 11m band is just a sample of what is spilling over in to the Ham bands. If we don't watch out the "Freebanders" will continue to setup camp on the lower end of 10m, particularly if there is no enforcement action. The same will happen on other bands too, where we will have to deal with bootleggers that have no fear of the law."

One of the points of my original post is that by the time, the ham community will have a sufficient number of ex-freebanders among their ranks. (as if it doesn't already;-))One can only hope that the "been there-done that" crowd will Elmer their ex-breatheren in the right direction. I've already addressed the 10 meter bootlegger issue. Who knows Leland, it may be that chap that used to run those 30 watts on 27.5550MHz that teams up with you at some point in the future to help curtail those 10 & 12 meter incursions. I'd offer you proof, but I think it's way too obvious to even bother.

We disagree on this issue, Leland, but that's ok. It's a nice disussion and no matter what we discuss, right or wrong, time and actions will tell the real story. Take it easy.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

P.S. Check out that new multi-mode RCI 6 meter rig. Nice addition to the line up.

```
From -3734098055586560072
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 19:19:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 02:19:25 GMT
References: <3B23D5AD.36D43F1F@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610221925.03236.00001621@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23847
```

In article <3B23D5AD.36D43F1F@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Achieving the ability to pass a 20 WPM code test for an Extra
>> class license was one of the most reasonable "demands" ever
>> placed on me.
>
>Why are your demands sacred compared to anyone else's, Larry?

Cecil:

Provide the quote from me stating that my demands are sacred,
and I'll attempt to answer. In the meantime, go soak your head in
spoiled grape juice!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1669806126372972465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 19:19:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 02:19:26 GMT
References: <20010610201512.10283.00002395@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610221926.03236.00001622@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23848

In article <20010610201512.10283.00002395@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>> ... and thoroughly give your mind over
>> to the notion that *you* are going to become a proficient CW operator,
>> you will achieve it with greater ease than you ever imagined.
>
>Will that technique get me to 500 words per minute? Will it get you to 60
>wpm
>"with greater ease than you ever imagined?" Of does that technique just
>happen
>to work to the level of proficiency YOU have achieved?

Ed:

So far, it has worked for me to where I'm good to around 25 WPM,
with occasional bursts up to 30 - 35 WPM during Field Day. I don't
know how it would work toward getting me to 60 WPM, or you to
500 WPM, but I'm reasonably sure that a negative attitude wouldn't
be much help in achieving that goal.

Are you saying that a negative attitude would be preferable, Ed?

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1411676842407272466

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 19:19:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 02:19:23 GMT
References: <3B23E844.3E7CFEDC@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Cecil's "Muiltitudes" chased away by Larry (Was: Re: Pendulum reverses)
Message-ID: <20010610221923.03236.00001618@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23849

In article <3B23E844.3E7CFEDC@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> Hmmm.  I wonder if the dozens of hams for whom I've provided their
>> first and/or only Delaware contacts on CW, RTTY, and PSK31 would
>> agree with you?
>
>Probably not, Larry, but the multitudes of potential hams that you
>have chased away from ham radio world-wide no doubt would agree.

Cecil:

Hmmm.  Interesting premise.  Let's give this a try:  Anyone out there,
anywhere in the world -- if you were "chased away" from ham radio
due to something I said, over the years, please post a brief message
to this thread so stating that fact.  If I get 10 responses, I will gladly
admit that Cecil is right.  If I even get 2 - 3 responses, I will at least
admit that there is a possibility that he is right.  However, he claims
I've "chased away" multitudes of hams, all over the world -- so if he
really *is* right about that, then this thread should suddenly be flooded
with postings.

OK, Cecil -- it's now up to your "multitudes."  It's put up or shut up
time.

73 de Larry, K3LT



From -3995775167559996627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 19:19:41 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 02:19:22 GMT
References: <3B23E786.4A86FBFC@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: ARRL Opposition to Restructuring (Was: Re: Pendulum reverses)
Message-ID: <20010610221922.03236.00001617@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23851

In article <3B23E786.4A86FBFC@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Dick Carroll wrote:
>> Yep. You got it. Only those with a finely honed sense of vacillation
>> are eligible to be employed at HQ.
>
>You guys are funny-farm material. You really think Ed would be in
>trouble at the ARRL if he still supported 5/13/20wpm? I'll bet there
>are some ARRL employees who do support 5/13/20wpm. Do you think
>they are in trouble? Get real, Dick, or is that too much to ask?

Cecil:

Do you think any current ARRL HQ staff employee would be willing to make a public statement totally condemning Restructuring and proposing a return to the pre-Restructuring status quo? I hereby challenge any current ARRL HQ staff employee who feels that way to do precisely that -- preferably in the pages of QST, but I'll accept a posting to this specific thread as long as that person's ARRL employment is clearly identified in the posting along with his/her name and call sign (I'll accept postings from licensed HQ staff only), and their employment can be verified.

I will say right now that it will never happen -- and the reason is because HQ ARRL's corporate culture and internal policies won't permit it. If no such posting takes place within the next week -- more than enough time for the word to get around HQ and the posting to take place, then I'm right and you're wrong. We'll know next Sunday, June 17, 2001. Cutoff time will be 8 PM EDT (2400z).

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -4918372273243101O4
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 19:19:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 02:19:20 GMT
References: <20010610155713.29417.00000430@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610221920.03236.00001615@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23850

In article <20010610155713.29417.00000430@ng-mb1.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>>The question now is, which of you is going
>>to do the right thing first?
>>
>>73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> I will, I will leave my Bios Stand the way they are, rather Little Stevie
>likes it or not, and my reason is simple, I have a right to my fellings, and
>no
>little Twerp is going to make me change my right to Free Speech, I did not
>fire
>the first shot at the little Twerp he did, so for you Stevie STICK IT.

Bruce:

Well, forgive me, but leaving your bio stand "as is" is definitely NOT
doing the right thing. I don't think the QRZ.COM forum is the righ
place for an "in your face" attack on our fellow amateur radio
licensees, and if Fred Lloyd edits it out of your record, I would not
blame him. After all, you *are* on his turf there. A forum such as this
one is sufficient for me to express my opinions with an occasional
"in your face" bit of rhetoric. And remember -- new hams coming
in now truly are not responsible for the dumbing down of licensing
requirements -- and their status as "CB-plussers" must be left for
them to prove or disprove by their own actions as licensed amateurs.
I do not agree with your statement -- nor do I agree with Steve "blowing
you in" to Fred Lloyd without first giving you a chance to respond to
his comments. His cc: doesn't count in this matter.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 796046841076263972

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 19:19:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 52
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 02:19:25 GMT
References: <3B23D4D7.1296E208@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010610221925.03236.00001620@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23853

In article <3B23D4D7.1296E208@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Doc Williamson isn't the underachiever, Cecil -- you are!
>
>You're right, Larry. Compared to Dr. Williamson, I am an
>underachiever. But then, so are you.

Cecil:

Compared to someone with Dr. Williamson's professional-grade technical skills, I'm not even good enough to be called an "underachiever." I'm a non-achiever. However, I am not a professional electronics expert -- I'm an AMATEUR radio operator. Since you have historically refused to comprehend that distinction, I don't think there's much I can do for you.

>> You have to use someone else as an excuse while whining about
>> something you don't like!
>
>I'm happy with things the way they are. It is you who is unhappy
>and whines constantly about returning to the past.

I am not at all unhappy. I have achieved the highest status available in amateur radio, and did so at a time when the requirements were both meaningful and readily achievable. Now, they have just been dumbed-down to insignificance. No future hams can become my FCC-certified equal, much less my superior, because the mechanism to do so no longer exists.

>> You would do
>> well to confine your remarks to your own thoughts, feelings, wishes,
>> desires, etc., as does everyone else.

>
>You would do well to stop whining about Dr. Williamson. I know it
>chaps your posterior no end to be faced with the fact that a nocode
>tech is superior to you, but that's reality, Larry. Live with it.

Yes, it is a reality, and I have no problem living with it. Dr. Williamson has superior technical knowledge than my own. However, as a no-code Technician, he is not even my equal as a licensed radio amateur, and now, unfortunately, never can be. Surely, he can gain the same operating privileges, but not under the significantly more challenging licensing system I and the others who achieved Extra class in the pre-Restructuring era have mastered. If there is something anyone here has a problem "living with," that's it, and you're the one with the problem. I won't speculate about Dr. Williamson until he comes into this newsgroup and expresses his own thoughts.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5413476788386907796
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 19:19:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 54
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 02:19:21 GMT
References: <3B23E487.114264B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610221921.03236.00001616@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23854

In article <3B23E487.114264B@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Think about it, Ed. If you agreed 100% with Larry, would he be saying
>the same things about you? :-) Larry, et.al. try to find a weak point
>and attack it, not matter how irrational that attack might seem to be.
>Never mind that you don't have a real weak point at all. They attack my
>Morse code skill level.

Cecil:

Don't look now, but where and when have I ever "attacked" your code skill level? I don't even know what your code skill level is. You could be capable of 50 WPM, for all I know. Provide quotation, please.

>They attack your ARRL employment.

I never "attacked" Ed's ARRL employment. I've mearly pointed out certain realities of corporate/organizational life to which Ed is not immune, and therefore blunts the impact of what he says here.

>They attack Dr. Williamson's silence.

Again, no. We're attacking your use of him as an example in your postings, when he is obviously capable of speaking for himself. It was you who choose to introduce him by name, therefore you bear the responsibility for any second-hand "heat" he gets here.

>They attack Bill's NCI board position.

Again, provide quotes. I've never attacked Bill's position on the NCI Board, because I just don't deem it to be something significant enough for me to bother "attacking." If memory serves me right, I do, however, recall noting that NCI did succeed in getting ham radio code testing requirements "dumbed down" to 5 WPM while the FISTS organization just sat on their thumbs and let it happen.

>They attack my friend's inability to get past 10wpm.

Provide one single quote specifically from me where I attack your friend (Dr. Williamson, presumably) for his inability to get past ten WPM. Just one. Produce, or you're lying.

>See the pattern? They
>attack and attack and attack! For them, it's The Crusades all over again.
>Change "Silence the Jews and Moslems!" to "Silence the "Nocoders and NCTA
>folk!" For them, it's a Holy War. After all, it's a small change from
>a Norse god to a Morse god.

What I truly suspect is that Cecil just put a big dent in his cache of spoiled grape juice. Stay off the road until you sober up again, Cecil.

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From -4767697315715896619
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 19:19:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 38
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 02:19:24 GMT
References: <3B23EDEE.9CE24760@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010610221924.03236.00001619@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23852

In article <3B23EDEE.9CE24760@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Larry, Morse code was extremely easy for me compared to a bow and
>arrow and learning to drive a stick shift. I'm sorry it was so
>difficult for you that you felt compelled to turn it into a cult.
>
>It took me about four hours to hit 5wpm using Morse code. It took
>me tens of hours to learn to drive a stick shift and after tens
>of hours trying to hit the broad side of a barn with a bow and
>arrow, I gave up on it. Get real, Larry, learning Morse code is
>trivial compared to bows and arrows.

Cecil:

Well, my experience with stick-shift vehicles, archery, and the Morse code were just the opposite of yours. The first car I ever drove with a stick shift was my then girlfriend's '65 Mustang coupe, which had a 200 c.i.d. 6-cylinder engine and a 3-speed on-the-floor. I literally just got in behind the wheel and drove it away. It was one of the most intuitive things I'd ever tried. I was exposed to archery at a young age in the usual way -- Boy Scout camp. After my first afternoon of serious, uninterrupted practice, I was hitting the two center rings of the target at 50 yards while the others were still working at 25 yards. I tried a compound bow for the first time last fall at the Dover AFB archery range -- after almost 25 years of never picking up any kind of bow. In around two dozen shots, I was putting nice tight groups into the 50 yard target. We all know the story of how I got into the Morse code, so I won't bore you with it again -- but it's different than those other things I tried because it wasn't intuitive -- it required motivation, concentration, and a dedicated effort for me to master. However, once I reached a level of useful proficiency, it got progressively easier, and the enjoyment kicked in. I just wish that others, in this post-Restructuring era, could be encouraged to give it a try...but in the absence of the requirement, that won't be happening very often in the future.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 6342500841849051552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 19:51:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 02:50:53 GMT
References: <20010610221920.03236.00001615@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010610225053.17207.00000084@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23855

>I do not agree with your statement -- nor do I agree with Steve "blowing
>you in" to Fred Lloyd without first giving you a chance to respond to
>his comments. His cc: doesn't count in this matter.
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Well as I said before Larry I forgot it was there, it was original put there about 2 or 3 Years ago as a Joke, and I dont make it a habit to run to QRZ to check Callsign, probably havent been there since I put it there, but since it is and it upsets Little Stevie Im not about to take it down, and about the cc of Stevies, it just shows the type of person he really is, hes like the little Boy in School who use to run to the Teacher and say Teacher, Teacher, Johnnie did this, really I think its kinda cute, I couldnt stop laughing after I read his Post.

From 8131785353582944497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f9d2dd6a744a16c7,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 20:09:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 03:08:58 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Hey guys thanks for the Fun
Message-ID: <20010610230858.17207.00000091@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23857

Hey guys thanks for the fun this weekend, you to Stevie. With all the Rain and

flooding we have had this weekend it was getting Borring, you guys made for a
fun weekend and rather Cheap Fun at that.
I will try to get back next Weekend if Im not out having fun.
Well got to get ready for Monday morning have a Plane to catch in the Morning
for a meeting next week in Conn, stupid Company meeting, if I have a chance
this week I will try and check in from Conn.
Again thanks for the FUN Filled WEEKEND you guys are really funny.

```
From -718461230574359082
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,62dd7141fffc4b67
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 20:37:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!crtntx1-snh1.gtei.net!lsanca1-snf1!news.gtei.net!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <P0DS6.3186$v4.156256@www.newsranger.com>
<9ffe5l$fmo$1@news.hawaii.edu> <3b1b653c.1197007@news.mindspring.com>
<9fg63j$75l$0@208.207.71.171> <55ae748b.0106090909.3a8551ed@posting.google.com>
<9futi0$lol$1@208.206.142.91> <3b236a13.1580967@news.mindspring.com>
<9fvrnd$egh$2@208.206.142.90>
<itLU6.1140$Il5.165513@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
<55ae748b.0106101646.58c72a2f@posting.google.com>
Subject: Re: Abolish  CW !!!!
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <W1XU6.2420$eZ6.353509@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 03:37:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.245.228.240
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992230646 209.245.228.240 (Sun, 10 Jun
2001 20:37:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 20:37:26 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Sun, 10 Jun 2001 20:35:25 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23858
```

"Mark Little" <mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au> wrote in message
news:55ae748b.0106101646.58c72a2f@posting.google.com...

>
> Morse, itself, provides nothing but an audible symbol for each letter
> so I think the "universal language" bit can be more accurately(?)
> associated with the abbreviation codes that allow basic contact
> information to be transferred irrespective of the languages involved.

> Morse and the abbrevation codes are stand alone and can be used
> without each other. As you are aware, voice and text (RTTY/packet/etc)
> contacts also often use these codes.
>
> However, to call such things a language grossly inflates their
> importance. Even the most monosyllabic conversation would fail if it
> relied purely on these codes. That is, unless one's converational
> skills are limited to swapping contact information.
>
>
> Mark.
>

Interesting. I know the absolute best QSOs I have had are those that
involved a long, long exchange between me and the person on the other end.
I really enjoy hearing from people of other countries, or even areas in the
US, and learning of their bird's eye view there. In fact, that is one of
the reasons my husband enjoys FSK so much. The very first contact he had
was with a guy over in Japan and they talked for about an hour the first
time around!

Kim W5TIT

From -4720991379336973044
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 21:35:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 04:35:23 GMT
References: <3b237654.56939424@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611003523.03288.00000361@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23860

In article <3b237654.56939424@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 09 Jun 2001 15:15:56 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>>
>>
>>

>>If you are saying that I need to be courteous in my dealings with people, I
>>agree. But if you really need me to say it, ... Duh!
>>
>Lessee now . . . you've dumped Squiggy out of the running for a lab
>job because he's a ham rado version of Marx who would trash everything
>we've been about by rising up and dumping Spread Rectum buckshot all
>over 20m and to hell with all those other "obsolete" modes.

Lab job? I though he was gonna write the DX column and the contest
commentaries. Maybe help out with the vintage radio column, too.
>>
>Now along comes Larry who takes some hardass conservative stances and
>his resume automatically gets circular-filed upon receipt too.

But not for the stances. For the way they were expressed.

As we say around here - "addy-tood"

73 de Jim, N2EY

```
From -2163529957712492528
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 21:35:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 04:35:23 GMT
References: <20010610153438.15339.00001073@nso-df.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611003523.03288.00000362@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23859
```

In article <20010610153438.15339.00001073@nso-df.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>The FCC has not made any public statement that it will drop the
>code test in the future. That is not "perception," that is the fact
>of publicly-available documents from the FCC.

Yup.

However, the FCC has said that, at present, Morse code testing "serves no
regulatory purpose" except conformity to S25.5. Without S25.5, it would be

inconsistent with their own statements for the FCC to continue code testing.

FCC has repeatedly said that it will not violate S25.5.
>
>The code test still exists for General and Amateur Extra class
>licenses.

That test has been reduced to the very minimum that reasonably meets the requirements of S25.5.

Is there any reasonable doubt that the FCC will remove the code test for all classes of license if S25.5 is eliminated?

N2EY

```
From 925671242938513032
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 22:27:24 PST
Message-ID: <3B245650.62E32B13@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:25:36 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B23D5AD.36D43F1F@IEEE.org> <20010610221925.03236.00001621@nso-
fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp 992236731 64.240.47.109 (11 Jun 2001 00:18:51 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23861
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>

```
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> Achieving the ability to pass a 20 WPM code test for an Extra
> >> class license was one of the most reasonable "demands" ever
> >> placed on me.
> >
> >Why are your demands sacred compared to anyone else's, Larry?
>
> Provide the quote from me stating that my demands are sacred,
> and I'll attempt to answer.

One can certainly infer from your above comment that you consider
20wpm to have been a sacred demand.
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6162032318025284483
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 22:31:00 PST
Message-ID: <3B245722.A11B9FE0@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:29:06 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B23F5FB.7E813752@IEEE.org> <20010610195459.01505.00003661@ng-
da1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp 992236941 64.240.47.109 (11 Jun 2001 00:22:21 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23862

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Nobody wants to "remove code". That's just your strawman.
>
> Oh my mistake, I thought that was what removing the CW Testing was about, are
> you now telling me they are going to bring back 13 and 20 WPM Testing.

You didn't say anything about removing a code test. In your question,
you implied they were going to "remove code". They are not going to remove
code. You and I will still be free to use Morse code even after S25.5 is
history and the FCC drops the Morse code requirement.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7530106534022505565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 22:32:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B24578F.E9F754EC@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:30:55 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010610201512.10283.00002395@ng-cu1.aol.com>
<20010610221926.03236.00001622@nso-fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp 992237049 64.240.47.109 (11 Jun 2001 00:24:09 -0500)
Lines: 9
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23863

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Are you saying that a negative attitude would be preferable, Ed?

Any attitude would be preferable to "giving your mind over", Larry.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4478748647387611136
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 22:35:27 PST
Message-ID: <3B24582F.23BE0315@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:33:35 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B23E487.114264B@IEEE.org> <20010610201313.10283.00002394@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9g16ho$iri$0@208.206.142.69>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp 992237210 64.240.47.109 (11 Jun 2001 00:26:50 -0500)
Lines: 9
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!feeder.via.net!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed.frii.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23864
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> I believe it even if you don't.

Your beliefs and two bucks will get you a cup of coffee.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3427776205962530112
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 22:48:26 PST
Message-ID: <3B245B34.6251A9ED@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:46:28 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B23E487.114264B@IEEE.org> <20010610221921.03236.00001616@nso-fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp 992237983 64.240.47.109 (11 Jun 2001 00:39:43 -0500)
Lines: 41
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!news-hog.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23865

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Don't look now, but where and when have I ever "attacked" your code
> skill level? I don't even know what your code skill level is. You could
> be capable of 50 WPM, for all I know. Provide quotation, please.

I'm not going to waste my time digging up your old postings. You said that you would always be a superior ham to me because you passed a 20wpm exam and I didn't.

> I never "attacked" Ed's ARRL employment.

Yes, you did, Larry. I was born at night but it wasn't last night.

```
> It
> was you who choose to introduce him by name, therefore you bear
> the responsibility for any second-hand "heat" he gets here.

"Second-hand heat" is an attack, Larry.

> >They attack Bill's NCI board position.
>
> Again, provide quotes.  I've never attacked Bill's position on the NCI
> Board, because I just don't deem it to be something significant enough
> for me to bother "attacking."

Look up "they" in the dictionary, Larry.

> >They attack my friend's inability to get past 10wpm.
>
> Provide one single quote specifically from me where I attack your
> friend (Dr. Williamson, presumably) for his inability to get past ten
> WPM.

Nope, it's not Dr. Williamson.
--
cheers, Cecil
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 593218452538377096
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 23:01:28 PST
Message-ID: <3B245E37.185417BB@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 00:59:19 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cecil's "Muiltitudes" chased away by Larry (Was: Re: Pendulum
 reverses)
References: <3B23E844.3E7CFEDC@IEEE.org> <20010610221923.03236.00001618@nso-
fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp 992238775 64.240.47.109 (11 Jun 2001 00:52:55 -0500)
Lines: 21
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
```

X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23866

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Probably not, Larry, but the multitudes of potential hams that you
> >have chased away from ham radio world-wide no doubt would agree.
>
> Hmmm. Interesting premise. Let's give this a try: Anyone out there,
> anywhere in the world -- if you were "chased away" from ham radio
> due to something I said, over the years, please post a brief message
> to this thread so stating that fact.

Nice try, Larry, but foolish. You already chased them away so they
don't read this newsgroup anymore. You have to poll the *past* readers
to prove anything.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8776423558540025478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 23:05:39 PST
Message-ID: <3B245F45.C33B0313@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 01:03:49 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B24003A.29E3D711@IEEE.org> <20010610195654.01505.00003663@ng-da1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp 992239024 64.240.47.109 (11 Jun 2001 00:57:04 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23867

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >I don't speak for the majority - I stated what I think.
> >I could be wrong. Could you be wrong?
>
> Yea your probably wrong, and no Im not wrong.

If your thoughts are anything like your English ...

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3965994100694259566
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-10 23:23:12 PST
Message-ID: <3B24636A.451885A@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 01:21:30 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010610153443.15339.00001078@nso-df.aol.com> <20010610181322.11326.00002997@ng-mp1.aol.com> <3B23FA4B.79EC9FC1@IEEE.org> <9g15cl$d69$1@208.206.142.69>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.109
X-Trace: corp 992240086 64.240.47.109 (11 Jun 2001 01:14:46 -0500)
Lines: 22

```
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23868

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> >
> > Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > > The results of thier concerns was to become manifest.  Unless you call
> > > what exists between 26 to 28Mhz "acceptable"...?!?!
> >
> > If a 40 year old radio service is "acceptable" to the FCC,
> > why should it be not "acceptable" to you?
>
>  Well ther is it again, folks... He admits he is perfectly happy with CB
> in alla its shining slime, er glory!

Seems we have our hands full worrying about amateur radio, Dick. The Bible
says to remove the log from your own eye before worrying about the speck
in someone else's eye.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 4602132246256561971
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 00:11:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cecil's "Muiltitudes" chased away by Larry (Was: Re: Pendulum
reverses)
Date: 11 Jun 2001 00:11:12 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 33
Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106102311.64c90b82@posting.google.com>
References: <3B23E844.3E7CFEDC@IEEE.org> <20010610221923.03236.00001618@nso-
```

```
fc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.20.76.5
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992243473 28703 127.0.0.1 (11 Jun 2001 07:11:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 11 Jun 2001 07:11:13 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23869

Unfortunately, there is a slight flaw in an otherwise good plan. If
they have been chased away from Amateur Radio, they wouldn't be
bothered reading AR newsgroups to respond, now would they? ;-)

Mark.


yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20010610221923.03236.00001618@nso-fc.aol.com>...
> In article <3B23E844.3E7CFEDC@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >> Hmmm.  I wonder if the dozens of hams for whom I've provided their
> >> first and/or only Delaware contacts on CW, RTTY, and PSK31 would
> >> agree with you?
> >
> >Probably not, Larry, but the multitudes of potential hams that you
> >have chased away from ham radio world-wide no doubt would agree.
>
> Cecil:
>
> Hmmm.  Interesting premise.  Let's give this a try:  Anyone out there,
> anywhere in the world -- if you were "chased away" from ham radio
> due to something I said, over the years, please post a brief message
> to this thread so stating that fact.  If I get 10 responses, I will gladly
> admit that Cecil is right.  If I even get 2 - 3 responses, I will at least
> admit that there is a possibility that he is right.  However, he claims
> I've "chased away" multitudes of hams, all over the world -- so if he
> really *is* right about that, then this thread should suddenly be flooded
> with postings.
>
> OK, Cecil -- it's now up to your "multitudes."  It's put up or shut up
> time.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT


From -2028526030421303722
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 03:38:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
```

Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 10:37:51 GMT
References: <20010610221924.03236.00001619@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611063751.29652.00001508@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23870

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Well, my experience with stick-shift vehicles, archery, and the Morse
>code were just the opposite of yours. The first car I ever drove with
>a stick shift was my then girlfriend's '65 Mustang coupe, which had
>a 200 c.i.d. 6-cylinder engine and a 3-speed on-the-floor. I literally
>just got in behind the wheel and drove it away. It was one of the
>most intuitive things I'd ever tried.

And others simply never get the hang of operating a clutch. I think there is as much variation on how "intuitive" Morse code is to people as there is variation in the ability to learn how to operate a clutch.

Driving a car is literally a life-and-death situation every day, yet I know of no state that still has a test of the most basic and fundamental skill of operating a manual-gear transmission.

Good analogy, Larry; thanks for bringing it up. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 517115381246919940
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 03:41:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 10:40:45 GMT
References: <20010610221926.03236.00001622@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010611064045.29652.00001509@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23871

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Are you saying that a negative attitude would be preferable, Ed?

Not at all, Larry. I am surprised you took it that way, but you have been surprising me for years.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9058184497903445876
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 03:42:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 10:41:49 GMT
References: <20010610221922.03236.00001617@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL Opposition to Restructuring (Was: Re: Pendulum reverses)
Message-ID: <20010611064149.29652.00001510@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23872

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

> If no such posting takes place within the next week -- more than enough time
>for the word to get around HQ and the posting to take place, then I'm right
>and you're wrong.

Either that or no one at ARRL HQ but me regulary reads r.r.a.p. Most of them chide me for wasting my time here.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 1511945326412097105
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 03:43:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!
vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 10:43:37 GMT
References: <20010610221926.03236.00001622@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010611064337.29652.00001511@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23873

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Are you saying that a negative attitude would be preferable, Ed?

I thought about this a bit more and I agree with you -- a negative attitude is harmful and desctructive and tends to have the opposite effect that the person exhibiting it intended.

Can I presume that you will learn from your own words and stop being negative on this forum?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2414228419006061368
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 03:46:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 10:46:11 GMT
References: <20010610221923.03236.00001618@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Cecil's "Muiltitudes" chased away by Larry (Was: Re: Pendulum reverses)
Message-ID: <20010611064611.29652.00001512@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23874

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Hmmm. Interesting premise. Let's give this a try: Anyone out there,
>anywhere in the world -- if you were "chased away" from ham radio
>due to something I said, over the years, please post a brief message
>to this thread so stating that fact. If I get 10 responses, I will gladly

>admit that Cecil is right. If I even get 2 - 3 responses, I will at least
>admit that there is a possibility that he is right.

Uh, Larry... I think that those that are chased away would not be here -- they were chased away.

How about you restate the same question in more general terms and post it to sci.electronics?

73, Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 4915123086195191083
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 03:51:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 10:51:30 GMT
References: <9g171i$ki6$0@208.206.142.69>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611065130.29652.00001513@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23875
```

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

> But all you have to do is read here to see clearly
>that the many who state for the record they don't object to CW opertions
>really would throw it away on short notice given any clear opportunity,
>much less a reason such as "need for more spectrum for SSB".

As a CW op, all that I would ask is that the allocation for modes be fair. This evening, start tuning your receiver on 4.000 MHz and tune downward. Let me know when the band gets real quiet and tell me which mode is getting the short shrift here.

At a necessary bandwidth of 100 Hz for a CW contact (easy math -- the real necessary bandwidth should be a bit smaller), I count room for 1,225 CW QSOs on the CW/data part of the band. Let us know tomorrow how many were actually occurring.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -1354058244358960916

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 03:59:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 34
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 10:59:31 GMT
References: <9g16ho$iri$0@208.206.142.69>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611065931.29652.00001514@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23876

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>So who said you were being dishonest? What was said was that you wouldn't be on here posting, nor in fact even working at HQ if you were not completely in tune with the scene there, and if they didn't feel they could trust you to adhere to the League "line". I believe it even if you don't.

The major flaw in your logic is that my posts here are NOT in complete agreement with the current ARRL policy. The current policy is that ARRL supports the retention of Morse code testing for US HF access. I will take no steps to undermine that policy, but am perfectly free to discuss with hams what I believe should occur in the future.

There are people at HQ that feel that Morse code testing should be eliminated entirely; there are people there who feel that 20 wpm should have been kept. I am among the latter, based on the views I sent to Tom Frenaye prior to the restructuring.

If the only people ARRL could hire are those that believe that there should be four classes of amateur licenses, with a 0,5,5 and 12 wpm code tests, hiring would be rather difficult.

I recently hired someone in the Lab and the subject of his views on restructuring didn't come up. I suspect that his views are different than mine. He is as free to discuss his views as I am to discuss mine. I KNOW one of the other Lab guys' view are very different than mine, based on a few late-night discussions we have had in the Lab. They are irrelevant to the job.

To most of us at ARRL HQ, Dick, there are much more important issues that a restructuring that has been in place for over a year.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9155388674086155377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 04:02:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 11:02:16 GMT
References: <20010610221921.03236.00001616@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611070216.29652.00001515@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23877

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>I never "attacked" Ed's ARRL employment. I've mearly pointed out
>certain realities of corporate/organizational life to which Ed is not immune,
>and therefore blunts the impact of what he says here.

In what way are the merits or demerits of my views changed because I work at ARRL HQ? If I have a good idea, it is still a good idea; if I have a bad one, it is still bad.

The only attempt I see at blunting is coming from those who want to use any technique they can to cast doubts on me when I make a particularly good point. WA6VSE used to do that when he tried to convince people that the only reason I said something was because I worked for ARRL HQ. See that pattern here, Larry?
It ain't a pretty one from this side.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -3458234001854185206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 04:04:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!uchinews!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 11 Jun 2001 11:04:37 GMT
References: <3b2417f8.43984167@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611070437.29652.00001516@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23878

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>Heaven forbid that some morse users may actually have
>to "sell" the use of morse to newcomers.

There are some here who are tearing it down in a misguided attempt to build it up. From here, it doesn't look much different than the kid who took his ball home because he didn't like a call made in the game.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8487007359146597621
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 04:05:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 11:05:27 GMT
References: <3b2416fe.43733916@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611070527.29652.00001517@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23879

>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>> Bull, the FCC didnt want to waste time and manpower with Disability waiver.

>Please provide us with the economics that sustain your
>argument. The waivers were handled by the VEs, not
>the FCC.

No, but the FCC received a lot of complaints about the abuses.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -3331830738335512415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 04:10:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 11:09:45 GMT
References: <20010610111607.03759.00004803@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611070945.29652.00001518@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23880
```

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>>Those who know me well are laughing themselves silly, Bruce. :-)

>I am somewhat of a "yes man" at work, myself -- for a very practical
>reason: I have a good job, and I want to keep it!

Trust me, Larry; being a "yes man" on rrap is not on my job description. I keep my job by doing it well, not by posting, or not posting, on rrap.

>And when my "bosses" ask me to do something, its always something
>completely within my job description, and totally "doable" -- so why should I
say
> "No?"

My boss has never once asked me to post anything on rrap. It is not in my job description, so this really is a bit moot.

>Keep in mind, certain of my co-workers *do* say "No" when asked to
>do their job -- but due to their seniority, the Union, and the fact that we
>are employees of an operating division of a state agency, they can't
>get their funky little butts summarily canned for doing so.

ARRL is not unionized, and it it were, I would be management, not union. And Mark and I, or Dave and I, or various ARRL Board members and I have had a number of instances where I disagreed with them. Sometime I win; sometimes I lose. And most of the time, when I lose, I have been wrong.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1803327994880371581
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 04:12:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 11:12:33 GMT
References: <20010610111607.03759.00004803@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611071233.29652.00001519@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23881

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam  (Larry Roll K3LT)

>And, unfortunately for Ed, the only way this
>can be disproved is for another ARRL current staff member to come into
>this newsgroup and claim that Restructuring is the worst thing to
>hit ham radio since TVI -- and THAT is something Ed knows about!

If no one accepts your challenge, what that would be more likely to prove is
that no one is bothering with rrap.  From what Jon told me the other day, the
news feed no longer includes rra.  When I started posting from home, I think no
one else was reading it.  Of course, you are free to claim that it "proves"
anything you like.

Why don't you claim that unless each ARRL HQ staffer personally writes you and
gives you their view, you have been proven to be 100% right in everything you
have ever posted. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI


From 4011838088046896946
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 06:09:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-
in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: 11 Jun 2001 13:09:12 GMT
Organization: hardly any
```

```
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9g2fto$o2a$0@208.207.71.164>
References: <3B23D5AD.36D43F1F@IEEE.org> <20010610221925.03236.00001621@nso-
fc.aol.com> <3B245650.62E32B13@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.164
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23882



CAM wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >
> > >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > >> Achieving the ability to pass a 20 WPM code test for an Extra
> > >> class license was one of the most reasonable "demands" ever
> > >> placed on me.
> > >
> > >Why are your demands sacred compared to anyone else's, Larry?
> >
> > Provide the quote from me stating that my demands are sacred,
> > and I'll attempt to answer.
>
> One can certainly infer from your above comment that you consider
> 20wpm to have been a sacred demand.
>

 NO, *you* infer it like you infer everything else.


From 4606630466099164324
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 07:08:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
Message-ID: <3B24C2C2.DC6BC59A@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
```

References: <20010610083345.24719.00000840@ng-fy1.aol.com>
<20010610210526.10283.00002414@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 30
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 14:08:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.249
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992268503 63.17.222.249 (Mon, 11 Jun 2001 07:08:23 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 07:08:23 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 07:06:20 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23883

W1RFI wrote:

> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>
> >>It is a policy change wrt the international aspects of Morse
> >>code testing.
>
> >No its another marketing scheme by ARRL, It hopes that they will gain favor
> >with the CBers and CBplussers, and in turn suck more money out of the New
> >ones.
>
> If that is the case, why did ARRL affirm its present policy that it supports
> the retention of Morse code for HF access in the US?
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

C'mon Ed, you already know the answer to this one. The League has itself in the unenviable position of trying to please the core membership, the newcomers (the membership of tomorrow) and the FCC. It is an impossible balancing act. Apparently the board is hoping to take its cue from the political animals in Washington by use of an inordinate amount of mumbo-jumbo.

As K3FK often remarked during our Helsinki luncheon bull sessions, "There comes a time when organizations forget about their purpose and begin to focus on maintaining the organization." There are times when I think the ARRL has reached that point.

Dave K8MN

From 2637866870087916224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 07:17:36 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 14:16:36 GMT
References: <3B23E620.8C60EA83@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611101636.11416.00004406@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23884

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 6/10/01 4:26 PM Central Daylight Time

>Ed, do you really have lackeys? How much does the ARRL pay
>them? How many do you have?

You got it wrong, Cec...I PAY to be his lacky!

But Ed, The spurs have GOT to go!!!!!!!!!

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 5844735682377072585
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 07:18:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n0vfp@aol.comWWCR (LLoyd Davies)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 14:18:00 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Tim McViegh, SK
Message-ID: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23885

Were you pleased to him die?

Was he ever a ham?

LLoyd Davies
http://clik.to/n0vfp

From 1709979014532827422
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 07:21:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 10:21:23 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <ti9kv86eb1oe0e@corp.supernews.com>
References: <1aDU6.21966$s12.601096@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<20010610215308.07028.00000362@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 79
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23886

"Bert Craig" <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010610215308.07028.00000362@ng-mg1.news.cs.com...
> I reread your post and you state "a great many," I was not my intention
for you
> to infer that I thought you had meant "all." My point was solely that
while
> there may be "a great many" exhibiting the behaviour that you'd described,
I
> feel that there are a great many MORE whom do NOT.

Those would be the ones who would likely be interested in getting a Ham ticket. I have talked to a few like that. They get sick and tired of the crap on 11m, both in and out side of the official 40 channels. Some also worry about getting nailed running power and freebanding too. Now that the license requirements have changed, specifically the code test, more are now interested in getting legal.

I remember having a conversation with the guy at the local CB/stereo shop, where I had them pull the power cables through the fire wall in my van for my rig and power amps I would install myself later. The one shop tech said he would really like to get his Ham license because he was tired of bootlegging. I didn't ask him exactly what he meant by "bootlegging" but I have a good idea, and since he was very interested in getting a Ham license I just told him about the recent license testing requirement changes being made. That seemed to be news to him, which surprised me since it was talked about in just about every radio magazine for weeks.

I think the test requirements have done more to scare off potential Hams than attract them, specially the code test. Almost every time I mention to somebody about getting a Ham license the very first thing that gets asked is "do I have to pass a Morse Code test?" Almost nobody asks about the written exams. Seems like Ham Radio has an image problem where most of the general public thinks of Ham Radio operators as some old guy pounding away on a telegraph key. Even some of the CBers think that way too. Some of them are smart and hard working people, but have no use for Morse Code either, and mention that as a reason for not getting a license.

> If you took it that way,
> please accept my humblest apologies. The problem CBers or hams were
problems
> long before they ever keyed their first mic or "dit-bombed" some poor slob
on
> 75.

There was another poster, a short while back, that had a good idea of extending a welcoming hand to CBers from the Ham side of the fence. It's a good idea not to treat CBers as low lifes, but on the flip side it has to be a two way street where the CBers have to clean up their act, and gain a healthy respect for Part 95 rules, which governs their operations. Until that begins to happen I doubt that much in the way of attitude will change on the Ham side of the fence. With the current conditions on 11m many Hams, I suspect, consider CBers a menace rather than another person interested in two-way radio as a hobby.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 1549601176234844208
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 07:25:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 14:24:35 GMT
References: <20010610183253.24736.00001280@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010611102435.11416.00004407@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23887

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/10/01 5:32 PM Central Daylight Time

> WHOA Stevie you really Impress me, what you did do was show exactly how
>small
>you really are

I am sure that is how you perceive it, Bruce. But from the mind of someone who thinks it appropriate to blatantly offend thousands of fellow Amateurs and write it off as "freedom of speech"...well...all I can say is I am not the least bit concerned about how "small" you think >>I<< am.

From 588176763499843669
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 07:29:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-

mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 14:28:56 GMT
References: <20010610183623.24736.00001283@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010611102856.11416.00004408@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23888

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/10/01 5:36 PM Central Daylight Time

> Your the one that went crying to QRZ, do you really think I care what >QRZ thinks, maybe they will take me out of there Database, Whoaa, I >dont think I will be able to handle it, yea right

As I stated before, I doubt they will do anything.

Secondly, the MOST they would (could?) do is prevent you from posting "bio" items again. The rest of the "database" is public information derived from your license.

Lastly, all we can do is hope. America is already rife with offensive punks like you. Time to stop tolerating your ilk.

From -2376127484740559352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 07:32:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 14:31:54 GMT
References: <20010610183946.24736.00001284@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010611103154.11416.00004409@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23889

```
>Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/10/01 5:39 PM Central Daylight Time

> You dont know that, to tell you the truth I forgot it was even there. But of
>course you took the Whinner way out, wait a minute now I figured iy out, your
>from Tenn, I bet you and Gore are Buddys.

    Your functional illiteracy is manifest again.

    You forget that while Tennessee is home to Algore, it was also home to 11
electorial votes for President Bush.

    Try again.








From -4068725209106675733
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 07:37:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 14:37:06 GMT
References: <20010610185230.24736.00001288@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010611103706.11416.00004411@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23890

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/10/01 5:52 PM Central Daylight Time


> Acceptable, why not it will be that way in a couple of years anyway

    I have heard this sooooooo many times in over 30 years of hamming, and it
```

has YET to become true.

It wasn't true then...it's NOT true now, and it is highly unlikely to be that way in the future, given Mr. Hollingsworth's endeavours.

From -912113941222299604
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 07:39:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 14:39:24 GMT
References: <3B23FA4B.79EC9FC1@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010611103924.11416.00004412@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23891

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 6/10/01 5:52 PM Central Daylight Time

>If a 40 year old radio service is "acceptable" to the FCC,
>why should it be not "acceptable" to you?

For the same reasons that slavery was "acceptable" for 200 years in the United States, but now it is not...because accepting something that is NOT right, regardless of how long tenured it is does not MAKE it right.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -2966779881110570629
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 07:48:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 32
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 14:47:39 GMT
References: <1ZTU6.75050$4f7.5810946@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010611104739.11416.00004415@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23892

>Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
>From: "JAMES HAMPTON" j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 6/10/01 7:07 PM Central Daylight Time

>Whooops! Len, you got me there. I had not heard of the California ACS. It sounds like a GREAT idea

It is, Jim...it's an outstanding system that integrates countless assets into a well oiled machine.

However...the defining factor here is MONEY! California, if it were an independent country, would have one of the top-10 (per TIME magazine, I think...) Gross National Products in the world.

That kind of tax base can buy a LOT of toys. Most of the other States of the Union don't have that luxury.

>ps - I really meant it when I said I like the California ACS idea. If a
>group of different people pitched in during an emergency, it would really
>take the wind out of a lot of flamers' sails.

It WOULD be nice...and lots and lots of people in Amatuer Radio are quick to state that Public Service is an important resource....UNTIL you try to get them out to help.

Talking the talk is easy.....Walking the walk is not.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 5850441736900109356
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 07:51:35 PST

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 14:50:34 GMT
References: <20010610225053.17207.00000084@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010611105034.11416.00004417@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23893

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/10/01 9:50 PM Central Daylight Time

>I couldnt stop laughing after I read
>his Post.
>

     I am sure you couldn't.  Cowards are funny that way.

    You, Bruce Beynon, ARE a coward.




From -5110674895913709645
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f9d2dd6a744a16c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 07:54:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 14:54:24 GMT
References: <20010610230858.17207.00000091@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Hey guys thanks for the Fun
Message-ID: <20010611105424.11416.00004420@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23894

>Subject: Hey guys thanks for the Fun
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/10/01 10:08 PM Central Daylight Time
```

```
>Again thanks for the FUN Filled WEEKEND you guys are really funny.
     Glad to help, Bruce.  As a matter of fact, I am due to spend a few days in
Baton Rouge in a few weeks.  I'll make it my mission to just pop on in and say
hello, and we can have a few laughs over your perception of "freedom of speech"
and how "small" some people can be.

     It will be a barrel of laughs, I am sure.

     See you then.

Steve




From -3874594796655206462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 08:09:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 11 Jun 2001 15:09:35 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <9g2mvf$hqr$0@208.206.142.68>
References: <20010610083345.24719.00000840@ng-fy1.aol.com>
<20010610210526.10283.00002414@ng-cu1.aol.com> <3B24C2C2.DC6BC59A@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.68
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23895




Dave Heil wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
> >
> > >>It is a policy change wrt the international aspects of Morse
> > >>code testing.
> >
> > >No its another marketing scheme by ARRL, It hopes that they will gain favor
> > >with the CBers and CBplussers, and in turn suck more money out of the New
> > >ones.
```

> >
> > If that is the case, why did ARRL affirm its present policy that it supports
> > the retention of Morse code for HF access in the US?
> >
> > 73,
> > Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
> C'mon Ed, you already know the answer to this one. The League has
> itself in the unenviable position of trying to please the core
> membership, the newcomers (the membership of tomorrow) and the FCC.
> It is an impossible balancing act. Apparently the board is hoping to
> take its cue from the political animals in Washington by use of an
> inordinate amount of mumbo-jumbo.

Yep. If reality won't do it try Policical Correctness, sometimes known
as unreality in disguise.

>
> As K3FK often remarked during our Helsinki luncheon bull sessions,
> "There comes a time when organizations forget about their purpose and
> begin to focus on maintaining the organization." There are times when I
> think the ARRL has reached that point.

Yup. Been over the top for sometime.

```
From 3745087163139623241
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f9d2dd6a744a16c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 08:47:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 15:47:11 GMT
References: <20010611105424.11416.00004420@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Hey guys thanks for the Fun
Message-ID: <20010611114711.10200.00002621@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23896
```

>Glad to help, Bruce. As a matter of fact, I am due to spend a few days in
>Baton Rouge in a few weeks. I'll make it my mission to just pop on in and
>say
>hello, and we can have a few laughs over your perception of "freedom of
>speech"

```
>and how "small" some people can be.
>
>     It will be a barrel of laughs, I am sure.
>
>     See you then.
>
>Steve
>
  Hey good deal Steveie, Im there alot let me know where you are going to be, I
will bring some Kleenex for you.


From -1700822749620053254
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 08:52:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 15:51:41 GMT
References: <20010611102856.11416.00004408@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010611115141.10200.00002622@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23897

>Secondly, the MOST they would (could?) do is prevent you from posting "bio"
>items again.  The rest of the "database" is public information derived from
>your license.

 Oh no they wouldnt, I dont think I could handle that tea right


From -6816642211839039186
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 08:54:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 15:53:44 GMT
References: <20010611103706.11416.00004411@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

```
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010611115344.10200.00002623@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23898

> I have heard this sooooooo many times in over 30 years of hamming, and it
>has YET to become true.
>

 Then you most hjave a Problem with your HF and 2 Meter rigs


From -3727939881480710766
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 08:55:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 15:55:18 GMT
References: <20010611103154.11416.00004409@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010611115518.10200.00002624@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23899

>You forget that while Tennessee is home to Algore, it was also home to 11
>electorial votes for President Bush.
>

 Did anybody mention Bush?


From -5193912955272816521
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 08:57:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 15:56:51 GMT
References: <20010611105034.11416.00004417@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

```
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010611115651.10200.00002625@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23900

>I am sure you couldn't.  Cowards are funny that way.
>
>    You, Bruce Beynon, ARE a coward.

 And you are really Comical


From -5408046823246304509
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f9d2dd6a744a16c7
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 09:01:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 16:01:02 GMT
References: <20010611105424.11416.00004420@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Hey guys thanks for the Fun
Message-ID: <20010611120102.10200.00002626@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23901

> I'll make it my mission to just pop on in and say
>hello, and we can have a few laughs over your perception of "freedom of
>speech"
>and how "small" some people can

>I'll make it my mission to just pop on in and say
>hello, and we can have a few laughs over your perception of "freedom of
>speech"
>and how "small" some people can be.
>
>     It will be a barrel of laughs, I am sure.
>
>     See you then.
>
>Steve
>
   Stevie why dont we meet in one of these La Swamps you will have alot of fun
there dont worry I will have Bubba come long and help guide you out, by the way
you will like Bubba


From -3776194588296914548
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 09:55:55 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 16:54:55 GMT
Message-ID: <3b24f5eb.155145075@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b23764a.56928870@news2.dvol.com> <20010610153443.15339.00001078@nso-df.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.32
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.32
X-Trace: 11 Jun 2001 11:50:02 -0500, 64.24.19.32
Lines: 40
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news-out.visi.com!hermes.visi.com!nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23902

On 10 Jun 2001 19:34:43 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <3b23764a.56928870@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
>Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>
>>On 09 Jun 2001 10:35:19 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>>
>>>>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>>>
>>>>>Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
>>>
>>>>They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL
>>>
>>>All CBers demonized themselves?
>>>
>>No, the Service has been demonized by the usual collection of minority
>>bad apples in the barrel, Ed. This is a new concept or what??
>
>Since the creation of Class C and D Citizens Band Radio Service
>in 1959, then-long-tenured radio amateurs were adamantly
>opposed to non-code-tested "civilians" being allowed to inhabit
>a very small portion of the HF spectrum...without being tested.
>
Bullshit once more: If the FCC hadn't taken 11m away from ham radio
and handed it to the Class D CBers hams wouldn't have given a patoo
about it. Like hams didn't give a patoo about the establishment of the
462mHz CB band.
>
>
>That resentment grew into a general bigotry that still exists today,
>three generations later. QED.

>
More bullshit. The "bigotry" has nothing to do with "history", has
everything to do with being able to cruise 27 mHz right now this
afternoon to witness the abhorrent behavior on that band.
>
>LHA

```
From -480699941430123197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 12:08:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-
post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 15:08:25 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tia5ph20glhidd@corp.supernews.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com> <393F8EE6.3FC2479E@home.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com>
<ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com> <3b21325e$1@news2.mibx.net>
<3B217F12.2EF2@mobiletel.com> <zdDU6.21967$s12.601090@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<3B240995.6EC5@mobiletel.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 63
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23903
```

"Martin" <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
news:3B240995.6EC5@mobiletel.com...
> FINAL NOTE:
> The FCC seems to have put some enforcement on the back burner in some
> areas and the limited resources simply are not there to help alleviate
> the problems. The local FCC ofices must respond to their most pressing
> cases and CB along with Amateur Radio rank low when compared to other
> radio services and fuctions.

That was a rather long post. I may have missed it while quickly scanning
through it, but it seems you put the weight of the whole monkey,
enforcement, on the back of the FCC. The problem with your idea is it does
nothing to address the idea that people think it's OK to freeband, bootleg,
run amplifiers, etc. on 11m, but just don't let the FCC catch you. There are

far too many CB operators out there that advocate doing just that. So you can have all the draconian enforcement you want, BUT until people get it in their head that the Part 95 rules should be observed, and are there for every ones good nothing will change. I think that is one of the prime differences between CB Radio and Ham Radio. As Hams we know the rules, Part 97, while they may prove to be a limitation at times for the most part we abide by them instead of ignoring the rules when they get in the way. The same can't be said about CBers and Part 95.

The only chance I see for 11m getting cleaned up is through efforts of the few remaining legal CBers who have had enough of the illegal CB operator, and starts to reform the mentality of the "typical illegal CB operator" to a more rule observant one by peer pressure. When you have magazines like "Popular Communications" that featured an article about a CB Radio "Keydown", CBers running huge mobile amplifiers, without a single word of condemnation would seem to signal it's OK to thumb your nose at the FCC and Part 95. As far as I can see there was no social responsibility shown by the after mention magazine, and particularly the author of the article. This is just one example of what, how, and where things must change. While the total elimination of any illegal activity can't be done it can be greatly reduced. That can only happen if the attitude of the people can be changed, and the illegals find themselves in a tiny minority. The resulting tiny minority of illegal CB operators would then be a good target for the FCC to focus their enforcement efforts on.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

From 4686906170567511847
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 12:18:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 19:18:26 GMT
References: <3B23E487.114264B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611151826.20174.00000901@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23904

In article <3B23E487.114264B@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>For them, it's a Holy War. After all, it's a small change from
>a Norse god to a Morse god.

I am thor from all thith laughing... :-)

LHA



From -4433822499503164224
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 12:18:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 19:18:26 GMT
References: <20010610175809.11326.00002995@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611151826.20174.00000900@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23905

In article <20010610175809.11326.00002995@ng-mp1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>The code test still exists for General and Amateur Extra class
>>licenses.
>
```

> Yes, it does. It is international law. Would you ahve the United States break the law?

Adherence to ITU-R S25.5 is an agreement. US law specifies that US radio amateurs must take a code test to obtain a license with below-30-MHz privileges.

Robeson wants to break a Constitutional guarantee of free speech. Twice. The first occurred on 25 January 2000 and the second a day ago. Both occasions were attempts to block a citizen's rights of free speech. QED.

LHA

From 8350707134363668730
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 12:18:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 42
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 19:18:27 GMT
References: <20010610181322.11326.00002997@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010611151827.20174.00000902@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23906

In article <20010610181322.11326.00002997@ng-mp1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Since the creation of Class C and D Citizens Band Radio Service in 1959, then-long-tenured radio amateurs were adamantly opposed to non-code-tested "civilians" being allowed to inhabit a very small portion of the HF spectrum...without being tested.
>
> This was not a "resentment" over testing. It was a well founded expression of concern over putting an allegedly "short range" communications service on a part of the spectrum KNOWN to propagate globally with low power and modest antennas......the kind that would be "allowed" the new service.

Nonsense. In 1959 there was out and out resentment by the then-long-tenured US radio amateurs of taking away "their" band (the small band known as 11 meters) and "giving it away" to the "illiterate" civilians. They demanded, not insisted, that all who dare enter into sacred HF territory be first code-tested and pass a written test to "properly" receive a "valid" license.

Robeson was not aware of this at the time, being around 4 years old.

There was little outcry by the then-long-tenured about round-the-world propagation of this new Citizens Band Radio Service. The outcry, indeed hue-and-cry, was about the "despicable" government action of taking away a "sacred" band and giving it away to "civilians" without any license test at all!

>>That resentment grew into a general bigotry that still exists today,
>>three generations later.
>
>   The results of thier concerns was to become manifest. Unless you call
>what exists between 26 to 28Mhz "acceptable"...?!?!

Which of four radio services is Robeson talking about?

How has Robeson's life been disturbed by any of the four radio services using that 2 MHz of HF spectrum?

LHA

From -4487035801119788116
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 12:18:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 19:18:25 GMT
References: <20010610180911.11326.00002996@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611151825.20174.00000899@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23907

In article <20010610180911.11326.00002996@ng-mp1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Those three amendments, absolute fact, not opinion, destroys
>>the "EVERY" person claim at this nation's "FOUNDING.
>
>   Was it PERFECT from the "git go"...?!?! No. No one, least of all me

>says it was.

On the contrary, Robeson's writing indicated everything was perfect.
See "EVERY" person. [Robeson's own capitalization] The founding
of the USA excluded women from voting, did not prohibit slavery,
allowed race to be a bar to voting. "Perfect" for "every" citizen of the
United States? Far, far from it.

>The wording of the original Constitution and even the Declaration of
>Indipendence would have SOUNDED like it did, but we all KNEW better.

Robeson is awash in patriotic double-talk and flag-waving emotion.
Robeson cannot possibly "KNOW better" anything that happened
in 1776 or 1791 except through recorded history. This "American
history" and "constitutionality" is all misdirection to steer discussion
into an area that Robeson claims some sort of expertise. He does
not have American history expertise.

>   The United States DID correct those injustices. We can expect them to do
>so in the future.
>
>   Including the rights of those who enjoy using Morse Code.

Why then did Robeson seek to remove First Amendment rights
of another citizen on 25 January 2000 and yet another a day
ago? Answer that on "constitutional" grounds.

Let's clear the air here and rip out the false bunting of "patriot
games." No one, repeat, no one, is attempting to "ban" the
use of morse code mode in US amateur radio if that mode is
allocated in the US amateur radio service.

The discussion is about the morse code TEST for an amateur
radio license (capitalization mine). Removal or retention of
the code test. Test.

LHA

From -79058766402918372
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 12:18:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 90
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 19:18:24 GMT

References: <1ZTU6.75050$4f7.5810946@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010611151824.20174.00000898@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23908

In article <1ZTU6.75050$4f7.5810946@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Whooops! Len, you got me there. I had not heard of the California ACS. It
>sounds like a GREAT idea.

The California Office of Emergency Services Auxilliary Communication Service isn't ideal and may not be "great" but it is realistic in organization considering the overall communications infrastructure, both civilian and government, and the possible emergency situations in this state. For a direct view of the ACS, access:

http://acs.oes.ca.gov/

...and click on the Links button for a menu of text descriptions. This site has some pages under reconstruction at the moment.

I've posted this link before and generally received several depreciatory comments about California itself, "left coast," "fruits and nuts" remarks...the usual from east-coasters. California is a large state (30 million population, the most of any state, a full day's drive from north to south borders) and has had its share of a variety of emergencies and natural disasters. The California Office of Emergency Services (www.oes.ca.gov) is the umbrella organization incorporating the ACS and covers a variety of emergency situations. I think it would be worthwhile for other states to consider the ACS for their own state's emergency preparedness.

The usual remark seen and heard regarding disasters is "the 9-1-1 system always fails and hams have to step in to take over." This is almost a rote mantra among hams and totally ignores the total communications infrastructure existing in any state...wired, radio, whatever. Each state has many, many different forms of communications available in disasters and emergencies plus the assistance of FEMA and the state National Guard. Most of those communications are quite robust and designed for more severe environments than the usual amateur radios (National Guards use military radios). In the total number of communications, amateurs are actually in a minority but are quite useful when the existing public safety groups are busy with a large-scale disaster.

The unexpected may happen as in the case of the 17 Jan 94 Northridge Earthquake when the entire local electrical power grid was tripped off before 5 AM (partially-fallen Pacific Intertie

MHV tower). The primary power to a service area of about 10 million was cut off. How many hams had their own emergency power backup? The Los Angeles area public safety services were prepared for such events and functioned properly. The fire departments, police were linked in backup by leased telephone lines that did not route through teleswitch centers (no overloading from large volumes of calls). The "useless" telephone system does have the capability to dial up public safety workers from certain locations...although the quake did quite well to wake everyone up. :-)

Combine the violent shaking with a total loss of electrical power (no street lights, nothing but a few early-morning vehicle headlights visible) in the normal sleeping hours and you have a situation of sudden borderline panic. The only thing holding anyone together in function is preparedness, training, and practice. There is no warning of such an event, no chance to assemble in a normal manner while NOAA tracks some hurricane or tornado or rainstorm for hours.

How many radio amateurs boosting emergency "service" to the community have auto-start power generation capability? If they don't have such automatic starting, do they have flashlights to see the generator and do they have adequate fuel for it? Can they operate mobile if not equipped for emergency electrical power? If they have mobile radios can they get a vehicle out of a garage or car port clear from any wreakage of that housing? If they have HTs are they prepared to network HT to HT to HT without repeaters? Note: If cell phone towers are toppled it is very likely that the ham repeater towers are all toppled (seldom as robust as the commercial tower structures).

It is one thing to sit solo in front of a radio and dream about emergency preparedness...or to gas off in a newsgroup or club meeting with the brag "we are prepared, bring it on" when there is no preparation, no planning, no practice, no backup-after-backup thoughts.

The old phrase of the 1930s "CW gets through when nothing else will" but it does NOT hold true without electrical power.

LHA

```
From 5056424672776907995
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 13:16:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!ptdnetT!
```

```
newsgateT.ptd.net!ptdnetS!newsgate.ptd.net!nnrp1.ptd.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net>
From: Josh Sponenberg <Sponge14@ptd.net>
Reply-To: Sponge14@ptd.net
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.72 [en] (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 20:21:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.229.70.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@ptd.net
X-Trace: nnrp1.ptd.net 992290885 24.229.70.163 (Mon, 11 Jun 2001 16:21:25 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 16:21:25 EDT
Organization: PenTeleData http://www.ptd.net
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23909

The government has made a grave mistake.

LLoyd Davies wrote:
>
> Were you pleased to him die?
>
> Was he ever a ham?
>
> LLoyd Davies
> http://clik.to/n0vfp


From -6506721411702406769
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 13:21:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 20:21:32 GMT
References: <20010611104739.11416.00004415@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010611162132.22760.00000640@nso-mk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23910
```

In article <20010611104739.11416.00004415@ng-mp1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
>>From: "JAMES HAMPTON" j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net
>>Date: 6/10/01 7:07 PM Central Daylight Time
>
>>Whooops! Len, you got me there. I had not heard of the California ACS. It
>sounds like a GREAT idea
>
>   It is, Jim...it's an outstanding system that integrates countless assets
>into a well oiled machine.
>
>   However...the defining factor here is MONEY! California, if it were an
>independent country, would have one of the top-10 (per TIME magazine, I
>think...) Gross National Products in the world.

Incorrect. As far as GNP, California-as-a-nation would rank about 17th,
not in the "top 10."

The state of California has a 30 million population and is the most
populous of all 50 states. Given such a large population it is not
inconsistent to have a high budget with large total tax income.

>   That kind of tax base can buy a LOT of toys. Most of the other States of
>the Union don't have that luxury.

"That [large] a tax base" is apportioned to all the citizenry. In order
to make Robeson's statement true he would have to use a per capita
value for "toys" [equipment] based on a total state income.

Good luck on this one now...

LHA

From 7821959429646210412
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 13:21:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 52
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 20:21:33 GMT
References: <20010611003523.03288.00000362@nso-cm.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611162133.22760.00000641@nso-mk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23911

In article <20010611003523.03288.00000362@nso-cm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20010610153438.15339.00001073@nso-df.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>The FCC has not made any public statement that it will drop the
>>code test in the future. That is not "perception," that is the fact
>>of publicly-available documents from the FCC.
>
>Yup.
>
>However, the FCC has said that, at present, Morse code testing "serves no
>regulatory purpose" except conformity to S25.5. Without S25.5, it would be
>inconsistent with their own statements for the FCC to continue code testing.

Not inconsistent, only a suppostion by some. The FCC has not
attempted to predict its own future decisions well in advance of
any NPRM.

There is no, repeat no, article among the 11 for amateur radio in
the ITU-R that prohibits any member administration from requiring
any code test.

>FCC has repeatedly said that it will not violate S25.5.

So?

>>The code test still exists for General and Amateur Extra class
>>licenses.
>
>That test has been reduced to the very minimum that reasonably meets the
>requirements of S25.5.

Incorrect. Article S25.5 does not, repeat not, state any code
rate. There is no, repeat no, "minimum" nor any "maximum"
code rate specified in S25.5.

>Is there any reasonable doubt that the FCC will remove the code test for all
>classes of license if S25.5 is eliminated?

Yes. See the latest ARRL BoD policy decision.   :-)

Let's jump into the hypothesis merrygoround and presume that
S25.5 is eliminated. If that is done, what is there to prohibit the
US administration (the FCC for amateur radio) from requiring
code testing?

Rhetorical hypothesis. There is NO such prohibition.   :-)

LHA

```
From 8733795816289246419
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 13:22:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 66
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 20:21:34 GMT
References: <3b24f5eb.155145075@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010611162134.22760.00000642@nso-mk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23912
```

In article <3b24f5eb.155145075@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

```
>On 10 Jun 2001 19:34:43 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <3b23764a.56928870@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
>>Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>>
>>>On 09 Jun 2001 10:35:19 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:
>>>
>>>>>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com  (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>>>>
>>>>>>Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
>>>>
>>>>>They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL
>>>>
>>>>All CBers demonized themselves?
>>>>
>>>No, the Service has been demonized by the usual collection of minority
>>>bad apples in the barrel, Ed. This is a new concept or what??
>>
>>Since the creation of Class C and D Citizens Band Radio Service
>>in 1959, then-long-tenured radio amateurs were adamantly
>>opposed to non-code-tested "civilians" being allowed to inhabit
>>a very small portion of the HF spectrum...without being tested.
>>
>Bullshit once more: If the FCC hadn't taken 11m away from ham radio
```

>and handed it to the Class D CBers hams wouldn't have given a patoo
>about it. Like hams didn't give a patoo about the establishment of the
>462mHz CB band.

Class A and B CB (the UHF CB bands) were created in 1958 before
the class C and D HF bands were added.

From Kelly's vast life experience in the amateur radio of 1958-1959
he should be able to pull out more definitive "patoos" by "hams" of
that era...and reference them somehow. Where was all the
experimentation and such on UHF in 1958-1959? Using 6J6 dual
triod oscillators with Lecher Line tuning?   :-)

From my 1958-1959 perspective and memory, there were 11
then-long-tenured hams of my acquaintence (I worked for two
of them then) who were adamantly opposed to the whole idea
on the basis of (1) License testing lack; (2) Morse code testing
lack; (3) "Stealing" a "sacred" ham band and "giving it away."
All eleven had one to all of those reasons.

>>That resentment grew into a general bigotry that still exists today,
>>three generations later. QED.
>>
>More bullshit. The "bigotry" has nothing to do with "history", has
>everything to do with being able to cruise 27 mHz right now this
>afternoon to witness the abhorrent behavior on that band.

The discussion on CB started with its creation in 1959, not about
"today."

If such activity is so abhorrent to you, borrow a tape recorder and
record the "abhorrent" communications for submittal to the FCC.
See the FCC website Enforcement Bureau pages for submittal
procedure and requirements.

Good luck on this now...

LHA

From -4703011199098914382
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 13:59:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 16:59:39 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com

Message-ID: <tiac9s8ud7s838@corp.supernews.com>
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 23
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23913

"Josh Sponenberg" <Sponge14@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net...
> The government has made a grave mistake.

You wonder what "secrets" he took with him to the grave. Things we will never find out now since there is nobody to ask about the so called second person in the rent-a-van. Only McViegh could have answered that question. He reminds me of those suicide bombers in the middle east quick to die for their cause. He was just a little too "agreeable" to get the poison needle, not putting up a fight to stop or delay his execution, maybe to protect others that were involved but never found.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
 me running, try to keep up. "

From -4670218523597885369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 15:12:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.61
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 17:04:30 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <tiac9s8ud7s838@corp.supernews.com>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Lines: 34

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6700
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23914

The world is a better place today.

People who opposed capital punishment should be more opposed to capital
crime.  If people would stop murdering people, people would stop being
sentenced to die.

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:tiac9s8ud7s838@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Josh Sponenberg" <Sponge14@ptd.net> wrote in message
> news:3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net...
> > The government has made a grave mistake.
>
> You wonder what "secrets" he took with him to the grave. Things we will
> never find out now since there is nobody to ask about the so called second
> person in the rent-a-van. Only McViegh could have answered that question.
He
> reminds me of those suicide bombers in the middle east quick to die for
> their cause. He was just a little too "agreeable" to get the poison
needle,
> not putting up a fight to stop or delay his execution, maybe to protect
> others that were involved but never found.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a bomb technician. If you see
>  me running, try to keep up. "
>




From 8201318348934854206
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 16:12:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
```

```
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 23:11:52 GMT
References: <3B24C2C2.DC6BC59A@earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611191152.09730.00003560@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23915

>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net

>Apparently the board is hoping to take its cue from the political animals in
>Washington by use of an inordinate amount of mumbo-jumbo.

To me, it is a lot more apparent that the League believes it can better plan a
position wrt Morse code testing after the international issues are settled when
it knows exactly how those international issues will be settled and what rules
changes are actually put on the plate.

The closest I think they will come to a balancing act is that I can't imagine
that ARRL will initiate the request for rulemaking. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI




From 2491667175347554292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 16:14:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 11 Jun 2001 23:14:30 GMT
References: <9g2mvf$hqr$0@208.206.142.68>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611191430.09730.00003561@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23916

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> "There comes a time when organizations forget about their purpose
>> and begin to focus on maintaining the organization."  There are times when I
>> think the ARRL has reached that point.
```

>Yup. Been over the top for sometime.

What percentage of ARRL's resources do you believe devoted to maintaining the organization and what percentage of its resources do you believe are devoted to Amateur Radio?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>
>
>

From -923470401288234721
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 16:51:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com> <3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Lines: 26
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <nQcV6.89727$Be4.29178785@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 23:51:47 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 992303507 24.112.8.24 (Mon, 11 Jun 2001 16:51:47 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 16:51:47 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23917

I find it amusing when some nut bag brings up stuff like timmy boy or some wanna be millitia bs that other nut bags who sit dormant in the ng's otherwise pop up. Do you guys hide in the annals of usenet waitting for a signal from your nut bag leaders to start popping up or ?

Why not the lot of you go to alt.i.spent.my.high.school.years.with.me.daddies.withered.cock.in.my.ass or your other fave

```
alt.there.is.nothing.finer.than.fucking.my.teen.sis.on.a.hot.alabama.day ???
and stay the hell away from those of us who want to have rational
conversation?

"Josh Sponenberg" <Sponge14@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net...
> The government has made a grave mistake.
>
> LLoyd Davies wrote:
> >
> > Were you pleased to him die?
> >
> > Was he ever a ham?
> >
> > LLoyd Davies
> > http://clik.to/n0vfp




From -8585169289858378213
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 17:16:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 00:16:12 GMT
References: <20010611191430.09730.00003561@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010611201612.02663.00001554@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23918

>what percentage of its resources do you believe are devoted to
>Amateur Radio?
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

 Less than 5%


From 6188405504870798O4
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 17:55:07 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
headwall.stanford.edu!feeder.via.net!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010611105034.11416.00004417@ng-mp1.aol.com>
<20010611115651.10200.00002625@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <yGdV6.10623$Zt6.4340880@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 00:49:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992306974 24.180.184.171 (Mon, 11 Jun 2001
17:49:34 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 17:49:34 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23919

I have to agree with you these guys should worry about something that makes
a difference to ham radio like educating the new breed of ham coming into
the amateur ranks since they are really dumbed down. Hell some Generals or
higher don't even know how to check swr that's is a sad state of affairs for
Ham Radio, Not what he has written in his qrz profile. If you don't like
what he has in his bio don't read it. 2 Candy asses Steve and Larry. Look
who is calling who a coward.

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010611115651.10200.00002625@ng-cu1.aol.com...
> >I am sure you couldn't. Cowards are funny that way.
> >
> >   You, Bruce Beynon, ARE a coward.
>
> And you are really Comical

From -8276453854397891367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 17:55:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

References: <20010610183623.24736.00001283@ng-fy1.aol.com>
<20010611102856.11416.00004408@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Lines: 35
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <8JdV6.10630$Zt6.4343702@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 00:52:20 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992307140 24.180.184.171 (Mon, 11 Jun 2001
17:52:20 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 17:52:20 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23920

Steve You seem like the Biggest Punk of all and Ill bet if you and him were face to face you might not say the same things as you do behind the keyboard. You give Ham radio a bad name not his bio. Get your head out of your ass because all that shit your talking is stale.

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010611102856.11416.00004408@ng-mp1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
> >From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
> >Date: 6/10/01 5:36 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> > Your the one that went crying to QRZ, do you really think I care what
>QRZ
> thinks, maybe they will take me out of there Database, Whoaa, I >dont
think I
> will be able to handle it, yea right
>
>   As I stated before, I doubt they will do anything.
>
>   Secondly, the MOST they would (could?) do is prevent you from posting
"bio"
> items again. The rest of the "database" is public information derived
from
> your license.
>
>   Lastly, all we can do is hope. America is already rife with
offensive
> punks like you. Time to stop tolerating your ilk.
>
>
>
>

From -946742411293453520
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 18:02:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 68
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 01:02:15 GMT
References: <tia5ph20glhidd@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Message-ID: <20010611210215.02681.00003421@ng-fa1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23921

> When you have magazines like "Popular Communications" that featured an article about a CB Radio "Keydown", CBers running huge mobile amplifiers, without a single word of condemnation would seem to signal it's OK to thumb your nose at the FCC and Part 95.

If I may play Devil's advocate at this point. After all, there has been mention of the "demonization" of CBers in this thread. The reason that 11 meter (including freeband) activity is receiving more coverage in the aforementioned periodical (as well as CQ Amateur Radio...gotta pay close attention to the fine print, Leland) is because the 11 meter ops of the mid 80s (freebanders VERY much included) have since settled down, grown up, are earning a decent living, and are, quite frankly, PAYING THE BILLS! That's right, it's there because the subscribing "constituency" has demanded it's inclusion. Much in the same way that they "demand" that "export" and easily modifiable rigs be available. Money "pays the bills" and bull$**t walks.

> As far as I can see there was no social responsibility shown by the after mention magazine, and particularly the author of the article. This is just one example of what, how, and where things must change.

Social responsibility?...While I commend your taking the "high" road, I must remind you that the word "social" is derived from "society." Why Leland, things ARE changing. That particular publication is responding to it's responsibilty to the segment of "society" that "pays the bills." Want to change that? Encourage more hams to subscribe and then they can "demand" a change in the editorial style and format. It's much the same with the idea of "11 meter enthusiasts" joining The ARRL. Complain and quit the league? Great, make room for another 11 meter voice. Admonish Pop'Comm for catering to their subscribers without subscribing yourself?... great, then the "freebanders" really do call the shots. Why?!...ready...that's right...THEY'RE PAYING THE BILLS!!!

>While the total
>elimination of any illegal activity can't be done it can be greatly reduced.

To steal a quote from Robert Deniro..."If you were gonna do it, you'd have done it already!" Like I said Leland, take your best shot. If you can make a real dent, I'll be the first to salute you. Just don't end up like W7SNS and end up wasting perfectly good time that you could've been otherwise enjoying. Unless, that is, you plan on similarly turning it into a sub-hobby onto itself.

>That can only happen if the attitude of the people can be changed, and the
>illegals find themselves in a tiny minority. The resulting tiny minority of
>illegal CB operators would then be a good target for the FCC to focus their
>enforcement efforts on.

Quite the opposite has occurred. The ex-illegal ops (as well as some still active "freebanders") have migrated into amateur radio. I was involved in a thread on www.eham.net not too long ago. (as a matter of fact, it was Mark's proposal) After the initial barrage of anti-CB rhetoric, more than a few hams actually came to Mark's defense...openly admitting "freebanding" in the past. Some of the "old guard" actually commented that they never thought they'd hear the day when licensed amateurs would admit to "freebanding." (as if it wasn't always happening...ding-a-ling-a-ling, the alarm clock's sounding; Time to wake up!) Some even suggested that Riley should "retro-punish" these hams, based on their open admissions. You're right, Leland. Attitudes have changed, just not the way you'd like. It's not Kansas anymore and presently the only "good target for the FCC to focus their enforcement efforts on" is STILL licensed amateurs. You think this is happening now, just wait till' they completely drop the code as a requirement for HF access, possibly as soon as 2003. TODAY will be "the good old days." Take it easy Leland.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

Saw this on a bumper sticker in suburban Long Island, NY.

"I Work 11 Meter DX...& I VOTE!"

```
From 5859847108794205306
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 18:08:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 47
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 01:08:06 GMT
References: <20010611104739.11416.00004415@ng-mp1.aol.com>
```

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010611210806.05668.00000828@nso-dd.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23922

In article <20010611104739.11416.00004415@ng-mp1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

> However...the defining factor here is MONEY! California, if it were an independent country, would have one of the top-10 (per TIME magazine, I think...) Gross National Products in the world.
>
> That kind of tax base can buy a LOT of toys. Most of the other States of the Union don't have that luxury.

1998 values of GSP (Gross State Product) in The World Almanac of 2001 (a newsstand annual publication) and the 1999 values (Almanac) of state populations can be used to show a GSP-per-person that is useful in seeing how a state can afford emergency preparedness. Dividing the GSP by population yields an average of $33,230 per person among the 13 states in the following table -

	GSP/person (dollars)	
CT	43,297	(1.303 x Average)
NJ	39,199	
NY	38,843	
NH	34,388	
MN	33,815	
CA	33,188	(0.999 x Average)
GA	32,589	
TX	32,209	
MD	31,864	
RI	30,676	
PA	30,349	
TN	29,103	
MS	22,463	(0.676 x Average, Mississippi being a "poor" state)

Note that Georgia is very comparable with California with a 98.2% GSP/person compared to CA. California has a GSP/person only 1.14 times that of Tennessee. Doing an average of GSP/person of all 50 states yields a value very close to these 13.

Note also that small-area Rhode Island has a GSP/person very close to the average value of the 13. This sort of comparison and ranking is not perfect but it does show a remarkable similarity of the ability to equally build a "luxury" state emergency infrastructure.

Good luck on the claim that California "can afford luxury" above "poor" states...

LHA

From 1803667607823516017
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 18:14:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <1ZTU6.75050$4f7.5810946@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<20010611151824.20174.00000898@nso-mr.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Lines: 124
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2615.200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2615.200
Message-ID: <g2eV6.74440$t12.6083513@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 01:14:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.88.179.103
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 992308492 12.88.179.103 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001 01:14:52 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 01:14:52 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23923

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010611151824.20174.00000898@nso-mr.aol.com...
> In article <1ZTU6.75050$4f7.5810946@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"JAMES
> HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >Whooops! Len, you got me there. I had not heard of the California ACS.
It
> >sounds like a GREAT idea.
>
> The California Office of Emergency Services Auxilliary Communication
> Service isn't ideal and may not be "great" but it is realistic in
> organization considering the overall communications infrastructure,
> both civilian and government, and the possible emergency situations
> in this state. For a direct view of the ACS, access:
>
>   http://acs.oes.ca.gov/
>
> ...and click on the Links button for a menu of text descriptions. This
> site has some pages under reconstruction at the moment.
>
> I've posted this link before and generally received several

> depreciatory comments about California itself, "left coast," "fruits and nuts" remarks...the usual from east-coasters. California is a large state (30 million population, the most of any state, a full day's drive from north to south borders) and has had its share of a variety of emergencies and natural disasters. The California Office of Emergency Services (www.oes.ca.gov) is the umbrella organization incorporating the ACS and covers a variety of emergency situations. I think it would be worthwhile for other states to consider the ACS for their own state's emergency preparedness.
>
> The usual remark seen and heard regarding disasters is "the 9-1-1 system always fails and hams have to step in to take over." This is almost a rote mantra among hams and totally ignores the total communications infrastructure existing in any state...wired, radio, whatever. Each state has many, many different forms of communications available in disasters and emergencies plus the assistance of FEMA and the state National Guard. Most of those communications are quite robust and designed for more severe environments than the usual amateur radios (National Guards use military radios). In the total number of communications, amateurs are actually in a minority but are quite useful when the existing public safety groups are busy with a large-scale disaster.
>
> The unexpected may happen as in the case of the 17 Jan 94 Northridge Earthquake when the entire local electrical power grid was tripped off before 5 AM (partially-fallen Pacific Intertie MHV tower). The primary power to a service area of about 10 million was cut off. How many hams had their own emergency power backup? The Los Angeles area public safety services were prepared for such events and functioned properly. The fire departments, police were linked in backup by leased telephone lines that did not route through teleswitch centers (no overloading from large volumes of calls). The "useless" telephone system does have the capability to dial up public safety workers from certain locations...although the quake did quite well to wake everyone up. :-)
>
> Combine the violent shaking with a total loss of electrical power (no street lights, nothing but a few early-morning vehicle headlights visible) in the normal sleeping hours and you have a situation of sudden borderline panic. The only thing holding anyone together in function is preparedness, training, and practice. There is no warning of such an event, no chance to assemble in a normal manner while NOAA tracks some hurricane or tornado or rainstorm for hours.
>
> How many radio amateurs boosting emergency "service" to the community have auto-start power generation capability? If they don't have such automatic starting, do they have flashlights to see the generator and do they have adequate fuel for it? Can they operate mobile if not equipped for emergency electrical

> power? If they have mobile radios can they get a vehicle out of
> a garage or car port clear from any wreakage of that housing?
> If they have HTs are they prepared to network HT to HT to HT
> without repeaters? Note: If cell phone towers are toppled it is
> very likely that the ham repeater towers are all toppled (seldom
> as robust as the commercial tower structures).
>
> It is one thing to sit solo in front of a radio and dream about
> emergency preparedness...or to gas off in a newsgroup or club
> meeting with the brag "we are prepared, bring it on" when there
> is no preparation, no planning, no practice, no backup-after-backup thoughts.
>
> The old phrase of the 1930s "CW gets through when nothing
> else will" but it does NOT hold true without electrical power.
>
> LHA
>

True, commercial towers are often 'larger', but sometimes their size may also be their downfall. A 40 foot self-supporting tower would not have to be nearly as large at the base as a 100 foot self-supporting tower. Some of our local repeaters are located on commercial towers - perhaps halfway up. At this point it is conceivable that a large wind or ice storm could take down part of the tower and leave the amateur installation intact (obviously, you can't loose the bottom half and leave the top untouched!). In any case, there is safety in numbers. Even if 80% of the amateur installations are taken down and only 40% of the commercial, it is nice to have some of the amateur installations left to fall back on. Despite the heavy infrastructure of internet, telephone, microwave, and so on - the ice storm in the northeastern US and Canada a few years back pretty well destroyed that infrastucture. They were asking for mobile HF hams! Regardless, as you well stated, all the brag and talk won't help. It is for that reason in particular that I hate to see the animosity between CBers and hams. Despite the limited range of CB, they have numbers. In the same sense that a higher percentage of amateur installations may be taken out, the numbers help make up for it. Frankly, if I was drowning I wouldn't much care what class ticket (if any) someone else held! You mention the emergency power. This certainly is nice, but a mobile HF rig would likely do as well in a pinch (sure, you won't make DXCC with it - but that isn't the point). Certainly the mobile HF would have some serious advantages over an HT (if all the repeaters were out - and especially so if everything was out in a 100 mile radius). These are, of course, rare occurances and your points were well made.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

From 3394999024819105780
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 18:52:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n8ie@aol.com (Dan Shepherd)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 01:52:06 GMT
References: <nQcV6.89727$Be4.29178785@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Message-ID: <20010611215206.01200.00003130@ng-ms1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23924

Tim is in the loving arms of his father, Satan.

May he rest is ashes.

From 3693362841417342991
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 19:28:02 PST
Message-ID: <3B257DCC.101A627@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 21:26:20 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B23D5AD.36D43F1F@IEEE.org> <20010610221925.03236.00001621@nso-fc.aol.com> <3B245650.62E32B13@IEEE.org> <9g2fto$o2a$0@208.207.71.164>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.77
X-Trace: corp 992312374 64.240.47.77 (11 Jun 2001 21:19:34 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)

```
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!out.nntp.be!
propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23925
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> > One can certainly infer from your above comment that you consider
> > 20wpm to have been a sacred demand.
>
> NO, *you* infer it like you infer everything else.

Yes, that's what inferences are for. Of course, you have your Tarot
Cards so you don't have to infer anything.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 1669040745538257772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 19:35:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!newsrouter.datasync.com!news.datasync.com!
not-for-mail
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 21:34:01 -0500
Organization: Datasync
Lines: 188
Message-ID: <3B257D2C.2C39@mobiletel.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080348.19c91d8b@posting.google.com> <393F8EE6.3FC2479E@home.com>
<beb0a48b.0106080855.21934371@posting.google.com>
<ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com> <3b21325e$1@news2.mibx.net>
<3B217F12.2EF2@mobiletel.com> <zdDU6.21967$s12.601090@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<3B240995.6EC5@mobiletel.com> <tia5ph20glhidd@corp.supernews.com>
Reply-To: mdwade@mobiletel.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: cajun02-port122.cajunnet.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news.datasync.com 992313224 7604 209.16.79.122 (12 Jun 2001 02:33:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: abuse@datasync.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 02:33:44 +0000 (UTC)
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 3.0C-GZone (Win95; I)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23926

Leland C. Scott wrote:
>
> "Martin" <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
> news:3B240995.6EC5@mobiletel.com...
> > FINAL NOTE:
> > The FCC seems to have put some enforcement on the back burner in some
> > areas and the limited resources simply are not there to help alleviate
> > the problems. The local FCC ofices must respond to their most pressing
> > cases and CB along with Amateur Radio rank low when compared to other
> > radio services and fuctions.
>
> That was a rather long post.
Read it carefully, I only covered some subjects superficially.

I may have missed it while quickly scanning
> through it, but it seems you put the weight of the whole monkey,
> enforcement, on the back of the FCC.

Lealnd, the monkey is on the FCC's back, they do the enforcing and
issue the NALs. We can ONLY point out Part 95 and 97, not take any
action. It is sorta like seeing a speeder on the street, you can
report but it will take some kind of enforcement action to get
the perp to slow down.

The problem with your idea is it does
> nothing to address the idea that people think it's OK to freeband, bootleg,
> run amplifiers, etc. on 11m, but just don't let the FCC catch you.

I will try to point this fact out, DIPLOMATICALLY, but without teeth in
the law, most scofflaws just flaunt it. Human Nature being what it is,
we can not provide guidance to fools. The best thing we Amateurs can
provide
is a good example, keep good intel (keep a running list on who has been
naughty
and who has been nice) |-) and just smile. No need to wrestle with the
pigs, you get muddy and pigs love it! No need to piss off the world,
you ain't
going to change their minds, that job belongs to mr. nal 8-{}!

> There are
> far too many CB operators out there that advocate doing just that. So you
> can have all the draconian enforcement you want, BUT until people get it in
> their head that the Part 95 rules should be observed, and are there for
> every ones good nothing will change.

The prime words are DRACONIAN ENFORCEMENT!!!

Without enforcement, all the preaching will not stem the tide because if
someone thinks he can beat the system, he will try. Case in point, My

truck driving Brother-in-law loves his 2950 soupped up rig with ALL THE CHANNELS. I have tried to show him the error of his ways, does he listen, NOOOO. He drives for a national outfit with lot of cargo to haul, so if Mr. FCC was to suddenly start clamping down here is how to drive the message home:

Setup a checkpoint somewhere in the Mid-West or Great Plains. The MR. FCC finds a driver working for a major line with his soupped up 2950 sans an AMATEUR RADIO LICENSE and he immediately telephones the US FEDERAL ATTORNEY for the State of XXXXXXX to cause to be issued a Warrant for the Arrest of the Truck and its Cargo along with all radio equipment located thereon.

The driver has just earned a $10,000.00 NAL to boot. Since the truck is now IN REM SEIZED, I would bet a shiny Silver Dollar that you would have some lawyer types for the trucking company trying like hell to un freeze that asset! After a bond is posted for truck and to cover the Section 301 violation you could bet that SAME SHINY SILVER DOLLAR that the driver would be lucky to be employed. At the same time, the trucking co would probably (via the legal staff) comb their trucks to see if the same conditions exist. A memo from legal after an incendent which is potentially costly will get their attention. A smooth legal trick would be have the US ATTORNEY for the STATE of XXXXXXXXX to enter a deferred consent agreement if this matter does not happen agian with this truck line, its employees or contractors.

Keep up steady pressure, keep up inspections and the FCC would get a handle on this problem in a short time. Remember my poor trucker with $10,000 NAL? Well if he is lucky enough to keep his job, so be it but the FCC upon its conference with him (Believe me, MOMMA and the 4 rug rats at home will help him make up his mind to completely cooperate) could offer him the deal of a lifetime, give us sworn testimony about how you acquired this gear and who modified it and we drop the fine. Believe me, 9 outta 10 will sing like a bird when Momma and the 4 Rug Rats realize they may miss a few meals.

Draconian Enforcement, maybe, just a fine to the Driver and a call to

his
legal staff via the dispatcher would probably get the message through.
Do
it with conviction stay the course and I guarantee some results would be
forth coming.

> I think that is one of the prime
> differences between CB Radio and Ham Radio. As Hams we know the rules, Part
> 97, while they may prove to be a limitation at times for the most part we
> abide by them instead of ignoring the rules when they get in the way. The
> same can't be said about CBers and Part 95.
>

> The only chance I see for 11m getting cleaned up is through efforts of the
> few remaining legal CBers who have had enough of the illegal CB operator,
> and starts to reform the mentality of the "typical illegal CB operator" to a
> more rule observant one by peer pressure.

Peer pressure!!! It is too late in the game to hope for a conversion,
the
only way I see any change is with the RADIO COPS of the FCC issueing
some
serious NALS and following up on complaints from the legal CB and HAM
Community inorder to make enforcement work.

> When you have magazines like
> "Popular Communications" that featured an article about a CB Radio
> "Keydown", CBers running huge mobile amplifiers, without a single word of
> condemnation would seem to signal it's OK to thumb your nose at the FCC and
> Part 95. As far as I can see there was no social responsibility shown by the
> after mention magazine, and particularly the author of the article.

If any half baked joe can fing a keydown, why not the FCC?

This is
> just one example of what, how, and where things must change.

You wil get change when you empty some change outta some pockets and
bring embarressment by public release of info.

While the total
> elimination of any illegal activity can't be done it can be greatly reduced.

EXACTLY.

> That can only happen if the attitude of the people can be changed, and the
> illegals find themselves in a tiny minority.

If the FCC sends a message the rest of the community will take notice
and see

it is a joke.

The resulting tiny minority of
> illegal CB operators would then be a good target for the FCC to focus their
> enforcement efforts on.

Believe me, bust a few bad ACTORS and the rest will get the message. I
would
not want super draconian enforcement but a few weigh stations/truck
stop/
cb shop busts along with catalogueing the Bad Actors which come to the
attention of the FCC would show the rest of the country that it will not
be tolerated.
>
> --
> --
> 73 de,
>
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

73 de Martin N5PZJ
ARRL member
NCI member
>
> "You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
> Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
> communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
> Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
> Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
> transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
> functions."

From -6131040901290494724
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 19:38:04 PST
Message-ID: <3B258026.8A6E11AF@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 21:36:22 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B23FA4B.79EC9FC1@IEEE.org> <20010611103924.11416.00004412@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.77
X-Trace: corp 992312976 64.240.47.77 (11 Jun 2001 21:29:36 -0500)

```
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23927
```

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> For the same reasons that slavery was "acceptable" for 200 years in the
> United States, but now it is not...because accepting something that is NOT
> right, regardless of how long tenured it is does not MAKE it right.

Steve, now you know exactly how I feel about the drug laws and the
war on drugs.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6958252735557749911
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-11 19:40:22 PST
Message-ID: <3B2580A8.4A5ED7C@IEEE.org>
Date: Mon, 11 Jun 2001 21:38:32 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
References: <20010611103154.11416.00004409@ng-mp1.aol.com>
<20010611115518.10200.00002624@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.77
X-Trace: corp 992313106 64.240.47.77 (11 Jun 2001 21:31:46 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
```

```
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!
Cidera!newsfeed.frii.net!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23928

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >You forget that while Tennessee is home to Algore, it was also home to 11
> >electorial votes for President Bush.
>
>  Did anybody mention Bush?

Yep, Steve did.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From -8149961758838228083
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 05:28:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Date: 12 Jun 2001 05:28:55 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 16
Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106120428.170b7e5d@posting.google.com>
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <nQcV6.89727$Be4.29178785@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.166.57.9
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992348935 15918 127.0.0.1 (12 Jun 2001 12:28:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 12 Jun 2001 12:28:55 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23979

"Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:<nQcV6.89727$Be4.29178785@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>...
> I find it amusing when some nut bag brings up stuff like timmy boy or some
```

> wanna be millitia bs that other nut bags who sit dormant in the ng's
> otherwise pop up. Do you guys hide in the annals of usenet waitting for a
> signal from your nut bag leaders to start popping up or ?
>
> Why not the lot of you go to
> alt.i.spent.my.high.school.years.with.me.daddies.withered.cock.in.my.ass or
> your other fave
> alt.there.is.nothing.finer.than.fucking.my.teen.sis.on.a.hot.alabama.day ???
> and stay the hell away from those of us who want to have rational
> conversation?

By rational, I hope you don't mean the one you just had.

Mark.

From 2318341469678103783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 05:40:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Date: 12 Jun 2001 05:40:34 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106120440.9857bd6@posting.google.com>
References: <20010611230448.29459.00000478@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.166.57.9
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992349634 16052 127.0.0.1 (12 Jun 2001 12:40:34 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 12 Jun 2001 12:40:34 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23980

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message news:<20010611230448.29459.00000478@ng-mb1.aol.com>...
> Just checked QRZ, Bios still there, what really amazed me was there are 104
> Lookups, last time I checked was 1or 2 Years ago, then it was only 20 or 30, I
> cant understand why so many, I dont get QSL Cards, why heck I dont even send
> QSL Cards.
> I guess QRZ is trying to figure the Odds on someone reading my Bios, I guess
> there most be Hundreds of thousands of them, so I guess what Stevie figures is
> when a new Prospect is thinking about become a Ham, they will just
> Automatically go directly to my call read my Bios, and say since Bruce said
> that he most be the only real Ham with any real Wisdom, and will just drop my
> interest in Ham Radio. Yea right, I wonder what the Odds are on this Example, I
> would figure but I have no Idea what the Total Data Base is.
> Now Stevie see how silly your whole premise was.

Is there a longest sentence competition going on?

Mark.

From 3620236172906076772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 06:16:15 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.61
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 08:05:07 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809179193@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>
<20010612031742.03236.00001776@nso-fc.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6700
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23981

Because their egos always talk louder than any shred of human decency they might possess, and they think they are going to pull it off and go scott-free.

Either that, or they don't give a damn about you and me, so why should we give a damn about them?

Maybe it has something to do with their warped ego knowing it'll get huge publicity. There should have been no more than 4 McVeigh news reports: The crime, the capture, the conviction, the execution (three if the accused was acquitted). Instead, it has been non-stop media circus showtime - just the thing for getting the next doofus' ego to spin up.

I hope they are planning to spread his ashes on a cow pasture, so he can be shit on for eternity.

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010612031742.03236.00001776@nso-fc.aol.com...
>
> However, comma, killing murderers doesn't seem to bring an end to
> the supply. Why is that?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 4635540720390243569
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 06:57:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 13:57:11 GMT
References: <55ae748b.0106120440.9857bd6@posting.google.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010612095711.24705.00001590@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23982

>Is there a longest sentence competition going on?
>

Yep, it keeps you guys guessing, your all to much fun.


From -4962357854817181 77
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 06:59:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 1
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 13:58:54 GMT
References: <20010612053113.29552.00000527@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612095854.24705.00001591@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23983

.04%


From -4522705039607780755
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 07:01:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 3
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 14:01:05 GMT
References: <20010612053113.29552.00000527@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612100105.24705.00001592@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23984
```

Oh I forgot the math:
 X/Z*768-432+118967/345+2=ARRL BS

```
From -7437697764426379629
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 07:57:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:57:40 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 40
Message-ID: <3B262DE2.B7E382BE@psu.edu>
References: <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010607053256.13725.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pub10.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23985
```

Hi Dwight,

I've been working on my Morse code also, and have had some problems along the way, maybe some of my experience can help you. I didn't pass the Morse test a few weekends ago, (guess that makes me an idiot-for all those who find it so easy) At any rate, I came close, but no cigar. In the week afterward, I actually moved *backwards* in ability - I'd freeze on a letter, and then get downright angry. Fact is, I know the code, but my hearing problems aside, there are a few things that need work:

My personality is such that I expect perfection. That can get in the way, so I have to work on that.

I went back to doing test QSO's in my program at a slower rate, to where I didn't screw up. I am going to work my way *well* past 5wpm so I can be assured of success for the next test.

RELAX!!! The difference in my ability to copy CW depending on whether I'm tired, or annoyed, or relaxed is amazing. Last night, after a session in the Jacuzzi, I copied for over 40 minutes with only a couple mistakes. Now if I can just get the VE's to administer the test while I'm in the hot tub...hi hi.

- Mike -

Dwight Stewart wrote:

>
> Of course not. At this point, I'd just be thrilled to pass the 5 wpm test. In fact, based on my experience with the code program I downloaded last week, I doubt I'll ever get up to the speeds you're talking about. Any clues? While I can recognize individual characters when they're repeated alone, once the program starts sending groups of characters I've supposedly learned, all those beeps quickly start sounding the same to me. I don't think I'm any closer to taking that test now than when I started. Aaagggghhhh!
>

From -5260168682823738125
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 08:01:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Date: 12 Jun 2001 15:01:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9g5asc$9tp$0@208.207.71.157>
References: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>
<20010612031742.03236.00001776@nso-fc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.157
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23986



Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
 killing murderers doesn't seem to bring an end to
> the supply.  Why is that?


 Ah, but it DOES, as far as the late example is concerned.
 It always amazes me to read of someone who has been convicted of
murder, sentenced to life or some very lengthy sentence who, upon being
paroled in a few short years, murders again. You find that common with
serial murderers. Putting their lights out at least assures *they* won't
do it again. Nothing else seems capable of assuring that.



From 676806500526237454
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 08:02:37 PST
Message-ID: <3B262E95.9A2755@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:00:37 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010607053256.13725.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net>
<3B262DE2.B7E382BE@psu.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.124
X-Trace: corp 992357632 64.240.47.124 (12 Jun 2001 09:53:52 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
```

beacon.mit.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!feed2.onemain.com!feed1.onemain.com!
out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23987

mjc5 wrote:
> The difference in my ability to copy CW depending on whether
> I'm tired, or annoyed, or relaxed is amazing.

At least one of my multiple personalities can't copy Morse
code at any speed. :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -7165561730003788893
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 09:31:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 12:31:51 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <ticgvr6sqbmr49@corp.supernews.com>
References: <20010611230448.29459.00000478@ng-mb1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 35
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23988

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010611230448.29459.00000478@ng-mb1.aol.com...
> Just checked QRZ, Bios still there, what really amazed me was there are
104
> Lookups, last time I checked was 1or 2 Years ago, then it was only 20 or
30, I
> cant understand why so many, I dont get QSL Cards, why heck I dont even
send
> QSL Cards.

You should go look at my look-up counter on QRZ if you think 104 is a lot.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -4683826911139077200
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 10:07:34 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 12:56:59 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <ticif34qpcnf3d@corp.supernews.com>
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <tiac9s8ud7s838@corp.supernews.com>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>
<3B258253.1A471C3D@IEEE.org>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 46
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23989

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B258253.1A471C3D@IEEE.org...

```
> jimmy john wrote:
> > People who opposed capital punishment should be more opposed to capital
> > crime.  If people would stop murdering people, people would stop being
> > sentenced to die.
>
> What I like about the death penalty is that it deters the recipient
> from being a repeat offender.

Only if you catch them. And in the present case we may not have done so.
Capital punishment does nothing to deter the person who is willing to die
for their cause, and I think McViegh falls in to that category. For an
example of that just look at the middle east. There doesn't seem to be any
shortage of suicide bombers there. There seems to be no end to the violence
there even when it involves a self imposed death sentence at the conclusion
of the act.

The worst that could have happen to a person like McViegh would be to live
out his life being butt bugged everyday, among other unpleasantries to be
experienced, then spend the next 10 to 15 years slowly dying from AIDS.



--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."







From -6848884794004142783
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9cf5699a4b06d9b8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 10:07:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-xit-04!supernews.com!
feed.textport.net!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
```

portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 2
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 16:50:46 GMT
References: <20010610204358.10283.00002406@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Working for ARRL
Message-ID: <20010612125046.21479.00003631@ng-co1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23990

Those kind of remarks are un called for. I think if more people had a little more respect for others. J1

From 7592454194611999145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 10:08:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 16:58:27 GMT
References: <yGdV6.10623$Zt6.4340880@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010612125827.25951.00000874@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23991

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: "Prozac" Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com
>Date: 6/11/01 7:49 PM Central Daylight Time

>If you don't like what he has in his bio don't read it.

How does one decide if there is something in any given text they don't like until they HAVE read it, You Anonymous Coward.

>Look who is calling who a coward.

Yep...I am calling YOU a coward, "Prozac". No Name = No Responsibility.

From -3848876639755908207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 10:08:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 16:59:29 GMT
References: <20010611224236.29417.00000618@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010612125929.25951.00000875@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23992

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/11/01 9:42 PM Central Daylight Time

>He cant help himself, he has to read it, hes the typical Poltical correct
>Lib, who thinks he knows whats best for everyone

Nope...was just looking to see if you were still polluting Canton, OH or not. Glad to see you left.

From -3421856805810098004
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 10:09:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 17:01:13 GMT
References: <20010611151826.20174.00000900@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612130113.25951.00000876@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23993

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/11/01 2:18 PM Central Daylight Time

>Robeson wants to break a Constitutional guarantee of free
>speech. Twice. The first occurred on 25 January 2000 and
>the second a day ago. Both occasions were attempts to
>block a citizen's rights of free speech.

Len...we have been doing so well this week and now you are starting to not tell the truth again.

Shall we resume?

From 7462725618753730600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 10:10:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.getlhk1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <20010610163819.08242.00001068@nso-mf.aol.com> <1ZTU6.75050$4f7.5810946@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9g46ob$la5$1@208.207.71.174>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Lines: 36
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 17:07:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 992365633 63.178.69.163 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001 17:07:13 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 17:07:13 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23994

On 12 Jun 2001 04:44:59 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>> Whooops! Len, you got me there. I had not heard of the California ACS. It

>> sounds like a GREAT idea.
>
>
> Why, that's odd. Not that long ago Lennie the Limp Noodle was holding
>forth about how well the state's pubsafety communicators were prepared
>and equipped to handle anything up to and including the "Big One". He
>must have been doing a laittle surfing and stumbled onto a small dose of
>reality.

I was close to ACS in the years that I was in California, and worked with both the late founding director (Stan Harter) and the current director (Ben Green) - both active hams - in my role as San Francisco Ringmaster. ACS is preety good, becasue it has good people there.

Make no mistake, ACS coordinates State RACES, the broadcast industry's Emergency Action System (EAS), REACT, other similar non-governmneteal communication groups, and therefore relies strongly on amateur and non-amateur volunteers to function, just as the rest of OES (Office of Emergency Services) relies on the agencies which make up the Public Safety Communications sector. Thankfully we got rid of the buffoon in the latter agency who, when contacting us for something special, would say that he was calling from "The Governor's Office of Emergency Services" (its official title) with emphasis on the first three words and often leaving off the rest of the name....

Cooperation is the name of the game.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 5219015105038998745
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 10:16:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 66
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 17:16:18 GMT
References: <20010611151827.20174.00000902@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010612131618.25951.00000877@ng-cn1.aol.com>

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23995

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/11/01 2:18 PM Central Daylight Time

>Nonsense. In 1959 there was out and out resentment by the
>then-long-tenured US radio amateurs of taking away "their"
>band (the small band known as 11 meters) and "giving it away"
>to the "illiterate" civilians.

There is "nonsense" here, but it is not in what I wrote.

And here it is........

>They demanded, not insisted, that
>all who dare enter into sacred HF territory be first code-tested
>and pass a written test to "properly" receive a "valid" license.

>Robeson was not aware of this at the time, being around 4
>years old.

This is true. However it does not negate my current ability to read,
learn and formulate an INFORMED opinion based on historical documents NOW.

If one were to accept your insinuation that we are not able to do this,
for what purpose would we build libraries, databases, etc? Are we to assume
that ONLY first hand recollections are valid for the formulation of an opinion?
I would hesitate to make that suggestion to the members of the Supreme Court
whoi make judgements based on a document that was drafted almost 2 centuries
before they were born.

>There was little outcry by the then-long-tenured about round-
>the-world propagation of this new Citizens Band Radio Service.
>The outcry, indeed hue-and-cry, was about the "despicable"
>government action of taking away a "sacred" band and giving
>it away to "civilians" without any license test at all!

You have quoted the words "despicable" and "sacred", insinuating that
these were words used in archived texts by persons or entities who may have had
occassion to comment on the creation of CB.

Please provide the text from which these words were drawn.

>>>That resentment grew into a general bigotry that still exists today,
>>>three generations later.

>>The results of thier concerns was to become manifest. Unless you >>call what
exists between 26 to 28Mhz "acceptable"...?!?!

>Which of four radio services is Robeson talking about?

Anyone who has any PRACTICAL experience in Amatuer or radio as a hobby knows what I am talking about. Unfortunately this omits you. (Poor attempt at obfuscation....didn't work...never does....)

>How has Robeson's life been disturbed by any of the four
>radio services using that 2 MHz of HF spectrum?

How has the presence of a Morse Code proficiency exam distrubed Anderson's life...?!?!   You are not an Amateur and have repeatedly stated your intent to not be licensed.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 5707010711976394594
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 10:26:46 PST
Message-ID: <3B265064.C1A1E176@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 12:24:52 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <tiac9s8ud7s838@corp.supernews.com>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>
<3B258253.1A471C3D@IEEE.org> <ticif34qpcnf3d@corp.supernews.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.107
X-Trace: corp 992366288 64.240.47.107 (12 Jun 2001 12:18:08 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23996

```
"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > What I like about the death penalty is that it deters the recipient
> > from being a repeat offender.
>
> Only if you catch them. And in the present case we may not have done so.
> Capital punishment does nothing to deter the person who is willing to die
> for their cause, and I think McViegh falls in to that category.

My statement was meant as black humor. If you execute a person
it certainly deters them from *everything* from that time on.
--
cheers, Cecil
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4887965996806429212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 10:30:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 81
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 17:30:06 GMT
References: <20010611151825.20174.00000899@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612133006.25951.00000878@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23997

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/11/01 2:18 PM Central Daylight Time

>The founding
>of the USA excluded women from voting, did not prohibit slavery,
>allowed race to be a bar to voting.  "Perfect" for "every" citizen of the
>United States?  Far, far from it.

     That's what I said...And we have worked hard to correct those
shortcommings.

>Robeson is awash in patriotic double-talk and flag-waving emotion.
```

I earned that right.

>Robeson cannot possibly "KNOW better" anything that happened
>in 1776 or 1791 except through recorded history.

Excuse me...I am sorry...I forgot that only you can possibly know all these things first hand.

> This "American
>history" and "constitutionality" is all misdirection to steer discussion
>into an area that Robeson claims some sort of expertise. He does
>not have American history expertise.

And YOU do...?!?! And by the way, I will again ask you to prove an assertion you have made and please provide a quote where I said that I claim "some sort of expertise"...

You are rapidly regressing to a non-truth-expressing mode, Len. Please don't do it.

>Why then did Robeson seek to remove First Amendment rights
>of another citizen on 25 January 2000 and yet another a day
>ago? Answer that on "constitutional" grounds.

I didn't. You made your comments. I countered them. You are no less able to come and go "Constitutionally speaking" than before either of those occassions.

And I suggest you check those dates. You are getting your own rant wrong, Len.

>Let's clear the air here and rip out the false bunting of "patriot
>games."

Anderson-to-English translation: I can no longer continue to obfuscate on matters on which I have been "called", ergo I will make unfounded allegations and try to redirect the questions.

No problem, Len. I never really expected an answer. Not a direct one, anyway.

>No one, repeat, no one, is attempting to "ban" the
>use of morse code mode in US amateur radio if that mode is
>allocated in the US amateur radio service.

No one, repeat, no one, is attemptoing to "ban" the licensing of retired electronics technicians who don't like Morse Code tests.

>The discussion is about the morse code TEST for an amateur
>radio license (capitalization mine). Removal or retention of
>the code test. Test.

You are the only one arguing this, Len. Everyone else in the world is simply awaiting the deletion of S25.5. You see dark forces ready to covertly overthrow the Govenment at the last minute and not only retain the TEST, but to REinstate the 13 and 20 WPM tests.

Ain't happen'n. The FCC has said so. Almost every major adminisitration in the world has said so. Only YOU aren't listening. (capitalization mine)

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -8800764588006684949
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 10:38:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 17:37:44 GMT
References: <20010611162132.22760.00000640@nso-mk.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010612133744.25951.00000880@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:23998

>Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/11/01 3:21 PM Central

>Incorrect. As far as GNP, California-as-a-nation would rank about 17th,
>not in the "top 10."

At one time an article in the Wall Street Journal put it at 6th. I presume that persons who write for the WSJ have a better perspective than EITHER of us, Len. Sixth is better than 10th, I believe.

>"That [large] a tax base" is apportioned to all the citizenry. In order
>to make Robeson's statement true he would have to use a per capita
>value for "toys" [equipment] based on a total state income.

No I don't. It's already a fact. Unless you care to prove that all those "toys" were donated by some benevolant benefactor?

And one cannot prorate this type of expenditure to the census when individual income is not the only funding.

>Good luck on this one now...

Don't need luck, Len...Facts work just fine, thank you. (You should try to use your own "tag" line, Len...this one didn't work for Hans and it's even LESS effective for you....)

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 7766395050342554543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4f49df849782ce04,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,4f49df849782ce04,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 10:50:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Charles Johnston" <charles@ab7sl.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: W9INN Antennas Page
Lines: 22
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
Message-ID: <cDsV6.38$aM6.6950@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 17:50:00 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.30.190.70
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992368200 63.30.190.70 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:50:00 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:50:00 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:48:00 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:16426

rec.radio.amateur.policy:23999

W9INN (Bill) has authorized me to publish a web page devoted to his great-performing line of wire antennas. There is contact info, technical data, and illustrations of his most popular antenna the MPD-5C 5-band dipole.

The W9INN Antenna Page is located on the site listed below.

--

73 / DX

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages
http://www.ab7sl.com

From -3220863171996772613
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 10:52:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 17:51:48 GMT
References: <20010611210806.05668.00000828@nso-dd.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010612135148.25951.00000881@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24000

>Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/11/01 8:08 PM Central Daylight Time

>Good luck on the claim that California "can afford luxury" above
>"poor" states...

Don't need luck, Hans... The original assertion stands. No two states have the same budgetary obligations or objectives. You are comparing apples and oranges.

The ES needs of most eastern and southern cities are NOT the same as Californica...volunteerism is more established in those regions.

Nice try, Len.

The fact of the matter is that California DOES spend more money on this program since it historically NEEDS them. Hedonism and volunteerism are inversely proportionate, and this is never more apparent than Californication.

No Brag...Just Fact.....

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From 928392637933832130
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,cb75d023c3241c7e
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 12:51:29 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Maia Strikes Again
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 15:50:17 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 7
Message-ID: <3B267278.DF24BE7C@psu.edu>
References: <3B221A4E.7A6C2F09@earthlink.net> <20010609102556.08280.00003464@ng-
cr1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pub10.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24001
```

Sheesh! I guess you shouldn't do it then. The people we do public service do seem to appreciate it tho.

WA8ULX wrote:

```
>
>  Public Service----- Borrrrring


From -2874345510944629516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 12:57:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 15:55:21 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <3B2673A7.E8318AF4@psu.edu>
References: <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010607053256.13725.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net>
<3B262DE2.B7E382BE@psu.edu> <3B262E95.9A2755@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pub10.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24002

     I think that one surfaced in me about two days after failing the test!

     - Mike -

CAM wrote:
>
> mjc5 wrote:
> > The difference in my ability to copy CW depending on whether
> > I'm tired, or annoyed, or relaxed is amazing.
>
> At least one of my multiple personalities can't copy Morse
> code at any speed. :-)


From 2374567731805879497
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 13:45:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 32
```

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 20:44:50 GMT
References: <20010611162134.22760.00000642@nso-mk.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010612164450.04534.00004613@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24003

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/11/01 3:21 PM Central Daylight Time

>From my 1958-1959 perspective and memory, there were 11
>then-long-tenured hams of my acquaintence (I worked for two
>of them then) who were adamantly opposed to the whole idea
>on the basis of (1) License testing lack; (2) Morse code testing
>lack; (3) "Stealing" a "sacred" ham band and "giving it away."
>All eleven had one to all of those reasons.

NOW I SEE! Here's the "quotes" Len was refering to...people he had to work with. NOT public comment...NOT official "policy" of the League or any other REPUTABLE or ARCHIVED source...

Obviously we are again subjected to the situation of "The Superior Intelect" (Larry Roll being the other...) having to "tolerate" working with/for persons he deems LESS qualified than he.....So we now see that his hatred of All Things Amatuer are almost as long-tenured as his career as a technician.

Of course in this time frame Len would have been in his late 20's...No doubt still full of "P&V", a bit more confident of his skills than the truth would bear out.

>Good luck on this now...

Still copy-cating a tag line that is as ineffective for you as it was the original author. The old imaginative juices drying up, Len?

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -2852478772905520100
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 13:47:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18

```
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 20:46:55 GMT
References: <3b259419.5948168@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010612164655.04534.00004615@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24004

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com  (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
>Date: 6/11/01 11:09 PM Central Daylight Time

>>From my 1958-1959 perspective and memory, there were 11
>>then-long-tenured hams of my acquaintence (I worked for two
>>of them then)

>No surprise that you worked for them instead of them working for you.
>Speaks volumes.

    And you were surprised for WHAT reason, Brian...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ




From 2332776620495729298
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 13:51:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 20:51:13 GMT
References: <nQcV6.89727$Be4.29178785@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Message-ID: <20010612165113.04534.00004616@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24005

>Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 6/11/01 6:51 PM Central Daylight Time
```

>Why not the lot of you go to
>alt.i.spent.my.high.school.years.with.me.daddies.withered.****.in.my.*** or
>your other fave
>alt.there.is.nothing.finer.than.*******.my.teen.sis.on.a.hot.alabama.day ???
>and stay the hell away from those of us who want to have rational
>conversation?

And with WHOM would anyone be having that "rational" conversation, "Rob"...?!?! You...?!?! After THAT post...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Are all Canadians as good humored as you, Rob...?!?!

From 1799721364759328264
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 13:58:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 20:57:57 GMT
References: <8JdV6.10630$Zt6.4343702@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010612165757.04534.00004619@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24006

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: "Prozac" Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com
>Date: 6/11/01 7:52 PM Central Daylight Time

Prozac You Anonymous Coward,

>Steve You seem like the Biggest Punk of all and Ill bet if you and him >were face to face you might not say the same things as you do behind >the keyboard. You give Ham radio a bad name not his bio. Get your >head out of your ass because all that shit your talking is stale.

I am sure you think so...and speaking from behind an anonymous screen name, I bet you find THAT a lot easier to say, too!

Please take a few courses in sentence structure, spelling and punctuation before you take another swipe at me, Anonymous Coward. What would your mother think you did with the money she paid for your education?

(Perhaps "Rob St Denis" could provide you a few pointers)

Now, go back to flaming 8 year olds in "Pokemon" chat rooms...perhaps you'll find someone there you can impress, Putz.

From -8157542559287162181
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 14:10:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 21:10:00 GMT
References: <3B258026.8A6E11AF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010612171000.04534.00004620@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24007

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 6/11/01 9:36 PM Central Daylight Time

>Steve, now you know exactly how I feel about the drug laws and the
>war on drugs.

No, Cecil, I am afraid I don't. I have to deal with the "side effects" of drugs, both "illegal" ones and ill-gotten or abused "legal" ones.

This past week alone I have had to pump the stomach of the same 20 year old twice...We put a pregnant 17 year old who was admitted for cocaine toxicity in the Intensive Care Unit...We had to LifeFlight a 33 year old mother of two to Nashville who was hit by a drunk driver...

And my hospital is far from "The Big City".

Unfortunately drugs from both sides of the DEA roster are things that we humans will never "responsibly" use without some sort of artificial restraints.

I appreciate your opinion, Cecil. I just don't appreciate having to deal with the results.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 5217893847783432364
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 14:17:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 21:17:30 GMT
References: <20010611230448.29459.00000478@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010612171730.04534.00004621@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24008

>Subject: Just Checked QRZ
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/11/01 10:04 PM Central Daylight Time

>....I cant understand why so many, I dont get QSL Cards,...(SNIP)

Perhaps it's because they don't like being told they are "CBplussers"

> so I guess what Stevie figures ....(SNIP)

You flatter yourself.

>Now Stevie see how silly your whole premise was.

It's no "premise". I simply find you offensive.

From -5669226473954121196
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 14:32:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 21:24:00 GMT
References: <ticgvr6sqbmr49@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010612172400.04534.00004623@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24009

>Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
>From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net
>Date: 6/12/01 11:31 AM Central Daylight Time

>> Just checked QRZ, Bios still there, what really amazed me was there are 104 Lookups...(SNIP)

>You should go look at my look-up counter on QRZ if you think 104 is a lot.

Leland wins, altho I have to wonder WHO was doing those look-ups! Lonely nights, Leland...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -1573051663216879725
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 14:34:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com

```
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 21:20:48 GMT
References: <20010612095711.24705.00001590@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010612172048.04534.00004622@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24010

>Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/12/01 8:57 AM Central Daylight Time

>>Is there a longest sentence competition going on?

>Yep, it keeps you guys guessing, your all to much fun.

     Trashy language.  Trashy grammar.  Trashy attitude.

     Guess you are just trash.
```

```
From -6451636695466374280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 14:36:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!
news.gv.tsc.tdk.com!news.iac.net!news-out.cwix.com!newsfeed.cwix.com!
news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <nQcV6.89727$Be4.29178785@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<9g46dl$la5$0@208.207.71.174>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Lines: 29
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <UWvV6.101106$Be4.31657401@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 21:35:48 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 992381748 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001 14:35:48
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 14:35:48 PDT
```

```
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24011

That's irrational frustration ....

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9g46dl$la5$0@208.207.71.174...
>
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > I find it amusing when some nut bag brings up stuff like timmy boy or
some
> > wanna be millitia bs that other nut bags who sit dormant in the ng's
> > otherwise pop up.  Do you guys hide in the annals of usenet waitting for
a
> > signal from your nut bag leaders to start popping up or ?
> >
> > Why not the lot of you go to
> > alt.i.spent.my.high.school.years.with.me.daddies.withered.cock.in.my.ass
or
> > your other fave
> > alt.there.is.nothing.finer.than.fucking.my.teen.sis.on.a.hot.alabama.day
???
> > and stay the hell away from those of us who want to have rational
> > conversation?
> >
>
>
>  That's rational conversation??




From -4993399745293880160
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 14:37:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <nQcV6.89727$Be4.29178785@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<55ae748b.0106120428.170b7e5d@posting.google.com>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
```

Message-ID: <yYvV6.101121$Be4.31661792@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 21:37:34 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 992381854 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001 14:37:34
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 14:37:34 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24012

HEck no ... Just pissed off at seeing all that mc veigh bullshit in the
media

"Mark Little" <mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au> wrote in message
news:55ae748b.0106120428.170b7e5d@posting.google.com...
> "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com> wrote in message
news:<nQcV6.89727$Be4.29178785@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>...
> > I find it amusing when some nut bag brings up stuff like timmy boy or
some
> > wanna be millitia bs that other nut bags who sit dormant in the ng's
> > otherwise pop up. Do you guys hide in the annals of usenet waitting for
a
> > signal from your nut bag leaders to start popping up or ?
> >
> > Why not the lot of you go to
> > alt.i.spent.my.high.school.years.with.me.daddies.withered.cock.in.my.ass
or
> > your other fave
> > alt.there.is.nothing.finer.than.fucking.my.teen.sis.on.a.hot.alabama.day
???
> > and stay the hell away from those of us who want to have rational
> > conversation?
>
> By rational, I hope you don't mean the one you just had.
>
> Mark.

From -6263825137465192401
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 14:39:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <nQcV6.89727$Be4.29178785@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010612165113.04534.00004616@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK

```
Lines: 5
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <G_vV6.101138$Be4.31666406@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 21:39:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 992381990 24.112.8.24 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001 14:39:50
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 14:39:50 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24013

>     Are all Canadians as good humored as you, Rob...?!?!

Typically yes ... and we dont find justice (laugh) at the end of a needle




From -3144357004940438989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 16:06:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 23:06:21 GMT
References: <ticgvr6sqbmr49@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010612190621.12882.00002730@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24014

>You should go look at my look-up counter on QRZ if you think 104 is a lot.
>

I dont know if its alot or not, I just could imagine that anybody looked my
call up that many times, I sure havent received 104 Cards


From -1338377184746684465
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 16:08:46 PST
```

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 23:08:36 GMT
References: <20010612125827.25951.00000874@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010612190836.12882.00002733@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24015

>How does one decide if there is something in any given text they don't
>like until they HAVE read it, You Anonymous Coward.
>

Boy you really are a sick person, I love the way you throw the Coward thing
around when ever you dont agree



From -8909993536277497913
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 16:10:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 23:09:58 GMT
References: <20010612125929.25951.00000875@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010612190958.12882.00002734@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24016

> Nope...was just looking to see if you were still polluting Canton, OH or
>not.  Glad to see you left.
>

 Nope, now im Thinking about moving your way so I can cause you more greif on 2
Meter CB



From -4758851210335400280
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
```

```
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 16:12:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 23:11:59 GMT
References: <20010612131618.25951.00000877@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010612191159.12882.00002735@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24017

>Please provide the text from which these words were drawn.
>
>

 Typical Lib, wants someone else to do the work for him.


From -3992781949491419075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 16:13:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 23:13:36 GMT
References: <20010612133006.25951.00000878@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612191336.12882.00002736@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24018

>Robeson is awash in patriotic double-talk and flag-waving emotion.
>
>     I earned that right.
>

 And I did also, and thats why comments on QRZ will stay


From -4664419108402379201
```

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 16:20:35 PST
From: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Sender: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Reply-To: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 152.163.201.213 152.163.201.213
References: <20010611230448.29459.00000478@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<ticgvr6sqbmr49@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Message-ID: <3b26a162$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 13 Jun 2001 00:10:26 GMT
X-Trace: 13 Jun 2001 00:10:26 GMT, pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Lines: 28
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!headwall.stanford.edu!news-out.nibble.net!
hub1.nntpserver.com!easynews!feedwest.news.agis.net!us.telia.net!nntp-
cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24019

You should go look at my look-up counter on QRZ if you think 104 is a lot.

"Here we have a short bus candidate who cannot understand computer science telling others how to detect forges in hammie groups. Headers causing you problems? Trouble comprehending again Lean?"

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

From 8795548656496534197
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 16:20:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 23:16:46 GMT
References: <20010612171730.04534.00004621@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010612191646.12882.00002737@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24020

>It's no "premise". I simply find you offensive.
>
>

Well no big deal, I have found you completely Offensive, from the first time I saw your Ramblings

---

From 6216537328100621616
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 16:20:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 23:19:06 GMT
References: <20010612171000.04534.00004620@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010612191906.12882.00002738@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24021

>No, Cecil, I am afraid I don't. I have to deal with the "side effects" of
>drugs, both "illegal" ones and ill-gotten or abused "legal" ones.
>
>   This past week alone I have had to pump the stomach of the same 20 year
>old twice...We put a pregnant 17 year old who was admitted for cocaine

```
>toxicity
>in the Intensive Care Unit...We had to LifeFlight a 33 year old mother of two
>to Nashville who was hit by a drunk driver...
>
>     And my hospital is far from "The Big City".
>
>     Unfortunately drugs from both sides of the DEA roster are things that we
>humans will never "responsibly" use without some sort of artificial
>restraints.
>
>    I appreciate your opinion, Cecil.  I just don't appreciate having to deal
>with the results.
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
  Now I understand your Sick Attitude, your another Dumb Ass Male Nurse, could
make it in a mans Job so you took a Womens Job



From -8237865058836548600
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 16:47:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ticgvr6sqbmr49@corp.supernews.com> <20010612172400.04534.00004623@ng-
fv1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Lines: 42
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <BSxV6.30264$s12.759667@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 23:47:45 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 992389665 24.131.233.143 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001
19:47:45 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 19:47:45 EDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24022
```

```
"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message =
news:20010612172400.04534.00004623@ng-fv1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
> >From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net=20
> >Date: 6/12/01 11:31 AM Central Daylight Time
>=20
> >> Just checked QRZ, Bios still there, what really amazed me was there =
are 104
> Lookups...(SNIP)
>=20
> >You should go look at my look-up counter on QRZ if you think 104 is a =
lot.
>=20
>      Leland wins, altho I have to wonder WHO was doing those look-ups! =
 Lonely
> nights, Leland...?!?!

No. But those CBers are a real nosy group. Always looking for some dirt =
to use in a post when you say something they don't like.


--=20
73's,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex=20
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."






From 4888816964887355679
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 16:52:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
```

Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 23:52:31 GMT
References: <20010612191906.12882.00002738@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010612195231.01620.00004027@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24023

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Now I understand your Sick Attitude, your another Dumb Ass Male Nurse, could
>make it in a mans Job so you took a Womens Job

I think that he is enough of a man not to be bound by stereotypes and the inevitable attitudes of a few regressives. There are no more "woman's jobs" than "men's jobs." For most of us, we are in an age where people are recognized for their abilities.

Hey, Steve -- Way to go, man!

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6617370451275781162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 16:58:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 12 Jun 2001 23:58:11 GMT
References: <ticgvr6sqbmr49@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010612195811.01620.00004028@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24024

From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net

>You should go look at my look-up counter on QRZ if you think 104 is a lot.

How come so many? All I got is 192. But I beat Bruce! :-)

73,

Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7005004441984850444
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 17:00:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 00:00:11 GMT
References: <3B262DE2.B7E382BE@psu.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010612200011.01620.00004029@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24025

From: mjc5 mjc5@psu.edu

>RELAX!!! The difference in my ability to copy CW depending on whether
>I'm tired, or annoyed, or relaxed is amazing.

And that advice applies to an operator at any speed.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2436286693996036260
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 17:02:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 00:01:43 GMT
References: <20010612100105.24705.00001592@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612200143.01620.00004030@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24026

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>Oh I forgot the math:

> X/Z*768-432+118967/345+2=ARRL BS

If that is the best criticism you can think of, other than the ARRL T shirts that is, I think the organization can breathe a lot easier.

Thanks for putting in its true perspective, Bruce. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7675502127481630618
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 17:11:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010611230448.29459.00000478@ng-mb1.aol.com>
<ticgvr6sqbmr49@corp.supernews.com> <3b26a162$1@news2.mibx.net>
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Lines: 53
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <RcyV6.30269$s12.761648@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 00:11:29 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 992391089 24.131.233.143 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001
20:11:29 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 20:11:29 EDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24027
```

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message =
news:3b26a162$1@news2.mibx.net...
=20

> "Here we have a short bus candidate who cannot understand computer =
science
> telling others how to detect forges in hammie groups. Headers causing =
you
> problems? Trouble comprehending again Lean?"

Gee, lookie here, one of the more mentally challenged CBers, from the =
other news group, decided to poked his nose in to the tent again. I =
guess he can't read. Nothing in this thread has anything to do with his =
comment above.

Did you know this guy like likes to fancy himself as a kind of trouble =
shooter, helping other CBers to get their hands on those trouble some =
"export" rigs? Just check this bit of prose out he wrote, particularly =
at the end. That should be enough to explain where his head is at. =
Please note that the E-mail address listed above his quoted comment =
matches that above the referenced article.

http://sporadic1.tripod.com/page7.html

The other thread had a few posts about "problem" CBers, and - well one =
just showed up just to remind us. Thanks Twistedhed. I knew I can count =
on you to provide the required example.

--=20
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member

--=20
Understanding is the reward of faith.
Therefore, seek not to understand
that thou mayest believe, but
believe that thou mayest
understand."

Aurelius Augustinus

From 7821905712971887024
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 17:26:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsxfer.eecs.umich.edu!cyclone.rdc-
detw.rr.com!news.mw.mediaone.net!cyclone3.rdc-detw.rr.com!news3.mw.mediaone.net!
typhoon.mw.mediaone.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Leland C. Scott" <abuse@mediaone.net>
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <tiac9s8ud7s838@corp.supernews.com>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>
<3B258253.1A471C3D@IEEE.org> <ticif34qpcnf3d@corp.supernews.com>
<3B265064.C1A1E176@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Lines: 40
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <4ryV6.30271$s12.761932@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 00:26:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.131.233.143
X-Complaints-To: abuse@mediaone.net
X-Trace: typhoon.mw.mediaone.net 992392000 24.131.233.143 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001
20:26:40 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 20:26:40 EDT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24028


"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message =
news:3B265064.C1A1E176@IEEE.org...
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> >=20
> > "CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> > > What I like about the death penalty is that it deters the =
recipient
> > > from being a repeat offender.
> >=20
> > Only if you catch them. And in the present case we may not have done =
so.
> > Capital punishment does nothing to deter the person who is willing =
to die
> > for their cause, and I think McViegh falls in to that category.
>=20
> My statement was meant as black humor. If you execute a person
> it certainly deters them from *everything* from that time on.
```

Unless by their death one turns them in to a Martyr. In cases like that, =
a Martyr for the "cause" can be the source of more violence than if the =
person was still alive. That's why some countries aren't so quick to =
execute certain well known prisoners.

--=20
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member

--=20
"We learn in time that it is not subjects which
are controversial, but the manner in which
we communicate about them and the
elements of personal blame we
add to them in anger."

From 2001989614740589014
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 17:34:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 00:34:20 GMT
References: <20010612195811.01620.00004028@ng-da1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010612203420.13690.00007846@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24029

>How come so many? All I got is 192. But I beat Bruce! :-)
>

Thats what I said Ed I have no Idea why so many

From -3194033482342887870
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 17:34:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 8
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 00:34:42 GMT
References: <20010612195231.01620.00004027@ng-da1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010612203442.07728.00004071@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24030

>
>Hey, Steve -- Way to go, man!

I second, third, and fouth that!!! Straight from my heart and in absentia of those who don't know better (but should)...thanks!

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 5744518555042677219
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 17:36:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 00:36:35 GMT
References: <20010612200143.01620.00004030@ng-da1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612203635.13690.00007848@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24031

>X/Z*768-432+118967/345+2=ARRL BS
>
>If that is the best criticism you can think of, other than the ARRL T shirts
>that is, I think the organization can breathe a lot easier.
>
>Thanks for putting in its true perspective, Bruce. :-)
>

>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

What Ed you can figure out the formual, or is it smoke and mirrors just like ARRL

From 840167764297852107
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2f75c1bf1932f0aa,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 17:40:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 2
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 00:40:22 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: K4YZ
Message-ID: <20010612204022.13690.00007851@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24032

I think im putting this Clown on ignore, tired of getting his unsolicated BS mail

From -7651776975195807226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:04:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 01:04:29 GMT
References: <20010612031745.03236.00001782@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612210429.12553.00004702@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24033

In article <20010612031745.03236.00001782@nso-fc.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

```
>In article <20010611003523.03288.00000361@nso-cm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:
>
>>>Now along comes Larry who takes some hardass conservative stances and
>>>his resume automatically gets circular-filed upon receipt too.
>>
>>But not for the stances. For the way they were expressed.
>>
>>As we say around here - "addy-tood"
>
>Jim:
>
>ARRL HQ will have to receive a resume from me before they can
>consign it to the circular file.  I sure hope they aren't holding their
>breath...

Last time I was there, nobody was turning blue....;-)

But they sure were working hard doing good things for the ARS.

73 de Jim, N2EY

....which reminds me - I gotta get the picture of me operating W1AW with my
personal Vibroplex Original bug enlarged and properly framed for the shack....




From -558266602472915030
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:04:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 59
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 01:04:30 GMT
References: <3B262DE2.B7E382BE@psu.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010612210430.12553.00004703@nso-ck.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24034

In article <3B262DE2.B7E382BE@psu.edu>, mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>I've been working on my Morse code also, and have had some problems
```

>along the way, maybe some of my experience can help you. I didn't pass
>the Morse test a few weekends ago, (guess that makes me an idiot-for all
>those who find it so easy) At any rate, I came close, but no cigar. In
>the week afterward, I actually moved *backwards* in ability - I'd freeze
>on a letter, and then get downright angry. Fact is, I know the code, but
>my hearing problems aside, there are a few things that need work:
>
>   My personality is such that I expect perfection. That can get in the
>way, so I have to work on that.
>
>   I went back to doing test QSO's in my program at a slower rate, to
>where I didn't screw up. I am going to work my way *well* past 5wpm so I
>can be assured of success for the next test.
>
>   RELAX!!! The difference in my ability to copy CW depending on whether
>I'm tired, or annoyed, or relaxed is amazing. Last night, after a
>session in the Jacuzzi, I copied for over 40 minutes with only a couple
>mistakes. Now if I can just get the VE's to administer the test while
>I'm in the hot tub...hi hi.
>
>   - Mike -
>
>
>
>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>
>>   Of course not. At this point, I'd just be thrilled to pass the 5
>> wpm test. In fact, based on my experience with the code program I
>> downloaded last week, I doubt I'll ever get up to the speeds you're
>> talking about. Any clues? While I can recognize individual
>> characters when they're repeated alone, once the program starts
>> sending groups of characters I've supposedly learned, all those beeps
>> quickly start sounding the same to me. I don't think I'm any closer
>> to taking that test now than when I started. Aaagggghhhh!
>>
Dwight and Mike,

Here are some resources:

http://primera.tamu.edu/people/TAES/mgautreaux/code1.html

and see what N1IRZ has to say.

Also check out:

http://www.joates.demon.co.uk/megs/N0HFF/contents.htm

for an excellent online book on the subject, and

http://www.ah0a.org

for code learning shareware

73 de Jim, N2EY

From -3253090069936231927
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f8c1150a8161056a,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:06:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 1
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 01:06:18 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: ED
Message-ID: <20010612210618.13736.00007457@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24035

Ed someone has a testominal on the AOL Board, Im sure you will like it.

From -4360411909240179958
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:32:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <yGdV6.10623$Zt6.4340880@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010612125827.25951.00000874@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Lines: 11
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <rozV6.11890$Zt6.5357787@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 01:32:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992395927 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001 18:32:07 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 18:32:07 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24036

What does my name have to do with me being responsible for my actions. Here its Irving Finkelbober. Hows that does it make you happy. Now you know my name, Now what?

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>   Yep...I am calling YOU a coward, "Prozac". No Name = No
Responsibility.
>
>

```
From 8328436487885644476
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:39:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <8JdV6.10630$Zt6.4343702@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010612165757.04534.00004619@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Lines: 46
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <LvzV6.11892$Zt6.5366428@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 01:39:55 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992396395 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001
18:39:55 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 18:39:55 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24037
```

Steve, I am not here to impress anyone unlike you. You are a horses ass, with nothing good to say to anyone What good does a name do? I mean how do I know who you are, just because you post a name on a computer is that the "Gospel" Don't think so Jack Ass that should be your name. I also got your pokemon swinging. You talk shit instead of ham radio. I am your new best friend

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010612165757.04534.00004619@ng-fv1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined

> >From: "Prozac" Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com
> >Date: 6/11/01 7:52 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> Prozac You Anonymous Coward,
>
> >Steve You seem like the Biggest Punk of all and Ill bet if you and him
>were
> face to face you might not say the same things as you do behind >the
keyboard.
> You give Ham radio a bad name not his bio. Get your >head out of your ass
> because all that shit your talking is stale.
>
>   I am sure you think so...and speaking from behind an anonymous screen
> name, I bet you find THAT a lot easier to say, too!
>
>   Please take a few courses in sentence structure, spelling and
punctuation
> before you take another swipe at me, Anonymous Coward. What would your
mother
> think you did with the money she paid for your education?
>
>   (Perhaps "Rob St Denis" could provide you a few pointers)
>
>   Now, go back to flaming 8 year olds in "Pokemon" chat rooms...perhaps
> you'll find someone there you can impress, Putz.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 7495386427352243896
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:41:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!wn4feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <yGdV6.10623$Zt6.4340880@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010612125827.25951.00000874@ng-cn1.aol.com>
<rozV6.11890$Zt6.5357787@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Lines: 15

X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <pxzV6.76175$t12.6278092@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 01:41:41 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.209
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 992396501 12.75.102.209 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001 01:41:41 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 01:41:41 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24038

"Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com> wrote in message
news:rozV6.11890$Zt6.5357787@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> What does my name have to do with me being responsible for my actions.
Here
> its Irving Finkelbober. Hows that does it make you happy. Now you know my
> name, Now what?

Proz, let me tell you how it is here. Name and callsign bring credibility,
neat handles do not. May not be PC, may not be fair, may be pure bullshit,
but it is the reality.

Dick N�BK

From -6833027464341217374
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:53:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 01:53:06 GMT
References: <20010612031745.03236.00001781@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Cecil's "Muiltitudes" chased away by Larry (Was: Re: Pendulum reverses)
Message-ID: <20010612215306.20174.00000923@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24039

In article <20010612031745.03236.00001781@nso-fc.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam

(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>I read plenty of newsgroups to which I rarely, if ever respond to
>the postings. It certainly is possible that some prospective ham
>somewhere will read this NG, and, according to Cecil, be
>totally turned off to ham radio merely by something I've posted
>here. Right.

I'm glad you have accepted the reality of the situation. :-)

LHA

From -9197135528480911543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:53:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 01:53:03 GMT
References: <20010612130113.25951.00000876@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612215303.20174.00000920@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24040

In article <20010612130113.25951.00000876@ng-cn1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Robeson wants to break a Constitutional guarantee of free
>>speech. Twice. The first occurred on 25 January 2000 and
>>the second a day ago. Both occasions were attempts to
>>block a citizen's rights of free speech.
>
>   Len...we have been doing so well this week and now you are starting to
>not tell the truth again.

On public record of the 2600+ Comments on FCC 98-143 dated
25 January 2000 is a one-paragraph "comment" to the FCC
that they should not accept my Reply to Comments dated
13 January. That's control and an attempt to stop a citizen's
First Amendment freedom.

On public record a couple of days ago in this newsgroup is a
copy of Robeson's attempts to control/stifle another radio
amateur's statements at QRZ.COM, namely Bruce Beynon,

WA8ULX.

That's telling the truth and verifiable by anyone.

>   Shall we resume?

That's up to Robeson. I care less except for all those times
when Robeson has been incorrect, not factual, never giving
references to supposed "facts."

LHA

From 4088003400790669555
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:53:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 01:53:07 GMT
References: <20010612135148.25951.00000881@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010612215307.20174.00000924@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24041

In article <20010612135148.25951.00000881@ng-cn1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Good luck on the claim that California "can afford luxury" above
>>"poor" states...
>
>   Don't need luck, Hans... The original assertion stands. No two states
>have the same budgetary obligations or objectives. You are comparing apples
>and oranges.

My name is not Hans. Robeson has me confused with another
individual in this newsgroup.

>   The ES needs of most eastern and southern cities are NOT the same as
>Californica...volunteerism is more established in those regions.

It is true that all the United States have differing needs, however the
"volunteerism" being "more established" in eastern and southern
cities is a personal geocentric viewpoint not borne out by published
facts.

The most populous state in the union is spelled California, not
"Californica."

>   The fact of the matter is that California DOES spend more money on this
>program since it historically NEEDS them.

Hurricanes are rare in California or even over the whole of the west
coast...yet they are an annual event on the east coast and on the
Gulf coast (see TX and LA of recent days)..

>Hedonism and volunteerism are
>inversely proportionate, and this is never more apparent than
>Californication.
>
>   No Brag...Just Fact.....

No fact, just another geocentric pejorative, an insult hurled by
those against states not their own, regions not their own, for
varying personal reasons. Not at all factual.

LHA

```
From 365603084436564042
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:53:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 113
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 01:53:06 GMT
References: <20010612131618.25951.00000877@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010612215306.20174.00000922@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24042
```

In article <20010612131618.25951.00000877@ng-cn1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Nonsense. In 1959 there was out and out resentment by the
>>then-long-tenured US radio amateurs of taking away "their"
>>band (the small band known as 11 meters) and "giving it away"
>>to the "illiterate" civilians.

>
>   There is "nonsense" here, but it is not in what I wrote.
>
>   And here it is........
>
>>They demanded, not insisted, that
>>all who dare enter into sacred HF territory be first code-tested
>>and pass a written test to "properly" receive a "valid" license.
>
>>Robeson was not aware of this at the time, being around 4
>>years old.
>
>   This is true. However it does not negate my current ability to read,
>learn and formulate an INFORMED opinion based on historical documents NOW.

Heh heh heh..."historical documents" written by now-long-timers
witnessing some very angry then-long-timers?

Robeson needs to cite the source of his "historical documents."
Now.

>   If one were to accept your insinuation that we are not able to do this,
>for what purpose would we build libraries, databases, etc?

I make no "insinuations," merely indicate observations of the
late 1950s during and after the creation of Class C and D CB
radio service.

>Are we to assume
>that ONLY first hand recollections are valid for the formulation of an
>opinion?

No, not "only." However, Robeson hastily jumps high conclusions
(at a single bound) by trying to deny the observations of those who
were there and silently witnessing the anger and resentment of so
many then-long-timers.

In another posting, Robeson insisted on his recollection of some
Wall Street Journal ranking of states as being "correct." Robeson
did not cite the issue date of such WSJ document, merely
"recollecting" it.

Libraries are vast collections of data of history and, not surprisingly,
have many, many "recollections" of the times and activities of its
authors. One could use Robeson's "debate technique" and ask if
Robeson wished such "first-hand recollections" be removed becasue
of lack of factual data?   :-)

> I would hesitate to make that suggestion to the members of the Supreme Court
>whoi make judgements based on a document that was drafted almost 2 centuries
>before they were born.

When Robeson argues any case before the Supreme Court of the

United States, I will celebrate by buying Phil Kane and his wife a
dinner of their choosing at any location of their choice within the
lower 48 states of the USA.   :-)

>   You have quoted the words "despicable" and "sacred", insinuating that
>these were words used in archived texts by persons or entities who may have
>had occassion to comment on the creation of CB.

You betcha I did! Those very words were absolutely used, along
with a great deal of swear words, all by then-long-tenured hams.

>   Please provide the text from which these words were drawn.

Hah! Most publications of 43 years ago would not publish such
words. :-)

>>>The results of thier concerns was to become manifest. Unless you >>call
>>>what exists between 26 to 28Mhz "acceptable"...?!?!
>
>>Which of four radio services is Robeson talking about?
>
>   Anyone who has any PRACTICAL experience in Amatuer or radio as a hobby
>knows what I am talking about.

Anyone who has any practical experience in communications on
HF (which includes me) will know that the 2 MHz wide region
between 26 and 28 MHz has Region 2 allocations of: Broadcasting,
Maritime Mobile, Fixed and Mobile, Meteorological Aids. That same
2 MHz region is allocated in the USA for: Radio broadcast,
auxilliary broadcast, Maritime Mobile, Maritime Fixed, Land Mobile,
Private Land Mobile, and Personal.

>>How has Robeson's life been disturbed by any of the four
>>radio services using that 2 MHz of HF spectrum?
>
>   How has the presence of a Morse Code proficiency exam distrubed
>Anderson's life...?!?!

Robeson did not answer my question. As to Robeson's question,
I am not "distrubed" in the least. :-)

>You are not an Amateur and have repeatedly stated your intent
>to not be licensed.

Incorrect. Wrong. Error!

I have never stated any "intent to not be licensed."   :-)

LHA

From -255398308957910150
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:53:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 128
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 01:53:04 GMT
References: <20010612071747.03258.00000549@nso-bh.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612215304.20174.00000921@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24043

In article <20010612071747.03258.00000549@nso-bh.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>>>The FCC has not made any public statement that it will drop the
>>>>code test in the future. That is not "perception," that is the fact
>>>>of publicly-available documents from the FCC.
>>>
>>>Yup.
>>>
>>>However, the FCC has said that, at present, Morse code testing "serves no
>>>regulatory purpose" except conformity to S25.5. Without S25.5, it would be
>>>inconsistent with their own statements for the FCC to continue code
>>>testing.
>>
>>Not inconsistent, only a suppostion by some.
>
>How so? It's simple logic:
>
>Axiom: FCC says S25.5 is only reason they keep code test.
>
>Hypothesis: S25.5 disappears sometime in the future.
>
>Conclusion: Without S25.5, FCC would have no reason to keep code test.

The Axiom is incomplete. :-)

It ignores many comments during and after the 98-143 NPRM Comment period, the recent policy statement by the ARRL BoD in regards to "keeping the code test [for a US administered exam]" and many other statements by the PCTA. It's rather obvious to everyone that many, many radio amateurs want to keep the code test.

>Do you think the FCC would keep the code test without S25.5, Len?

I really don't know. I cannot discount the superior amateur extras
who insist that morse code is such an essential, versatile, mode
[see K3LT for a full list of appropriate adjectives]. The FCC will
listen to all citizens. If enough citizens say that the code test must
be kept, then they might consider it necessary to retain it. Or not.

I cannot predict the future with certainty. My balls are not made
of crystal.

>My good
>friend K2UNK has assured me that the FCC will be petitioned to remove all

Bill is a "good friend?" :-)

>... How could FCC deny such a petition
>and still be consistent with their past 10 years of statements re code
>testing?

How could the FCC retain the code test for many more decades?

>>The FCC has not
>>attempted to predict its own future decisions well in advance of
>>any NPRM.
>>
>So? They don't have to explicitly predict their actions. The FCC's intended
>path is clear to anyone who looks at the information in a reasonable manner.

Noooo. The probability of future action is high that the code test
will be removed if S25.5 is changed. Probability.

There is no such thing as a "clear path" to the future. In anything.

>>>>The code test still exists for General and Amateur Extra class
>>>>licenses.
>>>
>>>That test has been reduced to the very minimum that reasonably meets the
>>>requirements of S25.5.
>>
>>Incorrect.
>
>No, it's perfectly correct.

Terribly, badly, not-good incorrect. S25.5 does not specify any
code rate. There can be no "very minimum" when there is no
quantified code rate given.

>> Article S25.5 does not, repeat not, state any code
>>rate. There is no, repeat no, "minimum" nor any "maximum"
>>code rate specified in S25.5.

>
>For manual Morse use, 5 wpm is very slow, by any reasonable standard. It has
>long been the absolute minimum standard test used by any country. The
>consensus
>of those who are knowledgeable about the subject is that 5 wpm is the very
>minimum that reasonably meets the requirements of S25.5. Note the word
>"reasonably".

S25.5 does not specify any code rate. Ergo, there can be no
quantifiable "minimum" or "maximum." The code rate is all
left up to individual adminstrations.

>Do you want a slower test, Len?

No, I want S25.5 to be changed to simply state that no
administration need require any code test to obtain any
amateur radio license...perhaps adding the definition that,
for OOK CW communications, the morse code is defined
as International Morse Code.

>>Rhetorical hypothesis. There is NO such prohibition.   :-)
>
>But it is not supported by a reasonable analysis of the facts.

Hypotheses are simply hypotheses. A hypothesis may be
based on facts but facts can seldom "prove" any hypothesis.

Negatives cannot be "proved." Negatives are non-existant.

There is nothing right now in all 11 articles of S25 that prohibit
any nation's administration from requiring a code test. S25.5
is so worded that it's second sentence reads: "The
administrations concerned my, however, waive the requirement
in the case of stations making use exclusively of frequencies
above 30 MHz."

The first sentence of S25.5 requires the code test. The second
sentence allows a waiver of that code test. There is nothing in
all 11 articles of S25 that prohibits any administration from
requiring a code test for any amateur radio license.

LHA

From 6861415938965670772
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:53:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!

portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 124
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 01:53:02 GMT
References: <20010612133006.25951.00000878@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612215302.20174.00000919@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24044

In article <20010612133006.25951.00000878@ng-cn1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>The founding
>>of the USA excluded women from voting, did not prohibit slavery,
>>allowed race to be a bar to voting. "Perfect" for "every" citizen of the
>>United States? Far, far from it.
>
>   That's what I said...And we have worked hard to correct those
>shortcommings.

Robeson originally said that the founding of the USA was "perfect" for "every" person. When countered with that he attempted to prove (unsuccessfully) that he wrote something else.

>>Robeson is awash in patriotic double-talk and flag-waving emotion.
>
>   I earned that right.

By what? The grant of an Amateur Extra license class?!?   :-)

[all will now be treated to the semper fry of the usmc faithful]

>>Robeson cannot possibly "KNOW better" anything that happened
>>in 1776 or 1791 except through recorded history.
>
>   Excuse me...I am sorry...I forgot that only you can possibly know all
>these things first hand.

I cannot possibly know what happened first-hand 225 or 210 years ago.

Neither can Robeson...yet he writes as if he were there.   :-)

By the way, what is the significance of the year 1791?
Does Robeson understand its importance?

>>Why then did Robeson seek to remove First Amendment rights

>>of another citizen on 25 January 2000 and yet another a day
>>ago? Answer that on "constitutional" grounds.
>
>   I didn't. You made your comments. I countered them. You are no less
>able to come and go "Constitutionally speaking" than before either of those
>occassions.
>
>   And I suggest you check those dates.

Quite right on checking those dates. Make it 25 January 1999 for
Robeson's single-paragraph "reply" to a "reply to comment" of
mine. Robeson's "comment" does not address one single point of
the 14 text pages of my Reply to Comments. Not one. Robeson's
only remark was that the FCC should not listen to me. Period.

Fortunately, the FCC listens to all citizens.

>>No one, repeat, no one, is attempting to "ban" the
>>use of morse code mode in US amateur radio if that mode is
>>allocated in the US amateur radio service.
>
>   No one, repeat, no one, is attemptoing to "ban" the licensing of retired
>electronics technicians who don't like Morse Code tests.

Now, now, Robeson is lapsing into name-calling. I am a retired
electronics engineer who does not dislike morse code tests but
does not consider the morse code test to be valid for an
amateur radio license examination.

Robeson did attempt to ban my Reply to Comments on 98-143
and the attempt is public record. It is amazing that he continues
to defend such things and, on top of it, deny that he did anything
wrong or unethical. Amazing.

>   You are the only one arguing this, Len. Everyone else in the world is
>simply awaiting the deletion of S25.5.

Robeson should read the commentary in this newsgroup more
carefully.

Robeson is in error in saying "everyone else in the world is simply
awaiting the deletion of S25.5." Robeson has to somehow prove
the "everyone else" group, yet he has not and cannot. He will
attempt to rationalize that it is so because he will not retract
anything he has said in the past, however erroneous.

>You see dark forces ready to covertly
>overthrow the Govenment at the last minute and not only retain the TEST, but
>to REinstate the 13 and 20 WPM tests.

I see no such thing. In this post I see only Robeson making strange,
erroneous comments.

>   Ain't happen'n. The FCC has said so. Almost every major
>adminisitration in the world has said so.

Please list ten of the "major administrations" in the world who "are awaiting the deletion of S25.5." Or list five. Or three or two.

Is the administration of the United States "waiting the deletion of S25.5?" The Advisory Group at the FCC IB has not yet gotten any position on any of the 11 articles in S25, let alone S25.5. [that information is all public and available to anyone...Robeson seems to ignore such matters]

Only one official at NIST has made any mention of S25.5 and that in a Draft Paper to be later submitted to the entire USA WRC administrative team.

Robeson seems to know nothing of all that despite all the information being public-access. What Robeson does is issue erroneous one-liners such as:

>Only YOU aren't listening. (capitalization mine)

It is getting increasingly difficult to discuss, debate, or argue anything with Robeson in this newsgroup. All Robeson wants to do is fight petty little word games, deny things he wrote in public, refuse to reference factual data, and accuse others who do give references as "liars" or "putzes" (to use an unbecoming Yiddish pejorative that seems a favorite of Robeson's).

LHA

From 7352522685894097124
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 18:55:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010612191906.12882.00002738@ng-cg1.aol.com> <20010612195231.01620.00004027@ng-da1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Lines: 15
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <mKzV6.11896$Zt6.5386588@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 01:55:30 GMT

NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992397330 24.180.184.171 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001
18:55:30 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 18:55:30 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24045

Get off your hands and knees Ed.

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
> I think that he is enough of a man not to be bound by stereotypes and the
> inevitable attitudes of a few regressives. There are no more "woman's
jobs"
> than "men's jobs." For most of us, we are in an age where people are
> recognized for their abilities.
>
> Hey, Steve -- Way to go, man!
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3398071502947811761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 19:01:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 02:01:35 GMT
References: <20010612031745.03236.00001781@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Cecil's "Muiltitudes" chased away by Larry (Was: Re: Pendulum
reverses)
Message-ID: <20010612220135.08242.00001126@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24046

In article <20010612031745.03236.00001781@nso-fc.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
(Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>I read plenty of newsgroups to which I rarely, if ever respond to
>the postings. It certainly is possible that some prospective ham
>somewhere will read this NG, and, according to Cecil, be
>totally turned off to ham radio merely by something I've posted

>here. Right.

I'm glad you have accepted the reality of the situation. :-)

LHA

From 1814667729983693460
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 19:02:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 02:01:38 GMT
References: <9g4cmj$9l8$0@208.207.71.137>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010612220138.08242.00001129@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24047

In article <9g4cmj$9l8$0@208.207.71.137>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> CW gets through but it sure can't get through without any power.
>
> Ed Hare can clue you in on just how little power it takes. He holds
>some pretty impressive miles-per-milliwatt records.

For terrestrial DX, senior.   :-)

No slam against Ed Hare, but I find JPL's DX record a tad more
impressive.

Go for the Goldstone. :-)

LHA

From -8556147011431645951
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 19:02:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

```
Lines: 44
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 02:01:35 GMT
References: <20010612135148.25951.00000881@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010612220135.08242.00001127@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24048

In article <20010612135148.25951.00000881@ng-cn1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Good luck on the claim that California "can afford luxury" above
>>"poor" states...
>
>     Don't need luck, Hans... The original assertion stands.  No two states
>have the same budgetary obligations or objectives.  You are comparing apples
>and oranges.

My name is not Hans.  Robeson has me confused with another
individual in this newsgroup.

>    The ES needs of most eastern and southern cities are NOT the same as
>Californica...volunteerism is more established in those regions.

It is true that all the United States have differing needs, however the
"volunteerism" being "more established" in eastern and southern
cities is a personal geocentric viewpoint not borne out by published
facts.

The most populous state in the union is spelled California, not
"Californica."

>    The fact of the matter is that California DOES spend more money on this
>program since it historically NEEDS them.

Hurricanes are rare in California or even over the whole of the west
coast...yet they are an annual event on the east coast and on the
Gulf coast (see TX and LA of recent days)..

>Hedonism and volunteerism are
>inversely proportionate, and this is never more apparent than
>Californication.
>
>     No Brag...Just Fact.....

No fact, just another geocentric pejorative, an insult hurled by
those against states not their own, regions not their own, for
varying personal reasons.  Not at all factual.
```

LHA

From -8650494090392877989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 19:02:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 79
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 02:01:37 GMT
References: <20010612133744.25951.00000880@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010612220137.08242.00001128@nso-mf.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24049

In article <20010612133744.25951.00000880@ng-cn1.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>Incorrect. As far as GNP, California-as-a-nation would rank about 17th,
>>not in the "top 10."
>
>   At one time an article in the Wall Street Journal put it at 6th. I
>presume that persons who write for the WSJ have a better perspective than
>EITHER of us, Len. Sixth is better than 10th, I believe.

"At one time" is not a specific reference nor can I believe that
Robeson regularly, or even irregularly, reads the WSJ.   :-)

A "Gross State Product" or GSP is calculated the same as a
Gross National Product or GNP. It is an accepted indicator of the
productivity and money flow in a region. As given in the 2001
edition of The World Almanac (a newsstand annual publication)
the 1998 GSP of the state of California was $1.1 trillion. That can
be compared to the GNP of nations in the same publication.

The equivalence of "17th or 16th GNP as a 'nation'" for California
has been widely quoted in the news by CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN,
CNBC, PBS TV networks and in the New York Times, USA Today,
and Los Angeles Times newspapers throughout 2000 and 2001.
[there is a slight variation of 17th versus 16th which may be due
to varying GNP years used by those news organizations...GDP
is now the commonly-accepted comparison of a nation's
productivity]]

California has the greatest population of any state in the union at 33,145,121 (1999, from The World Almanac 2001 edition). California ranks third in the land area of all 50 states (Alaska the largest, Texas second).

The same World Almanac uses "GDP" or Gross Domestic Product as an indicator, taking into account the changing dollar value on the world market but giving GDP values in US dollars. This would favor the ranking of California-as-a-nation but the 1998 GDP values in The World Almanac all rate China, Germany, India, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom as having GDPs larger than $1.1 trillion. The USSR would be in there except that the breakup of the USSR has the several republics listed individually...their combined GDP would be in the list for a total of seven.

>>"That [large] a tax base" is apportioned to all the citizenry. In order
>>to make Robeson's statement true he would have to use a per capita
>>value for "toys" [equipment] based on a total state income.
>
>   No I don't. It's already a fact. Unless you care to prove that all
>those "toys" were donated by some benevolant benefactor?

Robeson's "fact" is erroneous. Since there were already (1998) six nations with a GDP higher than $1.1 trillion, California cannot be "sixth." If the GSP were calculated the same way as GDP-for-a-state, California might rank lower. Robeson's recollection of the "WSJ ranking" may be in error.

>   And one cannot prorate this type of expenditure to the census when
>individual income is not the only funding.

Individual and corporate incomes and all the other money flow is used by all states and nations to calculate their taxation and thus income to governments. Those very same figures are used by commerce to indicate market areas with profit potential.

Taxation income is from both individuals and corporations. A state with a large tax income can have a large emergency communications infrastructure. However, that same infrastructure has to extend to all state citizens over the whole of the state. California ranks third in geographic size among the states and is long north to south. It is a ten-hour drive (no stops) on Interstate 5 to go from Yreka near the northern border to Los Angeles, at least another hour and a half to reach San Diego near the southern border. Such a long length requires more communications lengths as compared with Rhode Island, yet Rhode Island has a GSP/capita close to California.

LHA

From 5610993918119818384
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 19:05:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 50
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 02:05:05 GMT
References: <ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010612220505.20583.00000675@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24050

Hello Leland,

>A good many of those so called "Freebanders"
>and others using amplifiers etc. do so for the "thrill" of getting away with
>it.

Tell you what, why don't you visit www.sugardelta.com or www.alfatango.org? Really look around and peruse. I don't think you'll find much (read ANY) indication of 11 meter operators seeking the "thrill of illegality." I personally know a good many operators from division "2" (Hey, that's the USA!) and none exhibit this trait.

>They have zero interest in getting in to AR because the "thrill" of law
>breaking would be gone. How else would you explain why the technically more
>astute among them refuse to get a ticket regardless of the volume of offers
>of help, and even equipment at times?

Many are already licensed amateur operator and yes, "I have seen it myself" as well.

>I have seen it myself, it's the thrill
>of being a pirate operator, sort of like the modern day antihero. For these
>people getting a ticket so they can shoot skip, run high power, legally,
>would take all of the fun out of it.

No comment, although I find "expert testimony" re. the wolves' behavioral motivations without having actually having spent some time operating from "within the den," highly suspect at best. Leland, you've never actually operated on the 11 meter freeband, have you? You're not going to tell us that "some of my best friends are...," are you? If so, wouldn't you be duty bound to report them to the FCC? Geez, alot said for "no comment."

>The only thing you can do for these
>people is pull the plug on them.

Umm humm.

>Then on the slight chance they do get
>licensed

Your about half-a-step behind, with a step equaling approximately ten years.

>do we really need to add to the problems on lets say 75m, where
>high power and language seem to be a bit out of control?

Now there's a garden that needs weeding. The 11 meter garden is "not an amateur radio concern." (A direct quote from The ARRL, your...er, OUR league.) Take care and 73.

Mark

From 5554875574775447720
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 19:13:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 02:12:49 GMT
References: <20010612215303.20174.00000920@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010612221249.20174.00000925@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24051

In article <20010612215303.20174.00000920@nso-mr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>On public record of the 2600+ Comments on FCC 98-143 dated
>25 January 2000 is a one-paragraph "comment" to the FCC
>that they should not accept my Reply to Comments dated
>13 January. That's control and an attempt to stop a citizen's
>First Amendment freedom.

Error correction: 25 January 1999, not 25 January 2000. :-)

[seems like only yesterday...:-)]

LHA

From -6939486040445050445
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 19:25:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: 13 Jun 2001 02:25:34 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9g6iuu$2lp$0@208.207.71.138>
References: <9g41m1$71b$0@208.207.71.174> <20010612054401.29552.00000533@ng-mb1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24052

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>
> >> What percentage of ARRL's resources do you believe devoted to maintaining
> >> the organization and what percentage of its resources do you believe are
> >> devoted to Amateur Radio?
>
> > Dunno, but there is no doubt about where their priorities are.
>
> We agree, Dick; it is very clear from even a casual perusal of ARRL programs,
> staffing and material that its priority is Amateur Radio.

As a second priority, sure. The first priority is keeping, preserving
and enhancing ARRL.

From -3999146777210423761
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d114d950c03113da,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 19:46:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 02:45:57 GMT
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: CW & 11 Meters Revisited.
Message-ID: <20010612224557.06294.00000923@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24053

Hi all,

Just a quick update. I received both the Signature Jr. and the Concept 2000 (pretty interesting name for a product of the 60s/70s) "Base Units." What pushed me to write this update was the Concept 2000's "Operating Maual." It contains not only a nice schematic, but a "decoding" chart for Morse code...sort of like a tree chart. Then yesterday, I received this month's issue of 73 Amateur Radio and it featured pretty much the same outline. Cool!

Another nice thing is the quality of things manufactured twenty plus years ago. Even though they were ostensibly "toyish" items, their build quality along with the inclusion of a nice schematic is a pleasant reminder of past craftsmanship. It's nice to open a box and find an older item in "mint" condition, for all practical purposes, brand new. The Signature Jr. will find a permanent position on my "active" desk with the telescoping antenna replaced by a "hard wired" length of coax connecting it to the antenna switch. A mini-phono jack will also be added so I can use the Vibroplex straight key instead of the integral unit. Thanks again to all.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

P.S. They are both 200mW. Anybody want to support the newly formed 11 Meter CW/QRP Club?:-D

From 8511051941085218220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 20:02:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!howland.erols.net!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
From: "Kim-W5TIT" <nospam@none.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <9g41m1$71b$0@208.207.71.174> <20010612054401.29552.00000533@ng-mb1.aol.com> <9g6iuu$2lp$0@208.207.71.138>
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Lines: 28
X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <kJAV6.354$K61.33771@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 03:02:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.94.169
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992401360 209.244.94.169 (Tue, 12 Jun 2001 20:02:40 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 20:02:40 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 20:00:39 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24054

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:9g6iuu$2lp$0@208.207.71.138...
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> >
> > From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >
> > >> What percentage of ARRL's resources do you believe devoted to maintaining
> > >> the organization and what percentage of its resources do you believe are
> > >> devoted to Amateur Radio?
> >
> > > Dunno, but there is no doubt about where their priorities are.
> >
> > We agree, Dick; it is very clear from even a casual perusal of ARRL programs,
> > staffing and material that its priority is Amateur Radio.
>
> As a second priority, sure. The first priority is keeping, preserving
> and enhancing ARRL.

It's all kind of like the "Which Came First, the Chicken or the Egg" debate.
Can't have one without the other.

Kim W5TIT

From -5605144712684947715
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 20:48:17 PST
Message-ID: <3B26E20D.75F0FDBE@IEEE.org>
Date: Tue, 12 Jun 2001 22:46:21 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B258026.8A6E11AF@IEEE.org> <20010612171000.04534.00004620@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.87
X-Trace: corp 992403576 64.240.47.87 (12 Jun 2001 22:39:36 -0500)
Lines: 16
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24055

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> I appreciate your opinion, Cecil. I just don't appreciate having to deal
> with the results.

What you are dealing with is human stupidity, not drugs, Steve.
Do you also advocate outlawing ice cream because some stupid
people eat too much of it? You also deal with the results of
tobacco. Do you advocate outlawing tobacco? When are we going
to stop blaming inanimate things for human actions?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 3140871023891711085
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 21:17:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 04:04:16 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <3b26e513.1893595@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010611003523.03288.00000362@nso-cm.aol.com>
<20010611162133.22760.00000641@nso-mk.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.70.b5
X-Server-Date: 13 Jun 2001 04:04:47 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24056

On 11 Jun 2001 20:21:33 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <20010611003523.03288.00000362@nso-cm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>>Is there any reasonable doubt that the FCC will remove the code test for all
>>classes of license if S25.5 is eliminated?
>
>Yes. See the latest ARRL BoD policy decision.   :-)
>
>Let's jump into the hypothesis merrygoround and presume that
>S25.5 is eliminated. If that is done, what is there to prohibit the
>US administration (the FCC for amateur radio) from requiring
>code testing?

There will most definitly be a filling of an RM if the FCC
doesn't come out with an NPRM on its own. The reality
is that the FCC did not endorse any rational to retain
code testing offered by anyone with the single exception
being the need to maintain treaty compliance because
of S25.5.

Is there anything to prohibit continued CW testing by
the US as a requirement after S25.5 is ended? Short
answer is no. Rational answer is that continued incorporation
of CW testing foor US hams after S25.5 ends is very
unlikly (IMHO).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -4761288955048510367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5d9452e1c3a7776,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 21:17:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 04:10:25 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: BAD NEWS STEVIE
Message-ID: <20010613001025.18989.00003036@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24057

Guess what Stevie, my Bios is still there, I bet that just burns you BIG TIME. And guess what else Stevie, my lookup count is getting higher,and Thanks to you I have had a Bunch of Hams E-Mail me, to tell me that they think it is great, and you know what is sad Stevie, alot of them were No-Coders, other wise know as CBplussers, oh and I wont repeat the comments they had about you.
So again Stevie thanks again for the Advertisment. And just for your benefit I will repost it here, 15 CBplussers begged me to.:

" One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers"

Isnt Freedom of Speech wonderful?

From -2257816831002405900
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 21:19:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 04:17:56 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 173
Message-ID: <3b26e66d.2239884@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010612071747.03258.00000549@nso-bh.aol.com> <20010612215304.20174.00000921@nso-mr.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.70.b5
X-Server-Date: 13 Jun 2001 04:18:39 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24058

On 13 Jun 2001 01:53:04 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <20010612071747.03258.00000549@nso-bh.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>writes:
>

>>>>>The FCC has not made any public statement that it will drop the
>>>>>code test in the future. That is not "perception," that is the fact
>>>>>of publicly-available documents from the FCC.
>>>>
>>>>Yup.
>>>>
>>>>However, the FCC has said that, at present, Morse code testing "serves no
>>>>regulatory purpose" except conformity to S25.5. Without S25.5, it would be
>>>>inconsistent with their own statements for the FCC to continue code
>>>>testing.
>>>
>>>Not inconsistent, only a suppostion by some.
>>
>>How so? It's simple logic:
>>
>>Axiom: FCC says S25.5 is only reason they keep code test.
>>
>>Hypothesis: S25.5 disappears sometime in the future.
>>
>>Conclusion: Without S25.5, FCC would have no reason to keep code test.
>
>The Axiom is incomplete. :-)
>
>It ignores many comments during and after the 98-143 NPRM Comment
>period, the recent policy statement by the ARRL BoD in regards to
>"keeping the code test [for a US administered exam]" and many
>other statements by the PCTA. It's rather obvious to everyone that
>many, many radio amateurs want to keep the code test.

Who gives a hoot? The decision to end or retain a
code test after deletion of S25.5 won't be governed
by a popular vote of licensed hams anymore than was
the case for going to only a 5 wpm test last year.
The FCC asked for and didn't get a single reason worth
noting in the R&O that by any stretch would hint that
the FCC would/could justify any code test without the
S25.5 treaty requirement.

>>Do you think the FCC would keep the code test without S25.5, Len?
>
>I really don't know. I cannot discount the superior amateur extras
>who insist that morse code is such an essential, versatile, mode
>[see K3LT for a full list of appropriate adjectives]. The FCC will
>listen to all citizens. If enough citizens say that the code test must
>be kept, then they might consider it necessary to retain it. Or not.

Now I'm sure you are just having some fun with this.

>I cannot predict the future with certainty. My balls are not made
>of crystal.

None of us can, but I have a reasonable confidence
level that I'd happily wager a lobster dinner with those

that believe otherwise.

>>My good
>>friend K2UNK has assured me that the FCC will be petitioned to remove all
>
>Bill is a "good friend?" :-)

While N2EY has always been an ardent debater, he has never
said anything that would lead me to think otherwise. We've
never actually met, but I can and do respect his opinion
and his right to have his opinion. Beyond that I hope (as
his comment suggest) that we are, indeed, amateur radio
operator friends.

>>... How could FCC deny such a petition
>>and still be consistent with their past 10 years of statements re code
>>testing?
>
>How could the FCC retain the code test for many more decades?

If and only if they acted in an arbitrary and
capricious manner (IMHO).

>>>The FCC has not
>>>attempted to predict its own future decisions well in advance of
>>>any NPRM.
>>>
>>So? They don't have to explicitly predict their actions. The FCC's intended
>>path is clear to anyone who looks at the information in a reasonable manner.
>
>Noooo. The probability of future action is high that the code test
>will be removed if S25.5 is changed. Probability.

Probability about 100% from my perspective.

>There is no such thing as a "clear path" to the future. In anything.
>
>
>>>>>The code test still exists for General and Amateur Extra class
>>>>>licenses.
>>>>
>>>>That test has been reduced to the very minimum that reasonably meets the
>>>>requirements of S25.5.
>>>
>>>Incorrect.
>>
>>No, it's perfectly correct.
>
>Terribly, badly, not-good incorrect. S25.5 does not specify any
>code rate. There can be no "very minimum" when there is no
>quantified code rate given.

5 wpm is defined as being an FCC opinion as to minimum

compliance (IMHO). Would 4, 3, 2 or 1 wpm be
acceptable? I don't know since it has never been
proposed or recommended so we have no direct FCC
thoughts on it.

>>> Article S25.5 does not, repeat not, state any code
>>>rate. There is no, repeat no, "minimum" nor any "maximum"
>>>code rate specified in S25.5.
>>
>>For manual Morse use, 5 wpm is very slow, by any reasonable standard. It has
>>long been the absolute minimum standard test used by any country. The
>>consensus
>>of those who are knowledgeable about the subject is that 5 wpm is the very
>>minimum that reasonably meets the requirements of S25.5. Note the word
>>"reasonably".
>
>S25.5 does not specify any code rate. Ergo, there can be no
>quantifiable "minimum" or "maximum." The code rate is all
>left up to individual adminstrations.

Ditto my comment above.

>>Do you want a slower test, Len?
>
>No, I want S25.5 to be changed to simply state that no
>administration need require any code test to obtain any
>amateur radio license...perhaps adding the definition that,
>for OOK CW communications, the morse code is defined
>as International Morse Code.

The probability is that S25.5 will be deleted, not changed.
As Len noted, even without S25.5 an administration is
still free to require code testing if that country wants to.

>>>Rhetorical hypothesis. There is NO such prohibition.   :-)
>>
>>But it is not supported by a reasonable analysis of the facts.
>
>Hypotheses are simply hypotheses. A hypothesis may be
>based on facts but facts can seldom "prove" any hypothesis.
>
>Negatives cannot be "proved." Negatives are non-existant.
>
>There is nothing right now in all 11 articles of S25 that prohibit
>any nation's administration from requiring a code test. S25.5
>is so worded that it's second sentence reads: "The
>administrations concerned my, however, waive the requirement
>in the case of stations making use exclusively of frequencies
>above 30 MHz."

Which is exactly what the USA does now.

>The first sentence of S25.5 requires the code test. The second

>sentence allows a waiver of that code test. There is nothing in
>all 11 articles of S25 that prohibits any administration from
>requiring a code test for any amateur radio license.

True.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -4996079752864295904
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 21:22:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 04:20:56 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <3b26e9f6.3145302@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3b2416fe.43733916@news.mindspring.com>
<20010611070527.29652.00001517@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.70.b5
X-Server-Date: 13 Jun 2001 04:21:43 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24059

On 11 Jun 2001 11:05:27 GMT, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote:

>>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>
>>> Bull, the FCC didnt want to waste time and manpower with Disability waiver.
>
>>Please provide us with the economics that sustain your
>>argument. The waivers were handled by the VEs, not
>>the FCC.
>
>No, but the FCC received a lot of complaints about the abuses.
>Ed Hare, W1RFI

50, 100? Without some quantifiable data it can't be
assessed as to economic impact.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

```
From -1082562293254520379
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 21:34:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 04:32:39 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3b26ec67.3769817@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3B23FA4B.79EC9FC1@IEEE.org> <20010611103924.11416.00004412@ng-
mp1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.70.b5
X-Server-Date: 13 Jun 2001 04:33:32 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24060

On 11 Jun 2001 14:39:24 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>>Date: 6/10/01 5:52 PM Central Daylight Time
>
>>If a 40 year old radio service is "acceptable" to the FCC,
>>why should it be not "acceptable" to you?
>
>     For the same reasons that slavery was "acceptable" for 200 years in the
>United States, but now it is not...because accepting something that is NOT
>right, regardless of how long tenured it is does not MAKE it right.

Just what is not right about CB (assuming the CBer and
CB operation is according to regulations?

CB has many good uses for those not technically
inclined enough to desire a ham license.  My car club
uses CBs when we convoy to shows.  Makes for
a much more enjoyable trip.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
```

```
From -7871478391116727687
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9cf5699a4b06d9b8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
```

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 21:45:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Working for ARRL
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 04:43:11 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3b243812.4038552@news.mindspring.com>
References: <20010610104602.13925.00000897@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.f5.70.b5
X-Server-Date: 13 Jun 2001 04:44:11 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24061

On 10 Jun 2001 14:46:02 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

> Working for ARRL reminds me of a freind who use to work for an Oil Company, he
>was so un happy telling people, that when asked where he worked, he would
>always say that he was a Piano Player in a Whore House, do you get the picture
>ED.

Your story makes no sense as to Ed (not that it makes any
sense on its own either...why would anyone be unhappy
working for an oil company anyway?). Back to the point,
Ed has never denied working for ARRL, nor has he suggected
that he is anything but pleased with his job.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 7680669902601859256
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,9cf5699a4b06d9b8
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 22:05:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 05:04:50 GMT
References: <3b243812.4038552@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Working for ARRL
Message-ID: <20010613010450.19156.00003313@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24062

```
>Your story makes no sense as to Ed (not that it makes any
>sense on its own either...why would anyone be unhappy
>working for an oil company anyway?).  Back to the point,
>Ed has never denied working for ARRL, nor has he suggected
>that he is anything but pleased with his job.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>

 Its a Joke Bill, God you guys are to serious


From 677535900623626514
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 22:37:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 00:52:02 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 63
Message-ID: <3B26F165.760676F2@sccoast.net>
References: <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010607053256.13725.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net>
<3B262DE2.B7E382BE@psu.edu>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-36.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992407860 242892 204.116.70.37 (13 Jun 2001 04:51:00
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news3.infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 04:51:00 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24063

mjc5 wrote:
>
>         I've been working on my Morse
> code also, and have had some problems
> along the way, maybe some of my experience
> can help you. I didn't pass the Morse test
> a few weekends ago, (guess that makes me
> an idiot-for all those who find it so easy)
> At any rate, I came close, but no cigar. In
```

> the week afterward, I actually moved *backwards* in ability - I'd freeze on a letter, and then get downright angry. Fact is, I know the code, but my hearing problems aside, there are a few things that need work:
>
> My personality is such that I expect perfection. That can get in the way, so I have to work on that.

I think that is close to my problem. When I miss a character, I have to look at it again to figure out what was wrong. Of course, the darn program is still going like crazy, which means that I missed one or two addition characters while looking at the one I missed. At that point, things start to fall apart quickly. But, hey, I'm getting it. Some of the suggestions have worked, and I'm learning to avoid looking back at anything I miss (and that alone takes practice).

> I went back to doing test QSO's in my program at a slower rate, to where I didn't screw up. I am going to work my way *well* past 5wpm so I can be assured of success for the next test.

My program defaulted at 13 wpm code with 5 wpm spacing, which the author insists is the best way to learn code. I wonder what they do for the tests? I'm going to look that up on the internet tonight, because when I tried slowing the program down the other day (5 wpm with 5wpm spacing), I was totally confused (nothing sounded the same). I probably should set the program to whatever is used for the exams.

> RELAX!!! The difference in my ability to copy CW depending on whether I'm tired, or annoyed, or relaxed is amazing. Last night, after a session in the Jacuzzi, I copied for over 40 minutes with only a couple mistakes. Now if I can just get the VE's to administer the test while I'm in the hot tub...hi hi.

That is exactly what I thought while reading the first part of that paragraph - I wonder what the VE's would say if I brought a hot tub to the exam session (along with a little wine and my wife to give me a back massage). Hey, this could change the whole concept of license exams (and the image of ham radio as well). I like it. :-)

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From -9060202798410268987
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 23:43:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 06:43:24 GMT
References: <G_vV6.101138$Be4.31666406@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Message-ID: <20010613024324.03026.00001723@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24064

>Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 6/12/01 4:39 PM Central Daylight Time

>Typically yes ... and we dont find justice (laugh) at the end of a needle

I see...Canadian "justice" is MORE just than other countries, huh...?!?!

Seems I remember some folks becoming a bit perturbed over a perceived similar attitude coming FROM the United States.

Guess you aren't all that much different, huh, Rob...?!?!

From -1881480845261373704
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 23:44:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-

mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 06:44:13 GMT
References: <20010612190621.12882.00002730@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010613024413.03026.00001725@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24065

>Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/12/01 6:06 PM Central Daylight Time

>I sure havent received 104 Cards....

Maybe it's your attitude...?!?!

From -2220347591052617l0
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 23:49:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 06:49:03 GMT
References: <20010612190836.12882.00002733@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010613024903.03026.00001726@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24066

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/12/01 6:08 PM Central Daylight Time

>I love the way you throw the Coward thing around when ever you dont agree

I don't "throw" anthing around, Bruce. YOU are offensive and sling your putrid effluent from a keyboard, but at least you have enough integrity to put your name to it.

THAT Anonymous Coward doesn't...(s)he makes allegations of "hiding" behind a keyboard, yet can't even tell us who (s)he is.

That IS a coward.

From 7610447495200697053
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-12 23:52:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 06:51:43 GMT
References: <20010612190958.12882.00002734@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010613025143.03026.00001728@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24067

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/12/01 6:09 PM Central Daylight Time

> Nope, now im Thinking about moving your way so I can cause you more greif on
>2
>Meter CB

And I am sure that you will enjoy the short time you are here.

From 3987840918966329812
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:05:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Date: 13 Jun 2001 00:05:07 -0700

Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 20
Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106122305.b55a399@posting.google.com>
References: <20010611162132.22760.00000640@nso-mk.aol.com>
<20010612133744.25951.00000880@ng-cn1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 150.101.129.4
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992415907 31125 127.0.0.1 (13 Jun 2001 07:05:07 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jun 2001 07:05:07 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24068

As it happens, on the weekend I watched a program on the ABC
(Australian Broadcasting Commission, that is) which discussed the
post-WWII boom in the US. It was highlighting the aerospace industry
explosion. The program stated that, at that time, California had the
sixth largest economy in world.

Mark.

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20010612133744.25951.00000880@ng-cn1.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 6/11/01 3:21 PM Central
>
> >Incorrect. As far as GNP, California-as-a-nation would rank about 17th,
> >not in the "top 10."
>
>   At one time an article in the Wall Street Journal put it at 6th. I
> presume that persons who write for the WSJ have a better perspective than
> EITHER of us, Len. Sixth is better than 10th, I believe.

From -6531666505859739382
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:12:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 126
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 07:12:01 GMT
References: <20010612215306.20174.00000922@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS

Message-ID: <20010613031201.03026.00001731@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24069

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/12/01 8:53 PM Central Daylight Time

>Heh heh heh..."historical documents" written by now-long-timers
>witnessing some very angry then-long-timers?

FCC records..."S9 Magazine", "CB Magazine", "CQ", "QST"

>Robeson needs to cite the source of his "historical documents."
>Now.

Done. I see you still have yet to do so.

I expected as much.

>I make no "insinuations," merely indicate observations of the
>late 1950s during and after the creation of Class C and D CB
>radio service.

Uh huh...That which a court of law would dismiss as "heresay".

I'm impressed.

>No, not "only." However, Robeson hastily jumps high conclusions
>(at a single bound) by trying to deny the observations of those who
>were there and silently witnessing the anger and resentment of so
>many then-long-timers.

Not "jumping" at anything, Len. Anxious for YOU to validate you assertions.

You ahve not done that yet.

>In another posting, Robeson insisted on his recollection of some
>Wall Street Journal ranking of states as being "correct." Robeson
>did not cite the issue date of such WSJ document, merely
>"recollecting" it.

Far more easy for someone to search the archives of a specific, well known and respected journal than YOUR "recollections".

>One could use Robeson's "debate technique" and ask if
>Robeson wished such "first-hand recollections" be removed becasue
>of lack of factual data?

So far, Len, all you have done is offer your opinions as alleged fact. You assert that Amateurs "demanded" the blocking of the creationof the CB band from thier "sacred" spectrum.

And all you have done to "validate" your "quotes" is cite having worked with some Hams who allegedly made these comments.

No names...no callsigns...just "I knew 11 Hams once...."

>>You have quoted the words "despicable" and "sacred", insinuating that these were words used in archived texts by persons or entities who may have had occassion to comment on the creation of CB.

>You betcha I did! Those very words were absolutely used, along
>with a great deal of swear words, all by then-long-tenured hams.

I am sure that those Hams did, at one time or another, "use" those words. I am just as sure that it was not in reference to CB radio.

>>Please provide the text from which these words were drawn.

>Hah! Most publications of 43 years ago would not publish such
>words.

If they were used within the body of official comments to the FCC they would. I can't find anything like it.

So what's up with that, Len...?!?!

Very convienient for your "debate", isn't it, that you can use some asinine claim that "Most of publications of 43 years ago would not publish such words"....

Sounds like your oft claimed "obfuscation" to me, Len.

>Anyone who has any practical experience in communications on
>HF (which includes me) will know that the 2 MHz wide region
>between 26 and 28 MHz has Region 2 allocations of: ...(SNIP)

Yes, Len, those "allocations" are on paper. How many of them are ACTIVE and productive for the assignee of the allocation...?!?!

I know for a FACT that most military applications in the 27mHz range (specifically MARS spots at 27.950 and 27.975mHz) are abandoned.

I am not aware of any current users of the band other than a few obscure hanger-on remote broadcast users at 25 and 26mHz and CAP, which likewise makes scarce use of it's allocations at 26.617 and 26.620mHz.

YOU, of course, can cite some wholesale productive use of the other allocations here, Len?

(Kinda like you can cite your quotes as mentioned previously...?!?!)

>Robeson did not answer my question.

That's OK, Len...you haven't answered ANY of mine in 3 1/2 years.

>As to Robeson's question, I am not "distrubed" in the least.

Denial.

>I have never stated any "intent to not be licensed."

Allow me to answer this with your own words:

>Incorrect. Wrong. Error!

You are failing to utter truthful statements again, Leonard.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 4753422498769261396
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:13:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 07:13:21 GMT
References: <20010612191336.12882.00002736@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613031321.03026.00001732@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24070

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/12/01 6:13 PM Central Daylight Time

> And I did also, and thats why comments on QRZ will stay

Maybe...maybe not

From -6302446457223528З0
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:16:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 07:15:53 GMT
References: <20010612215302.20174.00000919@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613031553.03026.00001733@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24071

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/12/01 8:53 PM Central Daylight Time

>I cannot possibly know what happened first-hand 225 or 210
>years ago.

But you assert "facts" as if you do, Leonard. Do you not read what you write before you hitthe "send" button...?!?!

>By the way, what is the significance of the year 1791?
>Does Robeson understand its importance?

Does Anderson...?!?! I doubt it.

From 3130049513703914712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:17:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 07:17:37 GMT
References: <20010612191646.12882.00002737@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010613031737.03026.00001734@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24072

>Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/12/01 6:16 PM Central Daylight Time

> Well no big deal, I have found you completely Offensive, from the first time I saw your Ramblings

That's not what you said in some of those first "ramblings", Oh Lying One.

From -3779761157419417808
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:19:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!nntp1.njy.teleglobe.net!teleglobe.net!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 02:13:19 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 54
Message-ID: <3B27045D.67FADAB1@sccoast.net>
References: <3B25A5A0.448641FF@sccoast.net> <20010612015230.02345.00001216@ng-cm1.news.cs.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-36.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992412730 247017 204.116.70.37 (13 Jun 2001 06:12:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news3.infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 06:12:10 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24073

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> > Dwight Stewart wrote...
> >
> > Popular Communications has always
> > catered to the sleazy side of
> > communications ("survival"
> > communications, "pirate" broadcasters,
> > etc), even way back in the mid 80's
> > before the CB'ers you describe
> > grew up.
>
> Agreed, but for some reason I never read
> a bad word directed at them for their
> coverage of pirate "broadcast" radio. I've
> always found that somewhat odd.

Didn't I just do it? Wouldn't "sleazy" qualify as "a bad word directed at them for their coverage of pirate "broadcast" radio?"

> (snip) The lids are abhored, however, nobody
> likes to hear people continously badmouth 11
> meter ops, especially with broad general (not
> to mention dim-witted) comments. (snip)

I must have missed something. I haven't seen many Hams badmouthing CB'ers in general. Instead, what I've seen are Hams talking about the unseemly "freebanders," the illegal equipment, the obnoxious behavior, the troublemakers, the foul-mouthed kids (of all ages), and so forth. And I certainly see nothing wrong with talking about the truth. Of course, since those things are so much a part of CB today, it may seem like they are talking about CB in general when discussing those things.

> > And, of those very few new hams who did
> > "dabble" at "freebanding" in the past,
> > most joined the ham ranks exactly because
> > they rejected that illegal lifestyle after
> > trying it.
>
> NOT!...see above.

I saw nothing in your message that would challenge that statement. And I'm certainly not going to run around www.eham.net looking for something you say will support your view.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 6803375467912315153
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:25:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 30
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 07:25:08 GMT
References: <20010612203442.07728.00004071@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613032508.03026.00001735@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24074

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
>Date: 6/12/01 7:34 PM Central Daylight Time

>>Hey, Steve -- Way to go, man!

>I second, third, and fouth that!!! Straight from my heart and in absentia of those who don't know better (but should)...thanks!

Thanks Ed and Bert. The Spineless Cajun Wannabe and his Anonymous Coward accomplice are invited to join me for a "tour of duty" one night.

For the ignorant and cowardly, nursing was originally a "man's" job. It was only through the tragedy of war that women were, in most "western" countries, called upon to do this task to allow men to fight.

Many women, including the likes of Florence Nightengail and Clara Barton had to overcome the stereotype of trying to "be men" and not go home and raise thier families instead.   Clara Barton championed what we now call "battlefield medicine".

I, for one, am greatful she chose to do so.

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From 4652132588330167945
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:29:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 07:29:31 GMT
References: <20010612191906.12882.00002738@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613032931.03026.00001736@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24075

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/12/01 6:19 PM Central Daylight Time

>  Now I understand your Sick Attitude, your another Dumb Ass Male Nurse, could
make it in a mans Job so you took a Womens Job

     Let's see....I am doing a job that is necessary, rewarding, and puts me in
an enviroment that is 85% women....

     Yeah...that's pretty silly of me...!!!!
```

```
From -435375835676469997
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2f75c1bf1932f0aa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:33:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 07:33:16 GMT
References: <20010612204022.13690.00007851@ng-ch1.aol.com>
```

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: K4YZ
Message-ID: <20010613033316.03026.00001737@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24076

>Subject: K4YZ
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/12/01 7:40 PM Central Daylight Time

> I think im putting this Clown on ignore, tired of getting his unsolicated BS mail

I am sure you are. Just as I am of yours. But then you think I care that you are "ignoring" me. I have a far greater forum in which to address your idiotic behaviour.

And with far greater results and rewards.

From 2481203466248520326
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:34:13 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeeds.belnet.be!news.belnet.be!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 02:25:02 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 15
Message-ID: <3B27071A.5878FE8F@sccoast.net>
References: <20010612171000.04534.00004620@ng-fv1.aol.com> <20010612191906.12882.00002738@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-36.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992413430 247253 204.116.70.37 (13 Jun 2001 06:23:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news3.infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 06:23:50 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24077

WA8ULX wrote:

>
>   Now I understand your Sick Attitude,
> your another Dumb Ass Male Nurse, could
> make it in a mans Job so you took a
> Womens Job

If I ever end up in a hospital, I really don't care what sex saves
my life. All I care is that they have the knowledge and skills to do so.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From -8769448982950103114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:35:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 07:34:43 GMT
References: <rozV6.11890$Zt6.5357787@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010613033443.03026.00001738@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24078

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: "Prozac" Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com
>Date: 6/12/01 8:32 PM Central Daylight Time

>What does my name have to do with me being responsible for my actions. Here
>its Irving Finkelbober. Hows that does it make you happy. Now you know my
>name, Now what?

So now you are an Anonymous Coward AND a liar.

Not that I am surprised.

From 9201968391144903462

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 12:53:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 19:53:30 GMT
References: <9g7vt0$bv9$0@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010613155330.04748.00000150@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24129
```

In article <9g7vt0$bv9$0@208.207.71.142>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

> Right, and of course all are good and useful auxiliary combinations
> assets. My point is that Len, *playing* at his role as the crusty
> communications know-it-all, had earlier summarily denied that any need
> for such auxiliary assets exists. Of course when he learned that they
> had long been in place and are much used, he had to alter his scheme to
> "already include" them.

Senior, the above is incorrect. I have mentioned the California ACS over two years ago in this newsgroup and been rebuffed by the amateur-only RACES boosters (the ACS includes RACES within its organizational envelope but does not depend on them as sole radio facilities).

Carroll is perhaps confused with my mention of the Northridge Earthquake of 1994 with the loss of all electrical power to ten million in the Los Angeles area. The local (Greater-L.A.) emergency organization already existed then, had drilled for such things and worked very well. Whether or not the Calif. ACS went operational is not relative to this discussion since the suddenness of events of that earthquake and immediate cessation of all primary power required the local infrastructure to respond immediately. They did. The many incorporated cities in this area cooperated, had cooperated on emergency planning and training. Fire engines rolled out at the first shaking (standard procedure here), police departments were on alert, hospitals had their own emergency power generators.

Carroll's musings in front of a computer, however incorrect his recollections, is not the same thing as being confronted with the suddenness of a disaster event. With no warning

whatsoever a Richter 6 scale earthquake struck after 4:30
AM followed by the entire electrical power cut-off for the
area. Darkness everywhere plus following aftershocks.
Was there time to mobilize a statewide network immediately?
Not really. There was no need when a local network was
already in place, functioning, trained, and also tied into the
ACS. The local net worked. The key is preparation,
equipment, and training.

Some folks just read about emergency situations, imagine
they can handle everything, with or without CW. :-)

LHA

From 1909666439081821220
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 12:53:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 118
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 19:53:26 GMT
References: <20010613070233.05110.00000243@nso-fg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613155326.04748.00000147@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24130

In article <20010613070233.05110.00000243@nso-fg.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20010612215304.20174.00000921@nso-mr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:

>>The Axiom is incomplete. :-)
>
>How so? The FCC has said that S25.5 is the ONLY reason for continued code
>testing in the USA.

Really? Please point out the "ONLY" (with or without caps) in
either 90-53 or 99-412. :-)

>The axiom is about the FCC's opinion, not the opinions of others. FCC
>specifically rejected all other reasons and opinions for code testing in

>their Report and Order on 98-143. The only reason they found compelling was
>S25.5.

The Report and Order on amateur radio restructuring was 99-412.

I think you have inferred too much on "specifically rejected all other reasons and opinions." The FCC expressed their viewpoint that code testing is not necessary for their purposes in amateur radio licensing. The FCC stated that the code test must be kept because of S25.5 but I have yet to see where that was the "only" reason.

>Try reading FCC's words on the subject since 1990 or so.

I have copies of FCC 90-53 and 99-412. Suppose you point out exactly the "compelling reasons" or whatever necessary to "prove your point." Use whatever pointers needed to paragraph number or other identification. That's for the edification of others...I have been "reading FCC words" since 1956 on a number of different radio services, amateur radio included.

>FCC has rejected other petitions to change ARS rules that were widely
>supported, simply because they did not see that the petitions served a
>regulatory purpose.

There's a greater probability that such things as the "WAD" campaign were done too late. That's something that Mssrs Wormser, Adsit, and Dinelli might correct in the future.

>Neither can I. The discussion was about whether retaining code testing
>without
>S25.5 would be consistent with previous FCC comments on the subject. I have
>proved beyond a reasonable doubt that it would be very inconsistent for the
>FCC to do so.

Nah. Mostly you've gone into fly-speck twiddling over minutae on words and phrases. :-)

>> The probability of future action is high that the code test
>>will be removed if S25.5 is changed. Probability.
>>
>When you argue minor semantics like that, Len, it's obvious that you know I'm
>roght but won't admit it.

Don't fall off your own pedestal there. :-)

Since Miccolis is an ardent PCTA, I am sure he will try to discredit any NCTA opinion by whatever means. The fly-speck phrase and sentence arguments are an indicator of that.

>>There is no such thing as a "clear path" to the future. In anything.
>
>Sure there is. That's what engineering is all about.

"Engineering" is not the subject here. Those who have been IN
the practice of engineering will know that the unexpected can
happen any time. The same is true for law and regulations.

>Sure there can. The very minimum is derived from the standards of those
>knowledgeable about the subject. Those knowledgeable about the subject agree
>that 5 wpm is the very minimum that meets the letter and spirit of S25.5.

Oh, there is a "letter and spirit" to S25.5?   :-)

Mr. Fly-speck should reread S25.5 again. It does not specify any
code rate. None. Nada. Nyet.

S25.6 states "Administrations shall take such measures as they
judge necessary to verify the operational and technical qualifications
of any person wishing to operate the apparatus of an amateur
station." S25.6 demonstrates some "letter and spirit" insofar as
requiring some kind of license test by all adminstrations but it does
not specify details. In the same way, S25.5 states only that a code
test shall be given ("letter and spirit") but does not state details on
code rate.

Admit it: S25.5 does not specify any morse code test rate.

>Then why all of the blather about 5 wpm?

Miccolis is the one blathering about 5 WPM. :-)

I'm just trying to get Miccolis to admit that S25.5 does not
specify any morse code test rate.

Odds are in favor of Miccolis not admitting it and/or trying to
hide it under another deluge of "neverending story" material.
:-)

LHA

From -7940764654571484667
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 12:53:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 151
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 19:53:28 GMT
References: <3b26e66d.2239884@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613155328.04748.00000148@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24131

In article <3b26e66d.2239884@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>On 13 Jun 2001 01:53:04 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <20010612071747.03258.00000549@nso-bh.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>>(N2EY) writes:

>>It ignores many comments during and after the 98-143 NPRM Comment
>>period, the recent policy statement by the ARRL BoD in regards to
>>"keeping the code test [for a US administered exam]" and many
>>other statements by the PCTA. It's rather obvious to everyone that
>>many, many radio amateurs want to keep the code test.
>
>Who gives a hoot? The decision to end or retain a
>code test after deletion of S25.5 won't be governed
>by a popular vote of licensed hams anymore than was
>the case for going to only a 5 wpm test last year.

We really aren't sure of that. WRC-03 is only about
two years away and FCC 98-143 NPRM started about
three years ago. Internet access has been growing all
the while. Recall the "WAD" petition and the many
form letters that showed up in the 2600+ Comments on
98-143.

It would seem logical that NCTAs should be alert and
ready for any PCTA form letter campaign, then be able
to counter it. The FCC hasn't yet shown that it is blind
to a mass opinion input.

>The FCC asked for and didn't get a single reason worth
>noting in the R&O that by any stretch would hint that
>the FCC would/could justify any code test without the
>S25.5 treaty requirement.

?   Most of the PCTA Comments seemed to be of the

"personal" variety on the code, namely that "they had to
take the test (usually a long time ago) and that all
newcomers should also have to take the test." It is
practically axiomatic that PCTAs regard morse code as
part and parcel of US amateur radio and that it must be
retained at all costs.

>>>Do you think the FCC would keep the code test without S25.5, Len?
>>
>>I really don't know. I cannot discount the superior amateur extras
>>who insist that morse code is such an essential, versatile, mode
>>[see K3LT for a full list of appropriate adjectives]. The FCC will
>>listen to all citizens. If enough citizens say that the code test must
>>be kept, then they might consider it necessary to retain it. Or not.
>
>Now I'm sure you are just having some fun with this.

No, I am being a bit sarcastic. But...popular opinion is still of
the citizenry, whatever it is. The FCC is not blind to it.

Look at the pessimistic (from NCTA point of view) side:
With privatization of license testing, the FCC does not have
to hold code examinations. VECs do that for amateurs,
COLEMs for commercial licensees. The FCC can simply
keep the current Element 1 without doing anything to revise
the existing regulations in that regard. In recordskeeping on
VE test results, a code/no-code datum is only one field to
enter for a clerk, taking a few seconds to do. All the testing
itself is done by outside-the-FCC organizations.

>>I cannot predict the future with certainty. My balls are not made
>>of crystal.
>
>None of us can, but I have a reasonable confidence
>level that I'd happily wager a lobster dinner with those
>that believe otherwise.

Make it roast beef for me, but it's too early to tell yet. Wait
until the FCC IB WRC group gets another draft going on
establishing a position in regards to all of S25.

>>>My good
>>>friend K2UNK has assured me that the FCC will be petitioned to remove all
>>
>>Bill is a "good friend?" :-)
>
>While N2EY has always been an ardent debater, he has never
>said anything that would lead me to think otherwise. We've
>never actually met, but I can and do respect his opinion
>and his right to have his opinion. Beyond that I hope (as
>his comment suggest) that we are, indeed, amateur radio

>operator friends.

Sorry about that, Bill, but I was having fun with that one.

I know too many show business types...the ones who use
"close personal friend" phrases to refer to casual
acquaintences. :-)

>>>>>>The code test still exists for General and Amateur Extra class
>>>>>>licenses.
>>>>>
>>>>>That test has been reduced to the very minimum that reasonably meets the
>>>>>requirements of S25.5.
>>>>
>>>>Incorrect.
>>>
>>>No, it's perfectly correct.
>>
>>Terribly, badly, not-good incorrect. S25.5 does not specify any
>>code rate. There can be no "very minimum" when there is no
>>quantified code rate given.
>
>5 wpm is defined as being an FCC opinion as to minimum
>compliance (IMHO). Would 4, 3, 2 or 1 wpm be
>acceptable? I don't know since it has never been
>proposed or recommended so we have no direct FCC
>thoughts on it.

A quantified rate is always a spark for a lot of comment. My
remark was that S25.5 does not specify any code rate. S25.5
does not specify any code rate. Period. To say a "minimum"
rate is specified in an S25 article is simply incorrect.

>>There is nothing right now in all 11 articles of S25 that prohibit
>>any nation's administration from requiring a code test. S25.5
>>is so worded that it's second sentence reads: "The
>>administrations concerned my, however, waive the requirement
>>in the case of stations making use exclusively of frequencies
>>above 30 MHz."
>
>Which is exactly what the USA does now.

Of course. :-)

But having an international waiver is no guarantee that administrations
will use it. FCC 90-53 could have kept the code test eleven years ago.
The FCC did not keep it, but for different reasons. Licenses are issued
by the FCC for the purposes of regulating radio. The FCC stated in
90-53 that the morse code test did not demonstrate anything to them
for the purposes of licensing.

There is a sizeable number of amateurs who think that morse code skill is an integral part of amateur radio and of a "necessity" in any license issuance. The form-letter "WAD" campaign is a sign of that and also a warning for NCTAs. NCTAs cannot be complacent in thinking that a code test removal is a "sure thing" in the future.

LHA

```
From -4863146827101467304
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 12:58:44 PST
Message-ID: <3B27C580.AB7D1B37@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 14:56:48 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B26E20D.75F0FDBE@IEEE.org> <20010613070942.03861.00000132@nso-
fr.aol.com> <9g82e3$hp3$0@208.207.71.142>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp 992461803 64.240.47.58 (13 Jun 2001 14:50:03 -0500)
Lines: 15
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24132
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> And one of the chief characteristics of those so afflicted is denial
> of the very existance of the phenomena.....

My lungs are healthy,
and my liver is shot.
Why is alcohol legal,
and marijuana not?

--

cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4696672202868885291
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 13:00:13 PST
Message-ID: <3B27C5DE.61358CF4@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 14:58:22 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <tiac9s8ud7s838@corp.supernews.com>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>
<9g862d$2ng$1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp 992461895 64.240.47.58 (13 Jun 2001 14:51:35 -0500)
Lines: 10
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24133

GregS wrote:
> When you hate sombody, kill them.
> Thats the universal answer.

Nope, eye for an eye, that's the universal answer.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 1726102093706030098
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 13:23:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 16:23:03 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tifitdbj3jdkb9@corp.supernews.com>
References: <ticgvr6sqbmr49@corp.supernews.com> <20010612195811.01620.00004028@ng-da1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 42
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24134

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010612195811.01620.00004028@ng-da1.aol.com...
> From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net
>
> >You should go look at my look-up counter on QRZ if you think 104 is a lot.
>
> How come so many? All I got is 192. But I beat Bruce! :-)

The Cbers on the other news group are always sniffing around looking for dirt on anybody whose opinion they don't like. Since I use my real name and callsign they start with that. The first thing they try is to poke fun at me about the old Morse Code thing. Once they get convinced I could care less about operating on H.F. currently, no room for H.F. antennas in my apartment mainly, they get the message. You can't attack somebody over an issue when there is a perfectly rational explanation why I don't feel the need to up grade at this time, and not because I can't. The huge number of look ups really says volumes about how many people lurk in a news group, but never post anything.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott

KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 9217761528256581207
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 13:32:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 16:32:29 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tifjevq670l8c3@corp.supernews.com>
References: <20010612195811.01620.00004028@ng-da1.aol.com>
<20010612203420.13690.00007846@ng-ch1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 24
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24135

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010612203420.13690.00007846@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> >How come so many? All I got is 192. But I beat Bruce! :-)
> >
>
> Thats what I said Ed I have no Idea why so many

You want another good one to look up then check out N8WWM, which is of
course another Ham with the name Doug Adair, on QRZ too. 8->> You will also
find Richard McCollum makes an appearance the CB news group from time to
time too, but he doesn't list his call sign so it's just a wee bit harder

for the CBers to look him up.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

From 6770618856720800542
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c029daa359c5f376,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 14:03:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: "zehr" <mgembry@mindspring.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Code Software
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 16:59:18 -0400
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 6
Message-ID: <9g8kfi$gkp$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: d1.56.14.a1
X-Server-Date: 13 Jun 2001 21:03:46 GMT
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.3110.5
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24136

Could anyone please tell me, the name of a good code tutor software package?
And where I can obtain it?

Thanks

From -6726011818852028999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c029daa359c5f376
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 14:28:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!news-
out.worldnet.att.net.MISMATCH!wn3feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!wnfilter1!
worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

References: <9g8kfi$gkp$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Subject: Re: Code Software
Lines: 16
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <oWQV6.77783$t12.6426175@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 21:28:52 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.179.243
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 992467732 12.75.179.243 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001 21:28:52 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 21:28:52 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24137

"zehr" <mgembry@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9g8kfi$gkp$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> Could anyone please tell me, the name of a good code tutor software
package?
> And where I can obtain it?
>
> Thanks
>
>

Morse Academy. http://ah0a.org. Read the directions. Good Luck

Dick N�BK

From 7153206904937798423
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:01:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:01:06 GMT
References: <20010613085131.18644.00007811@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010613180106.11293.00001434@ng-ce1.aol.com>

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24138

>Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/13/01 7:51 AM Central Daylight Time

>Maybe its cause I dont QSL

     Still your attitude:  "I got mine...screw yours"


From -3960012781847854641
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:02:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:02:03 GMT
References: <20010613085538.18644.00007822@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613180203.11293.00001435@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24139

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/13/01 7:55 AM Central Daylight Time

> Ok Steveie we will change your name to Florence

    Sure...whatever spins your propellor, Bruce.  It will still get my paycheck
cashed...no problem.









From -6673489460379410677
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:03:40 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:03:11 GMT
References: <TrJV6.107614$Be4.33732362@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Message-ID: <20010613180311.11293.00001436@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24140

>Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 6/13/01 7:58 AM Central Daylight Time

>Didn't say anything of more just, just in hte typical american fashion,
>killing and vengeance is the way to get justice.

At least this monster had due course in court. The 168 he killed didn't.

From 1952902889789528933
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:04:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:04:09 GMT
References: <20010613085814.18644.00007828@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613180409.11293.00001437@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24141

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

```
>Date: 6/13/01 7:58 AM Central Daylight Time

>
> Oh how ethical you are, but it makes sense good service to the ones you
>like,
>wrotten service to the ones you dont like, I thought nursing was supposed to
>be
>different than that, you true self is showing.

     LOL!!!!!!!!

     You are SUCH a card, Bruce!  An illiterate one, but a card none the less!




From -8113146250358336393
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5d9452e1c3a7776
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:06:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:05:52 GMT
References: <20010613100049.13419.00003597@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: BAD NEWS STEVIE
Message-ID: <20010613180552.11293.00001439@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24142

>Subject: Re: BAD NEWS STEVIE
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/13/01 9:00 AM Central Daylight Time

>
>Dosent matter what you believe, I should post some of there Comments about
>you,
>I hope you realize how much of a Fool you are making yourself appear.

    Sure, Bruce.  I am sure we will all enjoy a bit of fiction as much as the
next guy.




From -1697389944375915690
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
```

```
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:15:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!paloalto-
snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!webtv.net!not-for-mail
From: twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 18:07:11 -0400 (EDT)
Organization: WebTV Subscriber
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <8886-3B27E40F-95@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
References: <RcyV6.30269$s12.761648@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: localhost.webtv.net
Mime-Version: 1.0 (WebTV)
Content-Type: Text/Plain; Charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: Quoted-Printable
X-WebTV-Signature: 1
     ETAtAhUAkWrX2L/PaFGELjMIx9s0MyAU33YCFGOrJpxt987K+qX2IdnR4yBGuubJ
Content-Disposition: Inline
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24143
```

<twistedhed@webtv.net> Reply to: =A0=A0 "Twistedhed"
<twistedhed@webtv.net> Subject: =A0=A0 Re: Just Checked QRZ Group:
=A0=A0 rec.radio.amateur.policy X-User-Info: =A0=A0 152.163.201.213
152.163.201.213 Date: =A0=A0 Wed, Jun 13, 2001, 12:10am (EDT+4) X-Trace:
=A0=A0 13 Jun 2001 00:10:26 GMT, pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
You should go look at my look-up counter on QRZ if you think 104 is a lot.
"Here we have a short bus candidate who cannot understand computer science telling others how to detect forges in hammie groups. Headers causing you problems? Trouble comprehending again Lean?"
--
--
73 de,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
ARRL member
NCI member
"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate

What's the matter, Leland? You that bored that you have to reply to Doug's forged post of me as well? That's typical. I just can't figure out why you and Doug want to be me so bad and why you obsess on me. Although I do wonder why a computer graduate, such as yourself, can't tell a webtv post from a forged one (sigh) again and again. Thanks for the invite, Leland, but as the hammie who just alerted me to you starting trouble again knows, I don't post anywhere except in the cb ng, except for the disclaimer I post when you start frolicing in fecal

matter and playing games like you are now. All the rest are you and
N8wwm's forges. Someone really needs to elmer you properly. Replies
email only. Have a good day, gentlemen.

```
From 7619875323394230773
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:17:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:17:05 GMT
References: <tifitdbj3jdkb9@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010613181705.22804.00004033@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24144
```

From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net

> The first thing they try is to poke fun at me
>about the old Morse Code thing.

Looks like the abuse runs in both directions, eh? Think they would be unhappy
with me 'cuz I like Morse code? I KNOW they would be unhappy with me about my
views on freebanding, export radios and amplifiers. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -5615313989959724242
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:19:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:19:26 GMT
```

References: <tifitdbj3jdkb9@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010613181926.22804.00004035@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24145

From: "Leland C. Scott" no-spam@junk-mail.net

>Once they get convinced I could care less
>about operating on H.F. currently, no room for H.F. antennas in my apartment
>mainly, they get the message. You can't attack somebody over an issue when
>there is a perfectly rational explanation why I don't feel the need to up
>grade at this time, and not because I can't.

The apartment doesn't have to stop you from using and enjoying HF. When I was apartment bound, I had all sorts of wires in the trees, in the eaves and just about any other which way I could imagine.

You are also interested in digital radio, so you could do quite a bit with very little on HF with those modes. Maybe you could even design a high-speed digital link to a remote HF base in your care. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -543986408664024611
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:23:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:23:36 GMT
References: <MPG.15917e8572b8c25f989700@news.earthlink.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613182336.22804.00004036@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24146

From: funguy@hotjuno.com Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com

>SActually, shame shouldn't be capitalized and you need an apostrophe for
>the word don't. AND you should always end a sentence with the
>appropriate punctuation mark. In this case either an exclamation point
>or a period. Just thought you'd like to know that.

Don't you love it when someone makes grammatical errors pointing out someone else's grammatical errors. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6441684486706305175
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:24:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 65
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:24:09 GMT
References: <3B27C3E1.3305C90@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613182409.11293.00001442@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24147

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 6/13/01 2:49 PM Central

>The principle is that a person's body belongs to that person, not to
>you or the government.

And I agree with that too, Cecil...it's when you cause something to ALTER that body in such a way that it negatiely impacts society or the enviroment that it becomes problematic.

Perhaps YOU can sit in the privacy of your own home and responsibly enjoy a joint or whatever other recreational pharmaceutical you care to consume...however YOU would be only a tiny, tiny fraction of that group.

Unfortunately, YOU are having to suffer the tribulations of "drug laws" based upon the actions of the majority of the REST of society.

>Lots of things that are rights are against the law. Blacks using white
>restrooms in Texas in the 50s, for instance.

The use of a restroom is not about to cause a motor vehicleto cross the centerline and kill some innocent citizen.

>We should wake up and
>treat drug problems as social problems, not as crimes.

Any person who causes a death while comsuming thier favorite recreational drug should be either hung with a hemp rope or a lethal dose of whatever the drug of choice was.

Drug dealers should be publically executed.

>Simply smoking marijuana in the privacy of one's home is no
>worse than consuming alcohol in the privacy of one's home...(SNIP)

IF and ONLY IF that parameter is met, you are correct. However just like alcohol, most people under the influence usually think THEY are the ONLY one who can safely operate a motor vehicle, and THEY are the ones who cause the accidents.

>....."and is a lot healthier."

This is NOT correct. It is your opinion. Medical facts prove otherwise.

>Smoking a
>joint in the privacy of one's own home is certainly not.

Again, I agree. However, just like alcohol, it usually doesn't stay there. When "legalized", it will be in parties, bars and anywhere else one cares to consume it "because it's my right". These people are first and loudest to proclaim THIER rights, but usually shrug thier shoulders when countered with the suggestion that people who do NOT use it are of right to be afforded protection FROM it's use....like pedestrians, other motorists, etc.

>The wife is just as dead either way.

True. And the cost of an IV catheter and the necessary chemicals to ensure it never happes again comes to just under $100.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -2450727415722291627
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:25:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:25:21 GMT
References: <9g80sq$e94$0@208.207.71.142>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613182521.22804.00004037@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24148

>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>To those people, who
>drop all that in favor of ham radio, even while keeping active in 40
>channel legal CB, I have no prpoblem whatever with it. Holding dual
>roles as a ham and a flagrant freebander is another matter.

I think we are all in agreement here, Dick. That had to happen sooner or later. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 3166478746237044240
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:27:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:27:23 GMT
References: <3B275934.D1E9DFE7@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Message-ID: <20010613182723.22804.00004038@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24149

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>Therefore, what happens on those CB
>frequencies is certainly a legitimate topic for ham operators to discuss.

As is what happens on ham radio a legitimate topic of conversation amongst CBers.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 548654770678816159
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:31:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:30:50 GMT
References: <20010613090518.18644.00007848@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613183050.22804.00004039@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24150

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Have you had any luck estimating the percentages on the list I had posted?

>I told you .05%

Now, do you expect that your estimate will have any validity with others here if you try to claim that each item on that list has the same percentage of devotion to amateur radio as the other? I think that my RFI work and the work of ARRL's Publications Sales people have different percentages of "for ham radio" vs "for the good of ARRL."

Don't you?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 183816814401871516
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:33:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:33:06 GMT
References: <3B275982.73D4666A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613183306.22804.00004040@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24151

>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>No human beings = no drug abuse. Drugs are not the cause, drugs
>are the effect of the existence of humans.

No drugs = no drug abuse, too, Cecil. Does that show that no drugs causes
humans to exist?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 9039570489288059479
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:36:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:36:36 GMT
References: <3B27C3E1.3305C90@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613183636.22804.00004041@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24152

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>The principle is that a person's body belongs to that person, not to
>you or the government.

Does that person expect Steve to care for him and expect the government to pay
for it?

>We should wake up and
>treat drug problems as social problems, not as crimes.

Most of the time, the users of drugs are treated like social problems. The
sellers of drugs are treated like criminals.

> Simply smoking marijuana in the privacy of one's home is no

>worse than consuming alcohol in the privacy of one's home and is a
>lot healthier.

Okay, so that may demonstrate that some drugs should not be legal. Steve, have
you ever treated someone in convulsions from a marijuana overdose? And Cecil,
do you believe mainlining heroin is healthier than drinking alcohol?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5658045751292756837
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:38:39 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:37:57 GMT
References: <20010613070942.03861.00000132@nso-fr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613183757.22804.00004042@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24153

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)

>>When are we going to stop blaming inanimate things for human actions?

>One word: Addiction.

Some people are addicted to food.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 6128364841845933839
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:40:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:40:24 GMT
References: <20010613182409.11293.00001442@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613184024.21988.00004031@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24154

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)

>Perhaps YOU can sit in the privacy of your own home and responsibly enjoy a
>joint or whatever other recreational pharmaceutical you care to
>consume...however YOU would be only a tiny, tiny fraction of that group.

I am sure far more pot smoking takes place in the privacy of someone's home than takes place in public.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5069671867499745907
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 15:48:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 22:48:06 GMT
References: <beb0a48b.0106130741.1189b1bf@posting.google.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613184806.21988.00004032@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24155

From: kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig)

>I've NEVER placed the blame on the rig. It lies squarely on the
>shoulders of the operator..."Personal Responsibility" is what I
>believe I've heard it described as.

I don't think a rig will transmit on its own. However, I don't think an operator will transmit without a rig. If either is eliminated, the problem is solved.

> When The ARRL involved itself with the legal efforts of legal CBers, it

missed a

> valuable opportunity to gain an ally in the "war" against "export" rig
> availability.

Why, people and organizations can work together in some areas and still disagree with each other in other areas. Many of the people I work with on RFI matters have different views than I about lots of things. The solutions to many of our problems are not found in the things we disagree about; they are found in our common ground. And if removing export radios and amplifiers truly is a priority for the legit CB community, I suggest that they ally themselves with everyone that they can.

Who knows, by doing so, maybe the next time there is an opportunity to disagree, the improved communication will prevent the disagreement. It has worked for me over the years far more often than not.

> as ex- (and current) 11 meter ops become hams, league members, and more
> politically savvy...

As ex and current 11-meter ops become hams and ARRL members, they have the tools to shape the League to be anything they want, if they can field 8 candidates for Director and convince half of the voting ARRL membership to vote for their candidates.

>Now is the time that we can possibly compromise and meet "somewhere in the
>middle."

Then work on the common ground. Win, lose or draw on the rest, that will be worth the doing.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 6609603108386494301
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 16:50:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 53
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 23:49:56 GMT
References: <20010613184806.21988.00004032@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613194956.20559.00000814@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24156

Hi again Ed,

>I don't think a rig will transmit on its own. However, I don't think an
>operator will transmit without a rig. If either is eliminated, the problem
>is
>solved.

Your presumption is correct, provided ALL avenues of obtaining those rigs are blocked. I personally don't believe that is possible. I feel the "grey market" will always supply what is in great demand. I share that view re. drug enforcement as well, target the user, not the dealer. In my earlier years as an "associate programmer," I put in the requisite time "in the city." I rather see the "Biffs" and the "Brads" on Wall street get busted than the sleazeball on the corner "uptown," where these guys go to "score" their dope. Ruin a few "budding careers" and the resulting drop-off in demand will take care of said sleazeball all by itself.

I'd rather see the "freebander" eliminated by educating him/her and gently nudging them in the right direction. In order to educate someone, you need to make that person "approachable." You do NOT accomplish this by calling them a "chicken bander" or interfering with their legal efforts re. a FCC rule making proposal. It's done by opening a dialog and attempting to gain some insight into "why" they engaged in said activity (Unlike some here, who claim to know exactly why they do it. ;-p) and showing them how much they're missing. Doesn't work for all, but definitely a sufficient number to warrant a change in tactics. Sorry, sermon ended...whew! :-) Then take those "export" rigs and slap transverters on em' and have a ball.

>Who knows, by doing so, maybe the next time there is an opportunity to
>disagree, the improved communication will prevent the disagreement. It has
>worked for me over the years far more often than not.
>

>As ex and current 11-meter ops become hams and ARRL members, they have the
>tools to shape the League to be anything they want, if they can field 8
>candidates for Director and convince half of the voting ARRL membership to
>vote
>for their candidates.

>
>Then work on the common ground. Win, lose or draw on the rest, that will be
>worth the doing.
>

I couldn't agree with you more, Ed. If I may pay you a compliment at the risk of drawing the ire of some of the "angrier" participants of this NG; I find disagreeing with you a far more pleasurable experience than I have found when discussing issues with others with whom I'm (supposedly) in total agreement. Thanks for making it more than just a useless exercise. Although you appear to disagree with what I ask of others, you actually go ahead and do it.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 7958937813968692035
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 17:05:31 PST
Message-ID: <3B27FF66.8858271C@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 19:03:50 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B27C3E1.3305C90@IEEE.org> <20010613182409.11293.00001442@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.133
X-Trace: corp 992476625 64.240.47.133 (13 Jun 2001 18:57:05 -0500)
Lines: 50
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24157

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> And I agree with that too, Cecil...it's when you cause something to ALTER
> that body in such a way that it negatiely impacts society or the enviroment
> that it becomes problematic.

Alcohol impacts society. Why is alcohol legal? Why was prohibition repealed?

> Unfortunately, YOU are having to suffer the tribulations of "drug laws"
> based upon the actions of the majority of the REST of society.

Actually, about 25% of the country is not suffering the tribulations of drug laws. If you are part of the white upper class, you don't have to worry about drug laws.

> The use of a restroom is not about to cause a motor vehicleto cross the centerline and kill some innocent citizen.

Would you get off that kick? Driving and using drugs are unrelated except by real criminals. It is legal to consume alcohol. It is illegal to drive while DUI. That is exactly as it should be.

> Any person who causes a death while comsuming thier favorite recreational drug should be either hung with a hemp rope or a lethal dose of whatever the drug of choice was.

I agree 100%, Steve. But please don't punish the 95% of the citizens who don't cause any problems while drinking or smoking.

> Drug dealers should be publically executed.

Using exactly the same logic, gun dealers should be publicly executed.

Why not make it a capital offense to engage in DUI? I'll vote for that.

> Medical facts prove otherwise.

Oh? We can't do any research because it is a class A (1?)drug. The last valid research was done by the mayor of NYC who wanted to prove that marijuana had bad side effects. He failed. Jamaicans smoke marijuana and get a lot of lung cancer. Bingo, marijuana causes lung cancer. Nope, Jamaicans lace their marijuana with tobacco.

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =------
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =------

From -6314313779244358571
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 17:07:28 PST
Message-ID: <3B27FFD5.D7957819@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 19:05:41 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010613182409.11293.00001442@ng-ce1.aol.com>
<20010613184024.21988.00004031@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.133
X-Trace: corp 992476735 64.240.47.133 (13 Jun 2001 18:58:55 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24158

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: k4yz@aol.com  (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>
> >Perhaps YOU can sit in the privacy of your own home and responsibly enjoy a
> >joint or whatever other recreational pharmaceutical you care to
> >consume...however YOU would be only a tiny, tiny fraction of that group.
>
> I am sure far more pot smoking takes place in the privacy of someone's home
> than takes place in public.

Fully 1/3 of my friends outside of Texas smoke pot in the privacy
of their homes. If you're white and upper-middle class, nobody cares.
--
cheers, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----



From 1334570189132411348
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 17:18:53 PST
Message-ID: <3B280271.47E1538D@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 19:16:49 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
```

References: <3B27C3E1.3305C90@IEEE.org> <20010613183636.22804.00004041@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.133
X-Trace: corp 992477404 64.240.47.133 (13 Jun 2001 19:10:04 -0500)
Lines: 38
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24159

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >The principle is that a person's body belongs to that person, not to
> >you or the government.
>
> Does that person expect Steve to care for him and expect the government to pay
> for it?

Nope, with freedom comes responsibility. Citizens should be held responsible, including financially, for their actions.

> >We should wake up and
> >treat drug problems as social problems, not as crimes.
>
> Most of the time, the users of drugs are treated like social problems.

That's true if you're white and have some money. Why do you think the penalties are so much harsher for crack cocaine than for powdered cocaine?

> And Cecil,
> do you believe mainlining heroin is healthier than drinking alcohol?

"Healthier" doesn't enter into it. Bung cord jumping is unhealthy for some people but they decide whether it is worth the risk or not. The individual should decide whether heroin is worth the risk or not. I would never use heroin but I believe you have a right to do so if you so choose. I ride a Harvey without a helmet. That's not very healthy but I enjoy it, am aware of the risks, and carry enough insurance to cover any accidents.
--

cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6178250170401999012
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 17:20:47 PST
Message-ID: <3B2802E0.DA510E1A@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 19:18:40 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B275982.73D4666A@IEEE.org> <20010613183306.22804.00004040@ng-
mj1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.133
X-Trace: corp 992477513 64.240.47.133 (13 Jun 2001 19:11:53 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24160
```

W1RFI wrote:

> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>
> >No human beings = no drug abuse. Drugs are not the cause, drugs
> >are the effect of the existence of humans.
>
> No drugs = no drug abuse, too, Cecil. Does that show that no drugs causes
> humans to exist?

No vehicles = no vehicle abuse. No guns = no gun abuse. No ice cream =

no ice cream abuse. No ham radio = no ham radio abuse. Need I go on?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4094766475625852429
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 17:26:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 80
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 00:25:37 GMT
References: <20010613194956.20559.00000814@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613202537.13798.00001439@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24161

From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)

>>I don't think a rig will transmit on its own. However, I don't think an
>>operator will transmit without a rig. If either is eliminated, the problem
>>is solved.

>Your presumption is correct, provided ALL avenues of obtaining those rigs are
>blocked. I personally don't believe that is possible. I feel the "grey
>market" will always supply what is in great demand.

Perhaps so, but I think it the illegal equipment could be driven underground enough where it literally was a guy standing on the street corner with a long overcoat and a collection of watches and leenyars, a really good dent would have been made.

> I share that view re. drug enforcement as well, target the user, not the dealer.

Not many of the professionals responsible for drug enforcement share your view.

>I'd rather see the "freebander" eliminated by educating him/her and gently
>nudging them in the right direction.

I agree.

> In order to educate someone, you need to
>make that person "approachable." You do NOT accomplish this by calling them a
>"chicken bander" or interfering with their legal efforts re. a FCC rule
>making proposal.

Name calling never helps, as can be seen from those that do it here. I don't think the name callers really have the respect of those reading their posts. Interfering with their efforts would, IMHO, be a bad thing. To my knowledge, that didn't happen; no one prevented them from filing an FCC rulemaking or from making comments on same. Comments of those with a different view were filed, but that is a process of law. To use that process of law to justify illegal acts in any way is simply not logical.

> It's done by opening a dialog and attempting to gain some insight
> into "why" they engaged in said activity (Unlike some here, who claim to know
>exactly why they do it. ;-p) and showing them how much they're missing.

Do you really think most freebanders or those who shoot skip on 11 meters are not aware of Amateur Radio and what can be done within it?

> Then take those "export" rigs and slap transverters on em' and have a ball.

What percentage of export radios do you think are operated in the Amateur Radio Service with a transverter?

>I couldn't agree with you more, Ed. If I may pay you a compliment at the risk
>of drawing the ire of some of the "angrier" participants of this NG; I find
>disagreeing with you a far more pleasurable experience than I have found when
>discussing issues with others with whom I'm (supposedly) in total agreement.

Many of the people I consider friends on this forum do not share my views on every issue. Over the years, I think we have learned from each other, though, and that could only happen if disagreement was cordial and if we both recognized that the other person reached a different conclusion from the available data. That happens, and somehow, by talking it out, sometimes some really cool things and unexpected understandings can result.

>Thanks for making it more than just a useless exercise. Although you appear
>to disagree with what I ask of others, you actually go ahead and do it.

There are four stages to getting things done:

plan the planning
do the planning
plan the doing
do the doing

It is the last one that seems to be the hardest. With what I do, it is easy, although rather daunting; there is just so much important stuff to do that I couldn't imagine myself doing anything else. In that light, I consider myself the luckiest man in the world! :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -5477319652296790055
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 17:29:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 00:28:52 GMT
References: <3B2802E0.DA510E1A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613202852.13765.00001631@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24162

From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org

>No vehicles = no vehicle abuse. No guns = no gun abuse. No ice cream =
>no ice cream abuse. No ham radio = no ham radio abuse. Need I go on?

No, you don't, Cecil. In the first place, you don't want to get me going on gun abuse. We are friends and it is best we keep it that way. As to the others, picking 3 subjects that are not abused 1/10th as often, nor with the same devastating consequences to society, as hard drug abuse is simply an incongruant comparison. I have never felt afraid that I will be mugged by an ice-cream junkie looking for his next fix.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7827085700657558210
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 17:30:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <rozV6.11890$Zt6.5357787@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010613033443.03026.00001738@ng-cf1.aol.com>

Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <%ATV6.13369$Zt6.6285945@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 00:30:51 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992478651 24.180.184.171 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001 17:30:51 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 17:30:51 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24163

How do you know If I am liar or not Can you prove my name is Not Irving Finkelbober. No just like I can't prove your Stevie Robeson. You are no better than the next guy. I love Ham radio!

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010613033443.03026.00001738@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
> >From: "Prozac" Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com
> >Date: 6/12/01 8:32 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >What does my name have to do with me being responsible for my actions.
Here
> >its Irving Finkelbober. Hows that does it make you happy. Now you know my
> >name, Now what?
>
>   So now you are an Anonymous Coward AND a liar.
>
>   Not that I am surprised.
>
>
>
>

From -1751260186733258312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 17:33:37 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-

```
mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <TrJV6.107614$Be4.33732362@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010613180311.11293.00001436@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Lines: 24
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <ADTV6.113163$Be4.34901965@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 00:33:36 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 992478816 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001 17:33:36
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 17:33:36 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24164

How did killing him make those people any better


"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010613180311.11293.00001436@ng-ce1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 6/13/01 7:58 AM Central Daylight Time
>
> >Didn't say anything of more just, just in hte typical american fashion,
> >killing and vengeance is the way to get justice.
>
>      At least this monster had due course in court.  The 168 he killed
didn't.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>




From 9057755087366314129
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 17:55:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
```

```
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <LvzV6.11892$Zt6.5366428@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010613035056.03026.00001739@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Lines: 106
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <bXTV6.13386$Zt6.6310537@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 00:54:31 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992480071 24.180.184.171 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001
17:54:31 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 17:54:31 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24166


"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message

>      And so far you are not.  Good work.
=======================================
Oh what a great answer how long did it take you to think of that?

>
> >You are a horses ass, with nothing good to say to anyone
>
>      You use profanity then chastise another for "(not having) anything
good to
> say to anyone".  Nice job here, too.
======================================================
No! nice job on your part  numbnutz,  Since when is Horses Ass profanity.
But what should it matter.  I am treating you the same way you treat others,
I find this is the best way to get thru to guys like you. Its called a taste
of your own medicine.


> >What good does a name do?
>
>      It gives you credibility and proves that you have the intestinal
fortitude
> to stand behind your assertions, right or wrong.  However in YOUR case, I
am
> sure your desire to maintain anonymitity has a lot to do with a lack of
> certainty as to what your name really is.
=======================================================
I know you buy a name you post by on the computer do I think this makes you
credibile or any more credible than the next guy. Nah sorry its the content
```

```
of ones speech which gives credibility. Not some stupid name or nickname on
a NG. Chip and the rest of the Fractenna gang uses there names are they
credibile?

>
>      You have the resources of a computer but can't verify the information
> given you?  You are anonymous AND stupid.
=======================================================
No you are the stupid one, Tell me ohh savy one you also have a computer
tell me what my name is don't you have the same resources as me. Besides I
told you my name is Irving Finkelbober, and Just like you told me your name
is  Steve. We both have the same information as each other. Who is more
credible?
>
> >is that the "Gospel"
>
>      I am trying to answer your inquiries but have to wade through your
lack of
> use of capitalization and punctuation.  No wonder you don't have the
skills to
> figure out if the "Steve, K4YZ" posting here really IS K4YZ.
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
----------------------
Well give me your ISP name and number and maybe they will tell me actually
who you are, otherwise You as credible as my ballsack.




>
>      I see you are in the "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do" mode vis-a-vis
"nothing
> good to say".  Typical.
======================================================
Yes I am in the Steve Robeson mode, Nothing good to say, I watch how you
talk to people and figure I mind as well do as you.How am I doing. Catch you
on 14.313 tonite? Ill be the guy calling CQ with the callsign K4YZ


> >I also got your pokemon swinging.
>
>      I am sure you do.  So do most male neonates.
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
-----------------
I hear alot of male nurses like things that swing? Is this true?




>
>      I am sure you THINK so.  But so far you have given us NO reason to
believe
> ANYTHING you offer has ANY validity.
======================================================
Again Steve Don't I remind you of you. Nothing you say has any validity,
just sarcasm .
>
> >I am your new best friend
>
>     You may think so, but I doubt that you have ANY friends, let alone
know the  meaning of "best friend".
======================================================
No Steve I really am, I think we are going to get along just fine.

>
>      Perhaps I could offer you a complimentary "Hooked On Phonics"
package?
====================================================
Is that what you studied? If so I rather pass.



>
>
>
>





From -3400789666029791395
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 17:55:35 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <yGdV6.10623$Zt6.4340880@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010612125827.25951.00000874@ng-cn1.aol.com>
<rozV6.11890$Zt6.5357787@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<pxzV6.76175$t12.6278092@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
<55ae748b.0106130302.81c23a5@posting.google.com>
Subject: Re: Hecklers: was WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <7XTV6.13385$Zt6.6310634@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 00:54:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992480067 24.180.184.171 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001
17:54:27 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 17:54:27 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
```

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24165

Mark, I am not your normal parasite. I don't go away easy. I am like a bear
I stored my food so I have some staying power. Enjoy your ham radio tonight!

"Mark Little" <mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au> wrote in message
news:55ae748b.0106130302.81c23a5@posting.google.com...
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<pxzV6.76175$t12.6278092@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> > "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com> wrote in message
> > news:rozV6.11890$Zt6.5357787@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> > > What does my name have to do with me being responsible for my actions.
> >  Here
> > > its Irving Finkelbober. Hows that does it make you happy. Now you know
my
> > > name, Now what?
> >
> > Proz, let me tell you how it is here.  Name and callsign bring
credibility,
> > neat handles do not.  May not be PC, may not be fair, may be pure
bullshit,
> > but it is the reality.
>
> Those of you who reply to Prozac have forgotten the basics in dealing
> with such people, on-air or on-internet. They are emotional parasites
> feeding on your annoyance. Every time you respond, you feed their
> egos. Like any parasite, remove the food source and they eventually
> drop off.
>
> Mark.




From -2420311713159408174
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 17:57:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010612215307.20174.00000924@nso-mr.aol.com>
<20010613040208.03026.00001742@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined)
Lines: 31
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <BZTV6.13390$Zt6.6313393@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
```

```
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 00:57:05 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992480225 24.180.184.171 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001
17:57:05 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 17:57:05 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24167

Perhaps I can send you to the NG  FAQ's page to learn how to read posts.
Ain't that right redneck. Listen for me on the air tonight!



"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010613040208.03026.00001742@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined)
> >From: lenover21@aol.com  (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 6/12/01 8:53 PM Central
>
> >My name is not Hans.
>
>      My mistake, Len.  You have been quoting Hans verbatim lately, I just
lost
> track of which one I was responding to.
>
>      Sorry.
>
> Steve
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>



From 7664896762909628701
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 18:03:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!
news.home.com!news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010612203442.07728.00004071@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
```

```
<20010613032508.03026.00001735@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Lines: 47
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <24UV6.13399$Zt6.6320822@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 01:03:58 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992480638 24.180.184.171 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001
18:03:58 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 18:03:58 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24168

Go tell it to someone who cares. Hahaha now he is defending why he is a male
nursie. Hook Line and sinker. Catch you on the band tonight . You know the
callsign!



--
X-No-archive: yes
"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010613032508.03026.00001735@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
> >From: kc2hmn@cs.com  (Bert Craig)
> >Date: 6/12/01 7:34 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >>Hey, Steve -- Way to go, man!
>
> >I second, third, and fouth that!!! Straight from my heart and in absentia
of
> those who don't know better (but should)...thanks!
>
>     Thanks Ed and Bert.  The Spineless Cajun Wannabe  and his Anonymous
Coward
> accomplice  are invited to join me for a "tour of duty" one night.
>
>      For the ignorant and cowardly, nursing was originally a "man's" job.
It
> was only through the tragedy of war that women were, in most "western"
> countries, called upon to do this task to allow men to fight.
>
>     Many women, including the likes of Florence Nightengail and Clara
Barton
> had to overcome the stereotype of trying to "be men" and not go home and
raise
> thier families instead.   Clara Barton championed what we now call
"battlefield
> medicine".
>
```

```
>      I, for one, am greatful she chose to do so.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>
```

```
From -7554329773503070928
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 18:05:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010613085814.18644.00007828@ng-mq1.aol.com>
<20010613180409.11293.00001437@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Lines: 27
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <G5UV6.13401$Zt6.6323126@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 01:05:42 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992480742 24.180.184.171 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001
18:05:42 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 18:05:42 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24169

You are a card to Steve a real AceHole, or would that be a Queen? See ya on
the local repeater tonite.

--
X-No-archive: yes
"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010613180409.11293.00001437@ng-ce1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
> >From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
> >Date: 6/13/01 7:58 AM Central Daylight Time
>
> >
> > Oh how ethical you are, but it makes sense good service to the ones you
> >like,
> >wrotten service to the ones you dont like, I thought nursing was supposed
to
> >be
> >different than that, you true self is showing.
>
>       LOL!!!!!!!!
>
>       You are SUCH a card, Bruce!  An illiterate one, but a card none the
less!
>
>




From -8922455603226642278
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 18:08:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news1.rdc2.pa.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <20010612191906.12882.00002738@ng-cg1.aol.com>
<20010613032931.03026.00001736@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <18UV6.13404$Zt6.6325934@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 01:08:13 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.180.184.171
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news1.rdc2.pa.home.com 992480893 24.180.184.171 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001
18:08:13 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 18:08:13 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24170

Steve come on over and change my bedpan.
Do you carry your HT to work?


"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010613032931.03026.00001736@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
> >From: wa8ulx@aol.com  (WA8ULX)
> >Date: 6/12/01 6:19 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >  Now I understand your Sick Attitude, your another Dumb Ass Male Nurse,
could
> make it in a mans Job so you took a Womens Job
>
>      Let's see....I am doing a job that is necessary, rewarding, and puts
me in
> an enviroment that is 85% women....
>
>      Yeah...that's pretty silly of me...!!!!
>
>
>
>
```

```
From -7548992037634812065
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 18:26:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 72
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 01:26:14 GMT
References: <20010613202537.13798.00001439@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613212614.20559.00000840@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24171

>Perhaps so, but I think it the illegal equipment could be driven underground
>enough where it literally was a guy standing on the street corner with a long
>overcoat and a collection of watches and leenyars, a really good dent would
>have been made.

Think that "export" rig or "leenyar's dirty now? Just wait until they're sold
by the guy on the corner. Although I've NEVER run an 11 meter amplifier, (I'd
hardly call many of them "linear.") I do acknowledge and have heard the
difference between a "Hurricane 350" or a "Shooting Star" (circa 1983) driven
"full out" and a "Texas Star" (circa 1999) driven at "half throttle." I don't
like either, but will choose the lesser of the two evil every time.

>
>Not many of the professionals responsible for drug enforcement share your
>view.
```

Just my Dad, that's where I got it from. The same man who had to help satisfy the precinct's "quota" (You know, the one that doesn't exist.) of hooker busts on Mon., Tue., and Wed., while having to serve as protection for a certain "affluent" group in Brooklyn (same precinct) to ensure that they could cross (on foot) the BQE safely, get their $15 service unmolested, and return unimpeded to "home' turf on Thu. and Fri. I had a tough time fathoming how this could be possible until I realized just who "paid the bills. Didn't make me any less sad about the situation though.

>To use that process of law to justify illegal
>acts in any way is simply not logical.

Agreed.

>Do you really think most freebanders or those who shoot skip on 11 meters are
>not aware of Amateur Radio and what can be done within it?

No, certainly not all, but some. However, if you treat them with disdain from square one...you'll miss the opportunity to reach those that truly do not. If you check my bio on QRZ, you can read about the affects of a negative first impression. Like I said, I should've just shrugged it off, but I was young and the privileges of that Novice ticket did NOT outweigh the "kiss my a$$" reaction to that first encounter. Had I truly understood the full scope of what I'd be missing, those comments would have slid off me like the slime that spoke them. Perhaps if I'd met my eventual Elmer then and been guided elswhere, the story would've "started" differently. In any case, the responsibility has always lied squarely with me, I recognize that, accept it, and thank god for being the beneficiary of "fools luck." (aided by some prudent RFI precautions and very NON lid operating practices) As always, at the risk of being "burnt at the stake," I offer myself as an example and thus endeaver to "lead by example."

>What percentage of export radios do you think are operated in the Amateur
>Radio
>Service with a transverter?
>

I can only vouch for my own...but every tally begins with one, right? There is a measure of satisfaction int putting the President Lincoln legally on 2 meter SSB rather than spending $1400+ for the new ICOM 910H. I do like that new Ten-Tec though, I'll wait and see how it fares when it's put through it's paces. Now that's what I like to see my ARRL dues pay for. I also like the idea of buying an American made product. I'd seriously considered buying a Patcomm because it's manufactured (wrong term...made) just down the road from my QTH, in St. James, NY. However...the ARRL reviews were not too kind. ;-)

>I consider myself
>the luckiest man in the world! :-)

Most deservedly in this "young" (at heart) ham's eyes. In my experience, luck is often assisted by actions. What can be truly marvelous to witness, is when one's actions result in good luck for others as well. Thanks again Ed.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From 8967484252785448152
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 19:10:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.frii.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 22:11:29 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <3B281D4E.7D395C50@sccoast.net>
References: <3B262DE2.B7E382BE@psu.edu> <20010612210430.12553.00004703@nso-ck.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-51.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992484621 310804 206.74.49.51 (14 Jun 2001 02:10:21 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news3.infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 02:10:21 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24172

N2EY wrote:

> Dwight and Mike,
>
> Here are some resources:

Thanks, Jim. Anything will help. I'll try those over the next day or so.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From 2334897079259139719

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 19:13:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!hammer.uoregon.edu!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!
newsfeed1.earthlink.net!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 02:10:30 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <3b281cac.10413457@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3b26e66d.2239884@news.mindspring.com>
<20010613155328.04748.00000148@nso-cc.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4b.a8
X-Server-Date: 14 Jun 2001 02:12:49 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24173

On 13 Jun 2001 19:53:28 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>There is a sizeable number of amateurs who think that morse code
>skill is an integral part of amateur radio and of a "necessity" in any
>license issuance.

But that percentage is decreasing over time for several reasons.

>The form-letter "WAD" campaign is a sign of that
>and also a warning for NCTAs. NCTAs cannot be complacent in
>thinking that a code test removal is a "sure thing" in the future.

I can assure you that nothing is being taken for
granted.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From -9484438512315058
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 19:13:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed.cgocable.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 22:14:55 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <3B281E19.D5ADA330@sccoast.net>
References: <3B275934.D1E9DFE7@sccoast.net> <20010613182723.22804.00004038@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-51.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992484826 311124 206.74.49.51 (14 Jun 2001 02:13:46 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news3.infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 02:13:46 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24174

W1RFI wrote:
>
> As is what happens on ham radio a
> legitimate topic of conversation amongst
> CBers.

Absolutely.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From -9977756441052662
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 19:23:10 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail
From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 02:20:29 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 39
Message-ID: <3b281eec.10989589@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3b26ec67.3769817@news.mindspring.com>
<20010613050642.03026.00001754@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com

NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4b.a8
X-Server-Date: 14 Jun 2001 02:22:55 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24175

On 13 Jun 2001 09:06:42 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>>Date: 6/12/01 11:32 PM Central Daylight Time
>
>Hi Bill,
>>Just what is not right about CB (assuming the CBer and
>>CB operation is according to regulations?
>
>   Assuming that your parameters are met, Bill, NOTHING at all! I DO still
>have a CB and use it on occassion. I have friends who talk on it and have NO
>interest in "radio" above and beyond a short range communications tool. For
>them, CB and/or FRS and MURS would be perfect options.
>
>>CB has many good uses for those not technically
>>inclined enough to desire a ham license. My car club
>>uses CBs when we convoy to shows. Makes for
>>a much more enjoyable trip.
>
>   I agree wholeheartedly. My consternation with CB is the lawless
>operations that make it very difficult if not impossible to use CB for it's
>stated purpose as codified by Part 95.

But in that description you are describing illegal
activity....not CB. The trap or fallacy is that some
people tend to paint such illegal use and operation
as part of CB when it is clearly NOT any part of
legal CB.

>   The assertions by a few that I don't like CBers or CB is a lie. I don't
>like ILLEGAL operators. (Be they on 11 meters or any Part 97 authorized
>allocation, for THAT matter!)

I agree completely.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 1838168423611813281
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 19:27:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.mindspring.net!not-for-mail

From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 02:23:38 GMT
Organization: MindSpring Enterprises
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <3b281f84.11142029@news.mindspring.com>
References: <3b26ec67.3769817@news.mindspring.com>
<20010613050642.03026.00001754@ng-cf1.aol.com> <9g80sq$e94$0@208.207.71.142>
Reply-To: billsohl@mindspring.com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 40.9d.4b.a8
X-Server-Date: 14 Jun 2001 02:26:06 GMT
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.11/32.235
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24176

On 13 Jun 2001 15:29:30 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>
>> >Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>> >From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>> >Date: 6/12/01 11:32 PM Central Daylight Time
>>
>> Hi Bill,
>> >Just what is not right about CB (assuming the CBer and
>> >CB operation is according to regulations?
>>
>> Assuming that your parameters are met, Bill, NOTHING at all! I DO still
>> have a CB and use it on occassion. I have friends who talk on it and have NO
>> interest in "radio" above and beyond a short range communications tool. For
>> them, CB and/or FRS and MURS would be perfect options.
>>
>> >CB has many good uses for those not technically
>> >inclined enough to desire a ham license. My car club
>> >uses CBs when we convoy to shows. Makes for
>> >a much more enjoyable trip.
>>
>> I agree wholeheartedly. My consternation with CB is the lawless
>> operations that make it very difficult if not impossible to use CB for it's
>> stated purpose as codified by Part 95.
>>
>> The assertions by a few that I don't like CBers or CB is a lie. I don't
>> like ILLEGAL operators. (Be they on 11 meters or any Part 97 authorized
>> allocation, for THAT matter!)
>
> Exactly what I have said here many times. For some reason that is
>ignored by those posting here who insist that I and others "constantly
>criticise CB". The part of CB that is legitimate is not subject to
>criticism.

But the illegal aspects are NOT then CB by the very nature of the
illegality of what is being done.

> We all know that CB has long been the primary source of new hams, and
>most of us accepted that lots of them had been illegal operators, and
>even out ob band operators.

Has anyone ever made any true analysis of that?

>Some had no idea that they were committing
>an offense which could have serious repecussions. To those people, who
>drop all that in favor of ham radio, even while keeping active in 40
>channel legal CB, I have no prpoblem whatever with it. Holding dual
>roles as a ham and a flagrant freebander is another matter.

I agree also.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

From 4051835838667062298
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 19:36:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 02:36:16 GMT
References: <3B281E19.D5ADA330@sccoast.net>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Message-ID: <20010613223616.07412.00002492@ng-bd1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24177

>W1RFI wrote:
>>
>> As is what happens on ham radio a
>> legitimate topic of conversation amongst
>> CBers.
>
>
> Absolutely.

Soundly seconded!

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

```
From 8846772325550437007
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 20:31:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!netnews.com!feed.cgocable.net!
out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 23:32:32 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 55
Message-ID: <3B283038.858BE084@sccoast.net>
References: <3B27C3E1.3305C90@IEEE.org> <20010613182409.11293.00001442@ng-
ce1.aol.com> <3B27FF66.8858271C@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-51.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992489478 314590 206.74.49.51 (14 Jun 2001 03:31:18
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news3.infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 03:31:18 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24178
```

CAM wrote:

> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > And I agree with that too, Cecil...
> > it's when you cause something to
> > ALTER that body in such a way that
> > it negatiely impacts society or
> > the enviroment that it becomes
> > problematic.
>
> Alcohol impacts society. Why is
> alcohol legal? Why was prohibition
> repealed?

Well, you're consistent, Cecil. We "discussed" drugs just a few weeks ago and you're still using the exact same arguments. As we discussed last time, alcohol does not impact society to the extent _INCREASED_ use of marijuana would (see next paragraph). The same statement is true with "tobacco" or "guns" replacing the word "alcohol" (both brought up by you in the last discussion).

As we discussed last time, alcohol use increased after prohibition ended. Therefore, we can certainly expect marijuana use to increase if it were legalized. After that, it is only a matter of simple math. Take the current marijuana users, and the problems associated with current users, and then increase both in proportion to whatever level you desire (your estimate of potential use after legalization). In each case, the problems are more than we would want to deal with in this society. For example, if marijuana use increased only to the level of current alcohol use, the problems associated with that marijuana use would greatly exceed anything presently associated with alcohol (even more so if we talk about tobacco or guns instead).

Likewise, as we also discussed last time, even if the problems from marijuana use only equaled the problems associated with alcohol use, only a fool would want to _ADD_ to the problems we already have with alcohol use. In other words, it would not benefit society to increase the problems we already have by adding legalized marijuana to those problems.

Of course, if we take your pro-drug argument further, and talk about harder drugs (heroin, crack, cocaine, etc) instead, the potential problems from increased use after legalization would be absolutely crippling to this country. The financial costs alone would be staggering (far exceeding the drug war), not to mention the human costs.

No, my friend, I'll never accept legalization of drugs. Only a drug user, or someone too self-centered (or stupid) to see the big picture, would. Anyone who truly cares about this country, and it's people, would be appalled by the idea.

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From -6155872583373465155
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:51:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 61
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 07:50:56 GMT
References: <LvzV6.11892$Zt6.5366428@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010613035056.03026.00001739@ng-cf1.aol.com>

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24079

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: "Prozac" Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com
>Date: 6/12/01 8:39 PM Central Daylight Time

>Steve, I am not here to impress anyone unlike you.

And so far you are not. Good work.

>You are a horses ass, with nothing good to say to anyone

You use profanity then chastise another for "(not having) anything good to say to anyone". Nice job here, too.

>What good does a name do?

It gives you credibility and proves that you have the intestinal fortitude to stand behind your assertions, right or wrong. However in YOUR case, I am sure your desire to maintain anonymitity has a lot to do with a lack of certainty as to what your name really is.

>I mean how do I know who you are, just because you post a name on a computer

You have the resources of a computer but can't verify the information given you? You are anonymous AND stupid.

>is that the "Gospel"

I am trying to answer your inquiries but have to wade through your lack of use of capitalization and punctuation. No wonder you don't have the skills to figure out if the "Steve, K4YZ" posting here really IS K4YZ.

No, it's not "the Gospel". I never said it was.

>Don't think so Jack Ass

I see you are in the "Do As I Say, Not Do As I Do" mode vis-a-vis "nothing good to say". Typical.

>that should be your name.

Then for you, so it shall be. Be Happy.

>I also got your pokemon swinging.

I am sure you do. So do most male neonates.

>You talk shit instead of ham radio

I am sure you THINK so. But so far you have given us NO reason to believe ANYTHING you offer has ANY validity.

>I am your new best friend

You may think so, but I doubt that you have ANY friends, let alone know the meaning of "best friend".

Perhaps I could offer you a complimentary "Hooked On Phonics" package?

From 400809391059693561
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 00:58:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 07:58:20 GMT
References: <20010612215303.20174.00000920@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613035820.03026.00001740@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24080

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/12/01 8:53 PM Central Daylight Time

>That's control and an attempt to stop a citizen's
>First Amendment freedom.

Nope. That's an attempt to counter vileness and bitterness. No one, least of all me, want's to keep you from posting anything, Len. It's far better to keep your opponent where you can see them.

>On public record a couple of days ago in this newsgroup is a
>copy of Robeson's attempts to control/stifle another radio
>amateur's statements at QRZ.COM, namely Bruce Beynon,
>WA8ULX.

I see...and trying to blunt rudeness and offensiveness is "censorship"..?!?!

Yeah...right.

>That's telling the truth and verifiable by anyone.

That I authored a complaint is true. In as much as it happened in a public forum is NOT censorship.

>That's up to Robeson. I care less except for all those times
>when Robeson has been incorrect, not factual, never giving
>references to supposed "facts."

Kind of like you, Len? You have a historical track record of your own that includes avoiding direct answers, name calling of your own, and out-and-out lying.

Nothing "supposed" about any of it.

Now...you have yet to acknowledge any of the last weeks' efforts to temper the rhetoric...you simply lapse back into the same pattern.

Not that I expected anything different...but there is always hope.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -3754606709943066807
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 01:00:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 08:00:26 GMT
References: <20010612221249.20174.00000925@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613040026.03026.00001741@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24081

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/12/01 9:12 PM Central Daylight Time

>Error correction: 25 January 1999, not 25 January 2000

No problem, Len. You have a problem with small details in more than one category.

I give you 10 Anderson Message Points for having the I.F. to acknowledge an error.

73

Stee, K4YZ

From -7246218395478027697
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 01:02:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 08:02:08 GMT
References: <20010612215307.20174.00000924@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010613040208.03026.00001742@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24082

>Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/12/01 8:53 PM Central

>My name is not Hans.

My mistake, Len. You have been quoting Hans verbatim lately, I just lost track of which one I was responding to.

Sorry.

Steve

From -5738978290088727994

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 01:19:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 56
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 08:19:23 GMT
References: <20010612215307.20174.00000924@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010613041923.03026.00001743@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24083

>Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/12/01 8:53 PM Central Daylight Time

>It is true that all the United States have differing needs, however the
>"volunteerism" being "more established" in eastern and southern
>cities is a personal geocentric viewpoint not borne out by published
>facts.

OK, Len...YOU assert "...not borne out by published facts". Now, WHICH published facts are these?

Authors? Publication title?

>The most populous state in the union is spelled California, not
>"Californica."

Gee..THANKS, Len! Did >>I<< do that...?!?!

>Hurricanes are rare in California or even over the whole of the west
>coast...yet they are an annual event on the east coast and on the
>Gulf coast (see TX and LA of recent days)..

Gee...NO KIDDING Len..?!?! For real...?!?! I thought all those stories I read in QST, CQ, Emergency Medical Services, and Journal of Emergency Medical Services (JEMS) were all fiction.

>No fact, just another geocentric pejorative, an insult hurled by
>those against states not their own, regions not their own, for
>varying personal reasons. Not at all factual.

From 1979 to 1983 and again from 1986 through 1992 I was not only a resident of Californication, but I was a proactive member of various EMS agencies (Orange County and Inland Empire), ARES, and the Orange County Chapter of the American Red Cross, not to mention working in the ER of two different OC

ER's at various times. (Hoag Memorial in Newport Beach and IMC in Irvine)

Guess that wasn't enough to formulate an "informed opinion" in your estimation, Len?

Per your own comments in RRAP, your last "volunteer" effort in such matters in CA (or anywhere, for that matter) was as a laborer in early 1970-something. From THAT event you have sufficient "data" to discuss the topic?

I am still subscribed to professional EMS journals that discuss current day matters. Do YOU know better than THEY do...?!?!

(I am SURE you do...no need to waste our time, Len.)

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 6885149506279606471
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5d9452e1c3a7776
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 01:24:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 08:24:18 GMT
References: <20010613001025.18989.00003036@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: BAD NEWS STEVIE
Message-ID: <20010613042418.03026.00001744@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24084

>Subject: BAD NEWS STEVIE
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 6/12/01 11:10 PM Central Daylight Time

> Guess what Stevie, my Bios is still there, I bet that just burns you BIG
>TIME.

No Bruce, it doesn't. I said when I first posted this that I never really expected anything to be done. I was not disappointed.

>I have had a Bunch of Hams E-Mail me, to tell me that they think it is great,

and you know what is sad Stevie, alot of them were No-Coders, other wise know as CBplussers, oh and I wont repeat the comments they had about you.

Nice try, Bruce. Even if I DID believe you, it would not matter. I stated my opinion and my reasons for it.

Otherwise, I beliee you to be lying. Again.

> So again Stevie thanks again for the Advertisment. And just for your benefit I will repost it here, 15 CBplussers begged me to.:

And again.

> Isnt Freedom of Speech wonderful?

It is. Too bad it's abused by liars and ner-do-wells such as yourself.

From -7495974422360302694
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 01:36:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 46
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 08:35:59 GMT
References: <20010612220137.08242.00001128@nso-mf.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Message-ID: <20010613043559.03026.00001746@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24085

>Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 6/12/01 9:01 PM Central

>"At one time" is not a specific reference nor can I believe that
>Robeson regularly, or even irregularly, reads the WSJ.

That's OK, Len. I am not concerned about what you believe.

I, on the otherhand, KNOW you DON'T read any current Amateur periodicals in-as-much as your rants here prove you are not situationally aware.

>The equivalence of "17th or 16th GNP as a 'nation'" for California
>has been widely quoted in the news by CBS, NBC, ABC, CNN,
>CNBC, PBS TV networks and in the New York Times, USA Today,
>and Los Angeles Times newspapers throughout 2000 and 2001.

And I do not believe that you personally observed those reports on ALL those media sources. I DO believe you scanned through the "TV Guide" and quoted those cable channels that sounded most likely to discuss financial matters, even in passing.

As for the rest of the post, interesting reading. Whether it is "sixth" or "16th", Californication still has greater assets and resources than the other States of the Union. And far more imperitive need to have the ES resources on-hand than most other States from it's disaster-prone history.

>Such a long length requires more
>communications lengths as compared with Rhode Island, yet
>Rhode Island has a GSP/capita close to California.

When one considers that Rhode Island has nothing close to the transient and indigent population as California, it's not that hard to do.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -6889839847393545377
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 01:47:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 40
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 08:46:44 GMT
References: <3B26E20D.75F0FDBE@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com

Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613044644.03026.00001751@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24086

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 6/12/01 10:46 PM Central Daylight Time

>What you are dealing with is human stupidity, not drugs, Steve.

They are one-in-the-same. You cannot seperate the two. "Drugs" generally don't occur naturally. (Yes, I know some do ie:cannibis...I am speaking of refined, "hard core" recreational pharmaceuticals)

It takes human intervention to create this mess.

>You also deal with the results of tobacco. Do you advocate outlawing tobacco?

Yes, I do. I also favor (a) taxing the be-jeebers out of it to offset the loss of revenue expended to care for tobacco related illnesses and (b) allowing insurance companies to deny major portions of claims submitted by smokers for thier smoking related illnesses or to charge significant premiums for smokers...And I mean PREMIUM.

Smoking is a voluntary act. Make individuals responsible for thier actions. (like THAT will ever happen!)

>When are we going to stop blaming inanimate things for human actions?

No Drugs = No Drug Abuse. "Legal" drugs or otherwise.

Will it ever happen...?!?! Of course not. But we can limit our liabilities.

Care to join me in the ER for a few days, Cec...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -1156888718601045172
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 01:59:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!

portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 31
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 08:59:38 GMT
References: <3b26e513.1893595@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613045938.03026.00001753@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24087

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>Date: 6/12/01 11:04 PM Central Daylight Time

>Is there anything to prohibit continued CW testing by
>the US as a requirement after S25.5 is ended? Short
>answer is no. Rational answer is that continued incorporation
>of CW testing foor US hams after S25.5 ends is very
>unlikly (IMHO).

Agreed with enthusiasm. As pointed out by another (N2EY...?!?!) the FCC has stated that they are bound by the treaty and have no intentionof unilaterally ignoring it. They have, however, provided for the least difficult test that complies with the spirit AND the letter of the law.

For them to NOT move to drop the code test after S25.5 is deleted would be foolhearty. I can almsot imagine that a draft has already been prepared just so they can get it done and over with on Day One!

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 874345265321931326
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 02:02:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 09:02:11 GMT
References: <3B27045D.67FADAB1@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Message-ID: <20010613050211.10193.00002586@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24088

From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net

>> Agreed, but for some reason I never read a bad word directed at them for their
>> coverage of pirate "broadcast" radio. I've always found that somewhat odd.

> Didn't I just do it? Wouldn't "sleazy" qualify as "a bad word
>directed at them for their coverage of pirate "broadcast" radio?"

I think there have been more bad words on this forum directed toward freeband operation and even legitimate CB than there have been bad words directed toward pirate broadcast radio.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 8382269532584302113
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 02:06:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 09:05:45 GMT
References: <3b26e9f6.3145302@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613050545.10193.00002587@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24089

From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)

>>No, but the FCC received a lot of complaints about the abuses.
>>Ed Hare, W1RFI

>50, 100? Without some quantifiable data it can't be
>assessed as to economic impact.

I don't know about economic impact, but it was apparently at least one more than the Commission wanted to deal with. It may be another example of the law of unintended consequences.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 2930206962535564236
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 02:07:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 09:06:42 GMT
References: <3b26ec67.3769817@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613050642.03026.00001754@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24090

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
>Date: 6/12/01 11:32 PM Central Daylight Time

Hi Bill,

>Just what is not right about CB (assuming the CBer and
>CB operation is according to regulations?

Assuming that your parameters are met, Bill, NOTHING at all! I DO still have a CB and use it on occassion. I have friends who talk on it and have NO interest in "radio" above and beyond a short range communications tool. For them, CB and/or FRS and MURS would be perfect options.

>CB has many good uses for those not technically
>inclined enough to desire a ham license. My car club
>uses CBs when we convoy to shows. Makes for
>a much more enjoyable trip.

I agree wholeheartedly. My consternation with CB is the lawless operations that make it very difficult if not impossible to use CB for it's stated purpose as codified by Part 95.

The assertions by a few that I don't like CBers or CB is a lie. I don't like ILLEGAL operators. (Be they on 11 meters or any Part 97 authorized allocation, for THAT matter!)

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -5421929779297197668
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 02:13:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 09:13:17 GMT
References: <9g6iuu$2lp$0@208.207.71.138>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613051317.10193.00002588@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24091

From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com

>> We agree, Dick; it is very clear from even a casual perusal of ARRL
>programs,
>> staffing and material that its priority is Amateur Radio.

> As a second priority, sure. The first priority is keeping, preserving
>and enhancing ARRL.

I had posted a list of major ARRL functions. Do you care to comment on that list item by item as to what percentage of the resources are used to preserve ARRL vs the percentage of resources used to preserve Amateur Radio? What percentage of my time do you think is devoted to preserving ARRL and what percentage do you think is devoted to Amateur Radio? The Lab's test engineer's time? The Technical Information Service? The QST article editors? The Book Team editors? The volunteers in the Field Organization? The volunteers that set the ARRL policies on the ARRL Board of Directors? The contest folks? The DXCC

folks? The people that work with school children and teachers? With SAREX?
We can add to that the ~8 people who work in pub sales and the Circulations
Department, then the money spent on an outside janitorial crew, the purchasing
and personnel departments, etc. Add up your estimates, Dick, and give me your
approximation of the percentage of ARRL resources directed in each direction.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 4681686057437794719
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 02:18:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 09:17:45 GMT
References: <20010612220505.20583.00000675@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613051745.10193.00002589@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24092

From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)

>Now there's a garden that needs weeding. The 11 meter garden is "not an
>amateur radio concern." (A direct quote from The ARRL, your...er, OUR league.)

What goes on under Part 95 is not an ARRL concern, IMHO. But those that
operate freeband are operating outside of Part 95, so, IMHO, they are fair
game. And some of those weeds are spilling out of the garden and into mine and
that IS Amateur Radio's concern.

It is my opinion that this problem will persist as long as illegally modified
"export" radios are freely available and amplifiers are openly advertised on
web pages and truck stops. To that end, I hope that ARRL does try to bring
some pressure on the FCC to deal with the illegal equipment. It is affecting
Amateur Radio and it will continue to do so until it is stopped.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6424322276347687352
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 02:22:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 37
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 09:21:57 GMT
References: <20010613032508.03026.00001735@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613052157.03026.00001755@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24093

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 6/13/01 2:25 AM Central Daylight Time

> For the ignorant and cowardly, nursing was originally a "man's" job. It
>was only through the tragedy of war that women were, in most >"western"
countries, called upon to do this task to allow men to fight.

AND.....while responding to the call to DO those things, had to simultaneously overcome the "stereotypes" and allegations of being whores and "women of ill repute" because the were required to bathe and touch the bodies of men. Imagine being a nurse without having to touch the patient. (rubber gloves and "universal protection" were only "universally accepted" in the latter half of the 20th century)

Indeed, many of my female colleagues must still try to overcome the "Laugh-In" vision of the bimbo "nurse" all-the-while having sheppered patient care technology into the light of day.

Hardly a "wimpy" accomplishment. Hopefully Bruce will never find himself in circumstances requiring the application of those skills. However if he does, I hope God delivers him to MY facility!

THAT would be justice!

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From -7010770933739353358
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 02:23:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 09:23:02 GMT
References: <20010613032931.03026.00001736@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613052302.03026.00001756@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24094

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: k4yz@aol.com  (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 6/13/01 2:29 AM Central Daylight Time

>Let's see....I am doing a job that is necessary, rewarding, and puts me
>in an enviroment that is 85% women....

     Almost forgot...it PAYS pretty well, too!
```

```
From -2632299459284286610
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 02:23:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 18
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 09:23:13 GMT
```

References: <20010612203635.13690.00007848@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613052313.10193.00002590@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24095

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>X/Z*768-432+118967/345+2=ARRL BS

>>If that is the best criticism you can think of, other than the ARRL T shirts
>>that is, I think the organization can breathe a lot easier.

>>Thanks for putting in its true perspective, Bruce. :-)

>What Ed you can figure out the formual, or is it smoke and mirrors just like
>ARRL

I looked at it again, Bruce, and I can't figure out the formula. Have you had
any luck estimating the percentages on the list I had posted? To use your
second favorite word, you seem to be "ignoring" it.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 7012389735744259819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 04:02:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 232
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 11:02:33 GMT
References: <20010612215304.20174.00000921@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613070233.05110.00000243@nso-fg.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24096

In article <20010612215304.20174.00000921@nso-mr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>>>>>The FCC has not made any public statement that it will drop the
>>>>>code test in the future. That is not "perception," that is the fact
>>>>>of publicly-available documents from the FCC.
>>>>

>>>>Yup.
>>>>
>>>>However, the FCC has said that, at present, Morse code testing "serves no
>>>>regulatory purpose" except conformity to S25.5. Without S25.5, it would be
>>>>inconsistent with their own statements for the FCC to continue code
>>>>testing.
>>>
>>>Not inconsistent, only a suppostion by some.
>>
>>How so? It's simple logic:
>>
>>Axiom: FCC says S25.5 is only reason they keep code test.
>>
>>Hypothesis: S25.5 disappears sometime in the future.
>>
>>Conclusion: Without S25.5, FCC would have no reason to keep code test.
>
>The Axiom is incomplete. :-)

How so? The FCC has said that S25.5 is the ONLY reason for continued code
testing in the USA.
>
>It ignores many comments during and after the 98-143 NPRM Comment
>period, the recent policy statement by the ARRL BoD in regards to
>"keeping the code test [for a US administered exam]" and many
>other statements by the PCTA. It's rather obvious to everyone that
>many, many radio amateurs want to keep the code test.

The axiom is about the FCC's opinion, not the opinions of others. FCC
specifically rejected all other reasons and opinions for code testing in their
Report and Order on 98-143. The only reason they found compelling was S25.5.
>
>>Do you think the FCC would keep the code test without S25.5, Len?
>
>I really don't know.

Try reading FCC's words on the subject since 1990 or so.

>I cannot discount the superior amateur extras
>who insist that morse code is such an essential, versatile, mode
>[see K3LT for a full list of appropriate adjectives].

It's the TEST, not the MODE. FCC supports Morse code USE, they just don't see
that continued code TESTING serves any regulatory purpose other than meeting
the letter qnd spirit of S25.5.

>The FCC will
>listen to all citizens. If enough citizens say that the code test must
>be kept, then they might consider it necessary to retain it.

Hope springs eternal.

>Or not.

The FCC ignored a majority of commenters to the restructuring NPRM by reducing code testing to 5 wpm. A majority of commenters had supported at least two code test speeds, but FCC did not listen. It's NOT a simple majority vote by any means.

FCC has rejected other petitions to change ARS rules that were widely supported, simply because they did not see that the petitions served a regulatory purpose.
>
>I cannot predict the future with certainty.

Neither can I. The discussion was about whether retaining code testing without S25.5 would be consistent with previous FCC comments on the subject. I have proved beyond a reasonable doubt that it would be very inconsistent for the FCC to do so.

>My balls are not made of crystal.

I will take your word for that.
>
>>My good
>>friend K2UNK has assured me that the FCC will be petitioned to remove all
>
>Bill is a "good friend?" :-)

Of course. While we disagree on many things, K2UNK has always been a reasonable, friendly, intelligent, consistent poster here. I have a lot of respect for him. I consider him a good friend. Friends can disagree without being disagreeable.

And while I may disagree with Bill's views on some amateur radio policy issues, and he disagrees with some of mine, I think both of us have the best interest of the ARS in mind.
>
>>... How could FCC deny such a petition
>>and still be consistent with their past 10 years of statements re code
>>testing?
>
>How could the FCC retain the code test for many more decades?

Why do you answer a question with another question?

When I find a way for the FCC to retain code testing for many more decades, I'll do what I can to get the FCC to implement it. All constructive suggestions appreciated.
>
>>>The FCC has not
>>>attempted to predict its own future decisions well in advance of
>>>any NPRM.
>>>
>>So? They don't have to explicitly predict their actions. The FCC's intended
>>path is clear to anyone who looks at the information in a reasonable manner.

>
>Noooo.

Yessssss.

> The probability of future action is high that the code test
>will be removed if S25.5 is changed. Probability.
>
When you argue minor semantics like that, Len, it's obvious that you know I'm
roght but won't admit it.

>There is no such thing as a "clear path" to the future. In anything.

Sure there is. That's what engineering is all about.
>
>>>>>The code test still exists for General and Amateur Extra class
>>>>>licenses.
>>>>
>>>>That test has been reduced to the very minimum that reasonably meets the
>>>>requirements of S25.5.
>>>
>>>Incorrect.
>>
>>No, it's perfectly correct.
>
>Terribly, badly, not-good incorrect.

Absolutely, completely, perfectly, consistently correct.

> S25.5 does not specify any
>code rate. There can be no "very minimum" when there is no
>quantified code rate given.

Sure there can. The very minimum is derived from the standards of those
knowledgeable about the subject. Those knowledgeable about the subject agree
that 5 wpm is the very minimum that meets the letter and spirit of S25.5.
>
>>> Article S25.5 does not, repeat not, state any code
>>>rate. There is no, repeat no, "minimum" nor any "maximum"
>>>code rate specified in S25.5.
>>
>>For manual Morse use, 5 wpm is very slow, by any reasonable standard. It has
>>long been the absolute minimum standard test used by any country. The
>>consensus
>>of those who are knowledgeable about the subject is that 5 wpm is the very
>>minimum that reasonably meets the requirements of S25.5. Note the word
>>"reasonably".
>
>S25.5 does not specify any code rate.

Why do you keep repeating the obvious, Len?

>Ergo, there can be no

>quantifiable "minimum" or "maximum." The code rate is all
>left up to individual adminstrations.

ALL of whom agree that 5 wpm is the very minimum that meets the letter and
spirit of S25.5.
>
>>Do you want a slower test, Len?
>
>No,

Then why all of the blather about 5 wpm?

> I want S25.5 to be changed to simply state that no
>administration need require any code test to obtain any
>amateur radio license...perhaps adding the definition that,
>for OOK CW communications, the morse code is defined
>as International Morse Code.
>
Then why all of the blather about 5 wpm?
>
>>>Rhetorical hypothesis. There is NO such prohibition.   :-)
>>
>>But it is not supported by a reasonable analysis of the facts.
>
>Hypotheses are simply hypotheses. A hypothesis may be
>based on facts but facts can seldom "prove" any hypothesis.

Totally incorrect. Hypotheses vary all over the place in reasonableness and
provability.

For example, I may hypothesize that the sun will set in the west today (as
observed from a givem point on earth). That's a very reasonable hypothesis,
based on previous experience. The hypothesis that the sun will rise in the
west tomorrow morning is not very reasonable. Both are provable/disprovable by
simple observation.
>
>Negatives cannot be "proved."

What negative is to be proved? There are two possibilities here:

S25.5 ends and FCC discontinues code testing because of it

S25.5 ends but FCC continues code testing without it.

>Negatives are non-existant.
>
>There is nothing right now in all 11 articles of S25 that prohibit
>any nation's administration from requiring a code test. S25.5
>is so worded that it's second sentence reads: "The
>administrations concerned my, however, waive the requirement
>in the case of stations making use exclusively of frequencies
>above 30 MHz."

The discussion is about what the FCC will do.
>
>The first sentence of S25.5 requires the code test. The second
>sentence allows a waiver of that code test. There is nothing in
>all 11 articles of S25 that prohibits any administration from
>requiring a code test for any amateur radio license.
>
So? What's your point, Len?

Got that Extra out of the box yet?

N2EY

From 2628024766987747383
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 04:02:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Hecklers: was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Date: 13 Jun 2001 04:02:43 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106130302.81c23a5@posting.google.com>
References: <yGdV6.10623$Zt6.4340880@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<20010612125827.25951.00000874@ng-cn1.aol.com>
<rozV6.11890$Zt6.5357787@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
<pxzV6.76175$t12.6278092@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.166.57.9
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992430164 2058 127.0.0.1 (13 Jun 2001 11:02:44 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jun 2001 11:02:44 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24097

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<pxzV6.76175$t12.6278092@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> "Prozac" <Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com> wrote in message
> news:rozV6.11890$Zt6.5357787@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> > What does my name have to do with me being responsible for my actions.
> Here
> > its Irving Finkelbober. Hows that does it make you happy. Now you know my
> > name, Now what?
>
> Proz, let me tell you how it is here. Name and callsign bring credibility,
> neat handles do not. May not be PC, may not be fair, may be pure bullshit,
> but it is the reality.

Those of you who reply to Prozac have forgotten the basics in dealing
with such people, on-air or on-internet. They are emotional parasites
feeding on your annoyance. Every time you respond, you feed their
egos. Like any parasite, remove the food source and they eventually
drop off.

Mark.

From 7826365970542415319
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 04:09:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 11:09:42 GMT
References: <3B26E20D.75F0FDBE@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613070942.03861.00000132@nso-fr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24098

In article <3B26E20D.75F0FDBE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>> I appreciate your opinion, Cecil. I just don't appreciate having to deal
>> with the results.
>
>What you are dealing with is human stupidity, not drugs, Steve.
>Do you also advocate outlawing ice cream because some stupid
>people eat too much of it? You also deal with the results of
>tobacco. Do you advocate outlawing tobacco? When are we going
>to stop blaming inanimate things for human actions?
>--
One word: Addiction.

There are some substances that, when ingested, can cause addictive behavior in
humans. Nicotine, the active substance in tobacco, is such a substance. The
active substances in heroin, cocaine, and several other "drugs" also exhibit
this effect.

73 de Jim, N2EY

From 6526692418285376151

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 04:15:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <MPG.159114f6b47c46c09896ff@news.earthlink.net>
References: <9g41m1$71b$0@208.207.71.174> <20010612054401.29552.00000533@ng-
mb1.aol.com> <9g6iuu$2lp$0@208.207.71.138>
<kJAV6.354$K61.33771@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 41
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 11:14:59 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.239.32
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992430899 209.244.239.32 (Wed, 13 Jun
2001 04:14:59 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 04:14:59 PDT
X-Received-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 04:12:58 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24099

In article <kJAV6.354$K61.33771@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
nospam@none.net says...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9g6iuu$2lp$0@208.207.71.138...
> >
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > >
> > > From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> > >
> > > >> What percentage of ARRL's resources do you believe devoted to
> maintaining
> > > >> the organization and what percentage of its resources do you believe
> are
> > > >> devoted to Amateur Radio?
> > >
> > > > Dunno, but there is no doubt about where their priorities are.
> > >
> > > We agree, Dick; it is very clear from even a casual perusal of ARRL
> programs,
> > > staffing and material that its priority is Amateur Radio.
> >
> > As a second priority, sure. The first priority is keeping, preserving
> > and enhancing ARRL.
>
> It's all kind of like the "Which Came First, the Chicken or the Egg" debate.

> Can't have one without the other.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Chicken and an egg lying in bed.
Chicken sitting there, big grin on it's face, smoking a cigarette.
The egg sitting there, obviously very unhappy.
Chicken leans over, looks at the egg and says..

Guess that answers that question?
--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 7635296644687871806
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 04:19:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 11:18:48 GMT
References: <55ae748b.0106130302.81c23a5@posting.google.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Hecklers: was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010613071848.11318.00004497@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24100

>Subject: Hecklers: was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
>Date: 6/13/01 6:02 AM Central Daylight Time

>Like any parasite, remove the food source and they eventually
>drop off.

You are, of course, correct. Let's starve this punk.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 9050026074153954937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 05:16:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Cecil's "Muiltitudes" chased away by Larry (Was: Re: Pendulum reverses)
Date: 13 Jun 2001 05:16:22 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 27
Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106130416.639e16e7@posting.google.com>
References: <55ae748b.0106102311.64c90b82@posting.google.com> <20010612031745.03236.00001781@nso-fc.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.166.57.9
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992434583 2862 127.0.0.1 (13 Jun 2001 12:16:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jun 2001 12:16:23 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24101

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message news:<20010612031745.03236.00001781@nso-fc.aol.com>...
> In article <55ae748b.0106102311.64c90b82@posting.google.com>,
> mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little) writes:
>
> >
> >Unfortunately, there is a slight flaw in an otherwise good plan. If
> >they have been chased away from Amateur Radio, they wouldn't be
> >bothered reading AR newsgroups to respond, now would they? ;-)
> >
> >Mark.
>
> Mark:
>
> I read plenty of newsgroups to which I rarely, if ever respond to
> the postings. It certainly is possible that some prospective ham
> somewhere will read this NG, and, according to Cecil, be
> totally turned off to ham radio merely by something I've posted
> here. Right.

I wasn't offering the opinion that anyone would be turned off (I don't think too many normal people would be), just the basis of your test.

Hang on, I think I see a flaw in my post as well. I'm not sure normal people read this NG. ;-)

Mark.

From 84766986490359929
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 05:18:09 PST
Message-ID: <3B275982.73D4666A@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 07:16:02 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B26E20D.75F0FDBE@IEEE.org> <20010613044644.03026.00001751@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.86
X-Trace: corp 992434158 64.240.47.86 (13 Jun 2001 07:09:18 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24102

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>   No Drugs = No Drug Abuse. "Legal" drugs or otherwise.

No human beings = no drug abuse. Drugs are not the cause, drugs are the effect of the existence of humans.

>   Care to join me in the ER for a few days, Cec...?!?!

Experience doesn't change principles, Steve. An adult has a perfect right to ingest whatever he/she chooses. A silly law against smoking a God-given weed doesn't change that principle. Smoking marijuana wasn't even illegal until the government needed something to control the illegal alien problem.

Vehicles cause magnitudes more deaths than marijuana. Why aren't you advocating outlawing vehicles?

--

cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 17873085708512036257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 05:24:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 131
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 12:24:08 GMT
References: <20010613070233.05110.00000243@nso-fg.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613082408.11318.00004507@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24103
```

>Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 6/13/01 6:02 AM Central Daylight Time

Attempting to undersand the deepest, darkest fears of the paranoid "They Will Force Morse Code On Me Yet" mind, I envisioned the following. No names have been changed to protect anyone. It's a frickin' parody, so get a grip!

Len Anderson>>The Axiom is incomplete.

Jim Miccolis >How so? The FCC has said that S25.5 is the ONLY reason for continued code testing in the USA.

The Story:

Situation: April, 2004, Newington, CT. The WRC2K3 has concluded and it's recommendations have been disbursed to the various administrations around the world. S25.5 will go........

In a closed-door conference consisting of Larry Roll, Ed Hare, Steve Robeson,Jim Miccolis, Dick Carroll, Brian Kelly, several ARRL Board Members and former presidential candidate and multi-billionaire H. Ross Perot, Mr Perot is briefed on the impending end of world order as the capitalist world knows it since S25.5 was recinded in Geneva.

Convinced that the United States is blindly falling into anarchist's hands as a result of the Geneva conference, he donates nearly all his wealth to the now blood-bonded conspirators as they set about to overthrow the Government of the United States by force.

Fast forward to September 2004....the plans laid and the loyalties of nearly all the General Staff of the Armed Forces having been obtained, a pre-dawn strike against known technology centers of the United States occurs, almost completely "blinding" most wireless and digital data communications techniques. Almost immediately, CW communicaitons fill nearly every military communications channel. A lone soldier carrying a man-pack radio quickly sets up, aims his antenna skyward, and hands the key to General Of The Armies Dick Carroll.

He sends his message skyward...."QRV QRV QRV"

In an already orbiting Space Shuttle, the Chief of Staff of the Air Force Brian Kelly himself leads attacks against almost all communications and broadcasting satellites. Looking wistfully at the technology in front of him, he agonizes over the irony that in just 6 hours, his crew will dismount this proud bird back on Earth and set her on fire. "Oh well..." he muses, " at least I will be home in time for the November Sweepstakes!"

In Dover, Delaware, Larry Roll, leading the New Communications Order, leads an armored calvary assault on Washington DC. The Armed Forces, having been sworn to the New Order, wave the columns through and the White House and Congress are quickly secured.

Gleeful, Larry whips out his Vibroplex bug and signals to his co-conspirators .-- .   .... .- ...- . ... ..- -.-. -.-. . -.. . -.. -.. .
-.- ...-- .-.. -

Meanwhile, on the west coast, a U. S. Marine Corps Force Recon team, led by retired Gunnery Sergeant Steve Robeson, drops by HALO insertion to a sleepy suburb in southern Californication. Landing silently, they quickly verify their target then lead the attack with stun grenades and deadly efficiency. No other shots are fired.

In the dim light of the street lamps, thier prisoner is dragged from the unassuming home, and a J-38 key thrust into the decrepit old man's hands. "SEND, DAMN IT! IF YOU WANT TO LIVE, YOU HAD BETTER BEG IN MORSE!"

A woman begs her husband to do as he's told, but he stands defiant. A single round rings out in the still of the night and the now-widowed woman is left sobbing..."Lennie...Lennie! >>I<< can do five words per minute, why wouldn't you...?!?!"

The entire revolt is over in a matter of days. In a bulletin sent out by W1AW, America is told that in thirty days all further news and communications will be carried out in Morse Coded bulletins only and on HF, and they have until then to learn at least five words per minute. All High School students will have an additional 30 days to be at 13 words per minute and all adults will be at 20 WPM no later than New Years.

All 9-1-1 centers are quickly refitted for Morse capable wireline systems and all E911 systems are quickly burned. When asked what will people do now, Jim Miccolis glares at the interogator and says "If they didn't know how to send "SOS", it must not have been a REAL emergency!" The deaf are allowed to keep thier terminals, however they are required to get a physicians waiver to ensure they really ARE deaf.

A small resistance force quickly withdraws to the mountains of Colorado, (summoned by the last remanants of the PACKET network) surrounded by the BoD of NCI, however they are quickly found and are hanged as traitors by the microphone and TNC cables they brought with them.....Bill Sohl is heard to scream "LONG LIVE DIGITAL" as the trap door deploys.

Down in Dallas, Texas, recently crowned Emperor of the Analog States of America, Ross Perot, appoints Ed Hare as his Prime Minister and sets him about the task of creating OO Squads to destroy every PC and CB radio they can find. The ARRL BoD is appointed to various Cabinet Ministries.

Riley is Transfered from the FCC to the newly created "Royal Ministry of the Radio Enforcer". Granted new enforcement powers, the US Marshals and Secret Service are put under his jurisdiction, and in cooperation with the DEA, they set about setting up communications re-education camps. Drive-in theaters around the US become veritible tent cities as the decadant users of cellphones, laptops and WebTV are reintroduced to analog communications and reruns of "I Love Lucy".

Meanwhile, on a deserted, long-since-abandoned Mexican waypoint, a lone bedraggled rider on a Harley stops, takes a long swigg from his wine decanter and looks longingly over his shoulder. He belches, wipes the slight dribble from his chin, and wonders how difficult it will be to pass the Mexican Amateur Radio exams after having been drinking all night. "I guess "XE1RCA isn't such a bad call......"

73 and sweet dreams, America........

Steve

```
From 3125934392033069353
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 05:51:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-
relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 3
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 12:51:31 GMT
References: <20010613024413.03026.00001725@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010613085131.18644.00007811@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24104

>Maybe it's your attitude...?!?

Maybe its cause I dont QSL


From -7253570278375576607
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 05:55:02 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 59
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 12:44:05 GMT
References: <3B275982.73D4666A@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613084405.11318.00004508@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24105

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 6/13/01 7:16 AM Central
```

>Experience doesn't change principles, Steve.

No..it doesn't. But if you can still look someone in the eye and suggest that legalizing drugs is a viable options after watching a junkie in convulsions, I have to call your principles into question.

>An adult has a perfect right to ingest whatever he/she chooses.

Thankfully, at this particular moment, that is not true.

If it were 100% possible for someone to consume "recreational pharmaceuticals" without creating a dangerous enviroment around them, then I would likewise support your contentions, Cecil.

Unfortunately, most Americans THINK they are able to do this safely. I don't know of anyone who did this and then set out to intentionally hurt someone, including themselves (suicidal ideations not withstanding)

>A silly law against smoking a God-given weed doesn't change that principle.

If that "silly law" at least deters a potential DUI from driving into my family, then I could care less that it impedes someone's partying. Oh well. No one's life is worth someone else's "right" to get high.

>Smoking marijuana
>wasn't even illegal until the government needed something to control
>the illegal alien problem.

Regardless of the original intent, it has validity today.

>Vehicles cause magnitudes more deaths than marijuana. Why aren't you advocating outlawing vehicles?

There are laws regulating the use of motor vehicles and penalties for NOT using it responsibly in every State of the Union. Why should it be any different for substances that can altr human behaviour to the point of loss of control of one's faculties when that loss can cause loss of human life?

Is my family's (and any/everyone else's) safety less important than your "right" to get high?

73

Steve, K4YZ

```
From 2921848706480784330
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 05:56:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 3
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 12:55:38 GMT
References: <20010613032508.03026.00001735@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613085538.18644.00007822@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24106

>Many women, including the likes of Florence Nightengail

 Ok Steveie we will change your name to Florence


From -7406507030174788391
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 05:58:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <G_vV6.101138$Be4.31666406@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010613024324.03026.00001723@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Lines: 30
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <TrJV6.107614$Be4.33732362@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 12:58:27 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 992437107 24.112.8.24 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001 05:58:27
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 05:58:27 PDT
```

Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24107

Didn't say anything of more just, just in hte typical american fashion,
killing and vengeance is the way to get justice.

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010613024324.03026.00001723@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 6/12/01 4:39 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >Typically yes ... and we dont find justice (laugh) at the end of a needle
>
>   I see...Canadian "justice" is MORE just than other countries,
huh...?!?!
>
>   Seems I remember some folks becoming a bit perturbed over a
perceived
> similar attitude coming FROM the United States.
>
>   Guess you aren't all that much different, huh, Rob...?!?!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

From 7315949223769859442
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 05:58:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 13
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 12:58:14 GMT
References: <20010613052157.03026.00001755@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613085814.18644.00007828@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24108

```
>However if he
>does, I hope God delivers him to MY facility!
>
>     THAT would be justice!
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>

 Oh how ethical you are, but it makes sense good service to the ones you like,
wrotten service to the ones you dont like, I thought nursing was supposed to be
different than that, you true self is showing.


From 4181856042180994590
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2f75c1bf1932f0aa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 05:59:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 12:59:37 GMT
References: <20010613033316.03026.00001737@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: K4YZ
Message-ID: <20010613085937.18644.00007831@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24109

> I am sure you are.  Just as I am of yours.  But then you think I care that
>you are "ignoring" me.  I have a far greater forum in which to address your
>idiotic behaviour.
>

 And your doing a great Job for yourself


From -3439896030253502636
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 06:00:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
```

```
Lines: 5
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 13:00:32 GMT
References: <3B27071A.5878FE8F@sccoast.net>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010613090032.18644.00007835@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24110

> If I ever end up in a hospital, I really don't care what sex saves
>my life.  All I care is that they have the knowledge and skills to do so.
>

 You would probably be Ok unless you got tied up with Steve


From 2866637441656242134
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 06:05:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-
beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 13:05:18 GMT
References: <20010613052313.10193.00002590@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613090518.18644.00007848@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24111

>I looked at it again, Bruce, and I can't figure out the formula.  Have you
>had
>any luck estimating the percentages on the list I had posted? To use your
>second favorite word, you seem to be "ignoring" it.
>

I told you .05%


From -2852821940848156433
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 06:29:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
```

From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 09:31:13 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <3B276B20.80074CDC@psu.edu>
References: <20010606222853.03759.00004433@nso-ch.aol.com>
<20010607053256.13725.00000228@ng-fm1.aol.com> <3B21F68E.F9FAA49A@sccoast.net>
<3B262DE2.B7E382BE@psu.edu> <3B26F165.760676F2@sccoast.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pub10.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24112

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> My program defaulted at 13 wpm code with 5 wpm spacing, which the
> author insists is the best way to learn code. I wonder what they do
> for the tests? I'm going to look that up on the internet tonight,
> because when I tried slowing the program down the other day (5 wpm
> with 5wpm spacing), I was totally confused (nothing sounded the same).
> I probably should set the program to whatever is used for the exams.

When I took the test, they used 13wpm@5wpm spaceing, so your on the right track. I know when I listen to 5wpm and 5wpm spacing, my mind can wander.

> That is exactly what I thought while reading the first part of that
> paragraph - I wonder what the VE's would say if I brought a hot tub to
> the exam session (along with a little wine and my wife to give me a
> back massage). Hey, this could change the whole concept of license
> exams (and the image of ham radio as well). I like it. :-)

EUREKA! We just hit on how to have a dramatic increase in the number of new CW proficient Amateurs!!! I'll leave the details to the participants, but I expect some level of decorum, at least until the post test celebrations. ;^)

- mike -

From -950796460763401754
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5d9452e1c3a7776
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 07:01:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!

```
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 6
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 14:00:49 GMT
References: <20010613042418.03026.00001744@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: BAD NEWS STEVIE
Message-ID: <20010613100049.13419.00003597@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24113

>Nice try, Bruce.  Even if I DID believe you, it would not matter.  I stated
>my opinion and my reasons for it.
>

Dosent matter what you believe, I should post some of there Comments about you,
I hope you realize how much of a Fool you are making yourself appear.


From -21057230434925068
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b5d9452e1c3a7776
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 07:05:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 14:04:52 GMT
References: <20010613042418.03026.00001744@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: BAD NEWS STEVIE
Message-ID: <20010613100452.13419.00003598@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24114

>Isnt Freedom of Speech wonderful?
>
>     It is.  Too bad it's abused by liars and ner-do-wells such as yourself.
>
>

 Oh Steveie, please show us where it is written that Selective Free Speech is
only alloyed, if I remember right its on Page 1 of the Liberals Manual. Tell
your Buddies Al and Bill I said Hi, and ask them how Monica is doing lately


From 3812391697410347312
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
```

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,2f75c1bf1932f0aa
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 07:08:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 11
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 14:08:21 GMT
References: <20010613033316.03026.00001737@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: K4YZ
Message-ID: <20010613100821.13419.00003599@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24115

>I am sure you are. Just as I am of yours. But then you think I care that
>you are "ignoring" me. I have a far greater forum in which to address your
>idiotic behaviour.
>
>   And with far greater results and rewards.
>

You know Stevie your right, I can probably get much more coverage of my Bios here then on QRZ, so for your Benefit I will post it again.

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

From -8122999857146632915
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,43390ba6b3a534de,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 07:10:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 27
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 14:10:14 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers
Message-ID: <20010613101014.13419.00003600@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24116

There Stevie gave it big coverage on this group in case someone missed it.

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are CBplussers

---

```
From -5793876819395791884
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 07:23:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.ems.psu.edu!news3.cac.psu.edu!usenet
From: mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 10:24:49 -0400
Organization: Penn State University, Center for Academic Computing
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <3B2777AC.C9417202@psu.edu>
References: <3B26E20D.75F0FDBE@IEEE.org> <20010613044644.03026.00001751@ng-
cf1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pub10.arl.psu.edu
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.75 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24117
```

Okay, what about risky behaviors such as bungee jumping, climbing Mount Everest, an activity where if the last newscast I heard is accurate, has about a 10% rate of people who never come back? What about overeating, the obesely fat are probably worse off than smokers as for early mortality. What about old farts like me who participate in sports where we get hurt (in the past five years, I've broken an ankle and torn an ACL playing hockey. Should we be punished for engaging in these (according to some people) foolish behaviors? Should I be denied insurance because of my playing sports.

While I can appreciate your view, in the end it won't work. Who decides who can do what? There are some who would deny access to an auto upon taking antihistamines, or even if a person has a cold.

This is why we don't have laws like this. It would eventually turn into not having any control over our lives because someone has decided that they must protect us from ouselves.

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Yes, I do. I also favor (a) taxing the be-jeebers out of it to offset the
> loss of revenue expended to care for tobacco related illnesses and (b) allowing
> insurance companies to deny major portions of claims submitted by smokers for
> thier smoking related illnesses or to charge significant premiums for
> smokers...And I mean PREMIUM.
>
> Smoking is a voluntary act. Make individuals responsible for thier
> actions. (like THAT will ever happen!)
>
> >When are we going to stop blaming inanimate things for human actions?
>
> No Drugs = No Drug Abuse. "Legal" drugs or otherwise.
>
> Will it ever happen...?!?! Of course not. But we can limit our
> liabilities.
>
> Care to join me in the ER for a few days, Cec...?!?!

From 371613559661390583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 08:12:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Emergencies (was WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined)
Date: 13 Jun 2001 15:12:32 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <9g7vt0$bv9$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <2UOU6.74509$4f7.5759078@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <20010610163819.08242.00001068@nso-mf.aol.com> <1ZTU6.75050$4f7.5810946@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net> <9g46ob$1a5$1@208.207.71.174> <cuvyxnansvbet.getlhk1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>

NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24118

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 12 Jun 2001 04:44:59 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >> Whooops! Len, you got me there. I had not heard of the California ACS. It
> >> sounds like a GREAT idea.
> >
> >
> > Why, that's odd. Not that long ago Lennie the Limp Noodle was holding
> >forth about how well the state's pubsafety communicators were prepared
> >and equipped to handle anything up to and including the "Big One". He
> >must have been doing a laittle surfing and stumbled onto a small dose of
> >reality.
>
>   I was close to ACS in the years that I was in California, and worked
>   with both the late founding director (Stan Harter) and the current
>   director (Ben Green) - both active hams - in my role as San
>   Francisco Ringmaster. ACS is preety good, becasue it has good
>   people there.
>
>   Make no mistake, ACS coordinates State RACES, the broadcast
>   industry's Emergency Action System (EAS), REACT, other similar
>   non-governmnetal communication groups, and therefore relies strongly
>   on amateur and non-amateur volunteers to function, just as the rest
>   of OES (Office of Emergency Services) relies on the agencies which
>   make up the Public Safety Communications sector.

Right, and of course all are good and useful auxiliary combinations
assets. My point is that Len, *playing* at his role as the crusty
communications know-it-all, had earlier summarily denied that any need
for such auxiliary assets exists. Of course when he learned that they
had long been in place and are much used, he had to alter his scheme to
"already include" them.

From 3896067540569363188
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 08:28:07 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!
newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!
news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-for-mail

From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 08:15:04 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3B275934.D1E9DFE7@sccoast.net>
References: <3B27045D.67FADAB1@sccoast.net> <20010613050211.10193.00002586@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.64.13
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992434478 267148 207.144.64.13 (13 Jun 2001 12:14:38 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news3.infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 12:14:38 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24119

W1RFI wrote:

> I think there have been more bad words
> on this forum directed toward freeband
> operation and even legitimate CB than
> there have been bad words directed toward
> pirate broadcast radio.

Well, obviously, Ed. If we were broadcasters, and people were messing around with our broadcast frequencies, we'd be fussing about that just as hard. But we're not broadcasters, so instead we talk about the frequencies that we can use - the ham frequencies for licensed operators and the CB frequencies for everyone.

By the way, the "everyone" in that last sentence is also supposed to include ham operators, not just the troublemakers and illegals which dominate the CB frequencies now. Therefore, what happens on those CB frequencies is certainly a legitimate topic for ham operators to discuss.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From -2605312086567253938
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 08:29:34 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!news2.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!
supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 13 Jun 2001 15:29:30 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 48
Message-ID: <9g80sq$e94$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <3b26ec67.3769817@news.mindspring.com>
<20010613050642.03026.00001754@ng-cf1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24120

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
> >From: billsohl@mindspring.com (Bill Sohl)
> >Date: 6/12/01 11:32 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> Hi Bill,
>
> >Just what is not right about CB (assuming the CBer and
> >CB operation is according to regulations?
>
>   Assuming that your parameters are met, Bill, NOTHING at all! I DO still
> have a CB and use it on occassion. I have friends who talk on it and have NO
> interest in "radio" above and beyond a short range communications tool. For
> them, CB and/or FRS and MURS would be perfect options.
>
> >CB has many good uses for those not technically
> >inclined enough to desire a ham license. My car club
> >uses CBs when we convoy to shows. Makes for
> >a much more enjoyable trip.
>
>   I agree wholeheartedly. My consternation with CB is the lawless
> operations that make it very difficult if not impossible to use CB for it's
> stated purpose as codified by Part 95.
>
>   The assertions by a few that I don't like CBers or CB is a lie. I don't
> like ILLEGAL operators. (Be they on 11 meters or any Part 97 authorized
> allocation, for THAT matter!)

Exactly what I have said here many times. For some reason that is ignored by those posting here who insist that I and others "constantly criticise CB". The part of CB that is legitimate is not subject to criticism. Unfortunately that is a smallpart of it. Even many users who consider themselves 'legal' routinely prove otherwise. Seems if they stay within to the original 40 channels they think that's enough, foul language and linears are OK.

We all know that CB has long been the primary source of new hams, and most of us accepted that lots of them had been illegal operators, and even out ob band operators. Some had no idea that they were committing an offense which could have serious repecussions. To those people, who drop all that in favor of ham radio, even while keeping active in 40 channel legal CB, I have no prpoblem whatever with it. Holding dual roles as a ham and a flagrant freebander is another matter.

From 222415329915660279
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 08:41:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: kc2hmn@arrl.net (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 13 Jun 2001 08:41:55 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 68
Message-ID: <beb0a48b.0106130741.1189b1bf@posting.google.com>
References: <20010612220505.20583.00000675@ng-bj1.aol.com>
<20010613051745.10193.00002589@ng-cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.198.173.200
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992446916 6110 127.0.0.1 (13 Jun 2001 15:41:56 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 13 Jun 2001 15:41:56 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24121

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in message news:<20010613051745.10193.00002589@ng-cu1.aol.com>...

> From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
>
> >Now there's a garden that needs weeding. The 11 meter garden is "not an amateur radio concern." (A direct quote from The ARRL, your...er, OUR league.)
>
> What goes on under Part 95 is not an ARRL concern, IMHO. But those that operate freeband are operating outside of Part 95, so, IMHO, they are fair game. And some of those weeds are spilling out of the garden and into mine and that IS Amateur Radio's concern.
>

Ok, Ed...let's run with this for a moment. I too feel that the league has NO business involving itself with "NON-amateur" frequencies, but for the moment let's say I agree with your assertion.

> It is my opinion that this problem will persist as long as illegally modified "export" radios are freely available and amplifiers are openly advertised on web pages and truck stops. To that end, I hope that ARRL does try to bring some pressure on the FCC to deal with the illegal equipment. It is affecting Amateur Radio and it will continue to do so until it is stopped.
>

I've NEVER placed the blame on the rig. It lies squarely on the shoulders of the operator..."Personal Responsibility" is what I believe I've heard it described as. Like I said, for the purposes of this comment, I'll go along with this too. When The ARRL involved itself with the legal efforts of legal CBers, it missed a valuable opportunity to gain an ally in the "war" against "export" rig availability. (Not to mention a host of other 11 meter related illegal activities, i.e. amplifiers, RFI/TVI, etc.) RM-9807 was NOT the efforts of "freebanders" to get the league to "represent" them. It was a legitimate proposal to "decriminalize" an activity that naturally occurs on CB. If The ARRL had NOT stuck it's nose in RM-9807, perhaps the 11 meter community, as a whole, (yes, "freebanders" included now) would have been more receptive to trying to resolve this amicably. Then we might enjoy a large contingent of CBers and EX-"freebanders" who would then actually be pulling on our side of the rope to help curtail the activities of the more egregious offenders. The alternative is to just keep reciting the FCC Part 95/97 rules and experience the same apathy that has been with us for over thirty years now. The league kind of shot itself in the foot and the wound, while barely evident at the moment, will only get worse as ex- (and current) 11 meter ops become hams, league members, and more politically savvy...especially since they are now aware of who's "paying the bills." It's a concept and a half. Then again we could just say that this is "NOT" happening and there's nothing to worry about? I personally feel it is happening and I am worried about it. Now is the time that we can possibly compromise and meet "somewhere in the middle." I don't want to observe another thirty years of this nonsense.

> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

Best regards to you also, Ed
Bert
KC2HMN

P.S. As a league member, I'm none-too-pleased that even so much as a penny of my dues went to pay for ANY labor involved in opposing a legitimate rule making proposal that would've been shot down by ITU treaty agreement anyway. I can say this because I'm aware that as a member, I vote, and I too...help "pay the bills" in Newington, CT. Let's clean up our garden first please. Then we'll worry about the neighbors. More neighborly disputes have been solved with a freshly baked apple pie than with a call to the local gendarmes. Food for thought, nothing more.

```
From 1358710182929715354
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 08:55:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!
supernews.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 13 Jun 2001 15:55:47 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <9g82e3$hp3$0@208.207.71.142>
References: <3B26E20D.75F0FDBE@IEEE.org> <20010613070942.03861.00000132@nso-
fr.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.142
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24122
```

```
N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3B26E20D.75F0FDBE@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
>
> >Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >> I appreciate your opinion, Cecil.  I just don't appreciate having to deal
> >> with the results.
> >
> >What you are dealing with is human stupidity, not drugs, Steve.
> >Do you also advocate outlawing ice cream because some stupid
> >people eat too much of it? You also deal with the results of
> >tobacco. Do you advocate outlawing tobacco? When are we going
> >to stop blaming inanimate things for human actions?
> >--
> One word: Addiction.
>
> There are some substances that, when ingested, can cause addictive behavior in
> humans. Nicotine, the active substance in tobacco, is such a substance. The
> active substances in heroin, cocaine, and several other "drugs" also exhibit
> this effect.
```

And pone of the chief characteristics of those so afflicted is denial of the very existance of the phenomena.....

```
From -1683814228100071524
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,4f49df849782ce04
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,4f49df849782ce04
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 09:20:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
cyclone-0.nyroc.rr.com!cyclone-out.nyroc.rr.com!typhoon.nyroc.rr.com.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: rich@zippy.aa2ys.ampr.org (Rich)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: W9INN Antennas Page
References: <cDsV6.38$aM6.6950@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Reply-To: rich@iwantnospam.com
Message-ID: <slrn9if46t.17f.rich@zippy.aa2ys.ampr.org>
User-Agent: slrn/0.9.6.2 (Linux)
Lines: 14
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 16:18:40 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.24.60.103
X-Complaints-To: abuse@rochester.rr.com
X-Trace: typhoon.nyroc.rr.com 992449120 24.24.60.103 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001 12:18:40
EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 12:18:40 EDT
Organization: Time Warner Road Runner - Rochester NY
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.misc:16446
rec.radio.amateur.policy:24123
```

On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 17:50:00 GMT, Charles Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com> wrote:

>W9INN (Bill) has authorized me to publish a web page devoted to his
>great-performing line of wire antennas. There is contact info, technical
>data, and illustrations of his most popular antenna the MPD-5C 5-band
>dipole.
>
>The W9INN Antenna Page is located on the site listed below.
>

Yes, but does he know that there are people like me, for example, who considered purchasing his antennas, but chose not to, because of the way that you endlessly advertise in inappropriate newsgroups?

- Rich

```
From -7963816323069231120
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 10:09:53 PST
```

```
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!
newsflash.concordia.ca!pitt.edu!not-for-mail
From: szekeres@pitt.edu (GregS)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 16:58:38 GMT
Organization: Why?
Lines: 12
Message-ID: <9g862d$2ng$1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu>
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <tiac9s8ud7s838@corp.supernews.com>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: electronic2.cbp.pitt.edu
X-Newsreader: News Xpress 2.01
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24124

In article <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>, "jimmy
john" <jj@sandwitch.org> wrote:
>The world is a better place today.
>
>People who opposed capital punishment should be more opposed to capital
>crime.  If people would stop murdering people, people would stop being
>sentenced to die.

When you hate sombody, kill them.

Thats the universal answer.

greg


From -797922506170733478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 11:02:22 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!iquest!
SITE01.PremierSolutionsInc..com
NNTP-Posting-Host: 10.0.1.61
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 12:57:46 -0500
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC8091E12F0@www.premiersi.com>
From: "jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org>
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <tiac9s8ud7s838@corp.supernews.com>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>
<9g862d$2ng$1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Lines: 25
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
```

```
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.2919.6700
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.2919.6700
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24125

No, when you hate someone, learn to deal with it, if not forgive them
entirely.  THAT is the universal answer.  Capital punishment is merely a
measure to stop the atrocities of those that are unable to understand the
answer.  It is 100% effective.

Just having an axe to grind does not mean that you should bury it in
someone's head.

"GregS" <szekeres@pitt.edu> wrote in message
news:9g862d$2ng$1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu...
> In article <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>,
"jimmy john" <jj@sandwitch.org> wrote:
> >The world is a better place today.
> >
> >People who opposed capital punishment should be more opposed to capital
> >crime.  If people would stop murdering people, people would stop being
> >sentenced to die.
>
> When you hate sombody, kill them.
>
> Thats the universal answer.
>
> greg




From -3346532190867932517
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 11:44:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
netnews.com!newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!
newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-
for-mail
From: funguy@hotjuno.com <Wx2xFxUxN@hotmail.juno.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <MPG.15917e8572b8c25f989700@news.earthlink.net>
References: <3B1F106D.421771E6@IEEE.org> <20010607090323.14608.00005749@ng-
md1.aol.com>
Organization: future farmers of amerika
X-Newsreader: MicroPlanet Gravity v2.50
Lines: 22
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 18:44:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.244.237.41
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
```

X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992457865 209.244.237.41 (Wed, 13 Jun 2001 11:44:25 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 11:44:25 PDT
X-Received-Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 11:42:23 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24126

In article <20010607090323.14608.00005749@ng-md1.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com says...

> >Plus five additional errors, Dick
>
> Im sure glad you guys are Spelling experts, Damn Shame you dont know anything
> about Ham Radio
>

SActually, shame shouldn't be capitalized and you need an apostrophe for the word don't. AND you should always end a sentence with the appropriate punctuation mark. In this case either an exclamation point or a period. Just thought you'd like to know that.

BTW .. almost a happy birthday there
from one old dude to another..I guess!?!

--
DX is a way of life to some
A hobby to others
an obsession to the rest
5BDXCC / 5BWAS / 5BWAZ
#1 Honor Roll (still)

From 1108359984663977162
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 12:51:36 PST
Message-ID: <3B27C3E1.3305C90@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 14:49:53 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B275982.73D4666A@IEEE.org> <20010613084405.11318.00004508@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.58
X-Trace: corp 992461388 64.240.47.58 (13 Jun 2001 14:43:08 -0500)
Lines: 46
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any

illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24127

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Experience doesn't change principles, Steve.
>
> No..it doesn't. But if you can still look someone in the eye and suggest
> that legalizing drugs is a viable options after watching a junkie in
> convulsions, I have to call your principles into question.

The principle is that a person's body belongs to that person, not to you or the government.

> >An adult has a perfect right to ingest whatever he/she chooses.
>
> Thankfully, at this particular moment, that is not true.

Lots of things that are rights are against the law. Blacks using white restrooms in Texas in the 50s, for instance. The drug laws are evil laws, just like racist laws and sexist laws. We should wake up and treat drug problems as social problems, not as crimes.

> If that "silly law" at least deters a potential DUI from driving into my
> family, then I could care less that it impedes someone's partying.

Driving has absolutely nothing to do with using drugs. Alcohol is legal to consume but *DRIVING* under the influence is illegal. DUI *should be* illegal. Simply smoking marijuana in the privacy of one's home is no worse than consuming alcohol in the privacy of one's home and is a lot healthier.

> There are laws regulating the use of motor vehicles and penalties for NOT
> using it responsibly in every State of the Union. Why should it be any
> different for substances that can altr human behaviour ...

Because motor vehicles are life-and-death situations, Steve. Smoking a joint in the privacy of one's own home is certainly not. A drunk just ran over a jogging couple in Brian, TX. Does the fact that it was alcohol to blame rather than marijuana somehow make it more acceptable? The wife is just as dead either way.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -3842929170003873549
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 12:53:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 51
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 13 Jun 2001 19:53:29 GMT
References: <3b26e513.1893595@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010613155329.04748.00000149@nso-cc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24128

In article <3b26e513.1893595@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>On 11 Jun 2001 20:21:33 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <20010611003523.03288.00000362@nso-cm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>>writes:
>>>Is there any reasonable doubt that the FCC will remove the code test for
>>>all classes of license if S25.5 is eliminated?
>>
>>Yes.  See the latest ARRL BoD policy decision.   :-)
>>
>>Let's jump into the hypothesis merrygoround and presume that
>>S25.5 is eliminated.  If that is done, what is there to prohibit the
>>US administration (the FCC for amateur radio) from requiring
>>code testing?
>
>There will most definitly be a filling of an RM if the FCC
>doesn't come out with an NPRM on its own.  The reality
>is that the FCC did not endorse any rational to retain
>code testing offered by anyone with the single exception
>being the need to maintain treaty compliance because
>of S25.5.
>
>Is there anything to prohibit continued CW testing by
```

>the US as a requirement after S25.5 is ended? Short
>answer is no. Rational answer is that continued incorporation
>of CW testing foor US hams after S25.5 ends is very
>unlikly (IMHO).

Personally speaking, I would say that code testing is more likely to be removed than retained if and when S25.5 is changed to remove the code test. That's only a personal suppostion.

In opposition is the long-timer PCTA amateur community who wish to mount form-letter campaigns to the FCC. See the "WAD" proposals which came some time after the Comment cutoff date on 98-143. Those individuals are likely to be around after the next WRC.

The pessimistic view is that the FCC's International Bureau hasn't yet done anything on establishing a position on S25 en toto. [see the FCC IB WRC page] Only the NTIA has made itself known in a draft letter. Since the US administration hasn't yet made any views known as a group no one can be sure of where they stand. It may very well be that S25.5 will never be changed at the WRC.

LHA

```
From 8667866784930040737
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 17:03:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 45
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 00:02:41 GMT
References: <20010613212614.20559.00000840@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010614200241.27305.00001616@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24230
```

>>Do you really think most freebanders or those who shoot skip on 11 meters
>are
>>not aware of Amateur Radio and what can be done within it?
>
>No, certainly not all, but some. However, if you treat them with disdain from
>square one...you'll miss the opportunity to reach those that truly do not. If
>you check my bio on QRZ, you can read about the affects of a negative first

>impression. Like I said, I should've just shrugged it off, but I was young
>and
>the privileges of that Novice ticket did NOT outweigh the "kiss my a$$"
>reaction to that first encounter. Had I truly understood the full scope of
>what
>I'd be missing, those comments would have slid off me like the slime that
>spoke
>them. Perhaps if I'd met my eventual Elmer then and been guided elswhere, the
>story would've "started" differently. In any case, the responsibility has
>always lied squarely with me, I recognize that, accept it, and thank god for
>being the beneficiary of "fools luck." (aided by some prudent RFI precautions
>and very NON lid operating practices) As always, at the risk of being "burnt
>at
>the stake," I offer myself as an example and thus endeaver to "lead by
>example."
>
>>What percentage of export radios do you think are operated in the Amateur
>>Radio
>>Service with a transverter?
>>
>
>I can only vouch for my own...but every tally begins with one, right? There
>is
>a measure of satisfaction int putting the President Lincoln legally on 2
>meter
>SSB rather than spending $1400+ for the new ICOM 910H. I do like that new
>Ten-Tec though, I'll wait and see how it fares when it's put through it's
>paces.

Good for you, Bert. I was this close to laying that 910H down on plastic. I'm
glad I waited, I too am excited with thw new Ten-Tec offering.

>I offer myself as an example and thus endeaver to "lead by
>example."

It's my turn to thank you. Take care and 73.

Mark

```
From -2768382870984858937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 17:06:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 24
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 00:06:09 GMT
```

References: <3b281cac.10413457@news.mindspring.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614200609.20174.00000975@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24231

In article <3b281cac.10413457@news.mindspring.com>, billsohl@mindspring.com
(Bill Sohl) writes:

>On 13 Jun 2001 19:53:28 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>There is a sizeable number of amateurs who think that morse code
>>skill is an integral part of amateur radio and of a "necessity" in any
>>license issuance.
>
>But that percentage is decreasing over time for several reasons.

Understood...but try to get them to realize that amateur radio
is more than CW-on-HF. :-)

>>The form-letter "WAD" campaign is a sign of that
>>and also a warning for NCTAs. NCTAs cannot be complacent in
>>thinking that a code test removal is a "sure thing" in the future.
>
>I can assure you that nothing is being taken for
>granted.

Personally speaking, I certainly hope so.   :-)

LHA

From -7735424918515687748
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 17:07:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 106
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 00:06:09 GMT
References: <20010614104129.02776.00000102@nso-ma.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010614200609.20174.00000974@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24232

In article <20010614104129.02776.00000102@nso-ma.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20010610153442.15339.00001077@nso-df.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
>(Len Over 21) writes:
>
>>In article <20010609061518.13401.00003075@ng-mn1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>>(W1RFI) writes:
>>
>>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>>
>>>>I would think that it was simply something people cared about a lot. On
>>both
>>>>sides.
>>>
>>>I think that some of those that care about this care about it deeply. I
>>rarely
>>>find the subject of code testing to be discussed outside this group. It is
>>>far from the most important issue facing Amateur Radio.
>>
>>I disagree.
>
>You think it's the most important issue facing Amateur Radio? How odd.

Why is that odd?

>>In order to "care about amateur radio" one has to be in it
>>via licensing.
>
>Then you obviously don't care about amateur radio, Len. Why do you post here?

Flawed premise.

Miccolis attempts mind-reading, not a normal human attribute.

Amateur radio should be a fun hobby in this new millenium.
Problem is that so many long-timers insist on "standards and
practices" in that avocation to be like they were in the 1930s
and 1940s, perhaps in the 1950s. Such steadfast, hold-to-the-
old attitudes do not contribute to enjoyment or to seeking to
engage in such hobbies. Indeed, so many of the long-timers
insist on being a "radio cop" or using whatever patronizing
attitudes they choose to establish "turf" as if they "owned"
US amateur radio. The examples abound in this newsgroup.

Why does Miccolis post in this newsgroup?

Miccolis already has an Amateur Extra and, if renewals are
made in the regulatory time periods, will never have to take
another amateur radio test in his life.

Why does Miccolis argue the subject of entrance into amateur radio? Miccolis entered a long time ago, almost in another era of the life of all radio. [all radio reached mid-life in 1948]

>You obviously don't care enough to be licensed, even though you told us, on
>January 19, 2000 that you were going for Extra "right out of the box".

Miccolis practices selective editing. He omitted the conditional "IF" on my statement and then tried to cast some emotional pejorative about "caring." [IF I wanted an amateur radio license I would go for the "maximum" but have worked up some great desire and "caring" to bother to take a test]

Must one "care" about amateur radio to a great extent? If so, why?

The FCC requires testing for issuance of amateur radio licenses as part of its US civil radio regulation task. The FCC does not require some "caring" as a necessary part of amateur licensing. The "care" aspect is an artificiality, an emotional attribute tacked on by those who seem to have too great an emotional attachment to amateur radio. Amateur radio is not a life style. It is just a hobby.

Some in amateur radio seem to have truly adopted amateur radio as a life style, complete with a judgemental ethical-moral viewpoint that they are "superior" human beings by virtue of having passed a test and been granted an amateur radio license. For a few highly over-judgemental types such a license is "superior" to a much more comprehensive commercial test or even many decades of experience in commercial or military radio.

To use the word "care" in a colloquial manner, I do not much care to be held in some "novice" or "apprenticeship" category by a number of long-timers who have neither overall radio experience tenure nor the demonstrated knowledge of radio theory. Yet those same arrogant, patronizing judgemental individuals insist that amateur radio is somehow "different" than other radio, therefore there "must" be an apprenticeship period with all newcomers subservient to them.

The necessity for subservience is a personal attitude device with such individuals which is not part of the traditions of amateur radio (as published) nor of the US civil radio regulations. They assume a "ruling" mantle which was never earned by any test nor of amateur experience; it is simply a psychological flaw of a need for dominance or of "officiality," all to make themselves appear "more superior" to others. In reality, such "superiority" is within their own heads as others observe them differently.

There are a few too many in US amateur radio who daily demonstrate such aberrant traits in here. That is not a welcome to newcomers nor is it an inducement for professionals to join their exhalted ranks.

LHA

From -5453063344953082680
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 17:07:05 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 160
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 00:06:02 GMT
References: <20010614104129.02776.00000102@nso-ma.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010614200602.20174.00000972@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24233

In article <20010614104129.02776.00000102@nso-ma.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>So much so that they are fearful of loss of their
>>exclusive EM spectrum subdivisions in the HF bands.
>
>There are NO exclusive Morse code subbands in the US HF/MF amateur radio
>bands. None at all.

Heh. A newsgroup fly-specky technicality on OOK CW,
except I did not say that the exclusivity was about CW..

Anyone can look at FCC mode, modulation, and license
class allocations that favor the Amateur Extra.

>How do you know how much of each mode is used on those bands, Len?

That is an extremely easy task on HF and below. One
obtains a receiver and puts up an antenna, turns on the
receiver, tunes around and listens. :-)

It is NOT necessary to understand each transmission heard.
All are identifiable, including OOK CW.

>>This exclusivity
>>came about by man-made regulation changes, not divine
>>intervention. The exclusivity "necessity" (as it is viewed by some
>>of the long-tenured) is artificial, a benefit only for a minority.
>

>What is YOUR suggestion, Len? No subbands?

Haven't you been reading at all? "No sub-bands" is what I wrote
years ago in here.

>>One of the rather obvious problems with exclusivity through code
>>skills is the class discrimination of the code skilled as "superior"
>>to others in the hobby.
>
>It's a service, Len.

The word "service" used throughout Title 47 CFR is a regulatory
term denoting the type and kind of radio activity covered in a
particular Part. Phil Kane has agreed with that. Why can't
you? Are you superior to Phil Kane?

>And the possession of skills and knowledge of any relevant
>sort does make one "superior" in a way.

Elastomeric fly-specking. [...a great deal of point-stretching]

It is quite obvious in this newsgroup that PCTAs consider
themselves superior to mere mortals that cannot do code as
fast or as good as they. They use that as some kind of
rationale that morse code skill overcomes any need to know
theory or that it is "better" than radio theory knowledge.

> Do you advocate some sort of classless collective society?

No, clueless clyde, I consider that remark contemptuous and
not at all in the discuss-debate spirit.

>> Class discrimination has created the
>>artificial barrier which has morse-code-on-HF as the ultimate
>>attainment in amateurism on one side and radio-as-a-general-
>>hobby-activity on the other side.
>
>What is your solution, Len? Do away with licenses and tests?

Why does Miccolis insist on a "solution?!?" Is it because of
his position on the "good" side of the barrier?

My advocacy has always been the elimination of the morse
code test for any amateur radio license test. I have written
that before and possibly must continue to do so for those who
troll for arguments and flame-war ignitions.

Why does Miccolis insist on joining Element 1 with the other
three test elements? They are separate. Removal of Element
1 does not automatically remove Elements 2 through 4.

>> Newcomers have long since
>>changed from entering US amateur radio as code-tested

>>Novices to the no-code-test Technician class. It took some
>>time for the ARRL to recognize this, but they finally recognized
>>it.
>
>Your history is flawed, Len.

Miccolis observation is faulty and erroneous. My observation lies in the already-published periodicals and books of the ARRL. Miccolis cannot change that for all his need to make flame-ignition personal comments.

>Long before the 1991 removal of the code test from the Technician class, the
>trend for new hams to start out with licenses other than Novice was going
>strong.

Define and quantify "strong." Miccolis has not. Let's look at the NEW license tabulations on Joe Speroni's amateur radio pages. Between the end of May 2000 and the end of May 2001, the NEW licensees per class are:

Extra	231	
Advanced	3	
General	1150	
Tech+	51	
Novice	24	
Tech	20,480	<- (93.35%)
Total	21,939	

I have pointed this sort of thing for 2000, 1999, 1998, and 1997. The increase in the no-code Technician class license has been roughly 20,000 per year new licensees for all 11 years of that class' existance. Of all new licensees, during the entire history of the no-code-test Technician existance, the no-code-test Tech has far outnumbered all other classes combined.

The number of excuses and rationales presented by PCTAs could fill a book...but no library would bother stocking such a book. Miccolis has given one following -

>Removing the code test from the Technician in 1991 made it the easiest
>license
>to get, and it's no wonder that most new hams after that time got started
>with the Tech or Tech Plus.

Miccolis omits the Novice class license which existed prior to April 15, 2000. The Novice class test was THE easiest of all six classes existing prior to restructuring...even so, the numbers of Novices had been steadily decreasing for at least four years prior to that and the number of new novice licensees were few in comparison to their expirations.

>>The ARRL took even longer to recognize that code skills are
>>not the ultimate achievment in amateur radio but they have not
>>yet moved enough to recognize the majority view of US radio
>>amateurs.
>
>What view is that, Len? And how do you know that it's the majority view?

I wrote "ARRL," specifically the Amateur Radio Relay League.

Does Miccolis consider the ARRL to hold a majority view of US
amateur radio? If so, why? The membership of the ARRL is
still less than a quarter of all licensed US radio amateurs.

>Sems to me that you're projecting your biases and prejudices upon others,
>now.

I am not projecting MY views on the ARRL. :-)

On the other hand, as an ardent PCTA, Miccolis seems to be
trying to foist off HIS biases and prejudices on the majority of
the US amateur radio community...and everyone else. Why is
that?

LHA

From -7307542522483163314
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 17:07:06 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 160
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 00:06:05 GMT
References: <20010614104129.02776.00000102@nso-ma.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010614200605.20174.00000973@nso-mr.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24234

In article <20010614104129.02776.00000102@nso-ma.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>Amateur radio coexists in the EM spectrum with other radio services.
>>The original intent of the federally-required code test was to enable
>>interference mitigation through a common communications mode.

>
>That is only one reason - back in 1912. There are many others that have
>evolved since the first licensing of amateur radio operators.

Not really. Thos -unnamed- "evolved" reasons are simply rationales to perpetuate the morse code hazing test ritual upon all newcomers. All of them have been proven incorrect but the PCTAs persist in carrying on the clear Urban Myth of morse code testing "necessity" because they have no valid argument against the code test removal.

>>Since there is a dearth of morse code use as communications in all
>>radio services except amateur and maritime, it is no longer logical
>>for the federal governments to require any morse code skill in a
>>license test.
>
>That's true ONLY if the only purpose is to prevent inter-service
>interference. There are lots of other reasons for the test.

None of those "other reasons" are valid. They have been disproven time and time again. S25 did not exist in 1912, the ITU-R did not exist in 1912, and the CCITT was barely begun as an international body attempting to standardize communications methods. Indeed, the ONLY way to communicate by "spark" radio was by on-off keying. In 1912 the teleprinter had begun displacing landline manual telegraphy and the days of landline manual telegraphy were waning. The new communications method of radio attracted many landline manual telegraphers who were either downsized out of a job or about to become jobless. The radio of per-WW1 days was a godsend to these manual telegraphers and they made the most of it with a group of new myths and legends. Aided by the rescue-via-radiotelegraph-communications of the Titanic passenger survivors, the myths and legends were cemented into place.

As the "sparkies" and radio telegraphers retired after the WW1 times, many took up the hobby of amateur radio. At that time amateur radio was almost exclusively OOK CW, even with the new radio circuit components called vacuum tubes. The myths and legends of commercial and military OOK CW came with these amateurs and they fostered almost an "obedience" to strict protocol and operating methods. The excuse for such rigidity in standards and practices was simply "it is good practice" without showing the background that lay in commercial and military radiotelegraphy. Those few who dared go farther than a four word descriptor started saying that amateur radio offers a "pool of trained radio opeators" for defense of the nation. In some ways, that rationale, which included morse code skills, had some merit in the 1930s.

By 1940 and the dark days of the USA prior to their forced entry into a truly world war, radio technology had already advanced. Radar existed although kept under secrecy and the teleprinter was used for high-level communications rather than manual telegraphy (encryption devices could be coupled to teleprinters directly for on-line encryption and decryption). Radio broadcasting of voice and music was commonplace and voice communications was being tried out for public safety communications. Radio amateurs were still holding up morse code skills as the epitome of radio communications.

An explosion of electronics technology advances during WW2 brought about the beginning of using spectrum above 30 MHz for communications by land radio services, the proliferation of teleprinter telegraphy, radio facsimile, direct frequency control by quartz crystals along with the manufacturing of man-made quartz in pure crystalline form, real-time televison, multichannel microwave radio relay, FM in wide use for mobile radio. Single sideband communications on HF had already been done by commercial and military radio in the early 1930s. Information Theory had begun forming, aided by the seminal 1947 paper by Claude E. Shannon (deceased February 2001). Amateur radio still clung to CW skills as the epitome of radio communications.

Twenty years later in the mid-1960s, the transistor and then the integrated circuit had entered the design of electronics and radio. The first frequency syntesizers appeared allowing direct, multi-frequency selectable frequency steps of 100 then 10 Hz increments. Single-channel SSB voice had been adopted and adapted to amateur radio on HF. Single-channel FM voice was found desireable on VHF and UHF amateur radio bands. The first modems had appeared for landline use, then adapted for radio. Both slow-scan and fast-scan television was being tried out on higher amateur radio bands. Moonbounce communications was being tried after the first demonstration of lunar reflectivity by the US Army Signal Corps just after WW2. Microwave radio relay of thousands of voice channels, dozens of TV channels was now an integral part of US communications infrastructure. Orbital radio relay satellite placement had begun. Information Theory was now an established science and the compression of redundant data had begun, the only argument over such being the type of compression, not its possibility. VHF and UHF repeaters had been established and coordination begun. Mainframe computers had reached clock rates of 10 MHz with 50 MB mass storage capability. Radio amateurs still considered morse code skills as paramount in radio communications.

In twenty more years, by the mid-1980s, the personal computer had started becoming a household fixture with clock speeds of 40 MHz and 250 MB mass storage for high-end PCs. 60-channel cable TV distributed to every home was an accomplished fact. Orbital communications satellites were there and working, tying the world together by microwaves. Microwave ovens, standardized at 2.4 GHz, were becoming a standard fixture in kitchens and offices. Various forms of networking and packet radio existed, amateurs now beginning to use video terminals and high-speed paper printers replacing the old electromechanical teleprinters. Government and utility company radio was now firmly in place with VHF and UHF FM voice equipment, mobile and hand-held sets

being more numerous than those in use by radio amateurs.
The integrated circuit and the application-specific IC were now available in almost any grouping and function for new equipment. Moonbounce, jargonized as "EME" was now a regular activity by some amateurs...still, amateurs were told by publications and organizations that the epitome of radio communications was by OOK CW on HF.

At the start of the new millenium the average ham shack contains at least one foreign-designed-and-made HF transceiver with precise 10 Hertz incremental selection and precise stability. The circuitry of the average transceiver, including that of the larger QRP units, contains at least one microcontroller used for a number of different functions. There are commercially-designed-and-made radios for all amateur bands from 160m to 23cm, base-station and hand-held. A personal computer of 600 MHz clock rate and 20 GB mass-storage, internal 56K modem and sound card plus a color CRT and inkjet printer and high-resolution image scanner can be purchased off-the-shelf at Wal-Mart and other chain stores for under $1500 (H-P). A few foreign-designed-and-made HF transceivers are directly-controllable by such computers and several data modes, including PSK31, can be modulated and demodulated by such PCs. Antenna designs may now be analyzed by PCs using any of three available, low-cost program packages. Circuit analysis by PC is an established fact by at least a dozen program packages...some including automatic printed-circuit board layout and mask printing along with schematic diagram drawing. HF communications path analysis and contact logging packages for PCs exist by the dozens. Automatic antenna tuners using microprocessor control are available to take the drudgery out of QSYs and tuning up different, switchable antennas. There are even automatic, microcontroller-enabled antenna analyzers that present the complex impedance or admittance of antennas at selected frequencies. The Internet exists worldwide for setting up contact schedules and for the verification of radio contacts plus the web is a storehouse of information on theory, components, and component sellers.

>>Morse code skills are highly prized by a number of long-tenured
>>radio amateurs.
>
>Morse code skills are highly prized by a large number radio amateurs, of all
>"tenures".

Of course. Morse code skill is the epitome of all radio communications, isn't it? :-)

LHA

```
From 8353083100169380582
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d114d950c03113da
```

X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 17:12:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 00:12:29 GMT
References: <20010612224557.06294.00000923@ng-fg1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: CW & 11 Meters Revisited.
Message-ID: <20010614201229.27305.00001617@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24235

>Concept 2000
>(pretty interesting name for a product of the 60s/70s)

Prophetic?

>Another nice thing is the quality of things manufactured twenty plus years
>ago.
>Even though they were ostensibly "toyish" items, their build quality along
>with
>the inclusion of a nice schematic is a pleasant reminder of past
>craftsmanship.

I still have a old Halicrafters unit, NOS and MIB. The "build quality" you speak of is nothing short of beathtaking.

>It's nice to open a box and find an older item in "mint" condition, for all
>practical purposes, brand new.

Like Christmas morning at eight years old!

>The Signature Jr. will find a permanent position
>on my "active" desk with the telescoping antenna replaced by a "hard wired"
>length of coax connecting it to the antenna switch.

Nice to recreate that original set-up you wrote about, eh?

>Anybody want to support the newly formed 11 Meter
>CW/QRP Club?:-D
>

My check's in the mail. ;-) Take care and 73.

Mark

From 636304568787360707

X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 17:35:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 00:34:49 GMT
References: <20010613180106.11293.00001434@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Message-ID: <20010614203449.19502.00000018@ng-da1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24236

>Still your attitude: "I got mine...screw yours"
>

And you are not going to change it

From -1750458470217822877
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 17:57:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 142
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 00:56:36 GMT
References: <3b28c17e.6824132@news2.dvol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010614205636.22760.00000729@nso-mk.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24237

In article <3b28c17e.6824132@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv) writes:

>On 12 Jun 2001 05:36:32 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:
>
>>In article <3b259419.5948168@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian
>>Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>>

>>>> Where was all the
>>>>experimentation and such on UHF in 1958-1959? Using 6J6 dual
>>>>triod oscillators with Lecher Line tuning?   :-)
>>>>
>>>What the hell does THAT have to do with this thread?? Or anything
>>>else? And no, they used reversed-biased push-pull 5U4GBs with
>>>slider-tuned filaments.
>>
>>Ahem...don't try a technical snow job here. A 5U4 is a moderate-
>>current dual diode vacuum tube used as a rectifier. It can't be
>>"biased." Neither is there anything such as "slider-tuned filaments."
>>
>No kidding. The La-la Land Artifact Sherlock strikes once again.

...Kelly must be Holmes-less. Poor feller. :-)

>As a matter of fact Lenny, not that you would have clue one of course,
>but there was an enormous volume of ham literature already in print
>about ham experimentation in the frequencies above 30 mHz. in 1950.
>Some of it involving equipment which operated at 400 mHz and much
>higher. UHF acorn tubes like the 954 & 955 were 30s things. The
>catalog off-the-shelf *1936* National 1-10 receiver tuned 1 to 10
>meters. 1936. Got that Lenny?

I have my own paper-printed copy of the 1964 National Radio 50th
Anniversary booklet. Nice nostalgia item. Did you get a thrill
out of the National "Thrill Box?" [really was a trade name]   :-)

"Acorn" tubes were cute things but they were of an earlier era
than Kelly could experience. In late 1953 I was working with
829s (that's a VHF dual tetrode) and then 2C39As in 1955 (a
very high transconductance "lighthouse" triode used by GE in
a 1950 microwave radio relay system).

>Then in 1959 came the RCA UHF Nuvistors . . I'm sure you know all
>about those Lenny and the noise figures they had at 500 mHz? Which
>wound up in any number of QST UHF gear construction articles.

The RCA Nuvistor was a last-ditch grab at the tube market when
the solid-state era had begun. The Nuvistor was a bust and became
cheap on the market. My first garage-door opener receiver had a
Nuvistor. Kelly should lionize a certain planar triode for 1.6 GHz...
only about 12 or so million were made for the radiosonde market
for a dozen years plus. [I keep thinking of the GE 6442 but that
was a triode used by MRI on a TACAN/DME test set...can't recall
the part number]

Do you want some stuff on REALLY low NFs? Gotta go solid-state,
senior. Or do the MASER thing. Vacuum state with gms at around
16 millimhos gets way too expensive and too short-lived due to that
pesky filament.

>There was life beyond transpacific HF Army RTTY boxes in the 50s Lenny

>but you obviously have never managed to get past that box. You've
>never recoverd from yer days in the joints in the Ginza.
>>
>Good luck with that one now.

Ooooo...Kelly is getting all flustered and angry there. :-)

Too bad Kelly didn't get to operate a 4 KW PEP SSB Xmtr made
by WE...or a 40 KW linear amplifier made by Collins Radio. Tsk,
tsk, tsk. How about an ancient BC-339 1 KW transmitter (CW,
FSK) or a BC-340 10 KW jobbie or a Press Wireless 15 KW rig?

Oh, you don't like the old days? OK, how about a ##### ## #####
VLF rig in the 60s (sorry, might still be classified unit) or the
SINCGARS family in the 1990s? Fully-synthesized military
transceivers of the 1980s? Ku-band radar checkout sets in the
1960s? "McNamary Wall" SIDs on VHF during the 1970s?
Low L-Band RF sections for the RCA SECANT and RIHANS
position-location R&D of the 1970s?

Actually, I only went to the music bars ON the Ginza in Tokyo.
There were other places to hang out in that large city. :-)

>In 1959 I was 22 years old and working at the Sun Oil Applied Physics
>Laboratory during my engineering co-op stints and had two bench techs
>assigned to my loving care. I wish to hell you had been one of 'em.

Why? So you could have someone to vent frustration on? :-)

I'll just bet you were doing lots of electronics and radio stuff, yes?
In 1959 I was checking out travelling-wave tubes used in the
Quail decoy air-breathing missle (wideband mixer application,
roughly five octaves bandwidth in low microwaves) or (not knowing
it at the time, finding out later) working on the U-2 ground support
equipment at end of that same year. That was at Ramo
Wooldridge. In that year STL was really just a lab within R-W,
would later become the start of TRW and spin off Aerospace
Corporation.

>That's right, I didn't come into it polluted by any Old Fart
>preconceptions. I did my own tuning and operating and damned if the
>Old Farts didn't have it right from the gitgo.

???

>>The FCC doesn't want e-mail dumps so much as recordings
>>of activity. Try to check out the FCC's Enforcement Bureau
>>page. It will tell you what form and format the FCC wants.
>>
>Their technological backwardness is not my problem, they can take it,
>leave it, or supply the tape deck.

You can buy a fairly good tape cassette deck at Wal-Mart for under $40. That should be a small price to pay as self-proclaimed savior of the HF airwaves. Has its own microphone so you won't have to "engineer" an audio hook-up to your receiving thingy.   :-)

However, see Phil Kane's message on rules of evidence. Not only is that correct but is also common sense.

>>Kelly has a choice. Report infractions and crow about it or
>>button it up and whine, whine, whine.
>>
>Don't take another breath until I get around to doing any of the above
>Lenny.

Breathing is normal here and should continue...in between paroxyms of laughter at some of the postings... :-).

>>Oh, and good luck on "biasing" those 5U4s now.   :-)

By the way, I can make a dual-diode be PART of an amplifier. Trouble is, it would be at audio and involve a lot of magnetic stuff. [whole thing called a "magamp"]   :-)

LHA

From 1358665458060006799
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 19:40:26 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: 15 Jun 2001 02:40:25 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 22
Message-ID: <9gbsip$636$0@208.207.71.151>
References: <3B27045D.67FADAB1@sccoast.net> <20010613050211.10193.00002586@ng-cu1.aol.com> <9gait0$8ob$0@208.207.71.161> <cuvyxnansvbet.gexb9a1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24238



Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On 14 Jun 2001 14:49:04 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >And why not? Pirate BC doesn't have much effect if any on ham radio.
> >Sleazy CB and freebanders do.
>
>   The effect that pirate b/c has on ham radio is to foster the idea
>   that it's an OK thing to operate in complete defiance of radio law
>   and the FCC.


 Phil I know you're serious, and rightly so, but I'm afraid that the
miniscule influence of pirate BC pales to the point of disappearance
compared to the outright outlawry routinely played out, with impunity
and with the FCC's back turned, on 27 mHz. By far that is and long has
been the source of almost all the negative influence on ham radio.
 I remember the days before CB was begun, and there was NO profanity nor
illegal operation by comparison. The volume of sheer illegality
routinely gotten away with on 27 mHz showed the way.


From 1212834671656889586
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 19:43:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Date: 15 Jun 2001 02:43:36 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9gbsoo$636$1@208.207.71.151>
References: <ADTV6.113163$Be4.34901965@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010614093504.22883.00002325@ng-ci1.aol.com>
<J_7W6.118449$Be4.36924004@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.151
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24239

Yep. Until some bleeding heart turned him loose on the populace again,
to do it again...
```

```
"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> So would solitary confinement ...
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010614093504.22883.00002325@ng-ci1.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
> > >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> > >Date: 6/13/01 7:33 PM Central Daylight Time
> >
> > >How did killing him make those people any better
> >
> >      It didn't.  It prevented him from ever being able to to it again.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >


From -2544711201584758968
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:02:31 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!newsfeed.frii.net!
news.infoave.net!not-for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 23:03:46 -0400
Organization: -
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <3B297AFA.2B67D2F7@sccoast.net>
References: <3B27C3E1.3305C90@IEEE.org> <20010613182409.11293.00001442@ng-
ce1.aol.com> <3B27FF66.8858271C@IEEE.org> <3B283038.858BE084@sccoast.net>
<3B2840F0.4C7980AF@IEEE.org>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: dial-148.r1.gwy.scscon.infoave.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992574150 376880 204.116.70.149 (15 Jun 2001 03:02:30
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news3.infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 03:02:30 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24240

CAM wrote:
```

>
> > In each case, the problems are more
> > than we would want to deal with in
> > this society.
>
> The problems are already there in spades.

And you've ignored my point - those problems will increase if drugs are legalized (see my previous message for why this will happen).

> So what are you going to do when the
> police get the address wrong, break down
> your front door, and shoot you? (Like they
> did in Pasadena, TX). What are you going
> to do when the police frame you so they
> can seize your property under the RICO
> (sp?) laws like they did in CA?

I'm going to do what most people do - resist police abuse where I find it. Whatever the case, eliminating laws (drug legalization) is not the solution to these problems. The solution is to keep the police under control. For example, I'm personally opposed to the RICO laws (as they exist now), but that opposition has no effect on my views about the drug laws. Since all that is so obvious, all you're doing is using these problems to distract from the illegal drug issue (and the real problems associated with that).

Dwight Stewart   (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

```
From 2265976356075961142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:06:22 PST
Message-ID: <3B297B35.23BEF7F1@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 22:04:21 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B25FC8E.B55AC822@IEEE.org> <20010614041655.04835.00001677@nso-
fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
```

```
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992573856 64.240.47.49 (14 Jun 2001 21:57:36 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24241
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I only hold sacred things that are deserving of being held sacred. Nothing
> about ham radio comes close to being sacred with me. I hold things
> like life, family, God, and country sacred. The Morse code is something
> I find useful and an essential skill for a radio amateur, but it isn't even
> close to "sacred" in my book. You really need to stop making such
> outlandish pronouncements.

Why don't you repeat your assertion that CW is the best mode ever,
you know, most efficient, most reliable, etc. Putting Morse code
on such a pedistal that it requires a special exam seems to me to be
the same thing as feeling it to be sacred. It's just another mode with
a certain set of characteristics, Larry, it's nothing supernatural.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6151048855149427043
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:14:38 PST
Message-ID: <3B297D21.40CE07A9@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 22:12:33 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B2840F0.4C7980AF@IEEE.org> <20010614095217.22883.00002328@ng-
```

```
ci1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992574354 64.240.47.49 (14 Jun 2001 22:05:54 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-
dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24242

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> > The drug war is immoral.
>
>     No it's not.

Yes, it is.


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -8891125738536015468
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:17:40 PST
Message-ID: <3B297DDC.5DA7C2B4@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 22:15:40 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B27C3E1.3305C90@IEEE.org> <20010613182409.11293.00001442@ng-
ce1.aol.com> <3B27FF66.8858271C@IEEE.org> <3B283038.858BE084@sccoast.net>
<3B2840F0.4C7980AF@IEEE.org> <9gak11$cj8$0@208.207.71.161>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
```

```
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992574534 64.240.47.49 (14 Jun 2001 22:08:54 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24243
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> CAM wrote:
> > The drug war is immoral.
>
> And the free, unrestricted use of mindaltering drugs is then moral.

That doesn't logically follow at all, Dick. Prohibition was immoral.
That doesn't make free, unrestricted use of alcohol moral.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -9054406021779858835
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:21:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 03:20:53 GMT
References: <20010614062035.29552.00000791@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614232053.03236.00002269@nso-fc.aol.com>
```

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24244

In article <20010614062035.29552.00000791@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>Don't look now, but you mentioned that you are, to your knowledge,
>>the only ARRL staffer who reads/participates in this newsgroup. I
>>was referring to them, not you personally. I apologize for any
>>misunderstanding.
>
>Well, if I read what you want people to swallow, Larry, you offer that I am
>the
>officially appointed spokesperson for ARRL policy.

Ed:

I don't recall ever stating that you were "officially appointed" as an
ARRL spokesman. It is my personal belief that your status as an
ARRL HQ staff employee in a management position cannot be
separated from your participation in THIS newsgroup -- or any other
newsgroup you may participate in, when making comments
relating to amateur radio policy. If I were your employer, and found
you *not* towing the "company line" when making postings in a
public forum, you'd have one heck of a lot of explaining to do! As
the ancient Chinese saying goes, "A wise man does not (deficate)
in his own rice bowl." I have no doubt that you are a wise man.

>The fact that they have me
>post ONLY on rrap and a few QRP reflectors should tell you that they think
>that rrap is the most important venue in Amateur Radio. :-)

If I believed that for a second, I'd immediately cancel my ARRL membership,
in order not to be associated with a bunch of fools!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4277657531042352942
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:21:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 75
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 03:20:53 GMT
References: <20010614061653.29552.00000789@ng-mb1.aol.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614232053.03236.00002268@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24246

In article <20010614061653.29552.00000789@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>No, the problem is "the stances." Liberal, politically-correct stances
>>expressed with the same "addy-tood" are accepted without question,
>>if not praised.
>
>No, it the attitude.

Ed:

We'll have to agree to disagree, then. How's that for "attitude?"

>As I said before, I couldn't imagine a certain
>well-known
>NCTA who no longer posts here being hired by ARRL. As I said before, a fellow
>like N2EY would probably succeed quite well at ARRL HQ. Jim and I disagree
>about code testing, as do I and at least one guy in the ARRL Lab. I could
>care
>less; the guys in the Lab are able to keep civil tongues in their head and
>have
>the technical qualifications that are needed.

Ed, I have no inclination to EVER work at ARRL HQ -- never had, never will. It's just not something that ever entered my consciousness as a career possibility. I don't have anything near the technical qualifications to fill any position there that I'd be interested in having. I am purely an AMATEUR Amateur Radio Operator; my opinion of HQ staffers is that they, for the most part, have technical qualifications far beyond those required of a pure hobbyist. And that is no more or less than how it should be. The "dream career" for me has always been as an Airline pilot, but poor eyesight stole that dream from me at an early age. I have had to substitute it with a career in Air Transportation, which at least had me involved in aviation in a manner in which I could make a worthy contribution. As my military career progressed, I became an expert in surface modes of transportation as well, and held numerous supervisory and management positions in Aerial Port and Transportation units. I now work for the local transit authority as a Paratransit Specialist, and I work "hands on" providing specialized transportation for people with physical and mental challenges. I thoroughly enjoy my job, and plan to work my way up through the ranks in my second career as far as I can go. Employment at ARRL HQ is not on my radar screen, whatsoever. Regardless of your perception of what kind of a potential ARRL HQ staff employee I would be, your opinion in that regard is completely gratuitous.

>>On my second visit, I just operated W1AW, and kept
>>my distance from the HQ Building, which seemed to have an
>>invisible "No Trespassing - Keep Out" sign posted on it.
>
>Odd. Most people I have met that have visited HQ came away with a more
>positive
>impression. Maybe they approached it with a good attitude. :-)

When I "approached" ARRL HQ that first time, my "attitude" was one
of eager anticipation of one of the most fabulous experiences one could
possibly have as a radio amateur. I fully anticipated being treated like
a fellow ham who invested the time and expense to travel to ham
radio's "Mecca." The reality of my cold, impersonal greeting had the
same effect as a bucket of ice water perched above the door, waiting for
me to enter. If subsequent visitors are having a much better than my
own, all I can say as a member in good standing is that they'd damn
well *better* be!

>How about it -- anyone else visit HQ and want to share your impressions of
>the visit?

I will be interested to see other people's stories as well. And if there is
even a *single* negative story similar to my own, I would expect you
to take personal action to ensure that such a thing cannot happen in the
future!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3232685552843644375
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:21:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 55
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 03:20:51 GMT
References: <9galj5$j8l$0@208.207.71.161>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Message-ID: <20010614232051.03236.00002267@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24245

In article <9galj5$j8l$0@208.207.71.161>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> Capital Punishment just gives the victims a chance to "get even" by taking their own turn at killing another fellow human being. Anyone with a scintilla of humanity in them would be appalled.

> You're as wrong as you can be, Larry. Taking out a subhumanoid predator is not the same as killing a human. Most of these "people" are not people at all, they just look like it. Some of them kill just for kicks, and will do it any time the opportunity arises.

Dick:

The technology exists to safely and humanely incarcerate these people for their natural lifetimes, without any further danger to society as long as they ARE kept locked up. If our "system" is dumb enough to let them go, for whatever reason, then we need to address THAT issue, and not substitute reason with state-sponsored murder.

> When you closely examine individual death penalty cases you find that juries and judges don't mete it out wantonly. When it is handed down it is because it is apprppriate. Far more life sentences are passed for murders than death penalties, because of the curcumstances. Examine the backgrounds of those who get a death sentence and you see reality. Pretty it isn't, reality at work, yes.

I have no doubt that death sentences are given out only in the most egregious cases of capital murder. I'm sure that in a lot of those cases, the subjects are vicious, unrepentant killers like Mc Veigh. Unfortunately, this does not give a modern, progressive society like ours an excuse to engage in Medieval punitive practices. No matter how painless and efficient we make the process of extinguishing a convict's life, the plain truth is that we are defying any reasonable standard of advanced, decent societal comportment by doing so. I have no problem with a police officer using deadly force to prevent a criminal from injuring or killing an innocent victim, or with any law-abiding citizen to be able to do the same in order to protect his own home and family. But once the immediate danger of harm from a violent criminal is over, and that person is under physical control, there is no longer any possible justification for taking his life. We must even accept the small risk that he may take another life in order to permit him to live to face his lifelong punishment of banishment from society.

Unfortunately, I find myself in a position where I must mourn the death of Mc Veigh as the 169th victim of his terrible act. He lost his life before he was able to come to terms with what he had done, and before he had an opportunity to save his soul through remorse and repentance.
I can only hope that God, in his infinite mercy, will not consign his soul to eternal damnation, due to the fact that he wasn't given the time that he needed to repent. He should, of course, be the last one let out of Purgatory when the time comes!

73 de Larry, K3LT

```
From 415143503830202583
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:22:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 133
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 03:20:55 GMT
References: <20010614131335.04332.00000192@nso-bj.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614232055.03236.00002270@nso-fc.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24247

In article <20010614131335.04332.00000192@nso-bj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>>>>>Now along comes Larry who takes some hardass conservative stances and
>>>>>>his resume automatically gets circular-filed upon receipt too.
>>>>>
>>>>>But not for the stances. For the way they were expressed.
>>
>>No, the problem is "the stances."  Liberal, politically-correct stances
>>expressed with the same "addy-tood" are accepted without question,
>>if not praised.
>
>Where?

Jim:

Everywhere.

>>>>>As we say around here - "addy-tood"
>>
>>Funny Jim should mention that.  Early in my ham career, I made two
>>personal visits to ARRL HQ, in the Spring of '84 and '86, respectively.
>>Prior to arriving, I had expected a warm, friendly welcome extended
>>to a fellow amateur making his "pilgrimage" to ham radio "Mecca."
>>What I was greeted with was "addy-tood" all right!  The "addy-tood"
>>was "Who the Hell are YOU and what the Hell are YOU doing HERE???"
>>I was barely able to get past the recptionist at the main HQ building
>>when I first got there; I spent an hour and a half browsing through the
>>Museum before I was met by a staffer for a perfunctory "tour."  I
>>did get much better treatment from Chuck Bender at W1AW, and I
>>did get a full three hours of operating time which, of course, was my
```

>>whole purpose. However, the cold-as-death, bitter reception from
>>the HQ building really made a lifelong impression on me. Unfortunately,
>>I had heard virtually the exact same story come from other hams I'd
>>spoken to who had visited ARRL HQ before, and since my own
>>experiences. On my second visit, I just operated W1AW, and kept
>>my distance from the HQ Building, which seemed to have an
>>invisible "No Trespassing - Keep Out" sign posted on it.
>
>This is exactly the opposite of the reception I received in 1993.

For the sake of everything decent and holy, Jim, I certainly hope so!

>The XYL and I were vacationing in the area, and I convinced her to go to Hq.
>with me. She has no interest in ham radio, but figured it wouldn't be too
>boring. We were warmly received, and got a complete tour from Mike, WB8IMY (I
>think). Saw all the departments, chatted with VP Rinaldo, all sorts of stuff.
>Saw the lab and they apologized because Zack wasn't in that day. (I later met
>him at a hamfest). Spent quite a while at the office building - the XYL was
>fascinated and kept asking questions.
>
>Then we went over to W1AW and I operated the Ten Tec station. Had brought my
>bug and a collection of cables along "just in case" (....ze barracuda iz
>alwaze
>prepared....). Worked W3ANX on 40 CW. It was truly wonderful to sign the
>famous
>call "W1AW".
>
>The prevailing "addy-tood" was one of "WELCOME!!!".

Jim, nothing pleases me more that you and your XYL had a far different,
and much nicer, experience at ARRL than I did. I wouldn't wish what
happened to me on my worst enemy!

>>>>Jim:
>>>>
>>>>ARRL HQ will have to receive a resume from me before they can
>>>>consign it to the circular file. I sure hope they aren't holding their
>>>>breath...
>>>
>>>Last time I was there, nobody was turning blue....;-)
>>
>>I'm sure they aren't! I'm glad to hear that somewhere between arrogant,
>>cynical snarls made toward fellow hams, they manage to get a gulp of
>>air now and then!
>
>I didn't hear any "arrogant, cynical snarls" that day.

Apparently not. Again, I'm pleased to hear that you were treated much
better than I was.

>I later had reason to work with Al Brogdon, K3KMO, on a QST article (somebody
>had to edit my stuff, and he got short straw). Great guy to work with, even
>when we disagreed. Learned a lot about writing for publication from Al.

I had worked Al on HF CW many times while he was K3KMO (now W1AB).
I have his "Tuning the Band" QSL card. Nice chap. He had nothing to do
with ARRL HQ at the time of my visit, to my knowledge.

>>>But they sure were working hard doing good things for the ARS.
>>
>>I don't recall ever stating that they didn't. I'm not "anti-ARRL," just
>>permanently disenchanted with the personal treatment I'd received
>>from the HQ staff during my personal visits and in subsequent
>>meetings at Dayton, state Conventions, ARRL Forums, etc. I have
>>many more stories to tell along those lines, but don't wish to take
>>the time now.
>
>I've had exactly the opposite experience, with both past and present
>Directors
>and Vice Directors, Hq staff, and various appointees. Even when we disagreed,
>I
>always came away with the impression that I'd gotten a fair hearing. Also
>came
>away with a good understanding of the other side's position.

Fine, Jim, I'm truly pleased to hear this. Unfortunately for the ARRL, it
doesn't change anything about my first, and subsequent, impressions
of their attitude toward fellow hams. And, unfortunately, I've heard many
other hams relate stories that validate my own experience many more
times than I have heard positive comments such as yours. And I'm
not saying that a subsequent visit would have the same result -- in fact,
hopefully, some day I'll appear on ARRL HQ's doorstep asking if Ed
Hare can come out for a lobster dinner! However, my first impression
has been, as always, the most lasting, and I don't think I can forget it. A
positive experience in the future, one I haven't had yet, would go a long
way toward helping me to do so.

>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>>....which reminds me - I gotta get the picture of me operating W1AW with my
>>>personal Vibroplex Original bug enlarged and properly framed for the
>>>shack....
>>
>>I wish I would have thought to take a photo of my own operation at
>>W1AW. Funny they didn't think of it.
>
>I brought the camera and the XYL to take the photos. Gotta be prepared.

I'm sure it's a fine keepsake for you Jim.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 3965129107359502688
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit

X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:24:32 PST
Message-ID: <3B297F6C.48535EFC@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 22:22:20 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B27FF66.8858271C@IEEE.org> <20010614095012.22883.00002327@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992574936 64.240.47.49 (14 Jun 2001 22:15:36 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24248

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> I don't consider preventing accidents and other social problems as "a
> kick", Cecil.

If you are really into preventing accidents, Steve, then you should
advocate the outlawing of vehicles.

> Paying for sexual favors shouldn't be illegal either, but is. There are
> the same "it doesn't hurt anyone" arguments there, too.

It is legal in some places. Why is it good in some places and bad in
other places? What about gambling, Steve? Do you think gambling should
be illegal?

> I am not the one punishing anyone, Cecil. But I support laws that
> criminalize illicit drugs and abuse of "legal" drugs, including alcohol.

Har, har, if they weren't criminalized then they wouldn't be illicit.
That's called begging the question, Steve.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 6491602376193376332
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:26:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B297FE4.5988D1B@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 22:24:20 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B280271.47E1538D@IEEE.org> <20010614083323.03288.00000518@nso-cm.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992575054 64.240.47.49 (14 Jun 2001 22:17:34 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24249
```

N2EY wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >Why do you think the penalties are so much harsher for crack
> > cocaine than for powdered cocaine?

> Because crack cocaine is more harmful.

Why? Chemically, they are similar.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 7679177913512815369
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:27:47 PST
Message-ID: <3B298042.B3001030@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 22:25:54 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B280271.47E1538D@IEEE.org> <20010614095340.22883.00002329@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992575148 64.240.47.49 (14 Jun 2001 22:19:08 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24250

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 6/13/01 7:16 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >Nope, with freedom comes responsibility. Citizens should be held
> >responsible, including financially, for their actions.
>
>   Yes...they should....Unfortunately that is NOT the case.

Well then, let's fix *that* problem.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5415150252287080407
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:31:00 PST
Message-ID: <3B2980FF.E38EC7F1@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 22:29:03 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B2802E0.DA510E1A@IEEE.org> <20010613202852.13765.00001631@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <3B2841BE.64F80E0D@IEEE.org> <9gak2v$cj8$1@208.207.71.161>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992575344 64.240.47.49 (14 Jun 2001 22:22:24 -0500)
Lines: 25
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24251
```

Dick Carroll wrote:

> CAM wrote:
>
> > W1RFI wrote:
> > > I have never felt afraid that I will be mugged by an ice-cream junkie looking for his next fix.
> >
> > A mugging is a mugging, Ed. The cause is the mugger. The reason doesn't really matter.
>
> That's about the dumbest thing you've said yet. Without the reason no mugging would happen!

Why do you want to know the reason? Are you going to forgive one

mugger and punish another one? That's ridiculous! So are the "hate crime" laws. Am I any more dead if I am killed by a racist than if I am killed by a robber?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 5769170195187876114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:40:52 PST
Message-ID: <3B29834A.549457C0@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 22:38:50 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
References: <9g5asc$9tp$0@208.207.71.157> <20010614052731.04835.00001685@nso-fi.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992575926 64.240.47.49 (14 Jun 2001 22:32:06 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!newsfeed.berkeley.edu!ucberkeley!enews.sgi.com!newshub2.rdc1.sfba.home.com!news.home.com!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24252

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> To me, a "life" sentence means exactly that. The
> convict spends the rest of his natural life looking at concrete block
> walls and iron bars!

Larry, Ted Bundy murdered those Florida students after he escaped.

A life sentence means a lifetime to engineer an escape.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 8565302153038486645
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:44:06 PST
Message-ID: <3B298406.DBE5B40C@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 22:41:58 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
References: <TrJV6.107614$Be4.33732362@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010613180311.11293.00001436@ng-ce1.aol.com>
<ADTV6.113163$Be4.34901965@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B28426D.A9E1610B@IEEE.org>
<x%7W6.118451$Be4.36925149@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992576116 64.240.47.49 (14 Jun 2001 22:35:16 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24253

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
>
> Again, so would solitary confinement ...
> You can't blow up buildings from inside a jail cell ...

Ted Bundy murdered girls in Florida after he had been caught
for other murders. He'll never do that again.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 3842018867586165106
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:52:29 PST
Message-ID: <3B298600.5A2DFD8A@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 22:50:24 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B27C3E1.3305C90@IEEE.org> <20010613182409.11293.00001442@ng-
ce1.aol.com> <3B27FF66.8858271C@IEEE.org> <3B283038.858BE084@sccoast.net>
<3B2840F0.4C7980AF@IEEE.org> <3B297AFA.2B67D2F7@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992576623 64.240.47.49 (14 Jun 2001 22:43:43 -0500)
Lines: 19
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24254
```

Dwight Stewart wrote:

> And you've ignored my point - those problems will increase if drugs
> are legalized ...

I didn't ignore your point, I simply do not agree with it. There was a different set of problems under prohibition VS not under prohibition. I say the problems under prohibition were worse than the problems not under prohibition.

>   I'm going to do what most people do - resist police abuse where I
> find it. Whatever the case, eliminating laws (drug legalization) is

> not the solution to these problems.

Of course it is, Dwight. It worked for alcohol.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 4535246820464009948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 20:54:34 PST
Message-ID: <3B29866F.FC0001A1@IEEE.org>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 22:52:15 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
References: <9galj5$j8l$0@208.207.71.161> <20010614232051.03236.00002267@nso-fc.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.49
X-Trace: corp 992576738 64.240.47.49 (14 Jun 2001 22:45:38 -0500)
Lines: 11
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24255

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> The technology exists to safely and humanely incarcerate these people
> for their natural lifetimes, without any further danger to society as long as
> they ARE kept locked up.

It sure didn't work for Ted Bundy.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----

http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 9095950141266644994
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 21:07:35 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 04:01:39 GMT
Message-ID: <3b29884e.57723859@news2.dvol.com>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
<3B218D02.90D2E7E4@IEEE.org> <3b222675.204464640@news2.dvol.com>
<3B223A4A.CF018997@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.70
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.70
X-Trace: 14 Jun 2001 22:56:38 -0500, 64.24.19.70
Lines: 28
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feeder.qis.net!washdc3-snh1.gtei.net!
chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24256

On Sat, 09 Jun 2001 10:01:30 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>>
>> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>>
>> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>> >>
>> >> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> >> >Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
>> >> >
>> >> They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL
>> >
>> >My parents in their late 80s are CBers, Brian. You think they are demons?
>> >
>> I've operated on 27mHz, I'm not a demon either. That's not the point
>> and you know it.
>
>That's *MY* point, Brian. Demonizing *ALL* CBers is just as bad as racism
>or sexism.
>
Fine, now direct it at sombody who demonizes all CBers - I don't.
```

>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 4499988903337029985
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 02:22:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 09:22:27 GMT
References: <9gbsip$636$0@208.207.71.151>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Message-ID: <20010615052227.14903.00001890@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24257
```

>By far that (27 mHz) is and long has
>been the source of almost all the negative influence on ham radio.

Now Dick, I KNOW I'm not reading this correctly. Are you blaming ALL of the negative elements of amateur radio on the shoulders of those occupying approximately 550 kcs of "the megacycle above 27?" What a cop out!

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

```
From 9071097331599444204
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 02:35:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!wn1feed!worldnet.att.net!135.173.83.71!
wnfilter1!worldnet-localpost!bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net.POSTED!not-for-
mail
From: "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ADTV6.113163$Be4.34901965@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010614093504.22883.00002325@ng-ci1.aol.com>
<J_7W6.118449$Be4.36924004@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9gbsoo$636$1@208.207.71.151>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Lines: 21
```

```
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4133.2400
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4133.2400
Message-ID: <PFkW6.82599$4f7.6626281@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 09:35:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.75.102.7
X-Complaints-To: abuse@worldnet.att.net
X-Trace: bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net 992597743 12.75.102.7 (Fri, 15 Jun
2001 09:35:43 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 09:35:43 GMT
Organization: AT&T Worldnet
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24258


"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:9gbsoo$636$1@208.207.71.151...
...
>
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> >
> > So would solitary confinement ...
> >

> Yep. Until some bleeding heart turned him loose on the populace again,
> to do it again

Several states, Wisconsin for one cause I lived there, have handled 'life
without parole' for a lot of years.  WI hanged the wrong guy about 1852 and
has not done it since.  While it is something of a chicken and egg problem
the states without the death penalty in general have the lower murder rates.

Dick N�BK




From -5914151686906123981
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 06:57:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 09:57:54 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tik5364b3s2d9e@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tihq92b82vde25@corp.supernews.com> <20010614181650.04840.00000098@ng-
ck1.news.cs.com>
X-Priority: 3
```

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 66
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24259

"Bert Craig" <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010614181650.04840.00000098@ng-ck1.news.cs.com...

> Leland, I surely don't like em'...but I've got to admit, they're not all
"Class
> C." The guys that run the so-called "competition" garbage run extremely
dirty
> Class C junk. (X-Force, Dave Made, Messenger, etc.)

I know that.

> Some of the more serious
> fellows run the AB-1 stuff on sideband. (Texas Star, Skywalker, etc.)

My brother who is a Ham used one of those with is 10m export rig for mobile operations for a while. Found out those "brands" are not exactly "clean" either. They are definitely better than the other junk, but they still needed external filters. He just got a new 706G at Dayton so he doesn't need the old 10m rig and amplifier any more.

> Please remember that although I'm aknowledging the distinction between the
two,
> I'm in no way condoning the operation of illegal amplifiers on 11 meters.
I
> just want to make sure you don't paint em' all (legal CBers or
"freebanders")
> with the same "www.bigradios.com mentality" brush. Leland, we both know we
> disagree but I hope you know where I'm coming from. I certainly try to
> understand your points of view as well. Thanks.

Of course not. I have met a few good CB operators. The problem is the ones you see on the above web site gives every one a bad rap. I remember the time my brother was engaged in a QSO on 10m, and was using his Kenwood TL amplifier for DX, when some yo-yo interrupted right in the middle. This guy then proceeded to bitch about him interfering with him! This guy never gave a callsign, but my brother definitely did recognize the voice as belonging to a local CBer a mile or two away. He has had several problems with this guy, and one other, before. The reason why he knows this guy is my brother used to be a CBer too until about 3 or 4 years ago. He's now a General Class Ham.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

```
From -3326892328644593775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 07:01:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-
post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 10:01:34 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tik5a7cccc9k17@corp.supernews.com>
References: <20010613212614.20559.00000840@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
<20010614200241.27305.00001616@ng-fp1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 26
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24260
```

"Mark Pearsal" <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010614200241.27305.00001616@ng-fp1.aol.com...
> >SSB rather than spending $1400+ for the new ICOM 910H. I do like that new
> >Ten-Tec though, I'll wait and see how it fares when it's put through it's
> >paces.
>
> Good for you, Bert. I was this close to laying that 910H down on plastic.

I'm
> glad I waited, I too am excited with thw new Ten-Tec offering.

I think the 910H is over priced for what you get. If you don't need 1.2GHz
you can spend the same amount of money on a Yaesu FT-847 which will give you
far more bang for the buck.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
 me running, try to keep up. "

```
From -2272000501159844257
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 07:05:02 PST
Message-ID: <3B2A159E.6583A80E@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 09:03:10 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
<3B218D02.90D2E7E4@IEEE.org> <3b222675.204464640@news2.dvol.com>
<3B223A4A.CF018997@IEEE.org> <3b29884e.57723859@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp 992613385 64.240.47.66 (15 Jun 2001 08:56:25 -0500)
Lines: 33
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
```

```
news.ems.psu.edu!news.ceinetworks.com!washdc3-snf1!news.gtei.net!newsfeed.utk.edu!
local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24261

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>
> On Sat, 09 Jun 2001 10:01:30 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
> wrote:
>
> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >>
> >> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >>
> >> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
> >> >> >Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
> >> >> >
> >> >> They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL
> >> >
> >> >My parents in their late 80s are CBers, Brian. You think they are demons?
> >> >
> >> I've operated on 27mHz, I'm not a demon either. That's not the point
> >> and you know it.
> >
> >That's *MY* point, Brian. Demonizing *ALL* CBers is just as bad as racism
> >or sexism.
> >
> Fine, now direct it at sombody who demonizes all CBers - I don't.

When I talked about "all CBers" being demonized, you said, "They did
it to themselves ..." What does that imply?
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1148629653788389201
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 07:14:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 14:14:11 GMT
References: <3B297F6C.48535EFC@IEEE.org>
```

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010615101411.27479.00001683@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24262

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 6/14/01 10:22 PM Central Daylight Time

>If you are really into preventing accidents, Steve, then you should
>advocate the outlawing of vehicles.

Sure. OK..Let's do it.

>It is legal in some places. Why is it good in some places and bad in
>other places?

Depends on what place you want to have the sex in whether it's good or bad, Cecil! =)

>Har, har, if they weren't criminalized then they wouldn't be illicit.
>That's called begging the question, Steve.

Semantics poorly argued, Cecil.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -8642886272984744860
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 07:15:30 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 10:15:28 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tik64233o996e0@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tihq13qapljucc@corp.supernews.com> <20010614191210.27305.00001603@ng-fp1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 50

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24263

"Mark Pearsal" <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010614191210.27305.00001603@ng-fp1.aol.com...
>
> I've never been to a "Keydown." If you've read my posts in the past,
(...and I
> assume you have since you've replied to many of them.) you know that I
have
> indeed "freebanded" for a ten year stretch. I operated exclusively on
sideband
> and felt no need to run more than the 30 watts my AR-3500 generated. I
think
> the problem here is the generalization you make in believing that
> www.bigradios.com is representative of all "freebanders." Feel free to
disagree
> but I assure you, it just is not so...and I speak from first hand
experience,
> not supposition.

I hope I never said "all freebanders" but I do feel that many of them like
the thrill of it. I know one who has been busted twice by the local FCC
office, and he still tries to operate by doing so mobile only. He thinks
he's playing a game with the FCC, "I dare you to catch me again", attitude.
Particularly when he talks about the FCC field engineer trying to follow him
around. Go figure. Several of us Hams keep offering to help him get his Ham
ticket, but nope, he likes what he's doing. I'm sure there are many more
like him out there. How many there are I would agree is anybody's guess.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From 5764861638590717869
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 07:19:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 23
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 14:19:01 GMT
References: <3B297FE4.5988D1B@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010615101901.27479.00001684@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24264

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 6/14/01 10:24 PM Central Daylight Time

>> Because crack cocaine is more harmful.

>Why? Chemically, they are similar.

"Similar" in chemistry is NOT the same. Take H2O and add an extra oxygen atom and you change water to Hydrogen Peroxide. The Germans used it as rocket fuel oxidizer in stronger concentrations.

Want a glassful of that "straght up" or "on the rocks", Cecil...?!?!

Why not...?!?! They are "simialr"...!!!

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 1310316847067823656
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 07:27:55 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news.uchicago.edu!newsswitch.lcs.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-

mail
Lines: 28
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 14:27:47 GMT
References: <x%7W6.118451$Be4.36925149@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Message-ID: <20010615102747.27479.00001685@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24265

>Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 6/14/01 2:11 PM Central Daylight Time

>You can't blow up buildings from inside a jail cell .

Maybe not directly, however Mafia Don's have "run" thier "businesses" from inside prison....I see no reason why McVeigh and his ilk couldn't plan other bombings with him "inside".

Unfortunately, McVeigh set himself up as a martyr for his twisted cause. Imagine what might have happened had he been kept alive and allowed to communicate with others.

And before you remind me that the parameter set was "solitary confinement", I remind YOU that despite the occassional use of the death penalty, the United States remains more liberal than not, and it wouldn't be long before "exceptions" would be made for him by such groups as the ACLU and other "rights" organizations.

From 6234825371769708819
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 07:51:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 15 Jun 2001 14:51:43 GMT

Organization: hardly any
Lines: 23
Message-ID: <9gd7dv$o4t$0@208.206.142.87>
References: <3B2802E0.DA510E1A@IEEE.org> <20010613202852.13765.00001631@ng-fl1.aol.com> <3B2841BE.64F80E0D@IEEE.org> <9gak2v$cj8$1@208.207.71.161> <3B2980FF.E38EC7F1@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24266

CAM wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > >
> > > W1RFI wrote:
> > > > I have never felt afraid that I will be mugged by an
> > > > ice-cream junkie looking for his next fix.
> > >
> > > A mugging is a mugging, Ed. The cause is the mugger. The reason
> > > doesn't really matter.
> >
> > That's about the dumbest thing you've said yet. Without the reason no
> > mugging would happen!
>
> Why do you want to know the reason?

Are you really that dense? Remove the reason and you eliminate the act.

From 386361656965356967
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 07:55:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Date: 15 Jun 2001 14:55:45 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9gd7lh$qci$0@208.206.142.87>

References: <9g5asc$9tp$0@208.207.71.157> <20010614052731.04835.00001685@nso-fi.aol.com> <3B29834A.549457C0@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24267

CAM wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > To me, a "life" sentence means exactly that. The
> > convict spends the rest of his natural life looking at concrete block
> > walls and iron bars!
>
> Larry, Ted Bundy murdered those Florida students after he escaped.
> A life sentence means a lifetime to engineer an escape.

Paradoxically, Larry wizhes to depend on technology to solve problems that are wholly human in nature. Technology is poor at providing 100% solutions to human problems. Any life sentence is subject to society's ability to contain the subject for life. Any flaw in that scenario causes it to break down, allowing the prisoner to go free and repeat, which is exactly what happens all too often.

From 8574872307518102687
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 07:55:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 14:55:12 GMT
References: <20010614192918.27305.00001613@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010615105512.11408.00005265@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24268

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
>Date: 6/14/01 6:29 PM Central Daylight Time

>Were you being facetious or is this indeed your
>view of a viable possibility?

Interesting...NOT likely. Wayne Green perceived such an occassion during the "Class E" CB fiasco. Never happened...Not even remotely.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -2683547475375047478
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 08:02:19 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newspeer.monmouth.com!news.lightlink.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: 15 Jun 2001 15:01:18 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 19
Message-ID: <9gd7vu$r6b$0@208.206.142.87>
References: <9gbsip$636$0@208.207.71.151> <20010615052227.14903.00001890@ng-bh1.news.cs.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24269

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >By far that (27 mHz) is and long has
> >been the source of almost all the negative influence on ham radio.
>
> Now Dick, I KNOW I'm not reading this correctly. Are you blaming ALL of the
> negative elements of amateur radio on the shoulders of those occupying

> approximately 550 kcs of "the megacycle above 27?" What a cop out!

Call it what you wll. I'm saying that before CB got taken over by the
lawless element there were very few such problems on the ham bands. Once
the FCC showed that it was going to just ignore profanity and all the
other illegal operation that they outlaws started up on 27 mHz, the same
sort of problems began to appear in significant quantity on the ham
bands. If you choose to reject this fact, that's your copout, not mine.
I was there watching and listening.

```
From 5090540930781814563
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 08:06:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
cyclone.bc.net!cyclone-sjo1.usenetserver.com!news-out-sjo.usenetserver.com!
news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Date: 15 Jun 2001 15:06:39 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 31
Message-ID: <9gd89v$rrv$0@208.206.142.87>
References: <ADTV6.113163$Be4.34901965@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010614093504.22883.00002325@ng-ci1.aol.com>
<J_7W6.118449$Be4.36924004@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <9gbsoo$636$1@208.207.71.151>
<PFkW6.82599$4f7.6626281@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24270
```

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:9gbsoo$636$1@208.207.71.151...
> ...
> >
> > "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > >
> > > > So would solitary confinement ...
> > >
>
> > Yep. Until some bleeding heart turned him loose on the populace again,

> > to do it again
>
> Several states, Wisconsin for one cause I lived there, have handled 'life
> without parole' for a lot of years. WI hanged the wrong guy about 1852 and
> has not done it since. While it is something of a chicken and egg problem
> the states without the death penalty in general have the lower murder rates.

You know where I spent my working career, and I can't begin to recall all the cases I came across where a convicted murderer was eventually freed and did it all over again. I do remember a few specific cases clearly.

I guess when one is very familiar with the reality of this sort of sitiatuon one views it differently than if he just reads the morning paper. I know that a high percentage of murderers are recidivists. Seems to take a specific sort of personality to do that sort of thing, as a general rule. Ted Bundy was just one of the very worst.

From -1700442609235650790
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 08:11:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 85
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 15:11:27 GMT
References: <20010614232051.03236.00002267@nso-fc.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Message-ID: <20010615111127.11408.00005267@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24271

>Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 6/14/01 10:20 PM Central Daylight Time

>The technology exists to safely and humanely incarcerate these people
>for their natural lifetimes, without any further danger to society as long as they ARE kept locked up.

(1) Thereby vicitmizing society as a whole...by paying to keep them alive to the tune of (depending on whose numbers you believe) $15K to $25K a year each...More for those of notoriety who require greater security.

(2) They never escape?

>If our "system" is dumb enough to let them

>go, for whatever reason, then we need to address THAT issue, and not
>substitute reason with state-sponsored murder.

Then how do we "address" the parents of yet ANOTHER dead child when we say "Well gee whiz Mr and Mrs America...we THOUGHT we had him locked up tight..."

>I have no doubt that death sentences are given out only in the most
>egregious cases of capital murder.

Then it shouldn't matter. Would you invite these creeps to dinner?

Would you invite them to move next door in the remote circumstance that they were paroled after a change of "policy"...?!?!

>I'm sure that in a lot of those cases,
>the subjects are vicious, unrepentant killers like Mc Veigh. Unfortunately,
>this does not give a modern, progressive society like ours an excuse to
>engage in Medieval punitive practices.

Sure it does.

>No matter how painless and
>efficient we make the process of extinguishing a convict's life, the plain
>truth is that we are defying any reasonable standard of advanced,
>decent societal comportment by doing so.

"Decent societal comportment..."...?!?!

LOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOLOL

McVeigh got it easy. He shudda been the guy with the dry sponge in the movie "The Green Mile".

>I have no problem with a
>police officer using deadly force to prevent a criminal from injuring or
>killing an innocent victim, or with any law-abiding citizen to be able to
>do the same in order to protect his own home and family.

But Larry...using your "Decent societal comportment" theory, we SHOULD use some other form of weapon. Firearms shouldn't be used, period, if we accept your premise. Of course, we KNOW who would have the weapons then.

>But once
>the immediate danger of harm from a violent criminal is over, and that
>person is under physical control, there is no longer any possible
>justification for taking his life.

As long as murderous scum like McVeigh are alive, they will be a danger, regardless of under whose roof they are at any given moment.

An irony that has not escaped me, however, is that some OTHER deranged idiot will pull off a copy cat "attack" next June 11th to "avenge" McVeigh. Other than further decimate our society, it will also give furhter creedence to those who advocate stonger government control over our lives and firearms ownership.

One of McVeigh's "beefs" was his perception of the government's attempt to curb weapons ownership. It will be ironically fitting that his act of terrorism will only potentiate those who wish to see it happen.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From 5680321736156948977
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,733cc12abcb67c06,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 08:35:40 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 15:35:10 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Just got Back
Message-ID: <20010615113510.11842.00004281@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24272

Just got back from Conn, what a worthless State, drove by W1AW would have stopped but they were closed,Ed those empty beer bottles in the Yard are from me go pick them up?
So what has Dippy Steveie been whinning about?

From -4184497381959251114
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 08:37:00 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!news.alt.net!
pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 15 Jun 2001 15:36:59 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 18
Message-ID: <9gda2r$389$0@208.206.142.87>
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
<3B218D02.90D2E7E4@IEEE.org> <3b222675.204464640@news2.dvol.com>
<3B223A4A.CF018997@IEEE.org> <3b29884e.57723859@news2.dvol.com>
<3B2A159E.6583A80E@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.87
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24273

CAM wrote:
> xism.
> > >
> > Fine, now direct it at sombody who demonizes all CBers - I don't.
>
> When I talked about "all CBers" being demonized, you said, "They did
> it to themselves ..." What does that imply?
>

You can cease your manipulative BS anytime now, Cecil, you've been ID'd long ago at it. It simply means that to whatever extent that CBers have been demonized, they did it to themselves. Brian has said he has used Cb. I have said I have used Cb. We both know from experience what so many Cbers have done to "demonize"(your word) CB. You know it too, much as you avoid it.

From -6615937289913414049
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,141b42fb1c77bd86,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 08:40:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 15:39:51 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Just checked QRZ

Message-ID: <20010615113951.11842.00004282@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24274

Steve just checked QRZ again, dont cry Stevie its still there. Stevie when I went to Conn I flew over your QTH, and as I did I used the restroom, at the precise time we were over your QTH I flushed, did you get it, it had your name all over it.

From 3101782001227826145
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 08:48:43 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "R.L. Tannehill, P.E." <rickw7rt@firstinter.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 08:46:57 -0700
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <3B2A2DF1.BAD11181@firstinter.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.7 [en] (Win95; I)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
References: <3B24C2C2.DC6BC59A@earthlink.net> <20010611191152.09730.00003560@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 26
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24275

W1RFI wrote:
>
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >Apparently the board is hoping to take its cue from the political animals in
> >Washington by use of an inordinate amount of mumbo-jumbo.
>
> To me, it is a lot more apparent that the League believes it can better plan a
> position wrt Morse code testing after the international issues are settled when
> it knows exactly how those international issues will be settled and what rules
> changes are actually put on the plate.
>
> The closest I think they will come to a balancing act is that I can't imagine
> that ARRL will initiate the request for rulemaking. :-)

There are other organizations I know of that will take care of that :_)

Rick Tannehill

W7RT

>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1838101331336975405
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 08:49:06 PST
Message-ID: <3B2A2E04.8C1DB173@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 10:47:16 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
References: <9gbsip$636$0@208.207.71.151> <20010615052227.14903.00001890@ng-
bh1.news.cs.com> <9gd7vu$r6b$0@208.206.142.87>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp 992619633 64.240.47.66 (15 Jun 2001 10:40:33 -0500)
Lines: 23
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24276
```

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Call it what you wll. I'm saying that before CB got taken over by the
> lawless element there were very few such problems on the ham bands.

Correlation is a strange thing, Dick. As the church membership goes up,
so does the prison population. Following your above logic, church
membership causes crime.

Moral: That there exists a correlation doesn't mean that there is a
causal relationship. You can also correlate the present day drug problems
with the lawlessness on CB. Did CBers cause the drug problems? You can also

correlate your age with the CB problems. Did your aging cause the problems
on CB?

The cumulative number of human beings in space correlates to the CB
problems. Did the astronauts cause the CB problems?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 856783285444534552
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 08:52:45 PST
Message-ID: <3B2A2EDE.518ABD53@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 10:50:54 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
<3B218D02.90D2E7E4@IEEE.org> <3b222675.204464640@news2.dvol.com>
<3B223A4A.CF018997@IEEE.org> <3b29884e.57723859@news2.dvol.com>
<3B2A159E.6583A80E@IEEE.org> <9gda2r$389$0@208.206.142.87>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp 992619847 64.240.47.66 (15 Jun 2001 10:44:07 -0500)
Lines: 17
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24277

Dick Carroll wrote:
>

> CAM wrote:
> > When I talked about "all CBers" being demonized, you said, "They did
> > it to themselves ..." What does that imply?
>
> We both know from experience what so
> many Cbers have done to "demonize"(your word) CB. You know it too, much
> as you avoid it.

Good to see you change the subject from "all CBers" to "many CBers".
Try to be that truthful in the future.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -6260900199880742367
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 08:57:17 PST
Message-ID: <3B2A2FE7.FC0928A3@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 10:55:19 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B297FE4.5988D1B@IEEE.org> <20010615101901.27479.00001684@ng-
ct1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp 992620114 64.240.47.66 (15 Jun 2001 10:48:34 -0500)
Lines: 26
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24278
```

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>

> >Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
> >From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
> >Date: 6/14/01 10:24 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >> Because crack cocaine is more harmful.
>
> >Why? Chemically, they are similar.
>
> "Similar" in chemistry is NOT the same. Take H2O and add an extra oxygen
> atom and you change water to Hydrogen Peroxide. The Germans used it as rocket
> fuel oxidizer in stronger concentrations.

I'm not an expert on cocaine but I believe that crack cocaine is just crystallized powered cocaine. I should have said "quite similar". The real-world reason that crack cocaine has higher penalties than powered cocaine is racism. The real reason that marijuana was made illegal in the Southwestern states was to try to control the citizens of Mexico.

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -5962396417439252826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 08:59:26 PST
Message-ID: <3B2A3072.6F5EC067@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 10:57:38 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B2802E0.DA510E1A@IEEE.org> <20010613202852.13765.00001631@ng-
fl1.aol.com> <3B2841BE.64F80E0D@IEEE.org> <9gak2v$cj8$1@208.207.71.161>
<3B2980FF.E38EC7F1@IEEE.org> <9gd7dv$o4t$0@208.206.142.87>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.66
X-Trace: corp 992620251 64.240.47.66 (15 Jun 2001 10:50:51 -0500)
Lines: 12
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
```

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24279

Dick Carroll wrote:
> Are you really that dense? Remove the reason and you eliminate the act.

So you can eliminate all muggings simply by knowing the reasons?
Get real, Dick. I doubt that you can eliminate a single mugging.

A murdered person is *DEAD* no matter what the reason.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -4305141659451053955
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 21:45:34 PST
Message-ID: <3B2840F0.4C7980AF@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 23:43:28 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B27C3E1.3305C90@IEEE.org> <20010613182409.11293.00001442@ng-ce1.aol.com> <3B27FF66.8858271C@IEEE.org> <3B283038.858BE084@sccoast.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp 992493402 64.240.47.128 (13 Jun 2001 23:36:42 -0500)
Lines: 33
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!

feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24179

Dwight Stewart wrote:
> Well, you're consistent, Cecil. We "discussed" drugs just a few
> weeks ago and you're still using the exact same arguments.

I haven't changed my mind. The drug war is immoral.

> In
> each case, the problems are more than we would want to deal with in
> this society.

The problems are already there in spades.

> In other words, it would not benefit society to increase
> the problems we already have by adding legalized marijuana to those problems.

Nothing could be worse than the present conditions where a guy who is going to pay cash for a motorcycle has his cash confiscated by the police who refuse to return it.

> Anyone who truly cares about this country, and it's people,
> would be appalled by the idea.

So what are you going to do when the police get the address wrong, break down your front door, and shoot you? (Like they did in Pasadena, TX). What are you going to do when the police frame you so they can seize your property under the RICO (sp?) laws like they did in CA?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

---

From 5732355306395537593
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 21:48:45 PST
Message-ID: <3B2841BE.64F80E0D@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 23:46:54 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B2802E0.DA510E1A@IEEE.org> <20010613202852.13765.00001631@ng-fl1.aol.com>

```
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
X-Trace: corp 992493608 64.240.47.128 (13 Jun 2001 23:40:08 -0500)
Lines: 13
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24180

W1RFI wrote:
> I have never felt afraid that I will be mugged by an
> ice-cream junkie looking for his next fix.

A mugging is a mugging, Ed. The cause is the mugger. The reason
doesn't really matter.
--
cheers, Cecil


-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----==  Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----


From -8169977007240558746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-13 21:51:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B28426D.A9E1610B@IEEE.org>
Date: Wed, 13 Jun 2001 23:49:49 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
References: <TrJV6.107614$Be4.33732362@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010613180311.11293.00001436@ng-ce1.aol.com>
<ADTV6.113163$Be4.34901965@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.128
```

X-Trace: corp 992493782 64.240.47.128 (13 Jun 2001 23:43:02 -0500)
Lines: 9
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!out.nntp.be!propagator-dallas!news-in-dallas.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24181

"Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> How did killing him make those (168) people any better

It kept him from making it 336.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6982801349110806164
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 01:17:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 17
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 08:16:56 GMT
References: <20010612053821.29552.00000531@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614041656.04835.00001680@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24182

In article <20010612053821.29552.00000531@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>

>>ARRL HQ will have to receive a resume from me before they can
>>consign it to the circular file. I sure hope they aren't holding their
>>breath...
>
>Larry, I can offer you an insider's view on that one. They are not.

Ed:

Well, that's a relief! If I move anywhere, it will be further West and further South. ARRL HQ is just in the wrong direction for me!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 8499470629806058723
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 01:17:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 08:16:54 GMT
References: <20010606194503.10232.00001957@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke): Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010614041654.04835.00001676@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24183

In article <20010606194503.10232.00001957@ng-cu1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>
>> The "multiple guess" tests are a different story.
>
>The multiple guess tests are about to be history.

Yeah -- now prospective Generals and Extras are going to have to sweat out a fill-in-the-blank test after the 5 WPM code session! Oh, the humanity! Better have EMT's and a defibrillator stationed at each and every test site!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -6597542226172520272
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 01:17:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 08:16:55 GMT
References: <20010612053248.29552.00000528@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614041655.04835.00001678@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24184

In article <20010612053248.29552.00000528@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) writes:

>>If the ARRL HQ staff wishes to ignore a significant source of amateur radio
>>opinion, then I guess there isn't much I can do for them.
>
>Hmmm, it would appear that you are talking about me here, Larry.
>
>Do you think I ignore you by responding to many of your posts, or does that
>word have a special meaning to you?

Ed:

Don't look now, but you mentioned that you are, to your knowledge, the only ARRL staffer who reads/participates in this newsgroup. I was referring to them, not you personally. I apologize for any misunderstanding.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 1213422621621081591
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 01:17:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 25
X-Admin: news@aol.com

```
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 08:16:56 GMT
References: <20010612053438.29552.00000529@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614041656.04835.00001679@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24186

In article <20010612053438.29552.00000529@ng-mb1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>
>>If any ARRL HQ staffer writes to me and personally expresses his/her
>>views about the code testing requirements, or lack thereof, I will thank
>>them for their time, and gladly give an honest and heartfelt reply.
>
>I don't recall that you have ever thanked me, or anyone here, for expressing
>my
>views about code-testing requirements, Larry...
>
>73,
>Ed Hare, W1RFI
>

How horribly remiss of me.  Here goes:

I hereby thank you, Ed, and everyone else who participates in this
newsgroup, for expressing your views about code-testing requirements --
whatever they may be.  I look forward to reading your comments on
this and other subjects in the future.  Please keep them coming!

73 de Larry, K3LT



From 3012651008372081826
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 01:17:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 21
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 08:16:57 GMT
References: <3B25FD1D.3947127@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
```

Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010614041657.04835.00001681@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24187

In article <3B25FD1D.3947127@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>> I'd really love to taste that $2 cuppa joe -- must be something out of
>> this world!
>
>It used to be a nickle. Now there are many Starbucks selections
>over $2. But people pay a buck for a pint of water now.

Cecil:

I guess that's the difference between me and the people who make people who run Starbucks rich. I can't see the point of paying over $2.00 for ANY cup of coffee, or a buck for ANY pint of water. The only "spring water" I drink is that which is provided free of charge in my company's employee lounge. I occasionally buy a medium coffee at Mc Donald's, but that's only 89�, so it falls under the magic $1.00 figure. What the country really needs is a good one dollar gallon of gasoline!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -5625889243043662652
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 01:17:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!cyclone2.usenetserver.com!news-out.usenetserver.com!
portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 22
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 08:16:55 GMT
References: <3B25FC8E.B55AC822@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010614041655.04835.00001677@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24185

In article <3B25FC8E.B55AC822@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>

```
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> I'm going to assume through your usually slippery answer that you
>> can't find the requested quote.
>
>It's true that you never used the word "sacred" to describe Morse
>code testing but it seems obvious to me from what you have posted
>that you do hold Morse code testing to be sacred.

Cecil:

I only hold sacred things that are deserving of being held sacred.  Nothing
about ham radio comes close to being sacred with me.  I hold things
like life, family, God, and country sacred.  The Morse code is something
I find useful and an essential skill for a radio amateur, but it isn't even
close to "sacred" in my book.  You really need to stop making such
outlandish pronouncements.

73 de Larry, K3LT




From 994929370170101535
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 02:16:08 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!postnews1.google.com!not-for-mail
From: mark@brigadoon.apana.org.au (Mark Little)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Date: 14 Jun 2001 02:16:08 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 11
Message-ID: <55ae748b.0106140116.4e8a1bb8@posting.google.com>
References: <20010611101800.07092.00000658@ng-me1.aol.com>
<3B252605.9D2CD4C3@ptd.net> <tiac9s8ud7s838@corp.supernews.com>
<4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC809152CCA@www.premiersi.com>
<9g862d$2ng$1@usenet01.srv.cis.pitt.edu> <3B27C5DE.61358CF4@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 203.166.57.9
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 992510168 18902 127.0.0.1 (14 Jun 2001 09:16:08 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-support@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 14 Jun 2001 09:16:08 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24188

CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message news:<3B27C5DE.61358CF4@IEEE.org>...
> GregS wrote:
> > When you hate sombody, kill them.
> > Thats the universal answer.
>
> Nope, eye for an eye, that's the universal answer.
```

Unfortunately, that is the very same premise under which McViegh justified his attack, his "universal answer".

Mark.

From 673342876065951187
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 02:27:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 09:27:31 GMT
References: <9g5asc$9tp$0@208.207.71.157>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Message-ID: <20010614052731.04835.00001685@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24189

In article <9g5asc$9tp$0@208.207.71.157>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> writes:

>
>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>
> killing murderers doesn't seem to bring an end to
>> the supply. Why is that?
>
>
> Ah, but it DOES, as far as the late example is concerned.
> It always amazes me to read of someone who has been convicted of
>murder, sentenced to life or some very lengthy sentence who, upon being
>paroled in a few short years, murders again. You find that common with
>serial murderers. Putting their lights out at least assures *they* won't
>do it again. Nothing else seems capable of assuring that.

Dick:

Well, DUH!!! To me, a "life" sentence means exactly that. The convict spends the rest of his natural life looking at concrete block walls and iron bars! The only way he gets out is to be taken to whatever burial place he is entitled to when he croaks from natural causes after a lifetime of spending 23 hours a day in a 6 x 8 cell. No parole, ever! I assure you, THAT was one punishment Mc Veigh never would have wished on himself -- yet we were so accommodating in making sure he didn't have it imposed upon him.

Capital Punishment just gives the victims a chance to "get even"
by taking their own turn at killing another fellow human being.
Anyone with a scintilla of humanity in them would be appalled.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -3379199048372321455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 02:27:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 65
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 09:27:32 GMT
References: <20010612210429.12553.00004702@nso-ck.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614052732.04835.00001686@nso-fi.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24190

In article <20010612210429.12553.00004702@nso-ck.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>>>Now along comes Larry who takes some hardass conservative stances and
>>>>his resume automatically gets circular-filed upon receipt too.
>>>
>>>But not for the stances. For the way they were expressed.

No, the problem is "the stances." Liberal, politically-correct stances
expressed with the same "addy-tood" are accepted without question,
if not praised.

>>>As we say around here - "addy-tood"

Funny Jim should mention that. Early in my ham career, I made two
personal visits to ARRL HQ, in the Spring of '84 and '86, respectively.
Prior to arriving, I had expected a warm, friendly welcome extended
to a fellow amateur making his "pilgrimage" to ham radio "Mecca."
What I was greeted with was "addy-tood" all right! The "addy-tood"
was "Who the Hell are YOU and what the Hell are YOU doing HERE???"
I was barely able to get past the recptionist at the main HQ building
when I first got there; I spent an hour and a half browsing through the

Museum before I was met by a staffer for a perfunctory "tour." I
did get much better treatment from Chuck Bender at W1AW, and I
did get a full three hours of operating time which, of course, was my
whole purpose. However, the cold-as-death, bitter reception from
the HQ building really made a lifelong impression on me. Unfortunately,
I had heard virtually the exact same story come from other hams I'd
spoken to who had visited ARRL HQ before, and since my own
experiences. On my second visit, I just operated W1AW, and kept
my distance from the HQ Building, which seemed to have an
invisible "No Trespassing - Keep Out" sign posted on it.

>>Jim:
>>
>>ARRL HQ will have to receive a resume from me before they can
>>consign it to the circular file. I sure hope they aren't holding their
>>breath...
>
>Last time I was there, nobody was turning blue....;-)

I'm sure they aren't! I'm glad to hear that somewhere between arrogant,
cynical snarls made toward fellow hams, they manage to get a gulp of
air now and then!
>
>But they sure were working hard doing good things for the ARS.

I don't recall ever stating that they didn't. I'm not "anti-ARRL," just
permanently disenchanted with the personal treatment I'd received
from the HQ staff during my personal visits and in subsequent
meetings at Dayton, state Conventions, ARRL Forums, etc. I have
many more stories to tell along those lines, but don't wish to take
the time now.

>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>....which reminds me - I gotta get the picture of me operating W1AW with my
>personal Vibroplex Original bug enlarged and properly framed for the
>shack....

I wish I would have thought to take a photo of my own operation at
W1AW. Funny they didn't think of it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -1765553807184378892
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 03:17:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 26
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 10:16:53 GMT
References: <20010614052732.04835.00001686@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614061653.29552.00000789@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24191

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>No, the problem is "the stances." Liberal, politically-correct stances
>expressed with the same "addy-tood" are accepted without question,
>if not praised.

No, it the attitude. As I said before, I couldn't imagine a certain well-known NCTA who no longer posts here being hired by ARRL. As I said before, a fellow like N2EY would probably succeed quite well at ARRL HQ. Jim and I disagree about code testing, as do I and at least one guy in the ARRL Lab. I could care less; the guys in the Lab are able to keep civil tongues in their head and have the technical qualifications that are needed.

>On my second visit, I just operated W1AW, and kept
>my distance from the HQ Building, which seemed to have an
>invisible "No Trespassing - Keep Out" sign posted on it.

Odd. Most people I have met that have visited HQ came away with a more positive impression. Maybe they approached it with a good attitude. :-)

How about it -- anyone else visit HQ and want to share your impressions of the visit?

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -6122653319015836598
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 03:18:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 10:18:22 GMT
References: <20010614041656.04835.00001679@nso-fi.aol.com>

Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614061822.29552.00000790@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24192

>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>How horribly remiss of me. Here goes:

>I hereby thank you, Ed, and everyone else who participates in this
>newsgroup, for expressing your views about code-testing requirements --
>whatever they may be. I look forward to reading your comments on
>this and other subjects in the future. Please keep them coming!

You are welcome, Larry. I will keep posting here for as long as I can take the heat. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

From 5046615658393641594
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 03:20:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 10:20:35 GMT
References: <20010614041655.04835.00001678@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614062035.29552.00000791@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24193

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Don't look now, but you mentioned that you are, to your knowledge,
>the only ARRL staffer who reads/participates in this newsgroup. I
>was referring to them, not you personally. I apologize for any
>misunderstanding.

Well, if I read what you want people to swallow, Larry, you offer that I am the officially appointed spokesperson for ARRL policy. The fact that they have me post ONLY on rrap and a few QRP reflectors should tell you that they think that rrap is the most important venue in Amateur Radio. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From -1846230671660207825
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,dda59c8ac1427072
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 03:22:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 10:22:02 GMT
References: <20010614041654.04835.00001676@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Winning Ugly (Was: Re: Puke):  Why El. 1 is a Joke
Message-ID: <20010614062202.29552.00000792@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24194
```

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)

>Yeah -- now prospective Generals and Extras are going to have to
>sweat out a fill-in-the-blank test after the 5 WPM code session! Oh,
>the humanity! Better have EMT's and a defibrillator stationed at
>each and every test site!

Actually, Larry, the vast majority of test sessions have been fill-in the blank or 1-minute of solid copy all along. I have walked past a number of test sessions at various hamfests and didn't see the EMT or the defibrillator machine.

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

```
From 7434640957522576056
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 05:02:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: darryl_NOSPAM@shecora.com (Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 12:02:32 -0000
Organization: Shecora
Message-ID: <90C0563C3darrylNOSPAMshecorac@207.126.101.100>
References: <20010609075450.03759.00004729@nso-ch.aol.com>
```

<20010609112239.13860.00000802@ng-fl1.aol.com>
User-Agent: Xnews/03.04.11
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 44
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24195

w1rfi@aol.com (W1RFI) wrote in
<20010609112239.13860.00000802@ng-fl1.aol.com>:

>
>If you must see things in black and white, you are welcome to do so, but
>at this point, I trust that folks understand that most issues have
>subtleties that are apparently not part of your limited comprehension.
>'Tis a pity; you are missing out on a lot and really should expand your
>horizons.

Ed, you are so right. Given any open minded person who only speaks
and thinks for themselves, clone them and put one into a leadership
position of a group and the clone and the orignal will without
a doubt disagree on many important issues. That is the nature
of the beast of leadership. It is the responsibity of the ARRL
BOD and staff to put aside their own views and adopt a policy
that is best for their members.

So go ahead and bash the ARRL. For me, I am a proud member
and plan on being for life. Do I agree with them all the time?
Of course not, but I don't agree with my wife all the time either,
but I don't have any plans to get rid of her. Mature people
understand they can't have their way all the time.

A lot of effort has been wasted on this subject of CW testing,
which could have been put to good uses. Why continue?
Why waste the bandwidth? Why narrow your mind on something
you can't change?

73
--
Darryl Wagoner - WA1GON

Join the TrustedQSL mailing list. An Open Source solution.
Post message: TrustedQSL@yahoogroups.com
Subscribe: TrustedQSL-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
List owner: TrustedQSL-owner@yahoogroups.com
http://www.trustedQSL.org

From 1486599603097418884

```
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 05:37:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc01.blue.aol.com!spamz.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 16
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 12:33:24 GMT
References: <20010613183757.22804.00004042@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010614083324.03288.00000519@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24196

In article <20010613183757.22804.00004042@ng-mj1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>>From: n2ey@aol.com  (N2EY)
>
>>>When are we going to stop blaming inanimate things for human actions?
>
>>One word: Addiction.
>
>Some people are addicted to food.
>
Yup - but everyone needs food to live. No one needs "recreational drugs" in
order to live.

73 de Jim, N2EY



From 7413868760831296702
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 05:37:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
news.uchicago.edu!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 12:33:23 GMT
References: <3B280271.47E1538D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
```

```
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010614083323.03288.00000518@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24199

In article <3B280271.47E1538D@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>Why do you think
>the penalties are so much harsher for crack cocaine than for powdered
>cocaine?
>
Because crack cocaine is more harmful.

73 de Jim, N2EY
```

```
From 8497734810004993346
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 05:37:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 77
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 12:33:22 GMT
References: <3B2777AC.C9417202@psu.edu>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010614083322.03288.00000517@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24198

In article <3B2777AC.C9417202@psu.edu>, mjc5 <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>Okay, what about risky behaviors such as bungee jumping, climbing Mount
>Everest, an activity where if the last newscast I heard is accurate, has
>about a 10% rate of people who never come back?

Those risky behaviors usually only endanger those who voluntarily engage in
them.

>What about overeating,
>the obesely fat are probably worse off than smokers as for early
>mortality.

No, smoking is worse. Morbid obesity and smoking are even worse than either
```

alone.

But people have to eat to live. Nobody has to smoke tobacco in order to live.

>What about old farts like me who participate in sports where
>we get hurt (in the past five years, I've broken an ankle and torn an
>ACL playing hockey. Should we be punished for engaging in these
>(according to some people) foolish behaviors? Should I be denied
>insurance because of my playing sports.

Insurance companies charge higher rates for smokers and those who engage in risky occupations or recreational activities. Insurers figure out the various risk factors and charge accordingly.
>
>   While I can appreciate your view, in the end it won't work. Who decides
>who can do what?

It's a question of risk vs. benefit, and the consequences to third parties.

> There are some who would deny access to an auto upon
>taking antihistamines, or even if a person has a cold.

Why do you think so many antihistamines warn the user not to operate heavy machinery?
>
>   This is why we don't have laws like this. It would eventually turn into
>not having any control over our lives because someone has decided that
>they must protect us from ouselves.

What about protecting innocent people? The person who takes antihistamines which make him/her drowsy is a threat to the safety of others if he/she drives while impaired. That's the concern.

And one of the effects of many substances is to impair the judgement of those under their influence. Research has shown that drivers under the influence of alcohol consistently underrate how drunk they are, and consistently overrate how well they can drive.
>
>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
>>   Yes, I do. I also favor (a) taxing the be-jeebers out of it to offset
>the
>> loss of revenue expended to care for tobacco related illnesses and (b)
>allowing
>> insurance companies to deny major portions of claims submitted by smokers
>for
>> thier smoking related illnesses or to charge significant premiums for
>> smokers...And I mean PREMIUM.
>>
>>   Smoking is a voluntary act. Make individuals responsible for thier
>> actions. (like THAT will ever happen!)
>>
>> >When are we going to stop blaming inanimate things for human actions?

```
>>
>>      No Drugs = No Drug Abuse.  "Legal" drugs or otherwise.
>>
>>      Will it ever happen...?!?!  Of course not.  But we can limit our
>> liabilities.
>>
>>      Care to join me in the ER for a few days, Cec...?!?!
>

73 de Jim, N2EY


From -503512885298268936
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 05:37:28 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 12:33:24 GMT
References: <20010614041657.04835.00001681@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614083324.03288.00000520@nso-cm.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24197

Cecil wrote:

>But people pay a buck for a pint of water now.

Didja know that "Evian" spelled backwards is "naive"?

(tnx to Garrison Keillor for that one).

73 de Jim, N2EY
>




From 4860070506206983128
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 06:35:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!nntp-
```

relay.ihug.net!ihug.co.nz!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 13:35:04 GMT
References: <ADTV6.113163$Be4.34901965@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Message-ID: <20010614093504.22883.00002325@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24200

>Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
>Date: 6/13/01 7:33 PM Central Daylight Time

>How did killing him make those people any better

It didn't. It prevented him from ever being able to to it again.

From -5915738333046945881
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 06:50:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 62
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 13:50:12 GMT
References: <3B27FF66.8858271C@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010614095012.22883.00002327@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24201

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 6/13/01 7:03 PM Central Daylight Time

>Alcohol impacts society. Why is alcohol legal?

Demand and pro-use subsidies from the distillers.

>Why was prohibition repealed?

Because enough politicians were threatened with thier careers if they DIDN'T vote for it.

>If you are part of the white upper class, you don't have to worry about drug laws.

I am part of the "white" middle class, I DON'T use drugs, and I DO have to worry about it. A person who doesn't "worry" about it, regardless of race, creed or socioeconomic standing is only fooling themself.

>Would you get off that kick? Driving and using drugs are unrelated
>except by real criminals. It is legal to consume alcohol. It is
>illegal to drive while DUI. That is exactly as it should be.

I don't consider preventing accidents and other social problems as "a kick", Cecil.

Paying for sexual favors shouldn't be illegal either, but is. There are the same "it doesn't hurt anyone" arguments there, too.

>I agree 100%, Steve. But please don't punish the 95% of the citizens
>who don't cause any problems while drinking or smoking.

I am not the one punishing anyone, Cecil. But I support laws that criminalize illicit drugs and abuse of "legal" drugs, including alcohol.

>Using exactly the same logic, gun dealers should be publicly executed.

If it's an illegal gunrunner, I agree. If it's a licensed dealer, you are out of luck.

>The last valid research was done by the mayor of NYC who wanted to
>prove that marijuana had bad side effects. He failed.

Perhaps because he doesn't have the credentials or the resources to do a valid study.

The American Medical Association does. They disagree with you.

Sorry.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -2822194744463107415
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 06:52:48 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 13:52:17 GMT
References: <3B2840F0.4C7980AF@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010614095217.22883.00002328@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24202

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 6/13/01 11:43 PM Central Daylight Time

>I haven't changed my mind. The drug war is immoral.

No it's not. It's being conducted by people who didn't learn anything from Viet Nam. Fight to win or quit. We are just keeping score.

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -4316097333257495158
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 06:53:47 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-

```
mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 13:53:40 GMT
References: <3B280271.47E1538D@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010614095340.22883.00002329@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24203

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 6/13/01 7:16 PM Central Daylight Time

>Nope, with freedom comes responsibility. Citizens should be held
>responsible, including financially, for their actions.

     Yes...they should....Unfortunately that is NOT the case.
```

```
From -6179321716088098543
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 06:59:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 33
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 13:59:38 GMT
References: <%ATV6.13369$Zt6.6285945@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010614095938.22883.00002330@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24204

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
>From: "Prozac" Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com
>Date: 6/13/01 7:30 PM Central Daylight Time

>No just like I can't prove your Stevie Robeson.

     Obviously I can.  Minus the "i", of course.
```

>How do you know If I am liar or not

I read what you post. You provide no facts. Assertions without facts are lies. You are a liar.

>Can you prove my name is Not Irving Finkelbober

No...can you prove that it IS, thus proving ME wrong...?!?!

>You are no better than the next guy.

Unless the "next guy" is you.

>I love Ham radio!

You love yourself. The rest is dubious.

```
From -1689305487918543945
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d297bfae2cd89c3f
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 07:06:51 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 14:06:06 GMT
References: <bXTV6.13386$Zt6.6310537@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: WA8ULX:  "Offensive" defined
Message-ID: <20010614100606.22883.00002332@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24205
```

>Subject: Re: WA8ULX: "Offensive" defined
>From: "Prozac" Prozac@BayshoreNetwork.com
>Date: 6/13/01 7:54 PM Central Daylight Time

>Who is more credible?

Me. You are lying.

>Well give me your ISP name and number and maybe they will tell me actually who you are, otherwise You as credible as my ballsack.

You really are making this too easy. I guess my screen name didn't give you a clue?

And it's in the phone book. You CAN read, can't you?

>Catch you
>on 14.313 tonite? Ill be the guy calling CQ with the callsign K4YZ

I am sure you will. I will be at work.

>I hear alot of male nurses like things that swing? Is this true?

Only if it's a big busted red-headed belly dancer.

>Again Steve Don't I remind you of you. Nothing you say has any validity, just sarcasm .

If you say so. It's not true, but it makes you happy to think so.

From -2957405298876865762
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 07:41:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 14:41:30 GMT
References: <20010609061518.13401.00003075@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010614104130.02776.00000103@nso-ma.aol.com>

```
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24206

In article <20010609061518.13401.00003075@ng-mn1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
(W1RFI) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com  (N2EY)
>
>>I would think that it was simply something people cared about a lot. On both
>>sides.
>
>I think that some of those that care about this care about it deeply. I
>rarely
>find the subject of code testing to be discussed outside this group.

I've found that to be true around here, too. The whole restructuring deal is a
nonissue away from rrap.

My paper on "The Past 50 Years of [US] Amateur Radio Licensing" has been
presented at a number of local club meetings. Always was warmly received - lots
of fun. Old timers had their "bad old days" stories to tell, and newer hams
were interested to hear how it used to be. But did anybody really care what
tests somebody took to get the class of license they had? Nope. Was there a lot
of concern about restructuring? Nope. Main concern was that the FCC would focus
more resources on enforcement.

>It is far from the most important issue facing Amateur Radio.
>
Agreed.

73 de Jim, N2EY



From 9121954478116630953
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 07:41:49 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 129
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 14:41:29 GMT
References: <20010610153442.15339.00001077@nso-df.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010614104129.02776.00000102@nso-ma.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24207
```

In article <20010610153442.15339.00001077@nso-df.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>In article <20010609061518.13401.00003075@ng-mn1.aol.com>, w1rfi@aol.com
>(W1RFI) writes:
>
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>
>>>I would think that it was simply something people cared about a lot. On
>both
>>>sides.
>>
>>I think that some of those that care about this care about it deeply. I
>rarely
>>find the subject of code testing to be discussed outside this group. It is
>far
>>from the most important issue facing Amateur Radio.
>
>I disagree.

You think it's the most important issue facing Amateur Radio? How odd.

>In order to "care about amateur radio" one has to be in it
>via licensing.

Then you obviously don't care about amateur radio, Len. Why do you post here?
You obviously don't care enough to be licensed, even though you told us, on
January 19, 2000 that you were going for Extra "right out of the box".

> In order to be licensed with below-30-MHz privileges,
>one has to pass a code test.
>
True - because of S25.5. And ONLY because of S25.5, according to the FCC.

For more than a decade, licensing for above-30 MHz-privileges has not required
a code test in the USA.

>Amateur radio coexists in the EM spectrum with other radio services.
>The original intent of the federally-required code test was to enable
>interference mitigation through a common communications mode.

That is only one reason - back in 1912. There are many others that have evolved
since the first licensing of amateur radio operators.

>Since there is a dearth of morse code use as communications in all
>radio services except amateur and maritime, it is no longer logical
>for the federal governments to require any morse code skill in a
>license test.

That's true ONLY if the only purpose is to prevent inter-service interference.
There are lots of other reasons for the test.
>
>Morse code skills are highly prized by a number of long-tenured

>radio amateurs.

Morse code skills are highly prized by a large number radio amateurs, of all "tenures".

>So much so that they are fearful of loss of their
>exclusive EM spectrum subdivisions in the HF bands.

There are NO exclusive Morse code subbands in the US HF/MF amateur radio bands. None at all.

>This
>exclusivity is demanded, almost (to some) as a "noble right"
>despite the greater use of data and telephony by other amateurs
>on HF bands as compared to OOK CW modes.

How do you know how much of each mode is used on those bands, Len?

>This exclusivity
>came about by man-made regulation changes, not divine
>intervention. The exclusivity "necessity" (as it is viewed by some
>of the long-tenured) is artificial, a benefit only for a minority.

What is YOUR suggestion, Len? No subbands?
>
>One of the rather obvious problems with exclusivity through code
>skills is the class discrimination of the code skilled as "superior"
>to others in the hobby.

It's a service, Len. And the possession of skills and knowledge of any relevant sort does make one "superior" in a way. Do you advocate some sort of classless collective society?

> Class discrimination has created the
>artificial barrier which has morse-code-on-HF as the ultimate
>attainment in amateurism on one side and radio-as-a-general-
>hobby-activity on the other side.

What is your solution, Len? Do away with licenses and tests?

> Newcomers have long since
>changed from entering US amateur radio as code-tested
>Novices to the no-code-test Technician class. It took some
>time for the ARRL to recognize this, but they finally recognized
>it.

Your history is flawed, Len.

The change occurred back in the late '70s and early '80s. When Technicians got Novice HF privileges in the late 1970s, the Novice began to decline as the first license of new hams. The reason for this was simple: It took only a little more written test study to get a Tech than a Novice, but the Tech carried a lot more privileges. And before March of 1987, a Tech could upgrade to General by passing 13 wpm code.

Long before the 1991 removal of the code test from the Technician class, the trend for new hams to start out with licenses other than Novice was going strong.

Removing the code test from the Technician in 1991 made it the easiest license to get, and it's no wonder that most new hams after that time got started with the Tech or Tech Plus.

>The ARRL took even longer to recognize that code skills are
>not the ultimate achievment in amateur radio but they have not
>yet moved enough to recognize the majority view of US radio
>amateurs.

What view is that, Len? And how do you know that it's the majority view?

Sems to me that you're projecting your biases and prejudices upon others, now.

N2EY
>

```
From -4772466671957852895
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 07:49:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: 14 Jun 2001 14:49:04 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 21
Message-ID: <9gait0$8ob$0@208.207.71.161>
References: <3B27045D.67FADAB1@sccoast.net> <20010613050211.10193.00002586@ng-
cu1.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.161
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL  (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24208
```

W1RFI wrote:
>

> From: Dwight Stewart stewartx@sccoast.net
>
> >> Agreed, but for some reason I never read a bad word directed at them for
> their
> >> coverage of pirate "broadcast" radio. I've always found that somewhat odd.
>
> > Didn't I just do it? Wouldn't "sleazy" qualify as "a bad word
> >directed at them for their coverage of pirate "broadcast" radio?"
>
> I think there have been more bad words on this forum directed toward freeband
> operation and even legitimate CB than there have been bad words directed toward
> pirate broadcast radio

And why not? Pirate BC doesn't have much effect if any on ham radio.
Sleazy CB and freebanders do.

From 1170249998222654908
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 08:08:17 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 14 Jun 2001 15:08:17 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <9gak11$cj8$0@208.207.71.161>
References: <3B27C3E1.3305C90@IEEE.org> <20010613182409.11293.00001442@ng-ce1.aol.com> <3B27FF66.8858271C@IEEE.org> <3B283038.858BE084@sccoast.net> <3B2840F0.4C7980AF@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.161
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24209

CAM wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote:
> >   Well, you're consistent, Cecil. We "discussed" drugs just a few
> > weeks ago and you're still using the exact same arguments.
>
> I haven't changed my mind. The drug war is immoral.

And the free, unrestricted use of mindaltering drugs is then moral. We see your point.

From 8286775840035201212
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 08:09:20 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: 14 Jun 2001 15:09:19 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <9gak2v$cj8$1@208.207.71.161>
References: <3B2802E0.DA510E1A@IEEE.org> <20010613202852.13765.00001631@ng-fl1.aol.com> <3B2841BE.64F80E0D@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.161
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24210

CAM wrote:
>
> W1RFI wrote:
> > I have never felt afraid that I will be mugged by an
> > ice-cream junkie looking for his next fix.
>
> A mugging is a mugging, Ed. The cause is the mugger. The reason
> doesn't really matter.
>

That's about the dumbest thing you've said yet. Without the reason no mugging would happen!

From -2008461641818110999
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 08:35:02 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!feed1-in.uncensored-news.com!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet

From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Date: 14 Jun 2001 15:35:01 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 83
Message-ID: <9galj5$j8l$0@208.207.71.161>
References: <9g5asc$9tp$0@208.207.71.157> <20010614052731.04835.00001685@nso-fi.aol.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.207.71.161
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24211

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <9g5asc$9tp$0@208.207.71.157>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >>
> > killing murderers doesn't seem to bring an end to
> >> the supply. Why is that?
> >
> >
> > Ah, but it DOES, as far as the late example is concerned.
> > It always amazes me to read of someone who has been convicted of
> >murder, sentenced to life or some very lengthy sentence who, upon being
> >paroled in a few short years, murders again. You find that common with
> >serial murderers. Putting their lights out at least assures *they* won't
> >do it again. Nothing else seems capable of assuring that.
>
> Dick:
>
> Well, DUH!!! To me, a "life" sentence means exactly that.

And that is exactly why it will not work in practice. If you kept track of even a small percentage of murder cases you'd see that a serious percentage are committed by people who had previously been sentenced to life in prison for a previous murder. Some multiple times.

There is no way that all who are sentenced to "life" will ever serve it. Too many liberal parole boatrd members are out there who can be too easily persuaded to release the poor reformed defendent. Only a few of those so sentenced will ever die behind bars, and most ot those are repeat offenders serving multiple life sentences. Lawmakers try to correct these problems by such things as "life without parole" sentences but somehow there are those who avoid even that. Too many different officials doint the same thing differently. People problems.

The
> convict spends the rest of his natural life looking at concrete block
> walls and iron bars!

Oh, you mean as opposed to taking illicit drugs while behind bars and spending his days relaxing and watching TV courtesy the ACLU?

The only way he gets out is to be taken to
> whatever burial place he is entitled to when he croaks from
> natural causes after a lifetime of spending 23 hours a day in a
> 6 x 8 cell. No parole, ever! I assure you, THAT was one
> punishment Mc Veigh never would have wished on himself -- yet
> we were so accommodating in making sure he didn't have it
> imposed upon him.

But it's pie in the sky, as so many such things are. Won't happen because it CAN'T happen. Our system just won't allow it. We have too many people with hearts that bleed for all the wrong reasons. It's great to feel empathy toward one of these "humans" when you know so little about his "humanity". Close examination makes it a wholly different story.

> Capital Punishment just gives the victims a chance to "get even"
> by taking their own turn at killing another fellow human being.
> Anyone with a scintilla of humanity in them would be appalled.

You're as wrong as you can be, Larry. Taking out a subhumanoid predator is not the same as killing a human. Most of these "people" are not people at all, they just look like it. Some of them kill just for kicks, and will do it any time the opportunity arises.

When you closely examine individual death penalty cases you find that juries and judges don't mete it out wantonly. When it is handed down it is because it is apprppriate. Far more life sentences are passed for murders than death penalties, because of the curcumstances. Examine the backgrounds of those who get a death sentence and you see reality. Pretty it isn't, reality at work, yes.

From -4834905508152969138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public

X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 09:01:16 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 12:01:11 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tihnublsknau1f@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tifitdbj3jdkb9@corp.supernews.com> <20010613181926.22804.00004035@ng-mj1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 52
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24213

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010613181926.22804.00004035@ng-mj1.aol.com...

> The apartment doesn't have to stop you from using and enjoying HF. When I
was
> apartment bound, I had all sorts of wires in the trees, in the eaves and
just
> about any other which way I could imagine.

I had at one time a 2m antenna hidden outside until the coax cable got cut once. After that I did a better job hiding the cable. That's when the neighbor upstairs came done and wanted to know if I had a "CB Radio" in my apartment. Seems like she was hearing me on her TV. I assume she said something because she could no longer reach the cable to cut it. Nice people. I got lucky and they moved out some months back. Now I keep all of the antennas inside the apartment. I think I do most of my Hamming mobile now than anywhere else. Getting on H.F. at this location I think is just begging for trouble. It's bad enough I have my 6m loop hanging from the front room ceiling, which is right underneath the upstairs neighbor's front room floor. I don't use it much because I don't need the them knocking on my door either.

The apartment I live in is a ground floor unit with no private outside area of any kind. I am also located right next to a freeway overpass so I am blocked, signal wise, to the north west. I have a high rise building to my north a quarter of a mile. I think you get the idea. I move in to the place before I got interested in Ham Radio. I don't move because it's only about a mile from where I work, and the rent is reasonable.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

From -3233198811653244128
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 09:02:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 12:02:52 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tiho1fkupv7r30@corp.supernews.com>
References: <tifitdbj3jdkb9@corp.supernews.com> <20010613181705.22804.00004033@ng-mj1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 24
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24214

"W1RFI" <w1rfi@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010613181705.22804.00004033@ng-mj1.aol.com...
>
> Looks like the abuse runs in both directions, eh? Think they would be
unhappy
> with me 'cuz I like Morse code? I KNOW they would be unhappy with me about
my
> views on freebanding, export radios and amplifiers. :-)

They find out you work for the ARRL and they would have a "field day" with
you for sure!

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
 me running, try to keep up. "

From 780638866643479741
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d1958ab555bfc35
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 09:07:14 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Just Checked QRZ
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 12:07:07 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tiho9fou5sg170@corp.supernews.com>
References: <RcyV6.30269$s12.761648@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
<8886-3B27E40F-95@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 32
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24215

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8886-3B27E40F-95@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net...

> "Here we have a short bus

Sniff, sniff. I though I smelled something. Seems like the camel got in to
the tent again.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

```
From 7044197700223869672
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 09:36:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!
corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 12:36:45 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tihq13qapljucc@corp.supernews.com>
References: <ti23pmd7q0b097@corp.supernews.com> <20010612220505.20583.00000675@ng-
bj1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 34
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24216
```

"Mark Pearsal" <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010612220505.20583.00000675@ng-bj1.aol.com...

> Tell you what, why don't you visit www.sugardelta.com or www.alfatango.org?
> Really look around and peruse. I don't think you'll find much (read ANY)
> indication of 11 meter operators seeking the "thrill of illegality." I
> personally know a good many operators from division "2" (Hey, that's the USA!)

> and none exhibit this trait.

So I suppose that the "special security" found at "Keydowns" is just for good looks? One was just held here in the Detroit area which claimed a local motorcycle gang was hired for the security detail. I suppose nobody gets an adrenaline rush going to one of these events to see if the FCC has the nerve to show up and bust someone?

www.bigradios.com

I don't doubt you will find some who don't get a thrill, but I think many do, and just don't talk about it much.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

```
From -868861018511298292
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 09:41:09 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 12:40:50 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tihq92b82vde25@corp.supernews.com>
References: <20010613202537.13798.00001439@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010613212614.20559.00000840@ng-bj1.news.cs.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 29
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24217
```

"Bert Craig" <kc2hmn@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010613212614.20559.00000840@ng-bj1.news.cs.com...
> (I'd hardly call many of them "linear.")

Particularly when the ads claim they are run "Class C" for more power output. 8-)

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

From -9059995773314443957
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 10:13:57 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 103
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 17:13:35 GMT
References: <20010614052732.04835.00001686@nso-fi.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
Message-ID: <20010614131335.04332.00000192@nso-bj.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24218

In article <20010614052732.04835.00001686@nso-fi.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <20010612210429.12553.00004702@nso-ck.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>writes:

>
>>>>>Now along comes Larry who takes some hardass conservative stances and
>>>>>his resume automatically gets circular-filed upon receipt too.
>>>>
>>>>But not for the stances. For the way they were expressed.
>
>No, the problem is "the stances." Liberal, politically-correct stances
>expressed with the same "addy-tood" are accepted without question,
>if not praised.

Where?
>
>>>>As we say around here - "addy-tood"
>
>Funny Jim should mention that. Early in my ham career, I made two
>personal visits to ARRL HQ, in the Spring of '84 and '86, respectively.
>Prior to arriving, I had expected a warm, friendly welcome extended
>to a fellow amateur making his "pilgrimage" to ham radio "Mecca."
>What I was greeted with was "addy-tood" all right! The "addy-tood"
>was "Who the Hell are YOU and what the Hell are YOU doing HERE???"
>I was barely able to get past the recptionist at the main HQ building
>when I first got there; I spent an hour and a half browsing through the
>Museum before I was met by a staffer for a perfunctory "tour." I
>did get much better treatment from Chuck Bender at W1AW, and I
>did get a full three hours of operating time which, of course, was my
>whole purpose. However, the cold-as-death, bitter reception from
>the HQ building really made a lifelong impression on me. Unfortunately,
>I had heard virtually the exact same story come from other hams I'd
>spoken to who had visited ARRL HQ before, and since my own
>experiences. On my second visit, I just operated W1AW, and kept
>my distance from the HQ Building, which seemed to have an
>invisible "No Trespassing - Keep Out" sign posted on it.

This is exactly the opposite of the reception I received in 1993.

The XYL and I were vacationing in the area, and I convinced her to go to Hq. with me. She has no interest in ham radio, but figured it wouldn't be too boring. We were warmly received, and got a complete tour from Mike, WB8IMY (I think). Saw all the departments, chatted with VP Rinaldo, all sorts of stuff. Saw the lab and they apologized because Zack wasn't in that day. (I later met him at a hamfest). Spent quite a while at the office building - the XYL was fascinated and kept asking questions.

Then we went over to W1AW and I operated the Ten Tec station. Had brought my bug and a collection of cables along "just in case" (....ze barracuda iz alwaze prepared....). Worked W3ANX on 40 CW. It was truly wonderful to sign the famous call "W1AW".

The prevailing "addy-tood" was one of "WELCOME!!!".
>
>>>Jim:
>>>
>>>ARRL HQ will have to receive a resume from me before they can

>>>consign it to the circular file. I sure hope they aren't holding their
>>>breath...
>>
>>Last time I was there, nobody was turning blue....;-)
>
>I'm sure they aren't! I'm glad to hear that somewhere between arrogant,
>cynical snarls made toward fellow hams, they manage to get a gulp of
>air now and then!

I didn't hear any "arrogant, cynical snarls" that day.

I later had reason to work with Al Brogdon, K3KMO, on a QST article (somebody
had to edit my stuff, and he got short straw). Great guy to work with, even
when we disagreed. Learned a lot about writing for publication from Al.
>>
>>But they sure were working hard doing good things for the ARS.
>
>I don't recall ever stating that they didn't. I'm not "anti-ARRL," just
>permanently disenchanted with the personal treatment I'd received
>from the HQ staff during my personal visits and in subsequent
>meetings at Dayton, state Conventions, ARRL Forums, etc. I have
>many more stories to tell along those lines, but don't wish to take
>the time now.

I've had exactly the opposite experience, with both past and present Directors
and Vice Directors, Hq staff, and various appointees. Even when we disagreed, I
always came away with the impression that I'd gotten a fair hearing. Also came
away with a good understanding of the other side's position.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>>....which reminds me - I gotta get the picture of me operating W1AW with my
>>personal Vibroplex Original bug enlarged and properly framed for the
>>shack....
>
>I wish I would have thought to take a photo of my own operation at
>W1AW. Funny they didn't think of it.

I brought the camera and the XYL to take the photos. Gotta be prepared.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

From -430590768394254350
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 10:15:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-

```
peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gexb9a1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3B27045D.67FADAB1@sccoast.net> <20010613050211.10193.00002586@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9gait0$8ob$0@208.207.71.161>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Lines: 13
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 17:15:35 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 992538935 63.178.69.163 (Thu, 14 Jun 2001
17:15:35 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 17:15:35 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24219

On 14 Jun 2001 14:49:04 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>And why not? Pirate BC doesn't have much effect if any on ham radio.
>Sleazy CB and freebanders do.

  The effect that pirate b/c has on ham radio is to foster the idea
  that it's an OK thing to operate in complete defiance of radio law
  and the FCC.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From -996160499587732160
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 11:03:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B28EE59.9B7656FB@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B258010.B5640F9D@earthlink.net> <20010612054218.29552.00000532@ng-
```

```
mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 25
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 18:03:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992541803 63.17.222.234 (Thu, 14 Jun
2001 11:03:23 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 11:03:23 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 11:01:20 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24220

W1RFI wrote:
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >> To me, it is a lot more apparent that the League believes it can better
> >> plan a position wrt Morse code testing after the international issues are
> settled
> >> when it knows exactly how those international issues will be settled and
> what
> >> rules changes are actually put on the plate.
>
> >But you'll agree that there has certainly been a position shift by the
> >BOD?
>
> The former ARRL policy was that it supported the retention of Morse code
> testing for HF access.
>
> The ARRL Board made a policy that it will not oppose changes to the
> international treaty requiring Morse code testing for HF access.
>
> The Board also affirmed that it is not changing its policy wrt US testing.

I'll take that as a "yes".

Dave K8MN


From -2659686464913029127
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ad97d7027aca0eee
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 11:18:27 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!netnews.com!
newsfeed2.earthlink.net!newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Message-ID: <3B28F1DF.6328A385@earthlink.net>
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
```

X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.04 [en] (Win95; I)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Pendulum reverses
References: <3B257FA3.86D485D5@earthlink.net> <20010612055259.29552.00000534@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 67
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 18:18:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.17.222.234
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992542706 63.17.222.234 (Thu, 14 Jun 2001 11:18:26 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 11:18:26 PDT
Organization: EarthLink Inc. -- http://www.EarthLink.net
X-Received-Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 11:16:23 PDT (newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24221

W1RFI wrote:

> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>
> >> What percentage of ARRL's resources do you believe devoted to maintaining
> >> the organization and what percentage of its resources do you believe are
> >> devoted to Amateur Radio?
>
> >After salaries, travel, utilities, maintainance of the facility,
> >insurance and the like, what is devoted to amateur radio?
>
> Are you really offering that paying the salary of people doing things for
> Amateur Radio doesn't count? They paid my salary when I helped Chris Imlay
> write the letter to the FCC asking them to take enforcement action against
> those sellling long-range cordless telephones that operate in the ham bands.
> Do you think that because the League paid someone to do it, it wasn't Amateur
> Radio? Are you really offering that travel to an industry meeting, or ARRL
> convention, is not Amateur Radio? Are you suggesting that the League turn off
> the lights? I really can't answer the question, Dave, because I can't
> understand it in any context that makes sense to me.
>
> > I know there are far more people working at HQ than in the past and the
> > Washington lobby has to be maintained.
>
> There are only a few more staff now than when I started working there over 15
> years ago. The DC lobby has been in place all that time. Are you offering that
> representing the interests of Amateur Radio in Washington is not devoted to
> Amateur Radio?

I sense that you grew defensive over my question. Let's take charities
as an example, Ed. When a charity makes a report on its operation, it
states that x amount of what it takes in annually is spent on its
administrative costs and that x percentage goes toward doing the work of

the charity. Of course we all know that the administrative expenses actually go toward doing the work of the charity in that the lights must be on, people must travel, postage expenses and telephone bills must be paid.

While not a charity but a non-profit corporation, the League spends a certain amount of its money in the quest for even more money in requests for donations to cover W1AW renovation, gifts to the Foundation, donations for WARC expenses and the like. A certain percentage of League resources are earmarked for this fundraising. The ARRL also has, as it must, a percentage of its annual budget earmarked for operating expenses. You flying in an airplane doesn't do a thing for amateur radio. You staying in a hotel doesn't do a thing for amateur radio. You eating a meal does nothing for amateur radio. You attending a conference requiring transportation, lodging and meals may do something for amateur radio.

Now, based on what I've outlined above, can you provide an answer to the question which you yourself posed?

Dave K8MN

>
> >Surely salaries have to be better than they
> >were when I turned down a whopping $7500 in '75. Have any figures?
>
> I only know the salaries of the Lab staff, and, as a supervisor, I cannot and
> should not discuss them on a public forum. If you want a good estimate of the
> salaries and benefits, you can get the figures from any of the annual reports.
> Email me at HQ and I will count the number of staff on the HQ phone list, if
> you like.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI

From -7064014791508013470
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 12:10:38 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <ADTV6.113163$Be4.34901965@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <20010614093504.22883.00002325@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh, SK
Lines: 18
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000

```
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <J_7W6.118449$Be4.36924004@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 19:10:33 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 992545833 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 14 Jun 2001 12:10:33
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 12:10:33 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24222

So would solitary confinement ...

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010614093504.22883.00002325@ng-ci1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 6/13/01 7:33 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >How did killing him make those people any better
>
>      It didn't.  It prevented him from ever being able to to it again.
>
>
>
>
>




From 4749918939568907052
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 12:11:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <TrJV6.107614$Be4.33732362@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010613180311.11293.00001436@ng-ce1.aol.com>
<ADTV6.113163$Be4.34901965@news3.rdc1.on.home.com> <3B28426D.A9E1610B@IEEE.org>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Lines: 17
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MIMEOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <x%7W6.118451$Be4.36925149@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 19:11:25 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
```

X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 992545885 24.112.8.24 (Thu, 14 Jun 2001 12:11:25 PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 12:11:25 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24223

Again, so would solitary confinement ...

You can't blow up buildings from inside a jail cell ...

"CAM" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3B28426D.A9E1610B@IEEE.org...
> "Rob St.Denis" wrote:
> > How did killing him make those (168) people any better
>
> It kept him from making it 336.
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 6634146096640043736
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 12:24:37 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 19:24:11 GMT
Message-ID: <3b28c17e.6824132@news2.dvol.com>
References: <3b259419.5948168@news2.dvol.com> <20010612013632.04748.00000055@nso-cc.aol.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.164
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.18.164
X-Trace: 14 Jun 2001 14:19:12 -0500, 64.24.18.164
Lines: 101
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24224

On 12 Jun 2001 05:36:32 GMT, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote:

>In article <3b259419.5948168@news2.dvol.com>, kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian

>Kelly, w3rv) writes:
>
>>> Where was all the
>>>experimentation and such on UHF in 1958-1959? Using 6J6 dual
>>>triod oscillators with Lecher Line tuning?   :-)
>>>
>>What the hell does THAT have to do with this thread?? Or anything
>>else? And no, they used reversed-biased push-pull 5U4GBs with
>>slider-tuned filaments.
>
>Ahem...don't try a technical snow job here. A 5U4 is a moderate-
>current dual diode vacuum tube used as a rectifier. It can't be
>"biased." Neither is there anything such as "slider-tuned filaments."
>
No kidding. The La-la Land Artifact Sherlock strikes once again.
>
As a matter of fact Lenny, not that you would have clue one of course,
but there was an enormous volume of ham literature already in print
about ham experimentation in the frequencies above 30 mHz. in 1950.
Some of it involving equipment which operated at 400 mHz and much
higher. UHF acorn tubes like the 954 & 955 were 30s things. The
catalog off-the-shelf *1936* National 1-10 receiver tuned 1 to 10
meters. 1936. Got that Lenny?
>
Then in 1959 came the RCA UHF Nuvistors . . I'm sure you know all
about those Lenny and the noise figures they had at 500 mHz? Which
wound up in any number of QST UHF gear construction articles.
>
There was life beyond transpacific HF Army RTTY boxes in the 50s Lenny
but you obviously have never managed to get past that box. You've
never recoverd from yer days in the joints in the Ginza.
>
Good luck with that one now.
>

>>No surprise that you worked for them instead of them working for you.
>>Speaks volumes.
>
>I think you have your sweaty fingers on the wrong volume control.
>:-)
>
>Ahem...look again at the years and compute age.
>
In 1959 I was 22 years old and working at the Sun Oil Applied Physics
Laboratory during my engineering co-op stints and had two bench techs
assigned to my loving care. I wish to hell you had been one of 'em.
>
>
>>>who were adamantly opposed to the whole idea
>>>on the basis of (1) License testing lack; (2) Morse code testing
>>>lack; (3) "Stealing" a "sacred" ham band and "giving it away."
>>>All eleven had one to all of those reasons.
>>>

>>Yeah, right. I was there. So?
>
>You were not a long-tenured ham then, senior.
>
That's right, I didn't come into it polluted by any Old Fart
preconceptions. I did my own tuning and operating and damned if the
Old Farts didn't have it right from the gitgo.
>
>
>CB was hated by most of the long-tenured hams at the beginning
>and just about every year afterwards for 43 years. One doesn't
>need to be Holmes-less to experience how it was all throughout
>the CB (HF classes) history.
>
You finally got something right.
>
>>I could dump their crap right into my hard drive and e-mail it to
>>Riley if I could be even remotely bothered with any such nonsense.
>
>The FCC doesn't want e-mail dumps so much as recordings
>of activity. Try to check out the FCC's Enforcement Bureau
>page. It will tell you what form and format the FCC wants.
>
Their technological backwardness is not my problem, they can take it,
leave it, or supply the tape deck.
>
>
>Translation: Kelly ain't no snitch. :-)
>
>You can translate the CB hatred into something positive and
>report infractions. Or you can just continue to mouth off about
>it in here without saying anything or mailing anything to the FCC.
>
>Kelly has a choice. Report infractions and crow about it or
>button it up and whine, whine, whine.
>
Don't take another breath until I get around to doing any of the above
Lenny.
>
>Oh, and good luck on "biasing" those 5U4s now.   :-)
>
>LHA

From -5525237760800836746
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 14:02:45 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-

spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gexm410.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3b259419.5948168@news2.dvol.com> <20010612013632.04748.00000055@nso-cc.aol.com> <3b28c17e.6824132@news2.dvol.com>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Lines: 28
Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 21:02:44 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 992552564 63.178.69.163 (Thu, 14 Jun 2001 21:02:44 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 14 Jun 2001 21:02:44 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24225

On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 19:24:11 GMT, Brian Kelly, w3rv wrote:

>>The FCC doesn't want e-mail dumps so much as recordings
>>of activity. Try to check out the FCC's Enforcement Bureau
>>page. It will tell you what form and format the FCC wants.
>>
>Their technological backwardness is not my problem, they can take it,
>leave it, or supply the tape deck.

"Technological backwardness" it most certainly isn't.

What it IS is this: you heard it as audio. Send them a copy of what you heard, not your impression, narrative or digest of what you heard without the "source material".

Then, the FCC enforcement folks (agents) will hear it just as you heard it and on the basis of that decide what the proper course and priority of action is.

If in fact it ever gets to trial, the judge will be very loath to admit a statement, written narrative or even a word-for-word transcript into evidence without the recording. This is known as the "best evidence rule".

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From 5040646832725727853
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 15:17:44 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 22:16:50 GMT
References: <tihq92b82vde25@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010614181650.04840.00000098@ng-ck1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24226

>(I'd hardly call many of them "linear.")
>
>Particularly when the ads claim they are run "Class C" for more power
>output. 8-)

Leland, I surely don't like em'...but I've got to admit, they're not all "Class C." The guys that run the so-called "competition" garbage run extremely dirty Class C junk. (X-Force, Dave Made, Messenger, etc.) Some of the more serious fellows run the AB-1 stuff on sideband. (Texas Star, Skywalker, etc.)

Please remember that although I'm aknowledging the distinction between the two, I'm in no way condoning the operation of illegal amplifiers on 11 meters. I just want to make sure you don't paint em' all (legal CBers or "freebanders") with the same "www.bigradios.com mentality" brush. Leland, we both know we disagree but I hope you know where I'm coming from. I certainly try to understand your points of view as well. Thanks.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

From -1453920451392305138
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 16:12:58 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 35
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Date: 14 Jun 2001 23:12:10 GMT
References: <tihq13qapljucc@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010614191210.27305.00001603@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24227

Hello Leland,

>So I suppose that the "special security" found at "Keydowns" is just for
>good looks? One was just held here in the Detroit area which claimed a local
>motorcycle gang was hired for the security detail. I suppose nobody gets an
>adrenaline rush going to one of these events to see if the FCC has the nerve
>to show up and bust someone?

I've never been to a "Keydown." If you've read my posts in the past, (...and I assume you have since you've replied to many of them.) you know that I have indeed "freebanded" for a ten year stretch. I operated exclusively on sideband and felt no need to run more than the 30 watts my AR-3500 generated. I think the problem here is the generalization you make in believing that www.bigradios.com is representative of all "freebanders." Feel free to disagree but I assure you, it just is not so...and I speak from first hand experience, not supposition.

Here's a site that is a bit more indicative of the sheer spread of the 11 meter "DX" community. It should give you a little more "data" to work with when drawing your conclusions re. the "thrill" factor you seem to assign to the majority of "freebanders."

http://www.valkcom.net/portal/

...and this is only some of the 11 meter DX groups. Hmmm, some are even advertised as 11 meter AND amateur radio organizations...imagine that! Do you suppose there are any amateurs among the "freebanders?"
>I don't doubt you will find some who don't get a thrill, but I think many
>do, and just don't talk about it much.
>

I disagree with you, but hey...I'm only talking from experience. Take care and 73.

Mark

From -8099596181514206652
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 16:29:52 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 14

X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 23:29:18 GMT
References: <20010613184806.21988.00004032@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010614192918.27305.00001613@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24228

Hello Mr. Hare,

>As ex and current 11-meter ops become hams and ARRL members, they have the
>tools to shape the League to be anything they want, if they can field 8
>candidates for Director and convince half of the voting ARRL membership to
>vote
>for their candidates.
>

You've piqued my interest, sir. Were you being facetious or is this indeed your view of a viable possibility? An honest question, I assure you. Take care and 73.

Mark

From 8605244056419746635
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-14 16:58:03 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!jfk3-feed1.news.digex.net!dca6-feed2.news.digex.net!intermedia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 58
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 14 Jun 2001 23:57:29 GMT
References: <20010613202537.13798.00001439@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010614195729.27305.00001615@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24229

Hi Ed and Bert,

I rather enjoyed your open discussion on this topic. There certainly isn't much I could add, however...

>>I'd rather see the "freebander" eliminated by educating him/her and gently
>>nudging them in the right direction.

>
>I agree.
>
>> In order to educate someone, you need to
>>make that person "approachable." You do NOT accomplish this by calling them
>a
>>"chicken bander" or interfering with their legal efforts re. a FCC rule
>>making proposal.

Very well said and refreshing to see such meaningful dialog.

>Interfering with their efforts would, IMHO, be a bad thing. To my knowledge,
>that didn't happen; no one prevented them from filing an FCC rulemaking or
>from
>making comments on same. Comments of those with a different view were filed,
>but that is a process of law. To use that process of law to justify illegal
>acts in any way is simply not logical.

But nobody was using the "process of law to justify illegal acts in any way." RM-9807 was an legitimate proposal to remove the 155.3 mile limit from legal CB operations. No "illegal" activities were encouraged or glorified. Had the members of the league commented against this proposal as "citizens," (thus CB operators) this could not be construed as interference by the league. However, The ARRL (and NAB) commented against this proposal leveraging the full weight (for what it's worth) of ORGANIZATIONS who represent interests OTHER than CB. I'm quite sure if the USCB somehow managed to negatively affect an ARRL proposal, hams would likewise be up-in-arms. I respect your point of view but I certainly disagree with it.

>Do you really think most freebanders or those who shoot skip on 11 meters are
>not aware of Amateur Radio and what can be done within it?

No I don't but there's got to be a reason some don't "make the jump." That's where we (Yes, we!) need to focus our attention...communication. (no pun intended) Let's find out why. I certainly don't think you'll find that silly "adrenaline rush" theory holding much water.

>Many of the people I consider friends on this forum do not share my views on
>every issue. Over the years, I think we have learned from each other,
>though,
>and that could only happen if disagreement was cordial and if we both
>recognized that the other person reached a different conclusion from the
>available data. That happens, and somehow, by talking it out, sometimes some
>really cool things and unexpected understandings can result.

I hope that I can someday be counted among those you consider "friends on this forum." I hope that with each round of "meaningful discourse" we enjoy, we inch closer to the "middle ground" of understanding. You and Bert have set a wonderful example of this for others on the NG. Take care and 73.

Mark

```
From 245735998116735565
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,ce0390546dffe479
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 09:34:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!
news3.rdc1.on.home.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Rob St.Denis" <tstdenis3160@home.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
References: <x%7W6.118451$Be4.36925149@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
<20010615102747.27479.00001685@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
Lines: 42
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2462.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2462.0000
Message-ID: <%NqW6.125966$Be4.39284365@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 16:34:03 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.112.8.24
X-Complaints-To: abuse@home.net
X-Trace: news3.rdc1.on.home.com 992622843 24.112.8.24 (Fri, 15 Jun 2001 09:34:03
PDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 09:34:03 PDT
Organization: Excite@Home - The Leader in Broadband http://home.com/faster
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24280

A martyr isn't a martyr until he is dead.  How many will take up arms to
fight for the memory of their fallen nut bag comrade?  Now how many would
have followed his words from in prison?  Same number huh ... well then what
good did killing him do but give ya the finality sense of vengeance.

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010615102747.27479.00001685@ng-ct1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Tim McViegh,  SK
> >From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3160@home.com
> >Date: 6/14/01 2:11 PM Central Daylight Time
>
> >You can't blow up buildings from inside a jail cell .
>
>      Maybe not directly, however Mafia Don's have "run" thier "businesses"
from
> inside prison....I see no reason why McVeigh and his ilk couldn't plan
other
> bombings with him "inside".
>
>      Unfortunately, McVeigh set himself up as a martyr for his twisted
cause.
> Imagine what might have happened had he been kept alive and allowed to
> communicate with others.
>
>     And before you remind me that the parameter set was "solitary
```

```
confinement",
> I remind YOU that despite the occassional use of the death penalty, the
United
> States remains more liberal than not, and it wouldn't be long before
> "exceptions" would be made for him by such groups as the ACLU and other
> "rights" organizations.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

```
From -7560418863080990455
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII
X-Google-Thread: 114aac,e674d9a305835c58
X-Google-Attributes: gid114aac,public
X-Google-Thread: 10ddb1,70848aa45976dc18,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10ddb1,public
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,70848aa45976dc18,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-Thread: 107ada,70848aa45976dc18,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid107ada,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 10:55:25 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
nntp.cs.ubc.ca!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!newsfeed1.earthlink.net!
newsfeed.earthlink.net!newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net!
newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Reply-To: "Morris" <kookradio3@juno.com>
From: "Morris" <kookradio3@juno.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.r
adio.shortwave
References: <OueW6.6138$aV1.566027@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Subject: Repost: UPR GONE! pulls plug @ 0210Z 15JUN2001
Lines: 45
Organization: have some red stuff sonny
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <i_rW6.8233$Kq4.693392@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 17:55:26 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 209.204.84.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 992627726 209.204.84.71 (Fri, 15 Jun
2001 10:55:26 PDT)
```

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 10:55:26 PDT
X-Received-Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 10:53:20 PDT
(newsmaster1.prod.itd.earthlink.net)
Xref: archiver1.google.com alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:13774
rec.radio.amateur.misc:16477 rec.radio.amateur.policy:24281
rec.radio.shortwave:37791

"Rafman�" <rafman2u@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:OueW6.6138$aV1.566027@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> Steve Anderson, sounding agitated more than I have ever heard him, has announced that due to lacking donations & lack of turn out at the Free Mark Keornke Rally, he was pulling UPR of the air.
>
> This rant started at 0200Z when the station reappeared after "satellite problems" for what was the usual "Intelligence Report". He claimed that only the "faithful few" had supported him with funding.
>
> I find these comments in stark contrast to the other evening when he claimed all was well & that he could pay the electric bill & water bill. He also claimed to be adding an FM transmitter on 88.5FM & had been considering adding a second HF transmitter. I recorded this segment & found it to be most contrary to his agitation tonight.
>
> Is it me or his denial that it had anything to do with anything other than finding hard to believe? I think he got the screws put to him & he stepped back before getting screwed. Well to all those who have listened to history being made, as I have, I will miss UPR. Maybe this is a ploy to get donations but somehow I think there is more than what he said before pulling the plug abruptly at 0210Z.
>
> At least I taped history in the making. I will relisten to the tape when he announced that he was OK, here to stay & had no problems paying the bills. I really suspect something had happened late last night when he mentioned a vehicle coming in. They went off at midnight & were not on for the Intell Hour...
>
> Too bad, maybe if he had QSL'd, we might have stuffed the envelopes with some greenbacks. I would have but either this is a ploy or it is a done deal. Will we ever know the truth?

From 706355608540542568
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,c029daa359c5f376
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 11:26:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
canoe.uoregon.edu!logbridge.uoregon.edu!news.orst.edu!vnet.OregonVOS.net!
compass.oregonvos.net!dhassler
From: "Dave H. Hassler" <dhassler@OregonVOS.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Code Software
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 11:16:46 -0700
Organization: Oregon VOS
Lines: 26
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.3.96.1010615110838.11391A-100000@compass.oregonvos.net>
References: <9g8kfi$gkp$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: compass.oregonvos.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII
X-Trace: vnet.oregonvos.net 992629906 30091 159.121.170.2 (15 Jun 2001 18:31:46
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: support@OregonVOS.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: 15 Jun 2001 18:31:46 GMT
In-Reply-To: <9g8kfi$gkp$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24282

Hi Zehr. This little program is just 7 kB long, but features outstanding
programming with very solid teaching algorithms. I used it to learn
the letters and numbers and get up to
10 WPM in about two weeks, making the 5 WPM test a cinch. It's only
deficiency is it does not teach punctuation or prosigns, but they are
easily memorized.

http://www.c2.com/~ward/morse/morse.html

gl es 73 de kd7mpd
Dave Hassler

On Wed, 13 Jun 2001, zehr wrote:

> Could anyone please tell me, the name of a good code tutor software package?
> And where I can obtain it?
>
> Thanks
>
>
>
>

```
From -8997139494426270814
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 12:10:24 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
newsfeed.mesh.ad.jp!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gezbem5.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <3B27045D.67FADAB1@sccoast.net> <20010613050211.10193.00002586@ng-
cu1.aol.com> <9gait0$8ob$0@208.207.71.161>
<cuvyxnansvbet.gexb9a1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net> <9gbsip$636$0@208.207.71.151>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Lines: 20
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 19:10:22 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 992632222 63.178.69.163 (Fri, 15 Jun 2001
19:10:22 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 19:10:22 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24283

On 15 Jun 2001 02:40:25 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>>   The effect that pirate b/c has on ham radio is to foster the idea
>>   that it's an OK thing to operate in complete defiance of radio law
>>   and the FCC.
>
>
> Phil I know you're serious, and rightly so, but I'm afraid that the
>miniscule influence of pirate BC pales to the point of disappearance
>compared to the outright outlawry routinely played out, with impunity
>and with the FCC's back turned, on 27 mHz.

  The last few years of my tenure with the FCC was spent largely in
  both field and legal work concerning pirate b/c operations, so it's
  a burr under my saddle.

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
```

```
From -5948045318548334559
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 12:10:36 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
cyclone2.usenetserver.com!sjc-peer.news.verio.net!news.verio.net!sea-
read.news.verio.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gezbi56.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
References: <9gbsip$636$0@208.207.71.151> <20010615052227.14903.00001890@ng-
bh1.news.cs.com> <9gd7vu$r6b$0@208.206.142.87>
Reply-To: "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
X-Newsreader: PMINews 2.00.1205 For OS/2
Organization: A Clearing in the Silicon Forest
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Lines: 15
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 19:10:23 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.178.69.163
X-Complaints-To: abuse@verio.net
X-Trace: sea-read.news.verio.net 992632223 63.178.69.163 (Fri, 15 Jun 2001
19:10:23 GMT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 19:10:23 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24284

On 15 Jun 2001 15:01:18 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> Call it what you wll. I'm saying that before CB got taken over by the
>lawless element there were very few such problems on the ham bands. Once
>the FCC showed that it was going to just ignore profanity and all the
>other illegal operation that they outlaws started up on 27 mHz, the same
>sort of problems began to appear in significant quantity on the ham
>bands.

  The "monkey see, monkey do" reason???

--
   73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane




From 2481671783303334335
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 12:27:18 PST
```

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!sn-post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 15:27:11 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tikoclifdrgg12@corp.supernews.com>
References: <20010613202537.13798.00001439@ng-fl1.aol.com>
<20010614195729.27305.00001615@ng-fp1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 59
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24285

"Mark Pearsal" <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010614195729.27305.00001615@ng-fp1.aol.com...

> No I don't but there's got to be a reason some don't "make the jump." That's
> where we (Yes, we!) need to focus our attention...communication. (no pun
> intended) Let's find out why. I certainly don't think you'll find that silly
> "adrenaline rush" theory holding much water.

There is another point to consider why some don't gravitate to Ham Radio. That can be found in the FCC's reply denying the proposal where it was stated it was not the intention of the FCC to create another "hobby radio service". I may have a wee bit of that wrong, but that's what I remember reading. CB radio has in fact become a de-facto hobby radio service as it stands now. Removing the DX limitation would only further blur the differences between Ham and CB. I understand that many countries outside of North America require one or both stations in communications to be a mobile station, just to prevent the situation we have here. CB radio was originally intended as a low power communication service for use by the public to conduct personal and business related activities. I doubt the FCC ever thought CB would turn in to a "hobby radio service". So if you don't like the "adrenaline rush" theory as a partial answer, then consider we have a "hobby radio service" that provides many of the benefits of H.F. access, legalities aside for now, complete with the use of amplifiers etc., without any license requirements. It's hard to compete with a "hobby radio service" where for a few bucks for a "Linear" and an "Export Radio" one can be on the air without having to pass those pesky written exams, a code test, and no Part 97 license crap cramping their style. 8-))

So you could say that the ARRL, and Amateur Radio as a whole, has a growing competitor called "CB-Radio" for the hearts and minds of people interested in two-way radio as a hobby. In that light I can understand why the ARRL got involved with the RM-9807 proposal, it was a legitimate interest in trying

to insure the growth of Amateur Radio by making CB Radio, the alliterative
"hobby radio service", less attractive.

--
--
73 de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

```
From 1835265283644657510
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 12:48:23 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!sn-xit-01!sn-
post-01!supernews.com!corp.supernews.com!not-for-mail
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 15:48:20 -0400
Organization: Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
Message-ID: <tikpk6dmmgr114@corp.supernews.com>
References: <9fuuc6$nft$2@208.206.142.91> <20010610085534.24500.00000175@ng-
mg1.aol.com>
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Complaints-To: newsabuse@supernews.com
Lines: 22
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24286
```

"Mark Pearsal" <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010610085534.24500.00000175@ng-mg1.aol.com...

> You know my position, Dick. When the league stuck it's nose into a(n)

CB/11
> meter affair, namely RM-9807,

I find it rather funny myself that Alan Dixon, who filed the original RM-9807, is a Ham. It would be a little more clear cut if the person who should have filed the request was a CBer, without a Ham ticket.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a bomb technician. If you see
me running, try to keep up. "

From 6601538694931602784
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 13:14:45 PST
From: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Sender: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Reply-To: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 152.163.213.78 152.163.213.78
References: <20010613202537.13798.00001439@ng-fl1.aol.com> <20010614195729.27305.00001615@ng-fp1.aol.com> <tikoclifdrgg12@corp.supernews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Message-ID: <3b2a6b8c$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 15 Jun 2001 21:09:48 GMT
X-Trace: 15 Jun 2001 21:09:48 GMT, pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Lines: 10
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!newsfeed.fast.net!uunet!dca.uu.net!ash.uu.net!nfeed1.dnvrcoidc.firstworld.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24287

It's hard to compete with a "hobby radio service" where for a few bucks for a "Linear" and an "Export Radio" one can be on the air without having to pass those pesky written exams, a code test, and no Part 97 license crap cramping their style. 8-))

"And don't forget, no rules on Freeband, Lean, no rules! Don't that make you upset? Now get back on the short bus"

```
From -2414516878236217904
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 13:36:46 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!
newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 15
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 20:36:11 GMT
References: <9gd7vu$r6b$0@208.206.142.87>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Message-ID: <20010615163611.02455.00002499@ng-cm1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24288

>Call it what you wll. I'm saying that before CB got taken over by the
>lawless element there were very few such problems on the ham bands. Once
>the FCC showed that it was going to just ignore profanity and all the
>other illegal operation that they outlaws started up on 27 mHz, the same
>sort of problems began to appear in significant quantity on the ham
>bands. If you choose to reject this fact, that's your copout, not mine.
>I was there watching and listening.
>
>
>
>
Wow..."be afraid, be very afraid!" Thanks for the reasoning though.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN


From 222239609203571989
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 13:56:21 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 20:39:59 GMT
References: <tikpk6dmmgr114@corp.supernews.com>
```

```
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010615163959.02455.00002500@ng-cm1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24289

>I find it rather funny myself that Alan Dixon, who filed the original
>RM-9807, is a Ham. It would be a little more clear cut if the person who
>should have filed the request was a CBer, without a Ham ticket.
>

Seems to this observer that it just shows the two need not be mutaully
exclusive. Yes, interesting and rather a nice sidebar.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN


From 692388685691681408
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 14:33:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!cpk-news-hub1.bbnplanet.com!
news.gtei.net!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.cs.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 39
X-Admin: news@cs.com
From: kc2hmn@cs.com (Bert Craig)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 21:33:39 GMT
References: <tikoclifdrgg12@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: CompuServe (http://www.compuserve.com/)
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010615173339.19489.00002089@ng-fk1.news.cs.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24290

Hi Leland,

You left your flank open on this one. I'm not going to respond to this one as I
believe someone else answered this response much more capably on a different
forum. As they say on the local repeater, "Over to you, Mark." Take it easy,
Leland.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

>
>There is another point to consider why some don't gravitate to Ham Radio.
>That can be found in the FCC's reply denying the proposal where it was
>stated it was not the intention of the FCC to create another "hobby radio
>service". I may have a wee bit of that wrong, but that's what I remember
>reading. CB radio has in fact become a de-facto hobby radio service as it
```

>stands now. Removing the DX limitation would only further blur the
>differences between Ham and CB. I understand that many countries outside of
>North America require one or both stations in communications to be a mobile
>station, just to prevent the situation we have here. CB radio was originally
>intended as a low power communication service for use by the public to
>conduct personal and business related activities. I doubt the FCC ever
>thought CB would turn in to a "hobby radio service". So if you don't like
>the "adrenaline rush" theory as a partial answer, then consider we have a
>"hobby radio service" that provides many of the benefits of H.F. access,
>legalities aside for now, complete with the use of amplifiers etc., without
>any license requirements. It's hard to compete with a "hobby radio service"
>where for a few bucks for a "Linear" and an "Export Radio" one can be on the
>air without having to pass those pesky written exams, a code test, and no
>Part 97 license crap cramping their style. 8-))
>
>So you could say that the ARRL, and Amateur Radio as a whole, has a growing
>competitor called "CB-Radio" for the hearts and minds of people interested
>in two-way radio as a hobby. In that light I can understand why the ARRL got
>involved with the RM-9807 proposal, it was a legitimate interest in trying
>to insure the growth of Amateur Radio by making CB Radio, the alliterative
>"hobby radio service", less attractive.

From 8105950714169632712
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 15:03:03 PST
From: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Sender: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Reply-To: "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net>
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
X-User-Info: 64.12.102.33 64.12.102.33
References: <9gd7vu$r6b$0@208.206.142.87> <20010615163611.02455.00002499@ng-cm1.news.cs.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Message-ID: <3b2a84ef$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 15 Jun 2001 22:58:07 GMT
X-Trace: 15 Jun 2001 22:58:07 GMT, pro55a.wfarm.ynn.com
Lines: 12
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.cwix.com!natasha.rmi.net!nntp-cust.primenet.com!news2.mibx.net
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24291

Wow..."be afraid, be very afraid!" Thanks for the reasoning though.

73 de Bert
KC2HMN

"Fear is what motivates their lack of lucidity. Too bad the short bus hammies pride prevents them from taking Bert's very enlightened view to heart. What these no code technician class computer science graduates do not understand is that they have no say in what happens on 27.555"

From -7719977443848613275
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 15:12:59 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!sn-xit-02!supernews.com!newsfeed.direct.ca!look.ca!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 36
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 15 Jun 2001 22:12:15 GMT
References: <3B2A2FE7.FC0928A3@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010615181215.24824.00000421@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24292

>Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
>From: CAM Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org
>Date: 6/15/01 10:55 AM Central Daylight Time

>I'm not an expert on cocaine but I believe that crack cocaine is just
>crystallized powered cocaine. I should have said "quite similar".

And my response would have been the same. "Similar" or "quite similar" matters not...A single atom can leave it "similar", but radically alter what you get in the long run.

>The
>real-world reason that crack cocaine has higher penalties than powered
>cocaine is racism.

Again...this is not correct. Crack cocaine is more addictive and more devastating to the body. That it is, unfortunately, the "drug of choice" of certain minority groups only bears out that fact.

>The real reason that marijuana was made illegal in
>the Southwestern states was to try to control the citizens of Mexico.

OK...SO why has it been illegal in the REST of the United States, where, except for the PR population in NY, there has been a very small Hispanic populace until the last few years...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

From -6848068114721041948
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 17:43:50 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!novia!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jun 2001 00:43:07 GMT
References: <3B20E1FC.195929CD@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
Message-ID: <20010615204307.03759.00005608@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24294

In article <3B20E1FC.195929CD@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>One time, I went out to a new tech's house to see what was wrong
>with his new 2m antenna. He was using 200ft of RG-58. :-)

As a new Tech, it's too bad he wasn't using RG-174!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 5978549036768937075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 17:43:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail

Lines: 20
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jun 2001 00:43:06 GMT
References: <3B297B35.23BEF7F1@IEEE.org>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010615204306.03759.00005606@nso-ch.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24295

In article <3B297B35.23BEF7F1@IEEE.org>, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:

>
>Why don't you repeat your assertion that CW is the best mode ever,
>you know, most efficient, most reliable, etc. Putting Morse code
>on such a pedistal that it requires a special exam seems to me to be
>the same thing as feeling it to be sacred. It's just another mode with
>a certain set of characteristics, Larry, it's nothing supernatural.

Cecil:

I think the description you're struggling to recall is "practical, effective, efficient, and universal." Do a Google search on my postings, and you'll find that repeated, er, well, repeatedly! However, I don't think you'll find where I've indicated that there is anything "supernatural" about the Morse/CW mode. Yet another of your failed attempts to put words into my fingertips that I never typed. Shame on you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

From -2970430083395816603
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 17:43:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!feed2.news.rcn.net!rcn!netnews.com!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 29
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jun 2001 00:43:06 GMT
References: <20010614200609.20174.00000974@nso-mr.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
Subject: Re: Puke.
Message-ID: <20010615204306.03759.00005607@nso-ch.aol.com>

Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24296

In article <20010614200609.20174.00000974@nso-mr.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
(Len Over 21) writes:

>
>The necessity for subservience is a personal attitude device with
>such individuals which is not part of the traditions of amateur
>radio (as published) nor of the US civil radio regulations. They
>assume a "ruling" mantle which was never earned by any test
>nor of amateur experience; it is simply a psychological flaw of
>a need for dominance or of "officiality," all to make themselves
>appear "more superior" to others. In reality, such "superiority" is
>within their own heads as others observe them differently.
>
>There are a few too many in US amateur radio who daily
>demonstrate such aberrant traits in here. That is not a welcome
>to newcomers nor is it an inducement for professionals to join
>their exhalted ranks.

Translation:

"Waaaaaaaaaahhhhhh!!! Waaaaaaahhhhhhhhh! (Sob) (Sob) (Snivel)
Waaaaaaaaaahhhhhh!!! Waaaaaaahhhhhhhhh! (Sob) (Sob) (Snivel) I
don' wanna learn anything useful!!! That's toooooo Haaaarrrrddddd!!!
Waaaaaaaaaahhhhhh!!! Waaaaaaahhhhhhhhh! (Sob) (Sob) (Snivel)
(Choke) (Gasp) I just wanna talk on radioooooo!!!!!"

73 de Larry, K3LT

From 4619944139451023199
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f9bbdc77bfa656d,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 18:10:04 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!newsranger.com!www.newsranger.com!not-for-mail
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: peacelover <nospam@newsranger.com>
Subject: attention ham radio operators: please come get your puke
Lines: 8
Message-ID: <NkyW6.10627$pb1.396362@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 21:09:01 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2001 01:09:01 GMT
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24297

Your boys, technician class hams N8WWM and N7VCF are causing a lot of hate and discontent on the cb radio newsgroup. It would be sincerely appreciated if you would extract these two human mistakes from our mist and take them out somewhere and give them a spanking with a rolled up newspaper. Please help. This request is sincere and hopefully the self policing ham radio community will get these twits a new home. Thanks.

```
From -8095529897159655950
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 18:23:42 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
lnsnews.lns.cornell.edu!newsstand.cit.cornell.edu!portc03.blue.aol.com!
audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 9
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jun 2001 01:23:17 GMT
References: <20010615204307.03759.00005608@nso-ch.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
Message-ID: <20010615212317.24670.00002071@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24298

>One time, I went out to a new tech's house to see what was wrong
>>with his new 2m antenna. He was using 200ft of RG-58. :-)
>
>As a new Tech, it's too bad he wasn't using RG-174!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT
>

 I am surprized he was even using Coax
```

```
From 5396506465308810727
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,f9bbdc77bfa656d
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 20:16:41 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.stealth.net!news.infoave.net!not-
for-mail
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: attention ham radio operators:  please come get your puke
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 23:17:53 -0400
```

Organization: -
Lines: 24
Message-ID: <3B2ACFD1.89D77C31@sccoast.net>
References: <NkyW6.10627$pb1.396362@www.newsranger.com>
Reply-To: stewartx@sccoast.net
NNTP-Posting-Host: 207.144.228.37
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Trace: news3.infoave.net 992661400 443000 207.144.228.37 (16 Jun 2001 03:16:40 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: usenet@news3.infoave.net
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2001 03:16:40 +0000 (UTC)
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 (Macintosh; U; PPC)
X-Accept-Language: en
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24299

peacelover wrote:

> Your boys, technician class hams N8WWM
> and N7VCF are causing a lot of hate and
> discontent on the cb radio newsgroup.
> It would be sincerely appreciated if you
> would extract these two human mistakes
> from our mist and take them out somewhere
> and give them a spanking with a rolled
> up newspaper. Please help. This request
> is sincere and hopefully the self
> policing ham radio community will get
> these twits a new home. Thanks.

As I understand it, both of those guys are active CB users, so both have just as much right to be in the rec.radio.cb newsgroup as you do. Therefore, if you don't happen to like what they have to say, then I guess you'll just have to live with it.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

From -1057211325200152937
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 20:21:21 PST
From: kelly@nospam.dvol.com (Brian Kelly, w3rv)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Date: Sat, 16 Jun 2001 03:21:11 GMT
Message-ID: <3b2acfc5.141552464@news2.dvol.com>

```
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
<3B218D02.90D2E7E4@IEEE.org> <3b222675.204464640@news2.dvol.com>
<3B223A4A.CF018997@IEEE.org> <3b29884e.57723859@news2.dvol.com>
<3B2A159E.6583A80E@IEEE.org>
X-Newsreader: Forte Free Agent 1.21/32.243
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.44
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.24.19.44
X-Trace: 15 Jun 2001 22:16:07 -0500, 64.24.19.44
Lines: 39
X-Complaints-To: abuse@megapop.net
X-Original-NNTP-Posting-Host: 127.0.0.1
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!
nycmny1-snh1.gtei.net!chcgil2-snf1.gtei.net!news.gtei.net!news.starnetinc.com
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24300

On Fri, 15 Jun 2001 09:03:10 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
wrote:

>"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>>
>> On Sat, 09 Jun 2001 10:01:30 -0500, CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>> >>
>> >> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote:
>> >> >> >Are you suggesting that the ARRL should demonize all CBers like you do?
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> They did it to themselves without any help from the ARRL
>> >> >
>> >> >My parents in their late 80s are CBers, Brian. You think they are demons?
>> >> >
>> >> I've operated on 27mHz, I'm not a demon either. That's not the point
>> >> and you know it.
>> >
>> >That's *MY* point, Brian. Demonizing *ALL* CBers is just as bad as racism
>> >or sexism.
>> >
>> Fine, now direct it at sombody who demonizes all CBers - I don't.
>
>When I talked about "all CBers" being demonized, you said, "They did
>it to themselves ..." What does that imply?
>
That the "all CBers" you seem to think have been demonized did to
themselves.
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
```

>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From 3689692731648408226
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 20:37:54 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 10
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jun 2001 03:37:43 GMT
References: <tik5a7cccc9k17@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010615233743.04862.00000305@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24301
```

>I think the 910H is over priced for what you get. If you don't need 1.2GHz
>you can spend the same amount of money on a Yaesu FT-847 which will give you
>far more bang for the buck.
>

Agreed. I also like the new RCI-5054 6 meter AM/FM/SSB/CW radio. I never really liked RCI very much, but I think this is an idea whose time has come. Take care and 73.

Mark

```
From -5732458274442398142
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 21:02:32 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!
portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 19
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jun 2001 03:50:44 GMT
References: <tik64233o996e0@corp.supernews.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
```

```
Message-ID: <20010615235044.04862.00000306@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24302

>I know one who has been busted twice by the local FCC
>office, and he still tries to operate by doing so mobile only. He thinks
>he's playing a game with the FCC, "I dare you to catch me again", attitude.
>Particularly when he talks about the FCC field engineer trying to follow him
>around. Go figure.

Yeah Leland, I have to admit...it takes all kinds. Sometimes I feel that
there's nothing left that'll amaze me...until the next time, that is! :-D Go
figure is right.

>Several of us Hams keep offering to help him get his Ham
>ticket, but nope, he likes what he's doing. I'm sure there are many more
>like him out there. How many there are I would agree is anybody's guess.

Keep the faith and don't let this bad apple deter you from trying to help the
next "freebander" to become an "ex-freebander." Note: Please bear in mind that
it's often not an overnight process. Take care and 73.

Mark


From 1868689274553703313
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 21:02:33 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-
spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!
portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-
mail
Lines: 12
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jun 2001 03:53:27 GMT
References: <20010615105512.11408.00005265@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010615235327.04862.00000307@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24303

>>Were you being facetious or is this indeed your
>>view of a viable possibility?
>
>     Interesting...NOT likely.  Wayne Green perceived such an occassion
>during
>the "Class E" CB fiasco.  Never happened...Not even remotely.
>

Alot of "Uncle Wayne's" perceptions never came to fruition. Thanks for the
```

response, Steve. Take care and 73.

Mark

From -6409076765087898075
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,UTF8
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 21:16:01 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc01.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 43
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jun 2001 04:15:19 GMT
References: <20010615173339.19489.00002089@ng-fk1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=utf-8
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Message-ID: <20010616001519.04862.00000308@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24304

>"Over to you, Mark."

Kerchunk...oops, sorry! I've got it, Bert. Thanks.

This was cut from one of my responses to a post along similar lines on the eHam.net article. It's close enough to fit the bill.

"There are those who might say that if you want to work DX then become a licensed amateur or that 11 meters operators are somehow "imitating" amateur radio. I don’t’ really think 11 meter operators who violate the 155 mile rule are imitating amateur radio. To state such does a great disservice to amateur radio as a whole. Those on 11 meters are responding to a naturally occurring phenomenon, sky wave propagation. Nobody goes out there and says I’m engaging in "pseudo-ham" operations, again, that’s experience talking now. Think of the sheer breadth of amateur radio’s scope…EME, (Moonbounce) OSCAR, Packet, (Although now being utilized on 11 meters too, along with some CW…isn’t THAT something?) AMTOR/PACTOR, PSK31, space shuttle contacts, etc…and the list goes on with a variety of bands and modes to boot. Do you really believe that some unauthorized 11 meter operators are imitating amateur radio because they engage in worldwide communications in a 594.8KHz slice of the HF spectrum? Give a little more credit to the full scope of amateur radio than that. If WE are going to solve this, then WE will have to try and do it together. Any time two opposing factions attempt to resolve an issue, compromise is needed. This is often the sticking point as a line in the sand is usually drawn by those ostensibly on the high & righteous road. This nonsense has gone on for more than thirty years now and without some compromise on BOTH sides of the equation, it will outlive both you and I. There comes a point in time where we must examine the "big picture" and decide that our inability to rise above our differences is far outweighed by the need to achieve a real-world solution, even if it necessitates a compromise. I would look for amateur radio to set the "bigger" example by NOT embracing the "I had to walk to school uphill in the snow, both ways…so you do too!" approach. You can already see what that’s done for the CW argument within amateur radio itself."

Bottom line, I don't think there's any blur between the services. The only result of the RM-9807 fiasco is a little more ill-will between the two "hobbies." I don't think the reaffirmation of any "written" (not to mention, outdated) definition of a service is worth taking a step backward re. the relationship between 11 meters and amateur radio. Agree or disagree, the two services/hobbies are somewhat dependent on one another. Take care and 73.

Mark

From 7494893371892575775
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 21:17:53 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 7
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jun 2001 04:17:30 GMT
References: <20010615163959.02455.00002500@ng-cm1.news.cs.com>
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
Message-ID: <20010616001730.04862.00000309@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24305

>Seems to this observer that it just shows the two need not be mutaully
>exclusive. Yes, interesting and rather a nice sidebar.
>

Ditto. Says alot for Mr. Dixon's grasp of "the big picture." Take care and 73.

Mark

From 2438366260862216977
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d56973cd0ff27f51,start
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 21:28:41 PST

Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!bloom-beacon.mit.edu!howland.erols.net!portc.blue.aol.com.MISMATCH!portc03.blue.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
Lines: 4
X-Admin: news@aol.com
From: radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 16 Jun 2001 04:27:57 GMT
Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
Subject: Happy Father's Day!
Message-ID: <20010616002757.04876.00000269@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24306

I would like to wish a warm, happy, and healthy Father's Day to all whom it applies on the NG. Take care and 73.

Mark

From -9172604771139955506
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,b2bb779d0bded69c
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 21:34:32 PST
Message-ID: <3B2AE164.2F2DBEBE@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 23:32:36 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Puke.
References: <3B297B35.23BEF7F1@IEEE.org> <20010615204306.03759.00005606@nso-ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.113
X-Trace: corp 992665551 64.240.47.113 (15 Jun 2001 23:25:51 -0500)
Lines: 18
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24307

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I think the description you're struggling to recall is "practical, effective,
> efficient, and universal." Do a Google search on my postings, and you'll
> find that repeated, er, well, repeatedly! However, I don't think you'll
> find where I've indicated that there is anything "supernatural" about the
> Morse/CW mode.

I distinctly remember you saying CW is the "most practical, effective,
efficient, and universal ..." which would put it into the supernatural
realm compared to PACTOR II, for instance. If it is everything you say,
why doesn't the military use it?

--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -7516692156230326988
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,e3a851603659b12
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 21:36:02 PST
Message-ID: <3B2AE1C2.8D54E8BD@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 23:34:10 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Why does it work??
References: <3B20E1FC.195929CD@IEEE.org> <20010615204307.03759.00005608@nso-
ch.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.113
X-Trace: corp 992665643 64.240.47.113 (15 Jun 2001 23:27:23 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24308
```

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> writes:
> >One time, I went out to a new tech's house to see what was wrong
> >with his new 2m antenna. He was using 200ft of RG-58. :-)
>
> As a new Tech, it's too bad he wasn't using RG-174!

Your promise to stop doing that sure didn't last long.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

```
From -1922774393862757298
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 21:42:28 PST
Message-ID: <3B2AE33B.34D884F9@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 23:40:27 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK  (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <20010607231544.19140.00001996@ng-fi1.aol.com>
<3B20E399.448587FF@IEEE.org> <3b217c3a.160888942@news2.dvol.com>
<3B218D02.90D2E7E4@IEEE.org> <3b222675.204464640@news2.dvol.com>
<3B223A4A.CF018997@IEEE.org> <3b29884e.57723859@news2.dvol.com>
<3B2A159E.6583A80E@IEEE.org> <3b2acfc5.141552464@news2.dvol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.113
X-Trace: corp 992666020 64.240.47.113 (15 Jun 2001 23:33:40 -0500)
Lines: 14
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support,  spam or any
illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or
copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body
(DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!
feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24309
```

"Brian Kelly, w3rv" wrote:
> That the "all CBers" you seem to think have been demonized did to
> themselves.

All CBers have been demonized but they are not all to blame.
A small percentage of CBers are to blame for the CB problems.
A small percentage of hams are to blame for the ham problems.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From 2677770743394961381
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 21:47:49 PST
Message-ID: <3B2AE47A.FA526C93@IEEE.org>
Date: Fri, 15 Jun 2001 23:45:46 -0500
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.73 [en]C-NECCK (Win95; U)
X-Accept-Language: en
MIME-Version: 1.0
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS
References: <3B2A2FE7.FC0928A3@IEEE.org> <20010615181215.24824.00000421@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.240.47.113
X-Trace: corp 992666341 64.240.47.113 (15 Jun 2001 23:39:01 -0500)
Lines: 20
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 80,000+ UNCENSORED Newsgroups.
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!feed.textport.net!local-out2.newsfeeds.com!corp
Xref: archiver1.google.com rec.radio.amateur.policy:24310

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>   And my response would have been the same. "Similar" or "quite similar"

> matters not...A single atom can leave it "similar", but radically alter what
> you get in the long run.

What is the molecular formula for each of them?

> Crack cocaine is more addictive and more
> devastating to the body. That it is, unfortunately, the "drug of choice" of
> certain minority groups only bears out that fact.

Isn't the delivery system the only difference? Smoking tobacco is more
addictive and more devastating to the body than snorting snuff tobacco.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

From -6419375880607106462
X-Google-Language: ENGLISH,ASCII-7-bit
X-Google-Thread: 10bf43,d7116f37ff73b466
X-Google-Attributes: gid10bf43,public
X-Google-ArrivalTime: 2001-06-15 21:49:56 PST
Path: archiver1.google.com!newsfeed.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!news-spur1.maxwell.syr.edu!news.maxwell.syr.edu!news.alt.net!pcis.net!usenet
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: ARRL PRAISES CBERS- NOW LET'S MOVE ON
Date: 16 Jun 2001 04:49:55 GMT
Organization: hardly any
Lines: 28
Message-ID: <9geohj$9ps$0@208.206.142.82>
References: <9gbsip$636$0@208.207.71.151> <20010615052227.14903.00001890@ng-bh1.news.cs.com> <9gd7vu$r6b$0@208.206.142.87> <3B2A2E04.8C1DB173@IEEE.org>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 208.206.142.82
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Mozilla 4.76 [en]C-DIAL (Win98; U)